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# Foreign Experience with Targets for Money Growth 

Karen H. Johnson, of the Board's Division of International Finance, prepared this article.

During the 1970s, authorities in several major foreign industrial countries adopted explicit targets for the growth of one or more monetary aggregates as the focus of their respective monetary policies. The economic difficulties of the early years of that decade were jointly experienced by these countries and, no doubt, contributed to the change to explicit monetary targeting in Germany, Switzerland, Canada, the United Kingdom, and France during 1974-76. Despite this common history, the target procedures implemented and the subsequent experience with that approach to monetary policy have differed widely among these countries.
The breakdown of the Bretton Woods system and the adoption of flexible exchange rates during 1968-73 resulted in an international monetary framework in which, it was widely perceived, each central bank could elect to exercise independent control over its own money stock. Indeed, the desire of several monetary authorities for just that independence was a factor in the decisions not to try to restore the postwar system of fixed exchange rates. The widespread adoption of floating exchange rates in March 1973 was followed shortly by the oil-price shock of $1973-$ 74. These events and the macroeconomic policies put in place by many industrial countries in response to them contributed to "stagflation" in 1974-75. The generally rapid growth of real output abroad in 1973 gave way to recession in the following two years, while in most countries the already substantial rate of inflation in consumer prices in 1973 rose further in 1974 and either worsened or declined only slightly in 1975 (see table 1).

These world events in the early 1970s confronted policymakers in the major industrial
countries with common problems. In general, the need was to restrain inflation or prevent its reemergence, while promoting recovery if possible. The policy dilemma presented by stagflation made the authorities and the public increasingly aware of results drawn from economic analysis that stressed the importance of both the actual and the expected growth of the money stock in determining the behavior of total spending and, ultimately, the rate of inflation. This work called for greater importance to be placed on the information conveyed by the growth of the money stock, and also emphasized the potentially ambiguous way in which other financial variables, especially nominal interest rates, reflected the stance of monetary policy. Efforts to reduce the inflation rate could be enhanced, it was argued, by central bank announcements of future money growth. Such announcements might alter the expectations of inflation held by the members of the private sector and so change their behavior, particularly with respect to wage bargaining.

As was the case in the United States, a number of foreign industrial countries, in particular Germany, Switzerland, Canada, the United Kingdom, and France, adopted explicit targeting of one or more monetary aggregates. Although these five foreign countries took this policy action during a relatively short interval and at a time of generally similar problems within their economies, the implementation of these targets and the subsequent economic experience under their use have differed among them. For example, they set targets for a wide variety of aggregates, ranging from the monetary base (Switzerland) to a broad liquidity measure (the United Kingdom); they expressed targets as a single figure (France) or as a range (Canada); they announced targets for a single year ahead (Germany) or for an indefinite period into the future (Canada). One major foreign industrial country,

1. Inflation and real growth in output abroad, 1973-82

Percentage change from previous year

| Item | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Consumer prices |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canada | 7.6 | 10.9 | 10.8 | 7.5 | 8.0 | 8.9 | 9.2 | 10.2 | 12.5 | 10.8 |
| France. | 7.3 | 13.7 | 11.8 | 9.6 | 9.4 | 9.1 | 10.8 | 13.6 |  | 11.8 |
| Germany | 6.9 | 7.0 24.5 | 6.0 118 | 4.5 | 3.7 8.1 | 2.7 3.8 | 4.1 | 5.5 8.0 | 5.9 4.9 | 5.3 |
| Japan..... | 11.7 8.7 | 24.5 9.8 | 11.8 6.7 | 9.3 1.7 | 8.1 13 | 3.8 | 3.6 3.6 | 8.0 4.0 | 4.9 | 2.7 5 |
| Switzerland .... United Kingdom | 8.7 9.2 | 9.8 16.0 | 6.7 24.2 | 1.7 16.5 | 1.3 15.8 | 1.1 8.3 | 3.6 13.4 | 4.0 18.0 | 6.5 11.9 | 5.6 8.6 |
| Real GDP or GNP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canada | 7.5 | 3.5 | 1.1 | 5.8 | 2.4 | 3.9 | 3.2 | . 5 | 3.8 | -4.8 |
| France... | 5.4 | 3.2 | -1.6 | 5.2 5.4 | 3.1 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 1.1 | . 2 | 1.7 -1.1 |
| Germany. | 8.8 | -1.0 | -1.6 | 5.4 | 5.1 | 5.0 | 5.1 | 4.9 | 4. | 1.7 3.0 |
| Switzerland | 3.0 | 1.5 | -7.3 | -1.4 | 2.4 | . 4 | 2.5 | 4.6 | 1.9 | -2.0 |
| United Kingdom | 7.5 | -1.0 | -. 7 | 3.6 | 1.3 | 3.7 | 1.6 | -2.0 | -2.0 | 1.2 |

Japan, has not used targets, but rather a system of very short-term forecasts of money growth.

Since monetary targets have come into use, these countries have confronted different problems, made different adjustments, and experienced different results. Their record of success in meeting targets is mixed. Moreover, as table 1 shows, none of these countries was able, despite the use of targets, to prevent a reemergence of rapid inflation during the second rise in world oil prices in 1979-80. The experience with alternative targeting procedures continues; it appears that no one combination of structure and use of these targets has been able to resolve all the policy dilemmas or meet the various macroeconomic problems of the past decade without modification of some kind.

## adoption of Money Growth Targets ABROAD

Most foreign countries have implemented targets for money growth by selecting a single narrow or broad aggregate. Only Germany chose to form a composite of several aggregates and use it as the targeted money stock.

## Germany: Use of a Composite Aggregate

In December 1974, Germany became the first of the countries under review to set an explicit target for the growth of a monetary aggregate. The aggregate selected was central bank money (CBM), defined as currency plus required mini-
mum reserves on banks' domestic liabilities at constant (January 1974) reserve ratios. Inasmuch as CBM is a particular weighted average of the assets that constitute M3, it to some degree reflects the growth of all monetary assets; the selection of such a composite is one approach to the problem of choosing between, for example, M1 and M2 at times when their growth rates are diverging. The Bundesbank also has data more promptly for CBM than for the aggregates M1, M2, or M3. Over time, CBM and M3 have tended to behave similarly.

In setting the targets, the German authorities have placed primary importance on the sum of two critical variables: the growth of potential production and the rate of "unavoidable" price rises. By this procedure the authorities have hoped to avoid adjustments of the target in response to transitory movements in prices or output.

Table 2 contains the record of CBM targets for Germany to the present. The initial target called for CBM to grow at 8 percent during 1975. The announcement in 1974 came at the end of a year in which the Bundesbank had been looking infor-
2. German targets for growth in CBM

Percent

mally to the rate of monetary growth as a guide for policy actions. The explicit setting of a target for CBM growth marked a further movement toward the money stock and away from free liquid reserves (a measure of available excess reserves) as the principal indicator in the short run of the stance of monetary policy. After one year the annual average rate of growth in CBM was chosen as the target so that unusual growth in one or two months would not have undue weight in the meeting of the target. In 1978, after rapid appreciation of the German mark, very high rates of CBM growth, and a break in the data series owing to a slight modification in the procedure for calculating CBM, the Bundesbank switched to expressing the target in terms of a range of permissible growth rates from the fourth-quarter average of the base year to that of the next. In its January 1979 Monthly Report, the Bundesbank explained that a target range had been adopted so that officials might aim for the lower or upper half of the range in response to the outcome of economic events during the target period and that annual average growth was replaced by growth from fourth quarter to fourth quarter in order to give a clearer indication of the direction CBM growth would take through the year. The authorities did not lower the target rates set for CBM growth until 1979, and they have lowered them only slightly to date.

## Use of Broad Aggregates

Both the United Kingdom and France chose broad monetary aggregates when they adopted targets for money growth.

United Kingdom. The United Kingdom first publicly announced a target for money stock growth in July 1976. The target called for growth of M3 at an annual rate of 12 percent for the remainder of the U.K. fiscal year. That rate of growth of M3, which consists of notes and coin in circulation plus all deposits held by residents (other than banks) at banks in the United Kingdom, was judged to be consistent with the overall economic program to lower the inflation rate and restore external equilibrium that the government was undertaking at the time. After just a few months,
3. U.K. targets for money growth

Annual rate in percent

| Target period | Monetary aggregates | Target rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| April 1976-April 1977. | M3 | 12 |
| April 1976-April 1977. | £M3 | 9-13 |
| April 1977-April 1978. | £M3 | 9-13 |
| April 1978-April 1979. | £M3 | 8-12 |
| October 1978-October 1979 | £M3 | 8-12 |
| June 1979-April 1980 ...... | £M3 | 7-11 |
| June 1979-October 1980 | £M3 |  |
| February 1980-April 1981 | £M3 | $7-11$ |
| February 1981-April 1982 | £M3 | 6-10 |
| February 1982-April 1983 | M1; £M3; PSL2 | 8-12 |
| February 1983-April 1984 | M1; £M3; PSL2 | $7-11$ |

the target was changed to a range of 9 to 13 percent for average annual growth for the 1976/ 77 fiscal year of sterling M3 (£M3), that portion of M3 denominated in pounds sterling. The nonsterling portion of M3 was excluded because it was believed that those deposits were not closely related to economic activity in the United Kingdom.

Table 3 lists the sequence of U.K. monetary aggregate targets. Until June 1979, the government set annual targets for $£ \mathrm{M} 3$ growth, which after a time it updated at six-month intervals. These targets implied only slight slowing in money growth. After the election of the Thatcher government in 1979, the way in which monetary targets were used in the United Kingdom changed somewhat. Control over the growth of the money stock was given primary importance in the effort to lower the inflation rate, an objective for which the Conservatives had campaigned strongly. £M3 remained the targeted aggregate, partly because M1 was believed too narrow to include all the balances relevant to the inflation process and partly because $£$ M3 could be conveniently linked to fiscal policy through the public sector borrowing requirement. A forward-looking plan, known as the Medium-Term Financial Strategy, was developed in 1980; it set forth joint, consistent targets for decreasing money growth and curtailing public sector deficits over several years.

In 1981, several factors combined to call into question the accuracy of the rate of growth of £M3 by itself in reflecting monetary conditions. Although £M3 was growing rapidly during the year, other aggregates, especially M1, were not. Short-term interest rates rose for much of the
year and were at very high levels during the second half of 1981. The pound appeared to be quite strong. In March 1982, it was announced that monetary policy would henceforth focus explicitly on several variables. In addition to £M3, growth targets were set for M1 and PSL2, a broad measure of private sector liquidity that includes various savings instruments. Moreover, the exchange rate was specifically listed as a variable that provided information about monetary conditions and that would be taken into account in policy decisions. This broader, more eclectic approach to monetary growth targeting has been maintained.

France. France announced its first target in December 1976. That target called for 12.5 percent growth in M2 over the subsequent 12 months. During the previous year, the authorities had made statements about their intentions for M2 growth. As table 4 shows, the French continued to express targets for M2 as a single rate for growth from December to December and lowered them slowly until 1982. French monetary policy made extensive use of selective credit controls and ceilings during this time. The M2 targets and credit policy were selected to be consistent with one another.
4. French targets for growth in M2 Percent


The Mitterrand government elected in 1981 introduced a variety of economic policy changes. Because the implications for money growth of these various policy moves were somewhat ambiguous, a growth range was announced for the first time for 1982. In 1983, French authorities returned to a single target rate, but changed the base to the three-month interval centered on

December in order to avoid giving undue weight to events in a single month.

## Use of Narrow Aggregates

Canada and Switzerland both began setting targets for the growth of their respective M1 measures in 1975. Since then, however, both have gone through substantial changes in their use of money growth targets.

Canada. In November 1975, the Bank of Canada announced a target of 10 to 15 percent growth (annual rate) for M1 from the average for the second quarter of that year. As explained in the Bank of Canada Annual Report for that year, M1 was chosen as the targeted aggregate because it bore "a reasonably systematic relationship over time to the growth rate of aggregate spending in the economy, as measured by the dollar value of GNE, as well as to short-term interest rates." The relationship between GNE (gross national expenditure) and M1 contrasted with the case for the broader Canadian aggregates, M2 and M3. Since the Bank Act of 1967, these aggregates had contained bank liabilities that paid market rates of interest. Although the components of the broad aggregates were very sensitive to relative interest rates, these aggregates were not closely tied to total nominal spending. The short-run interest sensitivity of M1 was important to its suitability as a targeted aggregate, however, because it was through its influence over shortterm interest rates that the Bank of Canada sought to control M1.

Subsequent Canadian targets for M1 are reported in table 5. The target ranges steadily decreased throughout the period in question. The Bank of Canada announced new targets, superseding the old ones, at irregular intervals. The
5. Canadian targets for growth in M1

Annual rate in percent

target base was usually a period, already several months in the past, during which M1 was near the middle of the target range then in force. With the target announced in 1977, the interpretation placed upon the stated growth range was modified somewhat. The midpoint of the target range was the intended trend rate of M1 growth with a margin of plus or minus 2 percent throughout the target interval to allow for short-run variability of the aggregate. This interpretation meant that variability near the start of the target interval was no more likely to push the aggregate "outside" the target range than that which occurred later; and, similarly, the range for the targeted aggregate did not become any wider as time passed.

During the late 1970s, the Bank of Canada observed that financial innovation and regulatory change over time had disturbed the stability of the relationship between Canadian M1 and nominal spending on which targeting monetary growth depended. As a consequence, reliance on the growth of M1 as a guide to policy was "considerably qualified," and other information was increasingly taken into account. No new target was announced after early 1981; and finally, in November 1982, the Governor of the Bank of Canada announced that explicit targeting of M1 was discontinued.

Switzerland. The Swiss National Bank first announced a target for money growth in 1975. The chosen aggregate was M1, currency in circulation plus sight deposits held by residents, although a target for equal growth in the monetary base was implied. Swiss authorities sought to control M1 by controlling the base and argued that over sufficiently long horizons, these two aggregates would grow together in a predictable way. The initial targets called for 6 percent annual average growth; the target was later lowered to 5 percent for 1977 and 1978 (see table 6).

The Swiss franc appreciated sharply in 1978, against the dollar but also against the German mark. In response, Swiss authorities formally suspended the M1 growth target and openly stated their intention to intervene on the exchange market as necessary to keep the exchange rate between the Swiss franc and the German mark above a stated floor. That policy was successful with respect to the exchange rate,
6. Swiss targets for money growth

Percent


1. Annual average growth in this instance is defined to equal the average of the 12 -month percentage changes for each of the months January, February, and so forth through the year.
2. No target was set for 1979.
but left no room for an independently chosen path for the money supply.

Swiss authorities announced no target for money growth in 1979. At the end of that year they returned to explicit targeting, but the chosen aggregate was the monetary base (currency plus deposits at the Swiss National Bank held by Swiss banks and by commercial and industrial firms, measured at the end of the month). Swiss officials have explained that the base was selected, rather than M1, because of an apparent breakdown in the short run of the previously established multiplier relationship linking the two. November was selected as the target base because the December figure each year included substantial transitory changes owing to end-ofyear adjustments made by banks in their balance sheets. Nevertheless, Swiss officials further adjusted the data internally. Although reports were released about the growth of the base relative to target, the actual data used by the Swiss National Bank as the basis of the target calculation were not released.

In 1981, the targeted aggregate was again changed, to adjusted central bank money. This aggregate is the monthly average of daily figures for the monetary base adjusted for transitory fluctuations in banks' balance sheets. At the time it was introduced, both current and historical data were publicly provided. This aggregate is closely controlled by the monetary authority and avoids being dominated by random events on a particular day of the month or by the transitory but predictable elements of adjustments by banks to their balance sheets. Over sufficiently
long horizons, adjusted CBM and M1 are expected to grow at similar rates.

## Foreign Experience with Money Growth Targets

The records of these five countries in meeting the targets described above has been mixed.

## Comparison of Germany and Switzerland

Although Germany has targeted a broad aggregate and Switzerland a narrow one, the two countries have experienced common problems in the years since 1975, when both began targeting money growth. Because the central banks of both countries are widely regarded as having been successful at retaining credibility in the minds of the public with respect to their efforts to control money growth and reduce inflation, a comparison of their experiences with targeting is useful.

Chart 1 illustrates the path of German CBM and the sequence of targets since 1974. Table 7 contains the record of Swiss M1 and adjusted CBM growth over a similar interval. Both countries expressed the target as a single figure through 1978. Although the definition of a "miss" from such a target is ambiguous, it is clear that in Germany through 1977 the growth of CBM tended to be above the target rate. In Switzerland the outcome was clearly above target in 1976 and slightly above in 1977. In 1978,

1. Central bank money in Germany


[^1]7. Swiss money growth ${ }^{1}$

Annual average rate in percent


1. According to published data on the monetary base, not the internally adjusted figures used by the Swiss National Bank, the base declined 2 percent from November 1979 to November 1980, and 1.1 percent from November 1980 to November 1981. See table 6, note 1. 2. Through June.
both countries went substantially over target for largely the same reasons: strong international demand for their currencies, which was met to some extent by central bank intervention and monetary expansion. Chart 1 depicts the 1978 German CBM target relative to the base calculated using the actual data for 1977. If one attempts to adjust the 1978 figures for the break in March (by shifting upward the April-December data), it becomes clear that the target was overshot significantly in that year. For both countries, however, this overshooting came at a time when their currencies were appreciating rapidly and their inflation rates were low.

From 1979 until this year, growth of the targeted aggregate has tended to be well within or even below target in both Germany and Switzerland. Short-term interest rates rose to high levels in both countries in 1980-81. High and rising interest rates were consistent with very slow growth of largely non-interest-bearing M1 deposits, but they induced substitution into interest-bearing bank deposits. Charts 2 and 3 show the percentage growth of several monetary aggregates for the two countries. While M1 grew slowly or even declined in 1980-81 in Germany and Switzerland, M2 grew very rapidly. As interest rates in general fell in 1982, growth of M2 diminished and that of M1 rose. M1 has continued to grow rapidly through the first half of 1983 . Because German CBM gives the greatest weight to those assets contained in M1 (rather than those included only in M2 or M3), it too has been growing rapidly and remains above target through the
2. M1, M2, and central bank money in Germany


Quarterly data, seasonally adjusted. There is a break in the CBM series in March 1978.
first half of 1983. In Switzerland, adjusted CBM has similarly accelerated with M1, but to a lesser extent.

Both of these countries have experienced episodes in which growth of the targeted aggregate has exceeded the target. Both have also just concluded several years in succession of growth just at or below target. The ability to keep episodes of rapid growth brief may explain the way these two central banks have been able to maintain their credibility.
3. M1, M2, and adjusted central bank money in Switzerland


Quarterly data, not seasonally adjusted.

## United Kingdom

The growth of sterling M3 in the United Kingdom is illustrated by chart 4 for the period during which targets for its growth have been set.

Although £M3 has had a tendency to be at or above the target for much of this period, that aggregate grew extremely rapidly in two epi-
sodes when the target was overshot by a wide margin. The first episode was 1980, when part of the growth in $£ \mathrm{M} 3$ was a response to the lifting of the Special Supplementary Deposits scheme, known as the "corset." That regulatory structure had induced funds to flow into instruments other than bank deposits. When it was removed in mid-1980, funds returned to such deposits; £M3 grew rapidly as a result.

The second episode occurred in 1981, when growth in $£ \mathrm{M} 3$ exceeded the target from very early in the fiscal year. Some of the growth may have been continued adjustment to the removal of the corset. A Civil Service dispute in early 1981 that temporarily halted the processing of tax receipts and thus interrupted the flow of funds through the banking system further complicated assessment of the underlying trend growth in $£ M 3$. A change in the institutions included in the definition of the U.K. monetary sector introduced a break in the measurement of all the aggregates in November. Even if some adjustment is made for this break, £M3 clearly remained above target through the end of the target interval, by which time the effects of the Civil Service dispute should have been reversed.

## 4. Sterling M3 in the United Kingdom



[^2] November 1981.
5. M1 and PSL2 in the United Kingdom


Monthly data, seasonally adjusted. There is a break in the series in November 1981.

Throughout 1980-81, other aggregates, especially M1, had not been growing so rapidly as $£ \mathrm{M} 3$. Interest rates and the exchange rate gave additional evidence of monetary tightness. Faced with this conflicting evidence, the authorities did not take steps to return £M3 to target. For the fiscal year 1982/83 they changed the targeting procedure to include M1 and PSL2 and stated their intention to take into consideration variables such as the exchange rate as well. Chart 5 shows the record of M1 and PSL2 to date relative to the target range. For 1982 all three aggregates were essentially at or within the target range, but through the first half of 1983 all were somewhat above target.

## France

Chart 6 depicts French M2 along with the annual targets. Although it is difficult to judge money growth against a single target rate, French M2 plainly has grown at or above the target rate repeatedly over this period. The money growth target in France has been part of a wider monetary policy program that includes explicit credit controls. Failure to achieve a particular M2 target did not necessarily mean that other goals of the policy program-particularly the credit
6. M2 in France


Monthly data, seasonally adjusted.
ceilings-were not being met. After initial questions about the M2 target that was consistent with the Mitterrand administration's economic policy program, M2 grew rapidly early in 1982, but it ended the year below the target range. For the first months of 1983, M2 growth was once again above target.

## Canada

The recent path of Canadian M1 and the target ranges established for its growth are illustrated in chart 7. Although there was some short-run variability of M1 within the target range, until 1981 the gradually lowered targets were consistently achieved by the Bank of Canada.

Following the announcement in early 1981 of a target range of 4 to 8 percent, M1 growth began to appear unstable; no subsequent targets were set. In the 1982 Per Jacobssen Lecture, Gerald K. Bouey, Governor of the Bank of Canada, explained the difficulties experienced by the Ca nadian authorities:

The decision in 1975 by the Bank of Canada to adopt a money supply target was based on the evidence that a narrowly-defined monetary aggregate (M1) was related in a reasonably stable fashion to movements in total spending in the economy and to short-term interest rates. . . .

Notwithstanding the contribution of monetary targetting in getting monetary policy on to a better track, practical problems have emerged in Canada... which have reduced the usefulness of these targets as policy guides. . . .

Perhaps the most troublesome problem in Canada is that the relationship between our target monetary
7. M1 in Canada


Monthly data, seasonally adjusted.
aggregate-M1-and the levels of spending and interest rates has not turned out to be as stable as it appeared in the mid-1970s

Another very practical issue in monetary targetting has been how to cope with exchange rate disturbances.

The shifting in the role of M1 to which Governor Bouey referred was caused at least in part by significant financial innovation within the banking system. That development is reflected partly by the rapid growth of M2 in 1980-81 while M1 was slowing and even decreasing, as chart 8 shows. The emergence of bank accounts paying competitive interest on a daily basis, first available at notice and later available as checkable deposits, led to a redefinition of the Canadian aggregates and the creation of a new one, M1A. This aggregate adds to M1 all daily-interest checkable deposits and the nonpersonal component of notice deposits. Since mid-1980, M1A has consistently grown at a rate faster than M1,
8. M1, M1A, and M2 in Canada


Quarterly data, seasonally adjusted.
reflecting the attractiveness of these interestbearing deposits.

Faced with a "process of financial innovation and the response of bank customers" that, according to its Annual Report for 1982, has "been rapid and continuing," the Bank of Canada discontinued monetary targeting. Instead, the Bank observes the growth of all the aggregates and extracts information from such observations without setting any target.

## Japan: Use of Forecasts of Money Growth

In contrast to the countries discussed above, Japan has not officially adopted a system of targeting the growth of a monetary aggregate. Japanese authorities do, however, release early in the quarter forecasts of the growth they expect to observe in the combined total of M2 and certificates of deposit from the equivalent quarter one year earlier. Thus, when the forecast is released, approximately three-fourths of the forecast "horizon" has already been experienced, and the data are known. The forecast does serve to indicate the direction in which officials expect to see money growth proceeding as a result of both their own previous policy actions and market forces. For example, from the first quarter of 1982 to the first quarter of 1983, the forecast growth rates decreased steadily from 11 to 7 percent.

Japanese monetary policy has been implemented by direct intervention in financial market transactions. Interest rates have largely been administered, and "window guidance" from the Bank of Japan to commercial banks has limited the expansion of bank credit. Although the use of direct intervention appears to be diminishing, the Japanese authorities have not yet taken the step of seeking to control the rate of nominal spending indirectly by setting a target for the growth of a monetary aggregate.

Lessons from the Foreign Experience
Over the past decade a wide variety of targeting or forecasting procedures have been used by the
six major foreign industrial countries discussed in this article. Indeed, no two of them have used the same procedure. Nevertheless, most of these countries have found it necessary to make adjustments in their particular form of monetary control as economic events have unfolded over the past several years. The Swiss, who have preferred targeting a narrow aggregate, and the British, who began by targeting a broad one, have made the greatest changes in their procedures while still retaining a monetary policy based on money growth targets. Only Canada has chosen to discontinue targeting a specific aggregate altogether. While France and Germany have made only slight adjustments to their targeting procedures, France has allowed money growth to exceed the stated target repeatedly; and Germany has permitted deviations from target, once by quite a substantial amount and quite deliberately, when market conditions seemed to warrant it.

The adoption of monetary targeting has not eliminated the problem of dealing with conflicting or ambiguous information with respect to monetary conditions. Very slow growth of narrow aggregates and rapid growth of broad ones occurred during 1980-81 in several countries, particularly the United Kingdom, Germany, and Switzerland, and caused a major change in policy procedure in the United Kingdom. Just the reverse pattern of money growth has been occurring in several countries in 1983, and the divergent behavior of the aggregates may well be one reason authorities have not acted more forcefully to date to return money growth within target ranges. Regulatory change, financial innovation, and structural shifts of many kinds all have the potential to distort the effects of a given target for money growth from those expected and intended. Foreign experience suggests that the ability to respond flexibly to disturbances of these kinds is an essential aspect of the implementation of targets for monetary aggregates.

## Industrial Production

## Released for publication October 14

Industrial production increased 1.5 percent in September, and the August and July increases were revised upward to 1.2 and 2.2 percent respectively. Output gains in September were sizable and widespread, with especially large increases occurring in automotive products and in business equipment. At 153.7 percent of the

1967 average, overall industrial output in September 1983 was near its previous monthly highs reached in March 1979 ( 153.5 percent) and July 1981 ( 153.9 percent). The production of consumer goods last month was about 5 percent higher than in July 1981, but the output of business equipment and of durable goods materials (especially steel) remained below earlier peaks.

In market groupings, output of consumer


All series are seasonally adjusted and are plotted on a ratio scale. Auto sales and stocks include imports. Latest figures: September.

| Grouping | $1967=100$ |  | Percentage change from preceding month |  |  |  |  | Percentage change, Sept. 1982 to Sept. 1983 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1983 |  | 1983 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Aug. | Sept. | May | June | July | Aug. | Sept. |  |
| Total industrial production | Major market groupings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 151.4 |  | 1.3 | 1.4 | 2.2 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 11.9 |
| Products, total. . | 152.5 | 155.0 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 10.1 |
| Final products | 150.2 | 152.7 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.8 | . 8 | 1.7 | 9.1 |
| Consumer goods | $\begin{aligned} & 156.0 \\ & 154.3 \end{aligned}$ | 158.2 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 1.7 | . 6 | 1.4 | 10.3 |
| Durable..... |  | 158.2 | 3.6 | 2.5 | 2.5 | . 8 | 2.5 | 20.5 |
| Nondurable | $156.6$ | 158.3 | 1.2 | . 9 | 1.4 | . 5 | 1.1 | 6.8 |
| Business equipment | $\begin{aligned} & 155.6 \\ & 154.8 \end{aligned}$ | 158.4 | . 5 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 2.3 | 5.2 |
| Defense and space. |  | 122.9 | -. 5 | . 3 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 12.2 |
| Intermediate products | $\begin{aligned} & 121.5 \\ & 161.0 \end{aligned}$ | 163.2 | . 9 | 1.5 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 13.6 |
| Construction supplies. |  | 150.7 | 1.5 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 20.1 |
| Materials................ | $\begin{aligned} & 148.6 \\ & 149.6 \end{aligned}$ | 151.7 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 2.6 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 14.9 |
|  | Major industry groupings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Manufacturing | 152.3 | 155.0 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 13.1 |
| Durable.... | 138.6 | 141.7 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 2.7 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 14.7 |
| Nondurable | 172.1 | 174.2 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 11.2 |
| Mining . . . . . | $\begin{aligned} & 116.1 \\ & 177.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 117.3 \\ & 175.9 \end{aligned}$ | 1.1 | -. 2 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 2.3 |
| Utilities |  |  | . 2 | . 1 | 3.7 | 1.1 | $-1.1$ | 5.0 |

Note. Indexes are seasonally adjusted.
goods increased 1.4 percent in September. Autos were assembled at an annual rate of 7.8 million units-up about 4 percent from the August level. Production of consumer-use trucks and home goods also advanced strongly. Output of business equipment rose 2.3 percent in September, reflecting continued large gains in most components as well as a strike rebound in the telephone apparatus industry. Output of defense and space equipment continued to expand, while production of construction supplies rose 1.4 percent further.

The increase in materials output was again sizable, with both durable and nondurable materials advancing 1.9 percent. Energy materials, however, declined, mainly due to a reduction in generation of electricity from exceptionally high August levels.

In industry groupings, manufacturing production rose 1.8 percent in September. Output of durables increased 2.2 percent and of nondurables, 1.2 percent. Mining output was up 1.0 percent, but output by utilities declined.

# Statements to Congress 

Statement by Paul A. Volcker, Chairman, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, before the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs, U.S. Senate, September 13, 1983.

I appreciate the opportunity to appear on behalf of the Federal Reserve Board before this committee to review with you a wide range of issues affecting the evolution of banks, banking, and the financial services industry. The proposed "Financial Institutions Deregulation Act of 1983," submitted to you by the Treasury on behalf of the administration provides a focus for these comments.
I testified before this committee in the course of its general review earlier this spring, and I expressed then my conviction that the Congress should now move to reform the existing legislative framework governing banking organizations to provide some assurance that the powerful forces of change are channeled in a manner consistent with the broad public interests at stake-the need to maintain a safe and stable financial system, to assure equitable and competitive access to financial services by businesses and consumers, and to preserve an effective mechanism for transmitting the influence of monetary, credit, and other policies to the economy.

Nothing that has happened over the summer has reduced the need for early action-quite the contrary. New combinations of firms in the financial services area, new services, and new combinations of older services are proceeding.

No doubt, much of this change reflects a natural, and potentially constructive, effort to respond to market incentives, customer needs, and new technology. What is so disturbing is that much of this activity is forced into "unnatural" organizational form by the provisions of existing law and regulation. The consequences are obvious and serious. In some cases, the services are less readily available, at higher cost, than would otherwise be the case. Important competitive inequalities exist, as some institutions are able to
take advantage of loopholes or ambiguities in the existing legal fabric and others are not. And in some cases, important objectives of public policy embodied in existing law are threatened or undermined. The pervading atmosphere of unfairness, of constant stretching and testing of the limits of law and regulation and of circumvention of their intent, and of regulatory disarray is inherently troublesome and basically unhealthy.

As I emphasized in April, there can be no doubt that a reexamination of the existing legislative framework has become urgent. We are at a crucial point. We can turn the system toward creative innovation consistent with certain broad and continuing concerns of public policy. Or, left unattended, we can continue to see the financial system evolve in haphazard and potentially dangerous ways-ways dictated not just by natural responses to market needs but by the often capricious effects of existing and now outmoded provisions of law.

When I was before you in April, I suggested a possible interim step of a temporary limitation on combinations of nonbank banks (and thrift institutions) with nondepository institutions, as well as a similarly temporary halt to new state authorizations of expanded nonbanking activities for state-chartered banks. This interim step still seems to me required to provide the Congress with the minimum time necessary to decide on appropriate policy approaches rather than to be faced with a multiplying number of faits accomplis, vastly complicating the job of orderly reform.

Since that time, other proposals have been made to limit acquisitions of banks and thrifts by nondepository institutions. The particular proposals of Chairman St Germain of the House Banking Committee and Chairman Isaac of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) are more sweeping, requiring divestiture of existing combinations, and represent permanent prohibitions. These proposals, as 1 understand them, are not set forth as immediate, practical
legislative initiatives, but rather to emphasize the need for more forward-looking, constructive reform by indicating the logical alternatives to absence of such action.

All these proposals have as their fundamental premise that the present situation is untenable. I share that view. We must either move forward, or we must define more precisely, carefully, and equitably the boundaries of existing law dealing with the separation of banking and commerce and of activities within the financial sector. Simply waiting for the 'dust to settle,' where it will, cannot be a satisfactory alternative. It is all too likely that the dust will fall unevenly and unfairly and impair the financial machinery. The moratorium proposal can provide only an imperfect, temporary shield while you work out a more forward-looking approach, but it would nonetheless serve the purpose of preserving your decisionmaking flexibility and of setting a deadline for more comprehensive congressional action.

In that sense, I see it as a complement to, and not a substitute for, the initiative taken by the administration to place before you a specific proposal for reform. We welcome that proposal, for it provides a constructive framework for your deliberations on a suitable approach to guide us over the next generation.

The remainder of my statement first restates the broad considerations and criteria that we feel should underlie any legislation and then, against that background, deals with more particular aspects.

## GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS

The core objectives of banking and financial reform seem simple to cite. As in other areas, we want a system that encourages competition in the provision of banking and financial services; and consistent with those competitive processes, consumers and businesses-small or largeshould be able to purchase financial services at minimal cost. By its nature, a banking system also needs to be responsive to the concerns of public policy, including the need for an effective transmission belt for monetary policy. Finally, throughout history, here and abroad, there has been special concern about the need to maintain confidence in the basic payments system, imply-
ing continuing attention to the safety and soundness of banks.

At the heart of the problem, in setting out an appropriate legislative framework, lies the fact that, in some circumstances, these easy-to-state, agreed-upon objectives may be in conflict or point toward different approaches. We normally should and do look toward the marketplacefree of regulations except those necessary to preserve competition-to promote competition and efficiency. But when soundness, confidence, and continuity in the provision of money and payments services are at stake, deregulation cannot alone achieve the objectives because some degree of government support and regulation is implicit. The creation of the Federal Reserve and the FDIC-and, more recently, our shared concern about the need for more intensified supervision of international lending-are obvious cases in point.

In approaching that dilemma-encouraging the free play of market forces while also recognizing the need to preserve a "hard core" of safety and soundness in the financial and payments sys-tem-we have emphasized in earlier testimony the following points as a basis for legislation:

1. We should continue to recognize that banks, and depository institutions generally, perform a unique and critical role in the financial system and the economy-as operators of the payments system, as custodians of the bulk of liquid savings, as essential suppliers of credit, and as a link between monetary policy and the economy.
2. This unique role implies continuing governmental concerns-concerns that may be reflected both in the support provided by lender-of-lastresort and deposit-insurance facilities and by regulatory protection against undue risk or bias in the credit-decision process.
3. A bank or depository institution cannot be wholly insulated from the fortunes of its affili-ates-their success or failure or their business objectives.

In essence, these essential points seem to us to set broad limits on the extent to which market and competitive forces alone can be relied upon to shape the evolution of banking organizations within the financial and economic system. For instance, they underlie the strong tradition in the United States of a separation between banking and commerce, although the precise line be-
tween the two needs to be reexamined in the light of changes in technology and other factors. It is precisely in drawing lines of this kind-and in achieving an appropriate balance between legitimate and continuing regulatory concerns and the need to respond to competitive forces-that difficult and controversial legislative choices must be made.

For our part, we believe the administration bill, taken as a whole, provides a reasonable balance, and we broadly support the proposal. This bill would make possible a significant-even sweeping-simplification in the supervisory procedures applicable to bank holding companies and allow them a broadly expanded range of financial activities. But it also maintains the broad distinctions between banking and commerce and would prohibit, or sharply circumscribe, participation in certain financial areasunderwriting of corporate securities and real estate development-characterized by particularly strong elements of risk or potential conflicts of interest.

I must emphasize that our support for the bill is predicated on the retention of the essential safeguards-including an adequate supervisory framework-to protect the safety and stability of banking institutions and the banking system. We have also noted certain problem areas with respect to the broader powers, which I will be discussing in more detail. We also recognize that the bill, as encompassing as it is, would leave large areas of unfinished business. I will have comments on state-federal regulatory relationships and on the relationship between bank and thrift powers-both areas in which further legislative direction is urgently needed.

Important questions have also been raised about the nature and role of deposit insurance in our evolving banking system-a matter the FDIC and the Federal Savings and Loan Insurance Corporation (FSLIC) have themselves had under review-and about the division of supervisory and regulatory responsibilities in the federal gov-ernment-a matter under study by the Bush Task Force. I do not in any way minimize the importance of these matters. My own sense is that your consideration of them might logically follow, rather than accompany, your consideration of the present administration bill. Indeed, the sorting out of bank and thrift powers and federal-
state banking relationships would seem to be a prerequisite for intelligent approaches to the latter issues and, as a practical matter, is added reason for dealing with the administration bill promptly.

## Bank Holding Company Regulation Under the administration Bill

With this background, I would now like to comment on the major provisions of the bill and how they affect new activities, the definition of bank, supervisory procedures, conflicts of interest, and avoidance of excessive risks. The Board may wish to bring additional details to the attention of the committee at a later time.

## New Activities

The powers provisions of the administration bill are its centerpiece, not only because they represent a major expansion in the types of activities in which banking organizations may engage, but also because they-in combination with the definition of a bank contained in the bill-will determine the types of nonbank firms that may own banks. Nonbanking activities of bank holding companies would be expanded to include, with the principal exception of corporate underwriting, not only those services "closely related to banking," but also those of a "financial nature." The bill would specifically authorize ownership of thrift institutions, insurance and real estate brokerage, real estate development (with limitations on the amount of capital investment), insurance underwriting, and certain securities activities if performed in a separate securities affiliate. These securities affiliates would be authorized to underwrite municipal revenue and certain types of industrial revenue bonds and to sponsor and underwrite money market and stock and bond mutual funds registered under the Investment Company Act.
The proposal thus draws the circle separating "banking" from commerce more broadly, buttaking into account the cautionary comments on certain activities that I will detail below-still within the bounds of what we believe is necessary to maintain safety and soundness and to
avoid potentially harmful conflicts of interest, excessive concentration of resources, and undue risk-the basic policy objectives that the Congress has sought to attain through the Bank Holding Company Act.

## Definition of Bank

The definition of the term "bank" is a key element in the bill because it defines the scope of institutions to which the Congress wishes to apply these basic policies. The administration proposal redefines the term "bank" to include any insured bank, any institution eligible for FDIC insurance, and any institution that accepts transaction accounts and makes commercial loans.

This definition has attracted wide support. It is contained not only in the administration bill, but also in our own moratorium proposal and the moratorium bills suggested by Chairman St Germain and the FDIC. This and other provisions would close the nonbank loophole and encompass other deposit-taking institutions that could become vehicles for evasion of the policies of the act. While a broadening of the scope of the definition beyond institutions that are federally chartered and insured should be carefully scrutinized to assure that we are not covering more than is necessary, it is our initial judgment that the broader definition is both necessary and desirable to assure that we are not faced, within a short period of time, with the same kind of loophole evasions that are so troubling today.

## Supervisory Procedures

Suggestions have sometimes been made that confining the conduct of new activities within nonbank subsidiaries of a bank holding company would itself adequately insulate the bank from risks or conflicts of interest involved in such activities, and therefore make feasible and appropriate virtually any activity within a bank holding company. As I have stressed before, the Board does not believe that this concept can achieve its objectives, although legal separation of parts of the holding company may be desirable
to assist appropriate functional regulation and to help contain the elements of risk and conflicts of interest. We believe that the bill before youwhile placing operations of nonbanking activities in separate subsidiaries-also provides adequate criteria for authorizing nonbanking activities and provision for supervision to the extent necessary. At the same time, unnecessary regulation would be eliminated, and there would be a major streamlining of the necessary supervisory procedures.

Present statutory procedures, in effect, require that a bank holding company, unlike other companies planning to enter a new line of business, be able to demonstrate to a public body-the Board of Governors--that there are positive net public benefits stemming from a nonbanking acquisition. This procedure affords competitors and other parties opportunities for comment, for hearing, and ultimately for judicial review. In effect, the burden of proof is on the applicant, and the process affords opportunities for costly and burdensome delay by competitors.

Under the proposed procedure, a bank holding company making an acquisition within the general framework of the permitted powers would still be required to submit a notice of such acquisition, but it could proceed unless disapproval by the Board of Governors was indicated within a limited time period on the basis of certain statutory considerations. Those statutory consider-ations-adequacy of financial resources, adequacy of managerial resources, protection of impartiality in the provision of credit, and avoidance of any material adverse effect on affiliated banks' safety and soundness-are designed to assure that certain longstanding purposes of the Bank Holding Company Act are maintained.

As a further measure to assure safety and soundness and fair competition with businesses in the same lines of activity, but not associated with banks, the bill also provides that criteria be developed to require that nonbanking activities of banking organizations be capitalized at least as well as those of comparable competing businesses. At the same time, the provision in the present law that requires evaluation of competitive factors by the Federal Reserve would be deleted. The antitrust laws would, of course, continue to apply, and consequently, primary
responsibility for antitrust enforcement for bank holding companies, as for other companies, would shift to the Justice Department.

The law would permit the Board, by general regulation, to prescribe limitations on any new activities consistent with the four stated criteria and with safe and sound financial practices generally. The bill also provides adequate supervisory authority over the activities of the holding company and its nonbank subsidiaries after they are in operation. While encouraging reliance on reports required by other regulatory agencies to avoid duplication of reporting requirements, the Board is authorized to obtain further data if necessary to assess compliance with the Bank Holding Company Act and to institute procedures to assure compliance with law.

The net result of the new procedures should be to speed greatly the application process and to eliminate the possibility of dilatory tactics by competitors. At the same time, the Board believes that the statutory criteria and the framework for applying them are adequate to protect the customer, the bank, and the financial system.

## Conflicts of Interest

One of the major continuing concerns of the Congress in the Bank Holding Company Act has been to prevent conflicts of interest in the provision of credit. The objectives are several and include the following: the assurance of fair and open competition in the provision of credit; maintenance of the impartiality of banks in credit judgments; and avoidance of practices that could undermine the strength of the bank itself.

Those broad concerns remain real, but we also believe that extension of bank holding companies into new lines of activity-combined with changing technology-require reconsideration of the issue with respect to legislation and regulation. A single firm will be able to provide a much broader range of products to its customers-that, indeed, is the driving force behind the proposal. This ability promises to provide the consumer with increased convenience through "one-stop shopping'" for a range of financial services, and should increase his options.

At the same time, the natural tendency will be
toward the joint offering of a variety of products, linked together in some significant way. For example, the combination of banking with real estate, insurance, mutual funds, and securities brokerage in one holding company makes it more likely that these products will be purchased in the same place, and inducements to purchase one service packaged with another offered at an attractive price are natural. We are already witnessing this process in the advertising by a major retail and financial firm that it will provide discounts on its household items if a customer purchases a home through that firm's real estate brokerage subsidiary.

There is no doubt that opportunities for tying, formal or informal, will exist if banking organizations are able to offer real estate, mutual funds, insurance and securities brokerage, together with traditional banking products. Because of concern about maintaining impartiality in the provision of credit, the Congress enacted a specific prohibition, at the time it expanded bank holding company powers in 1970, on the tying of bank to nonbank services. Under the administration proposal, these prohibitions would remain intact.

Our experience in administering these rules indicates that they are effective in preventing abuses of the bank that could endanger its financial stability. We assume that they continue essentially unchanged.
The Board, by regulation, has applied the same rules on tying to transactions involving the nonbank subsidiaries of bank holding companies. However, applying and enforcing such a regulation would become increasingly difficult as nonbank activities expand. Moreover, as indicated by the earlier example, other companies that provide financial services are not inhibited by such rules. If the Congress chooses to encourage the concept of a supermarket of financial services, there is no reason why nonbank subsidiaries of bank holding companies should not be permitted to participate in this development on the same basis as other providers of financial services.

We would like to have direction from the Congress about whether, in the framework provided by the administration bill, prohibitions against tying should be maintained among non-
bank subsidiaries of bank holding companies as well as against the bank itself. If so, it would appear competitively inequitable to have such strict rules apply (beyond services provided by a bank itself) while continuing to allow nonbank financial institutions the ability, through discounts and other means, effectively to tie their financial products.

Another area of potential conflict arises when a lender-and particularly a bank lender and fiduciary-has important ownership interests. In the past, any problem has been minimal in banking because of the strong limitations on equity investment by a bank and its affiliates. However, as a result of real estate development, insurance company and sponsored mutual funds activities, equity investments by a bank holding company would become much more significant in the future.

The provisions of the bill before you provide some basic protections against abuse of the bank. The bill does not authorize underwriting of corporate debt or equity securities, an area in which the potential for conflicts of interest and risk may be particularly great. During an underwriting of securities handled by an affiliate, the securities involved could not be purchased by another affiliate, and the securities or other assets of an affiliate could not without authorization be acquired by another affiliate acting in a fiduciary capacity. The current safeguards on inter-affiliate transactions would be broadened beyond the present restrictions on the extension of credit to include complementary restrictions on the purchase of assets, the furnishing of services, and other transactions with a third party in which an affiliate has an interest, essentially requiring that these transactions be on nonpreferential market terms.

These are useful provisions, but there are many potential situations, for example in the real estate area, where objective market values and a "market" test are difficult or impossible to measure. For this reason and to lessen the risk of conflicts that could be harmful to the bank or to the public, consideration should be given to a prohibition on loans by a bank to any entity in which a bank affiliate has a substantial or controlling ownership interest. This would help assure that a bank's lending judgments would not be clouded by the equity relationship and would
help maintain one of the basic public policy objectives of the act-the maintenance of impartiality in the credit extension process.

## Controlling Excessive Risk

A basic question in appraising the administration proposal is whether the result would be to increase unduly the risks to the stability of a bank and to the banking system generally. As indicated earlier, we do not believe that the fortunes of a bank can be insulated effectively from other parts of its holding company that are subject to common management, notwithstanding adoption of formal rules and regulations to avoid conflicts of interest and to minimize appearance of a common entity. Consequently, we have reviewed the administration proposal from that point of view, and we are satisfied that sufficient supervisory authority would be provided to deal with most sources of potential difficulty.

We remain concerned with the areas of real estate investment and development, which are, by their very nature, subject to high risk. In recognition of those dangers, the proposed bill limits the investment a bank holding company can make in a real estate development subsidiary to not more than 5 percent of the primary capital of the holding company. We view this limit as a reasonable and necessary restraint on the size of the capital commitment a bank holding company may make in its real estate development subsidiary, but we also believe, at least in the early stages of bank involvement with this activity, that further restraints may be necessary. For example, a high degree of leveraging in relatively speculative or otherwise risky real estate development could effectively impair the intended effect of the limit. The experience with real estate investment trusts, when banks had no equity involvement but felt their name and reputation were at stake, remains relevant in assessing the nature of bank exposure to large ventures carried out by affiliated companies.

In that light, we believe it appropriate that the Congress clearly provide regulatory authority for the Federal Reserve to define more precisely the nature of permissible real estate development and the amount by which such a subsidiary could leverage its capital. We also raise the question of
whether such activity should normally extend to active control and ownership of essentially commercial operations-construction companies, building operations, land speculation, and the like. Our understanding is that many bank holding companies are primarily interested in opportunities for equity or equity-like participation in real estate projects under the active management and control of others and in fuller participation in the financing of such ventures. This range of activities is more congruent with the experience and role of financial institutions.

While similar questions have been raised about the risks involved in insurance underwriting, and particularly underwriting of property and casualty insurance, the record suggests that these risks can be effectively managed through application of prudent underwriting practices. Such subsidiaries would remain under the supervision of relevant state authorities. Thus, we do not suggest statutory limitations on the types of insurance underwriting that should be considered permissible. However, because of the potential risks involved in some kinds of property and casualty insurance, I welcome the flexibility provided by the proposed bill to limit the scope of insurance underwriting by bank holding companies if experience indicates a need to circumscribe the scope of participation by banking organizations in this activity.

Consideration of risk and potential concentration of financial resources coincide in suggesting that another limitation similar to that imposed by the bill on real estate development companies would be appropriate. As a general proposition, bank holding companies could be expected to enter the insurance underwriting business through acquisitions of existing companies with management expertise in place and with seasoned portfolios rather than through de novo expansion. The same is also likely to be the case for insurance companies entering the banking business. The speed or degree to which these major industries, which have historically operated separately, should be permitted to combine within a holding company structure seems to us an important question. We have doubts about whether it would be good public policy to allow the largest banks to acquire the largest insurance companies, or conversely, the largest insurance companies to acquire the nation's largest banks.

At some point in the future, should experience confirm that combinations of insurance and banking firms have raised no special problems, elimination of all restraints on such amalgamations may be appropriate and desirable. For now, we would suggest that the process proceed at a more deliberate pace, and an effective means of accomplishing that would be to relate bank holding company investment in an insurance company to a limited fraction of its capital. Under such a rule, the largest banks would be able to purchase smaller or medium-sized underwriters; smaller banks would have to combine in joint ventures to accomplish this result-not an undesirable result to assure spreading of risk and avoidance of relationships that could result in incentives for tying. Reciprocally, a holding company with a dominant large ifsurance company would be limited in the size of its bank acquisitions. The bill should be amended to provide explicit authority to apply such an approach as we gain experience.

To assure that the objectives I have outlined can be fully achieved, it would be advisable to clarify the provisions of the Bank Holding Company Act to assure that the general examination and regulatory authority of the Board extend on the same basis to insurance underwriting subsidiaries of bank holding companies as to other nonbanking subsidiaries. The provisions of existing federal law delegate insurance regulation entirely to the states.

## Competitive Equality among Depository Institutions

I have reviewed before with the committee our concern that as thrifts have assumed more and more commercial banking powers and as they also retain powers that extend well beyond those of banks and bank holding companies, competition among depository institutions is distorted and inequitable. With these issues left unattended, we are drifting into an inconsistent and irrational public policy. To the extent restrictions and regulations on bank holding companies are justified by abiding public concern, those restrictions will be undercut to the extent the same or similar banking powers can be exercised in a more liberal (or in this context "laxer") regula-
tory environment. To the extent the restrictions are not justified, they should be abolished.
To illustrate the potential in the present situation, firms engaged in any type of commercial or financial activity can potentially own a savings and loan association, which, in turn, has powers comparable with banks but may also (1) have branches or otherwise expand interstate and intrastate; (2) have insurance and real estate development subsidiaries; (3) receive long-term expansion funding from the Home Loan Banks; and (4) qualify for special bad debt tax treatment by the Internal Revenue Service. In practice, federally chartered thrifts still have limited commercial loan and demand deposit powers, and the Federal Home Loan Bank Board has not encouraged widespread ownership of thrifts by commercial firms or investment houses. But it is also true that some states have provided, at their own initiative, full banking powers and more to their own thrifts.

Under the Garn-St Germain Act, the exemption for the unitary savings and loan association holding company from the activity restrictions of the Savings and Loan Holding Company Actand therefore from restrictions on interstate activities and commercial ownership-is lost if an association fails to qualify for the special bad debt deduction for tax purposes. However, it may be relatively easy to meet the required asset test and at the same time engage in a rather diversified range of banking activities. Our data show that the overwhelming majority of thrift institutions do qualify for the special treatment provided by law because they have in fact specialized in home mortgages. However, over time competitive opportunities provided by the existing rule likely will be increasingly utilized.

The administration bill approaches these imbalances from two directions. The new powers provided to bank holding companies would, in important respects, match the powers currently available to thrift holding companies; at the same time, the special status afforded to unitary thrift holding companies would, prospectively, be eliminated and the scope of activities of thrift holding companies and service corporations would be the same as those of banking organizations. These provisions of the Treasury bill would not entirely eliminate the differences between thrift and bank organizations; thrifts
would continue to have more favorable branching flexibility and tax treatment, and access to Home Loan Bank funds for expansion purposes.

Nonetheless, the proposal will inevitably be controversial, involving as it does a clear step toward more uniform regulatory treatment however justified that may appear to be in view of the growing banking powers of thrifts. Such concern may be more justified among thrifts that in fact are not substantial competitors in traditional commercial banking markets and that wish to retain their special character in emphasizing residential mortgage lending.

I have on a number of occasions, before this committee and elsewhere, noted my personal belief that specialized financial institutions have served this country well over time, and that the Federal Reserve could be supportive of some differences in regulatory, tax, and other approaches related to institutions that in fact choose to remain specialized home lenders. For example, one could explore the approach that if a thrift institution or thrift holding company maintains most of its assets in residential mortgages (including mortgage-backed securities), such an institution would maintain most of the attributes of a unitary savings and loan holding company, its current tax status, full access to Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) financing, branching intra- and interstate, and most service corporation powers. It might also be owned by a commercial company so long as the thrift operation was fully separated from the commercial interests and not operated in tandem, and provided it could exercise no greater powers than presently authorized. We would not recommend interlocks with full-scale investment banking houses because of the envisioned exposure to conflicts of interest and risk. As a matter of reference, about three-quarters of all savings and loans currently have 65 percent or more of their assets in residential mortgages and mortgage-backed securities, and two-thirds have that percentage in oneto four-family mortgages and related securities. Any test of the requisite degree of "specialization" and related regulatory treatment would also have to take account of the particular tradition of savings banks.

On the other hand, a thrift organization that of its own volition engaged in more diversified activities, including sizable amounts of commer-
cial lending and other business relationships, should presumably have to forgo the special provisions of law that are unavailable, as a matter of public policy, to banking organizations. We would envision that it would continue to be supervised by the Federal Home Loan Bank Board as proposed in the administration bill.

Such an approach, while needing refinement and closer examination, seems to me in keeping with the basic traditions of the thrift industry.

## FEDERAL-STATE BANKING AUTHORITIES

The administration bill does not deal with another difficult question-the proper scope of authority of the federal and state governments in regulating the nonbanking activities of banks. The problem arises from some recent state actions authorizing vastly enlarged powers for banks and their subsidiaries that are inconsistent with the comprehensive framework established by the Congress for regulating the conduct of nonbank activities by banking organizations.

The conflicting approaches are of recent origin. For many years, the parallel systemsfederal regulation of nonbanking activities through the Bank Holding Company Act and dual state-federal regulation of banking activi-ties-have worked tolerably well together; they afforded an element of useful experimentation and local adaptation so long as basic goals and approaches were commonly shared. In this context, the Board has facilitated the freedom of action for state authorities by adopting a rule that a bank holding company may conduct through a subsidiary any activity that a state bank could perform directly; in practice, state-authorized powers for banks did not go far beyond those permitted for nonbank subsidiaries by federal law. Our recent survey of the nonbank powers of banks under state law indicates that the great majority of states have remained conservative in their authorization of bank powers and have not gone beyond those provided in the Bank Holding Company Act.

Now, however, major inconsistencies have arisen between federal and state law because some-so far very few-of the states have authorized bank and thrift powers that are actually or potentially in sharp conflict with the framework
of powers for banking organizations established by the Congress. The Board is concerned that competition in financial markets, and competition between states for economic development, is in effect producing competition to establish a lax regulatory framework for banking organizations without taking account of the national issues at stake, and at the clear risk of undermining prudential standards.

Although I regret the need to take a step that would limit the freedom of action of states, it seems plain that the safety and soundness of the banking system is, in the end, a matter of national interest. The recent tendency of some states to act in a manner out of keeping with national concerns requires a response.

Specifically, institutions, whether federally or state chartered, that are full beneficiaries of the federal banking safety net should be subject to the minimum federal rules established because of the overriding national interest in safe and sound banks. What appears necessary is a provision in the new legislation setting some limits with respect to the ability of states to provide authority for a state-chartered institution to pursue activities within such an institution beyond the powers permitted to depository institutions and their holding companies under federal law. The moratorium bill that the Board has proposed also includes such a provision.

States, for instance, might experiment, as they have in the past, in areas that do not pose fundamental questions of safety and soundness and that are largely local in character. Moreover, states might be permitted discretion to authorize any banking and nonbanking activities for statechartered institutions or their subsidiaries that they deem to be desirable, provided that these activities may be performed only for customers resident in the authorizing state. Such arrangements would preserve local initiative, while assuring that interstate commerce was conducted within the framework for a safe and sound banking system that the Congress decides is most appropriate for the country as a whole.

## Interstate Banking

The administration bill also does not address another major question facing the American fi-
nancial system-the appropriate geographic limits for banking operations.

Despite the Douglas Amendment and the McFadden Act, we now have, de facto, a large measure of interstate banking in some product areas. With more than 7,000 interstate offices, some large banking organizations today are conducting interstate operations through a variety of avenues, including Edge Act subsidiaries, loan production offices, credit card operations, grandfathered holding companies, interstate acquisition of failing banks and thrifts, and a number of activities "closely related to banking" allowed under the Bank Holding Company Act-mortgage banking, personal loan companies, and others. And no counting of offices can illustrate the further penetration of interstate markets for deposits and loans made possible by the speed and economy of modern data processing, communications, and transportation.

But these developments are uneven and haphazard. In prohibiting brick and mortar presence across state lines for an ordinary range of personal banking services, present law forces banking services to be fragmented, even within many metropolitan areas, whether viewed from the perspective of the banking organization or its customers.

The end result is that risks may be increased; costs are higher than necessary, making competition less effective from the customer's perspective; and particular banking institutions are relatively advantaged or disadvantaged. To take one example of obvious anomalies, deposits can be and are now brokered across the country, with securities dealers and others acting as intermediaries through a network of local offices. But banks themselves cannot attract those deposits directly from local offices beyond their own state.

It is not surprising that the states themselves have begun to recognize the anomalies and have started to relax some of the restrictions allowed by the McFadden Act and Douglas Amendment. Four states have authorized interstate banking at least on a reciprocal basis; three New England states are authorizing regional, reciprocal entry; four other states have authorized out-of-state entry for some form of limited banking, such as credit card or wholesale banking operations; and
four states permit entry by certain grandfathered companies.
These state actions are constructive in breaking down outmoded barriers, but they also dramatically illustrate the haphazard and unequal development of interstate activity. A closely integrated economy requires and deserves more uniform rules in this important area. It is reasonable to ask whether rules that prohibit New York or St. Louis banking organizations from establishing offices across a river, but permit them to sell insurance in Arizona, serve a national purpose. Similar doubts arise about the logic of proposals that allow a bank in Providence, Rhode Island, to purchase a bank two states and 150 miles away in southern Vermont, but that a bank 30 miles away, in Albany, New York, be prohibited. We also have the anomaly of states welcoming foreign banks within their borders, while prohibiting entry of U.S. banks from neighboring states.

For want of any better rule to assure gradualism and to take state preferences into account in the evolution of interstate banking, regional compacts have had an appeal to some as a transitional device. We are concerned, however, about the implications for a kind of balkanization of the process that could discriminate against banking organizations in some states and, without serving a legitimate local purpose, limit the ability of banks wishing to sell or merge to find an appropriate partner. These concerns are already reflected in litigation that has been brought by interested parties to challenge the constitutionality of regional arrangements. I also have, for these reasons, reservations about the legislation proposed to provide authority in federal law for such arrangements in New England.

I have another concern about the impact of the rules prohibiting interstate banking. There is a natural tendency by those who are shut off from their natural avenues of expansion to divert entrepreneurial energies into other areas open to them. Undue restrictions on interstate banking in effect create an artificial incentive for banks to enter into nonbanking fields of activity. Over time the tendency would be to diminish the relative importance of the bank within a holding company structure, and management attention given the bank, which would ultimately weaken
the safety and soundness of the banking system itself.

At the same time, I recognize the traditional and historic concern about local control of banking, the importance of healthy community banks, and the dangers from excessive concentration of resources. Fortunately, we have a good deal of experience within large states about the ability of small banks to survive and prosper alongside relative giants-and for the good reason that they can operate efficiently and establish solid relations with local consumers and businesses. Over time, interstate banking would inevitably mean fewer banks and larger average size, but properly implemented and controlled I see no danger that the United States would be bereft of large numbers of smaller banks, or that, with appropriate safeguards, excessive concentration would become a problem.
There are a variety of possibilities for transitional and more permanent arrangements to help assure constructive results. For example, interstate banking might, at least initially, be confined to establishing separate legal entities in other states as part of a multibank holding company that would have to conform to state branching restrictions and to state law and supervision in other respects.
Similarly, there are a number of steps that can be taken to prevent excessive concentration of banking resources, to limit the ability of the largest banks to join together, to define the share of resources in a state or area that would be controlled by a single organization, as well as by other means.

In sum, a solution that accommodates the forces of technology and competition, while taking account of our public policy objectives of avoiding concentration of resources and maintaining a role for the states in regulating banking in their jurisdictions, is necessary. There have been numerous studies and recommendations over the years with regard to the proper balancing of federal and state interests in the geographic scope for banking operations.
What is necessary now is to find a consensus on a particular approach. We would be glad to assist your deliberations by providing, in more specific terms, a variety of approaches to balance the various considerations, and by working
with other banking agencies and other groups to that end.

## Interest on Demand Deposits and Reserves

Comments have also been requested on two additional issues of significance for monetary policy and competition among financial institutions. These issues concern the federal prohibition against the payment of interest on demand deposits and the payment of interest on reserve balances held by depository institutions with the Federal Reserve System.

As you know, the Depository Institutions Deregulation Committee (DIDC) recently recommended that depository institutions be permitted to pay interest on demand deposits. In approaching this question, it should be recognized that developments over a number of years have importantly undermined both the effectiveness and rationale of the prohibitions. These developments include (1) implicit interest payments on demand deposits through the provision of customer services without explicit charge or at fees below cost, (2) legislative and regulatory changes to permit explicit interest-bearing transaction accounts for nonbusiness customers that are legally distinct from demand deposits, although functionally the same, and (3) market development of close demand deposit substitutes that earn interest, such as money market mutual funds.

The cost implications for depository institutions as a result of authorizing the payment of interest on demand deposits should be manageable over time precisely because many transaction balances are already, directly or indirectly, earning a market rate of return. The material submitted to the committee by Secretary Regan on behalf of the DIDC on this point is consistent with our analysis.

The potential adverse earnings impact of inter-est-bearing demand deposits could be mitigated by requiring the payment of interest on required reserve balances held with the Federal Reserve Banks. As a general matter, the Board believes that the payment of a market-related interest rate on reserve balances would be desirable in light of both equity and monetary policy considerations.

Reserve requirements, while imposed for monetary policy purposes, also, from the viewpoint of the depository institution, represent a form of tax that falls unevenly across institutions providing comparable services. Interest on required reserves would remove this competitive distortion. In addition, such payments would work to discourage the incentives toward the development of transaction-type accounts outside the depository system, thus protecting the ability of the Federal Reserve to carry out monetary policy efficiently over time and tending to maintain the payments system within the basic framework of regulated depository institutions and the federal safety net.

At the same time, payment of explicit interest on demand deposits and reserve balances should be consistent with general considerations of efficiency in the allocation of economic resources and effective competition. Consequently, the Board supports action along these lines.

More specifically, bills have been introduced with the intent of requiring interest to be paid on a limited fraction of required reserves-those held against money market deposit accounts (MMDAs) and Super NOW accounts-accounts upon which depository institutions now pay market rates of interest. (Most MMDAs-those not held by businesses-have no reserve requirement.) Paying interest on reserve balances held against Super NOW accounts would remove one cost for depository institutions not borne by money market funds or other providers of a similar service and then tend to further equalize competitive opportunities. For a period of time, interpretation of the monetary aggregates, particularly M1, could be further complicated by causing savings funds now held in MMDAs or other forms to shift into Super NOW accounts, which is a component of M1. With interest paid on reserve balances, depositors would be able to receive as good a yield on Super NOW accounts as on MMDAs (taking into account service charges) and the former would also have the capacity for unlimited transfers by check. (Potential shifts of this kind could, of course, also be large if market interest rates are to be permitted on demand deposits.) However, that adverse effect is not, in our judgment, a compelling reason not to adopt the proposal, particularly in
circumstances in which it could be viewed as a transitional step toward payment of interest on demand deposits and related reserve balances.

Other things equal, paying interest on reserve balances generally would involve a drain on Treasury revenues. Thus, while the Board, as a matter of principle, favors payment of interest on all reserve balances, the question remains of an appropriate phase-in. This is, of course, inevitably related to the present budgetary position, but we do not believe that, over time, reserve requirements should be looked upon as a revenue measure. If banks and other depository institutions are to be specially taxed, such a decision should be made explicitly on grounds other than as a by-product of the role of reserve requirements as an instrument of monetary policy. Payment of interest only on reserves against Super NOW accounts and nonpersonal MMDAs, at present interest rates, is estimated to entail a net revenue loss of $\$ 125$ million a year initially, and the figure would rise over time as deregulation proceeds and these deposit forms become more important.

We believe that such a decision should imply a transition toward payment of interest on reserves more generally to avoid distortions among various types of transaction accounts. In that case, the costs would be substantially larger.

Finally, I would like to address a related point and remind the committee of the long-standing Board view that authority should be provided to apply reserve requirements to institutions that are not formally depository institutions (and thus are not covered by the prudential rules applicable to these institutions and their holding companies) but that do offer transaction accounts similar to those offered by banks. As long as these close substitutes for bank deposits are free from reserve requirements, they have a potential competitive advantage relative to bank deposits and, at times, they can complicate the task of conducting monetary policy.

In an environment in which Regulation $Q$ ceilings on deposits have been largely eliminated, such problems may not be as acute as they were in the 1981-82 period. Payment of interest on reserves would, as indicated, remove a remaining source of competitive distortion. At the same time, however, the process of financial
innovation could well produce still other instruments that will present new problems.

Thus, the Board believes it would be prudent to incorporate into the bill a provision whereby financial instruments issued by nonbank institu-
tions that have transaction or third-party payment powers would be subject to reserve requirements. The Board would not expect to use this authority unless conditions arose to demonstrate its necessity.

Statement by Preston Martin, Vice Chairman, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, before the Subcommittee on Housing and Urban Affairs of the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs, U.S. Senate, September 22, 1983.

I appreciate the opportunity to present my personal views on the general thrust of S. 1821 and S. 1822, bills that are designed to widen and deepen the secondary mortgage market of this nation. The committee knows the importance of enhancing the growth of this already enormous source of funding for housing. Fundamental involvement in housing finance has been, on balance, a successful public policy spanning five decades or more. I believe an important aspect of that success has been heavy reliance on private institutions and market processes. Permit me to admit my pride in my own involvement in the Federal Home Loan Bank System's expansion of its credit facilities in the 1970s as well as the part I played in the founding and initial operating policies of the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, with Chairman Thomas Bomar.

The very success of public policy in the utilization of market processes to further homeownership and residential financing provides the platform from which private market initiatives can and should now be launched. Thirteen years ago my associates and I came before this committee to advocate the establishment of a secondary market facility for conventional mortgage loans. Our plea then to Chairman Sparkman, Senator Proxmire, and others was to obtain authority to demonstrate the feasibility of the conventional mortgage-backed security, but we predicted at that time that the private market eventually could and would take over the function. I believe the time has now arrived for that transfer to be stimulated, while at the same time recognizing the outstanding accomplishments of both Fannie

Mae and Freddie Mac, and their present strong leadership. The ability of both of these organizations to finance at rates not far from those of Treasury securities of comparable maturity exemplifies the size, presence, and position they have attained in the capital markets. I am confident that both organizations would continue to compete effectively with stronger private rivals.
The conventional mortgage-backed security has proved its worth in the credit markets, even during the most recent recession that saw severely depressed housing conditions and falling house prices in some submarkets. Mortgage pools of high quality have been found repeatedly and recently to be safe investments, and these securities have involved credit-risk insurance and other arrangements to protect investors and maintain cash flow. It seems to me that the record supports the objectives and thrust of the legislation, which is the subject of this hearing.

The growth of secondary mortgage market activity, of course, has been very substantial since the late 1960s. Furthermore, the need for secondary market channels is likely to increase in the future, to the extent that some thrift institutions utilize the expanded asset powers recently provided to them by law and regulation. To better match the duration and interest rate sensitivity of assets with liabilities, some thrifts and other mortgage originators may move more and more mortgages to investors through the secondary markets. Of course, another constructive option open to them in this regard would be to promote and invest in more variable-rate mortgage instruments.

Pass-through securities are relatively new and effective secondary market vehicles that attract a wide variety of capital market investors into mortgage instruments. Since the early 1970s, the thrust of public policy has been to encourage development and growth of markets for securities guaranteed by federal agencies and federally
sponsored enterprises. By the middle of this year, pass-throughs guaranteed by the Government National Mortgage Association (GNMA), the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (FHLMC), or the Federal National Mortgage Association (FNMA) totaled $\$ 211$ billionequivalent to a sixth of all residential mortgage debt outstanding. GNMA securities, issued exclusively against pools of federally insured or guaranteed mortgages, account for two-thirds of all federally guaranteed pass-throughs outstanding. But the volume of FHLMC and FNMA securities, issued primarily against pools of conventional loans, has been expanding very rapidly since late 1981. The managements of those entities have helped to fill a mortgage credit gap during a critical financial adjustment period.

By contrast, development of markets for fully private mortgage pass-through securities-that is, securities without federal sponsorship issued against pools of conventional loans-has been quite modest. While a fair number of banks, thrift institutions, mortgage companies, insurance companies, and so-called conduit organizations have issued private pass-throughs, available estimates suggest that the total amount outstanding is less than $\$ 10$ billion.

In my judgment, it would be sound public policy to change laws and regulations, when appropriate, to encourage a broadening of the secondary mortgage market through more extensive involvement of the private sector. The President's Commission on Housing, on which I served as a member before being appointed to the Federal Reserve Board, identified a host of legal and regulatory impediments to development of the private mortgage securities markets in its 1982 report. As you noted when introducing S. 1821 and S. 1822, components of these legislative proposals attempt to crystalize Housing Commission recommendations for encouragement of private securities as well as to formalize the "TIMs" proposal that grew out of the Commission's work.

As you also noted when introducing this legislation, a comprehensive look at both private and public secondary market institutions is in order. It is obvious that federal policy toward the two sponsored enterprises, FNMA and FHLMC, will help determine the competitive position of pri-
vate mortgage-related securities in the conventional mortgage market and in the nation's capital markets. As a general principle, I am fully in favor of improving the efficiency of private financial markets and reducing reliance on the government's presence in the credit system, as long as these objectives can be achieved without seriously compromising other legitimate social and economic goals. As these hearings have amply demonstrated, difficult questions concerning the proper balance of public policy and tradeoffs of competing objectives inevitably arise in this context.

I will concentrate the balance of my remarks on two topics. First, the types of legal and regulatory adjustments appropriate to bolster development of the private securities marketsthe major focus of the legislation you have introduced. Second, possible government policy toward the federally sponsored secondary mortgage market enterprises. In the latter area, my comments necessarily will be broad and suggestive since no specific legislative proposals are extant.

## Private Mortgage-Related Securities

Laws and regulations that have unfairly disadvantaged the competitive position of private mortgage securities in our financial markets do not constitute good public policy, and should be modified. In this regard, I am talking about inadvertent, or unintended, constraints and obstacles for the issuer of private securities. At the Housing Commission, we determined that there have been a number of such constraints, sometimes caused by state or federal laws or regulations written long before mortgage-related securities were a significant market factor, or arising because of inadequate understanding by lawmakers or regulators of the nature of mortgage securities.

Some of these constraints recently have been alleviated by regulatory changes at the federal level, in line with Housing Commission recommendations. For example, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) has tailored some of its registration requirements to the special characteristics of both the private mortgage pass-
through securities and the issuers of these types of securities. At the Federal Reserve Board, we have amended Regulation T -which governs margin credit extended by brokers and dealers for the purpose of purchasing or carrying securi-ties-to specify that private mortgage-backed securities are eligible collateral for such credit. We also have tailored the Regulation T criterion concerning marketability of securities in margin accounts to fit special features of the mortgage instruments.

Some components of Title I of S. 1821 also constitute technical amendments designed to accommodate properly private mortgage securities. I am referring to such things as the removal of statutory limitations on investment in mort-gage-related securities by federally chartered financial institutions, leaving it up to the regulators to specify investment limits as well as factors relating to the diversity of underlying mortgage pools. The law for national banks, in effect, currently treats mortgage pass-through securities as obligations of the issuer or sponsor rather than as shares in pools of loans constituting the obligations of mortgage borrowers. The current treatment is a good example of law that does not recognize the true nature of mortgage pass-through securities.

Some components of Title I of S. 1821 obviously go beyond the types of technical adjustment to law and regulation I have been discussing and may involve some tradeoffs of policy objectives that need to be considered carefully. Caution should be exercised whenever federal or state laws or regulations, designed to protect savers, investors, or financial institutions, are amended or preempted in order to accommodate the development of a particular market.

It appears that investor protection conceivably could be compromised, for example, if the SEC exemption from registration currently available to financial institutions supervised and examined by state or federal regulators were extended to any mortgagee approved by the Department of Housing and Urban Development. The integrity of today's mortgage banking industry is not in question. But mortgage bankers basically are unregulated institutions, and consideration should be given to ways to set and monitor quality standards for mortgages "pooled." I
would hate to see isolated problems in the future undermine development of the private mortgage securities market.
The provision of S. 1821 that would involve federal preemption of state blue-sky and legalinvestment laws and regulations for all invest-ment-grade, mortgage-related securities, subject to reversal by the states within two years, raises further questions about investor protection as well as the interests of savers in state-chartered depository institutions, life insurance companies, and pension funds. As I understand the term, "investment grade" is not a particularly strict standard, and commonly is interpreted to cover the top four categories used by the nationally recognized rating firms-extending down to BBB under Standard and Poor's designations. Most public offerings of private mortgage passthrough securities, in fact, have been rated in the top two categories, and it may be questionable public policy to require the states to treat all investment grade issues as if they were Treasury or agency securities.

On a subject closer to the Federal Reserve, I would like to raise some questions about the provision that would grant authority for national banks to underwrite and deal in private mort-gage-related securities. The Federal Reserve has been concerned that underwriting private securities, particularly corporate bonds, could involve unusual elements of risk for banks and possibly could lead to conflicts of interest in the provision of credit. Though equivalent risks presumably would not arise in the pass-through securities markets-banks already have the authority to underwrite issues guaranteed by GNMA, FNMA, and FHLMC-it is an area that should receive some consideration in this legislative process.

Concerning S. 1822, a bill that would establish a new type of mortgage investment trust under the tax code, I can enthusiastically support the effort to create more flexible trust devices that would retain the flow-through federal income tax features critical to pass-through securities markets. The Housing Commission had discussed the need for new types of trust devices for issuers of mortgage-related securities, as alternatives to the so-called "grantor trust" currently used for virtually all pass-through issues. As I see it, the major objectives of the effort to
establish new trust devices should be to permit issuance of different classes of securities against a mortgage pool and to allow some degree of management of assets and cash flows by the trustee. Such features could be used to tailor issues to the maturity and cash-flow preferences of different types of investors and could result in more advantageous pricing of pool securitiesthus leading to lower mortgage rates for consumers. Thrift institution issuers, for example, would have the opportunity to market the longer-term classes and retain the shorter-term securities, aiding their adjustment of liabilities and assets into a better maturity balance.

I am not prepared at this time to testify on the many technical aspects in S. 1822. One thing missing in that bill, however, is reference either to quality standards for the TIM securities or to supervision of the trustees or managers of TIMs. At the Housing Commission, we considered the need for minimum quality criteria for new types of mortgage trust vehicles, in order to promote standardization in the private securities market and to obtain favorable treatment of securities by the Department of Labor (for private pension funds) and possibly by state regulators (for fiduciaries under their jurisdiction). I am concerned that creation of new types of mortgage investment trusts, which apparently could take a variety of forms (corporate or otherwise) under S. 1822, and which would permit trustees to actively manage the funds entrusted to them by individual investors, would create leeway for bad reinvestment decisions or even for abusive practices by trustees or managers. Such events, of course, could heavily damage all elements of the market for private mortgage pass-through securities.

It is difficult to specify, at this time, the type of supervisory structure within which TIMs ideally should operate. One possibility would be to require that TIMs be subject to the types of controls established for mutual funds registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940other entities with flow-through tax treatment under the Internal Revenue Code. Another possibility would be to involve federal agencies with considerable expertise in housing finance, such as the Federal Home Loan Bank Board, in the supervisory process.

Federally Sponsored Credit Agencies
The federally sponsored secondary market enterprises certainly have performed important functions quite well, introducing new types of secondary market instruments and developing channels between conventional mortgage borrowers and a wide range of capital market investors. A little over a decade ago, such channels were virtually nonexistent and, as you know, I was directly involved in the establishment of FHLMC as a secondary market facility for conventional mortgages. Both FNMA and FHLMC have done pathbreaking work by helping to standardize the conventional home mortgage instrument and by moving large amounts of passthrough securities issued against pools of such loans into a capital market unaccustomed to conventional pass-throughs.

We have now reached a point at which conventional mortgage documents are standardized nationally, mortgage pass-through securities are a familiar instrument in national financial markets, and the private mortgage insurance industry is capable of providing mortgage pool insurance necessary to secure high ratings for a large volume of conventional pass-throughs. Should we, therefore, conclude that the federally sponsored secondary market agencies have completed their job? Is it time to remove whatever legal and regulatory elements have been impeding development of the private market-the intent of S. 1821 and S. 1822-and at the same time sever the federal connections of FNMA and FHLMC?

As a general principle, it seems obvious to me that the use of federal enterprise status, and the special advantages that go with this status, should be reserved for those activities that are not, or cannot be, performed adequately by the private sector. It may be possible for the private sector to develop a large and efficient market for mortgage-related securities that would provide mortgage borrowers ready access to funds in the broad capital market structure at competitive prices-your legislative proposals are aimed in this direction. But as a former issuer of private mortgage-backed securities, it is not clear to me that fully private securities will be able to compete successfully, head to head, with federally guaranteed instruments, even if the legislative
package you have introduced should become law. To date, private securities have been successful mainly in the market space left by FNMA and FHLMC. Most issues have been private placements tailored to individual investors or public offerings issued against pools of loans larger than those that can be purchased by the federally sponsored enterprises.

Although it is possible to argue for a phasedown of the federal connections of FNMA and FHLMC-in concert with development of viable private sector alternatives-it should also be recognized that the federally sponsored enterprises can provide some public benefits that cannot be provided by private alternatives. In particular, these enterprises are in a position to funnel the benefits of their federal connections to mortgage borrowers. Thus, one policy option is to ensure that the benefits accrue to borrowers most in need of aid, as identified through the political process. This approach would seek to target the mortgage securities programs of FNMA and FHLMC, in terms of criteria such as maximum loan size or borrower income.

If the Congress decides that privatization of one or both of the sponsored enterprises is the appropriate policy objective over the long term, some transition problems will have to be faced. For one thing, the rights of holders of outstanding stock, debt, and guaranteed pass-through securities would have to be protected. And, of course, it would be unwise to sever federal
connections unless the sponsored enterprises could function effectively on their own. The Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation currently is in a healthy financial condition. But more difficult transition issues would be raised with the Federal National Mortgage Association because of its large portfolio of mortgages having interest rates below current market levels.

As a final point, I would like to remind the subcommittee that housing finance is likely to be the first casualty in any future "crowding out" of private financing occasioned by the huge structural federal deficits that are on the horizon. It would be unfortunate, indeed, if this problem were compounded by inefficient market mechanisms. As pointed out in The Report of the President's Commission on Housing:

Since the mid-1960s, the ability of the housing finance system to meet the needs of borrowers has deteriorated markedly on several occasions, and this system currently is in a serious state of disrepair. The volume of residential mortgage lending naturally reflects changes in financial market conditions because the sensitivity of demand for mortgage credit to changes in interest rates is high relative to interest rate sensitivity in other major sectors of the economy. However, the increasingly wide swings in residential mortgage and housing construction activity also are traceable to structural shortcomings in the housing finance system. ${ }^{1}$

1. The Report of the President's Commission on Housing
(Government Printing Office, 1982), p. 116 .

Statement by Preston Martin, Vice Chairman, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, before the Subcommittee on Consumer Affairs of the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs, U.S. Senate, September 28, 1983.

I am pleased to appear before this subcommittee to present the views of the Federal Reserve Board on S. 573-the "Fair Deposit Availability Act of 1983." This bill addresses the practice of depository institutions prohibiting a depositor from withdrawing, for some period, funds represented by a newly deposited check. This is often referred to as "delayed availability." The bill
requires disclosure of an institution's policy regarding delayed availability, calculation of interest from the time the institution receives provisional credit for a check deposited into an interest-bearing account, use of standard endorsement procedures, and prompt notification of a decision not to pay because of insufficient funds or other reasons.

Although the Board's surveys of consumers and the recording of consumer complaints do not indicate that a majority of consumers have frequent problems with delayed availability, our information does not indicate that the problems are in any way trivial. The problems caused by delayed availability range from minor inconve-
nience, to service charges for checks written before deposits are deemed "good," to hardships caused by the depositor's inability to use needed funds. Two states, New York and California, have already passed legislation on the subject. These laws, which go further than S. 573, not only require the disclosure of "hold" policies, but also direct state officials to establish, by regulation, what constitutes reasonable delays under different circumstances.

I have changed residence enough to understand the concerns that have been expressed about certain delayed funds availability policies, and am sympathetic to the problems that customers, particularly new depositors, can experience. As I see it, there are two situations giving rise to problems-those instances in which the practice is not disclosed in advance, and those times when an institution's policy can be construed as so inflexible or unreasonable that it imposes an undue hardship on its customers.

In considering the question of delayed funds availability, it is important, however, to recognize that the practice in some form is inherent within the structure of our check payment system. Since the passage of the Monetary Control Act of 1980 there has been considerable impetus within the financial industry to arrive at greater efficiency in the collection of checks. Notwithstanding this progress, it still takes up to two days for an institution to receive provisional credit for a check. In addition to this delay, it may take several days longer for a check that is not honored by the paying institution to be returned. Without going into the details of that system, which are outlined in attachment A, these delays give rise to the argument that institutions are exposed to risk of loss in releasing funds before allowing for the time for an unpaid check to be returned. ${ }^{1}$ As long as the payments system involves the movement of paper checks from one point to another, there will be delays in the check collection process that may justify an institution delaying availability of funds to some depositors on some items to protect against such risks.

[^3]In conjunction with our responsibilities under the Monetary Control Act, the Federal Reserve has made a number of operational changes in our check collection operations, several of which offer the promise of accelerating collection. These include improving our transportation system to speed the physical movement of checks and establishing later hours during which institutions can deposit checks for collection and for us to present them for payment. We have also proposed a program to accelerate collection of checks drawn on institutions with high dollar volume located in cities remote from a Federal Reserve check processing office. This proposal would prevent the delays in collection arising from shifts in check clearing volume away from institutions located in cities with a Federal Reserve check processing office. Although it is our belief that these new procedures can greatly benefit the efficiency of checks as a payments mechanism, it is too early to tell the extent to which these changes will positively affect industry practices in regard to delayed funds availability.

Though I believe there is some justification for some of the practice of delayed availability, I also believe that a need exists for financial industry action and for additional operational improvements, which could alleviate much of the problem. Specifically, I am encouraged by a recent call by industry groups, such as the American Bankers Association, for voluntary action on the delayed funds issue by their members. The president of the ABA has written all member banks urging a written policy concerning delayed funds availability and disclosure of that policy to customers. In addition, the ABA has provided institutions with a model policy and disclosure form.

As an example of possible additional operational improvements now being pursued, we are pleased with the early results of a pilot program of the Dallas Federal Reserve Bank with regard to processing returned checks. This pilot program will help to determine the feasibility of establishing a nationwide service for the direct return of unpaid checks to the institution of first deposit, thereby shortening the chain of institutions in the return process and accelerating funds availability. A nationwide system for prompt return of checks would provide a framework for
institutions to provide faster funds availability to their customers.

## DISCLOSURE

There are two reasons for promoting greater disclosure of funds availability policies. The first is simply the fairness of alerting the public to practices that may affect it adversely. The second is the impact that disclosure can have on the practice itself. As institution management formalizes its policies and prepares disclosures, it is likely to reexamine the necessity and reasonableness of existing practices.

Of course, the reasonableness of a particular institution's policy with respect to delaying availability is difficult to determine. Many factors go into an institution's or a bank employee's decision to delay availability and the length of the delay. Some institutions with short or no delays compensate for their risk of loss through increased service charges. Some institutions may establish blanket hold policies for certain categories of checks as the most operationally efficient hold policy. Other institutions may place holds on an individual basis, a more costly, but also generally a more equitable, procedure. Whether or not an institution's policy can be considered reasonable is best left up to its customers and to the discipline of the marketplace. But this requires that customers be informed about their institution's policy. Without disclosure by management, customers will not be able to judge the reasonableness of policies, and competition in the marketplace will not have an impact on the decisions of individual institutions.

In contemplation of the recent action by the ABA, and in order to provide a benchmark for measuring the effectiveness of this effort, the Board conducted a survey of consumers in March of this year to determine the level of consumer awareness of their institution's policy and the incidence of consumer problems with delayed availability. We plan to conduct a similar survey next year to measure any increase in awareness as a result of the ABA's suggestion. In addition, we are considering conducting a survey of financial institutions sometime next year to determine the number of institutions that
are, in fact, disclosing their policies. The information from these surveys will be valuable in determining whether the banking industry has responded to the challenge of voluntarily dealing with the issue and whether disclosures, if made, result in an improvement in consumer awareness.

Of course, the problem of delayed funds is not limited to commercial banks. It involves all types of institutions, including credit unions, savings banks, savings and loan associations, and money market mutual funds. The effectiveness of a voluntary disclosure program will ultimately depend on the willingness of other industry groups and associations to encourage their members also to make voluntary disclosures. It would be our hope and it has been our advice to the industry that they pursue their efforts with all due speed. If successful, these efforts will be the most effective answer for customers in allowing them to determine whether their institution's policies are reasonable and, if not, allowing them to take action to avoid problems.

## Federal Reserve Program

The Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas is currently conducting a pilot program to test the feasibility of the Federal Reserve System returning a dishonored check directly to the bank of first deposit rather than back through each step in the initial collection route. This program includes having the Federal Reserve provide wire notice to the last endorsing institution of nonpayment of checks in the amount of $\$ 2,500$ or more during the first phase of the pilot, and in a later phase directly to the institution of first deposit. Direct returns could provide the framework for enabling depository institutions to provide faster availability to their customers.

The Dallas project is, however, currently limited to providing direct return of certain checks originally collected through the Dallas Reserve Bank to certain banks in the Dallas Federal Reserve District and there may be operational and legal obstacles to expanding the pilot program further. For example, five states and the District of Columbia do not permit the direct return of checks. The Federal Reserve is contacting the appropriate officials in those states to
explore the possibility of stimulating changes in their laws to permit direct returns. If the results of the Dallas pilot program demonstrate that direct returns will enhance payments mechanism efficiency and these state laws continue to be an obstacle, federal legislation could be appropriate to enable direct returns to be implemented on a nationwide basis.

Even if a nationwide system of direct returns can successfully be implemented, it is still somewhat unclear whether such a system would automatically result in better availability for the institution's customers. For example, if a direct return approach is to be effective in providing a framework for improved funds availability, it may have to be universal. That is, even though some or even most returned checks are sent back directly and quickly, if others are not, the institution of first deposit will not know in advance which items will be returned directly and which will be returned by the present indirect, timeconsuming manner. As a result, institutions may be reluctant to provide the earlier availability that the direct return concept may facilitate. Because of this potential problem, an incentive may need to be established for institutions to use the direct return system or some method instituted to minimize the risk of loss to the institution of first deposit. Although many of the issues of operational and practical feasibility of direct returns are still unknown, the Dallas pilot program should provide a great deal of information that will be useful in providing answers to questions on delayed funds availability.

## CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the Board is very sympathetic to the need for disclosures by institutions to their customers. However, the industry is currently
involved in efforts to accomplish this, and we think the voluntary industry action should be given a chance to work. We know from experience that disclosure laws are easy to conceptualize, but far more difficult to implement; and, to the extent laws like this may impose unnecessary costs by forcing industry activity into a few approved formats, the customer may ultimately be the loser.

In the next year we will be gaining additional experience with our pilot project to speed up the return item processing, and will be in a better position to gauge the extent to which this program may ultimately reduce the delayed funds problem. Perhaps a federal disclosure law will ultimately be necessary, but given the industry's recent first step toward self-correction of the problem and this pilot program, until an assessment can be made of their effectiveness, we suggest that the Congress defer adopting formal legislation.

The delayed funds problem should diminish as customers become more familiar with alternative forms of payments other than checks. Many payments, especially those that recur regularly such as salary, dividends, and Social Security, can be received through automated clearinghouses, and others can be handled as wire transfers. We believe that electronic payments represent a more efficient, faster, and more reliable means of payment than paper transfers. We just published for comment several proposed enhancements to the automated clearinghouse service and are inviting the public to comment on how we can improve this service further. In essence, electronic payments are the only real solution to the problem of delayed availability. The Federal Reserve continues to be commit-ted-as it has been in the past-to promoting the efficiency of the nation's payments mechanism through the development of electronic payments.

> Statement by Henry C. Wallich, Member, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, before the Subcommittee on Domestic Monetary Policy of the Committee on Banking, Finance and Urban Affairs, U.S. House of Representatives, October 5, 1983.

It is my pleasure to appear before this subcommittee to discuss the problems of the strong dollar.

The strength of the dollar over the past three years has been truly impressive. The dollar appreciated 46 percent from the fourth quarter of

1980 to September 1983 against a weighted average of the currencies of the other major industrial countries. Against the German mark the appreciation amounted to 40 percent; against the yen, 13 percent; against sterling, 59 percent; and against the French franc, 83 percent.

After making allowance for inflation differentials, the rise is somewhat smaller, because inflation in the United States over this period was less than that abroad. But even on an inflationadjusted ('real') basis, the dollar's appreciation was still a very substantial 40 percent. Its real value is currently some 27 percent above its average value over the entire floating-rate period since March 1973. This type of calculation is sometimes used to measure the degree of "overvaluation" of the dollar on the grounds of current purchasing power parity. Of course, a different base period would lead to a different degree of overvaluation.

How, then, do we explain this extraordinary strength of the dollar? There does not appear to be any single, simple explanation. Broadly speaking, the economic factors that influence exchange rates can be grouped under three categories: (1) inflation, (2) interest rates and rates of return, and (3) current account deficits and surpluses.

High inflation works against a currency, and probably much more forcefully than in proportion to changes in relative purchasing power. By the same token, the sharp drop in inflation and inflation expectations that has occurred in the United States can be assumed to have contributed substantially to the strength of the dollar. The extent to which this has occurred is not measurable, but I do not doubt that an important change has occurred in international perceptions of the dollar as an asset worth holding.

As regards interest rate differentials, distinguishing between nominal and real interest rates is important. Using real rates implies making allowance, as already noted, for the effect of inflation on the relative purchasing power of currencies. To the extent that currencies are expected to move in proportion to purchasing power changes, it is real interest rates, which already embody these expectations, that are relevant to analyzing exchange rate movements. As the chart attached to my statement shows, there
has been a broad association of the real interest differential between dollar and foreign currency assets and the dollar's real exchange value over the floating-rate period. ${ }^{1}$ (I should note that any measurement of real interest rates is necessarily only an approximation because the concept of real interest rates involves expectations of future inflation, which cannot be measured directly.)

The real interest differential moved from nearly 1 percent against the dollar in October 1980 to more than 4 percent in favor of the dollar in August 1983. Unless such a shift were offset by a shift in exchange rate expectations, it would result in an attempt by asset holders to reallocate their existing portfolios toward dollar assets and away from foreign currency assets. Similarly, new world savings would be allocated more toward dollar investments.

It seems quite plausible that a large shift in real interest differentials in favor of the dollar should result in a large appreciation of the dollar. And it is quite plausible that the present high level of real interest rates in the United States, and thus the high interest rate differential, is mainly due to massive budget deficits (actual and expected) in the United States.

There may be a contributing factor tending to push up U.S. real interest rates, namely, an increase in the prospective profitability of real investment in the United States. There are some indications that this may have occurred. Changes in tax laws have helped to raise the return on capital. The discounted value of future profits of U.S. corporations, as represented in stock prices (Standard \& Poor's index of 425 industrials) has surged some 60 percent since August 1982. Indeed, over the past year or so the profit outlook, reflected in relative movements of stock and bond prices, appears to have improved more in the United States than in any other industrial country, with the exception of Canada. Other evidence lending support to the increased profitability of real investment in the United States is the reported increase of one-third in profits from current production (economic profits) in the gross national product accounts from the fourth

[^4]quarter of 1982 to the second quarter of this year. And business fixed investment is significantly higher in this recovery than had been predicted, given the level of real interest rates and the degree of capacity utilization. This profit picture stands against the background of the decline in profitability from the late 1960s through the 1970s.
This apparent increase in the profitability of real investment may reflect the effects of new technology, for example, the computer revolution, in which the United States leads. I believe that the apparent increase in profitability also reflects the beneficial effects, despite the painful transition, of moving from high inflation to low inflation. In any event, it appears plausible that foreigners should demand the currency of a country with increased profitability of real investment. This is not to downplay, however, the role of U.S. budget deficits in raising real U.S. interest rates. In my view the deficits are by far the main factor.

Recent current account developments seem to have played little role in the behavior of the dollar. The current account was only in small surplus in 1980-81 and has deteriorated sharply since mid-1982. The factors of strength in the exchange rate picture seem to have predominated over this factor of weakness.

There are also more special reasons for the dollar's strength over the past three years, however. The presence of actual and threatened political instability in many parts of the world, both in industrial and developing countries, has undoubtedly been a significant factor in foreign demand for dollars. And the United States is the "preferred habitat" of flight capital from Latin America. A final factor that should be mentioned is the international debt problem, which also, at least initially, tended to strengthen the dollar.

Given the presence of conflicting forces, predicting the future exchange value of the dollar is bound to be a hazardous undertaking. Some observers have thought that the dollar would decline with the development this year of massive U.S. balance of payments deficits on current account, especially since the 1984 deficit is expected to be even greater. Certainly these deficits would tend to cause the dollar to depreciate. But the exchange market, so far, has shrugged off the already large decline and the prospects, as
of today, of further widening of the current account deficit. So long as investor demand for net dollar assets at existing exchange rates continues to be strong, the negative effect of the current account may be offset. The key question then is, when are those factors that have led to such a great demand for dollar assets-real interest differentials, political uncertainties, and the international debt situation-going to change? I am afraid that I do not possess any special powers of prognostication on these points. Clearly, action by the Congress and the administration significantly to reduce the budget deficit could have a substantial impact on real interest rates, and a decline in these rates would be widely expected to contribute to a depreciation of the dollar. In a similar vein, the assurance of adequate availability of official financing to those developing countries willing to pursue responsible adjustment policies might also tend to reduce the demand for dollars and thus mitigate upward pressures on our currency.

The subcommittee has asked me to address the question of the impact of the dollar's appreciation on various aspects of the domestic economy. In this connection, it is important to bear in mind that, given the major determinants of exchange rates mentioned above, changes in rates are likely to be responses to changes in those determinants, which, in turn, respond to a variety of events. These responses feed through the economic system via various channels, some of which, such as exchange rates and interest rates, can change very quickly, which then affects other economic variables that change more slowly, such as GNP, employment, and the general price level.

The Board staff has simulated the impact on the domestic economy of the appreciation of the dollar. Let me stress that these estimates should be treated with great caution. First, they are necessarily dependent upon the particular model being employed. Second, they represent only the effects of an assumed exogenous appreciation of the dollar, not the full effects of any policy change, such as tax cuts, that may have led to this appreciation. The overall effect of the tax cuts on GNP and employment, for example, may well have been positive, even after allowing for any negative effects of the dollar appreciation.

The Board staff estimates that the effect of the
dollar's real appreciation since the fourth quarter of 1980 , which was near the low point for the dollar, has led, by mid-1983, to a fall of 14 percent in the volume of U.S. merchandise exports and a rise of 15 percent in the volume of merchandise imports. In nominal terms, the effects were a decline of $\$ 50$ billion (annual rate) in exports and a decline of $\$ 10$ billion in the value of U.S. imports, with decreases in the dollar prices of imports more than offsetting volume increases. The effects of the dollar's appreciation are still working themselves out, and it is estimated that the full effect on the trade balance will reach about $\$ 50$ billion (annual rate) by 1985. These estimates take into account the stimulative effect of the dollar's appreciation on income and prices abroad, as well as the opposite impact at home.

In these estimates, the effect on the U.S. consumer price level by mid-1983 is to lower it about $41 / 2$ percent below the level it would otherwise have reached-reducing the inflation rate about $11 / 2$ percent per year over this period. The levels of real GNP and employment are estimated to be lower by 1 percent and by 1 million respectively at mid-1983, but these effects are transitory and would be completely reversed by early 1985. The latter occurs because the initial negative impact on GNP and the price level induces a decline in interest rates that stimulates business investment and housing expenditure. When these effects have fully run their course, then, the level of GNP and total employment would show little net change, but employment in the traded goods sector would be lower by about 2 million and higher by the same amount in the nontraded sector, which is largely services. That is, about 2 million jobs would have been shifted from the traded goods to the nontraded goods sector. The regional impacts of such adjustments could be severe.

The subcommittee has requested comment on the question of how the dollar's exchange value could be reduced, or indeed whether it should be. It is in the nature of a smoothly functioning international monetary system that exchange rates should be determined by market forces. However, we must recognize also that some of the market forces that have driven up the dollar are of our own making-in particular, the large budget deficit and ensuing high real interest
rates. Probably the most desirable policy action that could be taken, therefore, would be a sharp reduction in the budget deficit. This policy action would tend to reduce real interest rates, which in turn would tend to reduce the dollar's exchange value, improve the current account, and increase investment. This action on the budget therefore would have beneficial results in many directions quite aside from the exchange market, including reducing inflation and expectations of inflation.

Other means of seeking to influence the exchange rate are questionable. Intervention in the exchange market, if sterilized, as U.S. intervention routinely is, would have only limited effects, unless undertaken on an enormous scale. This was the conclusion I drew from the report of the Working Group on Exchange Market Intervention. There have, in fact, been significant net intervention sales by foreign central banks, amounting to $\$ 80$ billion over the past three years. Intervention involving sales of dollars that was not sterilized would imply a change in our monetary policy in an inflationary direction. Clearly it would be highly undesirable to seek to depress the dollar by regenerating inflationary expectations.

As you know, the United States has intervened in only a minimal fashion since March 1981. We have basically sought to counter only the most severe instances of short-run disorder in exchange markets. Some have suggested intervention on a somewhat greater scale. The Federal Reserve would not object to this in certain circumstances, but we would not ordinarily expect the results on the dollar's exchange value to be large or long-lasting, in the absence of other more fundamental policy changes. The Federal Reserve routinely sterilizes the effects of U.S. and foreign dollar intervention on the reserve position of the U.S. banking system. Analytically, intervention sales of dollars may tend to put upward pressure on U.S. interest rates, when the monetary effects are sterilized, because more dollar securities would then have to be held by the public. But such operations would, in effect, offset the downward pressure on interest rates that arose from an initial excess demand for dollars that caused the dollar to appreciate.

Selective controls on external receipts and payments are undesirable. Historically they have been ineffective because there are usually so
many alternative methods of accomplishing the same type of transactions. For a currency so widely held around the world and so widely traded in foreign markets as the dollar, controls are totally impracticable.

To conclude on this question, the present position of the U.S. balance of payments, and even more the prospective further increase in the current account deficit, in my personal view, is highly undesirable. It puts the United States in the unnatural position of borrowing on an unprecedented scale from the rest of the world. It tends to drive up real interest rates abroad, hampering recovery and making the debt burden of developing countries harder to bear. Putting very large amounts of dollars in foreign hands that may not be willing to hold dollars indefinitely, though they are eager to acquire them now, creates the danger, although in no way the certainty, of a future excessive drop of the dollar.

To the extent that the abnormal strength of the dollar is the result of the budget deficit, a reduction of the budget deficit seems to be the most appropriate remedy. In my view, that is very predominantly the case. On the other hand, to the extent that foreigners' purchases of dollar assets reflect a higher profitability of real investment in the United States, and to the extent that this situation should persist, strong demand for the dollar may be perpetuated and the economy probably would have to adapt and in consequence shift resources from the export sector to production for the domestic market.

Technically, it would also be possible to conduct monetary policy with a view toward influencing the exchange rate. Some central banks follow, from time to time, this kind of policy. For the United States, however, this would have the serious drawback of having to compromise our present anti-inflation policy. For instance, in order to achieve a lower level for the dollar, it would be necessary to take domestic action to expand the money supply and so lower, temporarily, interest rates. Pursued for any length of time, such action would be inflationary and have a reverse (and perverse) effect on interest rates. That is not to say that we should not consider the value of the dollar, along with other variables, in setting our monetary targets. I would note that it would be unwise, of course, to seek stability of
exchange rates with respect to currencies that are themselves inflating. Against such currencies the dollar should appreciate over time. It also follows that the better we do on inflation, the less we need worry about the high exchange rate.

In light of present uncertain prospects, a word on the longer-run outlook for U.S. export markets seems appropriate. With the reconstruction and expansion of industrial capacity in foreign economies since World War II, the United States has shifted away from having a net export position during the late 1940s in virtually all categories of goods-agricultural products, capital goods, automotive products, consumer goods other than foods, and autos. The shift has been toward developing net export positions in products that are land-intensive, skill-intensive, and technologically advanced-such as agricultural products, capital goods, chemicals, and military equipment-while developing net import positions in fuels and in manufactures that can only be produced profitability at relatively lower real wage rates. This trend toward a greater degree of international specialization has on balance contributed to the growth of U.S. productivity and income.

Since the beginning of 1981, the U.S. net export position has declined in virtually all categories of goods except fuels and military equipment. Part of these declines are related to the appreciation of the dollar against the currencies of other industrial countries, part are related to the financing problems and adjustment measures of some important developing countries, and part to timing differences in the international business cycle. It is undeniable that severe costs have been imposed by these developments on firms and workers in export and import-competing industries. It also appears to be the case, however, that those sectors facing the greatest difficulties in competing with imports are industries that currently are paying relatively high wages. Such sectors can only profitably compete in the longer run by paying lower real wages or by receiving protection from imports in a manner that allows them to pass along their higher costs in higher prices for consumers or industrial users. Moreover, over time the rise in net imports of products that the United States produces relatively inefficiently has been counterpart to the growth
in net exports of comparatively advantageous products. Protection of inefficient industries is likely to restrict the growth of these efficient industries. The United States must continue to
resist pressures for import protection and to fight against foreign import restrictions or export sub-sidies-particularly those that impede our comparatively advantageous export sectors.

## Announcements

## Fee Schedules for Securities Safekeeping and Noncash Collection SERVICES

The Federal Reserve Board has approved fee schedules for definitive securities safekeeping and noncash collection services, effective October $27,1983$.

Both definitive securities safekeeping and noncash collection are components of the Federal Reserve's securities service. Definitive securities safekeeping consists of vault storage, primarily of municipal and corporate securities. Noncash collection provides a payments mechanism designed to collect items that cannot be processed through normal check collection channels. These two services are interrelated as a large portion of bonds and coupons collected by the Federal Reserve Banks are derived from securities held by them in safekeeping. In accordance with the Monetary Control Act, the

Federal Reserve began pricing these services in October 1981.

In June 1983, the Board proposed, for public comment, revisions of Federal Reserve fee schedules for definitive securities safekeeping and noncash collection services. Following review of public comment and further staff analysis, the Board approved the proposed changes to the fee structures. Some of the prices proposed in June were modified.

The revisions to the definitive securities safekeeping service include-as proposed-the elimination of the account switch and bond redemption fees and a differentiation in account maintenance fees based on the number of receipts or issues held in an account.

The changes to the noncash collection service include adding an out-of-district component to the coupon collection fee and converting the bond collection charge from a per-item to a pertransaction fee. The out-of-district fee is a sur-

Fee schedules for definitive securities safekeeping and noncash collection services
Dollars

| District | Definitive safekeeping |  |  |  |  | Noncash collection |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Deposits (per transaction) | Withdrawals (per transaction) | Maintenance ${ }^{1}$ per receipt |  | Purchases and sales (per transaction) | Bond collection (per transaction) | Local coupon (per envelope) | InterDistrict coupon (per envelope) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \$ 1,000 \\ & \text { coupon } \\ & \text { value } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  | 1-400 | 400 or more |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boston. | 12.50 | 12.50 | 2.80 | 2.10 | 15.00 | 12.50 | 2.00 | 2.55 | 1.00 |
| New York. | 35.50 | 35.50 | 5.35 | 4.75 | 23.00 | 35.50 | 2.50 | 2.75 | . 50 |
| Philadelphia | 15.00 | 15.00 | 3.00 | 2.00 | 19.00 | 15.00 | $2.90{ }^{2}$ | 2.55 | 1.00 |
| Cleveland. . | 15.00 | 15.00 | 2.25 | 1.75 | 25.00 | 15.00 | 3.00 | 2.50 | . 50 |
| Richmond | 15.00 | 15.00 | 1.50 | 1.00 | 20.00 | 20.00 | 2.00 | 2.50 | 1.00 |
| Atlanta. | ${ }^{(3)}$ | (3) | (3) | ${ }^{(3)}$ |  | 7.50 | 1.40 | 2.55 | . 75 |
| Chicago | 11.00 | 11.00 | 3.00 | 2.75 | 19.00 | 11.00 | 2.50 | 2.75 | . 70 |
| Detroit | 11.00 | 11.00 | 2.00 | 1.75 | 19.00 | 11.00 | 2.50 | 2.60 | 1.00 |
| St. Louis | 8.00 | 8.00 | 1.25 | . 90 |  | 10.00 | 2.00 | 2.35 | . 75 |
| Minneapolis | 8.00 | 8.00 | 1.40 | . 75 | 10.00 | 8.00 | 2.50 | 2.70 | . 60 |
| Kansas City | 15.00 | 15.00 | 1.50 | 1.25 | 20.00 | 15.00 | $3.20{ }^{4}$ | 2.50 | 1.00 |
| Dallas..... | 10.00 | 10.00 | 2.75 | 2.50 | 26.50 | 15.00 | 2.10 | 2.55 | 1.00 |
| San Francisco | . . . | . . . | . . . |  | 23.50 | 35.50 | 4.00 |  | 1.00 |

[^5][^6]charge for coupons payable outside the Federal Reserve District in which they are deposited for collection.

## Regulation Q: Amendment

The Federal Reserve Board has amended its Regulation Q (Interest on Deposits) effective October 1, 1983, to incorporate rules relating to the payment of interest on deposits adopted by the Depository Institutions Deregulation Committee (DIDC).

The DIDC was established by the Depository Institutions Deregulation Act of 1980, which transferred to the committee the authority of the Board (and similar authority of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation and the Federal Home Loan Bank Board) to prescribe interest rate ceilings and other rules relating to the payment of interest on deposits.

The technical amendments to Regulation Q effectuate DIDC actions abolishing ceiling interest rates on most time accounts. The resulting interest rate structure for commercial banks, including member banks, is the following:

The Board also revised its Regulation Q to incorporate DIDC actions effective October 1 , 1983, that reduce penalties for early withdrawals from contracts entered into, renewed, or extended on or after October 1, 1983, according to the following schedule:

For time deposits of 7 to 31 days, a depositor must forfeit an amount at least equal to the greater of (1) all interest earned on the amount withdrawn during the term of the deposit; or (2) all interest that could have been earned on the amount withdrawn in half of the maturity or notice period.

For time deposits of between 32 days and one year, a depositor must forfeit an amount at least equal to one month's interest earned, or that could have been earned, on the amount withdrawn at the simple interest rate being paid on the deposit, however long the funds withdrawn had been on deposit.

For a time deposit of more than one year, a depositor must forfeit an amount at least equal to three months' interest earned, or that could have been earned, on the amount withdrawn at the simple interest rate being paid on the deposit,

| Account | Interest rate ceiling (percent) | Required minimum deposit (dollars) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All time deposits of more than 31 days | None | None |
| Money market deposit accounts | None | 2,500 |
| Ceiling-free NOW accounts | None | 2,500 |
| Time deposits of 7 to 31 days | Nonc | 2,500 |
| Time deposits of 7 to 31 days | $51 / 4$ | 0-2,499 |
| Passbook savings | 51/4 | None |
| NOW accounts | $51 / 4$ | 0-2,499 |

1. Member banks may continue to issue to governmental units time deposits of less than $\$ 2,500$ with maturities or required notice periods of 7 to 31 days, subject to the previous ceiling of 8 percent in effect for such deposits.
however long the funds withdrawn had been on deposit.

## Regulation D: Amendment

The Federal Reserve Board has announced an amendment to Regulation D (Reserve Requirements of Depository Institutions) modifying reserve requirements on nonpersonal time deposits, effective October 6, 1983.

Under the amendment, nonpersonal time deposits with original maturities of $11 / 2$ years or more will have no required reserve. ${ }^{1}$ Nonpersonal time deposits with original maturities of less than $11 / 2$ years will continue to be subject to a reserve requirement of 3 percent.

The existing reserve requirement for nonpersonal time accounts with original maturities of less than $2 \frac{1}{2}$ years is 3 percent. The Board amended the rule in connection with action by the Depository Institutions Deregulation Committee (DIDC) freeing most time deposits from interest rate ceilings effective October 1.
Nonpersonal time deposits are defined by the Monetary Control Act as time deposits that are transferable, regardless of the nature of the holder, and time deposits in which any beneficial interest is held by a depositor that is not a natural person.
The Board also amended Regulation D to lower the minimum maturity for all time deposits to 7 days, effective October 1. At present, time deposits are required to have minimum maturities of 14 days, except for deposits issued under

[^7]the category of 7- to 31-day accounts established by DIDC in September 1982. This action was taken in connection with actions by DIDC effective October 1, 1983, permitting the 7 - to 31 -day account to be issued as a negotiable instrument.

The Board's official notice of its actions will be issued shortly.

## Proposed ACTIONS

The Federal Reserve Board has announced that it is proposing to amend its Regulation J (Collection of Checks and Other Items and Wire Transfers of Funds) to permit Reserve Banks to charge for checks that would be presented for collection to a bank or other depository institution that is closed on a weekday during which the Reserve Bank is open. The Board requested comment by October 14, 1983.

The Federal Reserve Board has also requested comment on a revised and restructured fee schedule for services supplied by Federal Reserve Banks to automated clearinghouses (ACHs-computerized facilities for sorting and settling electronically originated payments).

The Board also requested comment on a number of proposed enhancements for Federal Reserve ACH services and on the reduction and pricing of float generated in connection with ACH transactions. All comments should be received by November 7, 1983.

## Supplement to OTC Stock List

The Federal Reserve Board has published a supplement to its list of over-the-counter (OTC) stocks that are subject to its margin regulations, effective October 17, 1983. The supplement should be used in conjunction with the list issued on June 20, 1983. Changes that have been made in the list, which now includes 1,740 OTC stocks, are as follows: 164 stocks have been included for the first time; 30 stocks previously on the list have been removed for substantially failing to meet the requirements for continued listing; and 44 stocks have been removed for reasons such as listing on a national securities exchange or acquisition of the companies by another firm.
The Board monitors the market activity of all

OTC stocks to determine which stocks meet the requirements for inclusion and continued inclusion on the list of OTC margin stocks and periodically revises the list.

## MEETING of CONSUMER ADVISORY COUNCIL

The Federal Reserve Board has announced that its Consumer Advisory Council met on October 26 and 27.

The Council comprises 30 members who represent a broad range of consumer and creditor interests. Its function is to advise the Board regarding its responsibilities under the Consumer Credit Protection Act and on other matters on which the Board seeks the advice of the Council.

## Public Tours of Board Bullding

The Federal Reserve Board has announced a change in the hours of its public tour program.

Guided tours for the general public will be given each Thursday afternoon at $2: 30$, except on holidays. The tour features architectural highlights of the Marriner S. Eccles Federal Reserve Board Building; visits to the Board Room, the research library, and the computer room; and an award-winning movie about the functions of the central bank.

Group tours, for persons of high school age and older, may be arranged by appointment with the Tour Office, Federal Reserve Board, Washington, D.C., 20551 (202-452-3149).

## SYSTEM MEMBERSHIP: Admission of State Banks

The following banks were admitted to membership in the Federal Reserve System during the period September 10 through October 10, 1983: Florida

Miami ............................... M Bank
North Dakota
Wahpeton....... Dakota Bank of Wahpeton
Virginia
Roanoke......... . Dominion Trust Company
Wise ............ First Commonwealth Bank

# Record of Policy Actions of the Federal Open Market Committee 

## Meeting Held on August 23, 1983

## 1. Domestic Policy Directive

The information reviewed at this meeting suggested that real GNP, which had grown at an annual rate of about $91 / 4$ percent in the second quarter, was continuing to expand quite rapidly in the current quarter, propelled to a large extent by the relatively sharp swing in business inventories from liquidation to accumulation that appeared to be in process. Available indicators of final purchases remained generally favorable, though suggestive of a slowing from the unusually strong rate of expansion in the second quarter.

Personal consumption expenditures in the second quarter had risen at an exceptional annual rate of nearly 10 percent in real terms. Much of the increased spending occurred in April and May, as sales in all major categories advanced sharply. In June and July the nominal value of retail sales showed little further change, but surveys indicated a continuing high level of consumer confidence. Sales of new domestic automobiles moved up in June to a relatively strong annual rate of $71 / 4$ million units and continued at that pace in July. In early August auto sales rose somewhat further despite reductions in the availability and value of financing concessions and other purchase incentives.

Total private housing starts edged down in July, as they had in June, to an annual rate of $13 / 4$ million units. Permits, however, rose over the June-July period-substantially for multifamily units and marginally on balance for single-family units. In the second quarter, combined sales of new and existing houses had risen to a rate more than 50 percent above the cyclical low in the third quarter of 1982, but there was evidence of some slowdown as the quarter progressed. Moreover, reports of an appreciable reduction in
mortgage loan applications and an increase in cancellations of sales contracts suggested some weakening in home sales in July.

On the other hand, recent data continued on the average to indicate strengthening in business capital spending. The second quarter had marked a turnaround in that sector: new orders and shipments of nondefense capital goods were up 14 percent and $41 / 4$ percent respectively from the previous quarter; and expenditures for equipment rose at an annual rate of 14 percent in real terms, the largest one-quarter advance in five years. This strengthening tendency appeared to be continuing. Production of business equipment remained strong in June and July, and shipments of nondefense capital goods rose in June to a level well above the average for the second quarter.

The index of industrial production rose 1.8 percent in July following large advances in the second quarter. As in other recent months, sizable gains in output occurred across a broad range of industries and were particularly large for consumer durable goods. By July the index had risen about $101 / 4$ percent from its trough in November 1982, close to the average increase for comparable stages of economic recovery in the postwar period.

Nonfarm payroll employment, which had increased about 1 million in the second quarter, rose about $1 / 2$ million further in July, and the civilian unemployment rate fell 0.5 percentage point to 9.5 percent. In manufacturing, employment advanced about 160,000 , marking the fourth consecutive month of large gains, and the average workweek lengthened a bit further to 40.3 hours.

In July the producer price index for finished goods edged up 0.1 percent and the consumer price index rose 0.4 percent. Thus far in 1983, the producer price index has declined slightly, and
the consumer price index and the index of average hourly earnings have risen at rates considerably below those in 1982.

In foreign exchange markets the trade-weighted value of the dollar against major foreign currencies rose about $41 / 2$ percent further in July and early August but subsequently depreciated about 3 percent. The fluctuation in the exchange rate was related in part to movements in U.S. interest rates over the period. The U.S. foreign trade deficit was smaller in June than in May, but the deficit was much larger in the second quarter than in the first, as imports rose while exports were essentially unchanged.

At its meeting on July 12-13, 1983, the Committee had decided that open market operations in the period until this meeting should be directed at increasing slightly further the existing degree of reserve restraint. That action was expected to be associated with growth of M2 and M3 at annual rates of about $81 / 2$ and 8 percent respectively from June to September, consistent with the Committee's longer-run ranges of 7 to 10 percent for M2 for the period from FebruaryMarch of 1983 to the fourth quarter of 1983 and $61 / 2$ to $91 / 2$ percent for M3 for the period from the fourth quarter of 1982 to the fourth quarter of 1983. The Committee had anticipated that a deceleration in growth of M1 to an annual rate of around 7 percent from June to September would be consistent with its third-quarter objectives for the broader aggregates and that expansion in total domestic nonfinancial debt would remain within its associated range of $81 / 2$ to $111 / 2$ percent for the year. The intermeeting range for the federal funds rate was retained at 6 to 10 percent.

Growth in M2 and M3 slowed substantially in July to annual rates of about $61 / 4$ percent and 5 percent respectively. By July M2 was at a level near the midpoint of the Committee's range for 1983 and M3 was somewhat below the upper limit of its range. Growth in M1 decelerated to an annual rate of about 9 percent in July, less than half the average pace in the May-June period, but the level of M1 remained above the Committee's monitoring range for the second half of the year.

Total borrowing by domestic nonfinancial sectors was estimated to have slowed somewhat in July from its average in the second quarter, largely because of reduced borrowing by the
federal government, with growth in nonfinancial debt remaining within its longer-run range for 1983. Expansion of bank credit was at an annual rate of around 10 percent in July, about the same as in the second quarter. Its composition, however, changed substantially. Total loans expanded at a rate more than double the pace in the second quarter, while acquisitions of U.S. Treasury securities slowed appreciably. Outstanding business loans, which had declined slightly in the second quarter, grew at an annual rate of about 12 percent in July, and consumer loans expanded at an annual rate of more than 20 percent, nearly twice the pace recorded in the second quarter. The pickup in lending to businesses by banks in part reflected reduced issuance of bonds by corporations in reaction to increases in long-term market interest rates.

Growth in total reserves decelerated to an annual rate of about 6 percent in July, but nonborrowed reserves (including extended credit at the discount window) changed little as adjustment plus seasonal borrowing rose from about $\$ 680$ million in June to around $\$ 875$ million in July. Such borrowing increased further in the first half of August to about $\$ 1$ billion.

With a little greater restraint on reserve availability relative to demands, the federal funds rate and other short-term interest rates rose about 20 to 40 basis points on balance over the intermeeting period. Atypically, long-term rates rose by more than short-term rates, increasing about 80 basis points. Market participants apparently reacted to indications of further strength in the economy, to concern about possible increases in inflationary pressure later during the economic recovery, and to the heavy borrowing by the U.S. Treasury, particularly in connection with the mid-August financing, as well as to the slightly firmer degree of restraint on bank reserve positions. After reaching an intermeeting peak in the second week of August, most interest rates retraced the greater part of their earlier increases, apparently reflecting responses to slower-than-expected growth in the money supply and incoming data-including the leveling off of retail sales in June and July-that suggested a more moderate pace of economic expansion. Most commercial banks raised the prime rate charged on short-term business loans by $1 / 2$ per-
centage point to 11 percent in the early part of August. Average rates on new commitments for fixed-rate conventional home mortgage loans at savings and loan associations were up about 60 basis points over the period; the ceiling rate on FHA- and VA-underwritten mortgage loans, which had been raised 1 percentage point as of August 1, was reduced $1 / 2$ percentage point to 13 percent, effective on the day of this meeting.

The staff projections presented at this meeting indicated that the economic recovery would continue in the latter part of 1983 and in 1984, though at a more moderate pace than in the second and third quarters of this year. Consumer spending, while continuing to grow, was expected to become a less expansive factor. Gains stemming from expenditures on housing and increased business inventories were also expected to provide less stimulus over the projection period. On the other hand, the staff expected business fixed investment to provide some additional impetus to overall economic growth. The staff continued to project a gradual decline in the unemployment rate over the balance of the year and a further decline in 1984. Upward pressures on prices and wages were expected to remain relatively moderate over the projection horizon, although the impact on food prices of adverse weather conditions might be expected to raise prices, overall, a little more than had been previously projected.

During the Committee's discussion of the economic situation and outlook, the members noted the tentative indications of some slowing in the pace of the recovery, but they agreed that continuing economic expansion was a likely prospect for the period through 1984. Views differed to some extent regarding the prospective strength of the ongoing recovery, although all the members expected the rate of growth to moderate considerably from its recent pace. Several agreed that growth at about the moderate pace projected by the staff was a reasonable expectation for the next several quarters. But some believed that the expansion could be on the faster side, whereas others thought that slower growth was more probable.

Factors that would tend to strengthen the expansion included, it was noted, the substantial momentum of the recovery and the favorable prospects in such circumstances that a substan-
tial pickup in business fixed investment might develop as businesses became more optimistic about the outlook. Orders for business equipment had been running higher over the course of recent months, and many businesses were reporting expanding sales and rising profits. On the other hand, members who were less sanguine about the long-run strength of the recovery cautioned that housing and other interest-sensitive sectors of the economy might weaken appreciably over coming months, given the current relatively high level of real interest rates. Reports of a slowdown in new mortgage applications, increased cancellations of existing sales contracts, and high vacancy rates in rental units were cited as indications that the recovery in the housing sector might wane. A few members also expressed the view that automobile sales might slow somewhat more than generally expected as the pent-up demand for automobiles began to be satisfied. Another member suggested more generally that growth in consumer spending would probably be more moderate than anticipated as consumers attempted to save a higher, and more normal, proportion of their incomes than had been the case in recent quarters.

Members continued to express concern about the prospects for large federal deficits. Although a stimulative fiscal policy had contributed to the rebound in economic activity, continued large deficits as the recovery proceeded would tend to intensify credit market pressures and divert financial and real resources from needed private investment in plant and equipment and housing. The view was expressed that actions to reduce future deficits, if of sufficient magnitude, could work to ease pressures on interest rates in a period of rising private credit demands. Actual interest rates would of course be influenced by a broad range of developments, including the degree of strength in private credit demands, the outlook for inflation, and the volume of capital inflows from abroad.

A number of members commented that strong competition in many markets, including foreign competition, along with successful efforts by many businesses to cut costs, was having a restraining effect on prices and wages. Concern was expressed, however, that upward pressures on prices and wages could develop as levels of
capacity utilization and employment continued to rise. Members also noted the possibility that the domestic price level would be adversely affected by higher import prices if the value of the dollar were to decline substantially on foreign exchange markets and by rising food prices that would result from the interaction of adverse weather conditions and governmental policies to reduce farm supplies.

Turning to policy for the near term, the Committee considered whether any further adjustment in the degree of restraint on bank reserve conditions would be desirable under current economic and financial circumstances, given the behavior of the monetary and credit aggregates. The members noted that growth in the broader aggregates, on which the Committee had been placing primary emphasis, had slowed substantially. Both M2 and M3 appeared to be expanding at rates that were somewhat below their June-toSeptember target paths and their recent levels were within the longer-run ranges that the Committee had established for the year. A staff analysis suggested that the slowdown in the growth of M2 and M3 might have resulted in part from special factors, including an unusually large buildup in July in the average level of Treasury balances, which probably led to reduced bank reliance on managed liabilities to finance credit expansion. An unwinding of these developments in the weeks ahead could be associated with some acceleration in the growth of M2 and M3 over the balance of the third quarter. Growth in M1 had moderated somewhat further in July, but it remained above the short-run, June-to-September path that the Committee had expected would be consistent with its third-quarter objectives for the broader aggregates and also above its longer-run monitoring range. Incoming data suggested, however, that M1 growth would probably continue to decelerate in August.

At the conclusion of the discussion the members agreed that no change needed to be made at this time in the degree of pressure on bank reserves. Accordingly, a consensus was expressed in favor of maintaining about the existing degree of reserve restraint for the period immediately ahead. The members anticipated that such a policy course would be associated with growth of both M2 and M3 at annual rates of around 8
percent for the period from June to September. The members also agreed that the need for greater or lesser restraint on reserve conditions should be evaluated against the background of available evidence about trends in economic activity and prices and conditions in domestic and international financial markets, including foreign exchange markets. Depending upon such developments, lesser restraint would be acceptable in the event of a significant shortfall in the growth of the aggregates over the period ahead, while somewhat greater restraint would be acceptable in the context of more rapid growth in the aggregates. The Committee continued to anticipate that its third-quarter objectives for the broader aggregates would be consistent with a deceleration in M1 growth to an annual rate of around 7 percent from June to September, and that expansion in total nonfinancial debt would remain within the range of $81 / 2$ to $111 / 2$ percent established for the year. It was agreed that the intermeeting range for the federal funds rate, which provides a mechanism for initiating consultation of the Committee, would remain at 6 to 10 percent.

The following domestic policy directive was issued to the Federal Reserve Bank of New York:

The information reviewed at this meeting suggests continued rapid growth in real GNP in the current quarter. Industrial production increased sharply in July following large gains in the second quarter. Nonfarm payroll employment also rose substantially further in July and the civilian unemployment rate declined $1 / 2$ percentage point to 9.5 percent. After rising sharply in the spring, retail sales have leveled off recently. Housing starts edged down over the past two months but permits continued to rise. Recent data on new orders and shipments on average continued to indicate strength in the demand for business equipment. In July, information on producer and consumer prices and the index of average hourly earnings was consistent with earlier indications of a considerable moderation in the rate of inflation.

Growth in the broader monetary aggregates slowed substantially in July, bringing M2 to a level near the midpoint of the Committee's range for 1983 and M3 to a level somewhat below the upper limit of its range. Growth in M1 decelerated considerably from its MayJune pace, but its level remained above the Committee's monitoring range for the year. Interest rates rose appreciably through much of the intermeeting period but recently market rates have retraced most of their rise.

In part reflecting the course of U.S. interest rates, the weighted average value of the dollar against major foreign currencies rose substantially further in July and early August, but the rise was followed by a subsequent decline that reversed most of the earlier increase. The U.S. foreign trade deficit was smaller in June than in May, but the deficit in the second quarter was much larger than in the first as imports rose while exports were essentially unchanged.

The Federal Open Market Committee seeks to foster monetary and financial conditions that will help to reduce inflation further, promote growth in output on a sustainable basis, and contribute to a sustainable pattern of international transactions. At its meeting in July the Committee reconsidered the growth ranges for monetary and credit aggregates established earlier for 1983 in furtherance of these objectives and set tentative ranges for 1984. The Committee recognized that the relationships between such ranges and ultimate economic goals have become less predictable; that the impact of new deposit accounts on growth of the monetary aggregates cannot be determined with a high degree of confidence; and that the availability of interest on large portions of transaction accounts may be reflected in some changes in the historical trends in velocity.

Against this background, the Committee at its July meeting reaffirmed the following growth ranges for the broader aggregates: for the period from FebruaryMarch of 1983 to the fourth quarter of 1983, 7 to 10 percent at an annual rate for M2; and for the period from the fourth quarter of 1982 to the fourth quarter of 1983, $61 / 2$ to $91 / 2$ percent for M3. The Committee also agreed on tentative growth ranges for the period from the fourth quarter of 1983 to the fourth quarter of 1984 of $61 / 2$ to $91 / 2$ percent for M2 and 6 to 9 percent for M3. The Committee considered that growth in M1 in a range of 5 to 9 percent from the second quarter of 1983 to the fourth quarter of 1983, and in a range of 4 to 8 percent from the fourth quarter of 1983 to the fourth quarter of 1984 would be consistent with the ranges for the broader aggregates. The associated range for total domestic nonfinancial debt was reaffirmed at $81 / 2$ to $111 / 2$ percent for 1983 and tentatively set at 8 to 11 percent for 1984.

In implementing monetary policy, the Committee agreed that substantial weight would continue to be placed on the behavior of the broader monetary aggregates. The behavior of MI and total domestic nonfinancial debt will be monitored, with the degree of weight placed on M1 over time dependent on evidence that velocity characteristics are resuming more predictable patterns. The Committee understood that policy implementation would involve continuing appraisal of the relationships between the various measures of money and credit and nominal GNP, including
evaluation of conditions in domestic credit and foreign exchange markets.
The Committee seeks in the short run to maintain the existing degree of reserve restraint. The action is expected to be associated with growth of M2 and M3 at annual rates of around 8 percent from June to September, consistent with the targets established for these aggregates for the year. Depending on evidence about the strength of economic recovery and other factors bearing on the business and inflation outlook, lesser restraint would be acceptable in the context of a significant shortfall in growth of the aggregates from current expectations, while somewhat greater restraint would be acceptable should the aggregates expand more rapidly. The Committee anticipates that a deceleration in M1 growth to an annual rate of around 7 percent from June to September will be consistent with its third-quarter objectives for the broader aggregates, and that expansion in total domestic nonfinancial debt would remain within the range established for the year. The Chairman may call for Committee consultation if it appears to the Manager for Domestic Operations that pursuit of the monetary objectives and related reserve paths during the period before the next meeting is likely to be associated with a federal funds rate persistently outside a range of 6 to 10 percent.

Votes for this action: Messrs. Volcker, Solomon, Gramley, Guffey, Keehn, Martin, Morris, Partee, Rice, Roberts, Mrs. Teeters, and Mr. Wallich. Votes against this action: None.

## 2. Authorization for Foreign Currency Operations

In August 1982 the Committee had authorized the temporary establishment of a special swap arrangement of $\$ 325$ million with the Bank of Mexico, in addition to the regular swap arrangement of $\$ 700$ million, effective for the period from August 28, 1982, through August 23, 1983. At this meeting the Committee was apprised that the Bank of Mexico was making the final repayment of dollars drawn under the special swap facility and that the facility would expire today as scheduled. It was also noted that drawings made on the $\$ 700$ million regular swap arrangement had been repaid earlier and that as of this date there would be no outstanding drawings on the Federal Reserve System by the Bank of Mexico.

## Legal Developments

## amendments to Regulation O

The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System is amending Regulation O (12 C.F.R. Part 215), which governs loans by a member bank to insiders, to implement statutory amendments relating to the limitations on loans by a member bank to its executive officers, the aggregate dollar limitation on loans by a member bank to its insiders, and the dollar amount above which loans by a member bank to its insiders must be approved in advance by the board of directors of the member bank.

Effective October 20, 1983, the Board amends Regulation O as follows:

Part 215-Loans to Executive Officers, Directors, and Principal Shareholders of Member Banks

1. Paragraph (f) of Section 215.2 is amended by revising the first two sentences and adding a third sentence. As amended, paragraph (f) reads as follows:

## Section 215.2-Definitions

(f) The "lending limit" for a member bank is an amount equal to the limit on loans to a single borrower established by section 5200 of the Revised Statutes. ${ }^{2}$ 12 U.S.C. \& 84. This amount is 15 per cent of the bank's unimpaired capital and unimpaired surplus in the case of loans that are not fully secured, and an additional 10 per cent of the bank's unimpaired capital and unimpaired surplus in the case of loans that are fully secured by readily marketable collateral having a market value, as determined by reliable and continuously available price quotations, at least equal to the

[^8]amount of the loan. The lending limit also includes any higher amounts that are permitted by section 5200 of the Revised Statutes for the types of obligations listed therein as exceptions to the limit. A member bank's capital stock and unimpaired surplus equals the sum of (1) of the "total equity capital" of the member bank reported on its most recent consolidated report of condition filed under 12 U.S.C. 1817(a)(3), (2) any subordinated notes and debentures approved as an addition to the member bank's capital structure by the appropriate Federal banking agency, and (3) any valuation reserve created by charges to the member bank's income.
2. Paragraph (b)(1) of Section 215.4 is revised to read as follows:

Section 215.4-General Prohibitions
(b) Prior Approval.
(1) No member bank may extend credit (which term includes granting a line of credit) to any of its executive officers. directors, or principal shareholders or to any related interest of that person in an amount that, when aggregated with the amount of all other extensions of credit to that person and to all related interests of that person, exceeds the higher of $\$ 25,000$ or 5 per cent of the member bank's capital and unimpaired surplus, unless: (i) the extension of credit has been approved in advance by a majority of the entire board of directors of that bank, and (ii) the interested party has abstained from participating directly or indirectly in the voting. In no event may a member bank extend credit to any one of its executive officers, directors, or principal shareholders, or to any related interest of that person, in an amount that, when aggregated with all other extensions of credit to that person, and all related interests of that person, exceeds $\$ 500,000$, except by complying with the requirements of this paragraph.
3. The first sentence of paragraph (b) of Section 215.5 is revised to read as follows:

# Section 215.5-Additional Restrictions on Loans to Executive Officers of Member Banks 

(b) No member bank may extend credit in an aggregate amount greater than the amount permitted in paragraph (c)(3) of this section to a partnership in which one or more of the bank's executive officers are partners and, either individually or together, hold a majority interest.
4. The first sentence of paragraph (c)(3) of Section 215.5 is revised to read as follows:
(3) for any other purpose not specified in section $215.5(\mathrm{c})(1)$ and (2), if the aggregate amount of loans to that officer under this paragraph does not exceed at any one time the higher of 2.5 per cent of the bank's capital and unimpaired surplus or $\$ 25,000$, but in no event more than $\$ 100,000$.
5. Footnotes 2 to 11 are renumbered footnotes 3 to 12 .
6. The Appendix is revised to read as follows:

## Appendix

Section 5200 of the Revised Statutes

Total Loans and Extensions of Credit
(a)(1) The total loans and extensions of credit by a national banking association to a person outstanding at one time and not fully secured, as determined in a manner consistent with paragraph (2) of this subsection, by collateral having a market value at least equal to the amount of the loan or extension of credit shall not exceed 15 per centum of the unimpaired capital and unimpaired surplus of the association.
(2) The total loans and extensions of credit by a national banking association to a person outstanding at one time and fully secured by readily marketable collateral having a market value, as determined by reliable and continuously available price quotations, at least equal to the amount of the funds outstanding shall not exceed 10 per centum of the unimpaired capital and unimpaired surplus of the association. This limitation shall be separate from and in addition to the limitations contained in paragraph (1) of this subsection.

## Definitions

(b) For the purposes of this section -
(1) the term "loans and extensions of credit'" shall include all direct or indirect advances of funds to a person made on the basis of any obligation of that person to repay the funds or repayable from specific property pledged by or on behalf of the person, and to the extent specified by the Comptroller of the Currency, such term shall also include any liability of a national banking association to advance funds to or on behalf of a person pursuant to a contractual commitment; and
(2) the term "person" shall include an individual, sole proprietorship, partnership, joint venture, association, trust, estate, business trust, corporation, sovereign government, or agency, instrumentality, or political subdivision thereof, or any similar entity or organization.

## Exceptions

(c) The limitations contained in subsection (a) of this section shall be subject to the following exceptions:
(1) Loans or extensions of credit arising from the discount of commercial or business paper evidencing an obligation to the person negotiating it with recourse shall not be subject to any limitation based on capital and surplus.
(2) The purchase of bankers' acceptances of the kind described in section 372 of this title and issued by other banks shall not be subject to any limitation based on capital and surplus.
(3) Loans and extensions of credit secured by bills of landing, warehouse receipts, or similar documents transferring or securing title to readily marketable staples shall be subject to a limitation of 35 per centum of capital and surplus in addition to the general limitations if the market value of the staples securing each additional loan or extension of credit at all times equals or exceeds 115 per centum of the outstanding amount of such loan or extension of credit. The staples shall be fully covered by insurance whenever it is customary to insure such staples.
(4) Loans or extensions of credit secured by bonds, notes, certificates of indebtedness, or Treasury bills of the United States or by other such obligations fully guaranteed as to principal and interest by the United States shall not be subject to any limitation based on capital and surplus.
(5) Loans or extensions of credit to or secured by unconditional takeout commitments or guarantees of any department, agency, bureau, board, commission, or establishment of the United States or any
corporation wholly owned directly or indirectly by the United States shall not be subject to any limitation based on capital and surplus.
(6) Loans or extensions of credit secured by a segregated deposit account in the lending bank shall not be subject to any limitation based on capital and surplus.
(7) Loans or extensions of credit to any financial institution or to any receiver, conservator, superintendent of banks, or other agent in charge of the business and property of such financial institution, when such loans or extensions of credit are approved by the Comptroller of the Currency, shall not be subject to any limitation based on capital and surplus.
(8)(A) Loans and extensions of credit arising from the discount of negotiable or nonnegotiable installment consumer paper which carries a full recourse endorsement or unconditional guarantee by the person transferring the paper shall be subject under this section to a maximum limitation equal to 25 per centum of such capital and surplus, notwithstanding the collateral requirements set forth in subsection (a)(2) of this section.
(B) If the bank's files or the knowledge of its officers of the financial condition of each maker of such consumer paper is reasonably adequate, and an officer of the bank designated for that purpose by the board of directors of the bank certifies in writing that the bank is relying primarily upon the responsibility of each maker for payment of such loans or extensions of credit and not upon any full or partial recourse endorsement or guarantee by the transferor, the limitations of this section as to the loans or extensions of credit of each such maker shall be the sole applicable loan limitations.
(9)(A) Loans and extensions of credit secured by shipping documents or instruments transferring or securing title covering livestock or giving a lien on livestock when the market value of the livestock securing the obligation is not at any time less than 115 per centum of the face amount of the note covered, shall be subject under this section notwithstanding the collateral requirements set forth in subsection (a)(2) of this section, to a maximum limitation equal to 25 per centum of such capital and surplus.
(B) Loans and extensions of credit which arise from the discount by dealers in dairy cattle of paper given in payment for dairy cattle, which paper carries a full recourse endorsement or unconditional guarantee of the seller, and which are secured by the cattle being sold, shall be subject under this section, notwithstanding the collateral
requirements set forth in subsection (a)(2) of this section, to a limitation of 25 per centum of such capital and surplus.
(10) Loans or extensions of credit to the Student Loan Marketing Association shall not be subject to any limitation based on capital and surplus.

## Authority of Comptroller of the Currency

(d)(1) The Comptroller of the Currency may prescribe rules and regulations to administer and carry out the purposes of this section, including rules or regulations to define or further define terms used in this section and to establish limits or requirements other than those specified in this section for particular classes or categories of loans or extensions of credit. (2) The Comptroller of the Currency also shall have authority to determine when a loan putatively made to a person shall for purposes of this section be attributed to another person.

## Bank Holding Company and Bank Merger Orders Issued by the Board of Governors

## Orders Under Section 3 of Bank Holding Company Act

The Bank of New Mexico Holding Company, Albuquerque, New Mexico

## Order Approving Formation of a Bank Holding Company

The Bank of New Mexico Holding Company, Albuquerque, New Mexico, has applied for the Board's approval under section $3(\mathrm{a})(1)$ of the Bank Holding Company Act (the "Act")(12 U.S.C. § 1842(a)(1)) to become a bank holding company through the acquisition of a least 80 percent of the voting shares of The Bank of Albuquerque, Albuquerque, New Mexico ("Bank").

Notice of the application, affording opportunity for interested persons to submit comments and views, has been given in accordance with section 3(b) of the Act. The time for filing comments and views has expired, and the Board has considered the application and all comments received in light of the factors set forth in section 3(c) of the Act.

Applicant, a nonoperating corporation with no subsidiaries, was organized for the purpose of becoming a bank holding company by acquiring Bank, which controls deposits of $\$ 19.2$ million. ${ }^{1}$ Upon acquisition

[^9]of Bank, Applicant would control the 73rd largest banking organization in New Mexico and approximately 0.32 percent of the total commercial bank deposits in the state.

Bank is the eleventh largest of twelve banking organizations in the relevant banking market, ${ }^{2}$ and holds 0.91 percent of total commercial bank deposits in the market. Applicant's principals are not principals of any other depository institution in the relevant banking market. Therefore, consummation of Applicant's proposal would have no adverse effects on existing or potential competition nor would it result in an increase in the concentration of banking resources in any relevant market. Accordingly, competitive considerations are consistent with approval.

The financial and managerial resources of Applicant and Bank are regarded as generally satisfactory, and their prospects appear favorable, particularly in light of certain commitments made by Applicant. Specifically, Applicant has committed to provide Bank with $\$ 500$ thousand of additional equity capital upon consummation of this transaction, and to maintain the capital to assets ratio of Bank at or above 7 percent in accordance with the Board's capital guidelines. ${ }^{3}$

Further, while Applicant will assume some debt as a result of the proposal, Applicant has committed that it will not increase its debt following consummation of the proposal. Moreover, Applicant appears to be capable of servicing its debt within the Board's guidelines ${ }^{4}$ without adversely affecting the condition of Bank. Accordingly, the Board has determined that considerations relating to banking factors are consistent with approval.

Although consummation of this proposal would effect no immediate changes in the services offered by Bank, there is no evidence that the banking needs of the community to be served are not being met. Thus, considerations relating to the convenience and needs of the community to be served are consistent with approval of the application. Accordingly, the Board has determined that consummation of this proposal

[^10]would be consistent with the public interest and that the application should be approved.

On the basis of record, including the commitments made by Applicant, the application is approved for the reasons summarized above. The transaction shall not be consummated before the thirtieth calendar day following the effective date of this Order or later than three months after the effective date of this Order, unless such period is extended for good cause by the Board or by the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City, acting pursuant to delegated authority.

By order of the Board of Governors, effective September 12, 1983.

Voting for this action: Chairman Volcker and Governors Partee, Rice, and Gramley. Absent and not voting: Governors Martin, Wallich, and Teeters.

## [seal] Associate Secretary of the Board

## Cambridge Financial Corporation, Cambridge, Wisconsin

## Order Denying Formation of a Holding Company

Cambridge Financial Corporation, Cambridge, Wisconsin, has applied for the Board's approval under section 3(a)(1) of the Bank Holding Company Act ("Act") (12 U.S.C. § 1842(a)(1)) to become a bank holding company by acquiring 94 percent of Cambridge State Bank, Cambridge, Wisconsin ("Bank").

Notice of the application, affording opportunity for interested persons to submit comments and views has expired, and the Board has considered the application and all comments received in light of the factors set forth in section 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. § 1842(c)).

Applicant, a nonoperating Wisconsin corporation with no subsidiaries, was organized for the purpose of becoming a bank holding company by acquiring Bank, which holds deposits of $\$ 8.9$ million. ${ }^{1}$ Upon acquisition of Bank, Applicant would control the 536th largest commercial bank in Wisconsin, holding 0.04 percent of statewide commercial bank deposits.

Bank competes in the Jefferson banking market where it ranks as the ninth largest of 11 commercial banking organizations and holds 4.2 percent of total market deposits. ${ }^{2}$ The principals of Applicant are not affiliated with any other banking organization in the

[^11]Jefferson banking market. The proposed transaction is essentially a corporate reorganization, consummation of which would not result in any adverse effects upon competition or in an increase in the concentration of banking resources in any relevant area. Accordingly, the Board concludes that competitive considerations are consistent with approval.

The Board has indicated on previous occasions that a holding company should serve as a source of financial and managerial strength to its subsidiary bank and that the Board would closely examine the condition of an applicant in each case with this consideration in mind. In this case, after considering the reports of examination by Bank's primary Federal supervisor and other relevant documents, the Board concludes that the record in this application presents adverse financial and managerial considerations that warrant denial of the proposal to form a bank holding company.

In connection with this proposal, Applicant would incur a sizeable debt. Applicant projects that it will repay its debt within six years, while maintaining adequate capital in the Bank. However, in light of Bank's performance in recent years and other facts of record, Applicant's projections appear to be overly optimistic. Using less optimistic projections, the Board concludes that it is not likely that Applicant will be able to reduce its indebtedness within a reasonable period in accordance with the Board's Policy Statement on Assessment of Financial Factors of One-Bank Holding Companies. ${ }^{3}$
The Board's concern with respect to Applicant's ability to service its acquisition debt is heightened by Bank's recent overall performance, a continuation of which would further reduce Bank's ability to pay dividends necessary to enable Applicant to service its acquisition debt. Thus, it is the Board's judgment that Applicant would not have sufficient financial flexibility to service its debt while maintaining adequate capital in Bank in accordance with the Board's standards and the requirements of the Bank's primary supervisor. Moreover, based on the record it is not likely that Applicant would serve as a source of strength to Bank, or would have the financial and managerial resources to meet any unforeseen problems that might arise at Bank. Accordingly, based on the record in this case, the Board concludes that considerations relating to Applicant's financial and managerial resources and future prospects are adverse and weigh against approval of this application.

Applicant does not propose to make any significant changes in Bank's services. Accordingly, convenience

[^12]and needs factors are consistent with, but lend no weight toward, approval of this application.
On the basis of all of the facts of record, the Board concludes that the banking considerations involved in this proposal present adverse factors bearing upon the financial and managerial resources and future prospects of Applicant and Bank. Such adverse factors are not outweighed by any procompetitive effects or by benefits that would result in better serving the convenience and needs of the community. Accordingly, the Board's judgment is that approval of the application would not be in the public interest and the application should be denied.
On the basis of the facts of record, the application is denied for the reasons summarized above.
By order of the Board of Governors, effective September 21, 1983.

Voting for this action: Chairman Volcker and Governors Martin, Teeters, and Rice. Absent and not voting: Governors Wallich, Partee, and Gramley.

James McAfee, [SEAL]

Associate Secretary of the Board

## Comerica Incorporated, Detroit, Michigan

## Order Approving Acquisition of Bank, Formation of Bank Holding Company and Merger of Banks

Comerica Incorporated, Detroit, Michigan, a bank holding company within the meaning of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended (12 U.S.C. § 1841 et seq.) ('BHC Act"), has applied for the Board's approval under sections 3(a)(1) and (3) of the BHC Act (12 U.S.C. \& 1842(a)(1),(3), and the Bank Merger Act ( 12 U.S.C. § 1828 (c)) to acquire 100 percent of the outstanding shares of Bank of the Commonwealth, Detroit, Michigan ("Bank"). The transaction would be accomplished in several steps, resulting eventually in the merger of Bank with Applicant's lead bank, Comerica Bank-Detroit. Applicant has also applied for membership in the Federal Reserve System for two of the interim banks that would be created to accomplish the transaction.

Notice of the applications, affording interested persons the opportunity to submit comments has been given in accordance with section 3(b) of the BHC Act, and the Bank Merger Act. As required by the Bank Merger Act, reports of the competitive effects of the merger were requested from the United States Attorney General, the Comptroller of the Currency and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. The time for
filing comments has expired and the Board has considered the applications and all comments received in light of the factors set forth in section 3(c) of the BHC Act.
Applicant, with 17 banking subsidiaries, is the third largest commercial banking organization in Michigan with total deposits of $\$ 6.1$ billion, representing 12.1 percent of the total deposits in commercial banks in the state. ${ }^{1}$ Bank is the eleventh largest commercial banking organization in Michigan with total deposits of $\$ 774.7$ million, representing 1.6 percent of the total deposits in commercial banks in the state. Upon consummation of the proposed transaction, Applicant would become the second largest commercial banking organization in the state and control 13.7 percent of the total deposits in commercial banks in the state.
The Board has considered carefully the effects of the proposal on statewide banking structure. This proposal involves a combination of sizeable banking organizations and an increase in the percentage of banking resources controlled by the five largest banking organizations in the state from 55.4 percent to 57 percent. However, Michigan is not a highly concentrated state in terms of banking resources and would not become so upon consummation of the proposal. In addition, the Board notes that numerous banking alternatives would remain in Michigan upon consummation of the proposal. On the basis of these considerations, the Board concludes that the proposed transaction would have no substantial adverse effects on the concentration of banking resources in Michigan.

The financial and managerial resources and future prospects of Applicant and its subsidiary banks are satisfactory and their future prospects appear favorable. As a result of consummation of this proposal, which includes a capital injection into the resulting bank, the financial and managerial resources and future prospects of Bank will be strengthened. Thus, considerations relating to banking factors lend weight toward approval of the transaction.
Subsidiary banks of Applicant compete directly with Bank in the Detroit banking market. ${ }^{2}$ Applicant is the second largest of 46 commercial banking organizations in the market, with total deposits of $\$ 4.07$ billion, representing 17.9 percent of total deposits in commercial banks in the market. ${ }^{3}$ Bank is the seventh largest commercial banking organization in the market with

[^13]total deposits of $\$ 796.8$ million, representing 3.5 percent of the total deposits in commercial banks in the market. Upon acquisition of Bank, Applicant would remain the second largest commercial banking organization in the market and would control 21.4 percent of the total deposits in commercial banks in the market. In addition, the percent of commercial bank deposits held by the four largest banking organizations in the market would increase from 71 percent to 74.5 percent and the market's Herfindahl-Hirschman Index ('"HHI') would increase by 125 points from 1445 to 1570, making the transaction one that would be subject to challenge by the Department of Justice under its merger guidelines. ${ }^{4}$

Approval of this application would eliminate a competitor and increase concentration in the Detroit banking market. However, based upon the facts and circumstances of this case, the Board concludes that consummation of this transaction is not likely to result in substantial anticompetitive effects. In reaching this conclusion, the Board has considered the following factors. First, the record indicates that Bank's competitive position in the market, as measured by its share of the total deposits in commercial banks in the market, may be overstated. Bank has experienced severe financial difficulties for a number of years and has failed to offer banking services equivalent to those of other institutions of its approximate size in the market. Second, the Board notes that 44 commercial banking organizations, including nine of Michigan's 15 largest commercial banking organizations, would remain in the Detroit banking market following consummation of the proposal. In a number of previous cases, the Board has indicated that the competitive influence in a market of the largest bank holding companies in a state may be greater than what would be expected based on their market shares alone, especially with respect to their ability to serve local commercial customers. ${ }^{5}$

Finally, the Board has considered the fact that there are 21 thrift institutions in the market that hold deposits of $\$ 7.4$ billion, which is approximately 25 percent of the total deposits in the market. Two of these institutions have deposits of over $\$ 1.5$ billion. The Board has

[^14]previously indicated that thrift institutions have become, or at least have the potential to become, major competitors of commercial banks. ${ }^{6}$ On this basis, the Board has accorded considerable weight to the influence of thrift institutions in its evaluation of the competitive effects of a proposal. ${ }^{7}$ In this case, based upon the number, size and market shares of these institutions in the Detroit market, the Board has concluded that thrift institutions exert a significant competitive influence that substantially mitigates the anticompetitive effects of this proposal. ${ }^{8}$

The record of this application indicates that this transaction would provide substantial benefits to the convenience and needs of the community by averting further deterioration of Bank's financial condition. ${ }^{9}$ In this context, the Board concludes that the benefit of maintaining services to Bank's customers that would be derived from this proposal are so substantial as to outweigh any anticompetitive effects of this proposal. ${ }^{10}$

Based on the foregoing and other facts of record, the Board has determined that the applications under the BHC Act and the Bank Merger Act should be and hereby are approved. The transactions shall not be consummated before the fifth calendar day following the effective date of this Order or later than three months after the effective date of this Order, unless such period is extended for good cause by the Board, or by the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago pursuant to delegated authority.

By order of the Board of Governors, effective September 7, 1983.

Voting for this action: Chairman Volcker and Governors Martin, Partee, Teeters, Rice, and Gramley. Absent and not voting: Governor Wallich.

James McAfee,
[SEAL]
Associate Secretary of the Board

[^15] Digitized for FRASER

First Arkansas Bankstock Corporation, Little Rock, Arkansas

## Order Approving Acquisition of Bank

First Arkansas Bankstock Corporation, Little Rock, Arkansas, a bank holding company within the meaning of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended (12 U.S.C. § 1841 et seq.), has applied for approval under section $3(\mathrm{a})(3)$ of the Act to acquire First National Bank of Camden, Camden, Arkansas.
Notice of the application, affording opportunity for interested persons to submit comments, has been given in accordance with section 3(b) of the Act. The time for filing comments has expired, and the application and all comments received have been considered in light of the factors set forth in section 3(c) of the Act.
Applicant, the largest commercial banking organization in Arkansas, controls four subsidiary banks with deposits of approximately $\$ 807.7$ million, representing 6.9 percent of the total deposits in commercial banks in the state.' Bank, the 41st largest commercial banking organization in the state, holds $\$ 70.2$ million in deposits. After consummation of the proposal and all planned divestitures, Applicant's share of the total deposits in commercial banks in the state would increase to 7.4 percent. Accordingly, consummation of this proposal would not result in a significant increase in the concentration of commercial banking resources in the state.
Applicant and Bank both compete in the Ouachita County banking market. ${ }^{2}$ Bank is the largest of four commercial banks in the market and controls 47.5 percent of the total deposits in commercial banks in the market. Applicant operates Stephens Security Bank ("Stephens"), the third largest bank in the market, which controls 12.4 percent of the total deposits in commercial banks in the market. Upon consummation of this transaction, Applicant would control 59.9 percent of the total deposits in commercial banks in the market. In the Board's view, the effect of this transaction, absent any planned divestiture, may be substantially to lessen competition in the Ouachita banking market.
In order to eliminate the anticompetitive effects that might otherwise result from the acquisition, Applicant

[^16]has committed to divest Stephens to two current directors of Stephens on or before the date of consummation of the acquisition. ${ }^{3}$ The purchasers would not be indebted to Applicant or any of its subsidiaries in connection with this purchase and all director interlocks between Applicant and Stephens would be terminated before consummation. The Board concludes that the sale of Stephens, if consummated on or before Applicant's acquisition of Bank, would eliminate any substantial adverse effects on existing competition in the Ouachita County banking market that might otherwise result from Applicant's acquisition.

The financial and managerial resources of Applicant, its subsidiaries and Bank are regarded as generally satisfactory and their future prospects are favorable. Accordingly, banking factors are consistent with approval. Considerations relating to the convenience and needs of the community to be served also are consistent with approval. Thus, based on the foregoing and other facts of record, the Board has determined that consummation of the proposed transaction would be in the public interest and that the application should be approved.

On the basis of the record, the application is approved for the reasons summarized above. The transaction shall not be made before the thirtieth calendar day following the effective date of this Order, or later than three months after the effective date of this Order, unless such perod is extended for good cause by the Board, or by the Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis pursuant to delegated authority.

By order of the Board of Governors, effective September 22, 1983.

Voting for this action: Chairman Volcker and Governors Martin, Teeters, and Rice. Absent and not voting: Governors Wallich, Partee, and Gramley.

James McAfee, [seal] Associate Secretary of the Board

[^17]First Carmen Bancshares, Inc., Carmen, Oklahoma

First Gage Bancorporation, Inc., Gage, Oklahoma

First Sallisaw Bancshares, Inc., Sallisaw, Oklahoma

First Seminole Bancorporation, Inc., Seminole, Oklahoma

Sand Springs Bancshares, Inc., Sand Springs, Oklahoma

Order Approving Formation of Bank Holding Companies

First Carmen Bancshares, Inc., Carmen, Oklahoma ("Carmen"); First Gage Bancorporation, Inc., Gage, Oklahoma ("Gage"); First Sallisaw Bancshares, Inc., Sallisaw, Oklahoma ("Sallisaw"); First Seminole Bancorporation, Inc., Seminole, Oklahoma ("Seminole"); and Sand Springs Bancshares, Inc., Sand Springs, Oklahoma ("Sand Springs") (together, "Applicants'"); have each applied for the Board's approval, pursuant to section 3(a)(1) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. § 1842(a)(1)) ("Act") to become bank holding companies. Carmen proposes to acquire First National Bank in Carmen, Carmen, Oklahoma ("Carmen Bank"); Gage proposes to acquire First State Bank of Gage, Gage, Oklahoma ("Gage Bank"); Sallisaw proposes to acquire First National Bank, Sallisaw, Sallisaw, Oklahoma ("Sallisaw Bank"); Seminole proposes to acquire First National Bank in Seminole, Seminole, Oklahoma ("Seminole Bank"); and Sand Springs proposes to acquire First Bank and Trust Company, Sand Springs, Oklahoma ("Sand Springs Bank") (together, 'Banks").'
Notice of the applications, affording interested persons an opportunity to submit comments, has been given in accordance with section 3(b) of the Act. The time for filing comments has expired and the Board has considered the applications and all comments received in light of the factors set forth in section 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. § 1842(c)).

[^18]Each Applicant is a nonoperating corporation organized for the purpose of acquiring one of Banks. Banks are relatively small banking organizations in the state, and together hold approximately $\$ 104.3$ million in deposits, representing 0.45 percent of the total deposits in commercial banks in Oklahoma. ${ }^{2}$ Each bank operates in a separate banking market. In one of these markets, however, a principal of Applicants is associated with two other banking organizations in that market. Specifically, in addition to Sand Springs Bank, a principal of Applicants is associated with Coweta Bancshares, Inc., a one-bank holding company with respect to Security National Bank of Coweta, Coweta, Oklahoma, and Jenks American, Inc., a onebank holding company with respect to Bank of Commerce, Jenks, Oklahoma, all of which operate in the Tulsa RMA. ${ }^{3}$ However, the combined market share of these organizations would be only 1.2 percent. Accordingly, in light of the small relative and absolute size of the organizations involved, it does not appear that consummation of the Sand Springs proposal would have a significant effect on competition or on the concentration of banking resources in any relevant area. Thus, the Board concludes that competitive considerations are consistent with approval of these applications.

Where principals of an applicant are engaged in operating a chain of banking organizations, the Board analyzes the proposal before it and, in addition, considers the total chain and analyzes the financial and managerial resources and future prospects of the chain and each banking organization that comprises the chain. In this connection, the Board has considered Applicants' proposals in light of the Board's capital adequacy guidelines generally applicable to bank holding companies and chain banking organizations with consolidated assets of over $\$ 150$ million. ${ }^{4}$ Based upon such an analysis, including recent undertakings by principals of Applicants with respect to other banking

[^19]organizations with which they are involved, the Board views the financial and managerial resources and future prospects of Applicants, their banking subsidiaries and the affiliated banking organizations as consistent with approval.
Although no significant changes in these banks' operations or in the services offered to customers are anticipated to follow from consummation of the proposed transactions, considerations relating to the convenience and needs of the communities to be served are consistent with approval of these applications. Based upon the foregoing and other facts of record, the Board concludes that consummation of the proposals would be in the public interest and that the applications should be approved.
On the basis of the record, the applications are approved for the reasons summarized above. These transactions shall not be consummated before the thirtieth calendar day following the effective date of this Order or later than three months after the effective date of this Order, unless such period is extended for good cause by the Board or by the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City pursuant to delegated authority.

By order of the Board of Governors, effective September 30, 1983.

Voting for this action: Chairman Volcker and Governors Martin, Partee, Teeters, Rice, and Gramley. Absent and not voting: Governor Wallich.
[seal]
William W. Wiles, Secretary of the Board

## General Bancshares Corporation, St. Louis, Missouri

## Order Approving Acquisition of Bank

General Bancshares Corporation, St. Louis, Missouri, a bank holding company within the meaning of the Bank Holding Company Act, has applied for the Board's approval under section 3(a)(3) of the Act (12 U.S.C. § 1842(a)(3)), to acquire Security Bank \& Trust Co., Mt. Vernon, Illinois ("Bank").
Notice of the application, affording opportunity for interested persons to submit comments, has been given in accordance with section 3(b) of the Act. The time for filing comments has expired, and the Board has considered the application and all comments received in light of the factors set forth in section 3(c) of the Act.
Applicant, with total assets of $\$ 1.6$ billion, ' is a bank holding company organized under the laws of Missou-

[^20]ri. Applicant controls eight banks in Missouri, five banks in Illinois, and one bank in Tennessee. Through its five subsidiary banks in Illinois, Applicant is the eighteenth largest commercial banking organization in Illinois, ${ }^{2}$ and controls commercial bank deposits of approximately $\$ 395.4$ million, representing 0.43 percent of total deposits of commercial banks in the state. Bank is the 134th largest commercial banking organization in Illinois with deposits of $\$ 101.4$ million, representing 0.11 percent of total deposits of commercial banks in the state. Upon consummation, Applicant would control 0.54 percent of total commercial bank deposits in the state. Accordingly, consummation of this proposal would not have an appreciable effect upon the concentration of commercial banking resources in Illinois.

Section 3(d) of the Bank Holding Company Act prohibits the Board from approving any application by a bank holding company to acquire any bank located outside of the state in which the operations of the bank holding company's subsidiaries are principally conducted, unless such acquisition is "specifically authorized by the statute laws of the state in which such bank is located, by language to that effect and not merely by implication." ${ }^{3}$ Illinois law prohibits out-ofstate bank holding companies from controlling or owning more than 5 percent of the voting shares of a bank in Illinois unless the bank holding company was a registered bank holding company and controlled at least two banks in Illinois as of December 31, 1981.4 In addition, the bank to be acquired must have been engaged in banking for at least ten years. ${ }^{5}$

Applicant, an out-of-state bank holding company within the meaning of Illinois law, is eligible to acquire an Illinois bank because it was a registered bank holding company and controlled five banking subsidiaries in Illinois before December 31, 1981. In addition, Bank has been engaged in banking since 1910, and thus it can be acquired by a bank holding company under Illinois law. Therefore, based on the foregoing, the Board has determined, as required by section 3(d) of the Act, that the proposed acquisition is specifically authorized by, and conforms with, the statute laws of Illinois.

Because Applicant's subsidiaries and Bank do not operate in the same market, consummation of the proposal would not eliminate existing competition in any relevant market. The Board has examined the

[^21]effect of the proposed acquisition by Applicant of Bank upon probable future competition in the relevant geographic market in light of the Board's guidelines on probable future competition. ${ }^{6}$ These guidelines include a consideration of the level of concentration in the market, the number of potential entrants into the market, and the attractiveness of the market for de novo or foothold entry.
Bank operates in the Jefferson County banking market and is the largest of six banks in the market, controlling 42.3 percent of the total deposits in commercial banks in the market. ${ }^{7}$ In terms of commercial bank deposits only, the three largest commercial banking organizations control 90.5 percent of the market's commercial bank deposits, a level viewed as highly concentrated under the Board's guidelines.
There are three thrift institutions in the market controlling approximately 33 percent of total market deposits. These institutions are the third, fourth, and sixth largest depository institutions in the market, controlling 18.5, 11.2, and 3.5 percent of deposits, respectively. One of these thrifts has offices outside the market and controls total deposits of over $\$ 1$ billion. The Board has previously indicated that thrift institutions have become, or at least have the potential to become, major competitors of banks. ${ }^{8}$ On this basis, the Board has accorded considerable weight to the competitive influence of thrifts in its evaluation of the competitive effects of a proposal. ${ }^{9}$ In this case, the Board has considered the presence of thrifts in evaluating the level of concentration in the Jefferson County market. ${ }^{10}$
Utilizing the standards in the Board's guidelines, there are fewer than six probable future entrants into the Jefferson County market. However, when the Board published its proposed guidelines, it stated that the use of six probable future entrants as a determinant

[^22]of a reasonable number of alternative entrants would only be a general guideline because no single number could be used as a true indicator in all circumstances. The Board was aware that the structure of a particular market and the limitations on expansion imposed by state law would have to be considered with regard to each case.
The Board has taken into consideration the limitations imposed by Illinois law in its review of Applicant's proposal. Illinois has divided the state into five separate banking regions. Under Illinois law, no bank holding company can control a bank except a bank whose main banking premises are located within same banking region or a contiguous banking region to the region in which the acquiring bank holding company is located. ${ }^{11}$ In addition, a bank holding company eligible to acquire a bank in a particular region may not acquire a bank that has not engaged in banking business for at least ten years. Moreover, Illinois law does not permit banks to branch, except for limited-service facilities located near the bank.

Bank is located in Region 5 and the only banking organizations that can acquire Bank are those located in Region 5 or its contiguous region, Region 4. Although Illinois has eight commercial banking organizations with over $\$ 1$ billion in assets that are not located in the market, none of these entities is based in Region 4 or 5 and thus none can legally enter the Jefferson County market. There are two commercial banking organizations that have over $\$ 500$ million in assets that can legally enter the Jefferson County market and may be considered probable future entrants. In view of Applicant's size and resources, it also appears to be a probable future entrant into the market.

In this case it appears that several organizations with assets of less than $\$ 500$ million could be regarded as probable future entrants into the Jefferson County market. While these organizations are smaller than the Board's guidelines specify, in view of the limitations on expansion in Illinois, acquisition of a bank in Jefferson County would offer one of a limited number of opportunities for them to expand. From the record, several organizations with over $\$ 100$ million in assets have been identified that could be regarded as additional potential entrants into the Jefferson County in the particular circumstances of this case. The Board also notes that federal thrift institutions may establish branches in any area of the state and that there are

[^23]numerous federal thrifts in Illinois with assets in excess of $\$ 500$ million.
The Jefferson County market is not in a Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area, as specified in the Board's guidelines, although the market has approximately $\$ 250$ million in deposits. The growth rate of deposits in the market has exceeded the growth rate of deposits in Illinois for the past two years, a fact which indicates that the market is attractive for entry.
In previous cases involving the doctrine of probable future competition, the Board has indicated that market extension mergers should generally be accomplished through de novo entry or foothold acquisitions. In this case, Applicant's subsidiary banks are precluded by state law from de novo entry into Jefferson County. With respect to the possibility of a foothold acquisition by Applicant, only six banks operate in the Jefferson County market. Three of the six banks have deposits of less than $\$ 10$ million, and are located in small, rural towns outside Mt. Vernon, the county seat and commercial center of Jefferson County. Because of their location and the fact that they are precluded from branching into Mt. Vernon, these banks are not regarded as attractive vehicles for entry into the market.

Accordingly, based on the limitations imposed by state law, the structure of the market, the presence of thrift institutions in the market, and other facts of record, the Board concludes that consummation of the proposed acquisition would not have such adverse effects on probable future competition in the relevant market as to warrant denial of the proposal.

The financial and managerial resources of Applicant, its subsidiary banks, and Bank are generally satisfactory and their future prospects appear favorable. Accordingly, considerations relating to banking factors are consistent with approval. Although no changes in Bank's services are proposed by Applicant, there is no evidence in the record indicating that the banking needs of the community to be served are not being met. Accordingly, considerations relating to the convenience and needs of the community to be served also are consistent with approval. Based on the foregoing and other facts of record, the Board has determined that consummation of the proposed transaction would be consistent with the public interest and that the application should be approved.

On the basis of the record, the application is approved for the reasons summarized above. The acquisition of shares shall not be made before the thirtieth calendar day following the effective date of this Order or later than three months after the effective date of this Order unless such period is extended by the Board or by the Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis, acting pursuant to delegated authority.

By order of the Board of Governors, effective August 31, 1983.


#### Abstract

Voting for this action: Vice Chairman Martin and Governors Partee and Gramley. Voting against this action: Governor Teeters. Absent and not voting: Chairman Volcker and Governors Wallich and Rice.


James McAfee, [SEAL] Associate Secretary of the Board

## Dissenting Statement of Governor Teeters

I would deny this application on the grounds that the proposed acquisition of Security Bank \& Trust Co., by General Bancshares Corporation would have a significantly adverse effect on probable future competition in the Jefferson County banking market. I believe that General Bancshares Corporation has the capacity to enter the Jefferson County banking market on a foothold basis. In light of the concentrated nature of the market and the share of commercial bank deposits held by Security Bank \& Trust Co., the elimination of General Bancshares Corporation as a probable future entrant is substantially anticompetitive.
I believe that the Board's action approving this application represents another situation in which the Board's proposed guidelines relating to probable future competition permit acquisitions by bank holding companies that have substantially anticompetitive consequences. As I have previously indicated, I continue to believe that the Board should develop and apply standards that more realistically reflect the adverse effects of the elimination of probable future competition.
Accordingly, I dissent from the Board's decision regarding this application.

August 31, 1983

Holcomb Bancshares, lnc., Ellsworth, Kansas

Order Denying Formation of Bank Holding Company
Holcomb Bancshares, Inc., Ellsworth, Kansas, has applied for the Board's approval under section 3(a)(1) of the Bank Holding Company Act ( 12 U.S.C. § 1842(a)(1)) of the formation of a bank holding company through the acquisition of 100 percent of the voting shares of First National Bank of Holcomb, Holcomb, Kansas ("Bank").
Notice of the application, affording opportunity for interested persons to submit comments, has been given in accordance with section 3(b) of the Act. The
time for filing comments has expired and the Board has considered the application and all comments received in light of the factors set forth in section 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. § 1842 (c)).

Applicant is a recently organized corporation formed for the purpose of becoming a bank holding company through the acquisition of Bank, a newly chartered bank. Through an exchange of voting common stock, Applicant proposes to transfer ownership of Bank from individuals to a corporation owned by the same individuals, with little change in the Bank's management or operations. Bank is a de novo bank which opened. on April 1, 1983, and has no record for asset growth or earnings. Therefore, no meaningful deposit figures or market data are yet available.

Bank is located in the Finney County, Kansas banking market. ${ }^{1}$ The principals of Bank and Applicant operate another bank in Kansas located 150 miles from Bank. They do not control any other financial institution in Bank's market. Accordingly, the Board concludes that consummation of the proposal would not result in any adverse effects upon competition or increase the concentration of banking resources in any relevant area.

The Board has indicated on previous occasions that a holding company should serve as a source of financial and managerial strength to its subsidiary bank, and every proposed acquisition or formation is closely examined in light of this consideration. With respect to this proposal, the Board concludes that the record presents adverse financial considerations that warrant denial of the proposal.

The Board has cautioned against the assumption of substantial amounts of debt in a bank holding company formation because of concern that the holding company would no longer have the financial flexibility to meet unexpected problems of its subsidiary bank or would be forced to place substantial demands on its subsidiary bank to meet its debt servicing requirements. A high debt-to-equity ratio also may give rise to the risks associated with leveraging. Such risks include a significant reduction in the parent company's ability to use the debt and capital markets to aid its subsidiary bank should the need arise. ${ }^{2}$

The Board generally regards an applicant's debt-toequity ratio of 30 percent or less as satisfactory provided that other financial factors, such as appli-

[^24]cant's capitalization, capital-to-assets ratio, and earnings projections are consistent with peer group levels. In order to facilitate the transfer of local ownership of small existing community banks, the Board has adopted guidelines for the formation of one-bank holding companies with as much as a 300 percent debt-toequity ratio, provided that the Applicant demonstrates its ability to become a source of strength to its subsidiary bank within a relatively short period of time, such as by reducing its debt-to-equity ratio to a reasonable level (generally 30 percent) within 12 years of consummation. ${ }^{3}$ In cases involving the formation of a small one-bank holding company through the acquisition of a de novo bank, however, the Board has indicated that, due to the absence of any record of earnings or growth, such a high debt-to-equity ratio would not be consistent with sound financial practices. In such cases, the Board has found that a debt-toequity ratio of 100 percent or less at formation was appropriate. ${ }^{4}$

Applicant proposes to incur long-term debt for the purchase of Bank's shares representing 164 percent of its pro forma equity, well in excess of the Board's policy for bank holding company formations involving de novo banks. ${ }^{5}$ Applicant proposes to service this debt by relying almost entirely upon the financial resources of Bank. The Board has generally found that a one-bank holding company that relies on the earnings of its subsidiary bank to service debt often creates a drain on the resources of its subsidiary bank. ${ }^{6}$ In this case, moreover, the Board has carefully considered Applicant's earnings and growth projections for Bank and has concluded that these projections are overly optimistic.

Applicant bases its earnings projections on the performance of three de novo banks established in Kan-

[^25]sas. The Board disagrees that their performance supports Applicant's projections. During the first year of operations, all three banks experienced losses, which is not unusual for de novo banks, and although each of the banks became profitable in the second year, each either experienced a subsequent earnings decline or has not yet generated earnings approaching peer levels. Bank's earnings projections exceed applicable peer levels, and even if Bank's earnings performance followed the pattern of these three banks, Applicant would be unable to generate sufficient earnings to adequately service its debt and maintain capital in Bank.
To mitigate the Board's concerns regarding Applicant's high debt-to-equity ratio, Applicant has committed to maintain Bank's primary capital-to-assets ratio at seven percent and to seek prior approval before incurring additional debt. Based on information in the record concerning the financial resources of Applicant and its principal shareholders, however, the Board does not believe that these commitments provide sufficient financial support to overcome the adverse financial aspects of the proposal. ${ }^{7}$

Applicant further contends that through effective management the debt can be substantially reduced over a 12 -year period. ${ }^{8}$ Applicant has expressed plans to apply to engage in general insurance activities, the annual commissions from which would enhance Applicant's debt servicing ability. It is the Board $s$ view that these plans do not provide sufficient financial flexibility to mitigate the adverse financial factors of this proposal. Inasmuch as the holding company formation would not affect Bank's services, the Board concludes that convenience and needs factors are consistent with approval, but lend no weight to outweigh the adverse financial factors of this application.

On the basis of all the facts of record, the Board concludes that the banking considerations involved in this proposal present adverse factors bearing upon the financial resources and future prospects of Applicant and Bank. Such adverse factors are not outweighed by any procompetitive effects or by benefits that would result in better serving the convenience and needs of the community. Accordingly, it is the Board's judg-

[^26]ment that approval of the application would not be in the public interest and that the application should be denied.
On the basis of the facts of record, the application is denied for the reasons summarized above.
By order of the Board of Governors, effective September 26, 1983.

Voting for this action: Vice Chairman Martin and Governors Wallich, Partee, Teeters, Rice, and Gramley. Absent and not voting: Chairman Volcker.
[seal]
Associate Secretary of the Board

Spur Bancshares, Inc., Spur, Texas

## Order Denying Formation of a Bank Holding Company

Spur Bancshares, Inc., Spur, Texas ("Applicant"), has applied for the Board's approval under section 3(a)(1) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. § 1842(a)(1)) to become a bank holding company by acquiring at least 80 percent of the voting shares of Ranco Bancshares, Inc., Spur, Texas ("Company"), a registered one-bank holding company by virtue of its control of 98 percent of the voting shares of Spur Security Bank, Spur, Texas ("Bank").
Notice of the application, affording opportunity for interested persons to submit comments and views, has been given in accordance with section 3(b) of the Act. The time for filing comments and views has expired, and the Board has considered the application and all comments received in light of the factors set forth in section 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. § 1842 (c)).
Applicant, a nonoperating corporation with no subsidiaries, was organized for the purpose of acquiring Company and, thereby, indirectly acquiring Bank. Upon acquisition of Bank (total deposits of approximately $\$ 17.0$ million), Applicant would control one of the smaller banks in the state. ${ }^{1}$
Bank is the only bank in the relevant banking market and controls 100 percent of the total deposits in commercial banks in the market. ${ }^{2}$ Although Applicant's principals are associated with other banking organizations, none of these organizations competes in the relevant banking market. Thus, consummation of

[^27]the proposed transaction would have no adverse effects on competition nor increase the concentration of banking resources in any relevant area. Accordingly, the Board concludes that competitive considerations are consistent with approval of the application.

In 1975, Company received approval to become a bank holding company through the acquisition of Bank. Company has retired all acquisition debt incurred in connection with that transaction. As part of this transaction, Company's principal proposes to transfer by sale and gift a total of 43.2 percent of Company's shares to three of his adult children ("Purchasers'". Purchasers propose to finance their acquisition by incurring $\$ 1.0$ million in debt, which would be assumed by Applicant. Following consummation, Applicant would have a debt-to-equity ratio of 1.46 to 1 . Applicant intends to service this debt by dividends from Bank as well as from the tax benefits associated with the formation of a bank holding company. Purchasers do not intend to use any of their personal resources in this transaction.

Purchasers' father, who is Company's principal shareholder, would receive 21.4 percent of Applicant's voting stock and 100 percent of Applicant's nonvoting preferred stock, and would continue to serve as president of Company and chairman of Bank. Upon consummation of this transaction, Purchasers' father would become president of Applicant. Purchasers have indicated that they do not intend to serve in any capacity with Applicant, Company, or Bank.

The Board has indicated on previous occasions that a bank holding company should serve as a source of strength to its subsidiary bank and that the Board will examine the condition of an applicant in each case with this consideration in mind. Specifically, the Board's experience indicates that a bank holding company with a substantial amount of debt generally lacks the financial flexibility to meet unexpected problems of its subsidiary bank. However, the Board has been willing to approve the formation of one-bank holding companies with substantial debt in order to facilitate the transfer of local ownership of small community banks, provided that the Applicant demonstrates its ability to become a source of strength to its subsidiary bank within a relatively short period of time, such as by reducing its debt-to-equity ratio to a reasonable level (generally 30 percent) within 12 years of consummation. ${ }^{3}$
In this case, Applicants's formation entails an amount of indebtedness that is so substantial that it will impair its ability to serve as a source of strength to
its subsidiary bank ${ }^{4}$ and would give rise to the risks associated with leveraging. Such risks include a significant reduction in the parent company's ability to use the debt and capital markets to aid its subsidiary bank should the need arise.

The Board is prepared to permit relatively high levels of debt such as is contemplated by this proposal only in order to facilitate the transfer of ownership of small banks, as discussed above, thereby promoting service to the convenience and needs of the community. In this case, however, although some voting stock of Company would be transferred to Purchasers, Purchaser would not use any of their personal resources in the transaction and would not be involved in the management of Applicant, Company, or Bank. In addition, Company's principal would remain the largest single shareholder of Applicant and would serve in management positions with Applicant, Company, and Bank. Based on these facts, the Board concludes that no actual change in the ownership and control of Bank is contemplated at this time. Moreover, no changes in the services offered by Bank are expected to follow from consummation of the proposed transaction. Consequently, the Board concludes that this proposal does not qualify for consideration under the Board's policy statement regarding small one-bank holding companies and that considerations relating to the convenience and needs of the community to be served lend no weight toward approval of the proposal. The managerial resources of Applicant, Company, and Bank, although satisfactory, lend no weight toward approval of the application.
Thus, based on the factors the Board is required to review under the Act, the Board concludes that the considerations relating to the financial resources of Applicant are adverse and are not outweighed by any procompetitive effects or any benefits to the convenience and needs of the community. Accordingly, it is the Board's judgment that approval of the application would not be in the public interest and the application should be denied.
On the basis of the record, the application is denied for the reasons summarized above.

By order of the Board of Governors, effective September 15, 1983.

[^28]James McAfee,
[SEAL]
Associate Secretary of the Board

[^29][^30]Trilon Financial Corporation, Toronto, Ontaria, Canada

## Order Approving Acquisition of Banks

Trilon Financial Corporation, Toronto, Ontario, Canada, has applied for the Board's approval under section 3(a)(1) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. § 1842) to become a bank holding company through the acquisition of 47 percent of the voting shares of Royal Trustco Limited, also of Toronto, a registered bank holding company by virtue of its ownership of all the voting shares of Royal Trust Bank Corp., Miami, Florida, which in turn owns Royal Trust Bank, N.A., Miami, Florida; Royal Trust Bank of Florida, N.A., St. Petersburg, Florida; Royal Trust Bank, St. Petersburg, Florida; Royal Trust Bank of Palm Beach, N.A., Palm Beach, Florida; and Royal Trust Bank of Jacksonville, Jacksonville, Florida (collectively, "Banks").

Notice of this application, affording opportunity for interested persons to submit comments, has been given in accordance with section 3(b) of the Act. The time for filing comments and views has expired, and the Board has considered the application and all comments received in light of the factors set forth in section 3(c) of the Act.
Applicant is a holding company whose primary assets are shares of London Life Insurance Company, London, Ontario, a Canadian life insurance company. Neither Applicant nor its subsidiaries control any banks or conduct any banking or nonbanking activities in the United States. Upon acquisition of Royal Trustco Limited, Applicant would become a qualifying foreign banking organization within the meaning of section 211.23(b) of the Board's Regulation K (12 C.F.R. § $211.23(\mathrm{~b})$ ).

Royal Trustco Limited is a bank holding company subject to the Act by virtue of its ownership and control of Banks. These Banks control approximately $\$ 737$ million in total assets and $\$ 486.6$ million in total domestic deposits, representing approximately one percent of total domestic deposits in commercial banks in Florida, and ranking Banks as the 17th largest commercial banking organization in Florida.' Royal Trustco Limited has negotiated the sale of all of these Banks to third parties. Applicant supports the decision of Royal Trustco Limited to sell its banking interests in the United States and has committed to take all action within its power to ensure that the sale is completed.

[^31]Inasmuch as Applicant and its subsidiaries do not control any other banks or conduct any banking operations in the United States, consummation of the proposed transaction would have no adverse effects on either existing or potential competition in any relevant market. and would not increase the concentration of resources in any relevant market. Therefore, the Board concludes that competitive considerations are consistent with approval of this application.

The financial and managerial resources and future prospects of Applicant and Banks appear satisfactory, and considerations relating to banking factors are consistent with approval of the application. Considerations relating to the convenience and needs of the communities to be served are also consistent with approval.

Based upon the foregoing, including all of the facts of record and the commitment made by Applicant, the Board has determined that the application should be and hereby is approved. The transaction shall not be consummated before the thirtieth calendar day following the effective date of this Order, or later than three months after the effective date of this Order, unless such period is extended for good cause by the Board or by the Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta under delegated authority.

By order of the Board of Governors, effective September 12, 1983.

Voting for this action: Chairman Volcker and Governors Partee, Rice, and Gramley. Absent and not voting: Governors Martin, Wallich, and Teeters.

James McAfee,
[SEAL]
Associate Secretary of the Board

Unicorp Bancshares, Inc., Houston, Texas

## Order Approving Acquisition of Bank

Unicorp Bancshares, Inc., Houston, Texas, a bank holding company within the meaning of the Bank Holding Company Act, has applied for approval under section 3(a)(3) of the Act (12 U.S.C. § 1842(a)(3)) to acquire Unitedbank-Northwest, Houston, Texas ("Bank"), a proposed new bank.

Notice of the application, affording opportunity for interested persons to submit comments and views, has been given in accordance with section 3(b) of the Act. The time for filing comments and views has expired, and the application and all comments received have been considered in light of the factors set forth in section 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. § 1842(c)).

Applicant, the 35th largest banking organization in Texas, controls two banking subsidiaries with aggregate deposits of approximately $\$ 192.2$ million, ' representing approximately 0.16 percent of statewide deposits.
Applicant has applied to acquire Bank, a proposed new bank. Applicant is thirteenth largest of 104 banking organizations in the relevant market, controlling approximately 0.6 percent of total deposits in commercial banks in the market. ${ }^{2}$ In light of the de novo nature of this proposal, consummation of this transaction would have no adverse effects on competition or increase the concentration of banking resources in any relevant area. Accordingly, the Board concludes that competitive considerations are consistent with approval of the application.
The Board has indicated on previous occasions that a holding company should serve as a source of financial and managerial strength to its subsidiary bank(s), and that the Board will closely examine the condition of an applicant in each case with this consideration in mind. In addition, where the principal of an applicant controls other banking organizations, the Board considers the financial and managerial resources and future prospects of the institutions comprising the chain. ${ }^{3}$ In this instance, Applicant is part of a chain banking organization consisting of four additional banks or bank holding companies, which had combined assets of approximately $\$ 444$ million on June 30 , 1983. Accordingly, the Board has considered Applicant's proposal in light of the Board's Capital Adequacy Guidelines ${ }^{4}$ generally applicable to bank holding companies and chain banking organizations with consolidated assets of over $\$ 150$ million.
The Board has determined that the financial and managerial resources and future prospects of Applicant, its subsidiary banks and Bank are considered generally satisfactory, especially in light of Applicant's commitment to increase its capital and to provide additional capital to Bank and the combined chain. Further, Applicant will not incur debt in connection with this proposal. Accordingly, considerations relating to banking factors are consistent with approval. Considerations relating to the convenience and needs of the community to be served also are

[^32]consistent with approval. Thus, the Board has determined that consummation of the transaction would be in the public interest and that the application should be approved.

Accordingly, on the basis of the record, the application is approved for the reasons summarized above. The transaction shall not be made before the thirtieth calendar day following, or later than three months after, the effective date of this Order, and Bank shall be opened for business not later than six months after the effective date of this Order. The latter two periods each may be extended for good cause by the Board, or by the Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas acting pursuant to delegated authority.

By order of the Board of Governors, effective September 15, 1983.

Voting for this action: Chairman Volcker and Governors Martin, Partee, Rice, and Gramley. Absent and not voting: Governors Wallich and Teeters.

James McAfee,
[seal]
Associate Secretary of the Board

United Bancshares, Inc., Lincoln, Nebraska

## Order Approving Formation of a Bank Holding Company

United Bancshares, Inc., Lincoln, Nebraska, has applied for the Board's approval under section 3(a)(1) of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended ("Act") (12 U.S.C. § 1842(a)(1)), to form a bank holding company by acquiring at least 80 percent of the voting shares of Gateway Bank \& Trust Company, Lincoln, Nebraska ("Bank").

Notice of the application, affording opportunity for interested persons to submit comments and views, has been given in accordance with section 3(b) of the Act. The time for filing comments and views has expired, and the Board has considered the application and all comments received in light of the factors set forth in section 3(c) of the Act.
Applicant is a nonoperating corporation organized for the purpose of becoming a bank holding company by acquiring Bank, which holds deposits of $\$ 63.7$ million. ${ }^{1}$ Upon consummation of this proposal, Applicant will become the 31 st largest banking organization in Nebraska controlling 0.56 percent of total deposits held by commercial banks in the state.

[^33]Bank operates in the Lincoln banking market ${ }^{2}$ where it is the third largest of 21 banks and controls 5.5 percent of total deposits in commercial banks in the market. Principals of Applicant already control a majority of Bank's voting shares. Principals of Applicant also control six other banks through one bank holding companies, two of which compete with Bank in the Lincoln banking market - Citizens State Bank, the sixth largest bank with deposits of $\$ 30.8$ million, representing 2.7 percent of total deposits in commercial banks in the market, and Lincoln Bank East, the 12th largest bank with deposits of $\$ 12.3$ million, representing 1.1 percent of total deposits in commercial banks in the market.

When principals of an applicant already control the bank to be acquired and also control other banks or bank holding companies in the same market, the Board, in addition to analyzing the competitive effects of the proposal before it, examines the transactions by which the banks involved came under common control to determine whether a particular application would further an anticompetitive arrangement. ${ }^{3}$ In this case, while the original affiliation between Bank and Citizens State Bank and Lincoln Bank East eliminated some existing competition at the time of affiliation, several factors mitigate any adverse competitive effects.
The Lincoln banking market is regarded as highly concentrated with the three largest banking organizations controlling 79.7 percent of total deposits in commercial banks in the market and a HerfindahlHirschman Index ("HHI') of 2871. However, a large portion of the concentration in this market is due to the size of the two largest banks in the market, controlling 46.5 percent and 23.9 percent, respectively, of total deposits in commercial banks in the market. The affiliation of Citizens State Bank and Lincoln Bank East with Bank in 1982 resulted in a chain banking organization controlling $\$ 106.8$ million in deposits, representing 9.3 percent of total deposits in commercial banks in the market, and ranking third among banking organizations in the market. This affiliation increased the market HHI by only 41 points. ${ }^{4}$ Also, there are numerous independent banking alternatives in the market.
2. The Lincoln banking market is defined as Lincoln County, Nebraska.
3. See Mahaska Investment Company, 63 Federal Reserve Bulletin 579 (1977); Citizens Bancorp, Inc., 63 Federal Reserve Bulletin 1083 (1977); and Mankato Bankshares, Inc., 64 Federal Reserve Bulletin 760 (1978).
4. According to the Department of Justice's merger guidelines. the Department regards a market with post merger HHI of 1,800 points or

Accordingly, the affiliation of Bank with Citizens State Bank and Lincoln Bank East through common control did not result in elimination of any significant existing competition. Consummation of this proposal will not result in elimination of potential competition or concentration of banking resources in any relevant market. Therefore, the Board has determined that considerations relating to competitive factors are consistent with approval.
The financial and managerial resources of Applicant and Bank are regarded as generally satisfactory and their future prospects appear favorable, especially in light of Applicant's proposal to inject additional capital into Bank. Although Applicant proposes to incur debt in connection with its proposal, it appears that Applicant will be able to retire its debt within the Board's guidelines. ${ }^{5}$ The Board also has considered the financial and managerial resources and future prospects of the other institutions in the chain and considers their resources and prospects to be satisfactory. ${ }^{6}$

Accordingly, it is the Board's judgment that the proposed acquisition is in the public interest and that the application should be approved. On the basis of the record, the application is approved for the reasons summarized above. The transaction shall not be consummated before the thirtieth calendar day following the effective date of this Order or later than three months after the effective date of this Order, unless such period is extended for good cause by the Board or by the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City, acting pursuant to delegated authority.

By order of the Board of Governors, effective September 20, 1983.

Voting for this action: Chairman Volcker and Governors Martin, Partee, Rice, and Gramley. Absent and not voting: Governors Wallich and Teeters.

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\text { James McAFee, } \\
\text { [SEAL] }
\end{array} \quad \text { Associate Secretary of the Board }
$$

greater to be highly concentrated, but the Department is not likely to challenge a merger or acquisition resulting in a HHI increase of less than 50 points.
5. Board, "Policy Statement For Formation of Small One-Bank Holding Companies," 66 Federal Reserve Bulletin 320 (1980), reprinted in FRRS $914-855$ and $4-856$; amended by the Board and the Comptroller of the Currency, "Capital Adequacy Guidelines," 68 Federal Reserve Bulletin 33 (1982), reprinted in FRRS 9 3-1506; further amended by the Board and the Comptroller of the Currency, "Amendments to the Capital Adequacy Guidelines," 69 Federal Reserve Bulletin 539 (1983).
6. Where principals of an applicant are engaged in establishing or operating a chain of one bank holding companies, the Board analyzes such organizations by standards normally applied to multi-bank holding companies. Nebraska Banco., Inc., 62 Federal Reserve Bulletin 638 (1976).

## Van Buren Bancorporation, Keosauqua, Iowa

## Order Approving Acquisition of Bank

Van Buren Bancorporation, Keosauqua, Iowa, a bank holding company within the meaning of the Bank Holding Company Act, has applied for the Board's approval under section 3(a)(3) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. § 1842(a)(3)) to acquire 86.4 percent of the voting shares of State Savings Bank, Cantril, Iowa.

Notice of the application, affording opportunity for interested persons to submit comments, has been given in accordance with section 3(b) of the Act ( 12 U.S.C. § $1842(b)$ ). The time for filing comments has expired, and all comments received, including those of the State of Iowa Department of Banking recommending approval of this application, have been considered in light of the factors set forth in section 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. § 1842(c)).

Applicant controls one bank subsidiary, Farmers State Bank, Keosauqua, Iowa ("Farmers"), with total deposits of $\$ 25.1$ million, representing 0.13 percent of total deposits held by commercial banks in the state. ${ }^{1}$ It is the 246th largest of the 645 commercial banks in the state.

Bank is 450th largest commercial banking organization in the state with deposits of $\$ 12.6$ million, representing approximately 0.06 percent of deposits held in commercial banks in Iowa. Acquisition of Bank would increase Applicant's share of statewide deposits to 0.19 percent, and would make Applicant the 145th largest commercial banking organization in the state. Because consummation of the transaction would increase Applicant's share of statewide deposits by only 0.06 percent, the Board concludes that consummation would not result in a significant increase in the concentration of banking resources in lowa.

Applicant and Bank both compete in the Van Buren County banking market. ${ }^{2}$ Applicant is the largest of four commercial banking organizations in the market, with total deposits of $\$ 25.1$ million representing 42.0 percent of total deposits in commercial banks in the market. Bank is the third largest banking organization in the market with total deposits of $\$ 9.8$ million representing 16.3 percent of total deposits. ${ }^{3}$ Consum-

[^34]mation of the proposal would increase Applicant's share of total deposits in commercial banks in the market to 58.3 percent. In light of these and other facts of record, the Board finds that consummation of the proposed transaction would eliminate existing competition between Bank and Applicant, would remove an independent competitor from the Van Buren County banking market, and would increase the concentration of banking resources in the market.
In view of the foregoing discussion and based on the facts of record, the Board concludes that the competitive effects of the proposal are substantially adverse. Under the standards set forth in section 3(c) of the Bank Holding Company Act, it is clear that the Board may not approve the subject proposal unless it finds that "the anticompetitive effects of the proposed transaction are clearly outweighed in the public interest by the probable effect of the transaction in meeting the convenience and needs of the community to be served."
In assessing such considerations in light of the facts surrounding this proposal, the Board finds that the anticompetitive effects are clearly outweighed in the public interest. The financial and managerial resources and future prospects of Applicant and its subsidiary are considered satisfactory and consistent with approval of this application. Bank's financial and managerial resources, absent consummation of the instant proposal, are less than satisfactory, and its future prospects are uncertain. Bank has suffered losses in its operations and, lacking the internal capability of reversing the adverse trend, it appears unlikely that Bank will be able to continue as a viable organization in serving the public. Under this proposal, Applicant has agreed to inject capital of $\$ 700,000$ and to provide significant managerial assistance to Bank. These actions would assure Bank's continued viability and the availability of Bank as a source of banking services in the Van Buren County banking market. Further, Applicant's proposal appears to be the only option available to prevent further deterioration of the Bank and to insure its viability. Bank was offered for sale to six other banking organizations located outside Van Buren County. None of these, however, expressed any interest in purchase of the Bank.

Although the Board would prefer a less anticompetitive acquisition as a means for assuring the continuation of Bank as a vehicle for serving the convenience and needs of the public, it appears that such an alternative is not readily available. Therefore, the Board views the improved financial prospects of Bank that would result from consummation of this proposal, and convenience and needs considerations as lending significant weight toward approval of the application and outweighing the substantially adverse competitive
effects that would result from consummation of the proposal. Accordingly, it is the Board's judgment that consummation of the proposal would be in the public interest and that the application should be approved.

On the basis of record, the application is approved for the reasons summarized above. The transaction shall not be made before the thirtieth calendar day following the effective date of this Order or later than three months after the effective date of this Order, unless such period is extended for good cause by the Board, or by the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago pursuant to delegated authority.

By order of the Board of Governors, effective September 14, 1983.

Voting for this action: Chairman Volcker and Governors Martin, Partee, Rice, and Gramley. Absent and not voting: Governors Wallich and Teeters.

James McAfee,
[seal]
Associate Secretary of the Board

## Orders Under Section 4 of Bank Holding Company Act

Old Stone Corporation, Providence, Rhode Island

## Order Approving Acquisition of Stock Savings and Loan Association

Old Stone Corporation, Providence, Rhode Island, a bank holding company within the meaning of the Bank Holding Company Act (the "BHC Act"), has applied for the Board's approval under section 4(c)(8) of the BHC Act ( 12 U.S.C. § 1843(c)(8)), and section 225.4(b)(2) of the Board's Regulation Y (12 C.F.R. § 225.4(b)(2)), to acquire all of the shares of Perpetual Savings and Loan Association, High Point, North Carolina ("Perpetual"), a state-chartered savings and loan association insured by the North Carolina Savings Guaranty Corporation. Upon consummation of the proposed acquisition, Applicant will engage through Perpetual in the activity of operating a savings and loan association. Although the Board has not added the operation of a thrift institution to the list of activities specified in section 225.4(a) of Regulation Y as generally permissible for bank holding companies, the Board has determined in several individual cases that the operation of a thrift institution is closely related to banking. ${ }^{1}$

[^35]In fulfillment of their statutory responsibilities, the Administrator of the Savings and Loan Division of the North Carolina Department of Commerce and the North Carolina Savings Guaranty Corporation have requested that the Board act immediately on this application in light of the current financial condition of Perpetual. As a result of amendments to the BHC Act contained in the Garn-St Germain Depository Institutions Act of 1982, section 4(c)(8) of the BHC Act provides that the Board may dispense with the notice and hearing requirements of section 4(c)(8) with regard to the acquisition of a thrift institution if the Board finds that an emergency exists that requires immediate action and the primary federal regulator of the institution concurs in this finding.
Perpetual is a thrift institution as that term is defined in the Act and on the basis of the request by North Carolina authorities and other information of record, the Board finds that an emergency exists that requires immediate action on this application. Since Perpetual does not have a federal regulator, the Board has determined that no further action is necessary to authorize the Board to dispense with notice and opportunity for hearing. Perpetual's only regulators, the Savings and Loan Administrator and the Guaranty Corporation, have both urged immediate action on the basis of Perpetual's condition, and the Board therefore believes that such action is appropriate under the statute. ${ }^{2}$

As noted above, this application has been filed under section 4(c)(8) of the BHC Act as a nonbanking activity. The BHC Act defines a bank as an institution that accepts deposits that the depositor has a legal right to withdraw on demand and that is engaged in the business of making commercial loans.
As a result of the Garn-St Germain Act, any institution that is insured by the FSLIC is exempt from the bank definition even if it accepts demand deposits and is engaged in the business of making commercial loans. Applicant has stated that it wishes to assure Perpetual's qualification as a nonbank under the Act by securing FSLIC insurance. In the interim, Perpetu-

[^36]al will not make commercial loans as that term has been interpreted by the Board in its decision regarding the Dreyfus Corporation's acquisition of Lincoln State Bank. In view of these commitments, and in light of the fact that Perpetual is a "thrift institution" as that term is defined in section 2(i) of the BHC Act, the Board concludes that this application may properly be considered under section 4 of the Act as a nonbanking application.

Applicant is a bank holding company by virtue of its control of Old Stone Bank, Providence, Rhode Island, which operates 30 banking offices throughout Rhode Island and controls $\$ 1.5$ billion in deposits (as of March 31, 1983). Applicant also operates industrial banking, consumer finance and mortgage banking subsidiaries, but none of Applicant's subsidiaries have offices in North Carolina. Perpetual, a stock savings and loan association, operates six offices in western North Carolina and controls $\$ 173$ million in deposits (as of March 31, 1983).

In view of the fact that Applicant's subsidiaries and Perpetual operate in separate markets and there is no significant amount of direct competition between them, consummation of the proposed acquisition would not have a significant effect on existing competition in any relevant market. In view of the relatively small size and limited presence of Perpetual in its markets, and the number of potential entrants into these markets, the Board finds that this acquisition would not have any significant adverse effect on potential competition. Indeed, the proposed acquisition would have a substantial beneficial impact on competition by ensuring the continued operation of Perpetual as a viable institution.

Section 4(c)(8) of the Act (12 U.S.C. § $1843(\mathrm{c})(8)$ ) authorizes a bank holding company to acquire a nonbank company where the activities of the nonbank company are determined by the Board to be "so closely related to banking or managing or controlling banks as to be a proper incident thereto." The Act provides that the Board may make such determinations by order or by regulation. As earlier stated, the Board has determined previously that the operation of a thrift institution is closely related to banking, and reaffirms that determination in this order.

With respect to the "proper incident" requirement, however, section 4(c)(8) of the Act requires the Board to consider whether the performance of the activity by an affiliate of a holding company "can reasonably be expected to produce benefits to the public, such as greater convenience, increased competition, or gains in efficiency that outweigh possible adverse effects, such as undue concentration of resources, decreased or unfair competition, conflicts of interests, or unsound banking practices."

In 1977, the Board considered the general question whether savings and loan association ("S\&L") activities are a proper incident to banking. At that time, the Board determined that, as a general matter, S\&L activities are not a proper incident to banking since the potential adverse effects of generally allowing affiliations of banks and S\&Ls were then sufficiently strong to outweigh such benefits as might result in individual cases. (D. H. Baldwin \& Co. 63 Federal Reserve Bulletin 280 (1977)).
Because of the considerations elaborated in D.H. Baldwin \& Co., the Board has not been prepared to permit bank holding companies to acquire thrift institutions on a general basis. However, the Board has consistently regarded the BHC Act as authorizing the Board to permit such an acquisition, and during 1982 the Board approved two such proposals involving failing thrift institutions on the basis that any adverse effects of bank/thrift affiliations would be overcome by the public benefits of preserving the failing thrift institution. ${ }^{3}$ In addition, Congress has recognized the need to allow bank holding companies to acquire failing federally insured thrift institutions in the GarnSt Germain Act, and as noted above, the procedures followed by North Carolina authorities in this matter are consistent with the provisions of that Act.
The Board has reexamined, in the context of this application, the general adverse factors cited in the Board's 1977 D. H. Baldwin decision, including regulatory conflict, erosion of institutional rivalry, and the potential for undermining interstate banking prohibitions. The Board has also considered the adverse factors that might be associated with this particular application, ${ }^{4}$ including the potential for unfair competition, conflicts of interests, financial risks, diversion of funds, participation in impermissible activities, and evasion of interest rate limitations.
In view of the determination by the North Carolina Savings and Loan Administrator, the Guaranty Corporation, and the Board with respect to Perpetual's financial condition, the lack of any potential thrift institution purchaser for Perpetual, and the other considerations detailed below, the Board has determined that the substantial benefits to the public associated with preserving Perpetual as a thrift competitor are sufficient to outweigh the generalized adverse effects found by the Board in the D. H. Baldwin case.
The Board considers Applicant's acquisition of Perpetual to be a substantial and compelling public benefit in that Applicant will provide Perpetual with sufficient

[^37]new capital funds to enable perpetual to continue its operations and to remain a viable competitor. The record establishes that Applicant has the financial and managerial resources and commitment to serving the convenience and needs of the public to achieve this result. The acquisition will preserve a competitor in the markets served by Perpetual, thus ensuring the continuation of services by Perpetual to its customers and protecting the interests of Perpetuals' depositors.

The affiliation of Applicant and Perpetual also is not likely to result in unfair competition in view of the various commitments offered by Applicant to ensure that Perpetual will be operated independently and not utilized to further or enhance the activities of Applicants' other subsidiaries. In addition, Perpetual's activities will be limited to those permissible under the BHC Act and its offices will be limited to locations at which banks located in North Carolina may establish branches.

To guard against possible adverse effects of affiliation in this case between a banking organization and a savings and loan association, including the potential for unfair competition and diversion of funds, the Board has relied on the following commitments offered by Applicant:

1. Applicant will operate Perpetual as a savings and loan association having as its primary purpose the provision of residential housing credit. Perpetual will limit its activities to those permitted to federal savings and loan associations currently under the Home Owners' Loan Act, but shall not engage in any activity prohibited to bank holding companies and their subsidiaries under section $4(c)(8)$ of the Bank Holding Company Act. These limitations will apply to perpetual's wholly owned service corporation, which shall have two years from the date of this Order to complete the divestiture of its impermissible real estate development projects.
2. Perpetual will not establish or operate a remote service unit at any location outside North Carolina. 3. Perpetual will not establish or operate branches at locations not permissible for national or state banks located in North Carolina. ${ }^{5}$
3. Perpetual will be operated as a separate, independent, profit-oriented corporate entity and shall not be operated in tandem with any other subsidiary of Applicant. Applicant and Perpetual will limit their operations to effect this condition, and will observe the following conditions:

[^38]a. No banking or other subsidiary of Old Stone will link its deposit-taking activities to accounts at Perpetual in a sweeping arrangement or similar arrangement.
b. Neither Old Stone nor any of its subsidiaries will solicit deposits or loans for Perpetual, nor shall perpetual solicit deposits or loans for any other subsidiary of Applicant.
5. Applicant will not change Perpetual's name to include the word "bank" or any other term that might confuse the public regarding Perpetual's status as a nonbank thrift institution.
6. Perpetual will not convert its charter to that of a national or state commercial bank without the Board's prior approval.
7. Perpetual will not engage in any transaction that would be in violation of section 23 A of the Federal Reserve Act, as if Perpetual were a member bank. 8. To the extent necessary to ensure independent operation of Perpetual and prevent the improper diversion of funds, there shall be no transaction between Perpetual and Old Stone or any of its subsidiaries without the prior approval of the Federal Reserve Bank of Boston. This limitation encompasses the transfer, purchase, sale or loan of any assets or liabilities, but does not include infusions of capital from Old Stone, the payment of dividends by Perpetual to Old Stone, or the sale of residential real estate loans from Perpetual to any subsidiary of Old Stone.

The Board concludes that consummation of the proposal, subject to the commitments set out above, may not reasonably be expected to result in conflicts of interests, unsound banking practices, undue concentration of resources, or other adverse effects.

Based upon the foregoing and other facts and circumstances reflected in the record, the Board has determined that the acquisition of Perpetual by Applicant would result in substantial and compelling public benefits that are sufficient to outweigh any adverse effects that may reasonably be expected to result from this proposal, including any potential adverse effects of the affiliation of a commercial banking organization with a thrift institution. Accordingly, the application is approved subject to the commitments described in this Order, and the record of this application.

The Board's decision is further subject to the conditions set forth in section 225.4(c) of Regulation Y and to the Board's authority to require such modification or termination of the activities of a holding company or any of its subsidiaries as the Board finds necessary to assure compliance with the provisions and purposes of the Act and the Board's regulations and orders issued
thereunder, or to prevent evasion thereof. The transaction shall be made not later than three months after the effective date of this Order, unless that period is extended for good cause by the Board or by the Federal Reserve Bank of Boston acting pursuant to authority hereby delegated.
By order of the Board of Governors, effective September 7, 1983.

Voting for this action: Chairman Volcker and Governors Martin, Partee, Teeters, Rice, and Gramley. Absent and not voting: Governor Wallich.
[SEAL] Associate Secretary of the Board

Whitewater Bancorp, Inc.,
Whitewater, Wisconsin

## Order Approving Application to Engage in Insurance Activities

Whitewater Bancorp, Inc., Whitewater, Wisconsin, a bank holding company within the meaning of the Bank Holding Company Act ("Act"), has applied for the Board's approval under section 4(c)(8) of the Act (12 U.S.C. § $1843(\mathrm{c})(8)$ ) and section 225.4 of the Board's Regulation Y (12 C.F.R. § 225.4), to engage de novo in general insurance agency activities in a community with a population greater than 5,000 . While this activity has not been specified by the Board in Regulation Y as permissible for bank holding companies, Applicant, as a bank holding company with total assets of $\$ 50$ million or less, relies on the statutory language contained in section 601(F) of the GarnSt Germain Depository Institutions Act of $1982^{1}$ as authorization for this activity.
Notice of the application, affording interested persons an opportunity to submit comments on the proposal, has been duly published. (48 Federal Register 21201 (May 11, 1983)). The time for filing comments has expired and the Board has considered the application in light of the public interest factors set forth in section 4(c)(8) of the Act.
Applicant, with total assets of $\$ 45.2$ million as of December 31, 1982, proposes to engage in general insurance activities in Whitewater, Wisconsin, a community with a population of 12,038 as of the 1970 census. Applicant states that the activities will be conducted from the offices of its subsidiary bank, First

[^39]Citizens State Bank, Whitewater, Wisconsin (total deposits of $\$ 39.2$ million as of March 31, 1983), and that its service area will be the state of Wisconsin.

In order to approve an application submitted pursuant to section $4(\mathrm{c})(8)$ of the Act, the Board is required to determine that the proposed activity is "so closely related to banking or managing or conducting banks as to be a proper incident thereto . . " (12 U.S.C. $\S 1843(\mathrm{c})(8)$ ). In this regard, the Board has not previously found that the sale of general insurance by bank holding companies with assets of less than $\$ 50$ million is an activity closely related to banking within the meaning of section $4(\mathrm{c})(8)$ of the BHC Act. However, in 1982 Congress amended section 4 (c)(8) by adoption of Title VI of the Garn-St Germain Depository Institutions Act. In relevant part Title VI of the Garn-St Germain Act reads as follows:

It is not closely related to banking or managing or controlling banks for a bank holding company to provide insurance as principal, agent or broker except:
(F) Any insurance agency activity engaged in by a bank holding company, or any of its subsidiaries, which bank holding company has total assets of $\$ 50,000,000$ or less; provided, however, that such bank holding company and its subsidiaries may not engage in the sale of life insurance or annuities except as provided in subparagraph (A), (B), or (C).

On the basis of the terms of the statute, an examination of the legislative history of the Garn-St Germain Act $^{2}$ and the facts of record, the Board concludes that the sale of general insurance by a bank holding company with consolidated assets of $\$ 50$ million or less is an activity closely related to banking and is not prohibited by the provisions of the Garn-St Germain Act, except that the sale of life insurance or annuities is limited as provided in subsections (A), (B), and (C) of 12 U.S.C. § $1843(\mathrm{c})(8){ }^{3}$
However, while the activity as proposed by Applicant is closely related to banking, in order to approve the application, the Board must determine that the performance of the proposed activities by Applicant

[^40]"can reasonably be expected to produce benefits to the public, such as greater convenience, increased competition, or gains in efficiency, that outweigh possible adverse effects, such as undue concentration of resources, decreased or unfair competition, conflicts of interests, or unsound banking practices." (12 U.S.C. $\S 1843(c)(8)) .{ }^{4}$ In this regard, the Board views Applicant's proposal as procompetitive and in the public interest because de novo entry will provide greater convenience to the public and increased competition in the provision of insurance services in the geographic area to be served. Given the relative ease of entry into the market for insurance agency activities, possible adverse effects, such as undue concentration of resources or decreased or unfair competition, appear to be limited.

Based upon the foregoing and all the facts of record, the Board has determined that the public benefits associated with consummation of this proposal can reasonably be expected to outweigh possible adverse

[^41]effects, and that the balance of the public interest factors favors approval of this application. Accordingly, the application is hereby approved.

This determination is subject to the conditions set forth in section 225.4(c) of Regulation $Y$ and the Board's authority to require such modification or termination of the activities of a holding company or any of its subsidiaries as the Board finds necessary to assure compliance with the provisions and purposes of the Act and the Board's regulations and orders issued thereunder, or to prevent evasion thereof.

The proposed activities shall commence not later than three months after the effective date of this Order, unless such period is extended for good cause by the Board or by the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago.
By order of the Board of Governors, effective September 22, 1983.

Voting for this action: Chairman Volcker, and Governors Martin, Teeters, and Rice. Absent and not voting; Governors Wallich, Partee, and Gramley.

[^42]
## Orders approved Under Bank Holding Company act

## By the Board of Governors

During September 1983 the Board of Governors approved the applications listed below. Copies are available upon request to Publications Services, Division of Support Services, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551.

Section 3

| Applicant | Bank(s) | Board action <br> (effective <br> date) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ellis Banking Corporation, <br> Bradenton, Florida | Jacksonville National Bank, <br> Jacksonville, Florida | September 26, 1983 |
| First Bancshares Corporation of Illinois, <br> Alton, Illinois <br> Grand Lake Bancorp, Inc., <br> Grove, Oklahoma | Airport National Bank, <br> Bethalto, Illinois | September 26, 1983 |

## Section 4

| Applicant | Bank(s) | Effective <br> date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| American Fletcher Corporation, <br> Indianapolis, Indiana | American Fletcher Mortgage <br> Company, Inc., <br> Indianapolis, Indiana | September 22, 1983 |

Section 4-Continued

| Applicant | Bank(s) | Effective date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| European American Bancorp, New York, New York | Dorman \& Wilson. Inc., White Plains, New York | September 13, 1983 |
| First Atlanta Corporation, Atlanta, Georgia | First Atlanta Mortgage Corporation, Atlanta, Georgia | September 13, 1983 |
| Walter E. Heller International Corporation, Chicago, Illinois | Abacus Real Estate Finance Company, Chicago, Illinois | September 12, 1983 |

## By Federal Reserve Banks

Recent applications have been approved by the Federal Reserve Banks as listed below. Copies of the orders are available upon request to the Reserve Banks.

## Section 3

| Applicant | Bank(s) | Reserve Bank | Effective date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Allied Bancshares, Inc., Houston, Texas | Commercial National Bank of Longview, Longview, Texas | Dallas | August 26, 1983 |
| Ashley Bancstock Company, Crossett, Arkansas | First National Bank of Crossett, Crossett, Arkansas | St. Louis | August 31, 1983 |
| Baker Holding Company, Bozeman, Montana | The Bank of Baker, Baker, Montana | Minneapolis | September 2, 1983 |
| Bank South Corporation, Atlanta, Georgia | Georgia Bancshares, Inc., Macon, Georgia | Atlanta | August 24, 1983 |
| Baylor Bancshares, Inc., Seymour, Texas | Matador Bancshares, Inc.. Matador, Texas | Dallas | August 24, 1983 |
| Blanchard Bancshares, Inc., Blanchard, Oklahoma | First State Bank, Blanchard, Oklahoma | Kansas City | August 31, 1983 |
| Borger First Corporation, Borger, Texas | First National Bank of Borger, Borger, Texas | Dallas | September 9, 1983 |
| Buffalo Bancshares, Inc.. Buffalo, Oklahoma | Oklahoma State Bank, Buffalo, Oklahoma | Kansas City | August 18, 1983 |
| Burke Securities Company, Missoula, Montana | Bank of Sheridan, Sheridan, Montana | Minneapolis | September 1, 1983 |
| Caney Valley Bancshares, Inc., Caney, Kansas | Caney Valley National Bank, Caney. Kansas | Kansas City | September 14, 1983 |
| Central Bancshares Corporation, San Angelo, Texas | The Central National Bank <br> of San Angelo, <br> San Angelo, Texas <br> The Central National Bank-West, San Angelo, Texas | Dallas | August 31, 1983 |
| Central Montana Bancorporation, Roundup, Montana | First Security Bank of Roundup, Roundup, Montana Central Montana Agency, Inc., Roundup, Montana | Minneapolis | September 9, 1983 |

## Section 3--Continued

\left.| Applicant | Bank(s) | Reserve | Effective |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bank |  |  |  |$\right]$

Section 3-Continued

| Applicant | Bank(s) | Reserve Bank | Effective date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First Sweetwater Bancshares, Inc., Sweetwater, Texas | The Texas Bank and Trust Company, Sweetwater, Texas | Dallas | September 8, 1983 |
| Flathead Lake Bancorporation, Inc., Polson, Montana | First Citizens Bank of Polson, Polson, Montana | Minneapolis | September 8, 1983 |
| Florida Bay Banks, Inc., Panama City, Florida | Bay Bank and Trust Company, Panama City, Florida | Atlanta | September 1, 1983 |
| Florida County Banks, Inc., Trenton, Florida | The Farmers and Merchants Bank of Trenton, Trenton, Florida | Atlanta | September 16, 1983 |
| Frankfort Bancshares, Inc., Frankfort, Illinois, | Frankfort State Bank, Frankfort, Illinois | Chicago | September 8, 1983 |
| Freeborn Bancorporation, Inc., Freeborn, Minnesota | First State Bank of Freeborn, Freeborn, Minnesota | Minneapolis | September 12, 1983 |
| Fremont Bank Corporation, Canon City, Colorado | Fremont National Bank of Canon City, <br> Canon City, Colorado | Kansas City | September 6, 1983 |
| Frontier Financial Corporation, Everett, Washington | Frontier Bank, Everett, Washington | San Francisco | August 24, 1983 |
| Genoa Bancshares, Inc., Genoa, Illinois | Genoa State Bank, Genoa, Illinois | Chicago | September 14, 1983 |
| Grand Ridge Bancorporation, Inc., Grand Ridge, Illinois | Verona Exchange Bank, Verona, Illinois | Chicago | August 31, 1983 |
| Great Lakes Financial Resources, Inc., Blue Island, Illinois | Community Bank of Homewood- <br> Flossmoor, <br> Homewood, Illinois | Chicago | September 7, 1983 |
| Gulf Southwest Bancorp, Inc., Houston, Texas | Dickinson State Bank, Dickinson, Texas | Dallas | September 16, 1983 |
| Harbor Country Banking Corporation, <br> Three Oaks, Michigan | The Bank of Three Oaks, Three Oaks, Michigan | Chicago | August 31, 1983 |
| Hill Investment Co., Jewell, Iowa | Great Mid-West Financial Company, Ames, Iowa | Chicago | September 8, 1983 |
| Horizon Bancorp, Michigan City, Indiana | The First-Merchants National Bank of Michigan City, Michigan City, Indiana | Chicago | August 31, 1983 |
| Independent Community Banks, Inc., Sanibel, Florida | Retirement Accounts, Inc., Winter Park, Florida | Atlanta | September 23, 1983 |
| Iola Bancshares, Inc., Iola, Wisconsin | First Bank of Iola, Iola, Wisconsin | Chicago | September 16, 1983 |
| Joaquin Bankshares, Inc., Huntington, Texas | Texas State Bank, Joaquin, Texas | Dallas | September 8, 1983 |
| Jorgenson Insurance Agency, Inc., <br> Kenmare, North Dakota | State Bank of Kenmare, Kenmare, North Dakota | Minneapolis | September 16, 1983 |
| Latham Bancorp, Inc., Latham, Illinois | State Bank of Latham, Latham, Illinois | Chicago | September 19, 1983 |

Section 3-Continued

| Applicant | Bank(s) | Reserve Bank | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Effective } \\ & \text { date } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Leedey Bancorporation, Inc., Leedey, Oklahoma | The First National Bank of Leedey, <br> Leedey, Oklahoma | Kansas City | September 12, 1983 |
| Lewisville Bancshares, Inc., Lewisville, Texas | North Texas Bank, Lewisville, Texas | Dallas | August 29, 1983 |
| Lincoln Bancshares, Inc., Lincoln, Missouri | The Farmers Bank of Lincoln, Lincoln, Missouri | Kansas City | September 9, 1983 |
| Marionville Bancshares, Inc., Neosho, Missouri | First State Bank of Marionville, Marionville, Missouri | St. Louis | September 20, 1983 |
| Mercantile Bancorporation Inc., St. Louis, Missouri | First Community Bancorporation, Joplin, Missouri | St. Louis | August 26, 1983 |
| Mid Central BanCorp, Inc., Warsaw, Missouri | Osage Valley Bank, Warsaw, Missouri | Kansas City | August 30, 1983 |
| Midwest Financial Group, Inc., Peoria, Illinois | Corn Belt Bank, <br> Bloomington, Illinois | Chicago | September 23, 1983 |
| Montana Bancsystem, Inc., Billings, Montana | Bank of Montana System, Great Falls, Montana | Minneapolis | September 19, 1983 |
| Morgan Capital Corporation, Fort Morgan, Colorado | Fort Morgan State Bank, Fort Morgan, Colorado | Kansas City | September 2, 1983 |
| MSB Holding Company, Inc., Moorhead, Iowa | Moorhead State Bank, Moorhead, Iowa | Chicago | September 19, 1983 |
| NC Bancorp, Inc., Chicago, Illinois | Edens Plaza State Bank, Wilmette, Illinois | Chicago | September 7, 1983 |
| Norban Financial Group, Inc., Coeur d'Alene, Idaho | Northern State Bank, Coeur d'Alene, Idaho | San Francisco | August 25, 1983 |
| North Pacific Bancorporation, Tacoma, Washington | North Pacific Bank, Tacoma, Washington | San Francisco | August 22, 1983 |
| Old National Bancshares, Inc., Centralia, Illinois | The Old National Bank of Centralia, Centralia, Illinois Farmers \& Merchants Bank of Carlyle, Carlyle, Illinois | St. Louis | September 2, 1983 |
| One Valley Bancorp of West Virginia, Inc., <br> Charleston, West Virginia | Mercer County Bank, Princeton, West Virginia | Richmond | September 1, 1983 |
| Oquawka Bancshares, Inc., Oquawka, Illinois | Bank of Oquawka, Oquawka, Illinois | Chicago | September 7, 1983 |
| Palmetto State Bankshares, Inc., Hampton, South Carolina | Palmetto State Bank, Hampton, South Carolina | Richmond | September 9, 1983 |
| Peoples BanCorp, Belleville, New Jersey | Carteret Bank \& Trust Company, Carteret, New Jersey | New York | September 1, 1983 |
| Peoples Bancorporation, Inc., Winfield, Alabama | Winfield State Bank, Winfield, Alabama | Atlanta | September 2, 1983 |
| P.J.K., Inc., <br> Forest City, Missouri | First State Bank of Forest City, Forest City, Missouri | Kansas | August 30, 1983 |
| Post Bancorp, Inc., Colorado Springs, Colorado | Northern National Bank, Colorado Springs, Colorado | Kansas City | September 6, 1983 |
| Randall Bancshares, Inc., | Andes State Bank, | Minneapolis | September 8, 1983 |

## Section 3-Continued

| Applicant | Bank(s) | Reserve Bank | Effective date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| River Valley Bancorporation, Inc., <br> Rothschild, Wisconsin | River Valley State Bank, Rothschild, Wisconsin | Chicago | September 20, 1983 |
| Sandwich Banco, Inc., Sandwich, Illinois | The Sandwich State Bank, Sandwich, Illinois First National Bank in DeKalb, DeKalb, Illinois | Chicago | September 9, 1983 |
| Stromsburg Financial Services, Inc., <br> Stromsburg, Nebraska | Stromsburg Bank, Stromsburg, Nebraska | Kansas City | August 19, 1983 |
| Tabor Enterprises, Inc., Tabor, Iowa | First State Bank, <br> Tabor, Iowa | Chicago | September 2, 1983 |
| TransTexas Bancshares, Inc., Beaumont, Texas | First State Bank, Pflugerville, Texas | Dallas | September 21, 1983 |
| United Bankers, Inc., Waco, Texas | Travis Bank and Trust, Austin, Texas | Dallas | September 20, 1983 |
| Wakefield Bancorporation, Inc., Wakefield, Michigan | First National Bank of Wakefield, Wakefield, Michigan | Minneapolis | September 9, 1983 |

## Section 4

| Applicant | Nonbanking company | Reserve Bank | Effective date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Douglas Bancorporation, Inc., Parker, Colorado | Parker Industrial Bank, Parker, Colorado | Kansas City | September 8, 1983 |
| Eaton Capital Corporation, Eaton, Colorado | Alpha Insurance Management, Inc., <br> Ault, Colorado | Kansas City | September 9, 1983 |
| Fleet Financial Group, Inc., Providence, Rhode Island | Credico Financial, Inc., <br> Iselin, New Jersey <br> Colonial American Life Insurance <br> Company, <br> Metairie, Louisiana <br> Credico Mortgage Corp., <br> Altamonte Springs, Florida | Boston | September 16, 1983 |
| Norwest Corporation, Minneapolis, Minnesota | USLife Credit Corporation, Schaumburg, Illinois | Minneapolis | September 16, 1983 |
| South Carolina National Corporation, Columbia, South Carolina | Berkeley Loans, Inc., Charleston, South Carolina | Richmond | September 13, 1983 |

Sections 3 and 4

| Applicant | Bank(s)/Nonbanking <br> company | Reserve <br> Bank | Effective <br> date |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Horizon Bancorp, <br> Morristown, New Jersey | Northern National Corporation, <br> Moorestown, New Jersey <br> Northern National Financial <br> Corp., <br> Wilmington, Delaware | New York | August 29, 1983 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## Pending Cases Involving the Board of Governors

This list of pending cases does not include suits against the Federal Reserve Banks in which the Board of Governors is not named a party.

Independent Insurance Agents of America, Inc. and Independent Insurance Agents of Missouri, Inc. v. Board of Governors, filed June 1983, U.S.C.A. for the Eighth Circuit (two cases).
The Committee for Monetary Reform, et al., v. Board of Governors, filed June 1983, U.S.D.C. for the District of Columbia.
Dakota Bankshares, Inc. v. Board of Governors, filed May 1983, U.S.C.A. for the Eighth Circuit.
Jet Courier Services, Inc., et al. v. Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta, et al. filed February 1983, U.S.C.A. for the Sixth Circuit.

Securities Industry Association v. Board of Governors, et al., filed February 1983, U.S.C.A. for the Second Circuit.
Flagship Banks, Inc. v. Board of Governors, filed January 1983, U.S.D.C. for the District of Columbia.
Flagship Banks, Inc. v. Board of Governors, filed October 1982, U.S.D.C. for the District of Columbia.
Association of Data Processing Service Organizations, Inc., et al. v. Board of Governors, filed August 1982, U.S.C.A. for the District of Columbia.
Richter v. Board of Governors, et al. filed May 1982, U.S.D.C. for the Northern District of Illinois.

Wyoming Bancorporation v. Board of Governors, filed May 1982, U.S.C.A. for the Tenth Circuit.
First Bancorporation v. Board of Governors, filed April 1982, U.S.C.A. for the Tenth Circuit.

Charles G. Vick v. Paul A. Volcker, et al., filed March 1982, U.S.D.C. for the District of Columbia.
Jolene Gustafson v. Board of Governors, filed March 1982, U.S.C.A. for the Fifth Circuit.
Edwin F. Gordon v. Board of Governors, et al., filed October 1981, U.S.C.A. for the Eleventh Circuit (two consolidated cases).
Allen Wolfson v. Board of Governors, filed September 1981, U.S.D.C. for the Middle District of Florida.
Bank Stationers Association, Inc., et al. v. Board of Governors, filed July 1981, U.S.D.C. for the Northern District of Georgia.
Public Interest Bounty Hunters v. Board of Governors, et al., filed June 1981, U.S.D.C. for the Northern District of Georgia.
First Bank \& Trust Company v. Board of Governors, filed February 1981, U.S.D.C. for the Eastern District of Kentucky.
9 to 5 Organization for Women Office Workers v. Board of Governors, filed December 1980, U.S.D.C. for the District of Massachusetts.

Securities Industry Association v. Board of Governors, et al., filed October 1980, U.S.C.A. for the District of Columbia.
A. G. Becker, Inc. v. Board of Governors, et al., filed October 1980, U.S.C.A. for the District of Columbia.
A. G. Becker, Inc. v. Board of Governors, et al., filed August 1980, U.S.C.A. for the District of Columbia.
Berkovitz, et al. v. Government of Iran, et al., filed June 1980, U.S.D.C. for the Northern District of California.
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### 1.10 MONETARY AGGREGATES AND INTEREST RATES

| Item | Monetary and credit aggregates (annual rates of change, seasonally adjusted in percent)' |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1982^{\prime}$ |  | 1983 |  | 1983 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Q3 | Q4 | Q1 | Q2 | Apr. ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | May ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | Juner ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | July ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | Aug. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Total... | 4.1 | 11.8 | 4.1 | 12.4 | 11.0 | -. 8 | 15.6 | 6.0 | -3.4 |
| 3 Nonborrowed. | 11.2 | 13.6 | 3.5 | 6.2 | 4.4 | 1.1 | -6.7 | 12.4 | -6.6 |
| 4 Monetary hase | 6.7 | 8.2 | 9.5 | 11.1 | 7.8 | 10.5 | 10.1 | 5.1 | 6.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 M 2. | 10.9 | 9.3 | 20.3 | 10.1 | 2.8 | 12.4 | 10.4 | 6.3 | 6.7 |
| 7 M 3 | 12.5 | 9.5 | 10.2 | 8.1 | 3.4 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 5.0 | 8.7 |
| 8 L | 12.1 | 8.6 | n.a. | n.a. | 7.3 | 10.3 | п.a. | n.a. | n.a. |
| Time and savings deposits Commercial banks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 Total | 14.7 | 5.3 | 14.7 | 3.0 | 7.3 | 3.1 | 10.1 | 6.6 | 5.7 |
| 10 Savings ${ }^{4}$ | -1.8 | 13.4 | -43.4 | -14.8 | -12.6 | 0 | 0 | -10.2 | 11.2 |
| 11 Small-denomination times | 18.7 | -. 5 | -48.5 | 24.1 | -19.5 | - 10.1 | 2.6 | 24.8 | 22.4 |
| 12 Large-denomination time ${ }^{6}$ | 18.1 | -2.0 | - 53.9 | -24.8 | 23 | 38.0 | -. 8 | -8.8 | -2.9 |
| 13 Thrift institutions ${ }^{7}$. | 6.5 | 6.2 | 12.1 | 16.0 | 16.6 | 12.0 | 13.3 | 14.6 | 13.5 |
| 14 Total loans and securities at commercial banks ${ }^{8}$ | 6.0 | 5.5 | 9.8 | $9.8{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 13.6 | 10.7 | 9.9 | 9.7 | 11.2 |
|  | Interest rates (leveís, percent per annum) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1982 |  | 1983 |  |  |  | 1983 |  |  |
|  | Q4 | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | May | June | July | Aug. | Sept. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 Discount window borrowing io | 9.25 | 8.65 8.50 | 8.80 8.50 | 9.46 8.50 | 88.63 | 8.98 8.50 | 9.37 8.50 | 9.56 8.50 | 9.45 8.50 |
| 17 Treasury bills (3-month, secondary market) ${ }^{1 /}$ | 7.90 | 8.11 | 8.40 | 9.14 | 8.19 | 8.79 | 9.08 | 9.34 | 9.00 |
| 18 Commercial paper (3-month) 11.12 | 8.80 | 8.34 | 8.62 | 9.34 | 8.33 | 9.00 | 9.25 | 9.54 | 9.24 |
| Long-term rates Bonds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 U.S. government ${ }^{13} \ldots \ldots .{ }^{\text {a }}$, |  |  |  | 11.79 | 10.67 | 11.12 | 11.59 |  | 11.82 |
| 20 State and local government ${ }^{14}$. | 9.90 | 9.43 | 9.23 |  | 9.11 | 9.52 | 9.53 | 9.72 | 9.58 |
| 21 Aaa utility (new issue) | 12.10 | 11.89 | 11.46 | 12.39 | 11.32 | 11.87 | 12.32 | 12.25 | 12.53 |
| 22 Conventional mortgages ${ }^{16}$ | 13.79 | 13.26 | 13.16 | 13.83 | 13.09 | 13.37 | 14.00 | 13.90 | 13.60 |

1. Unless otherwise noted, rates of change are calculated from average amounts outstanding in preceding month or quarter
2. Includes reserve balances at Federal Reserve Banks in the current week plus vault cash held two weeks earlier used to satisfy reserve requirements at all depository institutions plus currency outside the U.S. Treasury, Federal Reserve Banks, the vaults of depository institutions, and surplus vault cash at depository institutions.
3. M1: Averages of daily figures for (1) currency outside the Treasury, Federal Reserve Banks, and the vaults of commercial banks: (2) travelers checks of nonbank issuers; (3) demand deposits at all commercial banks other than those due to domestic banks, the U.S. government, and foreign banks and official institutions less cash items in the process of collection and Federal Reserve float: and (4) negotiable order of withdrawal (NOW) and automatic transter service (ATS) accounts at banks and thrift institutions, credit union share draft (CUSD) accounts, and demand deposits at mutual savings banks

M2: M1 plus money market deposit accounts (MMDAs), savings and smalldenomination time deposits at all depository institutions, overnight repurchase agreements at commercial banks, overnight Eurodollars held by U.S. residents other than banks at Caribbean branches of member banks, and balances of money market mutual funds (general purpose and broker/dealer).

M3: M2 plus large-denomination time deposits at all depository institutions and term RPs at commercial banks and savings and loan associations and balances and term RPS at commercial banks and savings a

L: M3 plus other liquid assets such as term Eurodollars held by U.S. residents other than banks, bankers acceptances, commercial paper, Treasury bills and other liquid Treasury securities, and U.S. savings bonds.
4. Savings deposits exclude NOW and ATS accounts at commercial banks and thrifts and CUSD accounts at credit unions.
5. Small-denomination time deposits-including retail RPs-are those issued in amounts of less than $\$ 100,000$.
6. Large-denomination time deposits are those issued in amounts of $\$ 100.000$ or more.
7. Savings and loan associations, mutual savings banks, and eredit unions.
8. Changes calculated from figures shown in table 1.23 . Beginning December 1981. growth rates reflect shifts of foreign loans and securities from U.S. banking offices to international banking facilities.
9. Averages of daily effective rates (average of the rates on a given date weighted by the volume of transactions at those rates).
10. Rate for the Federal Reserve Bank of New York
11. Quoted on a bank-discount basis.
12. Unweighted average of offering rates quoted by at least five dealers
13. Market yields adjusted to a 20 -year maturity by the U.S. Treasury.
14. Bond Bayer series for 20 issues of mixed quality.
15. Weighted averages of new publicly offered bonds rated Aaa, Aa, and A by Moody's Investors Service and adjusted to an Aaa basis. Federal Reserve compilations.
16. Average rates on new commitments for conventional first mortgages on new homes in primary markets, unweighted and rounded to nearest 5 basis points, from Department of Housing and Urban Development.

Note. Revisions in reserves of depository institutions reflect the transitional phase-in of reserve requirements as specified in the Monetary Control Act of 1980.

### 1.11 RESERVES OF DEPOSITORY INSTITUTIONS, RESERVE BANK CREDIT

Millions of dollars

| Factors | Monthly averages of daily figures |  |  | Weekly averages of daily figures for week ending |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1983 |  |  | 1983 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | July | Aug | Sept. | Aug. 17 | Aug. 24 | Aug. 31 | Sept. 7 | Sept. 14 | Sept. $21{ }^{p}$ | Sept. $28{ }^{\circ}$ |
| Supplying Reserve Funds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reserve Bank credit outstanding. | 164,799 | 163,698 | 168,154 | 165,081 | 164,934 | 163,699 | 163,830 | 163,970 | 169,100 | 173,651 |
| 2 U.S. government securities'. | 143,122 | 144.578 | 148,550 | 145,456 | 145.584 | 144,901 | 145,379 | 144.732 | 149,095 | 153,334 |
| 3 Bought outright. |  |  | 145.487 | 145.456 | 145,584 | 144.578 | 144.75] | 144,732 | 145,805 | 146.463 |
| 4 Held under repurchase agreements. | $\begin{array}{r} 849 \\ 8,950 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 8.323 | 3,063 | 0 | 0 | 323 | 628 | 0 | 3,290 | 6,871 |
| 5 Federal agency securities |  | 8.742 | 8,995 | 8.880 | 8.880 | 8.769 | 8,756 | 8.740 | 8,985 | 9.414 |
| 6 Bought outright. | $\begin{aligned} & 8,950 \\ & 8,883 \end{aligned}$ |  | 8.739 | 8.880 | 8.880 | 8.742 | 8,742 | 8.740 | 8,737 | 8,737 |
| 7 Held under repurchase agreements | 67 <br> 55 | 27 | 256 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 14 | 0 | 248 | 677 |
| 8 Acceptances |  | 30 | 139 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 41 | 0 | 61 | 289 |
| 9 Loans | 1.382 | 1.712 | 1.446 | 1.474 | 1.579 | 1.712 | 1,246 | 1,150 | 2.109 | 1,281 |
| 10 Float | 1,812 | 763 | 1.171 | 1.086 | 1.357 | 764 | 943 | 1.603 | 949 | 1,152 |
| 11 Other Federal Reserve assets. | $\begin{array}{r} 8,629 \\ 11,131 \end{array}$ | 7.524 | 7.853 | 8.186 | 7.534 | 7.524 | 7.466 | 7.745 | 7.901 | 8,181 |
| 12 Gold stock |  | $\begin{array}{r} 11,128 \\ 4,618 \\ 13,786 \end{array}$ | 11.128 | 11,128 | 11.128 | 11.128 | 11,128 | 11,128 | 11,128 | 11,128 |
| 13 Special drawing rights certificate account | $\begin{aligned} & 11,131 \\ & 4,618 \\ & 13,786 \end{aligned}$ |  | 4,618 | 4.618 | 4,618 | 4.618 | 4.618 | 4,618 | 4,618 | 4,618 |
| 14 Treasury currency outstanding ......... |  |  | 13,786 | 13,786 | 13,786 | 13,786 | 13,786 | 13,786 | 13,786 | 13,786 |
| Absorbing Reserve Funds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 Currency in circulation | 160,683520 | 160.453 | $161,684$$471$ | $\begin{array}{r} 161.443 \\ 515 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 160.893 \\ 494 \end{array}$ | 160.453490 | $\begin{array}{r} 162.024 \\ 469 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 162.678 \\ 474 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 161,542 \\ 474 \end{array}$ | 160,656471 |
| 16 Treasury cash holdings ................. |  | 490 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits, other than reserves, with Federal Reserve Banks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 Treasury ............................... | 4.017252 | 3,300237 | 7.584 | 3.310 | 3.559 | 3,300 | 3,414 | 3.438 | 7.175 | 14,157 |
| 18 Foreign |  |  | 212 | 233 | 204 | 237 | 224 | 200 | 207 | 200 |
| 19 Other. | 623 | 237 431 | 491 | 446 | 449 | 431 | 498 | 461 | 605 | 417 |
| 20 Service-related balances and adjustment <br> 21 Other Federal Reserve liabilities and capital | 902 | 1.066 | 1.117 | 1,065 | 977 | 1.066 | 1.093 | 1,070 | 1,113 | 1,048 |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 5.197 \\ 22.139 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,289 \\ 21,965 \end{array}$ | 5.569 | 5,332 | 5,299 | 5,289 | 5.191 | 5,649 | 5.617 | 5,770 |
|  |  |  | 20.557 | 22.269 | 22,592 | 21.966 | 20.449 | 19,533 | 21,901 | 20,466 |
| 22 Reserve accounts ${ }^{2}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | End-of-month figures |  |  | Wednesday figures |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1983 |  |  | 1983 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | July | Aug. | Sept. | Aug. 17 | Aug. 24 | Aug. 31 | Sept. 7 | Sept. 14 | Sept. $21{ }^{\circ}$ | Sept. $28{ }^{p}$ |
| Supplying Reserve Funds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 Reserve Bank credit outstanding | 163,893 | 167,778 | 175,755 | 164,608 | 163,571 | 167,778 | 168,925 | 165,819 | 174,750 | 170,883 |
| 24 U.S. government securities ${ }^{1}$. | 144,255 | $\begin{aligned} & 146,489 \\ & 144.226 \end{aligned}$ | 155.423 | 144.972 | 144.696 | 146.489 | 148.668 | 144.791 | 149.502 | 149,370 |
| 25 Bought outright. |  |  | 146.171 | 144.972 | 144.696 | 144.226 | 144.277 | 144,791 | 148.924 | 145.194 |
| 26 Held under repurchase agreements |  | 2,263 | 9.252 | - 0 | \% | 2.263 | 4.391 | ${ }^{0}$ | 578 | 4,176 |
| 27 Federal agency securities | $\begin{aligned} & 8,880 \\ & 8,880 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8,932 \\ & 8,742 \end{aligned}$ | 9.288 | 8.880 | 8,880 | 8.932 | 8.840 | 8.737 | 8,998 | 9,071 |
| 28 Bought outright............. |  |  | 8,737 | 8,880 | 8.880 | 8.742 | 8,742 | 8.737 | 8,737 | 8,737 |
| 29 Held under repurchase agreements | 0 | 190 | 551 | 0 | 0 | 190 | 98 | 0 | 261 | 334 |
| 30 Acceptances | 0 | 209 | 1,122 | 0 | 0 | 209 | 288 | 0 | 9 | 89 |
| 31 Luans | 1,1131,0668,579 | $\begin{array}{r} 3,633 \\ 979 \end{array}$ | 1.625 | 1.722 | 1,612 | 3.633 | 1,535 | 2.410 | 6,817 | 2,359 |
| 32 Float. |  |  | -60 | 1.421 | 872 | 979 | 1.782 | 1.574 | 1.420 | 1,737 |
| 33 Other Federal Reserve assets. | 8.579 | 7,536 | 8,357 | 7.613 | 7.511 | 7.536 | 7.812 | 8.307 | 8,004 | 8,257 |
| 34 Gold stock | 11,1314.61813,786 | $\begin{array}{r} 11,128 \\ 4,618 \end{array}$ | 11,1284,61813,786 | 11.128 | 11.128 | 11.128 | 11.128 | 11.128 | 11,128 | 11.128 |
| 35 Special drawing rights certificate account . |  |  |  | 1.1284.61813.786 | 4.618 | 4.618 | 4,618 | 4,618 | 4,618 | 4,618 |
| 36 Treasury currency outstanding ........... |  | 13,786 |  |  | 13.786 | 13,786 | 13,786 | 13.786 | 13,786 | 13,786 |
| Absorbing Reserve Funds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37 Currency in circulation ................. | 159.973 495 | 161.122 490 | 161.046 468 | 161.307 515 | 160,647 490 | 161,122 490 | 162.798 474 | 162,285 474 | 161,136 471 | 160,787468 |
| 38 Treasury cash holdings .. <br> Deposits, other than reserves, with Federal Reserve Banks | 495 | 490 | 468 | 515 | 490 | 490 | 474 | 474 | 471 |  |
| 39 Treasury. | 3,815 | 4,189 | 16.557 | 3,991 | 3.025 | 4,189 | 3.355 | 3,273 | 12,806 | 14,253 |
| 40 Foreign. | 369566 | 248465 | 297 | 223 | 208 | 248 | 259 | 243 | 186 | 205 |
| 41 Other. |  |  | 438 | 452 | 540 | 465 | 450 | 443 | 470 | 416 |
| 42 Service-related balances and adjustment ... | 830 | 845 | 911 | 843 | 84.5 | 845 | 863 | 885 | 898 | 908 |
| 43 Other Federal Reserve liabilities and capital. <br> 44 Reserve accounts ${ }^{2}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,178 \\ 22,201 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,112 \\ 24,839 \end{array}$ | 5.800 | 5,173 | 5.144 | 5,112 | 5,250 | 5.273 | 5,462 | 5,535 |
|  |  |  | 19.769 | 21,636 | 22,204 | 24,839 | 25,008 | 22,475 | 22.853 | 17,843 |

1. Includes securities loaned-fully guaranteed by U.S government securities
pledged with Federal Reserve Banks-and excludes (if any) securities sold and scheduled to be bought back under matched sale-purchase transactions.
2. Excludes required clearing balances.

Note. For amounts of currency and coin held as reserves, see table 1.12.

### 1.12 RESERVES AND BORROWINGS Depository Institutions

Millions of dollars

| Reserve classification | Monthly averages of daily figures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Dec. | Dec. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug. | Sept. ${ }^{p}$ |
| 1 Reserve balances with Reserve Banks ${ }^{1}$ | 26.163 | 24.804 | 23.530 | 22.168 | 22,565 | 22.010 | 21,808 | 22,139 | 21,965 | 20.533 |
| 2 Total vault cash (estimated) ........... | 19,538 | 20.392 | 20.035 | 19,484 | 19.569 | 19,710 | 20.098 | 20,413 | 20,035 | 20.791 |
| 3 Vault cash at institutions with required reserve balances ${ }^{2}$ | 13,577 | 14,292 | 13,705 | 13,027 | 13,246 | 13,339 | 13,593 | 13,647 | 13,656 | 14,007 |
| 4 Vault cash equal to required reserves at other institutions. | 2,178 | 2.757 | 2,562 | 2,844 | 2,839 | 2,933 | 3,014 | 3.161 | 3.039 | 3,303 |
| 5 Surplus vault cash at other institutions ${ }^{3}$. | 3,783 | 3.343 | 3.768 | 3,613 | 3,484 | 3,438 | 3,491 | 3,605 | 3.340 | 3,481 |
| 6 Reserve balances + total vault cash ${ }^{4}$.... | 45,701 | 45.196 | 43,565 | 41.652 | 42.134 | 41,720 | 41,906 | 42.552 | 42,000 | 41,324 |
| 7 Reserve balances + total vault cash used to satisfy reserve requirements ${ }^{4.5}$. | 41,918 | 41.853 | 39.797 | 38.039 | 38.650 | 38,282 | 38.415 | 38.947 | 38.660 | 37.843 |
| 8 Required reserves (estimated) ........... | 41,606 | 41,353 | 39,362 | 37,602 | 38.174 | 37.833 | 37,935 | 38,440 | 38,214 | 37,410 |
| 9 Excess reserve balances at Reserve Banks ${ }^{4,6}$ | 312 | 500 | 435 | 437 | 476 | 449 | 480 | 507 | 446 | 433 |
| 10 Total borrowings at Reserve Banks..... | 642 | 697 | 557 | 852 | 993 | 902 | 1,714 | 1.382 | 1,573 | 1,446 |
| 11 Seasonal borrowings at Reserve Banks | 53 | 33 | 39 | 53 | 82 | 98 | 121 | 172 | 198 | 1915 |
| 2 Extended credit at Reserve Banks. | 149 | 187 | 277 | 318 | 407 | 514 | 964 | 572 | 490 | 515 |
|  | Weekly averages of daily figures for week ending |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1983 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | July $27 p$ | Aug. 3 | Aug. 10 | Aug. 17 | Aug. 24 | Aug. 31 | Sept. 7 | Sept. 14 | Sept. $21 p$ | Sept. $28{ }^{p}$ |
| 13 Reserve balances with Reserve Banks ${ }^{1}$ | 21.897 | 21,976 | 21,029 | 22,269 | 22,592 | 21.966 | 20,449 | 19,533 | 21,901 | 20.466 |
| 14 Total vault cash (estimated) ......... | 20,984 | 20.684 | 20.804 | 20.284 | 19.414 | 19.361 | 20.735 | 21.364 | 19.648 | 21.424 |
| 15 Vault cash at institutions with required reserve balances ${ }^{2}$. | 14,162 | 13.896 | 13,733 | 13,393 | 13,503 | 13.894 | 13,752 | 13.828 | 13,588 | 14,578 |
| 16 Vault cash equal to required reserves at other institutions | 3,195 | 3,144 | 3,325 | 3.144 | 2.656 | 2,986 | 3,470 | 3,757 | 2,924 | 3.326 |
| 17 Surplus vault cash at other institutions ${ }^{3}$. | 3,627 | 3,644 | 3,746 | 3,747 | 3,255 | 2.481 | 3,513 | 3,779 | 3,136 | 3.520 |
| 18 Reserve balances + total vault $\mathrm{cash}^{4} \ldots$. | 42.881 | 42,660 | 41,833 | 42.553 | 42,006 | 41.327 | 41,184 | 40.897 | 41,549 | 41.890 |
| 19 Reserve balances + total vault cash used to satisfy reserve requirements ${ }^{4.5}$. | 39,254 | 39.016 | 38.087 | 38,806 | 38,751 | 38.846 | 37.671 | 37.118 | 38,413 | 38.370 |
| 20 Required reserves (estimated) ........... 6 | 38.882 | 38.454 | $\begin{array}{r}37,693 \\ \hline 1.64\end{array}$ | 38,358 | 38,350 | 38.353 | 36,914 | 36.714 | 38,096 | 37.908 |
| 21 Excess reserve balances at Reserve Banks ${ }^{4.6}$ | 372 | 562 | 394 | 448 | 401 | 493 | 757 | 404 | 317 | 462 |
| 22 Total borrowings at Reserve Banks...... | 1.387 | 1,311 | 1,520 | 1,474 | 1,579 | 1,712 | 1.246 | 1,150 | 2,109 | 1,281 |
| 23 Seasonal borrowings at Reserve Banks | 203 464 | 192 445 | 178 457 | 194 | 207 524 | 216 499 | 192 489 | 185 501 | 186 520 | 204 542 |

1. As of Aug. 13, 1981, excludes required clearing balances of all depository institutions.
2. Before Nov. 13, 1980, the figures shown reflect only the vault cash held by member banks.
3. Total vault cash at institutions without required reserve balances less vault cash equal to their required reserves.
4. Adjusted to include waivers of penalties for reserve deficiencies in accordance with Board policy, effective Nov. 19. 1975, of permitting transitional relief on a graduated basis over a 24 -month period when a nonmember bank merged into an
existing member bank, or when a nonmember bank joins the Federal Reserve System. For weeks for which figures are preliminary, figures by class of bank do not add to total because adjusted data by class are not available.
5. Reserve balances with Federal Reserve Banks, which exclude required clearing balances plus vault cash at institutions with required reserve balances plus vault cash equal to required reserves at other insticutions.
plus vauls cash equal to required reserves arve Banks, which exclude required clearing balances plus vault cash used to satisfy reserve requirements less required reserves. (This measure of excess reserves is comparable to the old excess reserve concept published historically.)October 1983

### 1.13 FEDERAL FUNDS AND REPURCHASE AGREEMENTS Large Member Banks ${ }^{1}$

Averages of daily figures, in millions of dollars

| By maturity and source | 1983, week ending Wednesday |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Aug. 3 | Aug. 10 | Aug. 17 | Aug. 24 | Aug. 31 | Sept. 7 | Sept. 14 | Sept. 21 | Sept. 28 |
| One day and continuing contract |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Commercial banks in United States | 60,046 | 62,652 | 58,593 | 53,344 | 52,437 | 59,161 | 61,633 | 56,262 | 52,230 |
| 2 Other depository institutions, foreign banks and foreign official institutions. and U.S. government agencies. | 23,992 | 24,440 | 23,822 | 24,299 | 23,803 | 23.687 | 23,248 | 25,292 | 24,304 |
| 3 Nonbank securities dealers........................... | 4,292 | 4,581 | 4,571 | 4,761 | 3,877 | 4,210 | 4,054 | 3,925 | 4,071 |
| 4 All other | 24,222 | 24,340 | 25,478 | 25,886 | 25,195 | 24,940 | 25,675 | 25,611 | 25,121 |
| All other maturities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 Commercial banks in United States . ................. | 5.680 | 5,637 | 5,702 | 5,822 | 6,184 | 5.754 | 5.825 | 5.885 | 6,664 |
| 6 Other depository institutions. foreign banks and foreign official institutions, and U.S. government agencies | 9,240 | 9,185 | 9,388 | 9,284 | 9,105 | 8,994 | 9,110 | 8.656 | 8,976 |
| 7 Nonbank securities dealers ........................... | 6,324 | 6.326 | 6,169 | 6,232 | 6,582 | 6,134 | 5,689 | 5,814 | 6,415 |
| 8 All other | 8,524 | 8,254 | 8,821 | 9,186 | 9,606 | 9.713 | 9,721 | 9,278 | 9,182 |
| Memo: Federal funds and resale agreement loans in maturities of one day or continuing contract |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 Commercial banks in United States | 28.424 | 24,801 | 23.095 | 22,415 | 23,065 | 25,322 | 24.379 | 22.948 | 22,084 |
| 10 Nonbank securities dealers. | 4,631 | 4,675 | 5,289 | 5.354 | 4,710 | 4,736 | 4,750 | 4,012 | 4,469 |

1. Banks with assets of $\$ 1$ billion or more as of Dec. 31, 1977.

### 1.14 FEDERAL RESERVE BANK INTEREST RATES

Percent per annum

| Current and previous levels |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Federal Reserve Bank | Short-term adjustment credit and seasonal credit |  |  | Extended credit ${ }^{\text {l }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | First 60 days of borrowing |  | Next 90 days of borrowing |  | After 150 days |  | Effective date for current rates |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rate on } \\ & 9 / 30 / 83 \end{aligned}$ | Effective date | Previous rate | Rate on 9/30/83 | Previous rate | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rate on } \\ & 9 / 30 / 83 \end{aligned}$ | Previous rate | Rate on 9/30/83 | Previous rate |  |
| Boston <br> New York Philadelphia Cleveland. Richmond Atlanta <br> Chicago <br> St. Louis Minneapolis. Kansas City Dallas <br> San Francisco |  | $12 / 14 / 82$ $12 / 15 / 82$ $12 / 7 / 82$ $12 / 15 / 82$ $12 / 15 / 82$ $12 / 14 / 82$ $12 / 14 / 82$ $12 / 14 / 82$ $12 / 14 / 82$ $12 / 5282$ $12 / 14 / 82$ $12 / 14 / 82$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 | $12 / 14 / 82$ 12 $12 / 15 / 82$ <br> 12/17/82 <br> 12/15/82 <br> 12/14/82 <br> 12/44/82 <br> $12 / 14 / 82$ $12 / 14 / 82$ <br> 12/15/82 <br> 12/14/82 |

Range of rates in recent years ${ }^{2}$

| Effective date | Range (o All F.R. Banks | F.R. Bank of N.Y. | Effective date | Range (or level)All F.R. Banks | F.R. Bank of N.Y | Effective date | Range (or level)All F.R. Banks | F.R. Bank of N.Y. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| In effect Dec. 31, 1973 | 71/2 | $71 / 2$ | 1978- July 3 | 7-71/4 | 71/4 | 1981-May 5 | 13-14 | 14 |
| 1974-Apr. 25 | 71/2-8 | 8 | 10 | 71/4 | $71 / 4$ | (98 8 | 14 | 14 |
| 30 | 8 | 8 | Aug. 21 | $73 / 4$ | 73/4 | Nov. 2 | 13-14 | 13 |
| Dec. 9 | $73 / 4-8$ | $73 / 4$ | Sept. 22 | 8 | 8 | 6 | 13 | 13 |
|  | $73 / 4$ | 73/4 | Oct. 16 | 8-81/2 | $81 / 2$ | Dec. 4 | 12 | 12 |
| 1975-Jan. 6 | 71/4 $73 / 4$ | 73/4 | Nov. ${ }^{20} 1$. | 81/2 | 81/2 | 1982- July 20 |  |  |
| 197-Jan. 10 | 71/4-71/4 | $71 / 4$ | Nov. 3 | 81/2-91/2 | $91 / 2$ | 1982-July ${ }_{23}^{20}$ | 11/2-12 | $111 / 2$ |
| 24 | 71/4 | 71/4 |  |  |  | Aug. 2 | 11-11/2 | 11 |
| Feb. 5 | 63/4-71/4 | 63/4 | 1979-. July 20 | 10 | 10 | 3 | 11 | 11 |
| 7 | 63/4 | 63/4 | Aug. 17 | 10-101/2 | $10^{1 / 2}$ | 16 | 101/2 | 101/2 |
| Mar. 10 | 61/4-63/4 | $6^{1 / 4}$ | 20 | 101/2 | 101/2 | 27 | 10-101/2 | 10 |
| 14 | 61/4 | $6^{1 / 4}$ | Sept. 19 | 101/2-11 | 11 | 30 | 10 | 10 |
| May 16 | 6-61/4 | 6 | 21 | 11 | 11 | Oct. 12 | 91/2-10 | 91/2 |
| May 23 | 6 | 6 | Oct. 8 | 11-12 | 12 | 13 | $91 / 2$ | $91 / 2$ |
|  |  |  |  | 12 | 12 | Nov. 22 | 9-91/2 | 9 |
| 1976-Jan. 19 | $51 / 2-6$ | $51 / 2$ |  |  |  | 26 | 9 | 9 |
| 23 | 51/2 | $51 / 2$ | 1980- Feb. 15 | 12-13 | 13 | Dec. 14 | 81/2-9 | 9 |
| Noy. 22 | $51 / 4-51 / 2$ | $51 / 4$ | 19 | 13 | 13 | 15 | 81/2-9 | $81 / 2$ |
| 26 | $51 / 4$ | $51 / 4$ | May 29 | 12-13 | 13 |  | $81 / 2$ | $81 / 2$ |
|  |  |  | 30 | 12 | 12 |  |  |  |
| 1977-Aug. 30 | 51/4-53/4 | $51 / 4$ | June 13 | 11-12 | 11 |  |  |  |
|  | $51 / 4.53 / 4$ | $53 / 4$ | -16 | ${ }^{11}$ | 11 |  |  |  |
| Sept. ${ }^{2}$ | $53 / 4$ | $53 / 4$ | July 28 | 10-11 | 10 |  |  |  |
| Oct. 26 | 6 | 6 | 29 | 10 | 10 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Sept. 26 | 11 | 11 |  |  |  |
| 1978-Jan. 9 | 6-61/2 | 61/2 | Nov. 17 | 12 | 12 |  |  |  |
| 20 | $61 / 2$ | $61 / 2$ | Dec. 5 | 12-13 | 13 |  |  |  |
| May 11 | 61/2-7 | 7 |  | 13 | 13 |  |  |  |
| May | 7 | 7 |  |  |  | In effect Sept. 30, 1983 | 81/2 | 81/2 |

1. Applicable to advances when exceptional circumstances or practices involve only a particular depository institution and to advances when an institution is under sustained liquidity pressures. See section 201.3(b)(2) of Regulation A.
2. Rates for short-term adjustment credit. For description and earlier data see the following publications of the Board of Governors: Banking and Monetary Statistics, 1914-1941, and 1941-1970; Annual Statistical Digest, 1970-1979, 1980, and 1981.

In 1980 and 1981, the Federal Reserve applied a surcharge to short-term adjusiment credit borrowings by institutions with deposits of $\$ 500$ million or more that had borrowed in successive weeks or in more than 4 weeks in a calendar quarter. A 3 percent surcharge was in effect from Mar. 17, 1980, through May 7 , 1980. There was no surcharge until Nov. 17, 1980, when a 2 percent surcharge was adopted: the surcharge was subsequently raised to 3 percent on Dec. 5,1980 , and to 4 percent on May 5, 1981. The surcharge was reduced to 3 percent effective Sept. 22. 1981, and to 2 percent effective Oct. 12. As of Oct. 1, the formula for applying the surcharge was changed from a calendar quarter to a moving 13 -week period. The surcharge was eliminated on Nov. 17, 1981.

### 1.15 RESERVE REQUIREMENTS OF DEPOSITORY INSTITUTIONS ${ }^{1}$

Percent of deposits

| Type of deposit, and deposit interval | Member bank requirements before implementation of the Monetary Control Act |  | Type of deposit, and deposit interval ${ }^{5}$ | Depository institution requirements after implementation of the Monetary Control Act ${ }^{6}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Effective date |  | Percent | Effective date |
| Net demand ${ }^{2}$, |  |  | Net transaction accounts ${ }^{7,8}$ |  |  |
| \$0 million-\$2 million. | 7 | 12/30/76 | \$0-\$26.3 million. . . . . . . . . . | 3 | 12/30/82 |
| \$2 million-\$10 million. . | 91/2 | 12/30/76 | Over $\$ 26.3$ million. | 12 | 12/30/82 |
| \$10 million- $\$ 100$ million. | $113 / 4$ | 12/30/76 |  |  |  |
| \$100 million- \$400 million. | 123/4 | 12/30/76 | Nonpersonal time deposits ${ }^{9}$ |  |  |
| Over $\$ 400$ million | 161/4 | 12/30/76 | By original maturity Less than $21 / 2$ years. | 3 | 3/31/83 |
| Time and savings ${ }^{2,3}$ |  |  | $21 / 2$ years or more.. | 0 | 3/31/83 |
| Savings | 3 | 3/16/67 | Eurocurrency liabilities |  |  |
|  |  |  | All types | 3 | 11/13/80 |
| 30-179 days ..... | 3 | 3/16/67 |  |  |  |
| 180 days to 4 years | $21 / 2$ | 1/8/76 |  |  |  |
| 4 years or more... | 1 | 10/30/75 |  |  |  |
| Over $\$ 5$ million, by maturity |  |  |  |  |  |
| $30-179$ days......... | $61 / 2$ | $12 / 12 / 74$ $1 / 8 / 76$ |  |  |  |
| 4 years or more... | 1 | 10/30/75 |  |  |  |

1. For changes in reserve requirements beginning 1963, see Board's Annual Statistical Digest, 1971-1975, and for prior changes, see Board's Annual Report Statistical Digest, $197-1975$, and for prior changes, see Board s Annual Report
for 1976, table 13. Under provisions of the Monetary Control Act, depository institutions include commercial banks, mutual savings banks, savings and loan associations, credit unions, agencies and branches of foreign banks, and Edge Act corporations.
2. Requirement schedules are graduated, and each deposit interval applies to that part of the deposits of each bank. Demand deposits subject to reserve requirements were gross demand deposits minus cash items in process of collection and demand balances due from domestic banks.
The Federal Reserve Act as amended through 1978 specified different ranges of requirements for reserve city banks and for other banks. Reserve cities were designated under a criterion adopted effective Nov. 9, 1972, by which a bank having net demand deposits of more than $\$ 400$ million was considered to have the character of business of a reserve city bank. The presence of the head office of suarh a bank constituted designation of that place as a reserve city. Cities in which there were Federal Reserve Banks or branches were also reserve cities. Any there were Federal Reserve Banks or branches were also reserve cities. Any
banks having net demand deposits of $\$ 400$ million or less were considered to have banks having net demand deposits of \$400 million or less were considered to have
the character of business of banks outside of reserve cities and were permitted to maintain reserves at ratios set for banks not in reserve cities.
Effective Aug. 24, 1978, the Regulation M reserve requirements on net balances due from domestic banks to their foreign branches and on deposits that foreign branches lend to U.S. residents were reduced to zero from 4 percent and 1 percent respectively. The Regulation D reserve requirement of borrowings from unrelated banks abroad was also reduced to zero trom 4 percent.
Effective with the reserve computation period beginning Nov, 16. 1978. domestic deposits of Edge corporations were subject to the same reserve requirements as deposits of member banks.
3. Negotiable order of withdrawal (NOW) accounts and time deposits such as Christmas and vacation club accounts were subject to the same requirements as savings deposits.
The average reserve requirement on savings and other time deposits before implementation of the Monetary Control Act had to be at least 3 percent, the minimum specified by law.
4. Effective Nov. 2, 1978, a supplementary reserve requirement of 2 percent was imposed on large time deposits of $\$ 100,000$ or more, obligations of affiliates, and ineligible acceptances. This supplementary requirement was eliminated with the maintenance period beginning July $24,1980$.
Effective with the reserve maintenance period beginning Oct. 25, 1979, a marginal reserve requirement of 8 percent was added to managed habilities in excess of a base amount. This marginal requirement was increased to 10 percent beginning Apr. 3, 1980, was decreased to 5 percent beginning June 12, 1980, and was eliminated beginning July 24, 1980. Managed liabilities are defined as large time deposits, Eurodollar borrowings, repurchase agreements against U.S. government and federal agency securities, federal funds borrowings from nonmember institutions, and certain other obligations. In general, the base for the marginal reserve requirement was originally the greater of (a) $\$ 100$ million or (b) the average amount of the managed liabilities held by a member bank, Edge corporation, or family of U.S. branches and agencies of a foreign bank for the two corporation, or family of U.S. branches and agencies of a foreign bank for the two
reserve computation periods ending Sept. 26,1979 . For the computation period beginning Mar. 20, 1980, the base was lowered by (a) 7 percent or (b) the decrease in an institution's U.S. office gross loans to foreigners and gross balances due from foreign offices of other institutions between the base period (Sept. 13-26, 1979) and the week ending Mar. 12, 1980, whichever was greater. For the computation period beginning May 29, 1980, the base was increased by $71 / 2$
percent above the base used to calculate the marginal reserve in the statement week of May 14-21, 1980. In addition, beginning Mar. 19, 1980, the base was reduced to the extent that foreign loans and balances declined.
5. The Garn-St Germain Depository Institutions Act of 1982 (Public Law 97320) provides that $\$ 2$ million of reservable liabilities (transaction accounts, nonpersonal time deposits, and Eurocurrency liabilities) of each depository institution be subject to a zero percent reserve requirement. The Board is to adjust the amount of reservable liabilities subject to this zero percent reserve requirement each year for the next succeeding calendar year by 80 percent of the percentage increase in the total reservable liabilities of all depository institutions, measured on an annual basis as of June 30 . No corresponding adjustment is to be made in the event of a decrease. Effective Dec. 9, 1982, the amount of the exemption was established at $\$ 2.1$ million. In determining the reserve requirements of a depository institution, the exemption shall apply in the following order: (1) nonpersonal money market deposit accounts (MMDAs) authorized under 12 CFR section 1204.122; (2) net NOW accounts (NOW accounts less allowable deductions); (3) net other transaction accounts; and (4) nonpersonal time deposits or Eurocurrency liabilities starting with those with the highest reserve ratio. With respect to NOW accounts and other transaction accounts, the exemption applies only to such accounts that would be subject to a 3 percent reserve requirement.
6. For nonmember banks and thrift institutions that were not members of the Federal Reserve System on or after July 1, 1979, a phase-in period ends Sept. 3, 1987. For banks that were members on or after July 1, 1979, but withdrew on or before Mar. 31, 1980, the phase-in period established by Public Law 97-320 ends on Oct. 24, 1985. For existing member banks the phase-in period is about three years, depending on whether their new reserve requirements are greater or less than the old requirements. All new institutions will have a two-year phase-in beginning with the date that they open for business. except for those institutions that have total reservable liabilities of $\$ 50$ million or more
7. Transaction accounts include all deposits on which the account holder is permitted to make withdrawals by negotiable or transferable instruments, payment orders of withdrawal, and telephone and preauthorized transfers (in excess of three per month) for the purpose of making payments to third persons or others. of three per month) for the purpose of making payments to third persons or others.
However, MMDAs and similar accounts offered by institutions not subject to the However, MMDAs and similar accounts offered by institutions not subject to the
rules of the Depository Institutions Deregulation Committee (DIDC) that permit rules of the Depository institutions Deregulation Committee (DIDC) that permit
no more than six preauthorized, automatic, or other transfers per month of which no more than three can be checks--are not transaction accounts (such accounts are savings deposits subject to time deposit reserve requirements.)
8. The Monetary Control Act of 1980 requires that the amount of transaction accounts against which the 3 percent reserve requirement applies be modified annually by 80 percent of the percentage increase in transaction accounts held by all depository institutions determined as of June 30 each year. Effective Dec. 31 . 1981, the amount was increased accordingly from $\$ 25$ million to $\$ 26$ million; and effective Dec. 30,1982 , to $\$ 26.3$ million.
9. In general, nonpersonal time deposits are time deposits, including savings deposits, that are not transaction accounts and in which the beneficial interest is held by a depositor that is not a natural person. Also included are certain transferable time deposits held by natural persons, and certain obligations issued to depository institution offices located outside the United States. For details, see section 204.2 of Regulation D.

Note. Required reserves must be held in the form of deposits with Federal Reserve Banks or vault cash. After implementation of the Monetary Control Act, nonmembers may maintain reserves on a pass-through basis with certain approved institutions.
1.16 MAXIMUM INTEREST RATES PAYABLE on Time and Savings Deposits at Federally Insured Institutions

Percent per annum

| Type and maturity of deposit | Commercial banks |  |  |  | Savings and loan associations and mutual savings banks (thrift institutions) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In effect Sept. 30, 1983 |  | Previous maximum |  | In effect Sept. 30. 1983 |  | Previous maximum |  |
|  | Percent | Effective date | Percent | Effective date | Percent | Effective date | Percent | Effective date |
| 1 Savings | 51/4 | 7/1/79 | 5 | 7/1/73 | 51/2 | 7/1/79 | $51 / 4$ | $\text { ( } 1 \text { ) }$ |
| 2 Negotiable order of withdrawal accounts ${ }^{2}$ | $51 / 4$ | 12/31/80 | 5 | 1/1/74 | $51 / 4$ | 12/31/80 | 5 | $1 / 1 / 74$ |
| Time accounts ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 Fixed ceiling rates by maturity ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3 \quad 14$-89 days ${ }^{3} \ldots .$. | 51/4 | $8 / 1 / 79$ $1 / 1 / 80$ | $51 / 2$ | $7 / 1 / 73$ $7 / 1 / 73$ | ${ }^{(6)}$ | 1/1/80 | (6) $53 / 4$ | (1) |
| 51 to 2 years?.. | , | 71/1 | $51 / 2$ | 1/21/70 |  |  | $53 / 4$ | 1/21/70 |
| 62 to $21 / 2$ years ${ }^{7}$ | 6 | 71 | $53 / 4$ | 1/21/70 | $61 / 2$ | (1) | 6 | 1/21/70 |
| $7 \quad 21 / 2$ to 4 years ${ }^{7}$ | 61/2 | 7/1/73 | $53 / 4$ | 1/21/70 | $6^{3 / 4}$ | (1) | 6 | 1/21/70 |
| 84 to 6 years ${ }^{8}$. | 71/4 | 11/1/73 | $\left({ }^{9} 1\right.$ |  | $71 / 2$ | 11/1/73 | (9) |  |
| 96 to 8 years ${ }^{8}$. | $71 / 2$ | 12/23/74 | $71 / 4$ | 11/1/73 | $73 / 4$ | 12/23/74 | $71 / 2$ | 11/1/73 |
| 1088 years or more ${ }^{8}$ | $73 / 4$ | 6/1/78 | (6) |  | 8 | 61178 | (6) |  |
| 11 Issued to governmental units (all maturities) ${ }^{10}$ | 8 | 6/1/78 | 73/4 | 12/23/74 | 8 | 611778 | 73/4 | 12/23/74 |
| 12 IRAs and Keogh (H.R. 10) plans (3 years or more) ${ }^{10.11}$ | 8 | 6/1/78 | 73/4 | 7/6/77 | 8 | 6/1/78 | $73 / 4$ | $7 / 6 / 77$ |

1. July 1, 1973, for mutual savings banks: July 6. 1973, for savings and loans. 2. Federally insured commercial banks, savings and loan associations, cooperative banks, and mutual savings banks in Massachusetts and New Hampshire were first permitted to offer negotiable order of withdrawal (NOW) accounts on were first permitted to offer negotiable order of withdrawal (NOW) accounts on Jan. 1. 1974. Authorization to issue NOW accounts was extended to similar institutions throughout New England on Feb. 27, 1976, New York State on Nov. 10. 1978, New Jersey on Dec. 28, 1979 , and to similar institutions nationwide effective Dec. 31, 1980. Effective Jan. 5, 1983, the interest rate ceiling is removed for NOW accounts with an initial balance and average maintenance balance of \$2,500.
2. For exceptions with respect to certain foreign time deposits, see the Bulletin for October 1962 (p. 1279), August 1965 (p. 1084 ), and February 1968 (p. 167).
3. Effective Nov. 10. 1980, the minimum notice period for public unit accounts at savings and loan associations was decreased to 14 days and the minimurn maturity period for time deposits at savings and loan associations in excess of $\$ 100,000$ was decreased to 14 davs. Effective Oct. 30,1980 . the minimum maturity or notice period for time deposits was decreased from 30 to 14 days at mutual savings banks.
4. Effective Oct. 30, 1980, the minimum maturity or notice period for time deposits was decreased from 30 to 14 days at commercial banks.
5. No separate account category.
6. No minimum denomination. Until July 1. 1979, a minimum of $\$ 1.000$ was required for savings and loan associations, except in areas where mutual savings banks permitted lower minimum denominations. This restriction was removed for deposits maturing in less than 1 year, effective Nov. 1, 1973.
7. No minimum denomination. Until July 1, 1979, the minimum denomination was $\$ 1,000$ except for deposits representing funds contributed to an individual retirement account (IRA) or a Keogh (H.R. 10) plan established pursuant to the Internal Revenue Code. The $\$ 1,000$ minimum requirement was removed for such accounts in December 1975 and November 1976 respectively.
8. Between July 1. 1973, and Oct. 31, 1973. certificates maturing in 4 years or more with minimum denominations of $\$ 1.000$ had no ceiling: however, the amount of such certificates that an institution could issue was limited to 5 percent of it total time and savings deposits. Sales in excess of that amount, as well as certificates of less than $\$ 1,000$, were limited to the $61 / 2$ percent ceiling on time deposits maturing in $21 / 2$ years or more. Effective Noy 1,1973 , ceilings were reimposed on certificates maturing in 4 years or more with minimum denominations of $\$ 1,000$. There is no limitation on the amount of these certificates that banks can issue.
9. Accounts subject to fixed-rate ceilings. See footnote 8 for minimum denomination requirements
10. Effective Jan. 1, 1980, commercial banks are permitted to pay the same rate as thrifts on IRA and Keogh accounts and accounts of governmental units when such deposits are placed in $21 / 2$-year-or-more variable-ceiling certificates or in 26 week money market certificates regardess of the level of the Treasury bill rate.

Note. Before Mar. 31, 1980, the maximum rates that could be paid by federally insured commercial banks, mutual savings banks. and savings and loan associations were established by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, the Board of Directors of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, and the Federal Home Loan Bank Board under the provisions of $12 \mathrm{CFR} 217,329$, and 526 respectively. Title II of the Depository Institutions Deregulation and Monetary Control Act of 1980 (P.L. $96-221$ ) transfersed the authority of the agencies to establish maximum rates of interest payable on deposits to the Depository Institutions Deregulation Committee. The maximum rates on time deposits in denominations of $\$ 100.000$ or more with maturities of $30-89$ days were suspended in June 1970; the maximum rates for such deposits maturing in 90 days or more were suspended in May 1973. For information regarding previous interest rate ceilings on all types of accounts. see earlier issues of the Federal Reserve Bulletin, the Federal Home Loan Bank Board Journal, and the Annual Repont of the Federal Deposit Insarance Corporation

For deposits subject to variable ceiling rates and deposits not subject to interest rate ceilings see page Al0.

## TIME DEPOSITS SUBJECT TO VARIABLE CEILING RATES

91-day time deposits. Effective May 1, 1982, depository institutions were authorized to offer time deposits that have a minimum denomination of $\$ 7.500$ and a maturity of 91 days. Effective Jan. 5,1983 , the minimum denomination required for this deposit is reduced to $\$ 2.500$. The ceiling rate of interest on these deposits is indexed to the discount rate (auction average) on most recently issued 91-day Treasury bills for thrift institutions and the discount rate minimum 25 basis points for commercial banks. The rate differential ends 1 year from the effective date of these instruments and is suspended at any time the Treasury bill discount rate is 9 percent or below for four consecutive auctions. The maximum allowable rates in September 1983 (in percent) for commercial banks and thrifts were as follows: Sept. 7. 9.21; Sept. 13. 9.04; Sept. 20, 8.99: and Sept. 27, 8.73.

Six-month money market time deposits. Effective June 1. 1978, commercial banks and thrift institutions were authorized to offer time deposits with a maturity of exactly 26 weeks and a minimum denomination requirement of $\$ 10,006$. Effective Jan. 5, 1983, the minimum denomination required for this deposit is reduced to $\$ 2.500$. The ceiling rate of interest on these deposits is indexed to the discount rate (auction average) on most recently issued 26 -week U.S. Treasury bills. Interest on these certificates may not be compounded. Effective for all 6 month money market certificates issued beginning Nov. 1. 1981, depository institutions may pay rates of interest on these deposits indexed to the higher of (1) the rate for 26 -week Treasury bills established immediately before the date of deposit (bill rate) or (2) the average of the four rates for 26 -week Treasury bills established for the 4 weeks immediately before the date of deposit ( 4 -week average bill ratel. Ceilings are determined as follows

Bill rate or 4-week
average bill rate
7.50 percent or below

Above 7.50 percent
7.25 percent or below

Above 7.25 percent, but below 8.50 percent
8.50 percent or above, but below
8.75 percent
8.75 percent or above

Commerrial bank ceiling
7.75 percent
$1 / 4$ of 1 percentage point plus the higher of the bill rate or 4 -week average bill rate

Thrift ceiling
7.75 percent

1/2 of I percentage point plus the higher of the bill rate or 4 -week average bill rate 9 percent
$1 / 4$ of 1 percentage point plus the higher of the bill rate or 4 -week average bill rate

The maximum rates in September 1983 for commercial banks and thrifts based on the bill rate were as follows: Sept. 7,9.65; Sept. 13, 9.39; Sept. 20, 9.32: and Sept. $27,9.09$, and based on the 4 -week average bill rate were as follows: Sept. 7, 9.69 ; Sept. 13, 9.59: Sept. 20. 9.53; and Sept. 27, 9.36.

12-month all savers cerfificates. Effective Oct. 1, 1981, depository institutions are authorized to issue all savers certificates (ASCs) with a 1 -year maturity and an annual investment yield equal to 70 percent of the average investment yield for 52 week U.S. Treasury bills as determined by the auction of 52 -week Treasury bills held immediately before the calendar week in which the certificate is issued. A maximum lifetime exclusion of $\$ 1,000(\$ 2.000$ on a joint return) from gross income is generally authorized for interest income from ASCs. The annual investment yield for ASCs issued in December 1982 (in percent) was as follows: Dec. 26, 6.26.

1/2-year to less than 21/2+year time deposits. Effective Aug. 1. 1981. commercia banks are authorized to pay interest on any variable ceiling nonnegotiable time deposit with an original maturity of $21 / 2$ years to less than 4 years at a rate not to exceed $1 / 4$ of 1 percent below the average $21 / 2-y e a r$ yield for U.S. Treasury securities as determined and announced by the Treasury Department immediately before the date of deposit. Effective May 1, 1982, the maximum maturity for this category of deposits was reduced to less than $31 / 2$ years. Effective Apr. 1, 1983, the maximum maturity for this category of deposits was reduced to less than $21 / 2$ years and the minimum maturity was reduced to $1 / 2$ years. Thrift institutions may pay interest on these certificates at a rate not to exceed the average $1 / 2$-year yield for Treasury securities as determined and announced by the Treasury Departmen mmediately before the date of deposit. If the announced average $11 / 2$-year yield for Treasury securities is less than 9.50 percent. commercial banks may pay 9.2 percent and thrift institutions 9.50 percent for these deposits. These deposits have no required minimum denomination, and interest may be compounded on them The ceiling rates of interest at which they may be offered vary biweekly. The maximum allowable rates in September 1983 (in percent) for commercial banks were as follows: Sept. 13. 10.40: and Sept. $27,10.10$; and for thrift institutions were as tollows: Sept. 13, 10.40: and
Sept. 13. 10.65 : and Sept. 27, 10.35.
Between Jan. 1, 1980, and Aug. 1. 1981, commercial banks and thrift institutions werc authorized to offer variable ceiling nonnegotiable time deposits with no equired minimum denomination and with maturities of $21 / 2$ years or more. Effective Jan. 1, 1980, the maximum rate for commercial banks was $3 / 4$ percentage point below the ayerage yield on $21 / 2$-year U.S. Treasury securities; the ceiling rate for thrift institutions was $1 / 4$ percentage point higher than that for commercial banks. Fffective Mar. 1, 1980, a temporary ceiling of $11^{7 / 4}$ percent was placed on these accounts at commercial banks and 12 percent on these accounts at savings and loans. Effective June 2, 1980, the ceiling rates for these deposits at commercial banks and savings and loans were increased $1 / 2$ percentage point. The temporary ceiling was retained, and a minimum ceiling of 9.25 percent for commercial banks and 9.50 percent for thrift institutions was established.

## TIME DEPOSITS NOT SUBJECT TO INTEREST RATE CEILINGS

Money market deposit account. Effective Dec. 14, 1982, depository institutions are authorized to offer a new account with a required initial balance of $\$ 2,500$ and an average mantenance balance of $\$ 2,500$ not subject to interest rate restrictions. No minimum maturity period is required for this account, but depository institutions must reserve the right to require seven days' notice before withdraw als. When the average balance is less than $\$ 2,500$, the account is subject to the maximum ceiling rate of interest for NOW accounts: compliance with the average balance requirement may be determined over a period of one month. Depository institutions may not guarantee a rate of interest for this account for a period longer than one month or condition the payment of a rate on a requirement that the funds than one month or condition the payment of a rate on a requirement that the funds remain on deposit for longer than one month. No more than six preauthorized. automatic, or other third-party transters are permitted per month, of which no
more than three can be checks. Telephonc transfers to third partics or to another account of the same depositor are regarded as preauthorized transfers.

IRAs and Keogh (H.R. 10, plans (18 months or more). Effective Dec. 1. 1981. depository institutions are authorized to offer time deposits not subject to interes rate cejlings when the funds are deposited to the credit of, or in which the entire beneficial interest is held by, an individual pursuant to an IRA agreement or Keogh (H.R. 10 ) plan. Such time deposits must have a minimum maturity of 18 months. and additions may be made to the time deposit at any time before its maturity without extending the maturity of all or a portion of the balance of the account.

Time deposits of 7 to $3 /$ dirys. Effective Sept. 1, 1982, depository institution were authorized to issue nonnegotiable time deposits of $\$ 20,000$ or more with maturity or required notice period of 7 to 31 days. The maximum rate of interes payable by thrift institutions was the rate established and announced (auction average on a discount basis) for U.S. Treasury bills with maturities of 91 days a the auction held immediately before the date of deposit or renewal ("bill rate") Commercial banks could pay the bill rate minus 25 basis points. The interest rate ceiling was suspended when the bill rate is 9 percent or below for the four mos recent auctions held before the date of deposit or renewal. Effective Jan. 5, 1983 the minimum denomination required for this deposit was reduced to $\$ 2,500$ and the interest rate ceiling was removed.

Time deposits of 21/2 years or more. Effective May 1. 1982 , depository institutions were authorized to offer negotiable or nonnegotiable time deposit with a minimum original maturity of $31 / 2$ years or more that are not subject to interest rate ceilings. Such time deposits have no minimum denomination, but must be made available in a $\$ 500$ denomination. Additional deposits may be made to the account during the first year without extending its maturity. Effective Apr. 1, 1983, the minimum maturity period for this category of deposits was reduced to $21 / 2$ years.

### 1.17 FEDERAL RESERVE OPEN MARKET TRANSACTIONS

Millions of dollars


Note: Sales, redemptions, and negative figures reduce holdings of the System Open Market Account; all other figures increase such holdings. Details may not add to totals because of rounding.

## A12 Domestic Financial Statistics $\square$ October 1983

1.18 FEDERAL RESERVE BANKS Condition and Federal Reserve Note Statements

Millions of dollars

| Account | Wednesday |  |  |  |  | End of month |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1983 |  |  |  |  | 1983 |  |  |
|  | Aug. 31 | Sept. 7 | Scpt. 14 | Sept. 21 | Sept. 28 | July | Aug. | Sept. |
|  | Consolidated condition statement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Gold certificate account. | 11,128 | 11,128 | 11,128 | 11,128 | 11,128 | 11,131 | 11.128 | 11.128 |
| 2 Special drawing rights certificate account | 4,618 | 4,618 | 4.618 | 4,618 | 4,618 | 4,618 | 4,618 | 4,618 |
| 3 Coin. Loans | 415 | 409 | 416 | 433 | 444 | 411 | 415 | 443 |
| 4 To depository instifutions | 3,633 | 1,535 | 2,410 | 6,817 | 2,359 | 1,113 | 3,633 | 1,625 |
| 5 Other.................... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 Held under repurchase agreements .................... Federal agency obligations | 209 | 288 | 0 | 9 | 89 | 0 | 209 | 1.122 |
| 7 Bought outright................................... | 8,742 | 8,742 | 8,737 | 8,737 | 8,737 | 8.880 | 8,742 | 8.737 |
| U.S. government securities Bought outright |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bills . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 60,953 | 61,004 | 61,518 | 65.651 | 61,921 | 60,982 | 60,953 | 62.898 |
| 10 Notes | 63.044 | 63.044 | 63,044 | 63,044 | 63,044 | 63,958 | 63.044 | 63,044 |
| 11 Bonds | 20.229 | 20,229 | 20,229 | 20,229 | 20,229 | 19,315 | 20,229 | 20.229 |
| 12 Total bought outright ${ }^{1}$ | 144,226 | 144,277 | 144.791 | 148.924 | 145,194 | 144.255 | 144,226 | 146.171 |
| 13 Held under repurchase agreements | 2,263 | 4,39] | 0 | 578 | 4.176 | 0 | 2,263 | 9.252 |
| 14 Total U.S. government securities | 146,489 | 148,668 | 144,791 | 149,502 | 149,370 | 144,255 | 146,489 | 155.423 |
| 15 Total loans and securities | 159,263 | 159,331 | 155,938 | 165,326 | 160,889 | 154,248 | 159,263 | 167,458 |
| 16 Cash items in process of collection | 8, 158 | 11. 108 | 9,181 | 8.648 | 8.298 | 8.635 | 8.158 | 7.490 |
| 17 Bank premises Other assets | 553 | 553 | 553 | 553 | 553 | 552 | 553 | 552 |
| 18 Denominated in foreign currencies- | 3,617 | 3,620 | 3,632 | 3,633 | 3,637 | 3,839 | 3.617 | 3.721 |
| 19 All other ${ }^{3}$ | 3,366 | 3,639 | 4,122 | 3,818 | 4,067 | 4,188 | 3,366 | 4,084 |
| 20 Total assets | 191,118 | 194,406 | 189,588 | 198,157 | 193,634 | 187,622 | 191,118 | 199,494 |
| Liabilimes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 Federal Reserve notes | 148.241 | 149,895 | 149.389 | 148,254 | 147.913 | 147,094 | 148,241 | 148,172 |
| 22 To depository institutions | 25,702 | 25.884 | 23,378 | 23.776 | 18.767 | 23,046 | 25,702 | 20,697 |
| 23 U.S. Treasury-General account. | 4,189 | 3,355 | 3,273 | 12,806 | 14.253 | 3,815 | 4.189 | 16.557 |
| 24 Foreign-Official accounts | 248 | 259 | 243 | 186 | 205 | 369 | 248 | 297 |
| 25 Other. | 447 | 437 | 425 | 445 | 400 | 551 | 447 | 421 |
| 26 Total deposits | 30,586 | 29,935 | 27,319 | 37,213 | 33,625 | 27,781 | 30,586 | 37,972 |
| 27 Deferred availability cash items | 7.179 | 9,326 | 7.607 | 7.228 | 6,561 | 7,569 | 7.179 | 7,550 |
| 28 Other liabilities and accrued dividends ${ }^{4}$ | 2,056 | 2,095 | 2,109 | 2,290 | 2,346 | 1,989 | 2,056 | 2,466 |
| 29 Total liabilities | 188,062 | 191,251 | 186,424 | 194,985 | 190,445 | 184,433 | 188,062 | 196,160 |
| Capital Accounts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 Capital paid in. | 1.434 | 1.436 | 1.437 | 1.439 | 1.447 | 1.427 | 1,434 | 1.446 |
| 31 Surplus............ | 1,359 | 1,359 | 1.359 | 1,359 | 1.359 | 1,359 | 1,359 | 1,359 |
| 32 Other capital accounts | 263 | 360 | 368 | 374 | 383 | 403 | 263 | 529 |
| 33 Total tiabilities and capital accounts <br> 34 Memo: Marketable U.S. government securities held in custody for foreign and international account ..... | 191,118 | 194,406 | 189,588 | 198,157 | 193,634 | 187,622 | 191,118 | 199,494 |
|  | 108,053 | 109,048 | 111,055 | 109,609 | 110,279 | 94,203 | 108.053 | 109.117 |
|  | Federal Reserve note statement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35 Federal Reserve notes outstanding | 171,346 | 171,490 | 172.212 | 172,644 | 173,014 | 169,213 | 171,346 | 173,093 |
| 36 Less: Held by bank ${ }^{5}$....... | 23.105 | 21, 595 | 22.823 | 24,390 | 25.101 | 22.119 | 23,105 | 24,921 |
| 37 Federal Reserve notes, net | 148,241 | 149,895 | 149,389 | 148,254 | 147,913 | 147,094 | 148,241 | 148,172 |
| 38 Collateral held against notes net: Gold certificate account............................ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 38 Gold certificate account............... | 11,128 | 11,128 | 11,128 | 11.128 | 11.128 | 11,131 | 11.128 | 11.128 |
| 39 Special drawing rights certificate account | 4,618 | 4.618 | 4.618 | 4.618 | 4.618 | 4.618 | 4,618 | 4,618 |
| 40 Other eligible assets ................. |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 41 U.S. government and agency securities | 132.495 | 134,149 | 133.643 | 132,508 | 132,167 | 131,345 | 132,495 | 132,426 |
| 42 Total collateral. | 148,241 | 149,895 | 149,389 | 148,254 | 147,913 | 147,094 | 148,241 | 148,172 |

1. Includes securities loaned-fully guaranteed by U.S. government securities pledged with Federal Reserve Banks-and excludes (if any) securities sold and scheduled to be bought back under matched sale-purchase transactions.
2. Includes U.S. government securities held under repurchase agreement against receipt of foreign currencies and foreign currencies warehoused for the U.S. Treasury. Assets shown in this line are revalued monthly at market exchange rates.
3. Includes special investment account at Chicago of Treasury bills maturing within 90 days.
4. Includes exchange-translation account reflecting the monthly revaluation at market exchange rates of foreign-exchange commitments
5. Beginning September 1980, Federal Reserve notes held by the Reserve Bank are exempt from the collateral requirement.
1.19 FEDERAL RESERVE BANKS Maturity Distribution of Loan and Security Holdings

Millions of dollars

| Type and maturity groupings | Wednesday |  |  |  |  | End of month |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1983 |  |  |  |  | 1983 |  |  |
|  | Aug. 31 | Sept. 7 | Sept. 14 | Sept. 21 | Sept. 28 | July 29 | Aug. 31 | Sept. 30 |
| 1 Loans-Total. | 3,633 | 1,535 | 2.410 | 6,817 | 2.359 | 1,113 | 3,633 | 1,625 |
| 2 Within 15 days. | 3,583 | 1,432 | 2,309 | 6,786 | 2,321 | 1,045 | 3.583 | 1,553 |
| 316 days to 90 days | 50 | 103 | 101 | 31 | 38 | 68 | 50 | 72 |
| 491 days to 1 year.. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 Acceptances-Total | 209 | 288 | 0 | 9 | 89 | 0 | 209 | 1,122 |
| 6 Within 15 days. | 209 | 288 | 0 | 9 | 89 | 0 | 209 | 1,122 |
| 716 days to 90 days | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ |
| 891 days to 1 year. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 U.S. government securities-Total | 146,489 | 148,668 | 144,791 | 149,502 | 149,370 | 144,255 | 146,489 | 155,423 |
| 10 Within 15 days ${ }^{1}$. | 9.715 | 12,183 | 6,211 | 10,388 | 10,341 | 4,116 | 9,715 | 13,007 |
| 1116 days to 90 days | 28,657 | 30,529 | 31.099 | 29,998 | 29.913 | 34,748 | 28,657 | 33,599 |
| 1291 days to 1 year. | 43,975 | 41,814 | 43,339 | 44,974 | 44,974 | 43,218 | 43.975 | 44,925 |
| 13 Over 1 year to 5 years. | 32,863 | 32,863 | 32,863 | 32,863 | 32,863 | 33,108 | 32,863 | 32.713 |
| 14 Over 5 years to 10 years | 13,690 | 13,690 | 13,690 | 13,690 | 13,690 | 11,874 | 13.690 | 13,690 |
| 15 Over 10 years....... | 17,589 | 17,589 | 17,589 | 17,589 | 17,589 | 17,191 | 17,589 | 17,589 |
| 16 Federal agency obligations-Total. | 8,932 | 8,840 | 8.737 | 8,998 | 9,071 | 8,880 | 8,932 | 9,288 |
| 17 Within 15 days ${ }^{1} .$. | 336 | 178 | 70 | 467 | 508 | 82 | 336 | 725 |
| 1816 days to 90 days | 713 | 782 | 762 | 626 | 648 | 814 | 713 | 648 |
| 1991 days to 1 year. | 1,832 | 1,843 | 1.933 | 1,933 | 1,897 | 1,914 | 1,832 | 1,897 |
| 20 Over 1 year to 5 years | 4,370 | 4,356 | 4,311 | 4,311 | 4,331 | 4,418 | 4,370 | 4,331 |
| 21 Over 5 years to 10 years | 1,163 | 1.163 | 1,143 | 1,143 | 1,169 | 1,134 | 1,163 | 1,169 |
| 22 Over 10 years. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 518 | 518 | 518 | 518 | 518 | 518 | 518 | 518 |

1. Holdings under repurchase agreements are classified as maturing within 15 days in accordance with maximum maturity of the agreements.

### 1.20 AGGREGATE RESERVES OF DEPOSITORY INSTITUTIONS AND MONETARY BASE

Billions of dollars, averages of daily figures


1. Reserve aggregates include required reserves of member banks and Edge Act corporations and other depository institutions. Discontinuities associated with the implementation of the Monetary Control Act, the inclusion of Edge Act corporation reserves, and other changes in Regulation $D$ have been removed.
2. Reserve balances with Federal Reserve Banks plus vault cash at institutions with required reserve balances plus vault cash equal to required reserves at other institutions.
3. Consists of reserve balances and service-related balances and adjustments at Federal Reserve Banks in the current week plus vault cash held two weeks earlie used to satisfy reserve requirements at all depository institutions plus currency outside the U.S. Treasury, Federal Reserve Banks, the vaults of depository institutions, and surplus vault cash at depository institutions
4. Reserves of depository institutions series reflect actual reserve requirement percentages with no adjustments to eliminate the effect of changes in Regulation D including changes associated with the implementation of the Monetary Control Act. Includes required reserves of member banks and Edge Act corporations and beginning Nov. 13, 1980, other depository institutions. Under the transitional phase-in program of the Monetary Control Act of 1980 , the net changes in required reserves of depository institutions have been as follows: Effective Nov. 13, 1980, a reduction of $\$ 2.9$ billion; Feb. 12, 1981, an increase of $\$ 245$ million; Mar. 12, 1981, an increase of $\$ 75$ million; May 14, 1981, an increase of $\$ 245$ million: Sept. 3, 1981, a reduction of $\$ 1.1$ biltion; Nov. 12, 1981, an increase
of $\$ 210$ million; Jan. 14, 1982, a reduction of $\$ 60$ million; Feb. 11, 1982 an increase of $\$ 170$ million; Mar. 4, 1982, an estimated reduction of $\$ 2.0$ billion; May 13, 1982 an estimated increase of $\$ 150$ million; Aug. 12, 1982 an estimated increase of $\$ 140$ million: and Sept. 2, 1982, an estimated reduction of $\$ 1.2$ billion: Oct. 28, 1982 an estimated reduction of $\$ 100$ million; Dec. 23, 1982 an estimated reduction of $\$ 800$ million: Mar. 3, 1983 an estimated reduction of $\$ 1.9$ billion; and Sept. 1, 1983, an estimated reduction of $\$ 1.2$ billion beginning with the week ended Dec. 23, 1981 reserve aggregates have been reduced by shifts of reservable liabilities to IBFs On the basis of reports of liabilities transferred to IBFs by U.S. commercial banks and U.S. agencies and branches of foreign banks, it is estimated that required reserves were lowered on average by $\$ 60$ million to $\$ 90$ million in Dec. 1981 and $\$ 180$ million to $\$ 230$ million in Jan. 1982, mostly reflecting a reduction in reservable Eurocurrency transactions. Also, beginning with the week ending Apr 20,1983 . required reserves were reduced an estimated $\$ 80$ million as a result of the elimination of reserve requirements on nonpersonal time deposits with maturities of $21 / 2$ years or more to less than $31 / 2$ years.

NOTE. Latest monthly and weekly figures are available from the Board's H.3(502) statistical release. Back data and estimates of the impact on required reserves and changes in reserve requirements are available from the Banking Section, Division of Research and Statistics. Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551.

### 1.21 MONEY STOCK MEASURES AND COMPONENTS

Billions of dollars, averages of daity figures

| Item | 1979 Dec. | $\begin{aligned} & 1980 \\ & \text { Dec. } \end{aligned}$ | 1981 Dec | $\begin{aligned} & 1982 \\ & \text { Dec. } \end{aligned}$ | 1983 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | May | June | July | Aug. |
|  | Seasonally adjusted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Measures ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1 \mathrm{M1}$ | 389.0 | 414.1 | 440.6 | 478.2 | 507.4 | 511.7 | 515.5 | 516.7 |
| 2 M 2 | 1,497.5 | 1,630.3 | 1,794.9 | 1,959.5 | 2,096.2 | 2,114.3 | 2,126.9r | 2,136.9 |
| 3 M 3 | 1,758.4 | 1,936.7 | 2,167.9 | 2,377.6 | 2,476.2r | 2,498.7r | $2.510 .2^{r}$ | 2,528.5 |
| $4 \mathrm{~L}^{2}$. | 2,131.8 | 2.343 .6 | 2,622.0 | 2,896.8 | 3,032.1 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | n.a. | ก.a. | n.a. |
| Selected Components |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 Currency. | 106.5 | 116.2 | 123.2 | 132.8 | 139.3 | 140.3 | 140.9 | 141.8 |
| 6 Travelers checks ${ }^{3}$ | 3.7 | 4.1 | 4.5 | 4.2 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 4.6 | 4.7 |
| 7 Demand deposits. | 262.0 | 266.8 | 236.4 | 239.8 | 242.5 | 244.0 | $245.8{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 244.5 |
| 8 Other checkable deposits ${ }^{4}$ | 17.0 | 26.9 | 76.6 | 101.3 | 120.9 | 122.7 | 124.2 | 125.8 |
| 9 Savings deposits ${ }^{5}$. . . . . . . . . . | 423.1 | 400.7 | 344.4 | 359.3 | 323.1 | 325.0 | 323.5 | 322.1 |
| 10 Small-denomination time deposits ${ }^{6}$ | 635.9 | 731.7 | 828.6 | 859.1 | 720.1 | 722.1 | $735.1^{r}$ | 748.0 |
| 11 Large-denomination time deposits? | 222.2 | 258.9 | 302.6 | 333.8 | $299.2{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 304.1 - | 305.9 | 311.8 |
|  | Not seasonally adjusted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Measures ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 MI | 398.8 | 424.7 | 452.1 | 491.0 | 499.8 | 508.3 | 514.7 | 511.6 |
| 13 M 2 | 1,502.1 | 1,635.0 | 1,799.6 | 1,964.5 | 2.092 .7 | 2,114.0 | 2,127.4r | 2,129.2 |
| $14 \mathrm{M3}$ | 1,766.1 | 1,944.9 | 2,175.9 | 2,385.3 | 2,471.5r | 2,495.4 | 2,508.1 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 2,519.5 |
|  | 2,138.9 | 2,350.8 | 2.629 .7 | 2.904 .7 | 3,030.6r | п.a. | n.a. |  |
| Selected Components |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 Currency........ | 108.2 | 118.3 | 125.4 | 135.2 | 138.9 | 140.3 | 142.0 | 142.1 |
| 17 Travelers checks ${ }^{3}$ | 3.5 | 3.9 | 4.3 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 4.9 | 5.2 | 5.1 |
| 18 Demand deposits. | 270.1 | 275.2 | 244.0 | 247.7 | 238.2 | 242.1 | 245.1 | 241.3 |
| 19 Other checkable deposits ${ }^{4}$. | 17.0 | 27.2 | 78.4 | 104.0 | 118.2 | 121.0 | 122.5 | 123.0 |
| 20 Overnight RPs and Eurodollars ${ }^{8}$ | 21.2 | 28.4 | 36.1 | 44.3 | 55.1 | $56.0{ }^{\circ}$ | $52.7{ }^{r}$ | 52.5 |
| 21 Savings deposits ${ }^{5}$. | 420.7 | 398.3 | 342.1 | 356.7 | 324.6 | 326.3 | 326.6 | 321.5 |
| 22 Money market deposit accounts ${ }^{\text {a }}$. | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | 43.2 | 356.8 | 367.3 | 368.4 | 366.3 |
| 23 Small-denomination time deposits ${ }^{6}$ | 633.1 | 728.3 | 824.1 | 853.9 | 722.7 | 723.9 | $734.3{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 746.0 |
| 24 General purpose and broker/dealer . | 33.4 | 61.4 | 150.9 | 177.8 | 135.0 | 132.9 | 131.3 | 131.3 |
| 25 Institution only | 9.5 | 14.9 | 36.0 | 43.1 | 35.7 | 34.7 | 34.0 | 33.9 |
| 26 Large-denomination time deposits ${ }^{7}$ | 226.0 | 262.4 | 305.9 | 336.5 | $298.0{ }^{6}$ | $301.0^{r}$ | 302.3 | 310.5 |

I. Composition of the money stock measures is as follows:

M1: Averages of daily figures for (1) currency outside the Treasury, Federal Reserve Banks, and the vaults of commercial banks; (2) travelers checks of nonbank issuers; (3) demand deposits at all commercial banks other than those due to domestic banks, the U.S. government, and foreign banks and official due to domestic banks, the U.S. governmen, and foreign banks and official institutions less cash items in the process of collection and Federat Reserve float: and (4) negotiable order of withdrawal (NOW) and automatic transfer service
(ATS) accounts at banks and thrift institutions, credit union share draft (CUSD) (ATS) accounts at banks and thrift institutions, credit uni
accounts, and demand deposits at mutual savings banks.
accounts, and demand deposits at mutual savings banks.
M2: M1 plus money market deposit accounts, savings and small-denomination time deposits at all depository institutions, overnight repurchase agreements at commercial banks, overnight Eurodollars held by U.S. residents other than banks at Caribbean branches of member banks and balances of money market mutual funds (general purpose and broker/dealer).

M3: M2 plus large-denomination time deposits at all depository institutions, term RPs at commercial banks and savings and loan associations, and balances of institution-only money market mutual funds.
2. L: M3 plus other liquid assets such as term Eurodollars held by U.S. residents other than banks, bankers acceptances, commercial paper, Treasury bills and other liquid Treasury securities, and U.S. savings bonds.
3. Outstanding amount of U.S. dollar-denominated travelers checks of nonbank issuers.
4. Includes ATS and NOW balances at all institutions, credit union share draft balances, and demand deposits at mutual savings banks.
5. Excludes NOW and ATS accounts at commercial banks and thrift institutions and CUSDs at credit unions and all money market deposit accounts (MMDAs).
6. Issued in amounts of less than $\$ 100,000$ and includes retail RPs.
7. Issued in amounts of $\$ 100,000$ or more and are net of the holdings of domestic banks, thrift institutions, the U.S. government, money market mutual funds, and foreign banks and official institutions.
8. Overnight (and continuing contract) RPs are those issued by commercial banks to other than depository institutions and money market mutual funds (general purpose and broker/dealer), and overnight Eurodollars are those issued by Caribbean branches of member banks to U.S. residents other than depository institutions and money market mutual funds (general purpose and broker/dealer).

Nore: Latest monthly and weekly figures are available from the Buard's H. 6 (508) release. Back data are available from the Banking Section. Division of Research and Statistics. Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System. Washington. D.C. 20551.

### 1.22 BANK DEBITS AND DEPOSIT TURNOVER

Debits are shown in billions of dollars, turnover as ratio of debits to deposits. Monthly data are at annual rates.

| Bank group, or type of customer | $1980{ }^{1}$ | $1981{ }^{1}$ | $1982^{1}$ | 1983 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug. |
|  | Seasonally adjusted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Depbits to |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Demand deposits ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 All insured banks ........... | 62,757.8 | 80,858.7 | 90,914.4 | 102,206.1 | 103,022.3 | 107,273.3 | 106,799.4 | 107,884.4 | 111,538.1 |
| 2 Major New York City banks. | $25,156.1$ $37,601.7$ | $33,891.9$ $46,966.9$ | $37,932.9$ $52,981.6$ | $44,327.4$ $57,878.7$ | $46,025.6$ $56,996.7$ | $46,891.2$ $60,382.1$ | $46,445.4$ $60,354.1$ | $46,978.0$ $60,906.4$ | $48,373.3$ $63,164.9$ |
| 4 ATS-NOW accounts ${ }^{3}$. | 159.3 | 743.4 | 1,036.2 | 1,369.4 | 1,202.2 | 1,371.5 | 1,342.1 | 1,390.1 | 1,679.5 |
| 5 Savings deposits ${ }^{4}$. | 670.0 | 672.7 | 721.4 | 803.2 | 714.9 | 743.1 | - 776.2 | + 659.4 | 1.706 .3 |
| Deposit Turnover |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Demand deposits ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 All insured banks .... | 198.7 | 285.8 | 324.2 | 356.1 | 359.7 | 370.4 | 367.5 | 371.5 | 385.7 |
| 7 Major New York City banks. | 803.7 | 1,105.1 | 1,287.6 | 1,437.4 | 1,502.8 | 1,471.5 | 1,449.1 | 1,432.2 | 1,526.7 |
| 8 Other banks . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 132.2 | 186.2 | 211.1 | 225.9 | 222.9 | 234.3 | 233.4 | 236.5 | 245.3 |
| 9 ATS-NOW accounts ${ }^{3}$. | 9.7 | 14.0 | 14.5 | 15.6 | 13.9 | 15.2 | 14.7 | 15.0 | 17.9 |
| 10 Savings deposits ${ }^{4}$. | 3.6 | 4.1 | 4.5 | 5.7 | 5.1 | 5.4 | 5.6 | 4.8 | 5.2 |
|  | Not seasonally adjusted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Debits to |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Demand deposits ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 All insured banks ....... | 63, 124.4 | 81,197.9 | 91,031.9 | 109,166.3 | 100,117.1 | 103,947.8 | 113,773.4 | 105,057.8 | 115,776.6 |
| 12 Major New York City banks. | 25,243.1 | 34,032.0 | 38,001.0 | 47,496.6 | 43,678.9 | 44,942.5 | 50,643.1 | 45,601.0 | 49,788.2 |
| 13 Other banks . . . . . . . . . . . . | 37.881 .3 | 47,165.9 | 53,030.9 | 61,669.7 | 56,438.1 | 59,005.4 | 63,130.4 | 59,456.8 | 65,988.3 |
| 14 ATS-NOW accounts ${ }^{3}$. | 158.0 | 737.6 | 1,027.1 | 1,398.4 | 1,405.3 | 1,353.1 | 1,420.7 | 1,325.3 | 1,468.9 |
| 15 MMDA ${ }^{5}$. ${ }^{\text {a }}$.. | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{0} 0$ | 454.9 | 545.8 | 505.6 | 714.3 | 603.3 | 655.5 |
| 16 Savings deposits ${ }^{4}$. | 669.8 | 672.9 | 720.0 | 820.4 | 779.9 | 722.2 | 779.3 | 661.6 | 694.3 |
| Deposit Turnover |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Demand deposits ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 All insured banks | 202.3 | 286.1 | 325.0 | 391.8 | 347.9 | 368.1 | 393.1 | 357.6 | 406.7 |
| 18 Major New York City banks. | 814.8 | 1,114.2 | 1,295.7 | 1,561.1 | 1,446.9 | 1,471.0 | 1,563.6 | 1,383.5 | 1,621.6 |
| 19 Other banks . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 134.8 | 186.2 | 211.5 | 248.5 | 219.1 | 234.3 | 245.6 | 227.9 | 259.8 |
| 20 ATS-NOW accounts ${ }^{3}$. | 9.7 | 14.0 | 14.3 | 16.2 | 15.6 | 15.3 | 15.7 | 14.5 | 16.0 |
| 21 MMDA ${ }^{5}$. . . . . . . | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.4 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 3.0 |
| 22 Savings deposits ${ }^{4}$. | 3.6 | 4.1 | 4.5 | 5.8 | 5.6 | 5.2 | 5.6 | 4.8 | 5.1 |

1. Annual averages of monthly figures
2. Represents accounts of individuals, partnerships, and corporations and of states and political subdivisions.
3. Accounts authorized for negotiable orders of withdrawal (NOW) and accounts authorized for automatic transfer to demand deposits (ATS). ATS data availability starts with December 1978.
4. Excludes ATS and NOW accounts, MMDA and special club accounts, such as Christmas and vacation clubs.
5. Money market deposit accounts.

Note. Historical data for demand deposits are available back to 1970 estimated in part from the debits series for 233 SMSAs that were available through June 1977. Historical data for ATS-NOW and savings deposits are available back to July 1977. Back data are available on request from the Banking Section, Division of Research and Statistics, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551.
1.23 LOANS AND SECURITIES All Commercial Banks ${ }^{1}$

Billions of dollars; averages of Wednesday figures


1. Includes domestically chartered banks; U.S. branches and agencies of foreign banks, New York investment companies majority owned by foreign banks, and Edge Act corporations owned by domestically chartered and foreign banks.
2. Beginning December 1981, shifts of foreign loans and securities from U.S. banking offices to international banking facilities (IBFs) reduced the levels of several items. Seasonally adjusted data that include adjustments for the amounts shifted from domestic offices to IBFs are available in the Board's G. 7 (407) statistical release (available from Publications Services, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551)
3. Excludes loans to commercial banks in the United States
4. Loans sold are those sold outright to a bank's own foreign branches, nonconsolidated nonbank affiliates of the bank, the bank's holding company (if not a bank), and nonconsolidated nonbank subsidiaries of the holding company. 5. United States includes the 50 states and the District of Columbia

Note. Data are prorated averages of Wednesday estimates for domestically chartered banks, based on weekly reports of a sample of domestically chartered banks and quarterly reports of all domestically chartered banks. For foreignrelated institutions, data are averages of month-end estimates based on weekly reports from large agencies and branches and quarterly reports from all agencies, branches, investment companies, and Edge Act corporations engaged in banking.

### 1.24 MAJOR NONDEPOSIT FUNDS OF COMMERCIAL BANKS ${ }^{1}$

Monthly averages, billions of dollars

| Source | 1981 | 1982 |  |  | 1983 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dec. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July ${ }^{\prime}$ | Aug. |
| Total nondeposit funds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Seasonally adjusted ${ }^{2}$. | 96.5 | 81.1 | 87.3 | 82.8 | 72.8 | 75.8 | 75.3 | 79.7 | 90.3 | $87.8{ }^{r}$ | 75.9 | 81.4 |
| 2 Not seasonally adjusted.................. Federal funds, RPs, and other borrowings from nonbanks ${ }^{3}$ | 98.0 | 83.3 | 89.3 | 84.3 | 74.3 | 76.7 | 76.0 | 78.3 | 89.8 | $89.4{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 77.9 | 85.6 |
| 3 Seasonally adjusted. | 111.6 | 126.2 | 129.2 | 127.5 | 131.8 | 134.7 | 134.8 | 139.3 | 145.3 | 140.1 | 132.0 | 130.2 |
| 4 Not seasonally adjusted.............. | 113.1 | 128.4 | 131.2 | 128.9 | 133.2 | 135.6 | 135.5 | 137.8 | 144.8 | 141.8 | 134.1 | 134.4 |
| 5 Net balances due to foreign-related institutions, not seasonally adjusted | -17.9 | -47.9 | -44.8 | -47.6 | -61.9 | -61.9 | -62.4 | -62.5 | -57.8 | -55.1 | -58.8 | -51.4 |
| 6 Loans sold to affiliates, not seasonally adjusted ${ }^{4}$ | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.6 |
| Memo |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 Domestically chartered banks' net positions with own foreign branches, not season- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 Gross due from balances..... | 54.9 | 69.8 | 69.9 | 72.4 | 79.4 | 78.9 | 79.8 | 80.1 | 76.3 | 75.8 | 77.5 | 73.7 |
| 9 Gross due to balances | 32.4 | 29.4 | 31.6 | 32.6 | 29.2 | 28.3 | 26.9 | 27.5 | 27.6 | 26.6 | 26.5 | 28.6 |
| 10 Foreign-related institutions' net positions with directly related institutions, not season- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 Gross due from balances .................. | 48.1 | 53.9 | 53.5 | 55.3 | 57.2 | 55.7 | 56.1 | 55.9 | 55.7 | 53.9 | 55.2 | 53.5 |
| 12 Gross due to balances | 52.4 | 46.4 | 47.1 | 46.6 | 45.2 | 44.4 | 46.7 | 46.1 | 46.7 | 48.0 | 47.4 | 47.2 |
| Security RP borrowings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 Seasonally adjusted ${ }^{\text {² }}$. | 59.0 | 69.0 | 71.5 | 71.0 | 72.2 | 74.3 | 74.7 | 79.3 | 84.6 | 81.4 | 75.5 | 74.2 |
| 14 Not seasonally adjusted. | 59.2 | 69.8 | 72.1 | 71.1 | 72.2 | 73.7 | 73.9 | 76.3 | 82.6 | 81.5 | 76.1 | 76.9 |
| ${ }^{15}$ U.S. Treasury demand balances ${ }^{8}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 Not seasonally adjusted | 11.1 | 16.4 | 7.8 | 10.8 | 16.3 | 10.2 | 13.2 | 14.2 | 12.5 | 13.2 | 21.8 | 16.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 Seasonally adjusted. | 324.1 | 376.6 | 360.6 | 347.3 | 319.2 | 303.0 | 296.0 | 296.2 | 287.0 | 287.5 | 285.8 | 285.2 |
| 18 Not seasonally adjusted................. | 330.4 | 364.9 | 361.7 | 353.9 | 325.4 | 310.5 | 300.7 | 293.0 | 285.0 | 283.5 | 281.5 | 284.0 |
| 18F Adjustments for Selected liemsio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 Items 1 and 2 | 22.4 | 33.1 | 33.3 | 33.9 | 34.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 ltems 3 and 4 | 1.7 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.4 | . . . . | . . . . |  | . . . | ..... |  | ..... |
| 21 Item 5 | 20.7 | 30.7 | 30.9 | 31.5 | 31.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 ltem 7 | 3.1 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 5.8 | 5.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 Item 10 | 17.6 | 25.3 | 25.4 | 25.7 | 26.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1. Commercial banks are those in the 50 states and the District of Columbia with national or state charters plus agencies and branches of foreign banks, New York investment companies majority owned by foreign banks, and Edge Act corporations owned by domestically chartered and foreign banks.
2. Includes seasonally adjusted federal funds, RPs, and other borrowings from nonbanks and not seasonally adjusted net Eurodollars and loans to affiliates. Includes averages of Wednesday data for domestically chartered banks and Includes averages of wednesday data for domestically chartered banks and
averages of current and previous month-end data for foreign-related institutions. averages of current and previous month-end data for foreign-related institutions.
3. Other borrowings are borrowings on any instrument, such as a promissory note or due bill, given for the purpose of borrowing money for the banking business. This includes borrowings from Federal Reserve Banks and from foreign banks, term federal funds, overdrawn due from bank balances, loan RPs, and
participations in pooled loans. Includes averages of daily figures for member banks and averages of current and previous month-end data for foreign-related institutions.
4. Loans initially booked by the bank and later sold to affiliates that are still held by affiliates. Averages of Wednesday data.
5. Averages of daily figures for member and nonmember banks.
6. Averages of daily data.
7. Based on daily average data reported by 122 large banks.
8. Includes U.S. Treasury demand deposits and Treasury tax-and-loan notes at commercial banks. Averages of daily data.
9. Averages of Wednesday figures
10. Estimated effects of shifts of foreign assets from U.S. banking offices to international banking facilities (1BFs).

### 1.26 ALL LARGE WEEKLY REPORTING COMMERCIAL BANKS with Domestic Assets of $\$ 750$ Million or More on December 31, 1977, Assets and Liabilities <br> Millions of dollars, Wednesday figures

| Account | 1983 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Aug. 3 | Aug. 10 | Aug. 17 | Aug. 24 | Aug. $31{ }^{\nu}$ | Sept. $7 p$ | Sept. $14^{p}$ | Sept. $21^{p}$ | Sept. $28^{\circ}$ |
| 1 Cash items in process of collection | 15,948 | 14,191 | 15,675 | 14,689 | 17,099 | 14.667 | 14,492 | 14,584 | 14,313 |
| 2 Demand deposits due from banks in the United States.. | 1,194 | 1,163 | 1,149 | 1,133 | 1,020 | 1,163 | 1,105 | 1,122 | 1,005 |
| 3 All other cash and due from depository institutions ... | 7,014 | 8,569 | 5,397 | 4.683 | 6.303 | 8.348 | 5,565 | 5,664 | 3,477 |
| 4 Total loans and securities | 144,824 | 143,069 | 143,861 | 142,695 | 144,843 | 143,228 | 142,305 | 142,336 | 141,575 |
| Securities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 U.S. Treasury securities . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 Trading account. . . . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 Investment account, by maturity | 8,108 | 8,253 | 8,438 | 8,289 | 8,843 | 8,905 | 8,998 | 8,968 | 8,975 |
| 8 One year or less ............ | 2,452 | 2,481 | 2,464 | 2,458 | 2,396 | 2,391 | 2,360 | 2,343 | 2,708 |
| 9 Over one through five years | 4,851 | 5,034 | 5,517 | 5,373 | 5,986 | 5,978 | 5,931 | 5,929 | 5,517 |
| 10 Over five years . . . . . . . . . | 805 | 738 | 457 | 458 | 461 | 536 | 708 | 696 | 750 |
| 11 Other securities... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 Trading account. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 Investment account | 14,889 | 15,046 | 14.997 | 14.820 | 14,833 | 14,760 | 14,699 | 14,695 | 14,659 |
| 14 U.S. government agencies. | 1,547 | 1,544 | 1,533 | 1,586 | 1,592 | 1,578 | 1, 578 | 1,578 | 1,500 |
| 15 States and political subdivisions, by maturity | 12,554 | 12,713 | 12,657 | 12,428 | 12,435 | 12,385 | 12,328 | 12,338 | 12,380 |
| 16 One year or less | 2,010 | 2,103 | 2,045 | 1,835 | 1,821 | 1,778 | 1,702 | 1,696 | 1,684 |
| 17 Over one year | 10,544 | 10,610 | 10,612 | 10,593 | 10,614 | 10,607 | 10,626 | 10,642 | 10,695 |
| 18 Other bonds, corporate stocks and securities | 788 | 789 | 807 | 806 | 806 | 796 | 793 | 778 | 780 |
| Loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 Federal funds sold ${ }^{1}$. | 10,437 | 10,217 | 10,700 | 10,457 | 10,672 | 9,927 | 9,910 | 8,654 | 9,872 |
| 20 To commercial banks | 4,965 | 4,688 | 5,418 | 5,300 | 5,467 | 4.542 | 5,132 | 4,537 | 5,093 |
| 21 To nonbank brokers and dealers in securities | 3,815 | 4,011 | 3,856 | 3,796 | 3,670 | 3,702 | 3,428 | 3,057 | 3,459 |
| 23 Other loans, gro | 115,396 | 113,566 | 113,728 | 1,362 113,134 | 1,535 114,536 | 1,683 113,708 | 1,350 112.786 | 114,060 | 1,320 12,136 |
| 24 Commercial and industrial. | 59,499 | 58,955 | 58,270 | 58,584 | 58.029 | 58,100 | 57,791 | 57,934 | 56,932 |
| 25 Bankers acceptances and commercial paper | 1,171 | 1,040 | ¢7975 | 1,195 | 57950 | 1,008 | 1,069 | 1,132 56 | 1.064 |
| 26 All other | 58,327 | 57,915 | 57.294 | 57,390 | 57.080 | 57,092 | 56,722 | 56,802 | 55,868 |
| 27 U.S. addressees. | 56,772 | 56,333 | 55,725 | 55.791 | 55,474 | 55.516 | 55,166 | 55,230 | 54,265 |
| 28 Non-U.S. addressees | 1,555 | 1.582 | 1,569 | 1,598 | 1,606 | 1,576 | 1,556 | 1.572 | 1,602 |
| 29 Real estate | 19,530 | 19,598 | 19.938 | 19,998 | 20,131 | 20.053 | 20.114 | 20,248 | 20,284 |
| 30 To individuals for personal expenditures | 11,964 | 12,038 | 12.069 | 12,096 | 12,182 | 12,233 | 12,366 | 12,452 | 12,494 |
| 31 To financial institutions Commercial banks in the United States | 1.787 | 1,625 | 1,582 | 1,720 | 1,906 | 1,829 | 1.614 | 1,869 | 1,591 |
| 32 Banks in foreign countries. | 2,909 | 2,617 | 2,510 | 2,516 | 2,737 | 2,767 | 2,524 | 2,551 | 2,597 |
| 33 Sales finance, personal finance companies, etc. | 3,903 | 3,811 | 3,692 | 3,663 | 3,820 | 3,868 | 3.729 | 3,883 | 3,831 |
| 34 Other financial institutions . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 4,390 | 4,510 | 4,430 | 4,321 | 4,373 | 4,421 | 4,336 | 4,353 | 4,385 |
| 35 To nonbank brokers and dealers in securities.... | 5.892 | 4,984 | 5.781 | 4,861 | 6,144 | 4,969 | 4,620 | 5,780 | 4,729 |
| 36 To others for purchasing and carrying securities ${ }^{2}$ | 644 | 654 | 649 | 608 | 635 | 609 | 577 | 594 | 586 |
| 37 To finance agricultural production. | 432 | 432 | 419 | 393 | 422 | 428 | 434 | 466 | 452 |
| 38 All other | 4,445 | 4,342 | 4,388 | 4,374 | 4,156 | 4,431 | 4,680 | 3,995 | 4,253 |
| 39 Less: Unearned income | 1,417 | 1,438 | 1,422 | 1,430 | 1,419 | 1.433 | 1,442 | 1,464 | 1,453 |
| 40 Loan loss reserve | 2,588 | 2,576 | 2,579 | 2,575 | 2,622 | 2,638 | 2,647 | 2,642 | 2,613 |
| 41 Other loans, net. | 111.390 | 109,552 | 109,726 | 109,129 | 110,494 | 109.636 | 108.697 | 110,020 | 108,069 |
| 42 Lease financing receivables | 2.075 | 2,072 | 2.078 | 2,080 | 2,074 | 2,060 | 2.060 | 2,057 | 2,057 |
| 43 All other assets . . . . . . . . . | 61,434 | 59.905 | 60,793 | 59.450 | 59.108 | 60.318 | 64,728 | 61,909 | 61,872 |
| 44 Total assets | 232,488 | 228,968 | 228,952 | 224,730 | 230,447 | 229,783 | 230,256 | 227,674 | 224,299 |
| 5 Deposits |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45 Demand deposits. | 47,866 | 44,647 | 46,803 | 45,131 | 48,048 | 47,612 | 46,295 | 45,076 | 44,068 |
| 46 Mutual savings banks | 364 | 340 | 373 | 286 | 332 | 343 | 232 | 329 | 240 |
| 47 Individuals, partnerships, and corporations.......... | 31,959 | 29,448 | 32.299 | 30,490 | 32,065 | 32,463 | 31,764 | 30.717 | 29,896 |
| 48 States and political subdivisions ..................... | 710 | 598 | 692 | 559 | 586 | 660 | 580 | 666 | 629 |
| 49 U.S. government. . . . . . . . . . . | 695 | 539 | 226 | 506 | 172 | 324 | 684 | 363 | 446 |
| 50 Commercial banks in the United States | 4.333 | 4.722 | 4,635 | 4,346 | 5,320 | 4.446 | 3,766 | 4,483 | 3,988 |
| 51 Banks in foreign countries. | 4.610 | 4,723 | 4,334 | 4,492 | 4.654 | 5,121 | 5,264 | 4,132 | 4,428 |
| 52 Foreign governments and official institutions | 841 | 786 | 794 | 912 | 1,117 | 1,050 | , 752 | 719 | 518 |
| 53 Certified and officers' checks | 4,354 | 3,491 | 3,450 | 3,540 | 3,802 | 3.203 | 3,253 | 3,667 | 3,923 |
| 54 Time and savings deposits..... | 73,102 | 73,127 | 73,507 | 73,027 | 73.285 | 73,262 | 73,158 | 72,304 | 72,359 |
| 55 Savings .................................. | 29,281 | 29,114 | 28,940 | 28,847 | 28,872 | 29,230 | 29,323 | 29,289 | 29.417 |
| 56 Individuals and nonprofit organizations | 26,636 | 26,468 | 26,300 | 26, 189 | 26,214 | 26,504 | 26.586 | 26.539 | 26.638 |
| 57 Partnerships and corporations operated for profit | 2.394 | 2,414 | 2,420 | 2.442 | 2.448 | 2,499 | 2,499 | 2,532 | 2,544 |
| 58 Domestic governmental units | 212 | 198 | 184 | 177 38 | 171 | 192 | 198 | 179 | 201 |
| 59 All other | 39 | 34 | 35 | 38 | 39 | 35 | 40 | 38 | 34 |
| 60 Time | 43,821 | 44,013 | 44,567 | 44,180 | 44,413 | 44,032 | 43,835 | 43,016 | 42.942 |
| 61 Individuals, partnerships, and corporations. | 37,508 | 37.590 | 38.279 | 37.979 | 38,262 | 37,948 | 37,841 | 37.132 | 37,023 |
| 62 States and political subdivisions. | 2,199 | 2,210 | 2.233 | 2,235 | 2,096 | 2,052 | 2,010 | 1,983 | 2,003 |
| 63 U.S. government. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | , 22 | 2.728 | 522 | 2.54 | 24 +584 | 24 | . 22 | + 22 | -22 |
| 64 Commercial banks in the United States ...... | 2,740 | 2,758 | 2,583 | 2,510 | 2,584 | 2,632 | 2,593 | 2,529 | 2,551 |
| 65 Foreign governments, official institutions, and banks. | 1,352 | 1,432 | 1,450 | 1,433 | 1,447 | 1.376 | 1,368 | 1,348 | 1.343 |
| $66 \begin{aligned} & \text { Liabilities for borrowed money } \\ & \text { Borrowings from Federal Reserve Banks... }\end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 66 Borrowings from Federal Reserve Banks. | 450 |  |  | 2.755 | 1,050 2790 |  | 1,205 | 3,350 |  |
| 68 All other liabilities for borrowed money ${ }^{3}$ | 54,112 | 54,074 | 50,296 | - 48,718 | 49,473 | 53,978 | 2, 53,539 | 4,035 48,012 | 4,034 48,823 |
| 69 Other liabilities and subordinated notes and debentures. | 35,027 | 35,278 | 36,163 | 35,327 | 36.022 | 33,645 | 33,998 | 35,200 | 35,429 |
| 70 Total liahilities | 212,874 | 209,245 | 209,201 | 204,958 | 210,668 | 209,912 | 210,381 | 207,976 | 204,713 |
| 71 Residual (total assets minus total liabilities) ${ }^{4}$. | 19,614 | 19,723 | 19,751 | 19,772 | 19.779 | 19,872 | 19,874 | 19,698 | 19,586 |

1. Includes securities purchased under agreements to resell
2. Other than financial institutions and brokers and dealers
3. Includes federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase; for information on these liabilities at banks with assets of $\$ 1$ billion or more on Dec. 31, 1977, see table 1.13.

### 1.27 LARGE WEEKLY REPORTING COMMERCIAL BANKS with Domestic Assets of $\$ 1$ Billion or More on December 31, 1977, Assets and Liabilities <br> Millions of dollars, Wednesday figures



1. Includes securities purchased under agreements to resell
2. Other than financial institutions and brokers and dealers
3. Includes federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreement to repurchase; for information on these liabilities at banks with assets of $\$ 1$ billion or more on Dec. 31, 1977, see table 1.13.
4. This is not a measure of equity capital for use in capital adequacy analysis or for other analytic uses.

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\multirow{2}{*}{Account}} \& \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{1983} <br>
\hline \& \& Aug. 3 \& Aug. 10 \& Aug. 17 \& Aug. 24 \& Aug. $31{ }^{\circ}$ \& Sept. $7^{\circ}$ \& Sept. $14^{p}$ \& Sept. $21^{p}$ \& Sept. $28{ }^{\circ}$ <br>
\hline \& Cash items in process of collection \& 47.265 \& 40,568 \& 45,214 \& 41,189 \& 47,487 \& 48,752 \& 45,706 \& 43,352 \& 41,997 <br>
\hline \& Demand deposits due from banks in the United States. \& 6,864 \& 6,110 \& 6,763 \& 6,265 \& 7,234 \& 7,130 \& 6,870 \& 7,064 \& 6,771 <br>
\hline \& All other cash and due from depository institutions \& 31,467 \& 31,927 \& 29.550 \& 29,569 \& 32.437 \& 33,431 \& 31,888 \& 30,668 \& 26,412 <br>
\hline \& Total loans and securities ${ }^{1}$ \& 624,634 \& 618,665 \& 620,477 \& 619,022 \& 623,027 \& 623,113 \& 619,904 \& 619,580 \& 621,120 <br>
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{} <br>
\hline \& U.S. Treasury securities ${ }^{2}$ \& 46,848 \& 45,552 \& 47,286 \& 46,746 \& 46,618 \& 47,798 \& 48,587 \& 47,272 \& 47,159 <br>
\hline \& Trading account ${ }^{2}$.... \& 9.751 \& 8,153 \& 9.609 \& 9.076 \& 8.302 \& 9,047 \& 9,765 \& 8.421 \& 8,144 <br>
\hline \& Investment account, by maturity \& 37,097 \& 37,399 \& 37,677 \& 37.670 \& 38.316 \& 38.751 \& 38,822 \& 38,851 \& 39,014 <br>
\hline \& One year or less \& 12,655 \& 12,573 \& 11,948 \& 12,057 \& 12.211 \& 12,481 \& 12,210 \& 12,085 \& 12,634 <br>
\hline \& Over one through five years \& 22,027 \& 22,483 \& 23,523 \& 23,400 \& 23.923 \& 23,981 \& 24,135 \& 24,304 \& 23,854 <br>
\hline 10 \& Over five years \& 2,415 \& 2,343 \& 2,206 \& 2,213 \& 2,182 \& 2,289 \& 2,476 \& 2,462 \& 2,526 <br>
\hline \& Other securities ${ }^{2}$ \& 76,657 \& 76,404 \& 77.237 \& 76,411 \& 76,682 \& 76,928 \& 76,305 \& 76,533 \& 76,368 <br>
\hline 12 \& Trading account ${ }^{2}$ \& 6,276 \& 5,939 \& 6.830 \& 6,224 \& 6.522 \& 6.842 \& 6.296 \& 6.395 \& 6.309 <br>
\hline 13 \& Investment account \& 70.382 \& 70,465 \& 70.407 \& 70.186 \& 70.160 \& 70.086 \& 70,008 \& 70,138 \& 70,058 <br>
\hline 14 \& U.S. government agencies. \& 14,832 \& 14,786 \& 14,846 \& 14,920 \& 14.781 \& 14,770 \& 14,636 \& 14,637 \& 14,470 <br>
\hline 15 \& States and poilitical subdivisions, by maturity \& 52,434 \& 52,540 \& 52,428 \& 52.064 \& 52.150 \& 52.054 \& 52,108 \& 52,246 \& 52,324 <br>
\hline 16 \& One year or jess \& 7.171 \& 7,206 \& 7.235 \& 6.908 \& 6.956 \& 7,106 \& 7,058 \& 7,067 \& 7,084 <br>
\hline 17 \& Over one yeat \& 45,263 \& 45,334 \& 45.194 \& 45.156 \& 45,194 \& 44.948 \& 45,050 \& 45,179 \& 45,241 <br>
\hline 18 \& Other bonds, corporate stocks and securities \& 3.16 \& 3,139 \& 3,132 \& 3.202 \& 3.230 \& 3.262 \& 3,264 \& 3,254 \& 3,264 <br>
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|c|}{Louns} <br>
\hline 19 \& Federal funds sold ${ }^{3}$ \& 39,940 \& 37,470 \& 35,795 \& 36.651 \& 37,218 \& 35,713 \& 33,873 \& 32,288 \& 35,610 <br>
\hline 20 \& To commercial banks \& 29,620 \& 27,222 \& 24,890 \& 26,328 \& 27,045 \& 25,312 \& 24,362 \& 23,005 \& 25,962 <br>
\hline 21 \& To nonbank brokers and dealers in securities \& 7.098 \& 7.189 \& 8,148 \& 7,601 \& 7.253 \& 7,219 \& 6,821 \& 6.445 \& 7,008 <br>
\hline 22 \& To others \& 3.222 \& 3.060 \& 2.757 \& 2.722 \& 2.919 \& 3.182 \& 2.689 \& 2,838 \& 2,640 <br>
\hline 23 \& Other loans, gros \& 473,557 \& 471,624 \& 472.538 \& 471,594 \& 474,949 \& 475,205 \& 473.672 \& 476.034 \& 474,461 <br>
\hline 24 \& Commercial and industrial \& 203,373 \& 202,679 \& 201,922 \& 202.018 \& 202,138 \& 202,226 \& 201.781 \& 202,752 \& 201,427 <br>
\hline 25 \& Bankers` acceptances and commercial paper \& 3,974 \& 3.475 \& 3,381 \& 3,735 \& 3,729 \& 3,849 \& 4,032 \& 3,723 \& 3.466 <br>
\hline 26 \& All other \& 199,399 \& 199,204 \& 198,540 \& 198.283 \& 198,409 \& 198,377 \& 197,749 \& 199,029 \& 197,960 <br>
\hline 27 \& U.S. addressees. \& 192.597 \& 192,444 \& 191.765 \& 191.531 \& 191.622 \& 191.633 \& 191.027 \& 192,241 \& 191.160 <br>
\hline 28 \& Non-U.S. addresse \& 6,802 \& 6,760 \& 6.776 \& 6.752 \& 6.787 \& 6.744 \& 6,722 \& 6,788 \& 6.800 <br>
\hline 29 \& Real estate \& 127,172 \& 127,502 \& 127,926 \& 128,095 \& 128.503 \& 128.571 \& 128.876 \& 129,180 \& 129,317 <br>
\hline \& To individuals for personal expenditures \& 68,781 \& 68,993 \& 69.278 \& 69,581 \& 70,086 \& 70,133 \& 70,457 \& 70,806 \& 71.195 <br>
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{} <br>
\hline 31
32 \& Commercial banks in the United States \& 7.031 \& 6,767 \& 6.831
7.678 \& 7.071
7.583 \& 7,023 \& 6,928
8.568 \& 6,320
8,349 \& 6,687
8,168 \& 6,412
8,395 <br>
\hline 33 \& Sales finance, personal finance companies. \& 9,213 \& 8.994 \& 8.870 \& 8,880 \& 9.066 \& 9.184 \& 8.942 \& 9,064 \& 9,139 <br>
\hline 34 \& Other financial institutions .......... \& 15,522 \& 15.630 \& 15.544 \& 15,213 \& 15,212 \& 15.462 \& 15,596 \& 15.492 \& 15.430 <br>
\hline 35 \& To nonbank brokers and dealers in securities \& 8,777 \& 8,060 \& 9,161 \& 7,916 \& 9,351 \& 8,842 \& 7,725 \& 9,145 \& 8.199 <br>
\hline \& To others for purchasing and carrying securities ${ }^{4}$ \& 2,839 \& 2,842 \& 2,834 \& 2,812 \& 2,918 \& 2,865 \& 2,874 \& 2,928 \& 2,936 <br>
\hline 37 \& To finance agricultural production. . . . . . . . . . . . . \& 6,881 \& 6,919 \& 6,902 \& 6.870 \& 6,909 \& 6,897 \& 6,908 \& 6,972 \& 6,936 <br>
\hline 38 \& All other. . . . . . . . . . . . . . \& 15,767 \& 15,377 \& 15,591 \& 15.554 \& 15.519 \& 15,527 \& 15,844 \& 14,841 \& 15.076 <br>
\hline 39 \& Less: Unearned income. \& 4.453 \& 4,478 \& 4.449 \& 4,463 \& 4,445 \& 4,451 \& 4,462 \& 4.478 \& 4,457 <br>
\hline 40 \& Loan loss reserve \& 7,915 \& 7,906 \& 7.930 \& 7.917 \& 7.995 \& 8.080 \& 8.069 \& 8.070 \& 8,021 <br>
\hline 41 \& Other loans, net. \& 461.189 \& 459,239 \& 460.159 \& 459,214 \& 462.508 \& 462,673 \& 461,140 \& 463,486 \& 461,983 <br>
\hline 42 \& Lease financing receivab \& 10.480 \& 10.471 \& 10.527 \& 10.529 \& 10.531 \& 10.538 \& 10,495 \& 10.496 \& 10,502 <br>
\hline 43 \& All other assets ${ }^{5}$ \& 138.771 \& 138.955 \& 138,590 \& 135.598 \& 135,740 \& 137,200 \& 141,169 \& 138.974 \& 138.164 <br>
\hline 44 \& Total assets \& 859,482 \& 846,696 \& 851,120 \& 842,173 \& 856,457 \& 860,163 \& 856,033 \& 850,134 \& 844,967 <br>
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|c|}{Deposits} <br>
\hline 45 \& Demand deposits. \& 166,591 \& 156.992 \& 162.364 \& 154.487 \& 164.678 \& 168,108 \& 166,136 \& 157,973 \& 155.738 <br>
\hline 46 \& Mutual savings banks \& 759 \& 680 \& 713 \& 589 \& 682 \& 735 \& 533 \& 609 \& 534 <br>
\hline 47 \& Individuals, partnerships, and corporation \& 124.476 \& 119.625 \& 124,587 \& 117.420 \& 124.750 \& 127.624 \& 127,686 \& 121.218 \& 119.231 <br>
\hline 48 \& States and political subdivisions \& 4,788 \& 3.850 \& 4.346 \& 3.940 \& 4.394 \& 4.244 \& 4,196 \& 4.388 \& 4.164 <br>
\hline 49 \& U.S. government. \& 2.961 \& 1.717 \& 943 \& 1.906 \& 884 \& 1.191 \& 2,162 \& 1,384 \& 1,457 <br>
\hline 50 \& Commercial banks in the United States \& 18,408 \& 16,840 \& 17,899 \& 16,568 \& 18.369 \& 19,388 \& 17,221 \& 17,077 \& 16.491 <br>
\hline 51 \& Banks in foreign countries. \& 5.876 \& 6,044 \& 5,643 \& 5.703 \& 5,925 \& 6,486 \& 6.524 \& 5,357 \& 5,612 <br>
\hline 52 \& Foreign governments and official institutions \& 1,064 \& 990 \& 989 \& 1,108 \& 1.358 \& 1.312 \& 968 \& 889 \& 731 <br>
\hline 53 \& Certified and officers' checks ............... \& 8.258 \& 7,245 \& 7.243 \& 7,254 \& 8.316 \& 7,127 \& 6.846 \& 7,051 \& 7,518 <br>
\hline \& Time and savings deposits. \& 385.938 \& 385.898 \& 387.158 \& 387.646 \& 388.513 \& 389.552 \& 388,068 \& 386.695 \& 387.258 <br>
\hline 55 \& Savings ...... \& 161,909 \& 161.174 \& 160,390 \& 159.537 \& 159,807 \& 161.503 \& 160,874 \& 159.654 \& 159.552 <br>
\hline 56 \& Individuals and nonprofit organizations \& 144,878 \& 144,035 \& 143,191 \& 142.214 \& 142,458 \& 143,942 \& 143,372 \& 142,145 \& 141,935 <br>
\hline 57 \& Partnerships and corporations operated for profit \& 15.987 \& 16,073 \& 16,138 \& 16,280 \& 16.291 \& 16,474 \& 16,394 \& 16.417 \& 16,546 <br>
\hline 58 \& Domestic governmental units \& 987 \& 1,013 \& 1.006 \& 986 \& 998 \& 1,030 \& 1,051 \& 1,036 \& 1,019 <br>
\hline 59 \& All other \& 57 \& 53 \& 56 \& 58 \& 59 \& 57 \& 57 \& 57 \& 52 <br>
\hline 60 \& Time \& 224,029 \& 224,724 \& 226.768 \& 228,109 \& 228,706 \& 228,049 \& 227.194 \& 227,040 \& 227.706 <br>
\hline 61 \& Individuals, parterships, and corporatio \& 199.125 \& 199,299 \& 201,170 \& 202,298 \& 203.078 \& 202.367 \& 201,464 \& 201,331 \& 202,164 <br>
\hline 62 \& States and political subdivisions. \& 14,918 \& 15,248 \& 15,360 \& 15,564 \& 15,369 \& 15,380 \& 15,385 \& 15,556 \& 15,488 <br>
\hline 63 \& U.S. government \& 242 \& 240 \& 242 \& 246 \& 247 \& 239 \& 240 \& 237 \& 251 <br>
\hline \& Commercial banks in the United States. \& 6.557 \& 6.707 \& 6,681 \& 6,655 \& 6.656 \& 6.799 \& 6,801 \& 6,641 \& 6,662 <br>
\hline \& Foreign governments, official institutions, and banks. \& 3.187 \& 3,230 \& 3,314 \& 3,346 \& 3,355 \& 3,264 \& 3,304 \& 3,275 \& 3,140 <br>
\hline \& Liabilities for borrowed money \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline \& Borrowings from Federal Reserve Banks. \& 1,407 \& 436 \& 392 \& 338 \& 2,399 \& 420 \& 1,270 \& 5.576 \& 1.058 <br>
\hline \& Treasury tax-and-loan notes......... \& 10.128 \& 8,255 \& 9.351 \& 10.295 \& 10.449 \& 6,333 \& 7.356 \& 14.496 \& 14,360 <br>
\hline \& All other liabilities for borrowed money ${ }^{6}$ \& 153,464 \& 153,198 \& 147.639 \& 146,455 \& 147,676 \& 154,288 \& 151,344 \& 143,970 \& 144,557 <br>
\hline \& Other liabilities and subordinated notes and debentures. \& 84,720 \& 84,811 \& 86.946 \& 85,640 \& 85,217 \& 83.822 \& 84,158 \& 83,979 \& 84,647 <br>
\hline \& Total liahilities \& 802,248 \& 789,289 \& 793,851 \& 784,861 \& 798,932 \& 802,524 \& 798,332 \& 792,690 \& 787,618 <br>
\hline \& Residual (total assets minus total liabilities ${ }^{7}$. \& 57,233 \& 57,407 \& 57,270 \& 57,312 \& 57.525 \& 57,639 \& 57,701 \& 57.444 \& 57,349 <br>

\hline \multicolumn{11}{|r|}{\multirow[t]{4}{*}{| 1. Fxcludes trading account securities. |
| :--- |
| 2. Not available due to confidentiality. |
| 3. Includes securities purchased under agreements to resell. |
| 4. Other than financial institutions and brokers and dealers. |
| 5. Includes trading account securities. |
| 6. Includes federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase. |
| 7. Not a measure of equity capital for use in capital adequacy analysis or for other analytic uses. |}} <br>

\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

### 1.29 LARGE WEEKLY REPORTING COMMERCIAL BANKS Balance Sheet Memoranda

Millions of dollars, Wednesday figures

| Account | 1983 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Aug. 3 | Aug. 10 | Aug. 17 | Aug. 24 | Aug. $31{ }^{p}$ | Sept. $7 P$ | Sept. $14 p$ | Sept. $21{ }^{p}$ | Sept. $28{ }^{P}$ |
| Banks with Assets of \$750 Mrllion or More |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Total loans (gross) and securities adjusted ${ }^{4}$ | 644,632 | 641.457 | 645,775 | 642.693 | 646.294 | 648,379 | 646,823 | 647,825 | 646,862 |
| 2 Total loans (gross) adjusted ${ }^{1} \ldots . .$. . ${ }^{\text {a }}$. . . . | 508,717 | 507,068 | 508,662 | 506,967 | 510.472 | 510.973 | 509,259 | 511,295 | 510.584 |
| 3 Demand deposits adjusted ${ }^{2}$. | 105,836 | 105,912 | 106.060 | 102,465 | 105.941 | 106.561 | 108,925 | 103,872 | 103,548 |
| 4 Time deposits in accounts of \$100,000 or more | 142,375 | 142.637 | 144.303 | 145,418 | 145.492 | 144,550 | 143.317 | 143.018 | 143,712 |
| 5 Negotiable CDs........................... | 93.172 | 93.199 | 94,528 | 95.185 | 95,127 | 94,073 | 92,634 | 92.335 | 93,384 |
| 6 Other time deposits | 49,204 | 49,438 | 49.775 | 50.233 | 50,366 | 50,477 | 50,683 | 50,683 | 50.328 |
| 7 Loans sold outright to affiliates ${ }^{3}$ | 2.623 | 2,611 | 2.533 | 2.579 | 2,529 | 2,588 | 2,617 | 2,492 |  |
| 8 Commercial and industrial. . . | 2,024 | 2.010 | 1.934 | 2.022 | 1,993 | 2,020 | 2,019 | 1,896 | 1.940 |
| 9 Other.................... | 599 | 601 | 598 | 558 | 536 | 568 | 598 | 596 | 595 |
| Banks with Assets of \$1 Billion or More |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 Total loans (gross) and securities adjusted ${ }^{1}$ | 600.352 | 597,061 | 601,135 | 598,003 | 601.399 | 603,404 | 601,754 | 602,436 | 601,224 |
| 11 Total loans (gross) adjusted ${ }^{1}$ | 476.847 | 475,105 | 476.612 | 474,846 | 478,098 | 478.677 | 476,862 | 478,631 | 477.697 |
| 12 Demand deposits adjusted? ${ }^{2}$ | 97.958 | 97.866 | 98.308 | 94.824 | 97.938 | 98.777 | 101.047 | 96.160 | 95,793 |
| 13 Time deposits in accounts of \$100,000 or more | 134,087 | 134,291 | 135,795 | 136,797 | 136,932 | 136,022 | 134,890 | 134,444 | 135,056 |
| 14 Negotiable CDs.. | 88,550 | 88,530 | 89,755 | 90,336 | 90,352 | 89,360 | 88,033 | 87,624 | 88.535 |
| 15 Other time deposits | 45,537 | 45,761 | 46,040 | 46.460 | 46,580 | 46,662 | 46.856 | 46,820 | 46,521 |
| 16 Loans sold outright to affiliates ${ }^{3}$. | 2,574 | 2.562 | 2.484 | 2.531 | 2.480 | 2.539 | 2.568 | 2,444 | 2.484 |
| 17 Commercial and industrial. | 1,981 | 1.967 | 1.890 | 1,978 | 1.949 | 1.976 | 1,975 | 1.852 | 1,894 |
| 18 Other. | 593 | 595 | 594 | 553 | 530 | 563 | 593 | 591 | 591 |
| Banks in New York City |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 Total loans (gross) and securities adjusted ${ }^{1.4}$. | 142,078 | 140.770 | 140.862 | 139.681 | 141,511 | 140,928 | 139.648 | 140,036 | 138.957 |
| 20 Total loans (gross) adjusted ${ }^{1}$ | 119,081 | 117.470 | 117.427 | 116,571 | 117,835 | 117.263 | 115,950 | 116,374 | 115,323 |
| 21 Demand deposits adjusted ${ }^{2}$. | 26,890 | 25,195 | 26,267 | 25,590 | 25,457 | 28,175 | 27,353 | 25,645 | 25,321 |
| 22 Time deposits in accounts of \$100,000 or more | 32,757 | 32,964 | 33.417 | 33,008 | 33,144 | 32.695 | 32.417 | 31.634 | 31,702 |
| 23 Negotiable CDs. | 21.910 | 22.072 | 22.600 | 22,207 | 22.468 | 22.119 | 21.766 | 21,020 | 21,054 |
| 24 Other time deposits | 10,846 | 10.892 | 10.818 | 10.801 | 10,676 | 10.576 | 10,651 | 10.614 | 10,648 |

1. Exclusive of loans and federal funds transactions with domestic commercial banks.
2. All demand deposits except U.S. government and domestic banks less cash items in process of collection.
3. Loans sold are those sold outright to a bank's own foreign branches, nonconsolidated nonbank affiliates of the bank, the bank's holding company (if not a bank), and nonconsolidated nonbank subsidiaries of the holding company. 4. Excludes trading account securities.
1.30 LARGE WEEKLY REPORTING BRANCHES AND AGENCIES OF FOREIGN BANKS Assets and Liabilities Millions of dollars, Wednesday figures

| Account |  | 1983 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Aug. 3 | Aug. 10 | Aug. 17 | Aug. 24 | Aug. $31{ }^{p}$ | Sept. $7 p$ | Sept. $14{ }^{p}$ | Sept. $21{ }^{p}$ | Sept. $28{ }^{p}$ |
|  | Cash and due from depository institutions. | 7,874 | 7,366 | 7,283 | 6,846 | 7,323 | 6,827 | 6,483 | 6.476 | 6.121 |
|  | Total loans and securities ............... | 40,852 | 41,225 | 40,804 | 41,572 | 42,942 | 42,416 | 42,337 | 43,338 | 44,846 |
|  | U.S. Treasury securities | 4,100 | 4,060 | 4,255 | 4,215 | 4.418 | 4,346 | 4,196 | 4,034 | 4,080 |
|  | Other securities. | 847 | 848 | 862 | 862 | 861 | 859 | 872 | 905 | ,934 |
|  | Federal funds sold ${ }^{1}$ | 2,174 | 2.534 | 1,876 | 2,503 | 2,711 | 2,264 | 1,995 | 2,023 | 2,804 |
|  | To commercial banks in United States . . | 1,999 | 2,258 | 1,728 | 2,396 | 2,520 | 2,190 | 1,812 | 1.811 | 2,740 |
|  | To others | 175 | 276 | 148 | 106 | 190 | 74 | 182 | 212 | 64 |
|  | Other loans, gross | 33.731 | 33.783 | 33.811 | 33,992 | 34,952 | 34,947 18,94 | 35,274 | 36.375 | 37,027 |
| 9 | Commercial and industrial. | 18.116 | 18,034 | 18,358 | 18,318 | 18,609 | 18,491 | 18,610 | 18,726 | 19,131 |
|  | Bankers acceptances and commercial paper | 3,037 | 3,163 | 3,099 | 3,013 | 3,004 | 3,112 | 3,161 | 3,141 | 3,122 |
| 11 | All other .......................... | 15,079 | 14,871 | 15,259 | 15,305 | 15.606 | 15,379 | 15,449 | 15,586 | 16,009 |
| 12 | U.S. addressees | 13,343 | 13,123 | 13,450 | 13,496 | 13,813 | 13,594 | 13,643 | 13,720 | 14,104 |
| 13 | Non-U.S. addressees | 1,736 | 1.748 | 1,809 | 1,810 | 1,793 | 1.785 | 1.806 | 1.866 | 1,905 |
|  | To financial institutions | 11,462 | 11.782 | 11,472 | 11.636 | 12,080 | 12.179 | 12,628 | 13,356 | 13,623 |
| 15 | Commercial banks in United States. | 9,141 | 9.522 | 9,174 | 9.294 | 9,689 | 9,752 | 10,244 | 10,966 | 11,123 |
| 16 | Banks in foreign countries. | 1,732 | 1,659 | 1,685 | 1,740 | 1,802 | 1,807 | 1,804 | 1,805 | 1,915 |
| 17 | Nonbank financial institutions. | 590 | 600 | 613 | 602 | 589 | 620 | 580 | 586 | 585 |
|  | For purchasing and carrying securities .. | 401 | 298 | 365 | 408 | 591 | 573 | 499 | 727 | 536 |
|  | All other . ...................... | 3,752 | 3,670 | 3.615 | 3.629 | 3,672 | 3,704 | 3.537 | 3,565 | 3,736 |
|  | parties) | 11,109 | 11,250 | 11,304 | 11,370 | 11,436 | 11,432 | 11,568 | 11,578 | 11.750 |
|  | Net due from related institutions | 11,543 | 11,097 | 10,968 | 11,874 | 12.615 | 12,287 | 11,759 | 12,378 | 11,521 |
| 22 | Total assets | 71,379 | 70,938 | 70,360 | 71,663 | 74,317 | 72,963 | 72,146 | 73,770 | 74.237 |
|  | Deposits or credit balances ${ }^{2}$. . . . . . . . . . . | 21,089 | 20.876 | 20,326 | 20,635 | 21,197 | 20.414 | 20.357 | 20,416 | 20,517 |
| 24 | Credit balances. | 195 | 166 | 190 | 147 | 188 | 176 | 196 | 189 | 196 |
|  | Demand deposits ......... | 1,937 | 1,816 | 1,770 | 1,734 | 1,976 | 1,774 | 1,627 | 1,657 | 1,736 |
|  | Individuals, partnerships, and corporations | 844 | 785 | 834 | 781 | 8809 | 800 | 780 | 745 | 748 |
| 27 | Other | 1,093 | 1,030 | 936 | 952 | 1,167 | 974 | 847 | 911 | 989 |
| $\stackrel{28}{29}$ | Total time and savings... | 18,957 | 18,894 | 18,366 | 18,754 | 19.033 | 18.464 | 18,534 | 18,570 | 18,584 |
|  | Individuals, partnerships, and corporations | 16,095 | 16,146 | 15,647 | 15,950 | 16,381 | 15.744 | 15,783 | 15,876 | 15,756 |
|  | Other . . . . . . . . . . . . | 2,862 | 2,749 | 2.719 | 2,804 | 2,652 | 2,720 | 2,751 | 2,694 | 2,828 |
|  | Borrowings ${ }^{3}$. . . | 32,599 | 32,179 | 32,414 | 32,731 | 33,824 | 33.830 | 33,648 | 35,008 | 34,643 |
| 32 | Federal funds purchased ${ }^{4} \ldots \ldots .$. | 9,716 | 9,141 | 9,318 | 9,312 | 10,243 | 9,610 | 8,630 | 9,037 | 8.737 |
|  | From commercial banks in United States. | 7.790 | 7,270 | 7,510 | 7.281 | 8,152 | 7.832 | 6.755 | 7,026 | 6.951 |
| 34 | From others | 1,925 | 1.870 | 1,808 | 2.032 | 2.091 | 1,778 | 1.875 | 2,010 | 1,786 |
|  | Other liabilities for borrowed money. | 22,883 | 23.038 | 23,095 | 23,419 | 23,580 | 24,219 | 25.018 | 25,970 | 25,906 |
| 36 | To commercial banks in United States | 18,695 | 19,062 | 19,168 | 19,480 | 19,618 | 20,274 | 20,897 | 21,910 | 22,045 |
| 37 | To others. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 4,188 | 3,976 | 3,927 | 3,939 | 3,962 | 3,945 | 4,121 | 4,061 | 3,861 |
|  | Other liabilities to nonrelated parties ..... | 11,730 | 11,889 | 12,083 | 12.144 | 12,168 | 12.102 | 12.430 | 12.446 | 12,491 |
|  | Net due to related institutions ...... | 5,961 | 5.994 | 5.536 | 6,153 | 7.128 | 6.617 | 5.712 | 5,900 | 6,587 |
|  | Total liabilities.. | 71,379 | 70,938 | 70,360 | 71.663 | 74,317 | 72,963 | 72,146 | 73,770 | 74,237 |
|  | Memo |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total loans (gross) and securities adjusted ${ }^{5}$ | 29,713 | 29.445 | 29.903 | 29,882 | 30,732 | 30,474 | 30,281 | 30.561 |  |
|  | Total loans (gross) adjusted ${ }^{5}$. | 24,766 | 24,537 | 24,785 | 24,804 | 25,453 | 25,270 | 25,212 | 25,622 | 25,968 |
| 1. Includes securities purchased under agreements to resell <br> 2. Balances due to other than directly related institutions. <br> 3. Borrowings from other than directly related institutions. |  |  | 4. Includes securities sold under agreements to repurchase. <br> 5. Excludes loans and federal funds transactions with commercial banks in United States. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 1.31 GROSS DEMAND DEPOSITS of Individuals, Partnerships, and Corporations ${ }^{1}$

Billions of dollars, estimated daily-average balances

| Type of holder | Commercial banks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1978 \\ & \text { Dec. } \end{aligned}$ | $19792$Dec. | $\begin{aligned} & 1980 \\ & \text { Dec. } \end{aligned}$ | $1981$Dec. | 1982 |  |  |  | 1983 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Mar. | June | Sept. | Dec. | Mar. | June |
| 1 All bolders-Individuals, partnerships, and corporations | 294.6 | 302.2 | 315.5 | 288.9 | 268.9 | 271.5 | 276.7 | 295.4 | 283.5 | 289.5 |
| 2 Financial business. | 27.8 | 27.1 | 29.8 | 28.0 | 27.8 | 28.6 | 31.9 | 35.5 | 34.0 | 35.1 |
| 3 Nonfinancial business. | 152.7 | 157.7 | 162.3 | 154.8 | 138.7 | 141.4 | 142.9 | 151.7 | 144.4 | 147.7 |
| 4 Consumer | 97.4 | 99.2 | 102.4 | 86.6 | 84.6 | 83.7 | 83.3 | 88.1 | 85.5 | 86.9 |
| 6 Other.. | 14.1 | 15.1 | 17.2 | 16.7 | 14.6 | 15.0 | 15.7 | 17.1 | 16.4 | 16.8 |
|  | Weekly reporting banks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1978 Dec. | $19794$Dec. | $\begin{aligned} & 1980 \\ & \text { Dec. } \end{aligned}$ | $1981$Dec. | 1982 |  |  |  | 1983 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Mar. | June | Sept. | Dec. | Mar. | June |
| 7 All holders-Individuals, partnerships, and corporations | 147.0 | 139.3 | 147.4 | 137.5 | 126.8 | 127.9 | 132.1 | 144.0 | 140.7 | 141.9 |
| 8 Financial business. | 19.8 | 20.1 | 21.8 | 21.0 | 20.2 | 20.2 | 23.4 | 26.7 | 25.2 | 26.3 |
| 9 Nonfinancial business. | 79.0 | 74.1 | 78.3 | 75.2 | 67.1 | 67.7 | 68.7 | 74.2 | 72.7 | 73.1 |
| 10 Consumer | 38.2 | 34.3 | 35.6 | 30.4 | 29.2 | 29.7 | 29.6 | 31.9 | 31.2 | 30.4 |
| II Foreign. | 2.5 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 2.9 |
| 12 Other.. | 7.5 | 7.8 | 8.6 | 8.0 | 7.3 | 7.5 | 7.7 | 8.4 | 8.6 | 9.3 |

1. Figures include cash items in process of collection. Estimates of gross deposits are based on reports supplied by a sample of commercial banks. Types of deposits are based on reports supplied by a sample of commercial banks. Types of
depositors in each category are described in the June 1971 BuLLETIN, p. 466.
depositors in each category are described in the June 1971 BuLLETIN, p. 466 .
2. Beginning with the March 1979 survey, the demand deposit ownership 2. Beginning with the March 1979 survey, the demand deposit ownership
survey sample was reduced to 232 banks from 349 banks, and the estimation survey sample was reduced to 232 banks from 349 banks, and the estimation
procedure was modified slightly. To aid in comparing estimates based on the old procedure was modified slightly. To aid in comparing estimates based on the old December 1978 have been constructed using the new smaller sample; financial business, 27.0; nonfinancial business, 146.9; consumer, 98.3; foreign, 2.8; and other, 15.1 .
3. Demand deposit ownership survey estimates for June 1981 are not available due to unresolved reporting errors.
4. After the end of 1978 the large weekly reporting bank panel was changed to 4. After the end of 1978 the large weekly reporting bank panel was changed to
170 large commercial banks, each of which had total assets in domestic offices exceeding $\$ 750$ million as of Dec. 31, 1977. See "Announcements," p. 408 in the May 1978 Bulletin. Beginning in March 1979, demand deposit ownership estimates for these large banks are constructed quarterly on the basis of 97 sample banks and are not comparable with earlier data. The following estimates in billions of dollars for December 1978 have been constructed for the new large-bank panel; financial business, 18.2; nonfinancial business, 67.2; consumer, 32.8; foreign, 2.5; other, 6.8 .

### 1.32 COMMERCIAL PAPER AND BANKERS DOLLAR ACCEPTANCES OUTSTANDING

Millions of dollars, end of period


1. A change in reporting instructions results in offsetting shifts in the dealerplaced and directly placed financial company paper in October 1979.
2. Effective Dec. 1, 1982, there was a break in the commercial paper series. The key changes in the content of the data involved additions to the reporting panel. the exclusion of broker or dealer placed borrowings under any master note agreements from the reported data, and the reclassification of a large portion of bank-related paper from dealer-placed to directly placed.
3. Institutions engaged primarily in activities such as, but not limited to, commercial, savings, and mortgage banking; sales, personal, and mortgage
financing; factoring, finance leasing, and other business lending; insurance underwriting; and other investment activities.
4. Includes all financial company paper sold by dealers in the open market.
5. As reported by financial companies that place their paper directly with investors.
6. Includes public utilities and firms engaged primarily in such activities as communications, construction, manufacturing, mining, wholesale and retail trade, transportation, and services.

### 1.33 PRIME RATE CHARGED BY BANKS on Short-Term Business Loans

Percent per annum

| Effective date | Rate | Effective Date | Rate | Month | Average rate | Month | Average rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1981-Nov. 24. | 16.00 | 1982-Aug. 23 | 13.50 | 1982-Jan. | 15.75 | 1983-Jan. | 11.16 |
| Dec. 1. | 15.75 | Oct. 7 | 13.00 | Feb. | 16.56 | Feb. | 10.98 |
|  |  | 14. | 12.00 | Mar. | 16.50 | Mar. | 10.50 |
|  |  | Nov. 22. |  | Apr. | 16.50 | Apr.. | 10.50 |
|  |  |  |  | May. | 16.50 | May. | 10.50 |
| 1982-Feb. 18. | 17.00 |  |  | June. | 16.50 | June. | 10.50 |
| 123. | 16.50 |  |  | July | 16.26 | July | 10.50 |
| July $20 .$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jul. | 15.50 |  |  | Sept. | 13.50 | Sept. | 11.00 |
| Aug. 2 | 15.00 | 1983-Jan. 11. | 11.00 | Oct. | 12.52 |  |  |
| $16 .$. 18 | 14.50 14.00 | Feb. 28. | 10.50 11.00 | Nov. | 11.85 11.50 |  |  |
|  | 14.00 | Aug. 8. | 11.00 | Dec. | 11.50 |  |  |

1.34 TERMS OF LENDING AT COMMERCIAL BANKS Survey of Loans Made, August 1-5, 1983

| Item | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { sizes } \end{gathered}$ | Size of loan (in thousands of dollars) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1-24 | 25-49 | 50-99 | 100-499 | 500-999 | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { and over } \end{gathered}$ |
| Short-Term Commercial and Industrial Loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Amount of loans (thousands of dollars) | 36,819,868 | 949,559 | 668.400 | 1,094,777 | 2,138,132 | 986,449 | 30.982.550 |
| 2 Number of loans. | 171,400 | 115,850 | 20,397 | 17,109 | 12,274 | 1,478 | 4,291 |
| 3 Weighted-average maturity (months). | 1.2 | 3.7 | 4.3 | 3.4 | 4.0 | 3.9 | . 8 |
| 4 With fixed rates.. | . 7 | 3.3 | 4.2 | 2.6 | 3.5 | 2.8 | . 4 |
| 5 With floating rates........................... | 2.1 | 4.6 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 1.5 |
| 6 Weighted-average interest rate (percent per annum) . | 11.09 | 13.99 | 13.56 | 12.73 | 11.89 | 11.81 | 10.81 |
|  | 10.52-11.07 | 13.10-14.93 | 12.25-14.50 | 11.85-13.65 | 11.02-12.53 | 11.02-12.46 | 10.52-11.01 |
| 8 With fixed rates. | 11.01 | 14.41 | 13.98 | 12.97 | 12.08 | 11.90 | 10.76 |
| 9 With floating rates. | 11.23 | 13.28 | 12.87 | 12.50 | 11.80 | 11.77 | 10.92 |
| ${ }_{10}$ Percentage of amount of loans |  |  |  | 50.4 | 66.0 | 71.7 | 32.4 |
| 10 With floating rate ...... | 36.2 | 37.6 | 38.3 | 50.4 | 518 | 65.7 | 32.4 67.4 |
| 12 With no stated maturity. | 11.3 | 11.2 | 15.7 | 24.7 | 25.2 | 36.4 | 8.9 |
| 13 With one-day maturity. | 38.0 | . 1 | . 1 | . 2 | . 6 | . 9 | 45.0 |
| Long-Term Commercial and Industrial Loans |  | 1-99 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 Amount of loans (thousands of dollars) | $4,491,493$26,332 | 531,982 |  |  | 386,952 | 151,196 | 3,421,363 |
| 15 Number of loans. |  | 23,262 |  |  | 2.176 | 228 | 667 |
| 16 Weighted-average maturity (months). | 55.3 | 48.8 |  |  | 68.5 | 40.0 | 55.5 |
| 17 With fixed rates.. | 61.8 | 54.2 |  |  | 112.8 | 53.1 | 52.6 |
| 18 With floating rates. | 53.7 | 38.3 |  |  | 46.5 | 37.7 | 55.9 |
| 19 Weighted-average interest rate (percent per annum) | 11.83 | 14.53 |  |  | 12.06 | 11.66 | 11.39 |
| 20 Interquartile range ${ }^{1}$ | 10.92-12.40 | $12.68-15.60$ |  |  | 11.02-12.96 | 11.02-12.13 | 10.92-11.73 |
| 21 With fixed rates.. | 13.00 | $\begin{aligned} & 15.54 \\ & 12.59 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 12.05 | 11.77 | 11.17 |
| 22 With floating rates. | 11.53 |  |  |  | 12.07 | 11.64 | 11.42 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 66.8 | 85.4 | 88.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 43.8 | 72.3 | 75.8 |
| Construction and Land Development Loans |  | 1-24 | 25-49 | 50-99 |  | 500 and over |  |
| 25 Amount of loans (thousands of dollars) | 1.340.014 | 166,917 | 85.626 | 47,270 |  | 558,674 |  |
| 26 Number of loans.... | 23.995 | 18,146 | 2.401 | 726 | 481,527 2,485 |  | 237 |
| 27 Weighted-average maturity (months). | 15.5 | 5.4 | 10.4 | 11.5 | 19.7 |  | 17.0 |
| 28 With fixed rates | 14.1 | 3.2 | 10.9 | 9.4 | 22.9 |  | 4.6 |
| 29 With floating rates. | 16.5 | 10.1 | 8.1 | 12.3 | 14.0 |  | 18.4 |
| 30 Weighted-average interest rate (percent per annum) .. | 12.99 | 14.91 | 13.47 | 12.70 | 12.97 |  | 12.40 |
| 31 Interquartile range ${ }^{\text {I }}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 12.13-13.81 | 13.24-15.51 | 13.50-13.81 | 12.13-13.24 | 11.07-14.37 |  | 13.24 |
| 32 With fixed rates. | 14.18 | 15.57 | 13.66 | 13.10 | 14.08 |  | 12.61 |
| 33 With floating rates. | 12.33 | 13.50 | 12.81 | 12.56 | 11.93 |  | 12.37 |
| Percentage of amount of loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 With floating rate ............ | 64.1 | 32.1 | 22.0 | 73.3 | 51.7 |  | 90.0 |
| 35 Secured by real estate | 80.8 | 81.8 | 97.5 | 89.5 | 96.3 |  | 63.8 |
| 36 Made under commitment. | 75.4 | 80.1 | 60.9 | 74.6 | 83.5 |  | 69.3 |
| 37 With no stated maturity. | 10.1 | 1.0 | 2.7 | 7.6 | 24.8 |  | 1.4 |
| 38 With one-day maturity. | 4 | . 1 | . 2 | . 8 | . 3 |  | . 5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans to Farmers | All sizes | 1-9 | 10-24 | 25-49 | 50-99 | 100-249 | 250 and over |
| 42 Amount of loans (thousands of dollars) | 942,246 | 157,098 | 153,852 | 152,314 | 129,834 | 89,163 | 259,986 |
| 43 Number of loans | 62.461 | 44,542 | 10,599 | 4.307 | 1.987 | 642 | 383 |
| 44 Weighted-average maturity (months). | 7.1 | 6.7 | 6.1 | 7.0 | 8.0 | 6.4 | 8.0 |
| 45 Weighted-average interest rate (percent per annum) | 13.72 | 14.30 | 14.03 | 14.15 | 13.79 | 13.60 | 12.94 |
| 46 Interquartile range ${ }^{1}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 12.87-14.49 | 13.42-14.85 | 13.42-14.57 | 13.50-14.63 | 13.00-14.49 | 12.43-14.97 | 11.84-14.49 |
| By purpose of loan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47 Freder livestock | 13.05 | 14.35 | 14.31 | 14.07 | 13.91 | 11.57 | 12.03 |
| 48 Other livestock | 14.14 | 16.89 | 13.90 | 14.70 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 13.33 | ${ }^{(2)}$ |
| 49 Other current operating expenses | 13.93 | 14.01 | 14.03 | 14.07 | 13.99 | 13.81 | 13.74 |
| 50 Farm machinery and equipment. . | 14.26 | 14.55 | 13.91 | 14.40 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | ${ }_{13}{ }^{(2)}$ | ${ }^{(2)} 7$ |
| 51 Other.................... | 13.17 | 14.21 | 13.88 | 13.92 | 13.05 | 13.95 | 11.67 |

1. Interest rate range that covers the middle 50 percent of the total dollar

Note. For more detail, see the Board's E. 2 (111) statistical release. amount of loans made.
2. Fewer than 10 sample loans.

### 1.35 INTEREST RATES Money and Capital Markets

Averages, percent per annum; weekly and monthly figures are averages of business day data unless otherwise noted.


1. Weekly and monthly figures are averages of all calendar days, where the rate for a weekend or holiday is taken to be the rate prevailing on the preceding business day. The daily rate is the average of the rates on a given day weighted by the volume of transactions at these rates.
2. Weekly figures are statement week averages-that is, averages for the week ending Wednesday.
3. Unweighted average of offering rates quoted by at least five dealers (in the case of commercial paper), or finance companies (in the case of finance paper). Before November 1979, maturities for data shown are 30-59 days, $90-119$ days, and 120-179 days for commercial paper; and 30-59 days, 90-119 days, and 150179 days for finance paper.
4. Yields are quoted on a bank-discount basis, rather than an investment yield basis (which would give a higher figure).
5. Dealer closing offered rates for top-rated banks. Most representative rate (which may be, but need not be, the average of the rates quoted by the dealers).
6. Unweighted average of offered rates quoted by at least five dealers early in the day.
7. Unweighted average of closing bid rates quoted by at least five dealers.
8. Rates are recorded in the week in which bills are issued. Beginning with the Treasury bill auction held on Apr. 18, 1983, bidders were required to state the percentage yield (on a bank discount basis) that they would accept to two decimal places. Thus, average issuing rates in bill auctions will be reported using two rather than three decimal places.
9. Yields are based on closing bid prices quoted by at least five dealers.
10. Yields adjusted to constant maturities by the U.S. Treasury. That is, yields 1. Yee from a yield curve at fixed maturities. Based on only recently issued, are read from a yield cur
actively traded securities.
11. Each biweekly figure is the average of five business days ending on the Monday following the date indicated. Beginning Apr. 1, 1983, this rate determines the maximum interest payable in the following two-week period on $1-1 / 2$-year small saver certificates. (See table 1.16.)
12. Each biweekly figure is the average of five business days ending on the Monday following the date indicated. Until Mar. 31, 1983, the biweekly rate determined the maximum interest rate payable in the following two-week period on $2-1 / 2$-year small saver certificates. (See table 1.16.)
13. Averages of yields (to maturity or call) for all outstanding bonds neither due nor callable in less than 10 years, including several very low yielding "flower" bonds.
14. General obligations only, based on figures for Thursday, from Moody's Investors Service.
15. General obligations only, with 20 years to maturity, issued by 20 state and local governmental units of mixed quality. Based on figures for Thursday.
16. Daily figures from Moody's Investors Service. Based on yields to maturity on selected long-term bonds.
17. Compilation of the Federal Reserve. Issues included are long-term (20 years or more). New-issue yields are based on quotations on date of offering; those on recently offered issues included only for first 4 weeks after termination of underwriter price restrictions), on Friday close-of-business quotations.
18. Standard and Poor's corporate series. Preferred stock ratio based on a sample of ten issues: four public utilities, four industrials, one financial, and one transportation. Common stock ratios on the 500 stocks in the price index.

### 1.36 STOCK MARKET Selected Statistics


1.37 SELECTED FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS Selected Assets and Liabilities

Millions of dollars, end of period

| Account | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 |  |  | 1983 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | May ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | Juner ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | July | Aug. ${ }^{p}$ |
|  | Savings and loan associations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Assets | 630,712 | 664,167 | 692,549 | 697,189 | 706,045 | 714,676 | 772,352 | 723,616 | 728,487 | 728,156 | 731,275 | 739,575 | 745,303 |
| 2 Mortgages | 503,192 | 518.547 | 489,923 | 488,614 | 482,234 | 481,470 | 481,090 | 475,688 | 476.248 | 472,124 | 473,134 | 477.919 | 482,093 |
| 3 Cash and investment securities | 57,928 | 63,123 | 75,638 | 78.122 | 84.767 | 90.662 | 94.080 | 96.649 | 99.226 | 103.468 | 101,284 | 101,754 | 98.949 |
| 4 Other | 69,592 | 82,497 | 126,988 | 130.453 | 139.044 | 142,544 | 147,182 | 151,279 | 153,013 | 152.564 | 156,857 | 159,902 | 164,261 |
| 5 Liabilities and net worth | 630,712 | 664,167 | 692,549 | 697,189 | 706,045 | 714,676 | 772,352 | 723,616 | 728,487 | 728,156 | 731,275 | 739,575 | 745,303 |
| 6 Savings capital | 511.636 | 525.061 | 547.112 | 548,439 | 566,189 | 582,918 | 591,913 | 597.112 | 601,171 | 599.673 | 603.178 | 608.683 | 613,426 |
| 7 Borrowed money | 64.586 | 88.782 | 100.881 | 102,948 | 97.979 | 88,925 | 86,544 | 84.884 | 83.640 | 82.722 | 84,328 | 84,682 | 84,242 |
| 8 FHLBB | 47,045 | 62,794 | 65.015 | 64.202 | 63.861 | 60.415 | 58,841 | 56,859 | 55.933 | 54,392 | 54,234 | 53,579 | 52,261 |
| 9 Other | 17.541 | 25,988 | 35.866 | 38,746 | 34,118 | 28.510 | 27.703 | 28.025 | 27,707 | 28.330 | 30,094 | 31,103 | 31,981 |
| 10 Loans in process | 8.767 | 6,385 | 8,484 | 8.967 | 9,934 | 10,453 | 11,039 | 12,245 | 13,462 | 14,528 | 15,972 | 17,063 | 17,894 |
| 11 Other | 12,394 | 15,544 | 20,018 | 21,048 | 15.720 | 16,658 | 17,524 | 14,767 | 16,210 | 18,323 | 15,548 | 17,931 | 19,104 |
| 12 Net worth ${ }^{2}$. | 33,329 | 28.395 | 24,538 | 24.754 | 26,157 | 26,175 | 26,371 | 26,853 | 27.466 | 27.438 | 28,221 | 28,279 | 28,531 |
| 13 Memo: Mortgage loan commitments outstanding ${ }^{3}$. | 16,102 | 15,225 | 18,407 | 19,682 | 18,054 | 19,453 | 22.051 | 24.885 | 27.920 | 30.089 | 30,630 | 31,667 | 32,594 |
|  | Mutual savings banks ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 Assets | 171,564 | 175,728 | 172,908 | 172,287 | 174,197 | 174,726 | 176,378 | 178,814 | 178,826 | 180,071 | 181,975 | 182,822 |  |
| ${ }_{15} \begin{aligned} & \text { Loans } \\ & \text { Mortgage }\end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 Mortgage | 199.733 | 14,753 | -94,261 | 94,017 16,702 | 94,091 16,957 | 93.944 17.420 | 93,607 18,211 | 93,822 <br> 17,837 | 93,311 <br> 18,353 | 93,587 17,893 | $\begin{aligned} & 94,000 \\ & 17,438 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 93,998 \\ & 18,134 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Securities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 U.S. government ${ }^{\text {S }}$ | 8,949 | 9.810 | 9.219 | 9,456 | 9.743 | 10,248 | 11,081 | 12,187 | 12,364 | 13,110 | 13,572 | 13,931 |  |
| 18 State and local government | $\begin{array}{r}2,390 \\ 39 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 2,288 37 $\mathbf{3 7} \times 1$ | 2.505 3599 | 2,496 35,753 | 2,470 36.161 | 2,446 36.430 | 2,440 36,905 | $\begin{array}{r}2,403 \\ 37.827 \\ \hline 6.8\end{array}$ | 2,311 38,342 | 2,260 39,142 | 2,257 40,206 | 2,248 40,667 |  |
| 19 Corporate and otherb | 39,282 4,334 | $\begin{array}{r}37,791 \\ 5,442 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 35,599 6,749 | 35,753 6,291 | 36,161 6,919 | 36,430 6,275 | 36,905 6,104 | 37,827 6,548 | 38,342 6,039 | 39,142 5,960 | 40,206 6,224 | 40,667 5,322 |  |
| 21 Other assets | 5.011 | 5,649 | 7,540 | 7,572 | 7,855 | 7.963 | 8,031 | 8,189 | 8,107 | 8.118 | 8,276 | 8,522 |  |
| 22 Liabilities | 171,564 | 175,728 | 172,908 | 172,287 | 174.197 | 174,726 | 176,378 | 178,814 | 178,826 | 180,071 | 181,975 | 182,822 |  |
| 23 Deposits. | [154,805 | 155,110 | 152,210 | 151,304 | 155,196 | 157.113 | 159.162 | 161,489 | 161,262 | 162,287 | 163,990 | 164,848 |  |
| 24 Regular ${ }^{7}$ | 151,416 | 153,003 | 149,928 | 149,167 | 152.777 | 154,876 | 156,915 | 159,088 | 158.760 | 159,840 | 161,573 | 162,271 |  |
| 25 Ordinary savings | 53,971 | 49.425 | 48.520 | 49,208 | 46,862 | 41.850 | 41.165 | 41,183 | 40,379 | 40,467 | 40,451 | 39.983 |  |
| 26 Time | 97,445 | 103,578 | 101,408 | 99,959 | 96.369 | 90.184 | 87,377 | 86,276 | 84,593 | 83.506 | 84.705 | 85.445 |  |
| 27 Other | 2,086 | 2,108 | 2,283 | 2.137 | 2,419 | 2.237 | 2.247 | 2,401 | 2,502 | 2,447 | 2,417 | 2,577 |  |
| 28 Other liabilities. | 6.695 | 10,632 | 11,556 | 11.893 | 8,336 | 7.722 | 7.542 | 7.395 | 7,631 | 3.114 | 7.754 | 7.596 |  |
| 29 General reserve accounts | 11,368 | 9,986 | 9,141 | 9,089 | 9,235 | 9.196 | 9.197 | 9,342 | 9,352 | 9,377 | 9,575 | 9,684 |  |
| outstanding ${ }^{8}$. | 1,476 | 1,293 | 1,281 | 1,400 | 1,285 | 1,253 | 1.295 | 1.639 | 1,860 | 1,860 | 1,884 | 1,969 | $\dagger$ |
|  | Life insurance companies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 Assets | 479,210 | 525,803 | 571,902 | 578,200 | 584,311 | 589,490 | 595,959 | 602,770 | 609,298 | 591,375 | 628,224 | 633,569 | 4 |
| Securities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 Government ... | 21,378 | 25,209 | 31.791 | 32,682 | 34,558 | 35,567 | 36.946 | 38.469 <br> 19 | 39,210 | 42.522 | 43,348 | 44,751 |  |
| 33 United States ${ }^{\text {a }}$. | 5.345 | 8,167 | 13,538 | 14,370 | 16,072 8 8 | 16,731 | 17.877 8.333 | 19.213 8 8 | $\begin{array}{r}19,213 \\ 8.524 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 20,705 10.053 11 | 21.141 10.355 | 22,228 <br> 10 <br> 1004 |  |
| 35 Foreign ${ }^{10}$ | 9.332 | 9,891 | 10.382 | 10.377 | 10,392 | 10.611 | 10,736 | 10.888 | 10.940 | 11.764 | 11,852 | 12,019 |  |
| 36 Business. | 238,113 | 255,769 | 279.918 | 283,650 | 283,799 | 290.178 | 293.427 | 296.223 | 300,558 | 309,254 | 313,510 | 316,934 | n.a. |
| 37 Bonds | 190,747 | 208,098 | 226.879 | 229,101 | 228,220 | 233.380 | 235.376 | 236,420 | 238,689 | 245,833 | 248,248 | 252,397 |  |
| 38 Stocks. | 47,366 | 47,670 | 53.039 | 54,549 | 55,579 | 56.798 | 58.051 | 59.803 | 61,869 | 63,421 | 65,262 | 64,537 |  |
| 39 Mortgages | 131,030 | 137.747 | 140,678 | 140.956 | 141,919 | 142,277 | 142,683 | 143,031 | 143,011 | 143,758 | 144,725 | 145,086 |  |
| 40 Real estate. | 15,063 | 18.278 | 20.293 | 20,480 | 21,019 | 20,922 | 21,014 | 21.175 | 21.352 | 21,344 | 21.629 | 21.690 |  |
| 41 Policy loans. | 41.411 | 48,706 | 52,751 | 52.916 | 53,114 | 53,239 | 53.383 | 53.560 | 53.715 | 53,804 | 53,914 | 53,972 |  |
| 42 Other assets | 31,702 | 40,094 | 46,471 | 47.516 | 49,902 | 47,307 | 48,506 | 50,322 | 51.452 | 49,889 | 51,098 | 51.136 | V |
|  | Credit unions ${ }^{11}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 43 Total assets/liabilities and capital | 71,709 | 77,682 | 68,157 | 68,876 | 69,572 | 69,639 | 71,190 | 73,630 | 74,607 | 76,605 | 78,143 | 4 |  |
| 44 Federal | 39,801 | 42,382 | 44,388 | 44.986 | 45,483 | 45,418 | 46,449 | 48,057 | 48,628 | 49.869 | 50,829 |  |  |
| 45 State | 31,908 | 35,300 | 23.769 | 23.890 | 24,089 | 24,221 | 24.741 | 25,573 | 25,979 | 26,736 | 27,314 |  |  |
| 46 Loans outstanding | 47,774 | 50,448 | 42,971 | 42.995 | 43,223 | 42,942 | 42,785 | 43,081 | 43,509 | 44,012 | 44,861 | n.a. | a. |
| 47 Federal | 25,627 | 27,458 | 27,648 | 27,728 | 27,941 | 27,724 | 27,592 | 27.733 | 27.995 | 28,336 | 28,859 |  |  |
| 48 State | 22.147 | 22.990 | 15.323 | 15.267 | 15,282 | 15,218 | 15.193 | 15.348 | 15.514 | 15,676 | 16,002 |  |  |
| 49 Savings | 64,399 | 68,871 | 61.829 | 62.673 | 62,977 | 63,226 | 64.587 | 67.164 | 68.404 | 70,080 | 71,601 |  |  |
| 50 Federal (shares) | 36,348 | 37,574 | 40,535 | 41,076 | 41,341 | 41,441 | 42,404 | 43,890 | 44,741 | 45,782 | 46,654 |  |  |
| 51 State (shares and deposits). | 28,051 | 31,297 | 21,294 | 21,597 | 21,636 | 21,785 | 22,183 | 23,274 | 23,663 | 24,298 | 24,947 | $\dagger$ |  |

For notes see bottom of opposite page.

### 1.38 FEDERAL FISCAL AND FINANCING OPERATIONS

Millions of dollars

| Type of account or operation | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fiscal } \\ & \text { year } \\ & 1980 \end{aligned}$ | Fiscal year 1981 | Fiscal year 1982 | Calendar year |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 1982 |  | 1983 | 1983 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | H1 | H2 | HI | June | July | Aug. |
| U.S. budget |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Receipts ${ }^{1}$ | 517,112 | 599,272 | 617,766 | 322,478 | 286,338 | 306,331 | 66,517 | 43,948 | 49,683 |
| 2 Outlays ${ }^{1,2}$ | 576,675 | 657,204 | 728,375 | 348,678 | 390,846 | 396,477 | 63.116 3.401 | 65.360 -21.412 | 67,160 |
| 3 Suplus, or deficit (-) | $\begin{array}{r}-59,563 \\ 8,801 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $-57,932$ <br> 6,817 | $-110,609$ 5,456 | $-26,200$ $-17,690$ | $-104,508$ $-6,576$ | $-90,146$ 22,680 | 3,401 3,722 | $-21,412$ $-5,592$ | $\begin{array}{r}-17,477 \\ \hline 1799\end{array}$ |
| 5 Federal funds ${ }^{3}$ | -68,364 | -64,749 | -116,065 | -43,889 | -97,934 | -112,822 | -318 | -15,820 | -17,765 |
| Off-budget entities (surplus, or deficit $(-1)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 Federal Financing Bank outlays. <br> 7 Other ${ }^{4}$ | -14.549 303 | $-20,769$ -236 | $-14,142$ $-3,190$ | $\begin{array}{r}-7,942 \\ \hline 227\end{array}$ | $-4,923$ $-2,267$ | -5.418 -528 | $-1,128$ -889 | -1.326 33 | $-1,112$ -155 |
| U.S. budget plus off-budget, including Federal Financing Bank |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 Surplus, or deficit ( - ) | -73,808 | -78,936 | -127.940 | -33.914 | -111.699 | -96,094 | 1.382 | -22,705 | $-18.744$ |
| 9 Borrowing from the public | 70,515 | 79,329 | 134,993 | 41,728 | 119,609 | 102,538 | 25,719 | 11,877 | 20,522 |
| 10 Cash and monetary assets (decrease, or increase ( - ) $)$ | -355 | -1,878 | -11,911 | -408 | -9,057 | -9,664 | -23,605 | 6,317 | 4,328 |
| 11 Other ${ }^{6}$............................... | 3,648 | 1.485 | 4,858 | -7,405 | 1,146 | 3,222 | -3.496 | 4,511 | -6,106 |
| Memo: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 Treasury operating balance (level, end of period) | 20,990 | 18,670 | 29,164 | 10,999 | 19,773 | 100,243 | 27,997 | 21,646 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 18,469 |
| 13 Federal Reserve Banks | 4,102 | 3,520 | 10,975 | 4,099 | 5,033 | 19,442 | 8,764 | 3,815 ${ }^{r}$ | 4,189 |
| 14 Tax and loan accounts. | 16,888 | 15,150 | 18,189 | 6,900 | 14,740 | 72,037 | 19,233 | 17,831 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 14,280 |

1. Effective Feb. 8, 1982, supplemental medical insurance premiums and voluntary hospital insurance premiums, previously included in other insurance receipts, have been reclassified as offsetting receipts in the health function.
2. Effective Oct. 1, 1980, the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation was reclassified from an off-budget agency to an on-budget agency in the Department of Labor.
3. Half-year figures are calculated as a residual (total surplus/deficit less trust fund surplus/deficit).
4. Other off-budget includes Postal Service Fund; Rural Electrification and Telephone Revolving Fund; and Rural Telephone Bank; it also includes petroleum acquisition and transportation and strategic petroleum reserve effective November 1981.
5. Includes U.S. Treasury operating cash accounts: special drawing rights; gold tranche drawing rights; loans to International Monetary Fund; and other cash and monetary assets.
6. Includes accrued interest payable to the public; allocations of special drawing rights; deposit funds: miscellaneous liability (including checks outstanding) and asset accounts; seigniorage; increment on gold; net gain/loss for U.S. currency valuation adjustment; net gain/loss for IMF valuation adjustment; and profit on the sale of gold.
Source. "Monthly Treasury Statement of Receipts and Outlays of the U.S. Government." Treasury Bulletin, and the Budget of the United States Government, Fiscal Year 1984.

## NOTES TO TABLE 1.37

1. Holdings of stock of the Federal Home Loan Banks are included in "other assets.'
2. Includes net undistributed income, which is accrued by most, but not all, associations
3. Excludes figures for loans in process, which are shown as a liability.
4. The NAMSB reports that, effective April 1979, balance sheet data are not strictly comparable with previous months. Beginning April 1979, data are reported on a net-of-valuation-reserves basis. Before that date, data were reported on a gross-of-valuation-reserves basis.
5. Beginning April 1979, includes obligations of U.S. government agencies. Before that date, this item was included in "Corporate and other."
6. Includes securities of foreign governments and international organizations and, before ApriI 1979, nonguaranteed issues of U.S. government agencies.
7. Excludes checking, club, and school accounts.
8. Commitments outstanding (including loans in process) of banks in New York State as reported to the Savings Banks Association of the state of New York.
9. Direct and guaranteed obligations. Excludes federal agency issues not guaranteed, which are shown in the table under "Business"' securities.
10. Issues of foreign governments and their subdivisions and bonds of the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development.
11. As of June 1982, data include only federal or federally insured state credit unions serving natural persons.

Note. Savings and loan associations: Estimates by the FHLBB for all associations in the United States. Data are based on monthly reports of federally insured associations and annual reports of other associations. Even when revised, data for current and preceding year are subject to further revision.
Mutual savings banks: Estimates of National Association of Mutual Savings Banks for all savings banks in the United States.
Life insurance companies: Estimates of the American Council of Life Insurance for all life insurance companies in the United States. Annual figures are annualstatement asset values, with bonds carried on an amortized basis and stocks at year-end market value. Adjustments for interest due and accrued and for year-end market value. Adjustments for interest due and accrued and for differences between market and book values a
but are included, in total, in "other assets."
but are included, in total, in "other assets."
Credit unions: Estimates by the National Credit Union Administration for a group of federal and federally insured state credit unions serving natural persons. Figures are preliminary and revised annually to incorporate recent benchmark data.

### 1.39 U.S. BUDGET RECEIPTS AND OUTLAYS

Millions of dollars


1. Effective Feb. 8, 1982, supplemental medical insurance premiums and voluntary hospital insurance premiums, previously included in other insurance receipts, have been reclassified as offsetting receipts in the health function.
2. Old-age, disability, and hospital insurance, and railroad retirement accounts
3. Old-age, disability, and hospital insurance
4. Federal employee retirement contributions and civil service retirement and disability fund.
5. Deposits of earnings by Federal Reserve Banks and other miscellaneous receipts.
6. Net interest function includes interest received by trust funds
7. Consists of rents and royalties on the outer continental shelf and U.S. government contributions for employee retirement.
Source. "Monthly Treasury Statement of Receipts and Outlays of the U.S. Government'" and the Budget of the U.S. Government, Fiscal Year 1984.

### 1.40 FEDERAL DEBT SUBJECT TO STATUTORY LIMITATION

Billions of dollars

| Iterm | 1981 |  |  | 1982 |  |  |  | 1983 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June 30 | Sept. 30 | Dec. 31 | Mar. 31 | June 30 | Sept. 30 | Dec. 31 | Mar. 31 | June 30 |
| 1 Federal debt outstanding | 977.4 | 1,003.9 | 1,034.7 | 1,066.4 | 1,084.7 | 1,147.0 | 1,201.9 | 1,249.3 | 1,324.3 |
| 2 Public debt securities | 971.2 | 997.9 | 1,028.7 | 1,061.3 | 1,079.6 | 1,142.0 | 1,197.1 | 1,244.5 | 1,319.6 |
| 3 Held by public.. | 771.3 | 789.8 | 825.5 | 858.9 | 867.9 | 925.6 | 987.7 | 1,043.3 | 1,090.3 |
| 4 Held by agencies. | 199.9 | 208.1 | 203.2 | 202.4 | 211.7 | 216.4 | 209.4 | 201.2 | 229.3 |
| 5 Agency securities | 6.2 | 6.1 | 6.0 | 5.1 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.7 |
| 6 Held by public. | 4.7 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.6 |
| 7 Held by agencies. | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.2 | $1.2^{r}$ | $1.2{ }^{r}$ | $1.2{ }^{r}$ | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| 8 Debt subject to statutory limit | 972.2 | 998.8 | 1,029.7 | 1,062.2 | 1,080.5 | 1,142.9 | 1,197.9 | 1,245.3 | 1,320.4 |
| 9 Public debt securities. | 970.6 | 997.2 | 1,028.1 | 1,060.7 | 1,079.0 | 1,141.4 | 1,196.5 | 1,243.9 | 1,319.0 |
| 10 Other debt ${ }^{1}$. | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| 11 Memo: Statutory debt limit. | 985.0 | 999.8 | 1,079.8 | 1,079.8 | 1,143.1 | 1,143.1 | 1,290.2 | 1,290.2 | 1,389.0 |

1. Includes guaranteed debt of government agencies, specified participation certificates, notes to international lending organizations, and District of Columbia stadium bonds.

Note. Data from Treasury Bulletin (U.S. Treasury Department).
1.41 GROSS PUBLIC DEBT OF U.S. TREASURY Types and Ownership Billions of dollars, end of period

| Type and holder | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | May | June | July | Aug. | Sept. |
| 1 Total gross public debt | 845.1 | 930.2 | 1,028.7 | 1,197.1 | 1,291.4 | 1,319.6 | 1,326.9 | 1,348.4 | 1,377.2 |
| 2 By type | 844.0 | 928.9 | 1,027.3 | 1.195 .5 | 1,289.9 | 1,318.1 | 1,320.7 | 1,346.9 | 1,375.8 |
| 3 Marketable......... | 530.7 | 623.2 | 1,720.3 | 1.1881 .5 | 1,289.9 | $1,978.9$ | 1,385.7 | 1,010.4 | 1,024.0 |
| 4 Bills | 172.6 | 216.1 | 245.0 | 311.8 | 325.2 | 334.3 | 337.6 | 340.4 | 340.7 |
| 5 Notes. | 283.4 | 321.6 | 375.3 | 465.0 | 513.6 | 527.1 | 527.2 | 544.2 | 557.5 |
| 6 Bonds | 74.7 | 85.4 | 99.9 | 104.6 | 118.5 | 117.5 | 120.9 | 125.8 | 125.7 |
| 7 Nonmarketable ${ }^{1}$. | 313.2 | 305.7 | 307.0 | 314.0 | 332.6 | 339.2 | 335.0 | 336.5 | 351.8 |
| 8 Convertible bonds ${ }^{2}$ | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 State and local government series | 24.6 | 23.8 | 23.0 | 25.7 | 29.6 | 33.1 | 33.2 | 33.9 | 35.1 |
| 10 Foreign issues ${ }^{3}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 28.8 | 24.0 | 19.0 | 14.7 | 11.1 | 11.4 | 11.2 | 11.1 | 11.5 |
| 11 Government | 23.6 | 17.6 | 14.9 | 13.0 | 10.5 | 10.8 | 11.2 | 11.1 | 11.5 |
| 12 Public | 5.3 | 6.4 | 4.1 | 1.7 | . 6 | . 6 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 |
| 13 Savings bonds and notes | 79.9 | 72.5 | 68.1 | 68.0 | 69.2 | 69.4 | 69.7 | 70.0 | 70.3 |
| 14 Government account series ${ }^{4}$ | 177.5 | 185.1 | 196.7 | 205.4 | 222.4 | 225.0 | 220.6 | 221.4 | 234.7 |
| 15 Non-interest-bearing debt | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 6.2 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| 16 By holder ${ }^{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 U.S. government agencies and trust funds. | 187.1 | 192.5 | 203.3 | 209.4 | 4 | 229.3 |  | 4 | + |
| 17 Federal Reserve Banks | 117.5 | 121.3 | 131.0 | 139.3 |  | 141.7 |  |  |  |
| 18 Private investors... | 540.5 | 616.4 | 694.5 | 848.4 |  | 950.5 |  |  |  |
| 19 Commercial banks | 96.4 | 116.0 | 109.4 | 131.4 |  | 171.6 |  |  |  |
| 20 Mutual savings banks. | 4.7 | 5.4 | 5.2 | n.a. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 Insurance companies | 16.7 | 20.1 | 19.1 | 38.7 |  |  | , | , | , |
| 22 Other companies . . . . . . . . | 22.9 | 25.7 | 37.8 | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. |
| 23 State and local governments | 69.9 | 78.8 | 85.6 | 113.4 |  |  |  |  | I |
| Individuals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 Savings bonds | 79.9 | 72.5 | 68.0 | 68.3 |  | 69.7 |  |  |  |
| 25 Other securities. | 36.2 | 56.7 | 75.6 | 48.2 |  | 50.7 |  |  |  |
| 26 Foreign and international ${ }^{6} \ldots$. | 124.4 | 127.7 | 141.4 | 149.4 |  | 159.9 | $\cdots$ | 1 | $\cdots$ |
| 27 Other miscellaneous investors?. | 90.1 | 106.9 | 152.3 | 233.2 | 7 | n.a. | $\gamma$ | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |

1. Includes (not shown separately): Securities issued to the Rural Electrification Administration, depository bonds, retirement plan bonds, and individual retirement bonds.
2. These nonmarketable bonds, also known as Investment Series B Bonds, may be exchanged (or converted) at the owner's option for $11 / 2$ percent, 5 -year marketable Treasury notes. Convertible bonds that have been so exchanged are removed from this category and recorded in the notes category (line 5).
3. Nonmarketable dollar-denominated and foreign currency-denominated series held by foreigners.
4. Held almost entirely by U.S. government agencies and trust funds.
5. Data for Federal Reserve Banks and U.S. government agencies and trust funds are actual holdings; data for other groups are Treasury estimates. 6. Consists of investments of foreign balances and international accounts in the United States.
6. Includes savings and loan associations, nonprofit institutions, corporate pension trust funds, dealers and brokers, certain government deposit accounts, and government sponsored agencies.

Note. Gross public debt excludes guaranteed agency securities.
Data by type of security from Monthly Statement of the Public Debt of the United States (U.S. Treasury Department); data by holder from Treasury Bulletin.

### 1.42 U.S. GOVERNMENT SECURITIES DEALERS Transactions

Par value; averages of daily figures, in millions of dollars


1. Before 1981, data for immediate transactions include forward transactions.
2. Includes, among others, all other dealers and brokers in commodities and securities, nondealer departments of commercial banks, foreign banking agencies, and the Federal Reserve System
3. Futures contracts are standardized agreements arranged on an organized exchange in which parties commit to purchase or sell securities for delivery at a future date.
4. Forward transactions are agreements arranged in the over-the-counter market in which securities are purchased (sold) for delivery after 5 business days
from the date of the transaction for government securities (Treasury bills, notes, and bonds) or after 30 days for morigage-backed agency issues.
Note. Averages for transactions are based on number of trading days in the period.
Transactions are market purchases and sales of U.S. government securities dealers reporting to the Federal Reserve Bank of New York. The figures exclude allotments of, and exchanges for, new U.S. government securities, redemptions of called or matured securities, purchases or sales of securities under repurchase agreement, reverse repurchase (resale), or similar contracts.
1.43 U.S. GOVERNMENT SECURITIES DEALERS Positions and Financing

Averages of daily figures, in millions of dollars

| Item | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 |  |  | 1983, week ending Wednesday |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | June | July ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | Aug. | Aug. 3 | Aug. 10 | Aug. 17 | Aug. 24 | Aug. 31 |
|  | Positions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net immediate ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 U.S. government securities. |  | 9,033 | 9,328 <br> 4 <br> 837 |  | 572 | 3,255 | 1,108 | 3,819 | 2,956 | 2,843 | 4,270 |
| 2 Bills ................. | 4,103 | 6,485 | 4,837 -199 | 3,657r | 411 | 880 -198 | 189 | 606 | 1,003 | 859 553 | 958 |
| 3 Other within 1 year. | $-1,062$ | -1,526 | -199 | 63 | 126 | -198 | 125 | 201 | -89 | -553 | -541 |
| 4 1-5 years.. | 434 | 1,488 | 2,932 | -183 | 326 | 2,216 | 1,786 | 2,250 | 1,248 | 1,818 | 3,779 |
| 5 5-10 years.... | 166 | 292 2.294 | -341 | 550 -210 | -352 | 147 | 18 -1.009 | 617 <br> 145 <br> 8 | 374 | $\begin{array}{r}168 \\ 551 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | -457 530 |
| 6 Over 10 years........ | 765 | 2,294 2,277 | 2,001 | -210 5.631 | -643 6,904 | 211 7,994 | - $\begin{array}{r}1,009 \\ \hline, 462\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}145 \\ 8.423 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 421 8,641 | 551 7.287 | 530 7,904 |
| 8 Certificates of deposit. | 3,115 | 3,435 | 5,531 | 4,488 | 4,729 | 4,687 | 4,425 | 4,683 | 4,526 | 4,461 | 7,904 5,230 |
| 9 Bankers acceptances. | 4 | 1,746 | 2,832 | 2,405 | 2,764 | 2,917 | 2,817 | 2,840 | 2,890 | 2,875 | 2,986 |
| 10 Commercial paper. |  | 2,658 | 3,317 | 2,894 | 2,782 | 2,755 | 2,899 | 3,013 | 2,643 | 2,462 | 2,744 |
| 11 Treasury bills.. |  | -8,934 | -2,508 | -1,023 | -1,578 | 1,493 | 1,960 | 5,396 | 3,413 | -970 | -3,208 |
| 12 Treasury coupons | n.a. | -2,733 | -2,361 | -2 | -1,077 | -1,715 | -1,999 | -2,523 | -2,015 | -1,118 | -952 |
| 13 Federal agency securities. |  | 522 | -224 | 204 | 381 | 428 | 96 | 403 | 626 | 439 | 435 |
| 14 U.S. government securities. |  | -603 | -788 | -635 | -1,631 | -4,348 | -3,925 | -4,269 | -4,676 | -4,652 | -3,940 |
| 15 Federal agency securities... | $\dagger$ | -451 | -1,190 | -1,802 | -2,199 | -4,046 | -2,726 | -3,242 | -4,682 | -4,320 | -4,721 |
|  | Financing ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reverse repurchase agreements ${ }^{3}$ <br> 16 Overnight and continuing. <br> 17 Term agreements. | $\underbrace{4}_{n, a_{1}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14,568 \\ & 32,048 \\ & 35,919 \\ & 29,449 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26,754 \\ & 48,247 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29,613 \\ & 49,145 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34,936 \\ & 48,064 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{1}{\text { n.a. }}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 31,969 \\ & 49,325 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31,019 \\ & 50,484 \end{aligned}$ | $32,870$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31,967 \\ & 53,484 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |  | 49,695 43,410 | 56,459 39,423 | 59,099 36,772 |  | 58,369 37,866 | 57,932 $\mathbf{3 9 , 1 8 9}$ | 60,765 41,511 | $\begin{aligned} & 56,115 \\ & 45,851 \end{aligned}$ |  |

### 1.44 FEDERAL AND FEDERALLY SPONSORED CREDIT AGENCIES Debt Outstanding

Millions of dollars, end of period

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{2}{*}{Agency} \& \multirow{2}{*}{1980} \& \multirow{2}{*}{1981} \& \multirow{2}{*}{1982} \& \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{1983} <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& Mar. \& Apr. \& May \& June \& July \& Aug. <br>
\hline 1 Federal and federally sponsored agencies \& 188,665 \& 221,946 \& 237,085 \& 234,412 \& 234,852 \& 234,289 \& 235,041 \& 236,037 \& 236,931 <br>
\hline 2 Federal agencies \& 28,606 \& 31,806 \& 33,055 \& 33,083 \& 33,120 \& 33,065 \& 33,353 \& 33.436 \& 33,420 <br>
\hline 3 Defense Department ${ }^{1}$ \& 610 \& 484 \& 354 \& 335 \& 318 \& 308 \& 298 \& 284 \& 274 <br>
\hline 4 Export-Import Bank ${ }^{2,3}$ \& 11,250 \& 13,339 \& 14,218 \& 14,304 \& 14,304 \& 14,303 \& 14,563 \& 14,563 \& 14,564 <br>
\hline 5 Federal Housing Administration ${ }^{4}$. \& 477 \& 413 \& 288 \& 271 \& 255 \& 243 \& 228 \& 220 \& 213 <br>
\hline 6 Government National Mortgage Association participation certificates \& 2,817 \& 2,715 \& 2,165 \& 2,165 \& 2,165 \& 2,165 \& 2,165 \& 2,165 \& 2,165 <br>
\hline 7 Postal Service ${ }^{6}$............. \& 1,770 \& 1,538 \& 1,471 \& 1,471 \& 1.471 \& 1,404 \& 1,404 \& 1,404 \& 1,404 <br>
\hline 8 Tennessee Valley Authority \& 11,190 \& 13,115 \& 14,365 \& 14,415 \& 14.485 \& 14,520 \& 14,570 \& 14,675 \& 14,675 <br>
\hline 9 United States Railway Association ${ }^{6}$ \& 492 \& 202 \& 194 \& 122 \& 122 \& 122 \& 125 \& 125 \& 125 <br>
\hline 10 Federally sponsored agencies? \& 160.059 \& 190,140 \& 204,030 \& 201.329 \& 201,732 \& 201,224 \& 201,688 \& 202,601 \& 203,511 <br>
\hline 11 Federal Home Loan Banks. \& 37,268 \& 54,131 \& 55,967 \& 51,899 \& 50,297 \& 49,756 \& 48,871 \& 49,065 \& 49,081 <br>
\hline 12 Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation. \& 4,686 \& 5,480 \& 4,524 \& 4,475 \& 5,160 \& 5,777 \& 6,500 \& 6,146 \& 5,875 <br>
\hline 13 Federal National Mortgage Association \& 55,182 \& 58,749 \& 70,052 \& 71,366 \& 72,058 \& 70,769 \& 71,303 \& 71,612 \& 72,163 <br>
\hline 14 Farm Credit Banks............... \& 62,923 \& 71,359 \& 71,896 \& 72,047 \& 72,227 \& 72,548 \& 72,652 \& 73,306 \& 73,744
2 <br>
\hline 15 Student Loan Marketing Association \& \& 421 \& 1,591 \& 1,542 \& 1,990 \& 2,374 \& 2,362 \& 2,472 \& 2,648 <br>
\hline Memo:

Federal Financing Bank debt ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ \& 87,460 \& 110,698 \& 126,424 \& 127,717 \& 129,125 \& 130,528 \& 131,987 \& 133,367 \& 134,505 <br>
\hline Lending to federal and federally sponsored agencies \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline 17 Export-Import Bank ${ }^{3}$ \& 10,654 \& 12,741 \& 14.177 \& 14,232 \& 14,232 \& 14,232 \& 14,493 \& 14.493 \& 14,493 <br>
\hline 18 Postal Service ${ }^{6}$ \& 1,520 \& 1,288 \& 1,221 \& 1,221 \& 1.221 \& 1,154 \& 1,154 \& 1,154 \& 1,154 <br>
\hline 19 Tennessee Valley Authority \& 9,465 \& 11,390 \& 12,640 \& 12,675 \& 12,760 \& 12,795 \& 12,845 \& 12,950 \& 12,950 <br>
\hline 20 United States Railway Association ${ }^{6}$ \& 492 \& 202 \& 194 \& 122 \& 122 \& 122 \& 125 \& 125 \& 125 <br>
\hline 2ther Lending ${ }^{10}$ \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline 21 Farmers Home Administration..... \& 39,431
9,196 \& 48.821
13 \& 53,261
17157 \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline 22 Rural Electrification Administration \& 9,196

13,982 \& | 13,516 |
| :---: |
| 18,140 | \& 17,157

27,774 \& 17,817
$\mathbf{2 8 , 9 6 4}$ \& 17,970
29,279 \& 18,076
29,563 \& 18,378
30,046 \& 18,497
30,372 \& 18,638
30,759 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

1. Consists of mortgages assumed by the Defense Department between 1957 and 1963 under family housing and homeowners assistance programs
2. Includes participation certificates reclassified as debt beginning Oct. 1, 1976
3. Includes participation certificates reclassified as debt beginning Oct. 1, 197.
4. Off-budget Aug. 17, 1974, through Sept. 30, 1976; on-budget thereatter.
5. Consists of debentures issued in payment of Federal Housing Administration 4. Consists of debentures issued in paymenrities may be sold privately on the securities market.
5 . Certificates of participation issued before fiscal 1969 by the Government National Mortgage Association acting as trustee for the Farmers Home Administration; Department of Health, Education, and Welfare; Department of Housing and Urban Development; Small Business Administration; and the Veterans Administration.
6. Off-budget.
7. Includes outstanding noncontingent liabilities: Notes, bonds, and debentures.
8. Before late 1981, the Association obtained financing through the Federal Financing Bank.
9. The FFB, which began operations in 1974, is authorized to purchase or sell obligations issued, sold, or guaranteed by other federal agencies. Since FFB incurs debt solely for the purpose of lending to other agencies, its debt is not included in the main portion of the table in order to avoid double counting.
10. Includes FFB purchases of agency assets and guaranteed loans; the latter contain loans guaranteed by numerous agencies with the guarantees of any particular agency being generally small. The Farmers Home Administration item consists exclusively of agency assets, while the Rural Electrification Administration entry contains both agency assets and guaranteed loans.

## NOTES TO TABLE 1.43

1. Immediate positions are net amounts (in terms of par values) of securities owned by nonbank dealer firms and dealer departments of commercial banks on a commitment, that is, trade-date basis, including any such securities that have been sold under agreements to repurchase (RPs). The maturities of some repurchase agreements are sufficiently long, however, to suggest that the securities involved are not available for trading purposes. Securities owned, and hence dealer positions, do not include securities to resell (reverse RPs). Before 1981, data for immediate positions include forward positions.
2. Figures cover financing involving U.S. government and federal agency securities, negotiable CDs, bankers acceptances, and commercial paper.
3. Includes all reverse repurchase agreements, including those that have been arranged to make delivery on short sales and those for which the securities obtained have been used as collateral on borrowings, that is, matched agreements. obtained have been used as collateral on borrowings, that is, matched agreements.
4. Includes both repurchase agreements undertaken to finance positions and " matched book" repurchase agreements.
Note. Data for positions are averages of daily figures, in terms of par value, based on the number of trading days in the period. Positions are shown net and are on a commitment basis. Data for financing are based on Wednesday figures, in terms of actual money borrowed or lent.

### 1.45 NEW SECURITY ISSUES of State and Local Governments

Millions of dollars

| Type of issue or issuer, or use | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1982 | 1983 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Dec. | Jan.' | Feb.r | Mar. ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | Apr. ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | May ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | June ${ }^{r}$ | July |
| 1 All issues, new and refunding ${ }^{\text {. }}$. | 48,367 | 47,732 | 78,950 | 9,761 | 3,777 | 6,165 | 8,733 | 10,930 | 9,426 | 6,970 | 4,084 |
| Type of issue 2 General obligation. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{3}{ }^{\text {G }}$ General obligation....... | 14,100 38 | 12,394 | 21,088 | 1,623 | 869 | 1,256 | 2,261 | 3,456 | 3,532 | 1,491 | 806 |
| 4 Revenue . . . . . . . . . . . . | 34,267 | 35,338 | 57,862 | 8,138 | 2,908 | 4,909 | 6.472 | 7,474 | 5,894 | 5,479 | 3,278 |
| 5 U.S. government loans ${ }^{2}$ | - 57 | - 55 | 461 | ${ }^{8}$ |  | , 2 | - 5 | -9 | -14 | , 16 | , 26 |
| Type of issuer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 State | 5,304 | 5,288 | 8,406 | 220 | 237 | 252 | 724 | 1,745 | 830 | 249 | 484 |
| 7 Special district and statutory authority. | 26,972 | 27.499 | 45,000 | 6,171 | 2,200 | 4,250 | 5,417 | 5.767 | 4,440 | 4,010 | 2,914 |
| 8 Municipalities, counties, townships, school districts | 16,090 | 14,945 | 25,544 | 3,370 | 1,340 | 1,663 | 2,592 | 3,418 | 4,156 | 2,711 | 686 |
| 9 lssues for new capital, total | 46,736 | 46,530 | 74,612 | 9,531 | 3,275 | 5,074 | 7,513 | 8,927 | 6,921 | 5,562 | 3,641 |
| Use of proceeds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 Education | 4,572 | 4,547 | 6,444 | 895 | 355 | 1,089 | 831 | 673 | 817 | 780 | 532 |
| 11 Transportation. | 2,621 | 3,447 | 6,256 | 1,342 | 50 | 542 | 816 | 560 | 416 | 225 | 269 |
| 12 Utilities and conservation | 8,149 | 10,037 | 14,254 | 1,891 | 977 | 1,050 | 1,732 | 2,590 | 1,504 | 927 | 252 |
| 13 Social welfare | 19,958 | 12,729 | 26,605 | 3,121 | 907 | 1,511 | 2,775 | 3,114 | 2,057 | 2,002 | 1,854 |
| 14 Industrial aid | 3,974 | 7,651 | 8,256 | 1,308 | 323 | 183 | 389 | 452 | 683 | 478 | 265 |
| 15 Other purposes . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 7,462 | 8,119 | 12,797 | 974 | 663 | 699 | 970 | 1,598 | 1.444 | 1.150 | 469 |

1. Par amounts of long-term issues based on date of sale.

Source. Public Securities Association
2. Consists of tax-exempt issues guaranteed by the Farmers Home Administra-
tion.

### 1.46 NEW SECURITY ISSUES of Corporations

 Millions of dollars| Type of issue or issuer, or use | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1982 | 1983 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July |
| 1 All issues ${ }^{1,2}$ | 73,694 | 70,441 | 84,198 | 9,830 | 7,709 | 8,491 | 11,728 | 10,468 | 11,489 | 8,165 | 6,474 |
| 2 Bonds | 53,206 | 45,092 | 53,636 | 5,636 | 4,569 | 3,839 | 5,317 | 6,015 | 7,017 | 2,244 | 2,550 |
| 3 Type of offering | 41.587 | 38.103 | 43.838 | 4264 | 4569 | 3839 | 5317 | 6.015 | 7.017 | 2.244 | 2550 |
| 4 Private placement | 11,619 | 6,989 | 9,798 | 1,372 | n.a. | п.a. | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. |
| ${ }_{5}$ Industry group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 Manufacturing ........... | 15,409 | 12.325 | 13,123 | 1,204 | 849 | 655 | 962 | 1,449 | 2,158 | 706 | 60 |
| 6 Commercial and miscellaneous. | 6,693 3 | 5,229 | 5.681 | 565 | 562 | 335 | 511 | 1.109 | 1,055 | 425 | 228 |
| 7 Transportation | 3,329 | 2,052 | 1.474 | 120 | 32 | 250 | 0 | 175 | 150 | 115 | 148 |
| 8 Public utility. | 9,557 | 8,963 | 12,155 | 944 | 313 | 763 | 950 | 755 | 1,115 | 363 | 322 |
| 9 Communication | 6,683 | 4,280 | 2,265 | 372 | 0 | 0 | 650 | 725 | 505 | 250 | 1,100 |
| 10 Real estate and financial | 11,534 | 12,243 | 18,938 | 2,431 | 2.813 | 1,836 | 2,244 | 1,802 | 2,034 | 385 | 692 |
| 11 Stocks ${ }^{3}$. | 20,489 | 25,349 | 30,562 | 4,194 | 3,140 | 4,652 | 6,411 | 4,453 | 4,472 | 5,921 | 3,924 |
| ${ }_{12}$ Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 Preferred. | 3,631 | 1,797 | 5,113 | 421 | 594 | 1.962 | 893 | 440 | 492 | 665 | 290 |
| 13 Common. | 16,858 | 23,552 | 25,449 | 3,773 | 2,546 | 2,690 | 5,518 | 4,013 | 3,980 | 5,256 | 3,634 |
| Industry group |  |  |  | 921 | 888 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 Manufacturing ................ | 5,245 | 7,557 | 7,770 | 693 | 994 | 1,646 | 1,225 | 1,494 | 1.92 | 1,358 | 1,015 |
| 16 Transportation | 549 | 779 | 709 | 22 | 355 | 283 | 91 | 113 | 221 | 109 | 337 |
| 17 Public utility. | 6,230 | 5,577 | 7,517 | 742 | 350 | 534 | 674 | 639 | 264 | 550 | 72 |
| 18 Communication | 567 | 1,778 | 2,227 | 1,361 | 187 | 2 | 1,133 | 37 | 8 | 138 | 20 |
| 19 Real estate and financial | 3.059 | 4,584 | 6,690 | 455 | 366 | 2,149 | 1.634 | 746 | 1,512 | 1,317 | 1,065 |
| 1. Figures, which represent gross proceeds of issues maturing in more than one year, sold for cash in the United States, are principal amount or number of units multiplied by offering price. Excludes offerings of less than $\$ 100,000$, secondary offerings, undefined or exempted issues as defined in the Securities Act of 1933. employee stock plans, investment companies other than closed-end, intracorporate transactions, and sales to foreigners. |  |  |  | 2. Data for 1983 include only public offerings. <br> 3. Beginning in August 1981, gross stock offerings include new equity volume from swaps of debt for equity. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Source. Securities and Exchange Commission and the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1.47 OPEN-END INVESTMENT COMPANIES Net Sales and Asset Position

Millions of dollars

|  | Item | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July ${ }^{\prime}$ | Aug. |
| Investment Companies ${ }^{\text { }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Sales of own shares ${ }^{2}$. | 20,596 | 45,675 | 8,095 | 6.115 | 7.871 | 8.418 | 7,577 | 8.107 | 6.944 | 6,032 |
| 2 | Redemptions of own shares ${ }^{3}$ | 15,866 | 30,078 | 4,233 | 3,510 | 5,066 | 6.482 | 4,486 | 5,416 | 4,500 | 4,879 |
| 3 | Net sales................... | 4,730 | 15,597 | 3,862 | 2,605 | 2,805 | 1.936 | 3,091 | 2.691 | 2,444 | 1,153 |
| 4 | Assets ${ }^{4}$ | 55,207 | $\underset{56,841^{\text {r }}}{ }$ | 80,384 | 84.981 | 90.075 | 98.669 | 101.423 | 106.449 9 | 104,279 | 104,529 |
| 5 | Cash position ${ }^{\text {S }}$ | 5,277 | 5,999 | 6,943 | 7,404 | 7,904 | 8.496 | 8,771 | 9,110 | 8.815 | 8.045 |
| 6 | Other........ | 49,930 | 70,742 | 73,441 | 77.577 | 82,171 | 90,173 | 92,652 | 97,339 | 95.464 | 96.484 |

1. Excluding money market funds
. Includes reinvestment of investment income dividends. Excludes reinvestment of capital gains distributions and share issue of conversions from one fund to another in the same group.
2. Excludes share redemption resulting from conversions from one fund to another in the same group.
3. Market value at end of period, less current liabilities.
4. Also includes all U.S. government securities and other short-term debt securities.

NoTE. Investment Company Institute data based on reports of members, which comprise substantially all open-end investment companies registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Data reflect newly formed companies after their initial offering of securities.

### 1.48 CORPORATE PROFITS AND THEIR DISTRIBUTION

Billions of dollars; quarterly data are at seasonally adjusted annual rates.

| Account |  | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1981 |  | 1982 |  |  |  | 1983 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Q3 |  |  | Q4 | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Q1 | Q2 |
|  | Corporate profits with inventory valuation and capital consumption adjustment. |  | 175.4 | 192.3 | 164.8 | 197.6 | 192.0 | 162.0 | 166.8 | 168.5 | 161.9 | 181.8 | 218.2 |
| 2 | Profits before tax.......................... . | 234.6 | 227.0 | 174.2 | 227.7 | 217.2 | 173.2 | 178.8 | 177.3 | 167.5 | 169.7 | 203.3 |
| 3 | Profits tax liability. | 84.8 | 82.8 | 59.2 | 83.7 | 75.6 | 60.3 | 61.4 | 60.8 | 54.0 | 61.5 | 76.0 |
| 4 | Profits after tax... | 149.8 | 144.1 | 115.1 | 144.0 | 141.6 | 112.9 | 117.4 | 116.5 | 113.5 | 108.2 | 127.2 |
| 5 | Dividends... | 58.6 | 64.7 | 68.7 | 66.4 | 67.3 | 67.7 | 67.8 | 68.8 | 70.4 | 71.4 | 72.0 |
| 6 | Undistributed profits. | 91.2 | 79.5 | 46.6 | 77.6 | 74.3 | 45.2 | 49.6 | 47.7 | 43.1 | 36.7 | 55.2 |
| 7 Inventory valuation ........... |  | -42.9 | -23.6 | -8.4 | -19.4 | -15.7 | -5.5 | -8.5 | -9.0 | $-10.3$ | -1.7 | -10.6 |
|  |  | -16.3 | - 11.0 | -1.1 | -10.7 | -9.5 | -5.6 | -3.5 | 0.1 | 4.7 | 13.9 | 25.6 |

Source. Survey of Current Business (Department of Commerce).
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1.49 NONFINANCIAL CORPORATIONS Current Assets and Liabilities

Billions of doliars, except for ratio

| Account | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 r | $1980{ }^{r}$ | $1981{ }^{1}$ | 1982 r |  |  |  | $\frac{1983}{\text { Q1 }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 |  |
| 1 Current assets. | 912.7 | 1,043.7 | 1,214.8 | 1,327.0 | 1,419.1 | 1,417.6 | 1,416.6 | 1,440.9 | 1,424.3 | 1,435.0 |
| 2 Cash. | 97.2 | 105.5 | 118.0 | 126.9 | 131.8 | 121.8 | 124.0 | 126.7 | 143.8 | 139.5 |
| 3 U.S. government securities. | 18.2 | $17.2{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 16.7 | 18.7 | 17.4 | 16.5 | 16.5 | 18.9 | 22.4 | 25.8 |
| 4 Notes and accounts receivable | 330.3 | 388.0 | 459.0 | 506.8 | 530.3 | 533.2 | 530.9 | 533.8 | 510.6 | 517.2 |
| 5 Inventories. | 376.9 | 431.8 | 505.1 | 542.8 | 585.1 | 591.5 | 587.5 | 596.4 | 575.0 | 572.9 |
| 6 Other. | 90.1 | $101.1{ }^{r}$ | 116.0 | 131.8 | 154.6 | 154.7 | 157.8 | 165.1 | 172.4 | 179.7 |
| 7 Current liabilities. | 557.1 | 669.5 | 807.3 | 889.3 | 976.8 | 985.7 | 985.6 | 1,002.5 | 971.1 | 976.9 |
| 8 Notes and accounts payable | 317.6 | 383.0 | 460.8 | 513.6 | 559.1 | 550.7 | 550.1 | 555.1 | 542.7 | 530.0 |
| 9 Other . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 239.6 | 286.5 r | 346.5 | 375.7 | 417.7 | 435.0 | 435.5 | 447.5 | 428.4 | 446.8 |
| 10 Net working capital. | 355.5 | 374.3 | 407.5 | 437.8 | 442.3 | 431.9 | 431.0 | 438.4 | 453.2 | 458.1 |
| 11 Memo: Current ratio ${ }^{1}$. | 1.638 | 1.559 | 1.505 | 1.492 | 1.453 | 1.438 | 1.437 | 1.437 | 1.467 | 1.469 |

1. Ratio of total current assets to total current liabilities.

Note. For a description of this series, see "Working Capital of Nonfinancial Corporations" in the July 1978 Bulletin, pp. 533-37.

All data in this table reflect the most current benchmarks. Complete data are available upon request from the Flow of Funds Section, Division of Research and Statistics, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551.

Source. Federal Trade Commission and Bureau of the Census.

### 1.50 TOTAL NONFARM BUSINESS EXPENDITURES on New Plant and Equipment

Billions of dollars; quarterly data are at seasonally adjusted annual rates.

| Industry ${ }^{1}$ | 1981 | 1982 | 19831 | 1982 |  |  | 1983 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Q1 | Q2 | Q ${ }^{1}$ | Q4 |
| 1 Total nonfarm business. | 321.49 | 316.43 | 306.57 | 323.22 | 315.79 | 302.77 | 293.03 | 293.46 | 313.04 | 326.73 |
| 2 Manufacturing | 61.84 | 56.44 | 51.49 | 59.03 | 57.14 | 50.50 | 50.74 | 48.48 | 53.00 | 53.73 |
| 3 Nondurable goods industries | 64.95 | 63.23 | 62.49 | 64.74 | 62.32 | 59.59 | 59.12 | 60.31 | 64.44 | 66.07 |
| Nonmanufacturing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 Mining. ...... | 16.86 | 15.45 | 12.71 | 16.56 | 14.63 | 13.31 | 12.03 | 10.91 | 13.29 | 14.60 |
| Transportation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 Railroad | 4.24 | 4.38 | 3.75 | 4.73 | 3.94 | 4.31 | 3.35 | 3.64 | 3.70 | 4.31 |
| 6 Air ... | 3.81 | 3.93 3.64 | 3.75 | 3.54 | 4.11 | 4.85 | 4.09 | 4.10 | 3.10 | 3.69 |
| 7 Other ....... | 4.00 | 3.64 | 3.63 | 4.06 | 3.24 | 3.25 | 3.60 | 3.14 | 3.70 | 4.08 |
| 8 Electric... | 29.74 | 33.40 | 34.46 | 32.26 | 34.98 | 35.12 | 33.97 | 34.86 | 34.34 | 34.67 |
| 9 Gas and other | 8.65 | 8.55 | 7.72 | 9.14 | 8.40 | 7.77 | 7.64 | 6.62 | 7.76 | 8.86 |
| 10 Trade and services | 86.33 | 86.95 | 87.68 | 88.85 | 87.31 | 84.00 | 82.38 | 85.85 | 89.31 | 93.18 |
| 11 Communication and other ${ }^{2}$ | 41.06 | 40.46 | 38.90 | 40.33 | 39.73 | 40.06 | 36.11 | 35.54 | 40.40 | 43.54 |

### 1.51 DOMESTIC FINANCE COMPANIES Assets and Liabilities

Billions of dollars, end of period

| Account | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 |  |  | 1983 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Q1 | Q2 |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts receivable, gross |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Consumer. | 44.0 | 52.6 | 65.7 | 73.6 | 85.5 | ${ }_{82} 8.0$ | 88.3 |  | 89.9 |  |
| 2 Business | 55.2 | 63,3 | 70.3 136.0 | $\begin{array}{r}72.3 \\ \hline 1459\end{array}$ | 80.6 | 82.6 | 172.2 | $\begin{array}{r}81.0 \\ 170.4 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 82.2 | 84.9 176.2 |
| 3 Total ............................ | 99.2 | 116.0 | 136.0 | 145.9 23.3 | 166.1 | 170.6 | 170.5 30.4 | 170.4 | 172.1 | 176.2 30.4 |
| ${ }_{5}$ Less: Reserves for unearned income and losses | 86.5 | 100.4 | 116.0 | 122.6 | 137.2 | 140.4 | 140.1 | 139.8 | 142.4 | 145.8 |
| 6 Cash and bank deposits. | 2.6 | 3.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 Securities . | 14.3 | 17.3 | 24.9 | 27.5 | 34.2 | 37.3 | 39.1 | 3.7 | 42.8 | 44.3 |
| 9 Total assets | 104.3 | 122.4 | 140.9 | 150.1 | 171.4 | 177.8 | 179.2 | 179.5 | 185.2 | 190.2 |
| Liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 Bank loans | 5.9 | 6.5 | 8.5 | 13.2 | 15.4 | 14.5 | 16.8 | 18.6 | 16.6 | 16.3 |
| 11 Commercial paper. | 29.6 | 34.5 | 43.3 | 43.4 | 51.2 | 50.3 | 46.7 | 45.8 | 45.2 | 49.0 |
| 12 Debt Short-term, n.e.c. |  |  |  | 7.5 | 9.6 | 9.3 | 9.9 |  |  |  |
| 13 Long-term, n.e.c. | 36.0 | 43.6 | 46.7 | 52.4 | 54.8 | 60.3 | 60.9 | 63.5 | 64.7 | 64.5 |
| 14 Other........... | 11.5 | 12.6 | 14.2 | 14.3 | 17.8 | 18.9 | 20.5 | 18.7 | 22.8 | 24.0 |
| 15 Capital, surplus, and undivided profits | 15.1 | 17.2 | 19.9 | 19.4 | 22.8 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.2 | 26.0 | 26.7 |
| 16 Total liabilities and capital | 104.3 | 122.4 | 140.9 | 150.1 | 171.4 | 177.8 | 179.2 | 179.5 | 185.2 | 190.2 |

1. Beginning Q1 1979, asset items on lines 6.7, and 8 are combined.

Note. Components may not add to totals due to rounding.

### 1.52 DOMESTIC FINANCE COMPANIES Business Credit

Millions of dollars, seasonally adjusted except as noted

| Type | Accounts receivable outstanding July 31, $1983^{1}$ | Changes in accounts receivable |  |  | Extensions |  |  | Repayments |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1983 |  |  | 1983 |  |  | 1983 |  |  |
|  |  | May | June | July | May | June | July | May | June | July |
| 1 Total | 84,299 | 428 | 789 | 396 | 25,322 | 25,341 | 23,387 | 24,894 | 24,552 | 22,991 |
| 2 Retail automotive (commercial vehicles) | 16,809 | 580 | 599 | 503 | 1,615 | 1,675 | 1.615 | 1,035 | 1,076 | 1,112 |
| 3 Wholesale automotive . ............ | 11,747 | 239 | 52 | -239 | 6,971 | 7,468 | 6.363 | 6,732 | 7,416 | 6,602 |
| 4 Retail paper on business, industrial, and farm equipment .... | 27,818 | -167 | -98 | -67 | 1,344 | 1,331 | 1,220 | 1,511 | 1.429 | 1,287 |
| 5 Loans on commercial accounts receivable and factored commercial accounts receivable | 9,333 | -137 | -8 | 189 | 13,457 | 13.071 | 12,616 | 13.594 | 13,079 | 12.427 |
| 6 All other business credit . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 18,592 | -87 | -244 | 10 | 1,935 | 1,796 | 1.573 | 2,022 | 1.552 | 1.563 |

1. Not seasonally adjusted.

### 1.53 MORTGAGE MARKETS

Millions of dollars; exceptions noted.


1. Weighted averages based on sample surveys of mortgages originated by major institutional lender groups. Compiled by the Federal Home Loan Bank mojor institutional in cooperation with the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.
2. Includes all fees, commissions, discounts, and "points" paid (by the borrower or the seller) to obtain a loan.
3. Average effective interest rates on loans closed, assuming prepayment at the end of 10 years.
4. Average contract rates on new commitments for conventional first mortgages, rounded to the nearest 5 basis points; from Department of Housing and Urban Development.
5. Average gross yields on 30 -year, minimum-downpayment. Federal Housing Administration-insured first mortgages for immediate delivery in the private secondary market. Any gaps in data are due to periods of adjustment to changes in maximum permissible contract rates.
6. Average net yields to investors on Government National Mortgage Association guaranteed, mortgage-backed, fully modified pass-through securities, asation guaranteed, mortgage-backed, fully modified pass-through securities, as-
suming prepayment in 12 years on pools of 30 -year FHA/VA mortgages carrying suming prepayment in 12 years on pools of 30 -year FHA/VA mortgages carrying
the prevailing ceiling rate. Monthly figures are unweighted averages of Monday the prevailing ceiling rate
quotations for the month.
7. Includes some multifamily and nonprofit hospital loan commitments in addition to 1-to 4-family loan commitments accepted in FNMA's free market auction system, and through the FNMA-GNMA tandem plans.
8. Includes participation as well as whole loans
9. Includes conventional and government-underwritten loans. FHLMC's mortgage commitments and mortgage transactions include activity under mortgage/securities swap programs, while the corresponding data for FNMA exclude swap activity.

### 1.54 MORTGAGE DEBT OUTSTANDING

Millions of dollars, end of period

| Type of holder, and type of property |  | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1982 |  |  | 1983 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Q2 |  |  | Q3 | Q4 | Q1 | Q2 |
| 1 | All holders |  | 1,471,786 | 1,583,264 | 1,654,667 | 1,624,279 | 1,632,161 | 1,654,667 | 1,682,634 | 1,723,739r |
| 2 | 1- to 4 -family | 986,979 | 1,065,294 | 1,112,343 | 1,089,522 | 1,097,507 | 1,112,343 | 1,134,538 | 1,164,425r |
| 3 | Multifamily | 137,134 | 1, 136,354 | 1,136,725 | 138,332 | 136,508 | 136,725 | 137,938 | $140,350^{\circ}$ |
| 4 | Commercial | 255.655 | 279,889 | 298,708 | 290,951 | 291,740 | 298,708 | 303,130 | 310,572 |
| 5 | Farm . . . . | 92.018 | 101,727 | 106,891 | 105.474 | 106,406 | 106,891 | 107,028 | 108,392 |
| 6 | Major financial institutions | 997,168 | 1,040.827 | 1,023,339 | 1,042,904 | 1,027,027 | 1,023,339 | $\begin{array}{r}1,030,068 \\ 305 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 1,048,339 |
| 7 | Commercial banks ${ }^{1}$. | 263,030 | 284,536 | 301,742 | 294,022 | 298,342 | 301,742 | 305,672 | 312,663 |
| 8 | 1- to 4 -family | 160,326 | 170,013 | 177, 122 | 172,596 | 175,126 | 177,122 | 179,430 | 183,533 |
| 9 | Multifamily. | 12.924 | 15,132 | 15,84] | 15,431 | 15,666 | 15,841 | 16,147 | 16,634 |
| 10 | Commercial | 81.081 | 91,026 | 100,269 | 97,522 | 99,050 | 100,269 | 101,575 | 103,898 |
| 11 | Farm | 8,699 | 8,365 | 8,510 | 8,473 | 8,500 | 8,510 | 8,520 | 8,598 |
| 12 | Mutual savings banks | 99,865 | 99.997 | 97,444 | 96,346 | 94,382 | 97,444 | 105,379 | 119,830 |
| 13 | 1- to 4 -family .. | 67,489 | 68,187 | 66,533 | 65,381 | 63,849 | 66,533 | 72,912 | 84,483 |
| 14 | Multifamily. | 16.058 | 15,960 | 15,247 | 15,338 | 15,026 | 15,247 | 15,862 | 17,011 |
| 15 | Commercial | 16.278 | 15,810 | 15,635 | 15,598 | 15.479 | 15,635 | 16,577 | 18,308 |
| 16 | Farm | 40 | 40 | 29 | 29 | 28 | 29 | 28 | 28 |
| 17 | Savings and loan associations | 503,192 | 518,547 483,14 | 482,234 | 512,997 | 493,899 410.035 | 482,234 | 475,688 3896 | 471,638 384630 |
| 18 | 1- to 4-family | 419,763 | 433,142 | 396,361 | 425,890 | 410.035 | 396,361 | 389,967 | 384,630 |
| 19 | Multifamily | 38.142 | 37,699 | 36,023 | 38,321 | 36.894 | 36.023 | 35,534 | 35,231 |
| 20 | Commercial | 45,287 | 47.706 | 49.850 | 48,786 | 46,970 | 49.850 | 50.187 | 51,777 |
| 21 | Life insurance companies | 131,081 | 137,747 | 141,919 | 139,539 | 140,404 | 141,919 | 143,329 | 144,208 |
| 22 | 1- to 4-family .... | 17,943 | 17,201 | 16,743 | 16,451 | 16,865 | 16,743 | 16,855 | 16,965 |
| 23 | Multifamily | 19,514 | 19,283 | 18,847 | 18,982 | 18,967 | 18,847 | 19,076 | 19,100 |
| 24 | Commercial | 80,666 | 88.163 | 93,501 | 91,113 | 91,640 | 93,501 | 94,727 | 95,443 |
| 25 | Farm | 12,958 | 13,100 | 12,828 | 12.993 | 12,932 | 12,828 | 12,671 | 12,700 |
| 26 | Federal and related agencies | 114,300 | 126,094 | 138,185 | 131,456 | 134,409 | 138,185 | 140,028 | 142,001 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ |
| 27 | Government National Mortgage Association | 4,642 | 4,765 | 4,227 | 4,669 | 4,110 | 4,227 | 3,753 | 3,660 |
| 28 | 1 - to 4 -family | 704 | 693 | 676 | 688 | 682 | 676 | 665 | 651 |
| 29 | Multifamily.. | 3,938 | 4,072 | 3.551 | 3,981 | 3,428 | 3,551 | 3,088 | 3,009 |
| 30 | Farmers Home Administration | 3.492 | 2,235 | 1,786 | 1,335 | 947 | 1,786 | 2,077 | 1,605 |
| 31 | 1- to 4-family | 916 | 914 | 783 | 491 | 302 | 783 | 707 | 581 |
| 32 | Multifamily. | 610 | 473 | 218 | 179 | 46 | 218 | 380 | 555 |
| 33 | Commercial | 411 | 506 | 377 | 256 | 164 | 377 | 337 | 248 |
| 34 | Farm | 1.555 | 342 | 408 | 409 | 435 | 408 | 653 | 421 |
| 35 | Federal Housing and Veterans Administration. | 5,640 | 5,999 | 5,228 | 5,908 | 5,362 | 5.228 | 5,138 | 5,084 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ |
| 36 | 1-to 4 -family | 2,051 | 2,289 | 1,980 | 2,218 | 2,130 | 1,980 | 1,867 | 1,911 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ |
| 37 | Multifamily.. | 3,589 | 3,710 | 3,248 | 3,690 | 3,232 | 3,248 | 3,271 | 3,173 |
| 38 | Federal National Mortgage Association | 57,327 | 61,412 | 71,814 | 65,008 | 68,841 | 71,814 | 73,666 | 74,669 |
| 39 | 1- to 4-family. | 51.775 | 55,986 | 66,500 | 59.631 | 63.495 | 66.500 | 68,370 | 69,396 |
| 40 | Multifamily | 5.552 | 5,426 | 5,314 | 5.377 | 5,346 | 5,314 | 5,296 | 5.273 |
| 41 | Federal Land Banks | 38,131 | 46,446 | 50,350 | 49,270 | 49,983 | 50,350 | 50,544 | 50,858 |
| 42 | 1- to 4 -family | 2,099 | 2,788 | 3,068 | 2,954 | 3,029 | 3,068 | 3,059 | 3,030 |
| 43 | Farm. | 36,032 | 43.658 | 47,282 | 46,316 | 46,954 | 47,282 | 47,485 | 47,828 |
| 44 | Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation. | 5.068 | 5,237 | 4,780 | 5,266 | 5.166 | 4,780 | 4,850 | 6,125 |
| 45 | 1- to 4 -family | 3.873 | 5.181 | 4,733 | 5,209 | 5.116 | 4,733 | 4.795 | 6,025 |
| 46 | Multifamily. | 1,195 | 56 | 47 | 57 | 50 | 47 | 55 | 100 |
| 47 | Mortgage pools or trusts ${ }^{2}$ | 142,258 | 163,000 | 216.654 | 183,657 | 198,376 | 216,654 | 234,596 | 252,318 |
| 48 | Government National Mortgage Association. | 93,874 | 105,790 | 118,940 | 111,459 | 114,776 | 118,940 | 127,939 | 139,276 |
| 49 | 1- to 4 -family ... | 91,602 | 103,007 | 115,831 | 108,487 | 111,728 | 115,831 | 124,482 | 135,628 |
| 50 | Multifamily., | 2,272 | 2,783 | 3,109 | 2,972 | 3,048 | 3,109 | 3,457 | 3,648 |
| 51 | Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation. | 16.854 | 19.853 | 42,964 | 28,703 | 35,132 | 42,964 | 48.008 | 50,587 |
| 52 | 1- to 4-family ........................... | 13,471 | 19,501 | 42,560 | 28,329 | 34,739 | 42,560 | 47,575 | 50,112 |
| 53 | Multifamily | 3,383 | 352 | 404 | 374 | 393 | 404 | 433 | 475 |
| 54 | Federal National Mortgage Association ${ }^{3}$ | п.а. | 717 | 14,450 | 4,556 | 8.133 | 14,450 | 18,157 | 20,933 |
| 55 | 1- to 4 -family .......... | n.a. | 717 | 14,450 | 4,556 | 8,133 | 14,450 | 18,157 | 20,933 |
| 56 | Farmers Home Administration. | 31,530 | 36,640 | 40,300 | 38,939 | 40,335 | 40,300 | 40,492 | 41,522 |
| 57 | 1- to 4-family | 16,683 | 18,378 | 20,005 | 19.357 | 20,079 | 20,005 | 20,263 | 20,728 |
| 58 | Multifamily. | 2,612 | 3.426 | 4,344 | 4.044 | 4,344 | 4,344 | 4,344 | 4,343 |
| 59 | Commercial | 5,271 | 6,161 | 7,011 | 6.762 | 7,056 | 7,011 | 7,115 | 7,303 |
| 60 | Farm | 6,964 | 8,675 | 8,940 | 8,776 | 8,856 | 8,940 | 8,770 | 9,148 |
| 61 | Individual and others ${ }^{4}$ | 218.060 | 253,343 | 276,489 | 266,262 | 272,349 | 276,489 | 277,942 | 281.081 |
| 62 | 1- to 4-family ${ }^{5}$ | 138.284 | 167,297 | 184,998 | 177,284 | 182,199 | 184,998 | 185,434 | 186,019 |
| 63 | Multifamily | 27,345 | 27,982 | 30.532 | 29,586 | 30,068 | 30,532 | 30,995 | 31,798 |
| 64 | Commercial | 26,661 | 30,517 | 32,065 | 30,914 | 31,381 | 32,065 | 32,612 | 33,595 |
| 65 | Farm . . | 25,770 | 27,547 | 28.894 | 28,478 | 28,701 | 28,894 | 28,901 | 29.669 |

1. Includes loans held by nondeposit trust companies but not bank trust departments.
2. Outstanding principal balances of mortgages backing securities insured or guaranteed by the agency indicated.
3. Outstanding balances on FNMA's issues of securities backed by pools of conventional mortgages held in trust. The program was implemented by FNMA in conventional 1981.
4. Other holders include mortgage companies, real estate investment trusts state and local credit agencies, state and local retirement funds, noninsured pension funds, credit unions, and U.S. agencies for which amounts are small or for which separate data are not readily available.
5. Fncludes a new estimate of residential mortgage credit provided by individ-

Note. Based on data from various institutional and governmental sources, with some quarters estimated in part by the Federal Reserve in conjunction with the Federal Home Loan Bank Board and the Department of Commerce. Separation of nonfarm mortgage debt by type of property, if not reported directly, and interpolations and extrapolations when required, are estimated mainly by the Federal Reserve. Multifamily debt refers to loans on structures of five or more units.

### 1.55 CONSUMER INSTALLMENT CREDIT ${ }^{1}$ Total Outstanding, and Net Change A

Millions of dollars


1. The Board's series cover most short- and intermediate-term credit extended to individuals through regular business channels, usually to finance the purchase of consumer goods and services or to refinance debts incurred for such purposes and scheduled to be repaid (or with the option of repayment) in two or more installments
2. Includes auto dealers and excludes 30 -day charge credit held by travel and ntertainment companies
3. Not reported after December 1982.
4. For 1982 and earlier, net change equals extensions, seasonally adjusted less
liquidations, seasonally adjusted. Beginning 1983, net change equals outstandings, seasonally adjusted less outstandings of the previous period, seasonally adjusted. Note: Total consumer noninstallment credit outstanding-credit scheduled to be repaid in a lump sum, including single-payment loans. charge accounts, and service credil-amounted to, not seasonally adjusted, $\$ 74.8$ billion at the end of $1980, \$ 80.6$ billion at the end of 1981 , and $\$ 85.9$ billion at the end of 1982 .

These data have been revised from December 1980 through February 1983

### 1.56 TERMS OF CONSUMER INSTALLMENT CREDIT

Percent unless noted otherwise

| Item |  | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Feb. |  |  | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug. |
| Interest Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Commercial banks ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 48 -month new car ${ }^{2}$ | 14.30 | 16.54 | 16.83 | 14.81 |  |  | 13.90 |  |  | 13.50 |
| 2 | 24-month personal | 15.47 | 18.09 | 18.65 | 17.47 |  | . $\cdot$. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 16.57 |  |  | 16.28 |
| 3 | 120-month mobile home ${ }^{2}$ | 14.99 | 17.45 | 18.05 | 16.73 |  |  | 15.84 |  |  | 15.58 |
| 4 | Credit card.......... | 17.31 | 17.78 | 18.51 | 18.82 |  |  | 18.79 |  |  | 18.75 |
|  | Auto finance companies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | New car.. | 14.82 19.10 | 16.17 20.00 | 16.15 20.75 | 12.05 19.91 | 12.07 19.38 | 11.90 18.91 | 11.94 18.76 | 11.57 18.58 | 11.84 18.28 | 12.77 18.25 |
| Other Terms ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maturity (months) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | New car | 45.0 | 45.4 | 46.0 | 45.9 | 45.9 | 45.8 | 45.4 | 45.6 | 45.7 | 45.9 |
| Loan-to-value ratio |  |  |  | 34.0 | 37.7 | 37.7 | 37.7 | 37.9 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 |
| 9 | New car ....... | 87.6 | 86.1 | 85.3 | 86.0 | 84.0 | 86.0 | 86.0 | 87 | 87 | 87 |
| 10 | Used car | 94.2 | 91.8 | 90.3 | 90.0 | 91.0 | 91.0 | 92.0 | 92 | 93 | 93 |
| Amount financed (dollars) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | Used car | 3,810 | 4,343 | 4.746 | 4,731 | 4,802 | 4.869 | 4.984 | 5,039 | 5,052 | 5,103 |

1. Data for midmonth of quarter only.
2. At auto finance companies.

Before 1983 the maturity for new car loans was 36 months, and for mobile home loans was 84 months.

### 1.57 FUNDS RAISED IN U.S. CREDIT MARKETS

Billions of dollars; half-yearly data are at seasonally adjusted annual rates.

| Transaction category, sector |  | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1980 | 1981 |  | 1982 |  | $\frac{1983}{\mathrm{H1}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | H2 |  |  |  |  |  | H1 | H2 | H1 | H2 |  |
|  |  |  | Nonfinancial sectors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total net borrowing by domestic nonfinancial sectors By sector and instrument | 319.4 | 369.8 | 386.0 | 343.2 | 377.2 | 395.3 | 371.3 | 392.4 | 362.0 | 356.8 | 434.8 | 504.9 |
| 2 | U.S. government. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 56.8 | 53.7 | 37.4 | 79.2 | 87.4 | 161.3 | 92.5 | 87.8 | 86.9 | 106.9 | 215.5 | 230.2 |
| 3 | Treasury securities | 57.6 | 55.1 | 38.8 | 79.8 | 87.8 | 162.1 | 93.1 | 88.3 | 87.3 | 108.3 | 215.9 | 230.2 |
| 4 | Agency issues and mortgages | -. 9 | -1.4 | -1.4 | -. 6 | -. 5 | -. 9 | -. 6 | -. 5 | -. 4 | -1.4 | -. 4 | -. 1 |
| 5 | Private domestic nonfinancial sectors. | 262.6 | 316.2 | 348.6 | 264.0 | 289.8 | 234.1 | 278.7 | 304.6 | 275.1 | 249.9 | 219.3 | 274.7 |
| 6 | Debt capital instruments | 171.1 | 199.7 | 211.2 | 192.0 | 158.4 | 152.4 | 189.9 | 179.3 | 137.5 | 139.7 | 166.1 | 222.7 |
|  | Tax-exempt obligations | 21.9 | 28.4 | 30.3 | 30.3 | 21.9 | 50.5 | 31.9 | 21.1 | 22.6 | 41.7 | 59.4 | 58.1 |
|  | Corporate bonds .. | 22.9 | 21.1 | 17.3 | 26.7 | 22.1 | 18.8 | 20.7 | 26.1 | 18.0 | 10.8 | 26.9 | 20.9 |
| 9 | Mortgages..... | 126.3 | 150.2 | 163.6 | 135.1 | 114.5 | 83.0 | 137.3 | 132.0 | 96.9 | 87.3 | 79.9 | 143.7 |
| 10 | Home mortgages | 94.0 | 112.2 | 120.0 | 96.7 | 75.9 | 56.6 | 99.2 | 92.6 | 59.2 | 55.8 | 58.6 | 110.2 |
| 11 | Multifamily residential | 7.1 | 9.2 | 7.8 | 8.8 | 4.3 | 1.3 | 9.6 | 4.9 | 3.7 | 4.2 | -1.7 | 7.7 |
| 12 | Commercial | 18.1 | 21.7 | 23.9 | 20.2 | 24.6 | 20.0 | 20.9 | 25.2 | 23.9 | 21.4 | 18.6 | 22.5 |
| 13 | Farm | 7.1 | 7.2 | 11.8 | 9.3 | 9.7 | 5.2 | 7.6 | 9.3 | 10.1 | 5.9 | 4.4 | 3.3 |
| 14 | Other debt instruments | 91.6 | 116.5 | 137.5 | 72.0 | 131.5 | 81.6 | 88.8 | 125.3 | 137.6 | 110.1 | 53.2 | 52.0 |
| 15 | Consumer credit | 40.2 | 48.8 | 45.4 | 4.9 | 24.1 | 18.3 | 13.0 | 28.9 | 19.3 | 19.3 | 17.4 | 38.8 |
| 16 | Bank loans n.e.c. | 27.1 | 37.4 | 51.2 | 36.7 | 54.7 | 54.4 | 59.7 | 45.5 | 63.9 | 70.1 | 38.8 | 14.0 |
| 17 | Open market paper | 2.9 | 5.2 | 11.1 | 5.7 | 19.2 | -3.3 | -9.2 | 12.0 | 26.3 | 6.5 | -13.0 | $-16.3$ |
| 18 | Other | 21.3 | 25.1 | 29.7 | 24.8 | 33.4 | 12.2 | 25.3 | 38.9 | 28.0 | 14.3 | 10.2 | 15.6 |
| 19 | By borrowing sector. | 262.6 | 316.2 | 348.6 | 264.0 | 289.8 | 234.1 | 278.7 | 304.6 | 275.1 | 249.9 | 219.3 | 274.7 |
| 20 | State and local governments | 15.4 | 19.1 | 20.5 | 20.3 | 9.7 | 36.3 | 21.7 | 9.1 | 10.2 | 29.3 | 43.3 | 47.8 |
| 21 | Households. | 137.3 | 169.4 | 176.4 | 117.5 | 120.6 | 86.3 | 121.3 | 139.8 | 101.3 | 87.6 | 86.1 | 154.6 |
| 22 | Farm | 12.3 | 14.6 | 21.4 | 14.4 | 16.3 | 9.0 | 12.8 | 20.1 | 12.5 | 9.0 | 9.1 | -. 6 |
| 23 | Nonfarm noncorporate | 28.0 | 32.4 | 34.4 | 33.7 | 39.6 | 29.8 | 40.6 | 39.8 | 39.5 | 34.6 | 24.9 | 34.t, |
| 24 | Corporate | 69.7 | 80.6 | 96.0 | 78.1 | 103.7 | 72.7 | 82.3 | 95.8 | 111.5 | 89.3 | 56.0 | 38.2 |
|  | Foreign net borrowing in United States | 13.5 | 33.8 | 20.2 | 27.2 | 27.2 | 15.7 | 26.7 | 31.9 | 22.5 | 12.8 | 18.6 | 17.7 |
| 26 | Bonds | 5.1 | 4.2 | 3.9 | . 8 | 5.4 | 6.6 | -. 4 | 3.3 | 7.6 | 2.4 | 10.8 | 4.4 |
| 27 | Bank loans n.e.c. | 3.1 | 19.1 | 2.3 | 11.5 | 3.7 | -6.2 | 18.5 | 3.1 | 4.2 | -5.1 | -7.2 | 11.8 |
| 28 | Open market paper | 2.4 | 6.6 | 11.2 | 10.1 | 13.9 | 10.7 | 4.5 | 20.6 | 7.1 | 12.5 | 9.0 | -3.7 |
| 29 | U.S. government loans | 3.0 | 3.9 | 2.9 | 4.7 | 4.2 | 4.5 | 4.0 | 4.9 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 6.0 | 5.2 |
| 30 | Total domestic plus foreign | 332.9 | 403.6 | 406.2 | 370.4 | 404.4 | 411.0 | 397.9 | 424.4 | 384.5 | 369.6 | 453.4 | 522.4 |
|  |  | Financial sectors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 | Total net borrowing by financial sectors .......... By instrument | 45.8 | 74.6 | 82.5 | 63.3 | 85.4 | 69.3 | 64.0 | 87.4 | 83.4 | 89.8 | 48.7 | 71.9 |
| 32 | U.S. government related . . . . . . . . . . . | 22.0 | 37.1 | 47.9 | 44.8 | 47.4 | 64.9 | 40.4 | 45.2 | 49.6 | 61.3 | 68.4 | 67.3 |
| 33 | Sponsored credit agency securities | 7.0 | 23.1 | 24.3 | 24.4 | 30.5 | 14.9 | 20.8 | 28.9 | 32.1 | 23.6 | 6.3 | -2.5 |
| 34 | Mortgage pool securities . | 16.1 | 13.6 | 23.1 | 19.2 | 15.0 | 49.5 | 18.6 | 14.9 | 15.1 | 37.0 | 62.1 | 69.8 |
| 35 | Loans from U.S. goverrment | $-1.1$ | 4 | . 6 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 4 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 2.4 | 8 |  |  |
| 36 | Private financial sectors....... | 23.8 | 37.5 | 34.6 | 18.5 | 38.0 | 4.4 | 23.6 | 42.2 | 33.8 | 28.5 | - 19.7 | 4.6 |
| 37 | Corporate bonds | 10.1 | 7.5 | 7.8 | 7.1 | -. 8 | 2.3 | 3.1 | -. 3 | -1.4 | -1.2 | 5.8 | 13.0 |
| 38 | Mortgages...... | * | . 1 | * | -. 1 | -. 5 | . 1 | -. 2 | -. 8 | -. 2 | . 1 | . 1 | . |
| 39 | Bank loans n.e.c. | -. 3 | 2.8 | -. 4 | -. 4 | 2.2 | 3.2 | -. 4 | 3.2 | 1.1 | 5.2 | 1.2 | -4.2 |
| 40 | Open market paper | 9.6 | 14.6 | 18.0 | 4.8 | 20.9 | -2.0 | 10.8 | 23.5 | 18.4 | 14.0 | -18.0 | 8.6 |
| 41 | Loans from Federal Home Loan Banks...... By sector | 4.3 | 12.5 | 9.2 | 7.1 | 16.2 | . 8 | 10.3 | 16.7 | 15.8 | 10.4 | -8.8 | -12.9 |
| 42 | Sponsored credit agencies. | 5.9 | 23.5 | 24.8 | 25.6 | 32.4 | 15.3 | 21.8 | 30.3 | 34.5 | 24.4 | 6.3 | $-2.5$ |
| 43 | Mortgage pools | 16.1 | 13.6 | 23.1 | 19.2 | 15.0 | 49.5 | 18.6 | 14.9 | 15.1 | 37.0 | 62.1 | 69.33 |
| 44 | Private financial sectors | 23.8 | 37.5 | 34.6 | 18.5 | 38.0 | 4.4 | 23.6 | 42.2 | 33.8 | 28.5 | -19.7 | 4.6 |
| 45 | Commercial banks | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.6 | . 5 | . 4 | 1.2 | . 3 | . 2 | . 5 | . 7 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| 46 | Bank affiliates ... | 2.0 | 7.2 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 8.3 | 1.9 | 8.0 | 6.9 | 9.7 | 9.7 | -5.8 | 6.1 |
| 47 | Savings and loan associations | 6.9 | 13.5 | 12.6 | 7.4 | 15.5 | -3.0 | 12.3 | 16.8 | 14.1 | 9.1 | -15.2 | -10.1 |
| 49 | Finance companies | 16.9 | 18.1 | 16.6 | 6.3 | 14.1 | 4.9 | 5.8 | 18.5 | 9.7 | 9.5 | . 2 | 7.5 |
|  | REITs . . . . . . | -2.5 | -1.4 | -1.3 | -2.2 | . 2 | . 1 | -2.5 | . 2 | . 2 | 1 | . 1 | . 1 |
|  |  | All sectors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50 | Total net borrowing. ........ | 378.7 | 478.2 | 488.7 | 433.7 | 489.8 133.0 | 480.3 | 462.0 1320 | 511.8 | 467.9 | 459.4 | 502.1 | 594.5 |
| 52 | State and local obligations. | 21.9 | 28.4 | 30.3 | 30.3 | $\underline{21.9}$ | 50.5 | 31.9 | 21.1 | 22.6 | 167.6 41.7 | 284.0 59.4 | 297.6 58.1 |
| 53 | Corporate and foreign bonds | 38.0 | 32.8 | 29.0 | 34.6 | 26.7 | 27.7 | 23.5 | 29.1 | 24.2 | 12.0 | 43.5 | 38.3 |
| 54 | Mortgages . . . . . . . . | 126.2 | 150.2 | 163.5 | 134.9 | 113.9 | 83.0 | 137.0 | 131.1 | 96.6 | 87.3 | 79.8 | 143.7 |
| 55 | Consumer credit | 40.2 | 48.8 | 45.4 | 4.9 | 24.1 | 18.3 | 13.0 | 28.9 | 19.3 | 19.3 | 17.4 | 38.8 |
| 56 | Bank loans n.e.c. | 29.9 | 59.3 | 53.0 | 47.8 | 60.6 | 51.4 | 77.8 | 51.8 | 59.3 | 70.2 | 32.8 | 21.6 |
| 57 | Open market paper. | 15.0 | 26.4 | 40.3 | 20.6 | 54.0 | 5.4 | 6.1 | 56.1 | 51.9 | 33.0 | -22.1 | -11.4 |
| 58 | Other loans..... | 27.5 | 41.9 | 42.4 | 37.8 | 55.8 | 17.9 | 40.7 | 61.8 | 49.7 | 28.4 | 7.4 | 7.9 |
|  |  | External corporate equity funds raised in United States |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | , |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 59 | Total new share issues. | 6.5 | 1.9 | -3.8 | 22.2 | -3.7 | 35.4 | 28.0 | 10.2 | -17.7 | 23.7 | 47.0 | 80.8 |
| 60 | Mutual funds | . 9 | -. 1 | . 1 | 5.2 | 6.8 | 18.6 | 4.6 | 8.1 | 5.6 | 13.2 | 24.0 | 38.5 |
| 61 | All other | 5.6 | 1.9 | -3.9 | 17.1 | -10.6 | 16.8 | 23.3 | 2.1 | -23.2 | 10.6 | 23.0 | 42.3 |
| 62 | Nonfinancial corporations | 2.7 | -. 1 | -7.8 | 12.9 | -11.5 | 11.4 | 18.8 | 9 | -23.8 | 7.0 | 15.8 | 32.3 |
| 63 | Financial corporations | 2.5 | 2.5 | 3.2 | 2.1 | . 9 | 4.1 | 2.3 | 5 | 1.2 | 3.8 | 4.4 | 4.4 |
| 64 | Foreign shares purchased in United States | . 4 | -. 5 | 8 | 2.1 | * | 1.3 | 2.2 | . 7 | -. 7 | -. 2 | 2.9 | 5.7 |

### 1.58 DIRECT AND INDIRECT SOURCES OF FUNDS TO CREDIT MARKETS

Billions of dollars, except as noted; half-yearly data are at seasonally adjusted annual rates.

| Transaction category, or sector | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1980 | 1981 |  | 1982 |  | $\frac{1983}{\mathrm{H} 1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | H2 | H1 | H2 | H1 | H2 |  |
| 1 Total funds advanced in credit markets to domestic nonfinancial sectors | 319.4 | 369.8 | 386.0 | 343.2 | 377.2 | 395.3 | 371.3 | 392.4 | 362.0 | 356.8 | 434.8 | 504.9 |
| By public agencies and foreign |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 U.S. government securities | 34.9 | 36.1 | -6.3 | 15.7 | 17.2 | 17.9 | -. 8 | 31.2 | 3.1 | 17.7 | 18.2 | 47.7 |
| 4 Residential mortgages .... | 20.0 | 25.7 | 35.8 | 31.7 | 23.4 | 61.1 | 28.2 | 21.9 | 25.0 | 48.1 | 74.0 | 77.7 |
| 5 FHLB advances to savings and loans | 4.3 | 12.5 | 9.2 | 7.1 | 16.2 | . 8 | 10.3 | 16.7 | 15.8 | 10.4 | $-8.8$ | $-12.9$ |
| 6 Other loans and securities. | 20.2 | 28.0 | 36.5 | 42.4 | 40.6 | 29.5 | 39.4 | 44.1 | 37.1 | 31.7 | 27.4 | 10.6 |
| Total advanced, by sector |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 U.S. government...... | 10.0 | 17.1 | 19.0 | 23.7 | 24.1 | 16.7 | 22.2 | 27.9 | 20.3 | 14.2 | 19.1 | 8.8 |
| 8 Sponsored credit agencies | 22.5 | 40.3 | 53.0 | 45.6 | 48.2 | 65.3 | 44.0 | 47.2 | 49.2 | 62.5 | 68.1 | 69.3 |
| 9 Monetary authorities | 7.1 | 7.0 | 7.7 | 4.5 | 9.2 | 9.8 | $-10.3$ | 2.4 | 16.0 | . 1 | 19.5 | 12.7 |
| 10 Foreign. | 39.6 | 38.0 | -4.6 | 23.2 | 16.0 | 17.6 | 21.3 | 36.4 | -4.4 | 31.1 | 4.1 | 32.3 |
| Agency and foreign borrowing not in line 1 <br> 11 Sponsored credit agencies and mortgage pools | 22.0 | 37.1 | 47.9 | 44.8 | 47.4 | 64.9 | 40.4 | 45.2 | 49.6 | 61.3 | 68.4 | 67.3 |
| 12 Foreign ..................................... . | 13.5 | 33.8 | 20.2 | 27.2 | 27.2 | 15.7 | 26.7 | 31.9 | 22.5 | 12.8 | 18.6 | 17.7 |
| Private domestic funds advanced |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 U.S. government securities | 45.1 | 54.3 | 91.1 | 107.2 | 115.9 | 207.9 | 132.7 | 100.6 | 131.1 | 149.9 | 265.8 | 249.9 |
| 15 State and local obligations. | 21.9 | 28.4 | 30.3 | 30.3 | 21.9 | 50.5 | 31.9 | 21.1 | 22.6 | 41.7 | 59.4 | 58.1 |
| 16 Corporate and foreign bonds | 24.1 | 23.4 | 18.5 | 19.3 | 19.4 | 15.4 | 11.8 | 20.9 | 17.9 | -1.7 | 32.4 | 23.4 |
| 17 Residential mortgages | 81.0 | 95.6 | 91.9 | 73.7 | 56.7 | $-3.3$ | 80.5 | 75.5 | 37.9 | 11.7 | -17.2 | 40.1 |
| 18 Other mortgages and loans | 107.8 | 149.3 | 156.3 | 94.8 | 156.9 | 96.8 | 114.5 | 154.3 | 159.5 | 131.7 | 62.0 | 82.5 |
| 19 Less: Federal Home Loan Bank advances | 4.3 | 12.5 | 9.2 | 7.1 | 16.2 | . 8 | 10.3 | 16.7 | 15.8 | 10.4 | -8.8 | -12.9 |
| Private financial intermediation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cial institutions | 258.8 | 302.3 | 294.7 | 262.3 | 305.2 | 271.2 | 282.8 | 317.3 | 293.1 | 272.8 | 268.9 | 361.4 |
| 21 Commercial banking. | 87.8 | 129.0 | 123.1 | 101.1 | 103.6 | 108.5 | 146.5 | 99.6 | 107.6 | 109.7 | 107.1 | 140.9 |
| 22 Savings institutions. | 78.5 | 72.8 | 56.7 | 54.9 | 27.2 | 30.6 | 72.9 | 41.5 | 12.8 | 29.5 | 31.0 | 118.4 |
| 23 Insurance and pension funds | 69.0 | 75.0 | 66.4 | 74.4 | 79.3 | 94.2 | 65.6 | 75.3 | 83.4 | 95.4 | 93.0 | 102.8 |
| 24 Other finance. | 23.6 | 25.5 | 48.5 | 32.0 | 95.2 | 37.9 | -2.2 | 101.0 | 89.4 | 38.1 | 37.8 | -. 6 |
| 25 Sources of funds | 258.8 | 302.3 | 294.7 | 262.3 | 305.2 | 271.2 | 282.8 | 317.3 | 293.1 | 272.8 | 268.9 | 361.4 |
| 26 Private domestic deposits and RP's | 139.0 | 141.0 | 142.0 | 168.6 | 211.7 | 173.4 | 174.2 | 213.8 | 209.6 | 163.4 | 182.7 | 223.3 |
| 27 Credit market borrowing | 23.8 | 37.5 | 34.6 | 18.5 | 38.0 | 4.4 | 23.6 | 42.2 | 33.8 | 28.5 | -19.7 | 4.6 |
| 28 Other sources. | 96.1 | 123.8 | 118.1 | 75.2 | 55.5 | 93.5 | 85.0 | 61.3 | 49.8 | 80.8 | 105.9 | 133.6 |
| 29 Foreign funds. | 1.4 | 6.5 | 27.6 | -21.7 | -8.7 | -27.7 | -15.3 | -8.7 | -8.7 | -30.1 | -25.4 | -23.1 |
| 30 Treasury balances | 4.3 | 6.8 | 4 | $-2.6$ | $-1.1$ | 6.1 | 1.0 | 6.5 | -8.7 | -2.1 | 14.1 | 7.0 |
| 31 Insurance and pension reserves | 51.4 | 62.2 | 49.1 | 65.4 | 73.2 | 85.9 | 61.3 | 62.7 | 83.8 | 85.4 | 86.4 | 85.4 |
| 32 Other, net. | 39.0 | 48.4 | 41.0 | 34.0 | -7.9 | 29.2 | 38.0 | . 8 | -16.7 | 27.6 | 30.7 | 64.2 |
| Private domestic nonfinancial investors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 Direct lending in credit markets. | 40.6 | 73.6 | 118.9 | 74.4 | 87.2 | 99.7 | 102.0 | 80.6 | 93.8 | 78.7 | 122.4 | 110.0 |
| 34 U.S. government securities.. | 24.6 | 36.3 | 61.4 | 38.3 | 47.4 | 58.1 | 58.6 | 37.2 | 57.6 | 43.1 | 72.7 | 72.8 |
| 35 State and local obligations. | $\cdots$ | 3.6 | 9.9 | 7.0 | 9.6 | 30.9 | 9.2 | 9.5 | 9.7 | 28.4 | 33.4 | 41.4 |
| 36 Corporate and foreign bonds | -3.2 | -1.8 | 5.7 | . 6 | -8.9 | -9.4 | -. 2 | -5.5 | -12.4 | -26.3 | 7.4 | -2.3 |
| 37 Open market paper | 9.6 | 15.6 | 12.1 | -4.3 | 3.7 | -2.0 | 1.4 | -3.3 | 10.7 | 6.7 | -10.7 | -11.1 |
| 38 Other. | 10.4 | 19.9 | 29.8 | 32.9 | 35.4 | 22.1 | 32.9 | 42.7 | 28.2 | 26.8 | 19.6 | 9.2 |
| 39 Deposits and currency | 148.6 | 152.2 | 151.4 | 180.0 | 221.7 | 179.4 | 185.5 | 222.6 | 220.7 | 166.2 | 192.1 | 243.2 |
| 40 Currency. | 8.3 | 9.3 | 7.9 | 10.3 | 9.5 | 8.4 | 9.7 | 8.0 | 11.0 | 4.5 | 12.3 | 14.7 |
| 41 Checkable deposits | 17.2 | 16.2 | 18.7 | 5.0 | 18.1 | 13.0 | 9.9 | 29.8 | 6.5 | 6.7 | 19.1 | 61.3 |
| 42 Small time and savings accounts | 93.6 | 65.9 | 59.2 | 83.1 | 47.2 | 137.0 | 90.2 | 30.7 | 63.6 | 95.1 | 178.6 | 305.8 |
| 43 Money market fund shares | . 2 | 6.9 | 34.4 | 29.2 | 107.5 | 24.7 | $-3.4$ | 104.1 | 110.8 | 39.4 | 10.0 | -84.0 |
| 44 Large time deposits | 25.7 2.2 | 44.47.5 | 23.0 | 44.7 | 36.4 | $-5.2$ | 69.8 | 41.6 | 31.2 | 21.2 | -31.6 | -73.5 |
| 45 Security RPs ......... | 1.3 |  | 1.5 | 6.5 | 2.5 | 3.8 | 7.8 | 7.7 | -2.6 | 1.1 | 6.6 | 13.7 |
| Deposits in foreign countries |  | 2.0 |  | 1.1 | . 5 | -2.4 | 1.7 | . 8 | . 2 | -1.8 | -2.9 | 5.2 |
| 47 Total of credit market instruments, deposits and currency | 189.1 | 225.8 | 270.3 | 254.4 | 308.9 | 279.1 | 287.5 | 303.3 | 314.5 | 244.9 | 314.5 | 353.2 |
| 48 Public holdings as percent of total. | 23.893.9 | 25.3 | 18.5 | 26.2 | 24.1 | 26.6 | 19.4 | 26.8 | 21.1 | 29.2 | 24.4 | 23.6 |
| Private financial intermediation (in percent)Total foreign funds . .................. |  | 89.3 | 77.7 | 82.4 | 86.1 | 74.0 | 78.3 | 89.2 | 83.0 | 84.4 | 65.4 | 7.49.2 |
|  | 41.0 | 44.6 | 23.0 | 1.5 | 7.3 | -10.2 | 6.0 | 27.8 | -13.1 | 1.0 | -21.3 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{52}$ Total net issues. . . . ${ }^{\text {atual }}$ | 6.5 .9 | 1.9 -.1 | -3.8 .1 | 5.2 | 6.8 | 18.6 | 4.6 | 10.2 8.1 | 5.6 | 13.2 | 24.0 | 38.5 |
| 53 Other equities | 5.6 | 1.9 | -3.9 | 17.1 | -10.6 | 16.8 | 23.3 | 2.1 | -23.2 | 10.6 | 23.0 | 42.3 |
| 54 Acquisitions by financial institutions. | 7.4 | 4.5 | 9.7 | 16.8 | 22.1 | 27.9 | 22.3 | 25.3 | 18.9 | 19.3 | 36.4 | 66.3 |
| 55 Other net purchases. | -. 9 | -2.7 | -13.5 | 5.4 | -25.9 | 7.5 | 5.7 | -15.1 | -36.6 | 4.4 | 10.6 | 14.5 |

Notes by line number

1. Line 1 of table 1.58 .
2. Sum of lines 3-6 or 7-10
3. Includes farm and commercial mortgages
4. Credit market funds raised by federally sponsored credit agencies, and net issues of federally related mortgage pool securities.
5. Line 1 less line 2 plus line 11 and 12 . Also line 20 less line 27 plus line 33 . Also sum of lines 28 and 47 less lines 40 and 46
6. Includes farm and commercial mortgages.
7. Line 39 less lines 40 and 46.
8. Excludes equity issues and investment company shares. Includes line 19.
9. Foreign deposits at commercial banks, bank borrowings from foreign branches, and liabilities of foreign banking agencies to foreign affiliates.
10. Demand deposits at commercial banks.
11. Excludes net investment of these reserves in corporate equities.
12. Mainly retained earnings and net miscellaneous liabilities.
13. Line 12 less line 20 plus line 27 .
34- $\mathbf{3 8}$. Lines $14-18$ less amounts acquired by private finance. Line 38 includes mortgages.
14. Mainly an offset to line 9.
15. Lines 33 plus 39 , or line 13 less line 28 plus 40 and 46.
16. Line 2 fine 1 .
17. Line 20/line 13.
18. Sum of lines 10 and 29.

51, 53. Includes issues by financial institutions.
Note. Full statements for sectors and transaction types in flows and in amounts outstanding, may be obtained from Flow of Funds Section, Division of Research and Statistics, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551.

### 2.10 NONFINANCIAL BUSINESS ACTIVITY Selected Measures

$1967=100 ;$ monthly and quarterly data are seasonally adjusted. Exceptions noted.


1. The capacity utilization series has been revised back to January 1967.
2. Ratios of indexes of production to indexes of capacity. Based on data from Federal Reserve, McGraw-Hill Economics Department, Department of Com merce, and other sources.
3. Index of dollar value of total construction contracts, including residential nonresidential and heavy engineering, from McGraw-Hill Information Systems Company, F. W. Dodge Division.
4. Based on data in Employment and Earnings (U.S. Department of Labor) Series covers employees only, excluding personnel in the Armed Forces
5. Based on data in Survey of Current Business (U.S. Department of Com merce).
6. Based on Bureau of Census data published in Survey of Current Business.
7. Data without seasonal adjustment, as published in Monthly Labor Review, Seasonally adjusted data for changes in the price indexes may be obtained from the Bureau of Labor Statistics, U.S. Department of Labor

Note. Basic data (not index numbers) for series mentioned in notes 4, 5, and 6. and indexes for series mentioned in notes 3 and 7 may also be found in the Survey of Current Business.
Figures for industrial production for the last two months are preliminary and estimated, respectively.

### 2.11 OUTPUT, CAPACITY, AND CAPACITY UTILIZATION

 Seasonally adjusted

### 2.11 Continued

| Series | Previous cycle ${ }^{1}$ |  | Latest cycle ${ }^{2}$ |  | 1982 | 1983 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | High | Low | High | Low | Sept. | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June ${ }^{r}$ | July | Aug. ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | Sept. |
|  | Capacity utilization rate (percent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 Total industry | 88.4 | 71.1 | 87.3 | 76.5 | 71.1 | 70.7 | 71.0 | 71.8 | 73.1 | 73.9 | 74.8 | 76.3 | 77.1 | 78.1 |
| 16 Mining. . | 91.8 | 86.0 | 88.5 | 84.0 | 69.6 | 73.8 | 69.9 | 68.1 | 67.5 | 68.2 | 68.1 | 69.5 | 70.2 | 70.9 |
| 17 Utilities. | 94.9 | 82.0 | 86.7 | 83.8 | 81.0 | 78.4 | 77.7 | 79.4 | 80.9 | 80.9 | 80.8 | 83.6 | 84.3 | 83.1 |
| 18 Manufacturing | 87.9 | 69.0 | 87.5 | 75.5 | 70.6 | 70.0 | 70.6 | 71.6 | 72.9 | 73.8 | 74.9 | 76.3 | 77.1 | 78.4 |
| 19 Primary processing. | 93.7 | 68.2 | 91.4 | 72.6 | 69.0 | 68.6 | 70.8 | 72.1 | 73.4 | 74.6 | 75.7 | 77.0 | 78.2 | 79.4 |
| 20 Advanced processing | 85.5 | 69.4 | 85.9 | 77.0 | 71.4 | 70.9 | 70.8 | 71.5 | 72.5 | 73.4 | 74.4 | 75.9 | 76.5 | 77.8 |
| 21 Materials. | 92.6 | 69.3 | 88.9 | 74.2 | 69.0 | 68.7 | 70.1 | 71.5 | 72.5 | 73.5 | 74.4 | 76.4 | 77.4 | 78.4 |
| 22 Durable goods... | 91.4 | 63.5 | 88.4 | 68.4 | 63.2 | 62.3 | 64.2 | 66.0 | 67.7 | 68.9 | 70.0 | 72.0 | 73.4 | 74.8 |
| 23 Metal materials | 97.8 | 68.0 | 95.4 | 59.4 | 52.6 | 53.3 | 56.1 | 58.8 | 59.9 | 61.0 | 61.2 | 62.2 | 63.8 | 65.2 |
| 24 Nondurable goods. .. | 94.4 | 67.4 | 91.7 | 77.5 | 73.3 | 73.4 | 75.3 | 76.8 | 77.2 | 78.7 | 79.6 | 80.4 | 81.2 | 82.6 |
| 25 Textile. paper, and chemical ..... | 95.1 | 65.4 | 92.3 | 75.5 | 71.3 | 71.4 | 74.1 | 75.8 | 76.4 | 78.1 | 79.2 | 80.1 | 80.8 | 82.1 |
| 26 Paper. | 99.4 | 72.4 | 97.9 | 89.8 | 90.8 | 90.9 | 90.8 | 90.3 | 91.0 | 92.9 | 93.1 | 96.7 | 95.5 | n.a. |
| 27 Chemical. | 95.5 | 64.2 | 91.3 | 70.7 | 66.5 | 66.4 | 69.9 | 71.9 | 72.6 | 74.0 | 75.3 | 75.6 | 76.2 | п.a. |
| 28 Energy materials | 94.5 | 84.4 | 88.7 | 84.4 | 79.1 | 80.1 | 79.2 | 79.2 | 78.9 | 78.5 | 78.8 | 82.7 | 82.7 | 81.8 |

1. Monthly high 1973; monthly low 1975.
2. Preliminary; monthly highs December 1978 through January 1980; monthly lows July through October 1980.

### 2.12 LABOR FORCE, EMPLOYMENT, AND UNEMPLOYMENT

Thousands of persons; monthly data are seasonally adjusted. Exceptions noted.

| Category | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug. | Sept. |
| Household Survey Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Noninstitutional population ${ }^{1}$ | 169,847 | 172,272 | 174,451 | 175,850 | 175,996 | 176,151 | 176,320 | 176,498 | 176,648 | 176,811 |
| 2 Labor force (including Armed Forces) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 109,042 | 110,812 | 112.384 | 112.678 | 112.988 | 112.947 | 114,127 | 114,067 | 114,469 | 114.577 |
| 3 Civilian labor force Employment | 106,940 | 108,670 | 110,204 | 110,484 | 110.786 | 110,749 | 111,932 | 111.875 | 112,261 | 112,368 |
| 4 Nonagricultural industries ${ }^{2}$. | 95.938 | 97,030 | 96,125 | 95,729 | 96,088 | 96,190 | 97,264 | 97,758 | 98,074 | 98,655 |
| 5 Agriculture............. | 3.364 | 3.368 | 3.401 | 3.375 | 3,371 | 3.367 | 3.522 | 3.527 | 3.489 | 3.290 |
| 6 Number...... | 7,637 | 8,273 | 10,678 | 11.381 | 11,328 | 11.192 | 11.146 | 10.590 | 10,699 | 10.423 |
| 7 Rate (percent of civilian labor force) | 7.1 | 7.6 | 62.987 | 10.3 63.172 | 10.2 63.008 | 10.1 | 10.0 62 | 92.5 | 9.5 62.179 | 6.9.3 |
| 8 Not in labor force | 60.805 | 61.460 | 62.067 | 63,172 | 63,008 | 63,204 | 62.193 | 62,431 | 62,179 | 62,234 |
| Establishment Survey Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 Nonagricultural payroll employment ${ }^{3}$ | 90,406 | 91.156 | 89,596 | 88,814 | 89,101 | 89,421 | 89,844 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | $90.152^{r}$ | 89,735 ${ }^{\prime}$ | 90,468 |
| 10 Manufacturing. | 20,285 | 20,170 | 18.853 | 18.267 | 18.376 | 18.493 | $18.582^{*}$ | $18.733^{r}$ | $18.785^{r}$ | 18,854 |
| 11 Mining | 1,027 | 1,132 | 1,122 | 1,006 | 997 | 994 | 1,603 ${ }^{r}$ | 1,017r | $1.025 r$ | 1,023 |
| 12 Contract construction | 4,346 | 4,176 | 3.912 | 3.757 | 3.786 | 3,860 | 3,933r | $3.974^{\prime \prime}$ | $4.022^{r}$ | 4.050 |
| 13 Transportation and public utilities | 5.146 | 5,157 | 5.057 | 4.963 | 4,988 | 4.993 | 4,992 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 4,984r | 4,343r | 5,015 |
| 14 Trade | 20,310 | 20.551 | 20,547 | 20,350 | 20.329 | 20,356 | 20,494 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 20,529r | $20.591^{r}$ | 20.494 |
| 15 Finance | 5.160 | 5.301 | 5.350 | 5,391 | 5.423 | 5.435 | 5.451 | $5.465^{r}$ | $5.488^{\prime}$ | 5.485 |
| 16 Service. | 17.890 | 20.547 | 20.401 | 19.356 | 19.478 | 19.546 | $19.668^{r}$ | $19.770{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $19.829{ }^{1}$ | 19.889 |
| 17 Government | 16.241 | 16.024 | 15.784 | 15.724 | 15,724 | 15,744 | $15.721^{r}$ | $15.680^{r}$ | $15.652^{r}$ | 15.658 |

I. Persons 16 years of age and over. Monthly figures, which are based on sample data, relate to the calendar week that contains the 12th day; annual data are averages of monthly figures. By definition, seasonality does not exist in population figures. Based on data from Employment and Earnings (U.S. Department of Labor).
2. Includes self-employed, unpaid family, and domestic service workers.
3. Data include all full- and part-time employees who worked during, or received pay for, the pay period that includes the 12th day of the month, and exclude proprietors, self-employed persons. domestic servants, unpaid family workers, and members of the Armed Forces. Data are adjusted to the March 1983 benchmark and only seasonally adjusted data are available at this time. Based on data from Entployment and Earnings (U.S. Department of Labor).

### 2.13 INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTION Indexes and Gross Value

Monthly data are seasonally adjusted

2.13 Continued

| Grouping | $\underset{\text { code }}{\text { SIC }}$ | 1967 <br> pro- <br> por- <br> tion | $\begin{aligned} & 1982 \\ & \text { avg. } \end{aligned}$ | 1982 |  |  |  | 1983 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June ${ }^{r}$ | July | Aug. ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | Sept.e |
|  |  |  |  | Index (1967 = 100) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Major Industry |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Mining and utilities. |  | 12.05 | 146.3 | 139.7 | 140.4 | 140.4 | 140.1 | 141.3 | 141.7 | 137.7 | 138.9 | 139.7 | 139.6 | 143.8 | 145.3 | 145.0 |
| 2 Mining. |  | 6.36 | 126.1 | 114.7 | 115.9 | 116.8 | 118.4 | 121.9 | 114.5 | 112.6 | 111.6 | 112.8 | 112.6 | 114.9 | 116.1 | 117.3 |
| 3 Utilities. |  | 5.69 | 168.7 | 167.5 | 167.8 | 166.7 | 164.2 | 163.1 | 171.9 | 165.8 | 169.3 | 169.7 | 169.8 | 176.0 | 177.9 | 175.9 |
| 4 Electric |  | 3.88 | 190.5 | 188.2 | 188.4 | 188.3 | 185.6 | 184.4 | 191.6 | 188.2 | 192.7 | 192.9 | 192.0 | 201.1 | 203.6 | 200.4 |
| 5 Manufacturing |  | 87.95 | 137.6 | 137.1 | 135.0 | 134.0 | 134.5 | 136.7 | 138.0 | 140.4 | 143.1 | 145.1 | 147.4 | 150.4 | 152.3 | 155.0 |
| 6 Nondurable |  | 35.97 | 156.2 | 156.7 | 156.2 | 155.3 | 155.6 | 157.4 | 157.5 | 160.7 | 163.3 | 165.4 | 167.8 | 170.2 | 172.1 | 174.2 |
| 7 Durable. |  | 51.98 | 124.7 | 123.5 | 120.3 | 119.3 | 119.9 | 122.5 | 124.5 | 126.3 | 129.1 | 131.0 | 133.2 | 136.8 | 138.6 | 141.7 |
| 8 Mining | 10 | . 51 | 82.4 | 55.4 | 63.1 | 70.4 | 74.9 | 81.7 | 71.2 | 75.2 | 79.8 | 84.4 | 82.9 | 82.5 | 82.2 |  |
| 9 Coal. | 11.12 | . 69 | 142.7 | 127.9 | 143.2 | 134.1 | 129.7 | 144.8 | 135.0 | 127.3 | 125.3 | 125.6 | 124.6 | 139.9 | 141.2 | 140.2 |
| 10 Oil and gas extraction | 13 | 4.40 | 131.1 | 121.0 | 119.1 | 120.3 | 122.9 | 124.6 | 117.5 | 114.4 | 112.2 | 112.5 | 112.6 | 114.1 | 115.2 | 116.7 |
| 11 Stone and earth minerals. | 14 | . 75 | 112.1 | 106.3 | 108.5 | 111.9 | 111.7 | 112.8 | 108.1 | 114.0 | 117.7 | 122.5 | 121.7 | 118.9 | 121.6 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 Foods | 20 | 8.75 | 151.1 | 149.0 | 151.5 | 152.0 | 152.8 | 154.4 | 147.0 | 152.0 | 153.7 | 355.6 | 157.7 | 159.3 |  |  |
| 13 Tobacco products | 21 | . 67 | 118.0 | 113.3 | 110.6 | 113.0 | 109.9 | 104.7 | 115.9 | 113.4 | 114.8 | 112.9 | 120.0 | 112.9 |  |  |
| 14 Textile mill products | 22 | 2.68 | 124.5 | 126.1 | 125.9 | 123.1 | 122.2 | 125.8 | 128.7 | 131.9 | 136.6 | 139.6 | 141.8 | 146.7 | 149.3 |  |
| 15 Apparel products | 23 26 | 3.31 3.21 | 150.8 | 154.3 | 155.0 | 154.5 | 151.1 | 158.8 | 160.9 | 156.3 | 157.0 | 161.5 | 163.0 | 165.0 | 167.3 | 168.9 |
| 17 Printing and publishing | 27 | 4.72 | 144.1 | 144.3 | 142.0 | 141.7 | 142.8 | 141.3 | 135.8 | 145.9 | 145.7 | 145.2 | 147.4 | 151.0 | 154.3 | 156.2 |
| 18 Chemicals and products | 28 | 7.74 | 196.1 | 196.4 | 194.1 | 192.8 | 195.9 | 197.6 | 200.0 | 205.7 | 208.5 | 211.0 | 214.7 | 217.6 | 220.2 |  |
| 19 Petroleum products | 29 | 1.79 | 121.8 | 122.6 | 123.8 | 120.0 | 118.7 | 113.5 | 108.6 | 114.8 | 120.6 | 123.8 | 123.0 | 125.2 | 123.1 | 127.2 |
| 20 Rubber and plastic products | 30 | 2.24 | 254.7 | 262.0 | 256.3 | 250.2 | 249.7 | 256.2 | 275.2 | 272.0 | 283.0 | 288.0 | 293.8 | 296.1 | 303.7 |  |
| 21 Leather and products. . | 31 | . 86 | 60.9 | 60.9 | 59.5 | 57.7 | 56.0 | 59.5 | 64.1 | 59.4 | 58.7 | 59.6 | 60.1 | 62.3 | 62.9 |  |
| 22 Ordnance, private and government | 19.91 | 3.64 | 86.9 | 86.9 | 89.5 | 91.9 | 92.5 | 93.5 | 93.4 | 91.9 | 93.2 | 92.6 | 93.3 | 95.2 |  | 97.9 |
|  | 24 | 1.64 | 112.6 | 119.9 | 117.2 | 119.1 | 121.4 | 130.0 | 130.5 | 128.7 | 132.1 | 135.8 | 137.4 | 141.3 | 144.5 |  |
| 24 Furniture and fixtures | 25 | 1.37 | 151.9 | 155.7 | 154.3 | 152.4 | 153.7 | 150.0 | 162.5 | 161.0 | 167.7 | 169.6 | 173.1 | 174.9 | 175.6 |  |
| 25 Clay, glass, stone products. | 32 | 2.74 | 128.2 | 130.4 | 128.1 | 127.3 | 125.4 | 128.0 | 124.8 | 135.6 | 138.3 | 139.2 | 141.7 | 145.8 | 149.7 |  |
| 26 Primary metals | 33 | 6.57 | 75.3 | 73.2 | 69.6 | 63.6 | 63.5 | 73.1 | 79.4 | 81.2 | 83.1 | 84.9 | 84.8 | 85.5 | 87.4 | 89.8 |
| 27 Iron and steel | 331.2 | 4.21 | 61.7 | 56.4 | 54.1 | 47.5 | 46.6 | 59.0 | 64.3 | 66.9 | 68.5 | 69.5 | 69.7 | 71.8 | 74.6 |  |
| 28 Fabricated metal products | 34 | 5.93 | 114.8 | 112.3 | 107.6 | 107.0 | 107.3 | 107.6 | 112.3 | 113.9 | 115.3 | 115.5 | 118.5 | 122.5 | 125.1 | 127.6 |
| 29 Nonelectrical machinery. | 35 | 9.15 | 149.0 | 144.9 | 140.4 | 139.6 | 139.2 | 138.0 | 137.1 | 138.6 | 143.1 | 146.1 | 149.5 | 154.2 | 157.1 | 160.6 |
| 30 Electrical machinery | 36 | 8.05 | 169.3 | 167.0 | 165.4 | 165.5 | 165.5 | 169.5 | 170.1 | 173.8 | 177.2 | 180.1 | 182.4 | 188.2 | 187.9 | 193.9 |
| 31 Transportation equipment | 37 | 9.27 | 104.9 | 105.3 | 100.8 | 100.2 | 103.7 | 106.3 | 110.5 | 110.1 | 111.4 | 113.8 | 116.6 | 119.7 | 121.4 | 123.6 |
| 32 Motor vehicles and parts | 371 | 4.50 | 109.8 | 113.5 | 103.0 | 101.7 | 108.8 | 113.9 | 124.8 | 123.2 | 125.5 | 130.4 | 136.2 | 142.3 | 145.1 | 148.9 |
| transportation equipment <br> 34 lnstruments | $\begin{array}{r} 372-9 \\ 38 \\ 39 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.77 \\ & 2.11 \end{aligned}$ | 100.4 | 97.6 | 98.6 | 98.7 | 98.9 | 99.1 | 97.0 | 97.7 | 98.1 | 98.1 | 98.1 | 98.5 | 99.1 | 99.8 |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 16.9 \\ 137.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 161.9 \\ & 132.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 157.4 \\ & 129.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 155.8 \\ & 129.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 155.2 \\ & 128.2 \end{aligned}$ | 154.5 | 151.6 | 154.0 | 155.1 | 156.0 | 156.1 | 159.3 | 162.3 | 165.8 |
| 34 Instruments. <br> 35 Miscellaneous manufactures. |  | 1.51 |  |  |  |  |  | 131.3 | 130.6 | 136.9 | 145.0 | 149.0 | 151.0 | 153.7 | 153.8 | 155.6 |
|  | Gross value (billions of 1972 dollars, annual rates) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Major Market |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36 Products, total . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |  | 507.4 | 579.6 | 575.3 | 570.0 | 568.4 | 572.9 | 578.1 | 578.4 | 584.1 | 592.6 | 601.8 | 610.5 | 619.4 | 623.7 | 636.0 |
| 37 Final |  | 390.9 | 451.1 | 446.3 | 442.8 | 441.3 | 445.8 | 448.3 | 447.3 | 451.3 | 457.7 | 465.6 | 471.8 | 477.8 | 479.7 | 490.3 |
| 38 Consumer goods |  | 277.5 | 308.0 | 309.3 | 306.6 | 305.6 | 306.8 | 310.9 | 312.0 | 313.8 | 318.8 | 325.6 | 330.4 | 333.4 | 335.5 | 341.4 |
| 39 Equipment |  | 113.4 | 143.1 | 137.0 | 136.2 | 135.7 | 138.9 | 137.4 | 135.3 | 137.5 | 138.9 | 140.0 | 141.4 | 144.4 | 144.2 | 148.9 |
| 40 Intermediate. |  | 116.6 | 128.5 | 129.0 | 127.2 | 127.1 | 127.1 | 129.8 | 131.1 | 132.8 | 134.9 | 136.2 | 138.7 | 141.6 | 144.0 | 145.7 |

1. 1972 dollar value.

### 2.14 HOUSING AND CONSTRUCTION

Monthly figures are at seasonally adjusted annual rates except as noted.


## 1. Not at annual rates

. Not seasonally adjusted
3. Value of new construction data in recent periods may not be strictly comparable with data in prior periods because of changes by the Bureau of the Census in its estimating techniques. For a description of these changes see Construction Reports (C-30-76-5), issued by the Bureau in July 1976.

Note. Census Bureau estimates for all series except (a) mobile homes, which are private, domestic shipments as reported by the Manufactured Housing Institute and seasonally adjusted by the Census Bureau, and (b) sales and prices of existing units, which are published by the National Association of Realtors. All back and current figures are available from originating agency. Permit authorizations are those reported to the Census Bureau from 16,000 jurisdictions beginning with 1978.

### 2.15 CONSUMER AND PRODUCER PRICES

Percentage changes based on seasonally adjusted data, except as noted

| hem | Change from 12 months earlier |  | Change from 3 months earlier (at annual rate) |  |  |  | Change from I month earlier |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Index } \\ \text { level } \\ \text { Aug. } \\ 1983 \\ (1967 \\ =100)^{1} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1982 \\ & \text { Aug. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1983 \\ & \text { Aug. } \end{aligned}$ | 1982 |  | 1983 |  | 1983 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Sept. | Dec. | Mar. | June | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug. |  |
| Consumer Prjces ${ }^{2}$ |  | 2.6 | 4.1 | . 5 | . 4 | 5.4 | . 6 | . 5 | . 2 | . 4 | . 4 | 300.3 |
| 1 All items |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Food | 3.6 | 1.7 | . 6 | . 8 | 2.8 | 1.7 | . 4 | . 3 | -. 3 | -. 1 | . 2 | 292.2 |
| 3 Energy items | 2.0 | 1.2 | 8.1 | 10.2 | -25.1 | 21.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | . 3 | . 3 | . 7 | 429.8 |
| 4 All items less food and energy | 7.1 | 3.0 | 4.7 | $-.3$ | 4.4 | 3.9 | . 4 | . 3 | . 3 | . 6 | . 5 | 288.2 |
| 5 Commodities. | 5.7 8.2 | 4.5 | 2.4 | 5.4 -4.8 | 5.7 3.7 | 2.9 4.6 | .1 | $\cdot \frac{2}{3}$ | . 4 | . 7 | . 5 | 244.2 339.3 |
| Producer Prices |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 Finished goods. | 4.0 | 1.4 | 4.2 | 5.2 | -4.7 | 2.9 | . $0^{r}$ | . ${ }^{2}$ | . 5 | 1 | . 4 | 286.2 |
| 8 Consumer foods. | 1.3 | . 5 | $-7.7$ | . 8 | 4.1 | -. 3 | 1.1 | -. 5 | -. 6 | -. 6 | . 4 | 261.0 |
| 9 Consumer energy | . 3 | $-5.4$ | 30.9 | 7.0 | $-35.5$ | 12.0 | -2.5r | 2.3 | 3.2 | . 2 | . 3 | 798.8 |
| 10 Other consumer goods | 5.6 | 3.1 | 4.2 | 7.9 | -2.0 | 2.5 | . 1 | . ${ }^{0}$ | . 5 | . 5 | . 2 | 240.6 |
| 11 Capital equipment.... | 5.6 | 2.6 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 2.0 | 2.1 | $-.1^{r}$ | . 4 r | . 2 | . 1 | . 7 | 288.0 |
| 12 Intermediate materials ${ }^{3}$ | . 5 | 1.0 | 2.3 | 1.5 | -4.7 | 3.6 | -. 6 r | . 6 r | . 9 | . 3 | . 4 | 319.2 |
| 13 Excluding energy... | . 8 | 2.3 | 1.0 | 1.0 | . 8 | 2.8 | -. 1 | . 4 | 4 | . 3 | . 4 | 295.9 |
| Crude materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 Foods.. | -4.7 | 2.8 | -26.4 | 1.3 | 18.1 | . 8 | 3.0 | -1.2 | -1.6 | -2.6 | 3.9 | 256.6 |
| 15 Energy... | 1.9 | -2.0 | 8.7 | 6.4 | -9.2 | -4.8 | -1.0 | $-.2^{\prime}$ | . 0 | -. 6 | -. 2 | 785.9 |
| 16 Other.. | -13.3 | 9.6 | 2.9 | -8.0 | -16.2 | 59.3 | $2.5{ }^{r}$ | $4.8{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 4.6 | 2.2 | 1.0 | 255.7 |

1. Not seasonally adjusted.
2. Figures for consumer prices are those for all urban consumers and reflect a rental equivalence measure of homeownership after 1982.
3. Excludes intermediate materials for food manufacturing and manufactured animal feeds.

Source. Bureau of Labor Statistics.

### 2.16 GROSS NATIONAL PRODUCT AND INCOME

Billions of current dollars except as noted; quarterly data are at seasonally adjusted annual rates.


1. With inventory valuation and capital consumption adjustments.
2. With capital consumption adjustment.
3. For after-tax profits, dividends, and the like, see table 1.48.

Source. Survey of Current Business (Department of Commerce).

### 2.17 PERSONAL INCOME AND SAVING

Billions of current dollars; quarterly data are at seasonally adjusted annual rates. Exceptions noted.


1. With inventory valuation and capital consumption adjustments.
2. With capital consumption adjustment.

### 3.10 U.S. INTERNATIONAL TRANSACTIONS Summary

Millions of dollars; quarterly data are seasonally adjusted except as noted.'

| Item credits or debits | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1982 |  |  | 1983 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Q1' | Q2P |
| ${ }_{2}^{1}$ Balance on current account | 421 | 4,592 | -11,211 | 1,434 2,218 | $-6,596$ $-8,143$ | $-6,621$ $-5,546$ | $\begin{aligned} & -3,587 \\ & -3,395 \end{aligned}$ | -9.712 -8.942 |
| 3 Merchandise trade balance ${ }^{2}$ | -25,544 | -28,067 | --36,389 | $-5,854$ | -13,078 | -11,354 | -8,810 | -14.661 |
| 4 Merchandise exports | 224,237 | 237,019 | 211,217 | 54,996 | 52,241 | 48,344 | 49,506 | 48,913 |
| 5 Merchandise imports. | -249,781 | -265.086 | -247,606 | $-60,850$ | -65,319 | -59,698 | -58,316 | -63,574 |
| 6 Military transactions, net | -2,286 | -1,355 | . 179 | 201 | 54 | $-26$ | 516 | 201 |
| 7 Investment income, net ${ }^{3}$ | 29,570 | 33,484 | 27,304 | 7,536 | 6,821 | 6,008 | 5,089 | 5,933 |
| 8 Other service transactions, net. | 5,738 | 7,462 | 5,729 | 1,353 | 1,349 | 1,182 | 1,179 | 653 |
| 9 Remittances, pensions, and other transfers | -2,347 | -2.382 | -2,621 | -702 | -656 | -661 | -608 | -640 |
| 10 U.S. government grants (excluding military). | -4,709 | -4,549 | -5.413 | $-1.100$ | -1,086 | -1,770 | -953 | -1,198 |
| 11 Change in U.S. government assets, other than official reserve assets, net (increase, -) | -5,140 | -5,078 | -5,732 | - 1,489 | -2,502 | -934 | -1,053 | -1,126 |
| 12 Change in U.S. official reserve assets (increase, -) | -8,155 | -5.175 | -4,965 | -1.132 | -794 | -1,949 | -787 | 16 |
| 13 Gold. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {a }}$. |  |  |  |  | 0 -434 |  | 0 -98 | 0 -303 |
| 14 Special drawing rights (SDRs) ${ }_{15}$ Reserve position in International Monetary Fund | -16 $-1,667$ | $\begin{array}{r}-1,823 \\ -2,491 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $-1,371$ $-2,552$ | -241 <br> -814 | -434 | -297 <br> -732 | -98 $-2,139$ | -303 -212 |
| 16 Foreign currencies . . . . . . . . . . . . | -6,472 | -861 | -1,041 | -77 | 99 | -920 | 1,450 | 531 |
| 17 Change in U.S. private assets abroad (increase, -$)^{3}$ | -72.757 | -100,348 | -107,348 | $-38.313$ | -22,803 | -16,670 | -19,859 | -259 |
| 18 Bank-reported claims. | -46,838 | -83,851 | - 109.346 | -38.653 | -20.631 | -17,511 | -15,935 | 3.547 |
| 19 Nonbank-reported claims | -3.174 | -1,181 | 6,976 | -277 | 998 | 2,337 | -2,374 | n.a. |
| 20 U.S. purchase of foreign securities, net | -3.524 | -5,636 | -7,986 | -546 | -3,331 | -3,527 | -1,808 | -3,222 |
| 21 U.S. direct investments abroad, net ${ }^{3}$ | $-19,221$ | -9,680 | 3,008 | 1,163 | 161 | 2,031 | 258 | -584 |
| 22 Change in foreign official assets in the United States (increase, + ) | 15,566 | 5.430 | 3,172 5 | 1,930 | 2.642 | 1,661 | 49 | 2,686 |
| 23 U.S. Treasury securities . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 9,708 | 4.983 | 5,759 | -2,094 | 4,834 | 4.346 | 3,008 | 2,012 |
| 24 Other U.S. government obligations | 2,187 | 1,289 | -670 | 258 | -71 | -556 | -371 | -164 |
| 25 Other U.S. government liabilities ${ }^{\text {d }}$. | 685 | - 288 | - 504 | 459 | -160 | 130 | -270 | 332 |
| 26 Other U.S. liabilities reported by U.S. banks............. | -159 | -3,479 | -2,054 | 3,271 | $-1,911$ | -1,717 | -1,939 | 1,333 |
| 27 Other foreign official assets ${ }^{5}$. | 3,145 | 2,665 | -367 | 36 | -50 | -542 | -379 | -827 |
| 28 Change in foreign private assets in the United States (increase, +$)^{3}$ | 39,356 | 75,248 | 84,693 | 29,683 | 14,971 | 9,856 | 16,404 | 8,016 |
| 29 U.S. bank-reported liabilities . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 10,743 | 42,154 | 64,263 | 24,778 | 10,977 | 2,823 | 10.588 | 1,128 |
| 30 U.S. nonbank-reported liabilities | 6,845 | 942 | -3,104 | -2,517 | -425 | 20 | -2,136 | n.a. |
| 31 Foreign private purchases of U.S. Treasury securities, net | 2,645 | 2,982 | 7.004 | 2,095 | 1,364 | 2,257 | 2.912 | 2,934 |
| 32 Foreign purchases of other U.S. securities, net .......... | 5,457 | 7,171 | 6.141 | 2.434 | 420 | 1.975 | 2,986 | 2,464 |
| 33 Foreign direct investments in the United States, net ${ }^{3}$ | 13,666 | 21,998 | 10,390 | 2.893 | 2,635 | 2.781 | 2,054 | 1.490 |
| Allocation of SDRs. <br> Discrepancy Owing to seasonal adjustments Statistical discrepancy in recorded data before seasonal adjustment | 1,152 | 1,093 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 29,556 | 24,238 | 41,390 | 7,887 | 15,082 | 14,657 | 8,833 | 379 |
|  |  |  |  | 881 | -1.190 | 1,042 | -212 | 801 |
|  | 29,556 | 24.238 | 41,390 | 7,006 | 16,272 | 13,615 | 9,045 | -422 |
| мемо: <br> Changes in official assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 38 U.S. official reserve assets (increase, -) | -8.155 | -5,175 | -4,965 | -1,132 | -794 | -1,949 | -787 | 16 |
| 39 Foreign official assets in the United States (increase, +) | 14,881 | 5,458 | 2,668 | 1,47! | 2,802 | 1,531 | 319 | 2,354 |
| 40 Change in Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries official assets in the United States (part of line 22 above) | 12,769 | 13,581 | 7,420 | 3,024 | 368 | -1,162 | -1,397 | -3,349 |
| 41 Transfers under military grant programs (excluded from lines 4,6 , and 10 above) | 756 | 680 | 644 | 125 | 267 | 158 | 42 | 30 |

1. Seasonal factors are no longer calculated for lines 12 through 41
2. Data are on an international accounts (IA) basis. Differs from the Census basis data, shown in table 3.11, for reasons of coverage and timing; military exports are excluded from merchandise data and are included in line 6 .
3. Includes reinvested earnings of incorporated affiliates.
4. Primarily associated with military sales contracts and other transactions arranged with or through foreign official agencies.
5. Consists of investments in U.S. corporate stocks and in debt securities of private corporations and state and local governments.

Note. Data are from Bureau of Economic Analysis, Survey of Current Business (Department of Commerce).

### 3.11 U.S. FOREIGN TRADE

Millions of dollars; monthly data are seasonally adjusted.


Note. The data through 1981 in this table are reported by the Bureau of Census data of a free-alongside-ship (f.a.s.) value basis-that is, value at the port of export. Beginning in 1981, foreign trade of the U.S. Virgin Islands is included in the Census basis trade data; this adjustment has been made for all data shown in the table. Beginning with 1982 data, the value of imports are on a customs valuation basis.
The Census basis data differ from merchandise trade data shown in table 3.10 , U.S. International Transactions Summary, for reasons of coverage and timing. On the export side, the largest adjustments are: (1) the addition of exports to Canada
not covered in Census statistics, and (2) the exclusion of military sales (which are combined with other military transactions and reported separately in the "service account" in table 3.10 , line 6). On the import side, additions are made for gold, ship purchases, imports of electricity from Canada, and other transactions; military payments are excluded and shown separately as indicated above.

Source. FT900 "Summary of U.S. Export and Import Merchandise Trade" (Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census).

### 3.12 U.S. RESERVE ASSETS

Millions of dollars, end of period

| Type | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug. | Sept. |
| 1 Total. | 26,756 | 30,075 | 33,958 | 34,261 | 34,173 | 33,931 | 33,876 | 33,373 | 32,624 | 33,066 |
| 2 Gold stock, including Exchange Stabilization Fund ${ }^{1}$ | 11,160 | 11,151 | 11,148 | 11,138 | 11,132 | 11,132 | 11,131 | 11,131 | 11.128 | 11,128 |
| 3 Special drawing rights ${ }^{2.3}$ | 2,610 | 4.095 | 5.250 | 5,229 | 5.192 | 5.525 | 5.478 | 5.496 | 5,543 | 5,628 |
| 4 Reserve position in International Monetary Fund ${ }^{2}$. | 2,852 | 5,055 | 7,348 | 9,293 | 9,284 | 9.424 | 9,413 | 9.475 | 9,296 ${ }^{\prime}$ | 9,399 |
| 5 Foreign currencies ${ }^{4.5}$. | 10,134 | 9,774 | 10,212 | 8.601 | 8,565 | 7,850 | 7,854 | 7,271 | 6,657 | 6,911 |

1. Gold held under earmark at Federal Reserve Banks for foreign and interna tional accounts is not included in the gold stock of the United States; see table 3.13. Gold stock is valued at $\$ 42.22$ per fine troy ounce.
2. Beginning July 1974, the IMF adopted a technique for valuing the SDR based on a weighted average of exchange rates for the currencies of member countries. From July 1974 through December 1980, 16 currencies were used; from January 1981 , 5 currencies have been used. The U.S. SDR holdings and reserve position in the IMF also are valued on this basis beginning July 1974.
3. Includes allocations by the International Monetary Fund of SDRs as follows: $\$ 867$ million on Jan. 1, 1970 : $\$ 717$ miltion on Jan. 1, 1971 : $\$ 710$ million on Jan 1 1972; \$1.139 million on Jan. 1, 1979: \$1,152 million on Jan. 1, 1980; and \$1,093 million on Jan. 1, 1981; plus transactions in SDRs
4. Valued at current market exchange rates.
5. Includes U.S. government securities held under repurchase agreement against receipt of foreign currencies in 1979 and 1980.

### 3.13 FOREIGN OFFICIAL ASSETS HELD AT FEDERAL RESERVE BANKS

Millions of dollars, end of period

| Assets | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug. | Sept. |
| 1 Deposits | 411 | 505 | 328 | 424 | 322 | 445 | 279 | 369 | 248 | 297 |
| $2{ }^{\text {Assets held in custody }}$ U.S. Treasury securities ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 102,417 | 104,680 | 112,544 | 114,999 | 114,880 | 115.401 | 114,499 | 118,105 | 113,476 | 113,498 |
| 3 Earmarked gold ${ }^{2}$. . . . . . | 14,965 | 14,804 | 14,716 | 14,726 | 14,723 | 14,727 | 14,724 | 14,727 | 14,693 | 14,621 |

1. Marketable U.S. Treasury bills, notes, and bonds; and nonmarketable U.S

Treasury securities payable in dollars and in foreign currencies.
2. Earmarked gold is valued at $\$ 42.22$ per fine troy ounce.

Note. Excludes deposits and U.S. Treasury securities held for international and regional organizations. Earmarked gold is gold held for foreign and international accounts and is not included in the gold stock of the United States.
3.14 FOREIGN BRANCHES OF U.S. BANKS Balance Sheet Data

Millions of dollars, end of period

| Asset account | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | Apr. | May | June | July ${ }^{\text {p }}$ |
|  | All foreign countries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Total, all currencies. | 401,135 | 462,847 | 469,367 | 462,112 | 458,201 | 465,332 | 453,219 | 452,173 | 465,770 | 455,871 |
| 2 Claims on United States | 28.460 | 63,743 | 91.768 | 89,695 | 87,476 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 93,718 | 91,262 | 91,888 | 97,689 | 97,205 |
| 3 Parent bank | 20,202 | 43,267 | 61,629 | 59,694 | 58,451 ${ }^{r}$ | 63,342 | 61,792 | 62,596 | 65,821 | 67.726 |
| 4 Other | 8,258 | 20,476 | 30,139 | 30,001 | 29,025 | 30,376 | 29,470 | 29,292 | 31,868 | 29,479 |
| 5 Claims on foreigners. | 354,960 | 378,954 | 358,195 | 352,906 | 351,456 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 352,623 | 343,994 | 342,240 | 350,007 | 340,716 |
| 6 Other branches of parent bank. | 77,019 | 87,821 | 91,143 | -89,488 | 89,772 | 89,099 | 84,839 | 86,436 | 88,352 | 84.891 |
| 7 Banks. | 146,448 | 150,763 | 133,577 | 131,028 | 129,218 | 132,310 | 127,290 | 123,997 | 130,356 | 123.423 |
| 8 Public borrowers | 28,033 | 28,197 | 24,090 | 24,602 | 24,734 | 24,715 | 25,114 | 25,547 | 25,443 | 25,864 |
| 9 Nonbank foreigners. | 103,460 | 112,173 | 109,385 | 107,788 | 107,732 | 106.499 | 106,751 | 106,260 | 105,856 | 106,538 |
| 10 Other assets | 17,715 | 20,150 | 19,404 | 19,51] | 19.269 | 18,991 | 17.963 | 18,045 | 18,074 | 17,950 |
| 11 Total payable in U.S. dollars | 291,798 | 350,735 | 361,647 | 354,749 | 350,562 | 356,641 | 344,541 | 343,771 | 357,405 | 350,505 |
| 12 Claims on United States | 27,191 | 62,142 | 90,048 | 88,001 | 85,868 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 91,281 | 88,985 | 89,532 | 95.414 | 94,749 |
| 13 Parent bank | 19,896 | 42,721 | 60.973 | 58,926 | 57,766 | 62,409 | 61,156 | 61.797 | 64,494 | 66,300 |
| 14 Other | 7,295 | 19.421 | 29,075 | 29.075 | 28,102 | 28,872 | 27.829 | 27.735 | 30,920 | 28.449 |
| 15 Claims on foreigners... | 255,391 58,541 | 276,937 69,398 | $\begin{array}{r}259.583 \\ 73 \\ \hline 10612\end{array}$ | 254,926 71,188 | 253,070 <br> 71.937 | 253.757 70.782 103.642 | 245,022 | $\begin{array}{r}243.838 \\ 6787 \\ \hline 9.787\end{array}$ | 251,400 69 | 244,982 67.182 |
| 17 Banks.............. | 117,342 | 122,110 | 106,275 | 103,596 | 100,830r | 103,642 | 98,603 | $96,013^{\prime}$ | 102,933 | 97,051 |
| 18 Public borrowers | 23,491 | 22,877 | 18,374 | 18,785 | 18,962 | 18,766 | 18,941 | 19,001 | 18,707 | 19,098 |
| 19 Nonbank foreigners. | 56,017 | 62,552 | 61,422 | 61,357 | 61,341 | 60,567 | 61,141 | 61,037 | 60.264 | 61,651 |
| 20 Other assets | 9,216 | 11,656 | 12,016 | 11,822 | 11,624 | 11,603 | 10,534 | 10,401 | 10,591 | 10,774 |
|  | United Kingdom |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 Total, all currencies. | 144,717 | 157,229 | 161,067 | 157,464 | 156,577 | 156,022 | 152,408 | 151,821 | 155,629 | 153,229 |
| 22 Claims on United States | 7,509 | 11,823 | 27.354 | 27,175 | 26,423 | 26,259 | 25.139 | 24,847 | 26,277 | 26,009 |
| 23 Parent bank | 5,275 | 7,885 | 23.017 | 22,539 | 21,962 | 21,912 | 20,657 | 20,456 | 21,382 | 20,846 |
| 24 Other | 2,234 | 3,938 | 4,337 | 4,636 | 4,461 | 4,347 | 4,482 | 4,391 | 4,895 | 5,163 |
| 25 Claims on foreigners. | 131,142 | 138,888 | 127.734 | 124,354 | 124,214 | 123,993 | 121,727 | 121,187 | 123,835 | 121,781 |
| 26 Other branches of parent bank | 34,760 | 41,367 | 37,000 | 34.959 | 35,437 | 36,171 | 32,973 | 33,361 | 35,787 | 25,636 |
| 27 Banks.. | 58,741 | 56,315 | 50,767 | 49,497 | 48,580 | 48,976 | 48,301 | 47,623 | 48,328 | 46.596 |
| 28 Public borrowers | 6,688 | 7,490 | 6.240 | 6,421 | 6.592 | 6,337 | 6,591 | 6,599 | 6.570 | 6,425 |
| 29 Nonbank foreigners. | 30,953 | 33,716 | 33,727 | 33.477 | 33,605 | 32,509 | 33,862 | 33,604 | 33,150 | 33,124 |
| 30 Other assets | 6,066 | 6,518 | 5.979 | 5.935 | 5,940 | 5,770 | 5,542 | 5,787 | 5.517 | 5,439 |
| 31 Total payable in U.S. dollars | 99,699 | 115,188 | 123,740 | 120,233 | 119,273 | 118,891 | 113,170 | 112,585 | 118,023 | 116,526 |
| 32 Claims on United States | 7,116 | 11,246 | 26,761 | 26,581 | 25,829 | 25,597 | 24,374 | 24,044 | 25,536 | 25,179 |
| 33 Parent bank | 5,229 | 7,721 | 22,756 | 22,250 | 21,700 | 21,626 | 20,354 | 20,092 | 21,017 | 20,433 |
| 34 Other. | 1,887 | 3,525 | 4.005 | 4,331 | 4.129 | 3,971 | 4.020 | 3,952 | 4,519 | 4,746 |
| 35 Claims on foreigners. | 89,723 | 99,850 | 92,228 | 89,137 | 88,973 | 88,797 | 84,981 | 84,779 | 88,587 | 87,447 |
| 36 Other branches of parent bank | 28,268 | 35,439 | 31,648 | 29,380 | 29,918 | 30,589 | 27,131 | 27,579 | 30,025 | 30.126 |
| 37 Banks. | 42,073 | 40.703 | 36.717 | 35,616 | 34,499 | 34,442 | 33,228 | 32,801 | 34,417 | 33,082 |
| 38 Public borrowers | 4.911 | 5,595 | 4,329 | 4,600 | 4,789 | 4.413 | 4,522 | 4.497 | 4.547 | 4.410 |
| 39 Nonbank foreigners. | 14,471 | 18,113 | 19,534 | 19,541 | 19,767 | 19.353 | 20,100 | 19.902 | 19,598 | 19,829 |
| 40 Other assets | 2,860 | 4,092 | 4,751 | 4,515 | 4,471 | 4,497 | 3,815 | 3,762 | 3,900 | 3,900 |
|  | Bahamas and Caymans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41 Total, all currencies | 123,837 | 149,108 | 145,091 | 142,115 | 138,730 | 145,663 | 142,049 | 140,941 | 146,792 | 142,433 |
| 42 Claims on United States | 17,751 | 46,546 | 59,403 | 57,302 | 56,225 | 62,576 | 61.417 | 62,526 | 66.355 | 66,289 |
| 43 Parent bank | 12,631 | 31,643 | 34,653 | 32,958 | 32,839 | 37.967 | 37.971 | 39,031 | 40,497 | 42,944 |
| 44 Other | 5,120 | 14,903 | 24,750 | 24,344 | 23,386 | 24,609 | 23,446 | 23,495 | 25,858 | 23,345 |
| 45 Claims on foreigners | 101,926 | 98,057 | 81,387 | 80,722 | 78.527 | 79,150 | 76,959 | 74.759 | 76.834 | 72,427 |
| 46 Other branches of parent bank | 13,342 | 12,951 | 18,720 | 20,091 | 19,730 | 17.512 | 18,295 | 18,537 | 16,658 | 15,583 |
| 47 Banks.......... | 54,861 | 55,151 | 42,636 | 40,770 | 39,101 | 42,347 | 39.607 | 37,531 | 41,778 | 37,303 |
| 48 Public borrowers | 12,577 | 10,010 | 6,413 | 6,434 | 6,494 | 6,540 | 6,388 | 6,170 | 5,935 | 6,541 |
| 49 Nonbank foreigners.. | 21,146 | 19,945 | 13,618 | 13,427 | 13.202 | 12,751 | 12,669 | 12,521 | 12,463 | 13,000 |
| 50 Other assets | 4,160 | 4,505 | 4,301 | 4,091 | 3,978 | 3,937 | 3,673 | 3,656 | 3,603 | 3,17 |
| 51 Total payable in U.S. dollars. | 117,654 | 143,743 | 139,540 | 136,278 | 132,884 | 139,549 | 136,115 | 135,112 | 140,702 | 136,299 |

### 3.14 Continued

| Liability account | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | Apr. | May | June | July ${ }^{\text {p }}$ |
|  | All foreign countries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 52 Total, all currencies. | 401,135 | 462,847 | 469,367 | 462,112 | 458,201 | 465,332 | 453,219 | 452,173 | 465,770 | 455,871 |
| 53 To United States | 91,079 | 137,767 | 178,878 | 178,390 | 178,244 | 189,044 | 184,017 | 183,793 | 191,466 | 187,381 |
| 54 Parent bank.. | 39,286 | 56,344 | 75,521 | 79,893 | 79,447 | 85,214 | 81,050 | 80,786 | 84,505 | 81,703 |
| 55 Other banks in United States | 14,473 | 19,197 | 33,368 | 32,797 | 32,650 | 33,974 | 32,687 | 31,815 | 33,682 | 31,378 |
| 56 Nonbanks.................. | 37,275 | 62,226 | 69.989 | 65.700 | 66,147 | 69.856 | 70,280 | 71,192 | 73,279 | 74,300 |
| 57 To foreigners | 295,411 | 305,630 | 270,653 | 265,278 | 261.672 | 258,508 | 251,273 | 250,791 | 256,201 | 250.183 |
| 58 Other branches of parent bank | 75,773 | 86,396 | 90,148 | 88.993 | 88,555 | 86,928 | 84,347 | 85,102r | 86,791 | 84,122 |
| 59 Banks........................ | 132,116 | 124,906 | 96,739 | 92,875 | 90,244 | 91,738 | 86,950 | 84,647 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 87,335 | 85,101 |
| 60 Official institutions | 32,473 | 25,997 | 19,614 | 20,246 | 19,739 | 17,808 | 18,384 | 17,189 | 18,621 | 18,290 |
| 61 Nonbank foreigners. | 55,049 | 68,331 | 64,152 | 63,164 | 63.134 | 62.034 | 61,592 | 63,853 | 63,454 | 62,670 |
| 62 Other liabilities | 14,690 | 19,450 | 19.836 | 18,444 | 18,285 | 17.780 | 17,929 | 17,589 | 18,103 | 18,307 |
| 63 Total payable in U.S. dollars | 303,281 | 364,447 | 378,938 | 370,202 | 367,606 | 374,642 | 363,515 | 363,251 | 376,000 | 368,483 |
| 64 To United States | 88.157 | 134,700 | 175,391 | 174,765 | 174,571 | 185,546 | 180,596 | 180,017 | 187.970 | 183,889 |
| 65 Parent bank | 37,528 | 54,492 | 73,195 | 77,621 | 77,114 | 82,903 | 78,968 | 78.520 | 82,308 | 79,422 |
| 66 Other banks in United States | 14,203 | 18,883 | 33,003 | 32,273 | 32,223 | 33,534 | 32,226 | 31,222 | 33,252 | 31,006 |
| 67 Nonbanks | 36,426 | 61,325 | 69,193 | 64,871 | 65,234 | 69,109 | 69,402 | 70,275 | 72,410 | 73,461 |
| 68 To foreigners | 206,883 | 217,602 | 192,323 | 185,298 | 183,656 | 179,696 | 173,533 | 174,154 | 178,921 | 175,063 |
| 69 Other branches of parent bank | 58.172 | 69,299 | 72,878 | 71.100 | 70,887 | 69,038 | 66.387 | 66,863r | 68,554 | 67.439 |
| 70 Banks. | 87,497 | 79,594 | 57.355 | 52,225 | 51,234 | 52.145 | 48,428 | 47,434 | 50.098 | 48,483 |
| 71 Official institutions | 24,697 | 20,288 | 15,055 | 15.940 | 15,381 | 13.536 | 13,801 | 12,631 | 13,912 | 13,520 45,621 |
| 72 Nonbank foreigners. | 36,517 | 48,421 | 47,035 | 46,033 | 46,154 | 44,977 | 44,917 | 47,226 | 46,357 | 45,621 |
| 73 Other liabilities | 8,241 | 12,145 | 11,224 | 10,139 | 9,379 | 9,400 | 9,386 | 9,080 | 9,109 | 9,531 |
|  | United Kingdom |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 74 Total, all currencies | 144,717 | 157,229 | 161,067 | 157,464 | 156,577 | 156,022 | 152,408 | 151,821 | 155,629 | 153,229 |
| 75 To United States | 21.785 | 38,022 5 | 53,954 | 52,650 | 51,927 14,080 | $\begin{array}{r}55,309 \\ 14 \\ \hline 1516\end{array}$ | 52,883 14.343 | $\begin{array}{r}53,603 \\ 13,907 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 56,950 14.461 | 56,659 15,009 |
| 77 Other banks in United States | 5,716 | 7,502 | 12,205 | 12.343 | 12,198 | 13,172 | 12,119 | 12,773 | 13,503 | 12,878 |
| 78 Nonbanks | 11,844 | 25,076 | 28,658 | 26,020 | 25,649 | 27,521 | 26,421 | 26,923 | 28,986 | 28,772 |
| 79 To foreigners | 117,438 | 112,255 | 99.567 | 97,827 | 97,515 | 93,835 | 92,460 | 91,071 | 91,545 | 89,524 |
| 80 Other branches of parent bank | 15,384 | 16,545 | 18,361 | 19.343 | 21,008 | 19.653 | 19,470 | 20,235 | 18,376 | 17,556 |
| 81 Banks. | 56,262 | 51,336 | 44,020 | 41.073 | 39,892 | 40,867 | 38,960 | 37,594 | 38,238 | 37,405 |
| 82 Official institutions | 21,412 | 16,517 | 11,504 | 12,377 | 12,025 | 10,252 | 10.520 | 9,413 | 10,848 | 10,146 |
| 83 Nonbank foreigners. | 24,380 | 27,857 | 25.682 | 25.034 | 24,590 | 23,063 | 23,510 | 23,829 | 24,083 | 24,417 |
| 84 Other Jiabilities | 5,494 | 6,952 | 7,546 | 6,987 | 7.135 | 6,878 | 7,065 | 7,147 | 7,134 | 7,046 |
| 85 Total payable in U.S. dollars | 103,440 | 120,277 | 130,261 | 126,286 | 126,007 | 126,088 | 120,683 | 120,301 | 124,705 | 123,200 |
| 86 To United States | 21,080 | 37,332 | 53,029 | 51.808 | 50,977 | 54,520 | 51.993 | 52,473 | 56,092 | 55,787 |
| 87 Parent bank | 4,078 | 5,350 | 12,814 | 14,105 | 13,859 | 14,476 | 14,212 | 13,696 | 14,308 | 14,785 |
| 88 Other banks in United States | 5,626 | 7,249 | 12,026 | 12,128 | 12.041 | 12,987 | 11,929 | 12,439 | 13.313 | 12,720 |
| 89 Nonbanks | 11,376 | 24,733 | 28,189 | 25,575 | 25.077 | 27,057 | 25,852 | 26,338 | 28,471 | 28,282 |
| 90 To foreigners | 79,636 | 79,034 | 73,477 | 71,000 | 71,994 | 68,309 | 65,485 | 64,621 | 65,428 | 64,114 |
| 91 Other branches of parent bank | 10,474 | 12,048 | 14,300 | 15,081 | 16,709 | 14,918 | 14,815 | 15,636 | 14,117 | 13,398 |
| 92 Banks..... | 35,388 | 32,298 | 28,810 | 25,177 | 25,563 | 26,395 | 23,821 | 22,960 | 23,895 | 23,635 |
| 93 Official institutions | 17.024 | 13,612 | 9.668 | 10,657 | 10,121 | 8,419 | 8,474 | 7,306 | 8,786 | 8,065 |
| 94 Nonbank foreigners. | 16.750 | 21,076 | 20,699 | 20,085 | 19.601 | 18,577 | 18,375 | 18,719 | 18,630 | 19,016 |
| 95 Other liabilities | 2,724 | 3,911 | 3,755 | 3,478 | 3,036 | 3,259 | 3,205 | 3,207 | 3,185 | 3,299 |
|  | Bahamas and Caymans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 96 Total, all currencies. | 123,837 | 149,108 | 145,091 | 142,115 | 138,730 | 145,663 | 142,049 | 140,941 | 146,792 | 142,433 |
| 97 To United States | 59,666 | 85,759 | 104,385 | 104,398 | 104,520 | 111,424 | 109,644 | 108,789 | 111,591 | 108,591 |
| 98 Parent bank .. | 28,181 | 39,451 | 47,041 | 50,441 | 49,634 | 55,620 | 52,009 | 51,087 | 53,626 | 50,730 |
| 99 Other banks in United States | 7,379 | 10,474 | 18,466 | 17,561 | 17,328 | 17,328 | 17,451 | 16,143 | 16,882 | 15,498 |
| 100 Nonbanks. | 24,106 | 35,834 | 38,878 | 36,396 | 37,558 | 38,476 | 40.184 | 41,559 | 41.083 | 42,363 |
| 101 To foreigners | 61,218 | 60,012 | 38,249 | 35,470 | 31,858 | 32,030 | 30,187 | 29,976 | 33,127 | 31,594 |
| 102 Other branches of parent bank | 17,040 | 20,64] | 15,796 | 14,258 | 11,808 | 11,536 | 10,515 | 10,272 | 12,020 | 12,461 |
| 103 Banks. | 29,895 | 23,202 | 10,166 | 9,279 | 8,451 | 8,999 | 8.126 | 7,618 | 9,063 | 8,086 |
| 104 Official institutions | 4,361 | 3,498 | 1,967 | 1,849 | 1,720 | 1,678 | 1,710 | 1,734 | 1,796 | 2,104 |
| 105 Nonbank foreigners.. | 9,922 | 12,671 | 10,320 | 10,084 | 9,879 | 9,817 | 9,836 | 10,352 | 10,248 | 8,943 |
| 106 Other liabilities | 2,953 | 3,337 | 2,457 | 2,247 | 2,352 | 2,209 | 2,218 | 2,176 | 2,074 | 2,248 |
| 107 Total payable in U.S. dollars . | 119,657 | 145,284 | 141,843 | 138,702 | 135,377 | 142,465 | 138,910 | 137,845 | 143,502 | 139,247 |

### 3.15 SELECTED U.S. LIABILITIES TO FOREIGN OFFICIAL INSTITUTIONS

Millions of dollars, end of period

| Item | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | Juner | July | Aug. ${ }^{\text {p }}$ |
| 1 Total ${ }^{1}$ | 169,926 | 172,598 | 172,739 | 172,915 | 173,335 | 175,054 | 174,545 | 175,897 | 173,076 |
| 2 By tope Liabilites reported by banks in the United States ${ }^{2}$. | 26,928 | 24,918 | 21,464 | 22,980 | 22,821 | 24,111 | 23,677 | 21,742 | 22,807 |
| 3 U.S. Treasury bills and certificates ${ }^{3}$................. U.S. Treasury bonds and notes | 52,389 | 46,658 | 49,954 | 47,917 | 48,399 | 49,281 | 49,068 | 53,524 | 50,965 |
| 4 Marketable...................... | 53.186 | 67,684 | 69,272 | 70,233 | 70,554 | 70.585 | 71,003 | 70,089 | 68,990 |
| 5 Nonmarketable ${ }^{4}$ | 11,791 | 8,750 | 7,950 | 7,950 | 7.950 | 7.950 | 7.950 | 7,950 | 7,950 |
| 6 U.S. securities other than U.S. Treasury securities ${ }^{\text {3 }}$ | 25,632 | 24,588 | 24,099 | 23,835 | 23,611 | 23,127 | 22,847 | 22,592 | 22,364 |
| By area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 Western Europe ${ }^{1}$ | 65,707 2,403 | 61,242 | 61.882 2 | 61,470 | 61,923 2770 | 62,994 | 63,649 3 | 66,316 3,293 | 64,009 3 |
| 8 Canada .................... | 2.403 | 2.070 | 2,754 | 2,942 | 2,770 | 3,613 | 3,117 | 3,293 | 3.713 |
| 9 9 Latin America and Caribbean | 6,953 | 6,032 | 6,099 | 5.576 | 6.281 | 5,918 | 6,509 | 5,421 | 5,714 |
| 10 Asia. | 91,791 1,829 | 95,993 1,350 | 95,723 1,327 | 96,850 1.162 | 95,377 1,208 | 95,581 1,203 | 94,701 1.075 | 94,342 1,138 | 92,902 1,150 |
| 12 Other countries ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1,243 | 5.911 | 4,954 | 4,915 | 5,776 | 5,745 | 5.494 | 5,387 | 5,589 |

1. Includes the Bank for International Settlements.
2. Principally demand deposits, time deposits, bankers acceptances, commercial paper, negotiable time certificates of deposit, and borrowings under repurchase agreements.
3. Includes nonmarketable certificates of indebtedness (including those payable in foreign currencies through 1974) and Treasury bills issued to official institutions of foreign countries.
4. Excludes notes issued to foreign official nonreserve agencies. Includes bonds and notes payable in foreign currencies.
5. Debt securities of U.S. government corporations and federally sponsored agencies, and U.S. corporate stocks and bonds.
6. Includes countries in Oceania and Eastern Europe.

Note. Based on Treasury Department data and on data reported to the Treasury Department by banks (including Federal Reserve Banks) and securitics dealers in the United States.

### 3.16 LlABILITIES TO AND CLAIMS ON FOREIGNERS Reported by Banks in the United States Payable in Foreign Currencies <br> Millions of dollars, end of period

| Item | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 |  | 1983 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Sept. | Dec. | Mar. | June |
| 1 Banks' own liabilities. | 1,918 | 3.748 | 3,523 | 4.575 | 4,760 | 5,072 | 5,810 |
| 2 Banks' own claims... | 2.419 | 4,206 | 4,980 | 6,350r | 7,700 |  |  |
| 3 Deposits ........ | . 994 | 2,507 | 3,398 | 3,429 | 4,245 | 3,725 | 3,878 |
| ${ }_{5}{ }^{\text {O O }}$ Other claims : $\ldots$. $\ldots$. $\ldots$. | 1,425 | 1,699 | 1,582 | 2,921 | 3.455 | 4,376 | 3.940 |
| 5 Claims of banks* domestic customers ${ }^{1}$ | 580 | 962 | 971 | 506 | 676 | 637 | 684 |

1. Assets owned by customers of the reporting bank located in the United States that represent claims on foreigners heid by reporting banks for the accounts of their domestic customers.
3.17 LIABILITIES TO FOREIGNERS Reported by Banks in the United States

Payable in U.S. dollars
Millions of dollars, end of period

| Holder and type of liability | 1980 | 19814 | 1982 | 1983 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | July | Aug. ${ }^{\text {P }}$ |
| 1 All foreigners | 205,297 | 244,043 | 305,368 | 304,925 | 316,831 | 308,359 | 316,722 | 320,984 | 326,795 | 333,309 |
| 2 Banks' own liabilities | 124,791 | 163,738 | 225,427 | 219,939 | 235.031 | 225,721 | 232,881 | 236,845 | 238.920 | 246,652 |
| 3 Demand deposits. | 23.462 | 19,628 | 15,959 | 17,405 | 16.495 | 15,606 | 16,935 | 17,314 | 15,982 | 16,014 |
| 4 Time deposits ${ }^{1}$. | 15,076 | 28,977 | 67,093 | 65,321 | 68,491 | 67,495 | 69,772 | 73,938 | 73.471 | 77.975 |
| 5 Other ${ }^{2}$. | 17.583 | 17,632 | 23,870 | 20,366 | 24,566 | 21,877 | 24,002 | 24,881 | 22,462 | 22,875 |
| 6 Own foreign offices ${ }^{3}$ | 68.670 | 97,500 | 118,505 | 116.846 | 125,479 | 120.743 | 122,173 | 120,712 | 127,004 | 129,788 |
| 7 Banks' custody liabilities ${ }^{4}$ | 80,506 | 80,305 | 79.941 | 84,987 | 81.800 | 82,638 | 83,841 | 84,139 | 87.875 | 86.657 |
| 8 U.S. Treasury bills and certificates ${ }^{5}$ | 57.595 | 55,316 | 55,614 | 61,904 | 58,748 | 60,087 | 60,508 | 61,245 | 65,225 | 63,900 |
| 9 Other negotiable and readily transferable instruments ${ }^{6}$ | 20,079 | 19.019 | 20,625 | 19,205 | 18,830 | 18,823 | 19.187 | 18,731 | 18.017 | 18,507 |
| 10 Other.................................. . | 2.832 | 5.970 | 3,702 | 3,877 | 4,222 | 3,728 | 4,146 | 4,163 | 4,634 | 4,249 |
| 11 Nonmonetary international and regional organizations ${ }^{7}$ | 2,344 | 2,721 | 4,597 | 5,969 | 3,945 | 5,917 | 5,260 | 5,456 | 5,678 | 5,555 |
| 12 Banks' own liabilities | 444 | 638 | 1,584 | 1,695 | 1.300 | 2,542 | 2,925 | 3,048 | 4,030 | 3,433 |
| 13 Demand deposits | 146 | 262 | 106 | 195 | 221 | 252 | 267 | 165 | 307 | 325 |
| 14 Time deposits | 85 | 58 | 1,339 | 1,367 | 913 | 2,031 | 2,447 | 2.483 | 3.010 | 2.507 |
| 15 Other ${ }^{2}$ | 212 | 318 | 139 | 134 | 166 | 259 | 211 | 400 | 713 | 601 |
| 16 Banks' custody liabilities ${ }^{4}$ | 1,900 | 2,083 | 3,013 | 4,275 | 2,645 | 3,375 | 2,335 | 2,408 | 1,648 | 2.121 |
| 17 U.S. Treasury bills and certificates.... | 254 | 541 | 1,621 | 3.153 | 1,501 | 2,230 | 1,280 | 1,538 | 678 | 1,294 |
| 18 Other negotiable and readily transferable <br> 19 Other instruments ${ }^{6}$ | 1,646 0 | 1.542 0 | 1.392 0 | 1,122 0 | 1,144 0 | 1.145 0 | 1.055 0 | 870 0 | 970 0 | 828 0 |
| 20 Official institutions ${ }^{8}$ | 86,624 | 79,318 | 71,576 | 71,419 | 70,897 | 71,220 | 73,391 | 72,747 | 75,265 | 73,773 |
| 21 Banks' own liabilities | 17.826 | 17.094 | 16,571 | 14,662 | 16,443 | 16.188 | 17,365 | 16,723 | 15,613 | 16,582 |
| 22 Demand deposits | 3,771 | 2.564 | 1.981 | 2,063 | 2.287 | 2.322 | 2,058 | 2,198 | 1.958 | 2.030 |
| 23 Time deposits ${ }^{1}$ | 3.612 | 4,230 | 5.504 | 5,485 | 5,331 | 6.039 | 6,374 | 6.352 | 6.587 | 6,753 |
| 24 Other ${ }^{2}$ | 10,443 | 10.300 | 9.087 | 7,114 | 8.825 | 7,826 | 8,933 | 8.173 | 7,068 | 7,800 |
| 25 Banks' custody liabilities ${ }^{4}$ | 68,798 | 62,224 | 55,006 | 56,756 | 54,454 | 55.032 | 56,026 | 56,023 | 59,652 | 57,191 |
| 26 U.S. Treasury bills and certificates ${ }^{\text {S }}$ | 56,243 | 52,389 | 46,658 | 49,954 | 47,917 | 48,399 | 49,281 | 49,068 | 53,524 | 50,965 |
| 27 Other negotiable and readily transferable instruments ${ }^{6}$. | 12,501 | 9.787 | 8.319 | 6.769 | 6.512 | 6,618 | 6.724 | 6.937 | 6,100 | 6,186 |
| 28 Other | 54 | 47 | 28 | 33 | 25 | 15 | 22 | 17 | 29 | 39 |
| 29 Banks ${ }^{9}$ | 96,415 | 136,030 | 185,081 | 181,114 | 193,415 | 183,100 | 188,605 | 191,977 | 194,856 | 201,954 |
| 30 Banks' own liabilities | 90,456 | 124,312 | 168,658 | 163,102 | 175,038 | 164,647 | 169,167 | 172.521 | 174,735 | 181,514 |
| 31 Unaffiliated foreign banks | 21,786 | 26,812 | 50,153 | 46,256 | 49,560 | 43,904 | 46,994 | 51,809 | 47.731 | 51,726 |
| 32 Demand deposits | 14,188 | 11.614 | 8.675 | 9,627 | 8.264 | 7.601 | 8.832 | 9,134 | 8.279 | 8.300 |
| 33 Time deposits ${ }^{1}$ | 1.703 | 8.720 | 28,249 | 25.318 | 27.617 | 24.329 | 25,123 | 27.944 | 26,446 | 29.694 |
| 34 Other ${ }^{2} \ldots$ | 5,895 | 6.477 | 13.228 | 11.312 16846 | 13,679 | 11,974 | 13,039 | 14.730 | 13,006 | 13.732 |
| 35 Own foreign offices ${ }^{3}$ | 68,670 | 97,500 | 118,505 | 116,846 | 125,479 | 120,743 | 122,173 | 120,712 | 127,004 | 129,788 |
| 36 Banks' custody liabilities ${ }^{4}$ | 5.959 | 11.718 | 16.423 | 18.012 | 18.377 | 18.453 | 19.438 | 19.456 | 20.121 | 20.440 |
| 37 U.S. Treasury bills and certificates ...... | 623 | 1,687 | 5,809 | 6,791 | 7.122 | 7,475 | 7.824 | 8,396 | 8.601 | 9,000 |
| 38 Other negotiable and readily transferable instruments ${ }^{6}$ | 2,748 | 4,421 | 7,848 | 8,345 | 8,265 | 8.041 | 8,333 | 7,771 | 7,821 | 7,599 |
| 39 Other | 2,588 | 5.611 | 2,766 | 2,876 | 2,990 | 2,937 | 3.282 | 3,289 | 3,699 | 3,841 |
| 40 Other foreigners. | 19,914 | 25,974 | 44,113 | 46,423 | 48,573 | 48,122 | 49,466 | 50,805 | 50,996 | 52,027 |
| 41 Banks' own liabilities | 16,065 | 21,694 | 38,615 | 40,480 | 42,250 | 42,344 | 43,425 | 44,552 | 44,542 | 45,122 |
| 42 Demand deposits. | 5.356 | 5.189 | 5,197 | 5,521 | 5.724 | 5.430 | 5.777 | 5.817 | 5.439 | 5,359 |
| 43 Time deposits. | 9.676 | 15,969 | 32,00] | 33,152 | 34.631 | 35,095 | 35,828 | 37.158 | 37.428 | 39,020 |
| 44 Other ${ }^{2}$ | 1.033 | 537 | 1,416 | 1,807 | 1,896 | 1,819 | 1,819 | 1,578 | 1,675 | 743 |
| 45 Banks' custody liabilities ${ }^{4}$ | 3,849 | 4,279 | 5.499 | 5,943 | 6,323 | 5,778 | 6.041 | 6,253 | 6,454 | 6,905 |
| 46 U.S. Treasury bills and certificates...... | 474 | 699 | 1,525 | 2,006 | 2,207 | 1,983 | 2,123 | 2,242 | 2,422 | 2,641 |
| 47 Other negotiable and readily transferable instruments ${ }^{6}$ | 3,185 | 3,268 | 3,065 | 2,970 | 2.909 | 3,018 | 3,076 | 3.154 | 3,126 | 3,895 |
| 48 Other | 190 | 312 | 908 | 968 | 1,207 | 776 | 842 | 857 | 906 | 369 |
| 49 Мемо: Negotiable time certificates of deposit in custody for foreigners . | 10,745 | 10.747 | 14,296 | 11,611 | 11,383 | 11,604 | 11,555 | 11,589 | 11,062 | 10,720 |

1. Excludes negotiable time certificates of deposit, which are included in "Other negotiable and readily transferable instruments.
2. U.S. banks: includes amounts due to own foreign branches and foreign subsidiaries consolidated in "Consolidated Report of Condition" filed with bank regulatory agencies. Agencies, branches, and majority-owned subsidiaries of foreign banks: principally amounts due to head office or parent foreign bank, and foreign banks: principally amounts due to head office or parent foreign bank, and
foreign branches, agencies or wholly owned subsidiaries of head office or parent foreign branch
foreign bank.
3. Financial claims on residents of the United States, other than long-term securities, held by or through reporting banks.
4. Includes nonmarketable certificates of indebtedness and Treasury bills issued to official institutions of foreign countries.
5. Principally bankers acceptances, commercial paper, and negotiable time certificates of deposit.
6. Principally the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development. and the Inter-American and Asian Development Banks.
7. Foreign central banks and foreign central governments, and the Bank for International Settlements.
8. Excludes central banks, which are included in "Official institutions."
Liabilities and claims of banks in the United States were increased beginning in December 1981, by the shift from foreign branches to international banking facilities in the United States of liabilities to, and claims on, foreign residents.
3.17 Continued

9. Includes the Bank for International Settlements. Beginning April 1978, also includes Eastern European countries not listed in line 23.
10. Beginning April 1978 comprises Bulgaria, Czechoslovakia, the German Democratic Republic, Hungary, Poland, and Romania
11. Comprises Bahrain, Iran, Iraq, Kuwait, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, and United Arab Emirates (Trucial States).
12. Comprises Algeria, Gabon, Libya, and Nigeria.
13. Asian, African, Middle Eastern, and European regional organizations, except the Bank for International Settlements, which is included in "Other Western Europe."
$\Delta$ Liabilities and claims of banks in the United States were increased, beginning in December 1981, by the shiff from foreign branches to international banking facilities in the United States of liabilities to, and claims on, foreign residents.
3.18 BANKS' OWN CLAIMS ON FOREIGNERS Reported by Banks in the United States

Payable in U.S. Dollars
Millions of dollars, end of period

| Area and country |  | 1980 | 19814 | 1982 | 1983 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Feb. |  |  | Mar. | Apr. | May | Juner | July | Aug. ${ }^{\text {p }}$ |
| 1 | Total |  | 172,592 | 251,356 | 355,131 | 361,102 | 372,887 | 361,187 | 363,392 | 372,437 | 365,913 | 374,009 |
|  | Foreign countries | 172,514 | 251,300 | 355,063 | 361,025 | 372,818 | 361,095 | 363,315 | 372,337 | 365,828 | 373,924 |
| 3 | Europe | 32,108 | 49,191 | 84,629 | 84,638 | 88.097 | 84,325 | 83.517 | 86,335 | 84,456 | 87,917 |
| 4 | Austria | 236 | 121 | 215 | 226 | 255 | 307 | 278 | 342 | 383 | 642 |
| 5 | Belgium-Luxembourg | 1,621 | 2,85] | 5.129 | 5,377 | 5,711 | 5,350 | 5.479 | 5,796 | 5,449 | 5,551 |
| 6 | Denmark. | 127 | 187 | 554 | 650 | 1,135 | 1,124 | 1,061 | 1,077 | 1,064 | 1,080 |
| 7 | Finland | 460 | 546 | 990 | 967 | 961 | 844 | 766 | 870 | 777 | 637 |
| 8 | France | 2,958 | 4,127 | 6,856 | 7,396 | 7,218 | 7,342 | 7.829 | 7,941 | 7,900 | 8,576 |
| 9 | Germany | 948 | 940 | 1,869 | 1,740 | 1,810 | 1.273 | 1,186 | 1,404 | 1,112 | I,126 |
| 10 | Greece. | 256 | 333 | 452 | 653 | 652 | 628 | 607 | 576 | 458 | 375 |
| 11 | Italy | 3,364 | 5,240 | 7,515 | 7,011 | 7,142 | 7.403 | 6,985 | 7,323 | 7,401 | 7,355 |
| 12 | Netherlands | 575 | 682 | 1,425 | 1,358 | 1,629 | 1,250 | 1,262 | 1,165 | 967 | 1,048 |
| 13 | Norway | 227 | 384 | 572 | 587 | 544 | 628 | 683 | 652 | 598 | 625 |
| 14 | Portugal | 331 | 529 | 950 | 841 | 820 | 797 | 815 | 846 | 844 | 848 |
| 15 | Spain. | 993 | 2.100 | 3.739 | 3.227 | 3.120 | 3,004 | 3.059 | 3,199 | 3,339 | 3,367 |
| 16 | Sweden | 783 | 1,205 | 3,034 | 2.693 | 2,414 | 2,289 | 2,298 | 2,864 | 2,910 | 2,806 |
| 17 | Switzerland. | 1,446 | 2,213 | 1,639 | 1,497 | 1,668 | 1,653 | 1,085 | 1,598 | 1,727 | 1.630 |
| 18 | Turkey. | 145 | 424 | 560 | 616 | 595 | 608 | 578 | 570 | 629 | 588 |
| 19 | United Kingdom | 14,917 | 23,774 | 45,290 | 46,101 | 48,710 | 46,072 | 45,793 | 46,250 | 45,306 | 47,990 |
| 20 | Yugoslavia | 853 | 1,224 | 1,429 | 1,429 | 1,393 | 1,432 | 1.481 | 1,463 | 1,381 | 1,347 |
| 21 | Other Western Europe | 179 | 209 | 378 | 321 | 322 | 232 | 236 | 334 | 356 | 403 |
| 22 | U.S.S.R | 281 | 377 | 263 | 240 | 310 | 392 | 349 | 373 | 288 | 232 |
| 23 | Other Eastern Europe ${ }^{2}$. | 1.410 | 1,725 | 1,769 | 1,709 | 1,690 | 1,697 | 1,686 | 1,692 | 1,566 | 1,692 |
| 24 | Canada | 4,810 | 9,192 | 14,322 | 15,633 | 16,505 | 15,087 | 16,539 | 16,616 | 16,487 | 17,477 |
| 25 | Latin America and Caribbean. | 92,992 | 138,251 | 187,953 | 193,747 | 198,737 | 195,821 | 197,899 | 198,880 | 195.033 | 197.519 |
| 26 | Argentina | 5,689 | 7,522 | 10,974 | 11.536 | 11.264 | 11,228 | 11,550 | 11,243 | 11,112 | 11,317 |
| 27 | Bahamas | 29.419 | 43,517 | 56,484 | 56.796 | 59,575 | 57.177 | 58,923 | 62,153 | 58,815 | 57,240 |
| 28 | Bermuda | 218 | 346 | 603 | 536 | 500 | 385 | 628 | 447 | 358 | 390 |
| 29 | Brazil. | 10,496 | 16,914 | 23,260 | 23,754 | 23,551 | 23,715 | 23,530 | 23,333 | 23,711 | 24,184 |
| 30 | British West Indies | 15,663 | 21,965 | 29,244 | 33,560 | 35,232 | 34,985 | 33,265 | 32,536 | 30,349 | 31,656 |
| 31 | Chile | 1,951 | 3,690 | 5,513 | 5,420 | 5,209 | 5,131 | 5,568 | 5,161 | 5,188 | 5,379 |
| 32 | Colombia. | 1,752 | 2,018 | 3,211 | 3,162 | 3,166 | 3,155 | 3,484 | 3,600 | 3,656 | 3,592 |
| 33 | Cuba | 3 | ${ }^{3}$ | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 34 | Ecuador | 1,190 | 1.531 | 2,062 | 2,148 | 2,054 | 2,093 | 2,040 | 2,038 | 2.018 | 2,004 |
| 35 | Guatemala ${ }^{3}$ | 137 | 124 | 124 | 120 | 84 | 77 196 | 90 197 | 90 | 96 | 100 |
| 36 | Jamaica ${ }^{3}$ | 36 | 62 | 181 | 199 | 216 | 196 | 197 | 207 | 209 | 204 |
| 37 | Mexico | 12,595 | 22.409 | 29,488 | 30,635 | 31,253 | 31,726 | 31,906 | 32,318 | 32,862 | 33,679 |
| 38 | Netherlands Antilles | 821 | 1,076 | 839 | 913 | 970 | 1,036 | 824 | - 519 | 943 | 838 |
| 39 | Panama | 4,974 | 6,787 | 10,197 | 9,324 | 9,801 | 8.956 | 9.634 | 8,824 | 9,127 | 10,067 |
| 40 | Peru. | 890 | 1.218 | 2,355 | 2,335 | 2,301 | 2,330 | 2,414 | 2,624 | 2.506 | 2,421 |
| 41 | Uruguay | 137 | 157 | 686 | 685 | 707 | 859 | 824 | 820 | 833 | 816 |
| 42 | Venezuela | 5.438 | 7.069 | 10.739 | 10,432 | 10.615 | 10.559 | 10,749 | 10,848 | 11,145 | 11,040 |
| 43 | Other Latin America and Caribbean | 1,583 | 1.844 | 1,991 | 2.190 | 2.236 | 2,213 | 2,275 | 2,120 | 2,104 | 2,592 |
|  | Asja . China | 39,078 | 49,787 | 60,700 | 59,186 | 61,479 | 57,689 | 57,403 | 62,502 | 61,667 | 62,319 |
| 45 | Mainland | 195 | 107 | 214 | 195 | 195 | 239 | 219 | 166 | 124 | 179 |
| 46 | Taiwan. | 2,469 | 2.461 | 2,288 | 1.985 | 1,860 | 1,786 | 1,613 | 1,760 | 1,715 | 1,632 |
| 47 | Hong Kong | 2,247 | 4,126 | 6,668 | 7,155 | 7,656 | 7.487 | 7,552 | 7.845 | 7,876 | 7,994 |
| 48 | India | 142 | 123 | 222 | 201 | 160 | 163 | 198 | 230 | 245 | 274 |
| 49 | Indonesia | 245 | 351 | 342 | 429 | 505 | 541 | 563 | 537 | 595 | 631 |
| 50 | lsrael | 1,172 | 1,562 | 2,028 | 1,762 | 1,744 | 2,036 | 1,926 | 2,181 | 1,657 | 1,639 |
| 51 | Japan | 21,361 | 26,768 | 28,302 | 26.846 | 28,545 | 24,979 | 24,757 | 27,381 | 27,708 | 27,337 |
| 52 | Korea | 5,697 | 7,324 | 9,407 | 9,263 | 9,170 | 8,768 | 8,940 | 9,143 | 9,639 | 9,684 |
| 53 | Philippines | 989 | 1,817 | 2,571 | 2,628 | 2,628 | 2,627 | 2,493 | 2,829 | 2,640 | 2,539 |
| 54 | Thajland.. | 876 | 564 | 643 | 652 | 625 | 741 | 707 | 788 | 689 | 722 |
| 55 | Middle East oil-exporting countries ${ }^{4}$ | 1,432 | 1,577 | 3,087 | 3,414 | 3.832 | 3.947 | 4,024 | 4,452 | 4,003 | 4,627 |
| 56 | Other Asia . . . . . . . . . . . . | 2,252 | 3,009 | 4.928 | 4,655 | 4,557 | 4.375 | 4.413 | 5,191 | 4,776 | 5,063 |
| 57 | Africa | 2,377 | 3,503 | 5,352 | 5,539 | 5,483 | 5,698 | 5,538 | 5,662 | 5,937 | 6,523 |
| 58 | Egypt. | 151 | 238 | 322 | 286 | 309 | 297 | 378 | 421 | 486 | 529 |
| 59 | Morocco | 223 | 284 | 353 | 359 | 375 | 382 | 441 | 463 | 484 | 444 |
| 60 | South Africa. | 370 | 1,011 | 2,012 | 2,194 | 2,185 | 2,123 | 2,123 | 2,231 | 2,407 | 2,630 |
| 61 | Zaire | 94 | 112 | 57 | 55 | 52 | 104 | 47 | 46 | 45 | 40 |
| 62 | Oil-exporting countries ${ }^{3}$ | 805 | 657 | 801 | 845 | 844 | 750 | 851 | 830 | 850 | 1,050 |
| 63 | Other | 734 | 1,201 | 1,807 | 1,800 | 1.717 | 2,041 | 1,699 | 1,671 | 1,664 | 1,830 |
| 64 | Other countries | 1,150 | 1,376 | 2,107 | 2,282 | 2,519 | 2,475 | 2,418 | 2,342 | 2,248 | 2,169 |
| 65 | Australia | 859 | 1,203 | 1,713 | 1,704 | 1.953 | 1,889 | 1,756 | 1,722 | 1.635 | 1,627 |
| 66 | All other | 290 | 172 | 394 | 578 | 566 | 586 | 662 | 620 | 613 | 542 |
|  | Nonmonetary international and regional organizations ${ }^{6}$ | 78 | 56 | 68 | 77 | 69 | 92 | 77 | 100 | 85 | 85 |

1. Includes the Bank for International Settlements. Beginning April 1978, also includes Eastern European countries not listed in line 23.
2. Beginning April 1978 comprises Bulgaria, Czechoslovakia, the German Democratic Republic, Hungary, Poland, and Romania.
3. Included in "Other Latin America and Caribbean" through March 1978.
4. Comprises Bahrain, Iran, Iraq, Kuwait, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, and

United Arab Emirates (Trucial States).
5. Comprises Algeria, Gabon, Libya, and Nigeria.
6. Excludes the Bank for International Settlements, which is included in "Other Western Europe."
Note. Data for period prior to April 1978 include claims of banks' domestic customers on foreigners.

4 Liabilities and claims of banks in the United States were increased, beginning in December 1981, by the shift from foreign branches to international banking facilities in the United States of liabilities to, and claims on, foreign residents.

### 3.19 BANKS' OWN AND DOMESTIC CUSTOMERS' CLAIMS ON FOREIGNERS Reported by Banks in the United States <br> Payable in U.S. Dollars

Millions of dollars, end of period

| Type of claim | 1980 | 19814 | 1982 | 1983 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | July | Aug. ${ }^{\text {P }}$ |
| 1 Total. ... | 198,698 | 287,325 | 395,731 | ........ | 411,142 | ........ | ........ | 407,910 | ........ | ....... |
| 2 Banks' own claims on foreigners | 172,592 | 251,356 | 355.131 | 361,102 | 372,887 | 361.187 | 363,392 | 372,437 | 365,913 | 374,009 |
| 3 Foreign public borrowers. | 20.882 | 31,302 | 45,453 | 45,733 | 46,935 | 47,582 | 47,758 | 49.240 | 49,596 | 50,605 |
| 4 Own foreign offices ${ }^{1}$ | 65,084 | 96,647 | 127,282 | 134,616 | 143,854 | 135,756 | 139,166 | 140,139 | 135.454 | 139,507 |
| 5 Unaffiliated foreign banks | 50.168 | 74,408 | 120,330 | 119,133 | 121.170 | 117,246 | 115,597 | 120,559 | 117,592 | 120,329 |
| 6 Deposits .............. | 8,254 | 23,276 | 43.619 | 44.595 | 48.781 | 44,481 | 43,923 | 46,883 | 46,147 | 47,282 |
| 7 Other | 41,914 | 51,132 | 76.711 | 74,538 | 72,389 | 72,765 | 71,674 | 73,676 | 71.445 | 73,048 |
| 8 All other foreigners. | 36,459 | 48,999 | 62,066 | 61,619 | 60,929 | 60,603 | 60.871 | 62,499 | 63,272 | 63,569 |
| 9 Claims of banks' domestic customers ${ }^{2}$ | 26,106 | 35,968 | 40,600 | $\ldots$ | 38,256 | $\ldots$ | ....... | 35,473 | $\ldots .$. |  |
| 10 Deposits ...................... | 885 | 1,378 | 2,780 | $\ldots$ | 2,126 | ....... | $\ldots$ | 2,631 | ....... |  |
| 11 Negotiable and readily transferable instruments ${ }^{3}$ | 15,574 | 26,352 | 30,763 | $\ldots .$. | 29.250 | $\ldots . .$. |  | 26,708 |  |  |
| 12 Outstanding collections and other claims. | 9,648 | 8,238 | 7,056 |  | 6.880 |  |  | 6,133 |  |  |
| 13 Memo: Customer liability on acceptances | 22,714 | 29,517 | 38,338 |  | 35,153 |  |  | 34,811 |  |  |
| Dollar deposits in banks abroad, reported by nonbanking business enterprises in the United States ${ }^{4}$. | 24,468 | 39,862 | 41,210 | 39,321' | $38,856 r$ | 41,272 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 42,758 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 40,471 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 40,663' | n.a. |

1. U.S. banks: includes amounts due from own foreign branches and foreign subsidiaries consolidated in "Consolidated Report of Condition" filed with bank regulatory agencies. Agencies, branches, and majority-owned subsidiaries of foreign banks: principally amounts due from head office or parent foreign bank, and foreign branches, agencies, or wholly owned subsidiaries of head office or parent foreign bank
2. Assets owned by customers of the reporting bank located in the United States that represent claims on foreigners held by reporting banks for the account of their domestic customers.
3. Principally negotiable time certificates of deposit and bankers acceptances.
4. Includes demand and time deposits and negotiable and nonnegotiable certificates of deposit denominated in U.S. dollars issued by banks abroad. For description of changes in data reported by nonbanks, see July 1979 Bulletin, p. 550 .

1 Liabilities and claims of banks in the United States were increased beginning in December 1981, by the shift from foreign branches to international banking facilities in the United States of liabilities to, and claims on, foreign residents.
Note. Beginning April 1978, data for banks' own claims are given on a monthly basis, but the data for claims of banks' own domestic customers are available on a quarterly basis only.

### 3.20 BANKS' OWN CLAIMS ON UNAFFILIATED FOREIGNERS Reported by Banks in the United States

 Payable in U.S. DollarsMillions of dollars, end of period

| Maturity; by bortower and area |  | 1980 | 19814 | 1982 |  |  | 1983 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | June |  | Sept | Dec. | Mar. | June |
| 1 Total . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |  |  | 106,748 | 154,159 | 202,185 | 214,927 | 226,933 | 227,525 | 231,022 |
| By borrower |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Maturity of 1 year or less ${ }^{1}$ |  | 82,555 | 116,130 | 153,223 | 163,294 | 172,756 | 171,888 | 173,596 |
| 3 | Foreign public borrowers | 9,974 | 15,099 | 19,480 | 20,082 | 21,297 | 21,602 | 22,442 |
| 4 | All other foreigners . . . . | 72,581 | 101,030 | 133.743 | 143.212 | 151,459 | 150,286 | 151,154 |
| 5 | Maturity of over 1 year ${ }^{1} .$. | 24,193 | 38.030 | 48.962 | 51.634 | 54,177 | 55,637 | 57,427 |
| 6 | Foreign public borrowers | 10,152 | 15,650 | 20.077 | 21.977 | 23,108 | 24,623 | 26,170 |
| 7 | All other foreigners .... | 14,041 | 22,380 | 28.885 | 29,657 | 31,068 | 31,014 | 31,257 |
| By area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maturity of 1 year or less ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | Europe | 18,715 | 28,053 | 39,813 | 45,793 | 49,643 | 52,852 | 51,797 |
| 9 | Canada. | 2,723 | 4,657 | 6,696 | 7,078 | 7,647 | 6,874 | 6,957 |
| 10 | Latin America and Caribbean | 32,034 | 48,599 | 68,676 | 72,291 | 73,199 | 74,379 | 74,622 |
| 11 | Asia . | 26,686 | 31,421 | 33.558 | 33,348 | 37,355 | 32,546 | 35,183 |
| 12 | Africa | 1,757 | 2,457 | 3,262 | 3,621 | 3,686 | 3,872 | 3,854 |
| 13 | All other ${ }^{2}$ | 640 | 943 | 1.217 | 1,163 | 1,226 | 1,365 | 1,182 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | Europe . . . . . . . . . . . . | 5,118 | 8,094 | 9,206 | 10,546 | 11,632 | 12,011 | 12,181 |
| 15 | Canada | 1,448 | 1.774 | 2,339 | 2,003 | 1,931 | 1,924 | 1,864 |
| 16 | Latin America and Caribbean | 15,075 | 25,089 | 33,010 | 34,031 | 35,200 | 35,696 | 36,604 |
| 17 | Asia | 1,865 | 1,907 | 2,480 | 3,090 | 3,179 | 3,531 | 4,045 |
| 18 | Africa | 507 | 899 | 1.298 | 1,328 | 1,494 | 1,480 | 1,667 |
| 19 | All other ${ }^{2}$. | 179 | 267 | 628 | 635 | 1,740 | 1,995 | 1,066 |
| 1. Remaining time to maturity. |  |  | * Liabilities and claims of banks in the United States were increased, |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Includes nonmonetary international and regional organizations. |  |  | beginning in December 1981, by the shift from foreign branches to international |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | king fac | in the L | d States | abilities | nd claim | foreign |

3.21 CLAIMS ON FOREIGN COUNTRIES Held by U.S. Offices and Foreign Branches of U.S.-Chartered Banks ${ }^{1}$ Billions of dollars, end of period


1. The banking offices covered by these data are the U.S. offices and foreign branches of U.S.-owned banks and of U.S. subsidiaries of foreign-owned banks Offices not covered include (1) U.S. agencies and branches of foreign banks, and (2) foreign subsidiaries of U.S. banks. To minimize duplication, the data are adjusted to exclude the claims on foreign branches held by a U.S. office or another foreign branch of the same banking institution. The data in this table combine foreign branch claims in table 3.14 (the sum of lines 7 through 10 ) with the claims of U.S. offices in table 3.18 (excluding those held by agencies and branches of foreign banks and those constituting claims on own foreign branches).
2. In addition to the Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries shown individually, this group includes other members of OPEC (Algeria, Gabon, Iran, Iraq, Kuwait, Libya, Nigeria, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, and United Arab Emirates) as well as Bahrain and Oman (not formally members of OPEC).
3. Excludes Liberia
4. Includes Canal Zone beginning December 1979
5. Foreign branch claims only.
6. Includes New Zealand, Liberia, and international and regional organizations.

### 3.22 LIABILITIES TO UNAFFILIATED FOREIGNERS Reported by Nonbanking Business Enterprises in the United States ${ }^{1}$

Millions of dollars, end of period


[^43]3. Comprises Algeria, Gabon, Libya, and Nigeria.
4. Includes nonmonetary international and regional organizations
$S$. Revisions include a reclassification of transactions, which also affects the totals for Asia and the grand totals.

### 3.23 CLAIMS ON UNAFFILIATED FOREIGNERS Reported by Nonbanking Business Enterprises in the United States ${ }^{1}$ <br> Millions of dollars, end of period

| Type, and area or country |  | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 |  |  |  | $\frac{1983}{\text { Mar. }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mar. |  |  | June | Sept. | Dec. |  |
| 1 | Total |  | 31,299 | 34,482 | 35,814 | 30,301 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 30,758 ${ }$ | 29,852 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 27,600r | 30,594 |
|  | Payable in dollars | 28,096 | 31,528 | $32.220^{r}$ | 27,667 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 28,256r | 27,199r | 24,982r | 27.866 |
| 3 | Payable in foreign currencies | 3,203 | 2,955 | 3.595 | 2,634 | 2.502 | $2.653{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 2.618 | 2.728 |
|  | By type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Financial claims | 18,398 | 19,763 | 20,800 | 17,748 | 18,442 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | $17.988{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 16,661 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 19,710 |
| 5 | Deposits | 12,858 | 14,166 | 14,747' | 12,730 | $13,680{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 12,882 ${ }^{r}$ | 12,134r | 15,059 |
| 6 | Payable in dollars | 11.936 | 13,381 | 14,122' | 12,272r | 13,310 | 12,469r | 11,709r | 14,581 |
| 7 | Payable in foreign currencies | 923 | 5 785 | 625 | 557 | 370 | 413 | 426 | 478 |
| 8 | Other financial claims. . . . . . . | 5,540 | 5,597 | 6.053 | 5.018 | 4.762 | 5.106 | 4,527 | 4,651 |
| 9 | Payable in dollars | 3,714 | 3,914 | 3,599 | 3,362 | 3,194 | 3,419 | 2.895 | 3.006 |
| 10 | Payable in foreign currencies | 1,826 | 1,683 | 2,454 | 1,656 | 1,568 | 1.687 | 1,632 | 1,645 |
| 11 | Commercial claims | 12,901 | 14,720 | 15,014r | 12,553 | 12,316r | 11,864 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 10,939 | 10,885 |
| 12 | Trade receivables | 12,185 | 13,960 | 13,978r | 11,509r | 11,137 | 10,758 | 9,929 | 9,681 |
| 13 | Advance payments and other claims. | 716 | 759 | 1,036 | 1,044 | 1,179r | 1,106 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 1.010 | 1,204 |
| 14 | Payable in dollars | 12,447 | 14,233 | 14,499r | 12,033 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 11,752r | $11.311^{r}$ | 10,378 | 10,279 |
| 15 | Payable in foreign currencies | 454 | 487 | 516 | 520 | 564 | $552^{r}$ | 561 | 605 |
| By area or country Financial claims |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | Europe ....... | 6,179 | 6,069 | 4,573 | 4.503 | 4,734r | 4,884 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | $4.670{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 6,066 |
| 17 | Belgium-Luxembourg. | 177 | 145 | 43 | 16 | 13 | 16 | 10 | 58 |
| 18 | France . . . . . . . . . . . | 177 | 298 | 285 | 375 | $324{ }^{r}$ | $326^{r}$ | $134{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 90 |
| 19 | Germany | 409 | 230 | 224 | 197 | 148 | $215 r$ | $178{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 127 |
| 20 | Netherlands | 53 | 51 | 50 | 79 | 56 | $62^{r}$ | 32 | 140 |
| 21 | Switzerland. | 73 | 54 | $117^{r}$ | 53 | $74{ }^{r}$ | 60 | 107 | 99 |
| 22 | United Kingdom | 5,099 | 4,987 | 3.522 | 3,546 | 3,847r | 3,834r | 3,945r | 5,301 |
| 23 | Canada | 5,003 | 5,036 | 6,628 | 4,942 | 4,365 | 4.322 | $4,219 r$ | 4,605 |
| 24 | Latin America and Caribbean. | 6,312 | 7.811 | 8,620 | 7,437r | $8.319{ }^{r}$ | 7,727 | 6,884 | 8.147 |
| 25 | Bahamas | 2,773 | 3,477 | 3,556 | 3,454 | 3,762r | 3,389 | 3,108 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 3,747 |
| 26 | Bermuda | 30 | 135 | 18 | 27 | 42 | $16^{6}$ | 8 | 10 |
| 27 | Brazil. | 163 | 96 | 30 | 49 | 76 | 76 | 62 | 50 |
| 28 | British West Indies | 2,011 | 2,755 | $3.872^{r}$ | 2,880 | 3,588r | $3.237^{7}$ | 2,787r | 3,063 |
| 29 | Mexico | 157 | 208 | 313 | 281 | 274 | 268 | 274 | 352 |
| 30 | Venezuela. | 143 | 137 | 148 | 130 | 134 | 133 | 139 | 156 |
| 31 | Asia | 601 | 607 | 758 | 668 | 802 | $846{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $698{ }^{r}$ | 712 |
| 32 | Japan. | 199 | 189 | 366 | 262 | 327 | $268{ }^{r}$ | 1537 | 233 |
| 33 | Middle East oil-exporting countries ${ }^{2}$. | 16 | 20 | 37 | 36 | 33 | 30 | 15 | 18 |
| 34 | Africa | 258 | 208 | 173 | 164 | 156 | 165 | 158 | 153 |
| 35 | Oil-exporting countries ${ }^{3}$ | 49 | 26 | 46 | 43 | 41 | 50 | 48 | 45 |
| 36 | All other ${ }^{4}$ | 44 | 32 | 48 | 34 | 66 | 44 | 31 | 25 |
| Commercial claims |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37 38 | Europe ............. | 4,922 | 5,544 | 5,382r | 4,404r | 4,330r | 4,227r 178 | $\begin{array}{r}3,755 \\ 150 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  |
| 38 <br> 39 | Belgium-Luxembourg. | 202 727 | 233 1,129 | 234 | 246 698 | 211 636 | 178 <br> 646 <br> 27 | 150 <br> 473 | 140 486 |
| 40 | Germany. | 593 | -599 | 559 | 454 | 394 | 427 | 356 | 414 |
| 41 | Netherlands | 298 | 318 | 299 | $222^{r}$ | $291{ }^{r}$ | $26^{\prime}$ | 347 | 307 |
| 42 | Switzerland. | 272 | 354 | 427 | 354 | $414{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $291{ }^{\circ}$ | 339 | 227 |
| 43 | United Kingdom | 901 | 929 | 969 | 1,062 | 905 | 1,035 | 793 | 748 |
| 44 | Canada | 859 | 914 | 967 | 939 r | 714 r | 666 | 635 | 674 |
| 45 | Latin America and Caribbean. | 2,879 | 3,766 | 3,479 | 2.925 | 2,789r | 2.772 | 2,513 | 2,645 |
| 46 | Bahamas. | 21 | 21 | 12 | 80 | 30 | 19 | 21 | 30 |
| 47 | Bermuda | 197 | 108 | 223 | 212 | 225 | 154 | 259 | 172 |
| 48 | Brazil. | 645 | 861 | 668 | 417 | 423 | 481 | 258 | 401 |
| 49 | British West Indies | 16 | 34 | 12 | 23 | 10 | 7 | 12 | 22 |
| 50 | Mexico | 708 | 1,102 | 1,022 | 762 | 750 | 869 | 767 | 864 |
| 51 | Venezuela. | 343 | 410 | 424 | 396 | 383 | 373 | 351 | 286 |
| 52 | Asia | 3.451 | 3,522 | $3.954{ }^{r}$ | $3.209{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 3,422r | 3,091 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 3,033 | 3.108 |
| 53 | Japan.......................... | 1,177 | 1,052 | 1,244 | 1,170r | 1,249 | $973 r$ | 1,047 | 1,415 |
| 54 | Middle East oil-exporting countries ${ }^{2}$ | 765 | 825 | 905 r | 757 | $809{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $777 r$ | 748 | 700 |
| 55 | Africa | 551 | 653 | $772{ }^{2}$ | $612^{r}$ | $648{ }^{r}$ | 660 | 588 | 559 |
| 56 | Oil-exporting countries ${ }^{3}$ | 130 | 153 | 152 | 143 | 138 | 148 | 140 | 131 |
| 57 | All other ${ }^{4}$ | 240 | 321 | 461 | 463 | 413 | 448 | 415 | 341 |

1. For a description of the changes in the International Statistics tables, see July 1979 Bulletin, p. 550
2. Comprises Bahrain, Iran, Iraq, Kuwait, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, and United Arab Emirates (Trucial States).

### 3.24 FOREIGN TRANSACTIONS IN SECURITIES

Millions of dollars

| Transactions, and area or country | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1983 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan.- } \\ & \text { Aug. } \end{aligned}$ | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | Juner | July | Aug. ${ }^{\text {P }}$ |
|  | U.S. corporate securities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stocks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Foreign purchases. | 40,686 | 41,916 | 47,882 | 5,314 | 7,083 | 5,920 | 6,619 | 6,864 | 5,758 | 5,150 |
| 2 Foreign sales | 34,856 | 37,956 | 43,362 | 4,349 | 6,155 | 5,344 | 6,365 | 6,454 | 5,198 | 5,122 |
| 3 Net purchases, or sales ( - ) | 5,830 | 3,959 | 4,520 | 965 | 928 | 576 | 254 | 410 | 560 | 27 |
| 4 Foreign countries . | 5,803 | 3,875 | 4,426 | 945 | 902 | 524 | 252 | 435 | 551 | 29 |
| 5 Europe | 3,662 | 2,603 | 4,146 | 894 | 976 | 626 | 296 | 202 | 442 | 96 |
| 6 France. | 900 | -143 | 78 | 52 | 8 | 29 | -28 | 14 | 33 | -77 |
| 7 Germany. | -22 | 333 | 940 | 137 | 226 | 222 | 86 | -31 | 135 | 54 |
| 8 Netherlands | 42 | -60 | -99 | 8 | 41 | $-5$ | -81 | -57 | 7 | -13 |
| 9 Switzerland. . . . | 288 | -529 | 1,516 | 223 | 102 | 278 | 269 | 186 | 187 | 56 |
| 10 United Kingdom | 2,235 | 3,136 | 1,673 | 447 | 576 | 127 | 116 | 95 | 49 | 79 |
| 11 Canada .. | 783 | 221 | 686 | 61 | 147 | 122 | 92 | 98 | 1 | 75 |
| 12 Latin America and Caribbean. | -30 | 304 | 207 | 83 | $-23$ | 119 | 63 | 28 | 35 | -98 |
| 13 Middle East ${ }^{1}$ | 1,140 | 366 | -743 | -13 | -57 | -302 | -192 | 36 | -59 | -98 |
| 14 Other Asia | 287 | 246 | 62 | -91 | -210 | -44 | 0 | 68 | 146 | 75 |
| 15 Africa. | 7 | 13 | 36 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 7 |
| 16 Other countries | -46 | 131 | 32 | 6 | 60 | -4 | -10 | 2 | -12 | -28 |
| 17 Nonmonetary international and regional organizations. | 27 | 85 | 93 | 21 | 26 | 52 | 2 | -25 | 9 | -1 |
| Bonds ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 Foreign purchases. | 17,304 | 21,919 | 16,024 | 1,885 | 2,312 | 2,318 | 2,458 | 1,546 | 1,438 | 2,119 |
| 19 Foreign sales | 12,272 | 20,463 | 16,089 | 1,877 | 2,448 | 2,067 | 2,289 | 1,741 | 1,463 | 1,926 |
| 20 Net purchases, or sales (-) | 5,033 | 1,456 | -65 | 8 | -136 | 251 | 169 | -195 | -25 | 193 |
| 21 Foreign countries . | 4,972 | 1,484 | -144 | 33 | -153 | 265 | 193 | -197 | -49 | 91 |
| 22 Europe | 1,351 | 2,081 | 69 | -148 | -266 | 261 | 474 | -122 | -74 | 120 |
| 23 France. | 11 | 295 | -49 | -2 | -22 | 7 | 75 | -7 | -5 | -6 |
| 24 Germany | 848 | 2,116 | 132 | -35 | 127 | 47 | 85 | -12 | -8 | 25 |
| 25 Netherlands | 70 | 28 | 59 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 12 | -4 | 5 | -3 |
| 26 Switzerland. | 108 | 161 | 506 | 62 | -2 | 209 | 188 | 28 | -8 | $-1$ |
| 27 United Kingdom | 196 | -58! | -120 | -90 | -182 | -103 | 141 | 120 | -33 | 117 |
| 28 Canada ................... | -12 | 25 | 91 | 15 | 21 | -18 | 22 | -10 | 53 | -3 |
| 29 Latin America and Caribbean. | 132 | 160 | 53 | 11 | 1 | -3 | 10 | 19 | 13 | -21 |
| 30 Middle East ${ }^{1}$ | 3,465 | -748 | -886 | 86 | 32 | -50 | -378 | -168 | -119 | -78 |
| 31 Other Asia | 44 | -23 | 474 | 72 | 59 | 60 | 62 | 47 | 78 | 74 |
| 32 Africa ......... | -1 | -19 | -2 | -1 | 0 | -5 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 33 Other countries | -7 | 7 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 2 | 35 | 0 | 0 |
| 34 Nonmonetary international and regional organizations . | 61 | -28 | 80 | -25 | 17 | -14 | -24 | 2 | 24 | 102 |
|  | Foreign securities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35 Stocks, net purchases, or sales (-). | -247 | -1,343 | -3,524 | -227 | -447 | -548 | -64] | -647 | -487 | -199 |
| 36 Foreign purchases...... | 9.339 | 7,165 | 8,661 | 1.042 | 1.187 | 971 | 1.079 | 1,346 | 972 | 1,032 |
| 37 Foreign sales .... | 9,586 | 8,508 | 12,185 | 1,270 | 1,634 | 1.519 | 1,720 | 1,993 | 1,458 | 1,231 |
| 38 Bonds, net purchases, or sales ( - ) | -5,460 | -6,557 | -2,852 | -278 | -556 | -686 | -837 | 127 | -209 | -440 |
| 39 Foreign purchases. | 17,553 | 29,898 | 22,700 | 3,526 | 2,772 | 2,396 | 2,655 | 3,220 | 2,534 | 2,709 |
| 40 Foreign sales | 23,013 | 36,455 | 25,551 | 3,804 | 3,328 | 3,083 | 3,492 | 3,092 | 2,744 | 3,149 |
| 41 Net purchases, or sales ( - ), of stocks and bonds | -5,707 | -7,900 | -6,376 | -506 | -1,003 | -1,234 | -1,478 | -520 | -696 | -640 |
| 42 Foreign countries. | -4,694 | -6,735 | -5,887 | -818 | -714 | -1,212 | -972 | -546 | -705 | -646 |
| 43 Europe | -728 | $-2,433$ | -4,456 | -688 | -606 | -672 | -632 | -583 | -682 | -284 |
| 44 Canada ..................... | -3,697 | -2,364 | -1,198 | -449 | 13 | -438 | -287 | 5 | 55 | -77 |
| 45 Latin America and Caribbean. | 69 | 288 | . 950 | 345 | -24 | 88 | 243 | -80 | 57 | 62 |
| 46 Asia. | -367 | -1,853 | -1,209 | -37 | -144 | -221 | -309 | -182 | -145 | 23 |
| 47 Africa | -55 | -9 | 113 | 21 | 30 | 25 | 9 | 13 | 11 | 14 |
| 48 Other countries . . . | 84 | -364 | -89 | -10 | 16 | 7 | 4 | 280 | 0 | -385 |
| 49 Nonmonetary international and regional organizations ... | -1,012 | -1,165 | -488 | 312 | -289 | -22 | -506 | 26 | 9 | 7 |

1. Comprises oil-exporting countries as follows: Bahrain, Iran, Iraq, Kuwait, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, and United Arab Emirates (Trucial States).
2. Includes state and local government securities, and securities of U.S. government agencies and corporations. Also includes issues of new debt securities sold abroad by U.S. corporations organized to finance direct investments abroad.

### 3.25 MARKETABLE U.S. TREASURY BONDS AND NOTES Foreign Holdings and Transactions Millions of dollars



1. Estimated official and private holdings of marketable U.S. Treasury securities with an original maturity of more than 1 year. Data are based on a benchmark survey of holdings as of Jan. 31, 1971, and monthly transactions reports. Excludes nonmarketable U.S. Treasury bonds and notes held by official institutions of nonmarketable U.
2. Beginning December 1978, includes U.S. Treasury notes publicly issued to private foreign residents denominated in foreign currencies
3. Comprises Bahrain, Iran. Iraq, Kuwait, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, and United Arab Emirates (Trucial States).
4. Comprises Algeria, Gabon, Libya, and Nigeria.

### 3.26 DISCOUNT RATES OF FOREIGN CENTRAL BANKS

Percent per annum

| Country | Rate on Aug. 31, 1983 |  | Country | Rate on Aug. 31, 1983 |  | Country | Rate on Aug. 31, 1983 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Month effective |  | Percent | Month effective |  | Percent | Month effective |
| Austria | 3.75 | Mar. 1983 | France ${ }^{1}$ | 12.25 | June 1983 | Norway | 8.0 | June 1979 |
| Belgium | 9.0 | June 1983 | Germany, Fed. Rep. of | 4.0 | Mar. 1983 | Switzerland | 4.0 | Mar. 1983 |
| Brazil.. | 49.0 | Mar. 1981 | Italy | 17.0 | Apr. 1983 | United Kingdom ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| Canada. | 9.56 | Sept. 1983 | Japan | 5.5 | Dec. 1981 | Venezuela | 13.0 | Sept. 1982 |
| Denmark | 7.5 | Арг. 1983 | Netherlands | 5.0 | Sept 1983 |  |  |  |

1. As of the end of February 1981, the rate is that at which the Bank of France discounts Treasury bills for 7 to 10 days.
2. Minimum lending rate suspended as of Aug. 20, 1981.

Note. Rates shown are mainly those at which the central bank either discounts
or makes advances against eligible commercial paper and/or government commercial banks or brokers. For councries with more than one rate applicable to such discounts or advances, the rate shown is the one at which it is understood the central bank transacts the largest proportion of its credit operations.

### 3.27 FOREIGN SHORT-TERM INTEREST RATES

Percent per annum, averages of daily figures

| Country, or type | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug. | Sept. |
| 1 Eurodollars. | 14.00 | 16.79 | 12.24 | 9.25 | 9.23 | 8.96 | 9.66 | 10.00 | 10.27 | 9.82 |
| 2 United Kingdom | 16.59 | 13.86 | 12.21 | 10.92 | 10.21 | 10.18 | 9.91 | 9.84 | 9.83 | 9.63 |
| 3 Canada. | 13.12 | 18.84 | 14.38 | 9.36 | 9.39 | 9.30 | 9.41 | 9.42 | 9.49 | 9.35 |
| ${ }_{5}^{4}$ Germany .. | 9.45 | 12.05 | 8.81 | 5.40 | 5.16 | 5.27 | 5.52 | 5.54 | 5.66 | 5.83 |
| 5 Switzerland. | 5.79 | 9.15 | 5.04 | 3.64 | 4.20 | 4.48 | 4.98 | 4.77 | 4.61 | 4.40 |
| 6 Netherlands | 10.60 | 11.52 | 8.26 | 4.34 | 5.19 | 5.65 | 5.81 | 5.58 | 6.03 | 6.15 |
| 7 France.. | 12.18 | 15.28 | 14.61 | 12.64 | 12.12 | 12.51 | 12.59 | 12.33 | 12.33 | 12.42 |
| 8 Italy.. | 17.50 | 19.98 | 19.99 | 19.19 | 18.20 | 17.75 | 17.72 | 17.50 | 17.50 | 17.42 |
| $9{ }_{10} 9$ Belgium. | 14.06 11.45 | 15.28 7.58 | 14.10 | 13.32 | 11.05 | 10.04 | 9.73 | 9.08 | 9.25 | 9.25 |
| 10 Japan. | 11.45 | 7.58 | 6.84 | 6.72 | 6.34 | $6.26 r$ | 6.46 | 6.47 | 6.52 | 6.68 |

Note. Rates are for 3-month interbank loans except for Canada, finance company paper; Belgium, 3-month Treasury bills; and Japan, Gensaki rate.

### 3.28 FOREIGN EXCHANGE RATES

Currency units per dollar

| Country/currency | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug. | Sept. |
| 1 Argentina/neso | n.a. | n.a. | 20985.00 | 66868.56 | 71100.94 | 8.08 | 8.85 | 8.94 | 11.22 |
| 2 Australia/dollar ${ }^{1}$ | 114.00 | 114.95 | 101.65 | 86.76 | 87.85 | 87.72 | 87.54 | 87.93 | 88.77 |
| 3 Austria/schilling | 12.945 | 15.948 | 17.060 | 17.176 | 17.368 | 17.974 | 18.208 | 18.799 | 18.754 |
| ${ }_{5}$ Belgium/franc.. | 29.237 | 37.194 | 45.780 | 48.577 | 49.239 | 50.928 | 51.862 | 53.609 | 53.841 |
| 5 Brazil/cruzeiro | n.a. | 92.374 | 179.22 | 434.77 | 465.65 | 517.28 | 571.73 | 643.34 | 701.38 |
| 6 Canadaddollar | 1.1693 | 1.1990 | 1.2344 | 1.2325 | 1.2292 | 1. 2323 | 1.2323 | 1.2338 | 1.2326 |
| 7 Chile/peso. | п.a. | n.a. | 51.118 | 76.028 | 75.405 | 77.500 | 78.987 | 80.011 | 81.767 |
| 8 China, P.R./yuan | n.a. | 1.7031 | 1.8978 | 1.9938 | 1.9895 | 1.9949 | 1.9966 | 1.9843 | 1.9867 |
| 9 Colombia/peso.. | n.a. | n.a. | 64.071 | 74.751 | 76.153 | 77.380 | 78.997 | 80.707 | 82.494 |
| 10 Denmark/krone | 5.6345 | 7.1350 | 8.3443 | 8.6663 | 8.8003 | 9.1287 | 9.3142 | 9.6308 | 9.5926 |
| 11 Finland/markka | 3.7206 | 4.3128 | 4.8086 | 5.4342 | 5.4361 | 5.5351 | 5.5863 | 5.7063 | 5.7057 |
| 12 France/franc | 4.2250 | 5.4396 | 6.5793 | 7.3148 | 7.4163 | 7.6621 | 7.7878 | 8.0442 | 8.0598 |
| 13 Germany/deutsche mark | 1.8175 | 2.2631 | 2.428 | 2.4397 | 2.4665 | 2.5490 | 2.5914 | 2.6736 | 2.6679 |
| 14 Greece/drachma.. | n.a. | n.a. | 66.872 | 84.037 | 84.105 | 84.486 | 84.677 | 89.217 | 92.837 |
| 15 Hong Kong/dollar | n.a. | 5.5678 | 6.0697 | 6.7868 | 6.9667 | 7.2822 | 7.1678 | 7.4416 | 8.0079 |
| 16 India/rupee | 7.8866 | 8.6807 | 9.4846 | 9.9824 | 9.9895 | 10.049 | 10.0875 | 10.187 | 10.200 |
| 17 Indonesiajrupiah | n.a. | n.a. | 660.43 | 970.81 | 968.83 | 973.00 | 978.57 | 984.09 | 986.24 |
| 18 Iran/rial. | n.a. | 79.324 | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | п.a. | n.a. | n.a. |
| 19 Ireland/pound ${ }^{\text {' }}$ | 205.77 | 161.32 | 142.05 | 129.53 | 128.11 | 123.81 | 121.87 | 117.99 | 117.41 |
| 20 Israel/shekel | n.a. | n.a. | 24.407 | 40.951 | 43.427 | 46.138 | 49.614 | 55.949 | 60.059 |
| 21 Italy/iira. | 856.20 | 1138.60 | 1354.00 | 1451.88 | 1467.76 | 1510.98 | 1533.41 | 1589.74 | 1602.62 |
| 22 Japan/yen | 226.63 | 220.63 | 249.06 | 237.75 | 234.76 | 240.03 | 240.52 | 244.46 | 242.35 |
| 23 Malaysia/ringgit | 2.1767 | 2.3048 | 2.3395 | 2.3063 | 2.3009 | 2.3244 | 2.3319 | 2.3523 | 2.3506 |
| 24 Mexico/peso | 22.968 | 24.547 | 72.990 | 153.77 | 150.27 | 149.02 | 149.36 | 151.59 | 152.20 |
| 25 Netherlands/guilder. | 1.9875 | 2.4998 | 2.6719 | 2.7486 | 2.7737 | 2.8557 | 2.8985 | 2.9912 | 2.9844 |
| 26 New Zealand/dollar ${ }^{1}$ | 97.34 | 86.848 | 75.101 | 65.726 | 66,246 | 65.659 | 65.383 | 65.100 | 65.316 |
| 27 Norway/krone | 4.9381 | 5.7430 | 6.4567 | 7.1460 | 7.1154 | 7.2678 | 7.3280 | 7.4641 | 7.4271 |
| 28 Peru/sol | n.a. | n.a. | 694.59 | 1284.37 | 1390.60 | 1514.46 | 1645.99 | 1853.18 | 1995.33 |
| 29 Philippines/peso | n.a. | 7.8113 | 8.5324 | 9.8449 | 10.015 | 10.393 | 11.050 | 11.050 | 11.050 |
| 30 Portugal/escudo | 50.082 | 61.739 | 80.101 | 99.055 | 99.521 | 107.39 | 119.03 | 123.03 | 124.41 |
| 31 Singapore/dollar. | n.a. | 2.1053 | 2.1406 | 2.1010 | 2.0920 | 2.1198 | 2.1294 | 2.1416 | 2.1417 |
| 32 South Africa/rand ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 128.54 | 114.77 | 92.297 | 91.42 | 92.31 | 91.65 | 91.19 | 89.55 | 89.86 |
| 33 South Korea/won | n.a. | n.a. | 731.93 | 765.29 | 767.96 | 775.82 | 779.88 | 787.19 | 790.83 |
| 34 Spain/peseta. | 71.758 | 92.396 | 110.09 | 135.99 | 137.76 | 143.29 | 147.973 | 151.302 | 152.022 |
| 35 Sri Lanka/rupee | 16.167 | 18.967 | 20.756 | 22.971 | 22.970 | 23.050 | 24.082 | 24.257 | 24.397 |
| 36 Sweden/krona. | 4.2309 | 5.0659 | 6.2838 | 7.4941 | 7.4978 | 7.6351 | 7.6936 | 7.8585 | 7.8773 |
| 37 Switzerland/franc. | 1.6772 | 1.9674 | 2.0327 | 2.0587 | 2.0572 | 2.1123 | 2.1184 | 2.1632 | 2.1623 |
| 38 Thailand/baht. | n.a. | 21.731 | 23.014 | 22.990 | 22.988 | 22.990 | 22.990 | 22.990 | 22.990 |
| 39 United Kingdom/pound ${ }^{1}$ | 232.58 | 202.43 | 174.80 | 153.61 | 157.22 | 154.80 | 152.73 | 150.26 | 149.86 |
| 40 Venezuela/bolivar | n.a. | 4.2781 | 4.2981 | 9.0429 | 10.233 | 11.213 | 12.595 | 15.600 | 13.833 |
| Memo: <br> United States/dollar ${ }^{2}$ | 87.39 | 102.94 | 116.57 | 121.82 | 122.05 | 125.16 | 126.62 | 129.77 | 129.74 |

## 1. Value in U.S. cents.

2. Index of weighted-average exchange value of U.S. dollar against currencies of other G-10 countries plus Switzerland. March $1973=100$. Weights are 1972-76 global trade of each of the 10 countries. Series revised as of August 1978. For
description and back data, see "Index of the Weighted-Average Exchange Value of the U.S. Dollar: Revision' on p. 700 of the August 1978 Bulletin.
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# Guide to Tabular Presentation, Statistical Releases, and Special Tables 

Guide to Tabular Presentation

## Symbols and Abbreviations


#### Abstract

c Corrected e Estimated p Preliminary r Revised (Notation appears on column heading when about half of the figures in that column are changed.) * Amounts insignificant in terms of the last decimal place shown in the table (for example, less than 500,000 when the smallest unit given is millions)


| 0 | Calculated to be zero |
| :--- | :--- |
| n.a. | Not available |
| n.e.c. | Not elsewhere classified |
| IPCs | Individuals, partnerships, and corporations |
| REITs | Real estate investment trusts |
| RPs | Repurchase agreements |
| SMSAs | Standard metropolitan statistical areas |
| $\ldots .$. | Cell not applicable |

Calculated to be zero

Not elsewhere classified Individuals, partnerships, and corporations
Real estate investment trusts
RPs
Standard metropolitan statistical areas
Cell not applicable

## General Information

Minus signs are used to indicate (1) a decrease, (2) a negative figure, or (3) an outfiow.
"U.S. government securities" may include guaranteed issues of U.S. government agencies (the flow of funds figures also include not fully guaranteed issues) as well as direct
obligations of the Treasury. "State and local government"' also includes municipalities, special districts, and other political subdivisions.

In some of the tables details do not add to totals because of rounding.

## Statistical Releases

List Published Semiannually, with Latest Bulletin Reference
Anticipated schedule of release dates for periodic releases. $\qquad$

## Special Tables

## Published Irregularly, with Latest Bulletin Reference

| Assets and liabilities of commercial banks, June 30, 1982 | October 1982 | A70 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets and liabilities of commercial banks, September 30, 1982 | January 1983 | A70 |
| Assets and liabilities of commercial banks, December 31, 1982 | April 1983 | A70 |
| Assets and liabilities of commercial banks, March 31, 1983. | August 1983 | A70 |
| Assets and liabilities of U.S. branches and agencies of foreign banks, June 30, 1982 | October 1982 | A76 |
| Assets and liabilities of U.S. branches and agencies of foreign banks, September 30, 1982 | January 1983 | A76 |
| Assets and liabilities of U.S. branches and agencies of foreign banks, December 31, 1982. | April 1983 | A76 |
| Assets and liabilities of U.S. branches and agencies of foreign banks, March 31, 1983. | August 1983 | A76 |

# Federal Reserve Board of Governors 

Paul A. Volcker, Chairman<br>Preston Martin, Vice Chairman

Henry C. Wallich<br>J. Charles Partee

## Office of Board Members

Joseph R. Coyne, Assistant to the Board Donald J. Winn, Assistant to the Board Steven M. Roberts, Assistant to the Chairman Frank O'Brien, Jr., Deputy Assistant to the Board Anthony F. Cole, Special Assistant to the Board William R. Jones, Special Assistant to the Board Naomi P. Salus, Special Assistant to the Board

## Legal Division

Michael Bradfield, General Counsel
J. Virgil Mattingly, Jr., Associate General Counsel

Gilbert T. Schwartz, Associate General Counsel
Richard M. Ashton, Assistant General Counsel
Nancy P. Jacklin, Assistant General Counsel
MaryEllen A. Brown, Assistant to the General Counsel

## Office of the Secretary

William W. Wiles, Secretary
Barbara R. Lowrey, Associate Secretary
James McAfee, Associate Secretary

## DIvision of CONSUMER AND COMMUNITY AFFAIRS

Griffith L. Garwood, Director

Jerauld C. Kluckman, Associate Director
Glenn E. Loney, Assistant Director
Dolores S. Smith, Assistant Director

## Division of Banking <br> Supervision and Regulation

John E. Ryan, Director
William Taylor, Deputy Director
Frederick R. Dahl, Associate Director
Don E. Kline, Associate Director
Jack M. Egertson, Assistant Director
Robert A. Jacobsen, Assistant Director
Robert S. Plotkin, Assistant Director
Thomas A. Sidman, Assistant Director
Sidney M. Sussan, Assistant Director
Samuel H. Talley, Assistant Director
Laura M. Homer, Securities Credit Officer

## Office of Staff Director for Monetary and Financial Policy

Stephen H. Axilrod, Staff Director
Donald L. Kohn, Deputy Staff Director
Stanley J. Sigel, Assistant to the Board
Normand R.V. Bernard, Special Assistant to the Board

## Division of Research and Statistics

James L. Kichline, Director
Edward C. Ettin, Deputy Director
Michael J. Prell, Deputy Director
Joseph S. Zeisel, Deputy Director
Jared J. Enzler, Associate Director
Eleanor J. Stockwell, Associate Director
David E. Lindsey, Deputy Associate Director Frederick M. Struble, Deputy Associate Director Helmut F. Wendel, Deputy Associate Director
Martha Bethea, Assistant Director
Robert M. Fisher, Assistant Director
Susan J. Lepper, Assistant Director
Thomas D. Simpson, Assistant Director Lawrence Slifman, Assistant Director Stephen P. Taylor, Assistant Director Peter A. Tinsley, Assistant Director Levon H. Garabedian, Assistant Director (Administration)

## Division of International Finance

Edwin M. Truman, Director
Robert F. Gemmill, Senior Associate Director Charles J. Siegman, Senior Associate Director
Larry J. Promisel, Associate Director
Dale W. Henderson, Deputy Associate Director
Samuel Pizer, Staff Adviser
Michael P. Dooley, Assistant Director
Ralph W. Smith, Jr., Assistant Director

## and Official Staff

Nancy H. Teeters

Lyle E. Gramley
Emmett J. Rice

Office of<br>Staff Director for Management

S. David Frost, Staff Director<br>Stephen R. Malphrus, Assistant Staff Director Edward T. Mulrenin, Assistant Staff Director

## Division of Data Processing

Charles L. Hampton, Director
Bruce M. Beardsley, Deputy Director
Glenn L. Cummins, Assistant Director Neal H. Hillerman, Assistant Director Elizabeth A. Johnson, Assistant Director Richard J. Manasseri, Assistant Director William C. Schneider, Jr., Assistant Director Robert J. Zemel, Assistant Director

## Division of Personnel

David L. Shannon, Director John R. Weis, Assistant Director Charles W. Wood, Assistant Director

Office of The Controller
George E. Livingston, Controller
Brent L. Bowen, Assistant Controller

## Division of Support Services

Donald E. Anderson, Director
Robert E. Frazier, Associate Director
Walter W. Kreimann, Associate Director

## Office of Staff Director for Federal Reserve Bank Activities

Theodore E. Allison, Staff Director
Joseph W. Daniels, Sr., Equal Employment Opportunity Programs Adviser

## Division of Federal Reserve Bank Operations

Clyde H. Farnsworth, Jr., Director Elliott C. McEntee, Associate Director David L. Robinson, Associate Director C. William Schleicher, Jr.. Associate Director Walter Althausen, Assistant Director Charles W. Bennett, Assistant Director Anne M. DeBeer, Assistant Director Jack Dennis, Jr., Assistant Director Richard B. Green, Assistant Director
Earl G. Hamilton, Assistant Director
*John F. Sobala, Assistant Director

[^45]
# FOMC and Advisory Councils 

## Federal Open Market Committee

Paul A. Volcker, Chairman

| Lyle E. Gramley | Preston Martin |
| :--- | :--- |
| Roger Guffey | Frank E. Morris |
| Silas Keehn | J. Charles Partee |

Stephen H. Axilrod, Staff Director and Secretary
Normand R.V. Bernard, Assistant Secretary
Nancy M. Steele, Deputy Assistant Secretary
Michael Bradfield, General Counsel
James H. Oltman, Deputy General Counsel
James L. Kichline, Economist
Edwin M. Truman, Economist (International)
Anatol Balbach, Associate Economist

Anthony M. Solomon, Vice Chairman

Emmett J. Rice
Theodore H. Roberts
Nancy H. Teeters
Henry C. Wallich

Peter D. Sternlight, Manager for Domestic Operations, System Open Market Account
Sam Y. Cross, Manager for Foreign Operations, System Open Market Account

## FEDERAL ADVISORY COUNCIL

Ronald Terry, Eighth District, President
William S. Edgerly, First District, Vice President

Lewis T. Preston, Second District
John H. Walther, Third District John G. McCoy, Fourth District Vincent C. Burke, Jr., Fifth District Philip F. Searle, Sixth District

Roger E. Anderson, Seventh District E. Peter Gillette. Jr., Ninth District N. Berne Hart, Tenth District T.C. Frost, Jr., Eleventh District Joseph J. Pinola, Twelfth District

Herbert V. Prochnow, Secretary William J. Korsvik, Associate Secretary

## CONSUMER ADVISORY COUNCIL

Susan Pierson De Witt, Chicago, Illinois, Chairman
William J. O'Connor, Jr., Buffalo, New York, Vice Chairman

Arthur F. Bouton, Little Rock, Arkansas James G. Boyle, Austin, Texas Gerald R. Christensen, Salt Lake City, Utah Thomas L. Clark, Jr., New York, New York Jean A. Crockett, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania Joseph N. Cugini, Westerly, Rhode Island Meredith Fernstrom, New York, New York Allen J. Fishbein, Washington, D.C. E.C.A. Forsberg, Sr., Atlanta, Georgia

Luther R. Gatling, New York, New York
Richard F. Halliburton, Kansas City, Missouri
Charles C. Holt, Austin, Texas
George S. Irvin, Denver, Colorado
Harry N. Jackson, Minneapolis, Minnesota

Kenneth V. Larkin. San Francisco, California Timothy D. Marrinan, Minneapolis, Minnesota Stanley L. Mularz, Chicago, Illinois Willard P. Ogburn, Boston, Massachusetts Elva Quifano, San Antonio, Texas Janet J. Rathe, Portland, Oregon Janet M. Scacciotti, Providence, Rhode Island Glenda G. Sloane, Washington, D.C. Henry J. Sommer, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania Nancy Z. Spillman, Los Angeles, California Winnie F. Taylor, Gainesville, Florida Michael M. Van Buskirk, Columbus, Ohio Clinton Warne, Cleveland, Ohio Frederick T. Weimer, Chicago, Illinois

# Federal Reserve Banks, Branches, and Offices 

| FEDERAL RESERVE BANK, branch, or facility | Chairman Deputy Chairman | President First Vice President | Vice President in charge of branch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BOSTON*............... 02106 | Robert P. Henderson Thomas I. Atkins | Frank E. Morris James A. McIntosh |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { NEW YORK* ............. } 10045 \\ \text { Buffalo..................... } 14240 \end{array}$ | John Brademas Gertrude G. Michelson <br> M. Jane Dickman | Anthony M. Solomon Thomas M. Timien | John T. Keane |
| PHILADELPHIA ....... 19105 | Robert M. Landis, Esq. Nevius M. Curtis | Edward G. Boehne Richard L. Smoot |  |
| CLEVELAND* $\ldots \ldots \ldots . . . .44101$ Cincinnati................. 45201 Pittsburgh .............. 15230 | J.L. Jackson <br> William H. Knoell <br> Clifford R. Meyer <br> Milton G. Hulme, Jr. | Karen N. Horn William H. Hendricks | Robert E. Showalter Harold J. Swart |
| RICHMOND* ............. 23219 <br> Baltimore ................. 21203 <br> Charlotte ..................... 28230 <br> Culpeper Communications and Records Center 22701 | Steven Muller <br> William S. Lee, III <br> Edward H. Covell <br> Henry Ponder | Robert P. Black Jimmie R. Monhollon | Robert D. McTeer, Jr. Albert D. Tinkelenberg John G. Stoides |
| ATLANTA .................. 30301 Birmingham .............. 35283 Jacksonville ............ 32231 Miami ................. 33152 Nashville............ 7203 New Orleans.......... 70161 | William A. Fickling, Jr. <br> John H. Weitnauer, Jr. <br> Samuel R. Hill, Jr. <br> Joan W. Stein <br> Eugene E. Cohen <br> Robert C.H. Mathews, Jr. <br> Roósevelt Steptoe | Vacancy Robert P. Forrestal | Fred R. Herr Charles D. East Patrick K. Barron Jeffrey J. Wells James D. Hawkins |
| CHICAGO* Detroit $\ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ 60690 ${ }^{48231}$ | John Sagan <br> Stanton R. Cook Russell G. Mawby | Silas Keehn Daniel M. Doyle | William C. Conrad |
| ST. LOUIS................. 63166 Little Rock ............... 72203 Louisville ................ 30232 Memphis ........... 38101 | W.L. Hadley Griffin Mary P. Holt Richard V. Warner William C. Ballard, Jr. G. Rives Neblett | Theodore H. Roberts Joseph P. Garbarini | John F. Breen James E. Conrad Randall C. Sumner |
| MINNEAPOLIS......... 55480 Helena .................... 59601 | William G. Phillips John B. Davis, Jr. Gene J. Etchart | E. Gerald Corrigan Thomas E. Gainor | Robert F. McNellis |
| KANSAS CITY........... 64198 Denver..................... 80217 Oklahoma City ........ 73125 Omaha................ 68102 | Paul H. Henson <br> Doris M. Drury <br> James E. Nielson Christine H. Anthony Robert G. Lueder | Roger Guffey <br> Henry R. Czerwinski | Wayne W. Martin William G. Evans Robert D. Hamilton |
| DALLAS ................... 75222 El Paso ..................... 79999 Houston............... 77252 San Antonio........... 78295 | Gerald D. Hines <br> John V. James <br> Chester J. Kesey <br> Paul N. Howell <br> Carlos A. Zuniga | Robert H. Boykin William H. Wallace | Joel L. Koonce, Jr. <br> J.Z. Rowe <br> Thomas H. Robertson |
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Copies are available from PUBLICATIONS SERVICES Mail Stop 138, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551. When a charge is indicated, remittance should accompany request and be made

The Federal Reserve System-Purposes and Functions. 1974. 125 pp .
annual Report.
Federal Reserve Bulletin. Monthly. $\$ 20.00$ per year or $\$ 2.00$ each in the United States, its possessions, Canada, and Mexico; 10 or more of same issue to one address, $\$ 18.00$ per year or $\$ 1.75$ each. Elsewhere, $\$ 24.00$ per year or $\$ 2.50$ each.
Banking and Monetary Statistics. 1914-1941. (Reprint of Part I only) 1976. $682 \mathrm{pp} . \$ 5.00$.
Banking and Monetary Statistics. 1941-1970. 1976. $1,168 \mathrm{pp} . \$ 15.00$.
annual Statistical Digest 1971-75. 1976. $339 \mathrm{pp} . \$ 5.00$ per copy. 1972-76. $1977.377 \mathrm{pp} . \$ 10.00$ per copy. 1973-77. 1978. 361 pp. $\$ 12.00$ per copy. 1974-78. 1980. 305 pp. $\$ 10.00$ per copy. 1970-79. 1981. $587 \mathrm{pp} . \$ 20.00$ per copy. 1980. 1981. $241 \mathrm{pp} . \$ 10.00$ per copy. 1981. 1982. $239 \mathrm{pp} . \$ 6.50$ per copy.

Federal Reserve Chart Book. Issued four times a year in February, May, August, and November. Subscription includes one issue of Historical Chart Book. $\$ 7.00$ per year or $\$ 2.00$ each in the United States, its possessions, Canada, and Mexico. Elsewhere, $\$ 10.00$ per year or $\$ 3.00$ each.
Historical Chart Book. Issued annually in Sept. Subscription to the Federal Reserve Chart Book includes one issue. $\$ 1.25$ each in the United States, its possessions, Canada, and Mexico; 10 or more to one address, $\$ 1.00$ each. Elsewhere, $\$ 1.50$ each.
Selected Interest and Exchange Rates-Weekly Series of Charts. Weekly. $\$ 15.00$ per year or $\$ .40$ each in the United States, its possessions, Canada, and Mexico; 10 or more of same issue to one address, $\$ 13.50$ per year or $\$ .35$ each. Elsewhere, $\$ 20.00$ per year or $\$ .50$ each.
The Federal Reserve Act, as amended through April 20, 1983, with an appendix containing provisions of certain other statutes affecting the Federal Reserve System. 576 pp. $\$ 7.00$.
Regulations of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.
Report of the Joint Treasury-Federal Reserve Study of the U.S. Government Seclrities Market. 1969. $48 \mathrm{pp} . \$ .25$ each; 10 or more to one address, $\$ .20$ each.
Joint Treasury-Federal Reserve Study of the Government Securities Market; Staff Studies-Part 1. $1970.86 \mathrm{pp} . \$ .50$ each; 10 or more to one address, $\$ .40$ each. Part 2, 1971. 153 pp . and Part 3, 1973. 131 pp.
payable to the order of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System. Remittance from foreign residents should be drawn on a U.S. bank. Stamps and coupons are not accepted.

Parts 2 and 3, $\$ 1.00$ each; 10 or more to one address, $\$ .85$ each.
Open Market Policies and Operating ProceduresStaff Studies. 1971.218 pp. $\$ 2.00$ each; 10 or more to one address, $\$ 1.75$ each.
Reappraisal of the Federal Reserve Discount Mechanism. Vol. 1. 1971.276 pp. Vol. 2. 1971.173 pp. Vol. 3. 1972. 220 pp . Each Volume $\$ 3.00 ; 10$ or more to one address, $\$ 2.50$ each.
The Econometrics of Price Determination ConferENCE, October 30-31, 1970, Washington, D.C. 1972. 397 pp . Cloth ed. $\$ 5.00$ each; 10 or more to one address, $\$ 4.50$ each. Paper ed. $\$ 4.00$ each; 10 or more to one address, $\$ 3.60$ each.
Federal Reserve Staff Study: Ways to Moderate Fluctuations in Housing Construction. 1972. 487 pp. $\$ 4.00$ each; 10 or more to one address, $\$ 3.60$ each.
Lending Functions of the Federal Reserve Banks. 1973. 271 pp . $\$ 3.50$ each; 10 or more to one address, $\$ 3.00$ each.
Improving the Monetary Aggregates: Report of the Advisory Committee on Monetary Statistics. 1976. $43 \mathrm{pp} . \$ 1.00$ each; 10 or more to one address, $\$ .85$ each.
annual Percentage Rate Tables (Truth in LendingRegulation Z) Vol. I (Regular Transactions). 1969. 100 pp. Vol. $I I$ (Irregular Transactions). 1969. 116 pp. Each volume $\$ 1.00 ; 10$ or more of same volume to one address, $\$ .85$ each.
Federal Reserve Measures of Capacity and Capacity Utilization. $1978.40 \mathrm{pp} . \$ 1.75$ each; 10 or more to one address, $\$ 1.50$ each.
The Bank Holding Company Movement to 1978: A Compendium. 1978. $289 \mathrm{pp} . \$ 2.50$ each; 10 or more to one address, $\$ 2.25$ each.
Improving the Monetary Aggregates: Staff Papers. 1978. $170 \mathrm{pp} . \$ 4.00$ each; 10 or more to one address, \$3.75 each.
1977 Consumer Credit Survey. $1978.119 \mathrm{pp} . \$ 2.00$ each.
Flow of Funds Accounts. 1949-1978. 1979. 171 pp. $\$ 1.75$ each; 10 or more to one address, $\$ 1.50$ each.
Introduction to Flow of Funds. 1980.68 pp. $\$ 1.50$ each; 10 or more to one address, $\$ 1.25$ each.
Public Policy and Capital Formation. 1981. 326 pp. $\$ 13.50$ each.
New Monetary Control Procedures: Federal Reserve Staff Study, 1981.
Seasonal Adjustment of the Monetary Aggregates: Report of the Committee of Experts on Seasonal Adjustment Techniques. 1981. 55 pp. $\$ 2.75$ each.

Federal Reserve Regulatory Service. Looseleaf; updated at least monthly. (Requests must be prepaid.)
Consumer and Community Affairs Handbook. $\$ 60.00$ per year.
Monetary Policy and Reserve Requirements Handbook. $\$ 60.00$ per year.
Securities Credit Transactions Handbook. $\$ 60.00$ per year.
Federal Reserve Regulatory Service. 3 vols. (Contains all three Handbooks plus substantial additional material.) $\$ 175.00$ per year.
Rates for subscribers outside the United States are as follows and include additional air mail costs:
Federal Reserve Regulatory Service, $\$ 225.00$ per year.
Each Handbook, $\$ 75.00$ per year.
Welcome to the Federal Reserve, December 1982.
Processing Bank Holding Company and Merger AppliCations
Sustainable Recovery: Setting the Stage, November 1982.

Remarks by Chairman Padl A. Volcker, at Annual Human Relations Award Dinner, December 1982.
Remarks by Chairman Paul A. Volcker, at Dedication Ceremonies: Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco, March 1983.
Restoring Stability. Remarks by Chairman Paul A. Volcker, April 1983.
Credit Cards in the U.S. Economy: Their Impact on Costs, Prices, and Retail Sales. July 1983. 114 pp.

## Consumer Education Pamphlets

Short pamphlets suitable for classroom use. Multiple copies available without charge.

Alice in Debitland
Consumer Handbook to Credit Protection Laws
The Equal Credit Opportunity Act and . . . Age
The Equal Credit Opportunity Act and . . . Credit Rights in Housing
The Equal Credit Opportunity Act and . . . Doctors, Lawyers, Small Retailers, and Others Who May Provide Incidental Credit
The Equal Credit Opportunity Act and . . . Women
Fair Credit Billing
Federal Reserve Glossary
Guide to Federal Reserve Regulations
How to File A Consumer Credit Complaint
If You Borrow To Buy Stock
If You Use A Credit Card
Series on the Structure of the Federal Reserve System
The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System
The Federal Open Market Committee
Federal Reserve Bank Board of Directors
Federal Reserve Banks
Monetary Control Act of 1980
Organization and Advisory Committees
Truth in Leasing
U.S. Currency

What Truth in Lending Means to You

## Staff Studies: Summaries Only Printed in the

 BulletinStudies and papers on economic and financial subjects that are of general interest. Requests to obtain single copies of the full text or to be added to the mailing list for the series may be sent to Publications Services.
113. Below the Bottom Line: The Use of Contingencies and Commitments by Commercial Banks, by Benjamin Wolkowitz and others. Jan. 1982. 186 pp.
114. Mul.tibank Holding Companies: Recent Evidence on Competition and Performance in Banking Markets, by Timothy J. Curry and John T. Rose. Jan. 1982.9 pp .
115. Costs, Scale Economies, Competition, and Product Mix in the U.S. Payments Mechanism, by David B. Humphrey. Apr. 1982. 18 pp.
116. Divisia Monetary Aggregates: Compilation, Data, and Historical Behavior, by William A. Barnett and Paul A. Spindt. May 1982, 82 pp.
117. The Community Reinvestment Act and Credit Allocation, by Glenn Canner. June 1982. 8 pp.
118. Interest Rates and Terms on Construction Loans at Commercial Banks, by David F. Seiders. July 1982. 14 pp.
119. Structure-Performance Studies in Banking: An Updated Summary and Evaluation, by Stephen A. Rhoades. Aug. 1982. 15 pp .
120. Foreign Subsidiaries of U.S. Banking Organizations, by James V. Houpt and Michael G. Martinson. Oct. 1982. 18 pp .
121. Redlining: Research and Federal Legislative Response, by Glenn B. Canner. Oct. 1982. 20 pp.
122. Bank Capital Trends and Financing, by Samuel H. Talley. Feb. 1983. 19 pp.
123. Financial Transactions within Bank Holding Companies, by John T. Rose and Samuel H. Talley, May 1983. 11 pp .
124. International Banking Facilities and the Eurodollar Market, by Henry S. Terrell and Rodney H. Mills, August 1983. 14 pp.
125. Seasonal Adjustment of the Weekly Monetary Aggregates: A Model-Based Approach, by David A. Pierce, Michael R. Grupe, and William P. Cleveland, August 1983. 23 pp .

## Reprints of Bulletin Articles

Most of the articles reprinted do not exceed 12 pages.
Perspectives on Personal Saving. 8/80.
Federal Reserve and the Payments System: Upgrading Electronic Capabilities for the 1980s. 2/81.
Survey of Finance Companies, 1980. 5/81.
Bank Lending in Developing Countries. 9/81.
The Commercial Paper Market since the Mid-Seventies. 6/82.
Applying the Theory of Probable Future Competition. 9/82.
International Banking Facilities. 10/82.
U.S. International Transactions in 1982. 4/83.

New Federal Reserve Measures of Capacity and Capacity Utilization. $7 / 83$.

## Index to Statistical Tables

## References are to pages A3 through A68 although the prefix " $A$ ' is omitted in this index

## ACCEPTANCES, bankers, 11, 26, 28

Agricultural loans, commercial banks, 20, 21, 22, 27
Assets and liabilities (See also Foreigners)
Banks, by classes, 19-22
Domestic finance companies, 39
Federal Reserve Banks, 12
Foreign banks, U.S. branches and agencies, 24
Nonfinancial corporations, 38
Savings institutions, 30
Automobiles
Consumer installment credit, 42, 43
Production, 48, 49
BANKERS balances, 19-22
(See also Foreigners)
Bonds (See also U.S. government securities)
New issues, 36
Rates, 3
Branch banks, 17, 23, 56
Business activity, nonfinancial, 46
Business expenditures on new plant and equipment, 38
Business loans (See Commercial and industrial loans)

CAPACITY utilization, 46
Capital accounts
Banks, by classes, 19
Federal Reserve Banks, 12
Central banks, discount rates, 67
Certificates of deposit, 23, 28
Commercial and industrial loans
Commercial banks, 17, 23, 27
Weekly reporting banks, 19-24
Commercial banks
Assets and liabilities, 19-22
Business loans, 27
Commercial and industrial loans, 17, 23, 24, 27
Consumer loans held, by type, 42, 43
Loans sold outright, 22
Nondeposit funds, 18
Number, by classes, 19
Real estate mortgages held, by holder and property, 41
Time and savings deposits, 3
Commercial paper, 3, 26, 28, 39
Condition statements (See Assets and liabilities)
Construction, 46, 50
Consumer installment credit, 42, 43
Consumer prices, 46, 51
Consumption expenditures, 52, 53
Corporations
Profits and their distribution, 37
Security issues, 36,66
Cost of living (See Consumer prices)
Credit unions, 30,42
(See also Thrift institutions)
Currency and coin, 19
Currency in circulation, 4, 15
Customer credit, stock market, 29
DEBITS to deposit accounts, 16
Debt (See specific types of debt or securities)
Demand deposits
Adjusted, commercial banks, 16
Banks, by classes, 19-24

Demand deposits-Continued
Ownership by individuals, partnerships, and corporations, 25
Turnover, 16
Depository institutions
Reserve requirements, 8
Reserves and related items, 3, 4, 5, 14
Deposits (See also specific types)
Banks, by classes, 3, 19-23, 30
Federal Reserve Banks, 4, 12
Turnover, 16
Discount rates at Reserve Banks and at foreign central banks (See Interest rates)
Discounts and advances by Reserve Banks (See Loans)
Dividends, corporate, 37

## EMPLOYMENT, 46, 47

Eurodollars, 28

FARM mortgage loans, 41
Federal agency obligations, 4, 11, 12, 13, 34
Federal credit agencies, 35
Federal finance
Debt subject to statutory limitation and types and ownership of gross debt, 33
Receipts and outlays, 31, 32
Treasury financing of surplus, or deficit, 31
Treasury operating balance, 31
Federal Financing Bank, 31, 35
Federal funds, 3, 6, 18, 20, 21, 22, 24, 28, 31
Federal Home Loan Banks, 35
Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, 35, 40, 41
Federal Housing Administration, 35, 40, 41
Federal Land Banks, 41
Federal National Mortgage Association, 35, 40, 41
Federal Reserve Banks
Condition statement, 12
Discount rates (See Interest rates)
U.S. government securities held, 4, 12, 13, 33

Federal Reserve credit, 4, 5, 12, 13
Federal Reserve notes, 12
Federally sponsored credit agencies, 35
Finance companies
Assets and liabilities, 39
Business credit, 39
Loans, 20, 21, 42, 43
Paper, 26, 28
Financial institutions
Loans to, 20, 21, 22, 24
Selected assets and liabilities, 30
Float, 4
Flow of funds, 44, 45
Foreign banks, assets and liabilities of U.S. branches and agencies, 24
Foreign currency operations, 12
Foreign deposits in U.S. banks, 4, 12, 20, 21, 22
Foreign exchange rates, 68
Foreign trade, 55
Foreigners
Claims on, 56, 58, 61, 62, 63, 65
Liabilities to, 22, 55, 56-60, 64, 66, 67

GOLD
Certificate account, 12
Stock, 4, 55
Government National Mortgage Association, 35, 40, 41
Gross national product, 52, 53
HOUSING, new and existing units, 50
INCOME, personal and national, 46, 52, 53
Industrial production, 46, 48
Installment loans, 42, 43
Insurance companies, 30, 33, 41
Interbank loans and deposits, 19
Interest rates
Bonds, 3
Business loans of banks, 27
Federal Reserve Banks, 3, 7
Foreign central banks and foreign countries, 67, 68
Money and capital markets, 3, 28
Mortgages, 3, 40
Prime rate, commercial banks, 26
Time and savings deposits, 9
International banking facilities, 18
International capital transactions of United States, 54-67
International organizations, 58, 59-61, 64-67
Inventories, 52
Investment companies, issues and assets, 37
Investments (See also specific types)
Banks, by classes, 19-22, 30, 41
Commercial banks, 3, 17, 19-22
Federal Reserve Banks, 12, 13
Savings institutions, 30, 41
LABOR force, 47
Life insurance companies (See Insurance companies)
Loans (See also specific types)
Banks, by classes, 19-22
Commercial banks, 3, 17, 19-22, 23, 27
Federal Reserve Banks, 4, 5, 7, 12, 13
Insured or guaranteed by United States, 40, 41
Savings institutions, 30, 41
MANUFACTURING
Capacity utilization, 46
Production, 46, 49
Margin requirements, 29
Member banks (See also Depository institutions)
Federal funds and repurchase agreements, 6
Reserve requirements, 8
Mining production, 49
Mobile homes shipped, 50
Monetary and credit aggregates, 3, 14
Money and capital market rates (See Interest rates)
Money stock measures and components, 3, 15
Mortgages (See Real estate loans)
Mutual funds (See Investment companies)
Mutual savings banks, 9, 20-22, 30, 33, 41, 42 (See also
Thrift institutions)

NATIONAL defense outlays, 32
National income, 52

OPEN market transactions, 11
PERSONAL income, 53
Prices
Consumer and producer, 46, 51
Stock market, 29
Prime rate, commercial banks, 26
Producer prices, 46, 51
Production, 46, 48
Profits, corporate, 37

REAL estate loans
Banks, by classes, 17, 20-22, 41
Rates, terms, yields, and activity, 3, 40
Savings institutions, 30
Type of holder and property mortgaged, 41
Repurchase agreements and federal funds, 6, 20-22
Reserve requirements, 8
Reserves
Commercial banks, 19
Depository institutions, 3, 4, 5, 14
Federal Reserve Banks, 12
U.S. reserve assets, 55

Residential mortgage loans, 40
Retail credit and retail sales, 42, 43, 46

## SAVING

Flow of funds, 44, 45
National income accounts, 53
Savings and loan association, 9, 30, 41, 42, 44 (See also Thrift institutions)
Savings deposits (See Time and savings deposits)
Securities (See specific types)
Federal and federally sponsored credit agencies, 35
Foreign transactions, 66
New issues, 36
Prices, 29
Special drawing rights, 4, 12, 54, 55
State and local governments
Deposits, 20-22
Holdings of U.S. government securities, 33
New security issues, 36
Ownership of securities issued by, 20, 21, 22, 30
Rates on securities, 3
Stock market, 29
Stocks (See also Securities)
New issues, 36
Prices, 29
TAX receipts, federal, 32
Thrift institutions, 3 (See also Credit unions, Mutual savings banks, and Savings and loan associations)
Time and savings deposits, 3, 9, 15, 18, 19-23
Trade, foreign, 55
Treasury currency, Treasury cash, 4
Treasury deposits, 4, 12, 31
Treasury operating balance, 31

## UNEMPLOYMENT, 47

U.S. government balances

Commercial bank holdings, 19, 20, 21, 22
Treasury deposits at Reserve Banks, 4, 12, 31
U.S. government securities

Bank holdings, 18, 19-22, 24, 33
Dealer transactions, positions, and financing, 34
Federal Reserve Bank holdings, 4, 12, 13, 33
Foreign and international holdings and transactions, 12, 33, 67
Open market transactions, 11
Outstanding, by type and holder, 30, 33
Rates, 3, 28
U.S. international transactions, 54-67

Utilities, production, 49

VETERANS Administration, 40, 41

WEEKLY reporting banks, 20-24
Wholesale (producer) prices, 46, 51
YIELDS (See Interest rates)

## The Federal Reserve System

Boundaries of Federal Reserve Districts and Their Branch Territories


## LEGEND

- Boundaries of Federal Reserve Districts
- Boundaries of Federal Reserve Branch Territories

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System


[^0]:    The Federal Reserve Bulletin is issued monthly under the direction of the staff publications committee. This committee is responsible for opinions expressed except in official statements and signed articles. It is assisted by the Economic Editing Unit headed by Mendelle T. Berenson, the Graphic Communications Section under the direction of Peter G. Thomas, and Publications Services supervised by Helen L. Hulen.

[^1]:    Monthly data, seasonally adjusted. There is a break in the series in March 1978.

[^2]:    Monthly data, seasonally adjusted. There is a break in the series in

[^3]:    1. The attachments to this statement are available on request from Publications Services, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551.
[^4]:    1. The attachments to this statement are available on request from Publications Services, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551.
[^5]:    1. Maintenance is generally priced on a per-receipt basis except in New York, Cleveland, and Minneapolis where it is priced on a perissue basis.
    2. The Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia also offers a fixed service contract option on coupon collection. Additional information may be obtained from the Reserve Bank.
[^6]:    3. The Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta will continue its current prices under an experimental pricing structure. Additional information may be obtained from any office in the Sixth District.
    4. The Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City offers a municipal coupon collection option. Additional information may be obtained from any office in the Tenth District.
[^7]:    1. All stated reserve ratios are as of the completion of reserve phase-in periods required under the Monetary Control Act of 1980.
[^8]:    2. Where state law establishes a lending limit for a state member bank that is lower than the amount permitted in section 5200 of the Revised Statutes, the lending limit established by applicable state laws shall be the lending limit for the state member bank.
[^9]:    1. Banking data are as of June 30, 1982.
[^10]:    2. The relevant banking market is the Albuquerque RMA, as defined by the Rand McNally \& Company 1982 Commercial Atlas and Marketing Guide.
    3. See "Policy Statement for Formation of Small One-Bank Holding Companies," 66 Federal Reserve Bulletin 320 (1980), reprinted in FRRS 944-855 and 4-S56; amended by the Board and Comptroller of the Currency, "Capital Adequacy Guidelines." 68 Federal Reserve Bulletin 33 (1982), reprinted in FRRS \$3-1506; further amended by the Board and the Comptroller of the Currency, "Amendments to the Capital Adequacy Guidelines," 69 Federal Reserve Bulletin 539 (1983).
    4. 66 Federal Reserve Bulletin 320, supra; FRRS 49 4-S55 and 4-856 supra.
[^11]:    1. Deposit and banking data are as of December 31, 1982.
    2. The Jefferson banking market is approximated by the southern half of Jefferson County and the five eastern most townships in Dane County: York, Medina, Deerfield, Christiana, and Albion.
[^12]:    3. Federal Reserve Regulatory Service 4-856.
[^13]:    1. Unless otherwise indicated, all banking data are as of June 30 , 1983.
    2. The Detroit banking market is approximated by Wayne, Macomb, and Oakland Counties plus 33 cities and townships from St. Clair, Lapeer, Livingston, Washtenaw, and Monroe counties, all in Michigan.
    3. Market data are as of June 30, 1982.
[^14]:    4. United States Department of Justice merger guidelines, June 14, 1982. If the post-merger HHI is between 1000 and 1800, the Department is more likely than not to challenge a merger that produces an increase in the HHI of 100 points or more.
    5. First Tennessee National Corporation, 69 Federal Reserve Bulletin, 298 (1983): United Bank Corporation of New York, 67 Federal Reserve Bulletin, 358 (1981); First Bancorporation of Ohio, 67 Federal Reserve Bulletin, 799 (1981); and Bank of New York ('Empire"), 66 Federal Reserve Bulletin, 807 (1980).
[^15]:    6. General Bancshares Corporation, 69 Federal Reserve Bulletin 802; First Tennessee National Corporation, 69 Federal Reserve Bulletin 298 (1983).
    7. General Bancshares, supra note 6; Fidelcor, Inc. (Southeast National Bancshares of Pennsylvania, Inc.), 69 Federal Reserve Bulletin 445 (1983); First Tennessee National Corporation, 69 Federal Reserve Bulletin. supra note 5.
    8. If the thrift institutions in the Detroit banking market are included in the calculation of market concentration, the share of total deposits held by the four largest organizations in the market (one of which is a thrift institution) is 54.2 percent; the HHI declines to 951 and Applicant and Bank's respective market shares are 13.5 and 2.6 percent.
    9. Applicant has also indicated that it will provide at Bank's offices. services such as ATM services and discount securities brokerage, that are not now offered by Bank.
    10. Applicant has indicated that, upon consummation of the transactions, 20 offices of Bank will be closed. Seven of the closings are
[^16]:    1. Banking data are as of March 31, 1983.
    2. The Ouachita County banking market is approximated by Ouachita County, Arkansas.
[^17]:    3. The Board's policy with regard to competitive divestitures, as stated in its Order approving the acquisition by Barnett Banks of Florida, Inc., Jacksonville, Florida, of First Marine Banks, Inc., Riviera Beach, Florida, 68 Federal Reserve Bulletin 190 (1982), requires that divestitures intended to cure the anticompetitive effects resulting from a merger or acquisition occur on or before the date of consummation of the merger to avoid the existence of anticompetitive effects. See also, InterFirst Corporation, 69 Federal Reserve BulLETIN 383 (1983).
[^18]:    1. Applicants are under the common control of the same four principals and, upon consummation, will become part of a chain banking organization controlled by these individuals.
[^19]:    2. As of June 30. 1982, Carmen Bank held deposits of $\$ 6.8$ million; Gage Bank held deposits of $\$ 5.9$ million: Sallisaw Bank held deposits of $\$ 18.9$ million: Seminole Bank held deposits of $\$ 39.4$ million and Sand Springs Bank held deposits of $\$ 33.3$ million.
    3. The Tulsa RMA is approximated by all of Tulsa County, the northeastern portion of Creek County, the western half of Wagoner County, the central and southwestern half of Rogers County, and the extreme southeastern portion of Osage County.
    4. Federal Reserve Board and Comptroller of the Currency Press Release, December 17. 1981. 68 Federal. Reserve Bullftin 33 (1982), reprinted in Federal Reserve Regulatory Service, 13-1506. See also "Definition of Bank Capital Adequacy Guidelines Program" (SR82-17), dated March 17, 1982.
[^20]:    1. Banking data are as of December 31, 1982.
[^21]:    2. Applicant's rank in Illinois is calculated on deposit data as of March 31, 1983, adjusted to reflect bank holding company acquisitions approved as of June 15, 1983.
    3. 12 U.S.C. $\$ 1842(\mathrm{~d})$.
    4. Ill. Ann. Stat. chap. 17 § 2510 (Smith-Hurd 1981).
    5. Ill. Ann. Stat. chap. $17 \S 2508$ (Smith-Hurd 1981).
[^22]:    6. "Proposed Policy Statement of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System for Assessing Competitive Factors Under the Bank Merger Act and the Bank Holding Company Act." 47 Federal Register 9017 (March 3, 1982). Although the proposed policy statement has not been approved by the Board, the Board is using the policy guidelines in its analysis of the effect of a proposal on probable future competition.
    7. The Jefferson County banking market is defined as Jefferson County, Illinois.
    8. First Tennessee National Corporation, 69 Federal Reserve Bulletin 298 (1983).
    9. Fidelcor, Inc. (Southeast National Bancshares of Pennsylvania, Inc.), 69 Federal Reserve Bulletin 445 (1983); First Tennessee National Corporation, note 8 supra.
    10. If the thrift institutions in the Jefferson County market are included in the calculation of market concentration, the share of total deposits held by the three largest organizations in the market fone of which is a thrift) is less than 75 percent, the standard established in the Board's guidelines.
[^23]:    11. III. Ann. Stat. chap. 17 \& 2507 (Smith-Hurd 1981). A bank holding company is considered to be located in the region where the main banking premises of the largest bank in Illinois controlled by the bank holding company is located. Ill. Ann. Stat. chap. 17 § 2506 (Smith-Hurd 1981).
[^24]:    1. The Finney County banking market includes Finney County and Garden City, Kansas.
    2. See Spur Bancshares Inc., 69 Federal Reserve Bul letin 806, (1983).
[^25]:    3. 'Federal Reserve Board Policy Statement for Assessing Financial Factors in the Formation of Small One-Bank Holding Companies", March 28, 1980. See also First Dodge City Bancshares, Inc., 67 Federal Reserve Bulletin 800 (1981); Emerson First National Company, 67 Federal Reserve Bulletin 344 (1981).
    4. See, e.g., Water Tower Financial Group, Inc., Chicago, Illinois (60 Federal Reserve Bulletin 731 (1974)) and Mountain Financial Services, Inc., Denver, Colorado ( 60 Federal Reserve Bulletin 677 (1974)) where the debt-to-equity ratios of both companies exceeded 100 percent and the Board denied their holding company formation applications.
    5. This debt represents 62 percent of the purchase price. Applicant contends the debt represents 52 percent of the purchase price. However, this computation includes the capitalization of proceeds Bank expects to earn from the commencement of general insurance activities. The inclusion of such proceeds is not in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.
    6. Emerson First National Company, supra at 345.
[^26]:    7. In Water Tower Financial Group, Inc., supra, the principals also committed to provide additional equity in the event the holding company debt could not be paid out of bank dividends, a commitment similar to Applicant's. However, the Board did not regard the commitment as providing adequate financial support for the new bank. Id. at 731.
    8. Applicant projects that its debt-to-equity ratio can be reduced to below 30 percent in 12 years. However, the Board concludes that this would be possible only if Applicant borrowed additional funds in order to maintain a seven percent primary capital-to-assets ratio.
[^27]:    1. All banking data are as of December 31, 1982.
    2. The relevant banking market is approximated by Dickens County, Texas.
[^28]:    Voting for this action: Chairman Volcker and Governors Martin, Partee, Rice, and Gramley. Absent and not voting: Governors Wallich and Teeters.

[^29]:    4. First Dodge City Banchares, Inc., 67 Federal Reserve Bulletin 800 (1981): Emerson First National Company, 67 Federal Reserve Bulletin 344 (1981).
[^30]:    3. Federal Reserve Board Policy Statement for Assessing Financial Factors in the Formation of Small One-Bank Holding Companies, March 28, 1980.
[^31]:    1. Banking data are as of December 31, 1982.
[^32]:    1. Banking data are as of June 30, 1982, and reflect bank holding company acquisitions approved as of September 30, 1982.
    2. The relevant banking market is approximated by the Houston RMA.
    3. See Nebraska Banco, Inc., Ord, Nebraska, 62 Federal ReSERVE Bulletin 638 (1976).
    4. Federal Reserve Board and Comptroller of the Currency Press Release, December 17, 1981. Federal Reserve Bulletin 33 (1982), reprinted in Federal Reserve Regulatory Service, 9 3-1506. See also 'Definition of Bank Capital and Capital Adequacy Guidelines Program" (SR82-17, dated March 17, 1983).
[^33]:    1. Banking data are as of December 31, 1982.
[^34]:    1. All banking data are as of June 30, 1982.
    2. The Van Buren County banking market is approximated by the boundaries of Van Buren County.
    3. One of Bank's branches competes in another market. Applicant has no banking offices in this market, and in light of the facts of record, it appears that consummation of this proposal would have no adverse effect on potential competition.
[^35]:    1. American Fletcher Corp., 60 Federal Reserve Bulletin 868 (1974); D.H. Baldwin \& Co., 63 Federal Reserve Bulletin 280
[^36]:    (1977); Interstate Financial Corp., 68 Federal Reserve Bulletin 316 (1982); Citicorp, 68 Federal Reserve Bulletin 656 (1982). A recent Board staff study of thrift institutions supports the view that operating a thrift institution is closely related to banking. Bank Holding Company Acquisitions of Thrift Institutions, September 1981.
    2. The provisions of section $4(\mathrm{c})(8)$ allowing the Board to dispense with notice and an opportunity for hearing were added to the BHC Act in conjunction with the passage of the "Regulator's Bill," which permits the acquisition of troubled thrifts across state lines under certain conditions designed to ensure that potential in-state thrift institutions purchasers are given priority. North Carolina authorities have not been able to secure an in-state purchaser for Perpetual and this proposal therefore complies with the spirit of the Regulator's Bill provisions.

[^37]:    3. Interstate Financial Corp., supra: Citicorp, supra.
    4. As stated above, the Board has examined the competitive effects associated with this particular application and has concluded that there are no significant adverse effects associated therewith.
[^38]:    5. The Federal Reserve Bank of Boston is hereby delegated authority to act on applications by Applicant to open additional offices of Perpetual under section 225.4(b)(1) of Regulation Y. (12 C.F.R. § $225.4(\mathrm{~b})(1))$.
[^39]:    1. Garn-St Germain Depository Institutions Act of 1982, Pub. L. No. 97-320, 96 Stat. 1469 (1982), as amended by, S.J. Res. 271, Pub. L. No. 97-457, 96 Stat. 2508 (1983). ('Garn-St Germain Act'").
[^40]:    2. H. Rep. No. 845, 96th Cong., 2d Sess. 6, 15-16 (1980) ("House Report''). S. Rep. No. 923, 96th Cong., 2d Sess. 4, 9-10 (1980). S. Rep. No. 536, 97th Cong., 2d Sess. 41-42 (1982) ('SSenate Report'"). See 126 Cong. Rec. H4911 (daily ed. June 12, 1980) (remarks of Rep. Ashley); 125 Cong. Rec. 2591 (1979) (remarks of Rep. Hanley); 126 Cong. Rec. H4871 (daily ed. June 12, 1980) (remarks of Rep. McGuire). Also see, Competition in Banking Act of 1980: Hearings on S.39, S. 380 and H.R. 2255 before the Senate Committee on Banking, Housing and Urban Affairs, 96th Cong. 2d Sess. 20-21 (1980).
    3. The legislative history of Title VI states that such activities must be terminated if the holding company's assets exceed $\$ 50$ million. See Senate Report at 41-42. In this regard, Applicant has committed to divest itself of such activities if its assets exceed $\$ 50$ million.
[^41]:    4. See House Report at 15-16 (1980) (statement of Rep. Patterson); 126 Cong. Rec. H4907 (daily ed. June 12, 1980) (remarks of Rep. Hansen); Senate Report at 41-42.
[^42]:    James McAfee,
    Associate Secretary of the Board [SEAL]

[^43]:    1. For a description of the changes in the International Statistics tables, see July 1979 Bulletin, p. 550.
    2. Comprises Bahrain, Iran, Iraq, Kuwait, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, and United Arab Emirates (Trucial States).
[^44]:    Note. Averages of certified noon buying rates in New York for cable tranfers.

[^45]:    *On loan from the Federal Reserve Bank of New York.

[^46]:    *Additional offices of these Banks are located at Lewiston, Maine 04240; Windsor Locks, Connecticut 06096; Cranford, New Jersey 07016; Jericho, New York 11753; Utica at Oriskany, New York 13424: Columbus, Ohio 43216; Columbia, South Carolina 29210; Charleston, West Virginia 25311; Des Moines, Iowa 50306; Indianapolis, Indiana 46204; and Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202.

