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# Bank Lending to Developing Countries 

Recent Developments in Historical Perspective

## This article was prepared by David P. Dod of the Board's Division of International Finance.

Developing countries of Latin America, Asia, and Africa became important borrowers from international banks during the 1970s and have stepped up their borrowing over the past two years. Part of the recent increase can be attributed to demands by the developing countries for external credit to cushion the impact of external strains on their economies. The prominent contributing factors have been the escalation of world oil prices in 1979-80, the related slowdown of economic activity in industrial countries and downturn of world prices for nonfuel commodities, and the rising interest cost of outstanding borrowings by developing countries from world financial markets.

A large share of the recent borrowing by developing countries might have been expected in any event, in view of their tendency to sustain external deficits and in light of the international banking relationships they have built up over the past decade. For a number of developing countries, a long-range program of borrowing from international commercial banks has been a specific objective of public policy. Central governments and state enterprises have undertaken substantial long-term borrowing from international banks. Governments in most developing countries have significantly regulated the terms on which private companies may borrow abroad and have often applied direct financial incentives or disincentives. An increase in public-sector and private borrowing combined has been necessary in view of economic policies in developing countries that have contributed to higher, sustained deficits in the current account of their balance of payments.

The persistence of high levels of borrowing from international banks by some developing
countries has shifted markedly the composition of their external debt and has created a more complex task of debt management than they faced a decade ago. Compared with debt to foreign official lenders, which were once the predominant creditors for all developing countries, debt to banks bears shorter maturities and requires more frequent refinancing. It also bears higher and more variable interest costs. Major borrowers from banks have therefore had to pay increasing attention to external debt-service obligations when formulating objectives for their balance of payments and their domestic economies.

## Balance of Payments Needs

Needs for external financing of the developing countries apart from those in the Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries have risen sharply during 1979-81 (chart 1). For some-Korea, Taiwan, Thailand-costs for oil imports have

1. Current account deficits of the non-OPEC developing countries


Current account is balance of payments for goods, services, and private and official transfers.

Sources. IMF, World Bank, and Federal Reserve staff estimates.
2. Economic activity and inflation in the non-OPEC developing countries


Inflation is change in consumer prices.
GDP is gross domestic product.
Source: IMF World Economic Outlook and Federal Reserve staff adjustments.
increased by 4 to 5 percent of national income, a more severe impact, relatively, than that experienced in any of the major industrial countries. For others, the $1980-81$ slowdown in economic growth of the industrial countries has slowed the growth of export markets and has contributed to the cyclical weakening in world market prices for their exports of primary commodities. For countries with high levels of net external debt, rising interest rates on world financial markets have imposed additional needs for external financing.

Recent external forces affecting the non-OPEC developing countries have been broadly similar to those at work following the first world oil shock of late 1973. The initial responses of policymakers in the developing countries have also been broadly similar, as they have again sought to maintain reasonably high levels of economic activity and, on average, have been willing to tolerate some acceleration in price inflation (chart 2).

## The Adjustment Process

While the circumstances of individual countries vary, most developing countries now confront a need to adjust to adverse developments in their external payments positions. The adjustment process must basically consist of policies to expand the volume of their exports and to restrain the volume of imports.

The severity of the required adjustment effort by developing countries is somewhat uncertain.

It depends in part on factors that are beyond their control, notably future shifts in their terms of trade that may offset or compound their recent setbacks. It also depends upon their view of the average level of current account deficit that they believe is desirable over the longer term and upon the willingness of foreign creditors to supply the implied financing.

Developing countries have not been adjusting quickly to the oil shock of 1979-80, judging by relative growth in the volume of exports and imports. Rapid export expansion has been maintained on average, but growth in the volume of imports does not yet appear to have slowed significantly (chart 3). At this stage, non-OPEC developing countries appear to be adjusting less vigorously to recent adversities than they did in response to the oil shock of late 1973 and to the related collapse of nonfuel commodity prices in 1974-75. To some extent the recent slow adjustment may be attributed to a lag in curtailing expansionary fiscal policies adopted by many developing countries in 1979-80, before the full dimensions of the second oil shock became clear.
3. Volume of exports and imports by non-OPEC developing countries


Sources. IMF World Economic Outlook and Federal Reserve staff adjustments.

More recently, the tendency of some countries to resist depreciation of their currencies against the U.S. dollar has inhibited balance of payments adjustment. As the exchange value of the dollar has risen strongly over the past year against European and Japanese currencies, such resistance has eroded the competitiveness of the internationally tradable goods and services of many developing countries.

The apparent sluggishness of recent actions toward balance of payments adjustment may signify only a lag by developing countries in recognizing their adjustment needs. Or it may signify a perception by many of these countries that they can aim for and sustain higher levels of current account deficits in the future than they sustained in the 1970s (chart 4). In the latter case, the external debt of developing countries would be expected to expand at a correspondingly more rapid pace through the 1980s.

## 4. Average current account deficits in relation to GDP



The ten major borrowers are Argentina, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Korea, Mexico, Peru, the Philippines, Taiwan, Thailand.
Sources. IMF and World Bank.

A high-deficit, high-debt strategy by developing countries is sometimes rationalized as a means to finance a higher level of capital formation, which, if effectively utilized, facilitates more rapid economic growth by the borrower. Although some countries (Colombia, Taiwan, Malaysia) have prospered without recourse to this strategy, the high-deficit approach to economic growth remains appealing to many developing countries. The feasibility of such a strategy, however, depends on the ability of the borrowing country to attract external financing from banks and other foreign creditors.

## External Financing from banks in the 1970s

Bank lending to developing countries has taken three principal forms: short-term private trade financing; long-term loans guaranteed by the governments of industrial countries to promote exports of capital goods; and long-term, nonguaranteed loans arranged in large blocks through syndicates of international banks. The volume of each form of bank lending expanded rapidly through the 1970s.

Before the sharp increase in needs for balance of payments financing that followed the oil shock of 1973-74, external borrowing from banks by developing countries was already growing vigorously (chart 5). The early 1970s had been a period of unusually favorable terms of trade, strong external payments positions, and rapidly rising reserves for most developing countries. Yet the fragmentary data that are available suggest that their debt to banks more than doubled in dollar terms between the end of 1970 and the end of 1973 , reaching about $\$ 35$ billion. The fastest growing component of that debt was medium-term syndicated loans from commercial
5. Net external borrowing from banks by the non-OPEC developing countries


Total is lending from U.S. banks and banks headquartered in other industrial countries whose authorities provide statistical reports to the Bank for International Settlements (BIS).
U.S.-chartered banks include U.S. offices and foreign branch offices and exclude lending denominated in local currency.
Sources. BIS, Fifty-First Annual Report, 1980/1981, Bulletin table 3.20, and Federal Reserve staff estimates.
banks. Measured on the basis of new credit announcements, the flow of such loans rose from less than $\$ 1$ billion in 1971 to $\$ 41 / 2$ billion in 1973, with the bulk of the loans being arranged for borrowers in four Latin American countriesBrazil, Mexico, Peru, and Argentina.

A critical institutional innovation helping to popularize syndicated term loans among the banks was the use of "floating' rates of interest, adjusted at regular intervals to reflect market conditions. In a period of relatively volatile and rising interest rates, banks favored that feature of internationally syndicated loans as a means of avoiding the interest-rate risk inherent in alternative, fixed-interest investments such as bonds and mortgages.

During 1973-74, demands by developing countries for external, trade-related financing also accelerated sharply. Fueled by high levels of public spending, the volume of their imports jumped about one-third. Meanwhile, the prices of their imports rose by two-thirds, under the combined influence of the sharp increase in oil prices by OPEC and the general uptrend of world inflation.

Immediate needs for balance of payments financing during the mid-1970s generated higher demand by developing countries for all types of bank lending (chart 5). On the supply side, three factors helped to ease their access to international banking markets: the rapid buildup of deposits with international banks by OPEC members, the downturn in loan demand in industrial countries that were experiencing a deep recession, and the expansion in guarantees and subsidies by governments of the industrial countries on certain export credits extended by banks.

The surge of bank lending to developing countries since 1978 has again been in response to rising overall needs for balance of payments financing, associated with rapid import growth and, more recently, their deteriorating terms of trade. However, the composition of bank lending seems to have shifted toward short-term financing and toward private-sector borrowers. Publicsector borrowers in several major borrowing countries-Argentina, Chile, Colombia, Mexico, and Peru-appear to have reduced their role in arranging external financing from banks. In each case, upward adjustments in domestic interest
rates or changes in the exchange rate system offered effective inducements to banks and nonbank private borrowers to assume a compensating, more active role in foreign borrowing.

## Official and Nonbank Private Capital Flows

Throughout the 1970s nonbank private investors provided relatively little new external financing for developing countries, considering that they had entered the decade with a larger stock of claims on these countries than the banks. Direct investment in foreign-controlled companies (financed by equity capital or by loans from the parent company) has been and continues to be the most important vehicle for nonbank private capital flows to developing countries. Such flows appear to have amounted to about $\$ 8$ billion in 1980 (chart 6), but they may be subject to somewhat more undercounting than other capital flows.

Official external grants and loans recorded by the non-OPEC developing countries averaged about $21 / 2$ percent of their gross national product through the 1970s. Those resources have been heavily and increasingly concentrated upon low-er-income countries by both the governments of the industrial countries and the multilateral development banks. For the 10 major borrowers
6. Major sources of official and nonbank private financing


[^0] Federal Reserve staff estimates.
from banks (see note to chart 4), the relative importance of foreign official capital inflows declined sharply during the 1960s and 1970s.

Most developing countries borrowed from the International Monetary Fund at some point during the 1970s. Net disbursements from the IMF tend not to be an important source of financing because of the revolving character of its resources. Nevertheless, those resources play an important role in meeting needs for exceptional financing during periods of global economic strain.

## External Indebtedness of the Developing Countries

A decade of relatively high current account deficits, and of increased dependence upon nonconcessional loans, has markedly altered the structure of external debt for the major develop-ing-country borrowers (chart 7). As a result, interest costs are relatively higher and the average maturity of their debt is much shorter than in 1970. These characteristics of the current debt
7. External debt of the non-OPEC developing countries, by type of credits


Sources. World Bank, BIS, and Federal Reserve staff estimates.
profile demand increased skill in debt management and flexibility in adapting economic policy to changing circumstances.

Flexible exchange rate and interest rate policies have proven to be important tools for managing balance of payments financing problems of many of the major borrowers. In a number of recent instances, upward adjustments in interest rates have been used to induce increased external borrowing and to reverse capital flight by the private sector. In other instances, countries that have clung to a rigid structure of interest rates and foreign exchange rates have found their fundamental problems of external adjustment exacerbated by private capital outflows.

For most low-income developing countries external debt through the 1970s continued to take the form mainly of concessional (low-interest), long-maturity loans from foreign official lenders. Debt of these countries to foreign banks has consisted predominantly of short-term credits or externally guaranteed loans related to the purchase of specific imports.

## Impact of Rising Interest Rates

The upswing in world interest rates over the past two years has had a varying impact on the debt burdens of developing countries. Judged against conditions in the 1970s, the burden of their market-based debt has certainly risen. Market rates of interest have moved up faster than inflation rates in the major capital-market countries, especially during the past 18 months. Thus the "real" cost of borrowing, approximated by the difference between the external interest rate facing borrowers of dollars, marks, or yen, and the concurrent rate of inflation in world prices measured in the respective currency, has risen to exceptionally high levels.

For most low-income developing countries, changes in world inflation have had the decisive influence on the real burden of external debt over the past decade (chart 8). Fixed-interest, concessional loans have remained the principal component of their external debt, and the average nominal interest rate on concessional debt has actually declined since 1970. As part of an effort to increase real transfers of resources to low-
8. Indicators of real interest cost confronting low-income developing countries


World inflation is unit value of world trade in SDR terms.
Cost of fixed-interest debt (all currency denominations) is an average for all developing countries, based on reports from creditor sources; average cost of fixed-interest debt of the low-income countries is less.

Sources. IMF and OECD.
income countries during the 1970s, bilateral-aid donors within the Development Assistance Committee of the Organisation of Economic Cooperation and Development have striven to increase the average degree of concessionality (that is, the discount from market terms) on economic development assistance. Interest rates on other fixed-interest debt of the developing countries-notably, official and guaranteed export credits and loans from the multilateral development banks-have risen since the mid1970s but have lagged far behind contemporary market rates of interest. The resulting average rate of interest on all forms of fixed-interest debt has risen by only 2 percentage points since 1970. Because of high average rates of world inflation, the real interest cost of external debt for most low-income countries has been strongly negative throughout the past decade.

The major borrowers from banks also enjoyed low real costs of borrowing during the 1970s but, subsequently, have experienced by any measure an abrupt increase in such costs. One contributing factor has been the large fraction of their total debt that is subject to variable, market rates of interest. Short-term commercial and syndicated term loans loom large for these countries, and the interest rates payable on such debt have been marked up quickly in response to the rising short-term rates of interest in world financial markets (chart 9).

A second factor has been the high proportion of dollar-denominated debt in the overall exter-

## 9. Indicators of real interest cost of major borrowers from banks



World inflation is unit value of world trade in dollar terms.
Sources. IMF and Federal Reserve staff estimates.
nal indebtedness of the major borrowers. More than four-fifths of the external debt to banks of the 10 largest borrowers cited previously is estimated to be denominated in U.S. dollars. Over the past two years, U.S. dollar rates of interest have risen more than interest rates on other major currencies.

More recently, the dollar has also appreciated sharply in foreign exchange markets, and this development has tended to reduce, in dollar terms, the prices of goods and services traded in world markets. As a result, dollar debtors in the world economy must export a larger volume of goods and services in order to help finance the debt-service payments on their dollar-denominated debt. This situation reverses that of 1973, when a sharp depreciation in the exchange value of the dollar produced windfall gains for dollar debtors.

The balance of payments impact of rising interest rates depends not only on the changing level of these rates but also on the size of a country's external debt. Moreover, rising interest payments on a country's external debt may be counterbalanced by rising interest receipts on its external assets (chart 10). For the 10 major bank borrowers, more than half the increase in net external interest payments during the past two years can be attributed to growth in net external debt; rapid growth in gross external debt has been accompanied by less rapid growth or sharp decline (Brazil) in their external assets.
Recent increases in net external interest burdens of major developing countries are large in relation to those of any other modern episode.
10. Interest payments and receipts


Payments and receipts are external interest payments and receipts of the ten major borrowers.
Sources. National balance of payments data and Federal Reserve staff estimates.

However, even at the current level of interest rates, net interest payments abroad exceed 4 or 5 percent of national income in only a few countries. The capacity to finance such interest transfers appears on average to be well within the means of the borrowing enterprises. The total of tax revenue and operating income of major borrowing entities within these countries-the government sector and private investors-often amounts to more than half of national income.

## Constraints on Bank Lending to Developing Countries

While the external interest burdens on developing countries may appear to be modest in relation to the real resources that they command, the priority of claims by foreign creditors over other claims on a country's resources can never be fully assured. There is a risk that government borrowers, under adverse political or economic circumstances, will accommodate domestic needs at the expense of their obligations to foreign creditors. Where the government restricts the access of private borrowers to foreign exchange, private borrowers may also face no alternative but to suspend payments on their external obligations. These so-called country risks in international lending may lead borrowers to request rescheduling of their debt-service obligations.

Recourse to delays in debt service and to formal requests for debt rescheduling may have
lasting unsettling effects on a borrower's access to international capital markets. In addition, rescheduled external bank debt normally bears an interest cost as high as, or higher than, that on the original loans. Nevertheless, debtor governments have requested rescheduling of their external bank debt more frequently in the past two years (table 1).

One factor contributing to bank reschedulings has been the linkage to debt reschedulings by official creditors under the informal Paris Club framework. Borrowing governments have been seeking and obtaining more frequent debt rescheduling from official creditors, some of which have offered interest rate concessions. As a condition of Paris Club agreements, creditor governments normally oblige the debtor to seek a comparable rescheduling of its debts to other major creditors. Fulfillment of this condition in several instances has required a separate rescheduling arrangement involving only the commercial banks.

A second institutional factor leading to publicized debt reschedulings by developing countries has been the dispersion among small banks of participations in syndicated long-term loans to public-sector borrowers. A wider body of creditors raises the need for a formal negotiating framework and has increased the public visibility of debt reschedulings.

## Risk and Return on Bank Lending

During the 1970s the returns to U.S. banks on foreign loans were attractive, on average, while loan losses were low. Loan losses reported by banks on their international loans were and have remained lower than those reported on domestic loans. The loss experience on loans to developing countries has also been favorable, although banks seldom cite separately statistics for that component of their international lending.

The returns to U.S. banks on their international lending have become less attractive compared with returns on domestic lending since the mid1970s. On international syndicated credits, a decline has occurred in the average "spread" earned by the lender above the base rate of interest on interbank funds in the Eurodollar market. Moreover, in 1980-81, the base rate in the Eurodollar market has also declined relative

1. Recent cases of multilateral debt rescheduling requested by national governments from international banks

| Date of agreement | Country | Participating creditors | Types of debt to banks rescheduled or restructured |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left.\begin{array}{l} \text { September 1978 } \\ \text { April } 1978 \\ \text { July } 1981 \end{array}\right\}$ | Jamaica | commercial banks | long-term debt of government borrowers |
| December 1978 | Peru | commercial banks, preceded by separate rescheduling by foreign governments commercial banks, preceded and followed by separate reschedulings with foreign governments and nonbank private creditors | long-term debt of government borrowers |
| June/August 1979 | Turkey |  | short-term government-guaranteed debt |
| Pending | Sudan | commercial banks, preceded by separate rescheduling by foreign governments | short- and long-term debt of government borrowers |
| $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { April } 1980 \\ \text { Under negotiation }\end{array}\right\}$ | Zaire | commercial banks, preceded and followed by separate reschedulings by foreign governments | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { long-term debt of government borrowers } \\ \text { nonsyndicated long-term debt of } \\ \text { government borrowers } \end{array}\right.$ |
| September 1980 and pending | Bolivia | commercial banks | long-term debt of government borrowers |
| December 1980 | Nicaragua | commercial banks | long-term debt of government borrowers |
| Pending | Poland | commercial banks, preceded by separate rescheduling by foreign governments | long-term debt of government borrowers |
| Under negotiation | Liberia | commercial banks, preceded rescheduling by foreigate corer | long-term debt of government borrowers |
| Under negotiation | Costa Rica | commercial banks | short- and long-term debt of government borrowers |

to the prime rate of interest established for borrowers in the domestic U.S. market for bank loans. As a result of these two factors, the apparent average yield on new syndicated loans to developing countries, which had averaged about 1 percentage point higher than the U.S. prime rate during 1973-78, has fallen significantly below prime during 1980-81.

In the late 1970s, U.S. commercial banks responded to the apparent declining relative profitability of syndicated term loans by sharply

## 11. Outstanding external bank claims on non-OPEC developing countries



[^1]restraining their exposure in the long-term end of the market. Since mid-1979, however, their short-term lending to developing countries has grown rapidly, suggesting that yields on unpublicized, short-maturity loans may have become somewhat more attractive. Banks headquartered in other industrial countries also shortened the average maturity of their loans to developing countries during 1980 (chart 11).

The interest and participation by U.S. banks in syndicated term loans to developing countries appear to have revived since late 1980, partly because banks now face financially more attractive options to set the floating interest rate on many such loans at some margin above the prime rate in the United States rather than to link it to the interbank rates prevailing in the Eurodollar market.

## Country Exposure of Banks

While the competition among banks may suffice to maintain a reasonable balance over time between risk and return on banks' loans to developing countries, the loan exposure of some banks in some countries still warrants close analysis. As a bank's loans to a given country rise to a high level relative to the capital of the bank, that "country exposure" tends to arouse anxiety:
among the bank's management, who are averse to a possible severe loss; among bank supervisors, whose agencies may insure or must otherwise protect the bank's depositors; and among the authorities of the borrowing country, whose ability in the event of need to expand or even to maintain that country's access to external bank credits may come into question. These factors place a real, if indeterminate, limit on the exposure in a country that bank creditors, as a group, or the government of the borrowing country will find acceptable.

Overall exposure of U.S. commercial banks in developing countries has tended to rise in relation to the banks' capital funds during the past two years. This development follows a period in which the bulk of new lending was provided by large, non-U.S. banks that previously had borne lower levels of exposure in developing countries than the largest U.S. banks. In that period, U.S. banks in all size classes, including those with low loan exposure in developing countries, had abruptly slowed the pace of their net lending to developing countries (chart 12).
12. U.S. bank exposure in relation to bank capital


The exposure of a bank in all developing countries combined is not necessarily a matter for concern. The economic fortunes, financial behavior, and repayment capabilities of foreign borrowers are highly diverse-probably more diverse than those of domestic borrowers. High concentration of a bank's loans in a single foreign country is in principle a more valid point for concern. Since the mid-1970s, U.S. banks on average have maintained loan exposures in Brazil and Mexico that are exceeded only by their claims on the United Kingdom or Japan but, of course, are only a small fraction of the claims on borrowers in the United States. The exposure of U.S. banks in Brazil and Mexico has grown less rapidly on average over the past few years than their exposure with other developing-country borrowers.

Just as there is diversity among countries in the management of external debt, there is also diversity in the performance of different categories of borrowers or credits within a particular country. Policies that limit a government's ability to service its debt may not prevent privatesector borrowers from meeting their debt-service obligations. Conditions that lead to disruption or rescheduling of term loans may not cause serious delays in the repayment of trade-related loans.

## Balance of Payments Objectives and Borrowing Needs

Policies and objectives for balance of payments adjustment by developing countries tend to determine their needs for external borrowing from banks.

Alternative sources of financing have been and are likely to remain less responsive to shifts in current account deficits. Changes in external reserves may act as a buffer to short-run variations in the current account and, thus, may increase (as in 1972-73 and 1976-78) or relieve (as in 1974-75 and 1980-81) the need for external borrowing. For the longer run, the scope for attracting external capital from new nonbank sources-institutional investors, nonfinancial corporate investors, direct public issues of bonds on international capital markets-appears rather narrow. That narrowness of alternative, nonbank
channels of finance has been a principal subject of scrutiny for the multilateral World Bank-IMF Development Committee that was established in 1974. Progress toward widening these channels has been slow, and tecmniques proposed to achieve faster results have encountered philosophical objections from governments of the prospective investing and recipient countries.

Constraints on official and nonbank private inflows of capital imply that decisions by developing countries to maintain high current account deficits will require high ongoing levels of external borrowing from banks. A set of fiscal and monetary policies and policies on wages, prices, and exchange rates that produced a lower deficit in the current account would, conversely, generate a lower level of borrowing.

Proponents of capital flows to developing countries postulate that investment begets growth and that net foreign savings, which finances the current account deficit, begets investment. However, there may be slippages in the transmutation of foreign savings into incremental investment. In particular, the tighter fiscal policy that would help a country to achieve a lower current account deficit would at the same time tend to produce a higher level of domestic savings. There may also be uncertainty over the value of benefits to be achieved from that incremental investment. Such slippages and uncertainty warrant careful reevaluation by national authorities in light of the higher real cost that borrowings from international capital markets now appear to carry.

# Profitability of Insured Commercial Banks 

Barbara Negri Opper of the Board's Division of Research and Statistics prepared this article. ${ }^{I}$

High and widely fluctuating interest rates during 1980 created opportunities for profit but also potential for loss. In this environment, insured commercial banks experienced relatively strong profitability. Industrywide returns on assets and on equity during 1980 nearly matched the decade peak reached in 1979, and dollar profits slightly surpassed the record set last year.

As a group, only the smaller institutions were able to increase rates of return on assets from 1979. Small banks increased their interest margins by shifting asset allocations dramatically toward money market instruments, which allowed them to reap short-run profits from an inverted yield curve and to repair asset and liability maturity imbalances caused by their continued reliance on six-month money market certificates (MMCs). At large banks, yields on interest-earning assets increased sharply from 1979, but costs of interest-bearing liabilities increased even faster, cutting into interest margins. Large banks as a class were able to take advantage of swings in market interest rates, however, as profits on trading accounts exceeded those of a year earlier and more than offset enlarged losses on securities transactions. Some large banks were buffeted by these rate movements, however, and incurred trading-account losses.

Table 1 summarizes, for all insured commercial banks, income and expenses relative to average assets. Appendix table A. 1 presents dollar amounts of income and expenses in detail.

Exposure to interest rate risk appeared to have had a predominantly negative effect on net interest margins during 1980, despite providing periodic profit opportunities. Large banks that experienced increased margins held about equal

[^2]amounts of rate-sensitive assets and liabilities; other large banks, with reduced interest margins, generally had an excess of rate-sensitive liabilities. Similarly, small banks whose interest margins increased had significantly closer alignment of rate-sensitive assets and liabilities than banks whose margins declined, although most small banks had some excess of rate-sensitive liabilities. Because many interest rate relationships were distorted at times during 1980, the timing of asset growth within the year had an important influence on changes in bank interest margins.

Noninterest income grew faster than assets during 1980, due in part to fiduciary and service income, as well as to the gains in trading accounts at money center and other large commercial banks. Operating costs also expanded rapidly and in many cases offset gains in noninterest revenue. Loan loss provisions grew slightly fast-

1. Income and expense as percent of average assets, all insured commercial banks, 1978-801

| Item | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gross interest earned | 7.24 | 8.62 | 9.87 |
| Gross interest expense | 4.17 | 5.50 | 6.78 |
| Net interest margin | 3.07 | 3.12 | 3.09 |
| Noninterest income. | . 74 | . 78 | . 89 |
| Loan-loss provision | . 25 | . 24 | 25 |
| Other noninterest expense | 2.50 | 2.54 | 2.63 |
| Income before tax..... | 1.06 | 1.12 | 1.10 |
| Taxes ${ }^{2}$. | . 29 | . 28 | . 28 |
| Other ${ }^{3}$. | -. 02 | -. 04 | -. 03 |
| Net income | . 76 | . 80 | . 79 |
| Cash dividends declared. | . 26 | . 28 | . 29 |
| Net retained earnings | . 50 | . 52 | . 50 |
| Memo |  |  |  |
| Net interest margin, |  |  |  |
| taxable equivalent ${ }^{4}$ | 3.48 | 3.48 | 3.46 |
| Average assets (billions of dollars) ${ }^{1}$... | 1,419 | 1,594 | 1,768 |

1. Average assets are fully consolidated and net of loan loss reserves; averages are based on amounts outstanding at the beginning and end of each year
2. Includes all taxes estimated to be due on income, on extraordinary gains, and on securities gains.
3. Includes securities and extraordinary gains or losses ( - ) before taxes.
4. For each bank with profits before tax greater than zero, income from state and local obligations was increased by $[1 /(1-t)-1]$ times the lesser of profits before tax or interest earned on state and local obligations ( $t$ is the marginal federal income tax rate.) This adjustment approximates the equivalent pretax return on state and local obligations.
er than assets industrywide, especially at small banks.

With lower net interest margins prevailing on foreign office business, and with that business accounting for an increased fraction of consolidated assets, consolidated net interest margins fell at commercial banks with foreign offices. Gains in trading accounts and other noninterest income only partially offset the decrease in net interest margins, and consolidated profitability of banks with foreign branches declined slightly from 1979.

## InTEREST INCOME

Loan portfolio yields at all banks increased 170 basis points on average (table 2). Loan yields at money center banks increased almost one-third
2. Rates of return on fully consolidated portfolios, all insured commercial banks, $1978-80^{1}$
Percent

| Item | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Securities, total | 6.47 | 7.05 | 7.88 |
| U.S. government | 7.37 | 8.25 | 9.38 |
| State and local government | 5.24 | 5.58 | 6.03 |
| Other | 8.80 | 9.24 | 10.55 |
| Loans, gross | 10.32 | 12.01 | 13.71 |
| Net of loan loss provision | 9.82 | 11.55 | 13.19 |
| Taxable equivalent ${ }^{2}$ N |  |  |  |
| Total securities... | 8.89 | 9.31 | 10.23 |
| State and local | 10.62 | 10.44 | 11.13 |
| Total securities and gross loans | 9.95 | 11.37 | 12.88 |

1. Calculated as described in the "Technical note," Bulletin, vol. 65 (September 1979), p. 704.
2. See note 4 to table 1 .
faster than the industry average because floatingrate and short-term loans dominate their portfolios. Loan yields at small banks also increased. At 155 basis points, the yield improvement at small banks exceeded average increases recorded by all other nonmoney center groups. ${ }^{2}$ Earlier in the 1970s, changes in loan portfolio yields of small banks lagged changes registered at other banks. However, yields increased rapidly during 1980 as small banks took several actions to protect near-term profitability in order to offset the shift to higher-cost, more market-sensitive
3. Appendix table A. 2 contains summary statistics by class of bank. A similar table presented 1979 data (Federal Reserve Bulletin, vol. 66, September 1980, p. 705).
sources of funds. In their loan portfolios, small banks shifted away from consumer loans, on which usury ceilings tended to bind and turnover to be slow, and toward business loans carrying either short maturities or variable interest rates.

Returns from securities portfolios at all banks increased 92 basis points after adjustment for taxable equivalence. With about one-fifth of bank securities portfolios maturing during 1980, part of the increase in portfolio yield reflects rollover of portfolios at higher market interest rates. Another part reflects the impact of concentrating acquisitions in shorter maturities at interest rates above those prevailing on longer maturities. The shift toward shorter-term securities was most pronounced at small commercial banks, at which the proportion of outstanding securities portfolios maturing within one year rose from 17 percent to 24 percent during 1980.

On average, banking industry assets allocated to loans declined marginally during 1980 (table 3). Allocations to federal funds sold, security resale agreements, and holdings of interest-bearing interbank balances increased, which is consistent with heightened variability in liability interest costs and enlarged dependence on shortterm liabilities. This portfolio shift was most pronounced at banks that experienced the greatest change in their liability structures. Acquisitions of money market assets and of short-term government securities represented one-third of the asset growth of small banks, far higher than the fraction of outstanding assets of small banks that these instruments represent. By contrast, money center banks, funded by money market instruments for many years, allocated an even larger proportion of assets to loans in 1980 than a year earlier.

Interest income scaled to average consolidated assets increased 125 basis points for all insured banks taken together and grew about one-fourth faster at money center banks. At small banks, interest income increased at about the industry average, and unlike past periods of rising market interest rates, increased faster than at larger nonmoney center banks (chart 1). This heightened responsiveness resulted partly from the asset reallocation described earlier and partly from above-average asset growth, which allowed small banks to acquire instruments carrying current market yields.
3. Portfolio composition as percent of total assets including loan loss reserves, all insured commercial banks, 1978-80 ${ }^{1}$
Average during year

| Item | Domestic |  |  | Fully consolidated |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 |
| Interest-earning assets. | 79.2 | 80.4 | 80.2 | 82.4 | 83.0 | 82.9 |
| Loans . . . . . . . . . . . | 53.3 | 56.0 | 55.1 | 54.6 | 56.3 | 55.4 |
| Securities | 21.3 | 20.0 | 20.1 | 18.4 | 17.2 | 17.0 |
| U.S. Treasury | 7.7 | 6.6 | 6.4 | 6.5 | 5.5 | 5.3 |
| U.S. government agencies | 3.2 | 3.4 | 3.7 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 3.0 |
| State and local governments | 9.8 | 9.5 | 9.4 | 8.3 | 8.0 | 7.8 |
| Other bonds and stocks .... | . 6 | . 5 | . 5 | . 9 | . 8 | . 8 |
| Gross federal funds sold and reverse RPs | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.4 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.7 |
| Interest-bearing deposits ${ }^{2}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . | . 6 | . 4 | . 6 | 6.1 | 6.2 | 6.8 |
| Memo: Average gross assets (billions of dollars) | 1,198 | 1,329 | 1.460 | 1,406 | 1,593 | 1,768 |

1. Percentages are based on aggregate data and thus reflect the heavier weighting of large banks. Data are based on averages for call dates in December of the preceding year and June and December of the current year.

## INTEREST EXPENSE

Interest costs for liabilities not covered by deposit rate ceilings increased more than 200 basis points during 1980 (table 4). Much of this increase reflects the behavior of market interest rates affecting large certificates of deposit (CDs), federal funds, and other liabilities issued in the U.S. money markets. Interest costs on deposits issued by foreign offices also rose rapidly, although they affected a much smaller proportion of banking system liabilities.

Interest costs for savings and small time deposits increased 145 basis points at all banks, and they increased even more at small banks. Since fixed deposit ceilings were maintained well below market interest rates, growth in deposits with variable rate ceilings, together with an increase in average market yields, accounted for the rise in interest costs. The money market certificate (MMC), carrying costs equal to the discount rate on six-month Treasury bills, was the dominant retail time deposit, increasing from 15 percent to more than 30 percent of bank savings and small time deposits during the year. Interest rates offered on MMCs increased on average from $101 / 2$ percent in 1979 to 12 percent in 1980. The small saver certificate (SSC), authorized on January 1, 1980, and pegged to the thirty-month Treasury yield, attracted $\$ 30$ billion of intermediate-term deposits, but inflows were restrained during part of the year by the 11.75 percent interest "cap" imposed in March.

Continuing what has become a long-standing
2. Interest-bearing deposits first were reported on a fully consolidated basis in December 1978. The number shown for 1978 is an average based on the reported December amount and estimates for the earlier call report dates.
trend, demand deposits diminished in relative importance as a source of funds and for the first time financed less than one-fourth of total assets at commercial banks (table 5). In the past, much of the shifting from demand deposits seemed attributable to sophisticated cash management techniques of corporations and the U.S. government. In 1980, however, consumers also shifted by substituting automatic transfer service (ATS) accounts for demand deposits in response to ATS plans marketed in anticipation of the nationwide extension of negotiable order of withdrawal (NOW) accounts on January 1, 1981. ${ }^{3}$ This early

[^3]4. Rates paid for fully consolidated liabilities, all insured commercial banks, 1978-80 ${ }^{1}$
Percent

| Item | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time and savings accounts | 6.76 | 8.69 | 10.66 |
| Negotiable CDs ${ }^{2}$. | 7.85 | 10.52 | 12.56 |
| Deposits in foreign offices | 8.04 | 11.38 | 14.03 |
| Other deposits | 5.81 | 6.65 | 8.10 |
| Subordinated notes and debentures | 7.77 | 8.41 | 8.90 |
| Gross federal funds purchased and RPs | 8.68 | 12.95 | 14.68 |
| Other liabilities for borrowed money... | 7.00 | 9.17 | 11.34 |
| Total | 6.81 | 9.13 | 11.10 |
| Memo: Not covered by regulatory ceilings ${ }^{2}$ | 8.02 | 11.20 | 13.45 |

[^4]
## 1. Components of interest margins



> Size categories are based on year-end consolidated assets.
> Gross interest income is adjusted for taxable equivalence. Net interest margins are gross interest income adjusted for taxable equivalence minus gross interest expense.

> Data are for domestic operations until 1976, when foreign office operations of U.S. banks were consolidated into the totals.

shifting from consumer demand deposits to inter-est-bearing transaction accounts had some impact on commercial bank costs, hinting at the larger effect likely after a full transition to NOW accounts.

Interest costs scaled to average assets increased at all size groups of commercial banks (chart
1). As expected, such costs rose fastest at money center banks, reflecting especially large increases in money market rates. Uncharacteristic, however, was the increase in interest costs at small banks, which approached that at large nonmoney center banks and exceeded that at medium-sized banks. This rise reflected both the shift out of demand balances into interest-bearing deposits, especially pronounced at small banks, and the shift within interest-bearing deposits to escalating-cost MMCs.

## Net Interest Margins

With interest costs increasing faster than interest income, the average net interest margin of all insured commercial banks fell slightly during 1980. Large banks experienced reductions in interest margins; banks with less than $\$ 1$ billion in assets showed gains.

Interest margins of money center banks fell 8 basis points in 1980. Some of the decline may reflect the increase in the share of assets in foreign offices, at which margins tend to be lower than at domestic offices. Some also may reflect intensified domestic and foreign competition from suppliers of short-term funds to major corporate borrowers, manifested by the spread of loan pricing options favorable to borrowers. In addition, variations in interest rates during the year brought profound changes to relationships between the prime rate and typical money center bank funding costs; consequently, the timing within the year when domestic liabilities matured and had to be reissued had a material impact on net interest margins.

Net interest margins of nonmoney center large banks also fell in 1980. Some of these institutions expanded their foreign office operations, and as with money center banks, this alteration in business mix could have exerted downward pressure on margins. In addition, many of these banks are still adjusting to financing by a larger volume of liabilities with costs sensitive to movements in market rates of interest.

Although more small banks experienced erosion in net interest margins in 1980 than in 197937 percent versus 29 percent-enough banks had expanded margins to bring the average margin for the entire group above that in 1979 (table 6).
5. Composition of financial liabilities as percent of total assets including loan loss reserves, all insured commercial banks, 1978-80 ${ }^{1}$
Average during year

| Item | Domestic |  |  | Fully consolidated |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 |
| Financial claims | 89.1 | 88.0 | 87.6 | 90.2 | 89.7 | 89.1 |
| Demand deposits | 31.9 | 30.3 | 29.1 | 26.9 | 25.3 | 24.0 |
| Interest-bearing claims | 57.2 | 57.7 | 58.5 | 63.3 | 64.4 | ${ }_{55}^{65.1}$ |
| Time and savings accounts | 48.3 | 47.3 | 47.8 | 55.2 | 55.0 | 55.5 |
| Large time ${ }^{2}$ | 15.0 | 15.2 | 15.5 | 12.7 | 12.7 | 12.8 |
| In foreign offices. |  |  |  | 14.5 | 15.6 | 16.1 |
| Other domestic . | 33.3 | 32.1 | 32.3 | 28.1 | 26.7 | 26.7 |
| Subordinated notes and debentures.. | . 5 | . 4 | 4 | . 4 | . 4 | . 4 |
| Other borrowings.. | 1.1 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 2.4 | 2.3 |
| Gross federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements ......... | 7.3 | 7.9 | 8.4 | 6.2 | 6.6 | 6.9 |
| Memo |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Managed liabilities ${ }^{3}$ | 23.9 | 25.6 | 26.1 | 35.3 | 37.6 | 38.4 |
| Average gross assets (billions of dollars) | 1,198 | 1,329 | 1.460 | 1.406 | 1,593 | 1,768 |

1. Percentages are based on aggregate data and thus reflect the heavier weighting of large banks. Data are based on averages for call dates in December of the preceding year and June and December of the current year.
2. Deposits of $\$ 100,000$ and over issued by domestic offices. 3. Large time deposits issued by domestic offices, deposits issued by foreign offices, subordinated notes and debentures, RPs, gross federal funds purchased, and other borrowings.
the average estimated fraction of assets exposed to market interest rate changes (column 3 of table 6) was significantly smaller at banks with increased margins than at their peers. Also, though not shown in the table, the fraction of assets financed by rate-sensitive liabilities grew less at nonmoney center banks with increased margins. At small banks with improved margins, more-

By contrast, half of the large nonmoney center banks experienced erosion in interest margins in 1980, about the same as last year, but those losses were sufficient to reduce the average margin for the group as a whole.
A number of balance-sheet characteristics differentiated banks with increased net interest margins from others within groups. One is that
6. Factors associated with the 1979-80 change in net interest margins, all insured commercial banks ${ }^{1}$ Percent except for number of banks

| Assets. year-end 1980 | Number <br> (1) | Rate-sensitivity ${ }^{2}$ |  | Average interest margin ${ }^{3}$ |  |  | Asset growth |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\underset{(2)}{\text { Assets }}$ | Assets less liabilities (3) | $\begin{gathered} 1980 \\ (4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1979 \\ (5) \end{gathered}$ | Percent change (6) | $\underset{(7)}{\mathrm{H} 1}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{H} 2 \\ (8) \end{gathered}$ |
| Less than 825 million |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Increased margins | 4,768 | 16.9 | -8.3 | 5.47 | 4.70 | 16.4 | 3.8 | 9.3 |
| Others. | 2,804 | $16.8{ }^{+}$ | -11.9 | 4.61 | 5.09 | -9.4 | 6.9 | $9.1{ }^{+}$ |
| $\$ 25$ million to $\$ 100$ million |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Increased margins ....... | 3,077 | 14.3 | - 14.1 | 5.15 | 4.72 | 9.2 | 2.4 | 7.3 |
| Others. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 1,971 | 13.0 | -16.8 | 4.33 | 4.66 | -7.1 | 3.7 | 7.3 † |
| \$100 million to \$1 billion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Increased margins ..... | 729 | 20.3 | -12.5 | 4.73 | 4.38 | 7.9 | 1.2 | 7.3 |
| Others. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 599 | 16.6 | -16.0 | 4.08 | 4.42 | -7.7 | 2.9 | 5.9 |
| 13 money center |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Increased margins .... | 8 | 58.7 | $-3.0$ | 2.02 | 1.94 | 4.0 | 8.5 | 3.9 |
| Others.................. | 8 | 57.6† | $-5.3 \dagger$ | $2.15{ }^{+}$ | 2.29 | $-6.2$ | $4.6 \dagger$ | $3.6+$ |
| Others \$1 billion or more |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Increased margins ...... | 79 | 42.8 | . 1 | 3.80 | 3.52 | 7.9 | -. 2 | 8.3 |
| Others................. | 78 | 37.4 | -6.2 | 3.42 | 3.73 | -8.3 | 2.8 | 5.9* |

1. Differences between means are statistically significant at the .01 level except when noted by an asterick (*), which are significant at the .05 level, and a dagger ( $\dagger$ ), which are not within the .05 range.
2. Average, as a percent of total assets, on the December 1979 and March, June, September, and December 1980 call dates. Rate-sensitive assets: interest-bearing deposits, federal funds sold, reverse RPs, loans and government debt maturing in one year or less, and other
loans with floating rates. Small banks do not report the loan detail, so their holdings of loans to financial institutions, construction loans, and purpose loans are included. Rate-sensitive liabilities: large time deposits and foreign office deposits due in one year or less, federal funds, RPs, MMCs, and other short-term borrowings.
3. Taxable equivalent, as a percent of average assets.
over, the estimated fraction of assets invested in rate-sensitive instruments increased faster than at their peers.

Finally, nonmoney center banks with improved interest margins grew significantly more slowly than their peers during the first half of 1980 (columns 7 and 8, table 6). Within the first half, the economy slumped and special credit restraints were imposed; in association with those events market interest rates peaked and then dropped sharply. Speeds of adjustment varied among interest rates, causing distortions in certain traditional relationships upon which profitable intermediation depended.

Chart 2 illustrates rate relationships for three common, hypothetical, commercial bank transactions. One transaction is a one-month loan tied to the prime rate and financed by reservable $30-$ day CDs; that bar in the chart shows the annual rate of net interest earned on that transaction repeated monthly during 1980. The other two transactions, more typical for small banks, involve net interest earned by issuing reservable six-month MMCs at average interest rates prevailing each month. One bar shows the annualized net return from investing the proceeds of an MMC issued in that month in federal funds, rolled over at the average funds rate in the current and ensuing five months. Another bar shows the net return from investing in a sixmonth loan yielding a fixed 16 percent interest, such as a consumer loan. With net interest margins of most small banks ranging between $41 / 4$ percent and $51 / 2$ percent, clearly the fixed-rate transaction initiated during the first four months of 1980 would have depressed the net interest
2. Net interest earned on selected commercial bank transactions, 1980


Interest is at an annual rate.
margin of a typical small bank. Any federal funds-MMC transaction entered into during the first six months of 1980 would have resulted in below-average net earnings. In both instances, above-average net returns would have been associated with transactions consummated in the summer and early fall. Banks' intrayearly growth patterns clearly were material in determining whether these shifting relationships would result in gains or losses.

As in the previous two years, small banks relying heavily on MMCs for their funding experienced significantly lower net interest margins than those with below-average amounts of those deposits. The difference in 1980 after adjustment for taxable equivalence amounted to 79 basis points (table 7). That difference incorporates the much higher gross interest expenses, only partially offset by higher interest income, of the group most intensively using MMCs. Unlike earlier years, however, the two groups of small banks did not differ in the rate of growth of total assets. In 1980, the low-MMC group financed its
7. Comparison of operating results in 1980, small insured commercial banks with greatest and least reliance on MMCs ${ }^{1}$
Means in percent

| Item | Quartile |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Highest | Lowest |
| Growth in total assets (percent). | 13.5* | 13.6 |
| Income and expense scaled to average consolidated assets |  |  |
| Interest income | 9.93 | 9.65 |
| Interest expense. | 5.69 | 4.57 |
| Net interest margin, taxable equivalent | 4.69 | 5.48 |
| Noninterest income . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 48 | . 84 |
| Loan loss provision | . 27 | . 32 |
| Other noninterest expense | 2.79 | 3.97 |
| Profit before tax | 1.66* | 1.64 |
| Net income | 1.25* | 1.21 |
| As percent of year-end financial claims |  |  |
| Transactions balances. | 26.3 | 37.7 |
| NOW, ATS . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | . 7 | 1.3 |
| Passbook, small time except MMCs | 32.2 | 34.4 |
| MMCs | 34.1 | 12.1 |
| Managed liabilities. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 7.4 | 15.7 |
| Average change in 1980 (thousands of dollars) |  |  |
| Total financial claims | 2,087 | 2,380 |
| Transactions | -16 | 559 |
| NOW, ATS | 107 | 210 |
| Passbook, small time except MMCs | -1,104 | -411 |
| MMCs | 3,013 | 1,339 |
| Managed liabilities | 196 | 893 |

1. Top and bottom quartiles, as determined by MMCs as a percent of total financial claims at the end of 1980 , of all banks with year-end assets below $\$ 100$ million.

The differences between means of the two groups are all statistically significant at the 1 percent level except where indicated (*).
asset growth by issuing more transaction accounts and managed liabilities (large time deposits) and by retaining more passbook and nonMMC small-denomination time deposits than the group that relied principally on MMCs. This difference in funding sources also carried noninterest implications; the low-MMC group earned higher rates of noninterest income, perhaps in association with deposit service fees, and paid higher noninterest expenses, presumably to service the higher volume of transaction accounts. In 1980, the interest and noninterest differences between these two groups were offsetting; their profit rates were about equal.

## Loan Losses and Other Noninterest INCOME AND EXPENSE

Bank loan loss provisions grew, but did not keep pace with increases in actual losses charged net of recoveries (table 8). All size groups experienced trivial changes in cash recoveries and substantial increases in loan charge-offs. Net loan losses increased relative to assets at all size groups (chart 3).

At insured commercial banks with assets exceeding $\$ 300$ million, the dollar increase in loan losses charged net of recoveries is about equally attributable to loans to individuals and to business loans extended to U.S. addressees. ${ }^{4}$ At these

[^5]3. Net loan losses charged ${ }^{1}$


1. As a percent of average consolidated assets net of loan-loss reserves, all insured commercial banks.
large banks, the increased net personal loan charge-offs were not associated with growth in outstanding consumer loans. Possibly some of the personal loan charge-offs experienced during 1980 might have occurred earlier except that some 1979 bankruptcies were postponed to benefit from liberalized personal bankruptcy provisions that became effective October 1, 1979; some other portion of these charge-offs might be attributed to the liberalization per se.

Most categories of noninterest income and expense increased relative to assets, in about equal amounts at large and small banks. Small gains were realized in fiduciary income, and at large banks trading-account profits grew. Service fees on deposits increased about 4 basis points relative to average assets at all size groups other than money center banks, presumably in associa-
8. Loan portfolio losses and recoveries, all insured commercial banks, 1979-80

Millions of dollars, except as noted

| Year, and size of bank ${ }^{1}$ | Losses charged | Recoveries | Net losses |  | Loan loss provision |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Dollar amount | Percent of loans ${ }^{2}$ |  |
| 1979 |  |  |  |  |  |
| All banks | 3,731 | 1,197 | 2,534 | . 28 | 3,764 |
| Less than \$100 million | 823 | 256 | 567 | . 30 | 783 |
| \$1 billion or more |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Money center | 860 | 329 | 531 | . 20 | 895 |
| Others....... | 1,290 | 394 | 897 | . 34 | 1,341 |
| 1980 |  |  |  |  |  |
| All banks | 4,852 | 1,276 | 3,576 | . 36 | 4.452 |
| Less than \$100 million | 1,006 | 264 | 742 | . 39 | 889 |
| \$1 billion or more |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Others....... | 1,769 | 451 | 1,318 | . 45 | 1,615 |

1. Size categories are based on year-end fully consolidated assets. 2. Average of beginning-and end-of-year loan balances.
tion with the introduction of interest-bearing consumer transaction accounts. Large banks, particularly the money center institutions, realized gains from other service income, a category that includes loan service fees as well as fees for miscellaneous banking services.

Wage and salary expenses increased at all size categories of banks; those expenses increased 7 basis points relative to average assets at banks with less than $\$ 1$ billion in assets, and by about half that at larger banks. Occupancy costs and other operating costs also increased at all size groups, adding about 8 basis points to small bank expense ratios but substantially less to those of large banks.

## Profitability and Dividends

Average returns on assets for all commercial banks as a group declined marginally in 1980. Improved rates of return at small banks were about offset by lower profitability at large nonmoney center banks. Among other size groups, 1980 returns on assets were maintained at 1979 levels (table 9).

Rates of return on assets showed more dispersion in 1980 than in 1979, a change not apparent from the relative stability in overall average rates of return. The rate of loss incurred by banks in the lowest one percentile of peer group profitability increased sharply compared with 1979, particularly at banks with more than $\$ 25$ million in assets. In addition, more banks incurred losses than in 1979. At the same time, profitability rates of banks in the top fifth and first percentiles were close to one-tenth higher in 1980 than in 1979 at all except the largest banks, at which returns earned by the best performers were unchanged from last year.

In aggregate, cash dividends increased somewhat faster than assets. At small banks, growth in dividends kept pace with earnings. At other nonmoney center banks, however, dividends increased faster than income and retained earnings scaled to average assets were lower than in 1979.

During 1980 little equity was attracted from external sources, continuing the recent pattern associated with extremely high equity capital costs. Retained income generated more than four-fifths of the increase in equity during 1980 at
both large and small banks (table 10). At most groups of commercial banks, and for all banks taken together, the increase in equity capital was sufficient only to keep pace with assets. Equity capital ratios consequently remained about level with those in 1979. One exception is small banks, at which earnings retention exceeded asset growth and the capital-to-assets ratio grew from 8.1 to 8.4 percent. With this leverage reduction, returns on equity at small banks increased less than asset returns. In another exception, asset growth at the money center banks slightly outpaced equity additions; with a small increase in leverage, returns on equity increased despite stability in the return on average assets.

## Insured U.S. Commercial Banks with Foreign Offices

At the end of 1980, 178 insured U.S. commercial banks had foreign offices or Edge Act or Agreement corporations and held consolidated assets of $\$ 1.1$ trillion. ${ }^{5}$ This aspect of U.S. commercial banking grew in importance during the year: the number of banks with foreign offices increased by 14 , and excluding intracompany balances, the proportion of consolidated assets held at foreign offices increased from $301 / 2$ percent to 32 percent. Foreign offices and international business represented a larger share of consolidated returns on assets than in 1979.
During 1980, liabilities issued in domestic markets often carried lower reserves-adjusted interest costs than those issued abroad. At the end of the year, domestic offices of these banks had outstanding a net $\$ 23$ billion in funds advanced to their own foreign offices, an increase of $\$ 20$ billion from the beginning of the year. Reflecting the enlarged role of domestic offices as a funding source, deposits issued to third parties by foreign offices dropped from 87 to 83 percent of total liabilities during the year; at domestic offices the proportion of assets allocated to intracompany business more than doubled to $31 / 2$ percent (table 11).

The predominant loan customers of foreign

[^6]9. Profit rates, all insured commercial banks, 1975-80

Percent

| Type of return and size of bank ${ }^{1}$ | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Return on assets ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All banks | . 69 | . 70 | . 71 | . 76 | . 80 | . 79 |
| Less than \$100 million | . 89 | . 94 | . 98 | 1.04 | 1.15 | 1.18 |
| \$100 million to \$1 billion. | . 75 | . 78 | . 82 | . 90 | . 96 | . 96 |
| \$1 billion or more |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Money center | . 56 | . 54 | . 50 | . 53 | 56 | . 56 |
| Others....... | . 59 | . 60 | . 62 | . 68 | . 72 | . 66 |
| Return on equity ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All banks | 11.8 | 11.5 | 11.8 | 12.9 | 13.9 | 13.7 |
| Less than \$100 million | 11.5 | 11.8 | 12.4 | 13.2 | 14.1 | 14.2 |
| \$1 billion or more........................................... 11.1 . 11.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Money center | 13.8 | 12.3 | 11.4 | 12.8 | 14.0 | 14.4 |
| Others....... | 11.2 | 10.6 | 11.2 | 12.5 | 13.5 | 12.7 |

1. Size categories are based on year-end fully consolidated assets.
2. Net income as a percent of the average of beginning- and end-of-
year fully consolidated assets net of loan loss reserves.
3. Net income as a percent of the average of beginning- and end-ofyear equity capital.
4. Sources of increase in total equity capital, all insured commercial banks, 1975-801 Millions of dollars, except as noted

| Year | Net retained income ${ }^{2}$ |  | Net increase in equity capital |  | Increase in equity capital from retained income (percent) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Large banks ${ }^{3}$ | Total | Large banks ${ }^{3}$ | Column 1/ column 3 | Column $2 /$ column 4 |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| 1975. | 4,224 | 1,690 | 5,526 | 2,396 | 76 | 71 |
| 1976. | 4,834 | 1,909 | 7,254 | 3,371 | 67 | 57 |
| 1977. | 5,599 | 2,157 | 7,094 | 2,939 | 79 | 73 |
| 1978. | 7,019 | 2,947 | 8,148 | 3,304 | 86 | 89 |
| 1979. | 8,350 | 3,616 | 9,952 | 4,291 | 84 | 84 |
| 1980. | 8,859 | 3,843 | 10,828 | 4,567 | 82 | 84 |

1. In 1976, equity capital was affected by one-time accounting changes in the treatment of loan loss and valuation reserves. Data for 1976 have been adjusted for that definitional change.
2. Net income less cash dividends declared on preferred and common stock.
3. Banks with fully consolidated assets of $\$ 1$ billion or more.
4. Assets and liabilities, U.S. insured commercial banks with foreign offices, December 31, 1980

| Item |  | Domestic offices |  | Foreign offices |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Billions of dollars | Percent of total | Billions of dollars | Percent of total |
| Total assets. |  | 769 | 100 | 354 | 100 |
| Cash and due from banks |  | 118 | 15 | 131 | 37 |
| Gross federal funds sold and reverse RPs |  | 26 | 3 | * | * |
| Securities |  | 116 | 15 | 11 | 3 |
| Loans . |  | 415 | 54 | 185 | 52 |
| Other ${ }^{1}$. |  | 94 | 12 | 27 | 8 |
| Total liabilities. |  | 716 | 100 | 353 | 100 |
| Deposits. |  | 531 | 74 | 294 | 83 |
| Noninterest-bearing ${ }^{2}$ |  | 225 | 31 | 17 | 5 |
| Interest-bearing. . . . |  | 306 | 43 | 277 | 78 |
| Savings and small time |  | 147 | 21 | n.a. | n.a. |
| Time over $\$ 100,000 \ldots$ |  | 159 | 22 | n.a. | n.a. |
| Nondeposit financial claims . . |  | 128 | 18 | ${ }_{*} 1$ | ${ }_{*}^{5}$ |
| Federal funds purchased and RPs |  | 102 | 14 | * 15 | * |
| Subordinated notes and debentures |  | 4 | 1 | 15 | * |
| Other liabilities for borrowed money |  | 22 | 3 | 15 | 4 |
| Other ${ }^{1}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |  | 57 | 8 | 43 | 12 |
| 1. Of these amounts, $\$ 27$ billion represents net funds advanced by domestic offices to their own foreign offices and $\$ 4$ billion represents net funds advanced to domestic offices by their own foreign offices. | 2. Demand deposits in domestic offices, noninterest-bearing deposits in foreign offices. <br> * Less than $\$ 500,000$ or 0.5 percent. <br> n.a. Not available. |  |  |  |  |

branches continued to be borrowers domiciled outside the United States (table 12). Depositors identifiable as foreign residents-primarily banks located abroad-accounted for more than half of total foreign office deposits.
12. Customers, U.S. insured commercial banks with foreign offices, December 31, 1980
Billions of dollars


The gross rate of interest earned on invested assets increased 132 basis points at domestic offices and double that at foreign offices (table 13). Rates of interest paid for interest-bearing liabilities increased even faster than asset returns at domestic offices, but at foreign offices they lagged improvement in asset yields. Net interest
13. Rates of return and rates paid for funds, U.S. insured commercial banks with foreign offices, 1979 and $1980^{1}$
Percent

| Item | Domestic offices |  | Foreign offices |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1979 | 1980 | 1979 | 1980 |
| Loans | 12.30 | 13.82 | 13.21 | 16.00 |
| Interest-earning assets ${ }^{2}$. . . | 11.92 | 13.24 | 12.35 | 15.06 |
| Interest-bearing deposits | 8.36 | 10.11 | 11.38 | 14.03 |
| Interest-bearing claims ... | 9.31 | 11.10 | 11.32 | 13.97 |

1. Calculated as described in the "Technical note," Bulletin (September 1979). p. 704.
2. Taxable equivalent approximated for domestic offices according to the method described in table 1, note 4 .
3. Interest income and expense as percent of average assets, U.S. insured commercial banks with foreign offices, 1979 and 1980

| Item | Domestic offices |  | Foreign offices |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1979 | 1980 | 1979 | 1980 |
| Gross interest income. . . | 7.38 | 8.67 | 9.46 | 12.37 |
| Gross interest expense . | 4.57 | 5.79 | 8.19 | 10.99 |
| Net interest margin . . | 2.82 | 2.88 | 1.26 | 1.39 |
| Taxable equivalent ${ }^{2}$. | 3.13 | 3.23 | 1.26 | 1.39 |

1. Approximated for domestic offices according to the method described in table 1 , note 4 .
margins at foreign offices increased relative to total assets, but remained less than half the level at domestic offices (table 14). At domestic offices, net interest margins also increased relative to total assets, notwithstanding the faster increase in rates paid for funds over rates of return. Interest-bearing liabilities financed less than three-fifths of domestic office assets, so the impact of the relatively sharp increase in those interest costs was diluted.

On a consolidated basis, gross interest expenses grew somewhat faster than gross interest earnings and consolidated net interest margins of banks with foreign offices were lower than last year (table 15). Increases in noninterest in-come-gains in trading accounts as well as increased income from nondeposit service charges-exceeded those in noninterest expenses, and before-tax profitability declined by less than the shrinkage in interest margins.
15. Consolidated income and expenses, U.S. insured commercial banks with foreign offices, 1979-80
Percent of average assets

| Item | 1979 | 1980 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gross interest income | 8.75 | 10.08 |
| Gross interest expense | 6.25 | 7.65 |
| Net interest margin | 2.50 | 2.43 |
| Taxable equivalent ${ }^{1}$ | 2.74 | 2.68 |
| Noninterest income | . 84 | . 98 |
| Loan loss provisions | . 22 | . 24 |
| Other noninterest expense | 2.17 | 2.25 |
| Income before tax | . 95 | . 92 |
| Foreign offices ${ }^{2}$. | . 22 | . 26 |
| Domestic offices ${ }^{2}$ | . 73 | . 66 |
| Net income | 63 | 61 |
| International business ${ }^{2}$ | . 16 | 19 |
| Domestic business ${ }^{2}$ | . 47 | 42 |

[^7]
## A. 1 Report of income, all insured commercial banks

Amounts shown in millions of dollars

| Item | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operating Income-Total. | 40,065 | 52,794 | 67,872 | 66,285 | 80,388 | 90,069 | 113,170 | 149,795 | 190,109 |
| Interest |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans | 25,498 | 35,213 | 46,942 | 43,197 | 51.471 | 58,881 | 75,948 | 101,942 | 126,663 |
| Balances with bank | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | 4,459 | 4,860 | 6,662 | 10,561 | 16,035 |
| Federal funds sold and securities purchased under resale agreement | 1,023 | 2,474 | 3,695 | 2,283 | 1,979 | 2,471 | 3,664 | 6,106 | 8,750 |
| Securities (excluding trading accounts) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total income. . . . . . . C . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .U.S. Treasury and | 8,329 | 9,138 | 10,344 | 12,201 | 14,333 | 15,140 | 16,432 | 18,755 | 22,968 |
|  | 4,520 | 4,905 | 5,428 | 6,758 | 8,362 | 8,835 | 9,335 | 10,630 | 13,400 |
| U.S. Treasury and U.S. government agencies and corporations States and political subdivisions. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 3,490 | 3,861 | 4,449 | 4,911 | 5,116 | 5,338 | 6,003 | 6,928 | 8,131 |
| Other ${ }^{1}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 319 | 372 | 467 | 532 | 855 | 967 | 1,094 | 1,197 | 1,437 |
| Trust department | 1,366 | 1,460 | 1,506 | 1,600 | 1,795 | 1,980 | 2,138 | 2,375 | 2,738 |
| Direct lease financing ...... | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | , 534 | 699 | 862 | 1,073 | 1,371 |
| Service charges on deposits | 1,256 | 1,320 | 1,450 | 1,547 | 1,629 | 1,797 | 2,039 | 2,517 | 3,173 |
| Other charges, fees, etc. | 1,079 | 1,247 | 1,405 | 1,647 | 2,175 | 2,404 | 2,930 | 3,635 | 4,352 |
| Other operating income | 1,512 | 1,942 | 2,530 | 3,811 | 2,011 | 1,903 | 2,495 | 2,831 | 4,059 |
| Operating expenses-Total . | 32,836 | 44,113 | 58,645 | 57,313 | 70,466 | 78,484 | 98,104 | 131,950 | 170,675 |
| Interest |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Time and savings deposits | 13,781 | 19,747 | 27,777 | 26,147 | 34,894 | 38,701 | 50,054 | 71,693 | 98,130 |
| Time CD's of $\$ 100,000$ or more issued by domestic offices . . . . . Deposits in foreign offices | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | 7.083 | 6,732 | 11,693 | 18,105 | 24,753 |
|  | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | 8.745 | 10.216 | 14,559 | 24,523 | 34,941 |
|  | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | 19.066 | 21,753 | 23,802 | 29,065 | 38.436 |
| Federal funds purchased and securities sold under | 1,425 | 3,883 | 5,970 | 3,313 | 3,305 | 4,536 | 7,247 | 12,218 | 16,707 |
| repurchase agreements <br> Other borrowed money ${ }^{2}$ | 115 | 499 | 912 | 374 | 665 | 816 | 1,452 | 3,162 | 4,380 |
| Capital notes and debentures. | 212 | 253 | 280 | 292 | 343 | 391 | 445 | 497 | 541 |
| Salaries, wages, and employee benefits | 9,040 | 10,076 | 11,526 | 12,624 | 14,686 | 16,276 | 18,654 | 21,465 | 24,565 |
| Occupancy expense ${ }^{3}$. | 2,658 | 2,970 | 3,396 | 3,837 | 4,464 | 4,959 | 5,559 | 6,255 | 7,325 |
| Provision for loan losses | 964 | 1,253 | 2,271 | 3,578 | 3,650 | 3,244 | 3,499 | 3,764 | 4,453 |
| Other operating expenses . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 4,640 | 5,432 | 6,514 | 7,149 | 8,456 | 9,561 | 11,194 | 12,796 | 14,573 |
| Income before taxes and securities gains or losses . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 7,229 | 8,681 | 9,227 | 8,973 | 9,922 | 11,585 | 15,067 | 17,843 | 19,435 |
| Applicable income taxes Income before securities gains or losses | 1,708 | 2,120 | 2,084 | 1,790 | 2,287 | 2,829 | 4,155 | 4,736 | 5,009 |
|  | 5,522 | 6,560 | 7,143 | 7,182 | 7,635 | 8,756 | 10,911 | 13,109 | 14,426 |
| Net securities gains or losses ( - ) after taxes | 90 18 | -27 | -87 | 35 | 190 | 95 | -225 | -350 | -492 |
|  | 18 5630 | 6 | 12 | 7 32 | 24 7 | 47 8898 | 45 10.731 | 12.797 | 13.95 |
|  | 5,630 | 6,555 | 7,068 | 7,249 | 7,849 | 8,898 | 10,731 | 12,797 | 13,950 |
| Cash dividends declared . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 2,191 | 2,423 | 2,760 | 3,025 | 3,029 | 3,299 | 3,714 | 4,449 | 5,091 |
| Memo |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of banks | 13,721 | 13,964 | 14,216 | 14,372 | 14,397 | 14,397 | 14,380 | 14,352 | 14,421 |
| Average fully consolidated assets (billions of dollars) . . . . . . . . . . . . | 738 | 857 | 987 | 1.052 | 1,123 | 1,257 | 1,418 | 1,593 | 1,768 |

1. Includes interest income from other bonds, notes, debentures, and dividends from stocks.
2. Includes interest paid on U.S. Treasury tax and loan account balances, which were begun in November 1978.
3. Occupancy expense for bank premises plus furniture and equipment expenses minus rental income received for bank premises.
n.a. Not available.

Note. For "Notes on comparability of commercial bank income data before 1976," see Bulletin, vol. 64 (June 1978), p. 446.
A. 2 Earnings, portfolio composition, and interest rates, all insured commercial banks, $198{ }^{1}$

| Item | All | Assets |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Less than $\$ 100$ million | $\$ 100$ million to $\$ 1$ billion | \$1 billion or more |  |
|  |  |  |  | Money center | Others |
|  | Balance sheet (as percent of average consolidated assets) |  |  |  |  |
| Interest-earning assets. | 82.9 | 89.4 | 87.2 | 78.0 | 81.1 |
| Loans . . . . . . . . . . . | 55.4 | 55.9 | 55.4 | 55.4 | 55.0 |
| Securities | 17.0 | 27.8 | 25.2 | 7.2 | 15.0 |
| U.S. Treasury . | 5.3 | 9.2 | 7.9 | 2.2 | 4.5 |
| U.S. government agencies | 3.0 | 6.3 | 4.4 | . 9 | 2.3 |
| State and local governments | 7.8 | 11.8 | 12.3 | 2.8 | 7.7 |
| Other bonds and stock ..... | . 8 | . 5 | . 6 | 1.4 | . 5 |
| Gross federal funds sold and reverse RPs | 3.7 | 5.5 | 5.4 | 1.6 | 3.6 |
| Interest-bearing deposits. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 6.8 | . 2 | 1.3 | 13.7 | 7.4 |
| Financial claims | 89.1 | 89.8 | 90.7 | 87.8 | 89.1 |
| Demand deposits | 24.0 | 26.7 | 28.8 | 17.5 | 26.1 |
| Interest-bearing claims | 65.1 | 63.1 | 62.0 | 70.3 57.8 | 63.0 |
| Time and savings deposits | 55.5 | 61.4 | 55.2 | 57.8 | 49.7 |
| Large time . . . . . . . . . . | 12.8 | 9.5 | 14.4 | 11.1 | 15.7 |
| In foreign offices. | 16.0 | 0 | . 2 | 40.3 | 11.4 |
| Other domestic | 26.7 | 52.0 | 40.6 | 6.4 | 22.6 |
| MMCs | 8.2 | 17.4 | 12.2 | 1.8 | 6.6 |
| Subordinated notes and debentures | . 4 | . 2 | . 4 | . 2 | . 6 |
| Other borrowings | 2.3 | . 4 | . 9 | 4.2 | 2.4 |
| Gross RPs and federal funds purchased | 6.9 38.4 | 1.0 | 5.4 | 8.2 63.9 | 10.4 |
| Memo: Managed liabilities......... . . . . . | 38.4 | 11.1 | 21.3 | 63.9 | 40.4 |
|  | Effective interest rates (percent) |  |  |  |  |
| On securities | 7.88 | 7.89 | 7.64 | 8.83 | 7.67 |
| State and local governments | 6.03 | 5.80 | 5.82 | 6.95 | 6.11 |
| On loans, gross . . . . . . . . . . . | 13.71 | 12.43 | 12.79 | 14.95 | 13.85 |
| Net of loan loss provision | 13.19 | 11.90 | 12.26 | 14.56 | 13.23 |
| Taxable equivalent |  |  |  |  |  |
| Securities . | 10.23 | 9.98 | 10.00 | 11.25 | 10.29 |
| Securities and gross loans. | 12.88 | 11.60 | 11.91 | 14.52 | 13.08 |
| For time and savings deposits |  |  |  | 13.37 |  |
| Negotiable CDs . . . . . . . . . In foreign offices. . . . | 12.56 14.03 | 11.66 | 12.13 12.99 | 13.37 | 12.66 14.37 |
| Other deposits.. | 8.10 | 8.36 | 8.06 | 8.29 13.73 | +7.72 |
| For managed liabilities ... | 13.45 | 11.66 | 12.48 | 13.73 | 13.63 |
| For all interest-bearing liabilities | 11.10 | 8.89 | 9.50 | 13.07 | 11.36 |
|  | Earnings and expenses (as percent of average consolidated assets) |  |  |  |  |
| Gross interest income | 9.87 | 9.67 | 9.47 | 10.40 | 9.71 |
| Gross interest expense | 6.78 | 5.36 | 5.62 | 8.40 | 6.76 |
| Net interest margin . | 3.09 | 4.31 | 3.85 | 2.00 | 2.95 |
| Noninterest income . . | . 89 | . 64 | . 82 | . 96 | 1.01 |
| Loan loss provision | . 25 | . 26 | . 26 | . 193 | . 30 |
| Other noninterest expense | 2.63 | 3.12 | 3.20 | 1.83 | 2.76 |
| Profits before tax ...... | 1.10 | 1.57 | 1.20 | . 94 | . 90 |
| Taxes | . 28 | . 36 | . 22 | . 36 | . 19 |
| Other. | -. 03 | $-.03$ | -. 03 | -. 01 | -. 05 |
| Net income | . 79 | 1.18 | . 96 | . 56 | . 66 |
| Dividends. | . 29 | . 31 | . 36 | . 22 | . 29 |
| Retained income | . 50 | . 87 | . 60 | . 34 | . 37 |
| Memo: Net interest margin, taxable equivalent | 3.46 | 4.85 | 4.40 | 2.15 | 3.31 |

1. See notes to tables in the text.

## A. 3 Income attributable to international business of U.S. commercial banks with foreign offices, 1980 <br> Millions of dollars

| Item |  | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pretax income attributable to foreign offices ${ }^{1}$ |  | 2,701 |
| Plus: Pretax income attributable to international business conducted in domestic offices |  | 966 |
| Less: adjustment amount ${ }^{2}$ |  | 203 |
| Pretax income attributable to international business |  | 3,464 |
| Less: All income taxes attributable to international business |  | 1,519 |
| Net income attributable to international business. |  | 1,945 |
| Мемо |  |  |
| Provision for possible loan losses attributable to international business |  | 384 |
| Noninterest income attributable to foreign officest. |  | 1.769 |
| Noninterest income attributable to international business |  | 2,276 |
| Noninterest expense attributable to foreign offices ${ }^{1}$. |  | 3,572 |
| Noninterest expense attributable to international business |  | 4,340 |
| Intracompany interest income attributable to international business |  | 4.585 |
| Intracompany interest expense attributable to international business. |  | 6,021 |
| Interest income of domestic offices from foreign-domiciled customersFully consolidated |  | 2,891 |
| Fully consolidated |  |  |
| Pretax income.. |  | 9,626 |
| Total applicable taxes |  | 2,995 |
| Net income ${ }^{3}$. ${ }^{\text {a }}$. . |  | 6,326 |
| Average total assets |  | 1,043,494 |
| 1. Including Edge Act and Agreement subsidiaries. <br> 2. Reflects the amount necessary to reconcile the preceding two amounts with pretax income attributable to international business. | For example, net income of foreign offices from business with U.S.domiciled customers would be included here. <br> 3. After gains and losses from securities transactions and extraordinary items. |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# Changes in Bank Lending Practices, 1979-81 

This article was prepared by Warren T. Trepeta of the Board's Division of Research and Statistics. ${ }^{1}$

According to the Senior Loan Officer Opinion Survey on Bank Lending Practices (LPS), large banks generally relaxed their nonprice terms of credit in the second half of 1980 and in the first three quarters of $1981 .{ }^{2}$ This policy has reversed the trend toward more restrictive nonprice lending terms that had prevailed from early 1978 to mid-1980.

The Federal Reserve from time to time publishes the results of the senior loan officer survey in the Bulletin. The previous article analyzed the eleven surveys from February 1977 through August 1979 (Federal Reserve Bulletin, vol. 65, October 1979, pp. 797-815). This article discusses the eight surveys from November 1979

[^8]through August 1981. ${ }^{3}$ It also refers to selected data from the Survey of Terms of Bank Lending (STBL). ${ }^{4}$ Quantitative results from the STBL on the characteristics of business loans made by 48
3. Statistical summaries of these surveys appear in the appendix. Table A7 reports February 1981 responses to the revised survey's six core questions from only those banks remaining in the current LPS panel in order to permit a comparison of survey results for February with those for May and August 1981.
4. First conducted in February 1977, the STBL gathers information on the gross volume and on the rate and selected nonrate characteristics of short-term (less than one year) and long-term (one year or more) business loans extended during the first full week of the middle month of each quarter. The STBL panel includes 48 large commercial banks, of which 35 also respond to the LPS, and a stratified sample of other banks, from which estimates for all commercial banks are derived. The STBL also obtains data on construction and land development loans and loans to farmers. The results of the most recent STBL are shown in the Financial and Business Statistics of the Bulletin.

1. Growth of business loans excluding bankers acceptances at commercial banks, 1978-81
Seasonally adjusted annual rate.

| Year and quarter | All commercial banks | Large banks ${ }^{1}$ | Other banks ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1978 |  |  |  |
| Q1 | 21.7 | 22.6 | 21.5 |
| Q2 | 16.5 | 20.1 | 11.8 |
| Q3 | 11.8 | 7.3 | 17.4 |
| Q4. | 14.4 | 6.9 | 23.9 |
| 1979 |  |  |  |
| Q1 | 21.0 | 17.8 | 24.4 |
| Q2 | 18.1 | 23.0 | 12.5 |
| Q3 | 19.5 | 22.3 | 16.1 |
| Q4 | 8.6 | . 8 | 18.0 |
| 1980 |  |  |  |
| Q1. | 18.6 | 20.3 | 16.9 |
| Q2. | -10.7 | -11.3 | -10.4 |
| Q3 | 14.3 | 15.7 | 13.1 |
| Q4 | 24.2 | 20.5 | 28.5 |
| 1981 |  |  |  |
| Q1 | 5.8 | -4.2 | 17.2 |
| Q2 | 9.0 | 18.3 | -1.0 |
| July-August ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 20.9 | 25.3 | 15.5 |

[^9]large banks usually conform with LPS results on qualitative changes in lending practices at large banks. However, STBL data for other banks correspond less closely with LPS results, sug-gesting-along with the frequently disparate rates of growth of business loans at large and other banks (table 1)-that business loan demand and credit policies may differ considerably among banks of different size and type.

## 1979:4 то 1980:1

a Period of Further Restraint
During the first three quarters of 1979, large weekly reporting banks accelerated their business lending from the already robust pace of 1978, further increasing their reliance on costly borrowed funds to finance asset growth (table 2).
2. Selected balance sheet ratios at large commercial banks, 1976-81 ${ }^{1}$

| Period | Borrowing to selected assets ${ }^{2}$ | Liquid assets to liabilities ${ }^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1976:4. | 30.1 | 13.1 |
| 1977:4. | 30.6 | 13.8 |
| 1978:4........ | 35.2 | 10.4 |
| 1979 |  |  |
| Q1 | 38.1 | 11.7 |
| Q2 | 38.3 | 12.0 |
| Q3 | 38.9 | 11.7 |
| Q4. | 40.5 | 10.7 |
| 1980 |  |  |
| Q1. | 39.7 | 10.2 |
| Q2 | 40.2 | 9.8 |
| Q3 | 39.5 | 10.0 |
| Q4....... | 40.2 | 9.7 |
| 1981 |  |  |
| Q1.. | 41.4 | 10.1 |
| Q3 ${ }^{\text {Q }} \ldots \ldots$ | 42.3 43 | 10.0 |
| Q3.. | 43.8 | 10.4 |

1. Monthly averages of Wednesday figures for middle month of quarter.
2. Borrowing includes gross liabilities of banks to their foreign branches, all CDs of $\$ 100,000$ or more, net federal funds purchased and security repurchase agreements, and all other liabilities for borrowed money other than Treasury tax and loan accounts and borrowings from Federal Reserve Banks. Selected assets include all assets less federal funds sold and cash items in the process of collection.
3. Liquid assets include Treasury and other securities maturing in one year or less, loans to brokers and dealers and domestic commercial banks, holdings of bankers acceptances, and gross sales of federal funds. Liabilities are total liabilities less capital accounts, valuation reserves, and demand deposits due to banks.
Note. Beginning 1979:1, the panel of large banks was changed to include only those banks with assets of $\$ 750$ million or more on December 31, 1977. While the number of banks reporting thus fell from 317 to 171 , the panel's share in assets of the banking system as a whole dropped only slightly.

Large banks exhibited some resistance to strong business loan demand, with the number of LPS respondents that reported a tightening of terms on commercial and industrial loans exceeding the number that indicated an easing in each of the first three surveys of 1979 . This movement toward restrictive credit policies was less widespread than that reported throughout 1978, however, as both market interest rates and the prime rate stabilized after a substantial climb (chart).

Selected measures of the cost of credit


The 90 -day commercial paper rate has been converted from a discount-rate basis to a nominal annual yield.

In the fourth quarter of 1979, borrowing by nonfinancial corporations dropped sharply; a reduction in internally generated funds was more than offset by decreases in inventories and in net additions to holdings of financial assets. The decline in borrowing occurred primarily in the short- and intermediate-term area, in the form of a runoff of nonfinancial commercial paper and a substantial reduction in growth of business loans at banks. As the fourth quarter began, the Federal Reserve announced several measures to restrain growth of money and credit, including an increase of 1 percent in the discount rate and a marginal reserve requirement of 8 percent on increases over base-period levels in managed liabilities issued by large member banks and by U.S. branches and agencies of foreign banks. In
addition, the System adopted a new operating procedure emphasizing reserve aggregates, rather than the federal funds rate, as the instrument of monetary control. With this evidence of the Federal Reserve's intent to restrain monetary expansion, and with large banks experiencing a sharp drop in liquidity and in growth of core deposits, the number of LPS respondents reporting tighter terms on business loans and reduced willingness to make loans in every category showed a marked increase. Restrictive measures included firmer standards to qualify for the prime rate and for given spreads above prime, larger compensating-balance requirements, and stricter review of credit applications from new customers. In addition, a substantial number of banks reported more stringent policies toward established customers for the first time since November 1978 .

In November 1979 well over half the LPS panel reported a reduced willingness to make both short- and long-term business loans at fixed rates. Correspondingly, STBL data for November indicated declines of almost 10 percentage points in the proportions of new business loans made at fixed rates in both maturity categories at 48 large banks (table 3). At other banks, the fraction of long-term loans made at fixed rates registered an even greater decline, while the figure for shorter maturities was essentially unchanged.

Consistent with the firming of standards to qualify for the prime rate reported in the LPS, STBL data showed an increase at other than the 48 large banks in the spread over prime of the weighted-average interest rate on short-term business loans made at or above prime (table 3). At the 48 large banks, however, this spread was
3. Selected terms of commercial and industrial loans, 1979-81

Percent unless noted otherwise


1. Interest rate spreads, expressed as differences between simple annual rates, are weighted by the dollar volume of loans. The relevant prime rate is the prime reported by individual banks in the Survey of

Terms of Bank Lending, rather than the widely quoted prevailing prime.
2. Loans with an original maturity of less than one year.
essentially unchanged. Meanwhile, an increase occurred at the 48 large banks in the difference between the prime rate and the weighted-average interest rate on below-prime, short-term business loans.

The results for the large banks appear to reflect a spread of the commercial paper rate over the prime rate during the week of the STBL-an unusual occurrence. Most belowprime lending at money-center banks consists of large and very short-term loans to highly creditworthy corporate customers that have access to the commercial paper market; therefore, interest rates on such loans are closely related to money market rates. ${ }^{5}$ When the commercial paper rate rose above the prime early in November, such credits probably dropped out of the below-prime category, resulting in a decline in the fraction of short-term loans made below prime at the 48 large banks (table 3). What may have dominated loans remaining in the below-prime category at those banks-at rates well under prime-were restructured loans and loans made under commitments featuring interest rate caps, which tend to bind in a period of rapidly rising rates. ${ }^{6}$ Moreover, some below-prime loans were likely converted to loans at prime, and the volume of loans at prime may have been further augmented by substitution away from issuance of commercial paper. Such a shift by highly creditworthy borrowers toward borrowing at the prime rate would have tended to reduce the difference between the prime rate and the weighted-average interest rate on short-term loans made at or above prime, and thus to offset the effects of tighter standards to qualify for the prime and spreads above prime reported in the LPS.

Although the first quarter of 1980 witnessed only a moderate increase in the gap between the capital expenditures and the internally generated funds of nonfinancial businesses, these firms

[^10]4. Commercial and industrial loan commitments at selected large commercial banks, 1979-81 ${ }^{1}$
Billions of dollars, seasonally adjusted

| Month-end | Total | Unused ${ }^{2}$ | Loans made under commitments ${ }^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1979 |  |  |  |
| February . | 258.3 | 158.0 | 100.3 |
| May. | 272.7 | 167.2 | 105.5 |
| August | 286.2 | 174.3 | 111.9 |
| November | 300.1 | 186.1 | 114.0 |
| 1980 - 180.1 |  |  |  |
| February | 321.6 | 201.8 | 119.8 |
| May. | 346.6 | 229.5 | 117.1 |
| August | 353.2 | 233.7 | 119.5 |
| November | 363.4 | 236.0 | 127.4 |
| 1981 |  |  |  |
| February | 378.6 | 250.6 | 128.0 |
| May.... | 400.6 | 266.7 | 133.9 |
| July . . | 425.3 | 288.2 | 137.1 |

1. These figures are excerpted from a monthly series appearing in Federal Reserve statistical release G.21. Included in the series are 122 weekly reporting banks accounting for about 85 percent of all commercial and industrial loans. As of February 1981, several banks accounting for less than $1 / 2$ percent of unused commitments were dropped from the reporting panel.
2. Unused commitments are the amounts still available for lending under official promises to lend that are expressly conveyed to the bank's customers orally or in writing, usually in the form of a formally executed agreement signed by one of the bank's officers.
3. Loans made under commitments are outstanding loans, less repayments of principal, made under commitments currently or previously in force.
borrowed much more heavily than in the previous quarter in order to augment their holdings of liquid assets. This buildup and a sharp rise in commercial and industrial loan commitments outstanding at banks (table 4) suggest that businesses anticipated a reduction in credit availabil-ity-presaged by a surge in monetary expansion, restrained provision of nonborrowed reserves, an increase of 1 percent in the discount rate in February, and rumors of forthcoming credit controls. In mid-March the Federal Reserve announced a multifaceted special credit restraint program, as part of a broader administration antiinflation effort. Despite the pickup in business lending and loan commitment activity at large banks before the February 1980 LPS, about onefourth of respondents indicated that business loan demand had eased since November, while only half that proportion reported greater strength. ${ }^{7}$ Projections of changes in business loan

[^11]demand over the next three months were about evenly mixed.

The February LPS also indicated a further decline in willingness to make most types of loans and additional tightening of terms on business loans. While the proportions of respondents who reported such shifts in lending policy generally were smaller than in November, reports of further restraint, viewed against the backdrop of a perceived softening of business loan demand, suggest that large banks expected the Federal Reserve to maintain a restrictive stance. A further decline in willingness to make short-term business loans at fixed rates was reported by a substantial minority of LPS respondents, who may have expected, correctly, that short-term interest rates soon would increase. Similar expectations on the part of borrowers and correspondingly strong demand for the fixed-rate feature may account for the observed increase at the 48 large banks in the proportion of short-term, as well as long-term, business loans made at fixed rates, according to the STBL for February. At the same time, however, the weighted-average maturity of business loans declined (table 3). In addition, with the prime rate again exceeding the commercial paper rate, in contrast to November, the proportion of short-term business loans made below prime at the 48 large banks proved much higher in February than three months earlier.

## 1980:2 то 1980:3 <br> Credit Controls and Their Removal

In the second quarter of 1980 , the narrow monetary aggregates and bank credit declined abruptly, as a sharp falloff in economic activity and the borrower response to the special credit restraint program reduced demands for money and credit. Nonfinancial corporations took advantage of lower bond yields to lengthen the maturity of their liabilities. They also diverted demand for short-term credit to the commercial paper market from banks as the spread of the sluggishly declining prime rate over the rapidly falling commercial paper rate widened to an unprecedented $71 / 2$ percentage points in May and remained quite wide by historical standards for the rest of the quarter. In May, three-fourths of LPS respon-
dents reported that business loan demand had dropped off since February, and almost as many expected further easing over the next three months.
The relatively high cost of bank credit in the second quarter may have reflected in part a desire among bankers to adhere to the central bank's voluntary guidelines for growth of bank loans. In May, the proportions of LPS respondents that indicated reduced willingness to lend were higher than in February for most loan categories-especially installment loans to individuals, a broad segment of which fell under the special deposit requirement of 15 percent on increases in covered consumer credit.

Consistent with the restrictive bank posture evidenced by the slow decline in the prime rate, LPS respondents on balance tightened their standards for credit to new and nonlocal corporate customers; firmed slightly both their compensat-ing-balance requirements on business loans and their standards to qualify for the prime rate and for given spreads over prime; and left other terms on business loans unchanged between February and May despite weakening loan demands. In addition, STBL data show some widening of the spread between the prime rate and the weighted-average interest rate on short-term business loans made at or above prime. Given its tendency to follow market interest rates more closely than the prime, the weighted-average interest rate on below-prime loans dropped almost 3 percentage points further below the prime, but nevertheless failed by a large margin to keep pace with market rates.

Rapid growth of money and credit resumed in the third quarter, helped by the completion in July of the phaseout of the special credit restraint program. Although a sharp drop in inventories reduced corporate needs for external funds to finance capital expenditures, nonfinancial businesses increased their issuance of debt and equity as they stepped up their acquisitions of financial assets, especially liquid instruments, from the very low second-quarter pace. With bond yields rising over the quarter, net issuance of bonds slowed a bit, and firms focused increases in their borrowing on the short- and intermedi-ate-term markets, particularly from banks. Nonfinancial commercial paper outstanding decreased
and business loan growth at banks surged, as the spread of the prime rate over the commercial paper rate narrowed to more typical levels. Nevertheless, a plurality of the respondents to the August LPS reported an easing of business loan demand over the previous three months, perhaps because the onset of the third quarter's robust growth in business loans did not occur until early August and, at midmonth, may still have been viewed as random. Indeed, respondents who projected a drop in demand over the next three months continued to outnumber those expecting a pickup, but by a smaller margin than in May.
A more accommodative Federal Reserve stance was suggested by the phaseout of the special credit restraint program and by three declines in the discount rate of 1 percentage point each in late May, June, and July. So, LPS respondents on balance indicated a shift between May and August toward greater willingness to make most types of loans, especially installment loans to individuals, which became more attractive with the removal of the special deposit requirement on covered consumer credit. In August, for the first time since February 1978, the number of LPS respondents who reported a greater inclination to make short-term business loans at fixed rates exceeded the number who reported less willingness to do so. Consistent with this shift, STBL data for August revealed a substantial increase in the proportion of shortterm business loans made at fixed rates at the 48 large banks. Meanwhile, in August as in May, a substantial minority of LPS respondents reported a decline in willingness to offer fixed rates on long-term business loans, probably because longrun trends in interest rates remained uncertain. Nevertheless, the STBL showed some increase from May to August in the proportion of longterm loans made at fixed rates.

As LPS respondents became more willing to lend between May and August, they relaxed their terms on business loans for the first time since early 1978. Reports of easier standards in reviewing credit applications from new and nonlocal customers outnumbered indications of greater stringency. The same was true of policies toward established and local customers, policies that had changed relatively little over the history of the survey. Also, more than one-fourth of re-
spondents reduced compensating-balance requirements, and somewhat smaller proportions eased standards to qualify for the prime rate and for given spreads over prime; relatively few banks firmed these terms on business loans. Accordingly, STBL data for August showed a decline in the spread over prime of the weightedaverage interest rate on short-term loans made at or above prime. In addition, the substantial narrowing of the spread between the prime rate and the commercial paper rate compressed the difference between the prime rate and the weightedaverage rate on short-term, below-prime loans, but this average fell into closer alignment with the commercial paper rate between May and August, consistent with other signs of easing credit policies.

1980:4 то 1981:3
Additional EASIng of Lending Terms
A further pickup in economic activity boosted demands for money and credit in the fourth quarter of 1980 and drove market interest rates and the prime rate to new highs by the end of the year. Nonfinancial businesses raised a greater volume of funds than in the third quarter by issuing equity at a record rate and by boosting their short- and intermediate-term borrowing, while reducing bond issuance to about half the third-quarter volume. Business lending at banks surged to its fastest pace since autumn 1979, reflecting in part the unusually narrow spread between the prime rate and the commercial paper rate; nonfinancial commercial paper outstanding declined for the second consecutive quarter. More than a third of LPS respondents reported in November that business loan demand had strengthened since the August survey, while only a few indicated a falloff.

The liquidity of large banks declined between August and November, and their reliance on borrowed funds increased considerably. Even so, responses to the November LPS indicated that on balance the survey panel's willingness to make most types of loans had either declined only slightly or stayed constant over the previous three months, and that nonprice terms on business loans had remained about unchanged or had
eased slightly. If the survey had been taken a bit later, it might well have indicated a more restrictive lending stance; just after the November survey date, the Federal Reserve increased the discount rate and reimposed the surcharge on frequent borrowings from the discount window by large banks.

In the May-August period, LPS respondents on balance partly reversed the trend of several years toward reduced willingness to make shortterm, fixed-rate loans. However, they resumed this stance in the August-November period as interest rates rose sharply. In addition, they continued to indicate growing reluctance to make long-term loans at fixed rates. Consistent with these reports, STBL data for November showed substantial declines in the proportions of both short- and long-term business loans made at fixed rates at the 48 large banks, although other banks indicated little change. The STBL also revealed a decline in the spread over prime of the weighted-average interest rate on loans made at or above prime, confirming LPS results that indicated a slight easing of standards to qualify for the prime rate and for given spreads over prime. However, at the 48 large banks, the spread of the prime rate over the weightedaverage interest rate on below-prime loans narrowed, and the frequency of below-prime lending plummeted, as the margin between the prime rate and the commercial paper rate became unusually slim. At the same time, the average rate on below-prime loans slipped further below the prime at other banks, likely reflecting the interaction of rising interest rates with cap provisions in loan commitments.

In the first quarter of 1981, nonfinancial businesses tapped domestic markets for a sharply reduced volume of funds. They also shifted their borrowing from short- and intermediate-term markets to the bond market, despite high bond rates, apparently in order to strengthen their balance sheets. In addition, as declines in the commercial paper rate outpaced reductions in the prime rate, nonfinancial firms shifted demand for short-term credit from banks to the commercial paper market, adding to the outstanding stock of nonfinancial commercial paper after two quarters of runoff. With business lending decelerating sharply over the quarter, the proportion
of LPS respondents reporting a decline in demand for business loans rose from less than onetenth in November to more than one-third in February, and the fraction reporting stronger demand exhibited a similar, but opposite shift. ${ }^{8}$ Furthermore, expectations of easier demand for business loans predominated among the minority of LPS respondents predicting some change in demand in the next three months.

Despite weak growth of core deposits and a substantial increase in reliance on relatively expensive borrowed funds, the February LPS indicated that the willingness of large banks to make most types of loans was about unchanged from November. At the same time, a somewhat greater number of respondents reported a relaxation than indicated a firming of compensating-balance requirements for business loans and standards to qualify for the prime rate and for given spreads above prime. The reported easing of such standards was not evident in STBL data for February: they revealed an increase from November in the excess over prime of the weighted-average interest rate on business loans made at or above prime. In addition, LPS results indicating unchanged willingness to make short-term loans at fixed rates and a further disinclination to offer fixed rates on long-term loans were not borne out by STBL data for the 48 large banks; those data showed increases in the proportion of fixed-rate loans in both maturity categories, suggesting that demand for such credit may have strengthened. Last, consistent with the decline in the commercial paper rate relative to the prime rate, the STBL revealed a drop in the weighted-average interest rate on below-prime loans of more than 1 percentage point relative to the prime and a
8. These shifts appear small in light of the dramatic drop in the growth rate of business loans at domestic offices of large commercial banks between November and February. The survey, however, does not specify precisely the meaning of "loan demand," which some respondents may interpret to include the demand for lines of credit. Commercial and industrial loan commitments at large banks expanded much more rapidly in the November-February period than in the previous three months. In addition, some respondents may include in domestic office loan demand those demands expressed at domestic offices but satisfied through bookings at foreign branches. The weakness in business loan growth at large banks in the first two months of 1981 in part reflected booking of about $\$ 2$ billion of loans to U.S. firms at foreign branches of domestically chartered banks.
substantial increase in the proportion of shortterm loans made below prime.
Financing activity by businesses picked up in the second quarter of 1981 , boosted by remaining tax liabilities for 1980 and perhaps by a weakening of profits associated with a decline in economic activity following rapid growth in the first quarter. Equity issuance accelerated from an already strong pace. With interest rates remaining high in the bond markets, nonfinancial firms concentrated their borrowing in short maturities, increasing the rates of growth of both nonfinancial commercial paper outstanding and business loans at banks. The revised, shortened LPS of May did not ask respondents their perceptions of business loan demand over the previous three months. ${ }^{9}$ Expectations were mixed regarding the strength of demand over the next three months.

Respondents to the LPS substantially eased their terms on business loans between February and May, even though the Federal Reserve moved quickly to restrain money growth following a surge in April, and despite the fact that large banks increased their reliance on borrowed funds considerably further between February and May. Large minorities of LPS respondents indicated in May that over the previous three months, they had reduced compensating-balance or fee requirements for business loans and had relaxed standards to qualify for the prime rate and for given spreads above prime. The number of respondents who reported an easier stance on lending to new and nonlocal customers was small, but greater than in February. Few respondents indicated that they had tightened their terms on business loans.
9. See footnote 2.

Consistent with the LPS results, STBL data for May showed that the excess over prime of the weighted-average interest rate on short-term loans made at or above prime declined to its lowest level in the history of the STBL at the 48 large banks and dropped at other banks as well. In addition, with the narrowing of the spread between the prime rate and the commercial paper rate between February and May, the weight-ed-average interest rate on below-prime loans moved into closer alignment with the prime rate, and the proportion of short-term loans made below prime dropped considerably.

With interest rates in bond markets climbing further, nonfinancial corporations continued to concentrate their borrowing in the short and intermediate maturities in July and August of 1981. Over this period, business lending at banks surged, boosted in part by a substantial volume of loans related to corporate mergers. Responses to the August LPS replicated the pattern of May, indicating a further easing of nonprice terms on business loans. As in the three previous quarters, the easing of lending terms occurred despite increased use of borrowed funds by large banks, and may have reflected an effort to meet competition from foreign-related banking institutions in the United States. A number of LPS respondents have commented that intense competition from foreign banks for both national and regional business has forced them to trim profit margins on loans, as well as to include in credit agreements multiple options to base the pricing of loans on either the prime rate, domestic money market rates, or the London interbank offered rate. ${ }^{10}$

[^12]A1. Senior loan officer opinion survey on bank lending practices, selected large U.S. banks Policy on November 15, 1979, compared with policy three months earlier
Number of banks; figures in parentheses indicate percentage distribution of total banks reporting


1. After allowance for bank's usual seasonal variation.

A2. Senior loan officer opinion survey on bank lending practices, selected large U.S. banks Policy on February 15, 1980, compared with policy three months earlier
Number of banks; figures in parentheses indicate percentage distribution of total banks reporting


1. After allowance for bank's usual seasonal variation.

A3. Senior loan officer opinion survey on bank lending practices, selected large U.S. banks Policy on May 15, 1980, compared with policy three months earlier
Number of banks; figures in parentheses indicate percentage distribution of total banks reporting


1. After allowance for bank's usual seasonal variation.

A4. Senior loan officer opinion survey on bank lending practices, selected large U.S. banks Policy on August, 15, 1980, compared with policy three months earlier

Number of banks; figures in parentheses indicate percentage distribution of total banks reporting


1. After allowance for bank's usual seasonal variation.

A5. Senior loan officer opinion survey on bank lending practices, selected large U.S. banks Policy on November 15, 1980, compared with policy three months earlier
Number of banks; figures in parentheses indicate distribution of total banks reporting

| Item | Total |  | Much stronger |  | Moderately stronger |  | Essentially unchanged |  | Moderately easier |  | Much easier |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loan Demand |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Strength of demand for commercial and industrial loans ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Compared with three months earlier.. |  | (100) | 0 | (.9) |  | (35.9) | 65 | (55.6) | 9 | ( 7.7) | 0 | (0) |
| 2 Anticipated in next three months .... |  | (100) | 0 | (0) |  | (21.4) | 78 | (66.7) | 13 | (11.2) | 1 | (.9) |
| Interest Rate Policy | Total |  | Much firmer |  | Moderately firmer |  | Essentially unchanged |  | Moderately easier |  | Much easier |  |
| Standards of creditworthiness <br> 3 To qualify for prime rate <br> 4 To qualify for spread above prime.... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 117 | (100) | 2 | (1.8) | 5 | (4.3) | 99 | (84.7) | 11 | (9.5) | 0 | (0) |
|  | 117 | (100) | 2 | (1.8) | 5 | (4.3) | 94 | (80.4) | 16 | (13.7) | 0 | (0) |
|  | Total |  | Considerably greater |  | Moderately greater |  | Essentially unchanged |  | Moderately less |  | Much less |  |
| Willingness to make fixed-rate loans <br> 5 Short-term (under one year) <br> 6 Long-term (one year or longer) | $\begin{array}{llll} 117 & (100) & 1 & (.9) \\ 117 & (100) & 0 & (0) \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 78 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 4 | (3.5) | 68 | (58.2) | 26 | (22.3) | 19 | (16.3) |
| Credit Availability and Nonprice Terms | Total |  | Much firmer |  | Moderately firmer |  | Essentially unchanged |  | Moderately easier |  | Much easier |  |
| Reviewing credit lines or loan <br> applications for |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 Established customers. | 117 | (100) | 3 | (.9) | 3 | (2.6) | 110 | (94.1) |  | (2.6) | 0 | (0) |
| 8 New customers. | 117 | (100) | 3 | (2.6) | 3 | (2.6) | 102 | (87.2) | 9 | (7.7) | 0 | (0) |
| 9 Local service area customers | 116 | (100) | 1 | (.9) | 4 | (3.5) | 102 | (88.0) | 9 | (7.8) | 0 | (0) |
| 10 Nonlocal service area customers | 116 | (100) | 2 | (1.8) | 7 | (6.1) | 106 | (91.4) | 1 | (.9) | 0 | (0) |
| Compensating balance requirements <br> 11 Commercial and industrial loans. <br> 12 Loans to finance companies. | 117 | (100) | 0 | (0) | 11 | (9.5) | 84 | (71.8) | 22 | (18.9) | 0 | (0) |
|  | 117 | (100) | 0 | (0) | 7 | (6.0) | 105 | (89.8) | 5 | (4.3) | 0 | (0) |
|  | Total |  | Considerably greater |  | Moderately greater |  | Essentially unchanged |  | Moderately less |  | Much less |  |
| Willingness to make other types of loans13 Secured construction and land develop- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ment loans | 117 | (100) | 0 | (0) | 6 | (5.2) | 93 | (79.5) | 17 | (14.6) | 1 | (.9) |
| Secured real estate loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 1- to 4 -family residential properties | 114 | (100) | 0 | (0) | 3 | (2.7) | 87 | (76.4) | 19 | (16.7) | 5 | (4.4) |
| 15 Multifamily residential property ... | 112 | (100) | 0 | (0) | 1 | (.9) | 94 | (84.0) | 10 | (9.0) | 7 | (6.3) |
| 16 Commercial and industrial property | 117 | (100) | 0 | (0) | 5 | (4.3) | 99 | (84.7) | 11 | (9.5) | 2 | (1.8) |
| 17 Installment loans to individuals. . . . . . | 116 | (100) | 0 | (0) | 9 | (7.8) | 85 | (73.3) | 18 | (15.6) | 4 | (3.5) |
| Commercial and industrial loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 One to five years maturity | 117 | (100) | 0 | (0) | 2 | (1.8) | 111 | (94.9) | 3 | (2.6) | , | (.9) |
| 19 Over five years maturity. | 117 | (100) | 0 | (0) | 2 | (1.8) | 102 | (87.2) | 9 | (7.7) | 4 | (3.5) |
| 20 Loans to finance companies. . . . . . . . . | 117 | (100) | 0 | (0) | 0 | (0) | 111 | (94.9) | 5 | (4.3) | 1 | (.9) |
| 21 Loans to securities brokers and dealers | 114 | (100) | 0 | (0) | 3 | (2.7) | 104 | (91.3) | 6 | (5.3) | 1 | (.9) |
| 22 Participation loans with correspondent banks. | 117 | (100) | 0 | (0) | 11 | (9.5) | 104 | (88.9) | 2 | (1.8) | 0 | (0) |

[^13]A6. Senior loan officer opinion survey on bank lending practices, selected large U.S. banks
Policy on February 15, 1981, compared with policy three months earlier
Number of banks; figures in parentheses indicate percentage distribution of total banks reporting

| Item | Total |  | Much stronger | Moderately stronger |  | Essentially unchanged |  | Moderately easier |  | Much easier |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loan Demand |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Strength of demand for commercial and industrial loans ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Compared with three months earlier.. |  | (100) | 0 (0) |  | (11.9) | 62 | (52.6) |  | (33.9) | 2 | (1.7) |
| 2 Anticipated in next three months.... |  | (100) | 0 (0) |  | (9.4) |  | (67.8) |  | (22.9) | 0 | (0) |
| Interest Rate Policy | Total |  | Much firmer | Moderately firmer |  | Essentially unchanged |  | Moderately easier |  | Much easier |  |
| Standards of creditworthiness |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 To qualify for prime rate |  | (100) | $\begin{equation*} 0 \tag{0} \end{equation*}$ | 3 (2.6) |  | 97 (82.3) |  | 18 | (10.2) $(15.3)$ | 0 0 | (0) |
|  | Total |  | Considerably greater | Moderately greater |  | Essentially unchanged |  | Moderately less |  | Much less |  |
| Willingness to make fixed-rate loans <br> 5 Short-term (under one year) <br> 6 Long-term (one year or longer) |  |  |  |  |  |  | (81.4) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | (100) | 0 (0) | 10 | (8.5) | 9680 |  | 9 (7.7) |  | 3 | (2.6) |
|  |  | (100) | 0 (0) |  | (2.6) |  | (67.8) | 22 | (18.7) | 13 | (11.1) |
| Credit Availability and Nonprice Terms | Total |  | Much firmer | Moderately firmer |  | Essentially unchanged |  | Moderately easier |  | Much easier |  |
| Reviewing credit lines or loan applications for |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 Established customers. | 118 | (100) | $0 \quad(0)$ | 1 | (.9) | 111 | (94.1) | 8 | (5.1) | 0 | (0) |
| 8 New customers.... . . | 118 | (100) | 1 (.9) | 3 | (2.6) | 106 | $(89,9)$ | 8 | (6.8) | 0 | (0) |
| 9 Local service area customers | 117 | (100) | 0 (0) | 2 | (1.8) | 109 | (93.2) | 6 | (5.2) | 0 | (0) |
| 10 Nonlocal service area customers | 117 | (100) | 1 (.9) | 3 | (2.6) | 109 | (93.2) | 4 | (3.5) | 0 | (0) |
| Compensating balance requirements <br> 11 Commercial and industrial loans. | 118 | (100) | 0 (0) | 3 | (2.6) | 91 | (77.2) | 24 | (20.4) | 0 | (0) |
| 12 Loans to finance companies. . | 118 | (100) | 1 (.9) | 4 | (3.4) | 104 | (88.2) | 9 | (7.7) | 0 | (0) |
|  |  |  | Considerably greater |  | rately ater |  | ntially anged |  | erately ess |  |  |
| Willingness to make other types of loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 Secured construction and land development loans | 118 | (100) | 0 (0) | 6 | (5.1) | 93 | (78.9) | 18 | (15.3) | 1 | (.9) |
| Secured real estate loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 1- to 4-family residential properties. | 117 | (100) | 0 (0) | 3 | (2.6) | 91 | (77.8) | 21 | (18.0) | 2 | (1.8) |
| 15 Multifamily residential property ... | 114 | (100) | 0 (0) | 2 | (1.8) | 96 | (84.3) | 15 | (13.2) |  | (.9) |
| 16 Commercial and industrial property | 118 | (100) | 0 (0) | 6 | (5.1) | 102 | (86.5) | 9 | (7.7) | 1 | (.9) |
| 17 Installment loans to individuals. . . . . . | 117 | (100) | 0 (0) | 8 | (6.9) | 87 | (74.4) | 17 | (14.6) | 5 | (4.3) |
| Commercial and industrial loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 One to five years maturity. | 118 | (100) | 0 (0) | 8 | (6.8) | 107 | (90.7) | 2 | (1.7) | 1 | (.9) |
| 19 Over five years maturity... | 118 | (100) | 0 (0) | 4 | (3.4) | 105 | (89.0) | 7 | (6.0) | 2 | (1.7) |
| 20 Loans to finance companies. . . . . . . . . | 118 | (100) | 0 (0) | 3 | (2.6) | 106 | (89.9) | 7 | (6.0) | 2 | (1.7) |
| 21 Loans to securities brokers and dealers | 117 | (100) | 0 (0) | 7 | (6.0) | 104 | (88.9) | 5 | (4.3) | 1 | (.9) |
| 22 Participation loans with correspondent banks. | 118 | (100) | 0 (0) | 16 | (13.6) | 101 | (85.6) | 1 | (.9) | 0 | (0) |

1. After allowance for bank's usual seasonal variation.

A7. Senior loan officer opinion survey on bank lending practices, selected large U.S. banks' Policy on February 15, 1981, compared with policy three months earlier
Number of banks; figures in parentheses indicate percentage distribution of total banks reporting


1. As of May 1981 the reporting panel was cut about in half to 60 banks. This table reports February responses to questions retained beyond May from only those 60 banks in order to permit a comparison of survey results for February with those for May and August (tables A8 and A9).
2. After allowance for bank's usual seasonal variation.
3. Beginning May 1981, a single question addresses banks' stance on lending to both new and nonlocal customers.
4. The corresponding question in the May 1981 and later surveys refers to compensating balance and/or fee requirements on commercial and industrial loans.
A8. Senior loan officer opinion survey on bank lending practices, selected large U.S. banks
Policy on May 15,1981 , compared with policy three months earlier
Number of banks; figures in parentheses indicate percentage distribution of total banks reporting
5. After allowance for bank's usual seasonal variation.

A9. Senior loan officer opinion survey on bank lending practices, selected large U.S. banks
Policy on August 15, 1981, compared with policy three months earlier
Number of banks; figures in parentheses indicate percentage distribution of total banks reporting


[^14]
# Treasury and Federal Reserve Foreign Exchange Operations 

This 39th joint report reflects the TreasuryFederal Reserve policy of making available additional information on foreign exchange operations from time to time. The Federal Reserve Bank of New York acts as agent for both the Treasury and the Federal Open Market Committee of the Federal Reserve System in the conduct of foreign exchange operations.

This report was prepared by Sam Y. Cross, Manager of Foreign Operations for the System Open Market Account and Senior Vice President in charge of the Foreign Group of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York. It covers the period February through July 1981. Previous reports have been published in the March and September Bulletins of each year beginning with September 1962.

The U.S. dollar advanced strongly against all currencies during the period under review in response to a variety of economic and political factors in the United States and abroad. In the United States, the current account remained in surplus. The domestic economy showed considerable resilience. The demand for money and credit continued strong, and U.S. interest rates remained high. Also, price indexes published during the period pointed to a significant decline in the inflation rate. Moreover, the already favorable market sentiment toward the Reagan administration was strengthened by the administration's apparent resolve and effectiveness in translating from plan to action its major fiscal program designed to deal with inflation while revitalizing the U.S. economy.

The performance of major industrial countries abroad was less favorable. The current accounts of several countries, notably Germany, were in substantial deficit. Inflation was accelerating in most countries other than Japan. Economic activity abroad was generally sluggish. In many
countries, the weakness of domestic demand was seen in the markets as constraining the authorities from raising interest rates sufficiently to attract capital inflows for financing current-account deficits at prevailing exchange rates or to curb inflation. Market participants focused on the policy challenges facing many governments abroad and were concerned that policies would not be adopted to deal with these problems effectively. Moreover, political developments in Eastern Europe and in the Middle East added to uncertainties for the outlook, especially for Europe, and left traders and investors with the view that the United States was a relatively attractive outlet for investment.
In this environment, the market perceived little downside risk for the dollar in the exchange markets. Consequently, the dollar fluctuated

## 1. Federal Reserve <br> reciprocal currency arrangements <br> Millions of dollars

| Institution | Amount of facility |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan. 1, } \\ 1981 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } 31, \\ 1981, \end{gathered}$ |
| Austrian National Bank | 250 | 250 |
| National Bank of Belgium | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| Bank of Canada | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| National Bank of Denmark | 250 | 250 |
| Bank of England | 3,000 | 3.000 |
| Bank of France . | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| German Federal Bank. | 6,000 | 6.000 |
| Bank of Italy. | 3,000 | 3,000 |
| Bank of Japan. | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| Bank of Mexico | 700 | 700 |
| Netherłands Bank | 500 | 500 |
| Bank of Norway | 250 | 250 |
| Bank of Sweden | 500 | $300{ }^{1}$ |
| Swiss National Bank | 4,000 | 4,000 |
| Bank for International Settlements Swiss francs/dollars | 600 | 600 |
| Other authorized European currencies/dollars...... | 1,250 | 1,250 |
| Total . . . . . . . | 30,300 | 30,100 |

1. Decreased by $\$ 200$ million effective May 23, 1981.
2. Drawings and repayments under reciprocal currency arrangements, January-July $1981^{\prime}$ Millions of dollars equivalent; drawings, or repayments ( - )

| Bank drawing <br> on System | Out- <br> standing <br> Jan. 1, <br> 1981 | 1981 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Q1 | Q2 | July | Out- <br> standing <br> July 31, <br> 1981 |  |
|  | 0 | 200.0 | -200.0 | 0 | 0 |

1. Data are on a value-date basis.
higher over most of the period under review. Early in February, the selling pressures against other currencies focused mostly on the German mark, which not only declined against the dollar but also was weak within the joint float arrangement of the European Monetary System (EMS). After midmonth, the German Federal Bank took strong action to defend the mark, and before long, increases in short-term interest rates in Germany were followed by rising interest rates in many other financial markets on the Continent. At the same time, interest rates in the United States eased somewhat. As market participants moved to cover short currency positions, the mark rebounded and other currencies also strengthened by mid-March.
From April to mid-May, there was renewed upward pressure on short-term U.S. interest rates and the dollar resumed its advance. By midspring this tendency was reinforced as the markets attempted to assess the implications of renewed unrest in Poland, the change of government in France, and political developments in several other European countries. Moreover, U.S. statistics for the first quarter highlighted the unexpected strength of the domestic economy. As market participants began to adjust their expectations concerning the near-term outlook for the economy and for interest rates, the dollar advanced strongly.

Coming into the summer, market participants took an increasingly bearish view of the outlook for Europe. A debate over monetary and exchange rate policies had emerged in the press, intensifying with the approach of the July 19-20 summit meeting at Ottawa. Market participants focused on complaints by foreign governments that the high level of U.S. interest rates was
complicating their efforts to encourage economic recovery and to avoid further depreciations of their currencies. At the same time, evidence suggested that the U.S. economy had lost its upward momentum. Inflation figures continued to show improvement, while the growth of the narrow monetary aggregates had moderated. Expectations developed that U.S. interest rates might ease from their near-record highs. In these circumstances, the dollar remained in demand but fluctuated more irregularly than before.

After mid-July, the demand for credit in the United States was stubbornly strong in the face of high interest rates and the broader monetary aggregates continued to be buoyant. The market was impressed by Chairman Volcker's reaffirmation of the Federal Reserve's commitment to restrain monetary expansion. In addition, the market was becoming concerned about the impact of the U.S. government's near-term financing requirements on U.S. financial markets. In this environment, interest rates remained high, disappointing expectations of near-term declines. Moreover, as the administration's economic proposals gained congressional approval, market participants compared the breadth of support for the new policy directions in the United States with the continuing debates on a full range of policies in many countries abroad. As a result, market sentiment toward the dollar became bullish. The dollar closed the period advancing strongly across the board. The extent to which the exchange rates for individual currencies moved against the dollar depended in large part on economic and political factors in
3. U.S. Treasury securities, foreign currency denominated ${ }^{1}$
Millions of dollars equivalent, issues, or redemptions (-)

| Issues | $\begin{gathered} \text { Commit- } \\ \text { ments } \\ \text { Jan. 1, } \\ 1981 \end{gathered}$ | 1981 |  |  | Commitments July 31, 1981 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Q1 | Q2 | July |  |
| Public series |  |  |  |  |  |
| Germany ... | 5,233.6 | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{0}$ | 5,233.6 |
| Switzerland. | 1,203.0 | 0 | 0 | -744.5 | 458.5 |
| Total. | 6,436.6 | 0 | 0 | $-744.5$ | 5,692.1 |

1. Data are on a value-date basis. Because of rounding, figures may not add to totals.
their respective countries. But, overall, the dollar ended the period up $221 / 4$ percent against sterling, up $163 / 4$ percent against the Japanese yen, and up $161 / 4$ percent against the German mark.

In their operations in the exchange market, the U.S. authorities intervened to settle a volatile market on nine trading days in February when the dollar was rising sharply. The equivalent of $\$ 610.0$ million net of marks was purchased in the market and an additional $\$ 168.4$ million of marks was bought from correspondents. The proceeds of these market and correspondent purchases were split evenly between the Federal Reserve and the Treasury and were added to their respective balances.

On March 30, when trading in the exchange markets faltered amidst the uncertainties following the assassination attempt on President Reagan, the Trading Desk intervened to reassure the markets. A total of $\$ 74.4$ million equivalent in marks was sold from balances, again split evenly between the Federal Reserve and the Treasury. On the following day, exchange markets quickly returned to more orderly conditions.

The Treasury indicated in April that, after study and consultation with officials of the Federal Reserve, the United States had adopted a minimal intervention approach-to intervene only when necessary to counter conditions of disorder in the exchange market. On May 4, Treasury Under Secretary Sprinkel set forth the rationale for this approach in testimony before the Joint Economic Committee of the Congress.

The United States did not intervene on its own account through the remainder of the period

## 4. U.S. Treasury and Federal Reserve foreign exchange operations ${ }^{1}$

| Period | Federal Reserve | U.S. Treasury |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Exchange } \\ & \text { Stabilization } \\ & \text { Fund } \end{aligned}$ | General account |
| $1980 \text { Q1 }$ | 6.2 -1.4 | -.7 -3.8 | -144.3 0 |
| 1981 July | . 1 |  | 61.6 |
| Valuation profits and losses on outstanding assets and liabilities as of July 1981. | -571.1 | -1,807.2 | 1,313.5 |

[^15]under review. The Trading Desk continued to cooperate with other central banks by intervening as their agent from time to time in the New York market. Over the six-month period such operations were conducted in German marks, French francs, Japanese yen, and the Canadian dollar. In their own markets central banks of other countries continued to intervene, operating heavily at times, mostly to limit the decline of their currencies against the dollar.

In April, the Bank of Sweden repaid, prior to maturity, the $\$ 200$ million drawn in January under the swap arrangement with the Federal Reserve, following a heavy reflow of funds into the Swedish krona. In May, an increase of $\$ 200$ million in the arrangement that had been agreed upon for one year lapsed and the swap line reverted to the earlier figure of $\$ 300$ million.

On July 27, the U.S. Treasury paid off the first maturing tranche equivalent to $\$ 744.5$ million of its Swiss franc-denominated securities. These securities were issued with the cooperation of the Swiss authorities in connection with the dollar-support program of November 1978. After this redemption the Treasury had outstanding $\$ 5,692.1$ million equivalent of foreign currency notes, public series, of which $\$ 5,233.6$ million is denominated in German marks and $\$ 458.5$ million in Swiss francs. These securities mature between September 1, 1981, and July 26, 1983.

In the seven months through July 1981, the Federal Reserve had gains of $\$ 4.9$ million on its exchange market operations, while the Exchange Stabilization Fund lost $\$ 4.5$ million. The Treasury's general account lost $\$ 82.7$ million, reflecting losses of $\$ 144.3$ million as a result of annual renewals at current market rates of the agreement to warehouse with the Federal Reserve the Swiss franc proceeds of Treasury securities and gains of $\$ 61.6$ million on the reacquisition of Swiss francs in connection with the redemption at maturity of securities denominated in Swiss francs. As of July 31, valuation losses on outstanding balances were $\$ 571.1$ million for the Federal Reserve and $\$ 1,807.2$ million for the Exchange Stabilization Fund. The Treasury's general account had valuation gains of $\$ 1,313.5$ million related to outstanding issues of securities denominated in foreign currencies.

## GERMAN MARK

Early in 1981, Germany's current-account deficit showed no signs of contracting despite continued stagnation of the domestic economy. Though import demand had weakened and export orders had picked up from earlier depressed levels, these initial improvements were more than offset by the adverse impact on Germany's terms of trade of the sharp depreciation of the mark. At the same time, growing tourism, and interest and dividend payments led to a further deterioration in services. The authorities had hoped to correct the current-account deficit gradually by a shift of resources toward investment and exports and, in the interim, to finance the deficit by a combination of private and official capital inflows. But the protracted nature of the deficit exerted a negative impact on sentiment toward the mark, and private capital flowed heavily out of Germany instead. Meanwhile, domestic demand remained exceptionally weak. Central bank money was growing in the upper half of the 4 percent to 7 percent annual growth range, and short-term domestic interest rates at 9 percent were the subject of domestic debate-criticized for being too high to permit a recovery of domestic economic activity but too low to defend the mark from downward pressures in the exchange market.

By February, the outflow of funds from Germany accelerated sharply. Market participants were deeply concerned about the lack of resolution within Germany over the appropriate role for monetary policy in dealing with the weakness of the external sector and about security issues raised by persistent tensions in Poland. At the same time, there was growing confidence in the policies and leadership of the new U.S. administration under President Reagan, which had already established a clear direction for the United States in economic and military matters. With interest rates in Germany relatively low compared with those in the United States and some other industrial countries, funds flowed heavily out of marks, principally into dollar assets but also into sterling and higher-yielding currencies of the EMS. By midmonth the mark had plummeted to DM 2.25 against the dollar for a decline of $51 / 2$ percent from the levels at the end of

January and some 20 percent from the previous September. Within the EMS the mark was trading at or near the floor of the joint float vis-à-vis the French franc. The German Federal Bank intervened in dollars and, together with the Bank of France, also in French francs to preserve the limits of the EMS. Largely reflecting these operations, Germany's foreign exchange reserves declined to $\$ 42.7$ billion at the end of February, down $\$ 1.7$ billion from the level outstanding on January 31. Meanwhile, during February the U.S. authorities intervened to settle trading conditions, which were frequently one way. The authorities bought $\$ 610.0$ million equivalent of marks net in the market and $\$ 168.4$ million equivalent from correspondents, which were added to balances of the Federal Reserve and of the U.S. Treasury.

The sharp and prolonged decline of the mark posed serious problems for the German authorities. The depreciating mark boosted domestic currency prices of oil and other dollar-invoiced imports relative to export prices, thus magnifying the current-account deficit. The rising cost of imports fed directly into domestic producer and consumer prices ahead of important spring wage negotiations and thereby threatened to provoke new domestic cost pressures. The mark's decline also complicated efforts to finance the external deficit and generated some uneasiness on the part of official mark holders. On February 19, the German Federal Bank temporarily closed the Lombard window, suspended the traditional fixed-rate facility, and announced that Lombard credits would henceforth be made available at its discretion and at rates determined on a day-today basis. German Federal Bank President Poehl stated that the immediate aim of these measures was to tighten German monetary policy in order to safeguard the stability of the mark. Thereafter, German short-term interest rates shot up and call money temporarily reached 20 to 30 percent before settling back to trade around 12 to 13 percent.

Exchange market participants reacted positively to the tightening of German monetary policy. As interest differentials adverse to the mark either narrowed sharply or disappeared completely, previously adverse commercial leads and lags were unwound and nonresidents
repaid earlier mark-denominated borrowings. This reflow of short-term funds into marks, principally out of French and Belgian francs, strengthened the mark dramatically within the EMS, and the mark traded after mid-February at the top of the joint float arrangement. The German Federal Bank was therefore able to begin purchasing EMS currencies in the market to repay debt to the FECOM (European Fund for Monetary Cooperation), incurred earlier while the mark was at the bottom of the EMS. Meanwhile, with U.S. interest rates also coming off near-record highs, the mark rebounded against the dollar to trade around DM 2.09 to DM 2.12 through early April. For their part the U.S. authorities limited their intervention to one occasion, on March 30, following the assassination attempt on President Reagan, when they sold $\$ 74.4$ million equivalent of marks out of balances.
During the spring the German Federal Bank maintained its essentially restrictive monetary policy stance. Officials stated that there was no basic conflict between internal and external policy considerations. Short-term stimulus to the economy, whatever the temporary benefits to growth, would be counterproductive since it would increase domestic consumption and inflation at the expense of longer-term needs such as capital formation, efficient economic decisionmaking, and productivity gains. The authorities therefore kept a tight rein on liquidity, mainly through open market operations and foreign currency swaps. These operations convinced exchange market participants that the German Federal Bank would not allow interest rates to ease. But the occasionally highly charged domestic debate over monetary policy also suggested that the authorities would not be in a position to increase short-term interest rates in the face of continued recession and substantial unemployment.
Meanwhile, in the United States, demands for money and credit pressed against a restrained supply of bank reserves and exerted upward pressure on short-term U.S. interest rates from April through mid-June. The rise in U.S. interest rates was not matched by increases in German money market rates, so that interest differentials adverse to the mark widened from 2 percent in

March to 6 percent by early June. In the credit markets, however, yields on German bonds increased by more than yields in the United States. These pressures on the German bond market spilled over into the exchanges, as foreigners liquidated some of their mark-denominated assets to limit capital losses. In these circumstances, the mark was again under downward pressure and had dropped to DM 2.25 before May 10, when Francois Mitterrand was elected President of France. Then a wave of French franc selling pulled the mark and other EMS currencies even lower in the exchanges. To maintain the intervention limits of the joint float, the German Federal Bank, along with the Bank of France, sold large amounts of marks against French francs through the end of May before tough French exchange controls helped bring the market into better balance. The German Federal Bank also sold large amounts of dollars in the market to absorb part of the mark liquidity created by the EMS intervention and to moderate the steep fall of the mark against the dollar, which declined further to nearly DM 2.33 by the end of the month. Part of these dollar sales occurred through the agency of the Trading Desk at the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, operating on behalf of the German Federal Bank. However, the Trading Desk did not intervene in the exchanges on behalf of the Federal Reserve or the U.S. Treasury.

In mid-June, selling pressures on the mark abated. By this time, economic activity in the United States had turned sluggish, inflation figures had improved, and growth of the monetary aggregates had moderated. In these circumstances, U.S. interest rates had begun to soften and were widely expected to register sustained declines, thereby narrowing interest differentials adverse to the mark. But the market had become increasingly pessimistic over the outlook for Europe. Major political and security issues were of concern, as underlined by persistent tensions in Poland and by new questions about the framework of Western European relations raised by changes in several governments. With respect to Germany, there were open disputes in Germany's governing coalition over a broad range of issues. Germany's trade figures had not yet shown much evidence of improved competitive-
ness resulting from the substantial real depreciation of the mark. Consumer price inflation was also accelerating, and there was little prospect for a near-term reduction of price pressures, given the rise in labor compensation negotiated in the spring.

With these various concerns depressing sentiment toward the mark, the German currency weakened still further against the dollar in late June and July, when U.S. interest rates firmed up rather than declining as expected. Continued bearish sentiment toward the mark also hampered progress in financing the current account. For several months, long-term private capital had remained in deficit, although the pace of net outflows had slowed. By June the previous inflow of short-term capital was being reversed. Partly for this reason the German Federal Bank announced that German interest rates would remain high and that the growth of central bank money would be held in the lower half of the annual target range. At the same time, the German government continued to borrow heavily abroad in order to finance the sizable currentaccount deficit, amounting to DM 29 billion at an annual rate in the first six months of the year. Between January and June the public authorities raised about DM 14 billion in foreign credits, with a large share coming directly from Saudi Arabia.

During July, as the exchange market focused on fiscal policy developments in Germany relative to those in the United States, the mark came more heavily on offer. In Germany, increasing government expenditures threatened to raise the public-sector deficit in 1981 to 4.5 percent of gross national product, from under 3 percent only two years earlier. Although containing the upward trend in spending had become a priority, measures to reduce expenditures in the 1982 budget were drafted in the midst of heated public debate, raising some questions whether the final budget proposal would be approved by the Parliament. Meanwhile, the Reagan administration gained congressional support for major expenditure cuts and tax reductions, marking an important shift in fiscal policy that was aimed at reducing inflation and providing greater incentives to the private sector. The exchange market assessed the new direction of U.S. fiscal policy
favorably. There were still concerns that defense outlays and tax cuts might in combination swell rather than reduce the budget deficit. But growing confidence that the Federal Reserve would keep the growth of bank reserves and the monetary aggregates under firm control helped alleviate inflationary fears and also reinforced expectations that U.S. interest rates would remain high. The market's generally positive reaction to the Reagan administration's economic program, coupled with the attraction of high yields on dollar placements, led to a surge of dollar bidding during July. In these circumstances, the mark dropped sharply lower in frequently heavy trading to DM 2.4770 by the end of the month for a net decline of $161 / 2$ percent over the six months under review. Meanwhile, Germany's foreign exchange reserves increased $\$ 647$ million from February levels to stand at $\$ 43.4$ billion on July 31, 1981. The rise in reserves mainly reflected sizable intervention purchases of currencies within the EMS after March-mostly French francs but also Belgian francs-which offset intervention sales of dollars in the final months of the period.

## SWISS FRANC

Coming into 1981 the Swiss economy was continuing to show greater momentum than that of most other industrialized countries. At the same time, the pace of consumer price increases had accelerated sharply in response to resilient consumption demand and to the progressive decline in the Swiss franc during much of 1980. The Swiss authorities were anxious to combat these emerging inflationary pressures while mindful of the risks of precipitating a downturn for Switzerland in view of the sluggishness of the international economy. As a result, the Swiss National Bank announced it would leave its monetarybase growth target for 1981 unchanged from that of 1980 at 4 percent.

At that time, interest rates in Switzerland were well below those in all other major industrial countries, and the differential vis-à-vis the United States had again widened to 10 percentage points. In response, many corporate entities, governments, and other official agencies bor-
rowed francs domestically or in the Euro-Swiss franc market, where many borrowers had options allowing them to switch loan currency denominations on rollover dates. In addition, with developments in Eastern Europe seen in the market as casting a cloud over all the Continent, the Swiss franc had lost some of its traditional attraction as a refuge for capital. As a result, inflows of funds were insufficient to offset the buildup of interest-sensitive capital outflows, and during January the Swiss franc continued to weaken both against the dollar and other European currencies. By the beginning of the period, the Swiss franc had declined about 16 percent from its 1980 highs to a three-year low of SF 1.9270 against the dollar and was trading at SF 0.90 against the German mark. Swiss foreign exchange reserves stood at $\$ 12.1$ billion.

On February 3, the Swiss National Bank raised its discount and Lombard rates $1 / 2$ percentage point to $31 / 2$ and $41 / 2$ percent respectively, the first change in these rates in nearly a year. The actions were taken to support the franc in the exchanges and to adjust official rates to tightening domestic money market conditions. But interest rate differentials unfavorable to the Swiss franc remained wide, and the franc continued to decline against a generally strengthening dollar. As the franc eased, the National Bank sold dollars to support the rate but operated in more modest amounts than many other central banks.

Following a change in the administration of Germany's Lombard facility, which precipitated a sharp rise in German money market interest rates, the Swiss National Bank announced a second round of interest rate increases. On February 20, the discount and Lombard rates were raised to 4 percent and $51 / 2$ percent respectively, and the National Bank also conducted foreign currency swap operations-its major tool for monetary control-so as to further tighten money market conditions. By mid-March, money market rates had risen to about 9 percent, levels not seen since the mid-1970s. Also, dollar interest rates eased somewhat and the adverse interest differentials narrowed sharply, helping the franc strengthen in the exchanges to a level of SF 1.8530 on March 18.

By this time it had become clear that the Swiss economy, rather than weakening as expected,
continued to expand in the first quarter of 1981, in sharp contrast to the sluggishness in Germany and elsewhere. Increases in employment, though slowing from the strong 1980 pace, remained sufficient to enable Switzerland to avoid the rising unemployment so troublesome to many industrial nations. Domestic consumption and construction activity had remained buoyant even in the face of mortgage rates, which soared to levels not seen since 1975. These pressures had contributed to an acceleration of the inflation rate to about 6 percent which, though high by historical standards for Switzerland, was nevertheless still among the lowest rates in the world. In the United States the unexpected strength of the economy renewed monetary growth and put considerable upward pressure on dollar interest rates, which was sustained over the remainder of the period. As the dollar again came into demand, the franc fell in the exchanges.

With the economy robust, the Swiss authorities had leeway to pursue policies intended to push the inflation rate back down. Beginning in late April and continuing through May, the Swiss National Bank fostered tighter money market conditions by allowing liquidity-providing foreign currency swaps to run off. On May 11, the National Bank again raised the discount and Lombard rates, this time to 5 percent and $61 / 2$ percent respectively, and shortly thereafter announced a willingness to see the monetary base fall below its annual target range. In response, Swiss interest rates moved even higher, including the politically sensitive mortgage interest rate and other long-term interest rates.

These developments coincided with the presidential elections in France, and as all European currencies initially dropped against the dollar, the Swiss franc fell further to a low of SF 2.0790, down 12 percent from its March highs. Thereafter, however, Switzerland came to be seen as a politically stable and economically sound investment outlet and the Swiss franc began to regain some of the status of a "safe haven" currency. In the context of this improving exchange market psychology, speculative and investment flows turned in favor of the franc. Funds also flowed in from Germany to repay franc borrowings, which had become nearly as expensive as mark credit. Through the end of June the franc firmed slightly
against the dollar and climbed against the mark to SF 0.85 , thus breaking out of the narrow range around SF 0.90 that had held for about two years.

Through July the franc declined against the dollar in line with other currencies and against the mark, mainly in response to growing market expectations of an EMS realignment that was thought likely to benefit the mark. By the end of the month, the franc had declined to SF 2.15 against the dollar and to SF 0.87 against the mark, down about $113 / 4$ percent against the dollar and up 4 percent against the mark for the sixmonth interval. For the period, overall Swiss foreign currency reserves fluctuated modestly, largely in response to foreign currency swap operations conducted to influence growth of the Swiss monetary base. At the close, Swiss reserves stood at $\$ 9.9$ billion, down $\$ 2.2$ billion from the end of January.

On July 27, the U.S. Treasury redeemed the first maturing tranche of its Swiss franc-denominated securities in the amount of SF 1.2 billion, issued in July 1979 with the cooperation of Swiss authorities in connection with the dollar-support program of November 1978. In order to neutralize the liquidity effects of the note transactions, the Swiss National Bank allowed a portion of maturing foreign currency swaps to run off, thereby absorbing liquidity injected by the retirement of the notes. As a result, the money markets remained generally steady over the end of the month.

## Japanese Yen

Early in 1981 the yen continued to benefit in the exchanges from the rapid adjustment of Japan's economy to the second oil shock. Restrictive monetary and fiscal policies had successfully curtailed domestic demand, limited the buildup of inflationary expectations, and, together with moderate wage settlements, contained the impact of oil price increases on domestic costs. At the same time, changes in production processes under way since the mid-1970s had made industry less dependent on imported raw materials, particularly oil. These developments, together with the impact of the 1979-80 depreciation of
the yen, led to a marked improvement in the current account, which swung from deep deficit to virtual balance. They also impressed international investors sufficiently to attract massive inflows of funds, particularly from Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries (OPEC) investors eager to increase the share of yen-denominated assets in their portfolios. As a result, the yen rebounded in the exchanges to $¥ 206.10$ in New York on January 31, up 21 percent against the dollar and 27 percent against the German mark from its lows of April 1980. The government proceeded to liberalize substantially exchange controls on international capital transactions. Also, Japan's foreign exchange reserves rose to $\$ 22.7$ billion by the end of January.
Meanwhile, however, domestic demand had stalled and, with the improvement in Japan's external position, the authorities had begun to relax the tight stance of policy after mid-1980. Yet, by early 1981, consumption and residential construction continued to falter and business fixed investment, previously the only domestic source of strength, was also deceierating rapidly. The growth of the monetary aggregates had slowed, and yen money market rates softened. Inflationary pressures had eased, partly reflecting the dampening impact on import prices of the yen's appreciation, so that wholesale-price inflation had dropped from a year-on-year rate of 24 percent in the spring of 1980 to about 5 percent in early 1981. Meanwhile, in the exchange market the rising dollar had eroded the yen's earlier buoyancy, but the rate nonetheless remained relatively stable around $¥ 208$ through midMarch. Against the currencies on the Continent, the yen held up relatively well even while those currencies benefited from a sharp rise in their interest rates. In these circumstances, domestic pressures on the authorities intensified during February and March to adopt reflationary measures, including a reduction of interest rates.

On March 17, the government introduced a fiscal package that accelerated budgeted public works expenditures and provided low-cost financing to promote housing construction, to aid small companies, and to boost exports of industrial plants. These measures were generally thought to be modest so as not to compromise materially the goals of reducing the budget deficit
in the fiscal year ending March 1982 and of easing the burden on the markets of financing the central government's large fiscal requirement. At the same time, the Bank of Japan lowered its discount rate 1 percentage point to $61 / 4$ percent for the third cut in less than a year, reduced banks' reserve requirements, and then followed up by substantially relaxing window-guidance ceilings on the growth of bank lending.

But the authorities were also convinced that the large interest differentials adverse to the yen might trigger volatile capital outflows. Japan's interest rates were the lowest among the major industrial countries. The liberalization of Japanese exchange controls also provided greater opportunities for capital outflows. Among other things, the Bank of Japan introduced a new lending arrangement similar to the special Lombard facility in Germany, enabling the central bank to charge more than the official discount rate on its lending to commercial banks whenever necessary to counter potentially excessive capital outflows or downward pressures on the yen.

In the event, sentiment toward the yen in the exchanges turned more cautious during the spring. Though market participants were still confident in the thrust of Japan's economic policies and the overall performance of the economy, there were reasons to question whether the rapid improvement in the current account would continue. The likelihood of trade restrictions against Japan's automobile exports dimmed prospects for future export earnings, as did selfimposed export restraints by Japanese manufacturers in industries faced with growing protectionist sentiment abroad. Spreading recession in major overseas markets clouded export prospects even further. Consequently, the trade surplus was thought unlikely to widen sufficiently to cover rising interest payments on nonresident yen deposits and on tourism outflows, which were significantly boosting Japan's traditional services deficit.
In these circumstances, large interest differentials adverse to yen-denominated assets began to show through. Japanese resident institutions and individuals-already in the process of adjusting to newly liberalized foreign exchange controlsstepped up their export of capital as interest
differentials favoring the dollar widened from about 7 percentage points in March to more than 11 percentage points in May and early June. In particular, life insurance companies, pension funds, and bank trusts took advantage of access to overseas investments by establishing a presence in the U.S. capital markets at yields more attractive than those available in Japan. As a result, the yen declined along with other major foreign currencies against the dollar, dropping $73 / 4$ percent from mid-March levels to $¥ 224$ by early June.

These developments put pressure on Japan's capital markets, complicating the authorities' efforts to bolster domestic growth and to finance the large government deficit at current yields. The authorities were concerned that raising the national bond coupon, a key indicator of overall long-term interest rates in Japan, would lead to higher lending rates throughout the economy. Reluctant therefore to increase new issue rates as rates in the secondary market rose, the government had difficulty arranging the June issue of 10 -year bonds and had to withdraw the July issue altogether. In the exchange market, concern developed that these strains in the capital market would spill over into the currency markets, as foreign investors decelerated their purchases of Japanese assets or even began selling off some of their holdings. Moreover, the growing perception that the authorities would find it difficult to support the yen by raising Japanese interest rates contributed to a further decline in the yen to $¥ 228$ by the end of June.

These pressures against the yen intensified considerably during July, as the long-awaited decline in U.S. interest rates failed to materialize. With little prospect that large interest differentials adverse to the yen would narrow and that the currency would soon rebound against the dollar, a broad range of participants accelerated their sales of yen in an effort to limit losses. At the same time, foreign corporations stepped up short-term yen borrowings to meet financial needs in other currencies, while commercial leads and lags also shifted against the yen. As the flow of funds gathered force, the decline of the yen began to outpace the fall of the European currencies against the rapidly strengthening dollar.

To cushion the yen's decline, the Bank of Japan intervened in Tokyo, substantially on occasion, and in New York through the Federal Reserve Bank of New York as agent. However, Governor Mayekawa, of the Bank of Japan, explained that, while intervening to smooth erratic rate movements, the Bank of Japan did not consider it necessary to adopt exceptional measures to stop the yen's slide. The authorities asserted in numerous public statements that the yen had depreciated by more than was justified in terms of economic fundamentals and was therefore likely to move back up over time. Consumer price inflation was abating rapidly and, given the moderate outcome of the wage round negotiated in the spring, could be expected to remain the lowest among the major industrial countries. Meanwhile, exports were proving stronger than earlier anticipated, despite negotiated export restrictions, and were contributing to a modest surplus on the current account. The authorities also noted that short-term bank flows were still positive, even while Japan's long-term capital account had moved into deficit. This result largely reflected the fact that the covered cost of borrowing dollars was often less than local yen financing, creating incentives for both Japanese banks and nonbanks to borrow abroad.

But in the exchange market, the yen continued dropping sharply to close at $¥ 240.35$ on July 31, down $163 / 4$ percent against the dollar over the sixmonth period under review but unchanged against the German mark on balance. Exchange market intervention by the authorities contributed to a decline of $\$ 278$ million in Japan's foreign exchange reserves during July. Nonetheless, at the end of July Japan's reserves stood at $\$ 23.9$ billion, up $\$ 1.2$ billion on balance, mostly reflecting interest receipts on Japan's reserve holdings.

## StERLING

By early 1981, the British economy had shown substantial improvements in both price and cur-rent-account performance. Inflation had fallen back for several months to single-digit rates from the level of 20 percent or more a year earlier. The current account moved into a surplus of $\$ 6.6$ billion for 1980, making the year-on-year im-
provement of $\$ 10$ billion the largest of any industrial country and in sharp contrast to the general experience. These considerable achievements reflected a continued expansion of North Sea oil exports and an improvement in the non-oil terms of trade. They also reflected a sharp slashing of inventories, which was but one feature of the severe recession that had gripped the economy for more than a year. Indeed, with corporate profits squeezed by persistently high interest rates, wages, energy prices, and a strong pound, British companies had also been forced to reduce fixed investment and to lay off workers in order to restore their liquid-asset positions. Even so, the growth of sterling M-3 remained well above its target range, reflecting the continuing demand for bank credit, the unexpectedly large publicsector borrowing, and the ending of the supplementary special deposit scheme in June 1980. The Bank of England, therefore, kept monetary policy restrictive, and British interest rates had been slow to decline.

Britain's improving external position and relatively high interest rates had combined to push sterling up to a six-year high against the dollar and to rise even further against the continental currencies. By the end of January, however, the pound eased back to trade around $\$ 2.3630$ against the dollar and was at 104.4, according to a new trade-weighted index adopted by the Bank of England on February 2. Meanwhile, the British authorities had taken advantage of the strength of sterling to repay before maturity a number of international loans taken up in the mid-1970s. As a result, British foreign exchange reserves were down from their 1980 highs but still stood at $\$ 18.7$ billion.

By early February, the pace of capital outflows had accelerated, as U.S. interest rates had become unexpectedly firm, and the dollar was generally strong in the exchanges. Although nonresidents continued to add to their sterling balances, there was increasing evidence that British residents were taking advantage of the elimination of exchange controls to diversify their investment portfolios into other currencies. Moreover, the protracted recession in the United Kingdom was weighing more heavily on market psychology. The persistent strength of sterling had generated bitter complaints from British
industrialists over narrowing profit margins and declining product market shares. The rate of unemployment was rising more quickly and headed toward 10 percent. Also, a government decision to modify its plans for closing uneconomic coal mines, following an outburst of strikes by the nation's coal miners, was interpreted in the press as indicating the government's willingness to ease stringent policies aimed at making the economy work more efficiently. As a result, expectations developed in the market that the U.K. authorities might take advantage of the improvements both in inflation and in the current account to soften the restrictive policy stance and to provide some stimulus to the domestic economy.
Therefore, as the market awaited the March 10 budget, talk circulated that the authorities would cut the minimum lending rate by perhaps as much as 3 to 4 percentage points and allow a downward adjustment in the exchange rate as a means of stimulating economic activity. In this environment, the pound eased back against the dollar in line with other European currencies. But after mid-February, when interest rates in a number of other European currencies were sharply increased, commercial leads and lags moved heavily against sterling and some OPEC members shifted funds out of the pound. As a result, by early March the pound broke stride with the currencies of the Continent and fell against the dollar some 8 percent to as low as \$2.1750.
For their part the authorities remained concerned about the possibility of a resurgence in monetary growth and inflation and about the persistence of a large public-sector borrowing requirement. In his March 10 budget speech, Chancellor Howe reiterated the government's determination to maintain a restrictive policy stance until inflation came under control and called for increases in indirect taxes to reduce the projected public-sector borrowing requirement by $£ 3$ billion to $£ 101 / 2$ billion. This tightening of fiscal policy was coupled with a 2 percentage point reduction of the central bank's minimum lending rate to 12 percent per annum as well as with the lowering of the target for sterling M3 growth to a 6 to 10 percent annual range. The lowering of the minimum lending rate had al-
ready been discounted in the money and exchange markets. After the uncertainties about the budget had been cleared away, sterling moved up along with other European currencies as U.S. interest rates eased back from earlier highs. Thus, the pound recovered to $\$ 2.2960$ around mid-March on a reflow of capital and a reversal of previously adverse commercial leads and lags. Against the dollar, sterling was a net 3 percent lower from the levels at the end of January. Against other European currencies, it was also lower by about 7 percent, so that in effective terms the pound was trading about 100.2, a decline of 4 percent.

By April, British interest rates had settled around levels similar to those in Germany. Anecdotal information suggested that the economy was leveling off. But actual economic and financial trends were unusually difficult to monitor. A civil servants' strike had the effect of both delaying tax payments to the Exchequer and impeding the collection of key trade and financial statistics. The Bank of England was proceeding with its plans to change operating techniques for monetary control so as to increase the role of market forces in determining short-term interest rates. And, as each step of the process was announced, the markets were somewhat unsure of the near-term implications. The pound eased along with other currencies against the dollar throughout the spring. By late May, it was about 10 percent lower at around $\$ 2.07$. In effective terms, it was trading at 98.8.

During June the focus of market attention shifted to sterling. For some time, the energy situation had shielded the pound from a number of adverse factors. These included Britain's loss of competitiveness arising from earlier high rates of inflation and a strong exchange rate, a seriously deteriorating economy, and a weakening of political support for the government's continuing restrictive policies. Thus, when an increasing oversupply of oil internationally prompted a significant cut in the price of North Sea crude, an important element of favorable market psychology was shattered and the vulnerability of sterling began to show through.

The pound, therefore, came under heavy selling pressure during June and July, dropping through the psychologically important level of
$\$ 2.00$. Market participants were doubtful that the government would support the rate through a large increase in interest rates in view of the continuing recession. Talk circulated in the markets that exchange controls might be reimposed, prompting even further selling of sterling.

Thereafter, sterling stabilized, as British interest rates rose after the Bank of England began providing funds to the money market above rather than at the minimum lending rate. Also, following the resolution of the civil servants' strike, a pickup in tax collections was expected to tighten liquidity even more. The abatement of civil disturbances gave an additional lift, while Prime Minister Thatcher's proposal of a modest spending program to encourage private-sector hiring of young people was not viewed as a significant departure from past restrictive policies and thus tended to reassure the exchange markets. As a result, sterling traded around $\$ 1.84$ on July 31, for an overall decline of $221 / 4$ percent against the dollar for the six-month period. In effective terms, the pound declined $111 / 4$ percent to 92.5 at the end of July.

Meanwhile, over the six-month period the Bank of England maintained its policy of intervening lightly on both sides of the market to smooth out sharp fluctuations in the rate. Accordingly, during the period under review, the U.K. external reserves were affected mainly by the repayment and prepayment of loans. Britain's foreign exchange reserves declined $\$ 5.1$ billion over the six-month period to $\$ 13.6$ billion on July 31.

## French Franc

By the beginning of the period under review, the French economy had moved into a recession that was to prove deeper and more protracted than many of the slowdowns then taking place elsewhere on the Continent. Industrial production was down 10 percent from the level of the previous year, and unemployment had risen in line with the growth of the labor force to 7.3 percent. At the same time, the sharp increase in oil prices of recent years and lagging productivity growth had contributed to a weakening of France's external position and a worrisome dete-
rioration in its price performance. France's current account had swung back into a deficit of $\$ 7$ billion, and inflation had accelerated above the two-digit level once more to a rate of 13 percent.

Faced with these setbacks to the five-year program of economic stabilization, the French authorities remained committed to the priorities of curbing inflation and maintaining the strength of the French franc. Whatever stimulus that had been provided to the economy in 1980 and again in late February 1981 was modest in size and was intended to contribute eventually to export competitiveness. Monetary policy remained restrictive. The Bank of France had reduced its growth target for M2 for 1981 to 10 percent, and the already tight limits on banks' credit growth were lowered 1 percentage point on average. Interest rates in France remained high relative to interest rates in most other countries on the Continent. In addition, the government continued to encourage large enterprises in France to take advantage of capital markets abroad to finance on a long-term basis large investment projects at home.

In the exchange markets, the current-account deficit continued to be more than offset by capital inflows, reflecting the attraction of interestsensitive funds from abroad and efforts of domestic residents to meet local financing needs in foreign currencies. In addition, the market's attitude toward the French franc was generally more positive than for other European currencies. France's current-account deficit, though a source of concern, was considerably smaller than the one for Germany, its principal trading partner. The government's fiscal deficit, though greater than the preceding year, was only $11 / 2$ percent of overall gross national product, so that financing the deficit was not as much of a burden as in many other countries. France's traditionally good relations with Middle Eastern countries were generally thought in the market to make it easier for France to attract funds from investors seeking an alternative to the dollar. These longstanding ties were also thought to help protect the nation from short-run disruptions in oil supplies, while France's commitment to the development of nuclear energy was seen as providing a more secure energy source in the longer run. Moreover, with the approach of presidential elections later in the spring, market participants
believed that the government would take extraordinary steps if necessary to bolster the franc should it come under selling pressure. Meanwhile, France's foreign exchange reserves had swelled to an impressive $\$ 26.5$ billion by January 31.

In this positive psychological climate, the franc had traded at or near the top of the EMS for almost two years, even as it declined against the generally rising dollar to FF 4.90 by the end of January. Early in February, the franc continued to decline more slowly against the dollar than did the other EMS currencies, falling some $41 / 2$ percent to FF 5.1150 by midmonth. Within the EMS, it remained at its upper intervention limit and the French, German, and Belgian central banks intervened to keep the franc within its $21 / 4$ percent band. In late February, however, the French franc fell below the German mark in the EMS, following action by the German Federal Bank to raise interest rates in Germany. With French interest rates increasing not as rapidly as elsewhere, funds shifted out of francs and commercial leads and lags swung from francs to marks. Thus, by early March the franc had settled about $1 / 4$ percent below the mark in the EMS. Against the dollar, it fluctuated in line with other European currencies, recovering by the end of March to early-February levels. Nevertheless, France's foreign exchange reserves continued to strengthen, rising $\$ 1.3$ billion over the February to March period, to $\$ 27.8$ billion, reflecting in part intervention within the EMS.

Within France, the performance of the economy was becoming a matter of increasing public debate. Output had stabilized, but there was little evidence of an upturn. Unemployment was rising even more rapidly than before. Inflation remained high. And the current-account deficit showed no sign of narrowing. In the exchange markets the franc continued to be bolstered by relatively high nominal interest rates through mid-April. Thereafter, as the electoral contest went through the first round of a two-stage voting procedure and forecasters indicated that the outcome would be close, some international investors began moving funds out of the franc. But, with the Bank of France now intervening to keep the franc from slipping within the EMS, the rate continued to hold steady against the mark.

In this manner, the franc declined $81 / 4$ percent against the dollar to FF 5.3950 by May 8, just prior to the second round of voting.

Mitterrand's election came as a surprise to the exchange markets. With the Paris stock market plummeting, massive amounts of funds began to be moved out of the franc. These flows largely took the form of commercial leads and lags but also represented withdrawals of deposits and liquidations of investments. These selling pressures quickly pushed the franc from the middle to the floor of the joint float and to FF 5.5875 against the dollar late in May.

The authorities responded quickly to contain these selling pressures. The Bank of France intervened heavily to keep the franc within its $21 / 4$-percent band against the mark. Effective May 14, the central bank raised reserve requirements on sight deposits and eliminated the special reserve requirement on nonresident deposits that had been imposed to curtail capital inflows late in 1980. Also, it raised the discount rate on seven-day Treasury bills by $41 / 2$ percentage points to 18 percent, while day-to-day rates in the money market jumped from $131 / 2$ to 16 percent. At the same time, leading economic advisers to the new president reaffirmed France's commitment to the EMS arrangements.
Once in office the new government took further action to stabilize the franc by tightening exchange controls. With respect to trade financing, it reduced the scope for leading and lagging commercial payments and receipts to one calendar month (retroactive to May 1). Regarding portfolio investment in foreign currencies, residents were required as of May 22 to purchase the exchange from other residents, thereby establishing a separate market for these transactions and removing them as a source of pressure on the exchange rate. For its part, the Bank of France hiked its discount rate on seven-day Treasury bills another $41 / 2$ percentage points to 22 percent and day-to-day interest rates moved up as high as 20 percent.
In response to these stringent moves, the franc came into demand as exporters scrambled to convert foreign currency receipts ahead of the month-end. By the end of May, therefore, the franc was off its lows against both the mark and the dollar. Thereafter, the new exchange control
measures were expected to generate a continuing reversal of leads and lags well into the summer. Also, the tightening of credit conditions and the sharp rise in Euro-French franc interest rates to around 25 percent helped discourage nonresident outflows. Thus, the franc soon settled in around the middle of the EMS, a position it was generally to maintain through the end of July.
As a result, the franc traded comfortably within the EMS during the June 21 parliamentary elections that provided a sufficient majority to the new government to implement its economic program. By July, the authorities were proceeding with a program to reduce unemployment by expanding the economy and increasing its productive potential, while also carrying through a long-standing plan to nationalize key sectors of the economy. In particular, they announced plans to increase social-benefit expenditures, to raise the minimum wage, and to establish new programs for education, housing, and industrial retraining.

Even with tax increases to generate more revenue, the fiscal deficit was expected to double for 1981. The government also moved forward with plans to nationalize 11 industrial groups. Commercial bank lending ceilings were raised and minimum reserve requirements lowered to allow greater expansion of bank lending.

With the exchange markets now more settled, the Bank of France was also able to permit shortterm interest rates to decline gradually, so that by the end of July the central bank's discount rate on seven-day Treasury bills was down to $181 / 2$ percent and day-to-day rates had eased to 173/4 percent. Even so, the market remained pessimistic over the outlook for the franc because France had adopted strongly stimulative policies while other countries were still emphasizing restraint. With the dollar rising across the board, the franc eased by the end of the month to FF 5.8775 , down 20 percent on balance for the six-month period. Even within the EMS the market found reason to contrast the recent reflationary measures of the French government with the budget-cutting efforts taking place in Germany, especially after the Ottawa summit meetings. Even so, the franc held its own around the middle of the joint band to close the period trading at FF 2.3728 against the German mark,
down $31 / 4$ percent on balance over the six-month period. Meanwhile, France's foreign exchange reserves, which had dropped $\$ 4.5$ billion during May and June, declined only another $\$ 558$ million to $\$ 22.6$ billion, to register a net decline of $\$ 3.8$ billion from February to July.

## Italian Lira

The Italian lira was under considerable downward pressure coming into the period as the market responded to a swing in Italy's current account back into heavy deficit, the persistence of relatively high inflation at home, and the lack of progress in containing government expenditures and curbing the public-sector deficit. The $\$ 15$ billion deterioration in Italy's current account over 1980 to a $\$ 10$-billion deficit had reflected in part an adverse turn in Italy's terms of trade resulting from the sharp increase in dollar prices for energy and other imported products. It reflected as well the weakening demand in Italy's principal export markets. In addition, the rapid pace of inflation, at 20 percent by late 1980, had brought into question the competitiveness of Italy's export sector, especially in those countries participating in the fixed exchange rate arrangement of the EMS. Moreover, the large and growing public-sector deficit, which amounted to 11 percent of gross domestic product, further clouded the prospect for reducing inflationary pressures in the near term. That deficit reflected a number of deep-seated problems including the high level of wage settlements, the pervasiveness of a wage indexation system, and the lagging productivity growth and weakening capital structure of Italy's large governmententerprise sector.

These problems had come into focus early in 1981 in the absence of progress in improving price or trade performance at a time when industrial output had rebounded from earlier depressed levels. The government had proposed a medium-term program intended to cut current spending, to stimulate investment, and to finance increased investment spending abroad. But the pace of public spending had quickened and monetary growth had accelerated. In this environment, the lira had fallen against the dollar to a
record low in New York trading of LIT $1,004.50$ by the end of January. Within the EMS, the lira had required steady intervention support by the Bank of Italy to hold its position. Even so, Italy's foreign currency reserves stood at a relatively high $\$ 20.5$ billion.
Meanwhile, the task of controlling inflation and supporting the lira in the exchanges had fallen on the Bank of Italy, which acted on January 31 to tighten control over expansion of money and credit. Ceilings on bank lending were extended to include loans under LIT 130 million and foreign currency loans, both previously excluded from limitation. The new ceilings were made effective March 31, at which time loans coming under the new controls were to be reduced to the levels at the end of December and then subject to a new and lower set of growth limits for the remainder of the year. Credit extensions above the limits were made subject to a deposit requirement of 50 percent in noninter-est-bearing accounts at the central bank. As before, foreign currency loans to exporters were excluded. These actions improved exchange market sentiment toward the lira early in February. Though the lira eased against the dollar, which was strengthening at the time, it kept generally in line with other currencies in the EMS.

During February, however, the most recent information suggested a further widening of the trade and current-account deficits and intensification of domestic inflationary pressures. As a result, the lira failed to recover late in the month by as much as the currencies of other continental countries, which were being bid up in response to sharp increases in short-term interest rates in their domestic markets. By mid-March the lira had slipped nearly 4 percent against the German mark and was thus requiring intervention support to hold its position within the EMS. As the March 31 deadline approached for cutting back on foreign currency loans under the new credit ceilings, importers and other residents came into the market as buyers of foreign currency. These transactions added to the pressure against the lira, which fell through Italy's divergence threshold within the EMS even as the Bank of Italy stepped up its intervention support. These operations contributed to a decline of $\$ 4$ billion in

Italy's foreign currency reserves during February and March.

In response to these exchange market pressures, a series of actions were taken to support the lira over the weekend of March 21-22. They included a 6 percent downward adjustment of the lira's central rate within the EMS, which was reflected in the market by a $21 / 2$-percent depreciation against the dollar. Also, to absorb liquidity the Bank of Italy hiked reserve requirements from $153 / 4$ percent to 20 percent above the levels at the end of February on both resident and nonresident lira-denominated bank deposits. It also raised the discount rate by $21 / 2$ percentage points to 19 percent, the first change in this rate since September 29, 1980. In addition, the government announced its intention to propose measures to Parliament to offset the potential effect on the government deficit of several budgetary amendments passed by Parliament in preceding weeks. The proposals focused on cuts in current spending in line with those announced during the winter, which, when approved by Parliament, would be sufficient to bring the projected deficit for the government in 1981 back to the LIT 37.5 trillion level originally envisaged.
After these measures and as a result of its new EMS parity, the lira moved from the bottom to near the top among the EMS currencies. Also, the expansion of money and credit began to slow in response to the tightening of monetary policy. Skepticism remained, however, over the fiscal situation. As a result, the lira soon began to ease toward the middle of the EMS and the Bank of Italy intervened on occasion to limit any slippage.
During April and May, as U.S. interest rates had again turned higher, short-term funds were drawn increasingly from Italy. Thus, the lira became more vulnerable to downward pressure. Moreover, at home Italy's inflation problem had again become a major focus of public debate. Exchange market participants took note that the Parliament had not yet acted on either the shortterm austerity measures proposed by the government in March or the three-year program under discussion for months. In addition, a major political controversy diverted attention away from economic matters. When it reached a crisis in late May that brought down the Forlani govern-
ment, any chance of near-term action on policy initiatives evaporated. Moreover, by the end of May, Italy's foreign exchange reserves had dropped a further $\$ 2$ billion to $\$ 14.5$ billion.

To address the immediate pressures in the exchange and financial markets, the Forlani gov-ernment-acting in a caretaker capacity-imposed an austerity program by decree that included increases in certain public charges and cuts of 5 to 10 percent in some categories of government spending. These actions were intended to reduce the government deficit by about $71 / 2$ percent in 1981 if approved by Parliament within 60 days. The government simultaneously imposed an import deposit scheme, also by decree, which required that all purchasers of foreign exchange place with the Bank of Italy a $90-$ day noninterest-bearing deposit equal to 30 percent of the exchange transaction. These deposits had the effect of increasing the cost of payments in foreign currency as well as cutting into credit available for domestic purposes.

After these actions, the lira traded more comfortably within the EMS, enabling the Bank of Italy progressively to scale back its intervention support of the currency. Against the dollar, the lira continued to decline but, in contrast to preceding months, no more rapidly than other continental currencies. During July the formation of a new government under the Republican Giovanni Spadolini and the onset of seasonal inflows from tourism gave additional support to the lira. The Bank of Italy then became a sizable net buyer of dollars for the first time during the period under review. By the end of July, the lira was trading at LIT 1,227.50, down on balance $221 / 4$ percent against the dollar and down 5 percent against the German mark. Meanwhile, Italy's foreign currency reserves rose $\$ 2.0$ billion after the end of May to $\$ 16.5$ billion at the end of July for a $\$ 4.0$ billion decline over the six-month period under review.

## Other Currencies within the European Monetary System

In early 1981, the countries whose currencies are members of the EMS joint floating arrangement faced similar problems. Most were dependent on
capital inflows to finance current-account deficits. Fiscal deficits had grown and were exerting increasing strains on domestic capital markets, and inflationary pressures appeared to be accelerating even as the domestic economies were weakening. Although monetary policies were generally restrictive, slowdowns in the domestic economies and rising unemployment were seen in the market as constraining the authorities from increasing interest rates further to maintain the currencies' attractiveness to international investors and portfolio managers. Some countries had been able to attract substantial amounts of private funds, and others looked to governmentarranged loans from abroad as a means of achieving external balance and stabilizing their currencies within the joint float. But, in either case, the EMS currencies were vulnerable to capital outflows attracted by relatively high interest rates in other countries and to an increasingly bullish sentiment toward the dollar. As a result, these currencies were continuing to decline as the six-month period under review opened.

Within the EMS there were also considerable strains and the $21 / 4$-percent band for all but the Italian lira was fully stretched. Requiring persistent support at the bottom of the band was the Belgian franc, along with the German mark. The Belgian franc was weighed down by concern over a domestic economy that was undergoing difficult structural adjustment, experiencing rising unemployment, and suffering from a fiscal deficit that had mounted to more than 10 percent of gross national product. The current-account deficit also was large, and both deficits were being financed to a large extent through govern-ment-arranged loans denominated mostly in dollars and other Eurocurrencies. Close behind the French franc at the top of the band was the Dutch guilder. It was helped by the relatively favorable current-account position of the Netherlands and interest rates that were high enough to continue to attract nonresident investment in long-term bonds denominated in guilders. The Danish krone and Irish pound fluctuated around the middle of the band, and the Danish and Irish authorities relied heavily on conversions of foreign borrowings to keep their currencies trading comfortably within the joint float.

This configuration of currencies changed abruptly in mid-February, when the German authorities reacted to intensifying selling pressure against their currency by tightening monetary policy. German interest rates rose considerably, especially rates on call money, and the mark snapped up within the EMS, rising from the bottom to the top of the joint float. As the mark advanced within the EMS, the French franc and Dutch guilder came under modest selling pressure against the mark. But these pressures were soon contained and the currencies stayed in the upper half of the European Community (EC) band after the Bank of France and the Netherlands Bank, following quickly on the measures of the German Federal Bank, raised their own interest rates by 1 to $11 / 2$ percentage points. The Danish krone and the Irish pound eased into the lower half of the joint float but were kept from falling further by modest intervention.
This changing configuration of currencies within the EMS left the Belgian franc all the more exposed at the bottom of the joint float. Belgium's fiscal and current-account deficits continued to deteriorate. The authorities were reluctant to raise domestic interest rates because the economy was still weak and labor unrest was already festering in some of the most depressed industries. The coalition government was having difficulty agreeing on a program of expenditure cuts and other measures to reduce the fiscal deficit. And the prolonged negotiations on economic policy were casting doubt in the exchange markets about the government's ability to deal with the country's economic problems.

Against this background, the Belgian franc remained pinned to its lower intervention point as the EMS group of currencies gained against the dollar late in February. In March, following a downward adjustment of the Italian lira, which put it in the upper half of its new band, the franc was exposed to even greater selling pressure. Heavy support had to be provided for the Belgian franc mainly by sales of German marks and French francs. The Belgian National Bank increased its official lending rates in stages over the month. By March 26, its discount rate was up 1 percentage point to 13 percent and its Lombard rate was up 3 percentage points to 15 percent. Also during the month, the government an-
nounced parts of its program to cut the fiscal deficit by BF 30 billion. However, the pressures against the Belgian franc remained intense as continuing shifts in commercial leads and lags aggravated the exchange market impact of the large current-account deficit. On March 30, the government resigned, and immediately thereafter the National Bank hiked its discount and Lombard rates another 3 percentage points. It also imposed measures to ensure that financial institutions would not restore their liquidity by unloading government debt and would not add to outflows of capital by extending credits to the private sector. To restore confidence in the franc, a one-month freeze on wholesale and retail prices was imposed effective April 2. These new initiatives helped ease the immediate pressures against the Belgian franc.

During April and early May, trading became more comfortable within the EMS, which nevertheless declined progressively against a generally strengthening dollar. The mark remained at the top of the band, providing the German Federal Bank an opportunity to improve its position within the FECOM by acquiring small amounts of other EMS currencies in the market and by having its currency used in intervention to support other EMS currencies. The Belgian franc gradually came into better balance, moving off the floor of the EMS in a favorable reaction to the tightening of monetary policy. The Dutch guilder, by contrast, declined into the middle of the band as the market reacted to the failure of Dutch interest rates to keep pace with those abroad and to uncertainties ahead of parliamentary elections. The Danish krone also eased slightly within the joint float, while the Irish pound stayed near the bottom of the band. Intervention by the central banks of Belgium, the Netherlands, Denmark, and Ireland was modest and conducted mostly in dollars to stabilize the position of their currencies in the EMS. As the French presidential elections moved through the first round of balloting, by contrast, official purchases of francs against both marks and dollars became heavy as the Bank of France acted to steady the franc in the middle of the joint float.

Later in May, the announcement of Mitterrand's victory in the French presidential elections brought the French franc under immediate
pressure in the EMS and generated skepticism in the market over the commitment of a new French government to the EMS institutions. The French authorities soon acted to support their currency by tightening exchange controls and by raising interest rates sufficiently to trigger some reversal of leads and lags. In addition, to reassure the markets, both President Mitterrand and Chancellor Schmidt publicly reaffirmed their intention to cooperate in upholding the EMS arrangements. Meanwhile, the Dutch guilder, aided by fairly moderate but persistent intervention by the Netherlands Bank, managed to maintain its position in the upper half of the joint float. Also, the Danish krone and the Irish pound remained stable within the EMS.

During June and July, the Belgian franc came under renewed selling pressure as the market reacted to a progressive lowering of domestic interest rates and to the new government's lack of progress in reducing the fiscal deficit. The central banks met this pressure with forceful intervention, however, and by late July the currency had stabilized within its EMS band. Nevertheless, the market remained concerned about the prospects for EMS countries, individually and collectively. With sentiment toward the dollar becoming increasingly bullish during the summer, the EMS currencies as a group weakened further. By the end of July, the EMS currencies had declined against the dollar by $161 / 4$ percent to $221 / 4$ percent on balance over the six-month period.

## CANADIAN DOLLAR

The Canadian government sought to harness Canada's rich natural resources to generate higher economic growth and to curb the deeply entrenched inflationary pressures. Its plans for achieving these objectives were embodied in proposals submitted late in the year to Parliament for the 1981 budget and for a national energy program. According to the budget, the federal deficit would be substantially reduced over several years with cuts, among other things, in transfers to the provinces in the context of the next federal-provincial review of financial arrangements in 1982. The largest contribution to
cuts in the fiscal deficit, however, came from changes in taxation and subsidies proposed in the energy program. According to the proposed energy program, the federal government would unilaterally establish a single price for crude oil at levels, though higher than before, still well below international levels. Unification of domestic and imported crude oil prices would be achieved through new levies and a gradual elimination of the direct government subsidy on imported oil. Incentives for exploration and development would be provided in amounts varying largely with the degree of Canadian ownership and control of the enterprises concerned. A federal tax on oil and gas revenues, together with the increased levies, would considerably increase federal revenues.

In the exchanges, market participants questioned whether adequate incentives would remain to maintain the momentum of exploration and development and to continue to attract the sizable inflow of investment from abroad that had buoyed the currency over previous years. In addition, the pricing and revenue provisions, together with other elements of the budget, raised complex issues about the relationship between the federal and provincial governments. Late in the year, the Canadian dollar had come under selling pressure in the exchange markets, dropping to its lowest levels since the 1930s. The Bank of Canada had responded forcefully to these selling pressures by intervening heavily to cushion the Canadian dollar's decline and by raising short-term interest rates. As a result, the market had come into better balance and the spot rate had recovered somewhat. It was still trading, however, not far above its recent lows at Can. $\$ 1.1948$ by the end of January. Meanwhile, Canada's foreign currency reserves stood at $\$ 1.4$ billion, and the government's outstanding borrowings under its $\$ 3.0$ billion credit line with foreign banks amounted to $\$ 300$ million. Its $\$ 2.5$ billion credit line with Canadian-chartered banks remained fully available. (The latter credit line was increased to $\$ 3.5$ billion in June 1981.)

By February a more positive attitude developed for the Canadian dollar. Canada's trade position had benefited from earlier shifts in the terms of trade and an improved competitive position. The trade surplus had climbed to an
annual rate of $\$ 10$ billion in the last quarter of 1980, swinging the current account into an uncharacteristic surplus at a time when most industrialized countries were in deep current-account deficit. Also, the Canadian economy was particularly buoyant late in 1980, led by expanding exports. This pickup in activity contrasted with the developing slowdown in much of Europe and Japan.

The unexpected pickup in economic activity and ensuing resurgence in M1 provided the basis for the monetary authorities to put upward pressure on short-term interest rates. In addition, the persistently high level of interest rates in the United States and the potential for interestsensitive outflows to put renewed selling pressure on the Canadian dollar, and thereby to exacerbate the inflationary situation, suggested the desirability of allowing Canadian interest rates to move gradually higher. Thus, Canadian interest rates continued to increase in early March, even as U.S. interest rates subsequently edged lower, so that the usual pattern of interest rate differentials favorable to Canada was reestablished. Also, on February 13, the Bank of Canada, in announcing its monetary growth targets for the new year, cut the 1981 range for M1 expansion 1 percentage point to a range of 4 to 8 percent.

In response to these various factors, the Canadian dollar strengthened in the exchanges by about $11 / 2$ percent to around Can. $\$ 1.1783$ by midMarch. The Bank of Canada, continuing to intervene to moderate short-run fluctuations in the currency, was a net purchaser of dollars in the exchanges, as is reflected in the $\$ 378$ million increase in foreign exchange reserves during February and March.

During the second quarter, however, the outlook for the Canadian dollar became more guarded. Negotiations to resolve disagreements over pricing of oil and gas were dragging on without clear results. Pending resolution of these issues, the principal energy-producing province of Alberta had started to cut back oil production and these cutbacks were leading to a previously unexpected increase in Canada's oil-import bill as well as clouding prospects for the anticipated increase in federal government revenues. Also, in the context of a federal government proposal
to repatriate the Canadian constitution, a number of issues relating to the relationship between the federal and provincial governments were being reviewed by the courts. Meanwhile, a first-quarter slackening of export demand, particularly to the United States, had cut into Canada's trade surplus, and the current account appeared to be returning to deficit. Moreover, domestic inflation had accelerated, spurred partly by increases in energy prices, and the consumer price index was now rising to an annual rate in excess of 12 percent. Also, wage settlements had failed to moderate, a number of industries were being hit by labor strikes, and difficult wage negotiations were approaching. Partly for domestic reasons and partly in response to a renewed rise in U.S. interest rates, the Bank of Canada allowed Canadian rates to move up further. Initially, however, Canadian interest rates did not keep pace with those in the United States so that by mid-April the previously favorable interest differentials had eroded. Thus, the Canadian dollar eased against the rapidly rising U.S. dollar through the spring. But it continued to move higher against the other currencies, which were weakening more rapidly against the U.S. currency.

Nevertheless, Canada headed back toward its traditional pattern of current-account deficit financed by capital inflows. Canadian entities had significantly stepped up their borrowing activities in the United States. With the Canadian dollar still close to its historic lows against the U.S. dollar and the monetary authorities having demonstrated determination to defend the rate, many borrowers took advantage of the relatively firm U.S. currency to borrow abroad and convert the proceeds to finance domestic needs. At the same time, however, Canadian residents sought to make direct and portfolio investments abroad, both in the energy sector to take advantage of more rapid price increases than permitted at home and in other natural resource industries. Canadian investors were also purchasing foreignowned assets in Canada. In this connection, a few foreign-owned companies in Canada became targets of unsolicited takeover bids, and widely publicized fights for control drew attention to the impact of the new pricing and tax provisions favoring Canadian ownership in the energy sector. As market participants considered the impli-
cations for capital flows and debt-servicing requirements of shifting ownership of the natural resource industries to Canadian ownership, the Canadian dollar became increasingly vulnerable in the exchanges.

Indeed, in July the Canadian dollar came under extreme downward pressure in a selling wave that was precipitated by a few large commercial orders. Once the decline began, market participants focused their attention on other factors that were also adverse for the Canadian dollar. With the U.S. dollar rising sharply against other currencies at the same time, the Canadian dollar fell further. To steady the market, the Bank of Canada bought Canadian dollars heavily in the market. It financed its intervention in part by drawing $\$ 700$ million under its $\$ 3.0$ billion facility with foreign banks, leaving its $\$ 3.5$ billion stand-
by facility with the Canadian chartered banks fully in place. Also, to support the exchange rate, the Bank of Canada moved to push interest rates sharply higher, and by the close of the period the rate on three-month Treasury bills had climbed to slightly over 20 percent, the highest in years. On July 29, the Ministry of Finance announced that it had obtained agreement from the major Canadian banks to curb loans to finance takeovers of foreign companies. This action helped bring the Canadian dollar market into better balance after the period under review. But in the interim the Canadian dollar dropped lower to Can. $\$ 1.2344$, registering a decline of $31 / 4$ percent for the six months between the end of January and the end of July. Also, at the end of July, Canadian reserves stood at $\$ 748$ million, down $\$ 600$ million on balance.

## Industrial Production

## Released for publication September 16

Industrial production declined an estimated 0.4 percent in August, after a rise of 0.3 percent in July. Most of the decline was due to a reduction in the output of autos, trucks, and parts. Decreases also occurred in the output of home goods, construction supplies, and materials. In contrast, production of equipment continued to advance. At 152.8 percent of the 1967 average, the index for August was 7.5 percent higher than that of a year earlier.
In market groupings, production of consumer goods declined 1.0 percent in August, reflecting a large reduction in the output of consumer durable goods. Autos were assembled at an annual rate of 6.5 million units-more than 10 percent below the rate in July; production of small trucks for consumer use was reduced even more sharply. In addition, the output of home goods declined 1.8 percent, mainly because of a sharp cutback in production of appliances. Output of equipment-both business and defense-advanced further in August. Increases in commercial equipment and in building and mining equipment more than offset a decrease in the output of transit equipment.

Output of materials declined $1 / 2$ percent, reflecting a reduction in output of metals such as steel and of parts for consumer durable goods. A


Federal Reserve indexes, seasonally adjusted. Latest figures: August. Auto sales and stocks include imports.

Major market groupings

| Grouping | $1967=100$ |  | Percentage change from preceding month |  |  |  |  | Percentage change, <br> Aug. 1980 to Aug. 1981 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1981 |  | 1981 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | July ${ }^{\text {p }}$ | Aug. ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug. |  |
| Total industrial production. | 153.4 | 152.8 | -. 1 | . 5 | . 1 | . 3 | -. 4 | 7.5 |
| Products, total ............ | 152.4 | 151.9 | . 4 | . 7 | -. 1 | . 1 | -. 3 | 5.1 |
| Final products . . . . . . . . | 151.6 | 151.1 | . 6 | . 9 | . 1 | . 1 | $-.3$ | 5.4 |
| Consumer goods..... | 150.0 | 148.5 | . 4 | 1.2 | -. 2 | -. 3 | -1.0 | 3.9 |
| Durable. | 146.8 | 141.4 | . 5 | 2.1 | . 5 | -. 8 | -3.7 | 10.2 |
| Nondurable | 151.2 | 151.4 | . 4 | . 9 | -. 5 | $-.1$ | . 1 | 1.8 |
| Business equipment... | 184.5 | 185.4 | . 9 | . 6 | . 8 | . 5 | . 5 | 8.4 |
| Defense and space.... | 102.4 | 102.9 | . 8 | . 5 | -. 3 | .7 | . 5 | 5.3 |
| Intermediate products... | 155.3 | 155.1 | -. 5 | -. 1 | -. 7 | . 2 | -. 1 | 4.2 |
| Construction supplies . | 143.2 | 142.9 | -.7 | $-.9$ | -2.0 | $-.3$ | $-.2$ | 6.6 |
| Materials . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 155.0 | 154.3 | $-1.0$ | . 3 | . 4 | . 6 | -. 5 | 11.2 |

[^16]Major industry groupings

| Grouping | $1967=100$ |  | Percentage change from preceding month |  |  |  |  | Percentage change, Aug. 1980 to Aug. 1981 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1981 |  | 1981 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | July ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | Aug. ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug. |  |
| Manufacturing. | 153.0 | 152.5 | . 3 | . 5 | $-2$ | . 3 | -. 3 | 8.0 |
| Durable..... | 143.5 | 142.4 | . 3 | . 7 | -. 3 | . 3 | -. 8 | 9.6 |
| Nondurable | 166.9 | 167.0 | . 4 | . 3 | -. 2 | . 5 | . 1 | 6.0 |
| Mining. . . . . . | 145.6 | 145.2 | -5.6 | . 1 | 4.1 | 3.3 | -. 3 | 12.0 |
| Utilities.... | 171.3 | 170.3 | -. 1 | 1.8 | 1.1 | -. 7 | $-.6$ | -1.3 |

p Preliminary. e Estimated. Note. Indexes are seasonally adjusted.
large decline occurred in energy materials due to a decrease in the output of coal, after its sharp poststrike rebound, and to some reduction in the generation and use of electricity.

In industry groupings, output of manufacturing industries was reduced 0.3 percent, with a decline of 0.8 percent in durable goods manufacturing and a slight increase in nondurable goods. Declines also occurred in the output of mining industries and utilities.

The industrial production index has been revised from January 1980 to date in order to include more recently available data and new seasonal factors. A complete listing of the individual components, their sources, weights, and SIC codes is available from the Business Conditions Section, Division of Research and Statis-
tics, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551.

The revised monthly data for the total index (seasonally adjusted, $1967=100$ ) follow:

| Month | Revised | Old | Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1980 |  |  |  |
| January. . | 153.0 | 152.7 | . 3 |
| February | 152.8 | 152.6 | . 2 |
| March. | 152.1 | 152.1 | . 0 |
| April | 148.2 | 148.3 | $-.1$ |
| May. | 143.8 | 144.0 | $-.2$ |
| June | 141.4 | 141.5 | $-.1$ |
| July . | 140.3 | 140.4 | -. 1 |
| August | 142.2 | 141.8 | . 4 |
| September | 144.4 | 144.1 | . 3 |
| October | 146.6 | 146.9 | -. 3 |
| November | 149.2 | 149.4 | -. 2 |
| December | 150.4 | 151.0 | -. 6 |
| 1981 |  |  |  |
| January... | 151.4 | 151.7 | --. 3 |
| February | 151.8 | 151.5 | . 3 |
| March. | 152.1 | 152.2 | -. 1 |
| April . | 151.9 | 152.2 | -. 3 |
| May. | 152.7 | 153.0 | -. 3 |
| June | 152.9 | 152.9 | . 0 |
| July . . . . . | 153.4 | 153.4 | . 0 |

## Announcements

## New Discount Rate

The Federal Reserve Board on August 20, 1981, established a new borrowing rate for extended credit to banks and thrift institutions that are under sustained liquidity pressures.
The new discount rate will be the basic rate of 14 percent for the first 60 days of borrowing, 15 percent for the next 90 days, and 16 percent thereafter. The basic discount rate of 14 percent and the 4 percent surcharge that applies to large, frequent borrowers of short-term adjustment credit were not affected by this action.

The Board acted at this time in view of several applications received in recent weeks for borrowing under the extended credit program. This program was established and described in the revision of Regulation A governing extensions of credit by the Federal Reserve Banks following the passage of the Monetary Control Act of 1980.

The program is available to commercial banks and thrift institutions alike, including member institutions of the Federal Home Loan Bank System. In this latter connection, in an exchange of letters between Chairman Pratt of the Federal Home Loan Bank Board and Chairman Volcker of the Federal Reserve Board, Chairman Pratt indicated that "it is now desirable and prudent for the Federal Home Loan Bank System to encourage the Federal Reserve to supplement its own efforts in funding members' liquidity needs."

In his response, Chairman Volcker said: "we greatly appreciate your cooperation, and that of your staff, in developing practical approaches to our provision of extended credit to members of the Federal Home Loan Bank System."

The Federal Reserve's extended credit program is designed to help commercial banks, savings and loan associations, savings banks, and credit unions adjust to sustained liquidity pressures. The Federal Reserve noted that deposit growth in the thrift industry has continued
over this year and the industry in general has sustained a high level of liquidity despite pressure on the earnings of individual institutions.

In taking the action, the Board acted on requests from the boards of directors of the Federal Reserve Banks of New York, Philadelphia, and Dallas. The discount rate is the interest rate that is charged for borrowings from the District Federal Reserve Banks. The Board also adjusted the rate for "extended credit for special circumstances" to conform with the rate structure for "other extended credit."
Subsequently, the Board approved similar requests from the Reserve Banks of Richmond, Atlanta, Minneapolis, and San Francisco, effective August 21; of Cleveland and St. Louis, effective August 25; of Chicago and Kansas City, effective August 27; and of Boston, effective September 3.

## ADMINISTRATIVE GUIDANCE for Implementing Regulation A

Following is the text of a letter sent September 2 from Chairman Volcker to Chairman St Germain of the House Committee on Banking, Finance and Urban Affairs regarding use by the thrift industry of the discount window:

Your letter of September 1 asks about the present status of thrift industry use of the discount window. As you know, consistent with the Monetary Control Act of 1980, the Federal Reserve System extends credit at the discount window on the same terms and conditions to banks and other depository institutions offering transactions accounts or nonpersonal time deposits. Credit is available for traditional short-term adjustment purposes and, as circumstances warrant, to meet longer-term needs in the interest of assuring the sound functioning of depository institutions at time of strains on liquidity. These programs were set forth in the revision of the Federal Reserve Board's Regulation A, published in September 1980.

As I previously noted, almost 500 thrifts as a matter of contingency planning had filed, or were in the
process of filing, the general agreement needed before credit can be provided under any Federal Reserve lending program. This number has now grown to about a thousand. Such agreements are a normal part of the System's relationship with eligible depository institutions, whether or not they actually borrow, and virtually all member banks have long had them in place.
In the light of several requests for extended credit by thrifts, the Federal Reserve recently announced discount rates applicable to extended credit for depository institutions facing sustained liquidity pressures. Applications for such credit thus far have been fairly limited, but a more sizable number of thrifts have indicated they plan to apply. Many have specifically asked about the conditions under which they would be eligible to borrow under the extended credit program.

In all its lending programs, the Federal Reserve acts essentially as lender of "last resort"-that is, borrowing institutions are expected to borrow from the Federal Reserve for liquidity purposes only when other sources of funds are not reasonably available. This long-established principle grows, among other considerations, out of need to reconcile Federal Reserve lending policies with the basic requirement that the growth of Federal Reserve credit-of which Federal Reserve loans are one component-be restrained to amounts appropriate to meet objectives with respect to monetary and credit expansion.

Accordingly, to be eligible for discount window credit at the Federal Reserve, a depository institution must show that it has made reasonable efforts, under prevailing market circumstances, to maintain fund flows from usual sources, including special industry lenders. Institutions that, despite such efforts, are experiencing sustained liquidity pressures may obtain advances under the extended credit program. The discount rate applicable to such credit at present is 14 percent for the first 60 days, 15 percent for the next 90 days, and 16 percent thereafter. Advances may be outstanding for up to 9 to 12 months, and if necessary, credit may be extended beyond that period. However, as borrowing is more extended, more rigorous or definite measures to assure ultimate repayment of the loan would be required. The credit will be fully collateralized, with collateral valued at 90 percent of its estimated market price.

The amount of funds available to an individual institution will, of course, depend on an assessment of its need, in consultation with the institution's primary supervisor or special industry lender, as appropriate. If an institution is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank System, it is expected that its local Home Loan Bank will maintain its outstanding credit to the institution and will ordinarily also provide a portion of the new borrowing need. Other borrowing institutions are expected, as may be reasonable under existing market circumstances, to show evidence of a continuing effort to maintain inflows from deposits and other market sources, and as appropriate to draw on existing bank lines. Moreover, while obtaining extended credit at the discount window, borrowers are expected to
draw upon internal liquidity (including federal funds sold) to the extent such liquidity is in excess of their minimum operating needs.

Borrowing under the extended credit program may also involve a number of other asset adjustments by the borrower. Sales of longer-term assets (such as government and government-related securities, corporate bonds, or mortgages) will be encouraged when they can be accomplished without unreasonable loss. Outstanding loan commitments may be accommodated, and some minimal new lending might be needed for an institution to remain viable in serving its immediate community and existing depositors or to meet requirements associated with issuance of "all-savers" certificates. Maintaining a presence in the market in "mortgage banking"-that is, originating and promptly placing loans with other investors-would also be consistent with the program. However, borrowing institutions would not be expected to undertake loan expansion programs beyond this framework.

When the sustained liquidity pressures that caused the institutions to borrow from the Federal Reserve abate, the institution is expected promptly to begin reducing its indebtedness to the Federal Reserve. To insure full understanding and effective administration of the program, each borrower is expected to work out a written plan with the Federal Reserve Bank that details how it expects to strengthen its financial position and encourage a reflow of funds from other sources. The Federal Reserve will, in the process, consult closely with the borrower's applicable supervisory agency.

The administrative guidance provided Federal Reserve Banks as a framework for appraising individual applications under the extended credit program is as follows. It should be understood, of course, that discretion and judgment must necessarily be exercised by the lending officers familiar with the circumstances of the particular borrower.

## Administrative Guidance

Eligibility. To be eligible for extended Federal Reserve credit, an institution will have to show that it is experiencing sustained liquidity pressures despite reasonable efforts, under prevailing market circumstances, to maintain fund flows from usual sources, including special industry lenders.

Operating plan. To insure effective administration of the program, each borrower as a condition of the loan is expected to work out a written plan with the Federal Reserve Bank, spelling out a specific method for strengthening its financial position and for encouraging a reflow of funds from private sources within a reasonable period of time. In developing this plan, the Federal Reserve will consult closely with, and expect concurrence from, the borrower's applicable supervisory agencies. Among other things, the plan will include a financial forecast that identifies the institu-
tion's expected operating plans, its projected funding needs, the economic assumptions on which these projections are based, and the specific steps the institution intends to take to improve its liquidity position and repay its borrowing. If the urgency of a borrower's need for funds provides insufficient time for working out the full details of the borrowing plan, Federal Reserve credit will at the outset be adyanced on a day-to-day basis until the plan for extended borrowing can be completed.

Loan amount. Limits on loan amounts should not be set arbitrarily, given the need for flexibility in accommodating the specific needs of individual institutions. However, in cases when borrowings from the Federal Reserve are relatively heavy, monitoring of loan performance, in concert with the borrower's primary supervisor and insurer, will have to be especially rigorous.

Loan duration. In general, advances under the extended credit program could be expected to be renewed from time to time, but it is anticipated that these advances ordinarily would not be renewed beyond nine to twelve months. However, if a borrower's need justifiably continues beyond a year, the credit may be extended. As a matter of principle, the more protracted the borrowing, the firmer the measures the borrower will be required to take to insure ultimate repayment of the loan. Loan contracts will be drafted in the form of demand obligations, with repayments consistent with these policy guidelines.

Use of other sources of funds. Institutions borrowing under this program will be expected to evidence a continuing effort to maintain deposit inflows. Further, to the extent that borrowing needs result from erosion in other than consumer deposits, borrowers will be expected to evidence a continuing effort to refund discount window credit in these nonconsumer deposit markets where reasonable terms can be obtained. These other markets include, but are not limited to, commercial bank backup lines, repurchase agreements, mortgage warehousing, and large-denomination certificates of deposit.

Reliance on internal liquidity. While using the discount window for this purpose, borrowers will be expected to trim their holdings of cash equivalents (including federal funds sold) to the minimum levels consistent with their operating needs. Also, to the extent practicable, they will be expected to apply temporary excess cash balances to the reduction of discount window loans.

Sales of assets. To minimize drawings of other extended credit, borrowers will be encouraged to cover as much of their funding needs as feasible through the sale of assets (such as government and federal agency securities, GNMA pass-through certificates, corporate securities, and mortgages) when such sales can be accomplished without experiencing unreasonable market losses.

Limits on investment growth. Users of the window under this program will generally be expected to eschew any increase in security investments while borrowing. In addition, cash reflow from maturing obligations should be used, to the extent feasible, to reduce reliance on the discount window.

Limits on expansion of loan portfolio. While obtaining discount window credit under this program, institutions will be permitted to accommodate outstanding loan commitments. While some minimal new lending might be needed for the institution to remain viable in serving the immediate community and existing depositors or to meet requirements associated with issuance of "all-savers"' certificates, the institution will not be permitted to engage in an expansion program. Renewed forward commitments may be permitted in the context of a prearranged plan when operating improvements indicate clearly that an institution can repay its debt to the Federal Reserve in the relatively near term.

Collateral procedures. Loan collateral should be held either under a third-party custody arrangement or, if some Reserve Banks believe this to be necessary, at the Reserve Bank itself. Collateral should be valued at 90 percent of its estimated market price and revalued frequently, perhaps monthly.

## REGULATION J: AMENDMENT

The Federal Reserve Board amended its Regulation J (Collection of Checks and Other Items and Transfer of Funds), effective August 13, 1981, to conform the regulation to legislation making Federal Reserve check collection services available to all depository institutions.

The revision of the regulation deals with expansion of access to Federal Reserve check collection services in accordance with the Monetary Control Act of 1980. Expanded access to these services became available to all depository institutions when the Federal Reserve began pricing its services on August 1, 1981.

## System Membership:

Admission of State Bank
The following bank was admitted to membership in the Federal Reserve System during the period August 11 through September 10, 1981:

## Virginia

Hayes
First Settlers Bank

# Record of Policy Actions of the Federal Open Market Committee 

## Meeting Held on July 6-7, 1981

## 1. Domestic Policy Directive

The information reviewed at this meeting suggested that real gross national product changed little in the second quarter, following expansion in the first quarter at an annual rate of 8.6 percent. Average prices, as measured by the fixed-weight price index for gross domestic business product, rose less rapidly than in the first quarter.

The dollar value of retail sales was virtually unchanged in May after having declined appreciably in April. Unit sales of new automobiles remained weak in June; sales in the second quarter as a whole were about one-fifth below the first-quarter rate.

The index of industrial production rose 0.3 percent in May, following an increase of only 0.1 percent in April. A further increase in automobile assemblies in May, to an annual rate nearly 2 million units above the recent pace of sales of domestic models, accounted for more than half of the increase in the total index. Production of business equipment and space and defense products continued to expand, while output of construction supplies fell.

Nonfarm payroll employment, adjusted for changes in the number of workers on strike, continued to advance in April and May but declined appreciably in June; employment fell substantially further in construction and state and local government in June, and it also declined in retail trade. In manufacturing, employment was about unchanged, while the average factory
workweek edged down to 40.1 hours. The unemployment rate was 7.3 percent, lower than in May but unchanged from earlier months of the year.

The Department of Commerce survey of business spending plans taken in May suggested that currentdollar expenditures for plant and equipment would rise about $81 / 2$ percent in 1981, compared with $101 / 4$ percent reported in the February survey and an actual expansion of about $91 / 4$ percent in 1980 . The latest survey results implied little growth in nominal expenditures over the remainder of the year, given the relatively large increase in outlays in the first quarter.

Private housing starts fell 14 percent in May to an annual rate of 1.15 million units, 25 percent below the average pace in the fourth quarter of 1980. Combined sales of new and existing homes in May continued at about the reduced rate of recent months.

Producer prices of finished goods increased 0.6 percent in June, about the same as the April-May average. Over the second quarter producer prices rose at an annual rate of about 7 percent, considerably below the average rate of 12 percent in the first quarter. Prices of consumer foods continued to change little on balance during the quarter; and energy prices, which had surged in the first quarter following decontrol of oil prices, rose at an annual rate of only $51 / 4$ percent. Price increases for other finished goods on the average were somewhat higher in the second quarter than in the first. The rise in the consumer price index slowed in

April to an annual rate of 5 percent; but it accelerated in May to a rate of 8 percent, reflecting primarily a sharp rise in the homeownership component of the index. Over the two-month period, food prices declined slightly on balance, and the rate of increase in prices of energy items slowed substantially. Over the first six months of 1981, the rise in the index of average hourly earnings of private nonfarm production workers was slightly less rapid than it was during 1980.

In foreign exchange markets the trade-weighted value of the dollar against major foreign currencies continued to rise through May and early June and then leveled off. On the average in June, the value of the dollar was about 25 percent above its year-earlier level. The U.S. trade deficit in the April-May period was somewhat above the average in the first quarter. The value of exports was down marginally, but the value of imports was considerably higher.

At its meeting on May 18 , the Committee had decided that open market operations in the period until this meeting should be directed toward behavior of reserve aggregates associated with growth of M-1B from April to June at an annual rate of 3 percent or lower, after allowance for the impact of flows into NOW accounts, and growth in M-2 at an annual rate of about 6 percent. A shortfall in growth of M-1B from the two-month rate of 3 percent would be acceptable, in light of the rapid growth in April and the objective adopted by the Committee at its meeting on March 31 for growth from March to June at an annual rate of $51 / 2$ percent or somewhat less. If it appeared to the Manager for Domestic Operations that pursuit of the monetary objectives and related reserve paths during the period before the next meeting was likely to be associated with a federal funds rate persistently outside a range of 16 to 22 percent, the Chairman might call for Committee consultation.

During the intermeeting period, incoming data indicated a progressive weakening of M-1B. In accordance with the Committee's decision on May 18, reserves provided through open market operations were constrained to accommodate the weakness up to a point, but subsequently they were more ample. Reserves borrowed from the discount window remained around $\$ 21 / 4$ billion through most of June and then declined to around $\$ 13 / 4$ billion toward the end of the intermeeting period. Federal funds generally traded in a range of $181 / 2$ to $191 / 2$ percent throughout the intermeeting period. However, most other short-term market interest rates declined $3 / 4$ to $13 / 4$ percentage points, on balance.

M-1B, adjusted for the estimated effects of shifts into NOW accounts, declined at annual rates of about 5 percent and $101 / 2$ percent in May and June respectively, following expansion at an annual rate of close to 17 percent in April. From the fourth quarter of 1980 to the second quarter of 1981 , shift-adjusted M-1B grew at an annual rate of about $21 / 4$ percent, below the lower end of the Committee's range for growth in that aggregate for the year ending in the fourth quarter of 1981. Growth in M-2 slowed to an annual rate of about $43 / 4$ percent on average in May and June, reflecting not only the contraction in $\mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{~B}$, but also a moderation in growth of money market mutual funds. The recent slowing brought M-2 to a level in the second quarter that was only slightly above the upper end of the growth path consistent with its range for the year from the fourth quarter of 1980 to the fourth quarter of 1981.

Total credit outstanding at U.S. commercial banks expanded at an annual rate of $113 / 4$ percent in May, but the rate slowed to about 5 percent in June. Heavy acquisitions of U.S. government securities characterized both months. Growth in total loans accelerated in May and then slowed in June, but business loans
picked up in May from the sluggish pace of earlier months and accelerated further in June. Net issues of commercial paper by nonfinancial corporations grew at exceptionally rapid rates in May and June, following a decline in April.

Yields on most long-term securities trended downward through much of the intermeeting period but moved up in the final days to levels little changed from those at the time of the May meeting. Over the interval, the prime rate charged by commercial banks on short-term business loans moved in a range of $191 / 2$ to $20 \frac{1}{2}$ percent; at the end of the period the rate was 20 percent at most banks. In home mortgage markets, average rates on new commitments for fixed-rate loans at savings and loan associations remained close to the level of $16^{3 / 4}$ percent prevailing since mid-May.
The staff projections presented at this meeting suggested that growth in real GNP would probably be sluggish over the second half of 1981 and into the first half of 1982. That development might well be accompanied by an upward drift in the unemployment rate but also by some progress in reducing inflation. The rise in the fixed-weight price index for gross domestic business product was projected to change little during the rest of this year from the reduced pace of the second quarter and to decline somewhat further in the first half of next year.

A substantial number of members believed that growth in real GNP would prove to be stronger than projected by the staff, although in some cases anticipated strength was concentrated in 1982. Other members thought that economic activity was likely to be weaker than projected by the staff; they anticipated a decline in real GNP over the balance of 1981 followed by relatively sluggish recovery in 1982. While expecting the rate of inflation to remain high by historical standards, nearly all members anticipated some improvement.

A number questioned whether progress thus far represented more than a temporary respite; and they felt that significant and sustained progress in reducing the underlying rate of inflation would take time and might not be consistent with an early and strong rebound in economic activity. Others were more optimistic, suggesting that significant improvement in the behavior of prices would help to set the stage for sizable growth in 1982.

A number of members commented that realization of forecasts of sustained growth in real GNP over the next year or more, even at a slow pace, depended upon declines in interest rates. In their opinion, an extended period with interest rates at or near the high levels currently prevailing would more likely induce both a decline in economic activity and a spreading of financial strains. A few members described monetary policy, and its objective of restrained growth in monetary aggregates, as a "governor" on the economy that retarded expansion in economic activity as long as inflation and inflationary expectations remained high but tended to prevent any contraction in activity from cumulating. In this framework, a pickup in demands for goods and services while inflation remained high would lead to rising interest rates and increasing restraint on expenditures, and any easing in demands for goods and services would tend to lower interest rates and lessen restraint on expenditures. It was also suggested that long-term interest rates might be on the verge of easing, in response to the improvement in the outlook for prices that appeared to be developing, which would permit stronger expansion in economic activity next year than generally projected. On the other hand, some skepticism was expressed about the chances of emerging from the current environment of rapid inflation and high interest rates gradually, and without considerable
stress in the financial structure and risks for economic activity during the transition to lower rates of inflation.

At its meeting on February 2-3, 1981, the Committee had adopted the following ranges for growth of the monetary aggregates over the period from the fourth quarter of 1980 to the fourth quarter of 1981: $\mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{~A}$ and $\mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{~B}, 3$ to $51 / 2$ percent and $31 / 2$ to 6 percent respectively, after adjustment for the estimated effects of flows into NOW accounts; M-2, 6 to 9 percent; and M-3, $61 / 2$ to $91 / 2$ percent. The associated range for growth of commercial bank credit was 6 to 9 percent. In establishing the ranges then, the Committee had agreed that monetary growth should slow in 1981 in line with the continuing objective of contributing to a reduction in the rate of inflation and providing the basis for restoration of economic stability and sustainable growth in output of goods and services.

At this meeting, in accordance with the Full Employment and Balanced Growth Act of 1978 (the Hum-phrey-Hawkins Act), the Committee reviewed its ranges for growth of the monetary and credit aggregates for the period from the fourth quarter of 1980 to the fourth quarter of 1981 and gave preliminary consideration to objectives for monetary growth that might be appropriate for $1982 .{ }^{1}$ In doing so, the members recognized the likelihood of continued divergence in the growth of the different aggregates, partly reflecting institutional change, and the considerable uncertainty about how such institutional change might affect monetary growth in the future. As noted earlier, expansion of shift-adjusted M-1B from the fourth quarter of 1980 to the second quarter of 1981 was relatively low in relation to the path implied by the Committee's range for the

[^17]year. However, growth of M-2 and M-3 so far in 1981 has been at or above the Committee's ranges.

The shortfall in growth of shiftadjusted $\mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{~B}$ in the first half of the year followed relatively rapid growth in the latter part of 1980; and it was accompanied by an unusually rapid rise in the income velocity of money, as nominal GNP expanded strongly. In partial explanation, extraordinarily high interest rates in combination with the introduction of NOW accounts on a nationwide basis apparently provided a greater stimulus to intensive management of cash balances than that normally associated with an increase in interest rates. In the period ahead, M-1B might behave somewhat differently from earlier measures of transaction balances, because of the sizable volume of deposits earning interest and because of the greater weight of household balances in the total. The behavior of M-2 was likely to be affected to some extent by two recent decisions of the Depository Institutions Deregulation Committee (DIDC), effective August 1: one removed rate caps on the $21 / 2$-year small saver certificate, enabling the rate to fluctuate with the yield on $21 / 2$-year Treasury securities at all levels; and the other eliminated ceilings altogether on small time deposits with initial maturities of four years or more. The rapid growth of money market funds appeared to influence the growth of both M-1 and M-2, in opposite directions, but the magnitude of the effects was difficult to judge.
In the Committee's discussion of the longer-run ranges, the members were in agreement on the need to maintain a policy of restraint. However, continuation of the increase in velocity of $\mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{~B}$ at the rate of the first half seemed unlikely, and thus the public's demand for narrowly defined money would probably pick up in the second half. Moreover, a significantly more rapid increase in narrowly defined money would be
necessary to reach the Committee's objective for the year. At the same time, it was observed that the present situation provided a critical opportunity to sustain the signs of progress in reducing the rate of inflation, an opportunity that could be lost if monetary growth in the months ahead became too rapid. Even if rapid monetary expansion should lower interest rates, which was debatable, such effects would likely be temporary, and latent demands for goods and services would be released at the potential cost of a still more difficult period of high interest rates and financial strains later. The point was made that lasting declines in nominal interest rates and a solid base for sustained growth would depend on convincing progress in reducing inflation.

In light of all the circumstances, the Committee agreed to retain the previously established ranges for the monetary aggregates for 1981. In the course of the discussion, some sentiment was expressed for a reduction of $1 / 2$ percentage point in the range for $\mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{~B}$, which would indicate that the System did not intend to seek very rapid monetary growth in the second half of the year. However, a small adjustment of that sort, though partly justified by institutional change, was considered on balance potentially more confusing than useful. Instead, in light of its desire to maintain moderate growth in money over the balance of the year, the Committee wished to affirm that growth in M-1B near the lower end of its range would be acceptable and desirable. At the same time, the Committee recognized that growth in the broader monetary aggregates might be high in their ranges.

The Committee reaffirmed the ranges for growth in the aggregates for the period from the fourth quarter of 1980 to the fourth quarter of 1981 that it had adopted at its meeting in early February 1981. These ranges, abstracting from the impact of NOW accounts on a nationwide basis, were 3 to $51 / 2$ percent for M-1A, $31 / 2$ to 6 percent for M-1B, 6 to 9 percent
for M-2, and $61 / 2$ to $91 / 2$ percent for M-3. The associated range for bank credit was 6 to 9 percent. The Committee recognized that a shortfall in M-1B growth in the first half of the year partly reflected a shift in public preferences toward other highly liquid assets and that growth in the broader aggregates had been running somewhat above the upper end of the ranges. In light of its desire to maintain moderate growth in money over the balance of the year, the Committee expected that growth in M-1B for the year would be near the lower end of its range. At the same time, growth in the broader monetary aggregates might be high in their ranges.

Votes for this action: Messrs. Volcker, Solomon, Boehne, Boykin, Corrigan, Gramley, Keehn, Partee, Rice, Schultz, Mrs. Teeters, and Mr. Wallich. Votes against this action: None.

With respect to 1982 , the Committee favored some reduction in the objectives for monetary growth in keeping with the long-standing goal of moving gradually toward rates of monetary expansion consistent with general price stability. Looking toward completion of the major shift into NOW accounts, the Committee decided to establish a range for a single M-1 aggregate having the same coverage as the present M-1B. Moreover, on the assumption that shifts into NOW accounts from nontransaction balances would no longer be significant, calculation of rates of growth for M-1 after adjustment for such shifts would not be necessary. The Committee also decided to widen the range for the narrow monetary aggregate to 3 percentage points, from $21 / 2$ points, reflecting the greater uncertainty at this time in judging the relationship of this aggregate to economic and financial developments resulting from the recent change in its composition; because of the possibility of some residual shifting into NOW accounts, the upper end of the range was reduced by less than the lower end.

Thus, the Committee tentatively agreed that for the period from the fourth quarter of 1981 to the fourth
quarter of 1982 growth of M-1, M-2, and M-3 within ranges of $21 / 2$ to $51 / 2$ percent, 6 to 9 percent, and $61 / 2$ to $91 / 2$ percent would be appropriate. The upper and lower ends of the range for M-1 were reduced $1 / 2$ percentage point and 1 percentage point respectively from the 1981 range for M-1B. The ranges for the broader aggregates were unchanged from those for 1981. However, given the expectation that growth of these aggregates in 1981 would be around the upper end of the ranges and looking toward results in 1982 more toward the middle of the ranges, the new ranges were fully consistent with year-toyear reductions in growth.

The Committee tentatively agreed that for the period from the fourth quarter of 1981 to the fourth quarter of 1982 growth of M-1, M-2, and M-3 within ranges of $21 / 2$ to $51 / 2$ percent, 6 to 9 percent, and $61 / 2$ to $91 / 2$ percent would be appropriate.

Votes for this action: Messrs. Volcker, Solomon, Boehne, Boykin, Corrigan, Gramley, Keehn, Partee, Rice, Schultz, and Wallich. Vote against this action: Mrs. Teeters.

Mrs. Teeters dissented from this action because she believed that, in light of all the uncertainties in the economic situation, it was premature to adopt objectives calling for reduced monetary growth in 1982. She preferred to specify the same ranges for 1982 as for 1981, pending the Committee's reconsideration of monetary objectives for 1982 at its meeting next February.

In the Committee's discussion of policy for the short run, the members in general agreed that operations in the period before the next meeting should be directed toward growth of monetary aggregates over the third quarter at rates that would promote achievement of the monetary objectives for the year as a whole. Thus, they wished to foster growth of $\mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{~B}$ over the third quarter at a rate high enough to permit growth of this monetary aggregate toward the lower end of its range for the year. At the same time, howev-
er, they wished to avoid generating an excessively rapid rebound in growth of $\mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{~B}$, both because the pace would need to be sharply reduced later and because such a rebound might tend to raise growth of M-2 above the upper end of its range for the year. With respect to the intermeeting range for the federal funds rate that provided a mechanism for initiating further consultation of the Committee, proposals typically were from 15 or 16 percent to 21 or 22 percent.

Specifically, the members agreed to seek behavior of reserve aggregates associated with growth of M-1B from June to September at an annual rate of 7 percent, after allowance for flows into NOW accounts, provided that growth of M-2 remained around the upper end of its range for the year or tended to move down within the range. Given the declines in May and June, growth of $\mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{~B}$ at the rate specified for the period from June to September would result in growth at an annual rate of about 2 percent from the average in the second quarter to the average in the third quarter. The members recognized that shifts into NOW accounts would continue to distort measured growth in $\mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{~B}$ to an unpredictable extent and that operational paths would have to be developed in the light of evaluation of those distortions. The Chairman might call for Committee consultation if it appeared to the Manager for Domestic Operations that pursuit of the monetary objectives and related reserve paths during the period before the next meeting was likely to be associated with a federal funds rate persistently outside a range of 15 to 21 percent.

The following domestic policy directive was issued to the Federal Reserve Bank of New York:

The information reviewed at this meeting suggests that real GNP changed little in the second quarter, following the substantial expansion in the first quarter; prices on the average rose less rapidly
than in the first quarter. The dollar value of total retail sales was virtually unchanged in May after having declined appreciably in April, and sales of new cars remained weak in June. Industrial production rose slightly on the average in April and May, while nonfarm payroll employment continued to advance, after adjustment for strikes. In June strikeadjusted nonfarm employment declined appreciably; the unemployment rate was 7.3 percent, somewhat lower than in May but unchanged from earlier months of 1981. In May housing starts declined sharply. Over the first six months of 1981, the rise in the index of average hourly earnings was slightly less rapid than during 1980.

The weighted average value of the dollar against major foreign currencies continued to rise through May and early June and then leveled off. In April-May the U.S. foreign trade deficit was somewhat above the first-quarter rate.

M-1B, adjusted for the estimated effects of shifts into NOW accounts, declined substantially in May and June following the sharp expansion in April, and growth in M-2 slowed. The level of adjusted M-1B in the second quarter on the average was below the lower end of the Committee's range for growth over the year from the fourth quarter of 1980 to the fourth quarter of 1981; the level of M-2 in the second quarter was slightly above the upper end of its range for the year. Since mid-May, on balance, shortterm market interest rates have declined somewhat while long-term yields generally have changed little.

The Federal Open Market Committee seeks to foster monetary and financial conditions that will help to reduce inflation, promote sustained economic growth, and contribute to a sustainable pattern of international transactions. At its meeting in early February, the Committee agreed that these objectives would be furthered by growth of M-IA, $\mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{M}-2$, and $\mathrm{M}-3$ from the fourth quarter of 1980 to the fourth quarter of 1981 within ranges of 3 to $5 \frac{1}{2}$ percent, $31 / 2$ to 6 percent, 6 to 9 percent, and $61 / 2$ to $91 / 2$ percent respectively, abstracting from the impact of introduction of NOW accounts on a nationwide basis. The Committee recognized that the shortfall in M-1B growth in the first half of the year partly reflected a shift in public preferences toward other highly liquid assets and that growth in the broader aggregates has been running somewhat above the upper ends of the ranges. The Committee reaffirmed its ranges for 1981, but in light of its desire to maintain moderate growth in money over the balance of the year, the Committee expect-
ed that growth in M-1B for the year would be near the lower end of its range. At the same time, growth in the broader aggregates may be high in their ranges. The associated range for bank credit was 6 to 9 percent. The Committee also tentatively agreed that for the period from the fourth quarter of 1981 to the fourth quarter of 1982 growth of M-1, M-2, and M-3 within ranges of $21 / 2$ to $51 / 2$ percent, 6 to 9 percent, and $61 / 2$ to $91 / 2$ percent would be appropriate. These ranges will be reconsidered as warranted to take account of developing experience with public preferences for NOW and similar accounts as well as changing economic and financial conditions.
In the short run the Committee seeks behavior of reserve aggregates consistent with growth of M-1B from June to September at an annual rate of 7 percent after allowance for the impact of flows into NOW accounts (resulting in growth at an annual rate of about 2 percent from the average in the second quarter to the average in the third quarter), provided that growth of $\mathrm{M}-2$ remains around the upper limit of, or moves within, its range for the year. It is recognized that shifts into NOW accounts will continue to distort measured growth in M-1B to an unpredictable extent, and operational reserve paths will be developed in the light of evaluation of those distortions. The Chairman may call for Committee consultation if it appears to the Manager for Domestic Operations that pursuit of the monetary objectives and related reserve paths during the period before the next meeting is likely to be associated with a federal funds rate persistently outside a range of 15 to 21 percent.

Votes for this action: Messrs. Volcker, Solomon, Boehne, Boykin, Corrigan, Gramley, Keehn, Rice, Schultz, Mrs. Teeters, and Mr. Wallich. Vote against this action: Mr. Partee.

Mr. Partee dissented from this action because, in light of the indications of weakening in economic activity, he preferred to give more emphasis to reducing the risk of a cumulative shortfall in growth of M-1B. Accordingly, he favored specification of a somewhat higher objective for growth of $\mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{~B}$ over the period from June to September, and without the additional weight assigned to the potential for more rapid growth of M-2. In his view, the short-run behavior of M-2 was sub-
ject to great uncertainty because of both the volatile influence of money market mutual funds and the recent DIDC actions authorizing certain deposit instruments to be offered at competitive interest rates beginning August 1.

## 2. Authorization for Domestic Open Market Operations

On August 6, 1981, the Committee voted to increase from $\$ 3$ billion to $\$ 41 / 2$ billion the limit on changes between Committee meetings in System Account holdings of U.S. government and federal agency securities specified in paragraph 1(a) of the authorization for domestic open market operations, effective immediately, for the period ending with the close of business on August 18, 1981.

Votes for this action: Messrs. Volcker, Solomon, Boykin, Corrigan, Gramley, Keehn, Rice, Schultz, Mrs. Teeters, Messrs. Winn, and Black. Votes against this action: None. Absent: Messrs. Boehne and Partee. (Mr. Black voted as alternate for Mr. Bochne.)
This action was taken on the recommendation of the Manager for Domestic Operations. The Manager had advised that since the July meeting, substantial net purchases of securities had been undertaken to counter the effects on member bank reserves of the transfer of funds associated with settlement of Iranian accounts and also the effects of levels of float that were lower than normal. The leeway for further purchases had been reduced to about $\$ 200$ million, and additional purchases in excess of that amount might be required over the rest of the intermeeting interval.

## Legal Developments

## Revision of Regulation C

## Part 203-Home Mortgage Disclosure

The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System is adopting in final form a revised version of Regulation C. Regulation C implements the Home Mortgage Disclosure Act and requires depository institutions with offices in standard metropolitan statistical areas (SMSAs) to disclose data about their home mortgage and home improvement loans each year. Institutions with less than $\$ 10$ million in assets are exempt from coverage.

Effective August 4, 1981, (except that a lobby notice requirement becomes effective on September 30, 1981), pursuant to the authority granted in (12 U.S.C. 2084(a)), the Board hereby revises Regulation C (12 CFR Part 203) to read as follows:

## Part 203-Home Mortgage Disclosure

Section 203.1 Authority, purpose, and scope.
Section 203.2 Definitions.
Section 203.3 Exemptions.
Section 203.4 Compilation of loan data.
Section 203.5 Disclosure and reporting requirements.
Section 203.6 Administrative enforcement and sanctions for violations.
Appendix A Federal enforcement agencies.
Appendix B State exemptions.
Appendix C [Reserved for disclosure form and instructions.]

Section 203.1-Authority, purpose and scope.
(a) Authority. This regulation is issued by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System pursuant to the Home Mortgage Disclosure Act of 1975, as amended (Title 12, §§ 2801 through 2811 of the United States Code).
(b) Purpose. The purpose of this regulation is to provide the public with loan data to determine whether
depository institutions are serving the housing needs of the communities and neighborhoods in which they are located. The purpose is also to assist public officials in distributing public sector investments so as to attract private investment to neighborhoods where it is needed. This regulation is not intended to encourage unsound lending practices or the allocation of credit.
(c) Scope. This regulation applies to depository institutions that make federally related mortgage loans. It requires a covered depository institution to disclose loan data at certain of its offices and to report the data to its supervisory agency.
(d) Central data repositories. The act requires that the loan data be made available at central data repositories located within each standard metropolitan statistical area. It also requires the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council to aggregate mortgage loan data for all institutions in each standard metropolitan statistical area, showing lending patterns by location, age of housing stock, income level, and racial characteristics. A listing of central data repositories can be obtained from the Department of Housing and Urban Development, Washington, D.C. 20410, or from any of the agencies listed in Appendix A.

Section 203.2—Definitions.
For the purposes of this regulation, the following definitions apply:
(a) Act means the Home Mortgage Disclosure Act of 1975 (Title III of Public Law 94-200), as amended in 1980 (Title III of Public Law 96-399), codified in Title 12, $\S \S 2801$ through 2811 of the United States Code.
(b) Branch office means an office approved as a branch of the depository institution by its federal or state supervisory agency, but excludes freestanding automated teller machines and other electronic terminals.
(c) Depository institution means a commercial bank, savings bank, savings and loan association, building and loan association, homestead association (including a cooperative bank), or credit union, that makes
federally related mortgage loans. ${ }^{1}$ A majority-owned non-depository subsidiary is deemed to be part of its parent depository institution for the purposes of this regulation. A majority-owned depository subsidiary may, at the parent depository institution's option, be treated as part of its parent or as a distinct entity.
(d) Federal Housing Authority (FHA), Farmers Home
Adminstration (FmHA), or Veterans Administration
(VA) loans means mortgage loans insured under Title
II of the National Housing Act or under Title V of the
Housing Act of 1949 or guaranteed under Chapter 37
of Title 38 of the United States Code.
(e) Home improvement loan means any loan, including a refinancing, (i) whose proceeds, as stated by the borrower to the lender at the time of the loan application, are to be used for repairing, rehabilitating, or remodeling a residential dwelling located in a state; and (ii) that is recorded on the depository institution's books as a home improvement loan. ${ }^{2}$
(f) Home purchase loan means any loan, including a refinancing, secured by and made for the purpose of purchasing residential real property located in a state (including single-family homes, dwellings for from 2 to 4 families, other multi-family dwellings, and individual units of condominiums or cooperatives). ${ }^{3}$ The term does not include temporary financing (such as a bridge loan or a construction loan) or the purchase of an interest in a pool of mortgage loans (such as mortgage participation certificates issued or guaranteed by the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, the Gov-

[^18]ernment National Mortgage Association, or the Farmers Home Administration).
(g) State means any state of the United States of America, the District of Columbia, and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico.

## Section 203.3-Exemptions.

(a) Asset size and location. A depository institution is exempt from all requirements of this regulation
(1) If its total assets on December 31 are $\$ 10,000,000$ or less; or
(2) If it has neither a home office nor a branch office in a standard metropolitan statistical area (SMSA) as defined by the U.S. Department of Commerce.
(b) State law. A state-chartered depository institution is exempt from the requirements of this regulation if it is subject to state laws that contain, as determined by the Board in accordance with Appendix B, (1) requirements substantially similar to those imposed by this regulation, and (2) adequate provisions for enforcement. For purposes of data aggregation, however, an institution exempted under this paragraph shall submit the data required by the disclosure laws of its state to its state supervisory agency.
(c) Change of status.
(1) An institution that becomes subject to the requirements of this regulation shall compile loan data beginning with the calendar year following the year in which it becomes subject, except that:
(2) An institution that is exempt under § 203.3(b) and that subsequently loses its exemption shall compile loan data in compliance with this regulation beginning with the calendar year following the year for which it last reported loan data under the state disclosure law.

## Section 203.4-Compilation of loan data.

(a) Data to be included. A depository institution shall compile data on the number and total dollar amount ${ }^{4}$ of home purchase and home improvement loans that it originates and purchases, for each calendar year beginning with calendar year 1981.

[^19](b) Format. The loan data shall be compiled separately for originations and purchases, using the form set forth in Appendix C, and shall be itemized as follows:
(1) Geographic itemization. The loan data shall be itemized by standard metropolitan statistical area (SMSA). Within each SMSA, the data shall be further itemized by the census track in which the property to be purchased or improved is located, except that
(i) If the property is located in a county with a population ${ }^{5}$ of 30,000 or less or in an area that has not been assigned census tracts, itemization by county shall be used instead of itemization by census tract.
(ii) If the property is located outside any SMSA, or is located in an SMSA in which the institution has neither a home nor a branch office, no itemization (by SMSA, county, or census tract) is required and the data for all such loans shall instead be listed as an aggregate sum.
(2) Type-of-loan itemization. The loan data within each geographic category described in paragraph (b)(1) of this section shall be further itemized as follows:
(i) FHA, FmHA, and VA loans on 1-to-4 family dwellings;
(ii) Other home purchase (conventional) loans on 1-to-4 family dwellings;
(iii) Home improvement loans on 1-to-4 family dwellings;
(iv) Total home purchase and home improvement loans on dwellings for more than 4 families; and
(v) Total home purchase and home improvement loans on 1-to-4 family dwellings (from categories (i), (ii), and (iii) above) made to any borrower who did not, at the time of the Ioan application, intend to use the property as a principal dwelling. ${ }^{6}$ This addendum item is not required for loans on property in the outside-SMSAs category described in paragraph (b)(1)(ii) of this section.
(c) Excluded data. A depository institution shall not disclose loan data for
(1) Loans originated and purchased by the deposi-

[^20]tory institution acting as trustee or in some other fiduciary capacity;
(2) Loans on unimproved land; or
(3) Refinancings that the depository institution originates, if there is no increase in the principal that is outstanding on the existing loan at the time of the refinancing and if the institution and the borrower are the same parties on the existing loan and the refinancing.
(d) SMSAs and census tracts. For purposes of geographic itemization
(1) A depository institution shall use the SMSA boundaries defined by the U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20233, as of the first day of the calendar year for which the data are compiled. (2) A depository institution shall use the census tract numbers and boundaries on the census tract maps in the " 1980 Census of Population and Housing, CENSUS TRACTS, PHC80-2'" series prepared by the Bureau of the Census. ${ }^{7}$ If a census tract number is duplicated within an SMSA, then the census tract shall also be identified by county, city, or town name.

## Section 203.5-Disclosure and reporting requirements.

(a) Time requirements for disclosure statements. A depository institution shall make its loan data disclosure statements available to the public by March 31 following the calendar year for which the data were compiled, and shall continue to make them available for five years from that date.
(b) Offices at which disclosure statements are to be made available.
(1) A depository institution shall make a complete disclosure statement available at its home office.
(2) A depository institution shall also make a disclosure statement available in at least one branch office in each SMSA where it has offices, other than the SMSA in which the home office is located. The statement at a branch office may omit, at the option of the institution, all data other than the data relating to property located in the SMSA where that branch is located.
(3) Upon request, a depository institution shall promptly provide information regarding the office(s) of the institution where its disclosure statements are available.
7. An institution may use either 1970 or 1980 census tract boundaries in geocoding loans in an SMSA until the 1980 census tract outline maps for that SMSA become available from the Bureau of the Census.
(c) Manner of making disclosure statements available. A depository institution shall makes its loan data disclosure statements available to anyone requesting them for inspection or copying during the hours the office is normally open to the public for business. A depository institution that provides photocopying facilities may impose a reasonable charge for this service.
(d) Notice of availability. A depository institution shall provide notice of the availability of its mortgage loan data by posting a notice in the lobbies of its home and branch offices that are located in SMSAs.
(e) Reporting requirements. For purposes of data aggregation, a depository institution shall send two copies of its complete disclosure statement to the regional office of its enforcement agency by March 31 following the calendar year for which the data were compiled.

Section 203.6-Administrative enforcement and sanctions for violations.
(a) Administrative enforcement. As set forth more fully in $\S \S 305$ (b) and 306 (b) of the act, compliance with the act and this regulation is enforced by the Comptroller of the Currency, the Federal Reserve System, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, the Federal Home Loan Bank Board, and the National Credit Union Administration.

## (b) Sanctions for violations.

(1) A violation of the act or this regulation is subject to administrative sanctions as provided in §305(c) of the act.
(2) An error in compiling or disclosing required data is not considered a violation of the act or this regulation if the error was unintentional and resulted from a bona fide mistake despite the maintenance of procedures reasonably adapted to avoid such an error.

## Appendix A

## Federal Enforcement Agencies

The following list indicates which federal agency enforces Regulation C for particular classes of institutions. Any questions concerning compliance by a particular institution should be directed to the appropriate enforcing agency.

## National Banks

Comptroller of the Currency
Office of Customer and Community Programs
Washington, D.C. 20219

## State Member Banks

Federal Reserve Bank serving the district in which the state member bank is located.

Nonmember Insured Banks and Mutual Savings Banks

Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Regional Director for the region in which the bank is located.

## Savings Institutions Insured by the FSLIC and Members of the FHLB System (except for Savings Banks insured by FDIC)

The Federal Home Loan Bank Board Supervisory Agent in the district in which the institution is located.

Credit Unions
Office of Consumer Affairs
National Credit Union Administration 1776 G Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20456

## Other Depository Institutions

Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Regional Director for the region in which the institution is located.

## Appendix B

## State Exemptions

(a) Application. Any state, ${ }^{1}$ state-chartered depository institution, or association of such depository institutions may apply to the Board pursuant to this appendix and the Board's Rules of Procedure (12 CFR 262) for an exemption under § 203.3 (b). Such an exemption requires a determination that a state-chartered depository institution is subject to state law requirements ${ }^{2}$ substantially similar to those imposed by this regula-

[^21]tion, and that there is adequate provision for enforcement of those requirements.
(b) Supporting documents. The application, which may be made by letter, shall include
(1) A copy of the full text of the relevant state law, including provisions for enforcement;
(2) A statement of reasons why the state requirements are substantially similar to those imposed by the act and this regulation, including an explanation why any differences are not significant; and
(3) An undertaking to inform the Board within 30 days of the occurence of any change in the relevant state law.
(c) Public notice offiling. The Board will publish in the Federal Register notice of the filing of an application that complies with the above requirements. A copy of the application will be made available for examination during business hours at the Board and at the Federal Reserve Bank of each Federal Reserve District in which the applicant is situated. The Board will provide a period of time for interested persons to submit written comments. For multiple applications concerning the same state law, the Board may (1) consolidate the notice of receipt of all such applications in one Federal Register notice, and (2) dispense with publication of notice of applications subsquently received.
(d) Grant of exemption. If the Board determines that some or all state-chartered depository institutions are subject to requirements substantially similar to those imposed by this regulation, and that there is adequate provision for enforcement, the Board will exempt such institution(s) from the requirements of this regulation (except as specified in § $203.3(\mathrm{~b})$ ) by publishing notice of the exemption in the Federal Register. The Board also will furnish a copy of the notice to the applicant, to each state authority responsible for administrative enforcement of the state law, to the regulatory authorities specified in $\S 305(\mathrm{~b})$ of the act, and to each participant in the proceeding.
(e) Subsequent amendments; revocation of exemption. (1) The Board will inform the appropriate state official of any subsequent amendments to this regulation (including published interpretations of the Board) that might require amendment of the state law. The Board may require reapplication for an exemption.
(2) The Board reserves the right to revoke an exemption if at any time it determines that state law does not in fact impose requirements substantially similar to those imposed by this regulation, or that
there is not in fact adequate provision for enforcement.
(3) The Board will publish notice of its intent to revoke an exemption in the Federal Register and will send the notice to the appropriate state official. The Board will allow time after publication for interested persons to submit written comments.
(4) If an exemption is revoked, the Board will publish notice of the revocation in the Federal Register and will send a copy of the notice to the appropriate state official and to the regulatory authorities specified in $\S 305$ (b) of the act.
(5) The Board may dispense with the procedures set forth in this section in any case in which it finds such procedures unnecessary.

## Amendments to Regulation J

## Part 210-Collection of Checks and Other Items and Transfer of Funds

Redefinition of the Terms "Sender" and "Bank"

The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System has amended Subpart A of Regulation J, governing the collection of checks and other items by Reserve Banks, to implement the Monetary Centrol Act of 1980. This amendment redefines the terms "sender" and "bank" so that each term includes "depository institutions" as defined in section 19(b) of the Federal Reserve Act, as amended by the Monetary Control Act.

Effective August 12, 1981, pursuant to its authority under section 13 of the Federal Reserve Act, as amended, 12 U.S.C. § 342 ; section 16 of the Federal Reserve Act, 12 U.S.C. $\S \$ 248(0)$, 360; and section $11(i)$ of the Federal Reserve Act, 12 U.S.C. § 248(i), the Board hereby amends Regulation J (12 C.F.R. Part 210) as follows:

In section 210.2, new paragraph (b) is added, and existing paragraphs (b) through (k) are redesignated paragraphs (c) through (1) and revised to read as follows:

## Section 210.2-Definitions

As used in this subpart, unless the context otherwise requires:
(b) "Bank" includes a depository institution as defined in section 19 of the Federal Reserve Act (12 U.S.C. § 461(b)).
(c) "Bank draft" means a check drawn by one bank on another bank.
(d) "Banking day" means a day during which a bank is open to the public for carrying on substantially all its banking functions.
(e) "Cash item" means:
(1) a check other than one classified as a noncash item under this section; or
(2) any other item payable on demand and collectible at par that the Reserve Bank of the District in which the item is payable is willing to accept as a cash item.
(f) "Check" means a draft, as defined in the Uniform Commercial Code, that is drawn on a bank and payable on demand.
(g) 'Item" means an instrument for the payment of money, whether negotiable or not, that is:
(1) payable in a Federal Reserve District ${ }^{1}$ ("District'");
(2) sent by a sender to a Reserve Bank for handling under this subpart; and
(3) collectible in funds acceptable to the Reserve Bank of the District in which the instrument is payable.
Unless otherwise indicated, "item" includes both cash and noncash items. "Item" does not include a check that cannot be collected at par, ${ }^{2}$ or an "item" as defined in section 210.26 that is handled under subpart B.
(h) "Nonbank payor" means a payor of an item, other than a bank.
(i) "Noncash item" means an item that a receiving Reserve Bank classifies in its operating circulars as requiring special handling. The term also means an item normally received as a cash item if a Reserve Bank decides that special conditions require that it handle the item as a noncash item.
(j) "Paying bank" means:
(1) the bank by which an item is payable, unless the item is payable or collectible through another bank and is sent to the other bank for payment or collection; or

[^22](2) the bank through which an item is payable or collectible and to which it is sent for payment or collection.
(k) "Sender" means any of the following that sends an item to a Reserve Bank: a depository institution, a clearing institution, another Reserve Bank, an international organization, a foreign correspondent, or a branch or agency of a foreign bank maintaining reserves under section 7 of the International Banking Act of 1978 (12 U.S.C. $\$ \$ 347 \mathrm{~d}, 3105$ ).
(1) "Depository institution" means a depository institution as defined in section 19(b) of the Federal Reserve Act. (12 U.S.C. § 461(b))
(2) "Clearing institution" means:
(i) an institution that is not a depository institution, but maintains with a Reserve Bank the balance referred to in the first paragraph of section 13 of the Federal Reserve Act (12 U.S.C. § 342); or
(ii) a corporation that maintains an account with a Reserve Bank in conformity with section 211.4 of this chapter (Regulation K).
(3) "International Organization" means an international organization for which a Reserve Bank is empowered to act as depository or fiscal agent and maintains an account.
(4) "Foreign correspondent" means any of the following for which a Reserve Bank maintains an account: a foreign bank or banker, a foreign state as defined in section 25(b) of the Federal Reserve Act ( 12 U.S.C. § 632), or a foreign correspondent or agency referred to in section 14(e) of that Act (12 U.S.C. § 358).
(1) "State" means a State of the United States, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, or a territory, possession, or dependency of the United States.

## Amendment to Regulation K

## Part 211-International Banking Operations

## Amendment of Rule Regarding Capital Requirements of Edge Corporations

The Board of Govenors of the Federal Reserve System has amended section 211.6(d) of Regulation K (12 C.F.R. § $211.6(\mathrm{~d})$ to include certain subordinated notes and debentures within the definition of "capital and surplus" solely for the purpose of determining capital adequacy of Edge Corporations.
Effective July 29, 1981, pursuant to the Board's authority under section 25(a) of the Federal Reserve

Act (12 U.S.C. §§ 611-631), Regulation K is amended by revising section 211.6 (d) to read as set forth below:

## Section 211.6-Leading Limits and Capital Requirements

(d) Capitalization. An Edge Corporation shall at all times be capitalized in an amount that is adequate in relation to the scope and character of its activities. In the case of an Edge Corporation engaged in banking, its capital and surplus shall be not less than 7 per cent of risk assets. For this purpose, subordinated capital notes or debentures, in an amount not to exceed 50 per cent of non-debt capital, may be included for determining capital adequacy in the same manner as for a member bank; risk assets shall be deemed to be all assets on a consolidated basis other than cash, amounts due from banking institutions in the United States, United States Government securities, and Federal funds sold.

## amendments to Rules Regarding Delegation of Authority

## Part 265—Rules Regarding Delegation of Authority

## Expansion of Federal Reserve Banks Delegated Authority

The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System has extended delegated authority to the Board's Director of Banking Supervision and Regulation to refer violations of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act by State member banks to the Department of Labor. In addition, the Board has expanded the delegated authority of the Federal Reserve Banks to enter into written agreements to correct violations of law, rule, or regulation.
Effective August 10, 1981, Part 265 is amended by adding new section $265.2(\mathrm{c})(30)$, and by amending section $265.2(\mathrm{f})(28)$ to read as set forth below:

Section 265.2-Specific Functions Delegated to Board Employees and to Federal Reserve Banks
(c)

```
****
```

(30) To provide to the Department of Labor written notification of possible significant violations of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act
("ERISA") by State member banks, in accordance with section 3004(b) of ERISA and the Interagency Agreement adopted to implement the provision thereof.
(f) ***
(28) With the prior approval of both the Director of the Board's Division of Banking Supervision and Regulation and the General Counsel of the Board: (a) to enter into a written agreement with a bank holding company or any non-banking subsidiary thereof, with a State member bank, or with any other person or entity subject to the Board's supervisory jurisdiction under 12 U.S.C. § 1818(b) concerning the prevention or correction of an unsafe or unsound practice in conducting the business of such bank holding company, non-banking subsidiary or State member bank or other entity, or concerning the correction or prevention of any violation of law, rule or regulation, or any condition imposed in writing by the Board in connection with the granting of any application or other request by the bank or company or any other appropriate matter; and (b) to stay, modify, terminate or suspend an agreement entered into pursuant to subdivision (a) of this paragraph. Any agreement authorized under this paragraph may, by its terms, be enforceable to the same extent and in the same manner as an effective and outstanding cease-and-desist order that has become final pursuant to 12 U.S.C. $\S \S 1818(\mathrm{~b})$ and (k).

## Bank Holding Company and Bank Merger Orders Issued by the board of Governors

Orders Issued Under Section 3 of Bank Holding Company Act

Arlington Bancorp, Inc., Arlington Heights, Illinois

Cary-Grove Bancorp, Inc., Cary, Illinois

Elk Grove Bancorp, Inc., Elk Grove Village, Illinois

Hoffman Bancorp, Inc., Hoffman Estates, Illinois

Meadows Bancorp, Inc., Rolling Meadows, Illinois

Subpal Bancorp, Inc., Palatine, Illinois

Suburban Bancorp, Inc., Palatine, Illinois

Woodfield Bancorp, Inc., Schaumburg, Illinois

## Order Approving Formation of a Bank Holding Company and Acquisition of Shares of a Bank Holding Company

Arlington Bancorp, Inc., Arlington Heights, Illinois ("Arlington’), has applied for the Board's approval, pursuant to section 3(a)(1) of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 ( 12 U.S.C. § $1842(\mathrm{a})(1)$ ), to become a bank holding company by acquiring 80 percent or more of the voting shares of Suburban National Bank of Arlington Heights, Arlington Heights, Illinois ("Bank"), a de novo bank. In connection with this application, Cary-Grove Bancorp, Inc., Cary, Illinois ("Cary-Grove"); Elk Grove Bancorp, Inc., Elk Grove Village, Illinois ("Elk Grove"); Hoffman Bancorp, Inc., Hoffman Estates, Illinois ("Hoffman'); Meadows Bancorp, Inc., Rolling Meadows, Illinois ("Meadows'); Subpal Bancorp, Inc., Palatine, Illinois ("Subpal"); Suburban Bancorp, Inc., Palatine, Illinois ("Suburban"); and Woodfield Bancorp, Inc., Schaumburg, Illinois ("Woodfield"), all of which are one-bank holding companies within the meaning of the act, have each applied for the Board's approval, under section 3(a)(3) of the act (12 U.S.C. § 1842(a)(3)), to acquire up to 14.9 percent of the voting shares of Arlington.

Notice of the applications, affording opportunity for interested persons to submit comments and views has been given in accordance with section 3(b) of the act. The time for filing comments and views has expired, and the Board has considered the applications and all comments received, including those of the Illinois Commissioner of Banks and Trust Companies, in light of the factors set forth in section 3(c) of the act (12 U.S.C. § $1842(\mathrm{c})$ ).

Arlington, a nonoperating corporation, was organized for the purpose of becoming a bank holding company by acquiring bank, a de novo bank. CaryGrove, Elk Grove, Hoffman, Meadows, Subpal, Suburban, and Woodfield are one-bank holding companies by virtue of their ownership, respectively, of Suburban Bank of Cary-Grove, Cary, Illinois ("Cary-Grove Bank'") (deposits of \$24.4 million); Suburban Bank of Elk Grove Village, Elk Grove Village, Illinois ("Elk Grove Bank") (deposits of $\$ 15.4$ million); Suburban Bank of Hoffman-Schaumburg, Hoffman Estates, Illi-
nois ("Hoffman Bank") (deposits of $\$ 24.8$ million); Suburban Bank of Rolling Meadows, Rolling Meadows, Illinois ("Meadows Bank") (deposits of \$26.4 million); Suburban National Bank of Palatine ("Subpal Bank") (deposits of $\$ 11.5$ million); Palatine National Bank, Palatine, Illinois ("Suburban Bank") (deposits of $\$ 38$ million); and Suburban National Bank of Woodfield, Schaumburg, Illinois ("Woodfield Bank") (deposits of $\$ 11.3$ million. ${ }^{1}$ Mr. Gerald F. Fitzgerald and certain members of his immediate family control each of these seven bank holding companies (collectively referred to as the "Suburban Bank Group"). Certain members of the Fitzgerald family are also principals of Arlington and Bank. After consummation of this proposal, these seven bank holding companies would control 80 percent or more of Arlington. ${ }^{2}$
Bank is to be located in the Chicago banking market. ${ }^{3}$ The subsidiary banks of six of the seven bank holding companies in the Suburban Bank Group are also located in the Chicago market, controlling, in the aggregate, 0.2 percent of total market deposits. ${ }^{4}$ Bank would be a de novo bank organized by the principals of Suburban Bank Group. The Board finds that, based upon the facts of record, consummation of the applications would not result in any adverse competitive effects in any relevant area. Thus, competitive considerations are consistent with approval of the applications.

[^23]Where principals of an applicant are engaged in operating a chain of banking organizations, the Board, in addition to analyzing the one-bank holding company proposal before it, also analyzes the proposal in the context of multibank holding company standards to assess the financial and managerial resources and future prospects of the institutions comprising the chain. Based upon such an analysis in this case, the financial and managerial resources and future prospects of the Suburban Bank Group, its subsidiary banks, and Arlington, are regarded as generally satisfactory. Bank, as a proposed de novo bank, has no financial or operating history, however, its prospects as a subsidiary of Arlington appear favorable. Accordingly, considerations relating to banking factors are consistent with approval of this application.

Within Bank's proposed primary service area, Bank will be the only full service bank offering lending services to the public, and will serve as an additional source of banking services within the Chicago banking market. Accordingly, considerations relating to the convenience and needs of the community lend weight toward approval of the applications. It is the Board's judgment that consummation of the proposed transaction would be in the public interest and the applications should be approved.

On the basis of the record, the applications are approved for the reasons summarized above. The transactions shall not be made before January 1, 1982, or later than three months after January 1, 1982, and Suburban National Bank of Arlington Heights, Arlington Heights, Illinois, shall be opened for business not later than six months after the effective date of this Order, ${ }^{5}$ unless such period is extended for good cause by the Board, or by the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago, pursuant to delegated authority.

By order of the Board of Governors, effective August 19, 1981.

Voting for this action: Chairman Volcker and Governors Schultz, Wallich, Partee, and Gramley. Absent and not voting: Teeters and Rice.
(Signed) William W. Wiles, [seal] Secretary of the Board.

[^24]Commercial Security Bancorporation, Ogden, Utah

## Order Denying Acquisition of Bank

Commercial Security Bancorporation, Ogden, Utah, a bank holding company within the meaning of the Bank Holding Company Act, as amended, has applied for the Board's approval under section 3(a)(3) of the act (12 U.S.C § $1842(\mathrm{a})(3)$ ) to acquire 100 percent of the voting shares of Box Elder County Bank, Brigham City, Utah ("Bank").

Notice of the application, affording opportunity for interested persons to submit comments and views has been given in accordance with section 3(b) of the act. The time for filing comments and views has expired and the Board has considered all comments received in light of the factors set forth in section (c) of the act (12 U.S.C. § $1842(\mathrm{c})$ ).

Applicant, the fourth largest banking organization in Utah, controls two banking subsidiaries with aggregate deposits of $\$ 433$ million, representing approximately 8.0 percent of total deposits in commercial banks in the state.' Bank, with deposits of $\$ 40.5$ million is the 14th largest banking organization in Utah, representing approximately 0.7 percent of statewide commercial bank deposits. Consummation of the proposed acquisition would not alter Applicant's ranking in the state, although Applicant's share of statewide commercial bank deposits would increase to 8.7 percent. The Board concludes that consummation would not result in a significant increase in concentration of banking resources in Utah.

Bank is located in Brigham City, the county seat of Box Elder County, Utah. Applicant's closest subsidiary bank, Bear River State Bank ("Bear River Bank') is located in Tremonton, the second largest city in Box Elder County. Applicant asserts that Brigham City and Tremonton are in separate banking markets and that consummation of the transaction would not have any significant adverse effects.

The Supreme Court has articulated a number of factors to be considered in determining a geographic banking market. See United States v. Philadelphia National Bank, 374 U. S. 321 (1963); United States v. Phillipsburg National Bank \& Trust Co., 399 U. S. 350 (1970). See also Mid-Nebraska Bancshares v. Board of Governors, 627 F.2d 266 (D.C. Cir. 1980). These cases indicate that the competitive effects of a proposed acquisition should be judged in a localized market in which banks offer their services and to

[^25]which local customers can practically turn for alternatives. The Supreme Court has stated in this regard that, "the proper question is not where the parties to the merger do business or even where they compete, but where, within the area of competitive overlap, the effect of the merger on competition will be direct and immediate." (United States v. Philadelphia National Bank, supra, at 357.) In determining what this area is, the Supreme Court sought "to delineate the areas in which bank customers that are neither very large nor very small find it practical to do their banking business. . . United States v. Philadelphia National Bank, supra at 359.

Based on a review of all the facts of record, the Board believes that the relevant banking market is approximated by Box Elder County. Approximately 80 percent of the County's population lives in Brigham City and the Tremonton areas. The two communities are 19 miles apart and are connected by a superhighway. There are no intervening natural barriers. A newspaper and a radio station located in Brigham City serve both communities. The record indicates that there is a significant amount of commuting to points between and through the two communities. Moreover, the primary service areas of both Bank and Bear River Bank are confined to Box Elder County. Accordingly, the Board finds that Bank and Bear River Bank are institutions "to which local customers can practically turn for alternatives" and that the smaller banking markets proposed by Applicant are too narrow to approximate accurately the area where the competitive effects of the acquisition will be direct and immediate.

Bank, with $\$ 40.5$ million in deposits, is the second largest of four banking organizations in the Box Elder County banking market, and controls 29.2 percent of the market's commercial bank deposits. Applicant's subsidiary, Bear River Bank (deposits of $\$ 14.9$ million) is the third largest banking organization in that market with 11.9 percent of the market's commercial bank deposits. Acquisition of Bank would increase Applicant's share of market deposits to 41.1 percent and Applicant would become the second largest banking organization in the relevant banking market. The Board notes that consummation of the proposal also would increase the concentration of banking resources in the already concentrated Box Elder County banking market. The Board further notes that the increase in Applicant's market share as a result of the proposal would substantially exceed the Department of Justice Merger Guidelines. Based on all the facts of record, the Board concludes that the effects of the proposal on competition would be substantially adverse.

The financial and managerial resources and future prospects of Applicant, its banking subsidiaries and

Bank are regarded as satisfactory. Accordingly, banking factors are consistent with, but lend no weight toward approval of the application. While Applicant proposes to assist Bank in offering additional services, there is no indication that the needs of Bank's customers are not currently being met or that the benefits expected from the proposal cannot reasonably be expected through other means. ${ }^{2}$ Accordingly, the Board finds that considerations relating to the convenience and needs of the community to be served do not outweigh the substantially adverse competitive effects that would result from Applicant's acquisition of Bank.

On the basis of the foregoing and other considerations reflected in the record, it is the Board's judgment that consummation of the proposed transaction would not be in the public interest, and the application is hereby denied.

By order of the Board of Governors, effective August 4, 1981.

Voting for this action: Chairman Volcker and Governors Schultz, Wallich, Teeters, Rice, and Gramley. Absent and not voting: Governor Partee.

(Signed) William W. Wiles,

[SEAL]
Secretary of the Board.

Midland Bank Limited, London, England

Order Approving Formation of Bank Holding Company, Acquisition of Nonbank and Edge Act Subsidiaries and Retention of Nonbank Companies; Order Denying Retention of Travel Agency Activities of Thomas Cook, Inc.

Midland Bank Limited ("Midland"), London, England, has applied under section 3(a)(1) of the Bank Holding Company Act ( 12 U.S.C. § 1842(a)(1)) for approval of the formation of a bank holding company by acquiring 51 percent of the voting shares of Crocker National Corporation ('Crocker"), San Francisco, California.

Midland has also applied to do business under section 25(a) of the Federal Reserve Act (the "Edge Act'") (12 U.S.C. §§ 611-631) by acquiring indirectly the shares of three Edge Corporation subsidiaries owned by Crocker National Bank: Crocker Bank International (Chicago), Chicago, Illinois; Crocker Bank International (New York), New York, New

[^26]York; and Crocker International Investment Corporation, San Francisco, California. The factors that are considered in acting on these applications include those set forth in section 211.4(a) of the Board's Regulation K (12 C.F.R. § 211.4(a)).
Midland has also applied, pursuant to section 4(c) (8) of the Bank Holding Company Act ( 12 U.S.C. § $1843(\mathrm{c})(8)$ ) and section $225.4(\mathrm{~b})(2)$ of the Board's Regulation Y (12 C.F.R. § 225.4(b)(2)), for permission to acquire indirectly voting shares of the following subsidiaries of Crocker: (1) Bishop Building Co., Inc., Honolulu, Hawaii, which owns and operates the Bishop Trust Building in Honolulu and leases it to subsidiaries of Crocker and other tenants; (2) Bishop Trust Company, Ltd., Honolulu, Hawaii, which conducts a full-service trust business and provides limited data processing services to other Crocker subsidiaries; (3) Hawaii Finance Company, Ltd., Honolulu, Hawaii, which operates as an industrial loan company making secured and unsecured loans to individuals; (4) Miles Crossing Ltd., Honolulu, Hawaii, which owns real estate mortgages and other real estate receivables; (5) CNC Insurance Agency Inc., San Francisco, California, which engages in the activity of acting as agent for credit life, credit accident, and health insurance directly related to extensions of credit by Crocker's subsidiaries; (6) Crocker Investment Management Corp., San Francisco, California, which engages in the activity of providing portfolio investment advice and general economic and financial information and advice; (7) Crocker Mortgage Investment Company Inc., Los Angeles, California, which engages in the activities of originating, purchasing, and servicing loans secured by real estate and servicing loans and other extensions of credit; (8) Western Bradford Trust Company, San Francisco, California, a trust company which furnishes services to security holders, brokers, dealers and issuers, provides data processing services to Crocker and its subsidiaries, and provides computer software services to Crocker and its subsidiaries; and (9) Crocker Holdings Inc., Germantown, Tennessee, which holds real estate related assets of Crocker that are in the process of liquidation.
In addition, Midland has applied, pursuant to section 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding Company Act and section 225.4(b)(2) of the Board's Regulation Y, for permission to retain the following indirect subsidiaries: (1) Samuel Montagu (Metals), Inc., New York, New York, which engages in the activity of dealing in precious metals by buying and selling gold and silver on the spot and futures markets for its own account, and deals with other precious metals dealers; (2) Thomas Cook, Inc., New York, New York, a company that engages in the issuance and sale of travelers checks; (3) London American Finance Corporation,

New York, New York, a commercial finance company specializing in overseas trade financing of products manufactured in the United States; (4) LAFCO (Western Hemisphere), Ltd., New York, New York, which markets the services of certain financing affiliates in the western hemisphere, and extends credit to Latin American importers of United States products; and (5) Export Credit Corporation, a commercial finance company specializing in overseas trade financing of products manufactured in the United States.
The activities applied for have either been specified by the Board in section 225.4(a) of Regulation Y as permissible for bank holding companies, subject to Board approval of individual proposals in accordance with the procedures of section 225.4(b), or have been authorized by Order under section 4(c)(8) in particular cases.

Midland has also applied, pursuant to section 4(c) (9) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. $\S 1843(c)(9)$ ) and section $211.23(\mathrm{f})(5)$ of the Board's Regulation K ( 12 C.F.R. § 211.23 (f)(5)), to retain Midland's interest in The Thomas Cook Group Ltd. ("TCG"), Peterborough, England. TCG provides retail and wholesale travel arrangements, and issues and sells travelers checks on a worldwide basis through its subsidiaries. ${ }^{1}$

Notice of receipt of these applications has been given in accordance with sections 3 and 4 of the Bank Holding Company Act (46 Federal Register 18,066 (1981)), and the time for filing views and comments has expired. The Board has considered the applications and all comments received in light of the factors set forth in section 3(c) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. § 1842(c)), the considerations specified in sections $4(\mathrm{c})(8)$ and (9) of the Bank Holding Company Act, and the purposes of the Edge Act.
Midland is the third largest of the major London clearing banks and the lead bank of the 15th largest banking organization in the world, with total deposits of approximately $\$ 55.1$ billion. ${ }^{2}$ Midland's business consists of the provision of a wide range of banking, financial, and related services through its various subsidiaries and affiliated companies. Domestic banking is conducted through a network of more than 3,000 branches by Midland itself in England and Wales, and by subsidiaries in Scotland, Northern Ireland, and the Republic of Ireland. In addition to commercial banking and trust services, Midland engages in merchant banking, equity financing, mortgage banking, consumer financing, equipment leasing, factoring, providing travel services, and issuing and selling travelers

[^27]checks on a worldwide basis. Approximately 60 percent of Midland's profits derive from domestic banking; 25 percent from its international activities; and 15 percent from related services.
Crocker does not engage directly in any activity except holding shares of its subsidiaries. Its banking subsidiary, Crocker National Bank ("Bank"), San Francisco, California, holds domestic deposits of approximately $\$ 11.4$ billion, is the fourth largest banking organization in California, with 385 branches, and the 12th largest banking organization in the United States. ${ }^{3}$ Upon consummation of this proposal, Midland would be the 10th largest banking organization in the world.
Midland does not operate any banking offices in the United States. ${ }^{4}$ Accordingly, the Board finds that approval of the proposal would have no significant effect on the concentration of banking resources or existing competition in any relevant area. Furthermore, while Midland has demonstrated that it is a likely entrant into the United States banking market, and has the financial reosurces to establish de novo offices in Bank's major market areas, most of the metropolitan California markets in which Bank competes are competitive markets; therefore, the elimination of probable future competition would not be significant. Accordingly, the Board finds consummation of the proposal would have no significant effect on probable future competition.
The financial and managerial resources and future prospects of Midland appear generally satisfactory. Under the proposed transaction, Crocker would receive capital injections totalling $\$ 495$ million. In the first stage of the proposal, Midland would acquire 51 percent of Crocker for $\$ 595$ million, of which $\$ 270$ million would be added to Crocker's capital funds through the purchase of newly issued shares. In the second stage of the proposal, Midland, at its option or upon call by Crocker, would purchase, over four years, new common shares from Crocker for a total of $\$ 225$ million. The additional purchase would increase Midland's ownership of Crocker from 51 percent to 57 percent.
The Board regards the additional capital being provided to Crocker as a result of the transaction as a positive factor in that it provides the opportunity to achieve a permanent enhancement of Crocker's capi-

[^28]tal position. Moreover, the Board expects that both Midland and Crocker will be mindful of this opportunity in the employment of the new capital funds.

The Board notes that Crocker's capital ratios are comparable to the ratios of other large U.S. banks at the present time. The Board, however, is aware that the capital ratios of the largest U.S. banks have generally declined over the past few years while, at the same time, the risks to which they are exposed have increased. The Board believes, therefore, that banks in this position should avail themselves of every opportunity to strengthen their capital positions. The injection of capital by Midland provides such an opportunity consistent with a reasonable rate of growth in Crocker's assets. In exercising its responsibility under the Bank Holding Company Act, the Board will monitor closely the capital position of large banking organizations in connection with their future expansion plans.
In light of all the facts of record, the Board concludes that banking factors and considerations relating to the convenience and needs of the communities to be served are consistent with approval of the applications. The Board's judgment is that, with respect to the application filed under section 3 of the Bank Holding Company Act, consummation of the proposal would be in the public interest and should be approved.
In reaching these conclusions, the Board has given due consideration to the public comments received on these applications, and the views expressed on the proposal at the public meeting ordered by the Board, and held in San Francisco, California, on June 22, 1981. The Board had ordered this meeting because of the importance of Crocker in the communities in which it operates and because of the interest of the public in the proposal. The objections expressed in the written submissions and at the public meeting were based primarily upon issues related to the foreign acquisition of U.S. banks in general and Community Reinvestment Act considerations. The Board has determined that these objections do not warrant denial of the application. The Board notes that there is no statutory authority in the Bank Holding Company Act for taking into account the nationality of the acquiring company, and that the Community Reinvestment Act does not apply to a transaction where the acquiring banking organization has no banking presence in the United States. At the June 22 meeting the Board also considered the written submissions and oral presentations in regard to their bearing on the convenience and needs factors that the Board must consider under the Bank Holding Company Act, and found that these factors are positive and consistent with approval as discussed above. Accordingly, the Board has deter-
mined that the public comments on the applications do not raise issues that would warrant denial, or conditional approval of this application.

As discussed above, Midland currently has a 20.125 percent ownership interest in European-American Bancorp ("EAB"), New York, New York, a bank holding company with respect to European-American Bank and Trust Company ('EABTC'), New York, New York. At the time the Board approved EAB's application to become a bank holding company in 1977 (63 Federal Reserve Bulletin 595), the Board concluded that neither Midland nor any of the other five foreign banks having interests in EAB should be considered bank holding companies, individually or collectively. ${ }^{5}$

Section 3(d) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. § 1842 (d)) generally prohibits the Board from approving an application that would permit a bank holding company to acquire more than 5 percent of the voting shares of a bank located outside of the bank holding company's principal state of banking operations, unless such acquisition is specifically authorized by state law. Although Midland is not currently a bank holding company, Midland's acquisition of Crocker while maintaining its present interest in EAB would be inconsistent with the legislative direction contained in section 3(d).

Therefore, in order to prevent any evasion of the provisions and purposes of section 3(d), the Board has determined that Midland should be required to divest its interest in EAB. In light of the unique structure of EAB as a consortium organization, and taking into consideration EABTC's acquisition in 1974 of the assets of Franklin National Bank, the Board believes that it would be appropriate to allow Midland a longer period of time than is usual in order to complete the divestiture. The additional time will provide EAB and its owners flexibility to assure that the capital strength of the institution will be adequately maintained. Therefore, the Board has determined that Midland should reduce its interest in EAB to five percent or less of EAB's shares within three years of consummation of the transaction, provided that such period may be extended for good cause by the Board or by the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco under delegated authority.

With respect to the applications to acquire Crocker's nonbank subsidiaries, it was previously determined that the balance of public interest factors prescribed by section 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding

[^29]Company Act favored approval of the acquisition of these companies when they were acquired originally by Crocker. Nothing in the record suggests that Midland's acquisition of Crocker would alter that balance. Furthermore, the Board has determined that retention by Midland or Samuel Montagu (Metals), Inc., Thomas Cook, Inc. (issuance and sale of travelers checks), London American Finance Corporation, LAFCO (Western Hemisphere), Ltd., and Export Credit Corporation would produce benefits to the public and would be in the public interest. There is no evidence in the record that consummation of the proposal would, with respect to these section $4(\mathrm{c})(8)$ applications, result in undue concentration of resources, decreased or unfair competition, conflicts of interests, unsound banking practices, or other adverse effects on the public interest. Accordingly, the Board has determined that the balance of public interest factors it must consider under section 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding Company Act favors approval of the applications filed under that section, and that those applications should be approved. ${ }^{6}$

Similarly, with respect to Crocker's three Edge corporations, the public interest in the uninterrupted continuation of their service to customers favors approval of their retention after Crocker is acquired by Midland. The financial and managerial resources of Midland, an organization broadly represented in foreign markets, are regarded as consistent with approval of the acquisition of these three corporations by Midland. Their acquisition by Midland would enable these Edge corporations to continue the international services Crocker's Edge Corporations are able to provide to their customers, consistent with the purposes of the Edge Act to afford at all times a means of financing international trade, to stimulate competition for international banking and financing services, and to facilitate and stimulate United States exports. Accordingly, the Board finds that the applications filed under the Edge Act for the retention of Crocker Bank International (Chicago), Crocker Bank International (New York), and Crocker International Investment Corporation should be approved.

Midland has also applied, pursuant to section 4(c)(9) of the Bank Holding Company Act and section 211.23 of the Board's Regulation K, to retain its whollyowned subsidiary, Thomas Cook Group Ltd. ("TCG"), a worldwide travel agency whose U.S. subsidiary is Thomas Cook, Inc. ("TCI'). Midland, through its indirect subsidiary, TCI, engages in provid-
6. In light of the Board's action requiring Midland's divestiture of EAB, the applications filed under section $4(c)(8)$ to retain EAB's nonbank subsidiaries are rendered moot.
ing travel services in the United States as part of the worldwide travel services provided by its parent company, TCG. Section 211.23(f)(5)(iii)(B) of the Board's Regulation K specifically states that a foreign banking organization may engage in the activity of arrangement of passenger transportation (Standard Industrial Code 4722) in the United States only with the approval of the Board pursuant to section 4(c)(9) of the Bank Holding Company Act.
TCG, a British company controlled by Midland since 1972 and wholly-owned by Midland since 1977, provides retail and wholesale travel arrangements, and sells travelers checks on a worldwide basis through its subsidiaries. TCG currently engages in the wholesale and retail travel business through the Travel Division of its wholly-owned U.S. subsidiary, TCI, a New York Corporation. TCI serves customers in both the business and pleasure travel segments ( 70 percent of revenues and 30 percent of revenues, respectively) through a nationwide retail network of 66 travel outlets in 53 cities in the United States. Several of the outlets in New York engage in both wholesale travel business (packaging of tours) and retail travel business. All other U.S. outlets engage only in retail business.
In support of its application to retain TCI, Midland has made a number of commitments and presented evidence to demonstrate that an exemption under section 4(c)(9) would not be at variance with the purposes of the Bank Holding Company Act and would be in the public interest. In the past, Midland and TCI have not sought public recognition of their connection and there is little public identification in the U.S. of one with the other. Midland has committed to preserve the complete separation of its banking operations in the United States, whether conducted through Crocker or otherwise, from the travel business conducted in the United States by TCI. Midland also contends that retention of TCI would be in the public interest because of the fragmentation of the U.S. travel agency industry and because TCI brings foreign revenues to the United States by virtue of its relationship with TCG.
Section 4(c)(9) of the Bank Holding Company Act provides that the nonbanking prohibitions of section 4 shall not apply to the investments or activities of a foreign company that conducts the greater part of its business outside the U.S. if the Board by regulation or order determines that, under the circumstances and subject to the conditions set forth in the regulation or order, the exemption would not be substantially at variance with the purposes of the Bank Holding Company Act and would be in the public interest. In determining whether to grant an exemption under section 4(c)(9), the Board has generally considered among other things whether such exemption would
give the foreign institution a competitive advantage over domestic banking organizations. ${ }^{7}$
With respect to this application, the Board notes that not only are the travel agency activities of TCI impermissible for domestic banking organizations but TCI, in addition to providing travel services to its customers, provides nationwide outlets for the sale of Thomas Cook travelers checks and for the conducting of foreign currency transactions. Thus, Midland would be able, through TCI, to combine under common ownership and operation permissible section 4(c)(8) activities with the impermissible activity of operating a travel agency. No U.S. banking organization is able to market section 4(c)(8) services throughout the United States in the same manner. ${ }^{8}$ Midland's commitments regarding the separation of its U.S. travel and banking business do not reduce the competitive advantage Midland would gain over domestic organizations in the conduct of its permissible nonbanking activities. Thus, based on all the facts of record, the Board concludes that Midland's retention of the travel services of TCI would be substantially at variance with the purposes of the Bank Holding Company Act and that the application to retain TCI under section 4(c)(9) should be and is denied. Accordingly, under section 4(a)(2) of the Bank Holding Company Act, Midland must divest the travel agency operations of TCI within two years of acquiring Crocker, unless such period is extended for good cause by the Board or by the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco, pursuant to delegated authority. ${ }^{9}$
Midland has also indicated that it intends to retain certain indirect investments in the United States through foreign nonbanking companies on the basis of

[^30]section 2(h) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. § $1841(\mathrm{~h})$ ). In each instance, Midland has provided information on the size and amount of assets and revenues of the foreign company abroad and of its U.S. operations, and information on whether the activity of the U.S. operations is in the same general line of business as that of the foreign nonbanking company. From the information provided, it appears that retention of these investments is permissible under section 2(h).
Based on the foregoing and other considerations reflected in the record, the Board has determined that the applications under sections $3(a)(1)$ and $4(\mathrm{c})(8)$ of the Bank Holding Company Act and under the Edge Act should be and hereby approved subject to the following conditions:
(1) that Midland reduce its interest in EAB to five percent or less of EAB's shares within three years of consummation of the transaction; and
(2) that Midland divest the travel agency operations of TCI or reduce its interest in TCI to five percent or less of TCI's shares within 2 years of consummation of the transaction.

The periods referred to above may be extended for good cause by the Board or by the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco under delegated authority. The acquisition of Crocker shall not be made before the thirtieth calendar day following the effective date of this Order, or later than three months after the effective date of this Order unless such period is extended for good cause by the Board or by the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco, pursuant to delegated authority. The determination as to Midland's acquisition of Crocker's nonbank subsidiaries and retention of its own nonbank subsidiaries under section $4(\mathrm{c})(8)$ of the act is subject to the conditions set forth in section 225.4(c) of Regulation Y, and to the Board's authority to require such modification or termination of the activities of a bank holding company or any of its subsidiaries as the Board finds necessary to assure compliance with the provisions and purposes of the act and the Board's Orders and regulations issued thereunder, or to prevent evasion thereof.
By order of the Board of Governors, effective August 25, 1981.

Voting for these actions: Chairman Volcker and Governors Schultz, Wallich, Partee, and Gramley. Absent and not voting: Governors Teeters and Rice. Not voting on the insurance activities: Governors Schultz and Wallich.
(Signed) William W. Wiles,
[seal]
Secretary of the Board.

New England Merchants Company, Inc., Boston, Massachusetts

T.N.B. Financial Corp., Springfield, Massachusetts

## Order Approving Merger of Bank Holding Companies

New England Merchants Company, Inc., Boston, Massachusetts, a bank holding company within the meaning of the Bank Holding Company Act, has applied for the Board's approval under section 3(a)(5) of the act (12 U.S.C. § 1842(a)(5)) to merge with T.N.B. Financial Corp., Springfield, Massachusetts ("T.N.B."), under the name and charter of New England Merchants Company, Inc. ("Applicant").
Notice of the application, affording opportunity for interested persons to submit comments and views, has been given in accordance with section 3(b) of the act. The time for filing comments and views has expired and the Board has considered the application and all comments received in light of the factors set forth in section 3(c) of the act ( 12 U.S.C. § 1842 (c)).

Applicant, the fourth largest banking organization in Massachusetts, controls five banks with aggregate deposits of $\$ 2.0$ billion, representing 9.2 percent of total deposits in commercial banks in the state. ${ }^{1}$ T.N.B., the eighth largest banking organization in the state, controls six banks with total deposits of approximately $\$ 588.7$ million, representing 2.8 percent of total statewide commercial bank deposits. Upon consummation, the resulting banking organization would rank as the third largest in the state, controlling 12.0 percent of total deposits in commercial banks in the state. Although the proposed merger would increase the share of total deposits held by the five largest banking organizations in Massachusetts, in light of all the facts of record, ${ }^{2}$ it appears that consummation of the proposal would have only slightly adverse effects on the concentration of banking resources in the state.

Applicant's subsidiary banks do not compete in the five banking markets in which T.N.B. operates and the shortest distance between offices of Applicant and T.N.B. is 64 miles. Thus, consummation of the transaction would not have any effect on existing competition in any relevant area. T.N.B.'s subsidiary banks compete in the Springfield, Amherst-Northampton, Greenfield, North Adams-Williamstown and Athol

[^31]banking markets. The proposed merger would have no significant adverse effect on probable future competition in these markets because, in general, the markets are not attractive to de novo entry. Moreover, Applicant's banks are restricted by law from branching into these areas, and the alternatives available to Applicant for entering these markets are limited. Thus, consummation of the transaction would not result in any adverse effects on probable future competition in these markets.
Applicant's subsidiary banks operate in the Boston, Fall River, Cape Cod, and New Bedford banking markets. Although T.N.B. appears to have the resources to expand into these markets absent the proposed affiliation, there are several factors that mitigate any adverse effects on probable future competition that may result from the proposed merger. The New Bedford and Fall River markets are not considered attractive to de novo entry at this time, and T.N.B.'s subsidiary banks are prohibited by state law from branching into any of the markets in which Applicant's banks operate. Although the Boston banking market may be considered attractive to de novo entry, it is not a highly concentrated market ${ }^{3}$ and loss of T.N.B. as a future entrant would not have any serious adverse effects on competition. Moreover, in light of T.N.B.'s history of expansion, which has been limited to the western and central portions of the state, the Board is unable to conclude that T.N.B. is a likely entrant into any of the four markets in which Applicant competes. These markets are all located in the eastern part of Massachusetts and are a considerable distance from T.N.B. headquarters in Springfield. Moreover, there are substantial numbers of thrift institutions in each of these markets that compete to some extent with commercial banks, thus further mitigating any adverse competitive effects associated with the proposal. Therefore, the Board finds that consummation of the proposal would have only slightly adverse effects on probable future competition.

The financial and managerial resources of Applicant, T.N.B., and their subsidiaries are considered generally satisfactory and their future prospects favorable. Thus, considerations relating to banking factors are consistent with approval. Following consummation of the proposed transaction, Applicant will assist T.N.B. in offering simple interest loans and a onepercent interest rate reduction on such loans when payments are made by automatic transfer from the

[^32]customer's checking account. Affiliation with Applicant will enable T.N.B. to service larger borrowers through overline participation with Applicant's subsidiaries, and will allow T.N.B. to participate more actively in the secondary mortgage market which would enable its banks to become more reliable sources of mortgage funds. Accordingly, the Board concludes that considerations relating to the convenience and needs of the communities to be served lend weight toward approval. Based upon the foregoing and other considerations reflected in the record, the Board's judgment is that the proposed merger is in the public interest and that the application should be approved.

On the basis of the record, the application is approved for the reasons summarized above. The transaction shall not be made before the thirty days after the effective date of this Order, or later than three months after the effective date of this Order unless such period is extended for good cause by the Board or by the Federal Reserve Bank of Boston, pursuant to delegated authority.

By order of the Board of Governors, effective August 20, 1981.

Voting for this action: Chairman Volcker and Governors Schultz, Wallich, Partee, and Gramley. Absent and not voting: Governors Teeters and Rice.
(Signed) Barbara R. Lowrey, [SEAL] Assistant Secretary of the Board.

## Orders Issued Under Sections 3 and 4 of Bank Holding Company Act

Canadian Commercial Bank, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada

CCB Bancorp, Inc.
Los Angeles, California
Order Approving Formation of Bank Holding Companies and Engaging in Mortgage Banking Activities

Canadian Commercial Bank, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada ("CCB"), a foreign bank subject to certain provisions of the Bank Holding Company Act, ${ }^{1}$ and its subsidiary, CCB Bancorp, Inc., Los Angeles, Califor-

[^33]nia ("CCB Bancorp"), have applied for the Board's approval, pursuant to section $3(\mathrm{a})(1)$ of the act (12 U.S.C. § 1842(a)(1)) to become bank holding companies by acquiring, indirectly and directly, 40.12 percent of the voting shares of Westlands Bank, Santa Ana, California ("Bank"). ${ }^{2}$
CCB has also applied under Section 4(c)(8) of the act (12 U.S.C. § 1843 (c)(8)) and section 225.4(b)(2) of the Board's Regulation Y (12 C.F.R. § $225.4(\mathrm{~b})(2)$ ) for permission to engage de novo through its subsidiary, CCB Realty, Inc., Los Angeles, California ("CCB Realty'), in mortgage banking activities. Such activities have been determined by the Board to be closely related to banking (12 C.F.R. \& 225.4(a)(1)).
Notice of the applications, affording opportunity for interested persons to submit comments and views, has been given in accordance with sections 3 and 4 of the act ( 46 Federal Register 32,504 (1981)). The time for filing views and comments has expired, and the Board has considered the applications and all comments received in light of the factors set forth in section 3(c) of the act (12 U.S.C. § 1842 (c)) and the considerations specified in section 4(c)(8) of the act.
CCB is a Canadian bank with total assets and deposits (as of October 31, 1980) of approximately $\$ 768.4$ million and of $\$ 649.8$ million, respectively. CCB Bancorp is a nonoperating California corporation with no subsidiaries, organized for the purpose of becoming a bank holding company by acquiring Bank. Upon acquisition of Bank (deposits of $\$ 188.0$ million), CCB Bancorp and, indirectly CCB, would control the 39th largest commercial banking organization headquartered in California and would hold approximately 0.1 percent of the total deposits in commercial banks in the state. ${ }^{3}$ Bank is the 33 rd largest of 104 commercial banking organizations located in the Los Angeles banking market and holds approximately 0.2 percent of the total deposits in commercial banking organizations in the market. ${ }^{4}$ CCB Currently engages in business in the United States solely through an agency ("Agency") located in Los Angeles, California, which had loans totalling approximately $\$ 140.0$ million outstanding as of December 31, 1980. Although Agency

[^34]has no authority to accept deposits, it does compete directly for loans with Bank's Santa Ana branch and Laguna Niguel loan production office, both located in the Los Angeles banking market. However, following consummation of the proposal, Bank's market share and rank would be unchanged and numerous banking alternatives would remain within the market. It appears from these and other facts of record that consummation of the proposal would not result in any adverse effects upon existing or potential competition or increase the concentration of banking resources in any relevant area. Accordingly, the Board concludes that competitive considerations are consistent with approval of the applications.
The financial and managerial resources and future prospects of CCB, CCB Bancorp, and Bank appear generally satisfactory, particularly in light of the $\$ 5.0$ million in new capital that would be injected into Bank following consummation of this proposal. Accordingly, banking factors lend weight toward approval of the applications of CCB and CCB Bancorp to become bank holding companies. Upon consummation of the proposal, CCB intends to assist Bank in providing new and improved services to its customers. In this regard, the Board notes that CCB has particular expertise in the areas of commercial, industrial, and real estate lending, data processing services and mortgage banking, that will enable it to lend support to Bank's operations. Moreover, the increased flexibility and resources made available by CCB to Bank will assist Bank in continuing to meet the convenience and needs of its community. Thus, considerations relating to the convenience and needs of the community to be served lend weight toward approval of the applications to acquire Bank. Accordingly it is the Board's judgment that the acquisition of Bank by CCB and CCB Bancorp would be in the public interest and the applications should be approved.
CCB has also applied for permission to engage de novo through its subsidiary, CCB Realty, in the mortgage banking activities of making, acquiring and servicing loans and other extensions of credit secured by real estate mortgages and deeds of trust. These activities will include the origination, processing, servicing, and acquiring of mortgage loans or other extensions of credit secured by mortgages on residential single- and multi-family real estate, and commercial and industrial properties. In addition, CCB Realty's activities will include the purchase, sale or placement of mortgage loans, and the management and sale of property acquired through foreclosure. CCB Realty's activities will be conducted from an office in Los Angeles, California, serving the United States. It does not appear from the facts of record that commencement of mortgage banking activities by CCB through CCB

Realty would result in any adverse competitive effects in any market, while approval of the application would provide an alternative source of real estate credit in the United States. Accordingly, it is concluded that CCB's proposal to engage de novo through its subsidiary CCB Realty in mortgage banking activities can reasonably be expected to produce benefits to the public that outweigh any adverse effects that may be associated with the proposal. Furthermore, there is no evidence in the record indicating that these activities would result in any undue concentration of resources, decreased or unfair competition, conflicts of interests, unsound banking practices, or other adverse effects upon the public interest.
Based upon the foregoing and other considerations reflected in the record, it has been determined, in accordance with the provisions of section 4(c)(8) of the act, that the application to engage de novo in mortgage banking activities throughout the United States can reasonably be expected to produce favorable public benefits and should be approved.
Accordingly, the applications are approved for the reasons summarized above. The acquisition of shares of Bank shall not be made before the thirtieth calendar day following the effective date of this Order. The acquisition of Bank and the commencement of mortgage banking activities shall be made not later than three months after the effective date of this Order, unless such period is extended for good cause by the Board or by the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco, pursuant to delegated authority. The approval of CCB's mortgage banking activities is subject to the conditions set forth in section 225.4(c) of Regulation Y , and to the Board's authority to require reports by, and make examinations of holding companies and their subsidiaries, and to require such modification or termination of the activities of a bank holding company or its subsidiaries as the Board finds necessary to assure compliance with the provisions and purposes of the act and the Board's regulations and orders issued thereunder, or to prevent evasion thereof.
By order of the Board of Governors, effective August 17, 1981.

Voting for this action: Chairman Volcker and Governors Schultz, Wallich, Partee, Teeters, Rice, and Gramley.
(Signed) William W. Wiles,
[sEAL]
Secretary of the Board.

Credit and Commerce American Holdings, N.V.,

Willemstad, Netherlands Antilles
Credit and Commerce American Investment, B.V., Amsterdam, The Netherlands

FGB Holding Corporation, Washington, D.C.

## Order Approving Formation of Bank Holding Companies

Credit and Commerce American Holdings, N.V. ("CCAH"), Willemstad, Netherlands Antilles; Credit and Commerce American Investment, B.V. ("CCAI'), Amsterdam, The Netherlands; and FGB Holding Corporation ("FGB"), Washington, D.C., have applied for the Board's approval under section 3(a)(1) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. §1842(a)(1)) to become bank holding companies through the acquisition of FGB of up to 100 percent of the voting shares of Financial General Bankshares, Inc. ('FG'), Washington, D.C. FG is a grandfathered multi-state bank holding company with subsidiary banks in Maryland, New York, Tennessee, Virginia, and the District of Columbia. ${ }^{1}$

Applicants have also applied under section 4(c)(8) of the Act (12 U.S.C. § 1843(c)(8)) and section 225.4(b)(2) of the Board's Regulation Y (12 C.F.R. § $225.4(\mathrm{~b})(2)$ ) for permission to indirectly acquire, as an incident to their acquisition of FG, shares of National Mortgage Corporation, and Money Exchange Services, Inc., both of Washington, D.C. These companies are existing nonbanking subsidiaries of FG. National Mortgage Corporation, is a small, presently inactive, mortgage banking company, and Money Exchange Service Corporation provides electronic data processing services for certain affiliated banks. Such activities have been determined by the Board to be closely related to banking (12 C.F.R. § 225.4(a)(1) and (8)).
Notice of the applications, affording opportunity for interested persons to submit comments and views, has

[^35]been given in accordance with sections 3 and 4 of the act (45 Federal Register 85,521 (1980)), and the time for filing views and comments has expired. The Board has considered the applications and all comments received, including those of the Commissioner of Financial Institutions for the State of Virginia and several shareholders of $\mathrm{FG},{ }^{2}$ in light of the factors set forth in section 3(c) of the act (12 U.S.C. § 1842 (c)) and the considerations set forth in section 4 of the act.
CCAH and CCAI first applied to acquire FG in November 1978. The applications grew out of Securities and Exchange Commission ('SEC') allegations that certain individuals, some of whom are principals of CCAH and CCAI, had violated section 13(d) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 by acquiring, as a group, more than 5 percent of the equity securities of FG without making appropriate filings with the SEC. Without admitting or denying these allegations, the defendants entered into a consent agreement with the SEC; according to the terms of that agreement, certain of the defendants represented that they intended to make a tender offer for any and all shares of FG at the previously highest offered price, subject to obtaining appropriate regulatory approvals. CCAH and CCAI were created as the vehicles for making the tender offer.
When these applications were first filed in 1978, the Commissioner of Financial Institutions of the State of Virginia, the Commissioner of Banking of the State of Tennessee, and the Bank Commissioner of the State of Maryland, as well as the management of FG, objected to the applications. In addition, the Attorney General for the State of Maryland issued an opinion interpreting a section of Maryland state law to preclude unfriendly affiliations. Because the Maryland state bank affiliate of FG was objecting to the proposal, the Attorney General found that the proposed acquisition of FG would violate Maryland law. The Board decided to address this legal issue before acting on the merits of the applications, and by Order dated February 15, 1979 (65 Federal Reserve Bulletin 254 (1979)), determined that it was precluded by law from approving the applications. ${ }^{3}$
In July 1980, CCAH and CCAI and their principals, and FG entered into a definitive agreement for the sale of FG's voting shares to CCAH and CCAI. This agreement concluded the struggle over control of FG between FG's management and CCAH and CCAI and their principals, and led to the filing of the subject applications.

[^36]Applicants are non-operating corporations organized for the purpose of becoming bank holding companies by acquiring FG. CCAH, a corporation organized under the laws of the Netherlands Antilles, owns all of the outstanding shares of CCAI, which is organized under the laws of The Netherlands. CCAI, in turn, owns all of the outstanding shares of FGB, a corporation chartered under the laws of the state of Virginia. Upon acquisition of FG (total deposits of $\$ 2.1$ billion), Applicants would control 10.2 percent of total deposits in commercial banks in the District of Columbia, 4.7 percent of such deposits in Virginia, 2.2 percent in Maryland, and negligible percentages of such deposits in New York and Tennessee. ${ }^{4}$ Inasmuch as Applicants and their principals control no other banks and engage in no nonbanking business in the United States, consummation of the transaction would have no adverse effects on either existing or potential competition in any relevant market, and would not increase the concentration of resources in any relevant area. Therefore, competitive considerations are cort sistent with approval of the applications.

The financial and managerial resources of Applicants, FG, and its subsidiary banks are considered generally satisfactory and the future prospects of each appear favorable. The proposed transaction would provide FG with $\$ 12$ million in new capital. Moreover, the Board expects Applicants to serve as a continuing source of strength to FG and its subsidiary banks, and Applicants recognize their responsibility to do so. Although Applicants will incur $\$ 50$ million in debt in connection with this proposal, Applicants have made certain commitments that ensure that they will be able to service the debt without adversely affecting the financial position of FG or its subsidiary banks. Also, as part of the proposal, Applicants have stated they will not be paying any dividends to their principals in the near future. In the Board's judgment, banking factors are consistent with approval.

Convenience and needs considerations relating to this proposal are favorable. The additional capital to be injected into FG's subsidiary banks is expected to

[^37]strengthen the organization and allow it to provide new services to the public. Applicants plan to increase the competitive posture of FG by expanding the branch networks of its subsidiary banks, by increasing commercial lending and services, and by establishing an international department at the New York City subsidiary bank. The Board finds that considerations relating to the convenience and needs of the communities to be served lend some weight toward approval of these applications. The Board's judgment is that, with respect to the applications filed under section 3 of the act, consummation of the proposal would be in the public interest and these applications should be approved.
In reaching these conclusions, the Board considered the public comments received on these applications, and has given particular attention to the submissions made by the Commissioner of Financial Institutions for the State of Virginia (the "Commissioner"). The Commissioner made a timely recommendation of denial of these applications, which would ordinarily require the Board, in accordance with section 3(b) of the act (12 U.S.C. § $1842(\mathrm{~b})$ ), to order a formal hearing on the applications. However, the Commissioner subsequently concurred in a decision by the Virginia State Corporation Commission to withdraw the request for a formal hearing.
The Board determined it would be useful for Board and Reserve Bank staff to conduct an informal meeting, on the record, to be attended by representatives of CCAH and CCAI. The bank supervisors for the states of Maryland, New York, Tennessee and Virginia, and the Comptroller of the Currency were invited to participate. Only the Commissioner decided to participate in this proceeding held at the Board on April 23, 1981, while all the other invited parties, except for the Banking Department of the State of Tennessee, sent representatives as observers.
The Commissioner was given an opportunity to submit written questions to the Applicants, to make an oral presentation at the meeting, and to submit a closing statement in response to issues and questions raised by representatives of CCAH and CCAI at the meeting. The Board has examined carefully all of these comments, and Applicants' responses thereto, and determined that while the Commissioner has raised issues regarding foreign acquisitions of U.S. banks, and supervisory and regulatory issues related to such acquisitions, these matters were addressed responsively by Applicants, and, in certain instances, have previously been addressed by the Board itself. ${ }^{5}$ Ac-

[^38]cordingly, the Board finds that the objections of the Commissioner do not warrant denial of these applications.

With respect to the applications to acquire FG's nonbank subsidiaries, the Board has determined that the balance of public interest factors prescribed by section 4(c)(8) of the act favor approval of FG's retention of National Mortgage Corporation ( 65 Federal Reserve Bulletin 72 (1979)). Nothing in the record suggests that Applicants' acquisition of FG would alter that balance. Money Exchange Services, Inc., provides data processing services to FG's subsidiary banks. It does not appear that the acquisition of this company would have any adverse effect on competition in any relevant area. There is no evidence in the record that consummation of the proposal would, with respect to these applications, result in undue concentration of resources, decreased or unfair competition, conflicts of interests, unsound banking practices or other adverse effects on the public interest. Accordingly, the Board has determined that the balance of public interest factors it must consider under section 4(c)(8) of the act favors approval of the applications filed under that section, and that these applications should be approved.

On the basis of the record, the applications are approved for the reasons summarized above. The acquisition of FG shall not be made before the thirtieth calendar day following the effective date of this Order, or later than three months after the effective date of this Order unless such period is extended for good cause by the Board or by the Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond, pursuant to delegated authority. The determination as to Applicant's acquisition of FG's nonbank subsidiaries under section $4(\mathrm{c})(8)$ of the act is subject to the conditions set forth in section 225.4(c) of Regulation Y, and to the Board's authority to require such modification or termination of the activities of a holding company or any of its subsidiaries as the Board finds necessary to assure compliance with the provisions and purposes of the act and the Board's regulations and orders issued thereunder, or to prevent evasion thereof.
By order of the Board of Governors, effective August 25, 1981.

Voting for these actions: Chairman Volcker and Governors Schultz, Wallich, Partee, and Gramley. Absent and not voting: Governors Teeters and Rice.

## (Signed) William W. Wiles, <br> Secretary of the Board.

[SEAL]

[^39]
# Orders Issued Under Section 4 of Bank Holding Company Act 

## Citicorp,

New York, New York

## Order Approving Retail Check Authorization and Check Guarantee Activities

Citicorp, New York, New York, a bank holding company within the meaning of the Bank Holding Company Act, has applied for the Board's approval, under section 4(c)(8) of the Board's Regulation Y (12 C.F.R. § 225.4(b)(2)), to engage de novo, through an existing nonbank subsidiary, Citicorp Financial, Inc. ("CFI'), Towson, Maryland, in the activity of retail check authorization. Under the proposal, CFI, for a fee, would authorize merchants by telephone or on-line computer terminal, to accept checks written by Choice cardholders. ${ }^{1}$ In addition, CFI would guarantee payment of all accepted checks by purchasing from merchants all dishonored checks that it had validly authorized. CFI would charge the cardholder's account for the amount of any dishonored checks.

The activity of engaging in retail check authorization and check guarantee as proposed by Applicant has not been added to the Board's list of permissible activities for bank holding companies found in Regulation Y. (12 C.F.R. § 255.4(a)). However, in connection with an earlier application, the Board determined by order that the activity of retail check authorization and check guarantee is closely related to banking. (Barnett Banks of Florida, Inc., 65 Federal Reserve Bulletin 263 (1979)). As noted in this earlier decision, banks have in fact engaged in the proposed activity. Furthermore, various aspects of the proposed activity are operationally similar to normal bank functions and services, such as check processing, credit data file maintenance, data processing, and overdraft protection, that are currently engaged in or provided by banks. Accordingly, the Board has determined that retail check authorization and check guarantee activities as Applicant proposes are closely related to banking. ${ }^{2}$

Notice of the application, affording interested persons an opportunity to submit comments and views on public interest factors, has been duly published ( 46 Federal Register 28745 (1981)). The time for filing comments and views has expired, and the Board has considered the application and all comments received in light of the public interest factors set forth in section 4(c)(8) of the act.

Applicant is a bank holding company by virtue of its control of Citibank, N.A., New York, New York (total deposits of $\$ 72.5$ billion), and Citibank (New York state), N.A., Buffalo, New York (total deposits of \$2.1 billion), and together they constitute the largest banking organization in New York state. ${ }^{3}$ Applicant's subsidiary banks in the aggregate control 13 percent of the total deposits of commercial banks in the state. Applicant also engages in various other permissible nonbanking activities.
In order to approve this application, the Board is required to determine that the performance of the proposed activities by CFI, "can reasonably be expected to produce benefits to the public, such as greater convenience, increased competition, or gains in efficiency that outweigh possible adverse effects, such as undue concentration of resources, decreased or unfair competition, conflicts of interest, or unsound banking practices." (12 U.S.C. § 1843(c)(8)).
Applicant's proposed retail check authorization and check guarantee service would benefit merchants by providing a convenient means for decreasing badcheck losses. The convenience of individual consumers would be enhanced by the proposed activity since their personal checks would be more readily accepted for the purchase of goods and services from merchants. The de novo entry of Applicant into the check authorization business would increase the level of competition among check authorization systems already in operation. Accordingly, the Board has concluded that the performance of the proposed activities by Applicant is likely to produce significant benefits to the public.
With respect to possible adverse effects, nothing in the record indicates that Applicant's proposal would result in any undue concentration of resources. The Board recognizes the potential in the proposal for unfair competition or conflicts of interest with respect to the authorization of checks not drawn on subsidiary banks of Applicant. However, the Board relies on Applicant's commitment that CFI in performing these activities will not discriminate against checks drawn on banks that are not subsidiaries of Applicant. In addition, neither of Applicant's two principal banking subsidiaries operate banking offices in the area in which CFI's check guarantee program would operate. Also, the risk to Applicant associated with this proposal appears to be no more than the usual banking risk because CFI will maintain a credit relationship with the consumers whose checks are guaranteed. Further, Applicant is fully aware of section 106 of the 1970 Amendments to the Act and section 225.4(c) of the

[^40][^41]Board's Regulation Y, which prohibit a bank holding company and its subsidiaries from engaging in impermissible tie-in arrangements in connection with extensions of credit, sales of property, or the furnishing of services.

Based upon the foregoing and other considerations reflected in the record, the Board has determined that the balance of the public interest factors, which the Board is required to consider under section 4(c)(8) of the act, is favorable. Accordingly, the application is hereby approved. This determination is subject to the conditions set forth in section 225.4(c) of Regulation Y and the Board's authority to require such modification or termination of the activities of a holding company or any of its subsidiaries as the Board finds necessary to assure compliance with the provisions and purposes of the act and the Board's regulations and orders issues thereunder, or to prevent evasion thereof.

The transaction shall not be made later than three months after the effective date of this Order, unless such period is extended for good cause by the Board or by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York.

By order of the Board of Governors, effective August 3, 1981.

Voting for this action: Chairman Volcker and Governors Schultz, Wallich, Teeters, Rice, and Gramley. Absent and not voting: Governor Partee.
(Signed) William W. Wiles,
[seal]
Secretary of the Board.

Western Kentucky Bancshares, Inc., Livermore, Kentucky

Order Vacating in Part and Affirming in Part Reserve Bank Order Approving de novo Insurance Activities

Western Kentucky Bancshares, Inc. ("Applicant'), Livermore, Kentucky, notified the Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis ("Reserve Bank") of its proposal, under section $4(\mathrm{c})(8)$ of the Bank Holding Company Act ( 12 U.S.C. § 1843 (c)(8)) and section 225.4(b) (1) of the Board's Regulation Y, to engage de novo, through its subsidiary, Big Rivers Insurance Agency, Inc. ("Agency"), Livermore, Kentucky, in certain insurance agency activities. These activities include acting as insurance agent or broker with respect to the sale of accident and health, and property and casualty insurance, which is directly related to extensions of credit by Applicant's subsidiary bank, Farmers \& Merchants Bank, Livermore, Kentucky. On April 20, 1981, based upon all the facts of record as of that date, the Reserve

Bank determined that the public interest factors required to be considered under section 4(c)(8) of the act outweighed possible adverse effects and, accordingly, the Reserve Bank approved the subject proposal pursuant to delegated authority.

On May 4, 1981, the Independent Insurance Agents of Kentucky, Inc. ("IIAK"), which had objected to the proposal during its pendency at the Reserve Bank, filed a timely petition for review of the Reserve Bank's approval of that proposal. ${ }^{1}$ The petition questioned the legality of certain of Applicant's proposed insurance activities under state law, and in addition it questioned the correctness of the opinion of the Commissioner of the Kentucky Department of Banking and Securities ("State Commissioner") that the proposed activities were permissible under state law.
The legality of the proposed activities under state law was questioned by IIAK in connection with Applicant's original notification of its proposed insurance activities. IIAK contended that Agency would be prohibited under section 287.030(3) of Kentucky Revised Statutes from engaging in certain of the proposed insurance activities. The pertinent portions of that section provided as follows:
"no person who hereafter owns or acquires more than onehalf ( $1 / 2$ ) of the capital stock of one (1) bank or combined bank and trust company shall: (a) own or acquire directly or indirectly any capital stock in any other bank or combined bank and trust company domiciled in Kentucky, or (b) act as insurance agent or broker with respect to any insurance except credit life insurance, credit health insurance, insurance of the interest of a real property mortgagee in mortgaged property, other than title insurance . . ."

The State Commissioner was consulted regarding his interpretation of the above-quoted language and the effect of that provision upon the subject proposal. In his response of March 26, 1981, the State Commissioner concluded that the above statutory language does not prohibit the acquisition of an independent insurance agency by a bank holding company, notwithstanding the fact that such agency is engaged in insurance activities that the bank holding company itself would be prohibited from engaging indirectly under KRS § 287.030(3), provided that the subsidiary operates as an independent entity. The State Commissioner observed that the phrase "directly or indirectly" appears in part (a) of the statute, but not in part

[^42](b), and relying on the literal words of the statute, he concluded that the prohibition contained in part (b), regarding insurance activities, did not apply to indirect activities. The State Commissioner concluded, therefore, that KRS $\S 287.030$ (3) would not prohibit Applicant from engaging indirectly, through Agency, in the proposed insurance activities including acting as agent or broker with respect to the sale of property and casualty insurance, an activity that Applicant would be prohibited from engaging in. Although the Reserve Bank might not have interpreted the above provision in the same manner as the State Commissioner, and so informed the protestants to the subject application, the State Commissioner's interpretation was found to be reasonable. Therefore, in accordance with the State Commissioner's interpretation, the Reserve Bank concluded that KRS § 287.030(3) does not prohibit Applicant's proposed insurance activities through agency.

Following the Reserve Bank's approval of the subject proposal, IIAK requested that the Kentucky Attorney General issue an interpretation of the relevant provision of KRS § $287.030(3)$. On May 8, 1981, the Attorney General issued his opinion that a Kentucky bank holding company would be prohibited under the above-cited section of law from acquiring a company that would perform insurance activities that the bank holding company itself would be prohibited from engaging in under state law. The Attorney General opined that it was the clear intent of the state legislature to limit the involvement of Kentucky bank holding companies in insurance activities and any construction authorizing such a holding company to engage in more extensive insurance activities indirectly through a subsidiary would render the limitation a nullity. Thus, because Applicant would be prohibited, under state law, from engaging in the proposed property and casualty insurance activities, Applicant could not, in the Attorney General's view, engage in such activities indirectly through Agency.

Under Kentucky law, the Attorney General is the chief law officer of the state and all its departments and commissions, and is authorized to furnish written opinions touching the official duties of any state or local officials. Although opinions of the Attorney General are advisory in nature and not legally binding on officials or other parties, there is a tendency for state officials to follow such opinions. Accordingly, the State Commissioner does not appear to be legally bound to follow the Attorney General's opinion, and has indicated that he will not follow the Attorney General's opinion in this case.

Under section 4(c)(8) of the act, the Board is preliminarily required, in accordance with Supreme Court
decisions, to make determinations or assumptions with respect to the legality of an Applicant's proposal, and to determine whether an Applicant's proposed activities would produce public benefits that outweigh possible adverse effects. ${ }^{2}$ In this connection, the Supreme Court has indicated that the Board may not approve an application by a bank holding company if consummation of the proposal contemplated by such application would be prohibited by a valid state law. ${ }^{3}$ In view of the ambiguity of the relevant provision of Kentucky law, as well as the conflicting opinions of the State Commissioner and the Attorney General regarding the interpretation thereof, the Board has decided to follow the more limited interpretation of that provision of state law which would prohibit a Kentucky bank holding company from directly or indirectly engaging in any insurance activities except those specified in KRS § 287.030(3). Under this interpretation, Applicant would be prohibited by state law from engaging in certain of its proposed activities, specifically, acting as agent or broker with respect to the sale of property and casualty insurance. Accordingly, the Board hereby vacates that portion of the Reserve Bank's Order of April 20, 1981, approving Applicant's proposal to engage, through Agency, in the activity of acting as insurance agent or broker with respect to the sale of property and casualty insurance which is directly related to extensions of credit, and, in addition, it denies Applicant's proposal to engage in such property and casualty insurance activities. The Board, however, affirms in all other respects the Reserve Bank's Order.

By order of the Board of Governors, effective August 6, 1981.

[^43](Signed) William W. Wiles, [seal] Secretary of the Board.

[^44]Orders Approving Applications Under the Bank Holding Company Act and Bank Merger act

## By the Board of Governors

During August 1981, the Board of Governors approved the applications listed below. Copies are available upon request to Publications Services, Division of Support Services, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551.

## Section 3

| Applicant | Bank(s) | Board action (effective date) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agri Bancorporation, Holyoke, Colorado | Sedgwick County Bank, Julesburg, Colorado | August 24, 1981 |
| The Chugwater Corporation, Chugwater, Wyoming | First National Bank of Chugwater, Chugwater, Wyoming | August 7, 1981 |
| FirstBank Holding Company of Colorado, Lakewood, Colorado | FirstBank of Avon, Avon, Colorado | August 11, 1981 |
| FirstBank Holding Company, <br> Lakewood, Colorado |  |  |
| First International Bancshares, Inc., Dallas, Texas | The First National Bank in Mount Pleasant, Mount Pleasant, Texas | August 25, 1981 |
| First International Bancshares, Inc., Dallas, Texas | Paris Bank of Texas, Paris, Texas | August 28, 1981 |
| Independent Bank Holding Company, Englewood, Colorado | Western National Bank of Denver, Denver, Colorado | August 31, 1981 |
| The Moorcroft Corporation, Moorcroft, Wyoming | Moorcroft State Bank, Moorcroft, Moorcroft, Wyoming | August 10, 1981 |
| The Newcastle Corporation, Newcastle, Wyoming | National Bank of Newcastle, Newcastle, Wyoming | August 10, 1981 |
| Southwest Bancshares, Inc., Houston, Texas | Fort Worth Bancshares Inc., Fort Worth, Texas <br> Fort Worth Bank and Trust, Fort Worth, Texas | August 10, 1981 |
| Southwest Bancshares, Inc., Houston, Texas | Mansfield State Bank, Mansfield, Texas | August 14, 1981 |
| Texas American Bancshares Inc., Fort Worth, Texas | Fondren Southwest Bank, Houston, Texas | August 20, 1981 |
| Thomas County Bankshares of Colby, Kansas, Inc., Colby, Kansas | Thomas County Bankshares, Inc., Colby, Kansas | August 4, 1981 |

## Sections 3 and 4

| Applicant | Bank(s) | Nonbanking <br> company <br> (or activity) | Reserve <br> Bank | Effective <br> date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Greene Investment <br> Co., <br> Coon Rapids, Iowa | Home State Bank, <br> Jefferson, Iowa <br> Greene County Agri- <br> cultural Credit Cor- <br> poration, <br> Jefferson, Iowa | Co engage in the sale of <br> credit life and disabil- <br> ity insurance | August 10, 1981 |  |

## Section 4

| Applicant | Nonbanking <br> company <br> (or activity) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Provident National Corporation <br> Philadelphia, Pennsylvania | L. S. Consulting Corp., <br> Philadelphia, Pennsylvania |
| date |  |

## By Federal Reserve Banks

Recent applications have been approved by the Federal Reserve Banks as listed below. Copies of the orders are available upon request to the Reserve Banks.

Section 3

| Applicant | Bank(s) | Reserve Bank | Effective date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Belle Plaine Service Corp., Des Moines, Iowa | Citizens State Bank, Belle Plaine, Iowa | Chicago | August 10, 1981 |
| The Bradley Corporation, Bradley, Arkansas | The Bank of Bradley, Bradley, Arkansas | St. Louis | August 28, 1981 |
| Brenton Banks, Inc., Des Moines, Iowa | Community Holding Company, Knoxville, Iowa <br> The Community National Bank \& Trust Company of Knoxville, Knoxville, Iowa | Chicago | August 12, 1981 |
| Burchard Bankshares, Inc., Tecumseh, Nebraska | State Bank of Burchard, Burchard, Nebraska | Kansas City | July 31, 1981 |
| CTS Bancorporation, Eldridge, Iowa | Central Trust and Savings Bank, Eldridge, Iowa | Chicago | August 18, 1981 |
| Cambridge Capital Co., Cambridge, Minnesota | Peoples State Bank of Cambridge, Cambridge, Minnesota | Minneapolis | August 6,1981 |
| Citizens Financial Services, Inc., Aurora, Colorado | Citizens Bank of Aurora, Aurora, Colorado | Kansas City | August 6, 1981 |
| City Bancshares, Inc., Mineral Wells, Texas | The City National Bank of Mineral Wells, <br> Mineral Wells, Texas | Dallas | July 31, 1981 |

## Section 3-Continued

| Applicant | Bank(s) | Reserve <br> Bank | Effective date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commerical Bancshares, Inc., Tulsa, Oklahoma | Commercial Bank, Tulsa, Oklahoma | Kansas City | July 17, 1981 |
| Dakota Bank Holding Co., Aberdeen, South Dakota | Bank of Cresbard, Cresbard, South Dakota | Minneapolis | August 7, 1981 |
| Dakota Bankshares, Inc., Fargo, North Dakota | Liberty National Bank and Trust Company, <br> Dickinson, North Dakota | Minneapolis | August 24, 1981 |
| Emerson First National Company, Schuyler, Nebraska | The First National Bank, Emerson, Nebraska | Kansas City | August 14, 1981 |
| First Fairfield Bankshares, Inc., Fairfield, Texas | First National Bank, Fairfield, Texas | Dallas | August 6, 1981 |
| First Healdton Bancorporation, Inc., Healdton, Oklahoma | Bank of Healdton, Healdton, Oklahoma | Kansas City | August 11, 1981 |
| First Jefferson Corporation, Biloxi, Mississippi | The Jefferson Bank, Biloxi, Mississippi | Atlanta | August 13, 1981 |
| Fort Gibson Bancshares, Inc., Fort Gibson, Oklahoma | Fort Gibson State Bank, Fort Gibson, Oklahoma | Kansas City | July 30, 1981 |
| Franklin Bancorp, Inc., College Grove, Tennessee | Bank of College Grove, College Grove, Tennessee | Altanta | August 6, 1981 |
| GNB Bancorporation, Grundy Center, Iowa | The Grundy National Bank of Grundy Center, Grundy Center, Iowa | Chicago | August 10, 1981 |
| Gulfstream Banks, Inc., Boca Raton, Florida | ```Summit Banking Corporation, Tamarac, Florida Summit Bank, Tamarac, Florida``` | Atlanta | August 14, 1981 |
| Jeffries Insurance Agency, Inc., Buckner, Missouri | First State Bank of Buckner, Buckner, Missouri | Kansas City | August 14, 1981 |
| Kavanaugh Bancshares, Inc., Walker, Missouri | The Farmers Bank of Walker Walker, Missouri | Kansas City | July 24, 1981 |
| Liberty Bancshares, Inc., Brentwood, Tennessee | Liberty Bank, Brentwood, Tennessee | Atlanta | August 14, 1981 |
| Lytton Bancorporation, Lytton, Iowa | Lytton Savings Bank, Lytton, Iowa | Chicago | August 20, 1981 |
| Mercer Bancorp, Inc., Mercer, Missouri | The Peoples Bank of Mercer, Mercer, Missouri | Kansas City | August 14, 1981 |
| Michigan National Corporation, Bloomfield Hills, Michigan | Peoples State Bank of East Tawas, East Tawas, Michigan | Chicago | August 11, 1981 |
| Michigan National Corporation, Bloomfield Hills, Michigan | The Midwest Bank, Jackson, Michigan | Chicago | August 18, 1981 |
| Mountain Valley Bankshares, Inc., Conifer, Colorado | Mountain Valley Bank, Conifer, Colorado | Kansas City | August 3, 1981 |
| Mustang Community Ban Corp., Mustang, Oklahoma | Mustang Community Bank, Mustang, Oklahoma | Kansas City | August 3, 1981 |
| National City Bancorporation, Minneapolis, Minnesota | National City Bank of Ridgedale, Minnetonka, Minnesota | Minneapolis | August 19, 1981 |
| North Fork Bancorporation, Inc., Mattituck, New York | The North Fork Bank and Trust Company, <br> Mattituck, New York | New York | August 21, 1981 |

Section 3-Continued

| Applicant | Bank(s) | Reserve Bank | Effective date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Palos Bancshares, Inc., Palos Heights, Illinois | Palos Bank and Trust Company, Palos Heights, Illinois | Chicago | August 7, 1981 |
| Peoples Bankshares, Ltd., Waterloo, lowa | Parkersburg State Bank, Parkersburg, Iowa | Chicago | August 24, 1981 |
| Perry Bancshares, Inc., Perry, Missouri | Perry State Bank, Perry, Missouri | St. Louis | August 14, 1981 |
| Platteville Capital Corporation, Platteville, Colorado | The Platteville State Bank, Platteville, Colorado | Kansas City | July 24, 1981 |
| Port Neches Bancshares, Inc., Port Neches, Texas | The First National Bank of Port Neches, Port Neches, Texas | Dallas | August 7, 1981 |
| Puget Sound Bancorp, Tacoma, Washington | Puget Sound National Bank, Tacoma, Washington | San Francisco | August 21, 1981 |
| Security National Corporation, Sioux City, Iowa | The First National Bank of Akron, Akron, Iowa | Chicago | August 18, 1981 |
| Shattuck Bancshares, Inc., Shattuck, Oklahoma | The Shattuck National Bank, Shattuck, Oklahoma | Kansas City | August 14, 1981 |
| Shawmut Corporation, Boston, Massachusetts | First Melville Bancorp, Inc., New Bedford, Massachusetts | Boston | August 12, 1981 |
| Shawneetown Bancorp, Inc., Shawneetown, Illinois | First National Bank in Shawneetown, Shawneetown, Illinois | St. Louis | August 7, 1981 |
| Sheridan Bancorp, Inc., Sheridan, Illinois | Sheridan State Bank, Sheridan, Illinois | Chicago | August 25, 1981 |
| Sherburn Bancshares, Inc., Sherburn, Minnesota | Farmers State Bank of Sherburn, Sherburn, Minnesota | Minneapolis | August 28, 1981 |
| Society Corporation, Cleveland, Ohio | Lancaster National Bank, Lancaster, Ohio | Cleveland | August 6, 1981 |
| Southwest Bancorporation, Inc., Minneapolis, Minnesota | First American State Bank of Brownsdale, <br> Brownsdale, Minnesota | Minneapolis | July 30, 1981 |
| Southwest Florida Banks, Inc., Fort Myers, Florida | Peoples Bank of Hillsborough County, <br> Tampa, Florida | Atlanta | August 7, 1981 |
| Texas Commerce Bancshares, Inc., Houston, Texas | First National Bank of Stafford, Houston, Texas | Dallas | August 13, 1981 |
| Troy Bancorp, Inc., Troy, Tennessee | Bank of Troy, Troy, Tennessee | St. Louis | August 14, 1981 |
| Twin Cities Financial Services, Inc., Maryville, Tennessee | Citizens Bank of Blount County, Maryville, Tennessee | Atlanta | August 20, 1981 |
| Union Planters Corporation, Memphis, Tennessee | Union Planters Bank of Nashville, Nashville, Tennessee | St. Louis | August 21, 1981 |
| Westex Bancorp, Inc., Del Rio, Texas | The First State Bank, Brackettville, Texas | Dallas | July 27, 1981 |
| Wyoming Bancorporation Cheyenne, Wyoming | First Wyoming Bank, N.A.Torrington, Torrington, Wyoming | Kansas City | July 31, 1981 |

## Sections 3 and 4

| Applicant | Bank(s) | Nonbanking <br> company <br> (or activity) | Reserve <br> Bank |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| V\& V Holding Com- <br> pany <br> Lander, Wyoming | Central Trust Company <br> Lander, Wyoming <br> Central Bank and Trust <br> Lander, Wyoming | trust company <br> activities | Kansas City |
| date |  |  |  |

## Pending Cases Involving the Board of Governors*

*This list of pending cases does not include suits against the Federal Reserve Banks in which the Board of Governors is not named a party.

Bank Stationers Association, Inc., et al v. Board of Governors, filed July 1981, U.S.D.C. for the Northern District of Georgia.
Public Interest Bounty Hunters v. Board of Governors, et al., filed June 1981. U.S.D.C. for the Northern District of Georgia.
Edwin F. Gordon v. John Heimann, et al., filed May 1981, U.S.C.A. for the Fifth Circuit.
Louis J. Roussell v. Board of Governors, filed May 1981, U.S.C.A. for the District of Columbia.
Wilshire Oil Company of Texas v. Board of Governors, et al., filed April 1981, U.S.C.A. for the Third Circuit.
People of the State of Arkansas v. Board of Governors, et al., filed March 1981, U.S.C.A. for the Western District of Arkansas.
First Bank \& Trust Company v. Board of Governors, filed February 1981, U.S.D.C. for the Eastern District of Kentucky.
Ellis E. St. Rose \& James H. Sibbet v. Board of Governors, filed February 1981, U.S.D.C. for the District of Columbia.
Option Advisory Service, Inc. v. Board of Governors, et al., filed February 1981, U.S.C.A. for the Second Circuit.
9 to 5 Organization for Women Office Workers v. Board of Governors, filed December 1980, U.S.D.C. for the District of Massachusetts.

Securities Industry Association v. Board of Governors, et al., filed October 1980, U.S.D.C for the District of Columbia.
Securities Industry Association v. Board of Governors, et al., filed October 1980, U.S.C.A. for the District of Columbia.
A. G. Becker, Inc., v. Board of Governors, et al., filed October 1980, U.S.D.C. for the District of Columbia.
A. G. Becker, Inc., v. Board of Governors, et al., filed October 1980, U.S.C.A. for the District of Columbia.
Independent Insurance Agents of America and Independent Insurance Agents of Missouri v. Board of Governors, filed September 1980, U.S.C.A. for the Eighth Circuit.
Nebraska Bankers Association, et al. v. Board of Governors, et al,, filed September 1980, U.S.D.C. for the District of Nebraska.
Republic of Texas Corporation v. Board of Governors, filed September 1980, U.S.C.A. for the Fifth Circuit.
A. G. Becker, Inc. v. Board of Governors, et al., filed August 1980, U.S.D.C. for the District of Columbia.
Otero Savings and Loan Association v. Board of Governors, filed August 1980, U.S.D.C. for the District of Colorado.
Edwin F. Gordon v. Board of Governors, et al., filed August 1980, U.S.C.A. for the Fifth Circuit.
U.S. League of Savings Associations v. Depository Institutions Deregulation Committee, et al., filed June 1980, U.S.D.C. for the District of Columbia.
Berkovitz, et al. v. Government of Iran, et al., filed June 1980, U.S.D.C. for the Northern District of California.
Mercantile Texas Corporation v. Board of Governors, filed May 1980, U.S.C.A. for the Fifth Circuit.
Corbin, Trustee v. United States, filed May 1980, United States Court of Claims.
Louis J. Roussel v. Comptroller of the Currency and Federal Reserve Board, filed April 1980, U.S.D.C. for the District of Columbia.
County National Bancorporation and TGB Co. v. Board of Governors, filed September 1979, U.S.C.A. for the Eighth Circuit.

Donald W. Riegle, Jr. v. Federal Open Market Committee, filed July 1979, U.S.D.C. for the District of Columbia.
Security Bancorp and Security National Bank v. Board of Governors, filed March 1978, U.S.C.A. for the Ninth Circuit.

Roberts Farms, Inc. v. Comptroller of the Currency, et al., filed November 1975, U.S.D.C. for the Southern District of California.
Darnell Hilliard v. G. William Miller, et al., filed

September 1976, U.S.C.A. for the District of Columbia.
David Merrill, et al. v. Federal Open Market Committee, filed May 1975, U.S.D.C. for the District of Columbia.
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1.10 MONETARY AGGREGATES AND INTEREST RATES

| Item | 1980 |  | 1981 |  | 1981 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Q3 | Q4 | Q1 | Q2 | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July |
|  | Monctary and credit aggregates <br> (annual rates of change, seasonally adjusted in percent) ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reserves of depository institutions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Total.... | $7.0{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $16.7{ }^{\prime}$ | $2.8{ }^{r}$ | $3.3{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $3.7{ }^{r}$ | $6.0^{r}$ | $8.5 r$ | $-5.8{ }^{r}$ | 7.9 |
| 2 Required. | $6.1^{r}$ | $15.6^{\prime}$ | $4.1^{r}$ | $4.3{ }^{\prime}$ | $4.6{ }^{r}$ | $9.8{ }^{r}$ | $7.1^{r}$ | $-8.1^{\prime}$ | 7.9 |
| 3 Nonborrowed. | $12.9{ }^{\prime}$ | 7.2 | 7.8 r | -3.3 r | $13.6{ }^{\prime}$ | $-4.5{ }^{r}$ | $-19.4{ }^{r}$ | $0.1{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 19.9 |
| 4 Monetary base ${ }^{2}$ | 9.5 | 10.6 | $5.1{ }^{r}$ | 5.3 r | $3.7 \%$ | $6.9{ }^{r}$ | $8.5{ }^{r}$ | $0.2{ }^{\prime}$ | 8.9 |
| 5 Concepts of money and liquid assets ${ }^{3}$ | 11.3 | 8.2 | -20.8 | -5.3 | -4.6 | 2.6 | -5.6 | $-9.9$ | $-2.0$ |
| 6 M1-B. . . . . . . . . . . | 13.9 | 10.8 | 4.9 | 8.7 | 13.1 | 22.3 | -6.1 | $-7.5$ | 3.6 |
| $7 \mathrm{M} 2 \ldots$. | 15.4 | 8.1 | $8.3{ }^{\prime}$ | 10.6 | 16.2 | 13.6 | 3.7 | 4.1 | 7.5 |
| 8 M3 | 13.1 | 11.3 | 12.4 | $10.6{ }^{\prime}$ | $10.8{ }^{r}$ | $11.1{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $8.7{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | $10.6^{r}$ | 8.7 |
| 9 L. | $10.0{ }^{r}$ | $11.4{ }^{5}$ | 12.9 r | 8.5 | $5.8{ }^{r}$ | $6.1^{r}$ | $10.9{ }^{5}$ | 11.7 | п.a. |
| Time and savings deposits Commercial banks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 Total . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 6.1 | 15.4 | 17.0 | 10.0 | 2.0 | 6.8 | 19.2 | 17.2 | 16.8 |
| 11 Savings ${ }^{4} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 22.2 | 1.5 | $-30.5$ | $-11.9$ | $-10.4$ | $-2.8$ | $-16.0$ | $-24.0$ | -11.5 |
| 12 Small-denomination time ${ }^{5}$. | 2.1 | 16.2 | 30.2 | 13.4 | 16.4 | 5.4 | 15.8 | 22.0 | 14.5 |
| 13 Large-denomination time ${ }^{6}$. | $-1.2$ | 25.4 | 37.5 | 20.0 | $-5.9$ | 13.7 | 44.3 | 35.8 | 34.8 |
| 14 Thrift institutions ${ }^{7}$. . . . . . . . | 10.1 | 9.7 | 5.3 | 0.4 | 1.5 | $-2.5$ | 2.7 | 0.3 | $-5.2$ |
| 15 Total loans and securities at commercial banks ${ }^{8}$. | 6.7 | $14.6{ }^{\prime}$ | 11.8 | 5.5 | $-.7 r$ | 4.4 | 11.7 | 5.7 | 5.7 |
|  | 1980 |  | 1981 |  | 1981 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Q3 | Q4 | Q1 | Q2 | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug. |
|  | Interest rates (levels, percent per annum) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Short-term rates16 Federal funds9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 Discount window borrowing ${ }^{10} \ldots \ldots$ i | 10.35 | 11.78 | 13.00 | 13.62 | 13.00 | 13.87 | 14.00 | 14.00 | 14.00 |
| 18 Treasury bills (3-month market yield) ${ }^{11}$ | 9.15 | 13.61 | 14.39 | 14.91 | 13.69 | 16.30 | 14.73 | 14.95 | 15.51 |
| 19 Commercial paper (3-month) ${ }^{11.12} \ldots$ | 9.65 | 15.26 | 15.34 | 16.15 | 14.56 | 17.56 | 16.32 | 17.00 | 17.23 |
| Long-term rates Bonds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 U.S. government ${ }^{13}$ | 10.95 | 12.23 | 12.74 | 13.49 | 13.46 | 13.82 | 13.20 | 13.92 | 14.52 |
| 21 State and local government ${ }^{\text {i4 }}$ | 8.58 | 9.59 | 9.97 | 10.69 | 10.62 | 10.78 | 10.67 | 11.14 | 12.26 |
| 22 Aaa utility (new issue) ${ }^{15} \ldots$ | 12.20 | 13.49 | 14.45 | 15.41 | 15.68 | 15.81 | 14.76 | 16.30 |  |
| 23 Conventional mortgages ${ }^{16}$ | 13.12 | 14.62 | 15.10 | $16.15{ }^{r}$ | 15.70 | 16.35 | 16.40 | 16.70 | 17.50 |

1. Unless otherwise noted, rates of change are calculated from average amounts outstanding in preceding month or quarter. Growth rates are adjusted for discontinuities in series that result from changes in Regulation D .
2. Includes reserve balances at Federal Reserve Banks in the current week plus vault cash held two weeks earlier used to satisfy reserve requirements at all depository institutions plus currency outside the U.S. Treasury, Federal Reserve Banks, the vaults of depository institutions, and surplus vault cash at depository institutions.
3. M1-A: Averages of daily figures for (1) demand deposits at all commercial banks other than those due to domestic banks, the U.S. government, and foreign banks and official institutions less cash items in the process of collection and Federal Reserve float; (2) currency outside the Treasury, Federal Reserve Banks, and the vaults of commercial banks; and (3) traveler's checks of nonbank issuers.
M1-B: M1-A plus negotiable order of withdrawal and automated transfer service accounts at banks and thrift institutions, credit union share draft accounts, and demand deposits at mutual savings banks.

M2: M1-B plus savings and small-denomination time deposits at all depository institutions, overnight repurchase agreements at commercial banks, overnight Eurodollars held by U.S. residents other than banks at Caribbean branches of member banks, and money market mutual fund shares.

M3: M2 plus large-denomination time deposits at all depository institutions and term RPs at commercial banks and savings and loan associations
L: M3 plus other liquid assets such as term Eurodollars held by U.S. residents other than banks, bankers acceptances, commercial paper. Treasury bills and other liquid Treasury securities, and U.S. savings bonds.
4. Savings deposits exclude negotiable order of withdrawal (NOW) and automatic transfer service (ATS) accounts at commercial banks.
5. Small-denomination time deposits are those issued in amounts of less than $\$ 100,000$.
6. Large-denomination time deposits are those issued in amounts of $\$ 100,000$ or more.
7. Savings and loan associations, mutual savings banks, and credit unions.
8. Changes calculated from figures shown in table 1.23 .
9. Averages of daily effective rates (average of the rates on a given date weighted by the volume of transactions at those rates).
10. Rate for the Federal Reserve Bank of New York.
11. Quoted on a bank-discount basis.
12. Unweighted average of offering rates quoted by at least five dealers
13. Market yields adjusted to a 20 -year maturity by the U.S. Treasury.
14. Bond Buver series for 20 issues of mixed quality.
14. Bond Buyer series for 20 issues of mixed quality. Moody's Investors Service and adjusted to an Aaa basis. Federal Reserve compilations.
16. Average rates on new commitments for conventional first mortgages on new homes in primary markers, unweighted and rounded to nearest 5 basis points, from Dept. of Housing and Urban Development.
Note. Reserve series have been revised to adjust for discontinuties associated with the transitional phase-in of reserve requirements under the Monetary Control Act of 1980.
M3 has been revised to incorporate additional data for term repurchase agreements.

### 1.11 RESERVES OF DEPOSITORY INSTITUTIONS, RESERVE BANK CREDIT

Millions of dollars

| Factors | Monthly averages of daily figures |  |  | Weekly averages of daily figures for week ending |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1981 |  |  | 1981 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | June ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | July ${ }^{\text {p }}$ | Aug. ${ }^{p}$ | July 15 ${ }^{\text {p }}$ | July $22^{\text {p }}$ | July $29{ }^{\text {p }}$ | Aug. 5p | Aug. 12 ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | Aug. 19p | Aug. $\mathbf{2 6}^{p}$ |
| Supplying Reserve Funds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Reserve Bank credit outstanding | 144,999 | 147,405 | 146,892 | 147,172 | 148,927 | 147,246 | 145,784 | 146,268 | 148,189 | 147,299 |
| 2 U.S. government securities ${ }^{1}$ | 120.637 | 122.882 | 124,522 | 123.129 | 123.889 | 122,820 | 123.025 | 122.967 | 125,497 | 125.801 |
| 3 Bought outright. . . . . . . | 120.333 | 121.203 | 123.950 | 120,624 | 121.344 | 121.604 | 121.682 | 122.967 | 124.408 | 125.207 |
| 4 Held under repurchase agreements. | 304 | 1,679 | 572 | 2505 | 2.545 | 1.216 | 1.343 | 0 | 1,089 | 594 |
| 5 Federal agency securities . . . . . . . . . . | 8,773 | 9,067 | 8.785 | 9.094 | 9.395 | 8,867 | 8.941 | 8,694 | 8,881 | 8.780 |
| 6 Bought outright...... | 8.710 | 8.694 | 8.694 | 8.694 | 8.694 | 8.694 | 8.694 | 8.694 | 8.694 | 8.694 |
| 7 Held under repurchase agreements | 63 | 373 | 91 | 400 | 701 | 173 | 247 | 0 | 187 | 86 |
| 8 Acceptances . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 155 | 338 | 102 | 393 | 453 | 154 | 303 | 0 | 214 | 89 |
| 9 Loans. . . . . | 2,038 | 1,751 | 1.408 | 1.295 | 1.730 | 1.978 | 1.118 | 1.271 | 1.457 | 1.726 |
| 10 Float | 3,474 | 3,176 | 2.796 | 3.276 | 3,230 | 3,167 | 2.779 | 3,701 | 2.723 | 2,148 |
| 11 Other Federal Reserve assets | 9.922 | 10.191 | 9.279 | 9.984 | 10,229 | 10,261 | 9.618 | 9,635 | 9.416 | 8,754 |
| 12 Gold stock | 11.154 | 11,154 | 11.154 | 11.154 | 11.154 | 11.154 | 11.154 | 11,154 | 11.154 | 11,154 |
| 13 Special drawing rights certificate account | 2,826 | 3,068 | 3,068 | 3.068 | 3.068 | 3.068 | 3.068 | 3.068 | 3,068 | 3.068 |
| 14 Treasury currency outstanding . . . . . . . . . | 13,587 | 13,613 | 13.607 | 13.585 | 13.590 | 13,594 | 13,922 | 13,606 | 13,609 | 13,609 |
| Absorbing Reserve Funds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 Currency in circulation. | 136.730 | 138,360 | 138.452 | 139.069 | 138.411 | 137.732 | 138.338 | 139.033 | 138.915 | 138.140 |
| 16 Treasury cash holdings. Deposits, other than member bank reserves, with Federal Reserve Banks | 498 | 468 | 450 | 475 | 463 | 457 | 449 | 450 | 452 | 453 |
| 17 Treasury . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 3,049 | 3.144 | 3,208 | 3,407 | 3.106 | 3.063 | 2,961 | 3.614 | 2,974 | 3,106 |
| 18 Foreign | 292 | 309 | 280 | 262 | 293 | 282 | 270 | 279 | 276 | 277 |
| 19 Other. | 367 | 538 | 503 | 524 | 490 | 531 | 602 | 446 | 460 | 487 |
| 20 Required clearing balances .............. <br> 21 Other Federal Reserve liabilities and capital <br> 22 Reserve accounts ${ }^{2}$ | n.a. | n.a. | 26 | п.a. | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | 42 | 43 |
|  | 4.810 | 5.249 | 4.778 | 4.867 | 5,024 | 6,001 | 4,764 | 4.560 | 4,924 | 4,843 |
|  | 26.819 | 27.172 | 27.023 | 26.373 | 28.952 | 26.997 | 26.544 | 25.713 | 27.976 | 27,780 |
| 22 Reserve accounts ${ }^{2}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | End-of-month figures |  |  | Wednesday figures |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1981 |  |  | 1981 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | June | July | Aug. | July 15 | July 22 | July 29 | Aug. 5 | Aug. 12 | Aug. 19 | Aug. 26 |
| Supplying Reservie Funds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 Reserve bank credit outstanding. | 142,934 | 144,651 | 145,731 | 149,276 | 155,422 | 147,760 | 148,166 | 146.395 | 149,191 | 144,829 |
| 24 U.S. government securities ${ }^{1}$ | 120.017 | 123.172 | 124.522 | 122.289 | 125.682 | 122.549 | 122.692 | 122.710 | 126.082 | 122.829 |
| 25 Bought outright . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 120,017 | 121,554 | 124.522 | 121.581 | 121.658 | 120.873 | 122.692 | 122,710 | 125,155 | 122,829 |
| 26 Held under repurchase agreements..... | 0 | 1,618 | 0 | 708 | 4.024 | 1.676 | ${ }^{0}$ | 0 | 927 | 0 |
| 27 Federal agency securities....... . . . | 8.694 | 9,054 | 8.694 | 8.918 | 9.998 | 9,251 | 8.694 | 8.694 | 8,986 | 8.694 |
| 28 Bought outright . . . . . | 8.694 | 8.694 | 8.694 | 8.694 | 8.694 | 8,694 | 8,694 | 8,694 | 8.694 | 8,694 |
| 29 Held under repurchase agrecments . . . . . | 0 | 360 | 0 | 224 | 1.304 | 557 | 0 | 0 | 292 | 0 |
| 30 Acceptances . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 0 | 453 | 0 | 223 | 621 | 296 | ${ }^{0}$ | 0 | 154 | 0 |
| 31 Loans. ..... | 1.010 | 1,027 | 1.254 | 3.286 | 5,230 | 1.916 | 1.804 | 1,321 | 1,914 | 1.482 |
| 32 Float | 2.506 | 1.251 | 2,229 | 4.443 | 3,626 | 3.060 | 5.081 | 3.933 | 3.203 | 2,885 |
| 33 Other Federal Reserve assets | 10.707 | 9,694 | 9.032 | 10.117 | 10.265 | 10.688 | 9.895 | 9.737 | 8.852 | 8,939 |
| 34 Gold stock . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 11.154 | 11,154 | 11.154 | 11,154 | 11.154 | 11.154 | 11,154 | 11.154 | 11,154 | 11,154 |
| 35 Special drawing rights certificate account... | 3.068 | 3.068 | 3.068 | $\begin{array}{r}3.068 \\ \hline 13.588\end{array}$ | 3.068 | 13,068 | 3.068 | 3.068 | 3,068 | 3.068 |
| 36 Treasury currency outstanding . . . . . . . . | 14.155 | 14.350 | 13,609 | 13.588 | 13,593 | 13.599 | 13,604 | 13.609 | 13.609 | 13,609 |
| Absorbing Reserve Funds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37 Currency in circulation. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 138.080 | 138,287 | 137,913 | 139.181 | 138.348 | 138.158 | 138,896 | 139.572 | 138.968 | 138,246 |
| 38 Treasury cash holdings. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 478 | 448 | 446 | 466 | 463 | 453 | 447 | 453 | 453 | 448 |
| Deposits. other than member bank reserves, with Federal Reserve Banks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 39 Treasury | 2.923 | 2.922 | 2.595 | 3.153 | 3.573 | 3.193 | 2.936 | 3.075 | 3,104 | 3.139 |
| 40 Foreign | 338 | 285 | 256 | 288 | 346 | 211 | 205 | 241 | 207 | 263 |
| 41 Other. | 536 | 472 | 502 | 486 | 674 | 1,010 | 798 | 454 | 434 | 503 |
| 42 Required clearing balances | n.a. | n.a. | 45 | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | 42 | 43 |
| 43 Other Federal Reserve liabilities and capital | 5,330 | 4.798 | 4,805 | 4.558 | 5.064 | 5.686 | 4.428 | 4.414 | 4.755 | 4.591 |
| 44 Reserve accounts ${ }^{2}$. | 23,626 | 26,011 | 27,000 | 28.953 | 34,769 | 26,870 | 28,282 | 26,017 | 29,059 | 25,427 |

1. Includes securities loaned-fully guaranteed by U.S. government securities pledged with Federal Reserve Banks-and excludes (if any) securities sold and scheduled to be bought back under matched sale-purchase transactions.
2. Excludes required clearing balances.

Note. For amounts of currency and coin held as reserves, see table 1.12.
1.12 RESERVES AND BORROWINGS Depository Institutions

Millions of dollars

| Reserve classification | Monthly averages of daily figures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Dec. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug. |
| 1 Reserve balances with Reserve Banks ${ }^{1}$. | 32.473 | 26,664 | 27.114 | 26.591 | 26,722 | 27.173 | 26,822 | 26.819 | 27.172 | 27.023 |
| 2 Total vault cash (estimated) ............... | n.a. | 18.149 | 19.293 | 17,824 | 17,327 | 17.189 | 17.773 | 18,198 | 18,273 | 18,438 |
| 3 Vault cash at institutions with required reserve balances ${ }^{2}$. | 11,344 | 12.602 | 13.587 | 12,187 | 11,687 | 11.687 | 12.124 | 12,396 | 12,504 | 12.585 |
| 4 Vault cash equal to required reserves at other institutions | n.a. | 704 | 700 | 763 | 1.237 | 1,204 | 1,310 | 1,350 | 1,319 | 1,364 |
| 5 Surplus vault cash at other institutions ${ }^{3}$. | n.a. | 4.843 | 5.006 | 4,874 | 4.403 | 4.298 | 4.339 | 4,452 | 4.450 | 4,489 |
| 6 Reserve balances + total vault cash ${ }^{4} \ldots .$. | п.a. | 44,940 | 46.520 | 44,524 | 44.155 | 44.451 | 44.683 | 45,100 | 45,507 | 45.513 |
| 7 Reserve balances + total vault cash used to satisfy reserve requirements ${ }^{4.5}$ | 43,972 | 40.097 | 41.514 | 39,650 | 39.752 | 40.153 | 40,344 | 40,648 | 41.057 | 41,024 |
| 8 Required reserves (estimated) ............ | 43,578 | 40,067 | 41,025 | 39.448 | 39.372 | 40,071 | 40,213 | 40,098 | 40,675 | 40,753 |
| 9 Excess reserve balances at Reserve Banks ${ }^{4.6}$. | . 394 | + 30 | 489 | . 202 | 380 | 82 | 131 | 550 | 382 | 271 |
| 10 Total borrowings at Reserve Banks...... | 1,473 | 1,617 | 1,405 | 1,278 | 1,004 | 1,343 | 2.154 | 2,038 | 1,751 | 1.408 |
| 11 Seasonal borrowings at Reserve Banks | 82 | 116 | 120 | 148 | 197 | 161 | 259 | 291 | 248 | 220 |
| 12 Extendedcredit at Reserve Banks. . . . . . | п.a. | n.a. | п.a. | п.a. | n.a. | п.a. | п.a. | п.a. | n.a. | 79 |
|  | Weekly averages of daily figures for week ending: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | June 24 | July 1 | July 8 | July 15 | July 22 | July 29 | Aug. 5 | Aug. 12 | Aug. 19 | Aug. 26 |
|  | 27.430 | 27,383 | 26.406 | 26,373 | 28.952 | 26,997 | 26.544 | 25,713 | 27,976 | 27.780 |
|  | 17,306 | 18,325 | 18.495 | 18.856 | 16.736 | 18,878 | 18.688 | 19,048 | 17,911 | 17.995 |
| 14 Total vault cash (estimated) <br> 15 Vault cash at institutions with required reserve balances ${ }^{2}$ | 11.907 | 12.573 | 12.729 | 12.831 | 11.411 | 12.940 | 12,848 | 13,054 | 12,180 | 12,164 |
| 16 Vault cash equal to required reserves at other institutions. | 1,230 | 1,285 | 1,309 | 1,361 | 1.258 | 1.351 | 1,323 | 1,383 | 1,306 | 1,448 |
| 17 Surplus vault cash at other institutions ${ }^{3} .$. | 4,169 | 4,467 | 4.457 | 4.664 | 4.067 | 4.587 | 4.517 | 4,611 | 4.425 | 4,383 |
|  | 44,818 | 45,785 | 44.975 | 45,288 | 45,747 | 45,931 | 45.288 | 44.815 | 45.940 | 45.826 |
| 19 Reserve balances + total vault cash used to satisfy reserve requirements ${ }^{4}$. ${ }^{5}$. | 40,649 | 41,318 | 40.518 | 40.624 | 41.680 | 41,344 | 40,771 | 40,204 | 41,515 | 41,443 |
| 20 Required reserves (estimated) ........... | 40,285 | 40,830 | 40.017 | 40.495 | 41.350 | 40,895 | 40,392 | 39,882 | 41,298 | 41,281 |
|  | 364 | 488 | 501 | 129 | 330 | 449 | 379 | 322 | , 217 | 162 |
| 22 Total borrowings at Reserve Banks...... | 2,305 | 1,735 | 1,866 | 1.295 | 1.730 | 1,978 | 1.118 | 1.271 | 1.457 | 1.726 |
|  | 306 | 306 | 241 | 247 | 244 | 258 | 227 | 223 | 231 | 246 |
| 24 Extendedcredit at Reserve Banks. . | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | п.a. | п.a. | п.a. | ก.a. | 155 |

1. As of Aug. 13, 1981 excludes required clearing balances of all depository institutions.
2. Prior to Nov. 13. 1980, the figures shown reflect only the vault cash held by member banks.
3. Total vault cash at institutions without required reserve balances less vault cash equal to their required reserves.
4. Adjusted to include waivers of penalties for reserve deficiencies in accordance with Board policy, effective Nov. 19, 1975, of permitting transitional relief on a graduated basis over a 24 -month period when a nommember bank merged into an
existing member bank, or when a nonmember bank joins the Federal Reserve System. For weeks for which figures are preliminary, figures by class of bank do not add to total because adjusted data by class are not available.
5. Reserve balances with Federal Reserve Banks which exclude required clearing balances plus vault cash at institutions with required reserve balances plus vauit cash equal to required reserves at other institations.
6. Reserve balances with Federal Reserve Banks which exclude required clearing balances plus vault cash used to satisfy reserve requirements less required reserves. (This measure of excess reserves is comparable to the old excess reserve concept published historically.)

### 1.13 FEDERAL FUNDS AND REPURCHASE AGREEMENTS Large Member Banks'

Averages of daily figures, in millions of dollars

| By maturity and source | 1981, week ending Wednescay |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | July 1 | July 8 | July 15 | July 22 | July $29{ }^{\text {r }}$ | Aug. 5 | Aug. 12 | Aug. 19 | Aug. 26 |
| One day and continuing contract |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Commercial banks in United States | 46,493r | 51.960 | 52,105 | 46,894 | 44,629 | 47,895 | 51,567 | 47.237 | 45,287 |
| 2 Other depository institutions. foreign banks and forcign official institutions. and U.S. government agencies. | 16,312r | 15,528 ${ }^{\prime}$ | 16,290 | $15.554^{r}$ | 15,278 | 15,092 | 15,522 | 16.048 | 15,841 |
| 3 Nonbank securities dealers ........................... | 2.600 | 2.831 | 2.998 | 3.041 | 2,276 | 2.767 | 2.629 | 3,081 | 3,143 |
| 4 All other. | 20.731 | 17.066 | $20.659^{7}$ | $21.408^{r}$ | 21,856 | 20.888 | 20.998 | 20.224 | 21,365 |
| All other maturities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 Commercial banks in United States ................. | 3,655 | 4.930 | 3.572 | 3.504 | 3,546 | 3.592 | 3,283 | 3,233 | 3,275 |
| 6 Other depository institutions. foreign banks and foreign official institutions. and U.S. government agencies | 7.410 | 7.429 | 7.732 | 8.218 | 7.330 | 7.212 | 6.721 | 7.111 | 6,865 |
| 7 Nonbank securities dealers ........................... | 5.313 | 5.469 | 4,926 | 4.884 | 4,921 | 4.887 | 4,479 | 4,573 | 4,328 |
| 8 All other . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 9.702 | $12.732{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 9,822 | 9.849 | 9,567 | 9,854 | 9,908 | 9.596 | 9,501 |
| Memo: Federal funds and resale agreement loans in maturities of one day or continuing contract |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 Commercial banks in United States ................ | 16,006 | 15,924 | 17,081 | 15,304 | 14,778 | 16,389 | 15.347 | 16,247 | 14,111 |
| 10 Nonbank securities dealers | 2,931 | 2,744 | $2.294{ }^{\prime}$ | $2.598^{\prime}$ | 2,357 | 2,534 | 2,819 | 2,679 | 2,408 |

1. Banks with assets of $\$ 1$ billion or more as of Dec. 31, 1977.
1.14 FEDERAL RESERVE BANK INTEREST RATES

Percent per annum


1. Effective May 5, 1981, a 4 percent surcharge was applied to short-term adjustment credit borrowings by institutions with deposits of $\$ 500$ million or more who borrowed in successive weeks or in more than 4 weeks in a calendar quarter. The rate for seasonal credit is unaffected by the surcharge.
2. Applicable to advances when exceptional circumstances or practices involv only a particular depository institution and to advances when an institution is under sustained liquidity pressures. See section 201.3(b)(2) of Regulation A
3. Rates for short-term adjustment credit. For description and earlier data see the following publications of the Board of Governors: Banking and Monetary Statistics, 1914-1941 and 1941-1970; Annual Statistical Digest. 1971-1975, 19721976, 1973-1977 and 1974-1978.
In 1980 and 1981, the Federal Reserve applied a surcharge to short-term adjustment credit borrowings by institutions with deposits of $\$ 500$ million or more justment credit borrowings by institutions with deposits of $\$ 500$ million or more that had borrowed in successive weeks or in more than 4 weeks in a calendar
quarter. A 3 percent surcharge was in effect from Mar. 17, 1980, through May 7 , quarter. A 3 percent surcharge was in effect from Mar. 17, 1980, through May 7,
1980 . On Nov. 17, 1980, a 2 percent surcharge was adopted; the surcharge was subsequently raised to 3 percent on Dec. 5, 1980 and to 4 percent on May 5, 1981.

### 1.15 DEPOSITORY INSTITUTIONS RESERVE REQUIREMENTS ${ }^{1}$

Percent of deposits

| Type of deposit. and deposit interval in millions of dollars | Member bank requirements before implementation of the Monetary Control Act |  | Type of deposit, and deposit interval | Depository institution requirements after implementation of the Monetary Control Act ${ }^{5}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Effective date |  | Percent | Effective date |
| Net demand ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Net transaction accounts ${ }^{6}$ |  |  |
| 0-2. | 7 | 12/30/76 | \$0-\$25 million | 3 | 11/13/80 |
| 2-10.. | 91/2 | 12330/76 | Over $\$ 25$ miltion | 12 | 11/13/80 |
| 10-100. | 113/4 | 12/30/76 |  |  |  |
| 100-400 | 123/4 | 12/30/76 | Nonpersonal time deposits ${ }^{7}$ |  |  |
| Over 400. | 161/4 | 12/30/76 | By original maturity Less than 4 years. | 3 | 11/13/80 |
| Time and savings ${ }^{2}$, ${ }^{3}$ |  |  | 4 years or more... | 0 | 11/13/80 |
| Savings .... | 3 | 3/16/67 | Eurocurrency liabilities |  |  |
| Time ${ }^{4}$ |  |  | All types.......... | 3 | 11/13/80 |
| 0-5, by maturity |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30-179 days. | 3 | 3/16/67 |  |  |  |
| 180 days to 4 years | $21 / 2$ | 1/8/76 |  |  |  |
| 4 years or more... Over 5 , by maturity | 1 | 10/30/75 |  |  |  |
| 30-179 days..... | 6 | 12/12/74 |  |  |  |
| 180 days to 4 years | $21 / 2$ | 1/8/76 |  |  |  |
| 4 years or more. | 1 | 10/30/75 |  |  |  |

1. For changes in reserve requirements beginning 1963, see Board's Annual Statistical Digest, 1971-1975 and for prior changes, sec Board's Annual Report for 1976, table 13. Under provisions of the Monetary Control Act, depository institutions include commercial banks, mutual savings banks, savings and loan associations, credit unions, agencies and branches of foreign banks, and Edge Act comporations.
2. (a) Requirement schedules are graduated, and each deposit interval applies to that part of the deposits of each bank. Demand deposits subject to reserve requirements were gross demand deposits minus cash items in process of collection and demand balances due from domestic banks.
(b) The Federal Reserve Act as amended through 1978 specified different ranges of requirements for reserve city banks and for other banks. Reserve cities were designated under a criterion adopted effective Nov. 9,1972 , by which a bank having designated under a criterion adopted effective Nov. 9 , 1972 , by which a bank having
net demand deposits of more than $\$ 400$ million was considered to have the character net demand deposits of more than $\$ 400$ million was considered to have the character
of business of a reserve city bank. The presence of the head office of such a bank of business of a reserve city bank. The presence of the head otfice of such a bank
constituted designation of that place as a reserve city. Cities in which there were Federal Reserve Banks or branches were also reserve cities. Any banks having net demand deposits of $\$ 400$ million or less were considered to have the character of business of banks outside of reserve cities and were permitted to maintain reserves at ratios set for banks not in reserve cities.
(c) Effective Aug, 24, 1978, the Regulation M reserve requirements on net balances due from domestic banks to their foreign branches and on deposits that foreign branches lend to U.S residents were reduced to zero from 4 percent and 1 percent respectively. The Regulation $D$ reserve requirement on borrowings from unrelated banks abroad was also reduced to zero from 4 percent.
(d) Effective with the reserve computation period beginning Nov. 16, 1978 , domestic deposits of Edge corporations were subject to the same reserve requiredornestic deposits of Edge corporats
ments as deposits of member banks.
3. (a) Negotiable order of withdrawal (NOW) accoumts and time deposits such as Christmas and vacation club accounts were subject to the same requirements as as Christmas and
savings deposits.
(b) The average reserve requirement on savings and other time deposits before implementation of the Monetary Control Act had to be at least 3 percent, the minimum specified by law.
4. (a) Effective Nov. 2, 1978, a supplementary reserve requirement of 2 percent was imposed on large time deposits of $\$ 100,000$ or more, obligations of affiliates and ineligible acceptances. This supplementary requirement was eliminated with the maintenance period beginning fuly 24, 1980.
(b) Effective with the reserve maintenance period beginning Oct. 25. 1979, a marginal reserve requirement of 8 percent was added to managed liabilities in excess of a base amount. This marginal requirement was increased to 10 percen beginning Apr. 3, 1980, was decreased to 5 percent beginning June 12, 1980, and was teduced to zero beginning July 24, 1980. Managed liabilities are defined as large time deposits, Eurodollar borrowings, repurchase agreements against U.S. government and federal agency securities, federal funds borrowings from nonmember institutions, and certain other obligations. In general, the base for the marginal reserve requirement was originally the greater of (a) $\$ 100$ million or (b) the average amount of the managed liabilities held by a member bank, Edge corporation, or family of U.S. branches and agencies of a foreign bank for the two statement weeks ending Sept. 26, 1979. For the computation period beginning Mar 20,1980 , the base was lowered by (a) 7 percent or (b) the decrease in an institution's U.S. office gross loans to foreigners and gross balances due from foreign offices of other institutions between the base period (Sept. 13-26. 1979) and the week ending Mar. 12, 1980, whichever was greater. For the computation period beginning May 29, 1980, the base was increased by $7 / 2$ percent above the base used to calculate the marginal reserve in the statement week of May 14-21, 1980. In addition beginning Mar. 19, 1980, the base was reduced to the extent that foreign loans and balances declined.
5. For existing nonmember banks and thrift institutions at the time of implementation of the Monetary Control Act. the phase-in period ends Sept. 3. 1987. For existing member banks the phase-in period is about three years, depending on whether their new reserve requirements are greater or less than the old require ments. For existing agencies and branches of foreign banks, the phase-in ends Aug 12, 1982. All new insitutions
6. Transaction accounts include all deposits on which the account holder is permitted to make withdrawals by negotiable or transferable instruments, payment orders of withdrawal, and telephone and preauthorized transfers (in excess of three per month) for the purpose of making payments to third persons or others.
7. In general, nonpersonal time deposits are time deposits, including savings deposits, that are not transaction accounts and in which the beneficial interest is held by a depositor that is not a natural person. Also included are certain transferable time deposits held by natural persons, and certain obligations issued to depository institution offices located outside the United States. For details, see section 204.2 of Regulation $D$.

Note. Required reserves must be held in the form of deposits with Federal Reserve Banks or vault cash. After implementation of the Monetary Control Act nonmembers may maintain reserves on a pass-through basis with certain approved institutions.
1.16 MAXIMUM INTEREST RATES PAYABLE on Time and Savings Deposits at Federally Insured Institutions

Percent per annum

| Type and maturity of deposit | Commercial banks |  |  |  | Savings and loan associations and mutual savings banks |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In effect July 31, 1981 |  | Previous maximum |  | In effect July 31, 1981 |  | Previous maximum |  |
|  | Percent | Effective date | Percent | Effective date | Percent | Effective date | Percent | Effective date |
| 1 Savings <br> 2 Negotiable order of withdrawal accounts ${ }^{2}$ Time accounts ${ }^{3}$ <br> Fixed ceiling rates by maturity ${ }^{4}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 51 / 4 \\ & 51 / 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7 / 1 / 79 \\ 12 / 31 / 80 \end{array}$ | 55 | $\begin{aligned} & 7 / 1 / 73 \\ & 1 / 1 / 74 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 51 / 2 \\ & 51 / 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7 / 1 / 79 \\ 12 / 31 / 80 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 51 / 4 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{1}{1}_{1 / 1 / 74}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 51/4 |  |  |  | ${ }^{6}$ ) |  |  |  |
| 490 days to 1 year . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | $53 / 4$ | 1/1/80 | $51 / 2$ | 7/1/73 | 6 | 1/1/80 | (53/4 | (1) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
|  |  |  | $51 / 2$ | 1/21/70 | 61/2 | (1) | $53 / 4$ | 1/21/70 |
| 62 to $21 / 2$ years 7 7 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 6 | 7/1/73 | 53/4 | 1/21/70 | 61/2 | () | 6 | 1/21/70 |
| $721 / 2$ to 4 years ${ }^{7}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 61/2 | 7/1/73 | (9) $51 / 4$ | 1/21/70 | 63/4 | (1) | ${ }_{9}{ }^{6}$ | 1/21/70 |
| 84 to 6 years ${ }_{8}^{8}$. | $71 / 4$ | 11/1/73 | (9) 71 |  | $71 / 2$ | 11/1/73 | ${ }^{9}$ ) $71 / 2$ |  |
| 96 to 8 years ${ }^{8} \ldots$ | $71 / 2$ | 12/23/74 | 71/4 | 11/1/73 | $73 / 4$ | 12/23/74 | (6) $71 / 2$ | 11/1/73 |
|  | $73 / 4$ | 6/1/78 | ( ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | 8 | 6/1/78 | (6) |  |
| 11 Issued to governmental units (all maturities) ${ }^{10} \ldots \ldots$. | 8 | 6/1/78 | 73/4 | 12/23/74 | 8 | 6/1/78 | $73 / 4$ | 12/23/74 |
| 12 Individual retirement accounts and Keogh (H.R. 10) plans (3 years or more) ${ }^{10,11}$ | 8 | 6/1/78 | $73 / 4$ | 7/6/77 | 8 | 6/1/78 | $73 / 4$ | 7/6/77 |
| Special variable ceiling rates by maturity <br> 13 <br> 6 month money market time deposits ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  | (13) | (13) | (13) | (13) |  |
| 13 6-month money market time deposits ${ }^{12}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | (14) | (14) | (15) | (15) | (14) | (14) | (15) | (15) |

1. July 1, 1973, for mutual savings banks; July 6, 1973, for savings and loan associations.
2. For authorized states only, federally insured commercial banks, savings and loan associations, cooperative banks, and mutual savings banks in Massachusetts and New Hampshire were first permitted to offer negotiable order of withdrawal (NOW) accounts on Jan. 1, 1974. Authorization to issue NOW accounts was extended to similar institutions throughout New England on Feb. 27, 1976, and in New York State on Nov. 10, 1978, and in New Jersey on Dec. 28, 1979. AuthorNew York State on Nov. 10, 1978 , and in New tersey on Dec. 28 , 1979 . Authorzation to issue NOW a
effective Dec. 31,1980 .
3. For exceptions with respect to certain foreign time deposits see the Federal Reserve Bulletin for October 1962 (p. 1279), August 1965 (p. 1084), and February 1968 (p. 167 ).
4. Effective Nov. 10, 1980, the minimum notice period for public unit accounts at savings and loan associations was decreased to 14 days and the minimum maturity period for time deposits at savings and loan associations in excess of $\$ 100,000$ was decreased to 14 days. Effective Oct. 30,1980 , the minimum maturity or notice period for time deposits was decreased from 30 days to 14 days for mutual savings banks.
5. Effective Oct. 30, 1980, the minimum maturity or notice period for time deposits was decreased from 30 days to 14 days for commercial banks.
6. No separate account category
7. No minimum denomination. Until July 1, 1979, a minimum of $\$ 1,000$ was required for savings and loan associations, except in areas where mutual savings required for savings and loan associations, except in areas where mutual savings banks permitted lower minimum denominations. This restrict
deposits maturing in less than 1 year, effective Nov. 1, 1973.
8. No minimum denomination. Until July 1, 1979, minimum denomination was $\$ 1,000$ except for deposits representing funds contributed to an Individual Retirement Account (IRA) or a Keogh (H.R.10) plan established pursuant to the Internal Revenue Code. The $\$ 1,000$ minimum requirement was removed for such accounts in December 1975 and November 1976 respectively
9. Berween July 1, 1973, and Oct. 31, 1973, there was no ceiling for certificates maturing in 4 years or more with minimum denominations of $\$ 1,000$; however, the amount of such certificates that an institution could issue was limited to 5 percent of its total time and savings deposits. Sales in excess of that amount, as well as certificates of less than $\$ 1,000$, were limited to the $61 / 2$ percent ceiling on time deposits maturing in $2 \frac{1 / 2}{}$ years or more.
Effective Nov. 1, 1973, ceilings were reimposed on certificates maturing in 4 years or more with minimum denomination of $\$ 1,000$. There is no limitation on the amount of these certificates that banks can issue.
10. Accounts subject to fixed-rate ceilings. See footnote 8 for minimum denomination requirements.
11. Effective January 1, 1980, commercial banks are permitted to pay the same rate as thrifts on IRA and Keogh accounts and accounts of governmental units when such deposits are placed in the new $2 \frac{1}{2}$-year or more variable-ceiling certificates or in 26-week money market certificates regardless of the level of the Treasury bill rate.
12. Must have a maturity of exactly 26 weeks and a minimum denomination of $\$ 10,000$, and must be nonnegotiable.
13. Commercial banks, savings and loan associations, and mutual savings banks were authorized to offer monev market time deposits effective June 1, 1978. The ceiling rate for commercial banks on money market time deposits entered into before June 5,1980 , is the discount rate (auction average) on most recently issued six-month U.S. Treasury bills. Until Mar. 15, 1979, the ceiling rate for savings and loan associations and mutual savings banks was $1 / 4$ percentage point higher than the rate for commercial banks. Beginning Mar. 15,1979 , the $1 / 4$-percentage-point interest differential is removed when the six-month Treasury bill rate is 9 percent or more. The full differential is in cffect when the six-month bill rate is $83 / 4$ percent or less. Thrift institutions may pay a maximum 9 percent when the six-month bill
rate is between $83 / 4$ and 9 percent. Also effective March 15,1979 , interest compounding was prohibited on six-month money market time deposits at all offering institutions. The maximum allowable rates in July for commercial banks and thrift institutions were as follows: Aug. 4, 15.821: Aug. 11, 15.372; Aug. 18, 15.894; Aug. 25, 16.104. Effective for all six-month money market certificates issued beginning June 5, 1980, the interest rate ceilings will be determined by the discount rate (auction average) of most recently issued six-month U.S. Treasury bills as follows:

Bill rate
8.75 and abovr
8.50 to 8.75
7.50 to 8.50
7.25 to 7.50

Below 7.25

Commercial bank ceiling
bill rate $+1 / 4$ percent bill rate $+1 / 4$ percent bill rate $+1 / 4$ percent 7.75
7.75

Thrift ceiling
bill rate $+1 / 4$ percent 9.00 bill rate $+1 / 2$ percent $\begin{aligned} & \text { bill rate } \\ & 7.75\end{aligned} 1 / 2$ percent 7.75

The prohibition against compounding interest in these certificates continues.
14. Effective Aug. 1, 1981, commercial banks may pay interest on any variable ceiling nonnegotiable time deposit with an original maturity of $21 / 2$ years to less than 4 years at a rate not to exceed $1 / 4$ of 1 percent below the average $21 / 2$-year yield for U.S. Trcasury securities as determined and announced by the U.S. Treasury Department immediately before the date of deposit. Mutual savings banks and savings and loan associations may pay interest on these certificates at a rate no to exceed the averate $21 / 2$-year yield for U.S. Treasury securities as determined and announced by the Treasury Department immediately before the date of deposit. If the announced average $21 / 2$-year yield for U.S. Treasury securities is less than 9.50 percent. commercial banks may pay 9.25 percent and mutual savings banks and savings and loan associations, 9.50 percent for these deposits. These deposits have no required minimum denomination, and interest may be compounded on hem. The ceiling rates of interest at which they may be offered vary biweekly. The maximum allowable rates in August (in percent) for commercial banks were as follows: Aug. 1, 14.90; Aug. 4, 15.55; Aug. 18, 15.65; and for thrift institutions: Aug. 1, 15.15; Aug. 4. 15.80, Aug. 18, 15.90.
15. Between Jan. 1, 1980, and Aug. 1, 1981, commercial banks, mutual savings banks, and savings and loan associations were authorized to offer variable ceiling nonnegotiable time deposits with no required minimum denomination and with maturities of $21 / 2$ years or more. Effective Jan. 1, 1980, the maximum rate for commercial banks was $3 / 4$ percentage point below the average yield on $21 / 2$-year U.S. Treasury securities; the ceiling rate for thrift institutions was $1 / 4$ percentage point higher than that for commercial banks. Effective Mar. 1, 1980, a temporary ceiling of $11^{3 / 4}$ percent was placed on these accounts at commercial banks; the temporary ceiling for savings and loan associations and commercial banks, savings and loan associations, and mutual savings banks was increased $1 / 2$ percentage point. and loan associations, and mutual savings banks was increased $1 / 2$ percentage point. The temporary ceiling was retained, and a minimum ceiling of 9.25 percent for
commercial banks and 9.50 percent for savings and loan associations and mutual commercial banks and 9.50 per
savings banks was established.
NOTE. Before Mar. 31, 1980, the maximum rates that could be paid by federally insured commercial banks, mutual savings banks, and savings and loan associations were established by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, the Board of Directors of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, and the Federal Home Loan Bank Board under the provisions of 12 CFR 217, 329, and 526 respectively. Title II of the Depository Institutions Deregulation and Monetary Control Act of 1980 (P.L. 96-221) transferred the authority of the agencies to establish maximum rates of interest payable on deposits to the Depository Institutions Deregulation Committee. The maximum rates on time deposits in denominations of $\$ 100,000$ or more with maturities of $30-89$ days were suspended in June 1970 ; such deposits maturing in 90 days or more were suspended in May 1973. For information regarding previous interest rate ceilings on all types of accounts, see earlier issues of the Federal Reserve Bulletin, the Federal Home Loan Bank Board Journal, and the Annual Report of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

### 1.17 FEDERAL RESERVE OPEN MARKET TRANSACTIONS

Millions of dollars


1. Both gross purchases and redemptions include special certificates created when the Treasury borrows directly from the Federal Reserve, as follows (millions when the I reasury borrows dire
of dollars): March 1979, 2,600.

Note. Sales, redemptions, and negative figures reduce holdings of the System Open Market Account; all other figures increase such holdings. Details may not add to totals because of rounding.
1.18 FEDERAL RESERVE BANKS Condition and Federal Reserve Note Statements

| Accoumt | Wednesday |  |  |  |  | End of month |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1981 |  |  |  |  | 1981 |  |  |
|  | July 29 | Aug. 5 | Aug. 12 | Aug. 19 | Aug. 26 | June | July | Aug. |
|  | Consolidated condition statement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ASSETS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Gold certificate account. | 11.154 | 11,154 | 11.154 | 11,154 | 11,154 | 11,154 | 11.154 | 11,154 |
| 2 Special drawing rights certificate account. | 3.068 | 3.068 | 3,068 | 3,068 | 3,068 | 3,068 | 3,068 | 3,068 |
| 3 Coin............................................. . | 367 | 368 | 370 | 371 | 374 | 380 | 380 | 384 |
| 4 To depository institutions | 1.916 | 1.804 | 1.321 | 1.914 | 1.482 | 1.010 | 1.027 | 1,254 |
| 5 Other,..................... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $6 \begin{gathered}\text { Acceptances } \\ \text { Held under repurchase agreements................ }\end{gathered}$ | 296 | 0 | 0 | 154 | 0 | 0 | 453 | 0 |
| Federal agency obligations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 Bought outright........ | 8.694 | 8.694 | 8.694 | 8,694 | 8.694 | 8.694 | 8.694 | 8.694 |
| U.S. government securities Bought outright |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 Bills........ | 43,473 | 45,292 | 45,310 | 47.755 | 45.429 | 43.593 | 44.154 | 47.122 |
| 10 Notes. | 59,609 | 59.609 | 59.619 17 | 59.429 | 59.429 | 58.818 | 59.609 | 59.429 |
| 11 Bonds | 17.791 | 17.791 | 17.791 | 17.971 | 17.971 | 17.606 | 17.791 | 17.971 |
| 12 Total ${ }^{1}$...................... | 120.873 | 122,692 | 122.710 | 125.155 | 122.829 | 120.017 | 121.554 | 124.522 |
| 13 Held under repurchase agreements | 1.676 | 0 | ${ }^{0}$ | 927 | 0 | 0 | 1.618 | 0 |
| 14 Total U.S. government securities..................... | 122.549 | 122,692 | 122.710 | 126,082 | 122.829 | 120.017 | 123,172 | 124.522 |
| 15 Total loans and securities. | 134.012 | 133,190 | 132,725 | 137,136 | 133,005 | 129,721 | 133,706 | 134,470 |
| 16 Cash items in process of collection | 8.556 | 12.403 | 10.076 | 9.031 | 8.440 | 11.297 | 7.085 | 7.606 |
| 17 Bank premises <br> Other assets | 479 | 477 | 481 | 482 | 485 | 475 | 479 | 484 |
| 18 Denominated in foreign currencies ${ }^{2}$ | 5.812 | 5.758 | 5.757 | 5.763 | 5.765 | 6.430 | 5.739 | 5.713 |
| 19 All other ${ }^{3} \ldots . .$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 4.397 | 3.660 | 3.499 | 2.607 | 2.689 | 3,802 | 3.476 | 2,835 |
| 20 Total assets. | 167,845 | 170,078 | 167,130 | 169.612 | 164,980 | 166,327 | 165,087 | 165,714 |
| Labilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 Federal Reserve notes | 125.379 | 126.107 | 126.786 | 126.183 | 125.459 | 124.783 | 124.765 | 125.134 |
| 22 Depository institutions............................. | 26,870 | 28.282 | 26,017 | 29.101 | 25.470 | 23.626 | 26.011 | 27.045 |
| 23 U.S. Treasury-General account...................... | 3,193 | 2.936 | 3.075 | 3.104 | 3.139 | 2.923 | 2,922 | 2.595 |
| 24 Foreign--Official accounts . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 211 | 205 | 241 | 207 | 263 | 338 | 285 | 256 |
| 25 Other............................................. | 1.010 | 798 | 454 | 434 | 503 | 536 | 472 | 502 |
| 26 Total deposits. | 31,284 | 32,221 | 29,787 | 32,846 | 29,375 | 27,423 | 29,690 | 30,398 |
| 27 Deferred availability cash items. | 5.496 | 7.322 | 6.143 | 5.828 | 5.555 | 8.791 | 5.834 | 5.377 |
| 28 Other liabilities and accrued dividends ${ }^{4}$. | 2,885 | 1.712 | 1.633 | 1.946 | 1.786 | 2,387 | 1,992 | 1.801 |
| 29 Total liabilities. | 165,044 | 167,362 | 164,349 | 166,803 | 162,175 | 163,384 | 162,281 | 162,710 |
| Capital accounts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 Capital paid in. | 1,250 | 1.251 | 1,252 | 1,254 | 1.254 | 1.246 | 1.250 | 1.256 |
| 31 Surplus ....... | 1.203 | 1.203 | 1.203 | 1.203 | 1.203 | 1.203 | 1,203 | 1.203 |
| 32 Other capital accounts . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 348 | 262 | 326 | 352 | 348 | 494 | 353 | 54.5 |
| 33 Total liabilities and capital accounts | 167,845 | 170,078 | 167,130 | 169,612 | 164,980 | 166,327 | 165,087 | 165,714 |
| 34 Memo: Marketable U.S. government securities held in custody for foreign and international account | 95,116 | 94.020 | 91,507 | 91.752 | 91.648 | 97.549 | 95.133 | 92.025 |
|  | Federal Reserve note statement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35 Federal Reserve notes outstanding (issued to bank).... | 146.989 | 147.470 | 148.027 | 148.740 | 149,102 | 145,062 | 147.142 | 149.051 |
| 36 Less-held by bank ${ }^{5}$....... | 21.610 | 21.363 | 21.241 | 22.557 | 23.643 | 20,279 | 22.377 | 23.917 |
| 37 Federal Reserve notes, net .................... | 125,379 | 126.107 | 126,786 | 126,183 | 125,459 | 124,783 | 124,765 | 125.134 |
| 38 Collateral for Federal Reserve notes | 11.154 | 11.154 | 11.154 | 11,154 | 11.154 | 11.154 | 11.154 | 11.154 |
| 39 Special drawing rights cestificate account. | 3,068 | 3,068 | 3,068 | 3,068 | 3,068 | 3.068 | 3,068 | 3,068 |
| 40 Other eligible assets................. |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 0 |
| 41 U.S. government and agency securities ............. | 111.157 | 111.885 | 112.564 | 111,961 | 111.237 | 110.534 | 110.543 | 110.912 |
| 42 Total collateral. | 125,379 | 126,107 | 126,786 | 126,183 | 125,459 | 124,783 | 124,765 | 125,134 |

1. Includes securities loaned-fully guaranteed by U.S. government securities pledged with Federal Reserve Banks-and excludes (if any) securities sold and scheduled to be bought back under matched sale-purchase transactions.
2. Includes U.S. government securities held under repurchase agreement agains receipt of foreign currencies and foreign currencies warehoused for the U.S. Treasury. Assets shown in this line are revalued monthly at market exchange rates
3. Includes special investment account at Chicago of Treasury bills maturing within 90 days.
4. Includes exchange-translation account reflecting the monthly revaluation at market exchange rates of toreign-exchange commitments
5. Beginning September 1980, Federal Reserve notes held by the Reserve Bank are exempt from the collateral requirement.

## A12 Domestic Financial Statistics $\square$ September 1981

### 1.19 FEDERAL RESERVE BANKS Maturity Distribution of Loan and Security Holdings

Millions of dollars

| Type and maturity groupings | Wednesday |  |  |  |  | End of month |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1981 |  |  |  |  | 1981 |  |  |
|  | July 29 | Aug. 5 | Aug. 12 | Aug. 19 | Aug. 26 | Junc 30 | July 31 | Aug. 31 |
| 1 Loans-Total. | 1.916 | 1,804 | 1,321 | 1,914 | 1,482 | 1.010 | 1.027 | 1.254 |
| 2 Within 15 days. | 1.869 | 1,696 | 1,220 | 1.882 | 1,442 | 964 | 926 | 1.169 |
| 316 days to 90 days. | 47 | 108 | 101 | 32 | 40 | 46 | 101 | 85 |
| 491 days to 1 year. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 Acceptances-Total | 296 | 0 | 0 | 154 | 0 | 0 | 453 | 0 |
| 6 Within 15 days. | 296 | 0 | 0 | 154 | 0 | 0 | 453 | 0 |
| 716 days to 90 days. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | 0 |
| 891 days to 1 year. | 0 | 0 | 0 | $1)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 U.S. government securities-Total | 122,549 | 122,692 | 122,710 | 126,082 | 122.829 | 120.017 | 123.172 | 124,522 |
| 10 Within 15 days $^{1}$. .............. | 3.451 | 5.649 | 5.520 | 6,019 | 4.404 | 1,714 | 4.253 | 3,589 |
| 1116 days to 90 days. | 23.891 | 22,050 | 23.207 | 24.443 | 22.619 | 23.875 | 21.945 | 24,422 |
| 1291 days to 1 year.. | 31.758 | 32.176 | 31,166 | 33.184 | 33,370 | 31.742 | 34.157 | 34,071 |
| 13 Over 1 year to 5 years | 34.535 | 33.813 | 33.813 | 34.714 | 34.714 | 33.928 | 33,813 | 34,718 |
| 14 Over 5 years to 10 years | 13.106 | 13,106 | 13,106 | 11.519 | 11.519 | 13,042 | 13.106 | 11.519 |
| 15 Over 10 years.......... | 15.898 | 15,898 | 15.898 | 16,203 | 16.203 | 15.716 | 15.898 | 16.203 |
| 16 Federal agency obligations-Total. | 9.251 | 8.694 | 8,694 | 8,986 | 8.694 | 8.694 | 9.054 | 8,694 |
| 17 Within 15 days ${ }^{1}$. ${ }^{\text {a }}$. $\ldots$. . | 622 | 0 | 100 | 454 | 195 | 207 | 425 | 195 |
| 1816 days to 90 days. | 647 | 718 | 618 | 556 | 424 | 446 | 647 | 553 |
| 1991 days to 1 year. | 1.717 | 1.711 | 1.711 | 1.711 | 1.821 | 1.779 | 1.717 | 1.692 |
| 20 Over 1 year to 5 years | 4.649 | 4.649 | 4.649 | 4.649 | 4.638 | 4,636 | 4.649 | 4.638 |
| 21 Over 5 years to 10 years | 1.015 | 1.015 | 1,015 | 1.015 | 1.015 | 982 | 1.015 | 1.015 |
| 22 Over 10 years......................... | 601 | 601 | 601 | 601 | 601 | 644 | 601 | 601 |

1. Holdings under repurchase agreements are classified as maturing within 15
days in accordance with maximum maturity of the agreements.

### 1.20 BANK DEBITS AND DEPOSIT TURNOVER

Debits are shown in billions of dollars, turnover as ratio of debits to deposit. Monthly data are at annual rates.


1. Represents accounts of individuals. partnerships, and corporations, and of states and political subdivisions.
2. Excludes special club accounts, such as Christmas and vacation clubs.
3. Accounts authorized for negotiable orders of withdrawal (NOW) and accounts authorized for automatic transfer to demand deposits (ATS). ATS data availability starts with December 1978
4. Represents corporations and other profit-seeking organizations (excluding commercial banks but including savings and loan associations, mutual savings banks, credit unions, the Export-Import Bank, and federally sponsored lending agencies).
5. Savings accounts other than NOW; business; and, from December 1978, ATS.

Nute. Historical data for the period 1970 through Junc 1977 have been estimated; these estimates are based in part on the debits series for 233 SMSAs, which were available through June 1977. Back data are available from Publications Services, Division of Administrative Services, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551. Debits and turnover data for savings deposits are not available before July 1977.

### 1.21 MONEY STOCK MEASURES AND COMPONENTS

Billions of dollars, averages of daily figures

| Item | $\begin{aligned} & 1977 \\ & \text { Dec. } \end{aligned}$ | $1978$Dec. | $\begin{aligned} & 1979 \\ & \text { Dec. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1980 \\ & \text { Dec. } \end{aligned}$ | 1981 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July |
|  | Seasonally adjusted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Measures ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1 \mathrm{M1}$-A. | 331.4 | 354.8 | 372.7 | 387.7 | 365.8 | 366.6 | 364.9 | 361.9 | 361.6 |
| 2 M1-B | 336.4 | 364.2 | 390.5 | 415.6 | 425.8 | 433.7 | 431.5 | 428.8 | 430.3 |
| 3 M 2 | 1,296.4 | 1,404.2 | 1,525.2 | 1,669.4 | 1,718.6 | 1.738.1 | 1,743.4 | 1,749.3 | 1,760.5 |
| 4 M3 | 1.462 .5 | 1,625.7 | 1,775.1 | 1.963.5 | 2.026 .1 | 2.044 .6 | 2.059 .0 | 2,076.5 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 2.090 .1 |
| $5 \mathrm{~L}^{2}$. | 1,722.7 | 1,936.5 | 2,151.1 | 2.377 .4 | 2,443.7 | 2.455 .6 | $2.476 .7^{r}$ | ก.a. | ก.a. |
| Components |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 Currency. | 88.6 | 97.4 | 106.1 | 116.1 | 117.9 | 118.9 | 119.8 | 119.9 | 120.9 |
| 7 Demand deposits. | 239.7 | 253.9 | 262.8 | 267.4 | 243.5 | 243.1 | 240.7 | 237.9 | 236.6 |
| 8 Travelers checks ${ }^{3}$ | 3.1 | 3.5 | 3.8 | 4.2 | 4.4 | 4.6 | 4.4 | 4.2 | 4.1 |
| 9 Savings deposits. | 486.5 | 475.5 | 416.5 | 393.0 | 368.3 | 367.0 | 361.1 | $354.0{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 349.0 |
| 10 Small-denomination time deposits ${ }^{4}$ | 453.8 | 533.3 | 652.7 | 756.8 | 789.4 | 790.0 | 798.4 | $807.7{ }^{\prime}$ | 811.6 |
| 11 Large-denomination time deposits ${ }^{5}$ | 145.1 | 194.0 | 219.7 | 256.8 | 271.0 | 269.5 | 277.2 | 287.3 | 290.3 |
|  | Not seasonally adjusted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Measures ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 Ml -A. | 340.1 | 364.2 | 382.5 | 397.7 | 358.9 | 369.5 | 359.4 | 361.1 | 363.7 |
| 13 M1-B | 345.1 | 373.6 | 400.6 | 425.9 | 417.8 | 436.7 | 424.4 | 428.4 | 433.2 |
| 14 M 2 . | 1,299.0 | 1,409.0 | 1,531.3 | 1,675.2 | 1,713.4 | 1.745 .7 | 1,737.5 | 1,751.5 ${ }^{\prime}$ | 1,765.4 |
| 15 M 3 | 1,467.7 | 1,634.6 | 1,785.5 | 1,974.0 | 2,023.6 | 2,051.1 | 2,052.1 | 2,073.0 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 2,090.7 |
| $16 \mathrm{~L}^{2}$. | 1,726.7 | 1,943.6 | 2,158.8 | 2,384.0 | 2.444 .5 | 2,465.3 | 2,472.6 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | п.a. | n.a. |
| Components |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 Currency. | 90.3 | 99.4 | 108.3 | 118.4 | 116.8 | 118.4 | 119.3 | 119.9 | 121.5 |
| 18 Demand deposits. | 247.0 | 261.5 | 270.8 | 275.4 | 237.9 | 246.8 | 235.9 | $237 .{ }^{\prime}$ | 237.6 |
| 19 Travelers checks ${ }^{3}$ | 2.9 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.9 | 4.2 | 4.3 | 4.2 | 4.3 | 4.7 |
| 20 Other checkable deposits ${ }^{6}$. $\ldots$. | 5.0 | 9.4 | 18.2 | 28.3 | 59.2 | 67.5 | 65.3 | $67.6^{\prime}$ | 69.7 |
| 21 Overnight RPs and Eurodollars ${ }^{7}$ | 18.6 | 23.9 | 25.4 | 32.4 | 33.3 | 34.3 | 38.3 | 39.7 | 39.2 |
| 22 Money market mutual funds. | 3.8 | 10.3 | 43.6 | 75.8 | 105.6 | 117.1 | 118.1 | 122.8 | 134.3 |
| 23 Savings deposits................ | 483.1 | 472.6 | 413.9 | 390.2 | 365.7 | 366.4 | 359.7 | $355.4{ }^{\prime}$ | 352.8 |
| 24 Small-denomination time deposits ${ }^{4}$. | 451.3 | 531.7 | 651.4 | 755.2 | 794.8 | 795.2 | 801.0 | $808.9{ }^{r}$ | 809.9 |
| 25 Large-denomination time deposits ${ }^{5}$. | 147.7 | 198.1 | 223.9 | 261.4 | 273.8 | 268.3 | 276.3 | 281.6 | 286.0 |

1. Composition of the money stock measures is as follows:

M1-A: Averages of daily figures for (1) demand deposits at all commercial banks other than those due to domestic banks, the U.S. government, and foreign banks and official institutions less cash items in the process of collection and Federal Reserve float; (2) currency outside the Treasury, Federal Reserve Banks, and the yaults of commercial banks; and (3) trayelers checks of nonbank issuers.
M1-B: M1-A plus negotiable order of withdrawal (NOW) and automatic transfer service (ATS) accounts at banks and thrift institutions, credit union share draft accounts, and demand deposits at mutual savings banks.
M2: M1-B plus savings and small-denomination time deposits at all depository M2: M1-B plus savings and small-denomination time deposits at all depository instututions, overnight repurchase agreements at commercial banks, overnight Eurodollars held by U.S. residents other than banks
banks, and money market mutual fund shares.
banks, and money market mutual fund shares.
M3: M2 plus large-denomination time deposits at all depository institutions and M3: M2 plus large-denomination time deposits at al depository
term RPs at commercial banks and savings and loan associations
term RPs at commercial banks and savings and loan associations.
2. L: M3 plus other liquid assets such as term Eurodollars held by U.S. residents other than banks, bankers acceptances, commercial paper, Treasury bills and other liquid Treasury securities, and U.S. savings bonds.
3. Outstanding amount of U.S. dollar-denominated travelers checks of nonbank issuers.
4. Small-denomination time deposits are those issued in amounts of less than $\$ 100,000$.
5. Large-denomination time deposits are those issued in amounts of $\$ 100,000$ or more and are net of the holdings of domestic banks, thrift institutions. the U.S. government, money market mutual funds, and foreign banks and official institutions.
6. Includes ATS and NOW balances at all institutions, credit union share draft balances, and demand deposits at mutual savings banks.
7. Overnight (and continuing contract) RPs are those issued by commercial banks to the nonbank public. and overnight Eurodollars are those issued by Ca ribbean branches of member banks to U.S. nonbank customers.

NOTE. Latest monthly and weekly figures are available from the Board's H.6(508) release. Back data are available from the Banking Section, Division of Research and Statistics.

### 1.22 AGGREGATE RESERVES OF DEPOSITORY INSTITUTIONS AND MEMBER BANK DEPOSITS ${ }^{1}$

Billions of dollars, averages of daily figures

| Item |
| :--- |

1. Reserves of depository institutions series reflect actual reserve requirement percentages with no adjustment to eliminate the effect of changes in Regulations D and M. Before Nov. 13, 1980, the date of implementation of the Monetary Control Act, only the reserves of commercial banks that were members of the Federal Reserve System were included in the series. Since that date the series include the reserves of all depository institutions. In conjunction with the implementation of the act, required reserves of member banks were reduced about $\$ 4.3$ billion and required reserves of other depository institutions were increased about $\$ 1.4$ billion. Effective Oct. 11, 1979, an 8 percentage point marginal reserve requirement was imposed on "Managed Liabilitics." This action raised required reserves about $\$ 320$ million. Effective Mar. 12,1980 , the 8 percentage point marginal reserve requirement was raised to 10 percentage points. In addition the base upon which the marginal reserve requirement was calculated was reduced. This action increased required reserves about $\$ 1.7$ million in the week ending Apr. 2 , action increased required reserves about $\$ 1.7$ million in the week ending Apr. 2 , 1980 . Effective May 29,1980 , the marginal reserve requirement was reduced from
10 to 5 percentage points and the base upon which the marginal reserve requirement 10 to 5 percentage points and the base upon which the marginal reserve requirement was calculated was raised. This action reduced required reserves about $\$ 980$ million
in the week ending June 18,1980 . Effective July 24,1980 , the 5 percent marginal reserve requirement on managed liabilitics and the 2 percent supplementary reserve requirement against large time deposits were removed. Thesc actions reduced required reserves about $\$ 3.2$ billion.
2. Reserve measures for November reflect increases in required reserves associated with the reduction of weekend avoidance activities of a few large banks. ciated with the reduction of weekend avoidance activities of a few large banks. average level of required reserves that need to be held for a given level of deposits entering the money supply. In November, this increase in required reserves is estimated at $\$ 550$ million to $\$ 600$ million.
3. Reserve balances with Federal Reserve Banks plus vault cash at institutions with required reserve balances plus vault cash equal to required reserves at other institutions.
4. Includes reserve balances at Federal Reserve Banks in the current week plus vault cash held two weeks earlier used to satisfy reserve requirements at all depository institutions plus currency outside the U.S. Treasury. Federal Reserve Banks. the vaults of depository institutions, and surplus vault cash at depository institutions.
5. Includes total time and savings deposits and net demand deposits as defined by Regulation D. Private demand deposits include all demand deposits except those due to the U.S. government, less cash items in process of collection and demand balances due from domestic commercial banks.

NoTE. Latest monthly and weekly figures are available from the Board's H.3(502) statistical release. Back data and estimates of the impact on required reserves and changes in reserve requirements are available from the Banking Section. Division of Research and Statistics.
1.23 LOANS AND SECURITIES All Commercial Banks ${ }^{1}$

Billions of dollars; averages of Wednesday figures

| Catcgory | 1978 Dec. | 1979 <br> Dec. | $1980$ | 1981 |  | $1978$Dec. | $\begin{aligned} & 1979 \\ & \text { Dec. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1980 \\ & \text { Dec. } \end{aligned}$ | 1981 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | June | July |  |  |  | June | July |
|  | Seasonally adjusted |  |  |  |  | Not seasonally adjusted |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Total loans and securities ${ }^{2}$ | 1,013.4 ${ }^{3}$ | 1,134.6 ${ }^{4}$ | 1,237.2 ${ }^{5}$ | 1,285.4 | 1,291,6 | 1,022.5 ${ }^{3}$ | 1,145.04 | 1,248.8 ${ }^{5}$ | 1,289.4 | 1,293.1 |
| 2 U.S. Treasury securities. | 93.3 | 93.8 | 110.7 | 119.3 | 120.4 | 94.5 | 95.0 | 112.1 | 119.7 | 118.2 |
| 3 Other securities. | $173.2^{3}$ | 191.8 | 213.9 | 219.0 | 219.5 | $173.9{ }^{3}$ | 192.6 | 214.8 | 219.6 | 219.3 |
| 4 Total loans and leases ${ }^{2}$. | $746.9^{3}$ | $848.9{ }^{4}$ | 912.75 | ${ }^{947.1} 1^{\text {Tr }}$ | 951.6 | $754.2{ }^{3}$ | $857.4^{4}$ | 922.05 | 950.0 | 955.5 |
| 5 Commercial and industrial loans | $246.1{ }^{6}$ | $291.1{ }^{4}$ | $324.9{ }^{5}$ | $338.8{ }^{7 r}$ | 343.8 | $247.7{ }^{6}$ | $293.0^{4}$ | $327.0^{5}$ | $340.6{ }^{7}$ | 345.3 |
| 6 Real estate loans. | 210.5 | $241.3{ }^{4}$ | $260.6^{5}$ | 271.6 | 273.0 | 210.9 | $241.8^{4}$ | $261.1^{5}$ | 271.4 | 273.3 |
| 7 Loans to individuals | 164.7 | 184.9 | 175.2 | 174.1 | 174.0 | 165.6 | 186.0 | 176.2 | 173.6 | 174.4 |
| 8 Security loans. | 19.3 | 18.6 | 17.6 | 20.5 | 19.5 | 20.6 | 19.8 | 18.8 | 20.6 | 18.7 |
| 9 Loans to nonbank financial institutions | $27.1{ }^{8}$ | $28.8{ }^{4}$ | 28.75 | 29.3 | 29.0 | $27.6{ }^{8}$ | $29.3{ }^{4}$ | 29.25 | 29.5 | 29.4 |
| 10 Agricultural loans. | 28.2 | 31.1 | 31.6 | $32.8{ }^{r}$ | 33.1 | 28.1 | 30.9 | 31.4 | $33.0{ }^{r}$ | 33.5 |
| 11 Lease financing receivables............. | 7.5 | 9.3 | 10.9 | 12.2 | 12.3 | 7.5 | 9.3 | 10.9 | 12.2 | 12.3 |
| 12 All other loans. | $43.6{ }^{3}$ | 44.0 | 63.3 | $67.8^{r}$ | 66.9 | $46.2^{3}$ | 47.3 | 67.3 | $69.1{ }^{r}$ | 68.4 |
| 13 Memo: | 1,017.1 ${ }^{3}$ | 1,137.6 ${ }^{\text {4,10 }}$ | 1,239.9 ${ }^{5}$ | 1,288.2 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 1,294.2 | 1,026.2 ${ }^{3}$ | 1,148.0 ${ }^{4.10}$ | 1,251.5 ${ }^{5}$ | 1,292.2 | 1,295.8 |
| 14 Total loans plus loans sold ${ }^{2}$ 2,9 | $750.6{ }^{3}$ | $851.9^{4,10}$ | $915.4{ }^{5}$ | 950.0 | 954.3 | $757.9^{3}$ | $860.4^{4.10}$ | $924.7{ }^{5}$ | 952.9 | 958.2 |
| 15 Total loans sold to affiliates ${ }^{9}$ | 3.7 | $3.0{ }^{8.10}$ | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 3.7 | 3.08 .10 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.7 |
| 16 Commercial and industrial loans plus loans sold ${ }^{9}$. | $248.0{ }^{6.11}$ | $293.1^{4.10}$ | $326.6{ }^{5}$ | $340.8^{7}$ | 345.8 | $249.6{ }^{6.11}$ | 295.04.10 | $328.8{ }^{5}$ | $342.7{ }^{7}$ | 347.5 |
| 17 Commercial and industrial loans sold ${ }^{9} \ldots$ | $1.9{ }^{11}$ | $2.0{ }^{10}$ | 1.8 | 2.0 | 2.0 | $1.9{ }^{11}$ | $2.0{ }^{10}$ | 1.8 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| 18 Acceptances beld | 6.6 | 8.2 | 8.2 | 10.0 | 10.2 | 7.3 | 9.1 | 8.8 | 10.0 | 9.8 |
| 19 Other commercial and industrial loans. | 239.5 | 282.9 | 316.7 | 328.8 | 333.6 | 240.4 | 283.9 | 318.2 | 330.7 | 335.7 |
| 20 To U.S. addressees ${ }^{12}$. . . . . . . . . . | 226.0 | 264.1 | 295.2 | 304.0 | 308.7 | 225.9 | 264.1 | 295.2 | 306.1 | 310.9 |
| 21 To non-U S. addressees. | 13.5 | 18.8 | 21.5 | 24.7 | 24.9 | 14.5 | 19.8 | 23.0 | 24.6 | 24.8 |
| 22 Loans to foreign banks | 21.5 | 18.5 | 23.1 | 21.8 | 21.4 | 23.2 | 20.0 | 24.8 | 22.4 | 22.3 |

1. Includes domestically chartered banks; U.S. branches and agencies of foreign banks, New York investment companies majority owned by foreign banks, and
Edge Act corporations owned by domestically chartered and foreign banks.
2. Excludes loans to commercial banks in the United States.
3. As of Dec. 31, 1978, total loans and securities were reduced by $\$ 0.1$ billion. "Other securities" were increased by $\$ 1.5$ billion and total loans were reduced by $\$ 1.6$ billion largely as the result of reclassifications of certain tax-exempt obligations. Most of the loan reduction was in "all other loans."
4. As of Jan. 3, 1979, as the result of reclassifications, total loans and securities and total loans were increased by $\$ 0.6$ billion. Business loans were increased by $\$ 0.4$ billion and real estate loans by $\$ 0.5$ billion. Nonbank financial loans were reduced by $\$ 0.3$ billion.
5. Absorption of a nonbank affiliate by a large commercial bank added the following to February figures: total loans and securitics, $\$ 1.0$ billion; total loans and leases, $\$ 1.0$ billion; commercial and industrial loans, $\$ .5$ billion; real estate loans, $\$ .1$ billion; nonbank financial, $\$ .1$ billion
6. As of Dec. 31, 1978, commercial and industrial loans were reduced $\$ 0.1$ billion as a result of reclassifications
7. An accounting procedure change by one bank reduced commercial and industrial loans by $\$ 0.1$ billion as of Apr. 1, 1981.
8. As of Dec. 1. 1978, nonbank financial loans were reduced $\$ 0.1$ billion as the result of reclassification
9. Loans sold are those sold outright to a bank's own foreign branches, nonconsolidated nonbank affiliates of the bank, the bank's holding company (if not a bank), and nonconsolidated nonbank subsidiaries of the bolding company.
10. As of Dec. 1, 1979. loans sold to affiliates were reduced $\$ 800$ million and commercial and industrial loans sold were reduced $\$ 700$ million due to corrections of two banks in New York City.
11. As of Dec. 31, 1978, commercial and industrial loans sold outright were increased $\$ 0.7$ billion as the result of reclassifications, but $\$ 0.1$ billion of this amount was offset by a balance sheet reduction of $\$ 0.1$ billion as noted above.
12. United States includes the 50 states and the District of Columbia

Note. Data are prorated averages of Wednesdav estimates for domestically chartered banks, based on weekly reports of a sample of domestically chartered banks and quarterly reports of all domestically chartered banks. For forcign-related institutions, data are averages of month-end estimates based on weekly reports from large agencies and branches and quarterly reports from all agencies, branches, investment companies, and Edge Act corporations engaged in banking.

### 1.24 MAJOR NONDEPOSIT FUNDS OF COMMERCIAL BANKS'

Monthly averages, billions of dollars

| Source | December outstanding |  |  | Outstanding in 1980 and 1981 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July |
| Total nondeposit funds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Seasonally adjusted ${ }^{2}$ | 61.5 | 91.2 | 121.1 | 116.9 | 121.4 | 124.5 | 122.0 | 117.0 | 111.2 | 117.6 | 119.7 | 118.2 |
| 2 Not seasonally adjusted. Federal funds, RPs, and other borrowings from nonbanks ${ }^{3}$ | 60.1 | 90.2 | 119.8 | 120.1 | 119.9 | 122.0 | 121.2 | 116.7 | 111.8 | 122.0 | 120.4 | 118.9 |
| 3 Seasonally adjusted . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 58.4 | 80.7 | 90.0 | 105.4 | 109.5 | 113.5 | 110.9 | 110.7 | 109.4 | 106.7 | 111.8 | 110.7 |
| 4 Not seasonally adjusted. .................... | 57.0 | 79.7 | 88.7 | 108.6 | 109.0 | 111.0 | 110.2 | 110.5 | 109.0 | 111.0 | 112.6 | 111.5 |
| 5 Net balances due to foreign-related institutions, not seasonally adjusted | -1.5 | 6.8 | 28.1 | 8.9 | 8.2 | 8.2 | 8.3 | 3.5 | -0.9 | 8.2 | 5.0 | 4.8 |
| 6 Loans sold to affiliates, not seasonally adjusted ${ }^{4 .}$ | 4.7 | 3.7 | 3.0 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.7 |
| Memo |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 Domestically chartered banks net positions with own foreign branches, not seasonally adjusted ${ }^{\text {6 }}$ | - 12.5 | -10.2 | 6.5 | -14.2 | - 14.7 | -16.2 | -14.7 | -16.9 | -21.3 | -13.6 | -14.6 | -14.7 |
| 8 Gross due from balances..................... | 21.1 | 24.9 | 22.8 | 37.3 | 37.5 | 37.5 | 36.4 | 38.9 | 43.1 | 43.6 | 42.7 | 45.2 |
| 9 Gross due to balances... | 8.6 | 14.7 | 29.3 | 23.1 | 22.8 | 21.2 | 21.7 | 22.0 | 21.8 | 30.0 | 28.0 | 30.5 |
| 10 Foreign-related institutions net positions with directly related institutions, not seasonally adjusted ${ }^{7}$ | 10.9 | 17.0 | 21.6 | 23.1 | 22.9 | 24.4 | 22.9 | 20.5 | 20.4 | 21.8 | 19.6 | 19.5 |
| 11 Gross due from balances.................. | 10.7 | 14.3 | 28.9 | 31.0 | 32.5 | 31.5 | 31.8 | 31.9 | 33.8 | 34.9 | 35.5 | 33.7 |
| 12 Gross due to balances. | 21.7 | 31.3 | 50.5 | 54.1 | 55.4 | 55.9 | 54.7 | 52.3 | 54.1 | 56.7 | 55.1 | 53.2 |
| Security RP borrowings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13. Seasonally adjusted ${ }^{\text {8 }}$...................... | 36.0 | 44.8 | 49.2 | 58.8 | 63.4 | 68.7 | 67.0 | 67.1 | 67.0 | 64.4 | $71.0{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 69.5 |
|  | 35.1 | 43.6 | 47.9 | 60.9 | 61.7 | 65.0 | 65.2 | 65.8 | 65.6 | 67.7 | $70.6{ }^{\prime}$ | 69.1 |
| 15 Seasonally adjusted | 4.4 | 8.7 | 8.9 | 8.1 | 8.4 | 6.9 | 8.2 | 11.7 | 12.3 | 14.2 | $10.9{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 11.9 |
| 16 Not seasonally adjusted....... ${ }^{\text {a }}$. $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 5.1 | 10.3 | 9.7 | 6.7 | 9.0 | 8.0 | 8.1 | 10.3 | 12.1 | $12.3{ }^{r}$ | $12.4{ }^{\prime}$ | 10.8 |
| Time deposits, $\$ 100,000$ or more <br> 17 Seasonally adjusted. | 162.0 | 213.0 | 227.1 | 254.9 | 265.8 | 277.0 | 282.5 | 281.1 | 284.3 | 294.8 | $303.6{ }^{r}$ | 312.8 |
| 18 Not seasonally adjusted. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 165.4 | 217.9 | 232.8 | 257.9 | 272.4 | 282.0 | 287.0 | 285.9 | 283.7 | 293.6 | $298.4{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 305.0 |

1. Commercial banks are those in the 50 states and the District of Columbia with national or state charters plus agencies and branches of foreign banks. New York investment companies majority owned by foreign banks, and Edge Act corporations owned by domestically chartered and foreign banks.
2. Includes seasonally adjusted federal funds, RPs, and other borrowings from nonbanks and not seasonally adjusted net Eurodollars and loans to affiliates. Includes averages of Wednesday data for domestically chartered banks and averages of current and previous month-end data for foreign-related institutions.
3. Other borrowings are borrowings on any instrument, such as a promissory note or due bill, given for the purpose of borrowing money for the banking business. This includes borrowings from Federal Reserve Banks and from forcign banks, term federal funds, overdrawn due from bank balances, loan RPs, and participations in pooled loans. Includes averages of daily figures for member banks and tions in pooled loans. Includes averages of daty for for foreign-related institutions. averages of current and previous month-end data tor foreign-related institutions. held by affiliates. Averages of Wednesday data.
4. As of Dec. 1, 1979, loans sold to affiliates were reduced $\$ 800$ million due to corrections of two New York City banks.
5. Averages of daily figures for member and nonmember banks. Before October

1980 nonmember banks were interpolated from quarterly call report data.
7. Includes averages of current and previous month-end data until August 1979; beginning September 1979 averages of daily data.
8. Based on daity average data reported by 122 large banks beginning February 1980 and 46 banks before February 1980.
9. Includes U.S. Treasury demand deposits and Treasury tax-and-loan notes at commercial banks. Averages of daily data.
10. Averages of Wednesday figures.

Note. Movement of federal funds. RPs, and other borrowings from nonbanks (lines 3 and 4) is based on fluctuations in security RP borrowings (lines 13 and 14) and borrowings from unaffiliated foreign sources (not shown) after October 1980 .
1.25 ASSETS AND LIABILITIES OF COMMERCIAL BANKING INSTITUTIONS Last-Wednesday-of-Month Series Billions of dollars except for number of banks

| Account | 1980 |  |  | 1981 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. | Mat. | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug. |
| Domestically Chartered Commercial Banks ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Loans and securities. excluding interbank | 1.134 .8 | 1.150 .8 | 1.177 .1 | 1,166.0 | 1,167.0 | 1.169.5 | 1.187 .8 | 1,194.6 | 1,205.3 | 1.213 .2 | 1,220.6 |
| 2 Loans, excluding interbank.... | 821.6 | 832.8 | 851.4 | 840.2 | 839.0 | 840.6 | 855.4 | 862.4 | 872.2 | 879.2 | 886.8 |
| 3 Commercial and industrial | 269.0 | 275.7 | 281.5 | 277.6 | 276.3 | 277.5 | 285.4 | 287.9 | 293.1 | 295.8 | 299.0 |
| 4 Other. | 552.6 | 557.1 | 569.9 | 562.6 | 562.7 | 563.1 | 570.1 | 574.5 | 579.1 | 583.4 | 587.9 |
| 5 U.S. Treasury securities. | 104.4 | 107.1 | 111.2 | 112.0 | 113.7 | 112.9 | 115.8 | 114.9 | 116.1 | 115.8 | 114.0 |
| 6 Other securities........ | 208.9 | 210.9 | 214.6 | 213.8 | 214.3 | 216.0 | 216.6 | 217.3 | 216.9 | 218.2 | 219.8 |
| 7 Cash assets. total. | 155.9 | 175.6 | 194.2 | 159.3 | 165.9 | 167.9 | 181.8 | 180.3 | 169.8 | 161.1 | 173.2 |
| 8 Currency and coin. | 18.3 | 16.9 | 19.9 | 18.7 | 18.6 | 17.8 | 18.8 | 19.5 | 19.1 | 19.6 | 20.2 |
| 9 Reserves with Federal Reserve Banks | 31.7 | 30.4 | 28.2 | 25.2 | 30.4 | 31.8 | 38.3 | 25.2 | 25.4 | 27.0 | 25.4 |
| 10 Balances with depository institutions | 47.2 | 56.1 | 63.0 | 54.9 | 54.6 | 55.1 | 57.3 | 62.0 | 60.7 | 56.8 | 66.0 |
| 11 Cash items in process of collection.. | 58.8 | 72.2 | 83.0 | 60.5 | 62.3 | 63.3 | 67.4 | 73.6 | 64.6 | 57.7 | 61.6 |
| 12 Other assets ${ }^{2}$ | 151.3 | 151.3 | 165.6 | 155.8 | 160.1 | 163.4 | 167.7 | 158.8 | 168.6 | 158.8 | 164.2 |
| 13 Total assets/total liabilities and capital. | 1,442.1 | 1,477.7 | 1,537.0 | 1,481.0 | 1,493.0 | 1,500.9 | 1,537.3 | 1,533.7 | 1,543.7 | 1,533.2 | 1,557.9 |
| 14 Deposits | 1,092.9 | 1.126 .2 | 1,187.4 | 1.128 .7 | 1.132.0 | 1,136.5 | 1,151.7 | 1,170.3 | 1,165.9 | 1,160.8 | 1.182.2 |
| 15 Demand | 375.7 | 393.0 | 432.2 | 351.1 | 345.5 | 345.3 | 356.8 | 360.7 | 350.9 | 333.6 | 342.5 |
| 16 Savings | 210.9 | 209.5 | 2013 | 211.9 | 214.3 | 220.5 | 222.7 | 220.9 | 220.7 | 219.8 | 218.0 |
| 17 Time.. | 506.2 | 523.7 | 553.8 | 565.7 | 572.3 | 570.7 | 572.2 | 588.7 | 594.3 | 607.3 | 621.7 |
| 18 Borrowings.. | 161.7 | 157.3 | 156.4 | 156.4 | 163.2 | 163.8 | 179.5 | 155.7 | 169.3 | 159.3 | 163.7 |
| 19 Other liabilities | 74.7 | 78.1 | 79.0 | 76.7 | 80.3 | 80.6 | 81.8 | 82.3 | 81.8 | 86.3 | 89.8 |
| 20 Residual (assets less liabilities). | 112.7 | 116.1 | 114.2 | 119.3 | 117.5 | 120.0 | 124.3 | 125.4 | 126.7 | 126.7 | 122.1 |
| Memo: <br> 21 U.S. Treasury note balances included in borrowing <br> 22 Number of banks | 11.5 14.760 | 4.4 14.692 | 9.5 14,693 | 9.5 14,689 | 8.5 14.696 | 10.2 14,701 | 16.9 14.713 | 14,719 | 17.4 14.719 | 14,719 | 14,720 |
| all Commercial Banking Institutions ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 Loans and securities, excluding interbank |  | . . . . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1,262.4 | ........ | ....... | 1.253 .8 | ....... | ........ | ....... | . . . . . |  |
| 24 Loans. excluding interbank. |  |  | 932.5 330 | ...... | ...... | 920.9 | ....... | ....... |  |  |  |
| 25 Commercial and industrial |  |  | 330.6 |  |  | 329.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 Other............... |  |  | 601.9 |  |  | 591.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 U.S. Treasury securities. |  |  | 113.6 216.3 |  |  | 115.2 |  | - .... |  |  |  |
| 28 Other securities...... |  |  | 216.3 |  |  | 217.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 Cash assets, total. |  |  | 218.6 | ....... | ....... | 193.6 | $\ldots$ | ....... | ........ |  |  |
| 30 Currency and coin................. |  |  | 20.0 | ........ | ....... | 17.8 | ....... | ....... | ..... |  |  |
| 31 Reserves with Federal Reserve Banks |  | ...... | 29.0 850 |  | ...... | 32.7 | . . . $\cdot$. | ...... |  |  |  |
| 32 Balances with depository institutions |  |  | 85.0 | .... | .-. | 77.9 | $\ldots$ | , |  | , |  |
| 33 Cash items in process of collection... |  |  | 84.7 |  |  | 65.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 Other assets ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | 222.7 |  |  | 225.5 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |  |  |
| 35 Total assels/total liabilities and capital. | $\ldots \ldots$ |  | 1.703 .7 | ........ | ........ | 1,673.0 | ........ | ........ | ...... | . | n.a. |
| 36 Deposits |  |  | 1.239 .9 |  |  | 1.190 .6 | ....... | ....... |  |  |  |
| 37 Demand |  |  | 453.6 |  |  | 367.4 | ....... |  |  |  |  |
| 38 S 39 Savings |  |  | 201.6 584.7 |  |  | 220.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40 Borrowings. |  |  | 210.4 |  |  | 223.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41 Other liabilities |  |  | 135.5 |  |  | 137.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 42 Residual (assets less liabilities)......... |  |  | 117.9 |  |  | 121.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Memo: <br> 43 U.S. Treasury note balances included in borrowing <br> 44 Number of banks |  |  | 9.5 15.120 |  |  | 10.2 15,147 | . ....... |  |  |  | $\downarrow$ |

1. Domestically chartered commercial banks include all commercial banks in the United States except branches of foreign banks; included are member and nonmember banks, stock savings banks, and nondeposit trust companies.
2. Other assets include loans to U.S. commercial banks.
3. Commercial banking institutions include domestically chartered commercial banks, branches and agencies of foreign banks. Edge Act and Agreement corporations, and New York State foreign investment corporations.

Note. Figures are partly estimated. They include all bank-premises subsidiarics and other significant majority-owned domestic subsidiarics. Data for domestically chartered commercial banks are for the last Wednesday of the month; data for other banking institutions are for the last day of the quarter.

ALL LARGE WEEKLY REPORTING COMMERCIAL BANKS with Domestic Assets of $\$ 750$ Million or More on December 31, 1977, Assets and Liabilities
Millions of dollars. Wednesday figures

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^45]
### 1.27 LARGE WEEKLY REPORTING COMMERCIAL BANKS with Domestic Assets of $\$ 1$ Billion or More on

 December 31, 1977, Assets and LiabilitiesMillions of dollars, Wednesday figures

| Account | 1981 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | July 1 | July 8 | July 15 | July 22 | July $29{ }^{p}$ | Aug. $5^{8}$ | Aug. $12^{p}$ | Aug. ${ }^{19}$ | Aug. ${ }^{26}{ }^{\text {p }}$ |
| ${ }^{1}$ Cash items | 62.670 | 50,798 | 54.629 | 49,201 | 44.196 | 54.861 | 49.759 | 51,480 | 47,779 |
| States | 22.078 | ${ }^{20,973}$ | 21.788 | 19,409 | 14,001 | 19,666 | 20,362 | 20,852 | 19.356 |
| 3 All other cash and due from depository institutions. | 29,583 | 33,707 | 33,031 | 36,791 | 31,132 | 32,010 | 31,446 | 33,298 | 29,973 |
| 4 Total loans and securities | 545,810 | 541,355 | 544,196 | 533,416 | 535,259 | 546,079 | 540,493 | 539,210 | 540,823 |
| Securities <br> 5 U.S. Treasury securitie | 37,206 | 38.447 | 37.669 | 36,846 | 37,266 | 37.873 | 37,087 | 37.033 |  |
| 6 Trading account | 6.728 | 8.073 | 7.342 | 6.766 | 7.158 | 7,983 | 7,278 | 8,244 | 7,718 |
| 7 Investment account. by m | 30.478 | 30.375 | 30,327 | 30.080 | 30.108 | 29.890 | 29.808 | 28.789 | 28,694 |
| 8 One year or less. | 9.657 | 9.460 | 9.371 | 9.057 | 9,125 | 9.108 | 9.133 | 8.329 | 8.284 |
| 9 Over one through five years | 17.380 | 17,438 | 17.417 | 17,464 | 17.505 | 17.353 | 17,255 | 17.052 | 17.059 |
| 10 Over five years... | 3.441 | 3,477 | 3,539 | 3,558 | 3.478 | 3.429 | 3.420 | 3.407 | 3,351 |
| 11 Other securities | 72.641 | 71.379 | 71.056 | 71,005 | 71,159 | 72,222 | 71,442 | 71,089 | 71,432 |
| 12 Trading account | 4.558 | 3,364 | 3.327 | 3,197 | 3.174 | 4.107 | 3,216 | 2.857 | 2.843 |
| 13 Investment account | 68.082 | 68.016 | 67,728 | 67,808 | 67.985 | 68.116 | 68.226 | ${ }^{68.232}$ | ${ }^{68.589}$ |
| 14 U.S. government agencie | 15.211 | 15,135 | 14.920 | 14,869 | 14,942 | 14.942 | ${ }_{50}^{14.949}$ | 14.936 | ${ }_{50}^{15.151}$ |
| 15 States and political subdivision, | 50.344 | 50,338 | 50.325 | 50,456 | 50,528 | 50.598 | 50,645 | 50,666 | 50,754 |
| 16 One year or less | 6.299 | 6.333 | 6.305 | 6,334 | 6,290 | 6,494 | 6,497 |  |  |
| 17 18 Over one year $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | $\stackrel{44,046}{2.527}$ | ${ }_{2}^{44,506}$ | 44,020 2.484 | 44,121 | 44.238 2.515 | 44.103 2,576 | 44,148 2,632 | 44.234 2.629 | $\begin{array}{r}44,289 \\ \hline 2.684\end{array}$ |
| Loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 Federal funds sold ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 25.542 | 26.826 | 30.599 | 22,696 | 23.358 | 28.166 | 24.427 | 24.753 | 25,041 |
| 20 To commercial banks | 17,519 | 19.879 | 23.895 | 15,803 | 16,670 | ${ }_{5}^{19.280}$ | 17.418 | 17.520 | $\stackrel{17,221}{5}$ |
| 21 To nonbank brokers and | 6.203 | 5.054 | 4,653 | 4.894 | 4,816 | 5.044 | 5.124 | 5,263 | 5.87 |
| 22 To others. | 1.820 | 1.893 | 2.051 | 1.998 | 1.872 | 1.842 | 1.884 | 1,970 | 1.944 |
| 23 Other loans gross | 421.439 | 415,735 | 415.966 | 413,994 | 414.621 | 420.988 | 418.729 | 417.542 | 419.184 |
| 24 Commercial and industrial | 173,520 | 172.580 | 171.767 | 17.517 | 17.471 | 175,154 | 174,486 | ${ }^{173,751}$ | 173,861 |
| 25 Bankers acceptances and | 5.529 | 4,830 | +4.634 | 3,977 | 4,224 | $\begin{array}{r}4,299 \\ 170854 \\ \hline 108\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}3,761 \\ 170,725 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}3,176 \\ 170.574 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 3,106 10.754 |
| 27 All other | 167,972 | 160.252 | 159,976 | 160,072 | 159,768 | 163,480 | 163,480 | 163,264 | 163,418 |
| 28 Non-U.S. | 7.614 | 7.498 | 7.557 | 7,467 | 7.479 | 7.375 | 7,323 | 7.310 | 7.336 |
| 29 Real estate | 111.169 | 111,211 | 111.634 | 111.876 | 112,071 | 112.329 | 112.737 | 113,024 | 113,234 |
| 30 To individuals for personal expenditures | 62,658 | 62.457 | 62.452 | 62,554 | 62,858 | 62,964 | 62,967 | 63,114 | 63,364 |
| 31 Commercial banks in the United St | 6.095 | 5.628 | 6,064 | 5.927 | 5.434 | 5.961 | 5.786 | 6.098 | 6.398 |
| 32 Banks in foreign countries. | 9.261 | 9.290 | 9.465 | 8.674 | 9.132 | 9.043 | 8,967 | 9.061 | 9,370 |
| 33 Sales finance, personal finance | 10.406 | 9.769 | 9.589 | 9,718 | 9.938 | 10.007 | 10.085 | 9.977 | 9.981 |
| 34 Other financial institutions. | 15.843 | 15.764 | 15.546 | 15,551 | 15,469 | 15.997 | 15,938 | 15.842 | 15,816 |
| 35 To nonbank brokers and dealers in securities | 9,491 | 7.045 | 7.267 | 6,239 | 6.542 | 7.183 | 5,515 | 4.869 | 4,998 |
| ${ }_{37}^{36}$ To others for purchasing and carrying sccurities ${ }^{2}$ | ${ }_{5}^{2,312}$ | ${ }_{5}^{2.299}$ | ${ }_{5}^{2,306}$ | ${ }_{5}^{2.312}$ | ${ }_{5}^{2.317}$ | ${ }_{5}^{2.387}$ | ${ }_{5}^{2,364}$ | 2.368 | ${ }_{5}^{2,353}$ |
| 37 To finance agricultural production | 5.798 | 5.839 | 5.829 | 5.825 | 5,830 | 5.880 | 5.891 | 2.865 | 5.824 |
| 38 All other. | 14.886 | 13,873 | 14,046 | 13,800 | 13.557 | 14,083 | 13,992 | ${ }^{13.575}$ | $\stackrel{13,985}{5}$ |
| 39 Less: Unearned income | 5.240 | 5.278 | 5.304 | 5.304 | 5.333 | 5.294 | 5,307 | 5.314 |  |
| 40 Loan loss reserve | 5 | 5 5.775 | 55.990 | 5,821 40.869 | 5.812 | 59875 | 5, 5.883 | 5,893 | 5.901 |
| 41 Other loans, net. | 410.422 | 404,702 | 404.872 | 402.869 | 403,476 | 409.818 | 407,539 | ${ }^{406,335}$ | 407.938 |
| 42 Lease financing receivable | 99.973 | 10,066 | 10.100 | ${ }^{10,090}$ | 10,119 | 10,119 | 10.131 | 10.157 86.153 | ${ }^{10,184}$ |
| 43 All other assets | 90,207 | 88,825 | 87.296 | 84,553 | 84.724 | 85,914 | 85,790 | 86,153 | 86,274 |
| 44 Total assets | 760,323 | 745,723 | 751,049 | 733,460 | 719,332 | 748,649 | 737,984 | 741,151 | 734,389 |
| 45 Deposits ${ }^{\text {Demand deposits }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 46 Mutual savings bin | 703 | ${ }^{5770}$ | 673 | 473 | 516 | 660 | 550 | ${ }_{551}$ | ${ }^{7} \times 62$ |
| 47 Individuals, partnerships, and corporations | 130,740 | 120,355 | 124,433 | 115,640 | 113.338 | 121,829 | 118,919 | 114,327 | 13,604 |
| 48 States and political subdivisions | 4.565 | 4,056 | 4,334 | 3,644 | ${ }^{3,554}$ | 4,135 | 3,414 | 3,727 | 3.605 |
| ${ }^{49}$ U.S. government | ${ }^{936}$ | 1,804 | 2,673 | 1,678 | 1,604 | 2.968 | 1.948 | 2.773 | 1.687 |
| 50 Commercial banks in the United States | 39.534 | 34.611 | 39,730 | 33,614 | 26.626 | 34.748 | 33,257 | 37.546 | ${ }^{33.326}$ |
| 51 Banks in foreign countries. | 8.312 | 8.369 | 9.316 | 7.563 | 8.612 | 8.758 | 8.519 | 8.929 | ${ }^{9} .068$ |
| 52 Foreion governments and official institutions. | 1.665 | ${ }_{7} 1,782$ | 1.858 | 1.295 | 1.303 6.707 | 88.444 | 1.877 | ${ }_{6}^{1.697}$ | 1.679 |
| 53 Time and savings deposits.... | 315.351 | 315,620 | 315,813 | 317,281 | 319,194 | 321.511 | 322,877 | 322,944 | 324.471 |
| 55 Savings. | 72,308 | 72.701 | 71,903 | 71,400 | 70.544 | 71,626 | 70,856 | 70,357 | 69.740 |
| 56 Individuals and nonprofit organizations | 68,726 | 69.190 | 68,474 | 68,004 | 67,175 | 68,272 | 67,494 | 67,039 | 66,443 |
| 57 Partnerships and corporations operated for | 2,950 | 2,947 | 2.907 |  | 2.874 | 2.854 | ,832 | 2,787 | 2.795 |
| 58 Domestic governmental units | 614 | 544 | 501 | 473 | 472 | 479 | 507 | 508 | 479 |
| 59 All other. | 18 | 20 | 21 | 23 | 22 | 22 | 23 | 23 | 23 |
| 60 Time | 243,042 | 242.920 | 243,909 | 245,882 | 248,650 | 249,884 | 252,021 | 252.587 | 254,731 |
| 61 Individuals, partnerships, and corporations | 211,737 | 211,550 | 213,680 | 215,376 | 217,985 | 219,573 | 221,336 | 221,557 | 223,270 |
| 62 States and political subdivisions | 17,103 | 17,070 | 16,855 | 17,123 | 17.178 | 17.049 | 17,346 | 17,632 | 17,896 |
| 63 U.S. government. | 254 | 265 | 254 | 264 | 270 | 263 | 262 | 246 | 236 |
| 64 Commercial banks in the United States | 7,753 | 7,672 | 7.657 | 7,664 | 7.926 | 7,868 | 7,940 | 7,937 | 8,033 |
| 65 Foreign governments, official institutions, and $\begin{gathered}\text { banks ........................................ }\end{gathered}$ | 6,195 | 6,362 | 5,462 | 5,454 | 5,289 | 132 | 5,138 | 5,215 | 5,296 |
| Liabilities for borrowed money |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{67}^{66}$ Borrowings fromm Federal Reserve Banks .. | ${ }_{8880}^{3.106}$ | $\begin{array}{r}2,041 \\ 4 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.357 \\ & 3,683 \end{aligned}$ | 3,977 <br> 4 | $\begin{array}{r}596 \\ 4.520 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | ${ }_{1}^{1.001}$ | 459 1.659 | $\begin{array}{r}667 \\ 1958 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\stackrel{275}{650}$ |
|  | 121,277 | 131,230 | 124,764 | 120,000 | 115,892 | 125.388 | 120,930 | 120,961 | 115,840 |
| 69 Other liabilities and subordinated notes and $\begin{gathered}\text { debentures................................... }\end{gathered}$ | 65,902 | 63,677 | 64,393 | 67,110 | 68.213 | 67,355 | 67.417 | 69,267 | 70.752 |
| 70 Total liabilities | 711,532 | 696,803 | 702,320 | 684,821 | 670,676 | 699,519 | 688,848 | 692,193 | 685,420 |
| 71 Residual (total assets minus total liabilities) ${ }^{4}$ | 48,791 | 48,920 | 48.720 | 48,640 | 48.656 | 49.130 | 49.136 | 48,958 | 48.969 |

[^46]1.28 LARGE WEEKLY REPORTING COMMERCIAL BANKS IN NEW YORK CITY Assets and Liabilities

Millions of dollars, Wednesday figures


1. Excludes trading account securities
2. Not available due to confidentiality.
3. Includes securities purchased under agreements to resell.
4. Other than financial institutions and brokers and dealers
5. Includes trading account securities.
6. Includes federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase
7. Not a measure of equity capital for use in capital adequacy analysis or for other analytic uses.

### 1.29 LARGE WEEKLY REPORTING COMMERCIAL BANKS Balance Sheet Memoranda

Millions of dollars, Wednesday figures

| Account | 1981 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | July 1 | July 8 | July 15 | July 22 | July 29p | Aug. $5^{p}$ | Aug. $12{ }^{\text {P }}$ | Aug. ${ }^{19}{ }^{p}$ | Aug. $\mathbf{2 6}^{\text {P }}$ |
| Banks with Assets of \$750 Million or More |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Total loans (gross) and securities adjusted ${ }^{1}$ | 569.688 | 563,250 | 561.722 | 559,166 | 560,709 | 568,587 | 565,111 | 563.441 | 565,210 |
| 2 Total loans (gross) adjusted ${ }^{1}$. . . . . . . . . . . | 450.147 | 443,760 | 443.343 | 441,640 | 442.577 | 448.766 | 446,890 | 445.634 | 447,659 |
| 3 Demand deposits adjusted ${ }^{2}$. | 101,482 | 99,696 | 101.542 | 94.271 | 97.128 | 99,024 | 98.080 | 91.585 | 94.707 |
| 4 Time deposits in accounts of \$100,000 or more | 170,407 | 170,325 | 170,859 | 172,484 | 174,846 | 175.669 | 177,213 | 177.539 | 179.582 |
| 5 Negotiable CDs ........................... | 122,735 | 122,345 | 123,533 | 124.423 | 126,604 | 127.198 | 128.432 | 128.472 | 130,174 |
| 6 Other time deposits. | 47.672 | 47,980 | 47.326 | 48.061 | 48,242 | 48.470 | 48.781 | 49.067 | 49,408 |
| 7 Loans sold outright to affiliates ${ }^{3}$. | 2.798 | 2.673 | 2.711 | 2.693 | 2.650 | 2.642 | 2.616 | 2,631 | 2,656 |
| 8 Commercial and industrial.... | 2,133 | 2,016 | 2.062 | 2,035 | 1,971 | 1.965 | 1,940 | 1,959 | 1,973 |
| 9 Other. | 665 | 657 | 649 | 658 | 679 | 677 | 676 | 671 | 683 |
| Banks with Assets of \$1 Billion or More |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 Total loans (gross) and securities adjusted ${ }^{1}$ | 533.214 | 526,901 | 525,331 | 522,810 | 524.300 | 532,008 | 528,481 | 526,800 | 528.451 |
| 11 Total loans (gross) adjusted ${ }^{1}$. | 423,367 | 417,074 | 416,606 | 414.960 | 415,875 | 421,912 | 419.952 | 418,678 | 420,607 |
| 12 Demand deposits adjusted ${ }^{2}$ | 93.926 | 92,293 | 94,278 | 87,227 | 89,935 | 90.293 | 90,541 | 84,597 | 87,640 |
| 13 Time deposits in accounts of $\$ 100,000$ or more. | 161,348 | 161.250 | 161.823 | 163.448 | 165.777 | 166.514 | 167.985 | 168,249 | 170.220 |
| 14 Negotiable CDs.. | 116.565 | 116.146 | 117.354 | 118.249 | 120.417 | 120.983 | 122,187 | 122.177 | 123.813 |
| 15 Other time deposits. | 44,782 | 45.104 | 44.470 | 45,199 | 45,360 | 45.531 | 45,798 | 46,072 | 46.407 |
| 16 Loans sold outright to affiliates ${ }^{3}$. | 2.729 | 2.604 | 2,643 | 2.618 | 2.574 | 2.568 | 2,544 | 2.560 | 2.580 |
| 17 Commercial and industrial. | 2,077 | 1,960 | 2.007 | 1.964 | 1.907 | 1.903 | 1.882 | 1.902 | 1.914 |
| 18 Other. | 652 | 644 | 636 | 654 | 667 | 665 | 662 | 658 | 666 |
| Banks in New York City |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 Total loans (gross) and securities adjusted ${ }^{\text {t }} 4$ | 132,968 | 129.739 | 129.637 | 127.475 | 128,298 | 131.551 | 130.154 | 128.173. | 128.494 |
| 20 Total loans (gross) adjusted ${ }^{1}$. | 109.716 | 106.414 | 106.407 | 104.348 | 105,150 | 108,176 | 106.897 | 105.499 | 105.868 |
| 21 Demand deposits adjusted ${ }^{2}$. | 25.199 | 23.745 | 25.600 | 22.430 | 24,766 | 25,554 | 24.599 | 21,189 | 23,138 |
| 22 Time deposits in accounts of \$100,000 or more | 40.196 | 39.919 | 39.882 | 40,822 | 41.192 | 41,014 | 41,000 | 40.597 | 41.454 |
| 23 Negotiable CDs. | 29.827 | 29,395 | 29,640 | 30.486 | 30,880 | 30.520 | 30.460 | 30,039 | 30.859 |
| 24 Other time deposits. | 10,369 | 10.524 | 10.242 | 10.336 | 10.312 | 10.494 | 10.540 | 10.557 | 10.594 |

[^47]3. Loans sold are those sold outright to a bank's own foreign branches, nonconsolidated nonbank affiliates of the bank. the bank's holding company (if not a bank). and nonconsolidated nonbank subsidiaries of the holding company
4. Excludes trading account securities.
1.291 LARGE WEEKLY REPORTING BRANCHES AND AGENCIES OF FOREIGN BANKS Assets and Liabilities Millions of dollars, Wednesday figures

| Account | July 1 | July 8 | July 15 | July 22 | July 29 | Aug. 5 | Aug. 12 | Aug. 19 | Aug. 26 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Cash and due from depository institutions | 23.820 | 24.360 | 27,126 | 24,362 | 18,899 | 24,136 | 22,323 | 24,958 | 23,560 |
| 2 Total loans and securities . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 62,826 | 61,268 | 61.054 | 62,000 | 61,751 | 61,280 | 62,144 | 62,005 | 62,564 |
| 3 U.S. Treasury securities. | 1,867 | 1.869 | 1.768 | 1,703 | 1,753 | 1,725 | 1.766 | 1.757 | 1.824 |
| 4 Other securities . . . . . . . | . 952 | 949 | 982 | 988 | 1,006 | 995 | 997 | . 987 | . 987 |
| 5 Federal funds sold ${ }^{1}$ | 5,046 | 4.443 | 4.261 | 4.884 | 4,578 | 3.412 | 4,396 | 4,333 | 5,137 |
| 6 To commercial banks in U.S. | 4.785 | 4.169 | 3.877 | 4,805 | 4.422 | 3,227 | 4,148 | 4,272 | 4,606 |
| 7 To others | 261 | 273 | 384 | 80 | 156 | . 186 | 248 | , 60 | , 531 |
| 8 Other loans, gross. | 54,962 | 54,007 | 54,043 | 54,424 | 54,413 | 55,147 | 54,985 | 54,929 | 54,616 |
| 9 Commercial and industrial | 26,306 | 26.026 | 26,164 | 26,575 | 26,815 | 27.451 | 27.432 | 27.501 | 27,220 |
| 10 Bankers acceptances and commercial paper | 3.549 | 3,563 | 3.518 | 3.480 | 3,425 | 3,344 | 3,352 | 3,326 | 3,310 |
| 11 Alt other . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 22,757 | 22,463 | 22.646 | 23.095 | 23.391 | 24,107 | 24,080 | 24,176 | 23,910 |
| 12 U.S. addresses | 13,331 | 12,818 | 13,017 | 13,338 | 13,575 | 14,111 | 14,170 | 14,173 | 14,009 |
| 13 Non-U.S. addresses | 9,530 | 9.645 | 9.629 | 9,757 | 9.814 | 9.997 | 9.909 | 10,002 | 9,900 |
| 14 To financial institutions | 19.479 | 19.411 | 19.351 | 19.468 | 19.483 | 19.234 | 19.227 | 19,321 | 19,148 |
| 15 Commercial banks in U.S. | 11.653 | 11.656 | 11,488 | 11,553 | 11.741 | 11.516 | 11,692 | 11,743 | 11,518 |
| 16 Banks in foreign countries. | 7,443 | 7.405 | 7.531 | 7.584 | 7.394 | 7,411 | 7,223 | 7,273 | 7,297 |
| 17 Nonbank financial institutions | 383 | 350 | 332 | 331 | 348 | 307 | 312 | 305 | 333 |
| 18 For purchasing and carrying securities .. | 1,262 | 816 7 | 790 | 7604 | - 525 | 730 | 564 | $\begin{array}{r}570 \\ \hline 7535\end{array}$ | 563 |
| 19 All other. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 7.915 | 7.752 | 7.737 | 7.776 | 7.590 | 7.731 | 7.762 | 7,535 | 7.685 |
| 20 Other assets (claims on nonrelated parties) | 9.788 | 10,312 | 10,132 | 9.863 | 10,072 | 10,315 | 10.222 | 10,365 | 10,686 |
| 21 Net due from related institutions | 9.447 | 9,098 | 9,334 | 9.126 | 9.280 | 9,601 | 9,316 | 9,217 | 9,286 |
| 22 Total assets. | 105,881 | 105,038 | 107,646 | 105,351 | 100,002 | 105,332 | 104,005 | 106.546 | 106,096 |
| 23 Deposits or credit balances ${ }^{2}$. . . . . . . . . . . | 42.140 | 42.138 | 43.575 | 41,443 | 36,880 | 42.099 | 40.669 | 42,904 | 42,527 |
| 24 Credit balances . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 1.895 | 1.959 | 2.425 | 2,062 | 1.722 | 2, 243 | 2,614 | 2,646 | 2,622 |
| 25 Demand deposits. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 18,995 | 18,844 | 20.057 | 17.904 | 13.345 | 18,152 | 16,075 | 18,823 | 18,166 |
| 26 Individuals, partnerships, corporations . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 1,206 | 1,066 | 971 | 9.944 | $\begin{array}{r}852 \\ \\ \hline 12\end{array}$ | ${ }^{9} 956$ | \%990 | $\begin{array}{r}998 \\ \hline 17825\end{array}$ | 975 |
| 27 Other. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 17.789 | 17.778 | 19.086 | 16,960 | 12.493 | 17.196 | 15,085 | 17.825 | 17,191 |
| 28 Total time and savings . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 21.250 | 21.335 | 21,093 | 21,477 | 21.813 | 21.704 | 21,979 | 21,436 | 21,739 |
| 29 Individuals, partnerships, corporations. | 17.482 | 17.518 | 17,344 | 17,779 | 18,163 | 18,169 | 18,459 | 17,800 | 18,097 |
| 30 Other. ${ }^{3}$. . . . . . . . . | 3,768 | 3,817 | 3,749 | 3,698 | 3,650 | 3,535 | 3,520 | 3,636 | 3,642 |
| 31 Borrowings ${ }^{3}$. . . . . . . . . . 4 | 30.250 | 30.124 | 31.882 | 29,243 | 29,201 | 30,985 | 30,230 | 30,268 | 29.939 |
| 32 Federal funds purchased ${ }^{4} \ldots \ldots$. | 3.962 | 3.799 | 5.831 | 3.665 | 3,269 | 5.254 | 4.513 | 4,502 | 4.315 |
| 33 From commercial banks in U.S. | 3.208 | 3.049 | 5,032 | 2.772 | 2.544 | 4.421 | 3,776 | 3,730 | 3,493 |
| 34 From others . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 754 | 750 | . 799 | 8893 | 725 | 833 | . 737 | . 772 | 822 |
| 35 Other liabilities for borrowed money | 26.287 | 26.324 | 26,051 | 25.577 | 25,932 | 25,640 | 25,716 | 25,766 | 25,624 |
| 36 To commercial banks in U.S...... | 22.018 | 21,841 | 21.763 | 21,437 | 21,833 | 21,801 | 22,136 | 21.890 | 21,756 |
| 37 To others | 4.269 | 4.483 | 4,288 | 4,140 | 4,099 | 3.839 | 3.580 | 3,876 | 3,868 |
| 38 Other liabilities to nonrelated parties | 10.076 | 10.431 | 10,218 | 9.909 | 10,247 | 10.733 | 10,308 | 10,444 | 10,682 |
| 39 Net due to related institutions | 23,414 | 22,345 | 21.970 | 24.756 | 23,674 | 21,605 | 22,799 | 22,928 | 22,948 |
| 40 Total liabilities. | 105,881 | 105,038 | 107,646 | 105,351 | 100,002 | 105,332 | 104,005 | 106,546 | 106,096 |
| M1 MEMO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41 Total loans (gross) and securities adjusted ${ }^{-}$ | 46,388 | 45,443 | 45,689 | 45.643 | 45,588 | 46.537 | 46,304 | 45,990 | $46,440$ |
| 42 Total loans (gross) adjusted ${ }^{5}$. | 43.570 | 42,624 | 42.939 | 42,951 | 42,828 | 43,817 | 43,541 | 43,246 | 43,621 |

1. Includes securities purchased under agreements to resell

Balances due to other than directly related institutions.
. Borrowings from other than directly related institutions
4. Includes securities sold under agreements to repurchase.
5. Excludes loans and federal funds transactions with commercial banks in U.S.

### 1.29 LARGE WEEKLY REPORTING COMMERCIAL BANKS Domestic Classified Commercial and Industrial Loans

Millions of dollars


1. Adjustment bank amounts represent accumulated adjustments originally made to offset the cumulative effects of mergers. These adjustment amounts should be added to outstanding data for any date in the year to establish comparability with any date in the subsequent year. Changes shown have been adjusted for these amounts.
2. Includes commercial and industrial loans at a few banks with assets of $\$ 1$ billion or more that do not classify their loans.

Note. New series. The 134 large weekly reporting commercial banks with domestic assets of $\$ 1$ billion or more as of Dec. 31, 1977, are included in this series. mestic assets of $\$ 1$ billion or more as of Dec. 31,1977 , are included in this series. The revised series is on a last-Wednesday-of-the-month basis. Partly estimated
historical data are available from the Banking Section, Division of Research and historical data are available from the Banking Section, Division of Research and
Statistics, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. Statistic
20551.

### 1.31 GROSS DEMAND DEPOSITS of Individuals, Partnerships, and Corporations ${ }^{1}$

Billions of dollars, estimated daily-average balances

| Type of holder |
| :--- |

1. Figures include cash items in process of collection. Estimates of gross deposits are based on reports supplied by a sample of commercial banks. Types of depositors in each category are described in the June 1971 BULLETIN, P. 466.
2. Beginning with the March 1979 survey, the demand deposit ownership survey sample was reduced to 232 banks from 349 banks. and the estimation procedure was modified slightly. To aid in comparing estimates based on the old and new reporting sample, the following estimates in billions of dollars for December 1978 have been constructed using the new smaller sample; financial business, 27.0 ; have been constructed using the new smaller sample; financial business,
nonfinancial business, 146.9 ; consumer, 98.3 ; foreign, 2.8 ; and other. 15.1 .
anfinancial business, 146.9 ; consumer, 98.3 ; foreign, 2.8 ; and other. 15.1 .
3. Demand deposit ownership data for March 1981 are subject to greater than normal errors reflecting unusual reporting difficulties associated with funds shifted to NOW accounts authorized at year-end 1980. For the household category. the $\$ 15.7$ billion decline in de mand deposits at all commercial banks between December 1980 and March 1981 has an estimated standard error of $\$ 4.8$ billion.
4. After the end of 1978 the large weekly reporting bank panel was changed to 170 large commercial banks. each of which had total assers in domestic offices exceeding $\$ 750$ million as of Dec. 31, 1977. See "Announcements," p. 408 in the May 1978 Bulletin. Beginning in March 1979, demand deposit ownership estimates for these large banks are constructed quarterly on the basis of 97 sample banks and are not comparable with earlier data. The following estimates in billions of dollars for December 1978 have been constructed for the new large-bank panel; financial business. 18.2; nonfinancial business, 67.2; consumer, 32.8; foreign, 2.5; other. 6.8 .

### 1.32 COMMERCIAL PAPER AND BANKERS DOLLAR ACCEPTANCES OUTSTANDING

Millions of dollars, end of period

| Instrument |
| :--- |

1. A change in reporting instructions results in offsetting shifts in the dealer placed and directly placed financial company paper in October 1979.
2. Institutions engaged primarily in activities such as. but not limited to. commercial, savings, and mortgage banking; sales, personal, and mortgage financing factoring, finance leasing, and other business lending; insurance underwriting; and other investment activities.
3. Includes all financial company paper sold by dealers in the open market.
4. As reported by financial companies that place their paper directly with inves-
tors.
5. Includes public utilities and firms engaged primarily in such activities as communications, construction, manufacturing, mining, wholesale and retail trade. transportation, and services.
1.33 PRIME RATE CHARGED BY BANKS on Short-Term Business Loans

Percent per annum

| Effective date | Rate | Effective Date | Rate | Month | Average rate | Month | Average rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1980-Dec. 16 | 21.00 | 1981-Apr. 2 | 17.00 | 1980-Apr. | 19.77 | 1981-Jan. . . . | 20.16 |
| 19 | 21.50 | ${ }^{1} 24$ | 17.50 | May. | 16.57 |  | 19.43 |
|  |  |  | 18.00 | June | 12.63 |  | 18.05 |
| 1981-Jan. 2. | 20.50 | May 4 . | 19.00 | July | 11.48 | Apr. | 17.15 |
|  | 20.00 | 11. | 19.50 | Aug. | 11.12 | May. | 19.61 |
| Feb. 3 . | 19.50 | 19. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 19.00 | 22. | 20.50 | Oct. | 13.79 | July . | 20.39 |
| Mar. 10 | 18.00 17.50 | June July 8 | 20.00 20.50 | Nov. | 16.06 20.35 | Aug. . |  |

1.34 TERMS OF LENDING AT COMMERCIAL BANKS Survey of Loans Made, May 4-9, 1981

| Item | $\underset{\text { sizes }}{\text { All }}$ | Size of loan (in thousands of dollars) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1-24 | 25-49 | 50-99 | 100-499 | 500-999 | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { and over } \end{gathered}$ |
| Short-Term Commercial and Industrial Loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Amount of loans (thousands of dollars). | 16.840,794 | 853,190 | 481,971 | 767,519 | 2,118,788 | 1,041,775 | 11.577,551 |
| 2 Number of loans. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 164,452 | 121,015 | 14,694 | 12.003 | 12,686 | 1,706 | 2,346 |
| 3 Weighted-average maturity (months) .... | 2.0 | 3.1 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 1.4 |
| 4 Weighted-average interest rate (percent per annum) | 19.99 | 19.45 | 19.87 | 19.10 | 19.93 | 19.58 | 19. 20.14 |
|  | 19.00-20.85 | 17.85-21.15 | 18.83-21.74 | 17.00-21.00 | 18.95-21.49 | 18.39-20.75 | 19.36-20.85 |
| ${ }_{6}$ Percentage of amount of loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 With floating rate ....... | 49.0 528 | 30.1 24.6 | 41.2 | 43.2 48.1 | 64.7 49.1 | 60.7 56.7 | 47.2 56.4 |
| 7 Made under commitment $\ldots$ With no stared maturity . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 52.8 21.6 | 24.6 13.4 | 312.6 | 48.1 21.4 | 49.7 | 29.5 | 22.0 |
| Long-Term Commercial. and industrial Loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 Amount of loans (thousands of dollars) . . . . . . . . . . . | 3.633,958 |  | 280.677 |  | 450,944 | 175,691 | 2,726,645 |
| 10 Number of loans | 21.441 |  | 17,936 |  | 2.725 | 277. | 503 |
| 11 Weighted-average maturity (months) | 50.6 |  | 35.4 |  | 53.1 | 43.6 | 52.2 |
| 12 Weighted-average interest rate (pcreent per annum) | 19.25 $19.00-20.00$ |  | $17.87-21.34$ |  | 18.68-20.16 | 19.48 $19.00-29.74$ | $\begin{array}{r}19.23 \\ \hline 9.00-19.76\end{array}$ |
| Percentage of amount of loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 With floating rate.... | 78.6 |  | 49.5 |  | 68.4 | 87.1 | 82.7 |
| 15 Made under commitment | 77.2 |  | 25.7 |  | 34.6 | 78.0 | 89.5 |
| Construction and <br> Land Development Loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 Amount of loans (thousands of dollars)............. | 874,542 | 74,010 | 81.222 | 169,763 | 223.133 |  | ,415 |
| 17 Number of loans. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 13,956 | 7.690 | 2,363 | 2.333 | 1.332 |  | 237 |
| 18 Weighted-average maturity (months) ............... | 13.2 | 3.3 | 4.1 | 17.7 | 12.0 |  | 16.1 |
| 19 Weighted-average interest rate (percent per annum) . | 19.09 | 19.83 | 19.06 | 16.10 | 20.74 |  | 9.35 |
|  | 18.00-21.94 | 18.00-21.91 | 15.00-21.74 | 8.25-18.40 | 20.40-22.54 | 19.00 | 1.55 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 With floating rate .... | 66.3 | 58.5 | 42.3 | 19.4 | 83.2 |  | 87.0 |
| 22 Secured by real estate. | 93.1 | 93.3 | 85.5 | 97.9 198 | 92.4 |  | 93.0 |
| 23 Made under commitment | 64.8 10.5 | 63.5 20.6 | 62.3 5.2 | 19.8 4.7 | 80.9 4.0 |  | 78.1 17.0 |
| 25 Type of construction $\begin{aligned} & \text { T- to 4-family............................. }\end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 Multifamily | 13.1 | 2.9 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 10.1 |  | 25.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All       <br> sizes $1-9$ $10-24$ $25-49$ $50-99$ $100-249$ $\begin{array}{c}\text { 250 } \\ \text { and over }\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 236.302 | 220.646 | 180.935 | 281.187 |  |
| 29 Ammber of loans..................... | 77.593 | 50,065 | 15,850 | 6.450 | 2.740 | 1.957 | -531 |
| 30 Weighted-average maturity (months) | 6.8 | 6.8 | 6.4 | 6.6 | 6.3 | 7.7 | 6.8 |
| 31 Weighted-average interest rate (percent per annum) | 17.88 | 17.50 | 17.59 | 17.67 | 17.78 | 17.97 | 18.46 |
| 32 Interquartile range ${ }^{1}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 16.53-19.10 | 16.64-18.68 | 16.64-18.81 | 16.64-18.50 | 16.64-18.50 | 16.53-18.77 | 16.10-20.75 |
| By purpose of loan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 Fceder livestock ... | 18.44 | 17.98 | 18.43 | 17.91 | 18.07 | 18.49 | 18.93 |
| 34 Other livestock | 17.98 | 17.28 | 18.42 | 17.39 | 18.75 | 17.64 | (2) |
| 35 Other current operating expenses | 17.73 | 17.46 | 17.36 | 17.65 | 17.88 | 18.27 | 17.85 |
| 36 Farm machinery and equipment . | 17.61 | 17.53 | 17.62 | 17.63 | 17.01 | 17.3 | $1{ }^{(2)}$ |
| 37 Other . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 17.68 | 17.30 | 17.25 | 17.58 | 17.22 | 17.35 | 19.73 |

1. Interest rate range that covers the middle 50 percent of the total dollar amount of loans made.
2. Fewer than 10 sample loans.

### 1.35 INTEREST RATES Money and Capital Markets

Averages, percent per annum; weekly and monthly figures are averages of business day data unless otherwise noted.

|  | Instrument | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |  |  |  | 1981, week ending |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | May | June | July | Aug. | July 31 | Aug. 7 | Aug. 14 | Aug. 21 | Aug. 28 |
| Money Market Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Federal funds ${ }^{1,2}$ | 7.93 | 11.19 | 13.36 | 18.52 | 19.10 | 19.04 | 17.82 | 18.54 | 18.25 | 18.29 | 18.19 | 17.41 |
|  | 1-month. . . . | 7.76 | 10.86 | 12.76 | 17.91 | 17.34 | 17.70 | 17.58 | 17.36 | 17.89 | 17.59 | 17.74 | 17.32 |
|  | 3-month | 7.94 | 10.97 | 12.66 | 17.56 | 16.32 | 17.00 | 17.23 | 17.02 | 17.37 | 17.16 | 17.37 | 17.16 |
|  | 6 -month. | 7.99 | 10.91 | 12.29 | 16.66 | 15.22 | 16.09 | 16.62 | 16.28 | 16.70 | 16.46 | 16.72 | 16.67 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1-month. | 7.73 | 10.78 | 12.44 | 17.47 | 16.66 | 17.29 | 17.37 | 17.08 | 17.62 | 17.32 | 17.58 | 17.09 |
| 7 | 3-month | 7.80 7.78 | 10.47 10.25 | 11.49 11.28 | 15.56 14.97 | 14.58 14.13 | 15.21 14.47 | 15.88 15.32 | 15.68 15.03 | 15.73 15.14 | 15.83 15.20 | 15.95 15.32 | 15.99 15.59 |
|  | Bankers acceptances ${ }^{4}, 5$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3-month. | 8.11 | 11.04 | 12.78 | 17.56 | 16.27 | 17.10 | 17.22 | 17.20 | 17.33 | 17.17 | 17.28 | 17.17 |
|  | 6-month.. | п.a. | n.a. | n.a. | 16.26 | 15.02 | 16.15 | 16.56 | 16.45 | 16.59 | 16.42 | 16.57 | 16.67 |
| 10 | Certificates of deposit, secondary market ${ }^{6}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 3-month | 8.22 | 11.22 | 13.07 | 18.27 | 16.90 | 17.76 | 17.96 | 17.82 | 18.04 | 17.88 | 18.03 | 18.02 |
| 12 | 6 -month | 8.61 | 11.44 | 12.99 | 17.66 | 16.09 | 17.40 | 17.98 | 17.73 | 17.99 | 17.80 | 18.07 | 18.16 |
| 13 | U.S. Treasury bills ${ }^{4}$ Secondary market ${ }^{7}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 6-month | 7.58 | 10.06 | 11.37 | 15.29 | 14.09 | 14.74 | 15.52 | 15.00 | 15.40 | 15.28 | 15.58 | 15.76 |
| 16 | 1-year. | 7.74 | 9.75 | 10.89 | 14.29 | 13.22 | 13.91 | 14.70 | 14.25 | 14.63 | 14.43 | 14.70 | 14.99 |
|  | Auction average ${ }^{8}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 3-month | 7.221 | 10.041 | 11.506 | 16.295 | 14.557 | 14.699 | 15.612 | 15.065 | 15.674 | 15.235 | 15.705 | 15.832 |
| 18 | 6 -month | 7.572 | 10.017 | 11.374 | 15.334 | 13.947 | 14.402 | 15.548 | 14.790 | 15.571 | 15.122 | 15.644 | 15.854 |
| 19 | 1-year. | 7.678 | 9.817 | 10.748 | 14.623 | 13.146 | 13.735 | 14.542 |  |  | 14.542 | ..... |  |
| Capital Market Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Treasury notes and bonds ${ }^{9}$ Constant maturities ${ }^{10}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 1-year. | 8.34 | 10.67 | 12.05 | 16.20 | 14.86 | 15.72 | 16.72 | 16.13 | 16.56 | 16.45 | 16.74 | 17.07 |
| 21 | 2-year. | 8.34 | 10.12 | 11.77 | 15.46 | 14.51 | 15.35 | 16.28 | 15.77 | 16.09 | 15.97 | 16.28 | 16.71 |
| 22 | 2-1/2-year ${ }^{11}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15.80 |  | 15.90 |  | 16.50 |
| 23 | 3-year. | 8.29 | 9.71 | 11.55 | 15.08 | 14.29 | 15.15 | 16.00 | 15.55 | 15.88 | 15.67 | 15.98 | 16.39 |
| 24 | 5-year | 8.32 | 9.52 | 11.48 | 14.63 | 13.95 | 14.79 | 15.56 | 15.13 | 15.45 | 15.13 | 15.51 | 16.04 |
| 25 | 7-year. | 8.36 | 9.48 | 11.43 | 14.30 | 13.67 | 14.49 | 15.22 | 14.80 | 15.15 | 14.83 | 15.13 | 15.69 |
| 26 | 10-year | 8.41 | 9.44 | 11.46 | 14.10 | 13.47 | 14.28 | 14.94 | 14.59 | 14.90 | 14.61 | 14.83 | 15.32 |
| 27 | 20-year. | 8.48 | 9.33 | 11.39 | 13.82 | 13.20 | 13.92 | 14.52 | 14.23 | 14.51 | 14.14 | 14.34 | 14.99 |
| 28 | 30-year. | 8.49 | 9.29 | 11.30 | 13.60 | 12.96 | 13.59 | 14.17 | 13.87 | 14.14 | 13.83 | 14.00 | 14.57 |
| 29 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Composite }{ }^{12} \\ & \text { Over } 10 \text { years (long-term) } \end{aligned}$ | 7.89 | 8.74 | 10.81 | 12.96 | 12.39 | 13.05 | 13.61 | 13.30 | 13.58 | 13.25 | 13.46 | 14.02 |
| State and local notes and bonds Moody's series ${ }^{13}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | Aaa...................... | 5.52 | 5.92 | 7.85 | 9.90 | 9.86 | 10.21 | 11.10 | 10.50 | 11.10 | 11.10 | 11.10 | 11.10 |
| 31 | Baa | 6.27 | 6.73 | 9.01 | 11.28 | 11.21 | 11.55 | 12.78 | 11.70 | 12.50 | 12.50 | 12.60 | 13.50 |
|  | Bond Buyer series ${ }^{14}$ | 6.03 | 6.52 | 8.59 | 10.78 | 10.67 | 11.14 | 12.26 | 11.44 | 11.63 | 11.94 | 12.49 | 12.97 |
| Corporate bondsSeasoned issues ${ }^{15}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 | All industries | 9.07 | 10.12 | 12.75 | 15.15 | 14.76 | 15.18 | 15.60 | 15.35 | 15.53 | 15.42 | 15.51 | 15.87 |
| 34 | Aas. | 8.73 | 9.63 | 11.94 | 14.32 | 13.75 | 14.38 | 14.89 | 14.61 | 14.82 | 14.62 | 14.78 | 15.21 |
| 35 | Aa. | 8.92 | 9.94 | 12.50 | 14.88 | 14.41 | 14.79 | 15.42 | 14.99 | 15.35 | 15.25 | 15.32 | 15.65 |
| 36 | A. | 9.12 | 10.20 | 12.89 | 15.43 | 15.08 | 15.36 | 15.76 | 15.55 | 15.62 | 15.59 | 15.69 | 16.07 |
| 37 | Baa. | 9.45 | 10.69 | 13.67 | 15.95 | 15.80 | 16.17 | 16.34 | 16.25 | 16.31 | 16.21 | 16.25 | 16.54 |
|  | Aaa utility bonds ${ }^{16}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 38 | New issue | 8.96 | 10.03 | 12.74 | 15.81 | 14.76 | 16.30 |  | 16.78 |  |  |  |  |
| 39 | Recently offered issues. | 8.97 | 10.02 | 12.70 | 15.48 | 14.81 | 15.73 | 16.82 | 16.55 | 16.68 | 16.63 | 16.80 | 17.15 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40 | Preferred stocks . . . . . . . . . | 8.25 | 9.07 | 10.57 | 12.03 | 12.23 | 12.43 | 12.63 | 12.57 | 12.52 | 12.43 | 12.63 | 12.94 |
|  | Common stocks | 5.28 | 5.46 | 5.25 | 4.98 | 5.03 | 5.18 | 5.16 | 5.17 | 5.06 | 5.03 | 5.15 | 5.38 |

1. Weekly and monthly figures are averages of all calendar days, where the rate for a weekend or holiday is taken to be the rate prevailing on the preceding business day. The daily rate is the average of the rates on a given day weighted by the volume of transactions at these rates.
2. Weekly figures are statement week averages-that is, averages for the week ending Wednesday
3. Unweighted average of offering rates quoted by at least five dealers (in the case of commercial paper), or finance companies (in the case of finance paper). Before November 1979, maturities for data shown are 30-59 davs, 90-119 days, Betore November for commercial paper; and 30-59 days, $90-119$ days, and $150-$ 179 days for finance paper.
179 days for finance paper. 4. Yields are quoted on a bank-discount basis, rather than an investment yield basis (which would give a higher figure).
4. Dealer closing offered rates for top-rated banks. Most representative rate (which may be, but need not be, the average of the rates quoted by the dealers).
5. Unweighted average of offered rates quoted by at least five dealers early in the day.
6. Unweighted average of closing bid rates quoted by at least five dealers
7. Rates are recorded in the week in which bills are issued.
8. Yields (not compounded) are based on closing bid prices quoted by at least five dealers.
9. Yields adjusted to constant maturities by the U.S. Treasury. That is, yields are read from a yield curve at fixed maturities. Based on only recently issued, actively traded securities.
10. Each weekly figure is calculated on a biweekly basis and is the average of five business days ending on the Monday following the calendar week. The biweekly rate is used to determine the maximum interest rate payable in the following twoweek period on small saver certificates. (See table 1.16.)
11. Unweighted averages for all outstanding notes and bonds neither due nor callable in less than 10 years, including several very low yielding "flower" bonds.
12. General obligations only, based on figures for Thursday, from Moody's Investors Service.
13. General obligations only, with 20 years to maturity, issued by 20 state and local governmental units of mixed quality. Based on figures for Thursday.
14. Daily figures from Moody's Investors Service. Based on yields to maturity on selected long-term bonds
15. Compilation of the Federal Reserve. Issues included are long-term ( 20 years or more). New-issue yields are based on quotations on date of offering; those on recently offered issues (included only for first 4 weeks after termination of underwriter price restrictions, on Fiiday close-of-business quotations
16. Standard and Poor's corporate series. Preferred stock ratio based on a sample of ten issues: four public utilities, four industrials, one financial, and one transportation. Common stock ratios on the 500 stocks in the price index.


### 1.37 SAVINGS INSTITUTIONS Selected Assets and Liabilities

Millions of dollars, end of period

| Account | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 |  |  | 1981 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July ${ }^{\text {P }}$ |
|  | Savings and loan associations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Assets | 523,542 | 578,962 | 617,773 | 623,939 | 629,829 | 631,228 | 634,405 | 636,859 | 639,827 | 644,603 | 646,704 | 648,743 |
| 2 Mortgages | 432,808 | 475,688 | 496.495 | 499,973 | 502.812 | 504,068 | 505,309 | 507.152 | 509.525 | 511,754 | 514,803 | 516,278 |
| 3 Cash and investment securities | 44,884 | 46,341 | 56,146 | 57,302 | 57,572 | 57,460 | 58,401 | 58,461 | 56,886 | 59,045 | 57,616 | 57,446 |
| 4 Other | 45,850 | 56,933 | 65,132 | 66,664 | 69,445 | 69,700 | 70,695 | 71,246 | 72,416 | 73,804 | 74,285 | 75,019 |
| 5 Liabilities and net worth. | 523,542 | 578,962 | 617,773 | 623,939 | 629,829 | 631,228 | 634,405 | 636,859 | 639,827 | 644,603 | 646,704 | 648,743 |
| 6 Savings capital. | 430,953 | 470,004 | 500,861 | 503,365 | 510,959 | 512,946 | 515.250 | 518.990 | 516.071 | 517,628 | 517.632 | 514,087 |
| 7 Borrowed money | 42,907 31 | 55,232 40,441 | 60,727 44.325 | 62,067 45,505 | 64,491 47 | 62.938 <br> 46.629 <br> 15.91 | 62,270 46.360 | 64,197 47310 | 67.704 49.607 | 70,025 51,064 | 74,756 53,836 | 79,345 57,098 |
| 8 F Other . | 10,917 | 14,791 | 16,562 | 17.446 | 16,309 | +15,910 | 16,887 | 18,097 | 18,097 | 18,961 | 20,920 | 22,247 |
| 10 Loans in process | 10.721 | 9.582 | 8.853 | 8,783 | 8.120 | 7.833 | 7.756 | 7.840 | 7.840 | 7,997 | 8.008 | 7,733 |
| 11 Other | 9.904 | 11,506 | 14.502 | 16.433 | 12,227 | 14.104 | 16,071 | 13.271 | 14.946 | 17.089 | 14.756 | 16,565 |
| 12 Net worth ${ }^{2}$. | 29,057 | 32,638 | 33,029 | 33,221 | 33,319 | 33,120 | 32,981 | 32,645 | 32,266 | 31,864 | 31,552 | 31,013 |
| 13 Memo: Mortgage loan commitments outstanding ${ }^{3}$. | 18.911 | 16,007 | 19,077 | 17,979 | 16,102 | 15,972 | 16,279 | 17.374 | 18,552 | 18,740 | 18,020 | 17,199 |
|  | Mutual savings banks ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 Assets | 158,174 | 163,405 | 170,432 | 171,126 | 171,564 | 171,891 | 172,349 | 173,232 | 172,837 | 173,776 | 174,387 |  |
| Loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 Mortgage | 95,157 | 98,908 | 99,523 | 99,677 | 99,865 | 99.816 | 99.739 | 99.719 | 99.798 | 99,790 | 99.993 |  |
| Securities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 U.S. government ${ }^{5}$ | 4,959 | 7.658 | 8.622 | 8,715 | 8.949 | 9.000 | 9,032 | 9.203 | 9.262 | 9,296 | 9,230 |  |
| 18 State and local government | 3.333 | 27.930 | 2.754 | 2.736 | 2,390 | 2.378 | 2.376 | 2,359 | 2,314 | 2.328 | 2.337 |  |
| 19 Corporate and others. | 39,732 | 37,086 | 39,720 | 39,888 | 39,282 | 39,256 | 39,223 | 39,236 | 39,247 | 39.111 | 38,418 |  |
| 20 Cash | 3,665 | 3,156 | 3,592 | 3,717 | 4,334 | 4,133 | 4,205 | 4.238 | 4.172 | 4,513 | 4,473 | n.a. |
| 21 Other assets. | 4,131 | 4.412 | 4.839 | 4.916 | 5,011 | 5,107 | 5.177 | 5,231 | 5,288 | 5.364 | 5,534 |  |
| 22 Liabilities | 158,174 | 163,405 | 170,432 | 171,126 | 171,564 | 171,891 | 172,349 | 173,232 | 172,837 | 173,776 | 174,387 |  |
| 23 Deposits. | 142,701 | 146,006 | 151,998 | 152,133 | 153.501 | 153,143 | 153,332 | 154,805 | 153,692 | 153.891 | 154,926 |  |
| 24 Regular ${ }^{7}$ | 141,170 | 144,070 | 149.797 | 150,109 | 151,416 | 151.051 | 151,346 | 152.630 | 151,429 | 151.658 | 152,603 |  |
| 25 Ordinary savings | 71,816 | ${ }^{61,123}$ | 57,651 | 56.256 | 53.971 | 52.737 | 52,035 | 53,049 | 52,331 | 51,212 | 51,594 |  |
| 26 Time and other | 69,354 | 82,947 | 92,146 | 93.853 | 97,445 | 98.314 | 99.311 | 99,581 | 99.098 | 100,447 | 101,009 |  |
| 27 Other 28 Other liab | 1.531 | 1.936 5 | 2.200 | 2.042 | 2.086 | 2.092 | 7.985 | 2,174 | 2.264 | 2.232 | 2.323 |  |
| 28 Other liabilities......... | 4.565 10,907 | 5.873 11.525 | 7.117 11.317 | 7,644 11,349 | 6.695 11,368 | 7.426 12,957 | 7,753 13,412 | 7.265 11.163 | 8.103 11.042 | 8.922 10.923 | 8,634 10.827 |  |
| 30 Memo: Mortgage loan commitments outstanding ${ }^{8}$. | 4,400 | 3,182 | 1,817 | 1,682 | 1.476 | 1,316 | 1.331 | 1,379 | 1,614 | 1,709 | 1,577 | $\dagger$ |
|  | Life insurance companies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 Assets | 389,924 | 432,282 | 470,717 | 476,294 | 479,210 | 482,009 | 485,033 | 490,149 | 493,185 | 497,276 | 500,316 |  |
| Securities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 Government ... ${ }^{\text {a }}$. | 20,009 | 0,338 | 21,078 | 21,275 | 21,871 | 22.246 | 22,669 | 22,775 | 22.603 | 22.948 | 23,415 |  |
| 33 United States ${ }^{9}$. | 4.822 <br> 6.402 <br> 8 | 4,888 6,428 | 5,241 6,505 | 5,351 6.571 | 5,838 6,701 | 6.429 6.571 | 6,774 6,145 | 6,807 6,199 | 6.502 6.809 | 6.787 | 7,119 6876 |  |
| 34 State and local | 6.402 | 6,428 | 6.505 | 6.571 9.353 | 6.701 | 6.571 | 6.145 | 6,199 | 6.809 | ${ }_{9}^{6.815}$ | 6.876 |  |
| 35 Foreign ${ }^{10}$ | 8.785 | 9,022 | 9.332 | 9.353 | 9.332 | 9,246 | 9.250 | 9.269 | 9.292 | 9.346 | 9.420 |  |
| 36 Business | 198,105 | 222,332 | 236,523 | 239.537 | 238,059 | 240.959 | 241.675 | 243.996 | 245,841 | 247.437 | 248,737 | ก.a. |
| 37 Bonds | 162,587 | 178,371 | 191.428 | 191,722 | 190,693 | 194.777 | 195,251 | 196.514 | 198.397 | 199,818 | 201,402 |  |
| 38 Stocks. | 35,518 | 39,757 | 45,095 | 47,815 | 47,366 | 46,182 | 46.424 | 47.482 | 47,444 | 47.619 | 47,335 |  |
| 39 Mortgages | 106,167 | 118,421 | 128,963 | 129,813 | 131,080 | 131,710 | 132.567 | 133,230 | 133,896 | 134.492 | 135,318 |  |
| 40 Real estate. | 11,764 | 13,007 | 14,791 | 14,919 | 15.033 | 15,657 | 15,869 | 16,244 | 16,464 | 16,738 | 16,966 |  |
| 41 Policy loans | 30.146 | 34,825 | 40,499 | 40,813 | 41,411 | 41.988 | 42.574 | 43,231 | 43,772 | 44,292 | 44,970 |  |
| 42 Other assets. | 23,733 | 27.563 | 28,863 | 29,937 | 31,702 | 29,449 | 29,679 | 30,673 | 30,609 | 31,369 | 30,910 | + |
|  | Credit unions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 44 Federal | 34,760 | 35.934 | 39.155 | 39,428 | 39,801 | 39.142 | 39.6336 | 40.624 | 40,207 | 40,648 | 40,948 | 40,510 |
| 45 State | 27,588 | 29.920 | 31.547 | 31,907 | 31,908 | 31.612 | 31,810 | 32,590 | 32,576 | 32.917 | 33,093 | 33,106 |
| 46 Loans outstanding | 50,269 | 53,125 | 47,221 | 47,299 | 47,774 | 47,309 | 47.451 | 47,815 | 47,994 | 48.499 | 49.064 | 49.507 |
| 47 Federal | 27,687 | 28,698 | 25,288 | 25,273 | 25,627 | 25.272 | 25,376 | 25,618 | 25,707 | 26,038 | 26,422 | 26,661 |
| 48 State | 22.582 | 24,426 | 21,933 | 22,026 | 22,147 | 22,037 | 22.075 | 22,197 | 22,287 | 22,461 | 22,642 | 22,846 |
| 49 Savings | 53.517 | 56.232 | 63,957 | 64,304 | 64.399 | 63.874 | 64,357 | 65.744 | 65,495 | 65.988 | 66.472 | 65.854 |
| 50 Federal (shares) | 29,802 | 35,530 | 36,030 | 36,183 | 36.348 | 35,915 | 36,236 | 36.898 | 36,684 | 36,967 | 37,260 | 36.819 |
| 51 State (shares and deposits) | 23,715 | 25,702 | 27,927 | 28,121 | 28,051 | 27,959 | 28,121 | 28,846 | 28,811 | 29,021 | 29,212 | 29,035 |

[^48]
### 1.38 FEDERAL FISCAL AND FINANCING OPERATIONS

Millions of dollars

| Type of account or operation | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fiscal } \\ \text { year } \\ 1978 \end{gathered}$ | Fiscal year 1979 | Fiscal year 1980 | Calendar year |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 1980 |  | 1981 | 1981 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | H1 | H2 | H1 | May | June | July |
| U.S. budget |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Receipts | 401,997 | 465,940 | 520,050 | 270,864 | 262,152 | 318,899 | 38,514 | 70,688 | 48,142 |
| 2 Outlays ${ }^{12}{ }^{2}$. | 450,804 | 493,635 | 579,613 | 289,905 | 310,972 | 334,710 | 54,608 | 55,619 | 58,486 |
| 3 Surplus, or deficit( - ) ................... | -48,807 | -27,694 | -59,563 | -19,041 | -48.821 | -15,811 | -16,094 | 15,070 | - 10,343 |
| 4 Trust funds. . ${ }^{3}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 12,693 | 18,335 | 8.791 | 4,383 | -2,551 | 5,797 | 3.639 | 3,026 | -3,506 |
| 5 Federal funds ${ }^{3}$. | -61,532 | -46,069 | -67,752 | $-23,418$ | -46.306 | -21,608 | -19,733 | 12.045 | -6,838 |
| Off-budger entities (surplus, or deficit $(-))$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 Federal Financing Bank outlays. . . . . . . . . | -10,661 | $-13,261$ | -14,549 | $-7,735$ -522 | $-7.552$ | - 11,046 | $-1,943$ -342 | -1,295 | $-2,429$ -348 |
| U.S. budget plus off-budget, including Federal Financing Bank |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 Surplus, or deficit ( - ) ....................... | -59,166 | $-40,162$ | -73,808 | $-27,298$ | -55,998 | -27.757 | -18,379 | 13,820 | $-13,120$ |
| 9 Source or tinancing Borrowing from the public . . . . . . . . . . . | 59.106 | 33,641 | 70,515 | 24,435 | 54,764 | 33.213 | 539 | 572 | 3,383 |
| 10 Cash and monetary assets (decrease, or increase $(-))^{5}$ | $-3,023$ | -408 | -355 | -3,482 | -6,730 | 2.873 | 22,809 | $-15,121$ | 5,570 |
|  | 3,083 | 6,929 | 3,648 | 6,345 | 7,964 | $-8.328$ | -4.969 | 730 | 4,168 |
| Memo: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 Treasury operating balance (level, end of period) | 22.444 | 24,176 | 20,990 | 14,092 | 12,305 | 16,389 | 5,702 | 16,389 | 11.318 |
| 13 Federal Reserve Banks .............. | 16,647 | 6,489 | 4,102 | 3,199 | 3,062 | 2,923 | 2,288 | 2,923 | 2,922 |
| 14 Tax and loan accounts. | 5,797 | 17,687 | 16,888 | 10.893 | 9,243 | 13,466 | 3.414 | 13,466 | 8,396 |

1. Effective June 1978, earned income credit payments in excess of an individual's tax liability, formerly treated as income tax refunds, are classified as outlays retroactive to January 1976.
2. Effective Oct. 1, 1980, the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation was reclassified from an off-budget agency to an on-budget agency in the Department of Labor.
3. Half-year figures are calculated as a residual (total surplus/deficit less trust fund surplus/deficit)
4. Includes Postal Service Fund; Rural Electrification and Telephone Revolving Fund; and Rural Telephone Bank.
5. Includes U.S. Treasury operating cash accounts; special drawing rights; gold tranche drawing rights; loans to International Monetary Fund; and other cash and monetary assets.
6. Includes accrued interest payable to the public; allocations of special drawing tights; deposit funds; miscellaneous liability (including checks outstanding) and asset accounts; seignorage; increment on gold; net gainlloss for U.S. currency valuation adjustment; net gain/oss for $I M F$ valuation adjustment; and profit on the sale of gold.

Source. "Monthly Treasury Statement of Receipts and Outlays of the U.S. Government," Treasury Bulletin, and the Budget of the United States Government, Fiscal Year 1981.

## NOTES TO TABLE 1.37

1. Holdings of stock of the Federal Home Loan Banks are included in "other
assets." assets.
2. Includes net undistributed income, which is accrued by most, but not all, associations.
3. Excludes figures for loans in process, which are shown as a liability.
4. Excludes figures for loans in process, which are shown as a liability.
5. The NAMSB reports that, effective April 1979, balance sheet data are not 4. The NAMSB reports that, effective April 1979 , balance sheet data are not
strictly comparable with previous months. Beginning April 1979 , data are reported on a net-of-valuation-reserves basis. Prior to that date, data were reported on a gross-of-valuation-reserves basis.
6. Beginning April 1979, includes obligations of U.S. government agencies. Before that date, this item was included in "Corporate and other."
7. Includes securities of foreign governments and international organizations and, prior to April 1979, nonguaranteed issues of U.S. government agencies. 7. Excludes checking, club, and school accounts.
8. Commitments outstanding (including toans in process) of banks in New York State as reported to the Savings Banks Association of the state of New York. 9. Direct and guaranteed obligations. Excludes federal agency issues not guaranteed, which are shown in the table under "Business" securities.
9. Issues of foreign governments and their subdivisions and bonds of the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development.

Note. Savings and loan associations: Estimates by the FHLBB for all associations in the United States. Data are based on monthly reports of federally insured associations and annual reports of other associations. Even when revised, data for current and preceding year are subject to further revision.

Mutual savings banks: Estimates of National Association of Mutual Savings Banks for all savings banks in the United States.

Life insurance companies: Estimates of the American Council of Life Insurance for all life insurance companies in the United States. Annual figures are annualstatement asset values, with bonds carried on an amortized basis and stocks at year-end market value. Adjustments for interest due and accrued and for differences between market and book values are not made on each item separately but are included, in total, in "other assets."
Credit unions: Estimates by the National Credit Union Administration for a group of federal and state-chartered credit unions that account for about 30 percent of credit union assets. Figures are preliminary and revised annually to incorporate recent benchmark data.

### 1.39 U.S. BUDGET RECEIPTS AND OUTLAYS

Millions of dollars

| Source or type | Fiscal year 1978 | Fiscal year 1979 | Fiscal year 1980 | Calendar year |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 1980 |  | 1981 | 1981 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | H1 | H2 | H1 | May | June | July |
| Receipts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 All sources ${ }^{\text { }}$. | 401,997 | 465,955 | 520,050 | 270,864 | 262,152 | 318,899 | 38.514 | 70.688 | 48,142 |
| 2 Individual income taxes, net. | 180.988 | 217.841 | 244,069 | 119.988 | 131.962 | 142.889 | 10.496 | 33.729 | 24.439 |
| 3 Withheld................ | 165,215 | 195.295 | 223,763 | 110.394 | 120.924 | 126.101 | 20,260 | 23,000 | 23.963 |
| 4 Presidential Election Campaign Fund. | + 39 | 36 | 39 | 34 | 4. 4 | 36 59.907 | ${ }^{8}$ | 11.682 | ${ }_{2}^{4}$ |
| 5 Nonwithheld. . . . | 47.804 | 56.215 | 63.746 | 49.707 | 14.592 | 59.907 | 2,451 | 11.682 | 2,228 |
| 6 Refunds ${ }^{1}$... | 32,070 | 33.705 | 43.479 | 40.147 | 3.559 | 43.155 | 12.222 | 958 | 1.756 |
| 7 Corporation income taxes | 65.380 | 71,448 | 72,380 | 43,434 | 28.579 | 44.148 | 1.894 | 16.411 | 2.721 |
| 8 Refunds. . ... | 5,428 | 5.771 | 7.780 | 4.064 | 4.518 | 6.565 | 883 | 618 | 1.007 |
| 9 Social insurance taxes and contributions. net | 123.410 | 141.591 | 160.747 | 86.597 | 77.262 | 102.911 | 20,694 | 14,657 | 15,206 |
| 10 Payroll employment taxes and contributions ${ }^{2}$. | 99.626 | 115.041 | 133,042 | 69,077 | 66.831 | 83,851 | 15,026 | 13,308 | 13.899 |
| 11 Self-employment taxes and contributions ${ }^{3}$. | 4.267 | 5.034 | 5.723 | 5.535 | 188 | 6.240 | 419 | 536 | -723 |
| 12 Unemployment insurance | 13.850 | 15,387 | 15.336 | 8.690 | 6.742 | 9.205 | 4.660 | 234 | 1.379 |
| 13 Other net receipts ${ }^{4}$. . . . . | 5.668 | 6,130 | 6,646 | 3.294 | 3.502 | 3.615 | 588 | 580 | 652 |
| 14 Excise taxes | 18,376 | 18.745 | 24.329 | 11.383 | 15,332 | 21.945 | 3.953 | 4.224 | 3.997 |
| 15 Customs deposits. | 6.573 | 7.439 | 7.174 | 3.443 | 3.717 | 3.926 | 625 | 791 | 777 |
| 16 Estate and gift taxes. | 5.285 | 5.411 | 6.389 | 3.091 | 3.499 | 3,259 | 647 | 531 | 621 |
| 17 Miscellaneous receipts ${ }^{5}$ | 7.413 | 9,252 | 12,741 | 6.993 | 6.318 | 6.487 | 1.087 | 964 | 1.388 |
| Outlays |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 All types ${ }^{1.6}$ | 450,804 | 493,635 | 579,613 | 289,905 | 310,972 | 334,710 | 54,608 | 55,619 | 58,486 |
| 19 National defense | 105.186 | 117.681 | 135.856 | 69.132 | 72.457 | 80.005 | 13.810 | 13.839 | 14.692 |
| 20 International affairs | 5.922 | 6.091 | 10.733 | 4.602 | 5.430 | 5.999 | 737 | 1,373 | 378 |
| 21 General science, space, and technology | 4.742 | 5.041 | 5.722 | 3.150 | 3.205 | 3.314 | 536 | 609 | 515 |
| 22 Energy. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 5.861 | 6.856 | 6.313 | 3.126 | 3.997 | 5.677 | 1,106 | 1.319 | 914 |
| 23 Natural resources and environment. | 10.925 | 12.091 | 13.812 | 6.668 | 7.722 | 6.476 | 1.017 | 1.140 | 1.164 |
| 24 Agriculture... | 7.731 | 6.238 | 4.762 | 3.193 | 1.892 | 3.101 | -151 | 274 | -86 |
| 25 Commerce and housing credit | 3.324 | 2.565 | 7.782 | 3.878 | 3.163 | 1.940 | -269 | 860 | -52 |
| 26 Transportation............. | 15,445 | 17.459 | 21.120 | 9.582 | 11,547 | 11.991 | 1.581 | 1.841 | 1.771 |
| 27 Community and regional development. | 11.1039 | 9.482 | 10.068 | 5.302 | 5.370 | 4.621 | 687 | 928 | 677 |
| 28 Education, training. employment. social services | 26.463 | 29.685 | 30.767 | 16.686 | 15.221 | 15.928 | 2.677 | 2.131 | 2.400 |
| 29 Health. . | 43.676 | 49.614 | 58.165 | 29.299 | 31,263 | 34.708 | 5.645 | 6.123 | 6.141 |
| 30 Income security ${ }^{1.6}$ | 146.180 | 160.159 | 193.100 | 94.605 | 107.912 | 113.490 | 18.576 | 18.807 | 19,637 |
| 31 Veterans benefits and services | 18.974 | 19.928 | 21.183 | 9.758 | 11.731 | 10.531 | 1.670 | 1.786 | 2,995 |
| 32 Administration of justice. | 3.802 | 4.153 | 4.570 | 2.291 | 2.299 | 2.344 | 343 | 388 | 386 |
| 33 General government. . . | 3,737 | 4.153 | 4.505 | 2.422 | 2.432 | 2.692 | 393 | 506 | 242 |
| 34 Generaj-purpose fiscal assistance. | 9.601 | 8.372 | 8.584 | 3.940 32.958 | 4.191 3590 | 3.015 41.178 | - 253 | 44 11674 | 1.234 |
|  | 43.966 -15.772 | 52.556 -18.489 | 64.504 -21.933 | 32.658 -10.387 | 35,909 -14.769 | 41.178 -12432 | 7.024 -1.029 | 11.674 | 6.164 -688 |
| 36 Undistributed offsetting receipts ${ }^{7.8}$. | $-15.772$ | -18,489 | -21.933 | $-10.387$ | -14.769 | -12.432 | - 1.029 | -8.023 | -688 |

1. Effective June 1978, earned income credit payments in excess of an individual's tax liability, formerly treated as income tax refunds, were classified as outlays retroactive to January 1976.
2. Old-age, disability, and hospital insurance, and railroad retirement accounts.
3. Old-age, disability, and hospital insurance
4. Supplementary medical insurance premiums. federal employee retirement contributions, and Civil Service retirement and disability fund.
5. Deposits of earnings by Federal Reserve Banks and other misceflaneous receipts.
6. Effective Oct. 1. 1980, the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation was re-
classified from an off-budget agency to an on-budget agency in the Department of Labor.
7. Effective September 1976. "Interest" and "Undistributed offserting receipts" reflect the accounting conversion from an accrual basis to a cash basis for the interest on special issues for U.S. government accounts.
8. Consists of interest received by trust funds. rents and royalties on the Outer Continental Shelf, and U.S. government contributions for employee retirement.

Source. "Monthly Treasury Statement of Receipts and Outlays of the U.S. Government" and the Budget of the U.S. Government. Fiscal Year 1981.
1.40 FEDERAL DEBT SUBJECT TO STATUTORY LIMITATION

Billions of dollars

| Item | 1979 |  |  |  | 1980 |  |  |  | 1981 <br> Mar. 31 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mar. 31 | June 30 | Sept. 30 | Dec. 31 | Mar. 31 | June 30 | Sept. 30 | Dec. 31 |  |
| 1 Federal debt outstanding | 804.6 | 812.2 | 833.8 | 852.2 | 870.4 | 884.4 | 914.3 | 936.7 | 970.9 |
| 2 Public debt securities | 796.8 | 804.9 | 826.5 | 845.1 | 863.5 | 877.6 | 907.7 | 930.2 | 964.5 |
| 3 Held by public. | 630.5 | 626.4 | 638.8 | 658.0 | 677.1 | 682.7 | 710.0 | 737.7 | 773.7 |
| 4 Held by agencies. | 166.3 | 178.5 | 187.7 | 187.1 | 186.3 | 194.9 | 197.7 | 192.5 | 190.9 |
| 5 Agency securities | 7.8 | 7.3 | 7.2 | 7.1 | 7.0 | 6.8 | 6.6 | 6.5 | 6.4 |
| 6 Held by public. | 6.3 | 5.9 | 5.8 | 5.6 | 5.5 | 5.3 | 5.1 | 5.0 | 4.9 |
| 7 Held by agencies | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| 8 Debt subject to statutory limit. | 797.9 | 806.0 | 827.6 | 846.2 | 864.5 | 878.7 | 908.7 | 931.2 | 965.5 |
| 9 Public debt securities | 796.2 | 804.3 | 825.9 | 844.5 | 862.8 | 877.0 | 907.1 | 929.6 | 963.9 |
| 10 Other debt ${ }^{1}$ | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| 11 Memo: Statutory debt limit. | 798.0 | 830.0 | 830.0 | 879.0 | 879.0 | 925.0 | 925.0 | 935.1 | 985.0 |

1. Includes guaranteed debt of government agencies, specified participation certificates, notes to international lending organizations. and District of Columbia stadium bonds.

### 1.41 GROSS PUBLIC DEBT OF U.S. TREASURY Types and Ownership

Billions of dollars, end of period

| Type and holder | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug. |
| 1 Total gross public debt. | 718.9 | 789.2 | 845.1 | 930.2 | 964.0 | 968.5 | 971.2 | 973.3 | 980.2 |
| By type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Interest-bearing debt | 715.2 | 782.4 | 844.0 | 928.9 | 962.8 | 964.8 | 969.9 | 972.1 | 978.9 |
| 3 Marketable......... | 459.9 | 487.5 | 530.7 | 623.2 | 657.9 | 656.2 | 660.8 | 666.4 | 673.8 |
| 4 Bills. | 161.1 | 161.7 | 172.6 | 216.1 | 225.8 | 224.5 | 218.8 | 217.5 | 219.9 |
| 5 Notes. | 251.8 | 265.8 | 283.4 | 321.6 | 341.1 | 338.4 | 348.8 | 354.0 | 357.6 |
| 6 Bonds | 47.0 | 60.0 | 74.7 | 85.4 | 91.0 | 93.3 | 93.2 | 94.9 | 96.3 |
| 7 Nonmarketable ${ }^{1}$ | 255.3 | 294.8 | 313.2 | 305.7 | 304.9 | 308.6 | 309.2 | 305.6 | 305.2 |
| 8 Convertible bonds ${ }^{2}$. | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 State and local government series | 13.9 | 24.3 | 24.6 | 23.8 | 23.4 | 23.2 | 23.2 | 22.8 | 22.8 |
| 10 Foreign issues ${ }^{3}$. | 22.2 | 29.6 | 28.8 | 24.0 | 24.4 | 24.8 | 23.5 | 21.9 | 21.4 |
| 11 Government. | 21.0 | 28.0 | 23.6 | 17.6 | 18.0 | 18.4 | 17.1 | 16.3 | 15.7 |
| 12 Public | 1.2 | 1.6 | 5.3 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 5.7 | 5.7 |
| 13 Savings bonds and notes | 77.0 | 80.9 | 79.9 | 72.5 | 69.8 | 69.5 | 69.2 | 69.0 | 68.6 |
| 14 Government account series ${ }^{4}$ | 139.8 | 157.5 | 177.5 | 185.1 | 187.0 | 190.8 | 193.0 | 191.6 | 192.1 |
| 15 Non-interest-bearing debt | 3.7 | 6.8 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 3.7 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.3 |
| By holder ${ }^{\text {s }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 U.S. government agencies and trust funds. | 154.8 | 170.0 | 187.1 | 192.5 | 193.9 | 197.8 | 199.9 | 4 | 4 |
| 17 Federal Reserve Banks | 102.8 461.3 | 109.6 508.6 | 117.5 540.5 | 121.3 616.4 | 119.7 650.4 | 117.9 652.3 | 120.0 651.2 |  |  |
| 9 Commercial banks | 101.4 | 93.1 | 91.5 | 104.7 | 104.8 | 104.4 | 103.7 |  |  |
| 20 Mutual savings banks. | 5.9 | 5.0 | 4.7 | 5.8 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 6.0 |  |  |
| 21 Insurance companies | 15.1 | 14.9 | 14.8 | 15.2 | 14.8 | 16.3 | 15.9 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 22 Other companies.. | 22.7 | 21.2 | $24.9{ }^{r}$ | 24.6 | 21.8 | 20.7 | 20.6 |  |  |
| 23 State and local governments | 55.2 | 64.4 | 67.4 | 74.7 | 79.1 | 80.4 | 78.6 |  |  |
| Individuals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 Savings bonds | 76.7 | 80.7 | 79.9 | 72.2 | 69.8 | 69.5 | 69.2 |  |  |
| 25 Other securities. | 28.6 | 30.3 | 36.2 | 56.7 | 68.3 | 70.3 | 70.4 |  |  |
| 26 Foreign and international ${ }^{6}$.. | 109.6 | 137.8 | 123.8 | 134.3 | 143.1 | 139.4 | 141.2 |  |  |
| 27 Other miscellaneous investors ${ }^{7}$. | 46.1 | 58.2 | 97.4 | 127.9 | 142.5 | 145.1 | 145.6 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |

1. Includes (not shown separately): Securities issued to the Rural Electrification Administration, depository bonds, retirement plan bonds, and individual retirement bonds.
2. These nonmarketable bonds, also known as Investment Series B Bonds, may be exchanged (or converted) at the owner's option for $11 / 2$ percent, 5 -year marketable Treasury notes. Convertible bonds that have been so exchanged are removed from this category and recorded in the notes category (line 5).
3. Nonmarketable dollar-denominated and foreign currency-denominated series held by foreigners.
4. Held almost entirely by U.S government agencies and trust funds.
5. Data for Federal Reserve Banks and U.S. government agencies and trust funds are actual holdings; data for other groups are Treasury estimates.
6. Consists of investments of foreign balances and international accounts in the United States.
7. Includes savings and loan associations. nonprofit institutions. corporate pension trust funds. dealers and brokers, certain government deposit accounts, and government sponsored agencies.

Data by type of security from Monthly Statement of the Public Debt of the United States (U.S. Treasury Department); data by holder from Treasury Bulletin.
1.42 U.S. GOVERNMENT MARKETABLE SECURITIES Ownership, by maturity

Par value; millions of dollars, end of period


Note. Direct public issues only. Based on Treasury Survey of Ownership from Treasury Bulletin (U.S. Treasury Department).
Data complete for U.S. government agencies and trust funds and Federal Reserve Banks. but data for other groups include only holdings of those institutions that report. The following figures show, for each category, the number and proportion reporting as of June 30,1981 : (1) 5,341 commercial banks. 457 mutual savings banks.
and 724 insurance companies. each about 80 percent; (2) 409 nonfinancial corporations and 474 savings and loan associations, each about 50 percent; and (3) 488 state and local governments, about 40 percent.
"All others," a residual, includes holdings of all those not reporting in the Treasury Survey, including investor groups not listed separately.
1.43 U.S. GOVERNMENT SECURITIES DEALERS Transactions

Par value; averages of daily figures, in millions of dollars

1.44 U.S. GOVERNMENT SECURITIES DEALERS Positions and Financing

Averages of daily figures, in millions of dollars


For notes see opposite page.

### 1.45 FEDERAL AND FEDERALLY SPONSORED CREDIT AGENCIES Debt Outstanding

Millions of dollars, end of period

| Agency | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June |
| 1 Federal and federally sponsored agencies ${ }^{1}$ | 137,063 | 163,290 | 193,229 | 195,056 | 194,926 | 198,828 | 200,434 | 205,020 | 208,961 |
| 2 Federal agencies | 23,488 | 24,715 | 28,606 | 28,769 | 28,596 | 29,397 | 29,502 | 29,311 | 29,945 |
| 3 Defense Department ${ }^{2}$ | 968 | 738 | 610 | 600 | 591 | 576 | 566 | 556 | 546 |
| 4 Export-Import Bank ${ }^{3,4}$. | 8.711 | 9.191 | 11.250 | 11.239 | 11.201 | 11,881 | 11,868 | 11,850 | 12,423 |
| 5 Federal Housing Administration ${ }^{5}$ | 588 | 537 | 477 | 476 | 468 | 464 | 459 | 449 | 448 |
| 6 Government National Mortgage Association participation certificates ${ }^{6}$ | 3,141 | 2,979 | 2,817 | 2.817 | 2,817 | 2,817 | 2,775 | 2,775 | 2,715 |
| 7 Postal Service ${ }^{7}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 2,364 | 1,837 | 1.770 | 1,770 | 1,770 | 1,770 | 1.770 | 1,538 | 1,538 |
| 8 Tennessee Valley Authority ....... | 7,460 | 8.997 | 11.190 | 11,375 | 11,550 | 11,680 | 11,845 | 11,930 | 12,060 |
| 9 United States Railway Association ${ }^{7}$ | 356 | 436 | 492 | 11,392 | 199 | 209 | , 219 | 213 | 215 |
| 10 Federally sponsored agencies ${ }^{1}$ | 113,575 | 138,575 | 164,623 | 166,287 | 166,330 | 169.431 | 170,932 | 175,709 | 179,016 |
| 11 Federal Home Loan Banks. | 27,563 | 33,330 | 41,258 | 41,819 | 42,275 | 43,791 | 44,357 | 47,121 | 49,425 |
| 12 Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation | 2,262 | 2,771 | 2,536 | 2.518 | 2.514 | 2,409 | 2,409 | 2,409 | 2,409 |
| 13 Federal National Mortgage Association | 41,080 | 48,486 | 55,185 | 54.605 | 54,110 | 54,666 | 54,183 | 54,430 | 54,657 |
| 14 Federal Land Banks . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 20,360 | 16,006 | 12,365 | 11,507 | 11,507 | 11,507 | 10,583 | 10,583 | 710,583 |
| 15 Federal Intermediate Credit Banks | 11,469 | 2,676 | 1,821 | 1,388 | 1,388 | 1,388 | 1,388 | 1,388 | 71,388 |
| 16 Banks for Cooperatives | 4,843 | 584 | 584 | 584 | 584 | - 584 | 220 | 220 | 7,220 |
| 17 Farm Credit Banks ${ }^{1}$. | 5,081 | 33.216 | 48,153 | 50,645 | 50.675 | 51,689 | 54,345 | 56,061 | 56,932 |
| 18 Student Loan Marketing Association ${ }^{8}$ | 915 | 1,505 | 2,720 | 3.220 | 3,275 | 3,395 | 3,445 | 3,495 | 3,400 |
| 19 Other. . . . . . . . . . . . | 2 | 1 | , | , | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Memo: <br> 20 Federal Financing Bank debt ${ }^{1,9}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 51,298 | 67,383 | 87,460 | 88,420 | 89,444 | 94,101 | 96,489 | 98,297 | 100,333 |
| Lending to federal and federally sponsored agencies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 Export-Import Bank ${ }^{4}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 6,898 | 8,353 | 10,654 | 10,654 | 10.654 | 11,346 | 11,346 | 11,346 | 11,933 |
| 22 Postal Service ${ }^{7}$........ | 2,114 | 1,587 | 1,520 | 1,520 | 1,520 | 1,520 | 1,520 | 1,288 | 1,288 |
| 23 Student Loan Marketing Association ${ }^{8}$ | 915 | 1.505 | 2.720 | 3.220 | 3.275 | 3.395 | 3.445 | 3,495 | 3,400 |
| 24 Tennessee Valley Authority ...... | 5.635 | 7,272 | 9.465 | 9.650 | 9,825 | 9.955 | 10,120 | 10,205 | 10.335 |
| 25 United States Railway Association ${ }^{7}$ | 356 | 436 | 492 | 492 | 199 | 209 | 219 | 213 | 215 |
| Other Lending ${ }^{10}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 Farmers Home Administration. | 23,825 | 32,050 | 39,431 | 39,271 | 39,851 | 41,791 | 43.456 | 44,746 | 45,691 |
| 27 Rural Electrification Administration | 4.604 | 6.484 | 9,196 | 9.471 | 10,212 | 10,443 | 10,652 | 10,988 | 11,346 |
| 28 Other. | 6.951 | 9.696 | 13,982 | 14.142 | 13,908 | 15,442 | 15,731 | 16.016 | 716.125 |

1. In September 1977 the Farm Credit Banks issued their first consolidated bonds, and in January 1979 they began issuing these bonds on a regular basis to replace the financing activities of the Federal Land Banks, the Federal Intermediate Credit Banks, and the Banks for Cooperatives. Line 17 represents those consolidated bonds outstanding, as well as any discount notes that have been issued. Lines 1 and 10 reflect the addition of this item
2. Consists of mortgages assumed by the Defense Department between 1957 and 1963 under family housing and homeowners assistance programs.
3. Includes participation certificates reclassified as debt beginning Oct. 1, 1976.
4. Off-budget Aug. 17, 1974, through Sept. 30, 1976; on-budget thereafter.
5. Consists of debentures issued in payment of Federal Housing Administration insurance claims. Once issued, these securities may be sold privately on the securities market.
6. Certificates of participation issued prior to fiscal 1969 by the Government National Mortgage Association acting as trustee for the Farmers Home Administration; Department of Health, Education, and Welfare; Department
of Housing and Urban Development; Small Business Administration; and the Veterans Addministration.
7. Off-budget.
8. Unlike other federally sponsored agencies, the Student Loan Marketing As sociation may borrow from the Federal Financing Bank (FFB) since its obligations are guaranteed by the Department of Health. Education, and Welfare.
9. The FFB, which began operations in 1974, is authorized to purchase or sell obligations issued, sold, or guaranteed by other federal agencies. Since FFB incurs debt solely for the purpose of lending to other agencies. its debt is not included in the main portion of the table in order to avoid double counting.
10. Includes FFB purchases of agency assets and guaranteed loans; the latter contain loans guaranteed by numerous agencies with the guarantees of any particular agency being generally small. The Farmers Home Administration item consists exclusively of agency assets, while the Rural Electrification Administration entry contains both agency assets and guaranteed loans.

## NOTES TO TABLE 1.44

1. Immediate positions are net amounts (in terms of par values) of securities owned by nonbank deales firms and dealer departments of commerciai banks on a commitment, that is, trade-date basis, including any such securities that have been sold under agreements to repurchase (RPs). The maturities of some repurchase agreements are sufficiently long, however, to suggest that the securities involved are not available for trading purposes. Securities owned, and hence dealer positions, do not include securities to resell (reverse RPs). Before 1981, data for immediate positions include forward positions.
2. Figures cover financing involving U.S. government and federal agency securities, negotiable CDs, bankers acceptances, and commercial paper.
3. Includes all reverse repurchase agreements, including those that have been arranged to make delivery on short sales and those for which the securities obtained have been used as collateral on borrowings, i.e., matched agreements.
4. Includes both repurchase agreements undertaken to finance positions and "matched book" repurchase agreements.
Note. Data for positions are averages of daily figures, in terms of par value, based on the number of trading days in the period. Positions are shown net and are on a commitment basis. Data for financing are based on Wednesday figures, in terms of actual money borrowed or lent.

### 1.46 NEW SECURITY ISSUES of State and Local Governments

Millions of dollars

| Type of issue or issuer, or use | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June |
| 1 All issues, new and refunding ${ }^{1}$ | 48,607 | 43,490 | 48,462 | 2,658 | 2,928 | 3,879 | 5,068 | 3,406 | 4,846 |
| Type of issue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{3}^{2}$ General obligation | 17.854 30.658 | 12.109 31.256 | 14.100 34.267 | 728 1.923 | 876 2,049 | 1,249 2,619 | 1.317 3.745 | 1,307 2.089 | 1,355 3,486 |
| 4 Housing Assistance Administration ${ }^{2}$ <br> 5 U.S. government loans | 17.854 $\cdots$ | 12,256 $\cdots \cdots$ | 34,267 $\cdots \quad 95$ | $\begin{array}{r}1,923 \\ \hline 7\end{array}$ | 2,049 $\cdots 3$ | 2,619 | 1.745 $\cdots 6 .$. | 1,089 $\cdots \quad 10$ | 3,486 |
| Type of issuer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 State 7 . ${ }^{\text {S }}$............. | 6.632 | 4,314 | 5.304 | 478 | 530 | 349 | 544 | 639 | 585 |
| 7 Special district and statutory authority | 24.156 | 23.434 | 26.972 | 1.442 | 1.442 | 1,979 | 2.701 | 1.629 | 2.711 |
| 8 Municipalities, counties, townships, school districts. | 17.718 | 15,617 | 16.090 | 731 | 951 | 1,541 | 1.816 | 1.127 | 1.545 |
| 9 Issues for new capital, total. | 37,629 | 41,505 | 46,736 | 2,650 | 2,855 | 3,845 | 4,898 | 3,394 | 4,768 |
| Use of proceeds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 Education. | 5.003 | 5,130 | 4.572 | 338 | 292 | 515 | 479 | 227 | 615 |
| 11 Transportation. | 3.460 | 2.441 | 2.621 | 147 | 322 | 238 | 121 | 424 | 158 |
| 12 Utilities and conservation | 9.026 | 8.594 | 8.149 | 585 | 452 | 784 | 1,262 | 641 | 756 |
| 13 Social welfare. | 10.494 | 15.968 | 19.958 | 786 | 881 | 956 | 1.001 | 1,054 | 1.408 |
| 14 Industrial aid | 3.526 | 3,836 | 3.974 | 389 | 296 | 512 | 1,298 | 408 | 731 |
| 15 Other purposes | 6,120 | 5,536 | 7,462 | 405 | 612 | 840 | 737 | 640 | 1,100 |

1. Par amounts of long-term issues based on date of sale

Source. Public Securities Association.
2. Only bonds sold pursuant to the 1949 Housing Act, which are secured by contract requiring the Housing Assistance Administration to make annual contributions to the local authority.

### 1.47 NEW SECURITY ISSUES of Corporations

Millions of dollars

| Type of issue or issuer, or use | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1980 | 1981 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. ${ }^{\prime}$ | May | June |
| 1 All issues ${ }^{1}$ | 47,230 | 51,533 | 73,688 | 5,933 | 5,581 | 4,157 | 6,423 | 6,835 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 5,457r | 9,536 |
| 2 Bonds. | 36,872 | 40,208 | 53,199 | 3,044 | 3,386 | 2,834 | 4,275 | 4,597r | 3,080 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 5,601 |
| $3 \begin{aligned} & \text { Type of offering }\end{aligned}$ | 19.815 | 25.814 | 41.587 | 1,719 | 2.928 | 2,408 | $3.778^{\text {c }}$ | 3,668 | $2.520^{r}$ | 4.603 |
| 4 Private placement | 17,057 | 14.394 | 11.612 | 1.325 | 458 | 426 | 497 | ${ }^{3} 9298$ | $560^{r}$ | 998 |
| Industry group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 Manufacturing.. | 9.572 | 9.678 | 15.409 | ${ }_{509}^{609}$ | 1.635 | 1.140 | 1.064 | 1,459r | 1.269 | 1.313 |
| 7 Transportation.... . . . . . . . . | 2.007 | 3.119 | 6,688 3,329 | 165 | 353 | 356 | 172 | ${ }^{342}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 138 49 | 566 584 |
| 8 Public utility.. | 7.092 | 8.153 | 9.556 | 314 | 800 | 593 | 594 | $904{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $1.063^{r}$ | 996 |
| 9 Communication | 3.373 | 4.219 | 6.683 | 653 | 62 | 272 | 958 | $554{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 56 | 470 |
| 10 Real estate and financial | 9.586 | 11.094 | 11.534 | 793 | 306 | 430 | 1.276 | $1.197^{\circ}$ | $506{ }^{r}$ | 1,672 |
| 11 Stocks | 10,358 | 11,325 | 20,490 | 2,889 | 2,195 | 1,323 | 2,148 | 2,238 | 2,377 | 3,935 |
| 12 Type |  |  | 3.632 | 241 | 364 | 149 | 298 |  |  |  |
| 13 Common. | 7,526 | 7,751 | 16.858 | 2.648 | 1.831 | 1.174 | 1.850 | 2,153 | 2.213 | 3,747 |
| Industry group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 Manufacturing | 1,241 | 1,679 | 4,839 | 844 | 609 | 204 | 735 | 531 | 903 | 382 |
| 15 Commercial and miscellaneous. | 1.816 | 2,623 | 5.245 | 908 | 603 | 589 | 816 | 477 | 958 | 1,024 |
| 16 Transportation. | 263 | 255 | 549 | 95 | 124 | 81 | 17 | 146 | 47 | 18 |
| 17 Public utility. | 5.140 | 5.171 | 6.230 | 669 | 562 | 260 | 414 | 717 | 173 | 843 |
| 18 Communication | 264 | 303 | 567 | 65 | 14 | 31 |  | 56 |  | 1.036 |
| 19 Real estate and financial. . | 1,631 | 12,931 | 3.059 | 308 | 284 | 159 | 167 | 310 | 296 | 632 | year. sold for cash in the United States, are principal amount or number of units year, soid tor cass in the United States, are principal amount or number of units

multiplied by offering price. Excludes offerings of less than $\$ 100,000$. secondary offerings, undefined or exempted issues as defined in the Securities Act of

1933, employee stock plans, investment companies other than closed-end, intracorporate transactions, and sales to foreigners.

### 1.48 OPEN-END INVESTMENT COMPANIES Net Sales and Asset Position

Millions of dollars


1. Excluding money market funds.
2. Includes reinvestment of investment income dividends. Excludes reinvestment of capital gains distributions and share issue of conversions from one fund to another in the same group.
3. Excludes share redemption resulting from conversions from one fund to another in the same group.
4. Market value at end of period, less current liabilities.
5. Also includes all U.S. government securities and other short-term debt securities.
NOTE. Investment Company Institute data based on reports of members, which comprise substantially all open-end investment companies registered with the Se curities and Exchange Commission. Data reflect newly formed companies after their initial offering of securities.

### 1.49 CORPORATE PROFITS AND THEIR DISTRIBUTION

Billions of dollars; quarterly data are at seasonally adjusted annual rates.

| Account |  | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1979 |  | 1980 |  |  |  | $\frac{1981}{\mathrm{O1}^{r}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Q3 |  |  | Q4 | Q1 | Q2 | O3 | Q4 |  |
|  | Corporate profits with inventory valuation and capital consumption adjustment. |  | 185.5 | 196.8 | 182.7 | 199.5 | 189.4 | 200.2 | 169.3 | 177.9 | 183.3 |  |
| 2 | Profits before tax ......................... | 223.3 | 255.3 | 245.5 | 262.0 | 255.4 | 277.1 | 217.9 | 237.6 | 249.5 | 257.0 |
| 3 | Profits tax liability. | 82.9 | 87.6 | 82.3 | 88.4 | 87.2 | 94.2 | 71.5 | 78.5 | 85.2 | 87.7 |
| 4 | Profits after tax. | 140.3 | 167.7 | 163.2 | 173.6 | 168.2 | 182.9 | 146.4 | 159.1 | 164.3 | 169.3 |
| 5 | Dividends. | 44.6 | 50.1 | 56.0 | 50.2 | 51.6 | 53.9 | 55.7 | 56.7 | 57.7 | 59.6 |
| 6 | Undistributed profits | 95.7 | 117.6 | 107.2 | 123.4 | 116.6 | 129.0 | 90.7 | 102.4 | 106.6 | 109.7 |
| 7 | Inventory valuation. | $-24.3$ | -42.6 | -45.6 | -46.5 | -50.8 | -61.4 | -31.1 | -41.7 | $-48.4$ | -39.2 |
| 8 | Capital consumption adjustment | -13.5 | -15.9 | -17.2 | -16.1 | -15.1 | -15.4 | -17.6 | -17.9 | -17.8 | -14.7 |

Source. Survey of Current Business (U.S. Department of Commerce).September 1981

### 1.50 NONFINANCIAL CORPORATIONS Current Assets and Liabilities

Billions of dollars, except for ratio

| Account | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 |  |  |  | $\frac{1981}{\text { Q1 }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Q1 ${ }^{1}$ | Q2 ${ }^{5}$ | Q3 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | Q4 ${ }^{\prime}$ |  |
| 1 Current assets | 759.0 | 826.8 | 902.1 | 1,030.0 | 1,200.9 | 1,234.0 | 1,232.2 | 1,254.9 | 1,281.1 | 1,321.4 |
| 2 Cash. | 82.1 | 88.2 | 95.8 | 104.5 | 116.1 | 110.5 | 111.5 | 113.4 | 120.9 | 120.4 |
| 3 U.S. government securities | 19.0 | 23.4 | 17.6 | 16.3 | 15.6 | 15.2 | 14.0 | 16.4 | 17.1 | 16.8 |
| 4 Notes and accounts receivable | 272.1 | 292.8 | 324.7 | 383.8 | 456.8 | 470.3 | 463.4 | 478.7 | 491.6 | 507.9 |
| 5 Inventories | 315.9 69.9 | 342.4 80.1 | 374.8 89.2 | 426.9 98.5 | 501.7 110.8 | 518.9 119.2 | 525.0 118.3 | 524.5 121.9 | 525.3 126.2 | 542.8 133.5 |
| 7 Current liabilities | 451.6 | 494.7 | 549.4 | 665.5 | 809.1 | 836.5 | 826.0 | 850.5 | 877.8 | 911.7 |
| 8 Notes and accounts payable | 264.2 | 281.9 | 313.2 | 373.7 | 456.3 | 467.7 | 462.8 | 477.0 | 498.5 | 504.5 |
| 9 Other. | 187.4 | 212.8 | 236.2 | 291.7 | 352.8 | 368.8 | 363.2 | 373.5 | 379.3 | 407.2 |
| 10 Net working capital. | 307.4 | 332.2 | 352.7 | 364.6 | 391.8 | 397.5 | 406.2 | 404.3 | 403.4 | 409.7 |
| 11 Memo: Current ratio ${ }^{1}$. | 1.681 | 1.672 | 1.642 | 1.548 | 1.484 | 1.475 | 1.492 | 1.475 | 1.460 | 1.449 |

1. Ratio of total current assets to total current liabilities.

Note. For a description of this series, see "Working Capital of Nonfinancial Corporations" in the July 1978 Bulletin. pp. 533-37.

All data in this table reflect the most current benchmarks. Complete data are available upon request from the Flow of Funds Section. Division of Research and avalable up

Source. Federal Trade Commission.

### 1.51 TOTAL NONFARM BUSINESS EXPENDITURES on New Plant and Equipment

Billions of dollars; quarterly data are at seasonally adjusted annual rates.

| Industry | 1979 | 1980 | $1981{ }^{1}$ | 1980 |  |  | 1981 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Q1 | Q2 ${ }^{1}$ | Q3 ${ }^{1}$ | Q4 ${ }^{1}$ |
| 1 Total nonfarm business | 270.46 | 295.63 | 321.50 | 294.36 | 296.23 | 299.58 | 312.24 | 316.73 | 322.96 | 332.69 |
| 2 Manufacturing |  |  | 62.92 | 59.38 | 58.19 | 59.77 | 61.24 | 63.10 | 63.07 |  |
| 3 Nurablurable goods industries. | 47.61 | 56.90 | 62.92 63.87 | 56.32 | 58.21 | 58.86 | 63.27 | 63.10 62.40 | 65.65 | 64.06 64.05 |
| Nonmanufacturing <br> 4 Mining. | 11.38 | 13.51 | 16.47 | 12.81 | 13.86 | 15.28 | 16.20 | 16.80 | 16.12 | 16.70 |
| ${ }_{5}$ Transportation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 Railroad | 4.03 4.01 4. | 4.25 4.01 | 4.43 3.60 | 4.06 4.27 | 3.98 4.06 | 4.54 3.77 | 4.23 <br> 3.85 | 4.38 3.29 | 4.22 2.84 | 4.84 4.44 |
| 7 Other. | 4.31 | 3.82 | 4.12 | 3.76 | 4.18 | 3.39 | 3.66 | 4.04 | 4.00 | 4.60 |
| Public utilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 Electric.... | 27.65 | 28.12 | 28.12 | 27.91 | 28.14 | 27.54 | 27.69 | 29.32 | 29.41 | 28.84 |
| 9 Gas and other | 6.31 | 7.32 | 8.07 | 7.12 | 7.44 | 7.41 | 8.36 | 8.53 | 7.38 | 8.16 |
| 10 Trade and services | 79.26 | 81.79 | 87.30 | 81.07 | 81.19 | 82.91 | 83.43 | 85.88 | 86.55 | 92.68 |
| 11 Communication and other ${ }^{2}$. | 34.83 | 36.99 | 41.89 | 37.66 | 36.97 | 36.11 | 40.32 | 39.02 | 43.70 | 44.31 |

### 1.52 DOMESTIC FINANCE COMPANIES Assets and Liabilities

Billions of dollars, end of period

| Account | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 |  |  | 1981 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | O2 | 03 | O 4 | 01 | Q2 |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts receivable, gross |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Consumer. | 36.0 | 38.6 | 44.0 | 52.6 | 65.7 | 70.2 | 71.7 | 73.6 | 76.1 | 79.0 |
| 2 Business | 39.3 | 44.7 | 55.2 | 63.3 | 70.3 | 70.3 | 66.9 | 72.3 | 72.7 | 78.2 |
| 3 Total .... | 75.3 | 83.4 | 99.2 | 116.0 | 136.0 | 140.4 | 138.6 | 145.9 | 148.7 | 157.2 |
| 4 Less: Reserves for unearned income and losses | 9.4 | 10.5 | 12.7 | 15.6 | 20.0 | 21.4 | 22.3 | 23.3 | 24.3 | 25.7 |
| 5 Accounts receivable, net ................. | 65.9 | 72.9 | 86.5 | 100.4 | 116.0 | 119.0 | 116.3 | 122.6 | 124.5 | 131.4 |
| 6 Cash and bank deposits. | 2.9 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 3.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 Securities ... | 1.0 11.8 | 1.1 12.6 | .9 14.3 | 1.3 17.3 | $24.9{ }^{1}$ | 26.1 | 28.3 | 27.5 | 30.8 | 31.6 |
| 9 Total assets. | 81.6 | 89.2 | 104.3 | 122.4 | 140.9 | 145.1 | 144.7 | 150.1 | 155.3 | 163.0 |
| Liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 Bank loans...... | 8.0 | 6.3 | 5.9 | 6.5 | 8.5 | 10.1 | 10.1 | 13.2 | 13.1 | 14.4 |
| 11 Commercial paper. | 22.2 | 23.7 | 29.6 | 34.5 | 43.3 | 40.7 | 40.5 | 43.4 | 44.2 | 49.0 |
| 12 Debt Short-term, n.e.c. | 4.5 | 5.4 | 6.2 | 8.1 | 8.2 | 7.9 | 7.7 | 7.5 | 8.2 | 8.5 |
| 13 Long-term, n.e.c. | 27.6 | 32.3 | 36.0 | 43.6 | 46.7 | 50.5 | 52.0 | 52.4 | 51.6 | 52.6 |
| 14 Other.. | 6.8 | 8.1 | 11.5 | 12.6 | 14.2 | 16.0 | 14.6 | 14.3 | 17.3 | 17.0 |
| 15 Capital, surplus, and undivided protits. | 12.5 | 13.4 | 15.1 | 17.2 | 19.9 | 19.9 | 19.8 | 19.4 | 20.9 | 21.5 |
| 16 Total liabilities and capital. | 81.6 | 89.2 | 104.3 | 122.4 | 140.9 | 145.1 | 144.7 | 150.1 | 155.3 | 163.0 |

1. Beginning Q1 1979, asset items on lines 6. 7, and 8 are combined.

Note. Components may not add to totals due to rounding.

### 1.53 DOMESTIC FINANCE COMPANIES Business Credit

Millions of dollars, seasonally adjusted except as noted

| Type | Accounts receivable outstanding June 30. $1981^{1}$ | Changes in accounts receivable |  |  | Extensions |  |  | Repayments |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1981 |  |  | 1981 |  |  | 1981 |  |  |
|  |  | Apr. | May | June | Apr. | May | June | Apr. | May | June |
| Total | 78,232 | 1,409 | 1,813 | 1,850 | 18,133 | 18,983 | 19,502 | 16,724 | 17,170 | 17,652 |
| 2 Retail automotive (commercial vehicles) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 11,397 | -213 | -152 | -217 | 790 | 830 | 734 | 1.003 | 982 | 951 |
| 3 Wholesale automotive.............. | 13.639 | 890 | 682 | 1.085 | 5.865 | 5.426 | 6.267 | 4.975 | 4.744 | 5.182 |
| 4 Retail paper on business. industrial and farm equipment | 25.148 | 56 | 608 | 456 | 1.384 | 1.595 | 1.774 | 1.328 | 987 | 1,318 |
| 5 Loans on commercial accounts receivable and factored commercial accounts receivable | 8.683 | 139 | 488 | 180 | 7.735 | 8.696 | 8.267 | 7.596 | 8.208 | 8,087 |
| 6 All other business credit . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 19,365 | 537 | 187 | 346 | 2.359 | 2.436 | 2.460 | 1.822 | 2,249 | 2,114 |

1. Not seasonally adjusted.

### 1.54 MORTGAGE MARKETS

Millions of dollars; exceptions noted.

| Item | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr | May | June | July |
|  | Terms and yields in primary and secondary markets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary Markets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Conventional mortgages on new homes Terms ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Purchase price (thousands of dollars) ............. | 62.6 459 | 74.4 | 83.4 | 87.0 | 90.3 | 90.9 | 88.5 | 88.9 | $94.1^{r}$ | 97.0 |
| 2 Amount of loan (thousands of dollars) | 45.9 | 53.3 | 59.2 | 63.0 | 65.6 | 64.5 | 64.1 | 65.5 | 66.8 | 67.7 |
| 3 Loan/pricc ratio (percent).... . . . . . . | 75.3 | 73.9 | 73.2 | 75.6 | 75.6 | 73.9 | 74.7 | 76.7 | 72.6 | 73.9 |
| 4 Maturity (years) ............ . . . . . . . . . . | 28.0 | 28.5 | 28.2 | 29.1 | 29.0 | 28.7 | 28.6 | 28.5 | 27.5 | 28.3 |
| 5 Fees and charges (percent of loan amount) ${ }^{2}$. | 1.39 | 1.66 | 2.09 | 2.40 | 2.59 | 2.64 | 2.61 | 2.60 | 2.50 | 2.73 |
| 6 Contract rate (percent per annum) . . . . . . . . | 9.30 | 10.48 | 12.25 | 12.80 | 13.02 | 13.48 | 13.62 | 13.56 | 14.12 | 14.14 |
| Yield (percent per annum) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 FHLBB series ${ }^{3}$. . . . . . . | 9.54 | 10.77 | 12.65 | 13.26 | 13.54 | 14.02 | 14.15 | 14.10 | 14.67 |  |
| 8 HUD series ${ }^{4}$.. | 9.68 | 11.15 | 13.95 | 14.95 | 15.10 | 15.25 | 15.70 | 16.35 | 16.40 | 16.70 |
| Secondary Markets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yield (percent per annum) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 FHA mortgages (HUD series) ${ }^{5}$ | 9.70 8.98 | 10.87 | 13.42 | 14.23 13.50 | 14.79 | 15.04 | 15.91 | 16.03 | 16.31 | 16.76 |
| 10 GNMA securities ${ }^{\text {² }}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 8.98 | 10.22 | 12.55 | 13.50 | $12.63^{\circ}$ | 14.22 | 14.69 | 15.31 | 15.02 | 15.76 |
| 11 Government-underwritten loans. | 9.77 | 11.17 | 14.11 | 14.87 | 15.24 | $15.64{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 16.54 | $16.93{ }^{r}$ | 16.17 | 16.65 |
| 12 Conventional loans . . . . . . . . . | 10.01 | 11.77 | 14.43 | 14,95 | 15.05 | 15.29 | 15.66 | 16.44 | 16.30 | 16.44 |
|  | Activity in secondary markets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal National Mortgage Association |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mortgage holdings (end of period) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 Total ..... | 43,311 | 51.0191 | 57.327 | 57.390 | 57.434 | 57,362 | 57.436 | 57.586 | 57.657 | 57,978 |
| 14 FHA-insured.. | 21,243 10544 | 24,489 10.496 | $38.969{ }^{8}$ | 38.955 | 38.972 | 38,878 | 38.919 | 39,030 | 38.988 | 39,108 |
| 15 VA-guaranteed | 10.544 11.524 | 10.496 16.106 | 18.358 | 18.435 | 18.462 | 18.484 | 18.517 | 18.557 | $18,669^{r}$ | 18,870 |
| 17 Mortgage transactions (during period) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 Purchases | 12,303 9 | 10.805 0 | 8,100 0 | 185 0 | 161 | 87 0 | ${ }^{206}{ }^{1}$ | 283 | 247 0 | 627 |
| Mortgage commitments ${ }^{9}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 Contracted (during period) | 18,959 | 10.179 | 8.044 | 241 | 244 | $319^{\circ}$ | 383 | 802 | 1,110 | 1,662 |
| 20 Outstanding (end of period) | 9,185 | 6,409 | 3,278 | 3,063 | 2.683 | 2,173 | 2,031 | 2,328 | 3,103 | 4.039 |
| Auction of 4-month commitments to buy Government-underwritten loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 Offered.... . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 12.978 | 8,860 | 8.605 | $210.7{ }^{\circ}$ | $154.2{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $169.0{ }^{\circ}$ | 139.1 | $204.8{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 237.6 | 331.9 |
| 22 Accepted. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 6.747 | 3.920 | 4.002 | $110.8{ }^{\circ}$ | $87.7^{\circ}$ | $69.0{ }^{\circ}$ | 114.5 | 179.1 | $127.1^{\text {r }}$ | 290.4 |
| Conventional loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 Offered. | 9.933 | 4.495 | 3,639 | 32.0 | 108.6 | $104.0{ }^{\circ}$ | 126.9 | 281.3 | 307.1 | 306.6 |
| 24 Accepted. | 5.110 | 2,343 | 1,748 | 30.3 | 79.1 | 62.0 | 92.0 | $155.9{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $224.0^{r}$ | 238.2 |
| Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mortgage holdings (end of period) ${ }^{10}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 Total . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 3.064 | 4.035 | 5.067 | 5.039 | 5.107 | 5.161 | 5.176 | 5.223 | 5,257 | 5,250 |
| 26 FHA/VA. | 1.243 | 1.102 | 1.033 | 1.029 | 1.025 | 1.021 | 1.017 | 1,013 | 1.009 | 1,005 |
| 27 Conventional | 1.165 | 1,957 | $2.840^{\circ}$ | 2.825 | 2,883 | 2,931 | 2.952 | 2,988 | 3.016 | 3,017 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 Purchases . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 6,525 | 5.717 4.544 | 3,723 | $192^{\prime}$ | 179 | 148 | 125 | 480 | 139 | 242 |
| 29 Sales . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 6,211 | 4.544 | 2.527 | 168 | 94 | 127 | 97 | 422 | 94 | 238 |
| Mortgage commitments ${ }^{1 /}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 Contracted (during period) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 7.451 | 5.542 | 3,859 | 203 | $90^{\circ}$ | $475{ }^{\circ}$ | $118^{\circ}$ | $130{ }^{\prime}$ | $293{ }^{r}$ | 866 |
| 31 Outstanding (end of period) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 1,410 | 797 | 447 | 487 | 394 | 699 | 678 | 322 | 1,018 | 824 |

1. Weighted averages based on sample surveys of mortgages originated by major institutional lender groups. Compiled by the Federal Home Loan Bank Board in cooperation with the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation
2. Includes all fees, commissions, discounts, and "points" paid (by the borrower or the seller) in order to obtain a loan.
or the seller) in order to obtain a loan.
3. Average effective interest rates on loans closed, assuming prepayment at the end of 10 years.
4. Average contract rates on new commitments for conventional first mortgages, rounded to the neavest 5 basis points; from Department of Housing and Urban Development.
5. Average gross yields on 30 -year, minimum-downpayment. Federal Housing Administration-insured first mortgages for immediate delivery in the private secondary market. Any gaps in data are due to periods of adjustment to changes in maximum permissible contract rates.
6. Average net yields to investors on Government National Mortgage Association guaranteed, mortgage-backed, fully modified pass-through securities.
assuming prepayment in 12 years on pools of 30 -year FHA/VA mortgages carrying the prevailing ceiling rate. Monthly figures are unweighted averages of Monday quotations for the month.
7. Average gross yields (before deduction of 38 basis points for mortgage servicing) on accepted bids in Federal National Mortgage Association's auctions of 4 month commitments to purchase home mortgages, assuming prepayment in 12 years for 30 -year mortgages. No adjustments are made for FNMA commitment fees or stock related requirements. Monthly figures are unweighted averages for auctions conducted within the month.
8. Beginning March 1980. FHA-insured and VA-guaranteed mortgage holdings in lines 14 and 15 are combined.
9. Includes some multifamily and nonprofit hospital loan commitments in addition to 1 - to 4 -family loan commitments accepted in FNMA's frec market auction system, and through the FNMA-GNMA tandem plans.
10. Includes participation as well as whole loans.
11. Includes conventional and government-underwritten loans.

### 1.55 MORTGAGE DEBT OUTSTANDING

Millions of dollars, end of period

| Type of holder, and type of property | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1980 |  |  | 1981 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Q1 ${ }^{r}$ | Q2 |
| 1 All holders | 1,169,412 | 1,326,750 | 1,451,840 | 1,380,928 | 1,414,881 | 1,451,841 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 1,473,354 | 1,503,308 |
| 2 1- to 4-family | 765,217 | 878,931 | 960,422 | 910,286 | 935,393 | $960.408^{r}$ | 973,144 | 992,146 |
| 3 Multifamily . | 121,138 | 128,852 | 136,580 | 132,194 | 134.193 | 136,601 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 138.092 | 140,276 |
| 4 Commercial | 211,851 | 236,451 | 258,338 | 247,444 | 251,651 | 258,332r | 262,250 | 266,751 |
| 5 Farm | 71,206 | 82,516 | 96,500 | 91,004 | 93,644 | 96,500 | 99,868 | 104,135 |
| 6 Major financial institutions | 848,177 | 938,567 | 998.386 | 958,750 | 977.281 | 998,372r | 1,008,123 | 1,023,741 |
| ${ }_{8}^{7}$ Commercial banks ${ }^{1}$. ${ }^{\text {1- to } 4 \text {-family }}$ | 214,045 129,167 | 245,187 149,460 | 264,602 160,746 | 253,103 153,753 | 258,003 $\mathbf{1 5 6 , 7 3 7}$ | 264,602 160,746 | 268,102 | 274,503 166,761 |
| Multifamily. | 10,266 | 11,180 | 12,304 | 11,764 | 11,997 | 12,304 | 12,467 | 12,764 |
| 10 Commercial | 66,115 | 75,957 | 82,688 | 79.110 | 80.626 | 82,688 | 83,782 | 85,782 |
| 11 Farm | 8.497 | 8,590 | 8,864 | 8.476 | 8,643 | 8,864 | 8,981 | 9,196 |
| 12 Mutual savings banks | 95,157 | 98,908 | 99,827 | 99,150 | 99,8306 | 99,813r | 99,719 | 99,670 |
| 13 1- to 4 -family . | 62,252 | 64,706 | 65,307 | 64,864 | 64,966 | 65,297r | 65,236 | 65,204 |
| 14 Multifamily. | 16.529 | 17,340 | 17.180 | 17.223 | 17.249 | 17,338r | 17,321 | 17,313 |
| 15 Commercial | 16,319 | 16,963 | 17.120 | 17.004 50 | 17,031 | 17.118 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 17,102 | 17.093 |
| 16 Farm | 57 | 59 | 60 | 59 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| 17 Savings and loan associations | 432.808 | 475,688 | 502.812 | 481,042 | 491,895 | 502,812 | 507,152 | 514,568 |
| 18 1- to 4-family . | 356,114 | 394.345 | 419,446 | 399,746 | 409.896 | 419.446 | 423,066 | 429,253 |
| 19 Multifamily. | 36,053 | 37,579 | 38.113 | 37,329 | 37,728 | 38.113 | 38,442 | 39,004 |
| 20 Commercial | 40,461 | 43,764 | 45,253 | 43,967 | 44,271 | 45,253 | 45,644 | 46,311 |
| 21 Life insurance companies | 106.167 | 118.784 | 131.145 | 125,455 | 128.077 | 131.145 | 133,150 | 135,000 |
| 22 1- to 4-family ......... | 14.436 | 16,193 | 17.911 | 17,796 | 17,996 | 17,911 | 17,815 | 18,076 |
| 23 Multifamily. | 19,000 | 19,274 | 19,614 | 19,284 | 19,357 | 19.614 | 19.678 | 19,957 |
| 24 Commercial | 62,232 | 71,137 | 80.776 | 75,693 | 77.995 | 80,776 | 82,714 | 83,887 |
| 25 Farm | 10.499 | 12.180 | 12.844 | 12,682 | 12.729 | 12,844 | 12,943 | 13,080 |
| 26 Federal and related agencies. | 81.739 | 97,084 | 114,300 | 108.539 | 110.526 | 114,300 | 116,243 | 119,476 |
| 27 Government National Mortgage Association. | 3,509 | 3,852 | 4.642 | 4,466 | 4,389 | 4,642 | 4.826 | 4,976 |
| 28 1- to 4-family | 877 | 763 | 704 | 736 | 719 | 704 | 696 | 720 |
| 29 Multifamily.. | 2,632 | 3,089 | 3.938 | 3.730 | 3.670 | 3,938 | 4.130 | 4,256 |
| 30 Farmers Home Administration. | 926 | 1,274 | 3,492 | 3,375 | 3,525 | 3.492 | 2,837 | 3,037 |
| 31 1- to 4-family | 288 | 417 | 916 | 1,383 | 978 | 916 | 1,321 | 1,421 |
| 32 Multifamily. | 320 | 71 | 610 | 636 | 774 | 610 | 528 | 553 |
| 33 Commercial | 101 | 174 | 411 | 402 | 370 | 411 | 479 | 504 |
| 34 Farm | 217 | 612 | 1.555 | 954 | 1,403 | 1,555 | 509 | 559 |
| 35 Federal Housing and Veterans Administration | 5,305 | 5,555 | 5,640 | 5.691 | 5.600 | 5.640 | 5.799 |  |
| 36 1- to 4-family.............................. | 1,673 | 1,955 | 2,051 | 2,085 | 1.986 | 2.051 | 2.135 | 2,158 |
| 37 Multifamily. | 3,632 | 3,600 | 3,589 | 3,606 | 3,614 | 3,589 | 3,664 | 3,672 |
| 38 Federal National Mortgage Association | 43,311 | 51,091 | 57.327 | 55,419 | 55.632 | 57.327 | 57.362 | 57.657 |
| 39 1- to 4-family . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 37,579 | 45,488 | 51,775 | 49,837 | 50.071 | 51.775 | 51.842 | 52.181 |
| 40 Multifamily. | 5,732 | 5.603 | 5,552 | 5,582 | 5,561 | 5,552 | 5,520 | 5,476 |
| 41 Federal Land Banks. | 25,624 | 31,277 | 38.131 | 35,574 | 36,837 | 38.131 | 40,258 | 42,693 |
| 42 1- to 4-family.. | 927 | 1,552 | 2.099 | 1,893 | 1,985 | 2,099 | 2,228 | 2,401 |
| 43 Farm...... | 24,697 | 29.725 | 36.032 | 33.681 | 34.852 | 36,032 | 38.030 | 40,292 |
| 44 Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation | 3,064 | 4,035 | 5,068 | 4.014 | 4.543 | 5,068 | 5.161 | 5,283 |
| 45 1- to 4-family | 2,407 | 3.059 | 3,873 | 3.037 | 3.459 | 3.873 | 3.953 | 4.041 |
| 46 Multifamily. | 657 | 976 | 1,195 | 977 | 1,084 | 1.195 | 1.208 | 1,242 |
| 47 Mortgage pools or trusts ${ }^{2}$ | 88,633 | 119,278 | 142.258 | 129.647 | 136,583 | 142.258 | 147.246 | 152,303 |
| 48 Government National Mortgage Association. | 54,347 | 76.401 | 93,874 | 84,282 | 89,452 | 93.874 | 97.184 | 100.558 |
| 49 1- to 4-family.. | 52,732 | 74,546 | 91.602 | 82,208 | 87,276 | 91,602 | 94,810 | 98.102 |
| 50 Multifamily. | 1.615 | 1.855 | 2,272 | 2.074 | 2.176 | 2,272 | 2,374 | 2.456 |
| 51 Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation | 11,892 | 15.180 | 16,854 | 16.120 | 16,659 | 16,854 | 17.067 | 17,650 |
| 52 1- to 4-family ............. | 9,657 | 12,149 | 13.471 | 12,886 | 13,318 | 13,471 | 13,641 | 14,100 |
| 53 Multifamily.......................... | 2,235 | 3,031 | 3.383 | 3,234 | 3,341 | 3,383 | 3,426 | 3,550 |
| 54 Farmers Home Administration. | 22,394 | 27.697 | 31.530 | 29,245 | 30.472 | 31.530 | 32.995 | 34.095 |
| 55 1- to 4-family | 13.400 | 14,884 | 16,683 | 15,224 | 16.226 | 16,683 | 16.640 | 16,965 |
| 56 Multifamily. | 1,116 | 2,163 | 2,612 | 2.159 | 2.235 | 2.612 | 2,853 | 3,078 |
| 57 Commercial | 3,560 | 4,328 | 5.271 | 4.763 | 5,059 | 5,271 | 5.382 | 5.632 |
| 58 Farm | 4,318 | 6,322 | 6.964 | 7.099 | 6,952 | 6,964 | 8,120 | 8.420 |
| 59 Individual and others ${ }^{3}$ | 150.863 | 171,821 | 196,896 | 183.992 | 190.491 | $196.911^{r}$ | 201.742 | 207,788 |
| 60 1- to 4-family | 83,708 | 99,414 | 113,838 | 104,838 | 109.780 | 113,834 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 116,889 | 120.763 |
| 61 Multifamily | 21.351 | 23,251 | 26,058 | 24.596 | 25.407 | 26,081 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 26.481 | 26,955 |
| 62 Commercial | 22,883 | 24,128 | 26,819 | 26.505 | 26,299 | 26.815 | 27,147 31 | 27,542 |
| 63 Farm | 22.921 | 25,028 | 30.181 | 28.053 | 29.005 | 30,181 | 31,225 | 32,528 |

1. Includes loans held by nondeposit trust companies but not bank trust departments.
2. Outstanding principal balances of mortgages backing securities insured or guaranteed by the agency indicated.
3. Other holders include mortgage companies, real estate investment trusts, state and local credit agencies, state and local retirement funds, noninsured pension funds, credit unions, and U.S. agencies for which amounts are small or separate data are not readily available.
1.56 CONSUMER INSTALLMENT CREDIT ${ }^{1}$ Total Outstanding, and Net Change $A$

Millions of dollars

| Holder, and type of credit | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July |
|  | Amounts outstanding (end of period) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 Finance companies | - 54,298 | 154,318 | $\begin{array}{r}149,765 \\ \hline 76,756\end{array}$ | 143, 77,131 | 142,030 78,090 | 141,897 79,490 | 142,070 81,033 | 142,143 81,794 | 143,310 82,723 | 144,020 83,924 |
| 4 Credit unions | 44,334 | 46,517 | 44,041 | 43,601 | 43,776 | 44,212 | 44.390 | 45,055 | 45,686 | 46,096 |
| 5 Retailers ${ }^{2}$.. | 25,987 | 28,119 | 29,410 | 28.300 | 27,329 | 26,965 | 27.227 | 27,319 | 27,412 | 27,469 |
| 6 Savings and loans | 7,097 | 8.424 | 9,911 | 10,023 | 10,173 | 10.458 | 10,792 | 11,148 | 11,115 | 10,959 |
| 7 Gasoline companies | 3,220 | 3.729 | 4,717 | 4,929 | 4,958 | 4,898 | 5,046 | 5,157 | 5,364 | 5,597 |
| 8 Mutual savings banks | 2,693 | 2,740 | 2,835 | 2,821 | 2,832 | 2,846 | 2,861 | 2,849 | 2.849 | 2,821 |
| - By major type of credit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 Automobile ......... | 101,647 | 116,362 | 116.327 | 115,262 | 115,677 | 117,517 | 118,479 | 118,932 | 119,685 | 121,002 |
| 10 Commercial banks | 60,510 | 67,367 | 61,025 | 59.608 | 59,061 | 59.378 | 59,252 | 59.169 | 59,192 | 59,434 |
| 11 Indirect paper | 33,850 | 38,338 | 34,857 | 33,947 | 33,667 | 34,016 | 33,931 | 33.913 | 33,996 | 34,270 |
| 12 Direct loans. | 26,660 | 29,029 | 26,168 | 25,661 | 25,394 | 25,362 | 25,321 | 25,256 | 25.196 | 25,164 |
| 13 Credit unions. | 21,200 | 22,244 | 21,060 | 20,850 | 20.933 | 21.142 | 21,227 | 21,545 | 21,847 | 22,044 |
| 14 Finance companies | 19,937 | 26,751 | 34,242 | 34,804 | 35.683 | 36.997 | 38.000 | 38,218 | 38,646 | 39,525 |
| 15 Revolving. | 48,309 | 56,937 | 59,862 | 58.985 | 57,566 | 56,831 | 57,322 | 57,524 | 58,470 | 58,976 |
| 16 Commercial banks | 24,341 | 29,862 | 30,001 | 29,952 | 29,412 | 29,051 | 29.127 | 29,096 | 29,722 | 29,923 |
| 17 Retailers.. | 20,748 | 23,346 | 25,144 | 24,104 | 23.196 | 22,882 | 23,149 | 23,271 | 23,384 | 23,456 |
| 18 Gasoline companies | 3,220 | 3,729 | 4,717 | 4.929 | 4,958 | 4,898 | 5.046 | 5.157 | 5,364 | 5,597 |
| 19 Mobile home | 15,235 | 16,838 | 17,327 | 17,244 | 17,189 | 17,273 | 17,422 | 17,626 | 17,724 | 17,784 |
| 20 Commercial banks | 9,545 | 10,647 | 10,376 | 10,271 | 10,174 | 10,153 | 10,142 | 10,159 | 10,179 | 10,192 |
| 21 Finance companies | 3,152 | 3,390 | 3,745 | 3,741 | 3,740 | 3,762 | 3,828 | 3,909 | 3,990 | 4,076 |
| 22 Savings and toans | 2,667 | 2,307 | 2,737 | 2,768 | 2,809 | 2,888 | 2.980 | 3,079 | 3,069 | 3,026 |
| 23 Credit unions. | 471 | 494 | 469 | 464 | 466 | 470 | 472 | 479 | 486 | 490 |
| 24 Other. | 108,454 | 121,887 | 119,919 | 119.063 | 118,756 | 119,145 | 120,196 | 121,383 | 122.580 | 123,124 |
| 25 Commercial banks | 41,620 | 46,301 | 44,363 | 43,918 | 43,383 | 43,315 | 43.549 | 43,719 | 44,217 | 44,471 |
| 26 Finance companies | 31,209 | 38,177 | 38,769 | 38,586 | 38,667 | 38,731 | 39,205 | 39,667 | 40.087 | 40,323 |
| 27 Credit unions. | 22,663 | 23,779 | 22,512 | 22,287 | 22,377 | 22,600 | 22.691 | 23,031 | 23,353 | 23,563 |
| 28 Retailers. | 5,239 | 4,773 | 4,266 | 4,196 | 4,133 | 4.083 | 4.078 | 4,048 | 4,028 | 4,013 |
| 29 Savings and loans | 5,030 | 6,117 | 7,174 | 7,255 | 7,364 | 7,570 | 7.812 | 8,069 | 8,046 | 7,933 |
| 30 Mutual savings banks | 2.693 | 2,740 | 2,835 | 2,821 | 2,832 | 2,846 | 2,861 | 2,849 | 2,849 | 2,821 |
|  | Net change (during period) ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 Total | 43,079 | 38,381 | 1,410 | 869 | 1,996 | 3,108 | 2,331 | 1,346 | 1,930 | 1,954 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 Commercial banks | 23,641 | 18,161 | -8,412 | -1,357 | -544 | 612 | -345 | -14 | 614 | 432 |
| 33 Finance companics | 9,430 | 14,020 | 8,438 | 1,113 | 1.530 | 1.539 | 1.253 | 409 | 570 | 948 |
| 34 Credit unions. | 6,729 | 2,185 | -2,475 | 288 | 444 | 287 | 272 | 391 | 219 | 532 |
| 35 Retailers ${ }^{2}$ | 2,497 | 2,132 | 1.291 | 409 | 103 | 253 | 531 | -3 | 416 | 265 |
| 36 Savings and loans | 7 | 1,327 | 1,485 | 232 | 254 | 418 | 421 | 519 | 45 | -175 |
| 37 Gasoline companies | 257 | 509 | 988 | 106 | 209 | -6 | 141 | 67 | 78 | 4 |
| 38 Mutual savings banks. | 518 | 47 | 95 | 78 | 0 | 5 | 58 | -23 | -12 | -52 |
| By major type of credit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 39 Automobile ........ | 18,736 | 14,715 | -35 | -63 | 979 | 1,682 | 428 | -195 | 57 | 1.208 |
| 40 Commercial banks | 10,933 | 6,857 | -6.342 | -1.253 | -346 | 229 | -461 | -208 | -214 | 199 |
| 41 Indirect paper | 6,471 | 4,488 | -3,481 | -839 | -229 | 268 | -256 | -83 | -44 | 274 |
| 42 Direct loans | 4,462 | 2,369 | -2,861 | -414 | - 117 | -39 | -205 | -125 | -170 | -75 |
| 43 Credit unions. | 3,101 | 1,044 | -1.184 | 206 | 211 | 132 | 142 | 160 | 106 | 263 |
| 44 Finance companies | 4,702 | 6.814 | 7,491 | 984 | 1,114 | 1,321 | 747 | -147 | 165 | 746 |
| 45 Revolving.......... | 9,035 | 8.628 | 2,925 | 557 | 441 | 587 | 838 | 350 | 1,018 | 477 |
| 47 Retailers. | 2,811 | 2,598 | 1,798 | 392 | 66 | 247 | 153 | 330 53 | 580 360 | 156 |
| 48 Gasoline companies | 257 | 509 | 988 | 106 | 209 | -6 | 141 | 67 | 78 | 4 |
| 49 Mobile home | 286 | 1,603 | 488 | -24 | -47 | 88 | 145 | 243 | 89 | 67 |
| 50 Commercial banks | 419 | 1,102 | -271 | -85 | -102 | -35 | -15 | 7 | -12 | 20 |
| 51 Finance companies | 74 | 238 | 355 | 15 | 18 | 25 | 58 | 78 | 85 | 81 |
| 52 Savings and loans | -276 | 240 | 430 | 46 | 31 | 97 | 99 | 152 | 14 | -44 |
| 53 Credit unions. | 69 | 23 | -25 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 10 |
| 54 Other. | 15,022 | 13,435 | -1,968 | 399 | 623 | 751 | 920 | 948 | 766 | 202 |
| 55 Commercial banks | 6,322 | 4,681 | -1,938 | -78 | -262 | 72 | -22 | -43 | 260 | 57 |
| 56 Finance companies | 4,654 | 6.968 | 592 | 114 | 398 | 193 | 448 | 478 | 320 | 121 |
| 57 Credit unions | 3,559 | 1,118 | -1,266 | 82 | 227 | 154 | 127 | 225 | 111 | 259 |
| 58 Retailers | -314 | -466 | -507 | 17 | 37 | 6 | $-13$ | -56 | 56 | -52 |
| 59 Savings and loans | 283 | 1,087 | 1,056 | 186 | 223 | 321 | 322 | 367 | 31 | -131 |
| 60 Mutual savings banks | 518 | 47 | 95 | 78 | 0 | 5 | 58 | -23 | -12 | -52 |

1. The Board's series cover most short- and intermediate-term credit extended oo individuats through regular business channels, usually to tinance the purchase of consumer goods and services or to refinance debts incurred for such purposes. and scheduled to be repaid (or with the option of repayment) in two or more installments.
2. Includes auto dealers and excludes 30 -day charge credit held by travel and entertainment companies.
3. Net change equals extensions minus liquidations (repayments. charge-offs and other credit); figures for all months are seasonally adjusted.

ATotal consumer noninstallment credit outstanding-credit scheduled to be repaid in a lump sum, including single-payment loans, charge accounts, and service credit-amounted to $\$ 64.3$ billion at the end of $1978, \$ 71.3$ billion at the end of 1979 , and $\$ 72.2$ billion at the end of 1980.
1.57 CONSUMER INSTALLMENT CREDIT Extensions and Liquidations

Millions of dollars; monthly data are seasonally adjusted.

| Holder, and type of credit |  | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Jan. |  |  | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July |
| 1 Total |  |  | Extensions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 297,668 | 324,777 | 305,887 | 27,059 | 28,706 | 29,822 | 28,878 | 28,149 | 29,005 | 28,750 |
| By major holder |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Finance companies | 50,505 | 61,518 | 60,801 | 5,904 | 6,193 | 5.911 | 5,218 | 4,937 | 5,251 | 5,439 |
|  | Credit unions..... | 38,111 | 34,926 | 29.594 | 2.994 | 3,167 | 3.153 | 3.181 | 3.212 | 3.137 | 3,299 |
|  | Retailers ${ }^{1}$. | 44,571 | 47.676 | 50,959 | 4,673 | 4,500 | 4,685 | 5.002 | 4.486 | 5,018 | 4.826 |
|  | Savings and loans | 3,724 | 5,901 | 6,621 | 715 | 751 | 1,038 | 985 | 1,068 | 649 | 383 |
|  | Gasoline companies | 16,017 | 18,005 | 22,402 | 2,130 | 2,284 | 2,180 | 2,272 | 2,243 | 2,296 | 2,252 |
|  | Mutual savings banks | 2,307 | 2,018 | 1,905 | 246 | 163 | 179 | 234 | 148 | 171 | 118 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | Commercial banks | 52,969 | 53,554 | 40,657 | 2,598 | 3,560 | 4.117 | 3.438 | 3,627 | 3,652 | 3,874 |
| 11 | Indirect paper | 29,342 | 29,623 | 22,269 | 1,230 | 1,944 | 2,365 | 1,929 | 2,071 | 2,126 | 2,349 |
| 12 | Direct loans.. | 23,627 | 23,931 | 18,388 | 1,368 | 1,616 | 1,752 | 1.509 | 1,556 | 1,526 | 1,525 |
| 13 | Credit unions. | 18,539 | 17,397 | 15,294 | 1,592 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1,613 | 1.586 | 1.589 | 1,608 | 1,553 | 1,663 |
| 14 | Finance companies | 16,473 | 22,950 | 27.051 | 3,047 | 3,160 | 2,997 | 2.178 | 2.085 | 2,237 | 2,641 |
| 15 Revolving |  | 105,125 | 120,174 | 129,580 | 11,483 | 11,867 | 12,071 | 12,352 | 11,904 | 12,668 | 12,190 |
| 16 | Commercial banks | 51,333 | 61.048 | 61,847 | 5.185 | 5,602 | 5.695 | 5.561 | 5,613 | 5.905 | 5,557 |
| 17 | Retailers. | 37.775 | 41.121 | 45,331 | 4,168 | 3.981 | 4.196 | 4.519 | 4,048 | 4.467 | 4,381 |
| 18 | Gasoline companies | 16,017 | 18,005 | 22.402 | 2.130 | 2,284 | 2,180 | 2.272 | 2.243 | 2,296 | 2,252 |
| 9 Mobile home |  | 5,412 | 6.471 | 5,098 | 383 | 409 | 641 | 551 | 609 | 488 | 451 |
| 20 | Commercial banks | 3,697 | 4.542 | 2.942 | 171 | 185 | 259 | 251 | 250 | 259 | 282 |
| 21 | Finance companies | 886 | 797 | 898 | 81 | 88 | 88 | 100 | 112 | 122 | 116 |
| 22 | Savings and loans | 609 | 948 | 1,146 | 119 | 118 | 269 | 184 | 230 | 93 | 30 |
| 23 | Credit unions.... | 220 | 184 | 113 | 12 | 18 | 25 | 16 | 17 | 14 | 23 |
| 24 Other. |  | 99,150 | 104,231 | 88,207 28.159 | 7.956 | 8,097 | $\begin{array}{r}8,410 \\ \hline 2,605\end{array}$ | 8,770 28 | 8,316 | $\begin{array}{r}8,407 \\ \hline 8\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}7,931 \\ \mathbf{7} \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| 25 | Commercial banks | 34,434 | 35.589 | 28.159 | 2.473 | 2,301 | 2,605 | 2.736 | 2,565 | 2,667 | 2,720 |
| 26 Finance companies Credit unions |  | 33,146 | 37.771 | 32.852 | 2.776 | 2.945 | 2,826 | 2.940 | 2,740 | 2,892 1,570 | 2,682 |
|  |  | 19,352 | 17,345 | 14.187 | 1,390 | 1.536 | 1,542 | 1.576 | 1,587 | 1,570 | 1.613 |
| 28 | Retailers........ | 6,796 | 6,555 | 5,628 | 505 | 519 | 489 | 483 | 438 | 551 | 445 |
| 2930 | Savings and loans | 3,115 | 4,953 | 5.476 | 596 | 633 | 769 | 801 | 838 | 556 | 353 |
|  | Mutual savings banks | 2,307 | 2,018 | 1,905 | 246 | 163 | 179 | 234 | 148 | 171 | 118 |
|  |  | Liquidations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 Total |  | 254,589 | 286,396 | 304,477 | 26,190 | 26,710 | 26,714 | 26,547 | 26,803 | 27,075 | 26,796 |
| 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 | Finance companies | 41,075 | 47.498 | 52,363 | 4.791 | 4,663 | 4,372 | 3,965 | 4.528 | 4.681 | 4,491 |
| 34 | Credit unions.... | 31,382 | 32,741 | 32,069 | 2,706 | 2,723 | 2,866 | 2,909 | 2,821 | 2,918 | 2767 |
| 35 | Retailers ${ }^{1}$ | 42,074 | 45.544 | 49,668 | 4,264 | 4,397 | 4,432 | 4,471 | 4,489 | 4,602 | 4561 |
| 36 | Savings and loans | 3,717 | 4.574 | 5,136 | 483 | 497 | 620 | 564 | 549 | 604 | 558 |
| 37 | Gasoline companies | 15,760 | 17,496 | 21.414 | 2.024 | 2,075 | 2,186 | 2,131 | 2,176 | 2,218 | 2,248 |
| 38 | Mutual savings banks | 1,789 | 1,971 | 1,810 | 168 | 163 | 174 | 176 | 171 | 183 | 170 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40 |  | 42,036 | 46,697 | 46,999 | 3,851 | 3,906 | 3,888 | 3.899 | 3,835 | 3,866 | 3.675 |
| 41 | Indirect paper | 22,871 | 25,135 | 25,750 | 2,069 | 2,173 | 2,097 | 2,185 | 2,154 | 2,170 | 2,075 |
| 42 | Direct loans | 19,165 | 21,562 | 21.249 | 1.782 | 1,733 | 1,791 | 1,714 | 1,681 | 1,696 | 1,600 |
| 4 |  | 15,438 | 16,353 | 16,478 | 1,386 | 1,402 | 1.454 | 1,447 | 1,448 | 1,447 | 1.400 |
|  | 4 Finance companies | 11.771 | 16.136 | 19.560 | 2.063 | 2,046 | 1.676 | 1,431 | 2.232 | 2.072 | 1,895 |
| 45 Revolving |  | 96,090 | 111,546 | 126,655 | 10.926 | 11,426 | 11,484 | 11.514 | 11.554 | 11,650 | 11.713 |
| 46 | Commercial banksRetailers......... | 45,366 | 55,527 | 61,708 | 5,126 | 5,436 | 5,349 | 5.408 | 5,383 | 5,325 | 5,401 |
|  |  | 34,964 | 38,523 | 43,533 | 3,776 | 3,915 | 3,949 | 3.975 | 3,995 | 4,107 | 4,064 |
| 47 48 | Gasoline companies | 15,760 | 17,496 | 21,414 | 2,024 | 2,075 | 2,186 | 2,131 | 2,176 | 2,218 | 2,248 |
| 49 Mobile home |  | 5,126 | 4,868 | 4,610 | 407 | 456 | 553 | 406 | 366 | 399 | 384 |
| 505152 | Commercial banks | 3,278 | 3,440 | 3,213 | 256 | 287 | 294 | 266 | 243 | 271 | 262 |
|  | Finance companiesSavings and loans | 812 | 559 | 543 | 66 | 70 | 63 | 42 | 34 | 37 | 35 |
|  |  | 885 | 708 | 716 138 | 73 | 87 | 172 | 85 | 78 | 79 | 74 |
| 52 53 | Credit unions.. | 151 | 161 | 138 | 12 | 12 | 24 | 13 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| 54 Other. |  | 84.128 | 90,796 | 90,175 | 7.557 | 7.474 | 7,659 | 7.850 | 7.368 | 7,641 | 7,729 |
|  | Commercial banks | 28.112 | 30.908 | 30,097 | 2.521 | 2.563 | 2.533 | 2,758 | 2.608 | 2,407 | 2.663 |
|  | Finance companies | 28.492 | 30,803 | 32.260 | 2,662 | 2,547 | 2,633 | 2.492 | 2,262 | 2,572 | 2.651 |
| 55 56 57 | Credit unions | 15.793 | 16,227 | 15,453 | 1,308 | 1,309 | 1,388 | 1,449 | 1,362 | 1,459 | 1,354 |
| 5859 | Retailers. Savings and loans Mutual savings ban | 7.110 | 7.021 | 6.135 | 488 | 482 | 483 | 496 | 494 | 495 | 497 |
|  |  | 2.832 | 3,866 | 4.420 | 410 | 410 | 448 | 479 | 471 | 525 | 484 |
| 60 |  | 1.789 | 1,971 | 1,810 | 168 | 163 | 174 | 176 | 171 | 183 | 170 |

1. Includes auto dealers and excludes 30 -day charge credit held by travel and entertainment companies.

### 1.58 FUNDS RAISED IN U.S. CREDIT MARKETS

Billions of dollars; half-yearly data are at seasonally adjusted annual rates.


### 1.59 DIRECT AND INDIRECT SOURCES OF FUNDS TO CREDIT MARKETS

Billions of dollars, except as noted; half-yearly data are at seasonally adjusted annual rates

| Transaction category, or sector | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1978 |  | 1979 |  | 1980 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | H1 | H2 | H1 | H2 | H1 | H2 |
| 1 Total funds advanced in credit markets to nomfinancial sectors | 200.7 | 261.0 | 335.3 | 398.3 | 390.6 | 349.8 | 387.4 | 409.2 | 377.7 | 402.3 | 318.0 | 389.7 |
| 2 By public agencies and foreign |  |  | 85.1 |  |  |  |  | 116.6 |  |  | 101.5 | 90.4 |
| 2 Total net advances . . . . . . . 3 . | 44.6 22.5 | 54.3 26.8 | 85.1 40.2 | 109.7 43.9 | 80.1 2.0 | 95.8 22.3 | 102.8 43.7 | 116.6 44.0 | 47.6 -22.1 | 12.5 26.2 | 101.5 24.7 | 90.4 21.3 |
| 4 Residential mortgages .... | 16.2 | 12.8 | 20.4 | 26.5 | 36.1 | 32.0 | 22.2 | 30.7 | 32.6 | 39.6 | 33.4 | 30.7 |
| 5 FHLB advances to savings and loans | -4.0 | -2.0 | 4.3 | 12.5 | 9.2 | 7.1 | 13.2 | 11.8 | 7.8 | 10.5 | 4.1 | 10.2 |
| 6 Other loans and securities . . . . . . . . . | 9.8 | 16.6 | 20.2 | 26.9 | 32.8 | 34.5 | 23.7 | 30.1 | 29.2 | 36.3 | 39.3 | 28.3 |
| Total advanced, by sector |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 U.S. government. . . . . . | 15.1 | 8.9 | 11.8 | 20.4 | 22.5 | 26.0 | 19.4 | 21.4 | 23.8 | 21.3 | 29.5 | 21.6 |
| 8 Sponsored credit agencies | 14.8 | 20.3 | 26.8 | 44.6 | 57.5 | 48.6 | 39.4 | 49.8 | 49.9 | 65.2 | 43.6 | 52.9 |
| 9 Monetary authorities ... | 8.5 | 9.8 | 7.1 | 7.0 | 7.7 | 4.5 | 13.4 | . 5 | . 9 | 14.5 | 14.6 | $-5.6$ |
| 10 Foreign . . . . . . . . . | 6.1 | 15.2 | 39.4 | 37.7 | -7.7 | 16.7 | 30.6 | 44.9 | $-27.0$ | 11.7 | 13.8 | 21.5 |
| 11 Agency borrowing not included in line 1 | 13.5 | 18.6 | 26.3 | 41.4 | 52.4 | 47.5 | 38.5 | 44.3 | 45.8 | 59.0 | 45.8 | 48.9 |
| Private domestic funds advanced <br> 12 Total net advances | 169.7 | 225.4 | 276.5 | 330.0 | 362.9 | 301.5 | 323.2 | 336.9 | 375.9 | 348.8 | 262.4 | 348.2 |
| 13 U.S. government securities | 75.7 | 61.3 | 44.1 | 51.3 | 87.9 | 104.6 | 56.3 | 46.4 | 96.6 | 79.1 | 85.9 | 121.5 |
| 14 State and local obligations. | 16.1 | 15.7 | 23.7 | 28.3 | 18.9 | 22.2 | 27.8 | 28.7 | 16.0 | 21.8 | 18.5 | 31.6 |
| 15 Corporate and foreign bonds | 32.8 | 30.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 25.6 | 25.5 | 24.1 | 20.9 | 26.9 | 24.3 | 32.6 | 19.5 |
| 16 Residential mortgages | 23.2 | 52.6 | 83.3 | 88.2 | 81.8 | 58.1 | 87.1 | 89.5 | 85.1 | 78.5 | 45.2 | 71.0 |
| 17 Other mortgages and loans | 17.9 | 63.3 | 107.3 | 152.2 | 157.9 | 98.2 | 141.1 | 163.3 | 159.1 | 155.6 | 84.2 | 114.7 |
| 18 Less: Federal Home Loan Bank advances. | $-4.0$ | -2.0 | 4.3 | 12.5 | 9.2 | 7.1 | 13.2 | 11.8 | 7.8 | 10.5 | 4.1 | 10.2 |
| Private financial intermediation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| institutions | 122.5 | 190.1 | 257.0 | 296.9 | 292.5 | 265.6 | 301.7 | 292.0 | 307.5 | 277.4 | 230.7 | 293.0 |
| 20 Commercial banking. | 29.4 | 59.6 | 87.6 | 128.7 | 121.1 | 103.5 | 132.5 | 125.0 | 124.6 | 117.6 | 57.0 | 142.4 |
| 21 Savings institutions. | 53.5 | 70.8 | 82.0 | 75.9 | 56.3 | 57.6 | 75.8 | 75.9 | 57.7 | 54.9 | 32.1 | 81.1 |
| 22 Insurance and pension funds | 40.6 | 49.9 | 67.9 | 73.5 | 70.4 | 76.4 | 76.9 | 70.2 | 75.4 | 65.5 | 86.4 | 68.0 |
| 23 Other finance. . | -1.0 | 9.8 | 19.6 | 18.7 | 44.7 | 28.1 | 16.6 | 20.9 | 49.8 | 39.6 | 55.2 | 1.5 |
| 24 Sources of funds | 122.5 | 190.1 | 257.0 | 296.9 | 292.5 | 265.6 | 301.7 | 292.0 | 307.5 | 277.4 | 230.7 | 293.0 |
| 25 Private domestic deposits | 92.0 | 124.6 | 141.2 | 142.5 | 136.7 | 163.9 | 138.3 | 146.7 | 121.7 | 151.6 | 148.3 | 183.0 |
| 26 Credit market borrowing . | -1.4 | 4.4 | 26.9 | 38.3 | 33.8 | 19.8 | 40.0 | 36.7 | 38.4 | 29.2 | 5.3 | 31.0 |
| 27 Other sources. | 32.0 | 61.0 | 89.0 | 116.0 | 122.0 | 81.9 | 123.5 | 108.6 | 147.3 | 96.6 | 77.2 | 79.0 |
| 28 Foreign funds. | $-8.7$ | -4.6 | 1.2 | 6.3 | 26.3 | -20.0 | 5.7 | 6.9 | 49.4 | 3.2 | $-18.1$ | $-28.1$ |
| 29 Treasury balances. | $-1.7$ | $-.1$ | 4.3 | 6.8 | 4.4 | $-2.0$ | 1.9 | 11.6 | 5.1 | -4.3 | $-2.5$ | $-2.6$ |
| 30 Insurance and pension reserves | 29.7 | 34.5 | 49.4 | 62.7 | 49.0 | 58.5 | 66.2 | 59.2 | 53.9 | 44.0 | 62.4 | 55.6 |
| 31 Other, net. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 12.7 | 31.2 | 34.1 | 40.3 | 46.3 | 45.4 | 49.6 | 31.0 | 38.9 | 53.7 | 35.4 | 54.1 |
| Private domestic nonfinancial investors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 Direct lending in credit markets. | 45.8 | 39.7 | 46.3 | 71.5 | 104.2 | 35.7 | 61.4 | 81.6 | 106.8 | 100.5 | 36.9 | 86.1 |
| 33 U.S. government securities | 24.1 | 16.1 | 23.0 | 33.2 | 57.8 | 30.7 | 32.1 | 34.4 | 64.1 | 51.5 | 15.5 | 48.8 |
| 34 State and local obligations. | 8.4 | 3.8 | 2.6 | 4.5 | -2.5 | -1.8 | 7.0 | 2.0 | -2.3 | $-2.7$ | -1.6 | 7.9 |
| 35 Corporate and foreign bonds | 8.4 | 5.8 | -3.3 | -1.4 | 11.1 | 5.4 | $-3.7$ | 1.0 | 7.8 | 14.2 | 5.2 | 5.3 |
| 36 Commercial paper. .. | $-1.3$ | 1.9 | 9.5 | 16.3 | 10.7 | $-2.4$ | 8.2 | 24.4 | 12.5 | 9.0 | $-5.7$ | -2.9 |
| 37 Other. | 6.2 | 12.0 | 14.5 | 18.8 | 27.1 | 23.9 | 17.8 | 19.8 | 24.7 | 28.5 | 23.6 | 27.0 |
| 38 Deposits and currency | 98.1 | 131.9 | 149.5 | 151.8 | 144.7 | 173.5 | 148.7 | 154.8 | 131.1 | 158.1 | 157.3 | 194.6 |
| 39 Security RPs. | . 2 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 7.5 | 6.6 | 4.7 | 9.8 | 5.1 | 18.5 | -5.3 | 5.3 | 7.4 |
| 40 Money miarket fund shares | 1.3 | * * | . 2 | 6.9 | 34.4 | 29.2 | 6.1 | 7.7 | 30.2 | 38.6 | 61.9 | -3.4 |
| 41 Time and savings accounts | 84.0 | 113.5 | 121.0 | 115.2 | 84.7 | 131.8 | 110.7 | 119.8 | 71.4 | 97.9 | 92.3 | 178.9 |
| 42 Large at commercial banks | $-15.8$ | $-13.2$ | 23.0 | 45.9 | .$^{.4}$ | 12.7 | 33.9 | 57.9 | $-25.3$ | 26.0 | - 12.0 | 72.6 |
| 43 Other at commercial banks | 40.3 | 57.6 | 29.0 | 8.2 | 39.3 | 62.9 | 18.4 | $-1.9$ | 41.3 | 37.3 | 60.8 | 37.7 |
| 44 At savings institutions | 59.4 | 69.1 | 69.0 | 61.1 | 45.1 | 56.2 | 58.5 | 63.8 | 55.4 | 34.7 | 43.5 | 68.7 |
| 45 Money............ | 12.6 | 16.1 | 26.1 | 22.2 | 18.9 | 7.8 | 22.1 | 22.3 | 10.9 | 26.8 | $-2.2$ | 11.8 |
| 46 Demand deposits. | 6.4 | 8.8 | 17.8 | 12.9 | 11.0 | -1.8 | 11.6 | 14.2 | 1.6 | 20.3 | -11.3 | 1.2 |
| 47 Currency.... | 6.2 | 7.3 | 8.3 | 9.3 | 7.9 | 9.6 | 10.5 | 8.1 | 9.3 | 6.5 | 9.0 | 11.6 |
| 48 Total of credit market instruments, deposits and currency | 143.9 | 171.6 | 195.8 | 223.3 | 248.9 | 229.1 | 210.1 | 236.4 | 237.9 | 258.7 | 194.2 | 280.8 |
| 49 Public support rate (in percent) | 22.2 | 20.8 | 25.4 | 27.5 | 20.5 | 27.4 | 26.5 | 28.5 | 12.6 | 28.0 | 31.9 | 23.2 |
| 50 Private financial intermediation (in percent) | 72.2 | 84.3 | 93.0 | 90.0 | 80.6 | 88.1 | 93.4 | 86.7 | 81.8 | 79.5 | 87.9 | 84.2 |
| 51 Total foreign funds . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | -2.6 | 10.6 | 40.5 | 44.0 | 18.6 | $-3.3$ | 36.3 | 51.8 | 22.4 | 14.9 | -4.3 | -6.6 |
| Memo: Corporate equities not included above |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 53 Mutual fund shares | -. 1 | -1.0 | -. 9 | $-1.0$ | - 1.0 | $-2.0$ | -. 5 | -1.5 | $-1.0$ | $-.9$ | . 9 | 2.6 |
| 54 Other equities | 10.8 | 12.9 | 4.9 | 4.7 | 7.6 | 15.0 | . 1 | 9.4 | 5.8 | 9.3 | 9.5 | 17.0 |
| 55 Acquisitions by financial institutions. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 9.6 | 12.3 | 7.4 | 7.6 | 15.7 | 18.7 | . 4 | 14.7 | 12.5 | 18.9 | 10.5 | 25.1 |
| 56 Other net purchases . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 1.1 | -. 4 | -3.4 | -3.8 | -9.1 | -1.7 | -. 8 | -6.8 | -7.7 | $-10.5$ | -. 1 | -5.5 |

NOTES BY LINE NUMBER.

1. Line 2 of table 1.58 .
2. Sum of tines 3-6 or $7-10$
3. Includes farm and commercial mortgages.
4. Credit market funds raised by federally sponsored credit agencies, and net issues of federally related mortgage pool securities. Included below in lines 3 13 , and 33 .
5. Line 1 less line 2 plus line 11. Atso line 19 less line 26 plus line 32. Also sum of lines $27,32,39,40,41$, and 46 .
6. Includes farm and commercial mortgages.
7. Sum of lines $39,40,41$, and 46.
8. Excludes equity issues and investment company shares. Includes line 18
9. Foreign deposits at commercial banks, bank borrowings from foreign branches, and liabilities of foreign banking agencies to foreign affiliates.
. Demand deposits at commercial banks
10. Excludes net investment of these reserves in corporate equities.
11. Mainly retained earnings and net miscellaneous liabilities
12. Line 12 less line 19 plus line 26

33-37. Lines 13-17 less amounts acquired by private finance. Line 37 includes mortgages.
47. Mainly an offset to line 9
48. Lines 32 plus 38 , or line 12 less line 27 plus 45 .
49. Line 2 line 1.
50. Line 19 /line 12
51. Sum of lines 10 and 28.

52,54 . Includes issues by financial institutions
Note. Full statements for sectors and transaction types quarterly, and annually for flows and for amounts outstanding. may be obtained from Flow of Funds Section, Division of Research and Statistics, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551
2.10 NONFINANCIAL BUSINESS ACTIVITY Selected Measures
$1967=100$; monthly and quarterly data are seasonally adjusted. Exceptions noted.

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{2}{*}{Measure} \& \multirow{2}{*}{1978} \& \multirow{2}{*}{1979} \& \multirow{2}{*}{1980} \& 1980 \& \multicolumn{8}{|c|}{1981} \\
\hline \& \& \& \& Dec. \& Jan. \& Feb. \& Mar. \& Apr. \& May \({ }^{\text {r }}\) \& June \({ }^{r}\) \& July \& Aug. \\
\hline 1 Industrial production \({ }^{1}\) \& 146.1 \& 152.5 \& \(147.0{ }^{\text {r }}\) \& 150.4 \& \(151.4{ }^{\prime}\) \& \(151.8{ }^{\text {r }}\) \& \(152.1{ }^{\text {r }}\) \& \(151.9^{\text {r }}\) \& 152.7 \& \(152.9{ }^{\text {r }}\) \& 153.4 \& 152.8 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Marker groupings \\
2 Products, total.
\end{tabular} \& 144.8 \& 150.0 \& \(146.7^{7}\) \& \(149.4{ }^{r}\) \& \(149.9{ }^{\prime}\) \& 150.2' \& \(150.7{ }^{7}\) \& \(151.3^{r}\) \& 152.3 \& 152.2 \& 152.4 \& 151.9 \\
\hline 3 Final, total.. \& 135.9 \& 147.2 \& 145.3 r \& \(147.8^{r}\) \& \(147.8{ }^{\prime}\) \& \(148.2^{r}\) \& \(149.0{ }^{\text {r }}\) \& \(149.9{ }^{\text {r }}\) \& 151.3 \& 151.5 \& 151.6 \& 151.1 \\
\hline 4 Consumer goods \& 149.1 \& 150.8 \& \(145.4{ }^{\text {r }}\) \& 147.1 \({ }^{\text {r }}\) \& \(146.9^{\prime}\) \& \(147.6^{*}\) \& \(148.3{ }^{\text {r }}\) \& \(148.9^{\circ}\) \& 150.7 \& 150.4 \& 150.0 \& 148.5 \\
\hline 5 Equipment \& 132.8 \& 142.2 \& 145.2 ' \& \(148.8{ }^{\text {r }}\) \& 149.1 ' \& \(148.7^{r}\) \& \(150.0{ }^{r}\) \& \(151 .{ }^{\text {r }}\) \& 152.1 \& 153.0 \& 153.9 \& 154.6 \\
\hline 6 Intermediate. \& 154.1 \& 160.5 \& 151.9 \& \(155.4^{r}\) \& 157.5' \& 157.7 \({ }^{\prime}\) \& 157.1 \& \(156.3^{\prime}\) \& 156.1 \& 155.0 \& 155.3 \& 155.1 \\
\hline 7 Materials. . \& 148.3 \& 156.4 \& 147.6* \& \(152.2^{r}\) \& 153.8 \& 154.3' \& 154.4 \& \(152.9{ }^{\text {r }}\) \& 153.4 \& 154.0 \& 155.0 \& 154.3 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Industry groupings \\
8 Manufacturing
\end{tabular} \& 146.7 \& 153.6 \& \(146.7^{\prime}\) \& \(150.4{ }^{r}\) \& 151.1 \& \(151.2^{r}\) \& \(151.6^{r}\) \& \(152.0^{r}\) \& 152.8 \& 152.5 \& 153.0 \& 152.5 \\
\hline Capacity utilization (percent) \({ }^{1.2}\) \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline 9 Manufacturing.............. \& 84.4
85.6 \& 85.7
87.4 \& \(79.1^{r}\)
80.0 \& 79.8
81.4

81 \& 80.0
82.1 \& 79.8
82.3
r \& $79.8{ }^{7}$
$82.1^{r}$ \& 79.8
81.1 \& 80.0
81.2 \& 79.7
814 \& 79.8 \& 79.2
812 <br>
\hline 11 Construction contracts (1972 $=100)^{3}$. \& 174.1 \& 185.6 \& 161.8 \& 193.0 \& 185.0 \& 177.0 \& 183.0 \& 172.0 \& 160.0 \& 170.0 \& п.a. \& n.a. <br>
\hline 12 Nonagricultural employment, total ${ }^{4}$ \& 131.8 \& 136.5 \& 137.6 \& 138.2 \& 138.4 \& 138.7 \& 138.8 \& 139.0 \& 139.1 \& 139.2 \& 139.8 \& 139.9 <br>
\hline 13 Goods-producing, total \& 109.8 \& 113.5 \& 110.3 \& 110.0 \& 110.0 \& 110.0 \& 110.1 \& 110.3 \& 110.3 \& 110.8 \& 111.3 \& 111.2 <br>
\hline 14 Manufacturing, total. \& 105.4 \& 108.2 \& 104.4 \& 103.7 \& 103.7 \& 103.8 \& 103.8 \& 104.6 \& 105.0 \& 104.1 \& 105.7 \& 105.5 <br>
\hline 15 Manufacturing, production-worker \& 103.0 \& 105.3 \& 99.4 \& 98.3 \& 98.2 \& 98.2 \& 98.4 \& 99.2 \& 99.6 \& 99.6 \& 100.2 \& 109.1 <br>
\hline 16 Service-producing \& 143.8 \& 149.1 \& 152.6 \& 153.7 \& 154.0 \& 154.4 \& 154.5 \& 154.7 \& 155.0 \& 154.8 \& 155.4 \& 155.5 <br>
\hline 17 Personal income, total \& 273.3 \& 308.5 \& 342.9 \& 361.4 \& 365.2 \& 368.0 \& 371.5 \& 373.6 \& 375.9 \& 378.5 \& 384.4 \& п.a. <br>
\hline 18 Wages and salary disbursements \& 258.8 \& 289.5 \& 314.7 \& 330.5 \& 335.6 \& 337.9 \& 340.2 \& 341.8 \& 343.6 \& 344.7 \& 347.1 \& n.a. <br>
\hline 19 Manufacturing ........ \& 223.1 \& 248.6 \& 261.5 \& 275.8 \& 280.1 \& 281.3 \& 382.9 \& 286.1 \& 289.2 \& 289.6 \& 291.2 \& n.a. <br>
\hline 20 Disposable personal income ${ }^{5}$ \& 267.0 \& 299.6 \& 332.5 \& 349.2 \& 352.5 \& 355.3 \& 358.7 \& 360.6 \& 362.4 \& 364.5 \& 370.3 \& n.a. <br>
\hline 21 Retail sales ${ }^{6}$. \& 253.8 \& 281.6 \& 303.8 \& 318.8 \& 326.6 \& 331.7 \& 334.8 \& 328.1 \& 326.7 \& 333.9 \& 332.9 \& 335.1 <br>
\hline Prices ${ }^{7}$ \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline 22 Consumer \& 195.4 \& 217.4 \& 246.8 \& 258.4 \& 260.5 \& 263.2 \& 265.1 \& 226.8 \& 269.0 \& 271.3 \& 274.4 \& <br>
\hline 23 Producer finished goods. \& 194.6 \& 216.1 \& 246.9 \& 257.2 \& 260.4 \& 262.4 \& 265.3 \& 267.7 \& 268.9 \& 269.9 \& 271.3 \& 271.2 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

1. The industrial production and capacity utilization series have been revised back to January 1979.
2. Ratios of indexes of production to indexes of capacity. Based on data from Federal Reserve, McGraw-Hill Economics Department. and Department of Commerce.
3. Index of dollar value of total construction contracts, including residential, nonresidential, and heavy engineering, from McGraw-Hill Information Systems Company, F. W. Dodge Division.
4. Based on data in Employment and Earnings (U.S. Department of Labor).

Series covers employees only, excluding personnel in the Armed Forces.
5. Based on data in Survey of Current Business (U.S. Department of Commerce).
6. Based on Burcau of Census data published in Survey of Current Business. 7. Data without seasonal adjustment, as published in Monthly Labor Review. Seasonally adjusted data for changes in the price indexes may be obtained from the Bureau of Labor Statistics, U.S. Department of Labor,

Note. Basic data (not index numbers) for series mentioned in notes 4. 5, and 6. and indexes for series mentioned in notes 3 and 7 may also be found in the Survey of Current Business.
Figures for industrial production for the last two months are preliminary and estimated. respectively.

### 2.11 OUTPUT, CAPACITY, AND CAPACITY UTILIZATION

Seasonally adjusted

2.11 Continued

| Series | Previous cycle ${ }^{1}$ |  | Latest cycle ${ }^{2}$ |  | $\frac{1980}{\text { July }}$ | $\frac{1980}{\text { Dec. }}$ | 1981 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | High | Low | High | Low |  |  | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July |
|  | Capacity utilization rate (percent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 Manufacturing | 88.0 | 69.0 | 87.2 | 74.9 | 74.9 | 79.8 | 80.0 | 79.8 | 79.8 | 79.8 | 80.0 | 79.7 | 79.8 |
| 14 Primary processing | 93.8 | 68.2 | 90.1 | 71.0 | 71.0 | 80.9 | 81.5 | 81.5 | 80.8 | 80.7 | 80.6 | 79.6 | 79.8 |
| 15 Advanced processing. | 85.5 | 69.4 | 86.2 | 77.2 | 77.2 | 79.2 | 79.2 | 79.0 | 79.2 | 79.4 | 79.8 | 79.6 | 79.7 |
| 16 Materials | 92.6 | 69.4 | 88.8 | 73.8 | 73.8 | 81.4 | 82.1 | 82.3 | 82.1 | 81.1 | 81.2 | 81.4 | 81.7 |
| 17 Durable goods | 91.5 | 63.6 | 88.4 | 68.2 | 68.2 | 77.1 | 78.4 | 78.5 | 79.2 | 78.8 | 79.2 | 78.8 | 79.1 |
| 18 Metal materials | 98.3 | 68.6 | 96.0 | 59.6 | 59.6 | 80.3 | 81.9 | 83.2 | 83.9 | 79.9 | 80.3 | 78.4 | 78.2 |
| 19 Nondurable goods. | 94.5 | 67.2 | 91.6 | 77.5 | 77.5 | 87.2 | 87.3 | 86.8 | 85.4 | 85.9 | 85.6 | 84.7 | 84.1 |
| 20 Textile, paper, and chemical | 95.1 | 65.3 | 92.2 | 75.3 | 75.3 | 87.1 | 86.7 | 86.3 | 85.0 | 85.5 | 85.4 | 84.0 | 83.4 |
| 21 Textile ................. | 92.6 | 57.9 | 90.6 | 80.9 | 80.9 | 80.2 | 82.0 | 82.2 | 81.5 | 81.9 | 81.7 | 80.8 | 81.2 |
| 22 Paper................ | 99.4 | 72.4 | 97.7 | 89.3 | 89.3 | 95.0 | 94.5 | 94.5 | 95.3 | 94.9 | 93.9 | 93.0 | 92.3 |
| 23 Chemical................. | 95.5 | 64.2 | 91.3 | 70.7 | 70.7 | 86.8 | 86.0 | 85.3 | 83.4 | 84.1 | 84.3 | 82.7 | 81.8 |
| 24 Energy materials | 94.6 | 84.8 | 88.3 | 82.7 | 84.4 | 84.6 | 84.9 | 85.8 | 85.2 | 79.9 | 79.8 | 83.4 | 85.7 |

1. Monthly high 1973; monthly low 1975
2. Preliminary; monthly highs December 1978 through January 1980; monthly lows July 1980 through October 1980.

### 2.12 LABOR FORCE, EMPLOYMENT, AND UNEMPLOYMENT

Thousands of persons; monthly data are seasonally adjusted. Exceptions noted

| Category | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug. |
| Household Survey Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Noninstitutional population ${ }^{1}$ | 161,058 | 163,620 | 166.246 | 167,747 | 167,902 | 168,071 | 168,272 | 168,480 | 168,685 | 168,855 |
| 2 Labor force (including Armed Forces) ${ }^{1}$. | 102.537 | 104,996 | 106.821 | 107.802 | 108,305 | 108.851 | 109.533 | 108,307 | 108.603 | 108.762 |
| 3 Civilian labor force <br> Employment | 100,420 | 102,908 | 104.719 | 105.681 | 106,177 | 106.722 | 107,406 | 106.176 | 106.464 | 106.602 |
| 4 Nonagricuhtural industries ${ }^{2} \ldots \ldots . .$. . | 91.031 | 93,648 | 93.960 | 94.646 | 95.136 | 95,513 | 95.882 | 95,127 | 95,704 | 95,574 |
| 5 Agriculture. Unemployment | 3,342 | 3,297 | 3,310 | 3.281 | 3.276 | 3,463 | 3,353 | 3.265 | 3.258 | 3,370 |
| 6 Number....... | 6.047 | 5,963 | 7.448 | 7,754 | 7.764 | 7.746 | 8,171 | 7.784 | 7,502 | 7.657 |
| 7 Rate (percent of civilian labor force) | 6.0 | 5.8 | 7.1 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.6 | 7.3 | 7.0 | 7.2 |
| 8 Not in labor force...................... . | 58.521 | 58.623 | 59.425 | 59.946 | 59.598 | 59.219 | 58.739 | 60.173 | 60.082 | 60.093 |
| Establishment Survey Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 Nonagricultural payroll employment ${ }^{3}$ | 86,697 | 89,823 | 90,564 | 91,258 | 91,347 | 91,458 | 91,564 | 91,615 ${ }^{\prime}$ | 91,966 ${ }^{\prime}$ | 92,027 |
| 10 Manufacturing | 20.505 | 21.040 | 20.300 | 20.177 | 20.171 | 20.332 | 20.414 | $20,424^{\prime}$ | 20,547 ${ }^{\prime}$ | 20.515 |
| 11 Mining. | 851 | 958 | 1.020 | 1.091 | 1.098 | 950 | 957 | $1.110^{\prime}$ | $1.131{ }^{\prime}$ | 1.149 |
| 12 Contract construction | 4.229 | 4.463 | 4.399 | 4.389 | 4,416 | 4.418 | 4.334 | 4,284 ${ }^{\prime}$ | 4.269 ${ }^{\prime}$ | 4.265 |
| 13 Transportation and public utilities | 4.923 | 5,136 | 5.143 | 5.135 | 5,139 | 5,161 | 5,148 | 5,149 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 5,161 ${ }^{\prime}$ | 5,179 |
| 14 Trade. | 19,542 | 20.192 | 20.386 | 20.600 | 20.635 | 20.636 | 20,714 | 20,717r | 20,794 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 20,863 |
| 15 Finance | 4.724 | 4.975 | 5.168 | 5.283 | 5,293 | 5.316 | 5.326 | $5.331{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 5,346 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 5,355 |
| 16 Service. | 16.252 | 17.112 | 17.901 | 18.343 | 18.371 | 18.475 | 18,540 | 18,560 ${ }^{\prime}$ | $18.653^{r}$ | 18.688 |
| 17 Government. | 15,672 | 15.947 | 16.249 | 16,240 | 16.204 | 16,170 | 16,131 | $16.040^{\prime}$ | 16.065 | 16.013 |

1. Persons 16 years of age and over. Monthly figures. which are based on sample data, relate to the calendar week that contains the 12th day; annual data are averages of monthly figures. By definition, seasonality does not exist in population figures. Based on data from Employment and Earnings (U.S. Department of Lafigure.
2. Includes self-employed, unpaid family, and domestic service workers.
3. Data include all full- and part-time employees who worked during, or received pay for. the pay period that includes the 12 th day of the month, and exclude proprietors, self-employed persons. domestic servants, unpaid family workers. and members of the Armed Forces. Data are adiusted to the March 1979 ers. and members of the Armed forces. Data are adjusted to the March 1979 data from Employment and Earnings (U.S. Department of Labor).

### 2.13 INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTION Indexes and Gross Value

Monthly data are seasonally adjusted.


| Grouping | SIC code | 1967 <br> pro- <br> por- <br> tion | $\begin{aligned} & 1980 \\ & \text { avg. } \end{aligned}$ | 1980 |  |  |  |  | 1981 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Aug.' | Sept. ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | Oct. ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | Nov.' | Dec. ${ }^{r}$ | Jan. ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | Feb. ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | Mar. ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | Apr. ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | May ${ }^{\prime}$ | June ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | July ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | Aug. ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Index (1967 $=106$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Major Industry |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Mining and utilities. |  | 12.05 | 149.5 | 149.9 | 149.5 | 148.9 | 151.5 | 152.4 | 153.3 | 154.1 | 154.8 | 150.5 | 152.1 | 155.8 | 157.8 | 157.1 |
| 2 Mining. . . . . . . . |  | 6.36 | 132.7 | 129.6 | 130.7 | 132.1 | 135.1 | 138.6 | 140.4 | 143.1 | 143.2 | 135.2 | 135.4 | 141.0 | 145.6 | 145.2 |
| 3 Utilities |  | 5.69 | 168.3 | 172.6 | 170.6 | 167.7 | 169.9 | 167.9 | 167.6 | 166.4 | 167.8 | 167.6 | 170.7 | 172.5 | 171.3 | 170.3 |
| 4 Electric |  | 3.88 | 189.7 | 196.6 | 193.7 | 189.6 | 192.6 | 189.5 | 189.3 | 187.1 | 188.9 | 188.6 | 192.9 | 195.4 | 193.0 | 191.9 |
| 5 Manufacturing |  | 87.95 | 146.7 | 141.2 | 143.9 | 146.5 | 148.9 | 150.4 | 151.1 | 151.2 | 151.6 | 152.0 | 152.8 | 152.5 | 153.0 | 152.5 |
| 6 Nondurable |  | 35.97 | 161.2 | 157.6 | 161.0 | 162.1 | 163.0 | 165.0 | 165.6 | 166.2 | 165.3 | 165.9 | 166.4 | 166.0 | 166.9 | 167.0 |
| 7 Durable. |  | 51.98 | 136.7 | 129.9 | 132.1 | 135.7 | 139.2 | 140.3 | 141.0 | 140.8 | 142.1 | 142.5 | 143.5 | 143.1 | 143.5 | 142.4 |
| Mining |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 Metal | 10 | . 51 | 109.2 | 71.2 | 72.8 | 90.8 | 107.2 | 122.2 | 125.5 | 134.1 | 131.1 | 123.1 | 125.0 | 120.2 | 116.0 |  |
| 9 Coal. | 11.12 | . 69 | 146.7 | 153.0 | 149.1 | 149.7 | 151.7 | 153.5 | 147.5 | 159.0 | 151.2 | 75.9 | 77.0 | 122.9 | 168.5 | 163.9 |
| 10 Oil and gas extraction | 13 | 4.40 | 133.3 | 133.4 | 134.7 | 134.5 | 136.1 | 138.4 | 141.4 | 142.2 | 144.1 | 146.1 | 146.2 | 147.6 | 147.6 | 148.0 |
| 11 Stone and earth minerals. | 14 | . 75 | 132.8 | 125.3 | 129.7 | 129.8 | 132.7 | 137.4 | 138.4 | 140.0 | 138.8 | 133.7 | 132.2 | 132.7 | 133.3 | . . . . . |
| Nondurable manufactures 12 Foods. | 20 | 8.75 | 149.6 | 148.7 | 149.9 | 151.1 | 151.6 | 151.0 | 151.9 | 152.5 | 152.4 | 151.9 | 152.2 | 150.8 | 151.6 |  |
| 13 Tobacco products | 21 | . 67 | 119.9 | 118.7 | 119.7 | 123.6 | 123.5 | 118.8 | 123.5 | 125.4 | 125.7 | 122.2 | 122.3 | 120.1 |  |  |
| 14 Textile mill products. | 22 | 2.68 | 138.6 | 134.8 | 133.2 | 134.3 | 136.4 | 135.6 | 138.4 | 139.3 | 136.2 | 138.9 | 138.8 | 138.5 | 137.7 |  |
| 15 Apparel products | 23 | 3.31 | 127.0 | 125.5 | 123.5 | 121.7 | 125.7 | 122.7 | 123.8 | 121.6 | 120.2 | 121.6 | 122.6 | 121.1 |  |  |
| 16 Paper and products. | 26 | 3.21 | 151.1 | 146.8 | 153.6 | 153.4 | 154.3 | 157.0 | 156.5 | 156.0 | 157.6 | 157.0 | 155.9 | 153.4 | 154.9 | 155.2 |
| 17 Printing and publishing | 27 | 4.72 | 139.6 | 141.7 | 140.9 | 142.5 | 142.1 | 143.0 | 143.9 | 144.8 | 142.7 | 141.6 | 141.3 | 143.3 | 144.4 | 144.8 |
| 18 Chemicals and products | 28 | 7.74 | 207.1 | 198.2 | 208.2 | 209.4 | 211.7 | 220.5 | 218.9 | 219.8 | 218.5 | 219.8 | 220.6 | 219.7 | 221.2 |  |
| 19 Petrolcum products. . | 29 | 1.79 | 132.9 | 124.4 | 129.0 | 128.0 | 128.6 | 131.3 | 133.1 | 131.5 | 130.3 | 130.0 | 129.8 | 129.3 | 129.0 | 131.2 |
| 20 Rubber and plastic products | 30 | 2.24 | 235.7 | 243.3 | 254.5 | 258.8 | 258.9 | 262.3 | 264.0 | 270.2 | 269.5 | 275.2 | 280.3 | 285.8 | 286.4 |  |
| 21 Leather and products. | 31 | . 86 | 70.1 | 67.9 | 67.5 | 70.1 | 71.0 | 67.9 | 68.9 | 68.3 | 68.8 | 68.9 | 69.8 | 68.9 | 69.4 |  |
| Durable manufactures 22 Ordnance, private and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 82.2 |
| 23 government ..... | 19.91 | 3.64 | 78.5 | 78.1 | 78.9 | 79.4 | 79.7 | 79.6 | 78.6 | 78.4 | 78.5 | 79.8 | 80.9 | 80.9 | 81.0 |  |
| 23 Lumber and products. | 24 | 1.64 | 119.3 | 120.2 | 121.6 | 121.4 | 123.7 | 123.6 | 127.4 | 126.2 | 125.6 | 126.3 | 126.2 | 122.5 | 121.3 |  |
| 24 Furniture and fixtures | 25 | 1.37 | 150.0 | 140.8 | 144.5 | 146.7 | 147.6 | 148.6 | 150.0 | 154.3 | 155.6 | 158.7 | 158.9 | 161.0 | 161.6 |  |
| 25 Clay, glass, stone products | 32 | 2.74 | 147.5 | 137.1 | 143.8 | 146.2 | 148.8 | 153.0 | 156.8 | 156.4 | 154.6 | 154.3 | 151.7 | 148.1 | 148.0 |  |
| 26 Primary metals. | 33 | 6.57 | 102.3 | 86.9 | 90.6 | 99.6 | 113.2 | 111.5 | 114.1 | 114.5 | 114.9 | 110.6 | 111.9 | 107.2 | 108.3 | 107.5 |
| 27 Iron and steel. | 331.2 | 4.21 | 92.4 | 76.0 | 80.4 | 92.1 | 107.6 | 103.0 | 108.7 | 108.4 | 108.0 | 1103.4 | 105.6 | 98.5 | 99.7 |  |
| 28 Fabricated metal products | 34 | 5.93 | 134.1 | 124.8 | 128.8 | 131.7 | 132.3 | 135.7 | 135.8 | 137.6 | 139.2 | 139.5 | 138.4 | 139.2 | 139.4 | 138.4 |
| 29 Nonelectrical machinery . | 35 | 9.15 | 162.8 | 159.6 | 159.5 | 160.9 | 162.9 | 166.9 | 167.3 | 168.3 | 169.2 | 169.7 | 172.1 | 173.8 | 176.0 | 177.2 |
| 30 Electrical machinery.... | 36 | 8.05 | 172.8 | 166.8 | 167.4 | 169.8 | 173.0 | 175.1 | 177.6 | 174.9 | 177.4 | 178.8 | 179.9 | 180.1 | 181.9 | 181.3 |
| 31 Tiansportation equipment. | 37 | 9.27 | 116.9 | 108.5 | 113.3 | 118.3 | 121.8 | 120.4 | 117.4 | 116.1 | 119.5 | 121.3 | 123.7 | 123.4 | 120.1 | 115.0 |
| 32 Motor vehicles and parts. | 371 | 4.50 | 119.0 | 104.1 | 113.7 | 123.2 | 129.2 | 125.7 | 120.0 | 119.9 | 127.1 | 130.7 | 136.4 | 137.5 | 131.0 | 121.0 |
| 33 Aerospace and miscellaneous transportation equipment. | 372-9 | 4.77 | 114.9 | 112.7 | 112.8 | 113.7 | 114.9 | 115.4 | 114.9 | 112.6 | 112.3 | 112.4 | 111.8 | 110.2 | 109.8 | 109.3 |
| 34 Instruments ..... | 38 | 2.11 | 171.1 | 168.6 | 168.1 | 169.6 | 170.0 | 171.9 | 173.9 | 171.1 | 170.0 | 170.0 | 170.6 | 171.3 | 173.6 | 174.5 |
| 35 Miscellaneous manufactures . | 39 | 1.51 | 148.3 | 145.5 | 144.6 | 145.0 | 147.1 | 151.0 | 152.9 | 154.9 | 155.4 | 157.3 | 157.0 | 159.6 | 161.3 | 159.8 |
|  | Gross value (billions of 1972 dollars. annual rates) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maior Market |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36 Products, total | $\cdots$ | $507.4{ }^{1}$ | 601.9 | 587.3 | 597.1 | 604.0) | 611.8 | 612.4 | 612.9 | 614.5 | 618.0 | 616.2 | 622.2 | 620.7 | 618.6 | 611.8 |
| 37 Final |  | 390.91 | 465.2 | 453.4 | 461.1 | 467.11 | 473.5 | 472.6 | 471.6 | 472.8 | 476.4 | 476.3 | 482.4 | 482.0 | 480.0 | 473.2 |
| 38 Consumer goods |  | $277.5^{1}$ | 313.3 | 304.8 | 311.8 | 315.8 | 320.7 | 317.7 | 316.8 | 318.8 | 320.5 | 320.0 | 324.3 | 323.7 | 322.3 | 316.4 |
| 39 Equipment |  | $113.4{ }^{1}$ | 152.0 | 148.6 | 149.2 | 151.2 | 152.9 | 154.9 | 154.8 | 154.0 | 155.9 | 156.3 | 158.1 | 158.3 | 157.8 | 156.7 |
| 40 Intermediate. |  | $116.6^{1}$ | 136.7 | 133.9 | 136.0 | 137.1 | 138.3 | 139.8 | 141.2 | 141.7 | 141.7 | 139.9 | 139.8 | 138.7 | 138.6 | 138.6 |

[^49]
### 2.14 HOUSING AND CONSTRUCTION

Monthly figures are at seasonally adjusted annual rates except as noted.


1. Not at annual rates.
2. Not seasonally adjusted.
3. Value of new construction data in recent periods may not be strictly comparable with data in prior periods due to changes by the Bureau of the Census in its estimating techniques. For a description of these changes see Construction Reports (C-30-76-5), issued by the Bureau in July 1976.

Note. Census Bureau estimates for all series except (a) mobile homes, which are private, domestic shipments as reported by the Manufactured Housing Institute and seasonally adjusted by the Census Bureau, and (b) sales and prices of existing units, which are published by the National Association of Realtors. All back and current figures are available from originating agency. Permit authorizations are those reported to the Census Bureau from 16.000 jurisdictions beginning with 1978 .

### 2.15 CONSUMER AND PRODUCER PRICES

Percentage changes based on seasonally adjusted data, except as noted

| Item | 12 months to |  | 3 months (at annual rate) to |  |  |  | 1 month to |  |  |  |  | Index level July 1981 (1967 $=100)^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1980 \\ & \text { July } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1981 \\ & \text { July } \end{aligned}$ | 1980 |  | 1981 |  | 1981 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Sept. | Dec. | Mar. | June | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July |  |
| Consumer Prices ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 All items | 13.2 | 10.7 | 7.8 | 13.2 | 9.6 | 7.4 | . 6 | . 4 | . 7 | . 7 | 1.2 | 274.4 |
| 2 Commodities | 11.2 | 8.9 | 13.2 | 11.0 | 8.9 | 2.1 | . 5 | . 0 | . 2 | . 4 | . 8 | 255.0 |
| 3 Food | 7.6 | 8.4 | 19.7 | 13.1 | 2.1 | -. 1 | . 4 | . 0 | -. 2 | 2 | . 8 | 276.2 |
| 4 Commodities less food. | 12.8 | 9.2 | 10.6 | 9.9 | 12.3 | 3.1 | . 5 | . 0 | 4 | . 4 | . 7 | 242.6 |
| 5 Durable. | 8.9 | 9.4 | 15.2 | 11.8 | -. 7 | 9.0 | -. 1 | . 3 | . 9 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 229.6 |
| 6 Nondurable | 17.7 | 8.8 | 5.0 | 6.2 | 29.8 | -2.0 | 1.3 | -. 2 | -. 2 | . 1 | . 1 | 257.5 |
| 7 Services. | 16.1 | 13.4 | . 7 | 16.8 | 10.3 | 15.1 | . 8 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 308.8 |
| 8 Rent. | 9.2 | 8.2 | 8.6 | 9.6 | 7.0 | 7.7 | . 5 | . 6 | 8 | 4 | . 5 | 207.8 |
| 9 Services less rent | 17.1 | 14.1 | -. 3 | 17.8 | 10.9 | 16.1 | . 8 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 2.0 | 328.1 |
| Other groupings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 All items less food. . . . . . . . | 14.4 | 11.3 | 5.7 | 13.2 | 11.7 | 9.0 | . 7 | . 5 | . 9 | . 8 | 1.3 | 272.7 |
| 11 All items less food and energy. | 12.4 | 11.1 | 5.8 | 14.4 | 5.8 | 11.8 | . 4 | . 6 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 259.0 |
| 12 Homeownership ....... | 19.9 | 13.5 | -3.5 | 23.1 | 3.1 | 16.9 | . 3 | . 7 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 2.1 | 358.0 |
| Producer Prices |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 Finished goods. | 14.6 | 8.8 | 13.5 | 8.3 | $13.3{ }^{r}$ | $5.8{ }^{r}$ | $1.2{ }^{r}$ | . 8 | $.1^{r}$ | . 6 | . 4 | 271.3 |
| 14 Consumer. | 15.6 | 8.4 | 14.5 | 7.4 | $13.6{ }^{r}$ | $4.9{ }^{\prime}$ | $1.3{ }^{r}$ | . 8 | -. $1^{r}$ | . 5 | . 3 | 272.6 |
| 15 Foods. | 7.5 | 6.3 | 31.0 | 4.3 | $1.6{ }^{r}$ | . ${ }^{\prime}$ | $1.0^{r}$ | $-.1^{r}$ | -. $2^{r}$ | . 5 | 1.5 | 256.9 |
| 16 Excluding foods. | 19.8 | 9.1 | 7.5 | 8.9 | $18.6{ }^{r}$ | 6.6 | $1.5{ }^{\prime}$ | 1.1 | . ${ }^{r}$ | . 5 | $-.1$ | 277.1 |
| 17 Capital equipment. | 10.9 | 10.4 | 9.9 | 11.8 | $12.0{ }^{r}$ | $10.1^{r}$ | . 7 | . 9 | 8 | . 7 | . 7 | 265.7 |
| 18 Intermediate materials ${ }^{3}$ Crude materials | 15.4 | 10.2 | 7.8 | 12.9 | $14.3{ }^{r}$ | $7.4{ }^{r}$ | $1.3{ }^{r}$ | $1.0^{\prime}$ | . ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | . 3 | . 5 | 312.8 |
| 19 Nonfood.... | 18.5 | 23.9 | 32.3 | 27.5 | $39.7{ }^{r}$ | $6.5{ }^{\prime}$ | $-.4{ }^{r}$ | $1.3^{r}$ | . $8^{r}$ | -. 5 | . 8 | 484.2 |
| 20 Food.. | 3.9 | 1.4 | 73.9 | -4.0 | -23.1 | 8.5 | -2.0 | 1.5 | -2.2 | 2.8 | . 3 | 267.0 |

1. Not seasonally adjusted.
2. Figures for consumer prices are those for all urban consumers.
3. Excludes intermediate materials for food manufacturing and manufactured animal feeds.

Source. Bureau of Labor Statistics.

### 2.16 GROSS NATIONAL PRODUCT AND INCOME

Billions of current dollars except as noted; quarterly data are at seasonally adjusted annual rates.

| Account | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1980 |  |  | 1981 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Q1 | Q2 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ |
| Gross National Product |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Total | 2,156.1 | 2,413.9 | 2,626.1 | 2,564.8 | 2,637.3 | 2,730.6 | 2,853.0 | 2,881.6 |
| 2 By source $\begin{aligned} & \text { Personal consumption expenditures }\end{aligned}$ | 1.348 .7 | 1.510 .9 | 1,672.8 | 1.626 .8 | 1.682.2 | 1,751.0 | 1,810.0 | 1,831.0 |
| 3 Durable goods................. | 199.3 | 212.3 | 211.9 | 194.4 | 208.8 | ${ }^{2} 23.3$ | $\stackrel{1}{238.3}$ | 227.0 |
| 4 Nondurable goods. | 529.8 | 602.2 | 675.7 | 664.0 | 674.2 | 703.5 | 726.0 | 734.6 |
| 5 Services.......... | 619.6 | 696.3 | 785.2 | 768.4 | 799.2 | 824.2 | 845.8 | 869.4 |
| 6 Gross private domestic investment | 375.3 | 415.8 | 395.3 | 390.9 | 377.1 | 397.7 | 437.1 | 455.8 |
| 7 Fixed investment.............. | 353.2 | 398.3 | 401.2 | 383.5 | 393.2 | 415.1 | 432.7 | 434.6 |
| 8 Nontcsidential | 242.0 | 279.7 | 296.0 | 289.8 | 294.0 | 302.1 | 315.9 | 323.3 |
| 9 Structures | 78.7 | 96.3 | 108.8 | 108.4 | 107.3 | 111.5 | 117.2 | 122.7 |
| 10 Producers' durable equipment | 163.3 | 183.4 | 187.1 | 181.4 | 186.8 | 190.7 | 198.7 | 200.7 |
| 11 Residential structures. | 111.2 | 118.6 | 105.3 100.3 | 93.6 88.9 | 99.2 94.5 | 13.0 107.6 | 116.7 111.4 | 111.3 |
| 12 Nonfarm | 106.9 | 113.9 | 100.3 | 88.9 | 94.5 | 107.6 | 11.4 | 106.0 |
| 13 Change in business inventories. | 22.2 | 17.5 | -5.9 | 7.4 | $-16.0$ | -17.4 | 4.5 | 21.2 |
| 14 Nonfarm ................... | 21.8 | 13.4 | -4.7 | 6.1 | -12.3 | -14.0 | 6.8 | 19.4 |
| 15 Net exports of goods and services | -0.6 | 13.4 | 23.3 | 17.1 | 44.5 | 23.3 | 29.2 | 17.7 3645 |
| 16 Exports. | 219.8 | 281.3 | 339.8 | 333.3 | 342.4 | 346.1 | 367.4 | 364.5 346.8 |
| 17 Imports | 220.4 | 267.9 | 316.5 | 316.2 | 297.9 | 322.7 | 338.2 | 346.8 |
| 18 Government purchases of goods and services. | 432.6 | 473.8 | 534.7 | 530.0 | 533.5 | 558.6 | 576.5 | 577.1 |
| 19 Federal ................................ | 153.4 | 167.9 | 198.9 | 198.7 | 194.9 | 212.0 | 221.6 | 219.4 |
| 20 State and local | 279.2 | 305.9 | 335.8 | 331.3 | 338.6 | 346.6 | 354.9 | 357.7 |
| 21 Fy major type of product | 2,133.9 | 2,396.4 | 2.632 .0 | 2.557.4 | 2.653 .4 | 2.748 .0 | 2,848.5 | 2,860.3 |
| 22 Goods...... | 946.6 | 1,055.9 | 1.130 .4 | 1,106.4 | 1,129.4 | 1,169.0 | 1,247.5 | 1,252.6 |
| 23 Durable. | 409.8 | 451.2 | 458.6 | 444.6 | 456.5 | 476.7 | 501.4 | 514.5 |
| 24 Nondurable | 536.8 | 604.7 | 671.9 | 661.8 | 672.9 | 692.2 | 746.1 | 738.1 |
| 25 Services. | 976.3 | 1,097.2 | 1.229.6 | 1,205.6 | 1.249 .0 | 1,285.3 | 1,317.1 | 1,344.3 |
| 26 Structures | 233.2 | 260.8 | 266.0 | 252.8 | 258.9 | 276.4 | 288.4 | 284.6 |
| 27 Change in business inventories. | 22.2 | 17.5 | -5.9 | 7.4 | -16.0 | -17.4 | 4.5 | 21.2 |
| 28 Durable goods.... | 17.8 | 11.5 | -4.0 | 3.3 | -8.4 |  | $-4.2$ | 17.5 |
| 29 Nondurable goods. | 4.4 | 6.0 | -1.8 | 4.1 | -7.7 | - 18.1 | 8.6 | 3.8 |
| 30 Memo: Total GNP in 1972 dollars | 1,436.9 | 1,483.0 | 1,480.7 | 1,463.3 | 1,471.9 | 1,485.6 | 1,516.4 | 1,507.4 |
| National Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 Total | 1,745.4 | 1,963.3 | 2,121.4 | 2,070.0 | 2,122.4 | 2,204.8 | 2,291.1 | 2,316.5 |
| 32 Compensation of employees. | 1.299 .7 1.105 .4 | $1,460.9$ 1,2359 | 1.596 .5 1.3436 | 1.569 .0 1.320 .4 | $1,597.4$ $1,342.3$ | 1.661 .8 <br> 1.397 .3 |  | 1.751 .2 1.466 .2 |
| 33 34 Wages and salaries $\quad$ Government and government enterprises. | 1.105 .4 219.6 | 1.235 .9 235.9 | 1.343 .6 253.6 | 1.320 .4 250.5 1.5 | $1,342.3$ 253.9 | 1.397 .3 263.3 | $1,442.9$ 267.1 | 1.466.2 |
| 34 Government and government enterprises. | 219.6 885.7 | 1,000.0 | 1.090 .0 | 1.069.9 | 1.088.4 | 1.134 .0 | 1,175.7 | 1,195.9 |
| 36 Supplement to wages and salaries | 194.3 | 225.0 | 252.9 | 248.6 | 255.0 | 264.5 | 279.5 | 285.0 |
| 37 Employer contributions for social insurance | 92.1 | 106.4 | 115.8 | 113.6 | 116.0 | 121.0 | 131.5 | 133.2 |
| 38 Other labor income ...................... | 102.2 | 118.6 | 137.1 | 135.1 | 139.1 | 143.5 | 148.0 | 151.8 |
| 39 Proprictors' income ${ }^{1}$ | 117.1 | 131.6 | 130.6 | 124.9 | 129.7 | 134.0 | 132.1 | 134.1 |
| 40 Business and professional ${ }^{1}$ | 91.0 | 100.7 | 107.2 | 101.6 | 107.6 | 111.6 | 113.2 | 112.5 |
| 41 Farm ${ }^{1}$................. | 26.1 | 30.8 | 23.4 | 23.3 | 22.1 | 22.5 | 18.9 | 21.7 |
| 42 Rental income of persons ${ }^{2}$ | 27.4 | 30.5 | 31.8 | 31.5 | 32.0 | 32.4 | 32.7 | 33.3 |
| 43 Corporate profits ${ }^{1}$ | 199.0 | 196.8 | 182.7 | 169.3 | 177.9 | 183.3 | 203.0 | 187.0 |
| 44 Profits before tax ${ }^{3}$ | 223.3 | 255.4 | 245.5 | 217.9 | 237.6 | 249.5 | $257.0^{r}$ | 224.9 |
| 45 Inventory valuation adjustment | -24.3 | -42.6 | -45.7 | -31.1 | -41.7 | -48.4 | -39.2 | -23.2 |
| 46 Capital consumption adjustment | -13.5 | -15.9 | -17.2 | - 17.6 | -17.9 | -17.8 | -14.7 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | -14.7 |
| 47 Net interest | 115.8 | 143.4 | 179.8 | 175.3 | 185.3 | 193.3 | 200.8 | 210.8 |

1. With inventory valuation and capital consumption adjustments
2. For after-tax profits, dividends, and the like, see table 1.49.

Source. Survey of Current Business (Department of Commerce).
2.17 PERSONAL INCOME AND SAVING

Billions of current dollars; quarterly data are at seasonally adjusted annual rates. Exceptions noted.

|  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

### 3.10 U.S. INTERNATIONAL TRANSACTIONS Summary

Millions of dollars; quarterly data are seasonally adjusted except as noted. ${ }^{1}$


1. Seasonal factors are no longer calculated for lines 12 through 41
2. Data are on an international accounts (IA) basis. Differs from the Census basis data, shown in table 3.11, for reasons of coverage and timing; military exports are excluded from merchandise data and are included in line 6.
3. Includes reinvested earnings of incorporated affiliates

4 Primarily associated with military sales contracts and other transactions ar ranged with or through foreign official agencies.
5. Consists of investments in U.S. corporate stocks and in debt securities of private corporations and state and local governments.

Note. Data are from Bureau of Economic Analysis, Survey of Current Business (U.S. Department of Commerce).

### 3.11 U.S. FOREIGN TRADE

Millions of dollars; monthly data are seasonally adjusted.

| Item | 1978 | 1979 | $1980{ }^{\circ}$ | 1981 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Jan. | Feb. | Mar | Apr. | May | June | July |
| 1 EXPORTS of domestic and foreign merchandise excluding grant-aid shipments | 143,682 | 181.860 | 220,626 | 18,825 | 19,764 | 21,434 | 19.818 | 18,869 | 19,870 | 19.264 |
| 2 GENERAL IMPORTS including merchandise for immediate consumption plus entries into bonded warehouses. | 174,759 | 209,458 | 244,871 | 23,194 | 21,922 | 20.949 | 22.289 | 21.310 | 21,975 | 19,807 |
| 3 Trade balance | -31,075 | -27,598 | -24,245 | -4.369 | -2,158 | 485 | -2,471 | -2,441 | -2,105 | - 542 |

Note. The data in this table are reported by the Bureau of Census data on a free-alongside-ship (f.a.s.) value basis-that is, value at the port of export. Beginning in 1981, foreign trade of the U.S. Virgin Islands is included in the Census basis trade data; this adjustment has been made for all data shown in the table.
The Census basis data differ from merchandise trade data shown in table 3.10 . U.S. International Transactions Summary, for reasons of coverage and timing. On the export side, the largest adjustments are: (a) the addition of exports to Canada not covered in Census statistics, and (b) the exclusion of military sales (which are combined with other military transactions and reported separately in the "service
account" in table 3.10, line 6). On the import side, additions are made for gold, ship purchases. imports of electricity from Canada and other transactions; military payments are excluded and shown separately as indicated above.

Source. FT900 "Summary of U.S. Export and Import Merchandisc Trade" (U.S. Department of Commerce. Bureau of the Census).

### 3.12 U.S. RESERVE ASSETS

Millions of dollars, end of period

| Type | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug. ${ }^{\text {p }}$ |
| 1 Total ${ }^{\text {I }}$ | 18,650 | 18,956 | 26,756 | 29.682 | $\mathbf{3 0 , 4 1 0}$ | 29,693 | 29,395 | 29,582 | 28,870 | 29,265 |
| 2 Gold stock, including Exchange Stabilization Fund ${ }^{1}$ | 11,671 | 11.172 | 11.160 | 11,156 | 11,154 | 11.154 | 11,154 | 11,154 | 11.154 | 11,154 |
| 3 Special drawing rights ${ }^{2.3}$ | 1,558 | 2,724 | 2,610 | 3,633 | 3,913 | 3.712 | 3,652 | 3,689 | 3.717 | 3,739 |
| 4 Reserve position in International Monetary Fund ${ }^{2}$ | 1,047 | 1.253 | 2.852 | 3,110 | 3.448 | 3,576 | 3.690 | 3,988 | 4,157 | 4,341 |
| 5 Foreign currencies ${ }^{4.5}$ | 4,374 | 3.807 | 10,134 | 11,783 | 11,895 | 11,251 | 10,899 | 10.751 | 9.842 | 10,031 |

1. Gold held under earmark at Federal Reserve Banks for foreign and international accounts is not included in the gold stock of the United States; see table 3.22 .
2. Beginning July 1974, the IMF adopted a technique for valuing the SDR based on a weighted average of exchange rates for the currencies of member countries. From July 1974 through December 1980, 16 currencies were used; from January 1981. 5 currencies have been used. The U.S. SDR holdings and reserve position in the IMF also are valued on this basis beginning July 1974 .
3. Includes allocations by the International Monetary Fund of SDRs as follows: $\$ 867$ million on Jan. 1, 1970; $\$ 717$ million on Jan. 1, 1971; $\$ 710$ million on Jan. 1 , 1972; $\$ 1.139$ mitlion on Jan. 1, 1979: \$1,152 million on Jan. 1. 1980; and $\$ 1,093$ million on Jan. 1, 1981: plus net transactions in SDRs.
4. Beginning November 1978, valued at current market exchange rates.
5. Includes U.S. government securities held under repurchase agreement against receipt of foreign currencies, if any.

### 3.13 FOREIGN BRANCHES OF U.S. BANKS Balance Sheet Data

Millions of dollars, end of period

| Asset account | 1977 | $1978{ }^{1}$ | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June ${ }^{p}$ |
|  | All foreign countries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Total, all currencies | 258,897 | 306,795 | 364,233 | 397,516 | 397,683 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 401,092 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 410,087 | 410,765 ${ }$ | 416,639 | 422,915 |
| 2 Claims on United States | 11,623 | 17,340 | 32,302 | 28,459 | 29,534 | 31,923 | 30,256 | 34,519 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 38,645 | 35.203 |
| 3 Parent bank | 7,806 | 12,811 | 25,929 | 20,202 | 20,674 ${ }^{r}$ | 21,369 | 18,781 | 23,086 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 28,012 | 24,309 |
| 4 Other. | 3,817 | 4.529 | 6,373 | 8,257 | 8.860 | 10,554 | 11,475 | 11,433 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 10.633 | 10,894 |
| 5 Claims on foreigners. | 238,848 | 278.135 | 317.175 | 351.435 | 350,343r | 351,101 | 361.413 | 357,823 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 358,997 | 368,667 |
| 6 Other branches of parent bank | 55,772 | 70,338 | 79,661 | 76,574 ${ }^{\prime}$ | 75,622 ${ }^{r}$ | 75.514 ${ }^{r}$ | 77,631' | 76,781 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 76,191 | 79,832 |
| 7 Banks | 91,883 | 103,111 | 123,413 | 144,674 ${ }^{\prime}$ | 144,821 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 146,187 ${ }^{r}$ | $150,576^{\text {r }}$ | 148,015 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 148,582 | 154,758 |
| 8 Public borrowers ${ }^{2}$ | 14,634 | 23,737 | 26,072 | 27,845 | 27,841 ${ }^{r}$ | 28,138 | 28,758 | 28,123 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 27.713 | 27.806 |
| 9 Nonbank foreigners | 76,560 | 80,949 | 88,029 | 102,342 | 102,059r | $101,262^{r}$ | 104,448 | 104.904 ${ }^{\prime}$ | 106,511 | 106.271 |
| 10 Other assets | 8,425 | 11.320 | 14,756 | 17.622 | 17,806 ${ }^{r}$ | 18,068 ${ }^{\prime}$ | 18,418 | 18,423 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 18,997 | 19,045 |
| 11 Total payable in U.S. dollars. | 193,764 | 224,940 | 267,711 | 290,017 | 292,746 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 296,916 ${ }$ | 302,851 | 307,064 ${ }$ | 312,279 | 320,303 |
| 12 Claims on United States | 11,049 | 16,382 | 31.171 | 27,190 | 28,278 | 30,660 | 29,063 | 33,306 | 37.403 | 33,949 |
| 13 Parent bank | 7,692 | 12,625 | 25,632 | 19,896 | 20.382 | 21,107 | 18.566 | 22,839 | 27.709 | 24,039 |
| 14 Other. | 3.357 | 3,757 | 5.539 | 7.294 | 7.896 | 9,553 | 10.497 | 10.467 | 9,694 | 9.910 |
| 15 Claims on foreigners. | 178,896 | 203,498 | 229,118 | 253,647 | 254,877 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 256,332 | 263,641 | 263,252 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 263,871 | 275,208 |
| 16 Other branches of parent bank | 44,256 | 55,408 | 61.525 | 58,295 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | $58.849{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 57,921 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 59,870r | 59,498 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 58,704 | 62,696 |
| 17 Banks | 70,786 | 78,686 | 96,261 | 116,020 ${ }$ | $116.920^{\text {r }}$ | 118,411 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 121,455 ${ }$ | 120.558 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 121.554 | 128,124 |
| 18 Public borrowers ${ }^{2}$ | 12,632 | 19.567 | 21.629 | 23,458 | 23,269 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 23.561 | 24.035 | 23.767 | 23.194 | 23,488 |
| 19 Nonbank foreigners | 51,222 | 49,837 | 49.703 | 55.874 | 55,839 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 56,439 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 58,281 | $59.429^{\prime}$ | 60,419 | 60,900 |
| 20 Other assets | 3,820 | 5,060 | 7,422 | 9.180 | 9,591 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 9,924 | 10,147 | 10,506 ${ }^{\prime}$ | 11,005 | 11,146 |
|  | United Kingdom |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 Total, all currencies | 90,933 | 106,593 | 130,873 | 142,781 | 143,609 | 144,708 | 145,459 | 142,582 | 146,640 | 149,704 |
| 22 Claims on United States | 4,341 | 5.370 | 11,117 | 7.508 | 7.727 | 9.126 | 9,413 | 8.518 | 10,382 | 9,640 |
| 23 Parent bank | 3.518 | 4,448 | 9,338 | 5,275 | 5.278 | 6.386 | 6,405 | 5.766 | 7,666 | 7,098 |
| 24 Other. | 823 | 922 | 1,779 | 2.233 | 2.449 | 2,740 | 3,008 | 2,752 | 2,716 | 2,542 |
| 25 Claims on foreigners. | 84,016 | 98,137 | 115,123 | 129,232 | 130.174 | 129,646 | 129,992 | 128,095 | 130,200 | 134.102 |
| 26 Other branches of parent bank | 22,017 | 27,830 | 34,291 | 34,538 | 35.136 | 35,406 | 34,583 | 34.614 | 34.834 | 35,914 |
| 27 Banks ........ | 39,899 | 45.013 | 51,343 | 57.658 | 58.489 | 58.554 | 58,714 | 56.816 | 57,611 | 60,261 |
| 28 Public borrowers ${ }^{2}$ | 2,206 | 4,522 | 4.919 | 6.684 | 6,620 | 6.626 | 6,929 | 6.844 | 6.720 | 6,811 |
| 29 Nonbank foreigners | 19,895 | 20,772 | 24,570 | 30,352 | 29,929 | 29,060 | 29,766 | 29.821 | 31,035 | 31,116 |
| 30 Other assets | 2,576 | 3,086 | 4,633 | 6,041 | 5,708 | 5,936 | 6,054 | 5.969 | 6,058 | 5.962 |
| 31 Total payable in U.S. dollars. | 66,635 | 75,860 | 94,287 | 98,913 | 101,038 | 102,954 | 102,933 | 101,506 | 104,959 | 108,854 |
| 32 Claims on United States | 4,100 | 5,113 | 10.746 | 7.115 | 7.304 | 8.671 | 9,001 | 8,080 | 9,932 | 9.150 |
| 33 Parent bank | 3,431 | 4,386 | 9.297 | 5,229 | 5,221 | 6.324 | 6,381 | 5.715 | 7.611 | 7,059 |
| 34 Other. | 669 | 727 | 1,449 | 1,886 | 2,083 | 2,347 | 2,620 | 2,365 | 2,321 | 2,091 |
| 35 Claims on foreigners. | 61,408 | 69,416 | 81,294 | 88,950 | 90.682 | 91,204 | 90,696 | 90,199 | 91,632 | 96,240 |
| 36 Other branches of parent bank | 18,947 | 22,838 | 28,928 | 28,231 | 28,768 | 28,946 | 28.132 | 28,393 | 28,527 | 29,725 |
| 37 Banks ......... | 28,530 | 31,482 | 36,760 | 41,373 | 42,887 | 42,751 | 42.609 | 41.767 | 42.786 | 45,631 |
| 38 Public borrowers ${ }^{2}$. | 1,669 | 3,317 | 3,319 | 4,909 | 4,816 | 4.930 | 5.168 | 5,093 | 4,967 | 5,123 |
| 39 Nonbank foreigners | 12,263 | 11,779 | 12,287 | 14,437 | 14,211 | 14,577 | 14.787 | 14,946 | 15,352 | 15,761 |
| 40 Other assets . | 1,126 | 1,331 | 2.247 | 2,848 | 3.052 | 3.079 | 3,236 | 3,227 | 3,395 | 3,464 |
|  | Bahamas and Caymans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41 Total, all currencies | 79,052 | 91,735 | 108,977 | 123,837 | 123,541 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 124,807 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 127,801 | 132,063 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 133,513 | 135,081 |
| 42 Claims on United States | 5,782 | 9.635 | 19.124 | 17.751 | 18,370 | 19,150 | 17,348 | 22,473 | 24.531 | 21,809 |
| 43 Parent bank | 3,051 | 6,429 | 15,196 | 12,631 | 12,842 | 12.417 | 10.017 | 14,908 | 17,511 | 14,475 |
| 44 Other.. | 2,731 | 3,206 | 3,928 | 5,120 | 5.528 | 6,733 | 7,331 | 7,565 | 7,020 | 7,334 |
| 45 Claims on foreigners. | 71,671 | 79,774 | 86,718 | 101,926 | $100.822^{r}$ | 101,199 | 105,970 | 105,001 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 104,117 | 108,488 |
| 46 Other branches of parent bank | 11.120 | 12,904 | 9,689 | 13,342 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 12,974 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 11,996 | 14.022 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 13,107 $r$ | 12,235 | 13,569 |
| 47 Banks . . . . . . | 27.939 | 33.677 | 43,189 | 54,861 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 54,237' | 55,263 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 57,045 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 57,325 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 56,995 | 59,715 |
| 48 Public borrowers ${ }^{2}$ | 9,109 | 11,514 | 12,905 | 12,577 | 12,569 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 12,605 | 12,579 | 12,205 | 12.169 | 12,038 |
| 49 Nonbank foreigners | 23.503 | 21,679 | 20,935 | 21,146 | 21,042 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 21,335 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 22,324 | 22,364 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 22,718 | 23,166 |
| 50 Other assets | 1,599 | 2,326 | 3,135 | 4,160 | 4,349 ${ }^{\prime}$ | 4,458 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 4,483 | 4,589 | 4.865 | 4,784 |
| 51 Total payable in U.S. dollars. | 73,987 | 85,417 | 102,368 | 117,654 | 117,630 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 119,005 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 121,900 | 126,429 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 127,965 | 129,438 |

For notes see opposite page.

### 3.13 Continued



1. In May 1978 the exemption level for branches required to report was increased,
which reduced the number of reporting branches.
2. In May 1978 a broader category of claims on foreign public borrowers, in
cluding corporations that are majority owned by foreign governments, replaced the previous, more narrowly defined claims on foreign official institutions.

### 3.14 SELECTED U.S. LIABILITIES TO FOREIGN OFFICIAL INSTITUTIONS

Millions of dollars, end of period

| Item | $1978{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $1979{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $1980^{\prime}$ | 1981 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Feb. | Mar ${ }^{\text {A }}$ |  | Apr. | May | June ${ }^{p}$ | July ${ }^{p}$ |
| 1 Total ${ }^{1}$ | 162,775 | 149,697 | 164,576 | 162,880 | 170,193 | 170,213 | 170,599 | 165,403 | 167,069 | 166,913 |
| 2 Liabilities reported by banks in the United States ${ }^{2}$ | 23,326 | 30.540 | 30.381 | 25,025 | 27,471 | 27.491 | 25.563 | 23.563 | 25.234 |  |
| 3 U.S. Treasury bills and certificates ${ }^{3}$. . . . . . . . . . . . | 67.671 | 47,666 | 56.243 | 56,988 | 60,493 | 60,493 | 61.670 | 57.858 | 57.719 | 55,669 |
| U.S. Treasury bonds and notes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 Marketable | 35,894 | 37.590 | 41.455 | 43.725 | 44.808 | 44,808 | 45.303 | 45.625 | 46.605 | 47.402 |
| 5 Nonmarketable ${ }^{4}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 20,970 | 17.387 | 14.654 | 14.494 | 14.294 | 14,294 | 14,294 | 14,294 | 13,202 | 12,802 |
| 6 U.S. securities other than U.S. Treasury securities ${ }^{5}$ | 14.914 | 16,514 | 21.843 | 22,648 | 23,127 | 23,127 | 23.769 | 24,063 | 24,309 | 25,186 |
| By area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 Western Europe ${ }^{1}$. | 93.089 | 85.633 | 81.592 | 78.334 | 79.981 | 79.999 | 78.242 | 71.455 | 71.130 | 70.595 |
| 8 Canada ........ | 2.486 | 1.898 | 1.562 | 1.089 | 1.437 | 1.437 | 1.177 | 1,365 | 1.248 | 664 |
| 9 Latin America and Caribbean | 5.046 | 6.291 | 5.688 | 5.242 | 6.365 | 6.365 | 5,908 | 5,525 | 6,103 | 5,577 |
| 10 Asia. . . . . . | 59.004 | 52.978 | 70.782 | 73,162 | 77.169 | 77,171 | 79.255 | 81,015 | 83,123 | 85,741 |
| 11 Africa . . . . . . | 2,408 | 2.412 | 4.123 | 3.947 | 4,087 | 4,087 | 4.187 | 3.927 | 3.190 | 2,645 |
| 12 Other countries ${ }^{6}$ | 742 | 48.5 | 829 | 1.106 | 1.154 | 1.154 | 1,830 | 2.116 | 2.275 | 1.691 |

1. Includes the Bank for International Settlements.
2. Principally demand deposits. time deposits, bankers acceptances. commercial paper, negotiable time certificates of deposit, and borrowings under repurchase agreements.
3. Includes nonmarketable certificates of indebtedness (including those payable in foreign currencies through 1974) and Treasury bills issued to official institutions of foreign countries.
4. Excludes notes issued to foreign official nonreserve agencies. Includes bonds and notes payable in foreign currencies.
5. Debt securitics of U.S. government corporations and federally sponsored agencies, and U.S. corporate stocks and bonds.
6. Includes countries in Oceania and Eastern Europe

D Data in the two columns for this date differ because of changes in reporting coverage. Figures in the first column are comparable in coverage with those shown for the preceding month; figures in the second column are comparable with those for the following month.

Note. Based on Treasury Department data and on data reported to the Treasury Department by banks (including Federal Reserve Banks) and securities dealers in the United States

### 3.15 LIABILITIES TO AND CLAIMS ON FOREIGNERS Reported by Banks in the United States Payable in Foreign Currencies <br> Millions of dollars, end of period

| Jtem |  | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 |  |  | 1981 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | June |  |  | Sept. | Dec. | Mar. |  |
| 1 | Banks' own liabilities |  | 925 | 2.406 | 1,918 | 2.739 | 2.754 | 3,748 | 3,268 | 3,262 |
| 2 | Banks' own claims ${ }^{1}$. | 2.356 | 3.671 | 2.419 | 2.874 | 3.203 | 4.206 | 4.238 | 4,245 |
| 3 | Deposits | . 941 | 1.795 | . 994 | 1.090 | 1.169 | 2.507 | 1,697 | 1.758 |
| 4 | Other claims. | 1.415 | 1.876 | 1.425 | 1.784 | 2,035 | 1,699 | 2,542 | 2,488 |
| 5 | Claims of banks domestic customers ${ }^{2}$ |  | . 358 | . 580 | 1.798 | 2.595 | . 962 | - 444 | $\begin{array}{r}2,444 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |

1. Includes claims of banks' domestic customers through March 1978.
2. Assets owned by customers of the reporting bank located in the United States that represent claims on foreigners held by reporting banks for the accounts of their domestic customers.

A Data in the two columns for this date differ because of changes in reporting coverage. Figures in the first column are comparable in coverage with those shown for the preceding quarter; figures in the second column are comparable with those for the following quarter.

Note. Data on claims exclude foreign currencies held by U.S. monetary authorities.
3.16 LIABILITIES TO FOREIGNERS Reported by Banks in the United States Payable in U.S. dollars
Millions of dollars, end of period

| Holder and type of liability | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Feb. | Mar. |  | Apr. | May | June | July ${ }^{\text {P }}$ |
| 1 All foreigners | 166,842 | 187,521 | 205,295 | 201,515 | 203,651 | 205,284 | 213,152 | 213,475 ${ }^{r}$ | 208,793 | 212,702 |
| 2 Banks' own liabilities | 78.661 | 117.196 | 124.789 | 121.528 | 120,217 | 120,425 | 128.115 | 132.154 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 127.957 | 131,368 |
| 3 Demand deposits. | 19.218 | 23.303 | 23.462 | 23.300 | 21,308 | 21.216 | 22.644 15719 | 22.193 | 23.184 | 21.403 |
| 4 Time deposits ${ }^{1}$. | 12,427 | 13.623 | 15.076 | 15,778 | 16.272 1594 | 16.304 | 15.719 | ${ }^{16.046}$ | 16,640 14,090 | 16.431 13.327 |
| 5 Other ${ }^{2}$ Own forcign | 9,705 37.311 | 16.453 63.817 | 17.581 68.670 | 13.476 68.973 | 15,947 66,690 | 16.199 66,707 | 14.789 74,963 | $12,359^{r}$ $81,556^{r}$ | 14,090 74,042 | 13,327 80,208 |
| 7 Banks* custody liabilities ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | 88.181 | 70,325 | 80.506 | 79.988 | 83.433 | 84.859 | 85.037 | $81.320^{r}$ | 80.836 | 81.334 |
| 8 U.S. Treasury bills and certificates ${ }^{\text {S }}$ | 68,202 | 48.573 | 57.595 | 58,518 | 62.259 | 62,342 | 63,273 | 59.597 | 59.731 | 57.559 |
| 9 Other negotiable and readily transferable instruments ${ }^{6}$. | 17,472 | 19,396 | 20.079 | 18.350 | 18,226 | 18,207 | 17,886 | 17,392r | 17,021 | 17,422 |
| 10 Other...... | 2.507 | 2.356 | 2,832 | 3.120 | 2,948 | 4.310 | 3,878 | 4,331 | 4,084 | 6,373 |
| 11 Nonmonetary international and regional organizations ${ }^{7}$ | 2,607 | 2,356 | 2,342 | 2,003 | 1,854 | 1,854 | 1,804 | 1,813 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 1,777 | 1,782 |
| 12 Banks' own liabilities | 906 | 714 | 442 | 317 | 293 | 293 | 655 | $509{ }^{r}$ | 357 | 363 |
| 13 Demand deposits. | 330 | 260 | 146 | 186 | 126 | 126 | 178 | 147 | 224 | 222 |
| 14 Time deposits | 84 | 151 | 85 | 76 | 67 | 67 | 81 | 80 | 75 | 75 |
| 15 Other ${ }^{2}$. | 492 | 303 | 211 | 54 | 100 | 100 | 396 | $281^{r}$ | 58 | 65 |
| 16 Banks' custody liabilities ${ }^{4}$ | 1,701 | 1.643 | 1,900 | 1.687 | 1.561 | 1,561 | 1,149 | 1,304 | 1,420 | 1419 |
| 17 U.S. Treasury bills and certificates | 201 | 102 | 254 | 368 | 333 | 333 | 63 | 213 | 289 | 247 |
| 18 Other negotiable and readily transferable instruments ${ }^{6}$ <br> 19 Other | 1.499 1 | 1,538 2 | 1.646 0 | 1.319 0 | 1.228 0 | 1.228 0 | 1.086 0 | 1.091 0 | 1.132 0 | 1,172 0 |
| 20 Official institutions ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 90,742 | 78,206 | 86,624 | 82.013 | 87,963 | 87,983 | 87,233 | 81,421 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 82,953 | 81,523 |
| 21 Banks` own liabilities | 12.165 | 18,292 | 17.826 | 13.938 | 16.200 | 16.220 | 14,688 | 13.466 | 1.5.815 | 14.449 |
| 22 Demand deposits. | 3,390 | 4.671 | 3.771 | 3.580 | 3.338 | 3.232 | 3.768 | 3.444 | 3.975 | 3.134 |
| 23 Time deposits ${ }^{1}$ | 2.560 | 3.050 | 3.612 | 2.997 | 2.920 | 2,938 | 2.412 | 2,642 | 2.563 | 2.085 |
| 24 Other ${ }^{2} \ldots$ | 6,215 | 10,571 | 10,443 | 7.361 | 9,941 | 10,050 | 8,508 | 7,381 | 9.277 | 9,230 |
| 25 Banks' custody liabilities ${ }^{4}$ | 78.577 | 59.914 | 68.798 | 68.075 | 71.763 | 71,763 | 72.545 | $67.955{ }^{\prime}$ | 67.138 | 67.074 |
| 26 U.S. Treasury bills and certificates ${ }^{5}$ | 67,415 | 47.666 | 56,243 | 56.988 | 60.492 | 60,492 | 61.670 | 57.858 | 57.719 | 55.669 |
| 27 Other negotiable and readily transterable instruments ${ }^{6}$ | 10,992 | 12.196 | 12,501 | 10,894 | 11,080 | 11,080 | 10,790 | 10,014 ${ }^{r}$ | 9,346 | 9,338 |
| 28 Other. | 170 | 52 | 54 | 193 | 191 | 191 | 84 | 83 | 73 | 2.087 |
| 29 Banks ${ }^{9}$. | 57,423 | 88,316 | 96,415 | 96,608 | 93,018 | 94,338 | 102,542 | 108,542 ${ }^{r}$ | 101,468 | 106,992 |
| 30 Banks' own liabilities | 52,626 | 83.299 | 90.456 | 90,319 | 86.649 | 86,620 | 95,096 | $100.442^{r}$ | 93.260 | 98,369 |
| 31 Unaffiliated foreign banks. | 15.315 | 19.482 | 21.786 | 21.346 | 19,958 | 19.914 | 20.133 | 18,886 ${ }$ | 19.218 | 18,161 |
| 32 Demand deposits. | 11.257 | 13.285 | 14.188 | 14.287 | 12.585 | 12.588 | 13.493 | 13.394 | 13.638 | 12,931 |
| 33 Time deposits ${ }^{1}$. | 1.429 | 1.667 | 1.703 5 | 1.813 | 2,324 | 2.305 | 1,549 5 | 1.685 ${ }^{\prime}$ | 1,728 | 1,573 |
| 34 Other ${ }^{2}$ | 2,629 | 4.530 | 5.895 | 5.245 | 5.049 | 5,021 | 5.091 | $3.808^{\prime}$ | 3,852 | 3,657 |
| 35 Own foreign offices ${ }^{3}$ | 37.311 | 63.817 | 68.670 | 68,973 | 66,690 | 66,707 | 74,963 | $81,556^{\prime}$ | 74,042 | 80,208 |
| 36 Banks ${ }^{\text {c }}$ custody liabilities ${ }^{4}$ | 4.797 | 5,017 | 5.959 | 6,289 | 6.369 | 7.717 | 7.446 | $8.100{ }^{\circ}$ | 8,208 | 8.623 |
| 37 U.S. Treasury bills and certificates | 300 | 422 | 623 | 714 | 826 | 827 | 839 | $945{ }^{r}$ | 1.165 | 1.037 |
| 38 Other negotiable and readily transferable instruments ${ }^{6}$ | 2.425 | 2.415 | 2.748 | 2.850 | 2.928 | 2.913 | 2.932 | 3.053 | 3.177 | 3.459 |
| 39 Other. | 2,072 | 2.179 | 2.588 | 2.726 | 2.615 | 3,977 | 3,675 | 4.102 | 3.866 | 4,127 |
| 40 Other foreigners | 16,070 | 18,642 | 19,914 | 20,891 | 20,816 | 21,109 | 21,573 | 21,698 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 22,595 | 22,405 |
| 41 Banks' own liabilities | 12.964 | 14.891 | 16.065 | 16.955 | 17.076 | 17.291 | 17.676 | $17.737^{\prime}$ | 18,525 | 18.187 |
| 42 Demand deposits. | 4.242 | 5.087 | 5.356 | 5.246 | 5.259 | 5.270 | 5.205 | $5.209^{r}$ | 5.346 | 5.115 |
| 43 Time deposits. | 8.353 | 8.755 | 9.676 | 10.892 | 10.961 | 10,995 | 11.677 | $11.640^{\prime}$ | 12.275 | 12.697 |
| 44 Other ${ }^{2}$.... | 368 | 1,048 | 1.033 | 816 | 856 | 1,027 | 794 | $889{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 904 | 375 |
| 45 Banks' custody liabilities ${ }^{4}$ | 3,106 | 3,751 | 3,849 | 3.937 | 3.740 | 3,817 | 3.897 | $3.961{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 4,070 | 4,218 |
| 46 U.S. Treasury bills and certificates | 285 | 382 | 474 | 449 | 607 | 690 | 701 | $581{ }^{r}$ | 559 | 606 |
| 47 Other negotiable and readily transferable instruments ${ }^{6}$. | 2.557 | 3.247 | 3.185 | 3.287 | 2.991 | 2.986 | 3.078 | $3.235{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 3.367 | 3.453 |
| 48 Other. | 264 | 123 | 190 | 201 | 141 | 141 | 119 | 145 | 144 | 159 |
| 49 Memo: Negotiable time certificates of deposit in custody for foreigners | 11,007 | 10,984 | 10.745 | 9,868 | 9.893 | 9,887 | 9.549 | 9,653 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 10.176 | 9.831 |
1. Excludes negotiable time certificates of deposit, which are included in "Other negotiable and readily transferable instruments." Data for time deposits before April 1978 represent short-term only.
2. Includes borrowing under repurchase agreements.
2. Includes borrowing under repurchase agreements. branks: includes amounts due to own foreign branch forcign subsidiaries consolidated in "Consolidated Report of Condition" filed with bank regsidiaries consolidated in "Consolidated Report of Condition" filed with bank reg-
ulatory agencies. Agencies, branches, and majority-owned subsidiaries of foreign ulatory agencies. Agencies, branches, and majority-owned subsidiaries of foreign
banks: principally amounts due to head office or parent foreign bank, and foreign banks: principally amounts due to head office or parent foreign bank, and foreign
branches, agencies or wholly owned subsidiaries of head office or parent foreign branches, agencies or wholly owned subsidiaries of head office or parent foreign
bank.
4. Financial claims on residents of the United States, other than long-term se 4. Financial claims on residents of the Un
5. Includes nonmarketable certificates of indebtedness and Treasury bills issued to official institutions of foreign countries.
6. Principally bankers acceptances, commercial paper, and negotiable time certificates of deposit
7. Principally the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development, and the Inter-American and Asian Development Banks.
8. Foreign central banks and foreign central governments and the Bank for International Settlements.
9. Excludes central banks, which are included in "Official institutions."
$\triangle$ Data in the two columns for this date differ because of changes in reporting coverage. Figures in the first column are comparable in coverage with those shown for the preceding month; figures in the second column are comparable with those for the following month.
| Area and country | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Feb. | Mar. 4 |  | Apr. | May | June | July |
| 1 Total | 166,842 | 187,521 | 205,295 | 201,515 | 203,651 | 205,284 | 213,152 | 213,475 | 208,793 | 212,702 |
| 2 Foreign countries. | 164,235 | 185,164 | 202,953 | 199,512 | 201,796 | 203,430 | 211,348 | 211,662 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 207,016 | 210,920 |
| 3 Europe | 85,172 | 90,952 | 90,897 523 | 89,181 | 91,338 | $\begin{array}{r}92.495 \\ \hline 52\end{array}$ | 89,934 | 87,197 ${ }^{\text {493 }}$ r | 86.785 | 84.886 |
| 5 Belgium-Luxembourg. | 2.550 | 2.375 | 4.019 | 4.782 | 4,698 | 4.698 | 4.926 | 5,469 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 5.054 | 4,671 |
| 6 Denmark.......... | 1.946 | 1.092 | 497 | 432 | 463 | 461 | 434 | 526 | 415 | 430 |
| 7 Finland | 346 | 398 | 455 | 355 | 332 | 332 | 328 | 280 r | 305 | 294 |
| 8 France | 9.214 | 10,433 | 12,125 | 12,521 | 12,959 | 12.950 | 13,102 | 11,367 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 11.515 | 11,060 |
| 9 Germany | 17,283 | 12.935 | 9,973 | 9,296 | 12.299 | 12.305 | 12,489 | 9,472 | 9.628 | 9.069 |
| 10 Greece. | 826 | 635 | 670 | 563 | 593 | 593 | 574 | $513{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 507 | 532 |
| 11 Italy | 7.739 | 7.782 | 7.572 | 5.987 | 3.446 | 3,453 | 3.600 | 3,014 ${ }^{\prime}$ | 4,620 | 6,140 |
| 12 Netherlands | 2.402 | 2.337 | 2.441 | 2.540 | 2,324 | 2.328 | 2,314 | 2.176 | 2.133 | 1.765 |
| 13 Norway | 1,271 | 1,267 | 1,344 | 1,037 | 1,575 | 1,575 | 1.477 | 1.648 | 1,743 | 1,288 |
| 14 Portugal | 330 | 557 | 374 | 358 | 356 | 356 | 309 | 336 | 454 | 447 |
| 15 Spain | 870 | 1,259 | 1.500 | 1,388 | 1.631 | 1,631 | 1,352 | 1,678 | 1.199 | 1.329 |
| 16 Sweden | 3.121 | 2.005 | 1,737 | 2.078 | 2.408 | 2.408 | 2.784 | 2.501 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 2,180 | 1,963 |
| 17 Switzerland | 18.225 | 17.954 | 16.689 | 16,636 | 16.844 | 16.856 | 15,739 | $15,810^{r}$ | 15,841 | 16,141 |
| 18 Turkey | 157 | 120 | 242 | 231 | 235 | 235 | 209 | 182 | 194 | 356 |
| 19 United Kingdom | 14,272 | 24,700 | 22,680 | 24,325 | 24,715 | 25,836 | 24.343 | $25,485^{r}$ | 24.428 | 22,895 |
| 20 Yugoslavia ........... | 254 | 266 | 681 | 269 | 202 | 202 | 238 | 270 | 312 | 408 |
| 21 Other Western Europe ${ }^{1}$ | 3,440 | 4,070 | 6,939 | 5,385 | 5,338 | 5.356 | 4.893 | $5.604^{*}$ | 5.323 | 5.160 |
| 22 U.S.S.R............. ${ }^{2}$ | 82 330 | 52 302 | 68 370 | 84 363 | $\begin{array}{r}47 \\ 352 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 47 350 | $\begin{array}{r}37 \\ 264 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 85 <br> 288 | 41 354 | 46 281 |
| 24 Canada | 6,969 | 7,379 | 10.031 | 9,131 | 8,570 | 8,610 | 10.338 | 11,222 ${ }^{r}$ | 10,199 | 9.192 |
| 25 Latin America and Caribbean | 31.638 | 49.686 | 53,170 | 52,275 | 50.818 | 51.178 | 58.415 | $60.096^{r}$ | 56,153 | 63,755 |
| 26 Argentina | 1.484 | 1.582 | 2.132 | 1.998 | 1.917 | 1.917 | 1.919 | 1.800 | 1,991 | 1,979 |
| 27 Bahamas. | 6.752 | 15.255 | 16.381 | 15.916 | 14,183 | 14.356 | 18.815 | 20,154r | 17,760 | 24,319 |
| 28 Bermuda | 428 | 430 | 670 | 804 | 915 | 913 | 634 | $802{ }^{r}$ | 698 | 634 |
| 29 Brazil. | 1.125 | 1.005 | 1,216 | 1,266 | 1,151 | 1,148 | 1,345 | 1.347 | 1,412 | 1.145 |
| 30 British West Indies | 5.974 | 11,138 | 12.766 | 12.144 | 11.566 | 11.566 | 13.995 | 14,892 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 12,834 | 14,015 |
| 31 Chile | 398 | 468 | 460 | 431 | 549 | 549 | 539 | 526 | 508 | 565 |
| 32 Colombia | 1.756 | 2,617 | 3.077 | 3.087 | 2,970 | 2.970 | 2,940 | 2,828 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 2,827 | 2,784 |
| 33 Cuba | 13 | 13 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| 34 Ecuador | 322 | 425 | 371 | 449 | 511 | 511 | 352 | 391 | 463 | 392 |
| 35 Guatemala ${ }^{3}$ | 416 | 414 | 367 | 461 | 446 | 446 | 416 | 413 | 399 | 411 |
| 36 Jamaica ${ }^{3}$ | 52 | 76 | 97 | 101 | 94 | 94 | 141 | 132 | 80 | 122 |
| 37 Mexico | 3.467 | 4.185 | 4.547 | 4.600 | 4,755 | 4.756 | 5.332 | 4,948 | 5,351 | 5.517 |
| 38 Netherlands Antilles | 308 | 499 | 413 | 523 | 436 | 476 | 442 | $438{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 493 | 480 |
| 39 Panama | 2.967 | 4,483 | 4,718 | 3,984 | 4.297 | 4.445 | 4,723 | 4.847 | 4,615 | 4,989 |
| 40 Peru. | 363 | 383 | 403 | 447 | 341 | 342 | 354 | 334 | 450 | 363 |
| 41 Uruguay | 231 | 202 | 254 | 266 | 306 | 306 | 284 | 334 | 322 | 243 |
| 42 Venezuela. | 3.821 | 4.192 | 3,170 | 3.925 | 4.218 | 4.220 | 4.178 | 3,924 | 3,548 | 3,666 |
| 43 Other Latin America and Caribbean | 1.760 | 2.318 | 2.123 | 1.869 | 2.158 | 2,158 | 1,997 | 1,979 | 2,398 | 2.123 |
| 44 Asia | 36,492 | 33,005 | 42,420 | 43,041 | 44,992 | 45,068 | 45,944 | 46,156 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 47,279 | 47.933 |
| China |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45 Mainland. | 67 | 49 | 49 | 55 | 60 | 60 | 46 | 54 | 102 | 92 |
| 46 Taiwan | 502 | 1.393 | 1.662 | 1.733 | 1.822 | 1.822 | 1.798 | 1.781 | 1.936 | 1.996 |
| 47 Hong Kong. | 1,256 | 1.672 | 2,548 | 3,054 | 2.440 | 2.438 | 2.468 | 3.001 | 3.151 | 3,446 |
| 48 India. | 790 | 527 | 416 | 604 | 576 | 576 | 442 | 458 | 408 | 392 |
| 49 Indonesia | 449 | 504 | 730 | 678 | 1.063 | 1.063 | 944 | 707 | 582 | 1.309 |
| 50 Israel | 688 | 707 | 883 | 557 | 582 | 582 | 444 | 404 | 478 | 387 |
| 51 Japan. | 21.927 | 8.907 | 16.281 | 17.990 | 19.367 | 19,442 | 19,450 | 19,803 ${ }^{+}$ | 19.563 | 19.472 |
| 52 Korea | 795 | 993 | 1.528 | 1,485 | 1.380 | 1,380 | 1.381 | 1,397 | 1.330 | 1,252 |
| 53 Philippines | 644 | 795 | 919 | 1,057 | 1.115 | 1,115 | 1,213 | 802 | 1.049 | 996 |
| 54 Thailand.......................... ${ }^{4}$ | $\begin{array}{r}427 \\ \hline 534\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}277 \\ 15 \\ \hline 1800\end{array}$ | +464 | ${ }^{4} 404$ | 14.250 | 250 | 391 | 338 | 422 | 436 |
| 55 Middle-East oil-exporting countries ${ }^{4}$. | 7.534 | 15,300 | 14.453 | 13.015 | 14.205 | 14.205 | 15.119 | $14.728^{r}$ | 15.129 | 14.794 |
| 56 Other Asia. | 1.414 | 1,879 | 2.487 | 2,409 | 2.132 | 2.134 | 2.247 | 2,684 ${ }^{r}$ | 3.129 | 3,362 |
| 57 Africa | 2.886 | 3.239 | 5.187 | 4.371 | 4.553 | 4,553 | 4.529 | 4.513 | 3.917 | 3,168 |
| 58 Egypt. | 404 | 475 | 485 | 496 | 333 | 333 | 336 | 308 | 289 | $\bigcirc 293$ |
| 59 Morocco | 32 | 33 | 33 | 30 | 33 | 33 | 34 | 54 | 41 | 77 |
| 60 South Africa. | 168 | 184 | 288 | 258 | 322 | 322 | 330 | 360 | 253 | 257 |
| 61 Zaire. | 43 | 110 | 57 | 58 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 24 | 181 | 84 |
| 62 Oil-exporting countries ${ }^{5}$. | 1.525 | 1.635 | 3.540 | 2.833 | 3.084 | 3,084 | 3.135 | 3,004 | 2,388 | 1,714 |
| 63 Other Africa | 715 | 804 | 783 | 697 | 753 | 753 | 666 | 764 | 765 | 743 |
| 64 Other countries | 1.076 | 904 | 1.247 | 1.513 | 1.526 | 1.526 | 2.189 | $2.477^{r}$ | 2.683 | 1,987 |
| 65 Australia. | 838 | 684 | 950 | 1,205 | 1.287 | 1.287 | 1.913 | 2,276 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 2,398 | 1,770 |
| 66 All other. | 239 | 220 | 297 | 307 | 240 | 240 | 275 | 201 | 285 | 216 |
| 67 Nonmonetary international and regional organizations | 2.607 | 2.356 | 2.342 | 2.003 | 1.854 | 1.854 | 1.804 | $1.813^{r}$ | 1.777 | 1.782 |
| 68 International ... | 1,485 | 1,238 | 1.156 | . 995 | 1.854 | 754 | 7.895 | $781{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 1.747 | 1,799 |
| 69 Latin American regional | 808 | 806 | 890 | 745 | 768 | 768 | 693 | 729 | 722 | 765 |
| 70 Other regional ${ }^{6}$ | 314 | 313 | 296 | 263 | 333 | 333 | 317 | 303 | 307 | 318 |
1. Includes the Bank for International Settlements. Beginning April 1978, also includes Eastern European countries not listed in line 23.
uncludes Eastern European countries not listed in line 23.
2. Beginning April 1978 comprises Bulgaria. Czechoslovakia, the German Dem2. Beginming April 1978 comprises Bulgaria. Czec
ocratic Republic. Hungary, Poland, and Romania.
3. Included in "Other Latin America and Caribbean" through March 1978.
4. Comprises Bahrain, Iran, Iraq, Kuwait, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, and United Arab Emirates (Trucial States).
5. Comprises Algeria, Gabon, Libya, and Nigeria.
6. Asian, African, Middle Eastern, and European regional organizations, except the Bank for International Settlements, which is included in "Other Western the Bank for International Settements, which is meluded in "Other Western urope.
1 Data in the two columns for this date differ because of changes in reporting coverage. Figures in the first column are comparable in coverage with those for the preceding month; figures in the second column are comparable with those for the following month.
3.17 BANKS' OWN CLAIMS ON FOREIGNERS Reported by Banks in the United States Payable in U.S. Dollars
Millions of dollars, end of period
|  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
1. Includes the Bank for International Settlements. Beginning April 1978, also includes Eastern European countries not listed in line 23.
2. Beginning April 1978 comprises Bulgaria, Czechoslovakia, the German Democratic Republic, Hungary, Poland, and Romania.
3. Included in "Other Latin America and Caribbean" through March 1978
4. Comprises Bahrain, Iran, Iraq, Kuwait, Oman. Qatar, Saudi Arabia, and United Arab Emirates (Trucial States)
5. Comprises Algeria, Gabon, Libya, and Nigeria.
6. Excludes the Bank for International Settlements, which is included in "Other Western Europe.
$\triangle$ Data in the two columns shown for this date differ because of changes in reporting coverage. Figures in the first column are comparable in coverage with those for the preceding month; figures in the second column are comparable with those for the following month.
NoTE. Data for period prior to April 1978 include claims of banks' domestic customers on foreigners.

### 3.18 BANKS' OWN AND DOMESTIC CUSTOMERS' CLAIMS ON FOREIGNERS Reported by Banks in the United States Payable in U.S. Dollars

Millions of dollars, end of period

| Type of claim | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Feb. | Mar. 4 |  | Apr. | May | June | July ${ }^{\text {P }}$ |
| 1 Total | 126,787 | 154,030 | 198,807 | ........ | 210,586 | 213,220 | . $\cdot . .$. | . . . . . . . | . . . . . . . | . $\cdot$..... |
| 2 Banks' own claims on foreigners | 115.545 | 133.943 | 172.702 | 167.687 | 179.535 | 181.551 | 184,769 | 186,704' | 197,310 | 196.717 |
| 3 Foreign public borrowers. | 10,346 | 15,937 | 20,944 | 20.321 | 20,836 | 21.027 | 21,401 | 21,529 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 22,767 | 23,846 |
| 4 Own foreign offices ${ }^{1}$. | 41,605 | 47,428 | 65.084 | 64.798 | 74,660 | 74.717 | 76,632 | 75,326 ${ }^{\prime}$ | 80.137 | 79,992 |
| 5 Unaffiliated foreign banks. | 40,483 | 40,927 | 50,215 | 45.880 | 46.502 | 48.104 | 48.670 | $51.927^{\prime}$ | 55.326 | 54,751 |
| 6 Deposits . . . . . . . . . . . | 5.428 | 6.274 | 8.254 | 7.079 | 7.263 | 8.205 | 7.831 | $10.441^{r}$ | 11,342 | 11,506 |
| 7 Other. | 35.054 | 34.654 | 41.962 | 38.800 | 39.239 | 39.898 | 40.839 | $41.486^{\prime}$ | 43,984 | 43,245 |
| 8 All other foreigners | 23.111 | 29.650 | 36.459 | 36.689 | 37.537 | 37,703 | 38,066 | $37.921^{\prime}$ | 39.079 | 38.128 |
| 9 Claims of banks' domestic customers ${ }^{2}$ | 11,243 | 20,088 | 26,106 |  | 31,052 | 31,669 |  |  | . . . . | . . . . $\cdot$ |
| 10 Deposits | 480 | 955 | 885 |  | 369 | 852 |  |  |  | . . . . . . |
| 11 Negotiable and readily transferable instruments ${ }^{3}$. | 5.396 | 13.100 | 15.574 | . . . . . . . | 19.930 | 20.064 | ....... | . . . . . |  | . . . . . . . . |
| 12 Outstanding collections and other claims ${ }^{4} \ldots . .$. | 5.366 | 6.032 | 9.648 |  | 10.752 | 10.753 |  |  | . . . . | . . . . . . |
| 13 Memo: Customer liability on acceptances | 15,030 | 18,021 | 22,714 |  | 24,452 | 24,452 |  |  |  |  |
| Dollar deposits in banks abroad. reported by non banking business enterprises in the United States ${ }^{5}$ | 13,558 | 22,042 | 23,659 | 31,064 | 30,375 | 30.375 | 34.234 | 34,635 | 32,734 | n.a. |

1. U.S. banks: includes amounts due from own foreign branches and foreign subsidiaries consolidated in "Consolidated Report of Condition" filed with bank regulatory agencies. Agencies, branches, and majority-owned subsidiaries of foreign banks: ptincipally amounts due from head office or parent foreign bank, and foreign branches, agencies. or wholly owned subsidiaries of head office or parent foreign bank.
2. Assets owned by customers of the reporting bank located in the United States that represent claims on foreigners held by reporting banks for the account of their domestic customers
3. Principally negotiable time certificates of deposit and bankers acceptances.
4. Data for March 1978 and for period before that are outstanding collections only
5. Includes demand and time deposits and negotiable and nonnegotiable certificates of deposit denominated in U.S. dollars issued by banks abroad. For descrip tion of changes in data reported by nonbanks, see July 1979 Bulletin, p. 550.

Data in the two columns for this month differ because of changes in reporting coverage. Figures in the first column are comparable in coverage with those shown for the preceding month; figures in the second column are comparable with those shown for the following month.

Note. Beginning April 1978, data for banks' own claims are given on a monthly basis, but the data for claims of banks" own domestic customers are available on a quarterly basis only.

### 3.19 BANKS' OWN CLAIMS ON UNAFFILIATED FOREIGNERS Reported by Banks in the United States Payable in U.S. Doliars

Millions of dollars, end of period


[^50]Data in the two columns for this month differ because of changes in reporting coverage. Figures in the first column are comparable in coverage with those for the preceding quarter; figures in the second column are comparable with those for the following quarter.

### 3.20 CLAIMS ON FOREIGN COUNTRIES Held by U.S. Offices and Foreign Branches of U.S.-Chartered Banks ${ }^{1}$

Billions of dollars, end of period

|  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

1. The banking offices covered by these data are the U.S. offices and foreign branches of U.S.-owned banks and of U.S. subsidiaries of foreign-owned banks. Offices not covered include (1) U.S. agencies and branches of foreign banks, and (2) foreign subsidiaries of U.S. banks. To minimize duplication, the data are adjusted to exclude the claims on foreign branches held by a U.S. office or another foreign branch of the same banking institution. The data in this table combine foreign branch claims in table 3.13 (the sum of lines 7 through 10 ) with the claims of U.S. offices in table 3.17 (excluding those held by agencies and branches of forejgn banks and those constituting claims on own foreign branches). However. see also footnote 2.
2. Beginning with data for June 1978, the claims of the U.S. offices in this table include only banks' own claims payable in dollars. For earlier dates
the claims of the U.S. offices also include customer claims and foreign currency claims (amounting in June 1978 to $\$ 10$ billion).
3. In addition to the Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries shown individually, this group includes other members of OPEC (Algeria, Gabon, Iran, Iraq. Kuwait, Libya, Nigeria, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, and United Arab Emirates) as well as Bahrain and Oman (not formally members of OPEC).
4. Foreign branch claims only through December 1976.
5. Excludes Liberia
6. Includes Canal Zone beginning December 1979.
7. Foreign branch claims only.
8. Includes New Zealand, Liberia, and international and regional organizations.

### 3.21 MARKETABLE U.S. TREASURY BONDS AND NOTES Foreign Holdings and Transactions Millions of dollars

| Country or area | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1981 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan.- } \\ & \text { July } \end{aligned}$ | Jan. | Fcb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July ${ }^{\text {p }}$ |
|  | Holdings (end of period) ${ }^{\text {1 }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Estimated total ${ }^{2}$. | 51,344 | 57,418 |  | 58,453 | 60,276 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 61,759 | 62,123 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 62,836 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 64,102 | 65,251 |
| 2 Foreign countries ${ }^{2}$. | 45.915 | $52.830{ }^{\text {r }}$ |  | $53.918^{r}$ | 55.645' | 56.840 | 57.352 | $58.038^{\prime}$ | 59.159 | 60.271 |
| 3 Europe ${ }^{2}$............... | 24,824 60 | 24,337 |  | 25.176 80 | 25,466 88 | 25.235 106 | 24.883 123 | 24,511 131 | 24.869 173 | 25,186 163 |
| ${ }_{5}^{4} \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { Beggium-Luxembourg. } \\ & \text { Germany }\end{aligned}$ | 6.00 14.056 | 12,335 |  | 12,791 | 12,915 | 12.340 | 11.925 | 11,949 | 12,594 | 13,236 |
| 6 Netherlands | 1.466 | 1.884 |  | 1.954 | 1,944 | 1,965 | 1,950 | 1,813 | 1.781 | 1.756 |
| 7 Sweden | 647 | 595 |  | 555 | 535 | 566 | 567 | 572 | 582 | 606 |
| 8 Switzerland ${ }^{2}$ | 1.868 | 1.485 |  | 1.561 | 1,524 | 1.527 | 1.526 | 1.535 | 1.600 | 1,506 |
| 9 United Kingdom | 6,236 | 7.183 |  | 7.438 | 7.745 | 7.892 | 7.862 | 7.274 | 6.836 | 6.569 |
| 10 Other Western Europe | 491 | 777 |  | 796 | 714 | 839 | 930 | 1,236 | 1,304 | 1,350 |
| 11 Eastern Europe . . . . . | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{0}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 Canada | 232 | 449 |  | 458 | 490 | 478 | 464 | 486 | 484 | 501 |
| 13 Latin America and Caribbean | 466 | 999 |  | 998 | 1.074 | 1.151 | 939 | 849 | 666 | 724 |
| 14 Venezuela... | 103 | 292 |  | 292 | 292 | 292 | 292 | 287 | 287 | 287 |
| 15 Other Latin America and Caribbean | 200 | 285 |  | 281 | 341 | 339 | 389 | 430 | 217 | 260 |
| 16 Netherlands Antilles. | 163 | 421 |  | 425 | 441 | 519 | 258 | 132 | 162 | 177 |
| 17 Asia | 19.805 | 26,112 |  | 26.303 | 27,467 | 28.827 | 29.920 | 31.047 | 31.997 | 32.716 |
| 18 Japan. | 11.175 | 9.479 |  | 9.519 | 9.543 | 9.543 | 9.566 | 9.606 | 9.778 | 9.786 |
| 19 Africa . | 591 | $919{ }^{\text {r }}$ |  | 970 | 1.139 | $1.139^{r}$ | 1,139 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 1.140 | 1,139 | 1.139 |
| 20 All other. | -3 | 14 |  | 14 | 18 | 9 | 7 | 6 | 3 | 6 |
| 21 Nonmonetary international and regional organizations. | 5.429 | $4.588{ }{ }^{\prime}$ |  | 4.535 | 4.622 | $4.919^{\prime}$ | $4.771^{r}$ | 4.798 | 4.943 | 4.980 |
| 22 International .......... | $\begin{array}{r}5,388 \\ \hline 37\end{array}$ | 4.548 36 |  | 4.505 26 | 4,586 36 | 4.878 36 | 4.759 6 | 4.791 1 | 4,936 1 | 4.977 1 |
|  | Transactions (net purchases, or sales ( - ) during period) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 Total ${ }^{2}$. | 6,397 | 6,074 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 7,833 | 1,035 | 1,827 | 1,480 | 364 | 713 | 1,266 | 1,149 |
| 25 Foreign countries ${ }^{2}$ | 6,099 | $6.915^{\prime}$ | 7.441 | 1.088 | 1.736 | 1.185 | 512 | 686 | 1,121 | 1,112 |
| 26 Official institutions | 1.697 | $3.865^{\prime}$ | 5.947 | 865 | 1.404 | 1.084 | 495 | 321 | 980 | 798 |
| 27 Other foreign ${ }^{2}$.... | 4,403 | 3,049 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 1.493 | 223 | 332 | 101 | 17 | 365 | 141 | 314 |
| 28 Nonmonetary international and regional organizations | 301 | -843 | 392 | -53 | 91 | 295 | -148 | 26 | 145 | 36 |
| Memo: Oil-exporting countries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 39 Middle East ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | -1.014 -100 | 7.672 327 | 5.889 220 | 501 | 1.139 169 |  |  | 841 | 565 0 | 659 0 |

1. Estimated official and private holdings of marketable U.S. Treasury securities with an original maturity of more than 1 year. Data are based on a benchmark survey of holdings as of Jan. 31, 1971, and monthly transactions reports. Excludes nonmarketable U.S. Treasury bonds and notes held by official institutions of foreign countries.
2. Beginning December 1978, includes U.S. Treasury notes publicly issued to private foreign residents denominated in foreign currencies
3. Comprises Bahrain, Iran. Iraq, Kuwait. Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, and United Arab Emirates (Trucial States).
4. Comprises Algeria, Gabon, Libya, and Nigeria.

### 3.22 FOREIGN OFFICIAL ASSETS HELD AT FEDERAL RESERVE BANKS

Millions of dollars, end of period

| Assets | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug. ${ }^{\text {P }}$ |
| 1 Deposits | 367 | 429 | 411 | 422 | 474 | 475 | 346 | 338 | 285 | 255 |
| 2 Assets held in custody ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 117.126 | 95.075 | 102.417 | 106.389 | 111.859 | 113.746 | 109.742 | 107.884 | 105.064 | 102.197 |
| 3 Earmarked gold ${ }^{2}$........ | 15,463 | 15.169 | 14.965 | 14,892 | 14.883 | 14.886 | 14,875 | 14,871 | 14.854 | 14,833 |

1. Marketable U.S. Treasury bills, notes, and bonds; and nonmarketable U.S. Treasury securities payable in dollars and in foreign currencies.
2. The value of earmarked gold increased because of the changes in par value
of the U.S. dollar in May 1972 and in October 1973.

Note. Excludes deposits and U.S. Treasury securities held for international and regional organizations. Earmarked gold is gold held for foreign and international accounts and is not included in the gold stock of the United States.

### 3.23 FOREIGN TRANSACTIONS IN SECURITIES

Millions of dollars


1. Comprises oil-exporting countries as follows: Bahrain. Iran, Iraq, Kuwait, Oman. Qatar, Saudi Arabia, and United Arab Emirates (Trucial States).
2. Includes state and local government securities, and securities of U.S. gov ernment agencies and corporations. Also includes issues of new debt securities sold abroad by U.S. corporations organized to finance direct investments abroad.
3.24 LIABILITIES TO UNAFFILIATED FOREIGNERS Reported by Nonbanking Business Enterprises in the United States ${ }^{1}$
Millions of dollars, end of period

3. For a description of the changes in the International Statistics tables, see July 1979 Bulletin, p. 550.
4. Before December 1978, foreign currency data include only liabilities denominated in foreign currencies with an original maturity of less than one year.
5. Comprises Bahrain, Iran. Iraq, Kuwait, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, and United Arab Emirates (Trucial States).
6. Comprises Algeria, Gabon, Libya, and Nigeria.
7. Includes nonmonetary international and regional organizations.

### 3.25 CLAIMS ON UNAFFILIATED FOREIGNERS Reported by Nonbanking Business Enterprises in the United States ${ }^{1}$

Millions of dollars, end of period


1. For a description of the changes in the International Statistics tables. sce July 979 Bulletin, p. 550
2. Prior to December 1978, foreign currency data include only liabilitics denominated in foreign currencies with an original maturity of less than one year.
3. Comprises Bahrain, Iran. Iraq, Kuwait. Oman. Qatar, Saudi Arabia, and United Arab Emirates (Trucial States).
4. Comprises Algeria. Gabon, Libya, and Nigeria
5. Includes nonmonetary international and regional organizations.

### 3.26 DISCOUNT RATES OF FOREIGN CENTRAL BANKS

Percent per annum

| Country | Rate on Aug. 31, 1981 |  | Country | Rate on Aug. 31, 1981 |  | Country | Rate on Aug. 31, 1981 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Month effective |  | Percent | Month effective |  | Percent | Month effective |
| securities for Argentina | 284.69 | Aug. 1981 | France ${ }^{1}$ | 17.0 | Aug. 1981 | Sweden | 12.0 | Jan. 1981 |
| Austria . . . . . . . . . . . | 6.75 | Mar. 1980 | Germany, Fed. Rep. of... | 7.5 | May 1980 | Switzerland. | 5.0 | May 1981 |
| Belgium. | 13.0 | May 1981 | Italy . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 19.0 | Mar. 1981 | United Kingdom ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| Brazil. . | 40.0 | June 1980 | Japan. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 6.25 | Mar. 1981 | Venezuela....... | 10.0 | July 1980 |
| Canada | 21.07 | Aug. 1981 | Netherlands . . . . . . . . . . | 9.0 | Mar. 1981 |  |  |  |
| Denmark. | 11.00 | Oct. 1980 | Norway . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 9.0 | Nov. 1979 |  |  |  |

1. As from February 1981, the rate at which the Bank of France discounts Treasury bills for 7 to 10 days.
2. MLR suspended as of August 20, 1981.

Note. Rates shown are mainly those at which the central bank cither
discounts or makes advances against eligible commercial paper and/or government commercial banks or brokers. For countries with more than one rate applicable to such discounts or advances, the rate more than one rate applicable to such discounts or advances, the rate
shown is the one at which it is understood the central bank transacts the largest proportion of its credit operations.

### 3.27 FOREIGN SHORT-TERM INTEREST RATES

Percent per annum, averages of daily figures

| Country, or type | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug. |
| 1 Eurodollars. | 8.74 | 11.96 | 14.00 | 17.18 | 15.36 | 15.95 | 19.06 | 17.86 | 18.50 | 18.79 |
| 2 United Kingdom. | 9.18 | 13.60 | 16.59 | 13.12 | 12.58 | 12.26 | 12.34 | 12.61 | 13.63 | 14.02 |
| 3 Canada ........ | 8.52 | 11.91 | 13.12 | 17.28 | 16.85 | 17.35 | 18.96 | 19.28 | 19.67 | 21.84 |
| 4 Germany. | 3.67 | 6.64 | 9.45 | 10.74 | 13.44 | 13.12 | 13.06 | 13.05 | 12.92 | 12.87 |
| 5 Switzerland. | 0.74 | 2.04 | 5.79 | 7.09 | 8.33 | 8.67 | 9.87 | 10.02 | 9.76 | 9.05 |
| 6 Netherlands | 6.53 | 9.33 | 10.60 | 9.78 | 10.61 | 10.41 | 11.76 | 11.81 | 12.38 | 13.54 |
| 7 France..... | 8.10 | 9.44 | 12.18 | 11.87 | 12.56 | 13.00 | 15.75 | 18.84 | 17.34 | 17.40 |
| 8 Italy... | 11.40 | 11.85 | 17.50 | 17.50 | 18.22 | 19.92 | 19.92 | 20.49 | 20.78 | 20.94 |
| 9 Belgium | 7.14 | 10.48 | 14.06 | 12.52 | 13.93 | 17.16 | 16.90 | 15.58 | 16.16 | 16.00 |
| 10 Јарап...................... | 4.75 | 6.10 | 11.45 | 8.52 | 7.87 | 6.83 | 7.22 | 7.41 | 7.16 | 7.22 |

NoTE. Rates are for 3 -month interbank loans except for the following:
Canada, finance company paper; Belgium, 3-month Treasury bills; and Japan,
Gensaki rate.

### 3.28 FOREIGN EXCHANGE RATES

Cents per unit of foreign currency

| Country/currency | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | Junc | July | Aug. |
| 1 Australia/dollar | 114.41 | 111.77 | 114.00 | 116.26 | 116.29 | 115.32 | 114.06 | 114.07 | 114.27 | 113.99 |
| 2 Austria/schilling | 6.8958 | 7.4799 | 7.7349 | 6.6033 | 6.6959 | 6.5355 | 6.1722 | 5.9502 | 5.8225 | 5.6968 |
| 3 Belgium/franc. . | 3.1809 | 3.4098 | 3.4247 | 2.8972 | 2.8966 | 2.8220 | 2.6742 | 2.5734 | 2.5027 | 2.4466 |
| 4 Canada/dollar. | 87.729 | 85.386 | 85.530 | 83.442 | 83.936 | 83.966 | 83.265 | 83.050 | 82.601 | 81.766 |
| 5 Denmark/krone | 18.156 | 19.010 | 17.766 | 15.152 | 15.109 | 14.683 | 13.864 | 13.384 | 13.074 | 12.732 |
| 6 Finland/markka | 24.337 | 27.732 | 26.892 | 24.656 | 24.612 | 23.059 | 23.207 | 22.511 | 22.045 | 21.607 |
| 7 France/franc. | 22.218 | 23.504 | 23.694 | 20.142 | 20.147 | 19.548 | 18.225 | 17.679 | 17.253 | 16.720 |
| 8 Germany/deutsche mark | 49.867 | 54.561 | 55.089 | 46.757 | 47.498 | 46.219 | 43.601 | 42.054 | 40.977 | 39.988 |
| 9 India/rupee... | 12.207 | 12.265 | 12.686 | 12.164 | 12.131 | 12.060 | 11.900 | 11.688 | 11.229 | 11.038 |
| 10 Ireland/pound | 191.84 | 204,65 | 205.77 | 173.31 | 173.25 | 168.46 | 159.49 | 153.61 | 149.40 | 146.04 |
| 11 Italy/lira | . 11782 | . 12035 | . 11694 | 09807 | . 09699 | . 09280 | 08766 | . 08436 | . 08233 | . 08038 |
| 12 Japan/yen | . 47981 | . 45834 | . 44311 | 48615 | . 47897 | 46520 | . 45332 | . 44621 | 43055 | . 42881 |
| 13 Malaysia/ringgit | 43.210 | 45.720 | 45.967 | 44.196 | 43.830 | 43.182 | 42.752 | 42.720 | 42.519 | 42.119 |
| 14 Mexico/peso. | 4.3896 | 4.3826 | 4.3535 | 4.2544 | 4.2238 | 4.1880 | 4.1500 | 4.1066 | 4.0650 | 4.0301 |
| 15 Netherlands/guilder. | 46.284 | 49.843 | 50.369 | 42.870 | 42.912 | 41.660 | 39.224 | 37.816 | 36.833 | 36.009 |
| 16 New Zealand/dollas | 103.64 | 102.23 | 97.337 | 93.414 | 91.999 | 90.273 | 88.150 | 85.823 | 83.771 | 82.331 |
| 17 Norway/krone | 19.079 | 19.747 | 20.261 | 18.485 | 18.540 | 18.271 | 17.652 | 16.907 | 16.387 | 16.177 |
| 18 Portugal/escudo | 2.2782 | 2.0437 | 1.9980 | 1.7722 | 1.7621 | 1.7178 | 1.6449 | 1.5899 | 1.5429 | 1.4999 |
| 19 South Africa/rand | 115.01 | 118.72 | 128.54 | 129.27 | 126.50 | 123.32 | 119.35 | 115.18 | 108.46 | 105.27 |
| 20 Spain/peseta. | 1.3073 | 1.4896 | 1.3958 | 1.1686 | 1.1672 | 1.1395 | 1.0953 | 1.0565 | 1.0248 | . 99864 |
| 21 Sri Lanka/rupee. | 6.3834 | 6.4226 | 6.1947 | 5.5975 | 5.5527 | 5.4185 | 5.4422 | 5.3970 | 5.3491 | 5.1932 |
| 22 Sweden/krona | 22.139 | 23.323 | 23.647 | 21.734 | 21.704 | 21.309 | 20.450 | 19.802 | 19.293 | 18.870 |
| 23 Switzerland/franc. | 56.283 | 60.121 | 59.697 | 51.502 | 52.043 | 50.664 | 48.400 | 48.226 | 47.667 | 46.091 |
| 24 United Kingdom/pound. | 191.84 | 212.24 | 232.58 | 229.41 | 223.19 | 217.53 | 208.84 | 197.38 | 187.37 | 182.03 |
| Memo: <br> 25 United States/dollar ${ }^{1}$ | 92.39 | 88.09 | 87.39 | 96.02 | 96.22 | 98.80 | 103.59 | 106.86 | 109.87 | 112.29 |

[^51]the Weighted-Average Exchange Value of the U.S. Dollar: Revision" on page 700 of the August 1978 BuLletin.

# Guide to Tabular Presentation, Statistical Releases, and Special Tables 

## Guide to Tabular Presentation

## Symbols and Abbreviations

| c | Corrected |
| :--- | :--- |
| e | Estimated |
| p | Preliminary |
| r | Revised (Notation appears on column heading |
|  | when more than half of figures in that column |
| $*$ | are changed.) |
|  | Amounts insignificant in terms of the last decimal |
| place shown in the table (for example, less than |  |
| 500,000 when the smallest unit given is |  |
| millions) |  |

$0 \quad$ Calculated to be zero
n.a.
n.e.c.

IPCs
REITs
RPs
SMSAs Standard metropolitan statistical areas ...... Cell not applicable

## General Information

Minus signs are used to indicate (1) a decrease, (2) a negative figure, or (3) an outflow.
"U.S. government securities" may include guaranteed issues of U.S. government agencies (the flow of funds figures also include not fully guaranteed issues) as well as direct
obligations of the Treasury. "State and local government" also includes municipalities, special districts, and other political subdivisions.

In some of the tables details do not add to totals because of rounding.

## Statistical Releases

List Published Semiannually, with Latest Bulletin Reference

|  | Issue | Page |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anticipated schedule of release dates for periodic releases | June 1981 | A78 |

## Spectal Tables

## Published Irregularly, with Latest Bulletin Reference

| Commercial bank assets and liabilities, call dates, December 31, 1978, to March 31, 1980 | October 1980 | A71 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial bank assets and liabilities, June 30, 1980 | December 1980 | A68 |
| Commercial bank assets and liabilities, September 30, 1980 | February 1981 | A68 |
| Commercial bank assets and liabilities, December 31, 1980 | April 1981 | A72 |
| Assets and liabilities of U.S. branches and agencies of foreign banks, March 31, 1981 | July 1981 | A78 |
| Commercial bank assets and liabilities, March 31, 1981 | July 1981 | A72 |
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## Federal Reserve Board Publications

Copies are available from PUBLICATIONS SERVICES, Room MP-510, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551. When a charge is indicated, remittance should accompany request and be made

The Federal Reserve System-Purposes and FuncTIONS. 1974. 125 pp .
Annual Report.
Federal Reserve Bulletin. Monthly. $\$ 20.00$ per year or $\$ 2.00$ each in the United States, its possessions, Canada, and Mexico; 10 or more of same issue to one address, $\$ 18.00$ per year or $\$ 1.75$ each. Elsewhere, $\$ 24.00$ per year or $\$ 2.50$ each.
Banking and Monetary Statistics. 1914-1941. (Reprint of Part I only) 1976. 682 pp. $\$ 5.00$.
Banking and Monetary Statistics, 1941-1970. 1976. $1,168 \mathrm{pp} . \$ 15.00$.

Annual Statistical Digest
1971-75. 1976. 339 pp . $\$ 4.00$ per copy for each paid subscription to Federal Reserve Bulletin; all others $\$ 5.00$ each.
1972-76. 1977. 377 pp. $\$ 10.00$ per copy.
1973-77. $1978.361 \mathrm{pp} . \$ 12.00$ per copy.
1974-78. 1980. $305 \mathrm{pp} . \$ 10.00$ per copy.
1970-79. 1981. 587 pp. $\$ 20.00$ per copy.
Federal Reserve Chart Book. Issued four times a year in February, May, August, and November. Subscription includes one issue of Historical Chart Book. $\$ 7.00$ per year or $\$ 2.00$ each in the United States, its possessions, Canada, and Mexico. Elsewhere, $\$ 10.00$ per year or $\$ 3.00$ each.
Historical Chart Book. Issued annually in Sept. Subscription to Federal Reserve Chart Book includes one issue. $\$ 1.25$ each in the United States, its possessions, Canada, and Mexico; 10 or more to one address, $\$ 1.00$ each. Elsewhere, $\$ 1.50$ each.
Selected Interest and Exchange Rates-Weekly Series of Charts. Weekly. $\$ 15.00$ per year or $\$ .40$ each in the United States, its possessions, Canada, and Mexico; 10 or more of same issue to one address, $\$ 13.50$ per year or $\$ .35$ each. Elsewhere, $\$ 20.00$ per year or $\$ .50$ each.
The Federal Reserve Act, as amended through December 1976, with an appendix containing provisions of certain other statutes affecting the Federal Reserve System. 307 pp. $\$ 2.50$.
Regulations of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System
Published Interpretations of the Board of GovernORS, as of June 30, 1980. $\$ 7.50$.
Bank Credit-Card and Check-Credit Plans. 1968. 102 pp. $\$ 1.00$ each; 10 or more to one address, $\$ .85$ each.
Report of the Joint Treasury-Federal Reserve Study of the U.S. Government Securities Market. 1969. $48 \mathrm{pp} . \$ .25$ each; 10 or more to one address, $\$ .20$ each.
payable to the order of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System. Remittance from foreign residents should be drawn on a U.S. bank. Stamps and coupons are not accepted.

Joint Treasury-Federal Reserve Study of the Government Securities Market; Staff Studies-Part 1. $1970.86 \mathrm{pp} . \$ .50$ each; 10 or more to one address, $\$ .40$ each. Part 2, 1971.153 pp. and Part 3, 1973. 131 pp. Each volume $\$ 1.00$; 10 or more to one address, $\$ .85$ each.
Open Market Policies and Operating ProceduresStaff Studies. $1971.218 \mathrm{pp} . \$ 2.00$ each; 10 or more to one address, $\$ 1.75$ each.
Reappraisal of the Federal Reserve Discount Mechanism. Vol. 1. 1971. 276 pp. Vol. 2. 1971. 173 pp. Vol. 3. 1972. 220 pp . Each volume $\$ 3.00$; 10 or more to one address, $\$ 2.50$ each.
The Econometrics of Price Determination Conference, October 30-31, 1970, Washington, D.C. 1972. 397 pp. Cloth ed. $\$ 5.00$ each; 10 or more to one address, $\$ 4.50$ each. Paper ed. $\$ 4.00$ each; 10 or more to one address, $\$ 3.60$ each.
Federal Reserve Staff Study: Ways to Moderate Fluctuations in Housing Construction. 1972. 487 pp. $\$ 4.00$ each; 10 or more to one address, $\$ 3.60$ each.
Lending Functions of the Federal Reserve Banks. 1973. 271 pp. $\$ 3.50$ each; 10 or more to one address, $\$ 3.00$ each
Improving the Monetary Aggregates: Report of the Advisory Committee on Monetary Statistics. 1976. 43 pp . $\$ 1.00$ each; 10 or more to one address, $\$ .85$ each.
Annual Percentage Rate Tables (Truth in LendingRegulation Z) Vol. I (Regular Transactions). 1969. 100 pp. Vol. II (Irregular Transactions). 1969. 116 pp. Each volume $\$ 1.00 ; 10$ or more of same volume to one address, $\$ .85$ each.
Federal Reserve Measures of Capacity and Capacity Utilization. $1978.40 \mathrm{pp} . \$ 1.75$ each; 10 or more to one address, $\$ 1.50$ each.
The Bank Holding Company Movement to 1978: A Compendium. 1978. 289 pp. $\$ 2.50$ each; 10 or more to one address, $\$ 2.25$ each.
Improving the Monetary Aggregates: Staff Papers. 1978. 170 pp . $\$ 4.00$ each; 10 or more to one address, $\$ 3.75$ each.
1977 Consumer Credit Survey. 1978. 119 pp. $\$ 2.00$ each.
Flow of Funds Accounts. 1949-1978. 1979. 171 pp. $\$ 1.75$ each; 10 or more to one address, $\$ 1.50$ each.

Introduction to Flow of Funds. 1980.68 pp . $\$ 1.50$ each; 10 or more to one address, $\$ 1.25$ each.
Public Policy and Capital Formation. 1981. 326 pp. $\$ 13.50$ each.

New Monetary Control Procedures: Federal Reserve Staff Study, 1981.

## CONSUMER EDUCATION PAMPHLETS

Short pamphlets suitable for classroom use. Multiple copies available without charge.

Alice in Debitland
The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System
Consumer Handbook To Credit Protection Laws
The Equal Credit Opportunity Act and . . . Age
The Equal Credit Opportunity Act and . . . Credit Rights in Housing
The Equal Credit Opportunity Act and . . . Doctors, Lawyers, Small Retailers, and Others Who May Provide Incidental Credit
The Equal Credit Opportunity Act and . . . Women
Fair Credit Billing
The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System
The Federal Open Market Committee
Federal Reserve Bank Board of Directors
Federal Reserve Banks
Federal Reserve Glossary
Monetary Control Act of 1980
How to File A Consumer Credit Complaint
If You Borrow To Buy Stock
If You Use A Credit Card
Truth in Leasing
U.S. Currency

What Truth in Lending Means to You

## Staff Studies

Studies and papers on economic and financial subjects that are of general interest.

## Summaries Only Printed in the Bulletin

Requests to obtain single copies of the full text or to be added to the mailing list for the series may be sent to Publications Services.

Tie-ins Between the Granting of Credit and Sales of Insurance by Bank Holding Companies and Other Lenders, by Robert A. Eisenbeis and Paul R. Schweitzer. Feb. 1979. 75 pp.
Measures of Capacity Utilization: Problems and Tasks, by Frank de Leeuw, Lawrence R. Forest, Jr.,

Richard D. Raddock, and Zoltan E. Kenessey. July 1979. 264 pp .

The Gnma-Guaranteed Passthrough Security: Market Development and Implications for the Growth and Stability of Home Mortgage Lending, by David F. Seiders. Dec. 1979. 65 pp.
Performance and Characteristics of Edge Corporations, by James V. Houpt. Feb. 1981. 56 pp.
Banking Structure and Performance at the State Level during the 1970s, by Stephen A. Rhoades. Mar. 1981. 26 pp .

Federal Reserve Decisions on Bank Mergers and AcQUisitions during the 1970s, by Stephen A. Rhoades. Aug. 1981. 16 pp.

## REPRINTS

Most of the articles reprinted do not exceed 12 pages.
Measures of Security Credit. 12/70.
Revision of Bank Credit Series. 12/71.
Assets and Liabilities of Foreign Branches of U.S. Banks. 2/72.
Bank Debits, Deposits, and Deposit Turnover-Revised Series. 7/72.
Rates on Consumer Instalment Loans. 9/73.
New Series for Large Manufacturing Corporations. 10/73.
The Structure of Margin Credit. 4/75.
Industrial Electric Power Use. 1/76.
Revised Series for Member Bank Deposits and Aggregate Reserves. 4/76.
Industrial Production-1976 Revision. 6/76.
Federal Reserve Operations in Payment Mechanisms: A Summary. 6/76.
The Federal Budget in the 1970's. 9/78.
Implementation of the International Banking Act. 10/79.
Perspectives on Personal Saving. 8/80.
The Impact of Rising Oil Prices on the Major Foreign Industrial Countries. 10/80.
Federal Reserve and the Payments System: Upgrading Electronic Capabilities for the 1980s. $2 / 81$.
U.S. International Transactions in 1980. 4/81.

Survey of Finance Companies, 1980. 5/81.

## Index to Statistical Tables

## References are to pages A3 through A68 although the prefix " $A$ " is omitted in this index

ACCEPTANCES, bankers, 10, 25, 27
Agricultural loans, commercial banks, 18, 19, 20, 26
Assets and liabilities (See also Foreigners)
Banks, by classes, 17, 18-21
Domestic finance companies, 39
Federal Reserve Banks, 11
Foreign banks, U.S. branches and agencies, 22
Nonfinancial corporations, current, 38
Savings institutions, 29
Automobiles
Consumer installment credit, 42, 43
Production, 48, 49
BANKERS balances, 17, 18-20 (See also Foreigners)
Banks for Cooperatives, 35
Bonds (See also U.S. government securities)
New issues, 36
Yields, 3
Branch banks, 15, 21, 22, 56
Business activity, nonfinancial, 46
Business expenditures on new plant and equipment, 38
Business loans (See Commercial and industrial loans)
CAPACITY utilization, 46
Capital accounts
Banks, by classes, 17
Federal Reserve Banks, 11
Central banks, 68
Certificates of deposit, 21, 27
Commercial and industrial loans
Commercial banks, 15, 17, 22, 26
Weekly reporting banks, 18-22, 23
Commercial banks
Assets and liabilities, 3, 15, 17, 18-21
Business loans, 26
Commercial and industrial loans, 15, 17, 22, 23, 26
Consumer loans held, by type, 42, 43
Loans sold outright, 21
Nondeposit funds, 16
Number, 17
Real estate mortgages held, by holder and property, 41
Commercial paper, 3, 25, 27, 39
Condition statements (See Assets and liabilities)
Construction, 46, 50
Consumer installment credit, 42, 43
Consumer prices, 46, 51
Consumption expenditures, 52,53
Corporations
Profits and their distribution, 37
Security issues, 36, 65
Cost of living (See Consumer prices)
Credit unions, 29, 42, .43
Currency and coin, 5, 17
Currency in circulation, 4, 13
Customer credit, stock market, 28
DEBITS to deposit accounts, 12
Debt (See specific types of debt or securities)
Demand deposits
Adjusted, commercial banks, 12, 14
Banks, by classes, 17, 18-21
Ownership by individuals, partnerships, and corporations, 24

Demand deposits-Continued
Subject to reserve requirements, 14
Turnover, 12
Depository institutions
Reserve requirements, 8
Reserves, 3, 4, 5, 14
Deposits (See also specific types)
Banks, by classes, 3, 17, 18-21, 29
Federal Reserve Banks, 4, 11
Turnover, 12
Discount rates at Reserve Banks (See Interest rates)
Discounts and advances by Reserve Banks (See Loans)
Dividends, corporate, 37

## EMPLOYMENT, 46, 47

Eurodollars, 27
FARM mortgage loans, 41
Federal agency obligations, 4, 10, 11, 12, 34
Federal and federally sponsored credit agencies, 35
Federal finance
Debt subject to statutory limitation and types and ownership of gross debt, 32
Receipts and outlays, 31
Treasury operating balance, 30
Federal Financing Bank, 30, 35
Federal funds, 3, 6, 18, 19, 20, 27, 30
Federal Home Loan Banks, 35
Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, 35, 40, 41
Federal Housing Administration, 35, 40, 41
Federal Intermediate Credit Banks, 35
Federal Land Banks, 35, 41
Federal National Mortgage Association, 35, 40, 41
Federal Reserve Banks
Condition statement, 11
Discount rates (See Interest rates)
U.S. government securities held, 4, 11, 12, 32, 33

Federal Reserve credit, 4, 5, 11, 12
Federal Reserve notes, 11
Federally sponsored credit agencies, 35
Finance companies
Assets and liabilities, 39
Business credit, 39
Loans, 18, 19, 20, 42, 43
Paper, 25, 27
Financial institutions, loans to, 18, 19, 20
Float, 4
Flow of funds, 44, 45
Foreign banks, assets and liabilities of U.S. branches and agencies, 22
Foreign currency operations, 11
Foreign deposits in U.S. banks, 4, 11, 18, 19, 20
Foreign exchange rates, 68
Foreign trade, 55
Foreigners
Claims on, 56, 58, 61, 62, 63, 67
Liabilities to, 21, 56-60, 64-66

GOLD
Certificates, 11
Stock, 4, 55

Government National Mortgage Association, 35, 40, 41
Gross national product, 52, 53
HOUSING, new and existing units, 50
INCOME, personal and national, 46, 52, 53
Industrial production, 46, 48
Installment loans, 42, 43
Insurance companies, 29, 32, 33, 41
Interbank loans and deposits, 17
Interest rates
Bonds, 3
Business loans of banks, 26
Federal Reserve Banks, 3, 7
Foreign countries, 68
Money and capital markets, 3, 27
Mortgages, 3, 40
Prime rate, commercial banks, 26
Time and savings deposits, 9
International capital transactions of the
United States, 56-67
International organizations, 56-61, 64-67
Inventories, 52
Investment companies, issues and assets, 37
Investments (See also specific types)
Banks, by classes, 17, 29
Commercial banks, 3, 15, 17, 18-20
Federal Reserve Banks, 11, 12
Savings institutions, 29, 41
LABOR force, 47
Life insurance companies (See Insurance companies)
Loans (See also specific types)
Banks, by classes, 17, 18-21
Commercial banks, 3, 15, 17, 18-21, 22, 26
Federal Reserve Banks, 3, 4, 5, 7, 11, 12
Insured or guaranteed by United States, 40, 41
Savings institutions, 29, 41
MANUFACTURING
Capacity utilization, 46
Production, 46, 49
Margin requirements, 28
Member banks
Borrowing at Federal Reserve Banks, 5, 11
Federal funds and repurchase agreements, 6
Reserve requirements, 8
Reserves and related items, 14
Mining production, 49
Mobile home shipments, 50
Monetary aggregates, 3, 14
Money and capital market rates (See Interest rates)
Money stock measures and components, 3, 13
Mortgages (See Real estate loans)
Mutual funds (See Investment companies)
Mutual savings banks, 3, 9, 18-20, 29, 32, 33, 41
NATIONAL defense outlays, 31
National income, 52
OPEN market transactions, 10
PERSONAL income, 53
Prices
Consumer and producer, 46, 51
Stock market, 28
Prime rate, commercial banks, 26
Production, 46, 48
Profits, corporate, 37

REAL estate loans
Banks, by classes, 18-20, 41
Mortgage terms, yields, and activity, 3, 40
Savings institutions, 27
Type of holder and property mortgaged, 41
Repurchase agreements and federal funds, $6,18,19,20$
Reserve requirements, 8
Reserves
Commercial banks, 17
Depository institutions, 3, 4, 5, 14
Federal Reserve Banks, 11
Member banks, 14
U.S. reserve assets, 55

Residential mortgage loans, 40
Retail credit and retail sales, 42, 43, 46

## SAVING

Flow of funds, 44, 45
National income accounts, 53
Savings and loan assns., 3, 9, 29, 33, 41, 44
Savings deposits (See Time deposits)
Savings institutions, selected assets and liabilities, 29
Securities (See also U.S. government securities)
Federal and federally sponsored agencies, 35
Foreign transactions, 65
New issues, 36
Prices, 28
Special drawing rights, $4,11,54,55$
State and local governments
Deposits, 18, 19, 20
Holdings of U.S. government securities, 32, 33
New security issues, 36
Ownership of securities of, 18, 19, 20, 29
Yields of securities, 3
Stock market, 28
Stocks (See also Securities)
New issues, 36
Prices, 28
TAX receipts, federal, 31
Thrift institutions (See Savings institutions)
Time deposits, 3, 9, 12, 14, 17, 18-21
Trade, foreign, 55
Treasury currency, Treasury cash, 4
Treasury deposits, $4,11,30$
Treasury operating balance, 30
UNEMPLOYMENT, 47
U.S. balance of payments, 54
U.S. government balances

Commercial bank holdings, 18, 19, 20
Member bank holdings, 14
Treasury deposits at Reserve Banks, 4, 11, 30
U.S. government securities

Bank holdings, 17, 18-20, 32, 33
Dealer transactions, positions, and financing, 34
Federal Reserve Bank holdings, 4, 11, 12, 32, 33
Foreign and international holdings and transactions, 11, 32, 64
Open market transactions, 10
Outstanding, by type and ownership, 32, 33
Rates, 3, 27
Savings institutions, 29
Utilities, production, 49
VETERANS Administration, 40, 41
WEEKLY reporting banks, 18-23
Wholesale (producer) prices, 46,51
YIELDS (See Interest rates)

## The Federal Reserve System

Boundaries of Federal Reserve Districts and Their Branch Territories


## LEGEND

- Boundaries of Federal Reserve Districts

Boundaries of Federal Reserve Branch Territories

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System

- Federal Reserve Bank Cities
- Federal Reserve Branch Cities

Federal Reserve Bank Facility


[^0]:    Sources. IMF Annual Reports and World Economic Outlook and

[^1]:    Sources. BIS, U.S. country exposure lending survey, and Federal Reserve staff estimates.

[^2]:    1. The data base was developed by Nancy Pittman, and research assistance was provided by Mary McLaughlin.
[^3]:    3. In 1980, commercial banks outside New York and New England-where NOW accounts already existed-lost $\$ 12$ billion of nontransaction savings accounts and $\$ 3$ billion of demand deposits of individuals, partnerships, and corporations; their interest-bearing transaction accounts grew $\$ 7$ billion.
[^4]:    1. Calculated as described in the "Technical note." Bulletin (September 1979) p. 704.
    2. Does not include nonnegotiable time deposits of $\$ 100,000$ or more.
[^5]:    4. Information on the sectors in small bank loan portfolios that experienced credit deterioration is not available.
[^6]:    5. Appendix table A. 3 shows dollar amounts of income and expenses of banks with foreign offices.
[^7]:    1. Approximated for domestic offices according to the method described in table 1 , note 4.
    2. See table A.3. Reflects amounts attributed, giving full allocation of income and expense.
[^8]:    1. Richard Field and Carol Keyt provided research assistance for the article.
    2. The Federal Reserve has conducted a quarterly survey of changes in lending practices at selected commercial banks since 1964. These surveys, which are conducted on the 15th of the middle month of each quarter, have provided qualitative information on past and prospective changes in business loan demand, adjustment of nonrate features of lending to businesses, and changes in banks' willingness to extend commercial and industrial loans and other types of credit.

    Through February 1981, the survey panel included roughly 120 banks. As of September 1980, almost one-fourth of the panel had domestic assets of $\$ 5$ billion or more, two-thirds had assets between $\$ 1$ billion and $\$ 5$ billion, and 9 percent had assets under \$1 billion. In May 1981, the panel was reduced to 60 members of the former sample, distributed about evenly across Federal Reserve Districts. As of September 1980, twofifths of the revised panel had domestic assets of $\$ 5$ billion or more, and the remainder had assets between $\$ 1$ billion and $\$ 5$ billion. Through February 1981, members of the LPS panel were asked to complete a reporting form containing 22 questions. Since then, Reserve Bank officers familiar with bank lending practices have conducted telephone interviews with the reduced panel of senior loan officers. Each quarter, respondents are asked 6 core questions retained from the former 22 . In addition, when appropriate, the survey will include supplemental questions addressing special issues in bank lending practices.

[^9]:    1. Domestically chartered weekly reporting banks with domestic assets, as of December 31, 1977, of $\$ 750$ million or more.
    2. Defined as domestically chartered banks with assets of less than $\$ 750$ million plus foreign-related banking institutions in the United States.
    e Estimated.
[^10]:    5. As reported, such lending was initially developed to provide users of commercial paper with transitional facilities to bridge gaps of a few days between issues of commercial paper.
    6. The STBL also showed an increase in the proportion of short-term loans made below prime at other than the 48 large banks; this rise likely reflected an interaction between rapidly rising interest rates and cap provisions, which, together with restructured loans, appear to account for most of the belowprime lending at nonmoney-center banks.
[^11]:    7. Some respondents may have failed to adjust adequately for seasonal variation in loan demand. Growth of business loans at large banks is seasonally weak in February, whereas November brings a seasonal increase. Growth of business loan commitments is seasonally strong in both months, but more so in November.
[^12]:    10. As of this writing, results of the August STBL were not yet available.
[^13]:    1. After allowance for bank's usual seasonal variation.
[^14]:    1. After allowance for bank's usual seasonal variation.
[^15]:    1. Data are on a value-date basis.
[^16]:    p Preliminary. e Estimated. Note. Indexes are seasonally adjusted.

[^17]:    1. The Board's midyear report under the act was transmitted to the Congress on July 20, 1981.
[^18]:    1. "Federally related mortgage loan" means any loan (other than temporary financing such as a construction loan) that
    (i) Is secured by a first lien on residential real property (including individual units of condominiums and cooperatives) that is designed principally for the occupancy of from 1-to-4 families and is located in a state; and
    (ii)
    (a) Is made in whole or in part by a depository institution the deposits or accounts of which are insured by an agency of the federal government, or by a depository institution that is regulated by an agency of the federal government; or
    (b) Is made in whole or in part, or is insured, guaranteed, supplemented, or assisted in any way, by the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development or any other officer or agency of the federal government or under or in connection with a housing or urban development program administered by any such officer or agency; or
    (c) Is intended to be sold by the depository institution that originates the loan to the Federal National Mortgage Association, the Government National Mortgage Association, or the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, or to a financial institution from which it is to be purchased by the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation.
    2. See footnote 3.
    3. An institution may categorize a first-lien loan made for home improvement purposes as a home purchase loan if that is the manner in which it normally records first-lien loans.
[^19]:    4. "Total dollar amount" means (i) original principal amount of loans originated by the depository institution (to the extent of its ownership interest, when the loan is made jointly or cooperatively) and (ii) the unpaid balance of loans purchased by the depository institution (to the extent of its ownership interest in such purchased loans). For home improvement loans, whether originated or purchased, the amount to be reported may include unpaid finance charges.
[^20]:    5. The population is to be determined by reference to the " 1980 Census of Population, NUMBER OF INHABITANTS, PC80-1-A" series prepared by the Bureau of the Census, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20233. Until this publication becomes available, county population shall be determined using the " 1980 Census of Population and Housing, FINAL POPULATION AND HOUSING UNIT COUNTS (Advanced Reports), PHC80-V' series, also prepared by the Bureau of the Census.
    6. A depository institution may assume, unless its records contain information to the contrary, that a loan that it purchases does not fall within this category.
[^21]:    1. "State" includes any subdivision of a state.
    2. "State law" includes any regulations which implement the law, any official interpretations of the law, and regulations of a state agency or department that has jurisdiction over a class(es) of depository institutions.
[^22]:    1. For purposes of this subpart, the Virgin Islands and Puerto Rico are deemed to be in the Second District, and Guam and American Samoa in the Twelfth District.
    2. The Board publishes a "Memorandum on Exchange Charges," listing the banks that would impose exchange charges on cash items and other checks forwarded by Reserve Banks and therefore would not pay at par.
[^23]:    1. All banking data are as of December 31, 1980.
    2. The Board notes that, in an instance very similar to this proposal, it found a group of bank holding companies acting together to acquire the shares of a bank holding company to be a "company" and a "bank holding company" within the meaning of section 2 of the act. (Board letter of November 17, 1978, to Mr. William Beaman, Clerk, United States District Court for the District of Wyoming). The Board believes that the record in connection with this proposal could support a finding that the seven bank holding companies known as the Suburban Bank Group are together a "company" that would become a "bank holding company" upon consummation of the transaction. However, the Board finds no regulatory purpose would be served at this time by requiring the Suburban Bank Group itself to apply for the Board's prior approval to become a bank holding company and to register with the Board as such in light of the Suburban Bank Group's intention to reorganize as a multibank holding company after January 1, 1982, the effective date of the recently enacted amendment to the Illinois Bank Holding Company Act removing the current prohibition against multibank holding companies in the state. In addition, in accordance with the opinion of the Illinois Commissioner of Banks and Trust Companies, the Applicants have committed not to consummate the acquisition of Arlington until after January 1, 1982, in order to avoid any possible violation of the current Illinois prohibition against multibank holding companies. If the same or substantially the same seven bank holding companies again act as a group to acquire control of another company (before their reorganization into a multibank holding company is complete), such companies may be considered a "company". Accordingly, Applicants should not make any future joint acquisitions prior to consultation with the Board's staff.
    3. The Chicago banking market is approximated by Cook, DuPage, and Lake Counties, Illinois.
    4. The subsidiary bank of Cary-Grove is located in a separate banking market.
[^24]:    5. In order to comply with the Illinois Bank Holding Company Act, which until January 1, 1982, prohibits multibank holding companies in Illinois ( 16 1/2 Ill. Rev. State. § 71 et seq.), the Applicants have committed, in accordance with the advice of the Illinois Commissioner of Banks and Trust Companies, that Bank will be chartered before January 1, 1982, and the proposal will not be consummated before January 1, 1982, the effective date of the new legislation permitting multibank holding companies in Illinois. The new Illinois law would generally prohibit the acquisition of a de novo bank chartered after January 1, 1982.
[^25]:    1. Except as otherwise indicated, all banking data are as of December 11, 1980 and do not reflect Applicant's acquisition on April 4, 1981, of Bear River State Bank, Tremonton, Utah.
[^26]:    2. See United States v. Third National Bank, 390 U.S. 171, 190 (1968).
[^27]:    1. As noted above, Midland applied pursuant to section 4(c)(8) to retain TCG's U.S. travelers check business.
    2. Banking data for Midland are as of December 31, 1980.
[^28]:    3. Banking data for Crocker and market data are as of December 31, 1980.
    4. Midland does have, as discussed below, a 20.125 percent interest in European American Bancorp, New York, New York, which has a wholly-owned subsidiary bank, European American Bank and Trust Company, New York, New York. In addition, Thomas Cook Travellers Cheques, Ltd. is licensed as a banking agency under New York State Banking Law.
[^29]:    5. The other shareholders of EAB are Societe Generale de Banque, S.A., Brussels, Belgium ( $20.125 \%$ ); Deutsche Bank A.G., Frankfurt, Germany ( $20.125 \%$ ); Amsterdam-Rotterdam Bank, N.V., Amsterdam, The Netherlands ( $17.0 \%$ ); Societe Generale, Paris, France (20.125\%); and Creditanstalt Bankverein, Vienna, Austria (2.5\%).
[^30]:    7. See The Royal Trust Company, 60 Federal Reserve Bulletin 58 (1974); Lloyds Bank Limited, 60 Federal Reserve Bulletin 139 (1974); The Bank of Tokyo, Ltd., 61 Federal Reserve Bulletin 449 (1975); and Israel Discount Bank Limited, 66 Federal Reserve Bulletin 910 (1980).
    8. By order dated January 26, 1976, the Board found that the operation of a travel agency is not closely related to banking and therefore determined not to add the operation of a travel agency to the list of permissible activities in Regulation Y ( 62 Federal Reserve Bulletin 148 (1976)).
    9. As noted above, a subsidiary of Midland is licensed by the New York State Banking Department to maintain an agency in New York City and has operated the agency since prior to July 26, 1978. Although Midland has not asserted grandfather rights under the International Banking Act of 1978 to retain TCI, the Board has examined the question of Midland's grandfathered status. In light of previous Board determinations that an otherwise grandfathered foreign bank loses that status upon the acquisition of a U.S. subsidiary bank, the Board has determined that Midland may not retain the travel agency operation of TCI pursuant to 12 U.S.C. § 3106 (c). National Westminster Bank Limited, 65 Federal Reserve Bulletin 357 (1979); Algemene Bank Nederland, N.V., 65 Federal Reserve Bulletin 658 (1979).
[^31]:    1. All banking data are as of December 31, 1980.
    2. Although the Board is of the opinion that thrift institutions do not compete actively with commercial banks over a sufficient range of services to consider them full competitors of commercial banks, the Board, in light of the relative size and nature of the operations of thrift institutions in Massachusetts, regards their presence as a mitigating factor to reduce the effects on concentration in the state.
[^32]:    3. The Boston banking market is approximated by the Boston RMA and includes the major metropolitan areas (SMSAs) of Boston, Brockton, Lowell, and Lawrence-Haverhill. There are 159 cities and towns in this market which extends over the entire east coast of Massachusetts except Cape Cod, and the market also includes 13 towns in southern New Hampshire.
[^33]:    1. CCB, a foreign bank operating an agency in Los Angeles, California, is subject to certain provisions of the act pursuant to section 8(a) of the International Banking Act of 1978 (12 U.S.C. § $3106(a)$ (1978)).
[^34]:    2. CCB also intends to acquire a two-year stock purchase warrant entitling it to purchase 625,309 shares of Bank; a four-year stock purchase warrant entitling it to purchase 500,000 shares of Bank; and a stock purchase option right if, under certain conditions, there should be an issuance of Bank stock, options, warrants or convertible securities during the third or fourth years following CCB's initial acquisition of Bank's shares. CCB proposes to transfer its warrant and option rights to CCB Bancorp. Under section 3 of the act, Board approval would be required prior to any purchase of Bank's shares through the exercise of rights or warrants (12 C.F.R. § 225.103).
    3. All banking data are as of December 31, 1980.
    4. The Los Angeles banking market is approximated by the Los Angeles RMA, which consists of parts of Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernadino, Riverside, and Ventura Counties.
[^35]:    1. FG's subsidiary banks are First American Bank, N.A., District of Columbia; Eastern Shore National Bank, Pocomoke City, Maryland; First American Bank of Maryland, Silver Spring, Maryland; Community State Bank, Albany, New York; Bank of Commerce, New York City, New York; Valley Fidelity Bank and Trust Company, Knoxville, Tennessee; and the following Virginia banks: First American Bank of Virginia, McLean; The Valley National Bank, Harrisonburg; The Peoples National Bank of Leesburg, Leesburg; The First National Bank of Lexington, Lexington; The Round Hill National Bank, Round Hill; and Shenandoah Valley National Bank, Winchester.
[^36]:    2. The Board has determined that the shareholder protests do not raise issues that would warrant denial of the applications.
    3. In that Order the Board also determined that section 3(d) of the act (12 U.S.C. § 1842 (d)), which generally prohibits the Board from
[^37]:    approving an application by a bank holding company to acquire voting shares of banks in more than one state, was not applicable to the proposed transaction. While the Board determined that section 3(d) applies to the formation of a multi-state bank holding company as well as the expansion of an existing multi-state bank holding company, the Board held that the Congressional intent of prohibiting the formation and limiting the expansion of such holding companies would be preserved even if the Board approved those applications. The Board reached this determination because the acquisition of FG by these two shell corporations would increase neither the number of multi-state bank holding companies nor the number of out-of-home state banks owned or controlled by FG ( 65 Federal Reserye Bulletin at 255-56).
    4. Banking data are as of March 31, 1980.

[^38]:    5. In its February 23, 1979 "Statement of Policy on Supervision and Regulation of Foreign Bank Holding Companies," the Board endorsed the principle of national treatment, or nondiscrimination, as a basis for the rules governing the entry and subsequent operations of
[^39]:    foreign banks in this country. The Board noted that the International Banking Act of 1978 generally incorporates that principle in its provisions.

[^40]:    1. The Choice Card is CFI's proprietary credit card.
    2. See National Courier Association v. Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, 516 F.2d 1229 (D.C. Cir. 1975).
[^41]:    3. All banking data are as of December 31, 1980.
[^42]:    1. Under $\S 265.3$ of the Board's Rules Regarding Delegation of Authority, IIAK's deadline for requesting such review would have expired on April 27, 1981. However, by letter dated April 24, 1981, IIAK requested that the time during which it could seek review of the Reserve Bank's approval of the subject application be extended from April 27 until May 4, 1981. The Secretary of the Board granted this request.
[^43]:    Voting for this action: Chairman Volcker and Governors Schultz, Teeters, Rice, and Gramley. Abstaining from this action Governor Wallich. Absent and not voting: Governor Partee.

[^44]:    2. Florida Association of Insurance Agents, Inc., v. Board of Governors, 591 F.2d 334 (1979); Alabama Association of Insurance Agents v. Board of Governors, 533 F.2d 224 (5th Cir. 1976), aff'd on rehearing 558 F. 2 d 729 (1977) (en banc) cert. den., 435 U.S. 904 (1978); See, Whitney National Bank in Jefferson Parish v. Bank of New Orleans and Trust Company, 379 U.S. 411 (1965).
    3. Whitney National Bank in Jefferson Parish v. Bank of New Orleans and Trust Company, 379 U.S. 411, 419 (1965).
[^45]:    1. Includes securities purchased under agreements to resell.
    2. Other than financial institutions and brokers and deaters
    3. Includes federal funds purchased and securities sold under agrecments to
[^46]:    1. Includes securities purchased under agreements to resell.
    2. Other than financial institutions and brokers and dealers
    3. Includes federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreement to repurchase; for information on these liabilities at banks with assets of $\$ 1$ billion or
[^47]:    1. Exclusive of loans and federal funds transactions with domestic commercial banks.
    2. All demand deposits except U.S. government and domestic banks less cash
[^48]:    For notes see bottom of page A30

[^49]:    1. 1972 dollar value.

    Note. Published groupings include some serics and subtotals not shown separately. For description and historical data, see Industrial Production-1976 Revision (Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System: Washington. D.C.), December 1977.

[^50]:    1. Remaining time to maturity
    2. Includes nonmonetary international and regional organizations.
[^51]:    1. Index of weighted-average exchange value of U.S. dollar against currencies of other G-10 countries plus Switzerland. March $1973=100$.
    Weights are 1972-76 global trade of each of the 10 countries. Series
[^52]:    *On loan from the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City $\dagger$ On loan from the Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas. $\ddagger$ On leave of absence.
    §On loan from the Federal Reserve Bank of New York.

[^53]:    *Additional offices of these Banks are located at Lewiston, Maine 04240; Windsor Locks, Connecticut 06096; Cranford, New Jersey 07016; Jericho, New York 11753; Utica at Oriskany, New York 13424; Columbus, Ohio 43216; Columbia, South Carolina 29210; Charleston, West Virginia 25311; Des Moines, Iowa 50306; Indianapolis, Indiana 46204; and Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202.

