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## PREFACE

"Family Income in Five Southeastern Cities" is the fourth of a series of reports on incomes and expenditures of families surveyed by the United States Bureau of Labor Statistics in the Study of Consumer Purchases in 1935-36. The reports which have already been released deal with family income in Chicago and in selected cities of the Pacific Northwest and New England regions. ${ }^{1}$

The Southeastern study is part of an investigation conducted in 1936 by the Bureau of Labor Statistics in 32 cities varying in size, and representing different sections of the country. It is paralleled by a study of small city, village, and farm families conducted by the Bureau of Home Economics of the United States Department of Agriculture. Both surveys, which together constitute the Study of Consumer Purchases, were administered under a grant of funds from the Works Progress Administration. The National Resources Committee and the Central Statistical Board cooperated in the planning and coordinating of the Nation-wide study. The plans for the project were developed and the administration was coordinated by a technical committee composed of representatives of the following agencies: National Resources Committee, Hildegarde Kneeland, chairman; Bureau of Labor Statistics, Faith M. Williams; Bureau of Home Economics, Day Monroe; Works Progress Administration, Milton Forster; and Central Statistical Board, Samuel J. Dennis.
The general purpose of the investigation was to throw light on the patterns of consumption prevailing among families of different income levels, occupations, and family types. The information will be presented in special reports dealing with the economic distribution of families in the different communities, and with the consumption of commodities and services.

This bulletin on the Southeastern cities deals with the distribution of the families according to income, occupation, and family composition. It serves as a necessary background for the concluding volumes which indicate how families apportion their expenditures among the various goods and services.

The need for information bearing on buying capacity, expenditure patterns, and consumer preferences has been partially met in recent years by specialized studies intended to satisfy specific requirements of

[^0]business units or public agencies which have sponsored them. A number of surveys of income and expenditures have also been undertaken by the Bureau of Labor Statistics, with particular reference to the families of wage earners and salaried workers. But such studies, each made with a different purpose in mind, have not presented any comprehensive outline of American consumption with all of its important implications for the more rational operation of the economic system

The present study of consumer purchases differs from any previously undertaken in that it is designed to cover a large enough number of families to allow for comparison, not only between different sections of the country, between urban and rural communities, and between cities of different size, but also between families at different income levels, and, within any given income level, between families of different composition and occupational groups.

The Bureau of Labor Statistics wishes to acknowledge the assistance received from interested individuals and civic bodies, both within and outside the Government, in addition to the agencies mentioned above. Particular acknowledgment is due to two groups whose collaboration must be recognized as having made the studies possible: the W. P. A. workers who performed the field collection and office tabulation of the data, and the members of the households interviewed, who contributed the time and effort required to answer the detailed questions in the schedules.

In view of the fact that responsibility for certain parts of this survey was shared by persons outside the regular staff of the Bureau of Labor Statistics, the Bureau takes pleasure in acknowledging the services of Hallie K. Price, associate regional supervisor; Lois E. Gratz, who first served as city supervisor in Atlanta and was later associate regional supervisor; Olive T. Kephart and Lucille S. Lee, who served as check editors; and the following persons who served as supervisors in the various cities: Edgar B. Daniel, Jr., Atlanta; William C. Rees, Columbia; Byron F. Hemphill, Mobile; Doreas Carland, Albany; and Lloyd B. Raisty, Gastonia.

Acknowledgment is also made to Frances W. Valentine, Jesse R. Wood, Jr., and William Loudon, who were in charge of computation and tables; Joseph A. Smith, in charge of machine tabulation; Marie Bloch, Ethel Cauman, and Lenore A. Epstein, who were in charge of editing and review.

The present volume on Southeastern communities is concerned with the distribution of the families by income, occupation, and family composition. The succeeding volume will analyze the manner in which the family incomes were spent.

April 1939.

> Isador Lubin, Commissioner of Labor Statistics.


# Bulletin No. 647 (Vol. I) of the 

## United States Bureau of Labor Statistics

## Family Income in Five Southeastern Cities, 1935-36

## Chapter I

## Introduction

Five cities were surveyed by the Bureau of Labor Statistics in the Study of Consumer Purchases in the Southeast. Atlanta, Ga., was chosen as a representative large city of the region; Mobile, Ala., and Columbia, S. C., were selected as middle-sized communities, while Gastonia, N. C., and Albany, Ga., represented the small cities. ${ }^{1}$ The Nation-wide Study of Consumer Purchases, which included both urban and rural communities, covered 2 metropolises, 6 large cities averaging 250,000 to 300,000 inhabitants, 14 middle-sized cities of 30,000 to 75,000 population, 29 small cities of from 8,000 to 20,000 persons, 140 villages, and 77 farm counties.

Altogether 18 income classes are differentiated in the analysis of the populations, ranging from families having less than $\$ 250$ in current annual income, to those with $\$ 10,000$ a year and more. In addition to the wage-earner, clerical, and farm groups, which have been the subject of most previous investigations, the current study included professional and business categories, both salaried and self-employed, as well as families whose incomes were not dependent upon an occupation. Families have been classified according to their composition into types varying from single individuals to families containing seven or eight persons, account being taken not only of family size but also of the ages of family members.

Character of the Southeast region.-The Southeast area selected for analysis by the Consumer Study covers an extensive and wellpopulated portion of what might be called the "Old South," Negroes constituting between one-fourth and one-half of the population of the four States included. The chief industry is agriculture, the region as a whole deriving more than a fourth of its income from this source as contrasted with only one-eighth of income received from agriculture

[^1]by the country as a whole. ${ }^{2}$ Almost half of the workers in the Southeast are engaged in extractive industries, including agriculture, one of the largest proportions in any region of the United States. The ratio of farm population to the total population is also one of the highest in any region in the country. The major crops are cotton and tobacco. Over half of the crop land in the Southeast is used for cotton, 60 percent of the entire cotton crop of the country being raised in the Southeast. The States included in this report also produce slightly more than half of all the tobacco raised in the United States. In addition to these major agricultural products, are such crops as fruits, pecans, peanuts, melons, and the like, which are becoming of increasing importance as the Southeast attempts to diversify its economic activities. In recent years the use of wood pulp in the manufacture of rayon fabrics and new chemical processes in the manufacture of paper have greatly stimulated the lumber industry. Another forest industry, the production of turpentine and rosin, also ranks high; southern pine produces a large proportion of the world's supply of these products. Approximately one-fourth of the income of the Southeast as a whole was derived from manufacturing in 1930, the chief manufactured products being the forest products just listed, cigarettes, furniture, and textiles. About a fourth of the gainful workers were engaged in manufacturing.

Although less than a third of the population of the whole Southeast lives in urban areas, there has been a very rapid increase in urbanization during the past two decades. The percentage of increase in urban population from 1910 to 1930 was, for Alabama, 100.9; for Georgia, 66.2 ; for North Carolina, 154.3; for South Carolina, 65.0. ${ }^{3}$

The five cities covered in this report represent communities of different size and also of varying types of economic activity. ${ }^{4}$ (The type of communities represented by the five cities, it should be noted, are of different frequency; cities like Atlanta, Columbia, and Mobile, for example, are much less common in the Southeast than small mill towns like Gastonia or commercial centers like Albany.)

Atlanta, Ga., situated at the southern end of the Appalachian Mountains, is a railroad, marketing, financial, and manufacturing center for the whole Southeastern region. In 1930 its population numbered 270,366 , of which two-thirds were white and one-third Negro. As the concentration and transfer point for produce, fruit, and vegetables for practically all of the Southeastern States east of

[^2]the Mississippi River, Atlanta receives trucks from different sections of the South which bring locally grown produce and carry back produce grown in other sections. Atlanta is also the regional seat of most of the United States Government's activities in the Southeastern States. There are many permanent offices of divisions of the Federal Government located in this city, including among others the Sixth District Federal Reserve Bank, Fort McPherson, and a Federal penitentiary. In addition, there are a number of colleges and professional and technical schools within the metropolitan area. Five of these are restricted to white students ${ }^{5}$ and five to Negroes. ${ }^{6}$ Atlanta is, therefore, not only a manufacturing and trade center, but a governmental and educational center as well.

Mobile, Ala., and Columbia, S. C., were selected to represent middlesized cities. Of the two, Mobile, with a population in 1930 of 68,202 , is the larger. Located in the Gulf Tidewater region, Mobile is the second largest port of the South, with industries characteristic of a shipping center; almost a fifth of its gainfully employed workers are engaged in transportation. Soft coal, iron, cement, lime, lumber, turpentine, rosin, and agricultural products from Alabama, Mississippi, and lower Tennessee are shipped to Mobile, for export abroad. In addition to the industries involved directly with shipping, Mobile has a number of shipbuilding companies. Mobile's manufactured products are chiefly naval stores and textiles.

In 1930, 61 percent of the population was native white and 36 percent was Negro. Although the foreign population is small in Mobile, it is nevertheless larger than in most southern cities since it is a port city. The foreign born comprised not quite 3 percent of the population in $1930 .{ }^{7}$

Columbia, with its 51,581 population in 1930, presents many similarities to Atlanta, but a sharp contrast in industrial make-up. Situated in the center of South Carolina, it is a trading center for a large agricultural area and a transfer point for northbound truck shipments of Florida produce. Like Atlanta, though on a smaller scale, Columbia is also a political, administrative, business, and educational center. The State capital, as well as the State university and a number of other colleges, is located at Columbia. A Federal land bank, the State penitentiary, and the State asylum for the insane are also situated in this city. National corporations have local offices here, using Columbia as a distributing center. These various

[^3]types of institutions call for a large body of professionally equipped persons, so that the occupational distribution of the gainfully employed may be expected to show a relatively large proportion of professional and salaried business persons. In Columbia 60 percent of the population was native white and 38 percent was Negro.
Gastonia, N. C. and Albany, Ga., are cities of smaller size. Although they have practically the same population and they are both located in rural areas, they differ widely both in racial composition and industrial make-up. Gastonia, situated in the cotton Piedmont area, is a highly industrialized and specialized manufacturing town which contained 17,093 inhabitants in 1930. Almost two-fifths of the gainful workers in this community are engaged in the textile industry. ${ }^{8}$ Since it is located less than 25 miles from Charlotte, N. C., which is the trade center for this area, Gastonia carries on comparatively few large scale commercial activities of its own. The proportion of Negroes in the population is comparatively low, less than one-fifth belonging to this race in 1930.

Albany, on the other hand, is primarily a commercial community, being the trade and financial center for the agricultural area (largely Negro) of southwest Georgia. Although cotton is still one of the main crops of the region, there has been for the past 2 decades an attempt to increase such crops as bright-leaf tobacco, peaches, peanuts, and, particularly, paper-shell pecans. The State normal and agricultural college for Negroes is located in Albany.

Of the 14,507 persons enumerated in this city in 1930 , over half ( 53 percent) were classified as Negro. This is a larger proportion of colored persons than was found in the other four cities surveyed in this region.

[^4]
## Chapter II

## Family Income

With the centering of attention upon the problem of national income and its distribution, the amount of income passing through the hands of families as consumers becomes of increasing interest. ${ }^{1}$ In the present study, the ascertainment of family income was preliminary to, but basic for the Study of Consumer Purchases, because in the long run expenditures are limited by the amount of money available for purchases.

Quite aside from its significance for interpreting expenditure data, information concerning the income of families is important to business men, government and welfare workers, social scientists, and others interested in current economic problems. Among the numerous questions about income for which answers are frequently sought by these persons are such as the following: How much income does the average family receive? How many families receive more or less than, for instance, $\$ 1,000$, or some other sum? How do families in different types of cities compare in the amount of income they receive? How do families of different occupations differ from one another in income received? How many persons contribute to the family's income? What proportion of families receive income from stocks, bonds, or other property? To what extent may rent be used as an index of income? The data secured by the Study of Consumer Purchases help us answer these questions. In presenting our material, therefore, we shall bear in mind its twofold purpose, first as a background for interpreting the expenditure data secured in the investigation, ${ }^{2}$ and second as an aid in understanding present-day society. ${ }^{3}$

[^5]As is, of course, well-known there are striking differences between the income distribution of white and Negro families. The distribution of income for these two groups in combination and over-all averages derived from them therefore do not correspond with the experience of either group. For example, half the white families in Atlanta received $\$ 1,536$ or more, while the corresponding figure for Negroes was $\$ 476$. Even though the median for all families, $\$ 1,028$, is substantially lower than that found in Columbus, Ohio, for example, the median income of native white families in Atlanta is higher than the median income of such families in Columbus $(\$ 1,447)$. Great care must be exercised, therefore, in interpreting the over-all figures for these Southeastern cities. They are presented as indicating the distribution of purchasing power that existed in the year of the Study; the manner in which that purchasing power was apportioned between the two racial groups gives rise to distinctive patterns.

As compared with other cities surveyed by the Bureau of Labor Statistics, these five Southeastern communities had relatively low average family incomes. ${ }^{4}$ Columbia and Atlanta families were by far the most prosperous-the dividing point between the upper and lower halves of the income scale falling at $\$ 1,050$ and $\$ 1,028$ respectively for these two cities. Albany, with more than half of its population belonging to the Negro group, had a median family income of $\$ 517$-the lowest of the five Southeastern cities covered in this report. Family income in the other two cities, Mobile and Gastonia, amounted to $\$ 726$ and $\$ 824$ at the midpoint of the income scale.

As might be expected from the wide differences in median income, the grouping of families into income classes resulted in significant intercity variations. Whereas families with incomes under $\$ 500$ for the year represented about one-fourth of all families in three of the cities (Atlanta, Columbia, and Gastonia) this income group comprised well over a third of Mobile's families, and practically one-half of the families in Albany.

[^6]

Table 1.-Income of families, percentage distribution, and median income [All families, relief and nonrelief]

| Income class | Atlanta | Mobile | Columbis | Gastonia | Albany |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All families. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Under \$500. | 25.3 | 38.0 | 24.4 | 26.4 | 49.1 |
| \$500-\$999. | 23.7 | 23.3 | 24.2 | 32.3 | 20.5 |
| \$1,000-\$1,499 | 15.1 | 13.1 | 12.5 | 20.2 | 8.8 |
| \$1,500-\$1,999. | 11.7 | 10.2 | 13.0 | 9.6 | 7.1 |
| \$2,000-\$2,999 | 14.2 | 9.0 | 12.7 | 7.0 | 8.5 |
| \$3,000-\$4,999 | 7.9 | 4.7 | 9.8 | 3.2 | 4.9 |
| \$5,000 and over. | 2.1 | 1.7 | 3.4 | 1.3 | 1.1 |
| Median income. | \$1,028 | \$726 | \$1, 050 | \$824 | \$517 |

Included in these income distributions are families which received relief during the year, either in the form of direct relief or work on relief projects. The amount of direct aid received in cash or kind was not ascertained from the families; only that portion of their income derived from work relief or from private resources or earnings was used in classifying such families in the above distributions. The percentage of families which obtained at any time during the year any type of relief for which needs tests were applied was as follows:

|  | Percent |
| :---: | :---: |
| Atlanta | 19. 9 |
| Mobile. | 15. 5 |
| Columbia | 13. 9 |
| Gastonia | 13. 4 |
| Albany | 15. 7 |

The higher percentage of families receiving assistance in Atlanta is due primarily to the fact that more than one-third of the Negro group in that city received relief; however, a slightly greater percentage of white families were on relief in Atlanta than in any of the other cities. In each of these cities, it is the Negro group which showed the greatest proportions in the relief category-the relief rate of the white families did not deviate much as between cities.

At the upper end of the scale ( $\$ 3,000$ or over) the intercity differences stand out; there were proportionately more than twice as many families at this income level in Columbia as in Albany. In the former city, 13 in every hundred families received as much as $\$ 3,000$, while in the latter community, 6 in every hundred secured incomes of this magnitude.

Atlanta and Columbia, it will be seen in table 1 , showed a striking similarity in the distribution of families by income. These cities with their relatively large professional and salaried business groups ranked considerably above the other communities in terms of family income. In both, about half the families received incomes under $\$ 1,000$; while one-half of the remaining families reported less than $\$ 2,000$ and the other half $\$ 2,000$ or more for the year.

The income distributions of Gastonia and Albany, particularly, reflect the racial composition of these cities. The concentration of the family population in the lowest income bracket is much more marked for Albany (where over half of the families were Negro) than for Gastonia (in which city Negro families comprise approximately one-fourth of the group).

Columbia families, among which professional and salaried business groups were frequent, had the largest representation ( 13 percent) in the income classes of $\$ 3,000$ and above, while Gastonia families, where the working population is composed predominantly of wage earners working in textile mills, had the smallest ( 4 percent). Mobile and Albany each included about 6 percent of its family population in the brackets of $\$ 3,000$ or more.

The meaning of these distributions in terms of concentration of buying power of the community may be summarized in terms of estimates made for the city of Atlanta. ${ }^{5}$ Comparing estimates of the distribution of aggregate income with the family distribution shown in table 1 above, we find that the half of the family population falling in the income bracket under $\$ 1,000$ held approximately 17 percent of the purchasing power of families in the community. The proportion of buying power in the hands of families receiving $\$ 1,000$ to $\$ 2,000$ appears to be practically equal to their representation in the community; above this level, however, buying power greatly exceeded numerical importance. The estimates show the 14 percent of families with incomes between $\$ 2,000$ and $\$ 3,000$ as having 24 percent of the family income of the city, and the 10 percent of families receiving $\$ 3,000$ or more as responsible for 31 percent of the aggregate family income of Atlanta.

The above estimates of the distribution of aggregate income in the community actually underevaluate the purchasing power of both the lowest and the highest income groups. The aggregate income of families in the income classes under $\$ 1,000$ would have been larger had data been obtained on the value of direct relief incomes of families securing public assistance. On the other hand, it is necessary to recognize the more important omission resulting from the failure of families in the highest income brackets to report income from sources other than earnings. ${ }^{6}$

[^7]Income of white families.--White families in the Southeast cities surveyed represent a comparatively prosperous economic group, although wide differences are found in the five cities. The median incomes of this segment of the population ranged from $\$ 1,043$ in Gastonia to $\$ 1,760$ in Columbia.

As later analysis will show, the former city contained a much larger wage-earner group than did the other cities, while the latter community was characterized by a very high proportion of professional and salaried business persons. In Atlanta, Albany, and Mobile, the equal division of the population fell at $\$ 1,536, \$ 1,485$, and $\$ 1,282$, respectively. The white families in Columbia had not only the highest median income ( $\$ 1,760$ ) but also the smallest proportion of families in the lowest income brackets and, correspondingly, the largest percentage in the upper classes. As compared with 6 percent in Columbia, proportionately almost three times as many white families in Gastonia and Mobile, and twice as many in Atlanta and Albany received less than $\$ 500$ during the year. Thus the proportion of white families at the bottom of the income scale ranged from 6 to 17 percent of the total white family population in these Southeast cities. ${ }^{7}$

Table 2.-Income of white families, percentage distribution, and median income ${ }^{1}$ [Relief and nonrelief families]

| Income class | Atlanta | Mobile | Columbis | Gastonia | Albany |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All families. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Under \$500 | 11.7 | 16.8 | 5. 6 | 15.1 | 11.5 |
| \$500-\$999.-. | 19.3 | 21.9 | 18.0 | 32.2 | 22.2 |
| \$1,000-\$1,499. | 17.8 | 19.0 | 16.0 | 25.5 | 16. 7 |
| \$1,500-\$1,999 | 16.2 | 16.5 | 19.6 | 12.2 | 15.5 |
| \$2,000-\$2,999. | 20.4 | 14.8 | 19.7 | 9.2 | 19.5 |
| \$3,000-\$4,999 .-. | 11.6 | 8.1 | 15.6 | - 4.1 | 11.8 |
| \$5,000 and over. | 3.0 | 2.9 | 5.5 | 1. 7 | 2.8 |
| Median income | \$1,536 | \$1,282 | \$1,760 | \$1,043 | \$1,485 |

${ }^{1}$ Income figures do not include the value of direct relief either in cash or kind or the value of food, clothing, and fuel received without direct expense to the family. See footnote 7, p. 100.

TThe income shown for families in these low income levels does not include the value of direct relief either
in cash or in kind. Neither does it include gifts of food, clothing, fuel, etc. An estimate of the value of fuel
and food received without direct expense by nonrelief native white complete families at different income
levels was obtained from white families interviewed for the expenditure data. The averages shown below
are based on all families including those not having free fuel and food.

| Income class | A verage yearly value of fuel and food received without direct expense |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Atlanta |  | Columbia and Mobile |  |
|  | Fuel | Food | Fuel | Food |
| \$250-\$499 | (*) | (*) | $\$ 16$4411 | $\$ 24$2828231816 |
| \$500-8749 |  |  |  |  |
| \$10-\$099- |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,999 |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,000 and over. |  |  |  |  |

[^8]In the income brackets from $\$ 2,000$ upward, significant intercity variations also appear. Whereas more than 40 percent of Columbia's white families obtained incomes amounting to $\$ 2,000$ or more, only 15 percent of the white families in Gastonia secured incomes of this magnitude. Pronounced differences obtained not only in the occupational make-up of these cities, but also in the earnings of specified occupational groups. In Gastonia, the average earnings were lower and the other sources of money income were more limited than in Columbia. ${ }^{8}$

Income of foreign-born white families.-Despite the relative infrequency in the Southeast of families of foreign-born birth, ${ }^{9}$ it is of interest to note that the few foreign families in the cities surveyed received higher median incomes than did the native white group. This favorable situation of the foreign-born families in the Southeast was due mainly to the occupations from which they derived their incomes, more than half of the foreign families belonging to the salaried and independent business classes, and less than a third to the wage-earner group. Although the medians for the foreign group were based upon relatively few cases, the fact that the figures were consistently higher for the foreign families in each city suggests a real difference in this direction. ${ }^{10}$ The median incomes of the nativity groups are shown below for the three cities in which the sample of foreign born was $1^{\text {sufficiently large to warrant comparisons: }}$

|  | Native | Foreign born |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Atlanta | \$1, 523 | \$1, 696 |
| Mobile | 1, 269 | 1, 400 |
| Columbia | 1, 742 | 2,375 |

Proportion of white families receiving relief.-With the high average income shown for white families, it is not surprising to find that the proportion of relief cases among the white group was markedly lower than for the family population as a whole--one in every 8 or 10 white families in these Southeast cities secured public assistance during the year. Compared with the wide variations in average income and occupational make-up of the population the intercity differences in proportions of families receiving relief were not very great. Atlanta, with 13 percent of its white families listed on the relief rolls at some time during the year had the highest dependency ratio, while Mobile,

[^9]with 10 percent receiving relief had the lowest. The proportions in each city were as follows:

|  | Percent |
| :---: | :---: |
| Atlanta | 13. 0 |
| Mobile | 10. 0 |
| Columbia | 10.6 |
| Gastonia. | 12. 1 |
| Albany | 12.3 |

Income of Negro families.--The median incomes of Negroes in these Southeast cities were from one-fifth to two-fifths as large as the medians for white families. The medians ranged from $\$ 323$ in Albany to $\$ 476$ in Atlanta. Negro families in Columbia, Mobile, and Gastonia obtained median incomes of $\$ 454, \$ 400$, and $\$ 414$, respectively. It is not to be concluded that incomes of this magnitude represented all the current resources of these families since amounts of direct relief, either in cash or kind as well as gifts of food, clothing, etc., were not evaluated and included in these income figures. Neither was the value of garden food produced and consumed by families ascertained in this survey of income. ${ }^{11}$

Table 3.-Income of Negro families, percentage distribution, and median income ${ }^{1}$
[Relief and nonrelief families]

| Income class | Atlante | Mobile | Columbia | Gastonia | Albany |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All families. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Under \$250 | 21.7 | 23.8 | 25.9 | 26.7 | 39.6 |
| \$250-\$499. | 31.3 | 43.7 | 29.6 | 35.6 | 35.6 |
| \$500-\$749. | 20.1 | 17.3 | 24.1 | 26.3 | 14.3 |
| \$750-\$999. | 12.6 | 7.9 | 10.3 | 6.2 | 5.1 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 6.1 | 3.6 | 4.6 | 2.9 | 2.2 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 3.5 | 1.2 | 2.1 | . 7 | 1.1 |
| \$1,500 and over. | 4.7 | 2.5 | 3.4 | 1.6 | 2.1 |
| Median income | \$476 | \$400 | \$454 | \$414 | \$323 |

[^10]| Income class | Average yearly value of fuel and food received without direct expense |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Atlanta |  | Columbia and Mobile |  |
|  | Fuel | Food | Fuel | Food |
| Under \$250. | \$14 | \$31 | \$5 | \$41 |
| \$250-\$499 | 4 | 43 | 2 | 17 |
| \$500-\$749. | 1 | 32 | 3 | 13 |
| \$750-\$999 | 2 | 51 | 1 | 25 |
| \$1,000-\$1,999. | 3 | 56 | 3 | 33 |
| \$2,000 and over. | 1 | 18 | 4 | 14 |

In all five of the cities at least a fifth (and in Albany two-fifths) of the Negro families had incomes less than $\$ 250$; more than half, as indicated by the medians, were in the income brackets under $\$ 500$. Only from 1.5 to 4.7 percent obtained $\$ 1,500$ or more. With this concentration of Negro families in the lowest income bands there was little room for wide variations in income distribution among the five cities, but the contrast between the large and the small Georgia cities is worth noting.

Albany and Atlanta represented the two extremes both with respect to average income and with regard to the distribution by income classes. The median income of the former city amounted to an average of $\$ 27$ per month for Negro families while that of the latter was equivalent to \$40. Whereas three-fourths of the Negro families in Albany secured less than $\$ 500$ during the year, one-half of Atlanta's Negro families received such low incomes. The portion of the population included in the higher income brackets of $\$ 1,000$ and over also varied significantly in these two communities ( 5 percent in Albany and 14 percent in Atlanta). Whether these differences in reported income are compensated for by amount of direct relief obtained or by nonmoney income such as home-produced foods in the less densely populated smaller community cannot be determined from the data at hand.

In view of the concentration of the Negro families in the lower income groups the distribution of the whites and Negroes within given income classes may be expected to vary considerably at different income levels. Such an analysis is presented in table 4. The income class under $\$ 500$, it will be seen, was composed very largely of Negro families in these Southeast cities. The drop in the proportion of families classified as Negro was considerable in each income bracket above $\$ 1,000$. The income bracket of $\$ 3,000$ or more contained no Negro families in Gastonia and less than 1 percent in Albany.

Table 4.-Percentage of families in specified income classes which were Negro families ${ }^{1}$
[All families, relief and nonrelief]

| Income class | Atlanta | Mobile | Columbia | Gastonia | Albany |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All income classes. | 32.9 | 41.8 | 37.8 | 24.0 | 59.0 |
| Under $\$ 500$. | 69.0 | 74.2 | 85.7 | 56.5 | 90.4 |
| \$500-\$999 .- | 45.5 | 45.3 | 53.8 | 24.2 | 55.7 |
| \$1,000-\$1,999 | 15.0 | 11.1 | 13.0 | 4.0 | 16.9 |
| \$2,000-\$2,999 | 3.6 | 4.4 | 3.3 | . 8 | 5. 7 |
| \$3,000 and over | 1.4 | . 8 | . 6 |  | . 9 |

[^11]Proportion of Negro families receiving relief.-Due to the low average income of Negro families in these Southeast cities, it is not surprising to find the proportion receiving relief at some time during the year much higher than that among the white families. In fact, the number of Negro families obtaining relief ran as high as one in three in Atlanta. This proportion is almost three times as great as the percentage of relief families in the native white group in Atlanta. In the other cities, however, the incidence of relief among Negro families was considerably lower than in Atlanta and the difference between the two racial groups was less. In Mobile and Columbia relief recipients were about twice as frequent, proportionately, in the Negro group as in the white, while in the smallest cities the proportion of Negro relief families was approximately 50 percent greater than the proportion of white relief families. The following proportions of Negro families received relief at some time during the year:

|  | Percent |
| :---: | :---: |
| Atlanta | 34. 1 |
| Mobile | 23. 2 |
| Columbia | 19.2 |
| Gastonia | 17. 3 |
| Albany | 18. 1 |

Since well over half of the Negro families in these communities received less than $\$ 500$ during the year, it is evident that a substantial portion of these Negro families maintained themselves independent of relief on less than $\$ 500$ current income. Since most of the Negroes fell in the wage-earner category it is unlikely that they had accumulated savings in other years upon which they could draw as was observed to be the case among native white families in the lowest income bracket.

The low income of the Negro families is associated both with a high proportion of wage earners and with low earnings over the yearly period. Sources of money income other than earnings contributed very little to Negro family revenue.

Income of native white complete families.-When we restrict our analysis to families containing both husband and wife (the group from which the major portion of the schedules were secured and from which the expenditure data of succeeding volumes were obtained) we find a relatively favored economic group. The midpoint in the income distribution of the native white complete families amounted to as much as $\$ 1,876$ (in Columbia) while the lowest figure of $\$ 1,087$ represented the median in Gastonia (table 5). In all cities except Gastonia, the median for native white complete families exceeded that for all white families by between $\$ 115$ and $\$ 175$; in Gastonia the differ-
ence amounted to only $\$ 44$ when the families without both husband and wife and the foreign born were omitted. ${ }^{12}$

Even among this comparatively homogeneous group of native white complete families, wide intercity variations in the income pattern exist (see table 5). One in six native white complete families in Gastonia, for example, either received relief or secured less than $\$ 500$ for the year while only 1 in 10 of Columbia's families fell in these categories. At the other end of the income scale, $\$ 3,000$ or over, proportionately about three times as many families in the latter city as in the former obtained incomes of this magnitude. As later analyses will show, these intercity differences are explainable primarily in terms of occupational composition of the population, the mill town of Gastonia having a much higher percentage of wage earners with irregular incomes and low wage rates than the capital city of Columbia, which contained a comparatively large percentage of business and professional workers.

Table 5.--Income of native complete families, percentage distribution, and median income

| Income class | Atlanta | Mobile | Columbia | Gastonia | Albany |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Relief families. | 13.4 | 9.4 | 8.3 | 11.0 | 11.2 |
| Nonrelief families. | 86.6 | 90.6 | 91.7 | 89.0 | 88.8 |
| Under $\$ 500$. | 2.2 | 4.8 | 1.3 | 5.7 | 2. 4 |
| \$500-\$999 | 11.9 | 19.1 | 12.7 | 29.1 | 14.3 |
| \$1,000-\$1,499 | 15. 7 | 20.3 | 14.6 | 24.4 | 16.2 |
| \$1,500-\$1,999 | 17.7 | 17.3 | 18.0 | 13.4 | 18.7 |
| \$2,000-\$2,999 | 22. 5 | 17.5 | 23.0 | 9.5 | 21.2 |
| \$3,000-\$4,999 | 13. 2 | 8.8 | 16. 6 | 4.9 | 12.4 |
| \$5,000 and over | 3.4 | 2.8 | 5.5 | 2.0 | 3.6 |
| Median income_ | \$1, 706 | \$1, 419 | \$1,876 | \$1,087 | \$1,661 |
| All families.-.----.----------- | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Relier families | 33.0 | 23.2 | 19.9 | 15.5 | 17.8 |
| Nonrelief families. | 67.0 | 76.8 | 80.1 | 84.5 | 82.2 |
| Under \$250. | 2.4 | 7.7 | 4.0 | 6.8 | 13.7 |
| \$250-\$499. | 10.7 | 24.6 | 20.6 | 31.7 | 32.7 |
| \$500-\$749. | 19.9 | 22.9 | 28.5 | 30.7 | 21.4 |
| \$750-\$999 | 15.8 | 11.4 | 13.4 | 7.5 | 6.5 |
| \$1,000-\$1,499. | 11.4 | 6.8 | 8.5 | 5.8 | 4.9 |
| \$1,500 and over | 6.8 | 3.4 | 5.1 | 2.0 | 3.0 |
| Median income | \$632 | \$481 | \$576 | \$495 | \$425 |

[^12]Income of Negro complete families.-When the Negro sample is limited to families containing both husband and wife the median incomes were raised by as much as one-third above those shown for all Negro families in these Southeast cities. The average for this selected sample ranged between $\$ 425$ (Albany) and $\$ 632$ (Atlanta). Since the income range was not very broad, the distribution does not reveal any distinct patterns. Between 25 and 35 percent of these Negro families either received less than $\$ 250$ during the year, or obtained public assistance. Except for the Atlanta Negroes, one-fifth to one-third averaged from $\$ 250$ to $\$ 500$. The top income of $\$ 1,500$ or more fell to only a very small percentage of these Negro complete families-at the most- 7 percent in Atlanta, and in the other communities as few as 2,3 , or 5 percent obtained incomes of this magnitude.

## Chapter III

## Occupational Groups and Family Income

The occupational composition of the city, reflecting its industrial make-up, ranks with racial composition as an important factor influencing the distribution of families by income in the five Southeastern cities. The larger the wage-earner group in the city, in general, the smaller is the median income; while the larger the professional and salaried business groups, the higher the median income.

It is possible to reveal significant relationships among the major social and economic segments of the population without presenting detailed data on wages and salaries in specific trades or professions. For this purpose, seven broad occupational classifications were distinguished in the present study: (1) Wage earner; (2) clerical and kindred pursuits; (3) independent business; (4) independent professional; (5) salaried business; (6) salaried professional; (7) "other"that is, those whose income was not derived from an occupation and also some farm operators and sharecroppers living within the city limits. ${ }^{1}$

Families were classified in these seven groups according to the occupation from which the major part of the family earnings was derived. In general the occupational classification of the family coincided with that of the principal earner. There were cases, however, in which the combined earnings of two supplementary earners having the same occupational classification exceeded the earnings of the chief breadwinner and thus determined the occupation in which the family was classed. For example, if a carpenter (wage earner) had earnings of $\$ 1,800$, while his two daughters, living at home and pooling their incomes with their father's, together earned $\$ 2,500$ as stenographers (clerical), the family would be classified as clerical.

[^13]
## Occupational Composition

As a background for later analysis of the income pattern of each occupational group, we shall first consider the occupational make-up of the total family population, white and Negro groups combined (see table 6). In none of the five cities, it will be noted (not even in the cultural and commercial centers like Columbia and Atlanta), were less than half of the families in the wage-earner group. ${ }^{2}$ That is, the business and industry of each of the cities required that earners in at least half of the families engage in wage-earner pursuits; and in the mill town of Gastonia, almost three-fourths of the families were supported mainly by wage-earner occupations.

The wage-earner group among the five cities ranged in size from 52 percent in Atlanta to 73 percent in Gastonia. The proportion of families dependent upon clerical occupations varied from 11 percent in Gastonia to 20 percent in Atlanta. Since the clerical occupational group included salesmen as well as office workers, its size, together with that of the business group, is in a rough way an index of the relative importance of commercial transactions in a city.

Table 6.-Percentage distribution and median income of families by occupational group
[All families, relief and nonrelief]
a. PEROENTAGE DISTRIBUTION BY OCOUPATIONAL GROUP

| Occupational group | Atlanta | Mobile | $\underset{\text { bia }}{\substack{\text { Colum }}}$ | Gastonia | Albany |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All occupational groups | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Wage earner | 51.6 | 59.3 | 55.0 | 73.4 | 68.5 |
| Olerical. | 20.5 | 14.7 | 17.1 | 10.8 | 11.1 |
| Independent business | 11.1 | 11.7 | 10.7 | 7.9 | 9.1 |
| Independent professional | 1. 1 | . 9 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 1.0 |
| Salaried business...- | 5.9 | 5.2 | 8.1 | 3.2 | 4.1 |
| Salaried professional | 4.9 | 3.1 | 5.9 | 2.2 | 2.5 |
| Other | 4.9 | 5.1 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 3.7 |

b. MEDIAN INCOME OF OCOUPATIONAL GROUPS

| Occupational group | Atlanta | Mobile | $\begin{gathered} \text { Colum } \\ \text { bia } \end{gathered}$ | Gastonia | Albany |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All families. | \$1,028 | \$726 | \$1,050 | \$824 | \$517 |
| Wage earner. | 708 | 491 | 623 | 692 | 388 |
| Clerical...-.-.-.-.-.-. | 1, 826 | 1,580 | 1,910 | 1,428 | 1,690 |
| Independent business | 1,997 | , 976 | 1,381 | 1,161 | 1,113 |
| Independent professional | 3,070 | 2,594 | 3,309 | 3,000 | 1, 875 |
| Salaried business .---- | 2,853 | 2,508 | 2,910 | 2,500 | 2,560 |
| Salaried professional. | 1,858 | 1, 662 | 1,942 | 1, 424 | 1, 080 |
| Other.-.......... | , 226 | 300 | 875 | 1. 450 | 1,426 |

The independent business group made up between 8 percent of the family population in Gastonia and 12 percent in Mobile. Regardless

[^14]
of whether the city was a highly industrialized manufacturing town like Gastonia, a political and educational center like Columbia, or a commercial center like Albany, the proportion of families in the community which derived their living from independently owned businesses (grocery stores, barber shops, hardware stores, garages, filling stations, and the like) did not vary very far above or below 10 percent in these five Southeastern cities.

The size of the independent professional group-the smallest of all occupational groups in each city-varied between 1 percent in Mobile to twice that figure in Columbia. It is interesting to note that the ratio of salaried professional to independent professional occupations was higher in the metropolitan center of Atlanta than in the smaller industrial center of Gastonia. The reason for this is that professional persons are more likely to be working on a salary basis as employees of institutions, hospitals, corporations, and large businesses in the large centers and as independent practitioners in the smaller cities. Metropolitan centers, furthermore, offer a wider range of social services (which are performed by salaried professional persons, such as librarians, technicians, social workers, teachers, professors, statisticians, and the like) than do smaller cities. This difference may be observed from the following figures which show for each city the percentage of all professional families which were in the independent professional classification:


In striking contrast to the situation which prevailed among these professional families, the proportion of all business families which were in the independent group was high-in fact, never less than half among the white group or less than nine-tenths among the Negroes. The tabulation below shows by cities the proportion of business families which were in the independent business classification:

|  | Atlanta | Mobile | $\underset{\text { bia }}{\text { Colum }}$ | Gastonia | Albany |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All families. | 65.5 | 68.9 | 57.0 | 70.7 | 69.0 |
| White families | 61.0 | 63.4 | 51.2 | 69.0 | 64.0 |
| Negro families. | 93.7 | 96.9 | 95.8 | 100.0 | 97.3 |

The seventh category which includes families without earnings from occupation and those of farm operators and sharecroppers living
within the city limits varied from 1 percent in Gastonia to 5 percent in Mobile. Since this group included the families of persons who had been unemployed throughout the entire year and who received no work-relief, as well as retired persons, its size fluctuated according to the industrial and economic conditions of the city. In the small cities of Gastonia and Albany, 20 and 30 percent, respectively, of the families in this classification were farm operators or sharecroppers. The number varied indirectly with the size of the city, comprising 8 percent, 2 percent, and 1 percent in Columbia, Mobile, and Atlanta, respectively, of all nonrelief families in the "other" category.

The median incomes presented in table 6 above, vary widely for the different occupational groups. The average income secured by families in the independent professional group, for instance, was approximately four or five times as great as that obtained by families classified as wage earners. In the three largest cities, the occupational groups made up of families with gainfully employed members took the same ranks with respect to median income. The highest incomes were attained by the independent professional families while the salaried business and salaried professional groups were second and third, respectively. Families in the independent business classification were less well off, as measured by median income, than were clerical families, but received incomes which averaged higher than those of wage earners. In the two smaller cities of Gastonia and Albany there were slight variations from this pattern.

Within each occupational group, also, considerable intercity variation is evident. The income received by wage-earner families in Albany, for example, was little more than half that secured by Atlanta's families in this group. Similarly the median incomes of families in the professional groups in Columbia were almost twice as great as the average incomes of the corresponding groups in Albany.

Table 7.-Racial composition of each occupational group ${ }^{1}$
[All white and Negro families, relief and nonrelief]

| Occupational group | Atlanta |  | Mobile |  | Columbia |  | Gastonia |  | Albany |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | White | Negro | White | Negro | White | Negro | White | Negro | White | Negro |
| All families. | 67.1 | 32.9 | 58.2 | 41.8 | 62.2 | 37.8 | 76.0 | 24.0 | 41.0 | 59.0 |
| Wage earner | 47.3 | 52.7 | 38.8 | 61.2 | 40.7 | 59.3 | 70.2 | 29.8 | 23.0 | 77.0 |
| Clerical.---- | 95. 2 | 4.8 | 93.9 | 6.1 | 96.2 | 3.8 | 99.0 | 1.0 | 88.8 | 11.2 |
| Independent business | 80.3 | 19.7 | 76.6 | 23.4 | 78.3 | 21.7 | 92.2 | 7.8 | 78.8 | 21.2 |
| Independent professiona | 92.5 | 7.5 | 94.3 | 5.7 | 92.9 | 7.1 | 84.1 | 15.9 | 81.1 | 18.9 |
| Salaried business | 97.5 | 2. 5 | 98.4 | 1. 6 | 98.7 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 98.7 | 1.3 |
| Salaried professional | 80.1 | 19.9 | 80.8 | 19.2 | 73.4 | 26.6 | 69.9 | 30.1 | 48.4 | 51.6 |
| Other. | 72.3 | 27.7 | 77.3 | 22.7 | 73.2 | 26.8 | 58.3 | 41.7 | 58.8 | 41.2 |

1 White and Negro families together constitute 100 percent in each occupational group in each city.
In considering the occupational distribution and median income of all families, it is important to bear in mind differences in the racial
composition of the several occupational groups in the five cities. When we speak of the wage-earner group in Albany, for example, we are referring to a group which was 77 percent Negro, whereas the wage-earner group in Gastonia was only 30 percent colored (see table 7). In all of the cities except Gastonia, however, more than half of the wage-earner families were colored. When we discuss the white wage-earner families, therefore, it is well to remember that we are dealing with less than half of all families deriving their incomes from wage-earner pursuits, except in Gastonia.

Occupational composition of all white families.-In general, the white families in the four cities other than Gastonia followed a similar distribution by occupation (see table 8). Of every 100 families, from 36 to 40 were wage earners; another 24 to 29 were engaged in clerical pursuits; between 30 and 36 derived their chief earnings from business or professional occupations; while the remaining 2 to 7 families in 100 were in general without occupations. The pattern for Gastonia, however, is strikingly different. Here, 68 out of 100 families were wage earners; more than half again as many as in the other cities. Only 14 were classified as clerical; another 17 engaged in business and professional occupations; and 1 was a family classified as "other."

Table 8.-Percentage distribution of white families by occupational group
[All white families, relief and nonrelief]

| Occupational group | Atlanta | Mobile | Columbia | Gastonia | Albany |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All families. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Wage earner | 36.4 | 39.5 | 36.0 | 67.7 | 38.4 |
| Clerical. | 29.2 | 23.7 | 26.4 | 14.2 | 24,0 |
| Independent business. | 13.3 | 15.3 | 13.5 | 9.5 | 17.6 |
| Independent professional | 1.5 | 1.4 | 2.7 | 1.3 | 2.0 |
| Salaried business..-- | 8.5 | 8.9 | 12.8 | 4.3 | 9.9 |
| Salaried professional | 5.8 | 4.4 | 6.9 | 2.0 | 2.9 |
| Other-.. | 5.3 | 6.8 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 5. 2 |

A high percentage of wage earners is to be expected in the manufacturing town of Gastonia where, in 1930, almost two-fifths of the gainful workers were engaged in the textile industry. Atlanta as a railroad, marketing, and financial center and as the seat of educational institutions and regional government activities requires a relatively high proportion of clerical and salaried professional workers. The large proportion of salaried business families in Columbia is due to the fact that this city is the headquarters for regional, division, or territorial offices of many national firms, such as insurance companies, automobile distributors, and the like. The managerial employees and heads of local offices of these corporations thus helped to swell the number of salaried business families as did the managers of local mills and marketing organizations. The large proportion of salaried professional families is accounted for by the presence of numerous Federal,

State, county, and municipal governmental agencies, as well as by the presence of the State university and other schools and colleges.

Occupational composition by nativity of white families.-In only the three largest cities (Atlanta, Mobile, and Columbia), were there enough foreign-born families to warrant any occupational analysis. ${ }^{3}$ Even in these cities the foreign-born were not sufficiently numerous in the occupations other than wage earner and independent business to permit a detailed distribution. It is of interest, however, to note the proportion of white families in which the head was foreign-born which were wage earners and which engaged in independent business as compared with these proportions for the native whites:

| Occupational group | Atlanta |  | Mobile |  | Columbia |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Native | Foreign | Native | Foreign | Native | Foreign |
| Wage earner. | 37.5 | 15.1 | 40.3 | 29.6 | 36.6 | 15.8 |
| Independent business. | 11.8 | 43.4 | 13.3 | 41.0 | 12.9 | 32.2 |
| All other occupations. | 50.7 | 41.5 | 46. 4 | 29.4 | 50.5 | 52.0 |

This greater concentration of the native white families than of the foreign-born in the wage-earner occupations in these three cities is in marked contrast to the situation found in other regions surveyed by the Bureau of Labor Statistics. ${ }^{4}$ The foreign-born families, on the other hand, were more numerous proportionately in the independent business classification. Over four-tenths of all foreign-born white families in Atlanta and Mobile, and over three-tenths in Columbia engaged in independent business. In these three cities, only slightly more than one-tenth of the native white families were entrepreneurs.

Relief and nonrelief occupational composition of native white fami-lies.-In the preceding discussion, families which received direct or work relief at any time during the year, as well as nonrelief families, were classified according to the occupation yielding the major portion of the family's earnings. In some cases, therefore, employment on a work relief project determined the occupational classification of relief families. In other instances, families may have had recourse to public assistance for a brief period only and their occupational classification may have been based on earnings from private industry. Thus it is possible to find relief families in any of the seven occupational groups. For example, a family with a small business might require relief for a short time, and still be classed as in independent business because the earnings from this business exceeded the earnings from work relief. On the other hand, a normally independent earner

[^15]in the business or professional group might perform the same type of work on a relief project for the major portion of the year and thus be classified as salaried business or professional. Furthermore, many persons usually working at white-collar occupations are placed on the more numerous wage-earner projects while receiving relief. It should be borne in mind, therefore, when considering the occupation of families which received relief at any time during 1935-36 that the occupational classification of such families is equivocal and not clear cut. It does, however, represent the occupation from which the major part of their earnings came in the year of the survey. In order to minimize the confusion resulting from classifying relief families by occupation, they are segregated from the nonrelief families in the distribution of native white families in table 9 b .

Table 9.-Percentage distribution of native white families, by occupational group [All native white families, complete and incomplete]
a. ALL NATIVE WHITE FAMILIES

| Occupational group | Atlanta | Mobile | Columbia | Qastonia | Albany |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All families | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Wage earner | 37.5 | 40.3 | 36.6 | 67.7 | 38.4 |
| Clerical. | 29.3 | 24.7 | 26.8 | 14. 2 | 24.0 |
| Independent business | 11.8 | 13.3 | 12.9 | 9.5 | 17.6 |
| Independent professional | 1.6 | 1.1 | 2.8 | 1.3 | 2.0 |
| Salaried business. | 8.5 | 8.8 | 12. 5 | 4.3 | 9.9 |
| Salaried professional. | 5.9 | 4.4 | 6.6 | 2.0 | 2.9 |
| Other | 5.4 | 7.4 | 1.8 | 1.0 | 5. 2 |

b. RELIEF AND NONRELIEF NATIVE WHITE FAMYLIES

| Occupational group | Atlanta |  | Mobile |  | Columbia |  | Gastonia |  | Albany |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nonrelief | Relief | Nonrelief | Relief | Nonrelief | Relief | Nonrelief | Relief | Nonrelief | Relief |
| All families. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Wage earner | 33.5 | 62.6 | 36.6 | 73. 1 | 31.5 | 78.2 | 64.3 | 92.5 | 33.6 | 72.3 |
| Clerical. | 32. 0 | 12.5 | 26.1 | 12.1 | 28.5 | 12.8 | 15.4 | 4.9 | 25. 9 | 10.1 |
| Independent business | 12.3 | 9.0 | 13.9 | 7.6 | 13.8 | 6.0 | 10.6 | 1.7 | 17.8 | 16.0 |
| Independent professional | 1. 8 | . 1 | 1.3 | . 1 | 3. 1 | . 1 | 1. 5 |  | 2.3 |  |
| Salaried business. | 9.6 | 1. 4 | 9.7 | 1. 4 | 13.9 | . 6 | 4. 9 |  | 11.3 |  |
| Salaried protessional | 6.1 | 4. 5 | 4.4 | 4.1 | 7.2 | 2.2 | 2.3 | . 3 | 3.3 | . 5 |
| Other. | 4.7 | 9.9 | 8.0 | 1.6 | 2.0 | . 1 | 1.0 | . 6 | 5.8 | 1.1 |

In each city the proportion of wage-earner families in the relief population was much higher, obviously, than the proportion for the nonrelief families. Except in Gastonia, approximately one-third of the native white self-supporting families were in wage-earner groups; of families which had received public assistance, between 63 and 78 percent engaged in wage-earner pursuits. In Gastonia the difference was also marked ( 64 percent of the nonrelief and 92 percent of the relief families were classified as wage earner). As noted above, this difference results not only from the fact that wage earners are
more likely than other occupational groups to need relief, but also that the kind of work available on relief projects was predominantly of the wage-earner type. The comparatively large proportion of relief families in the salaried professional group in the two largest cities reflects the opportunities for this occupational group, e. g., actors, writers, musicians, teachers, and the like, on work projects. The independent business group, although smaller in every city among the relief than among the nonrelief families, was third in size among the occupational groups in the relief population, being strikingly high in Albany. In none of the cities except Atlanta was there as large a proportion of "other" families among the relief as among the nonrelief families. In Atlanta, however, there were proportionately more than twice as many. Since this occupational classification is a rather heterogeneous one there are several possible explanations for the concentration of one-tenth of the relief families here. First, since the number of families classified in this occupational group which engaged in farming pursuits is smaller in Atlanta than in the other cities, almost all of these families had no earners and, unless voluntarily retired, may have been forced to seek relief. Second, there may have been a larger proportion of unemployable persons or persons unable to find any employment in the metropolitan center than in the smaller cities. Third, it may have been impossible in Atlanta to provide work relief for all employable families, so that the relief group may include a large proportion of unemployed, but employable, families receiving direct relief.

Occupational composition of native Negro families.-The relative infrequency of the foreign-born Negro in the Southeast precludes any analysis of the Negro data on the basis of nativity. For the native Negro, however, table 10 presents an occupational distribution. Approximately eight- to nine-tenths of all the native-born Negro

Table 10.-Percentage distribution of native Negro families, by occupational group
[All native Negro families, relief and nonrelief]

| Occupational group | Atlanta | Mobile | Columbia | Gastonia | Albany |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All families | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Wage earner. | 82.6 | 86.8 | 86.4 | 91.3 | 89.5 |
| Clerical | 3.0 | 2.1 | 1.7 | . 4 | 2.1 |
| Independent business. | 6.7 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 2.6 | 3.3 |
| Independent professional | . 2 | . 1 | . 3 | . 8 | . 3 |
| Salaried business. | . 5 | . 2 | . 3 |  | .1 |
| Salaried professional | 2.9 | 1. 5 | 4.1 | 2.8 | 2. 2 |
| Other | 4.1 | 2.8 | 1.0 | 2.1 | 2.5 |

families in the five cities were wage earners, leaving but a very small proportion in the other occupational groups. ${ }^{5}$ Next in order of size,

[^16]in all of the cities except Gastonia, was the independent business group. Even in the three largest cities, entrepreneurs provided the chief source of income for only 6 or 7 percent of the Negro families. Small grocery or confectionery stores, restaurants and lunchrooms, bicycle shops, shoe-repair shops, and other similar small establishments catering to the Negro population make up most of the occupations of this group. ${ }^{6}$ The relatively greater opportunities for Negroes in the white-collar occupations in Atlanta are illustrated by the comparatively large proportion of Negro clerical families in that city. Many of them are employed by Negro insurance companies which have their main offices in Atlanta. Mail carriers and other types of Federal employees were also included in this group. The salaried professional group among the Negro families consisted in large part of teachers and clergymen. ${ }^{7}$ The presence in Atlanta, Columbia, and Albany of Negro schools and colleges calls for a fairly large staff of salaried professional persons also. Executives and managerial positions were very rare among the Negro earners, few Negro enterprises being of sufficient magnitude to warrant them. Families supported mainly by independent professional occupations were also infrequent. ${ }^{8}$ The proportion of Negro families classified in

[^17]\mp@subsup{}{}{2

``` & Other work not attributable to individuals & All sources & Individual earners & Roomers and boarders and other work \({ }^{3}\) \\
\hline & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) \\
\hline All families. & 517 & 516 & 516 & 43 & 16 & \$517 & \$513 & \$4 \\
\hline Relief families & 80 & 80 & 80 & 7 & 2 & 346 & 343 & 3 \\
\hline Nonrelief families. & 437 & 436 & 436 & 36 & 14 & 548 & 544 & 4 \\
\hline \$0-\$249 & 35 & 35 & 35 & 5 & & 171 & 170 & 1 \\
\hline \$250-\$499 & 164 & 163 & 163 & 11 & 7 & 375 & 372 & 3 \\
\hline \$500-\$749 & 159 & 159 & 159 & 10 & 4 & 581 & 577 & 4 \\
\hline \$750-\$999 & 39 & 39 & 39 & 6 & 2 & 803 & 791 & 12 \\
\hline \$1,000-\$1,249 & 24 & 24 & 24 & 2 & 1 & 987 & 980 & 7 \\
\hline \$1,250-\$1,499. & 6 & 6 & 6 & 1 & & 1,331 & 1,327 & 4 \\
\hline \$1,500-\$1,749 & 7 & 7 & 7 & 1 & & 1,573 & 1,566 & 7 \\
\hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & 1 & 1 & 1 & & & (*) & (*) & \\
\hline \$2,000-\$2,249 & 2 & 2 & 2 & & & (*) & (*) & \\
\hline \$2,250-\$2,499. & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \$2,500-\$2,999. & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \$3,000-\$3,999. & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \$4,000-\$4,999 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \$5,000 and over & & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

1 The averages in each column are based on all tamilies, column (2), whether or not they received money earnings from the specified source.
\({ }^{2}\) Includes only families which had net money earnings from roomers and boarders (i.e., whose gross income from roomers and boarders exceeded estimated expenses). In addition, there were a few families which had roomers and boarders but which received from them no net money earnings.
\({ }^{3}\) Includes net money earnings from roomers and boarders and from other work not attributable to individuals (casual work in home such as laundry and sewing). Average net money earnings of all families from other work not attributable to individuals were \(\$ 1\).
*Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

GASTONIA, N. C.
Table 3A.-Money earnings: Number of families receiving net money earnings and average net money earnings received from each source, by occupation and income, 1995-36
[Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]


\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) The averages in each column are based on all families, column (2), whether or not they received money earnings from the specified source.
\({ }_{2}\) Includes only families which had net money earnings from roomers and boarders (i. e., whose gross income from roomers and boarders exceeded estimated expenses). In addition, there were some families which had roomers and boarders but which had no net money earnings from them.
\({ }_{3}\) Includes net money earnings from roomers and boarders and from other work not attributable to individuals (casual work in home such as laundry and sewing). A verage net money earnings of all nonrelief families from other work not attributable to individuals, wage-earner families, \(\$ 1\).
*Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
}

\author{
GASTONIA, N. C.
}

Table 4-4A.-Principal earners: Number of principal earners, classified as husbands, wives, and others, with weeks of employment and average yearly earnings of principal earners, by occupation and income, 1935-36
[Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]


1 The total number of principal earners given in column (3) is equivalent to the total number of families having individual earners, since a family can have only 1 principal earner. The difference between the totals in columns (2) and (3) is explained by the fact that column (2), number of families, includes cases in which none of the family income was attributable to individual earners.
\({ }^{3}\) Averages in this column are based on the number of principal earners reporting weeks of employment.
\({ }^{3}\) Averages in this section of the table are based on the corresponding counts of principal earners in columns
(3) and (4).
"Includes 6 families classified in the occupational group "Other." These families had 5 principal earners.
*Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

\section*{GASTONIA, N. C.}

Table 5.-Number of earners in family: Number of families with specified number of individual earners, family relationship of sole earners, and average number of supplementary earners per family, by income, 1935-36
[Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]

\({ }^{1}\) This percentage was computed by dividing the sum of columns (8), (9), and (10) by column (4) of table 3 on p. 434.
\({ }_{2}^{2}\) Based on the number of families with individual earners, column (4), of table 3 on p. 434.
\(\dagger\) Percentages not computed for fewer than 30 cases.
*Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

GASTONIA, N. C.
Table 6.-Sole and supplementary earners: Number of families with individual earners; number of supplementary earners classified as husbands, wives, and others; average earnings of supplementary earners; and average earnings of family from supplementary earners; by income, 1935-36
[Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{4}{*}{Income class

(1)} & \multirow{3}{*}{\[
\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& \text { Num } \\
& \text { ber of } \\
& \text { fami- } \\
& \text { lies }
\end{aligned}\right.
\]} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Number of families with individual earners} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{- Number of supplementary earners} & \multirow[b]{4}{*}{Average earnings of all supplementary} & \multirow[b]{4}{*}{Average earnings per family from mentary earners \({ }^{4}\)} \\
\hline & & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Any} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{One only} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{More than one \({ }^{1}\)} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{All} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Hus-} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Wives} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Others \({ }^{2}\)} & & \\
\hline & & & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Any } \\
\text { family } \\
\text { mem. } \\
\text { ber }
\end{gathered}
\] & Husband & & & & & Male & \[
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{Fe}- \\
\text { male }
\end{gathered}
\] & & \\
\hline & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) & & \\
\hline All families.. & 517 & 516 & 211 & 193 & 305 & 398 & 52 & 235 & 47 & 64 & \$130 & \$100 \\
\hline Relief families \(\qquad\) Nonrelief families. & \[
\begin{array}{r}
80 \\
437
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
80 \\
436
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
38 \\
173
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
31 \\
162
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
42 \\
263
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
58 \\
340
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 12 \\
& 40
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
26 \\
209
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{1 0} \\
& 37
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 10 \\
& 54
\end{aligned}
\] & 86
137
18 & 62
107 \\
\hline \[
\$ 0-\$ 249
\]
\[
\$ 250-\$ 499
\] & 35
164
1 & 35
163 & 16
82 & 13
76 & 19
81 & 22
96 & 9
16 & 10
66 & 1
6 & \({ }_{8}^{2}\) & 48
98 & 30
52 \\
\hline \$500-\$749.. & 159 & 159 & 61 & 60 & 98 & 116 & 5 & 87 & 9 & 15 & 116 & 84 \\
\hline \$750-\$999 ........ & 39 & 39 & & & 32 & 48 & 2 & 26 & 9 & 11 & 166 & 205 \\
\hline \$1,000-\$1,249 & 24 & 24 & 4 & 3 & 20 & 32 & 5 & 12 & 7 & 8 & 272 & 363 \\
\hline \$1,250-\$1,499 & 6 & 6 & 1 & 1 & 5 & 12 & 1 & 4 & 1 & 6 & 250 & 501 \\
\hline \$1,500-\$1,749 & 7 & 7 & & & 7 & 11 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 331 & 520 \\
\hline \$1,750-81,999 & & 1 & & 2 & 1 & 3 & & 1 & 1 & 1 & 87 & \\
\hline \$2,250-\$2,499.... & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \$2,500-\$2,999 & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \$3,000-83,999.- & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \$4,000-\$4,999 & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \$5,000 and over-- & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Families that have supplementary earners.
\({ }^{2}\) Includes 2 males and no females under 16 years of age.
3 Averages in this column are based on the corresponding counts of supplementary earners in column (7).
A verages in this column are based on the number of families in each class, column (2).
*Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
}

GASTONIA, N. C.
Table 6A.-Sole and supplementary earners: Number of families with individual earners; number of supplementary earners classified as husbands, wives, and others; average earnings of supplementary earners; and average earnings of family from supplementary earners; by occupation and income, 1935-36
[Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]

\({ }^{1}\) A verages in this column are based on the corresponding counts of supplementary earners in column (6).
\({ }_{2}\) A verages in this column are based on the number of families in each class, column (2).
3 Families that have supplementary earners.
4 Includes persons under 16 years of age as follows: Wage-earner families, 1 male and no females.
*A verages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
\[
78078^{\circ}-39-29
\]

GASTONIA, N. C.
Table 7.-Earnings of supplementary earners: Number of supplementary earners with earnings of specified amount, by family income, 要 1935-36
[Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Income class} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Number of families with any supplementary earners} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Average earnings of supplementary earners} & \multicolumn{15}{|c|}{Number of supplementary earners with earnings of-} \\
\hline & & & Any amount & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Under } \\
\$ 50
\end{gathered}
\] & \$50-\$99 & \[
\begin{gathered}
\$ 100- \\
\$ 199
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\$ 200- \\
\$ 299
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\$ 300- \\
\$ 399
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \$ 400- \\
& \$ 499
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \$ 500- \\
& \$ 599
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\$ 600- \\
\$ 699
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \$ 700- \\
& \$ 799
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \$ 800- \\
& \$ 899
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \$ 900- \\
& \$ 999
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \$ 1,000- \\
& \$ 1,499
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \$ 1,500- \\
& \$ 1,999
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\$ 2,000 \\
\text { and } \\
\text { over }
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) & (12) & (13) & (14) & (15) & (16) & (17) & (18) \\
\hline All families. & 305 & \$130 & 398 & 87 & 91 & 144 & 48 & 16 & 6 & 3 & 3 & & & & & & \\
\hline Relief families & 42 & 86 & 58 & 24 & 14 & 16 & 3 & 1 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Nonrelief families. & 263 & 137 & 340 & 63 & 77 & 128 & 45 & 15 & 6 & 3 & 3 & & & & & -- & -------- \\
\hline \$0-\$249... & 19 & 48 & 22 & 12 & 8 & 2 & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \$500-8749 & 98 & 116 & 116 & 21 & 32 & 47 & 14 & 2 & & - & & & & & & & \\
\hline \$750-\$099 & 32 & 166 & 48 & 5 & 4 & 21 & 15 & 3 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \$1,000-\$1,249. & 20 & 272 & 32 & 1 & 2 & 6 & 10 & 7 & 5 & 1 & & & & & & & \\
\hline \$1,250-\$1,499 & 5 & 250 & 12 & & & 7 & 1 & 3 & & & 1 & & & & & & \\
\hline \$1,500-\$1,749. & 7 & 331 & 11 & & 1 & 3 & 2 & & 1 & 2 & 2 & ---- & & & & & \\
\hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & 1 & 87 & 3 & & 2 & 1 & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \$ 2,000-\$ 2,249 \\
& \$ 2,250-\$ 2,499
\end{aligned}
\] & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \$ 2,250-\$ 2,499 \\
& \$ 2,500-\$ 2,999
\end{aligned}
\] & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \$3,000-\$3,999. & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \$4,000-\$4,999. & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \$5,000 and over. & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \$0,0 and over. & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

GASTONIA, N. C.
Table 8.-Husbands as earners: Number and average yearly earnings of husbands classified as principal or supplementary earners,by age and family income, 1935-36
[Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]


1 Excludes 1 principal earner who did not report age.
: A verages for each age groups are based on the corresponding numbers of husbands in the upper section of the table; the 2 averages for all age groups combined are based on the corresponding total numbers of husbands including the one who did not report age.
*Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

\section*{GAStonia, N. C.}

Table 9.-Wives as earners: Number and average yearly earnings of wives classified as principal or supplementary earners, by age and family income, 1935-96
[Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined)


1 Averages for each age group are based on the corresponding numbers of wives in the upper section of the table; the 2 averages for all age groups combined are based on the corresponding total numbers of wives.
a verages not computed for fewer than 3 cases

\section*{GASTONIA, N. C.}

Table 10.-Money income other than earnings: Number of families receiving money income other than earnings, and average amount received, by source and total income, 1935-96 \({ }^{1}\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Income class} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Number of families} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Number of families receiving money income other than earnings from-} & \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Average money income, other than earnings, received from \({ }^{2}\)} \\
\hline & & \begin{tabular}{l}
Any source \\
(3)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Rent from property (net) \\
(4)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Interest and divi. dends \\
(5)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Pensions, annuities, benefits \\
(6)
\end{tabular} & Gifts for for current use (7) & \(\underset{\text { sources }}{\text { All }}\) & Rent from property (net) (9) & Interest and divi(10) & \begin{tabular}{l}
Pensions, annuities, benefits \\
(11)
\end{tabular} & Gifts for current use & Miscelsources \({ }^{3}\) (13) \\
\hline All families & 517 & 30 & 11 & 2 & 7 & 9 & \$8 & \$3 & (*) & \$4 & (**) & \$1 \\
\hline Relief families Nonrelief families. & \[
\begin{gathered}
80 \\
437
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
3 \\
27
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
1 \\
10
\end{array}
\] & 2 & 1 & 9 & 8 & \({ }_{3}^{1}\) & (**) & \begin{tabular}{l}
5 \\
5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} & (**) & (**) \\
\hline \$0-\$249.. & & & & & & & 2 & & & & & \\
\hline \$250-4499. & 164 & 7 & 1 & & & \({ }_{3}^{2}\) & 4 & & & 4 & \({ }_{(* * *}^{* * *}\) & \\
\hline \$750-\$8999- & 159
39 & 7 & \({ }_{3}^{1}\) & 1 & 1 & 1 & 27 & (1) 26 & (*) & 1 & (**) & (**) \\
\hline \$1,000-\$1,249 & 24 & 4 & 2 & 1 & 1 & ........- & 53 & 12 & 1 & 40 & & --....... \\
\hline \$1,250-\$1,499- & \(\stackrel{6}{7}\) & 2 & 2 & - & & & 23 & 23 & & & & \\
\hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & 1 & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \$2,000-\$2,249 & 2 & 1 & 1 & & & & (*) & (*) & & & & \\
\hline \$2,500-\$2,999 & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \$3,000-\$3,999 & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \$4,000-\$4,999 & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \$5,000 and over- & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

See glossary for definition of "money income other than earnings."
Averages are based on all families, column (2), whether or not they received money income other than earnings.
\({ }^{3}\) Includes money income other than earnings from sources other than those specified, including profits from business enterprises partially or wholly owned but not operated by family members. See glossary for further definition of "profts.'
* A verages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
**\$0.50 or Jess.

\section*{GASTONIA, N. C.}

Table 11.-Nonmoney income from owned homes: Number of families owning homes with and without mortgages, average rental value, average expense, and average nonmoney income from home ownership; by income, 1935-96
[Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Income class

(1)} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Number of families} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Homes free from mortgage} & \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{Mortgaged homes} \\
\hline & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{All
(2)} & \multirow{2}{*}{Owning homes 1} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Families owning homes free from mortgage} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Average rental value \({ }^{2}\) \\
(6)
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Average expense \({ }^{3}\) \\
(7)
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Average nonmoney income \({ }^{4}\) \\
(8)
\end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Families owning mortgaged homes} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Average rental value \({ }^{2}\) \\
(11)
\end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{A verage expense \({ }^{3}\)} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Average nonmoney income \({ }^{4}\) \\
(14)
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Interest as percentage of rental value \\
(15)
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline & & & \begin{tabular}{l}
Number \\
(4)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Percentage \({ }^{\text {8 }}\) \\
(5)
\end{tabular} & & & & \begin{tabular}{l}
Number \\
(9)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Percentage \({ }^{5}\) \\
(10)
\end{tabular} & & \begin{tabular}{l}
Interest \\
(12)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Other \\
(13)
\end{tabular} & & \\
\hline All families...------------------ & 517 & 119 & 64 & 54 & \$143 & \$63 & \$80 & 55 & 46 & \$127 & \$37 & \$60 & \$30 & 29 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Relief families. \\
Nonrelief families.
\end{tabular} & 80
437 & 6
113 & 1
63 & \({ }^{(\dagger)} 56\) & \({ }^{*}{ }^{*} 145\) & \({ }^{*}{ }^{*}{ }^{6} 64\) & \(\left.{ }^{*}\right)^{81}\) & 5
50 & \({ }^{(\dagger)} 44\) & 149 & 18
39 & 65
59 & 66
27 & 12
31 \\
\hline \$0-\$249 & 35 & 7 & 3 & ( \(\dagger\) & 120 & 61 & 59 & 4 & ( \(\dagger\) & 102 & 66 & 58 & -22 & 65 \\
\hline \$250-\$499 & 164 & 25 & 14 & ( \(\dagger\) ) & 138 & 64 & 74 & 11 & ( \(\dagger\) & 102 & 33 & 55 & 14 & 33 \\
\hline \$500-\$749 & 159 & 40 & 19 & 48 & 131 & 62 & 69 & 21 & & 128 & 35 & 59 & 34 & 27 \\
\hline \$750-\$999 & 39 & 21 & 12 & ( \(\dagger\) ) & 159 & 67 & 92 & 9 & ( \(\dagger\) ) & 134 & 38 & 59 & +37 & 29 \\
\hline \$1,000-\$1,249. & 24 & 12 & 10 & ( \(\dagger\) ) & \({ }^{164}\) & \({ }^{*} 67\) & ** 97 & 2 & (t) & \({ }^{*}\) *) & (*) & - \({ }^{*}\) ) & (*) & 24 \\
\hline \$1,250-\$1,499 & 6 & 3 & 2 & ( \(\dagger\) ) & (*) & (*) & (*) & 1 & (i) & (*) & (*) & \({ }^{*}\) *) & (*) & 53 \\
\hline \$1,500-\$1,749 & 7 & 4 & 2 & ( \(\dagger\) & (*) & (*) & (*) & 2 & ( \(\dagger\) ) & (*) & (*) & (*) & (*) & 29 \\
\hline \$2,000-\$2,249 & 2 & 1 & 1 & ( \(\dagger\) & (*) & \({ }^{*}{ }^{-\cdots--}\) & (*) \(^{-\cdots}\) & & & & & & & \\
\hline \$2,250-\$2,499. & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \$2,500-\$2,999.. & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \$3,000-\$3,999. & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \$4,000-\$4,999.. & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \$5,000 and over-- & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

1 Includes all families occupying owned homes at any time during the report year, but excludes 2 families whose expenses exactly equaled the annual rental value of their homes.
*Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
\(\dagger\) Percentages not computed for fewer than 30 cases.

\section*{GASTONIA, N. C.}

Table 12.-Monthly rental value: Number of home-owning families having homes with specified monthly rental value, by income, \(1995-86^{1}\)
[Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All cccupational groups and all family types combined]
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{2}{*}{Income class} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Number of homeowning and renting families} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Home-owning families} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Average monthly rental value of owned homes \({ }^{2}\) \\
(5)
\end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{12}{|c|}{Number of home-owning families reporting monthly rental salue of-} \\
\hline & & Number & Percentage \({ }^{3}\) & & \[
\underset{\$ 5}{\text { Under }}
\] & \$5-\$9 & \$10-\$14 & \$15-\$19 & \$20-\$24 & \$25-\$29 & \$30-\$34 & \$35-\$39 & \$40-\$44 & \$45-\$54 & \$55-\$74 & \(\$ 75\) and over \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) & (12) & (13) & (14) & (15) & (16) & (17) \\
\hline All families_ & 510 & 120 & 24 & \$11. 50 & 3 & 31 & 52 & 26 & 5 & 3 & & & & & & \\
\hline Relief families & 77 & 7 & 9 & 10.60 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & & 1 & ------ & & & & & \\
\hline Nonrelief families. & 433 & 113 & 26 & 11. 60 & 1 & 29 & 51 & 25 & 5 & 2 & ------- & & & & & -------- \\
\hline \$0-\$249. & 35 & 7 & 20 & 9.10 & & 4 & 2 & 1 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \$250-\$499. & 162 & 25 & 15 & 10. 40 & 1 & 8 & 10 & 5 & 1 & & & & & & & \\
\hline \$500-\$749 & 157 & 40 & 26 & 11. 00 & & 10 & 22 & 6 & 1 & 1 & & & & & & \\
\hline \$750-8989 & 39 & 21 & (t) 54 & 12. 80 & & 4 & 10 & 5 & 2 & , & & & & & & \\
\hline \$1,000-\$1,249 & 24 & 12 & (t) & 13.80 & & 2 & 4 & 5 & & 1 & & & & & & \\
\hline \$1,250-\$1,499 & 6 & 3 & ( \(\dagger\) & 10. 00 & & 1 & 2 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \$1,500-\$1,749 & 7 & 4 & \((\dagger)\) & 15.50 & & & 1 & 2 & 1 & ------ & & & & & & \\
\hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & 1 & 1 & \((\dagger)\) & (*) & & & & 1 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \$2,250-\$2,499 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \$2,500-\$2,999 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \$3,000-\$3,999 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \$4,000-\$4,999 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \$5,000 and over. & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline ,om and ovor. & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\({ }^{1}\) Includes only those families that did not change living quarters between the end of the report year and the date of interview. Families are classified as home-owning farnilies or as renting families according to their status at the date of interview.
\({ }^{2}\) Based on estimate made by home owner for period of ownership and occupancy during report year. Averages are based on the number of home-owning families, column (3)
\({ }^{3}\) Based on the number of home-owning and renting families, column (2).
\(\dagger\) Percentages not computed for fewer than 30 cases.
*A verages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

\section*{GASTONIA, N. C.}

Table 13.-Monthly rent: Number of renting families reporting specified monthly rent, by income, 1935-36 \({ }^{1}\)
[Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Income class} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Number of homeowning and renting families} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Renting families} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Average monthly rent \({ }^{2}\)} & \multicolumn{13}{|c|}{Number of renting families reporting monthly rent of-} \\
\hline & & Number & Percent- & & Under & \$5-\$9 & \$10-\$14 & \$15-\$19 & \$20-\$24 & \$25-\$29 & \$30-\$34 & \$35-\$39 & \$40-\$44 & \$45-\$54 & \$55-\$74 & \(\$ 75\)
and & Rent \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) & (12) & (13) & (14) & (15) & (16) & (17) & (18) \\
\hline All families & 510 & 390 & 76 & \$6. 70 & 110 & 228 & 47 & 2 & 1 & 2 & ------ & & & & & & \\
\hline Relief families. & 77 & 70 & 91 & 6.00 & 24 & 41 & 5 & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Nonrelief families. & 433 & 320 & 74 & 6.90 & 86 & 187 & 42 & 2 & 1 & 2 & & & & & & & \\
\hline \$0-\$249 & 35 & 28 & 80 & 6. 30 & 7 & 20 & 1 & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \$250-\$499 & 162 & 137 & 85 & 6. 30 & 42 & 81 & 13 & 1 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \$500-\$749 & 157 & 117 & 74 & 6. 70 & 31 & 72 & 14 & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \$750-\$999 & 39 & 18 & 46 & 7.80 & 5 & 7 & 6 & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \$1,000-\$1,249 & \({ }^{24}\) & 12 & \(\stackrel{(\dagger)}{+}\) & 11. 80 & 1 & 6 & 4 & 1 & 1 & ......- & & & & & & & ------- \\
\hline \$1,500-\$1,749 & 7 & 3 & ( \(\dagger\) ) & 14. 70 & & 1 & & & & 1 & & & & & & & \\
\hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & 1 & 1 & ( \({ }_{\text {( }}\) ) & \({ }^{*}\) * & & & 1 & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \$2,000-\$2,249 & 2 & 1 & ( \(\dagger\) & (*) & & & & & & 1 & & & & & & & \\
\hline \$2,250-\$2,499 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \$ 2,500-\$ 2,999 \\
& \$ 3,000-\$ 3,999
\end{aligned}
\] & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \$3,000-\$3,999 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \$5,000 and over. & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{18}{|l|}{\({ }^{1}\) Includes only those families that did not change living quarters between the end of the report year and the date of interview. Families are classified as home-owning families} \\
\hline \multicolumn{18}{|l|}{or as renting families according to their status at the date of interview.} \\
\hline \multicolumn{18}{|l|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{amount of which was estimated by the family.}} \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{18}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
\({ }^{3}\) Based on the number of home-owning and renting families, column (2). \\
4 Consists of families receiving rent as gift.
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline \multicolumn{18}{|l|}{\(\dagger\) Percentages not computed for fewer than 30 cases.} \\
\hline \multicolumn{18}{|l|}{*Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{GASTONIA, N. C}

Table 14A.-Average monthly rental value and average monthly rent: Number of home-owning and renting families, average monthly rental value, and average monthly rent, by occupation and income, 1935-96 \({ }^{1}\)
[Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Income class} & \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{Occupational group: Wage earner} & \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{Occupational group: Clerical} & \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Occupational group: Business and professional} \\
\hline & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Number of families-} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Percentage of home-owning and renting families \({ }^{2}\)} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Average monthly-} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Number of families-} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Percentage of home-owning and renting families 2-} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Average monthly-} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Number of families-} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Percentage of home-owning and renting families 2-} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Average monthly-} \\
\hline & Homeowning
(2) & \begin{tabular}{l}
Renting \\
(3)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Homeowning \\
(4)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Renting \\
(5)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Rental value \({ }^{3}\) \\
(6)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Rent 4 \\
(7)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Homeowning \\
(8)
\end{tabular} & Renting (9) & \begin{tabular}{l}
Homeowning \\
(10)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Renting \\
(11)
\end{tabular} & Rental value \({ }^{3}\)
(12) & \begin{tabular}{l}
Rent \({ }^{4}\) \\
(13)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Homeowning \\
(14)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Renting \\
(15)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Homeowning \\
(16)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Renting \\
(17)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Rental value \({ }^{3}\) \\
(18)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Rent 4 \\
(19)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline All nonrelief families \({ }^{\text {s }}\) & 96 & 296 & 24 & 76 & \$11.20 & \$6.60 & 2 & 1 & ( \(\dagger\) ) & ( \(\dagger\) & (*) & (*) & 13 & 19 & 41 & 59 & \$13. 70 & \$11.10 \\
\hline \$ \(\$ 5000-\$ 999\). & 26
56 & 153
129 & 15
30 & 85
70 & 9.80
11.40 & 6.30
6.80 & 1
1 & 1 & ( \(\dagger\) ) & ( \(\dagger\) ) & (*) & (*) & 4
3
3 & 7 & (t) & ( \(\dagger\) ) & 9.20
15.70 & 7.40
9.00 \\
\hline \$1,000-\$1,499. & 12 & 12 & \((\dagger)\) & (1) & 11.80 & 9.80 & & & & & & & 3 & 3 & (t) & (t) & 17.70 & 14.00 \\
\hline \$1,500-\$1,999 & 2 & 2 & ( \(\dagger\) ) & ( \(\dagger\) & (*) & (*) & & & & & & & 2 & 2 & ( \(\dagger\) ) & (i) & \({ }_{(*)}^{*}\) & \({ }_{(*)}{ }^{*}\) \\
\hline \$2,000-\$2,999 & & & & & & & & & & & & & 1 & 1 & ( \(\dagger\) ) & ( \(\dagger\) & (*) & (*) \\
\hline \$5,000 and over. & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

1 Includes only those families that did not change living quarters between the end of the report year and the date of interview. Families are classified as home-owning families or as renting families according to their status at the date of interview

2 Based on the number of home-owning and renting families in the respective occupational groups.
3 Based on estimate made by home owner for period of ownership and occupancy during the report year. Averages are based on the number of home-owning families as of end of report year.
of which is reported at date of interview. Averages in this column are based on the number of families reporting monthly rent, including families receiving rent as gift, the amount which is estimated by the family.
occupational group "Other," 6 did not change their living quarters between the end of report year and the date of interview. Of the latter roup 2 families were owning families. The remaining 4 families were renting familes. Their average monthly rent was \(\$ 6.50\).

Percentages not computed for fewer than 30 cases.
A verages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

GASTONIA, N. C.
Table 15-16.-Type of living quarters: Number and percentage of owning and renting families occupying specified types of living quarters, by tenure and income, 1935-36 \({ }^{1}\)
[Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family
types combined]


\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Includes only those families that did not change living quarters between the end of the report year and the date of interview.
\({ }^{2}\) Percentages are based on number of families in each class, column (2)
\({ }^{3}\) Includes dwelling units in business buildings, other types of living quarters not elsewhere specified, and unknown types of living quarters.
\(\dagger\) Percentages not computed for fewer than 30 cases.
\(\ddagger 0.5\) percent or less.
}

\section*{GASTONIA, N. C.}

Table 17.-Members of household not in economic family: Number of families having persons in the household who were not members of the economic family, and average number of such nonfamily members, by income, \(1935-36\)
[Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All oceupational groups and all family types combined]
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Income class

(1)} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Number of families} & \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{Number of families having in the household nonfamily members of specified type \({ }^{1-}\)} & \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{Average number of nonfamily members of specified type \({ }^{3}\) (based on families having such members)-} \\
\hline & & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Any nonfamily member} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Occupying rooms on nontransient basis} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Boarders without room} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Tourists and transients \\
(9)
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Gues ts \\
(10)
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
All nonfamily mem. bers \\
(11)
\end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Occupying rooms on nontransient basis} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{c} 
Board- \\
ers \\
without \\
room
\end{tabular}
(16)} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Tourists and transients \\
(17)
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Guests \\
(18)
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline & & & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Sons and \\
daughters \\
rooming \\
and \\
boarding
\end{tabular}
(4) & Other roomers with board (5) & Room-
ers
without
board
(6) & \begin{tabular}{l}
Paid help \\
(7)
\end{tabular} & & & & & \begin{tabular}{l}
Sons and daughters rooming and boarding \\
(12)
\end{tabular} & Other roomers with board
(13) & \begin{tabular}{l}
Roomers without board \\
(14)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Paid help \\
(15)
\end{tabular} & & & \\
\hline All families. & 517 & 72 & 1 & 9 & 37 & & & & 27 & 0.5 & (*) & 0.7 & 0.7 & & & & 0.1 \\
\hline Relief families Nonrelief families. & 80
437 & 11 & 1 & 9 & 7
3 & & & & 4
23 & .5
.5 & (*) & . 7 & .7
.7 & & & & . 2 \\
\hline \$0-\$249 & 35 & 6 & & & 5 & & & & 6 & . 2 & & & 2 & & & & 1 \\
\hline \$250-\$499 & 164 & 22 & \(1^{-}\) & 4 & 9 & & & & 4 & . 5 & (*) & . 6 & .7 & & & & .1 \\
\hline \$500-\$749 & 159 & 17 & & 4 & 7 & & & & 6 & . 4 & & (*) 8 & . 5 & & & & (*) 1 \\
\hline \$750-\$999 & 39 & 8 & & 1 & 5 & & & & 2 & 1.1 & & (*) & 1.5 & & & & (*) \\
\hline \$1,000-\$1,249 & 24 & 4 & & & 2 & & & & 3 & * \({ }^{\text {a }}\) & & & (*) & & & & . 2 \\
\hline \$1,250-\$1,499 & 6 & 1 & & & 1 & & & & & (*) & & & \({ }^{*}\) *) & & & & \\
\hline \$1,500-\$1,749 & 7 & 2 & & & 1 & & & & 1 & (*) & & & (*) & & & & \({ }^{*}{ }^{-}\) \\
\hline \[
\$ 1,750-\$ 1,999
\] & 1 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \$ 2,000-\$ 2,249 \\
& \$ 2,250-\$ 2,499
\end{aligned}
\] & 2 & 1 & & & & & & & 1 & (*) & ---------- & ----- & & & & & \({ }^{*}{ }^{--}\) \\
\hline \$2,500-\$2,999- & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \$3,000-\$3,999 - & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \$4,000-\$4,999.. & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \$5,000 and over. & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

1 Excludes a small number of families which had nonfamily members in the household, but which did not report the duration of their membership. See glossary for definition of "nonfamily members."
\({ }_{2}\) Averages in each column are based on the corresponding counts of families, in columns (3) through (10). The number of nonfamily members is expressed in terms of year equivalent persons. This figure is computed for each family by dividing by 52 the total number of weeks of residence in the household for all nonmembers of the economic family. *Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

GASTONIA, N. C.
Table 18.-Age of husbands and wives: Number of husbands and number of wives, by age and family income, 1935-36
[Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types comblned]

\({ }^{1}\) Excludes 1 husband who did not report age.

GASTONIA, N. C.
Table 19.-Report year: Number and percentage distribution of families by date of end of report year, by occupation, 1935-36
[Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]

\(\dagger\) Percentages not computed for fewer than 30 cases.
\(\ddagger 0.5\) percent or less.

SECTION C.-INCOMPLETE NATIVE WHITE AND NEGRO FAMILIES AND FOREIGN-BORN WHITE FAMILIES: Number of Families Scheduled, Sources of Income, Principal and Supplementary Earners, Rent or Rental Value, According to Family Income, Occupational Group, and Family Type, 1935-36.

The distribution of families by income, color, nativity group, occupational group, and family type as shown in tables \(1-3\) of this section represents the number of families in the smaller samples which furnished the information. The remaining tables in this section present data on family income, earners, and bousing for families not included in the main body (sec. B) of the Tabular Summary. They show the distribution for native white and Negro incomplete families, and for white foreign-born families, both complete and incomplete. Because the data on these special groups are based on samples of different sizes, no valid combinations of the data can be made without applying weights shown in the explanatory note of section \(A\).

CONTENTS
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{2}{*}{Table} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Page} \\
\hline & Atlanta & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Colum- } \\
& \text { bia }
\end{aligned}
\] & Mobile & Albany & Gastonia \\
\hline 1. Color and Nativity Groups, by Income: Number of families scheduled of specified color and nativity, by income, 1935-36 & 454 & 461 & 468 & 475 & 481 \\
\hline 2. Occupational Groups: Number of families scheduled of specified occupational groups, by color, nativity, and income, 1935-36 & 455 & 462 & 469 & 476 & 482 \\
\hline 3. Family Types: Number of foreign-born white families scheduled of specified types, by income, 1935-36* & 456 & 463 & 470 & & \\
\hline 4. Sources of Family Income: Number of families scheduled receiving specified kinds of income, by color, nativity, and income, 1935-36 & 457 & 464 & 471 & 477 & 483 \\
\hline 5. Principal Earners: Number of principal earners scheduled by sex, with average weeks of employment and average annual earnings, by color, nativity, and income, 1935-36.. & 458 & 465 & 472 & 478 & 484 \\
\hline 6. Number of Earners in Family: Number of families scheduled with specified number of individual earners, number and average earnings of supplementary earners, and average earnings of family from supplementary earners, by color, nativity, and income, 1935-36 & 459 & 466 & 473 & 479 & 485 \\
\hline 7. Average Monthly Rental Value and average Monthly Rent: Number of home-owning and renting families scheduled, average monthly rental value, and average monthly rent, by color, nativity, and income, 1935-36. & 460 & 467 & 4/4 & 480 & 486 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
*For distribution of native white and negro complete families by family type and income, see sec. B, table 1. Table 3 is omitted for Albany and Gastonia. Complete foreign-born white families are not shown on these sec. C tables due to their relative infrequency.

ATLANTA, GA.
Table 1.-Color and nativity groups by income: Number of families scheduled of specified color and nativity, by income, 1935-36 \({ }^{1}\)


\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) See the introductory note to sec. A for a comparison of the samples represented in this and subsequent tables. A family is classified as native if both husband and wife are native born (or, in the case of an incomplete family if the head is native born); otherwise, the family is classified as foreign born. A family is classified as a complete family if it includes both husband and wife; as an incomplete family if it does not include both husband and wife. Single individuals are included in the incomplete families. See glossary for fur. their definitions. Since the data on these color and nativity groups are based on samples of different size, no valid combinations of the data can be made without applying weights shown in the explanatory note of sec. A.
\({ }^{2}\) See sec. B tables for tabular analysis of native white and negro complete families.
\({ }^{3}\) Relief families are distributed according to their income, which excludes direct relief received in cash or kind.
}

\section*{ATLANTA, GA.}

Table 2.-Occupational groups: Number of families scheduled of specified occupational groups, by color, nativity, and income, 1935-36 1


1 Since the data on these color and nativity groups are based on samples of different size, no valid combinations of the data can be made without applying weights shown in the explanatory note of sec. A.
\({ }_{2}\) The business and professional families are classifed as follows:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Occupational group} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{All families} \\
\hline & Native white incomplete & Foreignborn white & Negro incomplete \\
\hline Independent business.. & 51 & 23 & 17 \\
\hline Independent professional. & & & \\
\hline Salaried business.....---- & 8 & 5 & 1 \\
\hline Salaried professional & 23 & 2 & 8 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\({ }^{3}\) This group contains families with no gainfully employed members.
\({ }^{4}\) Complete families (all familiy types combined) and incomplete families.
\(78078^{\circ}-39-30\)

\section*{ATLANTA, GA.}

Table 3.-Family types: Number of foreign-born white families scheduled of specified types, by income, 1935-36 \({ }^{1}\)


\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Since the data on this nativity group is based on a sample of different size, no valid combinations of the data can be made without applying weights shown in the explanatory note of sec. A.
2 For definitions of family types, see footnote 1 of table 1 of sec. B on p. 147.
}

\section*{atlanta, ga.}

Table 4.-Sources of family income: Number of families scheduled receiving specified kinds of income, by color, nativity, and income, 1935-36'


\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Since the data on these color and nativity groups are based on a sample of different size, no valid combinations of the data can be made without applying weights shown in the explanatory note of sec. A.
\({ }^{2}\) The averages in each column are based on all families, column (2), whether or not they received income from the specified source. See glossary for definition of terms.
\({ }^{3}\) Includes all families that owned homes during the report year (see table 7. cols. 2, 6, 10) as well as 2 native white incomplete, 1 foreign-born white, and 1 Negro incomplete family who received rent as pay.
\({ }^{4}\) Represents the estimated rental value of owned homes for the period of ownership and occupancy, less estimated expenses allocable to that period; and the value of rent received as pay.
\({ }_{s}\) Includes families haring money income other than earnings, families having business losses met from family funds, and families having both.
\({ }^{6}\) Includes money income other than earnings, after deduction of business losses met from family funds.
\({ }^{7}\) Oomplete families (all family types combined) and incomplete families.
*Averages not computed for tewer than 3 cases.
**\$0.50 ar less.
}

\section*{ATLANTA, GA.}

Table 5.-Principal earners: Number of principal earners scheduled by sex, with average weeks of employment and average annual earnings, by color, nativity, and income, 1935-36 \({ }^{1}\)


\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Since the data on these color and nativity groups are based on samples of different size, no valid combinations of the data can be made without applying weights shown in the explanatory note of sec. A.
\({ }^{2}\) The total number of principal earners given in column (3) is equivalent to the total number of families having individual earners, since a family can have only one principal earner. The difference between the totals in columns (2) and (3) is explained by the fact that column (2), number of families, includes cases in which none of the family income was attributable to individual earners.
\({ }^{3}\) A verages in this column are based on the number of principal earners reporting weeks of employment.
\({ }^{4}\) A verages in this column are based on the corresponding counts of principal earners in column (3). Average earnings of principal earners according to sex were as follows:
}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Color and nativity group} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{All families} \\
\hline & Male & Female \\
\hline Native white incomplete. & \$1, 128 & \$869 \\
\hline Foreign-born white-...- & 1,715 & 1,279 \\
\hline Negro incomplete...... & 416 & 264 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\({ }^{5}\) Complete families (all family types combined) and incomplete families.
*Averages not computed for less than 3 cases.

\section*{ATLANTA, GA.}

Table 6.-Number of earners in family: Number of families scheduled with specified number of individual earners, number and average earnings of supplementary earners, and average earnings of family from supplementary earners, by color, nativity, and income, 1985-86 \({ }^{1}\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{2}{*}{Income class} & \multirow{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Number } \\
& \text { of } \\
& \text { families }
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Number of families with specified number of individual earners} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Number of supplementary earners \\
(6)
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Average earnings of supplementary earners \({ }^{2}\) \\
(7)
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Average earnings
per family from supplementary earners \({ }^{3}\) \\
(8)
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline & & \begin{tabular}{l}
Any \\
(3)
\end{tabular} & One & Two or more (5) & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{Native White Incomplete
Families} \\
\hline All families... & 260 & 184 & 113 & 71 & 95 & \$586 & \$214 \\
\hline Relief families Nonrelief families & \[
\begin{array}{r}
37 \\
223
\end{array}
\] & 26
158 & 15
98 & \({ }_{60}^{11}\) & 18 & \({ }^{386}{ }^{\circ}\) & 188
218 \\
\hline \$0-\$499 & 43 & 14 & & & & & \\
\hline \$500-\$749 & 39 & 21 & 19 & 2 & 2 & & 2 \\
\hline \$750-\$999 & 26 & 17 & 9 & 8 & 8 & 213 & 66 \\
\hline \$1,000-\$1,249.. & 25 & 22 & 15 & 7 & 7 & 274 & 77 \\
\hline \$1,250-\$1,499 & 18 & 17 & 13 & 4 & 4 & 404 & 90 \\
\hline \$1,500-\$1,749 & 13 & 13 & 10 & 3 & 4 & 566 & 174 \\
\hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & 8 & 6 & 2 & 4 & 5 & 504 & 315 \\
\hline \$2,000-\$2,499 & 24 & 23 & 11 & 12 & 17 & 605 & 429 \\
\hline \$2,500-\$2,999 & 9 & 8 & 1 & 7 & 12 & 708 & 944 \\
\hline \$3,000-\$4,999 & 16 & 15 & 4 & 11 & 15 & 993 & 931 \\
\hline \$5,000 and over. & & 2 & & 2 & 3 & 1,648 & (*) \\
\hline Foreign-Born White Families \({ }^{4}\) & & & & & & & \\
\hline All families... & 53 & 51 & 32 & 19 & 24 & 591 & 268 \\
\hline Relief families Nonrelief families & \[
\begin{array}{r}
1 \\
52
\end{array}
\] & 50 & 32 & 18 & \({ }_{23}^{1}\) & \({ }^{(*)}{ }_{613}\) & (*) \({ }_{271}\) \\
\hline \$0-\$499... & & & & & & & \\
\hline \$500-\$749, .............- & 5 & 5 & 5 & & & & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
\$750-\$999 \\
\(\$ 1,000-\$ 1,249\)
\end{tabular} & 3
4
4 & 3
4
4 & 3
3
3 & 1 & 1 & & \\
\hline \$1,250-\$1,499---.-....-- & 6 & 6 & 5 & 1 & 1 & (*) & 43 \\
\hline \$1,500-\$1,749 & 7 & 7 & 3 & 4 & 1 & 416 & 297 \\
\hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & 3 & 2 & 2 & & & & \\
\hline \$2,000-\$2,499 & 7 & 7 & & 4 & 4 & 595 & 340 \\
\hline \$2,500-\$2,999 & 4 & 4 & 4 & & & & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
\$ 3,000-\$ 4,999
\] \\
\(\$ 5,000\) and over
\end{tabular} & 7 & 7
4 & \(\frac{1}{2}\) & \({ }_{2}^{6}\) & 9
3 & \[
\begin{array}{r}
626 \\
1,202
\end{array}
\] & 804
902 \\
\hline Negro Incomplete Families & & & & & & & \\
\hline All families...- & 214 & 191 & 109 & 82 & 114 & 139 & 74 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Relief families} & \({ }^{76}\) & & & & & 64 & 20 \\
\hline & 138 & 132 & 68 & 64 & 90 & 159 & 104 \\
\hline \$0-\$499 & 88 & 83 & 52 & 31 & 34 & 77 & 30 \\
\hline \$500-\$749- & 25 & 25 & 10 & 15 & 23 & 128 & 118 \\
\hline \$750-\$999 - & 11 & 11 & 1 & 10 & 19 & 189 & 326 \\
\hline \$11,250-\$1,499 & 5 & 5 & 4 & \({ }^{5}\) & 2 & (*) \({ }^{3.7}\) & \\
\hline \$1,500-\$1,749 & & & & 2 & 4 & 425 & (*) \\
\hline \$1,750 and over.....-- & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Since the data on these color and nativity groups are based on samples of different size, no valid combinations of the data can be made without applying weights shown in the explanatory note of sec. A.
\({ }^{2}\) Averages in this column are based on the number of supplementary earners, column (6).
\({ }^{3}\) Averages in this column are based on the number of families in each class, column (2).
4 Complete families (all family types combined) and incomplete families.
*A verages not computed for fower than 3 cases.
}

\section*{ATLANTA, GA.}

TABLE \(\%\)-Average monthly rental value and average monthiy rent: Number of home-owning and renting families scheduled, average monthly rental value, and average monthly rent, by color, nativity, and income, 1935-36 \({ }^{1}\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Income class

(1)} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Native white incomplete families} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Foreign-born white families ?} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Negro incomplete families} \\
\hline & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Number of families-} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{A verage monthly-} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Number of families-} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{A verage monthly-} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Number of families-} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Average monthly-} \\
\hline & Home owning & Rent ing (3) & \begin{tabular}{l}
Renta. value \({ }^{3}\) \\
(4)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Rent 4 \\
(5)
\end{tabular} & Home owning
\(\qquad\) & \begin{tabular}{l}
Rent
ing \\
(7)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Rental value \({ }^{3}\) \\
(8)
\end{tabular} & Rent

(9) & Home owning (10) & Rent-
ing (11) & \({ }^{\text {Rental }}\) value \({ }^{3}\) (12) & Rent \({ }^{4}\)
(13) \\
\hline All families. & 106 & 154 & \$33 & \$20 & 24 & 29 & \$37 & \$26 & 22 & 192 & \$19 & \$9 \\
\hline Relief families Nonrelief families.- & 104 & \[
\begin{array}{r}
35 \\
119
\end{array}
\] & \({ }^{(*)}{ }_{33}\) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 13 \\
& 22
\end{aligned}
\] & 24 & \({ }_{28}^{1}\) & 37 & \({ }^{(*)}\) & \(\stackrel{2}{20}\) & 74
118 & \({ }^{(*)} 19\) & 7
10 \\
\hline \$0-\$499 & 13 & 30 & 20 & 14 & 1 & 1 & (*) & (*) & 9 & 79 & & 9 \\
\hline \$500-\$749 & 14 & 25 & 25 & 20 & & 5 & & 21 & 2 & 23 & (*) & 12 \\
\hline \$750-\$999 & 8 & 18 & 29 & 21 & & 3 & & 23 & 3 & 8 & (23 & 13 \\
\hline \$1,000-\$1,249. & 11 & **14 & 30 & 25 & & 4 & & 22 & 4 & 3 & 24 & 13 \\
\hline \$1,250-\$1,499. & 15 & 3 & 32 & 30 & 2 & 4 & (*) & 21 & 1 & 4 & (*) & 12 \\
\hline \$1,500-\$1,749- & 7 & \({ }_{6}^{6}\) & \({ }_{32}^{26}\) & 32 & 4 & 3 & \({ }^{26}\) & 19 & 1 & 1 & (*) & (*) \\
\hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & 5 & 3 & 33 & 33 & 2 & 1 & \(\left({ }^{*}\right)\) & \({ }^{*}\) ) & & & & \\
\hline \$2,000-\$2,499. & 13 & 11 & 36 & 29 & 7 & & & & & & & \\
\hline \$2,500-\$2,999 & & 5 & 58 & 26 & 1 & 3 & (*) & 47 & & & & \\
\hline \(\$ 3,000-\$ 4,999\)
\(\$ 5,000\) and over--- & 13 & 3 & \({ }_{(*)}^{53}\) & \({ }_{(*)}\) & 5 & \(\stackrel{2}{2}\) & & \({ }^{(*)}\) & & & & \\
\hline \$5,000 and over-- & 1 & 1 & (*) & (*) & 2 & 2 & (*) & (*) & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
Families are classified as home-owning or renting families according to their status at the date of interview. Since the data on these color and nativity groups are based on samples of different size, no valid combinations of the data can be made without applying weights shown in the explanatory note of sec. A.
\({ }^{2}\) Complete families (all family types combined) and incomplete families.
\({ }^{3}\) Based on estimate made by home owner for period of ownership and occupancy during report year. Averages are based on the number of home-owning families as of end of report year.
\({ }^{4}\) Rent reported at date of interview. Averages are based on the number of renting families in each class that reported monthly rent, including families receiving rent as gift, the amount of which is estimated by the family.
*Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
**Rent not reported for 1 family.
}

COLUMBIA, S. C.
Table 1.-Color and nativity groups by income: Number of famities scheduled of specified color and nativity, by income, 1935-96 \({ }^{1}\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{2}{*}{Income class} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Native white} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Foreignborn white \({ }^{3}\) \\
(4)
\end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Negro} \\
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
Complete \({ }^{2}\) \\
(2)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Incomplete \\
(3)
\end{tabular} & & \begin{tabular}{l}
Complete \({ }^{2}\) \\
(5)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Incomplete \\
(6)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Relief and Nonrelief Families & & & & & \\
\hline All families & 4,715 & 93 & 25 & 2,294 & 127 \\
\hline \$0-\$249. & 40 & 4 & & 220 & 63 \\
\hline \$250-\$499 & 150 & 7 & 1 & 712 & 35 \\
\hline \$500-\$749. & 348 & 18 & & 710 & 17 \\
\hline \$750-\$999 & 407 & 5 & 1 & 324 & 6 \\
\hline \$1,000-\$1,249 & 405 & 7 & 2 & 138 & 4 \\
\hline \$1,250-\$1,499 & 346 & 9 & & 69 & 1 \\
\hline \$1,500-\$1,749 & 443 & 11 & 3 & 42 & 1 \\
\hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & 434 & 9 & 4 & 28 & \\
\hline \$2,000-\$2,249 & 329 & 3 & & 15 & -- \\
\hline \$2,250-\$2,499 & 315 & 2 & 3 & 16 & ---.-.------ \\
\hline \$2,500-\$2,999. & 454 & 3 & 2 & 11 & -.--------- \\
\hline \$3,000-\$3,499 & 327 & 7 & 2 & 3 & -- \\
\hline \$3,500-\$3,999. & 237 & 1 & & 1 & ----------- \\
\hline \$4,000-\$4,499. & 141 & 1 & 3 & & \\
\hline \$4,500-\$4,999 & 83 & 1 & 2 & 1 & \\
\hline \$5,000-\$7,499 & 172 & 4 & 2 & 2 & - \\
\hline \$7,500-\$9,999 & 39 & & & 1 & \\
\hline \$10,000 and over & 45 & 1 & & 1 & ----------- \\
\hline Nonrelief Families & & & & & \\
\hline All families.. & 4,323 & 75 & 25 & 1,837 & 104 \\
\hline \$0-\$249 & 7 & 2 & & 91 & 46 \\
\hline \$250-\$499 & 58 & 3 & 1 & 473 & 31 \\
\hline \$500-\$749. & 243 & 15 & & 654 & 17 \\
\hline \$750-\$999. & 354 & 3 & 1 & 307 & 5 \\
\hline \$1,000-\$1,249 & 374 & 4 & 2 & 130 & 3 \\
\hline \$1,250-\$1,499 & 317 & 9 & & 64 & 1 \\
\hline \$1,500-\$1,749. & 429 & 8 & 3 & 41 & 1 \\
\hline \$1,750-\$1,999. & 420 & 9 & 4 & 27 & -.---------- \\
\hline \$2,000-\$2,249 & 324 & 3 & & 15 & --.---------- \\
\hline \$2,250-\$2,499 & 309 & 2 & 3 & 16 & -...----------- \\
\hline \$2,500-\$2,999. & 445 & 3 & 2 & 10 & -..-.---.-.-. \\
\hline \$3,000-\$3,499 & 327 & 6 & 2 & 3 & ------------ \\
\hline \$3,500-\$3,999 & 237 & 1 & & 1 & --...-.-.-.-. \\
\hline \$4,000-\$4,499 & 141 & 1 & 3 & & \\
\hline \$4,500-\$4,999. & 82 & 1 & 2 & 1 & \\
\hline \$5,000-\$7,499. & 172 & 4 & 2 & 2 & \\
\hline \$7,500-\$9,999. & 39 & & & 1 & -...-........ \\
\hline \$10,000 and over. & 45 & 1 & & 1 & ------------ \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

1 See the introductory note to sec. A for a comparison of the samples represented in this and subsequent tables. A family is classified as native if both husband and wife are native born (or in the case of an incomplete family if the head is native born); otherwise, the family is classified as foreign born. A family is classified as a complete family if it includes both husband and wife; as an incomplete family if it does not include both husband and wife. Single individuals are included in the incomplete families. See glossary for further definitions. Since the data on these color and nativity groups are based on samples of different size, no valid combinations of the data can be made without applying weights shown in the explanatory note of sec. A.
\({ }_{2}\) See sec. B tables for tabular analysis of native white and Negro complete families.
3 Complete families (all family types combined) and incomplete families.

COLUMBIA, s. C.
Table 2.-Occupational groups: Number of families scheduled of specified occupational groups, by color, nativity, and income, 1935-96 \({ }^{1}\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Income class} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Occupational groups} \\
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
All \\
(2)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Wage earner \\
(3)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Clerical \\
(4)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Business and professional 2 \\
(5)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Other \({ }^{3}\) \\
(6)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Native White Incomplete Families \\
All families
\end{tabular} & 93 & 33 & 22 & 34 & 4 \\
\hline Relief families \(\qquad\) Nonrelief families. & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 18 \\
& 75
\end{aligned}
\] & 16
17 & 1 & \({ }_{33}^{1}\) & 4 \\
\hline \$0-\$499 & 5 & 1 & 1 & & 1 \\
\hline \$500-\$749- & 15 & 7 & 2 & 6 & \\
\hline \$750-\$999 & 3 & 1 & 1 & & 1 \\
\hline \$1,000-\$1,249 & 4 & 1 & & 3 & \\
\hline \$1,250-\$1,499 & 9 & 2 & 4 & 3 & \\
\hline \$1,500-\$1,749 & 8 & 1 & 2 & 5 & \\
\hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & 9 & 1 & \({ }_{3}^{3}\) & 5 & --------- \\
\hline \$2,000-\$2,499 & \(\begin{array}{r}5 \\ 3 \\ \hline\end{array}\) & 1 & 3 & 1 & \\
\hline \$3,000-\$4,999 & 9 & 1 & 3 & 3 & 2 \\
\hline \$5,000 and over & 5 & & 2 & 3 & \\
\hline Foreign-Born White Families 4 & & & & & \\
\hline All families... & 25 & 4 & 3 & 18 & ----------- \\
\hline Relief families. Nonrelief families & 25 & 4 & 3 & 18 & --------------- \\
\hline Negro Incomplete Families & & & & & \\
\hline All families-. & 127 & 104 & 2 & 19 & 2 \\
\hline Relieffamilies Nonrelief families. & \[
\begin{array}{r}
23 \\
104
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \hline 21 \\
& 83
\end{aligned}
\] & 2 & 17 & 2 \\
\hline \$0-\$499... & 77 & & 1 & 10 & 2 \\
\hline \$500-8749. & 17 & 13 & & 4 & \\
\hline \$750-\$999 & 5 & 3 & 1 & 1 & \\
\hline  & 1 & & -- & 1 & \\
\hline \$1,500-\$1,749 & 1 & 1 & & & \\
\hline \$1,750 and over- & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

1 Since the data on these color and nativity groups are based on samples of different size, no valid combina: tions of the data can be made without applying weights shown in the explanatory note of sec. A.
\({ }_{2}\) The business and professional families are classified as follows:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Occupational group} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{All families} \\
\hline & Native white incomplete & Foreignborn white & Negro incomplete \\
\hline Independent business & 21 & 8 & 12 \\
\hline Independent professional & 2
5 & & \\
\hline Salaried professional & 5
6 & 4 & 7 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\({ }^{3}\) This group contains families with no gainfully employed members.
4 Complete families (all family types combined) and incomplete families.

\section*{COLUMBIA, S. C.}

Table 3.-Family types: Number of foreign-born white families scheduled of specified types, \(1935-36^{1}\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Income class} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { All } \\
& \text { fam- } \\
& \text { ilies }
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{Complete families of type \({ }^{2}\) -} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Incomplete families \\
(12)
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline & & All & I & II & III & IV & V & VI & VII & Other & \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) & \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Foreign-Born White Families} \\
\hline All families_...........-...-.--- & 25 & 20 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 5 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 5 \\
\hline Relief families & 25 & 20 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 5 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 5 \\
\hline & & & & & & & 1 & 2 & & 2 & 5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
1 Since the data on this nativity group is based on a sample of different size, no valid combinations of the data can be made without applying weights shown in the explanatory note of sec. A.
\({ }^{1}\) For definitions of family types, see footnote 1 of table 1 of sec. B on p. 243.
}

COLUMBIA, S. C.
Table 4.-Sources of family income: Number of families scheduled receiving specified kinds of income, by color, nativity, and income, 1935-36 \({ }^{1}\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{4}{*}{Income class} & \multirow{3}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Number } \\
\text { of fame- } \\
\text { ilies }
\end{gathered}
\]} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Number of families receiv-
ing-} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{A verage family income \({ }^{2}\)} \\
\hline & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Money income from-} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{c} 
Non- \\
money \\
income \\
from \\
housing
\end{tabular}
\((5)\)} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Total \\
(6)
\end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Money income from-} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Non- } \\
\text { money } \\
\text { income } \\
\text { from } \\
\text { housing 4 }
\end{gathered}
\]} \\
\hline & & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Earn- } \\
& \text { ings }
\end{aligned}
\] & Other sources (positive or negative) \({ }^{\text {b }}\) & & & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Ern- } \\
& \text { ings }
\end{aligned}
\] & Other sources (positive
or negative) \({ }^{6}\) & \\
\hline & (2) & (3) & (4) & & & (7) & (8) & \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Native White Incomplete} \\
\hline All families.. & 93 & 89 & 30 & 37 & \$1,755 & \$1,388 & \$217 & \$150 \\
\hline Relief families_-............ Nonrelief families & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 18 \\
& 75
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
18
\] & 3
27 & \[
\begin{array}{r}
6 \\
31
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
970 \\
1,944
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
882 \\
1,510
\end{array}
\] & 263 & 65
171 \\
\hline \$0-\$499 & 5 & 4 & 2 & 1 & 283 & 179 & 71 & 33 \\
\hline \$500-\$749 & 15 & 15 & & 1 & 611 & 594 & & 17 \\
\hline \$750-\$999- & 3 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 836 & 473 & 244 & 119 \\
\hline \$1,000-\$1,249 & 4 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 1,100 & 764 & 45 & 291 \\
\hline \$1,250-\$1,499... & 9 & 9 & 3 & 4 & 1,338 & 1,047 & 169 & 122 \\
\hline \$1,500-\$1,749 & 8 & 8 & 3 & 3 & 1,605 & 1,260 & 196 & 149 \\
\hline \$1,750-\$1,999 ........- & 9 & 9 & 4 & 3 & 1, 871 & 1,436 & 300 & 135 \\
\hline \$2,000-\$2,499 & 5 & 5 & 2 & 4 & 2,198 & 1,772 & 159 & 262 \\
\hline \$2,500-\$2,999 & 3 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 2,808 & 2, 135 & 224 & 449 \\
\hline \$3,000-\$4,999 \(\ldots-\cdots-\) & 9 & 7 & 6 & 6 & 3,480 & 2,156 & 1,042 & 282 \\
\hline \$5,000 and over...--- & 5 & 5 & 2 & 4 & 7, 171 & 6,363 & 372 & 436 \\
\hline Foreign-Born White Families 7 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline All families.............-- & 25 & 25 & 5 & 11 & 2,714 & 2,402 & 112 & 200 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Relief families. Nonrelief families \\
Negro Incomplete Fami-
\end{tabular}} & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & 25 & 25 & 5 & 11 & 2, 714 & 2,402 & 112 & 200 \\
\hline & & & & & & & & \\
\hline All families.. & 127 & 125 & 23 & 27 & 356 & 318 & 16 & 22 \\
\hline Relief families. Nonrelief families & \[
\begin{array}{r}
23 \\
104
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
23 \\
102
\end{array}
\] & \({ }_{17}^{6}\) & \[
\frac{1}{26}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 275 \\
& 374
\end{aligned}
\] & \({ }_{331}^{258}\) & 12 & 5
26 \\
\hline \$0-\$499 & 77 & & 10 & 15 & 240 & 211 & 12 & 17 \\
\hline \$500-\$749 & 17 & 17 & 4 & 4 & 582 & 508 & 41 & 33 \\
\hline \$750-\$999-- & 5 & 5 & 2 & 3 & 843 & 741 & & 72 \\
\hline \$1,000-\$1,249 \(\ldots\)......- & 3 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 1,154 & 1,036 & 5 & 113 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \$ 1,20-\$ 1,499 \\
& \$ 1,500-\$ 1,749
\end{aligned}
\] & 1 & 1 & & 1 & (*) & (*) & & \\
\hline \$1,750 and over-------- & & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Since the data on these color and nativity groups are based on samples of different size, no valid combinations of the data can be made without applying weights shown in the explanatory note of sec. A.
? The averages in each column are based on all families, column (2), whether or not they received income from the specified source. See glossary for definition of terms.
\({ }^{3}\) Includes all families that owned homes during the report year (see table 7, columns,"(2), (6), and (10)) as well as 1 Negro family who received rent as pay.

4 Represents the estimated rental value of owned homes for the period of ownership and occupancy, less estimated expenses allocable to that period; and the value of rent received as pay.
\({ }^{5}\) Includes families having money income other than earnings, families having business losses met from family funds and families having both.
\({ }^{8}\) Includes money income other than earnings, after deduction of business losses met from family funds.
7 Complete families (all family types combined) and incomplete families.
*Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
}

COLUMBIA, S. C.
Table 5.-Principal earners: Number of principal earners scheduled by sex, with average weeks of employment and average annual earnings, by color, nativity, and income, 1935-86 \({ }^{1}\)

\({ }^{1}\) Since the data on these color and nativity groups are based on samples of different size, no valid combinations of the data can be made without applying weights shown in the explanatory note of sec. A.
\({ }^{2}\) The total number of principal earners given in column (3) is equivalent to the total number of families having individual earners, since a family can have only 1 principal earner. The difference between the totals in columns (2) and (3) is explained by the fact that column (2), number of families, includes cases in which none of the family income was attributable to individual earners.
\({ }^{3}\) A verages in this column are based on the number of principal earners reporting weeks of employment.
1 A verages in this column are based on the corresponding counts of principal earners in column (3). Average earnings of principal earners, according to sex, were as follows:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{2}{*}{Color and nativity group} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{All tamilies} \\
\hline & Male & Female \\
\hline Native white incomplete. & \$1, 392 & \$823 \\
\hline Foreign-born white..---- & 2,088 & 1,233 \\
\hline Negro incomplete.-.-.... & 400 & 218 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{3}\) Complete families (all family types combined) and incomplete families.
* A verages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
}

COLUMBIA, S. C.
Table. 6.-Number of earners in family: Number of families scheduled with specified number of individual earners, number and average earnings of supplementary earners, and average earnings of family from supplementary earners, by color, nativity, and income, 1935-36 \({ }^{1}\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{2}{*}{Income class} & \multirow{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Number } \\
& \text { of } \\
& \text { families }
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Number of families with specified number of individual earners} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Number of supplementary earners \\
(6)
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Average earnings of supplementary earners \({ }^{2}\) \\
(7)
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Average earnings per family from supplementary earners \({ }^{3}\) \\
(8)
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline & & Any & One & Two or more & & & \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{}} \\
\hline & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Relief families \\
Nonrelief families
\end{tabular}} & 18 & 18 & 9 & 9 & 15 & 324 & 270 \\
\hline & 75 & 56 & 30 & 26 & 38 & 743 & 377 \\
\hline \$0-\$499 & 5 & 2 & 2 & & & & \\
\hline \$500-\$749 & 15 & 10 & 7 & 3 & 3 & 67 & 14 \\
\hline \$750-\$999. & 3 & 2 & 2 & & & & \\
\hline \$1,000-\$1,249 ...-...--- & 4 & 1 & & 1 & 1 & (*) & 153 \\
\hline \$1,250-\$1,499 & 9 & 8 & 6 & 2 & 2 & (*) & 142 \\
\hline \$1,500-\$1,749 & 8 & 7 & 4 & 3 & 3 & 379 & 142 \\
\hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & 9 & 8 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 571 & 254 \\
\hline \$2,000-\$2,499 \(\ldots\).-. .-. & 5 & 5 & 1 & 4 & 4 & 785 & 628 \\
\hline \$2,500-\$2,999 \(\ldots . . . . . .--\) & 3 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & (*) & 208 \\
\hline \$3,000-\$4,999 & 9 & 6 & 3 & 3 & 5 & 907 & 504 \\
\hline \$5,000 and 0ver.-.---- & 5 & 5 & & 5 & 15 & 963 & 2, 888 \\
\hline Foreign-Born White Families 4 & & & & & & & \\
\hline All families..--..-....----.-- & 25 & 25 & 19 & 6 & 9 & 761 & 274 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Relief families. \(\qquad\) \\
Nonrelief families \(\qquad\) \\
Negro Incomplete Familiss \\
All families \(\qquad\)
\end{tabular}} & & & & & & & \\
\hline & 25 & 25 & 19 & 6 & 9 & 761 & 274 \\
\hline & & & & & & & \\
\hline & 127 & 122 & 78 & 44 & 80 & 119 & 56 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Relief families Nonrelief families.} & 23 & 23 & 11 & 12 & 17 & 86 & 64 \\
\hline & 104 & 99 & 67 & 32 & 43 & 132 & 54 \\
\hline \$0-\$499------------. & 77 & 72 & 57 & 15 & 19 & 69 & 17 \\
\hline \$500-\$749.............- & 17 & 17 & 7 & 10 & 14 & 131 & 108 \\
\hline \$750-\$999 & 5 & 5 & 2 & 3 & 6 & 147 & 177 \\
\hline \$1,000-\$1,249 \(\ldots . . . . .\). & 3 & 3 & & 3 & 3 & 375 & 375 \\
\hline \$1,250-\$1,499 \(\ldots \ldots . . .\). & 1 & 1 & 1 & & & & \\
\hline \$1,500-\$1,749 .........-- & 1 & 1 & & 1 & 1 & (*) & (*) \\
\hline \$1,750 and over .....- & ------- & & & ------- & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

1 Since the data on these color and nativity groups are based on samples of different size, no valid combinations of the data can be made without applying weights shown in the explanatory note of sec. A.
\({ }^{2}\) A verages in this column are based on the number of supplementary earners, column (6).
3 Averages in this column are based on the number of families in each class, column (2).
1 Complete families (all family types combined) and incomplete families.
*Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

COLUMBIA, S. C.
Table \(\%\)--Average monthly rental value and average monthly rent: Number of home-owning and renting families scheduled, average monthly rental value, and average monthly rent, by color and nativity and income, 1935-36 \({ }^{1}\)


\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Families are classified as home-owning or renting families according to their status at the date of interview. Since the data on these color and nativity groups are based on samples of different size, no valid combinations of the data can be made without applying weights shown in the explanatory note of sec. A.
\({ }^{2}\) Complete families (all family types combined) and incomplete families.
\({ }^{3}\) Based on estimate made by home owner for period of ownership and occupancy during report year. Averages are based on the number of home owning families as of end of report year.
\({ }^{4}\) Rent reported at date of interview. Averages ore based on the number of renting families in each class that reported monthly rent, including families receiving rent as gift, the amount of which is estimated by the family.
*Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
}

MOBILE, ALA.
Table 1.-Color and nativity groups by income: Number of families scheduled of specified color and nativity, by income, 1935-36 \({ }^{1}\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Income class} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Native white} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Foreign-born white} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Negro} \\
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
Complete \({ }^{2}\) \\
(2)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Incomplete \\
(3)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
All \\
(4)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Complete \\
(5)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Incomplete \\
(6)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Complete \({ }^{2}\) \\
(7)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Incomplete \\
(8)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Relief and Nonrelief Families \({ }^{3}\) & 5,384 & 145 & 44 & 40 & 4 & 3,370 & 216 \\
\hline \$0-\$249 & 110 & 20 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 412 & 87 \\
\hline \$250-\$499 & 410 & 31 & 4 & 4 & & 1,376 & 103 \\
\hline \$500-\$749. & 501 & 20 & 5 & 5 & & 839 & 14 \\
\hline \$750-\$999 & 712 & 11 & 4 & 3 & 1 & 391 & 6 \\
\hline \$1,000-\$1,249..----------...............- & 620 & 11 & 3 & 3 & & 175 & 3 \\
\hline  & 501 & 10 & 5 & 5 & --------- & 59 & 1 \\
\hline \$1,500-\$1,749 & 487 & 9 & 7 & 7 & ........-. & 35 & 1 \\
\hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & 460 & 10 & 1 & 1 & .-....-..-- & 21 & 1 \\
\hline \$2,000-\$2,249 & 316 & 8 & 1 & 1 & & 26 & ------.-.- \\
\hline \$2,250-\$2,499 & 281 & 2 & 2 & 2 & & 23 & \\
\hline \$2,500-\$2,999 & 355 & 2 & 2 & 2 & & 6 & \\
\hline \$3,000-\$3,499 & 225 & 4 & 1 & 1 & & 4 & \\
\hline \$3,500-\$3,999. & 119 & 1 & 2 & 2 & & 1 & --------- \\
\hline \$4,000-\$4,499 & 88 & 2 & 1 & 1 & & 1 & ------... \\
\hline \$4,500-\$4,999 & 52 & 1 & & & & 1 & ---.-.-..- \\
\hline \$5,000-\$7,499 & 111 & 3 & 2 & 2 & ------- & & \\
\hline  & 20 & & & & & & \\
\hline \$10,000 and over---.-.--------.-- & 16 & & 1 & & 1 & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{Nonrelief Families} \\
\hline All families.. & 4,875 & 127 & 41 & 38 & 3 & 2, 589 & 166 \\
\hline \$0-\$249 & 46 & 14 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 259 & 77 \\
\hline \$250-\$499 & 209 & 23 & 3 & 3 & & 829 & 64 \\
\hline \$500-\$749 & 376 & 16 & 4 & 4 & & 773 & 14 \\
\hline \$750-\$999 & 654 & 11 & 4 & 3 & 1 & 384 & 6 \\
\hline \$1,000-\$1,249.......................- & 599 & 11 & 3 & 3 & & 170 & 2 \\
\hline \$1,250-\$1,499 & 491 & 10 & 5 & 5 & & 58 & 1 \\
\hline \$1,500-\$1,749 & 479 & 9 & 7 & 7 & & 34 & 1 \\
\hline \$1,750-\$1,999. & 454 & 10 & 1 & 1 & & 20 & 1 \\
\hline \$2,000-\$2,249 & 311 & 8 & 1 & 1 & & 26 & -----.---- \\
\hline \$2,250-\$2,499 & 280 & 2 & 2 & 2 & & 23 & ---------- \\
\hline \$2,500-\$2,999 & 352 & 2 & 2 & 2 & & 6 & \\
\hline \$3,000-\$3,499 & - 223 & 4 & 1 & 1 & & 4 & ------.--- \\
\hline \$3,500-\$3,999 & 117 & 1 & 2 & 2 & & 1 & \\
\hline \$4,000-\$4,499 & 85 & 2 & 1 & 1 & ------- & 1 & --------- \\
\hline  & 52 & 1 & & & & 1 & \\
\hline \$5,000-87,499 & 111 & 3 & 2 & 2 & & & \\
\hline  & 20 & & & & & & \\
\hline :10,000 and over.... & 16 & & 1 & & 1 &  & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
\({ }_{1}\) See the introductory note to sec. A for a comparison of the samples represented in this and subsequent tables. A family is classified as native if both husband and wife are native born (or, in the case of an incomplete family if the head is native born); otherwise, the family is classified as foreign born. A family is classified as a complete family if it includes both husband and wife; as an incomplete family if it does not include both husband and wife. Single individuals are included in the incomplete families. See glossary for further definitions. Since the data on these color and nativity groups are based on samples of different size, no valid combinations of the data can be made without applying weights shown in the explanatory note of sec. A.
\({ }^{2}\) See sec. B tables for tabular analysis of native white and Negro complete families.
3 Relief families are distributed according to their income, which excludes direct relief received in cash or kind.
}

MOBILE, ALA.
Table 2.-Oceupational groups: Number of families scheduled of specified occupational groups, by color and nativity, and income, 1935-36 \({ }^{1}\)


1 Since the data on these color and nativity groups are based on samples of different size, no valid combinations of the data can be made without applying weights shown in the explanatory note of sec. A. \({ }^{2}\) The business and professional families are classified as follows:
\begin{tabular}{l} 
Occupational group \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\({ }^{3}\) This group contains families with no gainfully employed members.
\({ }^{4}\) Complete families (all family types combined) and incomplete families.

\section*{MOBILE, ALA.}

Table 3.-Family types: Number of foreign-born white families scheduled of specified types, by income, 1935--36 \({ }^{1}\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{2}{*}{Income class} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { All } \\
& \text { fami- } \\
& \text { lies }
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{Complete families of type \({ }^{2}\) -} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Incomplete families \\
(12)
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline & & Any & I & II & III & IV & V & VI & VII & Other & \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) & \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Foreign-Born White Families} \\
\hline All families. & 44 & 40 & 9 & 2 & 3 & 13 & 5 & 3 & 4 & 1 & 4 \\
\hline Relief families & 3 & 2 & 1 & & & 1 & & & & & 1 \\
\hline Nonrelief families & 41 & 38 & 8 & 2 & 3 & 12 & 5 & 3 & 4 & 1 & 3 \\
\hline \$0-\$499. & 5 & 4 & 2 & & 1 & & & 1 & -- & & 1 \\
\hline \$500-\$749 & 4 & 4 & 1 & & & 2 & 1 & & & & \\
\hline \$750-\$999 & 4 & 3 & & & 1 & & & & 2 & & 1 \\
\hline \$1,000-\$1,249 & 3 & 3 & 1 & 1 & & 1 & & & & & \\
\hline \$1,250-\$1,499 & 5 & 5 & 1 & & & & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \\
\hline \$1,500-\$1,749 & 7 & 7 & 2 & 1 & & 2 & 2 & & & & \\
\hline \$1,750-\$1,999.............-- & 1 & 1 & & & & & & 1 & - & & \\
\hline \$2,000-\$2,499 .............. & 3 & 3 & & & 1 & 2 & & & & & \\
\hline \$2,500-\$2,999 ..............---- & 2 & 2 & & & & 2 & & & & & \\
\hline \$3,000-\$4,999. & 4 & 4 & & & & 2 & 1 & & 1 & & \\
\hline \$5,000 and over . . . . .-. -- & 3 & 2 & 1 & & & 1 & & & & & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Since the data on this nativity group is based on a sample of different size, no valid combinations of the data can be made without applying weights shown in the explanatory note of sec. A.
\({ }^{2}\) For definitions of family types, see footnote 1 of table 1 of sec. B, on p. 296.
}

MOBILE, ALA.
Table 4.-Sources of family income: Number of families scheduled receiving specified kinds of income, by color, nativity, and income, 1935-96 \({ }^{1}\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{4}{*}{Income class} & \multirow{3}{*}{\[
\left.\begin{gathered}
\text { Number } \\
\text { of } \\
\text { failies }
\end{gathered} \right\rvert\,
\]} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Number of families receiving - '} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Average family income \({ }^{2}\)} \\
\hline & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Money income from-} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\(\begin{gathered}\text { Non- } \\ \text { money } \\ \text { income } \\ \text { from } \\ \text { housing }{ }^{3}\end{gathered}\)
(5)} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Total} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Money income from-} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Nonmoney income from housing} \\
\hline & & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Earn- } \\
& \text { ings }
\end{aligned}
\] & Other sources (positive or negative) \({ }^{5}\) & & & Earnings & Other sources (positive tive) \({ }^{\circ}\) & \\
\hline & (2) & (3) & (4) & & (8) & (7) & (8) & \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{}} \\
\hline & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Relief families Nonrelief families & \[
\begin{array}{r}
18 \\
127
\end{array}
\] & 18
95 & 72 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 10 \\
& 81
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
354 \\
1,293
\end{array}
\] & 302
889 & 275 & 52
129 \\
\hline \$0-\$499 & 37 & 17 & 22 & 21 & 281 & 92 & 107 & 82 \\
\hline \$500-8749. & 16 & 11 & 12 & 11 & 609 & 224 & 301. & 84 \\
\hline \$750-\$999 & 11 & 9 & 5 & 6 & 862 & 601 & 148 & 113 \\
\hline \$1,000-\$1,249......... & 11 & 8 & 7 & 6 & 1,094 & 618 & 382 & 94 \\
\hline \$1,250-\$1,499 & 10 & 9 & 8 & 8 & 1,358 & 901 & 350 & 107 \\
\hline \$1,500-\$1,749 \(\ldots\)...... & 9 & 9 & 4 & 8 & 1, 593 & 1, 191 & 175 & 232 \\
\hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & 10 & 10 & 3 & 5 & 1, 874 & 1,597 & 107 & 170 \\
\hline \$2,000-\$2,499......... & 10 & 10 & 4 & 6 & 2,193 & 1,740 & 321 & 132 \\
\hline \$2,500-\$2,999 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 2 & (*) & (*) & \({ }^{*}\) ) & \\
\hline \(\$ 3,000-\$ 4,999 . . . . . . . . .-~\) & 8
3 & 7
3 & 5
1 & 5
3 & 3,776
6,143 & 2,960
3,871 & 658
1,600 & 158
672 \\
\hline Foreign-Born White Families " & & & & & & & & \\
\hline All families... & 44 & 44 & 6 & 21 & 1,905 & 1,742 & 74 & 89 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Relief families Nonrelief families} & 3 & 3 & & & \({ }^{426}\) & 426 & & \\
\hline & 41 & 41 & 6 & 21 & 2,013 & 1,838 & 80 & 95 \\
\hline \$0-\$499 & 5 & 5 & & 4 & 121 & 234 & -200 & 87 \\
\hline \$500- \$749 & 4 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 611 & 532 & 41 & 38 \\
\hline \$750-\$999 & \(\stackrel{4}{3}\) & \({ }_{3}^{4}\) & \(1-\) & \(\stackrel{1}{2}\) & 1.127 & \(\begin{array}{r}864 \\ 1,056 \\ \hline\end{array}\) & 14 & \(\stackrel{20}{57}\) \\
\hline \$1,250-\$1,499.. & 5 & 5 & & 1 & 1, 383 & 1,361 & 14 & \({ }_{22}\) \\
\hline \$1,500-\$1,749 ......... & 7 & 7 & 1 & , & 1,635 & 1,477 & 103 & 55 \\
\hline \$1,750-\$1,999......... & 1 & 1 & 1 & & (*) & (*) & (*) & \\
\hline  & 3 & 3 & & 1 & 2,283 & 2,242 & & 41 \\
\hline \$2,500-\$2,999-- & 2 & 2 & & 2 & (*) & (*) & & (*) \\
\hline \$3,000-\$4,999 & 4 & 4 & & \(\stackrel{2}{2}\) & 3,767 & 3, 225 & & 142 \\
\hline \$5,000 and over ...... & 3 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 8,428 & 6,923 & 1,117 & 388 \\
\hline Negro Incomplete Families & & & & & & & & \\
\hline All families.. & 216 & 205 & 49 & 41 & 330 & 291 & 26 & 13 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Relief families. Nonrelief families.} & 50 & 46 & & & 300 & 298 & 2 & \\
\hline & 166 & 159 & 45 & 41 & 339 & 288 & 34 & 17 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{7}{*}{} & 141 & 136 & & 33 & 251 & 219 & 19 & 13 \\
\hline & 14 & 12 & 5 & 2 & 624 & 516 & 98 & 10 \\
\hline & 6 & 6 & 1 & 2 & 840 & 747 & 48 & \\
\hline & & 2 & 1 & \(\stackrel{2}{2}\) & \({ }^{*}\) * \({ }^{\text {a }}\) & \({ }^{*}{ }^{*}\) ) & (*) & (*) \\
\hline & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \({ }^{(*)}\) & (*) & & (*) \\
\hline & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & (*) & (*) & (*) & (*) \\
\hline & & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Since the data on these color and nativity groups are based on samples of different size, no valid combinations of the data can be made without applying weights shown in the explanatory note of sec. A.
\({ }^{2}\) The averages in each column are based on all families, column (2), whether or not they received income from the specified source. See glossary for definition of terms.
\({ }^{3}\) Includes all families that owned homes during the report year (see table 7, columns 2, 6, and 10) as well as 2 native white incomplete, 1 foreign-born white and 3 Negro families who received rent as pay.
4 Represents the estimated rental value of owned homes for the period of ownership and occupancy, less estimated expenses allocable to that period; and the value of rent received as pay.
\({ }^{5}\) Includes families having money income other than earnings, families having business losses met from family funds, and families having both.
\({ }_{6}^{6}\) Includes money income other than earnings, after deduction of business losses met from family funds;
\({ }^{7}\) Complete families (all family types combined) and incomplete families.
*Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
}
\[
78078^{\circ}-39-31
\]

\section*{MOBILE, ALA.}

Table 5.-Principal earners: Number of principal earners scheduled by sex, with average weeks of employment and average annual earnings, by color, nativity, and income, 1935-36 \({ }^{1}\)


1 Since the data on these color and nativity groups are based on samples of different size, no valid combin. ations of the data can be made without applying weights shown in the explanatory note of sec. A.

2 The total number of principal earners given in column (3) is equivalent to the total number of families having individual earners, since a family can have only 1 principal earner. The difference between the totals in columns (2) and (3) is explained by the fact that column (2), number of families, includes cases in which none of the family income was attributable to individual earners.
\({ }^{3}\) A verages in this column are based on the number of principal earners reporting weeks of employment. \({ }^{4}\) A verages in this column are based on the corresponding counts of principal earners in column (3). Average earnings of principal earners according to sex were as follows:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Color and nativity group} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{All families} \\
\hline & Male & Female \\
\hline Native white, incomplete. & \$1. 320 & \$619 \\
\hline Foreign-born white. & 1,579 & 390 \\
\hline Negro incomplete.- & 347 & 224 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\({ }^{\circ}\) Complete families (all family types combined) and incomplete families.
*Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

MOBILE, ALA.
Table 6.-Number of earners in family: Number of families scheduled with specified number of individual earners, number and average earnings of supplementary earners, and average earnings of family from supplementary earners, by color, nativity, and income, 1935-36 \({ }^{1}\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{2}{*}{Income class} & \multirow{2}{*}{Number of families} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Number of families with specified number of individual earners} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Number of sup-plementary earners \\
(6)
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Average earnings of supplementary earners \({ }^{2}\) \\
(7)
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Average earnings per family from sup-plementary earners \({ }^{3}\) \\
(8)
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline & & Any & One & Two or more & & & \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{c|r|r|r|r|r|r|r}
\begin{tabular}{c} 
Native White Incomplete \\
Families
\end{tabular} & \\
All families....-.......--- & 145 & 100 & 70 & 30 & 36 & \(\$ 516\) & \(\$ 128\)
\end{tabular}}} \\
\hline & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Relief families \(\qquad\) \\
Nonrelief families
\end{tabular}} & 18 & 16 & 12 & 4 & 6 & 96 & 32 \\
\hline & 127 & 84 & 58 & 26 & 30 & 599 & 142 \\
\hline \$0-\$499 & 37 & 11 & 11 & & & & \\
\hline \$500-\$749. & 16 & 9 & 9 & & & & \\
\hline \$750-\$999. & 11 & 8 & 6 & 2 & 2 & (*) & 53 \\
\hline \$1,000-\$1,249 ............. & 11 & 7 & 4 & 3 & 3 & 309 & 84 \\
\hline \$1,250-\$1,499 ...........-- & 10 & 8 & 6 & 2 & 2 & (*) & 66 \\
\hline \$1,500-\$1,749 & 9 & 9 & 7 & 2 & 2 & (*) & 138 \\
\hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & 10 & 10 & 6 & 4 & 4 & 477 & 191 \\
\hline \$2,000-\$2,499 & 10 & 10 & 4 & 6 & 7 & 648 & 454 \\
\hline \$2,500-\$2,999 ..........-. & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 2 & (*) & (*) \\
\hline \$3,000-\$4,999 \(\ldots\)....-.----- & 8 & 7 & 2 & 5 & 6 & \({ }^{*} 753\) & 565 \\
\hline \$5,000 and over .....--.-- & 3 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & (*) & 720 \\
\hline Foreign-Born White Families \({ }^{4}\) & & & & & & & \\
\hline All families........---....- & 44 & 44 & 28 & 16 & 18 & 355 & 145 \\
\hline Relief families & 3 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 1 & (*) & 112 \\
\hline Nonrelief families. & 41 & 41 & 26 & 15 & 17 & 357 & 148 \\
\hline \$0-\$499 & 5 & 5 & 4 & 1 & 1 & (*) & 8 \\
\hline \$500-\$749 .-......-. .-. -- & 4 & 4 & 4 & & & & \\
\hline \$750-\$999 & 4 & 4 & 3 & 1 & 1 & (*) & 88 \\
\hline \$1,000-\$1,249 ............ & 3 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & (*) & 35 \\
\hline  & 5 & 5 & 4 & 1 & 1 & (*) & 49 \\
\hline \$1,500-\$1,749...-.-.----- & 7 & 7 & 4 & 3 & 3 & 221 & 95 \\
\hline \$1,750-\$1,999 ....-.-....-- & 1 & 1 & 1 & & & & \\
\hline \$2,000-\$2,499 .-.--------- & 3 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 1 & (*) & 208 \\
\hline \$2,500-\$2,999 & 2 & 2 & & 2 & 2 & (*) & (*) \\
\hline \$3,000-\$4,999 & 4 & 4 & 1 & 3 & 3 & - 484 & 363 \\
\hline \$5,000 and over..... & 3 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 1,667 & 1,667 \\
\hline Negro Incomplete Families & & & & & & & \\
\hline All families.- & 216 & 203 & 146 & 57 & 79 & 92 & 34 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Relief families
Nonrelief families} & 50 & 46 & 36 & 10 & 13 & 57 & 15 \\
\hline & 166 & 157 & 110 & 47 & 66 & 98 & 39 \\
\hline \$0-\$499. & 141 & 134 & 97 & 37 & 52 & 75 & 27 \\
\hline \$500-\$749 & 14 & 12 & 5 & 7 & 10 & 177 & 126 \\
\hline \$750-\$999....----------- & 6 & 6 & 5 & 1 & 2 & \({ }^{*}\) ) & 56 \\
\hline \$1,000-\$1,249...---.....-- & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & (*) & (*) \\
\hline \$1,250-\$1,499 \(\ldots \ldots . . . . .\). & 1 & 1 & 1 & & & & \\
\hline  & 1 & 1 & & 1 & 1 & (*) & (*) \\
\hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & 1 & 1 & 1 & & & & \\
\hline \$2,000 and over---.....- & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\({ }^{1}\) Since the data on these color and nativity groups are based on samples of different size, no valid combjnations of the data can be made without applying weights shown in the explanatory note of sec. A.
\({ }^{2}\) A verages in this column are based on the number of supplementary earners, column (6).
3 Averages in this column are based on the number of families in each class, column (2).
\({ }^{4}\) Complete families (all family types combined) and incomplete families.
*Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

\section*{MOBILE, ALA.}

TABLI 7 .-Average monthly rental value and average monthly rent: Number of home-owning and renting families scheduled, average monthly rental value, and average monthly rent, by color, nativity, and income, 1935-36 \({ }^{1}\)


\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Families are classified as home-owning or renting families according to their status at the date of interview. Since the data on these color and nativity groups are based on samples of different size, no valid combinations of the data can be made without applying weights shown in the explanatory note of sec. A.
\({ }^{2}\) Complete families (all family types combined) and incomplete families.
\({ }^{3}\) Based on estimate made by home owner for period of ownership and oceupancy during report year. Averages are based on the number of home-owning families as of end of report year.
* Rent reported at date of interview. Averages are based on the number of renting families in each class that reported monthly rent, including families receiving rent as gift, the amount of which is estimated by the family.
*Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
}

ALBANY, GA.
Table 1.-Color groups by income: Number of families scheduled of specified color, by income, 1935-36 \({ }^{1}\)


\footnotetext{
1 See the introductory note to sec. A for a comparison of the samples represented in this and subsequent tables. A family is classified as native if both husband and wife are native born (or in the case of an incomplete family if the head is native born); otherwise, the family is classifed as foreign born. A family is classified as a complete family if it includes both husband and wife; as an incomplete family if it does not include both husband and wife. Single individuals are included in the incomplete families. See glossary for further definitions. There are 4 foreign born white families not shown on this or any of the subsequent tables, due to their relative infrequency. Since the data on these color and nativity groups are based on samples of different size, no valid combinations of the data can be made without applying weights shown in the explanatory note of see. A.
\({ }_{2}\) See sec. \(\mathbf{B}\) tables for tabular analysis of native white and Negro complete families.
3 Relief families are distributed according to their income, which excludes direct relief received in eash or kind.
}

ALBANY, GA.
Table 2.-Occupational groups: Number of families scheduled of specified occupational groups, by color and income, 1935-36 \({ }^{1}\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Income class} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Occupational groups} \\
\hline & (2) & Wage earner
(3) & \begin{tabular}{l}
Clerical \\
(4)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Business and professional \({ }^{2}\) \\
(5)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Other \({ }^{3}\) \\
(6)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Native White Incomplete Families All families & 31 & 10 & 5 & 11 & 5 \\
\hline Relief families. Nonrelief families & 5
28 & 3
7 & 5 & 2
9 & 5 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Negro Incomplete Families \\
All families. \(\qquad\)
\end{tabular} & 139 & 126 & 2 & 5 & 6 \\
\hline Relief families Nonrelief families & \[
\begin{array}{r}
26 \\
113
\end{array}
\] & 20
106 & 2 & 1
4 & 5
1 \\
\hline \[
\$ 0-\$ 499-\cdots
\] & 106
2 & 100
2 & 2 & 3 & 1 \\
\hline \$750-\$999 & 3
1 & 1 & & 1 & --- \\
\hline \$1,250-\$1,499. & & & & & \\
\hline \$1,500-\$1,749.... & 1 & 1 & & & \\
\hline \$1,750 and over. & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\({ }^{1}\) Since the data on these color and nativity groups are based on samples of different size, no valid combinations of the data can be made without applying weights shown in the explanatory note of sec. A.
\({ }^{2}\) The business and professional families are classiffed as follows:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Occupational group} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{All families} \\
\hline & Native white incomplete &  \\
\hline Independent business. & & 3 \\
\hline Independent professional. & 1 & \\
\hline \(\xrightarrow{\text { Salaried }}\) Salaried professional.-.-. & 1 & 2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\({ }^{3}\) This group contains families with no gainfully employed members, with the exception of 1 native white incomplete family whose principal earnings were derived from farming.

\section*{ALBANY, GA.}

Table 4.-Sources of family income: Number of families scheduled receiving specified kinds of income, by color and income, 1935-96 \({ }^{1}\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{4}{*}{Income class} & \multirow{3}{*}{\[
\left.\begin{gathered}
\text { Number } \\
\text { of } \\
\text { families }
\end{gathered} \right\rvert\,
\]} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Number of families receiving-} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Average family income \({ }^{2}\)} \\
\hline & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Money income from-} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Nonmoney income from housing \({ }^{3}\)} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Total} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Money income from-} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Nonmoney income from housing 4} \\
\hline & & Earnings & Other
sources
(positive
or nega-
tive) & & & Earnings & Other sources (positive or negative) \({ }^{6}\) & \\
\hline & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Native White Incomplete Families} \\
\hline All families. & 31 & 27 & 12 & 9 & \$1,229 & \$947 & \$184 & \$98 \\
\hline Relief families.... & \({ }_{26}^{5}\) & \({ }_{22}^{5}\) & 11 & 1 & . 283 & 266
1.079 & 15 & 2 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Negro Incomplete Families} \\
\hline All families. & 139 & 134 & 23 & 22 & 236 & 213 & 16 & 7 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Relief families \\
Nonrelief families
\end{tabular}} & 26 & 21 & 4 & 4 & 189 & 168 & 12 & 9 \\
\hline & 113 & 113 & 19 & 18 & 247 & 225 & 16 & 6 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \$ 0-\$ 499 \\
& \$ 500-\$ 749 \\
& \$ 750-\$ 999 \\
& \$ 1,000-\$ 1,249
\end{aligned}
\]} & 106 & 106 & 16 & 12 & 201 & 188 & 9 & (4) \\
\hline & 2 & 2 & & 1 & (*) & (*) & & (*) \\
\hline & 3 & 3 & 1 & 3 & \({ }^{860}\) & \({ }^{760}\) & \({ }^{*}{ }^{60}\) & (*) 40 \\
\hline & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & (*) & (*) & (*) & (*) \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \$ 1,250-\$ 1,499 \\
& \$ 1,500-\$ 1,749
\end{aligned}
\]} & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l|}
\(\$ 1,500-\$ 1,749 \ldots\) \\
\(\$ 1,750\) \\
\end{tabular}}} & 1 & 1 & 1 & (*) & (*) & (*) & (*) \\
\hline & & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Since the data on these color and nativity groups are based on samples of different size, no valid combinations of the data can be made without applying weights shown in the explanatory note of sec. A. The averages in each column are based on all families, column (2), whether or not they received income from the specified source. See glossary for definition of terms.
\({ }^{3}\) Includes all families that owned homes during the report year (see table 7, columns 2 and 6).
4 Represents the estimated rental value of owned homes for the period of ownership and occupaney, less estimated expenses allocable to that period.
\(\delta\) Includes families having money income other than earnings, families having business losses met from family funds, and families having both.
\({ }^{6}\) Includes money income other than earnings, after deduction of business losses met from family funds.
*Averages not computed for few er than 3 cases.
}

\section*{ALBANY, GA.}

Table 5.-Principal earners: Number of principal earners scheduled by sex, with average weeks of employment and average annual earnings, by color and income, 1935-36 \({ }^{1}\)

\({ }^{1}\) Since the data on these color and nativity groups are based on samples of different size, no valid combinations of the data can be made without applying weights shown in the explanatory note of sec. A.

2 The total number of principal earners given in column (3) is equivalent to the total number of families having individual earners, since a family can have only one principal earner. The difference between the totals in columns (2) and (3) is explained by the fact that column (2), number of families, includes cases in which none of the family income was attributable to individual earners.
\({ }^{8}\) Averages in this column are based on the number of principal earners reporting weeks of employment.
4 A verages in this column are based on the corresponding counts of principal earners in column (3). Average earnings of principal earners according to sex were as follows:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{2}{*}{Color and nativity group} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{All families} \\
\hline & Male & Female \\
\hline Native white incomplete & \$889 & \$667 \\
\hline Negro incomplete.-..... & 310 & 135 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
*Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

\section*{ALBANY, GA.}

Table 6.-Number of earners in family: Number of families scheduled with specified number of individual earners, average number and average earnings of supplementary earners, and average earnings of family from supplementary earners; by color and income, 1935-96 \({ }^{1}\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Income class
(1)} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Number of families \\
(2)
\end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Number of families with specified number of individual earners} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Number of supplementary earners \\
(6)
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Average earnings of supple. mentary earners \({ }^{*}\) \\
(7)
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Average esrnings per family from supplementary eapners \({ }^{3}\) \\
(8)
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline & & \begin{tabular}{l}
Any \\
(3)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
One \\
(4)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Two or more \\
(5)
\end{tabular} & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{Native White Incomplete Families} \\
\hline All families. & 31 & 25 & 14 & 11 & 14 & \$471 & \$213 \\
\hline Relief families
Nonrelief families & 5
26 & 21 & 2
12 & 2
9 & \({ }_{12}^{2}\) & \({ }^{(*)} 521\) & 69
240 \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{Negro Incomplete Families} \\
\hline All families....-............ & 139 & 133 & 74 & 59 & 74 & 78 & 42 \\
\hline Relief families. & 26 & 21 & 12 & 9 & 15 & 54 & 31 \\
\hline Nonrelief families.-.-.-.-- & 113 & 112 & 62 & 50 & 59 & 84 & 44 \\
\hline \$0-\$499 & 106 & 105 & 61 & 44 & 47 & 70 & 31 \\
\hline \$500-\$749 & 2 & 2 & & 2 & 3 & 100 & (*) 187 \\
\hline \$750-\$999...------------- & 3 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 2 & (*) & 187 \\
\hline \$1,000 \(\$ 1,249 \ldots . . . . . . .\). & 1 & 1 & & 1 & 1 & (*) & (*) \\
\hline \$1,250-\$1,499 .......-. & & & & & & & \\
\hline \$1,500-\$1.749 .........- & 1 & 1 & & 1 & 6 & 100 & (*) \\
\hline \$1,750 and over-.-. .- & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) since the data on these color and nativity groups are based on samples of different size, no valid combinations of the data can be made without applying weights shown in the explanatory note of sec. A.
\({ }_{3}^{2}\) A verages in this column are based on the number of supplementary earners, column (6).
\({ }^{3}\) A verages in this column are based on the number of families in each class, column (2).
*Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
}

ALBANY, GA.
Table \(\%\).-Average monthly rental value and average monthly rent: Number of home-owning and renting families scheduled, average monthly rental value, and average monthly rent, by color and income, 1935-96 \({ }^{1}\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Income class} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Native white incomplete tamilies} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Negro incomplete families} \\
\hline & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Number of families-} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Average monthly-} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Number of families-} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Average monthly-} \\
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
Homeowning \\
(2)
\end{tabular} & Renting (3) & \begin{tabular}{l}
Rental value? \\
(4)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Rent \({ }^{3}\) \\
(5)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Homeowning \\
(6)
\end{tabular} & Renting (7) & \begin{tabular}{l}
Rental value \({ }^{2}\) \\
(8)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Rent \({ }^{3}\) \\
(9)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline All families & 9 & 22 & \$42 & \$16 & 22 & 117 & \$10 & \$5 \\
\hline Relief families Nonrclief familjes. & 1 & 4
18 & \(\left.{ }^{*}\right)_{47}\) & 10
18 & 4
18 & 22
95 & 11 & 5
5 \\
\hline \$0-\$499 & 1 & 2 & (*) & (*) & 12 & 94 & 10 & 5 \\
\hline \$500-\$749. & 2 & 6 & (*) & 11 & 1 & 1 & (*) & (*) \\
\hline \$750-\$999 & & 2 & & (*) & 3 & & & \\
\hline \$1,000-\$1,249 & 1 & 2 & (*) & (*) & 1 & & (*) & ---..... \\
\hline \$1,250-\$1,499 & & 2 & & (*) & & & & \\
\hline \$1,500-\$1.749 & & 1 & & (*) & 1 & & (*) & -----.-- \\
\hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \$2,000-\$2,499. & & 2 & & (*) & & & & \\
\hline \$2,500-\$2.999 & 2 & & (*) & & & & & \\
\hline \$3,000-\$4,999 & 2 & 1 & (*) & (*) & & & & \\
\hline \$5,000 and over . . . . & & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Families are classified as home-owning or renting families according to their status at the date of interview. Since the data on these color and nativity groups are based on samples of different size, no valid combinations of the data can be made without applying weights shown in the explanatory note of sec. A.
\({ }_{2}\) Based on estimate made by home owner for period of ownership and occupancy during report year. A verages are based on the number of home-owning families as of end of report year.
\({ }^{8}\) Rent reported at date of interview. Averages are based on the number of renting families in each class that reported monthly rent
*Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
}

GASTONIA, N. C.
Table 1.-Color groups by income: Number of families scheduled of specifed color, by income, 1935-36 \({ }^{1}\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Income class} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Native white} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Negro} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Income class} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Native white} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Negro} \\
\hline & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Com- } \\
& \text { plete }
\end{aligned}
\] & Incom-
plete & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Com- } \\
& \text { plete }
\end{aligned}
\] & Incomplete & & Com plete \({ }^{2}\) & Incom-
plete & Complete & Incomplete \\
\hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) \\
\hline Reliej and Nonrelief Families \({ }^{3}\) & & & & & Nonrelief Families & & & & \\
\hline All families & 2,336 & 80 & 517 & 79 & All families & 2,079 & 65 & 437 & 63 \\
\hline \$0-\$249 & 45 & 9 & 57 & 40 & \$0-\$249- & 15 & 3 & 35 & 32 \\
\hline \$250-\$499 & 239
414 & 17
15 & 206
173 & 23
12 & \$250-\$499. & \begin{tabular}{l}
120 \\
357 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} & 11
12 & 164
159 & 16 \\
\hline \$750-\$999 & 346 & 9 & 41 & & \$750-\$999 & 323 & 9 & 39 & 3 \\
\hline \$1,000-\$1,249 & 356 & 13 & 24 & & \$1,000-\$1,249 & 339 & 13 & 24 & \\
\hline \$1,250-\$1,499 & 237 & 8 & 6 & & \$1,250-\$1,499....- & 231 & 8 & 6 & \\
\hline \$1,500-\$1,749 & 169 & 1 & 7 & & \$1,500-\$1,749.... & 167 & 1 & 7 & \\
\hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & 144 & 3 & 1 & & \$1,750-\$1,999 \(\ldots\)... & 144 & 3 & 1 & \\
\hline \$2,000-\$2,249 & 101 & & 2 & & \$2,00- \$2,249 \(\ldots\) & 99 & & 2 & \\
\hline \$2,250-\$2,499 & 57
68 & & & 1 & \(\$ 2,250-\$ 2,499\)
\(\$ 2,-\cdots 00-\$ 2,999\) & 56 & 1 & & 1 \\
\hline \$2,500-\$2,999-.-- & 5 & & & & \$3,000-\$3,499..... & 51 & 4 & & \\
\hline \$3,500-\$3,999... & 30 & & & & \$3,500-\$3,999..... & 30 & & & \\
\hline \$4,000-\$4,499 & 19 & & & & \$4,000-\$4,499 .... & 19 & & & \\
\hline \$4,500-84,999 \(\ldots\) & 13 & & & & \$4,500-\$4,999... & 13 & & & \\
\hline \$5,000-\$7,499 & 32 & & & & \$5,000-\$7,499 & 32 & & & \\
\hline \$7,500-\$9,999 \(\ldots\) & 6 & & & & \$7,500-\$9,999 \(\ldots\) & 6 & & & \\
\hline \$10,000 and over. & 9 & & & & \$10,000 and over- & 9 & ------- & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
1 See the introductory note to sec. A for a comparison of the samples represented in this and subsequent tables. A family is classified as native if both husband and wife are native born (or, in the case of an incomplete family if the head is native born); otherwise, the family is classified as foreign born. A family is classified as a complete family if it includes both husband and wife; as an incomplete family if it does not include both husband and wife. Single individuals are included in the incomplete families. See glossary for further definitions. There are 6 foreign-born white families not shown on this or any of the subsequent tables, due to their relative infrequency. Since the data on these color and nativity groups are based on samples of different size, no valid combinations of the data can be made without applying weights shown in the explanatory note of sec. A.
\({ }_{3}\) See sec. \(B\) tables for tabular analysis of native white, and Negro complete families.
\({ }^{3}\) Relief families are distributed according to their income, which excludes direct relief received in cash or kind.
}

GASTONIA, N. C.
Table 2.-Dccupational groups: Number of families scheduled of specified occupational groups, by color and income, 1935-36 \({ }^{1}\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Income class} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Occupational groups} \\
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
All \\
(2)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Wage earner \\
(3)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Clerical \\
(4)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Business and professional \({ }^{2}\) \\
(5)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Other \({ }^{3}\) \\
(6)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Native White Incomplete Families \\
All families
\end{tabular} & 80 & 52 & 12 & 14 & 2 \\
\hline Relief families. Nonrelief families. & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 15 \\
& 65
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
15 \\
37 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} & 12 & 14 & 2 \\
\hline \$0-\$499 & 14 & & 2 & 7 & 1 \\
\hline \$500-\$749 & 12 & 9 & \(\stackrel{2}{2}\) & 1 & \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \$ 750-\$ 999 \\
& \$ 1,000-\$ 1,249
\end{aligned}
\] & \({ }_{13}^{9}\) & 6
8
8 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline \$1,250-\$1,499- & 8 & 5 & 1 & 2 & \\
\hline \$1,500-\$1,749. & 1 & 1 & & & \\
\hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & 3 & 3 & -----.-- & & \\
\hline \$2,000-\$2,499... & 1 & & & 1 & \\
\hline \$ \(\$ 2,500-\$ 2,999 .\). & 4 & 1 & 2 & 1 & \\
\hline Negro Incomplete Families & & & & & \\
\hline All families...-. & 79 & 72 & ----------- & 4 & 3 \\
\hline Relief families. \(\qquad\) Nonrelief families & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 16 \\
& 63
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 15 \\
& 57
\end{aligned}
\] & -----.-..---- & 4 & \(\frac{1}{2}\) \\
\hline \$0-\$499. & & 47 & & & 1 \\
\hline \$500-8749.. & 11 & 8 & & 2 & 1 \\
\hline \$750-\$999 & & 2 & & 1 & \\
\hline \$1,000-\$1,249... & & & & & \\
\hline \$1,500-\$1,749 & & & & & \\
\hline \$1,750-\$1,999... & & & & & \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \$ 2,000-\$ 2,499 \ldots \\
& \$ 2.500 \text { and over. }
\end{aligned}
\] & 1 & -----.-- & -------... & 1 & \\
\hline \$2,500 and over. & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\({ }^{1}\) Since the data on these color and nativity grou ps are based on samples of different size, no valid combinations of the data can be made without applying weights shown in the explanatory note of see. A.
\({ }_{2}\) The business and professional families are classified as follows:

\({ }^{3}\) This group contains families with no gainfully employed members.

GASTONIA, N. C.
Table. 4.-Sources of family income: Number of families scheduled receiving specified kinds of income, by color and income, 1995-36 \({ }^{1}\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{4}{*}{Income class

(1)} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Number of families} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Number of families receiving-} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Average family income \({ }^{2}\)} \\
\hline & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Money income from-} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Nonmoney income from housing \({ }^{3}\)} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Total family income} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Money income from-} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Nonmoney income from housing \({ }^{4}\)} \\
\hline & & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Earn- } \\
& \text { ings }
\end{aligned}
\] & Other sources (positive or negative) \({ }^{5}\) & & & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Earn- } \\
& \text { ings }
\end{aligned}
\] & Other sources (positive or negative) & \\
\hline & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Native White Incomplete Families} \\
\hline All families--------------- & 80 & 78 & 17 & 23 & \(\$ 886\) & \$758 & \$61 & \(\$ 67\) \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Relief families \\
Nonrelief families.
\end{tabular}} & 15 & 15 & 2 & & \(\begin{array}{r}339 \\ \hline\end{array}\) & 307 & 32 & \\
\hline & 65 & 63 & 15 & 23 & 1,013 & 863 & 68 & 82 \\
\hline \$0-\$499 & 14 & 13 & 6 & 7 & 335 & 186 & 69 & 80 \\
\hline \$500-\$749 & 12 & 12 & 1 & 4 & 629 & 590 & 6 & 33 \\
\hline \$750-\$999 & 9 & 8 & 4 & 5 & 850 & 535 & 177 & 138 \\
\hline \$1,000-\$1,249 & 13 & 13 & 2 & 1 & 1, 107 & 994 & 85 & 28 \\
\hline \$1,250-\$1,499 \(\ldots \ldots\) & 8 & 8 & & 3 & 1,368 & 1,215 & & 153 \\
\hline \$1,500-\$1,749 \(\ldots \ldots\). & 1 & 1 & .- & 1 & (*) & (*) & & (*) \\
\hline \$1,750-\$1,999 .......... & 3 & 3 & - & -......--- & 1,878 & 1,878 & & \\
\hline \$2,000-\$2,499 \(\ldots \ldots . .\). & 1 & 1 & & & (*) & (*) & & \\
\hline  & 4 & 4 & 2 & 2 & 2, 730 & 2,330 & 166 & 234 \\
\hline \$3,000 and over .-.--- & & & & & & & ----- & \\
\hline Negro Incomplete Families & & & & & & & & \\
\hline All families...--.-.---.--- & 79 & 76 & 10 & 18 & 336 & 295 & 18 & 23 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Relief families \\
Nonrelief families.
\end{tabular}} & 16 & 15 & 2 & 3 & 237 & 218 & 16 & 3 \\
\hline & 63 & 61 & 8 & 15 & 361 & 315 & 18 & 28 \\
\hline \$0-\$499 - & 48 & 47 & 5 & 7 & 232 & 213 & 5 & 14 \\
\hline \$500-\$749. & 11 & 10
3 & 2
1 & 6
2 & 616
854 & 478
684 & 51
120 & 87
40 \\
\hline \$1,000-\$1,249 \(\ldots \ldots\). & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \$1,250-\$1,499 .......... & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \$1,500-\$1,749 ....-. -- & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \$1,750-\$1,999.......... & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \$2,000-\$2,499........- & 1 & 1 & & & (*) & (*) & & \\
\hline \$2,500 and over . .-.--- & & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
1 Since the data on these color and nativity groups are based on samples of different size. no valid combins. tions of the data can be made without applying weights shown in the explanatory note of sec. A.

2 The averages in each column are based on all families, column (2), whether or not they received income from the specified source. See glossary for definition of terms.
\({ }^{3}\) Includes all families that owned homes during the report year (see table 7, cols. 2 and 6), as well as 1 native white incomplete and 1 Negro incomplete families who received rent as pay.
4 Represents the estimated rental value of owned homes for the period of ownership and occupancy, less estimated expenses allocable to that period; and the value of rent recoived as pay.
\(s\) Includes families having money income other than earnings, families having business losses met from family funds, and families having both.
\({ }^{6}\) Includes money income other than earnings, after deduction of business losses met from family funds.
*Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
}

\author{
GASTONIA, N. C.
}

Table 5.-Principal earners: Number of principal earners scheduled, by sex, with average weeks of employment and average annual earnings, by color, nativity, and income, 1935-36 \({ }^{1}\)

\({ }^{1}\) Since the data on these color and nativity groups are based on samples of different size, no valid combinations of the data can be made without applying weights shown in the explanatory note of sec. A.
\({ }^{2}\) The total number of principal earners given in column (3) is equivalent to the total number of families having individual earners, since a family can have only one principal earner. The difference between the totals in columns (2) and (3) is explained by the fact that column (2), number of families, includes cases in which none of the family income was attributable to individual earners.
\({ }^{3}\) Averages in this column are based on the number of principal earners reporting weeks of employment.
\({ }^{4}\) Averages in this column are based on the corresponding counts of principal earners in column (3). Average earnings of principal earners according to sex were as follows:
\begin{tabular}{l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline Color and nativity group & All families \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
* Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
}

GASTONIA, N. C.
Table 6.-Number of earners in family: Number of families scheduled with spcified number of individual earners, number, and average earnings of supplementary earners, and average earnings of family from supplementary earners, by color and income, 1935-96 \({ }^{1}\)


1 Since the data on these color and nativity groups are based on samples of different size, no valid combinations of the data can be made without applying weights shown in the explanatory note of sec. A.
\({ }^{2}\) A verages in this column are based on the number of supplementary earners, column (6).
\({ }^{3}\) A verages in this column are based on the number of families in each class, column (2).
*Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

GASTONIA, N. C.
Table 7.-Average monthly rental value and average monthly rent: Number of home owning and renting families scheduled, average monthly rental value, and average monthly rent, by color and income, 1985-36 1


\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Families are classified as home-owning or renting families according to their status at the date of interview. Since the data on these color and nativity groups are based on samples of different size, no valid combinations of the data can be made without applying weights shown in the explanatory note of sec. A.
\({ }^{2}\) Based on estimate made by home-owner for period of ownership and occupancy during report year. Averages are based on the number of home-owning families as of end of report year.
\({ }^{3}\) Rent reported at date of interview. Averages are based on the number of renting families in each class that reported monthly rent, including families receiving rent as gift, the amount of which is estimated by the family.
*Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
}

\section*{Appendix A}

\section*{Sampling Procedure in Five Cities of the Southeast}

\section*{The Random Sample}

According to the general plan for the Study of Consumer Purchases, cities in three size groups were selected for study in each region. In the southeastern United States, Atlanta, Ga., with its population of 270,366 , was selected as the large city; Mobile, Ala. (population 68,202 ) and Columbia, S. C. (population 51,581 ) represented two middle-sized cities, while Gastonia, N. C. (population 17,093 ) and Albany, Ga. (population 14,507 ) were chosen as the small cities to be surveyed by the Bureau of Labor Statistics. \({ }^{1}\)

Since the findings presented in this study of families in the Southeast are based upon data gathered by random sampling, a detailed statement of the sampling procedure by which the community patterns were ascertained is now presented. A discussion of the sampling method employed in the study of expenditures will be included in volume II of the Southeastern bulletin.

\section*{The Record Card Sample}

Selection of the random sample.-The plans called for a sample of families large enough in each city to yield the number of cases of specified income, occupation, and family type required for the controlled expenditure study. A total 80 percent coverage of families in Atlanta and a 100 percent coverage in each of the other cities was planned. \({ }^{2}\) On the basis of the 1930 census enumeration, this would amount to the following number of families: Atlanta, 54,199; Mobile, 16,806; Columbia, 11,184; Gastonia, 3,697; Albany, 4,024.

Practical considerations required that insofar as possible the sample be drawn in the office under careful supervision rather than in the field by the agents. The 1936 city directory in Mobile, the 1934 for Gastonia, and the 1935 city directory in each of the other cities provided the most complete listing of dwelling units available, and were used, therefore, as the source for the sampling.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) The small cities of Sumter, S. C., and Griffin, Ga. were surveyed by the Bureau of Home Economies as a part of the coordinated program in this region. This Bureau also surveyed villages and farm areas of Georgia, South Carolina, North Carolina and Mississippi.

2 The reduction of the Atlanta sample to a 50 -percent basis, and the use of substitutions from a stratified sample, is discussed later under Refusals or Partial Information Record Cards and Family Schedules.
}

Since there was some uncertainty as to the size of sample which could be completed within the limits of time and funds available, it was necessary to draw a number of smaller samples in each city, each as representative as possible of the city's population. The drawing of several subsamples was desirable also because the number of random sample schedules required to yield the number and types of cases planned for the controlled sample was not known in advance; thus additional samples were added as more cases for the controlled sample were needed.

The percentage coverage of the subsamples in each city along with the total number of addresses drawn from the directory in each sample \({ }^{3}\) is shown in table 1.

Table 1.-Percentage coverage and total number of addresses drawn in subsamples in cities of the Southeast \({ }^{1}\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline City & Sample & Percentage coverage & Total number of addresses \\
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{Atlanta, Ga} & First & 4 & 2,889 \\
\hline & Second & 4
4 & 2,869 \\
\hline & Fourth.-. & \(\stackrel{4}{8}\) & 5, \({ }^{2,850}\) \\
\hline & Fifth & 20 & 14, 204 \\
\hline & Sixth. & 40 & 27, 739 \\
\hline & Total & 80 & \({ }^{2} 56,248\) \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{Mobile, Ala} & First. & 10 & 1,927 \\
\hline & Second & 10 & 2,027 \\
\hline & Third-.-- & 20
20 & 3,906
3,756 \\
\hline & Fifth... & 40 & 7,744 \\
\hline & Total & 100 & 19,360 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{Columbia, s. C..} & First.- & & 1,312 \\
\hline & Thecond. & 10
20 & 1,315 \\
\hline & Third.- & 20
20 & 1,574
\(\mathbf{2 , 5 9 3}\) \\
\hline & Fifth.. & 40 & 5,196 \\
\hline & Total & 100 & 12,990 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Gastonia, N. C.} & First & 25 & 1,165 \\
\hline & Second & 25
50 & 1,169
2,258 \\
\hline & Total & 100 & 4,592 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Albany, Ga} & First. & & 1,036 \\
\hline & Second Third. & 25
50 & 1,046
2,083 \\
\hline & Total & 100 & 4,165 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\({ }^{1}\) Includes business buildings, vacancies, etc.
\({ }^{2}\) These figures do not include 252 addresses of the alley sample or 6,548 additional directory cards for "extra" families found, through the field visit, to be residing as separate families at addresses listed to contain only one family.

The sample was selected from the list of householders, arranged by street numbers in the directory, rather than from the alphabetical name list. At regular intervals, going from the top to the bottom of

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{3}\) All the data for each of the communities relate to the city proper and not to the metropolitan district.
}
the page, an address was drawn for the sample. An address was defined as the section of a building providing living quarters for a family, or quarters occupied by business concerns or other organizations. Thus each apartment unit in an apartment house and each floor of a two-family flat was regarded as an address and counted individually when drawing the sample.

In Atlanta, 252 additional addresses were included in the random sample since it was found that the city directory was incomplete. These 252 addresses, constituting an 80 percent sample of alley dwellings, were obtained from the City Planning Commission and from visits to alleys with dwellings by field agents.

For every eight addresses out of ten in Atlanta and for every address in the other cities, a "control card" was prepared from the city directory, giving the name (of the householder or of the organization occupying an address), sample number, and address. The information recorded on this "control card" plus more detailed information from the directory regarding the address or household (i. e., type of structure, vacant, telephone, wife's name, occupation of head), was then transcribed to a "record card" which served as the assignment to the agent of the family to be interviewed.

Collection of the record card sample.-The addresses drawn from the city directory were visited to obtain the record card information from the family residing at the assigned dwelling unit. \({ }^{4}\) Each address listed as vacant in the directory was visited and if it was found to be an inhabited dwelling unit the family residing therein was scheduled. The agents were instructed to fill out every item on the card. In addition to data needed for identifying the dwelling unit, the following information was obtained from every family interviewed for the record card data:

Item 8.-Whether the family member interviewed was white, Negro, or other color.

Item 9.- Whether two, or more, persons were living together and dependent on a common income.

A one-person family was defined as a person who lives alone or who has others living in his household but not sharing his income or expenses. Two persons living together financially independent of each other were regarded as two oneperson families.

Items 10 and 11.-Whether or not the husband and wife; or male or female head of the family was born in continental United States or Alaska.

Item 12.-Whether the family maintained its own housekeeping quarters, that is, had access to kitchen facilities, or was rooming with another family in a rooming house, hotel, or institution.

Item 13.-Whether the family included both a husband and a wife. If so, whether they had been married less than one year.

If the dwelling unit visited proved to be uninhabited or if it was impossible to locate the address, the agent returned the card to the

\footnotetext{
4 See facsimile of record card, p. 500.
}
office with a notation to that effect, but no substitution of address was made by the original agent. \({ }^{5}\) All schedules of every agent were carefully checked by the supervisory staff in the office and a sample of each agent's work was checked through the reinterviewing of families by a supervisor There is reason to feel that the schedules turned into the office represented the families assigned to agents. By reinterviewing families and shifting agents, the number of unacceptable schedules and refusals was kept at a minimum.

\section*{The Family Schedule Sample}

The final goal of this survey was to obtain data on expenditures from families of such a homogeneous character that definite conclusions could be reached with regard to consumption patterns of different income groups, occupational groups, and family types. Since in the Southeastern region native white and Negro families containing both husband and wife were covered in the expenditure study, the major emphasis of the income or family schedule survey was also placed upon these groups.

Except for the families drawn in one subsample in each city, only families having specified characteristics were asked to give the family schedule information. \({ }^{\text {. }}\) The required characteristics are referred to as "eligibility requirements" and the families meeting these requirements are designated as the "eligible" families. The record card provided the information needed to determine whether the family schedule was to be filled out for a given family. Tables included in the Tabular Summary relate primarily to these "eligible" families-occasionally referred to as the "regular sample." The number of eligible families as a percentage of all families; the number of eligible native white families as a percentage of all native white families (including native white single individuals who were householders); and the number of eligible Negro families as a percentage of all native Negro families, is shown below:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline City & Eligible families as percentage of all families & Eligible native white families as percentage of all native white families & Eligible Negro families as percentage of all native Negro families \\
\hline Atlanta & 65.0 & 73.6 & 54.9 \\
\hline Mobile & 61.1 & 69.6 & 56.3 \\
\hline Columbia & 66.7 & 74.3 & 57.8 \\
\hline Gastonia & 76.5 & 83.3 & 58.5 \\
\hline Albany. & 64.3 & 75.8 & 57.5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Eligibility requirements.-The eligibility requirements for the family schedule (except for 1 subsample) are as follows:

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{5}\) The procedure with regard to substitutions affected Atlanta in the finalanalysis, and the other cities as regards the composition of subsamples, even though eventually every address was visited.
© See facsimile of family schedule, p. 501.
}

Color.-Negro families as well as white families were eligible for the regular sample in the Southeastern region. Since the agent was instructed to observe rather than ask the color of the family, it was possible that some families were classified differently than they would have been if the information had been asked.

Nativity.-Only families with a native-born husband and wife were eligible. Families were classified as "native" when both the husband and wife had been born in the continental United States or in Alaska.

Housekeeping arrangements.-Only families having the use of kitchen facilities at the date of interview were eligible. Thus families living in rooming houses, hotels, or institutions, where they did not keep house were not asked to give family schedule information.

Family composition.-Only families containing both husband and wife at the date of interview were eligible for the family schedule.

Number of years married.-Only families in which the husband and wife had been married for more than one year were eligible for the regular sample.

The number of native white families meeting these eligibility requirements is compared with the total native white family population, and the number of native Negro families which were eligible is compared with the total native Negro family population in each city in the accompanying table 2.

Table 2.-Native white and Negro families classified by eligibility for family schedule interview in Southeastern cities
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Atlanta \({ }^{1}\) & Mobile & Columbia & Gastonia & Albany \\
\hline Native white sample & & & & & \\
\hline Estimated total native white families, & 43, 190 & 8,779 & 6,551 & 2,850 & 1,526 \\
\hline Native white families eligible for family schedule- & 31,808 & 6,114 & 4,868 & 2,375 & 1,157 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Native white families ineligible for family sched- \\
ule.
\end{tabular} & 11,382 & 2,665 & 1,683 & 475 & 369 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Reasons for ineligibility: \\
(a) Did not contain both husband and wife \({ }^{2}\) -
\end{tabular} & 8, 021 & 1,369 & 839 & 331 & 131 \\
\hline (b) One-person households..............- & 2,164 & 1,044 & 745 & 84 & 205 \\
\hline (c) Husband and wife married less than 1 year & 752 & 155 & 55 & 48 & 22 \\
\hline (d) Family not living in housekeeping quarters. & 445 & 97 & 44 & 12 & 11 \\
\hline Native Negro sample & & & & & \\
\hline Estimated total native Negro families.....---.--- & 22,302 & 6,799 & 4,097 & 899 & 2,196 \\
\hline Native Negro families eligible for family schedule
Native & 12,249 & 3,827 & E, 368 & 526 & 1,263 \\
\hline Native Negro families ineligible for family schedule. & 10,053 & 2,972 & 1,729 & 373 & 933 \\
\hline Reasons for ineligibility: & & & & & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
(a) Did not contain both husband and wife \({ }^{2}\) - \\
(b) One-person households.
\end{tabular} & 6,748
3,014 & 2,039 & 1,145
515 & 326
27 & 288, \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
(c) Husband and wife married less than 1 \\
year
\end{tabular} & 3,014
84 & 111 & 38
38 & 16 & 33 \\
\hline (d) Family not living in housekeeping quarters. & 207 & 70 & 31 & 4 & 15 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
1 These figures bave been estimated on the basis of increasing the original record card distribution to a 100 percent coverage.
\({ }^{1}\) In some cases, it was determined after the family schedule information had been obtained, that either the husband or the wife had not been a member of the economic family for 27 weeks or longer. (See Definition of Items on the Family Schedule for discussion of membership in the economic family. ( Such schedules were not included in the analysis of the regular sample.
}

The comprehensive sample.-The subsample in which eligibility requirements were disregarded and in which every family interviewed
was requested to give the information shown on the family schedule is referred to as the comprehensive sample. The comprehensive sample constitutes roughly the following proportion of addresses listed in the city directory: 4 percent in Atlanta, 10 percent in Mobile, 10 percent in Columbia, 25 percent in Gastonia, and 25 percent in Albany. The "eligible" family schedules in these samples were tabulated with the eligible cases in all the other samples, while the "ineligibles" were tabulated separately and weighted according to the frequency of such cases in the total city sample. These "ineligibles" include native white or Negro families without both husband and wife, one-person householders, families in which the husband and wife had been married less than 1 year, families rooming rather than maintaining housekeeping quarters, foreign-born families, and families of color other than Negro or white.

Refusals or partial information record cards and family schedules.Despite the techniques of reinterviewing families, shifting agents, and making night calls, it was impossible to locate and interview all families assigned; in addition, a certain proportion of those families interviewed either refused part or all information or were unable to give complete information.

To improve the Atlanta random sample by correcting for biases due to refusals and incomplete schedules, the original 80 percent random sample was reduced to a 50 percent sample by eliminating schedules from the subsamples and using the needed schedules among those thus eliminated for substitution in the used portion of the sample. In addition, a list of business and professional occupational income groups was compiled from such sources as classified sections of the city directory and the telephone directory, lists of professional groups or societies, lists of business concerns and business officials, and managers and officials of the municipal, State, and Federal governments. Persons on this list who resided within the city but who had not been drawn in the six random samples were visited. From this stratified sample for Atlanta, office substitutions were drawn to match refusals and partial information schedules in the random sample.

Before making substitutions it was necessary to analyze unobtained or incomplete schedules for such items as estimated rent, occupation of head as listed in various directories in the city, district location of the address, type of dwelling as observed by the field worker, and any partial information entered on the schedule. Thus it was possible to determine the economic and occupational level of the families from which completed schedules were not obtained. Substitutions were then made by matching each refusal with a similar case, or by substituting a given number of cases in the same district or of the same occupation as those in which the unobtained schedules were located.

The effect of substitution in Atlanta may be observed from the figures of table 3 which show, for Negroes and whites separately, the
proportion of refusals and partial information family schedules in each occupational group before and after substitution.

Table 3.-Refusal and partial information family schedules in Atlanta before and after substitution, by occupational group
[Incomplete family schedules as a percentage of families eligible for family schedule information] \({ }^{1}\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Wage earner & Clerical & Independent business and profession & Salaried business & Salaried professional & Other & Total \\
\hline Native white families & & & & & & & \\
\hline 80 percent sample before substitution. & 8.3 & 15.0 & 25.2 & 26.0 & 12.4 & 33.6 & 15.0 \\
\hline After reduction to 50 percent sample and substitution.-.... & 9.1 & 10.0 & 10.0 & 10.0 & 10.0 & 9.9 & 9.6 \\
\hline Native Negro familits & & & & & & & \\
\hline 80 percent sample before substitution. & 4.7 & 13.6 & 13.1 & & 6 & . 9 & 5.0 \\
\hline After reduction to 50 percent sample and substitution. & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & 0 & 0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\({ }^{1}\) The number of refusals or incomplete cards from eligible families includes not only families known to be eligible from complete record card information, but also that proportion of families estimated to be eligiblo from incomplete record cards.

Table 4 shows for the Negro and for the white sample in each city the final refusals and incomplete record cards as a percentage of all families, as well as the percentage of eligible families which refused or gave only partially complete family schedule information.

Although every effort was made to secure a completely random sample, an examination of the incomplete and unobtained schedules revealed a slight bias consisting of a shortage of families in the upper income and business and professional groups. Apart from outright refusals, families with larger resources were frequently away from home, particularly during vacation seasons. Even return visits to some families found no one at home but servants who could not give the desired information.

Table 4.--Proportion of refusals and incomplete record cards and family schedules, for sample of white and Negro families
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{City} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Sample of white families} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Sample of Negro families} \\
\hline & Percentage of record cards incomplete or unobtained & Percentage of eligible families not giving family schedule data \({ }^{1}\) & Percentage of record cards incomplete or unobtained & Percentage of eligible families not giving family schedule data 1 \\
\hline Atlanta & 4. 6 & 9.6 & 0.2 & 0 \\
\hline Mobile & 2.9 & 17.1 & . 4 & 3.6 \\
\hline Columbia & . 2 & 4.1 & 0 & . 2 \\
\hline Gastonia. & . 9 & 5. 1 & \(0^{3}\) & 1.5 \\
\hline Albany -- & 1.0 & 13.6 & 0 & 2.1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) The number of refusals or incomplete cards from eligible families used in computing these percentages includes not only families known to be eligible from complete record card information, but also that proportion of families estimated to be eligible from incomplete record cards.
}

With the aid of directory information and partial information recorded on the schedules, it was possible to ascertain the occupational grouping of most of the families from which completed schedules were not obtained. The estimated proportion of families in each occupational group failing to give the complete information called for appears in table 5.

Table 5.-Estimated percentage of unobtained and incomplete family schedules, by occupational group
a. NATIVE WHITE OOMPLETE FAMILIES
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Occupational group & Atlanta & Mobile & Columbia & Gastonia & Albany \\
\hline All families.. & 9.6 & 17.1 & 4.1 & 5.1 & 13.6 \\
\hline Wage earner. & 9.1 & 8.7 & 1.4 & 2.2 & 4.7 \\
\hline Clerical & 10.0 & 18.4 & 3.7 & 7.1 & 11.9 \\
\hline Business and professional & 10.0 & 27.6 & 7.1 & 12.9 & 23.3 \\
\hline Other-- & 9.8 & 18.6 & 0 & 15.6 & 32.4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
b. NATIVE NEGRO COMPLETE FAMILIES
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Occupational group & Atlanta & Mobile & Columbia & Gastonia & Albany \\
\hline All families & 0 & 3.6 & 0.2 & 1.5 & 2.1 \\
\hline Wage earner & 0 & 3.1 & 0 & 1.5 & 2.0 \\
\hline Clerical, business, and professional & 0 & 8.1 & 1.7 & 0 & 1.7 \\
\hline Other------- & 0 & 0 & 0 & 14.3 & 9.1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

According to these estimates approximately one-tenth of the Atlanta native white complete families in each occupational group could not be interviewed or did not give the income information. Since the proportion of incomplete schedules was the same for each occupational group, the refusals would have no effect upon the occupational distribution.
In the other cities, however, from the point of view of the effect of refusals upon the occupational distribution, it may be concluded that had completed schedules been obtained from every family the proportion of business, professional, and clerical families would have been slightly higher than that shown in the text.

In Mobile, for instance, substantially the same proportion of all native white complete families would have been classified in the clerical group while the proportion in the business and professional occupations would have been 30 percent instead of 27 percent. If there had been no unobtained schedules, the only other significant changes in the occupational distribution of native white complete families would have resulted in raising the proportion of business and professional families from 17 percent to 19 percent in Gastonia and from 31 percent to 35 percent in Albany.

A more important consideration is the effect of refusals and unobtained cases upon the income distribution. \({ }^{7}\) Although there is great variability in the size of incomes received by families within any given occupational group, the relatively high general level of income among the business and professional families which, as we saw, had higher refusal rates, indicates an underrepresentation of the upper income groups in the sample obtained. In terms of the income distribution as a whole, however, the understatement is not very serious. If we made the extreme assumption, for example, that all unobtained schedules indicating native white business and professional persons represented families with incomes of \(\$ 3,000\) or more, it would mean that instead of the 88 percent of Mobile's native white complete families which are classified in the text as receiving incomes of less than \(\$ 3,000\), 82 percent should have been so classified. The changes involved in the income distribution of native white complete families in the other cities would have been even less great. Practically no change would have been effected in the income distribution of Negroes even if the assumption was made that the clerical, business, and professional families from which complete information was not obtained had incomes of at least \(\$ 1,000\).

But a tabulation of the unobtained schedules by districts suggests that the assumption that all these native white business and professional families had incomes above \(\$ 3,000\) would be exaggerated; many of the unobtained cases obviously had low incomes. The generalizations from the data obtained, however, are not vitiated by these omissions, and except for the slight downward bias in the total income distribution and the underrepresentation of the business and professional occupational groups, no findings with reference to particular income bands, occupational groups, or family types are affected.

\section*{Tests of Sample}

Comparison of the record card sample with the 1930 census.-Reports submitted from the field offices classified the addresses drawn into those found to contain householders, vacancies, and business addresses or institutions. The number representing householders or families is shown below to permit comparison with the 1930 census:

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{7}\) Unfortunately, no other estimates of family income in these Southeastern cities are available to serve as checks upon the present study. The income tax data are not comparable due not only to differences in items included but also to the fact that many of the returns are for individuals rather than for families. The Real Property Inventory, available for A tlanta and Columbia, was also a canvassed study, so the limitations of the present study also applied to the results of that survey.
}
\begin{tabular}{lc|r|r}
\hline \hline City & & \begin{tabular}{r} 
Number of \\
householders \\
drawn from \\
city directory
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Number of \\
families in \\
1930
\end{tabular} \\
\hline census
\end{tabular}
\({ }_{1}\) This figure has been estimated by increasing the 80 percent sample to a 100 percent coverage. The 80 percent sample included also 251 householders from the alley sample which were not listed in the city directory. Since there is no evidence that the Atlanta family population had declined to this extent in the 6 years between 1930 and 1936, it appears probable that the listings of the city directory were very incomplete. Consequently, the sample was adjusted to conform with census figures.

Table 6.-Comparison of color and nativity of families reported in 1930 census with sample of record cards obtained in Study of Consumer Purchases
a. ATLANTA \({ }^{1}\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Color and nativity & 1930 census & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Adjusted num- } \\
& \text { ber record } \\
& \text { cards, } 100 \text { per- } \\
& \text { cent sample }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline All families... & 67,749 & 67, 749 \\
\hline  & \({ }^{2} 41,959\) & 43, 190 \\
\hline Foreign-born white and white of 'mixed'' nativity of husbands and wives.- & 2,327 & 2,240 \\
\hline  & 23,450 13 & 22, 302 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
b. MOBILE \({ }^{3}\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline All families & 16,806 & 16,277 \\
\hline Native white & 49,199 & 8,779 \\
\hline Foreign-born white and white of "mixed" nativity of husbands and wives & 884 & 693 \\
\hline Negro-color & 6,711
12 & \(\begin{array}{r}6,799 \\ \hline\end{array}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
c. COLUMBIA \({ }^{3}\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline All families.- & 11, 184 & 10,851 \\
\hline  & \({ }^{5} 6,446\) & 6,551 \\
\hline Foreign-born white and white of "mixed" nativity of husbands and wives.- & 246 & 202 \\
\hline Negro--.- & 4,488 & 4,097 \\
\hline Other color & 4 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
d. GASTONIA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline All families... & 3,697 & 3,791 \\
\hline  & 62,834 & 2,850 \\
\hline Foreign-born white and white of 'mixed" nativity of husbands and wives.- & 57 & 41 \\
\hline  & 806 & 899 \\
\hline Other color- & ----.--- & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
e. ALBANY \({ }^{3}\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline All families. & 4,024 & 3, 762 \\
\hline  & \({ }^{7} 1,604\) & 1,526 \\
\hline Foreign-born white and white of 'mixed"' nativity of husbands and wives-- & , 74 & 1,529 \\
\hline Negro --... & 2, 345 & 2,196 \\
\hline Other color & 1 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

1 To facilitate comparison with the 1930 census, the figures for Atlanta have been stepped up to a 100 percent coverage. The adjustment of the sample which is referred to in footnote 1 above did not affect the proportionate distribution of nativity groups as found by the Study of Consumer Purchases.
\({ }_{2}^{2} 42,236\) reported in census minus 277 estimated number of native husbands with foreign wives.
\({ }^{3}\) Because of the impossibility of obtaining reliable figures on the present population of this city and because the difference between the population in 1930 and \(1935-36\) is slight, no attempt has been made to adjust the number of record cards representing families to conform with the family population as shown in the census.
\({ }_{5}^{4} 9,320\) reported in census minus 121 estimated number of native husbands with foreign wives.
66,480 reported in census minus 34 estimated number of native husbands with foreign wives.
62,842 reported in census minus 8 estimated number of native husbands with foreign wives.
\({ }^{7} 1,614\) reported in census minus 10 estimated number of native husbands with foreign wives.

To compare the number of families of each nativity or color found in the sample with the census, it is necessary to adjust the census figures for a difference in definition. The Consumer Purchases Study classifies as foreign born, families in which either the husband or wife is foreign, while the census has regard only to the nativity of the husband in complete families. Thus, families with native husbands and foreign wives are classed as foreign but as native in the census classification. An examination of a sample of 1,165 families classified as foreign in the Study for Atlanta revealed that 11.9 percent of the foreign families were such "mixed nativity" cases. The percentage of 13.7 , which was determined by the same procedure for Mobile, was used also as the percentage by which census figures must be adjusted for comparability with the sample figures in the other Southeastern cities.

Adjusting the census figures for this difference in definition, the comparison of the census with the present sample, as found through field investigation, is shown for each city in tables 6 a to 6 e.

\section*{Weights for Different Nativity and Race Groups}

Although family schedule data were obtained only from "eligible" families, except for one subsample in each city, it was desired to obtain total community patterns with all nativity and race groups combined. Information obtained during the record card interview for both ineligible and eligible families has made it possible to determine the relative frequency of complete and incomplete families in the native white and Negro groups, and of foreign-born families. The number of family schedules tabulated, the weights used, and the estimated total number of families in each group are shown below for the cities of the Southeastern region.

With few exceptions these weights have been applied to the original family schedule data shown in the Tabular Summary, sections B and C, to obtain the estimated distribution by income of the total population (sec. A). This was done, in general, even for data which appeared to show the effect of random fluctuations in the sample.

There were a few instances of schedules representing incomes above \(\$ 5,000\) which hardly indicated anything more than that such a report had been received and was to be accounted for. In cases of this sort the entire step-up could not be applied solely at the point reported but had to be distributed among adjoining income bands, applying such judgment as could be brought to bear upon the case to produce what seemed a reasonable estimate as to the probable distribution. In general, it may be said that such manipulation occurred among the cases of incomplete native white families in the highest income classes and in the retired and nonemployed group. Precisely because there has been some departure from a strictly mechanical handling of the
higher incomes reports, the text usually groups incomes of \(\$ 3,000\) and over and combines the business and professional occupational groups. Wherever there has been manipulation it may be assumed that it has had no real influence in determining the distribution of the total population.

Table 7.-Number of schedules tabulated and weights used for specified nativity, color, and family composition groups in building up total population distributions
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline City, color, and nativity & Number of family schedules tabulated & Weights \({ }^{1}\) & Estimated number of families in a 100 percent sample \({ }^{2}\) \\
\hline Atlanta & & & \\
\hline Complete native white. & 14,323 & \({ }^{3} 2.2983313\) & 32,919 \\
\hline Incomplete native white & 260 & 39. 503846 & 10,271 \\
\hline Complete Negro. & 5,527 & 2. 2560159 & 12,469 \\
\hline Incomplete Negro. & 214 & 45.948598 & 9,833 \\
\hline Foreign-born & 53 & 42. 264150 & 2,240 \\
\hline Other color. & & (*) & 17 \\
\hline Mobile & & & \\
\hline Complete native white_ & 5,384 & \({ }^{3} 1.1790490\) & 6,348 \\
\hline Incomplete native white & 145 & 16.765520 & 2,431 \\
\hline Complete Negro. & 3,370 & 1. 1821958 & 3,984 \\
\hline Incomplete Negro & 216 & 13. 032407 & 2,815 \\
\hline Foreign-born.-. & 44 & 15.750000 & 693 \\
\hline Other color. & & (*) & 6 \\
\hline Columbia & & & \\
\hline Complete native white & 4,715 & \({ }^{3} 1.0521739\) & 4,961 \\
\hline Incomplete native white. & 93 & 17.096774 & 1,590 \\
\hline Complete Negro & 2, 294 & 1. 0579773 & 2, 427 \\
\hline Incomplete Negro. & 127 & 13. 149606 & 1,670 \\
\hline Foreign-born .....- & 25 & 8. 080000 & 202 \\
\hline Other color... & & (*) & 1 \\
\hline Gastonia & & & \\
\hline Complete native white. & 2,336 & \({ }^{3} 1.0418520\) & 2, 434 \\
\hline Incomplete native white. & 80 & 5.2000000 & 416 \\
\hline Complete Negro.------ & 517 & 1. 0560928 & 546 \\
\hline Incomplete Negro. & 79 & 4. 4810126 & 354 \\
\hline Foreign-born... & 6 & 6.8333333 & 41 \\
\hline Other color.- & & (*) & 1 \\
\hline Albany & & & \\
\hline Complete native white & 1,066 & \({ }^{3} 1.1153846\) & 1,189 \\
\hline Incomplete native white & , 31 & 10.870967 & , 337 \\
\hline Complete Negro. & 1, 163 & 1. 1229578 & 1,306 \\
\hline Incomplete Negro. & 139 & 6.4028776 & 890 \\
\hline Foreign-born... & 4 & 9. 7500000 & 39 \\
\hline Other color. & & (*) & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
1 Weights allow for refusals and incomplete cases as well as for adjusted estimates of the frequency of various groups in the total population. Weights are used to this number of decimal places in order to attain the accuracy needed to check calculation. No statistical significance attaches to the figures beyond the first decimal place.
\({ }_{3}^{2}\) Figures for Atlanta are stepped up from the final 50 percent sample.
\({ }^{3}\) The step-up allowed for the inclusion of families married less than one year and of some families without housekeeping facilities, with families containing both husband and wife.
*Insufficient number of cases upon which to make income estimates.
}

\section*{Appendix B \\ Schedule Forms and Glossary}

Facsimile of Record Card (Face)


The items set off by heavy boxes varied according to the eligibility requirements for the family schedule sample in cities of different size and sections of the country.
(Back)



\title{
Facsimile of Family Schedule
}
(Face)

(Back)



\section*{Section 1. Definition of Items on the Family Schedule}

This section includes such definitions, numbered with the section and item numbers appearing on the family schedule, as are needed for the interpretation of the text and accompanying tables. It represents a summary of the more detailed instructions which were issued in connection with the field collection and editing of the data. The reader is referred to section 2 of this glossary for definitions used in the analysis of the data by income, occupation, and family type.

\section*{I. Year Covered by the Schedule Information}

The information on family composition, income, and occupation pertains to the situation of the family over a yearly period, sometimes referred to on the tables as the "report year" or "schedule year." The family was asked to choose the period for which it could give the more accurate information; either the 1935 calendar year or the 12 months ending on the last day of the month immediately preceding the date of interview. Table 19, section B, of the Tabular Summary shows a distribution of the native white families including husband and wife by the schedule year period chosen.

\section*{II. Family Composition-The Economic Family}

Since family income and other family characteristics refer to the economic family, it is important to have the definitions of this group clearly in mind.
The economic family is defined as a group of persons belonging to the same household and dependent upon a common income. In most cases the members of the economic family were related by blood, marriage, or adoption. Persons thus related and either living under the same roof or eating at least two meals daily with the family and whose income could be determined were considered members. Thus adult sons and daughters living in the household were regarded as members of the economic family, provided that their income could be determined, even though they paid a stipulated amount for room and board instead of pooling their earnings.

Related persons whose homes were with members of the economic family and who were dependent on the economic family for at least 75 percent of their support were considered members even though they were away at school or in an institution. Persons who were usually members of the economic family but who had been in an institution at no expense to the family for a period of a month or less at some time during the schedule year were considered members of the economic family away from home. If they were in an institu-
tion without expense to the family for more than a month continuously during the year, they were members of the economic family only during that part of the year which they spent at home. Persons not related but living together and pooling all of their earnings or receiving all of their support from the family fund (i. e., dependent on a common income) were considered members of an economic family. In an economic family consisting of more than one married couple, the oldest married male was designated as the head, or husband. It is always his wife who is referred to on the tables as "wife."

\section*{III. Other Members of the Household}

The household includes, in addition to the members of the economic family, all persons who lived in the family home for 1 week or longer during the schedule year and who were not dependent upon the common income, and did not pool their income. These other members of the household might be roomers, boarders, tourists, transients, guests, or paid help living in the home.
1. Sons and daughters boarding and rooming.-Adult sons and daughters of members of the economic family were classified as roomers and boarders only if it was impossible to ascertain their income. When their income could be determined they were treated as members of the economic family even though they paid for room and board rather than pooled their incomes.
2. Other roomers with board.-Persons who slept in the home and paid for their rooms were classified as roomers with board if they regularly took one or more meals daily in the home.
3. Roomers without board.-Roomers who took no meals with the family were included in this category. Adult sons and daughters who roomed but did not board with the family were classified as roomers without board if they were not members of the economic family.
4. Boarders without room.-Persons who took one or more meals daily in the home and paid for their board, but did not live in the household, were considered as boarders. (The number of equivalent weeks during which they were boarders was computed on the basis of 21 meals per week.)
5. Tourists or transients.-Classed as tourists or transients were persons who roomed and/or boarded in the home for less than a week, and who paid for such accommodations. Only families having tourists or transients for a total of 1 week or more during the year, were classified as households with such members.
6. Guests.-Persons related or unrelated who were not members of the economic family, but who lived in the household one or more nights without payment for rent or food, were guests. The time
spent in the household by all guests must total more than 1 week before the family was classified as one having guests. When a person described by the family as a guest remained in the household for 26 weeks or longer, without making payment for room or board, an attempt was made to determine this person's income so that he might be classified as a member of the economic family.
7. Paid help living in.-All servants sleeping under the family roof or in dwelling quarters provided free by the family were included in this category.

\section*{IV. Home Ownership}

Home ownership refers to the ownership of the home by any member of the economic family. To make possible the computation of nonmoney income from home ownership, \({ }^{1}\) information was obtained on the number of months during which the family occupied an owned home, the family's estimate of the monthly rental value, and the amount of interest incurred during occupancy of a mortgaged home. Because of the desirability of keeping the family schedule interview as brief as possible, no detailed information was obtained during this interview on expenses other than interest, the most unpredictable expense on an owned home.

Rent as pay.-If the family lived for all or part of the year in a dwelling furnished as part of wages or salary (as in the case of a parsonage furnished to a minister or an apartment furnished to a janitor), the estimated monthly rental value and the number of months rent was received as pay were written on the schedule. The value of rent received as pay was later included in computing total family income.

Rent as gift.--If the family occupied a home owned by a relative or a friend on a rent-free basis during the year, when it had no home of its own, the number of months so occupied, and the estimated rental value of such residence were included in the agent's notes attached to the schedule, but the rental value was not included in the computation of total income.

\section*{VI. Living Quarters Occupied}

Information on the type of living quarters relates to those quarters occupied at the date of interview but was tabulated only for those families which did not move between the end of the schedule year and the date of interview. Of the types specified on the schedule the dwelling unit in a business building and the "other" types have special meaning in this study.
\({ }^{1}\) See "Imputed income from owned home," sec. 2, Terms Used in the Text and Tabular Summary, p. 512.

4-h. Dwelling unit in business building was a dwelling in a structure used also for business purposes. A building used for both dwelling and business was considered a business building if a third or more of the floor space (not counting the basement) was used for business.

4-k. Other included living quarters over a private garage, a housekeeping apartment in an institution, rooms without housekeeping facilities in a hotel; the classification "other" on the tables includes also rooms with another family or in a rooming house ( \(4-\mathrm{i}\) and \(4-\mathrm{j}\) ). Since the sampling method was designed to select householders, schedules were obtained from very few families having rooms without housekeeping facilities in a hotel or with another family, or in a rooming house. These schedules were obtained only in the comprehensive sample.

\section*{VIII. Money Earnings From Employment}

Employment.-Employment was defined as any work for which members of the economic family normally received, or expected to receive, money as compensation for services. Persons who had worked during the year but whose losses exceeded or equaled earnings were regarded as employed. Employment on work-relief projects was considered as gainful employment and money earnings from such sources were included in income.

Status of worker.-To facilitate coding of an individual's occupation, the symbol "s" was used for salaried workers and all wage earners; "o" for persons working on their own account; and " \(x\) " to indicate that employment was on a work-relief project. To determine status of certain workers, such as carpenters, dressmakers, etc., who represent borderline cases between wage earners and independent businessmen, it was necessary to set up the following qualifications, one or more of which the person classified as in independent business must meet:
(1) The investment of either his own or borrowed capital in his business, as in a truck, stock of materials, shop, or special equipment for his place of business, which might be in the home (the tools of a workman such as he would need in his capacity as a wage earner were not considered a capital investment); (2) the taking of business risks; (3) the employment of others to work for him in his own business; (4) the production of goods on the chance of finding a purchaser.
A person was considered as on work relief if he was required to demonstrate to the public or private agency granting the work that he had insufficient means to support his family according to the standards adopted by the agency concerned. Educational aid received by students under N. Y. A. and F. E. R. A., to permit them to complete their education, was not considered work relief.

Net money earnings.--The earnings entered on the family schedule were net earnings and were money earnings exclusively. Included in money earnings were all commissions, tips, and bonuses which were received during the schedule year. Money earnings of persons working on their own account represented the salary or profits drawn from the business for family use.

The following expenses were considered occupational expense and as such were deducted from gross earnings in arriving at net earnings: Union dues and fees; business and professional association dues; expense for technical books and journals directly related to the person's occupation; room rent paid out of family funds while a member was working away from home; the portion of operating expense for business use of automobile not covered by an expense account; and expense for workmen's tools which are frequently replaced.

The following items were not considered to be occupational expenses and consequently were not deducted from gross earnings: Clothing worn at work and food eaten at work; amounts deducted from pay checks for health and life insurance, retirement funds, etc.; and transportation to and from work.

Overhead expenses such as rent for business premises, office supplies, telephone, and large sums expended for tools and equipment which are in the nature of capital outlays, were treated as business expense rather than as occupational expense. The agent, with the cooperation of the family, deducted such business expenses from the earnings figure before entering it on the schedule.

Time employed.-Time employed, as entered on the schedule, represents the number of hours, days, weeks, or months during which the person had some employment. The unit chosen for reporting the length of time employed was usually the unit by which the individual was paid. When the length of time employed was reported in hours or days, it was reduced, for purposes of analysis, to equivalent weeks by using a 5 -day or 40 -hour week as the basis.

Since it was frequently impossible for the respondents to give the number of weeks employed full-time and the number of hours worked in periods of part-time employment, tables showing time employed in weeks do not distinguish between full-time and part-time employment.

Work not attributable to individuals-Income from roomers and boarders and from work in the home.-Although the schedule form provided for the entry of gross income from roomers and boarders and income from other work not attributable to individuals (casual work in the home) under "other money income," in the analysis or tabulation of this item, net income from roomers and boarders and income from work in the home were considered as earnings. Income from work in the home which was irregular in nature was classified
on the schedule as "other money income"; had the work been regular, it would have been shown originally under earnings.

\section*{IX. Other Money Income}

This consisted of money income from sources other than earnings, which was available for the current use of the family during the schedule year. The value of income received in kind was not obtained in this survey. Direct relief or relief in kind, the eligibility for which was determined by a means test, was not included as other money income. Some other items not included in the money income figure are enumerated later on.

The components of other money income are:
3. Interest and dividends.-Only amounts received as interest and dividends from stocks, bonds, bank accounts, trust funds, etc., which could be drawn in cash for family use were reported on this schedule. Dividends received from paid-up insurance policies were also included in this category. If, however, these dividends were reinvested in the insurance policies they would not be reported.
4. Profits.-Net profits drawn from a business owned, but not managed, by the family were included as other money income. Profits drawn for family use from a business which was actively managed by the family were included under earnings.
5. Rents from property.-Net rents from property owned by the family were computed by deducting current expenses on the property from the gross rental income. Expenses for improvements or additions to the property or for payments on the principal of the mortgage were considered an investment and as such were not deducted from gross rent.

When the family owned a multifamily dwelling, occupying a portion of it and renting the remainder, only the proportion of the expenses which was applicable to the tenants' share of the home was deducted from rental receipts in arriving at net income from rents.
6. Pensions, annuities, benefits.-This included amounts received from veterans' pensions, pensions from employers, income from annuities, compensation under workmen's compensation laws, unemployment benefits from trade-unions, and benefits from sickness and accident insurance. Income from old-age pensions, mothers' pensions, and pensions for the blind, which are paid by local and Federal Governments only after demonstration of need, was not included in other money income. The receipt of such income classified a family with other families receiving relief.
7. Gifts in cash.-Included here are only those gifts in cash which were for current use of the family and which were made by persons other than members of the economic family. Amounts received from
relief agencies and the cash evaluation of income received in kind were not considered gifts in cash.

7-a. Money income from other sources.-Income received from sources other than those specified above was classified in this category. Such sources are: Money found or received as a prize or as a reward for finding a lost article, alimony, net gains from gambling, net income from the sale of home-produced foods; amounts received from the Government when members of the family are at C. C. C. camps, that amount of the soldiers' bonus which was spent for current living, \({ }^{2}\) and money earned prior to the schedule year and received during the schedule year.

Items not included in income.-Some items which are commonly considered money income were not covered by the Study of Consumer Purchases because it was impossible in a survey of this sort to ascertain the amount of certain types of income. The procedures used in the study excluded the following sources of income: That share of profits to individuals participating in an entrepreneurial business, partnership, syndicate, or pool which was not withdrawn for family use; profits received from sales or exchanges of capital assets (real estate, stocks, bonds, investments in business and other property), unless such transactions constituted the primary occupation of some member of the family; interest and dividends from stocks, bonds, bank accounts, trust funds, etc., which had accrued, but had not been received into the family funds; direct relief in cash or in kind; the value of income in kind, except income from owned homes; money received in a lump sum as a bequest or a gift in cash which was not used for current expenses. Withdrawals from assets, borrowings, and other nonincome receipts were not covered on the family schedule.
8. Losses in business.-Classified here are only those net losses from real estate operations or other businesses during the year which were met from the family income, by an increase in the family's liabilities, or by a decrease in its assets. Among the cases included are those in which the actual expense for real estate held by any member of the economic family exceeded the actual income, and cases in which traveling expenses for business purposes exceeded the allowance provided by employers for such expenses.

Losses in business were charged against the specific type of family business. For example, if the family's net rents from property totaled \(\$ 500\), but the family's expenses on other businesses owned but not managed by the family were \(\$ 500\) more than the income from this

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{2}\) A large proportion of the families were scheduled before payment of the soldiers' bonus began on June 15, 1936. Therefore, families which were entitled to a bonus but which gave schedule information for a year's period ending on or before May 31, 1936, would not have an opportunity to report receipts from this source. The number of native white complete families which were soheduled for a period extending beyond the date of bonus payment, some of which reported having received and cashed a bonus, may be determined from table 19, sec. B, of the Tabular Summary.
}
source, the family would appear on the "other money" income tables as a family having an income of \(\$ 500\) from rents and would also appear as a family having a loss in business of \(\$ 500\). These two figures cancel out in the computation of the figure for total money income for the family.

Apparently the losses in business which were reported by families were for the most part not entrepreneurial, but were instead losses incurred in the rental of owned property, etc. Although an entrepreneur might actually have had a net business loss for the year, any withdrawals from his business to support his family were considered as family income. When withdrawals from the family fund to meet business losses exceeded the contribution to the family income, families were classified as having suffered business losses for the enterprise in question.

10 and 11. Relief.-The family was classified as having received relief if at any time during the schedule year any member of the family received aid from a public or private agency and if, to prove eligibility for such aid, it was usually necessary to pass a means test. The inclusion as "relief families," of families who had received relief for as short a period as 1 day, and who may have had relatively high incomes during part of the schedule year, accounts for occasional relief families in the higher income brackets. Since occasionally churches and other organizations give support by a regular allotment to members who would otherwise have to apply for relief, or to members who are ineligible for public relief, such cash allotments were considered relief even though the family was not required to submit to a formal means test.

The Study covers a period during which first F. E. R. A. and then W. P. A. administered work relief. In most cities there was a lag between the separation of clients from F. E. R. A. work projects and their placement on W. P. A. work projects in the fall of 1935. During this lag, families were commonly carried on direct relief in cash or in kind. As a rule relief families distinguished F. E. R. A. from W. P. A. by the fact that the allotment from the latter was based on the occupational classification of the workers, while the former relief set-up budgeted the family on the basis of number and age of members. Families with members who had worked on P. W. A. projects were considered relief families only if their assignment to such projects was dependent upon the passing of a means test. Families of students who received educational aid under N. Y. A. and F. E. R. A., permitting them to complete their education, were not classified as relief families if this was the only aid received. Families with members attending C. C. C. camps were not classified as having received work relief unless some other members of the families had been assigned to a work project. Persons in C. C. C. camps were not members of
the economic family during their stay in camp and, consequently, the C. C. C. work was not shown as employment. C. C. C. enrollment was not in itself sufficient grounds for considering a family as on relief. In view of an executive order of April 1935, however, which instructed that men be sent to C. C. C. camps only from families on relief, it is apparent that the large majority of families with members in C. C. C. camps were also in the relief classification.

No figures on the amount of direct relief received in cash or in kind were requested from families. Earnings on work-relief projects were included with earnings from other sources, although families receiving work relief were classified with families receiving direct relief rather than with the nonrelief families.

\title{
Section 2. Terms Used in the Text and Tabular Summary
}
(With Particular Reference to Income, Occupation, and Family Type)

\section*{A. Income}

Total income.-The income by which families were classified in the text and appendix tables represents net money income of all members of the economic family, as well as imputed income from owned home (see below for definition) and rental value of dwelling quarters received in payment for work rendered. Total income does not include money received as direct relief, or the value of goods received in kind.

Components of total family income-
1. Net money income.- Net money income included net earnings from gainful occupations of family members (wages, salaries, profits, and other withdrawals from business for family use, tips, commissions, and bonuses) minus occupational expenses; net income from roomers, boarders, tourists and transients; net income from casual work in the home; and income from all other sources indicated under the discussion of other money income on page 507. Of these items, the methods of computing net income from roomers and boarders, imputed income from owned home, and rent received as pay need to be explained.

In ascertaining the income from boarders and lodgers, an attempt was made to obtain the net income after deduction of business ex-penses--the income available for family spending. Since too much interview time would be required to obtain cost figures on the keeping of roomers and boarders, it was necessary to estimate this cost in the office, using data available from a previous study of the Bureau of Labor Statistics. The estimates were for cost of food only and made no allowance for the costs attached to keeping lodgers and for costs other than food-such as the expense for service, table linen, etc. The cost of boarders' food, however, is probably the largest single item of expense in this type of enterprise. The cost estimates corresponding to given payments by boarders with room were based on Bureau of Labor Statistics data for 279 wage-earner families living in 10 cities. The line of relationship is represented by the equation \(Y\) equals 87.37 plus \(0.1004 X\), where \(X\) equals the annual payment by a boarder with room and \(Y\) the corresponding cost of food. This estimated cost of food was subtracted from the payment made to the family and the remainder was regarded as net income from boarders with room.

Obviously the same payment as above for board without room requires a different cost estimate. The line of relationship between total annual payment by boarders without room and the corresponding cost of food was based on data for 59 wage-earner families in eight cities. The equation is \(Y\) equals 52.83 plus \(0.2108 X\), where \(X\) is the annual payment by a boarder without room and \(Y\) the corresponding cost of food. When, by the use of these corrections, the cost of boarders' food was greater than the gross income from boarders, a zero balance rather than a negative income was attributed to the family from this source.
2. Imputed income from owned home.-The incomes of home owners were adjusted to take into account their effective "purchasing power" incomes, rather than their money incomes alone. This adjustment had the net effect, in general, of placing the home owners one \(\$ 250\) interval above the family income scale in which their money incomes alone would have placed them. The income which was attributed to home owners was the difference between the family's estimate of the rental value of the home and the expenses on the home for the period of occupancy. At the time of the family schedule interview the family was asked for information on the amount of interest on the mortgage or land contract. Other expenses on the owned hometaxes, special assessments, refinancing charges, repairs and replacements, insurance, etc.-were computed on the basis of existing data on the relationship between such expenses and rental value.

This procedure was followed for two reasons: First, because it was not feasible to obtain, during the brief family schedule interview, information on each type of expense of home ownership; and, second, because it seemed satisfactory, and possibly preferable, to use for expenses other than interest on mortgage, a figure which approximated an average for several years rather than a figure equal to the cash expenses for the schedule year itself.

The line of relationship which was derived between "other expenses" and rental value for this purpose was based on Bureau of Labor Statistics' data for 949 home-owning families, including wage earners and low-salaried workers living in 10 cities, as well as Federal employees living in Washington. The equation for the line of relationship is \(Y\) equals 39.20 plus \(0.1726 X\) where \(Y\) is annual other expenses and \(X\) is the annual rental value.

The estimated expenses were added to the interest figure obtained from the family and the whole was deducted from the rental value for the period of occupancy. The resultant figure was the income imputed to home owners. If the figure for "other expenses" was greater than the rental value of the home, the expenses other than interest were assumed to equal the rental value. In such a case the addition of an expense for interest to these other expenses, which were assumed
to be zero, resulted in a negative income from home ownership; the amount of the negative income was deducted from the combined money income figure and rent as pay (if any) in arriving at the net total income.
3. Rent received as pay.-When the free occupancy of the family dwelling was received as pay for services, as is frequently the case with janitors, ministers, etc., the monthly rental value and the number of months of rent as pay was obtained. The value of rent received as pay for the schedule year was later computed and included in total income.

\section*{B. Occupation}

The classification of occupations prepared by the Works Progress Administration \({ }^{3}\) was used as a guide in classifying employment into occupational groups. The occupational grouping of the Study of Consumer Purchases is shown below, together with the Works Progress Administration classification:
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\multicolumn{1}{c}{ Consumer Purchases Study classification } & \multicolumn{1}{c}{ W. P. A. classification } \\
Salaried professional and independent & Professional and technical workers. \\
professional. & \\
Salaried business and independent busi- & Proprietors, managers, and officials. \\
ness. & Farm managers. \\
& Owners of nurseries and greenhouses. \\
Clerical. & Office workers, salesmen, and kindred \\
Wage earners. & workers. \\
& Skilled workers and foremen in building \\
& and construction. \\
& Skilled workers and foremen in manu- \\
& facturing and other industries. \\
& Farm foremen and overseers. \\
& Semiskilled workers in building and con- \\
& struction. \\
& Semiskilled workers in manufacturing \\
& and other industries. \\
& Unskilled laborers. \\
& Farm laborers. \\
Domestic and personal service workers. \\
& Farm owners and tenants.
\end{tabular}

A further description of the occupational groupings used by the Study of Consumer Purchases follows:

Salaried professional.-The salaried professional category included all professional, semiprofessional, and technical workers who were employed by others on a salaried and/or commission basis. Apprentices to these occupations were also included in this classification. Besides lawyers, teachers, physicians, and dentists, this group included artists, chemists, clergymen, technical engineers, technicians, trained

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{3}\) Index of Occupations, Occupational Classification and Code, Works Progress Administration Circular No. 2-A, September 1935.
}
nurses, draftsmen, and journalists. Certain of the technicians and laboratory assistants included here were probably on the border line between professional workers and highly skilled wage earners.

Salaried business.-The salaried business category included persons employed by business and manufacturing firms in managerial or official positions, usually on a salaried and/or commission basis. Officials and inspectors employed by the city, State or Federal governments were classified here. Persons employed as salaried executives of firms were also included. Office managers, as well as credit managers and advertising managers (except those managing establishments in these industries), were classified as clerical.

Independent professional.- Included in the independent professional group were professional, semiprofessional, and technical workers working on their own account. (See "Salaried professional" for a partial list of specific professional occupations.)

Independent business.-The independent business group included all entrepreneurs or nonprofessional persons who worked on their own account. Businesses owned but not managed by any member of the family were not included since such cases were included under "other money income" of the family. To be classified as an entrepreneur, one or more of the following qualifications were met: The investment of capital in materials, equipment, etc. (tools of workmen such as were needed by wage earners were not considered capital investments); the taking of business risks; the employment of others; the production of goods on the chance of finding a purchaser. The independent business group included: Retail dealers, wholesale dealers, importers and exporters, building contractors, brokers, bankers, hucksters, and peddlers. Independent business families in the low income levels were composed largely of small shopkeepers and lodging-house keepers, while most families in the upper income groups were engaged in largescale enterprises. Income from roomers, boarders, tourists, or transients was classified as having been derived from independent business.

Clerical and kindred workers.-The clerical occupations included office workers, office and store clerks, commercial travelers, salesmen, and kindred workers. With the exception of office managers, persons exercising control over the work of others were generally excluded from this category. Persons in the clerical occupations are usually remunerated on a weekly, monthly, annual, and/or commission, rather than on an hourly or daily basis. Some of the higher paid clerical occupations which border on the salaried business classification were: Accountants (other than certified public accountants), auditors, chief clerks, purchasing agents, credit managers, office managers, and advertising managers (other than those in advertising agencies).

Wage earner.-In the wage-earner classification were included skilled, semiskilled, and unskilled manual occupations in building and con-
struction, manufacturing, extraction, and transportation industries, etc. Apprentices to the skilled occupations and foremen were included in the wage-earner category. Also included were domestic and personal service workers and farm laborers.

Occupations in the wage-earner category usually involve manual skill and, with the exception of foremen, do not ordinarily involve control over the work of others. Remuneration is usually on an hourly, daily, or weekly, rather than a monthly or annual basis.

The inclusion of workers of the following types in the wage-earner classification resulted in a representation of the wage-earner group in the income brackets of \(\$ 3,000\) to \(\$ 5,000\) : Foremen and inspectors, chief engineers, lithographers, engravers, sign painters, furriers, and watchmakers.

Other.-Families having no members engaged in gainful employment were classified in this group. An individual was considered gainfully employed even though his business losses exceeded or equaled his earnings. Those families with no earnings might be retired or unemployed; they might be supported by direct relief, or living on pensions, savings, interest, etc. The families of farm operators drawn in the sample were analyzed with this group because there were too few of them to justify a separate classification. With the exception of these families of farmers, if there was any income from earnings whatsoever, such as income from boarders and roomers, the family was not shown in this category, but in the category from which the earnings were derived.

Family occupation.-The occupation by which the family was classified was that one of six major occupational groups from which the largest proportion of the total earnings of the economic family was derived. The six occupational groupings are: Salaried professional, salaried business, independent professional, independent business, clerical, and wage earner.

When no member of the economic family had worked during the schedule year because of retirement, unemployment, or for any other reason, the family was classified as having "no gainfully employed members." If, however, someone had worked during the year but there were no earnings from occupation because losses exceeded or equaled earnings, the family was considered as having a gainfully employed member and the occupation was classified in the proper one of the six categories. A number of families who would otherwise have been classified as having "no gainfully employed member" were included in the independent business group because of some earnings from roomers or boarders.

Occupation refers to the occupation in which the individual actually worked during the schedule year and not necessarily to what he considered his "usual occupation" as determined by preference, experi-
ence, vocational training, etc. The occupation of persons on work relief projects was confined primarily to wage-earner and clerical work. Obviously the occupational classifications of independent business and independent professional did not apply to relief work. If relief families were classified in these categories it was due to a nonrelief position, held either before or after the family received relief, which yielded larger earnings than the earnings received from the work done on the relief project.

The procedure followed in determining family occupation was to combine the total earnings of a family from the four salaried and independent occupations (salaried business and professional, and independent business and professional) and to compare this combined total with the family's earnings from wage-earner occupations and with those from clerical occupations. \({ }^{4}\) If the earnings from the four salaried and independent groups combined were greater than the earnings from either of the other groups, the family was allocated to the particular salaried or independent occupational group from which the earnings were largest. For example, a physician derives \(\$ 1,600\) from private practice (independent professional) and \(\$ 1,000\) as salary from an insurance company for his medical services (salaried professional). His son has earnings of \(\$ 1,800\) during the schedule year as a day laborer. The earnings of the father determine family occupation since, when combined, they are greater than the earnings of the son. Since the father's greater source of earnings is his private practice, the family occupation is independent professional.

For purposes of determining family occupation, net income from roomers and boarders was included in the earnings from independent business occupations. Income from casual work in the home was classified according to the occupational classification of the work (e. g., income from the occasional typing of letters or manuscripts was entered under clerical). Employment on work-relief projects was considered as gainful employment and was classified according to the type of work done. In most cases such work fell under the wage-earner or clerical occupations, but a few cases fell in the professional group. When rent was received as part payment of services, the value of such rent was included with the earnings of the individual who received it, in determining family occupation. If equal amounts of earnings were derived from each of two or more types of occupation, the chief occupation (i. e., the occupation yielding the largest earnings) of the individual having the largest earnings

\footnotetext{
4 Since the business and professional groups were classified into a four-fold grouping, while wage earners were classified as a single group, although they might equally well have been subdivided into skilled, semiskilled, and unskilled, it was decided to make the business and professional groups comparable with the wage earner by combining the earnings in these four occupations when determining family occupation. In the smaller cities, furthermore, the four business and professional groups were combined into a single occupational group for most tabulations.
}
was considered the family occupation. For example, if the husband in a family earned \(\$ 500\) from an independent business, while the wife earned \(\$ 300\) from a wage-earner occupation, and a son \(\$ 200\) also as a wage earner, the family was classified as belonging to the independent business group.

When there were two or more earners in a family, each earning the same amount but from different occupations, the family was classified in the occupation engaged in by the individual who was the head or who was the most closely related to the head of the family or his wife; if the relationship of these members to the head was the same, the occupation of the eldest determined the family occupation. When the earner who determined the family occupation had more than one occupation the family was classified on the basis of the occupation from which he derived the largest portion of his earnings.

Earners.-An earner is a member of the economic family who has been gainfully employed at any time during the scheduled year. No minimum earnings or length of employment were arbitrarily set up as a basis for determining whether a person should be called an earner. Persons who worked during the year but who had no earnings from occupations because losses exceeded or equaled earnings were considered to be gainfully employed and were counted as earners.

The principal earner is that member of the economic family who has the largest earnings during the year, from all his occupations combined, if he has more than one occupation. If the family head and another adult had equal earnings, the head is designated as the principal earner. If two persons other than the head have the largest and equal earnings, the principal earner is the one more closely related to the head of the family or his wife; if the degree of relationship is the same for the two persons, the older is designated as the principal earner.

Supplementary earners are all members of the economic family other than the principal earner who received any earnings during the year.

Individual earners are persons to whom earnings could be specifically allocated. The keeping of roomers and boarders, and other work not attributable to individuals (casual work in the home), usually is a family enterprise and as a consequence the earnings cannot be attributed to any one individual.

The category "other male" earners is comprised of all male members of the economic family, other than the oldest married male (or head), who were gainfully occupied during the schedule year.

The category "other female" earners is comprised of all female members of the economic family, other than the wife of the oldest married male, who were gainfully occupied during the schedule year.

\section*{C. Family Type}

Families were classified into the following types based upon the membership composition of the economic family:

\section*{Family type}
I. Husband and wife, and no other persons in the economic family.
II. Husband, wife, and one child under 16 years, and no other persons in the economic family.
III. Husband, wife, and two children under 16 years, and no other persons in the economic family.
IV. Husband, wife, and one person 16 years or over, and one or no others in the economic family.
V. Husband, wife, one child under 16 years, one person 16 years or over, and one or two other persons regardless of age in the economic family.
VI. Husband, wife, and three or four children under 16 years, and no other persons in the economic family.
VII. Husband, wife, at least one child under 16 years, and four or five other persons regardless of age in the economic family.
VIII. Husband and wife, and in addition three or four persons over 16 years.
Other com- (All other economic families which contain both husband and wife plete families and are not designated above.
IX. \({ }^{5}\) Families of two or more members without both husband and wife in the economic family.
X. \({ }^{5}\) One-person economic families.

The above family types are based upon the equivalent number of persons under 16 years of age and the equivalent number 16 years or over in the economic family during the year. For example, if two children were members of the economic family for 26 weeks each, together they would represent the equivalent of one person for the entire year. Thus a family so constituted would be classified as type II (husband, wife, and one child under 16 years and no other persons in the economic family).

By the use of a conversion table, the number of weeks of membership of persons in the economic family for only a portion of the schedule year is expressed in terms of equivalent members. If the economic family contained only one person who was a member for 26 weeks or less, he was not regarded as an equivalent member; had he been in the family for 27 weeks he would have been classified as one equivalent member. If two persons, both of whom were under 16 years, were members of the economic family for a total of from 27 weeks through 78 weeks, together they counted as one equivalent member; had they been members for a total of from 79 through 130 weeks, they would have been counted as two equivalent members. The same method of computation applied to adults. If, however, the family contained

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{5}\) Family schedules from types IX and \(X\) were obtained only from families drawn in the comprehensive sample. These two types are referred to in the text as "broken" or "incomplete" families.
}
an adult for 17 weeks and a child for 17 weeks, neither would be counted as members of the economic family, although together they might equal 27 weeks or more. In other words, an individual under 16 years, or one 16 years and over, who was a family member less than 27 weeks was disregarded in the family type classification.

This computation of family types on the basis of equivalent members has resulted in the classification of married couples with an infant less than 6 months of age, into family type I, i. e., husband and wife and no other persons in the economic family.

All weeks during which persons were members of the economic family, whether living in the home or temporarily away from home, were included in computing equivalent members.

Children under 16 years were not necessarily the children of the head and his wife, but might have been grandchildren, foster children, or other relatives.

\footnotetext{
\(78078^{\circ}-39-34\)
}

\section*{Appendix C}

\section*{Communities and Racial Groups Covered by the Samples Taken in the Study of Consumer Purchases}

The cities covered by the Bureau of Labor Statistics in the Study of Consumer Purchases are as follows:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Region & Metropolitan and large cities & Middle-sized cities & Small cities \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Northeast.--------------} & \multirow[t]{4}{*}{New York, N. Y. \({ }^{12}\) \(\qquad\) Providence, R. I. Atlanta, Ga. \({ }^{2}\)} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Haverhill, Mass New Britain, Conn. Columbia S C 2} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Wallingford, Conn. Willimantic, Conn. Albany, Ga. \({ }^{3}\)} \\
\hline & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Southeast} & & & \\
\hline & & Columbia, S. C. 2 Mobile, Ala. \({ }^{2}\) & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Gastonia, N. C. \({ }^{2}\) Beaver Falls, Pa.} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{East Central.-.---------} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Chicago, III. 1 Columbus, Ohio. \({ }^{2}\)} & Muncie, Ind & \\
\hline & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{New Castle, Pa. Springfield, Ill.} & Connellsville, Pa. \\
\hline & & & Logansport, Ind. Mattoon, Ill. \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{West Central - Rocky Mountain.} & \multirow[t]{4}{*}{Omaha, Nebr.-Council Bluffis, Iowa. Denver, Colo.} & Dubuque, Iowa_..........- & \multirow[t]{6}{*}{Billings, Mont.} \\
\hline & & Springfield, Mo. & \\
\hline & & Butte, Mont. & \\
\hline & & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{```
Aberdeen - Hoquiam,
    Wash.
Bellingham, Wash.
Everett, Wash.
```} & \\
\hline Pacific Northwest....... & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Portland, Oreg...........-} & & \\
\hline & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\({ }^{1}\) The metropolitan centers of Chicago and New York have been treated separately from the otherlarge cities.
\({ }^{2}\) Information obtained from both white and Negro families.
Communities covered by the Bureau of Home Economics in the Study of Consumer Purchases are:
```


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Family Income in Chicago, 1935-36, Bureau of Labor Statistics Bull. No. 642; Family Income in Five New England Cities, 1935-36, Bureau of Labor Statistics Bull. No. 645; and Family Income in Four Urban Communities of the Pacific Northwest, 1935-36, Bureau of Labor Statistics Bull. No. 649.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Two other small cities in this region, namely, Grifin, Ga. and Sumter, S. O. were surveyed by the Bureau of Home Economics. The data on these two communities appear in the Southeastern regional bulletin to be published by that agency, together with similar data on villages and farm communities.

[^2]:    2In the four States sampled in the present study, the percentage of total income from agriculture in 1928 was: Alabama, 25.4; Georgia, 26.1; North Carolina, 26.7; and South Carolina, 31.4. (Odum, H. W.: Southern Regions of the United States, 1936, p. 400).
    ${ }^{3}$ Fifteenth Census of the United States, 1930, Population, vol. III.

    * Other factors, including relative independence of other cities, rate of growth as an index of the economic age of the city, density of population as a rough indication of living conditions within the city, the percentage of Negroes in the population, and the presence of universities and state capitals, were also considered in selecting communities for study, in an effort to secure as good a sample of types of urban communities as possible.

[^3]:    ${ }^{5}$ These are: Emory University, Atlanta Law School, Atlanta-Southern Dental College, Georgia School of Technology, and Woodrow Wilson College of Law.

    - These are: Atlanta School of Social Work, Atlanta University System (including Atlanta University, Morehouse College, and Spelman College), Clark University, Gammon Theological Seminary, end Morris Brown University.
    ${ }^{7}$ Foreign-born persons comprised approximately 1 percent of the population in Columbia, Gastonia, and Albany, and 2 percent of the population in Atlanta in 1930. (Fifteenth Census of the United States, Population, vol. III.)

[^4]:    ${ }^{8}$ In 1930, 39.9 percent of the gainful workers of Gastonia, 10 years of age and over, were employed in the textile manufacturing industry.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ The analysis of income in terms of its distribution among families is very different from an analysis of the total national income, and the concept of income as used in the Study of Consumer Purchases is correspondingly different. This study was interested in that part of the national income which flows through family exchequers during the course of a year, and thus becomes available for the purchase of consumer goods and services and for family savings.
    ${ }^{2}$ See Family Income and Expenditure in Five Southeastern Cities. 1935-36, vol. II.
    ${ }^{3}$ From the point of view of estimating the degree of economic well-being of the community, it is obviously desirable to include all families, those which remained completely self-supporting and those which received relief either in the form of direct grants or through employment on work relief projects. Accordingly, the flgures given in this chapter on the distribution of families by income include the relief group. From the point of view of interpreting the position in the community of the groups covered in the family expenditure survey, it is desirable to consider only that group of families whose incomes were drawn from economic activity of one sort or another, segregating those families whose incomes for the year were dependent at least in part upon established need. This is especially true since no attempt was made in the present study to determine income received in the form of direct relief, either in cash or in goods. In many tables, therefore, in the present study, the income distribution shown is limited to the families which were self-dependent throughout the year.

[^6]:    4 Family income, as the term is used in this study, includes the sums received by the family from the following sources:

    1. Money earnings, including wages and salaries of all members of the economic family (after the deduction of occupational expenses); net money incomes of independent business and professional earners insofar as these were withdrawn for family spending; and estimated net income accruing from roomers and boarders and from casual work done in the home.
    2. Money income other than earnings, including dividends and interest received in cash from stocks and bonds; net rent (after deduction of maintenance expense) from real estate other than the home; profits actually received by the family from businesses owned but not operated by members of the family; amounts received on pensions and annuities; money gifts for current use received from persons other than members of the economic family, along with miscellaneous items such as alimony and gambling gains; such amounts received from inheritances or the soldiers' bonus as were used for current expenditures.
    3. Nonmoney income from housing, including the estimated rental value of living quarters received in payment for services (such as might be received by a minister, a resident manager, or a janitor); and imputed income from owned homes; i. e., the difference between the total rental value of the home and money expenses for interest on mortgages plus estimated money outlay for taxes and repairs.
    See glossary, appendix B, for further definition of income.
[^7]:    ${ }^{3}$ A rough estimate of the aggregate family income of Atlanta was built up by multiplying the number of families at each income level by the average income received by each income class. The figure resulting from this procedure amounted to approximately $\$ 95,000,000$-the family buying power of Atlanta.
    ${ }^{6}$ Within the technique of a field survey it is impossible to secure complete reports as to the net amount of income received from all sources in the high income groups. While the number of returns showing family incomes of more than $\$ 3,000$ may be slightly underestimated, due to inability of agents to find such families at home as well as to refusals of the families to give the requested information, the actual aggregate income reported is probably significantly underreported. However, this understatement of the income for these families at the upper levels does not seriously affect the broad outline of the distribution of fanily income in the communities,

[^8]:    ${ }^{*}$ No data secuned from families in this income class in Atlanta.

[^9]:    ${ }^{8}$ See ch. V, p. 63 and tables 53 and 60.

    - See ch. I, p. 3.
    ${ }^{10}$ The median income for the foreign-born families is based on the following numbers of cases: Atlanta, 53; Mobile, 44; Columbia, 25. Although the small number of cases of foreign-born families results in such a bigh variability of the median income that it is difficult to prove statistically that there is a significant difference between the median incomes of the 2 nativity groups, the fact that the difference is in the same direction in each of the 3 cities and that the nativity groups show great variation in occupational composition, indicates that a true difference probably exists. Corroborative evidence may be found in the fact that urban families of foreign-born heads live in homes with higher rents and higher median values than do the families of the native white group in the southeastern States included in this report. See 1930 census, Families, vol. VI, table 8.

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ Income figures do not include the value of direct relief either in cash or kind or the value of food, clothing, and fuel received without direct expense to the family. See footnote 11,
    ${ }^{11}$ An estimate of the value of fuel and food received without direct expense by nonrelief Negro complete families at different income levels was obtained from families interviewed for the expenditure data. The a verages shown below are based on all families including those not having free fuel and food.

[^11]:    ${ }^{1}$ See discussion of income in kind on p. 12.

[^12]:    ${ }^{12}$ In the present report the distributions for all nativities combined represent estimated figures, but, as is indicated in the sampling discussion of the appendix, there is reason to feel that these estimates are fairly close to the true distribution. All white and Negro families interviewed which contain a husband and wife both of whom were born in the United States, who have been married at least 1 year, and who maintained housekeeping quarters, were asked to give the information on income and related items listed on the family schedule. In addition, a small random sample of all of the remaining families, i. e., foreign born, those not containing husband and wife (including single individuals who maintained housekeeping quarters), and other color groups, was also asked to give income information. In order to compute the estimates of income for the community as a whole, it was necessary to weight the income data of this small sample to take account of the different sampling ratio from that used for native white and Negro families containing husband and wife. See appendix A, Sampling Procedure in Five Cities of the Southeast.

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ A description of the specific occupations included within each of these 7 categories will be found in the glossary, appendix B. The occupational classes used in the present study are based upon the Works Progress Administration's Manual of Work Division Procedure, sec. 2, Occupational Classification (June 1935) and Index of Occupations, Circular No. 2A (September 1935). In general, the wage-earner category included all types of skilled, semiskilled, and unskilled manual jobs which are usually paid by the hour, day, or week, rather than on a monthly or annual salary basis. In the clerical classification were grouped store clerks and salesmen working for others, as well as office workers. Professional, semiprofessional, and technical workers were included in the independent professional group when employed on their own account, and in the salaried professional group when they were employed by others on a salary basis. Persons classified in the independent business groups were entrepreneurs owning and operating businesses of any type. Also classified in the independent business group were families which kept rooming and boarding houses. The salaried business category consisted mainly of salaried managers and officials; chief officers of corporations who draw salaries, as well as minor executives, were thus classified in the salaried business rather than the independent business group. The seventh category which is referred to as "other", consisted of families which had no earnings from an occupation, whether due to retirement, receipt of a pension, nonemployment, or other causes; it also included farm operators and sharecroppers living within the city limits (a very small group whose size was in indirect proportion to the size of the city).

[^14]:    ${ }^{2}$ It should be borne in mind that only families living within the city limits were included in the present study. This may have had the effect of excluding families in certain occupational groups residing in suburbs.

[^15]:    ${ }^{3}$ See footnote 7 on p. 3, ch. I.
    ${ }^{4}$ See Family Income in Chicago, Bureau of Labor Statistics Bull. No. 642, ch. II; Family lncome in Four Cities of Pacific Northwest, Bureau of Labor Statistics Bull. No. 649, ch. III; Family Income in Five New England Cities, Bureau of Labor Statistics Bull. No. 645, ch. III.
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[^16]:    ${ }^{5}$ More than a fourtb ( 28.7 percent) of the Negro gainful workers in the United States in 1930 were engaged in domestic and personal service (Negroes in the United States, 1920-32, Bureau of the Census, 1935, p. 287).

[^17]:    ${ }^{6}$ Of all retail sales in Negro business in Georgia in 1929, 55.4 percent were from grocery stores, restaurants, cafeterias, and lunchrooms; in North Carolina, 51.5 percent; in Alabama, 57.0 percent. In South Carolina 40.2 percent of all retail sales in Negro business was derived from grocery stores (Negroes in the United States, 1920-32, Bureau of the Census, 1935, p. 501).
    ${ }^{7}$ In 1930, professional persons among Negroes were distributed as follows in the 4 Southern States here studied (ibid, p. 293):

    | Profession | South Carolina | North Carolina | Georgia | Alabama |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | All persons in principal professions | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent } \\ & 100.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent } \\ & 100.0 \end{aligned}$ | Percent 100.0 | Percent 100.0 |
    | Musicians and teachers of music | 1.2 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 3.1 |
    | College presidents and professors. | 3.0 | 3.0 | 2.1 | 2.0 |
    | Trained nurses...- | 4.9 | 4. 5 | 7.1 | 6. 1 |
    | Clergymen. | 21.7 | 19.1 | 24.8 | 24.5 |
    | Teachers..- | 63.2 | 67.8 | 60.3 | 61.8 |
    | Dentists. | . 9 | . 8 | . 7 | . 7 |
    | Lawyers, judges, and justices | . 2 | . 3 | . 2 | .1 |
    | Physicians and surgeons. | 4.9 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 1.7 |


    the "other" occupations group was smaller than in the white group, ranging from only 1 percent in Columbia to 4 percent in Atlanta.

    Relief and nonrelief occupational composition of native Negro fami-lies.-The occupational distributions of relief and nonrelief Negro families differed less than did these distributions for the native white families (see table 11). This was due to the fact that both among the relief and nonrelief Negro families, an overwhelmingly large proportion were engaged in wage-earner occupations. In two cities (Atlanta and Albany) there were even fewer wage-earner families among the group receiving public assistance than among the nonrelief population. This is explained by the correspondingly large proportion of families dependent on direct relief. In all the cities except Columbia, where work relief projects provided employment for almost all the needy families, unemployed families constituted a larger proportion of the relief than of the nonrelief population. Independent business families which were second in numerical importance to wage earners in the nonrelief group (except in Gastonia), were relatively infrequent in the group having received relief at some time during the year except in Atlanta. In Albany the comparatively large percentage of relief families which were in the salaried professional group reflects the opportunities in that city for professionally trained Negroes on work relief projects such as adult education classes, nursery schools, etc.

    Table 11.-Percentage distribution by occupational group of native Negro families, relief and nonrelief
    [All native Negro families, complete and incomplete]

    | Occupational group | Atlanta |  | Mobile |  | Columbia |  | Gastonia |  | Albany |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | Nonrelief | Relief | Nonrelief | Relief | Nonrelief | Relief | Nonrelief | Relief | Nonrelief | Relief |
    | All families. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
    | Wage earner | 83.5 | 80.9 | 84.9 | 92.9 | 84.1 | 95.7 | 90.4 | 94.9 | 89.9 | 87.4 |
    | Clerical.- | 3.8 | 1.3 | 2.7 | . 3 | 2. 1 | . 3 | . 4 | . 6 | 2.5 | . 3 |
    | Independent business.. | 7.0 | 6. 0 | 8.2 | . 8 | 7.4 | 1. 0 | 2.7 | 1.9 | 4.0 | . 3 |
    | Independent professional | . 3 | . 2 | . 2 |  | . 4 |  | . 9 | -...-- | .4 |  |
    | Salaried business.... | ${ }^{.6}$ | $\cdot 1$ |  | . 8 | $\cdot 3$ |  |  |  | . 1 |  |
    | Salaried professional | 3.4 1.4 | 2. 9.1 | 1. 7 | 4.6 | 4.5 | 2.5 | 3.4 |  | 1.9 | 3. 2 |
    | Other | 1.4 | 9.4 | 2.3 | 4.6 | 1.2 | . 4 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 1.2 | 8.8 |

    ## Incomes of Various Occupational Groups

    The above analysis of the occupational composition of both the native white and Negro family populations serves as a background for the following interoccupational comparisons by income.

    Income of native white occupational groups.-Among the native white families the relative economic status, as measured by income, of the seven occupational groups distinguished in the present study was as follows: Independent professional, highest; salaried business, second; and, in order, salaried professional, clerical, independent
    
    business, wage earner; and lowest, the "other" families. This rating is based upon an evaluation of the income distribution of each occupational group in the five cities in terms of, first, the median income, and, second, the percentage of families in the lowest and in the highest income bands. A third criterion, but one which must be used cautiously, is the percentage in each occupational group which received relief at some time during the year.
    In all five of the Southeastern cities, native white families in independent professional occupations received the highest median income and the salaried business families the next to the highest (table 12). In each city except Albany, the salaried professional families were third in order of size of median income; and clerical families, fourth. In Albany, clerical families secured a somewhat higher median income than did the salaried professional families. This higher median resulted from the presence of railway and postal clerks, whose rate of pay is usually relatively high, and salesmen. The salaried professional earners in this city, on the other hand, were of the types, such as music teachers, ministers, and the like, which as a rule fall in the lower half of the income scale of this group as a whole.

    Table 12.-Median incomes of native white families, by occupational group $^{\text {12 }}$
    [All native white families, relief and nonrelief]

    | Occupational group | Atlanta | Mobile | Columbia | Gastonia | Albany |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | All families. | \$1,523 | \$1,269 | \$1, 742 | \$1,043 | \$1,485 |
    | Wage earner | 1,165 | 975 | 1, 104 | 892 | 981 |
    | Clerical | 1,868 | 1,626 | 1,929 | 1,438 | 1,771 |
    | Independent business. | 1, 062 | 1,188 | 1,643 | 1,222 | 1,375 |
    | Independent professional | 3,145 | 2,750 | 3,485 | 3,292 | 2,750 |
    | Salaried business.- | 2,906 | 2,534 | 2,924 | 2,500 | 2,583 |
    | Salaried professional. | 2,078 | 1,848 | 2,486 | 1,750 | 1,625 |
    | Other- | 421 | 436 | 1,375 | 625 | 684 |

    The independent business families in all the cities had lower median incomes than the clerical families and, in Atlanta, even lower than those of the wage earners. The comparatively poor showing of the independent business group in all the cities reflects the fact that it was composed for the most part of proprietors of small establishments such as grocery stores, beauty shops, small carpenter shops, and rooming and boarding houses. The current income of the independent business families, furthermore, does not necessarily reflect accurately the plane of living of this group in the year of the survey, since many of them were using up their capital to cover current expenses. In addition (except in Atlanta) there was more variability in the distribution by income in the independent business group than in the clerical group, so that more families were found at both extremes of the income scale.

    Except in Columbia and Atlanta, wage-earner families received next to the smallest median incomes, while the "other" families were
    lowest. The low income of the last-mentioned group of families is due in part to the fact that a large percentage of these families lacked either husband or wife; these incomplete families had, as we shall see in chapter IV, lower incomes than the families headed by a married couple. The exceptional situation in Columbia, in which city the families without earnings from occupations received a relatively high median income, reflects the presence of a group of retired persons living on pensions, annuities, or interest on investments. The proportion of "other" families in all the cities covered in the investigation was small (table 8), but in Columbia their median income was relatively high. ${ }^{9}$

    The second method of judging the relative economic status of the various occupational groups is to compare them with respect to the proportion of families which were in the lowest and highest income bracket, as shown in table 13 . The independent professional and salaried business families in all five cities contained the smallest percentage of families in the lowest income class (under $\$ 1,000$ ), and the largest percentage in the income bracket of $\$ 3,000$ and over. The salaried professional families in most of the cities were next in order, both in the relative infrequency of low income families and the relative frequency of high income families. In Albany, for reasons referred to above, the salaried professional families did not show up so well in the higher income levels. In general, the independent business and the clerical families ranked next, the former having a larger proportion in the upper income bands but also in the lowest. Of the six occupational groups which consisted of gainfully employed families, the wageearner classification contained the largest proportion of families with incomes of less than $\$ 1,000$ (except Atlanta), and the smallest proportion of families receiving at least $\$ 3,000$. The "other" group included the largest percentage of families in the low income classes (except in Columbia).

    Table 13.-Percentage of native white families in income classes under $\$ 1,000$, and $\$ 9,000$ and over, by occupational group
    [Relief and nonrelief families]

    | Occupational group | Atlanta 1 |  | Mobile ${ }^{1}$ |  | Columbia ${ }^{1}$ |  | Gastonia : |  | Albany ${ }^{1}$ |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & \$ 1,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 3,000 \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { over } \end{aligned}$ | Under $\$ 1,000$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 3,000 \\ \text { and } \\ \text { over } \end{gathered}$ | Under \$1,000 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 3,000 \\ \text { and } \\ \text { over } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & \$ 1,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 3,000 \\ \text { and } \\ \text { over } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & \$ 1,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 3,000$ <br> and <br> over |
    | Wage earner | 42.0 | 4.7 | 51.7 | 3.0 | 45.0 | 5.6 | 57.1 | 0.6 | 51.0 | 6.8 |
    | Clerical....- | 14.2 | 16.0 | 20.6 | 10.1 | 11.6 | 18.7 | 25.6 | 7.9 | 18.3 | 12.9 |
    | Independent business | 47.8 | 12.2 | 42.4 | 15.1 | 24.3 | 21.2 | 38.2 | 16.2 | 35.1 | 17.2 |
    | Independent professiona | 4.1 | 52.7 | 5.0 | 46.0 | . 5 | 59.0 | 5. 4 | 59.5 |  | 46.6 |
    | Salaried business.- | 4.8 | 47.5 | 3.9 | 35.1 | 2.0 | 48.2 | 4.9 | 36.9 | 4.0 | 38.4 |
    | Salaried professional | 18.2 | 24.8 | 20.6 | 22.1 | 4. 4 | 38.0 | 10.3 | 20.7 | 6.7 | 8.9 |
    | Other- | 82.7 | 3.0 | 81.8 | 3.9 | 43.5 | 38.2 | 92.8 |  | 57.5 | 16.2 |

    An indirect method, finally, of judging the economic status of the different occupational groups is to compare the proportion of each which received relief at any time during the year, bearing in mind the fact that different cities had different relief policies and that the occupation of families which derived most of their earnings from work relief projects was almost necessarily either wage earner, clerical, or salaried professional. Again the independent professional and the salaried business families appear as the most self-supporting. Wage-earner families were most dependent upon public assistance, except in Atlanta where the "other" group had the highest proportion of families receiving relief. (Due to the small number of farmers in Atlanta, the last-named group in that city is composed almost entirely of families without earnings from occupation.)

    Table 14.-Percentage of native white families receiving relief during the year, by occupational group

    | [Complete and incomplete families] |  |  |  |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | Occupational group | Atlanta | Mobile | Columbia | Gastonia | Albany |
    | All families. | 13.6 | 10.3 | 11.0 | 12.1 | 12.3 |
    | Wage earner. | 22.7 | 18.6 | 23.4 | 16.6 | 23.2 |
    | Clerical | 5.8 | 5.0 | 5.2 | 4.2 | 5.2 |
    | Independent business | 10.3 | 5.9 | 5.1 | 2.2 | 11.2 |
    | Independent professional | 1.0 | 1.0 | . 5 |  |  |
    | Salaried business. | 2. 3 | 1.7 | . 5 |  |  |
    | Salaried professional | 10.4 | 9.7 | 3.7 | 1.7 | 2.2 |
    | Other----.---- | 24.7 | 2.2 | . 9 | 7.1 | 2.5 |

    The occupational composition of different income levels is shown in table 15 . It will be seen that in all the cities with striking regularity the proportion of families which were in wage-earner occupations declined consistently from the lowest to the highest income bands, while the percentage of professional and salaried business families increased. The percentage of the families engaged in clerical pursuits increased up to the $\$ 2,000$ to $\$ 2,999$ income band and then declined. The percentage of "other" families declined from the lowest to the middle income bands, and then tended to rise again except in Gastonia, where no "other" families were found above the $\$ 1,000$ to $\$ 1,999$ level. This peculiar situation was due to the inclusion in one group of families of persons without appreciable incomeyielding resources who were unable to find employment throughout the year and consequently were either on relief or in the low income bands, and families which had voluntarily retired on annuities or pensions or returns from investments and which were, therefore, in more comfortable circumstances.

    Table 15.-Percentage distribution by occupational group of native white jamilies at specified income levels
    [Relief and nonrelief families]
    

    Income of native Negro occupational groups.-In the three largest cities (Atlanta, Mobile, and Columbia), families in the clerical group received a higher median income than did the Negro families in any of the other occupational groups (see table 16). These averages, however, fell at least $\$ 800$ below the corresponding medians for native white clerical families. In Gastonia there were not enough Negro families in the clerical group to warrant analysis; in Albany the clerical Negroes secured a median income slightly lower than that of business and professional families. In all cities except Albany,

    Table 16.-Median income of native Negro families in specified occupational groups
    [Relief and nonrelief families]

    | Occupational group | Atlanta | Mobile | Columbia | Gastonia | Albany |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | All families | \$476 | \$400 | \$454 | \$414 | \$323 |
    | Wage earner | 476 | 393 | 435 | 404 | 309 |
    | Clerical | 1,054 | 642 | 869 | (*) | 607 |
    | Business and professional | 606 | 549 | 630 | 692 | 625 |
    | Other | 136 | 208 | 250 | 208 | 167 |

    *Insufficient cases for analysis.
    clerical families had the lowest proportionate representation in the income group of under $\$ 500$ and were relatively the most numerous in the income class of $\$ 1,500$ and over (see table 17).

    The median incomes of business and professional families ranged at least $\$ 100$ higher in each city than the average income of wage earners, and business and professional families were less frequent among the group having incomes under $\$ 500$ and more frequent in the group receiving incomes of at least $\$ 1,500$. In all the cities the "other" families reported the lowest median incomes (from $\$ 136$ in Atlanta to $\$ 250$ in Columbia).

    Table 17.-Percentage of native Negro families in income classes under $\$ 500$, and $\$ 1,500$ and over, for specified occupational groups
    [Relief and nonrelief families]

    | Occupational group | Atlanta ${ }^{1}$ |  | Mobile ${ }^{1}$ |  | Columbia ${ }^{1}$ |  | Gastonia : |  | Albany ${ }^{\text {: }}$ |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & \$ 500 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 1,500 \\ \text { and } \\ \text { over } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\$ 500}{\text { Under }}$ | $\$ 1,500$ and over | $\begin{gathered} \text { Under } \\ \$ 500 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 1,500$ and over | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & \$ 500 \end{aligned}$ | \$1,500 and over | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & \$ 500 \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 1,500$ and over |
    | Wage earner | 53.4 | 3.1 | 69.8 | 1.3 | 57.8 | 2.1 | 64.2 | 0.6 | 77.7 | 1.4 |
    | Clerical | 18.7 | 28.7 | 38.6 | 27.6 | 28.2 | 21.1 | (*) | (*) | 43.5 | 28.3 |
    | Business and professiona! | 42.9 | 11.5 | 46.7 | 9.2 | 38.3 | 11.4 | 29.1 | 18.2 | 39.5 | 5.4 |
    | Other. | 96.4 | . 4 | 80.5 | . 5 | 88.1 | ......-- | 70.0 |  | 92.8 |  |

    ${ }^{1}$ Omitting classes of $\$ 500$ to $\$ 1,500$ which when combined with the income classes shown equal 100 percent for each occupational group.
    *Insufficient number of cases.
    The relatively high median income of the clerical families among the Negroes is explained at least in part by the presence of Federal employees, such as postmen and postal clerks, whose rate of pay is standardized on a national basis. These earners also account for the comparatively large percentage of clerical families in the upper income bands. On the other hand, classified as clerical also were low-income earners such as small retail store clerks, bill collectors, filling station attendants, and the like. They account for the presence of from two-tenths to more than four-tenths of the clerical families in the lowest income bracket.

    The relatively low economic position of the business and professional group is attributable to the presence of many independent business families; many of the earners in this group were blacksmiths, bootblacks, peddlers, and the like, who were self-employed. In the larger cities, some of the independent business families ran rooming and boarding houses. Still others were owners of very small establishments, mainly grocery stores, restaurants, lunchrooms, cleaning and dyeing shops, and filling stations, which barely covered expenses. These were all low-income groups, about in the same class economically as wage-earner families. On the other hand, the incomes of professional and salaried business families and of the more successful
    entrepreneurs accounted for the larger percentage of business and professional families，as compared with the wage－earner group，which secured incomes of $\$ 1,500$ and over．

    That the families classified in the＂other＂occupation group had the lowest economic status of all the occupational groups is explainable in large part in terms of unemployment．In all the cities except Co－ lumbia，from a fifth of these families as in Gastonia to about four－fifths as in Atlanta were dependent upon relief in 1935－36．The following figures show the percentage of Negro families in this classification which received relief at some time during the year：
    Percent
    
    
    
    Gastonia＿．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． 20.0
    

    Since families other than farmers were classified in the group only if they had no earnings from occupation，the relief families included were dependent upon direct rather than work relief．As has been indicated above，the amount of direct relief received was not included in this study．In addition，the money income of the families of farmers or sharecroppers，living within the city limits，does not include food pro－ duced by the family for its own table，so that the total value of family living in the case of these families was somewhat higher than the money income would seem to indicate．

    The occupational composition of the several income levels among the Negroes，as shown in table 18，varied in much the same manner as among the white group．Thus，in the three largest cities，the propor－ tion of all families which were in wage－earner occupations tended to decline with rise in income level while the white－collar occupational groups were more numerous in the upper than in the lower income

    Table 18．－Percentage distribution by occupational group of native Negro families of specified income levels
    ［Relief and nonrelief families］

    | Occupational group | Atlanta |  |  |  | Mobile |  |  |  | Columbia |  |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  |  |  |  | 淢 |  | 8 8 8 8 8 8 |  |  |  | 㙖 | $\xrightarrow{\text { ¢ }}$ | 荡 |
    | All families．．．．． | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
    | Wage earner | 83.1 | 88.1 | 74.0 | 55.1 | 89.7 | 85.8 | 72.6 | 43.7 | 90.0 | 86.6 | 72.1 | 53.2 |
    | Clerical | 1.0 | 2.6 | 7.4 | 18.8 | 1． 2 | 2． 1 | 4.0 | 24.2 | ． 9 | 1.9 | 3.7 | 10. |
    | Independent business．．．－－ | 6.8 | 5． 2 | 10.4 | 7.9 | 5.3 | 7.8 | 12.5 | 16.4 | 6.4 | 4.9 | 5． 1 | 15.6 |
    | Independent professional． |  | ． 2 | ． 6 | 2.7 |  | 1 | ． 3 | 3.0 |  | ． 2 | ． 4 | 7.1 |
    | Salaried business．． | 1 | ． 8 | ． 6 | 2.6 |  |  | 3.9 | 0.6 | 2 | ． 2 | ． 4 | 2.1 |
    | Salaried professional | 1.5 | 2.8 | 6.8 | 12.5 | 5 | 2． 2 | 6.1 | 11.5 | ． 9 | 6.0 | 17．6 | 11.4 |
    | Other． | 7.5 | ． 3 | ． 2 | ． 4 | 3.3 | 2.0 | ． 6 | ． 6 | 1.6 | ． 2 | ． 7 |  |

    bands. The clerical families constituted a relatively large proportion of the families in the income classes of $\$ 1,500$ or more, particularly in Atlanta ( 19 percent) and in Mobile ( 24 percent). But unlike the white families, approximately half of all Negro families were in the wageearner group even in the income brackets over $\$ 1,500$.

    Income distribution of native white wage-earner families.-Wageearner families in Atlanta and Columbia not only secured higher median incomes than in the other cities ( $\$ 1,165$ and $\$ 1,104$, respectively), but only about 45 percent of the wage carners in these two cities received less than $\$ 1,000$ in annual income, whereas more than half of the wage-earner families in the other three cities were below this level (table 19). Albany, however, led with the largest proportion of high income white wage earners; 7 percent of the white families following wage-earner pursuits obtained $\$ 3,000$ or more as compared with 6 percent in Columbia and 5 percent in Atlanta. Less than 1 percent of the white wage-earner families in Gastonia received as much as $\$ 3,000$ in income.

    Table 19.--Income of native white families in the wage-earner group, percentage distribution, and median income
    [Relief and nonrelief families]

    | Income class | Atlanta | Mobile | Columbia | Gastonia | Albany |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | All families. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
    | Under \$500. | 14.3 | 21.1 | 10.9 | 10.8 | 16.2 |
    | \$500-\$999 | 27.7 | 30.6 | 34.1 | 39.1 | 34.8 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,499 | 21.5 | 20.0 | 21.0 | 27.5 | 13.7 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,999 | 16.8 | 14.0 | 16.2 | 10.5 | 15.5 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,999 | 15.0 | 11.3 | 12.2 | 4.3 | 13.0 |
    | \$3,000-\$4,999 | 4.5 | 2.4 | 5. 4 | . 6 | 6.8 |
    | \$5,000 and over | . 2 | . 6 | . 2 |  |  |
    | Median income. | \$1,165 | \$975 | \$1, 104 | \$892 | \$081 |

    The distributions of income among the native white wage-earner families in Atlanta and Columbia showed great similarities. Although the median incomes for the white wage-earner families in Albany and Mobile were not very different, $\$ 981$ and $\$ 975$, respectively, the half of the families which received more than the median income were much better off in Albany than in Mobile. Thus, for example, 20 percent of the Albany wage-earner families had incomes over $\$ 2,000$, whereas only 14 percent of the wage-earner families in Mobile had incomes of this size. Above the $\$ 1,500$ income level, in fact, the distribution of income among the Albany wage-earner families was not greatly different from that in Atlanta and Columbia. The relatively large percentage of white wage-earner families in Albany in the upper income brackets is due in large part to the fact that white workers constituted only 23 percent of the total wage-earner group in Albany
    (see table 7 above). The positions requiring semiskilled and unskilled workers are held almost entirely by Negro workers in Albany. In Gastonia, on the other hand, the concentration of wage earners in mill jobs, the lower rate of pay for principal earners in wage-earner families and the relative infrequency of other sources of income than earnings all combine to put the white wage-earner families on a lower level than those in the other four cities covered in the Southeast by the Urban Series of the Study of Consumer Purchases. ${ }^{10}$

    Income distribution of native white clerical families.-As measured by (1) the median income, (2) the percentage of families in income classes under $\$ 1,000$, and (3) the percentage receiving $\$ 3,000$ and over, the white clerical families in Columbia had a more favorable income distribution than the white clerical families in any of the other cities (see table 20).

    Table 20.-Income of native white families in the clerical group, percentage distribution, and median income
    [Relief and nonrelief families]

    | Income class | Atlanta | Mobile | Columbia | Gastonia | Albany |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | All families. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
    | Under \$500. | 2.7 | 4.2 | 1.7 | 4.0 | 2.5 |
    | \$500-\$999. | 11.5 | 16.4 | 10.0 | 21.6 | 15.8 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,499 | 20.8 | 22.9 | 17.2 | 27.5 | 20.2 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,999 | 20.1 | 26.3 | 25.1 | 18.8 | 20.5 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,999 | 28.9 | 20.0 | 27.3 | 20.1 | 28.1 |
    | \$3,000-\$4,999. | 14.3 | 8.9 | 15.4 | 6.5 | 11.8 |
    | \$5,000 and over | 1.7 | 1.2 | 3.3 | 1.5 | 1.1 |
    | Median income | \$1,868 | \$1,626 | \$1,929 | \$1,438 | \$1,771 |

    The higher income families in the clerical group consisted to a large degree of auditors and accountants for various territorial business agencies and governmental units, office managers, insurance agents, wholesale salesmen, and postal and railway employees. The lower income clerical earners were mainly retail store clerks, typists, stenographers, hotel clerks, bill collectors, and the like. Since, as we have seen, Columbia and Atlanta are regional centers for many Federal agencies and national organizations, they have a relatively large number of positions of the better-paid clerical type verging, as in the case of accountants, on the salaried professional field. Albany, which is a commercial center, although on a much smaller scale than either Columbia or Atlanta, had more of the better-paid types of clerical positions than Mobile, which is a larger city, but less of a commercial center. The economy of Gastonia provides opportunity for relatively few well-paid clerical occupations.

    Income distribution of native white business and professional families.The native white independent business families in Columbia also showed the most favorable income distribution as compared with


    independent business families in the other four cities (see table 21). Gastonia and Albany independent business families were next in order, leaving Mobile and Atlanta in the lowest rank. Measured in terms of the frequency of families in the highest income brackets and the infrequency of families in the lowest brackets, Atlanta independent business families were less well-off than those of the other cities. ${ }^{11}$

    Table 21.--Income of native white families in the independent business group, percentage distribution, and median income
    [Relief and nonrelief families]

    | Income class | Atlanta | Mobile | Columbia | Gastonia | Albany |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | All families. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
    | Under \$500. | 21.9 | 21.7 | 5.9 | 20.2 | 17.2 |
    | \$500-\$999. | 25.9 | 20.7 | 18.4 | 18.0 | 17.9 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,499 | 13.4 | 21.4 | 19.2 | 21.3 | 20.1 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,999 | 12.3 | 11.9 | 18.3 | 12.5 | 11.6 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,999 | 14.3 | 9.2 | 17.0 | 11.8 | 16.0 |
    | \$3,000-\$4,999 | 8.1 | 10.1 | 14.7 | 11.4 | 13.1 |
    | \$5,000 and over | 4.1 | 5.0 | 6.5 | 4.8 | 4.1 |
    | Median income | \$1,062 | \$1, 188 | \$1,643 | \$1,222 | \$1,375 |

    In Atlanta, almost half of the independent business families reported current family funds of less than $\$ 1,000$; three-fifths less than $\$ 1,500$; three-fourths were comprised in the brackets under $\$ 2,000$. In order to understand the unusually low rank of Atlanta independent business families as compared with those in the other cities, and the relatively high rank of Gastonia independent business families, we must anticipate some of the material to be presented in the following chapter on family type. If we examine the incomes of only those independent business families which were classified as incomplete, we find that Atlanta had a comparatively low median income (\$625). Although the median income of $\$ 1,612$ received by Atlanta's complete families in the independent business group was exceeded only by that of Columbia's families, the families which did not contain both husband and wife were sufficiently numerous, constituting 40 percent of the total number of native white independent business families in Atlanta, to drag down the median income for the entire group. In Gastonia, on the other hand, although the incomplete families obtained a low median income, the proportion which such families constituted of all independent business families was relatively small ( 21 percent) and therefore they did not affect the median of the entire group so markedly as in Atlanta. ${ }^{12}$

    The median incomes of native white families receiving the major part of their earnings from independent business are shown below for the complete group and for the incomplete group:


    As has been previously indicated, the independent business families are a heterogeneous group, containing not only the low income hucksters, grocers, and boarding-house keepers, but also the owners of large mills and department stores and partners in large-scale enterprises.

    When native white families classified in the combined business and professional groups are considered, Columbia's families again led with the highest median income $\$ 2,405 .{ }^{13}$ This was $\$ 400$ higher than the income of business and professional families in Atlanta and about $\$ 500$ to $\$ 600$ higher than the medians for the other cities (see table 22).

    Despite the inclusion of many relatively low income independent business families in this group, only about one-tenth of all business and professional families in Columbia, and from 21 to 26 percent of these families in the other cities secured incomes of less than $\$ 1,000$. The proportion of business and professional families receiving incomes of $\$ 3,000$ or more was strikingly greater than the proportion of clerical or wage-earner families with correspondingly high incomes. Approximately 37 percent of business and professional families in Columbia and roughly one out of every four in the other cities obtained at least $\$ 3,000$ in income for the year.

    Table 22.-Income of native white families in the business and professional groups, percentage distribution, and median income
    [Relief and nonrelief families]

    | Income class | Atlanta | Mobile | Columbia | Gastonia | Albany |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | All families. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
    | Under \$500. | 10.8 | 11.0 | 2.5 | 11.2 | 9.3 |
    | \$500-\$899. | 15.1 | 14.2 | 8.1 | 12.9 | 11.5 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,499 | 11.0 | 16.0 | 11.0 | 17.2 | 17.6 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,999 | 12.9 | 15.1 | 18.9 | 13.7 | 13.8 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,999 | 22.2 | 19.8 | 22.4 | 19.8 | 23.1 |
    | \$3,000-\$4,999.. | 20.1 | 16.7 | 24.6 | 16.6 | 17.2 |
    | \$5,000 and over | 7.9 | 7.2 | 12.5 | -8.6 | 7.5 |
    | Median income. | \$2, 006 | \$1,822 | \$2, 405 | \$1,819 | \$1,908 |

    Income distribution of native white families classified as "other."Only in the three largest cities were there sufficient families in this occupational group to permit analysis by income. As has been indi-


    cated previously the number of farm owners and sharecroppers included in the classification is inversely related to the size of the city. Consequently, in Atlanta, Mobile, and Columbia, this group was composed mainly of retired or unemployed families. The median income of these families in Columbia was almost $\$ 1,000$ higher than the median in the other two cities where over half of the families received incomes of less than $\$ 500$. The exceptionally high median income in Columbia has already been commented upon; there was a relatively small proportion of white families on relief there and a relatively large proportion of the families reporting returns from such sources as interest, pensions, annuities, etc., indicating that many of them were voluntarily retired. Only 3 percent of the families coming under this classification in Atlanta and 4 percent in Mobile secured as much as $\$ 3,000$ annually, but the proportion of such high income families in Columbia was 38 percent (see table 23).

    > Table 23.-Income of native white familios classified as "other," percentage distribution, and median income
    > [Relief and nonrelief families]

    | Income class | Atlanta | Mobile | Columbia |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | All families | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
    | Under \$500. | 54.5 | 58.9 | 19.1 |
    | \$500-\$999 | 28.2 | 22.9 | 24.4 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,499. | 8.1 | 12.6 | 8.7 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,909. | 4.9 | . 8 | 3. 5 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,999. | 1.3 | . 9 | 6. 1 |
    | \$3,000-\$4,999 | 2.3 | 3.4 | 33.9 |
    | \$5,000 and over | . 7 | . 5 | 4.3 |
    | Median income. | \$421 | \$436 | \$1,375 |

    Income distribution of Negro wage-earner families.-Negro wageearner families in Atlanta obtained a higher median income than those in the other four cities, and those in Albany, the lowest (table 24). The median income of the Negro families in Columbia was approximately $\$ 40$ lower than that in Atlanta. Gastonia wage-earner families were third among the cities in size of median income, but the difference between their median income and that of Mobile wageearner families was not significantly large.

    Table 24.-Income of native Negro families in the wage-earner group, percentage distribution, and median income
    [Relief and nonrelief families]

    | Income class | Atlanta | Mobile | Columbia | Gastonia | Albany |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | All families | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
    | Under \$500. | 53.4 | 69.8 | 57.8 | 64.2 | 77.7 |
    | \$500-\$999. | 34.9 | 24.9 | 34.5 | 32.0 | 18.4 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,499... | 8.6 | 4. 0 | 5.5 | 3.2 | 2.5 |
    | \$1,500 and over. | 3.1 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 0.6 | 1.4 |
    | Median income. | \$476 | \$393 | \$435 | \$404 | \$309 |

    In all five of the cities, at least half, and in Albany more than threefourths of the Negro wage-earner families obtained incomes of less than $\$ 500$. Between 82 percent (Columbia) and 96 percent (Gastonia and Albany) of these wage earners were concentrated in the income brackets under $\$ 1,000$. In none of the cities did as many as 5 percent of the Negro wage-earner families obtain $\$ 1,500$ or more in annual income. In Atlanta, which showed the largest percentage of Negro wage-earner families with incomes above $\$ 1,500$, this proportion was only 3 percent; in Gastonia less than 1 percent of these families received $\$ 1,500$ or more during the year.

    Income distribution of Negro clerical families.-As with the Negro wage earners, Atlanta clerical families among the Negroes secured a considerably higher median income than those in any of the other cities; Columbia clerical families received incomes almost $\$ 200$ lower; and Mobile and Albany clerical families averaged over $\$ 400$ less than in Atlanta (see table 25). In Gastonia there were not sufficient cases to warrant analysis.

    The proportion of families with incomes under $\$ 1,000$ was lower in Atlanta than in the other three cities. Especially in Mobile and Albany were the Negro clerical workers concentrated in the income class under $\$ 500$. In none of the cities did as many as 5 percent of the families attain incomes of $\$ 3,000$ or more.

    Table 25.--Income of native Negro families in the clerical group, percentage distribution, and median income*
    [Relief and nonrelief families]

    | Income class | Atlanta | Mobile | Columbia | Albany |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | All families | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
    | Under \$500. | 18.7 | 38.6 | 28.2 | 43.5 |
    | \$500-\$999. | 28.6 | 24.8 | 36.6 | 21.7 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,499 | 24.0 | 9.0 | 14.1 | 6.5 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,999 | 9.0 | 2.1 | 4.2 | 8.7 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,999. | 16.1 | 24.8 | 14.1 | 15.2 |
    | \$3,000 and over. | 3.6 | . 7 | 2.8 | 4.4 |
    | Median income. | \$1,054 | \$642 | \$869 | \$607 |

    *Gastonia is omitted because there were toofew cases forstatistical analysis.
    Income distribution of Negro business and professional families.-The Negro independent business families in Albany obtained a higher median income than those in the other cities, those in Gastonia being the next to the highest (table 26). The Negro independent business families in the other three cities had, however, very similar median incomes, ranging between $\$ 446$ and $\$ 468$. The comparatively high average income of the Negro families in this occupational group in Albany and Gastonia may be accounted forin part by the relatively small number of families which kept rooming and boarding houses in the
    two smallest cities as compared with the larger ones. ${ }^{14}$ Such families are classified in the independent business group and, as a rule, have very low incomes. The low median income in the three largest cities resulted from large numbers in the lowest income bracket rather than from fewer families in the upper bands. Thus, although the three largest cities contained relatively more families in the income interval under $\$ 500$ as compared with Gastonia and Albany, they also had more independent business families receiving as much as $\$ 1,500$ income.

    Table 26.--Income of native Negro families in the independent business group, percentage distribution, and median income
    [Relief and nonrelief families]

    | Income class | Atlanta | Mobile | Columbia | Gastonia | Albany |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | Allfamilies | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
    | Under $\$ 500$ | 53.9 | 54.6 | 58.3 | 47.8 | 44.4 |
    | \$500-\$999 | 25.6 | 30.0 | 27.4 | 34.8 | 41.7 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,499 | 15.1 | 9.3 | 5.5 | 13.0 | 11.1 |
    | \$1,500 and over | 5.4 | 6.1 | 8.8 | 4.4 | 2.8 |
    | Median income. | \$457 | \$468 | \$446 | \$525 | \$563 |

    When professional and salaried business families are combined with the independent business group, Gastonia, where there were few Negro families, showed the highest median income (\$692), and Mobile, where there were many, the lowest (\$549). The proportions of these families which received incomes of less than $\$ 500$ were higher than in the clerical group.

    Table 27.-Income of native Negro families in the business and professional groups, percentage distribution, and median income
    [Relief and nonrelief families]

    | Income class | Atlanta | Mobile | Columbia | Gastonia | Albany |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | All families. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
    | Under \$500. | 42.9 | 46.7 | 38.3 | 29.1 | 39.6 |
    | \$500-\$899 | 28.4 | 30.8 | 35.9 | 41.8 | 40.3 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,499 | 17.2 | 13.3 | 14.3 | 10.9 | 14.7 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,999 | 4.4 | 6.7 | 6.1 | 7.3 | 2.3 |
    | \$2,000 and over | 7.1 | 2.5 | 5. 4 | 10.9 | 3.1 |
    | Median income.. | \$606 | \$549 | \$630 | \$692 | \$625 |

    Almost two-tenths of Gastonia's Negro families deriving their chief earnings from business and professional occupations obtained $\$ 1,500$ or more in annual income. The proportion of such relatively high income families in the other cities was lower.

    Income distribution of Negro families classified as "other."-The 4 percent of the Negro families included in this occupational group in Atlanta obtained the lowest median income of families so classified in the five cities, only $\$ 136$. The median income in Mobile was $\$ 208$;


    in Columbia, $\$ 250$; in Gastonia, $\$ 208$; and in Albany, $\$ 167$. Atlanta and Mobile were the only cities covered which had enough families in this category to permit detailed analysis. The fact that the number of farm owners and sharecroppers in Atlanta was negligible and that the relief incidence in this classification was high means that the figure represents almost entirely the nonrelief incomes of families receiving direct relief. It includes the money value of imputed income from owned homes, pensions, annuities, gifts from individuals and, in a few cases, interest and dividends. If figures on the value of direct relief in cash and kind were included, the income of these families would, of course, be higher. Almost 3 percent of the Negro families in Mobile were classified in this group. They had a slightly higher median income (\$208) than the similar group in Atlanta, and 80.5 percent were included in the lowest income bracket. Less than 2 percent of these families in Atlanta and Mobile obtained incomes of $\$ 1,000$ or more. The income distribution of the Negro families classified as "other" was as follows:

    | All families | Atla ata 100.0 | Mobile 100.0 |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | Under \$500 | 96.4 | 80.5 |
    | \$500-\$999 | 2. 7 | 17. 9 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,499 | 5 | 1. 1 |
    | \$1,500 and over | 4 | 5 |

    The median income for the Atlanta Negro families was $\$ 136$; for Mobile Negro families, \$208.

    ## Chapter IV

    ## Family Composition and Income

    In the two preceding chapters we have looked at the distribution of families by income mainly from the point of view of the industrial make-up of the total community, paying relatively little attention to the individual family itself. Family composition, however, plays a definitive role in determining family income. The occupation of individual families within the general framework of the industrial structure is related, as we shall presently see, to such internal factors as the presence or absence of a husband or wife. In the present and succeeding chapters, therefore, our emphasis will tend to center about the family make-up which influences the amount of income received, whether indirectly through occupation or directly through age, sex, and number of earners. Families which contain many adults have more potential earners, and accordingly they may have higher incomes, than those which consist predominantly of children under 16.

    It does not follow, however, that the families with the higher incomes are necessarily better off economically than those with smaller incomes, since there may be more persons sharing the family expenditures. The relation of family type to patterns of consumption will be dealt with in the second bulletin of this series. In the present chapter we shall consider only the relationship between family type and income.

    Complete and incomplete families.--Two main groups of families have been differentiated-those containing both husband and wife, and families without either or both of these members. The former type is designated as "complete" families while the latter is referred to as "incomplete" families." This last mentioned type includes oneperson householders, ${ }^{2}$ partner householders made up of two or more single individuals sharing their incomes and expenditures, widowed persons and other individuals remaining after the parental household has broken up. These diverse groups are all combined in the present analysis. The complete families, on the other hand, will be divided into eight types depending upon the age make-up and number of


    members in the families. The discussion of these types will be taken up later in this chapter.

    Family composition of native white families.-In four of the five cities surveyed in the Southeast, approximately three-fourths of the native white families contained both husband and wife. In Gastonia, the fifth city, 65 percent of the native white families were complete in this sense. In the last named city, the proportion of adults under 45 years of age was somewhat greater ( 74 percent as compared with about 70 percent) than in the other four communities. ${ }^{3}$ Thus there is less possibility of incomplete families consisting of older widowed persons in Gastonia than in the other cities.

    As for occupational differences in the proportion of incomplete families, no very consistent pattern appears, except for the heterogeneous "other" group composed of retired persons, families without gainful workers, and the occasional farmer. In each of the four cities in which there were sufficient cases to permit analysis, the incomplete families comprised a much larger portion of this group than did the complete families. From 59 percent in Columbia to 83 percent of the Mobile families in this group lacked a husband and wife. The relative frequency of broken families in the group of retired families and those with no earnings from occupation is both a cause and an effect. That is, the absence of a male head frequently means that there are no earners in the family, a situation which would cause them to be classed in the group of families with no earnings from occupation. On the other hand retired families tend to be older than other families, so that either the husband or the wife is more likely to be deceased.

    Table 28.-Percentage of complete and incomplete native white families, by occupational group
    [Relief and nonrelief families]

    | Occupational group | Atlanta |  | Mobile |  | Columbia |  | Gastonia |  | Albany |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | Complete | Incom plete | Complete | Incomplete | Complete | Incomplete | Complete | Incom plete | Complete | Incomplete |
    | All families. | 76.2 | 23.8 | 72.3 | 27.7 | 75.7 | 24.3 | 85.4 | 14.6 | 77.9 | 22.1 |
    | Wage earner | 84.6 | 15.4 | 83.0 | 17.0 | 76.5 | 23.5 | 86.0 | 14.0 | 81.4 | 18.6 |
    | Clerical.-..- | 76.0 | 24.0 | 74.5 | 25.5 | 78. 6 | 21.4 | 84.4 | 15.6 | 85.2 | 14.8 |
    | Business and professional | 73.0 | 27.0 | 69.6 | 30.4 | 74.5 | 25.5 | 85.1 | 14.9 | 75. 7 | 24.3 |
    | Other-.-.-.- | 36.0 | 64.0 | 17.2 | 82.8 | 40.9 | 59.1 | (*) | (*) | 32.5 | 67.5 |

    -Insufficient cases.
    In all cities except Gastonia a higher proportion of business and professional families were incomplete than of the clerical or wageearner group. Contained in the business and professional category were small business enterprisers including many single women and widows who ran rooming and boarding houses, beauty parlors, and


    dressmaking establishments as a means of livelihood. Since the occupations of these women frequently represented the family occupation (in all cities except Albany, the principal earners in more than half of the incomplete families were females), it was to be expected that independent business occupational groups predominated among the families without male heads.

    Percentage of principal earners who were females among native white complete and incomplete families (relief and nonrelief)


    The incomplete families were considerably less numerous than complete families and were distributed differently among occupational groups. Considering each of these major family composition groups separately, as in table 29, interesting differences stand out. Wage earners constituted a smaller percentage of families without both husband and wife than they did of the complete families. In two cities, Atlanta and Mobile, only one in four incomplete families derived its income from wage-earner occupations; in Columbia and Albany approximately one in three was in the wage-earner group, while in Gastonia two in three were so classified. In no city did as few as onethird of the complete families belong to the wage-earner category. With only one exception, clerical families were proportionately about equally frequent in each family composition group. Independent business families, however, were almost twice as prevalent among the families lacking the married pair as among the complete families. Single women and widows engaging in small business enterprises such as taking in roomers and lodgers, operating beauty parlors, dressmaking businesses, and the like, account to a large extent for the greater prevalence of this occupational group among these broken families.

    Table 29.-Percentage of native white families in specified occupational groups, by complete and incomplete families
    [Relief and nonrelief families]

    | Occupational group | Atlanta |  | Mobile |  | Columbia |  | Gastonia |  | Albany |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | Complete | $\begin{aligned} & \text { In- } \\ & \text { com- } \\ & \text { plete } \end{aligned}$ | Complete | In. complete | Complete | In. complete | Complete | In-complete | Complete | In-complete |
    | All families. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
    | Wage earner | 41.6 | 24.3 | 46.3 | 24.8 | 37.0 | 35.5 | 68.2 | 55.0 | 40.1 | 32.3 |
    | Clerical. | 29.2 | 29.6 | 25.4 | 22.8 | 27.8 | 23.7 | 14.0 | 15. 0 | 26.3 | 16.1 |
    | Independent business. | 9.4 | 19.6 | 11.3 | 18.6 | 9.8 | 22.6 | 8.8 | 13.7 | 15.2 | 25.8 |
    | Professional and salar business.-................. | 17.2 | 11.9 | 15.3 | 11.7 | 24.5 | 13.9 | 8.3 | 3.8 | 16. 2 | 9.7 |
    | Other. | 2.6 | 14.6 | 1.7 | 22.1 | . 9 | 4.3 | . 7 | 2. 5 | 2.2 | 16. 1 |

    Family composition of native Negro families.-Incomplete families were about twice as frequent, proportionately, among native Negro as among native white families (see tables 28 and 30). In all cities except Atlanta, approximately 40 percent of the Negro families lacked either or both husband and wife; in Atlanta 44 percent were without one or both of these two members. ${ }^{4}$
    Since the bulk of Negro families derived their incomes primarily from wage-earner occupations, the number of families in the whitecollar occupational groups is insufficient for any generalization. As in the case of the white families, however, the heterogeneous group of retired, unemployed, and farm families designated as "other" contained proportionately many more incomplete families than did the other groups. Also, similar to the white group, female earners were the chief breadwinners in the vast majority of incomplete Negro families; ranging from two-thirds in Gastonia to more than three-fourths in Atlanta.

    Table 30.-Percentage of complete and incomplete Negro families, by occupational group

    | Occupational group | [Relief and nonrelief families] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | Atlanta |  | Mobile |  | Columbia |  | Gastonia |  | Albany |  |
    |  | Complete | $\begin{aligned} & \text { In- } \\ & \text { com- } \\ & \text { plete } \end{aligned}$ | Complete | $\begin{aligned} & \text { In- } \\ & \text { com- } \\ & \text { plete } \end{aligned}$ | Complete | In. complete | Complete | $\begin{aligned} & \text { In- } \\ & \text { com- } \\ & \text { plete } \end{aligned}$ | Complete | In-complete |
    | All families... | 55.9 | 44.1 | 58.6 | 41.4 | 59.2 | 40.8 | 60.7 | 39.3 | 59.5 | 40.5 |
    | Wage earner -.......-------- | 58.4 | 41.6 | 60.5 | 39.5 | 61.0 | 39.0 | 60.1 | 39.9 | 58.9 | 41.1 |
    | Clerical, business, and professional | 50.3 | 49.7 | 52.1 | 47.9 | 49.1 | 50.9 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) |
    | Other..-.----------------------- | 24.9 | 75.1 | 24.7 | 75.3 | 38.1 | 61.9 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) |

    *Insufficient number of cases for computation of percentage.
    Percentage of principal earners who were females among Negro complete and incomplete families (relief and nonrelief)

    |  | Atlanta | Mobile | Columbia | Gastonia | Albany |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | Complete families. | 11.8 | 9. 4 | 8.7 | 9.7 | 11.6 |
    | Incomplete families | 77.5 | 72.9 | 77.9 | 67.1 | 75.9 |

    Income of native white complete and incomplete familes.-In all five cities families without husband and wife received lower average incomes than did the complete families. In three cities, Atlanta, Mobile, and Albany the median income of the incomplete group was only about half as large as that of the families with both husband and wife-varying between $\$ 740$ and $\$ 940$ for the one group and $\$ 1,420$ and $\$ 1,710$ for the other. In Columbia and Gastonia the median


    of the complete families exceeded that of the incomplete by $\$ 473$ and $\$ 354$, respectively. From the point of view of amount of income received these last two mentioned cities represent the two extremes. Both family composition groups had lower median incomes in Gastonia, and higher in Columbia, than in any of the other cities. The medians for native white relief and nonrelief families are shown below:

    |  | Atlanta | Mobile | Columbia | Gastonia | Albany |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | Complete families. | \$1,706 | \$1,419 | \$1,876 | \$1,087 | \$1,661 |
    | Incomplete families | 940 | 784 | 1,403 | 733 | 736 |

    Although the low medians of the broken families are doubtless due in part to the relatively large percentage of families without earnings from gainful employment, even within given occupational groups, such as the wage earner, the incomes of the complete families surpass those of the incomplete. Limiting the comparison to the white wage-earner families (relief and nonrelief), the medians for the two family composition groups appear as follows:

    |  | Atlanta | Mobile | Columbia | Gastonia | Albany |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | Complete families. | \$1,232 | \$1,047 | \$1, 192 | $\$ 917$ | \$1, 153 |
    | Incomplete families | 819 | 481 | 739 | 708 | 650 |

    In four of the cities the median income secured by wage-earner families containing a husband and wife was at least $\$ 400$ higher than the average income obtained by families without the married couple. The least disparity in incomes of the two family composition groups was found in Gastonia, the complete families obtaining $\$ 917$ as compared with $\$ 708$ received by the median broken family.

    To recapitulate, the relatively low average income of incomplete families in contrast to that of the complete may be attributed in part to differences in occupational composition of the two groups, and to some extent to the greater dependence of broken families upon the lower earnings of female workers, ${ }^{5}$ who also may engage in quite different specific occupations than do men in the same broad occupational group. Another even more important explanation may be in the fact that the number of earners in the incomplete families probably falls below that in the complete families since many of the families classified as incomplete consist of only one person and can therefore have only one earner at best, while the complete families contain at least two adults, both of whom may be earners.

    Income of native Negro complete and incomplete families.-In none of the five cities was the median income of incomplete Negro families


    as much as $\$ 350$; in Albany it was less than $\$ 200$. Among the complete families, on the other hand, the lowest median income was $\$ 425$ (Albany). In all cities except Mobile, the median income of the Negro families with husband and wife was two to three times as large as that of the incomplete group. The medians for the two Negro groups appear as follows:

    |  | Atlanta | Mobile | Columbia | Gastonia | Albany |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | Complete families. | \$632 | \$481 | \$576 | \$495 | \$425 |
    | Incomplete families | 332 | 301 | 254 | 247 | 189 |

    Limiting the comparison to the wage-earner families only, the disparity in the incomes still obtains, as may be seen from the medians shown below:


    In these Southeast cities, the median income of the incomplete wage-earner families amounted to only 40 to 60 percent as much as the median income of wage-earner families containing both husband and wife.

    Family types.-Let us now see how income is distributed among families of different size and age composition. To make this analysis, families containing both husband and wife were classified on the basis of number and age of members into nine types: ${ }^{6}$

    | Family type | Persons under 16 years of age | Persons 16 years and over* | Additional persons of any age* | Total, including husband and wife |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | Type 1 |  |  |  | 2 |
    | Type II |  |  |  | 3 |
    | Type III | 2 |  |  | 4 |
    | Type IV |  | 1 | 1 or 0 | 3 or 4 |
    | Type V | 1 | 1 | 1 or 2 | 5 or 6 |
    | Type Vİ | 3 or 4 |  |  | 5 or 6 |
    | Type VII Type VIII | 1 | 3 or 4 | 4 or 5 | $7 \text { or } 8$ $5 \text { or } 6$ |
    | Type "Other". | Any combina above. | on containing | usband and w | not described |

    *Not including husband and wife.
    The pictorial presentation of these types in figure 4 should help the reader to visualize the above groups.


    

    Family type distribution of native white complete families.-Of the nine types described, those consisting of husband and wife (type I) and those containing one adult and sometimes one other person in addition to the husband and wife (type IV) were more prevalent than were the others among native white complete families in all of the cities except Gastonia. In four of the Southeast cities, families containing no person in addition to the husband and wife, and those with at least one other adult, each comprised approximately one-fifth or more of all native white complete families. In Gastonia, the distribution of family types differed somewhat from that of the other communities-type II, consisting of a husband and wife and one child ranked as high or even slightly higher in frequency than types I and IV. The chief difference between Gastonia and the other eities in family composition was in the greater proportion of family types VI and VII in Gastonia. These types, consisting of no less than five members, and containing from three to six children under 16 years of age, together accounted for 23 percent of all complete native white families in this city. In the other communities these types comprised only from 12 to 16 percent.

    Small families (two to four members only) were from one and a half to three times as common among the native white group as were large families (i. e., with five or more members). Families which by definition must contain one or more children under 16 (types II, III, V, VI, VII) constituted at least half of the native white complete families in the five cities; in Gastonia, more than three-fifths. Families which may have been comprised entirely or almost entirely of adults (types I, IV, and VIII) made up less than half of thefamilies; in Gastonia, less than a third.

    Table 31.-Percentage distribution of native white families by family type ${ }^{1}$

    | Family type | Atlanta | Mobile | Columbia | Gastonia | Albany |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | All families. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
    | Type I. | 24.4 | 19.8 | 20.6 | 15.6 | 20.5 |
    | Type II- | 16.7 | 14.6 | 16.5 | 16.0 | 17.0 |
    | Type III | 10.5 | 11.6 | 12.8 | 13.3 | 11.7 |
    | Type IV | 21.8 | 20.7 | 21.1 | 14.6 | 20.5 |
    | Type V | 10.8 | 12.0 | 11. 2 | 12.3 | 11.7 |
    | Type VI | 6.8 | 8.4 | 7.5 | 12.5 | 8.2 |
    | Type VII. | 5.1 | 7.1 | 5.8 | 10.1 | 5.9 |
    | Type VIII.- | 2.4 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 2.4 |
    | Type "Other". | 1.5 | 2.5 | 1.7 | 3.3 | 2.1 |

    1 See p. 48 above for description of family types.
    Separating families which received relief during the year, and comparing this group with the self-sufficient families, we find that the large families with young children (family types V, VI, and VII, and "other") constituted a much larger portion of the relief popula-
    tion than they did of the nonrelief group. This is to be expected since children do not as a rule contribute to, but must be provided for by the family income. The presence of only one or two children (types II and III) does not, however, result in a high dependency rate. In fact, families of this composition were more numerous, proportionately, among the self-dependent than among the families which received public assistance.
    Table 32.-Percentage distribution of relief and of nonrelief native white families, by family type ${ }^{1}$
    [Complets families]

    | Family type | Atlanta |  | Mobile |  | Columbia |  | Gastonia |  | Albany |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | Relief | Nonrelief | Relief | Nonrelief | Relief | Nonrelief | Relief | Nonrelief | Relief | Nonrelief |
    | All types. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
    | Type I | 16.6 | 25.5 | 15.3 | 20.3 | 17.1 | 20.9 | 7.8 | 16.6 | 13.4 | 21.5 |
    | Type II | 9.7 | 17.8 | 10.6 | 15.0 | 7.4 | 17.3 | 15.2 | 16.1 | 11.8 | 17.6 |
    | Type III | 8.2 | 10.8 | 8.2 | 12.0 | 9.7 | 13.1 | 12.1 | 13.4 | 5.9 | 12.5 |
    | Type IV | 19.1 | 22.2 | 18.4 | 21.0 | 21.6 | 21.0 | 12.8 | 14.9 | 19.4 | 20.6 |
    | Type V | 14.8 | 10. 2 | 14.8 | 11.7 | 16.6 | 10.8 | 17.0 | 11.6 | 13.4 | 11.5 |
    | Type VI. | 11.8 | 6.1 | 11.5 | 8.0 | 8.7 | 7.4 | 15.6 | 12.2 | 10.1 | 7.9 |
    | Type VII | 13.4 | 3.8 | 13.2 | 6.5 | 12.0 | 5.2 | 12.1 | 9.9 | 16.8 | 4.5 |
    | Type VJII... | 1.9 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 3.4 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2. 2 | 2.5 | 2.4 |
    | Type "Other". | 4.5 | 1.1 | 6.0 | 2.1 | 4.1 | 1.5 | 4.7 | 3.1 | 6.7 | 1.5 |

    ${ }^{1}$ See p. 48 above for description of family types.
    Family type distribution of native Negro complete families.-The most common of the nine family types among the Negroes consisted of a husband and wife only (type I); about one-third of these families had this composition, except for Columbia where slightly more than one-fourth contained only these two members. As in the case of complete white families, type IV was also quite prevalent-comprising from 16 to 21 percent of the complete Negro families in the Southeast cities.
    Among the Negro group, the small families constituted roughly two-thirds to three-fourths of all complete families, being two to three times more common than large families. Families by definition containing children under 16 (types II, III, V, VI, VII), constituted less than half of all families in each of the cities.

    Table 33.-Percentage distribution of native Negro families by family type
    [Complete families, relief and nonrelief]

    | Family type | Atlanta | Mobile | Columbia | Gastonia | Albany |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | All families. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
    | Type I. | 31.8 | 34.3 | 26.8 | 33.0 | 35.3 |
    | Type II | 11.1 | 13.7 | 16.4 | 15.7 | 13.4 |
    | Type III | 6.5 | 7.7 | 8.2 | 6.6 | 6.8 |
    | Type IV | 20.9 | 17.9 | 17.1 | 15. 5 | 18.6 |
    | Type V | 10.0 | 8.0 | 9.9 | 8.7 | 9.5 |
    | Type VI | 6.2 | 7.3 | 7.6 | 8.7 | 6.0 |
    | Type VII | 7.6 | 6.6 | 7.8 | 7.0 | 6.2 |
    | Type VIII | 1. 7 | 1.0 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 1.7 |
    | Type "Other". | 4.2 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 3.4 | 2.5 |

    As between cities there were but few striking differences in the distribution of Negro families by types. Families without children comprised a smaller portion of the complete Negro group in Columbia than in the other cities. But, in general, the cities showed a fair degree of similarity in the relative frequency of the various family types.

    Comparing the Negro and the white groups we find that in all the cities there were proportionately many more families composed of husband and wife only (type I) among the Negroes than among the white families, a situation which resulted in a smaller average size of Negro family in three of the five cities. ${ }^{7}$ The proportion of families known to contain young children was at least 10 percent smaller in all the cities among the Negroes than among the white group, except in Columbia, where the disparity between the two races was only about 4 percent. And, conversely, in all the cities the frequency of families which may have contained only adults was higher among the Negroes than among the white group.
    The difference between the family composition of the dependent and of the self-sufficing Negro families (see table 34) is not very pronounced. There were, however, relatively fewer families with husband and wife only (type I) and correspondingly more families with five or more children (type VII) in the group which obtained relief than in the nonrelief class.

    Table 34.-Percentage distribution of relief and nonrelief native Negro families, by family type ${ }^{1}$
    [Complete families]

    | Family type | Atlanta |  | Mobile |  | Columbia |  | Gastonia |  | Albany |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | Relief | Nonrelief | Relief | Nonrelief | Relief | Nonrelief | Relief | Nonrelief | Relief | Nonrelief |
    | All families... | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
    | Type I | 25.9 | 34.7 | 25.6 | 36.8 | 19.0 | 28.8 | 20.0 | 35.5 | 29.4 | 36.6 |
    | Type II | 10.0 | 11.6 | 11.3 | 14.5 | 15. 5 | 16.6 | 15.0 | 15.8 | 10.6 | 14.0 |
    | Type III | 7. 7 | 5.9 | 11.0 | 6.7 | 9.2 | 8.0 | 8.8 | 6.2 | 8.2 | 6.5 |
    | Type IV | 18.7 | 21.9 | 16.1 | 18.4 | 16.9 | 17.2 | 10.0 | 16.5 | 17.9 | 18.7 |
    | Type V | 11.7 | 9.2 | 10.2 | 7.3 | 10.9 | 9.6 | 17.5 | 7.1 | 11.6 | 9.0 |
    | Type VI | 7.9 | 5.4 | 10.9 | 6.3 | 7.9 | 7.5 | 11.2 | 8.2 | 9.2 | 5. 3 |
    | Type VII | 10.0 | 6.4 | 8.5 | 6.1 | 12.0 | 6.7 | 8.8 | 6.6 | 9.2 | 5. 6 |
    | Type VIII. | 1. 6 | 1.8 | . 5 | 1. 2 | . 9 | 2.2 | 2,5 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1. 9 |
    | Type "Other". | 6.5 | 3.1 | 5.9 | 2. 7 | 7.7 | 3.4 | 6.2 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 2.4 |

    ${ }^{1}$ See p. 48 for description of family types.
    Family type and income of native white complete families.-The correlation between family composition and income within any given community may be seen from the median income of the several family types shown in table 35. Among white families in all the cities, the large families of adults (type VIII), though relatively infrequent, had


    the highest median incomes, whereas families with three or four children under 16 (type VI) tended to show the lowest median incomes. Whereas the former type secured a median income ranging from almost $\$ 1,600$ to $\$ 3,000$, the median of the latter type fell between $\$ 980$ and $\$ 1,600$.

    Table 35.-Median income of native white families, by family type ${ }^{1}$
    [Complete families, relief and nonrelief]

    | Family type | Atlanta | Mobile | Columbia | Gastonia | Albany |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | All families. | \$1,706 | \$1,419 | \$1,876 | \$1,087 | \$1,661 |
    | Type I | 1,674 | 1,261 | 1,819 | 1,107 | 1,546 |
    | Type II | 1,665 | 1,301 | 1,684 | 958 | 1,582 |
    | Type III | 1,656 | 1,247 | 1,767 | 987 | 1,715 |
    | Type IV | 1,975 | 1,635 | 2,257 | 1,280 | 1,917 |
    | Type V | 1,828 | 1,649 | 2,061 | 1,163 | 1,875 |
    | Type VI | 1,211 | 1,197 | 1,577 | 982 | 1,229 |
    | Type VII | 1,243 | 1,407 | 1,577 | 1,115 | 1,313 |
    | Type VIII | 2,730 | 2,205 | 2,978 | 1,594 | 2,000 |
    | Type "Other" | 1,304 | 1,736 | 1,438 | 1,300 | 1,167 |

    ${ }^{1}$ See p. 48 for description of family types.
    The higher average incomes of the families with more than one potential earner ${ }^{8}$ may be illustrated concretely for one city, namely Atlanta, by taking families which may have consisted of adults only (types I, IV, VIII), and comparing them with families known to contain children under 16 (types II, III, V, VI, VII). Clear-cut differences appear as shown below:

    Percentage of native white families which may have consisted of adults only and of families known to contain children under 16 in specified income levels in Atlanta

    | Families | All income bands | Under $\$ 1,000$ | $\$ 5,000$ and over |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | All families | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
    | Adults only | 48.6 | 43.3 | 57.5 |
    | With children under 16. | 49.9 | 54.3 | 40.6 |
    | Age composition indeterminate. | 1.5 | 2.4 | 1.9 |

    The adult families were less common in the lowest income levels and more frequent, proportionately, in the highest income classes than in the city as a whole, while the reverse was true of families known to contain children under 16.
    We may anticipate material to be presented in chapter V on number of earners per family, and tie it up with the data set forth in the present chapter by relating the frequency of multiple-earner families and the average number of earners per family to family type. The figures below present the percentage of earner families which contained supplementary earners and the average number of earners per family,


    
    
    by family type among the nonrelief native white complete families of Atlanta:

    |  | Family type |  |  |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | I | II-III | IV-V | VI-VII | VIII- <br> Other |
    | Percentage of families with supplementary earners | 21.1 | 9.8 | 48.0 | 25.5 | 79.8 |
    | A verage number of earners per family.- | 1.21 | 1.10 | 1.61 | 1.39 | 2.53 |

    From the figures it will be noted that less than 10 percent of the families with one or two children in the family had supplementary earners. Proportionately twice as many husband-wife families on the other hand, as families with one or two young children, had more than one earner. One family in four among family types VI and VII considered together, had supplementary earners. Type VII, it will be recalled, may have had as many as five adults besides husband and wife. In family types VIII and "other", consisting predominantly of large families of adults, four families in five depended on the contributions of more than one earner for the family income.

    Family type and income of native Negro complete families.-A similar relationship between family type and income prevailed among the Negroes (table 36). In all the cities, that is, the median income of each family type appeared to be closely related, as among white families, to the number of potential earners in the family. Type VIII with three or four adults in addition to the married couple had the highest median income in practically every city while type III with two children and type VI with three or four children with no adults in addition to the parents ranked low. The median income of the type VIII ranged from $\$ 688$ in Gastonia to as much as $\$ 1,057$ in Atlanta; types III and VI, on the other hand, secured medians ranging from $\$ 405$ in Gastonia (family type VI) to $\$ 577$ in Columbia (family type VI).

    Table 36.-Median income of native Negro families of different type ${ }^{1}$
    [Complete families, relief and nonrelief]

    | Family type | Atlanta | Mobile | Columbia | Gastonia | Albany |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | All families.. | \$632 | \$481 | \$576 | \$495 | \$425 |
    | Type I | 597 | 453 | 531 | 476 | 385 |
    | Type II | 579 | 469 | 526 | 489 | 368 |
    | Type III | 514 | 420 | 485 | 517 | 431 |
    | Type IV. | 724 | 552 | 650 | 486 | 470 |
    | Type V | 728 | 575 | 631 | 555 | 529 |
    | Type Vİ | 530 | 429 | 577 | 405 | 421 |
    | Type VIİ | +660 | 566 | 609 | 571 | 429 |
    | Type VIII. | 1,057 | 705 | 1,000 | 688 | 786 |
    | Other---.. | 722 | 595 | 703 | 708 | 604 |

    ${ }^{1}$ See p. 48 for description of family types.

    The higher income of certain types does not necessarily indicate a higher plane of living since the additional family funds may not be commensurate with the number of individuals who must share the income.

    Family type and occupation of native white complete families.-It is not entirely the direct influence of the age composition of the family which produces the differences in median income just noted, since the several family types also showed some differences among themselves in occupational composition.

    In the discussion of the occupation of family types, it should be borne in mind that the more earners in a family, the less clear-cut the occupational classification becomes, since it is impossible to tell whether the occupation of the principal earner, of the supplementary earners, or of both, determined the occupational classification of the family.

    In general, however, it may be said that among the white group, the larger family types (five or more members) tended to be comprised of wage-earner families to a greater extent than did the smaller family types (table 37). The one notable exception was family type VIII, consisting entirely of adults, which tended to have the smallest proportion of wage-earner families. Inasmuch as this family type tended to bave supplementary earners, the occupational classification may have been determined by these earners rather than by the principal earner, who may or may not have been a wage earner. This family type in all cities tended to show a relatively high percentage of white-collar families, suggesting the possibility that the supplementary earners who determined the occupational classification of the family may have been in these occupational groups. In all the cities, except Albany, the husband-wife families without children in the home showed the highest percentage as compared with the other family types in the heterogeneous group designated as "other."

    The median incomes of the several family types as presented above, therefore, reflected both the presence of additional adults in the family besides husband and wife and the tendency for these adult families to be in the nonwage-earner occupations.

    Table 3\%.-Occupational composition of native white families of specified family types ${ }^{1}$
    [Complete families, relief and nonrelief]
    atlanta

    | Occupational group | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { family } \\ \text { types } \end{gathered}$ | I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII | VIII | Other |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | All families. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
    | Wage earner | 41.6 | 33.9 | 37.4 | 43.2 | 37.9 | 49.6 | 56.6 | 63.0 | 32.8 | 69.5 |
    | Clerical...- | 29.2 | 31.2 | 33.5 | 29.6 | 30.8 | 25.5 | 20.5 | 19.2 | 37.8 | 13.2 |
    | Business and profess | 26.6 | 29.5 | 27.6 | 25.9 | 29.4 | 24.1 | 20.8 | 14.9 | 28.8 | 14.1 |
    | Other. | 2.6 | 5.4 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.9 | . 8 | 2.1 | 2.9 | . 6 | 3.2 |
    | MOBILE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | All families | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
    | Wage earner | 46.2 | 41.4 | 45.2 | 49.1 | 42.0 | 47.8 | 55.6 | 57.4 | 36.0 | 57.1 |
    | Clerical. | 25.4 | 25.4 | 26.1 | 24.1 | 29.6 | 25.6 | 19.3 | 18.3 | 33.7 | 21.1 |
    | Business and professional | 26.6 | 28.4 | 27.9 | 26.0 | 27.1 | 25.7 | 24.7 | 23.0 | 29.2 | 20.3 |
    | Other.... | 1.8 | 4.8 | . 8 | . 8 | 1.3 | . 9 | . 4 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.5 |
    | COLUMBIA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | All families. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
    | Wage earner | 37.0 | 33.4 | 36.8 | 37.0 | 28.8 | 38.1 | 47.6 | 60.7 | 30.6 | 60.0 |
    | Olerical. | 27.8 | 28.9 | 31.4 | 27.2 | 30.3 | 26.0 | 19.8 | 19.1 | 34.3 | 18.8 |
    | Business and professional | 34.2 | 35.9 | 31.7 | 35.2 | 39, 5 | 35.3 | 31.8 | 19.5 | 34.3 | 21.2 |
    | Other..-------.---- | 1.0 | 1.8 | . 1 | . 6 | 1.4 | . 6 | . 8 | . 7 | . 8 |  |
    | GASTONIA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | All families. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
    | Wage earner | 68.2 | 59.7 | 68.6 | 72.3 | 57.0 | 69.6 | 76.8 | 77.1 | 52.8 | 84.6 |
    | Clerical. | 14.0 | 14.3 | 15.8 | 12.6 | 17.5 | 14.4 | 9.9 | 11.0 | 20.8 | 11.5 |
    | Business and professional | 17. 1 | 24.4 | 15.3 | 14.8 | 24.6 | 15.0 | 12.6 | 11.5 | 26.4 | 3.9 |
    | Other... | . 7 | 1.6 | . 3 | . 3 | . 9 | 1.0 | . 7 | . 4 |  |  |

    ALBANY

    | All families | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | (*) | (*) |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | Wage earner | 40.0 | 33.4 | 38.1 | 44.0 | 34.4 | 44.0 | 56.3 | 50.8 | (*) | (*) |
    | Clerical | 26.3 | 28.3 | 26. 5 | 28.0 | 28.4 | 23.2 | 17.3 | 25.4 | (*) | (*) |
    | Business and professional | 31.4 | 34.7 | 33.7 | 27.2 | 34.0 | 31.2 | 25.3 | 23.8 | (*) | (*) |
    | Other. | 2, 3 | 3.6 | 1.7 | . 8 | 3.2 | 1.6 | 1.1 |  | (*) | (*) |

    1 See p. 48 for description of family types.
    *Insufficient number of cases for computation of percentages.
    Family size and income.-According to the 1930 census (which did not separate the complete from the incomplete families), the average Negro family in these Southeast cities did not contain as many members as the white. The median number of members in each group was as follows: ${ }^{9}$

    | Race | Atlanta | Mobile | Columbia | Gastonia | Albany |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | Native white. | 3.31 | 3.47 | 3.57 | 4.19 | 3.50 |
    | Native Negro.. | 2.79 | 2. 53 | 2. 88 | 3.11 | 2. 39 |

    Information collected in the present study permits a comparison which is limited to families containing both a husband and wife. Racial differences in family size were less marked among complete families, and in Columbia and Atlanta the Negro complete families were actually larger than the white (see table 38). Negro families securing relief, on the other hand, averaged slightly fewer members than did the white relief group in every one of these five cities.

    We have already seen that family types comprised of five or more persons were more frequent in the relief group than in the self-supporting group (tables 32 and 34). The difference shows up more clearly if expressed in terms of average number of members per family. Among white complete families, for example, families securing public assistance during the year averaged from 4.59 members (in Atlanta and Columbia) to 4.87 members (in Gastonia). Nonrelief white families, on the other hand, had as few as 3.64 members as an average in Atlanta and at the most 4.31 persons in Gastonia. The corresponding averages for Negro families varied from 3.99 to 4.55 for the relief group and from 3.57 to 3.86 for the nonrelief Negro families containing both husband and wife.

    Excluding the relief group, a persistent trend toward larger families was found with increasing income. The increase in size is associated with more adults rather than more children. Among the lower paid occupational groups particularly, the presence of several adults as contributing earners was essential for the family income to reach the upper heights. At the lowest bracket there were 0.39 adult other than the married couple per family in Atlanta while the highest income class averaged 1.04 adults in addition to husband and wife per native white nonrelief family. Similar patterns prevailed in the other Southeast communities.

    Among self-supporting Negro families, also, an increase in size of family accompanied rise in the income scale. Negro families securing less than $\$ 500$ averaged 3.29 members in Atlanta, while at the $\$ 2,000$ to $\$ 3,000$ income level families contained 4.35 members. Generally speaking, Negro self-supporting families were larger than the white at all income levels above $\$ 1,000$-thus reflecting the presence of more earners than among the white families at comparable income levels. This analysis of family size by income reveals therefore that although all Negro families had a lower average size than did the white families, at comparable middle and upper income levels, the Negro families exceeded the white families in size.

    Table 38.-Average size and composition of economic families by income class ${ }^{1}$
    [Complete familes]
    a. NATIVE WHITE FAMILIES

    | Income class | Average number of persons |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | Atlanta |  |  | Mobile |  |  | Columbia |  |  | Gastonia |  |  | Albany |  |  |
    |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { ages } \end{gathered}$ | 16 and over | Under 16 | $\underset{\text { ages }}{\text { All }}$ | 16 and over | Under | All | 16 and over | $\underset{16}{\text { Under }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { ages } \end{gathered}$ | 16 and over | $\begin{gathered} \text { Under } \\ 16 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { ages } \end{aligned}$ | 16 and over | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & 16 \end{aligned}$ |
    | All families | 3.77 | 0.66 | 1.10 | 4.07 | 0.80 | 1. 26 | 3.91 | 0.71 | 1.19 | 4.37 | 0.68 | 1. 69 | 3.95 | 0.70 | 1. 24 |
    | Relief families. Nonrelief families | $\begin{aligned} & 4.59 \\ & 3.64 \end{aligned}$ | .80 .63 | 1.78 1.00 | 4.74 4.00 | .93 .79 | 1.80 1.21 | 4.59 3.85 | .98 .69 | 1.60 1.16 | 4.87 4.31 | .85 .66 | 2.01 1.65 | 4.82 3.84 | .93 .66 | 1.89 1.16 |
    |  | 3.32 3.65 3.64 3.56 3.61 3.61 3.83 | .39 .43 .45 .52 .69 1.04 | .93 1.21 1.17 1.03 .91 .78 | 3.76 3.86 3.86 3.89 4.24 4.25 | .54 .51 .61 .76 1.04 1.33 | 1.22 1.35 1.23 1.22 1.19 .91 | 3.50 3.98 3.75 3.81 3.84 3.92 | .38 .42 .48 .59 .76 1.00 | 1.07 1.56 1.25 1.22 1.07 .92 | 4.02 4.25 4.33 4.53 4.48 4.10 | .40 .44 .55 .92 1.07 1.14 | 1.60 1.80 1.77 1.62 1.42 .96 | 3.51 3.77 3.84 3.79 3.82 4.03 | .27 .36 .54 .63 .70 1.11 | 1.23 1.38 1.28 1.15 1.11 .92 |
    | b. NATIVE NEGRO FAMILIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | Income class | Average number of persons |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  | Atlanta |  |  | Mobile |  |  | Columbia |  |  | Gastonia |  |  | Albany |  |  |
    |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { ages } \end{gathered}$ | 16 and over | $\begin{gathered} \text { Under } \\ 16 \end{gathered}$ | All | 16 and over | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { ages } \end{aligned}$ | 16 and over | $\underset{16}{\text { Under }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { ages } \end{gathered}$ | 16 and over | ${ }_{16}^{\text {Under }}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { All } \\ \text { ages } \end{array}$ | 16 and over | $\begin{gathered} \text { Under } \\ 16 \end{gathered}$ |
    | All families -- | 3.89 | 0.73 | 1.16 | 3. 74 | 0.55 | 1.19 | 4.00 | 0.64 | 1.36 | 3.75 | 0.57 | 1.18 | 3.65 | 0.58 | 1. 06 |
    | Relief families Nonrelief families. | $\begin{aligned} & 4.31 \\ & 3.69 \end{aligned}$ | .81 .69 | 1.50 1.00 | 4. 24 3.59 | .58 .54 | 1.66 1.05 | 4. 55 3. 86 | .81 .60 | 1.74 1.26 | 4. 46 3.63 | $\begin{array}{r}.82 \\ .53 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 1.64 1.10 | 3.99 3.57 | .60 .58 | 1.39 .99 |
    | Under \$500 | 3.29 | . 43 | . 86 | 3.24 | . 31 | . 93 | 3.42 | . 36 | 1.06 | 3.36 | . 35 | 1.01 | 3.32 | . 41 | . 91 |
    | \$500-\$999... | 3.69 | . 57 | 1. 12 | 3.64 | . 55 | 1.09 | 3.93 | . 57 | 1.36 | 3. 79 | . 54 | 1. 25 | 3.81 | . 70 | 1.11 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,499 | 3.85 | . 96 | . 89 | 4. 28 | 1.15 | 1.13 | 4.34 | 1.11 | 1.23 | 3.93 | 1. 30 | . 63 | 4.25 | 1.12 | 1. 13 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,999.- | 3.98 | 1.26 | . 72 | 4.62 | 1.29 | 1.33 | 4.60 | 1. 16 | 1. 44 | 5. 49 | 1.87 | 1. 62 | 3.94 | 1.11 | . 78 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,999.. | 4.35 | 1.30 | 1. 05 | 4. 92 | 1. 23 | 1. 69 | 4. 76 | 1. 27 | 1. 49 | 3.00 |  | 1. 00 | 4.25 | . 94 | 1. 25 |
    | \$3,000 and over... | 4.26 | 1.63 | . 63 | 6.91 | 2.74 | 2. 17 | 5.11 | 1. 67 | 1. 44 |  |  |  | 2.00 | 2. 00 |  |

    ${ }^{1}$ Figures showing average number of persons 16 years of age and over exclude husband and wife.

    ## Chapter V

    ## Sources of Family Income: Money Income

    It is not only the total income of the family, as described in the preceding chapters, which, within any one community, determines the family's plane of living, but the conditions under which this income is produced as well. We have already referred to this fact in connection with family size, pointing out that large family income does not necessarily imply a high level of living if many earners are required to produce it, since this means that many persons must also share in the expenditures. Even when family size is the same, consumption patterns may be influenced by the manner in which the income is secured. Thus, for example, the size of the family's clothing and transportation bill as well as the amount spent for eating out may be larger if there are two or three earners than if there is only one. Again, if the wife is an earner, the family may spend a part of its income on servants, whereas otherwise the care of children and other domestic duties would fall to her. For these reasons, an analysis of the sources, as well as of the total amount of income, is an important preliminary to a consideration of family expenditures.

    Our discussion of the sources of family income will be presented under three general heads, namely: (1) Money earnings; (2) Money income from sources other than earnings; and (3) Nonmoney income from housing. The money earnings of the family include the contributions of secondary earners as well as those of the principal earner, and joint family earnings as well as individual earnings. Other money income includes receipts from rents, interest, dividends, annuities, pensions, cash gifts, and similar sources of money income not immediately earned. ${ }^{1}$ The nonmoney income from housing, which will be discussed in detail in chapter VI, was imputed to home-owning families by first deducting mortage interest, taxes, insurance, and other costs of ownership, from the full rental value of the home and then treating the remainder as income from the investment in the home. ${ }^{2}$ Another infrequent item of nonmoney income was the free occupancy of a family dwelling received in payment for services, as in the case of the resident manager or janitor of an apartment house.

    The aggregate income of native white and Negro families in the five Southeastern cities, together with the relative proportions contributed by each of the three major sources, is shown in table 39. In all five of the cities and among both races, it will be noted, earnings constituted by far the most important source of family income, contributing never less than 86 percent of the total income among white families nor less than 92 percent among Negro families. This all but complete dependence on current earnings for income illustrates very vividly the process by which unemployment affects the consumer market.

    Of these earnings, it was the contributions of one person-the principal earner-which amounted to approximately three-fourths of all family income for both the Negroes and whites. ${ }^{3}$ Supplementary earners provided an additional 9 to 18 percent of aggregate income. A less important source of earnings included the keeping of roomers and boarders and casual work performed in the home for pay. Earnings from such family enterprises, which often cannot be attributed to the work of any one individual and therefore are discussed separately, constituted from 1 percent to 4 percent of all family income.

    Among the native white families, the proportion of family income derived from owned homes, which ranged from the low figure of 3 percent in Gastonia where home ownership was relatively infrequent, to 6 percent in Mobile and Columbia, tended to be less than that derived from money sources other than earnings (except in Gastonia, where nonearned money income also constituted 3 percent of the aggregate). ${ }^{4}$ In the case of the Negroes, the proportion of family income from sources other than earnings was sometimes greater (Mobile, Albany), sometimes less (Columbia, Gastonia), and sometimes about the same (Atlanta) as the proportions imputed from housing. In none of the cities, however, was more than 8 percent of the total income of Negro families derived from both of these sources combined. ${ }^{5}$

    Table 39.-Aggregate income and percentage distribution by sources, all native white and native Negro families

    | Sources of income | [Relief and nonrelief] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Albany |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | Atlanta |  | Mobile |  | Columbia |  | Gastonia |  |  |  |
    |  | Native white ${ }^{1}$ | Native Negro | Native white ${ }^{2}$ | Native <br> Negro | Native white ${ }^{3}$ | Native <br> Negro | Native white | Native Negro | Native white | Native <br> Negro |
    | Estimated aggregate income: <br> Amount (thousands) | \$77, 188 | \$13.188 | \$13, 765 | \$3, 229 | \$14,086 | \$2, 225 | \$3, 727 | \$414 | \$2,833 | \$875 |
    | Percentage.- | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
    | Money income | (95.5) | (96.6) | (94.2) | (96.4) | (94.2) | (95.8) | (96.7) | (96.0) | (95.9) | (97.7) |
    | EarningS.--- | (90.2) | (93.4) | (86.6) | (92, 3) | (87.6) | (92.7) | (94.0) | (93.4) | (88.6) | (93.8) |
    | Principal earner------ | 75.6 | 72.3 | 74.9 | 77.7 | 72.5 | 74.1 | 73.9 | 75.4 | 77.5 | 75.0 |
    | Supplementary earner $\qquad$ | 12.2 | 17.9 | 10.2 | 12.6 | 11.5 | 16.3 | 18.4 | 17.1 | 9.0 | 17.7 |
    | Roomers and boarders, and work in home | 2.4 | 3.2 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 3.6 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 2.1 | 1.1 |
    | Other money income..- | 5.3 | 3.2 | 7.6 | 4.1 | 6.6 | 3.1 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 7.3 | 3.9 |
    | Nonmoney income from housing | 4.5 | 3.4 | 5.8 | 3.6 | 5.8 | 4.2 | 3.3 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 2.3 |

    ${ }^{1}$ Estimated aggregate income of foreign white, $\$ 4,817,000$.
    ${ }^{2}$ Estimatedaggregate income of foreign white, $\$ 1,320,000$.
    ${ }^{3}$ Estimated aggregate income of foreign white, $\$ 548,000$.
    See ch. II, p. 9 for an evaluation of these estimates.
    Of the native white families, those in Gastonia secured a larger proportion of their income from earnings, and a correspondingly lower proportion from nonearned money income and income from housing than in the other four cities. Further analysis reveals that, while the proportions represented by the earnings of principal earners and from joint family enterprises in Gastonia did not differ materially from corresponding proportions in the other cities, the percentage of income contributed by supplementary earners ( 18 percent) was much greater.

    No significant intercity differences existed in the sources from which Negro families secured their incomes. From 92 to 94 percent of all income resulted from earnings; 3 or 4 percent was money income from sources other than earnings; and 2 to 4 percent represented nonmoney income from housing.

    Distribution by sources of income of complete and incomplete native white families.-In previous chapters, the higher median income of families containing both a husband and wife, as compared with incomplete families, has been indicated. Not only were there differences in the amount of income of those two groups, but, as may be seen from table 40 a, there were significant differences in the relative importance of the sources of income.

    Table 40.-Percentage distribution of aggregate income by sources
    [Relief and nonrelief]
    a. NATIVE WHITE FAMILIES, COMPLETE AND INCOMPLETE

    | Sources of income | Atlanta |  | Mobile |  | Columbia |  | Gastonia |  | Albany |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Com- } \\ & \text { plete } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { In- } \\ & \text { com- } \\ & \text { pletete } \end{aligned}$ | Complete | In-complete | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Com- } \\ & \text { plete } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { In- } \\ & \text { com- } \\ & \text { plete } \end{aligned}$ | Complete | $\begin{aligned} & \text { In- } \\ & \text { In- } \\ & \text { com- } \\ & \text { plete } \end{aligned}$ | Complete | $\begin{gathered} \text { In- } \\ \text { com- } \\ \text { com- } \\ \text { plete } \end{gathered}$ |
    | All sources. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
    | Money income. | (96.1) | (92.1) | (95.3) | (89.9) | (94. 9) | (91.4) | (97.1) | (92.4) | (96. 6) | (92.0) |
    | Earnings ----...... | (92.3) | (79.4) | (91.1) | (69.4) | (89.7) | (79.1) | (94.9) | (85. 6) | (90.6) | (77.1) |
    | Principal earner | 79.4 | 55.9 | 80.1 | 55.2 | 78.7 | 47.4 | 75.6 | 58.3 | 81.8 | 52.5 |
    | Supplementary earner. | 11.2 | 17.3 | 10.0 | 10.9 | 9.3 | 20.3 | 17.8 | 22.9 | 7.6 | 17.3 |
    | Roomers and boarders and work in home. $\qquad$ | 1.7 | 6.2 | 1.0 | 3.3 | 1.7 | 11.4 | 1.5 | 4.4 | 1.2 | 7.3 |
    | Other money income | 3.8 | 12.7 | 4.2 | 20.5 | 5.2 | 12.3 | 2.2 | 6.8 | 6.0 | 14.9 |
    | Nonmoney income from housing.-..................... | 3.9 | 7.9 | 4.7 | 10.1 | 5.1 | 8.6 | 2.9 | 7.6 | 3.4 | 8.0 |

    [Relief and nonrelief]
    b. NATIVE NEGRO FAMILIES, COMPLETE AND INCOMPLETE

    | Sources of income | Atlanta |  | Mobile |  | Columbia |  | Gastonia |  | Albany |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | Complete | $\begin{aligned} & \text { In- } \\ & \text { com- } \\ & \text { plete } \end{aligned}$ | Complete | In-complete | Complete | In-complete | Complete | In-complete | Complete | In-complete |
    | All sources. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
    | Money income | (96.7) | (96.4) | (96.5) | (96.0) | (96.5) | (93.7) | (97.1) | (93. 2) | (97.9) | (97.1) |
    | Earnings- | (93.2) | (93.7) | (93.9) | (88.1) | (94.0) | (89.2) | (95. 6) | (87.8) | (94.8) | (90.5) |
    | Principal earner.-...- | 73.8 | 68.6 | 79.4 | 73.3 | 75. 7 | 69.6 | 76. 3 | 73.0 | 76.0 | 71.8 |
    | Supplementary earner. | 17.4 | 19.1 | 13.6 | 10.2 | 16.6 | 15.8 | 18.5 | 13.6 | 17.7 | 17.6 |
    | Roomers and boarders and work in home | 2.0 | 6.0 | 0.9 | 4. 6 | 1. 7 | 3. 8 | 0.8 | 1. 2 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
    | Other money income....- | 3.5 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 7.9 | 2.5 | 4.5 | 1. 5 | 5.4 | 3.1 | 6.6 |
    | Nonmoney income from housing------------- | 3.3 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 6.3 | 2.9 | 6.8 | 2.1 | 2.9 |

    No less than nine-tenths of the income of native white families headed by a married couple consisted of earnings. Money income other than earnings and nonmoney income from housing were about equally important as sources from which the remainder of the income was derived, except in Albany where only 3 percent was contributed by housing versus 6 percent from other sources. Among the white families which lacked a husband and wife, however, earnings comprised only between 70 percent (Mobile) and 86 percent (Gastonia) of all family income. Both money income other than earnings and nonmoney income from housing were more important sources for the incomplete than for the complete families, the former source making up between 7 and 20 percent of the aggregate income, and the latter source, between 8 and 10 percent. Many of these incomplete families consisted of retired persons, widows, and widowers who receive annuities and
    income from other investments accounting for the comparatively high proportion of money income from sources other than earnings. The relatively large proportion of family income derived from housing in the group of incomplete families as compared with the complete reflects the greater incidence of home ownership among the families lacking either a husband or wife or both. ${ }^{6}$

    Important as a cause for the greater dependence of the incomplete families on sources other than earnings was the loss of the usual chief earner among many of these families. ${ }^{7}$ The contributions of the principal earner in incomplete families constituted only five-tenths to six-tenths of the total income while the chief earners in the complete families contributed approximately eight-tenths of the aggregate. The families lacking a married couple were therefore more dependent than the complete families upon supplementary earnings and upon earnings from joint family enterprises.

    Distribution by sources of income of complete and incomplete native Negro families.-Differences in the sources of income of complete and incomplete families were less pronounced among the Negro families. In the four smaller cities, earnings comprised between 88 and 91 percent of the aggregate income of the incomplete Negro families and 94 to 96 percent of the total income of families containing both husband and wife. In Atlanta, however, the incomplete families derived a slightly higher percentage of their income from earnings than did the husband-wife families ( 94 percent versus 93 percent) and conversely a smaller percentage from money sources other than earnings ( 3 percent for the incomplete families and 4 percent for the complete). Nonearned money income constituted between 5 and 8 percent of the aggregate of incomplete families and only 2 percent or 3 percent of the aggregate of complete families in the other four cities. Families lacking either a husband or wife derived proportionately more income from housing than did the complete families although later analysis shows a lower incidence of home ownership among the incomplete group. Except in Atlanta, supplementary earnings constituted a smaller proportion of the income of incomplete families than of complete (a tendency unlike that shown by the whites), but, like the white groups, incomplete Negro families received more of their income from roomers and boarders and work in the home than did the families containing a married couple.

    Sources of income of native white families in specified occupational groups.-When our analysis is confined to the complete families not having received relief during the year, enough cases are available to make possible interoccupational comparisons.

    Between 93 and 98 percent of the income of wage-earner families consisted of earnings. Clerical families were almost as dependent upon employment, deriving between 91 and 94 percent of aggregate income from this source, while business and professional families reported between 88 and 92 percent of their income as coming from earnings. ${ }^{8}$ That this was a true occupational difference is suggested by the fact that, even when we compare families in the same income brackets, the business and professional families showed a slight tendency to be less dependent upon earnings than did the wageearner and clerical families. ${ }^{9}$ This difference was more pronounced in the low than in the high income brackets.

    Table 41a.-Percentage distribution of aggregate income by sources in specified occupational groups
    [Nonrelief only]
    NATIVE WHITE COMPLETE FAMILIES
    
    *Less than 0.1 percent.
    ${ }^{8}$ The frequency of home ownership in the several occupational groups is discussed in ch. VI; the frequency of sources of money income other than earnings, in a later section of the present chapter.
    ${ }^{-}$Tabular Summary, sec. B, table 2A. and footnote 5, p. 62, for definition of earnings.

    Income from investments was the source for between 4 and 8 percent of the income of business and professional families but for only 1 to 4 percent of the income of clerical and wage-earner families. This difference is to be expected since more of the business and professional families were found at the higher income levels, where sources of income other than earnings tend to be more important. The relatively higher proportion of nonmoney income from housing among the business and professional families- 4 percent to 6 percentas compared with 1 to 5 percent for the wage-earner and clerical families, is also explained in part on this basis. (See ch. VI for further discussion of imputed income from owned homes.)

    A further occupational difference concerns the greater dependence upon secondary earners of the wage-earner and clerical families in contrast to the business and professional groups. Only between 6 and 8 percent of the aggregate income of business and professional families consisted of supplementary earnings, but for the other two occupational groups, this source provided, with one exception, well over 10 percent of all family funds among the nonrelief propulation.

    In the group containing families without gainfully employed members and families of farmers, the percentage of income derived from earnings is in a sense a measure of the relative frequency of farm operators and sharecroppers in these cities since, by definition, no family with earnings from any occupation other than these was classified in this group. The proportion of income derived from the earnings of farm operators and sharecroppers increased as the size of the community diminished. There were significant intercity differences in the proportion of money income received by this group from sources other than earnings, ranging from only 26 percent in Albany to between 69 and 86 percent in the other cities. Correlated with the higher rate of home ownership, nonmoney income from housing provided a larger proportion of income for these families than for the other groups; between 8 and 16 percent of aggregate income was attributed to home ownership.

    Sources of income of native Negro families in specified occupational groups.-In general, the sources of income among the different occupations tended to follow the same pattern among complete Negro families as among white families, with a slightly more marked contrast between the business and professional families on the one hand and the wage-earner and clerical on the other. In Atlanta, Mobile, and Columbia, supplementary earners contributed a larger proportion of the income of business and professional families than of clerical families. A possible explanation lies in the common practice of calling upon family members to help in the operation of small independently owned businesses, such as grocery stores.

    Table 41b.-Percentage distribution of aggregate income by sources in specified occupational groups
    [Nonrelief only]
    NATIVE NEGRO COMPLETE FAMILIES

    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Sources of income} \& \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Atlanta} \& \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Mobile} \& \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Columbia} <br>
    \hline \& Wage earner \& Clerical \& $$
    \begin{aligned}
    & \text { Busi- } \\
    & \text { ness } \\
    & \text { and pro- } \\
    & \text { fes- } \\
    & \text { sional }
    \end{aligned}
    $$ \& Wage earner \& Clerical \& Busi-
    ness
    and pro-
    fes-
    sional \& Wage earner \& Clerical \& $$
    \begin{gathered}
    \text { Busi- } \\
    \text { ness } \\
    \text { andpro- } \\
    \text { fes- } \\
    \text { sional }
    \end{gathered}
    $$ <br>
    \hline All sources.-............ \& 100.0 \& 100.0 \& 100.0 \& 100.0 \& 100.0 \& 100.0 \& 100.0 \& 100.0 \& 100.0 <br>
    \hline Money income. Earnings. \& (97.1)
    $(94.8)$

    ( \& (94.2) \& (83.3) \& | (96.8) |
    | :--- |
    | $(94.7)$ | \& (94.3)

    (92.0) \& (92.6)

    (89.6) \& | (97. 2 ) |
    | :--- |
    | $(94.8)$ | \& (93.3)

    (93.0) \& (92.8)
    (91.2) <br>

    \hline Principal earner.--- \& (94.7) \& (97.4 \& (89.2 \& 79.6 \& | 84.0 |
    | :---: |
    |  |
    | 8 | \& 72.0 \& (96.2 \& 83.8 \& (91.9 <br>

    \hline Supplementary earners $\qquad$ \& 18.3 \& 12.8 \& 14.8 \& 14.2 \& 7.7 \& 15.8 \& 17.0 \& 8.7 \& 14.4 <br>

    \hline | ```Roomers and boarders and work in home.``` $\qquad$ |
    | :--- |
    | ```Other money income...-``` | \& 1.8

    2.3 \& 1.2 \& 3.1 \& 2.19 \& .3
    2.3 \& 1.8
    3.0 \& 1.6 \& .5
    .3 \& 1.9
    1.6 <br>
    \hline Nonmoney income from housing. \& 2.9 \& 5.8 \& 6.7 \& 3.2 \& 5.7 \& 7.4 \& 2.8 \& 6.7 \& 7.2 <br>
    \hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{Sources of income}} \& \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Gastonia} \& \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Albany} <br>
    \hline \& \& Wage carner \& Cler \& ical \& siness d prosional \& Wage earner \& Cler \& \& Business and proessional <br>
    \hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{All sources.--.......................-.-.} \& 100.0 \& $0{ }^{*}$ \& \& 100.0 \& 100.0 \& \& 0.0 \& 100.0 <br>
    \hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{} \& (97.5) \& \& \& (93.6) \& (98.5) \& \& 6.7) \& (94. 1) <br>
    \hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Earnings.} \& (96.2) \& \& \& (92.6) \& (96.2) \& \& 93.3) \& (87.9) <br>
    \hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Principal earner.} \& 76.7 \& \& \& 73.0 \& 76.9 \& \& 6.9 \& 74.5 <br>
    \hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Supplementary earners .-.--} \& 18.7 \& \& \& 19.1 \& 18.3 \& \& 6. 2 \& 11.8 <br>
    \hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Roomers and boarders and work in home. $\qquad$} \& . 8 \& 8 \& \& . 5 \& 1.0 \& \& 2 \& 1.6 <br>
    \hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Other money income} \& 1.3 \& \& \& 1.0 \& 2.3 \& \& 3.4 \& 6.2 <br>
    \hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Nonmoney income from housing.---} \& 2.5 \& \& \& 6.4 \& 1.5 \& \& 3.3 \& 5.9 <br>
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    * Not enough cases for analysis by source of income.

    Distribution of income by sources at specified income levels.-Using the figures for Atlanta white families containing both husband and wife as an illustration, we find that the proportion of total income derived from earnings tended to decline with each rise in income from $\$ 1,000$ upward (table 42). That earnings were a relatively less important source for the nonrelief families with incomes under $\$ 1,000$ is due to the inclusion in this income level of a fairly large proportion of retired persons living on pensions, annuities, interest, etc. Approximately 7 percent of the income of both the nonrelief families receiving less than $\$ 1,000$ in annual income and those receiving $\$ 5,000$ or more consisted of money income from sources other than earnings. In the intermediate income levels, however, only 3 or 4 percent of the family funds came from such sources.

    Imputed income from housing constituted a fairly constant proportion of total income of families receiving $\$ 2,000$ a year or more ( 4 to 5 percent). It was about equally important (4 percent) in the income bracket under $\$ 1,000$, which contained the home-owning
    retired persons referred to above, but only 3 percent of the income of families at the $\$ 1,000$ to $\$ 2,000$ level was drawn from this source.

    These differences at the several income levels may be related to occupation. It will be recalled from chapter III that the proportion of families which were in wage-earner occupations tended to decline as income rose. This situation may well account for the decline in the proportion of total family income derived from earnings as income increased. Most relief families are without the resources which might yield nonearned income; since no figures were secured on direct relief, their incomes as shown here came largely from earnings. The large proportion of all families which were in the business or professional occupations in the higher income brackets is also no doubt associated with the relatively high percentage of family income derived from money sources other than earnings in the higher brackets. In the lowest income levels, the high percentage from sources other than earnings was doubtless due to the presence of retired families living on pensions and annuities or investments.
    Table 42.-Percentage distribution of aggregate income by sources at specified income levels in Atlanta

    | Sources of income | Relief | Nonrelief |  |  |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & \$ 1,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,000- \\ & \$ 1,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 2,000- \\ & \$ 2,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 3,000- \\ & \$ 4,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 5,000 \\ & \text { and over } \end{aligned}$ |
    | All sources.-.-.----- | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
    | Money income. | (98.3) | (96.3) | (97.2) | (95.7) | (95.3) | (95.5) |
    | Earnings | 95.1 | 89.2 | 98.7 | 92.9 | 91.7 | 88.9 |
    | Other than earnings | 3.2 | 7.1 | 3.5 | 2.8 | 3.6 | 6.6 |
    | Nonmoney income from hous- <br>  | 1.7 | 3.7 | 2.8 | 4.3 | 4.7 | 4.5 |

    The proportion of family income derived from housing can be traced to the relative frequency of home ownership in the several income classes, a subject to be discussed at greater length in chapter VI.

    Earnings as a source of income.-Approximately seven-tenths of the native white families containing both husband and wife (except in Gastonia where the proportion was slightly over half) contained only one earner. In Gastonia 46 percent of the families consisted of mul-tiple-earner families, as compared with less than 30 percent in the other cities. In no city were more than 4 percent of the complete families without earners, but such families comprised 14 to 31 percent of the native white incomplete group. From 42 to 48 percent of these families which lacked either husband or wife were dependent upon a single earner. As for families with several earners, no consistent pattern was found as between the complete and incomplete families. Supplementary earners were, however, much more prevalent among Negro families headed by a married couple than among the incomplete Negro families. (See table 43 b.)

    Table 43.-Number of earners, percentage distribution
    [Relief and nonrelief families]
    a. NATIVE WHITE FAMILIES, COMPLETE AND INCOMPLETE

    | Number of earners | Atlanta |  | Mobile |  | Columbia |  | Gastonia |  | Albany |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | Complete | Incomplete | Complete | Incomplete | Complete | Incomplete | Complete | Incomplete | Complete | Incomplete |
    | All families | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
    | No earner- | 3.5 | 29.2 | 2.1 | 31.0 | 1.3 | 20.4 | . 7 | 13.8 | 1.8 | 19.4 |
    | One earner | 68.2 | 43.5 | 71.4 | 48.3 | 71.3 | 41.9 | 52.8 | 46.2 | 69.2 | 45.2 |
    | Two or more earners. | 28.3 | 27.3 | 26.5 | 20.7 | 27.4 | 37.7 | 46.5 | 40.0 | 29.0 | 35.4 |

    b. NATIVE NEGRO FAMILIES, COMPLETE AND INCOMPLETE

    | Numbers of earners | Atlanta |  | Mobile |  | Columbia |  | Gastonia |  | Albany |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | Complete | Incomplete | Complete | Incom plete | Complete | Incomplete | Complete | Incomplete | Complete | Incomplete |
    | All families. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
    | No earner. | 2.3 | 10.8 | 1.1 | 6.0 | 6 | 3.9 | . 2 | 3.8 | . 8 | 4.3 |
    | One earner. | 47.1 | 50.9 | 52.3 | 67.6 | 41.3 | 61.4 | 40.8 | 55.7 | 33.3 | 53.2 |
    | Two or more earners. | 50.6 | 38.3 | 46.6 | 26.4 | 58.1 | 34.7 | 59.0 | 40.5 | 65.9 | 42.5 |

    Principal earners.-Principal earners, as we have seen, contributed roughly three-fourths of total family income in both races and in all cities (table 39). Because of the absence of earners in many incomplete families and because the principal earners in many other incomplete families were women, the earnings of the chief breadwinner in such families were well below the corresponding earnings in complete families of both races and in all cities (table 40). Among the nonrelief native white families containing both husband and wife, the contribution of the chief earner fluctuated above and below 80 percent in the six occupational groups composed of families with gainfully employed members, dropping, however, to only a little more than 70

    Table 44.-Earnings of principal earner and of supplementary earners as a percentage of total family earnings, by income class
    [Atlanta native white complete families]

    | Income class | Principal earners | Supplementary earners | Income class | Principal earners | Supplementary earners |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | All families. | 86.1 | 12.1 | \$1.750-\$1,999. | 90.5 | 7.8 |
    | Relief families | 85.7 | 11.9 | \$2,000-\$2,249 | 88.1 87.6 | 10.1 |
    | Nonrelief families. | 86.1 | 12.1 | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 83.4 | 14.9 |
    |  |  |  | \$3,000-\$3,499 | 82.6 | 16.1 |
    | Onder \$250 | 81.1 | 2.6 | \$3,500-\$3,999. | 81.2 | 17.4 |
    | $\$ 250-\$ 499$ | 89.4 | 4.0 | \$4,000-\$4,499 | 80.9 | 18.1 |
    | \$500-\$749 | 91.5 | 3.9 | \$4,500-\$4,999. | 79.8 | 19.3 |
    | \$750-\$999 - | 91.7 | 5. 0 | \$5,000-\$7,499 | 82.7 | 16.5 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 91.4 | 5.9 | \$7,500-\$9,999 ... | 87.7 | 11.6 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 $\$ 1,500-\$ 1,749$ | 89.6 89.5 | 7.6 8.2 | \$10,000 and over | 91.1 | 8.5 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749. | 89.5 | 8.2 |  |  |  |

    percent in the case of Gastonia wage-earner families and rising to almost 90 percent among Albany wage-earner families (table 41 a). Among the nonrelief Negro families containing a married couple, principal earners were the source of 70 to 80 percent of the income of wage-earner, clerical, and business and professional families.

    The proportion of all earnings which was contributed by the chief breadwinner tended to decline as income increased, the difference being made up largely by supplementary earnings. This is illustrated in table 44 for Atlanta native white families which contained both husband and wife. Among families with incomes of $\$ 5,000$ and over, however, this tendency reversed itself because the higher income classes were composed mainly of business and professional families, in which the principal earner tended to provide a larger percentage of all earnings than in other occupational groups. ${ }^{10}$

    Sex of principal earners.-Among the white families in most of the cities, about one principal earner in six was a woman; in Albany, only one in ten. Among the Negroes, however (except in Gastonia), from two in ten to more than two in six of the principal earners were women. In Gastonia, the relatively low income of the white families precludes their availing themselves of domestic servants, which means that opportunities for employment for Negro women are greatly restricted. The following figures show for all white and Negro families the percentage of principal earners who were women:

    | Families | Atlanta | Mobile | Columbia | Gastonia | Albany |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | Native white. | 15.7 | 16.0 | 17.0 | 15.4 | 10.7 |
    | Native Negro.. | 21.7 | 30.0 | 24.0 | 11.2 | 37.1 |

    Of the women principal earners, in all cities and in both races, by far the greatest number were in families which lacked either a husband or a wife, from three to more than four-fifths being in these incomplete families. In most cases these doubtless represent families in which there was no husband.

    Among the women who were principal earners in nonrelief white complete families more than half were in clerical occupations in all of the cities except Gastonia, where the proportion was less than a fifth; but even in Gastonia the percentage of female chief earners who engaged in clerical occupations exceeded the percentage of males in this occupational group (table 46 a). ${ }^{11}$ In Gastonia approximately two-


    thirds of the female as well as the male principal earners were wage earners, chiefly in the textile mills. Between 35 and 45 percent of the male principal earners in the other cities belonged to the wage-earner group. Columbia had more male chief earners in the professional and business classification than in the wage earner ( 37 percent as compared with 35 percent). Elsewhere, however, not more than 35 percent of all chief breadwinners were in business and professional occupations.

    Table 45.-Percentage of female principal earners in complete and incomplete families
    [Relief and nonrelief families]

    | Families | Atlanta | Mobile | Columbia | Gastonia | Albany |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | Native white. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
    | Complete. | 31.0 | 22.6 | 26.6 | 38.9 | 29.2 |
    | Incomplete. | 69.0 | 77.4 | 73.4 | 61.1 | 70.8 |
    | Native Negro. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
    | Complete. | 17.4 | 16.1 | 14.3 | 18.8 | 18.8 |
    | Incomplete.. | 82.6 | 83.9 | 85.7 | 81.2 | 81.2 |

    Table 46.--Percentage distribution by occupational group of male and female principal earners
    [Nonrelief families only]
    a. Native white complete families

    | Occupational group | Atlanta |  | Mobile |  | Columbia |  | Gastonia |  | Albany |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fe- } \\ \text { male } \end{gathered}$ | Male | Female | Male | Female |
    | All families.-.-.--.-.-.-.-.-.-.- | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
    | Wage earner.-.-------------- | 38.6 | 27.0 | 44.6 | 27.9 | 34.8 | 25.1 | 65.7 | 69.3 | 36.8 | 11.5 |
    | Clerical. | 31.6 | 56.8 | 26.3 | 54.8 | 28.1 | 52.0 | 14.8 | 18.1 | 27.2 | 65.4 |
    | Business and professional | 29.7 | 16.2 | 29.0 | 17.3 | 36.9 | 22.9 | 19.3 | 12.6 | 35.0 | 23.1 |
    | Other. | 1 |  | . 1 |  | . 2 |  | . 2 |  | 1.0 |  |

    b. NATIVE NEGRO COMPLETE FAMILIES

    | Occupational group | Atlanta |  | Mobile |  | Columbia |  | Gastonia |  | Albany |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | Male | $\underset{\text { me- }}{\mathrm{Fe}}$ | Male | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Fe}- \\ \text { male } \end{gathered}$ | Male | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Fe}- \\ & \text { male } \end{aligned}$ | Male | Female | Male | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Fe}- \\ & \text { male } \end{aligned}$ |
    | All families.--------------- | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
    | Wage earner Clerical | 85.7 | 84.3 | 88.8 | 86.3 | 87.6 | 87.7 | 90.0 | 97.3 | 87.4 | 91.4 |
    |  | 4.2 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.2 | 2.4 | . 7 | . 8 |  | 3.4 |  |
    | Business and professional | 10.1 | 12.9 | 8.0 | 11.5 | 9.8 | 11.6 | 8.0 | 2.7 | 8.3 | 8.6 |
    | Other. |  |  | . 3 |  | . 2 |  | 1.2 |  | 9 |  |

    Among the Negro families in all five cities, the female principal earners as well as the male principal earners were preponderantly wage earners. Of the 84 to 97 percent of women in the wage-earner occupations, the majority were undoubtedly in domestic service.

    From 8 to 10 percent of the Negro men and from 9 percent to 13 percent of the Negro women who were chief breadwinners derived their major earnings from business and professional occupations, except in Gastonia.

    Earnings of principal earners.-Although in all the cities except Gastonia native white wives who were principal earners engaged in wage-earner occupations (which are usually less remunerative than clerical positions) to a lesser extent than did husbands, the average earnings of chief-earner wives were only about half as large as those of husbands filling the role of chief earners. In Gastonia, in fact, where two-thirds of the female principal earners belonged to the wage-earner group, the difference between average earnings of husbands and wives who were chief earners was smaller than in the other cities. In the Negro families, the husbands occupying the positions of chief breadwinners had earnings approximately double those of principal earner wives. The following figures summarize for the nonrelief complete families the average earnings of husbands and wives as principal earners:

    | Families | Atlanta |  | Mobile |  | Columbia |  | Gastonia |  | Albany |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | Husbands | Wives | Husbands | Wives | Husbands | Wives | Husbands | Wives | Husbands | Wives |
    | Native white | \$1,819 | \$999 | \$1,547 | \$736 | \$1,986 | \$987 | \$1,176 | \$712 | \$1,891 | \$865 |
    | Native Negro. | 697 | 321 | 629 | 217 | 595 | 253 | 462 | 206 | 455 | 201 |

    The earnings of husbands who were principal earners increased with age up to a certain point, which varied in the two races, and in the different cities, being 45 to 49 for the white husbands in Atlanta and Mobile, 55 to 59 in Columbia, and 60 to 64 in Gastonia and Albany. ${ }^{12}$ Among the Negro husbands who were principal earners the peak of earning ability came earlier than in the case of white husbands in all the cities except Atlanta, where it was at the same age level. Wives as principal earners tended in general to attain their highest earnings at an earlier age than husbands who were chief breadwinners; but comparisons between husbands and wives as principal earners are dangerous, inasmuch as women in families which contain both husband and wife become principal earners as a rule only when disability or unemployment greatly impairs the earning ability of the husband.

    Weeks of employment.-Among the wage-earner families in all of the cities except Gastonia the Negro principal earners had work in somewhat fewer weeks than did the white principal earners. The difference would perhaps be much greater if full-time weeks of em-


    ployment could be taken into account, but the data obtained do not lend themselves to such an analysis. In Gastonia, both Negro and white principal earners in wage-earner occupations averaged the same number of weeks during which there was some employment. The figures below show for the nonrelief wage-earner complete families in each city, the average number of weeks in which the principal earner was employed during the year:

    |  | Atlanta | Mobile | Columbia | Gastonia | Albany |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | Native white. | 50 | 48 | 50 | 48 | 49 |
    | Native Negro | 49 | 45 | 49 | 48 | 48 |

    Multiple-earner families.-Among the wage-earner families in the nonrelief native white complete group, Gastonia families, which had the lowest average earnings for the principal earner, also contained the largest proportion of multiple-earner families, whereas Albany, with the highest average earnings for principal earners, had the smallest. In fact, if Mobile is excepted, there is an inverse relationship between the average earnings of principal earners in each occupational group in each city and the extent to which several earners are found contributing to the family income (table 47).

    Table 47.-Percentage of native white families having supplementary earners and average annual earnings of principal earners, by occupational group ${ }^{1}$
    [Nonrelief complete families]

    | Occupational group | Atlanta | Mobile | Columbia | Gastonia | Albany |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | Wage earner |  |  |  |  |  |
    | Percentage having supplementary earners...---- Average earnings of principal earners | $\begin{array}{r} 29.8 \\ \$ 1,286 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26.1 \\ \$ 1,104 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30.6 \\ \$ 1,190 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 52.8 \\ & \$ 780 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25.1 \\ \$ 1,405 \end{array}$ |
    | Clerical |  |  |  |  |  |
    | Percentage having supplementary earners....--- Average earnings of principal earners...-. | $\begin{array}{r} 32.8 \\ \$ 1,709 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31.7 \\ \$ 1,452 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28.7 \\ \$ 1,711 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 41.3 \\ \$ 1,377 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 38.0 \\ \$ 1,632 \end{array}$ |
    | Business and professional |  |  |  |  |  |
    | Percentage having supplementary earners....... | 24.3$\$ 2,440$ | 23.1$\$ 2,152$ | 19.5$\$ 2,795$ | 31.0$\$ 2,158$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23.9 \\ \$ 2,425 \end{array}$ |
    | A verage earnings of principal earners. .-........- |  |  |  |  |  |

    ${ }^{1}$ Percentage based on families having earners.
    If we compare occupational groups within each of the cities we find that among the business and professional families, whose principal earners averaged more than those in other occupational groups, there were fewer multiple-earner families than among the wageearner or clerical groups.

    Supplementary earners tended to be more frequent proportionately among the native white families receiving public assistance than among nonrelief families, except in Gastonia. The percentage of
    multiple-earner families in the native white relief and nonrelief earner groups (complete and incomplete families combined) is shown below:

    | Families | Atlanta | Mobile | Columbia | Gastonia | Albany |
    | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
    | Nonrelief |  |  |  |  |  |
    | Relief. |  |  |  |  |  |

    The generalization that supplementary earners tend to be associated with low earnings of the principal contributor to family income holds true also for the family composition groups. Incomplete families, as may be seen below, had a higher percentage of families with several earners (except in Gastonia) and lower average earnings by the chief breadwinners than did the complete. When the relief and nonrelief families are considered together, the percentage of all native white families with earners which had supplementary earners and the average earnings of principal earners were as follows:

    |  | Atlanta |  | Mobile |  | Columbia |  | Gastonia |  | Albany |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | Complete | $\begin{aligned} & \text { In- } \\ & \text { com- } \end{aligned}$ plete | Complete | $\begin{aligned} & \text { In- } \\ & \text { com- } \\ & \text { plete } \end{aligned}$ | Complete | In-complete | Complete | In- plete | Complete | $\begin{gathered} \text { In- } \\ \text { com- } \end{gathered}$ plete |
    | Percentage with supplementary earners | 29.3 | 38.6 | 27.0 | 30.0 | 27.7 | 47.3 | 46.8 | 46.4 | 29.5 | 44.0 |
    | A verage earnings of principal earners. | \$1,611 | \$077 | \$1,405 | \$941 | \$1,813 | \$1,046 | \$1,051 | \$599 | \$1,693 | \$800 |

    It will be seen from the above discussion that, among white families, the occupational group, family composition group and city in which the earnings of the principal earner were high tended to have relatively fewer multiple-earner families than those in which the average earnings of the principal earner were low. Furthermore, in all of the cities the Negro group-in which earnings were low-had more mul-tiple-earner families than did the white group. Combining complete and incomplete families in both the relief and nonrelief groups, we find the following percentage of earner families in each race group had supplementary earners:

    | Families | Atlanta | Mobile | Columbia | Gastonia | Albany |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | Native white. | 31.1 | 27.7 | 31.7 | 46.7 | 32.3 |
    | Native Negro. | 48.1 | 39.5 | 49.5 | 52.6 | 57.7 |

    Within the Negro population, however, the relationship between the average earnings of principal earners and the proportion of mul-tiple-earner families was not entirely the same as in the case of white families. In the wage-earner group, which included from eight- to
    nine-tenths of all the Negro families, there was, as among the white families, a clear-cut inverse relationship between the percentage of earner families having supplementary earners and the average earnings of principal earners; but this relationship did not hold for the other occupational groups (See table 48). Nor, in Atlanta and Albany, did the interoccupational relationship between frequency of multiple-earner families and average earnings of principal earners prevail.

    Table 48.-Percentage of native Negro families having supplementary earners and average annual earnings of principal earners, by occupational group ${ }^{1}$
    [Nonrelief complete families]

    | Occupational group | Atlanta | Mobile | Columbia | Gastonia | Albany |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | Wage earner |  |  |  |  |  |
    | Percentage having supplementary earners Average earnings of principal earners. | $\begin{aligned} & 54.3 \\ & \$ 601 \end{aligned}$ | 49.8$\$ 848$ | 59.6$\$ 513$ | 61.3$\$ 425$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70.1 \\ & \$ 390 \end{aligned}$ |
    | Clerical |  |  |  |  |  |
    | Percentage having supplementary earners A verage earnings of principal earners | $\begin{array}{r} 45 . \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \\ \$ 1,306 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 40.5 \\ \$ 1,206 \end{array}$ | 39.0$\$ 1,121$ | (*) | $\begin{array}{r} 62.1 \\ \$ 1,070 \end{array}$ |
    | Business and professional |  |  |  |  |  |
    | Percentage having supplementary earners. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 44. } \\ & \$ 908 \end{aligned}$ | \$592 |  | \$622 | \$612 |
    | A verage earnings of priscipal earners.- |  |  | \$939 |  |  |

    ${ }^{1}$ Percentage based on families having earners.
    *Insufficient cases for analysis.
    When Negro earner families are compared on the basis of presence or absence of a married couple, their situation is found to have been opposite to that in the white group. That is, although the average earnings of principal earners were smaller in the incomplete families than in the families which contained both husband and wife, the percentage of multiple-earner families was also smaller. One might conclude that in Negro families every person who can secure gainful employment does so, and that the proportion of multiple-earner families is lower in the incomplete group because there were more families in the "incomplete" than in the "complete" group in which there was only one person who could secure employment outside the home.

    The percentage of Negro families having supplementary earners and the average earnings of the principal earners are summarized here for relief and nonrelief families combined:

    |  | Atlanta |  | Mobile |  | Columbia |  | Gastonia |  | Albany |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | Complete | In-complete | Complete | $\begin{aligned} & \text { In- } \\ & \text { com- } \\ & \text { plete } \end{aligned}$ | Complete | In-complete | Complete | In-complete | Complete | $\begin{gathered} \text { In- } \\ \text { com- } \\ \text { plete } \end{gathered}$ |
    | Percentage having supplementary barners | 51.8 | 42.9 | 47.1 | 28.1 | 58.4 | 36.1 | 59.1 | 42.1 | 66.5 | 44. 4 |
    | A verage earnings of principal earners. $\qquad$ | \$564 | \$298 | \$464 | \$257 | \$512 | \$258 | \$414 | \$255 | \$390 | \$177 |

    With respect to the relief and nonrelief families, also, the condition which prevailed among Negro families was just the reverse of that which was found among white families; that is, relief families contained more than one earner less frequently than did the nonrelief families in all cities except Columbia. For the Negro group (complete and incomplete families combined) the percentage of earner families having supplementary earners was as follows:

    | Families | Atlanta | Mobile | Columbia | Gastonia | Albany |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | Nonrelief | 51.1 | 41.8 | 47.6 | 53.7 | 58.4 |
    | Relief. | 41.6 | 31.5 | 57.2 | 47.0 | 54.4 |

    Number of earners by income and occupation.-In line with the above discussion, it is of interest to note the relationship between the average number of earners and the income and occupation of the family (see table 49 a and b). In general, the average number of earners per family was larger in the higher income brackets. Native white business and professional families, however, at practically all income levels depended upon fewer earners per family than did the clerical or wage-earner families. Similarly, the Negro families in the clerical occupations tended to have fewer earners than did wageearner families at comparable income levels. Negro business and professional families, however, among whom storekeepers were relatively frequent, drew upon several earners more often than did clerical groups at the higher income levels. An interracial comparison indicates that, at given income levels, more earners were needed to supplement the relatively low earnings of the principal breadwinner of Negro families than of white families.

    Table 49.- Average number of earners per family with earners, by occupational group and income class
    [Nonrelief complete families]
    a. NATIVE WHITE FAMILIES

    | Income class | Atlanta |  |  |  | Mobile |  |  |  | Columbia |  |  |  | Gastonia |  |  |  | Albany |  |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | All occu-pations | Wage earner | Clerical | Business and professional | All occu-pations | Wage earner | Clerical | Business and professional | All occutions | Wage earner | Clerical | Business and professional | All occu-pations | Wage earner | Olerical | Business and professional | All occupar tions | Wage earner | Clerical | Business and professional |
    | All families. | 1.37 | 1.38 | 1.43 | 1. 30 | 1.36 | 1.35 | 1.45 | 1. 30 | 1.34 | 1.39 | 1.40 | 1.24 | 1.62 | 1.68 | 1.58 | 1.41 | 1.37 | 1.33 | 1.50 | 1.32 |
    | Under \$500 | 1. 14 | 1.13 | 1. 12 | 1.18 | 1.18 | 1.17 | 1.30 | 1.13 | 1.11 | 1.12 | 1. 20 | 1.07 | 1. 26 | 1. 28 | 2.00 | 1.00 | 1.09 | 1. 22 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
    | \$500-\$999. | 1. 19 | 1. 20 | 1.15 | 1. 21 | 1.18 | 1.17 | 1.22 | 1.16 | 1.17 | 1.17 | 1. 19 | 1.16 | 1.39 | 1. 41 | 1.27 | 1.30 | 1.18 | 1.17 | 1.28 | 1. 15 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,499 | 1. 25 | 1.29 | 1.22 | 1.17 | 1.28 | 1.30 | 1.28 | 1.21 | 1.30 | 1.41 | 1. 17 | 1. 16 | 1.70 | 1. 80 | 1.40 | 1.38 | 1.37 | 1. 41 | 1.43 | 1. 22 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,999 | 1.28 | 1.35 | 1.27 | 1. 20 | 1.34 | 1. 45 | 1.31 | 1. 20 | 1.28 | 1.37 | 1. 26 | 1.20 | 1.88 | 2.10 | 1. 69 | 1. 48 | 1.31 | 1. 21 | 1.38 | 1. 36 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,999 | 1. 44 | 1.54 | 1.47 | 1. 29 | 1.52 | 1. 65 | 1. 59 | 1. 36 | 1.35 | 1.61 | 1. 39 | 1.18 | 1.86 | 2. 55 | 1.61 | 1.46 | 1.43 | 1. 49 | 1.47 | 1. 35 |
    | \$3,000 and over | 1. 65 | 2.16 | 1.88 | 1. 41 | 1.68 | 2.15 | 2. 16 | 1. 43 | 1. 50 | 1. 96 | 1.87 | 1.31 | 1. 74 | 3.36 | 2.29 | 1.44 | 1.55 | 1. 58 | 1.98 | 1.37 |

    b. NATIVE NEGRO FAMILIES

    | All families_ | 1. 68 | 1.71 | 1.56 | 1. 54 | 1. 64 | 1.64 | 1.47 | 1.64 | 1.76 | 1.78 | 1. 44 | 1. 65 | 1.78 | 1.79 | (*) | 1.64 | 1.87 | 1.91 | 1.83 | 1.52 |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | Under \$500. | 1.49 | 1.51 | 1.29 | 1.31 | 1.51 | 1.51 | 1. 55 | 1.42 | 1.65 | 1.66 | 1.33 | 1.54 | 1.60 | 1.59 | (*) | 1.58 | 1.82 | 1.85 | 1.67 | 1.48 |
    | \$500-\$999 | 1.60 | 1. 61 | 1. 49 | 1.47 | 1.66 | 1. 67 | 1.53 | 1.60 | 1.74 | 1.76 | 1. 62 | 1.57 | 1.83 | 1.86 | (*) | 1.44 | 1.94 | 1.99 | 2.11 | 1.48 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,499 | 1.97 | 2.02 | 1.69 | 1. 62 | 1.95 | 1. 99 | 1.90 | 1. 70 | 2.09 | 2.20 | 1.38 | 1.78 | 2. 47 | 2. 63 |  | 1.83 | 1.86 | 1.97 | 1.33 | 1. 69 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,999. | 2.07 | 2.25 | 1.71 | 1. 68 | 2.02 | 2. 05 | 1.00 | 2. 20 | 1.91 | 2.05 | 1. 33 | 1.77 | 2.75 | 3.25 |  | 2.25 | 1.89 | 2.08 | 1.33 | 1. 67 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,999. | 1.93 | 2.54 | 1.47 | 1. 70 | 1.80 | 2.53 | 1.30 | 2.22 | 1.73 | 2.13 | 1. 22 | 1.65 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.63 | 1.83 | 1.67 | 1. 25 |
    | \$3,000 and over | 2.02 | 3.20 | 1.82 | 1.89 | 2. 57 | 1.50 | 2.00 | 3.25 | 2.22 | 3.50 | 2. 00 | 1.80 | ----- | --- |  |  | 3.00 | ----- | 3.00 |  |

    *Less than 4 cases.

    Earnings of supplementary earners.-Earlier in this chapter it was pointed out that supplementary earners contributed about one-tenth of the aggregate income of native white complete families in four cities, and almost two-tenths in Gastonia (table 40). The average earnings of supplementary earners in Columbia and in Atlanta, it will be noted from table 50 , were practically the same; and those in Gastonia and Albany were almost identical. In the case of Columbia and Atlanta, the whole distribution was similar, with a few minor exceptions. Thus in both cities roughly two-fifths received under $\$ 400$ and less than one-fifth earned as much as $\$ 1,000$. In Gastonia and Albany, however, the distributions were very different in spite of the similarity in means. Thus, for example, proportionately more than three times as many supplementary earners in Albany as in Gastonia received at least $\$ 900$; whereas proportionately one and onehalf times as many of these workers in Albany as in Gastonia earned less than $\$ 100$. There was, in other words, more variability in the earnings of supplementary earners in Albany, which has a more diversified industrial make-up, than in Gastonia, which is largely a one-industry city. The average earnings of secondary contributors in Mobile lay about halfway between their average earnings in Gastonia and Albany, on the one hand, and in Atlanta and Mobile, on the other. Almost four out of eight of the supplementary earners in Mobile contributed less than $\$ 400$ to family income; only about one in eight made more than $\$ 900$.

    Table 50.-Annual earnings of native white supplementary earners, percentage distribution, and mean earnings
    [Complete families, relief and nonrelief]

    | Amount of earnings per supplementary earner | Atlanta | Mobile | Columbia | Gastonia | Albany |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | All earners | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
    | Under \$50. | 6.0 | 9.2 | 5.4 | 6.8 | 13.1 |
    | \$50-\$99 | 6. 4 | 6.8 | 6.4 | 7.5 | 10.7 |
    | \$100-\$199 | 10.7 | 12.9 | 10.0 | 13.4 | 18.0 |
    | \$200-\$299 | 8.4 | 9.0 | 8.1 | 9.9 | 9.8 |
    | \$300-\$399 | 8.3 | 10.0 | 6.5 | 13.3 | 10.2 |
    | \$400-\$499 | 6.4 | 7.5 | 5.8 | 11.6 | 6.6 |
    | \$500-\$599 | 6.0 | 7.5 | 9.4 | 9.2 | 5.6 |
    | \$600-\$699 | 9.0 | 11.8 | 13.8 | 19.4 | 7.6 |
    | \$700-\$799 | 9.9 | 9.6 | 8.1 | 4.5 | 5.6 |
    | \$800-\$899. | 3.8 | 3.2 | 3.8 | 1.2 | 1.7 |
    | \$900-\$999 | 7.1 | 4.0 | 6.5 | . 5 | 3.4 |
    |  | 13.4 | 6.3 | 12.2 | 2.2 | 5.8 |
    | \$1,500 and over | 4.6 | 2.2 | 4.0 | . 5 | 1.9 |
    | Mean earnings. | \$604 | \$480 | \$597 | \$403 | \$401 |

    In the case of the Negroes (table 51), the earnings of secondary earners among families with both husband and wife averaged less than $\$ 200$ a year or less than $\$ 4$ per week, in all the cities. Between 36 percent of these workers in Atlanta and 58 percent in Albany received less than $\$ 100$. In none of the cities except Atlanta did as many as 3 percent of the secondary earners earn $\$ 500$ or more.

    Table 51.-Annual earnings of native Negro supplementary earners, percentage distribution, and mean earnings
    [Complete families, relief and nonrelief]

    | Amount of earnings per supplementary earner | Atlanta | Mobile | Columbia | Gastonia | Albany |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | All earners. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
    | Under \$50. | 19.0 | 28.1 | 20.0 | 21.8 | 28.9 |
    | \$50-\$99 | 17.2 | 21.9 | 22.4 | 22.9 | 28.8 |
    | \$100-\$199 | 24.9 | 26.8 | 33.2 | 36.1 | 29.9 |
    | \$200-\$299 | 15.9 | 13.4 | 15.2 | 12.1 | 7.8 |
    | \$300-\$399 | 11.2 | 6. 2 | 4.2 | 4.0 | 2.3 |
    | \$400-\$499 | 5.5 | 1. 6 | 2.6 | 1.5 | 1.7 |
    | \$500 and over | 6.3 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 1.6 | . 6 |
    | Mean earnings | \$195 | \$132 | \$145 | \$130 | \$106 |

    There was no consistent tendency among white supplementary earners, as there was among principal earners, for women to earn less than men. In Atlanta, for example, as shown in table 52, the earnings of female secondary earners were more than those of male supplementary earners; in Albany the reverse was true, while in Gastonia husbands and wives, as lesser contributors, averaged about the same amount. The reason for this absence of a sex difference in amount of supplementary earnings is that men as supplementary earners were a group selected for their low earning ability; since the usual situation is for husbands to be principal earners, they drop to supplementary earner status only when their earnings fall below those of some other member of the family. This is illustrated in table 56, which will be discussed presently.

    Table 52.--Average annual earnings of supplementary earners, by sex of earner
    [Nonrelief complete families]
    a. NATIVE WHITE FAMILIES

    | Earner classification | Atlanta | Mobile | Columbia | Gastonia | Albany |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | All earners. | \$604 | \$480 | \$597 | \$403 | \$401 |
    | Male: |  |  |  |  |  |
    | Husbands | 595 | 518 | 567 | 398 | 462 |
    | Other. | 583 | 491 | 616 | 421 | 470 |
    | Females: |  |  |  |  |  |
    | Wives. | 650 | 474 | 628 | 395 | 390 |
    | Other.- | 601 | 459 | 566 | 405 | 322 |

    b. Native NEGRO FAMILIES

    | Earner classification | Atlanta | Mobile | Columbia | Gastonia | Albany |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | All earners | \$195 | \$132 | \$145 | \$130 | \$106 |
    | Male: |  |  |  |  |  |
    | Husbands. | 211 | 157 | 169 | 162 | 108 |
    | Other. | 251 | 176 | 185 | 151 | 150 |
    | Females: |  |  |  |  |  |
    | Wives | 182 | 117 126 | 136 135 | 124 | 103 92 |

    Among the Negroes, however, female supplementary earners consistently made less than did the male. Between 40 and 60 percent of the wives of this race were earners, even in families which contained husbands. That is, almost all of the adults in Negro families were earners; earners, whether principal or supplementary, were therefore a less selected group than in white families.

    In spite of the low earnings of supplementary earners, the importance of these additional earners in swelling family income was very great, as may be seen by comparing some of the characteristics of the income distribution of sole and multiple-earner families (table 53). In all cities and in both races the median income of nonrelief families containing several earners was much higher than the median income for families supported by a single earner.

    Table 53.-Characteristics of income distribution of one-earner and multiple-earner families
    [Complete and incomplete families]
    a. NATIVE WHITE FAMILIES

    |  | Atlanta |  | Mobile |  | Columbia |  | Gastonia |  | Albany |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | One earner | Two <br> or more earners | One earner | Two or more earners | One earner | Two or more earnPTS | One earner | Two or more earners | One earn- er | Two or more earners |
    | Nonrelief families: <br> Median income |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\$ 977$ |  |  |  |
    | Percentage under \$1,000.- | 22.0 | +2, 10.3 | +32.5 | 12.7 | 20.1 | $+2,244$ 9.2 | 51.5 | 26.2 | \$1, 28.0 | \$2,0.3 |
    | Percentage $\$ 3,000$ and over. | 13.5 | 27.7 | 9.8 | 20.6 | 20.0 | 31.5 | 7.5 | 6.2 | 15.2 | 22.7 |
    | Percentage on relief.--------- | 12.7 | 13.7 | 10.7 | 11.0 | 8.9 | 17.6 | 12.2 | 12.1 | 10.2 | 16.6 |

    b. NATIVE NEGRO FAMILIES

    |  | Atlanta |  | Mobile |  | Columbia |  | Gastonia |  | Albany |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | One earner | Two or more earners | One earner | Two or more earners | One earner | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Two } \\ & \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \\ & \text { earn- } \\ & \text { ers } \end{aligned}$ | One earner | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Two } \\ & \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \\ & \text { earn- } \\ & \text { ers } \end{aligned}$ | One earner | Two or more earners |
    | Nonrelief families: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | Median income. | \$528 | \$733 | \$380 | \$505 | \$410 | \$616 | \$340 | \$535 | \$243 | \$396 |
    | Percentage under $\$ 500$ | 46.9 | 28.8 | 66.4 | 49.4 | 59.7 | 34.1 | 71.5 | 45. 2 | 78.3 | 66.4 |
    | Percentage \$1,500 andover- | 4.6 | 8.5 | 2.7 | 4.0 | 3.6 | 5.0 | . 6 | 3.0 | 2.3 | 2.6 |
    | Percentage on relief.-.-.-.-.-- | 35.6 | 27.4 | 25.8 | 18.2 | 16.5 | 22.5 | 19.0 | 15.3 | 18.4 | 16.1 |

    In all cities and among both races the percentage of nonrelief families in the lowest income brackets (under $\$ 1,000$ in the case of the white group and under $\$ 500$ in the case of the Negroes) was much smaller among multiple-earner families than among one-earner families. But, with one exception (Gastonia white families) the percentage of nonrelief families at the upper income levels ( $\$ 3,000$ and over among the white families, $\$ 1,500$ and over among Negroes) was much greater for the families with a number of workers than for families
    supported by only one earner. In the case of the white families of Gastonia, the contributions of supplementary earners reduced the percentage of families with low incomes rather than increased those with high incomes.

    The comparatively large percentage of families with several earners which received relief indicates that the presence of supplementary earners did not necessarily make a family self-supporting. The contribution of many supplementary earners was very small. Among Negro families, however, the presence of several earners was associated generally with a lower percentage receiving relief than existed among families with a single earner.

    The significance of multiple earners in raising median income was much greater for incomplete families than for families which contained both husband and wife in all five cities and in both races. There was relatively little difference between the median incomes of white complete and incomplete multiple-earner families; among Negro families, however, incomplete families consistently received less than the complete. The median incomes of one-earner and multiple-earner families are shown in table 54.

    Table 54.-Median income of one-earner and multiple-earner families
    INonrelief only]
    a. NATIVE WHITE FAMILIES, COMPLETE AND INCOMPLETE

    | Number of earners | Atlanta |  | Mobile |  | Columbia |  | Gastonia |  | Albany |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | Complete | In-complete | Complete | In-complete | Complete | In-complete | Complete | $\begin{aligned} & \text { In- } \\ & \text { com- } \\ & \text { plete } \end{aligned}$ | Complete | In-complete |
    | One earner. | \$1,775 | \$1, 117 | \$1,417 | \$1, 188 | \$1,913 | \$1,417 | \$1,011 | \$750 | \$1,730 | \$750 |
    | Two or more earners | 2,299 | 2, 100 | 1,927 | 2,000 | 2,254 | 2,000 | 1,284 | 1,234 | 2, 050 | 2.063 |

    b. NATIVE NEGRO FAMILIES, COMPLETE AND INCOMPLETE

    | Number of earners | Atlanta |  | Mobile |  | Columbia |  | Gastonia |  | Albany |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | Complete | $\begin{aligned} & \text { In- } \\ & \text { com- } \\ & \text { plete } \end{aligned}$ | Complete | $\begin{aligned} & \text { In- } \\ & \text { com- } \\ & \text { plete } \end{aligned}$ | Complete | $\begin{aligned} & \text { In- } \\ & \text { com- } \\ & \text { plete } \end{aligned}$ | Complete | $\begin{aligned} & \text { In- } \\ & \text { com- } \\ & \text { plete } \end{aligned}$ | Complete | $\begin{aligned} & \text { In- } \\ & \text { com- } \\ & \text { plete } \end{aligned}$ |
    | One earner | \$677 | \$333 | \$532 | \$225 | \$624 | \$215 | \$464 | \$175 | \$468 | \$152 |
    | Two or more earners | 872 | 517 | 602 | 365 | 645 | 525 | 580 | 425 | 458 | 250 |

    It is interesting to note that among the nonrelief complete white families in all the cities, the business and professional families with one earner received about as high or higher median incomes than multipleearner families in the clerical group (table 55), and clerical families having a single earner secured as much or more income than wageearner families with several workers.

    Table 55.-Median income of one-earner and multiple-earner families, by occupational group

    | [Nonrelief complete families only] <br> a. NATIVE WHITE FAMILIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | Occupational group | Atlanta |  | Mobile |  | Columbia |  | Gastonia |  | Albany |  |
    |  | One earn- er | Two or more earn- ers | One earn- er | Two or more earn- ers | One earn- er | Two or more earners | One earner | Two or more earners | One earner | Two or more earners |
    | Wage earner.....-.-. Clerical. Business and professional | $\$ 1,359$ 1,863 2,448 | \$1, 831 2,517 2,898 | $\$ 1,083$ 1,591 1,990 | $\$ 1,591$ 2,110 2,593 | $\$ 1,232$ 1,886 2,762 | $\$ 1,618$ 2,542 3,301 | $\$ 829$ 1,439 2,064 | \$1, 214 1,818 2,089 | $\$ 1,413$ 1,711 2,177 | $\$ 1,654$ 1,172 2,528 |
    | b. NATIVE NEGRO FAMILIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | Occupational group | Atlanta |  | Mobile |  | Columbia |  | Gastonia |  | Albany |  |
    |  | One earner | Two or more earners | One earner | Two or more earners | One earner | Two or more earners | One earner | Two or more earners | One earner | Two or more earners |
    | Wage earner. | \$710 | \$821 | \$525 | \$617 | \$638 | \$673 | \$430 | \$619 | \$418 | \$419 |
    | Clerical. | 1,556 | 1,688 | 2,045 | 950 | 1,150 | 1,000 | (*) | (*) | 1, 255 | 929 |
    | Business and professional | 830 | 1,141 | 609 | 755 | 932 | 977 | 650 | 875 | 711 | 804 |

    *Insufficient cases.
    Among Atlanta Negroes, the presence of supplementary earners raised the median income of business and professional families by as much as $\$ 300$ over that received by families with only one earner. In the clerical group, on the other hand, in every city except Atlanta, multiple-earner families received lower median incomes than families supported by a single earner. Inasmuch as families were classified according to the occupation which yielded the largest proportion of their earnings, this anomalous situation may mean simply that the families containing several earners were those in which several lowpaid clerical workers earned more than the principal earner, who himself may have been a laborer. The clerical families with one earner, on the other hand, may have been those of postal clerks and the like, whose earnings were comparatively regular and comparatively high.

    Husbands and wives as earners.-Among wives in native white complete families only 8 to 14 percent were earners, except in Gastonia, where as many as 30 percent contributed to family income (table 56). Among the wives of Negro families, on the other hand, 40 to 60 percent engaged in paid occupations. ${ }^{13}$ Furthermore, proportionately, two to


    five times as many wives among the Negroes as among the whites were the chief breadwinners in their families. There was less contrast between the two races with respect to the percentage of husbands who were earners, more than 90 percent being earners in both races and in all cities. ${ }^{14}$ A larger proportion of Negro than of white husbands, however, were supplementary earners and fewer were principal earners.

    Table 56.-Earner status of husbands and wives
    [Complete families, relief and nonrelief]
    a. NATIVE WHITE FAMILIES

    | Earner status | Atlanta |  | Mobile |  | Columbia |  | Gastonia |  | Albany |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | Husbands | Wives | Husbands | Wives | Husbands | Wives | Husbands | Wives | Husbands | Wives |
    | All earners.-.-.-.------------- | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
    | Principal earner <br> Supplementary earner <br> Nonearner | 86.8 | 3.5 | 88.5 | 2.0 | 90.0 | 3.7 | 87.7 | 3.9 | 91.4 | 1.8 |
    |  | 5.3 | 10.3 | 5.7 | 6.3 | 5.0 | 10.7 | 7.9 | 26.1 | 4.2 | 11.0 |
    |  | 7.9 | 86.2 | 5.8 | 91.7 | 5.0 | 85.6 | 4.4 | 70.4 | 4.4 | 87.2 |
    | b. NATIVE NEGRO FAMILIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | Earner status | Atlanta |  | Mobile |  | Columbia |  | Gastonia |  | Albany |  |
    |  | Husbands | Wives | Husbands | Wives | Husbands | Wives | Husbands | Wives | Husbands | Wives |
    | All earners..-.----.---.-......- | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
    | Principal earner.------------- | 83.0 | 9.0 | 86.8 | 8.0 | 88.3 | 7.7 | 85.3 | 8.9 | 85.0 | 9.4 |
    | Supplementary ea | 8.0 | 34.7 | 7.2 | 31.6 | 7.8 | 42.5 | 10.1 | 45.5 | 9.5 | 51.0 |
    |  | 9.0 | 56.3 | 0.0 | 60.4 | 3.9 | 49.8 | 4.6 | 45.6 | 5.5 | 39.6 |

    We have already seen that principal earners were more likely to be men than women. Supplementary earners, however, were just about as likely to be women among native white complete families (relief and nonrelief) and more likely to be women among Negro families, as shown by the following percentages of supplementary earners who were women:

    | Families | Atlanta | Mobile | Columbia | Gastonia | Albany |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | Native white | 52.5 | 44.0 | 51.8 | 60.7 | 51.6 |
    | Native Negro. | 71.7 | 71.0 | 73.0 | 75.1 | 75.4 |

    The earner status of husbands was closely associated with age, principal earners being consistently younger on the average than supplementary earners, and earner husbands being younger than nonearner husbands (see table 57). Negro husbands were younger as a


    whole than the white husbands in all of the cities and earner groups. The change in earner status with age reflects both the competition of other earners in the family as age increases and the decline of earning ability in the higher age levels. Among wives in both races who were working outside the home, principal earners were older than supplementary earners. This may be accounted for by the fact that wives did not usually become principal earners until the earning power of the husband was impaired by disability, a situation which did not often occur in the younger age levels.

    Table 5\%.-Median age of husbands and wives by earner status
    [Complete families, relief and nonrelief]
    a. NATIVE WHITE FAMILIES

    | Earner status | Atlanta |  | Mobile |  | Columbia |  | Gastonia |  | Albany |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | Husbands | Wives | Husbands | Wives | Husbands | Wives | Eusbands | Wives | Husbands | Wives |
    | Principal earner | 40.8 | 38.6 | 41.5 | 41.6 | 42. 2 | 39.1 | 36.6 | 34.9 | 41.4 | 39.2 |
    | Supplementary earner | 49.8 | 32.7 | 53.2 | 33.4 | 50.7 | 34.8 | 49.7 | 29.9 | 53.3 | 33.6 |
    | Nonearner ---- | 61.8 | 38.8 | 63.5 | 38.5 | 58.9 | 38.7 | 59.2 | 36,9 | (*) | 38.4 |

    b. NATIVE NEGRO FAMILIES

    | Earner status | Atianta |  | Mobile |  | Columbia |  | Gastonia |  | Albany |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | Husbands | Wives | Husbands | Wives | Husbands | Wives | Husbands | Wives | Husbands | Wives |
    | Principal earner. | 39.9 | 38.5 | 39.7 | 39.3 | 39.7 | 37.8 | 35.4 | 39.2 | 39.2 | 39.3 |
    | Supplementary earner | 46.2 | 35.7 | 43.5 | 34.9 | 45.6 | 33.7 | 43.9 | 31.4 | 49.0 | 34.1 |
    | Nonearner.... | 55.5 | 36. 3 | 58.5 | 34.4 | 51.4 | 34.7 | 55.7 | 32.5 | 64.2 | 35.8 |

    *More than half (53.2 percent) over 65.
    Income from roomers and boarders and from casual work in the home.In order to round out the analysis of earnings we must now give brief consideration to family earnings not attributable to individuals, but received from a joint family enterprise. These earnings include net income from roomers and boarders, and from irregular or casual work in the home. The proportions of incomplete families in both races and in each of the five cities which depended at least partially upon roomers and boarders as a source of income were almost twice as large as the corresponding proportions of families containing a husband and wife. Among the white families in Columbia a conspicuously large proportion of incomplete families, more than a third, relied upon this source (see table 58). This fact has already been commented upon in an earlier section of this chapter. More Negro families in Atlanta (16 percent of the complete group and 23 percent of the incomplete) than in the other cities derived income from roomers and boarders. This is due in part to the fact that rooming houses in a large city like Atlanta, where hotel accommodations for Negroes are limited, pro-
    vide lodging for transients, travellers, and unattached persons living in the community. ${ }^{15}$

    Table 58.-Percentage of families deriving income from roomers and boarders and other work not attributable to individuals
    [Relief and nonrelief families]
    a. NATIVE WHITE FAMILIES, COMPLETE AND INCOMPLETE

    | Source of income | Atlanta |  | Mobile |  | Columbia |  | Gastonia |  | Albany |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | Complete | Incom. plete | Complete | Incomplete | Complete | Incom- plete | Complete | Incom plete | Complete | Incom plete |
    | Roomers and boarders- | 15.3 | 27.7 | 9.9 | 16.6 | 16.8 | 35.5 | 14.0 | 26.3 | 12.9 | 25.8 |
    | to individuals | . 9 | 3.8 | .6 | . 7 | . 7 |  | . 9 | 3.8 | 1.1 |  |

    b. NATIVE NEGRO FAMILIES, COMPLETE AND INOOMPLETE

    | Source of income | Atlanta |  | Mobile |  | Columbia |  | Gastonia |  | Albany |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | Complete | Incomplete | Complete | $\underset{\text { Incom- }}{\text { plete }}$ | Complete | Incomplete | Complete | Incomplete | Complete | Incom: plete |
    | Roomers and boarders. | 15.7 | 22.9 | 8.8 | 19.9 | 11.0 | 17.3 | 8.3 | 12.7 | 6.4 | 12.2 |
    | Other work not attributable to individuals. | 3.1 | . 5 | 1.1 | . 5 | 6.2 |  | 3.1 |  | 7.0 | 2.2 |

    Casual work in the home, that is, occasional or irregular odd jobs such as laundering, sewing, or carpentering, was a source of income to a larger proportion of Negro than of white families, being particularly important in Albany where one family in fourteen among Negro families containing husband and wife, reported income from activity of this nature. Although earnings from family enterprises constituted a relatively small proportion of aggregate income, such activities represented a rather important source of income to some of the families engaged in these enterprises. Among the native white families containing husband and wife, the average net amount received from roomers and boarders by families having such income was about $\$ 20$ per month in Columbia and slightly less in Atlanta (table 59). It was only between $\$ 12$ and $\$ 13$ a month in Gastonia.

    The average income from this source among families taking roomers and boarders was larger in the business and professional group than in the wage earner and clerical. Figures on the number of boarders and roomers per family and the length of their stay have not been tabulated. Since families making a business of running rooming and boarding houses were classified in the independent business group, it seems likely that this fact rather than superior facilities accounts for the larger average among those business and professional families which take boarders or lodgers.

    Table 59.-Average amount of annual net income derived from roomers and boarders, by occupational group ${ }^{1}$
    [Nonrelief complete families]
    a. Native white families

    | Occupational group | Atlanta | Mobile | Columbia | Gastonia | Albany |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | All families. | \$219. 57 | \$185. 70 | \$236. 14 | \$149.61 | \$206. 02 |
    | Wage earner | 157.02 | 130.07 | 156.62 | 108.54 | 146.17 |
    | Clerical. | 212.62 | 186. 84 | 210.42 | 133.71 | 199.56 |
    | Business and professicnal | 305.77 | 259.75 | 322.63 | 294.52 | 267.02 |
    | Other |  | ${ }^{2} 12.00$ |  |  |  |

    b. NATIVE NEGRO FAMILIES

    | Occupational group | Atlanta | Mobile | Columbia | Gastonia | Albany |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | All families. | \$89. 28 | \$54.90 | \$69. 25 | \$36. 72 | \$28. 42 |
    | Wage earner | 80.07 | 50.21 | 61.32 | 37.58 | 25. 36 |
    | Clerical | 86.35 | 58.50 | 65.00 |  | 39.00 |
    | Business and protessional | 131.79 | 84. 29 | 116.84 | 35. 50 | 42. 73 |
    | Other...-.... |  | ${ }^{2} 7.00$ | ${ }^{2} 10.00$ | ${ }^{2} 15.00$ | -------- |

    Based on number of families reporting these earnings.
    Since families with no earnings other than from roomers and boarders would have been classified as independent business. the income from roomers and boarders shown here is that of families of farm operators and sharecroppers included in this occupational classification.

    In the Negro group of families containing husband and wife, those in Atlanta averaged between $\$ 7$ and $\$ 8$ a month from roomers and boarders, which was more than was obtained by Negro families in any of the other cities; in Albany the average amount received was only a little more than $\$ 2$. The higher amount from roomers and boarders in Atlanta may well be explained by the presence of more transients and travellers who must be accommodated in the large city.

    It is interesting to note that other work not attributable to individuals brought in more to Negro families which engaged in it than to white families in all of the cities except Albany, and even in Albany, the Negro families received about as much as the white families from this source. The average amount received by complete families (relief and nonrelief combined) from casual work in the home was as follows:

    | Families | Atlanta | Mobile | Columbia | Gastonia | Albany |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | Native white complete. | \$46 | \$45 | \$49 | \$23 | \$62 |
    | Native Negro complete | 55 | 53 | 71 | 41 | 58 |

    For these Negro families in the smaller cities, in fact, irregular work in the home yielded more income during the year than did the keeping of roomers and boarders. During 1935-36 the embroidering of candlewick bedspreads occupied a large portion of the Negro women.

    Money income from sources other than earnings.-It has already been indicated that between 85 and 95 percent of the total reported family
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    income of both races in these five Southeastern cities consisted of earnings. In proceeding to the analysis of that remainder of the total money income of families it must be repeated that the money income other than earnings reported for this study does not represent an averaging of all the nonearned money income of the population, on a per capita or per family basis. The important omissions must be kept before us. To begin with, capital gains are not included in our family presentation of "other money income." Entrepreneurial profits are treated as earned income for the family only insofar as they represent sums actually accruing to the family. Profits never withdrawn from the business were not reported as part of available family purchasing power. The primary purpose of the Study of Consumer Purchases was to study the manner in which families spent family income; hence, only that income which ran through the mill of family disbursements (whether for consumer goods or for items like life insurance, additions to homes, and family savings) is included in the present discussion. The items of nonearned money income which loom as most important in the current study are pensions and annuities, dividends and interest from securities, rents from investment property, and gifts and bonuses. ${ }^{16}$

    Except among Negro families in Atlanta, the proportion of families reporting money income from sources other than earnings was greater among the incomplete than among the husband-and-wife families (see tables 60 a and b ). Almost half of the incomplete white families in Mobile had some nonearned money income; in none of the cities did less than one in five derive income from property, investments, pensions, and the like. Among Negro broken families at least one in eight, and in Mobile one in four or five received money income other than earnings. In all cities, among both complete and incomplete families proportionately more white than Negro families had this source of income.

    For the native white families obtaining money income other than earnings, the average amount of such revenue was relatively substantial. In Atlanta, the complete families received more income from this source than did the incomplete families (\$441 as compared with $\$ 372$ ); in Albany there was no appreciable difference, while in the remaining three cities the incomplete families averaged a larger amount of nonearned money income than did the families containing both a husband and wife.

    Not only did a smaller proportion of Negro families than of white derive money income from sources other than earnings, but the average amount of such income was less. In Atlanta, Columbia, and Albany the average amount received by Negro complete families exceeded that obtained by incomplete families.

    Table 60.-Percentage of families reporting money income other than earnings and average amounts received by such families
    [Relief and nonrelief families]
    a. Native white families, COMPLETE AND INCOMPLETE

    |  | Atlanta |  | Mobile |  | Columbia |  | Gastonia |  | Albany |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | Complete | $\begin{aligned} & \text { In- } \\ & \text { com- } \\ & \text { plete } \end{aligned}$ | Complete | In-complete | Complete | $\begin{aligned} & \text { In- } \\ & \text { com- } \\ & \text { plete } \end{aligned}$ | Complete | $\begin{aligned} & \text { In- } \\ & \text { com- } \\ & \text { plete } \end{aligned}$ | Complete | $\begin{aligned} & \text { In- } \\ & \text { com- } \\ & \text { plete } \end{aligned}$ |
    | Percentage of families | 17.1 | 42.3 | 19.5 | 49.7 | 20.3 | 32.3 | 13.1 | 21.3 | 25.5 | 38.7 |
    | A verage amount per family -- | \$433 | \$373 | \$370 | \$485 | \$586 | \$673 | \$230 | \$287 | \$478 | \$475 |

    b. NATIVE NEGRO FAMILIES, COMPLETE AND INCOMPLETE

    |  | Atlanta |  | Mobile |  | Columbia |  | Gastonia |  | Albany |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | Complete | $\begin{aligned} & \text { In- } \\ & \text { com- } \\ & \text { plete } \end{aligned}$ | Com- | $\begin{aligned} & \text { In- } \\ & \text { com- } \\ & \text { plete } \end{aligned}$ | Complete | $\begin{aligned} & \text { In- } \\ & \text { com- } \\ & \text { plate } \end{aligned}$ | Complete | $\begin{aligned} & \text { In- } \\ & \text { com- } \\ & \text { plete } \end{aligned}$ | Com- plete | $\begin{aligned} & \text { In- } \\ & \text { com- } \\ & \text { plete } \end{aligned}$ |
    | Percentage of families | 20.7 | 15.4 | 17.0 | 22.7 | 9.8 | 18.1 | 6.0 | 12.7 | 14.2 | 16.5 |
    | Average amount per family . | \$121 | \$71 | \$88 | \$115 | \$174 | \$88 | \$133 | \$142 | \$106 | \$97 |

    In each city, the relative number of nonrelief complete families which received money income other than earnings (table 61) was greatest by far among the groups in the occupational classification designated as "other," which included families with no gainfully employed members. This group showed considerable intercity differences, however, 96 percent of these Negro families in Atlanta had nonearned money income as compared with only 33 percent in Gastonia where more farm operators and sharecroppers were included. The amount of income from sources other than earnings also varied considerably for this group but was consistently higher than for the groups having earnings.

    Of the other three broad occupational groups the families receiving income from investments, pensions, annuities or the like were proportionately most numerous in the business and professional group and least frequent among the wage earners. This situation prevailed in both races and in all cities except among the Negro families of Albany. In this last-named group, more clerical families had money income besides earnings than either the business and professional or wageearner families.

    In each of the cities, when these three occupational groups among the native white complete families are ranked as regards the average amount of nonearned money income received by families having such income, the order was as follows: Business and professional; then clerical, and then wage earner. Among the Negroes, this same order was followed by Atlanta and Albany families. In Gastonia, Negro wage earners averaged $\$ 129$ unearned money income, an amount which
    was $\$ 29$ higher than for the white wage earners in this city. But, in all other occupational groups, for each city, white families had more income from these sources than Negro families.

    With our analysis still confined to husband-wife families, we find that among the white families rent from property was the most frequent source of nonearned income.

    Interest and dividends were available to less than 5 percent of the complete white families in all cities, and in Gastonia to only slightly more than 1 percent; pensions, annuities, and benefits were also received by only a small proportion of these families.

    Table 61.-Percentage of families receiving money income other than earnings and average amounts received by such families, by occupational group
    [Nonrelief complete families]
    a. Native White Families

    | Occupational group | Atlanta |  | Mobile |  | Columbia |  | Gastonia |  | Albany |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | Per-centage | $\begin{gathered} \text { Aver- } \\ \text { age } \\ \text { amount } \end{gathered}$ | Per-centage | Average amount | Per-centage | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aver- } \\ & \text { age } \\ & \text { amount } \end{aligned}$ | Per-centage | $\left.\begin{gathered} \text { Aver- } \\ \text { age } \\ \text { amount } \end{gathered} \right\rvert\,$ | Per-centage | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aver- } \\ & \text { age } \\ & \text { amount } \end{aligned}$ |
    | Wage earner | 11.7 | \$272 | 15.8 | \$239 | 13.5 | \$300 | 9.3 | \$100 | 20.0 | \$210 |
    | Clerical | 17.0 | 339 | 19.9 | 264 | 19.4 | 482 | 11.6 | 249 | 23.2 | 322 |
    | Business and professional | 22.9 | 485 | 23.6 | 484 | 25.8 | 667 | 25.2 | 383 | 33.3 | 699 |
    | Other........------ | 93.6 | 1,193 | 81.7 | 992 | 90.9 | 2,409 | 86.7 | 547 | 68.2 | 1, 104 |

    b. NATIVE NEGRO FAMILIES

    | Occupational group | Atlanta |  | Mobile |  | Columbia |  | Gastonia |  | Albany |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | Per-centage | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Aver- } \\ \text { age } \\ \text { amount } \end{array}$ | Per-centage | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Aver- } \\ \text { age } \\ \text { amount } \end{array}$ | Per-centage | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Aver- } \\ \text { age } \\ \text { amount } \end{array}$ | Per-centage | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Aver- } \\ \text { age } \\ \text { amount } \end{array}$ | Per-centage | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Aver- } \\ \text { age } \\ \text { amount } \end{array}$ |
    | Wage earner | 20.7 | \$90 | 17.6 | \$75 | 9.5 | \$167 | 5.6 | \$129 | 13.1 | \$87 |
    | Clerical. | 27.9 | 168 | 24.3 | 134 | 9.8 | 46 |  |  | 34.5 | 136 |
    | Business and professional | 34.5 | 227 | 28.7 | 85 | 10.4 | 187 | 12.1 | 71 | 27.8 | 182 |
    | Other- | 96.4 | 602 | 65.2 | 619 | 66.7 | 521 | 33.3 | 181 | 46.2 | 431 |

    Among the complete Negro families, receipts of pensions, annuities, and benefits were strikingly frequent in Atlanta and Mobile, one family in ten reporting this source of income, a considerably larger proportion than that found among the white families. In the other three cities, however, such income was much less common. The average annual amounts received, on the other hand, were less in Atlanta and Mobile than in the smaller cities and less than among the white families.

    Table 62.--Percentage of families receiving nonearned money income from specified sources and average amounts received by families reporting such income
    [Complete families, relief and nonrelief]
    a. NATIVE WHITE FAMILIES

    | Source of income | Atlanta |  | Mobile |  | Columbia |  | Gastonia |  | Albany |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | Per-centage | $\begin{gathered} \text { Aver- } \\ \text { age } \\ \text { amount } \end{gathered}$ | Per-centage | $\begin{gathered} \text { Aver- } \\ \text { age } \\ \text { amount } \end{gathered}$ | Per-centage | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { A ver- } \\ \text { age } \\ \text { amount } \end{array}$ | Per-centage | $\begin{gathered} \text { Aver- } \\ \text { age } \\ \text { amount } \end{gathered}$ | Per-centage | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aver- } \\ & \text { age } \\ & \text { amount } \end{aligned}$ |
    | Rent from property | 5.6 | \$287 | 5.3 | \$300 | 7.5 | \$528 | 4.2 | \$265 | 8.1 | \$530 |
    | Dividends and interest | 2.9 | 436 | 4.7 | 411 | 4.4 | 549 | 1.4 | 607 | 4.2 | 877 |
    | Pensions and annuities | 4.2 | 634 | 3.5 | 511 | 3.5 | 557 | 1.9 | 404 | 4.4 | 393 |
    | Gifts. | 2.8 | 174 | 4.0 | 150 | 2.7 | 216 | 4.5 | 49 | 7.2 | 158 |
    | b. NATIVE NEGRO FAMILIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | Source of income | Atlanta |  | Mobile |  | Columbia |  | Gastonia |  | Albany |  |
    |  | Per-centage | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aver- } \\ & \text { age } \\ & \text { amount } \end{aligned}$ | Per-centage | $\begin{gathered} \text { Aver- } \\ \text { age } \\ \text { amount } \end{gathered}$ | Per-centage | $\begin{gathered} \text { Aver- } \\ \text { age } \\ \text { amount } \end{gathered}$ | Per-centage | $\begin{gathered} \text { Aver- } \\ \text { age } \\ \text { amount } \end{gathered}$ | Per-centage | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aver- } \\ & \text { age } \\ & \text { amount } \end{aligned}$ |
    | Rent from property. | 2.6 | \$199 | 1.4 | \$110 | 1.5 | \$166 | 2.1 | \$145 | 3.1 | \$152 |
    | Dividends and interest. | . 6 | 68 | . 8 | 18 | . 1 | 13 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) |
    | Pensions and annuities | 10.1 | 107 | 10.8 | 69 | 2.9 | 210 | 1.4 | 399 | 4.3 | 177 |
    | Gifts---- | 5.5 | 33 | 3.0 | 34 | 2.3 | 44 | 1.7 | 8 | 6.3 | 43 |

    *Less than 3 cases.
    Rent from property was available to only a small proportion of Negro families, but the proportion of families receiving part of their income in the form of gifts was in Atlanta larger than among the white families. The average amount received in this form was relatively small, however, from only $\$ 8$ in Gastonia to $\$ 44$ in Columbia.

    There remains for more detailed consideration in the next chapter an additional source of income of these families in the Southeastern cities-nonmoney income from housing.

    ## Chapter VI

    ## Home Ownership and Rent in Relation to Income


    #### Abstract

    Our analysis of the sources of income in the preceding chapter has dealt exclusively with sources of money income. A certain proportion of families in all the cities, however, received a part of their income not in the form of money but in the form of housing. The need for equating the income of owners with that of renters, in order to arrive at family income for purposes of the present study, has already been pointed out. Adjustment of the income figures of home owners was made because, generally speaking, the portion of a given money income available for family use after rent has been paid is less than that available to home owners after the expenses of home ownership (taxes, interest, insurance, etc.) have been met. ${ }^{1}$ The income of home owners was therefore adjusted by subtracting from the estimated rental value of the owned home the interest, if any, paid on mortgages, together with the estimated expenses of home ownership. ${ }^{2}$ The difference was added to the money income of owners as "imputed income from owned home."

    The rental value of quarters occupied by families which received rent as pay, such as janitors or resident directors of institutions or apartment house managers, was also added to the money income.


    This chapter will thus continue the discussion begun in the preceding one on sources of family income, by analyzing nonmoney income received from housing, and thus complete the analysis of family income as described in preceding chapters.

    In addition to completing the discussion of sources of income, however, this chapter will also deal with rents paid by tenant families. The analysis of rent data occupies a special position in the study of income and its distribution. Relatively few large scale studies have obtained detailed information on family income, partly because of the difficulties and expense involved in ascertaining the exact incomes of families. Rents, on the other hand, are more often a matter of public knowledge and are therefore frequently used as the best basis from which to estimate economic status when detailed income data are lacking. The justification for this method of estimating family income lies in the relationship assumed to exist between income and rent. The data set forth in the present chapter will indicate to what extent this procedure is valid.

    Factors in home ownership.-As in the case of the other major sources of family income we shall wish to know both of home ownership and of rent as pay, how common each was as a source of income and how much each contributed on the average. Although in some instances the cost of ownership equaled or even exceeded rental value, by and large the frequency of home ownership may be taken to represent the frequency with which families received income from this source.

    In discussing the relationship between home ownership and other factors, it is important to remember that income and family type are highly subject to change with time. Homes, once purchased, are usually kept over a period of years. Thus the income, family type, or even occupation, which characterized the family when it originally purchased the home, may have been distinctly different from that obtaining in the year covered by the survey. Ordinarily, for example, families must have a fair income before they can purchase their homes. Yet families may cling to their homes when their income has been reduced to the subsistence level even if this involves the incurring of liabilities or the reduction of other assets. The presence of young children might be considered as stimulating the tendency toward home ownership; but children do not remain young, so that at any given moment of time the population of home owners would not necessarily be comprised of a large proportion of families with young children. With respect to occupation, the most frequent change would probably be from any of the gainful occupations to the status of retired or unemployed, although shifts from one gainful occupation to another among home owners are not improbable. A
    carpenter (wage earner), for example, might build himself a house and several years afterwards he might become a contractor (independent business). Communities also change with local real estate booms, suburban developments, the rise or decline of transportation facilities, the influx of rural or transient persons, the rate of population growth, and the like. Thus the proportion of home owners within the limits of a city at any given time may be quite different from what it would have been if home ownership depended solely upon present conditions. The relationship between home ownership and the factors to be discussed in the present chapter is, therefore, not the same as the relationship between them and home purchase. We are not going to attempt to answer such questions as "Why do people purchase homes?" "Where is the market for homes?" We are simply going to show, for the period $1935-36$, in which city, race, occupational group, family type, and income brackets, home ownership was most common.

    The frequency of home ownership in the Southeastern cities.-More families in Mobile owned their homes than in any of the other Southeastern cities studied. More than three-tenths (34 percent) of the families in Mobile in 1935-36 were home owners whereas only twotenths in Albany and Gastonia owned their homes. In Atlanta and Columbia slightly less than 3 in 10 families were home owners at the date of interview. Among Negro families, those in Gastonia were home owners more commonly than those in the other cities. The accompanying table 63 presents home ownership figures for the predepression year of $1930^{3}$ as well as those obtained by this study for 1935-36. The data shown do not give any indication of the amount of debt on the owned homes, which may have increased markedly since 1930 , but merely represent the number of families reporting themselves as home owners. ${ }^{4}$ In all the cities some decline in percentage of home ownership since 1930 is indicated. It was marked in Albany and especially in Gastonia.

    | Families | Atlanta | Mobile | Columbia | Gastonia | Albany |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | White. | 56.5 | 41. 4 | 51.3 | 37.4 | 33.2 |
    | Negro. | 46.9 | 18.6 | 41.7 | 46.2 | 38.1 |

    Table 63.-Percentage of home owners among families of specified color and nativity: 1935-36 Study of Consumer Purchases and 1930 census data ${ }^{1}$
    [Relief and nonrelief families]

    | Nativity and color | Atlanta |  | Mobile |  | Columbia |  | Gastonia |  | Albany |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | 19301 | 1935-36 Study ${ }^{2}$ | 19301 | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 1935-36 \\ \text { Study } 2 \end{array}\right\|$ | $1930{ }^{1}$ | 1935-36 Study ${ }^{2}$ | 19301 | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 1935-36 \\ \text { Study } \end{array}\right\|$ | 19301 | 1935-36 Study ${ }^{2}$ |
    | All families | 29.0 | 27.7 | 35.2 | 34.1 | 31.3 | 29.9 | 27.3 | 20.3 | 24.8 | 20.4 |
    | White | 36.2 | 33.7 | 44.8 | 43.9 | 38.9 | 35.8 | 26.8 | 19.6 | 34.8 | 26.0 |
    | Native | 35.6 | 33. 1 | 44. 2 | 43.8 | 38.5 | 35.6 | 26.2 | 19.3 | 34.3 | 25.3 |
    | Foreign | 47. 6 | 45.3 | 52.9 | 45. 5 | 51.4 | 44. 0 | 59.2 | (*) | 48.4 | (*) |
    | Negro. | 15.6 | 15.3 | 20.7 | 20. 5 | 19.9 | 20.3 | 29.2 | 22.7 | 17.7 | 16.5 |

    1 Fifteenth Census of the United States, 1930, vol. VI, Families.
    2 Data of the present study.

    * Insufficient number of cases in sample for computation of percentages.

    The low percentage of home ownership in Albany was due to the presence of a large population of Negroes with very low incomes, among whom home ownership was found in less than one-fifth of the families. In Gastonia, on the other hand, the comparative absence of families owning homes is definitely related to the fact that a large proportion of the families in this city were in the wage-earner occupational group and also to the presence of company-owned homes which are rented to the mill workers. The evidence for the occupational influence on home ownership will appear when we discuss home ownership as related to occupation. The comparatively high percentage of home owners in Mobile is probably associated with the relatively large percentage of the population in the retired group of families. The tendency for families in a large city like Atlanta to move to the suburbs when buying a house may account for the lower rate of home ownership within the city limits of Atlanta as compared with Mobile and Columbia.

    Home ownership was more common among the white group as a whole than among the Negro group as a whole in all the cities except Gastonia, being more than twice as frequent proportionatel y among white as among Negro families in Atlanta and Mobile. In Gastonia, however, a slightly larger proportion of Negro than white families owned their homes. That the relative infrequency of $h$, me ownership among Negro families as compared to white families was really only a reflection of lower incomes is demonstrate by the fact that, except in the income bands under $\$ 750$, native Negro complete families consistently owned their homes more frequently than did native white complete families in the same income brackets. ${ }^{5}$ This was true in all the occupational groups, even among wage-earner families. The low percentage of home ownership among all Negroes in Gastonia is therefore due to the concentration of Negro families in the low income classes. The high rate of home ownership among Negroes as


    compared with white families in the upper income brackets is probably associated with the poorer facilities available to Negroes with equal incomes, who, therefore, may be forced to purchase homes in order to secure comfortable living quarters.

    Home ownership among complete and incomplete families.-Among the white group, incomplete families were home owners relatively more frequently than were families which contained both the husband and wife. Among Negroes, the situation was just reversed except in Columbia where the proportions were about the same in the two family composition groups. The percentage of home owners among the complete and incomplete families (relief and nonrelief) was as follows:

    | Families | Atlanta | Mobile | Columbia | Gastonia | Albany |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | Native white: |  |  |  | . |  |
    | Complete | 30.7 | 37.0 | 34.2 | 17.9 | 24.0 |
    | Incomplete. | 40.8 | 61.4 | 39.8 | 27.5 | 29.0 |
    | Native Negro: |  |  |  |  |  |
    | Complete | 19.4 | $22.5{ }^{\text { }}$ | 20.0 | 23.5 | 16.9 |
    | Incomplete. | 10.3 | 17.6 | 20.5 | 21.5 | 15.8 |

    The relationship of family composition to home ownership is illustrated by the accompanying figures for Atlanta:

    Percentage of home owners among complete families of specified type ${ }^{1}$
    [Nonrelief families in Atlanta]

    | Families | Family type |  |  |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | I | ${ }_{\text {II }} \text { III }$ | IV and | $\begin{gathered} \text { VI and } \\ \text { VIII } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VIII and } \\ & \text { Other } \end{aligned}$ |
    | Native White... Native Negro.-- | 32.1 25.0 | 25.9 16.8 | 44.1 27.7 | 27.2 19.7 | 39.9 31.1 |
    | Native Negro.-. |  | 16.8 | 27.7 | 19.7 | 31.1 |

    ${ }^{1}$ Family type:
    I. 2 pel sons. Husband and wife only.
    II. 3 pr :sons. Husband, wife, 1 ehild under 16 and no others.
    III. 4 persons. Husband, wife, 2 children under 16 and no others.
    IV. 3 or 4 persons. Husband, wife, 1 person 16 or over, and 1 or no other person, regardless of age.
    V. 5 or 6 persons. Husband, wife, 1 child under 16, 1 person 16 or over, and 1 or 2 other persons, regardless of age.
    VI, 5 or 6 persons. Husband, wife, 3 or 4 children under 16 and no others.
    VII. 7 or 8 persons. Husband, wife, 1 child under 16,4 or 5 other persons, regardless of age.
    VIII. 5 or 6 persons. Husband, wife, 3 or 4 persons 16 or over.

    Other. 7 or more persons. All types containing husband and wife not included in I through VIII.
    It will be noted that families which contained adults in addition to the husband and wife (type IV, V, VIII, and other) owned their homes relatively more frequently, and those which contained young children (types II, III, VI, and VII) owned their homes less frequently than did families containing only the husband and wife (type I) in which the age composition varies from very young to old couples. This difference in ownership is doubtless due in part to the fact that the older families have had an opportunity to accumulate property
    whereas the younger families have not. ${ }^{6}$ The fact that families with several adults tend to have higher incomes than those with young children also explains part of these differences in home tenure.

    Home ownership by income classes.-In general, among the selfsupporting families containing both husband and wife, the proportion which owned their homes increased as income increased, yet in the case of white families, and to a certain extent among Gastonia and Mobile Negro families, a comparatively large proportion of families in the lowest income brackets also owned their homes (see table 64). Information was not obtained regarding the length of time families had owned their homes, but the internal evidence presented by the schedule as to the character of the earnings, occupation, and age distribution suggests that those with low current income which owned homes in 1935-36 were families which had probably seen better years, during which the purchase of the home was undertaken. It should be noted, furthermore, that by segregating the relief from the nonrelief families at the lowest income levels we get a selected group in the nonrelief population, a group of families with savings or credit facilities to draw upon.

    Table 64.-Percentage of home owners among complete families, by income class [Nonrelief families]
    a. NATIVE WHITE FAMILIES

    | Income class | Atlanta | Mobile | Columbia | Gastonia | Albany |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | All families | 31 | 37 | 34 | 18 | 24 |
    | Relief $\qquad$ Nonrelief | 9 34 | 18 39 | 17 36 | 4 20 | 5 27 |
    | U'nder \$500. | 21 | 24 | 5 | 5 | (1) |
    | \$500-\$749... | 12 | 24 | 5 | 5 | (1) 9 |
    | \$750-\$999. | 18 | 22 | 7 | 9 | 8 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,499 | 20 | 33 | 18 | 13 | 11 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,999 | 28 | 36 | 27 | 27 | 23 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,999 | 43 | 52 | 48 | 36 | 32 |
    | \$3,000 and over. | 56 | 67 | 60 | 70 | 56 |

    b. NATIVE NEGRO FAMILIES

    | Income class | Atlanta | Mobile | Columbia | Gastonia | Albany |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | All families. | 19 | 22 | 20 | 24 | 17 |
    | Relief. | 10 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 7 |
    | Nonrelief. | 24 | 26 | 22 | 26 | 19 |
    | Under $\$ 250$ | 8 | 17 | 7 | 20 | 7 |
    | \$250-\$499 | 9 | 18 | 9 | 15 | 11 |
    | \$500-\$749. | 10 | 23 | 13 | 26 | 18 |
    | \$750-\$999. | 22 | 32 | 32 | 54 | 36 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,499. | 40 | 48 | 47 | 50 | 55 |
    | \$1,500 and over | 69 | 67 | 72 | 50 | 72 |

    Except for the non-relief families in the lowest brackets, whose current income is not entirely indicative of their economic status, an increasing proportion of home owners accompanied each rise in the income scale. In Atlanta, for instance, one in eight native white complete families at the $\$ 500$ to $\$ 750$ level was a home owner; at $\$ 1,000$ to $\$ 1,500$, one in five owned its living quarters; while every second family with an income of $\$ 3,000$ or more owned its place of residence.

    The rise in home ownership among complete Negro families in this large Southeastern city was no less pronounced. Whereas, only 10 percent of the Negro families with incomes between $\$ 500$ and $\$ 750$ possessed their quarters, 40 percent in the $\$ 1,000$ to $\$ 1,500$ income class, and 69 percent receiving $\$ 1,500$ or more reported home ownership.

    Significant increases are revealed in the other communities also, although the percentage varied widely between cities. As mentioned earlier, the proportion of Negro complete families in these four cities who were home owners was larger at almost every income level than the proportion among white families with comparable incomes.

    Proportionately, about one-fifth to one-half as many relief as nonrelief native white families, among those containing husband and wife, owned their homes (table 64). It will be remembered that, in some communities in the first year of the depression particularly, the possession of property such as a home would have made it impossible for the relief recipient to pass the necessary "means test." This stipulation varied from city to city. It was not uncommon, however, for banks to permit the occupant to retain nominal ownership in order to avoid the costs of foreclosure. The home-owning families in the relief group may be taken to represent those families which had not used up the resources represented by the investment in their homes, or which had not been able to liquidate the investment, before applying for public help. For those which retained their homes, it may be assumed that normal expenses and taxes on the home were probably not cared for during the current year.

    Home ownership by occupation.-Among nonrelief families containing both husband and wife, the wage-earner group as a whole had a lower percentage of home ownership than any other occupational group; this situation prevailed in both races and in all cities (table 65). The proportion varied from 19 to 32 percent among white families in this group in four of the cities. In Gastonia, however, only 8 percont of the wage-earner families owned their homes. This extremely low proportion reflects the presence of many companyowned homes which the mill operatives rent from their employers. Among the white families, those of retired or unemployed persons not on relief, or of farm operators, owned their homes most frequently; those of the business and professional classes had the next largest
    proportion of home owners, while clerical families had a somewhat smaller percentage. In the case of the Negro group, on the other hand, the clerical families, which constituted only a small proportion (4 percent or less) of all the nonrelief complete Negro families, showed a higher rate of home ownership than almost any other group, white or Negro, in any of the five cities; from over half to three-fourths of all the families in this occupational group reported themselves as home owners.

    Table 65.-Percentage of home owners, by occupational group
    [Nonrelief complete families]
    a. NATIVE WHITE FAMILIES

    | Occupational group | Atlanta | Mobile | Columbia | Gastonia | Albany |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | Wage earner | 29 | 32 | 20 | 8 | 19 |
    | Clerical...- | 32 | 38 | 36 | 36 | 22 |
    | Business and professional | 42 | 48 | 49 | 44 | 35 |
    | Other | 54 | 65 | 63 | 57 | 65 |

    b. NATIVE NEGRO FAMILIES

    | Occupational group | Atlanta | Mobile | Columbia | Gastonia | Albany |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | Wage earner | 19 | 23 | 18 | 24 | 14 |
    | Clerical...-- | 61 | 65 | 75 | (*) | 54 |
    | Business and professional.- | 49 | 46 | 49 | 41 | 51 |

    * Insufficient number of cases for computation of percentage.

    These occupational differences in rate of home ownership are, however, clearly related to income. In Atlanta, for example, complete white nonrelief wage-earner families in the income brackets over $\$ 1,250$ owned their homes more frequently than did clerical families at this income level, and in the income bands over $\$ 1,750$ (with the exception of $\$ 5,000$ and over) the wage-earner families surpassed both clerical and business and professional families in relative frequency of home ownership. The implication is, therefore, that the wage-earner families in the income bands which suggest relative stability of employment were even more eager to own their homes than families with the same incomes in other occupational groups. ${ }^{7}$ Analogous situations were apparent also among the white families in the other cities. In the case of the Negro group, the infrequency of families in the white-collar occupations precludes a comparison by occupation and income.

    Imputed income from home ownership. -The average amount of imputed income derived from home ownership is related to a number


    of factors, such as real estate values in the community, the presence or absence of mortgages and the amount of the mortgage when present, the amount of money necessary to keep the house in repair, and the rental value of the dwelling.

    The average amount of income imputed by virtue of home ownership to all nonrelief home-owning complete families and to families in the different occupational groups in the five cities is shown in the accompanying table (table 66). In terms of the effect of this item on the income of native complete families in the communities as a whole, including both owners and renters, less than $\$ 100$ was added to family income in four of the cities and only $\$ 109$ in the fifth city as a result of imputing income to home owners. ${ }^{8}$

    Among the occupations, the business and professional families in the white group derived more imputed income than either the clerical or wage-earner families from home ownership, due partly to the higher rental value of their homes, income level for income level, ${ }^{9}$ and partly to the larger proportion of high income families in the business and professional group. The exceptionally large amount of imputed income among the retired and nonemployed families in Columbia helps to account for the higher median income reported for this group in chapter III.

    Table 66.-Average amount of nonmoney income from housing imputed to home owners, by occupational group
    a. NATIVE WHITE COMPLETE FAMILIES

    | Occupational group | Atlanta | Mobile | Columbia | Gastonia | Albany |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | All home owners | \$249 | \$210 | \$327 | \$204 | \$277 |
    | Relief | 125 | 108 | 202 | 86 | 152 |
    | Nonrelief | 254 | 215 | 332 | 208 | 280 |
    | Wage earner. | 193 | 151 | 248 | 141 | 223 |
    | Clerical. | 246 | 216 | 284 | 203 | 248 |
    | Business and professional | 309 | 273 | 386 | 256 | 314 |
    | Other. | 290 | 276 | 521 | (*) | (*) |

    b. NATIVE NEGRO COMPLETE FAMILIES

    | Occupational group | Atlanta | Mobile | Columbia | Gastonia | Albany |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | All home owners. | \$129 | \$83 | \$108 | \$57 | \$60 |
    | Relief | 89 | 54 | 62 | 61 | 43 |
    | Nonrelief. | 137 | 86 | 113 | 57 | 61 |
    | Wage earner | 124 | 80 | 102 | 53 | 51 |
    | Clerical.-..- | 160 | 127 | 123 | (*) | 90 |
    | Business and professional | 164 | 99 | 148 | 74 | 82 |
    | Other... | 118 | 87 | (*) | (*) | (*) |

    * Fewer than 10 cases.
    ${ }^{8}$ See Tabular Summary, sec. B, table 2.
    - See Tabular Summary, see. B, table 14; see also the discussion of occupational differences in rentals paid, p. 105.

    Since homes owned by Negro families had on the average lower rental values than did those of white families, the income imputed to Negroes from home ownership was less than that allocated to the income of the white families from this source.

    Nonmoney income among Negro clerical families was about the same as among business and professional families in Atlanta; it was higher than among business and professional families in Mobile and Albany, and lower in Columbia, indicating that as between these two occupational groups there was no consistent tendency for one group to receive more in the form of imputed income than the other. Wage-earner families, however, received less imputed income than any of the other gainfully employed families, both because of the lower rental value of their homes at given income levels and because they tended to concentrate more heavily at the lower levels.
    Median income of renters and owners.-The median income for families which owned their homes was uniformly and strikingly higher than that of renting families (table 67). This is to be expected since home ownership was more common in the upper income brackets and since part of the income of home owners was derived from the owned home itself. It is interesting to note in this connection, however, that the difference in median incomes in favor of the home owners was very much greater than the average amount of imputed income, indicating that even without the addition of the imputed income the home owners were probably better off than the renters, so far as income is concerned. Among white families, owners averaged from $\$ 600$ (in Mobile) to over $\$ 1,000$ (in Columbia) more than did renters in median income. Within the white-collar occupational groups, however, the differences were not so pronounced between the two home tenure groups; but were nevertheless considerable. The median incomes of wage earners who were home owners exceeded those of renters by as much as $\$ 1,004$ in Albany, while the smallest difference was found in Mobile where the home-owning families received $\$ 465$ more income during the year than did the renters in this occupational group.

    Negro home owners also secured higher median incomes than did the renters. Generally speaking, several hundreds of dollars more were received by owners, both among the combined occupational groups and within each group. Whereas the median incomes of Negro renters varied from $\$ 428$ in Albany to $\$ 695$ in Atlanta, those of owners ranged from $\$ 653$ in Gastonia to $\$ 1,138$ in Atlanta.

    Table 67.-Median income of home owners and renters, by occupational group
    [Nonrelief complete families]
    a. Native white families

    | Occupational group | Atlanta |  | Mobile |  | Columbia |  | Gastonia |  | Albany |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rent- } \\ & \text { ers } \end{aligned}$ | Owners | Renters | $\begin{gathered} \text { Own- } \\ \text { ors } \end{gathered}$ | Renters | $\begin{gathered} \text { Own- } \\ \text { ers } \end{gathered}$ | Renters | Owners | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Rent- } \\ \text { ers } \end{array}$ | Owners |
    | All home owners. | \$1,670 | \$2,377 | \$1,312 | \$1,938 | \$1, 662 | \$2, 703 | \$1,069 | \$1,951 | \$1,619 | \$2,618 |
    | Wage earner | 1,284 | 2,046 | 1,056 | 1,521 | 1,212 | 2, 185 | 975 | 1,576 | 1,322 | 2,326 |
    | Clerical | 1,873 | 2, 480 | 1,569 | 2,037 | 1,829 | 2,530 | 1,414 | 1,973 | 1,769 | 2, 575 |
    | Business and professional | 2,218 | 2,951 | 1,769 | 2,640 | 2,451 | 3, 340 | 1,772 | 2,823 | 1,901 | 3,063 |

    b. NATIVE NEGRO FAMILIES

    | Occupational group | Atlanta |  | Mobile |  | Columbia |  | Gastonia |  | Albany |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rent- } \\ & \text { ers } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Own- } \\ \text { ers } \end{gathered}$ | Renters | $\underset{\substack{\text { Own- } \\ \text { ers }}}{ }$ | Renters | $\begin{gathered} \text { Own- } \\ \text { ers } \end{gathered}$ | Renters | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Own- } \\ & \text { ers } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rent- } \\ \text { ers } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\text { Ors }}{\text { Own- }}$ |
    | All home owners.-- | \$695 | \$1,138 | \$527 | \$709 | \$590 | \$936 | \$491 | \$653 | \$428 | \$714 |
    | Wage earner | 693 | 1,058 | 532 | 717 | 626 | 852 | 484 | 696 | 389 | 686 |
    | Clerical. | 1,014 | 2, 022 | 708 | 2, 115 | 500 | 1,286 | (*) | (*) | 650 | 2,083 |
    | Business and professional | 717 | 1,258 | 622 | 729 | 750 | 1,338 | 708 | 917 | 619 | 1,000 |

    * Fewer than 10 cases.

    Rent as pay.-When computing total family income, the rental value of the quarters given as part of the employment arrangement was regarded as part of the family income. Housing received as payment applied, however, to less than 2 percent of the relief and nonrelief complete families in both races in all the cities. The average monthly rent received by such families was as follows:

    | Families | Atlanta | Mobile | Columbia | Gastonia | Albany |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | Native white. | \$28. 50 | \$18.00 | \$29.92 | \$22.08 | \$23.50 |
    | Native Negro. | 12.75 | 10.08 | 12.92 | 10.25 | 8.08 |

    As compared with average rents among all native complete families shown below, the above rents run somewhat higher.

    ## Housing Expenditures

    Average rents by race and income classes.-The cities surveyed in the Southeast varied markedly in the general rent level. Atlanta, at one extreme, had an average rent of $\$ 17.80$, while in Gastonia, at the other extreme, the average rent charged was $\$ 9.90$. If the rents of white and Negro families are considered separately the intercity differences are reduced for the Negro group. The average rent of the colored families ranged from $\$ 5.60$ per month in Albany to $\$ 10.00$ in Atlanta. As subsequent discussion will show, these low average housing expenditures of Negroes may be attributed to their low income level as well as to their lower rents at given income brackets.

    Table 68.-Average monthly rent reported by renting families
    [All families, relief and nonrelief]

    | Families | Atlanta | Mobile | Columbia | Gastonia | Albany |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | All families | \$17.80 | \$13. 20 | \$17.60 | \$9.90 | \$10.50 |
    | White. | 22.70 | 18.70 | 24. 40 | 10.80 | 18. 40 |
    | Native. | 22.60 | 18. 40 | 24.10 | 10.70 | 18.10 |
    | Foreign | 26.20 | 22.20 | 33.50 | ${ }^{*}$ ) | (*) |
    | Negro. | 10.00 | 7.80 | 8. 60 | 6.70 | 5. 60 |

    * Insufficient number of cases upon which to compute average.

    White families paid, in four cities, more than twice as high rents as Negroes. Only in Gastonia did the average monthly housing expense of the white group fall as low as $\$ 10.80$; in Atlanta rent averaged $\$ 22.75$ for white families. In line with the higher income of foreign white families as compared with native, the average rent paid by the small foreign-born group exceeded that of the native born in the three Southeastern communities in which the foreign born were sufficiently numerous to warrant analysis. There were so few cases of foreign-born families, however, that there is no material difference between the average rent paid by all white families and that paid by native white.

    There was no consistent tendency for the incomplete families to pay either more or less rent as compared with the complete families (see table 69).

    Although wide differences existed in the average rents of families in these five Southeastern cities, in every community a consistent rise in amount of rent occurred at each higher income level, beginning with the $\$ 500$ to $\$ 750$ bracket (see table 70 ). While at given income intervals Atlanta rents averaged slightly higher than in the other communities, similar progressive increases accompanied rise in income in each city.

    Gastonia's complete white families consistently averaged lower rents than did families in the other communities, both for all income classes combined and at given income levels. As later analysis will show, this difference may be attributed partly to the occupational make-up of this community (wage earners with their comparatively low rents being relatively more numerous in Gastonia than in the other cities), and partly to the presence of many company-owned homes which have low rentals.

    Table 69.-Average monthly rent reported by incomplete white and Negro families
    

    Table 70.--Average monthly rent reported by renting families with specified incomes a. NATIVE WHITE COMPLETE FAMILIES

    | Income class | Atlanta | Mobile | Columbia | Gastonia | Albany |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | All families. | \$23.30 | \$18. 20 | \$24.50 | \$10.60 | \$18.60 |
    | Relief families. | 12. 60 | 11.30 | 15. 40 | 7. 60 | 10.40 |
    | Nonrelief families. | 25.60 | 19.10 | 25. 60 | 11.00 | 19.90 |
    | Under $\$ 250$ | 15. 10 | 13. 70 | 12. 50 | 6.90 | 10. 50 |
    | \$250-\$499. | 12.80 | 12. 70 | 11. 40 | 6. 40 | 9.80 |
    | \$500-\$749 | 13. 60 | 12. 50 | 11.00 | 6.80 | 10. 70 |
    | \$750-\$999 | 14.90 | 13.90 | 13.60 | 8.60 | 12.60 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249. | 18.40 | 15.90 | 17. 40 | 9.30 | 15.60 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 19. 40 | 17.80 | 19.10 | 10.30 | 16. 80 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 22. 80 | 19.30 | 23. 90 | 13. 40 | 20. 70 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 27. 10 | 22. 50 | 28. 80 | 15. 60 | 22.90 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,249 | 28. 30 | 24. 60 | 30.40 | 18.90 | 23.40 |
    | \$2,250-\$2,499 | 32.30 | 25.60 | 33.50 | 22.60 | 24.20 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 34.60. | 29. 30 | 35. 00 | 28.50 | 26.00 |
    | \$3,000-\$3,999 | 40.90 | 30.70 | 40.80 | 29.40 | 30.00 |
    | \$4,000-\$4,999 | 46. 20 | 39. 20 | 45. 70 | 38.50 | 33.80 |
    | \$5,000 and over | 62.60 | 49. 70 | 55.70 | (*) | (*) |

    b. NATIVE NEGRO COMPLETE FAMILIES

    | Income class | Atlanta | Mobile | Columbia | Gastonia | Albany |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | All families. | \$10.70 | \$8.00 | \$9.10 | \$6.70 | \$5.80 |
    | Relief families | 9.20 | 7.20 | 8. 10 | 6.00 | 4.80 |
    | Nonrelief families. | 11.50 | 8.30 | 9.30 | 6.90 | 6.00 |
    | Under \$250 | 8.90 | 6.80 | 6.80 | 6.30 | 4. 80 |
    | \$250-\$499. | 9.80 | 7.70 | 8. 10 | 6.30 | 5. 50 |
    | \$500-\$749 | 10.60 | 8.30 | 9.30 | 6.70 | 6.70 |
    | \$750-\$999 | 11.80 | 9.10 | 10.40 | 7.80 | 7.00 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 14. 20 | 10. 40 | 11.20 | 11. 10 | 8.80 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499. | 15. 20 | 11. 60 | 13.00 | (*) | (*) |
    | \$1,500 and over | 18. 50 | 12.30 | 17.90 | (*) | 12. 50 |

    * Fewer than 10 cases.

    While the income range of the Negro families was more restricted than that of the white group, the pattern observed above, i. e., higher average rents with rises in the income scale, was very consistent among Negro families in each of these Southeast communities. In each income class in the Southeastern cities surveyed, the average rents of Negro families fell below those of the white group by several dollars, thus reflecting inferior housing available to the colored group. ${ }^{10}$

    The most extreme example of differences in the rents paid by the two groups occurs in Mobile where white nonrelief families, even at the lowest income intervals did not average as little for their monthly rentals as did the Negroes in the highest income classes, i. e., $\$ 1,500$ and over. ${ }^{11}$

    There appeared to be a prevailing minimum rental, which varied from city to city, below which white tenant families found it difficult


    to find living quarters. This is evidenced in the bunching of rents around certain fixed amounts in the income bands under $\$ 1,000$. It may even be noted that the presence, in the lowest income bracket, of families which were supplementing their current income by savings or borrowings, produced among the white families the anomaly of a somewhat larger average rental at $\$ 250$ of current net income than at higher income levels. This paradox of large rentals in the lowest income groups reflects not merely a general inertia in adjusting expenditures for rent to their reduced income but also the availability of borrowed funds or savings. Families which had had higher incomes were apparently loath to move from their accustomed neighborhoods and living quarters, even when their incomes did 'not warrant their remaining. Obviously, rental payments were allowed to lapse in a considerable proportion of the cases where the rental chargeable to the tenant was greater than the total current family income. A more regular upward sequence of rents and income was characteristic of the rent figures for Negroes in all cities. Apparently most of the Negro families at the lowest income level in these cities were receiving incomes not far from those to which they were accustomed.

    It is important to note that although average rentals followed income with a high degree of consistency, as we have just seen, nevertheless, within any one rental group, families with a wide range of income were to be found. ${ }^{12}$ Thus, for example, in Atlanta, there were white families with annual incomes ranging all the way from as little as $\$ 250$ or less to as much as $\$ 10,000$ and over, which were living in houses with monthly rents between $\$ 35$ and $\$ 40$. Similarly, in the case of Atlanta Negroes, families with incomes ranging from less than $\$ 250$ to as much as $\$ 4,000$ were paying rents between $\$ 20$ and $\$ 25$. Rent data as an index of income must, therefore, be interpreted in the light of this great variability of income within single rent classes.

    At comparable income levels wage-earner families tended to pay substantially lower rents than did the white-collar families; among the nonwage-earner groups, clerical families paid less than the business and professional families. Inasmuch as the wage-earner families were frequently larger than white-collar families in the same income levels, it appears that other expenditures, such as food, clothing, and the like, left less for housing among the wage-earner than among other families.

    Rental value of owned homes.--Since most home owners belonged to the middle and higher income brackets it is not surprising to find that average rental value of owned homes ran considerably above average rents. In one city (Gastonia) among the white families containing both husband and wife the rental value of owned homes was almost three times that of rents reported by tenants. The relative disparity between rental values of owned and rented homes in the other South-


    east communities was not so pronounced, but in no city was the average monthly rental of owned quarters less than $\$ 12.00$ higher than the rents of rented quarters of white families, as may be seen from the accompanying figures (table 71).

    When comparisons are made within given income classes, rental values of owned homes still exceed rent of renting families (see Tabular Summary, sec. B, tables 12 and 13). Information on the housing facilities of the two groups which will be presented in a later bulletin indicates that, in general, the quarters of home owners were actually of higher grade than those of renters at given income levels.

    Table 71.-Average monthly rent reported by renting families and rental value reported by home owners
    [Complete families, relief and nonrelief]

    |  | Atlanta | Mobile | Columbia | Gastonia | Albany |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | Native white |  |  |  |  |  |
    | A verage rent | \$23. 30 | \$18.30 | \$24. 50 | \$10. 60 | \$18.60 |
    | Average rental value | 37.40 | 30.40 | 46.70 | 30.40 | 37.90 |
    | Native Negro |  |  |  |  |  |
    | A verage rent Average rental value.----------- | 10.70 20.60 | 8.00 13.10 | 9.10 18.40 | 6.70 11.50 | 5.80 11.80 |

    Rent and rental value as a proportion of income.--Both the rent and the rental value data bear out the normal expectation that in general the housing bill increases as income increases; but the rent burden, measured as a proportion of income falls most heavily on the lowest income groups and becomes progressively less with the rise in income scale (tables $72,74,75$, and 76). In interpreting the ratio of rent to income we must remember that rent figures refer to the amount the tenant has contracted to pay, and may not be the amount he has actually paid during the year. In the lowest income groups, therefore, the actual ratio of rent to income is overstated for cases in which the tenant was unable to pay the rent which he reported and which is compared with his income. ${ }^{13}$ The relationship of rental value to income was also distorted in the case of the home owners who did not, during the year, pay the normal expenses such as taxes, insurance, and repairs, on their homes; or, at the other extreme, by home owners who had made very extensive repairs during the year, exceeding the estimated normal expense for homes having the specified rental value. ${ }^{14}$

    Table 72.-Rent of rented dwellings and rental value of owned homes as a percentage of income of native white families, by income class
    [Complete families]

    | Income class | Percentage of income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | A tlanta |  | Mobile |  | Columbia |  | Gastonia |  | Albany |  |
    |  | Rent ${ }^{1}$ | Rental value ${ }^{2}$ | Rent ${ }^{1}$ | Rental value ${ }^{2}$ | Rent ${ }^{1}$ | Rental value ${ }^{2}$ | Rent ${ }^{1}$ | Rental value ${ }^{2}$ | Rent ${ }^{1}$ | Rental value ${ }^{2}$ |
    | All families....---.-.---- | 17.0 | 16.7 | 15.7 | 16.0 | 16.6 | 17.0 | 11.3 | 14.4 | 13.7 | 13.4 |
    | Relief families <br> Nonrelief families | 23.7 | 27.6 | 24.0 | 24.7 | 24.8 | 30.5 | 17.1 | 24.0 | 23.2 | 33.8 |
    |  | 16.5 | 16.6 | 15.2 | 15.9 | 16.3 | 16.8 | 10.9 | 14.3 | 13.3 | 13.3 |
    | Under \$250. | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | (*) |  | $\left.{ }^{3}\right)$ | (*) | ${ }^{(3)}$ |  |
    | \$250-\$499 | 40.5 | 67.9 | 37.8 | 57.5 | 36.2 | (*) | 18.7 | (*) | 30.1 | (*) |
    | \$500-\$749 | 25.9 | 41.9 | 24.0 | 35.5 | 20.7 | 48.6 | 12.9 | 36.3 | 20.4 | (*) |
    | \$750-\$999 | 20.6 | 34.6 | 19.4 | 27.0 | 18.9 | 34.2 | 11.0 | 25.8 | 17.4 | (*) |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 19.6 | 27.9 | 17.1 | 22.5 | 18.4 | 31.9 | 9.8 | 23.8 | 16.6 | 25.1 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499. | 17.1 | 23.9 | 15.7 | 20.6 | 16.8 | 28.7 | 9.2 | 18.4 | 14.8 | (*) |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749. | 17.1 | 20.9 | 14.5 | 18.9 | 18.0 | 25.7 | 10.0 | 17.1 | 15.4 | 23.4 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 17.5 | 19.6 | 14.5 | 17.9 | 18.6 | 24.0 | 10.0 | 17.3 | 14.8 | 18.0 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,249 | 16.0 | 18.3 | 14.0 | 16.7 | 17.3 | 21.9 | 10.8 | 16. 6 | 13.3 | 19.8 |
    | \$2,250-\$2,499 | 16.3 | 17.8 | 13.0 | 16. 6 | 17.0 | 22.1 | 11.4 | 17. 6 | 12.2 | 17.6 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 15. 3 | 17.7 | 13.0 | 15. 4 | 15.5 | 19.2 | 12.7 | 15. 1 | 11. 5 | 16.8 |
    | \$3,000-\$3,999 | 14.6 | 15. 6 | 11.0 | 13.8 | 14.4 | 17.8 | 10.7 | 13.4 | 10.7 | 14.7 |
    | \$4,000-\$4,999 | 12.6 | 14.0 | 10.7 | 13.3 | 12.6 | 15.5 | 10.4 | 11.6 | 9.1 | 12.5 |
    | \$5,000 and over | 11.5 | 11.4 | 9.5 | 9.9 | 10.2 | 10.3 | (*) | 8.7 | (*) | 6. 7 |

    ${ }^{1}$ Rent of tenant families as a percentage of their income.
    2 Rental value of owned homes as a percentage of the income of home owners.
    ${ }^{3}$ Percentage is not given because net current incomes under $\$ 250$ formed only a fraction of current receipts, which included borrowing, drawing on savings, etc.
    *Fewer than 10 cases.
    Table 78a.-Total housing expense as a percentage of income of all native white families (renters and owners), by income class ${ }^{1}$
    [Complete families]

    | Income class | Percentage of income |  |  |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | Atlanta | Mobile | Columbia | Gastonia | Albany |
    | All families. | 16.8 | 15.8 | 16.7 | 11.9 | 13.6 |
    | Relief families | 24.0 | 24.1 | 25.7 | 17.4 | 23.7 |
    | Nonrelief families. | 16.5 | 15.5 | 16.5 | 11.6 | 13.3 |
    | Under \$250. | (2) | ${ }^{(2)}$ | (*) | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | (2) |
    | \$250-\$499. | 46.1 | 42.3 | 37.4 | 19.9 | 41.0 |
    | \$500-\$749 | 27.9 | 26.7 | 22.0 | 14.0 | 22.6 |
    | \$750-\$999 | 23.1 | 21.0 | 20.0 | 12.4 | 18.3 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249. | 21.0 | 18.6 | 20.3 | 11.2 | 17.6 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499. | 18.8 | 17.6 | 19.5 | 10.8 | 15.7 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749. | 18.1 | 16. 1 | 19.8 | 11.8 | 16.9 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999. | 18.1 | 15.7 | 20.2 | 12.1 | 15.7 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,249 | 17.0 | 15.3 | 19.2 | 12.8 | 15.1 |
    | \$2,250-\$2,499 | 16.9 | 14.7 | 19.4 | 13.4 | 13.6 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 16.4 | 14.4 | 17.5 | 13.7 | 13.7 |
    | \$3,000-\$3,999 | 15.1 | 12.6 | 16.1 | 11.3 | 12.5 |
    | \$4,000-\$4,999 | 13.4 | 12.6 | 14.5 | 11.2 | 11. 2 |
    | \$5,000 and over | 11.5 | 9.8 | 10.3 | 8.7 | 6. 3 |

    It must be recalled that the nonmoney income from the owned home was added to the money income of the owner families. This had the effect of raising the income brackets in which the owners were
    classified above the ones in which they would have been placed if only their money income had been included. Yet, as we see from tables 72 and 74, at comparable income levels the ratio of rental value to total income (including imputed income) for home owners was as high or higher than the ratio of rent to income, which meant money income, in the case of the renters. This would naturally follow, since we found that at given money income levels the home owners reported housing of higher value than the renters at the corresponding income levels.

    In the large and middle-sized cities, white families allocated between 16 and 17 percent of their incomes to housing. Rent took a smaller proportion of the income of families in Gastonia and Albany than in the larger communities. In every city the proportion of income assumed by rent or rental value consistently declined with each rise in income, despite the fact that the actual amount of rent increased. In all cities, except among white renters in Gastonia the decline in proportion of income going for rent was pronounced. Within given income brackets, however, wide intercity variations in housing expense were found. Up to $\$ 1,500$, families in Atlanta devoted a larger share of their incomes to rent than did families in the other four Southeastern cities surveyed. Above this income level, however, the families in Columbia appeared to have higher housing expenses than did those of Atlanta, but the differences between these two urban centers were not very great. Families in Gastonia, on the other hand, at practically every income level, spent the smallest percentage for rent.

    At the $\$ 500$ to $\$ 750$ level, in the four cities other than Gastonia, from one-fourth to one-fifth of the income was devoted to rent. Owners in this bracket, however, occupied homes whose rental value approximated between one-third and one-half of their current incomes. The reduction in the rent-income ratio accompanied each rise in the income scale until at $\$ 1,500$ to $\$ 1,750$, families in four cities allocated between 15 and 18 percent of their incomes to rent, and between 19 and 26 percent to rental value of owned homes. Gastonia families, at this income level, reported rents amounting to 10 percent and rental values constituting 17 percent of their incomes. At the $\$ 3,000$ to $\$ 4,000$ income class, rent comprised 11 percent of the current family incomes in three cities, while in Atlanta and Columbia, rent formed between 14 and 15 percent. Rental values continued to exceed these percentages. At the top income bracket of $\$ 5,000$ or more, housing expenditures in the large and middle-sized cities averaged approximately one-tenth of family income.

    Aside from the higher land values found in big urban centers, the prevalence of a larger apartment house population in Atlanta explains

    Table 73.-Percentage of families occupying specified types of dwellings
    [Complete families, relief and nonrelief]
    a. NATIVE WHITE FAMILIES

    | Type of dwelling | Atlanta |  | Mobile |  | Columbia |  | Gastonia |  | Albany |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | Rented | Owned | Rented | Owned | Rented | Owned | Rented | Owned | Rented | Owned |
    | All dweliings. ....- | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
    | One-family | 57 | 92 | 78 | 94 | 63 | 98 | 94 | 98 | 57 | 90 |
    | Two-family | 21 | 6 | 14 | 4 | 25 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 26 | 7 |
    | Apartment | 21 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 1 |  | 14 | 2 |
    | Other. | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 |

    b. NATIVE NEGRO FAMILIES


    the relatively high rent-income ratio (see table 73). Since apartment rents frequently include items such as light, refrigeration, heat, and telephone, the rental rate reported is not comparable with that of private residences.
    The relationship between income and housing may be expressed more concisely if the data for the owners and renters are combined as in table 72 a. In every city the proportion of income allocated to housing dropped with rises in income level. Comparing given income classes, however, certain intercity differences are noteworthy. Families with incomes under $\$ 1,250$ had higher housing expenditures in Atlanta than did families of comparable incomes in the other communities. Above $\$ 1,250$ housing expenses of Columbia families exceeded those of the other cities at every income level (except for Atlanta at the income level of $\$ 5,000$ and over). Gastonia families secured lower cost housing.

    Although the Negro group as a whole devoted a larger proportion of income to rent than did the white group in all five cities, within any one income interval, Negroes paid a smaller percentage of their income in rent. Thus, the large proportion of the aggregate income of the Negro group devoted to rent was due to the fact that Negro families were concentrated in the lowest income classes, in which the ratio of rent to income was high.

    The extent to which the rent-income ratio of families containing both husband and wife are indicative of the white and Negro renting families as a whole may be gaged from the accompanying table 75 for Atlanta. These figures show that the generalizations thus far
    put forth regarding the relation of rent to income among native white complete families hold also for the white family tenants as a whole. Incomplete families did, however, tend to devote a higher proportion of their current income to rent than did the complete families in all income brackets up to $\$ 2,000$, above which the complete families appeared, with the exception of the $\$ 3,000$ to $\$ 5,000$ class, to allocate a little more for rent. The same tendency existed among the Negro incomplete group. Among families with incomes below $\$ 1,000$, rent secured a larger portion of the family income of incomplete Negro families than it did of the income of complete families while at the higher income levels the tendency was reversed.

    Table 74.-Rent of rented dwellings and rental value of owned homes as a percentage of income of native Negro families, by income class
    [Complete families]

    | Income class | Percentage of income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | Atlanta |  | Mobile |  | Columbia |  | Gastonia |  | Albany |  |
    |  | Rent ${ }^{1}$ | Rental value ${ }^{2}$ | Rent ${ }^{1}$ | Rental value ${ }^{2}$ | Rent ${ }^{1}$ | Rental value ${ }^{2}$ | Rent 1 | Rental value ${ }^{2}$ | Rent ${ }^{1}$ | Rental value ${ }^{2}$ |
    |  | 20.3 | 19.8 | 18.7 | 19.4 | 19.4 | 19.7 | 16.2 | 20.0 | 16.0 | 15.9 |
    | Relief familiesNonrelief families. | 25.7 | 27.0 | 25.6 | 32.7 | 27.2 | 25.9 | 19.7 | 41.4 | 20.8 | 25.9 |
    |  | 18.4 | 19.0 | 17.2 | 18.7 | 17.9 | 19.3 | 15.7 | 19.6 | 15.2 | 15.5 |
    | Under $\$ 250$ | 63.6 | 86.5 | 44.8 | 63.3 | 44.8 | ${ }^{*}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 44.2 | ${ }^{*}$ ) | 31.1 | 38.4 |
    | \$250-\$499 | 30.2 | 50.1 | 24.0 | 35.5 | 25.4 | 40.9 | 19.5 | 33.1 | 17.8 | 29.7 |
    | \$500-\$749 | 20.4 | 30.3 | 16.3 | 23.2 | 18. 1 | 27.1 | 13.6 | 21.4 | 13.2 | 20.8 |
    | \$750-\$999. | 16.6 | 25.8 | 12.9 | 18.5 | 14.8 | 23.0 | 11.0 | 17.4 | 10.2 | 18.5 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 15.3 | 22.0 | 11.2 | 16.4 | 12.1 | 22.0 | 12.2 | 15. 1 | 9.6 | 15.5 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499. | 13.4 | 18.8 | 10.3 | 14.4 | 11. 4 | 17.0 | ${ }^{*}{ }^{*}$ ) | (*) | **) | 10.5 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,999 | 12.0 | 17.3 | 8.4 | 12.7 | 12.2 | 17.5 | (*) | (*) | (*) | 10.2 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,499. | 10.6 | 14.6 | 7.1 | 10.5 | (*) | 13.8 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) |
    | \$2,500 and over | 9.7 | 11.5 | (*) | 7.9 | (*) | 11.0 |  |  |  | (*) |

    ${ }^{1}$ Rent of tenant families as a percentage of their income.
    ${ }^{2}$ Rental value of owned homes as a percentage of the income of home owners.
    *Fewer than 10 cases.
    Table 74a.-Housing expense as a percentage of income of native Negro families, by income class ${ }^{1}$
    [Complete families]

    | Income class | Percentage of income |  |  |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | Atlanta | Mobile | Columbia | Gastonia | Albany |
    | All families.. | 20.2 | 18.9 | 19.5 | 17.1 | 16.0 |
    | Relief families | 25.8 | 26.3 | 27.1 | 21.7 | 21.2 |
    | Nonrelief families | 18.5 | 17.6 | 18.2 | 16.7 | 15.3 |
    | Under \$250. | 65.4 | 48.0 | 46.2 | 48.1 | 31.6 |
    | \$250-\$499. | 32.1 | 26.1 | 26.7 | 21.6 | 19.1 |
    | \$500-8749... | 21.4 | 17.9 | 19.3 | 15.6 | 14.6 |
    | \$71,000-\$1, 89.9. | 18.6 17.5 | 14.7 13.5 | 17.5 16.2 | 14.4 | 13.2 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 16.2 | 12.6 | 14.6 | (*) ${ }^{13.6}$ | 12.3 9.5 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,999 | 15.2 | 11.0 | 15.7 | (*) | 9.3 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,499 | 13.5 11.2 | 9.5 7.2 | 12.7 11.1 | (*) | (*) 8.3 |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |

    Table 75.-Rent as a percentage of income among complete and incomplete renting families in Atlanta, by income class
    a. WHITE FAMILIES

    | Income class | All white families* | Native white |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  |  | Total | Complete | Incomplete |
    | All families-. | Percent 18.9 | Percent 17.9 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Percent } \\ 17.0 \end{array}$ | Percent 24.1 |
    | Relief families | 26.5 | 23.6 | 23.7 | 23.3 |
    | Nonrelief families. | 18.0 | 17.4 | 16. 5 | 24.2 |
    | Under \$500. | 41. 6 | 51.1 | 46.9 | 53.3 |
    | \$500-\$749 | 25.7 | 30.6 | 25.9 | 37.4 |
    | \$750-\$999 | 20.6 | 22.8 | 20.6 | 28.2 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 19.9 | 20.9 | 19.6 | 26.8 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 16.7 | 17.6 | 17.1 | 26.0 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749. | 17.2 | 17.8 | 17.1 | 23.9 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 17. 6 | 17.7 | 17.5 | 21.4 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,499 | 16.0 | 16. 1 | 16. 2 | 15.5 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999. | 15.2 | 14.9 | 15.3 | 11.6 |
    | \$3,000-\$4,999. | 14.1 | 14.1 | 14.0 | 16. 5 |
    | \$5,000 and over. | 10.4 | 11.1 | 11.2 | 10.5 |

    b. NATIVE NEGRO FAMILIES
    

    Table 76.-Rent as a percentage of income among renting families of specified occupational groups
    [Nonrelief complete families]
    a. NATIVE WHITE FAMILIES

    | Income class | Atlanta |  |  | Mobile |  |  | Columbia |  |  | Gastonia |  |  | Albany |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | Wage earner | Clerical | Business and professional | Wage earner | Clerical | Business and professional | Wage earner | Clerical | Business and professional | Wage earner | Clerical | Business and professional | Wage earner | Clerical | Business and professional |
    | All families. | 14.8 | 17.4 | 16.6 | 15.2 | 15. 4 | 15.0 | 14.4 | 18.3 | 16.1 | 8.8 | 14.3 | 14.7 | 13.3 | 13.7 | 12.7 |
    | Under $\$ 500$ | 34.8 | 62.8 | 58.0 | 40.1 | 43.0 | 47.2 | 29.8 | (*) | 52.4 | 17.9 | (*) | 37.3 | 28.2 | 40.9 | 40.9 |
    | \$500-\$999 | 19.7 | 25.2 | 29.9 | 19.3 | 21.5 | 26.8 | 16.6 | 26.8 | 26.7 | 10.7 | 16.7 | 21.3 | 17.1 | 19.8 | 23.1 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,499 | 15.6 | 21.1 | 22.0 | 14.6 | 18.0 | 18. 6 | 13.5 | 22.7 | 23.1 | 7.8 | 15. 5 | 17.7 | 15. 4 | 15.2 | 16.7 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,999 | 14.1 | 19.0 | 20.1 | 12.7 | 15. 4 | 16.2 | 14.4 | 20.4 | 21.0 | 7.0 | 14.1 | 15.3 | 13. 2 | 15.7 | 16.8 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,999 | 12.3 | 16.7 | 17.2 | 11.1 | 13.8 | 14.4 | 13.2 | 17.2 | 17.7 | 7.0 | 13. 0 | 15.3 | 10.8 | 12.4 | 13.5 |
    | \$3,000-\$4,999 | 10.2 | 13.8 | 14.8 | 9.6 | -9.5 | 11.7 | 11.6 | 14.0 | 14.3 | (*) | 11.7 | 11.3 | 8.4 | 10.4 | 11.1 |
    | \$5,000 and over | 6.5 | 11.4 | 11.3 | (*) | (*) | 9.5 | (*) | 9.5 | 10.2 |  |  | (*) |  | (*) | 4.3 |

    b. NATIVE NEGRO FAMILIES

    | All families. | 18.4 | 13.7 | 20.4 | 17.1 | 12.3 | 20.7 | 17.8 | 15.8 | 20.0 | 15.4 | (*) | 17.5 | 15.3 | 12.6 | 14.6 |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | Under $\$ 500$ | 31.7 | 28.9 | 45.7 | 26.3 | 27.3 | 36.8 | 26.6 | 24.0 | 38.1 | 21.2 | (*) | 31.0 | 20.1 | 17.2 | 18.8 |
    | \$500-\$999. | 18.4 | 18.2 | 20.7 | 14.8 | 16.3 | 17.6 | 16.8 | (*) | 20.8 | 13.0 |  | 17.4 | 12.4 | 14.8 | 13.6 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,499 | 14.1 | 15.1 | 20.8 | 10.2 | (*) | 15. 2 | 11.4 | (*) | 14.8 | 10.5 |  | (*) | 8.8 | ${ }^{*}$ ) | (*) |
    | \$1,500-\$1,999 | 11.3 | 12.5 | 13.9 | 7.9 |  | 10.2 | 9.5 | ${ }^{*}$ *) | 20.4 | (*) |  | (*) | ${ }^{*} 7.0$ | (*) |  |
    | \$2,000-\$2,999 | ${ }^{*}{ }^{9} \mathbf{8}$ | (*) 10. |  | ${ }_{( }{ }^{5} \mathrm{~F}$. 1 | (*) | (*) | 7.6 | (*) | (*) |  |  | (*) | (*) |  | (*) |
    | \$5,000 and over |  |  | (*) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

    * Fewer than 5 cases.

    For the comparison of average rents as a proportion of income by occupational groups, the data given in table 76 are confined to nonrelief complete families. In most cities, as we have already seen, the business and professional families paid higher rents than the clerical families which, in turn, paid more than the wage-earner families. Within the same income classes, furthermore, the rents paid by the business and professional families represented a higher percentage of their family income than did the rents of the other occupational classes. This is true at practically every income level in each of the cities. The wage-earner families spent the smallest proportion of their incomes for rent. The rents paid by the clerical families tended to occupy an intermediate position at any given income level, both in respect to amounts paid and the proportion of income allotted to rent. These data indicate a persistent effort on the part of the whitecollar workers, even perhaps at the cost of borrowing, drawing on savings, or lapsing their bills, to maintain their social positions as represented by housing standards.

    ## Chapter VII

    ## Family Income Structure by Cities

    Similarities and contrasts in income structure of the five Southeastern cities have been emphasized throughout the preceding chapters. The search for generalizations which pertain to the incomes of various social and economic groups within the region has inevitably obscured the income pattern of each city. For readers whose interest lies in the family income structure of any or all of the five Southeastern communities, a restatement by cities is now presented.

    ## Atlanta

    With respect to median income, Atlanta ranked second among the five Southeastern cities included in this report. One-half of the family population of this large city secured annual incomes of more than $\$ 1,208$, a figure only $\$ 22$ less than the median income of Columbia's families. Despite the relatively high median income found in Atlanta, almost one out of every five families was dependent upon public assistance at some time during the year; this proportion was larger than the corresponding proportion in the other five communities.

    With only 2 percent of the city's population classified as foreign born in the 1930 census, approximately two-thirds of Atlanta's families were native white and one-third Negro.

    The occupational distribution of families in Atlanta differed from that of the other four cities in that the proportion of families classified as wage earners was smaller ( 52 percent) while families following clerical pursuits were proportionately more numerous ( 20 percent). Similarly, families which derived their chief earned income from professional or salaried business activities were relatively more frequent in Atlanta ( 12 percent) than in any of the other four cities except Columbia. Of the remaining families, 11 percent engaged in independent business and 5 percent were without occupation or were farmers living within the city limits.

    ## Native White Families

    The median income of $\$ 1,706$ attained by Atlanta's native white complete families was approximately $\$ 200$ greater than that received by all the native white families in this city. Of the husband-wife families, 13 percent received public assistance at some time during
    the year; approximately the same proportion of families (14 percent) were self-supporting but received less than $\$ 1,000$ annual income. Incomes between $\$ 1,000$ and $\$ 2,000$ were secured by 33 percent of all native white complete families; 22 percent had between $\$ 2,000$ and $\$ 3,000$ for the year, and 17 percent were recipients of incomes which equalled or exceeded $\$ 3,000$.

    Income of occupational groups.-The median income for wage earners who represented 38 percent of all Atlanta native white families, was $\$ 1,165$, an average income higher than that of wage earners in any of the other cities. Clerical families ranked second in order of frequency, constituting 29 percent of the native white group. One-half of the families in this occupational category received incomes for the year which exceeded $\$ 1,868$. The 12 percent of native white families which engaged in independent business obtained a median income of only $\$ 1,062$, a figure lower even than that for wage earners in Atlanta and the lowest for the independent business families in all five Southeastern cities. The occupational groups of salaried professional (median income $\$ 2,078$ ), salaried business ( $\$ 2,906$ ) and independent professional ( $\$ 3,145$ ) together comprised only 16 percent of the native white families. The remainder, or 5 percent of the group, consisted primarily of retired and nonemployed families. Such families had a median income of only $\$ 421$, the lowest in the five cities.

    Income of family composition groups.- Of the native white families in Atlanta, three out of four contained both husband and wife. The median income of these complete families was $\$ 1,706$ for the year, for the incomplete families, $\$ 940$. The influence of family composition upon income was further indicated by the consistency with which family type VIII (three or four adults in addition to the married couple) obtained the highest incomes. Atlanta families of such composition received a median income of $\$ 2,730$ but they comprised only between 2 and 3 percent of all of Atlanta's complete families. The most prevalent family type among the complete families was that of husband and wife only, approximately one-fourth of the complete families were of this type. Almost 22 percent of the families were of type IV, which contained at least one person over 16 and sometimes one other person, in addition to the husband and wife, and 17 percent of the complete families were of type II which included a child under 16.

    The average number of persons per husband-wife family was 3.8 . Families receiving relief contained an average of one person more than did nonrelief families ( 4.6 as compared with 3.6 ).

    Sources of family income.-Approximately 90 percent of the aggregate income of native white families in Atlanta consisted of earnings from gainful occupations. Of the other 10 percent, slightly more than half represented money income from sources other than earnings and the remainder was nonmoney income arising largely from home
    ownership. The contribution of the principal earners amounted to about 76 percent of the total while supplementary earnings accounted for 12 percent. Earnings from work not attributable to family members, such as net revenue from roomers and boarders and casual work for pay done in the home, supplied approximately 2 percent of the aggregate income of native white families.
    Home tenure and income.-Three-tenths of the families containing both husband and wife, as compared with four-tenths of the incomplete families, owned their homes. The proportion of home owners increased with each rise in income above the $\$ 500$ level until, among families with incomes of $\$ 5,000$ and over, almost 6 out of every 10 nonrelief complete families were home owners.

    Home owners among complete families which did not receive public assistance had an average of $\$ 254$ in nonmoney income from housing. That this imputed income alone does not account for the greater incomes of owners than of renters is evidenced by the great disparity between the median incomes of these two groups, $\$ 2,377$ for owners as contrasted with $\$ 1,670$ for renters.

    An average monthly rent of $\$ 25.60$ was reported by nonrelief families containing both husband and wife. This average rent represented approximately one-sixth of family income.

    ## Native Negro Families

    The median income of $\$ 632$ found for Atlanta Negro families containing both husband and wife was the largest for this group in the Southeastern cities. One-third of these families, however, were on relief at some time during the year. The remaining two-thirds of the group was divided evenly between nonrelief families having less than $\$ 750$ annual income and those having incomes of $\$ 750$ or more.
    Income of occupational groups.-Wage-earner families, which constituted the bulk of the Negro group in each of the five cities surveyed by the Bureau of Labor Statistics in this region, formed a smaller proportion of this group in Atlanta than in the other cities but still made up 83 percent of the Negro family population. Their median income of $\$ 476$ was the highest for the five cities studied. Families in the clerical occupations comprised 3 percent of all Negro families; they received a median income of $\$ 1,054$ which was significantly higher than that of clerical families in the other cities. The 7 percent of Negro families engaged in independent business and the 4 percent in the professions and in salaried business, when combined, received a median income of $\$ 606$. Families without earnings or farmers living within the city limits comprised 4 percent of the Negro family population; they obtained a median income of only $\$ 136$ for the year. ${ }^{1}$

    Income of family composition groups.-The proportion of Atlanta Negro families which lacked a married couple was greater than the corresponding proportion for Atlanta whites or the proportions for Negroes in any of the other four cities. Almost half (44 percent) of these Negro families were incomplete in this sense, and their median income of $\$ 332$ was lower than that of the complete families by $\$ 300$. Of every 10 complete families 3 contained husband and wife only, 2 were of type IV which had one person over 16 in addition to the married couple and sometimes one other person, and 1 was of type II which included one child under 16 years of age. As with Atlanta white families, type VIII, including 5 or 6 adults, had the highest median income ( $\$ 1,057$ ) but less than 2 percent of the complete families were of this composition.

    Negro families in Atlanta were only slightly larger in average size than the white families- 3.9 persons per complete family; only at the upper income levels were the Negro families considerably larger than the white. Negro complete families on relief averaged 4.3 members as compared with 3.7 persons per self-supporting family. Nonrelief Negro families receiving as much as $\$ 2,000$ for the year were larger than white families with corresponding incomes and had an average of 4.4 persons per complete family.

    Sources of family income.-A slightly larger proportion (93 percent) of the total income of Negro families than of white families was derived from earnings. Money income other than earnings and nonmoney income from housing were of approximately equal importance in making up the other 7 percent of aggregate income. Supplementary earners supplied a larger proportion of all income ( 18 percent) among the Negroes than among the whites. About 72 percent of the income was contributed by principal earners while 3 percent consisted of earnings not attributable to individuals.

    Home tenure and income.-Among the Negroes, home ownership was more prevalent in the families containing both husband and wife than in the incomplete group, two-tenths versus one-tenth. In families with incomes of $\$ 1,500$ and over, about 7 out of 10 nonrelief complete families were home owners. Nonrelief families headed by a married couple received an average of $\$ 137$ in nonmoney income from home ownership; this amount was larger than for the other four cities. Their median income of $\$ 1,138$ varied more widely from the median income of renters (\$695) than in any of the other cities with the exception of Albany. Nonrelief complete families which were renters paid an average of $\$ 11.50$ for rent, which amounted to 18 percent of their income.

    ## Mobile

    Mobile stood fourth among the five cities, with respect to median family income; the dividing point occurred at $\$ 824$. Of all families, 16 percent received relief at some time during the year.

    Foreign-born individuals were relatively more numerous in Mobile than in the other four cities but nevertheless comprised only 3 percent of the population, according to the 1930 census. Approximately two-fifths of the families were Negro.
    Of every 100 families in Mobile, 59 derived their chief earned income from wage-earner occupations and 15 followed clerical pursuits. Of the 21 families engaged in business and professional occupations, more than half were classified as in independent business.

    ## Native White Families

    One-half of the native white families in Mobile received annual incomes of less than $\$ 1,269$; for the families which contained both husband and wife, the dividing point occurred at $\$ 1,420$. Of the complete families, 10 percent received relief at some time during the year while 24 percent were self-supporting on incomes of less than $\$ 1,000$. Only 12 percent of the native white complete families secured incomes of $\$ 3,000$ or more.

    Income of occupational groups.-Four out of every ten native white families in Mobile derived their chief earnings from wage-earner occupations. These families obtained a median annual income of $\$ 975$. The 25 percent of the group which engaged in clerical occupations averaged $\$ 1,626$ in income for the year. Constituting 13 percent of the native white family population, independent business families received a median income of $\$ 1,188$. The independent and salaried professional and the salaried business groups together comprised 14 percent of the families. The salaried professional families had a median income of $\$ 1,848$ while the independent professional and salaried business families obtained median incomes of $\$ 2,750$ and $\$ 2,534$ respectively. Of the Mobile native white families, 7 percent were without earners or were farm families living within the city limits. Their median income of $\$ 436$ was only slightly higher than that of Atlanta families in this category.

    Income of family composition groups.-Mobile's white family population contained a larger proportion of incomplete families than was found among the native white group in the other Southeastern cities. Almost three-tenths of the native white families lacked a husband or wife or both. The incomplete families received a median income of only $\$ 784$ while the families containing both husband and wife received $\$ 1,419$ as a median annual income. Family type I with husband-wife only and type IV, with an additional person over 16,
    and sometimes another person, were about equally prevalent among the complete families, together comprising about four-tenths of the group.

    Families receiving public assistance had an average size of 4.7 members per complete family while the nonrelief families averaged 4.0. The average size of complete relief and nonrelief families combined was 4.1 persons.

    Sources of family income.--Earnings were relatively less important in Mobile than in the larger city of Atlanta but still constituted more than 86 percent of the total income. Money income from sources other than earnings supplied 8 percent of the aggregate while the remaining 6 percent consisted of nonmoney income from housing. Approximately 10 percent of the aggregate income represented earnings attributed to family members other than the chief breadwinner. The latter contributed 75 percent of the total income of native white families in this city while 2 percent of all family income consisted of earnings from keeping roomers and boarders and from casual work in the home.

    Home tenure and income.-Approximately threesfifths of the incomplete families reported home ownership. Slightly less than twofifths of the complete families were home owners; this proportion was the highest in the five Southeastern cities. Among nonrelief families containing both husband and wife, we see that in the highest income group, $\$ 3,000$ and over, 67 percent of such families owned their homes. An average of $\$ 215$ in nonmoney income was imputed to home owners. Their median income of $\$ 1,938$ was more than $\$ 600$ higher than the median for renters. Renting families in the nonrelief complete group paid an average rent of $\$ 19.10$, an amount which represented 15 percent of their income.

    ## Native Negro Families

    Families which contained both husband and wife received a median income of $\$ 481$. Approximately one-fourth of these families ( 23 percent) secured public assistance during the year studied. More than two out of four ( 55 percent) were in the nonrelief group but had annual incomes of less than $\$ 750$. The remaining families comprised 22 percent of the Negro complete group and were divided almost equally into those having incomes between $\$ 750$ and $\$ 1,000$ and those securing $\$ 1,000$ or more.

    Income of occupational groups.-The median income for the 87 percent of all native Negro families classified as wage earners amounted to $\$ 393$. Only 2 percent engaged in the clerical occupations. They had a median income of $\$ 642$. Another 2 percent were professional or salaried business families. These groups, when combined with the $78078^{\circ}-39-4$

    6 percent which were engaged in independent business, obtained a median income of $\$ 549$-a figure at least $\$ 50$ lower than the medians for Negro business and professional families in the other four cities. A median income of $\$ 208$ was obtained by the "other" families (3 percent of all families).

    Income of family composition groups.-Approximately 6 out of every 10 Mobile Negro families contained both husband and wife. Their median income of $\$ 481$ was almost $\$ 200$ higher than that of incomplete families. More than one-third of the complete families had no members in addition to the husband and wife. Another third were either of type IV with its one or two persons other than the husband and wife (18 percent), or of type II which includes one child under 16 ( 14 percent). Complete families which received public assistance were composed of 4.2 members on the average, while the nonrelief families averaged 3.6. The average size of complete relief and nonrelief families combined was 3.7 persons.

    Sources of family income.-Wages and salaries yielded 92 percent of the aggregate income of native Negro families in Mobile. Nonearned money income and the money value of housing received without direct expense were nearly equally important sources of family income as they each comprised about 4 percent of the total income. Negro families in Mobile received a smaller proportion of their income from secondary earners than in the other cities, supplementary earnings constituting less than 13 percent of the aggregate. The principal earners, on the other hand, supplied a large proportion ( 78 percent) of the total Negro income in Mobile, as compared with the other four cities. Earnings not attributable to individual earners accounted for 2 percent of the aggregate.

    Home tenure and income.-Approximately 23 percent of the families headed by a married couple and only 18 percent of the incomplete families reported home ownership. Of the nonrelief complete families which received as much as $\$ 1,500$ in annual income, 67 percent were owners. The owner families received $\$ 86$ in nonmoney income from housing. As measured by median income, the owners occupied a more favorable economic position than the renting families, the former receiving $\$ 709$ and the latter, $\$ 527$ in annual income. The average monthly rent of $\$ 8.30$ paid by nonrelief complete families which were renters amounted to 17 percent of their income.

    ## Columbia

    Of the five Southeastern cities included in this report, Columbia ranked first with a median family income of $\$ 1,050$. As might be expected, the concentration of families in the more remunerative occupations was greater for Columbia than for the other cities; 16
    percent engaged in professional or salaried business activities. The proportion of families receiving the major part of their earned income from wage-earner occupations was lower than for any of the cities except Atlanta ( 55 percent) and a relatively small proportion of the families were without earnings from occupations (the classification "other" included only 1 percent of all families). Clerical families were only slightly less numerous proportionately than in Atlanta (17 percent) while families engaged in independent business were equally frequent in the two cities (11 percent).

    As in Mobile, approximately two out of every five families were Negro. The foreign born formed a negligible proportion of the population.

    ## Native White Families

    With great consistency, the income data for the Southeastern region showed the white families of Columbia to be in a more favored economic position than any other large group covered in this region by the Bureau of Labor Statistics. Even when native white broken families were combined with the husband-wife families, the median income was $\$ 1,742$ and when only the complete families were considered, one-half attained incomes greater than $\$ 1,876$. Only 8 percent of Columbia's native white families containing both husband and wife were on relief while only 14 percent were nonrelief families with incomes of less than $\$ 1,000$; as many as 22 percent secured annual incomes equaling or exceeding $\$ 3,000$.

    Income of occupational groups.-Columbia had the largest proportion in the relatively high paid occupations as well as the highest median income for most of the occupational groups. As in Atlanta, less than 4 out of every 10 native white families were wage earners, but their median income of $\$ 1,104$ was slightly lower than that of the larger city. The 27 percent of the families securing the major part of their earnings from clerical pursuits, however, received a median income of $\$ 1,929$, which was definitely higher than in the other cities. Similarly the median income of $\$ 1,643$ obtained by the 13 percent of families engaged in independent business was the largest of the five cities. Twenty-two percent of Columbia's native white families derived their chief earnings from professional or salaried business occupations. The comparatively high median incomes for these groups were as follows: salaried professional, $\$ 2,486$; salaried business, $\$ 2,924$; and independent professional, $\$ 3,485$. The large difference between incomes in Columbia and in the other four Southeastern cities appeared also for the "other" families. The median income of $\$ 1,375$ secured by the 2 percent of families in this occupational classification was more than double the corresponding income in any of the other cities.

    Income of family composition groups.-As in Atlanta, three-fourths of the Columbia native white families included a husband and wife. Such families attained a median income of $\$ 1,876$ as compared with $\$ 1,403$ for the incomplete families. Families of type IV (one or two persons in addition to the married couple) occurred with about the same frequency as family type I with husband-wife only, each comprising about two-tenths of the complete families. Columbia families containing both husband and wife had an average size of 3.9 persons per family. Self-supporting families in this group averaged only 3.8 persons in comparison to 4.6 members per relief family.

    Sources of family income.-Among Columbia's native white families, earnings from gainful occupations formed a slightly smaller proportion ( 88 percent) of aggregate income than among the native white groups in Atlanta, Gastonia, and Albany but a larger proportion than in Mobile. More than 6 percent of the aggregate was money income from sources other than earnings while less than 6 percent represented nonmoney income from housing. Of the total income, principal earners contributed 72 percent (a figure lower than the corresponding proportion in the other cities) while supplementary workers supplied 12 percent. The remaining 4 percent of aggregate income resulted from earnings from roomers, boarders, and casual work in the home.

    Home tenure and income.-Of the families containing both husband and wife, 34 percent were home owners; in families without a married couple, 40 percent of the group were owners. Although only 5 percent of the nonrelief complete families with incomes of less than $\$ 500$ owned their homes, 60 percent of those with incomes of $\$ 3,000$ and over reported home ownership. The average nonmoney income resulting from home ownership was $\$ 332$, an amount greater than that in the other cities. The median income of home owners exceeded that of renters by a larger amount in Columbia than in the four other communities. Of the nonrelief complete group, owners received a median income of $\$ 2,703$ and renters, $\$ 1,662$. These renters paid an average monthly rent of $\$ 25.60$. The rent item constituted about onesixth of annual income.

    ## Native Negro Families

    The median income of $\$ 576$ for Columbia's native Negro complete families was exceeded only by the median for Atlanta. The proportion of Negro complete families which were relief-recipients was smaller, however, in Columbia than in Atlanta ( 20 percent versus 33 percent). Approximately 25 percent of the Negro families containing husband and wife were in the nonrelief income bracket of less than $\$ 500$; slightly larger proportions were included in the income class of $\$ 500$ to $\$ 750$ ( 28 percent) and in the classes of $\$ 750$ and over ( 27 percent).

    Income of occupational groups.-The relatively advantageous economic position held by Columbia's native white families did not extend to the Negro group. Approximately 86 percent of the Negro families were wage earners, less than 2 percent were in the clerical group and 11 percent were business or professional families. The clerical families, with a median of $\$ 869$, obtained the highest incomes on the average; business and professional families, over half of which were engaged in independent business, were next with a median income of $\$ 630$; while wage earners received $\$ 435$ as an annual median income. The median income of the 1 percent of Columbia's Negro families which were in the "other" group was $\$ 250$.

    Income of family composition groups.-Six-tenths of the Negro families in Columbia were headed by a married couple. The median income of $\$ 576$ for the complete families was more than double that of the incomplete families As compared with the Negro group in the other cities, families consisting of only a husband and wife were relatively less frequent in Columbia. These families (type l) comprised 27 percent of all complete families: Families of type II, with one child, and of type IV, with one or two persons in addition to the married couple, were found among the complete Negro families with approximately the same frequency; together these two types made up over one-third of the families. Negro families in Columbia were larger on the average than in the other four cities. The complete families contained an average of two persons in addition to the husband and wife.

    Sources of family income.-As in Atlanta, approximately 93 percent of the aggregate income of Columbia Negro families was earned income. Only 3 percent was money income from sources other than earnings, while the remainder, 4 percent, represented nonmoney income imputed to home ownership or from housing received without direct money expense. The contribution of the principal earners to the aggregate income of native Negro families amounted to 74 percent of the total while supplementary earnings made up over 16 percent. Earnings from work not attributable to individual family members accounted for approximately 2 percent.

    Home tenure and income.-Home ownership was equally prevalent among complete and incomplete families; in each group, two out of every 10 families owned homes. The proportion of home owners reached the height of 72 percent for complete nonrelief families having incomes of at least $\$ 1,500$. An average amount of $\$ 113$ in nonmoney income was imputed to home owners among nonrelief families headed by a married couple. These families had a median income of $\$ 936$ which compared favorably with the median of $\$ 590$ for renters. Nonrelief complete families which rented their homes paid an average
    of $\$ 9.30$. The rental expense for the year constituted 18 percent of total income.

    ## Gastonia

    On the basis of the median income of all families in the community, Gastonia ranked third among these five Southeastern cities. The median income of $\$ 824$ was higher than that found for either Mobile or Albany. Less than one-fourth of the families were Negro. If the incomes of the racial groups are considered separately, Gastonia ranks lower.

    Out of every 100 families in Gastonia, 73 were classified as wage earners. This proportion is higher than for the other four cities and reflects the highly industrialized and manufacturing nature of this mill town. Another 11 families received their chief earnings from clerical pursuits while 8 engaged in independent business. Only 7 in every 100 families were classified in the rather highly remunerative professional and salaried business occupations-a proportion lower than for the other cities included in this report.

    ## Native White Families

    The median income of Gastonia's native white complete families $(\$ 1,087)$ was the lowest for the five cities and was approximately $\$ 800$ lower than the median for white families in Columbia. Of the husbandwife families, 11 percent received relief at some time during the year and another 35 percent were nonrelief families concentrated in the income classes under $\$ 1,000$. Only 10 percent received incomes of $\$ 2,000$ to $\$ 3,000$ while 7 percent secured incomes equalling or exceeding $\$ 3,000$.

    Income of occupational groups.-The occupational distribution of Gastonia differed significantly from that of each of the other cities. More than two-thirds of the families were wage earners and their income of $\$ 892$ was the lowest for white families in the five Southeastern cities surveyed by the Bureau of Labor Statistics. Clerical occupations claimed only 14 percent of all native white families and yielded a median income of $\$ 1,438$-a figure also lower than the corresponding income in the other cities. About one-tenth of the families operated their own businesses, receiving a median income of $\$ 1,222$. The occupational groups of salaried professional (median income $\$ 1,750$ ), salaried business ( $\$ 2,500$ ), and independent professional $(\$ 3,292)$ together comprised only 8 percent of all native white families. A median income of $\$ 625$ was obtained by the 1 percent of the families which were classified in the "other" group. About one-quarter of this group were families of farm operators and sharecroppers living within the city limits, and the remainder were families without earners.

    Income of family composition groups.-Gastonia's family composition differed markedly from that of the other four cities in several respects. Only 15 percent of the families lacked a married couple. There was less divergence between the median income of families containing both husband and wife and those which did not; the median income of the complete families being $\$ 1,087$ and of the incomplete, $\$ 733$. The three most prevalent family types were: I, husband and wife only ; II, husband, wife and one child; and IV, which contained one adult and sometimes another person in addition to the married couple. Each of these types comprised 15 or 16 percent of the native white complete families. A greater proportion of Gastonia's families, as compared with those in the other cities, were included in the family types which contained at least 5 or 6 persons. Thus Gastonia's average of 4.4 persons per family was the largest for these five Southeastern cities.

    Sources of family income.-The distribution of aggregate income by sources differed notably for Gastonia's white families in that a relatively large proportion was contributed by supplementary earners. More than 18 percent of the total income was provided by family members other than the chief breadwinner. The principal earner contributed 74 percent of all income while family enterprises accounted for another 2 percent. Thus earnings as a whole comprised 94 percent of the aggregate income. Of the remaining 6 percent, nonmoney income from housing was slightly more important than was money income from sources other than earnings.

    Home tenure and income.-The proportion of home owners among both complete and incomplete families was lower in Gastonia than in the other Southeastern cities, being 18 percent for husband-wife families and 28 percent for broken families. At the income level of $\$ 3,000$ and over, however, as many as 7 out of 10 of the complete families were owners. An average of only $\$ 208$ in nonmoney income was imputed to home owners. Their median income of $\$ 1,951$ from all sources was substantially higher than the median of $\$ 1,069$ received by renters. The average rent of $\$ 11.00$ paid by the nonrelief complete families was the lowest of the five cities, and represented only about one-ninth of total income; this relatively low average is due in part to the practice of many of Gastonia's mill owners of providing housing for their employees at low rents.

    ## Native Negro Families

    Gastonia's Negro families which contained both husband and wife received a median income of $\$ 495$. More than half of the families ( 54 percent) were on relief at some time during the year or else were nonrelief families having less than $\$ 500$ annual income. Of the remaining families, those with incomes between $\$ 500$ and $\$ 750$ were ap-
    proximately twice as numerous as the families securing annual incomes of $\$ 750$ and over.

    Income of occupational groups.-Although wage earners comprised an overwhelmingly large proportion of all Negro families in each of the Southeastern cities, the proportion of 91 percent in Gastonia was the highest. The proportions of families deriving their chief earnings from other occupations were correspondingly small--less than 1 percent in clerical work, 3 percent in independent business, and less than 4 percent in the professions and salaried business. The median income of Negro families whose chief source of income came from wage-earning occupations was $\$ 404$ and of all Negro business and professional families, $\$ 692$.

    Income of family composition groups.-Of every 5 Negro families in Gastonia, 3 were headed by a married couple. Their median income of $\$ 495$ was approximately double that of the incomplete families. As in the cities previously discussed, about one-third of the complete families consisted of a husband and wife with no other members, while another third contained either an additional child or an additional adult. The complete group had an average size of 3.8 persons per family and as in the other cities, relief families were considerably larger on the average than nonrelief families ( 4.5 members as compared with 3.6).

    Sources of family income.-Approximately 93 percent of the aggregate income of Negro families in Gastonia was derived from occupational earnings. Less than 3 percent was money income from sources other than earnings while 4 percent represented nonmoney income from housing. The principal earner contributed 75 percent of all family income. Supplementary earnings made up a smaller proportion of the Negro income than of the native white aggregate income in Gastonia, 17 percent as contrasted with more than 18 percent. The keeping of roomers and boarders and casual work in the home was a relatively unimportant source of income for Negroes in this small city, providing less than 1 percent of the total income.

    Home tenure and income.-In marked contrast to the situation which obtained for the white families, home ownership was more common among the Negroes in Gastonia than in any of the other Southeastern cities studied. Approximately 24 percent of the families containing both husband and wife and 22 percent of the incomplete families were owners. Among the nonrelief families headed by a married couple, at least half of those with incomes of $\$ 750$ and over owned their homes. The imputed income from home ownership was lower in Gastonia than in the other cities, the average being $\$ 57$. The contrast between the median income of owners (\$653) and that of renters (\$491) was less great than in any of the other four cities. Complete nonrelief families
    paid an average monthly rent of $\$ 6.90$ which was less than one-sixth of total income.

    The median income of all families in Albany was the lowest for the five Southeastern cities surveyed by the Bureau of Labor Statistics. Only one-half of the families secured annual incomes which were higher than $\$ 517$. This low median income for the family population as a whole must be interpreted in the light of the large proportion of Ne groes in Albany. More than half of all families in this city were Negro.

    The prevalence of Negroes in the population is also reflected in the occupational distribution of Albany's families. Families in the wageearner group were considerably more numerous proportionately in Albany ( 68 percent) than in Atlanta, Mobile, or Columbia. Only 11 percent of the families received their chief earned income from clerical occupations and 8 percent from professional and salaried business pursuits. Families engaged in independent business comprised 9 percent of all families in Albany while 4 percent were classified as "other".

    ## Native White Families

    The median income of $\$ 1,661$ secured by native white complete families in the small city of Albany was much closer to the median found in the largest city, Atlanta, than to the average income reported in the other small city, Gastonia. Although the proportion of relief recipients was the same for Albany and Gastonia ( 11 percent), nonrelief families with less than $\$ 1,000$ annual income were only half as numerous, proportionately, in Albany as in Gastonia ( 17 percent versus 35 percent). Albany families obtaining incomes of $\$ 3,000$ and over comprised 16 percent of the group-a proportion more than three times as great as the corresponding figure for Gastonia.

    Income of occupational groups.-Families in the wage-earner group, constituting almost two-fifths of the native white families, received a median income of $\$ 981$. Of the fourth of all such families deriving their main earnings from clerical occupations, one-half obtained incomes greater than $\$ 1,771$. Independent business families were more numerous proportionately in Albany than in the other cities, constituting 18 percent of the native white family population. They received a median income of $\$ 1,375$. Professional and salaried business families totaled 15 percent of all native white families. The salaried professional group obtained a median income of $\$ 1,625$ and the independent professional, $\$ 2,750$. Salaried business families, which were twice as numerous proportionately as the professional families, received $\$ 2,583$ as a median income. Approximately 5 percent were families in the "other" occupations, families of farmers and persons without earnings. They had a median income of $\$ 684$.

    Income of family composition groups.-Nearly eight out of ten of the native white families included both husband and wife. Their median income of $\$ 1,661$ was more than twice as large as the median annual income of the incomplete families. Of the complete families, one-fifth included no family members other than the husband and wife (type I), and another fifth included one or two persons in addition to the married couple (type IV). Albany's complete families contained an average of two persons in addition to the husband and wife. Self-supporting families in this group averaged 3.8 persons, in comparison to 4.8 persons for relief families.

    Sources of family income.-Of the five Southeastern cities, Albany's white families received the largest proportion of their aggregate income from the principal earners who contributed approximately 78 percent of the total income. On the other hand only 9 percent consisted of earnings of secondary workers and 2 percent of income from family enterprises. Thus, despite the important contribution of principal earners, total earnings comprised only 89 percent of aggregate in-come-a proportion not unlike that for native white families in the other cities. Nonearned money income was a relatively important source of the aggregate income of these families, providing more than 7 percent of the total. The remaining 4 percent of income consisted of nonmoney income from housing.

    Home tenure and income.-Home ownership was reported by 24 percent of Albany's complete families and 29 percent of the incomplete. More than one-half of the husband-wife families with incomes of $\$ 3,000$ and over owned their homes. Home owners among complete nonrelief families had an average of $\$ 280$ in nonmoney income from housing. Their median income was $\$ 2,618$, approximately $\$ 1,000$ higher than that of renters. Nonrelief families containing both husband and wife paid an average of $\$ 19.90$ per month for rent. The rent item for the year amounted to 13 percent of annual income.

    ## Native Negro Families

    Albany's complete Negro families reported the lowest median income (\$435) and the least favorable income distribution of any large group in these five Southeastern cities. Almost one-third of the families ( 32 percent) were either on relief at some time during the year or were nonrelief families with less than $\$ 250$ annual income. Another third received incomes ranging between $\$ 250$ and $\$ 500$. Of the remaining third of the families, the majority had incomes of less than $\$ 750$.

    Income of occupational groups.-Almost nine-tenths of Albany's Negro families engaged in wage-earner occupations; their median income of $\$ 309$ was the lowest for the five cities. Approximately 2 percent of the families were classified as clerical, 3 percent as inde-
    pendent business, and another 3 percent as professional or salaried business. The median income of $\$ 625$ for all business and professional families was slightly higher than that of the clerical families ( $\$ 607$ ). A median income of $\$ 167$ was obtained by the 2 percent of the families which were in the "other" group. ${ }^{2}$

    Income of family composition groups.-As in each of the cities other than Atlanta, three-fifths of Albany's Negro families were complete. The median income of the families containing both husband and wife was $\$ 425$, a figure much larger than the $\$ 189$ median income of the incomplete families. Over 35 percent of the complete group were husband-wife only families. Approximately 19 percent contained one or two additional persons (type IV) and 13 percent had one person under 16 years (type II). Albany's Negro families had an average size of only 3.7 persons per family. Negro relief families averaged 4.0 persons, while nonrelief families had an average size of 3.6 persons.

    Sources of family income.-All but 6 percent of the aggregate income of Negro families in Albany consisted of wages and salaries. Of the nonearned income, 4 percent was money income while only 2 percent was nonmoney income imputed to home ownership or from housing received without direct money expense. The contribution of principal earners to the aggregate income amounted to 75 percent. Supplementary earnings comprised 18 percent of the total, while earnings from family enterprise amounted to only 1 percent.

    Home tenure and income.-Only 17 percent of the complete families and 16 percent of the incomplete were home owners. At the income level of $\$ 1,500$ and over, however, as many as 72 percent of the families containing a husband and wife owned their homes. An average of $\$ 61$ in nonmoney income was imputed to home owners. These families received a median income of $\$ 714$, while the median of the corresponding nonrelief complete families which were renters was only $\$ 428$. The average monthly rent paid by the renting group was $\$ 6$. This amount, which was somewhat lower than the average rents in the other four Southeastern cities, represented 15 percent of all income.


    ## Chapter VIII

    ## Summary

    In the preceding chapters, we have considered the general distribution of families by income in the five Southeastern cities, in both races, in certain soci-economic occupational, groups and in specified family types. In this final chapter we shall assemble the various factors treated separately throughout the bulletin to give an integrated picture of families in the following income intervals: (1) under $\$ 1,000$; (2) $\$ 1,000-\$ 2,000$; (3) $\$ 2,000-\$ 3,000$; (4) $\$ 3,000$ and above. ${ }^{1}$

    Families with incomes of less than $\$ 1,000$.-Concentrated in the lowest of these four broad income groups were between 50 and 70 percent of all families in the five Southeastern cities. In four of the cities, Negro families predominated, comprising between three- and four-fifths of all families which received less than $\$ 1,000$ income for the year; in Gastonia, however, only two out of every five families in this income class were Negro. Although foreign-born families were relatively infrequent in the Southeastern population as a whole, the proportion at this income level was particularly small-less than 3 percent.

    At least seven-tenths of these families derived their chief earnings from wage-earner pursuits. Independent business families constituting 6 percent (Gastonia) to 11 percent (Atlanta) were next to the most frequent occupational group in this income class, while clerical occupations accounted for another 4 to 7 percent of all these families. Even when the analysis is restricted to the native white families, approximately half were in wage-earner occupations.

    In all of the cities except Gastonia, at least four-tenths of the families with incomes of less than $\$ 1,000$ lacked either a husband or wife or both; in Gastonia, almost three-tenths were incomplete. The large proportion of incomplete families at this income level is associated with its racial make-up, since incomplete families tended to be twice as frequent proportionately among the Negro as among the white group.

    There was considerable intercity difference in the proportions of these relatively low-income families which were obliged to obtain relief at some time during the year, ranging from only two out of ten in Gastonia to more than five out of ten in Albany. Families which


    received public assistance were larger on the average than the selfsupporting families. Thus the size of nonrelief white families containing both husband and wife ranged from 3.6 in Atlanta to 4.2 in Gastonia while the size of white relief families in these cities averaged between 4.6 and 4.9. This difference in size of relief and nonrelief families was observable also for the Negro families-which tended on the whole to be smaller than white families at this income level. Negro families receiving relief averaged from 4.0 to 4.5 persons while the nonrelief families had only 3.5 to 3.7 members per family.

    The remainder of our discussion of the group having incomes of less than $\$ 1,000$ will be confined to the nonrelief families which contained both husband and wife. Of the white families, between 2 and 9 percent lacked earners. No-earner families were at least twice as frequent in each city at this income level as in the native white population as a whole. Only about one out of every one hundred Negro families, however, was without an earner. Since between 80 and 90 percent of all the Negro families received less than $\$ 1,000$ income, the relative infrequency of no-earner families in this income class reflects the situation of the total Negro population.

    In four of the Southeastern cities about 14 percent of these white families contained supplementary earners; but in the mill town of Gastonia 32 percent of the families which were unable to attain higher than $\$ 1,000$ had called upon more than one family member as an earner.

    In chapter VI, the greater frequency of home ownership at the high income levels was discussed in detail. Thus it is not surprising to find that of white families in this income interval 23 percent in Mobile, 16 percent in Atlanta, and only 6 to 8 percent in the other cities owned their homes. Between 14 and 24 percent of the Negro families with incomes of less than $\$ 1,000$ reported home ownership.

    To recapitulate, the group of families having annual incomes under $\$ 1,000$ in these Southeastern cities is characterized by the large proportion of Negro families it contains, by the predominance of the wageearner group, by the frequency of incomplete families, and by the large proportion of families receiving relief at some time during the year. The Negro families at this income level comprised so large a proportion of all Negro families, that they did not differ markedly from families of all incomes. The white group with incomes of less than $\$ 1,000$ was characterized by the comparative frequency of families with no earners and by the relative infrequency of multiple-earner families. Home ownership was also less prevalent at this level.

    Families with incomes of $\$ 1,000$ to $\$ 2,000$.-When we turn to families with incomes between $\$ 1,000$ and $\$ 2,000$, a group which constituted from 16 to 30 percent of all families in these five cities, we find that less than one in six was a Negro family. Foreign-born families
    were more frequent in Atlanta, Mobile, and Columbia than at the lower income level, comprising as much as 7 percent of the family population of Mobile.

    Wage-earner families were still more frequent than families in any other occupational group but constituted less than half of all the families with incomes between $\$ 1,000$ and $\$ 2,000$, except in Gastonia, where the proportion was two-thirds. Clerical families were also important in this class; in four of the cities, between 26 and 32 percent of the families were engaged in clerical occupations, but in Gastonia only 17 percent of the families at this income level derived their chief earnings from clerical work.

    Of all the families obtaining incomes of $\$ 1,000$ to $\$ 2,000$ about a fifth or less lacked a married couple in Atlanta and in Mobile, and 12 percent in Gastonia. In Albany only 4 percent of the families were incomplete, but in Columbia the proportion reached the height of 25 percent. The average size of the nonrelief white families which contained both husband and wife differed only slightly from the size of families with incomes under $\$ 1,000$. The Negro families, on the other hand, which attained incomes of $\$ 1,000$ to $\$ 2,000$ were definitely larger than Negro families with lower incomes, the average size ranging between 3.9 and 4.4 persons per complete family in the five cities.

    Practically all of the nonrelief complete families at this income interval had earners and more than one-fifth of the white families contained supplementary earners-in fact, the proportion was as high as three-fifths in Gastonia. From almost three-fifths to more than four-fifths (in Gastonia) of the Negro families had at least two earners.

    Home ownership was much more frequent among these nonrelief complete families than it was at the income level of under $\$ 1,000$ but still only about one or two out of every six white families owned homes. Approximately three out of six of the Negro families having incomes between $\$ 1,000$ and $\$ 2,000$ were home owners.

    Families with incomes of $\$ 2,000$ to $\$ 3,000$.-Only 7 to 14 percent of the family population in these five Southeastern cities had incomes of $\$ 2,000$ to $\$ 3,000$. Of the families with such incomes, less than 6 percent were Negroes. In the three largest cities, between 3 and 5 percent were foreign-born families.

    This group differed from the groups with incomes of less than $\$ 2,000$ in that it consisted predominantly of families in white-collar occupations rather than in wage-earner jobs. Of every 100 families, between 30 and 40 were engaged in clerical occupations; another 22 to 29 derived their chief earnings from professional or from salaried business occupations. Independent business families were approximately as numerous at this income level as at the lower levels, comprising between 10 and 14 percent of the group, but wage-earner families made
    up only between 23 and 31 percent of all families with incomes between $\$ 2,000$ and $\$ 3,000$.

    In four of the cities, all of the Negro families were headed by a married couple; in Gastonia less than 2 percent lacked a husband or wife. Between 10 and 14 percent of the white families having incomes of $\$ 2,000$ to $\$ 3,000$ were incomplete.

    Confining the remainder of our analysis to the complete families which were self-supporting, we find that native white families in Atlanta, Gastonia, Columbia, and Albany averaged approximately the same size as in the income group between $\$ 1,000$ and $\$ 2,000$ ( 3.6 persons in Atlanta and 3.8 in the other two cities). In Mobile white families having incomes of $\$ 2,000$ to $\$ 3,000$ were definitely larger than in the lower income groups, and averaged 4.2 persons per family. In each of four cities the average size of the Negro families was larger than at the income levels previously discussed, ranging between 4.3 and 4.9. ${ }^{2}$

    All but an insignificantly small proportion (never as much as 2 percent) of the families with incomes between $\$ 2,000$ and $\$ 3,000 \mathrm{had}$ earners. Between one and two out of every four white families had more than one earner. Among the Negroes in four of the cities approximately four- or five-tenths were multiple-earner families. ${ }^{2}$

    Between one-third and one-half of the native white families owned their own homes. Home ownership was definitely more prevalent at this level than among families having incomes of less than $\$ 2,000$. Negroes were home owners more frequently than were the whites in this as in other income groups, between one-half and three-quarters of the Negro families reported home ownership.

    Families with incomes of $\$ 3,000$ and over.-Families receiving as much as $\$ 3,000$ in annual income were slightly less numerous, in all the cities except Columbia, than the families in the income interval just discussed; they comprised only 4.5 percent of Gastonia's family population but were almost three times as numerous proportionately in Columbia. Negro families were unimportant numerically at this income level-accounting for 1 percent or less of all families-while the foreign born constituted between 5 and 11 percent of the families of the three largest cities.

    In general, the largest occupational group among families with incomes of $\$ 3,000$ and over was that of salaried business, which accounted for 26 to 29 percent of all families. Clerical families in Atlanta, however, were slightly more numerous (31 percent) although they made up only between 19 and 24 percent of the families in the other four cities.

    From 14 to 27 percent of the highest income families were engaged in independent business. The professional occupations claimed 20 percent of the families in Columbia and Gastonia, 16 percent in Atlanta and Mobile but only 8 percent in Albany. In fact, in Albany, professional families with incomes of $\$ 3,000$ or over were less numerous than wage earners with corresponding incomes.

    Negro families which attained the highest income level contained, in all cases, both a husband and wife. Almost a fifth of the white families in this income group in Mobile and Columbia, were, however, incomplete; in many instances these represent families comfortably situated as the result of an inheritance.

    The white group averaged about four persons per complete family; Negro families tended to be larger, reaching the average size of 6.9 in Mobile.

    There were practically no complete families receiving incomes as large as $\$ 3,000$ without the aid of earners; of the white families in Mobile, Columbia, and Atlanta approximately one in one hundred lacked an earner; there were no no-earner white families in the other two cities. From 34 to 44 percent of the white families containing both husband and wife had supplementary earners. Two-thirds or more of the Negro husband-wife families at this level were multiple-earner families.

    Home ownership was most prevalent among families with incomes of $\$ 3,000$ and over. ${ }^{3}$ More than one-half of all the white complete families in each city and from 70 percent to 100 percent of the Negroes owned their own homes.

    The purpose of the present volume has been an analysis of how the native white and Negro families in five Southeastern cities obtain their incomes and how much they have available for current family living. In volume II, we shall examine the manner in which these incomes are spent.


    ## TABULAR SUMMARY

    Tables presented on the following pages show the distribution of families by income class, by family type, by occupational group, and by color and nativity groups in five Southeastern cities:

    > Large city Atlanta, Ga.

    Middle-sized cities Columbia, S. C. Mobile, Ala.

    Small cities Albany, Ga. Gastonia, N. C.

    Data on family income, earners, and housing are shown according to these major classifications. The tables are presented in three sections.

    Section $A$ tables show the estimated distribution of all families, according to income, color, nativity group, and occupational group in each of the cities.

    Section $B$ tables present data for native white and Negro "complete" families-those including both husband and wife. The collection of expenditure data, presented in volume II, was limited to families in these groups. The size of these samples from which families were selected to give expenditure data was, therefore, made substantially larger than those of other samples. The tables in this section accordingly are more complete and detailed than those in sections A and C. (For a distribution of the native white and Negro complete families according to the periods covered by the report year, see table 19.)

    Section $C$ tables present summarized data for native white and Negro incomplete families, and foreign-born white complete and incomplete families similar to those presented in more detail for the native white and Negro complete families in section B. The data in section $B$ and $C$ tables form the basis for the estimated frequency distribution of all families given in section $A$ tables.

    Averages.-Unless otherwise specified on the table, averages reported in the tables are based on all families scheduled at the given income level, regardless of whether each family reported data contributing to the particular average. In order to obtain an average only for families reporting data for a specified item, multiply the average for all families by the total number of families in the income class, and divide the resulting aggregate by the number of families in the income class reporting the specific item.

    A discussion of the sampling methods employed in securing the data recorded in these tables will be found in appendix $B$.

    ## SECTION A.-ALL FAMILIES

    ## Estimated Distribution According to Family Income, Color, Nativity Group, and Occupational Group, 1935-36

    The three tables in this section present estimated distributions of native white, Negro, and foreign-born white families in each of the five cities of the Southeast region, by income class, color, nativity group, and occupational group.

    Samples of varying size were secured for each of the color and nativity groups. The frequencies of families in these samples as reported in sections B and C of the Tabular Summary form the basis upon which the distributions shown in the following section A tables were estimated. In order to obtain these approximate total distributions, the following weights were applied to the individual samples:

    | Oity | Native white |  | Native Negro |  | Foreignborn white |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | Complete | Incomplete | Complete | Incomplete |  |
    | Atlanta, Ga | 2. 298331 | 39. 503846 | 2. 256016 | 45. 948598 | 42. 264150 |
    | Columbia, S. C | 1. 052174 | 17.096774 | 1. 057977 | 13. 149606 | 8. 080000 |
    | Mobile, Ala | 1. 179049 | 16.765520 | 1.182196 | 13. 032407 | 15. 750000 |
    | Albany, Ga | 1. 1153885 | 10.870967 | 1.122958 | 6. 402878 | (1) |
    | Gastonia, N. C. | 1. 041952 | 5. 200000 | 1. 056093 | 4.481013 | (1) |

    1 Families in this group excluded from sec. A tables due to their relative infrequency.
    It is not to be assumed that the data are accurate to the number of digits shown in these weights, but in order to arrive at the total estimated for each community these weights with six decimal places should be used. For a description of the method used in securing these weights, see appendix B on sampling procedures.
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    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | Atlanta | $\underset{\text { bia }}{\text { Colum. }}$ | Mobile | Albany | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gasto- } \\ & \text { nia } \end{aligned}$ |
    | 1. Color and Nativity Groups by Income: Estimated number of families of specified color and nativity, by income, 1935-36 | 137 | 138 | 140 | 141 | 143 |
    | 2. Occupational Groups by Income: Estimated number of families of specified occupational groups, by income, 193536 | 137 | 139 | 140 | 142 | 143 |
    | 3. Color and Nativity Groups by Occupation: Estimated number of families of specified color and nativity, by occupational group, 1935-36. | 138 | 139 | 141 | 142 | 144 |

    ATLLANTA, GA.
    Table 1.-Color and nativity groups by income: Estimated number of families of specified color and nativity, by income, 1985-36 ${ }^{1}$
    [Relief and nonrelief families]

    | Income class | All ${ }^{2}$ | Native white |  |  | Negro |  |  | Foreignborn white <br> (9) |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  |  | All | Complete | Incomplete | All | Complete | Incomplete |  |
    | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |  |
    | All families_-...-.-...-- | 67, 732 | 43, 190 | 32,919 | 10,271 | 22,302 | 12, 469 | 9,833 | 2,240 |
    | Relief families <br> Nonrelief families. | 13,519 | 5,870 | 4,408 | 1,462 | 7,607 | 4,115 | 3,492 | 42 |
    |  | 54,213 | 37, 320 | 28,511 | 8,809 | 14,695 | 8,354 | 6,341 | 2, 198 |
    | \$0-\$249 | 6,812 | 1,928 | 782 | 1,146 | 4,842 | 1,074 | 3,768 | 42 |
    | \$250-\$499 | 10, 326 | 3,299 | 1,877 | 1, 422 | 6,985 | 3,493 | 3, 492 | 42 |
    | \$500-\$749 | 9, 125 | 4,425 | 2,726 | 1,699 | 4,489 | 3,156 | 1, 333 | 211 |
    | \$750-\$999 | 6,941 | 3,996 | 2,850 | 1,146 | 2,818 | 2,222 | 596 | 127 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 5,827 | 4,251 | 3,066 | 1, 185 | 1,365 | 1, 043 | 322 | 211 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 4,405 | 3, 368 | 2,657 | 711 | 783 | 553 | 230 | 254 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 4,343 | 3, 632 | 3,039 | 593 | 415 | 323 | 92 | 296 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 3, 600 | 3, 304 | 2,988 | 316 | 169 | 169 |  | 127 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,249 | 3,272 | 2,949 | 2,514 | 435 | 154 | 154 |  | 169 |
    | \$2,250-\$2,499 | 2, 894 | 2, 672 | 2,158 | 514 | 95 | 95 |  | 127 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 3,424 | 3, 163 | 2,808 | 355 | 92 | 92 |  | 169 |
    | \$3,000-\$3,499 | 2,227 | 2, 057 | 1,820 | 237 | 43 | 43 |  | 127 |
    | \$3,500-\$3,999. | 1,649 | 1,553 | 1,356 | 197 | 11 | 11 | --------- | 85 |
    | \$4,000-\$4,999 | 1,498 | 1,388 | 1,191 | 197 | 26 | 26 |  | 84 |
    | \$5,000 and over | 1, 389 | 1,205 | 1,087 | 118 | 15 | 15 |  | 169 |

    ${ }^{1}$ A family is classified as native if both husband and wife are native born (or, in the case of an incomplete family, if the head is native born); otherwise, the family is classified as foreign born. A family is classified as a complete family if it includes both husband and wife, and as an incomplete family if it does not include both husband and wife. Single individuals are included in the incomplete families. See appendix $\mathbf{B}$ for further explanations.

    - This and subsequent tables exclude families of other color because of their relative infrequency.


    ## ATLANTA, GA.

    Table 2.-Occupational groups by income: Estimated number of families of specified occupational groups, by income, 1935-36
    [Relief and nonrelief families]

    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{4}{*}{Income class

    (1)} \& \multirow{3}{*}{All} \& \multirow{3}{*}{Wage earner} \& \multirow{3}{*}{Clerical} \& \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Business and professional} \& \multirow{3}{*}{Other ${ }^{1}$} <br>
    \hline \& \& \& \& \multirow{2}{*}{All} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Independent} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Salaried} \& <br>
    \hline \& \& \& \& \& Business \& Professional \& Business \& Professional \& <br>
    \hline \& (2) \& (3) \& (4) \& (5) \& (6) \& (7) \& (8) \& (9) \& (10) <br>
    \hline All families \& 67, 732 \& 34,946 \& 13,917 \& 15, 563 \& 7,556 \& 721 \& 3,985 \& 3,301 \& 3,306 <br>
    \hline \$0-\$249. \& 6,812 \& 3,936 \& 108 \& 942 \& 865 \& 2 \& 18 \& 57 \& 1,826 <br>
    \hline \$250-\$499 \& 10, 326 \& 8, 259 \& 353 \& 1,334 \& 1,053 \& 16 \& 20 \& 245 \& 380 <br>
    \hline \$500-\$749 \& 9, 125 \& 6,336 \& 741 \& 1,591 \& 1, 105 \& 16 \& 113 \& 357 \& 457 <br>
    \hline \$750-\$999 \& 6,941 \& 4, 632 \& 948 \& 1, 131 \& 763 \& 7 \& 128 \& 233 \& 230 <br>
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249 \& 5, 827 \& 3, 030 \& 1,582 \& 1, 107 \& 649 \& 30 \& 134 \& 294 \& 108 <br>
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499 \& 4,405 \& 2,117 \& 1,336 \& 865 \& 510 \& 14 \& 131 \& 210 \& 87 <br>
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749 \& 4,343 \& 2,028 \& 1,487 \& 787 \& 376 \& 34 \& 237 \& 140 \& 41 <br>
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 \& 3,600 \& 1,239 \& 1,336 \& 947 \& 381 \& 51 \& 249 \& 266 \& 78 <br>
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249 \& 3, 272 \& 1. 003 \& 1,318 \& 946 \& 351 \& 27 \& 261 \& 307 \& 5 <br>
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499 \& 2, 894 \& 825 \& 1,258 \& 802 \& 216 \& 58 \& 320 \& 208 \& 9 <br>
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 \& 3,424 \& 769 \& 1,364 \& 1, 275 \& 356 \& 96 \& 541 \& 282 \& 16 <br>
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,499 \& 2,227 \& 320 \& 939 \& 926 \& 203 \& 68 \& 450 \& 205 \& 42 <br>
    \hline \$3,500-\$3,999 \& 1,649 \& 266 \& 488 \& 886 \& 176 \& 60 \& 444 \& 206 \& 9 <br>
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,999 \& 1, 498 \& 156 \& 447 \& 893 \& 214 \& 80 \& 443 \& 156 \& 2 <br>
    \hline \$5,000 and over. \& 1,389 \& 30 \& 212 \& 1, 131 \& 338 \& 162 \& 496 \& 135 \& 16 <br>
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    ${ }^{1}$ This group contains families engaged in farming and families having no gainfully employed members.

    ## ATLANTA, GA.

    Table 3.-Color and nativity groups by occupation: Estimated number of families of specified color and nativity, by occupation 1935-36
    [Relief and nonrelief families]
    

    COLUMBIA, S. C.
    Table 1.-Color and nativity groups by income: Estimated number of families of specified color and nativity, by income, 1935-96 ${ }^{1}$
    [Relief and nonrelief families]
    

    COLUMBIA, S. C.
    Table 2.-Occupational groups by income: Estimated number of families of specified occupational groups, by income, 1935-36
    [Relief and nonrelief families]

    | Income class(1) | All | Wage earner | Clerical | Business and professional |  |  |  |  | Other ${ }^{1}$ |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  |  |  |  | All | Independent |  | Salaried |  |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  | Business | Professional | Business | Professional |  |
    |  | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |
    | All families | 10,850 | 5,969 | 1, 851 | 2,873 | 1,163 | 197 | 878 | 635 | 157 |
    | \$0-\$249 | 1,137 | 1,038 | 22 | 55 | 53 | - | 1 | 1 | 22 |
    | \$250-\$499. | 1,516 | 1,278 | 27 | 174 | 144 |  | 7 | 23 | 37 |
    | \$500-\$749 | 1, 679 | 1,361 | 68 | 242 | 188 | 1 | 3 | 50 | 8 |
    | \$750-\$999 | 944 | 678 | 133 | 110 | 45 | 3 | 12 | 50 | 23 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 749 | 429 | 126 | 187 | 116 | 1 | 24 | 46 | 7 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 604 | 270 | 186 | 143 | 68 | 7 | 22 | 46 | 5 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 736 | 285 | 207 | 241. | 112 | 20 | 65 | 44 | 3 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 673 | 177 | 245 | 250 | 68 | 25 | 82 | 75 | 1 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,249 | 413 | 90 | 197 | 124 | 37 | 7 | 60 | 20 | 2 |
    | \$2,250-\$2,499 | 407 | 104 | 115 | 187 | 50 | 13 | 76 | 48 | 1 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999. | 556 | 115 | 178 | 259 | 83 | 11 | 106 | 59 | 4 |
    | \$3,000-\$3,499 | 476 | 92 | 144 | 222 | 37 | 17 | 107 | 61 | 18 |
    | \$3,500-\$3,999 | 274 | 30 | 59 | 166 | 41 | 11 | 76 | 38 | 19 |
    | \$4,000-\$4,999. | 311 | 17 | 85 | 207 | 54 | 27 | 97 | 29 | 2 |
    | \$5,000 and over....... | 375 | 5 | 59 | 306 | 67 | 54 | 140 | 45 | 5 |

    ${ }^{1}$ This group contains families engaged in farming and families having no gainfully omployed members.
    COLUMBIA, S. C.
    Table 3.-Color and nativity groups by occupation: Estimated number of families of specified color and nativity, by occupational group, 1935-36
    [Relief and nourelief families]

    | Occupational group | All | Native white |  |  | Negro |  |  | Foreignborn white <br> (9) |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  |  | All | Complete | Incomplete | All | Complete | Incomplete |  |
    | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |  |
    | All families | 10,850 | 6,551 | 4,961 | 1,590 | 4,097 | 2, 427 | 1,670 | 202 |
    | Wage earner. | 5,969 | 2, 399 | 1,835 | 564 | 3,538 | 2,157 | 1,381 | 32 |
    | Clerical-.... | 1,851 | 1,756 | 1,380 | 376 | 71 | - 45 | , 26 | 24 |
    | Independent business | 1,163 | 846 | 487 | 359 | 252 | 107 | 145 | 65 |
    | Independent professional | 197 | 183 | 149 | 34 | 14 | 14 |  |  |
    | Salaried business.-.-.--- | 878 | 818 | 732 | 86 | 11 | 11 |  | 49 |
    | Salaried professional | 635 | 434 | 331 | 103 | 169 | 77 | 92 | 32 |
    | Other------ | 157 | 115 | 47 | 68 | 42 | 16 | 26 | --- |

    MOBILE, ALA.
    Table 1.-Color and nativity groups by income: Estimated number of families of specified color and nativity, by income, 1935-36 ${ }^{1}$
    [Relief and nonrelief families]

    | Income class | All ${ }^{2}$ | Native white |  |  | Negro |  |  | Foreignborn white <br> (9) |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  |  | All <br> (3) | Complete <br> (4) | Incomplete <br> (5) | All <br> (6) | Complete <br> (7) | Incomplete <br> (8) |  |
    | All families........-.-.-.....--- | 16,271 | 8,779 | 6,348 | 2,431 | 6,799 | 3,984 | 2,815 | 693 |
    | Relief families. Nonrelief families | 2, 524 | 902 | 600 | 302 | 1,574 | 923 | 651 | 48 |
    |  | 13,747 | 7,877 | 5,748 | 2,129 | 5,225 | 3,061 | 2,164 | 645 |
    | \$0-\$249. | 2,149 | 481 | 129 | 352 | 1,621 | 487 | 1,134 | 47 |
    | \$250-\$499. | 4,036 | 1,004 | 484 | 520 | 2,969 | 1,627 | 1,342 | 63 |
    | \$500-\$749 | 2,162 | , 908 | 590 | 318 | 1,175 | 992 | 183 | 79 |
    | \$750-\$999 | 1,626 | 1,023 | 839 | 184 | 540 | 462 | 78 | 63 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1,208 | 915 | 731 | 184 | 246 | 207 | 39 | 47 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499. | 921 | 759 | 591 | 168 | 83 | 70 | 13 | 79 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 889 | 725 | 574 | 151 | 54 | 41 | 13 | 110 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 764 | 710 | 542 | 168 | 38 | 25 | 13 | 16 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,249 | 554 | 507 | 373 | 134 | 31 | 31 | .-.... | 16 |
    | \$2,250-\$2,499 | 423 | 365 | 331 | 34 | 27 | 27 |  | 31 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 492 | 453 | 419 | 34 | 7 | 7 | ------- | 32 |
    | \$3,000-\$3,499. | 353 | 332 | 265 | 67 | 5 | 5 |  | 16 |
    | \$3,500-\$3,989 | 190 | 158 | 141 | 17 | 1 | 1 |  | 31 |
    | \$4,000-\$4,993 | 233 | 215 | 165 | 50 | 2 | 2 |  | 16 |
    | \$5,000 and over | 271 | 224 | 174 | 50 |  |  |  | 47 |

    ${ }^{1}$ A family is classified as native if both husband and wife are native born (or, in the case of an incomplete family, if the head is native born); otherwise, the family is classified as foreign born. A family is classified as a complete family if it includes both husband and wife, and as an incomplete family if it does not include both husband and wife. Single individuals are included in the incomplete families. See appendix $B$ for further definitions.

    2 This and subsequent tables exclude families of other color because of their relative infrequency.

    ## MOBILE, ALA.

    Table 2.-Occupational groups by income: Estimated number of families of specified occupational groups, by income, 1935-36
    [Relief and nonrelief families]

    | Income class | All | Wage earner | Clerical | Business and professional |  |  |  |  | Other ${ }^{1}$ |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  |  |  |  | Independent |  |  | Salaried |  |  |
    |  |  |  |  | All | Business | Professional | Business | Professional |  |
    | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |
    | All families | 16, 271 | 9,645 | 2, 389 | 3,400 | 1,895 | 139 | 854 | 512 | 837 |
    | \$0-\$249 | 2, 149 | 1,605 | 73 | 200 | 196 |  |  | 4 | 271 |
    | \$250-\$499 | 4,036 | 3, 337 | 75 | 361 | 316 | 19 | 2 | 24 | 263 |
    | \$500-\$749 | 2, 162 | 1,489 | 201 | 336 | 272 | 1 | 9 | 54 | 136 |
    | \$750-\$999 | 1, 626 | 1,099 | 222 | 259 | 181 | 3 | 19 | 56 | 46 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1, 208 | 619 | 296 | 228 | 144 | 5 | 48 | 31 | 65 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 921 | 374 | 229 | 299 | 194 | 6 | 49 | 50 | 19 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 889 | 340 | 309 | 236 | 125 | 1 | 53 | 57 | 4 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 764 | 223 | 292 | 248 | 97 | 22 | 79 | 50 | 1 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,249 | 554 | 224 | 179 | 148 | 32 | 8 | 90 | 18 | 3 |
    | \$2,250-\$2,499 | 423 | 95 | 156 | 168 | 52 | 3 | 76 | 37 | 4 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999. | 492 | 116 | 137 | 239 | 76 | 8 | 124 | 31 |  |
    | \$3,000-\$3,499. | 353 | 51 | 131 | 169 | 59 | 5 | 71 | 34 | 2 |
    | \$3,500-\$3,999. | 190 | 35 | 29 | 125 | 22 | 9 | 67 | 27 | 1 |
    | \$4,000-\$4,999. | 233 | 18 | 33 | 163 | 55 | 12 | 84 | 12 | 19 |
    | \$5,000 and over... | 271 | 20 | 27 | 221 | 74 | 37 | 83 | 27 | 3 |

    MOBILE, ALA.
    Table 3.-Color and nativity groups by oceupation: Estimated number of families of specified color and nativity, by occupational group, 1935-36
    [Relief and nonrelief families]

    | Occupational group | All | Native white |  |  | Negro |  |  | Foreignborn white <br> (9) |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  |  | All | Complete | Incomplete | All | Complete | Incomplete |  |
    | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |  |
    | All families-.-----------.... | 16, 271 | 8,779 | 6,348 | 2,431 | 6,799 | 3,984 | 2,815 | 693 |
    | Wage earner | $\mathbf{9 , 6 4 5}$2,389 | 3, 539 | 2,936 | 603 | 5,901 | 3, 568 | 2,333 | 205 |
    |  |  | 2, 165 | 1,612 | 553 | 145 | 93 | 52 | 79 |
    | Independent business.. | 1,895 | 1,168 | 715 | 453 | 443 | 195 | 248 | 284 |
    | Independent professional | 139 | 100 | 83 | 17 | 8 | 8 |  | 31 |
    | Salaried business........... | 854512837 | 777 | 676 | 101 | 14 | 1 | 13 | 63 |
    | Salaried business.--..... |  | 383 | ${ }_{1} 115$ | 168 | 98 | 72 | 126 | 31 |
    | Other. |  | 647 | 111 | 536 | 190 | 47 | 143 |  |

    ## ALBANY, GA.

    Table 1.-Color and nativity groups by income: Estimated number of families of specified color and nativity, by income, 1935-36 ${ }^{1}$
    [Relief and nonrelief families]

    | Income class | All 2 | Native white |  |  | Negro |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | (2) | All <br> (3) | Complete <br> (4) | Incom. plete <br> (5) | All <br> (6) | Complete <br> (7) | Incomplete <br> (8) |
    | All families | 3,722 | 1,526 | 1,189 | 337 | 2, 196 | 1,306 | 890 |
    | Relief families Nonrelief families. | $\begin{array}{r} 586 \\ 3,136 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 188 \\ 1,338 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 133 \\ 1,056 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}55 \\ 282 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 398 \\ 1,798 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 232 \\ 1,074 \end{array}$ | 166 724 |
    | \$0-\$249 | 937 | 68 | 25 |  |  |  |  |
    | \$250-\$499 | 889 | 108 | 76 | 32 | 781 | 531 | 250 |
    | \$500-\$749 | 521 | 206 | 108 | 98 | 315 | 296 | 19 |
    | \$750-\$999 | 244 | 133 | 111 | 22 | 111 | 92 | 19 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249. | 186 | 139 | 107 | 32 | 47 | 41 | 6 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499. | 141 | 116 | 94 | 22 | 25 | 21 | 4 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 144 | 122 | 116 | 6 | 22 | 19 | 3 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 121 | 115 | 110 | 5 | 6 | 6 |  |
    | \$2,000-\$2,249 | 111 | 104 | 94 | 10 | 7 | 7 |  |
    | \$2,250-\$2,499 | 75 | 71 | 59 | 12 | 4 | 4 |  |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 129 | 122 | 100 | 22 | 7 | 7 |  |
    | \$3,000-\$3,499 | 75 | 74 | 67 | 7 | 1 | 1 | ---1.- |
    | \$3,500-\$3,999. | 50 | 50 | 46 | 4 |  |  |  |
    | \$4,000-\$4,999.... | 56 | 56 | 34 | 22 |  |  |  |
    | \$5,000 and over. | 43 | 42 | 42 |  | 1 | 1 |  |

    ALBANY, GA.
    Table 2.-Occupational groups by income: Estimated number of families of specified occupational groups, by income, 1935-36
    [Relief and nonrelief families.

    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Income class} \& \multirow[b]{3}{*}{All

    (2)} \& \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Wage earner <br>
    (3)

    } \& \multirow[b]{3}{*}{

    Clerical <br>
    (4)
    \end{tabular}} \& \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Business and professional} \& \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Other ${ }^{1}$

    (9)} <br>
    \hline \& \& \& \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{All

    (5)} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{| Independent |
    | :--- |
    | Business and professional |
    | (6) |} \& \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Salaried} \& <br>

    \hline \& \& \& \& \& \& | Business |
    | :--- |
    | (7) | \& | Professional |
    | :--- |
    | (8) | \& <br>

    \hline All families. \& 3,722 \& 2,551 \& 412 \& 623 \& 377 \& 153 \& 93 \& 136 <br>
    \hline \$0-\$249. \& 937 \& 850 \& 12 \& 31 \& 28 \& \& 3 \& 44 <br>
    \hline \$250-\$499 \& 889 \& 772 \& 17 \& 66 \& 50 \& \& 16 \& 34 <br>
    \hline \$500-\$749 \& 521 \& 402 \& 44 \& 53 \& 40 \& 1 \& 12 \& 22 <br>
    \hline \$750-\$999 \& 244 \& 163 \& 24 \& 56 \& 39 \& 5 \& 12 \& 1 <br>
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249. \& 186 \& 77 \& 43 \& 58 \& 46 \& 1 \& 11 \& 8 <br>
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499. \& 141 \& 52 \& 34 \& 48 \& 32 \& 4 \& 12 \& 7 <br>
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749 \& 144 \& 61 \& 42 \& 40 \& 20 \& 13 \& 7 \& 1 <br>
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999. \& 121 \& 51 \& 37 \& 31 \& 14 \& 12 \& 5 \& 2 <br>
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249 \& 111 \& 30 \& 31 \& 49 \& 17 \& 26 \& 6 \& 1 <br>
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499. \& 75 \& 22 \& 29 \& 23 \& 9 \& 12 \& 2 \& 1 <br>
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999. \& 129 \& 31 \& 50 \& 46 \& 22 \& 21 \& 3 \& 2 <br>
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,499. \& 75 \& 10 \& 30 \& 35 \& 11 \& 23 \& 1 \& <br>
    \hline \$3,500-\$3,999. \& 50 \& 24 \& 7 \& 18 \& 11 \& 6 \& 1 \& 1 <br>
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,999 \& 56 \& 6 \& 7 \& 32 \& 18 \& 13 \& 1 \& 11 <br>
    \hline \$5,000 and over. \& 43 \& \& 5 \& 37 \& 20 \& 16 \& 1 \& 1 <br>
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    ${ }_{1}$ This group contains families engaged in farming and families having no gainfully employed members.

    ## ALBANY, GA.

    Table 3.-Color and nativity groups by occupation: Estimated number of families of specified color and nativity, by occupational group, 1935-36
    [Relief and nonrelief families]

    | Occupational group | All | Native white |  |  | Negro |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  |  | All <br> (3) | Complete <br> (4) | Incomplete <br> (5) | (6) | Complete <br> (7) | Incomplete <br> (8) |
    | All families | 3,722 | 1,526 | 1,189 | 337 | 2,196 | 1,306 | 890 |
    | Wage earner | 2,551 | 586 | 477 | 109 | 1,965 | 1,158 | 807 |
    | Olerical. | 412 | 366 | 312 | 54 | 46 | 33 | 13 |
    | Independent business. | 340 | 268 | 181 | 87 | 72 | 53 | 19 |
    | Independent professional | 37 | 30 | 19 | 11 | 7 | 7 |  |
    | Salaried business...- | 153 | 151 | 140 | 11 | 2 | 2 |  |
    | Salaried professional. | 93 | 45 | 34 | 11 | 48 | 35 | 13 |
    | Other-----------.-- | 136 | 80 | 26 | 54 | 56 | 18 | 38 |

    GASTONIA, N. C.
    Table 1.-Color and nativity groups by income: Estimated number of families of specified color and nativity, by income, 1935-96 ${ }^{1}$
    [Relief and nonrelief families]

    | Income class | All ${ }^{1}$ | Native white |  |  | Negro |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  |  | All <br> (3) | Complete <br> (4) | Incomplete <br> (5) | All <br> (6) | Complete <br> (7) | Incomplete <br> (8) |
    | All families | 3,750 | 2,850 | 2,434 | 416 | 900 | 546 | 354 |
    | Relief families. | 502 | 346 | 268 | 78 | 156 | 84 | 72 |
    | Nonrelief families. | 3,248 | 2, 504 | 2,166 | 338 | 744 | 462 | 282 |
    | \$0-\$249 | 334 | 94 | 47 | 47 | 240 | 60 | 180 |
    | \$250-\$499 | 657 | 337 | 249 | 88 | 320 | 217 | 103 |
    | \$500-\$749 | 747 | 510 | 432 | 78 | 237 | 183 | 54 |
    | \$750-\$999 | 464 | 408 | 361 | 47 | 56 | 43 | 13 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249. | 464 | 438 | 371 | 67 | 26 | 26 |  |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499. | 295 | 289 | 247 | 42 | 6 | 6 |  |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 193 | 181 | 176 | 5 | 12 | 8 | 4 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999. | 167 | 166 | 150 | 16 | 1 | 1 |  |
    | \$2,000-\$2,249 | 117 | 115 | 105 | 10 | 2 | 2 | -------- |
    | \$2,250-\$2,499. | 68 | 68 | 59 | 9 |  |  |  |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999. | 78 | 78 | 71 | 7 |  |  |  |
    | \$3,000-\$3,499 | 53 | 53 | 53 |  |  |  |  |
    | \$3,500-\$3,999 | 31 | 31 | 31 |  |  |  |  |
    | \$4,000-\$4,999 | 34 | 34 | 34 |  |  |  |  |
    | \$5,000 and over.. | 48 | 48 | 48 | -------- |  |  |  |

    ${ }^{1}$ A family is classified as native if both husband and wife are native born (or, in the case of an incomplete family, if the head is native born); otherwise, the family is classified as foreign born. A family is classified as a complete family if it includes both husband and wife, and as an incomplete family if it does not include both husband and wife. Single individuals are included in the incomplete families. See appendix B for further explanations.
    ${ }^{2}$ This and subsequent tables exclude foreign-born white families and families of other color because of their relative infrequency.

    GASTONIA, N. C.
    Table 2.-Occupational groups by income: Estimated number of families of specified occupational groups, by income, 1935-36
    [Relief and nonrelief families]

    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Income class

    (1)} \& \multirow{3}{*}{All} \& \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Wage earner} \& \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Clerical} \& \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Business and professional} \& \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Other ${ }^{1}$

    (9)} <br>

    \hline \& \& \& \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{| All |
    | :--- |
    | (5) |} \& Independent \& \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Salaried} \& <br>


    \hline \& \& \& \& \& | Business and professional |
    | :--- |
    | (6) | \& | Business |
    | :--- |
    | (7) | \& | Professional |
    | :--- |
    | (8) | \& <br>

    \hline All families.... \& 3,750 \& 2, 751 \& 407 \& 544 \& 339 \& 122 \& 83 \& 48 <br>
    \hline \$0-\$249 \& 334 \& 305 \& \& 13 \& 11 \& -------- \& 2 \& 16 <br>
    \hline \$250-\$499 \& 657 \& 570 \& 19 \& 58 \& 55 \& -- \& 3 \& 10 <br>
    \hline \$500-\$749 \& 747 \& 653 \& 35 \& 48 \& 33 \& 3 \& 12 \& 10 <br>
    \hline \$750-\$999 \& 464 \& 364 \& 52 \& 38 \& 27 \& 3 \& 8 \& 10 <br>
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249 \& 464 \& 355 \& 61 \& 47 \& 39 \& 4 \& 4 \& 1 <br>
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499 \& 295 \& 202 \& 50 \& 43 \& 24 \& 1 \& 18 \& ---.-.-.-- <br>
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749 \& 193 \& 116 \& 40 \& 37 \& 22 \& 9 \& 6 \& <br>
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999. \& 167 \& 92 \& 40 \& 34 \& 17 \& 11 \& 6 \& 1 <br>
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249. \& 117 \& 42 \& 38 \& 37 \& 14 \& 16 \& 7 \& ---.--- <br>
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499. \& 68 \& 22 \& 18 \& 28 \& 13 \& 14 \& 1 \& --------- <br>
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 \& 78 \& 19 \& 21 \& 38 \& 18 \& 16 \& 4 \& -------.. <br>
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,499. \& 53 \& 6 \& 15 \& 32 \& 18 \& 10 \& 4 \& <br>
    \hline \$3,500-\$3,999 \& 31 \& 3 \& 10 \& 18 \& 8 \& 8 \& 2 \& ....---... <br>
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,999. \& 34 \& 2 \& 1 \& 31 \& 17 \& 9 \& 5 \& <br>
    \hline \$5,000 and over.... \& 48 \& \& 6 \& 42 \& 23 \& 18 \& 1 \& ------ <br>
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    1 This group contains families engaged in farming and families having no gainfully employed members,

    GASTONIA，N．C．
    Table 3．－Color and nativity groups by occupation：Estimated number of families of specified color and nativity，by occupational group，1935－56
    ［Relief and nonrelief families］

    | Occupational group | All | Native white |  |  | Negro |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  |  | All | Complete | Incom－ plete | All | Complete | Incom－ plete |
    | （1） | （2） | （3） | （4） | （5） | （6） | （7） | （8） |
    | All families | 3，750 | 2，850 | 2，434 | 416 | 900 | 546 | 354 |
    | Wage earner | 2， 751 | 1，930 | 1，660 | 270 | 821 | 497 | 324 |
    | Clerical－．－－－ | 407 | 403 | 340 | 63 | 4 | 4 |  |
    | Independent business | 295 | 272 | 215 | 57 | 23 | － 23 |  |
    | Independent professional | 44 | 37 | 37 |  | 7 | 3 | 4 |
    | Salaried business．．．－ | 122 | 122 | 117 | 5 |  |  |  |
    | Salaried professional | 83 | 58 | 47 | 11 | 20 | 12 | 13 |
    | Other．．．．－－－－－－－－－－－ | 48 | 28 | 18 | 10 | 20 | 7 | 13 |

    ## SECTION B．－NATIVE WHITE AND NEGRO FAMILIES INCLUDING BOTH HUSBAND AND WIFE

    Sources of Income，Number and Earnings of Principal and Supple－ mentary Earners，Rent or Rental Value，and Size of Family， According to Family Income，Occupational Group，and Family Type，1935－36

    Tables in this section present data for native white and Negro ＂complete＂families（those including husband and wife，both native born）．The figures are based on random samples in each of the five cities．
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    | Table | Page |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | Atlanta |  | Columbia |  | Mobile |  | Albany |  | Gastonia |  |
    |  |  | 앙 ¢ ¢ 2 | $\pm$ $\pm$ \＃ |  | \＄ | 연 <br> Oin <br> 8 | 恚 | 魚 | 㝵 |  |
    | 1．Family Type：Number of families of specified types and average number of persons per fam－ ily，by income，1935－36 $\qquad$ | 147 | 195 | 243 | 270 | 296 | 322 | 348 | 374 | 400 | 426 |
    | 1A．Family Type：Number of families of specified types and average number of persons per family，by occupation and income，1935－36． | 148 | 196 | 244 | 271 | 297 | 323 | 349 | 375 | 401 | 427 |
    | 2．Sourices of Family Income：Number of fami－ lies receiving income from specified sources， and average amount of such income，by in－ come，1935－36． | 152 | 200 | 248 | 274 | 300 | 326 | 352 | 378 | 404 | 430 |
    | 2A．SoUrces of Family Income：Number of fam－ ilies receiving income from specified sources and average amount of such income，by occupation and income，1935－36． | 154 | 202 | 250 | 276 | 302 | 328 | 354 | 378 380 | 404 | 432 |
    | 2B．SOURCES OF FAMILY INCOME：Number of fam－ ilies receiving income from specified sources and average amount of such income，by family type and income，1935－36 | 156 | 204 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 406 | 432 |
    | 3．Money Earnings：Number of families receiv－ ing net money earnings and average net money earnings received from each source，by income，1935－36． | 160 | 208 | 252 | 278 | 304 | 330 | 356 | 382 | 408 | 434 |

    3A．Money Earnings：Number of families re－ ceiving net money earnings and average net money earnings received from each source，by occupation and income，1935－36．
    3B．Money Earnings：Number of families receiving net money earnings and average net money earnings received from each source，by family type and income，1935－36．
    4．Principal Earners：Number and average yearly earnings of principal earners，classified as husbands，wives，and others，with weeks of employment of principal earners，by in－ come，1935－36．
    4a．Principal Earners：Number and average yearly earnings of prificipal earners，classi－ fied as husbands，wives，and others，with weeks of employment of principal earners， by occupation and income，1935－36
    4B．Principal Earners：Number and average yearly earnings of principal earners，classi－ fied as husbands，wives，and others，with weeks of employment of principal earners， by family type and income，1935－36．
    5．Number of Earners in Family：Number of families with specified number of individual earners，family relationship of sole earners， and average number of supplementary earners per family，by income，1935－36
    6．SOLE AND SUPPLEMENTARY EARNERS：Nū－ ber of families with individual earners；num－ ber and average earnings of supplementary earners classified as husbands，wives，and others；and average earnings of family from supplementary earners；by income，1935－36
    6A．Sole and SUpplementary Earners：Num－ ber of families with individual earners； number and average earnings of supple－ mentary earners classified as husbands． wives，and others；and average earnings of family from supplementary earners；by occupation and income，1935－36．
    6B．Solfand Supplementary Earners：Num－ ber of families with individual earners； number and average earnings of supple－ mentary earners classified as husbands， wives，and others；and average earnings of family from supplementary earners；by family type and income，1935－36．
    7．Earnings of Supplementary Earners： Number of supplementary earners with earn－ ings of specified amount，by family income， 1935－36
    8．Husbands as Earners：Number and average yearly earnings of husbands classified as principal or supplementary earners，by age and family income，1935－36
    9．Wrves as Earners：Number and average yearly earnings of wives classified as prin－ cipal or supplementary earners，by age and family income，1935－36
    10．Monex Income Other Than Earnings： Number of families receiving money income other than earnings，and average amount received，by source and total income，1935－36
    11．Nonmoney Income From Owned Homes： Number of families owning homes with and without mortgages，average rental value， average expense，and average nonmoney income from home ownership，by income， 1935－36
    12．MONTHL $\mathbf{R}$ RNTal Value：Number of home－ owning families having homes with specifled monthly rental value，by income，1935－36．．．
    13．Monthly Rent：Number of renting families reporting specified monthly rent，by in－ come，1035－36
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    | 梏 | 8 50 8 8 | 嵒 |  | \＄ |  | \＄ | 枵 | 总 | 8 <br> $\substack{0 \\ 8 \\ 7 \\ \hline}$ |
    | 161 | 209 | 253 | 279 | 305 | 331 | 357 | 383 | 409 | 435 |
    | 162 | 210 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | 164 | 212 | 254 | 280 | 306 | 332 | 358 | 384 | 410 | 436 |
    | 165 | 213 | 254 | 280 | 306 | 332 | 358 | 384 | 410 | 436 |
    | 168 | 216 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | 173 | 221 | 255 | 281 | 307 | 333 | 359 | 385 | 411 | 437 |
    | 174 | 222 | 256 | 282 | 308 | 334 | 360 | 386 | 412 | 438 |
    | 175 | 223 | 257 | 283 | 309 | 335 | 361 | 387 | 413 | 439 |
    | 177 | 225 | －－－－ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | 180 | 228 | 258 | 284 | 310 | 336 | 362 | 388 | 414 | 440 |
    | 181 | 229 | 259 | 285 | 311 | 337 | 363 | 389 | 415 | 441 |
    | 182 | 230 | 260 | 286 | 312 | 338 | 364 | 390 | 416 | 442 |
    | 183 | 231 | 261 | 287 | 313 | 339 | 365 | 391 | 417 | 44 |
    | 184 | 232 | 262 | 288 | 314 | 340 | 366 | 392 | 418 | 44 |
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    |  | 思 |  | 最 | 号 | ¢ A E |  | 黑 | 8 8 \％ 7 | 告 |  |
    | 14A．Average Montely Rental Value and Average Monthly Rent：Number of home－owning and renting families，aver－ age monthly rental value，and average monthly rent，by occupation and in－ come，1935－36． | 187 | 235 | 265 | 291 | 317 | 343 | 369 | 395 | 421 | 447 |
    | 14B．Average Monthiy Rental Value and Average Monthly Rent：Number of home－owning and renting families，a verage monthly rental value，and average month－ ly rent，by family type and income，1935－ 36 | 188 | 236 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | 15．Type of Living Quarters：Number and percentage of home－owning families occupy－ ing specified types of living quarters，by income，1935－36 | 190 | 238 | 266 | 292 | 318 | 344 | 370 | 396 | 422 | 448 |
    | 16．Type of Living Quarfers：Number and percentage of renting families occupying specified types of living quarters，by income， 1935－36． $\qquad$ | 191 | 239 | 266 | 292 | 319 | 344 | 370 | 396 | 422 | 448 |
    | 17．Members of Household Not in Economic Family：Number of families having persons in the household who were not members of the economic family，and average number of such nonfamily members，by income， 1935－36 | 192 | 240 | 267 | 293 | 319 | 345 | 371 | 397 | 423 | 449 |
    | 18．Age of HuSbands and Wives：Number of husbands and number of wives，by age and family income，1935－36 | 193 | 241 | 268 | 294 | 320 | 346 | 372 | 398 | 424 | 450 |
    | 19．Report Year：Number and percentage dis－ tribution of families by date of end of report year，by occupation，1935－36 | 194 | 242 | 269 | 295 | 321 | 347 | 373 | 399 | 425 | 451 |

    ## ATLANTA, GA.

    Table 1.-Family type: Number of families of specified types and average number of persons per family, by income, 1985-\$6
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups combined]
    

    1 Family type:
    I. 2 persons. Husband and wife only.
    II. 3 persons. Husband, wife, 1 child under 16 , and no others.
    III. 4 persons. Husband, wife, 2 children under 16, and no others.
    IV. 3 or 4 persons. Husband, wife, 1 person 16 or over, and 1 or no other person regardless of age.
    V. 5 or 6 persons. Husband, wife, 1 child under 16, 1 person 16 or over, and 1 or 2 other persons regardless of age.
    VI. 5 or 6 persons. Husband, wife, 3 or 4 children under 16, and no others.
    VII. 7 or 8 persons. Husband, wife, 1 child under 16, 4 or 5 other persons regardless of age.
    VIII. 5 or 6 persons. Husband, wife, 3 or 4 persons 16 or over.

    Other. 7 or more persons. All types not included in I through VIII.
    2 These are year-equivalent persons. The sum of columns (13) and (14) plus 2 (husband and wife) does not always equal column (12). For the methods used in deriving these averages, see glossary.
    ${ }^{3} 1$ family which reported a net loss is excluded from this and subsequent tables. This family had gross business expense and losses exceeding its gross earnings and other income.
    ${ }^{1}$ Largest income reported between $\$ 30,000$ and $\$ 35,000$.

    ## ATLANTA, GA.

    Table 1A.-Family type: Number of families of specified types and average number of persons per family, by occupation and income, 1935-36
    [White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]

    | Income class and occupational group | Number of families of type ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Average number of persons per family ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | All | I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII | VIII | Other | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { mem- } \\ & \text { bers } \end{aligned}$ | Other than husband and wife |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Un- } \\ & \text { der } \\ & 16 \end{aligned}$ | 16 and <br> over |
    |  | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) |
    | Wage earner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | ilies. | 4, 583 | 1,008 | 763 | 538 | 922 | 546 | 385 | 250 | 90 | 81 | 3.9 | 1.2 | 0.7 |
    | \$0-\$249 | 20 | ${ }^{6}$ | ${ }^{6}$ | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  | 3.1 | . 9 | . 2 |
    | \$250- \$499-. | 133 | 40 | $\stackrel{27}{ }$ | 17 | 20 | 9 | 13 | ${ }^{6}$ |  |  | 3.5 | 1.1 | . 4 |
    | \$500-\$749 | 439 | 99 | 76 | 65 | 65 | 42 | 64 | 25 | 1 | 2 | 3.8 | 1.5 | . 3 |
    | \$750-\$999 | 578 | 125 | 100 | 92 | 86 | 59 | 70 | 33 | 7 | 6 | 3.9 | 1.4 | . 5 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 619 | 159 | 125 | 80 | 84 | 48 | 78 | 29 | 7 | 9 | 3.7 | 1.3 | . 4 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 559 | 102 | 116 | 80 | 96 | 60 | 49 | 38 | 3 | 15 | 4.0 | 1.4 | . 6 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749. | 570 | 125 | 98 | 71 | 123 | 68 | 44 | 29 | 5 | 7 | 3.8 | 1.2 | . 6 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999. | 453 | 99 | 85 | 49 | 101 | 54 | 22 | 23 | 9 | 11 | 3.8 | 1.1 | . 7 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,249. | 391 | 85 | 65 | 42 | 89 | 51 | 23 | 15 | 12 | 9 | 3.8 | 1.0 | . 8 |
    | \$2,250-\$2,499 | 254 | 63 | 28 | 17 | 69 | 35 | 11 | 15 | 8 | 8 | 3.9 | 1.0 | . 9 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 271 | 62 | 15 | 16 | 89 | 53 | 7 | 17 | 10 | 2 | 3.9 | . 8 | 1.1 |
    | \$3,000-\$3,499 | 136 | 27 | 13 | 5 | 38 | 27 | 2 | 7 | 13 | 4 | 4.2 | . 7 | 1.5 |
    | \$3,500-83,999 | 82 | 8 | 7 | 1 | 34 | 17 |  | 4 | 8 | 3 | 4.4 | . 7 | 1.7 |
    | \$4,000-\$4,499 | 48 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 18 | 13 |  | 5 | 3 | 1 | 4.5 | . 9 | 1.6 |
    | \$4,500-\$4,999 | 17 | 1 |  |  | 7 | 6 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5.0 | . 7 | 2.3 |
    | \$5,000-\$7,499 | 13 | 2 |  |  |  | 3 |  | 3 | 3 | 2 | 6.0 | . 9 | 3.1 |
    | \$7,500-\$9,999 .......- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$10,000 and over--.-- |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | Clerical |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | All nonrelief families. | 3,968 | 1,051 | 782 | 421 | 898 | 364 | 181 | 123 | 122 | 26 | 3.5 | . 9 | . 6 |
    |  | 13 | ${ }_{15}^{3}$ | ${ }_{1}^{2}$ | 1 | ${ }_{7}^{2}$ | ${ }_{2}^{2}$ | 1 | 2 | 1 |  | 3.9 | 1.2 | . 7 |
    | \$500-\$749--.-...........- | ${ }_{96}$ | 28 | 20 | 4 | 20 | 10 | 11 | 3 |  |  | 3.4 | 1.1 | . 4 |
    | \$750-\$999 | 215 | 67 | 46 | 20 | 42 | 19 | 10 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 3.4 | . 9 | . 5 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 338 | 100 | 88 | 43 | 50 | 29 | 14 | 9 | 4 |  | 3.4 | 1.0 | . 4 |
    | \$1,250-81,499 . ......- | 310 | 81 | 72 | 34 | 63 | 21 | 22 | 12 | 4 | 1 | 3.5 | 1.1 | . 4 |
    | \$1,500-\$1749 . .....-- | 429 | 116 | 117 | 48 | 76 | 26 | 22 | 11 | 11 | 2 | 3.4 | . 9 | . 5 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999.....----- | 494 | 144 | 106 | 63 | 97 | 40 | 25 | 9 | 8 | 2 | 3.4 | . 9 | . 5 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,249 - --.....- | 447 | 114 | 91 | 51 | 105 | 43 | 24 | 11 | 6 | 2 | 3.5 | . 9 | . 6 |
    | \$2,250-\$2,499 | 387 | 121 | 79 | 49 | 70 | 37 | 16 | 7 | 8 |  | 3.3 | . 8 | . 5 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999-........- | 490 | 111 | 87 | 53 | 131 | 44 | 17 | 16 | 27 | 4 | 3.6 | . 8 | . 8 |
    | \$3,000-\$3,499. | 312 | 81 | 38 | 30 | 94 | 35 | 11 | 13 | 8 | 2 | 3.6 | . 8 | . 8 |
    | \$3,500-\$3,999 | 174 | 30 | 16 | 12 | 57 | 23 | 3 | 11 | 21 | 1 | 4.0 | . 7 | 1.3 |
    | \$4,000-\$3,499 . .-. -- | 104 | 23 | 9 | 4 | 39 | 16 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 2 | 3.8 | . 6 | 1.2 |
    | \$4,500-84,999 $\ldots$......- | 54 | 12 | 6 | 3 | 18 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 4.0 | . 5 | 1.5 |
    | \$5,000-\$7,499---...- | 66 | $\stackrel{2}{2}$ | 4 | 4 | 25 | 10 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 4 | 4.7 | . 6 | 2.1 |
    | $\$ 7,500-\$ 7,999 . . . . . . .-~$ | 8 | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 | 1 |  | ${ }_{(*)}^{4 .}$ | . 6 | 2.0 |

    See footnotes at end of table.

    ## ATLANTA, GA.

    Table 1A.-Family type: Number of families of specified types and average number of persons per family, by occupation and income, 1935-96-Continued
    [White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]

    | Income class and occupational group <br> (1) | Number of families of type- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A verage number of persons per family |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | All <br> (2) | I <br> (3) | II <br> (4) | III <br> (5) | IV <br> (6) | V <br> (7) | VI <br> (8) | VII <br> (9) | VIII <br> (10) | Other <br> (11) | All members <br> (12) | Other than husband and wife |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Un- } \\ \text { der } \\ 16 \end{gathered}$ | 16 and over |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (13) | (14) |
    | Independent business |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | All nonrelief families. | 1,251 | 378 | 183 | 104 | 320 | 122 | 60 | 44 | 29 | 11 | 3.5 | . 9 | . 6 |
    | \$0-\$249 | 15 | 10 |  |  | 2 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  | 2.8 | . 6 | 2 |
    | \$250-\$499 | 61 | 32 | 9 | 4 | 9 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3.0 | . 7 | . 3 |
    | \$500-\$749. | 117 | 41. | 19 | 9 | 33 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 3.3 | . 8 | . 5 |
    | \$750-\$999 | 110 | 36 | 15 | 6 | 30 | 9 | 5 | 7 |  | 2 | 3.6 | 1.0 | . 6 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 127 | 47 | 24 | 6 | 20 | 12 | 8 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 3.5 | 1.0 | . 5 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 99 | 39 | 17 | 7 | 21 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3.2 | . 7 | . 5 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 117 | 40 | 20 | 15 | 24 | 4 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3.3 | . 9 | . 4 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 119 | 29 | 14 | 15 | 25 | 19 | 9 | 4 | 4 |  | 3.8 | 1.1 | . 7 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,249. | 77 | 18 | 7 | 9 | 26 | 12 | 3 |  | 1 | 1 | 3.6 | . 9 | . 7 |
    | \$2,250-\$2,499 | 74 | 21 | 8 | 10 | 17 | 11 | 2 | 4 | 1 |  | 3.7 | 1.0 | . 7 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 99 | 17 | 15 | 9 | 32 | 13 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 3.8 | 1.0 | . 8 |
    | \$3,000-\$3,499 | 68 | 14 | 9 | 6 | 23 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3.7 | . 9 | . 8 |
    | \$3,500-\$3,999 | 56 | 13 | 12 | 4 | 15 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 2 |  | 3.5 | . 8 | . 7 |
    | \$4,000-\$4,499 | 24 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 8 | 2 | .- | 2 | 1 |  | 3.3 | . 5 | . 8 |
    | \$4,500-\$4,999 | 14 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 2 |  |  | 2 |  | 3.8 | . 7 | 1.1 |
    | \$5,000-\$7,499 | 50 | 12 | 8 |  | 18 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 2 |  | 3.6 | . 6 | 1. 0 |
    | \$7,500-\$0,999 | 12 |  | 2 |  | 8 |  |  |  | 2 |  | 3.7 | . 3 | 1.4 |
    | \$10,000 and over ${ }^{4}$--- | 12 |  |  |  | 5 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 4.6 | 1.2 | 1.4 |
    | Independent professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | All nonrelief families_ | 287 | 77 | 42 | 38 | 67 | 29 | 17 | 5 | 11 | 1 | 3.5 | . 8 | . 7 |
    | \$0-\$249. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$250-\$499 | 5 | 4 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.4 |  | . 4 |
    | \$500-\$749- | 3 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 3.0 | . 7 | . 3 |
    | \$750-\$999 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{*}$ ) |  | (*) |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 $\ldots . . . .-$ | 10 | 5 | 1 |  | 3 | 1 | -- |  |  |  | 3.0 | . 6 | . 4 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 .......... | 4 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 3.0 | . 5 | . 5 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 ........-- | 13 | 4 |  | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  | 3.7 | 1.0 | . 7 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999.....-.--- | 21 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 5 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 3.7 | 1.0 | . 7 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,249 .......... | 11 | 1 | 1 |  | 5 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  | 3.8 | 1.0 | . 8 |
    | \$2,250-\$2,499 $\ldots . . . . . .-$ | 25 | 10 | 7 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  | 3.1 | . 8 | . 3 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999........-- | 41 | 15 | 8 | 4 | 8 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 |  | 3.2 | . 8 | . 4 |
    | \$3,000-\$3,499 $\ldots \ldots$ | 28 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 4 | 1 |  |  | 3. 5 | . 9 | . 6 |
    | \$3,500-\$3,999 | 25 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 3 |  | 2 |  | 3.7 | 1.0 | . 7 |
    | \$4,000-\$4,499 | 14 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 |  | 4. 4 | 1.5 | . 9 |
    | \$4,500-\$4,999 | 18 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 3.8 | 1.0 | . 8 |
    | \$5,000-\$7,499.......-- | 45 | 10 | 5 | 9 | 10 | 6 | 1 | --.--- | 4 |  | 3.7 | . 9 | . 8 |
    | \$7,500-\$9,999.........- | 8 |  | 1 | 2 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 3.8 | . 8 | 1.0 |
    | \$10,000 and over ${ }^{\text {s }}$... | 15 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | , |  |  | 2 | 1 | 4.1 | . 7 | 1.4 |

    See footnotes at end of table.

    ## ATLANTA, GA.

    Table 1A.-Famlly type: Number of families of specified types and average number of persons per family, by occupation and income, 1935-36-Continued
    [White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]

    | Income class and occupational group <br> (1) | Number of families of type- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A verage number of persons per family |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | All | I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII | VIII | Other | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { mem- } \\ \text { bers } \end{gathered}$ | Other than husband and wife |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Un- } \\ \text { der } \\ 16 \end{gathered}$ | 16 and over |
    |  | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) |
    | Salaried business | 1,442 | 357 | 289 | 149 | 357 | 145 | 71 | 28 | 37 | 9 | 3.5 | . 9 | . 6 |
    | All nonrelief families. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$0-\$249 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (*) | (*) |  |
    | \$250-\$499 | 3 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 4.1 | 2.1 |  |
    | \$500-\$749 | 11 | 3 | 2 |  | 2 | 3 |  |  | -- | 1 | 4.2 | 1.3 | . 9 |
    | \$750-\$999 | 14 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |  | -...-. | 3.3 | 1.0 | . 3 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 37 | 13 | 12 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - |  | 3. 1 | . 9 | .2 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 $\ldots \ldots .$. | 55 | 10 | 20 | 4 | 7 | 9 | 3 | 1 |  | 1 | 3. 6 | 1.1 | . 5 |
    | \$1,5C0-\$1,749 $\ldots \ldots . .$. | 67 | 19 | 21 | 6 | 9 | 4 | 6 |  | 1 | 1 | 3.3 | 1.0 | . 3 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 104 | 33 | 30 | 14 | 13 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 1 |  | 3. 2 | . 9 | . 3 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,249 | 93 | 26 | 17 | 11 | 25 | 4 | 8 |  | 1 | 1 | 3.4 | 1.0 | . 4 |
    | \$2,250-\$2,499.......... | 117 | 27 | 29 | 17 | 22 | 11 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 3.5 | 1.0 | . 5 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 $\ldots \ldots . . . .$. | 214 | 65 | 44 | 20 | 51 | 19 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 3. 3 | . 8 | . 5 |
    | \$3,000-\$3,499 | 176 | 41 | 36 | 19 | 42 | 20 | 11 | 1 | 6 |  | 3.5 | . 9 | . 6 |
    | \$3,500-\$3,999 | 175 | 37 | 30 | 19 | 51 | 20 | 9 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 3.6 | . 9 | . 7 |
    | \$4,000-\$4,499. | 108 | 24 | 14 | 15 | 37 | 10 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3. 6 | . 9 | . 7 |
    | \$4,500-\$4,999. | 67 | 14 | 11 | 7 | 25 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |  | 3.5 | . 7 | . 8 |
    | \$5,000-\$7,499.........- | 144 | 22 | 17 | 9 | 48 | 27 | 5 | 7 | 9 |  | 3.9 | . 8 | 1.1 |
    | \$7,500-\$9,999 | 37 | 11 | 3 | 2 | 11 | 6 |  |  | 4 |  | 3. 5 | . 5 | 1.0 |
    | \$10,000 and over ${ }^{6}$-.- | 18 | 5 |  |  | 6 |  | 3 | 3 | 1 |  | 4.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
    | Salaried professional | 638 | 166 | 125 | 78 | 138 | 57 | 35 | 14 | 20 | 5 | 3.5 | . 9 | . 6 |
    | All nonrelief families- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$0-\$249 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$250-\$498 | 4 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 3.0 | . 5 | . 5 |
    | \$500-\$749. | 7 | 3 | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.7 | . 4 | . 3 |
    | \$750-\$999 | 10 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 3.2 | 1.0 | . 2 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 22 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 9 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 3.5 | . 6 | . 9 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 $\ldots \ldots . . .$. | 35 | 7 | 16 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 5 |  | - |  | 3.3 | 1.2 | . 1 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749. | 49 | 16 | 14 | 5 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 2 |  |  | 3.2 | . 9 | . 3 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 72 | 17 | 16 | 10 | 16 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 2 |  | 3.5 | 1.1 | . 4 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,249 | 56 | 19 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 3 | 3 |  | 1 | 1 | 3.4 | 1.0 | . 4 |
    | \$2,250-\$2,499. | 67 | 19 | 15 | 6 | 15 | 6 | 2 | 3 |  | 1 | 3.5 | 1.0 | . 5 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 92 | 24 | 20 | 14 | 20 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 |  | 3.4 | . 9 | . 5 |
    | \$3,000-\$3,499 | 68 | 19 | 9 | 8 | 16 | 9 | 4 | 1 | 2 |  | 3.6 | . 9 | . 7 |
    | \$3,500-\$3,999 | 70 | 14 | 8 | 11 | 13 | 12 | 7 |  | 5 |  | 3.8 | 1.1 | . 7 |
    | \$4,000-\$4,499 | 31 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 10 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 4 |  | 4.0 | . 8 | 1.2 |
    | \$4,500-\$4,999 | 18 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 1 |  |  | 2 |  | 3.5 | . 6 | . 9 |
    | \$5,000-\$7,499.........- | 31 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 9 | 5 |  | 2 | 1 | 2 | 4.0 | . 7 | 1.3 |
    | \$7,500-\$9,989 $\ldots . . . .-{ }^{\text {- }}$ | 3 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 3.7 | . 7 | 1.0 |
    | \$10,000 and over ${ }^{4}$ - - - | 3 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 3.0 | . 7 | . 3 |

    See footnotes at end of table.

    ## ATLANTA, GA.

    Table 1A.-Family type: Number of families of specified types and average number of persons per family, by occupation and income, 1935-36-Continued
    [White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]

    | Income class and occupational group <br> (1) | Number of families of type- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A verage number of persons per family |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | All <br> (2) | I <br> (3) | II <br> (4) | III <br> (5) | IV <br> (6) | V <br> (7) | VI <br> (8) | VII <br> (9) | VIII <br> (10) | Other <br> (11) | All members <br> (12) | Other than husband and wife |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Un- } \\ & \text { der } \\ & 16 \end{aligned}$ | 16 <br> and <br> over |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (13) | (14) |
    | Other ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | All nonrelief families. | 236 | 138 | 18 | 12 | 46 | 7 | 5 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 2.8 | . 5 | 3 |
    | \$0-\$249 | 13 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2.6 | 5 | 1 |
    | \$250-\$499. | 23 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 6 |  | 1 | 4 |  |  | 3.5 | . 9 | . 6 |
    | \$500-\$749 | 52 | 34 | 3 | 3 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 2.7 | . 4 | . 3 |
    | \$750-\$999 | 42 | 28 | 4 |  | 8 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 2.7 | . 4 | . 3 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 28 | 17 | 1 | 3 | 4 |  |  | 2 | 1 |  | 2.9 | . 6 | . 3 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 $\ldots \ldots$ | 19 | 12 |  |  | 5 |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2.6 | . 3 | . 3 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749......... | 17 | 12 | 2 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.4 | . 3 | . 1 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 $\ldots$.-.... | 16 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.8 | . 5 | . 3 |
    | $\$ 2,000-\$ 2,249$ | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (*) |  |  |
    | \$2,250-\$2,499 $\ldots \ldots$ | 4 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 3.3 | . 5 | 8 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 $\ldots \ldots$. | 7 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 3.6 | . 9 | 7 |
    | \$3,000-\$3,499 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (*) |  |  |
    | \$3,500-\$3,999 | 4 | 1 |  | -- | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 3.5 | 2 | 1.3 |
    | \$4,000-\$4,499 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (*) |  |  |
    | \$4,500-\$4,999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$5,000-\$7,499......... | 5 | 3 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 3.1 | . 7 | . 4 |
    | \$7,500-\$9,999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$10,000 and over ${ }^{\text {a }}$.--- | 2 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | (*) | (*) | (*) |

    For footnotes 1 and 2, see table 1 on p. 147.
    ${ }^{3}$ Largest income reported between $\$ 10,000$ and $\$ 15,000$.
    1 Largest income reported between $\$ 20,000$ and $\$ 25,000$.
    ${ }_{6}$ L Largest income reported between $\$ 25,000$ and $\$ 30,000$.
    ${ }^{6}$ Largest income reported between $\$ 30,000$ and $\$ 35,000$.
    ${ }^{7}$ This group contains 7 families engaged in farming, a group too small to be separately classified, and families containing no gainfully employed members.
    ${ }^{\text {B }}$ Largest income reported between $\$ 15,000$ and $\$ 20,000$.

    * Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.


    ## ATLANTA, GA.

    Table 2.-Sources of family income: Number of families receiving income from specified sources, and average amount of such income, by income, 1935-96
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]

    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{4}{*}{Income class

    (1)} \& \multirow[b]{4}{*}{| Number of families |
    | :--- |
    | (2) |} \& \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Number of families receiving-} <br>

    \hline \& \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Money income from-} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Nonmoney income from-} <br>

    \hline \& \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{| Earnings ${ }^{1}$ |
    | :--- |
    | (3) |} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{| Other sources (positive or negative) ${ }^{2}$ |
    | :--- |
    | (4) |} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{| Any source ${ }^{3}$ |
    | :--- |
    | (5) |} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{| Owned home (positive or negative) 4 |
    | :--- |
    | (6) |} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{| Rent as pay |
    | :--- |
    | (7) |} <br>

    \hline \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
    \hline All tamilies.....-.-..-----.------- \& 14,323 \& 13,966 \& 2, 449 \& 4,359 \& 4,293 \& 66 <br>

    \hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{| Relief families |
    | :--- |
    | Nonrelief families |} \& 1,918 \& 1,790 \& 189 \& 168 \& 156 \& 12 <br>

    \hline \& 12,405 \& 12,176 \& 2, 260 \& 4, 191 \& 4,137 \& 54 <br>
    \hline \$0-\$249 \& 63 \& 50 \& 14 \& 13 \& 13 \& <br>
    \hline \$250-\$499 \& 259 \& 238 \& 58 \& 55 \& 51 \& 4 <br>
    \hline \$500-\$749 \& 725 \& 675 \& 114 \& 92 \& 87 \& 5 <br>
    \hline \$750-\$999 \& 970 \& 928 \& 142 \& 168 \& 168 \& <br>
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249. \& 1,181 \& 1,154 \& 154 \& 191 \& 190 \& 1 <br>
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499. \& 1,081 \& 1,063 \& 151 \& 262 \& 257 \& 5 <br>
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749 \& 1,262 \& 1,245 \& 190 \& 339 \& 336 \& 3 <br>
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 \& 1,279 \& 1,264 \& 196 \& 361 \& 353 \& 8 <br>
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249 \& 1, 077 \& 1,075 \& 175 \& 443 \& 439 \& 4 <br>
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499. \& 928 \& , 924 \& 147 \& 362 \& 357 \& 5 <br>
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 \& 1, 214 \& 1,207 \& 252 \& 573 \& 567 \& 6 <br>
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,499 \& 789 \& 788 \& 190 \& 382 \& 376 \& 6 <br>
    \hline \$3,500-\$3,999.... \& 586 \& 582 \& 162 \& 332 \& 329 \& 3 <br>
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,499 \& 330 \& 329 \& 101 \& 201 \& 200 \& 1 <br>
    \hline \$4,500-\$4,999 \& 188 \& 188 \& 56 \& 107 \& 107 \& <br>

    \hline $$
    \$ 5,000-\$ 7,499
    $$ \& 354 \& 349 \& 110 \& 223 \& 220 \& 3 <br>

    \hline $$
    \$ 7,500-\$ 9,999
    $$ \& 68 \& 68 \& 21 \& 51 \& 51 \& <br>

    \hline \$10,000 and over. \& 51 \& 49 \& 27 \& 36 \& 36 \& <br>
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    ${ }^{1}$ See glossary for definition of "earnings."
    ${ }^{2}$ Includes 2,302 families, 2,121 of which were nonrelief, which had money income other than earnings and no business losses met from family funds; 109 families, 105 of which were nonrelief, which had business losses met from family funds and no money income other than earnings, and 38 families, 34 of which were nonrelief, which had both money income and business losses met from family funds. There were, therefore, 2,340 families, 2,155 of which were nonrelief, which had money income other than earnings, whether or not they had business losses met from family funds; and there were 147 families, 139 of which were nonrelief, which had business losses met from family funds, whether or not they had money income other than earnings. These latter 139 families were found in the following income classes: $\$ 0-\$ 249,3 ; \$ 250-\$ 499,7 ; \$ 500-\$ 749,3 ; \$ 750-\$ 999,4 ;$ $\$ 1,000-\$ 1,249,7 ; \$ 1,250-\$ 1,499,15 ; \$ 1,500-\$ 1,749,12 ; \$ 1,750-\$ 1,999,19 ; \$ 2,000-\$ 2,249,11 ; \$ 2,250-\$ 2,499,12 ; \$ 2,500-$ $\$ 2,999,12 ; \$ 3,000-\$ 3,499,5 ; \$ 3,500-\$ 3,999,9 ; \$ 4,000-\$ 4,499,5 ; \$ 4,500-\$ 4,999,3 ; \$ 5,000-\$ 7,500,8 ; \$ 7,500-\$ 9,999,2 ;$ $\$ 10,000$ and over, 2. See glossary for definition of "money income other than earnings" and "business losses."
    ${ }^{3}$ The total of the numbers of families in columns (6) and (7), since no family reported nonmoney income from both sources.

    4 Includes families with losses from owned homes, as well as families whose estimated rental value of owned homes for the period of ownership and occupancy exceeded estimated expenses allocable to that period. There were 42 families, 39 of which were nonrelief, with losses from owned homes (i. e., families whose estimated rental value was less than estimated expenses). The latter 39 families were found in the following income classes: $\$ 0-\$ 249,1 ; \$ 250-\$ 499,1 ; \$ 500-\$ 749,1 ; \$ 750-\$ 999,4 ; \$ 1,000-\$ 1,249,2 ; \$ 1,250-\$ 1,499,2 ; \$ 1,500-$ $\$ 1,749,4 ; \$ 1,750-\$ 1,999,8 ; \$ 2,000-\$ 2,249,3 ; \$ 2,250-\$ 2,499,4 ; \$ 2,500-\$ 2,999,2 ; \$ 3,000-\$ 3,499,1 ; \$ 3,500-\$ 3,999,2 ;$ $\$ 4,000-\$ 4,499,2 ; \$ 4,500-\$ 4,909,1 ; \$ 5,000-\$ 7,499,1$. Excludes 9 families whose estimated rental value of owned homes was equal to estimated expenses.

    ## athanta, ga.

    Table 2.-Sources of family income: Number of families receiving income from specified sources, and average amount of such income, by income, 1935-36 ${ }^{\text {L. }}$ Continued
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]

    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{4}{*}{Income class} \& \multirow[b]{4}{*}{Total

    (2)} \& \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{A verage family income} <br>
    \hline \& \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Money income from-} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Nonmoney income from-} <br>

    \hline \& \& All sources \& $$
    \begin{aligned}
    & \text { Earn- } \\
    & \text { ings }{ }^{2}
    \end{aligned}
    $$ \& Other sources (positive or negative) ${ }^{3}$ \& All sources \& Owned home (positive or negative) 4 \& Rent as pay <br>

    \hline \& \& (3) \& (4) \& (5) \& (6) \& (7) \& (8) <br>
    \hline All families_-.-.-----.------- \& ${ }^{5} \$ 1,958$ \& \$1,881 \& \$1,807 \& \$74 \& \$77 \& \$75 \& \$2 <br>

    \hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{| Relief families. |
    | :--- |
    | Nonrelief families. |} \& 665 \& 654 \& 632 \& 22 \& 11 \& 10 \& 1 <br>

    \hline \& ${ }^{5} 2,158$ \& 2, 072 \& 1,988 \& 84 \& 86 \& 84 \& 2 <br>
    \hline \$0-\$249 \& 177 \& 156 \& 139 \& 17 \& 21 \& 21 \& <br>
    \hline \$250-\$499 \& 378 \& 347 \& 308 \& 39 \& 31. \& 28 \& 3 <br>
    \hline \$500-\$749 \& 631 \& 610 \& 558 \& 52 \& 21 \& 19 \& 2 <br>
    \hline \$750-\$999 \& 872 \& 843 \& 791 \& 52 \& 29 \& 29 \& <br>
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249- \& 1,128 \& 1, 101 \& 1,055 \& 46 \& 27 \& 26 \& 1 <br>
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499. \& 1,368 \& 1,326 \& 1,276 \& 50 \& 42 \& 41 \& 1 <br>
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749. \& 1,604 \& 1,556 \& 1,501 \& 55 \& 48 \& 46 \& 2 <br>
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 \& 1,861 \& 1,811 \& 1,755 \& 56 \& 50 \& 48 \& 2 <br>
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249 \& 2,118 \& 2,031 \& 1,985 \& 46 \& 87 \& 85 \& $\stackrel{2}{2}$ <br>
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499 \& 2,375 \& 2, 283 \& 2,223 \& 60 \& 92 \& 90 \& 2 <br>
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 \& 2,728 \& 2,597 \& 2,507 \& 90 \& 131 \& 128 \& 3 <br>
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,499 \& 3,201 \& 3, 060 \& 2,964 \& 96 \& 141 \& 138 \& 3 <br>
    \hline \$3,500-\$3,999 \& 3,721 \& 3,542 \& 3,401 \& 141 \& 179 \& 178 \& 1 <br>
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,499 \& 4,196 \& 3,986 \& 3,814 \& 172 \& 210 \& 209 \& 1 <br>
    \hline \$4,500-\$4,999. \& 4, 720 \& 4,508 \& 4,314 \& 194 \& 212 \& 212 \& <br>
    \hline \$5,000-\$7,499 \& 5, 868 \& 5,586 \& 5,310 \& 276 \& 282 \& 276 \& 6 <br>
    \hline \$7,500-\$9,999 \& 8,469 \& 8,062 \& 7,698 \& 364 \& 407 \& 407 \& <br>
    \hline \$10,000 and over \& 14, 122 \& 13,642 \& 11,665 \& 1,977 \& 480 \& 480 \& <br>
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    I The averages in each column are based on all families, column (2) of table 2, whether or not they received income from the specified source. A verages in columns (2), (3), (5), (6), and (7) are net figures, after deduction for all families of business losses met from family funds or expenses for owned homes.
    ${ }^{2}$ See glossary for definition of "earnings."
    ${ }^{3}$ Includes money income other than earnings, after deduction of business losses met from family funds. See glossary for definitions of "money income other than earning" and "business losses."
    ${ }^{4}$ Represents the estimated rental value of owned homes for the period of ownership and occupaney, less estimated expenses allocable to that period.
    $\delta$ Median income for all families was $\$ 1,706$; for nonrelief families, $\$ 1,879$.

    ## ATLANTA, GA.

    Table 2A.-Sources of family income: Number of families receiving income from specified sources, and average amount of such income, by occupation and income, 1935-36
    [White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]
    


    ## ATLANTA, GA.

    Table 2A.-Sources of family income: Number of families receiving income from specified sources, and average amount of such income, by occupation and income, 1935-96 1 -Continued
    [White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]
    
    ${ }^{1}$ The a verages in each column are based on all families, column (2) of table 2A, whether or not they received income from the specifled source. Averages in columns (2), (3), (5), (6), and (7) are net figures, after deduction for all families of business losses met from family funds or expenses for owned homes.
    ${ }^{2}$ See glossary for definition of "earnings."
    ${ }^{3}$ Includes money income other than earnings, after deduction of business losses met from family funds.
    See glossary for definitions of "money income other than earnings" and "business losses."
    1 Represents the estimated rental value of owned homes for the period of ownership and occupancy, less estimated expenses allocable to that period.
    ${ }_{5}$ Median incomes were as follows: Wage-earner families, $\$ 1,475$; clerical families, $\$ 2,035$; business and professional families, \$2,476.
    ** $\$ 0.50$ or less.

    ## ATLANTA, GA.

    Table 2B.-Sources of family income: Number of families receiving income from specified sources, and average amount of such income, by family type and income, 1935-38
    [White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups combined]
    

    See footnotes at end of table.

    ## atLANTA, GA.

    Table 2B.-Sources of family income: Number of families receiving income from specified sources, and average amount of such income, by family type and income, 1935-86 ${ }^{1}$-Continued.
    [White nomrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups combined]
    

    See footnotes at end of table.

    ## ATLANTA, GA.

    Table 2B.-Sources of family income: Number of families receiving income from specified sources, and average amount of such income, by family type and income, 1935-96-Continued
    [White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups combined]

    | Income class and family type(1) | $\underset{\text { Number of }}{\text { families }}$ | Number of families having- |  |  |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  |  | Money income from- |  | Nonmoney income from- |  |  |
    |  |  | Any source <br> (3) | Other sources (positive or negative) <br> (4) | Any source <br> (5) | Owned home (positive or negative) <br> (6) | Rent as pay <br> (7) |
    | Types VIII and other | 444 | 442 | 77 | 182 | 176 | 6 |
    | All nonrelief families.-- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | $\$ 0-\$ 490$ <br> 500-8749 | 5 | 5 6 | 1 | 3 | 3 | ---.-..-- |
    | \$750-\$999. | 21 | 20 | 3 | 2 | 2 | ---.-...- |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 .-...............-. | 26 26 | 25 26 | 3 4 | 4 | 4 | - |
    |  | 26 30 | 26 30 | 4 | $\stackrel{5}{5}$ | 5 |  |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999....---.-...........- | 37 | 37 | 5 | 7 | 6 |  |
    | \$2,000-\$2,499...................... | 65 | 65 | 10 | 23 | 21 | 2 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999.....................- | $\begin{array}{r}56 \\ 123 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}56 \\ 123 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | - 5 | 25 77 | 24 | 1 |
    |  | 123 49 | 123 49 | 13 | ${ }_{33} 7$ | 75 33 | 2 |

    ATLANTA, GA.
    Table 2B.-Sources of family income: Number of families receiving income from specified sources and average amount of such income, by family type and income, 1935-86-Continued
    [White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups combined]

    | Income class and family type <br> (1) | Total | Average family income |  |  |  |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  |  | Money income from- |  |  | Nonmoney incame from- |  |  |
    |  |  | All sources(3) | Earnings <br> (4) | Other sources (positive or negative) <br> (5) | All sources <br> (6) | Owned home (positive or negative) <br> (7) | Rent as pay <br> (8) |
    |  | (2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | Types VIII and other <br> All nonrelief families. | ${ }^{5}$ \$2,990 | \$2,873 | \$2,774 | \$99 | \$117 | \$114 | \$3 |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$0-\$499 | 348 | 284 | 272 | 12 | 64 | 64 |  |
    | \$500-\$749 | 637 | 637 | 622 | 15 |  |  |  |
    | \$750-\$999 | 909 | 896 | 838 | 58 | (*) | (*) |  |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1,136 | 1,116 | 1,046 | 70 | 20 | 20 |  |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1,370 | 1,358 | 1,297 | 61 | 12 | 12 |  |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749. | 1,634 | 1, 616 | 1,565 | 51 | 18 | 18 |  |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999. | 1,860 | 1,830 | 1,772 | 58 | 30 | 23 | 7 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,499 | 2,238 | 2,164 | 2,092 | 72 | 74 | 65 | 9 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 2, 781 | 2,639 | 2,597 | 42 | 142 | 134 | 8 |
    | \$3,000-\$4,999 | 3,778 | 3,608 | 3,483 | 125 | 170 | 166 | 4 |
    | \$5,000 and over-----..... | 7,226 | 6,915 | 6,655 | 260 | 311 | 311 |  |


    ## ATLANTA, GA.

    Table 3.-Money earnings: Number of families receiving net money earnings and average net money earnings received from each source, by income, 1935-36
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]

    | Income class | Number of families | Number of families receiving net money earnings from- |  |  |  | Average net money earnings from 1- |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  |  | Any source | Individual earners | Roomers and boarders ${ }^{2}$ | Other work not attributable to individuals | $\underset{\text { All }}{\text { All }}$ | Individual earners | Roomers and boarders and other work ${ }^{3}$ |
    |  | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) |
    | All families. | 14,323 | 13,966 | 13,829 | 2,187 | 129 | \$1,807 | \$1,776 | \$31 |
    | Relief families | 1,918 | 1,790 | 1,762 | 247 | 30 | 632 | 617 | 15 |
    | Nonrelief families | 12, 405 | 12, 176 | 12, 067 | 1,940 | 99 | 1,988 | 1,953 | 35 |
    | \$0-\$249 | 63 | 50 | 44 | 15 | 2 | 139 | 116 | 23 |
    | \$250-\$499 | 259 | 238 | 222 | 40 | 6 | 308 | 288 | 20 |
    | \$500-\$749 | 725 | 675 | 653 | 125 | 8 | 558 | 532 | 26 |
    | \$750-\$999 | 970 | 928 | 909 | 171 | 14 | 791 | 766 | 25 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1,181 | 1,154 | 1,141 | 196 | 9 | 1,055 | 1,027 | 28 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1,081 | 1,063 | 1, 053 | 216 | 17 | 1,276 | 1,240 | 36 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 1, 262 | 1,245 | 1,237 | 209 | 9 | 1, 501 | 1, 466 | 35 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1,279 | 1,264 | 1,259 | 185 | 11 | 1,755 | 1, 725 | 30 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,249 | 1,077 | 1,075 | 1,073 | 156 | 5 | 1,985 | 1,951 | 34 |
    | \$2,250-\$2,499 | , 928 | , 924 | , 923 | 131 | 7 | 2, 223 | 2, 190 | 33 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999. | 1, 214 | 1,207 | 1, 204 | 203 | 5 | 2, 507 | 2, 463 | 44 |
    | \$8,000-\$3,499 | 789 | 788 | 787 | 110 | 1 | 2, 964 | 2,926 | 38 |
    | \$8,500-\$3,999 | 586 | 582 | 582 | 84 | 2 | 3, 401 | 3,353 | 48 |
    | \$4,000-\$4,499 | 330 | 329 | 329 | 34 |  | 3,814 | 3,776 | 38 |
    | \$4,500-\$4,999 | 188 | 188 | 186 | 23 | 1 | 4,314 | 4,276 | 30 |
    | \$5,000-\$7,499 | 354 | 349 | 348 | 32 | 2 | 5,310 | 5,269 | 48 |
    | \$7,500-\$9,989 | 68 | 68 | 68 | 8 |  | 7,698 | 7,648 | 51 |
    | \$10,000 and over. | 51 | 49 | 49 | 2 |  | 11, 665 | 11, 623 | 42 |


    ## ATLANTA, GA.

    Table 3A.-Money earnings: Number of families receiving net money earnings and average net money earnings received from each source, by occupation and income, 1995-96
    [White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]

    | Income class and occupational group | Number of families | Number of families receiving net money earnings from- |  |  |  | Average net money earnings from ${ }^{1-}$ |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  |  | Any source | Indi- <br> vidual earners | $\begin{gathered} \text { Roomers } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { boarders }{ }^{2} \end{gathered}$ | Other work not attributable to individuals | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { sources } \end{gathered}$ | Individual earners | Roomers and boarders and other work ${ }^{3}$ |
    | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) |
    | Wage earner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | All families - | 4,583 | 4,583 | 4,583 | 766 | 47 | \$1,513 | \$1,487 | \$26 |
    | \$0-\$499. | 153 | 153 | 153 | 18 | 5 | 338 | 329 | 9 |
    | \$500-\$749 | 439 | 439 | 439 | 62 | 4 | 621 | 608 | 13 |
    | \$750-\$999. | 578 | 578 | 578 | 91 | 10 | 846 | 829 | 17 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 619 | 619 | 619 | 107 | 4 | 1,096 | 1,071 | 25 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499. | 559 | 559 | 559 | 104 | 9 | 1,310 | 1,282 | 28 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749. | 570 | 570 | 570 | 85 | 6 | 1,541 | 1,517 | 24 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 453 | 453 | 453 | 74 | 5 | 1, 782 | 1,758 | 24 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,499 | 645 | 645 | 645 | 117 | 4 | 2,083 | 2,052 | 31 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999. | 271 | 271 | 271 | 53 |  | 2,501 | 2,455 | 46 |
    | \$3,000-\$4,999. | 283 | 283 | 283 | 54 |  | 3,303 | 3,245 | 58 |
    | \$5,000 and over | 13 | 13 | 13 | 1 |  | 5,100 | 5,061 | 39 |
    | Clerical |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | All families. | 3,968 | 3,968 | 3,968 | 572 | 24 | 2, 054 | 2, 023 | 31 |
    | \$0-\$499 | 43 | 43 | 43 | 7 | 1 | 281 | 263 | 18 |
    | \$500-\$749 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 15 | 1 | 596 | 578 | 18 |
    | \$750-\$999. | 215 | 215 | 215 | 39 | 3 | 828 | 806 | 22 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 338 | 338 | 338 | 43 | 2 | 1, 078 | 1,061 | 17 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 310 | 310 | 310 | 70 | 4 | 1,311 | 1, 274 | 37 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749. | 429 | 429 | 429 | 76 | 1 | 1,532 | 1,493 | 39 |
    | 1,750-81,999. | 494 | 494 | 494 | 53 | 5 | 1,791 | 1, 769 | 22 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,499 | 834 | 834 | 834 | 94 | 4 | 2, 112 | 2, 085 | 27 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 490 | 490 | 490 | 82 | 2 | 2, 544 | 2, 509 | 35 |
    | \$3,000-\$4,999 | 644 | 644 | 644 | 87 | 1 | 3,350 | 3,314 | 36 |
    | \$5,000 and over. | 75 | 75 | 75 | 6 |  | 5, 680 | 5, 613 | 67 |
    | Business and professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | All families. | 3,618 | 3,618 | 3,509 | 602 | 28 | 2, 650 | 2,598 | 52 |
    | \$0-\$499. | 90 | 90 | 68 | 30 | 2 | 265 | 216 | 49 |
    | \$500-\$749. | 138 | 138 | 116 | 48 | 3 | 531 | 451 | 80 |
    | \$750-\$999 | 135 | 135 | 116 | 41 | 1 | 740 | 658 | 82 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249. | 196 | 196 | 183 | 46 | 3 | 1,023 | 959 | 64 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 193 | 193 | 183 | 42 | 4 | 1,240 | 1,175 | 65 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 246 | 246 | 238 | 48 | 2 | 1,461 | 1, 403 | 58 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 316 | 316 | 311 | 58 | 1 | 1, 741 | 1, 687 | 54 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,499 | 520 | 520 | 517 | 76 | 4 | 2, 107 | 2, 059 | 48 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 446 | 446 | 443 | 68 | 3 | 2, 515 | 2, 466 | 49 |
    | \$3,000-\$4,999 | 960 | 960 | 957 | 110 | 3 | 3,451 | 3,410 | 41 |
    |  | 378 | 378 | 377 | 35 | 2 | 6,629 | 6,502 | 37 |
    | Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | All families. | 236 | 7 | 7 | ---- | -- | 23 | 23 | -------- |


    ## ATLANTA, GA.

    Table 3B.-Money earnings: Number of families receiving net money earnings and average net money earnings received from each source, by family type and income, 1935-36
    [White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups combined]
    


    ## ATLANTA, GA.

    Table 3B.-Money earnings: Number of families receiving net money earnings and average net money earnings received from each source, by family type and income, 1935-36-Continued
    [White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups combined]

    | Income class and family type <br> (1) | Number of families | Number of families receiving net money earnings from- |  |  |  | Average net money earnings from- |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  |  | Any source | Individual earners | Roomers and boarders | Other work not attributable to individuals | $\underset{\text { sources }}{\text { All }}$ | Indi- <br> vidual <br> earners | Roomers and boarders and other work |
    |  | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) |
    | Types VIII and other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | All nonrelief families. | 444 | 442 | 440 | 54 | 3 | \$2, 774 | \$2, 744 | \$30 |
    | \$0-\$499 | 5 | 5 | 5 |  |  | 272 | 272 |  |
    | \$500-\$749. | 6 | 6 | 6 |  |  | 622 | 622 |  |
    | \$750-\$999 | 21 | 20 | 20 | 4 | 1 | 838 | 830 | 8 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 26 | 25 | 25 | 3 | 1 | 1,046 | 1,025 | 21 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499. | 26 | 26 | 26 | 2 |  | 1,297 | 1,290 | 7 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 30 | 30 | 29 | 2 |  | 1,565 | 1,533 | 32 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999. | 37 | 37 | 37 | 5 |  | 1,772 | 1,716 | 56 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,499. | 65 | 65 | 65 | 9 |  | 2,092 | 2,075 | 17 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999. | 56 | 56 | 56 | 11 |  | 2,597 | 2,547 | 50 |
    | \$3,000-\$4,999. | 123 | 123 | 122 | 16 | 1 | 3,483 | 3,457 | 26 |
    | \$5,000 and over | 49 | 49 | 49 | 2 |  | 6, 655 | 6,604 | 51 |

    1 The averages in each column are based on all families, column (2), whether or not they received money earnings from the specified source.

    2 Includes only families which had net money earnings from roomers and boarders (i. e., whose gross income from roomers and boarders exceeded estimated expense). In addition, there were some families which had roomers and boarders but which had no net money earnings from them.
    ${ }_{3}$ Includes net money earnings from roomers and boarders and from other work not attributable to individuals (casual work in home such as laundry and sewing). A verage net money earnings of all nonrelief families from other work not attributable to individuals were $\$ 0.50$ or less for all family types.

    ATLANTA, GA.
    Table 4.-Principal earners: Number and average yearly earnings of principal earners, classified as husbands, wives, and others, with weeks of employment of principal earners, by income, $1935-36^{1}$
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]


    I Includes 236 families classified in the occupational group "Other," who are not included in tables 4A, p. 165 to p. 167. These families had 7 principal earners.
    ${ }_{2}$ The total number of principal earners given in column (3) is equivalent to the total number of families having individual earners, since a family can have only one principal earner. The difference between the totals in columns (2) and (3) is explained by the fact that column (2), number of families, includes cases in which none of the family income was attributable to individual earners.
    ${ }^{3}$ Averages in this column are based on the number of principal earners reporting weeks of employment.
    ${ }^{4}$ A verages in this section of the table are based on the corresponding counts of principal earners in columns (3) through (7).
    *Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    ## ATLANTA, GA.

    Table 4A.-Principal earners: Number and average yearly earnings of principal earners, classified as husbands, wives, and others, with weeks of employment of principal earners, by occupation and income, 1935-36
    [White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]
    OCCUPATIONAL GROUP: WAGE EARNER

    | Income class | Number of families | Number of principal earners |  |  |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  |  | All ${ }^{1}$ <br> (3) | Husbands <br> (4) | Wives <br> (5) | Others |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  | Male <br> (6) | Female <br> (7) |
    | All nonrelief families.. | 4,583 | 4583 | 4,238 | 121 | 184 | 40 |
    | \$0-\$499 | 153 | 153 | 131 | 15 | 5 | 2 |
    | \$500-\$749 | 439 | 439 | 400 | 20 | 10 | 9 |
    | \$750-\$999 | 578 | 578 | 526 | 29 | 15 | 8 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 619 | 619 | 575 | 19 | 20 | 5 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 559 | 559 | 520 | 13 | 21 | 5 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749.. | 570 | 570 | 535 | 13 | 18 | 4 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999. | 453 | 453 | 430 | 5 | 17 | 1 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,499 | 645 | 645 | 609 | 4 | 28 | 4 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999. | 271 | 271 | 248 | 2 | 20 | 1 |
    | \$3,000-\$4,999. | 283 | 283 | 255 | 1 | 26 | 1 |
    | \$5,000 and over- | 13 | 13 | 9 |  | 4 |  |
    | Income class(8) | Average weeks of employment of principal earners ${ }^{2}$ <br> (9) | A verage earnings of principal earners ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |
    |  |  | All | Husbands | Wives | Others |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  | Male | Female |
    |  |  | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) |
    | All nonrelief families. | 50 | \$1,286 | \$1,320 | \$619 | \$1,097 | \$611 |
    | \$0-\$499-- | 36 | 318 | 323 | 295 | 294 | (*) ${ }_{516}$ |
    | \$500-\$749-- | 47 | 582 | 592 | 497585 | 429 |  |
    | \$750-\$999 | 49 | 783 | 803 |  | 620 | 516 488 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 50 | 998 | 1,021 | 666 | 763 | 488 603 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 51 | 1,162 | 1,190 | 757633 | 827 | 626 705 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 51 | 1,3601,594 | 1, 395 |  | 997 1 | (*) 705 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 51 |  | 1,621 | 893988 | 1,172 |  |
    | \$2,000-\$2,499 | 52 | 1,7772,010 | 1,816 |  | 1,198 | (*) 775 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 52 |  | 2,058 | (*) | 1,505 | (*) |
    | \$3,000-\$4,999. | 52 | 2,287 | 2,354 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,691 \\ & 2,152 \end{aligned}$ | (*) |
    | \$5,000 and over...---- | 51 | 2,754 | 3,021 |  |  |  |

    For footnotes 1, 2, 3 see 2, 3, 4 of table 4 on p. 164
    *A verages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    ## athanta, GA.

    Table 4A.-Principal earners: Number and average yearly earnings of principal earners, classified as husbands, wives, and others, with weeks of employment of principal earners, by occupation and income, 1935-36-Continued
    [White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined] OCCUPATIONAL GROUP: CLERICAL
    


    ## ATLANTA, GA.

    Table 4A.-Principal earners: Number and average yearly earnings of principal earners, classified as husbands, wives, and others, with weeks of employment of principal earners, by occupation and income, 1935-36-Continued
    [White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]
    OCCUPATIONAL GROUP: BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONAL

    | Income class(1) | Number ot families | Number of principal earners |  |  |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  |  | All : <br> (3) | Husbands <br> (4) | Wives <br> (5) | Others |  |
    |  | (2) |  |  |  | Male <br> (6) | Female <br> (7) |
    | All nonrelief families. | 3,618 | 3,509 | 3,329 | 58 | 83 | 39 |
    | \$0-\$499 | 90 | 68 | 59 | 6 | 1 | 2 |
    | \$500-\$749. | 138 | 116 | 111 | 1 | 2 |  |
    | \$750-\$999. | 135 | 116 | 109 | 3 | 3 | 1 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249. | 196 | 183 | 177 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 193 | 183 | 176 | 3 | 3 | 1 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 246 | 238 | 227 | 3 | 5 | 3 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 316 | 311 | 296 | 5 | 8 | 2 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,499.. | 520 | 517 | 487 | 10 | 13 | 7 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999.. | 446 | 443 | 414 | 10 | 12 | 7 |
    | \$3,000-\$4,999. | 960 | 957 | 910 | 14 | 22 | 11 |
    | \$5,000 and over | 378 | 377 | 363 | 1 | 12 | 1 |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | Income class(8) | Average weeks of employment of earners ${ }^{2}$ | A verage earnings of principal earners ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |
    |  |  | All | Husbands | Wives | Others |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  | Male | Female |
    |  |  | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) |
    | All nonrelief families. | 51 | \$2,440 | \$2,490 | \$1,329 | \$1, 802 | \$1,247 |
    | \$0-\$499-79 | 444849495051515152525252 | ${ }_{511} 26$ | ${ }^{270}$ |  | ${ }^{(*)}$ | (*) |
    | \$750-\$999.. |  | 721 | 730 |  |  | (*) |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 |  | 967 | 968 | (*) | (*) | (*) |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499.. |  | 1,191 | 1,198 | 962 | 1,103 |  |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749. |  | 1,384 | 1,396 | 1,128 | 985 | 1.3) 391 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999. |  | 1,613 1,926 | 1,647 1,962 | 1801 1,442 | $\begin{array}{r}845 \\ 1,347 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | ${ }^{(*)}{ }_{1}, 178$ |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999. |  | 2, 247 | 2, 295 | 1, 497 | 1, 1,654 | 1,488 |
    | \$3,000-\$4,999 |  | 3,085 | 3, 142 | 2, 059 | 2,167 | 1,518 |
    | \$5,000 and over |  | 5,923 | 6,014 | ${ }^{(*)}$ | 3,778 | $(*)$ |

    For footnotes 1, 2, 3 see 2, 3, 4 of table 4 on p. 164
    *A verages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    ## ATLANTA, GA.

    Table $^{\text {4B.-Principal earners: }}$ Number and average yearly earnings of principal earners, classified as husbands, wives, and others, with weeks of employment of principal earners, by family type and income, 1935-96
    [White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups combined]
    FAMILY TYPE I

    | Income class | Number of families | Number of principal earners |  |  |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  |  | All ${ }^{1}$ <br> (3) | Fusbands <br> (4) | Wives <br> (5) | Others |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  | Male (6) | Female <br> (7) |
    | All nonrelief families.- | 3,175 | 2,963 | 2,818 | 144 | ${ }^{1}$ | -------- |
    | \$0-\$499. | 131 | 95 | 84 | 11 |  | --- |
    | \$500-\$749 | 209 | 162 | 154 | 8 |  |  |
    | \$750-\$999 | 264 | 226 | 207 | 18 | 4 | ------- |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 347 | 323 | 307 | 16 |  |  |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 253 | 232 | 218 | 14 |  |  |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749. | 332 | 315 | 301 | 14 |  |  |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999. | 331 | 321 | 308 | 13 |  |  |
    | \$2,000-\$2,499. | 527 | 521 | 502 | 19 |  |  |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 - | 296 | 293 | 279 | 14 |  |  |
    | \$3,000-\$4,999 | 404 | 399 | 382 | 17 |  |  |
    | \$5,000 and over | 81 | 76 | 76 |  |  |  |
    | Income class(8) | A verage weeks of employment of principal earners ${ }^{2}$ <br> (9) | A verage earnings of principal earners ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |
    |  |  | All | Husbands | Wives | Others |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  | Male | Female |
    |  |  | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) |
    | All nonrelief families.---.-......- | 51 | \$1,724 | \$1,760 | \$1, 030 | (*) | --..--- |
    | \$0-\$499 |  | 293 | 294 | 277 |  |  |
    | \$500-\$749 | 48 | 565 | 569 | 497 |  |  |
    | \$750-\$999 | 50 | +770 | ${ }^{788}$ | 580 | (*) | --------- |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 50 | 1,007 | 1,017 | 818 |  |  |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 51 | 1, 182 | 1,206 | 797 |  |  |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 52 | 1,397 | 1,416 | 987 |  |  |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 52 | 1,631 | 1,653 | 1, 104 |  |  |
    | \$2,000-\$2,499 | 52 | 1,889 | 1,913 | 1,248 |  |  |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 52 | 2, 166 | 2,198 | 1,531 |  |  |
    | \$3,000-\$4,999 .... | 52 | 2, 866 | 2,906 | 1,956 | ---- |  |
    | \$5,000 and over. | 52 | 6,431 | 6,431 |  |  |  |

    For footnotes 1, 2, 3 see 2, 3, 4 of table 4 on p. 164.
    ${ }^{4}$ This individual was a member of the family for less than 27 weeks. His presence in the family, therefore, was not inconsistent with the classification of the family as type I. See glossary for further explanation of family types.

    * Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.


    ## ATLANTA, GA.

    Table 4B.-Principal earners: Number and average yearly earnings of principal earners, classified as husbands, wives, and others, with weeks of employment of principal earners, by family type and income, 1935-36-Continued
    [White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups combined]
    FAMLLY TYPES II AND III

    | Income class(1) | Number of families | Number of principal earners |  |  |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  |  | All ${ }^{1}$ | Husbands <br> (4) | Wives <br> (5) | Others |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  | Male (6) | Female <br> (7) |
    | All nonrelief families | 3,542 | 3,508 | 3,434 | 74 |  |  |
    | \$0-\$499 | 78 | 71 |  |  |  |  |
    | \$500-\$749 | 204 | 199 | 197 | 2 |  |  |
    | \$750-\$999 | 292 | 287 | 281 | 6 |  |  |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249. | 389 | 383 | 375 | 8 |  |  |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 371 | 371 | 364 | 7 |  |  |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 421 | 418 | 413 | 5 |  |  |
    | \$1,750- \$1,999- | 417 | 412 | 404 | 8 |  |  |
    | \$2,000-\$2,499. | 580 306 | 579 | 565 | 14 |  |  |
    | \$3,000-\$4,999. | 306 406 | 304 406 | 400 | 10 |  |  |
    | \$5,000 and over. | 78 | 78 | 78 |  |  |  |
    | Income class(8) | Average weeks of employ. ment of principal earners ${ }^{2}$ <br> (9) | A verage earnings of principal earners ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |
    |  |  | All | Husbands | Wives | Others |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  | Male | Female |
    |  |  | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) |
    | All nonrelief families. | 51 | \$1,778 | \$1,794 | \$1, 024 | --- |  |
    | $\$ 0-\$ 499 \ldots$ | 384950 | 313 589 | 318 591 | (*) 278 |  |  |
    | \$750-\$999 |  | 820 | 822 | ( 731 |  |  |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 51 | 1,056 | 1,062 | 766 |  |  |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 51 | 1,246 | 1,254 | 819 |  |  |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749. | 51 51 | 1,472 | 1,478 | 984 |  |  |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | $\begin{array}{r}51 \\ 52 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 1,711 | 1,724 | 1,054 | - |  |
    | \$2,000-\$2,499 | 52 | 2, 2,342 | 2,051 2,376 | 1,303 1,308 |  |  |
    | \$3,000-\$4,999. | 52 | 3, 258 | 3,278 | 1,938 |  |  |
    | \$5,000 and over.. |  | 5,928 | 5. 928 |  |  |  |

    For footnotes 1, 2, 3 see 2, 3. 4 of table 4 on p. 164.
    *Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    ## ATLANTA, GA.

    Table 4B.-Principal earners: Number and average yearly earnings of principal earners, classified as husbands, wives, and others, with weeks of employment of principal earners, by family type and income, 1935-36-Continued
    [White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups combined]
    FAMILY TYPES IV AND V

    | Income class(1) | Number of families | Number of principal earners |  |  |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  |  | All 1 <br> (3) | Husbands <br> (4) | Wives <br> (5) | Others |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  | Male <br> (6) | Female <br> (7) |
    | All nonrelief families... | 4, 018 | 3,942 | 3,321 | 115 | 324 | 182 |
    | \$0-\$499. | 70 | 61 | 44 | 3 | 8 | 6 |
    | \$500-\$749 | 193 | 175 | 136 | 10 | 11 | 18 |
    | \$750-\$999 | 261 | 246 | 187 | 17 | 26 | 16 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249- | 268 | 261 | 202 | 10 | 30 | 19 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 291 | 285 | 238 | 9 | 21 | 17 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749. | 352 | 348 | 281 | 14 | 31 | 22 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 386 | 381 | 327 | 13 | 28 | 13 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,499 | 676 | 674 | 578 | 14 | 50 | 32 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 470 | 465 | 385 | 14 | 45 | 21 |
    | \$3,000-\$4,999 | 825 | 822 | 733 | 11 | 63 | 15 |
    | \$5,000 and over. | 226 | 224 | 210 |  | 11 | 3 |
    | Income class(8) | A verage weeks of employment of principal earners ${ }^{2}$ <br> (9) | A verage earnings of principal earners ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |
    |  |  | AII | Husbands | Wives | Others |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  | Male | Female |
    |  |  | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) |
    | All nonrelief families.............- | 51 | \$1,834 | \$1,960 | \$956 | \$1,332 | \$992 |
    | $\$ 0-\$ 499 .$ | 3047 | 274 | 278 | 256560 | 264 | 272 |
    | \$500-\$749. |  | 534 | 549 |  | 389 | 492 |
    | \$750-\$999. | 48 | 716 | 745 | 650 | 627 | 590 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249. | 48 | 903 | 954 | 615 | 712 | 806 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 51 | 1,104 | 1,145 | 909 | 910 | 872 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 51 | 1,258 | 1,320 | 855 | 1,041 | 1,032 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 51 | 1,511 | 1,570 | 1,016 | 1,212 | 1,141 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,499 | 51 | 1,698 | 1,776 | 1,098 | 1,305 | 1,151 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 52 | 1,968 | 2,070 | 1,369 | 1,600 | 1. 278 |
    | \$3,000-\$4,990 | 52 | 2,5995,294 | 2,693 | 1,679 | 1,950 | 1,425 |
    | \$5,000 and over. | 52 |  | 5,410 |  | 3,814 | 2,637 |

    For footnotes 1, 2, 3 see 2, 3, 4 of table 4 on p. 164.

    ## ATLANTA, GA.

    Table 4B.-Princlpal earners: Number and average yearly earnings of principal earners, classified as husbands, wives, and others, with weeks of employment of principal earners, by family type and income, 1935-36-Continued
    [White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups combined]
    FAMILY TYPES VI AND VII
    


    ## ATLANTA, GA.

    Table 4B.-Principal earners: Number and average yearly earnings of principal earners, classified as husbands, wives, and others, with weeks of employment of principal earners, by family type and income, 1935-36
    [White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups combined]
    FAMILY TYPES VIII AND OTHER

    | Income class | Number offamilies | Number of principal earners |  |  |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  |  | All ${ }^{1}$ <br> (3) | Husbands <br> (4) | Wives | Others |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  | Male <br> (6) | Female <br> (7) |
    | All nonrelief families. | 444 | 440 | 306 | 6 | 80 | 48 |
    | \$0-\$499 |  | 5 | 5 |  |  |  |
    | \$500-\$749 | ${ }^{6}$ | 6 | 5 |  | 1 |  |
    | \$750-\$999. | ${ }_{26}^{21}$ | ${ }_{25}^{20}$ | 13 | 1 | ${ }_{5}^{3}$ | 3 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | ${ }_{26}^{26}$ | $\stackrel{25}{26}$ | 18 17 |  | 5 6 | 2 |
    | \$1,500- 11,749 | 30 | 29 | 18 |  | 2 2 | 3 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 37 | 37 | 30 |  | 6 | 1 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,499 | 65 | 65 | 38 | 1 | 20 | 6 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 56 | 56 | 40 |  | 7 | 9 |
    | \$3,000-\$4,999 | 123 | 122 | 85 | 3 | 22 | 12 |
    | \$5,000 and over- | 49 | 49 | 37 | 1 | 8 | 3 |
    | Income class(8) | A verage weeks of employment of principal earners ${ }^{2}$ <br> (9) | A verage earnings of principal earners ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |
    |  |  | All | Husbands | Wives | Others |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  | Male | Female |
    |  |  | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) |
    | All nonrelief families | 51 | \$1,774 | \$2, 023 | \$1, 228 | \$1,262 | \$1, 113 |
    |  | 37 <br> 49 <br> 47 <br> 47 <br> 49 <br> 49 <br> 52 <br> 49 <br> 51 <br> 52 <br> 52 <br> 52 | 211 | 211 | ----.-......- | ${ }^{(*)} 708$---- 641 |  |
    | \$500-\$749 |  |  | ${ }_{767} 4$ |  |  |  |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249- |  | 789 | 767 890 | (*) | 729 | (*) ${ }^{641}$ |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499. |  | 9871,072 | 1,1211,189 |  | 741 |  |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{(*)}$ | 878 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 |  | 1,2541,328 | 1,3611,480 | (*) | $\begin{array}{r}748 \\ 1,143 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | ${ }^{(*)} \mathbf{1 , 0 4 7}$ |
    | \$2,000-\$2,499. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,637 \\ & 1,982 \end{aligned}$ | 1,8092,183 | 1,520 | 1,3381,563 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{1}, 104 \\ & \mathbf{1 , 4 4 9} \\ & \mathbf{1}, 54 \end{aligned}$ |
    | \$5,000-\$4,999...- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

    For footnotes 1, 2, 3 see 2, 3, 4 of table 4 on p. 164. *Averages not computed for fewerthan 3 cases.

    ## ATLANTA, GA.

    Table 5.-Number of earners in family: Number of families with specified number of individual earners, family relationship of sole earners, and average number of supplementary earners per family, by income, 1985-36
    [White tamikies including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined
    

    Table 6.-Sole and supplementary earners: Number of families with individual earners; number and average earnings of supplementary earners classified as husbands, wives, and others; and average earnings of family from supplementary earners; by income, $1985-36$
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]

    | Income class | Number of families | Number of families with individual earners |  |  |  | Number of supplementary earners |  |  |  |  | Average earnings of supplementary earners ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  | Average earnings per family from supplementary earners ${ }^{2}$ |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  |  | Any | One only |  | More than one ${ }^{3}$ | All | Husbands <br> (8) | Wives <br> (g) | Others ${ }^{4}$ |  | All <br> (12) | Husbands <br> (13) | Wives <br> (14) | Others ${ }^{5}$ |  |  |
    |  |  |  | $\underset{\text { Any }}{\text { family }}$ <br> family member | Husband |  |  |  |  | Male | Female |  |  |  | Male | $\mathrm{Fe}-$ male |  |
    | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |  |  | (10) | (11) |  |  |  | (15) | (16) |  |
    | All families. | 14,323 | 13, 829 | 9,773 | 9,360 | 4,056 | 5, 191 | 762 | 1,469 | 1,702 | 1,258 | \$604 | \$595 | \$650 | \$572 | \$601 | \$219 |
    | Relief families | 1,918 | 1,762 | 1,228 | 1,066 | 534 | 678 | 148 | 124 | 252 | 154 | 213 | 244 | 204 | 217 | 183 | 75 |
    | Nonrelief families. | 12, 405 | 12, 067 | 8,545 | 8, 294 | 3, 522 | 4,513 | 614 | 1,345 | 1,450 | 1,104 | 663 | 680 | 691 | 633 | 659 | 241 |
    | \$0-\$249 | 63 | 44 | 40 | 35 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  | 58 | (*) | (*) | (*) |  | 4 |
    | \$250-\$499. | 259 | 222 | 192 | 169 | 30 | 34 | 4 | 11 | 12 | 7 | 93 | 77 | 106 | 74 | 117 | 12 |
    | \$500-\$749 | 725 | 653 | 553 | 533 | 100 | 107 | 31 | 35 | 33 | 8 | 147 | 174 | 158 | 102 | 181 | 22 |
    | \$750-\$999 | 970 | 909 | 744 | 701 | 165 | 192 | 36 | 71 | 56 | 29 | 199 | 229 | 212 | 191 | 144 | 39 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1,181 | 1,141 | 924 | 890 | 217 | 244 | 48 | 103 | 59 | 34 | 300 | 340 | 313 | 264 | 265 | 62 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1,081 | 1,053 | 790 | 767 | 263 | 301 | 53 | 115 | 80 | 53 | 348 | 424 | 388 | 273 | 297 | 97 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 1,262 | 1,237 | 933 | 907 | 304 | 340 | 65 | 114 | 103 | 58 | 460 | 505 | 506 | 382 | 456 | 124 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1,279 | 1,259 | 953 | 934 | 306 | 371 | 60 | 124 | 112 | 75 | 473 | 567 | 546 | 368 | 434 | 137 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,249 | 1,077 | 1,073 | 758 | 743 | 315 | 392 | 53 | 131 | 110 | 98 | 553 | 674 | 620 | 453 | 509 | 201 |
    | \$2,250-\$2,499 | -928 | , 923 | 634 | 625 | 289 | 379 | 51 | 119 | 114 | 95 | 595 | 666 | 708 | 538 | 484 | 243 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 1, 214 | 1,204 | 711 | 698 | 493 | 631 | 84 | 182 | 206 | 159 | 718 | 824 | 855 | 608 | 647 | 373 |
    | \$3,000-\$3,499 | 789 | 787 | 447 | 438 | 340 | 442 | 45 | 141 | 141 | 115 | 853 | 1,026 | 1,018 | 690 | 782 | 478 |
    | \$3,500-\$3,999 | 586 | 582 | 320 | 316 | 262 | 369 | 35 | 79 | 128 | 127 | 941 | 1,138 | 1,127 | 860 | 851 | 592 |
    | \$4,000-\$4,499 | 330 | 329 | 179 | 176 | 150 | 235 | 20 | 53 | 79 | 83 | 970 | 1, 065 | 1,193 | 889 | 882 | 691 |
    | \$4,500-\$4,999 | 188 | 186 | 93 | 91 | 93 | 154 | 8 | 26 | 61 | 59 | 1,017 | 1, 590 | 1,169 | 981 | 909 | 833 |
    | \$5,000-\$7,499 | 354 | 348 | 199 | 196 | 149 | 252 | 17 | 34 | 118 | 83 | 1,231 | 1,704 | 1,376 | 1,247 | 1,052 | 876 |
    | \$7,500-\$9,999 | 68 | 68 | 42 | 42 | 26 | 43 | 2 | 3 | 21 | 17 | 1, 460 | ${ }^{(*)}$ | 1,680 | 1, 378 | 1, 228 | 895 |
    | \$10,000 and over. | 51 | 49 | 33 | 33 | 16 | 23 | 1 | 3 | 15 | 4 | 2,198 | (*) | 2, 023 | 2,339 | 1, 450 | 991 |

    1 Averages in this section of the table are based on the corresponding counts of supplementary earners in the preceding section: "Number of supplementary earners."
    ${ }^{2}$ Averages in this column are based on the number of families in each class, column (2)
    Families that have supplementary earners.
    $s$ Average earnings of persons under 16 years of age amounted to: Males, $\$ 90$.
    *Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    ATLANTA, GA.
    Table 6A.-Sole and supplementary earners: Number of families with individual earners, number and average earnings of supplementary earners classified as husbands, wives, and others; and average earnings of family from supplementary earners; by occupation and income, 1935-96
    [White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]

    | Income class and occupational group | Number of families | Number of families with individual earners |  |  | Number of supplementary earners |  |  |  |  | Average earnings of supplementary earners ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  | Average earnings per family from supplementary earners ${ }^{2}$ <br> (16) |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | rs ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |  | Oth | rs ${ }^{5}$ |  |
    |  |  | Any | One only | than <br> one ${ }^{3}$ | All | Husbands | Wives | Male | $\mathrm{Fe}-$ male | All | Hus. bands | Wives | Male | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Fe}- \\ \text { male } \end{gathered}$ |  |
    | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) |  |
    | Wage earner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | All nonrelief families. | 4,583 | 4,583 | 3,217 | 1,366 | 1,750 | 197 | 515 | 628 | 410 | \$522 | \$526 | \$529 | \$505 | \$537 | \$199 |
    | \$0-\$499 | 153 | 153 | 135 | 18 | 20 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 86 | 87 | 91 | 63 | 112 | 11 |
    | \$500-\$749. | 439 | 439 | 371 | 68 | 73 | 22 | 23 | 23 | 5 | 155 | 179 | 183 | 96 | 191 | 26 |
    | \$750-\$999 | 578 | 578 | 467 | 111 | 130 | 24 | 50 | 35 | 21 | 208 | 241 | 222 | 189 | 167 | 47 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 619 | 619 | 489 | 130 | 148 | 23 | 66 | 40 | 19 | 308 | 395 | 326 | 240 | 282 | 74 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 559 | 559 | 395 | 164 | 189 | 24 | 77 | 51 | 37 | 358 | 444 | 427 | 270 | 281 | 121 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 570 | 570 | 410 | 160 | 186 | 21 | 65 | 67 | 33 | 479 | 584 | 556 | 381 | 459 | 156 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 453 | 453 | 323 | 130 | 167 | 15 | 45 | 65 | 42 | 443 | 606 | 499 | 581 | 420 | 163 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,499 | 645 | 645 | 413 | 232 | 321 | 24 | 90 | 120 | 87 | 546 | 536 | 689 | 494 | 471 | - 272 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 271 | 271 | 136 | 135 | 173 | 17 | 39 | 66 | 51 | 697 | 848 | 785 | 649 | 642 | 445 |
    | \$3,000-\$4,999... | 283 | 283 | 76 | 207 | 317 | 21 | 53 | 139 | 104 | 856 | 1,093 | 945 | 806 | 831 | 959 |
    | \$5,000 and over. | 13 | 13 | 2 | 11 | 26 | 2 | 1 | 16 | 7 | 1,153 | (*) | (*) | 1,276 | 933 | 2,307 |
    | Clerical |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | All nonrelief families. | 3,968 | 3,968 | 2,665 | 1,303 | 1,704 | 315 | 533 | 443 | 413 | 732 | 704 | 788 | 678 | 737 | 314 |
    | \$0-\$499 | 43 | 43 | 38 | 5 | 5 | , |  |  |  | 69 |  | (*) | ${ }^{*}$ *) |  | 8 |
    | \$500-\$749 | 96 | 96 | 84 | 12 | 12 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 132 | 200 | 112 | (*) | (*) | 16 |
    | \$750-\$999 | 215 | 215 | 183 | 32 | 35 | 10 | 11 | 10 | 4 | 169 | 193 | 160 | 169 | 138 | 28 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 338 | 338 | 281 | 57 | 63 | 22 | 21 | 13 | 7 | 263 | 283 | 237 | 285 | 234 | 49 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 310 | 310 | 238 | 72 | 82 | 26 | 26 | 19 | 11 | 344 | 416 | 326 | 305 | 285 | 91 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 429 | 429 | 326 | 103 | 111 | 36 | 34 | 27 | 14 | 465 | 496 | 464 | 397 | 517 | 120 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 494 | 494 | 375 | 119 | 136 | 38 | 53 | 26 | 19 | 514 | 569 | 584 | 309 | 493 | 142 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,499 | 834 | 834 | 580 | 254 | 314 | 62 | 123 | 61 | 68 | 613 | 734 | 638 | 501 | 558 | 231 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999. | 490 | 490 | 258 | 232 | 311 | 49 | 96 | 93 | 73 | 737 | 783 | 903 | 596 | 668 | 468 |
    | \$3,000-\$4,999 | 644 | 644 | 280 | 364 | 531 | 60 | 151 | 151 | 169 | 971 | 1, 105 | 1,155 | 890 | 837 | 802 |
    | \$5,000 and over. | 75 | 75 | 22 | 53 | 104 | 7 | 11 | 40 | 46 | 1,287 | 1,756 | 1,618 | 1,246 | 1,174 | 1,784 |

    For footnotes 1, 2, 3, see table 6 on p. 174.
    Includes persons under 16 years of age as follows: Wage earner families, 19 males and no females; clerical families, 5 males and no females
    ${ }^{8}$ Average earnings of persons under 16 years of age were as follows: Wage earner families, males, $\$ 57$; clerical families, males, $\$ 242$.

    Table 6A.-Sole and supplementary earners: Number of families with individual earners, number and average earnings of supplementary earners classified as husbands, wives, and others; and average earnings of family from supplementary earners; by occupation and income, 1935-36-Continued
    [White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]

    | Income class and occupational group(1) | Number of families | Number of families with individual earners |  |  | Number of supplementary earners |  |  |  |  | Average earnings of supplementary earners |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } \\ \text { earnings } \\ \text { per fam- } \\ \text { ily from } \\ \text { supple } \\ \text { mentary } \\ \text { earners } \end{gathered}$ |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  |  | Any <br> (3) | One only | Mne than one (5) | All <br> (6) | Husbands <br> (7) | Wives <br> (8) | Others |  | All <br> (11) | Husbands <br> (12) | Wives <br> (13) | Others |  |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Male | $\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {- }}$ |  |  |  | Male | $\mathrm{Fe}-$ |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (9) | (10) |  |  |  | (14) | (15) |  |
    | Business and professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | All nonrelief families. | 3,618 | 3,509 | 2,657 | 852 | 1,058 | 102 | 296 | 379 | 281 | 786 | 901 | 800 | 793 | 723 | 230 |
    | \$0-\$499 | 90 | 68 | 58 | 10 | 12 |  |  |  |  | 107 |  | 107 | 90 | ${ }^{*}$ * | 14 |
    | \$500-8749 | 138 | 116 | 96 | ${ }^{20}$ | $\stackrel{2}{27}$ | 5 | 6 | 9 | 2 | 130 | ${ }^{131}$ | 108 | 130 | (*) | ${ }_{39}^{21}$ |
    | \$750-\$999- | ${ }_{196}$ | 183 | 153 | 32 | 33 | ${ }_{3}^{2}$ | 16 | 16 | 8 | ${ }_{335}^{194}$ | 331 | 361 | 385 | 250 | 56 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499. | 193 | 183 | 156 | 27 | 30 | 3 | 12 | 10 | 5 | 292 | 345 | 273 | 224 | 438 | 45 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 246 | 238 | 197 | 41 | 43 | 8 | 15 | 9 | 11 | 360 | 338 | 379 | 343 | 365 | 63 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 316 | 311 | 254 | 57 | 68 | 7 | 26 | 21 | 14 | 464 | 471 | 550 | 400 | 395 | 100 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,499 | 520 | 517 | 399 | 118 | 136 | 18 | 37 | 43 | 38 | 548 | ${ }_{6} 62$ | ${ }_{6}^{678}$ | 494 | 446 | 143 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 446 | 443 | 317 | 126 | 147 | 18 | 47 | 47 | 35 | 701 | 911 | 815 | 576 | 609 | 231 |
    | \$3,000-\$4,999 | 960 | 957 | ${ }^{683}$ | 274 | 352 | 27 | 95 | 119 | 111 | 910 | 1,141 | 1,070 | 765 | 874 | 334 |
    | \$5,000 and over.. | 378 | 377 | 250 | 127 | 188 | 11 | 28 | 98 | 51 | 1,371 | 2, 195 | 1,405 | 1,437 | 1,048 | 682 |
    | Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | All nonrelief families.. | 230 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | (*) | .-- | (*) |  |  | (**) |

    Table 6B.-Sole and supplementary earners: Number of families with individual earners; number and average earnings of supplementary earners classified as husbands, wives, and others; and average earnings of family from supplementary earners; by family type and income, 1935-36
    [White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups combined]

    | Income class and family type | $\begin{gathered} \text { Num- } \\ \text { ber } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { fami- } \\ \text { lies } \\ \text { (2) } \end{gathered}$ | Number of families with individual earners |  |  | Number of supplementary earners |  |  |  |  | A verage earnings of supplementary earners ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  | Average earnings per family from supplementary earners ${ }^{2}$ <br> (16) |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  |  | Any <br> (3) | One only <br> (4) | More than one ${ }^{3}$ | All <br> (6) | Husbands <br> (7) | Wives <br> (8) | Others * |  | All <br> (11) | Husbands <br> (12) | Wives <br> (13) | Others |  |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Male <br> (9) | Female <br> (10) |  |  |  | Male <br> (14) | Female (15) |  |
    | Type I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | All nonrelief families. | 3, 175 | 2,965 | 2,337 | 626 | 629 | 92 | 528 | 3 | 6 | \$768 | \$716 | \$785 | \$184 | \$405 | \$152 |
    | \$0-\$499. | 131 | 95 | 92 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 |  |  | 154 | (*) | (*) |  |  | 4 |
    | \$500-\$749 | 209 | 162 | 149 | 13 | 13 | 4 | 9 |  |  | 182 | 224 | 163 |  |  | 11 |
    | \$750-\$999 | 264 | 226 | 200 | 26 | 26 | 5 | 20 | 1 |  | 260 | 240 | 270 | (*) |  | 26 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 347 | 323 | 281 | 42 | 42 | 10 | 32 |  |  | 316 | 318 | 315 |  |  | 38 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 253 | 232 | 185 | 47 | 47 | 10 | 36 |  | 1 | 403 | 520 | 373 |  | (*) | 75 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 332 | 315 | 262 | 53 | 53 | 10 | 43 |  |  | 477 | 473 | 478 |  |  | 76 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 331 | 321 | 255 | 66 | 66 | 11 | 55 |  |  | 599 | 680 | 583 |  |  | 120 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,499. | 527 | 521 | 393 | 128 | 129 | 16 | 112 |  | 1 | 766 | 891 | 753 |  | (*) | 187 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 296 | 293 | 197 | 96 | 96 | 11 | 83 | 1 | 1 | 945 | 1,052 | 948 | (*) | (*) | 306 |
    | \$3,000-\$4,999 | 404 | 399 | 256 | 143 | 145 | 14 | 127 | 1 | 3 | 1,193 | 1,224 | 1,212 | (*) | 565 | 428 |
    | \$5,000 and over. | 81 | 76 | 67 | 9 | 9 |  | 9 |  |  | 1,586 |  | 1,586 |  |  | 176 |
    | Types II and III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | All nonrelief families. | 3,542 | 3, 508 | 3,165 | 343 | 345 | 56 | 280 | 6 | 3 | 561 | 695 | 547 | 147 | 231 | 55 |
    | \$0-\$499 | 78 | 71 | 63 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 6 |  |  | 68 | (*) | 70 |  |  | 7 |
    | \$500-\$749. | 204 | 199 | 181 | 18 | 18 | 2 | 16 |  |  | 179 | (*) | 168 |  |  | 16 |
    | \$750-\$999 | 292 | 287 | 267 | 20 | 20 | 3 | 16 |  | 1 | 186 | 283 | 167 |  | ${ }^{*}$ ) | 13 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 389 | 383 | 342 | 41 | 42 | 6 | 35 |  | 1 | 275 | 361 | 262 |  | (*) | 30 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 371 | 371 | 323 | 48 | 48 | 6 | 38 | 3 | 1 | 410 | 542 | 414 | 141 | (*) | 53 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 421 | 418 | 386 | 32 | 32 | 3 | 29 |  |  | 484 | 433 | 489 |  |  | 37 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 417 | 412 | 377 | 35 | 35 | 8 | 26 | 1 |  | 533 | 684 | 502 | (*) |  | 45 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,499. | 580 | 579 | 524 | 55 | 56 | 12 | 43 | 1 |  | 651 | 794 | 623 | (*) |  | 63 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 306 | 304 | 262 | 42 | 42 | 9 | 32 | 1 |  | 830 | 987 | 805 | (*) |  | 114 |
    | \$3,000-\$4,999 | 406 | 406 | 367 | 39 | 39 | 5 | 34 |  |  | 1,091 | 1,359 | 1,052 |  |  | 105 |
    | \$5,000 and over. | 78 | 78 | 73 | 5 | 5 |  | 5 |  |  | 1,374 |  | 1,374 |  |  | 88 |

    For footnotes $1,2,3$, see table 6 on p. 174
    TInciudes persons under 16 years of age as follows: Families of types II and III. 2 males and no temales.
    *Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    Table 6B.-Sole and supplementary earners: Number of families with individual earners; number and average earnings of supplementary earners classified as husbands, wives, and others; and average earnings of family from supplementary earners; by family type and income, 1995-96-Continued
    [White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups combined]

    | Income class and family type(1) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Nrum- } \\ \text { ber } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { fami- } \\ \text { lies } \end{gathered}$ | Number of families with individual earners |  |  | Number of supplementary earners |  |  |  |  | A verage earnings of supplementary earners |  |  |  |  | Average earnings per family from supplementary earners <br> (16) |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  |  | Any | One only | More than one | All | Husbands | Wives | Others |  | All | Husbands | Wives | Others |  |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Male | Female |  |  |  | Male | Female |  |
    |  |  | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) |  |
    | Types IV and V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | All nonrelief families. | 4, 018 | 3,942 | 2,049 | 1,893 | 2,393 | 352 | 422 | 888 | 731 | \$672 | \$676 | \$701 | \$670 | \$655 | \$400 |
    | \$0-\$499. | 70 | 61 | 48 | 13 | 14 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 84 | (*) | (*) | 45 | 116 | 17 |
    | \$500-\$749 | 193 | 175 | 123 | 52 | 57 | 21 | 7 | 22 | 7 | 130 | 147 | 153 | 92 | 178 | 38 |
    | \$750-\$999. | 261 | 246 | 157 | 89 | 103 | 24 | 23 | 35 | 21 | 186 | 227 | 194 | 188 | 128 | 74 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 268 | 261 | 171 | 90 | 104 | 28 | 25 | 28 | 23 | 317 | 352 | 319 | 316 | 272 | 123 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 291 | 285 | 171 | 114 | 134 | 24 | 29 | 43 | 38 | 314 | 353 | 362 | 273 | 298 | 144 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 352 | 348 | 190 | 158 | 176 | 39 | 27 | 72 | 38 | 455 | 509 | 544 | 381 | 477 | 228 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 386 | 381 | 222 | 159 | 192 | 34 | 33 | 69 | 56 | 457 | 558 | 513 | 384 | 453 | 227 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,499 | 676 | 674 | 341 | 333 | 427 | 59 | 82 | 152 | 134 | 543 | 638 | 570 | 521 | 508 | 343 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 470 | 465 | 194 | 271 | 349 | 54 | 57 | 120 | 118 | 696 | 793 | 789 | 646 | 658 | 517 |
    | \$3,000-\$4,999 | 825 | 822 | 325 | 497 | 676 | 57 | 115 | 254 | 250 | 927 | 1,183 | 1,000 | 873 | 889 | 759 |
    | \$5,000 and over- | 226 | 224 | 107 | 117 | 161 | 10 | 22 | 88 | 41 | 1,467 | 2, 438 | 1,517 | 1,513 | 1,103 | 1,045 |
    | Types VI and VII |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | All nonrelief families. | 1,226 | 1,214 | 905 | 309 | 473 | 40 | 91 | 210 | 132 | 571 | 622 | 550 | 569 | 574 | 220 |
    | \$0-\$499. | 38 | 34 | 26 | 8 | 9 |  | 2 | 5 | 2 | 102 |  | (*) | 87 | (*) | 24 |
    | \$500-\$749. | 113 | 111 | 98 | 13 | 14 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 1 | 138 | 203 | 101 | 116 | (*) | 17 |
    | \$750-\$999 | 132 | 130 | 109 | 21 | 27 | 2 | 12 | 8 | 5 | 202 | (*) | 210 | 204 | 177 | 41 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 151 | 149 | 119 | 30 | 34 | 1 | 11 | 14 | 8 | 310 | (*) | 454 | 215 | 292 | 70 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 140 | 139 | 103 | 36 | 45 | 8 | 12 | 17 | 8 | 359 | 404 | 416 | 306 | 343 | 115 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 127 | 127 | 91 | 36 | 44 | 8 | 14 | 13 | 9 | 466 | 587 | 552 | 417 | 296 | 161 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 108 | 108 | 88 | 20 | 32 | 2 | 6 | 18 | 6 | 388 | (*) | 485 | 393 | 361 | 115 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,499 | 157 | 157 | 124 | 33 | 57 | 3 | 6 | 24 | 24 | 471 | 583 | 640 | 476 | 410 | 171 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 86 | 86 | 50 | 36 | 58 | 1 | 7 | 36 | 14 | 578 | (*) | 721 | 524 | 619 | 390 |
    | \$3,000-\$4,999 | 135 | 135 | 78 | 57 | 106 | 9 | 16 | 44 | 37 | 786 | 854 | ${ }_{*}^{972}$ | 253 | 728 | 617 |
    |  | 39 | 38 | 19 | 19 | 47 | 3 | 2 | 24 | 18 | 1,246 | 1,710 | (*) | 1,351 | 1,060 | 1, 502 |

    

    For footnotes $1,2,3$, see table 6, on p. 174 .
    4
    Includes VIII and other, 2 males and no females.
    *Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    ATLANTA, GA.
    Table $\%$-Earnings of supplementary earners: Number of supplementary earners with earnings of specified amount, by family income, 1935-36
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]

    | Income class | Number of families with any supplementary earners | Average earnings of supplementary earners | Number of supplementary earners with earnings of- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  |  |  | Any amount | $\begin{gathered} \text { Under } \\ \$ 50 \end{gathered}$ | \$50-\$99 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100- \\ \$ 199 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 200- \\ \$ 299 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 300- \\ \$ 399 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 400- \\ \$ 499 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 500- \\ \$ 599 \end{gathered}$ | $\$$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 700- \\ \$ 799 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 800- \\ & \$ 899 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 900- \\ \$ 999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,000- \\ & \$ 1,499 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,500- \\ & \$ 1,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 2,000 \\ \text { and } \\ \text { over } \end{gathered}$ |
    | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) | (17) | (18) |
    | All families | 4,056 | \$ 04 | 5,191 | 314 | 332 | 555 | 438 | 432 | 331 | 312 | 466 | 513 | 196 | 368 | 696 | 195 | 43 |
    | Relief families | 534 | 213 | 678 | 139 | 122 | 153 | 96 | 68 | 34 | 20 | 14 | 12 | 8 | 5 | 6 | 1 |  |
    | Nonrelief families | 3,522 | 663 | 4,513 | 175 | 210 | 402 | 342 | 364 | 297 | 292 | 452 | 501 | 188 | 363 | 690 | 194 | 43 |
    | \$0-\$249 | 4 | 58 | 4 | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$250-\$499 | 30 | 93 | 34 | 11 | 8 | 14 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$500-\$749 | 100 | 147 | 107 | 23 | 14 | 36 | 24 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$750-\$999 | 165 | 199 | 192 | 23 | 29 | 37 | 52 | 44 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 217 | 300 | 244 | 18 | 18 | 47 | 34 | 46 | 38 | 29 | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 263 | 348 | 301 | 18 | 25 | 48 | 38 | 40 | 43 | 32 | 52 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 304 | 460 | 340 | 10 | 21 | 38 | 27 | 31 | 33 | 53 | 64 | 57 | 6 |  |  |  |  |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 306 | 473 | 371 | 19 | 29 | 37 | 30 | 36 | 37 | 30 | 50 | 64 | 20 | 19 |  |  |  |
    | \$2,000-\$2,249 | 315 | 553 | 392 | 13 | 16 | 42 | 31 | 28 | 28 | 24 | 62 | 55 | 31 | 41 | 21 |  |  |
    | \$2,250-\$2,499 | 289 | 595 | 379 | 11 | 12 | 22 | 31 | 29 | 37. | 32 | 57 | 56 | 17 | 30 | 45 |  |  |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 493 | 718 | 631 | 11 | 20 | 30 | 37 | 38 | 30 | 36 | 68 | 98 | 37 | 81 | 145 |  |  |
    | \$3,000-\$3,499 | 340 | 853 | 442 | 4 | 8 | 14 | 11 | 26 | 18 | 23 | 30 | 61 | 20 | 61 | 141 | 25 |  |
    | \$3,500-\$3,999 | 262 | 941 | 369 | 4 | 2 | 14 | 10 | 15 | 15 | 16 | 20 | 35 | 21 | 54 | 112 | 51 |  |
    | \$4,000-\$4,499 | 150 | 970 | 235 | 4 | 2 | 11 | 2 | 11 | 4 | 10 | 13 | 26 | 14 | 23 | 85 | 29 | 1 |
    | \$4,500-\$4,999. | 93 | 1,017 | 154 |  | 1. | 8 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 11 | 17 | 12 | 16 | 50 | 21 | 3 |
    | \$5,000-\$7,499 | 149 | 1, 231 | 252 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 7 | 29 | 78 | 54 | 26 |
    | \$7,500-\$9,999...-- | 26 16 | 1,460 2,198 | 43 23 | 1 |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | -..-- |  | 1 | 7 | 2 1 | 5 4 | 10 3 | 10 4 | 7 |

    ATLANTA, GA.
    Table 8.-Husbands as earners: Number and average yearly earnings of husbands classified as principal or supplementary earners, by age and family income, 1995-96
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All occunational groups and all family types combined]
    

    1 Excludes 1 principal earner who did not report age.
    ${ }^{2}$ Averages for each age group are based on the corresponding numbers of husbands in the upper section of the table; the 2 averages for all age groups combined are based on the corresponding total numbers of husbands, including the one who did not report age.

    Table 9.-Wives as earners: Number and average yearly earnings of wives classified as principal or supplementary earners, by age and family income, 1935-36
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All oceupational groups and all family types combined]
    

    ## ATLANTA, GA.

    Table 10.-Money income other than earnings: Number of families receiving money income other than earnings, and average amount
    

    |  |  | Number of families receiving money income other than earnings from - |  |  |  |  | Average money income other than earnings received from ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | (1) | of families (2) | Any source <br> (3) | Rent from property (net) <br> (4) | Interest and dividends <br> (5) | Pensions, annuities, benefits <br> (6) | Gifts for current use <br> (7) | All sources <br> (8) | Rent from property (net) <br> (9) | Interest and dividends <br> (10) | Pensions, annuities, benefits <br> (11) | Gifts for current use <br> (12) | Miscellaneous sources ${ }^{3}$ <br> (13) |
    | All families | 14,323 | 2,340 | 798 | 417 | 599 | 397 | \$76 | \$16 | \$13 | \$26 | \$5 | \$16 |
    | Relief families Nonrelief families | 1,918 12,405 | 185 2,155 | 26 772 | 4 413 | 38 561 | 49 348 | 21 85 | 18 | (**) 15 | 6 30 | 3 5 | 11 17 |
    | \$0-\$249 | 63 | 12 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 31 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 11 | 11 |
    | \$250-\$499 | 259 | 52 | 15 | 3 | 11 | 29 | 41 | 8 | 1 | 13 | 19 | (**) |
    | \$500-\$749 | 725 | 112 | 32 | 5 | 46 | 30 | 52 | 9 | (**) | 29 | 11 |  |
    | \$750-\$999 | 970 | 139 | 39 | 5 | 53 | 36 | 52 | 9 | 1 | 29 | 9 | 4 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1,181 | 148 | 43 | 9 | 52 | 35 | 47 | 8 | 2 | 26 | 5 | 6 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1,081 | 139 | 39 | 23 | 51 | 25 | 50 | 8 | 2 | 28 | 3 | 9 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 1,262 | 180 | 61 | 28 | 53 | 29 | 56 | 11 | 3 | 26 | 4 | 12 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1,279 | 178 | 52 | 20 | 53 | 28 | 58 | 9 | 2 | 29 | 4 | 14 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,249 | 1,077 | 165 | 67 | 28 | 36 | 20 | 48 | 12 | 2 | 20 | 4 | 10 |
    | \$2,250-\$2,499 | , 928 | 136 | 57 | 30 | 31 | 17 | 59 | 19 | 6 | 21 | 3 | 10 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 1,214 | 247 | 93 | 42 | 60 | 31 | 90 | 18 | 6 | 37 | 4 | 25 |
    | \$3,000-\$3,499. | 789 | 185 | 78 | 46 | 36 | 24 | 96 | 34 | 8 | 25 | 5 | 24 |
    | \$3,500-\$3,999 - | 586 | 158 | 65 | 45 | 36 | 12 | 148 | 37 | 14 | 58 | 4 | 35 |
    | \$4,000-\$4,499 | 330 | 97 | 36 | 32 | 19 | 8 | 172 | 45 | 46 | 44 | 1 | 36 |
    | \$4,500-\$4,999 | 188 | 55 | 32 | 18 | 8 | ${ }^{6}$ | 194 | 66 | 65 | 34 | 5 | 24 |
    | \$5,000-\$7,499 | 354 | 107 | 48 | 45 | 12 | 11 | 283 | 79 | ${ }_{9}^{91}$ | ${ }_{(* *)}{ }^{50}$ | 4 | 59 |
    | \$7,500-\$9,999 | 68 | 20 | 9 | 13 | 1 | 1 | ${ }^{365}$ | 105 | ${ }_{1}^{132}$ | (**) | 27 | 101 |
    | \$10,000 and over.- | 51 | 25 | 4 | 20 | 1 | ...-.-.- | 1, 986 | 53 | 1,362 | 98 |  | 473 |

    1 See glossary for definition of "money income other than earnings."
    ${ }^{2}$ A verages are based on all families, column (2), whether or not they received money income other than earnings. amily members. See glossary for further definition of "profits."
    ** $\$ 0.50$ or less.

    Table 11.-Nonmoney income from owned homes: Number of families owning homes with and without mortgages, average rental value, average expense, and average nonmoney income from home ownership; by income, 1935-96
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]

    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Income class

    (1)} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Number of families} \& \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Homes free from mortgage} \& \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{Mortgaged homes} <br>
    \hline \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{All

    (2)} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Owning homes ${ }^{1}$} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Families owning homes free from mortgage} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Average rental value ${ }^{2}$ <br>
    (6)

    } \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{

    Average expense ${ }^{3}$ <br>
    (7)

    } \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{

    Average nonmoney income ${ }^{4}$ <br>
    (8)

    } \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Families owning mortgaged homes} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{

    Average rental valùe ? <br>
    (11)

    } \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Average expense ${ }^{3}$} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{

    Average nonmoney income ${ }^{4}$ <br>
    (14)

    } \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{

    Interest as percentage of rental value <br>
    (15)
    \end{tabular}} <br>

    \hline \& \& \& | Number |
    | :--- |
    | (4) | \& | Percentage ${ }^{5}$ |
    | :--- |
    | (5) | \& \& \& \& | Number |
    | :--- |
    | (9) | \& | Percent- age ${ }^{\text {s }}$ |
    | :--- |
    | (10) | \& \& | Interest |
    | :--- |
    | (12) | \& | Other |
    | :--- |
    | (13) | \& \& <br>

    \hline All families.. \& 14, 323 \& 4,293 \& 1,868 \& 44 \& \$428 \& \$112 \& \$316 \& 2,425 \& 56 \& \$452 \& \$138 \& \$116 \& \$198 \& 30 <br>
    \hline Relief families \& 1,918
    12,405 \& $\begin{array}{r}156 \\ 4,137 \\ \hline\end{array}$ \& $\begin{array}{r}63 \\ 1,805 \\ \hline\end{array}$ \& 40
    44 \& 228

    436 \& $\begin{array}{r}75 \\ 115 \\ \hline\end{array}$ \& | 153 |
    | :--- |
    | 321 | \& 93

    2,332 \& 60

    56 \& \begin{tabular}{l}
    282 <br>
    458 <br>
    \hline

    \& $\begin{array}{r}88 \\ 140 \\ \hline\end{array}$ \& $\begin{array}{r}87 \\ 117 \\ \hline\end{array}$ \& $\begin{array}{r}107 \\ 201 \\ \hline\end{array}$ \& 

    31 <br>
    30 <br>
    \hline
    \end{tabular} <br>

    \hline \$0-\$249 \& 63 \& 13 \& 4 \& ( $\dagger$ \& 196 \& 59 \& 137 \& 9 \& ( $\dagger$ \& 241 \& 76 \& 77 \& 88 \& 32 <br>
    \hline \$250-\$499 \& 259 \& 51 \& 38 \& 74 \& 233 \& 79 \& 154 \& 13 \& ${ }^{26}$ \& 316 \& 110 \& 93 \& 113 \& 35 <br>
    \hline \$500-\$749. \& 725 \& 87 \& 54 \& 62 \& 271 \& 86 \& 185 \& 33 \& 38 \& 264 \& 79 \& 82 \& 103 \& 30 <br>
    \hline \$750-\$999 - --...- \& 970 \& 168 \& 87 \& 52 \& 308 \& 92 \& 216 \& 81 \& 48 \& 291 \& 86 \& 89 \& 116 \& 30 <br>
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249 \& 1,181 \& 190 \& 94 \& 50 \& 282 \& 87 \& 195 \& 96 \& 50 \& 327 \& 108 \& 93 \& 126 \& 33 <br>
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499 \& 1,081 \& 257 \& 109 \& 42 \& 306 \& 91 \& 215 \& 148 \& 58 \& 333 \& 101 \& 95 \& 137 \& 30 <br>
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749- \& 1,262 \& 336 \& 148 \& 44 \& 316 \& 94 \& 222 \& 188 \& 56 \& 342 \& 108 \& 97 \& 137 \& 32 <br>
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999. \& 1,279 \& 353 \& 124 \& 35 \& 338 \& 96 \& 242 \& 229 \& 65 \& 362 \& 119 \& 100 \& 143 \& 33 <br>
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249. \& 1,077 \& 439 \& 182 \& 42 \& 358 \& 100 \& 258 \& 257 \& 58 \& 402 \& 117 \& 109 \& 176 \& 29 <br>
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499. \& , 928 \& 357 \& 159 \& 44 \& 420 \& 112 \& 308 \& 198 \& 56 \& 411 \& 123 \& 109 \& 179 \& 30 <br>
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 \& 1,214 \& 567 \& 238 \& 42 \& 463 \& 119 \& 344 \& 329 \& 58 \& 492 \& 146 \& 124 \& 222 \& 30 <br>
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,499 \& 789 \& 376 \& 142 \& 38 \& 516 \& 128 \& 388 \& 234 \& 62 \& 520 \& 164 \& 129 \& 227 \& 32 <br>
    \hline \$3,500-\$3,999. \& 586 \& 329 \& 134 \& 41 \& 534 \& 132 \& 402 \& 195 \& 59 \& 567 \& 176 \& 136 \& 255 \& 31 <br>
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,499. \& 330 \& 200 \& 83 \& 42 \& 565 \& 137 \& 428 \& 117 \& 58 \& 613 \& 177 \& 145 \& 291 \& 29 <br>
    \hline \$4,500-\$4,999. \& 188 \& 107 \& 52 \& 49 \& 603 \& 142 \& 461 \& 55 \& 51 \& 633 \& 188 \& 150 \& 295 \& 30 <br>
    \hline \$5,000-\$7,499 \& 354 \& 220 \& 110 \& 50 \& 692 \& 158 \& 534 \& 110 \& 50 \& 754 \& 228 \& 169 \& 357 \& 30 <br>
    \hline \$7,500-\$9,999 \& 68 \& 51 \& 25 \& 49 \& 893 \& 211 \& 682 \& 26 \& 51 \& 845 \& 246 \& 184 \& 415 \& 29 <br>
    \hline \$10,000 and over. \& 51 \& 36 \& 22 \& 61 \& 1,039 \& 217 \& 822 \& 14 \& 39 \& 913 \& 266 \& 196 \& 451 \& 29 <br>
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    ${ }^{1}$ Includes all families occupying owned homes at any time during the report year, but excludes 9 families whose expenses exactly equaled the annual rental value of their homes.
    ${ }^{2}$ Based on estimate made by home owner, for period of ownership and occupancy during report year. This period averages, in general, approximately 12 months. value and expense.

    Nonmoney income for period of ownership and occupanc
    5 Based on number of families owning homes, column (3).
    $\dagger$ Percentages not computed for fewer than 30 cases.

    Table 12.-Monthly rental value: Number of home-owning families having homes with specified monthly rental value, by income, $1935-36{ }^{1}$
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]

    | Income class | Number of homeowning and renting families | Home-owning families |  | Average monthly rental value of owned homes ${ }^{2}$ <br> (5) | Number of home-owning families reporting monthly rental value of- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  |  |  | Per- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$100 |
    |  |  | $\underset{\text { ber }}{\text { Num- }}$ | centage ${ }^{3}$ |  | $\left.\right\|^{\text {Under }}$ | \$5-\$9 | \$10-\$14 | \$15-\$10 | \$20-\$24 | \$25-\$29 | \$30-\$34 | \$35-\$39 | \$40-\$44 | \$45-\$49 | \$50-\$54 | \$55-\$64 | \$65-\$74 | \$75-\$99 | and over |
    | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |  | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) | (17) | (18) | (19) | (20) |
    | All families | 13,959 | 4,280 | 31 | \$37.40 | 3 | 19 | 114 | 251 | 352 | 698 | 547 | 570 | 481 | 224 | 372 | 270 | 137 | 168 | 74 |
    | Relief families. | 1,863 | 160 | 9 | 22.00 | 2 | 6 | 24 | 32 | 33 | 28 | 12 | 12 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |
    | Nonrelief families. | 12,096 | 4, 120 | 34 | 38.00 | 1 | 13 | 90 | 219 | 319 | 670 | 535 | 558 | 477 | 222 | 368 | 269 | 137 | 168 | 74 |
    | \$0-\$249 | 60 | 13 | 22 | 21.80 |  |  | 1 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$250-\$499 | 249 | 51 | 20 | 21. 10 |  | 2 | 8 | 14 | 8 | 9 | 5 | 1 | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
    | \$500-\$749 | 702 | 88 | 12 | 22. 40 |  | 3 | 10 | 16 | 16 | 23 | 10 | 6 | 3 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
    | \$750-\$999 | 943 | 168 | 18 | 25.40 | 1 | 3 | 16 | 26 | 24 | 46 | 21 | 12 | 10 | 2 | 4 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249. | 1,140 | 188 | 16 | 26.40 |  |  | 10 | 29 | 32 | 48 | 23 | 24 | 12 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | .... |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1,054 | 256 | 24 | 27.60 |  | 1 | 10 | 25 | 47 | 68 | 42 | 29 | 15 | 7 | 5 | 6 |  | , |  |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 1,219 | 329 | 27 | 28.20 |  | 1 | 16 | 33 | 59 | 73 | 43 | 52 | 30 | 5 | 10 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1,240 | 348 | 28 | 30.60 |  | 2 | 6 | 31 | 36 | 70 | 72 | 51 | 41 | 13 | 18 | 4 | 3 | 1 |  |
    | \$2,000-\$2,249 | 1,049 | 438 | 42 | 32.40 |  | 1 | 5 | 23 | 33 | 97 | 76 | 82 | 59 | 26 | 22 | 9 | 5 |  |  |
    | \$2,250-\$2,499 | 906 | 357 | 39 | 35.30 |  |  | 2 | 6 | 20 | 70 | 61 | 73 | 55 | 15 | 33 | 14 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 1,200 | 566 | 47 | 40.50 |  |  | 4 | 3 | 18 | 71 | 70 | 93 | 104 | 57 | 65 | 44 | 19 | 17 | 1 |
    | \$3,000-\$3,499. | 778 | 378 | 49 | 43.70 |  |  | 1 | 5 | 9 | 35 | 43 | 48 | 54 | 26 | 75 | 41 | 24 | 17 |  |
    | \$3,500-\$3,999 | 573 | 327 | 57 | 46.60 |  |  |  | 4 | 6 | 32 | 39 | 34 | 40 | 24 | 46 | 47 | 22 | 28 | 5 |
    | \$4,000-\$4,499 | 327 | 200 | 61 | 49.80 |  |  |  |  | 8 | 8 | 9 | 22 | 25 | 19 | 31 | 37 | 20 | 19 | 2 |
    | \$4,500-\$4,999 | 188 | 107 | 57 | 53.10 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 4 | 8 | 12 | 12 | 4 | 21 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 4 |
    | \$5,000-\$7,499 | 349 | 219 | 63 | 61.40 |  |  | 1 | ---- |  | 11 | 12 | 14 | 12 | 17 | 27 | 34 | 17 | 46 | 28 |
    | \$7,500-\$9,999 | 68 | 51 | 75 | 72. 20 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 9 | 4 | 12 | 13 |
    | \$10,000 and over. | 51 | 36 | 71 | 82.50 | ----- |  |  | ------ |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 8 | 16 |

    1 Includes only those families that did not change living quarters between the end of the report year and the date of interview. Families are classified as home-owning families or as renting families according to their status at the date of interview.
    ${ }_{3}^{2}$ Based on estimate made by home owner for period of ownership and occupancy during report year. Averages are based on the number of home-owning families, column (3). ${ }^{3}$ Based on the number of home-owning and renting families, column (2).

    Table 13.-Monthly rent: Number of renting families reporting specified monthly rent, by income, 1935-\$6 ${ }^{1}$
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    

    ## ATLANTA, GA.

    Table 14A.-Average monthly rental value and average monthly rent: Number of home-owning and renting families, average monthly rental value, and average monthly rent, by occupation and income, 1935-36 ${ }^{1}$
    [White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]

    | Income class | Occupational group: Wage earner |  |  |  |  |  | Occupational group: Clerical |  |  |  |  |  | Occupational group: Business and professional |  |  |  |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | Number of families- |  | Percentage of home-owning and renting families ?- |  | Average monthly- |  | Number of families- |  | Percentage of home-owning and renting families? |  | Average monthly- |  | Number of families- |  | Percentage of home-owning and renting families ${ }^{2}$ |  | Average monthly- |  |
    |  | Homeowning <br> (2) | Renting (3) | Homeowning <br> (4) | Renting (5) | Rental value ${ }^{3}$ <br> (6) | Rent ${ }^{4}$ <br> (7) | Homeowning <br> (8) | Renting <br> (9) | Homeowning (10) | Renting <br> (11) | Rental value ${ }^{3}$ (12) | Rent * <br> (13) | Homeowning (14) | Renting (15) | Homeowning <br> (16) | Renting (17) | Rental value ${ }^{3}$ <br> (18) | Rent ${ }^{4}$ <br> (19) |
    | All nonrelief families ${ }^{8}$ | 1,276 | 3,186 | 29 | 71 | \$29. 30 | \$17.20 | 1,233 | 2,632 | 32 | 68 | \$38.40 | \$28.80 | 1,486 | 2, 050 | 42 | 58 | \$45. 50 | \$34.40 |
    | \$0-\$499. | 18 | 129 | 12 | 88 | 17.30 | 10.50 | 9 | 30 | 23 | 77 | 24.20 | 16.60 | 24 | 63 | 28 | 72 | 23. 10 | 15.80 |
    | \$500-\$749. | 24 | 397 | 6 | 94 | 18.80 | 11. 50 | 12 | 82 | 13 | 87 | 21.10 | 16.00 | 31 | 105 | 23 | 77 | 23.70 | 18.20 |
    | \$750-\$999 | 66 | 493 | 12 | 88 | 20.00 | 13.40 | 30 | 178 | 14 | 86 | 30.10 | 17.30 | 44 | 90 | 33 | 67 | 27.20 | 18.00 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249. | 79 | 516 | 13 | 87 | 23.70 | 15.70 | 45 | 284 | 14 | 86 | 26.70 | 20.80 | 53 | 135 | 28 | 72 | 29.20 | 23.30 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 122 | 427 | 22 | 78 | 23.20 | 16.50 | 62 | 240 | 20 | 80 | 29.80 | 22.80 | 59 | 126 | 32 | 68 | 33.80 | 22.20 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 146 | 409 | 26 | 74 | 24.40 | 19.20 | 98 | 311 | 24 | 76 | 29.90 | 25.30 | 74 | 164 | 31 | 69 | 31.80 | 27.20 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 154 | 288 | 35 | 65 | 26. 50 | 21.30 | 91 | 388 | 19 | 81 | 33.10 | 29.30 | 94 | 209 | 31 | 69 | 34.40 | 30.40 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,499. | 312 | 319 | 49 | 51 | 30.50 | 23.40 | 281 | 533 | 34 | 66 | 35.30 | 32. 20 | 200 | 305 | 40 | 60 | 36.50 | 33.90 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 155 | 114 | 58 | 42 | 36.90 | 26.30 | 223 | 261 | 46 | 54 | 40.70 | 35.50 | 182 | 258 | 41 | 59 | 42.90 | 37.20 |
    | \$3,000-\$4,999 | 192 | 89 | 68 | 32 | 38.80 | 30.00 | 334 | 298 | 53 | 47 | 46.10 | 41. 10 | 480 | 467 | 51 | 49 | 50.40 | 45.20 |
    | \$5,000 and over | 8 | 5 | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | 38.80 | 30.60 | 48 | 27 | 64 | 36 | 54.60 | 59.90 | 245 | 128 | 66 | 34 | 67.90 | 64.30 |

    ${ }^{1}$ Includes only those families that did not change living quarters between the end of the report year and the date of interview. Families are classified as home-owning families or as renting families according to their status at the date of interview. Includes 2 families that did not report monthly rent.
    ${ }_{3}^{2}$ Based on the number of home-owning and renting families in the respective occupational groups.
    ort year. Averages are based on the number of home-owning families as of end of report Rent a of which is estimated by the family.
    bof the families classified in the occupational group as "Other," 233 did not change their living quarters between the end of the report year and the date of interview. Of the latter group 125 families, or 54 percent, were owning families. Their average monthly rental value was $\$ 35.20$. The remaining 108 families, or 46 percent, were renting families. Their average monthly rent was $\$ 23$.
    $\dagger$ Percentages not computed for fewer than 30 cases.

    ## ATLANTA, GA.

    Table 14B.-Average monthly rental value and average monthly rent: Number of home-owning and renting families, average monthly rental value, and average monthly rent, by family type, and income, 1935-36 ${ }^{1}$
    [White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups combined]

    | Income class | Family type I |  |  |  |  |  | Family types II and III |  |  |  |  |  | Family types IV and V |  |  |  |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | Number of families- |  | Percentage of home-owning and renting families ${ }^{2-}$ |  | Average monthly- |  | Number of families- |  | Percentage of home-owning and renting families ${ }^{2}$ |  | Average monthly- |  | Number of families- |  | Percentage of home-owning and renting families ${ }^{2}$ |  | A verage monthly- |  |
    |  | Homeowning <br> (2) | Renting (3) | Homeowning <br> (4) | Renting <br> (5) | Rental value ${ }^{3}$ <br> (6) | Rent (7) | Homeowning <br> (8) | Renting <br> (9) | Homeowning <br> (10) | Renting <br> (11) | Rental value ${ }^{3}$ <br> (12) | Rent <br> (13) | Homeowning <br> (14) | Renting <br> (15) | Homeowning <br> (16) | Renting (17) | Rental value ${ }^{3}$ (18) | Rent ${ }^{4}$ <br> (19) |
    | All nonrelief families. | 988 | 2, 092 | 32 | 68 | \$38.40 | \$27.80 | 889 | 2,537 | 26 | 74 | \$37.00 | \$24. 50 | 1, 743 | 2,213 | 44 | 56 | \$38.70 | \$27. 10 |
    | \$0-\$499- | 37 40 | 91 162 | 29 20 | 71 80 | 20.80 23.60 | 13.90 15.80 | 9 7 | 64 186 | 12 | 88 96 | 24.30 21.10 | 10.90 11. 90 | 15 | 53 156 | 22 | 78 81 | 21.70 21.90 | 16.00 14.80 |
    | \$750-\$999 | 68 | 189 | 26 | 74 | 29.00 | 16. 40 | 19 | 260 | 7 | 93 | 18.00 | 13. 80 | 67 | 191 | 26 | 74 | 24.60 | 15.80 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 73 | 280 | 22 | 78 | 28.40 | 20.00 | 36 | 338 | 10 | 90 | 23.30 | 17.10 | 62 | 197 | 24 | 76 | 27.00 | 20. 70 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 70 | 179 | 28 | 72 | 31.60 | 21. 90 | 57 | 303 | 16 | 84 | 26.20 | 18. 40 | 103 | 180 | 36 | 64 | 26. 60 | 20.70 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 90 | 230 | 28 | 72 | 30.50 | 25.90 | 86 | 323 | 21 | 79 | 27.60 | 22. 60 | 114 | 226 | 34 | 66 | 28.40 | 22. 30 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 83 | 236 | 26 | 74 | 33. 60 | 30.50 | 104 | 297 | 26 | 74 | 29.70 | 26. 30 | 130 | 248 | 34 | 66 | 30.20 | 26.60 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,499 | 178 | 330 | 35 | 65 | 36.70 | 32. 60 | 215 | 346 | 38 | 62 | 33.10 | 32. 20 | 313 | 356 | 47 | 53 | 33.50 | 28.30 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999. | 127 | 162 | 44 | 56 | 44. 60 | 36. 70 | 125 | 179 | 41 | 59 | 39.50 | 36. 60 | 251 | 215 | 54 | 46 | 39.10 | 33. 10 |
    | \$3,000-\$4,999 | 179 | 216 | 45 | 55 | 49.80 | 44. 60 | 187 | 209 | 47 | 53 | 51.10 | 45. 10 | 492 | 327 | 60 | 40 | 45. 80 | 40.50 |
    | \$5,000 and over. | 43 | 37 | 54 | 46 | 76. 20 | 68.80 | 44 | 32 | 58 | 42 | 62.30 | 66. 60 | 160 | 64 | 71 | 29 | 64.50 | 61.70 |


    | Income class | Family types VI and VII |  |  |  |  |  | Family types VIII and other |  |  |  |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | Number of families- |  | Percentage of homeowning and renting families ${ }^{2}$ |  | A verage monthly- |  | Number of families- |  | Percentage of homeowning and renting families ${ }^{2}$ - |  | A verage monthly- |  |
    |  | Homeowning <br> (2) | Renting <br> (3) | Homeowning <br> (4) | Renting <br> (5) | Rental value ${ }^{3}$ <br> (6) | Rent ${ }^{4}$ <br> (7) | Homeowning <br> (8) | Renting <br> (9) | Homeowning <br> (10) | Renting <br> (11) | Rental value ${ }^{2}$ (12) | Rent 4 <br> (13) |
    | All nonrelief families. | 325 | 870 | 27 | 73 | $\$ 34.80$ | \$19.20 | 175 | 264 | 40 | 60 | \$41. 10 | \$25. 80 |
    | \$0-\$499 |  | 35 |  | 100 |  | 12.00 | 3 | 2 | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ | 15. 70 | (*) |
    | \$500-\$749 | 5 | 104 | 5 | 95 | 17.40 | 11.80 |  | 6 |  | (t) |  | 9. 30 |
    | \$750-\$989 | 12 | 116 | 9 | 91 | 21. 60 | 13. 30 | 2 | 19 | (i) | ( $\dagger$ | (*) | 15.90 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 13 | 136 | 9 | 91 | 22. 30 | 15. 30 | 4 | 21 | (t) | ( $\dagger$ | 22. 50 | 18.56 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 23 | 113 | 17 | 83 | 24.50 | 16. 10 | 3 | 23 | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ | 23. 30 | 18. 30 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 34 | 86 | 28 | 72 | 23.70 | 17.00 | 5 | 25 | 17 | 83 | 24.00 | 24.20 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 25 | 80 | 24 | 76 | 26. 60 | 23.00 | 6 | 31 | 16 | 84 | 25.80 | 22.70 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,499.. | 68 | 86 | 44 | 56 | 28. 70 | 25. 00 | 21 | 42 | 39 | 67 | 32.20 | 22.60 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 39 79 | 47 5 5 | 45 59 | 55 41 | 38.30 43.10 | 28.20 39.50 | 24 75 | 31 <br> 47 <br> 1 | 44 62 | 56 38 | 41. 40 | 31.70 32.60 |
    | \$5,000 and over. | 27 | 12 | 69 | 31 | 66. 50 | 53.80 | 32 | 17 | 65 | 35 | 61. 60 | 50.90 |

    ${ }^{1}$ Includes only those families that did not change living quarters between the end of the report year and the date of interview. Families are classified as home-owning families or as renting families according to their status at the date of interview. Includes 2 families that did not report monthly rent.
    ${ }^{2}$ Based on the number of home-owning and renting families in the respective family types. of report year. of which is estimated by the family.
    $\dagger$ Percentage not computed for fewer than 30 cases.
    A verages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    ## ATLANTA, GA,

    Table 15.-Type of living quarters: Number and percentage of owning families occupying specified types of living quarters, by income, 1935-36 ${ }^{1}$
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]

    | Income class | Number of owning tamilies | Number of owning families occupying - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  |  | One-family house |  | Two-family house |  | Apartment building for- |  |  | Dwelling unit in business building <br> (10) | Other <br> (11) |
    |  |  | Detached <br> (3) | At. tached <br> (4) | Side by side <br> (5) | Twodecker <br> (6) | Three families <br> (7) | Four families <br> (8) | Five or more families <br> (9) |  |  |
    | All families....-.... | Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  | 4,280 | 3,942 | 8 | 168 | 90 | 30 | 16 | 16 | 8 | 2 |
    | Relief families Nonrelief families. | $\begin{array}{r} 160 \\ 4,120 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 148 \\ 3,794 \end{array}$ | 8 | 8888 | r 2 | 1 29 | 16 | 16 | 1 | 2 |
    | \$0-\$249 | 13 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$250-\$499 | 51 | 46 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 3 |  |  |  |
    | \$500-\$749 | 88 | 81 |  | 4 | 2 |  | 1 |  |  |  |
    | \$750-\$989 | 168 | 149 | 1 | 12 | 4 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 188 | 167 |  | 10 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 1 |  |  |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 $\ldots \ldots$ | 256 | 234 | 1 | 17 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 $\ldots \ldots$ | 329 | 302 |  | 19 | 3 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 348 | 324 | 2 | 12 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 |  |  |
    | \$2.000-\$2,249 ...... | 438 | 407 |  | 22 | 6 |  |  | 3 |  |  |
    | \$2,250-\$2,499. | 357 | 326 |  | 11 | 6 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 2 |  |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 $\ldots . .$. | 566 | 521 | 2 | 22 | 12 | 3 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |
    | \$3,000-\$3,499 | 378 | 354 |  | 10 | 9 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |
    | \$3,500-\$3,999 | 327 | 308 |  | 6 | 8 | 2 | 2 |  | 1 |  |
    | \$4,000-\$4,499 | 200 | 182 |  | 5 | 10 |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |
    | \$4,500-\$4,999 $\ldots .$. | 107 | 94 |  | 5 | 6 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
    | \$5,000-\$7,499 | 219 | 202 |  | 3 | 8 | 3 |  | 1 | 2 |  |
    | \$7,500-\$9,999 | 51 | 49 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$10,000 and over-- | 36 | 35 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
    | All families--.-.-.--- | Percentage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  | 100 | 92 | ( $\ddagger$ ) | 4 | 2 | 1 | ( $\ddagger$ | ( $\ddagger$ | ( $\ddagger$ | ( + |
    | Relief families. Nonrelief families. - | 100 100 | 92 92 | (1) ${ }^{-\cdots}$ | 5 4 | 1 | 1 | ( $\ddagger$ | (\$) ${ }^{+\cdots}$ | $( \pm) 1$ |  |
    |  | 100 | 92 | (4) | 4 | 2 | 1 | ( + | ( | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\ddagger$ |
    | \$0-\$249 | 100 | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$250-\$499 | 100 | 90 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 6 |  |  |  |
    | \$500-\$749 | 100 | 92 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 |  |  |  |
    | \$750-\$999 | 100 | 88 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
    | \$1.000-\$1,249 | 100 | 89 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 100 | 91 | ( $\ddagger$ | 7 | 1 | ( $\ddagger$ |  |  |  |  |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 100 | 91 |  | 6 | 1 |  |  | ( $\ddagger$ ) | 1 |  |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 $\ldots . .$. | 100 | 93 |  | 3 | 1 | ( $\ddagger$ | 1 |  |  |  |
    | \$2,000-\$2,249 $\ldots$ | 100 | 93 | ------- | 5 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
    | \$2,250-\$2,499 | 100 | 90 |  | 3 | 2 |  | (\$) |  | 1 |  |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 $\ldots \ldots$ | 100 | 92 | ( $\ddagger$ | 4 | 2 | ( $\ddagger$ | ( $\ddagger$ | ( $\ddagger$ |  | ( $\ddagger$ |
    | \$3,000-\$3,499 $\ldots$ | 100 | 94 |  | 3 | 2 | 1 | ( $\ddagger$ ) |  |  |  |
    | \$3,500-\$3,999 | 100 | 94 |  | 2 | 2 | 1 | (t) 1 |  | ( $\ddagger$ | --...-... |
    | \$4,000-\$4,499 | 100 | 91 |  | 3 | 5 |  | ( $\ddagger$ | 1 |  |  |
    | \$4,500-\$4,999 | 100 100 | 87 |  | 5 1 | 6 4 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
    | \$5,000-\$7,499 | 100 | 93 96 |  | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 4 | 1 |  | ( $\ddagger$ | 1 |  |
    | \$10,000 and over-- | 100 | 97 |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |


    ## ATLANTA, GA.

    Table 16.-Type of living quarters: Number and percentage of renting families occupying specified types of living quarters, by income, 1935-36 ${ }^{1}$
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]

    | Income class | Number of owning families <br> (2) | Number of renting families occupying- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  |  | One-family house |  | Two-family house |  | Apartment building for- |  |  | Dwelling unit in business building | Other(11) |
    |  |  | Detached <br> (3) | Attached <br> (4) | Side by side (5) | Twodecker <br> (6) | Three families <br> (7) | Four families <br> (8) | Five or more families <br> (9) |  |  |
    | All families <br> Relief families <br> Nonrelief families. . | Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  | 9,679 | 5,478 | 66 | 1,474 | 522 | 171 | 368 | 1,479 | 79 | 42 |
    |  | 1,703 | 1,060 | 16 | 314 | 117 | 22 | 56 | 84 | 22 | 12 |
    |  | 7,976 | 4,418 | 50 | 1,160 | 405 | 149 | 312 | 1,395 | 57 | 30 |
    | \$0-\$249 | 47 | 25 | 2 | 10 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 1 |
    | \$250-\$499 | 198 | 112 | 2 | 50 | 10 | 3 | 4 | 10 | 3 | 4 |
    | \$500-\$749 | 614 | 355 | 8 | 123 | 36 | 13 | 33 | 33 | 9 | 4 |
    | \$750-\$999 $\ldots$......- | 775 | 451 | 7 | 156 | 36 | 17 | 41 | 47 | 13 | 7 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 952 | 539 | 9 | 190 | 40 | 12 | 37 | 107 | 10 | 8 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 $\ldots .$. | 798 | 470 | 3 | 156 | 28 | 19 | 27 | 88 | 4 | 3 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 $\ldots \ldots$ | 890 | 500 | 8 | 132 | 48 | 22 | 41 | 133 | 5 | 1 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 $\ldots$ | 892 | 483 | 3 | 123 | 42 | 10 | 35 | 193 | 2 | 1 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,249 $\ldots \ldots$ | 611 | 331 | 1 | 75 | 33 | 14 | 21 | 134 | 2 |  |
    | \$2,250-\$2,499 $\ldots \ldots$ | 549 | 277 | 3 | 45 | 34 | 10 | 26 | 152 | 2 |  |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 $\ldots \ldots$ | 634 | 332 |  | 49 | 37 | 10 | 21 | 181 | 3 | 1 |
    | \$3,000-\$3,499 $\ldots$ | 400 | 209 | 2 | 24 | 22 | 7 | 10 | 124 | 2 | -...-.-. |
    | \$3,500-\$3,999 $\ldots . .$. | 246 | 128 |  | 17 | 17 | 6 | 5 | 73 |  |  |
    | \$4,000-\$4,499 | 127 | 69 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 3 | 5 | 38 | 1 |  |
    | \$4,500-\$4,999 $\ldots$ | 81 | 47 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 25 |  |  |
    | \$5,000-\$7,499 $\ldots . .$. | 130 | 75 |  | 5 | 6 | -- | 2 | 41 | 1 |  |
    | \$7,500-\$9,999 $\ldots$ | 17 | 8 |  | 1 | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
    | All families...---..-- | Percentage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  | 100 | 57 | 1 | 15 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 15 | 1 | ( $\ddagger$ |
    | Relief families Nonrelief families. | 100 | 63 | 1 | 18 | 7 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 1 |
    |  | 100 | 55 | 1 | 14 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 18 | 1 | ( $\ddagger$ |
    | \$0-\$249 | 100 | 54 | 4 | 22 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 4 |  | 2 |
    | \$250-\$499 ......--- | 100 | 56 | 1 | 25 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 2 |
    | \$500-\$749......... | 100 | 58 | 1 | 20 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 1 |
    | \$750-\$999 | 100 | 58 | 1 | 20 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 1 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 100 | 57 | ( 1 | 20 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 11 | 1 | (t) 1 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 100 | 59 | ( $\ddagger$ ) | 20 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 11 | ( $\ddagger$ ) | $(\ddagger)$ |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 100 | 56 | 1 | 15 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 15 |  | ( $\ddagger$ |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 $\ldots \ldots$. | 100 | 54 | (\$) | 14 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 22 | ( $\ddagger$ | ( $\ddagger$ |
    | \$2,000-\$2,249 $\ldots$. | 100 | 55 | (\$) | 12 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 22 | (t) |  |
    | \$2,250-\$2,499 $\ldots .$. | 100 | 50 | ( $\ddagger$ | 8 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 28 | ( $\ddagger$ |  |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 ...... | 100 | 52 |  | 8 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 28 | (\$) | ( $\ddagger$ |
    | \$3,000-\$3,499 $\ldots$ | 100 | 52 | ( $\ddagger$ | 6 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 31 | ( $\ddagger$ |  |
    | \$3,500-\$3,999 $\ldots \ldots$ | 100 | 52 | -..--- | 7 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 30 |  |  |
    | \$4,000-\$4,499 $\ldots$ | 100 | 54 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 30 | 1 |  |
    | \$4,500-\$4,999 $\ldots$ | 100 | 59 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 31 |  |  |
    | \$5,000-\$7,499 $\ldots$ | 100 | 57 |  | (t) 4 |  |  | 2 | 31 | 1 |  |
    | \$7,500-\$9,999 | 100 | ( $\dagger$ |  | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  | (t) |  |  |
    | \$10,000 and over -- | 100 | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  |  |  |  | ( $\dagger$ |  |  |

    ${ }^{1}$ Includes only those families that did not change living quarters between the end of the report year and the date of interview.
    $\dagger$ Percentages not computed for fewer than 30 cases.
    $\ddagger 0.5$ percent or less.

    Table 1\%.-Members of household not in economic family: Number of families having persons in the household who were not members
    of the economic family, and average number of such nonfamily members, by income, 1935-36 [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]

    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Income class

    (1)} \& \multirow{3}{*}{Numfamilies} \& \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{Number of families having in the household nonfamily members of specified type ${ }^{1}$ -} \& \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{Average number of nonfamily members of specified type ${ }^{2}$ (based on families having such members)-} <br>

    \hline \& \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\[
    $$
    \begin{gathered}
    \text { Any } \\
    \text { non- } \\
    \text { family } \\
    \text { mem- } \\
    \text { ber }
    \end{gathered}
    $$

    \]} \& \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Occupying rooms on nontransient basis} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Boarders without room} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Tourists and transients} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{| Guests |
    | :--- |
    | (10) |} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\[

    \left.$$
    \begin{gathered}
    \text { All } \\
    \text { fon- } \\
    \text { family } \\
    \text { mem- } \\
    \text { bers }
    \end{gathered}
    $$ \right\rvert\,

    \]} \& \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Occupying rooms on montransient basis} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{| Boarders without room |
    | :--- |
    | (16) |} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Tourists and transients} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{| Guests |
    | :--- |
    | (18) |} <br>


    \hline \& \& \& | Sons and daughters rooming boarding |
    | :--- |
    | (4) | \& Other roomers with (5) \& Room-

    ers
    without
    board

    (6) \& \begin{tabular}{l}
    Paid help <br>
    (7)

    \& \& \& \& \& 

    Sons and daughters rooming boarding <br>
    (12)
    \end{tabular} \& Other

    roomers
    with
    board

    (13) \& | Room- |
    | :---: |
    | ers |
    | without |
    | board |

    (14) \& Paid help \& \& \& <br>
    \hline All families. \& 14,323 \& 5,358 \& 212 \& 1,074 \& 1,082 \& 311 \& 33 \& 6 \& 3,622 \& 0.8 \& 1.2 \& 1. \& 1.7 \& 0.7 \& 1.8 \& 1.4 \& 0.2 <br>
    \hline $\xrightarrow{\text { Relief families }}$ Nonrelief families. \& 12,905 \& 4,
    4,892
    4,898 \& 190 \& 85
    989 \& 157
    925 \& 34 \& 8
    25 \& 6 \& 253
    3,369 \& . 8 \& 1.0
    1.3 \& $\begin{array}{r}1.9 \\ 1.5 \\ \hline\end{array}$ \& 1.4 \& . 6 \& 2. ${ }^{6}$ \& 1.4 \& . 1 <br>
    \hline \$0-\$249 \& 63 \& \& \& \& \& 1 \& \& \& \& . 9 \& \& 1.0 \& \& (*) \& \& \& <br>
    \hline \$250-\$499 \& 259 \& 68 \& 6 \& 14 \& 28 \& \& \& \& 38 \& 1.3 \& 1.4 \& 1.8 \& 1.9 \& \& \& \& . 1 <br>
    \hline \$500-\$749 \& 725 \& 220 \& 9 \& ${ }_{74} 5$ \& ${ }_{93}^{67}$ \& \& 3 \& 1 \& 113 \& .9 \& 1.1 \& 1.2 \& 1. 6 \& . 4 \& 1.8 \& (*) \& . 1 <br>
    \hline \$750-\$999..... \& r
    1
    1870
    181 \& ${ }_{429} 32$ \& 17

    16 \& | 74 |
    | :--- |
    | 88 |
    | 8 | \& $\begin{array}{r}93 \\ 105 \\ \hline 9\end{array}$ \& 7

    10 \& 4 \& 1 \& 184
    265 \& . 8 \& 1.0 \& 1.2
    1.2
    12 \& 1.7 \& .5
    .5 \& (*) ${ }^{7}$ \& (*) \& .1 <br>
    \hline \$1,250-\$1.499. \& 1,081 \& 435 \& 25 \& 113 \& 96 \& 14 \& 2 \& \& 277 \& 1. 0 \& 1.6 \& 1.4 \& 1.8 \& .5 \& (*) \& \& 2 <br>
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749 \& 1,262 \& 529 \& 21 \& 99 \& 112 \& 10 \& 2 \& \& 373 \& . 8 \& 1.3 \& 1.4 \& 1.8 \& . 4 \& \& \& . 2 <br>
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 \& 1,279 \& 476 \& 21 \& 91 \& 85 \& 27 \& 3 \& 1 \& 343 \& . 8 \& 1.6 \& 1.4 \& 1.7 \& 5 \& 1.8 \& **) \& . 2 <br>
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249 \& 1,077 \& 405 \& 17 \& 79 \& 71 \& 20 \& \& 2 \& 272 \& . 9 \& 1.4 \& 1.8 \& 1.8 \& ${ }^{6}$ \& \& (*) \& . 2 <br>
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499 \& 1,214

    1 \& | 386 |
    | :--- |
    | 536 | \& 16

    14 \& $\begin{array}{r}64 \\ 122 \\ \hline\end{array}$ \& 67
    83 \& ${ }_{35}^{21}$ \& 1 \& \& 290
    381 \& . 8 \& 1.1 \& 1.5 \& 1.6
    2.0 \& .7 \& (*) \& \& . 2 <br>
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999- \& 1, 214 \& $\begin{array}{r}536 \\ 342 \\ \hline\end{array}$ \& 12 \& $\begin{array}{r}122 \\ 58 \\ \hline\end{array}$ \& 838 \& 35
    40 \& $\stackrel{1}{2}$ \& 1 \& ${ }_{261}^{381}$ \& .99 \& 1.2 \& 1.7 \& 2.1 \& .7 \& (*) \& (*) \& .2 <br>
    \hline \$3,500-\$3,999 \& 586 \& 257 \& 5 \& 56 \& 31. \& 35 \& 2 \& \& 189 \& 9 \& 1. 0 \& 1.9 \& 1.6 \& . 8 \& (*) \& \& . 2 <br>
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,499 \& $\begin{array}{r}330 \\ 188 \\ \hline\end{array}$ \& 143 \& 3 \& 22 \& 13 \& 17 \& 1 \& \& 114 \& 8 \& 1.1 \& 1.8 \& ${ }_{2} 2.1$ \& -9 \& (*) \& \& . 2 <br>
    \hline \$4,500-\$4,999 \& 188
    354 \& 91

    160 \& \begin{tabular}{l}
    3 <br>
    4 <br>
    4 <br>
    \hline

    \& 

    16 <br>
    21 <br>
    \hline
    \end{tabular} \& 7

    10 \& ${ }_{31}^{8}$ \& 3 \& \& $\begin{array}{r}71 \\ 133 \\ \hline\end{array}$ \& | 8 |
    | ---: |
    | .8 | \& 1.8 \& 1.8 2.5 \& 2. ${ }^{3} 1$ \& 1.0 \& 1.5 \& \& .$_{2}^{2}$ <br>

    \hline \$7,500-\$9,999 \& 68 \& 45 \& 1 \& 7 \& 1 \& 14 \& \& \& 34 \& . 7 \& (*) \& 1.2 \& ${ }^{*}$ * \& 1.1 \& \& \& .$^{2}$ <br>
    \hline \$10,000 and over- \& 51 \& 32 \& \& 1 \& 1 \& 13 \& \& \& 25 \& 9 \& \& (*) \& (*) \& 1.0 \& \& \& . 3 <br>
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    " "nonfamily members."
    ${ }^{2}$ Averages in each column are based on the corresponding counts of families, in columns (3) through (10). The number of nonfamily members is expressed in terms of year-equivalent persons. This figure is computed for each family by dividing by 52 the total number of weeks of residence in the household for all nonmembers of the economic family
    *Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    ## ATLANTA, GA.

    Table 18.-Age of husbands and wives: Number of husbands and number of wives, by age and family income, 1935-96
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    

    ATLANTA, GA.
    Table 19.-Report year: Number and percentage distribution of families by date of end of report year, by occupation, 1935-36:
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]

    | Date of end of report year <br> (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { fami- } \\ & \text { lies } \end{aligned}$ | Relief families | Nonrelief families in specified occupational groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  |  |  | All | Wage earner | Clerical | Business and professional |  |  |  |  | Other <br> (12) |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  | All <br> business and professional <br> (7) | Independent |  | Salaried |  |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Busi- ness (8) | Profes- sional (9) | $\underset{\substack{\text { Busi- } \\ \text { ness } \\ \\ \text { (10) }}}{ }$ ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ( | Professional (11) |  |
    | All dates------ | Number of families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  | 14,323 | 1,918 | 12, 405 | 4,583 | 3,968 | 3,618 | 1, 251 | 287 | 1,442 | 638 | 236 |
    | Dec. 31, 1935.--- | 3, 168 | 317 | 2,851 | 1,058 | 980 | 771 | 250 | 55 | 301 | 165 | 42 |
    | Feb. 29, 1936..-- | 2 88 | 20 | 2 68 | -41- | 14 | 1 13 | 1 |  | 4 | 3 |  |
    | Mar. 31, 1936...- | 508 | 62 | 446 | 204 | 152 | 85 | 32 | 7 | 31 | 15 | 5 |
    | Apr. 30, 1936 | 647 | 74 | 573 | 229 | 186 | 143 | 66 | 8 | 47 | 22 | 15 |
    | May 31, 1936_.-. | 3, 511 | 391 | 3, 120 | 1,368 | 912 | 794 | 310 | 50 | 278 | 156 | 46 |
    | June 30, 1936...- | 1,915 | 330 | 1,585 | 594 | 516 | 439 | 184 | 35 | 154 | 66 | 36 |
    | July 31, 1936...- | 1,995 | 473 | 1, 522 | 587 | 464 | 435 | 185 | 38 | 151 | 61 | 36 |
    | Aug. 31, 1936.... | 1,695 | 195 | 1, 500 | 367 | 494 | 596 | 156 | 52 | 290 | 98 | 43 |
    | Sept. 30, 1936.-- | 1,641 | 38 | 603 | 91 | 213 | 287 | 54 | 36 | 156 | 41 | 12 |
    | Oct. 31, 1936_..- | 127 | 13 | 114 | 38 | 27 | 48 | 7 | 6 | 26 | 9 | 1 |
    |  | Percentage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | All dates------- | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
    | Dec. 31, 1935..-- | $\stackrel{(22}{(+)}$ | 17 | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{23}{(\ddagger)} \\ (\ddagger) \end{gathered}$ | 23 | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{25}{(\ddagger)} \\ & (\ddagger) \end{aligned}$ | $(\ddagger)^{22}$ | ( $\ddagger{ }^{20}$ | 20 | 21 | 26 | 18 |
    | Jan. 31, $1936 \ldots \ldots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | Feb. 29, 1936...- | 1 4 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 2 | 2 | ( $\ddagger{ }_{2}$ | 1 | 2 |
    | Apr. 30, 1936..-- | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \\ 25 \end{array}$ | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 6 |
    | May 31, 1936... |  | 20 | 25 | 30 | 23 | 22 | 25 | 17 | 19 | 25 | 20 |
    | June 30, 1936. - | $13$ | 17 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 15 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 15 |
    | July 31, 1936..-- | 14 | 25 | 12 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 15 | 13 | 11 | 10 | 15 |
    | Aug. 31, 1936..- | 12 | 10 | 12 | 8 | 12 | 17 | 12 | 18 | 20 | 16 | 19 |
    | Sept. 30, 1936.-- | ${ }_{(\ddagger)}{ }^{4}$ | 2 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 4 | 13 | 11 | 6 | 5 |
    | Oct. 31, 1936...- |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | ( $\ddagger$ |
    | Nov. 30, 1936... |  | ( $\ddagger$ ) | ( $\ddagger$ | ( $\ddagger$ | ( $\ddagger$ | ( $\ddagger$ |  |  | ( $\ddagger$ | ( $\ddagger$ |  |

    $\ddagger 0.5$ percent or less.

    ## atlanta, ga.

    Table 1.-Family type: Number of families of specified types and average number of persons per family, by income, 1935-36
    [Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups combined]

    | Income class | Number of families of type ${ }^{1-}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Average number of persons per family ${ }^{\text {? }}$ |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | All | I | II | III | IV | v | VI | VII | VIII | Other | $\begin{array}{\|l} \mathrm{All} \\ \text { mem } \\ \text { bers } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Other than } \\ & \text { husband } \\ & \text { and wife } \end{aligned}$ |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Un- $\text { der } 16$ | $\begin{gathered} 16 \\ \text { and } \\ \text { over } \end{gathered}$ |
    |  | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) |
    | All families. | 5,527 | 1,757 | 614 | 359 | 1,153 | 553 | 345 | 417 | 95 | 234 | 3.9 | 1.2 | 0.7 |
    | Relief families Nonrelief families | $1,824$ | ${ }_{1}{ }_{285}^{472}$ | $183$ | $140$ | 341 812 | $213$ | $144$ | $182$ | 30 65 | $119$ | 4.3 3.7 | 1.5 1.0 | . 8 |
    | \$250-\$499 | 592 | 253 | 68 | 47 | 111 | 35 | 36 | 26 | 6 | 10 | 3.3 | . 8 | ${ }_{4}^{4}$ |
    | \$500-\$749. | 1,095 | 392 | 170 | 83 | 185 | 74 | 92 | 68 | 6 |  | 3. 6 | 1.2 | . 4 |
    | \$750-\$999 | 1, 875 | 285 | 93 | 47 | 208 | 97 | 42 | 59 | 12 | 32 | 3.8 | 1.1 | . 7 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 409 | 127 | 31 | 17 | 115 | 54 | 9 | 31 | 9 | 16 | 3.8 | . 9 | . 9 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 221 | 68 | 14 | 6 | 71 | 22 | 8 | 17 | 6 | 9 | 3.9 | . 8 | 1.1 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 128 | 40 | 5 | 1 | 40 | 23 | 3 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 4.0 | . 7 | 1.3 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999- | ${ }_{65}^{66}$ | 25 | 5 | 3 | 12 | ${ }_{8}^{6}$ |  | 7 | 3 | 5 | 4.0 | .7 | 1.3 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,249 | 65 | 9 | 11 | 5 | 16 | 8 | 1 | 6 | 7 | 2 | 4.3 | 1.1 | 1.2 |
    | \$2,250-\$2,499 | 38 | 9 | 6 | 2 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 4.2 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 39 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 9 | 7 |  | 2 | 5 | 5 | 4.7 | 1.0 | 1.7 |
    | \$3,000-\$3,499 | 19 | , | 4 |  | 4 | 3 |  | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4.2 | . 7 | 1.5 |
    | \$3,500-\$3,999 ....... | 5 | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 3.8 | . 2 | 1.6 |
    | \$4,000-\$4,499. | 10 |  |  |  | 7 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 4. 1 | (i) $^{4}$ | 1.7 |
    | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 4,500-\$ 4,999 \\ & \$ 5,000-\$ 7,499 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{6}^{2}$ | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 |  | ${ }^{(*)} 4$ | $\stackrel{(0)}{*}_{1.0}$ | ${ }^{(*)} 1.8$ |
    | \$7,500-\$9,999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$10,000 and over ${ }^{\text {3-- }}$ | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (*) |  |  |

    ${ }^{1}$ Family type:
    I. 2 persons. Husband and wife only.
    II. 3 persons. Husband, wife, 1 child under 16, and no others.
    III. 4 persons. Husband, wife, 2 children under 16, and no others.
    IV. 3 or 4 persons. Husband, wife, 1 person 16 or over, and 1 or no other person regardless of age.
    V. 5 or 6 persons. Husband, wife, 1 child under 16, 1 person 16 or over, and 1 or 2 other persons regardless of age.
    VI. 5 or 6 persons. Husband, wife, 3 or 4 children under 16 , and no others.
    VII. 7 or 8 persons. Husband, wife, 1 child under 16, 4 or 5 other persons regardless of age.
    VIII. 5 or 6 persons. Husband, wife, 3 or 4 persons 16 or over.

    Other. 7 or more persons. All types not included in I through VIII.
    ${ }_{2}^{2}$ These are year-equivalent persons. The sum of columns (13) and (14) plus 2 (husband and wife) does not always equal column (12). For the methods used in deriving these averages, see glossary.
    ${ }^{3}$ Largest income reported between $\$ 10,000$ and $\$ 15,000$.
    *Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    ATLANTA, GA.
    Table 1A.-Family type: Number of families of specified types and average number of persons per family, by occupation and income, 1995-36
    [Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]

    | Income class and occupational group <br> (1) | Number of families of type ${ }^{1-}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Average number of persons per ramily ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | All <br> (2) | I <br> (3) | II <br> (4) | III <br> (5) | IV <br> (6) | v <br> (7) | VI <br> (8) | VII <br> (9) | VIII <br> (10) | Other <br> (11) | All mem- bers <br> (12) | Other thanhusbandand wife |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Un- } \\ \text { der } 16 \end{gathered}$ | and <br> over |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (13) | (14) |
    | Wage earner <br> All nonrelief families. | 3, 137 | 1,072 | 372 | 181 | 686 | 284 | 183 | 206 | 54 | 99 | 3.7 | 1.0 | 0.7 |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$0-\$249 | 107 | 49 | 14 | 4 | 21 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3.3 | 9 | . 4 |
    | \$250-\$499 | 514 | 213 | 61 | 44 | 96 | 32 | 28 | 24 | 6 | 10 | 3.4 | 9 | . 5 |
    | \$500-\$749 | 1,002 | 343 | 163 | 80 | 167 | 66 | 89 | 66 | 6 | 22 | 3.6 | 1.2 | . 4 |
    | 8750-8999 | 787 | 255 | 85 | 36 | 189 | 87 | 41 | 54 | 12 | 28 | 3.8 | 1.1 | . 7 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 356 | 108 | $\stackrel{27}{ }$ | 12 | 102 | 50 | 8 | 26 | 9 | 14 | 3.8 | . 9 |  |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 184 | 53 | 12 | 4 | 62 | 18 | 7 | 15 | 5 | 8 | 3.9 | . 8 | 1.1 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 94 | 33 | 3 |  | 24 | 18 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 4.0 | . 7 | 1.3 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 36 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 8 | 3 |  | 6 | 3 | 5 | 4.9 | 1.0 | 1.9 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,249. | 33 | 6 | 1 |  | 13 | 4 |  | 4 | 4 | 1 | 4.3 | . 7 | 1.6 |
    | \$2,250-\$2,499 $\ldots$......- | 10 | 4 | 1 |  | 2 |  |  | 1 |  | 2 | 4.2 | . 7 | 1.5 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 ......... | 9 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 2 | --. | 1 | 2 | 1 | 5.2 | . 9 | 2.3 |
    | \$3,000-\$3,499.....---- | 2 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{*}$ ) | (*) | (*) |
    |  | ${ }_{1}^{2}$ |  | --. | -- | 1 |  |  |  | $1$ | ----- | ${ }^{*}{ }^{*}$ | -..-- | ${ }^{(*)}$ |
    | \$4,500-\$4,999. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$5,000-\$7,499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$7,500-\$9,999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |
    | \$10,000 and over-.-.-- | 147 | 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.9 |  |  |
    | Clerical |  |  | 16 | 16 | 33 | 11 | 7 | 10 | 4 | 8 |  | 1.1 | . 8 |
    | All nonrelief families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$0-\$249-- | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {(*) }}$ | (*) |  |
    | \$250-\$499. | 6 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 3.8 | 1.3 | . 5 |
    | \$500-\$749-. | 18 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 3.5 | . 9 | . 6 |
    |  | 17 | ${ }_{6}^{6}$ | 1 | 3 | 6 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3.5 | . 6 | . 9 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249.......... | 15 | 3 |  | 2 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 4.3 | 1.6 | . 7 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 11 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 3. 4 | 1.1 | . 8 |
    | \$1,500-81,749......... | 14 | 4 | 2 |  | 7 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 3.1 | . 3 | . 8 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 $\ldots \ldots . . .$. | 7 | 4 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 3. 3 | . 7 | . 6 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,249---------1-1 | 17 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 4.5 | 1.9 | . 6 |
    | \$2,250-\$2,499 | 16 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 |  | 4.2 | 1.4 | . 8 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 14 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5.1 | 1.4 | 1.7 |
    | \$3,000-\$3,499.........- | 8 | 3 |  |  | 2 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 4.0 | . 5 | 1.5 |
    | \$4,000-\$4,499, |  | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | (*) |  | (*) |
    | \$4,500-\$4,999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ( |
    | \$5,000-87,499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$7,500-\$9,999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$10,000 and over- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

    See footnotes at end of table.

    ATLANTA, GA.
    Table 1A.-Family type: Number of families of specified types and average number of persons per family, by occupation and income, 1935-36-Continued
    [Negro noarelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
    

    See footnotes at end of table.

    ## ATLAN'A, GA.

    Table 1A.-Family type: Number of families of specified types and average number of persons per family, by occupation and income, 1995-36-Continued
    [Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
    

    See footnotes at end of table.

    ## ATLANTA, GA.

    Table 1A.-Family type: Number of families of specified types and average number of persons per family, by occupation and income, 1935-36-Continued
    [Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]

    | Income class and oceupational group <br> (1) | Number of families of type ${ }^{\text {L }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A verage number of persons per family ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | All | I | II |  |  | V |  | VII | VIII | Other | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { All } \\ \text { mem- } \\ \text { bers } \end{array}$ | Other than husband and wife |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Un- | 16 and over |
    |  | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) |
    | Other ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | Allnonrelieffamilies. | 28 | 15 | 2 | 1 | 7 |  | 3 |  |  |  | 2.9 | 0.6 | 0.3 |
    | \$0-\$249 | 2 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | (*) | ${ }^{*}$ ) | (*) |
    | \$250-\$499-- | 12 |  | 1 |  | 3 | .- | 2 |  |  |  | 3.0 |  |  |
    | \$750-\$999-...---------- | 3 | 4 |  | $1-$ | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.9 3.4 | . 6 | . 3 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 ---.-.--- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 7 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 ------...- |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749--------- | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (*) |  |  |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999---..... | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | ----- |  |  | (*) | --... | ....- |
    | \$2,000-\$2,249 $\ldots$...... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$2,250-\$2,499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$3,000-\$3,499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$3,500-\$3,999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$4,000-\$4,499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$4,500-\$4,999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$5,000-\$7,499... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$7,500-\$9,999... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$10,000 and over..... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

    For footnotes 1 and 2 see table 1 on p. 195.
    ${ }^{3}$ Largest income reported between $\$ 10,000$ and $\$ 15,000$.
    4 This group contains 1 family ongaged in farming, a group too small to be separately classified, and families having no gainfully employed members.
    *Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    Table 2.-Sources of family income: Number of families receiving income from specified sources, and average amount of such income, by income, 1935-36
    [Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]

    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Income class

    (1)} \& \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Number of families} \& \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Number of families receiving-.} <br>
    \hline \& \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Money income from-} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Nonmoney income from-} <br>

    \hline \& \& | Earnings ${ }^{1}$ |
    | :--- |
    | (3) | \& | Other sources (positive or negative) ${ }^{2}$ |
    | :--- |
    | (4) | \& Any sources ${ }^{\text {a }}$ \& | Owned home (positive or negative) 4 |
    | :--- |
    | (6) | \& Rent as pay <br>

    \hline All families......................-- \& 5,527 \& 5,427 \& 1,144 \& 1, 058 \& 1,033 \& 25 <br>

    \hline Relief families Nonrelief families \& $$
    \begin{aligned}
    & 1,824 \\
    & 3703
    \end{aligned}
    $$ \& 1,751

    $\mathbf{3 , 6 7 6}$ \& 292
    852 \& 175
    883 \& 8864 \& 6
    19 <br>
    \hline \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
    \hline \$250-\$499- \& 592 \& ${ }_{581}^{130}$ \& 116 \& 10
    55 \& 10
    52 \& 3 <br>
    \hline \$500-\$749--- \& 1,095 \& 1,088 \& ${ }_{166} 11$ \& 112 \& 111 \& 1 <br>
    \hline \$750-\$999 \& 875
    409 \& 872
    409 \& 111 \& $\begin{array}{r}192 \\ 135 \\ \hline\end{array}$ \& 186
    132
    1 \& 6
    3 <br>

    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499. \& 221 \& $\stackrel{4}{219}$ \& 73 \& 115 \& 132 \& | 3 |
    | :--- |
    | 1 | <br>

    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749 \& 128 \& 127 \& 43 \& 81 \& 80 \& 1 <br>
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 \& 66 \& ${ }_{65}^{65}$ \& ${ }^{26}$ \& 38 \& 36 \& 2 <br>

    \hline \$2,000-\$2, 249 \& 65 \& | 65 |
    | :--- |
    | 38 | \& 20 \& 46 \& 46 \& <br>

    \hline \$ $22,500-\$ 2,999$ \& 38
    39 \& 39 \& 16 \& 34 \& 32 \& 2 <br>
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,499.. \& 19 \& 19 \& 10 \& 14 \& 14 \& <br>
    \hline \$3,500-\$3,999 \& 10 \& 5
    10 \& 3
    7 \& $1{ }_{10}^{4}$ \& 4 \& <br>

    \hline $$
    \$ 4,000-\$ 4,499
    $$ \& 10

    2 \& $\underset{2}{10}$ \& 7
    2 \& 10
    2 \& 10 \& -.---.-.-- <br>
    \hline \$5,000-\$7,499, \& 2 \& 6 \& 3 \& 5 \& 5 \& <br>
    \hline \$7,500-\$9,999....- \& 1 \& 1 \& \& 1 \& 1 \& <br>
    \hline \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    $\$ 1,999,1 ; \$ 2,000-\$ 2,249,1 ; \$ 2,250-\$ 2,499,1$.

    ## ATLANTA, GA.

    Table 2.-Sources of family income: Number of families receiving income from specified sources, and average amount of such income, by income, 1995-96 ᄂContinued
    [Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    


    ## atlanta, ga.

    Table 2A.-Sources of family income: Number of families receiving income from specified sources, and average amount of such income, by occupation and income, 1935-96 ${ }^{1}$
    [Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]
    

    1 See glossary for definition of "earnings."
    ${ }^{2}$ Includes families having money income other than earnings, families having business losses met from family funds, and families having both such income, and such losses. See glossary for definitions of "money income other than earnings" and "business losses."
    ${ }_{3}$ The total of the numbers of families in columns (6) and (7), since no family reported nonmoney income from both sources.
    ${ }^{4}$ Includes families with losses from owned homes, as well as families whose estimated rental value of owned homes for the period of ownership and occupancy exceeded estimated expenses allocable to that period.

    ## ATLLANTA, GA.

    Table 2A.-Sources of family income: Number of families receiving income from specified sources, and average amount of such income, by occupation and income, 1935-96 —Continued
    [Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]

    | Income class and occupational group <br> (1) | Average family income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | Total <br> (2) | Money income from- |  |  | Nonmoney income from- |  |  |
    |  |  | All sources <br> (3) | Earnings ${ }^{2}$ <br> (4) | Other sources (positive or negative) ${ }^{3}$ <br> (5) | All sources <br> (6) | Owned home (positive or negative) ${ }^{4}$ <br> (7) | Rent as pay <br> (8) |
    | Wage earner <br> All nonrelief families. | ${ }^{5} \$ 805$ | \$782 | \$763 | \$19 | \$23 | \$23 | (**) |
    | \$0-\$499. | 353 | 347 | 338 | 9 | 6 | 6 | (**) |
    | \$500-\$749 | 628 | 620 | 611 | 9 | 8 | 8 | (**) |
    | \$750-\$999 | 857 | 835 | 820 | 15 | 22 | 21 | 1 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1,117 | 1,078 | 1,049 | 29 | 39 | 39 | (**) |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1,358 | 1,297 | 1,265 | 32 | 61 | 60 | 1 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749. | 1,602 | 1,512 | 1,462 | 50 | 90 | 90 |  |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1,851 | 1,729 | 1,627 | 102 | 122 | 122 | ..-------- |
    | \$2,000-\$2,499 | 2, 169 | 2, 048 | 1,950 | 98 | 121 | 121 |  |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 2,718 | 2, 598 | 2, 378 | 220 | 120 | 120 |  |
    | \$3,000-\$4,999 | 3,952 | 3,830 | 3,632 | 198 | 122 | 122 |  |
    | \$5,000 and over... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | Clerical <br> All nonrelief families. $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  | ${ }^{5} 1,687$ | 1, 589 | ], 542 | 47 | 98 | 96 | 2 |
    | \$0-\$499 | 357 | 349 | 352 | -3 | 8 | 8 | ---------- |
    | \$500-\$749 | 623 | 612 | 606 | 6 | 11 | 11 |  |
    | \$750-\$999. | 880 | 786 | 746 | 40 | 94 | 84 | 10 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1,147 | 1, 120 | 1,103 | 17 | 27 | 27 |  |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1,400 | 1, 302 | 1,249 | 53 | 98 | 98 |  |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749. | 1,617 | 1, 515 | 1,499 | 16 | 102 | 102 |  |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999. | 1, 896 | 1,775 | 1,705 | 70 | 121 | 121 |  |
    | \$2,000-\$2,499 | 2,247 | 2, 137 | 2, 130 | 7 | 110 | 110 |  |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 2, 724 | 2, 550 | 2,427 | 123 | 174 | 174 |  |
    | \$3,000-\$4,999. | 3, 503 | 3, 254 | 3,020 | 234 | 249 | 249 |  |
    | \$5,000 and over .-.-...... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | Business and professional | ${ }^{5} 1,272$ | 1,187 | 1,108 | 79 | 85 | 79 | 6 |
    | \$0-\$499 | 324 | 296 | 270 | 26 | 28 | 25 | 3 |
    | \$500-\$749 | 627 | 583 | 555 | 28 | 44 | 44 |  |
    | \$750-\$999 | 854 | 799 | 749 | 50 | 55 | 53 | 2 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249...------------- | 1,083 | 1,008 | 965 | 43 | 75 | 67 | 8 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499................... | 1, 364 | 1,270 | 1, 218 | 52 | 94 | 94 |  |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749-.....---------- | 1,641 | 1,530 | 1,518 | 12 | 111 | 95 | 16 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999-...--..----.-. -- | 1,862 | 1,754 | 1,652 | 102 | 108 | 80 | 28 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,499-------------- | 2,233 | 2,050 | 1,844 | 206 | 183 | 183 |  |
    | \$2,500-\$2,909 | 2, 734 | 2,515 | 2,220 | 295 | 219 | 175 | 44 |
    | \$3,000-\$4,999 | 3, 735 | 3,503 | 3, 186 | 317 | 232 | 232 |  |
    | \$5,000 and over..---------- | 6, 466 | 6,246 | 6,052 | 194 | 220 | 220 |  |
    | Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | All nonrelief families ........ | 636 | 598 | 17 | 581 | 38 | 38 | - |

    ATLANTA, GA.
    Table. 2B.-Sources of family income: Number of families receiving income from specified sources, and average amount of such income, by family type and income, 1995-36
    [Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups combined]

    | Income class and family type(1) | Number of families | Number of families having- |  |  |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  |  | Money income from- |  | Nonmoney income from- |  |  |
    |  |  | Any source ${ }^{1}$ <br> (3) | Other sources (positive or negative) ${ }^{2}$ <br> (4) | Any source ${ }^{3}$ <br> (5) | Owned home (positive $\operatorname{or}_{\text {tivega }}{ }^{4}$ <br> (6) | Rent as pay <br> (7) |
    |  | (2) |  |  |  |  |  |
    | Type I <br> All nonrelief families | 1,285 | 1,270 | 317 | 318 | 311 | 7 |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$0-\$499 | $\begin{array}{r} 316 \\ 392 \\ 285 \\ 127 \\ 68 \\ 40 \\ 25 \\ 18 \\ 6 \\ 6 \\ 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 310 \\ 388 \\ 284 \\ 127 \\ 66 \\ 39 \\ 24 \\ 18 \\ 6 \\ 6 \\ 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 74 \\ 87 \\ 66 \\ 35 \\ 22 \\ 12 \\ 10 \\ 5 \\ 2 \\ 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 44 \\ 54 \\ 69 \\ 45 \\ 41 \\ 27 \\ 15 \\ 13 \\ 5 \\ 4 \\ 1 \end{array}$ | 42546743412714135541 | 2 |
    | \$500-\$749- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749.- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 -.................... |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,499-...-...............- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | Types II and III |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | All nonrelief families.. | 650 | 647 | 135 | 107 | 104 | 3 |
    | \$0-\$499- | 141 | 139 | 28 |  | 3 |  |
    | \$500-\$749-- | 253 140 | 253 139 | 40 25 | ${ }_{21}^{13}$ | 13 20 | 1 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249- | 48 | 48 | 16 | 21 | 21 | 1 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 20 | 20 | 8 | 13 | 13 |  |
    |  | 6 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 4 |  |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999. | ${ }^{8}$ | 8 | ${ }_{3}$ | 5 | 4 | 1 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,499. | $\begin{array}{r}24 \\ 5 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}24 \\ 5 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 8 | 18 5 | 18 |  |
    | \$3,000-\$4,999 |  | 4 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 |
    | \$5,000 and over-...... | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
    | Types IV and V |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | All nonrelief families--------- | 1,152 | 1,146 | 275 | 313 | 309 | 4 |
    | \$0-\$499 | 173 | 170 |  |  | 11 |  |
    | \$500-\$749...- | $\begin{array}{r}259 \\ 305 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | ${ }_{304}^{257}$ |  | ${ }_{66}^{28}$ | 28 |  |
    | \$11,000 $\$ 1,249$ | 305 169 | 169 | 49 | 60 | 59 |  |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 93 | 93 | 29 | 43 | 42 |  |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749. | 63 | 63 | 20 | 38 | 38 |  |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999.-. | 18 | 18 | ${ }^{6}$ | 11 | 11 | --.-. |
    | \$2,000-\$2,499- | 36 16 | 36 16 16 | $\begin{array}{r}13 \\ 7 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | ${ }_{12}^{26}$ | 26 |  |
    | \$3,000-\$4,999. | 17 | 17 | 10 | 15 | 15 |  |
    | \$5,000 and over-...--.-...-- | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 |  |

    See footnotes at end of table.

    ## ATLANTA, GA.

    Table 2B.-Sources of family income: Number of families receiving income from specified sources, and average amount of such income, by family type and income, 1935-86 ${ }^{1}$
    [Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups combined]

    | Income class and family type <br> (1) | Total | Average family income |  |  |  |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  |  | Money income from- |  |  | Nonmoney income from- |  |  |
    |  |  | All sources <br> (3) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Earn- } \\ & \text { ings } \end{aligned}$ | Other sources (positive or negative) ${ }^{3}$ | All sources | Owned home (positiveor negative) | Rent as pay |
    |  | (2) |  | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
    | Type I <br> All nonrelief families | $5 \$ 808$ | \$774 | \$736 | \$38 | \$34 | \$33 | \$1 |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$0-\$499. | 346 | 333 | 313 | 20 | 13 | 12 | 1 |
    | \$500-\$749. | 629 | 613 | 596 | 17 | 16 | 16 |  |
    | \$750-\$999 | 857 | 827 | 794 | 33 | 30 | 29 | 1 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249. | 1,113 | 1,062 | 1,013 | 49 | 51 | 49 | 2 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499. | 1,350 | 1,266 | 1, 188 | 78 | 84 | 84 |  |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 1,606 | 1,481 | 1,388 | 93 | 125 | 125 |  |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999. | 1, 874 | 1, 754 | 1,512 | 242 | 120 | 109 | 11 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,449. | 2,240 | 2,101 | 1,962 | 139 | 139 | 139 |  |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 2,706 | 2,514 | 2,452 | 62 | 192 | 192 |  |
    | \$3,000-\$4,999 | 3, 508 | 3,388 | 3, 076 | 312 | ${ }^{*} 120$ | (*) 120 | ----------- |
    | \$5,000 and over | (*) | ${ }^{*}$ ) | ${ }^{*}$ ) |  | (*) | (*) | --------- |
    | Types II and III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | All nonrelief families..... | 6791 | 768 | 746 | 22 | 23 | 21 | 2 |
    | \$0-\$499. | 342 | 339 | 323 | 16 | 3 | 3 |  |
    | \$500-\$749. | 622 | 618 | 611 | 7 | 4 | 4 |  |
    | \$750-\$999 | 844 | 829 | 805 | 24 | 15 | 14 | 1 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1, 110 | 1, 062 | 1,007 | 55 | 48 | 48 |  |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499. | 1,363 | 1, 288 | 1,264 | 19 | 80 | 80 |  |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 1, 636 | 1, 561 | 1,518 | 43 | 75 | 75 |  |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1, 876 | 1,748 | 1,619 | 129 | 128 | 90 | 38 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,499. | 2, 197 | 2,047 | 1,966 | 81 | 150 | 150 |  |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999. | 2,748 | 2,479 | 2,476 | 3 | 269 | 198 | 71 |
    | \$3,000-\$4,999 | 3,252 | 3, 050 | 2,995 | 55 | ${ }^{*} 202$ | 202 | ------.... |
    | \$5,000 and over.. | (*) | (*) | (*) | 55 | (*) | (*) | ------------ |
    | Types IV and V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | All nonrelief families-.-- | ${ }^{5} 995$ | 956 | 927 | 29 | 39 | 38 | 1 |
    | \$0-\$490. | 358 | 353 | 340 | 13 | 5 | 5 |  |
    | \$500-\$749 | 630 | 618 | 600 | 18 | 12 | 12 |  |
    | \$750-\$999 | 866 | 841 | 823 | 18 | 25 | 24 | 1 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1,115 | 1,072 | 1,059 | 13 | 43 | 42 | 1 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1,369 | 1,316 | 1,280 | 36 | 53 | 52 | 1 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 1,623 | 1,538 | 1,501 | 37 | 85 | 85 |  |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1,833 | 1,694 | 1,666 | 28 | 139 | 139 |  |
    | \$2,000-\$2,449 | 2,207 | 2,068 | 1,942 | 126 | 139 | 139 |  |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999. | 2, 743 | 2, 581 | 2,345 | 236 | 162 | 140 | 22 |
    | \$3,000-\$4,999 | 3, 783 | 3,545 | 3,356 | 189 | 238 | 238 |  |
    | \$5,000 and over......... | 6,209 | 5,924 | 5,725 | 199 | 285 | 285 |  |

    ## ATLANTA, GA.

    Table 2B.-Sources of family income: Number of families receiving income from specified sources, and average amount of such income, by family type and income, 1995-36-Continued
    [Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: Alloccupational groups combined]

    | Income class and family type | Number of families <br> (2) | Number of families having- |  |  |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  |  | Money income from- |  | Nonmoney income from- |  |  |
    |  |  | Any source | Other sources (positive or negative) | Any source | Owned home (positive or negative) | Rent as pay |
    |  |  | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
    | Types VI and VII <br> All nonrelief families $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  | 436 | 433 | 85 | 89 | 85 | 4 |
    | \$0-\$499 | 73 | 71 | 14 | 5 | 4 | 1 |
    | \$500-\$749. | 160 | 159 | 26 | 14 | 13 | 1 |
    | \$750-\$999 | 101 | 101 | 14 | 28 | 27 | 1 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249. | 40 | 40 | 8 | 6 | 6 | ------ |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499. | 25 | 25 | 10 | 11 | 11 | --- |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 10 | 10 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 1 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 7 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 4 | --------- |
    | \$2,000-\$2,499 | 13 | 13 | 4 | 11 | 11 |  |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | --------. |
    | \$3,000-\$4,999 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | ------- |
    | \$5,000 and over | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | ----.--- |
    | Types VIII and other |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | All nonrelief families............ | 180 | 180 | 40 | 56 | 55 | 1 |
    | \$0-\$499 | 21 | 21 | 1 | 2 | 2 | -------- |
    |  | 31 <br> 44 | 31 | 2 8 8 | 3 8 8 | 3 | --...--- |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249. | 25 | 25 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 15 | 15 | 4 | 7 | 7 | --------- |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 - .-.-.............-. | 9 | 9 | 6 | 8 | 8 | -------.- |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 3 | 3 |  |
    | \$2,000-\$2,499. | 12 | 12 | 3 | 7 | 7 |  |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 10 | 10 | 4 | 10 | 10 |  |
    | \$3,000-\$4,999 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | -.---.--- |
    | \$5,000 and over-......- |  |  |  |  |  |  |


    ## ATLANTA, GA.

    Table 2B.-Sources of family income: Number of families receiving income from specified sources, and average amount of such income, by family type and income, 1935-36-Continued
    [Negro nonrelief familiesincluding husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups combined]

    | $\underset{\substack{\text { type }}}{\text { Income class and family }}$ | Total | A verage family income |  |  |  |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  |  | Money income from- |  |  | Nonmoney income from- |  |  |
    |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { sources } \end{aligned}$ | Earnings | Other Sources (positive or negative) | $\underset{\text { sources }}{\text { All }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Owned } \\ \text { home } \\ \text { (positive or } \\ \text { negative) } \end{gathered}$ | Rent as pay |
    | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
    | Types VI and VII <br> All nonrelief families. | 5859 | 833 | 814 | 19 | 26 | 24 | 2 |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$0-\$499 | 3656288288461,1121,3521,5761,5942.237${ }^{*} 237$33 | 3616218141,0901,2951,483117672.764$\left({ }^{*}\right)$3,469$\left({ }^{*}\right)$ | 3446138101,0741,2841,4811,4801,682$\mathbf{1}^{(*)}$2,913$\left.{ }^{( }\right)$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \\ 7 \\ 32 \\ 22 \\ 57 \\ 93 \\ 127 \\ { }^{(*)} 91 \\ l^{(*)} \\ \left.\mathbf{C}^{*}\right) \end{array}$ |  | 112 |
    | \$750-\$999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$2, 000-\$2,499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$5,000 and over------------------ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | Types VIII and other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | All nonrelief families.....-. | ${ }^{51,196}$ | 1,152 | 1,107 | 45 | 44 | 43 | 1 |
    | \$0-\$499-- | 331 | 317 | 317 | (**) | 14 | 14 | --------- |
    | \$500-\$749-- | ${ }^{631}$ | 622 | 621 | 1 | 9 | 9 |  |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | $\begin{array}{r}858 \\ 1,143 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}843 \\ 1,130 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 845 1,120 | $-10$ | ${ }_{13}^{15}$ | 13 | 2 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1,361 | 1,293 | 1,245 | 48 | 68 | 68 |  |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 1,568 | 1,512 | 1,485 | 27 | 56 | 56 |  |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1,840 | 1, 814 | 1,794 | 20 | 26 | 26 |  |
    | \$2,000-\$2,449 | 2,176 | 2,046 | 2,024 | 22 | 130 | 130 |  |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 2,739 $\mathbf{3}, 938$ | 2, 563 3,678 | 2,158 3,186 | 495 | 176 260 | 176 | -------- |
    | \$5,000 and over- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


    ## ATLANTA, GA.

    Table 3.-Money earnings: Number of families receiving net money earnings and average net money earnings received from each source, by income, 1935-36
    [Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]

    | Income class | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { families } \end{aligned}$ | Number of families receiving net money earnings from- |  |  |  | Average net money earnings from ${ }^{1}$ - |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  |  | Any source | Individual earners | Roomers and boarders ${ }^{3}$ | Other work not attributable to individuals | All scurces | Individual earners | Roomers and boarders and other work ${ }^{3}$ |
    |  | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) |
    | All families. | 5,527 | 5,427 | 5,400 | 866 | 173 | \$697 | \$682 | \$15 |
    | Relief families | 1,824 | 1,751 | 1, 737 | 216 | 58 | 435 | 425 | 10 |
    | Nonrelief families. | 3,703 | 3,676 | 3, 663 | 650 | 115 | 825 | 807 | 18 |
    | \$0-\$249 | 132 | 130 | 124 | 17 | 7 | 152 | 142 | 10 |
    | \$250-\$499. | 592 | 581 | 578 | 94 | 27 | 363 | 349 | 14 |
    | \$500-\$749. | 1,095 | 1,088 | 1,086 | 165 | 37 | 604 | 591 | 13 |
    | \$750-\$999 | 875 | 872 | 871 | 152 | 25 | 811 | 795 | 16 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 409 | 409 | 408 | 88 | 3 | 1,044 | 1,022 | 22 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 221 | 219 | 219 | 62 | 8 | 1, 248 | 1,215 | 33 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 128 | 127 | 127 | 31 | 1 | 1, 464 | 1, 438 | 26 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 66 | 65 | 65 | 10 | 2 | 1,619 | 1,571 | 48 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,249 | 65 | 65 | 65 | 11 | 1 | 1,937 | 1,916 | 21 |
    | \$2,250-\$2,499 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 7 | 1 | 2, 051 | 2,028 | 23 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 39 | 39 | 39 | 10 | 2 | 2, 332 | 2, 302 | 30 |
    | \$3,000-\$3,499 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 1 | 1 | 2,965 | 2,959 | 6 |
    | \$3,500-\$3,999 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 1 |  | 3,461 | 3, 446 | 15 |
    | \$4,000-\$4,499 | 10 | 10 | 10 |  |  | 3,487 | 3, 487 |  |
    | \$4,500-\$4,999. | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  | (*) | ${ }^{(*)}$ |  |
    | \$5,000-\$7,499. | 6 | 6 | 6 | 1 |  | 5,323 | 5, 300 | 23 |
    | \$7,500-\$9,999 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | (*) | (*) |  |


    ## ATLANTA, GA.

    Table 3A.-Money earnings: Number of families receiving net money earnings and average net money earnings received from each source, by occupation and income, 1935-36
    [Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]
    

    1 The averages in each column are based on all families, column (2), whether or not they received money earnings from the specified source.
    ${ }_{2}$ Includes only families which had net money earnings from roomers and boarders (i. e., whose gross income from roomers and boarders exceeded estimated expenses). In addition, there were some families which had roomers and boarders but which had no net money earnings from them.
    3 Includes net money earnings from roomers and boarders and from other work not attributable to individuals (casual work in home, such as laundry and sewing). Average net money earnings of all nonrelief families from other work not attributable to individuals were as follows: Wage-earner families, $\$ 2$; clerical families, $\$ 2$; business and professional families, $\$ 1$.
    *Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
    atLanta, ga.
    Table 3B.-Money earnings: Number of families receiving net money earnings and average net money earnings received from each source, by family type and income, 1935-96
    [Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups combined]

    | Income class and family type <br> (1) | Number of families | Number of families receiving net money earnings from- |  |  |  | A verage net money earnings from ${ }^{1-}$ |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Any } \\ \text { source } \end{gathered}$ | Individual earners | Roomers and boarders ${ }^{2}$ | Other work not attributable to individuals | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { All } \\ \text { sources } \end{array}$ | Indi- <br> vidual earners | Roomers and boarders and other work ${ }^{3}$ |
    |  | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) |
    | Type I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | All nonrelief families. | 1,285 | 1,270 | 1,259 | 282 | 29 | \$736 | \$713 | \$23 |
    | \$0-\$499 | 316 | 310 | 303 | 60 | 12 | 313 | 298 | 15 |
    | \$500-\$749. | 392 | 388 | 386 | 70 | 11 | 596 | 579 | 17 |
    | \$750-\$999 | 285 | 284 | 283 | 60 | 5 | 794 | 776 | 18 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 127 | 127 | 126 | 45 |  | 1,013 | 978 | 35 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 68 | 66 | 66 | 23 |  | 1, 188 | 1,145 | 43 |
    | \$1,500-\$1;749 | 40 | 39 | 39 | 13 |  | 1,388 | 1,348 | 40 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 25 | 24 | 24 | 4 |  | 1,512 | 1, 435 | 77 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,499 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 5 | 1 | 1,962 | 1,920 | 42 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999. | 6 | 6 | 6 | 1 |  | 2,452 | 2, 433 | 19 |
    | \$3,000-\$4,999. | 6 | 6 | 6 | 1 |  | 3,076 | 3, 062 | 14 |
    | \$5,000 and over | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  | (*) | (*) | -- |
    | Types II and III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | All nonrelief families. | 650 | 647 | 645 | 119 | 19 | 746 | 727 | 19 |
    | \$0-\$499. | 141 | 139 | 137 | 18 | 6 | 323 | 310 | 13 |
    | \$500-\$749 | 253 | 253 | 253 | 45 | 7 | 611 | 599 | 12 |
    | \$750-\$999 | 140 | 139 | 139 | 30 | 6 | 805 | 776 | 29 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 6 |  | 1,007 | 988 | 19 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499. | 20 | 20 | 20 | 10 |  | 1,264 | 1, 185 | 79 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 4 |  | 1,518 | 1, 462 | 56 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 1 |  | 1,619 | 1,583 | 36 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,499 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 5 |  | 1,966 | 1,949 | 17 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 5 | 5 | 5 |  |  | 2, 476 | 2,476 |  |
    | \$3,000-\$4,999. | 4 | 4 | 4 |  |  | 2,995 | 2,995 |  |
    | \$5,000 and over | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | (*) | (*) |  |
    | Types IV and V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | All nonrelief families_ | 1,152 | 1,146 | 1,146 | 191 | 43 | 927 | 912 | 15 |
    | \$0-\$499 | 173 | 170 | 170 | 21 | 9 | 340 | 330 | 10 |
    | \$500-\$749. | 259 | 257 | 257 | 36 | 13 | 600 | 587 | 13 |
    | \$750-\$999 | 305 | 304 | 304 | 47 | 8 | 823 | 813 | 10 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 169 | 169 | 169 | 33 | 3 | 1,059 | 1,039 | 20 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 25 | 6 | 1,280 | 1,255 | 25 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 63 | 63 | 63 | 13 | 1 | 1,501 | 1, 482 | 19 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 3 |  | 1,666 | 1,650 | 16 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,499 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 6 |  | 1,942 | 1,911 | 31 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 5 | 2 | 2, 345 | 2, 304 | 41 |
    | \$3,000-\$4,999.. | 17 | 17 | 17 | 1 | 1 | 3,356 | 3, 348 | 8 |
    | \$5,000 and over... | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 |  | 5,725 | 5, 682 | 43 |

    See footnotes at end of table.

    Table 3B.-Money earmings: Number of families receiving net money earnings and average net money earnings received from each source, by family type and income, 1935-36-Continued
    [Negro nonrelief families including busband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups combined]
    
    ${ }^{1}$ The averages in each column are based on all families, column (2), whether or not they received money earnings from the specified source.
    ${ }^{2}$ Includes only families which had net money earnings from roomers and boarders (i. e., whose gross income from roomers and boarders exceeded estimated expense). In addition, there were some families which had roomers and boarders but which had no net money earnings from them.

    3 Includes net money earnings from roomers and boarders and from other work not attributable to individuals (casual work in home such as laundry and sewing). Average net money earnings of all nonrelief families from other work not attributable to individuals were as follows: Family type I, $\$ 2$; family types II and III, $\$ 2$; family types IV and V, $\$ 2$; family types VI and VII, $\$ 2$; family types VIII and other, $\$ 4$.
    *Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    ## ATLANTA, GA.

    Table 4.-Principal earners: Number and average yearly earnings of principal earners, classified as husbands, wives, and others, with weeks of employment of principal earners, by income, 1935-96 ${ }^{1}$
    [Negro families including husband and wite, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    
    ${ }^{1}$ Includes 28 families classified in the occupational group, "Other," who are not included in table 4A, p. 213 to p. 215. These families had 1 principal earner.
    ${ }^{2}$ The total number of principal earners given in column (3) is equivalent to the total number of families having individual earners, since a family can have only 1 principal earner. The difference between the totals in columns (2) and (3) is explained by the fact that column (2), number of families, includes cases in which none of the family income was attributable to individual earners.
    ${ }^{3}$ A verages in this column are based on the number of principal earners reporting weeks of employment.
    ${ }^{4}$ Averages in this section of the table are based on the corresponding counts of principal earners in columns (3) through (7).
    *Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    ## ATLANTA, GA.

    Table 4A.-Principal earners: Number and average yearly earnings of principal earners, classified as husbands, wives, and others, with weeks of employment of principal earners, by occupation and income, 1995-86
    [Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined] OCOUPATIONAL GROUP: WAGE EARNER

    | Income class | Number of families | Number of principal earners |  |  |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  |  | All 1 <br> (3) | Husbands <br> (4) | Wives <br> (5) | Others |  |
    | (1) |  |  |  |  | Male <br> (6) | Female <br> (7) |
    | All nonrelief families....-.-.-...-- | 3,137 | 3,136 | 2, 759 | 260 | 71 | 46 |
    | \$0-\$499 | 621 | 620 | 457 | 139 | 4 | 20 |
    | \$500-\$749. | 1,002 | 1,002 | 924 | 59 | 7 | 12 |
    | \$750-\$999 | 787 | 787 | 714 | 45 | 19 | 9 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 356 | 356 | 327 | 11 | 14 | 4 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 184 | 184 | 168 | 3 | 12 | 1 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 94 | 94 | 86 | 2 | 6 |  |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 36 | 36 | 34 | 1 | 1 |  |
    | \$2,000-\$2,499 | 43 | 43 | 38 |  | 5 |  |
    | \$2,500-\$2,099 | 9 | 9 | 6 |  | 3 |  |
    | \$3,000-\$4,999.. | 5 | 5 | 5 |  |  |  |
    | Income class(8) | Average weeks of employment of principal earners ${ }^{2}$ <br> (9) | A verage earnings of principal earners ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |
    |  |  |  | Husbands |  | Oth |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  | Male | Female |
    |  |  | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) |
    | All nonrelief families.----------- | 49 | \$601 | \$635 | \$299 | \$588 | \$286 |
    | \$0-\$499. | 43 | 287 | 311 | 221 | 256 | 195 |
    | \$500-\$749.. | 50 | 538 | 554 | 341 | 447 | 323 |
    | \$750-\$999. | 51 | 658 | 679 | 433 | 553 | 349 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249. | 51 | 757 | 777 | 458 | 623 | 448 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499. | 51 | 898 | 933 | 225 | 620 | (*) |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749. | 52 | 1,022 | 1,062 | (*) | 634 | -.-.----- |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 51 | 1,004 | 1, 022 | (*) | (*) 88 | ------- |
    | \$2,000-\$2,499. | 50 | 1,298 | 1,359 |  | 837 | --.------ |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 52 | 1,514 | 1, 873 |  | 797 | -------- |
    | \$3,000-\$4,999 | 52 | 2, 756 | 2, 756 | -- |  |  |
    | \$5,000 and over--- |  |  |  |  |  |  |

    For footnotes 1, 2, 3 see footnotes 2, 3, 4 of table 4 on p. 212.
    *Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    ## ATLANTA, GA.

    Table 4A.-Principal earners: Number and average yearly earnings of principal earners, classified as husbands, wives, and others, with weeks of employment of principal earners, by occupation and income, 1935-36-Continued
    [Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined] OCCUPATIONAL GROUP: CLERICAL
    


    ## ATLANTA, GA.

    Table 4A.-Principal earners: Number and average yearly earnings of principal earners, classified as husbands, wives, and others, with weeks of employment of principal earners, by occupation and income, 1935-36-Continued
    [Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]
    OCCUPATIONAL GROUP: BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONAL
    

    For footnotes 1, 2, 3 see footnotes 2, 3, 4 of table 4 on p. 212.
    *Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    ## ATLANTA, GA.

    Table 4B.-Principal earners: Number and average yearly earnings of principal earners, classified as husbands, wives, and others, with weeks of employment of principal earners, by family type and income, 1935-86
    [Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups combined]
    FAMILY TYPE I

    | Income class | Number of families | Number of principal earners |  |  |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  |  | All ${ }^{1}$ <br> (3) | Husbsands <br> (4) | Wives <br> (5) | Others |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  | Male <br> (6) | Female <br> (7) |
    | All nonrelief families. | 1,285 | 1,259 | 1,120 | 139 |  |  |
    | \$0-\$499. | 316 | 303 | 224 | 79 |  |  |
    | \$500-\$749 | 392 | 386 | 357 | 29 | ... |  |
    | \$750-\$999 | 285 | 283 | 263 | 20 |  |  |
    | \$1,006-\$1,249. | 127 | 126 | 121 |  |  |  |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749- | 40 | 39 | 38 | 1 |  |  |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 25 | 24 | 22 | 2 |  |  |
    | \$2,000-\$2,499 | 18 | 18 | 17 | 1 | --- |  |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 6 6 | 6 6 | 6 |  |  | .-.....- |
    | \$5,000 and over. |  | 2 | ${ }_{2}^{6}$ |  |  |  |
    | Income class(8) | A verage weeks of employment of principal earners ${ }^{2}$ <br> (9) | A verage earnings of principal earners ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |
    |  |  | All | Husbands | Wives | Others |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  | Male | Female |
    |  |  | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) |
    | All nonrelief families. | 49 | \$625 | \$665 | \$305 |  |  |
    | \$0-\$499- | $\begin{array}{r}43 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 52 \\ 51 \\ 52 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 52 \\ 52 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 2775236637989971,1361,3561,7352,7332,433$\left({ }^{*}\right)$ | 3005366828129631,1351,4231,7882,3832,433$\left({ }^{*}\right)$ |  |  |  |
    | \$500-\$749 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$2,000-\$2,499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 2,500-\$ 2,999 . \\ & \$ 3,000-\$ 4,999 . \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$5,000 and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |

    For footnotes 1, 2, 3 see 2, 3, 4 of table 4 on p. 212.
    *A verages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    ## ATLANTA, GA.

    Table 4B.-Principal earners: Number and average yearly earnings of principal earners, classified as husbands, wives, and others, with weeks of employment of principal earners, by family type and income, 1935-86-Continued
    [Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups combined]
    FAMILY TYPES II AND III

    | Income class(1) | Number of families | Number of principal earners |  |  |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  |  | All ${ }^{1}$ <br> (3) | Husbands <br> (4) | Wives <br> (5) | Others |  |
    |  | (2) |  |  |  | Male <br> (6) | Female <br> (7) |
    | All nonrelief families.------------ | 650 | 645 | 605 | 40 |  |  |
    | \$0-\$499. | 141 | 137 | 117 | 20 |  |  |
    | \$500-\$749 | 253 | 253 | 243 | 10 |  |  |
    | \$750-\$999 | 140 | 139 | 131 | 8 |  |  |
    | \$1,000-\$1.249. | 48 | 48 | 47 | 1 |  |  |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499... | 20 | 20 | 19 | 1 |  |  |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 6 | 6 | 6 |  |  |  |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 ... | 8 | 8 | 8 |  |  |  |
    | \$2,000-\$2,499 | 24 | 24 | 24 | - |  |  |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999. | 5 | 5 | 5 |  |  |  |
    | \$3,000-\$4,999. | 4 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |
    | \$5,000 and over. | 1 | 1 | 1 | -...-..- |  |  |
    | Income class(8) | A verage weeks of employment of principal earners: <br> (9) | A verage earnings of principal earners ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  | Male | Female |
    |  |  | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) |
    | All nonrelief families... | 49 | \$665 | \$690 | \$290 | ---- | ------ |
    | \$0-\$499 | 44 | 296 | 312 | 200 |  |  |
    | \$500-\$749. | 50 | 559 | 569 | 304 |  |  |
    | \$750-\$999.... | 51 | 673 | 685 | (*) 466 | ----- |  |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249.- | 50 | 872 | 886 | (*) | ---- | --------- |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 52 | 976 1.256 | 996 | (*) | ---- |  |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 52 | 1,256 | 1,256 | ( |  |  |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999.. | 51 | 1,464 | 1,464 | -------- |  |  |
    | \$2,000-\$2,499.. | 52 | 1,829 | 1, 829 | -.-...--- |  |  |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 52 | 2. 403 | 2,403 | .......--- |  |  |
    | \$3,000-\$4,999 | (*) 52 | $\underset{(*)}{2,948}$ | ${ }_{(*)}^{2.948}$ | ------- |  |  |
    | \$5,000 and over...... |  | (*) | (*) | --------- |  |  |


    *Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    ## ATLANTA, GA.

    Table 4B.-Principal earners: Number and average yearly earnings of principal earners, classified as husbands, wives, and others, with weeks of employment of principal earners, by family type and income, 1935-36-Continued
    [Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups combined]
    FAMILY TYPES IV AND V

    | Income class | Number of families | Number of principal earners |  |  |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  |  | All ${ }^{1}$ <br> (3) | Husbands <br> (4) | Wives <br> (5) | Others |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  | Male <br> (6) | Female <br> (7) |
    | All nonrelief families..-.........-- | 1,152 | 1,146 | 952 | 98 | 54 | 42 |
    | \$0-\$499 | 173 | 170 | 112 | 42 | 3 | 13 |
    | 8500-\$749 | 259 | 257 | 223 | 19 | 5 | 10 |
    | \$750-\$993 | 305 | 304 | 263 | 20 | 14 | 7 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 169 | 169 | 150 | 7 | 8 | 4 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 93 | 93 | 82 | 2 | 8 | 1 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749. | 63 | 63 | 50 | 4 | 8 | 1 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999. | 18 | 18 | 16 |  |  | 2 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,499. | 36 | 36 | 26 | 2 | 5 | 3 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 16 | 16 | 12 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
    | \$3,000-\$4,999 | 17 | 17 | 15 | -------- | 2 | -........- |
    | \$5,000 and over- | 3 | 3 | 3 | - | ------ | ----...-- |
    | Income class(8) | Average weeks of employment of principal earners ${ }^{2}$ <br> (9) | A verage earnings of principal earners ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  | Oth |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  | Male | Female |
    |  |  | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) |
    | All nonrelief families...---.-.-. -- | 49 | \$692 | \$734 | \$364 | \$697 | \$475 |
    | \$0-\$499 | 42 | 272 | 302 | 215 | 253 | 204 |
    | \$500-\$749 | 49 | 502 | 525 | 349 | 439 | 318 |
    | \$750-\$999 | 50 | 637 | 659 | 442 | 556 | 526 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 51 | 728 | 746 | 533 | 634 | 580 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 51 | 870 | 905 | (*) | 695 | (*) |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 51 | 1,026 | 1,088 | 682 | 812 | (*) |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 50 | 1,084 | 1,087 |  |  | (*) |
    | \$2,000-\$2,499 | 51 | 1,341 | 1, 444 | (*) | 1,024 | 1,043 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 52 | 1,734 | 1,985 | (*) | (*) | (*) |
    | \$3,000-\$4,999 | 52 | 2,562 | 2,657 |  | (*) |  |
    | \$5,000 and over........ | 52 | 5,333 | 5,333 |  |  |  |


    *Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    ## ATLANTA, GA.

    Table 4B.-Principal earners: Number and average yearly earnings of principal earners, classified as husbands, wives, and others, with weeks of employment of principal earners, by family type and income, 1935-36-Continued
    [Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups combined]
    FAMILY TYPES VI AND VII

    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow{3}{*}{Income class} \& \multirow{3}{*}{Number of families} \& \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Number of principal earners} \\
    \hline \& \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    All 1 \\
    (3)
    \end{tabular}} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Husbands \\
    (4)
    \end{tabular}} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Wives \\
    (5)
    \end{tabular}} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Others} \\
    \hline \& \& \& \& \& \begin{tabular}{l}
    Male \\
    (6)
    \end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
    Female \\
    (7)
    \end{tabular} \\
    \hline All nonrelief families. \& 436 \& 433 \& 397 \& 14 \& 13 \& 9 \\
    \hline \$0-\$499 \& 73 \& 71 \& 57 \& 10 \& 2 \& 2 \\
    \hline \$500-\$749. \& 160 \& 159 \& 154 \& 4 \& \& \\
    \hline \$750-\$999 \& 101 \& 101 \& 93 \& \& 4 \& 4 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249. \& 40 \& 40 \& 36 \& \& 4 \& \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499. \& 25 \& 25 \& 22 \& \& 2 \& 1 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749 \& 10 \& 10 \& 9 \& \& \& 1 \\
    \hline \$1,750-81,999 \& 7 \& 7 \& 7 \& \& \& \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,499 \& 13 \& 13 \& 13 \& -...-.-.- \& \& \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999. \& 2 \& 2 \& 2 \& \& \& \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over. \& \({ }_{1}^{4}\) \& \({ }_{1}^{4}\) \& 1 \& -------- \& \& 1 \\
    \hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
    \hline \multirow[b]{4}{*}{Income class

    (8)} \& \multirow[b]{4}{*}{| Average weeks of employment of principal earners ${ }^{2}$ |
    | :--- |
    | (9) |} \& \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{A verage earnings of principal earners ${ }^{3}$} <br>

    \hline \& \& \multirow{2}{*}{All} \& \multirow{2}{*}{Husbands} \& \multirow{2}{*}{Wives} \& \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Others} <br>
    \hline \& \& \& \& \& Male \& Female <br>
    \hline \& \& (10) \& (11) \& (12) \& (13) \& (14) <br>
    \hline All nonrelief families. \& 49 \& \$662 \& \$684 \& \$246 \& \$520 \& \$512 <br>
    \hline \$0-\$499 \& \multirow[t]{9}{*}{43
    50
    51
    50
    50
    511
    51
    52
    (*)
    52
    (*)
    (} \& 306

    573 \& | 323 |
    | :--- |
    | 582 | \& ${ }_{252}^{244}$ \& \& (*) <br>

    \hline \$750-\$999 \& \& 684 \& 706 \& \& 552 \& 308 <br>
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249. \& \& 747 \& 760 \& \& 630 \& <br>
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499-... \& \& 969 \& 1,013 \& \& \& (*) <br>
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749 \& \& 904 \& 875 \& \& \& (*) <br>
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999. \& \& 976 \& 976 \& \& \& <br>
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,499.. \& \& 1.692 \& \& ------ \& \& <br>
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999-. \& \& $\stackrel{(*)}{1,745}$ \& ${ }^{(*)}$ (2,007 \& \& \& (*) <br>
    \hline \$5,000 and over..-- \& \& (*) ${ }^{(1)}$ \& $\left({ }^{(*)}\right.$ \& \& \& <br>
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    For footnotes 1, 2, 3 see 2, 3, 4 of table 4 on p. 212.
    *Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    ## ATLANTA, GA.

    Table 4B.-Principal earners: Number and average yearly earnings of principal earners, classified as husbands, wives, and others, with weeks of employment of principal earners, by family type and income, 1935-36-Continued
    [Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native borm: All occupational groups combined]
    FAMILY TYPE VIII AND OTHER
    


    ## ATLANTA, GA.

    Table 5.-Number of earners in family: Number of families with specified number of individual earners, family relationship of sole earners, and average number of supplementary earners per family, by income, 1935-96
    [Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    

    1 This percentage was computed by dividing the sum of columns (8), (9), (10), by column (4) of table 3 on p. 208 .
    ${ }_{2}$ Based on the number of families with individual earners, column (4) of table 3 on p. 208.
    $\dagger$ Percentages not computed for fewer than 30 cases.

    * Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    Table 6.-Sole and supplementary earners: Number of families with individual earners; number and average earnings of supplementary earners classified as husbands, wives, and others; and average earnings of family from supplementary earners; by income, $1935-36$
    [Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]

    | Income class | Number of families | Number of families with individual earners |  |  |  | Number of supplementary earners |  |  |  |  | Average earnings of supplementary earners ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  | Average earnings per family from supplementary earners ${ }^{2}$ <br> (17) |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  |  | Any <br> (3) | One only |  | More than one ${ }^{3}$ <br> (6) | All <br> (7) | Husbands <br> (8) | Wives <br> (9) | Others ${ }^{\text {4 }}$ |  | All | Husbands | Wives | Others ${ }^{\text {s }}$ |  |  |
    |  |  |  | Any family member | Husband |  |  |  |  | Male | Female |  |  |  | Male | Female |  |
    |  | (2) |  | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) |  |
    | All families. | 5,527 | 5,400 | 2,605 | 2, 348 | 2, 795 | 3, 683 | 444 | 1,918 | 599 | 722 | \$195 | \$211 | \$182 | \$242 | \$180 | \$13 |
    | Relief families. | 1,824 | 1,737 | 882 | 739 | 855 | 1,176 | 168 | 545 | 183 | 280 | 128 | 183 | 102 | 164 | 123 | 83 |
    | Nonrelief families. | 3,703 | 3,663 | 1,723 | 1,609 | 1,940 | 2,507 | 276 | 1,373 | 416 | 442 | 226 | 228 | 214 | 276 | 216 | 153 |
    | \$0-\$249 | 132 | 124 | 87 | 53 | 37 | 39 | 12 | 21 | 2 |  | 44 | 53 | 42 | (*) | 38 | 13 |
    | \$250-\$499. | 592 | 578 | 312 | 273 | 266 | 305 | 76 | 160 | 30 | 39 | 86 | 104 | 82 | 78 | 72 | 44 |
    | \$500-\$749. | 1,095 | 1,086 | 655 | 642 | 431 | 497 | 62 | 324 | 48 | 63 | 136 | 201 | 131 | 125 | 105 | 62 |
    | \$750-\$999 | 875 | 871 | 343 | 324 | 528 | 677 | 54 | 405 | 101 | 117 | 182 | 234 | 194 | 151 | 145 | 141 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 409 | 408 | 116 | 114 | 292 | 389 | 26 | 207 | 72 | 84 | 271 | 332 | 276 | 280 | 232 | 258 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 221 | 219 | 67 | 64 | 152 | 218 | 12 | 113 | 46 | 47 | 323 | 355 | 332 | 335 | 282 | 319 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749. | 128 | 127 | 40 | 39 | 87 | 139 | 12 | 59 | 36 | 32 | 370 | 420 | 388 | 368 | 319 | 402 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999. | 66 | 65 | 25 | 24 | 40 | 67 | 3 | 26 | 22 | 16 | 396 | 462 | 391 | 444 | 327 | 402 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,249 | 65 | 65 | 28 | 28 | 37 | 68 | 7 | 24 | 25 | 12 | 413 | 514 | 409 | 402 | 384 | 432 |
    | \$2,250-\$2,499 | 38 | 38 | 21 | 20 | 17 | 25 | 4 | 9 | 7 | 5 | 558 | 502 | 526 | 621 | 594 | 367 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 39 | 39 | 16 | 15 | 23 | 39 | 5 | 10 | 9 | 15 | 445 | 457 | 418 | 372 | 503 | 445 |
    | \$3,000-\$3,499 | 19 | 19 | 4 | 4 | 15 | 19 | 1 | 9 | 8 | 1 | 758 | (*) | 770 | 733 | (*) | 758 |
    | \$3,500-\$3,999. | 5 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  | 876 | (*) | (*) | (*) |  | 701 |
    | \$4,000-\$4,499. | 10 | 10 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 13 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 668 | (*) | 658 | 733 | 542 | 868 |
    | \$4,500-\$4,999 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 |  |  | 1 | 2 | $56{ }^{\prime \prime}$ |  |  | (*) | (*) | ${ }^{*}{ }^{*}$ |
    | \$5,000-\$7,499 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 5 |  | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1,363 |  | (*) | (*) | (*) | 1,136 |
    | \$7,500-\$9,999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$10,000 and over. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

    Table 6A.-Sole and supplementary earners: Number of families with individual earners; number and average earnings of supplementary earners classified as husbands, wives, and others; and average earnings of family from supplementary earners; by occupation and income, 1935-36
    [Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]
    

    For footnotes 1, 2, 3, see table 6 on p. 222.
    ${ }_{8}^{4}$ Includes persons under 16 years of age as follows: Wage-earner families, 20 males and 5 females.

    * Average earnings of persons under not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    Table 6A.-Sole and supplementary earners: Number of families with individual earners; number and average earnings of supplementary earners classified as husbands, wives, and others; and average earnings of family from supplementary earners; by occupation and income, 1935-36-Continued
    [Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]
    

    For footnotes 1, 2, 3, see table 6 on p. 222.
    4 Includes persons under 16 years of age as follows: Business and professional families, 2 males and no females.
    A -

    Table 6B.-Sole and supplementary earners: Number of families with individual earners; number and average earnings of supplementary earners classified as husbands, wives, and others; and average earnings of family from supplementary earners; by family type and income, 1935-36
    [Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupationa igroups combined]

    | Income class and family type(1) | Number of families | Number of families with individual earners |  |  | Number of supplementary earners |  |  |  |  | A verage earnings of supplementary earners |  |  |  |  | A verage earnings per family from supplementary earners ${ }^{2}$ <br> (16) |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  |  | Any <br> (3) | One only(4) | More than one ${ }^{3}$ <br> (5) | All <br> (6) | Husbands <br> (7) | Wives <br> (8) | Others ${ }^{4}$ |  | All | Husbands | Wives | Others ${ }^{\text {8 }}$ |  |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Male <br> (9) | Female <br> (10) | (11) | bands <br> (12) | (13) | Male <br> (14) | Female <br> (15) |  |
    | Type I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | All nonrelief families.-- | 1,285 | 1,259 | 654 | 605 | 608 | 86 | 516 | 2 | 4 | \$214 | \$164 | \$224 | (*) | \$53 | \$101 |
    | \$0-\$499 | 316 | 303 | 182 | 121 | 122 | 46 | 74 |  | 2 | 84 | 88 | 83 |  | ${ }^{*}$ ) | 32 |
    | \$500-\$749. | 392 | 386 | 222 | 164 | 165 | 24 | 140 |  | 1 | 152 | 216 | 142 |  | (*) | 64 |
    | \$750-\$999 | 285 | 283 | 126 | 157 | 157 | 9 | 147 |  |  | ${ }_{213}$ | 202 | 214 | (*) |  | 118 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 127 | 126 | 47 | 79 | 80 | 4 | 74 | 1 | 1 | 295 | 296 | 297 | (*) | (*) | 186 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 68 | 66 | 24 | 42 | 42 |  | 42 |  |  | 350 | --- | 350 |  |  | 216 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 40 | 39 | 19 | 20 | 20 | 1 | 19 |  |  | 480 | (*) | 492 |  |  | 240 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 25 | 24 | 16 | 8 | 8 | 1 | 7 |  |  | 417 | (*) | 365 |  |  | 133 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,499 | 18 | 18 | 11 | 7 | 7 | 1 | 6 |  |  | ${ }_{(*)}{ }^{476}$ | (*) | ${ }_{(*)}^{426}$ |  |  | 185 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,899 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 2 4 4 | 2 |  | 2 |  |  | ${ }^{(*)} 945$ | --.---- | ${ }^{*}{ }_{945}$ |  |  | 108 630 |
    | \$5,000 and over. | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | (*) |  | (*) |  |  | (*) |

    ## ATLANTA, GA.-Continued

    Table 6B.-Sole and supplementary earners: Number of families with individual earners; number and average earnings of supplementary earners classified as husbands, wives, and others; and average earnings of family from supplementary earners; by family type and income, 1935-36-Continued
    [Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups combined]

    | Income class and family type(1) | Number of families | Number of families with individual earners |  |  | Number of supplementary earners |  |  |  |  | A verage earnings of supplementary earners |  |  |  |  | A verage earnings per family from supplementary earners <br> (16) |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  |  | Any <br> ((3) | One only(4) | More than one(5) | All <br> (6) | Husbands <br> (7) | Wives <br> (8) | Others |  | All <br> (11) | Husbands <br> (12) | Wives <br> (13) | Others |  |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Male <br> (9) | Female <br> (10) |  |  |  | Male <br> (14) | Female <br> (15) |  |
    | Types II and III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | All nonrelief families. | 650 | 645 | 422 | 223 | 230 | 22 | 194 | 9 | 5 | 189 | 260 | 188 | 69 | 134 | 67 |
    | \$0-\$499 | 141 | 137 | 96 | 41 | 43 | 6 | 34 | 3 |  | 74 | 181 | 58 | 30 |  | 22 |
    | \$500-\$749 | 253 | 253 | 185 | 68 | 71 | 7 | 59 | 3 | 2 | 140 | 219 | 139 | 72 | (*) | 39 |
    | \$750-\$999 | 140 | 139 | 70 | 69 | 71 | 7 | 60 | 3 | 1 | 213 | 358 | 204 | 105 | (*) | 108 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 48 | 48 | 27 | 21 | 21 | 1 | 20 |  |  | 264 | ${ }^{*}$ *) | 273 |  |  | 116 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 20 | 20 | 8 | 12 | 12 | 1 | 10 |  | 1 | 346 | (*) | 325 | ----- | (*) | 208 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749. | 6 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 2 |  | 1 | 415 |  | ${ }^{*}$ ) |  | (*) | 208 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999- | 8 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |  |  | (*) | ---- | (*) |  |  | 120 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,499 | 24 | 24 | 19 | 5 | 5 |  | 5 |  | -------- | 571 |  | 571 |  |  | 119 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | -..-- |  | (*) | ------ | (*) |  |  | 73 49 |
    | \$3,000-\$4,999 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | --- | -------- | (*) | ------- | (*) | ---.--- | --.-.--- | 49 |
    | Types IV and V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | All nonrelief families. | 1,152 | 1, 146 | 373 | 773 | 1,075 | 123 | 472 | 230 | 250 | 241 | 270 | 231 | 262 | 227 | 225 |
    | \$0-\$499 | 173 | 170 | 69 | 101 | 125 | 25 | 54 | 21 | 25 | 88 | 104 | 88 | 86 | 73 | 63 |
    | \$500-\$749 | 259 | 257 | 120 | 131 | 170 | 26 | 79 | 35 | 30 | 134 | 193 | 126 | 117 | 122 | 88 |
    | \$750-\$999 | 305 | 304 | 84 | 220 | 309 | 28 | 145 | 60 | 76 | 175 | 223 | 185 | 158 | 152 | 178 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 169 | 169 | 33 | 136 | 192 | 14 | 83 | 46 | 49 | 274 | 361 | 285 | 269 | 236 | 312 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 93 | 93 | 23 | 70 | 105 | 6 | 46 | 23 | 30 | 341 | 414 | 350 | 391 | 275 | 385 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 63 | 63 | 15 | 48 | 73 | 10 | 27 | 15 | 21 | 394 | 457 | 345 | 437 | 394 | 456 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 18 | 18 | 2 | 16 | 22 | 2 | 11 | 5 | 4 | 462 | (*) | 440 | 508 | 548 | 565 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,499. | 36 | 36 | 10 | 26 | 44 | 8 | 15 | 13 | 8 | 466 | 544 | 412 | 398 | 602 | 570 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999. | 16 | 16 | 6 | 10 | 17 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 536 | 504 | 441 | 469 | 698 | 570 |
    | \$3,000-\$4,999 | 17 | 17 | 3 | 14 | 17 | 1 | 8 | 7 | 1 | 786 | (*) | 708 | 968 | ${ }^{*}$ *) | 786 |
    | \$5,000 and over... | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | (*) |  |  |  | (*) | 347 |

    Types VI and VII

    | Types VI and VHI All nonrelief families | 436 | 433 | 235 | 198 | 306 | 24 | 128 | 82 | 72 | 209 | 205 | 153 | 316 | 189 | 147 |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | \$0-\$499 | 73 | 71 | 43 | 28 | 34 | 8 | 16 | 5 | 5 | 65 | 85 | 68 | 40 | 48 | 30 |
    | \$500-\$749 | 160 | 159 | 112 | 47 | 57 | 2 | 35 | 5 | 15 | 108 | (*) | 90 | 204 | 100 | 38 |
    | \$750-\$999 | 101 | 101 | 50 | 51 | 83 | 7 | 39 | 20 | 17 | 138 | 223 | 151 | 122 | 94 | 114 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 40 | 40 | 8 | 32 | 49 | 3 | 17 | 15 | 14 | 255 | 272 | 195 | 316 | 259 | 313 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 25 | 25 | 10 | 15 | 26 | 3 | 9 | 10 | 4 | 287 | 277 | 253 | 316 | 299 | 299 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 10 | 10 | 3 | 7 | 20 | -- | 6 | 11 | 3 | 289 |  | 260 | 346 | 138 | 578 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 7 | 7 | 1 | 6 | 15 |  | 3 | 4 | 8 | 326 |  | 338 | 522 | 223 | 698 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,499 | 13 | 13 | 6 | 7 | 12 |  | 3 | ${ }^{6}$ | 3 | 428 |  | 412 | 540 | 222 | 396 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |  | 1 | 1 | (*) |  |  | ${ }^{(*)}$ | (*) | ${ }^{*}$ ) |
    | \$3,000-\$4,999 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 1 |  | 3 | 1 | 935 | (*) |  | 1, 022 | (*) | (*) 169 |
    | \$5,000 and over. | 1 | 1 | -- | 1 | 3 |  |  | 2 | 1 | 1,091 |  |  | (*) | (*) | (*) |
    | Types VIII and other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | All nonrelief families. | 180 | 180 | 39 | 141 | 288 | 21 | 63 | 93 | 111 | 243 | 241 | 213 | 296 | 217 | 389 |
    | \$0-\$499 | 21 |  | 9 | 12 | 20 |  | 3 | 3 | 11 | 68 | 36 | 46 | 87 | 76 | 64 |
    | \$500-\$749 | 31 | 31 | 10 | 21 | 34 | 3 | 11 | 5 | 15 | 106 | 107 | 123 | 128 | 87 | 117 |
    | \$750-\$999 | 44 | 44 | 13 | 31 | 57 | 3 | 14 | 17 | 23 | 160 | 161 | 151 | 164 | 163 | 208 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 25 | 25 | 1 | 24 | 47 | 4 | 13 | 10 | 20 | 238 | 367 | 213 | 277 | 210 | 448 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 15 | 15 | 2 | 13 | 33 | 2 | 6 | 13 | 12 | 252 | (*) | 204 | 251 | 281 | 554 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 9 | 9 |  | 9 | 23 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 7 | 263 | (*) | 328 | 287 | 189 | 672 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 8 | 8 |  | 8 | 20 |  | 3 | 13 | 4 | 360 |  | 269 | 395 | 313 | 900 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,499 | 12 | 12 | 3 | 9 | 25 | 2 | 4 | 13 | 6 | 406 | (*) | 428 | 459 | 334 | 846 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 10 | 10 | 1 | 9 | 17 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 9 | 398 | (*) | 468 | 317 | 404 | 676 |
    | \$3,000-\$4,999 | 5 | 5 |  | 5 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 522 | (*) | (*) | 479 | 183 | 1,254 |
    | \$5,000 and over-- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

    For footnotes 1, 2, 3, see table 6 on p. 222.
    ${ }^{4}$ Includes persons under 16 years of age as follows: Families of types II and III, 8 males and 1 female; families of types IV and V, 10 males and 1 female; families of types VI and VII, 3 males and no females; families of types VIII and other, 7 males and 3 females.
    \& A verage earnings of persons under 16 years of age were as follows: Families of types II and III, males $\$ 55$ and females $\$^{*}$; families of types IV and V, males $\$ 84$ and females $\$ *$; families of types VI and VII, males $\$ 83$; families of types VIII and other, males $\$ 133$ and females $\$ 72$.
    *Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    ## ATLANTA, GA.

    Table 7.-Earnings of supplementary earners: Number of supplementary earners with earnings of specified amount, by family income, 1935-36
    [Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    

    ## ATLANTA, GA

    Table 8.-Husbands as earners: Number and average yearly earnings of husbands classified as principal or supplementary earners, by age and family income, 1935-36
    [Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]

    | Income class | Principal earners by age groups- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Supplementary earners by age groups- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | Any | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Un- } \\ & \text { der } \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 | 50-54 | 55-59 | 60-64 | 65 and over | Any | Un- der $20$ | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 | 50-54 | 55-59 | 60-64 | $\begin{gathered} 65 \\ \text { and } \\ \text { over } \end{gathered}$ |
    | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) | (17) | (18) | (19) | (20) | (21) | (22) | (23) | (24) | (25) |
    | All families--------. | Number of husbands ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  | 4,582 | 4 | 177 | 562 | 634 | 936 | 709 | 530 | 439 | 256 | 177 | 158 | 442 |  | 13 | 35 | 48 | 59 | 51 | 62 | 67 | 42 | 27 | 38 |
    | Relief families. | 1,371 |  | 42 | 127 | 180 | 256 | 222 | 160 | 151 | 92 | 69 | 72 | 167 |  | 1 | 11 | 16 | 16 | 17 | 31 | 29 | 16 | 13 | 17 |
    | Nonrelief families.-- | 3,211 | 4 | 135 | 435 | 454 | 680 | 487 | 370 | 288 | 164 | 108 | 86 | 275 | ------ | 12 | 24 | 32 | 43 | 34 | 31 | 38 | 26 | 14 | 21 |
    | \$0-\$240 | 76 |  | 6 | 9 | 12 | 14 | 8 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 3 | 12 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |
    | \$250-\$499 | 448 | 2 | 35 | 76 | 57 | 94 | 46 | 41 | 43 | 17 | 22 | 15 | 75 |  | 6 | 6 | 8 | 14 | 8 | 6 | 10 | 11 | 3 | 3 |
    | \$500-\$749 | 1, 002 | 1 | 58 | 197 | 174 | 199 | 148 | 87 | 62 | 29 | 23 | 24 | 62 |  | 3 | 6 | 11 | 14 | 7 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 2 |
    | \$750-\$999 | 786 |  | 25 | 90 | 129 | 191 | 122 | 88 | 65 | 37 | 24 | 15 | 54 |  |  | 8 | 7 | 7 | 9 | 9 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 372 | 1 | 7 | 33 | 42 | 82 | 64 | 47 | 38 | 27 | 16 | 15 | 26 |  | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 2 |  | 4 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499....... | 198 |  | 3 | 12 | 21 | 34 | 39 | 45 | 22 | 16 | 3 | 3 | 12 | -..... | 1 |  |  | 1 | 2 |  | 4 |  | 2 | 2 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 110 |  | 1 | 5 | 7 | 20 | 21 | 18 | 17 | 14 | 3 | 4 | 12 |  |  | 1 | 2 | .- | -- | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 $\ldots . . .$. | 60 |  | ---- | 5 | 2 | 15 | 12 | 11 | 9 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
    | \$2,000-\$2,249 | 57 |  |  | 3 | 4 <br> 3 | 12 | 14 | 10 | 6 7 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 7 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 |  |  | 1 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999........ | 33 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 6 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 2 |
    | \$3,000-\$3,499....... | 16 |  |  | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
    | \$3,500-\$3,999......- | 4 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
    | \$4,000-\$4,499......- | 9 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
    | \$4,500-\$4,999 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | $\$ 5,000-\$ 7,499$ | 6 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$10,000 and over..- | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $1{ }^{-}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  | A verage earnings of husbands ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | All nonrelief families | \$697 | \$469 | \$526 | \$608 | \$648 | \$707 | \$729 | \$797 | \$774 | \$784 | \$654 | \$620 | \$228 | -.--- | \$171 | \$257 | \$226 | \$182 | \$253 | \$275 | \$244 | \$214 | \$169 | \$250 |

    ${ }_{2}$ Excludes 3 principal earners and 2 supplementary earners who did not report age. for each age group are based on the corresponding numbers of husbands in the section of the table; the two averages for all groups combined are based on the corresponding total numbers of husbands, including those who did not report age.

    ## ATLANTA, GA.

    Table 9.-Wives as earners: Number and average yearly earnings of wives classified as principal or supplementary earners, by age and
    [Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]

    |  | Principal earners by age groups- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Supplementary earners by age groups- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | Income class (1) | Any <br> (2) | Un- <br> der 20 <br> (3) | 20-24 <br> (4) | 25-29 <br> (5) | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 30-34 \\ (6) \end{array}\right\|$ | 35-39 <br> (7) | 40-44 <br> (8) | 45-49 <br> (9) | $\begin{array}{\|c} 50-54 \\ (10) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 55-59 \\ (11) \end{array}$ | $\left.\begin{gathered} 60-64 \\ (12) \end{gathered} \right\rvert\,$ | cind $\begin{gathered}65 \\ \text { and } \\ \text { over } \\ \text { (13) }\end{gathered}$ | Any <br> (14) | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{l} \text { Un- } \\ \text { der } \\ 20 \end{array} \\ (15) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20-24 \\ (16) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25-29 \\ (17) \end{gathered}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 30-34 \\ (18) \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 35-39 \\ (19) \end{array}$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{c} 40-44 \\ (20) \end{array}\right.$ | 45-49 <br> (21) | 50-54 <br> (22) | 55-59 <br> (23) | $60-64$ (24) | 65 and over (25) |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | amber | of wiv |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | All families. | 496 | 5 | 27 | 77 | 68 | 102 | 59 | 61 | 51 | 20 | 16 | 10 | 1,918 | 11 | 176 | 339 | 366 | 458 | 224 | 185 | 98 | 29 | 19 | 13 |
    | Relief families Nonrelief families | 199 | 1 4 | 7 20 | 29 48 48 | 30 <br> 38 | ${ }_{61}^{41}$ | ${ }_{31}^{28}$ | 26 35 | $\stackrel{15}{36}$ | $\begin{array}{r}8 \\ 12 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 9 | 5 5 | [ ${ }_{1,345}$ | 11 | 32 144 | 76 263 | 102 | ${ }_{326}^{132}$ | 62 162 | $\begin{array}{r}71 \\ 114 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 41 57 | 9 20 | $\begin{array}{r}11 \\ 8 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 9 <br> 4 |
    | $\$ 0-\$ 249 \ldots . .$ | 111 | 1 | 3 9 | 10 | 14 | 6 20 | 5 10 | 2 | 5 9 | ${ }_{9}^{1}$ |  | 1 | 21 160 |  | 3 28 | 5 5 | 5 17 | 4 40 | 16 | 2 16 16 | 12 |  | 1 | $\stackrel{1}{2}$ |
    | \$500-8749 | 63 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 10 | 18 | 7 | 3 | 7 | 1 | 3 |  | 324 | 3 | 42 | 74 | 73 | 65 | 33 | 17 | 9 |  | 3 |  |
    | \$750-8999 | 51 |  | 3 | 5 | 5 | 13 | 5 | 9 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 405 | 3 | 41 | 92 | 83 | 101 | 41 | 25 | 11 | 6 | 2 |  |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 14 |  |  | 3 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 2 |  |  |  | 207 | 2 | 14 | 37 | 49 | 46 | ${ }^{26}$ | 18 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 1 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 5 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 4 | ---- |  |  | 113 |  | 10 | 15 | 14 | 33 | 22 | 13 | 3 | 3 |  |  |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5 |  | --- | $\stackrel{2}{1}$ | 1 | 1 | ...- | 1 |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{59}{26}$ |  | 3 | ${ }_{4}^{3}$ | 11 4 1 | ${ }_{7}^{13}$ | $\begin{array}{r}8 \\ 4 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 15 4 4 | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | 1 |  |  |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | - | - |  |  | ${ }_{24}^{26}$ |  | 1 | 4 <br> 3 | 4 | 7 9 | 4 | $\stackrel{4}{2}$ | $\stackrel{2}{3}$ | -- |  |  |
    | \$2,250-\$2,499 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 9 |  |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 10 |  |  | 2 |  | 2 | 4 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |
    | \$3,000-\$3,499- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 |  | 1 |  | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
    | \$4, $8300-\$ 4,499$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
    | \$4,500-\$4,999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$5,000-\$7,499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
    | \$7,500-\$9,999_...... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  | Average earnings of wives ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | All nonrelief families. | \$321 | \$240 | \$292 | \$322 | \$305 | \$338 | \$297 | \$393 | \$349 | \$182 | \$326 | \$196 | \$214 | \$136 | \$185 | \$212 | \$228 | \$221 | \$234 | \$201 | \$208 | \$200 | \$148 | \$104 |

    ${ }^{1}$ Averages for each age group are based on the corresponding numbers of wives in the upper section of the table; the 2 averages for all age groups combined are based on the corresponding total numbers of wives

    TABLE 10.-Money income other than earnings: Number of families receiving money income other than earnings, and average amount
    

    | [Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational |  |  |  |  |  |  | A verage money income, other than earnings, received from ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | Income class | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { families } \end{aligned}$ | Any source <br> (3) | Rent from property (net) <br> (4) | Interest and dividends <br> (5) | Pensions, annuities, benefits <br> (6) | Gifts for current use <br> (7) | All sources <br> (8) | Rent from property (net) <br> (9) | Interest and dividends <br> (10) | Pensions, annuities, benefits <br> (11) | Gifts for current use (12) | Miscellaneous sources ${ }^{3}$ <br> (13) |
    | All families..- | 5,527 | 1,120 | 145 | 34 | 558 | 306 | \$26 | \$5 | (**) | \$11 | \$2 | \$8 |
    | Relief families <br> Nonrelief families | 1,824 3,703 | 286 834 | 15 130 | 3 31 | 132 426 | 81 225 | 16 31 | 1 | ${ }^{(* *)}$ \$1 | 4 14 | 1 | 10 |
    | \$0-\$249 | 132 | 33 | 3 |  | 12 | 15 | 12 | 2 |  | 4 | 3 | 3 |
    | \$250-499. | 592 | 114 | 9 | 2 | 56 | 38 | 18 | 1 | (*) | 12 | 3 | 2 |
    | \$500-\$749. | 1, 095 | 210 | 16 | 2 | 127 | 45 | 13 | 2 | (**) | 8 | 1 | 2 |
    | \$750-\$999 | 1,875 | 161 | 12 | 6 | 94 | 47 | 22 | 2 | (**) | 12 | 1 | 7 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 409 | 110 | 15 | 2 | 61 | 27 | 30 | 5 | (**) | 8 | 4 | 13 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 221 | 72 | 17 | 4 | 31 | 24 | 45 | 11 | (**) | 25 | 3 | 6 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 128 | 41 | 11 | 4 | 16 | 15 | 52 | 11 | 1 | 23 | 3 | 14 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 66 | 22 | 4 | 2 | 9 | 4 | 129 | 22 | 1 | 54 | 1 | 51 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,249 | 65 | 20 | 8 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 64 | 33 | 1 | 12 | 2 | 16 |
    | \$2,250-\$2,499 | 38 | 13 | 9 | - | 4 | 1 | 156 | 32 |  | 71 | 13 | 40 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999. | 39 | 16 | 8 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 217 | 90 | (*) | 116 | 7 | 4 |
    | \$3,000-\$3,499 | 19 | 8 | 6 | - | 2 |  | 121 | 54 |  | 67 |  |  |
    | \$3,500-\$3,999 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 293 | 217 | 5 | 71 |  |  |
    | \$4,000-\$4,499 | 10 | 7 | 6 | 2 |  |  | 452 | 255 | 160 |  |  | 37 |
    | \$4,500-\$4,999 | 2 | 2 | 2 | - | 1 |  | (*) | (*) | $2{ }^{-1}$ | (*) |  |  |
    | \$5,000-\$7,499 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 231 | 166 | 26 | () 3 | $\overline{3}-$ | ------------ |
    | \$7,500-\$9,999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$10,000 and over. | 1 | -------- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

    ${ }^{1}$ See glossary for definition of "money income other than earnings."
    ${ }^{2}$ A verages are based on all families, column (2), whether or not they received money income other than earnings
    ${ }^{3}$ Includes money income other than earnings from sources other than those specified, including profits from business enterprises partially or wholly owned but not operated by family members. See glossary for further definition of "profits."

    * Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
    ** $\$ 0.50$ or less.

    Table 11.-Nonmoney income from owned homes: Number of families owning homes with and without mortgages; average rental value, average expense, and average nonmoney income from home ownership, by income, 1935-36

    | Income class | Number of families |  | Homes free from mortgage |  |  |  |  | Mortgaged homes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | All(2) | Owning homes ${ }^{1}$ | Families owning homes free from mortgage |  | Average rental value ${ }^{3}$ <br> (6) | A verage expense ${ }^{3}$ <br> (7) | Average nonmoney income ${ }^{4}$ <br> (8) | Families owning mortgaged homes |  | Average rental value? <br> (11) | Average expense ${ }^{3}$ |  | Average nonmoney income ${ }^{4}$ <br> (14) | Interest as percentage of rental value <br> (15) |
    |  |  |  | Number <br> (4) | Percentage ${ }^{5}$ <br> (5) |  |  |  | Number <br> (9) | Percentage ${ }^{\text {b }}$ <br> (10) |  | Interest (12) | Other <br> (13) |  |  |
    | All families. | 5,527 | 1,033 | 549 | 53 | \$239 | \$80 | \$159 | 484 | 47 | \$251 | \$75 | \$82 | \$94 | 30 |
    | Relief families Nonrelief families. | 1,824 3,703 | 169 864 | 81 468 | 48 54 | 175 250 | 70 82 | 105 168 | 88 396 | 52 46 | 227 257 | 76 75 | 78 84 | 73 98 | 33 29 |
    | \$0-\$249. | 132 | 10 | 6 | ( $\dagger$ | 114 | 54 | 60 | 4 | ( $\dagger$ ) | 186 | 71 | 71 | 44 | 38 |
    | \$250-\$499. | 592 | 52 | 31 | 60 | 179 | 70 | 109 | 21 | 40 | 207 | 53 | 73 | 81 | 26 |
    | \$500-\$749 | 1,095 | 111 | 68 | 61 | 198 | 73 | 125 | 43 | 39 | 191 | 53 | 71 | ${ }_{67}^{67}$ | 28 |
    | \$750-\$999 | 875 | 186 | 102 | 55 | 220 | 77 | 143 | 84 | 45 | 221 | 67 | 76 | 78 | 30 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249. | 409 | 132 | 63 | 48 | 232 | 79 | 153 | 69 | 52 | 251 | 68 | 81 | 102 | 37 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 221 | 114 | 57 | 50 | 239 | 81 | 158 | 57 | 50 | 269 | 87 | 85 | 97 | 32 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749. | 128 | 80 | 40 | 50 | 281 | 88 | 193 | 40 | 50 | 278 | 83 | 90 | 105 | 30 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999. | 66 | 36 | 23 | 64 | 328 | 95 | 233 | 13 | 36 | 290 | 91 | 87 | 112 | 31 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,249. | 65 | 46 | 23 | 50 | 310 | 92 | 218 | 23 |  | 298 | 73 | 89 | 136 | 24 |
    | \$2,250-\$2,499. | 38 | 29 | 18 | ( $\dagger$ ) | 355 | 101 | 254 | 11 | ( $\dagger$ ) | 334 | 129 | 101 | 104 | 39 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999. | 39 | 32 | 15 | ${ }^{47}$ | 354 | 101 | 253 | 17 | (t) 53 | 352 | 105 | 101 | 146 | 30 |
    | \$3,000-\$3,499. | 19 | 14 | 6 | (t) | (*) 350 | ${ }^{*} 100$ | (*) 250 | 8 | $(\dagger)$ | ${ }^{*}{ }^{393}$ | (*) $^{70}$ | (*) 108 | (*) 215 | 18 |
    | \$3,500-\$3,999. | 5 | 4 | 8 | $(+)$ | ${ }^{*}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | ${ }^{*}{ }^{\text {( }} 118$ | ${ }^{(*)}$ | 2 | ( $\dagger$ ) | (*) | ${ }_{(*)}^{*}$ | ${ }^{*}{ }^{*}$ ) | (*) | 45 14 |
    | \$4,000-\$4,499 | 10 | 10 | 8 | (t) | (*) 458 | ${ }_{(*)} 118$ | (*) 340 | 2 | ( $\dagger$ | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 14 |
    | \$5,000-\$7,499. | 6 | 5 | 3 | ( $\dagger$ ) | ${ }_{488}$ | ${ }^{123}$ | ( 365 | 2 | ( $\dagger$ | (*) | ${ }^{*}{ }^{*}{ }^{-\cdots}$ | ${ }^{*}{ }^{*}{ }^{-\cdots}$ | (*) | 55 |
    | \$7,500-\$9,999. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$10,000 and over. | 1 | 1 | 1 | ( $\dagger$ | (*) | (*) | (*) | -------- |  |  |  |  |  |  |

    ${ }_{1}^{1}$ Includes all families occupying owned homes at any time during the report year.
    ${ }^{2}$ Based on estimate made by home owner, for period of ownership and occupancy during report year. This period averages, in general, approximately 12 months.
    ${ }^{3}$ Expense for period of ownership and occupancy during report year. Expense other than interest, columns (7) and (13), estimated on basis of average relationship between rental ralue and expense
    

    Table 12.-Monthly rental value: Number of home-owning families having homes with specified monthly rental value, by income, $1935-86^{1}$
    [Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    
    ${ }^{1}$ Includes only those families that did not change living quarters between the end of the report year and the date of interview. Families are classified as home-owning families or as renting families according to their status at the date of interview.

    Based on estimate made by home owner for period of ownership and occupancy during report year. Averages are based on the number of home-owning families, column (3).
    ${ }^{3}$ Based on the number of home-owning and renting families, column (2).
    $t$ Percentages not computed for fewer than 30 cases.

    * Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    Table 13.-Monthly rent: Number of renting families reporting specified monthly rent, by income, 1935-361
    [Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    
    ${ }^{1}$ Includes only those families that did not change living quarters between the end of the report year and the date of interview. Families are classified as home-owning families or as renting families according to their status at the date of interview.
    ${ }^{2}$ Rent reported at date of interview. Averages are based on the number of renting families in each class that reported monthly rent, including families receiving rent free, the amount of which was estimated by the families.
    ${ }^{3}$ Based on the number of home-owning and renting families, column (2).
    4 Consists of families receiving rent as gift.
    $\dagger$ Percentages not computed for fewer than 30 cases.

    * Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    ATLANTA, GA.
    Table 14A.-Average monthly rental value and average monthly rent: Number of home-owning and renting families, average monthly rental value, and average monthly rent, by occupation and income, 1935-361
    [Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]

    | Income class | Occupational group: Wage earner |  |  |  |  |  | Occupational group: Clerical |  |  |  |  |  | Occupational group: Business and professional |  |  |  |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | Number of families- |  | Percentage of home-owning and renting families ${ }^{2}$ |  | Average monthly- |  | Number of families- |  | Percentage of home-owning and renting families ? |  | Average monthly- |  | Number of families- |  | Percentage of home-owning and renting families ${ }^{2}$ |  | Average monthly- |  |
    |  | Homeowning <br> (2) | Renting <br> (3) | Homeowning <br> (4) | Renting <br> (5) | Rental value ${ }^{3}$ <br> (6) | Rent ${ }^{4}$ <br> (7) | Homeowning <br> (8) | Renting (9) | Homeowning <br> (10) | Renting <br> (11) | Rental value ${ }^{3}$ <br> (12) | Rent 4 <br> (13) | Homeowning <br> (14) | Renting (15) | Homeowning <br> (16) | Rent. ing <br> (17) | Rental value ${ }^{3}$ <br> (18) | Rent <br> (19) |
    | All nonrelief families ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | 576 | 2,440 | 19 | 81 | \$19.70 | \$11. 20 | 88 | 57 | 61 | 39 | \$25.40 | \$14.00 | 189 | 198 | 49 | 51 | \$24. 40 | \$15.00 |
    | \$0-\$499 | 41 | 544 877 | 7 9 | 93 | 15. 10 | 9.30 10.50 | 1 | 6 16 | ( $\dagger$ | (t) | ${ }^{(*)}$ | 9.00 9.10 | 21 | 59 46 | 26 32 | 74 | 16.80 19.20 | 12.00 |
    | \$750-\$999 | 139 | 624 | 18 | 82 | 18.40 | 11. 80 | 10 | 6 | ( ${ }^{(1)}$ | (t) | 22. 60 | 14. 80 | 34 | 34 | 50 | 50 | 18. 40 | 12.80 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 107 | 236 | 31 | 69 | 20.30 | 13.60 | 6 | 9 | ( $\dagger$ | (t) | 22. 50 | 15.70 | 17 | 20 | 46 | 54 | 21. 20 | 21.10 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 89 | 92 | 49 | 51 | 20.60 | 14.90 | 8 | 3 | ( $\dagger$ | (t) | 22. 20 | 13.00 | 15 | 9 | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ | 24. 70 | 18.20 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 56 | 37 | 60 | 40 | 23.80 | 16.10 | 11 | 3 | ( ${ }^{\text {( }}$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | 20.40 | 19.00 | 12 | 7 | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ | 22.50 | 18.00 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 21 | 14 | 60 | 40 | 26.70 | 14.60 | 5 | 2 | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | 27.00 | (*) | 11 | 11 | ${ }^{+}$) | ( $\dagger$ | 26. 50 | 22.10 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,499 | 29 | 13 | 69 | 31 | 24.80 | 17. 20 | 23 | 9 | 72 | 28 | 25. 40 | 17.80 | 22 | 5 | (t) | (t) | 31.80 | 27.60 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 7 | 2 | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | 22. 40 | ${ }^{*}$ *) | 12 | 2 | (t) | ( ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 31.10 | (*) | 12 | 3 | ( + | ( + | 31.70 | 26.70 |
    | \$3,000-\$4,999. | 4 | 1 | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ | 24.50 | (*) | 10 | 1 | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( ${ }^{\text {) }}$ | 34.00 | (*) | 17 | 3 | $\stackrel{+}{+}$ | ( $\dagger$ | 36. 10 | 23.00 |
    | \$5,000 and over- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 1 | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ | 37.80 | (*) |

    1 Includes only those families that did not change living quarters between the end of the report year and the date of interview. Families are classified as home-owning families or. as renting families according to their status at the date of interview
    ${ }^{2}$ Based on the number of home-owning and renting families in the respective occupational groups.
    ${ }^{3}$ Based on estimate made by home owner for period of ownership and occupancy during the report year. Averages are based on the number of home-owning families as of end of report year.
    \& Rent as reported at date of interview. Averages in this column are based on the number of families reporting monthly rent, including families receiving rent as gift, the amount of which is estimated by the family.
    and group 9 families were owning families. Their average monthly rental value was $\$ 24.70$. The remaining 18 families were renting families. Their average monthly rent was $\$ 10.50$.
    $f$ Percentages not computed for fewer than 30 cases.
    $*$ Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    ## ATLANTA, GA

    Table 14B.-Average monthly rental value and average monthly rent: Number of home-owning and renting families, average monthly rental value, and average monthly rent, by family type and income, 1935-36 ${ }^{1}$

    | Income class | Family type I |  |  |  |  |  | Family types II and III |  |  |  |  |  | Family types IV and V |  |  |  |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | Number of families- |  | Percentage of home-0wning and renting families ? |  | Average monthly- |  | Number of families- |  | Percentage of home-owning and renting families ${ }^{2-}$ |  | Average monthly- |  | Number of families- |  | Percentage of home-owning and renting families ${ }^{2}$ |  | Average monthly- |  |
    |  | Homeowning <br> (2) | Renting (3) | Homeowning <br> (4) | Renting (5) | Rental value ${ }^{3}$ <br> (6) | Rent ${ }^{4}$ <br> (7) | Homeowning <br> (8) | Renting <br> (9) | Homeowning <br> (10) | Renting <br> (11) | Rental value ${ }^{3}$ <br> (12) | Rent * <br> (13) | Homeowning (14) | Renting <br> (15) | Homeowning <br> (16) | Renting <br> (17) | Rental value ${ }^{3}$ <br> (18) | Rent ${ }^{4}$ <br> (19) |
    | All nonrelief families | 309 | 925 | 25 | 75 | \$20. 50 | \$11. 10 | 104 | 516 | 17 | 83 | \$21. 40 | \$11.00 | 312 | 816 | 28 | 72 | \$22.00 | \$12. 20 |
    | \$0-\$499 | 42 | 255 | 14 | 86 | 15.90 | 9.40 | 3 | 129 | 2 | 98 | 20.00 | 9.40 | 11 | 156 | 7 | 93 | 14.70 | 10.30 |
    | \$500-\$749 | 54 | 319 | 14 | 86 | 16.20 | 10.70 | 12 | 230 | 5 | 95 | 15.80 | 10.30 | 29 | 227 | 11 | 89 | 17.90 | 10. 70 |
    | \$750-\$999 | 66 | 212 | 24 | 76 | 19.20 | 11. 30 | 21 | 111 | 16 | 84 | 17.00 | 12.20 | 68 | 231 | 23 | 77 | 18.90 | 11.90 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 43 | 79 | 35 | 65 | 21.20 | 13.90 | 21 | 26 | 45 | 55 | 19.00 | 12. 40 | 57 | 107 | 35 | 65 | 20. 50 | 14. 70 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 41 | 27 | 60 | 40 | 22.00 | 15. 80 | 13 | 7 | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | 21. 60 | 13.60 | 42 | 49 | 46 | 54 | 20.70 | 15. 30 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 27 | 13 | 68 | 32 | 25.20 | 14.20 | 4 | 2 | (t) | (t) | 21. 20 | (*) | 38 | 24 | 61 | 39 | 23. 00 | 17.40 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 14 | 10 | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ | 27.30 | 16.00 | 4 | 4 | ( $\dagger$ ) | (t) | 26. 20 | 19.80 | 12 | 6 | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( ${ }^{\text {) }}$ | 28.10 | 18.00 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,499 | 12 | 6 | (t) | (f) | 30.70 | 14. 50 | 18 | 5 | (t) | ( $\dagger$ ) | 28.20 | 22.40 | 26 | 10 | 72 | 28 | 26. 30 | 19.60 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 5 | 1 | (t) | (t) | 31.00 | (*) | 4 | 1 | (t) | ( $\dagger$ ) | 28.80 | (*) | 10 | 5 | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | 31. 70 | 24. 40 |
    | \$3,000-\$4,999 | 4 | 2 | (t) | (t) | 26.20 | (*) | 3 | 1 | (t) | ( $\dagger$ ) | 35.00 | (*) | 16 | 1 | (t) | ( $\dagger$ | 33. 60 | (*) |
    | \$5,000 and over. | 1 | 1 | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | (*) | (*) | 1 |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |  | (*) |  | 3 |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |  | 44.00 |  |


    | Income class(1) | Family types VI and VII |  |  |  |  |  | Family types VIII and other |  |  |  |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | Number of fami-lies- |  | Percentage of homeowning and renting families ${ }^{22}$ |  | Average monthly- |  | Number of fami-lies- |  | Percentage of homeowning and renting families ${ }^{3}$ - |  | A verage monthly- |  |
    |  | Homeowning <br> (2) | Renting <br> (3) | Homeowning <br> (4) | Renting <br> (5) | Rental value ${ }^{3}$ <br> (6) | Rent ${ }^{4}$ <br> (7) | Homeowning <br> (8) | Renting <br> (9) | Home owning <br> (10) | Renting <br> (11) | Rental value ${ }^{3}$ <br> (12) | Rent ${ }^{4}$ <br> (13) |
    | All nonrelief families. | 82 | 334 | 20 | 80 | \$20. 70 | \$11. 30 | 55 | 122 | 31 | 69 | \$22.80 | \$13.00 |
    | \$0-\$499 | 5 | 63 | 7 | 93 | 13.00 | 8.90 | 2 | 19 | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | (*) | 10.00 |
    | \$500-\$749 | 12 | 139 | 8 | 92 | 14.80 | 10. 60 | 3 | 28 | 10 | 90 | 15. 30 | 10.80 |
    | \$750-\$999 | 24 | 74 | 24 | 76 | 18.90 | 11. 70 | 7 | 36 | 16 | 84 | 14. 70 | 13. 60 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 6 | 32 | 16 | (1) 84 | 19. 50 | 14. 71 | 3 | 21 | ( $\dagger$ ) | (t) | 20.00 | 14. 50 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 11 | 14 | (t) | ( $\dagger$ ) | 20.10 | 13. 40 | 7 | 7 | (t) | ( $\dagger$ | 23. 40 | 17.00 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 3 | 7 | (t) | (t) | 25.00 | 17. 40 | 8 | 1 | (t) | (i) | 18. 60 | ${ }^{*}{ }^{*}$ |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 4 | 3 | (t) | (t) | 26. 20 | 20. 00 | 3 | 5 | (t) | (t) | 19.30 | 16. 60 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,499 | 11 | 1 | (t) | ( $\dagger$ ) | 23.20 | (*) | 7 | 5 | (t) | ( $\dagger$ | 27. 10 | 19.20 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 2 |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |  | (*) |  | 10 |  | (t) |  | 26. 70 |  |
    | \$3,000-\$4,999 | 3 | 1 | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | 43.30 | (*) | 5 |  | ( $\dagger$ |  | 35.00 |  |
    | \$5,000 and over- | 1 |  | ( $\dagger$ |  | (*) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

    : Includes only those families that did not change living quarters between the end of the report year and the date of interview. Families are classified as home-owning families or as renting families according to their status at the date of interview
    ${ }^{2}$ Based on the number of home-owning and renting families in the respective family types
    3 Based on estimate made by home owner for period of ownership and occupancy during the report year. Averages are based on the number of home-owning families as of end of report year.

    Rent as reported at date of interview. Averages on this column are based on the number of families reporting monthly rent, including families receiving rent as gift, the amount of which is estimated by the family.

    A verages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
    $\dagger$ Percentages not computed for fewer than 30 cases

    ## ATLANTA, GA.

    Table 15.-Type of living quarters: Number and percentage of owning families occupying specified types of living quarters, by income, 1935-96 ${ }^{1}$
    [Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]

    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Income class

    (1)} \& \multirow{3}{*}{Num-
    ber of
    owniag familie} \& \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{Number of owning families occupying-} <br>

    \hline \& \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{$$
    \begin{gathered}
    \text { One-family } \\
    \text { house }
    \end{gathered}
    $$} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Two-family

    house} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Apartment building for-} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
    $$
    \begin{gathered}
    \text { Dwell- } \\
    \text { ing } \\
    \text { unit in } \\
    \text { busi- } \\
    \text { ness } \\
    \text { build- } \\
    \text { ing } \\
    (10)
    \end{gathered}
    $$

    \]} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{| Other |
    | :--- |
    | (11) |} <br>


    \hline \& \& | Detached |
    | :--- |
    | (3) | \& | At- tached |
    | :--- |
    | (4) | \& Side by side \& | Twodecker |
    | :--- |
    | (6) | \& | Three families |
    | :--- |
    | (7) | \& | $\underset{\text { Families }}{\text { Four }}$ |
    | :--- |
    | (8) | \& | Five or more families |
    | :--- |
    | (9) | \& \& <br>

    \hline \multirow[b]{3}{*}{All families-.......--
    Relief families......
    Nonrelief families.-} \& \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{Number} <br>
    \hline \& 1, 032 \& 955 \& 12 \& 34 \& 19 \& 3 \& 3 \& 1 \& 5 \& <br>

    \hline \& $$
    \begin{aligned}
    & 170 \\
    & 862
    \end{aligned}
    $$ \& 158 \& 12 \& ${ }_{27}^{7}$ \& 17 \& 2 \& 3 \& 1 \& 5 \& --..-...- <br>

    \hline \$0-\$249 \& 10 \& 9 \& \& \& -- \& 1 \& \& \& \& <br>
    \hline \$250-\$499-......----- \& 53 \& 47 \& 1 \& 4 \& \& \& \& \& 1 \& <br>
    \hline \$500-\$749 \& 110 \& 101 \& 4 \& 3 \& \& \& \& \& 2 \& <br>
    \hline \$750-\$999 \& 186 \& 174 \& 1 \& 7 \& 2 \& \& 1 \& \& 1 \& <br>
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249 $\ldots$ - \& 130 \& 116 \& 3 \& 6
    4 \& 4 \& \& \& \& \& <br>
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499-....-- \& 114
    80
    87 \& $\begin{array}{r}106 \\ 75 \\ \hline\end{array}$ \& 1 \& 1 \& 1 \& - \& 1 \& \& 1 \& <br>
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 $\ldots-$ \& 37 \& ${ }_{41}^{37}$ \& \& 2 \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
    \hline  \& 45
    29 \& $\stackrel{41}{26}$ \& 1 \& 2 \& 2 \& \& \& \& \& <br>
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 ...... \& 31 \& 31 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,499. \& 15 \& 14 \& 1 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
    \hline \$3,500-\$3,999- \& 4 \& 4 \& \& \& 1 \& \& - \& \& \& <br>
    \hline \$4, $41,000-84.999$ \& 10
    2 \& 8 \& -......-- \& \& 1 \& -....... \& \& \& \& <br>
    \hline \$5,000-\$7,499 \& 5 \& 5 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
    \hline \$10,000 and over.- \& 1 \& 1 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
    \hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{All families-.-...---} \& \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{Percentage} <br>
    \hline \& 100 \& 93 \& 1 \& 3 \& 2 \& ( $\ddagger)$ \& ( $\ddagger$ \& ( $\ddagger$ \& ( $\ddagger$ ) \& <br>

    \hline Relief families Nonrelief families. \& \[
    $$
    \begin{aligned}
    & 100 \\
    & 100
    \end{aligned}
    $$

    \] \& | 93 |
    | :--- |
    | 92 | \& 1 \& $\stackrel{4}{3}$ \& 1 \& $(\ddagger){ }^{1}$ \& ( $\ddagger$ \& 1 \& 1 \& <br>

    \hline \$0-\$249---.-....-- \& ( $\dagger$ \& ( $\dagger$ ) \& \& \& \& ( $\dagger$ \& \& \& \& <br>
    \hline \$250-\$499......... \& 100 \& 88 \& \& 8 \& \& \& \& \& 2 \& <br>
    \hline \$500-8749-.......- \& 100 \& 94 \& ( $\ddagger$ \& 4 \& 1 \& \& ( $\ddagger$ \& \& ( ${ }^{2}$ \& --- <br>
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249 ...... \& 100 \& 89 \& \& 5 \& 4 \& \& \& \& \& <br>
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999.....- \& 100 \& 100 \& \& \& \& \& 1 \& \& 1 \& <br>
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249 $\ldots$...- \& 100 \& 92 \& \& 4 \& 4 \& \& \& \& \& <br>
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499 $\ldots$--- \& 100 \& ( $\dagger$ ) 0 \& ( $\dagger$ \& \& ( $\dagger$ \& \& \& \& \& <br>
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 $\quad$ \% \& 100 \& ${ }_{(\dagger)}$ \& ( $\dagger$ ) \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
    \hline \$3,500-\$3,999......- \& 100 \& (t) \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,499 \& 100 \& ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ \& \& \& ( $\dagger$ \& \& ( $\dagger$ \& \& \& <br>

    \hline $$
    \$ 4,500-\$ 4,999
    $$ \& 100

    100 \& (t) \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>

    \hline $$
    \$ 5,000-\$ 7,499 \ldots . .
    $$ \& 100 \& ( + \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>

    \hline \$10,000 and over.- \& 100 \& ( $\dagger$ \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    ${ }^{1}$ Includes only those families that did not change living quarters between the end of the report year and the date of interview.
    $\dagger$ Percentages not computed for fewer than 30 cases.
    $\$ 0.5$ percent or less.

    ## ATLANTA, GA.

    Table 16.-Type of living quarters: Number and percentage of renting families occupying specified types of living quarters, by income, 1995-36 ${ }^{1}$
    [Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]

    | Income class | Number of renting families | Number of renting families occupying- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  |  | One-family house |  | Two-family house |  | Apartment buildingfor- |  |  | Dwelling unit in business building(10) | Other <br> (11) |
    |  |  | Detached | Attached | Side by side | Twodecker | Three families | Four families | Five or more families |  |  |
    |  | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) |  |  |
    | All families.---.-.--- | Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  | 4,276 | 1,798 | 106 | 1,689 | 148 | 41 | 304 | 138 | 41 | 11 |
    | Relief families Nonrelief families. | 1, 563 | 642 | 48 | 673 | 37 | 14 | 86 | 46 | 13 | 4 |
    |  | 2, 713 | 1,156 | 58 | 1,016 | 111 | 27 | 218 | 92 | 28 | 7 |
    | \$0-\$249 | 114 | 41 | 3 | 54 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 |  |
    | \$250-\$499........- | 508 | 207 | 9 | 202 | 14 | 6 | 40 | 23 | 5 | 2 |
    | \$500-\$749 ........-- | 943 | 357 | 23 | 402 | 38 | 5 | 81 | 28 | 9 |  |
    | \$750-\$999 | 664 | 299 | 12 | 226 | 27 | 8 | 63 | 21 | 7 | 1 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 265 | 127 | 5 | 83 | 18 | 3 | 18 | 8 |  | 3 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 $\ldots \ldots$ | 104 | 58 | 2 | 25 | 3 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 2 |  |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749.....- | 47 | 25 | 1 | 13 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 28 | 14 | 1 | 6 | 1 |  | 4 | 1 | 1 |  |
    | \$2,000-\$2,249 | 19 | 11 | 1 | 5 | 1 | ------ | 1 | -...- |  |  |
    | \$2,250-\$2,499 $\ldots \ldots$ | 8 | 5 | 1 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 $\ldots .$. | 7 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
    | \$3,000-\$3,499 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$3,500-\$3,999 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | -------- |  |
    | \$4,000-\$4,499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$4,500-\$4,999...--- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$5,000-\$7,499 ...... | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$10,000 and over.- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | All families...--.--- | Percentage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  | 100 | 42 | 2 | 40 | 4 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 1 | ( $\ddagger$ |
    | Relief families.-.--- | 100 | 41 | 3 | 43 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 1 | ( $\ddagger$ |
    | Nonrelief families.- | 100 | 43 | 2 | 38 | 4 | 1 | 8 | 3 | 1 | ( $\ddagger$ |
    | \$0-\$249 | 100 | 36 | 3 | 47 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 2 |  |
    | \$250-\$499 | 100 | 41 | 2 | 40 | 3 | 1 | 8 | 4 | 1 | ( $\ddagger$ |
    | \$500-\$749. | 100 | 38 | 2 | 43 | 4 | ( $\ddagger$ | 9 | 3 | 1 |  |
    | \$750-\$999--1.-...- | 100 | 45 | 2 | 34 | 4 | 1 | 10 | 3 | 1 | ( $\ddagger$ |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 100 | 48 | 2 | 31 | 7 | 1 | 7 | 3 |  |  |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 $\ldots$ | 100 | 55 | 2 | 24 | 3 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 2 |  |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 100 | 54 | ${ }^{2}$ | 28 | ${ }^{6}$ | 2 | ${ }^{2}$ | 2 | 2 | 2 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 $\ldots$ | 100 | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ |  | (t) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ |  |
    | \$2,000-\$2,249 | 100 | (t) | (t) | ( $\dagger$ | (t) |  | ( $\dagger$ |  |  |  |
    | \$2,250-\$2,499 $\ldots$...- | 100 | (t) | ( $\dagger$ |  | ( $\dagger$ |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 100 | (t) |  |  |  |  |  |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |
    | \$3,000-\$3,499 ...... | 100 | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$3,500-\$3,999 .....- | 100 | ( $\dagger$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$4,000-\$4,499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$4,500-\$4,999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$5,000-\$7,499 | 100 | ( $\dagger$ ) | --.----- | ---- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$7,500-\$9,999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$10,000 and over-- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


    ## ATLANTA, GA.

    Table 17.-Members of household not in economic family: Number of families having persons in the household who were not members of the economic family, and average number of such nonfamily members, by income, 1935-36
    [Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]

    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{4}{*}{Income class

    (1)} \& \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Number of families} \& \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{Number of families having in the household nonfamily members of specified type ${ }^{\text {: }}$} \& \multicolumn{8}{|c|}{Average number of nonfamily members of specified types * (based on families having such members)} <br>
    \hline \& \& \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Any family member} \& \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Occupying rooms on nontransient basis} \& \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Boarders without room

    (8)} \& \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Tourists and transients} \& \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Guests <br>
    (10)

    } \& \multirow[b]{3}{*}{

    All family members <br>
    (11)

    } \& \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Occupying rooms on nontransient basis} \& \multirow[b]{3}{*}{

    Boarders without room <br>
    (16)

    } \& \multirow[b]{3}{*}{

    Tourists and transients <br>
    (17)

    } \& \multirow[b]{3}{*}{

    Guests <br>
    (18)
    \end{tabular}} <br>

    \hline \& \& \& Sons and daughters rooming and boarding \& Other
    roomers
    with
    board \& Roomers without board \& Paid help \& \& \& \& \& Sons and daughters rooming and boarding \& Other roomers with board \& Room-
    ers
    without
    board \& Paid help \& \& \& <br>
    \hline \& (2) \& \& (4) \& (5) \& (6) \& (7) \& \& \& \& \& (12) \& (13) \& (14) \& (15) \& \& \& <br>
    \hline All families. \& 5,527 \& 1,264 \& 15 \& 112 \& 794 \& 13 \& 8 \& 4 \& 440 \& 1.0 \& 1.2 \& 0.8 \& 1.4 \& 0.6 \& 1.4 \& (**) \& 0.1 <br>
    \hline Relief families Nonrelief families. \& 1,824
    3,703 \& 294
    970 \& 5
    10 \& 18
    94 \& 205
    589 \& 13 \& 1 \& 1
    3 \& 78
    362 \& .9
    1.1 \& 1.2 \& . 6 \& 1.2
    1.5 \& . 6 \& ${ }^{(*)} 1.5$ \& (**) \& 1
    .1 <br>
    \hline \$0-\$249. \& 132 \& 25 \& \& 3 \& 14 \& \& 2 \& \& 6 \& 1.1 \& \& 1 \& 1.2 \& \& (*) \& \& **) <br>
    \hline \$250-\$499 \& 592 \& 130 \& 3 \& 11 \& 84 \& \& 2 \& \& 37 \& 1 \& 1.6 \& . 8 \& 1.3 \& \& (*) \& \& 2 <br>
    \hline \$500-\$749- \& 1,095 \& 257 \& 3 \& 14 \& 159 \& \& \& 2 \& 98 \& 1.1 \& 1 \& 1. \& 1.5 \& (*) \& \& (*) \& . 1 <br>
    \hline \$750-\$999 \& 875 \& 218 \& 1 \& 24 \& 138 \& 1 \& \& \& 77 \& 1. \& (*) \& . 7 \& 1.4 \& (*) \& \& \& . 1 <br>
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249 \& 409 \& 129 \& 1 \& 12 \& 83 \& \& 1 \& \& 47 \& 1.3 \& (*) \& 1. \& 1.7 \& \& (*) \& \& . 2 <br>
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499 \& 221 \& 81 \& 1 \& 10 \& 55 \& \& \& 1 \& 30 \& 1.2 \& (*) \& . 8 \& 1.5 \& (*) \& \& (*) \& . 1 <br>
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749 \& 128 \& 47 \& 1 \& 4 \& 28 \& 2 \& \& ---- \& 24 \& 1.2 \& (*) \& . 8 \& 1. 6 \& (*) \& (*) \& \& . 1 <br>
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 \& 66 \& 19 \& --~---- \& 4 \& ${ }_{6}^{6}$ \& 1 \& 1 \& \& 9 \& 1.5 \& \& 1.1 \& 3 \& (*) \& (*) \& ----- \& . 4 <br>
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249 \& 65 \& 20 \& \& 1 \& 10 \& 1 \& \& \& 10 \& . 9 \& \& (*) \& 1.5 \& (*) \& \& \& . 2 <br>
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499 \& 38 \& 15 \& \& 4 \& \& 3 \& \& \& 5 \& . 9 \& \& \& -9 \& 1 \& ------- \& --. \& . 5 <br>
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 \& 39 \& 15 \& \& 4 \& 6 \& 1 \& \& \& 7 \& +.8 \& \& $\left.{ }^{*}\right)^{8}$ \& 1.2 \& (*) \& ------- \& -. \& . 1 <br>
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,499 \& 19 \& 5 \& \& 1 \& 2 \& 2 \& \& \& 4 \& 2.3 \& \& (*) \& (*) \& (*) \& \& \& (*) ${ }^{.1}$ <br>

    \hline \$3,500-\$3,999 \& 5 \& 1 \& \& 1 \& \& \& \& \& 1 \& (*) \& \& (*) \& \& \& \& \& $$
    \left(^{*}\right)
    $$ <br>

    \hline \$4,000-\$4,499 \& 10 \& 4 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& 4 \& ${ }^{*} 1$ \& \& \& \& \& \& \& $$
    { }_{(*)} 1
    $$ <br>

    \hline $$
    \begin{aligned}
    & \$ 4,500-\$ 4,999 \\
    & \$ 5,000-\$ 7,499
    \end{aligned}
    $$ \& 2 \& 2 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& 2 \& (*) \& \& \& \& \& \& \& ${ }_{\left({ }^{*}\right)}$ <br>

    \hline $$
    \begin{aligned}
    & \$ 5,000-\$ 7,499 \\
    & \$ 7,500-\$ 9,999
    \end{aligned}
    $$ \& 6 \& 2 \& \& 1 \& \& \& \& \& 1 \& (*) \& \& (*) \& \& \& \& \& (*) <br>

    \hline \$10,000 and over. \& 1 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    ${ }^{1}$ Excludes a small number of families which had nonfamily members in the household but which did not report the duration of their membership. See glossary for definition of nonfamily members.'
    ${ }_{2}$ Averages in each column are based on the corresponding counts of families, in columns (3) through (10). The number of nonfamily members is expressed in terms of year-equivalent persons. This figure is computed for each family by dividing by 52 the total number of weeks of residence in the household for all nonmembers of the economic family. See

    ## atllanta, Ga.

    Table 18.-Age of husbands and wives: Number of hushands and number of wives, by age and family income, 1935-36
    [Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    


    ## ATLANTA, GA.

    Table 19.-Report year: Number and percentage distribution of families by date of end of report year, by occupation, 1935-96
    [Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]

    | Date of end of report year <br> (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { fami- } \\ & \text { lies } \end{aligned}$ | Relief families | Nonrelief families in specified occupational groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  |  |  | All <br> (4) | Wage earner <br> (5) | Clerical <br> (6) | Business and professional |  |  |  |  | Other <br> (12) |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  | business and professional <br> (7) | Independent |  | Salaried |  |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\underset{\text { ness }}{\text { Busi- }}$ (8) | Professional (9) | Business <br> (10) | Professional (11) |  |
    | All dates....--- | Number of families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  | 5,527 | 1,824 | 3, 703 | 3, 137 | 147 | 391 | 253 | 18 | 22 | 98 | 28 |
    | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. 31, 1935...- } \\ & \text { Jan. 31, 1936 } \end{aligned}$ | 1,921 | 488 | 1,433 | 1,223 | 52 | 145 | 105 | 5 | 4 | 31 | 13 |
    | Feb. 29, 1936---- | 15 | 1 | 14 | 12 | 1 |  | 1 | ------ |  |  |  |
    | Mar. 31, 1936..- | 65 80 | 25 16 | 40 64 | 36 <br> 53 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 3 9 | 6 |  |  | 3 |  |
    | May 31, 1936... | 1,555 | ${ }_{491}^{412}$ | 1,143 | ${ }_{379}^{976}$ | 51 | 109 | 68 | 5 | 6 | 30 |  |
    | June 30, 1936-..-- | 812 | 391 | 421 | 339 | 12 | ${ }_{4}^{67}$ | 41 | 5 | 6 | 15 | ${ }_{5}$ |
    | Aug. $31,1936 \ldots$Sept. $30,1936 \ldots$Oct. $3,1936 \ldots$Nov. $30,1936 \ldots$ | ${ }_{128}^{922}$ | $\begin{array}{r}432 \\ 54 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 490 74 | 413 64 | 26 1 | 46 9 | 24 4 | 3 | 4 | 15 4 | 5 |
    |  | 23 | 4 | 19 | 18 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
    |  | 5 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
    |  | Percentage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | All dates....--- | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
    | Dec. 31, 1935-.-- | 35 | 27 | 39 | 39 | 35 | 38 | 42 | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ | 32 | ( $\dagger$ |
    | Feb. 29, 1936- | ( $\ddagger$ | ( $\ddagger$ | ( $\ddagger$ | ( ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 1 | ( $\ddagger$ | ( $\ddagger$ |  |  |  |  |
    | Mar. 31, 1936..- |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
    | Apr. ${ }^{\text {May }} 31,1936$, 1936 | 29 | 23 | 31 | 31 | 34 | 28 | 27 |  |  | 31 |  |
    | June 30, 1936-...- | 15 | 21 | 11 | 11 | 8 | 17 | 16 | (t) | (t) | 15 | ( $\dagger$ ) |
    | July 31, 1936....- | 17 | 24 | 13 | 13 | 18 | 12 | 10 | ( $\dagger$ ) | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{(+)}$ | 15 | ( $\dagger$ |
    | Aug. 31, $1936 \ldots$ | (\#) ${ }^{2}$ | (\#) ${ }^{3}$ | 2 1 |  | 1 | $(\ddagger){ }^{2}$ |  |  | ( $\dagger$ | 4 |  |
    | Oct. 31, 1936-..- | ( ${ }^{(1)}$ | ( $\ddagger$ | (\$) | ( $\ddagger$ | 1 | ( ${ }^{\text {( ) }}$ |  |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  |
    | Nov. 30, 1930.. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


    $\dagger$ Percentages not computed for fewer than 30 cases.

    COLUMBIA, S. C.
    Table 1.-Family type: Number of families of specified types and average number of persons per family, by income, 1995-36
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups combined]

    | Income class | Number of families of type ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A verage number of persons per family ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | All <br> (2) | I <br> (3) | II <br> (4) | III <br> (5) | IV <br> (6) | V <br> (7) | VI <br> (8) | VII <br> (9) | VIII <br> (10) | Other <br> (11) | All members <br> (12) | Other than husband and wife |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Un- } \\ & \text { der } \\ & 16 \end{aligned}$ <br> (13) | 16 and over (14) |
    | All families. | 4,715 | 972 | 777 | 603 | 994 | 530 | 353 | 272 | 134 | 80 | 3.9 | 1.2 | 0.7 |
    | Relief families. | 392 | 67 | 29 | 38 | 85 | 65 | 34 | 47 | 11 | 16 | 4.6 | 1.6 | 1.0 |
    | Nonrelief families..- | 4,323 | 905 | 748 | 565 | 909 | 465 | 319 | 225 | 123 | 64 | 3.8 | 1.2 | . 7 |
    | \$0-\$249 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 3.0 | . 9 | . 1 |
    | \$250-\$499 | 58 | 16 | 15 | 5 | 8 | 3 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3.6 | 1.1 | . 5 |
    | \$500-\$749 | 243 | 60 | 45 | 33 | 29 | 22 | 27 | 22 | 1 | 4 | 3.9 | 1.5 | . 4 |
    | \$750-\$999 | 354 | 57 | 86 | 59 | 48 | 23 | 41 | 27 | 6 | 7 | 4.0 | 1.6 | . 4 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 374 | 98 | 81 | 43 | 53 | 33 | 30 | 23 | 3 | 10 | 3.8 | 1.3 | . 5 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 .....-- | 317 | 74 | 70 | 43 | 53 | 24 | 27 | 16 | 6 | 4 | 3.7 | 1.2 | . 5 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 $\ldots \ldots .$. | 429 | 83 | 85 | 75 | 72 | 43 | 31 | 24 | 7 | 9 | 3.9 | 1. 4 | . 6 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 420 | 104 | 68 | 52 | 93 | 47 | 26 | 23 | 4 | 3 | 3.7 | 1.1 | . 6 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,249......- | 324 | 70 | 60 | 42 | 62 | 31 | 25 | 21 | 7 | 6 | 3.8 | 1.2 | . 7 |
    | \$2,250-\$2,499......- | 309 | 69 | 56 | 37 | 71 | 36 | 21 | 14 | 2 | 3 | 3.7 | 1.0 | . 7 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999....... | 445 | 69 | 84 | 57 | 106 | 65 | 23 | 15 | 21 | 5 | 3.9 | 1.0 | . 9 |
    | \$3,000-83,499 $\ldots \ldots$ | 327 | 72 | 39 | 43 | 90 | 43 | 10 | 6 | 20 | 4 | 3.7 | . 9 | . 8 |
    | \$3,500-\$3,999 | 237 | 43 | 23 | 29 | 71 | 32 | 12 | 10 | 14 | 3 | 4.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
    | \$4,000-\$4,499 | 141 | 24 | 12 | 10 | 45 | 16 | 14 | 11 | 8 | 1 | 4.1 | 1.0 | 1.1 |
    | \$4,500-\$4,999 | 82 | 23 | 2 | 7 | 25 | 10 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 3.7 | . 8 | 1.0 |
    | \$5,000-\$7,499 | 172 | 25 | 13 | 25 | 54 | 20 | 15 | 7 | 10 | 3 | 4.1 | 1.0 | 1.1 |
    | \$7,500-\$9,999 | 39 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 12 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 5 |  | 3.9 | .6 | 1.3 |
    | \$10,000 and over ${ }^{3}-$ | 45 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 16 | 10 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 4.0 | . 9 | 1.1 |

    ## 1 Family type:

    1. 2 persons. Husband, wife only.
    II. 3 persons. Husband, wife, 1 child under 16 and no others.
    III. 4 persons. Husband, wife, 2 children under 16 and no others.
    IV. 3 or 4 persons. Husband, wife, 1 person 16 or over, and 1 or no other person regardless of age.
    V. 5 or 6 persons. Husband, wife, 1 child under 16, 1 person 16 or over, and 1 or 2 other persons regardless of age.
    VI. 5 or 6 persons. Husband, wife, 3 or 4 children under 16 and no others.
    VII. 7 or 8 persons. Husband, wife, 1 child under 16, 4 or 5 other persons regardless of age.
    VIII. 5 or 6 persons. Husband, wife, 3 or 4 persons 16 or over.

    Other. 7 or more persons. All types not included in I through VIII.
    2 These are yearequivalent persons. The sum of columns (13) and (14) plus 2 (husband and wife) does not always equal column (12). For the methods used in deriving these averages, see glossary.
    ${ }^{3}$ Largest income reported between $\$ 35,000$ and $\$ 40,000$.

    COLUMBIA, S. C.
    Table 1A.-Family type: Number of families of specified types and average number of persons per family, by occupation and income, 1935-86
    [White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]

    | Income class and occupational group <br> (1) | Number of families of type ${ }^{\text {- }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Average number of persons per family ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | All | I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII | VIII | Other | All members | Other than husband and wife |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Un- } \\ & \text { der } \\ & 16 \end{aligned}$ | 16 and over |
    |  | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) |
    | Wage earner All nonrelief families | 1,469 | 283 | 265 | 190 | 241 | 156 | 138 | 125 | 35 | 36 | 4.1 | 1.4 | 0.7 |
    | \$0-\$249 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.0 | 1.0 |  |
    | \$250-\$499 | 36 | 10 | 9 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 6 |  | 1 | 1 | 3.6 | 1.2 | 0.4 |
    | \$500-\$749 | 1,93 | 42 | 36 | 27 | 21 | 20 | 23 | 20 |  | 4 | 4.1 | 1.7 | . 4 |
    | 8750-\$999 | 235 | 35 | 58 | 42 | 24 | 15 | 34 | 21 | 2 | 4 | 4.1 | 1.7 | . 4 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 212 | 54 | 42 | 28 | 23 | 20 | 18 | 19 |  | 8 | 4.0 | 1.5 | . 5 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 158 | 34 | 24 | 23 | 22 | 20 | 19 | 12 | 3 | 1 | 4.0 | 1.4 | . 6 |
    | \$1,500-81,749 .........- | 170 | 22 | 37 | 33 | 18 | 19 | 21 | 14 | 1 | 5 | 4.2 | 1.7 | . 5 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,998 | 126 | 30 | 13 | 14 | 28 | 15 | 10 | 13 | 1 | 2 | 4.0 | 1.3 | . 7 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,249 | 74 | 14 | 14 | 5 | 13 | 7 | 3 | 10 | 5 | 3 | 4.3 | 1.2 | 1.1 |
    | \$2,250-\$2,499 .......... | 78 | 16 | 9 | 4 | 30 | 9 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 3.9 | . 8 | 1.1 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999........- | 87 | . 8 | 16 | 4 | 24 | 18 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 5 | 4.4 | . 9 | 1.5 |
    | \$3,000-\$3,499. | 46 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 18 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 6 |  | 3.8 | . 5 | 1.3 |
    | \$3,500-\$3,999 | 29 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 11 | 3 |  | 5 | 4 |  | 4.5 | . 8 | 1.7 |
    | \$4,000-\$4,499 | 11 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 2 |  | 3.5 | . 7 | . 8 |
    | \$4,500-\$4,999 | 4 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 5.2 | 1.0 | 2.2 |
    | \$5,000-\$7,499. | 5 |  |  |  | 2 | 1 |  |  | 2 |  | 4.8 | . 2 | 2.6 |
    | \$7,500-\$9,999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$10,000 and over.....- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | Clerical |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | All nonrelief families | 1,240 | 267 | 240 | 161 | 277 | 127 | 67 | 48 | 42 | 11 | 3.7 | 1.0 | 0.7 |
    | \$0-\$248. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$250-\$499 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 3.5 | 1.3 | .2 |
    | \$500-\$749. | 19 | 7 |  | 5 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |  | 3.6 | 1.2 | . 4 |
    | \$750-\$999 | 78 | 12 | 23 | 12 | 15 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 3.7 | 1.2 | . 5 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 81 | 18 | 26 | 10 | 12 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 1 |  | 3.4 | 1.1 | . 3 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 102 | 19 | 33 | 15 | 20 | 4 | 7 | 2 | , | 1 | 3.5 | 1.0 | . 5 |
    | 1,500-\$1,749 | 148 | 32 | 36 | 26 | 28 | 9 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 3.6 | 1.1 | . 5 |
    | 1,750-\$1,999. | 175 | 49 | 32 | 24 | 33 | 17 | 9 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 3.5 | 1.0 | . 5 |
    | 2,000-\$2,249. | 135 | 33 | 18 | 18 | 29 | 15 | 11 | 7 | 1 | 3 | 3.8 | 1.1 | 7 |
    | 2,250-\$2,499. | 101 | 23 | 23 | 11 | 20 | 11 | 9 | 4 |  |  | 3.6 | 1.1 | . 5 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999. | 165 | 28 | 30 | 19 | 40 | 26 | 8 | 5 | 9 |  | 3.8 | 1.0 | . 8 |
    | 3,000-\$3,499 | 109 | 25 | 9 | 13 | 32 | 17 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 3.8 | . 9 | .9 |
    | \$3,500-\$3,999 | 49 | 10 | 5 | 3 | 16 | 8 |  | 2 | 5 |  | 4.0 | . 6 | 1.4 |
    | 4,000-\$4,499 $\ldots$......- | 35 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 14 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 4.3 | . 6 | 1.7 |
    | \$4,500-\$4,999 ..........- | 14 | 2 |  | 1 | 5 | 1 |  | 1 | 4 |  | 4.1 | . 6 | 1.5 |
    | \$5,000-\$7,499...........- | 23 | 3 | $\mathrm{i}^{-}$ | 2 | 9 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 4.2 | . 8 | 1.4 |
    | \$7,500-\$9,999........... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$10,000 and over ${ }^{3}$ | $1-$ |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (*) ${ }^{-1}$ | (*) |  |

    See footnotes at end of table.

    COLUMBIA, S. C.
    Table 1A.-Family type: Number of families of specified types and average number of persons per family, by occupation and income, 1935-36-Continued
    [White nonrelief families, including husband and wife, both native born]

    | Income class and occupational group <br> (1) | Number of families of type- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A verage number of persons per family |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | All <br> (2) | I(3) | II <br> (4) | III <br> (5) | IV <br> (6) | V <br> (7) | VI <br> (8) | VII <br> (9) | VIII <br> (10) | Other <br> (11) | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { All } \\ \text { mem } \\ \text { bers } \end{array}$ | Other than husband and wife |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Un- der 16 | 16 and over |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (12) | (13) | (14) |
    | Independent business |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | All nonrelief families | 438 | 101 | 57 | 37 | 105 | 55 | 34 | 21 | 18 | 10 | 3.9 | 1.1 | 0.8 |
    | \$0-\$249 | 8 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | (*) | (*) | (*) |
    | \$250-\$499 | 8 | 1 | 4 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 4.1 | 1.6 | . 5 |
    | \$500-\$749 | 19 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 3 |  | 2 |  | 1 |  | 3.3 | . 9 | . 4 |
    | \$750-\$999 | 21 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 4 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 3.8 | 1.1 | . 7 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 43 | 12 | 7 | 1 | 12 | 4 | 5 | 1 |  | 1 | 3.6 | . 9 | . 7 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 23 | 8 | 4 | 3 | 3 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3.8 | 1.2 | . 6 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 42 | 9 | 1 | 4 | 13 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4.3 | 1.3 | 1.0 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 38 | 8 | 8 | 3 | 12 | 3 | 1 | 3 |  |  | 3.7 | 1.0 | . 7 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,249 | 33 | 8 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 6 |  |  |  | 3.6 | 1.2 | . 4 |
    | \$2,250-\$2,499 | 29 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 1 |  | 1 | 3.9 | 1.3 | . 6 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 42 | 7 | 6 | 3 | 11 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 2 |  | 4.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
    | \$3,000-\$3,499 | 19 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 3.7 | . 9 | . 8 |
    | \$3,500-\$3,999 $\ldots . . . . .$. | 39 | 9 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 4.1 | 1.2 | . 9 |
    | \$4,000-\$4,499......... | 17 | 3 |  | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 6 |  |  | 4.8 | 1.0 | 1.8 |
    | \$4,500-\$4,999 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 |  | 1 |  | 4.4 | 1.6 | . 8 |
    | \$5,000-87,499.. | 28 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 4 | 4 | -- | 3 | 1 | 4.2 | . 9 | 1.3 |
    | \$7,500-\$0,999 | 11 | 1 | 1 |  | 4 | 2 |  |  | 3 |  | 4.2 | . 5 | 1.7 |
    | \$10,000 and over ${ }^{3} \ldots$ | 13 | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 4.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
    | Independent projessional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | All nonrelief families | 141 | 35 | 20 | 19 | 34 | 14 | 9 | 6 | 4 |  | 3.6 | 0.9 | 0.7 |
    | \$0-\$249. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -- |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$250-\$499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$500-\$749 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$750-\$999 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | (*) | (*) | (*) |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 6 | 3 | 1. | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.8 | ${ }^{6}$ | . 2 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | (*) | (*) |  |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 4 | 1 |  |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 3.5 | ${ }^{\text {. } 2}$ | 1.3 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,249 $\ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 4 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 3.8 | . 8 | 1.0 |
    |  | 11 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 2 |  | $1{ }^{-}$ |  |  |  | 3.0 | .7 | . 3 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999.......... | 10 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 3.3 | . 8 | . 5 |
    | \$3,000-\$3,499 | 16 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | -...-- | 3.5 | . 9 | . 6 |
    |  | 10 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 3.8 | 1.0 | . 8 |
    | \$4,000-\$4,499 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  | 4.0 | 1.5 | . 5 |
    | \$4,500-\$4,999 | 16 | 10 | 1 |  | 1 | 2 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 2.9 | . 5 | . 4 |
    | $\$ 4,000-\$ 7,499$ | 27 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | --...- | 4.1 | 1.3 | . 8 |
    |  | 11 | 2 | 1 |  | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 4.0 | . 8 | 1.2 |
    | $\$ 7,500-\$ 9,999$ <br> $\$ 10,000$ and over | 14 | 2 |  |  | 5 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -- | 4.4 | 1.0 | 1.4 |

    See footnotes at end of table.

    COLUMBIA, S. C.
    Table 1A.-Family type: Number of families of specified types and average number of persons per family, by occupation and income, 1935-96-Continued
    [Negro nonrelief families, including husband and wife, both native born]
    

    See footnotes at end of table.

    COLUMBIA, S. C.
    Table 1A.-Family type: Number of families of specified types and average number of persons per family, by occupation and income, 1935-36-Continued
    [White nonrelief families, including husband and wife, both native born]

    | Income class and occupational group <br> (1) | Number of families of type- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A verage number <br> of persons per family |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | All <br> (2) | I <br> (3) | II <br> (4) | III <br> (5) | IV <br> (6) | V <br> (7) | VI <br> (8) | VII <br> (9) | VIII(10) | Other(11) | Allmem-bers(12) | Other than husband and wife |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Un- } \\ & \text { der } \\ & 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16 \\ \text { and } \\ \text { over } \end{gathered}$ |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (13) | (14) |
    | Other ${ }^{8}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | All nonrelief families | 44 | 17 | 1 | 4 | 14 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | -...- | 3.3 | 0.5 | 0.8 |
    | \$0-\$249 ... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$250-\$499. | 4 | 1 |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 3.3 | . 5 | . 8 |
    | \$500-\$749 | 5 | 3 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.4 | .2 | . 2 |
    | \$750-\$999 | 4 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 2 |  |  |  | 4.0 | 1.5 | . 5 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 $\ldots$--- | 5 | 2 |  | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 3.4 | 1.4 |  |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 5 | 3 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.4 |  | $\cdot 4$ |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 --.......- | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | (*) | (*) | (*) |
    | \$2,000-\$2,249 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | (*) |  | (*) |
    | \$2, 250-\$2,499 | 1 |  |  |  | -- 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{*}$ ) |  |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 $\ldots \ldots$ | 4 | 1 |  |  | 2 |  | - | 1 |  |  | 4.0 | . 5 | 1.5 |
    | $\$ 3,000-\$ 3,499-$ | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | (*) |  | ${ }^{*}$ * |
    | \$4,000-\$4,499-..---...- | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | (*) | (*) | (*) |
    | \$4,500-\$4,999.........- | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (*) |  |  |
    | \$5,000-87,499 .......... | 3 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 3.3 | . 6 | . 7 |
    | \$7,500-\$9,999_.......- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$10,000 and over ${ }^{\text {t. }}$ - | 2 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{*}$ ) |  | ${ }^{*}$ ) |

    For footnotes 1 and 2, see table 1 on p. 243.

    * Largest income reported between $\$ 10,000$ and $\$ 15,000$.

    Largest income reported between $\$ 30,000$ and $\$ 35,000$.
    ${ }^{5}$ Largest income reported between $\$ 20,000$ and $\$ 25,000$.
    6 This group contains 8 families engaged in farming, a group too small to be separately classified, and families having no gainfully employed members.
    *Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    ## COLUMBIA, S. C.

    Table 2.-Sources of family income: Number of families receiving income from specified sources, and average amount of such income, by income, 1935-36
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]

    | Income class | Number of families <br> (2) | Number of families receiving- |  |  |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  |  | Money income from- |  | Nonmoney income from- |  |  |
    |  |  | Earnings ${ }^{1}$ <br> (3) | Other sources (positive or negative) ${ }^{2}$ <br> (4) | Any source ${ }^{3}$ <br> (5) | Owned home (positive or negstive) ${ }^{4}$ <br> (6) | Rent as pay <br> (7) |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | All families | 4,715 | 4,678 | 957 | 1,672 | 1,583 | 89 |
    | Relief families. | 392 | 391 | 73 | 72 | 62 | 10 |
    | Nonrelief families. | 4,323 | 4,287 | 884 | 1,600 | 1,521 | 79 |
    | \$0-\$249 | 7 | 7 | 2 | 1 |  | 1 |
    | \$250-\$499 | 58 | 55 | 11 | 5 | 3 | 2 |
    | \$500-\$749 | 243 | 238 | 24 | 16 | 11 | 5 |
    | \$750-\$999 | 354 | 351 | 38 | 29 | 24 | 5 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 374 | 370 | 56 | 56 | 52 | 4 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 . | 317 | 312 | 52 | 79 | 73 | 6 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 429 | 426 | 70 | 105 | 100 | 5 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 420 | 419 | 61 | 129 | 125 | 4 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,249 | 324 | 322 | 57 | 136 | 132 | 4 |
    | \$2,250-\$2,499. | 309 | 308 | 55 | 150 | 145 | 5 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999. | 445 | 443 | 112 | 248 | 238 | 10 |
    | \$3,000-\$3,999. | 564 | 563 | 161 | 294 | 279 | 15 |
    | \$4,000-\$4,999. | 223 | 221 | 66 | 152 | 143 | 9 |
    | \$5,000 and over . . | 256 | 252 | 119 | 200 | 196 | 4 |


    ## COLUMBIA, S. C.

    Table 2.-Sources of family income: Number of families receiving income from specified sources, and average amount of such income, by income, 1935-36 1— Continued
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]

    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{4}{*}{Income class

    (1)} \& \multirow{3}{*}{Total} \& \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{Average family income} <br>
    \hline \& \& \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{Money income from-} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Nonmoney income from-} <br>

    \hline \& \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{| All sources |
    | :--- |
    | (3) |} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Earnings ${ }^{2}$} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{| Other sources (positive or negative) ${ }^{3}$ |
    | :--- |
    | (5) |} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{| All sources |
    | :--- |
    | (6) |} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{| Owned home (positive or negative) ${ }^{4}$ |
    | :--- |
    | (7) |} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{| Rent as pay |
    | :--- |
    | (8) |} <br>

    \hline \& (2) \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
    \hline All families.-------------- \& 5\$2,277 \& \$2, 161 \& \$2,042 \& \$119 \& \$116 \& \$109 \& \$7 <br>

    \hline Relief families Nonrelief families. \& $$
    \begin{array}{r}
    831 \\
    52.408
    \end{array}
    $$ \& \[

    $$
    \begin{array}{r}
    796 \\
    2.283
    \end{array}
    $$

    \] \& \[

    $$
    \begin{array}{r}
    757 \\
    2.158
    \end{array}
    $$
    \] \& $\begin{array}{r}39 \\ 125 \\ \hline\end{array}$ \& 35

    125 \& 32
    118 \& 3
    7 <br>
    \hline \$0-\$249 \& 144 \& 128 \& 118 \& 10 \& 16 \& \& 16 <br>
    \hline \$250-\$499.- \& 378 \& 371 \& 337 \& 34 \& 7 \& 5 \& 2 <br>
    \hline \$500-8749. \& 638 \& 626 \& 606 \& 20 \& 12 \& 10 \& 2 <br>
    \hline \$750-\$999 \& 866 \& 850 \& 824 \& 26 \& 16 \& 13 \& 3 <br>
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249 \& 1,134 \& 1,100 \& 1,057 \& 43 \& 34 \& 32 \& 2 <br>
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499 \& 1,368 \& 1,314 \& 1,250 \& 64 \& 54 \& 48 \& 6 <br>
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749.. \& 1,602 \& 1,547 \& 1,493 \& 54 \& 55 \& 53 \& 2 <br>
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 \& $\begin{array}{r}1,859 \\ \hline\end{array}$ \& $\stackrel{1}{2} \mathbf{7 8 1}$ \& $\begin{array}{r}1,736 \\ 1,945 \\ \hline\end{array}$ \& 45
    66 \& 78 \& 74
    104 \& 4 <br>
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249 \& $\begin{array}{r}2,119 \\ \hline\end{array}$ \& 2,011 \& 1,945 \& 66
    66 \& 108 \& 104 \& 4 <br>
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499 \& 2,368 \& 2,223 \& 2,157 \& 66
    109 \& 145 \& 140 \& $\stackrel{5}{5}$ <br>
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999... \& $\stackrel{2,727}{3,417}$ \& - ${ }^{2,525}$ \& 3,443 \& 109
    160 \& 175

    192 \& | 164 |
    | :--- |
    | 178 | \& 11 <br>

    \hline \$3,000-\$3,999-. \& 3,417
    4,403 \& 3,225
    4,112 \& 3,065
    3,901 \& 160
    211 \& 291 \& 178
    269 \& $\stackrel{14}{22}$ <br>
    \hline \$5,000 and over- \& 8,043 \& 7,601 \& 6,748 \& 853 \& 442 \& 426 \& 16 <br>
    \hline
    \end{tabular}


    ## COLUMBIA, S. C.

    Table 2A.-Sources of family income: Number of families receiving income from specified sources, and average amount of such income, by occupation and income, 1935-36
    [White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]
    

    COLUMBIA, S. C.
    Table 2A.-Sources of family income: Number of families receiving income from specified sources, and average amount of such income, by occupation and income, 1995-36 ${ }^{1}$-Continued
    [White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]

    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{4}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Income class and occupational group \\
    (1)
    \end{tabular}} \& \multirow[b]{4}{*}{Total

    (2)} \& \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{Average family income} <br>
    \hline \& \& \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{Money income from-} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Nonmoney income from-} <br>

    \hline \& \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{| All sources |
    | :--- |
    | (3) |} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{| Earnings ${ }^{2}$ |
    | :--- |
    | (4) |} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{| Other sources (positive or negative) ${ }^{3}$ |
    | :--- |
    | (5) |} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{| All sources |
    | :--- |
    | (6) |} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{| Owned home (positive or negative) 4 |
    | :--- |
    | (7) |} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{| Rentas pay |
    | :--- |
    | (8) |} <br>

    \hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>

    \hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{| Wage earner |
    | :--- |
    | All nonrelief families |} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{${ }^{5} \$ 1,515$} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\$1,462} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\$1, 421} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\$41} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\$53} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\$50} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\$3} <br>

    \hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
    \hline \$0-\$499 \& 360 \& 353 \& 337 \& 16 \& 7 \& 4 \& 3 <br>
    \hline  \& 760 \& 753 \& 742 \& 11 \& 7 \& 5 \& 2 <br>
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,499 \& 1,230 \& 1,203 \& 1,172 \& 31 \& 27 \& 25 \& 2 <br>
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,999 \& 1,710 \& 1,665 \& 1,628 \& 37 \& 45 \& 38 \& 7 <br>
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,999 \& 2,412 \& 2,277 \& 2,212 \& 65 \& 135 \& 130 \& 5 <br>
    \hline \$3,000-\$4,999 \& 3,578 \& 3,370 \& 3,202 \& 168 \& 208 \& 201 \& 7 <br>
    \hline  \& 5,198 \& 4,881 \& 4,652 \& 229 \& 317 \& 317 \& <br>
    \hline Clerical \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{5 2, 215} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{2,113} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{2,020} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{93} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{102} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{100} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{2} <br>
    \hline All nonrelief families....-.--- \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
    \hline \$0-\$499 -------........-. \& 385 \& 367 \& 350 \& 17 \& 18 \& 18 \& <br>
    \hline \$500-\$099 \& 833 \& 818 \& 800 \& 18 \& 15 \& 10 \& 5 <br>
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,499 \& 1, 270 \& 1,234 \& 1,200 \& 34 \& 36 \& 33 \& 3 <br>
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,999 \& 1,745 \& 1, 686 \& 1,646 \& 40 \& 59 \& 59 \& <br>
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,999 \& 2,434 \& 2,305 \& 2,217 \& 88 \& 129 \& 127 \& 2 <br>
    \hline \$3,000-\$4,999 \& 3,605 \& 3,410 \& 3,244 \& 166 \& 195 \& 191 \& 4 <br>
    \hline \$5,000 and over-..--.........- \& 6,090 \& 5,816 \& 4,750 \& 1,066 \& 274 \& 274 \& <br>
    \hline Business and projessional \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{${ }^{5} 3,382$} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{3,179} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{3,006} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{173} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{203} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{189} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{14} <br>
    \hline \multirow[t]{8}{*}{All nonrelief families.} \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
    \hline \& 318 \& 308 \& 303 \& 5 \& 10 \& 4 \& \multirow[t]{8}{*}{6
    5
    8
    2
    12
    22
    25} <br>
    \hline \& 776 \& 727 \& 693 \& 34 \& 49 \& 44 \& <br>
    \hline \& 1,231 \& 1,152 \& 1,072 \& 80 \& 79 \& 71 \& <br>
    \hline \& 1,732 \& 1,631 \& 1,578 \& 53 \& 101 \& 99 \& <br>
    \hline \& 2,463 \& 2,303 \& 2,232 \& 71 \& 160 \& 148 \& <br>
    \hline \& 3,757 \& 3,528 \& 3,366 \& 162 \& 229 \& 207 \& <br>
    \hline \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{8,216} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{7,756} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{7,140} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{616} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{460} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{435} \& <br>
    \hline Other \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
    \hline All nonrelief families.....--- \& 2,897 \& 2, 578 \& 388 \& 2, 190 \& 319 \& 319 \& 25 <br>
    \hline
    \end{tabular}


    ## COLUMBIA, S. C.

    Table 3.-Money earnings: Number of families receiving net money earnings and average net money earnings received from each source, by income, 1935-96
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]

    | Income class | Number of families | Number of families receiving net money earnings from- |  |  |  | Average net money earnings from ${ }^{1}$ - |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  |  | Any source | Individual earners | $\begin{gathered} \text { Roomers } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { boarders } \end{gathered}$ | Other work not attributable to individuals | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { sources } \end{gathered}$ | Individual earners | Roomers and boarders and other work ${ }^{3}$ |
    |  | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) |
    | All families. | 4,715 | 4,678 | 4, 656 | 794 | 32 | \$2,042 | \$2, 003 | \$39 |
    | Relief families | -392 | ${ }_{4} 391$ | $\stackrel{391}{ }$ | 62 | 4 | 757 | 725 | 32 |
    | Nonrelief families. | 4,323 | 4, 287 | 4, 265 | 732 | 28 | 2, 158 | 2,117 | 41 |
    | \$0-\$249 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 1 |  | 118 | 109 | 9 |
    | \$250-\$499 | 58 | 55 | 55 | 9 | 1 | 337 | 329 | 8 |
    | \$500-\$749. | 243 | 238 | 234 | 40 | 3 | 606 | 584 | 22 |
    | \$750-\$999 | 354 | 351 | 348 | 66 | 6 | 824 | 798 | 26 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 374 | 370 | 365 | 61 | 2 | 1,057 | 1, 029 | 28 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 317 | 312 | 308 | 62 | 3 | 1, 250 | 1,221 | 29 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 429 | 426 | 424 | 72 | 3 | 1,493 | 1,464 | 29 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999. | 420 | 419 | 417 | 66 |  | 1,736 | 1,699 | 37 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,249 | 324 | 322 | 322 | 54 | 2 | 1,945 | 1,907 | 38 |
    | \$2,250-\$2,499 | 309 | 308 | 308 | 64 | 2 | 2,157 | 2, 105 | 52 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 445 | 443 | 443 | 71 |  | 2, 443 | 2, 400 | 43 |
    | \$3,000-\$3,999 | 564 | 563 | 562 | 100 | 4 | 3, 065 | 3, 004 | 61 |
    | \$4,000-\$4,999 | 223 | 221 | 221 | 41 |  | 3,901 | 3, 826 | 75 |
    | \$5,000 and over .- | 256 | 252 | 251 | 25 | 2 | 6,748 | 6,708 | 40 |

    ${ }^{1}$ The averages in each column are based on all families, column (2), whether or not they received money earnings from the specified source.
    ${ }_{2}$ Includes only families which had net money earnings from roomers and boarders (i. e., whose gross income from roomers and boarders exceeded estimated expenses). In addition, there were a few families which had roomers and boarders but which received from them no net money earnings.
    ${ }^{3}$ Includes net money earnings from roomers and boarders and from other work not attributable to individuals (casual work in home, such as laundry and sewing). Average net money earnings of all families from other work not attributable to individuals were $\$ 0.50$ or less.

    COLUMBIA, S. C.
    Table 3A.-Money earnings: Number of families receiving net money earnings and average net money earnings received from each source, by occupation and income, 1995-86
    [White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combed]

    | Income class and occupational group | Number of families | Number of families receiving net money earnings from- |  |  |  | Average net money earnings from ${ }^{1-}$ |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  |  | Any source | Individurl earners | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Roomers } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { boarders }{ }^{2} \end{aligned}$ | Other work not attributable to individuals | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { sources } \end{gathered}$ | Individual earners | Roomers and boarders and other work ${ }^{3}$ |
    | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) |
    | Wage earner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | All nonrelief families.........- | 1, 469 | 1,469 | 1,469 | 234 | 11 | \$1,421 | \$1,397 | \$24 |
    | \$0-\$499. | 41 | 41 | 41 | 2 | 1 | 337 | 335 | 2 |
    | \$500-\$999 | 428 | 428 | 428 | 72 | 6 | 742 | 726 | 16 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,499 | 370 | 370 | 370 | 56 | 2 | 1,172 | 1,157 | 15 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,999 | 296 | 296 | 296 | 44 | 1 | 1,628 | 1,607 | 21 |
    | \$2,000-\$3,999 | 239 | 239 | 239 | 44 | 1 | 2,212 | 2,161 | 51 |
    | \$3,000-\$4,999 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 15 | ------..-- | 3,202 | 3, 138 | 64 |
    | \$5,000 and over-.------------ | 5 | 5 | 5 | 1 | ---------- | 4,652 | 4,605 | 47 |
    | Clerical |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | All nonrelief families. .-. ---- | 1,240 | 1,240 | 1,240 | 218 | 8 | 2,020 | 1,982 | 38 |
    | \$0-\$499. | 5 | 5 | 5 | 2 |  | 350 | 336 | 14 |
    | \$500-\$999 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 17 | 2 | 800 | 777 | 23 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,499 | 183 | 183 | 183 | 34 | 1 | 1,200 | 1,172 | 28 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,999 | 323 | 323 | 323 | 44 | 1 | 1,646 | 1, 621 | 25 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,999 | 401 | 401 | 401 | 72 | 2 | 2, 217 | 2, 181 | 36 |
    | \$3,000-\$4,999 | 207 | 207 | 207 | 49 | 1 | 3,244 | 3, 168 | 76 |
    | \$5,000 and over. | 24 | 24 | 24 | -- | 1 | 4,750 | 4,738 | 12 |
    | Business and professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | All nonrelief families........- | 1,570 | 1,570 | 1,548 | 280 | 9 | 3,006 | 2,949 | 57 |
    | \$0-\$499 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 6 |  | 303 | 279 | 24 |
    | \$500-\$999 | 63 | 63 | 56 | 17 | 1 | 693 | 606 | 87 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,499 .................... | 128 | 128 | 119 | 33 | 2 | 1,072 | 1,002 | 70 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,999 | 226 | 226 | 222 | 50 | 1 | 1,578 | 1,516 | 62 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,999 | 431 | 431 | 431 | 73 | 1 | 2, 232 | 2, 185 | 47 |
    | \$3,000-\$4,999 | 485 | 485 | 484 | 77 | 3 | 3,366 | 3, 302 | 64 |
    | \$5,000 and over .-....--------- | 222 | 222 | 221 | 24 | 1 | 7,140 | 7,099 | 41 |
    | Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | All nonrelief families.....-. - | 44 | 8 | 8 | ---- |  | 388 | 388 |  |

    ${ }_{1}$ The averages in each column are based on all families, column (2), whether or not they received money earnings from the specifled source.
    2 Includes only families which had net money earnings from roomers and boarders (i. e., whose gross income from roomers and boarders exceeded estimated expenses). In addition, there were some families which had roomers and boarders but which had no net money earnings from them.
    ${ }^{3}$ Includes net money earnings from roomers and boarders and from other work not attributable to individuals (casual work in home such as laundry and sewing). Average net money earnings of all nonrelief families from other work not attributable to individuals were $\$ 0.50$ or less for all occupations.

    ## COLUMBIA, S. C.

    Table 4-4A.-Principal earners: Number of principal earners, classified as husbands, wives, and others, with weeks of employment and average yearly earnings of principal earners, by occupation and income, 1935-36
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]

    | Income class and occupational group <br> (1) | $\left.\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Num- } \\ \text { ber of } \\ \text { families } \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | Number of principal earners |  |  |  |  | Average weeks of ment of principal earners ${ }^{1}$ | A verageearnings of principal earners ${ }^{2}$ |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  |  | $\text { All }^{3}$ <br> (3) | Husbands <br> (4) | Wives <br> (5) | Others |  |  | All <br> (9) | Husbands <br> (10) |
    |  |  |  |  |  | Male <br> (6) | Female <br> (7) |  |  |  |
    | All occupations <br> All families $\qquad$ | 4,715 | 4,656 | 4, 244 | 175 | 148 | 89 | 50 | \$1,813 | \$1,897 |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  | $\begin{array}{r} 392 \\ 4,323 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 391 \\ 4,265 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 274 \\ 3,970 \end{array}$ | 73102 | 28120 | 1673 | $\begin{aligned} & 43 \\ & 51 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 593 \\ 1,925 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 604 \\ 1,986 \end{array}$ |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$0-\$249 | 7 | 7 | 6 |  |  | 1 | 27 |  | 25 |
    | \$250-\$499 | 58 | 55 | 49 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 38 | 339 | 350 |
    | \$500-\$749.. | 243 | 234 | 218 | 7 | 5 | 4 | 49 | 585 | 594 |
    | \$750-\$999 | 354 | 348 | 323 | 9 | 9 | 7 | 50 | 776 | 790 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 374 | 365 | 328 | 21 | 11 | 5 | 50 | 960 | 984 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 317 | 308 | 280 | 14 | 6 | 8 | 51 | 1,092 | 1, 128 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 429 | 424 | 398 | 8 | 11 | 7 | 52 | 1,352 | 1, 384 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 420 | 417 | 389 | 8 | 14 | 6 | 51 | 1,577 | 1,612 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,249 | 324 | 322 | 301 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 52 | 1,743 | 1,783 |
    | \$2,250-\$2,499 | 309 | 308 | 295 | ${ }_{6}$ | 5 | 2 | 51 | 1,932 | 1,962 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 445 | 443 | 408 | 13 | 13 | 9 | 52 | 2, 134 | 2, 191 |
    | \$3,000-\$3,999 - | 564 | 562 | 528 | 6 | 17 | 11 | 52 | 2, 634 | 2,708 |
    | \$4,000-\$4,999.......... | 223256 | 2251 | 242242 | ------1 | 12 | 4 <br> 1 | ${ }_{52}^{52}$ | 3,2656,230 | 3,6,348 |
    | \$5,000 and over------- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | Wage earner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | All nonrelief families_- | 1,469 | 1,469 | 1,384 | 33 | 41 | 11 | 50 | 1,190 | 1,216 |
    | \$0-\$499 | 4142837029623990 | 41 | $\begin{array}{r} 38 \\ 405 \\ 306 \\ 386 \\ 283 \\ 235 \\ 83 \\ 4 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ 7 \\ 12 \\ 8 \\ 4 \\ 7 \\ 1 \end{array}$ | \|r $\begin{array}{r}1 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ -\cdots-\cdots \\ \hline-\cdots \cdots\end{array}$ | 38 50 50 51 52 51 52 <br> 51 | $\begin{array}{r} 328 \\ 695 \\ 967 \\ 1,417 \\ 1,804 \\ 2,390 \\ 2,848 \\ \\ 1,711 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 335 \\ 706 \\ 994 \\ 1,444 \\ 1,813 \\ 2,455 \\ 2,885 \end{array}$ |
    | \$500-8999- |  | $\begin{array}{r} 428 \\ 370 \\ 296 \\ 239 \\ 90 \\ 5 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$1,000-\$1,499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$1,500- 81,999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$2,000-\$2,999..- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$3,000-\$4,999..... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$5,000 and over. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | Clerical |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | All nonrelief families------ | 1,240 | 1,240 | 1,097 | 42 | 52 | 49 |  |  |  |
    | \$0-\$499 | $\begin{array}{r} 97 \\ 183 \\ 323 \\ 401 \\ 207 \\ 24 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ 97 \\ 183 \\ 323 \\ 401 \\ 207 \\ 24 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \\ 84 \\ 8161 \\ 296 \\ 354 \\ 177 \\ 21 \end{array}$ | $\cdots-\cdots$  <br> $\cdots$  <br> 11  <br> 6  <br> 17  <br> 4  <br> 1  <br>   | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ 11 \\ 15 \\ 15 \\ 2 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 27 \\ & 50 \\ & 51 \\ & 52 \\ & 52 \\ & 52 \\ & 52 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 327 \\ 744 \\ 1,115 \\ 1,505 \\ 1,936 \\ 2,436 \\ 3,4200 \end{array}$ | 3717631,1591,5563,0232,5923,414 |
    | \$500-\$999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$1,000-\$1,499. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$1,500-\$1,999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$2,000-\$2,999 -- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$3,000-\$4,999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$5,000 and over... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | Business and professional | 1,570 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | All nonrelief families_ |  | 1,548 | 1,481 | 27 | 27 | 13 | 51 | 2, 795 | 2,856 |
    | \$0-\$499 | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \\ 63 \\ 128 \\ 226 \\ 431 \\ 485 \\ 222 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15 \\ 56 \\ 119 \\ 222 \\ 431 \\ 484 \\ 424 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12 \\ 51 \\ 51 \\ 10 \\ 208 \\ 413 \\ 471 \\ 216 \end{array}$ | 1167102 |  | 2 <br> 1 <br> 2 <br> 1 <br> 2 <br> 4 <br> 1 | 37 <br> 48 <br> 51 <br> 51 <br> 52 <br> 52 <br> 52 | $\begin{array}{r} 268 \\ 660 \\ 1,037 \\ 1,466 \\ 2,064 \\ 3,053 \\ 6,647 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 2876731,0601,4852,0893,0886,710 |
    | \$500-\$999- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$1,000-\$1,499. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$1,500-\$1,999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$2,000-\$2,999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$3,000-\$4,999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$5,000 and over.------ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

    COLUMBIA, S. C.
    Table 5.-Number of earners in family: Number of families with specified number of individual earners, family relationship of sole earners, and average number of supplementary earners per family, by income, 1935-36
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born. All occupational groups and all family types combined]

    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{5}{*}{Income class

    (1)} \& \multirow{4}{*}{Numfamilies} \& \multicolumn{8}{|c|}{Number of families with individual earners-} \& \multirow[t]{5}{*}{Families with more than one earner as percentage of with any individual earner ${ }^{1}$} \& \multirow[b]{5}{*}{Average number of supplementary earners per family ${ }^{2}$
    (12)} <br>
    \hline \& \& \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{One only} \& \multirow[b]{4}{*}{Two

    \[
    ((8)

    \]} \& \multirow[b]{4}{*}{| Three |
    | :--- |
    | (9) |} \& \multirow[b]{4}{*}{| Four or more |
    | :--- |
    | (10) |} \& \& <br>


    \hline \& \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Any family member (3)} \& \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Husband} \& \multirow[b]{3}{*}{| Wife |
    | :--- |
    | (5) |} \& \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Other} \& \& \& \& \& <br>

    \hline \& \& \& \& \& Male \& Female \& \& \& \& \& <br>
    \hline \& (2) \& \& \& \& (6) \& (7) \& \& \& \& \& <br>
    \hline All families \& 4, 715 \& 3, 364 \& 3,242 \& 69 \& 31 \& 22 \& 996 \& 223 \& 73 \& 28 \& 0.36 <br>
    \hline Relief families ----- \& 392
    4,323 \& 209
    3,155 \& 167
    3,075 \& 36 \& 38
    28 \& 3

    19 \& | 141 |
    | :--- |
    | 855 | \& \[

    $$
    \begin{array}{r}
    34 \\
    180
    \end{array}
    $$
    \] \& 66 \& 46

    26 \& 59
    34 <br>
    \hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
    \hline \$0-\$249- \& 7 \& ${ }_{4}^{7}$ \& ${ }_{6}^{6}$ \& \& \& 1 \& 7 \& \& \& \& <br>
    \hline \$500-\$749-... \& 243 \& 201 \& 192 \& 4 \& 3 \& 2 \& 31 \& 1 \& 1 \& 14 \& . 15 <br>
    \hline \$750-\$999. \& 354 \& 295 \& 283 \& 4 \& 4 \& 4 \& 45 \& 5 \& 3 \& 15 \& . 18 <br>
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249 $\ldots$ \& 374 \& 282 \& 268 \& 9 \& 5 \& \& 75 \& 8 \& \& 23 \& . 25 <br>
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499...- \& 317 \& 207 \& 203 \& 1 \& 3 \& \& 91 \& 9 \& 1 \& 33 \& . 36 <br>
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749 \& 429 \& 327 \& 321 \& 3 \& 1 \& 2 \& 74 \& 19 \& 4 \& 23 \& . 29 <br>
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 $\ldots$ \& 420 \& 323 \& 319 \& \& 2 \& \& ${ }^{76}$ \& 15 \& ${ }_{3}$ \& 22 \& . 28 <br>
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249 ... \& 324 \& 236 \& 232 \& 2 \& \& 1 \& 67 \& 13 \& 6 \& 27 \& 35 <br>
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499 $\ldots$ \& 309 \& 240 \& 235 \& , \& 2 \& 1 \& 51 \& 14 \& 3 \& 22 \& . 29 <br>
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 \& 445 \& 307 \& 299 \& 3 \& 3 \& 2 \& 104 \& 25 \& 7 \& 31 \& . 40 <br>
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,999... \& 564 \& 376 \& 371 \& 1 \& \& 4 \& 129 \& 44 \& 13 \& 33 \& . 46 <br>
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,999 $-\mathrm{-}$ \& 223 \& 130 \& 128 \& \& 1 \& 1 \& 65 \& 16 \& 10 \& 41 \& . 59 <br>
    \hline \$5,000 and over- \& 256 \& 176 \& 175 \& 1 \& \& \& 40 \& 20 \& 15 \& 30 \& . 50 <br>
    \hline
    \end{tabular}


    ## COLUMBIA, s. C.

    Table 6.--Sole and supplementary earners: Number of families with individual earners; number of supplementary earners classified as husbands, wives, and others; average earnings of supplementary earners; and average earnings of family from supplementary earners; by income, 1995-36
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]

    | Income class | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Num- } \\ & \text { ber of } \\ & \text { fam- } \\ & \text { illies } \end{aligned}$ | Number of families with individual earners |  |  |  | Number of supplementary earners |  |  |  |  | Average earnings of all supplementary earners ${ }^{1}$ | Average earnings per family from supplementary earners ${ }^{2}$ |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  |  | Any | One only |  | More than one ${ }^{3}$ (6) | All <br> (7) | Hus. bands | Wives <br> (9) | Others ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |  |
    |  |  |  | Any family mem | Hus- band |  |  |  |  | $\qquad$ | $\mathrm{Fe}-$ male <br> (11) |  |  |
    |  | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | All families | 4,715 | 4,656 | 3,364 | 3,242 | 1,292 | 1,673 | 236 | 506 | 570 | 361 | \$597 | \$212 |
    | Relief families. | 392 | 391 | 209 | 167 | 182 | 230 | 61 | 58 | 72 | 39 | 229 | 134 |
    | Nonrelief families. | 4, 323 | 4, 265 | 3,155 | 3,075 | 1,110 | 1,443 | 175 | 448 | 498 | 322 | 655 | 219 |
    | \$0-\$249- | 7 | 7 | 7 | , |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$250-\$499 | 58 | 55 | 48 | 43 | 7 | 7 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 67 | 8 |
    | \$500-\$749 | 243 | 234 | 201 | 192 | ${ }_{3}^{33}$ | 36 | 6 | 13 | 13 | 4 | 138 | 20 |
    | \$750-\$999 | 354 | 348 | 295 | 283 | 53 | 64 | 10 | 21 | 22 | 11 | 198 | 36 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249. | 374 | 365 | 282 | 268 | 83 | 91 | 17 | 42 | 23 | 9 | 378 | 92 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 317 | 308 | 207 | 203 | 101 | 112 | 24 | 54 | 19 | 15 | 454 | 160 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 429 | 424 | 327 | 321 | 97 | 124 | 12 | 46 | 39 | 27 | 438 | 127 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 420 | 417 | 323 | 319 | 94 | 115 | 22 | 43 | 35 | 15 | 489 | 134 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,249 | 324 | 322 | 236 | 232 | 86 | 113 | 16 | 35 | 39 | 23 | 505 | 176 |
    | \$2,250-\$2,499 | 309 | 308 | 240 | 235 | 68 | 88 | 5 | 23 | 34 | 26 | 631 | 180 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 445 | 443 | 307 | 299 | 136 | 176 | 25 | 52 | 62 | 37 | 695 | 275 |
    | \$3,000-\$3,999 | 564 223 | 562 221 | 376 130 | 371 128 | 186 91 | ${ }_{131}^{259}$ | 21 10 | ${ }_{34}^{61}$ | 98 54 | 79 33 | 821 998 | 377 586 |
    | \$5,000 and over.- | 256 | 251 | 176 | 175 | 75 | 127 | 6 | 20 | 59 | 42 | 1,208 | 600 |

    ${ }^{1}$ A verages in this column are based on the corresponding counts of supplementary earners in column (7). ${ }_{2}$ Averages in this column are based on the number of families as shown in column (2).
    ${ }^{3}$ Families that have supplementary earners.
    ${ }^{4}$ Includes 15 males and 2 females under 16 years of age.

    ## COLUMBIA, S. C.

    Table GA.-Sole and supplementary earners: Number of families with individual earners; number of supplementary earners classified as husbands, wives, and others; average earnings of supplementary earners; and average earnings of family from supplementary earners; by occupation and income, 1935-36
    [White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]
    

    COLUMBIA, S. C.
    Table 7.-Earnings of supplementary earners: Number of supplementary earners with earnings of specified amount, by family income,
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]

    | Income class | Number of families with any suptary earners | Average earnings of suptary earners | Number of supplementary earners with earnings of- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Any } \\ & \text { Amount } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & \$ 50 \end{aligned}$ | \$50-\$99 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 100- \\ & \$ 199 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\$ 299}{\$ 200-}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 300- \\ & \$ 399 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 400- \\ & \$ 499 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 500- \\ & \$ 599 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 600- \\ \$ 699 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 700- \\ \$ 799 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 800-$ | $\underset{\$ 999}{\$ 900-}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \$ 1,000- \\ \$ 1,499 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,500- \\ & \$ 1,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 2,000 \\ \text { and } \\ \text { over } \end{gathered}$ |
    | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) | (17) | (18) |
    | All families. | 1. 292 | \$597 | 1,673 | 90 | 107. | 167 | 135 | 109 | 99 | 155 | 232 | 135 | 64 | 109 | 205 | 53 | 13 |
    | Relief families. Nonrelief families. | 1, 182 | ${ }_{655}^{229}$ | 1, ${ }_{1}^{230}$ | 38 52 | 37 70 | 111 | $\begin{aligned} & 37 \\ & 98 \end{aligned}$ | 19 90 | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \\ & 86 \end{aligned}$ | 15 140 | $227$ | 6 129 | ${ }_{63}^{1}$ | $\stackrel{2}{107}$ | 1 204 | 53 | 13 |
    | \$0-\$249 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$500-8749 | ${ }^{7}$ | $\begin{array}{r}67 \\ 138 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | ${ }^{7}$ | ${ }_{9}^{4}$ | ${ }_{6}^{1}$ | 12 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$750-\$999. | 53 | 198 | 64 | 8 | 12 | 12 | 17 | 8 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 83 | ${ }_{454}^{378}$ | 91 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 13 | 11 | 14 | 17 | 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749- | 97 | 438 | 124 | 4 | 8 | 16 | 11 | 8 | 17 | 16 | 27 | 16 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 94 | 489 | 115 | 7 | 9 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 9 | 16 | 25 | 10 | 13 | 4 |  |  |  |
    | \$2,000-\$2,249 | 86 | 505 | 113 | 4 | 5 | 13 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 21 | 16 | 11 | 6 | 5 | 6 | - |  |
    | \$2,250-\$2,499 | 68 | 631 | 88 |  | 3 | 4 |  | 7 | 2 | 17 | 16 | 11 | 6 | 9 | 9 |  |  |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 136 | ${ }^{695}$ | 176 | 3 | 5 | 11 | 6 | 12 | 9 | 11 | ${ }^{26}$ | ${ }^{27}$ | 8 | ${ }^{20}$ | 38 |  |  |
    | \$3,000-\$3,999- | 181 | 821 | 259 | 4 | 6 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 4 | 15 9 | 35 | 34 | 17 | ${ }_{24}^{28}$ | 71 | 15 |  |
    | \$5,000 and over | 75 | 1,208 | 127 | 1 | 1 |  | 2 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 3 | 17 | 44 | 18 | 12 |

    ## COLUMBIA, S. C.

    Table 8.-Husbands as earners: Number and average yearly earnings of husbands classified as principal or supplementary earners, by age and family income, 1935-36
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]

    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \& \multicolumn{12}{|c|}{Principal earners by age groups-} \& \multicolumn{12}{|c|}{Supplementary earners by age groups-} \\
    \hline Income class
    (1) \& \begin{tabular}{l}
    Any \\
    (2)
    \end{tabular} \& Under
    20

    $(3)$ \& | $20-24$ |
    | :--- |
    | (4) | \& $25-29$

    (5) \& $30-34$

    $(6)$ \& | 35-39 |
    | :--- |
    | (7) | \& | $40-44$ |
    | :--- |
    | (8) | \& | $45-49$ |
    | :--- |
    | (9) | \& $50-54$

    (10) \& 55-59
    (11) \& $60-64$
    (12) \& 65
    and
    over
    (13) \& Any \& Un-
    der
    20

    (15) \& $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered}\text { 20-24 } \\ (16)\end{gathered}\right.$ \& $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered}25-29 \\ (17)\end{gathered}\right.$ \& $|$\begin{tabular}{|c}
    $30-34$ <br>
    (18)

    \& $\left.\right|_{\text {(19) }} ^{35-39}$ \& (20) \& $|$

    $45-49$ <br>
    $(21)$
    \end{tabular} \& $\left\{\begin{array}{l}50-54 \\ (22)\end{array}\right.$ \& $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered}55-59 \\ (23)\end{gathered}\right.$ \& (24) \& 65 ${ }_{\text {and }}^{\text {and }}$ ( ${ }^{\text {aver }}$ <br>

    \hline \multirow[b]{3}{*}{| All families |
    | :--- |
    | Relief families Nonrelief families.- |} \& \multicolumn{24}{|c|}{Number of husbands} <br>

    \hline \& 4,244 \& 1 \& 112 \& 387 \& 580 \& 727 \& 710 \& 587 \& 468 \& 305 \& 213 \& 154 \& 236 \& ...- \& 8 \& 12 \& 18 \& 22 \& 24 \& 29 \& 34 \& 31 \& 22 \& 36 <br>
    \hline \& 274
    3,970 \& 1 \& 6
    106 \& 22
    365 \& 29
    551 \& 28
    699 \& 47
    663 \& 46
    541 \& 35
    433 \& 24
    281 \& 19
    194 \& 18
    136 \& 61
    175 \& \& 3
    5 \& 11 \& 4
    14 \& 5
    17 \& 9
    15 \& 9
    20 \& 14
    20 \& 7
    24 \& $\begin{array}{r}4 \\ 18 \\ \hline\end{array}$ \& 5
    31 <br>
    \hline \$0-\$249 \& 6 \& \& 1 \& 1 \& 1 \& 1 \& \& \& 1 \& \& 1 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
    \hline \$250-\$499. \& 49 \& \& 8 \& 6 \& 10 \& 6 \& 3 \& 4 \& 4 \& 1 \& 1 \& 6 \& 1 \& \& 1 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
    \hline \$500-\$749 \& 218 \& 1 \& 20 \& 38 \& 48 \& 27 \& 25 \& 15 \& 16 \& 7 \& 11 \& 10 \& 6 \& \& \& 1 \& \& \& \& 1 \& 3 \& 1 \& \& <br>
    \hline \$750-\$999 \& 323 \& \& 26 \& 61 \& 59 \& 59 \& 36 \& 27 \& 24 \& 13 \& 10 \& 8 \& 10 \& ----- \& 1 \& \& 2 \& 1 \& 1 \& \& \& \& 1 \& 1 <br>
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249 \& 328 \& \& 22 \& 54 \& 50 \& 54 \& 57 \& 22 \& 26 \& 21 \& 13 \& 9 \& 17 \& \& \& 1 \& 1 \& 4 \& 2 \& 3 \& 2 \& \& 1 \& 3 <br>
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499 $\ldots \ldots$ \& 280 \& \& 13 \& 42 \& 50 \& 53 \& 37 \& 27 \& 23 \& 16 \& 10 \& 9 \& 24 \& -..-- \& 1 \& 2 \& 2 \& 4 \& 2 \& 2 \& 3 \& 2 \& 3 \& 3 <br>
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749. \& 398 \& \& 8 \& 61 \& 64 \& 76 \& 63 \& 53 \& 28 \& 22 \& 17 \& 6 \& 12 \& -...- \& 1 \& 1 \& 1 \& ---- \& 1 \& 2 \& 1 \& \& 3 \& 2 <br>
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 \& 389 \& \& 2 \& 37 \& 76 \& 74 \& 76 \& 48 \& 35 \& 16 \& 13 \& 12 \& 22 \& \& - \& 1 \& 2 \& 2 \& 4 \& \& 1 \& 9 \& \& ${ }^{3}$ <br>
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249 $\ldots \ldots$ \& 301 \& \& 1 \& 21 \& 41 \& 62 \& 61 \& 51 \& 21 \& 22 \& 15 \& 6 \& 16 \& \& ----- \& 1 \& 1 \& 3 \& 1 \& 2 \& 2 \& 2 \& 1 \& 3 <br>
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499 \& 295 \& \& 1 \& 19 \& 38 \& 57 \& 55 \& 44 \& 35 \& 23 \& 11 \& 12 \& 5 \& \& \& \& 2 \& 1 \& \& \& 1 \& 1 \& -- \& 7 <br>
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 \& 408 \& \& 1 \& 13 \& 45
    44 \& 97 \& 102 \& 87 \& 81 \& 34
    57 \& 23 \& 12 \& 21 \& \& 1 \& $\frac{1}{3}$ \& 1 \& 2 \& 2 \& 6 \& 5 \& 2 \& $\stackrel{2}{5}$ \& 7 <br>
    \hline \$ $\$ 4,0000-\$ 4,999$ \& 528 \& \& 2 \& 10 \& 13 \& 30 \& 37 \& 45 \& 33 \& 20 \& 18 \& 7 \& 10 \& \& \& 3 \& 1 \& \& \& 1 \& 1 \& 3 \& 1 \& 4 <br>
    \hline \$5,000 and over \& 242 \& \& \& 2 \& 12 \& 33 \& 42 \& 44 \& 41 \& 29 \& 26 \& 13 \& 6 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& 1 \& 2 \& 1 \& 2 <br>
    \hline \& \multicolumn{24}{|c|}{A verage earnings of husbands ${ }^{1}$} <br>
    \hline All nonrelief families. \& \$1,986 \& (*) \& \$915 \& \$1,280 \& \$1,654 \& \$2,005 \& \$2,124 \& \$2,317 \& \$2,310 \& \$2,428 \& \$2,139 \& \$1,837 \& \$252 \& - \& \$297 \& \$16 \& \$156 \& \$247 \& \$210 \& \$275 \& \$316 \& \$202 \& \$230 \& \$295 <br>
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    ${ }^{1}$ Averages for each age group are based on the corresponding numbers of husbands in the upper section of the table; the 2 averages for all age groups combined are based on the corresponding total numbers of husbands.

    * Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    COLUMBIA, S. C.
    Table 9.-Wives as earners: Number and average yearly earnings of wives classified as principal or supplementary earners, by age and family income, 1935-36
    [White families inclufing husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    


    columbia, s. C.
    Table 10.-Money income other than earnings: Number of families receiving money income other than earnings, and average amount received, by source and total income, 1935-96 ${ }^{\text {I }}$.
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]

    | Income class | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of fami- } \\ & \text { lies } \end{aligned}$ | Number of families receiving money income other than earnings from- |  |  |  |  | Average money income, other than earnings, received from ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  |  | Any source | Rent from property (net) | Interest and dividends <br> (5) | Pensions, annuities, benefits benefts | Gifts for current use | $\underset{\text { sources }}{\text { All }}$ | Rent from property (net) <br> (9) | Interest and dividends | Pensions, annuitios, benefits <br> (11) | Gifts for current use |  |
    | Allfamilies.. | 4,715 | 943 | 353 | 206 | 163 | 127 | \$119 | \$40 | \$24 | \$19 | \$6 | \$30 |
    | Relief families.-... Nonrelief families. | $\begin{array}{r} 392 \\ 4,323 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 73 \\ 870 \end{array}$ | 10 343 | 206 | 13 150 | $\begin{array}{r} 14 \\ 113 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}39 \\ 126 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 5 43 | 26 | $2{ }^{7}$ | 3 <br> 6 | 24 31 |
    | \$0-\$249 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |  | 7 | 3 |
    | \$250-\$499 | 58 | 11 | 2 |  |  | 7 | 33 | 9 |  | 17 | 7 |  |
    | \$500-8749 | 243 | 24 | 5 | 1 | ${ }^{7}$ | 8 | 20 | 2 | (**) | 12 | 5 | 1 |
    | \$750-\$999 | 354 | 38 | ${ }^{6}$ |  | 13 | 8 | 25 | 9 |  | 14 | 1 | 7 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 374 <br> 317 | 54 51 | 18 | 4 10 | 12 13 1 | $\begin{array}{r}15 \\ 8 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 44 65 | $\begin{array}{r}9 \\ 14 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ | ${ }_{22}^{18}$ | 8 5 | ${ }_{21}^{8}$ |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 429 | ${ }_{67}$ | ${ }_{21}^{18}$ | 7 | 15 | 8 | ${ }_{54}^{65}$ | 13 | 4 | 19 | 1 | 17 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 420 | 61 | 22 | 14 | 9 | 11 | 44 | 12 | 5 | 8 | 3 | 16 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,249-- | ${ }_{309}^{324}$ | 57 54 | $\stackrel{21}{27}$ | 10 10 | 6 4 4 | $\begin{array}{r}8 \\ 4 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 65 67 | 18 23 | 3 8 8 | 16 9 | (**) ${ }^{3}$ | $\stackrel{25}{27}$ |
    | \$2,250-\$2,499-- | 309 445 | 54 111 | 27 50 | 10 28 | $\begin{array}{r}4 \\ 18 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | ${ }_{11}^{4}$ | 67 111 | $\begin{array}{r}23 \\ 42 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | -888888 | 9 ${ }^{9} 8$ | ${ }^{* * *}{ }_{6}$ | 27 |
    | \$3,000-\$3,999 | 564 | 157 | 54 | 45 | 28 | 11 | 162 | ${ }_{93}^{39}$ | ${ }^{26}$ | 35 | ${ }^{6}$ | 56 |
    | \$4,000-\$4,999 | ${ }_{256}^{223}$ | 64 119 | 35 64 | 20 57 | 14 | 4 9 | 214 853 | 93 349 | 33 294 | 12 60 | $\stackrel{21}{21}$ | 55 129 |

    : See glossary for definition of "money income other than earnings."
    ${ }^{2}$ Averages are based on all families, column (2), whether or nct they received money income other than earnings
    3 Includes money income other than earnings from sources other than those specified, including profits from business enterprises partially or wholly owned but not operated by family members. See glossary for further definition of "profits."
    **\$0.50 or less.

    COLUMBIA, s. C.
    Table 11.-Nonmoney income from owned homes: Number of families owning homes with and without mortgages; average rental value, average expense, and average nonmoney income from home ownership; by income, 1935-36
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]

    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Income class

    (1)} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Number of families} \& \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Homes free from mortgage} \& \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{Mortgaged homes} <br>

    \hline \& All \& \multirow{2}{*}{Owning} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Families owning homes free from mortgage} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{| Average rental value ${ }^{2}$ |
    | :--- |
    | (6) |} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{| Average expense ${ }^{3}$ |
    | :--- |
    | (7) |} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{| Average nonmoney income |
    | :--- |
    | (8) |} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Families owning mortgaged homes} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{| A verage rental value ${ }^{2}$ |
    | :--- |
    | (11) |} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Average expense ${ }^{3}$} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{| A verage nonmoney |
    | :--- |
    | (14) |} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Interest as percentage value} <br>


    \hline \& (2) \& \& | Number |
    | :--- |
    | (4) | \& | Percentage ${ }^{5}$ |
    | :--- |
    | (5) | \& \& \& \& | Number |
    | :--- |
    | (9) | \& | Percentage ${ }^{5}$ |
    | :--- |
    | (10) | \& \& | Interest |
    | :--- |
    | (12) | \& | Other |
    | :--- |
    | (13) | \& \& <br>

    \hline All families \& 4,715 \& 1,583 \& 771 \& 49 \& \$560 \& \$135 \& \$425 \& 812 \& 51 \& \$549 \& \$181 \& \$134 \& \$234 \& 33 <br>
    \hline Relief families Nonrelief families. \& 392
    4,323 \& - $\begin{array}{r}62 \\ 1,521\end{array}$ \& 23

    748 \& $$
    \begin{aligned}
    & 37 \\
    & 49
    \end{aligned}
    $$ \& \[

    $$
    \begin{aligned}
    & 387 \\
    & 566
    \end{aligned}
    $$
    \] \& 106

    1.36 \& 281
    430 \& $\begin{array}{r}39 \\ 773 \\ \hline\end{array}$ \& 63
    51 \& 398
    556 \& 135
    183 \& 108
    135 \& 155
    238 \& 34
    33 <br>

    \hline $$
    \$ 0-\$ 249
    $$ \& 7 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>

    \hline \$500-\$849 \& $\begin{array}{r}58 \\ 243 \\ \hline\end{array}$ \& 11 \& ${ }_{6}^{2}$ \& (t) \& ${ }^{(*)}{ }_{359}$ \& ${ }^{(*)} 101$ \& ${ }^{*}{ }^{*}{ }_{258}$ \& 5 \& (t) \& (*) ${ }_{240}$ \& (*) 50 \& ${ }^{*}{ }^{\text {\% }}$ \& ${ }^{*}{ }^{\text {a }} 13$ \& ${ }^{(\dagger)}$ <br>
    \hline \$750-\$999.. \& 354 \& 24 \& 20 \& ( $\dagger$ ) \& 307 \& 92 \& 215 \& 4 \& ( $\dagger$ ) \& 330 \& 154 \& 97 \& 79 \& 47 <br>
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249 \& 374 \& 52 \& 33 \& 64 \& 346 \& 98 \& 248 \& 19 \& 36 \& 388 \& 95 \& 106 \& 187 \& 24 <br>
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499 \& 317 \& 73 \& 35 \& 48 \& 391 \& 106 \& 285 \& 38 \& 52 \& 368 \& 132 \& 101 \& 135 \& 36 <br>
    \hline \$1,500- 11,749 \& 429 \& 100 \& 45 \& 45. \& 444 \& 116 \& 328 \& 55 \& 55 \& 390 \& 146 \& 106 \& 138 \& 37 <br>
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 \& 420 \& 125 \& 56 \& 45 \& 468 \& 120 \& 348 \& 69 \& 55 \& 425 \& 147 \& 111 \& 167 \& 34 <br>
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249- \& 324

    309 \& | 132 |
    | :--- |
    | 145 |
    | 1 | \& $\stackrel{56}{68}$ \& 42

    47 \& 458

    516 \& 118 \& | 340 |
    | :--- |
    | 388 | \& 76

    77

    7 \& | 58 |
    | :--- |
    | 53 | \& 451

    525 \& 141
    181
    181 \& 1182
    132 \& ${ }_{212}^{192}$ \& 31
    34 <br>
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499 \& 309

    445 \& | 145 |
    | :--- |
    | 238 | \& $\begin{array}{r}68 \\ 117 \\ \hline\end{array}$ \& 47

    49 \& 516
    515 \& 128 \& 388

    387 \& $\begin{array}{r}77 \\ 121 \\ \hline\end{array}$ \& | 53 |
    | :--- |
    | 51 | \& 525 \& 181

    171 \& | 132 |
    | :--- |
    | 130 | \& 212

    226 \& 34
    32
    3 <br>
    \hline \$3,000-\$8,999 \& 564 \& 279 \& 133 \& 48 \& 605 \& 143 \& 462 \& 146 \& 52 \& 615 \& 202 \& 145 \& 268 \& 33 <br>
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,999.... \& 223 \& 143 \& 74 \& 52 \& ${ }_{601}^{661}$ \& 153 \& 508 \& 69 \& 48 \& 703 \& ${ }^{216}$ \& 161 \& 326 \& ${ }_{31}$ <br>
    \hline \$5,C00 and over \& 250 \& 196 \& 103 \& 53 \& 902 \& 194 \& 708 \& 93 \& 47 \& 844 \& 278 \& 184 \& 382 \& 33 <br>
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    ${ }^{1}$ Includes all families occupying owned homes at any time during the report year.
    ${ }^{2}$ Based on estimate made by home owner for period of ownership and occupancy during report year. This period averages, in general, approximately 12 months.
    ${ }_{3}$ Expense for period of ownership and occupancy during report year. Expense other than interest columns (7) and (13) estimated on basis of average relationship between rental value and expense.

    Based on number of families owning homes, column (3).
    $\dagger$ Percentages not computed for fewer than 30 cases.

    * Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    COLUMBIA, S. C.
    Table 12.-Monthly rental value: Number of home-owning families having homes with specified monthly rental value, by income, $1935-36{ }^{1}$

    |  | Number of home- | Homefam | wning lies | Average monthly | Number of home-owning families reporting monthly rental value of- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | (1) | renting families <br> (2) | Number <br> (3) | Percentage ${ }^{3}$ <br> (4) | of owned homes: <br> (5) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Under } \\ \$ 5 \\ (6) \end{gathered}$ | \$5-\$9 <br> (7) | \$10-\$14 <br> (8) | \$15-\$19 <br> (9) | $\$ 20-\$ 24$ <br> (10) | \$25-\$29 <br> (11) | $\$ 30-\$ 34$ <br> (12) | $\$ 35-\$ 39$ <br> (13) | $\$ 40-\$ 44$ <br> (14) | \$45-\$54 <br> (15) | \$55-\$74 <br> (16) | $\$ 75$ and over <br> (17) |
    | All families. | 4,602 | 1,574 | 34 | \$46. 70 |  |  | 15 | 44 | 37 | 114 | 134 | 207 | 250 | 396 | 187 | 190 |
    | Relief families Nonrelief families. | $\begin{array}{r} 375 \\ 4,227 \end{array}$ | 1. $\begin{array}{r}62 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 16 36 | 32.80 47.20 | $\cdots$ |  | 2 13 | 77 | 4 | 15 | 6 128 | 9 198 | 245 | 9 387 | + 3 | 2 188 |
    | \$0-\$249 | 6 |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$250-\$499 | 53 | 3 | (H) 6 | 18.30 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$500-\$749. | 233 | 11 | 5 | 25.90 |  |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 3 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |
    | \$750-\$999. | 344 | 24 | 7 | 25.90 |  |  | 2 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 361 | 52 | 14 | 30.40 |  |  | 1 | 11 | 3 | 9 | 9 | 7 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 1 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499. | 311 | 70 | 22 | 33. 20 |  |  |  | 6 | 4 | 16 | 12 | 13 | 10 | 3 | 4 | 2 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 424 | 100 | 24 | 34. 80 | ----- |  | 3 | 7 | 9 | 12 | 10 | 21 | 17 | 15 | 3 | 3 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 406 | 124 | 30 | 37.50 |  |  | 2 | 3 | 1 | 17 | 20 | 30 | 19 | 23 | 5 | 4 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,249 | 319 | 131 | 41 | 38. 60 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 17 | 18 | 34 | 21 | 27 | ${ }^{6}$ | 4 |
    | \$2,250-\$2,499 | 303 | 145 | 48 | 43.50 |  |  | 1 |  | 4 | 10 | 13 | 23 | 24 | 51 | 13 | 6 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 440 | 236 | 54 | 44. 00 |  |  | - | 1 | $\stackrel{2}{2}$ | 8 <br> 3 | 15 | 30 | $\stackrel{69}{51}$ | 77 | 26 | $\stackrel{8}{8}$ |
    | \$3,000-\$3,999 | 556 | 278 | 50 | 51.20 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 3 | 20 | 22 | 51 | 97 49 | 48 | ${ }_{31}^{35}$ |
    | \$4,000-\$4,999 | 217 254 | 143 195 | 66 <br> 77 | 57. 20 73.30 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 9 5 | 21 8 | 49 37 | 30 47 | 31 94 |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

    I Includes oniy those families that did not change living quarters between the end of the report year and the date of interview. Families are classified as home-owning families or as renting families according to their status at the date of interview.

    Based on estimate made by home owner for period of ownership and occupancy during report year. A verages are based on the number of home-owning families, column 3 ${ }^{2}$ Based on the number of home-owning and renting families, column (2).
    $\ddagger$ Percentages not computed for fewer than 30 cases.

    Table 13.-Monthly rent: Number of renting families reporting specified monthly rent, by income, 1935-36 ${ }^{1}$
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]

    |  | Number of home- | Renting | families |  |  |  |  | Jumbe | of rent | ng fam | ies re | rting | onthl | rent of |  |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | Income class | $\begin{aligned} & \text { and } \\ & \text { renting } \\ & \text { families } \end{aligned}$ | Number | Percent- <br> age | rent ${ }^{2}$ | Under | \$5-\$9 | $\underset{\$ 14}{\$ 10-}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 15- \\ & \$ 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\$ 220}{\$ 20-}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 25- \\ & \$ 29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 30- \\ & \$ 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 35- \\ \$ 39 \end{gathered}$ | ${ }_{\$ 44}^{\$ 40-}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 45- \\ & \$ 54 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\$ 74}{\$ 55-}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 75 \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { aver } \end{aligned}$ | Rent free ${ }^{4}$ |
    | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) | (17) | (18) |
    | All families | 4,602 | 3,028 | 66 | \$24. 50 | 144 | 341 | 386 | 331 | 354 | 381 | 267 | 294 | 206 | 195 | 93 | 30 | 6 |
    | Relief families Nonrelief families | $\begin{array}{r} 375 \\ 4,227 \end{array}$ | 313 2,715 | 84 64 | 15.40 25.60 | 14 130 | 80 261 | $\begin{array}{r} 86 \\ 300 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}38 \\ 293 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 38 316 | $\begin{array}{r}26 \\ 355 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}9 \\ 258 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}8 \\ 286 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $20{ }^{5}$ | 5 190 | 3 90 | 30 | ${ }_{5}^{1}$ |
    | \$0-\$249 | 6 | 6 | (t) | 12.50 | 1 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$250-\$499 | 53 | 50 |  | 11.40 | 5 | 14 | 18 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
    | \$500-\$749 | ${ }_{344}^{233}$ | 222 320 | ${ }_{93}^{95}$ | 11. 00 | 33 34 | 87 59 | ${ }^{50}$ | $\stackrel{20}{57}$ | ${ }_{42}^{15}$ | 10 | 12 | ${ }_{2}^{2}$ | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |
    | \$750-\$999- | 344 | 320 309 | 93 86 | 13. 60 | $\begin{array}{r}34 \\ 24 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\stackrel{59}{33}$ | 92 59 | ${ }_{71} 57$ | $\stackrel{42}{53}$ | $\stackrel{20}{35}$ | 12 | ${ }_{12}^{2}$ | 4 |  |  |  |  |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 361 <br> 311 | 341 241 | 86 78 | 17.40 19.10 | 24 18 18 | 33 <br> 33 | 59 <br> 33 <br> 1 | 71 31 | 53 43 | 35 <br> 37 | 15 | 112 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 1 |  |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749. | 424 | 324 | 76 | 23. 90 | 10 | 17 | 17 | 47 | 62 | 77 | 45 | 21 | 17 | 6 | 3 |  | 2 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999. | 406 | 282 | 70 | 28. 80 | 4 | 9 | 9 | 26 | 44 | 54 | 35 | 57 | 21 | 15 | 5 | 3 |  |
    | \$2,000-\$2,249 | 319 | 188 | 59 | ${ }^{30 .} 40$ |  | 2 | ${ }_{6}^{6}$ | 16 | 22 | ${ }^{33}$ | ${ }^{31}$ | 46 | 11 | 17 | 4 |  |  |
    | \$2,250-\$2,499. | 303 | 158 | 52 | ${ }^{33.50}$ | 1 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 12 | 29 | 28 | 25 | 24 | 18 | 7 | 1 | ------- |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999- | 440 <br> 556 | 204 <br> 278 |  |  |  | 2 2 2 | 7 | 7 5 | 11 | 29 29 | 34 <br> 37 <br> 8 | $\stackrel{42}{55}$ | 27 60 | 33 | 10 35 | 4 |  |
    | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 3,000-\$, 999 \\ & \$ 4,000-\$ 4,999 . \end{aligned}$ | 556 <br> 217 | 278 74 | 50 34 34 | 40.80 |  | 2 | 1 | 5 | 5 2 2 | 24 1 1 | 27 8 | 55 6 | 60 18 | 60 24 | 35 10 | 4 | 1 |
    | \$5,000 and over- | 254 | 59 | 23 | 55.70 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 12 | 12 | 15 |  |
    | ${ }^{1}$ Includes only those families that did not change living quarters between the end of the report year and the date of interview. Families are classified as home-owning families or as renting families according to their status at the date of interview. <br> ${ }^{2}$ Rent reported at date of interview. Averages are based on the number of renting families in each class that reported monthly rent, including families receiving rent free, the amount of which was estimated by the family. <br> ${ }^{3}$ Based on the number of home-owning and renting families, column (2). <br> ${ }^{4}$ Consists of families receiving rent as gift. <br> $\dagger$ Percentages not computed for fewer than 30 cases. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

    ## COLUMBIA, s. C.

    Table 14A.-Average monthly rental value and average monthly rent: Number of home-owning and renting families, average monthly rental value, and average monthly rent, by occupation and income, 1935-36 ${ }^{1}$
    [White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]

    | Income class | Occupationsl group: Wage earner |  |  |  |  |  | Occupational group: Clerical |  |  |  |  |  | Occupational group: Business and professional |  |  |  |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | Number of families- |  | Percentage of bome-owning and renting families ${ }^{2}$ |  | A verage monthly - |  | Number of families-- |  | Percentage of home-owning and renting families ${ }^{2}$ |  | Average monthly- |  | Number of families- |  | Percentage of home-owning and renting families ${ }^{2}$ |  | A verage monthly- |  |
    |  | Home-owning <br> (2) | Renting <br> (3) | Home-owning <br> (4) | Rent- <br> ing <br> (5) | Rental value ${ }^{3}$ <br> (6) | Rent (7) | Home- <br> owning <br> (8) | Renting <br> (9) | Home-owning <br> (10) | Renting <br> (11) | Rental value ${ }^{3}$ <br> (12) | Rent ${ }^{4}$ <br> (13) | Home-owning <br> (14) | Renting <br> (15) | Home-owning (16) | Renting (17) | Rental value ${ }^{3}$ <br> (18) | Rent 4 (19) |
    | All nonrelief families ${ }^{\text {s... }}$ | 293 | 1,139 | 20 | 80 | \$35. 50 | \$15.90 | 431 | 778 | 36 | 64 | \$42.70 | \$29.90 | 761 | 782 | 49 | 51 | \$53.90 | \$35. 30 |
    | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 0-\$ 499 \\ & \$ 500-\$ 999 \end{aligned}$ | 12 | 36 401 | 3 3 | 97 97 | ${ }^{(*)} 23.10$ | 8.90 10.50 | 1 8 | 4 85 | ${ }^{(\dagger)} 9$ | ( ${ }^{\text {() }} 9$ | (*) 22. 30 | 18. 20 18.60 | 12 | 12 | ( ${ }^{\text {¢ }} 19$ | ${ }^{(\dagger)} 8$ | (*) ${ }^{28 .} 90$ | 13. 70 17.20 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,490 | 49 | 312 | 14 | 86 | 26.80 | 13.80 | 29 | 148 | 16 | 84 | 34. 80 | 23. 90 | 38 | 86 | 31 | 69 | 34. 20 | 23. 40 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,999 | 64 | 228 | 22 | 78 | 31.40 | 20.40 | 80 | 231 | 26 | 74 | 36.10 | 29.40 | 77 | 146 | 34 | 66 | 40. 80 | 30.00 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,999 | 111 | 125 | 47 | 53 | 38.70 | 26.60 | 184 | 210 | 47 | 53 | 41.80 | 34. 20 | 210 | 215 | 49 | 51 | 44. 20 | 35.60 |
    | \$3,000-\$4,999 | 52 | 36 | 59 | 41 | 44.00 | 34.20 | 114 | 91 | 56 | 44 | 50.60 | 40.70 | 250 | 225 | 53 | 47 | 56. 30 | 43. 50 |
    | \$5,000 and over.........- | 4 | 1 | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | 50.00 | (*) | 15 | 9 | ( $\dagger$ | ( ${ }^{\text {) }}$ | 56.30 | 45. 70 | 173 | 48 | 78 | 22 | 74.30 | 57.90 |

    ${ }^{1}$ Includes only those families that did not change living quarters between the end of the report year and the date of interview. Families are classified as home-owning families or as renting families according to their status at the date of interview.
    ${ }_{3}^{2}$ Based on the number of home-owning and renting families in the respective occupational group. report year.
    ${ }^{4}$ Rent as reported at date of interview. Averages in this column are based on the number of families reporting monthly rent, including families receiving rent as gift, the amount of which is estimated by the family.
    group, 27 families were owning families. That group, other, 43 did not change $\$ 59.60$ The remaining 16 families were renting families. Their average monthly rent was $\$ 26.70$.
    $\dagger$ Percentages not computed for fewer than 30 cases.
    *A verages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    ## COLUMBIA, S. C.

    Table 15-16.-Type of living quarters: Number and percentage of owning and renting families occupying specified types of living quarters, by tenure and income, $1935-36^{1}$
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    

    COLUMBIA, s. C
    TAbLE 17.-Members of household not in economic family: Number of families having persons in the household who were not members of the economic family, and average number of such nonfamily members, by income, 1935-36
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]

    | Income class | Number of families | Number of families having in the household nonfamily members of specified type ${ }^{1}$ - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Average number of nonfamily members of specified type ${ }^{2}$ (based on families having such members)- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  |  | Any nonfamily member | Occupying rooms on nontransientbasis |  |  |  | Boarders without room | Tourists and transients(9) | Guests(10) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { non- } \\ & \text { family } \\ & \text { mem- } \\ & \text { bers } \end{aligned}$ | Occupying rooms on nontransient basis |  |  |  | Boarders without room <br> (16) | Tourists and transients | Guests <br> (18) |
    |  |  |  | Sons and daughters rooming and boarding <br> (4) | Other room. ers with board | Roomers without board <br> (6) | Paid help <br> (7) |  |  |  |  | Sons and daughters rooming and boarding <br> (12) | Other roomers with board (13) | Roomers without board <br> (14) | Paid help <br> (15) |  |  |  |
    | All families. | 4,715 | 1,699 | 45 | 316 | 484 | 165 | 20 | 5 | 992 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 1.8 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
    | Relief families Nonrelief families | $\begin{array}{r} 392 \\ 4,323 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 101 \\ 1,598 \end{array}$ | $4{ }_{4}^{4}$ | 15 301 | 46 438 | 5 160 | 19 19 | 1 4 | 49 943 | 1.2 | .9 1.0 | 1.8 1.5 | 1.8 1.6 | . 8 | ${ }^{(*)} 1.8$ | ${ }_{(*)}{ }^{* *}$ ) | . 1 |
    | \$0-\$249 | 7 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  | (*) |  | (*) | (*) |  |  |  |  |
    | \$250-\$499 | 58 | 20 |  | 2 | 8 |  |  |  | 14 | . 5 |  | (*) | . 7 |  |  |  | . 1 |
    | \$500-8749 | 243 | 72 | 1 | 15 | 23 | 3 | 2 |  | 35 | . 8 | (*) | 1.3 | 1.3 | . 6 | (*) |  | .2 |
    | \$750-\$999 | 354 | 111 | 3 | 28 | 40 | 3 | 3 |  | 56 | . 9 | 1.0 | 1. 1 | 1.5 | . 6 | ${ }^{4} 3$ |  | . 1 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 374 | 117 | 4 | 25 | 33 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 61 | . 9 | 1. 2 | 1.5 | 1.4 | . 7 | (*) | (*) | . 2 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 317 | 106 | 6 | 22 | 36 | 6 | 1 |  | 52 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 1.1 | (*) |  | . 1 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 429 | 149 | 1 | 28 | 44 | 12 | 1 |  | 84 | . 9 | (*) | 1. 0 | 1.7 | . 8 | (*) |  | . 2 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 420 | 145 | 2 | 28 | 39 | 7 | 1 |  | 88 | . 8 | ${ }^{*}$ ) | 1.5 | 1.6 | . 6 | (*) |  | . 1 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,249 | 324 | 127 | 3 | 23 | 34 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 78 | . 8 | . 8 | 1. 4 | 1.5 | . 8 | (*) | (*) | . 2 |
    | \$2,250-\$2,499. | 309 | 131 | 7 | 25 | 42 | 18 | 2 | 1 | 72 | 1. 0 | 1. 0 | 1.4 | 1.4 | . 8 | (*) | (*) | . 2 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999. | 445 | 172 | 6 | 28 | 42 | 21 | 2 |  | 109 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 2.0 | 1.6 | . 9 | (*) |  | . 1 |
    | \$3,000-\$3,999. | 564 | 240 | 4 | 42 | 64 | 32 | 2 |  | 152 | 1. 1 | ${ }^{*} 1.2$ | 2.2 | 1.6 | . 9 | ${ }^{*}$ ) |  | . 2 |
    | \$4,000-\$4,999. | 223 | 86 | 2 | 22 | 20 | 14 | 2 | 1 | 52 | 1. 4 | ${ }_{(*)}{ }^{*}$ ) | 1. 8 | 2.2 | . 9 | (*) | (*) | . 2 |
    | \$5,000 and over- | 256 | 120 | 2 | 12 | 12 | 31 |  |  | 90 | . 7 | (*) | 1.8 | 1. 3 | 1.1 |  |  | . 2 |

    ${ }^{1}$ Excludes a small number of families which had nonfamily members in the household but which did not report the duration of their membership. See glossary for definition 3 Averages in each column are based on the corresponding counts of families, in columns (3) through (10). The number of nonfamily members is expressed in terms of year-equiv alent persons. This figure is computed for each family by dividing by 52 the total number of weeks of residence in the household for all nonmembers of the economic family.

    Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
    ${ }^{* *} 0.05$ or less.

    COLUMBIA, S. C.
    Table 18.-Age of husbands and wives: Number of husbands and number of wives, by age and family income, 1995-96
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    
    ${ }^{1}$ Excludes 3 wives who did not report age.
    $\ddagger 0.05$ percent or less.

    COLUMBIA, S. C.
    Table 19.-Report year: Number and percentage distribution of families by date of end of report year, by occupation, 1935-36
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]
    
    $\ddagger 0.5$ percent or less.

    ## COLUMBIA, S. C.

    Table 1.-Family type: Number of families of specified types and average number of persons per family, by income, 1935-36
    [Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups combined]

    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{4}{*}{Income class

    (1)} \& \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{Number of families of type ${ }^{1-}$} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{A verage number of persons per family ${ }^{2}$} <br>

    \hline \& \multirow{2}{*}{All} \& \multirow{2}{*}{I} \& \multirow{2}{*}{II} \& \multirow{2}{*}{III} \& \multirow{2}{*}{IV} \& \multirow{2}{*}{v} \& \multirow{2}{*}{VI} \& \multirow{2}{*}{VII} \& \multirow{2}{*}{VIII} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Other} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{$$
    \begin{array}{|c|c|}
    \text { All } \\
    \text { mem } \\
    \text { bers }
    \end{array}
    $$} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Other than husband and wife} <br>

    \hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& $$
    \begin{aligned}
    & \text { Un- } \\
    & \text { der } \\
    & 16
    \end{aligned}
    $$ \& and over <br>

    \hline \& (2) \& (3) \& (4) \& (5) \& (6) \& (7) \& (8) \& (9) \& (10) \& (11) \& (12) \& (13) \& (14) <br>
    \hline All families.. \& 2, 294 \& 615 \& 376 \& 189 \& 302 \& 227 \& 174 \& 178 \& 44 \& 99 \& 4.0 \& 1.4 \& 0.6 <br>

    \hline Relief families. Nonrelief families..- \& $$
    \begin{array}{r}
    457 \\
    1,837
    \end{array}
    $$ \& \[

    $$
    \begin{array}{r}
    87 \\
    528
    \end{array}
    $$

    \] \& \[

    $$
    \begin{array}{r}
    71 \\
    305
    \end{array}
    $$

    \] \& \[

    $$
    \begin{array}{r}
    42 \\
    147
    \end{array}
    $$

    \] \& \[

    $$
    \begin{array}{r}
    77 \\
    315
    \end{array}
    $$

    \] \& \[

    $$
    \begin{array}{r}
    50 \\
    177
    \end{array}
    $$

    \] \& \[

    $$
    \begin{array}{r}
    36 \\
    138
    \end{array}
    $$

    \] \& \[

    $$
    \begin{array}{r}
    55 \\
    123
    \end{array}
    $$
    \] \& 4

    40 \& $$
    \begin{aligned}
    & 35 \\
    & 64
    \end{aligned}
    $$ \& 4.5

    3.9 \& 1.7
    1.3 \& . 8 <br>
    \hline \$0-\$249 \& 91 \& 33 \& \& \& 13 \& 5 \& 6 \& 7 \& 1 \& \& 3.4 \& 1.0 \& . 4 <br>
    \hline \$250-\$499 \& 473 \& 168 \& 98 \& 53 \& 62 \& 35 \& 24 \& 22 \& 6 \& 5 \& 3.4 \& 1.1 \& . 4 <br>
    \hline \$500-\$749. \& 654 \& 205 \& 104 \& 54 \& 101 \& 57 \& 68 \& 36 \& 5 \& 24 \& 3.8 \& 1.3 \& . 5 <br>
    \hline \$750-\$999 \& 307 \& 69 \& 45 \& 17 \& 63 \& 35 \& 25 \& 32 \& 7 \& 14 \& 4.2 \& 1.5 \& . 8 <br>
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249 \& 130 \& 28 \& 17 \& 7 \& 35 \& 14 \& 6 \& 9 \& 5 \& 9 \& 4.2 \& 1.2 \& 1.0 <br>
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499 \& 64 \& 8 \& 11 \& 2 \& 19 \& 5 \& 1 \& 4 \& 9 \& 5 \& 4. 6 \& 1.2 \& 1.4 <br>
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749- \& 41 \& 10 \& ${ }_{6}^{6}$ \& \& ${ }_{6}^{6}$ \& 8 \& ${ }_{3}$ \& 3 \& $\stackrel{2}{2}$ \& 3 \& 4.4 \& 1.3 \& 1.1 <br>
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999- \& $\stackrel{27}{15}$ \& 1 \& 4 \& 3 \& 3
    3
    3 \& 6
    4 \& 3 \& 4 \& 2 \& 1 \& 4.9 \& 1.7 \& 1.2 <br>
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249 \& 15 \& 3 \& 2 \& \& 3 \& 4 \& 1 \& 1 \& \& 1 \& 4.4 \& 1.4 \& 1.0 <br>
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499 \& 16
    10 \& 1 \& 1 \& 1 \& $\stackrel{1}{3}$ \& 5
    2 \& 1 \& $\stackrel{2}{2}$ \& ${ }_{1}^{2}$ \& 1 \& 5.2
    4.7 \& 1.7
    1.3 \& 1.5
    1.4 <br>
    \hline \$ $8,000-\$ 3,499$ \& 3 \& \& \& \& 3 \& \& \& \& \& \& 3.3 \& \& 1.4 <br>
    \hline \$3,500-\$3,999 \& \& \& \& \& 1 \& \& \& \& \& \& (*) \& \& (*) <br>

    \hline $$
    \begin{aligned}
    & \$ 4,000-\$ 4,499 \\
    & \$ 4.500-\$ 4,999
    \end{aligned}
    $$ \& 1 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& 1 \& \& \& <br>

    \hline  \& 1 \& \& \& \& 2 \& \& \& \& \& 1 \& (*) \& (*) \& (*) <br>
    \hline \$7,500-89,999 $\ldots$...- \& 1 \& \& \& \& \& 1 \& \& \& \& \& (*) \& (*) \& (*) <br>
    \hline \$10,000 and over ${ }^{3}$ \& 1 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& 1 \& \& \& (*) \& (*) \& (*) <br>
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    ${ }^{1}$ Family type:
    I. 2 persons. Husband and wife only.
    II. 3 persons. Husband, wife, 1 child under 16 and no others.
    III. 4 persons. Husband, wife, 2 children under 16 and no others.
    IV. 3 or 4 persons. Husband, wife, 1 person 16 or over, and 1 or no other person regardless of age.
    V. 5 or 6 persons. Husband, wife, 1 child under 16, 1 person 16 or over, and 1 or 2 other persons regardless of age.
    VI. 5 or 6 persons. Husband, wife, 3 or 4 children under 16 and no others.
    VII. 7 or 8 persons. Husband, wife, 1 child under 16, 4 or 5 other persons regardless of age.
    VIII. 5 or 6 persons. Husband, wife, 3 or 4 persons 16 or over.

    Other. 7 or more persons. All types not included in I through VIII
    ${ }^{2}$ These are year-equivalent persons. The sum of columns (13) and (14) plus 2 (husband and wife) does not always equal column (12). For the methods used in deriving these averages, see glossary.
    ${ }^{8}$ Largest income reported between $\$ 10,000$ and $\$ 15,000$.
    *Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    COLUMBIA, S. C.
    Table 1A.-Family type: Number of families of specified types and average number of persons per family, by occupation and income, 1935-36
    [Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
    

    See footnotes at end of table.

    COLUMBIA, S. C.
    Table 1A.-Family type: Number of families of specified types and average number of persons.per family, by occupation and income, 1985-96-Continued
    [Negro nonrelief families, including husband and wife, both native born]
    

    ## COLUMBIA, S. C.

    Table 1A.-Family type: Number of families of specified types and average number of persons per family, by occupation and income, 1935-36-Continued
    [Negro nonrelief families, including husband and wife, both native born]

    | Income class and occupational group <br> (1) | Number of families of type ${ }^{1}$ - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Average numberof persons perfamily ${ }^{2}$ 2-r |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | All | I | - II | III | IV | v | VI | VII | VIII | Other | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { All } \\ \text { meem- } \\ \text { bers } \end{array}\right\|$ | Other than husband and wife |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Under 16 | $\begin{gathered} 16 \\ \text { and } \end{gathered}$ |
    |  | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) |
    | Salaried professional <br> All nonrelief families. $\qquad$ | 66 | 13 | 14 | 3 | 11 | 11 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 4.2 | 1.4 | . 8 |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$0-\$249 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (*) |  |  |
    | \$250-\$499 | 12 | ${ }_{4}^{2}$ | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 3.2 <br> 3.5 |  |  |
    | \$750-\$999 | 14 | 1 |  |  | 5 | 3 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 4.1 | 1.2 | 9 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 11 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 4.5 | 1.8 | 7 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 9 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 | 1 | 4.4 | 1.1 | 1.3 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5 |  | 1 |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 4.8 | 2.2 | . 6 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 7 |  | 2 |  |  | 3 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 4.9 | 1.6 | 1.3 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,249- | 3 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 4.0 | 1.3 | . 7 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$3,000- 83,499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$3,500-\$3,999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$4,000-\$4,499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$4,500-\$4,999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$5,000-\$7,499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$7,500-\$9,999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$10,000 and over....- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | Other ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | All nonrelief fami-lies.- | 12 | 9 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2.5 | .3 | 2 |
    | \$0-\$249 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$250-\$499 | 3 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.1 | 1 |  |
    | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 500-\$ 749 \\ & \$ 750-\$ 999 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{1}^{2}$ | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | (*) | ${ }^{*}$ ) | (*) |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249-.......... | 2 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | (*) | ${ }^{*}{ }^{-}$ | (\%) |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499......... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 ........ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$2,000-\$2,249 $\ldots \ldots$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$2,250-\$2,499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$ $\$ 3,500-\$ 3,999$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$4,000-\$4,499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$4,500-\$4,999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$5,000-\$7,499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$7,500-\$9,999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$10,000 and over....- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

    For footnotes 1 and 2, see table 1 on p. 270
    ${ }^{3}$ Largest income reported between $\$ 10,000$ and $\$ 15,000$.
    4 This group contains 4 families engaged in farming, a group too small to be separately classiffed, and families having no gainfully employed members.
    *Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    ## COLUMBIA, S. C.

    Table 2.-Sources of family income: Number of families receiving income from specified sources, and average amount of such income, by income, 1935-96
    [Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All oceupational groups and all family types combined]

    | Income class | Number of families <br> (2) | Number of families receiving- |  |  |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  |  | Money income from- |  | Nonmoney income from- |  |  |
    |  |  | Earnings ${ }^{1}$ <br> (3) | Other sources (positive or negative) ${ }^{2}$ <br> (4) | - Any source ${ }^{3}$ <br> (5) | Owned home (positive or negative) ${ }^{4}$ <br> (6) | Rent as pay <br> (7) |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  | 2,294 | 2, 284 | 224 | 483 | 448 | 35 |
    | Relief families. Nonrelief families | 457 | 455 | 41 | 50 | 46 | 4 |
    |  | 1,837 | 1,829 | 183 | 433 | 402 | 31 |
    | \$0-\$249 | 91 | 89 | 11 | 8 | 6 | 2 |
    | \$250-\$499 | 473 | 471 | 30 | 43 | 39 | 4 |
    | \$500-\$749 | 654 | 652 | 56 | 88 | 82 | 6 |
    | \$750-\$999 | 307 | 307 | 33 | 103 | 99 | 4 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 130 | 128 | 17 | 60 | 53 | 7 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 64 | 64 | 11 | 40 | 38 | 2 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 41 | 41 | 10 | 30 | 27 | 3 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 27 | 27 | 6 | 19 | 18 | 1 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,249. | 15 | 15 | 2 | 11 | 11 | ----.-. |
    | \$2,250-\$2,499 | 16 | 16 | 2 | 12 | 11 | 1 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999. | 10 | 10 | 2 | 10 | 9 | 1 |
    | \$3,000-\$3,999. | 4 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 4 | -.-.---- |
    | \$4,000-\$4,999 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  |
    | \$5,000 and over | 4 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 4 | -- |

    I See glossary for definition of "earnings."
    2 Includes 221 families, 180 of which were nonrelief, which had money income other than earnings and no business losses met from family funds; 2 families, both of which were nonrelief, which had business losses met from family funds and no money income other than earnings, and 1 family which was nonrelief, which had both money income and business losses met from family funds. There were, therefore, 222 families, 181 of which were nonrelief, which had money income other than earnings, whether or not they had business losses met from family funds; and there were 3 families, all of which were nonrelief, which had business losses met from family funds, whether or not they had money income other than earnings. These latter 3 families were found in the following income classes: $\$ 250-\$ 499,1 ; \$ 2.250-\$ 2,499,1 ; \$ 2,500-\$ 2,999,1$. See glossary for definitions of "money income other than earnings" and "business losses."
    3 The total of the numbers of families in columns (6) and (7), since no family reported nonmoney income from both sources.
    ${ }^{4}$ Includes families with losses from owned homes, as well as families whose estimated rental value of owned homes for the period of ownership and occupancy exceeded estimated expenses allocable to that period. There were $3 \overline{3}$ families, 29 of which were nonrelief, with losses from owned homes (i. e., families whose estimated rental value was less than estimated expenses). The latter 29 families were found in the following income classes: $\$ 250-\$ 499 ; 6 ; \$ 500-\$ 749,6 ; \$ 750-\$ 999,7 ; \$ 1,000-\$ 1,249,4 ; \$ 1,250-\$ 1,499,2 ; \$ 1,500-$ $\$ 1,749,1 ; \$ 1,750-\$ 1,999,1 ; \$ 2,250-\$ 2,499,1 ; \$ 5,000$ and over, 1 . Excludes 2 families whose estimated rental value of owned homes was equal to estimated expenses.

    COLUMBIA, S. C.
    Table 2.-Sources of family income: Number of families receiving income from specified sources, and average amount of such income, by income, 1935-36 ${ }^{\text {¹. }}$ Continued
    [Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and family types combined]

    | Income class | Total | Average family income |  |  |  |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  |  | Money income from- |  |  | Nonmoney income from- |  |  |
    |  |  | All sources | Earnings ${ }^{2}$ | Other sources (positive or negative) ${ }^{3}$ | $\underset{\text { sources }}{\text { All }}$ | Owned home (positive or negative) ${ }^{4}$ | Rent as pay |
    |  | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
    | All families---.--------..-- | ${ }^{8} \$ 672$ | \$649 | \$632 | \$17 | \$23 | \$20 | \$3 |
    | Relief families Nonrelief families. | $\begin{array}{r} 386 \\ 6743 \end{array}$ | 379 | 368 | 11 | 7 | 6 | 1 |
    |  |  | 716 | 698 | 18 | 27 | 24 | 3 |
    | $\$ 0-\$ 249$ | 183 | 179 | 173 | 6 | 4 | 4 | (**) |
    | $\$ 250-\$ 499$ | 383 | 377 | 372 | 5 | 6 | 6 | (**) |
    | \$500-\$749 | 383 620 | 609 | 596 | 13 | 11 | 10 | 1 |
    | \$750-\$999 | 858 | 826 | 808 | 18 | 32 | 29 | 3 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1,117 | 1,058 | 1,002 | 56 | 59 | 52 | 7 |
    | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1,388 | 1,310 | 1, 270 | 40 | 78 | 72 | 6 |
    | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 1,591 | 1,459 | 1,392 | 67 | 132 | 110 | 22 |
    | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1,850 | 1,704 | 1,609 | 95 | 146 | 124 | 22 |
    | \$2,000-\$2,249 | 2,117 | 1,967 | 1,954 | 13 | 150 | 150 |  |
    | \$2,250-\$2,499. | 2,363 | 2,230 | 2,214 | 16 | 133 | 116 | 17 |
    | \$2,500-\$2,999. | 2, 728 | 2,499 | 2,499 | (**) | 229 | 194 | 35 |
    | \$3,000-\$3,999. | 3, 454 | 3,164 | 3,015 | 149 | 290 | 290 |  |
    | \$4,000-\$4,999 |  | ${ }^{*}$ * ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | ${ }^{*}$ ) |  | (*) | (*) |  |
    | \$5,000 and over. | 8,324 | 8,082 | 8,049 | 33 | 242 | 242 |  |

    COLUMBIA, S. C.
    Table 2A.-Sources of family income: Number of families receiving income from specified sources, and average amount of such income, by occupation and income, 1935-96
    [Negro nonrelief families including busband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]

    | Income class and occupational group <br> (1) | Number of families <br> (2) | Number of families receiving- |  |  |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  |  | Money income from- |  | Nonmoney income from- |  |  |
    |  |  | Earnings ${ }^{1}$ (3) | Other sources (positive or negative) <br> (4) | Any source ${ }^{3}$ <br> (5) | Owned home (positive or negative) ${ }^{4}$ <br> (6) | Rent as pay <br> (7) |
    | Wage earner <br> All nonrelief families | 1,602 | 1,602 | 152 | 297 | 278 | 19 |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | $\$ 80-\$ 499 \ldots-1$ | 5228761483939152 | $\begin{array}{r} 522 \\ 876 \\ 148 \\ 39 \\ 15 \\ 2 \end{array}$ | 35822222931 | $\begin{array}{r} 45 \\ 149 \\ 67 \\ 24 \\ 10 \\ 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 40 \\ 140 \\ 63 \\ 23 \\ 10 \\ 2 \end{array}$ | 5941 |
    | \$1,000-\$1,499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$1,500-\$1,999.. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$2,000-\$2,999 ...................... |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | $\$ 3,000-\$ 4,999$ <br> $\$ 5,000$ and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | Clerical | 41 | 41 | 4 | 30 | 30 | -------- |
    | All nonrelief families.-.-......... |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$0-\$499-- | ${ }^{6}$ | 13 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
    | \$500-\$999 | 13 | 13 | 1 | 10 | 10 | -- |
    |  | 8 | 8 |  | 7 | 7 |  |
    | \$1,500-\$1,999 | 3 | 3 |  | 2 | 2 | - |
    | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 2,000-\$ 2,999 \\ & \$ 3,000-\$ 4,999 \end{aligned}$ | 9 2 | 9 2 | 1 | 8 2 | 8 |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | Business and professional | 182 | 182 | 19 | 101 | 89 | 12 |
    | All nonrelief families............. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$0-\$499 | $\begin{array}{r} 29 \\ 69 \\ 36 \\ 26 \\ 17 \\ 1 \\ 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 29 \\ 69 \\ 36 \\ 26 \\ 17 \\ 1 \\ 4 \end{array}$ | 472 | 33025231514 | 2292020201314 |  |
    | \$1,000-\$1,499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$1,500-\$1,999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$2,000-\$2,999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | \$3,000-\$4,999..................... |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | All nonrelief families. | 12 | 4 | 8 | 5 | 5 |  |

    COLUMBIA, S. C.
    Table 2A.-Sources of family income: Number of families receiving income from specified sources, and average amount of such income, by occupation and income, 1935-36 ${ }^{1}$-Continued
    [Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]
    


    ## COLUMBIA, S. C.

    Table 3.-Money earnings: Number of families receiving net money earnings and average net money earnings received from each source, by income, 1935-86
    [Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined] •

    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Income class

    (1)} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Number of families} \& \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Number of families receiving net money earnings from-} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Average net money earnings from ${ }^{1-}$} <br>
    \hline \& \& Any source \& Individual earners \& ```
    Roomers
    and
    board-
    ers *

    ``` & Other work not attributable to individuals & \[
    \underset{\text { sources }}{\text { All }}
    \] & Individual earners & Roomers and boarders and other work \({ }^{3}\) \\
    \hline & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) \\
    \hline All families. & 2,294 & 2,284 & 2, 280 & 253 & 142 & \$632 & \$620 & \$12 \\
    \hline Relief families & 457 & 455 & 454 & 40 & 41 & 368 & 359 & 9 \\
    \hline Nonrelief families. & 1,837 & 1,829 & 1,826 & 213 & 101 & 698 & 685 & 13 \\
    \hline \$0-\$249 & 91 & 89 & 89 & 6 & 9 & 173 & 166 & 7 \\
    \hline \$250-\$499 & 473 & 471 & 469 & 52 & 25 & 372 & 363 & 9 \\
    \hline \$500-\$749 & 654 & 652 & 651 & 74 & 44 & 596 & 585 & 11 \\
    \hline \$750-\$999 & 307 & 307 & 307 & 41 & 14 & 808 & 793 & 15 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249. & 130 & 128 & 128 & 12 & 7 & 1,002 & 989 & 13 \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499. & 64 & 64 & 64 & 11 & 1 & 1,270 & 1,246 & 24 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749 & 41 & 41 & 41 & 6 & 1 & 1,392 & 1,365 & 27 \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & 27 & 27 & 27 & 4 & & 1,609 & 1, 600 & 9 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249 & 15 & 15 & 15 & 1 & & 1,954 & 1,937 & 17 \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499 & 16 & 16 & 16 & 3 & & 2,214 & 2, 202 & 12 \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 & 10 & 10 & 10 & 1 & & 2,499 & 2,477 & 22 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,999 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 2 & & 3,015 & 2,994 & 21 \\
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,999 & 1 & 1 & 1 & & & (*) & (*) & \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over & 4 & 4 & 4 & & & 8,049 & 8,049 & \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    \footnotetext{
    : The averages in each column are based on all families, column (2), whether or not they received money earnings from the specified source.
    \({ }^{8}\) Includes only families which had net money earnings from roomers and boarders (i. e., whose gross income from roomers and boarders exceeded estimated expense). In addition, there were a few families which had roomers and boarders but which received from them no net money earnings.
    \({ }_{3}\) Includes net money earnings from roomers and boarders and from other work not attributable to individuals (casual work in home such as laundry and sewing). Average net money earnings of all families from other work not attributable to individuals were \(\$ 4.00\).
    * Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
    }

    COLUMBIA, S. C.
    Table 3A.-Money earnings: Number of families receiving net money earnings and average net money earnings received from each source, by occupation and income, 1985-96
    [Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined] -
    

    \footnotetext{
    1 The averages in each column are based on allf amilies, column (2), whether or not they received money earnings from the specified source.
    \({ }_{2}\) Includes only families which had net money earnings from roomers and boarders (i. e., whose gross income from roomers and boarders exceeded estimated expenses). In addition, there were some families which had roomers and boarders but which had no net money earnings from them.
    \({ }_{3}\) Includes net money earnings from roomers and boarders and from other work not attributable to individuals (casual work in home such as laundry and sewing). A verage net money earnings of all nonrelief families from other work not attributable to individuals were as follows: Wage-earner families, \(\$ 4\); clerical families, none; business and professional families, \(\$ 2\).
    *Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
    }

    COLUMBIA, S. C.
    Table 4-4A.-Principal earners: Number of principal earners, classified as husbands, wives, and others, with weeks of employment and average yearly earnings of principal earners, by occupation and income, 1935-36
    [Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]
    

    \footnotetext{
    \({ }^{1}\) Averages in this column are based on the number of principal earners reporting weeks of employment.
    \({ }^{2}\) Averages in this section of the table are based on the corresponding counts of principal earners in columns (3) and (4).
    \({ }^{3}\) The total number of principal earners given in column (3) is equivalent to the total number of families having individual earners, since a family can have only 1 principal earner. The difference between the totals in columns (2) and (3) is explained by the fact that column (2), number of families, includes cases in which none of the family income was attributable to individual earners.
    \({ }^{4}\) Includes 12 families classified in the occupational group. "Other." These families had 4 principal earners.
    * A verages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
    }

    \section*{COLUMBIA, S. C.}

    Table 5.-Number of earners in family: Number of families with specified number of individual earners, family relationship of sole earners, and average number of supplementary earners per family, by income, 1935-36
    [Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{5}{*}{Income class} & \multirow[t]{4}{*}{} & \multicolumn{8}{|c|}{Number of families with individual earners} & \multirow[t]{5}{*}{Families with more than one earner as percentage of families with any individual earner 1
    (11)} & \multirow[b]{5}{*}{Average number of sup-plementary carners per family ?} \\
    \hline & & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{One only} & \multirow{3}{*}{Two} & \multirow{3}{*}{Three} & \multirow{3}{*}{\[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \text { Four } \\
    & \text { or } \\
    & \text { more }
    \end{aligned}
    \]} & & \\
    \hline & & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Any family member \\
    (3)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Husband \\
    (4)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Wifs \\
    (5)
    \end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Other} & & & & & \\
    \hline & & & & & Male & Female & & & & & \\
    \hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Number of families \\
    (2)
    \end{tabular} & & & & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & & \\
    \hline All families.---...- & 2, 294 & 948 & 896 & 46 & 4 & 2 & 1,021 & 219 & 92 & 58 & 0.77 \\
    \hline Relief families & 457 & 180 & 159 & 20 & & 1 & 201 & 47 & 26 & 60 & 83 \\
    \hline Nonrelief families-- & 1,837 & 768 & 737 & 26 & 4 & 1 & 820 & 172 & 66 & 58 & . 76 \\
    \hline \$0-\$249 & 91 & 37 & 28 & 9 & & & 45 & 5 & 2 & 58 & 68 \\
    \hline \$250-\$499------ & 473 & 211 & 202 & 9 & & & 225 & 26 & 7 & 55 & . 64 \\
    \hline \$500-\$749------ & 654 & 274 & 268 & 4 & 2 & & 323 & 44 & 10 & 58 & . 68 \\
    \hline \$750-\$999 & 307 & 118 & 114 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 132 & 42 & 15 & 62 & . 87 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249 & 130 & 51 & 50 & 1 & & & 42 & 23 & 12 & 60 & . 98 \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499 & 64 & 20 & 19 & 1 & & & 21 & 10 & 13 & 69 & 1.30 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,746--- & 41 & 19 & 19 & & & & 13 & 6 & 3 & 54 & . 90 \\
    \hline \$1.750-\$1,999 & 27 & 11 & 10 & & 1 & & 8 & 7 & 1 & ( \(\dagger\) ) & . 92 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249 & 15 & 12 & 12 & & & & 1 & 1 & 1 & ( \(\dagger\) & . 40 \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499 & 16 & 8 & 8 & & & & 3 & 4 & 1 & ( \(\dagger\) & 1. 06 \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 & 10 & 4 & 4 & & & & 5 & 1 & & ( \(\dagger\) & . 70 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,999--- & 4 & & & & & & 2 & 2 & & (t) & (*) 1.50 \\
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,999 & 1 & & & & & & & & 1 & ( \(\dagger\) & (*) 50 \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over & 4 & 3 & 3 & & & & & 1 & & ( + ) & . 50 \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    \footnotetext{
    1 This percentage was computed by dividing the sum of columns (8), (9), (10) by column (4), of table 3 on p. 278 .
    \({ }^{2}\) Based on the number of families with individual earners, column (4), of table 3 on p. 278.
    * A verages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
    \(\dagger\) Percentages not computed for fewer than 30 cases.
    }

    \section*{COLUMBIA, S. C.}

    Table 6.-Sole and supplementary earners: Number of families with individual earners; number of supplementary earners classified as husbands, wives, and others; average earnings of supplementary earners; and average earnings of family from supplementary earners; by income, 1935-36
    [Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    

    1 A verages in this column are based on the corresponding counts of supplementary earners in column (7). \({ }^{2}\) A verages in this column are based on the number of families as shown in column (2).
    3 Families that have supplementary earners.
    4 Includes 22 males and 17 females under 16 years of age.
    * Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    COLUMBIA, S. C.
    Table 6A.-Sole and supplementary earners: Number of families with individual earners; number of supplementary earners classified as husbands, wives, and others; average earnings of supplementary earners; and average earnings of family from supplementary earners; by occupation and income, 1935-36
    [Negro nonrelief families including hasband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]
    
    \({ }^{1}\) A verages in this column are based on the corresponding counts of supplementary earners in column (6).
    2 Averages in this column are based on the number of families as shown in column (2).
    \({ }^{3}\) Families that have supplementary earners.
    4 Includes persons under 16 years of age as follows: Wage-earner families, 14 males and 11 females; clerical families, 1 male and no females; business and professional families, 2 males and 2 females.
    * Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    COLUMBIA, S. C.
    Table 7.-Earnings of supplementary earners: Number of supplementary earners with earnings of specified amount, by family income,
    [Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    
    columbia, s. c.
    Table 8.-Husbands as earners: Number and average yearly earnings of husbands classified as principal or supplementary earners, by age and family income, 1935-36
    [Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    

    1 Excludes 16 principal earners and 2 supplementary earners who did not report age
    a Averages for each age group are based on the corresponding numbers of husbands in the upper section of the table; the 2 averages for all age groups combined are based on the corresponding total numbers of husbands, including those who did not report age.

    COLUMBIA, S. C.
    Table 9.-Wives as earners: Number and average yearly earnings of wives classified as principal or supplementary earners, by age and family income, 1935-36
    [Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Income class} & \multicolumn{12}{|c|}{Principal earners by age groups} & \multicolumn{12}{|c|}{Supplementary earners by age groups} \\
    \hline & Any & Un-
    der
    20 & 20-24 & 25-29 & 30-34 & 35-39 & 40-44 & 45-49 & 50-54 & 55-59 & 60-64 & 65
    and
    over & & Un-
    der
    20 & & & 30-34 & 35-39 & 40-44 & & 50-54 & 55-59 & 60-64 &  \\
    \hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) & (12) & (13) & (14) & (15) & (16) & (17) & (18) & (19) & (20) & (21) & (22) & (23) & (24) & (25) \\
    \hline \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    All families \\
    Relief families. Nonrelief families
    \end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{24}{|c|}{Number of wives \({ }^{1}\)} \\
    \hline & 176 & & 15 & 25 & 23 & 45 & 19 & 24 & 15 & 4 & 5 & 1 & 974 & 15 & 159 & 193 & 164 & 179 & 115 & 77 & 43 & 16 & 8 & 5 \\
    \hline & 53
    123 & & 13 & 5
    20 & 8
    15 & 15
    30 & 6
    13 & 4
    20 & 7
    8 & 3
    1 & 2
    3 & 1 & 189
    785 & 11 & 22
    137 & 28
    165 & 33
    131 & 33
    146 & 25
    90 & 23
    54 & 14
    29 & 6
    10 & 1 & 5 \\
    \hline \$0-\$249. & 26 & -..... & 6 & 6 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 3 & 1 & & 2 & & 24 & 1 & 5 & 7 & & 4 & 5 & 1 & & 1 & & \\
    \hline \$250-\$499 & 56 & & 4 & 7 & 7 & 14 & 7 & 11 & 4 & 1 & 1 & & 188 & 6 & 45 & 38 & 25 & 21 & 19 & 15 & 11 & 2 & 3 & 3 \\
    \hline \$750-\$999 & 29 & & 2 & 5 & \(\stackrel{1}{3}\) & 8
    3 & 4 & 1 & 3 & - & & & 149 & 1 & 13 & 28 & \({ }_{3} 3\) & 43 & 13 & 13 & \(\stackrel{8}{2}\) & \(\stackrel{3}{2}\) & 1 & 1 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249 \(\ldots\) & 4 & & 1 & 2 & & 1 & & & & ----- & ----- & & 54 & & 3 & 8 & 8 & 13 & 12 & 5 & 3 & 1 & & 1 \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499....- & 2 & & & & & 1 & --. & 1 & & & & & 30 & & 4 & 6 & 5 & 4 & 6 & 3 & 2 & & & ---... \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749...-- & 1 & & & & 1 & -..- & & & .-. & & & & 15 & 1 & 1 & & 3 & 5 & 2 & 1 & 2 & ...... & & ------ \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 ....- & & & & & & & & & & & & & 11 & & 1 & 1 & & 5 & 3 & 1 & & & & -.-... \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249 & & & & & & & & & & & & & 1 & & & & 1 & 2 & & 1 & ------ & ---.-- & & \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 & & & & & & & & & & & & & 4 & & & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & & & & \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,999 & & & & & & & & & & & & & 2 & & & & & & & & \(\underline{1}\) & 1 & & \\
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,999 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over-- & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline & & & & & & & & & & A ver & age ear & nings & f wive & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline All nonrelief families & \$253 & & \$216 & \$240 & \$261 & \$282 & \$239 & \$259 & \$277 & (*) & \$113 & & \$149 & \$99 & \$121 & \$138 & \$174 & \$167 & \$143 & \$150 & \$192 & \$162 & \$140 & \$63 \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    1 Excludes 2 supplementary earners who did not report age.
    \({ }^{2}\) Averages for each age group are based on the corresponding numbers of wives in the upper section of the table; the 2 averages for all age groups combined are based on the corresponding total numbers of wives, including those who did not report age

    A verages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    \section*{COLUMBIA, S. C.}

    Table 10.-Money income other than earnings: Number of families receiving money income other than earnings, and average amount received, by source and total income, 1935-36 \({ }^{1}\)
    [Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    

    1See glossary for definition of "money income other than earnings."
    2 Averages are based on all families, column (2), whether or not they received money income other than earnings.
    3 Includes money income other than earnings from sources other than those specified, including profits from business enterprises partially or wholly owned but not operated by family members. See glossary for further definition of "profits.
    ** \(\$ 0.50\) or less.

    Table 11.-Nonmoney income from owned homes: Number of families owning homes with and without mortgages; average rental \(\infty\) value, average expense, and average nonmoney income from home ounership; by income, 1935-96
    [Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Income class} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Number of families} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Homes free from mortgage} & \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{Mortgaged homes} \\
    \hline & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{All
    (2)} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Owning homes 1 \\
    (3)
    \end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Families owning homes free from mortgage} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Average rental value \({ }^{2}\) \\
    (6)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Average expense \({ }^{3}\) \\
    (7)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Average nonmoney income \({ }^{4}\)
    (8)} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Families owning mortgaged homes} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Average rental value? \\
    (11)
    \end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Average expense \({ }^{3}\)} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Average nonmoney income \({ }^{4}\) \\
    (14)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Interest as percentage of rental value} \\
    \hline & & & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Number \\
    (4)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Percentage \({ }^{5}\) \\
    (5)
    \end{tabular} & & & & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Number \\
    (9)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Percentage s \\
    (10)
    \end{tabular} & & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Interest \\
    (12)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Other \\
    (13)
    \end{tabular} & & \\
    \hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    All families \\
    Relief families \\
    Nonrelief families
    \end{tabular}} & 2, 294 & 448 & 261 & 58 & \$221 & \$77 & \$144 & 187 & 42 & \$217 & \$83 & \$76 & \$58 & 38 \\
    \hline & \[
    \begin{array}{r}
    457 \\
    1,837
    \end{array}
    \] & \[
    \begin{array}{r}
    46 \\
    402
    \end{array}
    \] & 20
    241 & 44
    60 & 170
    225 & 68
    78 & 102
    47 & 26
    161 & 56
    40 & 162
    226 & 64
    86 & 66
    78 & 32
    62 & 39
    38 \\
    \hline \$0-\$249 & 91 & 6 & 5 & ( \(\dagger\) ) & 95 & 41 & 54 & 1 & ( \(\dagger\) ) & (*) & (*) & (*) & (*) & 30 \\
    \hline \$250-\$499 & 473 & 39 & 17 & 44 & 158 & 66 & 92 & 22 & 56 & 159 & 47 & 66 & 46 & 30 \\
    \hline \$500-\$749 & 654 & 82 & 52 & 63 & 165 & 67 & 98 & 30 & 37 & 180 & 76 & 70 & 34 & 42 \\
    \hline \$750-\$999 & 307 & 99 & 52 & 52 & 199 & 75 & 124 & 47 & 48 & 210 & 80 & 75 & 55 & 38 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249. & 130 & 53 & 36 & 68 & 242 & 80 & 162 & 17 & 32 & 259 & 118 & 84 & 57 & 46 \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499 & 64 & 38 & 21 & 55 & 237 & 80 & 157 & 17 & 45 & 248 & 90 & 82 & 76 & 36 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749 & 41 & 27 & 16 & ( \(\dagger\) ) & 315 & 94 & 221 & 11 & ( \(\dagger\) & 265 & 93 & 85 & 87 & 35 \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & 27 & 18 & 16 & (t) & 301 & 91 & 210 & 2 & (t) & (*) & (*) & (*) & (*) & 68 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249. & 15 & 11 & 9 & (t) & 320 & 94 & 226 & 2 & ( \(\dagger\) & (*) & (*) & (*) & (*) & 32 \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499 & 16 & 11 & 7 & ( \(\dagger\) & 308 & 92 & 216 & 4 & ( \(\dagger\) & 300 & 122 & 90 & 88 & 40 \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 & 10 & 9 & 4 & ( \({ }^{\text {a }}\) & 375 & 104 & 271 & 5 & (t) & 366 & 92 & 103 & 171 & 25 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,999 & 4 & 4 & 4 & (t) & 399 & 109 & 290 & & (t) & & & & & \\
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,999 & 1 & 1 & 1 & (t) & (*) & \({ }^{*}\) *) & (*) & & ( \(\dagger\) ) & & & & & \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over & 4 & 4 & 1 & ( \(\dagger\) & (*) & (*) & (*) & 3 & ( \(\dagger\) & 620 & 241 & 146 & 233 & 39 \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}
    \({ }_{1}\) Includes all families occupying owned homes at any time during the report year, but excludes 2 families whose expenses exactly equaled the annual rental value of their homes. Data for the latter families, however, are included in the computation of averages.
    \({ }_{2}\) Based on estimate made by home owner, for period of ownership and occupancy during report year. This period averages, in general, approximately 12 months.
    \({ }^{3}\) Expense for period of ownership and occupancy during report year. Expense other than interest, columns (7) and (13), estimated on basis of average relationship between rental value and expense.
    \({ }^{4}\) Nonmoney income for period of ownership and occupancy during report year. Obtained by deducting estimated expense (including interest) from rental value.
    Based on number of families owning homes, column (3).
    Percentages not computed for fewer than 30 cases.
    Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    COLUMBIA, s. C.
    Table 12.-Monthly rental value: Number of home-owning families having homes with specified monthly rental value, by income, \(1935-36{ }^{1}\) [Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline Income class & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Number of homeowning and renting families} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Home-owning families} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Average monthly rental value of owned homes a \\
    (5)
    \end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{12}{|c|}{Number of home-owning families reporting monthly rental value of-} \\
    \hline (1) & & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Number \\
    (3)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Percent-
    age \({ }^{3}\) \\
    (4)
    \end{tabular} & & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Under \(\$ 5\) \\
    (6)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    \[
    \$ 5-\$ 9
    \] \\
    (7)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    \(\$ 10-\$ 14\) \\
    (8)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    \$15-\$19 \\
    (9)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    \$20-\$24 \\
    (10)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    \$25-\$29 \\
    (11)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    \(\$ 30-\$ 34\) \\
    (12)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    \(\$ 35-\$ 39\) \\
    (13)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    \(\$ 40-\$ 44\) \\
    (14)
    \end{tabular} & \(\$ 45-\$ 54\)
    (15) & \(\$ 55-\$ 74\)
    (16) & \begin{tabular}{l}
    \(\$ 75\) and over \\
    (17)
    \end{tabular} \\
    \hline All families.. & 2, 238 & 447 & 20 & \$18. 40 & 1 & 24 & 128 & 109 & 79 & 49 & 25 & 18 & 4 & 8 & 1 & 1 \\
    \hline Relief families & 443
    1,795 & 46
    401 & 10
    22 & 13.80
    18.90 & 1 & 23 & \(\stackrel{21}{107}\) & 13
    96 & 7
    7 & 18
    48 & 24 & 18 & 4 & 8 & 1 & 1 \\
    \hline \$0-\$249 & 87 & 6 & 7 & 10.50 & 1 & & 4 & 1 & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$250-\$499. & 456 & 39 & 9 & 13. 50 & & 1 & 23 & 10 & 4 & 1 & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$500-\$749 & 636 & 82 & 13 & 14. 50 & & 10 & 32 & 23 & 10 & 5 & 1 & 1 & & & & \\
    \hline \$750-\$999 & 305 & 99 & 32 & 17.00 & & 5 & 32 & 25 & 21 & 11 & \(\stackrel{2}{8}\) & 1 & & 2 & & \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249 & 129 & 53 & 41 & 20.80 & & 2 & 9 & 15 & 9 & 5 & 8 & 4 & & 1 & & \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499 & 64 & 37 & 58 & 19.90 & & & 4 & 13 & 10 & 5 & 3 & 1 & 1 & & & \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749 & 41 & 27 & \({ }_{\text {(t) }} 66\) & 24. 60 & & 1 & & 3 & 9 & 6 & 3 & 3 & 1 & 1 & , & \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & 27
    15 & 18 & \(\stackrel{\dagger}{\dagger} \stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{ }\) & 24.80
    26.40 & & 2 & 1 & 3
    1 & 3 & 3
    4 & 3
    1 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 & ------- \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499 & 16 & 11 & ( \(\dagger\) ) & 25.40 & & & & 2 & 2 & 4 & 1 & 1 & & \(1 \times\) & & \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 & 10 & 9 & (t) & 30.90 & & & & & 1 & 3 & 1 & 3 & & 1 & & \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,999 & 4 & 4 & ( \({ }^{\text {) }}\) & 33. 20 & & & & & 1 & 1 & & 1 & & 1 & & \\
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,999 & 1 & 1 & (t) & (*) & & & 1 & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over..... & 4 & 4 & ( \(\dagger\) & 46.20 & & & & & 1 & & 1 & 1 & & & & 1 \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}
    \({ }^{1}\) Includes only those families that did not change living quarters between the end of the report year and the date of interview. Families are classified as home-owning families or as renting families according to their status at the date of interview. renting famin ies according to their sta owner for period of ownership and occused on estimate made by he-owning and renting families, column (2).
    \({ }^{3}\) Based on the number of home-o
    \(\dagger\) Percentages not computed for fewer than 30 cases.
    * Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases

    COLUMBIA, s. C.
    Table 13.-Monthly rent: Number of renting families reporting specified monthly rent, by income, 1985-36 \({ }^{1}\)
    [Negro families including both husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Income class} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Number of homeowning and renting families} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Renting families} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Average monthly rent \({ }^{2}\)} & \multicolumn{13}{|c|}{Number of renting families reporting monthly rent of-} \\
    \hline & & Number & Percent-
    age \(^{3}\) & & Under & \$5-\$9 & \$10- & \$15- & \$20- & \$25- & \$30- & \$35- & \(\$ 40\)
    \(\$ 44\) & \(\underset{\$ 54}{\$ 45}\) & \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \$ 55- \\
    & \$ 74
    \end{aligned}
    \] & \$75
    and
    over & Rent free \({ }^{4}\) \\
    \hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) & (12) & (13) & (14) & (15) & (16) & (17) & (18) \\
    \hline  & 2, 238 & 1, 791 & 80 & \$9. 10 & 134 & 980 & 490 & 120 & 45 & 7 & 3 & 3 & 1 & 1 & & & 7 \\
    \hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Relief families \\
    Nonrelief families.
    \end{tabular}} & 443 & 397 & 90 & 8. 10 & 36 & 256 & 78 & 19 & 5 & & & & & & & & 3 \\
    \hline & 1,795 & 1,394 & 78 & 9.30 & 98 & 724 & 412 & 101 & 40 & 7 & 3 & 3 & 1 & 1 & & & 4 \\
    \hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & 87 & 81 & 93 & 6.80 & 15 & 54 & 11 & 1 & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline & 456 & 417 & 91 & 8.10 & 37 & 263 & 97 & 12 & 5 & & & & & & & & 3 \\
    \hline \$500-\$749 & 636 & 554 & 87 & 9.30 & 33 & 287 & 184 & 33 & 13 & 4 & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\$750-\$989} & 305 & 206 & 68 & 10.40 & 9 & 90 & 67 & 27 & 10 & 1 & & & 1 & & & & 1 \\
    \hline & 129 & 76 & 59 & 11. 20 & 4 & 23 & 30 & 11 & 6 & 2 & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249 & 64 & 27 & 42 & 13.00 & & 2 & 15 & 8 & 1 & ---- & 1 & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749 & 41 & 14 & (t) 34 & 14. 70 & & 4 & 5 & 3 & & & & 2 & & & & & \\
    \hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\$1,750-\$1,999} & 27 & 9 & ( \(\dagger\) ) & 21.10 & & & 2 & 3 & 2 & & 1 & & & 1 & - & & - \\
    \hline & 15 & 4 & (t) & 15.80 & & & 1 & 3 & & ---- & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499 & 16
    10 & 5 & (t) & (*) 20. & & 1 & & & 3 & & 1 & 1 & & & & & \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 & 4 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{}} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \multicolumn{18}{|l|}{\({ }^{1}\) Includes only those families that did not change living quarters between the end of the report year and the date of interview. Families are classified as home-owning families} \\
    \hline \multicolumn{18}{|l|}{or as renting families, according to their status at the date of interview.} \\
    \hline \multicolumn{18}{|l|}{\({ }^{2}\) Rent reported at date of interview. Averages are based on the number of renting families in each class that reported monthly rent, including families receiving rent free, the} \\
    \hline \multicolumn{18}{|l|}{\({ }^{3}\) Based on the number of home-owning and renting families, column (2).} \\
    \hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    \({ }^{4}\) Consists of families receiving rent as gift. \\
    + Percentages not computed for fewer than 30 cases.
    \end{tabular}}} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    \section*{COLUMBIA, s. C.}

    Table 14A.-Average monthly rental value and average monthly rent: Number of home-owning and renting families, average monthly rental value, and average monthly rent, by occupation and income, 1935-36 \({ }^{1}\)
    [Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]
    
    \({ }^{1}\) Includes only those families that did not change living quarters between the end of the report year and the date of interview. Families are classified as home-owning families or as renting families, according to their status at the date of interview.
    \({ }_{3}^{2}\) Based on the number of home-owning and renting families in the respective occupational groups.
    \({ }^{3}\) Based on estimate made by home owner for period of ownership and occupancy during the report year. Averages are based on the number of home-owning families as of end of report year.
    of whent as reported at date of interview. Averages in this column are based on the number of families reporting monthly rent, including families receiving rent as gift, the amount which is estimated by the family.
    roup 5 families were asning in the occupational group, "Other," 12 did not change their living quarters between the end of the report year and the date of interview. Of the latter . Their average monthly rental value was \(\$ 10.40\). The remaining 7 families were renting families. Their average monthly rent was \(\$ 8.30\).
    Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    \section*{COLUMBIA, S. C.}

    Table 15-16.-Type of living quarters: Number and percentage of owning and renting families occupying specified types of living quarters, by tenure and income, 1935-36 \({ }^{1}\)
    [Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined
    

    \footnotetext{
    \({ }^{1}\) Includes only those families that did not change living quarters between the end of the report year and the date of interview
    \({ }^{2}\) Percentages are based on number of families in each class, column (2)
    3 Includes dwelling units in business buildings, other types of living quarters not elsewhere specified, and unknown types of living quarters.
    \(\dagger\) Percentages not computed for fewer than 30 cases.
    \(\ddagger 0.5\) percent or less.
    }

    \section*{COLUMBIA, S. C.}

    Table 17.-Members of household not in economic family: Number of families having persons in the household who were not members of the economic family, and average number of such nonfamily members, by income, 1935-36
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{4}{*}{Income class

    (1)} & \multirow{3}{*}{Number of families} & \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{Number of families baving in the household nonfamily members of specified type \({ }^{1}\)} & \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{A verage number of nonfamily members of specified type \({ }^{2}\) (based on families having such members)} \\
    \hline & & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Any nonfamily member} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Occupying rooms on nontransient basis} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Boarders without room \\
    (8)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Tourists and transients \\
    (9)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Guests
    (10)} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    All nonfamily members \\
    (11)
    \end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Occupying rooms on nontransient basis} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Boarders without room} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Tourists and transients} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Guests \\
    (18)
    \end{tabular}} \\
    \hline & & & Sons and daughters rooming and boarding & Other
    roomers
    with
    board & Roomers without board & Paid help & & & & & Sons and daughters rooming and boarding & Other roomers with board & Roomers without board & Paid help & & & \\
    \hline & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & & & & & (12) & (13) & (14) & (15) & & & \\
    \hline All families ..---------------- & 2, 294 & 392 & 6 & 35 & 219 & 4 & 5 & 2 & 151 & 0.9 & 0.7 & 1.1 & 1.2 & 1.0 & 1.0 & (*) & 0.1 \\
    \hline \multirow[t]{14}{*}{Relief families Nonrelief families} & \[
    \begin{array}{r}
    457 \\
    1,837
    \end{array}
    \] & 56
    336 & 6 & 1
    34 & \[
    \begin{array}{r}
    39 \\
    180
    \end{array}
    \] & 4 & 5 & 2 & \({ }_{13}^{18}\) & . 8 & . 7 & \({ }^{(*)} 1.1\) & 1.1 & 1.0 & 1.0 & (*) & 1 \\
    \hline & 91 & 10 & & 3 & 4 & & & & 3 & . 5 & & 1. 1 & . 3 & & & & . 4 \\
    \hline & 473 & 81 & 1 & 6 & 48 & & 2 & 1 & 28 & . 8 & (*) & 1.5 & 1.0 & & (*) & (*) & . 1 \\
    \hline & 654 & 112 & 4 & 6 & 68 & & & & 41 & . 8 & . 7 & 1.1 & 1.1 & & & & . 1 \\
    \hline & 307 & 59 & 1 & 8 & 32 & & 2 & & 25 & 1.1 & -*) & .9
    .10 & 1. 6 & & \({ }^{*}\) *) & & . 1 \\
    \hline & 130 & 27 & 1 & 4 & 7 & 2 & 1 & & 15 & . 7 & (*) & 1.0 & 1. 3 & (*) & (*) & & . 1 \\
    \hline & 64 & 14 & & 1 & 10 & & & & 3 & 1.6 & & (*) & 2.1 & & & & . 3 \\
    \hline & 41 & 9 & & 2 & 4 & & & & 4 & 1.2 & & (*) & 1.8 & & & & 2 \\
    \hline & 27 & 8 & & 3 & 1 & & & & 6 & + 6 & & 1.2 & (*) & & & & . \\
    \hline & 15 & 4 & & & 1 & & & & 3 & 1.2 & & & (*) 0 & & & & \\
    \hline & 16 & 5 & & & 3 & 1 & & 1 & 1 & \({ }^{(*)}{ }^{8}\) & & & \((*){ }^{9}\) & (*) & & (*) & (*) \\
    \hline & 4 & 3 & & 1 & 1 & & & & 2 & \({ }^{1} .6\) & & (*) & (*) & & & & (*) \\
    \hline & 1 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline & 4 & 2 & & & & & & & 2 & (*) & & & & & & & (*) \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    \footnotetext{
    \({ }^{1}\) Excludes a small number of families which had nonfamily members in the household but which did not report the duration of their membership. See glossary for definition of nonfamily members."
    \({ }^{2}\) Averages in each column are based on the corresponding counts of families in columns (3) through (10). The number of nonfamily members is expressed in terms of year-equivalent persons. This figurs is computed for each family by dividing by 52 the total number of weeks of residence in the household for all nonmembers of the economic family.
    }
    * Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    COLUMBIA, S. C.
    Table 18.-Age of husbands and wives: Number of husbands and number of wives, by age and family income, 1935-96
    [Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combinedl
    

    1 Excludes 19 husbands and 10 wives who did not report age. \(\dagger 0.05\) percent or less.

    \section*{COLUMBIA, S. C.}

    Table 19.-Report year: Number and percentage distribution of families by date of end of report year, by occupation, 1935-36
    [Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    

    \footnotetext{
    \(\dagger\) Percentage not computed for fewer than 30 cases.
    \(\ddagger 0.5\) percent or less.
    }

    MOBILE, ALA.
    Table 1.-Family type: Number of families of specified types and average number of persons per family, by income, 1935-36
    [White families incInding husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{5}{*}{Income class} & \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{Number of families of type \({ }^{1}\)} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Average number of persons per family \({ }^{3}\)}} \\
    \hline & \multirow[b]{4}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    All \\
    (2)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{4}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    I \\
    (3)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{4}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    II \\
    (4)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{4}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    III \\
    (5)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{4}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    IV \\
    (6)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{4}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    v \\
    (7)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{4}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    VI \\
    (8)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{4}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    VII \\
    (9)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{4}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    VIII \\
    (10)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{4}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Other \\
    (11)
    \end{tabular}} & & & \\
    \hline & & & & & & & & & & & \multirow{2}{*}{\[
    \left.\begin{array}{|c|}
    \text { All } \\
    \text { mem- } \\
    \text { bers }
    \end{array} \right\rvert\,
    \]} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Other than husband and wife} \\
    \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \text { Un- } \\
    & \text { der } \\
    & 16
    \end{aligned}
    \] & \[
    \begin{gathered}
    16 \\
    \text { and } \\
    \text { over }
    \end{gathered}
    \] \\
    \hline & & & & & & & & & & & (12) & (13) & (14) \\
    \hline All families \({ }^{\text {a }}\) & 5,384 & 1,069 & 784 & 626 & 1, 120 & 644 & 450 & 383 & 175 & 133 & 4. 1 & 1.3 & 0.8 \\
    \hline Relief families. & 509 & 78 & 54 & 42 & 94 & 76 & 59 & 67 & 10 & 28 & 4.7 & 1.8 & 9 \\
    \hline Nonrelief families. & 4,875 & 991 & 730 & 584 & 1, 026 & 568 & 391 & 316 & 165 & 104 & 4.0 & 1.2 & . 8 \\
    \hline \$0-\$249..---...--- & 46 & 14 & 3 & 6 & 9 & 4 & 3 & & & 3 & 3.9 & 1.2 & 7 \\
    \hline \$250-\$499.-....... & 209 & 60 & 32 & 21 & 46 & 13 & 17 & 15 & 1 & 4 & 3.7 & 1.2 & . 5 \\
    \hline \$500-\$749........- & 376 & 106 & 50 & 61 & 72 & 25 & 37 & 17 & 4 & 4 & 3. 7 & 1.2 & . 5 \\
    \hline \$750-\$999 & 654 & 123 & 137 & 103 & 102 & 63 & 65 & 40 & 7 & 14 & 4. 0 & 1. 5 & . 5 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249 & 599 & 151 & 106 & 82 & 97 & 60 & 58 & 32 & 11 & 2 & 3.8 & 1.3 & . 5 \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499 & 491 & 103 & 67 & 67 & 94 & 50 & 45 & 36 & 21 & 8 & 4.0 & 1.3 & . 7 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749 & 479 & 103 & 76 & 51 & 98 & 57 & 38 & 34 & 13 & 8 & 4.0 & 1.2 & . 8 \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & 454 & 89 & 74 & 55 & 88 & 64 & 37 & 31 & 10 & 6 & 4.0 & 1. 3 & . 7 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249 .... & 311 & 55 & 41 & 22 & 73 & 48 & 29 & 25 & 13 & 5 & 4.2 & 1.3 & . 9 \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499..... & 280 & 47 & 42 & 27 & 73 & 40 & 14 & 18 & 11 & 8 & 4.1 & 1.1 & 1.0 \\
    \hline \$2,500 \$2,999.-.-- & 352 & 47 & 37 & 36 & 93 & 54 & 20 & 33 & 21 & 11 & 4. 4 & 1.2 & 1. 2 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,499 & 223 & 34 & 32 & 15 & 69 & 29 & 8 & 9 & 17 & 10 & 4.1 & . 9 & 1. 3 \\
    \hline \$3,500-\$3,999 & 117 & 23 & 12 & 8 & 31 & 17 & 5 & 6 & 10 & 5 & 4.1 & . 8 & 1. 3 \\
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,499 \(\ldots\) & 85 & 13 & 6 & 8 & 27 & 9 & 2 & 7 & 10 & 3 & 4.1 & . 7 & 1. 4 \\
    \hline \$4,500-\$4,990 & 52 & 5 & 6 & 5 & 14 & 11 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 3 & 4. 5 & 1.1 & 1. 4 \\
    \hline \$5,000-\$7,499 & 111 & 8 & 7 & 10 & 33 & 19 & 10 & 5 & 10 & 9 & 4. 7 & 1. 2 & 1. 5 \\
    \hline \$7,500-\$9,999 & 20 & 5 & 2 & 5 & 3 & 2 & 1 & & 1 & 1 & 3. 8 & 1. 0 & . 8 \\
    \hline \$10,000 and over \({ }^{4}\) - & 16 & 5 & & 2 & 3 & 3 & & 2 & 1 & & 4.0 & 1. 1 & 9 \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    \section*{1 Family type:}
    I. 2 persons. Husband and wife only.
    II. 3 persons. Husband, wife, I child under 16, and no others.
    III. 4 persons. Husband, wife, 2 children under 16, and no others.
    IV. 3 or 4 persons. Husband, wife, 1 person 16 or over, and 1 or no other person regardless of age.
    V. 5 or 6 persons. Husband, wife, 1 child under 16, 1 person 16 or over, and 1 or 2 other persons regardless of age.
    VI. 5 or 6 persons. Husband, wife, 3 or 4 children under 16, and no otbers.
    VII. 7 or 8 persons. Husband, wife, 1 child under 16,4 or 5 other persons regardless of age.
    VIII. 5 or 6 persons. Husband, wife, 3 or 4 persons 16 or over.

    Other. 7 or more persons. All types not included in I through VIII.
    \({ }^{2}\) These are year-equivalent persons. The sum of columns (13) and (14) plus 2 (husband and wife) does not always equal column (12). For the methods used in deriving these averages see glossary.
    \({ }^{3} 3\) families which reported a net loss are excluded from this and subsequent tables. These are families which had gross business expense and losses exceeding their gross earnings and other income.
    4 Largest income reported between \(\$ 30,000\) and \(\$ 35,000\).

    \section*{MOBILE, ALA.}

    Table 1A.-Family type: Number of families of specified types and average number of persons per family, by occupation and income, 1935-36
    [White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{4}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Income class and occupational group \\
    (1)
    \end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{Number of families of type \({ }^{1-}\)} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Average number of persons per family \({ }^{2}\)} \\
    \hline & \multirow{2}{*}{All} & \multirow{2}{*}{I} & \multirow{2}{*}{II} & \multirow{2}{*}{III} & \multirow{2}{*}{IV} & \multirow{2}{*}{V} & \multirow{2}{*}{VI} & \multirow{2}{*}{VII} & \multirow{2}{*}{VIII} & \multirow{2}{*}{Other} & \multirow{2}{*}{All members} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Other than husband and wife} \\
    \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & Un-
    der
    16 & 16 and over \\
    \hline & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) & (12) & (13) & (14) \\
    \hline Wage earner & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline All nonrelief families & 2, 101 & 383 & 317 & 272 & 406 & 252 & 199 & 164 & 57 & 51 & 4.1 & 1.3 & 0.8 \\
    \hline \$0-\$249 & 24 & 5 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 2 & 3 & 3 & & 1 & 4.2 & 1.6 & . 6 \\
    \hline \$250-\$499 & 115 & 33 & 17 & 12 & 22 & 9 & 13 & 8 & & 1 & 3.7 & 1.3 & . 4 \\
    \hline \$500-\$749 & 245 & 57 & 39 & 47 & 41 & 18 & 23 & 14 & 2 & 4 & 3.8 & 1.3 & . 5 \\
    \hline \$750-\$999 & 441 & 76 & 90 & 74 & 64 & 42 & 53 & 27 & 5 & 10 & 4. 0 & 1.5 & . 5 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249 & 318 & 63 & 55 & 48 & 50 & 40 & 39 & 17 & 5 & 1 & 3.9 & 1.4 & . 5 \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499 & 223 & 42 & 31 & 30 & 40 & 25 & 18 & 18 & 11 & 8 & 4.1 & 1.3 & . 8 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749 & 213 & 36 & 31 & 17 & 46 & 34 & 17 & 22 & 5 & 5 & 4.3 & 1.4 & . 9 \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & 172 & 30 & 24 & 18 & 40 & 24 & 14 & 13 & 6 & 3 & 4.1 & 1.2 & . 9 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249 & 108 & 13 & 11 & 5 & 31 & 16 & 12 & 11 & 7 & 2 & 4.4 & 1.3 & 1. 1 \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499 & 78 & 9 & 5 & 9 & 21 & 14 & 2 & 9 & 5 & 4 & 4.7 & 1.4 & 1.3 \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 ......... & 91 & 11 & 6 & 7 & 22 & 17 & 2 & 13 & 8 & 5 & 4.9 & 1.3 & 1. 6 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,499 & 40 & 5 & 4 & 1 & 14 & 5 & 1 & 4 & 2 & 4 & 4.6 & . 8 & 1. 8 \\
    \hline \$3,500-\$3,999 & 16 & 2 & 1 & & 4 & 3 & & 3 & 1 & 2 & 5.2 & . 9 & 2.3 \\
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,499 \(\ldots \ldots .\). & 8 & 1 & & & 5 & 1 & & 1 & & & 4.1 & . 5 & 1.6 \\
    \hline \$4,500-\$4,999 & 6 & & 1. & & 2 & 1 & & 1 & & 1 & 5.2 & . 7 & 2.5 \\
    \hline \$5,000-\$7,499 & 3 & & & & & 1 & 2 & & & & 5.0 & 2.7 & . 3 \\
    \hline \$7,500-\$9,999......... & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$10,000 and over..... & & & & & & & & & & - & & & \\
    \hline Clerical & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline All nonrelief families \(\qquad\) & 1,304 & 266 & 195 & 147 & 310 & 156 & 82 & 66 & 57 & 25 & 3.9 & 1.0 & . 9 \\
    \hline \[
    \$ 0-\$ 249
    \] & & 1 & & 1 & 2 & & & 1 & & 1 & 4.9 & 1.8 & 1.1 \\
    \hline \$250-\$499 & 31 & 8 & 4 & 3 & 12 & 2 & & 1 & 1 & & 3.4 & . 6 & . 8 \\
    \hline \$500-\$749 & 43 & 6 & 3 & 7 & 17 & 2 & 6 & 1 & 1 & & 3.7 & 1.0 & . 7 \\
    \hline \$750-\$999 & 113 & 20 & 29 & 17 & 21 & 12 & 5 & 5 & 2 & 2 & 3.8 & 1.2 & . 6 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249 \(\ldots \ldots\) & 164 & 50 & 32 & 20 & 31 & 10 & 9 & 8 & 4 & & 3.5 & 1.0 & . 5 \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499 & 158 & 39 & 25 & 21 & 34 & 15 & 14 & 5 & 5 & & 3.6 & 1.0 & . 6 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749. & 163 & 40 & 24 & 22 & 37 & 14 & 13 & 5 & 7 & 1 & 3.7 & 1.0 & . 7 \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999. & 160 & 34 & 24 & 18 & 29 & 28 & 13 & 10 & 3 & 1 & 4.0 & 1.2 & . 8 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249 \(\ldots . . . . .\). & 107 & 20 & 14 & 12 & 23 & 19 & 6 & 8 & 3 & 2 & 4.1 & 1.3 & . 8 \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499 \(\ldots \ldots . .\). & 102 & 18 & 15 & 8 & 29 & 12 & 8 & 6 & 5 & 1 & 4.0 & 1.0 & 1. 0 \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 & 116 & 14 & 15 & 11 & 30 & 20 & 5 & 11 & 4 & 6 & 4.4 & 1.1 & 1.3 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,499 & 68 & 8 & 6 & 5 & 25 & 13 & 2 & ----- & \({ }_{6}\) & 3 & 4.2 & . 8 & 1. 4 \\
    \hline \$3,500-\$3,999 & 23 & 3 & 1 & & 6 & 5 & & & 5 & 3 & 4.7 & . 5 & 2.2 \\
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,499 . . . . - - & 19 & 4 & & 1 & 5 & 2 & & & 5 & 2 & 4.2 & . 3 & 1.9 \\
    \hline \$4,500-\$4,999 & 8 & 1 & 1 & & 3 & & 1 & 1 & 1 & & 4. 1 & . 6 & 1. 5 \\
    \hline \$5,000-\$7,499 & 23 & & 2 & 1 & 6 & 2 & & 4 & 5 & 3 & 5.6 & 1.0 & 2.6 \\
    \hline \$7,500-\$9,999 & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$10,000 and over..... & & & & & & & & & & & & & --- \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    See footnotes at end of table.

    MOBILE, ALA.
    Table 1A.-Family type: Number of families of specified types and average number of persons per family, by occupation and income, 1935-36-Continued
    [White nonrelief families, including husband and wife, both native born]
    

    See footnotes at end of table.

    \section*{MOBLLE, ALA.}

    Table 1A.-Family type: Number of families of specified types and average number of persons per family, by occupation and income, 1995-36-Continued
    [White nonrelief families, including husband and wife, both native born]
    

    For footnotes 1 and 2, see table 1 on p. 296.
    \({ }^{3}\) Largest income reported between \(\$ 15,000\) and \(\$ 20,000\).
    4 Largest income reported between \(\$ 20,000\) and \(\$ 25,000\).
    \({ }^{5}\) Largest income reported between \(\$ 30,000\) and \(\$ 35,000\).
    - Largest income reported between \(\$ 10,000\) and \(\$ 15,000\).

    7 This group contained 7 families engaged in farming, a group too small to be separately classifled, and families having no gainfully employed members.
    *Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    \section*{MOBILE, ALA.}

    Table 2.-Sources of family income: Number of families receiving income from specified sources, and average amount of such income, by income, 1935-96
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Income class

    (1)} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Number of families \\
    (2)
    \end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Number of families receiving-} \\
    \hline & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Money income from-} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Nonmoney income from-} \\
    \hline & & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Earnings \({ }^{1}\) \\
    (3)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Other sources (positive or negative) \({ }^{2}\) \\
    (4)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Any source \({ }^{3}\) \\
    (5)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Owned home (positive or negative) \({ }^{4}\) \\
    (6)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Rent as pay \\
    (7)
    \end{tabular} \\
    \hline All families....-.-. & 5,384 & 5. 297 & 1,049 & 2, 053 & 2,000 & 53 \\
    \hline Relief families
    Nonrelief families & 509
    4,875 & 497
    4,800 & 62
    987 & 106
    1,947 & 90
    1,910 & 16
    37 \\
    \hline \$0-\$249. & 46 & 37 & 7 & 13 & 12 & 1 \\
    \hline \$250-\$499 & 209 & 192 & 52 & 56 & 48 & 8 \\
    \hline \$500-\$749- & 376 & 361 & 83 & 92 & 89 & 3 \\
    \hline \$750-\$999 & 654 & 646 & 107 & 145 & 142 & 3 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249 & 599 & 589 & 88 & 172 & 170 & 2 \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499 & 491 & 489 & 86 & 199 & 195 & 4 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749 & 479 & 476 & 81 & 179 & 178 & 1 \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & 454 & 453 & 85 & 166 & 164 & 2 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249 & 311 & 310 & 53 & 154 & 150 & 4 \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499 & 280 & 277 & 59 & 137 & 136 & 1 \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 & 352 & 352 & 88 & 207 & 204 & 3 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,999 & 340 & 337 & 84 & 201 & 199 & 2 \\
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,999 & -137 & 135 & 48 & 102 & 100 & 2 \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over.- & 147 & 146 & 66 & 124 & 123 & 1 \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    1 See glossary for definition of "earnings."
    2 Includes 979 families, 918 of which were nonrelief, which had money income other than earnings and no business losses met from family funds; 47 families, 46 of which were nonrelief, which had business losses met from family funds and no money income other than earnings, and 23 families, all of which were nonrelief, which had both money income and business losses met from family funds. There were, therefore, 1,001 families, 940 of which were nonrelief, which bad money income other than earnings, whether cr not they had business losses met from family funds; and there were 70 families, 69 of which were nonrelief, which had business losses met from family funds, whether or not they had money income other than earnings. These latter 69 families were found in the following income classes: \(\$ 0-\$ 249,2 ; \$ 250-\$ 499,7\); \(\$ 500-\$ 749,11 ; \$ 750-\$ 999,5 ; \$ 1,000-\$ 1,249,4 ; \$ 1,250-\$ 1,499,5 ; \$ 1,500-\$ 1,749,2 ; \$ 1,750-\$ 1,999,8 ; \$ 2,000-\$ 2,249,4\); \(\$ 2,250-\$ 2,499,7 ; \$ 2,500-\$ 2,999,5 ; \$ 3,000-\$ 3,999,1 ; \$ 4,000-\$ 4,999,3 ; \$ 5,000\) and over, 5 . See glossary for definitions of "money income other than earnings" and 'business losses."
    \({ }_{3}\) The total of the numbers of families in columns (6) and (7), since no family reported nonmoney income from both sources.

    4 Includes families with losses from owned homes, as well as families whose estimated rental value of owned homes for the period of ownership and occupancy exceeded estimated expenses allocable to that period. There were 51 families, 44 of which were nonrelief, with losses from owned homes (i. e., families whose estimated rental value was less than estimated expenses). The latter 44 families were found in the following income classes: \(\$ 0-\$ 249,1 ; \$ 500-\$ 749,9 ; \$ 750-\$ 999,6 ; \$ 1,000-\$ 1,249,3 ; \$ 1,250-\$ 1,499,4 ; \$ 1,500-\) \(\$ 1,749,6 ; \$ 1,750-\$ 1,999,3 ; \$ 2,000-\$ 2,249,1 ; \$ 2,250-\$ 2,499,4 ; \$ 2,500-\$ 2,999,4 ; \$ 3,000-\$ 3,999,1 ; \$ 5,000\) and over, 2. Excludes 407 families whose estimated rental value of owned homes was equal to estimated expenses.

    MOBILE, ALA.
    Table 2.-Sources of family income: Number of families receiving income from specified sources, and average amount of such income, by income, 1935-36 \({ }^{1-}\) Continued
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{4}{*}{Income class} & \multirow{3}{*}{Total} & \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{Average family income} \\
    \hline & & \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{Money income from-} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Nonmoney income from-} \\
    \hline & & All sources & Earnings \({ }^{2}\) & Other sources (positive or negative) \({ }^{3}\) & \[
    \begin{gathered}
    \text { All } \\
    \text { sources }
    \end{gathered}
    \] & Owned home (positive or negative) \({ }^{4}\) & Rent as pay \\
    \hline & (2) & (3) & (4) & (4) & (6) & (7) & (8) \\
    \hline All families & \({ }^{3}\) \$1,718 & \$1,639 & \$1,567 & \$72 & \$79 & \$77 & \$2 \\
    \hline Relief families Nonrelief families. & \[
    \begin{array}{r}
    623 \\
    81,832
    \end{array}
    \] & \(\begin{array}{r}599 \\ \hline 146\end{array}\) & 574
    1.669 & 25 & 24 & 20 & 4 \\
    \hline  & 145 & 127 & 120 & 7 & 18 & 16 & \\
    \hline \$250-\$499 & 400 & 368 & 330 & 38 & 32 & 27 & 5 \\
    \hline \$500-\$749. & 625 & 598 & 562 & 36 & 27 & 26 & 1 \\
    \hline \$750-\$999 & 872 & 846 & 806 & 40 & 26 & 25 & 1 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249. & 1,117 & 1,078 & 1,038 & 40 & 39 & 39 & (**) \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499. & 1,364 & 1,305 & 1,264 & 41 & 59 & 58 & 1 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749. & 1,605 & 1,542 & 1,502 & 40 & 63 & 63 & (**) \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & 1, 867 & 1,800 & 1,753 & 47 & 67 & 65 & - 2 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249 & 2, 116 & 2,010 & ], 951 & 59 & 106 & 102 & 4 \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499 & 2,366 & 2,255 & 2, 181 & 74 & 111 & 109 & 2 \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 & 2, 714 & 2, 570 & 2,489 & 81 & 144 & 141 & 3 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,999. & 3, 400 & 3,227 & 3, 101 & 126 & 173 & 170 & 3 \\
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,999. & \[
    4,413
    \] & 4. 126 & 3.923 & 203 & 287 & 278 & 9 \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over.. & 7, 104 & 6. 692 & 6,003 & 689 & 412 & 405 & 7 \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    \footnotetext{
    1 The averages in each column are based on all families, column (2) of table 2, whether or not they received income from the specified source. Averages in columns (2), (3), (5), (6), and (7) are net figures, after deduction for all families of business losses met from family funds or expenses for owned homes.
    \({ }^{2}\) See glossary for definition of "earnings."
    3 Includes money income other than earnings, after deduction of business losses met from family funds. See glossary for definitions of "money income other than earnings" and "business losses."
    \({ }^{4}\) Represents the estimated rental value of owned homes for the period of ownership and occupancy, less estimated expenses allocable to that period.

    5 Median income for all families was \(\$ 1,419\); for nonrelief families, \(\$ 1,532\).
    ** \(\$ 0.50\) or less.
    }

    MOBILE, ALA.
    Table 2A.-Sources of family income: Number of families receiving income from specified sources, and average amount of such income, by occupation and income, 1995-36
    [White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{4}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Income class and occupational group \\
    (1)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{4}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Number of families \\
    (2)
    \end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Number of families receiving-} \\
    \hline & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Money income from-} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Nonmoney income from-} \\
    \hline & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Earnings \({ }^{1}\) \\
    (3)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Other sources (positive or negative) \({ }^{2}\) \\
    (4)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Any source \({ }^{3}\) \\
    (5)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Owned home (positive or negative) * \\
    (6)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Rent as pay \\
    (7)
    \end{tabular}} \\
    \hline & & & & & & \\
    \hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Wage earner \\
    All nonrelief families. \(\qquad\)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{2,101} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{2, 101} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{333} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{702} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{680} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{22} \\
    \hline & & & & & & \\
    \hline  & 139 & 139 & 22 & 31 & 23 & 8 \\
    \hline  & 686 & 688 & 104 & 130 & 124 & 6 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,499........-................ & 541 & 541 & 71 & 190 & 187 & 3 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,999 & 385 & 385 & 68 & 146 & 145 & 1 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,999 & 277 & 277 & 54 & 155 & 151 & 4 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$4,999 & 70 & 70 & 12 & 48 & 48 & \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over..---...---.-.-.-.--- & 3 & 3 & 2 & 2 & 2 & \\
    \hline Clerical & & & & & & \\
    \hline All nonrelief families.---...--- & 1, 304 & 1,304 & 260 & 503 & 501 & 2 \\
    \hline \$0-\$499 & 37 & 37 & 7 & 9 & 9 & \\
    \hline \$500-\$999 & 156 & 156 & 28 & 37 & 37 & \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,499 & 322 & 322 & 55 & 95 & 94 & 1 \\
    \hline  & 323 & 323 & 55 & 105 & 105 & \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,999--..------------------ & 325 & 325 & 71 & 166 & 165 & 1 \\
    \hline  & 118 & 118 & 34 & 71 & 71 & \\
    \hline  & 23 & 23 & 10 & 20 & 20 & --------- \\
    \hline Business and professional & & & & & & \\
    \hline All nomrelief families .-.-.-.-.---- & 1,388 & 1,388 & 327 & 689 & 676 & 13 \\
    \hline \$0-\$499 & 51 & 51 & 12 & 12 & 11 & 1 \\
    \hline \$500-\$999 & 163 & 163 & 35 & 55 & 55 & -------- \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,499 & 214 & 214 & 36 & 79 & 77 & 2 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,999 & 221 & 221 & 39 & 91 & 89 & 2 \\
    \hline  & 336 & 336 & 71 & 172 & 169 & 3 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$4,999 & 284 & 284 & 81 & 180 & 176 & 4 \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over...-....--....-.-...- & 119 & 119 & 53 & 100 & 99 & 1 \\
    \hline Other & & & & & & \\
    \hline All nonrelief families.-.-.-.-.---- & 82 & 7 & 67 & 53 & 53 & \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    \footnotetext{
    \({ }^{1}\) See glossary for definition of "earnings."
    \({ }^{2}\) Includes families having money income other than earnings, families having business losses met from family funds, and families having both such income and such losses. See glossary for definitions of "money income other than earnings" and "business losses."
    \({ }^{3}\) The total of the numbers of families in columns (6) and (7), since no family reported nonmoney income from both sources.
    \({ }^{4}\) Includes families with losses from owned homes, as well as families whose estimated rental value of owned homes for the period of ownership and occupancy exceeded estimated expenses allocable to that period.
    }

    \section*{MOBILE, ALA.}

    Table 2A.-Sources of family income: Number of families receiving income from specified sources, and average amount of such income, by occupation and income, 1935-86 \({ }^{1}\)-Continued
    [White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]
    

    \footnotetext{
    1 The averages in each column are based on all families, column (2) of table 2A, whether or not they received income from the specified source. Averages in columns (2), (3), (5), (6), and (7) are net figures, after deduction for all families of business losses met from family funds or expenses for owned homes.
    \({ }^{2}\) See glossary for definition of "earnings."
    \({ }^{3}\) Includes money income other than earnings, after deduction of business losses met from family funds. See glossary for definitions of "money income other than earnings" and "business losses."
    \({ }^{4}\) Represents the estimated rental value of owned home for the period of ownership and occupancy, less estimated expenses allocable to that period.
    \({ }^{5}\) Median incomes were as follows: Wage-earner families, \(\$ 1,208\); clerical families, \(\$ 1,712\); business and professional families, \(\$ 2,132\).
    ** \(\$ 0.50\) or less.
    }

    \section*{MOBILE, ALA.}

    Table 3.-Money earnings: Number of families receiving net money earnings and average net money earnings received from each source, by income, 1935-36
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Income class} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Number of families} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Number of families receiving net money earnings from-} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Average net money earnings from \({ }^{1}\) -} \\
    \hline & & Any source & Individual earners & Roomers and boarders \({ }^{2}\) & Other work not attributable to individuals & All sources & Individual earners & Roomers and boarders and other work \({ }^{3}\) \\
    \hline & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) \\
    \hline All families & 5,384 & 5,297 & 5,273 & 532 & 35 & \$1,567 & \$1,550 & \$17 \\
    \hline Relief families. & 509 & 497 & 495 & 39 & 9 & 574 & 569 & 5 \\
    \hline Nonrelief families & 4,875 & 4,800 & 4,778 & 493 & 26 & 1, 669 & 1,651 & 18 \\
    \hline \$0-\$249. & 46 & 37 & 36 & 4 & 2 & 120 & 111 & 9 \\
    \hline \$250-\$499 & 209 & 192 & 182 & 30 & 5 & 330 & 308 & 22 \\
    \hline \$500-\$749 & 376 & 361 & 356 & 44 & 3 & 562 & 542 & 20 \\
    \hline \$750-8999 & 654 & 646 & 645 & 66 & 7 & 806 & 791 & 15 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249 & 599 & 589 & 588 & 60 & 2 & 1, 038 & 1,021 & 17 \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499 & 491 & 489 & 488 & 42 & 2 & 1, 264 & 1,249 & 15 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749 & 479 & 476 & 476 & 42 & 1 & 1,502 & 1,489 & 13 \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & 454 & 453 & 452 & 51 & & 1,753 & 1,729 & 24 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249 & 311 & 310 & 310 & 35 & 2 & 1,951 & 1, 930 & 21 \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499 & 280 & 277 & 276 & 25 & 2 & 2, 181 & 2, 162 & 19 \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 & 352 & 352 & 352 & 42 & & 2, 489 & 2, 462 & 27 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,999 & 340 & 337 & 337 & 32 & & 3,101 & 3, 077 & 24 \\
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,999 & 137 & 135 & 135 & 10 & & 3,923 & 3,905 & 18 \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over. & 147 & 146 & 145 & 10 & & 6,003 & 5,982 & 21 \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    \footnotetext{
    1 The averages in each column are based on all families, column (2), whether or not they received money earnings from the specified source.
    \({ }_{2}\) Includes only families which had net money earnings from roomers and boarders (i. e., whose gross income from roomers and boarders exceeded estimated expenses). In addition, there were a few families which had roomers and boarders but which received from them no net money earnings.
    \({ }^{3}\) Includes net money earnings from roomers and boarders and from other work not attributable to individuals (casual work in home such as laundry and sewing). Average net money earnings of all families from other work not attributable to individuals were \(\$ 0.50\) or less.
    }

    MOBILE, ALA.
    Table 3A.-Money earnings: Number of families receiving net money earnings and average net money earnings received from each source, by occupation and income, 1995-36
    [White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Income class and occupational group} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Number of families} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Number of families receiving net money earnings from-} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Average net money earnings from \({ }^{1-}\)} \\
    \hline & & \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \text { Any } \\
    & \text { source }
    \end{aligned}
    \] & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Indi- \\
    vidual \\
    earn- \\
    ers
    \end{tabular} & ```
    Roomers
    and
    board-
    ers *
    ``` & Other work not attributable to individuals & \[
    \begin{gathered}
    \text { All } \\
    \text { sources }
    \end{gathered}
    \] & Individual earn ers & Roomers and boarders and other work \({ }^{3}\) \\
    \hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) \\
    \hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Wage earner \\
    All nonrelief families.
    \end{tabular}} & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline & 2, 101 & 2, 101 & 2,101 & 202 & 12 & \$1,261 & \$1,249 & \$12 \\
    \hline \$0-\$499 & 139 & 139 & 139 & 12 & 5 & 327 & 317 & 10 \\
    \hline \$500-\$999. & 686 & 686 & 686 & 57 & 3 & 745 & 736 & 9 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,499. & 541 & 541 & 541 & 51 & 2 & 1, 143 & 1,133 & 10 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,999. & 385 & 385 & 385 & 41 & 1 & 1, 620 & 1, 603 & 17 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,999. & 277 & 277 & 277 & 34 & 1 & 2, 191 & 2,170 & 21 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$4,999 & 70 & 70 & 70 & 7 & & 3,346 & 3,324 & 22 \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over & 3 & 3 & 3 & & & 3,222 & 3,222 & \\
    \hline Clerical & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline All nonrelief families......... & 1, 304 & 1, 304 & 1,304 & 138 & 7 & 1,732 & 1,712 & 20 \\
    \hline \$0-\$499 & 37 & 37 & 37 & 4 & 1 & 315 & 307 & 8 \\
    \hline \$500-\$999 & 156 & 156 & 156 & 18 & 4 & 745 & 734 & 11 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,499. & 322 & 322 & 322 & 29 & 1 & 1,164 & 1,149 & 15 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,999. & 323 & 323 & 323 & 34 & & 1,641 & 1, 622 & 19 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,999. & 325 & 325 & 325 & 38 & 1 & 2,226 & 2,109 & 27 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$4,999 & 118 & 118 & 118 & 14 & & 3,285 & 3,249 & 36 \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over & 23 & 23 & 23 & 1 & & 4,927 & 4,921 & 6 \\
    \hline Business and professional & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline All nonrelief families.-.-.-.-- & 1,388 & 1,388 & 1,366 & 152 & 7 & 2,319 & 2,291 & * 8 \\
    \hline \$0-\$499 & 51 & 51 & 40 & 18 & 1 & 321 & 257 & 64 \\
    \hline \$500-\$999 & 163 & 163 & 157 & 34 & 3 & 676 & 619 & 57 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,499. & 214 & 214 & 212 & 22 & 1 & 1,160 & 1,125 & 35 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,999 & 221 & 221 & 220 & 18 & & 1,636 & 1,615 & 21 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,999 & 336 & 336 & 335 & 30 & 2 & 2,264 & 2,245 & 19 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$4,999 & 284 & 284 & 284 & 21 & & 3,418 & 3,399 & 19 \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over & 119 & 119 & 118 & 9 & & 6,325 & 6,303 & 22 \\
    \hline Other & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline All nonrelief families... & 82 & 7 & 7 & 1 & -- & 141 & 141 & (**) \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    \footnotetext{
    1 The averages in each column are based on all families, column (2), whether or not they received money earnings from the specified source.
    \({ }^{2}\) Includes only families which had net money earnings from roomers and boarders (i. e., whose gross income from roomers and boarders exceeded estimated expenses). In addition, there were some families which had roomers and boarders but which had no net money earnings from them.
    \({ }^{3}\) Includes net money earnings from roomers and boarders and from other work not attributable to individual (casual work in home such as laundry and sewing). Average net money earnings of all nonrelief families from other work not attributable to individuals were \(\$ 0.50\) or less, for all occupations.
    ** \(\$ 0.50\) or less.
    }

    \section*{MOBILE, ALA.}

    Table 4-4A.-Principal earners: Number of principal earners, classified as husbands, wives, and others, with weeks of employment and average yearly earnings of principal earners, by occupation and income, 1935-36
    

    \footnotetext{
    \({ }^{1}\) Averages in this column are based on the number of principal earners reporting weeks of employments
    \({ }^{2}\) Averages in this section of the table are based on the corresponding counts of principal carners in column. (3) and (4).
    \({ }_{3}\) The total number of principal earners given in column (3) is equivalent to the total number of families having individual earners, since a family can have only 1 principal earner. The difference between the totals in columns (2) and (3) is explained by the fact that column (2), number of families, includes cases in which none of the family income was attributable to individual earners.
    \({ }^{4}\) Includes 82 families classified in the occupational group "Other." These families had 7 principal earners.
    }

    \section*{MOBILE, ALA.}

    Table 5.-Number of earners in family: Number of families with specified number of individual earners, family relationship of sole earners, and average number of supplementary earners per family, by income, 1935-36
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{5}{*}{Income class} & \multirow{4}{*}{Number of families} & \multicolumn{8}{|c|}{Number of families with individual earners} & \multirow[t]{5}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Families with more than one earner as percentage of families with any individual earner \({ }^{1}\) \\
    (11)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{5}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    A verage number of supplementary earners per family \({ }^{2}\) \\
    (12)
    \end{tabular}} \\
    \hline & & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{One only} & \multirow[b]{4}{*}{Two
    (8)} & \multirow{3}{*}{Three} & \multirow{3}{*}{\[
    \begin{array}{|l}
    \text { Four } \\
    \text { or } \\
    \text { more }
    \end{array}
    \]} & & \\
    \hline & & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Any family member \\
    (3)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Husband \\
    (4)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Wife \\
    (5)
    \end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Other} & & & & & \\
    \hline & & & & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Male \\
    (6)
    \end{tabular}} & Fe- & & & & & \\
    \hline & (2) & & & & & (7) & & (9) & (10) & & \\
    \hline All families.-.-.-... & 5,384 & 3,848 & 3,716 & 30 & 69 & 33 & 1,044 & 283 & 98 & 27 & 0.37 \\
    \hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Relief families. \\
    Nonrelief families.-
    \end{tabular}} & 509 & 347 & 315 & 13 & 14 & 5 & 117 & 24 & 7 & 30 & . 38 \\
    \hline & 4,875 & 3,501 & 3,401 & 17 & 55 & 28 & 927 & 259 & 91 & 27 & . 36 \\
    \hline \$0-\$249. & 46 & 30 & 28 & 2 & & & 5 & 1 & & 17 & . 19 \\
    \hline \$250-\$499 & 209 & 157 & 147 & 4 & 5 & 1 & 18 & 7 & & 14 & . 18 \\
    \hline \$500-\$749 . . . . - & 376 & 314 & 302 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 38 & 4 & & 12 & . 13 \\
    \hline \$750-\$999 . . .-..-- & 654 & 538 & 523 & 2 & 8 & 5 & 88 & 15 & 4 & 17 & . 20 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249 & 599 & 471 & 456 & 3 & 7 & 5 & 109 & 7 & 1 & 20 & . 21 \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499 \(\ldots .\). & 491 & 359 & 347 & 1 & 7 & 4 & 98 & 21 & 10 & 26 & . 35 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749 \(\ldots\)--- & 479 & 344 & 335 & & 7 & 2 & 99 & 25 & 8 & 28 & . 37 \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & 454 & 338 & 330 & & 5 & 2 & 87 & 25 & 2 & 25 & . 32 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249 \(\ldots \ldots\) & 311 & 205 & 199 & 1 & 4 & 1 & 84 & 18 & 3 & 34 & . 42 \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499 \(\ldots\).-- & 280 & 174 & 172 & & 1 & 1 & 70 & 24 & 8 & 37 & . 52 \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 ..... & 352 & 208 & 201 & & 5 & 2 & 89 & 38 & 17 & 41 & . 62 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,999 & 340 & 188 & 187 & & 1 & & 85 & 52 & 12 & 44 & . 69 \\
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,999 & 137 & 71 & 70 & & 1 & & 39 & 10 & 15 & 47 & . 78 \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over -- & 147 & 104 & 104 & & & & 18 & 12 & 11 & 28 & . 57 \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    I This percentage was computed by dividing the sum of columns (8), (9), (10) by column (4) of table 3 on p. 304 .
    \({ }_{2}\) Based on the number of families with individual earners, column (4) of table 3 on p. 304.

    \section*{mobile, ala.}

    Table 6.-Sole and supplementary earners: Number of families with individual earners; number of supplementary earners classified as husbands, wives, and others; average earnings of supplementary earners; and average earnings of family from supplementary earners; by income, 1935-36
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{4}{*}{Income class} & \multirow{3}{*}{\[
    \left.\begin{gathered}
    \text { Num- } \\
    \text { ber of } \\
    \text { fami- } \\
    \text { lies }
    \end{gathered} \right\rvert\,
    \]} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Number of families with individual earners} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Number of supplementary earners} & \multirow[b]{4}{*}{Average earnings of all sup-plementary earners \({ }^{3}\)} & \multirow[b]{4}{*}{A verage
    earn-
    ings per
    family
    from
    supple-
    men-
    tary
    earners
    (13)} \\
    \hline & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Any} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{One only} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{More than one \({ }^{1}\)} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{All} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\[
    \begin{gathered}
    \text { Hus- } \\
    \text { bands }
    \end{gathered}
    \]} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Wives} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Others \({ }^{2}\)} & & \\
    \hline & & & \begin{tabular}{|c} 
    Any \\
    family \\
    mem- \\
    ber
    \end{tabular} & Bund & & & & & Male & \(\mathrm{Fe}-\) male & & \\
    \hline & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) & & \\
    \hline All families. & 5,384 & 5, 273 & 3,848 & 3,716 & 1,425 & 1,931 & 309 & 342 & 773 & 507 & \$480 & \$172 \\
    \hline Relief families & 509 & 495 & 347 & 315 & 148 & 188 & 55 & 25 & 57 & 51 & 238 & 88 \\
    \hline Nonrelief families. & 4,875 & 4,778 & 3,501 & 3,401 & 1,277 & 1,743 & 254 & 317 & 716 & 456 & 506 & 181 \\
    \hline \$0-\$249 & 46 & 36 & 30 & 28 & 6 & 7 & 1 & 2 & 4 & & 51 & 8 \\
    \hline \$250-\$499 & 209 & 182 & 157 & 147 & 25 & 32 & 11 & 5 & 14 & 2 & 89 & 14 \\
    \hline \$500-\$749....---- & 376 & 356 & 314 & 302 & 42 & 46 & 8 & 12 & 20 & 6 & 150 & 18 \\
    \hline \$750-\$999........- & 654 & 645 & 538 & 523 & 107 & 131 & 22 & 27 & 52 & 30 & 166 & 33 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249 ... & 599 & 588 & 471 & 456 & 117 & 126 & 23 & 37 & 43 & 23 & 287 & 60 \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499 & 491 & 488 & 359 & 347 & 129 & 170 & 28 & 39 & 70 & 33 & 305 & 105 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749 & 479 & 476 & 344 & 335 & 132 & 176 & 27 & 39 & 64 & 46 & 391 & 144 \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & 454 & 452 & 338 & 330 & 114 & 143 & 30 & 29 & 51 & 33 & 468 & 148 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249 & 311 & 310 & 205 & 199 & 105 & 129 & 17 & 29 & 47 & 36 & 548 & 227 \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499. & 280 & 276 & 174 & 172 & 102 & 144 & 13 & 25 & 56 & 50 & 537 & 276 \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999. & 352 & 352 & 208 & 201 & 144 & 218 & 30 & 36 & 90 & 62 & 576 & 357 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,999 & 340 & 337 & 188 & 187 & 149 & 232 & 28 & 24 & 102 & 78 & 767 & 523 \\
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,999 & 137 & 135 & 71 & 70 & 64 & 106 & 10 & 7 & 56 & 33 & 884 & 684 \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over.. & 147 & 145 & 104 & 104 & 41 & 83 & 6 & 6 & 47 & 24 & 971 & 548 \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}
    \({ }^{1}\) Families that have supplementary earners.
    1 Includes 20 males under 16 years of age.
    8 A verages in this column are based on the corresponding counts of supplementary earners in column (7).
    4 A verages in this column are based on the number of families as ahown in column (2).

    \section*{MOBILE, ALA.}

    Table 6A.-Sole and supplementary earners: Number of families with individual earners; number of supplementary earners classified as husbands, wives, and others; average earnings of supplementary earners; and average earnings of family from supplementary earners; by occupation and income, 1935-36
    [White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow{3}{*}{Income class and occupational group} & \multirow{3}{*}{Number of famlies} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Number of families with individual earners} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Number of supplementary earners} & \multirow[b]{4}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Average earnings of all supplementary earners \({ }^{1}\) \\
    (11)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Average earnings per family from supplementary earners \({ }^{2}\) \\
    (12)
    \end{tabular}} \\
    \hline & & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Any \\
    (3)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    One only \\
    (4)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    More than one \({ }^{3}\) \\
    (5)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    All \\
    (6)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Husbands \\
    (7)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Wives \\
    (8)
    \end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Others \({ }^{4}\)} & & \\
    \hline & & & & & & & & Male & Female & & \\
    \hline (1) & (2) & & & & & & & (9) & (10) & & \\
    \hline Wage eurner & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline All nonrelief families . . & 2, 101 & 2,101 & 1,552 & 54.9 & 738 & 102 & 131 & 316 & 189 & \$410 & \$144 \\
    \hline \$0-\$499 & 139 & 139 & 119 & 20 & 23 & 7 & 6 & 10 & & 79 & 13 \\
    \hline \$500-\$999 & 686 & 686 & 590 & 96 & 117 & 15 & 26 & 49 & 27 & 155 & 26 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,499 & 541 & 541 & 406 & 135 & 161 & 23 & 41 & 63 & 34 & 287 & 85 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,999. & 385 & 385 & 256 & 129 & 174 & 23 & 33 & 70 & 48 & 410 & 185 \\
    \hline \$2,000- \$2,999 & 277 & 277 & 155 & 122 & 179 & 25 & 22 & 78 & 54 & 570 & 368 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$4,999 & 70 & 70 & 23 & 47 & 84 & 9 & 3 & 46 & 26 & 754 & 904 \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over........- & 3 & 3 & 3 & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline Clerical & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline All nonrelief families. - & 1,304 & 1,304 & 891 & 413 & 591 & 120 & 110 & 199 & 162 & 572 & 259 \\
    \hline \$0-\$499 & 37 & 37 & 29 & 8 & 11 & 4 & & 6 & 5 & 97 & 29 \\
    \hline \$500-\$999 & 156 & 156 & 124 & 32 & 35 & 11 & 8 & 11 & 5 & 172 & 39 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,499 & 322 & 322 & 248 & 74 & 90 & 22 & 23 & 26 & 19 & 305 & 85 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,999 & 323 & 323 & 245 & 78 & 100 & 29 & 22 & 27 & 22 & 470 & 145 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,999 & 325 & 325 & 193 & 132 & 192 & 28 & 39 & 69 & 56 & 578 & 341 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$4,999 & 118 & 118 & 42 & 76 & 127 & 22 & 17 & 43 & 45 & 897 & 965 \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over & 23 & 23 & 10 & 13 & 36 & 4 & 1 & 17 & 14 & 886 & 1,388 \\
    \hline Business and professional & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline All nonrelief families. - & 1,388 & 1,366 & 1, 051 & 315 & 414 & 32 & 76 & 201 & 105 & 581 & 173 \\
    \hline \$0-\$499 & 51 & 40 & 37 & 3 & 5 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 63 & 6 \\
    \hline \$500-\$999 & 163 & 157 & 136 & 21 & 25 & 4 & 5 & 12 & 4 & 179 & 27 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,499 & 214 & 212 & 175 & 37 & 45 & 6 & 12 & 24 & 3 & 318 & 67 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,999 & 221 & 220 & 181 & 39 & 45 & 5 & 13 & 18 & 8 & 389 & 79 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,999 & 336 & 335 & 238 & 97 & 120 & 7 & 29 & 46 & 38 & 507 & 181 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$4,999 & 284 & 284 & 194 & 90 & 127 & 7 & 11 & 69 & 40 & 744 & 332 \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over........ & 119 & 118 & 90 & 28 & 47 & 2 & 5 & 30 & 10 & 1,036 & 409 \\
    \hline Other & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline All nonrelief families. - & 82 & 7 & 7 & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}
    \({ }^{1}\) Averages in this column are based on the corresponding counts of supplementary earners in column (6).
    \({ }_{2}\) Averages in this column are based on the number of families as shown in column (2).
    \({ }^{3}\) Families that have supplementary earners.
    \({ }^{4}\) Tncludes persons under 16 years of age as follows: Wage-earner families, 12 males and no females; clerical families, 2 males and no females; business and professional families, 6 males and no females.

    Table \%.-Earnings of supplementary earners: Number of supplementary earners with earnings of specified amount, by family income, 1935-36
    

    MOBILE, ALA,
    TABLE 8.-Husbands as earners: Number and average yearly earnings of husbands classified as principal or supplementary earners, by age and family income, 1935-36
    

    \footnotetext{
    \({ }^{1}\) Excludes 5 principal earners who did not report age.
    \({ }_{2}\) Averages for each age group are based on the corresponding numbers of husbands in the upper section of the table; the 2 averages for all age groups combined are based on the corresponding total numbers of husbands, including those who did not report age.
    *Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
    } family income, 1995-96
    [White families including husband and wifo, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    
    \({ }_{2}\) Excludes I supplementary earner who did not report age. corresponding total numbers of wives, including the one who did not report age.
    *Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    \section*{MOBILE, ALA.}

    Table 10.-Money income other than earnings: Number of families receiving money income other than earnings, and average amount received, by source and total income, 1935-96 \({ }^{1}\)
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Income class} & \multirow{2}{*}{\[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \text { Number } \\
    & \text { of } \\
    & \text { families }
    \end{aligned}
    \]} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Number of families receiving money income other than earnings from-} & \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Average money income, other than earnings, received from 2-} \\
    \hline & & Any source (3) & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Rent from property (net) \\
    (4)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Interest
    and and
    dividends \\
    (5)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Pensions, annuities, benefits \\
    (6)
    \end{tabular} & Gifts for current use (7) & \begin{tabular}{l}
    All
    sources \\
    (8)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Rent from property (net) \\
    (9)
    \end{tabular} & \[
    \begin{gathered}
    \text { Interest } \\
    \text { and } \\
    \text { dividends } \\
    \text { (10) }
    \end{gathered}
    \] & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Pensions, annuities, benefits \\
    (11)
    \end{tabular} & Gifts for current use (12) & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Miscellaneous sources \({ }^{3}\) \\
    (13)
    \end{tabular} \\
    \hline All families. & 5,384 & 1,001 & 287 & 252 & 191 & 216 & \$73 & \$16 & \$19 & \$18 & \$6 & \$14 \\
    \hline Relief families Nonrelief families. & \[
    \begin{array}{r}
    509 \\
    4,875
    \end{array}
    \] & \[
    \begin{array}{r}
    61 \\
    940
    \end{array}
    \] & \({ }_{283}^{4}\) & 3
    249 & 11
    180 & 20
    196 & 25
    79 & 18
    18 & \({ }_{21}^{3}\) & 13
    19 & \(\stackrel{2}{6}\) & \(\begin{array}{r}6 \\ 15 \\ \hline\end{array}\) \\
    \hline \begin{tabular}{l}
    \$0-\$249 \\
    250-\$499 \\
    \(\$ 500-\$ 749\) \\
    \(\$ 1,000-\$ 1,249\) \\
    \$1,250-\$1,499 \\
    \(\$ 1,500-\$ 1,749\) \\
    \$1,750-\$1,999 \\
    \(\$ 2,250-\$ 2,499\) \\
    \(\$ 2,500-\$ 2,999\) \\
    \(\$ 3,000-\$ 3,999\) \\
    \(\$ 4,000-\$ 4,999\) \\
    \(\$ 5,000\) and over.
    \end{tabular} & 46
    209
    376
    654
    699
    491
    499
    479
    454
    311
    280
    352
    340
    137
    147 & 6
    47
    74
    104
    84
    83
    80
    78
    78
    49
    53
    85
    83
    48
    66 & 1
    14
    14
    22
    19
    19
    25
    24
    20
    20
    15
    15
    28
    33
    17
    17
    31 & 1
    \(\mathbf{1}\)
    \(\mathbf{7}\)
    6
    9
    9
    19
    17
    20
    19
    19
    28
    38
    35
    31
    41 & \(\begin{array}{r}1 \\ 10 \\ 18 \\ 18 \\ 26 \\ 16 \\ 16 \\ 12 \\ 8 \\ 10 \\ 16 \\ 14 \\ 14 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ \hline\end{array}\) & 2
    15
    25
    25
    31
    23
    17
    17
    17
    7
    8
    8
    12
    11
    4
    7 & 8
    42
    38
    40
    41
    42
    40
    49
    58
    84
    85
    18
    126
    224
    694 & (**) \(\begin{array}{r}11 \\ 10 \\ 7 \\ 6 \\ 8 \\ 11 \\ 8 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 15 \\ 31 \\ 34 \\ 204 \\ \hline\end{array}\) &  & (**) 15
    16
    15
    19
    12
    14
    7
    15
    39
    22
    34
    44
    28 & 8
    7
    7
    6
    2
    5
    3
    7
    9
    2
    10
    7
    7
    7 & 1
    7
    7
    4
    11
    11
    14
    11
    19
    13
    17
    17
    25
    31
    21
    36 \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}
    \({ }_{2}^{1}\) See glossary for definition of "money income other than earnings."
    2 Averages are based on all families, column (2), whether or not they received money income other than earnings.
    \({ }^{3}\) Includes money income other than earnings from sources other than those specified, including profits from business enterprises partially or wholly owned but not operated by family members. See glossary for further definition of "profts."
    \({ }^{*} \$ \$ 0.50\) or less.

    Table 11.-Nonmoney Income from owned homes: Number of families owning homes with and without mortgages, average rental value, average expense, and average nonmoney income from home ownership, by income, 1995-96
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Income class

    (1)} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Number of families} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Homes free from mortgage} & \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{Mortgaged homes} \\
    \hline & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{All
    (2)} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Owning homes \({ }^{1}\) \\
    (3)
    \end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Families owning homes free from mortgage} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Average rental value? \\
    (6)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Average expense \({ }^{3}\) \\
    (7)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Average nonmoney income \({ }^{4}\)
    (8)} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Families owning mortgaged homes} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Average rental value?} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{A verage expense \({ }^{3}\)} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Average nonmoney income \({ }^{4}\) \\
    (14)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Interest as percentage of rental value \\
    (15)
    \end{tabular}} \\
    \hline & & & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Number \\
    (4)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Percentage \({ }^{3}\) \\
    (5)
    \end{tabular} & & & & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Number \\
    (9)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Percentage \({ }^{3}\) \\
    (10)
    \end{tabular} & & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Interest \\
    (12)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Other \\
    (13)
    \end{tabular} & & \\
    \hline All families_----------------- & 5,384 & 2,000 & 1,171 & 59 & \$271 & \$76 & \$195 & 829 & 41 & \$353 & \$118 & \$99 & \$136 & 33 \\
    \hline Relief families & 509
    4,875 & 90
    1,910 & 50
    1,121 & 56
    59 & 221 & 77
    75 & 144 & 40
    789 & 44 & 216 & 82
    120 & 75
    100 & 59
    140 & 38
    33 \\
    \hline \$0-\$249. & 46 & 12 & 6 & ( \(\dagger\) & 76 & 33 & 43 & 6 & ( \(\dagger\) & 206 & 81 & 75 & 50 & 39 \\
    \hline \$250-\$499 & 209 & 48 & 36 & 75 & 144 & 51 & 93 & 12 & 25 & 192 & 72 & 67 & 53 & 38 \\
    \hline \$500-\$749 & 376 & 89 & 54 & 61 & 129 & 44 & 85 & 35 & 39 & 211 & 87 & 75 & 49 & 41 \\
    \hline \$750-\$999 & 654 & 142 & 87 & 61 & 124 & 42 & 82 & 55 & 39 & 222 & 76 & 77 & 69 & 34 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249. & 599 & 170 & 104 & 61 & 155 & 51 & 104 & 66 & 39 & 247 & 82 & 80 & 85 & 33 \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499.. & 491 & 195 & 98 & 50 & 197 & 62 & 135 & 97 & 50 & 280 & 94 & 87 & 99 & 34 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749.. & 479 & 178 & 97 & 54 & 200 & 60 & 140 & 81 & 46 & 303 & 98 & 91 & 114 & 32 \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & 454 & 164 & 86 & 52 & 231 & 67 & 164 & 78 & 48 & 338 & 122 & 97 & 119 & 36 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249 & 311 & 150 & 91 & 61 & 285 & 80 & 205 & 59 & 39 & 335 & 108 & 97 & 130 & 32 \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499 & 280 & 136 & 74 & 54 & 296 & 81 & 215 & 62 & 46 & 386 & 120 & 106 & 160 & 31 \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 & 352 & 204 & 115 & 56 & 368 & 100 & 268 & 89 & 44 & 432 & 145 & 113 & 174 & 34 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,999. & 340 & 199 & 121 & 61 & 399 & 102 & 297 & 78 & 39 & 481 & 155 & 122 & 204 & 32 \\
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,999 & 137 & 100 & 65 & 65 & 578 & 139 & 439 & 35 & 35 & 577 & 174 & 139 & 264 & 30 \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over.. & 147 & 123 & 87 & 71 & 692 & 157 & 535 & 36 & 29 & 736 & 248 & 164 & 324 & 34 \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}
    \({ }^{1}\) Includes all families occupying owned homes at any time during the report year, but excludes 407 families whose expenses exactly equaled the annual rental value of their homes.
    Data for the latter families, however, are included in the computation of averages.
    \({ }_{3}\) Expense for period of ownership and oceupancy during report year. Expense other than interest, columns (7) and (13), estimated on basis of average relationship between rental value and expense.

    4 Nonmoney income for period of ownership and occupancy during report year. Obtained by deducting estimated expense (including interest) from rental value.
    \({ }^{5}\) Based on number of families owning homes, column (3)
    \(\dagger\) Percentages not computed for fewer than 30 cases.

    \section*{MOBILE, ALA.}

    Table. 12.-Monthly rental value: Number of home-owning families having homes with specified monthly rental value, by income, \(1935-36{ }^{1}\) [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow{2}{*}{Income class} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Number of homeowning and renting families} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Home-owning families} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Average monthly rental value of owned homes ? \\
    (5)
    \end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{12}{|c|}{Number of home-owning families reporting montbly rental value of-} \\
    \hline & & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Number \\
    (3)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Percentage \({ }^{3}\) \\
    (4)
    \end{tabular} & & \begin{tabular}{l}
    \[
    \begin{gathered}
    \text { Under } \\
    \$ 5
    \end{gathered}
    \] \\
    (6)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    \$5-\$9 \\
    (7)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    \$10-\$14 \\
    (8)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    \$15-\$19 \\
    (9)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    \$20-\$24 \\
    (10)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    \(\$ 25-\$ 29\) \\
    (11)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    \(\$ 30-\$ 34\) \\
    (12)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    \$35-\$39 \\
    (13)
    \end{tabular} & \(\$ 40-\$ 44\)
    (14) & \(\$ 45-\$ 54\)
    (15) & \(\$ 55-\$ 74\)
    \((16)\) & \begin{tabular}{l}
    \(\$ 75\) and over \\
    (17)
    \end{tabular} \\
    \hline All families. & 5,350 & 1,979 & 37 & \$30. 40 & 4 & 47 & 161 & 254 & 277 & 295 & 199 & 212 & 176 & 224 & 60 & 70 \\
    \hline Relief families Nonrelief families. & \[
    \begin{array}{r}
    507 \\
    4,843
    \end{array}
    \] & \[
    \begin{array}{r}
    91 \\
    1,888
    \end{array}
    \] & 18
    39 & \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & 18.40 \\
    & 31.00
    \end{aligned}
    \] & 3
    1 & 12
    35 & \(\begin{array}{r}23 \\ 138 \\ \hline\end{array}\) & 17
    237 & 11
    266 & \(\begin{array}{r}13 \\ 282 \\ \hline\end{array}\) & 195 & 2
    210 & 2
    174 & 2
    22 & 1
    59 & 1
    69 \\
    \hline \$0-\$249 & 46 & 12 & 26 & 15.20 & & 2 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 2 & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$250-\$499 & 205 & 47 & 23 & 18.60 & 1 & 3 & 10 & 9 & 13 & 3 & 2 & 2 & 4 & & & \\
    \hline \$500-\$749 & 371 & 88 & 24 & 18.60 & & 8 & 17 & 25 & 17 & 10 & 3 & 5 & & 2 & 1 & \\
    \hline \$750-\$999 & 644 & 139 & 22 & 20.00 & & 10 & 29 & 39 & 24 & 17 & 6. & 7 & 4 & 3 & & \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249 & 593 & 170 & 29 & 21.10 & & 6 & 22 & 43 & 35 & 30 & 19 & 8 & 2 & 4 & 1 & \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499. & 491 & 191 & 39 & 23.60 & & 2 & 21 & 36 & 42 & 36 & 22 & 17 & 7 & 7 & & 1 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749 & 476 & 176 & 37 & 25.50 & & 3 & 16 & 31 & 29 & 38 & 18 & 17 & 12 & 10 & & 2 \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & 452 & 161 & 36 & 28.10 & & & 7 & 16 & 36 & 26 & 27 & 25 & 12 & 9 & 2 & 1 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249 & 311 & 148 & 48 & 29.60 & & 1 & 8 & 13 & 17 & 35 & 11 & 22 & 24 & 16 & 1 & \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499 & 279 & 135 & 49 & 32. 60 & & & 2 & 10 & 17 & 22 & 21 & 20 & 23 & 17 & 2 & 1 \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 & 352 & 202 & 57 & 35.00 & & & 1 & 9 & 17 & 32 & 35 & 39 & 25 & 30 & 9 & 5 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,999 & 340 & 198 & 58 & 39.70 & & & 1 & 2 & 14 & 25 & 21 & 28 & 37 & 52 & 6 & 12 \\
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,999 & 136 & 100 & 74 & 49.10 & & & 1 & & 2 & 3 & 7 & 13 & 11 & 42 & 11 & 10 \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over- & 147 & 121 & 82 & 59.80 & & & & 1 & 1 & 3 & 3 & 7 & 13 & 30 & , 26 & 37 \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    \footnotetext{
    : Includes only those families that did not change living quarters between the end of the report year and the date of interview. Families are classified as home-owning families or renting families according to their status at the date of interview.
    \({ }_{2}\) Based on estimate made by home owner for period of ownership and occupancy during report year. Averages are based on the number of home-owning families, column (3), as of end of report year.
    }
    \({ }^{3}\) Based on the number of home-owning and renting families, column (2).

    \section*{mobile, ala.}

    Table 13.-Monthly rent: Number of renting families reporting specified monthly rent, by income, 1935-36 \({ }^{1}\)
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    

    \section*{mOBILE, ALA.}

    Table 14A.-Average monthly rental value and average monthly rent: Number of home-owning and renting families, average monthly rental value, and average monthly rent, by occupation and income, 1935-36 \({ }^{1}\)
    [White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Income class} & \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{Occupational group: Wage earner} & \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{Occupational group: Clerical} & \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Occupational group: Business and professional} \\
    \hline & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Number of families-} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Percentage of home-owning and renting families \({ }^{2}\)} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Average monthly-} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Number of families-} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Percentage of home-owning and renting families ?} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Average monthly-} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Number of families-} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Percentage of home-owning and renting families \({ }^{2}\)} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Average monthly-} \\
    \hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Homeowning \\
    (2)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Renting \\
    (3)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Homeowning \\
    (4)
    \end{tabular} & Renting (5) & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Rental value \({ }^{3}\) \\
    (6)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Rent \({ }^{4}\) \\
    (7)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Homeowning \\
    (8)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Renting \\
    (9)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Homeowning \\
    (10)
    \end{tabular} & Renting (11) & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Rental value \({ }^{3}\) \\
    (12)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Rent \({ }^{4}\) \\
    (13)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Homeowning \\
    (14)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Renting \\
    (15)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Homeowning \\
    (16)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Renting \\
    (17)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Rental value \({ }^{3}\) \\
    (18)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Rent \\
    (19)
    \end{tabular} \\
    \hline All nonrelief families \({ }^{3}\)-.- & 674 & 1,411 & 32 & 68 & \$23.30 & \$15. 20 & 494 & 800 & 38 & 62 & \$32.00 & \$21.00 & 667 & 715 & 48 & 52 & \$37.60 & \$25. 10 \\
    \hline \$0-\$499 & 24 & 113 & 18 & 82 & 13.70 & 12. 10 & 8 & 28 & 22 & 78 & 23.10 & 13.00 & 10 & 40 & 20 & 80 & 17.50 & 14.90 \\
    \hline \$500-\$999 & 122 & 553 & 18 & 82 & 18.30 & 12. 60 & 37 & 116 & 24 & 76 & 19.90 & 14.30 & 53 & 109 & 33 & 67 & 20.40 & 16.50 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,499 & 185 & 354 & 34 & 66 & 20.40 & 14. 70 & 93 & 226 & 29 & 71 & 23.60 & 18.30 & 76 & 137 & 36 & 64 & 24.40 & 19.00 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,999 & 144 & 240 & 38 & 62 & 23.20 & 18. 20 & 103 & 217 & 32 & 68 & 30.30 & 22. 20 & 87 & 133 & 40 & 60 & 28.00 & 23. 50 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,999 & 150 & 127 & 54 & 46 & 28.80 & 22.00 & 162 & 163 & 50 & 50 & 34.00 & 27.20 & 168 & 167 & 50 & 50 & 34.00 & 29. 10 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$4,899. & 48 & 22 & 68 & 31 & 35.10 & 27. 50 & 71 & 47 & 60 & 40 & 43.10 & 27. 10 & 175 & 108 & 62 & 38 & 44. 60 & 35. 70 \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over & 1 & 2 & ( \({ }^{\text {) }}\) & (t) & (*) & (*) & 20 & 3 & ( \({ }^{\text {) }}\) & ( \(\dagger\) ) & 50.90 & 38.30 & 98 & 21 & 82 & 18 & 61.20 & 53.20 \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}
    \({ }^{1}\) Includes only those families that did not change living quarters between the end of the report year and the date of interview. Families are classified as home-owning families or as renting families according to their status at the date of interview.
    : Based on the number of home owning and renting families in the respective occupational groups. of report year. amount of which is estimated by the family.
    \({ }^{3}\) Of the families classified in the occupational group "Other," 82 did not change their living quarters between the end of the report year and the date of interview. Of the latter group, 53 families, or 65 percent, were owning families. Their average monthly rental value was \(\$ 35.00\). The remaining 29 families were renting families. Their average monthly rent was \(\$ 15.20\).
    \(\dagger\) Percentage not computed for fewer than 30 cases
    *Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    MOBILE, ALA.
    Table 15-16.-Type of living quarters: Number and percentage of owning and renting families occupying specified types of living quarters, by tenure and income, 1995-96 \({ }^{1}\)
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Income class
    (1)} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\[
    \begin{gathered}
    \text { Number } \\
    \text { of fam- } \\
    \text { lies }
    \end{gathered}
    \]} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Number of families occupying-} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Percentage of families occupying 2-} \\
    \hline & & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Onefamily house \\
    (3)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Twofamily house \\
    (4)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Apartment \\
    (5)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Other \({ }^{3}\) \\
    (6)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Onefamily house \\
    (7)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Twofamily house \\
    (8)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Apartment \\
    (9)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Other \({ }^{3}\) \\
    (10)
    \end{tabular} \\
    \hline Owning families, all & 1,979 & 1, 857 & 83 & 18 & 21 & 94 & 4 & 1 & 1 \\
    \hline Relief families Nonrelief families. & \[
    \begin{array}{r}
    91 \\
    1,888
    \end{array}
    \] & \[
    \begin{array}{r}
    89 \\
    1,768
    \end{array}
    \] & 2
    81 & 18 & 21 & 98
    94 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\
    \hline \$0-\$249.. & 12 & 10 & 1 & & 1 & ( 1 ) & ( \(\dagger\) ) & & ( \(\dagger\) \\
    \hline \$250-\$499 & 47 & 40 & 4 & & & 85 & 9 & 6 & \\
    \hline \$500-\$749.........-- & 88 & 75 & 9 & & 1 & 86 & 10 & 3 & 1 \\
    \hline \$750-\$999 & 139 & 130 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 94 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249 & 170 & 160 & 9 & & 1 & 94 & 5 & & 1 \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499 \(\ldots \ldots\) & 191 & 181 & 8 & & 2 & 95 & 4 & & 1 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749 \(\ldots\). & 176 & 168 & 5 & 3 & & 95 & 3 & 2 & \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 . ..... & 161 & 149 & 8 & ---- & 4 & 93 & 5 & & 2 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249 \(\ldots . .\). & 148 & 136 & 6 & & 4 & 92 & 4 & 1 & 3 \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499 ....- & 135 & 130 & 3 & & 2 & 96 & 2 & & 2 \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 ....- & 202 & 191 & 9 & & & 95 & 4 & ( 1 & \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,999 \(\ldots\) & 198 & 185 & 9 & 1 & 3 & 94 & 4 & ( \(\ddagger\) & 2 \\
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,999 & 100 & 94 & 5 & 1 & & 94 & 5 & 1 & \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over..-- & 121 & 119 & 2 & & & 98 & 2 & & \\
    \hline Renting families, all. & 3,371 & 2, 630 & 463 & 202 & 76 & 78 & 14 & 6 & 2 \\
    \hline Relief families & 416 & 351 & 41 & 15 & 9 & 84 & 10 & 4 & 2 \\
    \hline Nonrelief families -- & 2,955 & 2, 279 & 422 & 187 & 67 & 78 & 14 & 6 & 2 \\
    \hline \$0-\$249-..--..----- & 34 & 25 & 6 & 2 & 1 & 73 & 18 & 6 & 3 \\
    \hline \$250-\$499 & 158 & 112 & 29 & 9 & 8 & 71 & 18 & 6 & 5 \\
    \hline \$500-\$749 & 283 & 207 & 39 & 20 & 17 & 73 & 14 & 7 & 6 \\
    \hline \$750-\$999 & 505 & 392 & 78 & 22 & 13 & 78 & 15 & 4 & 3 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249 \(\ldots\) & 423 & 322 & 68 & 23 & 10 & 77 & 16 & 5 & 2 \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499 & 300 & 221 & 57 & 15 & 7 & 74 & 19 & 5 & 2 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749 \(\ldots\) & 300 & 230 & 44 & 24 & 2 & 76 & 15 & 8 & 1 \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & 291 & 234 & 34 & 19 & 4 & 80 & 12 & 7 & 1 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249 \(\ldots\) & 163 & 135 & 16 & 12 & & 83 & 10 & 7 & \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499 \(\ldots\) & 144 & 114 & 18 & 11 & 1 & 79 & 12 & 8 & 1 \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 & 150 & 114 & 17 & 16 & 3 & 76 & 11 & 11 & 2 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,999 & 142 & 118 & 15 & 9 & & 83 & 11 & 8 & \\
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,999 ....-- & 36 & 32 & & 3 & 1 & 89 & & (t) 8 & 3 \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over---- & 26 & 23 & 1 & 2 & & ( \(\dagger\) ) & ( \(\dagger\) & ( \(\dagger\) & \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    \footnotetext{
    \({ }^{1}\) Includes only those families that did not change living quarters between the end of the report year and the date of interview.
    \({ }^{2}\) Percentages are based on number of families in each class, column (2).
    3 Includes dwelling units in business buildings, other types of living quarters not elsewhere specified, and unknown types of living quarters.
    \(\dagger\) Percentages not computed for fewer than 30 cases.
    \(\ddagger 0.5\) percent or less.
    }

    Table 17.-Members of household not in economic family: Number of families having persons in the household who were not members of the economic family, and average number of such nonfamily members, by income, 1935-36
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Income class} & \multirow{3}{*}{Number of families} & \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{Number of families having in the household nonfamily members of specified type:} & \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{Average number of nonfamily members of specified type \({ }^{2}\) (based on families having such members)} \\
    \hline & & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \text { Any } \\
    & \text { non- } \\
    & \text { family } \\
    & \text { mem- } \\
    & \text { ber }
    \end{aligned}
    \] \\
    (3)
    \end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Occupying rooms on nontransient} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Boarders without room} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Tourists and transients} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Guests \\
    (10)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \text { All } \\
    & \text { non- } \\
    & \text { family } \\
    & \text { mem- } \\
    & \text { bers }
    \end{aligned}
    \]} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Occupying rooms on nontransient basis} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Boarders without room} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Tourists and transients \\
    (17)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Guests \\
    (18)
    \end{tabular}} \\
    \hline & & & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Sons and daughters rooming and boarding \\
    (4)
    \end{tabular} & Other roomers with board & Roomers without board & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Paid help \\
    (7)
    \end{tabular} & & & & & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Sons and daughters rooming and boarding \\
    (12)
    \end{tabular} & Other
    roomers
    with
    board
    (13) & Roomers without board & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Paid help \\
    (15)
    \end{tabular} & & & \\
    \hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{All families
    Relief families
    Nonrelief
    families} & 5,384 & 1,505 & 51 & 265 & 271 & 86 & 12 & 2 & 1,011 & 0.7 & 1.1 & 1. 2 & 1.5 & 0.9 & 1.0 & (*) & 0.2 \\
    \hline & \[
    \begin{array}{r}
    509 \\
    4,875
    \end{array}
    \] & \[
    \begin{array}{r}
    89 \\
    1,416
    \end{array}
    \] & 3
    48 & 11
    254 & 29
    242 & \begin{tabular}{|c}
    85 \\
    8
    \end{tabular} & 12 & 2 & 52
    959 & .6
    .7 & 1.1 & .7
    1.2 & 1.1
    1.5 & \({ }^{*}\) ) 9 & 1.0 & (*) & . 2 \\
    \hline \$0-\$249 & 46 & 9 & & 2 & 2 & & & & 5 & . 4 & & (*) & (*) & & & & 2 \\
    \hline \$250-\$499 & 209 & 62 & 3 & 13 & 20 & 2 & & & 32 & . 7 & . 8 & 1.2 & 1.0 & (*) & & & . 2 \\
    \hline \$500-\$749 & 376 & 95 & 5 & 25 & 23 & 1 & & & 53 & . 9 & . 7 & 1.2 & 1.8 & (*) & & & . 2 \\
    \hline \$750-\$999 & 654 & 158 & 8 & 29 & 38 & 4 & 1 & 1 & 99 & . 8 & 1.0 & 1.0 & 1.6 & . 8 & (*) & (*) & . 2 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249. & 599 & 152 & 4 & 27 & 33 & 6 & 1 & 1 & 99 & . 8 & 1.5 & 1.2 & 1.5 & . 5 & (*) & (*) & . 2 \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499 & 491 & 138 & 2 & 20 & 22 & 7 & & ..... & 99 & . 6 & (*) & 1.4 & 1.0 & . 8 & & ( & . 2 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749 & 479 & 141 & 4 & 21 & 20 & 3 & 1 & ---- & 104 & . 5 & 1.0 & 1. 0 & 1.2 & . 9 & (*) & ------ & . 2 \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & 454 & 137 & 4 & 33 & 22 & 5 & 2 & - & 93 & . 8 & 1.1 & 1.4 & 1.8 & . 8 & (*) & ------ & . 2 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249 & 311 & 95 & 3 & 16 & 16 & 3 & 1 & & 68 & . 7 & 1.3 & . 8 & 2.0 & 1.0 & (*) & & . 2 \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499 & 280 & 89 & 5 & 17 & 7 & 6 & & & 63 & . 5 & 1.0 & 1.0 & 1.1 & 1.0 & & & . 2 \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 & 352 & 113 & 5 & 19 & 19 & 9 & 4 & & 76 & 1.0 & 1.2 & 1.4 & 2.5 & . 8 & . 9 & ------- & . 3 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,999 & 340 & 116 & 4 & 19 & 14 & 10 & 1 & & 87 & . 7 & 1.1 & 1.4 & 1.3 & . 9 & (*) & .-... & . 2 \\
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,999 & 137 & 50 & 1 & 7 & 3 & 9 & & & 39 & . 7 & (*) & 1.1 & 1.0 & 1.3 & & & . 2 \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over & 147 & 61 & & 6 & 3 & 20 & 1 & & 42 & . 7 & & 1.2 & 1.2 & 1.0 & \({ }^{*}\) ) & & . 3 \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}
    : Excludes a small number of families which had nonfamily members in the household but which did not report the duration of their membership. See glossary for definition \({ }_{2}\) Averages in each column are based on the corresponding counts of families, in columns (3) through (10). The numbers of nonfamily members is expressed in terms of year-equivalent persons. This figure is computed for each family by dividing by 52 the total number of weeks of residence in the household for all nonmembers of the economic family.
    * Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    MOBILE, ALA.
    Table 18.-Age of husbands and wives: Number of husbands and number of wives, by age and family income, 1935-36
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    
    \({ }^{1}\) Excludes 5 husbands and 10 wives who did not report age.
    \(\ddagger 0.05\) percent or less.
    mobile, ala.
    Table 19.-Report year: Number and percentage distribution of families by date of end of report year, by occupation, 1935-36
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{4}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Date of end of report year \\
    (1)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow{4}{*}{\[
    \begin{gathered}
    \text { All } \\
    \text { fami- } \\
    \text { lies }
    \end{gathered}
    \]} & \multirow[b]{4}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Relief families \\
    (3)
    \end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{Nonrelief families in specified occupational groups} \\
    \hline & & & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    All \\
    (4)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Wage earner \\
    (5)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Clerical} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Business and professional} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Other \\
    (12)
    \end{tabular}} \\
    \hline & & & & & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    All \\
    business professional \\
    (7)
    \end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Independent} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Salaried} & \\
    \hline & & & & & & & Business & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Professional \\
    (9)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Business \\
    (10)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Profes sional \\
    (11)
    \end{tabular} & \\
    \hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{All dates-------} & \multicolumn{11}{|c|}{Number of families} \\
    \hline & 5,384 & 509 & 4,875 & 2, 101 & 1,304 & 1,388 & 591 & 70 & 562 & 165 & 82 \\
    \hline \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \text { Dec. } 31,1935 \ldots-. \\
    & \text { Jan. 31, 1936.... }
    \end{aligned}
    \] & 292 & 25 & 267 & 92 & 61 & 108 & 42 & 17 & 38 & 11 & 6 \\
    \hline Feb. 29, 1936_..- & 3
    460 & 58 & 3
    402

    4 & 193 & 94 & 105 & 45 & & 41 & 14 & 7 \\
    \hline Apr. 30, 1936 & 937 & 94 & 843 & 360 & 258 & 216 & 92 & 5 & 98 & 21 & 9 \\
    \hline May 31, 1936- & 1, 170 & 125 & 1,045 & 474 & 278 & 280 & 127 & 18 & 112 & 23 & 13 \\
    \hline June 30, 1936.... & 1,007 & 88 & 919 & 450 & 230 & 224 & 104 & 5 & 82 & 33 & 15 \\
    \hline July 31, 1936...- & 682 & 71 & 611 & 271 & 164 & 164 & 76 & 5 & 63 & 20 & 12 \\
    \hline Aug. 31, 1936...- & 584 & 33 & 551 & 194 & 147 & 194 & 72 & 10 & 84 & 28 & 16 \\
    \hline Sept. 30, 1936...
    Oct. 31, 1936..- & 208
    32 & 12
    2 & 196
    30 & 54
    4
    4 & \({ }_{6} 7\) & 77
    18 & 24
    8 & \(\begin{array}{r}2 \\ 2 \\ \hline\end{array}\) & 39
    5 & 12
    3 & 3 \\
    \hline Nov. 30, 1936..-- & , & 1 & 8 & 3 & 3 & \(\stackrel{1}{2}\) & & 2 & & & \\
    \hline & \multicolumn{11}{|c|}{Percentage} \\
    \hline All dates.- & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 \\
    \hline Dec. 31, 1935 & 5 & 5 & 6 & 4 & 5 & 8 & 7 & 25 & 7 & 7 & 7 \\
    \hline Feb. 29, 1936-... & ( \(\ddagger\) ) & & & ( \({ }^{\text {( }}\) & & & & & & & \\
    \hline Mar. 31, 1936...- & 8
    17 & 19 & 8
    17 & 9
    17 & 20 & 16 & 8
    16 & 7 & 17 & 13 & \({ }^{8}\) \\
    \hline May 31, 1936-... & 22 & 25 & 21 & 23 & 21 & 20 & 21 & 26 & 20 & 14 & 16 \\
    \hline June 30, 1936---- & 19 & 17 & 19 & 22 & 18 & 16 & 18 & 7 & 15 & 20 & 18 \\
    \hline July 31, 1936--- & \({ }_{11}^{13}\) & 14 & \({ }_{11}^{13}\) & & 13
    11 & \(1 \begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & 14\end{aligned}\) & 13
    12 & 14 & 11 & 12
    17 & 15
    20 \\
    \hline \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \text { Aug. 31, } 1936 \\
    & \text { Sept. 30, } 1936
    \end{aligned}
    \] & 11
    4 & & 11
    4
    1 & & 11
    5 & 14
    5 & 12
    4
    1 & 14
    3
    3 & 15
    7 & 17 & \(\begin{array}{r}20 \\ 4 \\ \hline\end{array}\) \\
    \hline Oct. 31, 1936...--
    Nov. \(30,1936 .\). & ( \(\ddagger{ }^{1}\) & \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & (\ddagger) \\
    & (\ddagger)
    \end{aligned}
    \] & \((\ddagger){ }^{1}\) & ( \(\ddagger\) & ( \({ }_{\text {( }}\) ) & ( \({ }^{1}{ }^{1}\) & ( \(\ddagger{ }^{1}\) & \({ }_{1}^{3}\) & 1 & 2 & 1 \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}
    \(\ddagger 0.5\) percent or less.

    \section*{MOBILE, ALA.}

    Table 1.-Family type: Number of families of specified types and average number of persons per family, by income, 1935-36
    [Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupationaJ groups combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{4}{*}{Income class} & \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{Number of families of type \({ }^{1}\) -} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{A verage number of persons per family ?} \\
    \hline & \multirow{2}{*}{All} & \multirow{2}{*}{I} & \multirow{2}{*}{II} & \multirow{2}{*}{III} & \multirow{2}{*}{IV} & \multirow{2}{*}{V} & \multirow{2}{*}{VI} & \multirow{2}{*}{VII} & \multirow{2}{*}{VIII} & \multirow{2}{*}{Other} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \text { All } \\
    & \text { mem- } \\
    & \text { bers }
    \end{aligned}
    \]} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Other than husband and wife} \\
    \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & Un-
    der
    16 & 16
    and
    over \\
    \hline & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) & (12) & (13) & (14) \\
    \hline All families.. & 3,370 & 1,154 & 463 & 260 & 603 & 270 & 247 & 223 & 34 & 116 & 3.7 & 1.2 & 0.6 \\
    \hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Relief families Nonrelief families.} & 781 & 200 & 88 & 86 & 126 & 80 & 85 & 66 & 4 & 46 & 4.2 & 1.7 & . 6 \\
    \hline & 2,589 & 954 & 375 & 174 & 477 & 190 & 162 & 157 & 30 & 70 & 3.6 & 1.1 & . 5 \\
    \hline \$0-\$249 & 259 & 136 & 42 & 16 & 38 & 6 & 14 & 3 & 2 & 2 & 2.9 & . 6 & . 3 \\
    \hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\$250-\$499} & 829 & 340 & 133 & 72 & 123 & 43 & 66 & 38 & 3 & 11 & 3.4 & 1.1 & . 3 \\
    \hline & 773 & 273 & 114 & 46 & 154 & 63 & 43 & 50 & 10 & 20 & 3. 6 & 1.1 & . 5 \\
    \hline \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \$ 500-\$ 749 . \\
    & \$ 750-\$ 999 .
    \end{aligned}
    \] & 384 & 131 & 52 & 23 & 76 & 39 & 24 & 26 & 2 & 11 & 3.7 & 1. 1 & . 6 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249 & 170 & 53 & 19 & 6 & 39 & 17 & 5 & 16 & 7 & 8 & 4. 0 & 1.0 & 1.0 \\
    \hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\(\$ 1,250-\$ 1,499\)
    \(\$ 1,500-\$ 1,749\)} & 58 & 5 & 6 & 5 & 15 & 7 & 2 & 9 & 2 & 7 & 5. 2 & 1.7 & 1.5 \\
    \hline & 34 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 11 & 5 & 2 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 4.8 & 1.4 & 1.4 \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & 20 & 4 & 1 & & 5 & 4 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 4. 4 & 1.2 & 1.2 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249 & 26 & 5 & 3 & 1 & 7 & 3 & 2 & 4 & 1 & & 4.2 & 1.3 & 1.0 \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499. & 23 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 3 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 5 & 5. 8 & 2.3 & 1.5 \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999.. & 6 & 2 & & & 2 & & -- & 1 & & 1 & 4.7 & 1.0 & 1.7 \\
    \hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \$ 3,000-\$ 3,499 \\
    & \$ 3,500-\$ 3,999
    \end{aligned}
    \]} & 4 & & --- & & 2 & & - & 2 & & & 5. 6 & 1.8 & 1.8 \\
    \hline & 1 & & & & 1 & & & & & & (*) & (*) & (*) \\
    \hline \$3,500-\$3,999 & 1 & & & & & & & & & 1 & (*) & (*) & (*) \\
    \hline \$4,500-\$4,999 & 1 & & & & & & & & & 1 & (*) & (*) & (*) \\
    \hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\$5,000-\$7,499} & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\[
    \$ 7,500-\$ 9,999
    \]} & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\$10,000 and over} & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}
    \({ }^{1}\) Family type:
    I. 2 persons. Husband and wife only.
    II. 3 persons. Husband, wife, 1 child under 16 and no others.
    III. 4 persons. Husband, wife, 2 children under 16 and no others.
    IV. 3 or 4 persons. Husband, wife, 1 person 16 or over, and 1 or no other person regardless of age.
    V. 5 or 6 persons. Husband, wife, 1 child under 16, 1 person 16 or over, and 1 or 2 other persons regardless of age.
    VI. 5 or 6 persons. Husband, wife, 3 or 4 children under 16 and no others.
    VII. 7 or 8 persons. Husband, wife, 1 child under 16, 4 or 5 other persons regardless of age.
    VIII. 5 or 6 persons. Husband, wife, 3 or 4 persons 16 or over.

    Other. 7 or more persons. All types not included in I through VIII.
    \({ }_{2}\) These are year-equivalent persons. The sum of columns (13) and (14) plus 2 (husband and wife) does not always equal column (12). For the methods used in deriving these averages, see glossary.
    *Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    MOBILE, ALA.
    Table 1A.-Family type: Number of families of specified types and average number of persons per family, by occupation and income, 1935-36
    [Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{4}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Income class and occupational group \\
    (1)
    \end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{Number of families of type 1-m} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{A verage number of persons per family \({ }^{2}\)} \\
    \hline & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{All} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{I} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{II} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{III} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{IV} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{V} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{VI} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{VII} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{VIII} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Other} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\[
    \begin{gathered}
    \text { All } \\
    \text { mem- } \\
    \text { bers }
    \end{gathered}
    \]} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Other than husband and wife} \\
    \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \text { Un- } \\
    & \text { der } \\
    & 16
    \end{aligned}
    \] & and over \\
    \hline & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) & (12) & (13) & (14) \\
    \hline Wage earner & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline All nonrelief families. & 2, 276 & 842 & 339 & 155 & 411 & 165 & 150 & 134 & 24 & 56 & 3.5 & 1.0 & 0.5 \\
    \hline \$0-\$249 & 233 & 119 & 41 & 15 & 35 & 5 & 12 & 3 & 2 & & 2.9 & 6 & 3 \\
    \hline \$250-\$499 & 753 & 299 & 123 & 69 & 111 & 40 & 64 & 35 & 2 & 10 & 3.4 & 1.1 & 3 \\
    \hline \$500-\$749 & 703 & 253 & 107 & 44 & 136 & 55 & 40 & 43 & 7 & 18 & 3.6 & 1.1 & . 5 \\
    \hline \$750-\$999 & 343 & 115 & 49 & 19 & 67 & 35 & 23 & 23 & 2 & 10 & 3.7 & 1.1 & . 6 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249 & 139 & 43 & 13 & 2 & 34 & 16 & 5 & 13 & 6 & 7 & 4.1 & 1.0 & 1.1 \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499 & 47 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 11 & 6 & 2 & 9 & 1 & 6 & 5.4 & 1.8 & 1.6 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749 & 27 & 3 & 2 & 2 & 8 & 5 & 1 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 5.0 & 1.6 & 1.4 \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & 14 & 3 & & & 3 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 4.5 & 1.1 & 1.4 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249 & 8 & 2 & -- & & 3 & & & 2 & 1 & & 4.6 & 1.0 & 1.6 \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499 & 2 & 1 & & & 2 & & 1 & & 1 & & (*) & \({ }^{(*)}\) & \({ }^{*}{ }^{\text {a }}\) \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,499 & 2 & & & & 1 & & & 1 & & 1 & (*) & (*) & (*) \\
    \hline \$3,500-\$3,999 & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,499 & \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{} & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$4,500-\$4,999 & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \multicolumn{14}{|l|}{\$5,000-\$7,499} \\
    \hline \$7,500-\$9,999 & & & & & & -- & - & -- & & - & & & \\
    \hline \multicolumn{14}{|l|}{} \\
    \hline Clerical & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline All nonrelief families. & 74 & 12 & 12 & 6 & 19 & 10 & 5 & 7 & 1 & 2 & 4.2 & 1.4 & 0.8 \\
    \hline \$0-\$249 & & 1 & & 1 & & & 1 & & & & 3.7 & 1.7 & \\
    \hline \$250-\$499 & 8 & 2 & & 2 & & & & & & & 3.7 & 1.0 & . 7 \\
    \hline \$500-\$749 & 13 & 2 & & 1 & 4 & 1 & 1 & & 1 & & 3. 6 & . 8 & 8 \\
    \hline \$750-\$999 & 6 & \({ }_{1}^{2}\) & 2 & & 1 & 1 & & 3 & & & 3.0
    4.7 & 7 & \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499... & 2 & & 1 & & 1 & & & & & & (*) & (*) & \({ }^{(*)}\) \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749 & 1 & & & 1 & & & & & & & (*) & (*) & \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999. & 2 & & 1 & & & 1 & & & & & (*) & (*) & (*) \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249. & 14 & 2 & 2 & & 3 & 3 & 2 & 2 & & & 4.4 & 1.6 & . 8 \\
    \hline \$2, 250-\$2,499 & 16 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 3 & 3 & 1 & 2 & & 2 & 5.1 & 2.3 & . 8 \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$3,500-\$3,999 & \(1^{-}\) & & & & 1 & & & & & & (*) & (*) & (*) \\
    \hline \multicolumn{14}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{\(\$ 4,000-\$ 4,499\)
    \(\$ 4,500-\$ 4,999\)}} \\
    \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\$ \(\$ 7,000-800-\$ 9,999\)} & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$10,000 and ove & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    See footnotes at end of table.

    MOBILE, ALA.
    Table 1A.-Family type: Number of families of specified types and avearge number of persons per family, by occupation and income, 1935-96-Continued
    [Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
    

    See footnotes at end of table.

    \section*{MOBILE, ALA.}

    Table 1A.-Family type: Number of families of specified types and average number of persons per family, by occupation and income, 1935-36-Continued
    [Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{4}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Income class and occupational group \\
    (1)
    \end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{Number of families of type \({ }^{\mathbf{L}}\)} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Average number of persons per family \({ }^{2}\) -} \\
    \hline & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    All \\
    (2)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    I \\
    (3)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    II \\
    (4)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    III \\
    (5)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    IV \\
    (6)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    V \\
    (7)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    VI \\
    (8)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    VII \\
    (9)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    VIII \\
    (10)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Other \\
    (11)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    All menbers \\
    (12)
    \end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Other than husband and wife} \\
    \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \text { Un- } \\
    & \text { der } \\
    & 16
    \end{aligned}
    \] & \[
    \begin{gathered}
    16 \\
    \text { and } \\
    \text { over }
    \end{gathered}
    \] \\
    \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & (13) & (14) \\
    \hline \multicolumn{14}{|l|}{Salaried business and professional} \\
    \hline All nonrelief families & 54 & 22 & 5 & 6 & 12 & 4 & ---- & 4 & --.- & 1 & 3.3 & 0.7 & 0.6 \\
    \hline \$0-\$249 & 2 & 2 & & & & & & & & & (*) & & \\
    \hline \$250-\$499 & 10 & 4 & 2 & 1. & 1 & 1 & & 1 & & & 3.5 & 1. 2 & 3 \\
    \hline \$500-\$749 & 11 & 6 & & & 3 & 1 & & 1 & & & 3.0 & . 3 & . 7 \\
    \hline \$750-\$999------------1- & 8 & 3 & & 1. & 2 & 1 & ...- & 1 & & & 3.8 & 1.0 & . 8 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249 & 10 & \({ }^{6}\) & 1 & 3 & & & & -- & & & 2.7 & . 7 & ---- \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499 \(\ldots \ldots\) & 7 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 1 & & & & & 3.4 & . 6 & . 8 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749 & 3 & & 1 & & 1 & & & 1 & & & 4.7 & . 7 & 2.0 \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & 1 & & & & 1 & & & & & & & & (*) \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499 & 2 & & & & 1 & & & & & 1 & (*) & ---- & (*) \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,499 & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$3,500-\$3,999 & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$4, \(000-\$ 4,499\) & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$4,500-\$4,999...... & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$5,000-\$7,499 & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$7,500-\$9,999 & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$10,000 and over-..-- & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline Other \({ }^{3}\) & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline All nonrelief families. & 23 & 13 & 2 & & 6 & & 1 & ----- & & 1 & 3.1 & . 7 & . 4 \\
    \hline \$0-\$249 ------------- & 3 & 2 & & & & & & & & 1 & 4.3 & 2.3 & \\
    \hline \$250-\$499----.------- & 11 & 7 & & & 3 & & 1 & & & & 2. 7 & . 4 & . 3 \\
    \hline \$500-\$749.............. & 4 & 1 & & & 3 & & & & & & 4.5 & . 8 & 1.8 \\
    \hline \$750-\$999 & 2 & 2 & & & & & & & & & (*) & & \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249 & 2 & 1 & 1 & & & & & & & & \({ }^{*}\) ) & (*) & ----- \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749 & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249 & 1 & & 1 & & & & & & & & (*) & (*) & ------- \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499 & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,499 & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$3,500-\$3,999 & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,499 & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$4,500-\$4,999 & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \[
    \$ 5,000-\$ 7,499---
    \] & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \[
    \$ 7,500-\$ 9,999
    \] & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$10,000 and over..... & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    For footnotes 1 and 2, see table 1 cn p. 322.
    \({ }^{3}\) This group contains 3 families engaged in farming, a group too small to be separately classified, and families having no gainfully employed members.
    *Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    \section*{MOBILE, ALA.}

    Table 2.-Sources of family income: Number of families receiving income from specified sources, and average amount of such income, by income, 1935-36
    [Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    

    \footnotetext{
    I See glossary for definition of "earnings.'
    \({ }^{2}\) Includes 567 families, 490 of whiob were nonrelief, which had money income other than earnings and no business losses met from family funds; 4 families, all of which were nonrelief, which had business losses met from family funds and no money income other than earnings, and 1 family which was nonrelief, which had both money income and business losses met from family funds. There were, therefore, 568 families, 491 of which were nonrelief, which had money income other than earnings, whether or not they had business losses met from family funds; and there were 5 families, all of which were nonrelief, which had business losses met from family funds, whether or not they had money income other than earnings. These latter 5 families were found in the fcllowing income classes: \(\$ 500-\$ 749,1 ; \$ 750-\$ 999,3 ; \$ 1,000-\$ 1,249,1\). See glossary for definitions of "money income other than earnings" and "business losses."
    3 The total of the numbers of families in columns (6) and (7), since no family reported nonmoney income from both sources.
    \({ }^{4}\) Includes families with losses from owned homes, as well as families whose estimated rental value of owned homes for the period of ownership and occupancy exceeded estimated expenses allocable to that period. There were 10 families, 8 of which were nonrelief, with losses from owned homes (i. e., families whose estimated rental value was less than estimated expenses). The latter 8 families were found in the following income classes: \(\$ 0-\$ 249,3 ; \$ 250-\$ 499,2 ; \$ 500-\$ 749,1 ; \$ 750-\$ 999,1 ; \$ 1,500-\$ 1,749,1\). Excludes 7 families whose estimated rental value of owned homes was equal to estimated expenses.
    }

    MOBILE, ALA.
    Table 2.-Sources of family income: Number of families receiving income from specified sources, and average amount of such income, by income, 1935-96 \({ }^{1}\) Continued
    [Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Income class

    (1)} & \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{Average family income} \\
    \hline & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Total \\
    (2)
    \end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Money income from-} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Nonmoney income from-} \\
    \hline & & \begin{tabular}{l}
    \[
    \underset{\text { sources }}{\text { All }}
    \] \\
    (3)
    \end{tabular} & Earnings \({ }^{2}\) & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Other sources (positive or negative) \({ }^{3}\) \\
    (5)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    \(\underset{\text { sources }}{\text { All }}\) \\
    (6)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Owned home (positive or negative) \({ }^{4}\) \\
    (7)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Rent as pay \\
    (8)
    \end{tabular} \\
    \hline All families_, & \({ }^{5} \$ 578\) & \$558 & \$543 & \$15 & \$20 & \$18 & \$2 \\
    \hline Relief families Nonrelief families & \[
    \begin{array}{r}
    341 \\
    5649
    \end{array}
    \] & \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & 335 \\
    & 624
    \end{aligned}
    \] & 330
    606 & \(\begin{array}{r}5 \\ 18 \\ \hline\end{array}\) & 6
    25 & 5
    23 & 1 \\
    \hline \$0-\$249 & 182 & 173 & 166 & & & & \\
    \hline \$250-\$499.- & 386 & 372 & 362 & 10 & 14 & 12 & 2 \\
    \hline \$500-\$749 & \({ }_{6} 614\) & 596 & 580 & 10 & 18 & 17 & \\
    \hline \$750-8999 -- & 851 & +822 & 799 & \({ }_{60}^{23}\) & \({ }_{51}^{29}\) & \({ }^{28}\) & 1 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249 & 1,109
    1,360 & 1,058
    1,280 & +998
    \(\times 1,214\) & 60
    66 & 80 & 44
    65 & 15 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749 & 1, 598 & 1,515 & 1,478 & 37 & 83 & 78 & 5 \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999. & 1, 878 & 1,809 & 1,730 & 79 & 69 & 69 & \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249 & 2, 157 & 2,066 & 1,969 & 97 & 91 & 91 & \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499 & 2, 352 & 2,211 & \(\stackrel{2}{2} 190\) & 21 & 141 & 120 & 21 \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 & 2,681 & 2,537 & \(\stackrel{2}{2} 483\) & 54 & 144 & 144 & \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,999... & 3,364 & \(\underset{(*)}{3,250}\) & \({ }_{(*)}^{3,176}\) & (*) 74 & \({ }^{4} 114\) & (*) 114 & \\
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,999...- & \({ }^{*}\) ) & \({ }^{*}\) ) & \({ }^{(*)}\) & (*) & (*) & & \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    \footnotetext{
    \({ }^{1}\) The averages in each column are based on all families, column (2) of table 2, whether or not they receive income from the specified source. A verages in columns (2), (3), (5), (6), and (7) are net figures, after deduction for all families of business losses met from family funds or expenses for owned homes.
    \({ }^{2}\) See glossary for definition of "earnings."
    \({ }^{3}\) Includes money income other than earnings, after deduction of business losses met from family funds.
    See glossary for definitions of "money income other than earnings" and "business losses."
    \({ }^{4}\) Represents the estimated rental value of owned homes for the period of ownership and occupancy, less estimated expenses allocable to that period.
    \({ }^{5}\) Median income for all families was \(\$ 481\); for nonrelief families, \(\$ 567\).
    * A verages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
    }

    \section*{MOBILE, ALA.}

    Table 2A.-Sources of family income: Number of families receiving income from specified sources, and average amount of such income, by occupation and income, 1935-36
    [Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Income class and occupational group \\
    (1)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Number of families} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Number of families receiving-} \\
    \hline & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Money income from-} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Nonmoney income from-} \\
    \hline & & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Earnings 1 \\
    (3)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Other sources (positive or negative) \({ }^{2}\) \\
    (4)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Any
    source \\
    (5)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Owned home (positive or negative) 4 \\
    (6)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Rent as pay \\
    (7)
    \end{tabular} \\
    \hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Wage earner} \\
    \hline & 2,276 & 2,276 & 400 & 542 & 517 & 25 \\
    \hline \[
    \$ 0-\$ 499 \ldots-
    \] & 986
    1,046 & 986
    1,046 & 150
    182 & 161
    254 & 149 & 12 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,499 & 186 & 186 & 48 & 90 & 89 & 1 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,999 & 41 & 41 & 14 & 25 & 25 & \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,999 & 15 & 15 & 5 & 11 & 11 & ---... \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$4,999- & 2 & 2 & 1 & & 1 & \\
    \hline Clerical & & & & & & \\
    \hline All nonrelief families. & 74 & 74 & 18 & 48 & 48 & ---.----- \\
    \hline \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \$ 0-\$ 499 . . . \\
    & \$ 500-\$ 999
    \end{aligned}
    \] & 11 & 11
    19 & 4
    2 & 3
    7 & 3 & --------- \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,499. & 10 & 10 & 5 & 8 & 8 & \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,999. & 3 & 3 & & 3 & 3 & \\
    \hline  & 30 & 30 & 7 & 26 & 26 & \\
    \hline \begin{tabular}{l}
    \(\$ 3,000-\$ 4,999\) \\
    \(\$ 5,000\) and over
    \end{tabular} & 1 & 1 & & 1 & 1 & \\
    \hline Business and professional & & & & & & \\
    \hline All nonrelief families & 216 & 216 & 62 & 118 & 101 & 17 \\
    \hline \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \$ 0-\$ 499 \\
    & \$ 500-\$ 999
    \end{aligned}
    \] & 77
    86 & 77
    86 & 20
    18 & 32
    49 & 28
    48 & 4 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,499. & 30 & 30 & 13 & 21 & 12 & 9 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,999. & 10 & 10 & 5 & 7 & 5 & 2 \\
    \hline \$8, \(\$ 2,000-\$ 4,999\). & & & \({ }_{3}^{3}\) & & \(\stackrel{5}{3}\) & \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over----------------- & & & & & & \\
    \hline Other & & & & & & \\
    \hline All nonrelief families. & 23 & 8 & 15 & 10 & 10 & --..-....- \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    \footnotetext{
    1 See glossary for deflnition of "earnings."
    2 Includes families having money income other than earnings, families having business losses met from family funds, and families having both such income and such losses. See glossary for definitions of "money income other than earnings" and "business losses."
    \({ }^{3}\) The total of the numbers of families in columns (6) and (7), since no family reported nonmoney income from both sources.
    \({ }^{4}\) Includes families with losses from owned homes, as well as families whose estimated rental value of owned homes for the period of ownership and occupancy exceeded estimated expenses allocable to that period.
    }

    \section*{MOBILE, ALA.}

    Table 2A.-Sources of family income: Number of families receiving income. from specified sources, and average amount of such income, by occupation and income, 1935-96 1 -Continued
    [Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{4}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Income class and occupational group \\
    (1)
    \end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{Average family income} \\
    \hline & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Total \\
    (2)
    \end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{Money income from-} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Nonmoney income from-} \\
    \hline & & All sources & Earnings \({ }^{2}\) & Other sources (positive or negative) \({ }^{3}\) & \[
    \begin{gathered}
    \text { All } \\
    \text { sources }
    \end{gathered}
    \] & Owned home (positive or negative) & Rent as pay \\
    \hline & & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) \\
    \hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Wage earner \\
    All nonrelief families.
    \end{tabular}} & & & & & & & \\
    \hline & \({ }^{5} \$ 609\) & \$590 & \$577 & \$13 & \$19 & \$18 & \$1 \\
    \hline \$0-\$499 & 340 & 329 & 322 & 7 & 11 & 10 & 1 \\
    \hline \$500-\$999. & 691 & 673 & 660 & 13 & 18 & 17 & 1 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,499. & 1,169 & 1,120 & 1,074 & 46 & 49 & 49 & (**) \\
    \hline \$1,500 \$1,999 & 1,690 & 1,621 & 1,582 & 39 & 69 & 69 & \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,999 & 2,329 & 2,231 & 2, 215 & 16 & 98 & 98 & \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$4,999. & \({ }^{*}\) ) & (*) & (*) & (*) & (*) & (*) & --.------- \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over.- & & & & & & & \\
    \hline Clerical & & & & & & & \\
    \hline All nonrelief families & 31,436 & 1,354 & 1,321 & 33 & 82 & 82 & ----------- \\
    \hline \$0-\$499 & 340 & 321 & 303 & 18 & 19 & 19 & ---------- \\
    \hline \$500-\$999 & 691 & 662 & 661 & (**) & 29 & 29 & --------- \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,499 & 1,177 & 1,095 & 912 & 183 & 82 & 82 & ------------ \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,999. & 1, 873 & 1,714 & 1,714 & & 159 & 159 & \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,999 & 2,278 & 2,146 & 2, 134 & 12 & 132 & 132 & ------------ \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$4,999 & (*) & (*) & \({ }^{*}\) ) & & (*) & (*) & ------------- \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over & & & & & & & \\
    \hline Business and professional & & & & & & & \\
    \hline All nonrelief families--..---- & 5810 & 750 & 726 & 24 & 60 & 46 & 14 \\
    \hline \$0-\$499. & 315 & 282 & 270 & 12 & 33 & 27 & 6 \\
    \hline \$500-\$999 & 719 & 666 & 659 & 7 & 53 & 52 & 1 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,499. & 1, 192 & 1,083 & 1,038 & 45 & 109 & 48 & 61 \\
    \hline \$1,500-81,999. & 1,697 & 1,603 & 1,485 & 118 & 94 & 75 & 19 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,999 & 2,323 & 2,202 & 2, 132 & 70 & 121 & 67 & 54 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$4,999 & 3,816 & 3,690 & 3,545 & 145 & 126 & 126 & -..------- \\
    \hline Other & & & & & & & \\
    \hline All nonrelief families. & 563 & 525 & 122 & 403 & 38 & 38 & \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    \footnotetext{
    \({ }^{1}\) The averages in each column are based on all families, column (2) of table 2A, whether or not they received income from the specifled source. Averages in columns (2), (3), (5), (6), and (7) are net figures, after deduction for all families of business losses, met from family funds or expenses for owned homes.
    \({ }^{2}\) See glossary for definition of "earnings."
    \({ }^{3}\) Includes money income other than earnings, after deduction of business losses met from family funds.
    See glossary for definitions of "money income other than earnings and business losses."
    \({ }^{4}\) Represents the estimated rental value of owned home for the period of ownership and occupancy, less estimated expenses allocable to that period.
    \({ }_{8}\) Median incomes were as follows: Wage-earner families, \(\$ 573\); clerical families, \(\$ 1,350\); business and professional families, \(\$ 680\).
    *A verages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
    ** \(\$ 0.50\) or less.
    }

    \section*{MOBLLE, ALA.}

    Table 3.-Money earnings: Number of families receiving net money earnings and average net money earnings received from each source, by income, 1935-96

    Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All oceupational groups and all family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Income class} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Number of families} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Number of families receiving net money earnings from-} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Average net money earnings from \({ }^{1}\)} \\
    \hline & & Any source & Individual earners & \[
    \begin{gathered}
    \text { Roomers } \\
    \text { and } \\
    \text { boarders }{ }^{2}
    \end{gathered}
    \] & Other work not attributable to individuals & All sources & Individual earners & Roomers and boarders and other work \({ }^{3}\) \\
    \hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) \\
    \hline All families.-. & 3,370 & 3,338 & 3,333 & 298 & 37 & \$543 & \$538 & \$5 \\
    \hline Relief families Nonrelief families. & \[
    \begin{array}{r}
    781 \\
    2,589
    \end{array}
    \] & \[
    \begin{array}{r}
    764 \\
    2,574
    \end{array}
    \] & \[
    \begin{array}{r}
    762 \\
    2,571
    \end{array}
    \] & 42
    256 & 7
    30 & \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & 330 \\
    & 606
    \end{aligned}
    \] & 327
    600 & 3
    6 \\
    \hline \$0-\$249. & 259 & 257 & 256 & 31 & 5 & 166 & 160 & 6 \\
    \hline \$250-\$499 & 829 & 824 & 822 & 97 & 10 & 362 & 355 & 7 \\
    \hline \$500-\$749 & 773 & 770 & 770 & 80 & 11 & 586 & 580 & 6 \\
    \hline \$750-\$999 & 384 & 382 & 382 & 34 & 3 & 799 & 794 & 5 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249 & 170 & 168 & 168 & 10 & 1 & 998 & 995 & 3 \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499 & 58 & 58 & 58 & 2 & & 1,214 & 1,207 & 7 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749 & 34 & 34 & 34 & & & 1,478 & 1,478 & \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999. & 20 & 20 & 20 & 1 & & 1, 730 & 1, 728 & 2 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249 & 26 & 25 & 25 & 1 & & 1,969 & 1, 967 & 2 \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499 & 23 & 23 & 23 & & & 2, 190 & 2, 190 & \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 & 6 & 6 & 6 & & & 2, 483 & 2, 483 & \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,999 & 5 & 5 & 5 & & & 3,176 & 3,176 & \\
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,999. & 2 & 2 & 2 & & & (*) & (*) & \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over. & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}
    \({ }^{1}\) The averages in each column are based on all families, column (2), whether or not they received money earnings from the specified source.
    \({ }^{2}\) Includes only families which had net money earnings from roomers and boarders (i. e., whose gross income from roomers and boarders exceeded estimated expenses). In addition, there were a fow families which had roomers and boarders but which received from them no net money earnings.
    \({ }_{3}\) Includes net money earnings from roomers and boarders and from other work not attributable to individuals (casual work in home such as laundry and sewing). Average net money earnings of all families from other work not attributable to individuals were \(\$ 1\).
    *Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    MOBLLE, ALA.
    Table 3A.-Money earnings: Number of families receiving net money earnings and average net money earnings received from each source, by occupation and income, 1995-36
    [Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native bòrn: All family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Income class and occupational group} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Number of families \\
    (2)
    \end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Number of families receiving net money earnings from-} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Average net money earnings from \({ }^{1}\)} \\
    \hline & & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Any source \\
    (3)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Individual earners \\
    (4)
    \end{tabular} & Roomers and boarders \({ }^{2}\) & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Other work not attributable to individuals \\
    (6)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    All
    sources \\
    (7)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Individual earners \\
    (8)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Roomers and boarders and other work \({ }^{3}\) \\
    (9)
    \end{tabular} \\
    \hline \begin{tabular}{l}
    Wage earner \\
    All nonrelief families..
    \end{tabular} & 2, 276 & 2,276 & 2, 276 & 214 & 26 & \$577 & \$572 & \$5 \\
    \hline \$0-\$499 & 986 & 986 & 986 & 101 & 12 & 322 & 317 & \\
    \hline \$500-\$999 & 1,046 & 1,046 & 1, 046 & 101 & 13 & 660 & 654 & 6 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,499 & 186 & 186 & 186 & 11 & 1 & 1,074 & 1, 069 & 5 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,999 & 41 & 41 & 41 & & & 1,582 & 1,582 & \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,999 & 15 & 15 & 15 & 1 & & 2, 215 & 2, 213 & 2 \\
    \hline \$5,000-\$4,999. & 2 & 2 & 2 & & & \({ }^{(*)}\) & (*) & \\
    \hline Clerical & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline All nonrelief families. & 74 & 74 & 74 & 6 & & 1,321 & 1,317 & 4 \\
    \hline \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \$ 0-\$ 499 .- \\
    & \$ 500-\$ 999
    \end{aligned}
    \] & 11
    19 & 11 & 11 & \(\stackrel{2}{3}\) & & \({ }_{661}^{303}\) & \({ }_{649}^{298}\) & 5
    12 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,499 & 10 & 10 & 10 & 1 & & 912 & 905 & 7 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,999 & 3 & 3 & 3 & & & 1,714 & 1.714 & \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,999 & 30 & 30 & 30 & & & & & \\
    \hline \[
    \$ 3,000-\$ 4,999
    \] & 1 & 1 & 1 & & & \({ }^{*}\) ) & \({ }^{*}\) ) & \\
    \hline Business and professional & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline All nonrelief families... & 216 & 216 & 213 & 35 & 4 & 726 & 711 & 15 \\
    \hline \[
    \$ 0-\$ 499 .
    \] & 77
    86 & 77
    86 & 74
    86
    86 & \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & 24 \\
    & 10
    \end{aligned}
    \] & 3
    1 & \({ }_{659} 87\) & 240
    650 & 30
    9 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,499. & 30 & 30 & 30 & & & 1,038 & 1,038 & \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,999. & 10 & 10 & 10 & 1 & & 1,485 & 1,480 & 5 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,999 & 9 & 9
    4 & & & & \begin{tabular}{l} 
    2, \\
    3,545 \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular} & 2, \({ }^{1} 132\) & \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over .......... & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline Other & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline All nourelief families. & 23 & 8 & 8 & 1 & & 122 & 122 & (**) \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}
    \(t\) The averages in each column are based on all families, column (2), whether or not they received money earnings from the specified source.
    \({ }_{2}\) Includes only families which had net money earnings from roomers and boarders (i. e., whose gross ncome from roomers and boarders exceeded estimated expenses). In addition, there were some families iwhich had roomers and boarders but which had no net money earnings from them.
    \({ }_{3}\) Includes net money earnings from roomers and boarders and from other work not attributable to individuals (casual work in home such as laundry and sewing). Average net money earnings of all nonrelief families from other work not attributable to individuals were as follows: Wage-earner families, \(\$ 1\); business and professional families, \(\$ 1\).
    \({ }_{*}^{*}\) A verages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
    \({ }^{*} \$ \$ 0.50\) or less.

    \section*{MOBILE, ALA.}

    Table 4-4A.-Principal earners: Number of principal earners, classified as husbands, wives, and others, with weeks of employment and average yearly earnings of principal earners, by occupation and income, 1935-36
    [Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow{3}{*}{Income class and occupational group} & \multirow{3}{*}{Number of families} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Number of principal earners} & \multirow[b]{4}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Average weeks of employment of principal earners 1 \\
    (8)
    \end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Average earnings of principal earners \({ }^{2}\)}} \\
    \hline & & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    \[
    \text { All }{ }^{3}
    \] \\
    (3)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Husbands \\
    (4)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Wives \\
    (5)
    \end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Others} & & & \\
    \hline & & & & & Male & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Fernale \\
    (7)
    \end{tabular}} & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    All \\
    (9)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Husbands \\
    (10)
    \end{tabular}} \\
    \hline (1) & (2) & & & & (6) & & & & \\
    \hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    All occupations \\
    All families
    \end{tabular}} & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline & 3, 370 & 3,333 & 2,925 & 271 & 96 & 41 & 45 & \$464 & \$488 \\
    \hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Reliof families Nonrelief families 4} & 781 & 762 & 648 & 75 & 28 & 11 & 46 & 296 & 302 \\
    \hline & 2, 589 & 2,571 & 2,277 & 196 & 68 & 30 & 45 & 514 & 629 \\
    \hline \$0-\$249 & 259 & 256 & 191 & 59 & 2 & 4 & 33 & 139 & 147 \\
    \hline \$250-\$499 & 829 & 822 & 716 & 88 & 9 & 9 & 43 & 320 & 334 \\
    \hline \$500-\$749. & 773 & 770 & 704 & 37 & 17 & 12 & 48 & 500 & 515 \\
    \hline \$750-\$999 & 384 & 382 & 359 & 6 & 13 & 4 & 49 & 682 & 696 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249 & 170 & 168 & 150 & 3 & 14 & 1 & 49 & 808 & 846 \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499 & 58 & 58 & 53 & 3 & 2 & & 51 & 934 & 979 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749. & 34 & 34 & 30 & & 4 & & 50 & 1,182 & 1,251 \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999--.------- & 20 & 20 & 18 & & 2 & & 50 & 1,373 & 1,410 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249 & 26 & 25 & 23 & & 2 & & 52 & 1,795 & 1,861 \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499 & 23 & 23 & 22 & & 1 & & 52 & 1,912 & 1,940 \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 & 6 & 6 & 5 & & 1 & & 52 & 1,958 & 1,985 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,999 & 5 & 5 & 4 & & 1 & & 52 & 2, 578 & 2,598 \\
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,999 .......... & 2 & 2 & 2 & & & -- & (*) & (*) & (*) \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over...----- & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Wage earner \\
    All nonrelief families
    \end{tabular}} & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline & 2, 276 & 2,276 & 2, 025 & 171 & 56 & 24 & 45 & 848 & 511 \\
    \hline \$0-\$499 & 986 & 986 & 826 & 137 & 11 & 12 & 40 & 282 & 301 \\
    \hline \$500-\$999 ---------.--- & 1,046 & 1,046 & 976 & 31 & 28 & 11 & 48 & 562 & 576 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,499--------- & 186 & 186 & 171 & 3 & 11 & 1 & 50 & 846 & 876 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,999. & 41 & 41 & 37 & & 4 & & 50 & 1,230 & 1,278 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,999 & 15 & 15 & 13 & & 2 & & \({ }^{*} 52\) & 1,585 & 1,601 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$4,999 \(\ldots\).......- & 2 & 2 & 2 & & & & (*) & (*) & (*) \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over- & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Clerical \\
    All nonrelief families.
    \end{tabular}} & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline & 74 & 74 & 64 & 5 & 5 & & 50 & 1,206 & 1,335 \\
    \hline \$0-\$499--------------- & 11 & 11 & 8 & 3 & & & 45 & 257 & 295 \\
    \hline \$500-\$999 & 19 & 19 & 17 & 1 & 1 & ----- & 52 & 539 & 566 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,499 & 10 & 10 & 6 & 1 & 3 & & 48 & 716 & 900 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,999 .......-. & 3 & 3 & 3 & & & & 52 & 1,713 & 1,713 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,909 & 30 & 30 & 29 & & 1 & & 52 & 2,059 & 2,098 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$4,999 & 1 & 1 & 1 & & & & (*) & (*) & (*) \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over.......-- & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline Business and professional & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline All nonrelief families....-- & 216 & 213 & 180 & 20 & 7 & 6 & 48 & 592 & 614 \\
    \hline \$0-\$499 & 77 & 74 & 66 & 7 & & 1 & 45 & 219 & 203 \\
    \hline \$500-\$999 & 86 & 86 & 69 & 11 & 1 & 5 & 48 & 545 & 583 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,499 & 30 & 30 & 26 & 2 & 2 & & 50 & 845 & 906 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,999 & 10 & 10 & 8 & & 2 & & 49 & 1,208 & 1,308 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,999 & 9 & 9 & 8 & & 1 & & 52 & 1,673 & 1,719 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$4,999 ......-.... & 4 & 4 & 3 & & 1 & & 52 & 2,598 & 2,630 \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over......... & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    \footnotetext{
    \({ }^{1}\) Averages in this column are based on the number of principal earners reporting weeks of employment.
    \({ }^{2}\) Averages in this section of the table are based on the corresponding counts of principal earners in columns (3) and (4).
    \({ }^{3}\) The total number of principal earners given in column (3) is equivalent to the total number of families having individual earners, since a family can have only 1 principal earner. The difference between the totals in columns (2) and (3) is explained by the fact that column (2), number of families, includes cases in which none of the family income was attributable to individual earners.
    "Includes 23 families classified in the occupational group "Other." These families had 8 principal earners.
    *Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
    }

    \section*{MOBILEA, ALA.}

    Table 5.-Number of earners in family: Number of families with specified number of individual earners, family relationship of sole earners, and average number of supplementary earners per family, by income, 1935-36
    [Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{5}{*}{Income class

    (1)} & \multirow{4}{*}{Number of families} & \multicolumn{8}{|c|}{Number of families with individual earners} & \multirow[t]{5}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Families with more than one earner as percentage of families with any individual earner \({ }^{1}\) \\
    (11.)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{5}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    A verage number of supplementary earners per family \({ }^{2}\) \\
    (12)
    \end{tabular}} \\
    \hline & & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{One only} & \multirow{3}{*}{Two} & \multirow{3}{*}{Three} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Four or more} & & \\
    \hline & & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \text { Any } \\
    & \text { tamily } \\
    & \text { mem- } \\
    & \text { ber }
    \end{aligned}
    \]} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Husband} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Wife} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Other} & & & & & \\
    \hline & & & & & Male & me- & & & more & & \\
    \hline & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & & \\
    \hline All families.-......- & 3,370 & 1,763 & 1,648 & 97 & 14 & 4 & 1,249 & 245 & 76 & 47 & 0.60 \\
    \hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Relief families Nonrelief families..} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
    \begin{array}{r}
    781 \\
    2,589
    \end{array}
    \]} & 471 & 418 & 44 & 8 & 1 & 236 & 42 & 13 & 38 & 48 \\
    \hline & & 1,292 & 1,230 & 53 & 6 & 3 & 1,013 & 203 & 63 & 50 & . 64 \\
    \hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \$ 0-\$ 249 . \\
    & \$ 250-\$ 499 .
    \end{aligned}
    \]} & 259 & 129 & 104 & 24 & 1 & & 116 & 10 & 1 & 50 & . 54 \\
    \hline & 829 & 468 & 445 ¢ & 19 & 2 & 2 & 307 & 43 & 4 & 43 & . 49 \\
    \hline \$500-\$749- & 773 & 382 & 373 & 6 & 2 & 1 & 303 & 68 & 17 & 50 & . 64 \\
    \hline \$750-\$999 & 384 & 170 & 169 & 1 & & & 166 & 37 & 9 & 56 & . 71 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249 & 170 & 69 & 67 & 1 & 1 & & 63 & 25 & 11 & 59 & . 88 \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499 \(\ldots .\). & - 58 & 18 & 16 & 2 & & & 25 & 8 & 7 & 69 & 1. 16 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749 & \begin{tabular}{l}
    58 \\
    34 \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular} & 13 & 13 & & & & 13 & 3 & 5 & 62 & 1. 15 \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & 20 & 10 & 10 & & & & 5 & 4 & 1 & (t) & . 80 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249 .... & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{26} & 16 & 16 & & & & 5 & 1 & 3 & (t) & . 68 \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499.... & & 14 & 14 & & & & 4 & 1 & 4 & ( \(\dagger\) ) & . 87 \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 \(\ldots\) & 23
    6 & 2 & 2 & & & & 2 & 2 & & (t) & 1. 00 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,999..... & 5 & 1 & 1 & & & & 3 & 1 & & ( \(\dagger\) ) & 1. 00 \\
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,999 & 2 & & & & & & 1 & & 1 & ( \(\dagger\) ) & (*) \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over - - & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}
    \({ }^{1}\) This percentage was computed by dividing the sum of columns (8), (9), and (10) by column (4) of table 3 on p. 330.
    \({ }_{2}^{2}\) Based on the number of families with individual earners, column (4) of table 3 on p. 330.
    \(\dagger\) Percentages not computed for fewer than 30 cases.
    *Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    \section*{MOBILE, ALA.}

    Table 6.-Sole and supplementary earners: Number of families with individual earners; number of supplementary earners classified as husbands, wives, and others; average earnings of supplementary earners; and average earnings of family from supplementary earners; by income, 1995-96
    [Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{4}{*}{Income class} & \multirow{3}{*}{Num-
    ber
    of
    fam-
    ilies} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Number of families with individual earners} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Number of supplementary earners} & \multirow[b]{4}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    A verage earnings of all supplementary earners \({ }^{1}\) \\
    (12)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{4}{*}{Average earnings per family from supplementary earners \({ }^{2}\)} \\
    \hline & & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Any} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{One only} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{More than one \({ }^{3}\)} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{All} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Husbands} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Wives} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Others \({ }^{4}\)} & & \\
    \hline & & & Any
    family
    mem-
    ber & band & & & & & Male & \(\underset{\text { male- }}{\text { me }}\) & & \\
    \hline & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) & & \\
    \hline All families & 3,370 & 3,333 & 1,763 & 1,648 & 1,570 & 1,999 & 243 & 1,065 & 337 & 354 & \$132 & \$78 \\
    \hline Relief families & 781 & 762 & 471 & 418 & 291 & 365 & 39 & 168 & 80 & 78 & 84 & 39 \\
    \hline Nonrelief families. & 2,589 & 2,571 & 1,292 & 1, 230 & 1, 279 & 1,634 & 204 & 897 & 257 & 276 & 142 & 90 \\
    \hline \$0-\$249 & 259 & 256 & 129 & 104 & 127 & 139 & 35 & 81 & 8 & 15 & 40 & 22 \\
    \hline \$250-\$499 & 829 & 822 & 468 & 445 & 354 & 406 & 77 & 244 & 35 & 50 & 79 & 39 \\
    \hline \$500-\$749 & 773 & 770 & 382 & 373 & 388 & 495 & 48 & 289 & 65 & 93 & 127 & 82 \\
    \hline \$750-\$999 & 384 & 382 & 170 & 169 & 212 & 270 & 17 & 167 & 41 & 45 & 164 & 115 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249 ... & 170 & 168 & 69 & 67 & 99 & 148 & 13 & 59 & 37 & 39 & 224 & 195 \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499 ... & 58 & 58 & 18 & 16 & 40 & 67 & 3 & 26 & 23 & 15 & 236 & 273 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749...-- & 34 & 34 & 13 & 13 & 21 & 39 & 4 & 13 & 13 & 9 & 257 & 295 \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 ...- & 20 & 20 & 10 & 10 & 10 & 16 & 2 & 4 & 8 & 2 & 442 & 354 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249...- & 26 & 25 & 16 & 16 & 9 & 17 & 2 & 7 & 7 & 1 & 369 & 241 \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499 & 23 & 23 & 14 & 14 & 9 & 20 & 1 & 4 & 11 & 4 & 318 & 277 \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 . .- & 6 & 6 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 6 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 1 & 526 & 526 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,999 ...- & 5 & 5 & 1 & 1 & 4 & 5 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 599 & 599 \\
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,999 ...- & 2 & 2 & & & 2 & 6 & & & 5 & 1 & 532 & (*) \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over.- & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}
    \({ }^{1}\) A verages in this column are based on the corresponding counts of supplementary earners in column (7).
    A verages in this column are based on the number of families as shown in column (2)
    \({ }^{3}\) Framilies that have supplementary earners.
    4 Includes 24 males and 5 females under 16 years of age.
    *Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    \section*{MOBILE, ALA.}

    Table 6A.-Sole and supplementary earners: Number of families with individual earners; number of supplementary earners classified as husbands, wives, and others; average earnings of supplementary earners; and average earnings of family from supplementary earners; by occupation and income, 1935-36
    [Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{4}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Income class and occupational group \\
    (1)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow{3}{*}{Number of families} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Number of families with individual earners} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Number of supplementary earners} & \multirow[b]{4}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Average earnings of all supplementary earners \({ }^{1}\) \\
    (11)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Average earnings per family from supplementary earners : \\
    (12)
    \end{tabular}} \\
    \hline & & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Any \\
    (3)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{One only
    (4)} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{More than one \({ }^{3}\)} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    All \\
    (b)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Husbands \\
    (7)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Wives \\
    (8)
    \end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Others \({ }^{4}\)} & & \\
    \hline & & & & & & & & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Male \\
    (9)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    \(\mathrm{Fe}-\) male \\
    (10)
    \end{tabular}} & & \\
    \hline & (2) & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Wage earner \\
    All nonrelief families..
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{2,276} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{2, 276} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1,142} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1, 134} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1,458} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{178} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{806} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{224} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{250} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\$135} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\$86} \\
    \hline & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$0-\$499. & 986 & 986 & 546 & 440 & 504 & 105 & 294 & 40 & 65 & 69 & 35 \\
    \hline \$500-\$999 & 1,046 & 1,046 & 504 & 542 & 702 & 55 & 424 & 101 & 122 & 137 & 92 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,499 & 186 & 186 & 70 & 116 & 185 & 12 & 70 & 54 & 49 & 223 & 222 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,999 & 41 & 41 & 16 & 25 & 43 & 4 & 12 & 19 & 8 & 334 & 351 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,999. & 15 & 15 & 5 & 10 & 23 & 2 & 6 & 10 & 5 & 408 & 625 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$4,999 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{2} & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & & & & 1 & (*) & (*) \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over ...--..-- & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline Clerical & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{74} & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline All nonrelief families. - & & 74 & 44 & 30 & 35 & 5 & 19 & 5 & 6 & 234 & 111 \\
    \hline \$0-\$499 & & 11 & 5 & 6 & 6 & 2 & 4 & & & 74 & 41 \\
    \hline \$500-\$909 & 119 & 19 & 9 & 10 & 10 & 1 & 5 & & 4 & 209 & 110 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,499. & 10 & 10 & 4 & 6 & 9 & 1 & 5 & 1 & 2 & 210 & 189 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,999. & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{3
    30
    1} & 3 & 3 & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,999 & & 30 & 23 & 7 & 9 & 1 & 4 & 4 & & \({ }^{2} 52\) & 75 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$4,999. & & 1 & & 1 & 1 & & 1 & & & (*) & (*) \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over .-...--- & - 1 & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline Business and professional & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{216} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{213} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{103} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{110} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{136} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{21} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{68} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{27} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{20} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{203} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{128} \\
    \hline All nonrelief families.- & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$0-\$499. & 77 & 74 & 43 & 31 & 31 & & 23 & 3 & & 70 & 28 \\
    \hline \$500-999 & 86 & 86 & 39 & 47 & 52 & 9 & 27 & 4 & 12 & 172 & 104 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,499 & 30 & 30 & 13 & 17 & 21 & 3 & 10 & 5 & 3 & 276 & 193 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,999 & 10 & 10 & 4 & 6 & 12 & 2 & 5 & 2 & 3 & 227 & 272 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,999-..-.-.-.-- & 9 & 9 & 4 & 5 & 11 & 1 & 2 & 7 & 1 & 377 & 461 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$4,999. & 4 & 4 & & 4 & 9 & 1 & 1 & 6 & 1 & 421 & 946 \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over. & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline Other & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline All nonrelief families - & 23 & 8 & 3 & 5 & 5 & & 4 & 1 & & 102 & 22 \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    1 Averages in this colurnn are based on the corresponding counts of supplementary earners in column (6).
    \({ }^{2}\) Averages in this column are based on the number of families as shown in column (2).
    \({ }^{3}\) Families that have supplementary earners.
    \({ }^{4}\) Includes persons under 16 years of age as follows: Wage-earner families, 14 males and 4 females; business and professional families, 3 males and no females.
    *Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    MOBILE, ALA.
    Table 7.-Earnings of supplementary earners: Number of supplementary earners with earnings of specifed amount, by family income,
    [Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    

    \section*{MOBILE, ALA.}

    Table 8.-Husbands as earners: Number and average yearly earnings of husbands classified as principal or supplementary earners, by age and family income, 1935-36
    [Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Income class
    (1)} & \multicolumn{12}{|c|}{Principal earners by age groups} & \multicolumn{12}{|c|}{Supplementary earners by age groups} \\
    \hline & Any
    (2) & Un-
    der
    20
    (3) & \(20-24\)
    (4) & \(25-29\)
    (5) & \(30-34\)
    (6) & \(35-39\)
    (7) & \(40-44\)
    (8) & 45-49
    (9) & \(50-54\)
    (10) & \(55-59\)
    (11) & \(60-64\)
    (12) & 65
    and
    over
    (13) & Any
    (14) & Un-
    der
    20
    (15) & \(20-24\)
    \((16)\) & 25-29 & 30-34 & \(35-39\)
    (19) & \(40-44\)
    (20) & \(45-49\)
    (21) & \(50-54\)
    (22) & \(55-59\)
    (23) & \(60-64\)
    (24) & 65
    and
    over
    (25) \\
    \hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{All families.....-...--} & \multicolumn{24}{|c|}{Number of husbands \({ }^{1}\)} \\
    \hline & 2, 873 & 2 & 150 & . 98 & 411 & 496 & 414 & 416 & 247 & 157 & 93 & 89 & 240 & ------ & 13 & 20 & 29 & 36 & 31 & 29 & 23 & 26 & 8 & 25 \\
    \hline \begin{tabular}{l}
    Relief families \\
    Nonrelief families
    \end{tabular} & - \(\begin{array}{r}634 \\ 2,239\end{array}\) & 1 & \[
    \begin{array}{r}
    25 \\
    125
    \end{array}
    \] & \[
    \begin{array}{r}
    66 \\
    332
    \end{array}
    \] & 65
    346 & 99
    397 & 101
    313 & \({ }_{295}^{121}\) & 56
    191 & 48
    109 & 27
    66 & 25 & 39
    201 & -------- & 12 & 2
    18 & 4
    25 & 7
    29 & 4 & 3
    26 & 4
    19 & 4
    22 & 1
    7 & 9
    16 \\
    \hline \$0-\$249 & 185 & & 17 & 34 & 26 & 20 & 18 & 23 & 17 & 12 & 9 & 9 & 34 & & 3 & 2 & 3 & 6 & 5 & 3 & 3 & 4 & & 5 \\
    \hline \$250-\$499. & 706 & 1 & 56 & 128 & 113 & 116 & 87 & 88 & 46 & 32 & 14 & 25 & 77 & ---- & 3 & 7 & 15 & 9 & 16 & 9 & 8 & 6 & & 3 \\
    \hline \$500-\$749 & 692 & & 45 & 112 & 119 & 130 & 88 & 86 & 47 & 36 & 17 & 12 & 48 & & 2 & 6 & 5 & 6 & 4 & 8 & 3 & 6 & 5 & 3 \\
    \hline \$750-\$999 & 354 & & 4 & 40 & 55 & 71 & 69 & 54 & 37 & 9 & 8 & 7 & 17 & & 2 & 1 & & 4 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 3 & & 3 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249 & 148 & & 2 & 11 & 22 & 25 & 23 & 17 & 23 & 12 & 9 & 4 & 11 & & 1 & 1 & -- & 3 & 1 & 2 & & 2 & & 1 \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499 & 52 & & & 3 & 4 & 12 & 10 & 7 & 9 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & & & 1 & & & & 1 & 1 & & & \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749 & 30 & & 1 & 3 & 3 & 5 & 4 & 5 & 5 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 4 & & 1 & & 1 & & & 1 & & 1 & & \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & 17 & & & 1 & & 4 & 3 & 5 & 1 & 2 & 1 & & 2 & & - & & - & 1 & & & & & 1 & \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249 & 22 & & & & 3 & 6 & 6 & 4 & 2 & & 1 & & 2 & & & & & & & 1 & 1 & & & \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499 \(\ldots \ldots\) & 22 & & & & 1 & 7 & 4 & 3 & 2 & 2 & 3 & & 1 & & & & 1 & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 & 5 & & & & & 1 & 1 & 2 & & & & 1 & 1 & & & & & & & & 1 & & & \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,999 & 4 & & & & & & & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & & & & & & & & & & & 1 \\
    \hline \(\$ 5,000\) and over.... & 2 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline & \multicolumn{24}{|c|}{Average earnings of husbands *} \\
    \hline All nonrelief families. & \$541 & (*) & \$407 & \$463 & \$517 & \$615 & \$586 & \$571 & \$563 & \$502 & \$601 & \$467 & \$163 & & \$186 & \$180 & \$141 & \$154 & \$146 & \$203 & \$134 & \$168 & \$224 & \$122 \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    \footnotetext{
    \({ }_{2}\) Excludes 52 principal earners and 3 supplementary earners who did not report age
    } orresponding total numbers of husbands, including those who did not report age.
    *A verages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    Table 9.-Wives as earners: Number and average yearly earnings of wives classified as principal or supplementary earners, by age and family income, 1935-36
    [Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Income class
    (1)} & \multicolumn{12}{|c|}{Principal earners by age groups} & \multicolumn{12}{|c|}{Supplementary earners by age groups} \\
    \hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Any \\
    (2)
    \end{tabular} & Under 20
    (3) & \begin{tabular}{l}
    \[
    20-24
    \] \\
    (4)
    \end{tabular} & \[
    25-29
    \]
    (5) & \begin{tabular}{l}
    \[
    30-34
    \] \\
    (6)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    \[
    35-39
    \] \\
    (7)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    \[
    40-44
    \] \\
    (8)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    \[
    45-49
    \] \\
    (9)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    \[
    50-54
    \] \\
    (10)
    \end{tabular} & \[
    55-59
    \]
    (11) & \begin{tabular}{l}
    \[
    60-64
    \] \\
    (12)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    65 \\
    and \\
    over \\
    (13)
    \end{tabular} & Any
    (14) & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Under 20 \\
    (15)
    \end{tabular} & \(20-24\)
    (16) & \(25-29\)
    (17) & \(30-34\)
    (18) & \(35-39\)
    (19) & \(40-44\)
    (20) & \(45-49\)
    (21) & \(50-54\)
    \((22)\) & \(55-59\)
    (23) & \(60-64\)
    (24) & 65
    and
    over
    (25) \\
    \hline \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    All families \\
    Relief families Nonrelief families
    \end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{24}{|c|}{Number of wives \({ }^{1}\)} \\
    \hline & 268 & & 14 & 29 & 44 & 55 & 47 & 29 & 27 & 12 & 5 & 6 & 1,060 & 11 & 112 & 218 & 192 & 199 & 120 & 94 & 66 & 26 & 11 & 11 \\
    \hline & 73
    195 & & 4
    10 & 6
    23 & 13 & 11 & 15
    32 & 118 & 8
    19 & 4 & 5 & 1 & 166
    894 & 3
    8 & 11
    101 & 19
    199 & 29
    163 & 37
    162 & 24
    96 & 21
    73 & 11
    55 & 6
    20 & \(\stackrel{2}{9}\) & 3
    8 \\
    \hline \$0-\$249 & 58 & & & 5 & 7 & 10 & 10 & 9 & 4 & & 2 & & 81 & 2 & 13 & 14 & 10 & & 9 & 9 & 7 & 1 & 5 & 1 \\
    \hline \$250-\$499 & 88 & & 3 & 13 & 15 & 21 & 16 & 5 & 9 & & 1 & 5 & 244 & 3 & 31 & 58 & 42 & 37 & 28 & 17 & 17 & 7 & 2 & 2 \\
    \hline \$500-\$749 & 37 & & 2 & 4 & 5 & 10 & 4 & 4 & 6 & 2 & & & 289 & 3 & 35 & 70 & 56 & 54 & 28 & 21 & 12 & 6 & 1 & 3 \\
    \hline \$750-\$999 & 6 & & & & 3 & 1 & 1 & ------ & & & 1 & & 165 & & 15 & 36 & 33 & 34 & 16 & 17 & 12 & & 1 & 1 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249 & 3 & & & & 1 & 1 & & & & & 1 & & 58 & ---..- & 5 & 9 & 11 & 15 & 4 & 6 & 4 & 3 & & 1 \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499 \(\ldots . .\). & 3 & & & 1 & & 1 & 1 & ---... & & & ------ & & 26 & & & 4 & 8
    2
    8 & \begin{tabular}{l}
    6 \\
    2 \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular} & 4
    3 & 2 & - & 2 & & \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749 & & & & & & & & & & & & & 13 & & 2 & 3
    1
    1 & \(\stackrel{2}{1}\) & 2
    1 & 3
    1 & & 1 & & & \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249 & & & & & & & & & & & & & 7 & & & 3 & & 1 & 2 & & 1 & & & \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499 & & & & & & & & & & & & & 4 & --.--- & & 1 & & 1 & & 1 & 1 & & & \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 & & & & & & & & & & ---- & & & 1 & & & & & 1 & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,999 & & & & & & & & & & & & & 2 & & & & & & 1 & & & 1 & & \\
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,999 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline ,00 and over. & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline & \multicolumn{24}{|c|}{Average earnings of wives 2} \\
    \hline All nonrelief families & \$217 & ------ & \$214 & \$224 & \$261 & \$236 & \$194 & \$201 & \$218 & \$115 & \$138 & \$207 & \$126 & \$46 & \$117 & \$120 & \$139 & \$138 & \$130 & \$118 & \$109 & \$140 & \$71 & \$79 \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}
    \({ }_{1}\) Excludes 3 principal earners and 5 supplementary earners who did not report age.
    2 Averages for each age groups are based on the corresponding numbers of wives in the upper section of the table; the 2 averages for all age groups combined are based on the corresponding total numbers of wives, including those who did not report age.

    \section*{MOBILE, ALA}

    Table 10.-Money income other than earnings: Number of families receiving money income other than earnings, and average amount received, by source and total income, 1935-36 \({ }^{1}\)
    [Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    

    I See glossary for definition of "money income other than earnings."
    i Averages are based on all families, column (2), whether or not they received money income other than earnings
    \({ }^{3}\) Includes money income other than earnings from sources other than those specifed, including profits from business enterprises partially or wholly owned but not operated by family members. See glossary for further definition of "profits."
    *Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
    ** \(\$ 0.50\) or less.

    Table 11.-Nonmoney income from owned homes: Number of families owning homes with and without mortgages; average rental value, average expense, and average nonmoney income from home ownership; by income, 1935-36
    [Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Income class

    (1)} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Number of families} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Homes free from mortgage} & \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{Mortgaged homes} \\
    \hline & All & \multirow{2}{*}{Owning homes \({ }^{1}\)} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Families owning homes free from mortgage} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Average rental value \({ }^{2}\) \\
    (6)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    A verage expense \({ }^{3}\) \\
    (7)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{c} 
    Average \\
    non- \\
    money in- \\
    come
    \end{tabular}
    (8)} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Families owning mortgaged homes} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Average rental value \({ }^{2}\) \\
    (11)
    \end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{A verage expense \({ }^{3}\)} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{A verage nonmoney income \({ }^{4}\)} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Interest as percentage of rental value \\
    (15)
    \end{tabular}} \\
    \hline & (2) & & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Number \\
    (4)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Percentage \({ }^{5}\) \\
    (5)
    \end{tabular} & & & & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Number \\
    (9)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Percentage \({ }^{\text {5 }}\) \\
    (10)
    \end{tabular} & & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Interest \\
    (12)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Other \\
    (13)
    \end{tabular} & & \\
    \hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    All families. \\
    Relief families \\
    Nonrelief families.
    \end{tabular}} & 3,370 & 753 & 613 & 81 & \$154 & \$60 & \$88 & 140 & 19 & \$166 & \$44 & \$68 & \$54 & 27 \\
    \hline & \[
    \begin{array}{r}
    781 \\
    2,589
    \end{array}
    \] & \[
    \begin{array}{r}
    77 \\
    676
    \end{array}
    \] & 58
    555 & 75
    82 & 116
    158 & 59
    66 & 57
    92 & 19
    121 & 25 & 140
    170 & 34
    46 & 64
    68 & 42
    56 & 24
    27 \\
    \hline \$0-\$249 & 259 & 41 & 30 & 73 & 107 & 57 & 50 & 11 & 27 & 136 & 48 & 62 & 26 & 35 \\
    \hline \$250-\$499 & 829 & 145 & 116 & 80 & 136 & 62 & 74 & 29 & 20 & 154 & 42 & 66 & 46 & 28 \\
    \hline \$500-\$749 & 773 & 177 & 146 & 82 & 140 & 62 & 78 & 31 & 18 & 160 & 41 & 66 & 53 & 25 \\
    \hline \$750-\$999 & 384 & 124 & 108 & 87 & 156 & 65 & 91 & 16 & 13 & 168 & 38 & 67 & 63 & 23 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249 & 170 & 76 & 61 & 80 & 177 & 69 & 108 & 15 & 20 & 183 & 47 & 71 & 65 & 26 \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499. & 58 & 33 & 28 & 85 & 193 & 72 & 121 & 5 & 15 & 216 & 52 & 76 & 88 & 24 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749 & 34 & 21 & 17 & ( \(\dagger\) ) & 220 & 78 & 142 & 4 & ( \(\dagger\) ) & 210 & 70 & 76 & 64 & 34 \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & 20 & 12 & 10 & ( \(\dagger\) ) & 203 & 74 & 129 & 2 & ( \(\dagger\) & (*) & (*) & (*) & (*) & 35 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249. & 26 & 18 & 14 & ( \(\dagger\) ) & 230 & 78 & 152 & 4 & ( \(\dagger\) ) & 192 & ( 58 & 72 & (*) 62 & 30 \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499. & 23 & 18 & 16 & (t) & 238 & 80 & 158 & 2 & ( \(\dagger\) & (*) & (*) & (*) & (*) & 28 \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999. & 6 & 6 & 5 & (t) & 238 & 81 & 157 & 1 & ( \(\dagger\) & (*) & (*) & (*) & (*) & 27 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,999 & 5 & 4 & 3 & (t) & 220 & 77 & 143 & 1 & ( \(\dagger\) & (*) & (*) & (*) & (*) & 22 \\
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,999. & 2 & 1 & 1 & ( \(\dagger\) & (*) & (*) & (*) & & & & & & & \\
    \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}
    \({ }^{1}\) Includes all families occupying owned homes at any time during the report year. Excludes 7 families whose expenses exactly equaled the annual rental value of their homes.
    Data for the latter families, however, are included in the computation of averages.
    \({ }_{2}\) Based on estimate made by home owner for period of ownership and occupancy during report year. This period averages, in general, approximately 12 months.
    \({ }^{3}\) Expense for period of ownership and occupancy during report year. Expense other than interest, columns (7) and (13), estimated on basis of average relationship between rental value and expense.

    4 Nonmoney income for period of ownership and occupaney during report year. Obtained by deducting estimated expense (including interest) from rental value.
    5 Based on number of families owning homes, column (3).
    * Percentages not computed for fewer than 30 c

    Table 12.-Monthly rental value: Number of home-owning families having homes with specified monthly rental value, by income, \(1935-96{ }^{1}\) [Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow{2}{*}{Income class} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Number of homeowning and renting families} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Home-owning families} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Average monthly rental value of owned homes ? \\
    (5)
    \end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{12}{|c|}{Number of home-owning families reporting monthly rental value of-} \\
    \hline & & Number & \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \text { Percent- } \\
    & \text { age }^{3}
    \end{aligned}
    \] & & \[
    \underset{\$ 5}{\text { Under }}
    \] & \$5-\$9 & \$10-\$14 & \$15-\$19 & \$20-\$24 & \$25-\$29 & \$30-\$34 & \$35-\$39 & \$40-\$44 & \$45-\$54 & \$55-\$74 & \[
    \begin{gathered}
    \$ 75 \text { and } \\
    \text { over }
    \end{gathered}
    \] \\
    \hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) & (12) & (13) & (14) & (15) & (16) & (17) \\
    \hline All families. . & 3,334 & 750 & 22 & \$13.10 & 5 & 153 & 267 & 223 & 65 & 32 & 1 & 3 & ---- & 1 & ------. & -------- \\
    \hline Relief families & 770 & 74 & 10 & 10.20 & 1 & 27 & 35 & 9 & 2 & & & & & & & \\
    \hline Nonrelief families. & 2,564 & 676 & 26 & 13.40 & 4 & 126 & 232 & 214 & 63 & 32 & 1 & 3 & & 1 & & -------- \\
    \hline \$0-\$249 & 257 & 44 & 17 & 9.60 & 1 & 23 & 12 & 8 & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$250-\$499 & 814 & 148 & 18 & 11. 60 & 3 & 36 & 62 & 40 & 6 & 1 & ---.---- & & & & & -----. \\
    \hline \$500-\$749. & 770 & 175 & 23 & 12. 10 & & 38 & 79 & 43 & 14 & 1 & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$750-\$999 & 380 & 123 & 32 & 13. 30 & & 19 & 45 & 42 & 12 & 5 & - & & & & & \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249 & 169 & 75 & 44 & 15.10 & & 6 & 19 & 35 & 10 & 5 & ------- & ------- & & & & \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499 & 58 & 33 & 57 & 16.40 & & 3 & 7 & 15 & 4 & 3 & 1 & & & 1 & ------- & \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749 & 34 & 20 & (49 & 18. 60 & & & 1 & 11 & 3 & 4 & 1 & & & & & \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & 20 & 12 & (t) & 17.00 & & & 3 & 4 & 3 & 2 & -------- & & & & & \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249 & 26 & 18 & (t) & 18. 50 & & 1 & 1 & . 10 & 1 & 4 & ------- & 1 & ------- & & & \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499. & 23 & 17 & ( \(\dagger\) & 21.00
    19.50 & & & 3 & - 3 & 4 & 5 & ----...- & 2 & ------- & & & \\
    \hline \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \$ 3,000-\$ 3,999 \\
    & \$ 4,000-\$ 4,999
    \end{aligned}
    \] & 5
    2 & 4
    1 & ( \(\dagger\) ( \(\dagger\) & \({ }_{( } \mathbf{2 0}\) ) 00 & & & & & 2 & 1 & & & & & & \\
    \hline \(\$ 5,000\) and over. & 2 & 1 & (1) & (*) & & & & & & 1 & & & & & & \\
    \hline ¢,000 and over. & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}
    \({ }^{1}\) Includes only those families that did not change living quarters between the end of the report year and the date of interview. Families are classified as home-owning families or as renting families according to their status at the date of interview.
    \({ }^{2}\) Based on estimate made by home owner for period of ownership and occupancy during report year. Averages are based on the number of home-owning families, column (3)
    \({ }^{3}\) Based on the number of home-owning and renting families, column (2).
    \(\dagger\) Percentages not computed for fewer than 30 cases
    * Average not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    Table 13.-Monthly rent: Number of renting families reporting specified monthly rent, by income, 1935-36 \({ }^{1}\)
    [Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    

    MOBILE, ALA.
    Table 14A.-Average monthly rental value and average monthly rent: Number of home~owning and renting families, average \(\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\infty}\) monthly rental value, and average monthly rent, by occupation and income, 1935-86 \({ }^{1}\)
    [Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]
    
    \({ }_{1}\) Includes only those families that did not change living quarters between the end of the report year and the date of interview. Families are classified as home-owning families or as renting families according to their status at the date of interview.
    \({ }_{2}^{2}\) Based on the number of home-owning and renting families in the respective occupational groups.
    \({ }^{3}\) Based on estimate made by home owner for period of ownership and occupancy during the report year. Averages are based on the number of home-owning families as of end of report year. of which is estimated by the family
    \({ }^{5}\) Of the families classified in the occupational group "Other," 22 did not change their living quarters between the end of the report year and the date of interview. Of the latter group, 10 families were owning families. Their average monthly rental value was \(\$ 13.30\). The remaining 12 families were renting families. Their average monthly rent was \(\$ 7.40\).
    \(\dagger\) Percentages not computed for fewer than 30 cases
    * Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    \section*{MOBILE, ALA.}

    Table 15-16.-Type of living quarters: Number and percentage of owning and renting families occupying specified types of living quarters, by tenure and income, 1935-96 \({ }^{1}\)
    [Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Income class} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Number of families} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Number of families occupying-} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Percentage of families occupying \({ }^{2-}\)} \\
    \hline & & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Onefamily house \\
    (3)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Twofamily house \\
    (4)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Apartment \\
    (5)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Other \({ }^{3}\) \\
    (6)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Onefamily house \\
    (7)
    \end{tabular} & Twofamily house & Apart ment
    (9) & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Other \({ }^{3}\) \\
    (10)
    \end{tabular} \\
    \hline Owning families, all & 750 & 736 & 10 & --------- & 4 & 98 & 1 & & 1 \\
    \hline Relief families
    Nonrelief families & 74
    676 & 73
    663 & 1 & & 4 & 99
    98 & 1 & & 1 \\
    \hline \$0-\$249...-.-......- & 44 & 43 & 1 & & & 98 & 2 & & \\
    \hline \$250-\$499 & 148 & 147 & 1 & & & 99 & 1 & & \\
    \hline \$500-\$749 & 175 & 169 & 4 & & 2 & 97 & 2 & & 1 \\
    \hline \$750-\$999 & 123 & 120 & 2 & & 1 & 98 & 1 & & 1 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249 ..... & 75 & 75 & & & & 100 & & & \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499 ..... & 33 & 33 & & & & 100 & & & \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749 & 20 & 20 & & & & (t) & & & \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & 12 & 12 & & & & (t) & & & \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249 \(\ldots\) & 18 & 16 & 1 & & 1 & (t) & ( \(\dagger\) ) & & ( \(\dagger\) \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499 ..... & 17 & 17 & & & & (t) & & & \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 .....- & 6 & 6 & & & & ( \({ }_{\text {( }}\) ) & & & \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,999 ..... & 4 & 4 & & & & (t) & & & \\
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,999 ...... & 1 & 1 & & & & ( \(\dagger\) & & & \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over..... & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline Renting families, all. & 2,584 & 2,077 & 421 & 46 & 40 & 80 & 16 & 2 & 2 \\
    \hline Relief families & 696 & 536 & 133 & 14 & 13 & 77 & 19 & 2 & 2 \\
    \hline Nonrelief families. & 1,888 & 1,541 & 288 & 32 & 27 & 82 & 15 & 2 & 1 \\
    \hline \$0-\$249 & 213 & 154 & 44 & 11 & 4 & 72 & 21 & 5 & 2 \\
    \hline \$250-\$499 & 666 & 514 & 128 & 14 & 10 & 77 & 19 & 2 & 2 \\
    \hline \$500-\$749.........-- & 595 & 508 & 77 & 3 & 7 & 85 & 13 & 1 & 1 \\
    \hline \$750-\$999 & 257 & 224 & 27 & 3 & 3 & 87 & 11 & 1 & 1 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249 . ...- & 94 & 80 & J0 & 1 & 3 & 85 & 11 & 1 & 3 \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499 ....... & 25 & 24 & 1 & & & ( \({ }^{\text {( }}\) & (f) & & \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749 ..... & 14 & 13 & 1 & & & (t) & ( \(\dagger\) & ------- & \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & 8 & 8 & & & & ( \(\dagger\) & & & \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249 ...... & 8 & 8 & & & & ( \(\dagger\) & & & \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499 \(\ldots\)..... & 6 & 6 & & & & ( \(\dagger\) ) & & & \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,999 & 1 & 1 & & & & (t) & & & \\
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,999. & 1 & 1 & & & & ( \(\ddagger\) ) & & & \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}
    \({ }^{1}\) Includes only those families that did not change living quarters between the end of the report year and the date of interview.
    \({ }^{2}\) Percentages are based on number of families in each class, column (2).
    \({ }^{2}\) Includes dwelling units in business buildings, other types of living quarters not elsewhere specified, and unknown types of living quarters.
    \(\dagger\) Percentages not computed for fewer than 30 cases.

    \section*{MOBILE, ALA.}

    TABLE 17.-Members of household not in economic family: Number of families having persons in the household who were not members of the economic family, and average number of such nonfamily members, by income, 1935-36
    

    \footnotetext{
    Excludes a small number of families which had nonfamily members in the household but which did not report the duration of their membership. See glossary for definition of 'nonfamily members.'
    \({ }_{2}\) A verages in each column are based on the corresponding counts of families, in columns (3) through ( 10 ). The number of nonfamily members is expressed in terms of yearequivalent persons. This figure is computed for each family by dividing by 52 the total number of weeks of residence in the household for all nonmembers of the economic family *Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases
    }

    MOBILE, ALA.
    Table 18.-Age of husbands and wives: Number of husbands and number of wives, by age and family income, 1935-36
    [Negro families, including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    

    \footnotetext{
    1 Excludes 59 husbands and 23 wives who did not report age.
    }

    MOBILE, ALA.
    Table 19.-Report year: Number and percentage distribution of families by date of end of report year, by occupation, 1935-36
    [Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{4}{*}{Date of end of report year} & \multirow{4}{*}{\[
    \underset{\substack{\text { All } \\ \text { fami- } \\ \text { lies }}}{ }
    \]} & \multirow{4}{*}{Relief families} & \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{Nonrelief families in specified occupational groups} \\
    \hline & & & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    All \\
    (4)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Wage earners} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Clerical \\
    (6)
    \end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Business and professional} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Other \\
    (12)
    \end{tabular}} \\
    \hline & & & & & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    All ness and professional \\
    (7)
    \end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Independent} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Salaried} & \\
    \hline & & & & & & & Business (8) & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Professional \\
    (9)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Business \\
    (10)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Professional \\
    (11)
    \end{tabular} & \\
    \hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{All dates.------} & \multicolumn{11}{|c|}{Number of families} \\
    \hline & 3,370 & 781 & 2,589 & 2, 276 & 74 & 216 & 155 & 7 & 1 & 53 & 23 \\
    \hline \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \text { Dec. 31, 1935 } \\
    & \text { Jan. 31, } 1936
    \end{aligned}
    \] & 122
    1
    1 & 14
    1
    1 & 108 & 89 & 3 & 14 & 12 & & & 2 & 2 \\
    \hline Feb. 29, 1936... & 2 & 1 & 1 & & 1 & & & & & & \\
    \hline Mar. 31, 1936..- & 196
    866 & 65
    234 & 131
    632 & 103 & 4
    17 & 46 & \({ }_{35}^{18}\) & 1 & & 4
    9 & 1
    4 \\
    \hline May 31, 1936--- & 712 & 180 & 532 & 463 & 21 & 42 & 29 & & & 13 & 6 \\
    \hline June 30, 1936.. & 656 & 130 & 526 & 459 & 14 & 48 & 35 & 2 & & 11 & 5 \\
    \hline July 31, 1936_.-- & 648 & 123 & 525 & 480 & 10 & 31 & 18 & 1 & 1 & 11 & 4 \\
    \hline Aug, 31, 1936--- & 103 & 19 & 84 & 76 & \(\stackrel{2}{2}\) & 5 & 4 & & & 1 & 1 \\
    \hline Oct. 31, 1936 & 11 & \(\stackrel{1}{1}\) & 10 & 27
    9 & 2 & 6 & 1 & 1 & & 2 & \\
    \hline & \multicolumn{11}{|c|}{Percentage} \\
    \hline All dates...---- & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 \\
    \hline Dec. 31, 1935-...- & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{( \({ }_{( \pm)}{ }^{4}\)} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
    \underset{(\ddagger)^{2}}{()^{2}}
    \]} & 4 & 4 & 4 & 6 & 8 & & & 4 & ( \(\dagger\) \\
    \hline Feb. 29, 1936-... & & & (¢) & & 1 & & & & & & \\
    \hline Mar. 31, 1936... & \(\begin{array}{r} \\ 6 \\ 26 \\ \\ \hline\end{array}\) & \((8)\)
    30
    30 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 11 & 12 & (t) & ------ & 7 & (t) \\
    \hline Apr. 30, 1936 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
    \begin{aligned}
    & 20 \\
    & 21 \\
    & 20
    \end{aligned}
    \]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{23
    17
    17} & \({ }_{21}\) & 20 & 28 & 20 & 18 & & & \({ }_{24}^{17}\) & ( + \\
    \hline June 30, 1936.... & & & 21 & 20 & 19 & 22 & 22 & (t) & & 21 & (i) \\
    \hline July 31, 1936..... & \(\begin{array}{r}19 \\ 3 \\ \hline\end{array}\) & 16
    2 & 20 & 22 & 14 & 14 & 12 & ( \(\dagger\) ) & ( t & 21 & (+) \\
    \hline Sept. 30, 1936.-- & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{( \({ }^{1}{ }^{1}\)} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\({ }_{( \pm)}^{( \pm)}{ }^{2}\)} & & & 3 & 3 & 2 & ( \(\ddagger\) & & 4 & \\
    \hline Oct. 31, 1936_..- & & & ( \(\ddagger\) & ( \(\ddagger\) & & ( \(\ddagger\) & 1 & & & & \\
    \hline Nov. 30, 1936.-- & ( \({ }^{\text {( }}\) & & & ( & & & & & & & \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}
    \(\dagger\) Percentages not computed for fewer than 30 cases.
    \(\ddagger 0.5\) percent or less.

    \section*{ALBANY, GA.}

    Table 1.-Family type: Number of families of specified types and average number of persons per family, by income, 1985-36
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{4}{*}{Income class} & \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{Number of families of type \({ }^{1-}\)} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{A verage number of persons per family \({ }^{2}\)} \\
    \hline & \multirow{2}{*}{All} & \multirow{2}{*}{I} & \multirow{2}{*}{II} & \multirow{2}{*}{III} & \multirow{2}{*}{IV} & \multirow{2}{*}{V} & \multirow{2}{*}{VI} & \multirow{2}{*}{VII} & \multirow{2}{*}{VIII} & \multirow{2}{*}{Other} & \multirow{2}{*}{\[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \text { All } \\
    & \text { mem- } \\
    & \text { bers }
    \end{aligned}
    \]} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Other than husband and wife} \\
    \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & \[
    \begin{gathered}
    \text { Un- } \\
    \text { der } \\
    16
    \end{gathered}
    \] & \[
    \begin{gathered}
    16 \\
    \text { and } \\
    \text { over }
    \end{gathered}
    \] \\
    \hline & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) & (12) & (13) & (14) \\
    \hline All families & 1,066 & 219 & 181 & 125 & 218 & 125 & 87 & 63 & 26 & 22 & 4.0 & 1.3 & 0.7 \\
    \hline Relief families. & 119 & 16 & 14 & 7 & 23 & 16 & 12 & 20 & 3 & 8 & 4.8 & 1.9 & . 9 \\
    \hline Nonrelief families & 947 & 203 & 167 & 118 & 195 & 109 & 75 & 43 & 23 & 14 & 3.8 & 1.2 & . 7 \\
    \hline \$0-\$249 & 7 & 1 & 3 & & 2 & & 1 & & & & 3.4 & 1.0 & . 4 \\
    \hline \$250-\$499 & 19 & 8 & 3 & 1 & 1 & J & 4 & 1 & & & 3.6 & 1.4 & . 2 \\
    \hline \$500-\$749 & 64 & 23 & 10 & 7 & 5 & 4 & 11 & 3 & & 1 & 3.6 & 1.4 & . 2 \\
    \hline \$750-\$909 & 89 & 19 & 20 & 13 & 12 & 11 & 10 & 3 & & 1 & 3.9 & 1.4 & . 5 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249. & 91 & 21 & 17 & 13 & 18 & 6 & 6 & 6 & & 3 & 3.9 & 1.4 & . 5 \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499 & 82 & 18 & 16 & 7 & 13 & 10 & 11 & 4 & 3 & & 3.8 & 1.2 & . 6 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749 & 101 & 19 & 23 & 17 & 20 & 6 & 8 & 2 & 4 & 2 & 3.7 & 1.2 & . 6 \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & 98 & 19 & 14 & 13 & 23 & 17 & 6 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 3.9 & 1.2 & . 7 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249. & 84 & 19 & 16 & 12 & 13 & 8 & 8 & 5 & & 3 & 4.0 & 1.4 & . 6 \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499. & 53 & 13 & 11 & 12 & 8 & 6 & 2 & 1 & & & 3.4 & 1.1 & . 4 \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 & 89 & 14 & 16 & 9 & 27 & 13 & 2 & 5 & 3 & & 3.9 & . 9 & 1.0 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,499 & 60 & 6 & 8 & 5 & 20 & 11 & 2 & 4 & 4 & & 4.1 & . 9 & 1.2 \\
    \hline \$3,500-\$3,999 & 41 & 11 & 5 & 4 & 10 & 5 & 1 & 3 & 2 & & 3.7 & . 8 & 9 \\
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,499 & 20 & 5 & 1 & 1 & 6 & 3 & & 1 & 2 & 1 & 4.1 & 1.0 & 1.1 \\
    \hline \$4,500-\$4,999.... & 11 & 1 & & 2 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 1 & & & 4.4 & 1.5 & . 9 \\
    \hline \$5,000-\$7,499 & 21 & 4 & 2 & 2 & 7 & 4 & & & & 2 & 4.1 & 1.0 & 1.1 \\
    \hline \$7,500-\$9,999 & 8 & & 1 & & 2 & 3 & 1 & & 1 & & 4.7 & 1.1 & 1.6 \\
    \hline \$10,000 and over & 9 & 2 & 1 & & 4 & & & 1 & 1 & & 3.7 & . 2 & 1.5 \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    1 Family type:
    I. 2 persons. Husband and wife only.
    II. 3 persons. Husband, wife, 1 child under 16, and no others.
    III. 4 persons. Husband, wife, 2 children under 16, and no others.
    IV. 3 or 4 persons. Husband, wife, 1 person 16 or over, and 1 or no other person regardless of age.
    V. 5 or 6 persons. Husband, wife, 1 child under 16, 1 person 16 or over, and 1 or 2 other persons regardless of age.
    VI. 5 or 6 persons. Husband, wife, 3 or 4 children under 16, and no others.

    VII, 7 or 8 persons. Husband, wife, 1 child under 16, 4 or 5 other persons regardless of age.
    VIII. 5 or 6 persons. Husband, wife, 3 or 4 persons 16 or \(o\) ver.

    Other. 7 or more persons. All types not included in I through VIII.
    \({ }^{2}\) These are year equivalent persons. The sum of columns (13) and (14) plus 2 (husband and wife) does not always equal column (12). For the methods used in deriving these averages see glossary.
    \({ }^{3}\) Largest income reported between \(\$ 40,000\) and \(\$ 45,000\).

    \section*{ALBANY, GA.}

    Table 1A.-Family type: Number of families of specified types and average number of persons per family, by occupation and income, 1935-36
    [White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{5}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Income class and occupational group \\
    (1)
    \end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{Number of families of type 1 -} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{A verage number of persons per family \({ }^{2}\)} \\
    \hline & \multirow{3}{*}{All} & \multirow{3}{*}{I} & \multirow{3}{*}{II} & \multirow{3}{*}{III} & \multirow{3}{*}{IV} & \multirow{3}{*}{V} & \multirow{3}{*}{VI} & \multirow{3}{*}{VII} & \multirow{3}{*}{VIII} & \multirow{3}{*}{Other} & \multirow{3}{*}{All mem bers} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Other than husband and wife} \\
    \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & Un-
    der
    16 & 16 and over \\
    \hline & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) & (12) & (13) & (14) \\
    \hline Wage earner & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline All nonrelief families. & 335 & 62 & 58 & 50 & 59 & 40 & 38 & 16 & 5 & 7 & 4.0 & 1.4 & 0.6 \\
    \hline \$0-8249 & 3 & & 2 & & & & 1 & & & & 3.7 & 1.7 & \\
    \hline \$250-\$499 & 6 & 3 & 1 & 1 & & & 1 & & & & 3. 1 & 1. 1 & \\
    \hline \$500-\$749. & 39 & 13 & 6 & 5 & 4 & 1 & 8 & 1 & & 1 & 3. 7 & 1. 5 & . 2 \\
    \hline \$750-\$999 & 54 & 7 & 14 & 10 & 8 & 6 & 7 & 2 & & & 3.9 & 1. 5 & . 4 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249 & 39 & 6 & 6 & 7 & 6 & 3 & 4 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 4.3 & 1. 8 & . \({ }^{\text {d }}\) \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499.........- & 31 & 6 & 4 & 2 & 3 & 5 & 6 & 4 & 1 & & 4.3 & 1. 6 & . 7 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749 & 33 & 4 & 4 & 7 & 7 & 3 & 5 & 1 & 1 & 1. & 4. 2 & 1. 6 & . 6 \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,099 & 37 & 6 & 4 & 7 & 9 & 8 & 2 & 1 & & & 3.9 & 1.2 & . 7 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249 \(\ldots \ldots \ldots\) & 23 & 5 & 5 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 3 & -- & & 2 & 4. 2 & 1.5 & . 7 \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499. & 18 & 2 & 6 & 4 & 4 & 2 & & & & & 3.4 & 1. 0 & . 4 \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999. & 26 & 2 & 5 & 3 & 8 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 1 & & 4. 2 & 1. 1 & 1.1 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,499 & 9 & 2 & & 1 & 2 & 3 & & 1 & & & 4. 2 & 1. 0 & 1.2 \\
    \hline \$3,500-\$3,999 & 12 & 5 & 1 & 1 & 5 & & & & & & 2.8 & . 4 & . 4 \\
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,499 & 4 & 1 & & & & 1 & --- & & 1 & 1. & 5. 4 & 1. 6 & 1.8 \\
    \hline \$4,500-\$4,999 & 1 & & & - & 1 & & & & & & (*) & (*) & \({ }^{*}{ }^{\text {( }}\) \\
    \hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\(\$ 5,000-\$ 7,499\)
    \(\$ 7,500-\ldots 9,999\)
    \(\$ 10,000\) and over...................} & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline Clerical & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline All nonrelief families & 263 & 59 & 48 & 33 & 57 & 29 & 14 & 13 & 6 & 4 & 3.8 & 1. 1 & . 7 \\
    \hline \$0-\$249. & 1 & & 1 & & - & & & & & & (*) & (*) & --..- \\
    \hline \$250-\$499 & 4 & 1 & 2 & & & & 1 & & & & 3.2 & 1. 2 & \\
    \hline \$500-\$749 & 8 & 2 & 3 & & 1 & 2 & & & & & 3.4 & 1.0 & . 4 \\
    \hline \$750-\$999 & 17 & 6 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & & & 3.6 & 1. 2 & . 4 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249 & 24 & 8 & 2 & 6 & 3 & 3 & & 1 & & 1 & 3.8 & 1.3 & . 5 \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499 & 29 & 7 & 9 & 2 & 6 & & 4 & & 1 & & 3.2 & . 8 & . 4 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749 & 33 & 8 & 10 & 7 & 4 & 2 & 1 & & 1 & & 3.3 & . 9 & 4 \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & 32 & 6 & 6 & 3 & 5 & 6 & 3 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 4. 1 & 1. 4 & . 7 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249 ......... & 27 & 9 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 1 & 1 & 5 & & 1 & 4. 2 & 1. 4 & . 8 \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499......... & 14 & 5 & & 4 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 & & & 3.8 & 1. 2 & . 6 \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 \(\ldots . . . . .\). & 31 & 4 & 4 & 3 & 12 & 6 & 1 & 1 & & & 3.9 & . 9 & 1. 0 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,499 ........-- & 26 & 3 & 2 & 2 & 12 & 4 & & 2 & 1 & & 4.0 & . 6 & 1.4 \\
    \hline \$3,500-\$3,999 ......... & 6 & & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & & & 1 & & 4. 3 & 1. 3 & 1. 0 \\
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,499 & 6 & & & & 4 & & & 1 & 1 & & 4.5 & 8 & 1. 7 \\
    \hline \$4,500-\$4,999 \(\ldots \ldots . .\). & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$5,000-\$7,499 & 5 & & 2 & & 2 & & & & & 1 & 4.6 & 1.8 & . 8 \\
    \hline \$7,500-\$9,999 & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$10,000 and over. & & & & & & & & & & & & &  \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    See footnotes at end of table.

    ALBANY, GA.
    Table 1A.-Family type: Number of families of specified types and average number of persons per family, by occupation and income, 1935-36-Continued [White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
    

    See footnotes at end of table.

    \section*{ALBANY, GA.}

    Table 1A.-Family type: Number of families of specified types and average number of persons per family, by occupation and income 1995-36-Continued
    [White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born,]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{5}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Income class and occupational group \\
    (1)
    \end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{Number of families of type-1} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{A verage number of persons per family \({ }^{2}\)} \\
    \hline & \multirow{3}{*}{All} & \multirow{3}{*}{I} & \multirow{3}{*}{II} & \multirow{3}{*}{III} & \multirow{3}{*}{IV} & \multirow{3}{*}{V} & \multirow{3}{*}{VI} & \multirow{3}{*}{VII} & \multirow{3}{*}{VIII} & \multirow{3}{*}{Other} & \multirow{3}{*}{\[
    \begin{gathered}
    \text { All } \\
    \text { mem- } \\
    \text { bers }
    \end{gathered}
    \]} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Other than husband and wife} \\
    \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & Un-
    der
    16 & 16
    and
    over \\
    \hline & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) & (12) & (13) & (14) \\
    \hline Salaried professional & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline Allnonrelief families- & 29 & 7 & 9 & 4 & 3 & 3 & 3 & & & & 3.4 & 1.0 & 0.4 \\
    \hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
    \$ 0-\$ 249
    \]} & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$500-\$749-...------ & 1 & & 1 & & & & & & & & (*) & (*) & \\
    \hline \$750-\$999 & 1 & 1 & & & & & & & & & *) & & \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249 & 1 & & 1 & & & & & & & & (*) & (*) & \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499 & 3 & 1 & 1 & 1 & & & & & & & 3.0 & 1.0 & \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749------------ & 6 & 2 & 2 & & 1 & & 1 & & & & 3.1 & . 8 & 3 \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & 3 & & 1 & 1 & & & 1 & & & & 3.9 & 1.9 & \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,2499.---------- & 5 & 1 & 1 & 1 & & 1 & 1 & & & & 4.0 & 1. 6 & 4 \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499 & 2 & 1 & 1 & & & & & & & & \({ }^{*}{ }^{\text {P }}\) & \({ }^{*}{ }^{\text {( }}\) & \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 & 3 & 1 & & 1 & & 1 & ---- & & & & 3.8 & \({ }^{1.5}\) & . 3 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,499 & 1 & & 1 & & & & & & & & \({ }^{*}{ }^{*}\) ) & (*) & \\
    \hline \$3,500-\$3,999 & 1 & & & & 1 & & & & & & (*) & & (*) \\
    \hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline & 1 & & & & & 1 & & & & & & & (*) \\
    \hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \$ 4,500-\$ 4,999 \\
    & \$ 5,000-\$ 7,499 \\
    & \$ 7,500-\$ 9,999
    \end{aligned}
    \]} & 1 & & & & 1 & & & & & & (*) & (*) & (*) \\
    \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$10,000 and over & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline Other 6 & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline All nonrelief families- & 22 & 7 & 3 & 1. & 7 & 2 & 1 & ------ & 1 & ----.- & 3.4 & . 6 & . 8 \\
    \hline \$0-\$249.---..--------- & 1 & 2 & & - & 1 & & & & & & (*) & (*) & (*) \\
    \hline  & 7 & 4 & & 1 & & 1 & 1 & - & & & 3.1 & -1.0 & --1 \\
    \hline \$750-\$999------------------ & 1 & & & & 1 & & & & & & (*) & ----- & (*) \\
    \hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \$ 1,000-\$ 1,249 \\
    & \$ 1,250-\$ 1,499
    \end{aligned}
    \]} & 2 & & 2 & & & & & & & & (*) & (*) & - \\
    \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749-------------- & 1 & & 1 & & & & & & & & & \({ }^{(*)}\) & \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & 2 & & & & - 2 & & & & & & (*) & (*) & (*) \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249 & 1 & & & & 1 & & & & & & \({ }^{*}{ }^{*}\) * & & (*) \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499 & 1 & 1 & & & & & & & & & (*) & (*) & (*) \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 & 2 & & & & 1 & 1 & & & & & ( & ( & \\
    \hline \(\$ 3,000-\$ 3,499\)
    \(\$ 3,500-\$ 3,999\) & -- 1 & & & & & & & & 1 & & (*) & & (*) \\
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,499 & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\(\$ 4,500-\$ 4,999\)
    \(\$ 5,000-\$ 7,499\)} & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$7,500-\$9,999----
    \(\mathbf{\$ 1 0 , 0 0 0}\) and over 7 & 1 & & & & 1 & & & & & & (*) & & \\
    \hline +10,000 and & & & & & & & & & & & ( & & \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    For footnotes 1 and 2, see table 1 on p. 348.
    s Largest income reported between \(\$ 40,000\) and \(\$ 45,000\).
    - Largest income reported between \(\$ 10,000\) and \(\$ 15,000\).

    8 Largest income reported between \(\$ 20,000\) and \(\$ 25,000\).
    6 This group contains 9 families engaged in farming, a group too small to be separately classifled, and families having no gainfully employed members.
    \({ }^{7}\) Largest income between \(\$ 35,000\) and \(\$ 40,000\).
    *Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    \section*{ALBANY, GA.}

    Table 2.-Sources of family income: Number of families receiving income from specified sources, and average amount of such income, by income, 1935-36
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Income class
    (1)} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Number of families \\
    (2)
    \end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Number of families receiving-} \\
    \hline & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Money income from-} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Nonmoney income from-} \\
    \hline & & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Earnings 1 \\
    (3)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Other sources (positive or negative) \({ }^{2}\) \\
    (4)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Any source \({ }^{3}\) \\
    (5)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Owned home (positive or negative) \({ }^{4}\) \\
    (6)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Rent as pay \\
    (7)
    \end{tabular} \\
    \hline All families. - & 1,066 & 1,052 & 272 & 269 & 253 & 16 \\
    \hline Relief families Nonrelief families. & 119
    947 & 118 & 20
    252 & 6
    263 & 247 & 16 \\
    \hline \$0-\$249. & 7 & 7 & 2 & & & \\
    \hline \$250-\$499 & 19 & 17 & 6 & 3 & 2 & 1 \\
    \hline \$500-\$749 & 64 & 59 & 15 & 6 & 5 & 1 \\
    \hline \[
    \$ 750-\$ 999
    \] & 89 & 89 & 16 & 8 & 8 & \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249. & 91 & 89 & 22 & 13 & 11 & 2 \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499. & 82 & 82 & 16 & 9 & 8 & 1 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749. & 101 & 101 & 23 & 22 & 18 & 4 \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999. & 98 & 97 & 23 & 28 & 27 & 1 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249 & 84 & 83 & 24 & 24 & 23 & 1 \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499. & 53 & 52 & 7 & 16 & 14 & 2 \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999. & 89 & 88 & 27 & 37 & 36 & 1 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,999. & 101 & 101 & 35 & 47 & 46 & 1 \\
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,999. & 31 & 31 & 12 & 20 & 20 & \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over... & 38 & 38 & 24 & 30 & 29 & 1 \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    \footnotetext{
    1 See glossary for definition of "earnings."
    2 Includes 260 families, 241 of which were nonrelief, which had money income other than earnings and no business losses met from family funds; 6 tamilies, all of which were nonrelief, which had business losses met from family funds and no money income other than earnings, and 6 families, 5 of which were nonrelief, which had both money income and business losses met from family funds. There were, therefore, 266 families, 246 of which were nonrelief, which had money income other than earnings, whether or not they had business losses met from family funds; and there were 13 families, 12 of which were nonrelief, which had business losses met from family funds, whether or not they had money income other than earnings. These latter 12 families were found in the following income classes: \(\$ 0-\$ 249,1 ; \$ 500-\$ 749,1 ; \$ 750-\$ 099,1 ; \$ 1,500-\) \(\$ 1,749,1 ; \$ 1,750-\$ 1,999.1 ; \$ 2,000-\$ 2,249,2 ; \$ 2,500-\$ 2,999,1 ; \$ 3,000-\$ 3,999,1 ; \$ 4,000-\$ 4,999,1 ; \$ 5,000\) and over, 2. See glossary for definitions of "money income other than earnings" and "business losses."
    \({ }^{3}\) The total of the numbers of families in columns (6) and (7), since no family reported nonmoney income from both sources.
    4 Includes families with losses from owned homes, as well as families whose estimated rented value of owned homes for the period of ownership and occupancy exceeded estimated expenses allocable to that period. There were 3 families, all of which were nonrelief, with losses from owned homes (i. e., families whose estimated rental value was less than estimated expenses). The latter 3 families were found in the following income classes: \(\$ 500-\$ 749,1 ; \$ 2,000-\$ 2,249,1 ; \$ 2,500-\$ 2,999,1\).
    }

    ALBANY, GA.
    Table 2.-Sources of family income: Number of families receiving income from specified sources, and average amount of such income, by income, 1995-36 \({ }^{1}\) Continued
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Income class

    (1)} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Total

    (2)} & \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{Average family income} \\
    \hline & & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Money income from-} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Nonmoney income from-} \\
    \hline & & All sources
    (3) & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Earnings \({ }^{2}\) \\
    (4)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Other sources (positive or negative) \({ }^{3}\) \\
    (5)
    \end{tabular} & All sources
    (6) & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Owned home (positive or negative) \({ }^{4}\) \\
    (7)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Rent as pay \\
    (8)
    \end{tabular} \\
    \hline All families....--------------- & \({ }^{5}\) \$2,034 & \$1,965 & \$1,843 & \$122 & \$69 & \$65 & \$4 \\
    \hline Relief famuies Nonrelief families & \[
    \begin{array}{r}
    551 \\
    82,221
    \end{array}
    \] & 543
    2,143 & 520
    2,010 & 23
    133 & 88 & 8
    73 & 5 \\
    \hline \$0-\$249 & 191 & 191 & 204 & -13 & & & \\
    \hline \$250-\$499 & 387 & 357 & 288 & 69 & 30 & 26 & 4 \\
    \hline \$500-\$749- & 633 & 616 & 537 & 79 & 17 & 16 & 1 \\
    \hline \$750-\$999 & 872 & 862 & 842 & 20 & 10 & 10 & \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249. & 1,127 & 1,104 & 1,013 & 91 & 23 & 18 & 5 \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499 & 1,361 & 1,339 & 1,316 & 23 & 22 & 19 & 3 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749 & 1,616 & 1,565 & 1,526 & 39 & 51 & 39 & 12 \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & 1, 865 & 1, 809 & 1,759 & 50 & 56 & 50 & 6 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249. & 2,112 & 2, 040 & 1,970 & 70 & 72 & 68 & 4 \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499- & 2, 369 & 2, 293 & 2,250 & 43 & 76 & 66 & 10 \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 & 2, 710 & 2, 594 & 2, 469 & 125 & 116 & 114 & 2 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,999. & 3,399 & 3,249 & 3, 103 & 146 & 150 & 143 & 7 \\
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,999 & 4,439 & 4,221 & 3,826 & 395 & 218 & 218 & \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over & 10,287 & 9,916 & 8,507 & 1,409 & 371 & 361 & 10 \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    \footnotetext{
    1 The averages in each column are based on all families, column (2) of table 2, whether or not they received income from the specified source. Averages in columns (2), (3), (5), (6), and (7) are net figures, after deduction for all families of business losses met from family funds or expenses for owned homes.
    \({ }^{2}\) See glossary for definition of "earnings."
    \({ }^{3}\) Includes money income other than earnings, after deduction of business losses met from family funds. See glossary for definitions of "money income other than earnings" and "business losses."
    : Represents the estimated rental value of owned homes for the period of ownership and occupancy, less estimated expenses allocable to that period.
    \({ }^{5}\) Median income for all families was \(\$ 1,661\); for nonrelief families, \(\$ 1,820\).
    }

    \section*{ALBANY, GA.}

    Table 2A.-Sources of family income: Number of families receiving income from specified sources, and average amount of such income, by occupation and income, 1935-36
    [White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]
    

    \footnotetext{
    See glossary for definition of "earnings."
    Includes families having money income other than earnings, families having business losses met from family funds, and families having both such income and such losses. See glossary for definitions of "money income other than earnings" and "business losses."
    \({ }_{3}\) The total of the numbers of families in columns (6) and (7), since no family reported nonmoney income from both sources.
    \({ }^{4}\) Includes families with losses from owned homes, as well as families whose estimated rental value of owned homes for the period of ownership and occupancy exceeded estimated expenses allocable to that period.
    }

    \section*{ALBANY, GA.}

    Table 2A.-Sources of family income: Number of families receiving income from specified sources, and average amount of such income, by occupation and income, 1985-36 1-Continued
    [White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{4}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Income class and occupational group \\
    (1)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow{3}{*}{Total} & \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{Average family income} \\
    \hline & & \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{Money income from-} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Nonmoney income from-} \\
    \hline & & \[
    \begin{gathered}
    \text { All } \\
    \text { sources }
    \end{gathered}
    \] & Earnings \({ }^{2}\) & Other sources (positive or negative) \({ }^{3}\) & \[
    \underset{\text { sources }}{\text { All }}
    \] & \[
    \begin{gathered}
    \text { Owned } \\
    \text { home } \\
    \text { (positive or } \\
    \text { negative) }
    \end{gathered}
    \] & \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \text { Rent as } \\
    & \text { pay }
    \end{aligned}
    \] \\
    \hline & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) \\
    \hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Wage earner \\
    All nonrelief families
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\({ }^{5} \$ 1,613\)} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\$1,570} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\$1,528} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\$42} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\$43} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\$42} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\$1} \\
    \hline & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$0-\$499 & \multirow[t]{5}{*}{\[
    \begin{array}{r}
    332 \\
    775 \\
    1,217 \\
    1,758 \\
    2,397 \\
    3,703
    \end{array}
    \]} & \multirow[t]{5}{*}{\[
    \begin{array}{r}
    332 \\
    770 \\
    1,199 \\
    1,714 \\
    2,311 \\
    3,536
    \end{array}
    \]} & \multirow[t]{5}{*}{\[
    \begin{array}{r}
    249 \\
    744 \\
    1,177 \\
    1,684 \\
    2,275 \\
    3,351
    \end{array}
    \]} & \multirow[t]{5}{*}{\[
    \begin{gathered}
    83 \\
    26 \\
    22 \\
    30 \\
    36 \\
    185
    \end{gathered}
    \]} & \multirow{6}{*}{5
    18
    44
    86
    167} & \multirow{6}{*}{\[
    \begin{array}{r}
    74 \\
    18 \\
    42 \\
    81 \\
    167
    \end{array}
    \]} & \multirow{5}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    1 \\
    \hline 2 \\
    5
    \end{tabular}} \\
    \hline \$500-\$999_-...-----.....-- & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,499...----........-- & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$1,500- \(\$ 1,909\) & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$4,999 & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over.... & & & & & & & \\
    \hline Clerical & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\({ }^{5} 2,026\)} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1,971} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1,896} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{75} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{55} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{53} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{2} \\
    \hline Allnonrolieffamilies_ & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \[
    \$ 0-\$ 499
    \] & 346
    788 & 332
    788 & 361
    747 & -29 & 14 & ---------- & 14 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,499 & 1,263 & 1,248 & 1,198 & 50 & 15 & 15 & \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,999 & 1, 731 & 1,697 & 1, 669 & 28 & 34 & 29 & 5 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,999 & 2,407 & 2,335 & 2,260 & 75 & 72 & 70 & 2 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$4,999 & 3,421 & 3, 294 & 3,222 & 72 & 127 & 127 & \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over. & 5,712 & 5,449 & 4,198 & 1,251 & 263 & 263 & \\
    \hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Business and professional \\
    All nonrelief families.
    \end{tabular}} & & & & & & & \\
    \hline & \({ }^{5} 2,954\) & 2,833 & 2,600 & 233 & 121 & 109 & 12 \\
    \hline \[
    \$ 0-\$ 499
    \] & \({ }_{7}^{325}\) & 310
    745 & \({ }_{716}^{295}\) & 15
    29 & \begin{tabular}{l}
    15 \\
    33 \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular} & 15 & \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,499 & 1,244 & 1,206 & 1,131 & 75 & 38 & 24 & 14 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,999 & 1,722 & 1,646 & 1,596 & 50 & 76 & 55 & 21 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,999 & 2,408 & 2,316 & 2,251 & 65 & 92 & 85 & 7 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$4,999..... & 3,742
    10
    10 & 3,559
    \(\mathbf{8 4 3}\) & 3,278
    8,454 & -281 & 183
    367 & \(\begin{array}{r}176 \\ 357 \\ \hline\end{array}\) & \({ }_{10}^{7}\) \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over-.. & 10,210 & 9,843 & 8,454 & 1,389 & 367 & 357 & 10 \\
    \hline Other & & & & & & & \\
    \hline All nonrelief families..- & 2,910 & 2, 672 & 1,918 & 754 & 238 & 238 & \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    \footnotetext{
    \({ }^{1}\) The averages in each column are based on all families, column (2) of table 2A, whether or not they received income from the specified source. Averages in columns (2), (3), (5), (6), and (7) are net figures, after deduction for all families of business losses met from family funds or expenses for owned homes.
    \({ }^{2}\) See glossary for definition of "earnings."
    \({ }^{3}\) Includes money income other than earnings, after deduction of business losses met from family funds. See glossary for definitions of "money income other than earnings" and "business losses."
    \({ }^{4}\) Represents the estimated rental value of owned home for the period of ownership and occupancy, less estimated expenses allocable to that period.
    \({ }^{5}\) Median incomes were as follows: Wage-earner families, \(\$ 1,468\); clerical families, \(\$ 1,873\); business and professional families, \(\$ 2,223\).
    }

    \section*{ALBANY, GA.}

    Table 3.-Money earnings: Number of families receiving net money earnings and average net money earnings received from each source, by income, 1935-36
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Income class} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Number of families} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Number of families receiving net money earnings from-} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Average net money earnings from 1 .} \\
    \hline & & Any source & Individual earners & \[
    \begin{gathered}
    \text { Roomers } \\
    \text { and } \\
    \text { boarders }{ }^{2}
    \end{gathered}
    \] & Other work not attributable to individuals & \[
    \begin{gathered}
    \text { All } \\
    \text { sources }
    \end{gathered}
    \] & Individual earners & Roomers and boarders and other work \({ }^{3}\) \\
    \hline & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) \\
    \hline All families.. & 1,066 & 1,052 & 1,047 & 137 & 12 & \$1,843 & \$1,818 & \$25 \\
    \hline Relief families & 119 & 118 & 118 & 16 & 4 & 520 & 506 & 14 \\
    \hline Nonrelief families. & 947 & 934 & 929 & 121 & 8 & 2,010 & 1,983 & 27 \\
    \hline \$0-\$249 & 7 & 7 & 6 & 1 & - 1 & 204 & 189 & 15 \\
    \hline \$250-\$499 & 19 & 17 & 16 & 1 & & 288 & 281 & 7 \\
    \hline \$500-\$749. & 64 & 59 & 59 & 7 & 1 & 537 & 529 & 8 \\
    \hline \$750-\$999 & 89 & 89 & 88 & 10 & 1 & 842 & 824 & 18 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249 & 91 & 89 & 87 & 13 & & 1,013 & 988 & 25 \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499 & 82 & 82 & 82 & 12 & & 1,316 & 1,290 & 26 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749 & 101 & 101 & 101 & 12 & 4 & 1,526 & 1,489 & 37 \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & 98 & 97 & 97 & 16 & & 1,759 & 1,736 & 23 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249 & 84 & 83 & 83 & 9 & & 1,970 & 1,960 & 10 \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499- & 53 & 52 & 52 & 7 & & 2,250 & 2, 189 & 61 \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,994 & 89 & 88 & 88 & 12 & 1 & 2,469 & 2,434 & 35 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,999 & 101 & 101 & 101 & 14 & & 3, 103 & 3,069 & 34 \\
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,999. & 31 & 31 & 31 & 4 & & 3,826 & 3, 773 & 53 \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over.- & 38 & 38 & 38 & 3 & & 8,507 & 8,497 & 10 \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}
    \({ }^{1}\) The averages in each column are based on all families, column (2), whether or not they received money earnings from the specified source.
    \({ }^{2}\) Includes only families which had net money earnings from roomers and boarders (i. e., whose gross income from roomers and boarders exceeded estimated expenses). In addition, there were a few families which had roomers and boarders but which received from them no net money earnings.
    \({ }_{3}\) Includes net money earnings from roomers and boarders and from other work not attributable to individuals (casual work in home such as laundry and sewing). Average net money earnings of all families from other work not attributable to individuals were \(\$ 1\).

    \section*{ALBANY, GA.}

    Table 3A.-Money earnings: Number of families receiving net money earnings and average net money earnings received from each source, by occupation and income, 1935-36
    [White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]
    

    \footnotetext{
    \({ }^{1}\) The averages in each column are based on all families, column (2), whether or not they received money earnings from the specifed source.
    \({ }_{2}\) Includes only familied which had net money earnings from roomers and boarders (i. e., whose gross income from roomers and boarders exceeded estimated expenses). In addition, there were some families which had roomers and boarders but which had no net money earnings from them.
    \({ }^{3}\) Includes net money earnings from roomers and boarders and from other work not attributable to individuals (casual work in home, such as laundry and sewing). Average net money earnings of all nonrelief families from other work not attributable to individuals were as follows: Wage-earner families, \(\$ 0.50\) orless; clerical families, \(\$ 2\); business and professional families, \(\$ 0.50\) or less.
    \({ }^{* *} \$ 0.50\) or less.
    }

    \section*{ALBANY, GA.}

    Table 4-4A.-Principal earners: Number of principal earners, classified as husbands, wives, and others, with weeks of employment and average yearly earnings of principal earners, by occupation and income, 1935-96
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]
    

    \footnotetext{
    \({ }^{1}\) Averages in this column are based on the number of principal earners reporting weeks of employment.
    \({ }^{2}\) A verages in this section of the table are based on the corresponding counts of principal earners in columns (3) and (4).
    \({ }^{3}\) The total number of principal earners given in column (3) is equivalent to the total number of families having individual earners, since a family can have only 1 principal earner. The difference between the totals in columns (2) and (3) is explained by the fact that column (2), number of families, includes cases in which none of the family income was attributable to individual earners.
    "Includes 22 families classified in the occupational group "Other." These families had 9 principal earners.
    }

    \section*{ALBANY, GA.}

    Table 5.-Number of earners in family: Number of families with specified number of individual earners, family relationship of sole earners, and average number of supplementary earners per family, by income, 1935-36
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    

    1 This percentage was computed by dividing the sum of columns (8), (9), (10) by column (4) of table 3 on p. 356 .

    2 Based on the number of families with individual earners, column (4) of table 3 on p. 356.
    \(\dagger\) Percentages not computed for fewer than 30 cases.

    \section*{ALBANY, GA.}

    Table 6.-Sole and supplementary earners: Number of families with individual earners; number of supplementary earners classified as husbands, wives, and others; average earnings of supplementary earners; and average earnings of family from supplementary earners; by income, 1935-36
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{4}{*}{Income class

    (1)} & \multirow{3}{*}{Number of fami} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Number of families with individual earners} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Number of supplementary earners} & \multirow[b]{4}{*}{A verage earnings of all supplementary} & \multirow[b]{4}{*}{Average earnings per family from supplementary} \\
    \hline & & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Any} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{One only} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{More than one :} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    All \\
    (7)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Husbands} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Wives \\
    (9)
    \end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Others \({ }^{2}\)} & & \\
    \hline & & & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Any \\
    family \\
    mem. \\
    ber
    \end{tabular} & Husband & & & & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Male \\
    (10)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    \(\mathrm{Fe}-\) male \\
    (11)
    \end{tabular}} & & \\
    \hline & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline All families. & 1,066 & 1,047 & 738 & 724 & 309 & 411 & 45 & 117 & 154 & 95 & \$401 & \$154 \\
    \hline Relief families. Nonrelief families & \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & 119 \\
    & 947
    \end{aligned}
    \] & \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & 118 \\
    & 929
    \end{aligned}
    \] & 69
    669 & \[
    \begin{array}{r}
    64 \\
    660
    \end{array}
    \] & \[
    \begin{array}{r}
    49 \\
    260
    \end{array}
    \] & 68
    343 & 14
    31 & 19
    98 & 15
    139 & 20 & 154
    450 & 88
    163 \\
    \hline \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \$ 0-\$ 249 \ldots . . . \\
    & \$ 250-\$ 499 \ldots
    \end{aligned}
    \] & \(\begin{array}{r}7 \\ \hline\end{array}\) & \({ }_{6}^{6}\) & 5
    15 & 5
    14 & 1 & 1 & & 1 & & & (*) & \({ }_{(* *)}{ }^{2}\) \\
    \hline \$500-\$749 & 64 & 59 & 52 & 49 & 7 & 7 & & 7 & & & 128 & 14 \\
    \hline \$750-\$999 & 89 & 88 & 71 & 69 & 17 & 20 & 4 & 5 & 7 & 4 & 227 & 51 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249 ...- & 91 & 87 & 62 & 61 & 25 & 30 & 7 & 5 & 10 & 8 & 175 & 58 \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499 .... & 82 & 82 & 56 & 55 & 26 & 32 & 5 & 10 & 8 & 9 & 255 & 100 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749.... & 101 & 101 & 80 & 80 & 21 & 27 & 3 & 10 & 12 & 2 & 292 & 78 \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999.... & 98 & 97 & 70 & 70 & 27 & 35 & 1 & 8 & 16 & 10 & 354 & 126 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249 . .- & 84 & 83 & 58 & 58 & 25 & 31 & 1 & 12 & 11 & 7 & 368 & 136 \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499 & 53 & 52 & 42 & 42 & 10 & 11 & 1 & 2 & 5 & 3 & 397 & 82 \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 ...- & 89 & 88 & 48 & 48 & 40 & 54 & , & 16 & 25 & 10 & 471 & 286 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,999.... & 101 & 101 & 63 & 63 & 38 & 56 & 2 & 13 & 26 & 15 & 675 & 374 \\
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,999...- & \begin{tabular}{l}
    31 \\
    38 \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular} & 31
    38 & \({ }_{27}^{20}\) & 19
    27 & 11 & 21
    17 & \(\stackrel{2}{2}\) & 5
    3 & 9
    10 & 5
    2 & 766
    1,180 & 519
    529 \\
    \hline \$5,00 and over.- & 38 & 38 & 27 & 27 & 11 & 17 & 2 & 3 & 10 & 2 & 1,180 & 529 \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}
    \({ }^{1}\) Families that have supplementary earners.
    \({ }_{2}\) Includes 10 males and I female under 16 years of age.
    \({ }_{3}\) A verages in this column are based on the corresponding counts of supplementary earners in column (7).
    4 Averages in this column are based on the number of families as shown in column (2).
    *A verages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
    **\$0. 50 or less.

    \section*{ALBANY, GA.}

    Table 6A.-Sole and supplementary earners: Number of families with individual earners; number of supplementary earners classified as husbands, wives, and others; average earnings of supplementary earners; and average earnings of family from supplementary earners; by occupation and income, 1935-36
    [White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]
    

    \footnotetext{
    \({ }^{1}\) Averages in this column are based on the corresponding counts of supplementary earners in column (6).
    Averages in this column are based on the number of families as shown in column (2).
    \({ }^{3}\) Families that have supplementary earners.
    \({ }^{4}\) Includes persons under 16 years of age as follows: Wage-earner families, 3 males and no females; clerical families, 5 males and no females.
    *A verages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
    }

    ALBANY, GA.
    Table 7.-Earnings of supplementary earners: Number of supplementary earners with earnings of specified amount, by family income,
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Income class} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Number of families with any supplementary earners} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Average earnings of supplementary earners \\
    (3)
    \end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{15}{|c|}{Number of supplementary earners with earnings of-} \\
    \hline & & & Any amount & \[
    \begin{gathered}
    \text { Under } \\
    \$ 50
    \end{gathered}
    \] & \$50-\$99 & \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \$ 100- \\
    & \$ 199
    \end{aligned}
    \] & \(\$ 200-\)
    \(\$ 299\) & \$300- & \(\$ 400-\)
    \(\$ 499\) & \$500- & \$600-
    \(\$ 699\) & \$700-
    \(\$ 799\) & \(\$ 800-\)
    \(\$ 899\) & \$900-
    \(\$ 999\) & \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \$ 1,000- \\
    & \$ 1,499
    \end{aligned}
    \] & \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \$ 1,500- \\
    & \$ 1,999
    \end{aligned}
    \] & \[
    \begin{gathered}
    \$ 2,000 \\
    \text { and } \\
    \text { over }
    \end{gathered}
    \] \\
    \hline (1) & (2) & & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) & (12) & (13) & (14) & (15) & (16) & (17) & (18) \\
    \hline All families & 309 & \$401 & 411 & 54 & 44 & 74 & 40 & 42 & 27 & 23 & 31 & 23 & 7 & 14 & 24 & 3 & 5 \\
    \hline Relief families. Nonrelief families. & 49
    260 & \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & 154 \\
    & 450
    \end{aligned}
    \] & \(\begin{array}{r}68 \\ 343 \\ \hline\end{array}\) & 18 & 17
    27 & 12
    62 & 11
    29 & 5
    37 & 3
    24 & 23 & 1
    30 & 23 & 6 & 14 & 24 & 3 & 5 \\
    \hline \$0-\$249 & 1 & (*) & 1 & 1 & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$5250-\$799 & 1 & \({ }^{(*)} 128\) & \(\frac{1}{7}\) & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$750-\$999 & 17 & 227 & 20 & 1 & 1 & 8 & 3 & 6 & 1 & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249. & 25 & 175 & 30 & 8 & 5 & 6 & 2 & 5 & 3 & 1 & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499 & 26 & 255 & 32 & 6 & 2 & 9 & 2 & 4 & 5 & & 4 & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749. & 21 & 292 & 27 & & 6 & 7 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 3 & & 3 & & & & & \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & 27 & 354 & 35 & 4 & & 8 & 4 & 4 & 5 & 5 & 1 & 4 & & & & & \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249. & 25 & 368 & 31 & 6 & 4 & 2 & 1 & 5 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 1 & 1 & & \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499. & 10 & 397 & 11 & 1 & - & 4 & - & & -- & 2 & 1 & 2 & & & & & \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 & 40 & 471 & 54 & 6 & 6 & 8 & 3 & 5 & 3 & 2 & 5 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 6 & & \\
    \hline \$ \(\$ 4,0000-\$ 4,999\). & 11 & 675
    766 & 56
    21 & 1 & 1 & 5
    2 & 7
    1 & 3 & 1 & 6
    1 & 10 & 5
    3 & 1 & 6
    3 & 11 & 1 & 1 \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over. & 11 & 1,180 & 17 & & & 1 & 1 & 1 & & 2 & 3 & & & & & 1 & 4 \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    \footnotetext{
    *Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
    }

    ALBANY, GA.
    Table 8.-Husbands as earners: Number and average yearly earnings of husbands classified as principal or supplementary earners, by age and family income, 1935-96
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Income class} & \multicolumn{12}{|c|}{Principal earners by age groups} & \multicolumn{12}{|c|}{Supplementary earners by age groups} \\
    \hline & Any & Under
    20 & 20-24 & 25-29 & 30-34 & 35-39 & 40-44 & 45-49 & 50-54 & 55-59 & 60-64 & \[
    \begin{gathered}
    65 \text { and } \\
    \text { over }
    \end{gathered}
    \] & Any & Un-
    der
    20 & 20-24 & 25-29 & 30-34 & 35-39 & 40-44 & 45-49 & 50-54 & 55-59 & 60-64 & 65
    and
    over \\
    \hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) & (12) & (13) & (14) & (15) & (16) & (17) & (18) & (19) & (20) & (21) & (22) & (23) & (24) & (25) \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    Number of husbands 1
    

    Table 9.-Wives as earners: Number and average yearly earnings of wives classified as principal or supplementary earners, by age and family income, 1935-96
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    

    \footnotetext{
    \({ }^{1}\) A verages for each age group are based on the corresponding numbers of wives in the upper section of the table; the 2 averages for all age groups combined are based on the corresponding total numbers of wives.
    *A verages not computed for fewer than 3 cases
    }

    \section*{albany, GA.}

    Table 10.-Money income other than earnings: Number of families receiving money income other than earnings, and average amount received, by source and total income, 1935-36 \({ }^{1}\)
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    

    See glossary for definition of "money income other than earnings."
    Averages are based on all amilies, column (2), whether or not they received money income other than earnings.
    \({ }^{3}\) Includes money income other than earnings from sources other than those specified, including profits from business enterprises partially or wholly owned but not operated by amily members. See glossary for further definition of "profits."
    * \(\$ 0.50\) or less.

    ALBANY, GA.
    Table 11.-Nonmoney income from owned homes: Number of families owning homes with and without mortgages, average rental value, average expense, and average nonmoney income from home ownership; by income, 1935-36
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Income class

    (1)} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Number of families} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Homes free from mortgage} & \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{Mortgaged homes} \\
    \hline & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    All \\
    (2)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Owning homes 1 \\
    (3)
    \end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Families owning homes free from mortgage} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Average rental value \({ }^{2}\) \\
    (6)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Average expense \({ }^{3}\) \\
    (7)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Average nonmoney income \({ }^{4}\) \\
    (8)
    \end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Families owning mortgaged homes} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Average rental value \({ }^{2}\)} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Average expense \({ }^{3}\)} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Average nonincome \\
    (14)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Interest as percentage value \\
    (15)
    \end{tabular}} \\
    \hline & & & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Number \\
    (4)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Percentage \({ }^{6}\) \\
    (5)
    \end{tabular} & & & & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Number \\
    (9)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Percentage \({ }^{5}\) \\
    (10)
    \end{tabular} & & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Interest \\
    (12)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Other \\
    (13)
    \end{tabular} & & \\
    \hline All families. & 1,066 & 253 & 169 & 67 & \$426 & \$112 & \$314 & 84 & 33 & \$472 & \$151 & \$118 & \$203 & 32 \\
    \hline Relief families Nonrelief families.. & \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & 119 \\
    & 947
    \end{aligned}
    \] & 6
    247 & 5
    164 & \(\left.{ }^{( }\right)_{66}\) & 259
    431 & \(\begin{array}{r}84 \\ 113 \\ \hline\end{array}\) & \({ }_{318}^{175}\) & 1
    83 & \({ }^{(\dagger)} 34\) & \({ }^{(*)} 474\) & \({ }^{(*)}{ }_{150}\) & \({ }^{(*)}{ }_{119}\) & \({ }^{(*)} 205\) & \begin{tabular}{l}
    58 \\
    32 \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular} \\
    \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \$ 2500-\$ 499 \\
    & \$ 500-\$ 79
    \end{aligned}
    \] & 19
    64 & & \begin{tabular}{l}
    1 \\
    4 \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular} & ( + & \({ }^{(*)}\) & \({ }^{(*)}{ }_{104}\) & \({ }^{(*)}{ }_{271}\) & 1 & (t) & \({ }^{(*)}\) & (*) & (*) & (*) & 40
    73 \\
    \hline \$750-\$999 & 89 & 8 & 5 & ( \(\dagger\) ) & 164 & \({ }_{68}\) & 96 & 3 & ( \(\dagger\) & 350 & 162 & \({ }^{73}\) & 115 & 46 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249. & 91 & 11 & 7 & ( + & 266 & 82 & 184 & 4 & (f) & 216 & 54 & \({ }^{6} 67\) & 95 & 25 \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499... & \({ }^{82}\) & & \({ }^{6}\) & \(\stackrel{(+)}{\text { ( }}\) & 288 & & \({ }_{231}^{200}\) & 2 & (t) & \({ }^{*}{ }^{*}\) & (*) \({ }^{\text {a }}\) & \({ }^{*}{ }^{*} 11\) & \({ }^{(*)}\) & 25 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749 & 101
    98 & 18
    27 & 11
    19 & ( + & 327
    299 & 93
    89
    89 & 234
    210
    21 & 7 & (t) & \({ }_{4}^{422}\) & \begin{tabular}{l}
    107 \\
    163 \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    111 \\
    107 \\
    \hline 10
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    204 \\
    142 \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular} & 25
    40 \\
    \hline \$2,000- \(\$ 2,249\) & 84 & 23 & 13 & (4) & 411 & 110 & 301 & 10 & (t) & 420 & 132 & 112 & 176 & 32 \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499 & 53 & 14 & 7 & ( \(\dagger\) ) & 420 & 112 & 308 & 7 & ( \(\dagger\) & 403 & 103 & 109 & 191 & 26 \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999... & \(\begin{array}{r}89 \\ 101 \\ \hline 1\end{array}\) & \({ }_{46}^{36}\) & \(\stackrel{24}{30}\) & & 438 & 114 & \(\begin{array}{r}324 \\ 339 \\ \hline\end{array}\) & \(\frac{12}{16}\) & & 468
    570 & 146 & 120 & \({ }_{273}^{202}\) & 31
    38 \\
    \hline \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \$ 3,000-\$ 3,999 \\
    & \$ 4,000-\$ 4,999
    \end{aligned}
    \] & 101
    31 & 46
    20 & 30
    13 & (†) \({ }^{65}\) & 485 & 116
    122 & \(\begin{array}{r}339 \\ 367 \\ \hline\end{array}\) & 16
    7 & (t) \({ }^{35}\) & \({ }_{626} 570\) & 160
    186 & 137 & 273
    292 & \({ }_{30}^{28}\) \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over- & 38 & 29 & 24 & ( \(\dagger\) ) & 674 & 154 & 520 & 5 & ( \(\dagger\) ) & 732 & 307 & 166 & 259 & 42 \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    \footnotetext{
    Includes all ramilies occupying owned homes at any time during the report year
    }

    Expense for period of ownership and occupancy during report year. Expense other than interest, columns (7) and (13), estimated on basis of average relationship between rental value and expense.

    Ney
    Based on number of families owning homes, column (3).
    \(\dagger\) Percentages not computed for fewer than 30 cases.
    \({ }^{*}\) Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    ALBANY, GA.
    Table 12.-Monthly rental value: Number of home-owning families having homes with specified monthly rental value, by income, \(1935-36{ }^{3}\) [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Income class} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\[
    \begin{array}{|c|c|}
    \substack{\text { Num- } \\
    \text { ber of }} & \begin{array}{c}
    \text { Home-owning } \\
    \text { families }
    \end{array} \\
    \hline
    \end{array}
    \]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Average monthly rental valve of owned homes "} & \multicolumn{15}{|c|}{Number of home-owning families reporting monthly rental value of-} \\
    \hline & owning & & Per- & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \$100 \\
    \hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) & (12) & (13) & (14) & (15) & (16) & (17) & (18) & (19) & (20) \\
    \hline All families.. & 1,028 & 247 & 24 & \$37.90 & ------- & 2 & 3 & 15 & 16 & 35 & 27 & 33 & 35 & 53 & 16 & 12 & --.---- & & ----- \\
    \hline Relief families & 117 & 6 & 5 & 22.20 & & 1 & & 2 & & 2 & & & & 1 & & & & & \\
    \hline Nonrelief families. & 911 & 241 & 26 & 38.30 & & 1 & 3 & 13 & 16 & 33 & 27 & 33 & 35 & 52 & 16 & 12 & & & \\
    \hline \$0-\$249. & 6 & & & & & & & & -- & & & & & & & & & & ----- \\
    \hline \$250-\$499 & 18 & 2 & ( \(\dagger\) ) & (*) & & & & & & & & 1 & 1 & ----- & ------- & & & & \\
    \hline \$500-\$749 & 56 & 5 & 9 & 25.00 & & & & & 3 & & 1 & 1 & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$750-\$990 & 86 & 7 & 8 & 21.60 & & & 2 & & 3 & & & & 1 & & & & & & --.- \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249 & 86 & 10 & 12 & 24.00 & & & & 2 & 1 & 4 & 3 & & & & & - & & & \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499 & 77 & 8 & 10 & 26.60 & & & & 3 & & 2 & & & 1 & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749 & 98 & 18 & 18 & 32.00 & & & 1 & 2 & & 5 & \(\cdots\) & & 1 & 3 & 1 & --- & & & \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & 95
    81
    81 & 26 & 27
    27 & 28.30
    35.00 & & 1 & & 3 & 3
    1 & 7 & & \begin{tabular}{l}
    5 \\
    4 \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular} & \({ }^{-}\) & 4 & & 1 & & & \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499 & 53 & 14 & 26 & 34.30 & & & & 1 & & 2 & & 2 & 1 & 2 & & & & & \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 & 88 & 36 & 41 & 38.00 & & & & 1 & 2 & 1 & & 6 & 13 & 9 & ---- & & & & \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,999 & 99 & 45 & 45 & 42.40 & & & & 1 & & 4 & 4 & 7 & 7 & 16 & 5 & 1 & & & \\
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,999 & 31 & 19 & 61 & 46.30 & & & & & 1. & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 7 & 5 & 1 & & & \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over- & 37 & 29 & 78 & 58.60 & & & & & 1 & 1 & ---- & - 1 & 3 & 10 & 4 & 9 & & & \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}
    \({ }^{1}\) Includes only those families that did not change living. quarters between the end of the report year and the date of interview. Families are classified as home-owning families or as renting families according to their status at the date of interview.
    \({ }^{2}\) Based on estimate made by home owner for period of ownership and occupancy during report year. Averages are based on the number of home-owning families, column (3)
    \({ }^{3}\) Based on the number of home-owning and renting families, column (2).
    Percentages not computed for fewer than 30 cases.
    * A verages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    \section*{ALBANY, GA.}

    Table 13.-Monthiy rent: Number of renting families reporting specified monthly rent, by income, 1935-36 \({ }^{1}\)
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All oceupational groups and all family types combined]
    
    \({ }^{1}\) Includes only those families that did not change living quarters between the end of the report year and the date of interview. Families are classified as home-owning families or as renting families according to their status at the date of interview. amount of which was estimated by the family

    Based on the number of home-owning and renting families, column (2).
    Consists of families receiving rent as gift.
    \(\dagger\) Percentages not computed for fewer than 30 cases.

    \section*{ALBANY, GA.}

    Table 14A.-Average monthly rental value and average monthly rent: Number of home-owning and renting families, average monthly rental value, and average monthly rent, by occupation and income, 1935-36 \({ }^{1}\)
    [White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Income class} & \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{Occupational group: Wage earner} & \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{Occupational group: Clerical} & \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Occupational group: Business and professional} \\
    \hline & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Number of families-} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Percentage of home-owning and renting families \({ }^{2}\)} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Average monthly-} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Number of families-} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Percentage of home-owning and renting families \({ }^{2-}\)} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Average monthly-} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Number of families} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Percentage of home-owning and renting families \({ }^{2}\) -} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Average monthly-} \\
    \hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Homeowning \\
    (2)
    \end{tabular} & Renting
    (3) & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Homeowning \\
    (4)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Renting \\
    (5)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Rental value \({ }^{3}\) \\
    (6)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Rent 4 \\
    (7)
    \end{tabular} & Homeowning
    (8) & Renting (9) & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Homeowning \\
    (10)
    \end{tabular} & Renting (11) & Rental value \({ }^{3}\)
    (12) & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Rent \({ }^{4}\) \\
    (13)
    \end{tabular} & Homeowning
    (14) & Renting (15) & Homeowning
    (16) & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Renting \\
    (17)
    \end{tabular} & Rental value \({ }^{3}\)
    (18) & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Rent \({ }^{4}\) \\
    (19)
    \end{tabular} \\
    \hline All nonrelief families \({ }^{3}\) & 61 & 260 & 19 & 81 & \$30. 70 & \$16.00 & 55 & 196 & 22 & 78 & \$36.70 & \$21.00 & 112 & 207 & 35 & 65 & \$42. 20 & \$24. 10 \\
    \hline \[
    \$ 0-\$ 499=-
    \] & 3 & 8
    84 & 3 & \(\left.{ }^{\dagger}\right)_{97}\) & 21. 00 & 7.80
    11.10 & & 5 22 & ----- & ( \(\dagger\) ( \(\dagger\) & ------- & 11.80
    13.00 & 1 & 8
    20 & \((\dagger)\) & ( \(\dagger\) ) & \({ }^{(*)} 20\) & 11.00 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,499 & 7 & 59 & 11 & 89 & 24. 30 & 15. 60 & 5 & 43 & 10 & 90 & 26. 00 & 15. 90 & 6 & 41 & \({ }_{1} 13\) & 87 & 25. 50 & 17. 30 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,999 & 13 & 54 & 19 & 81 & 26. 20 & 19.10 & 11 & 52 & 18 & 82 & 32. 70 & 22. 60 & 17 & 43 & 28 & 72 & 28. 90 & 24. 00 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,999 & 23 & 44 & 34 & 66 & 31. 40 & 21.00 & 20 & 50 & 29 & 71 & 36.80 & 24. 60 & 25 & 56 & 31 & 69 & 38. 40 & 27.10 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$4,999 & 15 & 11 & ( \(\dagger\) & ( \(\dagger\) & 38.30 & 26.50 & 16 & 22 & 42 & 58 & 40.60 & 27.90 & 32 & 33 & 49 & 51 & 47.30 & 34.00 \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over......- & & & & & & & 3 & 2 & ( \(\dagger\) ) & ( \(\dagger\) & 48.70 & \(\left({ }^{*}\right)\) & 25 & & 81 & 19 & 58.20 & 38. 20 \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}
    \({ }^{1}\) Includes only those families that did not change living quarters between the end of the report year and the date of interview. Families are classified as home-owning families or as renting families according to their status at the date of interview.
    \({ }^{3}\) Based on estimate made by home owner for period of ownership and occupancy during the report year. Averages are based on the number of home-owning families as of end of report year.
    \({ }^{4}\) Rent as reported at date of interview. Averages in this column are based on the number of families reporting monthly rent, including families receiving rent as gift, the amount of which is estimated by the family.
    \({ }^{\delta}\) Of the families classified in the occupational group "Other," 20 did not change their living quarters between the end of the report year and the date of interview. Of the latter group, 13 families were owning families. Their average monthly rental value was \(\$ 46.20\). The remaining 7 families were renting families. Their average monthly rent was \(\$ 11.40\).
    \(\dagger\) Percentages not computed for fewer than 30 cases.
    * A verages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    \section*{ALBANY, GA.}

    Table 15-16.-Type of living quarters: Number and percentage of owning and renting families occupying specified types of living quarters, by tenure and income, 1935-36 \({ }^{1}\)
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family
    types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Income class} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \text { Num- } \\
    & \text { ber of } \\
    & \text { fami- } \\
    & \text { lies }
    \end{aligned}
    \]} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Number of families occupying-} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Percentage of families occupying \({ }^{2}\)} \\
    \hline & & Onehamse (3) & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Twofamily house \\
    (4)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Apartment \\
    (5)
    \end{tabular} & Other \({ }^{3}\)
    (6) & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Onefamily bouse \\
    (7)
    \end{tabular} & Twofamily
    house (8) & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Apartment \\
    (9)
    \end{tabular} & Other \({ }^{3}\)
    (10) \\
    \hline Owning families, all & 247 & 222 & 18 & 5 & 2 & 90 & 7 & 2 & 1 \\
    \hline Relief families Nonrelief families. & 6
    241 & 6
    216 & 18 & 5 & 2 & \({ }^{( }+{ }_{90}\) & 7 & 2 & 1 \\
    \hline \$0-\$249 & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$250-\$499 & 2 & 1 & 1 & & & (t) & (t) & & \\
    \hline \$500-\$749 & 7 & 5 & & & & ( \({ }^{\text {a }}\) & ( + & & \\
    \hline \$7750-8999 & \({ }^{7}\) & \(\begin{array}{r}3 \\ 8 \\ \hline\end{array}\) & 1 & 1 & 2 & (t)
    \((\dagger)\) & \(\stackrel{(\dagger)}{(\dagger)}\) & (t) \({ }^{--}\) & ( \(\dagger\) \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499 & 8 & 7 & & 1 & & ( \(\dagger\) & & ( \(\dagger\) ) & \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749. & 18 & 17 & 1 & & & (t) & ( \(\dagger\) & & \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & \({ }_{2}^{26}\) & \(\stackrel{24}{24}\) & 1 & & & (t) & \(\stackrel{+}{+}\) & & \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249 & 22
    14 & 12 & 1 & & & (t) & ( \(\dagger\) & & \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999- & \({ }_{36}\) & 32 & 4 & & & \({ }_{89}\) & & & \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,999 & 45. & 40 & 4 & 1 & & 89 & & 2 & \\
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,999 & 19 & 18 & 1 & & & (t) & (t) & & \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over & 29 & 26 & 1 & 2 & & ( \(\dagger\) ) & (t) & ( \(\dagger\) & \\
    \hline Renting families, all & 781 & 448 & 201 & 112 & 20 & 57 & 26 & 14 & 3 \\
    \hline Relief families & 111 & 66 & 37 & 8 & & 60
    57 & 33 & 7 & \\
    \hline Nonrelief families.. & 670 & 382 & 164 & 104 & 20 & 57 & 24 & 16 & 3 \\
    \hline \$0-\$249 & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$250-\$499-. & \({ }^{16}\) & 7 & 7 & - 1 & & & & & ( \(\dagger\) ) \\
    \hline \$500- 8749. & 79 & 47 & 25 & & 2 & 60 & 32 & \({ }_{6}\) & \({ }_{2}^{4}\) \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249. & 76 & 38 & 22 & 13 & 3 & 50 & 29 & 17 & \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499. & 69 & 33 & 24 & 8 & 4 & 47 & 35 & 12 & 6 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749 & 80 & 43 & 22 & 13 & 2 & 54 & 28 & 16 & 2 \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & 69 & 42 & 9 & 15 & 3 & 61 & 13 & 22 & 4 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249 & 59 & 36 & 11 & 12 & & 61 & 19 & 20 & \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499 & 39 & 26 & 3 & 8 & 2 & 67 & 8 & 20 & 5 \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 & 52 & 36 & 6 & 9 & 1 & 69 & 12 & 17 & 2 \\
    \hline \$3,000- \$3,999 & 54 & 35 & 10 & 9 & & (t) \({ }^{64}\) & 19 & 17 & \\
    \hline \$ \(\$ 4,000\) and over. & 12
    8 & 10
    4 & 2 & 2 & & (f) & ( \(\dagger\) ) & ( \(\dagger\) ) & \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    \footnotetext{
    \({ }^{1}\) Includes only those families that did not change living quarters between the end of the report year and the date of interview.
    \({ }^{2}\) Percentages are based on number of families in each class, column (2).
    \({ }^{3}\) Includes dwelling units in business buildings, other types of living quarters not elsewhere specified, and unknown types of living quarters.
    \(\dagger\) Percentages not computed for fewer than 30 cases.
    }

    Table 17.-Members of household not in economic family: Number of families having persons in the household who were not members of the economic family, and average number of such nonfamily members, by income, 1935-96
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{4}{*}{Income class} & \multirow{3}{*}{Number of families} & \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{Number of families having in the household nonfamily members of specified type \({ }^{1}\) -} & \multicolumn{8}{|c|}{Average number of nonfamily members of specified type \({ }^{2}\) (based on families having such members)-} \\
    \hline & & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Any
    non-
    family
    member} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Occupying rooms on nontransient
    basis} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Boarders without room} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Tourists and transients \\
    (9)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Guests \\
    (10)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{All nonfamily members} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Occupying rooms on nontransient basis} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Boarders without room \\
    (16)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Tourists and transients \\
    (17)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Guests \\
    (18)
    \end{tabular}} \\
    \hline & & & Sons and daughters rooming and boarding & Other
    roomers
    with
    board & \[
    \left\lvert\, \begin{gathered}
    \text { Room- } \\
    \text { ers } \\
    \text { without } \\
    \text { board }
    \end{gathered}\right.
    \] & Paid help & & & & & Sons and daughters rooming and boarding & \[
    \begin{gathered}
    \text { Other } \\
    \text { roomers } \\
    \text { with } \\
    \text { board }
    \end{gathered}
    \] & Room-
    ers
    without
    board & Paid help & & & \\
    \hline & (2) & & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & & & & & (12) & (13) & (14) & (15) & & & \\
    \hline \multirow[t]{16}{*}{} & 1,066 & 573 & 5 & 72 & 65 & 14 & 7 & 2 & 503 & 0.5 & 0.9 & 1. 4 & 1.5 & 0.7 & 1.0 & (*) & 0.1 \\
    \hline & 119
    947 & 515 & 5 & 10
    62 & 9
    56 & 13 & 7 & 2 & 41
    462 & .4
    .5 & . 9 & .6
    1.5 & .8
    1.6 & \({ }^{*}\) ) 7 & 1.0 & (*) & . 2 \\
    \hline & 7 & 4 & & & 1 & & & & 4 & 7 & & & (*) & & & & 2 \\
    \hline & 19 & 6 & 1 & & & & & & 5 & .2 & (*) & & & & & & . 2 \\
    \hline & 64 & 30 & & 3 & 3 & & & 1 & 24 & .3 & & . 6 & 1.9 & & & (*) & . 1 \\
    \hline & 89 & 50 & & 4 & 7 & 1 & & & 44 & . 4 & & 1. 7 & 1.2 & (*) & & & . 2 \\
    \hline & 91 & 41 & 2 & 9 & 3 & & 1 & & 34 & . 6 & (*) & 1. 1 & . 4 & & (*) & & . 2 \\
    \hline & 82 & 42 & & 6 & 6 & & & & 39 & . 7 & & 2.2 & 1.1 & & & & . 1 \\
    \hline & 101 & 62 & & 6 & 7 & 3 & 1 & 1 & 55 & . 5 & & 1. 2 & 1.8 & 1.1 & (*) & (*) & . 1 \\
    \hline & 98 & 57 & 1 & 8 & 8 & 3 & & & 49 & . 6 & (*) & 1. 6 & 1. 8 & \({ }^{*} .8\) & & & . 2 \\
    \hline & 84 & 50 & & 5 & 3 & 2 & 1 & & 45 & . 4 & --------- & . 9 & 2. 0 & (*) & (*) & ------- & . 1 \\
    \hline & 53 & 26 & & 4 & 3 & 1 & & & 23 & .7 & ---------- & 3. 2 & \(\cdot 4\) & (*) & & & . 2 \\
    \hline & 89 & 56
    59 & & 7 & \[
    5
    \] & 1 & 3 & & 51 & . 4 & & 1.3 & 1.2 & (*) & & & . 1 \\
    \hline & 101 & 59 & 1 & 5 & \[
    8
    \] & 2 & 1 & & 52 & . 5 & (*) & 1.6 & \({ }_{(*)}^{2.2}\) & (*) & (*) & & . 1 \\
    \hline & 31. & 18 & & 2 & 2 & & & & 16 & . 8 & -- & \({ }^{*}{ }^{*}\) & (*) & & & & .1 \\
    \hline & 38 & 21 & & 3 & & & & & 21 & . 2 & & . 7 & & ----- & & & . 1 \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}
    nonfamily members. and * a ent persons. This figure is computed for each family by dividing by 52 the total number of weeks of residence in the household for all nonmembers of the economic family. *Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    \section*{ALBANY, GA.}

    Table 18.-Age of husbands and wives: Number of husbands and number of wives, by age and family income, 1935-96
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Income class} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \text { Num- } \\
    & \text { ber re- } \\
    & \text { porting } \\
    & \text { age }{ }^{1}
    \end{aligned}
    \]} & \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{Number with ages of-} \\
    \hline & & Under 20 & 20-29 & 30-39 & 40-49 & 50-59 & 60-64 & 65-69 & 70-74 & 75 and over \\
    \hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) \\
    \hline & \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{Husbands} \\
    \hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{All families Percentage.} & 1,065 & 1 & 141 & 315 & 294 & 209 & 49 & 30 & 14 & 12 \\
    \hline & 100.0 & 0.1 & 13.2 & 29.6 & 27.6 & 19.7 & 4.6 & 2.8 & 1.3 & 1.1 \\
    \hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Relief families Nonrelief families} & 119 & & 16 & 28 & 34 & 20 & 15 & 3 & 2 & 1 \\
    \hline & 946 & 1 & 125 & 287 & 260 & 189 & 34 & 27 & 12 & 11 \\
    \hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \$ 0-\$ 249 \\
    & \$ 250-\$ 499 .
    \end{aligned}
    \]} & 7 & & & 3 & 2 & & 1 & & & 1 \\
    \hline & 19 & & 4 & 5 & 2 & 6 & 1 & & 1 & \\
    \hline \$500-\$749 & 64 & & 18 & 24 & 10 & 7 & & 1 & 3 & 1 \\
    \hline \$750-\$999. & 89 & 1 & 22 & 28 & 16 & 13 & 3 & 6 & & \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249 & 91 & & 16 & 22 & 27 & 14 & 4 & 5 & 1 & 2 \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499 & 82 & & 21 & 30 & 14 & 13 & 2 & 1 & 1 & \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749 & 101 & & 13 & 38 & 25 & 18 & 4 & & 2 & 1 \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999.. & 98 & & 12 & 25 & 42 & 11 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 2 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249.. & 84 & & 7 & 39 & 20 & 11 & 4 & 2 & 1 & \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499 & 53 & & 3 & 21 & 17 & 9 & 2 & & & 1 \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 & 88 & & 5 & 20 & 31 & 26 & 1 & 3 & & 2 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,999 & 101 & & 1 & 20 & 35 & 35 & 4 & 5 & 1 & \\
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,999 & 31 & & 2 & 8 & 11 & 9 & & 1 & & \\
    \hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\$5,000 and over-} & 38 & & 1 & 4 & 8 & 17 & 6 & & 1 & 1 \\
    \hline & \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{Wives} \\
    \hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{All families} & 1,085 & 15 & 249 & 341 & 264 & 145 & 21 & 19 & 10 & 1 \\
    \hline & 100.0 & 1.4 & 23.4 & 32.0 & 24.8 & 13.6 & 2.0 & 1.8 & 0.9 & 0.1 \\
    \hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Relief families Nonrelief families} & 119 & 4 & 26 & 30 & 28 & 21 & 3 & 5 & 2 & \\
    \hline & 946 & 11 & 223 & 311 & 236 & 124 & 18 & 14 & 8 & 1 \\
    \hline \$0-\$249 & 7 & & 3 & 2 & & 1 & 1 & & & \\
    \hline \$250-\$499 & 19 & & 7 & 1 & 2 & 6 & & & 1 & \\
    \hline \$500-\$749 & 64 & 4 & 20 & 23 & 10 & 4 & & 1 & 2 & \\
    \hline \$750-\$999 & 89 & 5 & 28 & 29 & 13 & 13 & 1 & & & \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249. & 91 & & 27 & 22 & 29 & 9 & 2 & & 2 & \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499 & 82 & & 35 & 24 & 13 & 7 & 1 & 2 & & \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749 & 101 & & 21 & 47 & 16 & 12 & 3 & 1 & 1 & \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999.... & 98 & & 26 & 37 & 20 & 10 & 1 & 3 & 1 & \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249 & 84 & & 21 & 38 & 15 & 8 & & 2 & & \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499 & 53 & & 14 & 19 & 13 & 6 & & & 1 & \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 & 88 & & 9 & 27 & 34 & 15 & 1 & 1 & & 1 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,999. & 101 & & 7 & 28 & 45 & 15 & 3 & 3 & & \\
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,999 & 31 & & 3 & 7 & 10 & 9 & 2 & & & \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over.. & 38 & & 2 & 7 & 16 & 9 & 3 & 1 & & \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}
    a Excludes 1 husband and 1 wife who did not report age.

    ALBANY, GA.
    Table 19.-Report year: Number and percentage distribution of families by date of end of report year, by occupation, 1935-36
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{4}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Date of end of report year \\
    (1)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{4}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    All
    fam-
    ilies \\
    (2)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{4}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Relief \\
    fam- \\
    ilies \\
    (3)
    \end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{Nonrelief families in specified occupational groups-} \\
    \hline & & & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    All \\
    (4)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Wage earner \\
    (5)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Clerical \\
    (6)
    \end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Business and professional} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Other \\
    (12)
    \end{tabular}} \\
    \hline & & & & & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{All
    business and professional} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Independent} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Salaried} & \\
    \hline & & & & & & & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Business \\
    (8)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Professional \\
    (9)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Business \\
    (10)
    \end{tabular} & Professional (11) & \\
    \hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{All dates....---} & \multicolumn{11}{|c|}{Number of families} \\
    \hline & 1,066 & 119 & 947 & 335 & 263 & 327 & 155 & 17 & 126 & 29 & 22 \\
    \hline Dec. 31, 1935...- & 397 & 30 & 367 & 124 & 102 & 131 & 62 & 10 & 51 & 8 & 10 \\
    \hline Jan. 31, 1936.... & & & 1 & & 1 & & & & & & \\
    \hline Feb. 29, 1936...- & 228 & 29
    29 & 1938 & 73 & \({ }_{54}^{1}\) & 63 & 34 & 4 & 19 & 6 & 3 \\
    \hline Apr. 30, 1936. & 178 & 27 & 151 & 55 & 48 & 45 & 24 & & 15 & & 3 \\
    \hline May 31, 1936.... & 139 & 22 & 117 & 42 & 31 & 43 & 18 & 1 & 19 & 5 & 1 \\
    \hline June 30, 1936..... & 40 & 4 & 36 & 9 & 8 & 17 & 8 & & 7 & 1 & 2 \\
    \hline July 31, 1936...- & 40 & 4 & 36 & 19 & 4 & 12 & 3 & & 7 & 2 & 1 \\
    \hline Aug. 31, 1936... & \({ }_{13}^{28}\) & 1. & 27
    13 & \begin{tabular}{l}
    6 \\
    3 \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular} & \({ }_{3}^{11}\) & 10
    5 & \(\stackrel{2}{3}\) & & 6
    2 & 1 & 2 \\
    \hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \text { Oct. } 31,1936 \\
    & \text { Nov. } 30,1936 \ldots
    \end{aligned}
    \]} & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline & \multicolumn{11}{|c|}{Percentage} \\
    \hline All dates.... & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 \\
    \hline \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \text { Dee. } 31,1935 . \\
    & \text { Jan. } 31,1936
    \end{aligned}
    \] & \[
    \begin{gathered}
    37 \\
    (\ddagger)
    \end{gathered}
    \] & 25 & \[
    \begin{gathered}
    39 \\
    (\ddagger)
    \end{gathered}
    \] & 37 & \({ }_{(4)} 3\) & 40 & 40 & ( \(\dagger\) ) & 40 & ( \({ }^{\text {) }}\) & ( \(\dagger\) ) \\
    \hline Feb. 29, 1936-..- & 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 & ( \({ }^{(1)}\) & ( \(\ddagger\) & 1 & & & & \\
    \hline Mar. 31, 1936...- & 20 & 24 & 20 & 22 & \({ }^{21}\) & 19 & \(\stackrel{22}{15}\) & (t) & 15 & ( \(\dagger\) & ( \({ }^{+}\) \\
    \hline Apr. 30,
    May 31,
    1936 & 17 & 23
    19 & 16
    12 & 16
    12 & 18 & 14
    13 & 15
    12 & -- \(\dagger\) ) & 12 & (t) & (t) \\
    \hline June 30, 1936-..-- & 4 & 3 & 4 & 3 & 3
    3 & 5 & 5 & ( \(\dagger\) ) & 6 & (t) & (t) \\
    \hline July 31, 1936-..- & \({ }_{3}^{4}\) & 3 & 4
    4
    3 & \({ }_{6}^{6}\) & \({ }_{4}^{2}\) & 4
    3 & 2 & ------ & 5 & (+) & ( \(\dagger\) \\
    \hline \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \text { Aug. } 31,1936 \\
    & \text { Sept. } 30,1936 \ldots
    \end{aligned}
    \] & & 1 & 3
    1 & & \(\stackrel{4}{1}\) & 3
    2
    2 & 1 & ( \(\dagger\) & 5
    2 & ( \(\dagger\) ) & \\
    \hline Oct. 31, 1936 & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline Nov. 30, 1936.- & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    \footnotetext{
    \(\dagger\) Percentages not computed for fewer than 30 cases.
    \(\ddagger 0.5\) percent or less.
    }

    \section*{ALBANY, GA.}

    Table 1.-Family type: Number of families of specified types and average number of persons per family, by income, 1935-36
    [Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups combined]
    

    1 Family type:
    1. 2 persons. Husband and wife only.
    II. 3 persons. Husband, wife, 1 child under 16 and no others.
    III. 4 persons. Husband, wife, 2 children under 16 and no others.
    IV. 3 or 4 persons. Husband, wife, 1 person 16 or over, and 1 or no other person regardless of age.
    V. 5 or 6 persons. Husband, wife, 1 child under 16,1 person 16 or over, and 1 or 2 other persons regardless of age.
    VI. 5 or 6 persons. Husband, wife, 3 or 4 children under 16 and no others.
    VII. 7 or 8 persons. Husband, wife, 1 child under 16, 4 or 5 other persons regardless of age.
    VIII. 5 or 6 persons. Husband, wife, 3 or 4 persons 16 or over.

    Other. 7 or more persons. All types not included in I through VIII.
    \({ }_{2}\) These are year-equivalent persons. The sum of columns (13) and (14) plus 2 (husband and wife) does not always equal column (12). For the methods used in deriving these averages, see glossary.
    *A verages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    ALBANY, GA.
    Table 1A.-Family type: Number of families of specified types and average number of persons per family, by occupation and income, 1985-96
    [Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
    

    See footnotes at end of table.

    ALBANY, GA.
    Table 1A.-Family type: Number of families of specified types and average number of persons per family, by occupation and income, 1935-36-Continued
    [Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
    

    See footnotes at end of table.

    \section*{ALBANY, GA.}

    Table 1A.-Family type: Number of families of specified types and average number of persons per family, by occupation and income, 1935-36-Continued
    [Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
    

    For footnotes 1 and 2, see table 1 on p. 374.
    3 This group contains 8 families engaged in farming, a group too small to be separately classified, and families having no gainfully employed members.
    *Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    \section*{ALBANY, GA.}

    Table 2.-Sources of family income: Number of families receiving income from specified sources, and average amount of such income, by income, 1935-36
    [Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Income class

    (1)} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Number of families \\
    (2)
    \end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Number of families receiving-} \\
    \hline & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Money income from-} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Nonmoney income from-} \\
    \hline & & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Earnings \({ }^{1}\) \\
    (3)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Other sources (positive or negative) : \\
    (4)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Any source \({ }^{3}\) \\
    (5)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Owned home (positive or negative) \({ }^{4}\) \\
    (6)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Rent as pay \\
    (7)
    \end{tabular} \\
    \hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & 1,163 & 1,157 & 165 & 201 & 189 & 12 \\
    \hline & 207
    956 & 207
    950 & 18
    147 & 18
    187 & 13
    176 & 11 \\
    \hline \$0-\$249 & 159 & 157 & 22 & 11 & 9 & 2 \\
    \hline \$250-\$499 & 379 & 377 & 45 & 46 & 41 & 5 \\
    \hline \$500-\$749 & 249 & 248 & 32 & 47 & 45 & 2 \\
    \hline \$750-\$999 & 76 & 76 & 20 & 28 & 27 & 1 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249 .... & 35 & 34 & 11 & 15 & 15 & ---.-...- \\
    \hline \[
    \$ 1,250-\$ 1,499
    \] & 22 & 22 & 3 & 13 & 13 & \\
    \hline \[
    \$ 1,500-\$ 1,749
    \] & 13 & 13 & 4 & 8 & 8 & \\
    \hline \[
    \$ 1,750-\$ 1,999
    \] & 5 & 5 & 1 & 3 & 3 & ------ \\
    \hline \[
    \$ 2,000-\$ 2,249
    \] & 6 & 6 & 2 & 5 & 5 & - \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499.. & 4 & 4 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999.- & 6 & 6 & 4 & 6 & 6 & .-..-- \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,999 & 1 & 1 & & 1 & 1 & ------ \\
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,999 & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over---- & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    \footnotetext{
    1 See glossary for definition of "earnings."
    \({ }^{1}\) Includes 161 families, 143 of which were nonrelief, which had money income other than earnings and no business losses met from family funds; 3 families, all of which were nonrelief, which had business losses met from family funds and no money income other than earnings; and 1 family which was nonrelief, which had both money income and business losses met from family funds. There were, therefore, 162 families, 144 of which were nonrelief, which had money income other than earnings, whether or not they had business losses met from family funds; and there were 4 families, all of which were nonrelief, which had business losses met from family funds, whether or not they had money income other than earnings. These latter 4 families were found in the following income classes: \(\$ 250-\$ 499,1 ; \$ 500-\$ 749,1 ; \$ 2,000-\$ 2,249,1 ; \$ 2,250-\$ 2,499,1\). See glossary for definitions of "money income other than earnings" and "business losses.'
    \({ }_{3}\) The total of the numbers of families in columns (6) and (7), since no family reported nonmoney income from both sources.
    \({ }^{4}\) Includes families with losses from owned homes, as well as families whose estimated rental value of owned homes for the period of ownership and occupancy exceeded estimated expenses allocable to that period. There were 19 families, 16 of which were nonrelief, with losses from owned homes (i. e., families whose estimated rental value wasl ess than estimated expenses). The latter 16 families were found in the following income classes: \(\$ 250-\$ 499,3 ; \$ 500-\$ 749,7 ; \$ 750-\$ 999,2 ; \$ 1,000-\$ 1,249,1 ; \$ 1,250-\$ 1,499,2 ; \$ 1,750-\$ 1,999,1\). Excludes 6 families whose estimated rental value of owned homes was equal to estimated expenses.
    }

    \section*{ALBANY, GA.}

    Table 2.-Sources of family income: Number of families receiving income from specified sources, and average amount of such income, by income, 1935-86 1 Continued
    [Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Income class

    (1)} & \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{Average family income} \\
    \hline & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Total \\
    (2)
    \end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Money income from-} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Nonmoney income from-} \\
    \hline & & \begin{tabular}{l}
    \(\underset{\text { sources }}{\text { All }}\) \\
    (3)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Earnings \({ }^{2}\) \\
    (4)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Other sources (positive or negative) \({ }^{3}\) \\
    (5)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    \(\stackrel{\text { All }}{\text { sources }}\) \\
    (6)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Owned home (positive or negative) \\
    (7)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Rent as pay \\
    (8)
    \end{tabular} \\
    \hline All families... & \({ }^{1} \$ 509\) & \$498 & \$483 & \$15 & \$11 & \$10 & \$1 \\
    \hline Relief families. Nonrelief families. & - 293 & 290
    544 & 286
    525 & 4
    19 & \(\begin{array}{r}3 \\ 12 \\ \hline\end{array}\) & 11 & (**) \\
    \hline \$0-\$249 & 187 & 184 & 177 & & 3 & 2 & \\
    \hline \$250-\$499.- & 371 & 366 & 356 & 10 & 5 & 4 & \\
    \hline \$500-\$749 & 612 & 603 & 593 & 10 & 9 & 8 & \\
    \hline \$750-\$999 & 831 & 804 & 769 & 35 & 27 & 25 & \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249 & 1,110 & 1,073 & 1,021 & 52 & 37 & 37 & ....... \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499 & 1,366
    1,626 & 1,328
    1,590 & 1,259
    1,491 & 69
    99 & \({ }_{36}^{38}\) & \({ }_{36}^{38}\) & \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & 1,857 & 1, 805 & 1,788 & 17 & 52 & 52 & \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249 & \(\stackrel{2}{2} 106\) & \(\stackrel{2}{2} 019\) & 2,011 & 8 & 87 & 87 & \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499 & 2,355 & 2,230 & 2,449 & -219 & 125 & 35 & 90 \\
    \hline \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \$ 2,500-\$ 2,999 . \\
    & \$ 3,000-\$ 3,999 .
    \end{aligned}
    \] & \({ }_{(8)}{ }^{6} 67\) & \({ }_{(*)}^{2,512}\) & \({ }_{(+1,992}\) & 520 & \({ }_{(*)} 155\) & (*) 155 & ----------- \\
    \hline \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \$ 3,000-\$ 3,999 \\
    & \$ 4,000-\$ 4,999
    \end{aligned}
    \] & ( \({ }^{*}\) & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over- & (*) & (*) & (*) & (*) & (*) & (*) & \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    \footnotetext{
    \({ }^{1}\) The averages in each column are based on all families, column (2) of table 2, whether or not they received income from the specified source. Averages in columns (2), (3), (5), (6), and (7) are net figures, after deduction for all families of business losses met from family funds or expenses for owned homes.
    \({ }_{2}^{2}\) See glossary for definition of "earnings."
    3 Includes money income other than earnings, after deduction of business losses met from family funds. See glossary for definitions of "money income other than earnings" and "business losses."
    4 Represents the estimated rental value of owned homes for the period of ownership and occupancy, less estimated expenses allocable to that period.
    8 Median income for all families was \(\$ 425\); for nonrelief families, \(\$ 460\).
    *A verages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
    ** \(\$ 0.50\) or less.
    }

    \section*{ALBANY, GA.}

    Table 2A.-Sources of family income: Number of families receiving income from specified sources, and average amount of such income, by occupation and income, 1935-36
    [Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Income class and occupational group} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Number of stamilies \\
    (2)
    \end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Number of families receiving-} \\
    \hline & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Money income from-} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Nonmoney income from-} \\
    \hline & & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Earnings \({ }^{1}\) \\
    (3)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Other sources (positive or negative) \({ }^{2}\) \\
    (4)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Any source \({ }^{3}\) \\
    (5)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Owned home (positive or negative) 4 \\
    (6)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Rent as pay \\
    (7)
    \end{tabular} \\
    \hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Wage earner \\
    All nonrelief families
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{835} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{834} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{109} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{124} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{116} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{8} \\
    \hline & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$0-\$499 & 500 & 499 & 59 & 45 & 38 & 7 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,499. & 37 & - 37 & 7 & 13 & 13 & \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,999 & 12 & 12 & 2 & 6 & 6 & ----- \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,999 & 6 & 6 & & 4 & 4 & --.------- \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$4,999 & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over...- & & & & & & \\
    \hline Clerical & & & & & & \\
    \hline All nonrelief families..- & 29 & 29 & 10 & 15 & 15 & --------- \\
    \hline \$0-\$499 & 6 & 6 & 2 & 1 & 1 & --------- \\
    \hline \$500-\$999 & 9 & 9 & 1 & 4 & 4 & --------- \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,499 & 3 & 3 & & & & --7.-.- \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,999. & 3 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 2 & --.-.----- \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,999 & 6 & 6 & 5 & 6 & 6 & ---- \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$4,999.... & 1 & 1 & & 1 & 1 & --------- \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over. & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & ----------- \\
    \hline Business and professional & & & & & & \\
    \hline All nonrelief families. & 79 & 79 & 22 & 43 & 40 & 3 \\
    \hline \$0-\$499 & 23 & 23 & 3 & 8 & 8 & \\
    \hline \$500-\$999. & 33 & 33 & 8 & 14 & 12 & 2 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,499 & 16 & 16 & 6 & 14 & 14 & \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,999 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 3 & 3 & \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,999 & 4 & 4 & 3 & 4 & 3 & I \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$4,999. & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over....... & & & & & & \\
    \hline Other & & & & & & \\
    \hline All nonrelief families...-.-------.......- & 13 & 8 & 6 & 5 & 5 & -----.... \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    \footnotetext{
    1 See glossary for definition of "earnings."
    \({ }_{2}\) Includes families having money income other than earnings, families having business losses met from family funds, and families having both such income and such losses. See glossary for definitions of "money income other than earnings" and "business losses."
    3 The total of the numbers of families in columns (6) and (7), since no family reported nonmoney income from both sources.
    4 Includes families with losses from owned homes, as well as families whose estimated rental value of owned homes for the period of ownership and occupancy exceeded estimated expenses allocable to that period.
    }

    \section*{ALBANY, GA.}

    Table 2A.-Sources of family income: Number of families receiving income from specified sources, and average amount of such income, by occupation and income, 1995-96 L-Continued
    [Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Income class and occupational group \\
    (1)
    \end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{Average family income} \\
    \hline & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Total \\
    (2)
    \end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{Money income from-} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Nonmoney income from-} \\
    \hline & & \begin{tabular}{l}
    \[
    \underset{\text { sources }}{\text { All }}
    \] \\
    (3)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Earnings 2 \\
    (4)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Other sources (positive or negative) \({ }^{3}\) \\
    (5)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    \[
    \underset{\text { sources }}{\text { All }}
    \] \\
    (6)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Owned home (positive or negative) \\
    (7)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Rent as pay \\
    (8)
    \end{tabular} \\
    \hline \begin{tabular}{l}
    Wage earnes \\
    All nonrelief families
    \end{tabular} & \({ }^{3} \$ 505\) & \$498 & \$486 & \$12 & \$7 & \$7 & (**) \\
    \hline \$0-\$499 & \multirow[t]{5}{*}{\[
    \begin{array}{r}
    317 \\
    660 \\
    1,203 \\
    1,676 \\
    2,241
    \end{array}
    \]} & \multirow[t]{5}{*}{\[
    \begin{array}{r}
    313 \\
    649 \\
    1,181 \\
    1,654 \\
    2,156
    \end{array}
    \]} & \multirow[t]{5}{*}{\[
    \begin{array}{r}
    305 \\
    636 \\
    1,133 \\
    1,647 \\
    \mathbf{2}, 156
    \end{array}
    \]} & \multirow[t]{5}{*}{\[
    \begin{array}{r}
    8 \\
    13 \\
    48 \\
    7
    \end{array}
    \]} & \multirow[t]{5}{*}{\[
    \begin{array}{r}
    4 \\
    11 \\
    22 \\
    22 \\
    85
    \end{array}
    \]} & \multirow[t]{5}{*}{\[
    \begin{array}{r}
    3 \\
    11 \\
    22 \\
    22 \\
    85
    \end{array}
    \]} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{(*) \({ }^{\$ 1}\)} \\
    \hline \$500-\$899 & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,499. & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,999.. & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \[
    \$ 2,000-\$ 2,999
    \] & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over. & & & & & & & \\
    \hline Clerical & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\({ }^{5} 1,392\)} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1,346} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1,299} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{47} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{46} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{46} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{--------} \\
    \hline All nonrelief families . & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \$ 0-\$ 499- \\
    & \$ 500-\$ 999
    \end{aligned}
    \] & 356
    690 & 330
    683 & 273
    682 & 57
    1 & \({ }_{2}^{26}\) & \({ }_{7}^{26}\) & -----...- \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,499 & 1,217 & 1,217 & 1,217 & & & & \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,999 & 1,737 & 1,705 & 1,702 & 3 & 32 & 32 & \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,999.-...---------- & \({ }^{2}, 462\) & 2, 369 & 2, 248 & 121 & & & --------- \\
    \hline \begin{tabular}{l}
    \(\$ 3,000-\$ 4,989\) \\
    \(\$ 5,000\) and over
    \end{tabular} & \({ }^{(*)}\) & \({ }^{(*)}\) & \({ }_{(*)}^{*}\) & (*) & \(\left({ }^{*}\right)\) & & -........--- \\
    \hline Business and professional & & & & & & & \\
    \hline All nonrelief families & \({ }^{5} 821\) & 773 & 722 & 51 & 45 & 41 & 7 \\
    \hline \[
    \$ 0-\$ 499 .-. .
    \] & 333
    690 & 317
    659 & \({ }_{6} 315\) & 22 & \({ }_{31}^{16}\) & \(\stackrel{16}{25}\) & 6 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,499 & 1,220 & 1,146 & 1,118 & 28 & 74 & 74 & \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,999 & 1,701 & 1,575 & 1,146 & 429 & 126 & 126 & \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,999 & 2,459 & 2,243 & 1,849 & 394 & 216 & 125 & 91 \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over & & & & & & & \\
    \hline Other & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{374} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{357} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{158} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{199} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{17} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{17} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} \\
    \hline All nontelief families......- & & & & & & & \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    \footnotetext{
    \({ }^{1}\) The averages in each column are based on all families, column (2) of table 2A, whether or not they received income from the specified source. Averages in columns (2), (3), (5), (6), and (7) are net figures, after deduction for all families of business losses met from family funds or expenses for owned homes.

    2 See glossary for definition of "earnings."
    \({ }^{3}\) Includes money income other than earnings, after deduction of business losses met from family funds. See glossary for definitions of "money income other than earnings" and "business losses."
    \({ }^{4}\) Represents the estimated rental value of owned home for the period of ownership and occupancy. less estimated expenses allocable to that period.
    \({ }^{5}\) Median incomes were as follows: Wage-earner families, \(\$ 418\); clerical families, \(\$ 972\); business and professional families, \(\$ 750\).
    *Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
    ** \(\$ 0.50\) or less.
    }

    \section*{ALBANY, GA.}

    Table 3.-Money earnings: Number of families receiving net money earnings and average net money earnings received from each source, by income, 1935-36
    [Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Income class

    (1)} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Number of families \\
    (2)
    \end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Number of families receiving net money earnings from-} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Average net money earnings from \({ }^{1}\)} \\
    \hline & & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Any sources \\
    (3)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Individual earners \\
    (4)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Roomers and boarders \({ }^{2}\) \\
    (5)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Other work not attributable to individuals \\
    (6)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    \(\underset{\text { All }}{\text { All }}\) \\
    (7)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Individual earners \\
    (8)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Roomers and boarders and other work \({ }^{3}\) \\
    (9)
    \end{tabular} \\
    \hline All families... & 1,163 & 1,157 & 1,154 & 75 & 82 & \$483 & \$477 & \$6 \\
    \hline Relief families Nonrelief families & 207
    956 & 207
    950 & 206
    948 & 8
    67 & \({ }_{62}^{20}\) & \begin{tabular}{l}
    286 \\
    525 \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular} & 281
    519 & 5
    6 \\
    \hline \$0-\$249 & 159 & 157 & 156 & 6 & 5 & 177 & 174 & \\
    \hline \$250-\$499 & 379 & 377 & 376 & 31 & 35 & 356 & 349 & \\
    \hline \$500-\$749. & 249 & 248 & 248 & 14 & 21 & 593 & 586 & 7 \\
    \hline \$750-\$999 & 76 & 76 & 76 & 9 & & 769 & 766 & \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249. & 35 & 34 & 34 & 3 & & 1, 021 & 1, 016 & 5 \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499 & 22 & 22 & 22 & 1 & & 1, 259 & 1, 256 & 3 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749 & 13 & 13 & 13 & 2 & 1 & 1, 491 & 1,483 & 8 \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & \({ }_{6}^{5}\) & 5
    6 & 5 & 1 & & 1, 788
    2,011 & \begin{tabular}{l} 
    1, 788 \\
    2,005 \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular} & 6 \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499 & & & 4 & & & 2, 449 & 2, 449 & \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999. & & 6 & 6 & & & 1, \({ }^{(4)}\) & 1, \({ }_{( }{ }^{(4)}\) & \\
    \hline \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \$ 3,000-\$ 3,999 \\
    & \$ 4,000-\$ 4,999
    \end{aligned}
    \] & 1 & 1 & 1 & & & (*) & (*) & \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over. & 1 & 1 & 1 & -........- & & (*) & (*) & \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    \footnotetext{
    \({ }^{1}\) The averages in each column are based on all families, column (2), whether or not they received money earnings from the specified source.
    \({ }_{2}\) Includes only families which had net money earnings from roomers and boarders (i. e., whose gross income from roomers and boarders exceeded estimated expenses). In addition, there were a few families which had roomers and boarders but which received from them no net money earnings.
    \({ }^{3}\) Includes net money earnings from roomers and boarders and from other work not attributable to individuals (casual work in home such as laundry and sewing). Average net money earnings of all families from other work not attributable to individuals were \(\$ 4\).
    *A verages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
    }

    \section*{ALBANY, GA.}

    Table 3A.-Money earnings: Number of families receiving net money earnings and average net money earnings received from each source, by occupation and income, 1935-36
    [Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]
    

    \footnotetext{
    \({ }^{1}\) The averages in each column are based on all families, column (2), whether or not they received money earnings from the specified source.
    \({ }^{2}\) Includes only families which had net money earnings from roomers and boarders (i. e., whose gross income from roomers ana boarders exceeded estimated expenses). In addition, there were some families which had roomers and boarders but which had no net money earnings from them.
    \({ }^{3}\) Includes net money earnings from roomers and boarders and from other work not attributable to individuals (casual work in home such as laundry and sewing). Average net money earnings of all nonrelief families from other work not attributable to individuals were as follows: Wage-earner families, \(\$ 4\); clerical families, \(\$ 1\); business and professional families, \(\$ 6\).
    *Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
    }

    \section*{ALBANY, GA.}

    Table 4-4A.-Principal earners: Number of principal earners, classified as husbands, wives, and others, with weeks of employment and average yearly earnings of principal earners, by occupation and income, 1935-36
    [Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]
    

    \footnotetext{
    \({ }^{1}\) Averages in this column are based on the number of principal earners reporting weeks of employment.
    \({ }^{2}\) Averages in this section of the table are based on the corresponding counts of principal earners in columns (3) and (4).
    \({ }_{3}\) The total number of principal earners given in column (3) is equivalent to the total number of families having individual earners, since a family can have only 1 principal earner. The difference between the totals in columns (2) and (3) is explained by the fact that column (2), number of families includes cases in which none of the family income was attributable to individual earners.
    "Includes 13 families classified in the occupational group "Other." These families had 8 principal earners.
    *Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
    }

    \section*{ALBANY, GA.}

    Table 5.-Number of earners in family: Number of families with specified number of individual earners, family relationship of sole earners, and average number of supplementary earners per family, by income, 1995-36
    [Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    

    \footnotetext{
    \({ }^{1}\) This percentage was computed by dividing the sum of columns (8), (9), and (10) by column (4) of table 3 on p. 382
    \({ }^{2}\) Based on the number of families with individual earners, column (4) of table 3 on p. 382.
    \(\dagger\) Percentages not computed for fewer than 30 cases.
    * Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
    }

    \section*{ALBANY, GA.}

    Table 6.-Sole and supplementary earners: Number of families with individual earners; number of supplementary earners classified as husbands, wives, and others; average earnings of supplementary earners; and average earnings of family from supplementary earners; by income, 1935-36
    [Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{4}{*}{Income class} & \multirow{3}{*}{Number of families} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Number of families with individual earners} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Number of supplementary earners} & \multirow[b]{4}{*}{A verage earnings of all supplementary earners \({ }^{1}\)} & \multirow[b]{4}{*}{Average earnings per family from supplementary earners \({ }^{2}\)} \\
    \hline & & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Any \\
    (3)
    \end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{One only} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    More than one \({ }^{3}\) \\
    (6)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    All \\
    (7)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Husbands \\
    (8)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Wives \\
    (9)
    \end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Others \({ }^{4}\)} & & \\
    \hline & & & \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \text { Any } \\
    & \text { family } \\
    & \text { mem- } \\
    & \text { ber }
    \end{aligned}
    \] & Husband & & & & & Male & \(\mathrm{Fe}-\) male & & \\
    \hline & (2) & & (4) & (5) & & & & & (10) & (11) & & \\
    \hline All families......-- & 1, 163 & 1, 154 & 387 & 349 & 767 & 989 & 111 & 593 & 132 & 153 & \$106 & \$90 \\
    \hline Relief families .-.- & 207 & 206 & 80 & 61 & 126 & 168 & 27 & 88 & 24 & 29 & 77 & 63 \\
    \hline Nonrelief families_ & 956 & 948 & 307 & 288 & 641 & 821 & 84 & 505 & 108 & 124 & 112 & 96 \\
    \hline \$0-\$249 & 159 & 156 & 48 & 39 & 108 & 128 & 29 & 80 & 5 & 14 & 49 & 40 \\
    \hline \$250-\$499 & 379 & 376 & 121 & 115 & 255 & 310 & 33 & 210 & 33 & 34 & 84 & 69 \\
    \hline \$500-\$749.......-- & 249 & 248 & 79 & 78 & 169 & 228 & 14 & 135 & 35 & 44 & 119 & 109 \\
    \hline \$750-\$999 & 76 & 76 & 20 & 19 & 56 & 77 & 4 & 46 & 12 & 15 & 144 & 146 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249...- & 35 & 34 & 12 & 12 & 22 & 31 & 2 & 15 & 6 & 8 & 201 & 178 \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499 \(\ldots\) & 22 & 22 & 11 & 11 & 11 & 17 & 2 & 5 & 7 & 3 & 237 & 183 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749 ..-- & 13 & 13 & 6 & 6 & 7 & 13 & & 4 & 7 & 2 & 294 & 294 \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & 5 & 5 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & & 2 & 1 & & 246 & 148 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249. & 6 & 6 & 3 & 2 & 3 & 5 & & 3 & , & 1 & 231 & 193 \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499 & 4 & 4 & 3 & 3 & 1 & 1 & & 1 & & & (*) & 18 \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 ...- & 6 & 6 & 2 & 1 & 4 & 4 & & 3 & & 1 & 330 & 220 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,999 & 1 & 1 & & & 1 & 2 & & 1 & & , & (*) & (*) \\
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,990...- & 1 & 1 & & & 1 & 2 & & & 1 & 1 & (*) & (*) \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    \footnotetext{
    1 Averages in this column are based on the corresponding counts of supplementary earners in column (7).
    2 A verages in this column are based on the number of families in each class, column (2).
    Families that have supplementary earners.
    Includes 20 males and 13 females under 16 years of age.
    *Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
    }

    \section*{ALBANY, GA.}

    Table 6A.-Sole and supplementary earners: Number of families with individual earners; number of supplementary earners classified as husbands, wives, and others; average earnings of supplementary earners; and average earnings of family from supplementary earners; by occupation and income, 1935-96
    [Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{4}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Income class and occupationai group \\
    (1)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow{3}{*}{Number of families} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Number of families with individual earners} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Number of supplementary earners} & \multirow[b]{4}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    A verage earnings of all supple. mentary earners \({ }^{1}\) \\
    (11)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    A verage earnings per family from supplementary earners \({ }^{2}\) \\
    (12)
    \end{tabular}} \\
    \hline & & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Any \\
    (3)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    One only \\
    (4)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{More than one \({ }^{3}\)} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    All \\
    (6)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Husbands \\
    (7)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Wives \\
    (8)
    \end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Others *} & & \\
    \hline & & & & & & & & Male & Fe male & & \\
    \hline & (2) & & & & & & & (9) & (10) & & \\
    \hline Wage earner & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline All nonrelief families .- & 835 & 832 & 249 & 583 & 753 & 77 & 457 & 103 & 116 & \$102 & \$92 \\
    \hline \$0-\$499 & 500 & 497. & 149 & 348 & 421 & 60 & 276 & 38 & 47 & 74 & 63 \\
    \hline \$500-\$999 & 280 & 280 & 77 & 203 & 278 & 15 & 164 & 43 & 56 & 122 & 121 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,499 & 37 & 37 & 15 & 22 & 36 & 2 & 12 & 13 & 9 & 206 & 200 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,999 & 12 & 12 & 5 & 7 & 13 & & 3 & 8 & 2 & 253 & 274 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,999 & 6 & 6 & 3 & 3 & 5 & & 2 & 1 & 2 & 227 & 189 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$4,999 & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over ......... & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline Clerical & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline All nonrelief families.- & 29 & 29 & 11 & 18 & 24 & ------ & 17 & 2 & 5 & 273 & 226 \\
    \hline  & 6
    9 & 6 & 3 & 3 & 4 & & 3 & 1 & 1 & 26
    134 & 17
    149 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,499 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 1 & & 1 & & & (*) & 61 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,999 ............ & 3 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 1 & & 1 & & ----- & (*) & 180 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,999 & 6 & 6 & 2 & 4 & 4 & & 4 & & & 204 & 136 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$4,999 & 1 & 1 & & 1 & 2 & & 1 & & 1 & (*) & (*) \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over .-.-.--- & 1 & 1 & & 1 & 2 & & & 1 & 1 & (*) & (*) \\
    \hline Business and profes. sional & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline All nonrelief families . .- & 79 & 79 & 42 & 37 & 41 & 7 & 28 & 3 & 3 & 187 & 97 \\
    \hline \$0-\$499. & 23 & 23 & 13 & 10 & 11 & 2 & 9 & & & 84 & 40 \\
    \hline \$500-\$999. & 33 & 33 & 19 & 14 & 16 & 3 & 9 & 3 & 1 & 170 & 82 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,499 ....------ & 16 & 16 & 6 & 10 & 11 & 2 & 7 & & 2 & 244 & 168 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,899............. & 3 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 2 & & 2 & & & (*) & 244 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,999............- & 4 & 4 & 3 & 1 & 1 & & 1 & & & (*) & 150 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$4,990 & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over .-....... & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline Other & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline All nenrelief families . - & 13 & 8 & 5 & 3 & 3 & & 3 & & & 99 & 23 \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    1 A verages in this column are based on the corresponding counts of supplementary earners in column (6). - Averages in this column are based on the number of families in each class, column (2).
    \({ }^{2}\) Families that have supplementary earners.
    \({ }^{4}\) Includes persons under 16 years of age as follows: Wage-earner families, 14 males and 8 females; clerical families, 1 male and no females; business and professional families, 1 male and no females.
    *A verages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    ALBANY, GA.
    Tance 7.- Earnings of supplementary earners: Number of supplementary earners with earnings of specified amount, by family income 1935-96
    [Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Encome class} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Number of families with any sup-plementary earners} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Average earnings of supple mentary earners \\
    (3)
    \end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{15}{|c|}{Number of supplementary earners with earnings of-} \\
    \hline & & & Any amount & \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \text { Under } \\
    & \$ 50
    \end{aligned}
    \] & \$50-\$99 & \$100-
    \(\$ 199\) & \$200- & \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \$ 300- \\
    & \$ 399
    \end{aligned}
    \] & \[
    \$ 400-
    \] & \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \$ 500- \\
    & \$ 599
    \end{aligned}
    \] & \[
    \begin{gathered}
    \$ 600- \\
    \$ 699
    \end{gathered}
    \] & \(\$ 700-\)
    \(\$ 799\) & \$880- & \(\$ 900-\)
    \(\$ 999\) & \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \$ 1,000- \\
    & \$ 1,499
    \end{aligned}
    \] & \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \$ 1,500- \\
    & \$ 1,999
    \end{aligned}
    \] & \[
    \begin{gathered}
    \$ 2,000 \\
    \text { and } \\
    \text { over }
    \end{gathered}
    \] \\
    \hline (1) & (2) & & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) & (12) & (13) & (14) & (15) & (16) & (17) & (18) \\
    \hline fill tmulies & 767 & \$106 & 989 & 286 & 285 & 295 & 77 & 23 & 17 & 2 & 2 & & & & 1 & 1 & \\
    \hline Relief families & 126 & 77 & 168 & 71 & 50 & 35 & 11 & 1 & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline Nonnelief families. & 641 & 112 & 821 & 215 & 235 & 260 & 66 & 22 & 17 & 2 & 2 & ---- & & -...- & 1 & 1 & \\
    \hline \(5{ }^{5120}\) & 108 & 49 & 128 & 63 & 54 & 11 & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$250-\$499 & 255
    169 & 84
    119 & \begin{tabular}{l}
    310 \\
    228 \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular} & 91 & 91
    59
    59 & 119
    87 & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$ \(8000-8749\) & 169
    56 & 119 & 228
    77 & 11 & 59
    20 & 87
    30 & 36
    5 & 4
    8 & 3 & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249 & 22 & 201 & 31 & 3 & 4 & 7 & 9 & 5 & 3 & & - & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$1,250-81,499. & 11 & 237 & 17 & 3 & 3 & 1 & 4 & 1 & 5 & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$ \(\$ 1,500-\$ 1,749\) & 7 & 294 & 13 & 2 & & 3 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 1 & --1 & & & & & \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & 3 & 246 & 3 & & & 2 & & & 1 & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249 & 3 & (*) 231 & 5 & & 2 & & 2 & & & 1 & - & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499 & 1 & (*) 33 & 1 & & 1 & ---- & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \$ 2,500-\$ 2,999 \\
    & \$ 3,100-\$ 3,999
    \end{aligned}
    \] & 4 & \(\left.{ }^{*}\right)^{330}\) & 4 & & 1 & & & 2 & & & 1 & & & & & & \\
    \hline \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \mathbf{3 3 , 0 0 0 - \$ 3 , 9 9 9} \\
    & \mathbf{A F 0 0 0 - \$ 4 , 9 9 9}
    \end{aligned}
    \] & 1 & (*) & 2 & & & & & & 2 & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over. & 1 & (*) & 2 & & & & & & & & & & & & 1 & 1 & \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}
    *Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    \section*{ALBANY, GA.}

    Table 8.-Husbands as earners: Number and average yearly earnings of husbands classified as principal or supplementary earners, by age and family income, 1935-36
    [Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Income class \\
    (1)
    \end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{12}{|c|}{Principal earners by age groups} & \multicolumn{12}{|c|}{Supplementary earners by age groups} \\
    \hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Any \\
    (2)
    \end{tabular} & \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \text { Un- } \\
    & \text { der } \\
    & 20
    \end{aligned}
    \] & \begin{tabular}{l}
    20-24 \\
    (4)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    25-29 \\
    (5)
    \end{tabular} & \[
    \begin{gather*}
    30-34  \tag{3}\\
    (6)
    \end{gather*}
    \] & \begin{tabular}{l}
    35-39 \\
    (7)
    \end{tabular} & \[
    \begin{gathered}
    40-44 \\
    (8)
    \end{gathered}
    \] & \[
    \begin{gathered}
    45-49 \\
    (9)
    \end{gathered}
    \] & \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & 50-54 \\
    & (10)
    \end{aligned}
    \] & \begin{tabular}{l}
    55-59 \\
    (11)
    \end{tabular} & (12) & 65
    and
    over
    (13) & \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \text { Any } \\
    & \text { (14) }
    \end{aligned}
    \] & \begin{tabular}{l}
    \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \text { Un- } \\
    & \text { der } \\
    & 20
    \end{aligned}
    \] \\
    (15)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    20-24 \\
    (16)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    25-29 \\
    (17)
    \end{tabular} & \[
    \begin{gathered}
    30-34 \\
    (18)
    \end{gathered}
    \] & \begin{tabular}{l}
    35-39 \\
    (19)
    \end{tabular} & \[
    \left.\right|_{(20-44}
    \] & \begin{tabular}{l}
    45-49 \\
    (21)
    \end{tabular} & \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & 50-54 \\
    & (22)
    \end{aligned}
    \] & \[
    \begin{array}{|c|c|}
    \hline 55-59 \\
    \\
    (23)
    \end{array}
    \] & \(60-64\)
    (24) & \begin{tabular}{l}
    65
    and
    over \\
    (25)
    \end{tabular} \\
    \hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{All families.........} & \multicolumn{24}{|c|}{Number of husbands} \\
    \hline & 988 & 2 & 52 & 165 & 131 & 171 & 115 & 83 & 122 & 57 & 49 & 41 & 111 & & 2 & 7 & 13 & 13 & 10 & 13 & 17 & 9 & 13 & 14 \\
    \hline Relief families Nonrelief families & \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & 155 \\
    & 833
    \end{aligned}
    \] & 2 & 6
    46 & \[
    \begin{array}{r}
    15 \\
    150
    \end{array}
    \] & \[
    \begin{array}{r}
    15 \\
    116
    \end{array}
    \] & \[
    \begin{array}{r}
    31 \\
    140
    \end{array}
    \] & 18
    97 & 10
    73 & 21
    101 & 13
    44 & \({ }^{12}\) & 14
    27 & \begin{tabular}{l}
    27 \\
    84 \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular} & & 2 & 7 & \(1{ }^{2}\) & \({ }_{9}^{4}\) & \begin{tabular}{l}
    4 \\
    6 \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular} & 3
    10 & \(\begin{array}{r}3 \\ 14 \\ \hline\end{array}\) & 1 & 7
    6 & 3
    11
    8 \\
    \hline  & 115
    331 & 1 & \begin{tabular}{l}
    10 \\
    23 \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular} & 25
    79 & 7 & 17
    52
    5 & 12 & \(\begin{array}{r}5 \\ 21 \\ \hline\end{array}\) & 14
    30 & 8
    15 & 10
    9 & 11 & 29 & & 1 & 2
    5 & 6
    4 & 6 & 3
    2
    2 & 4
    3
    3 & \begin{tabular}{l}
    3 \\
    6 \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular} & 4 & 1 & 8 \\
    \hline \$500-\$749 & 230 & & 10 & \(\stackrel{29}{ }\) & 34 & 50 & 27 & 24 & 32 & 7 & 10 & 7 & 14 & & & & 1 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 3 & & 2 & 2 \\
    \hline \({ }_{\$ 1} \$ 700-000-\$ 1,249\) & \begin{tabular}{l}
    71 \\
    31 \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular} & & & 15
    1 & 10
    5 & 13
    4
    4 & \begin{tabular}{l}
    9 \\
    3 \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular} & 10
    6 & 6
    6 & 5
    4
    4 & 1 & 1 & \({ }_{2}^{4}\) & & 1 & & & & & & 1 & 1 & & \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499 & 20 & & & & 4 & 3 & 1 & 3 & 6 & 2 & 1 & & 2 & & & & & & ------- & 1 & 1 & & & \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749 ...... & 13 & - & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 4 & 1 & 1 & 1 & & 1 & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & 5 & & & & & & , & & 3 & & 1 & & & & -1... & --.-- & & -... & ---- & & & & & .-. \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249 & \({ }_{4}^{5}\) & & & & 1 & & 1 & 1 & 1 & & & 1 & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 & 5 & & & & & & 2 & & & \(2^{-}\) & 1 & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \[
    \$ 3,000-\$ 3,999
    \] & 1 & & & & & & & & 1 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\$5,000 and over....} & 1 & & & & & & & & & & 1 & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline & \multicolumn{24}{|c|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{Average earnings of husbands 1}} \\
    \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline All nourelief families & \$455 & (*) & \$362 & \$361 & \$453 & \$427 & \$521 & \$574 & \$546 & \$506 & \$474 & \$309 & \$111 & & (*) & \$104 & \$111 & \$116 & \$91 & \$114 & \$115 & \(\$ 92\) & \$180 & \$68 \\
    \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    \footnotetext{
    A verages for each age group are based on the corresponding numbers of hus bands in the upper section of the table; the 2 averages for all age groups cornbined are based on the corresponding total number of husbands.
    }

    Table 9.-Wives as earners: Number and average yearly earnings of wives classified as principal or supplementary earners, by age and family income, 1935-36
    [Negro families induding husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    

    \footnotetext{
    Averages for each age group are based on the corresponding numbers of wives in the upper section of the table; the two averages for all age groups combined are based on the corresponding total number of wive
    *Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
    }

    \section*{ALBANY, GA.}

    Table 10.-Money income other than earnings: Number of families receiving money income other than earnings, and average amount
    
    

    See glossary for definition of "money income other than earnings."
    \({ }^{2}\) Averages are based on all families, column (2), whether or not they received money income other than earnings.
    a nciudes money income other than earnings from sources other than those specified, including profits from business enterprises partially or wholly owned but not operated by family members. See glossary for further definition of "profits.
    *Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
    **\$0.50 or less.

    \section*{ALBANY, GA.}

    Table 11.-Nonmoney income from owned homes: Number of families owning homes with and without mortgages; average rental value, average expense, and average nonmoney income from home ownership; by income, 1935-36
    [Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Income class} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Number of families} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Homes free from mortgages} & \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{Mortgaged homes} \\
    \hline & All & \multirow{2}{*}{Owning homes \({ }^{1}\)} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Families owning homes free from mortgage} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Average rental value ? \\
    (6)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Average expense \({ }^{3}\) \\
    (7)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Average nonmoney income \({ }^{4}\) \\
    (8)
    \end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Families owning mortgaged homes} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    A verage rental value \({ }^{2}\) \\
    (11)
    \end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{A verage expense \({ }^{3}\)} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Average nonmoney income \({ }^{4}\) \\
    (14)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Interest as percentage of rental value \\
    (15)
    \end{tabular}} \\
    \hline & (2) & & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Number \\
    (4)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Percentage \({ }^{5}\) \\
    (5)
    \end{tabular} & & & & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Number \\
    (9)
    \end{tabular} & Percentage \({ }^{5}\) (10) & & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Interest \\
    (12)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Other \\
    (13)
    \end{tabular} & & \\
    \hline All families. & 1,163 & 189 & 117 & 62 & \$142 & \$63 & \$79 & 72 & 38 & \$134 & \$50 & \$61 & \$23 & 37 \\
    \hline Relief families. Nonrelief families. & 207
    956 & 13
    176 & \({ }_{111}^{6}\) & \({ }^{(\dagger)}{ }_{63}\) & 138 & 63
    63 & 75
    80 & 7
    65 & \({ }^{(\dagger)} 3\) & 129
    135 & 61
    48 & 55
    63 & 13
    24 & 47
    36 \\
    \hline \$0-\$249 & 159 & 9 & 8 & ( \(\dagger\) ) & 77 & 49 & 28 & 1 & ( \(\dagger\) & (*) & (*) & (*) & (*) & 22 \\
    \hline \$250-\$499. & 379 & 41 & 23 & 56 & 112 & 58 & 54 & 18 & ( 44 & 111 & ( 27 & 59 & 25 & 24 \\
    \hline \$500-\$749 & 249 & 45 & 24 & 53 & 130 & 62 & 68 & 21 & 47 & 126 & 52 & 61 & 13 & 41 \\
    \hline \$750-\$999 & 76 & 27 & 17 & ( \({ }^{\text {) }}\) & 157 & 65 & 92 & 10 & ( \(\dagger\) & 140 & 46 & 63 & 31 & 33 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249 & 35 & 15 & 11 & (i) & 165 & 68 & 97 & 4 & (t) & 201 & 76 & 73 & 52 & 38 \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499. & 22 & 13 & 8 & (t) & 144 & 64 & 80 & 5 & ( \(\dagger\) & 134 & 55 & 58 & 21 & 41 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749. & 13 & 8 & 5 & ( \(\dagger\) ) & 120 & 56 & 64 & 3 & ( \(\dagger\) & 220 & 97 & 77 & 46 & 44 \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & 5 & 3 & 2 & ( \(\dagger\) & \({ }^{*}{ }^{*}\) & \({ }^{*}\) *) & (*) & 1 & (t) & \({ }^{*}\) * & (*) & (*) & (*) & 80 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249 & 6 & 5 & 4 & (t) & \({ }^{*} 174\) & (*) 70 & \({ }^{*} 104\) & 1 & (t) & (*) & (*) & (*) & (*) & \({ }^{9}\) \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499 & 4 & 2 & 1 & (t) & (*) & \({ }^{*}{ }^{\text {( }} 80\) & (*) & 1 & (t) & (*) & (*) & (*) & (*) & 59 \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999- & 6
    1 & 6
    1 & 6
    1 & (t) & \({ }^{*}{ }^{234}\) & & (*) 154 & ---..-- & \((\dagger)\) & & & & & -- \\
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,999. & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over & 1 & 1 & 1 & ( \(\dagger\) ) & (*) & (*) & (*) & & ( \(\dagger\) ) & - & & & & -- \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}
    \({ }^{1}\) Includes all families occupying owned homes at any time during the report year. Excludes 6 families whose expenses exactly equaled the annual rental value of their homes. Data for the latter families, however, are included in the computation of averages.
    \({ }_{3}^{2}\) Based on estimate made by home owner, for period of ownership and occupancy during report year. This period averages, in general, approximately 12 months.
    \({ }^{3}\) Expense for period of ownership and occupancy during report year. Expense other than interest, columns (7) and (13), estimated on basis of average relationship between rental value and expense.

    4 Nonmoney income for period of ownership and occupancy during report year. Obtained by deducting estimated expense (including interest) from rental value.
    \({ }^{5}\) Based on number of families owning homes, column (3)
    * 4 verages not computed for fewer then 3 cases

    ALBANY, GA.
    Table 12.-Monthly rental value: Number of home-owning families having homes with specified monthly rental value, by income, \(1935-36\) !
    [Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline Income class & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Number of homeowning and families} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\(\underset{\text { families }}{\substack{\text { Home-owning } \\ \text { fand }}}\)} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Average monthly rental value of owned
    homes? (5)} & \multicolumn{12}{|c|}{Number of home-owning families reporting monthly rental value of-} \\
    \hline (1) & & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Number \\
    (3)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Percent. age \({ }^{3}\) \\
    (4)
    \end{tabular} & & \begin{tabular}{l}
    \({ }^{\text {Under }}\) \\
    (6)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    \(\$ 5-\$ 9\) \\
    (7)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    \$10-\$14 \\
    (8)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    \$15-\$19 \\
    (9)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    \(\$ 20-\$ 24\) \\
    (10)
    \end{tabular} & \[
    \begin{gathered}
    \$ 25-\$ 29 \\
    (11)
    \end{gathered}
    \] & \begin{tabular}{l}
    \$30-\$34 \\
    (12)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    \(\$ 35-\$ 39\) \\
    (13)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    \$40-\$44 \\
    (14)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    \(\$ 45-\$ 54\) \\
    (15)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    \$55-\$74 \\
    (16)
    \end{tabular} & \(\$ 75\) and over (17) \\
    \hline A11 families. & 1,145 & 193 & 17 & \$11.80 & 0 & 60 & 70 & 34 & 12 & 8 & 1 & -...- & 2 & --....- & & -------- \\
    \hline Relief families Nomrelief families & \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & 204 \\
    & 941
    \end{aligned}
    \] & 14
    179 & \(7{ }^{7}\) & \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & 11.10 \\
    & 11.90
    \end{aligned}
    \] & 6 & 5
    5 & 7 & 34 & \({ }_{11}^{11}\) & 1 & 1 & & 2 & ---- & & \\
    \hline \$0-\$249 & 155 & & & 7.00 & & & 4 & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$ \(\$ 5500-\$ 499\). & 373
    248 & 40
    45 & 11
    18 & 9.30
    10.80
    10. & 1
    2 & 23
    19 & 12
    14 & 2 & \({ }_{4}^{2}\) & 1 & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$750-\$999. & 74 & 27 & 36 & 13.10 & & 4 & 14 & 6 & 1 & & & & 1 & --- & & \\
    \hline \$ \(\$ 1,000\) \$1, \(250-81,499\) & 33
    22 & 15
    15 & (t) \({ }^{46}\) & 14.50
    12.20 & 1 & \({ }_{1}^{2}\) & 3
    8
    8 & 4 & 2 & 1 & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$ \(71,500-\$ 1,749\) & 13 & \({ }_{8}^{15}\) & ( \(\dagger\) ) & 13.80 & & & \(\begin{array}{r}8 \\ 4 \\ \hline\end{array}\) & 4 & & 1 & & & & & & \\
    \hline \% \(71750-\$ 1,999\) & 5 & 3 & (t) & 16.00 & & 1 & , & & & & 1 & ----- & & & & \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249- & 6
    4
    4 & 5
    2
    2 & \(\stackrel{(t)}{\text { ( }}\) & \({ }_{(*)}^{14.80}\) & & & 2 & 3 & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline *2,500-\$2,999 & \({ }_{6}\) & 6 & (t) & 19.50 & & & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & & & & & & \\
    \hline \%3000-\$3,999 & 1 & 1 & ( \(\dagger\) & (*) & & & & & & & & & 1 & & & \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over. & 1 & 1 & ( \(\dagger\) & (*) & & & & 1 & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}
    \({ }^{4}\) Ineludes only those families that did not change living quarters between the end of the report year and the date of interview. Families are classifed as bome-owning families or as renting families according to their status at the date of interview.

    2 Based on estimate made by home owner for period of ownership and occupancy during report year. Averages are based on the number af home-owning families, column (3). Based on the number of home-owning and renting families, column (2).
    \(\ddagger\) Percentages not computed for fewer than 30 cases.
    Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    Table 13.-Monthly rent: Number of renting families reporting specified monthly rent, by income, 1995-36 \({ }^{1}\)
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Income class} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Number of homeowning and renting families} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Renting families} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Average monthly rent \({ }^{2}\)} & \multicolumn{13}{|c|}{Number of renting families reporting monthly rent of-} \\
    \hline & & Number & \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \text { Percent- } \\
    & \text { age }^{3}
    \end{aligned}
    \] & & \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \text { Un- } \\
    & \text { der } \\
    & \$ 5
    \end{aligned}
    \] & \$5-\$9 & \$10-\$14 & \$15-\$19 & \$20-\$24 & \$25-\$29 & \$30-\$34 & \$35-\$38 & \$40-\$44 & \$45-\$54 & \$55-\$74 & \(\$ 75\)
    and
    over & Rent free \({ }^{4}\) \\
    \hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) & (12) & (13) & (14) & (15) & (16) & (17) & (18) \\
    \hline All families & 1,145 & 952 & 83 & \$5. 80 & 339 & 543 & 55 & 3 & 2 & & 1 & & & & & & 9 \\
    \hline Relief families & 204 & 190 & 93 & 4.80 & 97 & 86 & 5 & & & & & & & & & & 2 \\
    \hline Nonrelief families. & 941 & 762 & 81 & 6.00 & 242 & 457 & 50 & 3 & 2 & & 1 & & & & & & 7 \\
    \hline \$0-\$249 & 155 & 144 & 93 & 4.80 & 80 & 57 & 4 & & & & & & & & & & 3 \\
    \hline \$250-\$499 & 373 & 333 & 89 & 5.50 & 117 & 205 & 8 & & & & & & & & & & 3 \\
    \hline \$500-\$749 & 248 & 203 & 82 & 6.70 & 38 & 139 & 24 & & 1 & & & & & & & & 1 \\
    \hline \$750-\$999 & 74 & 47 & 64 & 7.00 & 5 & 36 & 5 & 1 & & & & & & & & & .... \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249. & 33 & 18 & (t) 54 & 8.80 & 1 & 11 & 4 & 2 & & & & & & & & & --- \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499 & 22 & 7 & ( \(\dagger\) ) & 8.00 & 1 & 4 & 2 & & & & & & & & & & ---- \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749
    \(\mathbf{\$ 1 , 7 5 0 - \$ 1 , 9 9 9}\) & \(\begin{array}{r}13 \\ 5 \\ \hline\end{array}\) & 5
    2 & \((\dagger)\) & \({ }_{\text {(*) }} 9.20\) & & 3 & 2 & & & & & & & & & & - \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$2,249 & 5 & 1 & (t) & (*) & & 1 & 1 & & 1 & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499 & 4 & 2 & ( \(\dagger\) & (*) & & 1 & & & & & 1 & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 & 6 & & & & & & ------ & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,999 & 1 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,999 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over. & 1 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \multicolumn{18}{|l|}{\({ }^{1}\) Includes only those families that did not change living quarters between the end of the report year and the date of interview. Families are classifled as home-owning families} \\
    \hline \multicolumn{18}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    or as renting families according to their status at the date of interview. \\
    \({ }_{2}\) Rent reported at date of interview. Averages are based on the number of renting families in each class that reported monthly rent, including families receiving rent free, the
    \end{tabular}} \\
    \hline \multicolumn{18}{|l|}{amount of which was estimated by the family.} \\
    \hline \multicolumn{18}{|l|}{\({ }^{3}\) Based on the number of home-owning and renting families, column (2).} \\
    \hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{\(\dagger\) Percentages not computed for fewer than 30 cases.} & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \multicolumn{18}{|l|}{*Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.} \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}
    \(T_{\text {able }} 14 A .-\) Average monthly rental value and average monthly rent: Number of home-owning and renting families, average monthly rental value, and average monthly rent, by occupation and income, 1935-36 \({ }^{1}\)
    [Negro nonrelief families, including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]
    
    \({ }^{1}\) Includes only those families that did not change living quarters between the end of the report year and the date of interview. Families are classifled as home-owning families or as renting families according to their status at the date of interview.
    \({ }_{3}\) Based on the number of home-owning and renting families in the respective occupational groups. year. Averages are based on the number of home-owning families as of end of report year.
    \& Rent as reported at date of interview. Averages in this column are based on the number of families reporting monthly rent, including families receiving rent as gift, the amount of which is estimated by the family.
    s Of the families classified in the occupational group "Other," 13 did not change their living quarters between the end of the report year and the date of interview. Of the latter group 5 families were owning families. Their average monthly rental value was \(\$ 8.80\). The remaining 8 families were renting families. Their average monthly rent was \(\$ 4.60\).
    \(\dagger\) Percentages not computed for fewer than 30 cases
    \({ }^{*}\) Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    ALBANY, GA.
    Table 15-16.-Type of living quarters: Number and percentage of owning and renting families occupying specified types of living quarters, by tenure and income, 1935-36 \({ }^{1}\)
    [Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all famuly types combined]
    
    \({ }^{1}\) Includes only those families that did not change living quarters between the end of the report year and the date of interview

    2 Includes dwelling units in business buildings, other types of living quarters not elsewhere specified, and unknown types of living quarters.
    \({ }^{3}\) Percentages are based on number of families in each class, column (2)
    0.5 percent or less.
    \(\dagger\) Percentage not computed for fewer than 30 cases.

    \section*{ALBANY, GA.}

    Table 17.-Members of household not in economic family: Number of families having persons in the household who were not members of the economic family, and average number of such nonfamily members, by income, 1935-36
    [Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    

    \footnotetext{
    1 Excludes a small number of families which had nonfamily members in the household but which did not report the duration of their membership. See glossary for definition of nonfamily members.
    \({ }^{2}\) Averages in each column are based on the corresponding counts of families, in columns (3) tarough (10). The number of nonfamily members is expressed in terms of year-equiv. alent persons. This figure is computed for each family by dividing by 52 the total number of weeks of residence in the household for all nonmembers of the economic family.

    Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
    }

    \section*{ALBANY, GA.}

    Table 18.-Age of husbands and wives: Number of husbands and number of wives, by age and family income, 1935-36
    [Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    

    ALBANY, GA.
    Table 19.-Report year: Number and percentage distribution of families by date of end of report year, by occupation, 1935--86
    [Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{4}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Date of end of report year \\
    (1)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow{4}{*}{\[
    \begin{gathered}
    \text { All } \\
    \text { fami- } \\
    \text { lies }
    \end{gathered}
    \]} & \multirow{4}{*}{Relief families} & \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{Nonrelief families in specified occupational groups} \\
    \hline & & & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    All \\
    (4)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Wage earner \\
    (5)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Clerical \\
    (6)
    \end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Business and professional} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Other \\
    (12)
    \end{tabular}} \\
    \hline & & & & & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{All business and professional} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Independent} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Salaried} & \\
    \hline & & & & & & & Business & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Professional \\
    (9)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Business \\
    (10)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Professional \\
    (11)
    \end{tabular} & \\
    \hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{All dates.......} & \multicolumn{11}{|c|}{Number of families} \\
    \hline & 1,163 & 207 & 956 & 833 & 29 & 79 & 46 & 6 & 2 & 25 & 15 \\
    \hline Dec. 31, 1935 Jan. 31, 1936 & 346 & 74 & 272 & 224 & 12 & 27 & 12 & 3 & 1 & 11 & 9 \\
    \hline Feb. 29, 1936..... & 240 & 39 & 201 & 173 & 5 & 22 & 16 & 2 & 1 & & \\
    \hline & 268 & 52 & 216 & 194 & 4 & 17 & 10 & 1 & 1 & \({ }_{6}^{6}\) & \\
    \hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{Api 31, 1936...
    Man 30, 1936....
    July 31, 1936...
    Aug. 31, \(1936 \ldots\)
    Sept. \(30,1936 \ldots\)
    Oct. \(31,1936 \ldots\)
    Nov. \(30,1936 \ldots\)} & 159 & 24 & 135 & 119 & 6 & 7 & 4 & & & 3 & 3 \\
    \hline & 48
    69 & 8
    5 & 40
    64 & 37
    60 & 2 & 3 & 1 & & & 2 & i \\
    \hline & \({ }_{26} 6\) & 5 & 21 & 20 & & 1 & 1 & & & & 1 \\
    \hline & & & 6 & 5 & & 1 & 1 & & & & \\
    \hline & \multicolumn{11}{|c|}{Percentage} \\
    \hline All dates.. & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 \\
    \hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \text { Dec. } 31,1835 \ldots \\
    & \text { Jan. } 31,1936 \ldots . . \\
    & \text { Feb. 29, 1936.... }
    \end{aligned}
    \]} & 30 & 36 & 28 & 27 & ( \(\dagger\) & 34 & 26 & ( \(\dagger\) & ( \(\dagger\) & ( \(\dagger\) ) & ( \(\dagger\) ) \\
    \hline & ( \({ }^{\text {d }}\) & & ( \({ }^{\text {) }}\) & ( \(\ddagger\) & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & \({ }_{23}^{21}\) & 19
    25 & \({ }_{23}^{21}\) & \(\stackrel{21}{24}\) & ( \(\dagger\) ( & 28
    28 & 35
    22 & (t) & ( \(\dagger\) & (t) & \\
    \hline & 14 & 12 & 14 & 14 & ( \(\dagger\) ) & 9 & 9 & & & ( \(\dagger\) & ( \(\dagger\) \\
    \hline \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \text { June 30, 1936.... } \\
    & \text { July 31, } 1936 . . .
    \end{aligned}
    \] & 4
    6 & \(\stackrel{4}{2}\) & 4 & 4 & & 4 & 2 & & & ( \(\dagger\) & \(\cdots\) \\
    \hline \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \text { July 31, 1936-.-. } \\
    & \text { Aug. } 31,1930
    \end{aligned}
    \] & & 2 & 2 & 2 & & 1 & 2 & & & & \\
    \hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Sept. 30, 1936...- \\
    Oct. 31, 1936
    \end{tabular}} & ( \(\ddagger\) & & & 1 & & 1 & & & & & \\
    \hline & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline Oct. \(31,1836 \ldots\)
    Nov. 301936 & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    \footnotetext{
    \(\dagger\) Percentages not computed for fewer than 30 cases.
    \(\ddagger 0.5\) percent or less.
    }

    GASTONIA, N. C.
    Table 1.-Family type: Number of families of specified types and average number of persons per family, by income, 1995-36
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{4}{*}{Income class} & \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{Number of families of type \({ }^{1}\)} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Average number of persons per family 2-} \\
    \hline & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    All \\
    (2)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    I \\
    (3)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    II \\
    (4)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    III \\
    (5)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    IV \\
    (6)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    V \\
    (7)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    VI \\
    (8)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    VII \\
    (9)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    VIII \\
    (10)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Other \\
    (11)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    All members \\
    (12)
    \end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Other than husband and wife} \\
    \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & Un-
    der
    16 & 16 and over \\
    \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & (13) & (14) \\
    \hline All families \({ }^{3}\). \(-\ldots . .\). & 2,336 & 365 & 373 & 310 & 342 & 286 & 293 & 236 & 53 & 78 & 4.4 & 1.7 & 0.7 \\
    \hline Relief families ...--- & 257 & 20 & 39 & 31 & 33 & 44 & 40 & 31 & 7 & 12 & 4.9 & 2.0 & . 9 \\
    \hline Nonrelief families. .- & 2,079 & 345 & 334 & 279 & 309 & 242 & 253 & 205 & 46 & 66 & 4.3 & 1.6 & . 7 \\
    \hline \$0-\$249 & 15 & 6 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 1 & & 1 & & 1 & 3.6 & 1. 1 & . 5 \\
    \hline \$250-\$499 & 120 & 24 & 25 & 23 & 13 & 7 & 15 & 9 & & 4 & 4.1 & 1.7 & . 4 \\
    \hline \$500-\$749-------.- & 357 & 67 & 73 & 51 & 41 & 35 & 51 & 30 & 3 & 6 & 4.1 & 1.7 & . 4 \\
    \hline \$750-\$999-........- & 323 & 42 & 60 & 54 & 35 & 37 & 47 & 35 & 5 & 8 & 4.4 & 1.9 & . 5 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249 \(\ldots . . .--\) & 339 & 55 & 50 & 47 & 44 & 36 & 58 & 34 & 6 & 9 & 4.4 & 1.8 & . 6 \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499 \(\ldots \ldots\) & 231 & 51 & 35 & 36 & 25 & 18 & 27 & 26 & 4 & 9 & 4.2 & 1. 7 & . 6 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749 & 167 & 15 & 27 & 16 & 35 & 24 & 19 & 18 & 4 & 9 & 4. 6 & 1.8 & . 8 \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & 144 & 24 & 15 & 13 & 32 & 23 & 9 & 14 & 6 & 8 & 4.5 & 1.4 & 1.1 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249 \(\ldots \ldots\) & 99 & 12 & 8 & 16 & 17 & 15 & 11 & 12 & 3 & 5 & 4.6 & 1.6 & 1.0 \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499 & 56 & 11 & 5 & 4 & 11 & 11 & 5 & 7 & - & 2 & 4.4 & 1.3 & 1.1 \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 & 68 & 7 & 10 & 5 & 18 & 14 & 5 & \({ }_{6}\) & 1 & 2 & 4.3 & 1.2 & 1.2 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,499 \(\ldots\) & 51 & 13 & 6 & 4 & 9 & 5 & 3 & 6 & 5 & & 4.0 & . 9 & 1.1 \\
    \hline \$3,500-\$3,999 \(\ldots \ldots\) & 30 & 4 & 6 & 1 & 9 & 5 & & 3 & & 2 & 4.4 & 1.3 & 1.1 \\
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,499 & 19 & 3 & 1 & 3 & 4 & 4 & & 2 & 2 & & 4.4 & 1.0 & 1.4 \\
    \hline \$4,500-\$4,999 & 13 & 2 & 3 & & 2 & 2 & & 1 & 2 & 1 & 4.4 & . 8 & 1.6 \\
    \hline \$5,000-\$7,499 & 32 & 5 & 6 & 5 & 7 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 4 & & 3.8 & . 9 & . 9 \\
    \hline \$7,500-\$9,999 & 6 & 1 & 1 & & 3 & 1 & & & & & 3.3 & . 3 & 1.0 \\
    \hline \$10,000 and over \({ }^{\text {--- }}\) & 9 & 3 & & & 2 & 2 & 1 & & 1 & & 4.0 & . 9 & 1.1 \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    1 Family type:
    I. 2 persons. Husband and wife only.

    Ir. 3 persons. Husband, wife, 1 child ander 16 and no others.
    III. 4 persons. Husband, wife, 2 children under 16 and no others.
    IV. 3 or 4 persons. Husband, wife, 1 person 16 or over, and 1 or no other person regardless of age.
    V. 5 or 6 persons. Husband, wife, 1 child under 16, 1 person 16 or over, and 1 or 2 other persons regardless of age.
    VI. 5 or 6 persons. Husband, wife, 3 or 4 children under 16 and no others.
    VII. 7 or 8 persons. Husband, wife, 1 child under 16, 4 or 5 other persons regardless of age.
    VIII. 5 or 6 persons. Husband, wife, 3 or 4 persons 16 or over.

    Other. 7 or more persons. All types not included in I through VIII.
    \({ }_{2}\) These are year equivalent persons. The sum of columns (13) and (14) plus 2 (husband and wife) does not always equal column (12). For the methods used in deriving these averages, see glossary.
    \({ }^{3} 1\) family which reported a net loss is excluded from this and subsequent tables. This family had gross business expense and losses exceeding its gross earnings and other income.
    4 Largest income reported between \(\$ 10,000\) and \(\$ 15,000\).

    GASTONIA, N. C.
    Table 1A.-Family type: Number of families of specified types and average number of persons per family, by occupation and income, 1935-36
    [White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
    

    See footnotes at end of table.

    GASTONIA, N. C.
    Table 1A.-Family type: Number of families of specified types and average number of persons per family, by occupation and income, 1935-36-Continued
    [White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{4}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Income class and occupational group \\
    (1)
    \end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{Number of families of type-} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Average number of persons per family-} \\
    \hline & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{All} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{I} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{II} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{III} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{IV} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{V} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{VI} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{VII} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{VIII} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Other} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\[
    \begin{gathered}
    \text { All } \\
    \text { mem- } \\
    \text { bers }
    \end{gathered}
    \]} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Other than husband and wife} \\
    \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & Un-
    der
    16 & \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & 16 \\
    & \text { and } \\
    & \text { over }
    \end{aligned}
    \] \\
    \hline & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) & (12) & (13) & (14) \\
    \hline Independent business All nonrelief families. & 201 & 51 & 26 & 23 & 39 & 16 & 21 & 17 & 7 & 1 & 3.9 & 1.2 & 0.7 \\
    \hline \$0-\$249 & 1 & & & & 1 & & & & & & (*) & & (*) \\
    \hline \$250-\$499 & 14 & 4 & 3 & 2 & & 1 & 4 & & & & 3.7 & 1.5 & \({ }^{\text {. } 2}\) \\
    \hline \$500-\$749 & 18 & 9 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & & 4 & & & 3. 6 & 1.3 & . 3 \\
    \hline \$750-\$999 & 16 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 4 & 3 & & 2 & 1 & & 4. 1 & 1.3 & . 8 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249. & 29 & 5 & 4 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 7 & 4 & 2 & & 4.6 & 2.0 & . 6 \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499. & 21 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 5 & 1 & 4 & & 1 & & 3. 9 & 1.4 & . 6 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749 & 18 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 6 & & 1 & 2 & & & 3.7 & 1.3 & . 4 \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & 15 & 6 & 1 & & 5 & 1 & 1 & & 1 & & 3.2 & . 3 & . 9 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249 & 11 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 4 & 1 & 1 & -- & & & 3.5 & 1.1 & . 4 \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499 & 7 & 3 & & & 2 & 1 & 1 & & & & 3. 3 & . 6 & . 7 \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 & 9 & & 1 & 1 & 3 & 1 & 1 & 1 & & 1 & 5.0 & 1.6 & 1.4 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,499. & 12 & 4 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 1 & & 1 & & & 3.4 & 1.1 & . 4 \\
    \hline \$3,500-\$3,999. & 5 & & 1 & & 3 & & & 1 & & & 4.4 & 1.0 & 1.4 \\
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,499 & 7 & 2 & & - & 1 & 2 & & 1 & 1 & & 4.6 & . 6 & 2.0 \\
    \hline \$4,500-\$4,999 & 5 & 2 & 1 & & & 1 & & 1 & & & 4. 0 & . 6 & 1. 4 \\
    \hline \$5,000-\$7,499 & 9 & 3 & 1 & 1 & 2 & & 1 & -- & 1 & & 3.3 & . 8 & . 6 \\
    \hline \$7,500-\$9,999 & 2 & 1 & 1 & & & & & & & & \({ }^{*}{ }^{*}\) & (*) & \\
    \hline \$10,000 and over \({ }^{3}\)-...- & 2 & 2 & & & & & & - & & & (*) & --..-- & \\
    \hline Independent professional & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline All nonrelief families. & 36 & 8 & 6 & 5 & 8 & 3 & 2 & 2 & 2 & -- & 3.6 & . 8 & 0.9 \\
    \hline \$0-\$249.-....-------- & & & & & - & --- & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$250-\$499.- & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$500-\$749.- & 2 & & 1 & & 1 & & & & & & (*) & (*) & (*) \\
    \hline \$750-\$999 & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249 .......... & 1 & & ---- & & & & & & 1 & & (*) & & (*) \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499 & 1 & & & & 1 & & & & & & (*) & & (*) \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749 ......-. & 1 & & 1 & & & & & & & & (*) & (*) & -..- \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 \(\ldots . . . . .\). & 2 & 1 & 1 & & & & & & & & (*) & (*) & ------ \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249 & 2 & 1 & & 1 & & & & & & & (*) & (*) & \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499 \(\ldots \ldots . .\). & 2 & 1 & & & 1 & & & & & & (*) & & (*) \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 ........- & 4 & & & 1 & & 1 & 1 & 1 & & & 5.1 & 2.0 & 1. 2 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,499-...----- & 5 & 3 & & & 2 & & & & & & 2.8 & & . 8 \\
    \hline \$3,500-\$3,999 \(\ldots \ldots \ldots\) & 3 & 1 & 1 & 1 & & & & & & & 3.0 & 1.0 & \\
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,499 \(\ldots \ldots \ldots\) & 3 & & & 1 & & 1 & & & 1 & & 4.6 & 1.0 & 1. 7 \\
    \hline \$4,500-\$4,999 & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$5,000-\$7,499 \(\ldots \ldots . . . .\). & 7 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & & & 1 & & & 3.7 & . 7 & 1.0 \\
    \hline \$7,500-\$9,099 ......... & 1 & & & & 1 & & & & & & (*) & & (*) \\
    \hline \$10,000 and over \({ }^{3}\)...-- & 2 & & & & & 1 & 1 & & & & (*) & (*) & (*) \\
    \hline Salaried business & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline All nonrelief families & 112 & 20 & 20 & 14 & 23 & 20 & 10 & 3 & 2 & & 3.9 & 1.3 & . 6 \\
    \hline \$0-\$249. & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$250-\$499. & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$500-\$749- & 3 & & & 1 & 1 & 1 & & & & & 4.0 & 1.3 & . 7 \\
    \hline \$750-\$999 & 3 & & 1 & & & 2 & & & & & 5.0 & 2.0 & 1. 0 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249 & 4 & & 1 & 1 & 2 & & & & & & 3.6 & 1.0 & . 6 \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499 \(\ldots \ldots\) & 1 & & & & & & 1 & & & & \({ }^{*}\) *) & \({ }^{*}\) ) & \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749 & 9 & 2 & 3 & & 1 & 1 & 2 & & & & 3.8 & 1.7 & . 2 \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & 11 & 2 & 4 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & & & & 3.3 & 1.1 & . 3 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249 & 15 & 4 & & 4 & 1 & 4 & 2 & & & & 4. 0 & 1.6 & . 4 \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499 & 8 & 3 & 2 & 1 & & 1 & & 1 & & & 3.5 & 1.0 & . 5 \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999. & 15 & 3 & 2 & & 6 & 3 & 1 & & & & 3.8 & 1.0 & . 8 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,499 & 9 & 1 & 2 & & 1 & 1 & 3 & 1 & & & 4.3 & 2.0 & . 3 \\
    \hline \$3,500-\$3,999 & 8 & 3 & 1 & & 2 & 2 & & & & & 3.5 & . 8 & . 8 \\
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,499. & 5 & & & 2 & 2 & & & 1 & & & 4.9 & 1.9 & 1.0 \\
    \hline \$4,500-\$4,999 & 4 & & 2 & & & 1 & & & 1 & & 3.9 & . 8 & 1.2 \\
    \hline \$5,000-\$7,499. & 11 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 2 & & & & & 3.8 & 1.1 & . 7 \\
    \hline \$7,500-\$9,999 & 2 & & & & 2 & & & & & & (*) & & (*) \\
    \hline \$10,000 and over \({ }^{3}\) - & 4 & 1 & & & 1 & 1 & & & 1 & & 4.2 & 8 & 1.5 \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    See footnotes at end of table.

    GASTONIA, N. C.
    Table 1A.-Family type: Number of families of specified types and average number of persons per family, by occupation and income, 1935-96-Continued
    [White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{4}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Income class and occupational group \\
    (1)
    \end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{Number of families of type-} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Average number of persons per family-} \\
    \hline & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    All \\
    (2)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    I \\
    (3)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    II \\
    (4)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    III \\
    (5)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    IV \\
    (6)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    V \\
    (7)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    VI \\
    (8)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    VII \\
    (9)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    VIII \\
    (10)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Other \\
    (11)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{All mem bers} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Other than husband and wife} \\
    \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & Un-
    der
    16 & 16 and over \\
    \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & (13) & (14) \\
    \hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Salaried professional All nonrelief families.} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{44} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{10} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{3} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{3} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{13} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{3} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{3} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{4} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{3} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{2} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{4.1} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{. 8} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1.3} \\
    \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$0-\$249 & & & & & & & & & & & & & ----- \\
    \hline \[
    \$ 250-\$ 499
    \] & 1 & & & & & & 1 & & & & (*) & & \\
    \hline \$750-\$999 & 4 & & 1 & 2 & & & & & 1 & & 4.1 & \(\stackrel{.}{ }\) & 1.3 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249 & 3 & 1 & & & 1 & & & 1 & & & 3.9 & .3 & 1.7 \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499. & 5 & 1 & & & 2 & & & 2 & & & 4.9 & 1.2 & 1.7 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749 & 4 & 1 & & & 1 & & 1 & 1 & & & 4. 4 & . 8 & 1.6 \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & 5 & 1 & & & 2 & & 1 & & 1 & & 3.8 & 1.0 & . 8 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249 & 5 & 2 & & & 1 & - & & - & 1 & 1 & 4.2 & 1.0 & 1.2 \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499 & 1 & & & 1 & & & & & & & (*) & (*) & \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 \(\ldots \ldots . . .\). & 4 & 1 & & & 2 & 1 & & -- & & & 3.8 & 1.0 & . 8 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,499 ......... & 4 & 2 & 1 & & -- & 1 & & & & & 3.4 & . 6 & . 8 \\
    \hline \$3,500-\$3,999 & 2 & & 1 & & & & & & & 1 & (*) & & (*) \\
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,499 & 3 & 1 & & & 1 & 1 & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$4,500-\$4,999 \(\ldots . . . . . .\). & 2 & & & & 2 & & & & & & (*) & (*) & (*) \\
    \hline \$5,000-\$7,499 & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \[
    \$ 7,500-\$ 9,999
    \] & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$10,000 and over \({ }^{3}-\mathrm{-}\) - & 1 & & & & 1 & & & & & & (*) & (*) & - \\
    \hline Other \({ }^{4}\) & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline All nonrelief families \(\qquad\) & 15 & 5 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 3 & 1 & 1 & & & 3.8 & 1.2 & . 7 \\
    \hline \$0-\$249.-.-.-..------- & 2 & 2 & & & & & & & & & (*) & & \\
    \hline \$250-\$499. & 3 & 1 & & & 1 & & 1 & & & & 4.0 & 1.3 & \\
    \hline \$500-\$749 & 4 & 1 & & & 1 & 2 & & & & & 4. 0 & 1.0 & 1.0 \\
    \hline \$750-\$999 & 4 & & 1 & & 1 & 1 & & 1 & & & \({ }_{5}^{5.0}\) & 2.3 & 1.0 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249 ........ & 1 & & & 1 & & & & & & & (*) & (*) & - \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499 & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749 & & & & & & & & & & ----- & & & \\
    \hline \[
    \$ 1,750-\$ 1,999
    \] & 1 & 1 & --- & --- & & & & & & & (*) & ----- & --... \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499. & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,499... & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$3,500-\$3,999. & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,499. & & & & & & & & & & ------ & & & --.-- \\
    \hline \$4,500-\$4,999.......... & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$5,000-\$7,499........... & & & & & & & & & & & & & --..- \\
    \hline \$7,500-\$9,999 & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$10,000 and over ..... & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    For footnotes 1 and 2, see table 1 on p. 400.
    \({ }^{3}\) Largest income reported between \(\$ 10,000\) and \(\$ 15,000\).
    \({ }^{4}\) This group contains 4 families engaged in farming, a group too small to be separately classified, and families having no gainfully employed members.
    *Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    GASTONIA, N. C.
    Table 2.-Sources of family income: Number of families receiving income from specified sources, and average amount of such income, by income, 1935-96
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Income class} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Number of families \\
    (2)
    \end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Number of families receiving-} \\
    \hline & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Money income from-} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Nonmoney income from-} \\
    \hline & & Earnings \({ }^{1}\)
    (3) & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Other sources (positive or negative) \({ }^{2}\) \\
    (4)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Any source \({ }^{3}\) \\
    (5)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Owned home (positive or negative) \({ }^{4}\) \\
    (6)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Rent as pay \\
    (7)
    \end{tabular} \\
    \hline All families...- & 2, 336 & 2, 322 & 305 & 445 & 417 & 28 \\
    \hline Relief families
    Nonrelief families....... & 257
    2,079 & 255
    2.067 & 31
    274 & 14
    431 & 12
    405 & 2
    26 \\
    \hline \$0-\$249 & 15 & 13 & 3 & 2 & 2 & \\
    \hline \$250-\$499. & 120 & 117 & 16 & 7 & 7 & \\
    \hline \$500-\$749 & 357 & 354 & 35 & 19 & 17 & 2 \\
    \hline \$750-\$999 & 323 & 321 & 34 & 31 & 29 & 2 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249 & 339 & 338 & 31 & 37 & 34 & 3 \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499 & 231 & 231 & 29 & 41 & 40 & 1 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749. & 167 & 167 & 14 & 43 & 40 & 3 \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & 144 & 143 & 28 & 42 & 41 & 1 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249. & 99 & 99 & 16 & 37 & 35 & 2 \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499. & 56 & 56 & 8 & 19 & 18 & 1 \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 & 68 & 68 & 8 & 33 & 30 & 3 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,999 & 81 & 81 & 25 & 50 & 47 & 3 \\
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,999.. & 32 & 32 & 10 & 25 & 21 & 4 \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over - & 47 & 47 & 17 & 45 & 44 & 1 \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    \footnotetext{
    1 See glossary for definition of "earnings."
    2 Includes 291 families, 260 of which were nonrelief, which had money income other than earnings and no business losses met from family funds; 10 families, all of which were nonrelief, which had business losses met from family funds and no money income othar than earnings; and 4 families, all of which were nonrelief, which had both money income and business losses met from family funds. There were, therefore, 295 families, 264 of which were nonrelief, which had money income other than earnings, whe ther or not they had business losses met from family funds; and there were 14 families, all of which were nonrelief, which had business losses met from family funds, whether or not they had money income other than earnings. These latter 14 families were found in the following income classes: \(\$ 500-\$ 749,1 ; \$ 750-\$ 999,2 ; \$ 1,000-\$ 1,249,1 ; \$ 1,250-\) \(\$ 1,499,1 ; \$ 1,750-\$ 1,999,2 ; \$ 2,000-\$ 2,249,1 ; \$ 2,250-\$ 2,499,2 ; \$ 3,000-\$ 3,999,3 ; \$ 5,000\) and over, 1. See glossary for definitions of "money income other than earnings"' and "business losses."
    8 The total of the numbers of families in columns (6) and (7), since no family reported nonmoney income from both sources.
    4 Includes families with losses from owned homes, as well as families whose estimated rental value of owned homes for the period of ownership and occupancy exceeded estimated expenses allocable to that period. There were 17 families, all of which were nonrelief, with losses from owned homes (i. e., families whose estimated rental value was less than estimated expenses). The latter \(\mathbf{1 7}\) families were found in the following income classes: \(\$ 500-\$ 749,1 ; \$ 750-\$ 999,2 ; \$ 1,000-\$ 1,249,2 ; \$ 1,250-\$ 1,499,4 ; \$ 1,500-\$ 1,749,1 ; \$ 1,750-\$ 1,199,3 ;\) \(\$ 2,000-\$ 2,249,2 ; \$ 3,000-\$ 3,999,1 ; \$ 5,000\) and over, 1 . Excludes 3 families whose estimated rental value of owned homes was equal to estimated expenses.
    }

    GASTONIA, N. C.
    Table 2.-Sources of family income: Number of families receiving income from specified sources, and average amount of such income, by income, 1935-36 \({ }^{1}\) Continued
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Income class

    (1)} & \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{Average family income} \\
    \hline & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Total \\
    (2)
    \end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{Money income from-} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Nonmoney income from-} \\
    \hline & & \begin{tabular}{l}
    \[
    \begin{gathered}
    \text { All } \\
    \text { sources }
    \end{gathered}
    \] \\
    (3)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Earnings \({ }^{2}\) \\
    (4)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Other sources (positive or negative) \({ }^{3}\) \\
    (5)
    \end{tabular} & All sources
    (6) & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Owned home (positive or negative) 4 \\
    (7)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Rent as pay \\
    (8)
    \end{tabular} \\
    \hline All families & \({ }^{5}\) \$1,380 & \$1,341 & \$1,311 & \$30 & \$39 & \$36 & \$3 \\
    \hline Relief families Nonrelief families. & \[
    \begin{array}{r}
    545 \\
    { }^{5} 1,483
    \end{array}
    \] & \[
    \begin{array}{r}
    541 \\
    \mathbf{1}, 440
    \end{array}
    \] & 528
    1,407 & 13
    33 & 4
    43 & 4
    40 & (**) 3 \\
    \hline \$0-\$249. & 151 & 133 & 121 & 12 & 18 & 18 & \\
    \hline \$250-\$499 & 410 & 403 & 388 & 15 & 7 & 7 & \\
    \hline \$500-\$749 & 632 & 627 & 615 & 12 & 5 & 5 & (**) \\
    \hline \$750-\$999 & 872 & 862 & 848 & 14 & 10 & 10 & (**) \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249 & 1, 133 & 1,117 & 1,103 & 14 & 16 & 14 & 2 \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499 & 1,350 & 1,329 & 1,311 & 18 & 21 & 20 & 1 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749 & 1, 614 & 1,577 & 1,562 & 15 & 37 & 34 & 3 \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999. & 1,867 & 1, 811 & 1,770 & 41 & 56 & 54 & 2 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249 & 2, 104 & 2,034 & 2,005 & 29 & 70 & 68 & 2 \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499 & 2,380 & 2,290 & 2,247 & 43 & 90 & 80 & 10 \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 & 2, 710 & 2, 588 & 2, 569 & 19 & 122 & 111 & 11 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,999 & 3,369 & 3, 190 & 3,123 & 67 & 179 & 159 & 20 \\
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,999 & 4,475 & 4, 184 & 4, 081 & 103 & 291 & 224 & 67 \\
    \hline \$5,000, and over & 7,400 & 7,045 & 6,542 & 503 & 355 & 348 & 7 \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    \footnotetext{
    1 The averages in each column are based on all families, column (2) of table 2, whether or not they received income from the specified source. Averages in columns (2), (3), (5), (6), and (7) are net figures, after deduction for all families of business losses met from family funds or expenses for owned homes.

    2 See glossary for definition of "earnings."
    \({ }^{3}\) Includes money income other than earnings, after deduction of business losses met from family funds. See glossary for definitions of "money income other then earnings" and "business losses."
    4 Represents the estimated rental value of owned homes for the period of ownership and occupancy, less estimated expenses allocable to that period.
    \({ }^{\mathbf{5}}\) Median income for all families was \(\$ 1,087\); for nonrelief families, \(\$ 1,166\).
    **\$0.50 or less.
    }

    GASTONIA, N. C.
    Table 2A.-Sources of family income: Number of families receiving income from specified sources, and average amount of such income, by occupation and income, 1935-86
    [White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]
    

    \footnotetext{
    \({ }^{1}\) See glossary for definition of "earnings."
    2 Includes families having money income other than earnings, families having business losses met from family funds, and families having both such income and such losses. See glossary for definitions of "money income other than earnings" and "business losses."
    \({ }^{3}\) The total of the numbers of families in columns (6) and (7), since no family reported nonmoney income from both sources.
    \({ }_{4}\) Includes families with losses from owned homes, as well as families whose estimated rental value of owned homes for the period of ownership and occupancy exceeded estimated expenses allocable to that period.
    }

    \section*{GASTONIA, N. C.}

    Table 2A.-Sources of family income: Number of families receiving income from specified sources, and average amount of such income, by occupation and income, 1935--36 \({ }^{1}\) Continued
    [White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]
    

    \footnotetext{
    \({ }^{1}\) The averages in each column are based on ail families, column (2) of table 2 A , whether or not they received income from the specified source. Averages in columns (2), (3), (5), (6), and (7) are net figures, after deduction for all families of business losses met from family funds or expenses for owned homes.
    \({ }^{2}\) See glossary for definition of "earnings."
    \({ }^{3}\) Includes money income other than earnings, after deduction of business losses met from family funds. See glossary for definitions of "money income other than earnings" and "business losses."
    \({ }_{4}\) Represents the estimated rental value of owned home for the period of ownership and occupancy, less estimated expenses allocable to that period.
    \({ }_{5}\) Median incomes were as follows: Wage-earner families, \(\$ 1,003\); clerical families, \(\$ 1,604\); business and professional families, \(\$ 2,054\).
    *A verages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
    ** \(\$ 0.50\) or less.
    }
    \[
    78078^{\circ}-30-27
    \]

    GASTONIA, N. C.
    Table 3.-Money earnings: Number of families receiving net money earnings and average net money earnings received from each source, by income, 1935-36
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    

    \footnotetext{
    1 The averages in each column are based on all families, column (2), whether or not they received money earnings from the specified source.
    \({ }^{2}\) Includes only families which had net money earnings from roomers and boarders (i. e., whose gross income from roomers and boarders exceeded estimated expenses). In addition, there were a few families which had roomers and boarders but which received from them no net money earnings.
    \({ }^{3}\) Includes net money earnings from roomers and boarders and from other work not attributable to individuals (casual work in home such as laundry and sewing). Average net money earnings of all families from other work not attributable to individuals were \(\$ 0.50\) or less.
    }

    GASTONIA, N. C.
    Table 3A.-Money earnings: Number of families receiving net money earnings and average net money earnings received from each source, by occupation and income, 1935-36
    [White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Income class and occupational group} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Number of families} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Number of families receiving net money earnings from-} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Average net money earnings from:-} \\
    \hline & & Any source & Individual earners & Roomers and boarders \({ }^{2}\) & Other work not attributable to individuals & \[
    \underset{\text { sources }}{\text { All }}
    \] & Individual earners & Roomers and boarders and other work \({ }^{3}\) \\
    \hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) \\
    \hline Wage earner & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline All nonrelief fam lies & 1,361 & 1, 361 & 1,361 & 199 & 9 & \$1,071 & \$1,056 & \$15 \\
    \hline \$0-\$499. & 113 & 113 & 113 & 10 & & 379 & 375 & 4 \\
    \hline \$500-\$999. & 565 & 565 & 585 & 82 & 4 & 732 & 720 & 12 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,499. & 426 & 426 & 426 & 59 & 4 & 1,201 & 1,185 & 16 \\
    \hline \$1,500-81,999 & 173 & 173 & 173 & 28 & 1 & 1, 674 & 1, 652 & 22 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,999 & 73 & 73 & 73 & 18 & & 2, 234 & 2,188 & 46 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$4,999 & 11 & 11 & 11 & 2 & & 3,312 & 3, 284 & 18 \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over. & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline Clerical & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline All nonrelief families...-.-...- & 310 & 310 & 310 & 42 & 3 & 1,681 & 1,664 & 17 \\
    \hline \$0-\$499 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & & (*) & (*) & (*) \\
    \hline \$500-\$999 & 60 & 60 & 60 & 8 & & 779 & 764 & 15 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,499 & 78 & 78 & 78 & 11 & 1 & 1,187 & 1,175 & 12 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,999 & 72 & 72 & 72 & 7 & 2 & 1,659 & 1, 650 & 9 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,999..................- & 67 & 67 & 67 & 11 & & 2, 222 & 2, 189 & 33 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$4,999....................- & 25 & 25 & 25 & 4 & & 3,249 & 3,211 & 38 \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over ...............-- & 6 & 6 & 6 & & & 5,217 & 5,217 & \\
    \hline Business and professional & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline All nonrelief families.....-.--- & 393 & 392 & 390 & 61 & 4 & 2, 405 & 2, 360 & 45 \\
    \hline \$0-8499 & 15 & 14 & 13 & 2 & & 290 & 251 & 39 \\
    \hline \$500-\$999 & 47 & 47 & 46 & 7 & & 676 & 639 & 37 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,499....................... & 65 & 65 & 65 & 13 & 1 & 1,113 & 1,072 & 41 \\
    \hline  & 65 & 65 & 65 & 6 & 2 & 1,643 & 1, 598 & 45 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,999 .....................-. & 83 & 83 & 83 & 15 & & 2, 251 & 2, 191 & 60 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$4,999 .............-.-. & 77 & 77 & 77 & 12 & 1 & 3,453 & 3,411 & 42 \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over-...---------- & 41 & 41 & 41 & 6 & & 6,739 & 6. 694 & 45 \\
    \hline Other & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline All nonrelief families.----.--- & 15 & 4 & 4 & & & 137 & 137 & -- \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    1 The averages in each column are based on all families, column (2), whether or not they received money earnings from the specified source.

    2 Includes only families which had net money earnings from roomers and boarders (i. e., whose gross income from roomers and boarders exceeded estimated expenses). In addition, there were some families Which had roomers and boarders but which had no net money earnings from them.
    3 Includes net money earnings from roomers and boarders and from other work not attributable to individuals (casual work in home such as laundry and sewing). Average net money earnings of all nonrelief families from other work not attributable to individuals were \(\$ 0.50\) or less for all occupations.
    *A verages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    \section*{GASTONLA, N. C.}

    Table 4-4A.-Principal earners: Number of principal earners, classified as husbands, wives, and others, with weeks of employment and average yearly earnings of principal earners, by occupation and income, 1935-36
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Income class and occupational group} & \multirow{3}{*}{\[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \text { Num- } \\
    & \text { ber of } \\
    & \text { fami- } \\
    & \text { lies }
    \end{aligned}
    \]} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Number of principal earners} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Average weeks of
    employment of principal earners \({ }^{1}\)} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Average earnings of principal earners \({ }^{2}\)} \\
    \hline & & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    All \({ }^{3}\) \\
    (3)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Husbands \\
    (4)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Wives \\
    (5)
    \end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Others} & & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    All \\
    (9)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Husbands \\
    (10)
    \end{tabular}} \\
    \hline & & & & & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Male \\
    (6)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Female \\
    (7)
    \end{tabular} & & & \\
    \hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    All occupations \\
    All families. \(\qquad\)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{2,336} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{2,319} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{2, 049} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{91} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{108} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{71} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{49} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\$1,051} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\$1,104} \\
    \hline & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline Relief families Nonrelief families & \[
    \begin{array}{r}
    257 \\
    2,079
    \end{array}
    \] & \[
    \begin{array}{r}
    254 \\
    2,065
    \end{array}
    \] & \[
    \begin{array}{r}
    198 \\
    1,851
    \end{array}
    \] & \({ }^{20} 7\) & \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & 21 \\
    & 87
    \end{aligned}
    \] & 15
    56 & \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & 44 \\
    & 49
    \end{aligned}
    \] & \[
    \begin{array}{r}
    411 \\
    1,129
    \end{array}
    \] & \[
    \begin{array}{r}
    434 \\
    1,176
    \end{array}
    \] \\
    \hline \$0-\$240 & \multirow[t]{14}{*}{\[
    \begin{array}{r}
    155 \\
    120 \\
    357 \\
    323 \\
    339 \\
    231 \\
    167 \\
    144 \\
    99 \\
    56 \\
    68 \\
    81 \\
    32 \\
    47
    \end{array}
    \]} & \multirow[t]{14}{*}{\[
    \begin{array}{r}
    13 \\
    116 \\
    353 \\
    321 \\
    338 \\
    231 \\
    167 \\
    143 \\
    99 \\
    56 \\
    68 \\
    81 \\
    32 \\
    47
    \end{array}
    \]} & \multirow[t]{14}{*}{\[
    \begin{array}{r}
    99 \\
    104 \\
    324 \\
    297 \\
    305 \\
    199 \\
    145 \\
    120 \\
    88 \\
    50 \\
    63 \\
    73 \\
    31 \\
    43
    \end{array}
    \]} & \multirow[t]{14}{*}{\begin{tabular}{r}
    1 \\
    5 \\
    12 \\
    9 \\
    15 \\
    16 \\
    4 \\
    2 \\
    3 \\
    2 \\
    1 \\
    \(\cdots\) \\
    \(\cdots\) \\
    \hline-1
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{14}{*}{\[
    \begin{array}{r}
    3 \\
    2 \\
    12 \\
    8 \\
    9 \\
    9 \\
    10 \\
    13 \\
    7 \\
    4 \\
    2 \\
    4 \\
    1 \\
    1 \\
    3
    \end{array}
    \]} & \multirow[t]{14}{*}{A} & \multirow[t]{15}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    24 \\
    38 \\
    47 \\
    49 \\
    49 \\
    50 \\
    51 \\
    51 \\
    51 \\
    52 \\
    52 \\
    51 \\
    52 \\
    52 \\
    52 \\
    \\
    \hline 48
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{15}{*}{134
    357
    568
    715
    820
    920
    960
    1,172
    1,315
    1,533
    1,627
    2,066
    2,516
    3,346
    6,008

    780} & \multirow[t]{15}{*}{} \\
    \hline \$250-\$499 & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$500-\$749 & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$750-\$999 & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249 & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499 & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749 & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249. & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499 & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999. & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$8,000- \(83,999\). & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \begin{tabular}{l}
    \[
    \$ 4,000-\$ 4,999
    \] \\
    \(\$ 5,000\) and over
    \end{tabular} & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline Wage earner & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline All nonrelief families & 1,361 & 1,361 & & 60 & 65 & 28 & & & \\
    \hline \$0-\$499 & 113 & 113 & 97 & 6 & 5 & 5 & 35 & 339 & 356 \\
    \hline \$500-\$999 & 56.5 & 565 & 520 & 20 & 14 & 11 & 48 & & \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,499 & 426 & 426 & 375 & 27 & 17 & 7 & 51 & 836 & 866 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,999 & 173 & 173 & 148 & 4 & 16 & 5 & 51 & 1,122 & 1,188 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,999. & 73 & 73 & \(\stackrel{60}{8}\) & 3 & 10 & & 52 & 1,326 & 1,432
    2,070 \\
    \hline \begin{tabular}{l}
    \(\$ 3,000-\$ 4,999\) \\
    \(\$ 5,000\) and over.
    \end{tabular} & 11 & 11 & & & 3 & & 52 & 1,851 & 2,070 \\
    \hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Clerical \\
    All nonrelief families.
    \end{tabular}} & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline & 310 & 310 & 272 & 4 & 15 & 19 & 51 & 1,377 & 1,443 \\
    \hline \$0-\$499 & \multirow[t]{6}{*}{\[
    \begin{array}{r}
    \mathbf{2} \\
    60 \\
    78 \\
    72 \\
    67 \\
    25 \\
    6
    \end{array}
    \]} & \multirow[t]{6}{*}{\[
    \begin{array}{r}
    2 \\
    60 \\
    78 \\
    72 \\
    72 \\
    67 \\
    25 \\
    6
    \end{array}
    \]} & \multirow[t]{6}{*}{\[
    \begin{array}{r}
    2 \\
    53 \\
    70 \\
    60 \\
    63 \\
    20 \\
    4
    \end{array}
    \]} & \multirow[t]{6}{*}{} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{------} & \multirow[t]{6}{*}{} & \multirow[t]{7}{*}{\[
    \begin{gathered}
    \left({ }^{*}\right) \\
    \\
    51 \\
    51 \\
    52 \\
    52 \\
    52 \\
    52
    \end{gathered}
    \]} & \multirow[t]{6}{*}{\[
    \begin{gathered}
    \left({ }^{*}\right) \\
    737 \\
    1,040 \\
    1,374 \\
    1,366 \\
    2,301 \\
    3,272
    \end{gathered}
    \]} & \multirow[t]{6}{*}{\[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \left({ }^{(*)}\right) \\
    & 757 \\
    & 1,087 \\
    & 1,478 \\
    & 1,915 \\
    & 2,542 \\
    & 3,850
    \end{aligned}
    \]} \\
    \hline \$500-\$899 -- & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,499 & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,999 & & & & & 5 & & & & \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,999.- & & & & & 1 & & & & \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over... & & & & & 2 & & & & \\
    \hline Business and professional & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline All nonrelief families. & 393 & 390 & 367 & 7 & 7 & 9 & 51 & 2,158 & 2,213 \\
    \hline \$0-\$499 & \multirow[t]{7}{*}{15
    \(\mathbf{1 5}\)
    47
    65
    65
    83
    77
    41} & \multirow[t]{7}{*}{13
    46
    46
    65
    83
    77
    41} & \multirow[t]{7}{*}{13
    45
    59
    57
    78
    78
    \(\mathbf{3 9}\)} & \multirow[t]{7}{*}{\[
    \begin{array}{r}
    1 \\
    2 \\
    2 \\
    1 \\
    1
    \end{array}
    \]} & \multirow[t]{7}{*}{} & \multirow[t]{7}{*}{\[
    \begin{aligned}
    & 3 \\
    & 4 \\
    & 2
    \end{aligned}
    \]} & \multirow[t]{7}{*}{46
    49
    50
    51
    51
    52
    52} & 289 & 289 \\
    \hline \$500-\$999 & & & & & & & & 616 & 619 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,499. & & & & & & & & 947 & 974 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,999... & & & & & & & & 1,397 & 1,470 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,999. & & & & & & & & 1,946 & 1,990 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$4,999 & & & & & & & & 3, 025 & 3, 047 \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over- & & & & & & & & 6,409 & 6,476 \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    \footnotetext{
    \({ }^{1}\) Averages in this column are based on the number of principal earners reporting weeks of employment.
    \({ }^{2}\) A verages in this section of the table are based on the corresponding counts of principal earners in columns (3) and (4).
    \({ }_{3}\) The total number of principal earners given in column (3) is equivalent to the total number of families having individual earners, since a family can have only one principal earner. The difference between the totals in columns (2) and (3) is explained by the fact that column (2), number of families, includes cases in which none of the family income was attributable to individual earners.
    "Includes 15 families classiffed in the occupational group "Other." These families had 4 principal earners.
    *A verages not computed for fower than 3 cases.
    }

    GASTONIA, N. C.
    Table 5.-Number of earners in family: Number of families with specified number of individual earners, family relationship of sole earners, and average number of supplementary earners per family, by income, 1995-36
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{5}{*}{Income class} & \multirow{4}{*}{Number of families} & \multicolumn{8}{|c|}{Number of families with individual earners-} & \multirow[t]{5}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Families with more than one earner as percentage of families with any individual earner \({ }^{1}\) \\
    (11)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{5}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Average number of supplementary earners per family \({ }^{2}\) \\
    (12)
    \end{tabular}} \\
    \hline & & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{One only} & \multirow[b]{4}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Two \\
    (8)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow{3}{*}{Three} & \multirow{3}{*}{\[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \text { Four } \\
    & \text { or } \\
    & \text { more }
    \end{aligned}
    \]} & & \\
    \hline & & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Any family member (3)} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Husband \\
    (4)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Wife \\
    (5)
    \end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Other} & & & & & \\
    \hline & & & & & Male & \(\mathrm{Fe}-\) male & & & & & \\
    \hline & (2) & & & & (6) & (7) & & (9) & (10) & & \\
    \hline Allfamilies & 2,336 & 1,234 & 1,188 & 20 & 11 & 15 & 819 & 202 & 64 & 47 & 0.62 \\
    \hline Relief families. & 257 & 138 & 122 & 9 & 5 & 2 & 84 & 25 & 7 & 46 & . 62 \\
    \hline Nonrelief families.- & 2,079 & 1,096 & 1,066 & 11 & 6 & 13 & 735 & 177 & 57 & 47 & . 62 \\
    \hline \$0-\$249 .-. .-...- & 15 & 11 & 9 & 1 & 1 & & 2 & & & ( \(\dagger\) ) & . 15 \\
    \hline \$250-\$499. & 120 & 88 & 84 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 24 & 4 & & 24 & . 28 \\
    \hline \$500-\$749. & 357 & 262 & 253 & 4 & 3 & 2 & 81 & 10 & & 26 & . 29 \\
    \hline \$750-\$999. & 323 & 181 & 176 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 124 & 14 & 2 & 44 & . 50 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249 \(\ldots\).-- & 339 & 133 & 131 & 1 & --.--- & 1 & 183 & 17 & 5 & 61 & . 69 \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499 \(\ldots\) & 231 & 93 & 91 & & & 2 & 117 & 17 & 4 & 60 & . 71 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749 \(\ldots\) & 167 & 64 & 63 & & & 1 & 69 & 28 & 6 & 62 & . 86 \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & 144 & 62 & 60 & & & 2 & 44 & 27 & 10 & 57 & . 90 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249 .... & 99 & 51 & 50 & 1. & & & 21 & 19 & 8 & 48 & . 86 \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499 \(\ldots .\). & 56 & 25 & 24 & 1 & & & 16 & 7 & 8 & 55 & . 96 \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999....- & 68 & 33 & 33 & & & & 19 & 14 & 2 & 52 & . 78 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,999 ....- & 81 & 42 & 42 & & & & 21 & 10 & 8 & 48 & . 88 \\
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,999 & 32 & 16 & 16 & & & & 8 & 5 & 3 & 50 & . 88 \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over -- & 47 & 35 & 34 & 1 & & & 6 & 5 & 1 & 26 & . 40 \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    1 This percentage was computed by dividing the sum of columns (8), (9), (10) by column (4), of table 3 on p. \(408^{\circ}\)
    \({ }_{2}\) Based on the number of families with individual earners, column (4) of table 3 on p. 408.
    \(\dagger\) Percentages not computed for fewer than 30 cases.

    \section*{GASTONIA, N. C.}

    Table 6.-Sole and supplementary earners: Number of families with individual earners; number of supplementary earners classified as husbands, wives, and others; average earnings of supplementary earners; and average earnings of family from supplementary earners; by income, 1935-36
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{4}{*}{Income class

    (1)} & \multirow{3}{*}{\[
    \begin{array}{|c|}
    \text { Num- } \\
    \text { ber of } \\
    \text { fami- } \\
    \text { lies }
    \end{array}
    \]} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Number of families with individual earners} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Number of supplementary earners} & \multirow[b]{4}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Average earnings of all supplementary earners \({ }^{1}\) \\
    (12)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{4}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    A verage earnings per family from supplementary earners \({ }^{2}\) \\
    (13)
    \end{tabular}} \\
    \hline & & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Any} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{One only} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{More than one \({ }^{3}\)} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{All} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Husbands} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Wives} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Others \({ }^{4}\)} & & \\
    \hline & & & Any
    family
    mem-
    ber & band & & & & & Male & \(\underset{\text { male }}{\text { Fe- }}\) & & \\
    \hline & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) & & \\
    \hline All families. & 2, 336 & 2,319 & 1,234 & 1,188 & 1,085 & 1,431 & 184 & 610 & 378 & 259 & \$403 & \$247 \\
    \hline Relief families .-.-- & 257 & 254 & 138 & 122 & 116 & 159 & 32 & 50 & 49 & 28 & 185 & 114 \\
    \hline Nonrelief families. & 2, 079 & 2,065 & 1,096 & 1,066 & 969 & 1,272 & 152 & 560 & 329 & 231 & 430 & 263 \\
    \hline \$0-\$249.. & 15 & 13 & 11 & 9 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & & & (*) & 4 \\
    \hline \$250-\$499. & 120 & 116 & 88 & 84 & 28 & 32 & 7 & 21 & 3 & 1 & 126 & 34 \\
    \hline \$500-\$749. & 357 & 353 & 262 & 253 & 91 & 101 & 14 & 61 & 20 & 6 & 160 & 45 \\
    \hline \$750-\$999 & 323 & 321 & 181 & 176 & 140 & 159 & 17 & 98 & 21 & 23 & 238 & 117 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249 & 339 & 338 & 133 & 131 & 205 & 232 & 23 & 138 & 44 & 27 & 400 & 274 \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499. & 231 & 231 & 93 & 91 & 138 & 164 & 27 & 84 & 36 & 17 & 456 & 324 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749. & 167 & 167 & 64 & 63 & 103 & 144 & 19 & 58 & 38 & 29 & 429 & 370 \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & 144 & 143 & 62 & 60 & 81 & 128 & 17 & 35 & 43 & 33 & 489 & 435 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249 & 99 & 99 & 51 & 50 & 48 & 85 & 8 & 21 & 30 & 26 & 529 & 454 \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499 & 56 & 56 & 25 & 24 & 31 & 54 & 4 & 11 & 21 & 18 & 552 & 532 \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 & 68 & 68 & 33 & 33 & 35 & 53 & 3 & 14 & 16 & 20 & 571 & 445 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,999 & 81 & 81 & 42 & 42 & 39 & 71 & 8 & 15 & 28 & 20 & 648 & 568 \\
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,999. & 32 & 32 & 16 & 16 & 16 & 28 & 1 & 3 & 17 & 7 & 795 & 696 \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over & 47 & 47 & 35 & 34 & 12 & 19 & 3 & & 12 & 4 & 1,234 & 499 \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    \footnotetext{
    Averages in this column are based on the corresponding counts of supplementary earners in column (7) \({ }^{2}\) Averages in this column are based on the number of families in each class, column (2).
    \({ }^{3}\) Families that have supplementary earners.
    4 Includes 6 males and no fomales under 16 years of age.
    *Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
    }

    GASTONIA, N. C.
    Table 6A.-Sole and supplementary earners: Number of families with individual earners; number of supplementary earners classified as husbands, wives, and others; average earnings of supplementary earners; and average earnings of family from supplementary earners; by occupation and income, 1935-36
    [White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{4}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Income class and occupational group \\
    (1)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow{3}{*}{Number of families} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Number of families with individual earners} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Number of supplementary earners} & \multirow[b]{4}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Average earnings of all supplementary earners \({ }^{1}\) \\
    (11)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Average earnings per family from supplementary earners \({ }^{2}\) \\
    (12)
    \end{tabular}} \\
    \hline & & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Any
    (3)} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    One only \\
    (4)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    More than one \({ }^{3}\) \\
    (5)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    All \\
    (6)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Husbands \\
    (7)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Wives \\
    (8)
    \end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Others \({ }^{4}\)} & & \\
    \hline & & & & & & & & Male & Female & & \\
    \hline & (2) & & & & & & & (9) & (10) & & \\
    \hline Wage earner & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline All nonrelief families.-. & 1,361 & 1,361 & 642 & 719 & 931 & 110 & 448 & 220 & 153 & \$401 & \$274 \\
    \hline \$0-\$499. & 113 & 113 & 84 & 29 & 32 & 8 & 21 & 2 & 1 & 123 & 35 \\
    \hline \$500-\$999 & 565 & 565 & 360 & 205 & 229 & 27 & 145 & 35 & 22 & 220 & 89 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,499.-.........- & 426 & 426 & 133 & 293 & 340 & 42 & 194 & 68 & 36 & 438 & 350 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,999 & 173 & 173 & 47 & 126 & 191 & 21 & 66 & 60 & 44 & 479 & 529 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,999........... & 73 & 73 & 17 & 56 & 113 & 9 & 19 & 41 & 44 & 556 & 861 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$4,999_...-.----- & 11 & 11 & 1 & 10 & 26 & 3 & 3 & 14 & 6 & 611 & 1,444 \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over .-.----- & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline Clerical & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline All nonrelief families.-- & 310 & 310 & 182 & 128 & 180 & 28 & 62 & 49 & 41 & 491 & 285 \\
    \hline \$0-\$499--.-..----.....- & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 2 & & 1 & 1 & & (*) & (*) \\
    \hline \$500-\$999 -----........- & 60 & 60 & 47 & 13 & 16 & 3 & 8 & & 5 & 103 & 28 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,499 & 78 & 78 & 49 & 29 & 31 & 5 & 15 & 7 & 4 & 340 & 135 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,999 & 72 & 72 & 39 & 33 & 50 & 10 & 16 & 12 & 12 & 397 & 276 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,999 & 67 & 67 & 37 & 30 & 41 & 3 & 14 & 13 & 11 & 532 & 326 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$4,999 & 25 & 25 & 7 & 18 & 32 & 5 & 8 & 11 & 8 & 710 & 908 \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over-------- & 6 & 6 & 2 & 4 & 8 & 2 & & 5 & 1 & 1,460 & 1,947 \\
    \hline Business and professional & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline All nonrelief families.-- & 393 & 390 & 269 & 121 & 160 & 14 & 50 & 59 & 37 & 529 & 215 \\
    \hline \$0-\$499-- & 15 & 13 & 13 & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$500-\$999 & 47 & 46 & 34 & 12 & 14 & 1 & 6 & 5 & 2 & 124 & 37 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,499 & 65 & 65 & 44 & 21 & 25 & 3 & 13 & 5 & 4 & 324 & 125 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,999 & 65 & 65 & 40 & 25 & 31 & 5 & 11 & 9 & 6 & 421 & 201 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,999 ........... & 83 & 83 & 55 & 28 & 38 & 3 & 13 & 13 & 9 & 535 & 245 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$4,999 & 77 & 77 & 50 & 27 & 41 & 1 & 7 & 20 & 13 & 725 & 386 \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over..--...... & 41 & 41 & 33 & 8 & 11 & 1 & & 7 & 3 & 1, 069 & 287 \\
    \hline Other & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline All nonrelief families... & 15 & 4 & 3 & 1 & 1 & & & 1 & & (*) & 23 \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}
    \({ }^{1}\) Averages in this column are based on the corresponding counts of supplementary earners in column (6).
    \({ }^{2}\) Averages in this column are based on the number of families in each class, column (2).
    \({ }^{3}\) Families that have supplementary earners.
    - Includes persons under 16 years of age as follows: Wage-earner families, 3 males and no females; clerical families, 3 males and no females.
    *Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    Gastonia, N. C.
    Table 7.-Earnings of supplementary earners: Number of supplementary earners with earnings of specified amount, by family income 1935-36
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Income class} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Number of families with any sup-plementary earners} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Average earnings of supplementary earners} & \multicolumn{15}{|c|}{Number of supplementary earners with earnings of-} \\
    \hline & & & \[
    \stackrel{\text { Any }}{\text { amount }}
    \] & \(\underset{\$ 50}{\text { Under }}\) & \$50-\$99 & \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \$ 100- \\
    & \$ 199
    \end{aligned}
    \] & \[
    \stackrel{\$ 200-}{\$ 299}
    \] & \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \$ 300- \\
    & \$ 399
    \end{aligned}
    \] & \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \$ 400- \\
    & \$ 499
    \end{aligned}
    \] & \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \$ 500- \\
    & \$ 599
    \end{aligned}
    \] & \$600- & \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \$ 700- \\
    & \$ 799
    \end{aligned}
    \] & \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \$ 800- \\
    & \$ 899
    \end{aligned}
    \] & \[
    \begin{gathered}
    \$ 900 \\
    \$ 999
    \end{gathered}
    \] & \[
    \$ 1,000-
    \] & \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \$ 1,500- \\
    & \$ 1,999
    \end{aligned}
    \] & \(\$ 2,000\) and over \\
    \hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) & (12) & (13) & (14) & (15) & (16) & (17) & (18) \\
    \hline All families. & 1,085 & \$403 & 1,431 & 97 & 108 & 192 & 141 & 190 & 166 & 131 & 277 & 65 & 18 & 7 & 32 & 3 & 4 \\
    \hline Relief families & 116 & 185 & 159 & 23 & 35 & 43 & 22 & 17 & 12 & 4 & 3 & & & & & & \\
    \hline Nonrelief families. & 969 & 430 & 1,272 & 74 & 73 & 149 & 119 & 173 & 154 & 127 & 274 & 65 & 18 & 7 & 32 & 3 & 4 \\
    \hline \$0-\$249 & 2 & \({ }^{*}{ }^{\text {( }} 126\) & 2 & 2 & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$500-\$749 & 91 & 160 & 101 & 19 & 5
    14 & 14
    31 & -6 & 14 & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$750-\$999 & 140 & 238 & 159 & 13 & 19 & 31 & 26 & 48 & 22 & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249 & 205 & 400 & 232 & 9 & 10 & 20 & 22 & 33 & 60 & 40 & 38 & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499 & 138 & 456 & 164 & 5 & 2 & 13 & 9 & 31 & 16 & 29 & 59 & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749. & 103 & 429 & 144 & 6 & 8 & 12 & 14 & 19 & 22 & 16 & 36 & 11 & & & & & \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & 81 & 489 & 128 & 4 & 5 & 9 & 11 & 10 & 12 & 13 & 47 & 13 & 3 & 1 & & & \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249 & 48 & 529 & 85 & 3 & 1 & - 7 & 3 & 6 & 10 & 5 & 37 & 8 & 2 & 1 & 2 & & \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499 & 31 & 552 & 54 & 1 & 3 & 3 & 1 & 3 & 6 & 6 & 20 & 6 & 2 & & 3 & & \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 & 35 & 571 & 53 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 7 & 15 & 12 & 5 & & 1 & & \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,999 & 39 & 648 & 71 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 1 & 4 & 4 & 9 & 13 & 10 & 6 & 3 & 10 & 1 & - \\
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,999.. & 16 & 795 & 28 & & & 3 & & 2 & & 2 & \({ }_{6}\) & 4 & & 2 & 7 & 2 & \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over-- & 12 & 1,234 & 19 & & & 1 & 1 & & & & 3 & 1 & & & 9 & & 4 \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    \footnotetext{
    *Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
    }

    GASTONIA, N. C.
    Table 8.-Husbands as earners: Number and average yearly earnings of husbands classified as principal or supplementary earners, by age and family income, 1935-86
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    
    \({ }_{2}\) Excludes 2 principal earners who did not report age. corresponding total numbers of husbands, including those who did not report age.
    * A verages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    GASTONIA, N. C.
    Table 9.-Wifes as earners: Number and average yearly earnings of wives classified as principal or supplementary earners, by age and family income, 1935-36
    White families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined
    
    \({ }^{1}\) Averages for each age group are based on the corresponding numbers of wives in the upper section of the table; the 2 averages for all age groups combined are based on the corresponding total numbers of wives.
    *Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    Table 10.-Money income other than earnings: Number of families receiving money income other than earnings, and average amount received, by source and total income, 1935-36 1
    [White families including husband and wife, both native bora: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Income class} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Number of families
    (2)} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Number of families receiving money incomes other than earnings from-} & \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Average money income, other than earnings, received from \({ }^{2}\)} \\
    \hline & & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Any source \\
    (3)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Rent from property (net) \\
    (4)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Interest and dividends \\
    (5)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Pensions, annuities, benefits \\
    (6)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Gifts for current use \\
    (7)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    All sources \\
    (8)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Rent from property (net) \\
    (9)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Interest and dividends \\
    (10)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Pensions, annuities, benefits \\
    (11)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Gifts for current use \\
    (12)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Miscellaneous sources \({ }^{3}\) \\
    (13)
    \end{tabular} \\
    \hline All families & 2,336 & 295 & 97 & 32 & 45 & 104 & \$32 & \$11 & \$8 & \$8 & \$2 & \$3 \\
    \hline Relief families
    Nonrelief
    families & 2, 2579 & 31
    264 & 4 & 32 & \(\stackrel{2}{43}\) & 11 & 13 & 12 & 9 & 1 & 1 & 10
    2 \\
    \hline \$0-\$249 & 15 & 3 & 2 & & & 1 & 12 & 5 & & & 7 & \\
    \hline \$250-\$499 & 120 & 16 & 2 & & 3 & 7 & 15 & 3 & & 4 & 4 & 4 \\
    \hline \$500-\$749 & 357 & 34 & 6 & 1 & 6 & 17 & 12 & 3 & & 7 & 1 & 1 \\
    \hline \$750-\$999 & 323 & 34 & 5 & 1 & 7 & 20 & 16 & 2 & (**) & 9 & 3 & 2 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249 & 339 & 30 & 6 & 4 & 6 & 13 & 15 & 3 & (**) & 8 & 3 & 1 \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499 & 231 & 29 & 6 & 2 & 3 & 18 & 17 & 5 & 2 & 5 & 2 & 3 \\
    \hline \$1.500-\$1,749 & 167 & 14 & 8 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 15 & 4 & (**) I & \({ }^{7}\) & 3 & \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & 144 & 26 & 9 & 2 & 6 & 5 & 41 & 20 & (**) & 14 & 1 & 6 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249 & 99 & 15 & 9 & 3 & 2 & 2 & 30 & 24 & & 3 & 1 & \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499 & 56 & 6 & 2 & 1 & 3 & 2 & 46 & 5 & 4 & 35 & 2 & (**) \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 & 68 & 8 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 20 & 11 & 1 & & 8 & \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,999 & 81 & 22 & 14 & 3 & 2 & 3 & 106 & 43 & 16 & 21 & 8 & 18 \\
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,999 & 32 & 10 & \({ }^{6}\) & 4 & & & 103 & 71 & 32 & & & \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over & 47 & 17 & 14 & 8 & 1 & & 522 & 179 & 330 & 13 & & \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    1 See glossary for definition of "money income other than earnings."
    Averages are based on all families, column (2), whether or not they received money income other than earnings.
    Includes money income other than earnings from sources other than those specified, including profits from business enterprises partially or wholly owned but not operated by ** \(\$ 0.50\) or less.

    Table 11.-Nonmoney income from owned homes: Number of families owning homes with and without mortgages; average rental value, average expense, and average nonmoney income from home ownership; by income, 1995-36
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Income class

    (1)} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Number of families} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Homes free from mortgage} & \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{Mortgaged homes} \\
    \hline & All & \multirow{2}{*}{Owning homes} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Families owning homes free from mortgage} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{A verage rental value \({ }^{3}\)} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    A verage expense \({ }^{3}\) \\
    (7)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{A verage nonmoney income} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Families owning mortgaged homes} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Average rental value \({ }^{2}\) \\
    (11)
    \end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Average expense \({ }^{3}\)} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    A verage nonmoney income \({ }^{4}\) \\
    (14)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Interest as percentage value} \\
    \hline & (2) & & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Number \\
    (4)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Percentage \({ }^{5}\) \\
    (5)
    \end{tabular} & & & & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Number \\
    (9)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Percentage \\
    (10)
    \end{tabular} & & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Interest \\
    (12)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Other \\
    (13)
    \end{tabular} & & \\
    \hline All families. & 2,336 & 417 & 261 & 63 & \$350 & \$99 & \$251 & 156 & . 37 & \$366 & \$144 & \$100 & \$122 & 39 \\
    \hline Relief families Nonrelief families. & 257
    2,079 & 12
    405 & \({ }_{2} 8\) & ( \({ }^{(1)} 62\) & 159
    356 & 64
    100 & 95
    256 & 4
    152 & \({ }^{(\dagger)} 38\) & 191
    371 & 60
    146 & 63
    102 & 68
    123 & 31
    39 \\
    \hline \$0-\$249-- & 15 & 2 & 7 & (f) & \({ }^{*}\) ) & (*) & \({ }^{*}{ }^{*}\) & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$250-8499 & 120 & 7 & & & 190 & & 121 & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$500- 8749 & \(\begin{array}{r}357 \\ 323 \\ \hline\end{array}\) & 17
    29 & 8 & (t) & \({ }_{230}^{170}\) & \({ }_{69}^{63}\) & 107 & 9 & (t) & 229 & 77 & 77 & 75
    49 & \({ }_{39}^{34}\) \\
    \hline \$750-\$999- & \begin{tabular}{l}
    323 \\
    339 \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular} & 29
    34 & \({ }_{22}^{18}\) & ( \({ }_{65}\) & \({ }_{242}^{230}\) & 79
    81 & 151 & 11
    12 & \({ }^{(\dagger)} 35\) & \({ }_{317}^{201}\) & \(\begin{array}{r}79 \\ 131 \\ \hline\end{array}\) & \begin{tabular}{l}
    73 \\
    87 \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular} & 49
    99 & \({ }_{41}^{39}\) \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499- & \({ }_{231}\) & 40 & 25 & 62 & \({ }_{256}\) & 84 & 172 & 15 & 38 & 220 & 116 & 73 & 31 & 53 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749- & 167 & 40 & 21 & 52 & 265 & 85 & 180 & 19 & 48 & 288 & 104 & 88 & 96 & 36 \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & 144 & 41 & 29 & 71 & 318 & 94 & 224 & 12 & 29 & 334 & 131 & 96 & 107 & 39 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249 & 99 & 35 & 20 & 57 & 349 & 99 & 250 & 15 & 43 & 322 & 122 & 94 & 106 & 38 \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499 & 56 & 18 & 11 & ( \(\dagger\) & 425 & 112 & 313 & 7 & ( \(\dagger\) & 403 & 130 & 109 & 164 & 32 \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 & 68 & 30 & 19 & & 420 & 112 & 308 & 11 & 37 & 393 & 126 & 107 & 160 & 32 \\
    \hline \$3,000-83,999 & 81 & 47 & 31 & & 440 & 115 & 325 & 16 & (t) 34 & 484 & 186 & 123 & 175 & 38 \\
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,999 & 32
    47 & \(\stackrel{21}{44}\) & \begin{tabular}{|}
    13 \\
    27
    \end{tabular} & \({ }^{(t)}{ }_{61}\) & 522
    627 & 130
    149 & 392
    478 & 8
    17 & & 540
    684 & 145
    331 & 133
    156 & \({ }_{197}^{262}\) & 27
    48 \\
    \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}
    \({ }^{4}\) Includes all families occupying owned homes at any time during the report year, but excludes 3 families whose expenses exactly equaled the annual rental value of their homes. Data for the latter families, however, are included in the computation of averages.
    \({ }_{3}^{2}\) Based on estimate made by home owner, for period of ownership and occupancy during report year. This period averages, in general, approximately 12 months.
    3 Expense for period of ownership and occupancy during report year. Expense other than interest, columns (7) and (13), estimated on basis of average relationship between rental value and expense

    4 Nonmoney income for period of ownership and occupancy during report year. Obtained by deducting estimated expense (including interest) from rental value.
    \({ }^{5}\) Based on number of families owning homes, column (3).
    \(\dagger\) Percentages not computed for fewer than 30 cases.
    * Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    GASTONIA, N. C.
    Table 12.-Monthly rental value: Number of home-owning families having homes with specified monthly rental value, by income, \(1935-36{ }^{1}\)
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline Income class & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Number of homeowning and renting families \\
    (2)
    \end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Home-owning
    families} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Average monthly rental value of owned homes? \\
    (5)
    \end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{12}{|c|}{Number of home-owning families reporting monthly rental value of-} \\
    \hline (1) & & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Number \\
    (3)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Percentage \({ }^{3}\) \\
    (4)
    \end{tabular} & & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Under \(\$ 5\) \\
    (6)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    \[
    \$ 5-\$ 9
    \] \\
    (7)
    \end{tabular} & \$10-\$14 (8) & \begin{tabular}{l}
    \$15-\$19 \\
    (9)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    \(\$ 20-\$ 24\) \\
    (10)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    \$25-\$29 \\
    (11)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    \(\$ 30-\$ 34\) \\
    (12)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    \(\$ 35-\$ 39\) \\
    (13)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    \$40-\$44 \\
    (14)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    \$45-\$54 \\
    (15)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    \(\$ 55-\$ 74\) \\
    (16)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    \(\$ 75\) and over \\
    (17)
    \end{tabular} \\
    \hline All families & 2,280 & 409 & 18 & \$30.40 & 1 & 4 & 31 & 59 & 59 & 56 & 48 & 35 & 39 & 52 & 13 & 12 \\
    \hline Relief families Nonrelief families. & 251
    2,029 & 11
    398 & 4
    20 & \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & 15.60 \\
    & 30.80
    \end{aligned}
    \] & 1 & 2 & 1
    30 & 4
    5 & 1
    58 & 1
    55 & 48 & 34 & 39 & 52 & 13 & 12 \\
    \hline \$0-\$249. & 15 & 2 & ( \(\dagger\) & (*) & & & 1 & & & 1 & & -- & & & & \\
    \hline \$250-\$499 & 116 & 5 & (1) 4 & 15.60 & & 1 & 2 & 1 & & & 1 & & & & & \\
    \hline \$500-\$749 & 346 & 16 & 5 & 19.40 & & & 6 & 5 & 1 & & 1 & 2 & & 1 & & ---- \\
    \hline \$750-\$999 & 309 & 29 & 9 & 18. 40 & & 1 & 7 & 6 & 8 & 4 & 2 & 1 & & & & ------ \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249 & 330 & 34 & 10 & 22.40 & & & 7 & 9 & 7 & 5 & & 1 & 1 & 4 & & \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499 & 228 & 40 & 18 & 21. 00 & & & 3 & 12 & 14 & 3 & 6 & 1 & & 1 & & \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749 & 161 & 40 & 25 & 23.20 & & & 2 & 8 & 12 & 7 & 5 & 5 & 1 & & & -------- \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & 144 & 41 & 28 & 27.00 & & & 2 & 6 & 5 & 10 & 8 & 3 & 6 & 1 & & \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249 & 99 & 34 & 34 & 29. 40 & & & & 3 & 6 & 10 & 3 & 5 & 4 & 2 & 1 & \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499 & 56 & 18 & 32 & 34.70 & & & & & 2 & 4 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 5 & & \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 & 68 & 29 & 43 & 34.30 & & & & 1 & & 6 & 7 & 4 & 5 & 6 & & \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,999 & 78 & 47 & 60 & 38. 20 & & & & 3 & 2 & 3 & 6 & 7 & 12 & 10 & 4 & \\
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,999 & 32 & 20 & 62 & 44. 50 & & & & \(]^{-}\) & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 9 & 2 & 1 \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over. & 47 & 43 & 92 & 54.50 & & & & 1 & & 1 & 3 & 2 & 6 & 13 & 6 & 11 \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    1 Includes only those families that did not change living quarters between the end of the report year and the date of interview. Families are classified as home-owning families or renting families according to their status at the date of interview.
    \({ }_{2}\) Based on estimate made by home owner for period of ownership and occupancy during report year. Averages are based on the number of home-owning families, column (3), as of end of report year.
    \({ }^{3}\) Based on the number of home-owning and renting families, column (2)
    Percentages not computed for fewer than 30 cases.
    *A verages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
    gASTONIA, N. C.
    Table 13.-Monthly rent: Number of renting fumilies reporting specified monthly rent, by income, 1935-56 \({ }^{1}\)
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All ocoupational groups and all family types combined[
    

    \footnotetext{
    \({ }^{1}\) Includes only those families that did not change living quarters between the end of the report year and the date of interview. Families are classified as home-owning families or as renting families according to their status at the date of interview.
    \({ }^{2}\) Rent reported at date of interview. Averages are based on the number of renting families in each class that reported monthly rent, including families receiving rent free, the
    } anount of which was estimated by the family.

    Consists the number of home-owning and renting families, column (2).
    \(\dagger\) Percentages not computed for fewer than 30 cases.

    GAstonia, N. C.
    Table 14A.-Average monthly rental value and average monthly rent: Number of home-owning and renting families, average monthly rental value, and average monthly rent, by occupation and income, 1935-36 \({ }^{1}\)
    [White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Income class

    (1)} & \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{Occupational group: Wage earner} & \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{Occupational group: Clerical} & \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Occupational group: Business and professional} \\
    \hline & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Number of families-} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Percentage of home-owning and renting families?} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Average
    monthly} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Number of families-} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Percentage of home-owning and renting families ?} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Average monthly-} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Number of families-} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Percentage of home-owning and renting families?} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Average monthly -} \\
    \hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Homeowning \\
    (2)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Rent-
    ing \\
    (3)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Home owning \\
    (4)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Renting \\
    (5)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Rental value \\
    (6)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Rent \({ }^{4}\) \\
    (7)
    \end{tabular} & Homeowning (8) & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Renting \\
    (9)
    \end{tabular} & Homeowning (10) & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Renting \\
    (II)
    \end{tabular} & Rental value \({ }^{3}\) (12) & Rent \({ }^{4}\)
    (13) & Home owning (14) & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Renting \\
    (15)
    \end{tabular} & Homeowning (16) & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Renting \\
    (17)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Rental value \({ }^{3}\) \\
    (18)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Rent \\
    (19)
    \end{tabular} \\
    \hline All nonrelief families \({ }^{\text {s. }}\) & 108 & 1,216 & 8 & 92 & \$21.60 & \$7.70 & 111 & 194 & 36 & 64 & \$29.40 & \$18. 20 & 171 & 215 & 44 & 56 & \$37. 70 & \$23.40 \\
    \hline \$0-\$499- & 2
    19 & 108
    526 & 4 & \({ }_{96}^{98}\) & (*)
    \({ }^{\text {( }}\), 10 & \begin{tabular}{l}
    5.80 \\
    6.60 \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular} & & \(\stackrel{2}{47}\) & & \({ }_{(+)}^{82}\) & 18.00 & \({ }^{(*)}\) & 11 & 11
    35 & \({ }_{( }{ }^{24}\) & \({ }^{(1)}{ }_{76}\) & 18.00
    21.70 & 11. 10 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,499 & 28 & 388 & \(\stackrel{4}{7}\) & 93 & 17.60 & 7.90 & 19 & 58 & 25 & 75 & 22.10 & 15. 90 & 27 & 37 & 42 & 58 & 26.80 & 18.00 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,999 & 33 & 136 & 20 & 80 & 22.00 & 10.00 & 28 & 43 & 39 & 61 & 26.90 & 20.20 & 19 & 45 & 30 & 70 & 27.60 & 22.20 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,999 & 18 & 55 & & 75 & \({ }^{26.70}\) & 13.30 & 32 & 35 & 48 & 52 & 33. 00 & 25.80 & 31 & 52 & 37. & 63 & 35.00 & 30. 00 \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$4,999 & 8 & 3 & ( \(\dagger\) ) & (t) & 38.80 & 8.00 & 16 & 9 & ( \({ }^{\text {¢ }}\) & ( \(\dagger\) ) & 38.00 & 32.20 & 43 & 31 & 58 & 42 & 41. 20 & 34. 20 \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over & & & & & & & 6 & & ( \(\dagger\) & & 48.30 & & 37 & 4 & 90 & 10 & 55. 50 & 43.80 \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}
    \({ }^{1}\) Includes only those families that did not change living quarters between the end of the report year and the date of interview. Families are classified as home-owning families or as renting families according to their status at the date of interview.
    \({ }_{3}^{2}\) Based on the number of home-owning and renting families in the respective occupational groups.
     \({ }^{2}\) Rent as reported at date of interview. Averages in this column are based on the number of families reporting monthly rent, including families receiving rent as gift, the amount of which is estimated by the family
    \({ }^{5}\) Of the families classified in the occupational group, "Other," 14 did not change their living quarters between the end of the report year and the date of interview. Of the latter group 8 families were owning families. Their average monthly rental value was \(\$ 23.50\). The remaining 6 families were renting families. Their average monthly rent was \(\$ 9.80\).

    Percentage not computed for fewer than 30 cases.
    * Average not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    GASTONIA, N. C.
    Table 15-16.-Type of living quarters: Number and percentage of owning and renting families occupying specified types of living quarters, by tenure and income, 1935-36 \({ }^{1}\)
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Income class
    (1)} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Number of families} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Number of families occupying--} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Percentage of families occupying \({ }^{2}\) -} \\
    \hline & & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Onefamily house \\
    (3)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Twofamily house \\
    (4)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Apartment \\
    (5)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Other \({ }^{3}\) \\
    (6)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Onefamily house \\
    (7)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Two. family house \\
    (8)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Apartment \\
    (9)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Other \({ }^{3}\) \\
    (10)
    \end{tabular} \\
    \hline Owning families, all & 409 & 401 & 5 & ------ & 3 & 98 & 1 & & 1 \\
    \hline Relief families. Nonrelief families. & 11
    398 & 10
    391 & 5 & & \(\stackrel{1}{2}\) & \(\left.{ }^{( }\right)^{98}\) & 1 & & \({ }^{(\dagger)} 1\) \\
    \hline \$0-\$249 & 2 & 2 & & & & ( \(\dagger\) & & & \\
    \hline \$250-\$499 & 5 & 5 & & & & (t) & & & \\
    \hline \$500-\$749. & 16 & 16 & & & & ( \(\dagger\) ) & & & \\
    \hline \$750-\$999 & 29 & 27 & 1 & & 1 & ( \(\dagger\) ) & ( \(\dagger\) & & ( \(\dagger\) ) \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249 & 34 & 34 & & & & 100 & & & \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499 & 40 & 39 & & & 1 & 98 & & & 2 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749. & 40 & 40 & & & & 100 & & & \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999 & 41 & 39 & 2 & & & 95 & 5 & & \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249 & 34 & 34 & & & & 100 & & & \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499 & 18 & 18 & & & & (t) & & & \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999. & 29 & 28 & 1 & & & (t) & ( \(\dagger\) ) & & \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,999 & 47 & 46 & 1 & & & 98 & 2 & & \\
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,999 & 20 & 20 & & & & ( \(\dagger\) ) & & & \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over & 43 & 43 & & & & 100 & & & \\
    \hline Renting families, all. & 1, 871 & 1,747 & 79 & 25 & 20 & 94 & 4 & 1 & 1 \\
    \hline Relief families & 240 & 224 & 8 & 2 & 6 & 93 & 3 & 1 & 3 \\
    \hline Nonrelief families. & 1, 631 & 1,523 & 71 & 23 & 14 & 94 & 4 & 1 & 1 \\
    \hline \$0-\$249. & 13 & 12 & 1 & & & ( \(\dagger\) ) & ( \(\dagger\) ) & & \\
    \hline \$250-\$499 & 111 & 104 & 4 & 2 & 1 & 93 & 4 & 2 & 1 \\
    \hline \$500-\$749 & 330 & 313 & 14 & & 3 & 95 & 4 & & 1 \\
    \hline \$750-\$999 & 280 & 259 & 16 & 3 & 2 & 92 & 6 & 1 & 1 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249 & 296 & 281 & 8 & 3 & 4 & 95 & 3 & 1 & 1 \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499 & 188 & 176 & 8 & 3 & 1 & 94 & 4 & 2 & (†t) \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749 & 121 & 116 & 3 & 2 & & 96 & 2 & 2 & \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999. & 103 & 91 & 6 & 5 & 1 & 88 & 6 & 5 & 1 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249 & 65 & 59 & 3 & 3 & & 90 & 5 & 5 & \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499 & 38 & 34 & 3 & & 1 & 89 & 8 & & 3 \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 & 39 & 36 & 2 & 1 & & 92 & 5 & 3 & \\
    \hline \$3,000-\$3,999. & 31 & 28 & 2 & & 1 & 90 & & & 3 \\
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,999. & 12 & 10 & 1 & 1 & ------- & ( \(\dagger\) ) & ( \(\dagger\) ) & ( \(\dagger\) ) & \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over... & 4 & 4 & & & & ( \(\dagger\) & & & \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}
    \({ }^{1}\) Includes only those families that did not change living quarters between the end of the report year and the date of interview.
    2 Percentages are based on number of families in each class, column (2).
    \({ }_{3}^{2}\) Percentages are based on number of families in each class, column (2). and unknown types of living quarters.
    \(\dagger\) Percentages not computed for fewer than 30 cases.
    \(\dagger \dagger 0.5\) percent or less.

    \section*{GASTONIA, N. C}

    Table 17.-Members of household not in economic family: Number of families having persons in the household who were not members
     of the economic family, and average number of such nonfamily members, by income, 1935-96
    

    \footnotetext{
    1 Excludes a small number of families which had nonfamily members in the household but which did not report the duration of their membership. See glossary for definition of "nonfamily members." \(\quad\) Averages in each column are based on the corresponding counts of families, in columns (3) through (10). The number of nonfamily members is expressed in terms of year-equivalent persons. This figure is computed for each family by dividing by 52 the total number of weeks of residence in the household for all nonmembers of the economic family. *A verages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
    }

    \section*{GASTONIA, N. C.}

    Table 18.-Age of husbands and wives: Number of husbands and number of wives, by age and family income, 1935-96
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    
    \({ }^{1}\) Excludes 2 husbands and 1 wife who did not report age.

    GASTONIA, N. C.
    Table 19.-Report year: Number and percentage distribution of families by date of end of report year, by occupation, 1935-36
    [White families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]
    
    \(\dagger\) Percentages not computed for fewer than 30 cases.
    \(t 0.5\) percent or less.

    GASTONIA, N. C.
    Table 1.-Family type: Number of families of specified types and average number of persons per family, by income, 1935-36
    [Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{4}{*}{Income class

    (1)} & \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{Number of families of type 1-} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Average number of persons per family \({ }^{2}\)} \\
    \hline & \multirow{2}{*}{All} & \multirow{2}{*}{I} & \multirow{2}{*}{II} & \multirow{2}{*}{III} & \multirow{2}{*}{IV} & \multirow{2}{*}{v} & \multirow{2}{*}{VI} & \multirow{2}{*}{VII} & \multirow{2}{*}{VIII} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Other} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\[
    \underset{\substack{\text { All } \\ \text { mem. } \\ \text { bers }}}{ }
    \]} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Other than husband and wife} \\
    \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & Un-
    der
    16 & \(\xrightarrow{\text { and }}\) a \\
    \hline & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) & (9) & (10) & (11) & (12) & (13) & (14) \\
    \hline All families \({ }^{\text {3 }}\) & 517 & 171 & 81 & 34 & 80 & 45 & 45 & 36 & 7 & 18 & 3.8 & 1.2 & 0. \\
    \hline Relief families. Nonrelief families... & 80
    437 & \[
    \begin{array}{r}
    16 \\
    155
    \end{array}
    \] & 12
    69 & \(\begin{array}{r}7 \\ \hline\end{array}\) & \(\begin{array}{r}8 \\ 78 \\ \hline\end{array}\) & 14
    31 & 9
    36 & \(\begin{array}{r}7 \\ \hline 9\end{array}\) & \(\stackrel{2}{5}\) & 5
    13 & 4.5
    3.6 & 1.7 & \\
    \hline \$0-\$249 & 35 & 17 & & 3 & 3 & 3 & 6 & 2 & & & 3.5 & 1.2 & \\
    \hline \$250-\$499 & 164 & 62 & 30 & 7 & 31 & 8 & 18 & 7 & & 1 & 3.3 & 1.0 & \\
    \hline \$500-\$749. & 159 & 56 & 29 & 14 & 20 & 11 & 10 & 12 & 2 & 5 & 3.7 & 1.3 & \\
    \hline \$750-\$999 & 39 & 9 & 5 & 1 & 9 & 5 & 1 & 6 & 1 & 2 & 4.2 & 1.2 & 1.0 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249------- & 24 & 8 & 2 & 1 & 7 & 3 & & & 1 & 2 & 3.7 & + 5 & 1.2 \\
    \hline \$1,250-\$1,499 & 6
    7 & 2 & 1 & & 1 & & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 5.0
    4.8 & 1.0 & \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999-.-.-. & 1 & 2 & & & & & & & & 1 & \({ }_{(*)}^{4}\) & (*) & (*) \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249 & 2 & 1 & & 1 & & --- & & & & & (*) & (*) & \\
    \hline \$2,250-\$2,499 & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 & & & & & - & & & & - & & & & \\
    \hline \$3,500-\$3,999 & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,499....... & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$4,500-\$4,999 & & & & & --- & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$5,000-\$7,499 & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$10,000 and over.-- & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$1,00 and over.-- & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    \section*{1 Family type:}
    I. 2 persons. Husband and wife only.
    II. 3 persons. Husband, wife, 1 child under 16, and no others.
    III. 4 persons. Husband, wife, 2 children under 16, and no others.
    IV. 3 or 4 persons. Husband, wife, 1 person 16 or over, and 1 or no other person regardless of age.
    V. 5 or 6 persons. Husband, wife, 1 child under 16,1 person 16 or over, and 1 or 2 other persons regardless of age.
    VI. 5 or 6 persons. Husband, wife, 3 or 4 children under 16, and no other.
    VII. 7 or 8 persons. Husband, wife, 1 child under 16, 4 or 5 other persons regardless of age.
    VIII. 5 or 6 persons. Husband, wife, 3 or 4 persons 16 or over.

    Other. 7 or more persons. All types not included in I through VIII.
    \({ }^{2}\) These are yearequivalent persons. The sum of columns (13) and (14) plus 2 (husband and wife) does not always equal column (12). For the methods used in deriving these averages, see glossary.
    \({ }_{3}\) There are no native Negro complete families classified as "Salaried business" in Gastonia.
    * Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    GAsTONIA, N. C.
    Table 1A.-Family type: Number of families of specified types and average number of persons per family, by occupation and income, 1935-86
    [Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
    

    See footnotes at end of table.

    GASTONIA, N. C.
    Table 1A.-Family type: Number of families of specified types and average number of persons per family, by occupation and income, 1935-96-Continued
    [Negro nonreliet families including husband and wife, both native born]
    

    See footnotes at end of table.

    GASTONIA, N. C.
    Table 1A.-Family type: Number of families of specified types and average number of persons per family, by occupation and income, 1935-36-Continued
    [Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
    

    For footnotes 1 and 2, see table 1 on p. 426.
    \({ }^{3}\) This group contains 5 families engaged in farming, a group too small to be separately classified, and families having no gainfully employed members.
    *Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    GASTONIA, N. C.
    Table 2.-Sources of family income: Number of families receiving income from specified sources, and average amount of such income, by income, 1935-96
    [Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Income class} & \multirow{3}{*}{Number of families} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Number of families receiving-} \\
    \hline & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Money income from-} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Nonmoney income from-} \\
    \hline & & Earnings t & Other sources (positive or negative) \({ }^{2}\) & Any source \({ }^{3}\) & Owned home (positive or negative) \({ }^{4}\) & Rent as pay \\
    \hline All families..... & 517 & 516 & 31 & 130 & 119 & 11 \\
    \hline Relief families .-. Nonrelief families & 80
    437 & \[
    \begin{array}{r}
    80 \\
    436
    \end{array}
    \] & \(\begin{array}{r}38 \\ 28 \\ \hline\end{array}\) & 123 & 1138 & 10 \\
    \hline \$0-\$249. & 35 & 35 & & & & \\
    \hline \$250-\$499. & 164 & 163 & 5 & 28 & 25 & \\
    \hline \$500-\$749 & 159 & 159 & 7 & 41 & 40 & \\
    \hline \$750-\$999 & 39 & 39 & 5 & 22 & 21 & \\
    \hline \$1,000 \$1,249 & 24 & 24 & 4 & 13 & 12 & 1 \\
    \hline \$1,500-\$1,749. & 6
    7 & 7 & 2 & 3
    5 & \({ }_{4}\) & 1 \\
    \hline \$1,750-\$1,999. & 1 & 1 & & & & 1 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249- & 2 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\
    \hline \$2,500-\$2,999 & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$8,000- \(\$ 3,990\) & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$4,000-\$4,999 & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over.--- & & & & & & \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    \footnotetext{
    1 See glossary for definition of "earnings."
    \({ }_{2}\) Includes 30 families, 27 of which were nonrelief, which had money income other than earnings and no business losses met from family funds; 1 family which was nonrelief, which had business losses met from family funds and no money income other than earnings, and no families which had both money income and business losses met from family funds. There were, therefore, 30 families, 27 of which were nonrelief, which had money income other than earnings whether or not they had business losses met from family funds; and there was 1 family which was nonrelief, which had business losses met from family funds; and no money income other than earnings. This latter family was found in income class \$0-\$249. See glossary for definitions of "money income other than earnings'" and "business losses."
    \({ }_{3}\) The total of the numbers of families in columns (6) and (7), since no family reported nonmoney income from both sources.

    4 Includes families with losses from owned homes, as well as families whose estimated rental value of owned homes for the period of ownership and occupancy exceeded estimated expenses allocable to that period. There were 16 families, 15 of which were nonrelief, with losses from owned homes (i. e., families whose estimated rental value was less than estimated expenses). The latter 15 families were found in the following income classes: \(\$ 0-\$ 249,4 ; \$ 250-\$ 499,5 ; \$ 500-\$ 749,4 ; \$ 750-\$ 999,1 ; \$ 1,500-\$ 1,749,1\). Excludes 2 families whose estimated rental value of owned homes was equal to estimated expenses.
    }

    GASTONIA, N. C.
    Table 2.-Sources of family income: Number of families receiving income from specified sources, and average amount of such income, by income, 1935-36 \({ }^{1}\) Continued
    [Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{4}{*}{Income class

    (1)} & \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{Average family income} \\
    \hline & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Total \\
    (2)
    \end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Money income from-} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Nonmoney income from-} \\
    \hline & & \[
    \underset{\text { sources }}{\text { All }}
    \] & Earnings \({ }^{2}\) & Other sources (positive or negative) \({ }^{3}\) & \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \text { All } \\
    & \text { sourres }
    \end{aligned}
    \] & Owned home (positive or negative) & Rent as pay \\
    \hline & & (3) & (4) & (5) & (6) & (7) & (8) \\
    \hline All families. & '\$541 & \$525 & \$517 & \$8 & \$16 & \$13 & *3 \\
    \hline Relief families Nonrelief families & \[
    \begin{array}{r}
    361 \\
    8574
    \end{array}
    \] & 354
    556 & \(\begin{array}{r}346 \\ 548 \\ \hline\end{array}\) & 8 & \(\begin{array}{r}78 \\ \hline 8\end{array}\) & \(\begin{array}{r}5 \\ 15 \\ \hline\end{array}\) & \({ }_{3}^{2}\) \\
    \hline \$0-\$249 & 172 & 167 & 171 & 4 & 5 & 3 & 2 \\
    \hline & 387 & 379 & 375 & 4 & 8 & 7 & 1 \\
    \hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \$ 50-\$ 749 \\
    & \$ 750-\$ 999
    \end{aligned}
    \]} & 598 & 584 & 581 & 3 & 14 & 13 & \\
    \hline & 869 & 830 & 803 & 27 & 39 & 36 & 3 \\
    \hline \$1,000-\$1,249 & 1.095 & 1,040 & 987 & 53 & 55 & 45 & 10 \\
    \hline \$1250-\$1,499 & 1,349 & 1,331 & 1,331 & & 18 & 18 & \\
    \hline \[
    \$ 1,500-\$ 1,749
    \] & \({ }_{6}^{1,666}\) & 1, 596 & \({ }_{1}^{1,573}\) & 23 & 70 & 53 & 17 \\
    \hline \$2,000-\$2,249. & (*) & (*) & (*) & (*) & (*) & (*) & (*) \\
    \hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{\$2,250-\$2,499}} & & & & & & \\
    \hline & & & & & & & \\
    \hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\[
    \$ 3,000-\$ 3,999
    \]} & & & & & & \\
    \hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \$ 3,000-\$ 3,999 \\
    & \$ 4,000-\$ 4.999
    \end{aligned}
    \]} & & & & & & \\
    \hline \$5,000 and over & & & & & & & \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    \footnotetext{
    \({ }^{1}\) The averages in each column are based on all families, column (2) of table 2, whetber or not they received income from the specified source. Averages in columns (2), (3), (5), (6), and (7) are net figures, after deduction for all families of business losses met from family funds or expenses for owned homes.
    2 See glossary for definition of "earnings."
    \({ }_{3}\) Includes money income other than earnings, after deduction of business losses met from family funds.
    See glossary for definition of 'money income other than earnings" and "business losses."
    4 Represents the estimated rental value of owned homes for the period of ownership and occupancy, less estimated expenses allocable to that period.
    \({ }^{5}\) Median income for all families was \(\$ 495\); for nonrelief families, \(\$ 531\).
    *Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
    }

    GASTONIA, N. C.
    Table 2A.-Sources of family income: Number of families receiving income from specified sources, and average amount of such income, by occupation and income, 1935-96
    [Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
    Income class and occupational group \\
    (1)
    \end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Number of families} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Number of families receiving-} \\
    \hline & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Money income from-} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Nonmoney income from-} \\
    \hline & & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Earnings \({ }^{1}\) \\
    (3)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Other sources (positive or negstive) \({ }^{2}\) \\
    (4)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Any source \({ }^{3}\) \\
    (5)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Owned home (positive or negative) \\
    (6)
    \end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
    Rent as pay \\
    (7)
    \end{tabular} \\
    \hline \begin{tabular}{l}
    Wage earner \\
    All nonrelief families
    \end{tabular} & 395 & 395 & 22 & 101 & 96 & 5 \\
    \hline \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \$ 0-\$ 499 . \ldots . . . \\
    & \$ 500-\$ 999 \\
    & \$ 1,000-\$ 1,499 \\
    & \$ 1,500 \$ 1,999 \\
    & \$ 2,000-\$ 2,999
    \end{aligned}
    \] & 180
    187
    24
    4 & 180
    187
    24
    4 & 6
    10
    4
    2 & 30
    57
    12
    2 & 26
    56
    12
    2 &  \\
    \hline \begin{tabular}{l}
    \(\$ 3,000-\$ 4,999\). \\
    \(\$ 5,000\) and over
    \end{tabular} & & & & & & \\
    \hline \begin{tabular}{l}
    Clerical \\
    All nonrelief families..
    \end{tabular} & 3 & 3 & & 2 & 2 & \\
    \hline \[
    \$ 0-\$ 499
    \]
    \[
    \$ 1,000-\$ 1,499
    \] & 2 & 2 & & 1 & 1 & -----.-...... \\
    \hline  & & & & & & \\
    \hline  & & & & - & & \\
    \hline \(\$ 5,000\) and over.---...........--
    Business and professional & & & & & & \\
    \hline All nonrelief families.. & 33 & 33 & 4 & 17 & 13 & 4 \\
    \hline \$0-\$499 & 12 & 12 & & & & \\
    \hline \$500- 8999 & 9 & 9 & 2 & 4 & 3 & 1 \\
    \hline  & 6
    4 & 6
    4 & & \(\stackrel{4}{3}\) & 3
    2 & 1 \\
    \hline \[
    \begin{aligned}
    & \$ 2,0,00-\$ 2,999 \\
    & \$ 3,000-\$ 4,999_{-}
    \end{aligned}
    \] & 2 & 2 & 1 & 2 & & \\
    \hline  & & & & & & \\
    \hline Other & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{6} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{5} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{2} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{3} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{2} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1} \\
    \hline All nonrelief families.. & & & & & & \\
    \hline
    \end{tabular}

    \footnotetext{
    \({ }^{1}\) See glossary for definition of "earnings."
    \({ }^{2}\) Includes families having money income other than earnings, families having business losses met from family funds, and families having both such income and such losses. See glossary for definitions of "money income other than earnings" and "business losses."
    \({ }^{3}\) The total of the numbers of families in columns (6) and (7), since no family reported nonmoney income from both sources.
    \({ }_{4}\) Includes families with losses from owned homes, as well as families whose estimated rental value of owned homes for the period of ownership and occupancy exceeded estimated expenses allocable to that period.
    }

    \section*{GASTONIA, N. C.}

    Table 2A.-Sources of family income: Number of families receiving income from specified sources, and average amount of such income, by occupation and income, 1935-36-Continued
    [Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born: All family types combined]
    

    \footnotetext{
    \({ }^{1}\) The averages in each column are based on all families, column (2) of table 2 A , whether or not they received income from the specified source. Averages in columns (2), (3), (5), (6), and (7) are net figures, after deduction for all families of business losses met from family funds or expenses for owned homes.
    \({ }^{2}\) See glossary for definition of "earnings."
    3 Includes money income other than earnings, after deduction of business losses. See glossary for definitions of "money income other than earnings" and "busincss losses."
    \({ }^{4}\) Represents the estimated rental value of owned homes for the period of ownership and occupancy less estimated expenses allocable to that period
    \({ }^{5}\) Median incomes were as follows: Wage-earner families, \(\$ 547\); clerical families, \(\$ 375\); business and professional families, \(\$ 750\).
    *A verages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
    ** \(\$ 0.50\) or less.
    }

    Table 3.-Money earnings: Number of families receiving net money earnings and average net money earnings received from each source, by income, 1935-96
    [Negro families including husband and wife, both native born: All occupational groups and all family types combined]
    \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
    \hline \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Income class} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Number of families} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Number of families receiving net money earnings from-} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{A verage net money earnings from \({ }^{1-}\)} \\
    \hline & & Any source & Individual earners & ```
    Roomers
    and
    board-
    ers ```

