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## PREFACE

This analysis of family expenditures forms volume II of the Study of Consumer Purchases in Chicago. Volume I dealt with the incomes received by Chicago families. It provided the background for the present section, which is a study of the manner in which the family incomes were spent.

The Chicago survey was part of an investigation conducted in 1936 by the United States Bureau of Labor Statistics in 32 cities varying in size and representing different sections of the country. It was paralleled by a study of small city, village, and farm families conducted by the Bureau of Home Economics of the United States Department of Agriculture. Both surveys, which together constitute the Study of Consumer Purchases, were administered under a grant of funds from the Works Progress Administration. The National Resources Committee and the Central Statistical Board cooperated in the Nation-wide study. The plans for the project were developed and the administration was coordinated by a technical committee composed of representatives of the following agencies: National Resources Committee, Hildegarde Kneeland, chairman; Bureau of Labor Statistics, Faith M. Williams; Bureau of Home Economics, Day Monroe; Works Progress Administration, Milton Forster; and Central Statistical Board, Samuel J. Dennis.

The present study may be distinguished from previous investigations of family living in that it represents the first effort to study the incomes and expenditures of all strata of the community simultaneously. Past studies of family consumption have generally been confined to a limited income and occupational group, or to a particular locality. Such isolated studies did not throw light on the relative position occupied by the particular group under investigation in the population as a whole. They did not reveal how the consumption pattern of one group in the population differed from that of families in another occupational group or income class.

The present study of family expenditures will permit comparisons among different sections of the country and among communities of varying degrees of urbanization. It covers a wide range of family incomes, from those just above the relief level to incomes of more than $\$ 10,000$. It was planned, moreover, so as to supply a sample that would allow for comparison among different occupational classes and among families of varying composition.

The analysis of the family expenditures in the following pages shows them to fall into three main groups: (1) Those expenditures which, though increasing in dollar volume as income rises, nevertheless take a declining proportion of total expenditures for current family living, (2) those which absorb increasing proportions of total expenditures as the amount of income increases, and (3) those which maintain a relatively constant proportion of total expenditures at different income levels.

In the first group are the two largest items in the usual family budget-expenditures for food and for housing. The two items which in general are next in importance, clothing and automobile expenditures, behave in the opposite way and belong to the second class, accounting for increasing proportions of expenditures as income rises. Expenditures for household operation and furnishings, recreation, education, and for contributions and personal taxes also have this tendency. Intermediate between these contrasting groups are to be found most of the other main categories of expenditure, including medical care, personal care, reading, and tobacco, which absorb a relatively constant share of the total family expenditure throughout the income range.

One of the most striking findings in the analysis of family expenditures is the position of the automobile in the present-day family budget. Even in a large metropolitan center like Chicago, where the ownership of an automobile may be more of a problem than it is in smaller communities, substantial outlays for the purchase and operation of a car are made by many families of very moderate incomes. Having but little relationship to the occupation of the breadwinner or to the composition of the family, the ownership of an automobile seems to be chiefly a question of whether the family budget can be stretched to include it.

When we compare the incomes with the expenditures of the scheduled families, we find that there were some families at all income levels whose expenditures were less than their current incomes, and some that incurred deficits for the year. In the net average, however, the expenditures of those families that had incomes below the median, for the group covered in the Chicago expenditure study, outran their current incomes, while those with incomes above the median accumulated increasingly large surpluses.

When families are classified on the basis of composition and size, or of occupational classification, to see how these factors may influence the pattern of living, it becomes clear that family food expense at all income levels varied directly with the size and composition of the family; in general it showed but slight relationship to occupational category. In Chicago, families of wage earners tended to have larger food expenditures than those of other groups at the same income level,
but the difference was mainly due to their larger families. Expenditures for housing, on the other hand, seem to be rather definitely related to occupational classification; wage earners showed the lowest, business and professional families the highest outlays in proportion to income. Composition of the family seems to be much less important than income and occupational status in determining expenditures for housing.

The analysis of expenditures in the following pages is for the most part in terms of averages for groups of families at given income levels, for six occupational categories and for seven family type groups. Examination of the expenditures of individual families in these groups usually reveals a wide range around the average, not only in the case of expenditures for medical care or automobiles, but also with respect to the more constant items in the family budget, such as food and housing. It is evident that even current income, while it is the great determinant of American family expenditures, is far from being the sole determinant of how those expenditures are distributed.

In view of the fact that responsibility for parts of this survey was shared by persons outside the regular staff of the Bureau of Labor Statistics, the Bureau takes pleasure in acknowledging the services of Thomas Hogan, Stanley Johnson, Trusten P. Lee, and Conrad Reibold, assistant supervisors.

Acknowledgment is also made to Frances Valentine, Jesse R. Wood, Jr., and William Loudon, who were in charge of computation and tables; Joseph A. Smith, in charge of machine tabulation; Dorothy McCamman, who served as chief check editor; Marie Bloch, Ethel Cauman, Lenore A. Epstein, and Verna Mae Feuerhelm, who were in charge of editing and review.

> Isador Lubin, Commissioner of Labor Statistics.

April 1939.
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## Family Expenditure in Chicago, 1935-36

## Chapter I

## Introduction

The analysis of the family income and expenditure data obtained by the Bureau of Labor Statistics in the Study of Consumer Purchases is divided into three parts, of which the present volume represents the second. Volume I showed the distribution of Chicago families by income class, occupation, family type, nativity, and home tenure. The second and third parts of the analysis both are concerned with data on expenditures for family living. In the present volume, this analysis will be confined mainly to a consideration of the size and relative importance of expenditures for the main categories of family living, with only incidental reference to constituent items in these categories. As in volume I, variations associated with income, occupation, and family type are of primary concern. The third part of the analysis involves a study of the detailed items included in each major group, and will take the form of special commodity and service bulletins to appear later as bulletin 648 .

The Study of Consumer Purchases in Chicago has shown that family incomes in that city aggregated more than $\$ 1,327,000,000$ in 1935-36. Half the families had incomes under $\$ 1,412$; half had incomes above this figure. Slightly less than one-third of the families in Chicago were found to have incomes under $\$ 1,000$; another twofifths to have incomes between $\$ 1,000$ and $\$ 2,000$, and 28 percent to have incomes of $\$ 2,000$ or more. ${ }^{1}$ These figures on the distribution by income refer to all families irrespective of nativity and family composition and include families that received relief sometime during the year as well as those that remained independent of public assistance.

The survey of family expenditures in this city was intended to show primarily the way in which expenditures vary with income and certain other characteristics of the family. It was therefore limited to white families that included husband and wife, both native born, and that received no relief during the year. ${ }^{2}$

[^0]The sample of families whose expenditures were studied in Chicago was further restricted by excluding all families with incomes under $\$ 500$, and by limiting the occupational groups represented in the lower and upper portions of the income scale. ${ }^{3}$

The collection of expenditure schedules was confined to seven family types, eliminating thereby the least frequent types in the community. The types included, which are shown pictorially in figure 1, may be described in terms of the number and age of members other than husband and wife, as follows:

| Type | No other persons (families of two). |
| :---: | :--- |
| I | No |
| II | One child under 16 (families of three). |
| III | Two children under 16 (families of four). |

Since, in Chicago, families of the first seven types include almost 97 percent of the nonrelief native white families including husband and wife, it is apparent that the omission of the larger families did not materially affect the results.

These various limitations resulted in the selection of a sample of families whose average income was higher than the average for all families in Chicago. Half of the families represented in the section of the Chicago investigation dealing with consumer expenditures were found in the income brackets above $\$ 1,860$. ${ }^{4}$

[^1]Fig. 1
FAMILY TYPES FOR EXPENDITURE STUDY


TYPE VI


MEmbers required for type
member required for type, but age alternative

MEMBER OPTIONAL FOR TYPE
(1) age alternative
U. S. BUREAU OF LABOR STATISTICS

The expenditure schedule used in the Study of Consumer Purchases provided for recording information on family expenditures classified under 16 categories, varying in urgency from food and shelter to recreation, gifts, and minor items of a miscellaneous character. The schedule contained information also on such matters as the size and facilities of dwellings occupied, and on the ownership of automobiles and household equipment, including radios, phonographs, mechanical refrigerators, washing machines, and vacuum cleaners. In addition account was taken of transactions during the report year that increased or decreased the family assets or liabilities. ${ }^{5}$

Expenditure data covering the year 1935-36 were collected from 2,711 Chicago families. ${ }^{6}$ They show that as family income increased the number of dollars spent for each important group of consumption goods and services increased. The relative increase with income in expenditures for the different groups of items was not the same, however. ${ }^{7}$ Thus, expenditures for transportation increased more rapidly than income. On the other hand, while more dollars were spent for food and home maintenance by families at high incomes than at low, these two important groups declined in relative importance over the entire income range. Below the median income, all the major consumption categories except food, home maintenance, and medical care received an increasing share of income. After a certain income level was reached, however, each major consumption category except that representing gifts, contributions, and personal taxes received a declining share of income.

The demand for food and home maintenance is so urgent that the average family with an income of $\$ 500$ to $\$ 750$ spent 7 percent more than its current income for these items alone. (See table 1.) Families in this income bracket constituted 7.5 percent of the total number of

[^2]white families in Chicago, but only 4.2 percent of the total number of native white nonrelief complete families. Their total expenditures exceeded their current income by 30 percent. (While the term expenditure is used, it must be recognized that some part of this deficit accrued in the form of unpaid bills and loans, and part was met by withdrawals from past savings.) Average deficits became smaller at succeeding income levels until, among families with incomes between $\$ 1,750$ and $\$ 2,000$, aggregate savings exceeded aggregate deficits. The incomes of the families falling in this bracket averaged $\$ 1,875$, and it is of considerable significance to find that the point at which average families began to make a small saving was the point below which half the families covered in this part of the Chicago survey are found. Above $\$ 2,250$, the average net surplus rose rapidly, and attained a size amounting to one-fifth of total income for the income group $\$ 5,000$ to $\$ 7,500$.

Table 1.-Distribution of adjusted family income ${ }^{1}$

| Income class | Average adjusted income | Percentage of adjusted income represented by ${ }^{\text {- - }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Money value of current family living |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Net surplus |
|  |  |  |  |  | Cloth |  |  | Contri- |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | \$684 | 130.6 | 56.3 | 50.3 | 9.4 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 1.5 | 5.8 |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 908 | 114.1 | 46.3 | 41.5 | 10.4 | 4.3 | 5.0 | 1.1 | 5.5 |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1, 132 | 106.5 | 41.4 | 38.1 | 10.4 | 5.7 | 4.0 | 1.4 | 5.5 |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1,369 | 102.8 | 39.2 | 35. 8 | 10.0 | 5. 5 | 4.2 | 1.8 | 6.3 |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 1, 621 | 101.0 | 36.6 | 34. 0 | 10.5 | 6. 4 | 4.8 | 2. 0 | 6.7 |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,499 | 1,876 | 98.2 | 33.3 | 33.5 | 10.7 | 7.5 | 4.2 | 2.3 | 6.7 | 2. 2 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 2, 113 | 96.4 | 31.4 | 31.9 | 11.1 | 8.3 | 4.4 | 2.7 | 6. 6 | 4.1 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 2, 352 | 96.2 | 32.2 | 31.4 | 11.0 | 7.1 | 4.7 | 2. 7 | 7.1 | 4. 1 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 2,735 | 93.5 | 29.1 | 30.0 | 11.6 | 8.4 | 4. 3 | 3. 0 | 7.1 | 6.8 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 3, 238 | 88.3 | 26.9 | 27.4 | 10.7 | 8.0 | 4.0 | 3.9 | 7.4 | 11.5 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 3,731 | 86.9 | 25.7 | 27.6 | 11.8 | 7.8 | 3.9 | 3. 6 | 6.5 | 13.2 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 4,453 | 87.1 | 24.2 | 25.8 | 11.6 | 9.0 | 4.4 | 5.5 | 6.6 | 13.2 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 5,966 | 80.1 | 19.3 | 25.2 | 10. 1 | 8.5 | 3.9 | 5.8 | 7.3 | 19.8 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999. | 8,643 | 80.9 | 16.9 | 25.0 | 12.4 | 8. 5 | 3.3 | 6.7 | 8.1 | 18.5 |
| \$10,000 and over .- | 16, 277 | 64.8 | 11.0 | 19.1 | 8.8 | 6.2 | 1.5 | 11.8 | 6.4 | 34.7 |

${ }^{1}$ The adjusted family income figure used in this table represents total tamily income as used in the income classification (money income plus the value of housing received without money expense), and in addition the value of food and fuel obtained without money expense.
${ }^{2}$ The value of current family living plus surplus (or minus deficit) does not equal exactly 100 percent of adjusted family income because of the net balancing difference. See glossary, appendix B and Tabular Summary, table 1.
${ }^{2}$ Includes expenditures for food and the value of food obtained without money outlay.

- Includes expenditures for housing, household operation and furnishings and equiprent, and the value of housing and fuel obtained without money outlay.
${ }^{5}$ Includes expenditures for automobile purchase and operation, and other transportation.
- Excludes sales taxes, which were included in the expense for the items to which they applied; automobile taxes, which were included in automobile operation expense; taxes on owned homes, included in housing expense; and taxes on other real estate, which were deducted from the gross income from such property.

Includes expenditures for recreation, reading, education, tobacco, and miscellaneous items.
${ }^{3}$ Net surplus represents the excess of average money income over average current money expenditures. See ch. II. Average net deficits declined from 30.6 to 1.0 percent of adjusted family income in the income classes below $\$ 1,750$.

The level at which a family lives in any given year depends not only upon its current income, its past savings, and its credit standing,
but also upon goods and services received without money expense. The most important of these nonmoney items for most families is the unpaid services of the housewife, but it is so difficult to secure an adequate evaluation of these services, that this factor in income was omitted from this survey. It was possible, however, to secure data on the value of housing received by home owners without money expense in the year of the survey, of bousing received as gift or pay, and of food and fuel received without money expense. The data given in table 1 include all these nonmoney items in the figure given for total income, and in the appropriate categories under the heading "money value of current family living" ${ }^{8}$ as a percentage of total income. It is of considerable interest, however, to follow average consumption patterns at successive income levels without regard to the source of the funds used, and without regard to savings. The distribution given in table 2 shows expenditures for the major categories as a percentage of total expenditures for current living, and throws into high relief the changes in emphasis which follow changes in income status. The same facts are presented graphically in figure 2, which shows expenditures at different income levels for the various groups of items, with averages for each category cumulated on the sum of the preceding categories.

Table 2.-Distribution of money value of current family living, by major groups

| Income class | Average total money value of current family living ${ }^{1}$ | Percentage of money value of current family living : |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Food | Home maintenance | Olothing and personal care | Trans-portation | Medical care | Contri. butions and personal taxes | Other items |
| \$500-\$749 | \$893 | 43.1 | 38.5 | 7.2 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 1.1 | 4.5 |
| \$750-\$999 | 1,036 | 40.5 | 36.4 | 9.2 | 3.8 | 4.3 | 1.0 | 4.8 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1,207 | 38.9 | 35.8 | 9.8 | 5.4 | 3.7 | 1.3 | 5.1 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1,408 | 38. 2 | 34.9 | 9.7 | 5.3 | 4.1 | 1. 7 | 6.1 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 1,637 | 36.2 | 33.7 | 10.4 | 6.3 | 4.8 | 2.0 | 6.6 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1,842 | 34.0 | 34.1 | 10.9 | 7.6 | 4.3 | 2.3 | 6.8 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 2,036 | 32.6 | 33.2 | 11.5 | 8.6 | 4.5 | 2.7 | 6.9 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 2, 283 | 33.5 | 32.7 | 11.4 | 7.4 | 4.9 | 2.7 | 7.4 |
| \$2,500-\$2,909 | 2,556 | 31.1 | 32.2 | 12.4 | 8.9 | 4.6 | 3.2 | 7. 6 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 2,858 | 30.5 | 31.0 | 12.1 | 9.0 | 4.6 | 4.4 | 8.4 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 3, 241 | 29.6 | 31.8 | 13.6 | 9.0 | 4.4 | 4.1 | 7.5 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 3,879 | 27.7 | 29.7 | 13.4 | 10.3 | 5.0 | 6.3 | 7.6 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 4,776 | 24.0 | 31.5 | 12.7 | 10.6 | 4.9 | 7.2 | 9.1 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 6,989 | 20.9 | 30.9 | 15.3 | 10.5 | 4.1 | 8.3 | 10.0 |
| \$10,000 and over. | 10, 552 | 17.0 | 29.6 | 13.6 | 9.5 | 2.3 | 18. 2 | 9.8 |

[^3]

Food, most urgent of the essentials of living, absorbed a larger proportion of total expenditures than any other category up to the $\$ 1,750$ level. Food and home maintenance (housing, household operation, and furnishings and equipment combined) accounted for more than three-fourths of total expenditures among families with incomes below $\$ 1,000$. The very urgency of these items means that there is a disproportionately small expenditure for other items at low incomes. As family incomes rise, the number of dollars spent for food and home maintenance also increases. But proportionately other family needs assert themselves vigorously and the relative expenditure for food and home maintenance declines. Nevertheless, among Chicago families in every income class except the highest studied ( $\$ 10,000$ and over), these two groups of items account for more than half of total expenditures.

Expenditures for clothing and personal care generally took the third largest share of total expense. Unlike the food and home maintenance items, such expenditures increased in relative importance as well as in absolute amount as income rose, approximately doubling as a proportion of the total between the bottom of the income scale and the $\$ 3,500$ level. In the highest income brackets, the share received by such expenditures varied from one income level to the next with no clear indication of further relative increase.

In a metropolitan area like Chicago, the ownership of an automobile is far from being a necessity, and for 90 percent of the families in the lowest income groups expenditures for transportation represent only trolley and bus fares, with an occasional week-end trip to a resort on Lake Michigan or a railroad excursion to visit relatives or friends in the country. Ten percent of the families with incomes under $\$ 1,000$, however, reported the ownership of an automobile on which they spent on the average about $\$ 62$ during the year. The percentage of families owning automobiles rose very rapidly, and among families above the $\$ 3,500$ level, the proportions exceeded 75 percent at each income interval, reaching 100 percent in the group with incomes of $\$ 7,500$ to $\$ 10,000$. The rapid growth within a generation in automobile expenditures to rank among the major categories in the average family budget probably represents a more striking change in spending habits than has ever before occurred in an equal period of time.

At almost all income levels except the lowest and the highest, expenditures for medical care constituted, on the average, between 4 and 5 percent of current living. These average expenditures ranged from $\$ 24$ among families with incomes of $\$ 500$ to $\$ 750$ to $\$ 266$ for families with incomes of $\$ 7,500$ or more. The variations concealed in the average expenditure for medical care at any given income level, however, tended to be greater than those found in expenditures for any other category. At every income level up to $\$ 7,500$, there were a
few families which reported no expenditures for medical care, while at every income level above $\$ 750$, some families incurred expenses of over $\$ 400$.
The category designated "contributions and personal taxes" in the present study differs in character somewhat from the other groups of expenditure items. Insofar as gifts made to persons outside the economic family are balanced by gifts received, such expenditures constitute a part of family consumption. Sums spent for items such as money contributions toward the support of individuals or institutions, and personal taxes, represent aspects of family spending that are less directly converted to goods and services consumed than are expenditures for the other categories. Nevertheless, for taxes and contributions to religious organizations, at least, the families making such expenditures receive returns in a variety of forms that constitute important elements in their pattern of living.

As would be expected, expenditures for this group of items were the most elastic among the categories of expenditure. The increase was very gradual, however, at the income levels below $\$ 4,000$. At the $\$ 4,000$ level the average expenditure was $\$ 246$, or more than 6 percent of the total money value of current living, as compared with $\$ 10$, or approximately 1 percent of the expenditures of families with incomes under $\$ 1,000$.

Among the families with incomes below $\$ 2,250$, contributions to religious organizations formed the largest portion of the expenditures for this group of items. The proportion of such contributions in the total for this group decreased rapidly as income increased, while the share spent for the support of relatives increased, and formed the largest portion of these expenditures for families with incomes above $\$ 3,000$. Gifts to persons outside of the economic family fluctuated between 20 and 30 percent of the total for this category among families with incomes between $\$ 1,000$ and $\$ 7,500$. Contributions to the Community Chest and other welfare agencies never exceeded 10 percent of the outlay tor this category of expenditures, and averaged less than $\$ 5$ for the year among all families with incomes below $\$ 3,500$. In contrast, even families in the lowest income group tended to give slightly more than $\$ 5$ a year to their church, Sunday school, and other religious institutions.

Amounts reported for taxes under this heading do not include the types of taxation that are most important to low-income groups. Thus, sales taxes were included in the expense for the items to which they applied. Automobile taxes were included in automobile operation expense. Taxes on owned homes were treated as an expense of home ownership, while taxes on other real property were deducted from the gross income from such property. Income taxes and personal property taxes are, however, included here. They amounted to no
more than $\$ 7$ a year, on the average, among families with incomes of less than $\$ 3,500$, but increased rapidly, as would be expected, among families in the higher income brackets. Considerably less than 1 percent of total expenditures were taken by such personal taxes among families with incomes below $\$ 5,000$. In the three succeeding income intervals, however, these taxes accounted for 1,3 , and 7 percent, respectively, of total expenditures.

Expenditures for recreation as such, tobacco, reading, education, and miscellaneous items have been grouped in tables 1 to 3 under the heading "other items." Tobacco received about 2 percent of total current expense at every income level, and books, newspapers and magazines about 1 percent.

Expenditures for recreation, like those for clothing, increased in successive income classes rapidly enough to account for an increasing proportion of total expenditures over the income range. The amounts spent constituted only 1.4 percent of total expenditures among families receiving less than $\$ 750$, but approximately three times this percentage among families receiving $\$ 5,000$ and over. Up to the $\$ 1,250$ level admissions to motion pictures took more than half the total recreation fund, and even up to the $\$ 5,000$ level average expenditures for motion pictures were greater than for any other related group of items in this category.

In a community as highly urbanized as Chicago the distribution of money expenditures (table 3) is very similar to the distribution of money value of current living presented in table 2. The value of housing obtained without money expense in the year of the survey was the largest nonmoney item in the value of family living. Hence home maintenance is the only consumption category representing a consistently larger proportion of total value of family living than of money expenditure. The difference between money expenditures and the money value of family living averaged as much as $\$ 230$ at the $\$ 10,000$ and over income level and as little as $\$ 21$ at the $\$ 750$ to $\$ 1,000$ level. Total value of family living was 7 percent greater than money expenditures at the lowest income level surveyed, where a relatively large proportion of the families were home owners, and food received without money expense was of greater importance than at higher income levels.

The change in the expenditure pattern over the income range may be summarized by a comparison of the distribution of the money expenditures of Chicago families with incomes of $\$ 500$ to $\$ 750$ and those with incomes of $\$ 10,000$ and more. At the lowest income level covered in the Study, expenditures for clothing, personal care, and transportation together absorbed only slightly more than one-seventh, and the remaining goods and services less than one-ninth as large a share of total money expenditures as did food and home maintenance.

Chicago families in the highest income bracket, on the other hand, spent two-thirds as much for the minor categories as for food and home maintenance, and over one-half as much for clothing, personal care, and transportation.

Table 3.-Distribution of money expenditures for current family living, by major groups

| Income class | Average total ex-penditures ${ }^{1}$ | Percentage of total money expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Food | Home maintenance ? | Clothing and personalcare | Trans-portation ${ }^{3}$ | Medical care | Contributions and personal taxes ${ }^{4}$ | Other items ' |
| \$500-\$749 | \$830 | 42.0 | 38.4 | 7.7 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 1. 2 | 4.7 |
| \$750-\$999 | 1,015 | 39.8 | 36.6 | 9.4 | 3.8 | 4.4 | 1.0 | 5.0 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1,165 | 39.2 | 34.5 | 10.1 | 5.6 | 3.9 | 1.4 | 5.3 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 1,353 | 39.1 | 32.8 | 10.1 | 5. 7 | 4.3 | 1. 8 | 6.2 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 1,605 | 36.7 | 32.5 | 10.7 | 6.5 | 4.8 | 2.0 | 6.8 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1,799 | 34.5 | 32.8 | 11.1 | 7.8 | 4.4 | 2.4 | 7.0 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 1,986 | 33.2 | 31.6 | 11.8 | 8.9 | 4.6 | 2.8 | 7.1 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 2,222 | 34.2 | 31.1 | 11.7 | 7.6 | 5.0 | 2.8 | 7.6 |
| \$2,500-\$2,099 | 2,479 | 31.7 | 30.5 | 12.7 | 9.3 | 4.7 | 3.3 | 7.8 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 2,741 | 31.5 | 28.4 | 12.7 | 9.4 | 4.7 | 4.6 | 8.7 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 3,145 | 30.1 | 30.1 | 14.0 | 9.3 | 4. 6 | 4.2 | 7.7 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 3,760 | 28.3 | 27.8 | 13.8 | 10.6 | 5.2 | 6.5 | 7.8 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 4,619 | 24.3 | 29.6 | 13.1 | 11.0 | 5.1 | 7.5 | 9.4 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 6,851 | 21.1 | 29.7 | 15.6 | 10.7 | 4.2 | 8.5 | 10.2 |
| \$10,000 and over . | 10,322 | 17.2 | 28.1 | 14.0 | 9.7 | 2.3 | 18.6 | 10.1 |

${ }^{1}$ See glossary, appendix B, for the definition of expenditures that was used in this study.
${ }^{2}$ Includes expenditures for housing, household operation, and furnishings and equipment.
${ }^{3}$ Includes expenditures for automobile purchase and operation, and other transportation.
${ }^{4}$ Excludes sales taxes, which were included in the expense for the items to which they applied; automobile taxes, which were included in automobile operation expense; taxes on owned homes, included in housing expense; and taxes on other real estate, which were deducted from the gross income from such property.

Includes expenditures for recreation, tobacco, reading, education, and other items.
The ensuing report will attempt to indicate the answers to questions toward which the investigation was directed. For example: As we move up the income scale, which categories of expenditures rise with greatest regularity? Which are most irregular? How do these changes in expenditures vary as between smaller and larger, or younger and older families or, let us say, between the wage earner and professional groups? At what income level do families definitely enter the market, or recede from the market, for particular kinds of goods and services? Which are relatively urgent items in the family budget; which assume the behavior of luxuries?

It is hoped that consideration given to questions like these may have a bearing on such problems as the establishment of just wage scales; the development of family budgets; estimates of national consumption; the relative taxability of successive income strata in the community; the feasibility of current marketing programs and-in the large-the problem of keeping production in balance with consumption

## Chapter II

## The Family Balance Sheet

The balance sheet for families studied in Chicago may be presented by comparing current money income with money expenditures for current living. Such a balance sheet, calculated for groups at successive economic levels, measures the changing relationship between income and consumption along the income scale, and brings to light the prevalence among low-income groups of spending financed through deficit, and in the upper income groups, of surpluses that account for rapidly increasing proportions of total income.

At every income level up to $\$ 10,000$, some families made annual expenditures in excess of their current annual incomes, while others saved something during the year. Among Chicago families in every income class under $\$ 1,750$, comprising two-fifths of those giving consumption data, average money expenditures exceeded average current money income. (See table 4.) At the income level of $\$ 500$ to $\$ 750$ the excess averaged more than $\$ 200$ for the year. To make up this difference the families drew on savings, bought on credit, or contracted loans. Among families with incomes of $\$ 1,750$ and over average money income exceeded current money expenditures by amounts that rose steadily in successive income classes, until, for those with incomes of $\$ 10,000$ and over (average money income, $\$ 16,047$ ) the excess averaged about one-third of money income.

Table 4.-Average money income and money expenditures for current family living 1

| Income class | Money income | Money expenditures for current family living | Income class | Money income | Money expenditures for current family living |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$500-\$749 | \$621 | \$830 | \$2,500-\$2,999 | \$2,658 | \$2,479 |
| \$750-\$999 | 888 | 1,015 | \$3,000-\$3,499 | 3,121 | 2,741 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1,090 | 1, 165 | \$3,500-\$3,999 | 3,635 | 3,145 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1, 314 | 1,353 | \$4,000-\$4,999. | 4,334 | 3,760 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 1,589 | 1,605 | \$5,000-\$7,499 | 5, 809 | 4,619 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1,833 | 1,799 | \$7,500-\$9,999. | 8,505 | 6,851 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 2,063 | 1,986 | \$10,000 and over. | 16,047 | 10,322 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 2,311 | 2, 222 |  |  |  |

$t$ The difference between average money income and average money expenditures equals the average net surplus or deficit, shown in table 5, except for the balancing difference (never as much as 5.5 percent of total receipts or disbursements, whichever was larger, for any scheduled family); the net balancing difference is given in the Tabular Summary, table 1.

Current income and family resources.-Over an extended period of time most families may be expected to strike a balance between income and purchases plus savings. This does not mean, however, that in any given year a family will match current expenditures with current income. The older family may be living on the capital as well as the income of its past accumulations. The young head of family with good prospects may assume obligations, perhaps on furniture or an automobile, beyond the income of the given year. The family of a business or professional executive may show little deviation in yearly expenditures, in spite of variations in annual income, even though the result is a current surplus in some years and a deficit in others. A large emergency expense for medical care may make it necessary to entrench on savings or encumber future income. Ordinarily a family in the lower half of the income scale will not be able to make a cash purchase of a durable good like a gas range or a suite of furniture out of current earnings, and often not out of available savings. Hence, in a given year, it is to be expected that a fraction of the families will increase their liabilities for the purpose of improving the level of their living while others are keeping well within their incomes and perhaps reducing their liabilities on last year's commitments.

It should be noted, in this connection, that the year covered by the Study of Consumer Purchases was not a "normal" one for a substantial proportion of the families. Incomes of many of them had not recovered from reductions resulting from the depression. However, business conditions were improving and wage rates, as well as employment were on the increase. It is understandable that after restricted buying for several years, families began to incur obligations beyond current income, predicated upon the hope of steady employment and future increases of income. Thus, the net deficit for a family or a group of families recorded in the period of the Study may not reflect a chronic tendency to live beyond income, but rather an optimistic outlook in 1935-36 regarding future income. This interpretation is supported by the data on net installment obligations for Chicago families, which show that, in general, the installment commitments carried over at the end of the schedule year were somewhat greater than those with which the year began. ${ }^{1}$

Had the Study of Consumer Purchases been conducted at another stage in the business cycle, the surplus and deficit record would perhaps have been different in some important respects. At some later date family balance sheets may be studied for a number of successive years, to determine the regularity with which families balance net increases in assets against net increases in liabilities. Meanwhile, an examination of the surpluses and deficits of Chicago families for the year 1935-36 shows that in several income groups

[^4]there must have been families with strikingly unbalanced budgets for the year. These cases are apt to distort the averages for the group. Such instances of random fluctuation in the sample need not, however, obscure the dominant pattern shown by the data.

Surplus and deficit by income levels.-The figures given in table 4 for money income and total money expenditures for current family living represent averages for the entire group of families at the given income levels. At each interval along the income scale, however, there were families that showed a net surplus for the year. (See table 5.) ${ }^{2}$ On the other hand, there were families at every income level except the highest that ended the year with a deficit. Finally, there were a few families at most income levels that broke even for the year, and reported neither surplus nor deficit.

## Table 5.-Average net surplus and deficit

| Income class | Families having surplus ${ }^{1}$ |  | Families having deficit 1 |  | A verage net surplus or deficit (-) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent- } \\ & \text { age } \end{aligned}$ | Average amount | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent- } \\ & \text { age } \end{aligned}$ | Average amount | Amount ${ }^{2}$ | Percentage of money income |
| \$500-\$749 | 22 | \$78 | 70 | \$316 | -\$204 | -33 |
| \$750-\$999 | 44 | 41 | 55 | 237 | -113 | -13 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 52 | 60 | 43 | 218 | $-63$ | -6 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 58 | 79 | 38 | 199 | -29 | -2 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 66 | 88 | 28 | 215 | -3 | (*) |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 73 | 163 | 26 | 298 | 42 | 2 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249- | 77 | 184 | 21 | 255 | 87 | 4 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 75 | 217 | 23 | 278 | 98 | 4 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 76 | 329 | 22 | 292 | 185 | 7 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 85 | 498 | 14 | 379 | 371 | 12 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 90 | 587 | 10 | 354 | 491 | 14 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 88 | 728 | 12 | 421 | 589 | 14 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499. | 94 | 1,333 | 5 | 1,288 | 1,181 | 20 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 99 | 1,617 | 1 | 1,000 | 1,599 | 19 |
| \$10,000 and over | 100 | 5, 647 | ------- |  | 5,647 | 35 |

[^5]Only about one family in five in the income class $\$ 500$ to $\$ 750$ reported a surplus, but more than half of those in every income group beginning with $\$ 1,000$ kept money expenditures below money income. Among the families studied that had incomes of $\$ 5,000$ and over, more than 95 percent had a surplus for the year.

The average amount of the surpluses for families that reported them was under $\$ 100$ in all income classes below $\$ 1,750$. Thereafter the average amount increased rapidly at successive levels, growing to more than $\$ 1,000$ at the $\$ 5,000$ level, and to more than $\$ 5,500$ among the highest income group studied, whose incomes averaged more than $\$ 16,000$. (See fig. 3.)

The average deficits of families that did not make ends meet, on the other hand, were $\$ 200$ or above throughout the income range. They varied between $\$ 200$ and $\$ 300$ up to the $\$ 3,000$ level, with no tendency toward either increase or decrease in relation to income. Among the deficit families that received $\$ 3,000$ and over, the average amount of the deficit was above $\$ 300$. Although there were no Chicago families in the highest income class studied that did not accumulate a surplus, those with deficits in the groups receiving $\$ 5,000$ to $\$ 10,000$ reported an excess of expenditures over income that averaged more than $\$ 1,200$.

When surpluses and deficits are averaged together for all families at a given income level, surpluses were not large enough, nor sufficiently numerous, to outweigh deficits until the $\$ 1,750$ level was reached. Those receiving $\$ 1,500$ to $\$ 1,750$; however, came close to achieving a balance, since average net deficit was only $\$ 3$. Above $\$ 1,750$, the average net surplus rose rapidly, and attained a size amounting to one-fifth of average money income for the income group receiving $\$ 5,000$ to $\$ 7,500$.

While the frequency and amount of surpluses were thus closely related to income, the averages presented here partly obscure the wide variations among families in the same income class in the balancesheet record for the year. As an illustration of such variation, one clerical family containing husband and wife only in the income class $\$ 1,000$ to $\$ 1,250$ reported current expenditures aggregating $\$ 988$, and a surplus of $\$ 55$. Another clerical family of the same composition in the same income group spent $\$ 2,194$, and incurred a deficit of $\$ 1,162$. Similarly, in the upper part of the income scale, two independent professional families of this type, both in the income class $\$ 3,500$ to $\$ 4,000$, reported total expenditures for the year that differed by more than $\$ 4,000$. Thus, one had a surplus of $\$ 1,791$ and the other a deficit of $\$ 2,216$.

## mes PERCENT OF FAMILIES AT SUCCESSIVE INCOME LEVELS HAVING SURPLUS AND DEFICIT IN ONE YEAR

WHITE NONRELIEF FAMILIES INCWDING HUSBAND AND WIFE BOTH NATIVE BORN


Surplus and deficit among occupational groups.-In spite of fluctuations, ${ }^{3}$ some fairly consistent differences appear among families in different occupational groups when current money incomes are balanced against total money expenditures. Families classified as wage-earner and clerical reported smaller average expenditures, at most income levels, than did those in other occupational groups. Those in the independent professional and salaried business categories tended to have the highest average expenditures at a given income level. ${ }^{4}$ As a corollary, families of clerical workers and wage earners with incomes of $\$ 1,750$ or more had net surpluses for the year, while in the other occupational classifications average net deficits-in some cases sub-stantial-were incurred up to a higher point in the income scale. (See table 6.)

Table 6.-Average total money expenditures and net surplus or deficit (-), by occupational group

| Income class | Wage earner | Clerical | Independent busmess | Independent profes- | Salaried business | Salaried professional |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A verage total money expenditures ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | \$1, 356 | \$1,356 | \$1,293 | \$1,436 | \$1,407 | \$1,372 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 1,613 | 1,616 | 1, 518 | 1,641 | 1,599 | 1, 518 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 1,773 | 1,811 | 1,868 | 1,819 | 1,819 | 1,867 |
| \$2,000- \$2,249. | 1,964 | 1,971 | 2,026 | 2,335 | 2, 117 | 2, 020 |
| \$2,250- \$2,499. | 2, 236 | 2,211 | 2,202 | 2,326 | 2,273 | 2,147 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 2,557 | 2,388 | 2,401 | 2,593 | 2,640 | 2,430 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 2, 668 | $\stackrel{2,616}{ }$ | 2,945 | 2,943 | 2,899 | 2,813 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999- | 2,953 | 3,057 | 3, 286 | 3,335 | 3,288 | 3,278 |
|  | 3,690 | 3,857 | 3,588 | 3,708 | 3,954 | 3, 590 |
|  | Average net surplus or deficit ( - ) |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | -\$37 | -\$19 | -\$5 | -\$81 | -\$97 | \$28 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | -15 | $\stackrel{-1}{36}$ | - ${ }^{56}$ | -73 | 8 | 75 |
| \$2, ${ }^{\text {2 }}$, $000-\$ 2,249$ | 110 | ${ }_{94}$ | -48 | --259 | -54 | 107 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499.. | 90 | 93 | 119 | 39 | 82 | 177 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 138 | 255 | 273 | 89 | 78 | 137 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 429 | 481 | 202 | 187 | 223 | 320 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 615 534 | 545 556 | 411 | ${ }_{665}^{398}$ | 394 504 | ${ }_{661} 398$ |
| *4,00- $4,999$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^6][^7]Salaried professional families reported high average surpluses, as compared with families in other occupational groups, at four of the five income levels between $\$ 1,250$ and $\$ 2,500$, although, at succeeding levels, their surpluses were generally smaller than those of wageearner, clerical, and independent business families. Examination of the data on average number of persons per family ${ }^{5}$ indicates that size of family was not the primary factor accounting for this showing.

Surplus and deficit among family type groups.-In accordance with expectations, the data show that the more members there are in the family the higher the income level at which average income is sufficient to cover average expenditures, or, on the other hand, at which average net deficits will give way to average net surpluses. (See table 7.) When the contrast in family size was particularly marked, as between families containing only husband and wife, and those that contained seven or eight members, there was a clear-cut distinction in respect both to average total money expenditures and to the balance between income and expenditures. Thus, while the former reported average net surpluses at all income levels beginning at $\$ 1,500$, the latter reported average net deficits up to the $\$ 2,250$ level. These families also showed the smallest net surpluses at all succeeding levels. Correspondingly, the smaller families spent less for goods and services than the larger, the difference in average outlay varying between $\$ 65$ and $\$ 2,321$. In the majority of the income classes, the average total money expenditures for the large family type exceeded those of the two-person families by more than $\$ 200$. $^{6}$

[^8]Table 7.-Average total money expenditures and net surplus or deficit (-), by family type

| Income class | Family type ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII |
|  | Average total money expenditures ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | \$945 | \$963 | \$990 | \$1, 085 | \$1,453 | \$1,078 | \$1,153 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1,104 | 1,182 | 1,126 | 1,245 | 1,204 | 1,101 | 1,489 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 1,355 | 1,279 | 1,411 | 1,372 | 1,332 | 1,390 | 1,486 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 1,560 | 1,593 | 1,636 | 1, 638 | 1,565 | 1,634 | 1,751 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1,745 | 1,762 | 1,845 | 1,788 | 1,908 | 1,889 | 1,880 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 1,982 | 1,967 | 2,020 | 1,950 | 1,993 | 2,042 | 2,082 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 2,185 | 2,244 | 2,264 | 2, 219 | 2, 179 | 2, 268 | 2,250 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 2,371 | 2,458 | 2,638 | 2,460 | 2,549 | 2,459 | 2,586 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 2,731 | 2,840 | 2, 675 | 2,588 | 2,937 | 2,846 | 2,873 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 3, 120 | 3,328 | 3,204 | 2,936 | 3, 266 | 3,309 | 3, 343 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 3, 607 | 3,793 | 3,996 | 3,703 | 3, 888 | 3,754 | 3,852 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499. | 4,118 | 5, 040 | 4, 652 | 4, 610 | 4, 753 | 4,077 | 6, 439 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 6,889 | 6,705 | 6,849 | 6,886 | 6,339 | 6, 131 | 7,860 |
|  | Average net surplus or deficit (-) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999. | -\$43 | \$-59 | -\$99 | -\$186 | -\$517 | -\$175 | -\$206 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | -71 | $-16$ | $-13$ | -126 | -112 | 9 | -411 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | -54 | 39 | -39 | -64 | 2 | -52 | -98 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 36 | 22 | -10 | -44 | -6 | -27 | -143 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 85 | 94 | 20 | 34 | -90 | -13 | -10 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 98 | 100 | 59 | 112 | 82 | 62 | $-57$ |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 130 | 109 | 104 | 67 | 80 | 95 | 45 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 270 | 262 | 23 | 214 | 57 | 185 | 120 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 388 | 325 | 365 | 501 | 200 | 311 | 196 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 546 | 391 | 497 | 665 | 280 | 290 | 268 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 734 | 618 | 517 | 650 | 384 | 494 | 407 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 1, 822 | 723 | 944 | 1,320 | 857 | 1,305 | -600 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999. | 1, 222 | 1,960 | 1,559 | 1,872 | 1,453 | 2,223 | 803 |

1 The 7 family types are distinguished on the basis of the number and age of members other than husband and wife, as follows:

T'ype $\begin{aligned} & \text { No other persons (families of } 2 \text { ). } \\ & \text { II } 1 \text { child under } 16 \text { (families of } 3 \text { ). }\end{aligned}$
II 1 child under 16 (families of 3 ).
III 2 children under 16 (families of 4).
IV 1 person 16 or over and one or no other person, regardless of age (families of 3 or 4 ).
V 1 child under 16, 1 person 16 or over, and 1 or 2 others, regardless of age (families of 5 or 6 ).
VI 3 or 4 children under 16 (families of 5 or 6 ).
VII 1 child under 16, and 4 or 5 others, regardless of age (families of 7 or 8 ).
${ }^{2}$ See glossary, appendix $B$, for the definition of expenditures that was used in this study.

The difference in total money expenditures between the small and the large family types in the same income class is significant mainly at the lower income levels where it appears that, regardless of income, there is a certain minimum below which large families cannot reduce their expenditures. At the higher levels, where a greater variety of choice is possible, the differences in total expenditures as between large and small families were less consistent and apparently less significant. ${ }^{7}$

Differences in average total money expenditures were by no means so clear-cut between families with three and those with four members. Families containing two children generally spent somewhat more than families with only one child, and showed a slightly less favorable balance at the end of the year. Up to the $\$ 2,000$ income level families with three or four members, at least three of them adults, spent more than families with one child, while at succeeding levels the older families generally had smaller average total expenditures than did families with one or two young children. This suggests that at the lower income levels, when there are one or more adults in a family, in addition to the husband and wife, expenditures for the essentials cannot be reduced so low as when there are only young children, since the food and clothing requirements of adults are greater. At the higher income levels, however, where families have more latitude in their outlays, young couples with one or two children appear to spend more freely than families with at least three members 16 or over.

In most income groups up to the $\$ 4,000$ level, families with three or four children spent more than families with one or two. The

[^9]average total money expenditures of such families tended to run below those of families which contained the same number of persons but included at least three adults.

There seems to be ground for concluding that the addition of a third or fourth child has less bearing on family expenditures than does that of a first or second child. Moreover, the addition of an adult appears to increase family expenditures more markedly at succeeding income levels than does the addition of another child. Nevertheless, the differences by family type, so far as total money expenditures are concerned, are not consistent enough-except as between the smallest and largest family types-to justify any definite ranking on the basis of number and age of the family members. ${ }^{3}$

Surplus and deficit items.-The present chapter has been concerned chiefly with a consideration of the relation between money income and money expenditures, and the net surpluses or deficits reported by families in the several income, occupational, or family type groups. There remains the question as to what kinds of deficit and surplus items were reported, and their relative size among the different groups analyzed. Such information throws light on the means by which families financed expenditures that were in excess of income, and the forms of saving adopted by those that ended the year with a surplus. This analysis will form the subject matter of chapter VIII, following the discussion of expenditures for current family living.

[^10]
## Chapter III

## Food

Chicago families consistently spend more for food than for any other category of consumption, regardless of whether current family income is $\$ 500$ or $\$ 10,000 .{ }^{1}$ Average amounts spent for food by the families studied increased steadily at successive income levels, from less than $\$ 1$ per day among families with incomes between $\$ 500$ and $\$ 750$ to almost $\$ 5$ a day among the relatively few families with incomes of $\$ 10,000$ or more. For the majority of the families, the proportion of total money expenditures devoted to food was roughly one-third. Between the income classes $\$ 1,500$ to $\$ 1,750$ and $\$ 4,000$ to $\$ 5,000$ the average amount devoted to food nearly doubled, although the proportion of total money expenditures allocated to food declined by more than one-fifth. At the lowest income level studied ( $\$ 500$ to $\$ 750$ ), more than two-fifths of the total went for food alone. (See table 8 and fig. 4.) Among those families which reported incomes between $\$ 7,500$ and $\$ 10,000$, on the other hand, food absorbed only about onefifth of total money expenditures. ${ }^{2}$

The declining importance of food is more marked when measured in relation to income than when compared to total expenditures. At the lower income levels, for instance, where current income was typically less than total expenditures, food took a larger share of money income than of expenditures. At the other end of the income scale, where food represented 17 percent of money expenditures, it accounted for only 11 percent of money income. (See table 8.)

[^11]

Table 8.-Average money expenditures for food ${ }^{1}$

| Income class | A verage food expenditures |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per family |  |  | Per meal per equivalent adult |
|  | Amount | Percentage of total money ex-penditures ${ }^{\text { }}$ | Percentage of money income ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| \$500-749 | \$348 | 42.0 | 56.0 | \$0.111 |
| \$750-\$999 | 404 | 39.8 | 45. 5 | . 130 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 457 | 39.2 | 41.9 | . 143 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 529 | 39.1 | 40.2 | . 164 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749.. | 589 | 36.7 | 37.1 | . 181 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 619 | 34. 5 | 33.8 | . 186 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 660 | 33.2 | 32.0 | . 188 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 761 | 34.2 | 32.9 | . 221 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 786 | 31.7 | 29.6 | . 217 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 863 | 31.5 | 27.6 | . 238 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 944 | 30.1 | 26.0 | . 254 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 1,065 | 28.3 | 24.6 | . 268 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 1,125 | 24.3 | 19.4 | . 270 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 1,445 | 21.1 | 17.0 | . 282 |
| \$10,000 and over. | 1,780 | 17. 2 | 11.1 | . 366 |

1 Data were collected on the value of food obtained without money expense (meals and other food received in lieu of pay, the products of home gardens, and meals and other food received as gift). The value of such food was included both in the figures for adjusted income and in value of current family living, but the average amounts of such free food reported by Chicago families were so small that money expenditures for food approximate very closely the level of food consumption for all the families covered in Chicago except at the lowest income level. Among families with incomes of $\$ 500$ to $\$ 750$ the value of free food was $\$ 37$, which was equivalent to one cent per meal per person. Data on free food and on the total value of food are presented only in the Tabular Summary, table 3.
${ }_{2}$ See glossary, appendix $B$, for definitions of expenditures and income.
Expense per meal per equivalent adult.3-In marked contrast to the decline in the percentage of total money expenditures devoted to food, the average expense per meal per person rose steadily at successive income levels. (See table 8.) Families at the lowest income level surveyed allocated 42 percent of their total money expenditures to food, yet they spent on the average only 11 cents per meal per person. Families at the median income interval for the group studied ( $\$ 1,750$ to $\$ 2,000$ ) devoted 34 percent of their total money expenditures to food, but they spent, on the average, between 18 and 19 cents per meal per equivalent adult. Families at the top of the income scale, with 17 percent of their money expense going to food, averaged almost 37 cents.
Expense for food away from home.-The changing character of the expense category labelled "food" is exemplified by the proportion of total food expense allotted to food consumed away from home, as distinguished from food served at home or carried from home. At succeeding income levels there was a steady increase in the proportion of total food expenditures which went for eating out. Families with

[^12]incomes between $\$ 500$ and $\$ 750$ devoted only 3 percent of total food expense to this purpose, and only families with incomes of $\$ 1,750$ and over spent as much as 10 percent of the food dollar, on the average, for food away from home. (See table 9.) On the other hand, families in the income levels above $\$ 7,500$ spent one-fourth or more of their total outlay for food in such manner.

Table 9.-Average money expenditures for food away from home

| Income class | Total food away from home |  | Meals at work | Other food <br> away from home |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount | Percentage of total food expenditures |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | \$12 | 3.4 | \$9 | \$3 |
| \$750-\$999. | 14 | 3.5 | 9 | 5 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 24 | 5.3 | 18 | 6 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 41 | 7.8 | 30 | 11 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 52 | 8.8 | 36 | 16 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 73 | 11.8 | 55 | 18 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 74 | 11.2 | 56 | 18 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 100 | 13.2 | 70 | 30 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999.. | 128 | 16.0 | 87 | 41 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 162 | 18.8 | 104 | 58 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999.- | 176 | 18.7 | 106 | 70 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 241 | 22.8 | 148 | 93 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 246 | 21.8 | 133 | 113 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 409 | 28.3 | 169 | 240 |
| \$10,000 and over. | 535 | 30.0 | 206 | 329 |

At the lower income levels, food away from home consisted chiefly of meals at work. (See table 9.) In other words, this item partook more of the character of an occupational expense than of recreation or entertainment. Among families with incomes of less than $\$ 1,750$, two-thirds or more of the amounts spent for food away from home came within this category. At higher incomes, however, this kind of expenditure decreased in importance until, at the $\$ 7,500$ level, it accounted for less than half of the total expense for meals out. At the same time, the other more strictly recreational items included as food away from home assumed increasing importance. ${ }^{4}$

Food expense by occupational group.-At the income levels at which all occupational groups were covered in this study ( $\$ 1,250$ to $\$ 5,000$ ), the differences in average food expenditures among the various groups were not striking. (See table 10.) In general, wage-earner families spent more for food, at a given income level, than did families in the other occupational groups. Since their total money expendi-

[^13]tures for current living tended to be somewhat below those of the other groups, the proportion of this total devoted to food was rather uniformly high among the wage earners. Independent professional families at most income levels ranked next to the wage-earner group in average dollar expenditures for food. Nevertheless, since the total expenditures for current family living of the independent professional group were relatively high, the proportion of the total devoted to food was in general considerably lower than among the wag-eearner families. Families in the salaried occupational groups spent least for food in terms of both dollar expenditures and the ratio of food expense to total money expenditures. ${ }^{5}$

Table 10.-Average money expenditures for food, by occupational group

| Income class |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

${ }^{1}$ See glossary, appendix B, for the definition of expenditures that was used in this study.
Although wage-earner families had high average food expenditures, they reported relatively low expense per meal per person. This results from the fact that the wage-earner group tended to have larger families, and a greater number of persons 16 years of age and over than other occupational groups. ${ }^{6}$ Their high average food expense was thus the result of family size. In this respect, families in the independent professional category were at the other extreme, with the lowest average number of persons per family. Thus, with average

[^14]expenditures for food per family nearly as high at given income levels as those of the wage-earner families, their expense per meal per person was naturally higher. (See table 11.) Similarly, the two salaried groups in general had higher expense per meal per person than did the families in the clerical and independent business categories, though in average total food expense the relationships were reversed. ${ }^{7}$

Table 11.-Average money expenditures per meal per equivalent adult, by occupational group

| Income class | Wage earner | Clerical | Independent business | Independent professional | Salaried business | Salaried professional |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | \$0.168 | \$0. 157 | \$0. 150 | \$0.158 | \$0. 158 | \$0. 178 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 178 | . 187 | . 181 | . 215 | . 175 | . 171 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 182 | . 185 | . 194 | . 205 | . 190 | 208 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 181 | . 186 | . 196 | . 231 | . 208 | 208 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 227 | . 212 | . 200 | . 222 | . 247 | 218 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | . 219 | . 203 | . 222 | . 252 | . 246 | 213 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 229 | . 236 | . 240 | . 258 | . 253 | 236 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 239 | . 253 | . 259 | . 235 | 247 | 294 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 242 | . 291 | . 262 | . 269 | . 267 | 256 |

One factor in the ability of the wage-earner families to keep food expense per meal per equivalent adult relatively low was the comparatively small amount which they spent for food away from home. Although the differences are not entirely consistent, families in the wage-earner group tended to have low expenditures of this type, as compared with families in the other groups at the same income levels.

Food expense by family type.-When the average food expenditures of families of varying composition are compared, much more clearly defined differences appear than among families of the various occupational groups. These differences reflect chiefly the number of members in the economic family and to a lesser degree the age of those members. The two-person families almost uniformly spent the least for food, with the families containing one child coming next, and the large families containing seven or eight persons ranking highest in average food bill. (See table 12.) The greater cost of food for an adult than for a child is reflected in the generally higher average food expense for families of type V than of type VI. Both contained five or six members, but the former had from one to three persons over 16 years of age in addition to husband and wife, while the latter contained only children under 16 in addition to the parents.

[^15]Table 12.-Average money expenditures for food, by family type

| Income class | Family type ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII |
| \$750-\$999 | \$356 | \$386 | \$436 | \$429 | \$566 | \$428 | \$505 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 399 | 450 | 481 | 481 | 588 | 468 | 579 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 496 | 473 | 565 | 548 | 587 | 602 | 707 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 525 | 582 | 632 | 560 | 666 | 698 | 712 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 536 | 590 | 670 | 607 | 744 | 725 | 789 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 523 | 629 | 729 | 684 | 772 | 737 | 858 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 627 | 768 | 770 | 816 | 821 | 840 | 947 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 644 | 734 | 816 | 805 | 909 | 853 | 1,020 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 738 | 806 | 868 | 842 | 1,035 | 1,038 | 1,080 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 827 | 903 | 961 | 911 | 1,106 | 1,025 | 1,177 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 808 | 1,053 | 1,099 | 1,036 | 1,329 | 1,071 | 1,361 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | ${ }^{903}$ | 1,068 | 1,129 | 1,171 | 1,333 | 1,147 | 1, 836 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 1,296 | 1,100 | 1,393 | 1,428 | 1,544 | 1,647 | 2,107 |

[^16]The differences in average food expenditures among families of different size is illustrated by a comparison of the proportion of total money expenditures devoted to food. Quite clearly, the larger the family, the greater the importance of the food item. (See table 13.) Among two-person families, food never accounted for as much as 40 percent of total money expenditures at any of the income levels covered. But among the families containing seven or eight members (type VII), it took two-fifths of the total at all incomes up to $\$ 2,500$. Again, the husband-and-wife families devoted less than one-fourth of total money expenditures to food at incomes of $\$ 4,000$ and over, while families with three or four children under 16 and those with five or six members other than husband and wife spent more than one-fourth of the total on food even when income amounted to $\$ 7,500$ and over.

It is noteworthy that, especially at the lower income levels, food expenditure was more rigid among the larger than among the smaller families. Thus, for example, among families containing three or four children under 16 there is little change within the income range from $\$ 750$ to $\$ 1,750$ in the proportion of total money expenditures devoted to food. A similar situation may be observed in connection with families containing seven or eight persons in the income range from $\$ 1,500$ to $\$ 2,500$. At higher income levels, however, the proportion of total money expenditures allocated to food declined about as rapidly among the large as among the small families.

Table 13.-Percentage of total money expenditures devoted to food, by family type ${ }^{1}$
[Horizontal lines mark income interval in which food takes from one-quarter to two-fifths of total family expenditure]

| Income class | Family type ${ }^{\text {, }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII |
| \$750-\$990 | 37.7 | 40.2 | 44.2 | 39.6 | 39.0 | 39.8 | 43.8 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249.. | 36.1 | 38.1 | 42.8 | 38.6 | 49.0 | 42.6 | 38.8 |
| \$1,250-\$1,490 | 36.7 | 37.1 | 39.9 | 40.0 | 44.1 | 43.3 | 47.7 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 33.6 | 36. 5 | 38.7 | 34.2 | 42.7 | 42.7 | 40.7 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 30.9 | 33.6 | 36.3 | 33.8 | 39.0 | 38.5 | 41.9 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 26.4 | 32.0 | 36.1 | 35.2 | 38.7 | 36.1 | 41.2 |
| \$2,250-\$2,490 | 28.8 | 34.2 | 33.9 | 36.8 | 37.6 | 37.0 | 42.1 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 27.1 | 29.9 | 30.9 | 32.7 | 35. 7 | 34.7 | 39.4 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 27.0 | 28.4 | 32.5 | 32.6 | 35.2 | 36.5 | 37.6 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 26.7 | 27.1 | 30.0 | 31.2 | 33.9 | 31.0 | 35.2 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 22.4 | 27.8 | 27.5 | 28.0 | 34.2 | 28.5 | 35.4 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 22.0 | 21.3 | 24.3 | 25.4 | 28.1 | 28.1 | 28.5 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999. | 18.8 | 16.4 | 20.4 | 20.8 | 24.3 | 26.9 | 26.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ See glossary, appendix B, for the definition of expenditures that was used in this study.
1 The 7 family types, distinguished on the basis of the number and age of members other than husband and wife, were as follows:

Type
I No other person (families of 2).
II 1 child under 16 (families of 3 ).
III 2 children under 16 (families of 4).
IV 1 person 16 or over and 1 or no other person, regardless of age (families of 3 or 4).
V 1 child under 16, 1 person 16 or over, and 1 or 2 others, regardless of age (families of 5 or 6).
VI 3 or 4 children under 16 (families of 5 or 6 ).
VII 1 child under 16 , and 4 or 5 others, regardless of age (families of 7 or eight).
Table 14.-Rank comparison, by family type, of (A) the average money expenditures for food per family and (B) average money expenditures per meal per equivalent adult ${ }^{1}$

| Income class | Family type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I |  | II |  | III |  | IV |  | V |  | VI |  | VII |  |
|  | A | B | A | B | A | B | A | B | A | B | A | B | A | B |
| \$750-\$999. | 7 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 3-4 | 4-5 | 3-4 | 1 | 5 | 4-5 | 6 | 2 | 7 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 7 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 3-4 | 3 | 3-4 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 7 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 7 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 7 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 7 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 7 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 7 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 7 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 7 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 7 | 1 | 5-6 | 2 | 5-6 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 7 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 7 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 7 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 7 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 2-3 | 6 | 2-3 | 5 | 1 | 7 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 7 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 7 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 7 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 7 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499. | 7 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 7 | 1 | 6 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999. | 6 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 6 |

${ }^{1}$ Low numbers in the A columns indicate high dollar expenditures per family; in the B columns, low numbers mean high per person meal expense. Tied ranks indicate approximately equal expense, by family of different types.
${ }^{2}$ The 7 family types, distinguished on the basis of the number and age of members other than husband and wife, were as follows:

Type
I No other person (families of 2).
II 1 child under 16 (families of 3 )
III 2 children under 16 (families of 4).
IV 1 person 16 or over and 1 or no other person, regardless of age (families of 3 or 4).
V 1 child under 16, 1 person 16 or over, and 1 or 2 others, regardless of age (families of 5 or 6 ).
VI 3 or 4 children under 16 (families of 5 or 6 ).
VII 1 child under 16, and 4 or 5 others, regardless of age (families of 7 or 8).

While average dollar expenditures for food were thus directly related to family size, expense per meal per person showed a consistent inverse relationship. (See table 14.) The two-person families, which had the lowest total food expenditures, had without exception the highest expense per meal per person. The seven- or eight-person families, with the largest expenditures, had the lowest expense per meal per food-expenditure unit. It was not until the latter families reached the $\$ 4,000$ to $\$ 5,000$ income level that their average expenditures per meal per equivalent adult equaled those of the two-person families with incomes of $\$ 1,000$ to $\$ 1,250$. $^{8}$

Such differences are explained in part by the fact that unit food costs are commonly somewhat lower when food is purchased and prepared in relatively large quantities. It is probable, also, that large families purchased somewhat cheaper foods than did small families, and that at the lower income levels they generally had less adequate diets. Certainly the small families spent more on food away from home, which undoubtedly helped to increase their expense per meal per person.

Summary.-At all income levels, food was the most important category of consumption among the Chicago families studied. Although average food expense increased less rapidly over the income range than total money expenditures, it was only among the families with incomes of $\$ 10,000$ and over that it accounted for less than onefifth of the total.

While part of this increase was due to a more generous and probably a more adequate diet among the higher income families, a part was also undoubtedly due to the increasing importance of the luxury items. This is typified by the increasing importance in the food budget of expenditures for food away from home. The rising expense per meal per person at successive income levels probably reflects both factors.
Occupational classification seems to have much less to do with food expenditures than does family composition. Wage-earner families in general had rather high average food expense, due chiefly to family size, which was slightly larger than among the other occupational groups.

The relationship between family composition and average food expense at given income levels was very close. The larger families spent more for food, both in dollar amounts and in proportion to their total expenditures. These larger sums were not proportional to the differences in family size, however, so that an almost perfect inverse correlation appeared between family size and expense per meal per person.

[^17]
## Chapter IV

## Home Maintenance

Next to food, housing is the most important category in the family budget. As in the case of food, average money expenditures for housing (together with fuel, light, and refrigeration) ${ }^{1}$ increased almost without exception from one income level to another among Chicago native white families. (See table 15.) Again, as in the case of food, however, these expenditures increased at a rate less rapid than total income, and the percentage of total expenditures allocated to housing declined as income increased. It absorbed, on the average, about one-third of total money expenditures among families with incomes of $\$ 1,000$ or less, one-fourth among families up to the $\$ 2,250$ income level, one-fifth from there to the $\$ 4,000$ level, and one-sixth even for families with incomes of $\$ 10,000$ and over.

Table 15.-Average money expenditures for home maintenance

| Income class | Total home maintenance |  | Housing ${ }^{\text {1 }}$ |  | Household operation ? |  | Furnishings and equipment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount | Percentage of total money expenditures ${ }^{3}$ | Amount | Percentage of total money expenditures ${ }^{3}$ | Amount | Percentage of total money expenditures ${ }^{3}$ | Amount | Percentage of total money expenditures ${ }^{3}$ |
| \$500-\$749. | \$318 | 38.4 | \$286 | 34.6 | \$26 | 3.1 | \$0 | 0.7 |
| \$750-\$999. | 372 | 36.6 | 325 | 32.0 | 30 | 2.9 | 17 | 1.7 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 401 | 34.5 | 335 | 28.8 | 36 | 3.1 | 30 | 2.6 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 444 | 32.8 | 366 | 27.1 | 48 | 3.5 | 30 | 2.2 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 523 | 32.5 | 428 | 26.6 | 57 | 3. 5 | 38 | 2.4 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 591 | 32.8 | 465 | 25.8 | 68 | 3.8 | 58 | 3. 2 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 629 | 31.6 | 481 | 24.2 | 84 | 4.2 | 64 | 3. 2 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 690 | 31.1 | 517 | 23.3 | 105 | 4. 7 | 68 | 3.1 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 754 | 30.5 | 554 | 22.4 | 124 | 5.0 | 76 | 3.1 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 777 | 28.4 | 545 | 19.9 | 153 | 5. 6 | 79 | 2.9 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 947 | 30.1 | 651 | 20.7 | 180 | 5. 7 | 116 | 3.7 |
| \$4,000- \$4,999 | 1,045 | 27.8 | 688 | 18. 3 | 259 | 6.9 | 98 | 2.6 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 1,371 | 29.6 | 842 | 18.2 | 407 | 8.8 | 122 | 2. 6 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999. | 2,036 | 29.7 | 1,190 | 17.3 | 681 | 10.0 | 165 | 2.4 |
| \$10,000 and over. | 2,898 | 28. 1 | 1,663 | 16.1 | 950 | 9.2 | 285 | 2.8 |

[^18]Total money value of housing. ${ }^{2}$ - Money expenditures for housing by no means represent the total value of the housing utilized during the year by these Chicago families, since many of them occupied owned homes whose rental value exceeded current outlay for upkeep, and some lived in dwellings that were provided as part of pay, or as a gift. In the case of home owners, a sum, "imputed income from home ownership," was added to money income, representing the difference between the rental value of the home for the months of occupancy and the expenses incurred as a result of ownership. For many families this income was a substantial item. In the case of families that received housing as gift or pay the rental value also was treated as an addition to income.

Accordingly, the data on average value of housing provide a better measure of the level of living as far as housing is concerned than do figures for money expenditures alone. The "income" from the family's equity in an owned home (when occupancy value is greater than current expense) thus takes the form of housing rather than cash income. For an accurate representation of total income and total consumption, therefore, such nonmoney items must be added to both sides of the family balance sheet.

On this basis the importance of housing, when measured in terms of its value in relation to total expenditures (or total money value of current family living) is somewhat greater, at income levels above $\$ 1,000$, than the ratio between money expenditures for housing and total money outlay. Housing absorbed from one-fourth to over one-third of total expenditures at all income levels up to $\$ 2,500$, and one-fifth of the total even for families with incomes of $\$ 5,000$ to $\$ 7,500$. (See fig. 5.) The total value of housing increased somewhat more regularly with income than did the current dollar expenditures for housing, as might be expected when account is taken of the increasing proportion of home ownership, and therefore of the increasing amounts of imputed income from housing at the upper income levels. (See table 16.)

[^19]

Table 16.-Housing: Average money expenditures and average money value ${ }^{1}$

| Income class | Money expenditures for housing ? |  | Money value of housing ${ }^{3}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount | Percentage of total money expenditures ${ }^{3}$ | Amount ${ }^{4}$ | Percentage of money value of current family living : |
| \$500-\$749 | \$286 | 34.6 | \$310 | 34.9 |
| \$750-\$999 | 325 | 32.0 | 329 | 31.9 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 335 | 28.8 | 365 | 30.3 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 366 | 27.1 | 413 | 29.3 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 428 | 26.6 | 456 | 27.9 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 465 | 25.8 | 501 | 27.2 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 481 | 24.2 | 527 | 25. 9 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 517 | 23.3 | 574 | 25.1 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 554 | 22.4 | 622 | 24.3 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 545 | 19.9 | 654 | 22.9 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 651 | 20.7 | 734 | 22.6 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 688 | 18.3 | 793 | 20.5 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499. | 842 | 18.2 | 976 | 20.4 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 1, 191 | 17.3 | 1,317 | 18.9 |
| \$10;000 and over. | 1,663 | 16.1 | 1,885 | 17.9 |

${ }^{1}$ Averages based on all families: Renters, owners, and those that received housing as gift or pay.
; Includes expense for fuel, light, and refrigeration.
${ }^{2}$ See glossary, appendix B, for definitions of expenditures and money value of current family living.
4 Includes the value of housing and fuel received without money expense.
Money expenditures for household operation.-Household operation accounted for less than 4 percent of total money expenditures for families with incomes below $\$ 2,000,5$ percent or more for families with incomes of $\$ 2,500$ to $\$ 4,000$, and almost 10 percent for families at the income level of $\$ 7,500$ and above (table 15).

This category included two main groups of items: Household help, for which the proportion of families reporting expenditure increased markedly with income; and supplies ${ }^{3}$ and other services, which were in the expense account of all families. The average outlays for supplies and for telephone, laundry, and miscellaneous services increased somewhat less rapidly than total expenditures. They amounted to $\$ 26$ for families with incomes of $\$ 500$ to $\$ 750, \$ 65$ for those at the median income interval ( $\$ 1,750$ to $\$ 2,000$ ) and $\$ 241$ for those in the highest bracket. (See table 17.) At the lower income levels, these expenditures were attributable chiefly to laundry and cleaning supplies, with telephone coming second. For families with incomes below $\$ 1,250$, such supplies were the largest single item; above that point, families incurred a larger average expense for laundry sent out than for laundry and cleaning supplies. At most income levels above $\$ 1,250$, too, families spent, on the average, only slightly less for telephone service than for laundry sent out. Only at the $\$ 5,000$ income level and above, however, did expenditures for telephone service average as much as $\$ 4.50$ a month. ${ }^{4}$

[^20]Table 17.-Average money expenditures for household operation ${ }^{1}$

| Income class | Total | Paid household help |  |  | Other services and supplies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Amount | Families reporting |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent- } \\ \text { age } \end{gathered}$ | A verage expense |  |
| \$500-\$749 | \$26 |  |  |  | \$26 |
| \$750-\$999. | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 36 | \$2 | 5 | \$40 | 34 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 48 | 1 | 4 | 25 | 47 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749.. | 57 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 56 |
| \$1,750-81,999 | 68 | 3 | ${ }^{6}$ | 50 | ${ }^{65}$ |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 84 | 9 | 12 | 75 | 75 |
| \$2, 250-\$2,499. | 105 | 17 | 19 | 89 | 88 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 124 | 28 | $\stackrel{27}{ }$ | 104 | 96 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 153 | 48 | 39 | 123 | 105 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 181 | 70 | $\stackrel{42}{63}$ | 167 | 109 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999.. | 259 407 | 119 | 63 78 | 189 314 | 140 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 407 |  | 78 93 |  | $\stackrel{162}{229}$ |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | ${ }_{950}^{681}$ | 452 709 | 98 91 | 486 779 | 241 |

${ }^{1}$ Other than for fuel, light, and refrigeration, which were included with expense for housing proper.
In contrast to expenditures for supplies and miscellaneous services, those for household help absorbed an increasing proportion of total money expenditures at succeeding income levels and exceeded the former at the $\$ 5,000$ level. The average payments of all families for household help amounted to less than $\$ 10$ a year among families with incomes below $\$ 2,000$, more than $\$ 100$ for families with incomes of $\$ 4,000$ and more, and $\$ 700$ for those at the highest level. The rise in these averages reflects in large part the increasing proportion of families that reported help. (See table 17.) Household help was employed by about 1 in every 20 families with incomes below $\$ 2,000$. Among those with incomes of $\$ 7,500$ and more, 9 in every 10 families reported payments for household help, some of the remainder receiving this service as part of rent.

The rise in the average outlays for household help, per family employing help, suggests, furthermore, that with rising incomes Chicago families employed full-time help more frequently than part-time help and similarly, trained servants more commonly than general workers. Among families with incomes of $\$ 5,000$ and more, for example, more than twice as much was spent for full-time as for part-time help. ${ }^{5}$

Money expenditures for furnishings and equipment.-Furnishings and equipment absorbed, on the whole, a rising share of total money expenditures up to about the $\$ 2,000$ income level, where they comprised 3.2 percent of the total. At higher incomes they constituted

[^21]in general a somewhat decreasing share of this total. Available evidence indicates that expenditures in this category were largely for furniture and such types of household goods as require frequent replacement. However, at most incomes above $\$ 1,750$ there were fairly substantial expenditures for refrigerators, chiefly electric. ${ }^{6}$

As regards expenditures for durable goods of the type here considered, wide variations among families are to be expected because some already have these items, while others have still to acquire them. In addition, these variations are also due to the varying tastes and habits of individual families. Every family differs in most of its expenditures from the average for the group. The range of variation among families at a given income interval is often greater than the range in averages between succeeding income levels. Most of these variations are obscured by the use of averages for families of a given occupational group or family type at a given income level.

Expenditures for home maintenance.-When expenditures for housing, household operation, and furnishings are combined into the broader category, home maintenance, the influence of the largest constituent, housing, is apparent in the decline over the income range in the relative size of the category. Thus, the total value of home maintenance, including the money value of housing and fuel obtained without money expense, declined from nearly 39 percent of the value of current living at the $\$ 500$ to $\$ 750$ level to slightly under 30 percent for the group receiving $\$ 10,000$ or over. In terms of money expenditures alone, the relative decline was slightly greater, since the nonmoney value of housing was much higher at the top of the income scale than at the bottom. The value of home maintenance equaled or exceeded that for food as low in the income scale as the $\$ 1,750$ to $\$ 2,000$ level. (See table 2.)

Occupational differences in money expenditures for housing.-When current money expenditures for housing are compared for Chicago families in the six occupational groups, clear differences are to be found. The families of wage earners at most income levels had the lowest expenditures, followed in general by the clerical group, while families in the independent professional category ranked highest (table 18). ${ }^{7}$

[^22]Table 18.-Housing: Average money expenditures and average money value, by occupational group

| Income class | Wage earner | Clerical | Independent business | Independent professional | Salaried business | Salaried professional |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average money expenditures ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | \$345 | \$394 | \$392 | \$461 | \$430 | \$404 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 415 | 446 | 405 | 500 | 468 | 433 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 448 | 483 | 490 | 535 | 460 | 475 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 462 | 480 | 536 | 526 | 506 | 541 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 523 | 493 | 557 | 637 | 540 | 515 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 523 | 559 | 576 | 619 | 638 | 530 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 466 | 512 | 687 | 657 | 591 | 598 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 556 | 619 | 631 | 772 | 784 | 653 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 500 | 705 | 694 | 822 | 758 | 688 |
|  | Average money value ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | \$391 | \$441 | \$475 | \$510 | \$430 | \$414 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 455 | 455 | 440 | 517 | 467 | 469 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 488 | 511 | 541 | 572 | 508 | 520 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 507 | 535 | 574 | 588 | 546 | 543 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 562 | 570 | 631 | 703 | 575 | 562 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 567 | 639 | 642 | 688 | 691 | 655 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 576 | 649 | 804 | 687 | 665 | 693 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 721 | 701 | 676 | 838 | 818 | 723 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 639 | 768 | 834 | 968 | 845 | 809 |

[^23]Occupational differences in the total money value of housing.-Occupational differences in the total money value of housing followed the pattern found in expenditures for housing. When the money value of housing received without money expense in the year of the survey is added to current money expenses to obtain the total value of housing, approximately the same occupational differences appear as in the case of money expense alone. Families in the wage-earner group ranked low, followed by the clerical group, with independent professional families at the other extreme. ${ }^{8}$

The value of housing obtained without direct money outlay represented primarily imputed income from owned family homes. A few families reported imputed income from the ownership of vacation homes. Only a small number received rent as pay or gift, although the amounts were often substantial for the families that received rent in return for services. Salaried professional and clerical families reported

[^24]slightly more rent received without money expense than other occupational groups. ${ }^{9}$

With one general exception, the relative amount of imputed income shown for all families at a given income level was determined by the proportion of families owning their homes. Home ownership was most common in Chicago among the wage-earner and independent business families, and least common among families in the professional and salaried business categories. ${ }^{10}$ Nevertheless, independent professional families ranked with those in the independent business and wageearner groups in respect to the average amounts of imputed income received. ${ }^{11}$ It would appear that the value of owned homes, or at least the value of owners' equities, was relatively high among owning families in the independent professional group, corresponding to the comparatively large average rents paid by renting families in this occupational category.

Occupational differences in household operation expense.-At every income level between $\$ 1,250$ and $\$ 5,000$, families in the wage-earner group had the lowest average money expenditures for household operation, and families in the independent professional group, with one exception, the highest. (See table 19.) ${ }^{12}$
Families in the independent professional and independent business groups with incomes from $\$ 3,500$ up spent more on the average for paid help than for other household operation expenses. Families in the independent professional and salaried business groups commonly had the highest expenses for supplies and other services, while wage earners fell at the opposite extreme. ${ }^{13}$ Expenditures for laundry sent out generally constituted the major item of other household expense among families in the several occupational groups at comparable income levels between $\$ 1,250$ and $\$ 5,000$, although they were relatively small for wage-earner families. ${ }^{14}$

Household help, except for business and professional families with incomes of $\$ 4,000$ and more, was usually employed on a part-time rather than a full-time basis. Among families of wage earners and clerical workers average expenses were greater at most income levels for part-time than for full-time help. Among families in the business

[^25]and professional categories outlay for part-time help was greater only at incomes below $\$ 2,500 .{ }^{15} \quad$ Expenditures for domestic help were most substantial among independent business and independent professional families, and were of lesser importance among families of wage earners at corresponding income levels. ${ }^{16}$

Table 19.-Average money expenditures for household operation, ${ }^{1}$ by occupational group

| Income class | Wage earner | Clerical | Independent business | Independent professional | Salaried business | Salaried professional |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | \$44 | \$53 | \$55 | \$77 | \$67 | \$53 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 50 | 67 | 56 | 68 | 58 | 60 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 59 | 71 | 94 | 99 | 85 | 77 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 74 | 85 | 85 | 107 | 105 | 127 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 93 | 109 | 131 | 174 | 115 | 100 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 107 | 133 | 140 | 183 | 130 | 115 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 106 | 146 | 211 | 237 | 186 | 156 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 128 | 164 | 207 | 309 | 215 | 179 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 182 | 249 | 269 | 373 | 302 | 238 |

1 Other than fuel, light, and refrigeration.
Occupational differences in money expenditures for furnishings and equipment.-When the several occupational groups are compared with reference to the amounts spent for furnishings and equipment, their relative positions are quite different from those noted in the case of housing and household operation. (See table 20.) Wage earners ranked high in this type of expense, in general considerably higher than the families in the clerical group at comparable income levels. Families in the independent professional category, on the other hand, tended to fall in the lower half of the scale with respect to these expenditures. ${ }^{17}$

Table 20.-Average money expenditures for furnishings and equipment, by occupational group

| Income class | Wage earner | Clerical | Independent business | $\qquad$ | Salaried business | Salaried professional |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | \$37 | \$18 | \$9 | \$24 | \$46 | \$36 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 48 | 28 | 21 | 33 | 35 | 20 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 62 | 55 | 31 | 27 | 54 | 109 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 68 | 64 | 59 | 81 | 56 | 40 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 88 | 59 | 45 | 29 | 48 | 64 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 90 | 68 | 73 | 50 | 77 | 73 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 116 | 70 | 67 | 73 | 66 | 54 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 133 | 129 | 126 | 109 | 85 | 94 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 103 | 88 | 131 | 73 | 67 | 134 |

[^26]Among all groups of families, most of the expenditures for furnishings and equipment went for furniture and such household goods as linen, china, and kitchen equipment. This was especially true among families in the business and professional categories, where in most cases from 85 to 100 percent of the total went into this type of purchase. Among families of clerical workers, and particularly of wage earners, a larger share of the total (and among wage earners, a larger average amount) went for the purchase of the larger items of household equipment, chiefly electric refrigerators and, to a lesser extent, washing machines and vacuum cleaners.

The somewhat larger average expenditures of wage-earner families for furnishings and equipment, incurred particularly for such articles as refrigerators and other substantial furniture items, may be explained partly by the fact that outlays for furnishings and equipment are influenced by the type of dwelling occupied. Hence, the greater tendency for business and professional families to occupy modern fully-equipped apartments finds reflection in fewer purchases of such equipment on their part. In their greater outlays for refrigerators, vacuum cleaners, or washing machines, the wage-earner families tend to make up for the difference which was found between their relatively low rents or rental values and the higher ones characteristic of the white-collar occupational groups. In terms of total cost for home maintenance, therefore, differences among the occupational groups become less important, suggesting standards of home maintenance at given income levels more nearly comparable than are indicated by the data on housing and fuel, light, and refrigeration.

Table 21.-Average money expenditures for home maintenance, by occupational group

| Income class | Wage earner | Clerical | Independent business | Independent professional | Salaried business | Salaried professional |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | \$426 | \$465 | \$456 | \$562 | \$543 | \$493 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 513 | 541 | 482 | 601 | 561 | 513 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 569 | 609 | 615 | 661 | 599 | 661 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 604 | 629 | 680 | 714 | 667 | 708 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 704 | 661 | 733 | 840 | 703 | 679 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 720 | 760 | 789 | 852 | 845 | 718 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 688 | 728 | 965 | 967 | 843 | 808 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 817 | 912 | 964 | 1, 190 | 1,084 | 926 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 785 | 1,042 | 1,094 | 1,268 | 1,127 | 1,060 |

Expenditures for home maintenance among family type groups.-In contrast to the rather clear differences found among families belonging to the various occupational groups, the variations in average money expenditures for housing among families of different type were by no means consistent. (See table 22.) ${ }^{18}$

[^27]Table 22.-Housing: Average money expenditures and average money value, by family type

| Income class | Family type ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII |
|  | A verage money expenditures? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | \$321 | \$284 | \$277 | \$375 | \$492 | \$354 | \$329 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 342 | 327 | 311 | 352 | 350 | 327 | 354 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 345 | 386 | 362 | 381 | 358 | 360 | 369 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 440 | 430 | 409 | 444 | 404 | 401 | 415 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 475 | 450 | 463 | 461 | 453 | 509 | 447 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 499 | 503 | 429 | 455 | 513 | 519 | 439 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 503 | 519 | 542 | 526 | 487 | 526 | 523 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 578 | 595 | 588 | 525 | 508 | 567 | 516 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 536 | 646 | 541 | 463 | 622 | 584 | 544 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 646 | 698 | 740 | 608 | 606 | 811 | 599 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 657 | 784 | 828 | 664 | 650 | 716 | 587 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 736 | , 887 | 884 | . 889 | ${ }^{826}$ | 711 | 1,016 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 1,347 | 1,254 | 1,210 | 1,165 | 1,232 | 933 | 844 |
|  | A verage money value * |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999. | \$321 | \$284 | \$299 | \$394 | \$433 | \$365 | \$305 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 409 | 329 | 317 | 384 | 396 | 334 | 394 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 409 | 413 | 381 | 450 | 416 | 410 | 398 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 452 | 445 | 433 | 491 | 473 | 421 | 477 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 498 | 469 | 490 | 533 | 514 | 519 | 507 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 514 | 532 | 489 | 540 | 560 | 559 | 511 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 557 | 557 | 556 | 617 | 580 | 550 | 577 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 637 | 617 | 665 | 611 | 589 | 655 | 592 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 657 | 691 | 675 | 611 | 704 | 624 | 649 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 705 | 727 | 762 | 728 | 739 | 879 | 715 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 711 | 833 | 891 | 778 | 834 | 913 | 718 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 862 | 914 | 1,008 | 1,018 | 1,129 | 895 | 1,195 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 1,385 | 1,254 | 1,360 | 1,305 | 1,385 | 1,271 | 1,007 |

1 The 7 family types, distinguished on the basis of the number and age of members other than husband and wife, were as follows:

Type
I No other person (families of 2 ).
II 1 child under 16 (families of 3 ).
IV 1 person 16 or over and I or no other person, regardless of age (families of 3 or 4)
$V 1$ child under 16,1 person 16 or over, and 1 or 2 others, regardless of age (families of 5 or 6 ).
TV 3 or 4 children under 16 (families of 5 or 6 ).
VII 1 child under 16, and 4 or 5 others, regardless of age (families of 7 or 8 ).
2 Including expense for fuel, light, and refrigeration.
${ }^{3}$ Including expense for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and the value of housing and fuel obtained without money expense.

Home ownership tended to be most common among the largest families (type VII) and those containing at least one adult in addition to the husband and wife. ${ }^{19}$ Families with three or four children generally came next, while those containing only one child least frequently owned their homes. Thus, as would be expected, home ownership was most characteristic of the older families studied.

When the nonmoney values of housing were combined with current money expenditures to make up the total value of housing, as shown on table 8, it appears that families with three to six members, at least three of them over 16 (types IV and V) clearly tended to rank high. ${ }^{20}$

[^28]It is interesting to note that families with one or two children ranked somewhat higher in respect to the total value of housing in the upper than in the lower portion of the income scale. (See table 22.) On the other hand, two-person families and those with three or four members, at least three over 16 , had relatively higher values, as compared with the other groups, at the low income levels. In general, families of adults seem to be more "house proud," to use Peixotto's phrase, ${ }^{21}$ than are others. Families with small children have more urgent demands for their available funds at the low income levels, but, as income permits, expand their housing facilities at a relatively rapid rate.

One consequence of the comparatively large housing expenditures of the husband-and-wife families at the lower income levels appears in their relatively more spacious quarters (table 23). At almost all income levels studied in Chicago, they reported an average of twice as many rooms as persons, a higher ratio than for any other family type. Families of three or four members, at least three over 16, came next, with an average of from 0.62 to 0.80 persons per room. Families of five or six persons (types V and VI) had fewer rooms than household members at the lower income levels, while the largest families (type VII), whose housing took on the average the smallest proportion of the family budget, had fewer rooms than persons at all income levels.

Table 23.-Average number of persons per room, by family type

| Income class | Family type ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII |
| \$750-\$999 | 0.58 | 0.78 | 0.88 | 0.80 | 0.96 | 1.17 | 1.56 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | . 51 | . 88 | . 95 | . 78 | 1.06 | 1. 15 | 1.35 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | . 56 | . 80 | . 89 | . 78 | 1.06 | 1. 09 | 1.26 |
| \$1.500-\$1,749 | . 54 | . 76 | . 86 | . 72 | . 96 | 1. 02 | 1.31 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | . 53 | . 72 | . 85 | . 70 | 1.02 | 1. 08 | 1.27 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | . 50 | . 64 | . 90 | . 74 | . 90 | 1.05 | 1. 23 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | . 48 | . 72 | . 82 | . 68 | . 97 | 1.02 | 1.26 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | . 53 | . 66 | . 80 | . 68 | . 97 | . 96 | 1.15 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | . 49 | . 62 | . 75 | . 66 | . 89 | 1.00 | 1.15 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | . 48 | . 66 | . 75 | . 62 | . 90 | 1.00 | 1.17 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | . 50 | . 66 | . 74 | . 67 | . 95 | . 91 | 1.17 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | . 44 | . 66 | . 82 | . 63 | . 78 | . 96 | 1.10 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | . 58 | .61 | . 71 | . 68 | . 74 | . 82 | 1.14 |

${ }^{1}$ The 7 family types, distinguished on the basis of the number and age of members other than husband and wife, are as follows:

Type
I No other person (families of 2).
II 1 child under 16 (families of 3 )
III 2 children under 16 (families of 4).
IV 1 person 16 or over and 1 or no other person, regardless of age (families of 3 or 4).
V 1 child under 16,1 person 16 or over, and 1 or 2 others, regardless of age (families of 5 or 6 ).
VI 3 or 4 children under 16 (families of 5 or 6 ).
VII 1 child under 16, and 4 or 5 others, regardless of age (families of 7 or 8 ).
With respect to expenses for household operation there is but slight relationship to family type. ${ }^{22}$ When these expenditures are broken

[^29]down into their component items, it is found that at the low income levels, ${ }^{23}$ telephone service bore a higher ratio to other expenses for two-person families than for larger families. Among families with one child under 16 , laundry and cleaning supplies tended to be a larger item than laundry sent out up to the $\$ 1,250$ income level; for families with more members, this relationship persisted to a higher income level. The total expense, however, is not consistently correlated with family size or type.
Employment of household help showed a much more definite relationship to family type, the average sums thus expended being definitely larger for families with all children under 16 (types II, III, and VI) than for the families containing a larger proportion of adults (types I, IV, V, and VII). For most family types, part-time help was more commonly employed than full-time, at least until the upper income levels were reached. Among families with all children under 16, amounts spent for full-time help exceeded those for parttime help at income levels above $\$ 2,500$, but among the other families, only at a much higher level. ${ }^{24}$

There was little consistent difference among family types in expenditures for furnishings and equipment, as table 24 shows, although in general the younger families (types I, II, III, and VI) tended to spend somewhat more than did the older families (types IV, V, and VII). It seems reasonable that the younger families, which are in the process of building up the equipment of their homes, should make heavier expenditures than the more mature families at the same income levels.

Table 24.-Average money expenditures for furnishings and equipment, by family type

| Income class | Family type : |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII |
| \$750-\$999 | \$24 | \$11 | \$19 | \$3 | \$25 | \$26 | \$22 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 33 | 30 | 32 | 34 | 8 | 15 | 38 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 46 | 17 | 38 | 13 | 13 | 52 | 14 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 34 | 41 | 57 | 38 | 24 | 22 | 28 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 71 | 69 | 44 | 49 | 62 | 45 | 31 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 71 | 54 | 90 | 62 | 50 | 45 | 46 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 79 | 60 | 65 | 74 | 57 | 73 | 31 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 67 | 93 | 96 | 64 | 88 | 64 | 77 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 101 | 96 | 76 | 62 | 70 | 65 | 65 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 165 | 155 | 77 | 75 | 117 | 78 | 160 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 108 | 58 | 86 | 108 | 93 | 154 | 85 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 144 | 84 | 116 | 123 | 126 | 139 | 119 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999... | 163 | 365 | 292 | 99 | 133 | 320 | 84 |

${ }^{1}$ The 7 family types are distinguished on the basis of the number and age of members other than husband and wife, as follows:

Tupe
I No other persons (families of 2).
II 1 child under 16 (families of 3 ).
III 2 children under 16 (families of 4).
IV 1 person 16 or over and 1 or no other person, regardless of age (families of 3 or 4).
V 1 child under 16,1 person 16 or over, and 1 or 2 others, regardless of age (families of 5 or 6 ).
VI 3 or 4 children under 16 (families of 5 or 6 ).
VII 1 child under 16, and 4 or 5 others, regardless of age (families of 7 or 8 ).

[^30]When all expenditures for home maintenance are considered, as a group, as in table 25, we find no consistent relationship among family types throughout the income range. At the lower income levels, the younger families (types I, II, III, and VI) showed a tendency to spend less on home maintenance than did the largest families and those that contained adults in addition to husband and wife (types IV, V, and VII). At low income levels, families composed primarily of persons over 16 may be inclined to put more emphasis on living quarters than the families with young children, with their higher expenditures for household help and medical care, can afford to do. Furthermore, the adult family requires more space than a family of the same size with young children.

Table 25.-Average money expenditures for home maintenance, by family type

| Income class | Family type ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII |
| \$750-\$999. | \$373 | \$323 | \$331 | \$410 | \$547 | \$414 | \$380 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 416 | 388 | 376 | 426 | 388 | 373 | 455 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 442 | 454 | 446 | 439 | 415 | 454 | 423 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 536 | 531 | 514 | 542 | 475 | 480 | 484 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 620 | 585 | 576 | 572 | 585 | 622 | 545 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 668 | 641 | 612 | 592 | 627 | 656 | 542 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 699 | 705 | 705 | 696 | 622 | 701 | 631 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 769 | 839 | 837 | 707 | 692 | 748 | 673 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 797 | 950 | 756 | 667 | 816 | 830 | 719 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 1,004 | 1,109 | 1,073 | 803 | 867 | 1,152 | 897 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 1,021 | 1,193 | 1,284 | 982 | 1,008 | 1,196 | 796 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 1,305 | 1,452 | 1,383 | 1,397 | 1,298 | 1,183 | 1,656 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 2,336 | 2,249 | 2,256 | 1,915 | 1,735 | 2,121 | 1,547 |

[^31]In the upper part of the income scale, families with young children tend to overtake those with adults in respect to home maintenance expenditures. As income permits, the younger families expand their housing facilities at a relatively rapid rate. Furthermore, the higher family incomes in the adult family types often reflect the presence of several earners and may therefore provide a lower level of living than the same income to one earner with a family of young children,

## Chapter V

## Clothing and Personal Care

Clothing, ${ }^{1}$ one of the three major categories in a family budget, absorbed a much smaller proportion of the total expenditures for current family living than did either food or housing. Among Chicago families with incomes between $\$ 500$ and $\$ 1,000$ the total expenditure for clothing was only one-sixth that for food, and only about one-fifth the amount spent for housing (including fuel, light, and refrigeration). But while the proportion of total family expenditures devoted to food declined with increasing income, the proportion devoted to clothing increased. The differences between the amounts spent for these groups of items were therefore relatively less at the higher than at the lower income levels: Families with incomes of $\$ 5,000$ or more spent approximately one-half as much for clothing as for food, and approximately two-thirds as much for clothing as for housing.

The expenditures made for clothing by the Chicago families studied were more elastic than for most other groups of items, the chief exceptions being the automobile, and contributions and personal taxes. Clothing expenditures, which at the income level of $\$ 500$ to $\$ 750$ averaged only $\$ 46$ per family, or 5.5 percent of total money expenditures, reached a peak average of $\$ 1,289$, or 12.5 percent of the total, among families with incomes of $\$ 10,000$ or more. (See table 26 and fig. 6.)

Table 26.-Average money expenditures for clothing and personal care

| Income class | Clothing and personal care combined |  | Clothing |  | Personal care |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount | Percentage of total money expenditures | Amount | Percentage of total money $\otimes$ ex penditures | Amount | Percentage of total money expenditures ${ }^{1}$ |
| \$500-\$749 | \$64 | 7.7 | \$46 | 5.6 | \$18 | 2.2 |
| \$750-\$999 | 95 | 9.4 | 74 | 7.3 | 21 | 2.1 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 118 | 10.1 | 93 | 8.0 | 25 | 2.1 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 137 | 10.2 | 109 | 8.0 | 28 | 2.1 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 171 | 10.7 | 136 | 8.5 | 35 | 2.2 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 200 | 11.1 | 164 | 9.1 | 36 | 2.0 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 234 | 11.8 | 192 | 9.7 | 42 | 2.1 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 261 | 11.7 | 212 | 9.5 | 49 | 2.2 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 315 | 12.8 | 261 | 10.5 | 54 | 2.2 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 346 | 12.7 | 287 | 10.5 | 59 | 2.2 |
| \$3,500-83,999 | 441 | 14.0 | 371 | 11.8 | 70 80 | 2.2 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 520 | 13.8 | 440 | 11.7 | 80 | 2.1 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499. | 604 | ${ }_{15}^{13.1}$ | 513 | 11.1 | 91 | 2.0 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 1,071 | 15.6 14.0 | 913 1,289 | 13.3 12.5 | 158 | 2.3 1.5 |
| \$10,000 and over | 1,440 | 14.0 | 1,289 | 12.5 | 151 | 1.5 |

1 See glossary, appendix B, for definition of expenditures that was used in this study.

[^32]Expenditures for clothing thus behaved like those for a luxury commodity, with average outlays very low at the bottom of the income scale, but increasing as income rose, not only in amount but in relation to total expenditures. At the upper income levels these amounts purchased not only the garments required by the climate and the conventions, but an increasing proportion of comforts and even luxuries, as style and variety became factors of increasing importance.

The very small expenditures for clothing at the lower income levelsless than $\$ 100$ per family, on the average, for all families with incomes between $\$ 500$ and $\$ 1,250$-are more easily understood if we bear in mind that frequent exchanges of clothing are made between family members, that when rigid economy is necessary, articles of clothing are often made and remade at home, and that frequent gifts of new or partly worn clothing are received, particularly by families with children. Preliminary data are available which suggest the importance of clothing gifts received from persons outside the economic family. They show, at all income levels, that the average value of such gifts to infants under 2 years of age was greater than the average amounts spent for their clothing. The value of clothing gifts to children 2 to 11 years of age was, on the average, at least one-fourth as large as the outlays for their clothing, at income levels up to $\$ 2,000$. Although the value of clothing gifts was relatively less important for older family members, such gifts were reported by a substantial proportion of the families. ${ }^{2}$

Average expenditures for personal care.-Since expenditures for personal care (including barber-shop and beauty-parlor services, toilet articles and cosmetics) are closely related to those for clothing, it seems appropriate to consider them here. It might be expected that outlays for personal care would be far more elastic than those for clothing, but the contrary is the case. As table 26 indicates, the personal care expenditures exhibited a marked degree of consistency in their relationship to the family's total expense. At all income levels, they accounted for approximately 2 percent of total money expenditures for current family living, although average amounts expended increased from $\$ 18$ to $\$ 158$. $^{3}$ Families with incomes between $\$ 500$ and $\$ 1,750$ spent one-third to one-fourth as much on personal care as on clothing, while those with incomes of $\$ 5,000$ or more spent only one-sixth to one-eighth as much. The relatively constant share of total money expenditures allotted to personal care by the families studied is indicative of the extent to which barber-shop and beauty-parlor services, as well as toilet articles and cosmetics, have become an

[^33]
integral part of the level of living of native white families even at the lower income levels.

Expenditures for personal care were classified into two major groups: Personal service, including barber shop and beauty parlor expenditures; and toilet articles and cosmetics. ${ }^{4}$ Chicago native white complete families with incomes of $\$ 1,000$ or more showed an average expense of $\$ 2$ a month for total personal care, but only those with incomes of $\$ 2,250$ or more averaged as much as $\$ 2$ per month for personal services alone. Families with incomes up to $\$ 1,750$ devoted slightly less than one-half of their expenditures for personal care to services at beauty parlors and barber shops.

Expenditures of husbands and wives.-At all the income levels covered in the Chicago survey, the wives tended to have higher clothing expenditures than their husbands. ${ }^{5}$ For incomes below $\$ 2,500$, the wives' clothing bill was from 10 to 20 percent higher than that of the husbands. Only at the $\$ 5,000$ level did the clothing expenditures of the wives begin to exceed their husbands' outlays by as much as one-fourth.

For personal services, ${ }^{6}$ on the other hand, at least in families with incomes under $\$ 2,250$, the husbands spent larger amounts than the wives-enough larger so that, when expenditures for clothing and personal services are considered together, the average figure for husbands was approximately equal to that for wives, and at several income levels greater.

At first consideration it may seem surprising that busbands spent more than wives on personal services. At the lower income levels it is likely that the major portion of these outlays (which did not, after all, reach an average of $\$ 10$ per year) was devoted to haircuts. This was undoubtedly a larger item for men than for women. It is often possible for women to care for their hair at home at very small money expense.

At incomes above $\$ 2,250$, the average expenditures of wives on personal services exceeded those of the husbands, reflecting an increased use of beauty-parlor services.

Comparison among occupational groups.-For any of the income brackets between $\$ 1,250$ and $\$ 5,000$ (within which all the occupational groups were represented) we find little evidence that the occupational factor influenced the average family expenditure for clothing or personal care. None of the occupational groups varied appreciably from the general pattern, in which clothing and personal care together took about 10 percent of the total family expenditures at $\$ 1,250$ to $\$ 1,500$, rising to approximately 14 percent for families with incomes

[^34]of $\$ 3,500$ to $\$ 5,000$. (See table 27.) Such differences as do appear will be noted in the distribution of the family clothing expenditures between husband and wife, and parents and children, discussed below.

Table 27.-Average money expenditures for clothing and personal care as a percentage of total money expenditures, ${ }^{1}$ by occupational group

| Income class | Wage earner | Clerical | Independent business | Independent professional | Salaried business | Salaried professional |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 9.6 | 10.9 | 10.6 | 9.2 | 10.0 | 10.2 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 10.8 | 10.4 | 10.3 | 10.8 | 10.9 | 11.6 |
| \$1,750--\$1,999. | 10.9 | 11.7 | 10.6 | 9.6 | 10.8 | 11.4 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 12.1 | 12.0 | 10.7 | 11.7 | 11.5 | 10.4 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 11. 0 | 12.1 | 12.9 | 13.6 | 12.7 | 12. 2 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 11.7 | 13.5 | 13.0 | 12.8 | 12.3 | 14.1 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 13.8 | 12.6 | 12.2 | 11.8 | 12.3 | 11.6 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 13.3 | 14.5 | 15. 5 | 14.6 | 12.7 | 14.3 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 14.3 | 13.6 | 13.4 | 12. 1 | 14.4 | 14.4 |

${ }^{1}$ See glossary, appendix B, for the definition of expenditures that was used in this Study.
Examination of the individual schedules indicates that differences among families within any one occupational group at a given income level were frequently greater than differences in the average clothing expenditures of families in different occupational groups; and often the differences among individual families at the same income level were as great as the difference in average expenditures at nearly opposite ends of the income scale. Such wide individual differences are due in some part to the number of family members, other than husband and wife, for whom clothing must be provided. They are also due to differences in the amount of free clothing received by different families, and to the fact that during the report year, some families purchased major items of clothing, such as overcoats, and some did not. They reflect also wide divergences in family tastes and habits, particularly at the upper income levels, where there is greater freedom of consumer choice.

With reference to personal care alone, the only considerable difference to appear among occupational groups concerns primarily the wage-earner families. Below $\$ 3,000$, families of wage earners ranked low; that is, spent a relatively small proportion of total outlay for personal care, while those with incomes of $\$ 3,000$ or more ranked relatively high. This change is due in large measure, of course, to the greater number of gainfully employed members in wage-earner families in the upper income brackets.

Expenditures for beauty-parlor and barber-shop services were small at all income levels to $\$ 5,000$, never averaging more than $\$ 1$ per week per family. ${ }^{7}$ Those in the wage-earner category at most income levels spent as little as any group, while the outlays of those

[^35]in the independent professional and salaried business categories were generally the largest．

Clothing expenditures of husbands and wives，by occupational group．－ Rather clear－cut differences appeared in the average clothing expendi－ tures of husbands and wives in different occupational groups，as shown in table 28．Both husbands and wives in the wage－earner families ranked low；that is，spent the least，for clothing．This was uniformly true in families with incomes of $\$ 2,500$ and more．The expenditures of husbands in the professional and salaried business families generally exceeded those of husbands in other groups．Among the wives，the average expenditures were largest in the salaried professional group， followed in general by those in the independent professional category． The relatively small clothing expenditures of both husbands and wives in the wage－earner group were apparently due principally to the larger families characteristic of that group in Chicago，and the consequently greater pressure on incomes at every level by families in this as compared with the other groups．

Table 28．－Average money expenditures of husbands and wives for clothing，by occupational group

| Income class | Wage earner |  | Clerical |  | Independ－ ent business |  | Independ－ ent pro－ fessional |  | Salaried business |  | Salaried profes－ sional |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { "u } \\ & \text { 哥 } \\ & \text { 苞 } \\ & \text { 田 } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 品 } \\ & \text { 品 } \\ & \text { 田 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 发 |  | 者 | 苟 | 呂 | 號 | － |
| \＄1，250－\＄1，499 | \＄34 | \＄38 | \＄45 | \＄45 | \＄36 | \＄41 | \＄45 | \＄34 | \＄34 | \＄42 | \＄45 | \＄42 |
| \＄1，500－\＄1，749 | 48 | 50 | 49 | 47 | 42 | 45 | 52 | 64 | 45 | 51 | 50 | 71 |
| \＄1，750－\＄1，999 | 54 | 59 | 64 | 59 | 56 | 64 | 62 | 47 | 62 | 56 | 69 | 72 |
| \＄2，000－\＄2，249． | 65 | 66 | 65 | 73 | 59 | 73 | 54 | 95 | 76 | 69 | 55 | 76 |
| \＄2，250－\＄2，499 | 70 | 67 | 64 | 78 | 64 | 99 | 87 | 115 | 89 | 98 | 73 | 91 |
| \＄2，500－\＄2，999． | 72 | 79 | 78 | 92 | 79 | 95 | 103 | 126 | 87 | 104 | 96 | 126 |
| \＄3，000－\＄3，499 | 86 | 92 | 95 | 105 | 98 | 106 | 106 | 118 | 102 | 106 | 91 | 122 |
| \＄3，500－\＄3，999 | 87 | 111 | 119 | 137 | 149 | 180 | 134 | 182 | 118 | 134 | 136 | 153 |
| \＄4，000－\＄4，999 | 112 | 127 | 130 | 156 | 135 | 157 | 129 | 161 | 178 | 168 | 136 | 190 |

In examining table 28 it may be seen that for the clerical group， the expenditures of husbands were relatively larger when family income was between $\$ 1,250$ and $\$ 2,250$ than at higher levels．This relationship seems to indicate the pressure felt by men in this group to maintain a certain norm as regards dress and grooming，even on a small income．At the income levels above $\$ 2,250$ ，the clerical workers apparently do not feel it necessary to go much beyond that norm．At these levels，the element of choice becomes a more im－ portant factor；the average expenditures of husbands in the business and independent professional groups increased more rapidly with increases in income than did those in the clerical group．

Average expenditures of husbands for personal services were re－ markably uniform，both at succeeding income levels and as among
different occupational groups（table 29）．Apparently there is a minimum below which it is difficult for the man in a metropolis to reduce these expenditures，regardless of occupational category．On the other hand，even at higher incomes，men do not tend to spend much more than this minimum．Occupational differences in the expenditures of wives，while not large，were somewhat more clear－cut． At incomes below $\$ 1,500$ ，they spent less than the husbands in each occupational group；at incomes of $\$ 2,500$ or above，they spent more． In general，wives in the two professional categories tended to spend somewhat more than others．

Table 29．－Average money expenditures of husbands and wives for personal services， by occupational group

| Income class | Wage earner |  | Clerical |  | Independ－ ent business |  | Independ－ ent pro－ fessional |  | Salaried business |  | Salaried profes－ sional |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 发 |  | $\stackrel{y}{3}$ | 若 | $\stackrel{\Phi}{4}$ |  | 坒 | 或 | 淅 |
| \＄1，250－\＄1，499． | \＄7 | \＄3 | \＄8 | \＄5 | \＄7 | \＄5 | \＄10 | \＄4 | \＄8 | \＄7 | \＄10 | \＄5 |
| \＄1，500－\＄1，749． | 8 | 4 | 7 | 7 | 9 | 8 | 10 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 6 |
| \＄1，750－\＄1，999． | 8 | 7 | 10 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 12 |
| \＄2，000－\＄2，249 | 8 | 7 | 11 | 9 | 8 | 6 | 15 | 19 | 10 | 11 | 8 | 11 |
| \＄2，250－\＄2，499 | 9 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 9 | 17 | 12 | 18 | 12 | 12 | 10 | 13 |
| \＄2，500－\＄2，999 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 13 | 11 | 17 | 12 | 15 | 10 | 12 |
| \＄3，000－\＄3，499 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 15 | 10 | 20 | 11 | 19 | 10 | 14 |
| \＄3，500－\＄3，999． | 12 | 13 | 12 | 19 | 18 | 20 | 13 | 23 | 13 | 18 | 12 | 22 |
| \＄4，000－\＄4，999． | 11 | 14 | 14 | 26 | 14 | 23 | 12 | 18 | 12 | 20 | 13 | 24 |

Expenditures of families of varying composition．－When families of different composition are compared with respect to average family expenditures for clothing and personal care，it is evident that the age of members other than husband and wife had as much influence on this category of expense as did the number of such members．The largest families spent the most，followed by those with three or four members other than husband and wife，at least one of them under 16 and one 16 or more．${ }^{8}$ The families with two children under 16 were in about the same position as those with one or two persons other than husband and wife，at least one of them 16 or over．Families con－ taining only two or three persons（type I and II）and those with three or four children under 16 tended to have the smallest expendi－ tures．
In view of the differences in expenditures for all items among fam－ ilies of given types，the relationship is somewhat different when the comparison is based on the share of total money expenditures absorbed by clothing and personal care．（See table 30．）The families con－ taining only children under 16 （types II，III，and VI）tended to spend

[^36]a relatively small proportion of the family total on clothing and personal care. The couples without other members (type I) spent more of their expenditures on clothing and personal care than did the youngchild families, but did not rank so high in this respect as the families with three or more persons 16 or over (types IV and V). The fact that families with three or four children under 16 generally devoted a smaller proportion of their total money expenditures to clothing than did three- or four-person families with at least one member 16 or over, in addition to husband and wife, may be explained as due to two factors. Since the style factor is relatively unimportant with reference to children's clothes, they can be outfitted at considerably less expense than adults, even though clothes must be purchased more frequently for them. Moreover, as mentioned above, children are much more likely than adults to receive both new and partly worn clothing as gifts.

Table 30.-Average money expenditures for clothing and personal care as a percentage of total money expenditures, ${ }^{1}$ by family type

| Income class | Family type |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII |
| \$750-\$999. | 9.8 | 10.5 | 9.5 | 7.8 | 8.1 | 8.2 | 9.0 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 9.4 | 11.5 | 10.5 | 9.4 | 9.4 | 8.4 | 12.6 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 10.8 | 9.6 | 9.2 | 10.4 | 11.1 | 9.6 | 9.4 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 10.7 | 11.1 | 10.5 | 9.8 | 11.5 | 10.8 | 12.2 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 11.4 | 10.6 | 10.4 | 11.9 | 11.8 | 10.0 | 11.4 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 11.4 | 11.2 | 12.3 | 12.1 | 11.6 | 12. 1 | 13.7 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 11. 7 | 11.4 | 11.5 | 11.7 | 13.0 | 12. 3 | 13.0 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 11.3 | 12.2 | 11.8 | 13.4 | 14.2 | 12.9 | 14.4 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 13.2 | 11.5 | 13.3 | 11.8 | 13.4 | 13.0 | 13.8 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 13.9 | 14.2 | 12.6 | 14.2 | 14.7 | 12.9 | 15.3 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 13.3 | 13.1 | 13.2 | 14.5 | 13.5 | 12.7 | 16.2 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 12.5 | 11.5 | 13.0 | 13. 4 | 16. 6 | 12. 6 | 11.4 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 14.4 | 10.6 | 12.9 | 18.6 | 12.7 | 14. 2 | 16. 3 |

${ }^{1}$ See glossary, appendix B, for the definition of expenditures that was used in this study.
, The 7 family types, distinguished on the basis of the number and age of members other than husband and wife, were as follows:

Type
II No other person (families of 2).
II 1 child under 16 (families of 3).
III 2 children under 16 (families of 4).
IV 1 person 16 or over and 1 or no other person, regardless of age (families of 3 or 4).
V 1 child under 16, 1 person 16 or over, and 1 or 2 others, regardless of age (families of 5 or 6).
VI 3 or 4 children under 16 (families of 5 or 6 ).
VII 1 child under 16, and 4 or 5 others, regardiess of age (families of 7 or 8 ).
It should be noted that, while the largest families studied tended on the whole to rank high in the proportion of total expenditures devoted to personal care, this was less true of those families with incomes between $\$ 750$ and $\$ 2,500 .{ }^{9}$ Although expenditures of this type were on the whole remarkably inelastic, it seems likely that the

[^37]demands of a large family on a small budget were too pressing to allow these families to devote much to personal care. ${ }^{10}$

With reference to the distribution of personal care expenditures, another point is worth noting. The two-person families and those containing at least one person 16 or over, other than husband and wife (types IV and V) tended to allocate a larger share of expenditures for personal care to barber-shop and beauty-parlor services than other families. Families containing seven or eight members, on the other hand, quite consistently devoted a larger share of their personal care expenditures to toilet articles and preparations than families of other types. ${ }^{11}$

Expenditures of husbands and wives in families of varying composi-tion.-As might be expected, the proportion of the total family clothing expenditure used for the clothing of husbands and wives tended to vary inversely with the number of persons in the family. It constituted approximately four-fifths of the total for families with one child, but less than one-half the total among the largest families studied (type VII) with families containing three to six members ranging between. (See table 31.) The age of members other than husband and wife was likewise a factor determining the proportion of family clothing expenditures used by husbands and wives; families containing two children under 16 ranked higher in this respect than those containing one or two persons other than the husband and wife, at least one of them 16 or over; families containing three or four children ranked above those with three or four members at least one of them 16 or over. Furthermore, the rankings are remarkably consistent throughout the income scale.

When families of all types and occupational groups were combined, the average expenditures of wives for clothing generally exceeded those of husbands, as already noted. But there were marked family type differences in the relationships between the expenditures of husbands and wives. Among the two- and three-person families (types I and II), wives took a larger share of total clothing expenditures than did husbands at all except three income levels. (See table 31.) Among the larger families, however, and particularly those with two to four children under 16 , as well as those containing seven or eight members, the average expenditures of wives were quite frequently smaller than those of husbands, even at income levels of $\$ 5,000$ and above.

[^38]Table 31．－Average clothing expenditures of husbands and wives as a percentage of total clothing expenditures，by family type ${ }^{1}$

| Income class | Family type ？ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 13 |  | II |  | III |  | IV |  | V |  | VI |  | VII |  |
|  | ？ | 惢 | 碳 | 采 | 品 | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & \hline 1 \end{aligned}$ | 号 |  | 碄 | 骎 | 芴 |  |  | 品 |
| \＄750－\＄999 | 41.9 | 56.8 | 34.6 | 46． 2 | 27.6 | 34.2 | 27.7 | 27.7 | 22.8 | 18.5 | 33.8 | 18.3 | 16.7 | 27.4 |
| \＄1，000－\＄1，249． | 45.1 | 53.7 | 36．4 | 47.3 | 32.3 | 33.3 | 33.3 | 31.1 | 16.7 | 17.8 | 29.6 | 47.9 | 16.9 | 14.9 |
| \＄1，250－\＄1，499 | 47.9 | 50.4 | 34.4 | 38.7 | 26.9 | 35．6 | 24.6 | 28.9 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 27.6 | 21.9 | 21.0 | 14.9 |
| \＄1，500－\＄1，749 | 46.5 | 52.7 | 39.0 | 40.4 | 31.6 | 29．5 | 31.7 | 31.7 | 22.9 | 20.8 | 28.4 | 22.0 | 16.8 | 12.4 |
| \＄1，750－\＄1，999 | 47.9 | 52.1 | 41.8 | 39.9 | 32.2 | 34． 2 | 28.9 | 26.6 | 20.7 | 22.3 | 29.7 | 25.3 | 21.3 | 18.4 |
| \＄2，000－\＄2，249 | 44.3 | 53.5 | 36． 1 | 41.5 | 32.4 | 32.4 | 31．6 | 31．6 | 21.4 | 20.3 | 26.9 | 26.4 | 19.9 | 17.5 |
| \＄2，250－\＄2，499． | 42.5 | 57.0 | 38.0 | 38.5 | 35.8 | 32.5 | 24.3 | 29.9 | 22.0 | 23.8 | 28.8 | 27.9 | 17.2 | 12.8 |
| \＄2，500－\＄2，999 | 46.3 | 53.2 | 37.9 | 46.8 | ${ }^{30.2}$ | 37.4 | 24.8 | 32.1 | 19.6 | 19.3 | 31.7 |  | 15.3 | 13.7 |
| \＄3，000－\＄3，499． | 42.5 | 57.5 | 37.9 | 45.2 | 33.8 | 31.1 | 31.2 | ${ }^{29.2}$ | 21.6 | 23.4 | ${ }^{31.1}$ | 29.8 | 17.4 | 17.7 |
| \＄3，500－\＄3，999 | 46.3 | 53.1 | 35.8 | 49.1 | 37.9 | 37． 6 | 28.9 | 34． 6 | 20.6 | 22.6 | 27.8 | ${ }^{36.1}$ | 14.9 | 17.6 |
| \＄4，000－\＄4，999－ | 41.7 | 56.3 | ${ }^{41.4}$ | 41.8 | 29.9 | 44.7 | 28.1 | 32.5 | 24.1 | 24.8 | 32.1 | ${ }^{28.5}$ | 17.7 | 15.4 |
| \＄5，000－\＄7，499 | 40.1 33.9 | 57.6 <br> 64.7 | 31.1 <br> 29.4 | 49.1 | 31．8 8 | 34.8 36.8 | 28.6 | 30.5 43.6 | ${ }_{25 .}^{24.7}$ | ${ }_{33.7}^{22.7}$ | 29．6 | 28.9 36.3 | 22．1 | ${ }_{29.5}^{18.2}$ |
| \＄7，500－\＄9，999． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 43.6 |  |  | 30.9 | 36.3 | 18.4 | 29.5 |

1 See Tabular Summary，table 6，for average dollar expenditure of husbands and wives．
${ }_{2}$ The 7 family types，distinguished on the basis of the number and age of members other than husband and wife，were as follows：

## Type

I No other person（families of 2）．
II 1 child under 16 （families of 3 ）．
III 2 children under 16 （families of 4）．
IV 1 person 16 or over and 1 or no other person regardless of age（families of 3 or 4）．
$V 1$ child under 16,1 person 16 or over，and 1 or 2 others regardless of age（families of 5 or 8 ）．
VI 3 or 4 children under 16 （families of 5 or 6 ）．
VII 1 child under 16，and 4 or 5 others，regardless of age（families of 7 or 8）．
${ }^{3}$ The clothing expenditures of husbands and wives in families of type I will not add to 100 percent when－ ever a family classified under this heading included a third person who was a member less than 27 weeks， provided clothing was purchased for that person．See glossary，appendix B．

The greater elasticity of expenditures for clothing among wives than among husbands is not difficult to explain．In families with small children，and in the large families，the wife is only rarely an earner，so long as the husband is present in the family group．The husband，on the other hand，is usually the chief and often the only earner；he is，therefore，under greater pressure to maintain a certain minimum of expenditures in this field，even at the lower income levels． Thus，while the expenditures of husbands in the largest families studied averaged two－fifths to three－fourths those of husbands in the two－person families，the expenditures of wives in those larger families averaged only one－fourth to less than three－fifths the amounts spent by wives in the two－person families．The advertisers may tempt a woman to spend lavishly for her personal appearance，but the wife in a large family with a limited budget will reduce her own expendi－ tures drastically，not only by foregoing the services offered in beauty parlors，but even more effectively by making and remaking her own clothes，and minimizing the style factor in her expenditures．

## Chapter VI

## Transportation

Transportation expenditures and income.-The elasticity of the transportation item in the budgets of the Chicago native white families studied offers eloquent testimony to the mobility of the American family. Although it must yield to food and shelter in the amount of average expenditure, there is no mistaking the readiness of the average family to increase expenditures for transportation as rapidly as increased income will permit. Thus, the families at the bottom of the income scale spent on the average less than one-tenth as much for transportation as for food. At the other end of the scale, families spent on the average almost one-half as much for transportation as for food. Between families that received $\$ 750$ to $\$ 1,000$ income and those that received 10 times as much, $\$ 7,500$ to $\$ 10,000$, average expenditures for transportation multiplied more than eighteenfold. The share of total money expenditures absorbed by transportation thus increased from less than 4 percent among families with incomes below $\$ 1,000$ to between 10 and 11 percent for families with incomes over $\$ 4,000$. (See table 32 and fig. 7.)

Table 32.-Average money expenditures for total transportation

| Income class | Amount | Percentage of total money expenditures ${ }^{t}$ | Income class | Amount | Percentage of total money expenditures ${ }^{\text {: }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$500-\$749. | \$26 | 3.1 | \$2,500-\$2,999. | \$230 | 9.3 |
| \$750-\$999. | 39 | 3.8 | \$3,000-\$3,499. | 258 | 9.4 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 65 | 5. 6 | \$3,500-\$3,999. | 293 | 9.3 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 76 | 5.7 | \$4,000-\$4,999 | 398 | 10.6 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 103 | 6.5 | \$5,000-\$7,499 | 508 | 11.0 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 141 | 7.8 | \$7,500-\$9,999. | 731 | 10.7 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 175 | 8.9 | \$10,000 and over ... | 1,006 | 9.7 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 168 | 7.6 |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ See glossary, appendix B, for the definition of expenditures that was used in this study.
This category of expenditure included three main items: Expense for automobile purchase (gross price less trade-in allowance on old cars), expense of automobile operation, and other transportation, local and interurban. An effort was made to eliminate from the family expenditures all transportation expense properly chargeable to business carried on by family members. ${ }^{1}$ All other transportation was included,

[^39]
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however, from trolley trips to and from school and office to week-end or holiday trips made by automobile, train, or boat. Part of the rapid expansion in average expenditures for transportation at the upper income levels is doubtless attributable to more frequent and more extended holiday trips.

Automobile purchase.-Chicago families with incomes of less than $\$ 1,500$ devoted on the average less than 1 percent of their total money expenditures to the purchase of automobiles during the scbedule year. Those with incomes of $\$ 5,000$ or over, on the other hand, allocated on the average about 4 percent of their expenditures to this purpose. Only about 4 percent of the families with incomes below $\$ 1,500$ purchased cars and the average price paid-less than $\$ 200$ per family purchasing-reflects the fact that the purchases were chiefly of used cars. At higher income levels, the proportion of families purchasing cars during the report year increased; more than one-third of the Chicago group surveyed at or above the $\$ 5,000$ income level reported automobile purchase during the year of the study. The average price paid by these families, in addition to trade-in allowances, was over $\$ 600$. (See table 33.)

Table 33.-Average money expenditures for automobile purchase and operation, per family reporting such expenditures

| Income class | Automobile purchase |  | Automobile ownership |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage of families reporting | Average expense | Percentage of families reporting | A verage expense for operstion |
| \$500-\$749 | 3 | \$33 | 15 | \$27 |
| \$750-\$999 | 1 | 100 | 12 | 58 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 7 | 114 | 30 | 90 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 5 | 180 | 28 | 100 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 7 | 357 | 42 | 95 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 12 | 300 | 52 | 117 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 14 | 293 | 66 | 138 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 11. | 418 | 51 | 139 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 18. | 317 | 70 | 163 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 18 | 426 | 62 | 182 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 16 | 456 | 77 | 208 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 25 | 480 | 79 | 257 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 32 | 625 | 89 | 273 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999. | 39 | 664 | 100 | 382 |
| \$10,000 and over. | 41 | 722 | 92 | 476 |

Automobile operation.-The proportion of families owning cars was, of course, considerably larger than the proportion purchasing, at all income levels. Ownership increased fairly steadily from 10 percent of the families with incomes of $\$ 500$ to $\$ 750$ to 90 percent of all families having incomes of $\$ 5,000$ and over. At each level, as might be expected, the average expense of automobile operation for all families was considerably greater than the average expenditure for
automobile purchase. Starting at less than $\$ 10$ for families with incomes under $\$ 1,000$, the average expense of automobile operation exceeded $\$ 200$ for all families at each income level from $\$ 4,000$ up. At the lower level, it was less than 1 percent of total money expenditures for all families; at the upper, it took from 4 to over 5 percent of the total. If the average expenditures of operation are computed per family owning an automobile, the increase is very consistent, from less than $\$ 100$ among the owning families with incomes below $\$ 1,250$ to over $\$ 350$ for the families with incomes of $\$ 7,500$ or more. (See table 33.)

Much of the rapid increase in average expense, computed on an all-family base, is to be explained in terms of the increasing percentage of families owning and operating automobiles. Some of the increase is attributable to the more extensive use of the automobile by the owning family. Among families with incomes of $\$ 4,000$ and over, the data suggest that automobile owners used their cars with increasing frequency for week-end and holiday trips for the family, as well as for local transportation.

At all income levels, the largest single item in automobile operation was the expenditure for gasoline, with repairs, insurance, licenses, and oil following in importance. As might be expected in a metropolitan center such as Chicago, garage rent and parking also constituted an appreciable item. ${ }^{2}$

Other transportation.-In contrast to expenditures for automobile purchase and operation, average expenditures for other transportation by the Chicago families studied increased slowly at succeeding income levels. While "other" transportation constituted 80 percent of total transportation expenditures among families with incomes of less than $\$ 1,000$, it amounted to only 14 percent of the total for families with incomes of $\$ 5,000$ and over. Thus, in the income ranges covered by this investigation, it was at the low income levels that expenditures for public conveyances bulked largest among total transportation expenditures. Among families with incomes higher than those of the families cooperating in this study, however, expenditures for transcontinental and overseas travel may exceed the amounts spent for automobile transportation.

For families with incomes up to $\$ 3,500$, expenditures for transportation other than by the family automobile accounted for from 2 to 3 percent of total money expenditures for current family living; at higher incomes they took a slightly smaller share. At all income levels up to $\$ 2,500$, these expenditures consisted almost entirely of expenses of local transportation, chiefly trolley car and bus fares. ${ }^{3}$ For families with incomes of less than $\$ 1,500$, these local transportation

[^40]outlays on the average exceeded expenditures for automobile operation. At higher income levels, however, local transportation expenses remained remarkably stable, while average expenses of automobile operation shot up rapidly. Among families with incomes of $\$ 7,500$ and over, other transportation included a substantial sum for railroad and other interurban travel, which was probably largely pleasure and vacation travel.

Average transportation expense by occupational group.-When all transportation expenditures are considered together, families in the salaried professional group tended to rank somewhat higher than any others, particularly at income levels of $\$ 2,250$ and above. For the other occupational groups the rankings were by no means consistent. (See table 34.) The average expenditures of families in the wageearner and salaried business groups showed the most marked increase over the income range in which comparisons are possible. The expenditures of these two groups were six and one-half times as large at the $\$ 4,000$ to $\$ 5,000$ income level as at the $\$ 1,250$ to $\$ 1,500$ level. Over this same income range, the average expenditures of families in the independent professional group multiplied less than threefold.

Table 34.-Average money expenditures for transportation, by occupational group

| Income class | Wage earner | Clerical | $\begin{gathered} \text { Independ- } \\ \text { ent } \\ \text { busi- } \\ \text { ness } \end{gathered}$ | Independent professional | Salaried business | Salaried professional |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total transportation |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | \$77 | \$75 | \$64 | \$104 | \$04 | \$85 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 101 | 107 | 124 | 83 | 92 | 91 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 141 | 131 | 147 | 136 | 183 | 171 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 165 | 180 | 169 | 267 | 226 | 147 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 172 | 155 | 137 | 142 | 210 | 197 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 296 | 178 | 192 | 258 | 196 | 240 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 228 | 232 | 220 | 214 | 268 | 416 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 292 | 309 | 316 | 249 | 230 | 338 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 480 | 366 | 388 | 308 | 420 | 405 |
|  | Automobile operation and other transportation |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | \$64 | \$73 | $\$ 60$ | \$104 | \$64 | \$80 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 72 | 84 | 90 | 73 | 79 | 89 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 107 | 101 | 104 | 105 | 126 | 90 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 127 | 150 | 104 | 157 | 97 | 138 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 115 | 125 | 103 | 109 | 135 | 144 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 208 | 150 | 150 | 167 | 150 | 171 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 168 | 159 | 160 | 165 | 198 | 239 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 192 | 222 | 228 | 214 | 191 | 293 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 343 | 278 | 231 | 225 | 269 | 198 |
|  | Other transportation |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | \$38 | \$43 | \$27 | \$55 | \$43 | \$42 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 36 | 41 | 29 | 40 | 34 | 62 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 45 | 44 | 33 | 43 | 41 | 48 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 42 | 43 | 36 | 69 | 44 | 59 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 44 | 60 | 31 | 47 | 44 | 60 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 62 | 65 | 44 | 39 | 46 | 53 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 65 | 64 | 42 | 74 | 78 | 64 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 52 | 69 | 48 | 80 | 35 | 55 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 117 | 85 | 49 | 45 | 53 | 78 |

If comparisons are made of average expenditures for automobile operation and other transportation combined, families in the salaried professional group again rank high, particularly at income levels of $\$ 2,250$ and above, while those in the other groups show no consistent relationship. (See table 34.)

When transportation other than by automobile is considered separately, however, families in the independent business group are found to have had the lowest expenditures at almost every income level. (See table 34.) Families in the clerical and salaried professional groups, on the other hand, shared high rank in respect to other transportation expenditures. ${ }^{4}$

Among families with incomes of $\$ 1,250$ to $\$ 1,500$, except those in the independent business category, other transportation costs exceeded those for automobile purchase or operation. At the income level $\$ 2,250$ to $\$ 2,500$, and in general at higher incomes, automobile operation constituted the largest transportation expenditure for families in all occupational groups.

When the average automobile operation expenditures of car-owning families in the six occupational groups are compared, no significant differences are to be found. (See table 35.) On the other hand, some variation appears in respect to the average net expense for automobile purchase per family purchasing, as shown on table 35. Wage-earner and business families tended to spend more for purchase than did clerical and professional families. In view of the wide variation from one income level to another in average expense for automobile purchase, however, these differences were probably due in large measure to chance fluctuation.

In view of the elasticity of expenditures for transportation, which has already been commented on, it seems reasonable to expect that if there were marked differences in the general expenditure patterns of families belonging to different occupational categories, these differences would stand out in connection with amounts spent for automobile transportation. So far as the native white families surveyed in Chicago are concerned, however, the average amounts spent at a given income level seem to have little relationship to the occupational group in which the family was classified. Both the expenditures and the share which they took of family expenditures seem to be chiefly a question of income and of the particular tastes and habits of the family. ${ }^{5}$

[^41]Table 35.-Average money expenditures for automobile operation and purchase, per family reporting, by occupational group

| Income class | Wage earner | Clerical | Independent business | Inde- pendent professional | Salaried business | Salaried professional |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Automobile operation, per owning family |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | \$100 | \$111 | \$70 | \$100 | \$84 | \$97 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 95 | 93 | 115 | 79 | 107 | 87 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 115 | 112 | 131 | 119 | 157 | 105 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 135 | 147 | 101 | 144 | 143 | 141 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 137 | 144 | 136 | 107 | 147 | 147 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 178 | 144 | 180 | 156 | 149 | 166 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 188 | 161 | 164 | 233 | 179 | 206 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999...-------........... | 177 | 207 | 247 | 176 | 177 | 290 |
|  | 269 | 288 | 230 | 209 | 260 | 144 |
|  | Automobile purchase, per family purchasing |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | \$240 | \$67 | \$27 |  |  | \$71 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 362 | 329 | 425 | \$62 | \$217 | 100 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 262 | 300 | 430 | 250 | 380 | 426 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 271 | 231 | 310 | 407 | 806 | 225 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 518 | 333 | 486 | 330 | ${ }^{682}$ | 265 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 400 | 215 | 247 | 253 | 383 | 345 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 500 | 384 | 429 | 245 | 438 | 454 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 435 | 483 | 550 | 500 | 355 | 409 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 623 | 463 | 413 | 332 | 604 | 785 |

As a matter of fact, there was surprisingly little difference among families of different occupational categories in the proportion reporting automobile ownership. And, in spite of the fact that in a metropolitan center like Chicago automobile ownership might be expected to be less common than in smaller cities, these proportions were uniformly rather high. At income levels of $\$ 1,750$ and above, with but few exceptions, half or more of the families in each occupational group reported automobile ownership. (See table 36.) The relatively small differences among families of different occupational groups suggest that the automobile was chiefly a vehicle for recreation and convenience, purchased by most families as soon as their finances made it possible.

Table 36.-Proportion of families owning automobiles, by occupational group

| Income class | Wage earner | Clerical | Independent business | Independent professional | Salaried business | Salaried professional |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 26 | 27 | 47 | 49 | 25 | 39 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 38 | 46 | 53 | 42 | 42 | 31 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 54 | 51 | 54 | 52 | 54 | 40 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 63 | 73 | 67 | 61 | 37 | 56 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 52 | 45 | 53 | 58 | 62 | 57 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 82 | 59 | 59 | 82 | 70 | 71 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 52 | 59 | 72 | 39 | 67 | 85 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 79 | 74 | 73 | 76 | 77 | 82 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 84 | 72 | 79 | 86 | 83 | 80 |

Among families with no gainfully employed members, the proportion owning cars was below 50 percent at all income levels. A correspondingly small proportion of such families purchased cars during the report year, although the few that did, spent substantial amounts. At several income levels, the average amounts spent for automobile operation were low, but those with incomes between $\$ 1,250$ and $\$ 1,750$, and between $\$ 2,500$ and $\$ 4,000$, spent as much on the average as did the families in any of the six occupational groups. At all income levels, their average expenditures for transportation other than by automobile were low. Of course, these families with no employed members had no expenses for transportation to and from work, and their recreational travel seems to have been very largely by automobile. ${ }^{6}$

Transportation expenditures by families of different type.-When families are grouped according to composition, a number of significant differences appear. The husband-and-wife families (type I) tended at most income levels to have relatively high total transportation expenditures, while the families containing five to eight members tended to be at the other end of the scale. (See table 37.) If the total figure is separated into its constituent items, however, a somewhat different relationship appears. Two-person families at most income levels spent the most for automobile purchase and operation, but had lower expenditures for other transportation. The largest families studied (type VII), which at most income levels spent least on automobiles (both purchase and operation), ranked high on expenditures for other transportation. Families with three or four children under 16 tended to rank fairly low in all three types of expenditures.

In average expense for transportation other than by the family automobile, the families of seven or eight members (type VII) ranked first or second at most income levels. (See table 37). Next to them came the families containing at least three persons 16 or over (types IV and V). These three types of families were most apt to have two or more earners. The families containing only children under 16, in addition to the husband and wife, tended to have the lowest expense in this field, probably because of the lower fares for children on public vehicles and the tendency of families with children to live within walking distance of schools. The differences among family types in expenditures for "other" transportation were fairly consistent, although they tended to be small, as might be expected in view of the relative inelasticity of such expenditures. ${ }^{7}$

[^42]It is worthy of note that while families of two or three members spent more for automobile operation than for other transportation at all income levels above $\$ 1,750$, it was only at the income levels above $\$ 3,500$ that this was true among families of seven or eight members.

Table 37.-Average money expenditures for transportation, by family type

| Income class | Family type ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII |
|  | Total transportation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | \$30 | \$37 | \$33 | \$53 | \$70 | \$46 | \$32 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 65 | 63 | 47 | 110 | 31 | 34 | 57 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 86 | 55 | 107 | 79 | 47 | 48 | 64 |
| \$1,500-81.749 | 126 | 75 | 89 | 125 | 73 | 99 | 124 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 160 | 158 | 166 | 120 | 102 | 94 | 97 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 237 | 202 | 154 | 152 | 122 | 112 | 99 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 228 | 167 | 174 | 125 | 164 | 120 | 99 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 278 | 203 | 291 | 224 | 187 | 166 | 148 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 298 | 256 | 276 | 275 | 202 | 205 | 158 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 308 | 262 | 277 | 333 | 271 | 178 | 272 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 473 | 398 | 431 | 381 | 361 | 355 | ${ }^{336}$ |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 468 | 832 | 396 | 428 | 470 | 554 | 523 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 881 | 1,259 | 666 | 575 | 615 | 668 | 1,349 |
|  | Automobile operation and other transportation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | \$30 | \$37 | \$33 | \$47 | \$70 | \$46 | \$32 |
| \$1,000- 81,249 | 52 | 58 | 41 | 94 | 31 | 34 |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 83 | 55 | 69 | 71 | 47 | 48 | 53 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 74 | 68 | 85 | 93 | 73 | 74 | 77 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 104 | 133 | 97 | 101 | 96 | 72 | 77 |
| 2,000-\$2,249 | 170 | 148 | 110 | 127 | 111 | 103 | 87 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 149 | 107 | 124 | 106 | 141 | 96 | 94 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999- | 198 | 161 | 189 | 183 | 131 | 128 | 139 |
| \$3,00- 500499 | 206 | 194 | 1168 | 160 | 165 | 118 | 145 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999- | 286 274 | 208 | 205 | 195 | 220 | 178 | ${ }^{21 \%}$ |
| \$5,000-87,499- | ${ }_{280}^{274}$ | ${ }_{313}^{241}$ | 332 274 | 316 309 | ${ }_{331}^{221}$ | 251 410 | 236 375 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 581 | 486 | 390 | 479 | 339 | 248 | 657 |
|  | Other transportation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | \$29 | \$37 | \$30 | \$27 | \$22 | \$35 | 83 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 28 |  | 34 | 32 | 30 | 31 |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 37 | 43 | 38 | 43 | 37 | 25 | 42 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 42 | 38 | 42 | 35 | 38 | 24 | 46 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 44 | 43 | 31 | 53 | 52 | 28 | 53 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 45 | 34 | 36 | 53 | 53 | 35 | 49 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 55 | 33 | 34 | 62 | 69 | 34 | 74 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 55 | 50 | 44 | 75 | 57 | 36 | 70 |
| \$3,000-83,499 | 73 | 47 | 38 | 58 | 90 | 70 | 88 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 44 | 46 | 54 | 60 | 93 | 53 | 98 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 76 | 44 | 49 | 98 | 71 | 52 | 73 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 59 | 40 | 43 | 79 | 84 | 75 | 98 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 64 | 161 | 65 | 111 | 75 | 18 | 138 |

[^43]A striking feature of average expenditures for "other" transportation was their tendency to increase but little in most family types at successive income levels. In a number of cases, while family income increased $\$ 1,000$, average expense in this field rose less than $\$ 10$. Among families containing two children under 16, within the income range between $\$ 750$ and $\$ 3,500$, average expense for transportation other than by the family automobile fluctuated only between $\$ 30$ and $\$ 44$. A similar though less marked tendency toward "terracing" of these expenditures appears for the other family types (see table 37), and furnishes clear evidence of the inelasticity of this category of expenditure.

The proportion of families owning cars varied rather erratically from one income level to the next, although the general tendency for the proportion to increase over the income range was clearly marked. (See table 38). Among husband-and-wife families (type I) with incomes of $\$ 2,000$ and over, more than half owned automobiles. This was true in the case of the families with one or two children (types II and III) at incomes of $\$ 1,750$ or more, and of families with three or four children (type VI), at incomes of $\$ 1,500$ and over. On the other hand, only at the income levels above $\$ 2,500$ was automobile ownership reported by one-half or more of the largest families surveyed (type VII) or those containing five or six members, at least three of them 16 or over.

Table 38.--Proportion of families owning automobiles, by family type

| Income class | Family type ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII |
| \$750-\$999. | 3 |  | 14 | 31 | 32 | 20 |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 24 | 32 | 14 | 66 | 3 | 10 | 16 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 36 | ${ }^{13}$ | 32 | 31 | 17 | 42 | 32 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749.. | 37 | 34 | 47 | 50 | 32 | 60 | 42 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999.. | 44 | 63 | 64 | 52 | 43 | 51 | 29 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249.. | 82 | 64 | 59 | 70 | 47 | 57 | 37 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 65 | 54 | 56 | 34 | 51 | 53 | 26 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 70 | 63 | 75 | 76 | 58 | 72 | 65 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 64 | 80 | 80 | 54 | 54 | 63 | 51 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 78 | 87 | 77 | 73 | 72 | 82 | 71 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 76 | 76 | 95 | 76 | 80 75 | 82 | 89 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 80 | 97 | 94 | 94 | 75 | 95 | 93 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

1 The 7 family types, distinguished on the basis of the number and age of members other than husband and wife, were as follows:

Tupe
I No other person (families of 2).
II 1 child under 16 (families of 3 )
III 2 children under 16 (families o 4).
IV 1 person 16 or over and 1 or no other person, regardless of age (families of 3 or 4).
VI 1 child under 16, 1 person 16 or over, and 1 or 2 others, regardless of age (families of 5 or 6 ).
VI 3 or 4 children under 16 (families of 5 or 6 ).
VII 1 child under 16 , and 4 or 5 others, regardless of age (families of 7 or 8 ).
On the whole, families with children under 16 tended to report automobile ownership more frequently than did families of the same size containing persons 16 or over, when comparisons are made over
the whole income range. Joint family outings are probably difficult for families with children except in an automobile. It is likely that among families owning cars, at the lower income levels, much of the family recreation was taken in the form of automobile travel.

Table 39.-Average money expenditures for automobile operation and purchase, per family reporting, by family type


[^44]An inverse relationship appeared between family size and average expense for automobile operation per owning family. (See table 39.) Although the rankings were by no means uniform, the husband-andwife families tended to make the largest average outlays, and the seven- or eight-person families the smallest, with the other family types falling between in the order in which they are numbered. A roughly similar relationship appeared in average expense for automobile purchase per family reporting such purchase during the schedule year. (See table 39.) At most income levels, the two-
person families ranked high and the largest families surveyed (type VII) and those containing three or four children under 16, ranked low with less clearly marked differences among the other families.

In conclusion, it is perhaps worth emphasizing again that the most noteworthy thing about automobile ownership is, not the differences among families of different composition or occupational classification at a given income level, but the rapid increase with family income. The same generalization holds true with reference to transportation expenditures as a whole.

## Chapter VII

## Minor Categories of Expenditure

The major family expenditures, for food, home maintenance, clothing, personal care, and transportation, absorbed about 90 percent of total money expenditures of Chicago native white families with incomes between $\$ 500$ and $\$ 1,250$; less than 80 percent of such expenditures of families with incomes between $\$ 5,000$ and $\$ 10,000$; and less than 70 percent of the expenditures of those with incomes of $\$ 10,000$ and more. Thus, at succeeding income levels, families had a progressively larger share of their money expenditures to devote to the combination of necessities and luxuries included in the categories of medical care, recreation, tobacco, reading, education, contributions, personal taxes, and other miscellaneous items. (See table 40.) ${ }^{1}$

Table 40.-Average money expenditures for the minor categories as a percentage of total money expenditures

| Income class | A verage total amount | Percentage of total money expenditures 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | All | Medical care | Recreation | $\begin{gathered} \text { To- } \\ \text { bacco } \end{gathered}$ | Reading | Education | Con-tributions and personal taxes ${ }^{2}$ | Other |
| \$800-8749 | \$74 | 8.8 | 2.9 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 1.2 |  |
| 8750-8009 | 105 | 10.4 | 4.4 | 1.4 | 2.1 | 1. 2 | . 2 | 1.0 | 0.1 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 123 | 10.6 | 3.9 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 1.1 | . 2 | 1.4 | . 2 |
| \$1,250-\$1,409 | 168 | 12.3 | 4.3 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 1.2 | . 4 | 1.8 | . 2 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 219 | 13.6 | 4.8 | 2. 2 | 2.4 | 1.1 | . 5 | 2.0 | . 6 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 248 | 13.8 | 4.4 | 2.6 | 2.1 | 1.1 | . 6 | 2.4 | . 6 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 288 | 14.5 | 4. 6 | 2.8 | 2.0 | 1.1 | . 8 | 2.8 | . 4 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 342 | 15.4 | 5. 0 | 3.1 | 2.1 | 1.1 | . 8 | 2.8 | . 5 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 394 | 15.8 | 4.7 | 3.3 | 2.1 | 1.0 | . 9 | 3.3 | . 5 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 497 | 18.0 | 4.7 | 4.0 | 2.2 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 4.6 | . 5 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 520 | 16.5 | 4. 6 | 3.3 | 2.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 4.2 | . 3 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 734 | 19.5 | 5.2 | 3.7 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 6.5 | . 2 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 1,012 | 22.0 | 5.1 | 4. 7 | 1.7 | . 9 | 1.6 | 7.5 | . 5 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 1, 566 | 22.9 | 4. 2 | 5.3 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 8. 5 | . 8 |
| \$10,000 and over....... | 3, 198 | 31.0 | 2.3 | 4.1 | 1.2 | . 8 | 3.4 | 18.6 | . 6 |

[^45]It should be noted at the outset that there is no clear line of division on the basis of urgency or elasticity of demand between the major

[^46]categories of expenditure discussed in previous chapters and the minor categories to be treated in this chapter. At all income levels, but notably at the higher levels, the food budgets of most families included, in addition to a subsistence or even a comfort minimum, expenditures for entertaining and for candy, liquor, and the like for family consumption. Certainly at the higher income levels there is an element of conspicuous consumption in expenditures for home maintenance and for clothing and personal care. Although some expenditures for transportation are an essential part of most family budgets, an automobile is rarely a necessity to a family living in a metropolis with adequate transportation facilities. Yet automobile purchase and operation contributed a substantial sum to the transportation expenditures of many of the Chicago families studied.

Expenditures for medical care, on the other hand, although in the group of minor expenditures, are in but small measure a matter of free consumer choice. Examination of the Chicago schedules indicates that even at the low income levels, families were frequently called on to make very substantial expenditures for medical care. Moreover, emergency expenditures of this type often necessitate subsequent reductions in the so-called major expenditures. Contributions to community welfare and to religious organizations also represent expenditures which low income families may feel obliged to incur, and certainly taxes are involuntary expenditures.
The total amount devoted to these so-called minor categories of expenditure thus depended largely upon the individual family situation during the year for which expenditures were reported. It is quite normal for families to differ widely from the mean with respect to amounts spent on these categories. For that reason, average expenditures for these categories are less representative of the expenditures of an individual family in any given year than are the amounts spent on a more stable and recurrent category such as food or housing. Particularly with reference to the data for families of a given type or occupational group, it must be borne in mind that, more often than not, the averages reflect the presence of some families which reported no expenditure during the year for the specific category, and of others which reported substantial amounts.

Expenditures for medical care.-At almost all income levels except the lowest and the highest, expenditures for medical care constituted, on the average, approximately 4 or 5 percent of total money expenditures. (See fig. 8.) These average expenditures ranged from $\$ 24$ among families with incomes of $\$ 500$ to $\$ 750$ to $\$ 266$ for families with incomes of $\$ 7,500$ or more. For the bulk of the Chicago families, expenditures for medical care exceeded those for any other minor category.

The variations concealed in the average expenditure for medical care, at any given income level, however, tended to be greater than in respect to almost any other category. An examination of the distribution of Chicago families by the amounts spent for medical care, as shown in table 41, supports this generalization. At every income level up to $\$ 7,500$, there were a few families that reported no expenditure for medical care, while at all but one income level above $\$ 750$, some families incurred expenses exceeding $\$ 400$. That expenditures for medical care did tend to increase at succeeding income levels, however, in spite of these wide differences among individual families, is indicated by the shift in the proportion of families that had expenditures under $\$ 40$ and over $\$ 200$.

Table 41.-Percentage distribution of families according to the amount of money expenditure for medical care

| Income class | All <br> families | Noex-penditure reported | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Under } \\ \$ 10 \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\$ 10-$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 20- \\ \$ 39 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 40- \\ \$ 59 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 60- \\ & \$ 79 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 80- \\ \$ 99 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 100-$ | $\$$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 300- \\ \$ 399 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 400$ and over |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$500-\$749. | 100 | 7 | 38 | 23 | 12 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 6 |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 100 | 4 | 26 | 16 | 17 | 12 | 7 | 1 | 15 | 1 | (*) | 1 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 100 | 4 | 18 | 13 | 28 | 18 | 5 | 2 | 10 | 2 | (*) |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 100 | 4 | 12 | 11 | 22 | 11 | 10 | 7 | 22 | (*) |  | 1 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 100 | 2 | 12 | 12 | 19 | 12 | 11 | 9 | 18 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 100 | 1 | 9 | 4 | 20 | 15 | 14 | 6 | 26 | 2 | 3 | (*) |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 100 | 1 | 8 | 8 | 14 | 16 | 13 | 11 | 20 | 3 | 4 | 2 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 100 | 3 | 3 | 8 | 14 | 15 | 11 | 8 | 21 | 10 | 4 | 3 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 100 | (*) | 2 | 3 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 15 | 24 | 9 | 4 | 4 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 100 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 10 | 13 | 10 | 11 | 30 | 6 | 7 | 4 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 100 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 9 | 10 | 13 | 12 | 27 | 10 | 4 | 6 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 100 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 11 | 25 | 18 | 6 | 11 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 100 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 9 | 25 | 19 | 8 | 16 |
| \$7,500-89,999. | 100 |  | 5 |  | 8 | 3 | 5 |  | 25 | 23 | 4 | 27 |
| \$10,000 and over | 100 |  |  |  |  | 7 | 18 | 11 | 18 | 22 | 9 | 15 |

* Less than 1 percent.

Of the families with incomes of $\$ 500$ to $\$ 750$, almost three-fourths reported medical care expenditures below $\$ 40$ for the year. Even among families at the median interval ( $\$ 1,750$ to $\$ 2,000$ ), more than two-fifths reported less than $\$ 40$. At succeeding income levels, the decline in the proportion reporting these low expenditures was very marked. At incomes of $\$ 3,000$ to $\$ 3,500$, less than one-fifth of the families, and at incomes of $\$ 5,000$ and over, only one-tenth, reported expenditures under $\$ 40$. Likewise, taking $\$ 200$ as a point of reference, the rise in the proportion of families reporting expenditures for medical care in excess of that amount was correspondingly rapid. Among families with incomes under $\$ 2,000$, no more than 5 percent fell in this category, while in the income brackets of $\$ 5,000$ and over, almost half the families reported medical expenditures exceeding \$200.

Such marked differences in prevailing expenditures raise the question as to whether the families at low income levels actually had less illness, secured less expensive medical attention, received free clinical

service for major illnesses, or simply went without medical care during illnesses that at higher income levels were professionally attended. Preliminary examination of some of the detailed figures on medical services confirms the evidence derived from other studies ${ }^{2}$ that the explanation is not to be found in less illness at the lower income levels. In general, large medical expenditures on the part of the Chicago families studied reflected the cost of hospitalization and emergency surgical attention. The average number of days of hospitalization (for those families reporting hospitalization) tended to be greater among the low income than among the high income families. ${ }^{3}$ This suggests that the greater frequency of high medical expenditures among the high income families was in large part a result of more costly treatment and more adequate preventive care, rather than of greater incidence of illness.

When medical care expenditures of Chicago families are analyzed according to constituent items, it is clear that bills of the general practitioner formed the major item of the average family's expenditure at all income levels up to $\$ 5,000$. (See table 42.) The proportion of total medical expense absorbed by dental care, which was second in importance, showed a slight tendency to increase with income, matching or exceeding the amount spent in physician's fees at the higher income levels. Medicine and drugs, next in importance, tended on the average to account for a smaller proportion of the total at the high than at the low income levels. The relatively large expenditures of this type among families with low incomes suggest more frequent resort to drug-store remedies than to a physician's care, but may also indicate the utilization of free clinic services, and the payment for prescriptions obtained there.

Table 42.-Distribution of money expenditures for medical care ${ }^{1}$

| Income class | Average total amount | Percentage of total medical care expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Physician | Dentist | Oculist | Other special- ist | Hospi-talization | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Eye- } \\ & \text { glasses } \end{aligned}$ | Medicine and drugs | Health and accident insurance ${ }^{2}$ | Other ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ |
| \$500-\$999 | \$39 | 37 | 21 | 1 | 7 | 10 | 1 | 1,5 | 1 | 7 |
| \$1,000-\$1,499. | 52 | 33 | 19 | (*) | 8 | 10 | 4 | 17 | 4 | 5 |
| \$1,500-\$1,999 | 78 | 29 | 23 | 1 | 4 | 15 | 4 | 13 | 5 | 6 |
| \$2,000-\$2,999 | 106 | 30 | 23 | 1 | 5 | 12 | 4 | 14 | 5 | 6 |
| \$3,000-\$3,999 | 135 | 26 | 24 | 1 | 7 | 10 | 4 | 13 | 5 | 10 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 194 | 30 | 28 | 1 | 4 | 13 | 5 | 10 | 5 | 4 |
| \$5,000 and over.- | 242 | 26 | 26 | 3 | 9 | 7 | 4 | 10 | 8 | 7 |

1 Summary of data to be published in a later bulletin.
${ }^{2}$ Excludes automobile accident insurance.
${ }^{3}$ Includes nursing care, examinations and tests, clinic visits, and medical appliances.
*Less than 1 percent.
${ }^{2}$ For example, the National Health Survey, Sickness and Medical Care Series, Preliminary Bull. No. 2, Illness and Medical Care in Relation to Economic Status, U. S. Public Health Service, Washington, 1938. B Based on a special examination of the data for Chicago families.
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Since expenditures for hospitalization represent primarily emergency expenditures, they showed little direct relationship to income as a percentage of total medical expenditures. Similarly, the expenditures for specialists other than dentists or oculists showed little tendency to vary with income. Health and accident insurance took an increasing proportion of total medical expense in successive income classes. The same was true of expenditures for eyeglasses.

Very little was spent on the average by the Chicago families for oculists' care, for nursing services, clinic visits, or medical appliances. The small expenditures for oculists' care as compared with the larger expenditures for eyeglasses suggests the frequent resort to examinations offered as a free service by optical dealers with purchase of eyeglasses. Families with incomes below $\$ 1,500$ reported no expenditure for private nurses. Throughout the income range there was likewise practically no expenditure for visiting nurses, although there is evidence that among families with incomes up to $\$ 3,000$, some secured free services from the visiting nurses' association. The very small proportion of the medical budget absorbed by clinic visits may be accounted for, not by failure to use the clinic services available in the city, but rather by the fact that merely nominal charges are made at many elinics.

In Chicago, there were families at all income levels except the highest which reported the receipt of some free medical care. It seemed impractical to ask for an estimate of the value of free care, and therefore it cannot be related to the families' expenditures for medical services. Among families in the higher income brackets, at least, it is probable that medical treatment received without money expense was given as a professional courtesy to medical men. Among the low income families, the free care was doubtless that provided by private or public welfare agencies. Among the wage-earner and clerical families with incomes between $\$ 500$ to $\$ 1,250$, roughly onesixth to one-eighth received some free care. Above that income level, less than one-tenth of all families received any free care. ${ }^{4}$

Recreation and leisure-time activities.-Expenditures for recreation ${ }^{5}$ were next largest after medical care at most income levels, tending to be slightly less than that category up to the $\$ 7,500$ income level. When average expenditures for tobacco and reading, ${ }^{6}$ which are recreational in nature, are grouped with average expenditures for recreation proper, it is found that the combined expenditures were at all income

[^47]levels equal to or greater than those for medical care, ranging from 5 to 8 percent of total money expenditures, and showing a general tendency to increase more rapidly than income.

Expenditures classified under the category recreation in the present study, however, by no means represented the full amounts devoted to leisure-time activities. Amounts spent for food and liquor used when entertaining friends have not been separated from the family food expenditures. Expenditures for owned and rented vacation homes as well as camping sites used while on vacation were considered housing costs. The cost of cruises was allocated to food and transportation. Expenditures for automobile pleasure trips have been included under automobile operation expense, and similarly, railroad and other fares for vacation trips have been considered transportation expenses.

- An approximation to outlays of Chicago families for vacations away from home may be derived by combining available figures on expenditures for food while traveling or on vacation, for vacation housing, and for interurban travel. ${ }^{7}$ Average expenditures on these three items were negligible for families with incomes of less than $\$ 3,500$ but assumed increasing importance at succeeding income levels. Among families with incomes of $\$ 5,000$ to $\$ 7,500$, they amounted to about one-third as much as the average expenditures reported for the items classified under the heading "recreation." At higher incomes they amounted to one-half or more. If automobile operation expense could be apportioned between local transportation and vacation travel, the sums allocable to vacations would undoubtedly be substantially increased. Particularly among families with small incomes, an automobile is almost a prerequisite for a vacation trip.

Of the sums spent on recreation, a larger share went for motion picture admission fees than for any other group of related items, as the following figures indicate:

| Income class | Average total recreation expense | Percentage of total recreation expense |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Admissions |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Games } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { sports } \end{aligned}$ | Other |
|  |  | Motion pictures | Other |  |  |
| Under \$1,000. | \$13 | 57 | 6 | 4 | 33 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999.. | 47 | 43 | 6 | 12 | 39 |
| \$5,000 and over | 260 | 18 | 11 | 17 | 54 |

Even for the median income group ( $\$ 1,750$ to $\$ 2,000$ ), almost half of the total went for motion pictures. Expenditures for other admis-sions-to theaters, concerts, lectures, spectator sports, dances, etc.-

[^48]increased with income as a proportion of the total recreation outlay, but even in combination were small in relation to expenditures for movies. They were also smaller, at most income levels, than expenditures for equipment and fees for participation in games and sports. These expenditures for active rather than passive leisure-time activities tended in general to increase more rapidly than total recreation expenditures, and much more rapidly than family income.

All other recreation expenditures, including radio and musical instruments, toys, pets, entertaining at home, and club dues, increased with income at a fairly rapid rate. Among families with incomes under $\$ 1,000$, they absorbed about one-third of total recreation expenses; but among families with incomes of over $\$ 5,000$, they absorbed more than one-half the total. This increasing share was due in considerable measure to the rising importance of club dues among the high income families.

Tobacco.-Tobacco was one of the less elastic items in the budgets of Chicago native white families. At the income level $\$ 750$ to $\$ 1,000$, it accounted for an average of $\$ 21$ per family; at the level $\$ 7,500$ to $\$ 10,000$, where average incomes were more than nine times as large, average expenditures for tobacco were exactly five times as great. The amounits spent for tobacco formed a very constant proportion (from 2.0 to 2.4 percent) of total expenditures of all families with incomes between $\$ 500$ and $\$ 4,000$. Among those with higher incomes, between 1 and 2 percent of total money expenditures went for tobacco.

Reading.-Average expenditures of Chicago native white families for reading, while small, also constituted a relatively constant proportion (about 1 percent) of total money expenditures at most income levels. Among the majority of families, a substantial share of these expenses went for newspapers. ${ }^{8}$

Education.-While almost all families reported some expenditures for reading, less than half of them at most income levels reported any expenditures for formal education. ${ }^{9}$ The average amounts spent and the proportion of family having expenditures for this category were as follows:

| Income class | A verage direct expenditures for formal education 1 | Percentage of families reporting such expenditures | Income class | A verage direct expen ditures for formal education 1 | Percentage of families reporting such expenditures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$500-\$749 | \$2 | 28 | \$2,500-\$2,999. | 23 | 47 |
| \$750-\$999 | 2 | 30 | \$3,000-\$3,499. | 28 | 47 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 2 | 30 | \$3,500-\$3,999 | 32 | 51 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 6 | 43 | \$4,000-\$4,999 | 36 | 48 |
| \$1,500-81,749 | 8 | 44 | \$5,000-\$7,499 | 72 | 59 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 10 | 38 | \$7,500-\$9,999 | 110 | 56 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 15 | 50 | \$10,000 and over. | 348 | 70 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 17 | 50 |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ These averages are based on all families studied, not on those reporting expenditures for education.

[^49]The small proportions of families reporting direct expenditures for formal education are due chiefly to two factors; first, the large number of families having no children of school age, and second, the extensive facilities in tax-supported education in American cities. The numerous educational classes for adults offered by the WPA in 1935-36 may also help to account for the small average expenditures reported.
At the low income levels most of the amounts expended went for supplies used by children in the public schools. Among families with incomes of $\$ 5,000$ and over, the larger amounts chiefly represented tuition fees paid by some families for children at private schools, colleges, or universities.

The expenditures reported for education do not include expenses of room or board at school, which were treated as housing and food costs, respectively. No expenditures for room or board at schools were reported by families with incomes of less than $\$ 2,500$, and even among families with higher incomes, the average expenditures of this type were small. ${ }^{10}$ This is readily accounted for in the case of families living in Chicago, where the facilities of three large universities are available to college students who can live at home.

Contributions and personal taxes.-Expenditures for contributions to individuals and institutions and personal taxes were among the most elastic categories of expenditure. Among families with incomes below $\$ 1,000$ the average amount spent was only $\$ 10$, while it exceeded $\$ 100$ in all groups receiving $\$ 3,000$ and over. Families with incomes of $\$ 7,500$ to $\$ 10,000$ reported expenditures for this category that averaged nearly $\$ 600$. The proportion of total money expenditures devoted to contributions and personal taxes rose from 1 to 8 percent between the two lowest income groups and the group at the $\$ 7,500$ to $\$ 10,000$ level. (See tables 40 and 43 and fig. 8.)

Among the families with incomes below $\$ 2,250$, contributions to religious organizations formed the largest portion of the expenditures for this group of items. (See table 43.) The proportion of such contributions in the total for contributions and personal taxes decreased rapidly as income increased, while the proportion spent for the support of relatives increased, and formed the largest portion of these expenditures for families with incomes above $\$ 3,000$.

Among families in the two lowest income groups studied average contributions to religious institutions accounted for more than half of all expenditures for contributions and personal taxes, and up to the $\$ 2,000$ level this item was substantially larger than any other included in the category. Contributions to the support of relatives increased with income much more rapidly than did those to religious institutions, however, with the result that the former overtook the latter at the $\$ 3,000$ level, and amounted to five times as much in the highest

[^50]income group studied. Gifts to persons ${ }^{11}$ were also substantial at most economic levels, and generally accounted for between one-fifth and one-third of the total for the category. Contributions to community welfare agencies such as the Community Chest and Red Cross were comparatively small at all income levels, never amounting to more than 8 percent of total expenditures for contributions and personal taxes.

Table 43.-Distribution of money expenditures for contributions and personal taxes ${ }^{1}$

| Income class | A verage total amount | Percentage of total contributions and personal taxes |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Religious institutions | Support of relatives? | Gifts to other persons ${ }^{3}$ | Community welfare agencies | Personal taxes: | Other |
| \$500-\$749 | \$10 | 53 |  | 15 | 3 | 29 |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 10 | 60 | 13 | 17 | 1 | 5 | 4 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 17 | 47 | 12 | 29 | 2 | 7 | 3 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 24 | 40 | 13 | 31 | 3 | 8 | 5 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 33 | 34 | 24 | 26 | 5 | 6 | 5 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 43 | 41 | 19 | 27 | 5 | 5 | 3 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 56 | 33 | 21 | 32 | 6 | 6 | 2 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 63 | 32 | 17 | 33 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 82 | 32 | 26 | 28 | 5 | 5 | 4 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 126 | 29 | 37 | 21 | 4 | 5 | 4 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 133 | 25 | 26 | 28 | 6 | 11 | 4 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 246 | 23 | 37 | 21 | 6 | 9 | 4 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 346 | 17 | 32 | 22 | 8 | 18 | 3 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999. | 582 | 10 | 29 | 17 | 6 | 31 | 7 |
| \$10,000 and over... | 1,923 | 7 | 37 | 7 | 6 | 36 | 7 |

1 Summary of data to be published in a later bulletin.
${ }^{2}$ Refers to persons outside the economic family.
${ }_{3}$ Amounts reported for taxes under this heading do not include sales taxes, which were included in the expense for the items to which they applied; automobiles taxes, which were included in automobile operation expense; taxes on owned homes, which were treated as an expense of home ownership; nor taxes on other real property, which were deducted from the gross income from such property.

These data thus indicate that, even in a city as large as Chicago, for the great bulk of the families, donations to the needy are still mainly on a personal rather than institutional basis. A very considerable portion of the burden of caring for those requiring assistance is thus borne by direct family action rather than through support of welfare agences.

Among families with incomes below $\$ 3,500$ the taxes included in this category (income and personal property taxes) did not average as much as $\$ 7$ a year. ${ }^{12}$ Above this level, however, amounts spent for

[^51]such taxes increased rapidly, and while they accounted for considerably less than 1 percent of total money expenditures among families with incomes under $\$ 5,000$, they constituted 3 and 7 percent, respectively, of this total among families in the two highest income classes covered by the Study.

Total expenditures for minor categories among occupational groups.In view of the miscellaneous character of the expenditures covered in this chapter, it is not surprising that no clear occupational differences appear when all minor categories are considered together. The groups which tended to have high expenditures in one field ranked low in another, so that most differences are ironed out when the expenditures for all the minor categories are combined. The only clear differences remaining were that families in the salaried business group, particularly those with incomes of $\$ 2,500$ or more, tended to have high expenditures for this group of items, while those in the independent professional category tended at most income levels to have relatively low average expenditures.

At the lowest income level at which all occupational groups are represented ( $\$ 1,250$ to $\$ 1,500$ ) the families in each group spent an average of between $\$ 135$ and $\$ 175$ for this group of items. At the upper income level at which all groups can be compared ( $\$ 4,000$ to $\$ 5,000$ ) they spent between $\$ 640$ and $\$ 825$ (see table 44).

Table 44.-Average money expenditures for the minor categories, combined, by occupational group

| Income class | Wage earner | Clerical | Independent business | Independent professional | Salaried business | Salaried professionsl |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | \$173 | \$164 | \$153 | \$138 | \$173 | \$148 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 223 | 220 | 165 | 222 | 222 | 222 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 251 | 248 | 240 | 203 | 238 | 217 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 292 | 277 | 292 | 413 | 289 | 295 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 320 | 367 | 350 | 286 | 333 | 321 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 397 | 383 | 344 | 375 | 443 | 417 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 477 | 475 | 516 | 509 | 586 | 473 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 454 | 475 | 536 | 466 | 649 | 558 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 823 | 727 | 651 | 642 | 817 | 667 |

Expenditures for medical care among occupational groups.-The relatively low expenditures of the independent professional group for the total of the items considered in the present chapter may be accounted for chiefly in terms of their low average expenditures for medical care. Their smaller expenditures for medical care were paralleled by reports of relatively infrequent visits to physicians. Since the principal earners in many of these families were themselves physicians or surgeons, the members of their families doubtless received much care within the home, as well as complimentary services from other members of the medical profession.

As among the other occupational groups, there were few consistent differences in average expenditures for medical care. Families of the wage-earner and clerical groups tended to spend more than those in the business and professional categories at all income levels except $\$ 1,750$ to $\$ 2,000$ and $\$ 3,500$ to $\$ 4,000$. At almost all income levels, moreover, the proportion of families spending $\$ 50$ or less on medical services during the year was smaller among the wage-earner and clerical families than among those in the business and professional groups. It should be noted, however, that occupational variations in average total expenditures for medical care were small and by no means entirely consistent. ${ }^{13}$

If total expenditures for medical care are analyzed in terms of the constituent items, some fairly clear occupational differences appear. Relatively, clerical families spend more for physician's visits than any other occupational group, while independent professionals spend less. Families of the wage-earner and salaried business group tend to have relatively the largest expenditures for dental care. In the case of the wage-earner group, this is probably to be explained in terms of the larger average size of family. In general, expenditures for dental care averaged considerably less than $\$ 50$ for families in all occupational groups, constituting from one-fourth to one-fifth of the average amounts spent on total medical care.

Average expenditures for medicines and drugs did not increase markedly with income. While the data are by no means consistent, families in the wage-earner category tended to have somewhat larger average expenditures for medicines and drugs than any other group. Perhaps, with their larger families, it seemed more important to them than to other families to try to reduce physician's bills by treating themselves. Or perhaps, as some of the other figures suggest, they had more illness to treat.

Average expenditures for health and accident insurance were small, reaching a maximum of $\$ 14$ within the income range $\$ 1,250$ to $\$ 5,000$. Wage-earner families, however, clearly tended to spend more than any other group. This may be because contributory employee health plans and union sick benefit funds are most common among wage earners. ${ }^{14}$ Business families, on the average, spent the least on health insurance.

When a comparison is made among the families which received physicians' care during the year, those in the wage-earner group reported more frequent visits than the families in any other occupational group. ${ }^{15}$ In addition, wage-earner families in the income intervals between $\$ 1,250$ and $\$ 3,500$ reported far more use of clinic services

[^52]than did other families. In general, no more than a nominal price is paid for such services. Although expenditures for hospitalization varied widely, it is evident that, among families which reported any hospitalization during the year, those in the clerical and wage-earner groups averaged appreciably more days in the hospital than other families. ${ }^{16}$

Recreation expenditures among occupational groups.-Expenditures for recreation vary so much with the tastes and habits of the individual family that clear-cut occupational differences are scarcely to be expected. There was a general tendency, however, for Cbicago families in the salaried business and independent professional categories to spend more than others, particularly at income levels above $\$ 2,250$. Among all groups, motion-picture admission fees formed the largest single item in the recreation budget. Perhaps the sharpest occupational differences lay in expenditures for club dues. ${ }^{17}$ Though the averages were not large for any group of families within the income range now being considered ( $\$ 1,250$ to $\$ 5,000$ ), there was a tendency for families in the independent professional group to spend the most at given income levels. Average expenditures of $\$ 8$ per year for club dues were reported by independent professional families with incomes of $\$ 3,000$ to $\$ 4,000$, for example, while the amounts spent by families with the same incomes in other occupational groups ranged between $\$ 4$ and $\$ 7$. At the $\$ 4,000$ to $\$ 5,000$ level, independent professional families spent $\$ 28$, on the average, for club dues, although the average outlays reported by other tamilies did not exceed $\$ 12$. Perhaps the larger average expenditures of professional men such as lawyers and doctors reflect their feeling that the kind of social contacts made in clubs are valuable business assets.

When a rough measure is taken of expenditures for vacations, ${ }^{18}$ it appears that professional families rather consistently spent more than other families, though too much stress should not be placed on this relationship, in view of the fact that automobile operation costs for vacation trips could not be segregated.

Expenditures for tobacco among occupational groups.-Average expenditures for tobacco tended to be largest among families of salaried business men, and smallest among families of professional men. In wage-earner and clerical families the principal earner is frequently unable to smoke at work, but the number of persons, and particularly of adults, was enough greater in these families to raise the average

[^53]tobacco expenditures considerably above those of professional families. When the influence of family size variations is eliminated from the averages for each occupational group, the differences in tobacco expenditures are clearly defined, with business families ranking high at most income levels, and professional families, especially those in the salaried group, at the other extreme.

Expenditures for reading among occupational groups.-Although expenditures for reading were a small and constant item among the Chicago families studied, there was a definite tendency for independent professional families to spend slightly more for reading than families in other occupational groups. Wage-earner families at almost every income level spent the least on reading. ${ }^{19}$

Expenditures for education among occupational groups.-Families in the wage-earner group, together with those in the salaried business classification, ranked high in expenditures for education. The expenditures of wage-earner families went chiefly for supplies, for which they spent more because the average size of family was larger and particularly because they had a larger than proportionate share of family members under $16 .{ }^{20}$ In contrast, a smaller proportion of families in the salaried business group reported expenditures for education, but the average amounts reported were high, apparently because these families were more prone to send their children to private schools and to colleges or universities. Examination of the detailed figures shows that their tuition expenditures at most income levels were considerably higher than those of wage-earner families.

Expenditures for minor categories among family type groups.-In general, the differences among families of varying composition were no more marked, in average expenditures reported for the minor categories, than were the differences among occupational groups. At the income level $\$ 750$ to $\$ 1,000$, families of different types spent, on the average, from about $\$ 95$ to $\$ 150$ on this broad group of items. At the income level $\$ 7,500$ to $\$ 10,000$, they reported expenditures of from $\$ 825$ to $\$ 1,680$. Despite this wide range, the different groups of families showed no consistent differences in average expenditures. (See table 45.) The relative change in the average outlay, at succeeding income levels, both for minor categories as a whole and for its component parts, was approximately the same among families of the seven different types. This general similarity reflects, of course, a considerably higher level of expense per person in the smaller families.

[^54]Table 45.-Average money expenditures for the minor categories, combined, by family type

| Income class | Family type 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII |
| \$750-\$999 | \$93 | \$116 | \$96 | \$108 | \$152 | \$102 | \$132 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 120 | 145 | 103 | 110 | 84 | 133 | 210 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 184 | 174 | 164 | 163 | 134 | 153 | 152 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 207 | 229 | 229 | 250 | 171 | 180 | 218 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 229 | 243 | 242 | 277 | 251 | 259 | 235 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 327 | 275 | 276 | 285 | 241 | 290 | 298 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 376 | 348 | 355 | 322 | 289 | 328 | 281 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 412 | 383 | 382 | 393 | 398 | 377 | 374 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 539 | 501 | 420 | 498 | 489 | 403 | 518 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 546 | 583 | 489 | 471 | 544 | 529 | 488 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 826 | 654 | 654 | 767 | 665 | 665 | 735 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 924 | 1,107 | 1,139 | 999 | 862 | 681 | 1,691 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 1,388 | 1,388 | 1,655 | 1,682 | 1,643 | 823 | 1,573 |

1 The 7 family types are distinguished on the basis of the number and age of members other than husband and wife, as follows:

Type
I No other persons (families of 2 ).
II 1 child under 16 (families of 3).
III 2 children under 16 (families of 4).
IV 1 person 16 or cuer and 1 or no other person, regardless of age (families of 3 or 4).
V 1 child under 16,1 person 16 or 0 Ver , and 1 or' 2 others, regardless of age (families of 5 or 8 ).
VI 3 or 4 childiren under 16 (families of 5 or 6 ).
VII 1 child under 16, and 4 or 5 others, regardiess of age (families of 7 or 8 ).
At least in the income classes between $\$ 2,000$ and $\$ 5,000$, the twoperson families, on the average, spent the most for this miscellaneous group of items. In dollar amounts, the largest families (type VII) were often not far behind, but in relation to total expenditures, the minor categories of expenditure took a smaller share among the large families than among the families of husband and wife alone. The smallest expenditures, both in average amounts and in relation to total expenditures, were in general made by families with three or four members in addition to the husband and wife (types V and VI).

The composition of this group of expenditures differed considerably among different types of families. Families with one child under 16 (type II) tended to spend the most for medical care; families with three or four children (type VI) ranked next. Differences in average outlays for medical care were by no means consistent among the other groups, although the number of family members ranged from two to eight. Physicians' bills were the largest single item in medical care for all families. Those with one child had the greatest expenditures for this item, perhaps because a family tends to consult a physician frequently for even the minor ailments of a first child. The cost of hospitalization also tended to run high for such families. Expenditures for medicines and drugs tended to be low among the two-person families and high among families with three or four members, at least three of them over 16 (type IV). As might be expected, the larger families (type VI and VII) tended to make more extensive use of clinic facilities; they also reported the receipt of free medical care somewhat more frequently than did the smaller families.

Although there was no clear differentiation among family types in respect to average expenditures for recreation, it appears that the young families tended to spend somewhat more than the older and larger families. It may be noted that average expenditures for recreation tended to equal or exceed those for medical care at a point lower in the income scale among small than among large families.

Average amounts spent on motion-picture admissions, at least among families with incomes between $\$ 1,250$ and $\$ 5,000$, tended to be greatest for the largest families (type VII), followed by the twoperson families and those with at least one member over 16 in addition to husband and wife (types I, IV, and V). Families with only children (types II, III, and VI) spent the least. The differences, which are not large, are perhaps attributable chiefly to differences in the admission charges for children and for adults. It is at least suggestive, however, that the families with children under 16 , which spent the least on motion pictures, reported automobile ownership most frequently. ${ }^{21}$ Expenditures for games and sports averaged somewhat higher for the two-person families and for those with children than for the larger families with adults.

Insofar as the outlay for vacation trips can be approximated ${ }^{22}$ it appears that two-person families spent appreciably more than any others for vacations away from home. This was to be expected, in view both of their greater freedom of movement and their greater freedom of choice in the apportionment of expenditures. Equally to be expected was the fact that among families with seven or eight members-at least those with incomes below $\$ 4,000$-expenditures for vacation trips were rare. Among the other families, ranging in size from three to six persons, however, there were no consistent differences in expenditures of this type.

With respect to average expenditures for tobacco, which showed only a slow change with income and very little occupational differentiation, fairly clear differences appear among families of different composition. The largest families (type VII) spent the most, while those with only children in addition to husband and wife (types II, III, and VI) spent least. Furthermore, the average expenditures of families with three or four children fell considerably below those of families with one or two children.

Average expenditures for reading were even smaller than those for tobacco, and showed little or no relationship to family size and composition. This might be expected in view of the large share of these expenditures which went for newspapers.

Average amounts spent for education naturally varied rather markedly with family type. The large families tended to spend the

[^55]most, followed by those containing at least one person 16 or over in addition to the husband and wife, and then by the families with three or four children under 16. In the case of families with persons over 16 beside the husband and wife, tuition payment tended to run high, since these families often contained at least one person of college age. In the case of families with three or four children under 16 (type VI), there were often at least three children for whom it was necessary to purchase school supplies.

The proportion of families reporting expenditures for education was greatest among the largest families and those with three or four children under 16 , in that order, and smallest among families with three or four members (disregarding husband-and-wife families, where the proportion was naturally negligible). Undoubtedly the one child in families of type II was often not of school age during the report year. Families that contained one or two persons over 16 in addition to husband and wife might well have no members of school age.

It is worth noting that families with three to six members, including at least three over 16, ranked considerably lower in the proportion reporting expense for education than in the average amount spent. In other words, the families that did have such expenditures reported relatively large amounts, which, it is probable, frequently represented tuition payments for children in college. This interpretation is confirmed by the fact that families reporting expenditures for room and board at school most often fell in one or the other of these family types.

With respect to the miscellany of expenditures for contributions and personal taxes, we find that both in average amounts and as a proportion of total expenditures, the two-person families in Chicago tended to spend the most, with the families containing five or more persons at the other extreme. These differences were chiefly due to variations between the small and the large families in average amounts given to relatives and to other persons not members of the economic family. The small families, having fewer persons to support on a given income, are more apt to have funds to be used in this way. They are perhaps more likely, furthermore, to support relatives outside their own homes, while larger families, having more adaptable households, are more apt to bring such individuals into their homes, where they become members of the economic family. Among families with incomes of $\$ 2,500$ and more, differences in number of dependents likewise affect the amounts paid in income taxes.

## Chapter VIII

## Surplus and Deficit Items

A summary of the relationship between incomes and expenditures of the families studied in Chicago was presented in chapter II. There it was shown that a majority of families with incomes of less than $\$ 1,000$ reported expenditures for the year in excess of their current incomes. At higher incomes levels, however, a rising proportion of families reported surpluses of rather rapidly increasing average amounts. The result was an average net deficit of about $\$ 100$ for all families with incomes of less than $\$ 1,000$, while those with incomes of $\$ 5,000$ or more had average net surpluses of over $\$ 1,700$.

The nature of changes in assets and liabilities.-Many of the families which ended the year with a surplus incurred deficits with respect to one or more of the items which went to make up the balance sheet. On the other hand, most of those families who "went into the red" for the year reported some surplus items; most of them, for instance, paid insurance premiums.

The purpose of the present chapter is to make a more detailed analysis of surplus and deficit, in order to show the relative importance, among families at different income levels, of negative and positive changes in family assets and liabilities, and of the various asset and liability items. ${ }^{1}$ We will thus be investigating the component changes which, balanced against one another, made up the average net surplus or deficit discussed in chapter II.

It must be recognized at the start that it was not one of the aims of the Study of Consumer Purchases to measure the total net worth of the families interviewed. The family was asked to report, not the total amount of its bank accounts, or its outstanding bills, but only the increases and decreases in the various items that had taken place during the schedule year. As was noted above, almost all families had paid some insurance premiums for the year, which meant an increase in assets. Some families, in order to make these payments, had withdrawn sums from bank accounts, which decreased their assets. Purchases of property would increase the family's investments, but might involve a corresponding change on the other side of the ledger, through withdrawals from the savings account, or the signing of a note at the bank.

[^56]Payments on the principal of a mortgage reduced liabilities, as did payments on bills incurred before the beginning of the schedule year. ${ }^{2}$ On the other hand, taking out a mortgage on property, or increasing the principal of an existing mortgage, meant an increase in liabilities. So also did installments or other credit purchases made during the year and not completely paid for by the end of the year. Loans obtained from a bank or from other sources likewise increased liabilities.

Important surplus items. ${ }^{3}$-The figures in table 46 bear out the statement that the amount of surplus items was not inconsiderable, even for the families at income levels where there was a sizeable average net deficit. On the other hand, while there were substantial deficit items at the upper income levels, they were far outweighed by the surplus categories which averaged several thousand dollars per family.

A closer examination of these figures shows that the change from average net deficit to average net surplus was chiefly the result of the growing importance of those items which went to increase assetschiefly insurance, bank accounts and, at the upper income levels, investments of one kind or another. These items, which, with one exception, did not total on the average as much as $\$ 100$ among families with incomes of less than $\$ 1,750$, amounted to more than $\$ 1,000$ in the $\$ 5,000$ to $\$ 7,500$ bracket, and progressively larger amounts at higher income levels.

Among the increases in assets, the item of greatest general importance among all families with incomes up to $\$ 4,000$ was insurance premiums. ${ }^{4}$ Amounting to $\$ 33$, on the average, even for families with incomes of less than $\$ 750$, the average value of these premiums rose steadily at successive income levels. Insurance premiums alone accounted for more than half of all surplus items among families with incomes of $\$ 750$ to $\$ 2,000$, and for at least one-third of surplus items up to the $\$ 7,500$ level. The importance attributed to insurance by urban families is further attested by the remarkably constant ratio of insurance premiums paid to money income. (See table 47.) The proportion was 5 or 6 percent among all families with incomes of less than $\$ 4,000$. At higher incomes, it was somewhat greater.

[^57]Table 46.-Component items making up surpluses and deficits

${ }_{1}$ This net figure was derived through computing the algebraic sum of all increases in assets and decreases in liabilities on the positive side, and of decreases in assets and increases in liabilities on the negative side. - Less than $\$ 1$.

Aside from insurance, increases in family assets consisted chiefly of additions to bank accounts or investments in business, real property, or securities. Increases in bank accounts were relatively unimportant among families with incomes of less than $\$ 1,750$. Above $\$ 2,500$, such increases were about as important as insurance. The amount of increases in investments ${ }^{5}$ varied somewhat more erratically, as might be expected, since individual families at given income levels differ rather widely in the manner of disposition of their accumulated sur-

[^58]Table 47.-Average insurance premiums paid as a percentage of average money income and of all surplus items

| Income class | Percentage of money income | Percentage of all surplus items ${ }^{1}$ | Income class | Percentage of money income | Percentage of all surplus items |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$500-\$749 | 5 | 24 | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 6 | 33 |
| \$750-\$999 | 6 | 89 | \$3,000-\$3,499 | 6 | 33 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 5 | 59 | \$3,500-\$3,999 | 6 | 32 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 5 | 74 | \$4,000-\$4,999 | 7 | 36 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5 | 65 | \$5,000-\$7,499 | 8 | 32 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 6 | 54 | \$7,500-\$9,999. | 6 | 25 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 6 | 42 | \$10,000 and over. | 10 | 25 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 6 | 40 |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Surplus items consist of increases in assets and decreases in liabilities.
pluses. It was the most important constituent in increases in assets, however, among families with incomes of $\$ 7,500$ and over.
"Other" increases in assets were generally of minor importance. Included here were such items as the value of improvements on owned home or other real estate.

By comparison with the increases in assets reported by Chicago families, their decreases in liabilities, which also went to build up surpluses, were of minor importance. (See table 46). In general, such decreases were achieved mainly by reduction in the principal of mortgages, which, though the average amounts varied rather erratically at successive income levels, generally constituted about half the total decreases in liabilities. Repayments on loans averaged less than $\$ 40$ at all income levels, and generally amounted to less than $\$ 10$, as an average. While the average amounts by which bills due were reduced (charge accounts, installment accounts, grocery bills, doctor bills, and the like) were generally somewhat larger than the decreases in loans, they averaged less than $\$ 30$ at all income levels below $\$ 4,000$. Other decreases in liabilities, which included chiefly rents due and taxes due, were insignificant in their effect on the total picture, averaging as much as $\$ 10$ only among families with incomes between $\$ 5,000$ and $\$ 10,000$.

How Chicago families met deficits.-If we turn to the deficit side of the picture, we find that decreases in assets and increases in liabilities were of about equal importance up to the $\$ 4,000$ income level, above which the former took precedence. It is to be expected that these highincome families would have more substantial assets on which to draw to meet deficits.

At all income levels from $\$ 750$ to $\$ 10,000$, withdrawals from bank accounts constituted the chief item in decreases in assets, at most income levels exceeding in value any of the items making for increase in family liabilities. This suggests that, even at the low income levels, many families were drawing on past accumulations to finance current living. The importance of these reductions in bank accounts should not be overemphasized, however, since the average amounts exceeded $\$ 100$ at only two income levels. While a number of families reduced their investments during the year, the average amounts involved were $113992^{\circ}$ - $39 — 7$
less, at all income levels above $\$ 1,750$, than the increases in investments reported on the surplus side. The insurance item on the deficit side represented insurance policies settled or surrendered, and varied erractically over the income range, tending in general to decrease in average amount. Other decreases in assets were generally insignificant.

Increases in liabilities generally contributed about the same amount to deficits as did decreases in assets, up to the $\$ 4,000$ income level; above that level they were definitely smaller. It is, however, worth noting that up to the $\$ 4,000$ level, they were larger in average amount than were the decreases in liabilities recorded on the surplus side. That is, the Chicago families studied, in general, carried over heavier liabilities into the year following the Study than they had at the beginning of the year. This was perhaps natural for a period marked by improving economic conditions after a severe depression.

There was no one liability category that accounted for a major part of all deficit items. At some income levels, increases in loans due to banks or individuals were the most important, at others it was increases in mortgages payable, and at stillothersincreasesin amounts due on installment or other credit purchases or other bills incurred during the year. For no one of them did the average increase during the year of the Study amount to as much as $\$ 65$ for the families at any income level, and none, furthermore, showed a clear tendency to increase over the income range. "Other" increases in liabilities, which consisted chiefly of rents due, and, to a lesser extent, of taxes due, amounted to as much as $\$ 33$ only among the families at the lowest income level, where almost one-third of the families reported increases in rents due, averaging $\$ 75$ in amount for those families which reported the item.

Installment purchases.-The general situation with respect to increases in liabilities can perhaps be most effectively illustrated by a more detailed examination of the figures on installment accounts, which are included under "Balances due" in table 46. If the figures on increase and decrease in amounts due on installment purchases are compared, as in table 48, it will be seen that, while a number of the Chicago families studied reduced their obligations of this type during the year of the Study, the families which reported an increase were more numerous at every income level between $\$ 1,000$ and $\$ 4,000$. The differences were most marked at the income levels between $\$ 1,250$ and $\$ 3,500$, where the percentage of families reporting increases was two to three times as great as the percentage reporting decreases. ${ }^{6}$

[^59]Furthermore, the average amounts of increase exceeded the average decreases at all but one income level between $\$ 750$ and $\$ 4,000 .{ }^{7}$

Table 48.-Increases and decreases in amounts due on installment purchases

| Income class | Families reporting increases |  | Families reporting decreases |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage | Average amount | Percentage | Average amount |
| \$500-\$749. |  |  | 11 | \$120 |
| \$750-\$999-- | 8 | \$98 | 9 | 33 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 12 | 79 | 9 | 43 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 14 | 90 | 4 | 70 |
| \$1,500-\$1,999 | 16 | 98 | 5 | 124 |
| \$2,000-\$2,499 | 16 | 138 | 6 | 123 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 15 | 229 | 7 | 186 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 13 | 287 | 6 | 143 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 9 | 292 | 7 | 291 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 8 | 204 | 12 | 245 |
| \$5,000 and over | 4 | 348 | 7 | 686 |

It should prove illuminating to check the results of the present study against comparable figures from investigations carried out in a subsequent year which represents a different phase of the business cycle. In order to understand the nature of deficit financing in consumer purchasing, one needs to study family balance sheets for a number of successive years, to determine the regularity with which families balance net increases in assets or liabilities against net decreases, particularly with reference to such an item as installment balances.

Preliminary examination of the detailed figures on installment obligations indicates that at most income levels the most substantial amounts of increase were in connection with automobile purchase, furniture being second in importance, and electric refrigerators third. Changes in amounts due on such goods as other electrical equipment, radios, and clothing rarely averaged as much as $\$ 5$ among the families at any income level.

Occupational variations in changes in assets and liabilities.-A special analysis of the Chicago data makes possible a comparison of changes in assets and liabilities among the various occupational groups at income levels between $\$ 1,250$ to $\$ 5,000$. Figures for three selected income levels are presented in table 49 as illustrative of the relation-

[^60]Table 49.-Surplus and deficit items at selected income intervals, by occupational group

ship among the occupational groups. In all groups, surpluses consisted mainly of increases in assets, which averaged more than $\$ 100$ per family among those with incomes of $\$ 1,750$ and over. Decreases in liabilities, on the other hand, rarely averaged as much as $\$ 100$. This suggests that most Chicago families did not carry over heavy obligations into the year covered by the schedules. The fact that average increases in liabilities were greater than average decreases among all occupational groups at most income levels indicates that in the year of the Study it was usual for families to increase their debts.

As regards changes in assets, in general, increases outweighed the decreases among all occupational groups. This was true at all income levels in four of the occupational groups. Among families in the salaried business category, however, there was a net decrease in assets at the $\$ 1,250$ to $\$ 1,500$ income level, and in the independent professional group this was true at three of the four income levels between $\$ 1,250$ and $\$ 2,250$. Perhaps some of these families had enjoyed more substantial incomes in the past, and looked forward to increased incomes as business conditions improved. In any case, some of them had past accumulations to draw upon for part of their current living.

On the deficit side, some rather interesting differences appear between families in the several occupational categories. Wage-earner families generally met deficits by increasing liabilities rather than decreasing assets, probably because they had less reserves to draw upon. This was generally true of the independent business group up to incomes of $\$ 3,500$. Among the independent professional and salaried business families, on the other hand, average decreases in assets usually exceeded increases in liabilities, again suggesting that this group of families had more substantial reserves on which to draw. Among the clerical and salaried professional families, deficits were sometimes met chiefly by decreases in assets, sometimes by larger increases in liabilities.

Important items in surplus and deficit by occupational group.-The importance of the several surplus and deficit items varied somewhat among the occupational groups. Insurance was always the chief item in increases in assets, at least to the $\$ 2,500$ income level, except among salaried professional families, which at several income levels below $\$ 2,500$ reported increases in bank accounts that exceeded insurance payments. In general, increases in investments in stocks and bonds and real estate were more consistently large among the salaried professional families than among those in any other occupational group. Payments on the principal of outstanding mortgages contributed somewhat more to the reduction of liabilities among wage-earner, clerical, and independent business families than among salaried or independent professional families. Clerical families tended to make
the most substantial payments on installment and other credit purchases during the schedule year.

On the deficit side, withdrawals from bank accounts usually constituted the chief item under decreases in assets. These withdrawals were generally more substantial among families in the business and professional groups than among wage-earner and clerical families, as would perhaps be expected. Increases in liabilities most commonly comprised increases in loans or balances due (charge or installment purchases and other bills due), although increases in the principal of mortgages were more important at scattered income levels.

Source and disposition of funds used for family living.-By way of conclusion, it will perhaps be worthwhile to consider the source of all the funds used for family living at selected income levels and the total disbursements of families at those levels. The funds used included current money income, together with amounts withdrawn from accumulations of previous years and unpaid obligations incurred during the year covered by the survey. Disbursements, on the other hand, comprised money expenditures for consumer goods and services, increases in assets, and decreases in previously incurred obligations.
In figure 9, the source and distribution of total funds used are presented for Chicago families at several income levels. It will be seen that at the lowest income level ( $\$ 500$ to $\$ 750$ ) money income provided only 65 percent of the funds disbursed during the year, decreases in assets supplying no less than one-fourth of this total. The other 10 percent of funds used came from increases in liabilities. Money expenditures for current family living, on the other hand, considerably exceeded money income, and constituted all but 13 percent of total disbursements, the balance being made up almost entirely of increases in assets. The latter, however, were only about half as great as decreases in assets; decreases in liabilities were only one-tenth as great as increases in liabilities.

At the next income level, money income took care of a much larger share of total disbursements, the remainder being provided half by decreases in assets, and half by increases in liabilities. Money expenditures still exceeded money income, comprising 95 percent of total disbursements. At the median income interval ( $\$ 1,750$ to $\$ 2,000$ ), current money income slightly exceeded expenditures, comprising 92 percent of all funds disbursed. The remaining 8 percent was provided in equal proportions by decreases in assets and increases in liabilities. Disbursements other than expenditures for current living consisted mainly of increases in assets, which were twice as large as decreases in liabilities.

At the two high income levels, the funds used for family living came almost entirely from current money income; increases in obligations were negligible. Expenditures for current living, on the other hand,

decreased sharply in relation to total disbursements, constituting, among the families with incomes of $\$ 10,000$ and over, less than twothirds of the total. Increases in assets increased markedly, while decreases in liabilities had about the same relative importance as among the families at the lower income levels.

The most striking feature of this summary picture is the shift from heavy drawing on past accumulations among the nonrelief families covered at low-income levels by this survey to the building up of substantial savings on the part of the high-income families.

## Chapter IX

## Summary

Variation of expenditures among families with similar incomes.-So far in this report expenditures have been discussed primarily as the average expenditures of groups of families. These averages have cut through the inherent differences between individual families and have indicated certain characteristics of the general pattern of expenditure. In the last chapter, however, there was some discussion of the variation in surpluses and deficits. This variation was the inevitable consequence of very considerable variations in total expenditures for consumer goods and services found in given income classes. Differences in family responsibilities, in the emergencies met during the year, in standards of living, in savings habits, in savings accumulated in previous years, and in ability to secure credit combine to produce great differences in expenditures among families with almost identical incomes.

Even at low income levels, there is a wide dispersion of total family expenditures. Among families with current incomes of $\$ 500$ to $\$ 750$, expenditures for current family living ranged from less than $\$ 500$ to more than $\$ 1,700$. (See table 50.) The expenditures of more than one-half of these families were above the upper limits of the income interval in which they fell. Among families with incomes between $\$ 750$ and $\$ 1,750$ expenditures in 50 to 60 percent of the cases fell within the limits of the income levels in which the families were classified. Of the remainder a considerable fraction reported expenditures above these income limits. At higher income levels, smaller proportions of the families reported expenditures that equaled or exceeded the income interval in which they were classified, and progressively larger proportions fell below the lower limits. The degree of variation in expenditures, however, showed no tendency to diminish at successively higher income levels. Among families with incomes of $\$ 500$ to $\$ 750$, the largest total expenditure reported was almost four times as great as the smallest. In the three modal income groups between $\$ 1,250$ and $\$ 2,000$ the largest was at least four times the size of the smallest, and at incomes of $\$ 5,000$ to $\$ 10,000$, the largest family expenditure reported was nearly five times as great as the smallest.

Table 50.-Percentage distribution of families according to total money value of current family living ${ }^{1}$

${ }^{*}$ Less than 1 percent. iture, ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ Excludes families with no gainfully employed members.

When a distribution is made of families in each of the six occupational groups (at the comparable income levels between $\$ 1,250$ and $\$ 5,000$ ) according to money expenditures, it is found that some wageearner and clerical families built up substantial surpluses, while others spent all or more than their current incomes. But neither of these conditions was typical of these occupational groups. In general, at at least among those families with incomes of $\$ 1,250$ to $\$ 2,500$, there is a pronounced tendency for expenditures to be closely related to income. In this respect the wage-earner and clerical families differ from those of the business and professional groups. At all income levels the expenditures of the groups last named tend to be much less closely related to income. In other words, large savings and large deficits are both more common among business and professional families than among clerical and wage-earner families with incomes of more than $\$ 1,250$.

The greater frequency of deficits among business and professional families may well reflect in part a difference among occupational groups in their attitude toward future income. It may be that a significant proportion of the business and professional men in the families studied regarded the income level at which they found themselves in 1935-36 as below their normal expectations. Either because of their past incomes or because of their parents' economic status and their own training for business or the professions, many of them may have looked forward to larger purchasing power in the future. On the other hand, wage-earner families with incomes of $\$ 1,250$ to $\$ 2,500$ were probably nearer to the income level which they regarded as normal and were therefore more apt, apart from emergencies, to keep expenses in line with income.
When the families interviewed are grouped according to number and age of family members, the differences in the range of money expenditures among families of different types are but slight. In the income classes between $\$ 1,000$ and $\$ 3,000$, there was more concentration at the higher levels of expenditure for the large than for the small families. Among families with incomes outside these limits-that is, below $\$ 1,000$ and above $\$ 3,000$-there was a wide dispersion of expenditures by the families in each income class, with little difference in the degree of dispersion among families of different types.

The families of seven or eight members (type VII) constitute an exception to this statement. They showed somewhat less dispersion in their total expenditures than did the smaller families. Perhaps this was because, having so many members to support on a given income, they could not pare their total expenditures down so far as did some of the smaller families, yet were reluctant, except in the face of pressing need, to spend beyond their current income.

When the data are further subdivided to show expenditures for the individual categories, the variations from family to family are even wider, relatively, than are found in total expenditures for current living. Such variations reflect the wide differences among families in their habits and tastes, as well as in the circumstances that operate in any given year to modify their usual pattern of spending. ${ }^{1}$

The share of food, shelter, and clothing in family expense.-Despite the wide range in total expenditures at any given income level, certain limits (elastic to be sure) are set to a family's expenditures for many consumption goods and services by the sums absorbed by the three necessities of life-food, shelter, and clothing. Attention has already been drawn to the fact that, at the upper income levels, all three of these categories, and particularly clothing and food, include a considerable proportion of luxury items. Indeed, expenditures for clothing behave like those for a luxury commodity, increasing in relative importance as we move up the income scale. Nevertheless, these three groups of expenditure ordinarily take precedence over all other items in the budget. Their importance is attested by the fact, that, among the Chicago families studied, excepting the few with incomes of $\$ 10,000$ or over, more than one-half of average total expenditures went for these three categories. (See table 51.) Among families with incomes of less than $\$ 1,250$, more than three-quarters of total expenditures went for food, clothing, and housing; up to the $\$ 2,500$ income level, they accounted for at least two-thirds of the total.

Table 51.-Expenditures for food, clothing, and housing, combined: Average amounts and percentage of average total expenditures and of average adjusted income ${ }^{1}$

| Income class | Average expenditures for theseitems items | Percentage of- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average adjusted income ${ }^{1}$ |
| \$500-\$749 | \$743 | 83.2 | 108. 6 |
| \$750-\$999 | 824 | 79.5 | 90.7 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 927 | 76.9 | 81.9 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1,059 | 75.2 | 77.4 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 1,185 | 72.4 | 73.1 |
| \$ $\$ 2,000-\$ 2,249$ | 1,291 | 70.1 | 68.8 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499- | , 381 | 61.9 | 65.5 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 1,678 | 65.7 | 61.4 |
| 83,000-\$3,499. | 1,812 | 63.4 | 56.0 |
| \$3,500- 83,999 | 2,062 | 63.7 | 55.3 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 2,309 | 59.6 | 51.9 |
| \$5,000- \$7,499. | 2,637 | 55.2 | 44.2 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 3,689 | 52.8 | 42.7 |
| \$10,000 and over | 4,962 | 47.0 | 30.5 |

[^61]${ }^{1}$ See appendix E, for further discussion of variability in family expenditures.

At succeeding income levels, therefore, steadily increasing proportions of total expenditures were available for other consumption purposes. In terms of income, the showing was much more striking. For families at the lowest income level (wage-earner families with incomes of $\$ 500$ to $\$ 750$ ), current income did not, on the average, suffice to cover even these necessities. At higher income levels, however, the proportion devoted to these essentials of family living declined rapidly: to less than 75 percent at the $\$ 1,500$ level; to about 50 percent at the $\$ 5,000$ level; and to 30 percent for the small number of families whose incomes were $\$ 10,000$ or over.

Expenditures for recreation, automobiles, and household help.-In contrast to the large but declining share of the total absorbed by food, clothing, and housing may be placed average expenditures for the categories which to most city families are luxuries-automobiles (purchase and operation combined), recreation, and household help. (See table 52.) These expenditures increased much more rapidly than did total expenditures, and more rapidly even than total income. They accounted for less than 4 percent of the aggregate expenditures of families with incomes of less than $\$ 1,250$, and for about 20 percent among families with incomes of $\$ 5,000$ or more. The share both of total expenditures and of income absorbed by these "luxury" categories showed a rather clear tendency to rise with income until the $\$ 10,000$ income level was reached. Thus, while the share of income devoted to food, clothing, and housing declined by half (from the income levels under $\$ 1,000$ to those over $\$ 5,000$ ), the portion absorbed by these three "luxury" items multiplied roughly four times.

Table 52.-Expenditures for automobiles, recreation, and household help, combined: Average amounts, and percentage of average total expenditures and of average adjusted income ${ }^{1}$

| Income class | Average expenditure for these items | Percentage of- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Average total expenditures ${ }^{1}$ | Average adjusted income ${ }^{1}$ |
| \$500-\$749 | \$17 | 1.9 | 2.5 |
| \$750-\$999 | 22 | 2.1 | 2.4 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 58 | 4.8 | 5.1 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 68 | 4.8 | 4.9 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 101 | 6.2 | 6.2 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 147 | 8.0 | 7.8 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 197 | 9.7 | 9.3 |
| -2,250-\$2,499 | 203 | 8.9 | 8.6 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 280 | 10.9 | 10.2 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 351 | 12.3 | 10.8 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 406 | 12.5 | 10.9 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 582 | 15.0 | 13.1 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499. | 004 | 18.9 | 15.2 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999. | 1,453 | 20.8 | 16.8 |
| \$10,000 and over. | 1,862 | 17.6 | 11.4 |

[^62]Relative changes in expenditures with changes in income.-The foregoing chapters have shown differences in the relative increases in expenditures for the several categories of goods and services as successively larger incomes make possible greater latitude in consumers' choices.

It is possible to obtain a rough measure of the elasticity of various types of expenditures over a given income range by comparing the average expenditures of families at the lower and upper ends of that range on a percentage basis. Elasticity varies in different parts of the income scale, and, since average expenditures increase in successive income classes, is also greater or less, depending on the length of the range selected for the comparison.

When average expenditures are compared over a range that begins with the groups receiving $\$ 500$ to $\$ 1,250$ and ends with those receiving $\$ 5,000$ and over, the following percentage increases in expenditures are obtained for the individual categories, arranged in order from the smallest relative increase to the greatest:

Percentage increase

Transportation other than by automobile 217

Tobacco 314
Reading 325

Medical care 476








1 Including the value of food received without money expense.
${ }^{2}$ Including fuel, light, and refrigeration and the value of housing and fuel obtained without money expense.
Over this range, which represents a 675 percent increase in income, ${ }^{2}$ total expenditures rose 425 percent, while the increase in outlay for the individual categories varied from 188 percent for food to 5,450 percent for education. At the lower limit of the income scale covered by this comparison, families were spending $\$ 111$ more than their incomes for current living, at the upper limit the group was saving on the average about $\$ 1,800$ a year.

The manner in which income elasticity in expenditures varies in different parts of the income scale is indicated by the lists appearing below, which represent the individual categories arranged according to the percentage increase in average expenditures over the income ranges from $\$ 500-\$ 749$ to $\$ 1,000-\$ 1,249$ and from $\$ 4,000-\$ 4,999$ to

[^63]$\$ 7,500-\$ 9,999$. The first involves an increase in average income of about 75 percent, an increase in average total expenditures for current living of 40 percent, and a reduction in average deficit of 70 percent. The range between $\$ 4,000$ and $\$ 10,000$ represents an increase in the average incomes compared of approximately 95 percent; a growth in expenditures of 82 percent and an increase in net savings of 172 percent.


The most striking change in elasticity between the lower income range and the upper is found in the case of education. Average outlay for education by Chicago families in the income class $\$ 1,000$ to $\$ 1,250$ was the same as the average outlay of families in the $\$ 500$ to $\$ 750$ group. Children among the lower income groups are almost invariably sent to public schools, and direct expenditures for formal education are kept to the minimum necessary for the purchase of school supplies. Between the income levels $\$ 4,000$ to $\$ 5,000$ and $\$ 7,500$ to $\$ 10,000$, on the other hand, average expenditures for school equipment, and school and college tuition increased over 200 percent. Expenditures for medical care ranked considerably higher in elasticity at the lower part of the income scale than at the upper, as did also expenditures for furnishings and equipment, automobile purchase, and automobile operation. Because of the fact that the range over which the increases were measured was greater both absolutely and relatively at the higher level than at the lower, the percentage increases in the two ranges are not wholly comparable. It is noteworthy, however, that elasticity in expenditures for food and clothing were very similar at both ends of the income scale.

The change over the income range in average expenditures by Chicago families tor the main categories is shown graphically in


$113992^{\circ}$ - $39 —$ - 8
figures 10 and 11. These figures indicate both the level of outlay and the relative increase in different parts of the income range. ${ }^{3}$ The relatively moderate increase for both food and housing, noted in chapters III and IV, are strikingly shown in figure 10. Among the other categories a number show very similar relative increases. All categories of expenditure showed a definite tendency toward a slower relative increase at the higher income levels, although this is least clearly marked with respect to contributions and direct personal taxes, and "other" transportation. This flattening of the curves at the upper income levels reflects the growing importance of various forms of savings with increases in incomes.

Expenditures at successive income levels.--In spite of the considerable variation in the expenditures of families of the same composition, occupational group, and income, there is a very high degree of consistency in the average expenditures of groups of families in the same income class that are similar in most other respects, and in the average expenditures of families in adjacent income brackets. In other words when Chicago families are considered one at a time, there is found to be a very great variety in the way they plan their family budgets. When they are classified into relatively homogeneous groups, however, their average expenditures assume such regularity of design that it becomes quite reasonable to speak of the "patterns of expenditures" at successive income levels in this metropolitan area.

The design becomes even more pronounced when all the families studied are grouped into five broad income classes, and the pattern of expenditures is considered for families with incomes: $\$ 500$ to $\$ 1,000$; $\$ 1,000$ to $\$ 2,000 ; \$ 2,000$ to $\$ 3,000 ; \$ 3,000$ to $\$ 5,000 ; \$ 5,000$ and up. (See table 53.)

Table 53.-Distribution of adjusted family income ${ }^{1}$

| Income class | Total adjusted income | Total money value of current family living | Food | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Home } \\ & \text { mainte- } \\ & \text { nance } \end{aligned}$ | Clothing and personal care | Trans-portation | $\begin{gathered} \text { Medi- } \\ \text { cal } \\ \text { care } \end{gathered}$ | Contributions and personal taxes | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average amount |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$999...-......- | \$846 | \$996 | \$410 | \$369 | \$86 | \$35 | \$39 | \$10 | \$47 |
| \$1,000-\$1,099.......- | 1, 522 | 1,543 | 561 | 531 | 159 | 98 | 66 | 30 | 98 |
| \$2,000-\$2,909 | 2,391 | 2,278 | 737 | 744 | 269 | 190 | 108 | 66 | 166 |
| \$3,000-\$4,999 | 3, 694 | 3, 233 | 950 | 996 | 418 | 304 | 151 | 159 | 255 |
| \$5,000 and over.-. | 7. 558 | B, 769 | 1, 267 | 1,788 | 769 | 597 | 242 | 565 | 541 |
|  | Percentage of income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 500-\$ 999 \ldots-\ldots$ | 100.0 | 117.7 | 48.4 | 43.6 | 10.2 | 4.1 | 4.6 | 1.2 | 5.6 |
| $\$ 1,000-\$ 1,999$ | 100.0 | 101.4 | 36.9 | 34.9 | 10.5 | 6.4 | 4. 3 | 2.0 | 6.4 |
| \$2,000-\$2,899. ....- | 100.0 | 95.3 | 30.9 | 31.1 | 11.3 | 7.9 | 4.4 | 2. 8 | 6.9 |
| \$3,000-\$4,990.....- | 100.0 | 87.5 | 25.7 | 27.0 | 11.3 | 8.2 | 4.1 | 4.3 | 6.9 |
| \$5,000 and over...- | 100.0 | 76.3 | 16.7 | 23.6 | 10.2 | 7.9 | 3.2 | 7.5 | 7.2 |

${ }^{1}$ See glossary for definition of items included in each category.

[^64]Famlies with incomes from $\$ 500$ to $\$ 1,000$.-Among families in this income group, total incomes averaged $\$ 846$, and total current expenditures, $\$ 996 .{ }^{4}$ Food alone took 48 percent of the average family's income, home maintenance another 44 percent, while clothing and personal care expenses came to 10 percent. The family outlay for medical care represented almost 5 percent of income and for transportation about 4 percent. Expenditures for the minor categories in the family budget, recreation, reading, tobacco, education, gifts, contributions to religious and community welfare organizations, and personal taxes, averaged $\$ 57$ at this level, and brought the total expenditure per family to a point 18 percent above current income.

Families with incomes from $\$ 1,000$ to $\$ 2,000$.-Current incomes in this next income bracket averaged $\$ 1,522$, and came within one percent of balancing with current family expenditures. Expenditures for food and home maintenance still predominated in family spending, amounting to 72 percent of total income. Clothing and personal care expense, with almost 11 percent, exceeded all the remaining categories. Expenditures for transportation showed a marked gain over those in the lowest income group. They climbed from $\$ 35$ to $\$ 98$, and secured 6.4 percent of income, while medical care expense, which increased from $\$ 39$ to $\$ 66$, received the same share at both income levels. The percentage of income going to the other minor categories in the family budget rose to slightly more than 8 percent.

Families with incomes from $\$ 2,000$ to $\$ 3,000$.-Expenditure patterns in this income group continue the tendency observed in the two lower groups but in some ways are strikingly different. Expenditures for food, home maintenance, clothing, and personal care took less than 75 percent of total income, leaving a balance which provided for the other items characteristic of the city family's budget and a margin for savings beside. At this level 5 percent of current income was used for reducing deficits accumulated in the past and for providing reserves for future use. The average family in this group spent 8 percent of total income, $\$ 190$, for transportation of all kinds, including the purchase of automobiles. The share of income received by the minor categories increased still further above the shares received below the $\$ 2,000$ level.

Families with incomes from $\$ 3,000$ to $\$ 5,000$. Families in the bracket next higher, with incomes averaging almost $\$ 3,700$, are distinguished by the relatively small proportion of their incomes going to food, home maintenance, clothing, and personal care, and the relatively large proportion going to savings. Only 64 percent of income was used for these three important categories, while 12 percent was saved. Expenditures for transportation, medical care, and the other items covered by the family budget were larger than in the lower income groups, but their share of income was the same, except in the case of gifts, contributions

[^65]to community organizations, and personal taxes. The share of this last group of items amounted to 4.3 percent of total income as compared with 2.8 percent in the income bracket just lower.

Families with incomes of $\$ 5,000$ and over.-The incomes of families in the highest of the broad groups covered by the Chicago survey average $\$ 7,558$. At this level only 76 percent was spent for all items of current consumption. Expenditures for food and home maintenance took a much smaller proportion of the total than at lower income levels, the proportion received by clothing and personal care, transportation, medical care, and the minor categories remained about the same, but the share received by gifts, contributions to the church and community welfare organizations, and personal taxes rose to $\$ 565$, or 7.5 percent of total income. Of this amount, $\$ 304$ was spent for gifts to persons outside the family, $\$ 67$ to religious organizations, $\$ 41$ to community welfare organizations, and $\$ 153$ for personal taxes.
Disposition of purchasing power at different income levels.-It is apparent from these figures that 1 million dollars of current income spent by Chicago families above the $\$ 5,000$ level affects the market quite differently than 1 million dollars spent by families at the $\$ 1,500$ level. One million dollars spent by 660 families with incomes between $\$ 1,000$ and $\$ 2,000$ is used to buy about $\$ 370,000$ worth of food products, including food served in restaurants and hotels. About $\$ 350,000$ goes to home maintenance, including current expenditures for household operation, and additions to furniture and equipment; $\$ 110,000$ goes to clothing and personal care, about $\$ 65,000$ to transportation expense of all kinds, including the purchase and operation of automobiles. Expenditures for drugs, medicines, medical appliances, and medical services receive about $\$ 40,000$ while the category representing gifts, contributions, and personal taxes, receives about $\$ 20,000$ and that representing other consumption goods about $\$ 65,000$ At this income level current expenditures slightly exceed income and about 1 percent of total expense is financed by withdrawals from past reserves, or by borrowing.

The disposition of 1 million dollars by Chicago families with incomes above $\$ 5,000$ is quite different. At this level 1 million dollars is divided among about 130 families. Only about $\$ 170,000$ is spent for food; $\$ 240,000$ goes to home maintenance; $\$ 100,000$ to clothing and personal care, about $\$ 80,000$ to transportation, and $\$ 30,000$ to medical care. At this income level $\$ 75,000$ is spent for gifts, contributions to community organizations, and personal taxes, and approximately the same amount for the goods and services classified in the minor consumption categories. The balance of this 1 million dollars (about $\$ 240,000$ ) reaches the banks and the investment market, either directly or indirectly. It is used to cancel obligations incurred in the past, or reserved for future use, either in the form of bank deposits, insurance premiums, or in capital investments.

## TABULAR SUMMARY

The data presented in the following tables summarize, by major groups, the expenditures of native white families living in Chicago. The data on expenditures were obtained only from nonrelief families containing husband and wife, both native born. Not all families meeting these qualifications were scheduled, but the number of eligible families in the different income, occupational, and family type groups is given in column 2 of all tables in order to show their relative frequency in the community. (See statement, in section on sampling, appendix A, regarding the "eligible" sample.)

Data presented for "All families" and for each family type group represent only families of wage earners at the income level $\$ 500$ to $\$ 750$, and only those of wage earners and clerical workers at the income levels $\$ 750$ to $\$ 1,250$. At income levels between $\$ 1,250$ and $\$ 5,000$, all occupational groups are represented, while at incomes of $\$ 5,000$ and above, only families in the business and professional categories are included.

Data presented for "All families" and for each occupational group include families of types I through VII. Data for "All families" are weighted according to the frequency in the eligible sample of the six occupational groups and seven family types. Data for the six occupational groups are weighted by the frequency of the family types, and data for the family types are weighted by the frequency of the various occupational groups at the income levels where they are represented (see section on sampling, appendix A). Averages are in all cases, except as indicated in table 1-A, based on the number of families reporting expenditures (column 3 on all tables), whether or not they reported expenditure for the particular item.

Data on families with no gainfully employed members are not included in any of the tables for "All families," nor in the tabulations by family type. The data on this group of families are separately tabulated; they are not weighted by family type, but are pooled within a given income bracket for all families classified in this category (see section on sampling).

## SUPPLEMENT TO TABULAR SUMMARY

Tables A, B, C, and D are presented for the use of students who are interested in studying expenditures with three control factorsoccupation, family type, and income. It will be noted, on examination of column 3 on these tables, that in many cells the number of cases is very small. As a result, the averages are subject to large
random fluctuations, especially with reference to such items as medical care and furnishings and equipment, where, even with a relatively large number of families represented, the variation among families is very high.

In contrast to the data appearing in the main part of the Tabular Summary, based on two controls, these figures, controlled by income, occupational group, and family type, are presented even when they are based on fewer than three cases. This has been done in part to show the basis of the data presented in more summarized form, and partly in order to give special students in the field all the material available. It will be noted that, in some cases, averages based on one or two families vary no more erratically than those based on six or eight, the variation depending on both the character of the expenditure category and the extent to which the families represented might be said to have a typical expenditure pattern.

Table A shows, for each family type within each occupational group, the percentage of families having a surplus or deficit, and the average amount of surplus or deficit, by income levels. Table B shows, for the same groups, average total money expenditures and money expenditures for 16 groups of commodities and services.

Tables $C$ and $D$ show the distribution by income of family types within the occupational groups, and of occupational groups within the several family types eligible for the expenditure schedule. The distributions exclude families with no gainfully employed members.
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[^66]Table 1.-Balance of family income and expenditure: Number of eligible families, number reporting expenditures, average net money and nonmoney income, average money expenditure for family living, net surplus or deficit, and balancing difference, by occupation, family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-86
[White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]

| Occupational group, tamily type, and income class | Number of families |  | Average net income |  |  | Average money expenditure for family living ${ }^{\prime}$ | Average net surplus or deficit (-) | Average net balancing difference ${ }^{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible ${ }^{1}$ | Report- <br> ing ex- <br> penditures | Total | Money ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ | Non- money from housing ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) |
| All families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 5, 940 | 50 | \$645 | \$621 | \$24 | \$830 | -\$204 | -\$5 |
| \$750-\$999 | 15, 480 | 110 | 891 | 887 | 4 | 1,015 | -113 | -15 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 22, 040 | 139 | 1,120 | 1,090 | 30 | 1,165 | -63 | -12 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 25, 150 | 238 | 1,361 | 1,314 | 47 | 1,353 | -29 | -10 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 27, 330 | 217 | 1,617 | 1,589 | 28 | 1, 605 | -3 | -13 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 27, 590 | 264 | 1, 869 | 1,833 | 36 | 1,799 | 42 | -8 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 23, 110 | 256 | 2, 109 | 2,063 | 46 | 1,986 | 87 | -10 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 17,960 | 269 | 2,368 | 2,311 | 57 | 2,222 | 98 | -9 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 19,700 | 296 | 2,726 | 2,658 | 68 | 2, 479 | 185 | -6 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 11, 730 | 226 | 3,230 | 3,121 | 109 | 2,741 | 371 | 9 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 7,440 | 206 | 3,718 | 3,635 | 83 | 3,145 | 491 | -1 |
| \$4,000-\$4,990 | 6,700 | 197 | 4,439 | 4,334 | 105 | 3, 760 | 589 | -15 |
| \$5,000-87,499 | 4,220 | 102 | 5,943 | 5, 809 | 134 | 4,619 | 1,181 | 9 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 810 | 37 | 8,631 | 8, 505 | 126 | 6,851 | 1,599 | 55 |
| \$10,000 and over... | 670 | 29 | 16, 269 | 16,047 | 222 | 10,322 | 5,647 | 78 |
| Occupational group: Wage earner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 5,940 | 50 | 646 | 621 | 25 | 830 | $-204$ | -5 |
| \$750-\$999 | 11, 820 | 58 | 889 | 883 | 6 | 1,021 | $-120$ | -18 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 15, 380 | 75 | 1,112 | 1,081 | 31 | 1,124 | -30 | -13 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 15, 020 | 91 | 1,361 | 1,315 | 46 | 1,356 | -37 | -4 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 14, 450 | 68 | 1,621 | 1,581 | 40 | 1,613 | $-15$ | -17 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 13, 370 | 82 | 1,884 | 1,844 | 40 | 1,773 | 70 | 1 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 9,500 | 68 | 2,112 | 2,067 | 45 | 1,964 | 110 | -7 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 6,840 | 75 | 2,358 | 2,319 | 39 | 2,236 | 90 | -7 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 6,630 | 72 | 2,738 | 2,694 | 44 | 2,557 | 138 | -1 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 3,000 | 55 | 3,233 | 3,123 | 110 | 2,688 | 429 | 26 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 1,370 | 44 | 3,715 | 3,550 | 185 | 2,953 | 815 | -18 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 1,000 | 18 | 4,365 | 4,226 | 130 | 3,690 | 534 | 2 |
| Clerical |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 3,660 | 52 | 903 | 903 |  | 999 | -93 | -3 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 6,660 | 64 | 1,138 | 1,110 | 28 | 1,259 | $-138$ | -11 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 7, 230 | 75 | 1,362 | 1,315 | 47 | 1,356 | -19 | -22 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 9, 200 | 69 | 1,619 | 1,610 | 9 | 1,616 | -1 | -5 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 9,830 | 71 | 1,856 | 1,828 | 28 | 1,811 | 36 | -19 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 9, 360 | 77 | 2,108 | 2,053 | 55 | 1,971 | 94 | -12 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 6, 720 | 81 | 2,365 | 2,288 | 77 | 2,211 | 93 | -16 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 7, 040 | 79 | 2,714 | 2, 634 | 80 | 2,388 | 255 | -9 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 3,920 | 65 | 3,252 | 3,115 | 137 | 2,616 | 481 | 18 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 2,640 | 53 | 3,694 | 3,612 | 82 | 3,057 | 545 | 10 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 1,970 | 26 | 4,440 | 4,377 | 63 | 3,857 | 556 | -36 |
| Independent business |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499..-----------..- | 1,880 | 29 | 1,359 | 1,276 | 83 | 1,293 | -5 | -12 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 1, 610 | 24 | 1,585 | 1,550 | 35 | 1, 518 | 56 | -24 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1,790 | 40 | 1,854 | 1, 803 | 51 | 1,868 | -45 | -20 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 1,410 | 35 | 2,109 | 2,071 | 38 | 2,026 | 48 | -3 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 .................. | 1,350 | 29 | 2,387 | 2,313 | 74 | 2, 202 | 119 | -8 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 1,750 | 41 | 2,714 | 2,648 | 66 | 2,401 | 273 | -26 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 1,150 | 27 | 3,259 | 3,142 | 117 | 2,945 | 202 | -5 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 770 | 27 | 3, 719 | 3, 674 | 45 | 3,286 | 411 | -23 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 860 | 38 | 4,451 | 4, 311 | 140 | 3, 588 | 722 | 1 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 ...........-. | 910 | 26 | 5,926 | 5,725 | 201 | 4,580 | 1,105 | 40 |
| \$7,500-\$9,989...........-- .-. -- | 160 | 12 | 8,543 | 8, 226 | 317 | 6,068 | 2,033 | 125 |
| \$10,000 and over...-.-.-.-.-.--- | 120 | 3 | 14, 044 | 13,814 | 230 | 11, 573 | 2,191 | 50 |

Bee p. 170 for notes on this table.

Table 1.-Balance of family income and expenditure: Number of eligible families, number reporting expenditures, average net money and nonmoney income, average money expenditure for family living, net surplus or deficit, and balancing difference, by occupation, family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-96-Continued

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | Number of families |  | Average net income |  |  | Average money ture for family living <br> (7) | Average not surdeficit $(-)$ <br> (8) | Average net bal-difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible <br> (2) | ReportIng ex-penditures <br> (3) | Total (4) | Money (5) | Nonmoney from housing <br> (6) |  |  |  |
| Independent professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 140 | 11 | \$1,379 | \$1,330 | \$49 | \$1,436 | -\$81 | \$25 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 230 | 10 | 1,589 | 1, 572 | 17 | 1, 641 | -73 | 4 |
| \$1,750-\&1,999. | 320 | 16 | 1,871 | 1,834 | 37 | 1,819 | 11 | 4 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 250 | 13 | 2,123 | 2,061 | 62 | 2, 335 | -259 | -15 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 320 | 24 | 2, 400 | 2,334 | 66 | 2, 326 | 39 | -31 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 610 | 25 | 2, 758 | 2,689 | 69 | 2, 593 | 89 | 7 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499- | 470 | 16 | 3, 161 | 3, 131 | 30 | 2,943 | 187 | 1 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 370 | 22 | 3,773 | 3,707 | 66 | 3, 335 | 398 | -26 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 520 | 31 | 4,515 | 4,369 | 146 | 3,708 | 665 | -4 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 .--------------- | 720 | 22 | 6,120 | 5,940 | 180 | 4,542 | 1, 451 | -53 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 150 | 5 | 8, 423 | 8,331 | 92 | 7,234 | ${ }_{9}^{991}$ | 106 |
| \$10,000 and over | 70 | 5 | 12,363 | 12, 252 | 111 | 9,399 | 2, 875 | -22 |
| Salaried business |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 -............---- | 370 | 13 | 1,314 | 1,314 |  | 1,407 | -97 | 4 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 ................. | 870 | 21 | 1,591 | 1,592 | -1 | 1,599 |  | -15 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 ...................- | 1,230 | 32 | 1,868 | 1,820 | 48 | 1,819 | 5 | -4 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 1,220 | ${ }^{26}$ | 2, 073 | 2, 033 | 40 | 2,117 | -54 | -30 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 ----------1...- | 1,420 | 30 | 2, 383 | 2,348 | 35 | 2,273 | 82 | -7 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 1,770 | 36 | 2,755 | 2,702 | 53 | 2,640 | 78 | -16 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 1,640 | 35 | 3,175 | 3, 101 | 74 | 2,899 | 223 | -21 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 1,380 | 31 | 3, 739 | 3,705 | 34 | 3,288 | 394 | 23 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999-...-......-- | 1,230 | ${ }_{3} 3$ | 4,506 | 4, 419 | 87 | 3, 954 | 504 | -39 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 1,670 | 33 | 5,797 | 5,700 | 97 | 4,722 | 937 | 41 |
| \$7,500-80,999 | 370 | 12 | 8,849 | 8,764 | 85 | 7,215 | 1,529 | 20 |
| \$10,000 and over-------.----- | 370 | 11 | 18, 037 | 18,700 | 237 | 10,664 | 7,981 | 55 |
| Salaried professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 710 | 19 | 1,396 | 1,386 | 10 | 1,372 | 28 | -14 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 970 | ${ }^{25}$ | 1,608 | 1,572 | 36 | 1,518 |  | -21 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1,050 | ${ }_{27}^{23}$ | 1, 846 | 1,801 | 45 | 1,867 | -51 | -15 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 1,370 | 37 | 2, 114 | 2, 112 | 2 | 2,020 | 107 | -15 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 1,310 | 30 | 2,386 | 2,339 | 47 | 2,147 | 177 | 15 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 1,900 | 42 | 2, 702 | 2, 577 | 125 | 2,430 | 137 | 10 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 1,550 | ${ }^{28}$ | 3, 228 | 3, 131 | 95 | 2,813 | 320 | -2 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 910 | 29 | 3,736 | 3, 666 | 70 | 3,278 | 398 | -10 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 1,120 | 45 | 4,384 | 4, 263 | 121 | 3,590 | 661 | 12 |
| \$5,000-87,499 | 920 | 21 | 6, 092 | 5,992 | 100 | 4, 527 | 1,498 | -33 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999. | 130 | 7 | 8,355 | 8,306 | 49 | 6, 337 | 1,963 | 6 |
| \$10,000 and over.-.-.-.....- | 110 | 10 | 12, 211 | 11,973 | 238 | 8,395 | 3,331 | 247 |
| No gainfully employedmembers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 450 | 7 | 631 | 550 | 81 | 687 | -127 | -10 |
| \$750-\$999 | 300 | 7 | 830 | 656 | 174 | 867 | -212 | 1 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 500 | 13 | 1,143 | 1,024 | 119 | 1,160 | -155 | 19 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 370 | 8 | 1,382 | 1,296 | 86 | 1,295 | $-10$ | 11 |
| \$1,500-\$1,740 | 330 | 8 | 1, 598 | 1,291 | 307 | 1,369 | -70 | -8 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 130 | 6 | 1,857 | 1,574 | 283 | 1,498 | 48 | 28 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 80 | 4 | 2, 118 | 2, 118 |  | 1,992 | 190 | -64 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 80 | 7 | 2,375 | 2, 055 | 320 | 1,807 | 259 | -11 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 70 | 8 |  | 2,494 | 201 | 2, 021 | 470 | 48 |
| \$4,000-\$4,899 -- $\begin{gathered}\text { Family type: }{ }^{\text {Type }} \text { I }\end{gathered}$ | 90 | 5 | 4,355 | 4,231 | 124 | 2, 633 | 1,554 | 44 |
| \$500-\$749 | 1,850 | 8 | 636 | 608 | 28 | 692 | -79 | -5 |
| \$750-\$999 | 4, 880 | 17 | 883 | 883 |  | 945 | -43 | -18 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 ................. | 6,190 | 25 | 1,096 | 1,029 | 67 | 1, 104 | -71 | - |
| \$1,250-\$1,499................. | 7,020 7,210 | $\stackrel{41}{38}$ | 1,361 1,602 | 1,297 1.580 | 64 12 | 1,355 1,560 | $\begin{array}{r}-54 \\ \hline 36\end{array}$ | - |

Table 1.-Balance of family income and expenditure: Number of eligible families, number reporting expenditures, average net money and nonmoney income, average money expenditure for family living, net surplus or deficit, and balancing difference, by occupation, family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-96-Continued

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | Number of families |  | Average net income |  |  | A verage money ture for family living <br> (7) | Average net surplus or deficit (-) | Average net balancing difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible | Report ing ex-pendi- tures | Total | Money | $\begin{gathered} \text { Non- } \\ \text { money } \\ \text { from } \\ \text { housing } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |  |  |  |
| Family type: Type I-Con. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 7, 270 | 45 | \$1,845 | \$1,822 | \$23 | \$1,745 | \$85 | -\$8 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5, 450 | 43 | 2,099 | 2,084 | 15 | 1,982 | 98 | 4 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 4, 160 | 43 | 2,368 | 2,314 | 54 | 2,185 | 130 | -1 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 4, 190 | 42 | 2,713 | 2,654 | 59 | 2, 371 | 270 | 13 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 2, 650 | 38 | 3,249 | 3,128 | 121 | 2,731 | 388 | 9 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 1,480 | 39 | 3,760 | 3, 701 | 59 | 3, 120 | 546 | 35 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 1,230 | 32 | 4, 398 | 4,344 | 54 | 3, 607 | 734 | 3 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 1,010 | 20 | 6,048 | 5, 222 | 126 | 4, 118 | 1,822 | -18 |
| \$77,500-\$0,999 | 160 | 3 | 8, 328 | 8,290 | 38 | 6, 889 | 1,222 | 179 |
| \$10,000 and over | 120 | 9 | 13,070 | 13,000 | 70 | 8,974 | 3,969 | 57 |
| Type II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749-...-.-...-...-...--- | 1,640 | 8 | 642 | 638 | 0 | 720 | -95 | 8 |
| \$750-\$999 | 3, 690 | 16 | 897 | 897 |  |  | -59 | -7 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 5,420 | 24 | 1,156 | 1,154 | 2 | 1,182 | $-16$ | -12 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 5,730 | 36 | 1,333 | 1,306 | 27 | 1, 279 | 39 | -12 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5,930 | 36 | 1,615 | 1,600 | 15 | 1,593 | 22 | -15 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 5,700 | 41 | 1,871 | 1,852 | 19 | 1,762 | 94 | -4 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 4, 650 | 40 | 2,097 | 2, 068 | 29 | 1,967 | 100 | 1 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 3, 710 | 43 | 2,382 | 2, 344 | 38 | 2, 244 | 109 | -9 |
| \$2,500-\$2,090 | 3, 100 | 51 | 2,713 | 2,691 | 22 | 2,458 | 262 | -29 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 1,740 | 37 | 3, 202 | 3,157 | 45 | 2, 840 | 325 | -8 |
| \$3,500-\$3,099 | 1,160 | 34 | 3,716 | 3, 687 | 29 | 3, 328 | 391 | -32 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | ${ }^{930}$ | ${ }_{18}^{26}$ | 4,415 | 4,360 | 49 | 3,793 | 618 | -45 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 630 | 18 | ${ }^{5,793}$ | 5,760 | 27 | 5, 040 | 723 | 3 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 <br> $\$ 10,000$ and over | 30 10 | 3 | ${ }_{\text {( })}^{8,800}$ | $\stackrel{8,800}{(\dagger)}$ | (t) | $\stackrel{6}{(\dagger)}$ | $\stackrel{1}{1} 960$ | (t) 135 |
| Type III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 810 | 8 | 656 | 656 |  | 942 | -283 | -3 |
| \$750-\$999 | 2, 420 | 20 | 898 | 876 | 22 | 990 | -99 | -15 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 3, 010 | 24 | 1,101 | 1,095 | 6 | 1,126 | -13 | -18 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 4,080 | 35 | 1,387 | 1,368 | 19 | 1,411 | -39 | -4 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 3, 980 | 31 | 1,637 | 1,813 | 24 | 1,636 | -10 | -13 |
| \$1,750-\$1,099 | 3,740 | 43 | 1,874 | 1,847 | 27 | 1,845 | 20 | -18 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 3, 540 | 34 | 2,121 | 2,061 | 60 | 2,020 | 59 | -18 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 2, 460 | 49 | 2,364 | 2, 350 | 14 | 2, 264 | 104 | -18 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 2, 400 | 42 | 2,725 | 2,648 | 77 | 2,638 | 23 | -13 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 1,000 | 32 | 3,195 | 3,061 | 134 | 2,675 | 365 | 21 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 810 | 26 | 3,700 | 3,678 | 22 | 3, 204 | 497 | -23 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 560 | 31 | 4, 530 | 4,467 | 63 | 3,996 | 517 | $-46$ |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 570 | 13 | 5,705 | 5,581 | 124 | 4, 652 | 944 | -15 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999. | 140 | 10 | 8, 715 | 8,565 | 150 | 6,849 | 1, 559 | 157 |
| \$10,000 and over... | 130 | 6 | 13, 307 | 12, 883 | 424 | 8,637 | 4, 536 | -290 |
| Type IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-8749 | 840 |  | 659 | 570 | 89 | 1,070 | -474 | -26 |
| \$750-\$999 | 2,590 | 14 | 896 | 877 | 19 | 1,085 | -186 | -22 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 3, 920 | 18 | 1,130 | 1,098 | 32 | 1,245 | -126 | -21 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 4, 210 | 33 | 1,362 | 1,293 | 69 | 1,372 | -64 | -15 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5,320 | 40 | 1,632 | 1,585 | 47 | 1, 638 | -44 | -9 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5,760 | 42 | 1,891 | 1,819 | 72 | 1,788 | 34 | -3 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 4,770 | 39 | 2,107 | 2,022 | 85 | 1,950 | 112 | -40 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 4, 380 | 43 | 2, 358 | 2, 267 | 91 | 2, 219 | 67 | -19 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999- | 5,650 | 48 | 2,756 3,253 | 2,670 3,105 | 86 | 2, 460 | 214 | -4 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 3, 590 | 30 | 3,253 | 3, 105 | 148 | 2, 588 | 501 | 16 |

tAverages and percentages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

Table 1.-Balance of family income and expenditure: Number of eligible families, number reporting expenditures, average net money and nonmoney income, average money expenditure for family living, net surplus or deficit, and balancing difference, by occupation, family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-86-Continued

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | Number of families |  | Average net income |  |  | Average money expenditure for family living <br> (7) | A verage net surplus or deficit $(-)$ <br> (8) | Average net balancing difference <br> (9) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible | Report- <br> ing ex-penditures | Total | Money | Nonmoney from housing |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |  |  |  |
| Family type: Type IV-Con. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 2, 260 | 39 | \$3,720 | \$3, 600 | \$120 | \$2,936 | \$665 | -\$1 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 2, 290 | 40 | 4,458 | 4,344 | 114 | 3,703 | 650 | -9 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 1,230 | 23 | 6,070 | 5,944 | 126 | 4, 610 | 1,320 | 14 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 320 | 8 | 8, 890 | 8,750 | 140 | 6, 886 | 1,872 | -8 |
| \$10,000 and over. | 230 | 6 | 13,879 | 13, 646 | 233 | 9,917 | 3,758 | $-29$ |
| Type V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 320 | 7 | 635 | 835 |  | 1, 126 | -467 | -24 |
| \$750-8999 | 770 | 14 | 873 | 932 | -59 | 1,453 | -517 | -4 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1,250 | 17 | 1,119 | 1, 073 | 46 | 1,204 | -112 | -19 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1,940 | 32 | 1,390 | 1,332 | 58 | 1,332 | 2 | -2 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 2, 200 | 26 | 1,610 | 1,541 | 69 | 1,565 | -6 | -18 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 2,450 | 36 | 1,867 | 1,806 | 61 | 1,908 | -90 | -12 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 2,500 | 46 | 2,129 | 2, 082 | 47 | 1,993 | 82 | 7 |
| \$2,250-\$2.499 | 1,790 | 35 | 2,365 | 2, 272 | 93 | 2, 179 | 80 | 13 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 2, 410 | 34 | 2,667 | 2,586 | 81 | 2,549 | 57 | -20 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 1,710 | 39 | 3,221 | 3,139 | 82 | 2,937 | 200 | 2 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 1, 070 | 30 | 3,680 | 3,547 | 133 | 3,286 | 280 | 1 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 890 | 29 | 4,450 | 4,266 | 184 | 3,888 | 384 | $-6$ |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 440 | 14 | 5,907 | 5, 604 | 303 | 4,753 | 857 | -5 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 80 | 6 | 7,957 | 7,804 | 153 | 6,330 | 1,453 | 12 |
| \$10,000 and over | 90 | 2 | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ |
| Type VI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 390 | 9 | 666 | 657 | 9 | 948 | -289 | -2 |
| \$750-\$999. | 920 | 18 | 900 | 889 | 11 | 1,078 | -175 | -14 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1,790 | 19 | 1, 106 | 1,099 | 7 | 1, 101 | 9 | -11 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1,540 | 34 | 1,359 | 1,309 | 50 | 1,390 | -52 | -29 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 1,840 | 28 | 1,592 | 1,572 | 20 | 1,634 | -27 | -35 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1,990 | 32 | 1, 874 | 1,864 | 10 | 1,889 | -13 | -12 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 1,540 | 31 | 2,125 | 2, 085 | 40 | 2,042 | 62 | -19 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 960 | 31 | 2,365 | 2,341 | 24 | 2, 268 | 95 | -22 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 1,000 | 41 | 2,720 | 2, 632 | 88 | 2, 459 | 185 | -12 |
| \$3.000-\$3,499. | 480 | 24 | 3, 195 | 3,155 | 40 | 2, 846 | 311 | -2 |
| \$3,500-83,999 | 290 | 19 | 3, 642 | 3, 574 | 68 | 3, 309 | 290 | -25 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 360 | 21 | 4,373 | 4, 176 | 197 | 3, 754 | 494 | -72 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 190 | 6 | 5, 671 | 5,487 | 184 | 4, 077 | 1, 305 | 105 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 30 | 3 | 8,702 | 8,364 | 338 | 6, 131 | 2,223 | 10 |
| \$10,000 and over | 70 | 3 | 11, 570 | 10,996 | 574 | 8,713 | 2,276 | 7 |
| Type VII |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749....---------.-.-. -- | 90 | 4 | 657 | 649 | 8 | 871 | -209 | -13 |
| \$750-\$999 | 210 | 11 | 904 | 928 | -24 | 1,153 | -206 | -19 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 460 | 12 | 1,118 | 1,078 | 40 | 1, 489 | -411 | (*) |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 - .-.-.........- | 630 | 27 | 1,372 | 1,343 | 29 | 1,486 | -98 | $-45$ |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 850 | 18 | 1,640 | 1,578 | 62 | 1,751 | -143 | -30 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 680 | 25 | 1,904 | 1,844 | 60 | 1,880 | -10 | -26 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 660 | 23 | 2,098 | 2,026 | 72 | 2,082 | -57 | 1 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 500 | 25 | 2,356 | 2, 302 | 54 | 2,250 | 45 | 7 |
| \$2,500-\$2.999 | 950 | 37 | 2, 804 | 2,728 | 76 | 2,586 | 120 | 22 |
| \$3,000-\$2,499 | 560 | 26 | 3,201 | 3,096 | 105 | 2,873 | 196 | 27 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 370 | 19 | 3,751 | 3,635 | 116 | 3,343 | 268 | 24 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999.......-...---.... | 440 | 18 | 4,420 | 4,289 | 131 | 3,852 | 407 | 30 |
|  | 150 | 8 | 6,208 | 6,029 | 179 | 6, 439 | $-600$ | 190 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 50 | 4 | 8, 635 | 8,472 | 163 | 7,860 | ${ }^{803}$ | -191 |
| \$10,000 and over.-........... | 20 | 2 | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ |

tAverages and percentages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
Less than \$1.

Table 1-A.-Net surplus or deficit: Percentage of families having a surplus or deficit, and average amounts reported, by occupation, family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36 ${ }^{1}$
[White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]


See p. 170 for antes on this table.

Table 1-A.-Net surplus or defleit: Percentage of families having a surplus or deficit, and average amounts reported, by occupation, family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-86-Continued


Table 1-A.-Net surplus or deficit: Percentage of families having a surplus or deficit, and average amounts reported, by occupation, family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-86-Continued

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | Number of families |  | Average net surplus or $\underset{(-)}{\text { deficit }}$ (-) | Percentage of families having- |  | A verage amount for families having- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible | $\begin{gathered} \text { Reporting } \\ \text { expendi } \\ \text { tures } \end{gathered}$ |  | Surplus | Deficit | Surplus | Deficit |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| Family tupe: Type I-Con. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 7,270 | 45 | \$85 | 77 | 23 | \$184 | \$238 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5,450 | 43 | 98 | 74 | 24 | 193 | 185 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 4,160 | 43 | 130 | 86 | 13 | 248 | ${ }_{6} 636$ |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 4,190 | 42 | 270 | 87 | 10 | 360 | 417 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 2,650 | 38 | 388 | 87 | 13 | 549 | 698 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999- | 1,480 | 39 | 546 | 96 | 4 | 609 | 1,081 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 1,230 1,010 | 32 20 | $\begin{array}{r}734 \\ 1,822 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 86 95 | 14 | ${ }^{881}$ | 118 |
| \$5,000-87,499 | 1,010 | 20 3 | 1, 1,222 | 100 |  | ${ }_{1}^{1,922}$ |  |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 120 | $\stackrel{3}{9}$ | 3,969 | 100 |  | 1,969 |  |
| Type II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 1,640 | 8 | -95 | 12 | 75 | 32 | 132 |
| \$750-8999 | 3,690 | 16 | -59 | 49 | 48 | 45 | 167 |
| \$1,000-\$1, 249 | 5,420 | 24 | -16 | 66 | 34 | 52 | 145 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 5,730 | 36 | 39 | 73 | 16 | 70 | 75 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5,930 | 36 | 22 | 78 | 18 | 94 | 282 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999.- | 5,700 | 41 | 94 | 85 | 15 | 162 | 297 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 4, 650 | 40 | 100 | 82 | 18 | 184 | 284 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 3,710 | 43 | 109 | ${ }^{68}$ | 30 | 209 | 112 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 3, 100 | 31 | 262 | 83 | 14 | 355 | 222 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 1,740 | 37 | 325 | 86 | 14 | 432 | 363 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999... | 1, 160 | 34 | 391 | 81 | 19 | 573 | 384 |
| \$4,000- 84,999 | 930 | ${ }_{2}^{26}$ | 618 | 88 | 12 | 707 | 812 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 630 | 18 | 723 | 93 | 7 | 834 | 662 |
| $\$ 7,500-99,999 \ldots-\ldots$ | 30 10 | 3 | 1,960 3,468 | 100 |  | 1,960 |  |
| Type III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-8749--- | 810 | 8 | -283 | 12 | 88 | 24 | 327 |
| \$750-\$999 | 2, 420 | 20 | -99 | 30 | 68 | 27 | 157 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 3,010 | 24 | $-13$ | 58 | 42 | 51 | 103 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 4,080 | 35 | -39 | 64 | ${ }^{33}$ | 90 | 296 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 3,980 | 31 | -10 | 65 | 30 | 92 | 235 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 3,740 | 43 | 20 | 74 | ${ }^{26}$ | 135 | 307 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249- | 3, 540 | 34 | 59 | 74 | 28 | 164 | 244 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 2,460 | 49 | 104 | ${ }_{6}^{67}$ | 28 | ${ }_{217}^{246}$ | 205 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 2. 400 | 42 | 23 | 54 | $\stackrel{43}{13}$ | 317 | 347 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 1,000 | 32 | 365 | 87 | 13 | 469 | 316 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 810 | 26 | 497 | 90 | 10 | 561 | 80 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 560 | 31 | 517 | 83 | 17 | 778 | 724 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 570 | 13 | 944 | 86 | 14 | 1,357 | 1,490 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 140 130 | ${ }_{6}^{10}$ | 1,559 4,536 | 100 |  | 1,559 4 4 |  |
| \$10,000 and over...-- | 130 | 6 | 4,536 | 100 |  | 4,536 |  |
| Type IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749---- | 840 | ${ }^{6}$ | -474 |  | 100 |  | 474 |
| \$750-\$999 | 2,590 | 14 | $-186$ | 40 | 60 | 57 | 351 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 3,920 | 18 | -126 | $\stackrel{28}{ }$ | 72 | 43 | 191 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 4, 210 | 33 | -64 | 5 | 48 | 79 | 221 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749... | 5,320 | 40 | -44 | 56 | 39 | 88 | 237 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5,760 | 42 | 34 | 70 | 26 | 185 | 366 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249- | 4,770 | 39 | 112 | 81 | 14 | 181 | 255 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 4,380 | 43 | 67 | 71 | 25 | 211 | 333 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 5, 650 | 48 | 214 | 77 | 19 | 332 | ${ }_{211} 1$ |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 3, 590 | 30 | 501 | 86 | 12 | 613 | 237 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 2, 260 | 39 | 665 | 94 | 6 | 723 | 327 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 2,290 | 40 | 650 | 94 | 6 | 729 | 638 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499- | 1, 230 | ${ }_{8}^{23}$ | 1,320 | 100 |  | 1,320 |  |
| \$7,500-\$8,999 | -320 | 8 6 | 1,872 3,758 | 100 |  | 1,872 3,758 |  |

Table 1-A.-Net surplus or deficit: Percentage of families having a surplus or deficit, and average amounts reported, by occupation, family type and income, in 1 year, 1995-36-Continued

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | Number of families |  | Average net surplus or $\underset{(\rightarrow)}{\text { deficit }}$ $(-)$ | Percentage of families having- |  | A verage amount for families having- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Reporting } \\ \text { expendi- } \\ \text { tures } \end{array}$ |  | Surplus | Deficit | Surplus | Deffict |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| Type V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-8999----- | 770 | 14 | -\$467 | 10 | ${ }_{90}^{86}$ | \$200 | \$545 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1,250 | 17 | -112 | 42 | 58 | 53 | 228 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1,940 | 32 |  | 71 | 22 | 82 | 249 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 2, 200 | 26 | -6 | 62 | 38 | 103 | 186 |
| \$1,750-\$1,099 | 2,450 | 36 | -90 | 60 | 38 | 102 | 396 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 2,500 | 46 | 82 | 79 | 19 | 195 | 371 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 1,790 | 35 | 80 | 76 | 20 | 153 | 179 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 2,410 | 34 | 57 | 61 | 39 | 270 | 278 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 1,710 | 39 | 200 | 80 | 18 | 313 | 271 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 1,070 | 30 | 280 | 83 | 13 | 388 | 331 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 890 | 29 | 384 | 81 | 19 | ${ }_{6}^{651}$ | 749 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499- | 440 | 14 | 857 | 100 |  | 852 |  |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 80 | 6 | 1,453 | 100 |  | 1,453 |  |
| \$10,000 and over..... | 90 | 2 | 17, 519 | 100 |  | 17,519 |  |
| Type VI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749- | ${ }_{920}^{390}$ | ${ }_{18}^{9}$ | -289 -175 | 11 | 88 | 45 | 331 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1,790 | 19 | 9 | 54 | 18 | 71 | 166 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1,540 | 34 | -52 | 49 | 35 | 69 | 246 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 1,840 | 28 | -27 | 55 | 38 | 85 | 196 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1,990 | 32 | -13 | 54 | 45 | 144 | 201 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 1,540 | 31 | 62 | 75 | 25 | 199 | 343 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 960 | 31 | 95 | 82 | 18 | 186 | 330 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 1,000 | 41 | 185 | 82 | 18 | 316 | 399 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 480 | 24 | 311 | 92 | 8 | 378 | 494 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 290 | 19 | 290 | 76 | 24 | 470 | 269 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 360 | 21 | 494 | 79 | 21 | 718 | 361 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 190 | ${ }_{6}^{6}$ | 1,305 | 100 |  | 1, 305 |  |
| \$7,500-\$9,999..... | 30 70 | 3 | 2,223 2,276 | 100 |  | 2,223 2,275 |  |
| Type VII |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749--- | 90 | 4 | -209 | 25 | 75 | 8 | 281 |
| \$750-\$999 | 210 | 11 | -206 | 16 | 74 | 26 | 285 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 460 | 12 | -411 | 34 | 58 | 27 | 729 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 630 | 27 | $-98$ | 37 | 61 | 68 | 202 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 850 | 18 | -143 | 63 | 33 | 68 | 559 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 680 | 25 | -10 | 62 | 37 | 105 | 205 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 660 | 23 | -57 | 47 | 53 | 120 | 217 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 500 | 25 | 45 | 70 | 30 | 178 | 268 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 950 | 37 | 120 | 80 | 20 | 225 | 302 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 560 | 26 | 196 | 71 | 29 | 400 | 297 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 370 | 19 | 268 | 88 | 12 | 315 | 75 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 440 | 18 | 407 | 89 | 11 | 468 | 92 |
| \$5,000- \$7,499 | 150 | 8 | $-600$ | 40 | 60 | 648 | 1,432 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 50 | 4 | ${ }_{4}^{803}$ | 80 | 20 | 1,241 | 950 |
| \$10,000 and over | 20 | 2 | 4, 120 | 100 |  | 4, 120 |  |

Table 2.-Summary of family expenditure: Average money expenditure for specified groups of goods and services, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-96 ${ }^{1}$

| Occupational group, family type, and income class <br> (1) | Number of families |  | Average ber of persons $\underset{\text { family }}{\text { per }}$ | Total <br> (5) | Food <br> (6) | Hous ing ${ }^{3}$ <br> (7) | Household operation |  | Furings and $\operatorname{laquip}_{\text {ment }}$ | Cloth ing <br> (11) | Autobile ${ }^{3}$ | Other trans-portation | Personal care <br> (14) | $\underset{\substack{\text { Medi- } \\ \text { cal } \\ \text { care }}}{\text { Men }}$ <br> (15) | Recreation ${ }^{4}$ <br> (16) | Tobacco <br> (17) | Reading <br> (18) | For- mal educa tion <br> (10) | Contribu tions personal taxes s | Other items <br> (21) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible <br> (2) | Report ing ex-penditures <br> (3) |  |  |  |  | Fuel, light, and re-irigera- tion <br> (8) | Other (9) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All families | $\begin{gathered} 5,940 \\ 15,940 \\ 22,400 \\ 25,150 \\ 27,330 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50 \\ 110 \\ 1139 \\ 238 \\ 217 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{3 . 2} \\ & 3.3 \\ & \mathbf{3 . 4} \\ & \mathbf{3 . 4} \\ & \mathbf{3 . 4} \end{aligned}$ | A verage money expenditure in dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 |  |  |  | 830 | 348 | 203 | 83 |  | 6 | 46 | 5 | 21 | 18 | 24 | 12 | 17 | 9 | 2 | 10 | (*) |
| \$750-\$999 |  |  |  | 1,015 | 404 | 240 | 85 | 30 | 17 | 74 | 8 | 31 | 21 | 45 | 14 | 21 | 12 | 2 | 10 |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 |  |  |  | 1,165 | 457 | 243 | 92 | 36 | 30 | 93 | 35 | 30 | 25 | 45 | 21 | 23 | 13 | 2 | 17 | 3 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 |  |  |  | 1,353 | 529 | 277 | 89 | 48 | 30 | 109 | 37 | 39 | 28 | 58 | 30 | 30 | 16 | 8 | ${ }^{24}$ | 3 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 |  |  |  | 1,605 | 589 | 333 | 95 | 57 | 38 | 136 | 65 | 38 | 35 | 78 | 35 | 38 | 18 |  | 33 | 1 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 27, 590 | 264 | 3.5 | 1,799 | 619 | 358 | 107 | 68 | 58 | 164 | 97 | 44 | 36 | 79 | ${ }_{58}^{47}$ | 38 | 20 | 10 | 43 | 11 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249- | 23, 110 | 256 | - 3.6 | 1,986 | 660 | 380 | 101 | 84 | 64 | 192 | 132 | ${ }_{51}^{43}$ | 42 | 92 | 56 | 40 | ${ }_{24}^{22}$ | 15 | ${ }_{63}^{56}$ | 7 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 17,960 | 269 | 3.5 | 2, 222 | 761 | 402 | 115 | 105 | 68 | 212 | 117 | 51 | 49 | 111 | 69 | 48 | ${ }_{2}^{24}$ | ${ }_{23}^{17}$ | 63 | 12 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999.- | 19,700 | ${ }_{226}^{295}$ | 3.7 | $\stackrel{2,479}{2,741}$ | ${ }_{886}^{786}$ | 441 | 113 | ${ }_{153}^{124}$ | 76 79 | ${ }_{287}^{261}$ | 171 | ${ }_{64}^{59}$ | 54 59 | 117 | 81 | ${ }_{60}^{53}$ | ${ }_{29}^{26}$ | ${ }_{28}^{23}$ | 82 126 | 12 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 11,730 | 226 | 3.7 | 2,741 | 863 | 428 | 117 | 153 | 79 | 287 | 194 | 64 | 59 | 130 | 109 | 60 |  |  | 126 | 15 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 7,440 | 206 | 3.7 | 3,145 | 944 | 534 | 117 | 181 | 116 | 371 | 233 | 60 | 70 | 144 | 103 | 64 | 32 | 32 | 133 | 11 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 6,700 | 197 | 3.8 | 3,760 | 1,063 | 559 | 129 | ${ }^{259}$ | 98 | ${ }_{540}^{440}$ | 323 | 75 | 80 | 194 | 140 | 71 | 38 | 36 | ${ }_{346}^{246}$ | 9 |
| \$5,000-87,499 | 4,220 | 102 | 3.6 | 4, 619 | 1,125 | 692 | 150 | 407 | 122 | 513 | 443 | 65 | 91 | 234 | 216 | 77 | 44 | 72 | ${ }^{346}$ | 22 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 810 670 | 37 29 | 4.1 3.9 | 6, 10,322 | 1, 1,785 | 1, 1,431 | 150 224 | ${ }_{950}^{682}$ | 165 285 | 1,913 1,289 | 641 734 | 90 272 | 158 151 | 287 240 | 360 419 | 103 129 | 66 80 | 110 348 |  | 58 59 |
| -000 and over...- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Per | centage | of total | money | expend | tures |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 - | 5,940 | 50 | 3.2 | 100.0 | 42.0 | 24.5 | 10.1 | 3.1 | 0.7 | 5.5 | 0.6 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 2.9 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 1.2 | (*) |
| \$750-8999 | 15, 480 | 110 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 39.8 | ${ }^{23.6}$ | 8.4 | 2.9 | 1.7 | 7.3 | . 8 | 3.0 | 2.1 | 4.4 | 1.4 | 2.1 | 1. 2 | .$^{2}$ | 1.0 | 0.1 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 22,040 | 139 | 3. 4 | 100.0 | 39.2 | 20.9 | 7.9 | ${ }^{3.1}$ | 2.6 | 8.0 | 3.0 | 2.6 | 2.1 | 3.9 | 1.8 | 2. 0 | 1.1 | .2 | 1.4 | $\cdot 2$ |
| \$1,250- 11,499 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 27,150 \\ & 27\end{aligned}\right.$ | $\stackrel{238}{238}$ | 3.4 3.4 | 100.0 100.0 | 39.1 | 20.5 |  | 3.5 3.5 | 2.2 2.4 |  |  | 2.9 2.4 | 2.1 | 4.3 4.8 |  | 2.2 | 1.2 |  | 1.8 2.0 | $\cdot 2$ |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 27,330 | 217 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 36.7 | 20.7 | 5.9 | 3.5 | 2.4 | 8.5 | 4.1 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 4.8 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 1.1 | . 5 | 2.0 | $\cdot 6$ |



See p． 170 for notes on this table．

|  $\rightarrow-\infty \infty$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| №ver NーWんに | $\omega$ andoner |
|  ONOCO | に可 かかっー・ |
| Now mem NNNAN | Apererer cosnme |
| rer NODON | arertpor のONND |


| 気にちに がッいの | ＇．0000 ererervir |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\cdots 10000$ | Nop |



| －TNलM <br>  | ヘットかに <br>  |
| :---: | :---: |


A verage money expenditure in dollars

| 830 | 348 | 203 | 83 | 26 | 6 | 46 | 5 | 21 | 18 | 24 | 12 | 17 | 9 | 2 | 10 | （＊） |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1，021 | 403 | 240 | 86 | 32 | 16 | 80 | 7 | 30 | 21 | 45 | 14 | 22 | 12 | 3 | 10 | （＊） |
| 1，124 | 458 | 223 | 96 | 34 | 28 | 86 | 32 | 26 | 24 | 44 | 18 | 22 | 12 | 2 | 16 | 3 |
| 1，356 | 549 | 248 | 97 | 44 | 37 | 105 | 39 | 38 | 26 | 63 | 29 | 30 | 16 | 6 | 25 | 4 |
| 1，613 | 602 | 315 | 100 | 50 | 48 | 140 | 65 | 36 | 34 | 78 | 34 | 38 | 17 | 6 | 34 | 16 |
| 1，773 | 619 | 331 | 117 | 59 | 62 | 158 | 96 | 45 | 35 | 75 | 51 | 40 | 19 | 15 | 34 | 17 |
| 1，964 | 666 | 351 | 111 | 74 | 68 | 198 | 123 | 42 | 39 | 105 | 55 | 36 | 23 | 22 | 46 | 5 |
| 2， 236 | 794 | 389 | 134 | 93 | 88 | 200 | 128 | 44 | 46 | 108 | 67 | 45 | 22 | 16 | 50 | 12 |
| 2，557 | 846 | 398 | 125 | 107 | 90 | 245 | 234 | 62 | 53 | 111 | 72 | 65 | 24 | 30 | 79 | 16 |
| 2，668 | 907 | 321 | 145 | 106 | 116 | 309 | 163 | 65 | 59 | 144 | 103 | 68 | 26 | 13 | 107 | 16 |
| 2，953 | 997 | 416 | 140 | 128 | 133 | 326 | 240 | 52 | 67 | 121 | 85 | 61 | 27 | 38 | 114 | 8 |
| 3，690 | 1，074 | 353 | 147 | 182 | 103 | 445 | 363 | 117 | 83 | 243 | 175 | 92 | 34 | 53 | 294 | 22 |

Percentage of total money expenditures

| 3.2 | 100.0 | 42.1 | 24.5 | 10.0 | 3.1 | 0.7 | 5． 5 | 0.6 | 2． 5 | 2.2 | 2.9 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 1.2 | （＊） |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.3 | 100.0 | 39.5 | 23.5 | 8.4 | 3.1 | 1.6 | 7.8 | ． 7 | 2.9 | 2． 0 | 4.4 | 1.4 | 2.2 | 1． 2 | ． 3 | 1．0 | （＊） |
| 3.4 | 100.0 | 40.7 | 19.8 | 8.5 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 7.7 | 2.9 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 3.9 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 1.1 | ． 2 | 1.4 | 0.3 |
| 3.5 | 100.0 | 40.7 | 18.4 | 7.2 | 3.2 | 2.7 | 7.7 | 2.8 | 2． 8 | 1． 9 | 4． 6 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 1． 2 | ． 4 | 1.8 | ． 3 |
| 3.5 | 100.0 | 37.3 | 19.5 | 6.2 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 8.7 | 4.0 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 4.8 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 1.1 | 4 | 2.1 | 1.0 |
| 3.6 | 100.0 | 34.9 | 18.7 | 6.6 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 8.9 | 5.4 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 4.2 | 2.9 | 2.3 | 1． 1 | ． 8 | 1． 9 | 1.0 |
| 3.7 | 100.0 | 33.9 | 17.9 | 5.7 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 10.1 | 6.2 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 5.3 | 2.8 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 1．1 | 2.3 | ． 3 |
| 3． 6 | 100.0 | 35.6 | 17.4 | 6． 0 | 4.2 | 3.9 | 8． 9 | ＇5．7 | 2.0 | 2． 1 | 4.8 | 3.0 | 2．0 | 1.0 | ． 7 | 2.2 | ． 5 |
| 4． 0 | 100.0 | 33.2 | 15.6 | 4． 9 | 4.2 | 3.5 | 9． 6 | 9.1 | 2． 4 | 2． 1 | 4.3 | 2．8 | 2． 5 | ． 9 | 1.2 | 3.1 | ． 6 |
| 4.1 | 100.0 | 34.1 | 12.0 | 5.4 | 4.0 | 4.3 | 11.6 | 6.1 | 2.4 | 2． 2 | 5.4 | 3.9 | 2.5 | 1.0 | 5 | 4.0 | ． 6 |
| 4.0 | 100.0 | 33． 7 | 14.0 | 4． 7 | 4.3 | 4． 5 | 11.0 | 8.2 | 1．8 | 2.3 | 4.1 | 2.9 | 2.1 | ． 9 | 1.3 | 3.9 | ． 3 |
| 4.4 | 100.0 | 29.2 | 9.6 | 4.0 | 4.9 | 2.8 | 12.1 | 9.8 | 3.2 | 2.2 | 6.6 | 4.7 | 2.5 | .9 | 1.4 | 5.5 | ． 6 |

＊Average amounts of less than $\$ 1$ and percentages of less than 0.1 are not shown．

Table 2.-Summary of family expenditure: Average money expenditure for specified groups of goods and services, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1985-36-Continued


| Independent business | 1,680 | 29 | 3.2 | A verage money expenditure in dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 |  |  |  | 1,293 | 483 | 298 | 94 | 55 | 9 | 110 | 37 | 27 | 27 | 48 | 39 | 29 | 13 | 4 | 19 | 1 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 1,610 | 24 | 3.4 | 1,518 | 590 | 315 | 90 | 56 | 21 | 123 | 95 | 29 | 34 | 32 | 30 | 51 | 19 | 4 | 28 | 1 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1,790 | 40 | 3. 5 | 1,868 | 668 | 390 | 100 | 94 | 31 | 160 | 114 | 33 | 38 | 87 | 45 | 36 | 20 | 4 | 45 | 3 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 $\ldots \ldots . . .$. | 1,410 | 35 | 3.5 | 2,026 | 668 | 443 | 93 | 85 | 59 | 180 | 133 | 36 | 37 | 83 | 80 | 44 | 19 | 7 | 52 | 7 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 1,350 | 29 | 3.5 | 2, 202 | 697 | 447 | 110 | 131 | 45 | 230 | 106 | 31 | 55 | 130 | 52 | 45 | 22 | 10 | 70 | 21 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 1,750 | 41 | 3.6 | 2,401 | 767 | 474 | 102 | 140 | 73 | 256 | 148 | 44 | 53 | 98 | 73 | 56 | 27 | 12 | 72 | 6 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 1, 150 | 27 | 3.7 | 2,945 | 886 | 557 | 130 | 211 | 67 | 299 | 178 | 42 | 59 | 113 | 110 | 55 | 29 | 36 | 155 | 18 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 770 | 27 | 3.6 | 3, 286 | 959 | 526 | 105 | 207 | 126 | 434 | 268 | 48 | 77 | 127 | 118 | 81 | 28 | 12 | 157 | 13 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 860 | 38 | 3.7 | 3,588 | 976 | 547 | 147 | 269 | 131 | 405 | 339 | 49 | 74 | 190 | 101 | 69 | 41 | 21 | 223 | 6 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 910 | 26 | 3.7 | 4,580 | 1, 100 | 683 | 160 | 475 | 90 | 544 | 396 | 36 | 93 | 216 | 244 | 89 | 40 | 77 | 327 | 10 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999... | 160 | 12 | 4.4 | 6,068 | 1,426 | 894 | 214 | 635 | 199 | 743 | 552 | 37 | 107 | 213 | 171 | 69 | 55 | 161 | 567 | 25 |
| \$10,000 and over. | 120 | 3 | 3.9 | 11, 573 | 2,391 | 1,056 | 215 | 1,320 | 270 | 1,287 | 716 | 154 | 156 | 264 | 536 | 153 | 59 | 1,165 | 1,822 | 9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | centag | tota | oney | pend | res |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Independent business |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 1,680 | 29 | 3.2 | 100.0 | 37.4 | 23.0 | 7.3 | 4.2 | 0.7 | 8.5 | 2.9 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 3.7 | 3.0 | 2.2 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 0.1 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 1,610 | 24 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 38.9 | 20.8 | 5.9 | 3.7 | 1.4 | 8.1 | 6.2 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 3.4 | 1.2 | . 3 | 1.8 | . 1 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1,790 | 40 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 35.8 | 20.9 | 5.4 | 5.0 | 1.6 | 8.6 | 6.1 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 4.6 | 2.4 | 1.9 | 1.1 | . 2 | 2.4 | . 2 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 1,410 | 35 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 33.1 | 21.9 | 4.6 | 4.2 | 2.9 | 8.9 | 6.5 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 4.1 | 3.9 | 2.2 | . 9 | . 3 | 2.6 | . 3 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 1,350 | 29 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 31.7 | 20.3 | 5.0 | 5.9 | 2.0 | 10.4 | 4.8 | 1.4 | 2.5 | 5.9 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 1.0 | . 5 | 3.2 | 1.0 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 1, 750 | 41 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 32.0 | 19.8 | 4.2 | 5.8 | 3.0 | 10.8 | 6.2 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 4.1 | 3.0 | 2.3 | 1.1 | . 5 | 3.0 | . 2 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 1, 150 | 27 | 3.7 | 100.0 | 30.1 | 18.9 | 4.4 | 7.2 | 2.3 | 10.2 | 6.0 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 5.3 | . 6 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 1, 770 | 27 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 29.1 | 16.0 | 3.2 | 6.3 | 3.8 | 13.2 | 8.2 | 1.5 | 2. 3 | 3.9 | 3.6 | 2.5 | . 8 | . 4 | 4.8 | . 4 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 860 | 38 | 3.7 | 100.0 | 27.2 | 15.2 | 4.1 | 7.5 | 3.6 | 11.3 | 9.5 | 1.4 | 2.1 | 5.3 | 2.8 | 1.9 | 1. 1 | . 6 | 6.2 | 2 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 910 | 26 | 3.7 | 100.0 | 24.0 | 14.9 | 3.5 | 10.4 | 2.0 | 11.9 | 8.7 | . 8 | 2.0 | 4.7 | 5.3 | 1.9 | . 9 | 1. 7 | 7.1 | 2 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 ......... | 160 | 12 | 4.4 | 100.0 | 23.6 | 14.7 | 3.5 | 10.5 | 3.3 | 12.2 | 9.1 | . 6 | 1.8 | 3.5 | 2.8 | 1.1 | . 9 | 2.7 | 9.3 | . 4 |
| \$10,000 and over...... | 120 | 3 | 3. 9 | 100.0 | 20.7 | 9.1 | 1.9 | 11.4 | 2.3 | 11.1 | 6.2 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 2.3 | 4.6 | 1.3 | . 5 | 10.1 | 15.8 | . 1 |

Table 2.-Summary of family expenditure: Average money expenditure for specified groups of goods and services, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued

| Occupational group, family type, and income class <br> (1) | Number of families |  | Average number of persons per family <br> (4) | Total | Food | Housing | Household operation |  | Fur-nishings and equipment <br> (10) | Olothing <br> (11) | Auto-mobile <br> (12) | Other trans-portation <br> (13) | Personal care <br> (14) | Medical care <br> (15) | Recreation <br> (16) | Tobacco <br> (17) | Reading <br> (18) | Formal education <br> (19) | Con-tributions and. personal taxe <br> (20) | Other items <br> (21) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible |  |  |  |  |  | Fuel, light, and re-frigeration | Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (2) | (3) |  | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Independent profes- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | verage | money e | xpendit | are in | ollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 140 | 11 | 3.4 | 1,436 | 500 | 346 | 115 | 77 | 24 | 95 | 49 | 55 | 37 | 29 | 28 | 26 | 23 | 7 | 20 | 5 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 230 | 10 | 2.7 | 1,641 | 558 | 432 | 68 | 68 | 33 | 140 | 43 | 40 | 37 | 72 | 65 | 37 | 21 | 1 | 25 | 1 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 320 | 16 | 3.2 | 1,819 | 645 | 454 | 81 | 99 | 27 | 139 | 92 | 43 | 36 | 69 | 35 | 31 | 25 | 2 | 39 | 2 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 250 | 13 | 2.9 | 2,335 | 669 | 449 | 77 | 107 | 81 | 203 | 198 | 69 | 69 | 143 | 70 | 38 | 24 | 14 | 121 | 3 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 320 | 24 | 3.3 | 2,326 | 741 | 547 | 90 | 174 | 29 | 254 | 95 | 47 | 63 | 56 | 71 | 48 | 29 | 5 | 70 | 7 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 610 | 25 | 3.3 | 2, 593 | 775 | 508 | 111 | 183 | 50 | 280 | 219 | 39 | 53 | 113 | 90 | 47 | 32 | 12 | 69 | 12 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 470 | 16 | 3.6 | 2, 943 | 905 | 567 | 90 | 237 | 73 | 286 | 140 | 74 | 62 | 95 | 150 | 64 | 40 | 39 | 107 | 14 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 370 | 22 | 3.6 | 3, 335 | 942 | 673 | 99 | 309 | 109 | 416 | 169 | 80 | 72 | 147 | 82 | 54 | 25 | 46 | 106 | 6 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 520 | 31 | 3.5 | 3,708 | 1,042 | 676 | 146 | 373 | 73 | 379 | 263 | 45 | 69 | 111 | 200 | 65 | 38 | 49 | 172 | 7 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499. | 720 | 22 | 3.6 | 4,542 | 1,111 | 657 | 169 | 428 | 118 | 485 | 444 | 78 | 91 | 189 | 191 | 62 | 35 | 94 | 369 | 21 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999... | 150 | 6 | 3.6 | 7,234 | 1,572 | 1,307 | 149 | 869 | 213 | 844 | 389 | 94 | 154 | 499 | 213 | 159 | 89 | 152 | 415 | 116 |
| \$10,000 and over | 70 | 5 | 3.9 | 9,399 | 1,498 | 1, 190 | 168 | 1,170 | 351 | 1,134 | 971 | 112 | 176 | 159 | 720 | 131 | 125 | 162 | 1.327 | 5 |
| Independent protes- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | centage | of total | money | expend | itures |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 140 | 11 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 34.9 | 24.1 | 8.0 | 5.4 | 1.7 | 6.6 | 3.4 | 3.8 | 2.6 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 0.3 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 230 | 10 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 34.0 | 26.3 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 2.0 | 8.5 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 4.4 | 4.0 | 2.3 | 1.3 | . 1 | 1.5 | . 1 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999.$-\ldots . . .-$. | 320 | 16 | 3.2 | 100.0 | 35.5 | 25.0 | 4.5 | 5.4 | 1.5 | 7.6 | 5.0 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 3.8 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.4 | . 1 | 2.1 | . 1 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 $\ldots$.-..... | 250 | 13 | 2.9 | 100.0 | 28.7 | 19.2 | 3.3 | 4.6 | 3.5 | 8.7 | 8.4 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 6.1 | 3.0 | 1.6 | 1.0 | . 6 | 5.2 | . 1 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 320 | 24 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 31.9 | 23.5 | 3.9 | 7.5 | 1,2 | 10.9 | 4.1 | 2.0 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 3.0 | 2.1 | 1.3 | . 2 | 3.0 | . 3 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 610 | 25 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 29.9 | 19.6 | 4.3 | 7.0 | 1.9 | 10.8 | 8.4 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 4.4 | 3.5 | 1.8 | 1.2 | . 5 | 2.7 | . 5 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 470 | 16 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 30.8 | 19.3 | 3.0 | 8.0 | 2.5 | 9.7 | 4.8 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 3.2 | 5.1 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 3.6 | . 5 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 370 | 22 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 28.2 | 20.2 | 3.0 | 9.3 | 3.3 | 12.5 | 5.1 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 4.4 | 2.4 | 1.6 | . 7 | 1.4 | 3.2 | . 2 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 520 | 31 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 28.1 | 18.2 | 3.9 | 10.1 | 2.0 | 10.2 | 7.1 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 3.0 | 5.4 | 1.8 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 4. 6 | . 2 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499.. | 720 | 22 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 24.4 | 14.4 | 3.7 | 9.4 | 2.6 | 10.7 | 9.8 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 1.4 | . 8 | 2.1 | 8.1 | . 5 |


| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 7,500-\$ 9,999 \\ & \$ 10,000 \text { and over......... } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 150 \\ 70 \end{array}$ | 6 5 | 3.6 3.9 | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \\ & 100.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21.8 \\ & 15.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18.2 \\ & 12.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.1 \\ & 1.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.0 \\ & 12.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.9 \\ 3.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.7 \\ & 12.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.3 \\ 10.3 \end{array}$ | 1.3 1.2 | 2.1 1.9 | 6.9 1.7 | 2.9 7.7 | 2.2 1.4 | 1.2 1.3 | 2.1 1.7 | 5.7 14.1 | 1.6 .1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Salaried business |  |  |  | A verage money expenditure in dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 370 | 13 | 3.3 | 1,407 | 486 | 375 | 55 | 67 | 46 | 107 | 21 | 43 | 34 | 49 | 39 | 37 | 20 | 5 | 20 | 3 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749- | 870 | 21 | 3.4 | 1,599 | 549 | 393 | 75 | 58 | 35 | 135 | 58 | 34 | 40 | 59 | 44 | 41 | 24 | 24 | 27 | 3 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 1,230 | 32 | 3.3 | 1,819 | 603 | 369 | 91 | 85 | 54 | 160 | 142 | 41 | 36 | 85 | 39 | 34 | 20 | 6 | 50 | 4 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 1,220 | 26 | 3.5 | 2,117 | 693 | 431 | 75 | 105 | 56 | 196 | 182 | 44 | 46 | 74 | 57 | 48 | 22 | 31 | 56 | 1 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 1,420 | 30 | 3.2 | 2,273 | 738 | 473 | 67 | 115 | 48 | 234 | 166 | 44 | 55 | 79 | 87 | 51 | 31 | 17 | 61 | 7 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 $\ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 1,770 | 36 | 3.6 | 2, 640 | 830 | 534 | 104 | 130 | 77 | 265 | 150 | 46 | 61 | 104 | 112 | 61 | 29 | 16 | 115 | 6 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 1,640 | 35 | 3.5 | 2,899 | 845 | 498 | 93 | 186 | 66 | 291 | 190 | 78 | 66 | 149 | 126 | 71 | 35 | 60 | 136 | 9 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 1,380 | 31 | 3.6 | 3,288 | 908 | 661 | 123 | 215 | 85 | 347 | 175 | 55 | 70 | 202 | 132 | 74 | 33 | 38 | 160 | 10 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 1,230 | 39 | 3.5 | 3,954 | 1,021 | 628 | 130 | 302 | 67 | 499 | 367 | 53 | 70 | 207 | 168 | 72 | 37 | 51 | 276 | 6 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 1,670 | 33 | 3.6 | 4, 722 | 1,150 | 767 | 141 | 382 | 137 | 566 | 465 | 74 | 98 | 199 | 236 | 75 | 46 | 59 | 316 | 11 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999.........- | 370 | 12 | 4.1 | 7,215 | 1,449 | 1,057 | 104 | 670 | 134 | 994 | 846 | 97 | 198 | 263 | 500 | 100 | 58 | 27 | 679 | 39 |
| \$10,000 and over.....- | 370 | 11 | 4.2 | 10,664 | 1,668 | 1,616 | 241 | 860 | 316 | 1,359 | 811 | 207 | 143 | 229 | 364 | 113 | 76 | 205 | 2, 362 | 94 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Per | entage | tota | oney | pend |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaried business |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 370 | 13 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 34.5 | 26.7 | 3.9 | 4.8 | 3.3 | 7.6 | 1. 5 | 3.0 | 2.4 | 3. 5 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 1.4 | 0.2 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 870 | 21 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 34.3 | 24.6 | 4.7 | 3.6 | 2.2 | 8.4 | 3. 6 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 3. 7 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1. 7 | . 2 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 1,230 | 32 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 33.2 | 20.3 | 5.0 | 4.7 | 3.0 | 8.8 | 7.8 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 4. 7 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.1 | . 3 | 2.7 | . 2 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 1,220 | 26 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 32.7 | 20.4 | 3.5 | 5.0 | 2.6 | 9.3 | 8.6 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 3.5 | 2.7 | 2.3 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 2.6 | (*) |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 1, 420 | 30 | 3.2 | 100.0 | 32.5 | 20.8 | 2.9 | 5.1 | 2.1 | 10.3 | 7.3 | 1.9 | 2.4 | 3.5 | 3.9 | 2.2 | 1.4 | . 7 | 2.7 | . 3 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 1,770 | 36 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 31.6 | 20.3 | 3.9 | 4.9 | 2.9 | 10.0 | 5.7 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 3.9 | 4.2 | 2.3 | 1. 1 | . 6 | 4.4 | . 2 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 1,640 | 35 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 29.2 | 17.3 | 3.2 | 6.4 | 2.3 | 10.0 | 6.5 | 2.7 | 2.3 | 5.1 | 4.3 | 2.4 | 1. 2 | 2.1 | 4.7 | . 3 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 1,380 | 31 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 27.7 | 20.1 | 3.7 | 6.5 | 2.6 | 10.6 | 5.3 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 6.1 | 4.0 | 2.3 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 4.8 | . 3 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 1,230 | 39 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 25.9 | 15.9 | 3.3 | 7.6 | 1.7 | 12.6 | 9.3 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 5.2 | 4.2 | 1.8 | . 9 | 1.3 | 7.0 | 2 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 ......... | 1,670 | 33 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 24.3 | 16.2 | 3.0 | 8.1 | 2.9 | 12.0 | 9.9 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 4.2 | 5.0 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 6.7 | 2 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 $\ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 370 | 12 | 4.1 | 100.0 | 20.1 | 14.7 | 1. 4 | 9.3 | 1.9 | 13.8 | 11.7 | 1.3 | 2.7 | 3. 6 | 7.0 | 1. 4 | . 8 | . 4 | 9.4 | 9 |
| \$10,000 and over-...-. | 370 | 11 | 4.2 | 100.0 | 15.7 | 15.2 | 2.3 | 8.1 | 3.0 | 12.7 | 7.6 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 2.1 | 3.4 | 1. 1 | . 7 | 1.9 | 22.1 |  |

Table 2.-Summary of family expenditure: Average money expenditure for specified groups of goods and services, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | Number of families |  | Average number of persons per family | Total | Food | Housing | Household operation |  | Fur-nishings and equip ment | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oloth- } \\ \text { ing } \end{gathered}$ | Auto-mobile | Other trans-portation | Personal care | Medical care | Recreation | $\begin{gathered} \text { To- } \\ \text { baco } \end{gathered}$ | Reading | Formal education | Con-tributions and personal taxes | Other items |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible |  |  |  |  |  | Fuel, light, and re- frigera- tion | Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) |  | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) | (17) | (18) | (19) | (20) | (21) |
| Salaried professional |  |  |  | A verage money expenditure in dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 710 | 19 | 2.9 | 1,372 | 504 | 325 | 79 | 53 | 36 | 109 | 43 | 42 | 32 | 41 | 30 | 31 | 15 | 1 | 30 | 1 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749... | 970 | 25 | 3.1 | 1, 518 | 516 | 352 | 81 | 60 | 20 | 141 | 29 | 62 | 35 | 60 | 62 | 16 | 21 | 30 | 33 | (*) |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 1,050 | 23 | 3.0 | 1,867 | 604 | 392 | 83 | 77 | 109 | 174 | 123 | 48 | 40 | 64 | 48 | 31 | 21 | 5 | 46 | 2 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249.. | 1,370 | 37 | 3.2 | 2,020 | 661 | 451 | 90 | 127 | 40 | 167 | 88 | 59 | 42 | 99 | 61 | 37 | 22 | 6 | 61 | 9 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499.. | 1,310 | 30 | 3.1 | 2,147 | 688 | 430 | 85 | 100 | 64 | 212 | 137 | 60 | 50 | 113 | 70 | 42 | 26 | 10 | 58 | 2 |
| \$2,500-\$2,909. | 1,900 | 42 | 3.4 | 2, 430 | 712 | 423 | 107 | 115 | 73 | 293 | 187 | 53 | 50 | 129 | 71 | 40 | 31 | 36 | 106 | 4 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 1,550 | 28 | 3.2 | 2,813 | 791 | 488 | 110 | 156 | 54 | 267 | 352 | 64 | 58 | 127 | 92 | 40 | 31 | 35 | 137 | 11 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 1,910 | 29 | 3. 5 | 3,278 | 986 | 540 | 113 | 179 | 94 | 394 | 283 | 55 | 76 | 143 | 101 | 50 | 40 | 34 | 168 | 22 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 1,120 | 45 | 3.6 | 3,590 | 943 | 566 | 122 | 238 | 134 | 437 | 327 | 78 | 78 | 171 | 115 | 47 | 41 | 33 | 249 | 11 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 920 | 21 | 3.5 | 4,527 | 1,117 | 592 | 141 | 370 | 130 | 406 | 447 | 64 | 74 | 352 | 171 | 78 | 51 | 77 | 401 | 56 |
| \$7,500-\$0,999.. | 130 | 7 | 4.1 | 6,337 | 1,312 | 865 | 204 | 552 | 157 | 968 | 462 | 132 | 114 | 205 | 365 | 86 | 73 | 238 | 519 | 85 |
| \$10,000 and over. | 110 | 10 | 3.2 | 8,395 | 1, 670 | 1,420 | 215 | 710 | 158 | 1,155 | 338 | 725 | 158 | 301 | 284 | 152 | 86 | 56 | 937 | 30 |
| Salaried professional |  |  |  | - Percentage of total money expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 710 | 19 | 2.9 | 100.0 | 36.7 | 23.7 | 5.7 | 3.9 | 2.6 | 7.9 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 2.3 | 3.0 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 2.2 | 0.1 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 970 | 25 | 3.1 | 100.0 | 33.9 | 23.2 | 5.3 | 4.0 | 1. 3 | 9.3 | 1.9 | 4.1 | 2.3 | 4.0 | 4. 1 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 2.2 | (*) |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 1,050 | 23 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 32.5 | 21.0 | 4.4 | 4.1 | 5.8 | 9.3 | 6.5 | 2.6 | 2.1 | 3.4 | 2. 6 | 1.7 | 1.1 | . 3 | 2.5 | . 1 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 1,370 | 37 | 3.2 | 100.0 | 32.8 | 22.3 | 4.5 | 6. 3 | 2.0 | 8.3 | 4.3 | 2.9 | 2.1 | 4. 9 | 3.0 | 1.8 | 1.1 | . 3 | 3.0 | . 4 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 ........... | 1,310 | 30 | 3.1 | 100.0 | 32.0 | 20.0 | 4.0 | 4.6 | 3.0 | 9.9 | 6.4 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 5.3 | 3.3 | 1.9 | 1.2 | . 5 | 2.7 | . 1 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 1,900 | 42 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 29.3 | 17.3 | 4.4 | 4.7 | 3.0 | 12.1 | 7.7 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 5.3 | 2.9 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 4.4 | . 2 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 1,550 | 28 | 3.2 | 100.0 | 28.2 | 17.4 | 3.9 | 5. 5 | 1.9 | 9.5 | 12.5 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 4. 5 | 3.3 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 4.9 | . 4 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 910 | 29 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 30.0 | 16. 5 | 3.4 | 5. 5 | 2.9 | 12.0 | 8.7 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 4.4 | 3.1 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 5.1 | . 7 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 1,120 | 45 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 26.3 | 15.8 | 3.4 | 6. 6 | 3.7 | 12.2 | 9.1 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 4.8 | 3.2 | 1.3 | 1.1 | . 9 | 6.9 | . 3 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 ............ | 920 | 21 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 24.7 | 13.1 | 3.1 | 8.2 | 2.9 | 9.0 | 9.9 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 7.8 | 3.8 | 1.7 | 1. 1 | 1.7 | 8.8 | 1. 2 |


| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 7,500-\$ 9,999 \\ & \$ 10,000 \text { and over } \end{aligned}$ | 130 110 | 7 10 | 4. 12 | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \\ & 100.0 \end{aligned}$ | 20.7 19.9 | $\begin{aligned} & 13.6 \\ & 16.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.2 \\ & 2.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.7 \\ 8.4 \end{array}$ | 2.5 1.9 | $\begin{aligned} & 15.3 \\ & 13.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7.3 \\ 4.0 \end{array}$ | 2.1 8.6 | 1.8 1.9 | 3.2 3.6 | 5.8 | 1.3 1.8 | 1.2 1.0 | 3.8 .7 | 8.2 11.2 | 1.3 .3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No gainfully em- |  |  |  | A verage money expenditure in dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 450 | 7 | 2.1 | 687 | 281 | 189 | 58 | 24 | 2 | 26 |  | 10 | 15 | 45 | 1 | 13 | 9 |  | 14 |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 300 | 7 | 2.3 | 867 | 327 | 143 | 120 | 34 | 26 | 37 | 17 | 10 | 10 | 104 | 9 | 2 | 8 |  | 18 | 2 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 500 | 13 | 2.8 | 1,160 | 433 | 313 | 113 | 77 | 20 | 52 | 4 | 12 | 17 | 56 | 7 | 12 | 13 | (*) | 30 | 1 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 370 | 8 | 2.6 | 1,295 | 396 | 347 | 102 | 60 | 24 | 82 | 107 | 21 | 27 | 57 | 15 | 11 | 15 | 3 | 25 | 3 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749... | 330 | 8 | 2.1 | 1,369 | 400 | 332 | 114 | 100 | 20 | 69 | 100 | 18 | 18 | 28 | 11 | 14 | 17 | 20 | 103 | 5 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 130 | 6 | 3.2 | 1,498 | 551 | 307 | 101 | 66 | 23 | 127 | 14 | 27 | 33 | 111 | 27 | 34 | 19 | 20 | 37 | 1 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 80 | 4 | 3.0 | 1,992 | 503 | 564 | 79 | 208 | 61 | 212 | 45 | 15 | 27 | 129 | 32 | 33 | 16 | (*) | 66 | 2 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 80 | 7 | 2.7 | 1,807 | 623 | 290 | 175 | 130 | 22 | 115 | 36 | 38 | 39 | 151 | 49 | 33 | 24 | (*) | 80 | 2 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 70 | 3 | 3.7 | 2,021 | 605 | 324 | 129 | 210 | 38 | 215 | 166 | 27 | 42 | 55 | 69 | 22 | 11 | 1 | 106 | 1 |
| \$3,000-\$3,999 | 160 | 8 | 2.2 | 3, 162 | 720 | 665 | 124 | 328 | 93 | 271 | 273 | 74 | 46 | 189 | 64 | 31 | 38 |  | 150 | 96 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 90 | 5 | 2.0 | 2, 633 | 693 | 511 | 83 | 259 | 61 | 194 | 343 | 28 | 39 | 133 | 80 | 38 | 21 |  | 138 | 12 |
| No gainfully em- |  |  |  | Percentage of total money expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749.. | 450 | 7 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 40.9 | 27.5 | 8.4 | 3.5 | 0.3 | 3.8 |  | 1.5 | 2.2 | 6.6 | 0.1 | 1.9 | 1.3 |  | 2.0 |  |
| \$750-\$999.. | 300 | 7 | 2. 3 | 100.0 | 37.7 | 16.5 | 13.8 | 3.9 | 3.0 | 4.3 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 1. 2 | 12.0 | 1.0 | . 2 | . 9 |  | 2.1 | 0.2 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 500 | 13 | 2.8 | 100.0 | 37.4 | 27.1 | 9.7 | 6.6 | 1.7 | 4.5 | . 3 | 1. 0 | 1. 5 | 4.8 | . 6 | 1.0 | 1.1 | (*) | 2.6 | . 1 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 370 | 8 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 30.6 | 26.8 | 7.9 | 4.6 | 1.9 | 6.3 | 8.3 | 1.6 | 2. 1 | 4.4 | 1.2 | . 8 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 1. 9 | . 2 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 330 | 8 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 29.3 | 24.3 | 8.3 | 7.3 | 1.5 | 5.0 | 7.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 2.0 | . 8 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1. 5 | 7.5 | 4 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 130 | 6 | 3.2 | 100.0 | 36.8 | 20.5 | 6.7 | 4.4 | 1.5 | 8.5 | . 9 | 1.8 | 2. 2 | 7.4 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 2.5 | . 1 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 80 | 4 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 25.3 | 28.3 | 4.0 | 10.4 | 3.1 | 10.6 | 2.2 | . 8 | 1,4 | 6.5 | 1.6 | 1. 6 | . 8 | (*) | 3.3 | . 1 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 80 | 7 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 34.5 | 16.0 | 9.7 | 7.2 | 1.2 | 6.4 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2. 2 | 8.4 | 2.7 | 1.8 | 1.3 | (*) | 4.4 | 1 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 70 | 3 | 3.7 | 100.0 | 30.1 | 16.1 | 6.4 | 10.4 | 1.9 | 10.6 | 8. 2 | 1.3 | 2.1 | 2.7 | 3.4 | 1.1 | . 5 | (*) | 5.2 | ${ }^{*}{ }^{*}$ |
| \$3,000-\$3,999 | 160 | 8 | 2. 2 | 100.0 | 22.8 | 21.1 | 3.9 | 10.4 | 2.9 | 8. 6 | 8.6 | 2.3 | 1. 5 | 6.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 1.2 |  | 4.7 | 3.0 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 90 | 5 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 26.3 | 19.4 | 3. 2 | 9.8 | 2.3 | 7.4 | 13.0 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 5.1 | 3.0 | 1.4 | . 8 |  | 5.2 | 5 |

See p. 170 for notes on this table.
*Average amounts of less than $\$ 1$ and percentages of less than 0.1 are not shown.

Table 2.-Summary of family expenditure: Average money expenditure for specified groups of goods and services, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Occupational group, family type, and income class \\
(1)
\end{tabular}} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Number of families} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Average
num. ber of persons family \\
(4)
\end{tabular}} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Total \\
(5)
\end{tabular}} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Food \\
(6)
\end{tabular}} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Hous ing \\
(7)
\end{tabular}} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Household operation} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Fur-nishings and ment} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Cloth ing \\
(11)
\end{tabular}} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Auto-mo-
bile \\
(12)
\end{tabular}} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Other transtion} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Personal care \\
(14)
\end{tabular}} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Medical care \\
(15)
\end{tabular}} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Recre ation \\
(16)
\end{tabular}} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Tobacco \\
(17)
\end{tabular}} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Reading \\
(18)
\end{tabular}} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Formal education} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Contribu tions personal taxes} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Other items} \\
\hline \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Eligible \\
(2)
\end{tabular} \& Reporting ex-penditures \& \& \& \& \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Fuel, light, and re: frigera-
tion \\
(8)
\end{tabular} \& Other
(9) \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline Fa \& \multirow[b]{6}{*}{1,850
4,880
6,190
7,020
7,210
7,} \& \multirow[b]{6}{*}{8
17
25
41
38} \& \multirow[b]{6}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
\& 2.0 \\
\& 2.0 \\
\& 2.0 \\
\& 2.0 \\
\& 2.0
\end{aligned}
\]} \& \multicolumn{17}{|c|}{Average money expenditure in dollars} \\
\hline \$500-\$749.. \& \& \& \& 692 \& 254 \& 211 \& 60 \& \& \& \& \& \& 14 \& 17 \& 8 \& \& 9 \& \& 14 \& \\
\hline \$750-\$999. \& \& \& \& 945 \& 356 \& 251 \& 70 \& 28 \& 24 \& 74 \& 1 \& 29 \& 19 \& 36 \& 12 \& 22 \& 13 \& \& 9 \& \\
\hline \$1,000-\$1,249 \& \& \& \& 1,104 \& 399 \& 255 \& 87 \& 41 \& 33 \& 82 \& 37 \& 28 \& 22 \& 37 \& 21 \& 20 \& 13 \& \& 27 \& 1 \\
\hline \$1,250-\$1,499 --------1. \& \& \& \& 1,355
1,560 \& \({ }_{5}^{496}\) \& \({ }_{361}^{274}\) \& 71
79 \& \({ }_{62}^{51}\) \& \({ }_{34}^{46}\) \& 121 \& 49
84 \& \begin{tabular}{l}
37 \\
42 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& \({ }_{37}^{26}\) \& \(\stackrel{58}{58}\) \& 37
46 \& \({ }_{45}^{33}\) \& 18
17 \& 1 \& \({ }_{38}^{35}\) \& 2 \\
\hline \$1,500-\$1,749 \& \& \& \& 1,560 \& 525 \& 361 \& 79 \& 62 \& 34 \& 129 \& 84 \& 42 \& 37 \& 58 \& 46 \& 45 \& 17 \& 4 \& 36 \& \\
\hline \$1,750-\$1,999 \& 7, 270 \& 45 \& 2.0 \& 1,745 \& 536 \& 407 \& 68 \& 74 \& 71 \& 163 \& 116 \& 44 \& 37 \& 73 \& 42 \& 41 \& 20 \& (*) \& 47 \& 6 \\
\hline \$2,000- \$2,249. \& 5, 450 \& 43 \& 2.0 \& 1,982 \& \({ }_{523}\) \& 423 \& 76 \& 98 \& 71 \& 185 \& 192 \& 45 \& 42 \& 120 \& 55 \& 40 \& 24 \& 14 \& 67 \& 7 \\
\hline \$2,250-\$2,499- \& 4, 160 \& 43
42
4 \& 2.0
2.0 \& 2,185
2,371
2 \& \begin{tabular}{l}
627 \\
644 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& 405
512 \& \begin{tabular}{l}
98 \\
66 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& 117 \& 79
67 \& 207
218
218 \& 173
223 \& 55
55
55 \& 48
50
5 \& 122 \& 63
80 \& 53
49 \& 25
28 \& 1 \& 92
128
128 \& 18
16 \\
\hline \$3,000- 83,499 \& 2,650 \& 38 \& 2.0 \& 2,731 \& 738 \& 461 \& 75 \& 160 \& 101 \& 294 \& 225 \& 73 \& 65 \& 110 \& 113 \& 67 \& 30 \& 1 \& 199 \& 19 \\
\hline \$3,500-\$3,999 \& 1,480 \& 39 \& 2.0 \& 3,120 \& 827 \& 570 \& 76 \& 193 \& 165 \& 356 \& 264 \& 44 \& 79 \& 116 \& 117 \& 67 \& 35 \& 10 \& \({ }^{188}\) \& 13 \\
\hline \$4,000-\$4,999 \& 1,230 \& 32 \& 2.0 \& 3,607 \& 808 \& 573 \& 84 \& 256 \& 108 \& 412 \& 397 \& \({ }_{5}^{76}\) \& 67 \& 204 \& 164 \& \({ }_{95}^{60}\) \& 38 \& 12 \& 333 \& 15 \\
\hline \$85,000-\$7,499 \& 1, 1610 \& 20
3 \& 2.0
2.0 \& 4,118
6,889 \& 903
1,296 \& \(\begin{array}{r}608 \\ \mathbf{1}, 302 \\ \hline\end{array}\) \& 128
45 \& 425
826 \& 144
163 \& \begin{tabular}{l}
434 \\
852 \\
\hline 8
\end{tabular} \& 409
817 \& 59
64 \& 84
136
136 \& 168
299 \& \begin{tabular}{l}
186 \\
294 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& \({ }_{79}^{95}\) \& 44
48 \& 14 \& 409 \& 8 \\
\hline \$10,000 and over- \& 120 \& \& 2.0 \& 8,974 \& 1,464 \& 1,702 \& 98 \& 722 \& 115 \& 1,200 \& 665 \& 712 \& 114 \& 298 \& 324 \& 91 \& 70 \& \& 1,376 \& 23 \\
\hline Family type: Type I \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& centage \& of tota \& money \& expen \& itures \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline \$500-8749. \& 1,850 \& 8 \& 2.0 \& 100.0 \& 36.7 \& 30.5 \& 8.7 \& 3.7 \& 0.1 \& 4.3 \& 1.0 \& 3.5 \& 2.0 \& 2.5 \& 1.2 \& 2.5 \& 1.3 \& \& 2.0 \& \\
\hline \$750-\$999 \& 4,880 \& 17 \& 2.0 \& 100.0 \& \({ }_{3}^{37.7}\) \& \({ }_{2}^{26.6}\) \& 7.4 \& 3.0 \& 2. 5 \& 7.8 \& . 1 \& 3. 1 \& 2.0 \& 3. 8 \& 1.3 \& 2.3 \& 1.4 \& \& . 9 \& 0.1 \\
\hline \$1,000-\$1,249- \& 6, 190 \& 25 \& 2.0 \& 100.0 \& \({ }_{36}^{36.1}\) \& 23.1 \& 7.9 \& 3.7 \& 3.0 \& 7.4 \& 3.4 \& \& 2.0 \& 3.4 \& \(\begin{array}{r}1.9 \\ 2 \\ \hline\end{array}\) \& 1.8
2.4 \& 1.2 \& 0.1 \& \begin{tabular}{l}
2.4 \\
2.6 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& . 1 \\
\hline \[
\$ 1,250-\$ 1,499
\] \& 7,020
7,210 \& 41
38 \& 2.0
2.0 \& 100.0
100.0 \& \begin{tabular}{l}
36.7 \\
33.6 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& 20.3
23.1 \& \begin{tabular}{l}
5.2 \\
5.1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& 3.8
4.0 \& 3. 2.2 \& 8.9
8.3 \& \begin{tabular}{l}
3.6 \\
5.4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& 2.7
2.7 \& 1.9 \& 4. \({ }^{4}\) \& 2.7
2.9 \& 2.4
2.9 \& 1.3
1.1
1.1 \& . 1 \& \(\stackrel{2.6}{2.3}\) \& 1 \\
\hline \$1,750-81,999.. \& 7, 270 \& 45 \& 2.0 \& 100.0 \& 30.9 \& 23.4 \& 3.9 \& 4.2 \& 4.1 \& 9.3 \& 6.6 \& 2.5 \& 2.1 \& 4.2 \& 2.4 \& 2.3 \& 1.1 \& (*) \& 2.7 \& . 3 \\
\hline \$2,000-\$2,249 \& 5,450 \& 43 \& 2.0 \& 100.0 \& 26.4 \& \({ }^{21.3}\) \& 3.8 \& 4. 9 \& 3. 6 \& 9.3 \& 9.7 \& 2.3 \& 2. 1 \& \({ }^{6.1}\) \& 2.8 \& 2.0 \& 1.2 \& \({ }^{*}{ }^{7}\) \& 3.4 \& 4 \\
\hline \$2,250-\$2,499 \& 4,160
4.190 \& 43 \& 2.0 \& 100.0
100 \& 28.8
28
2 \& 18.5
21.6
18 \& \(\stackrel{4}{4.5}\) \& 5. 4 \& 3.6

2
8 \& 9.5 \& 7.9
9.4 \& 2.5
2.3
2.7 \& 2.2 \& 5. 6 \& 3.0
3.4
3, \& 2.4 \& 1.1 \& (*) \& 4.2
5
5 \& 8 <br>
\hline \$2,500-\$2,999 \& 4, 4 450 \& $\stackrel{42}{38}$ \& 2.0
2.0 \& 100.0
100.0 \& 27.1
27.0 \& 21.6
16.9 \& 2.8 \& 5.2
5.9 \& 2.8
3.7 \& 9.2
10.8 \& 8.4
8.3 \& 2.3
2.7 \& 2.14 \& 4.7 \& 3.4
4.1 \& 2.1 \& 1.1 \& ${ }^{*}$ ) \& ${ }^{5.4}$ \& 7 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}



See p. 170 for notes on this table. †Average amounts of less than $\$ 1$ and percentages of less than 0.1 are not shown. *Averages and percentages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

Table 2.-Summary of family expenditure: Average money expenditure for specified groups of goods and services, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued

| Occupational group, family type, and income class <br> (1) | Number of families |  | Average number of persons per family <br> (4) | Total | Food | $\begin{gathered} \text { Hous- } \\ \text { ing } \end{gathered}$ | Household operation |  | Fur-nishings and equipment <br> (10) | Clothing <br> (11) | Auto-mobile | Other trans-portation <br> (13) | Personal care <br> (14) | Medical care | Recreation <br> (16) | Tobacco <br> (17) | Reading <br> (18) | Formal education <br> (19) | Con-tribntions and personal taxes <br> (20) | Other items <br> (21) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible | Reporting ex-penditures (3) |  |  |  |  | Fuel, light, and re-frigeration <br> (8) | Other (9) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Type III |  |  |  | Average money expenditure in dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 810 | 8 | 4.0 | 942 | 393 | 228 | 95 | 19 | 14 | 73 |  | 24 | 24 | 26 | 14 | 11 | 8 | 6 | 7 | (*) |
| \$750-\$999 | 2,420 | 20 | 4.0 | 990 | 436 | 183 | 94 | 35 | 19 | 76 | 3 | 30 | 18 | 37 | 15 | 22 | 8 | 3 | 9 | 2 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 3,010 | 24 | 4. 0 | 1,126 | 481 | 209 | 102 | 33 | 32 | 96 | 13 | 34 | 23 | 35 | 19 | 24 | 15 | 3 | 7 | (*) |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 4,080 | 35 | 4.0 | 1,411 | 565 | 258 | 104 | 46 | 38 | 104 | 69 | 38 | 25 | 49 | 34 | 30 | 16 | 8 | 24 | 3 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 3,980 | 31 | 4.0 | 1,636 | 632 | 319 | 90 | 48 | 57 | 139 | 47 | 42 | 33 | 102 | 33 | 35 | 19 | 8 | 26. | 6 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 3,740 | 43 | 4.0 | 1,845 | 670 | 357 | 106 | 69 | 44 | 155 | 135 | 31 | 36 | 78 | 66 | 38 | 22 | 5 | 29 | 4 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 3, 540 | 34 | 4.0 | 2, 020 | 729 | 337 | 92 | 93 | 90 | 207 | 118 | 36 | 42 | 90 | 61 | 38 | 20 | 9 | 51 | 7 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 2,460 | 49 | 4.0 | 2, 264 | 770 | 437 | 105 | 98 | 65 | 212 | 140 | 34 | 48 | 100 | 92 | 47 | 24 | 13 | 72 | 7 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 2,400 | 42 | 4.0 | 2,638 | 816 | 453 | 135 | 153 | 96 | 262 | 247 | 44 | 50 | 116 | 97 | 46 | 26 | 20 | 67 | 10 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 1,000 | 32 | 4.0 | 2,675 | 868 | 414 | 127 | 139 | 76 | 302 | 238 | 38 | 53 | 125 | 89 | 42 | 36 | 23 | 101 | 4 |
| \$3,500-\$3.999. | 810 | 26 | 4. 0 | 3,204 | 961 | 596 | 144 | 256 | 77 | 343 | 223 | 54 | 61 | 105 | 114 | 69 | 31 | 29 | 133 | 9 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 560 | 31 | 4.0 | 3,996 | 1,099 | 695 | 133 | 370 | 86 | 441 | 382 | 49 | 87 | 169 | 136 | 64 | 40 | 41 | 193 | 11 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 570 | 13 | 4.0 | 4,652 | 1, 129 | 764 | 120 | 383 | 116 | 528 | 353 | 43 | 77 | 277 | 323 | 56 | 50 | 12 | 400 | 21 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 140 | 10 | 4.0 | 6,849 | 1,393 | 1,044 | 166 | 754 | 292 | 750 | 601 | 65 | 129 | 218 | 346 | 67 | 66 | 171 | 695 | 92 |
| \$10,000 and over....- | 130 | 6 | 4.0 | 8,637 | 1,542 | 1,300 | 180 | 939 | 266 | 908 | 670 | 215 | 160 | 183 | 513 | 106 | 91 | 147 | 1,388 | 29 |
| Type III |  |  |  | Percentage of total money expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 810 | 8 | 4.0 | 100.0 | 41.8 | 24.3 | 10.0 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 7.7 |  | 2.5 | 25 | 28 | 15 | 12 | 08 | 06 | 07 | (*) |
| \$750-\$999 | 2, 420 | 20 | 40 | 1000 | 442 | 18.5 | 95 | 3.5 | 1.9 | 7.7 | 0.3 | 30 | 18 | 37 | 15 | 22 | . 8 | . 3 | . 9 | 0.2 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 3, 010 | 24 | 4.0 | 100.0 | 42.8 | 18.6 | 9.1 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 8.5 | 1.2 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 3.1 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 1.3 | . 3 | . 6 | (*) |
| \$1,250-\$1,499.......... | 4,080 | 35 | 4.0 | 100.0 | 39.9 | 18.3 | 7.4 | 3.3 | 2.7 | 7.4 | 4.9 | 2.7 | 1.8 | 3.5 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 1. 1 | .6 | 1.7 | . 2 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 3,980 | 31 | 4.0 | 100.0 | 38.7 | 19.5 | 5.5 | 2.9 | 3.5 | 8.5 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 2.0 | 6.2 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 1. 2 | .5 | 1.6 | . 4 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 3, 740 | 43 | 4.0 | 100.0 | 36.3 | 19.3 | 5.7 | 3.7 | 2.4 | 8.4 | 7.3 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 4.2 | 3.6 | 2.1 | 1.2 | . 3 | 1. 6 | 2 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 3, 540 | 34 | 4.0 | 100.0 | 36.1 | 16.7 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 4.5 | 10.2 | 5.9 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 4.5 | 3.0 | 1. 9 | 1.0 | . 4 | 2.5 | . 3 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 2, 460 | 49 | 4.0 | 100.0 | 33.9 | 19.3 | 4.6 | 4.3 | 2.9 | 9.4 | 6.2 | 1. 5 | 2.1 | 4.4 | 4. 1 | 2.1 | 1.1 | . 6 | 3. 2 | . 3 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 2,400 | 42 | 4.0 | 100.0 | 30.9 | 17.2 | 5.1 | 5.8 | 3.6 | 9.9 | 9.4 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 4.4 | 3.7 | 1.7 | 1.0 | . 8 | 2.5 | . 4 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 .........-- | 1,000 | 32 | 4.0 | 100.0 | 32.5 | 15.5 | 4.7 | 5.2 | 2.8 | 11.3 | 8.9 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 4.7 | 3.3 | 1.6 | 1.3 | .9 | 3.8 | 1 |



Table 2.-Summary of family expenditure: Average money expenditure for specifed groups of goods and services, by occupation,

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | Number of families |  | Average number of persons per family | Total | Food | Housing | Household operation |  | Fur-nishings and equipment <br> (10) | Cloth ing | Auto-mobile | Other trans-portation | Personal care | $\begin{gathered} \text { Medi- } \\ \text { cal } \\ \text { care } \end{gathered}$ | Recreation | $\begin{gathered} \text { To- } \\ \text { bacco } \end{gathered}$ | Reading | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { For- } \\ \text { mal } \\ \text { educa- } \\ \text { tion } \end{array}\right\|$ | Con-tributions and personal taxes | Other items |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible | Reporting ex-penditures |  |  |  |  | Fuel, light, and re-frigeration | Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) |  | (5) | (8) | (7) | (8) | (9) |  | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) | (17) | (18) | (19) | (20) | (21) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | erage m | oney e | xpendit | re in | ollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 320 | 7 | 5.4 | 1, 126 | 535 | 222 | 118 | 32 | 6 | 54 | 10 | 18 | 28 | 38 | 15 | 22 | 13 | 6 | 9 |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 770 | 14 | 5.4 | 1, 453 | 566 | 366 | 126 | 30 | 25 | $92 \cdot$ | 48 | 22 | 26 | 70 | 18 | 30 | 10 | 5 | 18 | 1 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 1,250 | 17 | 5.5 | 1,204 | 588 | 234 | 116 | 30 | 8 | 84 | 1 | 30 | 29 | 24 | 15 | 16 | 12 | 4 | 9 | 4 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1,940 | 32 | 5.3 | 1, 332 | 587 | 234 | 124 | 44 | 13 | 119 | 10 | 37 | 30 | 38 | 27 | 26 | 14 | 6 | 22 | 1 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 2, 200 | 26 | 5.5 | 1,565 | 666 | 266 | 138 | 47 | 24 | 144 | 35 | 38 | 36 | 47 | 34 | 27 | 19 | 19 | 20 | 5 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999........$-~$ | 2, 450 | 36 | 5.5 | 1,908 | 744 | 310 | 143 | 70 | 62 | 188 | 50 | 52 | 38 | 57 | 45 | 44 | 21 | 22 | 32 | 30 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 2,500 | 46 | 5.4 | 1,993 | 772 | 359 | 154 | 64 | 50 | 192 | 69 | 53 | 39 | 93 | 39 | 31 | 20 | 14 | 43 | 1 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 1,790 | 35 | 5.5 | 2,179 | 821 | 338 | 149 | 78 | 57 | 227 | 95 | 69 | 56 | 97 | 47 | 41 | 20 | 33 | 39 | 12 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 2,410 | 34 | 5.5 | 2,549 | 909 | 362 | 146 | 96 | 88 | 306 | 130 | 57 | 57 | 134 | 95 | 51 | 24 | 22 | 62 | 10 |
| \$3,090-\$3,499 | 1,710 | 39 | 5.4 | 2,937 | 1,035 | 472 | 150 | 124 | 70 | 333 | 112 | 90 | 62 | 125 | 120 | 68 | 30 | 54 | 85 | 7 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 1,070 | 30 | 5.4 | 3, 266 | 1,106 | 436 | 170 | 144 | 117 | 407 | 178 | 93 | 71 | 181 | 114 | 69 | 33 | 47 | 93 | 7 |
| \$4,000-\$4,099 | 890 | 29 | 5.4 | 3, 888 | 1,329 | 482 | 168 | 265 | 93 | 431 | 290 | 71 | 94 | 178 | 102 | 77 | 42 | 59 | 199 | 8 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 ........- | 440 | 14 | 5.4 | 4,753 | 1,333 | 577 | 249 | 346 | 123 | 688 | 386 | 84 | 102 | 184 | 184 | 89 | 47 | 157 | 195 | 6 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 .........- | 80 | 6 | 5.1 | 6, 339 | 1,544 | 1,118 | 114 | 370 | 133 | 671 | 540 | 75 | 131 | 272 | 281 | 171 | ${ }^{50}$ | 250 | ${ }^{608}$ | 11 |
| \$10,000 and over....- | 90 | 2 | 5.0 | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | centage | of tota | 1 money | expen | itures |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 320 | 7 | 5.4 | 100.0 | 47.5 | 19.7 | 10.5 | 2.8 | 0.5 | 4.8 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 2.5 | 3.4 | 1.3 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.8 |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 770 | 14 | 5.4 | 100.0 | 39.0 | 25.2 | 8.7 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 6.3 | 3.3 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 4.8 | 1.2 | 2.1 | . 7 | . 3 | 1.2 | 0.1 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1,250 | 17 | 5.5 | 100.0 | 49.0 | 19.4 | 9.6 | 2.5 | . 7 | 7.0 | .1 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.0 | . 3 | . 7 | . 3 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1,940 | 32 | 5.3 | 100.0 | 44.1 | 17.6 | 9.3 | 3.3 | 1. 0 | 8.9 | . 8 | 2.8 | 2.2 | 2.9 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 | . 4 | 1.6 | . 1 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 2,200 | 26 | 5.5 | 100.0 | 42.7 | 17.0 | 8.8 | 3.0 | 1.5 | 9.2 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 3.0 | 2.2 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.3 | . 3 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 2, 450 | 36 | 5.5 | 100.0 | 39.0 | 16.2 | 7.5 | 3.7 | 3.2 | 9.8 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 1.6 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 $\ldots \ldots . .$. | 2,500 | 46 | 5.4 | 100.0 | 38.7 | 18.0 | 7.7 | 3.2 | 2.5 | 9.6 | 3.4 | 2.6 | 2.0 | 4.7 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 1.0 | . 7 | 2.2 | . 1 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 $\ldots \ldots . .$. | 1,790 | 35 | 5. 5 | 100.0 | 37.6 | 15.5 | 6.8 | 3.6 | 2.6 | 10.4 | 4.3 | 3. 2 | 2.5 | 4.5 | 2. 2 | 1. 9 | 9 | 1.5 | 1.8 | . 6 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 2,410 | 34 | 5.5 | 100.0 | 35.7 | 14.2 | 5.7 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 12.0 | 5.1 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 5.3 | 3.7 | 2.0 | .9 | . 9 | 2.4 | . 4 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 ---.-....--- | 1,710 | 39 | 5.4 | 100.0 | 35.2 | 16.1 | 5.1 | 4.2 | 2.4 | 11.3 | 3.9 | 3.1 | 2.1 | 4.3 | 4.1 | 2.3 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 2.9 | 2 |


|  | 詣笖然然管 웅엉웅유엉 10 <br>  <br>  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N్ర } \\ & \text { క్ల } \\ & \text { S. } \end{aligned}$ |  |  O禺新言感 <br>  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 以上 |  | 중햐우영영 |  |  |  | 88苦姼豆 |
| Nowos |  | 50゙っため0 |  | $\cdots \infty$ |  | \％\％ |  | Natity |
| onerenger －OMON | rererer onNow | prencrer GNGMN |  | crercueren <br>  | orererer $\omega \rightarrow$ Now | crerercon GNOAN |  | ererererer Oルッカ |
|  00000 |  |  |  | Mg pow <br>  | NNNNE <br>  | トリート5 <br> 马్ㅐㅇ웅ㅇㅇ |  | 于. |
|  monoro |  aronor |  veorcon |  | N上5N | Come | ： |  |  |
| 菅ちゃた 40000 |  NNHO－ | F6xp： No 0000 |  |  | 氙芯运莒罗 |  |  | ぎぃにない |
| Nereserer onermor | ererererpor $\infty \infty$－ |  |  |  | 気気にぢす | Toices |  | Fren\＃tor $\operatorname{con} 00$ |
|  | OP田 poseros | بwnom OTODNO |  |  | 馬だった。 |  |  | Sor vosp － 0 ons |
| Nercon がッカーが | Nownon connom |  |  |  |  | NN心込 |  | Эnnow ーローロ |
| すいたぢ <br> $-0000$ | 它它 | osonospor Cronor |  |  |  | 氙宫式习乐 |  |  |
|  | Acters vNorar | － |  |  |  | デぴット |  | Fon Nox |
| －Fivero |  | －rnouc anconN |  |  |  |  |  | － |
| -oncos | NNNNK －NNWO | Non：－ |  | 島 | ¢® | ふ0N゙ちた |  | Fnnonemen |
| 0 00 souron |  －Conar | $\begin{aligned} & \omega \text { क } \omega \text { ow } \\ & \text { Git } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Э woser |
| $\omega$ $-0000$ |  いcornm | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nore } \\ & \text { ont orr } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 9\％ |  |  | きِب －our |
| isoircrie |  | Moneo |  |  |  | N\％0్రీ＊ |  | FnにNon |
| orviosio | oroin | oner |  |  | NTMN： | シードへo |  | き．：ロー・ |
| －．：ーット GCONMA | $\cdots$－rioc | vicioco |  |  |  |  |  | きゃw！－ © wort |
| $\xrightarrow[H]{4}$ NOMOD | comnno NNNOR | -r.e. |  | 䓵気忒忒 |  |  |  | きoun |
| क்inco | $i \dot{\omega}_{i v}$ | incirio |  | N゙らゅち心 | いかっか＊ | NCOCO |  | \#ininio |

See p． 170 for notes on this table．
Average amounts of less than $\$ 1$ and percentages of less than 0.1 are not shown．

Table 2.--Summary of family expenditure: Average money expenditure for specified groups of goods and services, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1995-96-Continued

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | Number of families |  |  | Total <br> (5) | Food <br> (6) | $\underset{\text { ing }}{\text { Hous- }}$ <br> (7) | Household operation |  | Fur-nishings equipment | Clothing <br> (11) | Auto- mo. milo <br> bile <br> (12) | Other trans-portation | Personal care <br> (14) | Medical care <br> (15) | Recre ation <br> (16) | Tobacco <br> (17) | Reading (18) | Formal educa tion | Contions and per-sonal taxes | Other items <br> (21) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fligible <br> (2) | Report ing ex-pendi- |  |  |  |  | Fuel, light, and re frigera- | Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) |  | (3) |  |  |  |  | (8) | (9) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Type VII | 90210460460850850 | 411122718 | 7.07.27.57.27.2 | Average money expenditure in dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-8749.. |  |  |  | 871 | 398 | 176 | 61 |  |  | 68 |  | 36 | 22 | 20 | 10 |  | 13 | 9 | 15 |  |
| \$750-\$999 |  |  |  | 1,153 | 505 | 221 | 108 | 29 | 22 | 84 |  | 32 | 20 | 51 | 23 | 30 | 12 | 6 | 10 | (*) |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 |  |  |  | 1,489 | 579 | 245 | 109 | 63 | 38 | 154 | 13 | 44 | 34 | 54 | 18 | 23 | 16 | 9 | 13 | 72 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 |  |  |  | 1,486 | 707 | 243 | 126 | 40 | 14 | 114 | 22 | 42 | 26 | 35 | 26 | 22 | 15 | 13 | 17 | 24 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 -- |  |  |  | 1,751 | 712 | 260 | 155 | 41 | 23 | 185 | 78 | 46 | 28 | 34 | 30 | 35 | 17 | 24 | 27 | 51 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 680680600500950560 | 2523253726 | 7.2 | 1,880 | 789 | 314 | 133 | 67 | 31 | 174 | 44 | 53 | 40 | 87 | 35 | ${ }^{46}$ | 20 | ${ }^{13}$ | 25 | 9 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249- |  |  | 7.2 | 2,082 2 2 | ${ }_{947}^{858}$ | 309 <br> 372 | 130 | 57 | 46 | ${ }_{227}^{246}$ | 50 | 49 | 39 | ${ }_{65}^{91}$ | 45 | ${ }^{23}$ | 19 | 20 | 45 | 45 |
| \$2,500- $\mathbf{2 2 , 9 9 9}$ |  |  | 7.3 | 2,586 | 1,020 | 332 <br> 336 | 180 | 80 | ${ }_{77}$ | 307 | 78 | 70 | 64 | ${ }_{92}$ | 84 | 68 | 22 | 35 | 56 | 17 |
| \$8,000-\$3,499 |  |  | 7.2 | 2,873 | 1,080 | 377 | 167 | 110 | 65 | 323 | 70 | 88 | 70 | 165 | 90 | 58 | 29 | ${ }_{62}$ | 52 | ${ }_{62}$ |
| \$3,500-\$3,909 | $\begin{gathered} 370 \\ 440 \\ 150 \\ 50 \\ 20 \end{gathered}$ | 19188842 | 7.1 | 3,343 | 1,177 | 434 | 165 | 13¢ | 160 | 443 | 176 | 96 | 66 | 130 | 91 |  | 27 | 45 | 104 |  |
| \$4,000-84,999 |  |  | 7.2 | 3,852 | 1,361 | 401 | 186 | 124 | 85 | 526 | 263 | 73 | 98 | 173 | 150 | 86 | 29 | 54 | 235 | 8 |
| \$5,000-87,499 |  |  | 7.5 | 6,439 | 1,836 | 652 | 354 | 521 | 119 | 592 | 425 | 98 | 141 | - 412 | 385 | 117 | 40 | 205 | 503 | 29 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 7,500-\$ 9,999 \\ & \$ 10,000 \text { and over-..... } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 7.5 | ( ${ }_{(1)} \mathbf{8 6 0}$ |  | ${ }_{(+)}{ }^{486}$ | ${ }_{(1)}{ }^{358}$ | $\underset{(\dagger)}{619}$ | ${ }_{(\dagger)}^{84}$ | $\underset{( }{1,124}$ | $\underset{(\dagger)}{1,211}$ | $\underset{(\dagger)}{138}$ | ${ }_{(\dagger)}^{160}$ | $\begin{gathered} 238 \\ (t) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 369 \\ (\dagger) \end{gathered}$ | ${ }_{(t)}^{87}$ | (t) ${ }^{75}$ | ${ }_{(\dagger)}^{186}$ | $\stackrel{563}{(\dagger)}$ | (t) ${ }^{55}$ |
| Type VII |  |  |  | Percentage of total money expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 90210460460 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749.- |  |  | 7.0 | 100.0 | 45.8 | 20.2 | 7.0 | 2.3 | 0.7 | 7.8 |  | 4.1 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 1.1 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 1.7 |  |
| 8750-8999. |  |  | 7.2 | 100.0 | 43.8 | 19.2 | 9.4 | 2.5 | 1.9 | 7.3 |  | 2.8 | 1.7 | 4.4 | 2.0 | 2.6 | 1.0 | : 5 | . 9 | ${ }^{*}$ ) |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 |  | 112718 | 7.5 | 100.0 | 38.8 | 16.4 | 7.3 | 4.2 | 2.6 | 10.3 | 0.9 | 3. 8 | ${ }^{2} 3$ | 3. 6 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 1.1 | ${ }^{6}$ | . 9 | 4.8 |
| 81, 2500 - $81,499$. | 630850 |  | 7.2 | 100.0 | 47 | 16.5 | 8.5 | 2.7 |  | ${ }^{7} 78$ | 1.4 | ${ }_{2} 2.8$ | 1.7 | 2. 4 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.0 | .9 | 1.1 | 1.6 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.0 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 2.9 |

850

| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 680 | 25 | 7.2 | 100.0 | 41.9 | 16.7 | 7.1 | 3.6 | 1.6 | 9.3 | 2.4 | 2.8 | 2.1 | 4.6 | 1.9 | 2.4 | 1.1 | 7 | 1.3 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 660 | 23 | 7.2 | 100.0 | 41.2 | 14.8 | 6.2 | 2.7 | 2. 2 | 11.8 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 1.9 | 4.4 | 2.2 | 1.1 | . 9 | 1.4 | 2.2 | 2.2 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 500 | 25 | 7.3 | 100.0 | 42.1 | 16.5 | 6.7 | 3.4 | 1.4 | 10.1 | 1.1 | 3.3 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 9 | 1.5 | 1.7 |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 950 | 37 | 7.3 | 100.0 | 39.4 | 13.0 | 7.0 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 11.9 | 3.1 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 3. 5 | 3.2 | 2. 6 | .8 | 1.4 | 2.2 |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 560 | 26 | 7.2 | 100.0 | 37.6 | 13.1 | 5.8 | 3.8 | 2.3 | 11.4 | 2.5 | 3.1 | 2.4 | 5.7 | 3.1 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 2. 2 | 1.8 | 2.2 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 370 | 19 | 7.1 | 100.0 | 35.2 | 13.0 | 4.9 | 4.1 | 4.8 | 13.3 | 5.3 | 2.9 | 2.0 | 3.9 | 2.7 | 2.5 | . 8 | 1.3 | 3.1 |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 440 | 18 | 7.2 | 100.0 | 35.4 | 10.4 | 4.8 | 3.2 | 2.2 | 13.7 | 6.8 | 1.9 | 2.5 | 4.5 | 3.9 | 2.2 | . 8 | 1.4 | 6.1 | 2 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 150 | 8 | 7.5 | 100.0 | 28.5 | 10.1 | 5.7 | 8.1 | 1.8 | 18.2 | 6.6 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 6.4 | 6.0 | 1.8 | .8 | 3.2 | 7.8 | 5 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 50 | 4 | 7.5 | 100.0 | 26.8 | 6.2 | 4.5 | 7.9 | 1.1 | 14.3 | 15.4 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 4.7 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 2. 4 | 7.2 | 7 |
| \$10,000 and over....- | 20 | 2 | 7.5 | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) |

See p. 170 for notes on this table
*Average amounts of less than $\$ 1$ and percentages of less than 0.1 are not shown.
$\dagger$ Averages and percentages not computed for fewer than three cases.

Table 3.-Food: Average value of all family food, money expenditure for food at home and away from home, average value of food home-produced or received as gift or pay, and money expense per meal per food expenditure unit, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36
[White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]

| Occupational group, family type and income class <br> (1) | Number of families |  | Average value of all family food | Average expenditure for food purchased |  |  | Percentage of expenditure for food |  | A verage value of food home-produced or raceived as gift or pay <br> (10) | Average money expenditure per meal per food ex-penditure unit ${ }^{1}$ <br> (11) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible | Reporting ex-penditures |  | All | $\underset{\text { home }}{\text { At }}$ | Away from home ${ }^{1}$ | At home | Away from home |  |  |
|  | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) |  |  |
| All families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 5,940 | 50 | \$385 | \$348 | \$336 | \$12 | 96.6 | 3.4 | \$37 | \$0. 111 |
| \$750-\$999 | 15,480 | 110 | 420 | 404 | 300 | 14 | 96.5 | 3.5 | 16 | . 130 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 22, 040 | 139 | 469 | 457 | 433 | 24 | 94.7 | 5.3 | 12 | . 143 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 25, 150 | 238 | 537 | 529 | 488 | 41 | 92.2 | 7.8 | 8 | . 164 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 27,330 | 217 | 593 | 589 | 537 | 52 | 91.2 | 8.8 | 4 | . 181 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 27, 590 | 264 | 626 | 619 | 546 | 73 | 88.2 | 11.8 | 7 | . 186 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 23, 110 | 256 | 664 | 660 | 586 | 74 | 88.8 | 11.2 | 4 | . 188 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 17,960 | 269 | 765 | 761 | 661 | 100 | 86.8 | 13.2 | 4 | . 221 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 19,700 | 295 | 795 | 786 | 658 | 128 | 84.0 | 16.0 | 9 | . 217 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 11,730 | 226 | 871 | 863 | 701 | 162 | 81.2 | 18.8 | 8 | . 238 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 7,440 | 206 | 957 | 944 | 768 | 176 | 81.3 | 18.7 | 13 | . 254 |
| \$4,000-84,999. | 6,709 | 197 | 1,076 | 1,063 | 822 | 241 | 77.2 | 22.8 | 13 | . 268 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499. | 4,220 | 102 | 1,148 | 1, 125 | 879 | 246 | 78.2 | 21.8 | 23 | . 270 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 ....... | 810 | 37 | 1,457 | 1,445 | 1,036 | 409 | 71.7 | 28.3 | 12 | . 282 |
| \$10,000 and over --- | 670 | 29 | 1,788 | 1,780 | 1,245 | 535 | 70.0 | 30.0 | 8 | . 366 |
| Occupationalgroup: Wage earner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749.-....-.-- | 5,940 | 50 | 385 | 348 | 336 | 12 | 96.6 | 3.4 | 37 | 111 |
| \$750-\$999-- | 11,820 | 58 | 421 | 403 | 390 | 13 | 96.8 | 3.2 | 18 | . 127 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 $\ldots$.-... | 15,380 | 75 | 471 | 458 | 439 | 19 | 95.9 | 4. 1 | 13 | . 141 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 15, 020 | 91 | 553 | 549 | 518 | 36 | 93.4 | 6.6 | 4 | . 168 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 14,450 | 68 | 605 | 602 | 555 | 47 | 92.2 | 7.8 | 3 | . 178 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999_-...-- | 13, 370 | 82 | 624 | 619 | 553 | 66 | 89.3 | 10.7 | 5 | . 182 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 9,500 | 68 | 672 | 666 | 604 | 62 | 90.7 | 9.3 | 6 | . 181 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 6,840 | 75 | 797 | 794 | 703 | 91 | 88.5 | 11.5 | 3 | . 227 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 6,630 | 72 | 854 | 846 | 733 | 113 | 86.6 | 13.4 | 8 | . 219 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 3,000 | 55 | 912 | 907 | 770 | 137 | 84.9 | 15. 1 | 5 | . 229 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 1,370 | 44 | 1,015 | 997 | 855 | 142 | 85.8 | 14.2 | 18 | . 239 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 1,000 | 18 | 1,077 | 1,074 | 822 | 252 | 76.5 | 23.5 | 3 | . 242 |
| Clerical |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 --------- | 3, 660 | 52 | 418 | 408 | 389 | 19 | 95.3 | 4.7 | 10 | . 141 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 ......- | 6, 660 | 64 | 466 | 456 | 419 | 37 | 91.9 | 8.1 | 10 | . 147 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 7,230 | 75 | 517 | 504 | 451 | 53 | 89.5 | 11.5 | 13 | . 157 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 9, 200 | 69 | 584 | 581 | 520 | 61 | 89.5 | 10.5 | 3 | . 187 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 $\ldots . .$. | 9,830 | 71 | 617 | 611 | 529 | 82 | 86.6 | 13.4 | 6 | . 185 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 $\ldots$....- | 9, 360 | 77 | 649 | 648 | 663 | 84 | 87.0 | 13.0 | 1 | . 186 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 6, 720 | 81 | 766 | 762 | 664 | 99 | 87.1 | 12.9 | 4 | . 212 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 7,040 | 79 | 751 | 745 | 618 | 127 | 83.0 | 17.0 | 6 | . 203 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 3,920 | 65 | 862 | 852 | 689 | 163 | 80.9 | 19.1 | 10 | . 236 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 2,640 | 53 | 940 | 918 | 737 | 181 | 80.3 | 19.7 | 22 | . 253 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 $\ldots \ldots$. | 1,970 | 26 | 1,217 | 1,197 | 927 | 270 | 77.4 | 22.6 | 20 | . 291 |
| Independent business |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1,680 | 29 | 495 | 483 | 447 | 36 | 92. 5 | 7.5 | 12 | . 150 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 1,610 | 24 | 594 | 590 | 547 | 43 | 92.7 | 7.3 | 4 | . 181 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1,790 | 40 | 672 | 668 | 578 | 90 | 86.5 | 13.5 | 4 | . 419 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 ........ | 1,410 | 35 | 675 | 668 | 609 | 59 | 91.2 | 8.8 | 7 | . 196 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 1,350 | 29 | 706 | 697 | 636 | 61 | 91.2 | 8.8 | 9 | . 200 |

See p. 170 for notes on this table.

Table 3.-Food: Average value of all family food, money expenditure for food at home and away from home, average value of food home-produced or received as gift or pay, and money expense per meal per food expenditure unit, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1985-86-Continued


See p. 170 for notes on this table.
$113992^{\circ}-39-10$

Table 3.-Food: Average value of all family food, money expenditure for food at home and away from home, average value of food home-produced or received as gift or pay, and money expense per meal per food expenditure unit, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935- 36 -Continued

| Occupational group, family type and income class | Number of families |  | A verage value of all family food | Average expenditure for food purchased |  |  | Percentage of expenditure for food |  | A verage value of food home-produced or received as gift or pay <br> (10) | A verage money expenditure per meal per food ex-penditure unit <br> (11) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible | Reporting ex-penditures |  | All | At home | Away from home | $\underset{\text { At }}{\text { At }}$ | Away from home |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) |  |  |
| No gainfully employed members |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 450 | 7 | 287 | \$281 | \$279 | \$2 | 99.3 | 0.7 | \$6 | \$0.118 |
| \$750-\$999 | 300 | 7 | 339 | 327 | 327 |  | 100.0 |  | 12 | . 139 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 500 | 13 | 435 | 433 | 428 | 5 | 98.8 | 1.2 | 2 | . 149 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 $\ldots . . . .$. | 370 | 8 | 396 | 396 | 381 | 15 | 96.2 | 3.8 |  | . 155 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 $\ldots \ldots .$. | 330 | 8 | 406 | 400 | 380 | 20 | 95.0 | 5.0 | 6 | . 170 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 130 | 6 | 552 | 551 | 544 | 7 | 98.7 | 1.3 | 1 | . 163 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 80 | 4 | 503 | 503 | 492 | 11 | 97.8 | 2.2 |  | . 155 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 80 | 7 | 623 | 623 | 596 | 27 | 95.7 | 4.3 |  | . 219 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 70 | 3 | 605 | 605 | 605 |  | 100.0 |  |  | . 156 |
| \$3,000-\$3,999 | 160 | 8 | 736 | 720 | 631 | 89 | 87.6 | 12.4 | 16 | . 278 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 90 | 5 | 693 | 693 | 557 | 136 | 80.4 | 19.6 |  | . 289 |
| Family type: Type I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 1,850 | 8 | 304 | 254 | 251 | 3 | 98.8 | 1.2 | 50 | . 118 |
| \$750-\$999 | 4,880 | 17 | 366 | 356 | 340 | 16 | 95.5 | 4.5 | 10 | . 16,1 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 6, 190 | 25 | 401 | 399 | 368 | 31 | 92.2 | 7.8 | 2 | . 181 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 7,020 | 41 | 501 | 496 | 436 | 60 | 87.9 | 12.1 | 5 | . 224 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 $\ldots . . . .$. | 7,210 | 38 | 530 | 525 | 450 | 75 | 85.7 | 14.3 | 5 | . 239 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 7,270 | 45 | 540 | 536 | 432 | 104 | 80.6 | 19.4 | 4 | 244 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5,450 | 43 | 528 | 523 | 443 | 80 | 84.7 | 15.3 | 5 | . 235 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 4, 160 | 43 | 632 | 627 | 490 | 137 | 78.1 | 21.9 | 5 | . 282 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 4,190 | 42 | 646 | 644 | 479 | 165 | 74.3 | 25.7 | 2 | . 287 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 ........ | 2, 650 | 38 | 745 | 738 | 532 | 206 | 72.1 | 27.9 | 7 | . 327 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 1,480 | 39 | 843 | 827 | 605 | 222 | 73.2 | 26.8 | 16 | . 363 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 1,230 | 32 | 812 | 808 | 566 | 242 | 70.0 | 30.0 | 4 | . 342 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 1, 010 | 20 | 966 | 908 | 631 | 272 | 69.9 | 30.1 | 63 | . 335 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 160 | 3 | 1,296 | 1,296 | 800 | 496 | 61.7 | 38.3 |  | . 353 |
| \$10,000 and over | 120 | 9 | 1,476 | 1. 464 | 703 | 761 | 48.0 | 52.0 | 12 | . 459 |
| Type II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749....-.-...- | 1,640 | 8 | 367 | 319 | 304 | 15 | 95.3 | 4.7 | 48 | . 108 |
| \$750-\$999 | 3, 690 | 16 | 404 | 386 | 380 | 6 | 98.4 | 1. 6 | 18 | . 133 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 $\ldots$..... | 5,420 | 24 | 476 | 450 | 425 | 25 | 94.4 | 5. 6 | 26 | . 153 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 $\ldots$...e- | 5,730 | 36 | 482 | 473 | 446 | 27 | 94.3 | 5.7 | 9 | . 157 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 $\ldots$....-- | 5, 030 | 36 | 586 | 582 | 530 | 52 | 91.1 | 8.9 | 4 | . 192 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 5,700 | 41 | 594 | 590 | 513 | 77 | 86.9 | 13.1 | , | .199 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 4,650 | 40 | 635 | 629 | 554 | 75 | 88.1 | 11.9 | 6 | . 204 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 3,710 | 43 | 771 | 768 | 649 | 119. | 84.5 | 15.5 | 3 | 242 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 $\ldots$.-... | 3,100 | 51 | 744 | 734 | 602 | 132 | 82.0 | 18.0 | 10 | . 236 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 ......- | 1,740 | 37 | 814 | 806 | 647 | 159 | 80.3 | 19.7 | 8 | . 262 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 1,160 | 34 | 907 | 903 | 718 | 185 | 79.5 | 20.5 | 4 | . 277 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 ......- | 930 | 26 | 1,062 | 1,053 | 806 | 247 | 76.5 | 23.5 | 9 | . 304 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499. | 630 | 18 | 1,097 | 1,068 | 874 | 194 | 81.9 | 18.1 | 29 | 275 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 30 | 3 | 1,100 | 1,100 | 862 | 238 | 78.4 | 21. 6 |  | . 264 |
| \$10,000 and over .-- | 10 | 1 | (t) | ( $\dagger$ ) | (i) | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) |

See p. 170 for notes on this table.
$\dagger$ A verages and percentages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

Table 3.-Food: Average value of all family food, money expenditure for food at home and away from home, average value of food home-produced or received as gift or pay, and money expense per meal per food expenditure unit, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued

| Occupational group, family type and income class <br> (1) | Number of families |  | Average value of all family food | Average expenditure for food purchased |  |  | Percentage of expenditure for food |  | Average value of food home-produced or received as gift or pay <br> (10) | Average money expenditure per meal per food ex-penditure unit <br> (11) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible | Reporting ex-penditures |  | All | At home | Away from home | $\underset{\text { home }}{\text { At }}$ | Away from home |  |  |
|  | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) |  |  |
| Type III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 810 | 8 | \$405 | \$393 | \$382 | \$11 | 97.2 | 2.8 | \$12 | \$0. 101 |
| \$750-\$999 | 2, 420 | 20 | 460 | 436 | 418 | 18 | 95.9 | 4. 1 | 24 | . 113 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 3,010 | 24 | 492 | 481 | 465 | 16 | 96.7 | 3.3 | 11 | . 124 |
| \$1,250-\$1,409 | 4,080 | 35 | 569 | 565 | 516 | 49 | 91.3 | 8.7 | 4 | 144 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 $\ldots \ldots$ | 3,980 | 31 | 634 | 632 | 584 | 48 | 92.4 | 7.6 | 2 | . 156 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 3, 740 | 43 | 684 | 670 | 615 | 55 | 91.8 | 8.2 | 14 | . 172 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 3,540 | 34 | 730 | 729 | 651 | 78 | 89.3 | 10.7 | 1 | . 180 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 2,460 | 49 | 772 | 770 | 680 | 90 | 88.3 | 11.7 | 2 | . 193 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 2,400 | 42 | 826 | 816 | 710 | 106 | 87.0 | 13.0 | 10 | . 206 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 1,000 | 32 | 888 | 868 | 737 | 131 | 84.9 | 15.1 | 20 | . 215 |
| \$3,500-\$3,909 | 810 | 26 | 963 | 961 | 779 | 182 | 81.0 | 19.0 | 2 | . 221 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 560 | 31 | 1,109 | 1,099 | 877 | 222 | 79.8 | 20. 2 | 10 | . 244 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 570 | 13 | 1,129 | 1,129 | 951 | 178 | 84.2 | 15.8 |  | . 241 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 140 | 10 | 1, 400 | 1,393 | 1,036 | 357 | 74. 4 | 25.6 | 7 | . 261 |
| \$10,000 and over --- | 130 | 6 | 1,548 | 1, 542 | 1,167 | 375 | 75.7 | 24.3 | 6 | . 299 |
| Tupe IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 840 | 6 | 467 | 456 | 431 | 25 | 94.5 | 5.5 | 11 | . 133 |
| \$750-\$999 | 2,590 | 14 | 442 | 429 | 412 | 17 | 96.0 | 4.0 | 13 | . 113 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 3,920 | 18 | 488 | 481 | 459 | 22 | 95.4 | 4.6 | 7 | . 123 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 4,210 | 33 | 565 | 548 | 511 | 37 | 93.2 | 6. 8 | 17 | . 143 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 ....-- | 5,320 | 40 | 563 | 560 | 530 | 30 | 94.6 | 5.4 | 3 | . 157 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5,760 | 42 | 611 | 607 | 551 | 56 | 90.8 | 9.2 | 4 | . 155 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 4,770 | 39 | 687 | 684 | 602 | 82 | 88.0 | 12.0 | 3 | . 174 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 4,380 | 43 | 821 | 816 | 733 | 83 | 89.8 | 10.2 | 5 | . 216 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 5, 650 | 48 | 816 | 805 | 679 | 126 | 84.3 | 15.7 | 11 | . 208 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 3,590 | 30 | 846 | 842 | 687 | 155 | 81.6 | 18. 4 | 4 | . 217 |
| \$3,500-\$3,099 | 2, 260 | 39 | 922 | 911 | 753 | 158 | 82.7 | 17.3 | 11 | 237 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 2, 290 | 40 | 1,045 | 1,036 | 792 | 244 | 76.4 | 23.6 | 9 | . 264 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 1,230 | 23 | 1,181 | 1, 171 | 889 | 282 | 75.9 | 24.1 | 10 | . 262 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 320 | 8 | 1, 452 | 1,428 | 1,022 | 406 | 71.6 | 28.4 | 24 | . 274 |
| \$10,000 and over $\ldots$ | 230 | 6 | 1,744 | 1,733 | 1,228 | 505 | 70.9 | 29.1 | 11 | . 397 |
| Type V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749.-.-.-.--- | 320 | 7 | 535 | 535 | 512 | 23 | 95.7 | 4.3 |  | . 097 |
| \$750-\$999....-.---- | 770 | 14 | 572 | 566 | 558 | 8 | 98.6 | 1.4 | 6 | . 104 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 $\ldots \ldots$ | 1,250 | 17 | 595 | 588 | 559 | 29 | 95.1 | 4. 9 | 7 | . 097 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 $\ldots \ldots$ | 1,940 | 32 | 589 | 587 | 567 | 20 | 96.6 | 3.4 | $\stackrel{2}{8}$ | . 109 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 $\ldots$....-- | 2,200 | 26 | 674 | 666 | 612 | 54 | 91.9 | 8.1 | 8 | . 115 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 $\ldots . . .-$ | 2,450 | 36 | 753 | 744 | 690 | 54 | 92.7 | 7.3 | 9 | . 127 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 2,500 | 46 | 775 | 772 | 727 | 45 | 94.2 | 5.8 | 3 | . 136 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 1,790 | 35 | 831 | 821 | 763 | 58 | 92.9 | 7.1 | 10 | . 144 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 2,410 | 34 | 921 | 909 | 787 | 122 | 86.6 | 13.4 | 12 | . 157 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 $\ldots$.-.-- | 1, 710 | 39 | 1, 038 | 1, 035 | 884 | 151 | 85.4 | 14.6 | 3 | . 177 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 1,070 | 30 | 1,136 | 1, 106 | 949 | 157 | 85.8 | 14. 2 | 30 | . 194 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 890 | 29 | 1,378 | 1,329 | 1,046 | 283 | 78.7 | 21.3 | 49 | 227 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 440 | 14 | 1,334 | 1,333 | 1,054 | 279 | 79.1 | 20.9 | 1 | . 221 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 80 | 6 | 1,544 | 1,544 | 1,126 | 418 | 72.9 | 27.1 |  | 249 |
| \$10,000 and over .-- | 90 | 2 | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | (i) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) |

See p. 170 for notes on this table.
$\dagger$ Averages and percentages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

Table 3.-Food: Average value of all family food, money expenditure for food at home and away from home, average value of food home-produced or received as gift or pay, and money expense per meal per food expenditure. unit, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued


[^67]Table 4.-Housing: Average value of housing secured with and without money expenditure, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1995-86 ${ }^{1}$
[White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]

| Occupational group, family type, and income class <br> (1) | Number of families |  | Aver-agevalueof allhousingplusfuel,light,and re-friger-ation(4) | Average pense forfuel light, and refrig. era-tion (5) | Average value of all housing | Average value of housing secured |  |  |  |  |  | Percentageof hous ing value secured money expend iture ${ }^{\text {s }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Eli- } \\ & \text { gible } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Re- } \\ \text { port- } \\ \text { ing ex- } \\ \text { pendi- } \\ \text { tures } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | With money expenditure |  |  | Without money expenditure |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rent |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | housing | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fily } \\ & \text { home } \\ & \text { home } \end{aligned}$ | hous- <br> ing ${ }^{2}$ | Tota | Owned home | as pay or gift |  |
|  | (2) | (3) |  |  |  | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) |  |
| All families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{5 , 9}, 90 \\ & 15,480 \\ & 22,40 \\ & 250 \\ & 27,150 \\ & 27,300 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50 \\ 110 \\ 139 \\ 238 \\ 217 \end{array}$ | $\$ 310$329365413456 | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{8 8 3} \\ 85 \\ 92 \\ 89 \\ 95 \end{array}$ | ${ }_{224} 22$ |  | $\$ 203$240243 |  | \$24 | (*) ${ }^{\text {(\%) }}$ | ${ }^{(4)}{ }_{\text {\% }}{ }_{4}$ | 10.61.61.6 |
| \$750-\$999.... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{10}^{84}$ |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 |  |  |  |  | 244 273 | 243 | 243 | $\begin{aligned} & \left.\mathbf{c}^{*}\right) \\ & \left({ }^{*}\right) \end{aligned}$ | 30 47 |  |  | 11.0 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 |  |  |  |  | 361 | 333 | 331 | \$2 | 28 | 17 | 11 | 7.8 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 27,59023,110 | 264256 | 501 | 107 | 394 | 358 | 357 | 1 | 36 | 34 | 2 | 9.1 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 |  |  | 527 | 101 | 426 | 380 | 377 | 3 | 48 | 34 | 12 | 10.8 |
| \$2,250- 82,499 |  |  | 574 | 115 | 459 | 402 | 297 | 8 | 57 | 45 | 12 | 12.4 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. |  |  | 622 | 113 | 509 | 441 | 433 | 8 | 68 | 55 | 13 | 13.4 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | $\begin{aligned} & 19,700 \\ & 11,730 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 295 \\ & 229 \end{aligned}$ | 654 | 117 | 537 | 428 | 419 | 9 | 109 | 79 | 30 | 20.3 |
| \$3,500-\$3,899. | $\begin{array}{r} 7,440 \\ .6,700 \\ 4,220 \\ 810 \\ 670 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 200 \\ 197 \\ 102 \\ 37 \\ 29 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 734 \\ 793 \\ 976 \\ 1,317 \end{array}$ | 117129 | 617864 | 534559 | 521 <br> 537 <br> 85 | 132229 | 83105 | 7997 | 8 | 13.4 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15.8 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499. |  |  |  | 150 | 826 |  |  | 39 | 134 | 122 | 12 | 16.2 |
| Occupational group: Wage earner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 .-.-- | 11, 8240 | 5058 | 311 | 83 | 228 | 203 | 203 |  | 25 | 24 | 1 | 10.9 |
| \$750-\$999 |  |  | 332 | 86 | 246 | 240 | 240 |  | 6 | 1 | 5 | 2.4 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 15,38015,020 | 759198 | 350 | 96 | 254 | 223 | 223 |  | 31 | 22 | 9 | 12.2 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 |  |  | 391 | 97 | 294 | 248 | 248 | (*) | 46 | ${ }^{36}$ | 10 | 15.6 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749...- | 14, 550 | 68 | 455 | 100 | 355 | 315 | 313 | 2 | 40 | 23 | 17 | 11.3 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | $\begin{array}{r} 13,370 \\ 9,500 \\ 6,840 \\ 6,630 \\ 8,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 82 \\ & 68 \\ & 75 \\ & 72 \\ & 55 \end{aligned}$ | 488 | 117 | 371 | 331 | 330 | 1 | 40 |  |  | 10.8 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 |  |  | ${ }_{507}^{507}$ | 111 | 396 | ${ }_{359}^{351}$ | 350 | 1 | 45 | 44 | 1 | 11. 4 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 |  |  | 562 | 134 | 428 | 389 | 388 | 1 | 39 | ${ }_{38}$ | 1 | 9.1 |
| \$2,500- $\$ 2,999$ |  |  | 567 | 125 | 442 | 398 | 393 | 5 | 44 | 39 | 5 | 10.0 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 |  |  | 576 | 145 | 431 | 321 | 317 | 4 | 110 | 102 | 8 | 25.5 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,370 \\ & 1,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44 \\ & 18 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 721 | 140 | 581 | 416 | 404 | 12 | 165 | 157 | 8 | 28.4 |
| Clerical |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 3,660 <br> 6,660 <br> 7, 230 <br> 9,200 9,830 | $\begin{aligned} & 52 \\ & 64 \\ & 75 \\ & 69 \\ & 71 \end{aligned}$ | 321 | 82 | 239 | 239 | 239 |  | (*) | (*) |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 |  |  | 401 | 83 | 318 | 290 | 290 | (*) | 28 | 17 | 11 | 8.8 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 $\ldots$ |  |  | 441 | 73 | 368 | 321 | 321 | ${ }^{*}$ * | 47 | 10 | 37 | 12.8 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 |  |  | 455 | 92 | 363 | 354 | 352 | 2 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 2.5 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 $\ldots$ |  |  | 511 | 101 | 410 | 382 | 381 | 1 | 28 | 25 | 3 | 6.8 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | $\begin{aligned} & 9,360 \\ & 6,720 \end{aligned}$ | 77 | 535 | 98 | 437 | 382 | 380 | 2 | 55 | 30 | 25 | 12.6 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 |  | 81 | 570 | 115 | 455 | 378 | 367 | 11 | 77 | 53 | 24 | 16.9 |
| \$2,500- $52,999 \ldots$ | 7,040 | 79 | 639 | 109 | 530 | 450 | 440 | 10 | 80 | 80 | (*) | 15.1 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | $\begin{aligned} & 3,920 \\ & 2,640 \\ & 1,970 \end{aligned}$ | 65 | 649 | 118 | 541 | 404 | 398 | ${ }_{7}^{6}$ | 137 | 105 | 32 | 25.3 |
| \$3,500-83,999.... |  | 53 | 701 | 111 | 590 | 508 | 501 | 7 | 82 | 82 |  | 13.9 |
| \$4,000-\$4,990...- |  | 26 | 768 | 112 | 656 | 593 | 581 | 12 | 63 | 61 | 2 | 9.6 |
| Independent buainezs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1,6801,610 | $\begin{aligned} & 29 \\ & 24 \\ & 40 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ | 475 | 94 | 381 | 298 | 295 | 3 | 83 | 61 | 22 | 21.8 |
| \$1,500-81,749 |  |  | 440 | 90 | 350 | 315 | 315 |  | 35 | 35 |  | 10.0 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999-.. | $\begin{aligned} & 1,790 \\ & 1,410 \end{aligned}$ |  | 541 | 100 | 441 | 390 | 383 | 7 | 51 | 51 |  | 11.6 |
| \$2,000- \$2,249---- |  |  | 574 | 93 | 481 | 443 | 439 | 4 | 38 | 38 |  | 7.9 |
| \$2,250-\$2,49\% $\ldots$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 29 \end{aligned}$ | 631 | 110 | 521 | 447 | 446 | 1 | 74 | 74 |  | 14.2 |

See p. 170 for notes on this table.
*Average amounts of less than $\$ 1$ and percentages of less than 0.1 are not shown.

Table 4.-Housing: Average value of housing secured with and without money expenditure, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36Continued

| Occupational group, family type, and in" come class <br> (1) | Number of families |  | Aver-agevalueof allhousingplusfuel,fight,and re-friger-ation(4) | Aver- <br> age pense for light, and refrig-era- tion (5) | Average value housing | Average value of housing secured |  |  |  |  |  | Percentageof housing value secured money expenditure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Eli- } \\ & \text { gible } \end{aligned}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Re- } \\ \text { port- } \\ \text { ing ex- } \\ \text { pendi- } \\ \text { tures } \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ |  |  |  | With money expenditure |  |  | Without money expenditure |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | hous- | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fam } \\ & \text { iny } \end{aligned}$ | hous- | Total | Owned home | Rent as pay |  |
|  | (2) | (3) |  |  |  | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) |  |
| Independent business-Con. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,750 \\ & 1,150 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41 \\ & 27 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r}\$ 642 \\ 804 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | ${ }_{1}^{\$ 102}$ | \$540 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 474 \\ 557 \end{gathered}$ | \$470 | \$4 | \$66 | \$44 | ${ }_{55}^{\$ 2}$ | 12.3 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 |  |  |  |  | 674 |  | 546 | 11 | 117 |  |  |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 |  | 27 | ${ }_{834}^{676}$ | 147 | ${ }_{687}$ | 547 | 527 | 20 | $\begin{array}{r}40 \\ 140 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | +436 | ${ }_{4}^{2}$ | 7.9 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 860 910 | 38 26 | 1,044 | 160 | 884 | 683 | 636 | 47 | 201 | 201 |  | 22.7 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 $\ldots$ | $\begin{aligned} & 160 \\ & 120 \end{aligned}$ | 123 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,425 \\ & 1,501 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 214 \\ & 215 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 1,211 \\ & 1,286 \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{r} 894 \\ 1,056 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 816 \\ 1,006 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 78 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 317 \\ 230 \end{gathered}$ | 273230 | 44 | 26.217.9 |
| \$10,000 and over. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Independent professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 140 | 11 | 510 | 115 | 395 | 346 | 346 |  | 49 | 49 |  | 12.4 |
| \$1,500- $\$ 1,749$. | 230 | 10 | 517 | 68 | 449 | 432 | 432 | (*) | 17 | 17 |  | 3.8 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 $\ldots$ | 320 | 16 | 572 | 81 | 491 | 454 | 454 |  | 37 | 37 | (*) | 7.5 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 $\ldots$ | 250 | 13 | 588 | 77 | 511 | 449 | 434 | 15 | 62 | 62 |  | 12.1 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 320 | 24 | 703 | 90 | 613 | 547 | 541 | 6 | 66 | 66 |  | 10.8 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 610470 | 2516 | 688 | 111 | 577 | 508 | 504 | 4 | 69 | 69 | (*) | 12.0 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 |  |  | 687 | 90 | 597 | 567 | 560 | 7 | 30 | 30 |  | 5.0 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 370520 | ${ }_{31}^{22}$ | 838 | 99 | 739 | 673 | 642 | 31 | 66 | 63 | 3 | 8.9 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 |  |  | 968 | 146 | 822 | 676 | 627 | 49 | 146 | 146 |  | 17.8 |
| \$5,000-\$7,490.... | 720 | ${ }_{22}^{31}$ | 1,006 | 169 | 837 | 657 | 623 | 34 | 180 | 112 | 68 | 21.5 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | $\begin{array}{r} 150 \\ 70 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{6} \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,548 \\ & 1,469 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 149 \\ & 168 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 1,399 \\ & 1,301 \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,307 \\ & 1,190 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 1,286 \\ & 1,069 \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21 \\ 121 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 92 \\ 111 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 92 \\ 111 \end{array}$ |  | 6.68.5 |
| Salaried business |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 370870 | ${ }_{21}^{13}$ | 430 | 55 | 375 | 375 | 372 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 |  |  | ${ }^{467}$ | 75 | 392 | 393 | 393 |  | -1 | -3 | 2 | - 3 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999... | 1,2301,220 | 32 <br> 26 | 508 | 91 | 417 | 369 | 369 | (*) | 48 | 30 | 18 | 11.5 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 . .- |  |  | 546 | 75 | 471 | 431 | 424 | 7 | 40 | 21 | 19 | 8.5 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 1,220 | 26 30 | 575 | 67 | 508 | 473 | 467 | 6 | 35 | 35 |  | 6.9 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,770 \\ & 1,640 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ | 691 | 104 | 587 | 534 | 522 | 12 | 53 | 45 | 8 | 9.0 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 |  |  | 665 | 93 | 572 | 498 | 489 | 9 | 74 | 11 | 63 | 12.9 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999.. | 1,380 | 313939 | 818 | 123 | 695 | 661 | 653 | 8 | 34 | 34 |  | 4.9 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 1,2301,670 |  | 845 | 130 | 715 | 628 | 594 | 34 | 87 | 76 | 11 | 12.1 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 |  |  | 1,405 | 141 | 864 | 767 | 737 | 30 | 97 | 96 | 1 | 11. 2 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | $\begin{aligned} & 370 \\ & 370 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,246 \\ & 2,094 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 104 \\ & 241 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,142 \\ & 1,853 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,057 \\ & 1,616 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,033 . \\ & 1,423 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24 \\ 193 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 85 \\ 237 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 85 \\ 164 \end{array}$ | 73 | 7.412.8 |
| \$10,000 and over- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaried professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | $\begin{array}{r} 710 \\ 970 \\ 1,050 \\ 1,370 \\ 1,310 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19 \\ & 25 \\ & 23 \\ & 37 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | 414 | 79 | 335 | 325 | 325 | (*) | 10 | 6 | 4 | 3.0 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 |  |  | 469 | 81 | 388 | 352 | 352 |  | 36 | 12 | 24 | 9.3 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 |  |  | 520 | 83 | 437 | 392 | 386 | 6 | 45 | 40 | 5 | 10.3 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 $\ldots$ |  |  | 543 | 90 | 453 | 451 | 425 | 26 | 2 | -12 | 14 | 4 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 $\ldots$ |  |  | 562 | 85 | 477 | 430 | 428 | 2 | 47 | 16 | 31 | 9.9 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,900 \\ & 1,550 \end{aligned}$ | 42 <br> 28 | 655 | 107 | 548 | 423 | 413 | 10 | 125 | 40 | 85 | 22.8 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 |  |  | ${ }^{693}$ | 110 | 583 | 488 | 461 | ${ }^{27}$ | 95 | 69 | 26 | 16.3 |
| \$3,500-\$8,999 |  | 49 | 723 | 113 | ${ }_{6} 68$ | 540 | 516 | $\stackrel{24}{ }$ | 70 | 56 | 14 | 11.5 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 |  |  | 809 | 122 | 687 | 566 | 543 | 23 | 121 | 92 | 29 | 17.6 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 $\ldots$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,120 \\ & 920 \end{aligned}$ | 21 | 833 | 141 | 692 | 592 | 540 | 52 | 100 | 100 |  | 14.5 |
| $\$ 7,500-\$ 9,999 \ldots \ldots$ | $130$ $110$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7 \\ 10 \end{array}$ | $1,118$ | $\begin{aligned} & 204 \\ & 215 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 914 \\ 1,658 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 865 \\ 1,420 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 803 \\ 1,220 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 62 \\ 200 \end{array}$ | 49 238 | 49 |  | 5.3 14.3 |

See p. 170 for notes on this table.
*A verage amounts of less than $\$ 1$ and percentages of less than 0.1 are not shown.

Table 4.-Housing: Average value of housing secured with and without money expenditure, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36Continued

| Occupational group, family type, and income class <br> (1) | Number of families |  | Aver-agevalueof allhousingplusfuel,light,and re-friger-ation(4) | Average pense for fuel, light, refrig erstion (5) | Average value of all hous ing | A verage value of housing secured |  |  |  |  |  | Per-centageof hous-ingvaluesecuredwithoutmoneyexpend-iture |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{\text { gible }}{\text { Eli- }}$ | Reing ex-pendi- |  |  |  | With money expenditure |  |  | Without moneyexpenditure |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | All | Fam- | , |  |  | t |  |
|  | (2) | (3) |  |  |  | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) |  |
| No gainfully employed members |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 450300 | 7 | \$328 | $\$ 58$ 120 | ${ }_{317}^{\$ 270}$ | \$189 | ${ }_{143} 189$ |  | \$81 | $\$ 81$174 |  | 30.0 54.9 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. |  | 13 | 545 | 113 | 432433 | 31334734 | ${ }^{143}$ |  | 119 |  |  | 54.9 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 500 370 |  | 535753 | 102 |  |  | 346 | 81 | 119 86 | 119 |  | 19.9 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 330 | 8 |  |  | 433 639 | $\begin{array}{r}347 \\ 332 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 324 |  | 307 | 307 |  | 19.9 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 130 | 6 | ${ }_{643}^{691}$ | 101 | 590 | 307 |  | 307 | 283 | 283 |  | 48.0 |
| \$2,000- $\$ 2,249$ | 80 | 4 |  | 79 | 564 | 564 | 556 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 80 | 7 | 785 | 175 | ${ }^{6} 10$ | 290 | 279 | 11 | 320 | 320 |  | 52.5 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 7016090 | 3 | ${ }_{618}^{654}$ |  |  | 324665511 | 641 | 13 | 201 | 201 |  | 38.3 |
| \$3,000-\$3,999 |  | 8 <br> 8 | 918 |  |  |  |  | 242424 | 129 | 129 |  | 16.2 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 |  |  | 718 | 83 | 635 |  | 487 |  | 124 | 124 |  | 19.5 |
| Family type: Type I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749.- | 1,850 | 817 | 299 | 6070 | 239251 | ${ }_{251}^{211}$ | ${ }_{251}^{211}$ | ------ | 28 | 28 |  | 11.7 |
| \$750-\$999 | 6,190 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 |  | 25 | 409 | 87 | 322 | 255 | 255 | (*) | 6764 | 3830 | \$29 | 20.8 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 7,0207,210 | 4138 | 409 | 71 | 338 | 274 | 274 |  |  |  |  | 18.9 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 $\ldots$ |  |  | 452 | 79 | 373 | 361 | 356 | 5 | 12 | 10 | 2 | 3.2 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 7, 270 |  | 498 | 68 | 430 | 407404 |  | 3 | 23 | 19 |  | 5.3 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 |  | 43 | 514 | 76 | 438 | 423 | 414 | 9 | 15 | 15 | ${ }^{(*)}$ | 3.4 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 |  | 43424 | 557 | 98 | 459 | 405 | 390 | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ | 54 <br> 59 | 5050 |  | 11.8 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 4,1902,650 |  | 637657 | 6675 | ${ }_{582}^{571}$ | 512461 | 502446 |  |  |  | 9 | 10.320.8 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 |  | 38 |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | 121 | 39 | 82 |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999... | $\begin{array}{r} 1,480 \\ 1,230 \\ 1,010 \\ 160 \\ 120 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{3 9} \\ \mathbf{3 2} \\ \mathbf{3 0} \\ \mathbf{3} \end{array}$ | 7057118621,3851,870 | $\begin{array}{r} 76 \\ 84 \\ 128 \\ 45 \\ 98 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 629 \\ 627 \\ 734 \\ 1,340 \\ 1,772 \end{array}$ | 570573 | 556550 | $\begin{array}{r} 14 \\ 23 \\ 28 \\ 42 \\ 47 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 59 \\ 54 \\ 126 \\ 38 \\ 70 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 51 \\ 54 \\ 526 \\ 388 \\ 70 \end{array}$ | 8 | 9.48.617.22.83.8 |
| \$4,000-84,999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499.. |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{608}$ | 580 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$10,000 and over. |  |  |  |  |  | 1, 702 | 1,529 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Type II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749.- | 1,6403,6905,4205,7305,930 |  | 284 | 749 | 180205209 | 171205205 | $\stackrel{171}{205}$ | ----- | 9 | - ${ }^{9}$ |  | 5.0 |
| \$750-\$999.- |  | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ 16 \\ 24 \\ 36 \\ 36 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 |  |  | 329 <br> 413 | 707684 | 259337361 |  | 257 |  |  | 21812 |  | - $\begin{array}{r}\text { 8. } \\ 8.0 \\ 4.1\end{array}$ |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 310 \\ & 346 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 310 \\ & \mathbf{3 4 4} \end{aligned}$ | ${ }^{(*)}$ | 27 |  | ${ }^{9}$ |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 |  |  | 445 |  | 361 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | $\begin{array}{r} 5,700 \\ 4,650 \end{array}$ | 41 | $\begin{aligned} & 489 \\ & 532 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 9286 | $\begin{aligned} & 377 \\ & 446 \end{aligned}$ | 358 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 19 \\ & 29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 26 \end{aligned}$ | 43 | 5.0 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. |  |  |  |  |  | 417 | 415 |  |  |  |  | 6.5 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499.. | $\begin{aligned} & 3,710 \\ & \mathbf{3 , 1 0 0} \end{aligned}$ | 43 | 557 | 93 | 464 | 426 | 424 | 2 | 38 | 7 | 31 | 8.2 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 |  | 51 | 617 | 89 | 528 | 506 | 501 | 8 | 22 | 22 | $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ | 4.2 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 1,740 | 37 | 691 | 98 | 593 | 548 | 539 | 9 | 45 | 20 | 25 | 7.6 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 1,160 | 34 | 727 | 94 | 633 | 604 | 599 | 5 | 29 | 29 |  | 4.6 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 930 | 26 | 833 | ${ }_{101}^{95}$ | 738 | 689 | 669 | 20 | 49 | 49 |  | 6. 6 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 630 30 | 18 | 1. 254 | 152 | 1,102 | 1, 102 |  | 22 |  |  |  | 3.3 |
| \$10,000 and over. | 10 | 1 | ( $\dagger$ ) | (t) | ( ${ }^{\text {( })}$ | ${ }^{\text {, }} \dagger$ ) | ( ${ }^{\text {( ) }}$ | ( 1 ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | (t) | ( $\dagger$ ) | (t) |
| Type III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 |  | 8 | 323 | 95 | 228 | 228 | 228 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 2, 420 | 20 | 299 | 94 | 205 | 183 | 183 |  | 22 | 2 | 20 | 10.7 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 3,010 | 24 | 317 | 102 | 215 | 209 | 209 |  | 6 | 6 |  | 2.8 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 4,080 | 35 | 381 | 104 | 277 | 258 | 257 | 1 | 19 | 16 | I | 6.9 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 $\ldots$ | 3,980 | 31 | 433 | 90 | 343 | 319 | 319 |  | 24 | 3 | 21 | 7.0 |

See p. 170 for notes on this table.
*A verage amounts of less than $\$ 1$ and percentages of less than 0.1 are not shown.

Table 4.-Housing: Average value of housing secured with and without money expenditure, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-96Continued

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | Number of families |  |  |  | Average value of all hous ing | Average value of housing secured |  |  |  |  |  | Per-centageof hous-ingvaluesecuredwithoutmoneyexpend-iture(13) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EHf- } \\ & \text { gible } \end{aligned}$ | Re-porting ex-pendi- |  |  |  | With money expenditure |  |  | Without money expenditure |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | hous- | ily | hous- | Total | Owned home | Rent |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) |  |  |  | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) |  |
| Type III-Con. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | $\mathbf{3 , 7 4 0}$ <br> 3,540 | 43 <br> 34 | \$490 | \$106 | \$384 | ${ }_{337}^{\$ 357}$ | ${ }_{337}^{\$ 356}$ | (*) | ${ }_{60} 27$ | \$27 | \$30 | 15.0 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. |  |  | 489 |  | 451 | 437 | ${ }_{4}^{335}$ |  | 6014 | 14 |  |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 2,4602,400 | 49 | 556 | 105 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ | 3.114.5 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 |  | 32 | 675 | 127 | 530548 | $\begin{aligned} & 453 \\ & 414 \end{aligned}$ | 447411 | 6 | 134 | 45120 | 14 |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499... | 2,000 1,00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24.4 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 810860 | 2631 | $\begin{array}{r}762 \\ 891 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 144 \\ & 133 \\ & 190 \end{aligned}$ | 618 <br> 758 <br> 88 | 596695764 | 583655733 | 134031 | $\begin{array}{r}22 \\ 63 \\ \hline 124 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 6262 | 16190 | 3. ${ }^{8}$ |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 570 | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ | 1,0081,3601,904 | 120180 | - |  | ( $\begin{array}{r}733 \\ 1.183 \\ \hline 18\end{array}$ | 31717117 | 124150424 | 34  <br> 150  |  | 12.6 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 140 |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,044 \\ & 1,300 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$10,000 and over- | 130 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 424 |  | 24.6 |
| Type IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749... | 8402.590 | 14 | 421 <br> 394 | 12996 | 292 | 203279 | $\begin{aligned} & 203 \\ & 279 \end{aligned}$ |  | 8919 | 8919 |  | 30.56.4 |
| \$750-\$999. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | $\begin{aligned} & 3,920 \\ & 4,210 \end{aligned}$ | 1833 | 384 | 109 | 275 | 243 | 243 |  | 32 | 32 |  | 11.6 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 |  |  | 450491 | ${ }_{96}^{96}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 354 \\ & 395 \end{aligned}$ |  | 285 |  |  | 4528 | 24 |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5,320 | 40 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 285 \\ & 348 \end{aligned}$ | 348 |  | 47 |  | 19 | 11.9 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999.. | 5,760 | 4239 | 533 <br> 540 | 147 | 386424 | 314339 | $\begin{array}{r}312 \\ 337 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 2 | $\begin{array}{r}72 \\ 85 \\ \hline 8\end{array}$ | ${ }_{51}^{68}$ | 4 | 18.6 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249- | 4, 480 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 34 | 20.0 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 |  | 434848 | 617 | $\begin{aligned} & 139 \\ & 122 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 478 \\ & 489 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3877 \\ & 403 \end{aligned}$ | 3833923 | 4115 | 9186 | 77 <br> 70 | 1416 | 19.0 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 3. 590 |  | 611 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 |  | 30 |  | 126 | 485 | 337 | 332 | 5 | 148 | 130 | 18 | 30.5 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 2,260 | 39404088 | 788 | 115 | 613648 | $\begin{aligned} & 493 \\ & 534 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 478 \\ & 508 \end{aligned}$ | 15157070 | 120 | 120 | ${ }^{*}{ }^{*}$ | 19.617.614.512.216.4 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 2,290 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 |  |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 1,230320230 |  | 1,018 | 147 | 868 | 742 | 672 |  | 140233 | 126 |  |  |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,305 \\ & 1,718 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 150 \\ & 299 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,149 \\ & 1,419 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,009 \\ & 1,186 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 975 \\ \mathbf{9}, 081 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 34 \\ 105 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
| \$10,000 and over |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 233 | 233 |  |  |
| Type V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | $\begin{array}{r} 320 \\ 770 \\ 1,250 \\ 1,240 \\ 2,200 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \\ & 17 \\ & 32 \\ & 26 \end{aligned}$ | 340433396416 | 118126 | $\begin{aligned} & 222 \\ & 307 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{366}^{222}$ | 222 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 366 |  | -59 | -59 | (*) | $-19.2$ |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 |  |  |  | 116 | 280 | 234 | 234 |  | 46 | 28 | 18 | 16.4 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 |  |  | 416 | 124 | 292 | 234 | 234 |  | 58 | 45 | 13 | 19.9 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749...- |  |  | 473 | 138 | 335 | 266 | 266 |  | 69 | 42 | 27 | 20.6 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 2,450 | 36 | 514 | 143 | 371 | 310 | 309 | 1 | 61 | 58 | 3 | 16.4 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 2,500 | 46 | 560 | 154 | 406 | 359 | 356 | 3 | 47 | 45 | 2 | 11.6 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499... | 1,790 | 35 | 580 | 149 | 431 | 338 | 336 | 2 | 93 | 86 | 7 | 21.6 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 2,410 | 34 | 589 | 146 | 443 | 362 | ${ }^{360}$ | ${ }^{2}$ | 81 | 81 |  | 18.3 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499.... | 1,710 | 39 | 704 | 150 | 554 | 472 | 456 | 17 | 82 | 79 | 3 | 14.8 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999_... | 1,070 | 30 | 739 | 170 | 569 | 436 | 424 | 12 | 133 | 132 | 1 | 23.4 |
| \$4,000-84,999 $\ldots$ | 890 | 29 | 834 | 168 | ${ }^{666}$ | 482 | 466 | 16 | 184 | 181 | 3 | 27.6 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 440 | 14 | 1,129 | 249 | 880 | 577 | 568 | 9 | 303 | 303 |  | 34. 4 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999...- | 80 | 6 | 1,385 | 114 | 1, 271 | 1, 118 | 1,096 | 22 | 153 | ${ }^{66}$ | 87 | 12.0 |
| \$10,000 and over. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |

See p. 170 for notes on this table.
A verage amounts of less than $\$ 1$ and percentages of less than 0.1 are not shown.
$\dagger$ Averages and percentages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

Table 4.--Housing: Average value of housing secured with and without money expenditure, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36Continued

| Occupational group, family come class | Number of families |  |  | Aver- <br> age <br> aex <br> pense <br> for <br> fuel <br> light <br> and <br> and <br> and <br> refrig. <br> era- <br> enion <br> tion <br> (5) | A ver-agegalueof allhousinginging(6) | A verage value of housing secured |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Eli- } \\ \substack{\text { gibles } \\ \text { gibles }} \end{gathered}$ | Re-Rort-inging exingpenitures |  |  |  | With money expenditure |  |  | Without money expenditure |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c\|} \text { All } \\ \text { hous } \\ \text { ing } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \text { Fame } \\ \text { iny } \\ \text { home } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \text { Other } \\ \text { hous- } \\ \text { ing } \end{array}\right\|$ | tal | Owned home | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Rent } \\ \text { aspoy } \\ \text { or gift } \end{array}$ |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) |  |  |  | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) |  |
| Type VI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-5749. | 390 |  | \$323 | $\$ 82$ | \$241 | \$232 | \$232 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000- 81,249 | 1,780 | ${ }_{19}$ | ${ }_{334}^{364}$ | ${ }_{99}^{92}$ | ${ }_{235}^{273}$ | 228 | 228 |  | 1 |  | 7 | 4.0 3.0 |
| 81, $250-81,499$ | 1, 540 | ${ }_{34}^{34}$ | 410 | 98 | 332 | ${ }_{281}^{262}$ | 282 |  | ${ }^{50}$ | ${ }_{7} 81$ | 19 | ${ }_{6.8}^{16.0}$ |
| \$1,500- 81,749 | 1,840 | 28 | 421 | 120 | 301 | 281 | 281 | (*) | 20 | 7 | 13 |  |
| 50-\$1,999 | 1,980 | 32 | 519 | 129 | 390 | 380 |  | ** |  |  |  | 2.6 |
| \$ $22,250-\mathrm{sc} 2,499$ | 1,9600 | 31 <br> 31 <br> 1 | 559 <br> 550 | ${ }_{116}^{113}$ | 434 | ${ }_{410}^{406}$ | 409 | \$1 | - 24 | $\stackrel{40}{40}$ |  | 5 |
| (28,500-32,999 | 1,000 | ${ }_{24}^{41}$ | ${ }_{624}^{655}$ | 1154 | 311 472 | ${ }_{432}^{423}$ | 409 | 1 | 88 40 40 | 57 <br> 55 <br> 8 | 31 | ${ }^{17.2}$ |
| \$3,000 |  | 24 | 624 | 152 | 472 | 432 | ${ }^{24}$ |  |  | 25 | 15 |  |
| \$3,500-83,999 | 290 | 19 | ${ }^{879}$ | 116 | 763 | 695 | 672 | 23 |  |  | 2 | 9 |
| ${ }^{54} 5$ | 360 190 | ${ }_{6}^{21}$ | ${ }_{895}^{93}$ | ${ }_{142}^{192}$ | 753 | ${ }_{569} 5$ | 558 | 11 | ${ }_{184}^{197}$ | ${ }_{184}^{188}$ |  | 27.3 24.4 |
| \$7,500-39,999. |  | 3 | 1,271 |  |  |  | 582 | 30 |  | ${ }_{338}$ |  | 35.6 |
| \$10,000 and over- | 70 | 3 | 2,255 | 252 | 2,003 | 1,429 | 1,183 | 246 | 574 | 188 | 386 | 28.7 |
| Type VII |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 245 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9999 | 210 | 11 | 305 | 108 | 197 | 221 | 221 |  | -24 | -28 | $\frac{4}{2}$ | -12.2 |
| ${ }_{\text {cosem }}^{\$ 1,000-\$ 1,29}$ | 460 630 | $\stackrel{12}{27}$ | 394 <br> 398 | 109 126 | ${ }_{272}^{285}$ | ${ }_{243}^{245}$ | 243 |  | ${ }_{29}{ }^{40}$ | $\stackrel{38}{28}$ |  | 14.0 10.7 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 850 | 18 | 477 | 155 | 322 | 260 | 260 |  | 62 | 52 | 10 | 19.2 |
| 750.s1,999 | 680 |  | 507 | 133 | 374 | 314 | 314 |  | 60 | 60 |  |  |
| \$82,000-82, 249 | 660 500 | 23 <br> 23 | 571 | 130 <br> 151 <br> 151 | ${ }_{426}^{381}$ | 372 |  | (*) ${ }^{1}$ |  | ${ }_{42} 7$ |  | 18.9 12.7 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | ${ }_{950} 90$ | ${ }^{37}$ | ${ }_{692} 69$ | 180 | 418 | ${ }_{3}^{336}$ | 332 <br> 375 | 4 | ${ }^{76}$ | ${ }_{99}^{57}$ | ${ }^{9}$ | 18.4 218 |
| \$3,000-83,499 | 560 | ${ }^{26}$ | 649 | 167 | 482 | 377 | 375 | 2 | 105 | 99 | 6 |  |
| \$3,500-83,999 | 370 |  | 715 | 165 |  |  |  |  | 116 | 116 |  |  |
| ${ }_{85}^{48,000-87,499}$ | 440 150 150 | 18 | - | 186 364 | ${ }_{831}^{63}$ | 452 | ${ }_{615}^{384}$ | ${ }_{37}^{17}$ | 178 | 17 | 7 | ${ }_{21.5}^{24.6}$ |
| \$77,500-99,999 | ${ }^{50}$ | 4 | 1,007 | ${ }^{358}$ | 649 | ${ }_{486}^{488}$ | 470 | ${ }^{16}$ | ${ }^{163}$ | ${ }_{1} 163$. |  | 25.1 |
| \$10,000 and over- | 20 | 2 | ( ${ }^{\text {( })}$ | (t) | ( $\dagger$ | ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | ( ${ }^{\text {) }}$ | (t) | ( $)$ | () | ( $)$ | ( $\dagger$ |

See p. 170 for notes on this table.

* A verage amounts of less than $\$ 1$ and percentages of less than 0.1 are not shown.
$\dagger$ Averages and percentages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

Table 4－A．－Money expenditures for family home by owners and renters， and facilities included in rent for family home：By occupation，family type，and income，in 1 year，1935－36
［White nonrelief families including husband and wife，both native born］

| Occupational group，family type，and income class <br> （1） | Number of families |  | Percentage of families ${ }^{1}$ |  | Average money ex－ pense for family home |  | Percentage of renters having specified facilities included in rent ？ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 最 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 若 } \\ & \text { 国 } \end{aligned}$ | 品 总 品 品 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 800 } \\ & \text { © } \\ & \text { © } \\ & \text { © } \end{aligned}$ | 宮 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 名 } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
|  | （2） | （3） | （4） | （5） | （0） | （7） | （8） | （9） | （10） | （11） | （12） | （13） | （14） |  |
| All families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \＄500－8749 | 5，940 | 50 | 15 | 84 | \＄122 | \＄214 | 18 | 10 | 5 | 11 | 100 | 5 |  |  |
| \＄750－\＄999 | 15，480 | 110 | 7 | 92 | 177 | 241 | 27 | 11 | 14 | 16 | 97 | 16 | 4 | 2 |
| \＄1，000－\＄1，249 | 22， 040 | 139 | 16 | 82 | 231 | 253 | 38 | 13 | 8 | 13 | 98 | 14 | 7 | 2 |
| \＄1，250－\＄1，499 | 25， 150 | 238 | 16 | 80 | 181 | 307 | 55 | 9 | 11 | 11 | 97 | 34 | 12 |  |
| \＄1，500－\＄1，749 | 27， 330 | 217 | 11 | 87 | 246 | 344 | 54 | 6 | 20 | 8 | 96 | 39 | 10 | 2 |
| \＄1，750－\＄1，999 | 27， 590 | 264 | 23 | 74 | 263 | 387 | 61 | 6 | 22 | 6 | 97 | 43 | 9 | 2 |
| \＄2，000－\＄2，249 | 23,110 | 256 | 25 | 74 | 283 | 414 | 64 | 8 | 28 | 8 | 96 | 48 | 9 | $1$ |
| \＄2，250－\＄2，499 | 17， 960 | 269 | 27 | 71 | 288 | 442 | 71 | 9 | 20 | 8 | 94 | 61 | 14 | 2 |
| \＄2，500－\＄2，999 | 19，700 | 295 | 30 | 68 | 317 | 502 | 78 | $g$ | 24 | 10 | 98 | 60 | 17 |  |
| \＄3，000－\＄3，499 ．．．．－ | 11.730 | 226 | 36 | 62 | 250 | 537 | 82 | 8 | 32 | 12 | 95 | 73 | 25 | 1 |
| \＄3，500－\＄3，999． | 7，440 | 206 | 32 | 67 | 316 | 620 | 92 | 2 | 34 | 6 | 97 | 79 | 14 | 2 |
| \＄4，000－\＄4，999 | 6， 700 | 197 | 33 | 65 | 301 | 668 | 85 | 7 | 31 | 7 | 96 | 82 | 21 | 1 |
| \＄5，000－\＄7，499 | 4，220 | 102 | 36 | 63 | 408 | 797 | 86 | 7 | 37 | 12 | 94 | 82 | 27 |  |
| \＄7，500－\＄9，999．．．．－ | 810 | 37 | 24 | 72 | 366 | 1，159 | 91 | 10 | 45 | 20 | 94 | 89 | 39 |  |
| \＄10，000 and over－－ | 670 | 29 | 47 | 53 | 981 | 1，551 | 100 | 38 | 54 | 24 | 100 | 94 | 38 |  |
| Occupational group：Wage earner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \＄500－\＄749＿ | 5， 940 | 50 | 15 | 84 | 122 | 214 | 18 | 10 | 5 | 11 | 100 | 5 |  |  |
| \＄750－\＄999 | 11，820 | 58 | 10 | 90 | 176 | 241 | 27 | 14 | 13 | 19 | 98 | 15 | 4 | 2 |
| \＄1，000－\＄1，249 | 15， 380 | 75 | 19 | 81 | 184 | 234 | 31 | 14 | 10 | 14 | 99 | 8 | 4 | 1 |
| \＄1，250－\＄1，499 | 15,020 | 91 | 20 | 78 | 153 | 278 | 43 | 8 | 11 | 12 | 96 | 24 | 14 | 2 |
| \＄1，500－\＄1，749 | 14， 450 | 68 | 15 | 84 | 227 | 333 | 50 | 7 | 18 | 9 | 97 | 39 | 10 | 2 |
| \＄1，750－\＄1，999 | 13， 370 | 82 | 29 | 68 | 282 | 353 | 49 | 3 | 23 | 5 | 97 | 30 | 8 | 3 |
| \＄2，000－\＄2，249 ．．．－ | 9，500 | 68 | 31 | 87 | 244 | 400 | 67 | 9 | 28 | 6 | 96 | 48 | 10 |  |
| \＄2，250－\＄2，499． | 6，840 | 75 | 26 | 72 | 268 | 425 | 49 | 3 | 25 | 2 | 90 | 36 |  | 3 |
| \＄2，500－\＄2，999 $\ldots$ | 6， 630 | 72 | 30 | 69 | 325 | 425 | 56 | 5 | 34 | 7 | 93 | 44 | 17 |  |
| \＄3，000－\＄3，499 ．．－． | 3， 000 | 55. | 46 | 54 | 211 | 397 | 47 |  | 39 |  | 88 | 44 | 13 | 3 |
| \＄3，500－\＄3，999 | 1，370 | 44. | 57 | 43 | 268 | 597 468 | 84 |  | 53 |  | 94 | 82 | 6 |  |
| Clerical |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \＄750－\＄999．．．．．．．．．－ | 3，660 | 52 | （＊） | 100 | 325 | 238 | 27 | 1. | 16 | 6 | 95 | 18 | 3 |  |
| \＄1，000－\＄1，249 ．．．．－ | 6，660 | 64 | 11 | 85 | 363 | 297 | 54 | 13 | 4 | 10 | 98 | 28 | 14 | 2 |
| \＄1，250－\＄1，499 ．．．．－ | 7． 230 | 75 | 6 | 86 | 266 | 350 | 75 | 8 | 11 | 9 | 100 | 49 | 7 |  |
| \＄1，500－\＄1，749 ．．．．－ | 9，200 | 69 | Б | 92 | 314 | 353 | 54 | 6 | 21 | 9 | 96 | 32 | 12 | 1 |
| \＄1，750－\＄1，999 ．．．．－ | 9，830 | 71 | 17 | 80 | 235 | 416 | 72 | 6 | 21 | 3 | 95 | 52 | 6 | 3 |
| \＄2，000－\＄2，249 | 9，360 | 77 | 22 | 78 | 298 | 408 | 51 | 8 | 27 | 9 | 96 | 36 | 5 | 2 |
| \＄2，250－\＄2，499 | 6，720 | 81 | 34 | 62 | 315 | 417 | 80 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 97 | 74 | 27 | 1 |
| \＄2，500－\＄2，999．．．．－ | 7，040 | 79 | 35 | 65 | 274 | 523 | 86 | 13 | 9 | 13 | 100 | 63 | 19 |  |
| \＄3，000－\＄3，499 ．．．－． | 3，920 | 65 | 40 | 58 | 207 | 550 | 94 | 17 | 17 | 22 | 99 | 84 | 28 |  |
| \＄3，500－\＄3，999． | 2，640 | 53 | 25 | 75 | 299 | 556 | 92 |  | 34 | 4 | 98 | 75 | 13 | 2 |
| \＄4，000－\＄4，999 ．．．．． | 1．970 | 26 | 23 | 77 | 396 | 634 | 95 | 7 | 32 |  | 97 | 89 | 20 |  |

See p． 170 for notes on this table．
＊A verage amounts of less than $\$ 1$ and percentages of less than 0.1 for expenditures，or less than 1 for propor－ tions of families reporting，are not shown．

Table 4-A.-Money expenditures for family home by owners and renters, and facilities included in rent for family home: By occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued


See p. 170 for notes on this table.

Table 4－A．－Money expenditures for family home by owners and renters， and facilities included in rent for family home：$B y$ occupation，family type，and income，in 1 year，1935－36－Continued

| Occupational group，family type，and income class <br> （1） | Number of families |  | Percentage of families 1 |  | Average money ex－ pense for family home |  | Percentage of renters having specified facilities included in rent ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  <br> （2） |  <br> （3） | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 苞 } \\ & \text { E } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ <br> （4） | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 发 } \\ & \text { 总 } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ <br> （5） |  <br> （6） | 禺 荡 4 <br> （7） | （8） |  |  <br> （10） | $\begin{aligned} & \overrightarrow{\vec{n}} \\ & \stackrel{0}{30} \\ & \vec{n} \end{aligned}$ <br> （11） | （12） |  <br> （13） | （14） |  |
| Salaried pro－ fessional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \＄1，250－\＄1，499 | 710 | 19 | 15 | 85 | \＄144 | \＄328 | 62 | 18 | 22 | 18 | 100 | 61 | 22 |  |
| \＄1，500－\＄1，749． | 970 | 25 | 12 | 88 | 351 | 353 | 100 |  | 50 |  | 89 | 71 | 7 |  |
| \＄1，750－\＄1，999 | 1，050 | 23 | 18 | 73 | 217 | 429 | 75 | 21 | 15 | 21 | 94 | 59 | 24 | 6 |
| \＄2，000－\＄2，249． | 1，370 | 37 | 11 | 85 | 448 | 459 | 80 | ， | 30 | 4 | 100 | 79 | 10 |  |
| \＄2，250－\＄2，499 $\ldots \ldots$ | 1， 310 | 30 | 8 | 80 | 256 | 468 | 81 | 6 | 28 | 10 | 96 | 62 | 16 |  |
| \＄2，500－\＄2，999 $\ldots$ | 1，900 | 42 | 20 | 66 | 349 | 515 | 82 | 10 | 38 | 10 | 99 | 62 | 10 |  |
| \＄3，000－\＄3，499 ．．．．． | 1，550 | 28 | 30 | 64 | 249 | 588 | 84 | 6 | 67 | 13 | 92 | 86 | 29 |  |
| \＄3，500－\＄3，999 ．．． | 910 | 29 | 29 | 65 | 415 | 581 | 98 |  | 33 |  | 98 | 90 | 14 |  |
| \＄4，000－\＄4，999 ．．． | 1， 120 | 45 | 30 | 67 | 250 | 695 | 86 | 4 | 33 | 10 | 95 | 77 | 17 |  |
| \＄5，000－\＄7，499 ．．．－ | 920 | 21 | 32 | 68 | 271 | 616 | 70 | 7 | 46 | 7 | 98 | 62 | 21 | 2 |
| \＄7，500－\＄9，999 | 130 | 7 | 38 | 54 | 502 | 908 | 88 | 25 | 75 | 25 | 88 | 88 | 25 |  |
| \＄10，000 and over－－ | 110 | 10 | 38 | 62 | 754 | 1，483 | 100 | 32 | 36 | 32 | 100 | 100 | 32 |  |
| No gainfully emploved members |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \＄500－\＄749－．．．．．．．－－ | 450 | 7 | 43 | 57 | 111 | 248 | 75 |  |  | 50 | 100 | 25 | 25 |  |
| \＄750－\＄999－ | 300 | 7 | 71 | 29 | 116 | 210 |  |  |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |
| \＄1，000－\＄1，249 ．．．． | 500 | 13 | 46 | 54 | 198 | 412 | 57 | －－ | 14 | ．－ | 100 | 57 |  |  |
| \＄1，250－\＄1，499 | 370 | 8 | 38 | 62 | 251 | 403 | 80 |  |  |  | 100 | 60 |  |  |
| \＄1，500－\＄1，749 ．．．－ | 330 | 8 | 75 | 25 | 191 | 725 | 100 | 50 | －－ | 50 | 100 | 100 | 50 |  |
| \＄1，750－\＄1，999 ．．．．－ | 130 | 6 | 50 | 50 | 93 | 520 | 100 |  |  |  | 100 | 100 | 33 |  |
| \＄2，000－\＄2，249 | 80 | 7 |  | 100 |  | 556 | 75 |  | 25 |  | 100 | 100 | 25 |  |
| \＄2，250－\＄2，499 | 80 | 7 | 57 | 43 | 144 | 458 | 67 |  |  |  | 100 | 67 |  |  |
| \＄2，500－\＄2，999 | 70 | 3 | 67 | 33 | 226 | 480 |  |  |  |  | 100 |  |  |  |
| \＄3，000－\＄3，999 | 160 | 8 | 38 | 62 | 456 | 753 | 100 |  | 40 |  | 100 | 80 |  |  |
| \＄4，000－\＄4，999 | 90 | 5 | 60 | 40 | 169 | 966 | 100 |  | 50 |  | 100 | 50 |  |  |
| Family type： Type I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \＄500－\＄749．．．．．．－－－ | 1，850 | 8 | 12 | 88 | 75 | 231 | 29 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 100 |  |  |  |
| \＄750－\＄999 | 4，880 | 17 |  | 100 |  | 250 | 33 | 26 | 12 | 26 | 97 | 24 | 9 |  |
| \＄1，000－\＄1， 249 | 6， 190 | 25 | 23 | 75 | 164 | 279 | 50 | 26 | 3 | 26 | 100 | 21 | 21 |  |
| \＄1，250－\＄1，499 | 7，020 | 41 | 15 | 77 | 168 | 318 | 67 | 15 | 4 | 15 | 99 | 46 | 23 |  |
| \＄1，500－\＄1，749 ．．．．－ | 7． 210 | 38 | 6 | 94 | 150 | 367 | 58 | 9 | 19 | 12 | 96 | 44 | 9 |  |
| \＄1，750－\＄1，999 ．．．．－ | 7，270 | 45 | 15 | 84 | 313 | 428 | 88 | 19 | 14 | 17 | 100 | 65 | 20 |  |
| \＄2，000－\＄2，249 $\ldots$－－－ | 5，450 | 43 | 15 | 85 | 301 | 434 | 47 | 10 | 13 | 9 | 100 | 40 | 16 |  |
| \＄2，250－\＄2，499 | 4， 160 | 43 | 32 | 68 | 258 | 447 | 76 | 10 | 33 | 8 | 92 | 73 | 17 | 2 |
| \＄2，500－\＄2，999 | 4，190 | 42 | 25 | 73 | 290 | 599 | 100 | 32 | 12 | 33 | 100 | 82 | 46 |  |
| \＄3，000－\＄3，499 ．．．．－ | 2，650 | 38 | 20 | 77 | 298 | 495 | 85 | 10 | 23 | 17 | 96 | 82 | 24 |  |
| \＄3，500－\＄3，999.... | 1，480 | 39 | 16 | 84 | 256 | 616 | 100 | 6 | 12 | 6 | 100 | 85 | 19 |  |
| \＄4，000－\＄4，999 | 1，230 | 32 | 15 | 81 | 323 | 602 | 93 | 6 | 17 | 10 | 100 | 79 | 28 |  |
| \＄5，000－\＄7，499 | 1，010 | 20 | 39 | 61 | 352 | 735 | 90 | 9 | 33 | 10 | 90 | 91 | 17 |  |
| \＄7，500－\＄9，999 | 160 | 3 |  | 100 |  | 1，276 | 100 |  | 41 | 41 | 100 | 100 | 41 |  |
| \＄10，000 and over ．－ | 120 | 9 | 10 | 90 | 1，372 | 1，523 | 100 | 38 | 25 | 38 | 100 | 88 | 38 |  |

See p． 170 for notes on this table．

Table 4－A．－Money expenditures for family home by owners and renters， and faclities included in rent for family home：By occupation，family
type，and income，in 1 year，1995－96－Continued

| Occupational group，family type，and income class | Number of families |  | Percentage of families ： |  | Average money ex－ pense for family home |  | Percentage of renters having specifled facilities included in rent？ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | （3） | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 吕 } \\ & \stackrel{8}{8} \\ & \text { (4) } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 耇 } \\ & \text { 官 } \\ & 0 \\ & \text { © } \\ & \text { 品 } \\ & \text { (6) } \end{aligned}$ |  <br> （7） | （8） | （9） | （10） | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 营 } \\ & \text { 品 } \end{aligned}$ <br> （11） | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{U}{\$} \\ \stackrel{\omega}{*} \\ \stackrel{(12)}{*} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | （13） |  <br> （14） |  |
| Type II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \＄500－\＄749－ | 1，640 | 8 | 12 | 88 | \＄165 | \＄173 | 14 | 14 |  | 14 | 100 |  |  |  |
| \＄750－\＄999 | 3， 690 | 16. |  | 100 |  | ${ }_{2}^{205}$ | 16 |  |  | 14 | 100 |  | 3 |  |
| \＄1，000－\＄1，249． | 5， 420 | 24 | $\stackrel{2}{2}$ | 98 | 105 | ${ }^{261}$ | 53 | ${ }^{2}$ | 6 | 18 | 100 | 14 |  |  |
| \＄1，250－\＄1，499． | 5，730 | 36 | 8 | 88 | 203 | 332 | 63 | 14 | 15 | 18 | 96 | 48 | 13 |  |
| \＄1，500－\＄1，749 | 5，930 | 36 | 4 | 91 | 214 | 348 | 62 | 10 | 18 | 9 | 97 | 49 | 15 | 1 |
| \＄1，750－\＄1，999 | 5，700 | 41 | 12 | 84 | ${ }_{272}^{272}$ | 378 | ${ }_{80}^{60}$ |  | 15 |  | 90 | 36 | ${ }^{6}$ | 10 |
| \＄2，000－\＄2，249 | 4， 650 | 40 | 11 | 81 | 137 | 447 | 80 | 8 | ${ }^{36}$ |  | 92 | 64 | 11 |  |
| \＄2，250－\＄2，499－ | 3,710 3,100 1, | 43 <br> 51 | 17 | 88 | 396 319 | 458 <br> 540 | 82 88 | ${ }_{6}^{16}$ | 20 <br> 24 | $\begin{array}{r}14 \\ 8 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 95 100 | 67 80 | 128 | 2 |
| \＄3，000－\＄3，499－－．．．． | 1，740 | 37 | 16 | 80 | 305 | 603 | 86 | 4 | 43 | 7 | 98 | 77 | 23 | 2 |
| 83，500－83，999 | 1，160 | 34 | 16 | 84 | 421 | 637 | 98 |  | 33 | 5 | 100 | 84 |  |  |
| \＄4，000－\＄4，999 | 930 | 26 | 19 | 81 | 401 | 721 | 96 | 14 | 28 | 16 | 100 | 96 | 33 |  |
| \＄5，000－\＄7，499． | 630 | 18 | 7 | 93 | 155 | 797 | 92 | 4 | 18 | 4 | 100 | 82 | 22 |  |
| \＄10，0mo and over－－ | 10 | 1 | （行 | （ $\dagger$ ） | （ 7 ） | （ $\dagger$ ） | （t） | （t） | （ $\dagger$ ） | （t） | （ $\dagger$ ） | （t） | （1） | （t） |
| Type III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \＄500－\＄749 | 810 | 8 |  | 100 |  | 228 | 12 |  |  | 12 | 100 |  |  |  |
| \＄750－\＄999． | 2，420 | 20 | 8 | 92 | 152 | 186 | 15 |  | 15 | 13 | 100 |  |  |  |
| \＄1，000－\＄1，249 | 3，010 | 24 | 6 | 94 | 197 | 209 | 5 | 2 |  | 2 | 93 | 12 |  | 7 |
| \＄1，250－\＄1，499 | 4，080 | 35 | 9 | 91 | 96 | ${ }^{273}$ | 35 |  | 9 |  | 92 | 19 |  | 7 |
| \＄1，500－\＄1，749 | 3，980 | 31 | 2 | 93 | 328 | 336 | 55 |  | 18 |  | 100 | 35 | 5 |  |
| \＄1，750－\＄1，999 | 3，740 | 43 | 21 | 78 | 284 | 381 | 68 | 1 | 24 | 1 | 99 | 40 |  |  |
| \＄2，000－\＄2，249 | 3， 540 | 34 | 19 | 80 | 172 | 387 | 73 | 6 | 30 | 12 | 100 | 64 | 3 |  |
| \＄2，250－\＄2，499 | ${ }^{2}, 460$ | 49 | 14 | 84 | 390 | 442 | 70 |  | 22 | $\stackrel{3}{7}$ | 97 | 58 |  |  |
| \＄2，500－\＄2，999 | 2，400 | 42 | 37 | 58 | 432 | 478 | 66 | 2 | 31 | 7 | 87 | 53 |  |  |
| \＄3，000－\＄3，499 | 1，000 | 32 | 43 | 51 | 260 | 564 | 88 |  | 42 | 7 | 100 | 74 | 10 |  |
| \＄3，500－\＄3，999 | 810 | 26 | 24 | 74 | 540 | 610 | 83 |  | 35 |  | 88 | 80 | 14 | 5 |
| \＄4，000－\＄4，999 | 560 | 31 | 33 | 67 | 538 | 698 | 89 |  | 44 |  | 100 | 82 | 19 |  |
| \＄5，000－87，499 | 570 | 13 | 15 | 79 | 330 | 859 | 72 |  | 36 | 9 | 100 | 81 | 36 |  |
| \＄7，500－\＄9，999 | 140 | 10 | 40 | 52 | 464 | 1，239 | 100 | 44 | 25 | 44 | 100 | 100 | 56 |  |
| \＄10，000 and over．．－ | 130 | 6 | 50 | 50 | 605 | 1，920 | 100 | 56 | 78 |  | 100 | 100 | 56 |  |
| Type IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \＄500－5749 | 840 | 6 | 50 | 50 | 163 | 244 |  |  |  |  | 100 | 33 |  |  |
| \＄750－\＄999－ | 2，590 | 14 | 19 | 81 | 90 | 325 | 50 | 12 | 38 | 12 | 100 | 38 |  |  |
| \＄1，000－\＄1，249 | 3， 920 | 18 | 35 | 65 | 264 | 253 | 31 |  | 34 |  | 100 | 6 |  |  |
| \＄1，250－\＄1，499 | 4，210 | 33 | 29 | 69 | 221 | 324 | 57 | 5 | 19 | 8 | 100 | 21 |  |  |
| \＄1，500－\＄1，749 ．．．．． | 5，320 | 40 | 23 | 77 | 283 | 365 | 64 | 7 | 18 | 15 | 90 | 45 | 18 | 10 |
| \＄1，750－\＄1，999 | 5，760 | 42 | 43 | 50 | 285 | 350 | 42 | 2 | 30 | 3 | 100 | 28 |  |  |
| \＄2，000－\＄2，249． | 4，770 | 39 | 38 | 62 | 314 | 354 | 63 | 12 | 46 | 12 | 100 | 36 | 8 |  |
| \＄2，250－\＄2，499 | 4，380 | 43 | 43 | 56 | 284 | 444 | 69 | 10 | 3 | 12 | 100 | 59 | 12 |  |
| \＄2，500－\＄2，999 | 5， 650 | 48 | 27 | 70 | 316 | 452 | 69 |  | 26 |  | 100 | 51 |  |  |
| \＄3，000－\＄3，499 ．．－．－ | 3， 590 | 30 | 50 | 48 | 210 | 507 | 83 | 18 | 26 | 8 | 96 | 66 | 40 |  |
| \＄3，500－\＄3，999 | 2， 260 | 39 | 42 | 58 | 296 | 609 | 98 |  | 43 | 10 | 100 | 85 | 18 |  |
| \＄4，000－84，999 | 2，290 | 40 | 34 | ${ }^{65}$ | 216 | 684 | 82 | 9 | 29 | 2 | 98 | 82 | 12 |  |
| \＄5，000－\＄7，499． | 1，230 | 23 | 38 | 62 | 389 | 829 | 88 | 15 | 54 | 26 | 94 | 73 | 36 |  |
| \＄7，500－\＄9，999－ | 320 230 | 8 6 | ${ }_{72}^{20}$ | 79 28 | 303 810 | 1,080 1,170 | 100 |  | 31 | 83 | 100 | 100 100 | 4 |  |

See p． 170 for notes on this table．
$\dagger$ A verages and percentages not computed for fewer than 3 cases．

Table 4－A．－Money expenditures for family home by owners and eenters， and facilities included in rent for family home：By occupation，family type，and income，in 1 year，1935－36－Continued

| Occupational group，family type，and income class <br> （1） | Number of families |  | Percentage of families 1 |  | Averagemoney ex－pense forfamily home |  | Percentage of renters having specified facilities included in rent ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 采 } \\ & \text { 荷 } \\ & \text { (2) } \end{aligned}$ | （3） |  |  <br> （5） |  |  |  <br> （8） |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 菏 } \\ & \text { 品 } \end{aligned}$ <br> （11） | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 点 } \\ & \stackrel{\Delta}{3} \end{aligned}$ <br> （12） | （13） |  <br> （14） |  |
| Type V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \＄500－8749．． | 320 |  |  | 100 |  | \＄222 |  |  | 14 |  | 100 |  |  |  |
| \＄750－\＄999 | 770 | 14 | 53 | 37 | \＄406 | 274 |  |  | 27 | 3 | 70 | 30 |  | 30 |
| \＄1，000－\＄1，24S | 1，250 | 17 | 30 | 60 | 294 | 230 | 17 |  |  | 13 | 87 | 17 | 13 | 13 |
| \＄1，250－\＄1，499 | 1，940 | 32 | 27 | 66 | 162 | 264 | 34 |  | 14 | 7 | 100 | 5 | 2 |  |
| \＄1，500－\＄1，749 | 2，200 | 26 | 31 | 69 | 244 | 277 | 27 |  | 23 |  | 100 | 10 |  |  |
| \＄1，750－\＄1，999 | 2，450 | 36 | 32 | 64 | 133 | 366 | 25 | 1 | 30 | 2 | 96 | 32 | 3 |  |
| \＄2，000－\＄2，249 | 2，500 | ${ }_{35}^{46}$ | 44 | 55 | ${ }^{336}$ | 395 | 53 |  | 13 |  | 87 | ${ }_{30}^{30}$ |  | 8 |
| \＄2，250－\＄2，499 | 1，790 | 35 | 30 | ${ }_{56}^{68}$ | ${ }_{295}^{236}$ | 381 419 | 42 |  | ， |  | 84 | ${ }_{3}^{33}$ |  | 1 |
| \＄2， $\mathbf{\$ 3 0 0 0 - \$ 3 , 4 9 9 .}$ | 1，710 | 38 | 47 | 53 | 286 | 613 | 78 |  | 40 |  | 90 | 72 | 19 |  |
| \＄3，500－\＄3，099 | 1，070 | 30 | 53 | 44 | 304 | 580 | 81 |  | 68 |  | 93 | 54 |  |  |
| \＄4，000－84， 999 | 890 | 29 | 55 | 45 | 316 | 653 | 72 |  | 58 |  | 78 | 74 | 21 |  |
| \＄5，000－\＄7，499 ． | 440 | 14. | 64 | 36 | 456 | 820 | 79 |  | ${ }^{67}$ |  | 79 | 79 |  |  |
| \＄7，500－\＄0，999 | 80 | 6 | 12 | 88 | 103 | 1，194 | 83 | 17 | 83 | 17 | 83 | 33 | 3 | － |
| Type VI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \＄500－8749 | 380 | 9 |  | 89 |  | 241 | 33 | 11 |  | 11 | 100 | 11 |  |  |
| \＄750－\＄999 | 920 | 18 |  | 100 |  | ${ }_{227}^{261}$ | 40 |  | 9 |  | 91 | 20 | 2 | 9 |
| \＄1，000－\＄11，249 | 1，790 | 19 |  | 100 |  | 227 | $\stackrel{29}{59}$ |  | 2 |  | 100 |  |  |  |
| \＄1，250－\＄1，499．．．．． | 1，540 | 34 | 16 | 84 | 188 | 277 | 50 | 2 | 15 | 9 | 100 | $\stackrel{25}{1}$ | 20 |  |
| \＄1，500－\＄1，749 | 1，840 | 28 | 7 | 93 | 278 | 284 | 30 |  | 30 |  | 100 | 14 | 4 |  |
| \＄1，750－\＄1，999． | 1，990 | 32 | 18 | 81 | 385 | 373 | 33 |  | 42 |  | 92 | 37 | 3 |  |
| \＄2，000－\＄2，249 | 1，540 | 31 31 | 26 18 | 74 82 8 | 245 297 | 472 <br> 436 | 62 61 | 5 | 32 |  | 86 | 418 | 5 |  |
| \＄2，500－\＄2，999．．． | 1，000 | 41 | 31 | 66 | 268 | 478 | 50 |  | 53 |  | 84 | 36 |  | 2 |
| \＄3，000－\＄3，499 ．．－－－ | 480 | 24 | 31 | 62 | 302 | 494 | 45 |  | 42 | 6 | 70 | 54 | 9 | 3 |
| \＄3，500－\＄3，999． | 290 | 19 | 30 | 70 | 416 | 740 | 79 |  | 34 | 15 | 93 | 66 | 22 |  |
| \＄4，000－84，999 ．．． | 360 | 21 | 40 | 46 | 358 | ${ }^{686}$ | ${ }^{68}$ | 7 | 33 | 7 | 92 | 70 | 7 | 8 |
| \＄5，000－\＄7，499－－－ | 190 30 | ${ }^{6}$ | $\stackrel{42}{67}$ | ${ }_{33}^{58}$ | ${ }_{423}$ | 780 | 88 |  | 12 |  | 88 | 75 | 62 | 12 |
| \＄7，500－\＄9，999 | 30 | 3 | 67 | 33 | 423 | 900 |  |  | 100 |  | 100 | 100 |  |  |
| \＄10，000 and over＿－ | 70 | 3 | 14 | 86 | 1，084 | 1，600 | 100 |  | 100 |  | 100 | 67 |  |  |
| Type．VII |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \＄500－\＄749．．． | 90 | 4 | 25 | 75 | 90 | 204 | 33 |  |  |  | 100 | 33 |  |  |
| \＄750－\＄999 | 210 | 11 | 16 | 84 | 301 | 206 | 19 |  |  |  | 100 | 6. |  |  |
| \＄1，000－\＄1，249 | 460 | 12 | 25 | 75 | 193 | 260 | ${ }_{17}^{33}$ |  | 11 |  | 100 | 11 | 11 |  |
| \＄1，250－\＄1，499 $\ldots$ ．．． | 630 | 27 | 29 | 71 | 224 | 249 | 17 | 3 | 7 | 7 | 100 | 7 | 7 |  |
| \＄1，500－\＄1，749．．．．． | 850 | 18 | 37 | 60 | 229 | 288 |  |  | 20 |  | 84 | 9 |  |  |
| \＄1，750－\＄1，999 | 680 | 25 | 29 | 71 | 140 | 386 | 50 | 12 | 19 |  | 98 | 38 | 10 |  |
| \＄2，000－\＄2，249 | ${ }_{600}^{660}$ | ${ }_{35}^{23}$ | ${ }_{6}^{46}$ | 54 | ${ }_{2}^{219}$ | 391 | 56 | 8 | 27 |  | 92 | ${ }_{28}^{28}$ |  |  |
| \＄2，250－\＄2，499． | 500 | ${ }_{37}^{25}$ | 32 <br> 53 | ${ }_{42}^{68}$ | ${ }_{304}^{264}$ | 426 369 |  |  |  |  | 100 94 | 20 |  |  |
| \＄2，500－\＄2，999 | 950 | ${ }^{37}$ | 53 40 | 42 60 | 304 | 369 | 32 60 |  | 29 |  | ${ }_{95}^{94}$ | 20 58 |  |  |
| \＄3，000－\＄3，499 $\ldots--$ | 560 | 26 | 40 | 60 | 186 | 491 | 60 |  | 28 |  | 95 | 58 | 5 | 5 |
| \＄\＄3，500－\＄3，999 | $\begin{aligned} & 370 \\ & 440 \end{aligned}$ | 18 18 | $51$ | $\begin{aligned} & 39 \\ & 36 \end{aligned}$ | 249 | $\begin{gathered} 647 \\ 645 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44 \\ & 55 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 31 \\ & 52 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 75 \\ & 76 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41 \\ & 55 \end{aligned}$ | 6 7 | 25 |
| \＄5，000－\＄7，499． | 150 | 8 | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \＄7，500－\＄9，999 ．．．．． | 50 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 |  |  | 100 |  |  |
| \＄10，000 and over－－ | 20 | 2 | （ ${ }^{\text {）}}$ | （t） | （ ${ }^{\text {）}}$ | （ $\dagger$ | （t） | （ $\dagger$ ） | （ ${ }^{\text {）}}$ | （ ${ }^{\text {）}}$ | （t） | （ $\dagger$ ） | （ $\dagger$ | （t） |

See p． 170 for notes on this table．
$\dagger$ Averages and percentages not computed for fewer than $\mathbf{3}$ cases．

Table 5.-Household operation: Average money expenditure for groups of items of household operation and percentage distribution of such expenditure, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1995-36
[White nonrelief families including husband and wite, both native born]

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | Number of families |  | A verage money expenditure for household operation |  |  |  |  | Percentage of total household operation expenditure |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{\text { ble }}{\text { Eligi- }}$ | Reporting ex-penditures | Total | Fuel, light, and re-frigertion 1 | Paid household help |  | Other items ${ }^{2}$ | Fuel, light, and re-frigeration ${ }^{1}$ | Paid household help | Other items |
|  |  |  |  |  | A verage amount | Percentage of families having |  |  |  |  |
|  | (2) | (3) | (4) | (b) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) |
| All families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 5,940 | 50 | \$109 | \$83 |  |  | \$26 | 76.1 |  | 23. 9 |
| \$750-\$999 | 15,480 | 110 | 115 | 85 |  |  | 30 | 73.9 |  | 26.1 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 22, 040 | 139 | 128 | 92 | \$2 | 5 | 34 | 71.9 | 1.6 | 26.5 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 25, 150 | 238 | 137 | 89 | 1 | 4 | 47 | 65.0 | . 9 | 34.1 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 27, 330 | 217 | 152 | 95 | 1 | 1 | 56 | 62.5 | . 7 | 36.8 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 27, 590 | 264 | 175 | 107 | 3 | 6 | 65 | 61.1 | 1.7 | 37.2 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 23, 110 | 256 | 185 | 101 | 9 | 12 | 75 | 54.6 | 4.9 | 40.5 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 17,960 | 269 | 220 | 115 | 17 | 19 | 88 | 52.3 | 7.7 | 40.0 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 19,700 | 295 | 237 | 113 | 28 | 27 | 96 | 47.7 | 12.0 | 40.3 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 11, 730 | 226 | 270 | 117 | 48 | 39 | 105 | 43.3 | 17.8 | 38.9 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 7, 440 | 206 | 298 | 117 | 70 | 42 | 111 | 39.4 | 23.6 | 37.0 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 6, 700 | 197 | 388 | 129 | 119 | 63 | 140 | 33.2 | 30.7 | 36.1 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 4,220 | 102 | 557 | 150 | 245 | 78 | 162 | 26.9 | 44.0 | 29.1 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 810 | 37 | 832 | 150 | 452 | 93 | 230 | 18.1 | 54.3 | 27.6 |
| \$10,000 and over ........- | 670 | 29 | 1,174 | 224 | 709 | 91 | 241 | 19.1 | 60.4 | 20.5 |
| Occupational group: Wage earner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749.-.------.-. -- | 5,940 | 50 | 109 | 83 |  | -- | 26 | 76.1 |  | 23.9 |
| \$750-\$999 --.-.-...-.-.--- | 11, 820 | 58 | 118 | 86 |  |  | 32 | 72.9 |  | 27.1 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 15, 380 | 75 | 130 | 96 | 1 | 6 | 33 | 73.8 | . 8 | 25. 4 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 15, 020 | 91 | 141 | 97 | 2 | 4 | 42 | 68.8 | 1.1 | 30.1 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 14,450 | 68 | 150 | 100 |  |  | 50 | 66.7 |  | 33.3 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 13,370 | 82 | 176 | 117 | 1 | 4 | 58 | 66.5 | 5 | 33.0 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 9,500 | 68 | 185 | 111 | (*) | 1 | 74 | 60.0 | (*) | 40.0 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 6,840 | 75 | 227 | 134 | 9 | 10 | 84 | 59.0 | 4.0 | 37.0 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 6,630 | 72 | 232 | 125 | 16 | 15 | 91 | 53.9 | 6.9 | 39.2 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 3,000 | 55 | 251 | 145 | 8 | 11 | 98 | 57.8 | 3.2 | 39.0 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 1,370 | 44 | 268 | 140 | 16 | 14 | 112 | 52.2 | 6.0 | 41.8 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999...........-- | 1,000 | 18 | 329 | 147 | 52 | 46 | 130 | 44.7 | 15.8 | 39.5 |
| Clerical |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 3,660 | 52 | 106 | 82 |  |  | 24 | 77.4 |  | 22.9 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 6,660 | 64 | 126 | 83 | 5 | 3 | 38 | 65.9 | 4.8 | 29.3 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 7, 230 | 75 | 126 | 73 | 1 | 2 | 52 | 57.9 | . 8 | 41.3 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 9,200 | 69 | 159 | 92 | 1 | 1 | 66 | 57.9 | . 6 | 41.5 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999...-.........- | 9,830 | 71 | 172 | 101 | 4 | 6 | 67 | 58.7 | 2.3 | 39.0 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249............. | 9,360 | 77 | 183 | 98 | 13 | 16 | 72 | 53.6 | 7.1 | 39.3 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 6, 720 | 81 | 224 | 115 | 18 | 23 | 91 | 51.3 | 8.1 | 40.6 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 7,040 | 79 | 242 | 109 | 38 | 37 | 95 | 45.0 | 15.6 | 39.4 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 3, 920 | 65 | 254 | 108 | 53 | 46 | 93 | 42.5 | 20.9 | 36.6 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 2,640 | 53 | 275 | 111 | 56 | 42 | 108 | 40.4 | 20.4 | 39.2 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999.....-----.-- | 1,970 | 26 | 361 | 112 | 109 | 66 | 140 | 31.0 | 30.2 | 38.8 |

See p. 170 for notes on this table.
*Average amounts of less than $\$ 1$ and percentages of less than 0.1 are not shown.

Table 5.-Household operation: Average money expenditure for groups of items of household operation and percentage distribution of such expenditure, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-96-Continued


See p. 170 for notes on this table.
*Average amounts of less than $\$ 1$ and percentages of less than 0.1 are not shown.

Table 5.-Household operation: Average money expenditure for groups of items of household operation and percentage distribution of such expenditure, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-86-Continued

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | Number of families |  | A verage money expenditure for household operation |  |  |  |  | Percentage of total household operation expenditure |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{\text { ble }}{\text { Eligi- }}$ | Reporting extures | Total | Fuel, ight, and re frigertion | Paid household $\begin{gathered}\text { help }\end{gathered}$ |  | Other items | Fuel, light, and re-iriger-ation | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Paid } \\ & \text { house- } \\ & \text { hold } \\ & \text { help } \end{aligned}$ | Other items |
|  |  |  |  |  | Average amount | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent } \\ & \text { qago of } \\ & \text { families } \\ & \text { havino } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) |
| Salaried professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 710 | 19 | \$132 | \$79 | \$2 | 3 | \$51 | 59.9 | 1.5 | 38.6 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 970 | 25 | 141 | 81 | 2 | 5 | 58 | 57.5 | 1.4 | 41.1 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1,050 | 23 | 160 | 83 | 4 | 7 | 73 | 51.9 | 2.6 | 45.5 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 1,370 | 37 | 217 | 90 | 38 | 43 | 89 | 41.5 | 17.5 | 41.0 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 1,310 | 30 | 185 | 85 | 14 | 10 | 86 | 45.9 | 7.6 | 46.5 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 1,900 | 42 | 222 | 107 | 22 | 31 | 93 | 48.2 | 9.9 | 41.9 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 1,550 | 28 | 266 | 110 | 49 | 42 | 107 | 41.4 | 18.4 | 40.2 |
| \$3,500- 83,999 | 910 | 29 | 292 | 113 | 69 | 41 | 110 | 38.7 | 23.6 | 37.7 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 1,120 | 45 | 360 | 122 | 105 | 58 | 133 | 33.9 | 29.2 | 36.9 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 920 | 21 | 511 | 141 | 223 | 87 | 147 | 27.6 | 43.6 | 28.8 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 130 | 7 | 756 | 204 | 322 | 100 | 230 | 27.0 | 42.6 | 30.4 |
| \$10,000 and over. | 110 | 10 | 925 | 215 | 480 | 60 | 230 | 23.2 | 51.9 | 24.9 |
| No gainfully employed members |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 450 | 7 | 82 | 58 |  |  | 24 | 70.7 |  | 29.3 |
| \$750-\$999 | 300 | 7 | 154 | 120 |  |  | 34 | 77.9 |  | 22.1 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 500 370 | 13 | 190 | 113 | 16 | 8 | ${ }_{60}^{61}$ | 59.5 | 8.4 | 32.1 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 370 |  | 162 | 102 |  |  | 60 | 63.0 |  | 37.0 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 330 | 8 | 214 | 114 | 26 | 12 | 74 | 53.3 | 12.1 | 34. 6 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 130 | 6 | 167 | 101 | 2 | 1 | 64 | 60.5 | 1.2 | 38. 3 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 80 |  | 287 | 79 | 99 | 34 | 109 | 27.5 | 34.5 | 38.0 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 80 | 7 | 305 | 175 | 37 | 12 | 93 | 57.4 | 12.1 | ${ }^{30.5}$ |
| \$2,500- \$2,999 | 70 | 3 | 339 | 129 | 129 | 38 | $\begin{array}{r}81 \\ \hline 139\end{array}$ | 38.1 | 38.1 | 23.8 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 3,000-\$ 3,999 \\ & \$ 4,000-\$ 4,999 \end{aligned}$ | 160 90 | 8 5 | ${ }_{342}^{452}$ | $\begin{array}{r}124 \\ 83 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 189 155 | 42 45 | 139 104 | 27.4 24.3 | 41.8 45.3 | 30.8 30.4 |
| Family type: Type I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 1,850 | 8 | 86 | 60 |  |  | 26 | 69.8 |  | 30.2 |
| \$750-\$999 | 4,880 | 17 | 98 | 70 |  |  | 28 | 71.4 |  | 28.6 |
| \$1,000- $\$ 1,249$ - | 6, 190 | ${ }_{41}^{25}$ | 128 | 87 |  | 2 | 37 <br> 50 | 68.0 | 3. 5 | 28.5 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 7,020 7,210 | 41 38 | 122 | 71 79 | (*) ${ }^{1}$ | 7 | 50 62 | 58.2 56.0 | ${ }_{(*)}^{1.0}$ | 40.8 44.0 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 7,270 | 45 | 142 | 68 | 2 | 3 | 72 | 47.9 | 1.3 |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5,450 | 43 | 174 | 76 | 11 | 12 | 87 | 43.7 | 6.3 | 50.0 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 4, 160 | 43 | 215 | 98 | 18 | 17 | 99 | 45.6 | 8.4 | 46.0 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 4, 190 | 42 | 190 | ${ }^{68}$ | 22 | 26 | 102 | 34.7 | 11.4 | 53.9 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499.............. | 2,650 | 38 | 235 | 75 | 52 | 49 | 108 | 31.9 | 22.1 | 46.0 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 $\ldots$.........- | 1,480 | 39 | 269 | 76 | 74 | 60 | 119 | 28.3 | 27.5 | 44.2 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 1,230 | 32 | 340 | 84 | 111 | 77 | 145 | 24.7 | 32.6 | 42.7 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 1,010 | 20 | 553 | 128 | 264 | 84 | 161 | 23.1 | 47.8 | 29.1 |
| \$7,500-89,909 | 160 | 3 | 871 | 45 | 538 | 100 | 288 | 5.2 | 61.8 | 33.0 |
| \$10,000 and over.... | 120 | 9 | 820 | 98 | 490 | 68 | 232 | 12.0 | 59.8 | 28.2 |

See p. 170 for notes on this table.

* Average amounts of less than $\$ 1$ and percentages of less than 0.1 are not shown.

Table 5.-Household operation: Average money expenditure for groups of items of household operation and percentage distribution of such expenditure, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-86-Continued


See p. 170 for notes on this table.
 tions of families reporting, are not shown.
$\dagger$ Averages and percentages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

Table 5.-Household operation: Average money expenditure for groups of items of household operation and percentage distribution of such expenditure, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1985-36-Continued.

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | Number of families |  | A verage money expenditure for operation |  |  |  |  | Percentage of total household onerstion expenditure |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Eligi- } \\ & \text { ble } \end{aligned}$ | Reporting extures | Total | Fuel, light, and re-frigeration 1 | Paid household |  | Other | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fuel, } \\ & \text { light, } \\ & \text { and re- } \\ & \text { friger- } \\ & \text { ation } \end{aligned}$ | Paid house holdhelp | Otheritems |
|  |  |  |  |  | Average amount | Percentage of families |  |  |  |  |
|  | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) |
| Type V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 320 | 7 | \$150 | \$118 |  |  | \$32 | 78.7 |  | 21.3 |
| \$750-\$990 | 770 | 14 | 156 | 126 |  |  | 30 | 80.8 |  | 19.2 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1,250 | 17 | 146 | 116 |  |  | 30 | 79.5 |  | 20.5 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1,940 | 32 | 168 | 124 |  |  | 44 | 73.8 |  | 26.2 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 2,200 | 26 | 185 | 138 | (*) | (*) | 47 | 74.6 | (*) | 25.4 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 2,450 | 36 | 213 | 143 | \$1 | 2 | 69 | 67.1 | 0.5 | 32.4 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249- | 2,500 | 46 | ${ }^{218}$ | 154 | 1 | 1 | 63 | 70.6 | . 6 | 28.8 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 1,790 | 35 | 227 | 148 | 1 | 2 | 77 | 65.7 | . 4 | 33.9 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 2, 410 | 34 | $\stackrel{242}{ }$ | 146 | 10 | 12 | 86 | 60.4 | 4.1 | 35.5 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 1,710 | 30 | 274 | 150 | 30 | 32 | 94 | 54.8 | 10.9 | 34. 3 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 1,070 | 30 | 314 | 170 | 34 | 24 | 110 | 54.1 | 10.8 | 35.1 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 890 | 29 | 433 | 168 | 120 | 43 | 145 | 38.8 | 27.7 | 33.5 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 440 | 14 | 595 | 249 | 141 | 55 | 205 | 41.8 | 23.7 | 34.5 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 80 | 6 | 484 | 114 | 210 | 63 | 160 | 23.5 | 43.4 | 33.1 |
| \$10,000 and over...-......- | 90 | 2 | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( ${ }^{\text {) }}$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( ${ }^{\text {) }}$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) |
| Type VI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 390 | 9 | 107 | 82 |  |  | 25 | 76.6 |  | 23.4 |
| \$750-\$099. | 920 | 18 | 126 | 92 |  |  | 34 | 73.0 |  | 27.0 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1,790 | 19 | 130 | 99 |  |  | 31 | 76.2 |  | 23.8 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1,540 | 34 | 140 | 98 | 1 | 2 | 41 | 70.0 | . 7 | 29.3 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 1,840 | 28 | 177 | 120 | 6 | 6 | 51 | 67.8 | 3.4 | 28.8 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1,990 | 32 | 197 | 129 | ${ }^{6}$ | 16 | 62 | 65.5 | 3.0 | 31.5 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 1,540 | 31 | 205 | 113 | 16 | 11 | 76 | 55.1 | 7.8 | 37.1 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 960 | 31 | 218 | 116 | 30 | 34 | 72 | 53.2 | 13.8 | 33.0 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 1,000 | 41 | 261 | 144 | 27 | 26 | 90 | 55.2 | 10.3 | 34.5 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 480 | 24 | 333 | 152 | 68 | 53 | 113 | 45.7 | 20.4 | 33.9 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 290 | 19 | 379 | 116 | 173 | 66 | 90 | 30.6 | 45.7 | 23.7 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 360 | 21 | 518 | 192 | 173 | 83 | 153 | 37.1 | 33.4 | 29.5 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 190 | 6 | 475 | 142 | 195 | 58 | 138 | 29.9 | 41.1 | 29.0 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 30 | 3 | 1,189 | 321 | 727 | 100 | 141 | 27.0 | 61.1 | 11.9 |
| \$10,000 and over........ | 70 | 3 | 2, 104 | 252 | 1,641 | 100 | 211 | 12.0 | 78.1 | 9.9 |
| Type VII |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749.. | 90 | 4 | 81 | 81 |  |  | 20 | 75.3 |  | 24.7 |
| \$750-\$999 | 210 | 11 | 137 | 108 |  |  | 29 | 78.8 |  | 21.2 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 460 | 12 | 172 | 109 | 11 | 8 | 52 | 63.4 | 6.4 | 30.2 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 630 | 27 | 166 | 126 |  |  | 40 | 75.9 |  | 24.1 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 ............. | 850 | 18 | 196 | 155 |  |  | 41 | 79.1 |  | 20.9 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 680 | 25 | 200 | 133 | 1 | 7 | 66 | 66.5 | . 5 | 33.0 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | $\stackrel{660}{500}$ | 23 | 187 | 130 | 3 | 7 | 54 | 69.6 | 1.6 | 28.8 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499... | 500 | 25 | 228 | 151 | 3 | 3 | 74 | 66.2 | 1.3 | 32.5 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 950 | 37 | 260 | 180 | 1 | 2 | 79 | 69.2 | . 4 | 30.4 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 $\ldots . .$. | 560 | 26 | 277 | 167 | 26 | 21 | 84 | 60.3 | 9.4 | 30.3 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 370 | 19 | 303 | 165 | 11 | 14 | 127 | 54.5 | 3.6 | 41.9 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 440 | 18 | 310 | 186 | 27 | 21 | 97 | 60.0 | 8.7 | 31.3 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | $\begin{array}{r}150 \\ 50 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 8 | 885 | 364 | 349 | ${ }_{6}^{63}$ | 172 | 41.2 | 39.4 | 19.4 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999............ | 50 | 4 | $\stackrel{977}{+}$ | $\stackrel{358}{ }$ | ( ${ }^{385}$ |  | (t) ${ }^{234}$ | $\stackrel{36.6}{(\dagger)}$ | ${ }^{39.4} 4$ | 24.0 |
| \$10,000 and over ........ | 20 | 2 | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( ${ }^{\text {) }}$ | ( $\dagger$ | (t) | ( $\dagger$ |

See p. 170 for notes on this table.
*Average amounts of less than $\$ 1$ and percentages of less than 0.1 for expenditures, or less than 1 for proportions of families reporting, are not shown.
$\dagger$ Averages and percentages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

Table 6.-Clothing: Average money expenditure for clothing for husband and wife and other family members, and percentage distribution of such expenditure, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36
[White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | Number of families |  | A verage money expenditure for clothing ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  | Percentage of total fam ily clothing expenditure |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible | Reporting ex-penditures | All family members | Husband | Wife | Other <br> family $\underset{\text { bers }}{\text { mem- }}$ | Husband | Wife | Other family members |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (8) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |
| All families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749...-----.......- | 5,940 | 50 | \$46 | \$15 | \$16 | \$15 | 32.6 | 34.8 | 32.6 |
| \$750-\$999 | 15,480 | 110 | 74 | 25 | 31 | 18 | 33.8 | 41.9 | 24.3 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249-.....-....- | 22,040 | 139 | 93 | 33 | 39 | 21 | 35.5 | 41.9 | 22.6 |
| \$1,250-\$1,449 | 25, 150 | 238 | 109 | 37 | 40 | 32 | 33.9 | 36.7 | 29. 4 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 $\ldots \ldots-\ldots-{ }^{\text {- }}$ | 27, 330 | 217 | 136 | 48 | 49 | 39 | 35.3 | 36.0 | 28.7 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 27, 590 | 264 | 164 | 59 | 59 | 46 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 28.0 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 23, 110 | 256 | 192 | 65 | 70 | 57 | 33.9 | 36.4 | 29.7 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 17,960 | 269 | 212 | 69 | 78 | 65 | 32.5 | 36.8 | 30.7 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 19,700 | 295 | 261 | 79 | 93 | 90 | 30.2 | 35.5 | 34.3 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 11,730 | 226 | 287 | 94 | 105 | 88 | 32.7 | 36.6 | 30.7 |
| \$3,500-\$3,909 | 7,440 | 206 | 371 | 119 | 141 | 111 | 32.1 | 38.0 | 29.9 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 6, 700 | 197 | 440 | 138 | 160 | 142 | 31.4 | 36.3 | 32.3 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 4,220 | 102 | 513 | 155 | 192 | 166 | 30.2 | 37.4 | 32.4 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 810 | 37 | 913 | 272 | 408 | 233 | 29.8 | 44.7 | 25.5 |
| \$10,000 and over.-.....- | 670 | 29 | 1,289 | 384 | 488 | 417 | 29.8 | 37.9 | 32.3 |
| Occupational group: Wage earner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 3,940 | 50 | 46 | 15 | 16 | 15 | 32.6 | 34.8 | 32.6 |
| \$750-\$999 | 11,820 | 58 | 80 | 26 | 33 | 21 | 32.5 | 41.2 | 26.3 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 15, 380 | 75 | 86 | 28 | 36 | 22 | 32.5 | 41.9 | 25.6 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 15,020 | 91 | 105 | 34 | 38 | 33 | 32.4 | 36.2 | 31.4 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 14, 450 | 68 | 140 | 48 | 50 | 42 | 34.3 | 35.7 | 30.0 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 13, 370 | 82 | 158 | 54 | 59 | 45 | 34.2 | 37.3 | 28.5 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 9,500 | 68 | 198 | 65 | 66 | 67 | 32.8 | 33.3 | 33.9 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 6,840 | 75 | 200 | 70 | 67 | 63 | 35.0 | 33.5 | 31.5 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 6,630 | 72 | 245 | 72 | 79 | 94 | 29.4 | 32.2 | 38.4 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 3,000 | 55 | 309 | 86 | 92 | 131 | 27.8 | 29.8 | 42.4 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 1,370 | 44 | 326 | 87 | 111 | 128 | 26.7 | 34.0 | 39.3 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999...-.---....- | 1,000 | 18 | 445 | 112 | 127 | 206 | 25.2 | 28.5 | 46.3 |
| Clerical |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999. | 3,680 | 52 | 55 | 22 | 23 | 10 | 40.0 | 41.8 | 18.2 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 6, 680 | 64 | 109 | 44 | 44 | 21 | 40.4 | 40.4 | 19.2 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 7,230 | 75 | 117 | 45 | 45 | 27 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 23.0 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 9,200 | 69 | 132 | 49 | 47 | 36 | 37.1 | 35.6 | 27.3 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 9,830 | 71 | 174 | 64 | 59 | 51 | 36.8 | 33.9 | 29.3 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 9,360 | 77 | 193 | 65 | 73 | 55 | 33.7 | 37.8 | 28.5 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 6,720 | 81 | 216 | 64 | 78 | 74 | 29.6 | 36.1 | 34.3 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 7,040 | 79 | 268 | 78 | 92 | 98 | 29.1 | 34.3 | 36.6 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 3, 920 | 65 | 274 | 95 | 105 | 74 | 34.7 | 38.3 | 27.0 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 2,640 | 53 | 375 | 119 | 137 | 119 | 31.7 | 36.6 | 31.7 |
| 84,000-\$4,999 ............- | 1,970 | 26 | 435 | 130 | 156 | 149 | 29.9 | 35.9 | 34.2 |
| Independent business |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1,680 | 29 | 110 | 36 | 41 | 33 | 32.7 | 37.3 | 30.0 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 1,610 | 24 | 123 | 42 | 45 | 36 | 34.1 | 36.6 | 29.3 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1,790 | 40 | 160 | 56 | 64 | 40 | 35.0 | 40.0 | 25.0 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 1,410 | 35 | 180 | 59 | 73 | 48 | 32.8 | 40.5 | 26.7 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 $\ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 1,350 | 29 | 230 | 64 | 99 | 67 | 27.8 | 43.0 | 29.2 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 $\ldots \ldots . . . . . . . .$. | 1,750 | 41 | 256 | 79 | 95 | 82 | 30.9 | 37.1 | 32.0 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 1,150 | 27 | 299 | 98 | 106 | 95 | 32.8 | 35.4 | 31.8 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 770 | 27 | 434 | 149 | 190 | 95 | 34.3 | 43.8 | 21.9 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 880 | 38 | 405 | 135 | 157 | 113 | 33.3 | 38.8 | 27.9 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 ...........- | 910 | 26 | 544 | 174 | 209 | 161 | 32.0 | 38.4 | 29.6 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 160 | 12 | \% 743 | 208 | 272 | 263 | 28.0 | 36. 6 | 35.4 |
| \$10,000 and over.......- | 120 | 3 | 1,287 | 393 | 627 | 267 | 30.5 | 48.7 | 20.8 |

See p. 171 for notes on this table.

Table 6.-Clothing: Average money expenditure for clothing for husband and wife and other family members, and percentage distribution of such expenditure, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1995-96-Continued

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | Number of families |  | A verage money expenditure for clothing ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  | Percentage of total family clothing expenditure |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible | Reporting ex-penditures | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { family } \\ \text { mem- } \\ \text { bers } \end{gathered}$ | Husband | Wife | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Other } \\ & \text { family } \\ & \text { mem- } \\ & \text { bers } \end{aligned}$ | Husband | Wife | Other members |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |
| Independent projessional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 140 | 11 | \$95 | \$45 | \$34 | \$16 | 47.4 | 35.8 | 16.8 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 230 | 10 | 140 | 52 | 64 | 24 | 37.2 | 45.7 | 17.1 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 320 | 16 | 139 | 62 | 47 | 30 | 44.6 | 33.8 | 21.6 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 250 | 13 | 203 | 54 | 95 | 54 | 26.6 | 46.8 | 26.6 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 320 | 24 | 254 | 87 | 115 | 52 | 34.2 | 45.3 | 20.5 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 610 | 25 | 280 | 103 | 126 | ${ }_{6}^{60}$ | 36.8 | 45.0 | 18.2 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 470 | 16 | 286 | 106 | 118 | 62 | 37.1 | 41.2 | 21.7 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 370 | 22 | 416 | 134 | 182 | 100 | 32.2 | 43.8 | 24.0 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 520 | 31 | 379 | 129 | 161 | 89 | 34.0 | 42.5 | 23.5 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499... | 720 | 22 | 485 | 159 | 171 | 155 | 32.8 | 35.3 | 31.9 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 150 | 6 | 844 | 280 | 408 | 156 | 33.2 | 48.3 | 18.5 |
| \$10,000 and over- | 70 | 5 | 1, 134 | 430 | 464 | 240 | 37.9 | 40.9 | 21.2 |
| Salaried business |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 370 | 13 | 107 | 34 | 42 | 31 | 31.8 | 39.2 | 29.0 |
| \$1,500-81,749 | 870 | 21 | 135 | 45 | 51 | 39 | 33.3 | 37.8 | 28.9 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1,230 | 32 | 180 | 62 | 56 | 42 | 38.8 | 35.0 | 26. 2 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 1,220 | 26 | 196 | 76 | 69 | 51 | 38.8 | 35.2 | 26.0 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 $\ldots$.......... | 1,420 | 30 | 234 | 89 | 98 | 47 | 38.0 | 41.9 | 20.1 |
| \$2,500-\$2,899 $\ldots$ | 1,770 | 36 | 265 | 87 | 104 | 74 | 32.8 | 39.3 | 27.9 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 ............ | 1,640 | 35 | 291 | 102 | 106 | 83 | 35.1 | 36.4 | 28.5 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 1,380 | 31 | 347 | 118 | 134 | 95 | 34.0 | 38.6 | 27.4 |
| \$4,000-84,999............. | 1,230 | 39 | 499 | 178 | 168 | 153 | 35.7 | 33.6 | 30.7 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 ...........- | 1,670 | 33 | 566 | 157 | 211 | 198 | 27.7 | 37.3 | 35.0 |
| \$7,500-\$0,999 | 370 | 12 | 994 | 315 | 460 | 219 | 31.7 | 46.3 | 22.0 |
| \$10,000 and over-.-.-. | 370 | 11 | 1,359 | 383 | 424 | 552 | 28.2 | 31.2 | 40.6 |
| Salaried professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 710 | 19 | 109 | 45 | 42 | 22 | 41.3 | 38.5 | 20.2 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 970 | 25 | 141 | 50 | 71 | 20 | 35.5 | 50.3 | 14.2 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999.........- | 1,050 | 23 | 174 | 69 | 72 | 33 | 39.7 | 41.3 | 19.0 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 1, 370 | 37 | 167 | 55 | 76 | 36 | 32.9 | 45.5 | 21.6 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 .-............. | 1,310 | 30 | 212 | 73 | 91 | 48 | 34.5 | 42.9 | 22.6 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 $\ldots$.......... | 1,900 | 42 | 293 | 96 | 126 | 71 | 32.8 | 43.0 | 24.2 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 1,550 | 28 | 267 | 91 | 122 | 54 | 34.1 | 45.7 | 20.2 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 910 | 29 | 394 | 136 | 153 | 105 | 34.5 | 38.8 | 26.7 |
| \$4,000-84,899............ | 1,120 | 45 | 437 | 136 | 190 | 111 | 31.1 | 43.5 | 25.4 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 --.-------- | 920 | 21 | 406 | 130 | 158 | 118 | 32.0 | 38.9 | 29.1 |
| \$7,500-\$0,999............- | 130 |  | 968 | 219 | 426 | 323 | 22.6 | 44.0 | 33.4 |
| \$10,000 and over-.......- | 110 | 10 | 1,155 | 349 | 570 | 236 | 30.2 | 49.4 | 20.4 |
| No gainfuliy employed members |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$740 ............... | 450 | 7 | 26 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 38.5 | 53.8 | 7.7 |
| \$750-\$999 | 300 | 7 | 37 | 14 | 22 | 1 | 37.8 | 59.5 | 2.7 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 $\ldots$........... | 500 | 13 | 52 | 20 | 24 | 8 | 38.5 | 46.1 | 15.4 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499-........... | 370 | 8 | 82 | 27 | 35 | 20 | 32.9 | 42.7 | 24.4 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 $\ldots$.-. | 330 | 8 | 69 | 31 | 29 | 9 | 45.0 | 42.0 | 13.0 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 130 | 6 | 127 | 36 | 54 | 37 | 28.4 | 42.5 | 29.1 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 80 | 4 | 212 | 90 | 84 | 38 | 42.5 | 39.6 | 17.9 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 80 | 7 | 115 | 45 | 52 | 18 | 39.1 | 45.2 | 15.7 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 70 | 3 | 215 | 82 | 84 | 49 | 38.1 | 39.1 | 22.8 |
| \$3,000-\$3,999 | 160 90 | 8 | ${ }_{194}^{271}$ | ${ }_{85}^{113}$ | 1138 | 20 | 41.7 4.8 | 50.9 | 7.4 |

See p. 171 for notes on this table.

Table 6.-Clothing: Average money expenditure for clothing for husband and wife and other family members, and percentage distribution of such expenditure, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-96-Continued

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | Number of families |  | Average money expenditure for clothing ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  | Percentage of total family clothing expenditure |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible | Reporting extures | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { family } \\ & \text { mem- } \\ & \text { bers } \end{aligned}$ | Husband | Wife | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Other } \\ & \text { family } \\ & \text { mem- } \\ & \text { bers } \end{aligned}$ | Husband | Wife | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Other } \\ \text { family } \\ \text { mem- } \\ \text { bers } \end{array}$ |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |
| Family type: Type $I^{2}$ | 1,8504,880 | $\begin{array}{r}8 \\ 17 \\ 25 \\ 41 \\ 48 \\ \hline 1\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 30 \\ 74 \\ 82 \\ 121 \\ 129 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 14 \\ 31 \\ 37 \\ 58 \\ 60 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 16 \\ 42 \\ 44 \\ 61 \\ 68 \end{array}$ |  | 46.741.9 | 53.356.8 | ---.-- |
| $\$ 500-\$ 749$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 |  |  |  |  |  | \$1 |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 6,1907,0207,210 |  |  |  |  |  | 45.1 | 53.7 | 1.2 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 47.9 | 50.4 | 1.7 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 46.5 | 52.7 | . 8 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 7,2705,450 | 45 | 163185 | 7888 | 8599 |  | 47.9 | 52.1 |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 44.3 | 53.5 | 2.2 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 4, 160 | 43 | 207 | 88 | 118 | 1 | 42.5 | 57.0 | . 5 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 4,1902,650 | 4238 | ${ }_{294}^{218}$ | 101 | 116 | 1 | 46.342.5 | 53.257.5 | . 5 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 1,480 | 39 | 356 | 165 | 189 | 2 | 46.3 | 53.1 | . 6 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 1,230 | 32 | 412 | 172 | 232 | 8 | 41.8 | 56.3 | 1.9 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 1, 010 | 20 | 434 | 174 | 250 | 10 | 40.1 | 57.6 | 2.3 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 160 | 3 | 852 | 289 | 551 | 12 | 33.9 | 64.7 | 1.4 |
| 810,000 and over. | 120 | 9 | 1,200 | 422 | 777 | 1 | 35.2 | 64.7 | . 1 |
| Type II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749.- | 1,640 | 8 | 28 78 | 10 | 12 | ${ }^{6}$ | 35.7 | 42.9 | 21.4 |
| \$750-\$999 | 5,42055 |  | 78 | 4032 | 52 | 15 | 34.6 <br> 36.4 | 46.2 | 19.2 |
| \$1,000-81,249 |  | 24363 | 110 |  |  | 18 |  | 47.3 | 16.3 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 |  |  | 141 |  | 36 <br> 57 | 29 | 39.0 | 38.7 |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5,730 5,930 | 36 |  | 32 55 |  |  |  | 40.4 | 26.9 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 $\ldots$.-....... | 5,7004,650 | 414 | 153 | $\begin{aligned} & 64 \\ & 64 \end{aligned}$ | 61 | 28 | 41.8 | 39.9 | 18.322.4 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 |  |  |  |  | 76 79 | 48 | 36.1 38.0 | 41.5 38.9 |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999- | 3, 710 | 40 43 51 | 2205 | 94103 | 1123 | 48 | 37.937.9 | 46.8 | 23.4 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499.. | 1,740 | ${ }_{37}^{51}$ | 248 272 |  |  |  |  | 45.2 | 16.9 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,160 \\ 930 \\ 630 \\ 30 \\ 10 \end{array}$ | 342618331 | 399 | 143 | 196 | 60 | 35.8 | 49.1 | 15.1 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. |  |  | 423 | 175 | 177 | 71 | 41.4 | 41.8 | 16.8 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 |  |  | 479 | 149 | 235 | 95 | 31.1 | 49.1 | 19.8 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 |  |  | ${ }_{(\dagger)}^{622}$ | ${ }_{(\dagger)}^{183}$ | ${ }_{(\dagger)}{ }^{312}$ | $\left({ }_{(4)}^{127}\right.$ | $\underset{(\dagger)}{29.4}$ | ${ }_{( }^{50.2}$ | (i) 20.4 |
| Type 111 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 8102,420 | 820 | 73 | 19 | ${ }_{26}^{28}$ | ${ }_{29}^{26}$ | 26.0 | 38.4 | 35.638.23. |
| \$750-\$999 |  |  |  | 21 |  |  | 27.6 | 34.2 |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 3,010 | 2435 | $\begin{array}{r}96 \\ 104 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 312828 | $\begin{aligned} & 32 \\ & 37 \end{aligned}$ | 33 <br> 39 | 32.3 | 33.3 | 34.437.5 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 26.9 | 35.629.5 |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 3,980 | 31 | 139 | 44 | 41 | 54 | 31.7 |  | 38.8 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | $\begin{aligned} & 3,740 \\ & 3,540 \\ & 2,460 \\ & 2,400 \\ & 1,000 \end{aligned}$ | 43 <br> 34 | ${ }_{207}^{155}$ | 5067 | $\begin{aligned} & 53 \\ & 67 \end{aligned}$ | 5273 | 32.3 | 34.2 | 33.535.2 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 32.4 | 32.4 |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 |  | 4942 | 212262 | 7679 | 98 | ${ }_{85}^{67}$ | 35.830.2 | 32.6 | 31.632.4 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. |  | 32 | 302 | 102 | 94 | 106 | 33.8 | 31.1 | 32.4 35.1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 3,500-\$ 3,999 \ldots \\ & \$ 4,000-\$ 4,999 \\ & \$ 5,000- \\ & \$ 7,500-49,499 \\ & \$ 10,000 \\ & \text { and over } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 810 \\ & 560 \\ & 570 \\ & 140 \\ & 130 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26 \\ 31 \\ 13 \\ 10 \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 343 \\ & 441 \\ & 528 \\ & 750 \\ & 908 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 130 \\ & 132 \\ & .168 \\ & 277 \\ & 313 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 129 \\ & 197 \end{aligned}$ | 84 | 37.929.9 | 37.644.7 | 24.5$-\quad 25.4$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 184 | 176 | 31.8 | 34.9 | 25.433.336.326.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 276 | 197 | 36.9 | 36.8 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 415 | 180 | 34.5 | 45.7 | 19.8 |
| Type IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | $\begin{array}{r} 840 \\ 2,590 \\ 3,920 \\ 4,210 \\ 5,320 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 14 \\ & 18 \\ & 33 \\ & 40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 81 \\ 65 \\ 90 \\ 114 \\ 126 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20 \\ 18 \\ 30 \\ 28 \\ 40 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 18 \\ & 28 \\ & 33 \\ & 40 \end{aligned}$ |  | 24.7 | 24.7 | 50.6 |
| \$750-\$999. |  |  |  |  |  | 29 | 27.7 | 27.7 | 44. 6 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 |  |  |  |  |  | $32$ | 33.3 | 31.1 | 35. 6 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 |  |  |  |  |  | 53 | 24.6 | 28.9 | 46. 5 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 |  |  |  |  |  | 46 | 31.7 | 31.8 | 36. 5 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5,760 | 42 | 173 | 50 | 46 | 77 | 28.9 | 26.6 | 44. 5 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 4,770 | 39 | 190 | 60 | 60 | 70 | 31.6 | 31.6 | 36. 8 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 4,380 | 43 | 214 | 52 | 64 | 98 | 24.3 | 29.9 | 45.8 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 5,650 3,590 | 48 | ${ }_{2}^{274}$ | ${ }_{7}^{68}$ | 88 | 118 | 24.8 | 32.1 | 43.1 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 3, 590 | 30 | 253 | 79 | 74 | 100 | 31.2 | 29.3 | 39.5 |

See p. 171 for notes on this table.
$\dagger$ A verages and percentages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

Table 6.-Clothing: Average money expenditure for clothing for husband and wife and other family members, and percentage distribution of such expenditure, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | Number of families |  | Average money expenditure for clothing ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  | Percentage of total family clothing expenditure |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible | Reporting ex-penditures | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { family } \\ & \text { mem- } \\ & \text { bers } \end{aligned}$ | Husband | Wife | Other ramily members | Husband | Wife | Other family member |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |
| Type IV-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 2,260 | 39 | \$350 | \$101 | \$121 | \$128 | 28.8 | 34.6 | 36. 6 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 2,290 | 40 | 455 | 128 | 148 | 179 | 28.1 | 32.5 | 39.4 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499.. | 1,230 | 23 | 525 | 138 | 160 | 227 | 26.3 | 30.5 | 43.2 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 320 | 8 | 1,084 | 310 | 472 | 302 | 28.6 | 43.6 | 27.8 |
| \$10,000 and over-........ | 230 | 6 | 998 | 313 | 478 | 208 | 31.3 | 47.9 | 20.8 |
| Type V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749-------.-.-.-- | 320 | 7 | 54 | 10 | 10 | 34 | 18.5 | 18.5 | 63.0 |
| 7750-\$999 | 770 | 14 | 92 | 21 | 17 | 54 | 22.8 | 18.5 | 58.7 |
| \$1,000- 81,249 | 1,250 | 17 | 84 | 14 | 15 | 55 | 16.7 | 17.8 | 65.5 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1,940 | 32 | 119 | 25 | 25 | 69 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 58.0 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 ----------- | 2,200 | 26 | 144 | 33 | 30 | 81 | 22.9 | 20.8 | 56:3 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 2,450 | 36 | 188 | 39 | 42 | 107 | 20.8 | 22.3 | 56.9 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 2,500 | 46 | 192 | 41 | 39 | 112 | 21.4 | 20.3 | 58.3 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 1,790 | 35 | 227 | 50 | 54 | 123 | 22.0 | 23.8 | 54.2 |
| 2,500-\$2,999 | 2,410 | 34 | 306 | 60 | 59 | 187 | 19.6 | 19.3 | 61.1 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 1,710 | 39 | 333 | 72 | 78 | 183 | 21.6 | 23.4 | 55.0 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999.. | 1,070 | 30 | 407 | 84 | 92 | 231 | 20.6 | 22.6 | 56.8 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 890 | 29 | 431 | 104 | 107 | 220 | 24.1 | 24.8 | 51.1 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499.- | 440 | 14 | 688 | 170 | 156 | 362 | 24.7 | 22.7 | 52.6 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 .-.-.-...-- | 80 | 6 | ${ }^{671}$ | 169 | 226 | 276 | 25.2 | 33.7 | 41.1 |
| \$10,000 and over.......-- | 90 | 2 | (t) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| Type VI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 ....-...-.-.---- | 390 | 9 | 52 | 15 | 13 | 24 | 28.8 | 25.0 | 46.2 |
| \$750-\$999 | 920 | 18 | 71 | 24 | 13 | 34 | 33.8 | 18.3 | 47.9 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1,790 | 19 | 71 | 21 | 34 | 16 | 29.6 | 47.9 | 22.5 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499.. | 1,540 | 34 | 105 | 29 | 23 | 53 | 27.6 | 21.9 | 30.5 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 1,840 | 28 | 141 | 40 | 31 | 70 | 28.4 | 22.0 | 49.6 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1,990 | 32 | 158 | 47 | 40 | 71 | 29.8 | 25.3 | 44.9 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 1,540 | 31 | 201 | 54 | 53 | 94 | 28.9 | 26.4 | 46.7 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 960 | 31 | 229 | ${ }^{66}$ | 64 | 99 | 28.8 | 28.0 | 43.2 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 $\ldots$.........- | 1,000 | 41 | ${ }_{309}^{262}$ | 83 | 72 | 107 | ${ }^{31.7}$ | 27.5 | 40.8 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499.----------- | 480 | 24 | 309 | 96 | 92 | 121 | 31.1 | 29.8 | 39.1 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999...--...---- | 290 | 19 | 360 | 100 | 130 | 130 | 27.8 | 36.1 | 36.1 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 ------.-..- | 360 | 21 | 411 | 132 | 117 | 162 | 32.1 | 28.5 | 39.4 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499...........-- | 190 | 6 | 443 | 131 | 128 | 184 | 29.6 | 28.9 | 41.5 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 30 | 3 | 771 | 238 | 280 | 253 | 30.9 | 36. 3 | 32.8 |
| \$10,000 and over-.......- | 70 | 3 | 881 | 127 | 198 | 556 | 14.4 | 22.5 | 63.1 |
| Type VII |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 90 | 4 | 68 | 23 | 16 | 29 | 33.8 | 23.5 | 42.7 |
| 750-\$999 | 210 | 11 | 84 | 14 | 23 | 47 | 16.7 | 27.4 | 55.9 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 460 | 12 | 154 | 26 | ${ }_{2}^{23}$ | 105 | 16.9 | 14.9 | 68.2 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 630 | 27 | 114 | 24 | 17 | 73 | 21.1 | 14.9 | 64.0 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749...-.-......- | 850 | 18 | 185 | 31 | 23 | 131 | 16.8 | 12.4 | 70.8 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999.........-... | 680 | 25 | 174 | 37 | 32 | 105 | 21.3 | 18.4 | 60.3 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | ${ }^{660}$ | 23 | 246 | 49 | $\stackrel{43}{ }$ | 154 | 19.9 | 17.5 | ${ }^{62.6}$ |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 500 | 25 | 227 | 39 | 29 | 159 | 17.2 | 12.8 | 70.0 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 950 | 37 | 307 | 47 | 42 | 218 | 15.3 | 13.7 | 71.0 |
| \$3,000-\$3,490 | 560 | 26 | 328 | 57 | 58 | 213 | 17.4 | 17.7 | 64.9 |
| \$2,500-\$3,999 | 370 | 19 | 443 | 66 | 78 | 299 | 14.9 | 17.6 | 67.5 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 440 | 18 | 526 | 93 | 81 | 352 | 17.7 | 15. 4 | 66.9 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 150 | 8 | 592 | 131 | 108 | 353 | 22.1 | 18.3 | 59.6 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 50 | 4 | 1,124 | 207 | 332 | 585 | 18.4 | 29. 5 | 52.1 |
| \$10,000 and over...----- | 20 | 2 | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ |

See p. 171 for notes on this table.
$\dagger$ Averages and percentages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

Table 7.-Personal care: Average money expenditure for toilet articles and preparations, and services, and percentage distribution of such expenditure, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36
[White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | Number of families |  | A verage money expenditure for personal care |  |  | Percentage of total personal care expenditure |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible | Reporting ex-penditures | Total | Services ${ }^{1}$ | Toilet articles and preparations | Services ${ }^{1}$ | Toilet articles and preparations |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| All families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 5,940 | 50 | \$18 | \$8 | \$10 | 44.4 | 55.6 |
| \$750-\$999 | 15,480 | 110 | 21 | 10 | 11 | 47.6 | 52.4 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 22,040 | 139 | 25 | 12 | 13 | 48.0 | 52.0 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 25, 150 | 238 | 28 | 14 | 14 | 50.0 | 50.0 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749.....-----...---- | 27,330 | 217 | 35 | 17 | 18 | 48.6 | 51.4 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999............-..... | 27, 590 | 264 | 36 | 19 | 17 | 52.8 | 47.2 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 23, 110 | 256 | 42 | 22 | 20 | 52.4 | 47.6 |
|  | 17,960 | 269 | 49 | 27 | 22 | 55.1 | 44.9 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 19,700 | 295 | 54 | 29 | 25 | 53.7 | 46.3 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 11,730 | 226 | 59 | 32 | 27 | 54.2 | 45.8 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 --.....---...-- | 7,440 | 206 | 70 | 40 | 30 | 57.1 | 42.9 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 6,700 | 197 | 80 | 46 | 34 | 57.5 | 42.5 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 4,220 | 102 | 91 | 55 | 36 | 60.4 | 39.6 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 810 | 37 | 158 | 99 | 59 | 62.7 | 37.3 |
| \$10,000 and over. | 670 | 29 | 151 | 96 | 55 | 63.6 | 36.4 |
| Occupational group: Wage earner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 5,940 | 50 | 18 | 8 | 10 | 44.4 | 55.6 |
| \$750-\$999 | 11,820 | 58 | 21 | 10 | 11 | 47.6 | 52.4 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 15,380 | 75 | 24 | 11 | 13 | 45.8 | 54.2 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 15,020 | 91 | 26 | 13 | 13 | 50.0 | 50.0 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 14,450 | 68 | 34 | 16 | 18 | 47.1 | 52.9 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 13,370 | 82 | 35 | 18 | 17 | 51.4 | 48.6 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 9,500 | 68 | 39 | 19 | 20 | 48.7 | 51.3 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 6,840 | 75 | 46 | 23 | 23 | 50.0 | 50.0 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 6,630 | 72 | 53 | 29 | 24 | 54.7 | 45.3 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 3,000 | 55 | 59 | 31 | 28 | 52.5 | 47.5 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 1,370 | 44 | 67 | 36 | 31 | 53.7 | 46.3 |
| \$4,000-\$4,990 | 1,000 | 18 | 83 | 45 | 38 | 54.2 | 45. 8 |
| Clerical |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 3, 660 | 52 | 20 | 8 | 12 | 40.0 | 60.0 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 6,660 | 64 | 27 | 14 | 13 | 51.9 | 48.1 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 7, 230 | 75 | 31 | 15 | 16 | 48.4 | 51.6 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 9, 200 | 69 | 35 | 17 | 18 | 48.6 | 51.4 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999............-- | 9,830 | 71 | 38 | 21 | 17 | 55.3 | 44.7 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 9,360 | 77 | 44 | 24 | 20 | 54.5 | 45. 5 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 6,720 | 81 | 50 | 29 | 21 | 58.0 | 42.0 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 7,040 | 79 | 54 | 28 | 26 | 51.9 | 48.1 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 3,920 | 65 | 55 | 30 | 25 | 54.5 | 43.6 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 2,640 | 53 | 68 | 39 | 29 | 57.4 | 45.5 |
| \$4,000-\$4, 899. | 1,970 | 26 | 90 | 53 | 37 | 58.9 | 41.1 |
| Independent business |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1,680 | 29 | 27 | 13 | 14 | 48.1 | 51.9 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 1,610 | 24 | 34 | 20 | 14 | 58.8 | 41.2 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1,790 | 40 | 38 | 19 | 19 | 50.0 | 50.0 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 1,410 | 35 | 37 | 19 | 18 | 51.4 | 48.6 |
|  | 1,350 | 29 | 55 | 34 | 21 | 61.8 | 38.2 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 1,750 | 41 | 53 | 30 | 23 | 56.6 | 43.4 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 1,150 | 27 | 59 | 32 | 27 | 54.2 | 45.8 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 770 | 27 | 77 | 46 | 31 | 59.7 | 40.3 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 860 | 38 | 74 | 45 | 29 | 60.8 | 39.2 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 910 | 26 | 93 | 57 | 36 | 61.3 | 38.7 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 160 | 12 | 107 | 57 | 50 | 53.3 | 46.7 |
| \$10,000 and over. .-- | 120 | 3 | 156 | 89 | 67 | 57.1 | 42.9 |

See D. 171 for ante on this table.

Table \%.-Personal care: Average money expenditure for toilet articles and preparations, and services, and percentage distribution of such expenditure, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued


See p. 171 for note on this table.

Table 7.-Personal care: Average money expenditurefor toilet articles and preparations, and services, and percentage distribvtion of such expenditure, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | Number of families |  | Average money expenditure for personal care |  |  | Percentage of total personal care expenditure |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible | Reporting ex-penditures | Total | Services | Toilet articles $\underset{\text { arations }}{\text { and prep }}$ | Services | Toilet articles and preparations |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| Family type: Type I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-5749.. | 1,850 | 8 | \$14 | \$7 | \$7 | 50.0 | 50.0 |
| \$750-\$999 | 4,880 | 17 | 19 | 10 | 9 | 52.6 | 47.4 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 6, 190 | 25 | 22 | 11 | 11 | 50.0 | 50.0 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 7,020 | 41 | 26 | 14 | 12 | 53.8 | 46.2 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 7,210 | 38 | 37 | 18 | 19 | 48.6 | 51.4 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 7,270 $\mathbf{5 , 4 5 0}$ | 43 | 37 42 | 21 21 | 16 21 | 56.8 50.0 | 43. 21 50.0 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 4, 160 | 43 | 48 | 25 | 23 | 52.1 | 47.9 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 4,190 | 42 | 50 | 28 | 22 | 56.0 | 44.0 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 2,650 | 38 | 65 | 36 | 29 | 55.4 | 44.6 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 1,480 | 39 | 79 | 46 | 33 | 58.2 | 41.8 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 1,230 | 32 | 67 | 37 | 30 | 55.2 | 44.8 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 1,010 | 20 | 84 | 48 | 36 | 57.1 | 42.9 |
| \$7,500- 89,999 | 160 | 3 | 136 | 97 | 39 | 71.3 | 28.7 |
| \$10,000 and over ....... | 120 | 9 | 114 | 65 | 49 | 57.0 | 43.0 |
| \$500-\$749 Type II | 1640 | 8 | \$14 | $\$ 6$ | $\$ 8$ | 42.9 |  |
| \$750-\$999- | 3,690 | 16 | 23 | 11 | 12 | 47.8 | 52.2 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 5,420 | 24 | 26 | 12 | 14 | 46.2 | 53.8 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 5,730 | 36 | 30 | 14 | 16 | 46.7 | 53.3 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5,930 | 36 | 35 | 17 | 18 | 48.6 | 51.4 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999-- | 5,700 | 41 | 33 | 19 | 14 | 57.6 | 42.4 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 4, 650 | 40 | $\stackrel{37}{ }$ | 20 | 17 | 54.1 |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 3,710 | 43 | 51 | 28 | 23 | 54.9 | 45. 1 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 3, 100 | 51 | 51 55 | ${ }_{30}^{26}$ | 25 25 | 51.0 | 49.0 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 1,740 | 37 | 55 | 30 | 25 | 54.5 | 45.5 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 1,160 | 34 | 72 | 41 | 31 | 56.9 | 43.1 |
| \$4,000-84,999 | 930 | ${ }^{26}$ | 72 | 46 | 26 | 63.9 | 36. 1 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 630 | 18 | 102 | 65 | 37 | 63.7 | 36. 3 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 30 | 3 |  |  | 39 | 55.2 | 44.8 |
| \$10,000 and over. | 10 | 1 | ( $\dagger$ |  | ( $\dagger$ |  |  |
| Type III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500- 8749 - | 810 2,420 | 8 | $\begin{array}{r}24 \\ 18 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | ${ }_{8}^{10}$ | 14 10 | 41.7 | 58.3 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249- | 3,010 | 24 | 23 | 11 | 12 | 47.8 | 52.2 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 4,080 | 35 | 25 | 13 | 12 | 52.0 | 48.0 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 3,980 | 31 | 33 | 16 | 17 | 48.5 | 51.5 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 3,740 | 43 | 36 | 18 | 18 | 50.0 | 50.0 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 3, 540 | 34 | 42 | 22 | 20 | 52.4 | 47.6 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499- | 2,460 | 49 | 48 | 28 | 20 | 58.3 | 41.7 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 2,400 | 42 | 50 | 28 | 24 | 52.0 | 48.0 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 1,000 | 32 | 53 | 29 | 24 | 54.7 | 45.3 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999... | 810 | 26 | 81 | 35 | 26 | 57.4 | 42.6 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 560 | 31 | 87 | 51 | 36 | 58.6 | 41. 4 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499. | 570 | 13 | 77 | 48 | 31 | 59.7 | 40.3 |
| \$7,500-89,999 | 140 | 10 | 129 | 77 | 52 | 59.7 | 40.3 |
| \$10,000 and over...---...----. | 130 | 6 | 160 | 111 | 49 | 69.4 | 30.6 |
| \$500-8740 Type IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-8749.- | 840 | 6 | 25 | 9 | 16 | 36.0 | 64.0 |
| \$750-\$999 | 2,590 | 14 | 28 | 9 | 11 | 45.0 | 55.0 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,000-\$ 1,249 \\ & \$ 1,250-\$ 1,499 \end{aligned}$ | 3,920 4,210 | 18 33 | $\stackrel{28}{28}$ | 14 <br> 14 | 14 15 | 50.0 48.3 | 50.0 51.7 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5,320 | 40 | 35 | 18 | 17 | 48.3 51.4 | 51.7 48.6 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5,760 | 42 | 39 | 19 | 20 | 48.7 | 51.3 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 4, 770 | 39 | 47 | $\stackrel{27}{ }$ | 20 | 57.4 | 42.6 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 4,380 | 43 | 46 | 26 | 20 | 56.5 | 43.5 |
| \$2,500- \$2,999 | 5,650 | 48 | 57 | 32 | 25 | 56.1 | 43.9 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 3,590 | 30 | 53 | 28 | 25 | 52.8 | 47.2 |

8ee p. 171 for note on this table.

Table 7.-Personal care: Average money expenditure for toilet articles and preparations, and services, and percentage distribution of such expenditure, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-3்6-Continued

| Occupational group, familytype, and income class | Number of families |  | Average money expenditure for personal care |  |  | Percentage of total personal care expenditure |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible | Reporting ex-pendi- tures | Total | Services | Toilet articles and prep- arations | Services | Toilet articles and prep- arations arations |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| Type IV-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 2, 260 | 39 | \$68 | \$38 | \$30 | 55.9 | 44.1 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 2, 290 | 40 | 82 | 47 | 35 | 57.3 | 42.7 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 1,230 | 23 | 90 | 55 | 35 | 61.1 | 38.9 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 320 | 8 | 202 | 128 | 76 | 62.3 | 37.7 |
| \$10,000 and over -- | 230 | 6 | 151 | 102 | 49 | 67.6 | 32. 4 |
| Type V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749.- | 320 | 7 | 28 | 13 | 15 | 46.4 | 53.6 |
| \$750-\$999- | 770 | 14 | 26 | 14 | 12 | 53.8 | 46.2 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1,250 | 17 | 29 | 14 | 15 | 48.3 | 51.7 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1,940 | 32 | 30 | 15 | 15 | 50.0 | 50.0 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 2, 200 | 26 | 36 | 19 | 17 | 52.8 | 47.2 |
| $\$ 1,750-\$ 1,999$ $\$ 2,000-\$ 2,249$ | 2,450 2,500 | 36 46 | 38 39 | 18 20 | 19 | 47.4 51.3 | 52.6 48.7 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 1,790 | 35 | 56 | 30 | 26 | 53.6 | 46.4 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 2,410 | 34 | 57 | 31 | 26 | 54.4 | 45.6 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 1,710 | 39 | 62 | 33 | 29 | 53.2 | 46.8 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 1,070 | 30 | 71 | 40 | 31 | 56.3 | 43.7 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 890 | 29 | 94 | 56 | 38 | 596 | 40.4 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499. | 440 | 14 | 102 | ${ }^{63}$ | ${ }_{54}^{39}$ | ${ }_{61.8}^{61}$ | 38.2 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 80 | ${ }_{6}$ | ()$^{131}$ |  |  | 58.7 | 41.3 |
| \$10,000 and over.- | 90 | 2 |  |  |  | ( $\dagger$ |  |
| Type VI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-8749 --- | 390 | 9 | 18 | 9 | 9 | 50.0 | 50.0 |
| \$750-\$999 | 920 | 18 | 17 | 8 | 9 | 47.1 | 52.9 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1,790 | 19 | 22 | 11 | 11 | 50.0 | 50.0 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1,540 | 34 | 28 | 14 | 14 | 50.0 | 50.0 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 1,840 | 28 | 36 | 18 | 18 | 50.0 | 50.0 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1,990 | 32 | 31 | 15 | 16 | 48.4 | 51.6 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 1,540 | 31 | 46 | ${ }^{23}$ | $\stackrel{23}{ }$ | 50.0 | 50.0 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 960 | 31 | 50 | 28 | 22 | 56.0 | 44.0 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 1,000 | 41 | 53 | 28 | 25 | 52.8 | 47.2 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 480 | 24 | 61 | 32 | 29 | 52.5 | 47.5 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 290 | 19 | 65 | 38 | 27 | 58.5 | 41.5 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999.. | 360 | 21 | ${ }^{66}$ | 38 | 28 | 57.6 | 42.4 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 190 | 6 | 69 | 42 | 27 | 60.9 | 39.1 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 30 | 3 | 101 | 52 | 49 | 51.5 | 48.5 |
| \$10,000 and over-. | 70 | 3 | 136 | 62 | 74 | 45.6 | 54.4 |
| Type VII |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 -- | 90 | 4 | 22 | 5 | 17 | 22.7 | 77.3 |
| \$750-\$999 | 210 | 11 | ${ }_{20}^{20}$ | 7 | 13 | 35. 0 | 65.0 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 460 | 12 | 34 | 18 | 16 | 52.9 | 47.1 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 630 | 27 | 26 | 11 | 15 | 42.3 | 57.7 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 ........... | 850 | 18 | 28 | 14 | 14 | 50.0 | 50.0 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 680 | 25 | 40 | 17 | 23 | 42.5 | 57.5 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 660 | 23 | 39 | 19 | 20 | 48.7 | 51.3 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 500 | 25 | ${ }^{65}$ | 31 | 34 | 47.7 | 52.3 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 950 | ${ }^{37}$ | ${ }^{64}$ | ${ }_{3}^{33}$ | ${ }_{31}^{31}$ | 51.6 | 48.4 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499...-- | 560 | 26 | 70 | 39 | 31 | 55.7 | 44.3 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999... | 370 | 19 |  |  | 29 | 56.1 | 43.9 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999.. | 440 | 18 | -98 | 52 | 46 | 53. 1 | 46.9 |
| \$5,000-87,499 | 150 50 | 8 |  | 74 90 | 67 70 | 52.5 56.2 | 47.5 43.8 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 50 20 | 4 2 | $(\dagger){ }^{160}$ |  | ( ${ }^{70}$ | $\stackrel{56.2}{(\dagger)}$ | $(\dagger){ }^{43.8}$ |

See p. 171 for note on this table.
$\dagger$ Average and percentages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

Table 8.-Automoblle operation and purchase: Percentage of families owning and purchasing automobiles, average money expenditure for all families for operation and purchase, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36
[White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | Number of families |  | Percentage of all families |  | A verage money expenditure of all families for- |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible | Reporting extures | Owning automobiles | Purchasing automobiles | Operation and purchase | Operation ${ }^{1}$ | Purchase <br> (net) ${ }^{2}$ |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| All families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 5,940 | 50 | 15 | 3 | \$5 | \$4 | \$1 |
| \$750-\$999 | 15, 480 | 110 | 12 | 1 | 8 | 7 | 1 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 22,040 | 139 | 30 | 7 | 35 | 27 | 9 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499.. | 25, 150 | 238 | 28 | 5 | 37 | 28 | 8 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749.- | 27,330 | 217 | 42 | 7 | 65 | 40 | 25 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 27,590 | 264 | 52 | 12 | 97 | 61 | 36 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 23. 110 | 256 | 66 | 14 | 132 | 91 | 41 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 17,960 | 269 | 51 | 11 | 117 | 71 | 46 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 19,700 | 295 | 70 | 18 | 171 | 114 | 57 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 11.730 | 226 | 62 | 19 | 194 | 113 | 81 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 7.440 | 206 | 77 | 16 | 233 | 160 | 73 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 6, 700 | 197 | 79 | 25 | 323 | 203 | 120 |
| \$5,000- $\$ 7,499$. | 4, 220 | 102 | 89 | 32 | 443 | 243 | 200 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999. | 810 | 37 | 100 | 39 | ${ }_{641}$ | 382 | 259 |
| \$10,000 and over. | 670 | 29 | 92 | 41 | 734 | 438 | 296 |
| Occupational group: Wage earner | 5,940 | 50 | 15 | 3 |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999- | 11,820 | 58 | 10 |  | 7 | 7 | 1 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 15,380 | 75 | 30 | 8 | 32 | 26 | 6 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 15.020 | 91 | 26 | 5 | 39 | 26 | 13 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 14,450 | 68 | 38 | 8 | 65 | 36 | 29 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999.. | 13,370 9800 | 82 | ${ }_{63}^{54}$ | 13 | ${ }_{123}^{96}$ | 88 | 34 |
| \$ $\$ 2,250-82,499$ | 6, 840 | 75 | 52 | 11 | 128 | 71 | 38 57 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 6,630 | 72 | 82 | 22 | 234 | 146 | 88 |
| \$3,000-\$3,469 | 3,000 | 55 | 52 | 12 | 163 | 103 | 60 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 1,370 | 44 | 79 | 23 | 240 | 140 | 100 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 1,000 | 18 | 84 | 22 | 363 | 226 | 137 |
| Clerical |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 - | 3,660 | 52 | 20 | 3 | 13 |  | 4 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 6,660 | 64 | 28 | 4 | 43 | 29 | 14 |
| \$1,250-81,499 | 7,230 | 75 | 27 | 3 | 32 | 30 | 2 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 9,200 | 69 | 46 | 7 | ${ }^{66}$ | 43 | 23 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999.. | 9,830 | 71 | 51 | 10 | 87 | 57 | 30 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249... | 9, 360 | 77 | 73 | 13 | 137 | 107 | 30 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 6,720 | 81 | 45 | 9 | 95 | 65 | 30 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 7.040 | 79 | 59 | 13 | 113 | 85 | 28 |
| \$3,000-\$3,489 | 3,920 | 65 | 59 | 19 | 168 | 95 | 73 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 2.640 | 53 | 74 | 18 | 240 | 153 | 87 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 1,970 | 26 | 72 | 19 | 281 | 193 | 88 |
| Independent business |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499... | 1,680 | 29 | 47 | 15 | 37 | 33 |  |
| \$1,500-81,749- | 1,610 | 24 | 53 | 8 | 95 | 61 | 34 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1,790 | 40 | 54 | 10 | 114 | 71 | 43 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 1,410 | 35 | 67 | 21 | 133 | ${ }^{68}$ | 65 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 1,350 | 29 | 53 | 7 | 106 | 72 | 34 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 1,750 | 41 | 59 | 17 | 148 | 106 |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 1,150 | 27 | 72 | 14 | 178 | 118 | 60 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 770 | $\stackrel{27}{ }$ | 73 | 16 | ${ }_{238} 26$ | 180 | 88 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 860 | 38 | 79 | 38 | 339 | 182 | 157 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499.................... | 910 | 26 | 92 | 45 | 396 | 240 | 156 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 $\$ 10,000$ and over | 100 120 | $\stackrel{12}{3}$ | 100 100 | ${ }_{38}^{46}$ | 552 716 | ${ }_{522}^{281}$ | 271 194 |

See p. 171 for notes on this table.

Table 8.-Automoblle operation and purchase: Percentage of families owning and purchasing automobiles, average money expenditure for all families for operation and purchase, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-96-Continued


See p. 171 for notes on this table.

Table 8.-Automobile operation and purchase: Percentage of families owning and purchasing automobiles, average money expenditure for all families for operation and purchase, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-96-Continued

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | Number of families |  | Percentage of all families |  | Average money expenditure of all families for- |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible (2) | Reporting ex-penditures <br> (3) | Owning automobiles <br> (4) | Purchasing automobiles <br> (5) | Operation and purchase <br> (6) | Operation ${ }^{1}$ <br> (7) | Purchase (net) ${ }^{9}$ <br> (8) |
| Family type: Type I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749.- | 1,850 | 8 | 12 |  | \$7 | $\$ 7$ |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 4, 8880 | 17 | ${ }_{24}^{3}$ | 2 | $\frac{1}{37}$ | 1 | \$13 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 7,020 | 41 | 36 | 6 | 49 | 46 | 3 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 7,210 | 38 | 37 | 13 | 84 | 32 | 52 |
| \$1,750-\$1,899 | 7,270 | 45 | 44 | 12 | 116 | 60 | 56 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5,450 | 43 | 82 | 20 | 192 | 125 | 67 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 4,160 | 43 | 65 | 14 | 173 | 94 | 79 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 4, 190 | 42 | 70 | 21 | 223 | 143 | 80 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 2,650 | 38 | 64 | 20 | 225 | 133 | 92 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 1,480 | 39 | 78 | ${ }^{6}$ | 264 | 242 | 22 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 1,230 1,010 | 32 20 | 76 80 | 34 21 | 397 409 | 198 | 199 188 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 160 | 3 | 100 | 38 | 817 | 517 | 300 |
| \$10,000 and over. | 120 | 9 | 100 | 35 | 665 | 354 | 311 |
| Tvpe II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 1,640 | 8 | 31 | 12 | 5 | 3 | 2 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | ${ }_{5}{ }^{3}, 690$ | ${ }_{24}^{16}$ | 32 | 9 | 36 | 31 | 5 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 5,730 | 36 | 13 |  | 12 | 12 |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5,930 | 36 | 34 | 5 | 37 | 30 | 7 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 5,700 4,650 | 41 | ${ }_{64}^{63}$ | 14 | 115 | 90 114 | 5 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 4,760 3,710 | 43 | $\stackrel{64}{54}$ | 14 | 134 | 74 | 60 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 3,100 | 51 | 63 | 14 | 153 | 111 | 42 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 1,740 | 37 | 80 | 18 | 209 | 147 | 62 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 1,160 | 34 | 87 | 16 | 216 | 162 | 54 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 930 | 26 | 76 | 37 | 354 | 197 | 157 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 630 | 18 | 97 | 64 | 792 | 273 | 519 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 30 | 3 | 100 | 67 |  | 325 |  |
| \$10,000 and over.... | 10 | 1 | ( ${ }^{\text {) }}$ |  |  | ( ${ }^{\text {) }}$ |  |
| Type III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 810 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 2,420 | 20 | 14 |  | 3 | 3 |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 3,010 | 24 | 14 | 6 | 13 | 7 | 6 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 4,080 | 35 | 32 | 12 | 69 | 31 | 38 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 ................ | 3,980 | 31 | 47 |  | 47 | 43 | 4 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 $\ldots$ | 3,740 | 43 | 64 | 20 | 135 | 66 | 69 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 3,540 | 34 | 59 | 12 | 118 | 74 | 44 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 2,460 | 49 | 56 | 17 | 140 | 90 | 50 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 810 | 26 | 77 | 12 | 223 | 151 | 72 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999- | 560 | 31 | 95 | 19 | 382 | 283 | 99 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 570 | 13 | 94 | 23 | 353 | 231 | 122 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 140 | 10 | 100 | 45 | 601 | 325 | 276 |
| \$10,000 and over-..-------.-...- | 130 | 6 | 73 | 50 | 870 | 279 | 391 |
| Type IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-8749 | 840 | 6 | 17 |  | 7 | 7 |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 2,590 | 14 | 31 | 4 | 26 | 20 | 6 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 3,920 | 18 | 66 | 18 | 78 | 62 | 16 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 4, 210 | 33 | 31 | 5 | 36 | 28 | 8 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5,320 | 40 | 50 | 5 | 90 | 58 | 32 |

See p. 171 for notes on this table.
$\dagger$ Averages and percentages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

Table 8.-Automoblle operation and purchase: Percentage of families owning and purchasing automobiles, average money expenditure for all families for operation and purchase, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-96-Continued

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | Number of families |  | Percentage of all families |  | A verage money expenditure of all families for- |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible | Reporting ex-penditures | Owning automobiles | Purchasing automobiles | Operation and purchase | Operation 1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Purchase } \\ (n e t)^{2} \end{gathered}$ |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| Type IV-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5,760 | 42 | 52 | 7 | \$67 | \$48 | \$19 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 4, 770 | 39 | 70 | 9 | 99 | 74 | 25 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499- | 4,380 | 43 | 34 | ${ }_{6}^{6}$ | -63 | +44 | 19 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 5,650 | 48 | 76 | 18 | 149 | 108 | 41 115 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 3,590 | 30 | 54 | 22 | 217 | 102 |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 2, 260 | 39 | 73 | 29 | 273 | 135 | 138 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 2,290 | 40 | 76 | 14 | 283 | 218 | 65 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 1,230 | 23 | 94 | 30 | 349 | 230 | 119 |
| \$7,500-\$0,999 | 320 | 8 | 100 | 22 | 464 | 368 | 96 |
| \$10,000 and over. | 230 | ${ }^{6}$ | 96 | 24 | 687 | 572 | 115 |
| Type V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749-- | 320 | 7 | 14 |  | 10 | 10 |  |
| \$750-\$90-\$1,249. | $\begin{array}{r}780 \\ 1,250 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 14 | 4 |  | 18 1 1 | 4 |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1,940 | 32 | 17 |  | 10 | 10 |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 2, 200 | 26 | 32 |  | 35 | 35 |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 2,450 | 36 | 43 | 5 | 50 |  |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 2,500 | 46 | 47 | 13 | 69 | 58 | 11 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 1,790 | 35 | 51 | 4 | 95 | 72 | ${ }_{26}^{23}$ |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 2,410 | 34 | 58 | - 10 | 130 | 74 | 56 37 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 1,710 | 39 | 54 |  | 112 | 75 | 37 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 1,070 | 30 | 72 | 11 | 178 | 127 | 51 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 890 | 29 | 80 | 27 | 290 | 150 | 140 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 440 | 14 | 75 | ${ }^{27}$ | 386 | 247 | 139 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 80 | 6 | 100 |  | 540 | 264 | 276 |
| \$10,000 and over | 90 | 2 | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ |  | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) |
| Type VI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749.- | 390 | 9 | 11 |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 920 | 18 | 20 |  | 11 | 11 |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1,790 | 19 | 10 |  | 3 | 3 |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1,540 | 34 | 42 |  | 23 | 23 |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 1,840 | 28 | 60 | 6 | 75 | 50 | 25 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1,990 | 32 | 51 | 13 | 66 | 44 |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 1,540 | 31 | $\stackrel{57}{53}$ | 8 | 77 | 68 | 9 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 960 | 31 | 53 | 7 | 88 | 62 | 24 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 1,000 | ${ }^{41}$ | 72 | 14 | 130 | 92 | 38 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 480 | 24 | 63 | 8 | 135 | 97 | 38 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 290 | 19 | 82 | 0 | 125 | 125 |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 360 | 21 | 82 | 26 | 303 | 199 | 104 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 190 | ${ }_{6}^{6}$ | 95 | 42 | 479 | 335 | 144 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999.... | 30 | 3 | 100 | 67 | 650 | 230 | 420 |
| Type VII |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749... | 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 210 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 460 | 12 | 16 |  | 13 | 13 |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 630 | 27 | 32 | 7 | 22 | 11 | 11 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 850 | 18 | 42 | 26 | 78 | 31 | 47 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 680 | 25 | 29 |  | 44 | 24 | 20 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 660 | 23 | 37 | 10 | 50 | 38 | 12 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 500 | 25 | 26 | 9 | 25 | 20 | 5 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 950 | 37 | 85 | 11 | 78 | 69 | 9 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499...-- | 560 | 26 | 51 | 12 | 70 | 57 | 13 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 370 | 19 | 71 | 12 | 176 | 121 | 55 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 440 | 18 | 89 | 34 | 263 | 163 | 100 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 150 | 8 | 93 100 | -30 | 425 | 277 | 148 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 $\ldots$ | 50 | 4 | $(\dagger){ }^{100}$ | $(t)^{100}$ | $\stackrel{1}{(\dagger)} 211$ | ${ }_{(419}$ | 692 |
| \$10,000 and over | 20 | 2 |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  |  |

See p. 171 for notes on this table.
$\dagger$ Averages and percentages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

Table 9.-Recreation: Average money expenditure for recreation of specified types, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1985- 96
[White nonrelief families, including husband and wife, both native born]


See p. 171 for note on this table.
*Average amounts of less than $\$ 1$ and percentages of less than 0.1 are not shown.

Table 9.-Recreation: Average money expenditure for recreation of specified types, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1985-36-Continued

| Occupational group, family type, and income class <br> (1) | Number of families |  | A verage money expenditure for recreation |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible | Reporting ex-penditures | Total | Paid admissions |  | Equipment for games and sports <br> (7) | Other ${ }^{1}$ <br> (8) |
|  |  |  |  | Movies | Other ${ }^{\text {1 }}$ |  |  |
|  | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |  |  |
| Independent protessional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 140 | 11 | \$28 | \$13 | \$1 | \$2 | \$12 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 230 | 10 | 65 | 16 | 2 | 8 | 39 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 320 | 16 | 35 | 19 | 1 | 2 | 13 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 250 | 13 | 70 | 18 | 4 | 20 | 25 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 320 | 24 | 71 | 27 | 6 | 12 | 26 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 610 | 25 | 90 | 26 | 9 | 6 | 49 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 470 | 16 | 150 | 43 | 28 | 34 | 45 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 370 | 22 | 82 | 29 | 13 | 9 | 31 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 520 | 31 | 200 | 34 | 33 | 13 | 120 109 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 720 | 22 | 191 | 41 | 22 | 19 | 109 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 150 | 6 | 213 | 66 | 35 | 29 | 83 |
| \$10,000 and over.- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaried business |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 370 | 13 | 39 | 16 | 3 | 1 | 19 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 870 | 21 | 44 | 19 | ${ }^{6}$ |  | 10 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1,230 1,220 | 32 <br> 26 | 57 | ${ }_{26}^{16}$ | ${ }_{6}^{2}$ | $\stackrel{5}{7}$ | 16 18 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 1,420 | 30 | 87 | 31 | 6 | 12 | 38 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 1,770 | 36 | 112 | 28 | 8 | 15 | 61 |
| \$3,000- $\$ 3,499$ | 1, 640 | 35 | 126 | 44 | 14 | 16 | 52 |
| \$3,500-\$3,099 | 1,380 | 31 | 132 | 34 | 15 | 14 | 69 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 1,230 | 39 | 168 | 40 | 12 | 40 | 76 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 1,670 | 33 | 236 | 52 | 29 | 27 | 128 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 370 | 12 | 500 | 74 | 51 | 157 | 218 |
| \$10,000 and over...... | 370 | 11 | 364 | 47 | 44 | 118 | 155 |
| Salaried professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 710 | 19 | 30 | 15 | 4 | 3 |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749- | 970 | 25 | 62 | 18 |  |  |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 1,050 | ${ }_{3}^{23}$ | 48 | 20 | 5 | 2 | ${ }_{23}^{21}$ |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 1,370 | 37 | 61 | 19 | 4 | 5 | ${ }_{36}^{33}$ |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 1,310 | 30 | 70 | 25 | 4 | 5 | 36 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 1,900 | 42 | 71 | 23 |  | 8 |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 1, 550 | 28 | 92 | 26 | 7 | 16 | 43 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 910 | 29 | 101 | 31 | 14 | 21 | 35 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 1,120 | 45 | 115 | 37 | 16 | 13 | 49 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 920 | 21 | 171 | 32 | 15 | 25 | 99 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 130 | 7 | 365 | 79 | 57 | 27 | 202 |
| \$10,000 and over. | 110 | 10 | 284 | 38 | 51 | 26 | 169 |
| No gainfully employed members |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749... | 450 | 7 | 1 | 1 |  |  | (*) |
| \$750-\$999 | 300 | 7 | 9 | 4 |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249-- | 500 370 | 13 | 7 | 3 |  |  | 4 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499-. | 370 330 | 8 8 | 115 | 6 5 |  | 2 | 7 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 330 | 8 | 11 | 5 |  |  | 6 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 130 | 6 | 27 | 23 |  | (*) | 4 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249.. | 80 | 4 | 32 | 12 | 3 | 3 | 14 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 80 | 7 | 49 | 11 | 3 | 14 | 21 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 70 | 3 | 69 | 16 | 1 | 6 | 46 |
| \$3,000-\$3,099- | 160 | 8 | 64 80 | 24 | 10 | 5 1 | 25 71 |

See p. 171 for note on this table.
*A verage amounts of less than $\$ 1$ and percentages of less than 0.1 are not shown.

Table 9.-Recreation: Average money expenditure for recreation of specified types, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-96-Continued

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | Number of tamilies |  | A verage money expenditure for recreation |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible | Reporting ex-penditures | Total | Paid admissions |  | Equipment for games and sports <br> (7) | Other ${ }^{1}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Movies | Other ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |
|  | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |  | (8) |
| Family type: Type I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 1,850 | 8 | \$8 | \$6 | (*) |  | \$2 |
| \$750-\$999 -- | 4,880 | 17 | 12 |  | * | \$1 | 2 |
| \$1,000-\$1,2499 | 6,190 7020 | 25 41 4 | 21 37 | 12 | 1 4 | 1 5 | ${ }^{7}$ |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 7,210 | 38 | 46 | 21 | 6 | 3 | 16 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 7,270 | 45 | 42 | 22 | 3 | 6 | 11 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5,450 | 43 | 55 | 18 | 8 | 10 | 19 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 4, 160 | 43 | 65 | 28 | 8 | 6 | 23 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 4, 190 | 42 | 80 | 24 | ${ }^{6}$ | 8 | 42 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 2, 650 | 38 | 113 | 33 | 16 | 31 | 33 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 1,480 | 39 | 117 | 33 | 22 | 22 | 40 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 1,230 | 32 | 164 | 28 | 26 | 34 | 76 |
| \$5,000- \$7,499- | 1,010 | 20 | 186 | 48 | 32 | 31 | 75 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 160 120 | 3 9 | ${ }_{324}^{294}$ | 36 28 | 18 44 | 111 | 129 |
| ,00 and |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |
| \$500-\$749....apele | 1,640 | 8 | 12 |  | (*) |  | 7 |
| \$750-\$999... | 3, 690 | 16 | 17 | 10 |  | (*) | 6 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | ${ }_{5}^{5,420}$ | $\stackrel{24}{36}$ | 24 29 | 13 |  |  | $\stackrel{9}{12}$ |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | $\mathbf{5}, 730$ 5,930 | 36 | 29 38 | 15 | 2 <br> 3 | 3 <br> 3 | 17 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5,700 | 41 | 41 |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 4,650 | 40 | 60 | 22 | 4 | 6 | 28 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 3,710 | 43 | 80 | 19 | 4 | 5 | 52 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 3, 100 | 51 | 75 | 20 | 10 | 12 | 33 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 1.740 | 37 | 114 | 32 | 8 | 17 | 57 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 $\ldots$ | 1,160 | 34 | 117 | 32 | 12 | 19 | 54 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 930 | 26 | 141 | 33 | 16 | 16 | 76 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 630 | 18 | 204 | $\stackrel{29}{ }$ | 14 | 34 | 127 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 |  | 3 1 | ( $)^{220}$ | (t) ${ }^{43}$ | $(t){ }^{6}$ |  | (t) ${ }^{145}$ |
| Type III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749--...--- | 810 | 8 | 14 |  | (*) 1 |  |  |
| \$71,000-\$1,249- | $\stackrel{2,420}{3,010}$ | 20 | 19 | 7 |  | 3 | 7 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 4,080 | 35 | 34 | 10 | 1 | 5 | 18 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 3, 980 | 31 | 33 | 17 | 2 | 2 | 12 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 3,740 | 43 | 66 | 21 | 2 | 7 | 36 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 3, 540 | 34 | 61 | 20 | 2 | 7 | 32 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 2, 460 | 49 | 92 | 24 | 4 | 10 | 54 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 1,000 | 32 | 89 | 31 | 7 | 14 | 37 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 810 | 26 | 114 | 30 | 11 | 16 | 57 |
| \$4,000-84,999 | 560 570 | 31 13 | ${ }_{323}^{136}$ | 41 | 18 | 14 | ${ }^{63}$ |
| \$5,000- \$7,499 | 570 | 13 | 323 | 34 |  | 33 | ${ }^{231}$ |
| \$7,500-\$0,999 | 140 | 10 | ${ }_{513}^{346}$ | ${ }_{31}^{59}$ | ${ }_{61}^{23}$ | 117 | 147 370 |
| \$10,000 and over-..-- | 130 | 6 | 513 | 31 | 61 | 51 | 370 |
| Type IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 840 2900 | ${ }^{6}$ | 19 | 11 | 2 |  | 5 |
|  | 2,590 3,920 | 14 18 | -888 | 8 | 1 | (*) 1 | 1 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 4,210 | 33 | 24 | 15 | (*) |  | 9 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 -----..--...-- | 5,320 | 40 | 17 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 5 |
| \$1,750-\$1,995 | 5,760 | 42 | 53 | 20 | 4 |  | 24 |
| \$2,000- \$2,249 | 4,770 | 39 | 55 | 24 | 3 |  | 20 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 4, 380 | 43 | 62 | 26 | 1 | (*) | 35 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999- | 5, 3,500 | 48 30 | 74 110 | 34 37 | 5 7 | 8 10 | $\stackrel{27}{56}$ |

See p. 171 for note on this table.
*Average amounts of less than $\$ 1$ and percentages of less than 0.1 are not shown.
$\dagger$ Averages and percentages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

Table 9.-Recreation: Average money expenditure for recreation of specified types, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-96-Continued

| Occupational group, family type, and income class <br> (1) | Number of families |  | Average money expenditure for recreation |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible | Reporting ex-pendi- | Total | Paid admissions |  | Equipment for games and sports <br> (7) | Other 1 <br> (8) |
|  |  |  |  | Movies | Other ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |
|  | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |  |  |
| Type IV-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,500-\$8,999 | 2, 260 | 39 | \$80 | \$34 | \$5 | \$10 | \$31 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 2, 290 | 40 | 138 | 51 | 16 | 26 | 45 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 1,230 | 23 | 190 | 44 | 24 | 19 | 103 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 320 | 8 | 441 | 95 | 62 | 86 | 198 |
| \$10,000 and over.......... | 230 | 6 | 364 | 43 | 22 | 61 | 238 |
| Type V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 320 | 7 | 15 | 11 |  |  | 4 |
| \$750-\$999 | 770 | 14 | 18 | 8 | 2 |  | 5 |
| \$1,000 $\$ 1,249$ | 1,250 | 17 | ${ }_{27}^{15}$ | 12 |  | (*) | 3 8 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,250-\$ 1,499 \\ & \$ 1.500-\$ 1.749 \end{aligned}$ | 2, 2040 | 32 26 | 27 34 | 16 15 | $\frac{1}{3}$ | 1 7 | 9 9 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 2,450 | 36 | 45 | 25 |  | 6 | 13 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249- | 2,500 | 46 | 39 | 21 | 2 | 3 | 13 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 1,790 | 35 | 47 | 25 | 2 | 3 | 17 |
| \$2,500-\$2,990 | 2, 410 | 34 | 93 | 45 | 4 | 6 | 40 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 1,710 | 39 | 120 | 43 | 5 | 13 | 59 |
| \$3,500-\$3,990 | 1,070 | 30 | 114 | 44 | 14 | 13 | 43 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 890 | 29 | 102 | 38 | 17 | 15 |  |
| \$5,000- $87,499$. | 440 | 14 | 184 | 48 | 18 | ${ }^{23}$ | 95 |
| \$ $\$ 1700000$ and over | 80 80 | 6 2 | $(\dagger){ }^{281}$ |  |  |  | ()$^{130}$ |
| Type VI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 390 | 9 | 7 | 4 |  | (*) | 8 |
| \$750-\$999 | $\begin{array}{r}920 \\ \hline 180\end{array}$ | 18 | 16 | 8 |  |  | 8 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 1,790 1 | 19 34 | $\stackrel{21}{20}$ | $\stackrel{9}{7}$ | (*) |  | ${ }_{11}^{8}$ |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1,540 1,840 | 34 28 | 42 | 14 | ${ }^{\circ} 3$ | ${ }_{3}^{2}$ | 22 |
| \$1,750-\$1,909 | 1,990 | 32 | 40 | 16 | 2 |  | 19 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 1,540 | 31 | 66 | 25 | 1 | 4 | 36 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 960 | 31 | 58 | 26 | 4 | 4 | 24 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 1,000 | ${ }^{41}$ | 71 | ${ }_{31}^{22}$ | ${ }_{6}^{6}$ | ${ }_{18}^{10}$ | ${ }_{41}^{33}$ |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 480 | 24 | 94 | 31 | 6 | 16 | 41 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 290 | 19 | 108 | 30 | 15 | 14 | 49 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 360 | 21 | 148 | 33 | 8 | 18 | 89 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499-- | 190 | ${ }_{6}^{6}$ | 199 | 41 | $\stackrel{9}{4}$ | 24 <br> 3 | 125 |
| $\$ 7,500-\$ 9,999 \ldots$ $\$ 10,000$ and over... | 30 70 | 3 | ${ }_{272}^{243}$ | 47 27 | ${ }^{4} 8$ | 33 16 | 159 206 |
| Type VII |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 .-- | 90 | 4 |  | 5 |  |  | 5 |
| \$750-\$999.... | 210 | 11 | $\stackrel{23}{ }$ | 9 | 1 | 1 | 12 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 460 | 12 | ${ }_{28}^{18}$ | 12 |  | (*) | ${ }_{9}^{6}$ |
| \$1,250-\$1,499..... | 630 850 | 27 18 | 26 30 | 17 16 | (*) 1 | ${ }^{(*)} 3$ | ${ }_{10}^{9}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 680 | 25 | 35 | 19 |  | 1 | 15 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 660 | ${ }^{23}$ | 45 | ${ }_{28}$ | 3 | 1 | ${ }^{17}$ |
| \$2,250-\$2,499- | 500 905 | 25 | 81 <br> 84 | 36 <br> 38 | $\frac{1}{7}$ | 4 6 | $\stackrel{20}{33}$ |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 950 560 | 37 26 | 84 90 | 38 47 | 7 | 8 | 33 29 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 370 | 19 | 91 | 41 | 11 | 10 | 29 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 440 | 18 | 150 | 60 | 13 | 13 | 64 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 150 | 8 | ${ }_{3}^{385}$ |  | ${ }_{20}^{22}$ | 74 77 | 178 |
| $\$ 7,500-\$ 9,999$ $\$ 10,000$ and over. | 50 20 | 4 2 |  |  | $(\dagger)^{20}$ | $(\dagger){ }^{77}$ | $(\dagger){ }^{178}$ |

See p. 171 for note on this table.

* A verage amounts of less than $\$ 1$ and percentages of less than 0.1 are not shown.
$\dagger$ Averages and percentages not computed for fewer than three cases.


# Footnotes for Tables in Expenditure Tabular Summary 

## TABLE 1

t See glossary, appendix B, for eligibility requirements.
${ }^{2}$ Money income is equal to the sum of money expenditure (column 7) plus net surplus or deficit (column 8) plus net balancing difference (column 9 ).
${ }^{3}$ Nonmoney income from housing includes imputed income from owned family or vacation homes plus rent received as pay or gift (average amounts based on all families, whether or not they reported such nonmoney income).
${ }^{4}$ Includes purchases on cash or credit basis. Does not include money disbursements resulting in an increase in family assets or a decrease in liabilities. (Examples of disbursements not treated as expenditures will be found in the glossary, appendix $B$.)
${ }^{5}$ See glossary, appendix B, for definitions of surplus and deficit.
${ }^{6}$ Represents the average net difference between reported money receipts and reported money disbursements. See glossary, appendix B. A maximum balancing difference within 5.5 percent was allowable on each schedule.

TABLE 1-A
${ }^{1}$ A surplus represents an increase in assets or a decrease in liabilities, or both; a deficit represents a decrease in assets or an increase in liabilities, or both.
${ }^{2}$ Some families reported neither surplus nor deficit for the year, therefore the sum of columns 5 and 6 does not always equal 100 percent.
${ }_{3}$ Since the average amounts in these two columns are based on the number of families reporting surplus or deficit, respectively, they do not add to the average net surplus or deficit shown in column 4 for all families.

## TABLE 2

1 The averages in this table include money expenditures for goods and services purchased on either cash or credit basis. They do not include value of goods and services received without money expense. Averages are based on all families, whether or not they reported expenditures for the specified categories.
${ }^{2}$ Housing expenditures include the money expense of home owners and rent contracted for by renting families for family home and other housing. The value of fuel, light, and refrigeration is included when furnished by the landlord and included in the rental rate.
${ }_{3}{ }^{3}$ Includes all expenditures for operation and maintenance (see table 8), and the net purchase price (gross price less trade-in allowance) of automobiles bought during the schedule year. The proportion of automobile expense chargeable to business has been deducted. See glossary, appendix B.

4 Includes paid admissions, equipment and supplies for games, sports, and other recreation, club dues, and the like. Does not include expense for transportation, food, or lodging while traveling on vacation.
${ }_{5}$ Taxes include only poll, income, and personal property taxes. All other taxes, such as those on real estate, amusements and retail sales taxes, are included as a part of the expenditure for these items. Gifts do not include gifts from one member of the economic family to another.

## TABLE 3

${ }^{1}$ Includes expenditures for board at school, which amounted to less than 5 percent of average food expense for all families. Among families in the business and professional categories, it amounted at most to an average of $\$ 225$ at the income level, $\$ 10,000$ and over. For any group of 3 or more families of types IV and V, it amounted at most to an average of $\$ 117$ at the income level, $\$ 10,000$ and over.
${ }^{2}$ See glossary, appendix B, for method of deriving this figure.

## TABLE 4

${ }^{1}$ Includes housing expenditure for both owners and renters. A verage amounts for renting familjes are based on rental rate contracted for. Value of fuel, light, and refrigeration is included when furnished by the landlord and included in the rental rate. See table 4-A for percentage of families for whom these facilities were included as part of the rental rate.

2 See table 4-A for separation of expense for owning and renting families.
${ }^{3}$ Includes net money expenditure for owned or rented vacation homes, lodging while traveling or on vacation, and room at school.
'See glossary, appendix B, for method of deriving this figure. Includes nonmoney income from owned vacation homes, which amounted at most to an average of $\$ 27$ for all families, at the income level, $\$ 10,000$ and over.
${ }^{6}$ Percentages based on the average value of all housing (column 6).
TABLE 4-A
1 These two percentages do not always add to 100 , since families that both owned and rented during the zear, or received rent as gift or pay, are not included in columns 4 through 7 .
${ }^{2}$ Percentages based on renting families reporting these facilities included in rent at the end of the schedule year.

TABLE 5
1 Excludes value of fuel, light, and refrigeration furnished by the landlord and included in the rental rate. Fuel received without money expense is not included in this average, but amounted to less than 5 percent of money expense for fuel, light, and refrigeration for all families.
2 See glossary, appendix B, for items included.

## TABLE 6

1 Value of clothing gifts from one family member to another are included in the average expenditure for the member receiving such gifts. Gifts of clothing to or from individuals outside the economic family are excluded
2 For families of type I, averages and percentages shown in columns 7 and 10 are for individuals who were members of the economic family less than 27 weeks and were therefore not considered equivalent members in determining family type. See glossary, appendix $B$, for method of classifying families by types.

## TABLE 7

${ }^{1}$ See glossary, appendix B, for items included.
TABLE 8
${ }^{1}$ To obtain the average expense of operation for families owning a utomobiles, divide the average shown in this column by the corresponding figure in column 4 and multiply by 100.
${ }^{2}$ To obtain the average net purchase price (gross price less trade-in allowance) for families purchasing automobiles, divide the average shown in this column by the corresponding figure in column 5 and multiply by 100 .

TABLE 9
${ }^{1}$ See glossary, appendix B, for items included.

## Supplemental Tables

Table A.-Net surplus or deficit: Percentage of families having a surplus or deficit, and average amounts reported, by family type and income for each occupational group, in 1 year, 1935-\$6 ${ }^{1}$

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | Number of families |  | A verage net surplus or deficit (-) | Percentage of families having ${ }^{2}$ |  | A verage amount for families having 3- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible | Re- porting expenditures |  | Surplus | Deficit | Surplus | Defficit |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| Wage earner: Family type I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 1,850 | 8 | -\$79 | 50 | 38 | \$95 | \$337 |
| \$750-\$999 | 3,490 | 8 | -69 | 50 | 50 | 34 | 170 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 4,010 | 11 | 33 | 64 | 18 | 89 | 130 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 3,970 | 11 | -78 | 46 | 54 | 66 | 198 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 3,560 | 11 | 22 | 64 | 27 | 75 | 95 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 3,240 | 12 | 113 | 83 | 17 | 180 | 222 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 1,960 | 7 | 109 | 100 |  | 109 |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 1,480 | 10 | 203 | 90 | 10 | 310 | 768 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 1,200 | 11 | 166 | 91 | ${ }^{9}$ | 284 | 1,016 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 500 | 8 | 286 | 75 | 25 | 853 | 1, 417 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{\$ 3 , 5 0 0}-\$ 3,999 \ldots, 000 \\ & \$ 4,099 \end{aligned}$ | 160 110 | 11 3 | 661 <br> 548 | 100 67 | 33 | ${ }_{961}^{661}$ | 225 |
| Family type II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749-- | 1,640 | 8 | -95 | 12 | 75 | 31 | 132 |
| \$71,000-\$1,249- | 3,640 3,640 | 11 | $\square_{-21}^{54}$ | $\stackrel{57}{64}$ | 43 36 | 4 |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 3,410 | 16 | 34 | 75 | 25 | ${ }_{68}$ | 68 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 2,860 | 11 | -15 | 82 | 18 | 79 | 441 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 2,440 | 11 | 160 | 91 | 9 | 184 | 77 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249- | 1,660 | 10 | 206 | 100 |  | 206 |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 1,210 | 13 | 69 | ${ }_{78}^{62}$ | 38 | 196 | 135 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499- | 190 | 8 | 505 | 88 | 12 | ${ }_{592}$ | 111 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 3,500-\$ 3,999 \\ & \$ 4,000-\$ 4,999 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 90 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 577 \\ & 899 \end{aligned}$ | 83 100 | 17 | $\begin{aligned} & 700 \\ & 899 \end{aligned}$ | 39 |
| Family type III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749.. | 810 | 8 | -283 | 12 | 88 | 26 | 327 |
| \$750-\$999..........-..........- | 1,990 | 10 | -98 | 30 | 70 | 25 | 152 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 2,400 | 13 | -13 | 62 | 38 | 48 | 111 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 2, 510 | 10 | -55 | 70 | 30 | 104 | 424 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 2,110 | 10 | 19 | 60 | 30 | 87 | 108 |
| \$1,750-81,999.. | 1,850 | 12 | 19 | 75 | 25 | 131 | 318 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 1,430 | 11 | 47 | 73 | 27 | 203 | 368 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 840 | 14 | 98 | 64 | 29 | 235 | 188 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 710 | 10 | -48 | 50 | 50 | 380 | 475 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 170 | 6 | 316 | 83 | 17 | 426 | 234 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999.. | 90 | 3 | 677 | 100 |  | 677 |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999.--------------- | 50 | 3 | 745 | 100 |  | 746 |  |
| Family type IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749.- | 840 | 6 | -474 |  | 100 |  | 474 |
| \$750-\$999 | 1,960 | 8 | $-155$ | 38 | 62 | 64 | 288 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 2, 650 | 11 | -121 | 27 | 73 | 38 | 181 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 2, $\mathbf{2 , 9 0 0}$ | 11 | -86 -56 | 54 54 | $\stackrel{46}{46}$ | ${ }_{99}^{61}$ | 261 |

See p. 204 for notes on this table

Table A.-Net surplus or deficit: Percentage of families having a surplus or deficit, and average amounts reported, by family type and income for each occupational group, in 1 year, 1935-56 1-Continued

| Occupational group, family type, and income class <br> (1) | Number of families |  | A verage net surplus or ( - ) | Percentage of families having ? |  | Average amount for families having ${ }^{2}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible <br> (2) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Re- } \\ \text { porting } \\ \text { expendi- } \\ \text { tures } \end{gathered}$ |  | Surplus <br> (5) | Deficit <br> (6) | Surplus <br> (7) | Deficit <br> (8) |
| Family type IV-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 2,970 | 12 | \$59 | 75 | 25 | \$216 | \$412 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 2,090 | 9 | 113 | 78 | 22 | 206 | 212 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 1,870 | 11 | 28 | 64 | 36 | 176 | 230 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 2,070 | 10 | 228 | 90 | 10 | 269 | 135 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 -- | 1,150 | 10 | 661 | 100 |  | 661 |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 . | 620 | 6 | 896 | 100 |  | 896 |  |
| Family type V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 320 |  | -467 |  | 86 |  | 545 |
| \$750-\$999 | 650 | 8 | -562 | 12 |  | 201 | ${ }^{671}$ |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 870 | 8 | -103 | 50 | 50 | 49 | 258 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1,480 | 15 | 42 | 80 | 13 | 79 | 159 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 1,290 | 8 | -25 | 50 | 50 | 132 | 183 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1,340 | 14 | -63 | 57 | 43 | 119 | 305 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 1,170 | 11 | 78 | 73 | 27 | 213 | 279 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 790 | 10 | 64 | 80 | 10 | 143 | 503 |
| \$2,500-\$2,899 | 950 | 8 | -128 | 25 | 75 | 182 | 230 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 660 | 12 | 222 | 75 | 25 | 316 | 56 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 260 | 8 | 139 | 88 | 12 | 233 | 517 |
| Family type VI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 - | 390 | 9 | -289 | 11 | 89 | 50 | 331 |
| \$750-\$999 | 740 | 9 | -171 | 44 | 56 | 24 | 327 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1,420 | 11 | 34 | 54 | 9 | 72 | 59 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1,080 | 14 | -53 | 50 | 36 | 71 | 249 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 1,120 | 10 | 31 | 60 | 30 | 03 | 81 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1,050 | 11 | 38 | 64 | 36 | 133 | 129 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 830 | 10 | 161 | 90 | 10 | 185 | 50 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499- | 370 | 7 | 123 | 86 | 14 | 173 | 176 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 2,500-\$ 2,999 \\ & \$ 3.000-\$ 3.499 \end{aligned}$ | 330 60 | 11 | 369 300 | 101 | 9 | 415 300 | 99 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 |  | 3 |  | 67 | 33 | 386 |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 30 | 1 | -15 |  | 100 |  | 15 |
| Family type VII |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 90 |  | -209 | 25 | 75 | 8 | 281 |
| \$750-\$999 | 180 | 8 | -180 | 12 | 75 | ${ }^{23}$ | 244 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 390 | 10 | -292 | 40 | 50 | 25 | 604 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 430 | 14 | -98 | 36 | 64 | 70 | 191 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 520 | 7 | -242 | 57 | 43 | 84 | 676 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 480 | 10 | 29 | 70 | 30 | 107 | 153 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 360 | 10 | -108 | 40 | 60 | 106 | 251 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 280 | 10 | -7 | 60 | 40 | 188 | 298 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 540 270 | 13 | ${ }_{2}^{178}$ | 92 | $\stackrel{8}{8}$ | ${ }_{526}^{227}$ | $4{ }_{416}$ |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 270 | 7 | 257 | 71 | 29 | 526 | 416 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 120 | 7 | 206 | 71 | 29 | 320 | 78 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 110 | 3 | 499 | 100 |  | 499 |  |
| Clerical: Family type I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999. | 1,390 | 9 | 19 | 67 | 33 | 43 | 29 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 2,180 | 14 | -262 | 36 | 64 | 48 | 435 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 2,020 | 11 | -33 | 46 | 54 | 132 | 171 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 2,530 | 10 | 45 | 70 | 20 | -82 | ${ }^{65}$ |
| \$1,750-\$1,999.- | 2,680 | 12 | 70 | 75 | 25 | 187 | 283 |

See p. 204 for notes on this table.

Table A.-Net surplus or deficit: Percentage of families having a surplus or deficit, and average amounts reported, by family type and income for each occupational group, in 1 year, 1935- $\$ 6^{1}$-Continued


See p. 204 for notes on this table.

Table A.-Net surplus or defleit: Percentage of families having a surplus or deficit, and average amounts reported, by family type and income for each occupational group, in 1 year, $1935-36^{1}$-Continued

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | Number of families |  | A verage net surplus or defleit (-) | Percentage of families having 2 |  | A verage amount for families having 3- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible |  |  | Surplus | Deficit | Surplus | Deficit |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (8) | (7) | (8) |
| Family type VI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999. | 180 | 9 | -\$185 | 22 | 67. | \$34 | \$296 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 370 | 8 | -84 | 50 | 50 | 69 | 238 |
| \$1,250-\$1.499.....................- | 300 | 11 | -36 | 54 | 36 | 56 | 182 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749..................... | 560 | 10 | -132 | 50 | 50 | 66 | 329 |
|  | 720 | 9 | -46 | 44 | 56 | 188 | 233 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 590 | 14 | -78 | 57 | 43 | 210 | 462 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 380 | 9 | 20 | 78 | 22 | 156 | 458 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 250 | 8 | $-44$ | 62 | 38 | 238 | 513 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 150 | 8 | 308 | 88 | 12 | 416 | 447 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 90 | 7 | 234 | 86 | 14 | 324 | 310 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999....--------------- | 60 | 2 | 654 | 100 |  | 654 |  |
| Family type VII |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 30 | 3 | -363 | 33 | 67 | 29 | 560 |
|  | 70 | ${ }_{13}^{2}$ | $-1,077$ |  | 100 |  | 1,077 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 200 | 13 | -99 | 38 | 54 | ${ }_{50}^{62}$ | 228 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 270 | 9 | 38 | 78 | 11 | 50 | 8 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999......-.............. | 100 | 6 | -111 | 50 | 50 | 55 | 278 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 230 | 8 | 56 | 62 | 38 | 132 | 70 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 170 | 10 | 115 | 90 | 10 | 148 | 182 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 1270 | 14 | 138 | 79 100 | 21 | ${ }_{228}^{230}$ | 210 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 110 | 7 | 228 | 100 |  | 228 |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 190 | 3 | 367 | 80 | 20 | 475 | 64 |
| Independent business: Family type I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499...-......---....... | 540 | 5 | 3 | 40 | 60 | 108 | 67 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 410 | 5 | 31 | 80 | 20 | ${ }_{67} 6$ | 111 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 500 | 6 | 49 | ${ }_{6}^{67}$ | ${ }^{33}$ | 166 | 183 |
|  | 330 | ${ }_{6}^{6}$ | 138 | ${ }_{83}^{67}$ | 17 | 187 | ${ }_{887}$ |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 400 | 5 | 247 | 100 |  | 247 |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 280 | 4 | 305 | 75 | 25 | 552 | 435 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 120 | 3 | 228 | 67 | 33 | 671 | 659 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 160 | 9 | 697 | 100 |  | 697 |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499.....-............... | 160 | 4 | 1,843 | 100 |  | 1,843 |  |
| \$7,500-\$9,999... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$10,000 and over----.----...-- |  |  |  | -- |  |  |  |
| Family type II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 330 | 6 | 56 | 83 |  | 68 |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749..................... | 310 | 5 | 217 | 100 |  | 217 |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 290 | 5 | 70 | 80 | ${ }^{20}$ | 125 | 151 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 290 | 7 | 41 | 71 | 14 | 148 | ${ }^{443}$ |
|  | 230 | 5 | 283 | 60 | 20 | 508 | 109 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 270 | 7 | 217 | 100 |  | 217 |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 160 | 4 | 333 | 100 |  | 333 |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 190 | 6 | 462 | 83 | 17 | 603 | 238 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 170 | 7 | 795 | 71 | 29 | 1,150 | 94 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 170 | 6 | 646 | 83 | 17 | 812 | 183 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999. | 10 | 1 | 2,892 | 100 |  | 2,892 |  |

See p. 204 for notes on this table.

Table A.-Net surplus or deficit: Percentage of families having a surplus or deficit, and average amounts reported, by family type and income for each occupational group, in 1 year, 1935-36 1—Continued

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | Number of families |  | Average net surplus or $\underset{(-)}{\text { deficit }}$ (-) | Percentage of families having 2- |  | A verage amount for families having 3- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible | $\begin{gathered} \text { Re- } \\ \text { porting } \\ \text { expendi- } \\ \text { tures } \end{gathered}$ |  | Surplus | Deficit | Surplus | Deficit |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| Family type III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 ......... | 210 | 6 | \$7 | 67 | 33 | \$60 | \$100 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 310 | 4 | 29 | 75 | 25 | 75 | 108 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 200 | 6 | -9 | 50 | 50 | 156 | 173 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249, | 210 | 3 | 129 | 67 | 33 | 236 | 84 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 170 | 7 | 78 | 71 | 29 | 184 | 186 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999... | 300 | 7 | 258 | 71 | 29 | 375 | 35 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 ...... | 120 | 3 | 216 | 67 | 33 | 460 | 270 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 80 | 3 | 556 | 100 |  | 556 |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999.. | 80 | ${ }^{6}$ | 59 | 67 | 33 | 521 | 866 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 130 | 3 | 1,894 | 100 |  | 1,894 |  |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 50 | 3 | 759 | 100 |  | 759 |  |
| Family type IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 400 | 5 | -43 | 80 | 20 | 74 | 510 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 350 420 | 6 7 | -99 | 50 43 | 50 | $\stackrel{59}{87}$ | 77 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 420 | 7 | -144 | 43 | 43 | 87 | 423 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 2,000-\$ 2,249 \\ & \$ 2,250-\$ 2,499 \end{aligned}$ | 290 400 | 4 2 | 59 80 | 100 |  | 78 80 |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 400 | 8 | 411 | 100 |  | 411 |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 330 | 5 | 150 | 60 | 20 | 291 | $12 \overline{1}$ |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 200 | 4 | 540 | 100 |  | 540 |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999- | 260 260 | 8 | $\begin{array}{r}817 \\ 1,142 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 100 100 |  | 1,142 |  |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 40 |  | 4,124 | 100 |  |  |  |
| \$10,000 and over. | 90 | 2 | 2,852 | 100 |  | 2,852 |  |
| Family type V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 110 | 4 | $-30$ | 25 | 50 | 62 | 92 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 160 | 2 | 62 |  |  | 62 |  |
| \$2, $\$ 1.7000-\$ 2,249$. | 230 | 11 | $-165$ | 54 | 27 | 120 | 846 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 100 | 2 | 193 | 100 |  | 193 |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 250 | 4 | 290 | 100 |  | 290 |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 150 | 4 | $-10$ | 75 | 25 | 101 | 342 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 90 | 3 | 324 | 100 |  | 324 |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 70 | 3 | 1,021 | 100 |  | 1,021 |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499.. | 60 | 4 | 752 | 100 |  | 752 |  |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 20 | 1 | 1,814 | 100 |  | 1,814 |  |
| Family type VI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 90 | 3 | -107 | 33 | 33 | 81 |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 70 | 2 | -72 | 50 | 50 | 36 | 180 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 110 | 5 | -322 | 20 | 80 | 75 | 422 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249- | 50 | 3 | 135 | 67 | 33 | 208 | 12 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 110 | 6 | 263 | 100 |  | 263 |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 90 | , | 114 | 83 | 17 | 268 | 660 |
| \$ $\$ 3,0000-\$ 3,499$ | 30 <br> 50 | 3 4 4 | 292 130 | 100 75 | 25 | 292 430 | 769 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 70 | 2 | 659 | 100 |  | 659 |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 ........... | 60 | 1 | 1,115 | 100 |  | 1,115 |  |
| $\$ 7,500-\$ 9,999$ <br> -- | 30 30 | 3 1 | $2,223$ | $100$ |  | $2,223$ | --------- |

See p. 204 for notes on this table.

Table A.-Net surplus or deficit: Percentage of families having a surplus or deficit, and average amounts reported, by family type and income for each occupational group, in 1 year, 1935-961-Continued


See p. 204 for notes on this table.

Table A.-Net surplus or deficit: Percentage of families having a surplus or deficit, and average amounts reported, by family type and income for each occupational group, in 1 year, 1995-86 1-Continued


See p. 204 for notes on this table.

Table A.-Net surplus or deflelt: Percentage of families having a surplus or deficit, and average amounts reported, by family type and income for each occupational group, in 1 year, 1935-36 L-Continued


See p. 204 for notes on this table.

Table A.-Net surplus or deficit: Percentage of families having a surplus or deficit, and average amounts reported, by family type and income for each occupational group, in 1 year, 1995-s6 -Continued


Bee p. 204 for notes on this table.

Table A.-Net surplus or deficlt: Percentage of families having a surplus or deficit, and average amounts reported, by family type and income for each occupational group, in 1 year, 1985-96 - Continued


See p. 204 for notes on this table.

Table A.-Net surplus or deficit: Percentage of families having a surplus or deficit, and average amounts reported, by family type and income for each occupational group, in 1 year, 1935-96 ${ }^{1}$-Continued


[^68]Table B.-Summary of family expenditure: Average money expenditure for specified groups of goods and services, by family type and income for each occupational group, in 1 year, 1935-36 ${ }^{1}$


Table B.-Summary of family expenditure: Average money expenditure for specified groups of goods and services, by family type and income for each occupational group, in 1 year, 1935-86 1-Continued

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | Number of families |  | Average number of persons per family | All items <br> (5) | Food <br> (6) | Housing ${ }^{2}$ <br> (7) | Household operation |  | Fur-nishings and equipment <br> (10) | Clothing <br> (11) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Auto- } \\ \text { mo- } \\ \text { bile }{ }^{2} \end{gathered}$ | Other trans-portation <br> (13) | Personal care <br> (14) | Medical care | Recreation ${ }^{4}$ <br> (16) | Tobacco <br> (17) | Reading <br> (18) | Formal education <br> (19) | Con-tributions and personal taxes ${ }^{5}$ | Other items <br> (21) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible | Reporting ex-penditures |  |  |  |  | Fuel, light, and re-frigeration | Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) |  |  |  |  | (8) | (9) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Family type III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 810 | 8 | 4.0 | \$942 | \$393 | \$228 | $\$ 95$ | \$20 | \$14 | \$73 |  | \$24 | \$24 | \$26 | \$14 | \$10 | \$8 | \$6 | \$7 |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 1, 090 | 10 | 4.0 | 981 | 439 | 174 | 95 | 38 | 19 | 79 |  | 27 | 18 | 36 | 16 | 22 | 7 | 3 | 8 |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 2,400 | 13 | 4.0 | 1,124 | 477 | 201 | 103 | 31 | 38 | 99 | \$16 | 35 | 24 | 33 | 19 | 23 | 15 | 3 | 7 |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 2,510 | 10 | 4.0 | 1,431 | 582 | 225 | 110 | 38 | 41 | 95 | 105 | 41 | 25 | 53 | 33 | 31 | 17 | 8 | 25 | \$2 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 2,110 | 10 | 4.0 | 1,622 | 646 | 276 | 91 | 39 | 80 | 143 | 37 | 47 | 35 | 92 | 39 | 27 | 19 | 11 | 32 | 8 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1,850 | 12 | 4.0 | 1,823 | 656 | 312 | 105 | 48 | 54 | 173 | 154 | 32 | 36 | 87 | 73 | 38 | 18 | 4 | 27 | 6 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 1,430 | 11 | 4.0 | 1,999 | 747 | 306 | 95 | 89 | 84 | 185 | 128 | 33 | 39 | 124 | 56 | 45 | 18 | 5 | 44 | 1 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 840 | 14 | 4.0 | 2, 230 | 821 | 385 | 123 | 91 | 76 | 218 | 126 | 27 | 44 | 109 | 67 | 49 | 19 | 13 | 56 | 6 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 710 | 10 | 4.0 | 2, 737 | 868 | 420 | 123 | 152 | 71 | 246 | 375 | 33 | 46 | 161 | 70 | 44 | 24 | 45 | 41 | 18 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 170 | 6 | 4.0 | 2,577 | 774 | 317 | 188 | 119 | 66 | 261 | 386 | 31 | 56 | 66 | 83 | 38 | 27 | 44 | 117 | 4 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 90 | 3 | 4.0 | 2,965 | 792 | 599 | 127 | 211 | 200 | 278 | 168 | 13 | 62 | 97 | 151 | 67 | 25 | 46 | 131 |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 ........ | 50 | 3 | 4.0 | 3,223 | 801 | 461 | 180 | 320 | 24 | 282 | 397 | 22 | 58 | 393 | 62 | 32 | 31 | 44 | 100 | 16 |
| Family type IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 840 | 6 | 3.2 | 1,071 | 457 | 203 | 129 | 31 | 20 | 81 | 7 | 31 | 25 | 22 | 19 | 16 | 12 | 1 | 16 | 1 |
| \$750-\$899 | 1,960 | 8 | 3.6 | 1,063 | 433 | 279 | 101 | 34 | 4 | 67 | 16 | 28 | 20 | 17 | 9 | 22 | 15 | 4 | 14 |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 2, 650 | 11 | 3.6 | 1, 226 | 478 | 207 | 115 | 40 | 46 | 73 | 112 | 23 | 26 | 47 | 13 | 21 | 14 |  | 9 | 2 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 2, 140 | 11 | 3.5 | 1,408 | 573 | 260 | 109 | 36 | 11 | 116 | 45 | 40 | 27 | 84 | 15 | 34 | 16 | 18 | 24 |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749.......---- | 2,990 | 11 | 3.3 | 1,652 | 569 | 331 | 97 | 56 | 56 | 124 | 52 | 39 | 34 | 128 | 14 | - 36 | 16 | 1 | 50 | 51 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 2,970 | 12 | 3.4 | 1,773 | 595 | 294 | 164 | 52 | 58 | 134 | 49 | 51 | 40 | 82 | 68 | 39 | 20 | 41 | 37 | 49 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 2,090 | 9 | 3.6 | 2,017 | 695 | 329 | 143 | 80 | 83 | 192 | 126 | 48 | 43 | 69 | 52 | 51 | 25 | 27 | 51 | 3 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 1,870 | 11 | 3. 5 | 2,297 | 881 | 419 | 146 | 101 | 123 | 175 | 20 | 62 | 37 | 94 | 78 | 44 | 22 | 23 | 44 | 28 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 2,070 | 10 | 3.7 | 2,484 | 883 | 358 | 124 | 88 | 53 | 219 | 253 | 77 | 54 | 101 | 53 | 82 | 21 | 51 | 66 | 1 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 ........- | 1,150 | 10 | 3.6 | 2,475 | 859 | 310 | 126 | 97 | 124 | 306 | 140 | 62 | 56 | 147 | 72 | 70 | 27 | , | 77 | 1 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 620 | 6 | 3.5 | 2,703 | 1,008 | 392 | 128 | 111 | 65 | 282 | 268 | 44 | 65 | 84 | 69 | 66 | 21 | 19 | 78 | 3 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 430 | 4 | 3.8 | 3,665 | 1,049 | 305 | 149 | 190 | 146 | 527 | 196 | 193 | 76 | 278 | 191 | 105 | 29 | 58 | 168 | 5 |



Table B.-Summary of family expenditure: Average money expenditure for specified groups of goods and services, by family type and income for each occupational group, in 1 year, 1935-36 ${ }^{1}$-Continued

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | Number of families |  | Average number of persons per family <br> (4) | All items <br> (5) | Food <br> (6) | Housing ${ }^{2}$ <br> (7) | Household operation |  | Fur-nishings and equipment <br> (10) | Clothing <br> (11) | Auto-mobile ${ }^{3}$ <br> (12) | Other trans-portation | Personal care <br> (14) | Medi-calcare | Recreation ${ }^{4}$ <br> (16) | Tobacco <br> (17) | Reading <br> (18) | $\begin{gathered} \text { For- } \\ \text { mal } \\ \text { educa- } \\ \text { tion } \\ \\ \\ \text { (19) } \end{gathered}$ | Con-tributions and personal taxes s | Other items <br> (21) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible | Reporting ex-penditures |  |  |  |  | Fuel, light, and re-frigeration | Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) |  |  |  |  | (8) | (9) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clerical: Family type I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999. | 1,390 | 9 | 2.0 | \$912 | \$381 | \$230 | \$82 | \$25 | \$10 | \$55 | \$4 | \$32 | \$19 | \$23 | \$10 | \$22 | \$12 |  | \$7 |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 2, 180 | 14 | 2.0 | 1,334 | 427 | 321 | 59 | 55 | 52 | 115 | 100 | 39 | 28 | 49 | 36 | 16 | 15 |  | 20 | \$2 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 2,020 | 11 | 2.0 | 1,349 | 461 | 297 | 44 | 48 | 15 | 155 | 74 | 41 | 32 | 56 | 33 | 31 | 19 | \$4 | 37 | 2 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 2,530 | 10 | 2.0 | 1,582 | 522 | 396 | 85 | 73 | 25 | 110 | 52 | 51 | 38 | 81 | 43 | 39 | 17 | 1 | 49 |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 ......... | 2, 680 | 12 | 2.0 | 1,771 | 514 | 397 | 67 | 76 | 76 | 169 | 116 | 37 | 42 | 91 | 54 | 50 | 20 | 1 | 47 | 14 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 2,250 | 11 | 2.0 | 2,046 | 529 | 442 | 77 | 118 | 75 | 174 | 240 | 31 | 43 | 101 | 42 | 54 | 23 |  | 91 | 6 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 1,420 | 12 | 2.0 | 2, 226 | 629 | 397 | 88 | 125 | 99 | 216 | 66 | 80 | 48 | 140 | 74 | 52 | 27 |  | 141 | 44 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 1,440 | 8 | 2.0 | 2, 190 | 570 | 516 | 57 | 131 | 56 | 202 | 163 | 62 | 39 | 142 | 53 | 27 | 24 |  | 107 | 41 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 820 | 10 | 2.0 | 2, 465 | 697 | 422 | 45 | 125 | 108 | 336 | 128 | 95 | 61 | 101 | 77 | 61 | 28 | 4 | 167 | 10 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 ........- | 490 | 9 | 2.0 | 3,087 | 801 | 519 | 75 | 173 | 263 | 381 | 207 | 49 | 85 | 113 | 150 | 75 | 38 |  | 151 | 7 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 360 | 4 | 2.0 | 3,570 | 788 | 530 | 54 | 215 | 78 | 415 | 451 | 147 | 67 | 115 | 110 | 70 | 47 | 38 | 440 | 5 |
| Family type II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 880 | 9 | 3.0 | 964 | 409 | 231 | 74 | 22 | 39 | 47 |  | 39 | 20 | 26 | 18 | 20 | 11 | 1 | 7 |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249........- | 1,780 | 13 | 3.0 | 1,175 | 420 | 265 | 83 | 28 | 38 | 102 | 27 | 31 | 24 | 66 | 24 | 35 | 14 |  | 15 | 3 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499.........- | 1,720 | 6 | 3.0 | 1, 306 | 447 | 370 | 57 | 66 | 4 | 90 |  | 48 | 33 | 97 | 25 | 31 | 18 | 3 | 13 | 4 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 2, 260 | 9 | 3.0 | 1,581 | 583 | 331 | 81 | 67 | 37 | 134 | 32 | 45 | 34 | 91 | 41 | 44 | 19 | 3 | 39 |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 2,330 | 10 | 3.0 | 1,811 | 612 | 390 | 89 | 58 | 74 | 165 | 61 | 56 | 33 | 89 | 29 | 28 | 15 | 2 | 110 |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 .......-- | 1,950 | 13 | 3.0 | 2,001 | 630 | 434 | 95 | 65 | 51 | 208 | 176 | 42 | 38 | 61 | 63 | 41 | 22 | 2 | 67 | 6 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 $\ldots \ldots .$. | 1,490 | 9 | 3.0 | 2, 205 | 782 | 368 | 108 | 155 | 36 | 200 | 114 | 38 | 50 | 162 | 68 | 42 | 25 | 8 | 47 | 2 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 ........- | 1,170 | 14 | 3.0 | 2, 302 | 732 | 499 | 101 | 131 | 51 | 225 | 99 | 53 | 50 | 110 | 82 | 61 | 26 | 6 | 70 | 6 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 $\ldots \ldots . .$. | 610 | 11 | 3.0 | 2, 816 | 801 | 559 | 111 | 214 | 100 | 259 | 176 | 39 | 49 | 216 | 92 | 48 | 30 | 6 | 95 | 21 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 $\ldots$.....-- | 340 | 7 | 3. 0 | 3, 147 | 889 | 560 | 68 | 212 | 161 | 451 | 234 | 37 | 71 | 142 | 116 | 39 | 38 | 29 | 95 | 5 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 ......... | 180 | 3 | 3.0 | 3,998 | 1,297 | 564 | 143 | 395 | 50 | 350 | 444 | 57 | 81 | 265 | 64 | 67 | 39 | 7 | 172 | 3 |



Table B.-Summary of family expenditure: Average money expenditure for specified groups of goods and services, by family type and income for each occupational group, in 1 year, 1935-361—Continued

| Occupationalgroup, family type, and income class | Number of famjlies |  | Average number of persons per family | All items <br> (5) | Food <br> (6) | Housing ${ }^{2}$ <br> (7) | Household operation |  | Fur-nishings and equipment <br> (10) | Olothing <br> (11) | Auto-mobile ${ }^{3}$ <br> (12) | Other trans-portation | Personal care <br> (14) | Medical care <br> (15) | Recreation 4 <br> (16) | Tobace <br> (17) | Reading <br> (18) | Formal education | Con-tributions and personal taxes | Other items <br> (21) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible | Reporting ex-penditures |  |  |  |  | Fuel, light, and re-frigeration | Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) |  |  |  |  | (8) | (9) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Family type VIContinued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 590 | 14 | 5.4 | \$2,170 | \$778 | \$397 | \$125 | \$122 | \$50 | \$217 | \$78 | \$35 | \$45 | \$124 | \$60 | \$27 | \$22 | \$28 | \$52 | \$10 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 380 | 9 | 5.2 | 2,395 | 893 | 450 | 92 | 105 | 69 | 232 | 51 | 46 | 55 | 190 | 63 | 49 | 18 | 33 | 49 |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 250 | 8 | 5.4 | 2,582 | 854 | 433 | 113 | 148 | 55 | 275 | 151 | 35 | 61 | 149 | 94 | 52 | 25 | 59 | 73 | 5 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499........... | 150 | 8 | 5.2 | 2,896 | 946 | 465 | 129 | 221 | 71 | 329 | 181 | 84 | 56 | 119 | 76 | 27 | 33 | 32 | 124 | 3 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 ......... | 90 | 7 | 5.3 | 3,290 | 966 | 619 | 163 | 267 | 97 | 427 | 96 | 38 | 63 | 193 | 113 | 37 | 28 | 50 | 130 | 3 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999..........- | 60 | 2 | 5.0 | 3,284 | 904 | 421 | 196 | 205 | 110 | 395 | 339 | 55 | 73 | 129 | 179 | 65 | 48 | 36 | 113 | 16 |
| Family type VII |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999. | 30 | 3 | 7.0 | 1,296 | 568 | 300 | 99 | 34 | 20 | 97 |  | 31 | 28 | 21 | 20 | 38 | 20 | 5 | 15 |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 $\ldots . .$. | 70 | 2 | 8.0 | 2, 120 | 822 | 305 | 203 | 130 | 17 | 230 | 78 | 29 | 68 | 52 | 22 | 91 | 28 | 7 | 13 | 25 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499......... | 200 | 13 | 7.3 | 1,479 | 650 | 271 | 127 | 45 | 22 | 124 | 44 | 46 | 25 | 33 | 21 | 19 | 15 | 15 | 19 | 3 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749.........- | 270 | 9 | 7.4 | 1,559 | 747 | 231 | 132 | 36 | 28 | 147 | 13 | 62 | 27 | 29 | 22 | 28 | 15 | 24 | 17 | 1 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999.........- | 100 | 6 | 7.2 | 1,981 | 795 | 313 | 150 | 75 | 55 | 126 | 41 | 49 | 43 | 152 | 41 | 68 | 18 | 26 | 27 | 2 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 230 | 8 | 7.2 | 2, 033 | 807 | 370 | 137 | 61 | 69 | 223 | 22 | 39 | 43 | 85 | 45 | 13 | 17 | 27 | 43 | 32 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 170 | 10 | 7.3 | 2, 187 | 955 | 349 | 163 | 76 | 60 | 194 | 1 | 68 | 50 | 41 | 64 | 46 | 23 | 50 | 45 | 2 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 270 | 14 | 7.3 | 2,564 | 1,020 | 341 | 189 | 79 | 28 | 318 | 76 | 80 | 60 | 142 | 46 | 56 | 21 | 24 | 54 | 30 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 110 | 7 | 6.9 | 2,880 | ,948 | 458 | 144 | 182 | 75 | 345 | 97 | 67 | 64 | 166 | 95 | 46 | 32 | 80 | 72 | 9 |
| \$3,500-\$8,999 | 100 | 3 | 7.0 | 3, 192 | 1,021 | 405 | 116 | 165 | 270 | 431 | 122 | 108 | 58 | 132 | 92 | 135 | 30 | 10 | 96 | 1 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 $\ldots$.......- | 190 | 5 | 7.0 | 3,930 | 1,405 | 444 | 148 | 100 | 72 | 646 | 212 | 113 | 111 | 195 | 185 | 92 | 27 | 29 | 146 | 4 |
| Independent business: Family type I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499.......... | 540 | 5 | 2.0 | 1,275 | 403 | 336 | 83 | 45 | 4 | 107 | 63 | 18 | 22 | 65 | 65 | 44 | 11 |  | 8 | 1 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749........... | 410 | 5 | - 2.0 | 1,579 | 568 | 370 | 64 | 63 | 33 | 143 | 88 | 22 | 44 | 22 | 36 | 76 | 20 |  | 29 | 1 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 500 | 6 | 2.0 | 1,769 | 506 | 441 | 78 | 102 | 41 | 155 | 128 | 49 | 35 | 52 | 58 | 41 | 20 |  | 59 | 4 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 320 | 6 | 2.0 | 1,916 | 526 | 488 | 47 | 89 | 27 | 156 | 140 | 45 | 33 | 55 | 115 | 47 | 22 |  | 99 | 27 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499.........- | 330 | 6 | 2.0 | 2,268 | 598 | 507 | 121 | 168 | 106 | 228 | 179 | 32 | 48 | 70 | 66 | 71 | 25 |  | 47 | 2 |


| \＄2，500－\＄2，999 | 400 | 5 | 2.0 | 2， 455 | 726 | 498 | 43 | 125 | 125 | 205 | 202 | 35 | 55 | 109 | 105 | 87 | 33 | －－．－．．． | 101 |  | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \＄3，000－\＄3，499． | 280 | 4 | 2.0 | 3， 125 | 747 | 682 | 107 | 211 | 57 | 223 | 171 | 41 | 67 | 90 | 192 | 72 | 30 |  | 388 | 47 | 7 |
| \＄3，500－\＄3，999． | 120 | 3 | 2.0 | 3， 570 | 1，036 | 500 | 63 | 225 | 182 | 589 | 262 | 22 | 88 | 45 | 185 | 57 | 28 |  | 276 | 12 | 2 |
| \＄4，000－\＄4，999．． | 160 | 9 | 2.0 | 3，611 | 875 | 559 | 114 | 185 | 148 | 408 | 478 | 38 | 71 | 171 | 116 | 58 | 34 |  | 350 |  | 6 |
| \＄5，000－\＄7，499 ．．．．．．．．－－ | 160 | 4 | 2.0 | 4，188 | 944 | 640 | 143 | 567 | 16 | 446 | 208 | 23 | 76 | 210 | 236 | 95 | 36 |  | 541 |  | 7 |
| \＄7，500－\＄9，999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \＄10，000 and over－．．．－ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Family type II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \＄1，250－\＄1，499 | 330 | 6 | 3.0 | 1，235 | 462 | 267 | 112 | 54 | 14 | 106 | 34 | 24 | 34 | 42 | 17 | 28 | 14 | 4 | 23 |  |  |
| \＄1，500－\＄1，749 | 310 | 5 | 3.0 | 1，369 | 541 | 242 | 107 | 59 | 16 | 124 | 52 | 32 | 37 | 36 | 21 | 41 | 18 | 4 | 39 |  |  |
| \＄1，750－\＄1，999 | 290 | 5 | 3.0 | 1，820 | 630 | 338 | 105 | 64 | 44 | 154 | 258 | 9 | 31 | 45 | 44 | 28 | 20 |  | 48 |  | 2 |
| \＄2，000－\＄2，249 | 290 | 7 | 3.0 | 2， 029 | 633 | 423 | 60 | 109 | 67 | 209 | 150 | 34 | 41 | 119 | 76 | 37 | 21 | 1 | 43 |  | 6 |
| \＄2，250－\＄2，499．．．．．．．．－ | 230 | 5 | 3.0 | 2， 132 | 746 | 517 | 76 | 200 | 25 | 190 | 4 | 27 | 50 | 83 | 41 | 59 | 21 | 9 | 36 | 48 | 8 |
| \＄2，500－\＄2，909 | 270 | 7 | 3.0 | 2，544 | 741 | 615 | 61 | 193 | 104 | 243 | 194 | 40 | 57 | 85 | 57 | 68 | 24 | 13 | 45 |  | 4 |
| \＄3，000－\＄3，499 | 160 | 4 | 3.0 | 2，980 | 777 | 908 | 59 | 308 | 118 | 224 | 80 | 18 | 53 | 150 | 119 | 52 | 32 | 1 | 79 |  | 2 |
| \＄3，500－\＄3，990． | 190 | 6 | 3.0 | 3，262 | 807 | 610 | 62 | 253 | 207 | 395 | 287 | 52 | 90 | 132 | 91 | 85 | 30 | 9 | 149 |  | $3 \quad 1$ |
| \＄4，000－\＄4，999． | 170 | 7 | 3.0 | 3，456 | 852 | 587 | 100 | 353 | 78 | 365 | － 294 | 59 | 75 | 361 | 86 | 50 | 46 |  | 147 |  | 3 为 |
| \＄5，000－\＄7，499．． | 170 | 6 | 3.0 | 5，459 | 1，044 | 735 | 124 | 741 | 54 | 618 | 509 | 35 | 115 | 462 | 285 | 54 | 49 | 146 | 477 | 11 | 1 － |
| $\$ 7,500-\$ 9,909$ $\$ 10,000 \text { and over }$ | 10 | 1 | 3.0 | 6，786 | 1，005 | 1，550 | 101 | 767 | 310 | 650 | 1，429 | 10 | 110 | 175 | 147 | 87 | 31 | 30 | 370 |  | 15 |
| Family type III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| \＄1，250－\＄1，499 ．．．．．．．．． | 210 | 6 | 4.0 | 1，354 | 537 | 297 | 124 | 75 | 16 | 73 | 31 | 11 | 25 | 48 | 24 | 23 | 14 | 9 | 39 |  | 8 |
| \＄1，500－\＄1，749 ．．．．．．．．． | 310 | 4 | 4.0 | 1，506 | 650 | 332 | 108 | 54 | 5 | 93 | 48 | 31 | 30 | 21 | 36 | 61 | 11 | 2 | 24 |  | 岁 |
| \＄1，750－\＄1，999 ．．．．．．．．．－ | 200 | 6 | 4.0 | 1，918 | 746 | 488 | 88 | 81 | 17 | 136 | 81 | 20 | 45 | 74 | 54 | 45 | 19 | 14 | 27 |  | 3 3 |
| \＄2，000－\＄2，249 | 210 | 3 | 4.0 | 2，086 | 739 | 476 | 55 | 76 | 106 | 138 | 229 | 27 | 43 | 54 | 68 | 39 | 19 | 1 | 16 |  |  |
| \＄2，250－\＄2，499 $\ldots \ldots . . .$. | 170 | 7 | 4.0 | 2， 319 | 730 | 510 | 82 | 94 | 29 | 243 | 146 | 31 | 55 | 63 | 61 | 42 | 29 | 18 | 182 |  | 4 盛 |
| \＄2，500－\＄2，999． | 300 | 7 | 4.0 | 2，336 | 787 | 465 | 105 | 132 | 51 | 238 | 213 | 67 | 42 | 65 | 54 | 48 | 28 | 3 | 30 |  | 8 |
| \＄3，000－\＄3，499 | 120 | 3 | 3.7 | 2，886 | 952 | 411 | 167 | 105 | 22 | 338 | 435 | 19 | 63 | 47 | 112 | 70 | 31 | 43 | 70 |  | 1 |
| \＄3，500－\＄3，999 | 80 | 3 | 4． 0 | 3，226 | 959 | 442 | 190 | 141 | 87 | 193 | 685 | 12 | 68 | 149 | 93 | 41 | 32 | 14 | 110 | 10 | 0 |
| \＄4，000－\＄4，999 | 80 | 6 | 4． 0 | 4，455 | 1，096 | 733 | 81 | 489 | 76 | 566 | 661 | 27 | 115 | 124 | 141 | 71 | 42 | 19 | 199 | 15 | 5 |
| \＄5，000－\＄7，499 $\ldots \ldots . . .-{ }^{\text {－}}$ | 130 | 3 | 4.0 | 3，798 | 985 | 973 | 91 | 320 | 69 | 640 | 145 | 43 | 95 | 68 | 142 | 60 | 35 | 9 | 115 |  | 8 |
| $\$ 7,500-\$ 9,999$ | 50 | 3 | 4.0 | 7，323 | 1，569 | 1，387 | 221 | 940 | 244 | 622 | 687 | 72 | 106 | 282 | 155 | 122 | 46 | 252 | 580 | 38 | 8 |
| Family type IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \＄1，250－\＄1，499 | 400 | 5 | 3． 6 | 1，288 | 496 | 285 | 65 | 58 | 6 | 154 | 17 | 52 | 29 | 39 | 38 | 11 | 15 | 4 | 19 |  |  |
| \＄1，500－\＄1，749 | 350 | 6 | 3.3 | 1，550 | 527 | 324 | 77 | 52 | 16 | 121 | 209 | 45 | 22 | 52 | 17 | 23 | 27 | 7 | 31 |  |  |
| \＄1，750－\＄1，999 | 420 | 7 | 3． 4 | 1，918 | 696 | 363 | 123 | 111 | 23 | 164 | 69 | 36 | 40 | 171 | 36 | 16 | 23 | 2 | 43 |  | 2 |
| \＄2，000－\＄2，249．．．．．．．．－ | 290 | 4 | 3.5 | 2， 037 | 683 | 478 | 138 | 57 | 63 | 203 | 74 | 42 | 30 | 45 | 95 | 64 | 15 | 12 | 38 |  |  |
| \＄2，250－\＄2，499．．．．．．．．．．． | 400 | 2 | 3.5 | 2， 222 | 741 | 386 | 123 | 106 | 24 | 282 | 72 | 34 | 63 | 221 | 42 | 12 | 14 | 5 | 71 |  | 6 |

Table B.-Summary of family expenditure: Average money expenditure for specified groups of goods and services, by family type and income for each occupational group, in 1 year, 1935-361-Continued

| Occupationalgroup, family type, and income class | Number of families |  | Average number of persons per family <br> (4) | All items <br> (5) | Food <br> (6) | Housing ${ }^{2}$ <br> (7) | Household operation |  | Fur-nishings and equipment <br> (10) | Clothing <br> (11) | Auto-mobile | Other trans-portation | Personal care | Medical care <br> (15) | Recreation ${ }^{4}$ <br> (10) | Tobacco <br> (17) | Reading <br> (18) | Formal education <br> (19) | Con-tributions and personal taxes ${ }^{5}$ | Other items <br> (21) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible <br> (2) | Report ing ex-penditures |  |  |  |  | Fuel, light, and re-frigeration | Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) |  | (3) |  |  |  |  | (8) | (9) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Family type IVContinued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 400 | 8 | 3.6 | \$2, 279 | \$716 | \$428 | \$133 | \$148 | \$38 | \$286 | \$92 | \$49 | \$64 | \$79 | \$77 | \$30 | \$29 | \$32 | \$66 | \$12 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 330 | 5 | 4.0 | 2,715 | 904 | 381 | 157 | 234 | 84 | 370 | 106 | 47 | 49 | 128 | 58 | 22 | 20 | 82 | 55 | 18 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 200 | 4 | 3.5 | 3, 072 | 849 | 509 | 128 | 184 | 55 | 541 | 162 | 38 | 72 | 104 | 117 | 133 | 19 | 11 | 143 | 7 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 260 | 8 | 3.6 | 3,450 | 987 | 509 | 144 | 197 | 192 | 389 | 277 | 61 | 69 | 169 | 100 | 88 | 23 | 31 | 208 | 6 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499. | 260 | 6 | 3.5 | 4,048 | 982 | 643 | 185 | 348 | 92 | 473 | 425 | 41 | 84 | 70 | 229 | 106 | 38 | 95 | 231 | 6 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999......... | 40 | 3 | 3.6 | 3,859 | 1,096 | 441 | 180 | 182 | 109 | 783 | 226 | 9 | 93 | 83 | 69 | 32 | 29 | 108 | 438 | 1 |
| \$10,000 and over.... | 90 | 2 | 3.5 | 11,773 | 2,276 | 542 | 207 | 710 | 260 | 1, 435 | 1,079 | 155 | 156 | 284 | 584 | 178 | 61 | 1,500 | 2,340 | 6 |
| Family type V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 110 | 4 | 5.3 | 1,430 | 745 | 217 | 136 | 72 | 15 | 77 |  | 24 | 27 | 22 | 30 | 33 | 14 | 6 | 12 |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 160 | 2 | 5. 5 | 1,534 | 717 | 264 | 104 | 53 | 24 | 139 | 48 | 6 | 30 | 16 | 42 | 51 | 15 | 11 | 12 | 2 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 200 | 5 | 5.2 | 1,910 | 837 | 381 | 77 | 100 | 20 | 192 |  | 55 | 42 | 53 | 28 | 51 | 20 | 6 | 44 | 4 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 $\ldots$...... | 230 | 11 | 5.3 | 2, 148 | 767 | 369 | 168 | 86 | 33 | 187 | 119 | 46 | 43 | 159 | 38 | 41 | 20 | 21 | 51 |  |
| \$2,250 \$2,490 $\ldots \ldots$ | 100 | 2 | 6.0 | 1,984 | 564 | 358 | 126 | 59 | 10 | 86 | 138 | 34 | 74 | 218 | 46 | 54 | 32 | 62 | 66 | 57 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 250 | 4 | 5. 2 | 2,335 | 853 | 389 | 150 | 95 | 48 | 331 | 39 | 36 | 42 | 121 | 58 | 56 | 18 | 1 | 97 | 1 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499........ | 150 | 4 | 5.0 | 3,151 | 926 | 533 | 129 | 177 | 33 | 326 | 315 | 65 | 61 | 148 | 100 | 94 | 42 | 32 | 166 | 4 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999........ | 90 | 3 | 5.0 | 3, 315 | 1,298 | 595 | 81 | 215 | 61 | 317 | 187 | 129 | 60 | 56 | 30 | 48 | 39 | 9 | 81 | 9 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 $\ldots$...... | 70 | 3 | 5. 2 | 3, 291 | 1,205 | 542 | 186 | 158 | 22 | 305 | 75 | 69 | 79 | 133 | 90 | 129 | 26 | 40 | 226 | 6 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 60 | 4 | 5.2 | 5,410 | 1,546 | 784 | 160 | 470 | 112 | 664 | 351 | 74 | 118 | 155 | 270 | 171 | 46 | 201 | 278 | 10 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 20 | 1 | 5.0 | 5,377 | 1,207 | 717 | 108 | 207 | 76 | 700 | 350 | 52 | 121 | 241 | 120 | 51 | 48 | 327 | 1,046 | 6 |
| Family type VI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 90 | 3 | 5.3 | 1,315 | 548 | 342 | 104 | 31 | 6 | 66 | 37 | 15 | 26 | 38 | 15 | 24 | 19 | 10 | 34 |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749........ | 70 | 2 | 5. 5 | 1,681 | 706 | 312 | 130 | 32 | 60 | 109 | 86 | 28 | 42 | 50 | 40 | 36 | 12 | 12 | 14 | 12 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999........ | 110 | 5 | 5.2 | 2,071 | 864 | 322 | 121 | 104 | 31 | 150 | 153 | 5 | 31 | 145 | 42 | 43 | 19 | 14 | 27 |  |


| \$2,000-\$2,249 ........- | 50 | 3 | 5.3 | 1,865 | 840 | 319 | 120 | 115 | 61 | 194 |  | 9 | 42 | 61 | 44 | 3 | 13 | 6 | 37 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 110 | 6 | 5.0 | 2,048 | 785 | 322 | 124 | 101 | 35 | 223 | 95 | 24 | 43 | 111 | 61 | 47 | 25 | 6 | 45 | 1 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 90 | 6 | 5. 2 | 2,579 | 876 | 433 | 166 | 155 | 59 | 256 | 146 | 16 | 52 | 174 | 54 | 35 | 22 | 19 | 110 | 6 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 30 | 3 | 3.0 | 3, 027 | 1,218 | 566 | 126 | 301 | 19 | 256 | 58 | 76 | 78 | 70 | 92 | 74 | 34 | 4 | 55 |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 50 | 4 | 5. 0 | 3,478 | 1,123 | 472 | 113 | 259 | 113 | 383 | 152 | 62 | 76 | 265 | 110 | 64 | 35 | 48 | 128 | 75 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999... | 70 | 2 | 5. 0 | 3, 543 | 957 | 314 | 315 | 421 | 229 | 400 | 403 | 27 | 41 | 55 | 67 | 25 | 136 | 34 | 109 | 10 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 60 | 1 | 5. 0 | 3,915 | 1. 020 | 150 | 206 | 380 | 297 | 564 | 743 |  | 46 | 48 | 271 | 51 | 46 | 5 | 88 |  |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 30 | 3 | 5. 0 | 6, 131 | 1,647 | 612 | 321 | 868 | 320 | 771 | 650 | 18 | 101 | 183 | 243 | 36 | 105 | 53 | 187 | 16 |
| \$10,000 and over ..... | 30 | 1 | 5.0 | 11, 748 | 2,737 | 2,600 | 241 | 3,148 | 150 | 841 | 404 | 300 | 156 | 204 | 393 | 78 | 50 | 158 | 269 | 19 |
| Family type VII |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,750-\$1.999 | 70 | 6 | 7.2 | 1. 893 | 807 | 296 | 156 | 63 | 30 | 198 | 33 | 29 | 49 | 54 | 34 | 74 | 20 | 15 | 30 | 5 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 20 | 1 | 7.0 | 1, 991 | 893 | 350 | 146 | 60 | 33 | 145 | 85 | 50 | 28 | 66 | 49 |  | 16 | 4 | 66 |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 10 | 1 | 8.0 | 2. 426 | 919 | 493 | 177 | 39 | 23 | 310 | 45 | 26 | 110 | 24 | 30 | 164 | 33 | 9 | 24 |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 40 | 4 | 7.0 | 2,619 | 934 | 436 | 175 | 159 | 38 | 248 | 68 | 43 | 68 | 189 | 95 | 12 | 26 | 23 | 103 | 2 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 $\ldots \ldots . . .-$ | 80 | 4 | 7.2 | 2, 872 | 1,226 | 408 | 191 | 117 | 75 | 335 | 82 | 54 | 70 | 112 | 40 | 36 | 24 | 50 | 50 | 2 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 40 | 4 | 7.0 | 3,426 | 1,035 | 358 | 200 | 100 | 168 | 427 | 214 | 32 | 63 | 401 | 83 | 49 | 27 | 30 | 204 | 35 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 50 | 3 | 7.0 | 3, 896 | 1,191 | 605 | 240 | 221 | 44 | 496 | 251 | 23 | 74 | 159 | 102 | 36 | 27 | 75 | 349 | 3 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499. | 70 | 2 | 7.5 | 6,625 | 1,930 | 634 | 284 | 470 | 177 | 551 | 644 | 40 | 132 | 642 | 362 | 117 | 40 | 95 | 466 | 41 |
| \$7,500-\$8,999 | 10 | 1 | 8.0 | 9,097 | 2,223 | 784 | 398 | 948 | 106 | 1,280 | 417 | 26 | 154 | 452 | 567 | 73 | 97 | 46 | 1,392 | 134 |
| Independent professional: Family type I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 60 | 5 | 2.0 | 1,547 | 428 | 417 | 121 | 90 | 28 | 127 | 89 | 83 | 31 | 37 | 18 | 24 | 27 |  | 19 | 8 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 110 | 3 | 2.0 | 1,547 | 585 | 413 | 52 | 51 | 51 | 93 | 61 | 46 | 28 | 21 | 96 | 19 | 15 |  | 15 | 1 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 70 | 4 | 2.0 | 1,739 | 539 | 443 | 69 | 90 | 8 | 112 | 106 | 54 | 27 | 142 | 35 | 41 | 32 |  | 39 | 2 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 100 | 3 | 2.0 | 2. 330 | 624 | 450 | 50 | 98 | 14 | 170 | 285 | 119 | 81 | 242 | 77 | 50 | 24 |  | 73 | 3 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499... | 100 | 5 | 2.0 | 2,310 | 615 | 613 | 60 | 189 | 27 | 270 | 54 | 66 | 72 | 83 | 74 | 62 | 36 | -.. | 76 | 13 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 230 | 7 | 2.0 | 2,655 | 741 | 553 | 55 | 175 | 40 | 302 | 352 | 39 | 62 | 64 | 81 | 65 | 35 |  | 90 | 1 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 140 | 4 | 2.0 | 2,840 | 818 | 585 | 64 | 196 | 62 | 300 | 253 | 64 | 65 | 63 | 153 | 89 | 73 |  | 53 | 2 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 90 | 6 | 2.0 | 3, 135 | 753 | 608 | 70 | 188 | 79 | 394 | 253 | 146 | 71 | 259 | 74 | 36 | 29 | 3 | 165 | 7 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 150 | 5 | 2.0 | 3,497 | 908 | 737 | 106 | 380 | 87 | 350 | 129 | 65 | 60 | 149 | 214 | 77 | 39 |  | 187 | 9 |
| \$5,000-\$7, 99.......... | 180 | 3 | 2.0 | 3,341 | 851 | 422 | 85 | 389 | 67 | 406 | 464 | 9.5 | 78 | 42 | 92 | 63 | 24 |  | 258 | 5 |
| \$7,500-\$9.999. | 40 | 1 | 2.0 | 7,080 | 1,372 | 1,080 | 77 | 981 | 253 | 1,176 | 488 | 28 | 140 | 732 | 221 | 146 | 64 |  | 310 | 12 |

Table B.-Summary of family expenditure: Average money expenditure for specified groups of goods and services, by family type and income for each occupational group, in 1 year, 1935-361—Continued

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | Number of families |  | Average number of persons per family | All items <br> (5) | Food <br> (6) | Housing 2 <br> (7) | Household operation |  | Fur-nishings and equipment | Clothing | Auto-mobile ${ }^{3}$ | Other trans-portation | Personal care | $\left.\begin{gathered} \text { Medi- } \\ \text { cal } \\ \text { care } \end{gathered} \right\rvert\,$ | Recreation ${ }^{4}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { To- } \\ \text { bacco } \end{gathered}$ | Reading | For-educetion | Con-tributions and personal taxes ${ }^{6}$ | Other items |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible | Report- <br> ing ex-penditures |  |  |  |  | Fuel, light. and re-frigeration | Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) |  |  |  |  | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) | (17) | (18) | (19) | (20) | (21) |
| Family type II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 10 | 1 | 3.0 | \$1,319 | \$427 | \$360 | \$61 | $\$ 35$ | \$45 | 878 | \$119 | \$52 | \$10 | \$37 | \$10 | \$51 | \$25 | \$4 | \$5 |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 30 | 1 | 3.0 | 1,497 | 404 | 420 | 40 | 51 | 29 | 200 |  | 22 | 56 | 151 | 28 | 51 | 30 | 2 | 13 |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 130 | 4 | 3.0 | 1, 662 | 606 | 424 | 81 | 98 | 23 | 132 | 19 | 56 | 33 | 60 | 40 | 26 | 21 | 2 | 39 | \$2 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 60 | 3 | 3.0 | 2, 663 | 712 | 456 | 81 | 143 | 259 | 227 | 148 | 25 | 84 | 76 | 78 | 27 | 21 | 25 | 298 | 3 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 60 | 6 | 3.0 | 2,605 | 718 | 689 | 58 | 179 | 65 | 277 | 232 | 29 | 64 | 63 | 86 | 37 | 31 | 18 | 59 | 2 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 130 | 5 | 3.0 | 2,528 | 794 | 470 | 77 | 213 | 46 | 275 | 59 | 50 | 45 | 225 | 83 | 61 | 39 | 5 | 75 | 11 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 70 | 3 | 3.0 | 3,875 | 922 | 670 | 77 | 636 | 94 | 330 | 372 | 47 | 86 | 69 | 248 | 87 | 26 |  | 147 | 64 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 60 | 3 | 3.0 | 3, 302 | 892 | 615 | 62 | 485 | 276 | 349 | 50 | 37 | 59 | 320 | 46 | 63 | 27 |  | 20 | 1 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 140 | 7 | 3.0 | 3,762 | 956 | 823 | 87 | 393 | 29 | 398 | 294 | 20 | 77 | 59 | 311 | 77 | 36 | 20 | 176 | 6 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 110 | 6 | 3.0 | 4,904 | 1,064 | 727 | 89 | 457 | 153 | 519 | 604 | 59 | 116 | 237 | 312 | 58 | 58 | 45 | 370 | 36 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999.. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$10,000 and over..... | 10 | 1 | 3.0 | 9,196 | 1,247 | 1,120 | 91 | 1,317 | 300 | 700 | 1,047 | 28 | 136 | 77 | 557 | 68 | 54 |  | 2,445 | 9 |
| Family type III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 20 | 2 | 4.0 | 1,498 | 640 | 435 | 105 | 54 | 2 | 37 | $\cdots$ | 48 | 34 | 20 | 28 | 32 | 24 | 7 | 26 | 6 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 30 | $\frac{1}{3}$ | 4.0 | 1,654 | 557 | 631 | 72 | 50 | 20 | 136 |  | 15 | 27 | 26 | 5 | 50 | 15 |  | 50 |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 30 | 3 | 4.0 | 1,674 | 691 | 312 | 107 | 93 | 42 | 118 | 45 | 55 | 51 | 36 | 36 | 11 | 26 | 18 | 31 | 2 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 40 | 3 | 4.0 | 2,348 | 688 | 600 | 113 | 159 | 49 | 278 | 90 | 33 | 56 | 40 | 82 | 62 | 24 | 2 | 72 |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 70 | 3 | 4.0 | 2, 800 | 783 | 407 | 154 | 303 | 67 | 235 | 439 | 11 | 46 | 55 | 107 | 62 | 27 | 12 | 55 | 37 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 60 | 4 | 4. 0 | 2, 774 | 857 | 628 | 81 | 261 | 112 | 300 | 74 | 50 | 46 | 128 | 76 | 10 | 26 | 4 | 106 | 15 |
| \$3,500-83,999 | 100 | 3 | 4.0 | 3,406 | 1,044 | 750 | 149 | 348 | 97 | 410 | 212 | 38 | 70 | 35 | 69 | 62 | 19 | 2 | 100 | 1 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 40 | 4 | 4.0 | 4, 287 | 1,084 | 901 | 132 | 494 | 85 | 359 | 414 | 24 | 60 | 229 | 64 | 54 | 38 | 54 | 283 | 12 |
| \$5,000-87,499 | 130 | 4 | 4.0 | 5,022 | 1, 204 | 690 | 116 | 481 | 152 | 435 | 462 | 66 | 69 | 436 | 234 | 56 | 33 | 1 | 561 | 26 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 ......... | 30 | 3 | 4.0 | 5,911 | 1,440 | 493 | 192 | 647 | 656 | 853 | 202 | 76 | 116 | 115 | 193 | 37 | 42 | 10 | 822 | 17 |
| \$10,000 and over.....- | 60 | 4 | 4.0 | 9,432 | 1,539 | 1,201 | 180 | 1,146 | 359 | 1,206 | 958 | 126 | 183 | 173 | 747 | 142 | 137 | 190 | 1,141 | 4 |


|  | Antetitil 8\％ <br> 今はO $\mathbb{O} \$$ |  |  |  రిర్రిర్రిగ్ర －T5tsen <br>  |  |  |  ర్రీర్రీర్ళ Oర <br> 気发落念罗 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 98突古ち | As， | 8 | 훙ㅎㅇ드응 |  |
|  | $\rightarrow$ |  |  | Nのツッル |  |  | Ororrra | ご吅Nい |
| $\qquad$ | engugu 00000 |    <br>  0 0 <br>  0 0 | 10 | acrergop Givoso | ererener rocro： | \％ |  |  |
|  |  |  | 名 | wwnn |  | （ ${ }^{\infty}$ | sionson <br>  | NNN：－ あです心夊心 |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|c} \hline 1 & & \\ & \text { a } \\ \hline & 8 & 8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \％ |  |  | － |  |  |
| $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  | \％ | \％ | \％ |  |
|  | N |  |  |  |  | ： | N్ర్ర్రీぁ\％ |  |
|  |  | ㄱ: 둥 | 凅 |  |  | 占 |  |  |
|  |  |  | \％ |  |  | \％ | Ewners | $\infty$ 出のه\％ |
|  |  |  | \％ 8 | ， |  |  |  | 忥式垁品呂 |
|  | 置名家: 둥 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c} \hline 8 & \\ \hline & 8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \％ | 我宮忒： | （ ${ }_{\text {chat }}$ | 克 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 荗 |  | 资島にす！ | 尔 |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|l} \hline & & \\ & \Phi_{0} & \underline{\omega} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | － | 88\％ | ¢ ¢ ¢ ¢ | ： | \＆心ざ呂 |  |
|  | 氙式気鱼 |  | ， |  | 心． | 品 |  |  |
|  |  |  | \％ |  | 9\％ | 容 |  |  |
| 1 |  |  | \％ | 旨出运合！ |  | 哭 |  |  |
| 1 |  |  | 영 |  | N8\％N： | 告 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 恣 | N0\％ | －ッロ！ | \％ |  | n这： |
|  | 真茖ญ8ホ | ：${ }_{\text {：}}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c}  \\ \hline \end{array}$ | H⿹弋工力⿰亻弋工凡 | 8出今盛： | \％ | 気國気式念 |  |
| i |  |  | $\begin{array}{l:c} 8 \\ \hline 8 \end{array}$ |  |  | 愍 |  | Nown！ |
|  |  |  | XYVI | WhS | V＇IngVJ |  |  |  |

See p． 204 for notes on this table．

Table B.-Summary of family expenditure: Average money expenditure for specified groups of goods and services, by family type and

| Occupationalgroup, family type, and income class | Number of families |  | Aver- <br> age <br> num- <br> ber of <br> persons <br> per <br> family <br>  <br> (4) | All items <br> (5) | Food <br> (6) | Housing ' <br> (7) | Household operation |  | Furings and equip ment | Clothing <br> (11) | Autobile ${ }^{3}$ | Other transtion | Personal care | Medical care | Recre ation ${ }^{4}$ <br> (16) | Tobacco <br> (17) | Reading <br> (18) | For- <br> mal <br> educa- <br> tion(19) | Contions and pertaxes ${ }^{5}$ | Other items <br> (21) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible <br> (2) | Reporting ex-penditures |  |  |  |  | Fuel, light, and re-frigeration | Other (9) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Family type VII |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 1,500-\$ 1,744 .$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 10 | 1 | 7.0 | \$2,770 | \$1, 143 | $\$^{660}$ | \$65 | \$85 | \$10 | \$205 | \$51 | \$66 | \$95 | \$45 | \$145 | \$107 | \$34 |  | \$59 | --... |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 50 | 1 | 8.0 | 2,923 | 905 | 605 | 339 | 83 | 165 | 403 | 67 | 40 | 52 | 76 | 112 |  | 18 | \$15 | 36 | \$7 |
| \$3,000-83,499 | 20 | 2 | 8.0 | 3,258 | 1,104 | 419 | 327 | 182 | 10 | 193 |  | 129 | 60 |  | 73 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 $-\ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 20 | 2 | 8.0 | 3,177 | 1,179 | 668 | 78 | 153 | 10 | 410 | 28 | 105 | 120 | 56 | 133 | 69 | 32 | 41 | ${ }_{80}^{70}$ | 25 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 $\ldots$ - | 20 | 2 |  | 3,902 | 1,792 |  | 237 | ${ }_{1} 178$ | 106 | 502 | 141 | $2{ }^{26}$ | ${ }^{67}$ | 154 | ${ }^{69}$ | 41 | 28 | 122 | 83 | 8 |
| \$5,000-87,499 | 20 | 1 | 7.0 | 9, 754 | 2,432 | 1,340 | 770 | 1,305 | 20 | 645 | 382 | 105 | 208 | 278 | 735 | 261 | 44 | 192 | 1,032 | 5 |
| \$7,500-\$9,909 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$10,000 and ove |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaried business: Familytype I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 140 | 3 | 2.0 | 1,316 | 404 | 382 | 46 | ${ }^{66}$ | 58 | 85 | 56 | 38 | 23 | 56 | 45 | 19 | 22 | 7 | 23 | 6 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 260 | 5 | 2.0 | 1,505 | 512 | 338 | 73 | 79 | 82 | 122 | 19 | 32 | 40 | ${ }_{94}^{63}$ | 50 | 55 | 18 |  | 22 |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 340 | ${ }^{6}$ | 2.0 | 1,584 | 542 | 386 | 49 | 95 | 49 | 117 | 9 | 39 | 32 | 94 | ${ }^{46}$ |  | 16 |  | 78 | 1 |
| \$2,000-\$82,49- | 320 | 7 | 2.0 | 1, 829 | $\stackrel{549}{673}$ | 376 449 | 87 | 104 | 68 | ${ }_{237}^{155}$ | 197 | 48 | 39 | 37 | 56 71 | 47 <br> 58 | ${ }_{35}^{20}$ |  | 46 81 |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 460 | 5 | 2.0 | 2,263 | 673 | 449 | 35 | 129 | 62 | 237 | 234 | 43 | 49 | 100 | 71 | 58 | 35 |  | 81 | 7 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 470 | ${ }^{6}$ | 2.0 | 2, 590 | 717 | 573 | 64 | 111 | 52 | 205 | 297 | 38 | 61 | 86 | 179 | 68 | 30 |  | 109 |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 $\quad 3, \ldots-\cdots-\cdots$ | 370 <br> 350 | 5 | 2.0 | 2,831 | $\begin{array}{r}889 \\ 677 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 471 | 36 | 160 | 77 | 305 | ${ }_{227}^{125}$ | 84 | 87 | 97 | 125 87 | 108 | 36 |  | 200 | 31 |
| \$83,500-\$3,999 $\$ 4, \ldots-\ldots$ | $\begin{array}{r}350 \\ 250 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 6 5 | 2.0 2.0 | $\stackrel{\text { 3,977 }}{ }{ }^{2,976}$ | 677 779 | 707 814 | 92 63 | ${ }_{391}^{224}$ | 95 | $\stackrel{252}{56}$ | 227 | 24 | 52 | 131 | 87 | 85 | 28 | 43 | ${ }^{238}$ | 15 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 410 | 8 | 2.0 | 4,396 | 908 | 666 | 172 | 354 | 161 | 495 | 455 | 64 | 100 | 161 | 225 | ${ }_{81}^{55}$ | 58 | 29 | 354 459 | 14 8 |
| \$7,500-\$8,099 | 120 | 2 | 2.0 | 6, 826 | 1,271 |  | 34 | 774 | 133 | 744 | 927 | 76 | 134 | 155 | 319 | 56 | 43 |  | 781 | 3 |
| \$10,000 and over... | 60 | 4 | 2.0 | 9,213 | 1,477 | 1,909 | 62 | 582 | 49 | 1,218 | 904 | 362 | 96 | 405 | 333 | 53 | 78 |  | 1,663 | 22 |



Table B.-Summary of family expenditure: Average money expenditure for specified groups of goods and services, by family type and income for each occupational group, in 1 year, 1935-96 ${ }^{1}$-Continued


| Family type VII |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$1,250-\$1,499- | 30 | 1 | 7.0 | 1, 586 | 600 | 386 | 178 | 18 |  | 179 |  | $10^{-7}$ | 25 |  | $1{ }^{-1}$ | 5 | $1{ }^{-1}$ | 138 | 25 |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 -- | 20 | 2 | 7.0 | 1,839 | 580 | 555 | 143 | 58 | 35 | 87 | 200 | 12 | 24 | 44 | 6 | 20 | 18 | 12 | 45 |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249- | 20 | 2 | 7.0 | 2,479 | 801 | 421 | 60 | 40 | 32 | 273 | 62 | 58 | 72 | 273 | 82 | 32 | 67 | 22 | 184 |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 30 | 3 | 7.7 | 2,019 | 844 | 540 | 110 | 48 | 12 | 153 | 29 | 42 | 43 | 43 | 31 | 56 | 14 | 8 | 27 | 19 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 20 | 2 | 7.5 | 2,811 | 1,046 | 610 | 150 | 88 | 88 | 248 | 70 | 46 | 53 | 155 | 45 | 36 | 32 | 20 | 124 |  |
| \$3,000-83,499 | 50 | 3 | 7.4 | 3,070 | 1,220 | 473 | 150 | 58 | 112 | 357 | 113 | 95 | 99 | 50 | 182 | 52 | 57 | 23 | 17 | 12 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 70 | 2 | 7.0 | 3,696 | 1,161 | 756 | 182 | 188 | 132 | 496 | 100 | 161 | ${ }^{67}$ | 40 | 113 | 59 | ${ }^{23}$ | 131 | 78 | 2 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 40 | 4 | 7.3 | 4,403 | 1,384 | 712 | 185 | 215 | 147 | 616 | 395 | 61 | 81 | 141 | 109 | 80 | 49 | ${ }^{93}$ | ${ }_{3}^{133}$ | $\stackrel{2}{36}$ |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 40 | 4 | 7.5 | 4,745 | 1,465 | 566 | 273 | 254 | 66 | 588 | 166 | 94 | 100 | 210 | 243 | 35 | 31 | 286 | 352 |  |
| \$7,500-\$9,999_... $\$ 10,000$ and over | 30 10 | 1 | 7.5 7.0 | 7,221 10,280 | ${ }_{2}^{1,929}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 257 \\ 2,525 \end{array}$ | 334 388 | $\begin{aligned} & 498 \\ & 906 \end{aligned}$ | 66 250 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,144 \\ 785 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,603 \\ & 358 \end{aligned}$ | 188 55 | $\begin{aligned} & 162 \\ & 229 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 130 \\ & 664 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 275 \\ & 489 \end{aligned}$ | $.110$ | $\begin{aligned} & 58 \\ & 68 \end{aligned}$ | 98 | $\begin{array}{r} 321 \\ 1,122 \end{array}$ | ${ }_{75}^{48}$ |
| Salaried professional: Family type I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499.. | 290 | 6 | 2.0 | 1,385 | 447 | 364 | 62 | 66 |  | 101 | 41 | 50 | 34 |  | 36 | 43 | 16 |  | 31 | 2 |
| \$1.500-\$1,749 | 340 440 | 4 | 2.0 | 1, 534 | 441 | 354 | 60 | 46 | 12 119 | 191 |  | 87 46 | 40 | 30 | 114 | ${ }_{30}^{13}$ | 22 | 80 | 4 |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 440 500 | 5 9 | 2.0 2.0 20 | 1,799 2 2 | 549 611 | 461 478 | 32 67 | 49 104 | 119 37 | $\stackrel{172}{172}$ | ${ }_{123}^{163}$ | 46 65 | 43 50 | 36 <br> 94 <br> 8 | 32 <br> 87 | 30 43 | $\stackrel{24}{25}$ |  | $\stackrel{42}{80}$ | ${ }_{13}^{1}$ |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 370 | 5 | 2.0 | 2,229 | 580 | 519 | 53 | 113 | 61 | 225 | 268 | 75 | 56 | 87 | 64 | 39 | 20 | 11 | 58 |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 450 |  | 2.0 | 2,206 | 534 | 446 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 38 |  |  |  |  |  | 137 | 2 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 540 | 7 | 2.0 | 2,858 | 677 | 551 | 59 | 187 | 47 | 287 | 383 | 85 | 61 | 169 | 99 | 40 | 29 |  | 158 | ${ }^{26}$ |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 270 | 4 | 20 | 3,328 | 1, 049 | 597 | 55 | 195 | 83 | 242 | $\stackrel{469}{ }$ | ${ }^{23}$ | 109 | 67 | 85 | 48 | 43 |  | 148 | 15 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 200 | ${ }^{6}$ | 2.0 | 3,270 | 794 | 506 | 108 | 200 | 190 | 352 | 504 | 46 | 62 | 137 | 95 | 28 | 30 | 7 | 205 | 6 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 260 | 5 | 2.0 | 4,173 | 906 | 624 | 79 | 478 | 249 | 350 | 419 | 51 | 69 | 240 | 157 | 137 | 40 | 8 | 354 | 12 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$10,000 and over... | 60 | 5 | 2.0 | 8,736 | 1,452 | 1,496 | 135 | 862 | 181 | 1,181 | 427 | 1,061 | 131 | 191 | 315 | 130 | 61 |  | 1,088 | 25 |
| Family type II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 180 | 3 | 3.0 | 1,315 | 544 | 299 | 81 | 35 |  | 125 | 69 |  | 33 | 25 | ${ }^{26}$ | 12 | 16 | 2 | ${ }_{2}^{23}$ |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 260 | 5 | 3.0 | 1,484 | 547 | 306 | 91 | 76 | 24 | 126 | 37 | 48 | 31 | 127 | 31 | 4 | 22 | 1 | 12 | 1 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | ${ }_{210}^{210}$ | 4 5 | $\stackrel{3}{3.0}$ | ${ }^{2}, 152$ | ${ }_{587}^{601}$ | $\stackrel{432}{ }$ | 76 | 127 | 234 | 186 | 105 | ${ }_{51}^{61}$ | ${ }_{30}^{40}$ | 105 | 86 | 38 | 18 |  |  | 1 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249.. | 380 370 | 6 | 3.0 3.0 3 | 1,929 2,053 | 587 659 | 528 347 | ${ }_{76}^{69}$ | 177 70 | 39 101 | 106 236 | 50 55 50 | 51 60 | 33 46 | 143 140 | 44 102 | 43 48 48 | 17 38 | $\frac{1}{8}$ | ${ }_{72}^{38}$ | 3 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 440 | 10 | 3.0 | 2, 514 | 636 | 520 | 49 | 123 | 106 | 323 | 223 | 69 |  | 153 | 89 | 40 | 38 | 1 | 92 | 3 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 330 | 5 | 3.0 | 2,910 | 796 | 540 | 121 | 131 | 109 | 288 | 419 | ${ }_{5}^{53}$ | 53 | 113 | 67 | ${ }_{50}^{52}$ | ${ }^{37}$ | 44 | 86 | 1 |
| \$3,500- $\$ 3,999$ | 140 | 5 | 3.0 3 3 | 3,350 <br> 3 <br> 159 | 896 | 546 829 | 118 | 223 | 138 | ${ }_{398}^{327}$ | $\stackrel{252}{25}$ | 51 | 46 | 292 | 126 79 | 50 28 | ${ }_{30}^{52}$ | 1 | 136 | 36 4 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999-....... | 120 80 | 2 | 3.0 3.0 | 3,459 4,123 | 860 990 | 829 630 | 71 164 | 214 360 | 105 58 | 398 <br> 360 | 298 895 | 60 52 | 52 81 | 110 96 | 79 52 | 28 4 | 30 27 | 25 260 | 296 93 | 4 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 20 | 2 | 3.0 | 6,664 | 1,148 | 878 | 177 | 562 | 392 | 608 | 932 | 236 | 76 | 455 | 257 | 51 | 34 | 74 | 780 | 4 |

Table B.-Summary of family expenditure: Average money expenditure for specified groups of goods and services, by family type and income for each occupational group, in 1 year, 1935-961-Continued

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | Number of families |  | Aver-agenum-ber ofpersonsperfamily | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { items } \end{gathered}$ | Food | Hous: ing ${ }^{2}$ | Household operation |  | Fur. nishings and equipment | Clothing | Auto-mobile ${ }^{3}$ | Other trans-portation | Personal care | $\begin{gathered} \text { Medi- } \\ \text { cal } \\ \text { care } \end{gathered}$ | Recreation ${ }^{4}$ | Tobacco | Reading | Formal, education | Con-tributions and personal taxes ${ }^{s}$ | Other items |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible | Reporting ex-penditures |  |  |  |  | Fuel, light, and re-frigeration | Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (8) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) | (17) | (18) | (19) | (20) | (21) |
| Family type III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499.. | 120 | 5 | 4.0 | \$1, 435 | \$501 | \$318 | \$107 | \$65 | \$14 | \$95 | \$38 | \$34 | \$31 | \$115 | \$31 | \$28 | \$11 | \$5 | \$45 | \$2 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749.........- | 90 | 4 | 4.0 | 1,590 | 540 | 435 | 62 | 65 | 48 | 78 | 73 | 47 | 37 | 61 | 42 | 52 | 18 | 1 | 31 |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999......... | 120 | 4 | 4.0 | 1,767 | 645 | 391 | 160 | 62 | 39 | 153 | 27 | 44 | 34 | 39 | 60 | 40 | 22 | 18 | 29 | 4 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 190 | 5 | 4.0 | 2, 034 | 797 | 292 | 128 | 138 | 31 | 166 | 72 | 37 | 37 | 99 | 98 | 21 | 23 | 2 | 83 | 10 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 210 | 5 | 4.0 | 2, 225 | 794 | 393 | 134 | 145 | 102 | 217 | 113 | 28 | 45 | 66 | 58 | 34 | 22 | 12 | 60 | 2 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 260 | 5 | 4.0 | 2, 424 | 805 | 349 | 119 | 109 | 28 | 237 | 263 | 23 | 57 | 142 | 70 | 32 | 30 | 38 | 113 | 9 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 130 | 4 | 4.0 | 2,536 | 820 | 448 | 150 | 180 | 13 | 207 | 176 | 19 | 46 | 146 | 59 | 34 | 51 | 23 | 160 | 4 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 70 | 4 | 4.0 | 3, 300 | 926 | 402 | 216 | 304 | 92 | 408 | 319 | 52 | 44 | 136 | 144 | 45 | 43 | 5 | 138 | 26 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 130 | 9 | 4.0 | 3, 630 | 994 | 622 | 106 | 284 | 125 | 467 | 308 | 68 | 80 | 86 | 156 | 52 | 39 | 98 | 131 | 14 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 110 | 2 | 4.0 | 4, 800 | 1,350 | 453 | 217 | 322 | 84 | 258 | 624 |  | 46 | 445 | 176 | 75 | 96 | 40 | 581 | 33 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 20 | 2 | 4.0 | 7,849 | 1,153 | 1,691 | 90 | 610 | 321 | 808 | 526 | 90 | 146 | 226 | 230 | 54 | 51 | 332 | 994 | 617 |
| Family type IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 80 | 3 | 3.7 | 1,318 | 533 | 240 | 75 | 47 | 33 | 156 |  | 73 | 28 | 41 | 21 | 31 | 16 | 1 | 23 |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 170 | 5 | 3. 6 | 1, 422 | 533 | 331 | 108 | 66 | 16 | 124 | 23 | 60 | 31 | 34 | 34 | 18 | 21 | 4 | 19 |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 190 | 3 | 3.3 | 1, 770 | 646 | 218 | 138 | 95 | 35 | 194 | 144 | 46 | 39 | 102 | 32 | 20 | 17 | 3 | 37 | 4 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249........- | 150 | 7 | 3.7 | 2, 047 | 699 | 332 | 158 | 78 | 67 | 241 | 111 | 79 | 41 | 60 | 48 | 23 | 16 | 31 | 45 | 18 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 260 | 5 | 3.4 | 2,116 | 757 | 457 | 82 | 95 | 4 | 163 | 118 | 72 | 54 | 150 | 44 | 56 | 22 | 4 | 38 |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 $\ldots$.....-- | 440 | 6 | 3.2 | 2, 483 | 829 | 346 | 159 | 67 | 92 | 307 | 77 | 75 | 50 | 120 | 62 | 51 | 34 | 111 | 99 | 4 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 260 | 2 | 3.0 | 2, 438 | 654 | 282 | 117 | 124 | 40 | 130 | 486 | 48 | 48 | 100 | 124 | 24 | 24 | 10 | 218 | 9 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 240 | 9 | 3. 6 | 3, 293 | 866 | 550 | 108 | 130 | 87 | 376 | 193 | 94 | 73 | 189 | 109 | 61 | 35 | 124 | 263 | 35 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 460 | 11 | 3.3 | 3,652 | 892 | 536 | 125 | 249 | 129 | 481 | 257 | 100 | 86 | 231 | 107 | 60 | 49 | 7 | 330 | 13 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 320 | 5 | 3.6 | 4,506 | 1,080 | 600 | 104 | 281 | 74 | 447 | 308 | 71 | 74 | 475 | 175 | 48 | 51 | 75 | 505 | 138 |
| \$7,500-\$9,990........- | 80 | 2 | 4.0 | 5, 603 | 1,241 | 654 | 216 | 522 | 63 | 1, 106 | 283 | 120 | 110 | 118 | 415 | 110 | 83 | 210 | 343 | 9 |
| \$10,000 and over...--- | 30 | 3 | 3.3 | 8,093 | I, 588 | 1,607 | 119 | 478 | 162 | 1,247 | 317 | 423 | 191 | 294 | 296 | 238 | 139 | 6 | 963 | 25 |



See p. 204 for notes on this table.

Table C.-Distribution of eligible families of specified occupational groups according to family type, by income, in 1 year, 1995-36
[White nonreliet families including husband and wife, both native born]


Table C.-Distribution of eligible families of specified occupational groups according to family type, by income, in 1 year, 1935-86-Continued

| Income clăss | Total | I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Salaried business |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 100 | 38 | 22 | 13 | 11 | 5 | 11 |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 100 | 30 | 24 | 20 | 13 | 4 | 6 | 3 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 100 | 27 | 24 | 22 | 14 | 6 | 5 | 2 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 100 | 26 | 25 | 15 | 17 | 12 | 3 | 2 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 100 | 33 | 25 | 13 | 18 | 6 | 3 | 2 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 100 | 27 | 15 | 12 | 25 | 11 | 9 | 1 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 100 | 23 | 23 | 8 | 26 | 10 | 7 | 3 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 100 | 25 | 25 | 15 | 13 | 12 | 5 | 5 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 100 | 21 | 22 | 7 | 31 | 8 | 8 | 3 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 100 | 25 | 16 | 12 | 29 | 11 | 5 | 2 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 100 | 32 | - | 11 | 38 | 11 |  | 8 |
| \$10,000 and over. | 100 | 16 |  | 19 | 30 | 24 | 8 | 3 |
| Salaried professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 100 | 41 | 26 | 17 | 11 | 4 | 1 |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 100 | 35 | 27 | 9 | 18 | 4 | 4 | 3 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 100 | 42 | 20 | 11 | 18 | 4 | 4 | 1 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 100 | 36 | 28 | 14 | 11 | 7 | 2 | 2 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 100 | 28 | 28 | 16 | 20 | 3 | 5 | - |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 100 | 24 | 23 | 14 | 23 | 6 | 8 | 2 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 100 | 35 | 21 | 8 | 17 | 10 | 7 | 2 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 100 | 30 | 15 | 8 | 27 | 15 | 3 | 2 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 100 | 18 | 11 | 12 | 41 | 10 | 5 | 3 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499.. | 100 | 28 | 9 | 12 | 35 | 11 | 3 | 2 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999.. | 100 |  | 15 | 15 | 62 |  |  | 8 |
| \$10,000 and over... | 100 | 55 |  |  | 27 |  | 9 | 9 |

Table D.- Distribution of eligible families of specified types according to
occupational group, by income, in 1 year, 1935-96
[White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]

| Income class | Total | Wage earner | Olerical | Independent business | Independent professional | Salaried business | Salaried professional |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Family type I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 100 | 72 | 28 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 100 | 65 | 35 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 100 | 56 | 29 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 100 | 49 | 35 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 5 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 100 | 44 | 37 | 7 | 1 | 5 | 6 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 100 | 36 | 41 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 9 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 100 | 36 | 34 | 8 | 2 | 11 | 9 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 100 | 29 | 34 | 10 | 5 | 11 | 11 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 100 | 19 | 31 | 11 | 5 | 14 | 20 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 100 | 11 | 33 | 8 | 6 | 24 | 18 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 100 | 9 | 29 | 13 | 12 | 21 | 16 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499. | 100 |  |  | 16 | 18 | 40 | 26 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 100 |  |  |  | 25 | 75 |  |
| \$10,000 and over------- | 100 |  |  | -- | 50 | 50 | -- |
| Family type II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 100 | 76 | 24 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 100 | 67 | 33 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 100 | 60 | 30 | 6 | (*) | 1 | 3 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 100 | 48 | 38 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 4 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 100 | 43 | 41 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 4 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 100 | 36 | 42 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 8 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 100 | 33 | 40 | 6 | 2 | 9 | 10 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 100 | 27 | 38 | 9 | 4 | 8 | 14 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 100 | 11 | 35 | 9 | 4 | 22 | 19 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 100 | 8 | 30 | 16 | 5 | 29 | 12 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 100 | 5 | 20 | 18 | 15 | 29 | 13 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 100 |  |  | 27 | 17 | 43 | 13 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 100 |  |  | 33 |  |  | 67 |
| \$10,000 and over......... | 100 |  | - |  | 100 |  | --------- |
| Family type III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 100 | 82 | 18 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 100 | 80 | 20 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499.. | 100 | 62 | 29 | 5 | (*) | 1 | 3 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749.. | 100 | 53 | 32 | 8 | 1 | 4 | 2 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 100 | 50 | 34 | 5 | 1 | 7 | 3 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 100 | 41 | 43 | 6 |  | 5 | 5 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 100 | 34 | 41 | 7 | 2 | 8 | 8 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 100 | 29 | 35 | 13 | 3 | 9 | 11 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 100 | 17 | 39 | 12 | 6 | 13 | 13 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 100 | 11 | 33 | 10 | 12 | 25 | 9 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 100 | 9 | 31 | 14 | 7 | 16 | 23 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 100 |  |  | 23 | 23 | 35 | 19 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999. | 100 |  |  | 36 | 21 | 29 | 14 |
| \$10,000 and over. | 100 |  |  |  | 46 | 54 |  |

*Less than 1 percent.

Table D.-Distribution of eligible families of specified types according to occupational group, by income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued

| Income class | Total | Wage earner | Clerical | Independent business | Independent professional | Salaried business | Salaried protessional |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Family type IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 100 | 76 | 24 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249- | 100 | 68 | 32 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 100 | 51 | 36 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 100 | 56 | 31 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 100 | 52 | 34 | 7 | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 100 | 44 | 41 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 3 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 100 | 43 | 35 | 9 | 1 | 6 | 6 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 100 | 36 | 39 | 7 | 2 | 8 | 8 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499.......... | 100 | 32 | 37 | 9 | 3 | 12 | 7 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 100 | 27 | 43 | 9 | 2 | 8 | 11 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 100 | 19 | 31 | 11 | 3 | 16 | 20 |
| \$5,000-87,499 | 100 |  |  | 21 | 14 | 39 | 26 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 100 |  |  | 12 | 19 | 44 |  |
| \$10,000 and over-- | 100 |  |  | 39 |  | 48 | 13 |
| Familytype V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749... | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 100 | 84 | 16 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 100 | 70 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 100 | 76 | 15 | 6 |  |  | 2 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 100 | 59 | 30 | 7 | (*) | 2 | 2 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 100 | 55 | 32 | 8 |  |  | 1 |
| \$2,200-\$2, 499 | 100 | 46 44 4 | 34 41 3 | 9 6 6 | 1 2 | 6 <br> 5 | 4 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 100 | 40 | 37 | 10 | (*) | 8 | 5 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 100 | 39 | 31 | , |  | 10 | 9 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999... | 100 | 24 | 36 | 8 |  | 16 |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 100 | 25 | 35 | 8 | 8 | 11 | 13 |
| \$5,000-87,499 | 100 100 |  |  | 14 25 | 20 25 | 43 |  |
| $\$ 7,500-\$ 9,999$ $\$ 10,000$ and over | 100 100 |  |  | 25 | 25 | 50 100 |  |
| Family type VI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 100 | 80 | 20 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 100 | 79 | 21 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-81,499 | 100 | 70 | 19 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 100 | 61 | 30 | 4 |  | 3 | 2 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 100 | 53 | 36 | 5 | 1 | 3 |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 100 | 54 | 38 | ${ }_{11}^{3}$ | -..-...- | 3 4 4 | 2 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 100 | 33 | 25 | 19 | 1 | 16 | 16 |
| \$3,000-\$3,490 | 100 | 13 | 31 | 6 | 6 | 23 | 21 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 100 | 10 | 31 | 18 | 7 |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 100 | 8 | 17 | 19 | 11 | 28 | 17 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 100 |  |  | 32 | 10 | 42 | 16 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 100 |  |  | 100 |  |  |  |
| \$10,000 and over-....... | 100 |  |  | 43 |  | 43 | 14 |
| Family type VII |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 100 | 86 | 14 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 100 | 85 | 15 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 100 | 68 | 32 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 $\ldots \ldots$ | 100 | 61 | 32 |  |  | 4 | 3 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 100 | 71 |  | 10 |  | 3 | 1 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 100 | 55 | 35 | 3 |  | 3 | 4 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 100 | 56 | 34 | 2 | 2 | 6 |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 100 | 57 | 29 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 3 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 100 | 48 | 20 | 14 | 3 | 9 | 6 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 100 | 33 | 27 | 11 | 5 | 19 |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 100 | 25 | 43 | 11 | 5 13 | 9 | ${ }_{13}{ }^{7}$ |
| \$8,000- $87,499$. | 100 |  |  | $\stackrel{47}{20}$ | 13 | 60 | ${ }_{20}$ |
| \$10,000 and over- | 100 |  |  |  |  | 50 | 50 |

*Less than 1 percent.

## Footnotes for Supplemental Tables

TABLEA
${ }^{1}$ A surplus represents an increase in assets or a decrease in liabilities, or both; a deficit represents a decrease in assets or an increase in liabilities, or both.
${ }^{2}$ Some families reported neither surplus nor deficit for the year, therefore the sum of columns 5 and 6 does not always equal 100 percent.
3 Since the average amounts in these two columns are based on the number of families reporting surplus or deficit, respectively, they do not add to the average net surplus or deficit shown in column 4 for all families.

TABLEB
1 The averages in this table include money expenditures for goods and services purchased on either cash or credit basis. They do not include value of goods and services received without money expenses. Averages are based on all families, whether or not they reported expenditures for the specified categories.
${ }_{2}$ Housing expenditures include the money expenses of home owners and rent contracted for by renting families for family home and other housing. The value of fuel, light, and refrigeration is included when furnished by the landlord and included in the rental rate.
${ }^{3}$ Includes all expenditures for operation and maintenance (see table 8), and the net purchase price (gross price less trade-in allowance) of automobiles bought during the schedule year. The proportion of automobile expense chargeable to business has been deducted. See glossary, appendix $\mathbf{B}$
${ }^{4}$ Includes paid admissions, equipment and supplies for games, sports and other recreation, club dues, and the like. Does not include expense for transportation, food, or lodging while on vacation.
${ }^{8}$ Taxes include only poll, income, and personal property taxes. All other taxes, such as those on real estate, amusements, and retail sales taxes are included as a part of the expenditure for these items. Gifts do not include gifts from one member of the economic family to another.

## Appendix A

Chicago Sampling Procedure

## The Controlled Sample

Several considerations prompted the decision to employ a relatively unique sampling procedure in the Study of Consumer Purchases. The Study aimed to describe expenditure patterns of families of different size and composition regardless of their numerical importance in the community as a whole. It was necessary therefore to restrict the number of schedules obtained from the more numerous elements of the population and to secure a disproportionate number from the rarer groups. These controls facilitate the type of analysis which "holds other things equal" while the effect of a given factor is investigated. When an equal number of cases is secured for families of given type, occupation, and income, it is much easier to study changes in expenditure patterns with increase in income, holding occupation and family type relatively constant. In addition, since the collection of statistics on family expenditures is very time-consuming and requires great skill, it was necessary to limit the number of schedules secured to a minimum which would yield reliable generalizations. The present study attempted to throw light on the expenditure patterns of all classes of the population-high income groups as well as low, families deriving their incomes primarily from business and professional occupations as well as the clerical and wage-earner groups.

Still another consideration was the desire to provide results which would be comparable from one city to another and in different regions of the country, minimizing or controlling the differences in racial, nativity, or occupational composition of the population in each place. From the point of view of comparability with future studies, furthermore, the restriction of the Study to an American-born population was deemed advisable since the control of immigration is resulting in a progressively larger proportion of native-born individuals in this country.

A"controlled sample" accompanied by a random sample seemed to meet all of these requirements. ${ }^{1}$ Appendix B of volume I presented a

[^69]detailed description of the data on income secured from a random sample of Chicago families; the present discussion will therefore be concerned primarily with the controlled sample, and will enlarge upon the brief description of this sample presented in the introduction.

General collection procedure.-Before turning to the method by which the sample was controlled, a brief description of the general sampling and collection procedure of the study is presented.

The information of the Chicago study was secured through interviews of families by field agents who recorded the information given by family members upon schedule forms shown on pages 217-22. ${ }^{2}$ The addresses of families to be interviewed were obtained by a random sampling of the 823,000 addresses listed on the block sheets of the 1934 C. W. A. Census of Chicago. The random sample yielded approximately 82,000 families of which about 30,000 were native white families containing both husband and wife. The first field agent assigned to each family obtained the "record card" information (which related primarily to nativity and number of persons in the family) and if the family proved to contain husband and wife, both native-born white, who had been married at least a year, a "family schedule" (containing membership composition, occupation, income, and housing data) was obtained in the same interview. ${ }^{3}$

When the family schedule was returned to the office, it was edited for completeness, and consistency. The total family income was computed and codes for the income, occupation, and family type were placed on the card. If the family proved to belong to the group from which expenditure information was desired (on the basis of the controls described below), a different field agent was assigned to secure such data. The second agent explained to the family the purpose and plan of the expenditure study and then proceeded to obtain the details called for on the "expenditure schedule." (See schedule form, p.217.) In the course of this second interview the field agent also reviewed with the family selected items reported on the family schedule. Each family selected for the controlled sample was asked not only for the expenditure schedule information, but also for the detailed check list data. (Check list forms and check list data will be presented in later bulletins.) Of the 2,711 families giving

[^70]expenditure data, 1,842 families also gave detailed food check lists and 1,374 reported the furnishings and equipment check list detail. In addition, information on the clothing check list was supplied by 5,063 family members in this controlled sample.
When the expenditure schedules and check lists were returned to the office, a careful editing and arithmetic check of the entries took place. The repeated and careful editing of each schedule for reasonableness and internal consistency was of vital importance to the quality of the schedules obtained. This process helped not only to identify schedules that were wholly or partly fictitious, but also to correct errors arising from unintentional mistakes on the part of the family or the interviewer. The complexity of the schedule and the interdependence of many sections made intelligent editing at once vitally necessary and unusually effective for attaining reasonable accuracy in the results.

Every expenditure schedule had to meet certain requirements before it was acceptable for tabulation. The more important of these were:
(1) That the schedule meet certain standards with respect to completeness. Schedules were considered sufficiently complete for tabulation if the total expenses of all major groups of items were reported. In view of the limitation of time and funds, toward the close of the field work it was decided to accept for tabulation schedules on which certain details were lacking if the totals for each major category were known and the schedule was in other respects satisfactory. In general, however, relatively few schedules contained unknown expense items since section totals were usually secured by addition of the expense for specific items.
(2) That the information given appeared to be reliable. None of the standards for acceptability of expenditure schedules was so construed as to permit the acceptance for tabulation of a schedule which was considered unreliable by either the field agent or the supervisor. A schedule with many unexplained omissions and inconsistencies was withheld from tabulation on the grounds of unreliability, even though, strictly speaking, it fell within the definition of an acceptable schedule.
(3) That the discrepancy between funds available and disbursements did not exceed 5.5 percent. This is referred to as the "balancing difference" and is described in the glossary.
(4) That additional information secured during the expenditure interview did not make the family "ineligible" for an expenditure schedule according to the eligibility requirements shown below.

Schedules which were unacceptable for tabulation were returned to the agent or to a check interviewer who attempted to secure the missing information from the family, or, in the case of too large a balancing
difference, an effort was made to determine whether the income or the expenditure data, or both were in error.

A random sample of no less than one out of every five of the first expenditure schedules submitted by each agent was checked by a member of the supervisory staff. Later a larger or smaller proportion was checked as conditions warranted, but never less than 1 in 20 schedules was "check interviewed," and fictitious schedules or serious errors were thus caught. The early results of rechecking determined, in the case of each agent, whether his work should be more or less intensively checked thereafter, or whether he should be dismissed. On the whole, the percentage of agents guilty of deliberate falsification was very small.

Controls or eligibility requirements.-Only families having specified characteristics were asked to give information on their expenditures. The characteristics of the families treated as eligible for the controlled sample in Chicago were as follows:

1. Nativity and color.-White families in which both the husband and wife were born in continental United States or Alaska.
2. Family composition.-Families of types I to VII as defined in the glossary page 223. Families in which the husband and wife had been married at least a year and families in which both husband and wife were dependent on a common income for at least 27 weeks of the schedule year.
3. Nonrelief status.-Families not having received relief during the schedule year.
4. Living arrangements.-Families maintaining housekeeping quarters for at least 9 months during the schedule year.
5. Roomers and boarders.-Families not having roomers or boarders, or families having not more than the equivalent of one roomer and/or boarder for the year. (Sons and daughters or other relatives with separate incomes, from whom it was impossible to obtain complete information on expenditures were treated as boarders or as guests, depending on whether they paid the family for room and/or board.)
6. Guests.-Families with not more than the equivalent of one guest for half a year ( 26 guest weeks). (If guests lived with the family for 27 weeks or more the family was not asked to give the expenditure data.)
7. Occupational group.-All occupational groups. (Families were classified in the occupational group from which the major part of their earnings was secured. In Chicago enough data were secured to make possible a separate analysis of the families in seven different occupational groups. (See table 1, and glossary, p. 224, ff. 2.) Expenditure data for families in the occupational group that comprised those without income from earnings were tabulated separately, and were excluded from the averages for all occupations combined.
8. Income class.-Families having incomes from $\$ 500$ to over $\$ 10,000$. The income classes eligible varied with the different occupational groups.

Since families in the business and professional categories were relatively infrequent at the lower income levels and since the same was true of wage-earner, clerical, and retired families at the upper levels, it seemed inadvisable to attempt to secure data from the same income classes for each occupational group. Not only would it have been very time-consuming and expensive to locate the infrequent occupational groups at the extremes of the income scale but expenditure
data secured from such families would not have been representative of any large group. Therefore, at the income levels under $\$ 1,250$ the expenditure study was limited to families in the wage-earner and clerical groups and to those with no gainfully employed members. At the higher income brackets of $\$ 5,000$ and over-the wage earners and clerical groups were relatively infrequent. Families of business and professional persons, on the other hand, predominated at the highest income levels, so it was from such occupational groups that the expenditure patterns of relatively high income families were secured.

The classification of families on the basis of the three controls of income class, occupational group, and family type constitutes what has been referred to in this manuscript as a "cell." Since the number of cases to be secured in each cell was limited to 5 or 10 families, it was of paramount importance to obtain randomness in the selection of families for these "cells." Great care was therefore taken to guard against the introduction of a bias. Even the first small random sample yielded all the desired cases for the most frequent population groups. If the cells drawn from the modal population group had been filled from the first sample, they would have been much more homogeneous with respect to the period covered by the data than cells which represent the less frequent population groups, and any greater variability within the latter cells might have been attributed to income or occupation while it might actually have been due to price changes occurring while the Study, was in progress. This possible bias was minimized by the provision that not more than 50 percent of the cases in cells representing the most frequent population groups were to be chosen from any one of the series of random samples secured in the family income survey and that all expenditure schedules which were discarded later because income and expenditures did not balance should be replaced by cases drawn from recent samples. It is recognized, however, that these provisions did not entirely eliminate the bias introduced by the time element.

An effort was made to obtain 10 wage-earner and clerical families in each cell, and five in each cell for the other occupational groups. The number of families called for by plans which were formulated prior to the field work is shown in table 1. Insofar as practicable, the collection staff attempted to secure the number of schedules shown in this plan. In the course of the survey, it was observed that the original plans tended to eliminate too many of the lower income wageearner and clerical families, so the eligibility requirements were altered so as to include wage-earner families with incomes between $\$ 500$ and $\$ 750$. Similarly, there appeared to be a sufficiently large number of wage-earner and clerical families with incomes of $\$ 4,000$ to $\$ 5,000$ to justify extending the upper income limits of the eligible group to include this income class.

Table 1．－Number of schedules desired and number obtained in controlled sample
［Nonrelief native white complete families］

| Income class | Wage earner |  | Clerical |  | Independ－ ent busi－ ness |  | Independ－ ent pro－ fessional |  | Salaried business |  | Salaried profes－ sional |  | No gain－ fully em－ ployed members |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \frac{1}{\tilde{W}} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \ddot{\#} \\ & \stackrel{\#}{3} \\ & \stackrel{y}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 畨 荡 0 |  |  |  | 哭 |
| \＄500－\＄749． |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 7 |
| \＄750－\＄999 | 70 | 58 |  | 52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 7 |
| \＄1，000－\＄1，249 | 70 | 75 | 70 | 64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 13 |
| \＄1，250－\＄1，499． | 70 | 91 | 70 | 75 | 35 | 29 | 35 | 11 | 35 | 13 | 35 | 19 | 10 | 8 |
| \＄1，500－\＄1，749 | 70 | 68 | 70 | 69 | 35 | 24 | 35 | 10 | 35 | 21 | 35 | 25 | 10 | 8 |
| \＄1，750－\＄1，999． | 70 | 82 | 70 | 71 | 35 | 40 | 35 | 16 | 35 | 32 | 35 | 23 | 10 | 6 |
| \＄2，000－\＄2，249 | 70 | 68 | 70 | 77 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 13 | 35 | 26 | 35 | 37 | 10 | 4 |
| \＄2，250－\＄2，499 | 70 | 75 | 70 | 81 | 35 | 29 | 35 | 24 | 35 | 30 | 35 | 30 | 10 | 7 |
| \＄2，500－\＄2，999 | 70 | 72 | 70 | 79 | 35 | 41 | 35 | 25 | 35 | 36 | 35 | 42 | 10 | 3 |
| \＄3，000－\＄3，499 | 70 | 55 | 70 | 65 | 35 | $\stackrel{27}{27}$ | 35 | 16 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 28 | 10 | 8 |
| \＄3，500－\＄3，999． | 70 | 44 | 70 | 53 | 35 | 27 | 35 | 22 | 35 | 31 | 35 | 29 | 10 |  |
| \＄4，000－\＄4，999 |  | 18 |  | 26 | 35 | 38 | 35 | 31 | 35 | 39 | 35 | 45 | 10 | 5 |
| \＄5，000－\＄7，499． |  |  |  |  | 35 | 26 | 35 | 22 | 35 | 33 | 35 | 21 | 10 |  |
| \＄7，500－\＄9，999 |  |  |  |  | 35 | 12 | 35 | 6 | 35 | 12 | 35 | 7 | 10 |  |
| \＄10，000 and over． |  |  |  |  | 35 | 3 | 35 | 5 | 35 | 11 | 35 | 10 | 10 |  |

${ }^{1}$ Equally distributed among 7 family types．（See p． 233 for description of types．）
The number of expenditure schedules obtained and used in the tabulations varies somewhat from the number shown in the plans． （See table 1．）The discrepancies may be attributed to several fac－ tors－－the most important of which was the fact that families of speci－ fied types at certain income．levels were not sufficiently numerous to yield the desired number of schedules，particularly since only 10 percent of all families in the city were interviewed in the random sample．Furthermore，not all families from which expenditure data were desired contributed the information．Some had moved out of the city，others could not be found at home，while still others were unable or unwilling to give the detailed information requested．Of the schedules which were secured，a number had to be discarded because of inconsistency，incompleteness，or unreliability of data shown．

Another obstacle to an exact control of the number of schedules in each cell resulted from shifts in the cell classification of families after the detailed interview on expenditure data．The income class in which the family was classified on the basis of the relatively short family schedule interview did not always correspond with the income class in which the family fell on the basis of the interview for expendi－ tures．The shifts arose partly from the fact that the methods of computing income differed slightly for the two schedules，and partly from the fact that sources of income which the family had forgotten to mention to the agent obtaining the family schedule data occasionally
came to light in the course of the long interview in connection with the discussion of expenditures. ${ }^{4}$

Altogether 22 percent of the families giving expenditure data fell into a different income class when classified according to the method used and information obtained in the long interview as compared with the short interview. In general, the shifts were compensating. A little more than half of the shifts were to lower income classes, while correspondingly, slightly less than half were to higher income brackets. Almost three-fourths of the shifts involved changes of only one income interval-to the next higher or lower income class.

Changes in the family type classification also resulted from the longer interview-largely because of differences in the definition of the economic family. Whereas all related persons living under the same roof or eating at least two meals daily with the family, whose income could be ascertained, were included in coding the family type for purposes of the family schedule tabulation, only persons dependent on a common family fund whose expenditures could also be ascertained were regarded as members of the economic family in the controlled sample. The discrepancies in the codes resulting from these differences, however, were negligible; less than 1 percent of all Chicago families from which expenditure data were secured were classified in different family types by the two procedures.

The shifts in occupational code resulting from the longer interview also were relatively infrequent- 2 percent of all Chicago families in the controlled sample were classified differently on the basis of the long and short interviews.

Aside from the effect of discrepancies arising from different definitions of the economic family, the longer interview brought to light additional facts concerning the net earnings of various family members. Detailed information on automobile expenditure, for example, occassionally revealed a different and more accurate figure for occupational

[^71]expenses than that which had been deducted when reporting the net earnings of family members in the family schedule interview.

The above discussion of changes in cell code has been presented primarily to give the reader some insight into the type of problems involved in obtaining equal numbers of cases in each cell in the controlled sample. Since the final code for the family type, income, and occupational group was prepared during the final office edit of an expenditure schedule, it was inevitable that changes would tend to increase the number of schedules in some cells and decrease the number in others.

Weighting the controlled sample.-Having limited the number of schedules obtained from families in different income, occupational, or family type groups, it is obviously impossible to treat the results as though they comprised a random sample and constituted a proportional cross section of each of the elements of the population. It is not valid, for example, to add together the expenditures of an equal number of wage earners, clerical, and business and professional workers at the $\$ 1,250$ to $\$ 1,500$ income level and assume that the resulting figure represents the expenditures of families as a whole in this income bracket. Such an assumption would be justifiable only if (a) the expenditures of the different occupational groups were identical within an income class or if (b) the random sample contained an equal number of families in each occupational group at the given income class. Since one of the purposes of the present study was to discover whatever variations there may be in the expenditure patterns of families in different occupational groups, the first assumption was obviously precluded. The results of the analysis do suggest certain basic differences in the expenditure habits of the various occupational groups, so that, except for the relationship between broad categories of expenditure, it is not safe to assume an identity of pattern. As for the second assumption, the random sample analyses have revealed great differences in the proportions of families in the various occupational groups, so simple summation of the expenditures of the several groups will not yield an accurate picture of family disbursements. Family types or income brackets also should not be combined without first taking into account the number of cases in the random sample of eligible families.

The expenditure averages shown for each occupational group in the Tabular Summary have already been weighted or multiplied by the number of cases in each family type within the given occupation. ${ }^{5}$ Similarly the averages for each family type have been weighted by the

[^72]frequency of the different occupational groups in given family types. ${ }^{6}$ Furthermore, the averages for each income bracket have been built up by weighting the occupational averages which in turn have been weighted by the family type averages. If the reader is interested in combining still further averages for the separate income levels, he should multiply the averages shown for the income bracket by the number of eligible families represented by the income interval. The weights for each income class are shown in table 2, column 4. This table also enables the reader to compare the eligible sample of native white complete families with the random sample of all native white complete families.

Table 2.-Income distributions of families in Chicago and of different samples obtained, showing relation of controlled sample to random samples

| Income class | $\stackrel{\text { All }}{\text { families }}$ | Native white complete families |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | All |  | Eligible for controlled sample? | Controlled sample ${ }^{3}$ |
|  | Relief and nonrelief <br> (1) | Relief and nonrelief <br> (2) | Nonrelief <br> (3) | Nonrelief <br> (4) | Nonrelief <br> (5) |
| Total | 823, 230 | 309,580 | 280, 130 | ${ }^{(5)}$ | ${ }^{(5)}$ |
| Under \$250_ | 57, 460 | 12, 320 | 3, 270 |  |  |
| \$250-\$499- | 55, 500 | 15,440 | 5,660 |  |  |
| \$500-\$749- | 69,300 | 17,080 | 11, 760 | 5,940 | 110 |
| \$750-\$999, | 82,090 92 | 23, 200 32,180 | 20,580 30,620 | 15,480 22,040 | 110 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499- | -93,960 | 32,180 30,210 | 29, 720 | 25,180 | 138 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 79,750 | 32, 500 | 32, 800 | 27, 330 | 217 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 71,060 | 32,630 | 32,510 | 27, 590 | 264 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 55, 210 | ${ }^{27,240}$ | ${ }^{27,140}$ | 23, 110 | ${ }^{256}$ |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 41,820 | 21,600 | 21, 230 | 17,960 | 269 |
| \$ $\$ 3,000-\$ 3,499$. | -30,710 | 14,550 | 14,540 | 11,730 | 226 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 18, 610 | 9, 170 | 9, 170 | 7,440 | 206 |
| \$4,000-\$4,099 | 16,690 | 8, 670 | 8,660 | 6,700 | 197 |
| \$5,000- \$7,499. | 11,950 | 7,200 | 7,200 | 4, 220 | 102 |
| \$7, $500-\$ 9,999$ $\$ 10,000$ and over | 1,980 1,840 | 1,260 1,210 | 1,260 1,210 | 810 670 | 37 28 |
| \$0,000 and over |  |  |  |  |  |

[^73]While it is possible to make an estimate of the total consumption of Chicago families by income levels from the expenditure data and

[^74]the income distribution shown in column 1 of table 2, such an estimate presupposes that expenditures of foreign families, incomplete families, and families securing relief are like those of the native white complete nonrelief families surveyed in this study. The Bureau of Labor Statistics is making a comparison of the expenditures of families with native and foreign-born homemakers in the wage-earner and clerical groups having comparable incomes and family composition. Preliminary results do not indicate significant differences in expenditures for groups of items by the two nativity groups. As yet, no data are available on the comparison of expenditures of the incomplete and complete families.

## Appendix B

Schedule Forms and Glossary

Digitized for FRASER http://fraser.stlouisfed.org/
Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louie

# Facsimile of Expenditure Schedule 



(2)


| IVI. USUAL POOD EXPENSE DURING EACH SEASON OF SCHEDULE YEAR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $\frac{1}{\text { Latect mason of your }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hood at homis |  |  | Erilier manas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Manthe_-......-...-- |  | Martha_-...-....---- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | -----1.- | -1. | Pec weak | PuF month |  |  | P6 week |  | Par week | Per month |
|  | Pacmot | Pee reonte |  |  | Prem mout | Pes monta |  | Pex mostb |  |  |
| Food expense at- <br> 1. Grocery or general store (excluding Boap, matches, etc.) | \$.i.a.c. | \$......... | \$ | \$........ | \$........ | \$ | \$......... | \$.-.----- | 8........ | \$........ |
| 2. Meat and fish market.- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Dairy -------.----...-..-- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Vegetable and fruit market or wagon. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Bakery ----------.........- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Additional expense for food at home- <br> 6. Ioe cream, candy $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. Soft drinks, beer, eto.........- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8. Other food at home.-.-...-...- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| 0. Total for week or month (1-8). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10. Total for meaton. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FOOD AWAT FROM HOME <br> (Excluding meals white wry to tohool and ments carried from thome) | Par week | Par month | Per mouk | Per month | Per weok | Per month | Per weok | Par month | Per week | Par month |
| Expense for- <br> 11. Meals at work. $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12. Lanehes at school. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13. Meale while traveling or on vacation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14. Other hicals amat- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15. Lunches. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16. Dinnera. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18. Soft drinks, beer, eto ---....... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19. Total for week or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20. Total for geason_..-. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| total food experse duriva bchedtle year |  |  |  |  | food baibed at home or beceived as gift or pay dURING schedthe peak |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21. Food at home (item 10). $\qquad$ <br> 22. Food away from home (item 20) $\qquad$ |  |  | \$.---...............- |  | Money value of food- <br> 24. Raised for family's own use.....-. <br> 25. Received as gift or pay $\qquad$ |  |  |  | \$...--------------- |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | . | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23. Total. | --7. | --------.... |  |  |  | ------- |  |  | ......... | ----------- |  |  |
|  | (4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3280 |




## Classifications and Definitions of Terms Used in Text and Tabular Summary

The following glossary of terms is limited to those classifications and definitions needing explanation for the interpretation of the tabular and textual material on the summary of expenditures. Later publications, presenting more detailed data in particular fields of consumption, will contain further definitions. Items appearing on the expenditure schedule and on the expenditure summary which are not discussed in the present volume are omitted from the glossary.

Any system of classifying goods and services necessarily has certain limitations and may not meet the needs of all groups or agencies which utilize the data. The classification adopted for the Study of Consumer Purchases is in substance one that has been found useful in other studies and which thus has the advantage of yielding comparable data. Since the uses to which specific goods may be put by consumers vary considerably from family to family and even within the family circle, depending upon a multiplicity of factors, the decision to classify commodities in one category rather than another was necessarily arbitrary. The classifications determined upon have, however, been applied consistently throughout the tabulations.

The expenditures of each family during the report year have been classified under 16 major groups of goods and services, as presented in table 2 of the Tabular Summary. This classification has been used over a period of years in similar studies of family living by such agencies as the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the Department of Labor and the Bureau of Home Economics of the Department of Agriculture.

Specific definitions of terms should be prefaced by the explanation that, whenever a sales tax was in force in a particular community during the period covered by the Study, the estimated total amount of sales tax paid for each taxable item appearing on the schedule was added to the total expense for the item.

Family.-For purposes of the Study of Consumer Purchases, an economic family was defined as a group of persons belonging to the same household and dependent upon a common income. ${ }^{1}$ Expenditure data were secured only from families including both husband and wife.

Family type.-Families were classified, according to the number and age of members other than husband and wife, in one of seven types, as follows: ${ }^{2}$

> Type
> I No other persons (families of two).
> II One child under 16 (families of three).
> III Two children under 16 (families of four).

[^75]```
Type
    IV One person 16 or over and one or no other person, regardless of age (families of three or four).
V One child under 16, one person 16 or over, and one or two others, regardless of age (families of five or six).
VI Three or four children under 16 (families of five or six).
VII One child under 16, and 4 or 5 others, regardless of age (families of seven or eight).
```

The above family types are based upon the equivalent number of persons under 16 years of age and the equivalent number 16 years or over in the economic family during the year. By the use of a conversion table the number of weeks of membership of persons in the economic family for only a portion of the schedule year is expressed in terms of equivalent members. If the economic family contained, in addition to the married couple, only one person who was a member for 26 weeks or less, he was not regarded as an equivalent member; bad he been in the family for 27 weeks he would have been classified as one equivalent member. If two persons, both of whom were under 16 years, were members of the economic family for a total of from 27 weeks through 78 weeks, together they counted as one equivalent member; had they been members for a total of from 79 through 130 weeks, they would have been counted as two equivalent members. The same method of computation applied to persons 16 and over. If, however, the family contained one person 16 years of age or over and one child under 16 years, each for less than 27 weeks, neither would be counted as a member of the economic family, although the period of membership for the two together equalled more than 26 weeks.

Occupational group.-Families were also classified in one of seven groups: Wage-earner, clerical, independent business, independent professional, salaried business, salaried professional, and families with no gainfully employed members. ${ }^{3}$ In general, the wage-earner classification included all types of skilled, semiskilled, and unskilled manual jobs which are usually paid by the hour, day, or week, rather than on a monthly or annual salary basis. In the clerical classification were grouped store clerks and salesmen working for others, as well as office workers. Professional, semiprofessional and technical workers were included in the independent professional group when employed on their own account, and in the salaried professional group when they were employed by others on a salary basis. Persons classified in the independent business group were entrepreneurs owning and operating businesses of any type. Also classified in the independent business category were families which derived the major portion of their earned income from roomers and boarders. The salaried business category

[^76]consisted mainly of salaried managers and officials; chief officers of corporations drawing salaries, as well as minor executives, were thus classified in the salaried business group, even though some owned controlling interest in the business. The seventh category consisted of families that had no earnings from an occupation.

The occupational classification of a family was determined by the occupational group from which it derived the major portion of its earnings during the report year, whether that portion was contributed by one or more family members. ${ }^{4}$

Income.-The total income by which the family was classified included money income (derived from earnings and other sources such as interest, dividends, pensions, etc.), and in addition, nonmoney income from housing (for owners, the difference between rental value of the home and current expense for interest, repairs, mortgages, and the like; for renters, the value of rent received as a gift or pay).

To arrive at the figure for earnings from gainful occupations of family members (wages, salaries, profits, and other withdrawals from business for family use, tips, commissions, and bonuses), occupational expenses were deducted. Similarly, the figures for earned income not attributable to individual members (i. e., income from family enterprises such as the keeping of roomers and boarders or casual work in the home) represented net rather than gross income from such sources. Items classified as nonearned money income were: Interest and dividends; rents from property; pensions, annuities and benefits; gifts in cash, etc. ${ }^{5}$

Adjusted family income, presented in chapters I and IX, consists of total income plus the value of food and fuel obtained without money expense.

Expenditures.-Money expenditures include all money expenses incurred during the report year for current family living, whether or not the full amount was paid during the year. ${ }^{6}$ Balances remaining unpaid at the end of the year were handled as increased in liabilities. Total expenditures include money expenditures and the value of food and fuel obtained without money expense. All categories except housing and food are therefore represented only by money expenditures. Total expenditures are thus synonymous with "money value of current family living" defined below.

Value of family living.-The money value of current family living consisted of money expenditures for current living, and the value of housing, food, and fuel obtained without money expense. The

[^77]value of housing included the imputed net income from owned family and vacation homes and the rental value of housing received as gift or pay. (See below under nonmoney income from housing.)

Surplus or deficit.-The difference between the family's total money income for the year and its total money expenditure was, if a positive sum, a surplus, or, if a negative sum, a deficit. This surplus or deficit was accounted for by one or more of a series of changes in assets and liabilities, described below.

Receipts.-The term receipts has been used to designate current money income plus funds made available through liquidation of assets or through credit.

Disbursements.-The term disbursements has been used to designate money expenditures for current family living plus money used to decrease debts incurred before the beginning of the report year or to increase assets.

Balancing difference.-Due to the difficulty experienced by families, few of which kept detailed records, in accounting in toto for receipts and disbursements, a margin of tolerance was set up for discrepancies between the two. If the difference amounted to less than 5.5 percent of receipts or disbursements, whichever was larger, the schedule was tabulated, this amount being carried as a balancing difference. If the discrepancy was 5.5 or larger, the schedule was discarded. In cases where disbursements exceeded receipts, as accounted for by the family, the balancing difference was negative; if receipts exceeded disbursements, the difference was positive.

## FOOD

Included here were all family expenses for food, together with expenditure for such items as ice cream, candy, soft drinks, beer, and alcoholic beverages. Cod-liver and haliver oil were also considered food.

Nonfood articles which may be bought in grocery stores, such as cleaning supplies, matches, soap, tobacco, and food for pets were excluded from this category.

Food at home.-A distinction was made between food purchased to be prepared at home and food purchased and eaten away from home. In the former category was included expense for any food prepared at home but eaten away from home, such as home-prepared lunches for work, school, or picnics. Expense for articles such as coffee, milk, or other food, bought at work or school to supplement the home prepared lunches was classified with expense for food away from home.

Food purchased to be prepared in a vacation home occupied by the family was classified as expense for food at home.

The amount spent for food served to boarders was derived through use of the average expense per meal per equivalent adult (explained below), and was deducted from total expense for food at home, so that the figures shown in table 2, column 6, and table 3 of the Tabular Summary represent net family expense.

Food away from home.--Included here was expense for meals at work and at school (except for food carried from home), including board at school, meals while traveling or on vacation (except for food prepared in a vacation home), meals purchased on a business trip for which there was no reimbursement by an employer, other meals eaten out, and ice cream and candy, soft drinks and alcoholic beverages consumed away from home.

Expense for food away from home necessarily included in many cases some expense for service and entertainment as well as food costs proper.

Value of food home-produced or received as a gift or pay.-A value was placed on all food which was raised for family consumption or given to the family as a gift or in lieu of cash payment for services. All such food was evaluated at the retail prices prevailing in the community. No deductions from the evaluation of home-produced food were made for the cost of seeds and implements or for the value or cost of labor. If members of the family received a substantial number of free meals as guests in excess of the number of meals furnished to guests (not counting house guests), the value of meals so received in excess of those furnished was tabulated.

An evaluation was made of meals which were furnished to members of the family without charge by the employer or paid for from expense accounts. Persons obtaining such food include salesmen reimbursed for meals taken while traveling, students working for their board at school, or waiters who received meals as part of their pay.
Likewise, if the owner of a store or an employee occasionally brought home food which he received from his place of business without payment, such food was evaluated at local retail prices and included in the total value of food received without direct money expense. However, if the store proprietor charged himself for food which he brought home regularly for family consumption, the retail value of such food was added to his money earnings and the goods were entered as purchases in the subsection for food at home.

Average money expenditure per meal per equivalent adult.-In recognition of the variations in quantity, and thus in expense, of food consumption among persons of different ages, the following
scale of relative requirements for various persons served from the family food supply was adopted: ${ }^{7}$

| Ape of person | Relative food expense |
| :---: | :---: |
| 20 years of age and over | 1. 0 |
| 13 to 19 years. | 1. 1 |
| 6 to 12 years. | . 9 |
| Under 6 years | -. 6 |

These relatives were applied whether the person was a member of the economic family or a boarder, guest, or domestic servant. The relative factor applied to nurses for the sick was 0.9 . The term equivalent adult is used in the text as representing one food expenditure unit.

It was assumed that 21 meals per week were eaten by each member of the economic family during that portion of the report year spent at home. For other members of the household (boarders, house guests, household help, and nurses) the actual number of meals eaten was ascertained. The average expense per meal per equivalent person was derived by dividing the total family food expense (after subtraction of expense for food eaten while traveling or on vacation) by the total number of equivalent person meals.

In order to determine the expense for meals served to boarders, the average expense per meal per food expenditure unit was multiplied by the total number of meals served to boarders; the resulting sum was deducted from the total family expense for food at home.

## hOME MAINTENANCE

Housing expense.-Each family reported expense incurred during the report year, for family home and other housing. Average amounts for renting families are based on the rental rate contracted for, minus any concessions granted by the landlord, and plus any repairs paid by the tenant. Housing expense for renting families included fuel, light, and/or refrigeration when one or more of these items was included in the rental rate. For owning families, all expense incurred for repairs, interest, insurance, and the like was included. Because of the fact that fuel, light, and refrigeration were included in the rent paid by many renting families, all tables in the text of the report that show housing expense include fuel, light, and refrigeration with housing. In tables 2, 4, and 4-A of the Tabular Summary, however, housing expense is reported separately.

No attempt was made to apportion and deduct from family expense an amount for space rented to roomers. Since, however, expenditure data were not taken from families having the equivalent of more than

[^78]one lodger throughout the year, the value of such space was not an important item to the families.

Housing expense incurred during the report year and not paid by the end of the year was included here and also carried as an increase in liabilities.

Family home.-Average expense, as shown in table 4, column 8, includes only expense for living quarters occupied by the family group, whether such quarters were rented or owned.

Other housing.-Included in housing expense as shown in table 2, but shown separately in table 4, column 9 , is expense for vacation home, lodging while traveling, and room at school.

Expenses for a vacation home, whether owned or rented, were of the same type as for a family home. If a family owned a vacation home and rented it out during any part of the schedule year, the total rent received was subtracted from total expense incurred for the home and only the net amount was tabulated. If the rent received exceeded expenses for the year, no net expense was incurred and the positive balance was included as a part of the family's income.

Excluded from expense for lodging while traveling was the amount paid by a family member while traveling on business or while working out of town. These amounts were considered occupational expense and were deducted from gross earnings in arriving at family income.

Housing received with money expenditure.-See below under nonmoney income from housing.

Money expenditures for rented and owned family homes.-For the purpose of comparing the housing expenses of home owners and renters (table 4-A, columns 6 and 7) a family was classified as renting only if it rented during the entire year (and received no rent as gift or pay), and as owning only if it occupied an owned home during the entire year. Expense for renters includes only expense for dwelling quarters occupied by the entire family group. The number of months of occupancy of the rented home was multiplied by the monthly rental rate to obtain the total amount of rent paid. From this sum was deducted the value of any rental concessions received by the family. Concessions were distinguished from rent as pay or gift and defined as occupancy given free by the landlord for a limited time as an inducement to the family to rent the living quarters. To the total rent paid was added the value of repairs or improvements paid for by the tenant, if the landlord did not reimburse the family. Rental expenses incurred but not paid during the schedule year were included here, and also carried as an increase in family liabilities.

Housing expense for owned home for the year included interest on the mortgage, refinancing charges, taxes payable, expense for repairs and replacements, special assessments, and premiums for fire, tornado, or earthquake insurance on the home. For all these items except
insurance, the expense figure refers to amounts incurred during the schedule year, whether or not they were entirely paid before the end of the year. Balances remaining unpaid were carried as increases in liabilities. In the case of insurance premiums, no attempt was made to prorate payments for previous or coming years as an allocation of expense for the schedule year. Structural additions and other permanent improvements to the home were not classed as current expenditures for housing but as increases in assets. (See below, assets and liabilities.)

If the home owned and occupied by the family was a two-family or multiple-family house, only that portion of the expense which applied to the living quarters of the owner's family was included as expense of owned home. The basis for this allocation was the comparative monthly rental values of the dwelling units under consideration. The remaining expense was deducted from gross rents, to derive a net figure which was added to the family's income.

Facilities included in rent.-Families that were renting their homes at the end of the schedule year were asked to state which of a specified list of housing facilities were furnished by the landlord and covered by the rental rate for the living quarters. These data are presented in table $4-\mathrm{A}$ of the Tabular Summary.

Facilities were not considered to have been included in the rent if the family paid separate amounts for their use, over and above the rental for the living quarters themselves.

Nonmoney income from housing.-An attempt was made to evaluate all housing received without money expense, whether in the form of rent as pay or gift, or of imputed income from an owned home. Average amounts of such value are shown in table 4, columns 10-12, Tabular Summary.

If a family received any rent as part of wages or salary, as in the case of a minister, a resident manager, or a janitor, the estimated monthly rental value was multiplied by the number of months such premises were occupied, and the resulting amount was included as a part of the family's income. If a family occupied rent free during any part of the schedule year, a home that was owned by a relative or friend, the rental value was estimated for the period, and from it was subtracted any housing expense incurred by the family in connection with such occupancy. The net figure was then added to the family's income. Housing furnished to individual family members while away from home, whether as gift or in return for services, was not included in nonmoney income from housing, which relates solely to housing that served as the family home.

Much more important, on the average, than rent as pay or gift, was imputed income from owned home. If a family during any part of the schedule year occupied a home owned by a member of the
family the rental value of this home was estimated (in relation to rental rates on equivalent quarters) for the period of occupancy. From this sum were deducted all expenses incurred for the home, for interest on a mortgage, repairs, taxes, special assessments, and premiums for insurance, during the period of occupancy. The remaining amount was considered as imputed income from housing, and included as a nonmoney part of the family's total income. If the expenses were greater than the estimated rental value, the family was considered to have had a negative income from housing.

This procedure applied to either a family home or an owned vacation home. The net effect was to place many home owners in a higher-income class ( $\$ 250$ intervals) than they would have been classified in on the basis of money incomes alone.

Household operation.-The data for household operation expense, presented in tables 2 and 5 of the Tabular Summary, include three groups of items; fuel, light, and refrigeration; paid household help; and other items of household operation, such as laundry and cleaning supplies, telephone, and water rent. The expenses for operating both family and vacation homes occupied by the family were included. In all tables in the text, and in all text discussion, expenditures for household operation exclude amounts spent for fuel, light, and refrigeration, which were included with housing (see above, under Housing expense).

If certain expenses for operation, such as fuel, light, or water rent, were paid by the family for a period when the home was rented to some other family, such expenses were excluded from the scheduled family's total household operation expense and were deducted from the gross rents received in computing net income from rent.

The average expense for fuel, light, and refrigeration, as shown in table 2, column 8; table 4, column 5; and table 5, column 5, of the Tabular Summary is an understatement of expense for this category since, in the case of renters, one or more of these items was sometimes included in the rent, and covered by the rental rate.

Paid household help.-Included in this subsection was the expense for the employment of household help, both full and part time, by the family during the year. Nursemaids were classified as household help, but the fees charged by nurses caring for the sick were grouped with medical care. Expense for the employment of seamstresses for the duration of a specific job to make or repair clothing for the family, or to sew household linens or make slip covers was included with expense for clothing and furnishings, respectively. The cost of employing a laundress was included in this subsection only if the laundry work was done on the family's premises.

Besides the cash wages paid by the family to servants, the total expense for household help included carfare for which the servants were reimbursed by the family, and the amounts spent by the family during the year to outfit their servants, as well as amounts given in tips and presents to doormen, elevator men, and delivery boys not directly employed by the family. The money value of gifts which did not represent a direct money expense to the family was not included in the expense of household help. Meals furnished to servants by the family were considered a part of family food expense rather than part of the wages paid.

Other items of household operation expense.-Grouped in this subsection were expenditures for such items of household operation as water rent, telephone, laundry sent out, laundry soap and other cleaning supplies, stationery and postage, telegrams, moving charges, express and freight fees, household disinfectants and insecticides, wood and metal polishes, paper products for household use, and fees for garbage and ash removal.

Furnishings and equipment.-Classified as furnishings and equipment were kitchen, cleaning, and laundry equipment, furniture, glassware and china, silverware, household textiles, floor coverings, luggage, and gardening equipment. Included in this grouping also were articles of household equipment such as mechanical refrigerators, ice boxes, pressure cookers, washing and ironing machines, vacuum cleaners, and sewing machines.

Yard goods for the making of household linens, draperies, and slip covers, as well as the cost of paid help for sewing these articles were likewise combined with expense for furnishings. Premiums paid for fire and theft insurance on furnishings, expense for repairs, the cleaning of furnishings and equipment, and fees for renting furniture were also incorporated in this group of expenditures. Premiums paid during the year for insurance on furnishings were included in the total regardless of the duration of the insurance which the premium covered.

The recorded prices of furniture and equipment included charges for financing articles bought on an installment plan. If, in the purchase of any of these items, a used article of the same kind was traded in, the amount recorded was the net price, that is, the gross price minus the trade-in allowance.

## CLOTHING

Besides those articles ordinarily regarded os clothing, the following items were also so classified: Accessories such as gloves, handkerchiefs, purses, umbrellas, jewlery, ties and collars, belts, garters, and suspenders; yardgoods, yarns, and findings for clothing made at home, the cost of paid help for the making of clothing; dry-cleaning and pressing of clothing; repair of shoes and charges for shoeshines; fees
for renting articles of clothing; flowers for personal wear; premiums paid for insurance on clothing and jewelery. These items of expense were allocated to the individual members for whom the expense was incurred.

Articles of clothing purchased for wear at work and special clothing bought for participation in sports were included with clothing.

## PERSONAL CARE

Toilet articles and preparation.-Under "toilet articles and preparations" were included the following items: Toilet soaps, dentrifrices, shaving soaps and creams, facial and hand creams and lotions, powders, rouge and lipsticks, perfumes, and equipment for personal care such as brushes and combs, razors, files, scissors, curling irons, hair dryers, powder puffs, and sanitary supplies.

Personal services.-The following items were classified as services: Haircuts, shampoos, shaves, all types of waves, manicures, facials, eyebrow trims, dyeing and dressing of hair, Turkish baths.

Tips to barbers and beauty operators were combined with the charge for the service.

## TRANSPORTATION

Automobile expense.-Data on automobile expense refers to automobiles owned by the family which were used either partly or wholly for family purposes. Expense for operation of automobiles used for business purposes was not regarded as a family expense, but was included with other deductible expenses in arriving at net earnings of the family.
"Family" use of a car was defined as operation of the car for such purposes as transportation to and from work, school, theater, and shopping centers, as well as for vacation travel and driving for pleasure. The use of the family car in pursuit of one's gainful occupation was defined as business use of an automobile. The most common example of this is the use of the family car by a physician or a salesman for making professional or business calls.

The procedure used by the agent in obtaining information on automobile expense was to ask for the total annual expense for each item specified on the schedule. If the family used its automobile partly for family and partly for business purposes, the agent then asked the family to estimate the proportion of the use which was chargeable to business. This was expressed as a percentage, and represented the proportion of business use over the period of the entire schedule year.

The family was asked to base its estimate of the proportion of automobile use applicable to business on the mileage and the amount of time during which the car was so used.

The reciprocal of this proportion, representing the expense applicable to family use, was then applied to each item of operating expense, as well as to the net purchase price of a car bought during the year.

Since further refinement of the data was not feasible, the same percentage was applied to each item of expense; no account was taken of internal variations in business use as between different items, as the family was asked to report the over-all percentage.

The amount of the total operating expenses which was chargeable to business was regarded as an occupational expense deductible from gross earnings. Likewise, the proportion of the net purchase price of an automobile bought during the schedule year which was chargeable to business (in the same proportion as the operating expense) was regarded as an investment of the family funds in business and was so classified in the section showing assets and liabilities, unless this amount had been excluded from the total income originally reported on the family schedule. However, the total amount still owing on such a car at the end of the year was recorded as a debt in the section on assets and liabilities, without distinction between family and business proportions.

Included in the gross purchase price of a car were financing charges other than for insurance when the car was purchased on the installment plan. The net price of a car bought during the year was derived by subtracting from the gross contract price the amount allowed on the trade-in of another car.

The expense for operation included the following items: gasoline, oil, tires and tubes, repairs and service, garage rent, parking fees, licenses including registration fees, fines and damages paid, automobile insurance, tolls, accessories for the car, and association dues.

In addition to the cost of operating a car owned by the family, operation expenditures included the amount paid or shared by a family member for operating a car owned by someone not a member of the economic family and the amount paid by a family member for a drivers license.

Other travel and transportation.-The cost of transportation other than by automobile was designated as "other travel and transportation." As in the case of automobile expense, travel for business purposes was excluded from the record of family expenditures. Daily travel to and from work was regarded as a family expense.

Besides the cost of transportation on public vehicles, there was combined under this heading the amount spent for the purchase and operation of vehicles other than automobiles, such as a motorcycle, bicycle, or boat. If, however, these vehicles were maintained primarily for recreational purposes, the expense was classified as an expenditure for recreation.

## MEDICAL CARE

Data on expenditures for medical care include all expense incurred for the care of members of the economic family during the schedule year.

The total medical care bill covered fees of physicians, dentists, oculists, and other specialists, cost of hospitalization and nurses' fees, fees for medical examinations and tests, cost of medicines, drugs, and medical appliances and supplies, and health or infirmary fees paid at college. Included also was the amount paid out for premiums on insurance which provided benefits in case of sickness or accident, as well as the cost of subscribing to cooperative "hospital plans" and membership dues to group health associations. When accident or sick benefits were included in a life insurance policy, the proportion of the total premiums which applied to health insurance was ascertained and grouped with medical care expense. A similar procedure was followed with respect to dues to fraternal organizations if dues covered the cost of health and accident insurance. Amounts which employers of family members deducted from wages or salaries for accident or health insurance were included with medical care expense. In these instances, the amount deducted was now added to wages if such amounts had originally been excluded in determining the income of the family.

Cash benefits received during the year from health and accident insurance were included with current money income and the expense for the illness was recorded as an expense for medical care. No estimate was made of the value of care supplied directly by an insurance company or an employer under the terms of an insurance or benefit plan, nor was such care considered free.

## RECREATION

Expenditures for recreation covered admission fees to commercial entertainments, the cost of supplies and equipment for participating in games and sports, and expense for miscellaneous entertainment items.

Paid admissions to movies, plays, concerts, lectures, ball games and other spectator sports, dances, and circuses were included in the expense for recreation. The expense for commercial entertainment covered the amounts spent by the family for paid admissions for their guests, but food and refreshments bought for guests was classified as food expense.

Included in the recreation category was expense for equipment, supplies, fees, and licenses necessary for participation in games and sports such as hunting, tennis, golf, the various winter sports, bicycling,
billiards and bowling, card and other table games. Expense for lodging while on vacation was classified as housing, rather than as recreation expense. In the case of summer camps, when no allocation of the total expense could be made by the family, one-fourth of the total was classified with recreation expense. Expense for food on vacation trips was classified with other food expense. Expense for sport uniforms or sport clothes was classified with other clothing expense. Expenditures for a vacation cruise were divided among food, lodging, and travel, and were not classified as recreation expense.

The third subdivision of recreation expense covered expenditures for such items as the purchase and repair of radios (not automobile), of musical instruments, care of pets, the cost of children's play equipment, and dues to social and recreational clubs.

## TOBACCO

Besides the outlay for cigars, cigarettes, and other tobacco, total tobacco expenditures included the amount spent for smoking supplies such as pipes, pipe cleaners and racks, cigarette holders, tobacco pouches, and ash trays. Smoking stands, however, were grouped with furniture.

## READING

Expenditures for reading consisted of outlay for general reading matter such as newspapers and magazines, and the purchase and rental of books.

The cost of books and journals which family members purchased for use in their occupational pursuits was deducted from their earnings and thus was treated as an occupational expense. Books and journals purchased for use at schools attended by members of the family were combined with expense for education. Picture books for very young children who were members of the economic family were considered toys and incorporated with expense for recreation.

## EDUCATION

The total expenditures for education consisted of tuition fees and expense for books and supplies for all formal educational pursuits, whether for initial training, for recreational purposes, or for improving one's occupational qualifications. Expenditure for room and board at school or college was included under housing and food, respectively.

Included in the total expense for education was the outlay for lessons in music and art, bridge, games and sports, dancing, knitting and sewing, tuition for religious education that was separate from church dues, and fees for correspondence courses. The supplies for special lessons, however, were not as a rule combined with education expense, but were entered in more appropriate sections of the schedule. For
instance, supplies for music lessons, such as sheet music and instruments, were grouped with recreation expense. The same is true of supplies for bridge lessons and of special equipment for lessons in various types of sports. Special clothing for dancing was classified as clothing expense, as were supplies for knitting and sewing lessons.

## CONTRIBUTIONS AND PERSONAL TAXES

Expenditures for gifts which were recorded in this section of the schedule were restricted to gifts and donations made by family members to persons outside the economic family and to organizations. Presents bought by one family member for another were classified in appropriate sections of the schedule.

Gifts to household help and other servants were combined with expense for paid help, while the amount of tips given to persons performing a personal service, such as waitresses, hairdressers, and the like, was added to the expense for the service in question.

Classified in this category were expenditures for presents for special occasions to persons outside the economic family, contributions to the support of relatives not members of the family, charitable donations to individuals and to community chests and other welfare agencies, donations to church and religious organizations, and contributions to political parties, alumni associations, and the like.

Contributions to the support of relatives usually covered such items as cash given to relatives for current living expenses, bills paid (not incurred) for nonmembers of the family for such items as medical care, or for funeral expenses assumed by the family. Amounts paid in premiums for the insurance of persons who were not members of the economic family were also included in gifts made by the family, regardless of the beneficiary of the policy. The purchase price of gifts of property, such as real estate or stocks and bonds, was included with the total expense for this category.

Poll, income, and personal property taxes which fall due within the schedule year were incorporated in the total for this section. If the taxes remained unpaid at the end of the year they were also entered as an increase in family liabilities. Excluded from the taxes entered here were real property taxes and personal property taxes on automobiles. Sales and other excise taxes were added to the price of each item of expense rather than recorded as a lump sum, and amusement taxes were included with recreation expense.

## OTHER ITEMS OF FAMILY EXPENSE

Miscellaneous items of family expenditure which were not readily classifiable with other major groups of consumer goods and services were combined under this heading.

This included expenditures for such items as interest on debts incurred for family living (other than mortgage on an owned home), fees for legal advice on family problems as opposed to business matters, family losses, expenditure for funerals for members of the economic family, together with the purchase price and upkeep of a cemetery lot.

Classified as family loss was the amount of money lost through theft or accident, personal loans made during the year which were written off at some time during the year as uncollectible, rent paid for a dwelling after the family had moved from it, or before the family had moved into it, and the amount of installments paid during the year on articles which were repossessed through failure to meet further payments.

## ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

The difference between the family's total money income for the year and its total money expenditures was accounted for, apart from the balancing difference, by one or more of a series of changes in assets and liabilities. Assets include all property owned by the family and amounts due to the family; liabilities include all amounts owed by the family.

Among assets, as discussed in chapter VIII of the text above, were bank accounts (including checking accounts, savings accounts, and money on hand), investments (including real estate, securities, investments of family funds in business), insurance (premiums paid or policies settled or surrendered), and such items as improvements on owned home or other real estate, loans made to others, and that portion of the soldiers' bonus or of a cash gift or inheritance received during the schedule year and not spent for current living.

Among liabilities were amounts payable on mortgages on the family home or other real estate, loans due to banks, small loan companies, insurance companies, or individuals, bills due (including charge accounts and other bills and balances due on installment purchases), and other items such as rents and taxes due.

The record was restricted to money changes, that is, changes in assets and liabilities resulting from purchase and sale of property, and other money transactions. Changes in assets due to the increase or decrease in market value of real estate, securities, or other personal property were disregarded.

The record was restricted to the disposition of family funds; business funds were excluded from the analysis.

No attempt was made to determine the total assets or the total liabilities of the families. Rather, they were asked to report only as to increases and decreases that had taken place during the scheduled year. In the case of each individual item only the net increase or
decrease was included in the tabulation. For instance, instead of recording as a liability the total amount which the family owed on installment purchase contracts, only the amount by which such obligations at the end of the year were greater or less than the sum owed at the beginning of the year was ascertained. In determining the amount of net surplus or of net deficit attributable to each family, four separate totals were obtained. These were: total increases in net assets, total decreases in net assets, total increases in net liabilities, and total decreases in net liabilities.

The sum of the total increase in assets plus the total decrease in debt represents the disposition of funds not used for current expenditures during the schedule year. The sum of the total decrease in assets and the total increase in debt represents funds which were made available to the family for current spending but which were not considered current income. The difference between these two sums gave the net change in all assets and obligations over the year's period. A positive result denoted a net surplus, while a negative figure denoted a net deficit.

## Appendix C

## Communities and Racial Groups Surveyed by the Study of Consumer Purchases

The cities covered by the Bureau of Labor Statistics in reports on family expenditures in the Study of Consumer Purchases are as follows:

${ }^{1}$ The metropolitan centers of Chicago and New York have been treated separately from the other large cities.
${ }^{1}$ Information obtained from both white and Negro families:
Communities covered by the Bureau of Home Economics in reports on family expenditures in the Study of Consumer Purchases, are as follows:
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An investigation of the income and money disbursements of families of wage earners and clerical workers was undertaken by the Bureau of Labor Statistics in the fall of 1934 for the purpose of revising the cost of living index published currently by the Bureau. The data from that investigation cover 1 year within the period 1934-36 and include details on income, family composition, expenditures for principal categories and for detailed items of consumption for a total sample of 14,668 families of employed wage earners and lower salaried clerical workers in 42 cities, all with population over 50,000 . Data on quantities of food, clothing, and furnishings and equipment purchased; on types of medical care received; and on changes in assets and liabilities are also included. A summary of these findings is presented in United States Bureau of Labor Statistics Bulletin No. 638.

The individual cities for which data are available and the bulletins in which the detailed data appear are as follows:
North Atlantic Region, New York City (B. L. S. Bull. 637, Vol. I): White and Negro families.
North Atlantic Region, Eleven Cities (B. L. S. Bull. 637, Vol. II):

Boston, Mass.
Buffalo, N. Y.
Johnstown, Pa.
Lancaster, Pa.
Manchester, N. H.
Philadelphia, Pa. (white and Negro families).

Pittsburgh, Pa. (white and Negro families).
Portland, Maine.
Rochester, N. Y.
Scranton, Pa.
Springfield, Mass.

East North Central Region, (B. L. S. Bull. 636):
Cincinnati, Ohio (white and Negro Grand Rapids, Mich. families).
Cleveland, Ohio.
Columbus, Ohio.
Detroit, Mich.
Indianapolis, Ind. (white and Negro families).
Lansing, Mich.
Milwaukee, Wis.
West North Central and Mountain Region (B. L. S. Bull. 641):
Denver, Colo.
Kansas City, Mo. and Kansas City, Kans. (white and Negro families).

Southern Region (B. L. S. Bull. 640):
Baltimore, Md. (white and Negro families).
Birmingham, Ala. (white and Negro families).
Dallas, Tex.
Houston, Tex. (white other than Mexican and Mexican families).
Jackson, Miss. (white and Negro families).
Jacksonville, Fla.
Louisville, Ky. (white and Negro families).

Minneapolis and St. Paul, Minn. St. Louis, Mo. (white and Negro families).
Salt Lake City, Utah.

Memphis, Tenn. (white and Negro families).
Mobile, Ala. (white and Negro families).
New Orleans, La. (white and Negro families).
Norfolk and Portsmouth, Va. (white and Negro families).
Richmond, Va. (white and Negro families).

Pacific Region (B. L. S. Bull. 639):
Los Angeles, Calif. (white other than Mexican and Mexican families).
Sacramento, Calif.

San Diego, Calif.
San Francisco, Calif. Seattle, Wash.

## Appendix D

## Analysis of Expenditures by Families of Given Type, Occupational Group, and Income: Rank Test Method and Results ${ }^{1}$

One of the purposes of the present study is to discover whatever differences there may be in the expenditure patterns of families of different composition but belonging to the same income and occupational group; and in the expenditure patterns of families in different occupational groups but of the same composition and the same income class. The determination of such differences is complicated both by the extreme variability of the expenditures of families of the same composition, occupational group, and income class in any one year, and by the small number of schedules which it was possible to secure for one cell within the time and funds available for the present study. Emergencies of various sorts, differences in debts carried over from the previous year or in accumulated reserves, and in personal tastes result in very wide differences in expenditures among families with identical incomes, with children of the same age, and with fathers of the same occupational status. In any extensive investigation of family expenditures, the classification of families must allow for a range of income within each cell, a range in the ages of the children and a grouping of occupations, this uncreasing the possibility of variation.

An examination of table B presented in the supplement to the Tabular Summary shows the irregularity in the expenditures of families of given type, occupation, and income and emphasizes the need for developing some method of summarizing the differences and of testing their significance.

The method used in this report is based on a chi-square test developed by Milton Friedman, and reported in the Journal of the American Statistical Association for December 1937.

## The Basic Principle.

In this test of significance the average dollar expenditures are transformed into ranks. The basic principle is that if a two-way table of observations represents a random or arbitrary arrangement by classes, then any one of the possible ( $p$ ) rank orders is equally likely to fall in a given cell. If several sets of such ranks are considered, then the

[^79]average of the ranks for any given class will tend to be the same as that for any other class; that is, equal to the average of $p$ ranks. This is illustrated in the following example of eight sets of ranks each based as four classes:

| Set | Ranks |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean rank } \\ & \text { per set } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Class A | Class B | Class C | Class D |  |
| a..-.-.-.-.-..........--- | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 2.5 |
| c | 3 2 2 | $\stackrel{4}{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 2.5 |
| d | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 2.5 |
| e | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2.5 |
| f. | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2. 5 |
|  | $\stackrel{2}{4}$ | 3 1 | 4 | $\frac{1}{3}$ | 2.5 2.5 |
| Sum (s $r$ ) | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Mean rank per class ( $\bar{r}$ ). | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| Mean of $p$ ranks ( $\frac{p+1}{2}$ ) |  |  | 2.5 |  |  |

Under such an arrangement of ranks, one may infer that the four classifications, A, B, C, and D represent arbitrary or random groupings of homogeneous observations; that is, that there is no significant difference between the expenditures of families of types A, B, C, and D represent by this example.

On the other hand it would be possible to obtain the following pattern of eight sets of ranks each based as four classes:

| Set | Ranks |  |  |  | $\underset{\text { per set }}{\text { Mean rank }}$ per set |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Class A | Class B | Class C | Class D |  |
| a. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 2.5 |
| b. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 2. 5 |
| c. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 2.5 |
| d. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 2. 5 |
| $\theta$ - | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 2.5 |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 3 3 | 4 4 | 2.5 |
| h | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 2.5 |
| Sum (s r) | 8 | 16 | 24 | 32 | 20 |
| Mean rank per class $\overline{(r)}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 2.5 |

Here the pattern of ranks is clearly defined. From such a pattern one may infer that the classes $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}$, and D do not represent arbitrary classifications of homogeneous observatons but that the categories indicate real differences in the expenditure habits of the population from which the data were collected.
In these examples departure of the column (class) means from 2.5 the mean of four ranks, is used to summarize the differences. In the first example the departure was zero for each column, while in the second example the departure of the means for each class from the average was a maximum. A similar measure of departure is that of the sums per column from the expected sum of 20 . What is
needed is a method of appraising the importance of these departures; this is provided by a test of significance which will now be described.

Having secured a summary value for each column and its departure from the average value for all columns, we need a test to show the probability that this particular difference could have arisen from random fluctuations in sampling. If this probability is high, generally more than 5 percent, the chances may be large enough to warrant acceptance of the hypothesis of homogeneity; but if the probability is low, say 1 percent, the chances may be low enough to warrant the conclusion that we were testing.a nonhomogeneous population; that is, that the differences which appear are statistically significant. At best, however, this method will be a rather rough test of the hypothesis.

## The Method.

The method of ranks used in testing family expenditures for probable family type and occupational bias, used in this bulletin, is a simplification of the method devised by Friedman. It was simplified by using deviations from sums of ranks rather than deviations from mean ranks, and by constructing a table of $k$ values for the 5 percent and 1 percent levels instead of using the chi-square table.

The formula given by Friedman for the value of chi-square based on ranks,

$$
\chi_{r}^{2}=\frac{12 n}{p(p+1)} \sum_{1}^{p}\left(\bar{r}-\frac{p+1}{2}\right)^{2}
$$

was rewritten in the form

$$
\chi_{r}^{2}=\frac{12}{n p(p+1)} \sum_{1}^{p}\left[\sum_{1}^{n} r-\frac{n(p+1)}{2}\right]^{2}
$$

where $p$ is the number of ranks, $n$ is the number of sets of ranks, $r$ is the value of any rank, $\Sigma r$ is the sum of ranks in a column (class), and $\bar{r}$ is the mean of the $n$ ranks for a given column (class):

If the expression

$$
\sum_{1}^{p}\left[\sum_{1}^{n} r-\frac{n(p+1)}{2}\right]^{2}
$$

is called $k$, then

$$
k=\frac{n p(p+1)}{12} \chi_{T}^{2}
$$

and can be tabled for various values of $n$ and $p$, for given levels of chi-square based on $p-1$ degrees of freedom. In preparing these values of $k$ (table 3) we used Friedman's values of $\chi_{r}{ }^{2}$ for small values of $n$ and $p$; otherwise we used the table for chi-square given in R.A. Fisher, Statistical Methods for Research Workers, 6th edition, pages 118-119. Note that $k$ is merely a constant times chi-square, but a much simpler measure to compute.

The expression $\sum_{1}^{n} r-\frac{n(p+1)}{2}$ measures the departure of the sum of $n$ ranks in a class from the expected sum based upon the hypothesis that the class is a random arrangement of homogeneous data.

In our first example $n=8, p=4, \Sigma r=10$, and $\frac{n(p+1)}{2}=20$. Hence the foregoing expression is zero for every column, $k$ is zero, and the hypothesis of homogeneity is supported.

In the second example $n=8, p=4, \Sigma r=8,16,24,32$, respectively, for the four columns, and $\frac{n(p+1)}{2}=20$. The four column deviations from 20 will be $-12,-4,4,12$; the sum of these squared will be $k$, or 320.

From the $k$ table we find for an $8 \times 4$ set of ranks that the probability is 0.01 of getting by chance a value of $k$ as large as 151 . The probability of getting a value of 320 is even less; hence, the likelihood of getting such a set of ranks by chance is extremely small.

> TABLE 3.-Critical values of $k$ FOR $P=0.05$

| $n$ (rows) | . $p$ (ranks) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| 3. | ${ }^{1} 18$ | 35 | 71 | 116 | 176 | 253 | 349 | 465 |
| 4 | 26 | 50 | 95 | 155 | 235 | 338 | 465 | 626 |
| 5. | 32 | 65 | 119 | 194 | 294 | 422 | 582 | 776 |
| 6 | 38 | 78 | 142 | 233 | 353 | 506 | 698 | 931 |
| 7 | 42 | 91 | 166 | 271 | 411 | 591 | 814 | 1,086 |
| 8 | 50 | 104 | 190 | 310 | 470 | 675 | 930 | 1,241 |
| 9 | 56 | 117 | 214 | 349 | 529 | 760 | 1,047 | 1,396 |
| 10 | 62 | 130 | 237 | 388 | 588 | 844 | 1,163 | 1,551 |
| 11. | 68 | 143 | 261 | 426 | 646 | 928 | 1,279 | 1,706 |
| 12 | 75 | 156 | 285 | 465 | 705 | 1,013 | 1,396 | 1,861 |
| 13. | 81 | 169 | 308 | 504 | 764 | 1,097 | 1, 512 | 2.016 |
| 14. | 87 | 182 | 332 | 542 | 823 | 1,182 | 1, 628 | 2,171 |
| 15. | 93 | 195 | 356 | 581 | 881 | 1,266 | 1, 745 | 2,326 |
| FOR $P=0.01$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $p$ (ranks) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| 3. | ${ }^{1} 18$ | 41 | 100 | 158 | 235 | 333 | 452 | 596 |
| 4 | 32 | 62 | 133 | 211 | 314 | 443 | 603 | 794 |
| 5 | 42 | 95 | 166 | 264 | 392 | 554 | 753 | 993 |
| 6. | 50 | 113 | 199 | 317 | 471 | 665 | 904 | 1,192 |
| 7 | 62 | 132 | 232 | 370 | 549 | 776 | 1, 055 | 1,390 |
| 8 | 72 | 151 | 266 | 422 | 628 | 887 | 1,205 | 1, 589 |
| 9 | 78 | 170 | 299 | 475 | 706 | 998 | 1, 356 | 1,787 |
| 10. | 87 | 189 | 332 | 528 | 785 | 1,109 | 1,507 | 1, 986 |
| 11 | 95 | 208 | 365 | 581 | 863 | 1,219 | 1,657 | 2, 185 |
| 12 | 104 | 227 | 398 | 634 | 942 | 1,330 | 1, 808 | 2, 383 |
| 13. | 113 | 246 | 432 | 686 | 1,020 | 1,441 | 1,959 | 2, 582 |
| 14. | 121 | 265 | 465 | 739 | 1, 098 | 1, 552 | 2, 110 | 2, 780 |
| 15. | 130 | 284 | 498 | 792 | 1,177 | 1,663 | 2, 260 | 2,979 |

${ }_{1} P_{2}=0.02$.
Note.-For extending the table use $k=\frac{n p(p+1)}{12} \chi^{2}$ with the value of chi-square based on $p-1$ degrees of freedom.

## Limitations of the Method.

The chief limitation of this method is the loss of information due to the transformation of the data into ranks, a loss which is indeed great for small samples. Another limitation is that the difference, however small or large, between two adjacent observations, must be represented by the same difference in rank. Other limitations are those common to all tests of significance: (1) the method provides no basis for distinguishing between a significant difference due to differences between all classes and that due to the difference between an extreme class and all the rest, (2) it takes no account of variations around the means upon which the array is based, (3) the basic classifications used may be invalid, and (4) the tests reject hypotheses, they do not prove them.

## Application of the Method.

Rank tests were made of the average dollar expenditures for each of the major groups of expenditures by family type and by occupations. Family type tests were made for each of the six occupation groups, separately, and for all of these occupational averages added together with equal weights (table 4). Analogous occupation tests were made for the first six family types, and for the first five family types combined, with equal weight to each family type (table 5).

In making the rank tests it was necessary at times to eliminate family type VII, because of an insufficient number of cases, and also certain income bands especially at the high levels for this same reason.

Certain combinations of items made throughout the Study were followed in making the tests. Housing expense includes that for fuel, light, and refrigeration. Housing value is housing expense plus free rent and imputed value of owned home. Automobile expense includes both that of purchase and that of operation.

## Interpretation of the Results.

Tables 4 and 5 summarize the results of the tests in terms of $k$ and the probability $P$ of getting by chance a value of $k$ larger than the one obtained. If this probability is 0.05 or less, we have grounds for rejecting the hypothesis that the average expenditures for that specific item came from the same expenditure universe. We show three levels of probability as follows:
$0.05+$ means a value of $P$ greater than 0.05 .
0.05 - means a value of $P$ between 0.05 and 0.01 .
0.01 - means one less than 0.01 .

A test of significance is much more effective in rejecting a hypothesis than in proving one, and this, together with the limitations of the rank test, should caution the reader against making any sweeping generalizations from the test results. At best they suggest hypotheses for further and more refined testing.

[^80]Table 4.-Summary of family type tests for each occupation

| Item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Salaried profes- } \\ & \text { sional (family } \\ & \text { types I-VI, } \\ & \$ 1,250-\$ 7,500 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ |  | Salaried business (family types $\$ 7,500$ ) |  | Independent professional (family $\$ 1,500-\$ 7,500)^{2}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Clerical (family } \\ \text { types } \quad \text { I-VII, } \\ \$ 500-\$ 5,000) \end{gathered}$ |  | Wage earner (fam-ily types I-VII, $\$ 500-\$ 5,000$ ) |  | All occupations ${ }^{1}$(family type ${ }^{\text {I }}-\mathrm{V}$,$\$ 1,500-\$ 5,000)^{2}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $k$ | $P_{k}$ | k | $P_{k}$ | k | $P_{k}$ | $k$ | $P_{k}$ | k | $P_{k}$ | k | $P_{\text {b }}$ | k | $P_{k}$ |
| Food | 1,178 | 0.01- | 1,318 | $0.01-$ | 198 | $0.05-$ | 1,009 | $0.01-$ | 2,862 | 0.01- | 3,048 | $0.01-$ | 442 | 0.01- |
| Clothing. | 124 | . $05+$ | 523 | .05+ | ${ }_{118}^{118}$ | $05+$ |  | .05+ | 491 | . $05+$ | 1.386 | 01- | 182 |  |
| Household operation | 118 | . $05+$ | 708 | . $01-$ | 133 | . $05+$ | 312 | . $05+$ | ${ }_{511}^{64}$ | . $05+$ | ${ }_{677}$ | . $05+$ | 178 | .05- |
| Furnishings.. | 88 | . $05+$ | 201 | . $05+$ | 194 | . $05-$ | 228 | . $05+$ | 519 | . $05+$ | 246 | . $05+$ | 214 | . 05 |
| Auto: Total | 294 | . $05+$ | 364 | . $05+$ | 22 | . $05+$ | 304 | . $05+$ | 1,066 | .01- | 537 | .05- | 328 | .01- |
| Other transportation | 503 | . $05-$ | 856 | . $01-$ | 212 | . $05-$ | 487 | .05- | 453 | . $05+$ | 1,065 | . $01-$ | ${ }^{366}$ | .01- |
| Personal care | 326 | . $05+$ | 208 | $05+$ | 59 | . $05+$ | 97 | . $05+$ | 290 | .05+ | 988 | . $01-$ | 136 | .05+ |
| Medicat care | 238 | . $05+$ | ${ }_{278}^{212}$ | $05+$ | 86 | . $05+$ | 160 | . 05 + | 491 | . $05+$ | 138 | . $05+$ | 146 | .05+ |
| Recreation. | $\stackrel{86}{26}$ | . $05+$ | 278 | . $05 \pm$ | $\begin{array}{r}106 \\ 38 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | . $05+$ | 436 | . $0505-$ | 304 402 | . $05+$ | ${ }_{358}$ | . $05+$ | ${ }_{103}^{94}$ | . $05+$ |
| Reading. | 28 | . $05+$ | 83 | .05+ | 145 | . $05+$ | 85 | . $05+$ | 450 | . $05+$ | 150 | . $05+$ | 52 | .05+ |
| Education. | 430 | . 05 | 1,168 | .01- | 222 | .05- | 894 | .01- | 1,913 | . $01-$ | 1,474 | .01- | 378 | $01-$ |
| Gifts and taxes | 404 | .05- | 386 | . $05+$ | 66 | $05+$ | 308 | .05+ | 1,017 | . $01-$ | 830 | .05- | 296 | 01 |
| Total. | 260 | . $05+$ | 480 | .05+ | 74 | .05+ | 120 | .05+ | 774 | .05- | 1,262 | .01- | 118 | .05+ |

${ }^{1}$ The 6 occupation averages were added for each income class within each family type, giving unit weight to each occupation. The test for each item is based upon a ranking of these sums.

3 Income class $\$ 2,000$ to $\$ 2,250$ omitted.
$t$ Housing plus fuel, light, refrigeration, imputed income from owned home, and rent received as gift or pay.
Note.-Under $P_{k}, 0.05+$ means a value oreater than $0.05 ; 0.05-$ means between 0.05 and 0.01 ; while 0.01 - means less than 0.01 .

Table 5.-Summary of occupation tests for each family type in each case distinguishing the 6 occupational groups

| Item | Family type I, $\$ 1,250-\$ 5,000$ |  | Family type II, $\$ 1,250-\$ 5,000$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Family type III, } \\ & \$ 1,250-\$ 5,000^{2} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Family type IV, } \\ \$ 1,250-\$ 5,000 \end{gathered}$ |  | Family type V,$\$ 1,500-\$ 5,000$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Family type VI, } \\ & \$ 1,250-\$ 5,000^{3} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Family types I-V } \\ \text { combined, } \\ \$ 1,500-\$ 5,000^{2} \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $k$ | $\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{k}}$ | $k$ | $P_{k}$ | $k$ | $P_{k}$ | $k$ | $\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{k}}$ | $k$ | $P_{k}$ | $k$ | $P_{k}$ | $k$ | $P_{k}$ |
| Food | 117 | $0.05+$ | 112 | $0.05+$ | 180 | $0.05+$ | 279 | $0.05+$ | 128 | $0.05+$ | 154 | $0.05+$ | 170 | 0.05 + |
| Clothing | 106 | .05+ | 60 | . $05+$ | 106 | . $05+$ | 198 | . $05+$ | 180 | .05+ | 84 | . $05+$ | 102 | .05+ |
| Housing value ${ }^{4}$ | 270 | . $05+$ | 202 | . $05+$ | 264 | . $05+$ | 458 | . $05-$ | 418 | . $05-$ | 94 | . $05+$ | 418 | . 01 - |
| Household operatio | 438 | .05- | 256 | $.05+$ | 296 | . $05+$ | 408 | .05- | 360 | .05- | 122 | . $05+$ | 678 | .01- |
| Furnishings | 244 | . $05+$ | 66 | . $05+$ | 242 | . $05+$ | 298 | $.05+$ | 380 | . $05-$ | 280 | .05- | 296 | .05- |
| Auto: Total. | 114 | . $05+$ | 52 | .05+ | 249 | $.05+$ | 32 | $.05+$ | 142 | . $05+$ | 134 | . $05+$ | 266 | .05+ |
| Other transportatio | 554 | . $01-$ | 289 | . $05+$ | 126 | . $05+$ | 492 | .01- | 280 | . $05+$ | 178 | .05- | 342 | .05- |
| Personal care.. | 196 | . $05+$ | 352 | .05- | 88 | . $05+$ | 296 | . $05+$ | 43 | . $05+$ | 46 | . 05 + | 204 | .05+ |
| Medical care. | 228 | . $05+$ | 175 | . $05+$ | 286 | . $05+$ | 42 | . $05+$ | 142 | . $05+$ | 164 | . 05 + | 116 | .05+ |
| Recreation | 290 | . $05+$ | 170 | . $05+$ | 190 | .05+ | 250 | . $05+$ | 204 | . $05+$ | 114 | . $05+$ | 133 | .05+ |
| Tobacco. | 371 | . 05 - | 402 | . $05-$ | 151 | $.05+$ | 230 | . $05+$ | 420 | . 05 - | 64 | . 05 + | 439 | .01- |
| Reading. | 143 | . $05+$ | 72 | . $05+$ | 198 | $.05+$ | 424 | .05- | 88 | . $05+$ | 31 | . $05+$ | 392 | . $01-$ |
| Education | (*) | (*) | 185 | . $05+$ | 160 | . $05+$ | 248 | .05+ | 264 | $.05+$ | 156 | . $05+$ | 232 | . $05+$ |
| Gifts and taxes | 342 | .05+ | 70 | . $05+$ | 146 | . $05+$ | 95 | . $05+$ | 124 | . $05+$ | 76 | . $05+$ | 154 | . $05+$ |
| Total | 196 | . $05+$ | 314 | .05+ | 256 | . $05+$ | 390 | .05- | 126 | . $05+$ | 52 | . $05+$ | 318 | .05- |

${ }^{1}$ The 5 family type averages were added for each income class within each occupational group, giving unit weight to each family type. The test for each item is based upon the ranking of these sums
${ }^{2}$ Income class $\$ 2,000-\$ 2,250$ omitted.
4 Housing expenditure plus imputed income from owned home plus rent received as gift or pay.

* Not enough families reported expense for ranking.

Note.-Under $P_{k}, 0.05+$ means a value qreater than $0.05 ; 0.05$ - means between 0.05 and 0.01 ; while 0.01 - means less than 0.01 .

## Appendix E <br> Variability in Family Expenditures

The wide variation in total money expenditures among families with similar incomes (table 6) parallels closely the variation in total expenditures for current living presented in chapter IX. In general, the largest expenditures reported by families at a given income level were at least four times as great as the smallest. The distribution of families is shifted downward a little, however, in comparison with that based on total expenditures. The difference is more noticeable at the lower than at the upper end of the scale, partly because of differences in the size of the interval. Nearly two-fifths of the families with incomes between $\$ 500$ and $\$ 750$, for example, had money expenditures of less than $\$ 700$, while only one-fourth of those at that level reported a money value of current living under $\$ 700$.

The wide range of total expenditures for current living in each income class suggests an even wider variation in expenditures of individual families on given commodities and services. This variation in the pattern of expenditures is characteristic and normal; it accounts for the irregularities in average expenditures to which attention has been drawn in the preceding discussion of the individual consumption categories.

Even among families within a given type, occupational group, and income class, wide variations occur in expenditures for most of the consumption goods and services. Detailed examination of the data for families within a given income, occupational, and family type group indicates that food expenditures have the lowest coefficient of variation. Among wage-earner and clerical families of given types at the income levels between $\$ 750$ and $\$ 2,000$, for example, coefficients of variation in food expenditures ranged only from 11 to 30 percent. (See table 7.) Other basic and recurrent items in the usual family budget, for which the coefficients of variation are relatively low, are clothing, housing (including fuel, light, and refrigeration), and personal care.

Table 6.-Percentage distribution of families according to total money expenditure for current family living

| Income class | $\begin{aligned} & \vec{\Xi} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} \\ & \text { En } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 |  | 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 喿 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$500-\$749 | 100 | 11 | 6 | 22 | 20 | 13 |  | 6 | 7 | , | , | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 100 |  |  | ${ }_{2}$ | 2 | 4 | 8 | ${ }_{27}$ | 22 | 18 | ${ }_{8}$ | ${ }_{3}$ | 3 | (*) | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (*) |  |  | (*) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 100 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | ${ }^{5}$ | 7 | 28 | 24 | 15 | 8 | 4 | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (*) |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  | (*) | 2 | 4 | 4 | 22 | 18 | 25 | 13 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | ${ }^{*}$ ) |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | (*) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999... | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  | (*) | $3$ |  | 3 | 5 |  |  | 22 |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (*) |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | (*) | - | 2 | 4 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 17 | 18 | 12 | 4 | ${ }^{3}$ | 4 | 2 |  | (*) |  | (*) | (*) |  | ${ }^{*}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499- | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{*}$ * | 1 |  | ${ }^{(*)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 100 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (*) | 1 | 1 1 | $\stackrel{4}{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 5 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | 4 1 | 5 1 | 6 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ 6 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | ${ }_{7}^{13}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11 \\ 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11 \\ 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7 \\ 29 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | (*) | (*) | 1 |  |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | (*) | (*) | (*) |  | 3 | 1 | 3 |  | 6 |  | 7 |  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999... | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (*) |  |  |  |  | (*) |  | 1 |  | ${ }_{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 16 | 32 | 24 | ${ }_{8}$ | 4 |  |  |
| \$5,000-87,499 | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 2 |  | 1 |  | 1 | 5 | 16 | 16 | 26 | 28 |  |  |
| 87,500-89,999-... | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 4 | 59 | 30 40 |  |
| \$10,000 and over | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21 | 40 | 37 |

${ }^{1}$ Excludes families with no gainfully employed members.
*Less than 1 percent.

Table 7.-Variation within cells in expenditures for food and clothing, among families in the wage-earner and clerical occupational groups
[White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]

| Income class and family type | Food |  |  |  | Clothing |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Wage earner |  | Clerical |  | Wage earner |  | Clerical |  |
|  | Mean | Coefficient of variation | Mean | Coefficient of variation | Mean | Coefficient of variation | Mean | Coefficient of variation |
|        <br> $\$ 750-\$ 999:$ $\$ 346$ 18 $\$ 370$ 24 $\$ 81$ 69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| L- | 378 | 11 | 409 | 20 | 87 | 40 | 47 | 72 |
| III | 438 | 22 | 424 | 20 | 79 | 37 | 60 | 47 |
| IV | 433 | 27 | 416 | 18 | 67 | 72 | 56 | 62 |
| $\stackrel{\text { V }}{ }$ | 572 | 21 | 533 | 17 | 98 | 88 | 56 | 71 |
| VI | 424 | 27 | 446 | 30 | 68 | 66 | 84 | 50 |
| VII --------------------- | 495 | 23 | 572 | 17 | 82 | 90 | 97 | 40 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I---... | 383 | 21 | 427 | 18 | 64 | 77 | 115 | 77 |
| II | 465 | 21 | 420 | 20 | 114 | 55 | 102 | 56 |
| III | 477 | 12 | 497 | 18 | 99 | 40 | 80 | 46 |
| IV | 478 | 14 | 485 | 19 | 73 | 75 | 126 | 54 |
| V | 608 | 25 | 543 | 20 | 84 | 74 | 84 | 33 |
| Vİ | 468 | 21 | 470 | 5 | 62 | 60 | 107 | 44 |
| VII | 535 | 29 | 822 | 28 | 140 | 44 | 230 | 55 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | 538 | 17 | 461 | 12 | 110 | 65 | 154 | 49 |
| III. | 481 | 14 | 447 | 16 | 92 | 52 | 90 | 44 |
| III | 582 | 28 | 544 | 15 | 95 | 53 | 131 | 53 |
| IV | 573 | 23 | 525 | 23 | 116 | 56 | 93 | 66 |
| V | 568 | 15 | 611 | 24 | 123 | 37 | 120 | 80 |
| Vİ | 596 | 24 | 645 | 18 | 113 | 60 | 91 | 54 |
| VII | 734 | 17 | 650 | 21. | 109 | 50 | 123 | 60 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 530 | 25 | 522 | 13 | 136 | 50 | 109 | 70 |
|  | 595 | 12 | 584 | 27 | 147 | 35 | 134 | 46 |
|  | 646 | 11 | 627 | 24 | 143 | 52 | 153 | 49 |
|  | 568 | 19 | 553 | 17 | 124 | 35 | 131 | 41 |
|  | 657 | 23 | 669 | 19 | 149 | 36 | 132 | 56 |
|  | 735 | 18 | 631 | 12 | 128 | 27 | 171 | 34 |
|  | 706 | 21 | 747 | 17 | 215 | 50 | 147 | 50 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 563 656 | 23 | 612 | 14 | 141 | 42 | 164 | 50 |
| III | 656 | 23 | 684 | 18 | 173 | 38 | 133 | 42 |
| IV | 595 | 18 | 596 | 16 | 134 | 27 | 225 | 62 |
| V | 715 | 16 | 772 | 22 | 181 | 34 | 198 | 79 |
|  | 744 | 15 | 684 | 16 | 177 | 37 | 132 | 29 |
| VII | 790 | 17 | 795 | 15 | 184 | 38 | 126 | 56 |

Certain of the more elastic consumption categories, for which average expenditures increase rather rapidly at succeeding income levels (such as clothing, recreation, and household operation) show less percentage variation, among families within a given income, occupational, and family type group, than do the items for which average outlay represents a more constant proportion of the total, such as tobacco and "other" transportation. Nevertheless, it should be noted that coefficients of variation for clothing expenditures in families of wage earners and clerical workers at the lower income levels range from 27 to 90 percent.

The three most variable groups of items in the budget are medical care, furnishings and equipment, and automobile expenditures, two groups whose average expenditures are relatively stable in relation to the total, and one highly elastic group. At most of the income
levels covered, the expenditures for medical care do not show the regularity which characterizes the outlays of families that provide for preventive medicine, for annual physical and dental examinations. They show either no expense at all, small sums for medicines, or relatively large amounts spent in the care of the sick. Average outlays for furnishings and for automobile purchase and operation are not so large in Chicago in relation to income as in some communities where dwellings are in general larger, where automobile operation is cheaper, and where municipal transportation is less developed. These very factors may increase the variation in expenditures for such items by individual Chicago families. The actual outlays of families classified within the most detailed analysis units frequently range from zero to fairly substantial amounts.

To illustrate the range of variation, the expenditures of a group consisting of 13 clerical families with seven or eight members (type VII), with incomes between $\$ 1,250$ and $\$ 1,500$, are shown in table 8 . Among them were eight families that contained five or six children, in addition to the parents, and five that included other adults in addition to the immediate family.

The data for each family in this group have been expressed as deviations from the mean expenditure for each category. It will be seen that with respect to many of the categories, the expenditures of the individual families varied from nothing up to two or three times the mean. Except with respect to total food expenditures, the largest outlay was at least twice as great as the smallest.

Similar analyses have been made for several other groups of families at a given income level belonging to a specified occupational group and family type. For these groups, the mean expenditures and the range above and below the mean are shown in table 9. In general, the mean for a category tended to be closer to the minimum than to the maximum expenditure, indicating that most families reported a moderate expenditure (as for automobile purchase and operation combined, or for furnishings and equipment) while one or two families made substantial outlays on the category during the year of the survey.

Table 8.-Deviations in expenditures of individual families from means for all families in the group
[Income class: $\$ 1,250$ to $\$ 1,500$; occupation: Clerical; family type VII]

| Category | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean } \\ & \text { expendi- } \\ & \text { ture } \end{aligned}$ | Range from mean |  | Deviation from mean of expenditures of family No. - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Below | Above | 1 | ${ }^{1} 2$ | 3 | 14 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 18 | 9 | ${ }^{1} 10$ | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| Total money income.. | 1,339 | 262 | 150 | \$65 | -\$262 | \$36 | -\$183 | -\$33 | -\$39 | \$117 | \$150 | \$15 | -\$7 | $\$ 81$ | \$109 | -\$49 |
| Total money expense. | 1,479 | 370 | 702 | 702 | -370 | -131 | 153 | -172 | -191 | -119 | 25 | -62 | 75 | 109 | -26 | 1 |
| Food: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 650 47 | 194 | 295 213 | 223 213 | 23 38 | -126 18 | 295 8 | -42 -47 | -194 -44 | 21 -34 | -109 -38 | $-51$ | 133 -47 | -129 31 | -92 -9 | 43 -47 |
| Olothing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 124 | 81 | 183 | 183 | -61 | -49 | -19 | 100 | -49 | -74 | 34 | 31 | 31 | -38 | -9 | -81 |
| Husband | 31 | 24 | 44 | -20 | -14 | 22 | -21 | 44 | -6 | -21 | 31 | 18 | -8 | -15 | 19 | -24 |
| Wife | 19 | 19 | 37 | -3 | -6 | -5 | -9 | 31 | -19 | -4 | -6 | 23 | -13 | 37 | -9 | -14 |
| Housing- | 271 | 144 | 210 | 150 | -144 | 30 | -71 | -140 | 210 | 30 | 20 | -58 | -114 | 90 | 90 | -88 |
| Housing value ${ }^{2}$ | 301 | 171 | 179 | 119 | -1 | -1 | 59 | -171 | 179 | -1 | -1 | -89 | -85 | 59 | 59 | -119 |
| Fuel, light, refrigeration | 127 | 65 | 75 | -41 | -14 | 15 | 75 | 7 | $-65$ | -16 | 65 | 2 | 14 | -63 | 46 | -22 |
| Other household operation.-.-...-- | 45 | 37 | 76 | 23 | $-23$ | -37 | 8 | -26 | -21 | -30 | 76 | 14 | -14 | 1 | $-16$ | 50 |
| Furnishings and equipment......-..- | 22 | 22 | 83 | 40 | -19 | -22 | -22 | 3 | -22 | -8 | 10 | -22 | -20 | -8 | -7 | 93 |
| Automobile.-------------.-...-- | 44 | 44 | 344 | -44 | -44 | 70 | -15 | -44 | -44 | -44 | -44 | -44 | -3 | 344 | -44 | -44 |
| Other transportation | 46 | 46 | 40 | 48 | 5 | -24 | -46 | -44 | 5 | 4 | 12 | 13 | 50 | -30 | 5 | -2 |
| Personal care | 25 | 13 | 21 | 21 | -6 | 0 | -3 | 3 | -1 | $-7$ | -6 | 6 | 7 | -3 | 3 | -13 |
| Medical care. | 33 | 32 | 42 | 11 | -23 | -18 | -10 | 2 | 37 | -1 | -28 | 42 | -17 | -32 | 3 | 38 |
| Recreation. | 21 | 18 | 47 | 47 | -18 | 9 | -18 | -8 | $-13$ | 2 | -11 | 17 | -11 | -7 | -8 | 22 |
| Tobacco. | 19 | 19 | 32 | 17 | -12 | 32 | -9 | 3 | -16 | -12 | -4 | -11 | -19 | 20 | -14 | 28 |
|  | 15 | 10 | 9 | 4 | -9 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -2 | 0 | 9 | -10 | 3 | -2 | -2 | 2 |
| Education. | 15 | 15 | 29 | -11 | -7 | -15 | 2 | 28 | -13 | 20 | -15 | 11 | -8 | -14 | 29 | -10 |
| Contributions and personal taxes...- | 19 | 17 | 20 | 8 | -14 | 7 | -16 | -9 | 1 | 0 | 20 | 2 | 36 | -17 | -6 | -11 |
| Other family expense.--------------- | 3 | , | 24 | 24 | -3 | -3 | 2 | -3 | -3 | -3 | -3 | -3 | 8 | -2 | -3 | -3 |
| Net surplus or deficit.-.-..-------.--- | -99 | +562 | +264 | $-661$ | +8 | +68 | -458 | -75 | 0 | +165 | -12 | +8 | -160 | -90 | +60 | -143 |

${ }^{1}$ Home owner.
${ }^{2}$ Includes imputed income from owned homes and rent as pay or gift.

Table 9.-Mean expenditures of families of specified characteristics, and range of expenditures above and below the mean

${ }_{2}$ Includes 1 family which rented a home for 8 months and owned for 4 months.
${ }^{2}$ Includes families with incomes of $\$ 2,000$ to $\$ 2,249$ and $\$ 2,250$ to $\$ 2,499$.

Table 9.-Mean expenditures of families of specified characteristics, and range of expenditures above and below the mean-Continued

| Classification: Income. $\qquad$ Family type <br> Number of families: Renters. Owners. $\qquad$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 2,500-\$ 2,999 \\ \text { Salaried professional } \\ \text { II } \\ 9 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \$ 2,500-\$ 2,999 \\ \text { Independent business } \\ \text { IV } \\ 5 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\$ 4,000-\$ 4,999$Salaried businessVI62 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \$ 5,000-\$ 7,499 \\ \text { Salaried business } \\ \text { I } \\ 4 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Mean | Range from mean |  | Mean | Range from mean |  | Mean | Range from mean |  | Mean | Range from mean |  |
|  |  | Below | Above |  | Below | Above |  | Below | Above |  | Below | Above |
| Total money income... Total money expense... | $\begin{array}{r}\$ 2,735 \\ \mathbf{2 , 5 1 4} \\ \hline\end{array}$ | \$215 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 187 \\ & 407 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r}\$ 2,647 \\ 2,279 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}\$ 273 \\ 324 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}\$ 228 \\ 328 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 4,485 \\ 4,016 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 585 \\ 1,546 \end{array}$ | $\$ 465$ 674 | $\begin{array}{r} \begin{array}{r} \$ 5,820 \\ 4,396 \end{array} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 820 \\ 1,845 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,634 \\ 1,357 \end{array}$ |
| Food: <br> Total | 636 132 | 306 132 | 353 275 | 716 68 | 248 68 | 230 95 | 1,063 | 373 228 | 593 399 | 908 249 | 414 205 | 536 208 |
| Away from home. Clothing: | 132 | 132 | 275 | 68 |  | 95 | 228 450 | 228 | 399 |  |  |  |
| Husband | 323 130 | 113 40 | 231 97 | 286 87 | ${ }_{147} 6$ | 284 98 | ${ }_{162}$ | 175 73 | 66 67 | ${ }^{495}$ | ${ }_{68} 62$ | ${ }_{98}$ |
| Wife.- | 150 | 50 | 205 | 107 | 62 | 68 | 125 | 100 | 100 | 302 | 177 | 340 |
| Housing.- | 520 | 160 | 200 | 428 | 165 | 254 | 676 | 676 | 584 | ${ }^{666}$ | 495 | 472 |
| Housing value 1 ----.-.-- | 531 | 171 | 189 | 492 | 132 | 288 | 782 | $\stackrel{242}{168}$ | 478 | 753 | 348 | ${ }^{385}$ |
| Fuel, light and refrigeration | $\begin{array}{r}49 \\ 123 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}49 \\ 55 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 116 71 | 133 148 | 70 <br> 85 | 82 213 | 168 332 | 168 288 | 196 544 | 172 <br> 354 | 172 <br> 272 | 240 275 |
| Furnishings and equipment | 106 | 106 | 240 | 38 | 29 | 130 | 136 | 136 | 152 | 161 | 161 | 512 |
| Automobile............ | 223 | 223 | 538 | 92 | 92 | 141 | 291 | 291 | 441 | 455 | 455 | 1,087 |
| Other transportation. | 69 | 54 | 102 | 49 | 49 | 48 | ${ }_{71}^{61}$ | 58 | 89 | 64 | 59 | 126 |
| Personal care. | 49 | 21 | 34 | 64 | 34 | 65 | 71 | 30 | 70 | 100 | 71 | 118 |
| Medical care. | 153 | 113 | 169 | 79 | 40 | 82 | 184 | 156 | 168 | 161 | 96 | 164 |
| Recreation. | 89 <br> 40 | 48 | 121 | 77 | 59 | ${ }^{129}$ | 125 | 95 | 133 | 225 | ${ }_{81} 22$ | 319 |
| Reading. | 38 | 17 | 39 | 29 | 12 | 39 | 34 | 13 | $\stackrel{92}{93}$ | 58 | 20 | 22 |
| Education. | 1 | ${ }^{1}$ | 5 | 32 | 32 | 108 | 64 | 64 | 149 | 29 | 29 | 205 |
| Contributions and personal ta | $\stackrel{92}{3}$ | 72 3 | 98 3 | 66 12 | 34 12 | 39 46 | 294 4 | 236 4 | 780 16 | 459 8 | 434 8 | 806 38 |
| Net surplus. | 227 | 942 | 608 | 410 | 345 | 364 | 506 | 945 | 1,054 | 1,440 | 1,430 | 1,454 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes imputed income from owned homes and rent as gift or pay.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Bureau of Labor Statistics Bull. 642, Family Income and Expenditure in Chicago, 1935-36. Vol. I, Family Income.
    ; The purpose of these qualifications was to eliminate as far as possible factors of economic stress, broken family ties, and alien customs which might tend to obscure the relationship of income, occupation, and family

[^1]:    type to family expenditure patterns. Since native white families greatly outnumber all other racial and national groups in Chicago it seemed wise to confine the restricted resources available for the survey to a study of the expenditures of this relatively homogeneous group.

    Half of Chicago's families are native-born white, about three-eighths foreign-born white, and practically all of the remainder Negro. In certain communities where Negro families constituted a substantial portion of the population, a separate sample was taken to provide information on the expenditures of Negro families. This was generally true of the communities studied in the Southeast.

    3 In the income classes between $\$ 1,250$ and $\$ 5,000$ all occupational groups were represented. Above $\$ 5,000$ only business and professional families were studied, while between $\$ 500$ and $\$ 750$ only wage-earner families were included, and between $\$ 750$ and $\$ 1,250$, only wage-earner and clerical families. Families with no gainfully employed members were eligible in the income range between $\$ 500$ and $\$ 5,000$, but all expenditure data for this group were analyzed separately.

    Certain other minor eligibility requirements were imposed to eliminate families whose living patterns are not adapted to statistical analysis.

    See appendix A, on sampling, for a complete list of the eligibility requirements.

    - The fact that they were in general at a somewhat higher economic level than the total family population in Chicago was due in part to the omission of the relief group and of families with incomes under $\$ 500$ from the expenditure survey, and in part to the fact that Negro families, the foreign born, and the "incomplete" families as a group, had a less favorable income distribution than families including husband and wife, both native born. It follows from this fact that no attempt is made to describe the expenditures of an "average Chicago family." Rather the purpose of this study is to show the distribution of expenditures at different income levels of the bulk of the families containing husband and wife, and to show the further influence on the expenditure pattern of family composition and occupational status.

[^2]:    *See glossary, appendix B, for definitions of the various catagories of expenditure and the items included under each.
    ${ }^{6}$ These families constituted a sample composed as nearly as possible of the same number of families in each income class, within each family type and each occupational group. Since this method of collection, by design, failed to preserve the proportions of the several groups that were found in the population of families eligible for the expenditure schedule, it was necessary to use the proportions obtaining in the eligible sample as weights for all averages that represented combinations of occupational groups, of family types, or of income classes. See appendix A, for a description of the method of sampling.

    7 While the present study represents an investigation of differences in expenditure patterns of families at different income levels, it provides inferential though not direct evidence on how any given group of families would alter the apportionment of their spending if their incomes were raised or lowered. Thus, it is convenient to express differences in expenditures among families at different economic levels as relative changes with income. The relative increase over the income range in the outlay for a given category provides an indication of the "elasticity" of expenditures for that category. Elasticity may be measured in terms of the percentage increase over a given income range in average outlay for the category (as in ch. IX), or it may be indicated by a comparison of the increase in average expenditures for the category in question with the increase in incomu or in total expenditures. Since the expenditure base has generally been used in the distribution of family expenditures, it has been convenient throughout the greater part of the report to speak of expenditures for specific groups of items as being relatively elastic or inelastic, according to whether amounts spent constituted an increasing or decreasing proportion of total expenditures. It will be apparent from tables 1,2 , and 3 that the elasticity of any category is much lower when computed in relation to income rather than to expenditures, because of the influence of deffits at the lower economic levels, and of savings in the upper portion of the income scale.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ See glossary, appendix B, for definition of money value of current family living.
    ${ }^{2}$ See table 1, footnotes 3-7, for definition of categories included in the money value of current familyliving.
    8 Throughout the bulletin the term "expenditures" is used to include both money expenditures and the estimated money value of certain items obtained without money outlay during the year. The terms "total expenditures for current living" and "money value of current family living" are thus synonymous and will be used interchangeably. Since nonmoney items of consumption have been recorded only for housing, fuel, and food, money expenditures for all other categories represent the only measure of family spending for those categories.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ See details of surplus and deficit items, ch. VIII.

[^5]:    1 Excludes families whose schedules showed an exact balance for the year.
    ${ }_{2}$ For a reconciliation of the average net surplus or deficit with the difference between average income and expenditure, as shown in table 4, p. 12, see Tabular Summary, table 1.
    *Less than 1 percent
    ${ }^{2}$ Table 4 shows the average reported money income and the average reported money expenditure for current family living. The average surpluses and deficits shown in table 5 are compiled from detailed reports of changes in assets and changes in liabilities. These detailed reports were treated as part of the record of money disbursements and money receipts to determine whether the total reported money disbursements balanced with the total reported money receipts. As used in the present study the term disbursements includes money expenditures for current living and amounts spent to increase assets or decrease liabilities, while receipts includes money income and funds used for family living which were obtained through decreasing assets or increasing liabilities. A schedule was accepted if money receipts and money disbursements agreed within 5.5 percent. It follows from this method that the difference between average money income and average money expenditures shown in table 4 will not agree precisely with the average surplus or deficit for all families shown in the last column of table 5. (See discussion of balancing difference in glossary.)
    Except at income levels of $\$ 5,000$ and over, the net balancing differences among Chicago families were negative, by amounts that did not exceed $\$ 15$ in any income class. No attempt was made to force a balance. It would have been impossible to account precisely for these minor discrepancies without unduly prolonging the field interviews. They may have resulted from errors in estimating income, expenditures, savings or deficits. In any event, the average differences were too small to be significant.

[^6]:    * See footnote 3, p. 17.
    ${ }^{1}$ See glossary, appendix B, for the definition of expenditures that was used in this study.

[^7]:    ${ }^{3}$ The sequence from substantial average deficit to substantial average surpius was continuous when the data were analyzed by income alone. Irregularities appear, however, if an analysis is made by occupational or family type categories, since the averages were based on smaller numbers of families. These irregularities resulted from wide variation on the part of individual families from the average for the group.

    The effect of one unusual case may be illustrated by referring in table 6 to the independent professionsl families at the income level $\$ 2,000$ to $\$ 2,250$. One family in this group with a net income of $\$ 2,004$ had total expenditures for the year (exclusive of life insurance and other investment items) of $\$ 4,236$. This family purchased an automobile, spent $\$ 686$ for house furnishings, and then toward the end of the schedule year incurred a high funeral expense. The family was one which in past years had enjoyed higher incomes and was able to draw $\$ 3,400$ from its savings account in making disbursements during the year. If this family were excluded, a small net surplus would have been indicated for the whole group, rather than a net deficit of $\$ 250$.

    4 In the case of an independent professional or business executive family that was listed in one of the lowincome classes, the relatively high expenditure total sometimes gave a truer picture of the family's social and economic level than did the low net income figure reported for the current year.

[^8]:    - See Tabular Summary, table 2.
    ${ }^{6}$ These substantial differences in total money expenditure and in surplus or deficit obtained in spite of the fact that there was no appreciable difference between the 2 family types in the average amount of their incomes within comparable income classes. Up to the $\$ 5,000$ level the income differences between the small and large family groups did not average more than $\$ 75$ in any income group. (See Tabular Summary, table 1.)

[^9]:    ${ }^{7}$ Although the data thus indicate a fairly consistent relationship between family size and the amount of surplus or deficit, it should be pointed out that differences in occupational distribution within the several family types occasionally have the effect of obscuring the real relationship existing between size of family and the balance sheet record. For example, the group of families of type VI, with incomes of $\$ 1,000$ to $\$ 1,250$, shown in table 7, had an average net surplus of $\$ 9$. On its face this figure seems remarkable, when compared with the showing of family type I (husband and wife only) which had an average net deficit of $\$ 71$ in the same income class. The explanation appears from a more detailed analysis of the group, as follows: In the income class $\$ 1,000$ to $\$ 1,250$ schedules were taken only for wage-earner and clerical families. A majority of the families in the clerical group at this income level reported money expenditures exceeding money income, in both family type groups, whereas, among the wage-earner families, those spending less than income outnumbered those spending more. (See supplement to Tabular Summary, table A.) However, according to the distribution of wage-earner and clerical families obtained from the sample of eligible families in Chicago, there were almost four times as many wage-earner families as clerical in family type VI, while in type I there were not quite twice as many in wage-earner as in clerical occupations. Hence, in deriving the weighted averages for families of the two types at this income level ( $\$ 1.000$ to $\$ 1,250$ ), nearly four times as much weight was given to the surplus reported by wage earners as to the deficit of clerical families of type VI, and less than twice as much weight to the wage-earner surplus as to the clerical deficit in family type $I$.

    It will be seen, therefore, that the average net surplus of $\$ 9$ for families of type VI, in comparison with the net deficit of $\$ 71$ among families of type $I$, did not reflect primarily the influence of the large family as compared with a smaller one. It implied rather, that in Chicago the relatively large families of type VI, which managed to keep off relief even though the family income was below $\$ 1,250$, happened to be mainly in wage earner occupations; and that those large wage-earner families had learned how to live within their modest circumstances. What was actually measured in the tables by family type (all occupations combined) was not the effect of family type as an isolated influence so much as the condition found, over the whole community, with respect to the group belonging to that family type.

    Differences in family type distribution among the occupational groups likewise may affect the averages reported for such groups.

[^10]:    ${ }^{8}$ See supplement to Tabular Summary, table A, and appendix D for analysis of famlly type differences within each occupational category.
    Possibly one reason why the relationships among family types were not altogether consistent is that the family types themselves did not represent entirely consistent differences in composition. Thus, as between family types V and VI, the former, by definition, contained one child under 16, one person over 16, and one or two additional members of unspecified age, in addition to the husband and wife. The person over 16 was in some cases but 17 or 18 years of age and the family in general of about the same age class as those included under family type VI (three or four children under 16). Again, the extra adult was not necessarily a son or daughter but might be a parent of the husband or wife, in a family with one or two small children. In another case, a family of type $V$ might consist of parents well along in years with two or three grown children and one only under 16 years of age.

[^11]:    ${ }^{1}$ When expense for household operation and furnishings is added to housing expense proper and the sum treated as one expenditure category, food ranked second in the upper part of the income range.
    ${ }^{2}$ The category of food included not only expenditures for the family's regular meals and for miscellaneous items like candy, soft drinks, and liquor, but also a considerable part of the family's entertainment bill. It was not practicable to keep apart the recreational and the food-consuming aspects of what was spent in eating out-to separate the restaurant checks for night-club and after-theater parties, for example, from those for family meals away from home. The range of choices of the various families in respect to the use of meals as an auxiliary to entertainments, communal activities, and "going out" thus tends to complicate the problem of comparing the food expenditures of families at different income levels.

[^12]:    ${ }^{3}$ Money expenditure per meal per person was computed on the basis of total expense for food (except for food eaten while traveling) divided by the number of equivalent adults who were members of the household. Persons who werein the household less than the full year, and children whose food consumption was less than an adult's, were counted as fractions of an equivalent adult. For methods of computation and the fractions of a standard food unit assigned to a given age, see glossary, appendix $B$.

[^13]:    4 These figures are taken from detailed data on food expenditures, to be published in a later bulletin. Preliminary examination of these data indicates that, when family income was less than $\$ 5,000$, food away from home (exclusive of meals at work) consisted chiefly of such items as candy, ice cream, soft drinks, and the like. It would seem that the purchase of candy, ice cream, and drinks, be it only in 5-cent units, is fairly common even when family income is low, but to eat meals away from home, except when at work, is apparently not customary except when the family income is well above the median.

    Similarly, the average amounts reported for meals at school, and especially board at school, constituted a negligible proportion of the expenditures reported for food away from home.

[^14]:    *At all income levels the families with no gainfully employed members spent less for food than did the families with earners; and at most income levels the nongainfully employed group devoted a smaller proportion of their total money expenditures to food expenditures than did families in the other groups. It will be remembered that the families in this occupational class were not included in the tables showing all occupational groups combined. Their food expenditures are given in Tabular Summary, table 2.
    ${ }^{6}$ See Tabular Summary, table 2, for average number of persons per family.

[^15]:    ${ }^{7}$ Further light may be thrown on the questions as to whether families in different occupations differ in their level of expenditures for food by an analysis of the several occupational groups within each family type. (See supplement to Tabular Summary, table B.) While such figures show variations from group to group, they chiefly reflect differences in individual family customs, to be expected from the small numbers on which the averages are based, and do not reveal any consistent differences related to occupational classification.

[^16]:    1 The 7 family types, distinguished on the basis of the number and age of members other than husband and wife, were as follows:

    Type
    I No other person (families of 2).
    II 1 child under 16 (families of 3 ).
    III 2 children under 16 (families of 4).
    IV 1 person 16 or over and 1 or no other person, regardless of age (families of 3 or 4).
    V 1 child under 16, 1 person 16 or over, and 1 or 2 others, regardless of age (families of 5 or 6 ).
    VI 3 or 4 children under 16 (families of 5 ot 6 ).
    VII 1 child under 16, and 4 or 5 others, regardless of age (families of 7 or 8 ).

[^17]:    ${ }^{8}$ See Tabular Summary, table 3.

[^18]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes all current money expenditures for the family home and for the vacation home (mortgage interest, taxes, repairs, and insurance for owned homes and rent for rented homes), and expenditures for lodging for family members away from home, including room rent at school. Expenditures for fuel, light, and refrigeration are combined with expenditures for housing, since rent as paid by many families included one or more of these items. The net money value of the occupancy of owned homes, or of housing received as pay or gift is not included in this column.
    ? Including household help. laundry, telephone, and other items of household operation, but not fuel, light, and refrigeration.
    ${ }^{1}$ See glossary, appendix B, for the definition of expenditures that was used in this study.
    ${ }^{1}$ Expenditures for fuel, light, and refrigeration are combined with expenditures for housing alone since, in many cases, the rent figure included one or more of these other items.

[^19]:    ${ }^{2}$ It should be noted that great caution must be exercised in making any comparison of the housing data reported in this chapter with those presented in vol. I, ch. V and VI. The discussion in vol. I based on the short schedule used with the large random sample centered mainly about the rents for family homes reported by renting families and the rental values of owned family homes, while this chapter deals primarily with money expenditures and the money value of housing for all families regardless of tenure and includes expenditures for lodging away from home. In vol. I, money expenditures for fuel, light, and refrigeration were included only when they were covered by the rents reported and it was therefore impossible to give the rent figures without them; in this chapter, such expenditures are in all cases included. The imputed value of home ownership as reported on the family schedule and presented in vol. I, was an estimated figure; the data in this chapter on nonmoney value of housing reflect the actual housing costs reported by home owning families. Finally, in vol. I, the averages at any given income level, for all families and families of specified occupational groups included the larger families (types VIII and other) which did not furnish expenditure schedules, and the averages for all families and families of specified type groups include families with no gainfully employed members, which were treated separately in the analysis of expenditures.

[^20]:    ${ }^{3}$ Excluding fuel, light, and refrigeration.
    ${ }^{4}$ Based on tabulation of individual items appearing in a later bulletin.

[^21]:    ${ }^{3}$ Based on tabulations appearing in a later bulletin.

[^22]:    - Based on tabulation of individual items appearing in a later bulletin.

    7 This is in accord with the rent data secured in the large random sample studied in Chicago, which indicated that at most income levels wage earners paid the lowest rents, followed by families of clerical workers while families in the business and professional categories spent the most for their housing. (See vol. I, ch. VI.)
    These occupational differences in average expenditures for housing persist when families of different occupational classification are compared, holding income and family type constant. (See supplement to Tabular Summary, table B, and appendix D.) Among the smaller families (types I, II, and III), in particular, those in the wage-earner group at most comparable income levels usually spent less than those in other occupational groups, while families in the independent professional category were at the other extreme. This difference tended to be greater in the upper than in the lower part of the income scale.

[^23]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes expense for fuel, light, and refrigeration.
    ? Includes expense for fuel, light, and refrigeration and the value of housing and fuel obtained without money expense.

[^24]:    ${ }^{8}$ These oecupational differences were characteristic of families classified in each of the composition groups except those with three or four children under 16 (type VI) and those with five or six members other than husband and wife (type VII), which were not sufficiently numerous in the individual occupational categories to warrant generalizations concerning them. (See appendix D.)

    It is possible that business and professional groups spend the most for housing partly because of the use of their homes for entertaining clients and colleagues, a use that is in part an occupational expense, but one not easily separable from the family expenditures with which it is associated.

[^25]:    ${ }^{\circ}$ See Tabular Summary, table 4. Ministers, resident physicians, and in some cases teachers, in the salaried professional group, resident apartment house managers, in the clerical group, and janitors and boarding house managers, in the wage-earner group, are those most likely to receive the use of living quarters (with housekeeping facilities) as partial payment for services. The relatively large amounts of "free" rent reported by clerical families may be partly accounted for by the fact that some families were classified as clerical on the basis of earnings reported by children in families of janitors or apartment house managers who received rent as pay.
    ${ }^{10}$ See Tabular Summary, table 4-A.
    ${ }^{11}$ See Tabular Summary, table 4.
    ${ }^{12}$ As in the case of housing expenditures, these occupational differences are characteristic of families classified in each of the first five family type groups. The contrast between wage-earner and independent professional families was particularly marked, however, among the husband-and-wife families and those of types IV and $V$.
    ${ }^{13}$ See Tabular Summary, table 5.
    ${ }^{4}$ Based on tabulations to appear in a later bulletin.

[^26]:    ${ }^{15}$ Based on tabulations to appear in a later bulletin.
    ${ }^{16}$ See Tabular Summary, table 5.
    ${ }^{17}$ Examination of the data for the six occupational groups within each family type separately indicates that, while the differences are not large, they are quite consistent, with families of each type in the wageearner group tending to have high expenditures. Other differences are less marked, but families in the independent business or professional group most often ranked low. (See supplement to Tabular Summary, table B.)

    $$
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[^27]:    ${ }^{18}$ When the current housing expenditures of families of types I through VI within each occupational group are compared, no clear differences are found except in the salaried professional group. In that group, families of type IV generally had relatively low expenditures while the larger families, type $V$ in particular, had most noticeably high expenditures. (See supplement to Tabular Summary, table B and appendix D.)

[^28]:    10 See Tabular Summary, table 4-A.
    90 Within each occupational group, but particularly in the salaried professional and clerical groups, families of types IV and V generally ranked high and those of types I and II tended to fall at the other extreme. (Sce appendix D.)

[^29]:    ${ }^{21}$ Peixotto, Jessica B., Getting and Spending at the Professional Standard of Living, New York. MacMillan Co., 1927.
    ${ }^{22}$ When a comparison is made of the household operation expenditures of families of the several types within each occupational group, differences are not consistent, but there is a clear tendency for families of type II to rank high and those of type $V$ to rank low. (See supplement to Tabular Summary, table B, and appendix D.)

[^30]:    ${ }^{23}$ Based on tabulations to appear in a later bulletin.
    ${ }^{24}$ Based on tabulations to appear in a later bulletin.

[^31]:    ${ }^{1}$ The 7 family types are distinguished on the basis of the number and age of members other than husband and wife, as follows:

    Type
    I No other persons (families of 2). II 1 child under 16 (families of 3 ).
    III 2 children under 16 (families of 4),
    IV 1 person 16 or over and 1 or no other person, regardless of age (families of 3 or 4).
    V 1 child under 16, 1 person 16 or over, and 1 or 2 others, regardless of age (lamilies of 5 or 6 ).
    VI 3 or 4 children under 16 (families of 5 or 6 ).
    VII 1 child under 16, and 4 or 5 others, regardless of age (families of 7 or 8 ).

[^32]:    1 Purchases of all wearing apparel, accessories, and jewelry, and expenditures for cleaning and pressing and for materials and paid help used in home sewing were classified as expenditures for clothing in this investigation.

[^33]:    ${ }^{2}$ Based on tabulations of data for white families in Chicago and New York, combined, to appear in a later bulletin.
    ${ }^{2}$ This situation is not peculiar to Chicago, but is paralleled by the tabulations for other cities.

[^34]:    ${ }^{4}$ See Tabular Summary, table 7.

    - See Tabular Summary, table 6.
    - Based on tabulations appearing in a later bulletin.

[^35]:    ${ }^{7}$ See Tabular Summary, table 7.

[^36]:    －See Tabular Summary，table 2.

[^37]:    - See Tabular Summary, table 2.

[^38]:    ${ }^{10}$ It should be remembered also that type VII was a heterogeneous group of families, consisting of seven or eight individuals, from one to six of them children. Among families of this type with low incomes, the ratio of children to adults was relatively high, in which case personal care expenditures might be expected to be low. Among families of this type in the higher income brackets, there were in most cases several adults, some of whom were supplementary earners. Among such families, expenditures for personal care would naturally be high. In fact, at income levels above $\$ 2,500$, families of this type devoted a higher proportion of their total expenditures to personal care than did families of any other type.
    ${ }^{11}$ See Tabular Summary, table 7.

[^39]:    ${ }^{1}$ Travel for business purposes was treated as an occupational expense and deducted from income.
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[^40]:    2 Based on tabulations appearing in a later bulletin.
    \& Based on tabulations sppearing in a later bulletin.

[^41]:    4 When family type and income are held constant, a significant occupational difference appears in such expenditures, with independent business families ranking low, doubtless due to the tendency of small enterpreneurs to live near their places of business. (See supplement to Tabular Summary, table B, and appendix D.)
    ${ }^{5}$ An examination of average expense for automobile ownership and purchase by families of different occupational groups, with family type and income held constant, revealed no significant occupational differences. (See appendix D.)

[^42]:    ${ }^{6}$ See Tabular Summary, tables 2 and 8.
    ${ }^{7}$ A test of family type differences, with income and occupational group held constant indicates that in each occupational group the families with children under 16 generally ranked low, and the largest families studied and those containing at least three persons over 16 ranked high. (See supplement to Tabular Summary, table B, and appendix D.)

[^43]:    ${ }^{1}$ The 7 family types are distinguished on the basis of the number and age of members other than husband and wife, as follows:

    Type
    I No other persons (families of 2).
    II 1 child under 16 (families of 3 ).
    III 2 children under 16 (families of 4 ).
    IV 1 person 16 or over and 1 or no other person regardless of age (families of 3 or 4)
    $V 1$ child under 16,1 person 16 or over, and 1 or 2 others regardless of age (families of 5 or 6 ).
    VI 3 or 4 children under 16 (families of 5 or 6 ).
    VII 1 child under 16 , and 4 or 5 others, regardless of age (families of 7 or 8 ).

[^44]:    1 The 7 family types, distinguished on the basis of the number and age of members other than husband and wife, were as follows:

    Type
    I No other person (families of 2).
    II 1 child under 16 (families of 3 ).
    III 2 children under 16 (families of 4).
    IV 1 person 16 or over and 1 or no other person, regardless of age (families of 3 or 4).
    V 1 child under 16,1 person 16 or over, and 1 or 2 others, regardless of age (families of 5 or 6 ).
    VI 3 or 4 children under 16 (families of 5 or 6 ).
    VII 1 child under 16 , and 4 or 5 others, regardless of age (families of 7 or 8 ).

[^45]:    1 See glossary, appendix B, for the definition of expenditures that was used in this study.
    1 Excludes sales taxes, which were included in the expense for the items to which they applied; automobile taxes, which were included in antomobile operation expense; taxes on owned homes, included in housing expense; and taxes on other real estate, which were deducted from the gross income from such property.

[^46]:    ${ }^{1}$ At no income level below $\$ 4,000$ was more than 5 percent of total expenditures devoted to any one of these minor categories.

[^47]:    4 More than a dozen hospitals and medical agencies in the city furnished free service to families that could demonstrate the need therefor. Most of the hospitals and clinics connected with universities, however, adjusted their fees in accordance with what they could ascertain of the families' financial status, and most families that were not on relief were charged at least a nominal fee.
    ${ }^{3}$ Include admissions to motion pictures, theatres, spectator sports, dances, concerts and lectures; games and sports; club dues; and recreational supplies and equipment.
    ${ }^{5}$ Books and journals used in formal study and technical literature, that is occupational rather than recreational in character, were not included in determining the amount spent for reading.

[^48]:    7 These figures do not include costs of food prepared and eaten in the family's vacation home. On the other hand, amounts spent on interurban travel include all travel by family members except that undertaken in connection with business, so that the figure for some families doubtless includes expenditures for other than pleasure travel.

[^49]:    ${ }^{8}$ Based on tabulations appearing in a later bulletin.
    ${ }^{9}$ Based on tabulations appearing in a later bulletin.

[^50]:    ${ }^{10}$ Based on tabulations appearing in a later bulletin.

[^51]:    ${ }^{11}$ Included are all nonmoney gifts to persons not members of the economic family. Gifts exchanged within the family are entered in the appropriate sections of the schedule. The character of gifts to nonfamily members is not known, however. Hence, from the point of view of market analysis, a study of aggregate purchases for specific categories, made from these expenditure data, will somewhat underestimate the total purchases made by these families.

    A study of check list data gives an indication of the value of clothing and of furnishings or equipment received as gifts from persons outside the family. It seems reasonable to assume that the vaiue of such gifts was roughly equivalent to the amounts spent by members of the families studied for similar gifts to persons outside the family. No information was requested, however, concerning the value of other types of gifts received.

    12 The taxes reported in this section by Chicago families represent an understatement of amounts owing because of the fact that during the time the investigation was made Chicago municipal finances were so disturbed that many families were ignoring personal property tax assessments, and did not even know the amount they had been assessed. In such cases it was necessary to enter "zero" on the schedule opposite this item.

[^52]:    ${ }^{13}$ When family type and income are held constant, occupational differences do not appear to have been significant. (See supplement to Tabular Summary, table B, and appendix D.)
    ${ }^{14}$ Deductions from earnings for health or accident insurance were included here as family expense.
    ${ }^{15}$ Since the average expenditures of clerical families for physicians' services tended to be slightly larger, it appears that wage-earner families were receiving more frequent but less expensive care.

[^53]:    ${ }^{18}$ This discussion of the distribution of medical expenses among families in different occupational groups is based on a special tabulation of the data for Chicago families.
    ${ }^{17}$ Excludes dues to professional associations, which were treated as occupational expense and deducted from income.
    ${ }^{18}$ See p. 73, above, for a discussion of available data on vacation expenditures.

[^54]:    19 This relationship holds true when simple averages are computed for each occupational group, giving equal weight to the average expenditures of families of each type. See appendix $D$.
    ${ }^{20}$ See supplement to Tabular Summary, table C.

[^55]:    ${ }^{21}$ See above, ch. VI.
    ${ }_{2}$ See above, p. 73.

[^56]:    1 The following discussion is based on a summary of detailed data to be presented in a later bulletin.

[^57]:    ${ }^{2}$ This was true, whether the payment was made from current income, or was defrayed through a change in some asset or some other liability.

    3 "SSurplus items" has been used to mean items of increase in assets or decrease in liabilities. These are distinguished from "deficit items," i. e., items of decrease in assets or increase in liabilities. The final family surplus or deficit (as distinguished from surplus or deficit items) may be computed as the balance between these two sets of items. It will be seen that this balance is (except for the balancing difference, see glossary, p. 226) necessarily the same as the difference between current money income and current money expenditure.
    ${ }^{4}$ In a study among Federal employees carried on by the Bureau of Labor Statistics just prior to the initiation of this investigation, the schedule provided for securing information on the type of insurance covered by the premiums reported. It was found that very frequently informants were unable to provide the information and the question was not included in the present schedule. It is, therefore, impossible to estimate how much of the amount paid in life insurance premiums represents savings and how much was paid for insurance protection during the schedule year.

[^58]:    ${ }^{5}$ This item took no account of changes in the market value of securities or real estate held; the amounts reported were the cost of new investments.

[^59]:    ${ }^{6}$ In this connection it is pertinent to note estimates of the volume of credit sales in relation to total retail sales in recent years. The Retail Credit Survey (U. S. Department of Commerce) for 1937 points out that between 1929 and 1933, credit sales declined both absolutely and in proportion to total retail sales, comprising 34 percent of all retail sales in 1929, and only 28 percent in 1933. The trend since then has been in the opposite direction, so that credit sales bore somewhat the same relation to total retail sales in 1937 as in 1929. It is estimated that installment sales (as a part of credit sales) reached a peak of 13 percent of total retail sales in 1920, and since then have not exceeded 12 percent of the total. Between 1935 and 1937 they increased from 10.9 to 11.7 of the total.

[^60]:    ${ }^{7}$ In the process of coding and tabulation only net amounts were tabulated for the smaller items on which there would be recurring installment purchases. Thus, for example, if the family had $\$ 30$ outstanding at the beginning of the year for installment purchases of clothing which were paid up during the year, and $\$ 100$ due on installment clothing purchases at the end of the year, the family's record would show a net increase in liabilities of $\$ 70$ on clothing installments. Moreover, the liabilities account of the family was not affected by installment purchases made and cleared up within the schedule year. Thus the net figures given in the summary which accompanies the text must not be used as representing an aggregate of installment purchases by the families.

[^61]:    ${ }^{1}$ The income and expenditure figures used in preparing this table include the money value of food, houslng, and fuel received without money expense in the year covered by the schedule. The housing figure includes expenditures for fuel, light, and refrigeration, but does not include expenditures for household help, other types of household operation, or furnishings and equipment.

[^62]:    1 Both the income and expenditure figures used in computing these percentages include the money value of food, housing, and fuel received without money expense during the year covered by the survey.

[^63]:    ${ }^{2}$ Money income and total income increased by almost identical percentages.

[^64]:    ' Average expenditures were plotted on semilogarithmetic paper and smoothed by fitting curves based on the following formula: $y=a+b \sqrt{x}+c x$.

[^65]:    Both these figures include food, housing, and fuel received withoupt money expense in the year of the survey.

[^66]:    202

[^67]:    See p. 170 for notes on this table.
    $\dagger$ Averages and percentages not computed for fewer than 3 cases

[^68]:    See p. 204 for notes on this table.

[^69]:    ${ }^{1}$ The use of a "controlled sample" was proposed in "A suggested plan for an inquiry into the economic and social well-being of the American people" prepared by a special committee of the Social Science Research Council in Septemben 1929. The circumstances under which the present study was conducted made possible a large preliminary random sample and thus permitted the selection of families for the expenditure survey by income, family type, and occupation.

[^70]:    ${ }^{3}$ An investigation of family income and consumption by means of schedules filled after the end of the report year is confronted with questions concerning the degree of accuracy with which families may be expected to remember details of expenditures made over a period of 12 months. It is admittedly impossible to obtain by the schedule method precise records of expenditures for each item included in family living. It is believed, however, that accuracy sufficient for the purposes of generalization can be achieved. One means toward this end followed by the Study of Consumer Purchases was the use of highly detailed schedule forms which served to remind families of the wide variety of items for which they might have incurred expenditures during the year. Such schedules, filled through painstaking interviews, provided data that in the great majority of cases undoubtedly represented closely the spending patterns of the families interviewed.
    ${ }^{3}$ In addition, a smaller sample of foreign-born, Negro, and inco:nplete native white families also was asked to give the family schedule data.

[^71]:    ${ }^{4}$ Because of the extensive coverage of the family income survey, it was necessary to keep the time of the family schedule interview as short as possible. On that account, information on the expenses of an owned home other than interest payments was not obtained from the families covered in the large random sample. Therefore, in estimating nonmoney income from home ownership for the income report, it was necessary to resort to a table of estimated average expenses at given rental values. During the expenditure interview, however, figures were obtained on expenses such as taxes, repairs, special assessments, and insurance and thus a revised figure on the nonmoney income from owned home was computed by subtracting the actual rather than the estimated expenses.

    Similarly, for families having boarders the income figure on the family schedule included the estimated net income from boarders after deductions had been made for the cost of food; these deductions varied with the amount of the payment for board and were estimated on the basis of data secured in the Bureau of Labor Statistics study of the money disbursements of wage earners and clerical workers at the time of the expenditure schedule interview, detailed information was obtained on the food expenditures of the family, from which it was possible to compute more accurately the money expense for boarders' food, and thus to gauge more correctly the net income from boarders.

    No attempt was made at the time of the family schedule interview to determine nonmoney income from an owned vacation bome. This figure was obtained, however, froun information secured during the expenditure interview, and is included in the income figure by which families in the controlled sample were classified.

    Furthermore, rent received as a gift is not included in the income figure of the family schedule but it was taken into account in deriving the income classification of families giving expenditure data.

[^72]:    ${ }^{5}$ Averages for families without income from earnings were not weighted by the family type distribution of such families.

[^73]:    1 Includes all complete and incomplete native and foreign white and Negro families. (See vol. I, Tabular Summary, see. A.)
    1 These figures are the weights for the controlled sample. Due to cell shifts (see discussion p. 210) the number of eligible families used in weighting differs slightly from the number of eligible fanilies obtained on the basis of the family schedule interview.

    * Unweighted count of the number of expenditure schedules secured.
    ${ }^{1}$ Figures in cols. 1, 2, 3, and 4 represent the estimated number in a 100 percent coverage of the city.
    - 6 occupational groups.

[^74]:    - Shifts in cells presented some difficulty in preparing the basic tabulations; namely, expenditure schedules appeared in cells for which no weights were available since no eligible cases had been classified in these cells in the tabulation of the random sample. It was decided to give cells in which no random sample schedules were secured but in which expenditure schedules appeared a weight equivalent to the number of expenditure schedules appearing in the tabulations. These arbitrary weights would tend to make the number of families in the city appear greater than was actually found, but counterbalancing these added weights was the fact that a number of cells which contained eligible cases in the random sample had no expenditure schedules, and thus were not utilized.

[^75]:    ' For more detailed definition see vol. I of this bulletin, glossary.
    ${ }^{2}$ See pictogram of family types, p. 3.

[^76]:    ${ }^{3}$ The occupational categories are based upon the Works Progress Administration's Manual of Work Division Procedure, sec. 2, "Occupational classification" (June 1935); and "Index of occupations," Circ. No. 2A (September 1935).

[^77]:    4 For more detailed statement see vol. I of this bulletin, glossary.
    ${ }^{1}$ For more detailed statement of the components of income as used in the Study see vol. I, glossary.

    - This was not true in the case of a few items such as fire insurance premiums on owned homes and contributions to the Community Chest For these items only the amounts paid during the year were classed as expenditures.

[^78]:    ${ }^{7}$ This scale of food relatives was developed from data secured from the Bureau of Home Economics of the Department of Agriculture, which furnished information on standard food allowances, based on actual food expense records, differentiated by age, sex, and activity.

[^79]:    ${ }^{1}$ Prepared by A. C. Rosander.

[^80]:    $113992^{\circ}$ - $39-17$

