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## Monetary Policy Report to Congress

Report submitted to the Congress pursuant to the Full Employment and Monetary Growth Act of 1978 on February 25, 1981.

## A Review of Developments in 1980

## Monetary Policy and the Performance of the Economy in 1980

The past year was marked by considerable turbulence in the nation's economy and credit markets. Output and employment experienced extraordinarily sharp swings-generally confounding forecasters inside and outside governmentand so, too, did interest rates and financial flows. On balance, the level of the aggregate output of goods and services at the end of 1980 was little changed from that at the beginning of the year, and with a growing labor force, unemployment was appreciably higher. At the same time, inflation continued at about the same unacceptably high rate as in 1979.

Many factors-some of them beyond the realm of the purely economic-combined to produce this distressing performance. At bottom, however, the behavior of the economy demonstrated rather vividly the difficulties of overcoming a deeply entrenched inflation and, particularly, the stresses that arise when necessary monetary restraint is not adequately supported by other instruments of public policy.

As 1980 began, the underlying trend of price increase was approaching a double-digit pace, and a recent further jump in international oil prices has threatened to worsen that trend. There was broad consensus that fighting inflation must be the top priority for national economic policy. The Federal Reserve shaped its policy ${ }^{\circ}$ for 1980 with the objective of reining in inflationary forces in the economy and establishing a framework within which decisionmakers in both the public and the private sectors could look forward over
the longer run to a restoration of reasonable stability in the general price level.

The basic premise of the System's policy is the broadly accepted notion that inflation can persist over appreciable spans of time only if it is accommodated by monetary expansion. The strategy to which the System has committed itself is to hold monetary growth to rates that fall short of such accommodation and thus encourage adjustments consistent with a return to price stability over time. To be sure, the relationships between the growth of money and the behavior of the economic variables of ultimate concernsuch as production, employment, and inflationare not in practice absolutely stable or predictable, especially in the short run. But the crucial fact is that rates of monetary expansion in the vicinity of those specified by the Federal Open Market Committee (FOMC) last February implied a substantial degree of restraint on the growth of nominal gross national product-that is, the combined result of inflation and real growth. Put differently, the FOMC's ranges for monetary growth implied that, if inflation did not abate, there would in all likelihood be strong financial restraint on economic activity reflected in an easing of pressures on markets for goods and services and thence on productive capacity, factors that in turn would help to contain the momentum of inflation. This stabilizing influence was especially critical in a circumstance in which the impulse of a price hike by the Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries could easily have led to a ratcheting upward of the trend rate of inflation.
In any event, inflation did not abate in 1980. But neither did it gain new momentum as many feared it might. Rather, the increases in most aggregate price indexes were about the same as were recorded in 1979. The fixed-weight price index for GNP rose $91 / 2$ percent last year, a little more than in 1979, while the consumer price index rose $12 \frac{1}{2}$ percent, somewhat less than in
1979. Such rates of inflation themselves result in a substantial increase in the amount of money needed to finance transactions. Thus, even though the monetary aggregates generally expanded at rates near or a bit above the upper ends of the FOMC's announced ranges, the steep rise in prices resulted in marked pressures in the credit markets that exerted restraint on economic activity and kept inflationary pressures from worsening.

These developments did not occur evenly throughout the year. During the opening months, the late-1979 boost in imported oil prices combined with other factors-including strife in Afghanistan, unsettlement in the Middle East generally, and attendant fears that an escalation of defense spending might greatly enlarge already sizable federal deficits-to aggravate inflationary expectations. These expectations contributed importantly to the upward pressures on interest rates that were associated with the Federal Reserve's efforts to contain growth in the monetary and credit aggregates. Then, in March, President Carter announced an anti-inflation program that included the application by the Federal Reserve of special restraints on credit growth by utilizing the powers of the Credit Control Act of 1969.
The tightening of credit markets and the psychological impact of the credit restraint program on consumers contributed to the sharpness of the economic decline that occurred in the first half of the year, although a decline at some point had long been anticipated in the light of strong pressures on financial positions and other factors. The drop in real GNP during the second quarter far exceeded the expectations of forecasters; in fact, it was the sharpest of the postwar period. However, with the slump in activity came a pronounced weakening of demands for money and credit and a steep decline in interest rates. The lowering of credit costs, coupled with removal of the special credit restraints, in turn was instrumental in bringing about a rebound in economic activity in the second half of the year, which turned out to be unexpectedly early and strong and restored real GNP almost to its yearend 1979 level. During this period of recovery, the public's demands on financial markets grew and interest rates rose as the System attempted to hold monetary expansion within bounds.

The financial pressures on the private sector of the economy last year were intensified by the competition of the federal government for the limited supply of credit. The federal deficit (unified basis, including off-budget agencies) grew from $\$ 41$ billion in calendar year 1979 to $\$ 83$ billion in calendar year 1980. During 1980, moreover, the massive federal deficit and repeated upward revisions in spending forecasts added to the prevailing mood of uncertainty and weakened public confidence in the government's willingness and ability to mount a successful antiinflation effort.

In 1980, as in most periods of financial tension, those types of purchases that involve longerterm investments of large sums were hardest hit. The residential construction sector, especially, was squeezed by high interest rates and, particularly in the first half of the year, by reduced credit availability. Housing starts fell from an annual rate of 1.6 million units in the fourth quarter of 1979 to a rate of 1.1 million units in the second quarter of 1980 ; starts then snapped back sharply to just over 1.5 million units by the end of the summer, leveling off as interest rates moved upward again in the final months of the year. The mortgage markets have seen remarkably rapid institutional change in the past year, reflecting an adaptation to recurrent cyclical pressures on key lenders and to the difficulties potential homebuyers face with traditional mortgage instruments. Still, these changes have not insulated the real estate market from the effects of inflated home prices and of high mortgage rates on the willingness and ability of people to borrow and buy houses.

Credit conditions also played a role in dampening personal consumption expenditures in 1980particularly outlays on big-ticket durable goods. However, several other influences militated against a robust pattern of consumer spending. The period leading up to 1980 had been marked by weakness in real disposable personal income and by an erosion of the financial flexibility of households. Faced with budgetary strains caused by relatively rapid increases in the prices of such basic necessities as food and energy, many American families had sought to maintain customary consumption patterns-and in some cases to finance extra purchases in anticipation of
inflation-by borrowing. A declining trend in the personal saving rate suggested that consumers were becoming overextended and that some weakening in spending relative to income was quite likely; indeed, the saving rate rose from 4.7 percent in the fourth quarter of 1979 (a 28-year low) to 6.2 percent in the second quarter of 1980. Automobile purchases, which tend to be deferable in the short run, bore the brunt of the consumer retrenchment. Although credit conditions discouraged dealers from financing large inventories and to some extent were a depressant on demand for autos more generally, the steep increases in the prices of cars and gasoline appear to have been more decisive elements in the picture.

Business firms, like households, entered 1980 in a weakened financial condition. The preceding years of expansion had seen a substantial deterioration in aggregate measures of corporate liquidity; many enterprises were heavily burdened with short-term debt, and they thus were exposed to severe cash-flow pressures when interest rates rose. The combination of deteriorating balance sheets, a high cost of capital, and slackening demands for final products resulted in a 5 percent drop in real business fixed investment during 1980. Some industries-particularly in the defense, energy, and high-technology sectorsdid register gains in capital outlays, but those elements of strength were more than offset by declines in most cyclical manufacturing industries. Plant construction spending was especially weak. Meanwhile, businesses kept a tight rein on inventories, encouraged by the high costs of carrying stocks; a moderate accumulation during the first-half recession-concentrated in the automotive and related industries-was largely eliminated in the subsequent rebound.

In the government sector, purchases of goods and services by the federal government rose moderately in real terms during 1980, reflecting in part a pickup in defense outlays. At the state and local level, real purchases were about unchanged, owing to fiscal strains associated with a slowing of growth in tax revenues and cutbacks in federal grants as well as to political pressures for spending restraint.

The slackening of domestic aggregate demand worked to hold down imports; in the case of
petroleum imports, the impact of decreased economic activity was reinforced by the incentive for conservation provided by a sharply increased relative price of oil and other energy products. At the same time, U.S. exports-including both agricultural commodities and other productsrose appreciably in real terms. Net exports thus registered a noticeable increase during 1980, and the U.S. current account moved into sizable surplus in the second half of the year. The trade and current-account developments contrasted sharply with those of some other major industrial countries and contributed to a substantial appreciation of the dollar relative to continental European currencies over the course of the year.

Employment traced a path similar to that of output in 1980-that is, down substantially in the first half and up substantially in the second, with little net change. There was some alteration in the composition of employment over the course of the year, however, with jobs in manufacturing and construction decreasing and those in service industries increasing. The combination of this change in employment mix and a tendency for employers to lag in adjusting their work forces to lower levels of production contributed to a continued disappointing performance of labor pro-ductivity-output per hour worked-which showed no gain for the year.

With no moderating influence from the productivity side, the rise in unit labor costs reflected directly the behavior of wages and other labor expenses during 1980. In the nonfarm business sector, average hourly compensation-which includes employer contributions for social insurance and the cost of fringe benefits-rose 10 percent, a bit more than in 1979. However, this measure, because it does not account for changes in the mix of employment or in overtime, probably understates the acceleration in wage rates. For example, the index of average hourly earnings for production and nonsupervisory personnel, which does include adjustments for such factors, increased $9 \frac{1}{2}$ percent in 1980 compared with 8 percent in 1979.

Wages typically are slow in responding to economic slack, and given the large increases in consumer prices in 1979 and 1980, there were strong tendencies toward sizable catch-up wage hikes even in the face of an unemployment rate
that reached $7 \frac{1}{2}$ percent last spring. This tendency manifests itself in a direct way when formal cost-of-living escalator clauses exist. Such clauses are most common in the manufacturing sector, especially when there is collective bargaining by large industrial unions, and the acceleration of wage rates was in fact relatively pronounced in that sector.

## The Growth of Money and Credit in 1980

In its report to the Congress last February, the Board of Governors indicated the plans of the FOMC regarding the growth of money and credit in 1980. As in previous years, the FOMC set desired ranges for the growth of several monetary aggregates and of commercial bank credit. Measured from the fourth quarter of 1979 to the fourth quarter of 1980, the growth ranges were as follows: M-1A, $3^{1 / 2}$ to 6 percent; M-1B, 4 to $6^{1 / 2}$ percent; M-2, 6 to 9 percent; M-3, $6^{1 / 2}$ to $9^{1 / 2}$ percent; and bank credit, 6 to 9 percent. ${ }^{1}$ It was recognized that legislative initiatives then pending in the area of financial regulation could alter the desired rates of increase, as could any other unanticipated developments that indicated the prescribed growth rates were inconsistent with the basic objectives of policy. As stated, however, the ranges suggested a clear deceleration of money and credit growth from the pace of 1979a specification that appeared appropriate in terms of both the near-term and long-term requirements of anti-inflation policy.

As noted in the preceding section, the monetary and credit aggregates grew quite rapidly in the opening part of the year. Then, as economic activity began to fall rapidly, the growth of

[^0]money and credit slowed markedly. Indeed, the narrow monetary aggregates, M-1A and M-1B, which are measures of the public's transaction balances, actually contracted significantly in the second quarter. This decline, occurring as it did at the same time that interest rates were falling sharply, was considerably greater than would have been expected on the basis of historical relationships among money, income, and interest rates. The weakness in the M-1 measures tended to restrain the growth of the broader monetary aggregates. Bank credit meanwhile contracted slightly.

At midyear, when the FOMC reassessed the monetary growth ranges for 1980 , there were few, if any, signs of the then-incipient economic recovery. The monetary aggregates, though again on the rise, were either below or in the lower portion of the previously announced ranges. The Depository Institutions Deregulation and Monetary Control Act of 1980 had been signed into law by the end of March, but there was no clear evidence yet of significant impact on the behavior of the monetary aggregates. In these circumstances, the FOMC reaffirmed the ranges for money and bank credit that it had adopted in February, but it did indicate that, if the public continued to economize on the use of cash as strongly as in the second quarter, M-1A and M-1B might well finish the year near the lower end of their respective ranges. ${ }^{2}$ Such a proviso was called for because a sustained downward shift in the demand for money implies that a given rate of monetary growth is more expansionary in its impact on the economy than would otherwise be the case.

Over the second half of the year, however, the monetary aggregates and bank credit grew very rapidly. There was a surprisingly swift and strong turnaround in economic activity. And simultaneously the public's demand for money retraced most of the evident downward shift of the first half. Both of these developments may have been associated with the phasing out of the extraordinary credit restraint program at the end

[^1]of the second quarter. In retrospect, this program seems to have played a greater role than was apparent at midyear in influencing the particular patterns of spending and financial flows that developed in the spring and summer.

Although the Federal Reserve resisted the accelerating growth in money and credit-and did succeed in bringing about a clear deceleration in the latter months of the year-the growth of the monetary aggregates on a fourth-quarter-to-fourth-quarter basis in 1980 was generally near or a bit above the upper ends of the ranges announced by the System. Bank credit growth was within the range specified by the FOMC.

Considerable care must be exercised in assessing the behavior of M-1A and M-1B. Last February when the ranges for the aggregates were set, it was assumed that the growth rates of the two aggregates would differ only by $1 / 2$ percentage point based on an expectation that, under prevailing statute, growth in automatic transfer service (ATS) and negotiable order of withdrawal (NOW) accounts would draw few funds from demand deposits (depressing M-1A) and savings deposits (boosting M-1B). With the passage of the Monetary Control Act, however, which authorized NOW accounts on a nationwide basis as of December 31, 1980, commercial banks began to promote ATS accounts more vigorously. As a result, actual growth of ATS and NOW accounts substantially exceeded the amount allowed for in the FOMC ranges for M-1A and M-1B.

M-1A increased 5 percent over the year ended in the fourth quarter of 1980, close to the midpoint of the FOMC's range for that aggregate. Meanwhile, growth in M-1B was $7 \frac{1}{4}$ percent, $3 / 4$ of a percentage point above the upper end of its longer-run range. But if the FOMC's ranges are adjusted for current estimates of the actual impact of shifting into ATS and NOW accounts, the increases in both narrow aggregates are close to the upper bounds of the FOMC's ranges for 1980.

Although, conventionally, fourth-quarter averages have been adopted as the basis for measuring annual growth in the money and credit aggregates, the choice is somewhat arbitrary and is only one of many possible approaches. Moreover, citing figures for any particular calendar period does not necessarily give a clear sense of the longer-term trends, which are more relevant
in assessing policy. For that reason, table 1 offers measurements of annual growth on several bases. Owing to the particular monthly patterns over the past two years, the fourth-quarter-to-fourth-quarter calculations show a lesser tendency toward deceleration in the growth of $\mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{~A}$ and M-1B than do other measurements of the 1980 experience.

1. Growth of money and bank credit ${ }^{1}$

| Item | M-1A | M-1B | M-2 | M-3 | Bank credit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fourth quarter to fourth quarter |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1979. | $\begin{gathered} 5.0 \\ (6.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.7 \\ & (6.8) \end{aligned}$ | 9.0 | 9.8 | 12.3 |
| 1980. | $\begin{gathered} 5.0 \\ (6.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.3 \\ & (6.7) \end{aligned}$ | 9.8 | 9.9 | 7.9 |
| December to December |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 7.1 \\ (7.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.2 \\ & (7.9) \end{aligned}$ | 8.3 | 11.2 | 13.6 |
| 1979. | $\begin{gathered} 5.2 \\ (6.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.5 \\ & (6.8) \end{aligned}$ | 8.9 | 9.4 | 11.5 |
| 1980. | $\begin{gathered} 4.1 \\ (5.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.5 \\ (5.8) \end{gathered}$ | 9.7 | 10.3 | 8.9 |
| Annual average to annual average |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 7.7 \\ (8.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.2 \\ (8.0) \end{gathered}$ | 8.9 | 11.7 | 12.3 |
| 1979. | $\begin{aligned} & 5.2 \\ & (6.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.8 \\ & (7.0) \end{aligned}$ | 8.9 | 10.3 | 13.4 |
| 1980. | $\begin{gathered} 4.6 \\ (5.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.4 \\ (5.9) \end{gathered}$ | 9.1 | 8.6 | 8.3 |

1. Numbers in parentheses are adjusted for the estimated impact of shifting to ATS and NOW accounts from other assets and should give a better indication of the underlying trend of monetary expansion.

The effects on M-2 of shifting into ATS and NOW accounts likely are minor, since nearly all the inflows to those instruments appear to be from assets within this broad aggregate. For the year as a whole, M-2 grew about $9^{3 / 4}$ percent, $3 / 4$ of a percentage point above the upper end of the FOMC's range. All of the growth in the nontransaction component of M-2 occurred in those assets offering market-related yields-primarily 6-month "money market certificates," $2^{1 / 2-}$ year "small-saver certificates," and shares of money market mutual funds. As of December, these assets accounted for 45 percent of the nontransactional component of M-2, compared with 28 percent a year earlier. In earlier periods of high interest rates, when such instruments did not exist, M-2 tended to decelerate markedly as disintermediation occurred, with savers shifting funds into market instruments. In 1980, the
2. Net funds raised and supplied in credit and equity markets Billions of dollars

| Sector | 1978 | 1979 | $1980^{\text {p }}$ | $1980^{1}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 ${ }^{\text {P }}$ |
| Net Funds Raised |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total, all sectors $\qquad$ <br> U.S. government State and local government Foreign. <br> Private domestic nonfinancial Business. Household. Domestic financial Private intermediaries Sponsored credit agencies Mortgage pool securities | $\begin{array}{r} 482 \\ 54 \\ 24 \\ 32 \\ 32 \\ 291 \\ 128 \\ 163 \\ 81 \\ 40 \\ 23 \\ 18 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 483 \\ 37 \\ 16 \\ 21 \\ 321 \\ 156 \\ 165 \\ 88 \\ 36 \\ 24 \\ 28 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 434 \\ 79 \\ 21 \\ 30 \\ 304 \\ 133 \\ 101 \\ 70 \\ 23 \\ 24 \\ 24 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 497 \\ 62 \\ 21 \\ 24 \\ 343 \\ 163 \\ 140 \\ 87 \\ 32 \\ 34 \\ 21 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 253 \\ 67 \\ 12 \\ 35 \\ 119 \\ 79 \\ 40 \\ 20 \\ -16 \\ 16 \\ 20 \end{array}$ | 454 99 24 27 231 133 98 73 33 12 28 | $\begin{array}{r} 534 \\ 89 \\ 27 \\ 33 \\ 231 \\ 155 \\ 126 \\ 104 \\ 44 \\ 36 \\ 24 \end{array}$ |
| Net Funds Supplied |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total, all sectors $\qquad$ <br> U.S. government <br> State and local government Foreign. <br> Private domestic nonfinancial <br> Business. <br> Household <br> Domestic financial <br> Private intermediaries. Commercial banking Thrift institutions. Insurance and pension funds Other ${ }^{2}$. <br> Sponsored credit agencies Mortgage pool securities Federal Reserve System | $\begin{array}{r} 482 \\ 20 \\ 15 \\ 40 \\ 51 \\ -1 \\ 52 \\ 356 \\ 305 \\ 129 \\ 76 \\ 84 \\ 16 \\ 26 \\ 18 \\ 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 484 \\ 23 \\ 13 \\ -6 \\ 81 \\ 10 \\ 71 \\ 373 \\ 308 \\ 121 \\ 56 \\ 90 \\ 41 \\ 29 \\ 28 \\ 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 435 \\ 26 \\ 20 \\ 22 \\ 29 \\ 10 \\ 19 \\ 338 \\ 285 \\ 104 \\ 57 \\ 98 \\ 26 \\ 25 \\ 23 \\ 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 498 \\ 29 \\ 18 \\ -8 \\ 74 \\ 8 \\ 66 \\ 385 \\ 315 \\ 117 \\ 35 \\ 103 \\ 60 \\ 40 \\ 21 \\ 9 \end{array}$ | 253 30 2 47 47 -51 -10 -41 225 179 -2 27 108 46 6 20 20 | 456 24 36 22 55 22 33 319 293 129 74 93 -3 24 28 -26 | $\begin{array}{r} 534 \\ 21 \\ 23 \\ 27 \\ 39 \\ 22 \\ 17 \\ 424 \\ 353 \\ \\ 94 \\ 86 \\ 22 \\ 32 \\ 24 \\ 15 \end{array}$ |

1. Seasonally adjusted annual rates.
2. Includes finance companies, money market funds, real estate
investment trusts, open-end investment companies, and security brokers and dealers.
p. Preliminary.
growing popularity of these relatively new assets may well have drawn some funds into M-2 from market securities such as Treasury bills, causing M-2 to grow somewhat more rapidly than in the preceding two years and also faster relative to M-1B.

M-3 grew almost 10 percent over the four quarters of $1980,1 / 2$ percentage point above the upper end of its longer-run range. Large-denomination time deposits expanded moderately at commercial banks and thrift institutions during the year; in the case of banks, which issue the bulk of these instruments, the borrowing was offset by a reduction of net liabilities to foreign branches.

Bank credit grew about 8 percent in 1980. Fluctuations in this measure followed the general pattern of aggregate credit flows in the economy, but they were exaggerated by changes in the composition of business borrowing. During the first quarter, nonfinancial firms avoided longterm borrowing at record high interest rates and
turned instead to the commercial banks for funds. In fact, they appear to have borrowed beyond their immediate needs in anticipation of greater credit stringency. During the second quarter, as bond rates dropped sharply and as banks tightened their lending policies in response to the special credit restraint program, corporations issued an unprecedented volume of longterm securities and repaid outstanding bank loans. During the summer months as interest rates began to rise, the pattern of financing began to reverse again, and in the fourth quarter, businesses again deferred long-term borrowing and tapped their banks for credit.

Broader measures of credit flows in the economy also exhibited a considerable cyclical fluctuation in 1980 (table 2). Total funds raised by all sectors of the economy in credit and equity markets fell by almost one-half in the second quarter, then retraced most of that decline in the third quarter. For the year as a whole, aggregate funds raised were substantially less than in 1978
and 1979. Commercial banks provided about the same share of total credit flowing to all sectors as in 1979, while the share of thrift institutions rose somewhat.

## Issues in Monetary Control

Monetary growth in 1980 was, on balance, fairly close to the ranges specified by the FOMC. And, more important, the Federal Reserve's actions clearly imposed a significant-and essentialdegree of restraint on the aggregate demand for goods and services in the economy. Nonetheless, particularly in view of the magnitude of the short-run swings in interest rates and financial flows in the past year, questions have been raised-inside as well as outside the Federal Reserve-about the techniques of implementing monetary policy and, especially, about the efficacy of the new operating procedures adopted in October 1979. These questions have been addressed in an intensive study of the recent period. A staff memorandum presenting an overview of the findings of that study and an evaluation of the new operating procedures is appended to this report. ${ }^{3}$

[^2]As a prelude to discussing the key points raised by the staff work, it is useful to describe in broad outline the general approach of the Federal Reserve to monetary policy. For a number of years, monetary aggregates have played a key role as intermediate targets for policy, that is, as variables standing midway in an economic chain linking the proximate instruments of the Federal Reserve-open market operations, the discount window, and reserve requirements-to the variables of ultimate concern, such as production, employment, and prices. Economists have debated extensively the question of the optimal intermediate target variable, with the controversy centering on the virtues of monetary aggregates versus interest rates. The System historically has, in effect, taken an eclectic view, believing that it would be remiss in ignoring the information provided by the movements of any financial or economic variable. However, it has perceived a clear value in focusing special attention on the behavior of the money stock, especially in an environment in which inflation is such a prominent concern. A special role for the monetary aggregates is, furthermore, dictated by the requirement of the Humphrey-Hawkins act that the Federal Reserve report to the Congress on its objectives for monetary expansion.

Analysts of all schools agree that, over the long run, inflation cannot persist without monetary accommodation. Thus, careful attention to the trend of monetary expansion is an absolutely essential feature of responsible monetary policy. In addition, however, in a shorter-run context, monetary aggregates are attractive as intermediate targets because they provide a mechanism of "automatic stabilization." When the economy begins to expand too rapidly, the associated increase in the quantity of money demanded for transaction purposes comes into conflict with the monetary target, and this results in a rise in market rates of interest; the rise in interest rates, in turn, damps the aggregate demand for goods and services. Similarly, if there is a recessionary impulse to the economy, the associated reduction in the demand for cash balances leads to an easing of credit conditions that moderates the impact of that impulse. Pursuit of an interest rate target carries with it a greater danger that an unanticipated impulse to the economy will tend
to be fully accommodated, with greater inflationary or recessionary consequence.

Open market operations are the major tool of monetary control. Before October 1979, the basic approach employed by the System was to supply or absorb reserves through open market operations with an eye to holding short-term interest rates-most immediately, the federal funds rate-within a relatively narrow but changing band thought consistent with the desired growth of the money stock. This method placed considerable importance on the System's ability to predict the quantity of money the public would wish to hold at given interest rates. This never was an easy matter, but in 1979, as the advance of prices accelerated and inflationary expectations became a more significant and volatile factor affecting economic and financial behavior, predicting the public's desired money holdings at given levels of nominal interest rates became exceedingly difficult. As a consequence, in October the FOMC altered its technique of monetary control, substituting the volume of bank reserves for interest rates as the day-to-day guide in conducting open market operations.

Under the approach adopted in October 1979, the FOMC sets short-run targets for monetary expansion, as it did previously, to guide operations between meetings. The staff then calculates corresponding paths for various reserve aggregates. A path for total reserves is calculated based on the expected relationship between reserves and the money stock-the so-called re-serves-money multiplier. This relationship is variable and not known with certainty because of the differences in reserve requirements on various components of the monetary aggregates, which shift in relative importance from week to week; moreover, in addition to required reserves, depository institutions also hold a varying amount of excess reserves. A path for nonborrowed reserves then is calculated by making an allowance for the portion of total reserves expected to be provided through borrowings at the Federal Reserve Bank discount windows.

Between meetings of the FOMC, the Open Market Desk focuses on achieving a given level of nonborrowed reserves, the reserve measure that is controllable through open market operations on a day-to-day basis. If the monetary
aggregates deviate from their prescribed growth rates, the resultant movement in required reserves is reflected in an increase or decrease in borrowing at the discount window. Owing to administrative limitations imposed by the Federal Reserve on the frequency, amount, and purposes of borrowing, an increase in borrowing puts upward pressure on the federal funds rate as individual depository institutions bid more aggressively in the market for the available supply of nonborrowed reserves in an effort to shift the need to borrow to other institutions. A decline in borrowing has the opposite effect. The resultant movements in short-term interest rates induce portfolio adjustments by depository institutions and the public that tend to move the money stock back toward the targeted level. If it appears that these automatic effects are not going to be prompt enough or strong enough-as evidenced in part by sustained deviations in total reserves from their path-the System can reinforce them by making adjustments in the path for nonborrowed reserves that increase the upward or downward pressures on money market interest rates. Similar effects can be achieved through changes in the discount rate, given the nonborrowed reserves path.

The workings of this mechanism of monetary control are illustrated clearly by the movements in reserves and interest rates during 1980. During the early part of the year, when the money stock was running above the FOMC's short-run target, the volume of adjustment credit provided by the discount window increased substantially while the amount of nonborrowed reserves provided through open market operations declined, partly as a consequence of reductions in the nonborrowed reserves path to hold down total reserves and restrain the growth of money over time. During this period the federal funds rate rose sharply. Restraint was intensified by increases in the basic discount rate and the introduction in mid-March of a surcharge on frequent borrowing by large banks.

As the monetary aggregates weakened in the spring, the pattern of the first quarter was reversed. The System countered the weakness of the aggregates by maintaining the supply of total reserves; this required substantial injections of nonborrowed reserves to offset the impact of the
repayment of discount window borrowings. The federal funds rate fell sharply.
The sharp plunge in interest rates, even though it occurred against a backdrop of marked monetary weakness and steep recession, did arouse concerns in some circles about the System's commitment to anti-inflationary restraint. This nervousness was evident not only in domestic financial markets but in foreign exchange markets too. By and large, the foreign exchange value of the dollar had fluctuated in a way that represented a fairly direct response to the pronounced relative movement of interest rates on assets denominated in dollars or foreign currencies. But as U.S. interest rates reached comparatively low levels, there was a sense of a growing risk that downward pressures on the dollar might cumulate.

In a way, the Federal Reserve was caught in an expectational crossfire. On the one side, those who concentrate on the money stock in assessing policy feared that the System was being too restrictive because the various measures of money were slowing sharply or contracting; on the other, some of those in the financial markets and elsewhere who view interest rates as the indicator of policy feared that the System was being inflationary because rates were falling sharply. The FOMC, in weighing the risks, decided to exercise some caution in the latter part of the spring by setting its short-run monetary growth targets with a view to a gradual rather than an immediate return to the longer-range path for the year.

The picture soon changed dramatically, however, for by midsummer the monetary aggre-gates-buoyed by the surprisingly strong turnaround in economic activity-were rising rapidly. And as required reserves began to exceed nonborrowed reserves, borrowing and interest rates climbed. As in the first quarter, pressures on money market interest rates were reinforced by reductions in the path for nonborrowed reserves and by increases in the discount rate and imposition of surcharges on frequent borrowing. Borrowing and the federal funds rate continued to rise until mid-December when a drop in the money stock relieved some of the pressure on reserve positions.

The staff study has examined the experience of

1980 in considerable detail in an effort to assess the causes of the extreme variability of money and interest rates in 1980 and the efficacy of the new reserves-oriented operating procedure in achieving the objectives of policy. Certain key conclusions of the study may be highlighted.

1. The year 1980 was one of extraordinary variability in money and nominal interest rates. In the case of money, however, it is important to note that comparisons with past years are complicated by the fact that monetary data for those periods have been considerably smoothed as additional information has been obtained on changes in seasonal patterns. If the 1980 figures are compared with the initial figures for earlier years, the difference in monetary variability is substantially reduced. Still, after making such allowances, it appears that money has been somewhat more variable over the past year, especially on a monthly or quarterly basisthough, as far as can be judged from available data, remaining within the range of foreign experience with money-stock variability.
2. Much of the variability-certainly the broad swings-in money and interest rates since October 1979 was attributable to an unusual combination of economic circumstances and not to the new operating procedures per se. The "real" and financial sectors of the economy were subjected to unusual disturbances in 1980. The imposition and subsequent removal of credit controls, especially, appear to have had a major impact on the demands for money and credit and to have strongly affected the behavior of money and interest rates in the second and third quarters.
3. Simulation exercises utilizing several models of the money market provided no clear evidence that, under present institutional arrangements, alternative operating techniques-using, for example, total reserves or the monetary base instead of nonborrowed reserves as an operating target-would improve short-run monetary control.
4. Clearly, efforts to limit severely deviations in money from its longer-run growth path would require acceptance of much more variable shortterm interest rates.
5. Short-run variability in the monetary aggregates does not appear to involve significant im-
pacts on the behavior of the economy. Weekly and monthly changes in the monetary aggregates are inherently quite "noisy." Moreover, available models suggest that, because of the relatively long response lags involved, sizable quarterly (or even semiannual) fluctuations in monetary growth-if offsetting-do not leave an appreciable imprint on movements in output and prices.
6. The federal funds rate has been more variable since October 1979, as would be expected with use of a reserves operating target, but in addition very short-run fluctuations in other market rates-both short- and long-term-also have been larger in magnitude than formerly. These rates of interest have exhibited higher correlations than previously with movements in the federal funds rate. The reasons for this closer correlation between the federal funds and other rates in the very short run are not entirely clear, and it is not certain that such a pattern will prevail in the future. But, in any event, there are few signs that the resulting variability has imposed appreciable costs in terms of reduced efficiency of financial markets or of increased costs of capital in the period analyzed by the study. Considerable difficulties arise in separating the effects of the new operating technique from those of other factors. However, it does appear that much of the strain on financial institutions and many of the changes in financial practices observed in the past year were related to the broad cyclical pressures on interest rates during the year, caused by accelerated inflation and heightened inflationary expectations, and to the changes in credit demands associated with the behavior of economic activity.

The FOMC has reviewed the staff's work. Fundamentally, the research suggests that the basic operating procedure represents a sound approach to attaining the longer-run objectives set for the monetary aggregates. However, the FOMC and the Board of Governors will be considering the practicability of modifications that might reduce slippages between reserves and money, without unduly increasing the risk of an unnecessarily heightened variability of interest rates. These modifications include the possibility of prompter adjustment of nonborrowed reserve paths or of the discount rate at times when, in association with undesired movements
in money, the levels of borrowing and, consequently, of total reserves are running persistently stronger or weaker than projected. In addition, the Board has already indicated its inclination to switch from the present system of lagged reserve accounting to a system in which required reserves are posted essentially at the same time as deposits; it is continuing to study the practical merits of such a system to ensure that the operating problems created for depository institutions and the Federal Reserve and the potentially increased volatility of the federal funds rate would not outweigh the possible benefits in terms of tighter short-run monetary control.

The FOMC has continued to set broad ranges of tolerance for money market interest ratesgenerally specified in terms of the federal funds rate. These ranges, however, should not be viewed as rigid constraints on the Open Market Desk in its pursuit of reserve paths set to achieve targeted rates of monetary growth. They have not, in practice, served as true constraints in the period since October 1979, as the FOMC typically has altered the ranges when they have become binding. But, in a world of uncertainty about economic and financial relationships, the ranges for interest rates have served as a useful triggering mechanism for discussion of the implications of current developments for policy.

The reserves operating procedure-or any modification of it-needs to be viewed in the context of a number of practical considerations that affect the basic targets for the monetary aggregates and the process of attaining them. First, targets need to recognize the lags in the adjustment of wages and prices that may limit the speed with which noninflationary rates of monetary expansion can be attained without unduly restraining economic activity. Second, the potential for costly disturbances in domestic financial or foreign exchange markets may occasionally require short-run departures from longer-run monetary targets. Third, precise month-bymonth control of money is not possible, nor is it necessary in terms of achieving desirable economic performance. Finally, uncertainties about the relationship between money and economic performance suggest the desirability of a degree of flexibility in the targets-including the use of ranges for more than one measure of money-
and the potential need to alter previously established targets.

## Monetary Policy and the Prospects FOR THE ECONOMY IN 1981

The Federal Reserve's Objectives for the Growth of Money and Credit

In its midyear report last July, the Federal Reserve indicated to the Congress that its policy in 1981 would be designed to maintain restraint on the expansion of money and credit. Nothing has occurred in the intervening months to suggest the desirability of a change in that basic direction. Events have only served to underscore the importance of such a policy-and of complementary restraint in the fiscal dimension of federal policy as well.

Few would question today the virulence of the inflation that is afflicting the economy or the urgency of mounting an effective attack on the forces that are sustaining inflation. The rapid rise of prices is the single greatest barrier to the achievement of balanced economic growth, high employment, domestic and international financial stability, and sustained prosperity. The experience of the past year-the stresses and dislocations that have occurred-attests to the difficulty of dealing with inflationary trends that have been many years in the making, but it does not indicate that there is any less need to do so. Indeed, the need has become more urgent, for as price increases continue, the public's expectations of inflation become more and more firmly embedded, and those expectations in turn contribute to the stubborn upward momentum of wages and prices.

Persistent monetary discipline is a necessary ingredient in any effort to restore stability in the general price level. To be sure, other areas of policy are also important, but it is essential that monetary policy exert continuing resistance to inflationary forces. The growth of money and credit will have to be slowed to a rate consistent with the long-range growth of the nation's capacity to produce at reasonably stable prices. Realistically, given the structure of the economy, with the rigidities of contractual relationships and the
natural lags in the adjustment process, that rate will have to be approached over a period of years if severe contractionary pressures on output and employment are to be avoided.

The ranges of monetary expansion specified this month by the FOMC for the year ending in the fourth quarter of 1981 reflect these considerations. They imply a significant deceleration of growth in the monetary aggregates from the rates observed in 1980 and other recent years. The ranges are as follows: for $\mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{~A}, 3$ to $5 \frac{1}{2}$ percent; for M-1B, $3 \frac{1}{2}$ to 6 percent; for M-2, 6 to 9 percent; and for M-3, $6 \frac{1}{2}$ to $91 / 2$ percent. It should be emphasized that, owing to the introduction of NOW accounts on a nationwide basis at the end of 1980, the monetary ranges have been specified on a basis that abstracts from the impact of the shifting of funds into interestbearing checkable deposits; only by adjusting for the distorting effects of such shifts can one obtain a meaningful measure of monetary growth. The FOMC also adopted a corresponding range of 6 to 9 percent for commercial bank credit.

The ranges for $\mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{~A}$ and $\mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{~B}$ are $1 / 2$ percentage point less than those the Federal Reserve sought in 1980. Since realized growth last year, after adjustment for the impact of shifting into interest-bearing checkable deposits, was close to the upper ends of the stated ranges for the period, the new ranges are consistent with a deceleration of considerably more than $1 / 2$ percentage point.

The actual observed changes in $\mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{~A}$ and $\mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{~B}$ will differ by a wide margin; in fact, it is quite possible that, because of the movement of funds from demand deposits to NOW accounts, M-1A could contract this year, while M-1B could grow more rapidly in reflection of funds moving into NOW accounts from savings deposits and other assets. It must be stressed that valid comparison of actual year-to-year growth has to allow for this institutional change.

The behavior of M-1A and M-1B thus far this year has reflected this pattern, but in an exaggerated degree because of the large initial transfer of funds to NOW accounts. The next section discusses in some detail the distortions caused by shifting to NOW accounts and the expected behavior of M-1A and M-1B. As the discussion indicates, any estimates of the extent and charac-
ter of the prospective shift into NOW accounts must be tentative. The Federal Reserve will be monitoring the shifting into interest-bearing checkable deposits as the year progresses and will be assessing its impact on the expansion of the monetary aggregates. From time to time, the System will report its estimates of the adjusted growth of M-1A and M-1B so that the public and the Congress can better assess the consistency of monetary expansion with the FOMC's stated objectives.

The 1981 range for M-2 is the same as that in 1980; however, the upper end of the range is roughly $3 / 4$ percentage point less than the actual growth recorded in 1980. A reduction in the range does not appear appropriate at this time in light of what is known about the relationships among the various monetary measures, as affected by public preferences for various types of assets and by expected economic and institutional circumstances. In fact, there is a distinct likelihood that, consistent with the planned decline in the growth of the narrower aggregates, growth in M-2 in 1981 will be in the upper half of its 6 to 9 percent range. With the changes in regulatory ceilings that have made small-denomination time deposits more attractive in comparison to market instruments and with the growing popularity of money market mutual funds, the nontransactional component of M-2 is likely to continue growing quite briskly. Moreover, if the tax cuts proposed by the President result in a marked increase in the proportion of income saved, this saving may contribute to relatively robust growth in M-2, which has, in any event, tended in recent years to approximate the increase in nominal GNP.

The range for M-3 in 1981 is the same as that for 1980, but again is below the actual growth experienced last year. The deceleration would reflect the slower expansion specified for M-2, which accounts for more than three-quarters of the broader aggregate. Large-denomination time deposits at commercial banks-the other major component of M-3-likely will expand moderately again this year, but much will depend on the patterns of credit flows that emerge. The growth of bank credit is now expected to be about the same as in 1980. Household borrowing at banks could increase, especially in the consumer installment area, where use of credit was severely
damped for a time last year by credit controls. However, nonfinancial firms likely will wish to rely less heavily on bank borrowing than they did in 1980, in light of the deterioration of balancesheet liquidity that they have already experienced. Indeed, should credit market conditions be such as to encourage a substantial funding of short-term debt by corporations, commercial banks might play a lesser role in the overall supply of credit and M-3 could be damped by reduced bank reliance on large time deposits. On the other hand, if conditions in the bond markets are not conducive to long-term financing, then bank credit and M-3 could be relatively strong.

## Impact of Nationwide NOW Accounts on Monetary Growth in 1981

As noted in the preceding section, the behavior of M-1A and M-1B will be greatly affected this year by the advent, under the Monetary Control Act of 1980, of nationwide availability of NOW accounts and other interest-bearing checkable deposits. The phenomenon is qualitatively similar to what occurred in 1980 when growth in M-1A was depressed and growth in M-1B enhanced by the shifting of funds into ATS ac-counts-but the distortions in 1981 will be quantitatively much greater.

With the introduction of a new financial instrument like the NOW account, a broad adjustment of the public's asset portfolios may occur. Under the present circumstances, however, it seems reasonable as a practical matter to expect that the major impact will be a shifting of funds into the new accounts from existing nonearning demand deposits and from the interest-earning assets included in M-2 (especially highly liquid, relatively low-yielding savings deposits). The analysis of experience in past years with NOW accounts in the northeastern part of the country and with ATS accounts throughout the nation indicates that flows from demand and savings deposits have accounted for the great bulk of the growth of interest-bearing accounts. Furthermore, various surveys and other analyses have indicated that in the past roughly two-thirds of the funds flowing into ATS and NOW accounts have come from demand deposits and roughly one-third from savings deposits.

During January, a somewhat larger share of the funds flowing into interest-bearing checking deposits appears to have come from demand deposits-perhaps about 75 to 80 percent, with only about 20 to 25 percent coming from savings deposits (or, to a very limited extent, other sources). This change from past patterns appears to reflect a relatively fast adjustment on the part of holders of large-denomination demand deposit balances at commercial banks. The sources of subsequent growth in interest-bearing checkable deposits are expected to be more along the lines of the past two-thirds-one-third break.

Depository institutions have marketed the new accounts very aggressively, many of them lining up a sizable number of customers before the end of 1980. Since December 30, the net growth of interest-bearing checkable deposits already has totaled more than $\$ 22$ billion. Obviously it is extremely difficult to forecast the further growth of interest-bearing checkable deposits over the remainder of the year. A working assumption would be that the net increase in such deposits this year will amount to somewhere between $\$ 35$ billion to $\$ 45$ billion, which would mean that half, or a little more than half, of the funds already have been shifted. If the shares of funds coming from demand and savings deposits move promptly to a two-thirds-one-third proportion, the result will be a depressing effect on M-1A growth of 7 to 8 percentage points and an increase of 2 to 3 percentage points in M-1B growth. Taking the midpoints of these estimates and applying them to the basic ranges specified by the FOMC for monetary growth this year, the observed change in M-1A from the fourth quarter of 1980 to the fourth quarter of 1981 would be $-4 \frac{1}{2}$ to -2 percent and that in $\mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{~B}$ would be 6 to $81 / 2$ percent.

As already indicated, the growth of interestbearing checkable deposits in January was extraordinarily rapid and resulted in an extreme divergence of M-1A and M-1B movements. Observed M-1A contracted at a $371 / 2$ percent annual rate in January, while M-1B increased at a $12 \frac{1}{4}$ percent annual rate. On the assumption that three-quarters to four-fifths of the funds flowing into interest-bearing checkable deposits came from demand deposits, both M-1A and M-1B, on an adjusted basis, showed only small growth in the early weeks of this year.
percent, scarcities of skilled workers have occurred in some sectors of the economy. But, even when slack in labor demand does exist, its impact on wages is rather slow in emerging; wages appear to have a strong momentum rooted in inflationary expectations, which are based to a great extent on past experience as well as on attempts to maintain real income. Workers' wage demands are influenced by expectations about prices, as well as by patterns established in previous wage bargaining. Meanwhile, employers condition their wage offers in good measure by their own sense of the prospects for inflation and of whether they will be able to pass along higher compensation costs by increasing prices.

This momentum must be turned in a favorable direction. To do so will require a commitment to monetary and fiscal restraint that is firm and credible, and a direction of other governmental policies toward fighting inflation. Labor and management must be persuaded that the inflationary process will not be accommodated-that wage and price decisions based on an anticipation of rapid inflation will prove inimical to their ability to maintain employment and sales volume. Put more positively, they have to be convinced that moderation in their individual wage and price actions will not put them at a relative disadvantage and will in fact produce a better economic environment for everyone.

Such an alteration of the expectational climate will not be easy to achieve. But it is important to do so. For, to the extent that those attitudes can be changed, the short-run costs of restraint on aggregate demand, in the form of economic slack, will be ameliorated. Conversely, prolongation of high wage and price demands would come into conflict with needed monetary and fiscal restraint, aggravating economic difficulties. In any event, once expectations are turned, further progress toward price stability should come more easily so long as excessive pressures on productive capacity are avoided.
The policy of monetary restraint adopted by the Federal Reserve is intended to contribute to the process of breaking the momentum of inflation. Fiscal policy also has a crucial role to play.
3. Economic projections for 1981


Cuts in federal taxes potentially can help to invigorate private capital formation and thereby enhance productivity, reduce costs, and pave the way for faster economic growth. But it is important that government spending be held firmly in check at the same time so that aggregate demand does not become excessive and so that the pressures of government demands on the credit markets do not impede the financing of private investment.

The members of the FOMC, in assessing the economic outlook, have recognized the possibility of some reduction this year in business and personal income taxes and some initial steps in the longer-range effort toward slowing the growth of federal expenditures. Given these working assumptions, the individual members of the FOMC have formulated projections for economic performance in the current year that generally fall within the ranges indicated in table 3. As may be seen, the FOMC members' projections for output and inflation encompass those that underlie the administration's recent budget proposal.

The members of the FOMC see inflation as remaining rapid in 1981, although not so rapid throughout the year as seems likely to be the case early in the period. The failure of inflation to slow more quickly and the large budgetary deficits in prospect for the year are seen as resulting in continued strong demands for money and credit and in the maintenance of relatively high interest rates. Against this backdrop, economic activity is likely to show only intermittent strength, and unemployment probably will rise between now and the end of the year.

# Treasury and Federal Reserve Foreign Exchange Operations 


#### Abstract

This 38th joint report reflects the Treasury-Federal Reserve policy of making available additional information on foreign exchange operations from time to time. The Federal Reserve Bank of New York acts as agent for both the Treasury and the Federal Open Market Committee of the Federal Reserve System in the conduct offoreign exchange operations.

This report was prepared by Scott E. Pardee, Manager of Foreign Operations of the System Open Market Account and Senior Vice President in the Foreign Function of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York. It covers the period August 1980 through January 1981. Previous reports have been published in the March and September Bulletins of each year beginning with September 1962.


During the six-month period under review, the U.S. dollar came into heavy demand in the exchange markets and advanced sharply against many major currencies.

The dollar's underlying strength reflected the relatively favorable current-account position of the United States. The current account had swung from substantial deficit in the first half of 1980 to surplus in the second half of the year. By contrast, many other major industrial countries continued to record massive current-account deficits, swollen by the increase in their oil import bills following the runup of international oil prices in 1979-80.

In addition, the dollar proved increasingly attractive as an investment medium. As the U.S. economy snapped back from the sharp recession of early 1980, the demand for money and credit in the United States also rebounded strongly. With the Federal Reserve continuing to adhere to its approach-adopted in October 1979-of placing primary emphasis on bank reserves rather than on interest rates to control the growth of the money and credit aggregates, interest rates in the
2. Drawings and repayments under reciprocal currency arrangements, August 1, 1980-January 31, 1981 ${ }^{1}$ Millions of dollars equivalent; drawings, or repayments ( - )

| Activity by the Federal Reserve System |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Transactions with | Commitments, Jan. 1, 1980 | 1980 |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { January } \\ 1981 \end{gathered}$ | Commitments, <br> Jan. 31, 1981 |
|  |  | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 |  |  |
| Bank of France ${ }^{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 100.2 | $\left\{\begin{array}{r}60.6 \\ -54.6\end{array}\right\}$ | -110.5 | 0 | 0 |
| German Federal Bank ${ }^{2}$. | 3,150.4 | $\left\{\begin{array}{r}316.0 \\ -3,489.2\end{array}\right.$ | 996.1 -132.4 | $\left.\begin{array}{r}265.7 \\ -876.2\end{array}\right\}$ | -260.3 | 0 | 0 |
| Swiss National Bank. . | 0 | $\left\{\begin{array}{r}22.7 \\ -22.7\end{array}\right\}$ | 0 | $\left\{\begin{array}{r}11.2 \\ -11.2\end{array}\right\}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total. . | 3,150.4 | $\left\{\begin{array}{r}338.7 \\ -3,511.9\end{array}\right.$ | $1,096.2$ -132.4 | $\left.\begin{array}{r}337.5 \\ -942.1\end{array}\right\}$ | -370.8 | 0 | 0 |

Activity by the BIS and foreign central banks

| Bank drawing on System | Outstanding, Jan. 1, 1980 | 1980 |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { January } \\ 1981 \end{gathered}$ | Outstanding, Jan. 31, 1981 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 |  |  |
| Bank of Sweden.. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 200.0 | 200.0 |
| Bank for International |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Settlements (against |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| German marks) ${ }^{3}$... | 0 | $\left\{\begin{array}{r}192.0 \\ -97.0\end{array}\right.$ | $-145.0\}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total. . | 0 | $\left\{\begin{array}{r}192.0 \\ -97.0\end{array}\right.$ | $\left.\begin{array}{r}50.0 \\ -145.0\end{array}\right\}$ | 0 | 0 | 200.0 | 200.0 |

1. Because of rounding, figures may not add to totals. Data are on a value-date basis.
2. Data on repayments of swap commitments with the Bank of
est rates, while high relative to inflation rates, were generally below those in the United States. The pound sterling was an exception; the United Kingdom moved into a strong current-account position and maintained high interest rates that proved attractive to investment flows. The Japanese yen advanced sharply, on a substantial improvement in Japan's current-account position and on heavy demands for yen-denominated assets.

In early 1981 the dollar's advance became more generalized, even though U.S. interest rates had edged off from their peaks. The release of the U.S. hostages by Iran lifted one element of uncertainty for the dollar, while the unfreezing of a part of Iran's assets took place without disrupting the exchanges. Moreover, the market reacted positively to the sense of determination shown by the Reagan administration to deal with inflation and to revitalize the U.S. economy. By late January, market sentiment became extremely bullish toward the dollar. At the same time, market participants were inclined to interpret developments affecting other major currencies in a pessimistic light. In this atmosphere, markets became increasingly one way, with the dollar rising virtually every day.

France and the German Federal Bank include revaluation adjustments of $\$ 34.3$ million for swap renewals during 1980 .
3. BIS drawings and repayments of dollars against European currencies other than Swiss francs to meet temporary cash requirements.

Over the six-month period ending January 31, the dollar had risen 19 percent against the German mark and 16 to 20 percent against other currencies within the joint float of the European Monetary System (EMS). Sterling, which had risen $51 / 2$ percent, had dropped back for a net gain of $11 / 2$ percent on balance. The yen also eased back from its highs but still rose 10 percent for the six-month period. The Canadian dollar, which had dropped to a 40-year low in December, was steadier after the year-end on signs of an improvement in Canada's external position and on the sharp rise in interest rates that had occurred in December.

In foreign currency operations, U.S. authorities were active throughout the period, mainly as buyers of currencies. As the dollar firmed against the German mark in August, the Federal Reserve and the Treasury began to acquire, in the market and through correspondents, the currencies needed by the System to repay swap debt and by the Treasury to cover its short position under its medium-term mark obligations. These operations continued in substantial volume through the fall.

By the end of October, the System had repaid in full the remaining $\$ 879.7$ million equivalent of
3. U.S. Treasury securities, foreign currency denominated ${ }^{1}$

| Issues | Commitments Jan. 1, | 1980 |  |  |  |  | Commit ments Jan. 31, 1981 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Jan. } \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}\right.$ |  |
| Public series |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Germany | 4,065.7 | 1,168.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,233.6 |
| Switzerland. | 1,203.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,203.0 |
| Total | 5,268.6 | 1,168.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,436.6 |

1. Data are on a value-date basis. Because of rounding, figures may not add to totals.
swap debt to the German Federal Bank and $\$ 166.3$ million of swap drawings on the Bank of France outstanding as of July 31, 1980. By early December the Treasury had acquired sufficient marks to cover its medium-term notes in that currency. Thereafter, with the dollar still in strong demand, the U.S. authorities continued on balance to acquire currencies. Operations were conducted on days in which the exchange rates were particularly volatile, and on some occasions the Trading Desk placed simultaneous bid-and-asked prices to settle the market. Nevertheless, with the one-way movement into dollars that developed, by late January the U.S. authorities were again purchasing marks virtually every day.

To summarize, over the six months, the U.S. authorities operated in German marks, French francs, Swiss francs, and Japanese yen. In marks, the Federal Reserve and Treasury purchased a total of $\$ 7,569.5$ million equivalent in the market and from correspondents and sold $\$ 368.2$ million in the market. In French francs, the Federal Reserve purchased $\$ 158.6$ million in the market and from correspondents to repay the swap debt. In Swiss francs, the Federal Reserve and the Treasury bought $\$ 192.2$ million equivalent, which was added to balances. In yen, the Federal Reserve sold $\$ 50.0$ million equivalent as part of a coordinated intervention operation early in January. Finally, in January the central bank of Sweden drew $\$ 200$ million under its swap line with the Federal Reserve. U.S. foreign currency reserves stood at $\$ 10.7$ billion at the end of January, up from $\$ 5.4$ billion at the end of July.

From August through January, the Federal Reserve realized profits of $\$ 18.6$ million on its
foreign exchange operations. The U.S. Treasury's Exchange Stabilization Fund realized losses of $\$ 3.7$ million on its operations in the market. Also, the Treasury's general account incurred losses of $\$ 170.2$ million, reflecting annual renewals at current market rates of the agreement to warehouse with the Federal Reserve proceeds of Treasury securities denominated in marks and Swiss francs. These losses will be recovered by the Treasury's general account when it reacquires these currencies for the redemption of the securities. As of the end of the period, with the dollar having risen sharply, the Federal Reserve showed valuation losses of $\$ 150.6$ million on its foreign exchange assets while the Exchange Stabilization Fund showed valuation losses of $\$ 826.3$ million on its foreign exchange assets. The Treasury's general account showed valuation profits of $\$ 781.1$ million related to the outstanding issues of securities denominated in foreign currencies of $\$ 6,436.6$ million equivalent.

During the period under review, U.S. authorities changed certain provisions of swap agreements with foreign central banks. Since July 1973 the exchange risk on drawings by the Federal Reserve or the U.S. Treasury had been shared evenly with the foreign central bank on which the drawing was being made. This risk-sharing procedure did not apply to drawings by other central banks. In addition, since the inception of the swap agreements in 1962, the interest rates paid on any drawings, either by the Federal Reserve or the Treasury or by the foreign central banks, were based on the current rates for U.S. Treasury bills. Under procedures beginning this year,
4. U.S. Treasury and Federal Reserve foreign exchange operations ${ }^{1}$
Net profits or losses ( - ) in millions of dollars

| Period | Federal Reserve | U.S. Treasury |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Exchange } \\ & \text { Stabilization } \\ & \text { Fund } \end{aligned}$ | General account |
| 1980-Q1 | 14.1 | 0 | 64.9 |
| Q2 | 7.7 | 42.0 | 0 |
| Q3 | -1.1 | 3.9 | 6.3 |
| Q4 | 6.2 | -3.1 | -25.9 |
| January 1981 | 6.2 | $-.7$ | - 144.3 |
| Valuation profits and losses on outstanding assets and liabilities as of January 31, 1981 | -150.6 | -826.3 | 781.1 |

[^3]the Federal Reserve and the U.S. Treasury, like their counterparts in the swap arrangements, will take the full exchange risk on their swap drawings. They will also pay a rate of interest based on the creditor country's Treasury bill rate or the nearest equivalent market rate.

## German Mark

By mid-1980 the German authorities were confronted with an emerging policy dilemma. Economic activity was contracting as recessionary trends abroad led to a sharp slowdown in export growth at the same time that domestic demand faltered. Unemployment was rising. Inflation, after peaking at 6 percent, began to recede and the growth of central bank money had slowed to the lower end of the 5 to 8 percent annual target range. These developments had permitted the German Federal Bank to begin cautiously to ease money market conditions by providing some liquidity on a temporary basis over the summer months. But the central bank resisted domestic pressures to reduce official interest rates out of concern that a relaxation of the overall restrictive stance of monetary policy before inflationary expectations were firmly laid to rest would undercut the progress already under way in bringing inflation under control.

Moreover, the current-account deficit, running in excess of DM 25 billion at an annual rate, was in deeper deficit than had been projected earlier. German interest rates, though high by domestic standards, remained low relative to interest rates elsewhere. As a result, the goal of financing the current-account deficit with a combination of private and public inflows of capital, and thereby avoiding a drain on Germany's foreign exchange reserves, had met with only limited success. Despite substantial foreign official placements with the German Federal Bank and revaluation adjustments to its gold and foreign currency holdings with the European Monetary Fund, Germany's gross foreign exchange reserves declined $\$ 1.6$ billion in the first seven months of 1980 to stand at $\$ 45.7$ billion at the end of July. ${ }^{1}$

[^4]In the exchanges, the German mark had moved up from its lows of last April in the wake of declining U.S. interest rates. On occasion during August the mark still came into bursts of demand amid concerns about the outlook for inflation in the United States. As in preceding months, the authorities in the United States acted to settle these pressures. The Federal Reserve and U.S. Treasury together sold $\$ 69.6$ million equivalent of marks during the month. But the mark's rebound had lost momentum as a renewed upturn in U.S. interest rates began to provide support for the dollar. Also, tensions in Poland were generating uncertainties about Germany's strategic and economic exposure to developments in Eastern Europe. Consequently, the mark was vulnerable from time to time to renewed capital outflows. On days when the spot rate weakened, the Federal Reserve and the U.S. Treasury were able to acquire $\$ 481.1$ million equivalent of marks and $\$ 312.8$ million equivalent of marks respectively in the market and from correspondents. These marks were used to rebuild balances and to reduce the Federal Reserve's swap debt with the German Federal Bank from $\$ 879.7$ million at the end of July to $\$ 437.9$ million by the end of August.

The stalling of the mark's recovery during August contributed to the perception in the market that a deepening conflict between domestic and external objectives had left the German authorities with little room to maneuver. Following up their actions of the summer, the German Federal Bank acted to nudge money market rates lower while aiming to keep an overall, tight grip on liquidity. On September 1 the authorities cut minimum reserve requirements by 10 percent on domestic and foreign liabilities. To reduce further the cost of funds to the banks, the authorities acted on September 19 to lower the Lombard rate from $9 \frac{1}{2}$ to 9 percent, while also supplying additional mark liquidity via repurchase agreements against government securities and via foreign exchange swaps of marks against dollars. In fact, however, German money market rates did not ease much because the commercial banks,

[^5]expecting a further drop in official lending rates, bid aggressively for funds in the market rather than approach the central bank for longer-term loans. Around the time of the International Monetary Fund (IMF)-World Bank meetings in late September and early October, expectations of a more meaningful relaxation of policy became widespread amid spirited public discussion of the need for a cut in the discount rate.

Meanwhile, in contrast to the pattern of declining production and rising unemployment in Germany, economic activity in the United States was picking up. In the face of renewed demands for money and credit, the Federal Reserve had acted to constrain the growth of bank reserves in order to control the growth of the monetary aggregates. Market interest rates climbed sharply, and on September 26 the Federal Reserve raised the discount rate 1 percentage point to 11 percent. Strong demand for money and credit persisted, putting additional upward pressure on U.S. money market rates. With interest differentials adverse to the mark thus widening and with market participants looking for still larger differentials in the weeks ahead, capital began to flow heavily out of mark-denominated assets. As a result, the mark, already weighed down by the large current-account deficit, came under increasing selling pressure in the foreign exchange market. The Trading Desk continued to buy marks in response to the emergence of one-way pressures, acquiring $\$ 395.9$ million equivalent of marks on behalf of the Federal Reserve and $\$ 283.6$ million equivalent of marks, including $\$ 36.9$ million on a forward basis, on behalf of the U.S. Treasury through October 15. These purchases in the market and from correspondents enabled the Federal Reserve to liquidate in full its remaining swap debt with the German Federal Bank and the Treasury to continue covering its outstanding mark-denominated, medium-term notes.

At its Council meeting on October 20 the German Federal Bank provided the banks with additional rediscount quotas at preferential rates and otherwise acted to increase bank liquidity but decided not to lower official interest rates. Demands that greater priority be given to restoring economic growth nevertheless continued. Indeed, a report of the five leading German economic research institutes recommended that
the German Federal Bank expand the growth of the money supply, reduce official lending rates, and accept a temporary depreciation of the mark if necessary to prevent the downturn of the economy from deepening further. In the foreign exchange market, sentiment toward the mark turned bearish. Interest-sensitive capital flowed even more heavily from Germany amid portfolio shifts into the dollar, sterling, and higher-yielding currencies in the EMS. Meanwhile, official and commercial borrowers with financing needs in other currencies borrowed marks and converted the proceeds in the exchanges. The pressure of these outflows triggered a fall in bond prices, thus prompting the German Federal Bank to support the capital market through open market operations, while also pushing the mark to the floor of the joint float vis-a-vis the French franc and occasionally also vis-a-vis the Netherlands guilder.

As speculative selling pressures mounted, reports of a temporary withdrawal of the mark from the joint float or of a widening in intervention limits began circulating through the market. But high-ranking German officials denied that such measures were under consideration and reaffirmed their commitment to maintain the mark's strength and thereby its attractiveness to foreign investors. The German Federal Bank, which had gradually increased its intervention sales of dollars, was by late October operating heavily in French francs and on a smaller scale in Dutch guilders to preserve exchange rate limits within the EMS. Even so, by early November the mark had declined 10 percent from levels prevailing around mid-September to a low of DM 1.96 against the dollar.

To support the mark further, the German Federal Bank allowed the heavy intervention within the EMS to tighten the German money market. Moreover, the French authorities adopted measures on November 7 to ease their money market interest rates and to discourage capital inflows. These actions alleviated the pressures on the mark. As concerns over realignment of EMS parities began to fade, the immediate focus of market attention shifted to interest rate developments among the industrial countries. In this respect, traders were unsure about the dollar's prospects if U.S. interest rates should suddenly drop off once the near-term run-up in rates
topped out. Consequently, when signs of the beginning of deceleration in the growth of the U.S. monetary aggregates set off expectations that U.S. interest rates might decline, the dollar came suddenly on offer. As funds flowed out of dollars back into mark-denominated assets, the spot rate soared about 4 percent against the dollar to a high of DM 1.8860 in less than two trading days between November 7 and 10 . In response, the U.S. authorities intervened as a seller of marks, while the German Federal Bank also purchased dollars in Frankfurt.

But, contrary to expectations, U.S. interest rates continued their advance in the weeks that followed. With the economy expanding, the growth of the monetary aggregates resumed and U.S. interest rates began to advance once again. The Federal Reserve followed by raising the discount rate successively by 1 percentage point each on November 17 and on December 5 to 13 percent and introduced a surcharge on frequent use of the discount window by large borrowers. Short-term domestic and Eurodollar rates climbed sharply higher through mid-December, reaching new peaks of 22 percent and opening up interest differentials adverse to the mark of as much as $12 \frac{1}{2}$ percentage points.

Once again private capital flowed out of Germany as investors locked in high dollar interest yields at the expense of mark-denominated assets and as foreign governments, corporations, and individuals continued to borrow marks to take advantage of relatively low interest costs and prospective further declines of the spot rate in the exchanges. Such outflows were of major concern to the German authorities. They added to huge funding needs imposed by the currentaccount deficit as well as by the continuing deficit on long-term private direct investment. Increased foreign borrowings by German public authorities, mainly from members of the Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries, were not proving sufficient to prevent the mark from weakening further or to stem the erosion of Germany's foreign exchange reserves. Accordingly, the German Federal Bank acted to curtail further capital outflows and in December negotiated a "gentleman's agreement"' with large commercial banks that temporarily stopped new mark-denominated loans to foreigners. Nevertheless, selling pressure on the mark pushed the
spot rate to as low as DM 2.0325 in European trading on December 12 despite substantial purchases of marks by the Trading Desk both in New York and through the agency of the German Federal Bank in Frankfurt.

In the weeks between mid-October and midDecember, the U.S. authorities intervened forcefully at times to counter one-way pressures on the mark. The Federal Reserve acquired $\$ 1,472.8$ million equivalent of marks in the market and from correspondents, adding these to balances. For its part the U.S. Treasury bought $\$ 3,101.7$ million equivalent, including $\$ 196$ million on a forward basis, enabling it to cover entirely its mark-denominated securities. On occasions when the markets were particularly volatile, the authorities also intervened to sell $\$ 170.3$ million equivalent of marks, financed out of balances.

After mid-December as U.S. interest rates slipped back from their highs, the mark began to recover. Even so, a sustained surge of buying did not materialize. Some evidence had accumulated by this time that the decline in U.S. interest rates would be more gradual than had been originally thought. In particular, the U.S. economy, though generally expected to weaken in the first half of 1981, appeared fairly robust despite the depressed state of the auto and housing sectors. Moreover, further declines in German industrial production and rising unemployment were taken to suggest that the German authorities would follow by lowering their interest rates. But, in view of the considerable uncertainties surrounding the movement in interest differentials, few traders were willing to take on new positions, particularly before the year-end.
Coming into the new year, market participants tried to assess the outlook for economic and financial developments for 1981. Traders were impressed by the large swing in the U.S. current account from deficit in the first half of 1980 to surplus in the second half of the year. Indeed, the importance of the increasingly favorable U.S. current-account position for the dollar-mark relationship was underscored at the onset of trading in January when the mark, after initially rising to as high as DM 1.9280 on January 6, dropped back amid a stream of commercially based orders for dollars. By contrast, the outlook for Germany's current account worsened. Most forecasters were looking for nearly as large a
deficit this year as the shortfall of DM 28 billion recorded in 1980, despite projections of continued stagnation and even recession in the German economy. The prospect of a sizable and prolonged deficit partly reflected the adverse impact on Germany's terms of trade of the sharp depreciation of the mark and of higher oil prices. But underlying the tenaciousness of the deficit were structural problems as well, such as the challenge to manufactured exports by overseas competitors and Germany's continued heavy dependence on foreign energy resources.

Within Germany the ongoing policy debate intensified amid heightened disagreement over the appropriate adjustment to the change in Germany's external situation. In the exchange market, sentiment toward the mark turned exceedingly bearish during January as market participants focused on the ambivalence of German policy. While holding to a firm monetary stance in the face of internal pressures to stimulate the economy, the central bank had nonetheless avoided overt steps toward tightening, and market participants began to question the resolve of the authorities to support the mark. Moreover, the determined tone of the Reagan administration in seeking to strengthen the U.S. posture both at home and abroad contrasted sharply with the sense of policy frustration in Germany, adding to the market's pessimism toward the mark.

In these circumstances, the selling of marks gathered force as concerns about a sharp drop in U.S. interest rates evaporated and as the Iranian hostage crisis and the unfreezing of blocked Iranian dollar assets were resolved without major incident, thereby removing uncertainties about the dollar. Downward pressures on the mark were also aggravated by the possibility of Soviet military intervention in Poland, in view of Germany's strategic exposure and its extensive trade and investment relationships with Eastern Europe. By late January the mark was dropping more rapidly in the exchanges against the dollar than other EMS currencies and was again at the floor of the EMS vis-a-vis the French franc. In response, the German Federal Bank intervened in dollars and, together with the Bank of France, in francs to preserve the EMS intervention limits. For their part, the U.S. authorities also acquired substantial amounts of marks. Even so, the mark plummeted 10 percent from its highs in
early January to DM 2.1300 by January 31, for a net decline of 19 percent over the six months under review.

In view of the continuing volatility of the exchanges after mid-December, the U.S. authorities intervened frequently both to settle the market and toward the end of January to counter the strong one-way pressures building up in favor of the dollar. From mid-December, purchases of marks by the Federal Reserve and the U.S. Treasury amounted to $\$ 719.0$ million equivalent and $\$ 802.6$ million equivalent respectively. Over that time, intervention sales by the U.S. authorities amounted to $\$ 128.4$ million equivalent.
In summary, during the six-month period the Federal Reserve purchased $\$ 2,106.9$ million equivalent of marks in the market and \$961.8 million equivalent of marks from correspondents, while intervening to sell $\$ 215.9$ million equivalent. At the same time, the U.S. Treasury acquired $\$ 3,865.2$ million equivalent in the market and another $\$ 635.8$ million equivalent from correspondents and sold $\$ 152.4$ million equivalent of marks. Meanwhile, reflecting sizable intervention purchases of marks within the EMS and the repayment of swap debt by the Federal Reserve, Germany's foreign exchange reserves declined $\$ 3.3$ billion over the six-month period to stand at $\$ 42.4$ billion on January 31, 1981.

## SWISS FRANC

The economy in Switzerland, in contrast to that in Germany, remained strong through the early summer of last year. Bolstered by consumer and investment demand, the Swiss gross national product was expanding at an annual rate of 3 percent while employment advanced to its highest level in five years. But international developments were impinging on this otherwise favorable economic performance. Even though the Swiss inflation rate was still the lowest in the industrialized world, domestic prices were being pulled up sharply by rising oil prices and the higher prices of other imported goods.

The deterioration in the terms of trade, recessions in foreign markets, and the strength of the domestic economy had opened up a trade gap of around $\$ 6$ billion, about twice the 1979 deficit and sufficiently large to push the current account
into deficit for the first time in 15 years. Moreover, since the larger industrialized countries were relying heavily on restrictive monetary policies to combat high inflation, interest rates abroad had risen, reaching historic highs in a number of countries and moving interest differentials sharply against Switzerland in early 1980. These differentials, especially against the United States, were widening again by early August.

The relatively low nominal interest rates in Switzerland left the franc vulnerable to downward pressures that, if they intensified, threatened to increase inflationary pressures within Switzerland. In response, the Swiss authorities had begun to dismantle exchange controls limiting capital inflows, actions that helped the franc rebound strongly against the dollar in the late spring and early summer. But in late July when the dollar began to recover, the franc fell back from its highs to trade around SF 1.65 in early August. Later in the month as U.S. interest rates continued to advance, the franc eased further, slipping at times against the mark as well as the dollar. In response, the Swiss authorities hastened to complete the abolition of all remaining restrictions against capital inflows. In addition, regulations governing borrowings in Swiss francs were changed to make it easier for central banks and monetary authorities to invest in private Swiss franc placements. During this period the U.S. authorities supplemented their operations in marks by operating in Swiss francs as well. By the end of August, they had bought $\$ 20$ million equivalent of francs in the market and $\$ 15.2$ million equivalent from correspondents, of which $\$ 22.6$ million was for the Federal Reserve and $\$ 12.6$ million was for the Treasury.

Meanwhile, since the Swiss National Bank had intervened only occasionally to buy dollars in 1980, the authorities were relying on other operations to provide the liquidity banks needed on a short- and medium-term basis to maintain reserve requirements. These operations included arranging foreign exchange swaps for short- and medium-term maturities and placing government deposits with commercial banks. Even so, the Swiss monetary base, which is used as a target by the authorities, was falling just below the desired growth rate of 4 percent per year. In part, this reflected reduced holdings of bank notes following the removal of exchange controls. But,
with recessions spreading across other European countries, especially Germany, the sluggishness of monetary growth suggested that the Swiss economy might also be slowing down. The markets came to expect a decline in interest rates. Nevertheless, the authorities remained determined to combat inflation, which at 4 percent per year remained historically high for Switzerland. Therefore, the Swiss National Bank provided liquidity at now relatively unfavorable interest rates, thereby signaling to the market its refusal to accommodate lower interest rates.

By mid-October, the steep rise in U.S. interest rates opened up a large gap between U.S. and Swiss rates. Funds flowed heavily out of the franc into the dollar and the rate fell sharply with other continental currencies, dropping some $5^{1 / 2}$ percent to SF 1.7425 in early November before leveling off with the mark around midmonth. Nevertheless, during this same period the somewhat tighter money market conditions had helped stabilize the franc vis-a-vis the mark. With the franc benefiting from the return of funds that had been invested earlier in the year in Germany, the franc did not fall as fast as the mark and the Swiss National Bank did not have to intervene in the exchange market. Between early September and mid-November the Federal Reserve bought an additional $\$ 5$ million equivalent of francs in the market and $\$ 102.2$ million equivalent from correspondents. For its part the Exchange Stabilization Fund bought $\$ 29.8$ million equivalent from correspondents.

In December, U.S. interest rates rose even higher and the differential between U.S. and Swiss interest rates widened to more than 14 percent. Investment portfolio managers reacted swiftly by moving large amounts of funds out of the franc into higher-yielding dollar assets. Moreover, seeing little possibility of a near-term recovery in the franc, many corporate entities, governments, and official agencies borrowed francs domestically or in the Euro-Swiss franc market where in many cases borrowers simply exercised options to allow them to switch loan currency denominations on rollover dates. As a result, the franc fell even more sharply against the dollar, while also relinquishing some of its gains against the mark. By mid-December, it dropped another $5^{1} / 2$ percent to SF 1.8365 before recovering to SF 1.7800 at the month-end in
response to the decline in U.S. interest rates and a sharp year-end rise in Swiss interest rates.

Coming into 1981, participants remained wary over the outlook for the franc. Its steep decline against the dollar was seen as undercutting the fight against inflation in Switzerland. At the same time, the Swiss economy was expanding more slowly in the face of deepening recessions in Germany and elsewhere in Europe. In many financial centers around the world the concern over Germany's economic outlook tended to include Switzerland, and as a result many investors viewed the Swiss franc as a less attractive medium for investment funds. Against this background, once it became clear in early January that U.S. interest rates were not giving up much ground, the franc came heavily on offer with the other continental currencies, plummeting 8 percent against the dollar over the month. This further steep decline in the rate prompted the Swiss National Bank to sell modest amounts of dollars in the exchange market. Also on January 29 the Federal Reserve and the Treasury each purchased $\$ 10$ million equivalent of francs in the market to supplement intervention in marks. The franc closed on January 30 at a three-year low of SF 1.9270, to end the six-month period $16^{1 / 4}$ percent lower against the dollar. Also, the franc eased back from its highs against the mark, having received much less intervention support. It therefore closed the six-month period little changed on balance against the mark.

Over the period, Federal Reserve market and correspondent purchases of francs totaled $\$ 30$ million equivalent and $\$ 109.8$ million equivalent respectively. Treasury acquisitions of francs for the Exchange Stabilization Fund totaled $\$ 15$ million equivalent and $\$ 37.4$ million equivalent respectively.

During the six-month period, Switzerland's foreign currency reserves fluctuated from month to month in response to foreign exchange swap operations undertaken for domestic monetary purposes. On balance, the reserves declined $\$ 200$ million to $\$ 12.1$ billion as of January 31.

## Japanese Yen

By the third quarter of 1980 , Japan was experiencing a dramatic turnaround in its balance of
payments. This shift occurred initially in the capital account, where heavy inflows first into the banking sector and later into stocks and bonds had provided more than adequate financing for a current-account deficit still running at an annual rate of $\$ 20$ billion through the first half of the year. By midsummer, however, the current account was itself moving out of deficit at an unexpectedly rapid pace. A major reason for this improvement was a large reduction of the volume of oil imports, reflecting energy conservation efforts and major investments in energysaving production processes by Japanese companies. In addition, following the adoption of more restrictive fiscal and monetary policies to stabilize the Japanese yen last March, private consumption flattened out and inventories were cut back sharply. This reduction of domestic demand also contributed to lower import volume, while at the same time it encouraged Japanese companies to expand their overseas sales. As a result, the Japanese yen advanced from its early-April lows to $¥ 227.28$ by the opening of the period, while Japan's foreign exchange reserves rose to $\$ 18.8$ billion.

This sharp recovery in the yen, together with the improved balance of payments performance, touched off a debate within Japan on whether or not to lower domestic interest rates. Earlier in the summer, the Bank of Japan had resisted pressures for easing monetary policy in view of the continued strength of inflationary pressures and the size of the current-account deficit. But by mid-August evidence emerged of the substantial improvement in the current account and of a lowering in the inflation rate. Moreover, economic growth was slowing down both at home and abroad. As a result, on August 20 the Bank of Japan lowered its discount rate $3 / 4$ percentage point to $8 \frac{1}{4}$ percent. In addition, on September 5 the government announced a modest fiscal stimulus, featuring a restoration of some programs cut earlier in the year.

In the exchange market, this slight relaxation in fiscal and monetary policy had little impact on the performance of the yen. The market had become increasingly aware that, despite its heavy dependence on oil imports, Japan-by comparison with most other industrialized coun-tries-was achieving a rapid adjustment to higher world oil prices. The yen was remarkably resil-
ient in the face of a prospective shortfall in oil production resulting from the outbreak of hostilities between Iran and Iraq. This resiliency impressed the market and the yen continued to be buoyed by capital inflows, including funds from OPEC countries to purchase stocks of Japanese companies as well as government and corporate bonds. These inflows, together with the virtual elimination of the current-account deficit by early autumn, propelled the yen $7 \frac{1}{4}$ percent above early-August levels to $¥ 210.65$ by September 19 and a further 2 percent to $¥ 206.20$ on October 14. At this level the yen was at its highest in nearly two years before easing back against a strengthening dollar to $¥ 211.05$ at the monthend. Meanwhile, with the yen in heavy demand in late September and early October, the Bank of Japan intervened in the exchange market to moderate its rise. These operations contributed to an increase of $\$ 2.2$ billion in foreign exchange reserves to $\$ 21.0$ billion as of October 31 .

In early November the strength of the yen, further evidence of moderating inflation, and a moderation of monetary growth provided the Bank of Japan with an opportunity to cut its discount rate another 1 percentage point to $7 \frac{1}{4}$ percent. In addition, the authorities lowered reserve requirement ratios for bank deposits. This move was largely anticipated in the exchange market, and the yen continued to fluctuate around $¥ 212$. Around the month-end, however, the Japanese yen dropped to as low as $¥ 216.75$ on expectations of higher interest rates in the United States coinciding with the implementation of a new exchange control law on December 1 , liberalizing the movement of funds in and out of the country. But effective the same day the Ministry of Finance announced increases in the quotas available to Japanese and foreign banks for swapping dollar borrowing into yen, thereby providing more scope for capital inflows. The market soon came into better balance, and the yen recovered to fluctuate around $¥ 210$ through midmonth.

In late December, exchange market sentiment became more favorable for the yen. Continued strength of export and investment demand was expected to give the economy a boost in Japan that contrasted with the spreading slowdown in most other industrialized countries. With U.S. interest rates also drifting lower at the time,
market participants came to expect another wave of investment flows into Japan. As the market turned more bullish toward the yen, commercial leads and lags moved in its favor, pushing the rate up to as high as $¥ 198.00$ on January 5 . This abrupt rise prompted the Bank of Japan to intervene in the exchanges. At that time, the dollar was coming generally on offer and, as part of a joint effort with the Bank of Japan to prevent the disorderly conditions in the yen market from spilling over into the other currency markets, the Federal Reserve sold $\$ 50$ million equivalent of yen in New York, financed out of System balances. This intervention helped bring the market into balance and, as concern over a possible sharp drop in U.S. interest rates faded, the yen rate settled back to around $¥ 202.50$ by midmonth. Thereafter, the yen traded quietly, declining somewhat against the dollar but rising against the continental European currencies. Market sentiment remained generally positive for the yen, which closed on January 30 at $¥ 206.10$, up some $9^{1 / 2}$ percent over the sixmonth period. Meanwhile, the Bank of Japan's interventions during the last three months of the period contributed to a rise of $\$ 1.7$ billion in foreign exchange reserves to $\$ 22.7$ billion as of January 31 , for an overall rise of $\$ 3.9$ billion for the six-month period.

## SterLing

Coming into the period under review, sterling had been buoyant relative to other European currencies. Britain's rising production of oil from the North Sea left its economy well protected against possible cutoffs in oil supplies and further increases in energy prices. A deepening recession at home was dampening import demand so as to help push the current account from deficit into substantial surplus. The British authorities remained determined to curb the entrenched inflationary pressures in the domestic economy. Toward that end, the Bank of England kept short-term British interest rates close to the recent record levels as long as the demand for credit appeared to remain strong. As a result, British interest rates stayed high by international standards and, in a world dominated by fears over the vulnerability of national economies to
rising oil prices, sterling remained an attractive investment medium, especially in view of the depth, diversity, and breadth of the London money and capital markets.

As a result, the pound had led the advance of the European currencies against the dollar during the spring and summer to trade by early August at $\$ 2.34$ against the dollar and around 74.5 on a trade-weighted basis as a percentage of Smithsonian parities. Moreover, Britain's reserve position had become so strong that the government had announced during July its decision to prepay during 1980 an official Eurodollar borrowing of $\$ 1.5$ billion due to mature during 1985-88. Even after some of these repayments, Britain's official foreign currency holdings at the end of July were close to an all-time high at $\$ 20.4$ billion.

Sterling's strength in the exchange market, while acting to slow domestic price increases, was creating a dilemma for British policymakers, since the pound's steep and persistent rise against nearly all other currencies posed an everincreasing threat to the competitiveness of British goods. As the pound advanced, British industrialists complained bitterly over narrowing profit margins and declining product market shares. As Britain's company sector came under increasing liquidity strains, unemployment rose to more than 2 million, stocks were run down, and investment was cut back. The corporate bond market remained inactive, and bank borrowing was the major source of finance. The continued high level of borrowing by the private sector, as well as the large public-sector borrowing requirement, kept monetary growth well above target despite substantial sales of government stock. Thus, market participants eagerly awaited any evidence that might point to a deceleration in monetary growth sufficient to permit the authorities to lower interest rates or, alternatively, any development that might prompt the authorities once more to engage in heavy exchange market intervention to moderate the pound's rise.

Instead, money market conditions in London remained tight almost continuously from August to October. Statistics on the growth of the monetary and credit aggregates gave the market little hope that the time had come for the Bank of England to reduce its official minimum lending rate. As a result, sterling continued to be well bid
ties would announce a reduction of interest rates when a new parliamentary session opened in mid-November. A sharp sell-off suddenly developed, and the pound fell $4 \frac{3}{4}$ percent from its highs to $\$ 2.3385$ on November 24. On that day the Bank of England's minimum lending rate was reduced 2 percentage points to 14 percent. Chancellor Howe also announced a series of measures designed to lower the public-sector borrowing requirement, including a proposal for a supplementary tax on oil production at a rate of 20 percent of gross revenues and an increase in employee national insurance contributions (effective from April 1981). On balance, this package was well received in the exchange market, and the pound steadied to trade around $\$ 2.34$ through mid-December.

Coming into the new year, sterling was again buoyant in the exchange market. Underpinned by a further widening in the current-account surplus, a rebate from the EC, and occasional large investment orders, the pound was bid up to as high as $\$ 2.4320$ on January 21. Nevertheless, with U.S. interest rates unexpectedly firm and with the dollar strong in the exchanges, the pace of capital flows into the pound began to slow. As a result, a diversification of investment portfolios by British residents into other currencies, which had proceeded ever since abolition of exchange controls a year before, now began to show through. Around the month-end, sterling dropped back from its highs to close at $\$ 2.3630$ on January 30. The pound was, however, still up $11 / 2$ percent on balance against the dollar and nearly 21 percent higher against the mark since the end of July. On a trade-weighted effective basis, the rate rose 7 percentage points to 81.2 percent of its Smithsonian parity over the sixmonth period.

Meanwhile, the Bank of England continued to intervene on both sides of the market to smooth fluctuations in the pound. These operations had little impact on external reserves, which were affected more by repayments of foreign currency debts and periodic revaluations of Britain's holdings in the European Monetary Fund. As a result of these considerations, the United Kingdom's foreign currency reserves declined $\$ 1.7$ billion over the six-month period to $\$ 18.7$ billion as of January 31.

## French Franc

For France the recent sharp increase in oil prices served to aggravate domestic inflationary pressures, lower real incomes, and impose a sharp reversal in the country's current-account position, thereby eroding the benefits of years of stabilization policies. By mid-1980, the rate of consumer price inflation had jumped up to $13^{1 / 2}$ percent. The current-account surplus of preceding years had given way to a deficit that was to amount to $\$ 7$ billion for the full year. Moreover, the economy had lost its upward momentum in the face of weakening consumer and investment demand and, with little opportunity to absorb a growing labor force, the rate of unemployment rose to more than 6 percent.

In response, the French government had already begun to provide limited fiscal stimulus to the economy and followed up with some further modest measures when it announced its 1981 budget early in September. In particular, certain social benefits were increased, more low-interest loans were made available to export firms and to finance housing, and some tax relief was provided to encourage new investment. But the French authorities, remaining committed to the combined goal of curbing inflation and maintaining the strength of the French franc, resisted pressure to ease the Bank of France's restrictive monetary policy as the economy weakened. Indeed, tight limits on banks' credit ceilings were maintained. The growth of money, which had run near 11 percent-the top of the target for M-2-at times during the summer, was back well within the targeted range by early fall. In addition, short-term rates had resumed a gradual rise after the summer, so that interest rates for most maturities were yielding a positive return even after taking inflation into account.

In the exchange markets, the French franc was trading firmly as the six-month period under review opened. It was benefiting then, as it had through much of the year, partly from the relatively high French interest rates that attracted investment flows into franc-denominated assets and partly from the domestic credit ceilings that provided an incentive to French banks and corporations to borrow in foreign currencies to meet local financing needs. In addition, the market's
attitude toward the franc remained more positive than for other European currencies. The currentaccount deficit, while a source of concern, was considerably smaller than that for Germany, its principal trading partner. France's traditionally good relations with Middle Eastern countries were generally thought in the market to help cushion France from any shortfall of oil supplies that might result from either the Iranian crisis or the outbreak of hostilities between Iran and Iraq. Moreover, some investors, looking to diversify their holdings, were attracted by the opportunities afforded in either the domestic or the Eurofranc markets. Thus, capital inflows were more than sufficient to finance France's current-account deficit. The French franc had recovered from its spring lows to trade around FF 4.15 early in August. Bank of France intervention within the context of the EMS had contributed to a rise in France's foreign currency reserves to $\$ 25.3$ billion by the end of July. Also, in view of the franc's relative strength, the Federal Reserve had included the French currency in its intervention operations earlier in the year, leaving a net $\$ 166.3$ million of indebtedness outstanding under the System's swap line with the Bank of France as of that same date.

Against this background, with the currency markets reasonably well balanced during August and September, the franc fluctuated narrowly against the dollar while remaining comfortably near the top of the EMS $2 \frac{1}{4}$-percent band. Although the Bank of France continued to buy modest amounts of EMS currencies, there was little further increase in French official foreign exchange reserves. Later on, however, the French franc became caught up in the tug of war between a generally rising dollar and a declining German mark. As the dollar strengthened after mid-October, the French franc started a decline, which was to proceed almost without interruption, to FF 4.4750 against the dollar by early November. Meanwhile, the Federal Reserve took advantage of the opportunity to begin to buy French francs both from correspondents and in the market and covered all its outstanding swap debt by the end of October.

Within the EMS by contrast, upward pressure on the French franc intensified after mid-October. The Bank of France had just, in effect,
reaffirmed its commitment to a restrictive monetary policy stance at a time when the authorities of other European countries were becoming increasingly concerned about slower economic growth and the prospect of recession. The French central bank announced that its growth target for M-2 for 1981 would be reduced to 10 percent and intervened in the Paris money market to maintain interest rates at a fairly high level. With the German mark coming under increasing selling pressure, the still relatively high level of interest rates in France attracted funds from abroad and kept the French franc from declining as rapidly as the mark against the dollar. The relationship between these two currencies within the EMS, therefore, became increasingly strained. On a number of occasions in late October and early November, the franc was at its upper intervention limit against the mark. The central banks of both countries were obliged to intervene in the market to buy large amounts of marks against francs. At times the Bank of France supplemented these operations by buying small amounts of dollars as well. Despite these purchases, which were partially reflected in a $\$ 874$ million increase in official foreign currency holdings for the month of October, the franc had risen to a high of FF 2.3002 against the mark by October 31.

On November 7, the Bank of France announced a number of measures to relieve the upward pressure on the franc within the EMS. The money market intervention point was reduced $3 / 4$ percentage point to $103 / 4$ percent, and a reserve requirement of 5 percent was imposed on deposits of nonresidents to discourage interestsensitive short-term capital inflows from abroad. But, to offset the effects of the recent intervention activity on domestic liquidity, the Bank of France also increased reserve requirements on commercial bank sight and time deposits. After these measures, the pressures in the EMS substantially subsided. The franc eased from its limit against the German mark, although at times during November and December the Bank of France bought modest amounts of marks, while also acquiring Belgian francs when that currency was low within the EMS. For a time the EMS currencies also steadied against the dollar. When, however, the EMS as a group declined,
the French franc dropped further against the dollar, easing as much as 4 percent below earlyNovember levels before recovering some in advance of the year-end.

During January, as prospects of a resolution to the Iranian hostage issue improved, the market for French francs began to react to the possibility that any move to unfreeze Iranian assets would set off new and possibly massive flows of funds. Those U.S. banks with liabilities vis-a-vis Iran were presumed to have to bid for funds in the Eurodollar market to meet these liabilities, and as Eurodollar rates were bid up, the European currencies generally weakened against the dollar. At the same time, market participants anticipated that Iran, once its assets were unfrozen, might try to switch a substantial amount of its funds into French francs. As a result, the franc declined less against the dollar than the other EMS currencies as the dollar continued to advance around midmonth. Although in fact no such flow of funds materialized, the relatively high interest rates in France continued to attract funds from abroad. By the end of January, the franc was again firmly against the upper EMS band even as it eased to FF 4.9000 against the dollar. The Bank of France was once more intervening with other central banks to support the German mark and Belgian franc. France's official foreign currency reserves increased further to stand at $\$ 26.5$ billion by the end of January, up $\$ 1.2$ billion over the six-months. Over the period under review, the French franc, frequently caught between the rising dollar and the weakening German mark, moved down $18 \frac{1}{2}$ percent on balance against the dollar and up $1 / 2$ percent on balance against the mark.

## Italian Lira

By mid-1980, the sharp increase in energy prices of the past two years, together with a rapid deterioration in Italy's non-oil trade position, had swung Italy's current account sharply into deficit, reversing the sizable surplus position of 1979. The Italian domestic economy continued to expand strongly into 1980 , even at a time when a slackening of other economies was being reflected in a slowing of foreign demand for Italian products. Moreover, inflation in Italy remained
pated with the rise of other currencies against the U.S. dollar. By early August it was trading above its lows at LIT 838.80.

But downward pressures on the lira developed again by mid-August. Although the domestic economy had itself begun to slow by this time, Italy's current account continued to deteriorate, and there was little evidence of improvement on the inflation front. Market participants continued to question how long the lira could be held within its EMS band in view of the much lower inflation rates in most other EMS countries. Also, the time for ratifying the July package of economic measures was running out. When the coalition government of Sig. Cossiga lost a parliamentary vote of confidence and resigned over the weekend of September 27-28, the July government austerity measures were allowed to lapse.

At this juncture, the Bank of Italy stepped in to stem any buildup of speculative pressure against the lira. It immediately raised the discount rate $1 \frac{1}{2}$ percentage points to $161 / 2$ percent, required exporters to finance 50 percent of their shortterm credit needs in foreign currency borrowings, and tightened regulations dealing with leading and lagging of payments and receipts. The Bank of Italy also intervened forcefully in the exchange markets. Meanwhile, a new government under Sig. Forlani was soon formed. New fiscal measures were put into place to control the budget and slow the growth of personal consumption. Though similar to those contained in the July policy package, the new measures provided for additional acceleration of personal income tax payments and expanded support for ailing industries. These actions combined to reassure the exchange markets, and by mid-October the lira stabilized around LIT 865 and at a level of about $3 \frac{1}{2}$ percent below the top of the EMS band.

Over the next two months, the lira traded comfortably within the EMS, while declining against the U.S. dollar no more rapidly than the other currencies involved in the joint float arrangement. Interest rates in Italy remained higher than those abroad; and though the climbing of U.S. rates narrowed some of the differentials favorable to the lira, the Italian currency was shielded more than most currencies from the growing flows of funds into U.S. dollar assets. Indeed, interest rate considerations, as well as
restrictions on domestic credit demand, still encouraged inflows of short-term capital, and commercial leads and lags turned in favor of the lira. Moreover, the Italian oil companies that normally enter the exchange markets to acquire foreign currency balances in early December for regular import payments instead borrowed heavily in the Eurocurrency markets in the hope that the dollar would be cheaper in the future. With the lira thus holding steady within the EMS, the Bank of Italy took advantage of opportunities to acquire foreign currencies through mid-December and relaxed somewhat the October regulation relating to short-term export financing abroad.

Meanwhile, Italy's current-account gap had widened to bring the deficit for 1980 as a whole to about $\$ 10$ billion-a figure that was much larger than anticipated only a few months earlier and overshadowed news of a modest improvement in the trade account late in the year. Industrial production was beginning to show signs of a possible recovery, even before much progress had been achieved in improving price or trade performance. Public expenditures and borrowing turned higher late in the year, and monetary growth accelerated, clouding the outlook for a near-term reduction of inflationary pressures all the more. The Bank of Italy continued its strong anti-inflationary stance, and Italian interest rates remained high. Furthermore, just as the period closed, the Bank of Italy sought to strengthen its grip on credit expansion by extending the application of its ceilings to all bank loans in lire and, for the first time, to most loans in foreign currencies, leaving only export loans exempt from the ceilings.
Nevertheless, funds had begun to flow out of Italy in late December, as export financings were repaid and those Italian oil companies that had previously borrowed abroad to finance their import deliveries took advantage of a brief softening of dollar rates to repay these loans. The pressures against the lira continued through January, prompting the Bank of Italy to intervene at times quite heavily to maintain the lira's position within the EMS band. As the entire joint float declined sharply against the dollar through January, the lira fell to record lows, closing the sixmonth period at LIT $1,004.50$ or down a net $193 / 4$ percent. At the same time, Italian reserves stood at $\$ 20.5$ billion, down $\$ 1.5$ billion for the period.

## European Monetary System

Last spring and early summer, the currencies linked together in the joint float arrangement within the EMS rebounded against the dollar, largely in response to the sharp decline in U.S. interest rates while interest rates in EMS member countries generally remained firm. This advance halted in July, and EMS currencies generally eased somewhat against the dollar in August and in early September as U.S. interest rates began to turn upward while interest rates in several EMS countries declined slightly.

For the most part, these broad movements took place without much strain on the EMS joint float mechanism itself. Member countries faced the common problem of having to adjust to the sharp runup of oil prices of 1979 and early 1980, which had generated unusually large currentaccount deficits for all of them and had aggravated domestic inflationary pressures. The authorities were seeking to develop a coordinated policy response in the monetary and fiscal areas as well as on energy questions. Monetary policy, in particular, had been tightened to combat inflation at home and to attract funds, which could help finance the current-account deficits, or at least to stem an outflow of interest-sensitive funds that would complicate the effort. In general, interest rates were higher in countries with high rates of inflation, so interest differentials roughly compensated for inflation differentials. By late summer it was clear that industrial production had dropped back from early in the year and, with unemployment rates rising, pressures were building up for an easing of earlier restrictive policies. But the central banks resisted pressures to ease, in view of the continuing high rates of inflation and the need to finance the currentaccount deficits, with the result that any movement in the direction of ease was modest, if at all.

Within the band of currencies, the Dutch guilder was firm on the Netherlands' relatively favorable external position and on the high interest rates prevailing in the Amsterdam money market. The guilder, after having traded in the upper half of the EMS band during the first seven months of the year, moved toward the top of the band in August and remained there over the rest of the year. The guilder's relative strength enabled the Dutch authorities to move cautiously to
reduce interest rates, with four cuts in official rates totaling 2 percentage points between June and October. The French franc was also strong within the EMS, alternatively at the top with the guilder, as France attracted capital inflows in excess of its current-account deficit. In Ireland, foreign borrowings by the public sector were being used to finance the current-account deficit. Conversions in the market of the proceeds of these borrowings and some favorable leads and lags in sterling payments kept the Irish pound near the top of the band. At the same time, Denmark was financing its current-account deficit by borrowing abroad, enabling the Danish krone to fluctuate around the middle of the joint float. The Italian lira, which is allowed a wider trading band than the other currencies in the arrangement, also moved widely but without need for intervention at the outer limits.

The Belgian franc traded near the bottom of the $2 \frac{1}{4}$ percent band. Belgium's problems-a large current-account deficit, a large fiscal deficit, and a stagnating economy-were viewed as particularly serious by the market. To finance the current-account and fiscal deficits, the Belgian government borrowed heavily in international markets. Political wrangling hampered the taking of effective adjustment measures, and the Belgian franc remained under selling pressure, with the result that the National Bank was obliged to maintain interest rates high enough to avoid funds moving out of the franc and to give support from time to time to keep the franc within the $2 \frac{1}{4}$ percent EMS band.

The German mark was also near the bottom of the band. Germany had the largest current-account deficit to finance among the EMS members. Although Germany's inflation performance continued to be as good or better than the others, German interest rates were well below those in other EMS member countries. Moreover, Germany had no official restrictions on capital outflows and still refrained from removing all controls on inflows. The result was that funds could readily move out of Germany into other EMS currencies, and official and private entities within other EMS countries could readily use marks in international borrowings.

By October, strains began to build up within the EMS. In part these came from outside, as heavy flows of funds moved into the U.S. dollar,
the pound sterling, and the Japanese yen-currencies in which interest rates remained very high or, as in the U.S. case, were rising. But the interest rate disparities within the EMS and the relative freedom of funds to move also played a role. With the exchange markets turning generally bearish over the outlook for the German mark, funds moved out of the mark and into other EMS currencies. To the extent that these funds gravitated to the currencies at the top of the EMS band-the French franc and Dutch guilder-the EMS intervention mechanisms were soon triggered.

Intervention mounted quickly, and talk began circulating of a possible widening in the intervention limits or of a temporary withdrawal of the mark from the joint float arrangement. Such approaches were openly rejected by the authorities of the respective EMS member countries. In early November, the French took measures to ease money market conditions, making explicit their intention to reduce the selling pressures on the German mark. Meanwhile, the German Federal Bank was allowing the heavy intervention within the EMS to tighten its own money market. The market sensed the resolve of the authorities to maintain existing parities, and the tension gradually eased. Even so, the EMS joint float continued to decline against the major currencies outside the group, including the dollar, the pound sterling, the Japanese yen, and to a small degree the Swiss franc. Apart from a rise in the Danish krone, reflecting a 1980 current-account deficit for Denmark that was lower than expected, and a downward movement in the Irish pound from its temporarily high position in the band, the configuration of currencies hardly changed within the EMS.

The currencies in the group at first recovered slightly against the dollar when U.S. interest rates were receding from their mid-December highs. But it soon became apparent that U.S. interest rates would not drop off as sharply as some market participants had originally believed. Moreover, the market remained concerned about the prospects for EMS member countries in reversing their current-account deficits and dealing with domestic policy dilemmas. As market sentiment toward the dollar became increasingly bullish, the dollar came into demand against the currencies in the EMS band. As
before, the brunt of the immediate selling pressures fell on the German mark, and that currency touched its lower intervention limit. The Belgian franc also came under selling pressure, and both the mark and the franc required official support within the EMS.

## CANADIAN DOLLAR

In the summer of 1980, the Canadian dollar was underpinned by a favorable shift in Canada's trade and current-account position, by a reversal of the previous adverse interest rate differentials vis-a-vis the United States, and by Canada's status as a major oil and gas producer. The improvement in the trade account stemmed from a slowdown in the domestic economy, the ability of Canadian exporters to take advantage of the sharp depreciation of the Canadian dollar in previous years, and the market's perception of sustained efforts to curb cost and price pressures at home through monetary policy. As a result, exports to markets like Europe, where activity had not yet slackened so sharply as in North America, continued to increase. With the trade account heading to a surplus of $\$ 7$ billion for the year, the current-account deficit was narrowing to a size that could comfortably be financed by private capital inflows.

The reemergence of favorable interest differentials reflected the sharper drop of interest rates in the United States than in Canada. Restoration of the traditionally favorable interest rate gap for Canada had once again provided an incentive for investors to shift funds into higher-yielding Canadian dollar assets, while also prompting Canadian borrowers to tap U.S. and other foreign capital markets and to convert the proceeds in the exchanges. Canada's potential for increasing energy production in the future for both domestic and export use was underscored early in the year with reports of new oil discoveries. At a time of rapidly rising world energy prices and uncertainty over the adequacy of aggregate oil supplies, this factor added to the attractiveness of the Canadian dollar as an investment medium. In this environment, the Canadian dollar had been bid up to its high for the year of Can. $\$ 1.1406$ in early July, and by the month-end Canada's foreign currency reserves stood at $\$ 1.9$
billion after repayment in May and June of $\$ 600$ million borrowed early in the year under the revolving standby credit facility with Canadian banks.
During August and September the Canadian dollar was beginning to lose some of its buoyancy. In part, this reflected a narrowing of the positive interest differential as Canadian interest rates continued to ease for a while even after interest rates in the United States resumed an upward trend. The exchange market had also become concerned about the continued debate over domestic energy pricing and development policy, which had important implications for the distribution of income as well as the outlook for containing inflationary pressures at home. The western provinces had called for a larger share of oil revenues to be returned to provincial governments and for a more rapid increase in domestic energy prices to world market levels. When these calls were resisted at the federal level, the market became concerned that a fundamental constitutional conflict might emerge over the relationship between the federal and provincial governments. Thus, the Canadian dollar settled back to trade around Can. $\$ 1.1575$ during much of August and September. It came on offer in early September around the time of a meeting between Prime Minister Trudeau and the provincial premiers and then again later in the month when no visible progress was made on the constitutional issue. By October 2, the rate had declined to Can. $\$ 1.1734$ with the Bank of Canada continuing to operate on both sides of the market to smooth short-run rate fluctuations.

The Canadian dollar firmed briefly after early October as a number of developments, including the outbreak of hostilities between Iran and Iraq, reinforced the market's positive views about Canada's basic strength in its natural resources. Late in the month, however, the Canadian dollar was again coming under some selling pressure as the market anticipated and then reacted to measures contained in the October 28 federal budget. The budget called for cuts in the federal deficit and included a national energy policy that, in turn, provided for specific measures to increase domestic wellhead oil prices, imposed a refinery levy to pay for oil import subsidies, and increased Canadian ownership of oil and gas production with an increase in the share of the
national oil company. These measures were seen in the market as discouraging foreign investment and as possibly complicating constitutional issues. Indeed, a number of provinces objected to the proposed oil-pricing arrangements, and $\mathrm{Al}-$ berta announced its intention to cut its oil production by 15 percent. These developments contributed to a substantial self-off of Canadian dollars in the exchange market and the rate declined to Can. $\$ 1.1899$ on November 6. By midNovember the market had come back into balance with the spot rate fluctuating around Can. $\$ 1.1860$.
Meanwhile, the Canadian economy, spurred by strengthening retail sales and industrial production, had picked up in the third quarter and posted its first gain in real output for the year. At the same time, the inflation rate began to accelerate as increases in food and energy prices and higher labor costs worked their way through the economy. The money supply moved toward the upper end of its target range, and the Bank of Canada, operating within a system of establishing its official bank rate in accordance with the weekly Treasury bill tender rate, entered the money market to push up short-term interest rates. The discount rate then climbed to nearly 14 percent in mid-November, compared with about $10 \frac{1}{2}$ percent in mid-August. But an even more rapid surge in interest rates was under way in the United States-one which the Canadian authorities were initially reluctant to match.

As a result, interest rates in Canada increasingly fell behind those in the United States, and the adverse differentials that first had emerged at the end of August had widened sharply by No-vember-December. Several announced bond issues planned by Canadian entities for the New York market were postponed in response to the rise in interest rates here, cutting off a potential source of demand for Canadian dollars in the exchanges. Also, dealers and corporate treasurers became increasingly unsure about the willingness of the authorities to foster increases in interest rates to match those in the United States. The Canadian dollar therefore came heavily on offer, plunging through the Can. $\$ 1.20$ benchmark by December 11 to a low of Can. $\$ 1.2122$ on December 16, $41 / 2$ percent below levels in early August.

At the same time, the Bank of Canada contin-
ued to act forcefully in the money market, raising the official discount rate to 17.4 percent by December 19, as well as in the exchange markets, selling sizable amounts of dollars on a number of occasions. These actions were reinforced by Governor Bouey's speech to provincial ministers of finance restating the commitment of the Bank of Canada to a firm anti-inflation policy and a stable currency in the exchanges. As a result, the Canadian dollar steadied and began to recover, helped by an easing in U.S. interest rates. Dealers moved to cover their short positions, and corporations that had held off buying Canadian dollars in expectation of further rate declines entered the market to cover their needs. The rate thus rebounded to Can. $\$ 1.1885$ by December 30.

A more positive tone prevailed in the market early in the new year, as market participants took note of the continuing improvement in Canada's trade position. Also, some easing of U.S. interest rates early in January led to a narrowing of interest differentials vis-a-vis U.S. dollar assets, while wide favorable differentials for Canada remained against several major continental currencies. As a result, the Canadian dollar generally kept pace with the rising U.S. dollar until late in the period, thereby strengthening considerably against the continental currencies. Although announcement of decontrol of domestic oil prices in the United States by President Reagan on January 27 refocused market attention on the still unresolved Canadian energy policy controversy and sapped the Canadian dollar of some of its strength, the spot rate was trading about $1 \frac{1}{2}$ percent above its December lows at Can. $\$ 1.1948$ by the close of the sixmonth period. At this level, it had reduced its net decline against the U.S. dollar since July to about 3 percent. Against the European currencies, the Canadian dollar on balance had gained about 15 percent.

As the Canadian dollar had firmed in the first weeks of January, the Bank of Canada purchased sizable amounts of U.S. dollars. Also, after having drawn $\$ 900$ million in December on standby credit facilities with Canadian and foreign banks, the Bank of Canada repaid in January the $\$ 600$ million drawing on Canadian banks, leaving the $\$ 300$ million drawing on foreign banks still outstanding. As a result, Canada's foreign exchange reserves stood at $\$ 1.4$ billion at the end
of the period, down $\$ 558$ million net over the six months.

## SwEDISH KRONA

Last year, the Swedish authorities were confronted with several economic problems at once. The current-account deficit deepened, to nearly $\$ 5$ billion, as the latest rise in world oil prices added to Sweden's oil import bill and as export growth slackened. The inflation rate accelerated to nearly 14 percent for the year as a whole. A surge in state and local spending contributed to a continuing increase in the government budget deficit to about $\$ 10$ billion, or more than 10 percent of gross national product. Efforts to deal with these and other issues, such as the longfestering debate over nuclear policy, were hampered by the fact that Sweden was governed by a coalition of parties with only a slender majority in Parliament. Consequently, as major adjustment policies were being hammered out, the Bank of Sweden had little choice but to tighten monetary policy, both to absorb the excess liquidity generated by the fiscal deficit and to avoid outflows of interest-sensitive funds.

Meanwhile, the Bank of Sweden intervened as necessary to keep the krona within a reasonable range against the index of a trade-weighted basket of currencies, and the government continued to arrange borrowings in the international capital markets to cover the current-account deficit and to avoid an excessive drain on reserves. On the possibility that some bridge financing might occasionally be needed as longer-term loan packages were assembled, the Bank of Sweden moved to reinforce its short-term credit lines. In this context, in May the Bank of Sweden and the Federal Reserve agreed to increase the swap arrangement $\$ 200$ million to $\$ 500$ million for one year, with the understanding that drawings could be made, if needed, in connection with bridgefinancing operations.

Through the spring and early summer, the exchange market for the Swedish krona was rather well balanced, and takedowns on the government's international borrowings ran well ahead of the Bank of Sweden's intervention sales of dollars. By August, however, as the government prepared a new package of measures, ru-
mors of a possible devaluation generated heavy selling pressure on the krona, largely in the form of adverse commercial leads and lags. The krona declined $1 / 2$ percent during the month, to as low as SK 4.2005 against the dollar but remained around 100.8 in terms of the official index. For their part, the authorities firmly rejected devaluation on the grounds that it would exacerbate domestic inflationary pressures and do little to solve Sweden's structural problems. The Bank of Sweden stepped up its exchange market intervention, and the government increased the pace of its external borrowings to replenish reserves.

Early in September the government convened an extraordinary session of Parliament and gained approval of a package of fiscal measures, which included a sizable hike in the value-added tax and an increase in taxes on energy consumption. The government followed up by announcing cuts in planned expenditures to reduce the budget deficit. These actions were seen in the markets as positive first steps, and the krona improved somewhat over October and November. As some commercial leads and lags ran off, the krona gained $1 / 2$ percentage point, in terms of its official index, to 100.3 , while declining some 5 percent against a strengthening U.S. dollar to SK 4.36. At the end of November, Sweden's foreign currency reserves remained little changed from the levels of last summer.

Nevertheless, concerns over the outlook for Sweden's fiscal and current-account deficits continued to weigh on the exchange market, and the krona's relative strengthening proved short lived. Devaluation talk revived toward the yearend, and commercial leads and lags turned against the krona once more. On January 12 the government announced its proposed budget for the next fiscal year, beginning in July 1981. The deficit was again projected to be large, but the message lacked significant new measures to close the gap. The exchange market atmosphere deteriorated further, leading to strong selling pressure on the krona. The Bank of Sweden was obliged to intervene in size to avoid a sharp deterioration of the krona against the official
index. On January 20, the Bank of Sweden followed up by announcing a series of forceful measures: hiking its cliscount rate 2 percentage points to 12 percent and its penalty lending rate fully 4 percent to 17 percent, raising long-term rates about 1 percentage point, doubling the bank's cash reserve requirements from 2 to 4 percent, and imposing a ceiling on commercial bank lending.
These actions led to a tightening of money market conditions and to a sharp rise in interest rates, but market participants continued to focus on the need for clear new measures on the fiscal side. Consequently, the krona remained under heavy selling pressure. The Bank of Sweden's sizable intervention continued, and the government accelerated its pace of negotiating new borrowings, including a $\$ 1$ billion loan in the Euromarkets. Even so, the intervention had become so heavy that reserves were being drawn down. Consequently, in late January the Bank of Sweden drew $\$ 200$ million under the swap agreement with the Federal Reserve to be used as bridge financing until new loans could be completed. Against the dollar, the krona declined a further $5 \frac{1}{4}$ percent from November levels to SK 4.5900, while against the official index it slipped to as low as 101 before recovering to 100.3 on the last trading day of the month. On balance, Sweden's reserves declined $\$ 500$ million in December and January to $\$ 2.5$ billion as of January 31.

After the turn of the month, however, the immediate selling pressures on the krona lifted. On February 2, employers and trade unions reached an agreement on a wage package that scheduled much more modest percentage increases than in recent years and incorporated cost-of-living provisions that would make devaluation even more improbable. On February 3, the government announced a far-reaching package of fiscal measures, designed to scale back the size and cost of government and to stimulate private initiative. These developments were well received in the exchange market, and funds began to flow back into the krona, enabling the authorities to replenish external reserves.

## Staff Studies

The staffs of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System and of the Federal Reserve Banks undertake studies that cover a wide range of economic and financial subjects. In some instances the Federal Reserve System finances similar studies by members of the academic profession.

From time to time the results of studies that are of general interest to the professions and to others are summarized-or they may be printed in full-in this section of the Federal Reserve Bulletin.

In all cases the analyses and conclusions set forth are those of the authors and do not necessarily indicate concurrence by the Board of Governors, by the Federal Reserve Banks, or by the members of their staffs.

Single copies of the full text of each of the studies or papers summarized in the Bulletin are available without charge. The list of Federal Reserve Board publications at the back of each Bulletin includes a separate section entitled 'Staff Studies" that lists the studies that are currently available.

## STUDY SUMMARY

Banking Structure and Performance at the State Level during the 1970s

## Stephen A. Rhoades-Staff, Board of Governors

Prepared as a staff paper in late 1980.

The increase in mergers and acquisitions that involved banks in different geographic markets during the 1970s has sparked a growing interest in the effect of bank mergers on statewide banking structure. While no systematic theoretical framework provides a basis for analyzing statewide banking structure, recent institutional changes and empirical evidence suggest that certain facets of state banking structure will influence bank conduct and performance. Moreover, since the operations of most banks are, to a large extent, limited to a single state, the state may be an appropriate area for considering the issue of undue or aggregate concentration.

This paper examines the levels and trends in state banking structure and analyzes statewide banking performance during the 1970s. The data are used in statistical tests to determine the relationship, if any, between (1) state banking laws and trends in structure and (2) statewide banking structure and performance.

The data on state banking structure and performance during the 1970s indicate the following:

1. Statewide concentration was substantially higher in statewide-branching states than in unitbanking states.
2. Concentration in standard metropolitan statistical areas (SMSAs) was higher in statewidebranching and limited-branching states than in unit-banking states.
3. For both states and SMSAs, concentration was higher within unit-banking and limitedbranching states where the level of multibank holding company activity was high.
4. More banking organizations were located in unit-banking than in limited-branching states, and more in limited-branching than in statewidebranching states.
5. Multimarket links were relatively numerous in states with liberal branching laws and in those with a considerable amount of multibank holding company activity.
6. Population per banking office was relatively low in states with less restrictive branching laws.
7. Mergers and acquisitions were higher in states with a high degree of multibank holding company activity than in other states.
8. More new bank charters were issued in states with restrictive branching laws than in other states.

Furthermore, a statistical relationship between
statewide structure and performance is evident. In view of these results, the implications of statewide banking structure for bank performance deserve attention, both analytically and empirically. Whatever is learned about bank structure and performance at the state level is likely to be relevant to banking structure at the national level should interstate banking become prevalent.

## Industrial Production

## Released for publication March 17

Industrial production declined an estimated 0.5 percent in February, after successively smaller monthly increases since October 1980. A rise of 0.4 percent is now estimated for January (the initial estimate of the advance, published last month, was 0.6 percent). In February, declines occurred in most major components of the index, with large decreases in durable goods for home and construction supplies. At 150.8 percent of the 1967 average, the index was fractionally below the level of December 1980 and about 1 percent below that of a year earlier.

Output of consumer goods declined 0.6 percent in February; the reduction was limited by a moderate increase in automotive products as auto assemblies increased nearly $7 \frac{1}{2}$ percent to an annual rate of 5.8 million units from the very low rate in January. Production of home goods declined sharply, and output of consumer nondurable goods, such as clothing and consumer staples, was reduced moderately. Production of business equipment edged down in February; a sharp rise in building and mining equipment was offset by a drop of more than 3 percent in transit equipment and small declines in other components. Output of construction supplies fell sharply, 2.6 percent, after an average rise of 1.5 percent in each of the three preceding months.

Production of materials declined 0.3 percent in February. Output of durable goods materials was reduced 0.9 percent, after large increases in earlier months; production of these materials remained almost 4 percent less than that of a year earlier. Output of nondurable materials edged down slightly. Production of energy materials increased 0.8 percent, reflecting a surge in coal output.


Federal Reserve indexes, seasonally adjusted. Latest figures: February. Auto sales and stocks include imports.

| Grouping | $1967=100$ |  | Percentage change from preceding month |  |  |  |  | Percentage change <br> Feb. 1980 to Feb. 1981 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1981 |  | 1980 |  |  | 1981 |  |  |
|  | Jan. ${ }^{\text {p }}$ | Feb. ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. |  |
| Total industrial production. | 151.5 | 150.8 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.0 | . 4 | -. 5 | -1.2 |
| Products, total | 150.1 | 149.1 | 1.3 | 1.0 | . 8 | . 1 | -. 7 | -. 7 |
| Final products. | 148.3 | 147.6 | 1.3 | 1.2 | . 5 | . 1 | -. 5 | $-.1$ |
| Consumer goods. | 147.4 | 146.5 | 1.6 | 1.0 | -. 2 | -. 2 | -. 6 | -1.3 |
| Durable ...... | 138.3 | 137.1 | 5.2 | 2.4 | -1.3 | -2.0 | -. 9 | -5.1 |
| Nondurable | 151.0 | 150.2 | . 3 | . 5 | . 2 | . 4 | -. 5 | . 1 |
| Business equipment | 178.3 | 177.7 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.7 | . 5 | -. 3 | 1.0 |
| Defense and space. | 101.0 | 101.2 | 1.1 | 1.3 | . 9 | . 3 | . 2 | 4.1 |
| Intermediate products. | 156.9 | 154.7 | 1.2 | . 7 | 1.7 | . 5 | -1.4 | $-2.8$ |
| Construction supplies | 146.9 153.8 | 143.1 | 2.3 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.5 | $-2.6$ | -7.0 |
| Materials . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 153.8 | 153.3 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 1.3 | . 9 | $-.3$ | -2.0 |

p Preliminary. e Estimated. Note. Indexes are seasonally adjusted.

# Statements to Congress 

Statement by Henry C. Wallich, Member, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, before the Subcommittee on International Finance and Monetary Policy of the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs, U.S. Senate, February 17, 1981.

I am pleased to testify on S. 144, a bill that would facilitate the establishment and operation of export trading companies.

When I submitted a statement on export trading companies on behalf of the Board about 10 months ago, the United States had experienced one of the largest quarterly trade deficits in our history. At the time, this deficit was a cause of some concern and comment, even though it was recognized as a temporary bulge associated with the sharp rise in the price of imported oil. Since that time, our exports have remained strong, and as growth of imports has slowed, our trade deficit has moderated considerably-by about $\$ 3$ billion in 1980 despite an increase of $\$ 20$ billion in oil imports. And although we still have a sizable trade deficit-as do nearly all oil-importing coun-tries-unlike most other industrial countries, we have the benefit of large and rising net receipts on investment income and other nontrade transactions, which more than outweigh our trade deficit. In sum, the United States is one of the few industrial countries at this time with a surplus on current account-goods, services (including investment income), and transfers. Our position stands in sharp contrast with that of continental European countries and Japan, all of which are recording deficits on current account.

Recognition of the underlying strength of the U.S. external position evidenced by this currentaccount surplus has been one factor contributing to the recent strength of the dollar in foreign exchange markets.

In providing this background, I mean to emphasize two points. First, it is important for the United States to continue to have a strong and expanding export sector-one that encompasses
a broad range of domestic industries and firms. Second, we are not faced with a crisis in our trade position or an overall deterioration in international competitiveness, although particular industries certainly face strong foreign competition. Our present position enables us to address issues of export policy from the perspective of our long-term policy goals rather than as a reaction to a crisis situation. In that context, I believe that a number of government policies could be amended in ways that would contribute materially to the exploitation of export opportunities by the private sector. Among impediments to our exports that have been cited are environmental regulations, the absence of clear guidelines under the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, and requirements that certain U.S. exports be shipped in American vessels.

The export trading company concept, properly circumscribed to avoid undue exposure of domestic banks, could also be useful in developing our export capacity. The bill under consideration, however, has provisions relating to bank ownership of export trading companies that the Board finds troublesome. My statement will be confined to issues involving bank ownership.

Our concern has been over the degree of bank ownership and participation in management of trading companies that can prudently be permitted, in light of the wide range of activities in which trading companies have traditionally engaged. The Board believes its concerns would be met by generally limiting banks to noncontrolling investments in trading companies. By contrast, S. 144 would permit banks to make controlling investments and to engage actively in the management of trading companies and would place on bank supervisory agencies the responsibility for developing regulations for bank-owned trading companies that would hold down the risks to banks to acceptable levels.

The issue of bank control of trading companies goes to the heart of issues that have been longstanding in legislation and policy. The separation
of banking and commerce has served this nation well in promoting economic competition and a strong banking system. A breach of that traditional separation in the case of trading companies could be an important precedent for other areas. This would adversely affect not only the safety and soundness of our banks but also their role as impartial arbiters of credit.

Control of an enterprise often implies a commitment by a bank to place its full resources behind the subsidiary. This is a generally accepted corporate policy, and it is recognized in the marketplace. Although a banking organization may judge that it can operate an international commercial banking business more efficiently and safely through controlling investments in affiliates, we believe that bank control and involvement in management of nonfinancial affiliates would increase the potential financial risk to the owning banks, as I will detail later. For this reason, the Board has recommended that, as a rule, bank ownership interest be limited to less than 20 percent of the stock of an export trading company.

At the level of ownership interest of 20 percent, a bank can include in its earnings a proportionate share of the earnings of a trading company. Under this rule of equity accounting, a bank may have an incentive to push a trading company into relatively risky types of operations in the hope of realizing immediate gains for the bank's earnings. Such risky operations could increase substantially the possibility that banks would sustain losses from operation of trading companies. In the Board's view it is appropriate to hold to a minimum the incentives for banks to seek to aim at short-term profits in trading companies in which they hold investments, and we believe that this result can best be achieved by setting the level of bank ownership interest at less than 20 percent. At this lower level of ownership, a bank could take into its earnings only the dividends received from the trading company.

This recommendation is more conservative than the level of control specified in the Bank Holding Company Act and used in S. 144 because the risks to banks from investments in trading companies appear potentially much larger than the risks associated with investments in nonbanking activities that are now permissible
under the Bank Holding Company Act. In particular, trading companies are likely to be highly leveraged; moreover, as commercial concerns they would operate outside the traditional financial areas where banks have developed expertise.

The risks to banks from this exposure would be especially large if particular banks became identified with, and had a significant management interest in, trading companies. The bill provides that the name of a trading company shall not be similar to that of an investing bank. This precaution would help insulate the bank from the risks that attach to the operation of trading companies, so long as the bank was similarly insulated from participation in management and the ownership interest of the bank was relatively small. Otherwise, the market would soon recognize the reality of control by the bank and would associate the trading company with the bank regardless of differences in names.

Losses that might result from failure of trading companies could be large, especially with high leveraging. One need not anticipate a loss as large as that experienced several years ago by a major Japanese bank-about $\$ 500$ million-to recognize the potential threat to a single institution. If such a shock occurred in an uncertain financial environment, there could develop a general distrust of other banks engaged in similar lines of activity and a threat to the banking system as a whole. Thus, the issue of bank involvement with trading companies is related to the potential soundness of the banking system.

The bill before this subcommittee, S. 144, seeks to limit these risks by providing that controlling investments by banks be subject to prior approval by bank supervisors and to certain statutory safeguards. These provisions would inevitably involve the bank supervisors to a substantial degree in decisions regarding operations of export trading companies. Bank supervisors are not likely to be able to anticipate all future eventualities in acting on applications. Even with a high level of supervisory effort, there will always be risks that cannot be foreseen because of the broad range of activities of trading companies.

The detailed supervision of trading companies that might be called for under S. 144 would be contrary to the philosophy adopted by the Board
in its recent amendments to Regulation K, which sought to reduce the need for detailed supervisory review and regulation of international bank operations. I would expect that U.S. export trading companies would be able to operate much more effectively in competing with foreign companies if they were not subject to supervisory restraints arising from the fact that they were controlled by banks. A U.S. trading company might well have difficulty in competing with foreign trading companies if the U.S. company were subject to limitations on types of activities or to capital ratios because it was controlled by a bank. Yet limitations clearly would be needed if banks owned trading companies. We can best unleash the entrepreneurial talents of our trading companies if we avoid bank involvement in their ownership and management and rely on banks to provide financing and related services.

I would stress, as I have on other occasions, that bank capital is a scarce resource. If we expect banks to play their part in financing the increased capital investment needed in this country, we will need to resist the temptation to encourage banks to divert capital from its traditional role as a support for lending activitywhich in my view is the way in which bank capital can be used most productively.
I recognize that there might be room for a limited number of exceptions to this general norm. There might, for example, be instances in which an export trading company designed for a
specialized purpose-for example, a particular project-might require strong bank sponsorship. In such a circumstance, the risks associated with bank control of a trading company might be outweighed by the beneficial effect for U.S. exports from trading company operations, and the public interest might be served by permitting one or more U.S. banks that have special expertise to acquire ownership interests of more than 20 percent, provided that the exposure of the trading company was reasonable in relation to its activities. I would expect that the number of exceptions would be relatively few and would not encompass large general or multipurpose export trading companies that would be capable of standing on their own feet without bank sponsorship. Nor would an exception be available to banking organizations that did not possess the requisite expertise.

In general, it would appear appropriate to structure these exceptional cases so that the investing banking organization is a bank holding company rather than a bank. This approach would be consistent with the general scheme of federal banking laws under which nonbanking activities are performed by corporate entities separate from banks.

If control of trading companies by banks were permitted only when there was a clear need, the purposes of the bill could be accomplished and at the same time the banking system would not be exposed to undue risk.

Statement by Nancy H. Teeters, Member, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, before the Subcommittee on Consumer Affairs of the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs, U.S. Senate, February 18, 1981.

I am pleased to appear before you to present the views of the Board of Governors on the proposed "Cash Discount Act." Unlike the current law, the proposal provides that a discount-in whatever amount-that is offered by a seller to a customer to induce payment by cash, check, or means other than an open-end credit plan or credit card is not a disclosable finance charge
under the Truth in Lending Act. The bill would also extend the current ban on the imposition of a credit-card surcharge for another three years.
The Board has testified earlier in favor of omitting these discounts from the finance charge as a way of encouraging them, and I do so again this morning. Also, as I have done previously, I must express the Board's uncertainty about the wisdom of prohibiting surcharges in view of their economic similarity to discounts. Their permissibility might in fact help assure that cash customers are not forced to subsidize credit-card users.
In our view, it is time to take a fresh look at the cash discount issue. During the six years since the Truth in Lending Act was first amended to
encourage the offering of cash discounts, the Congress has repeatedly considered the dis-count-surcharge issue. Testimony has been delivered at length. The Federal Reserve, meanwhile, has carefully constructed regulations to carry out the statutory provisions regarding availability and notice to consumers of discounts. Despite these congressional and regulatory efforts, we have not seen merchants offering discounts-at least not to any appreciable degree. If we believe that encouraging merchants to reward cash buyers is a goal worthy of diligent pursuit, then we must try to identify the impediments that have, in fact, discouraged the concept.

Our guess is that the current limit of 5 percent on the size of the discount is not the culprit. Rather, it may, once again, be a case of government regulation creating part of the problemregulation that is grounded on a set of wellintentioned arguments, but that introduces such friction into otherwise simple transactions that compliance is simply not worth the merchant's risk or effort.

If this analysis is correct, two features in the current regulation are probably most significant in discouraging the development of cash-paying incentive plans. First is the obvious difficulty in drawing a clear economic distinction between a permitted discount and a prohibited surcharge. Discounts and surcharges may not be as identical in practice as, say, a half-empty glass of water is to a half-full one. Nevertheless, it is difficult to quarrel with the fact that the distinction is, at best, uncertain.

If a seller wants to impose a surcharge, it could probably be done without running afoul of the surcharge prohibition. The seller could simply raise the price of an item by the amount the seller wants to impose as a surcharge, making this new price the "regular price," and then offer a lower price to cash customers as a permitted discount.

Second, the well-intentioned protections in the statute to insure equitable treatment of consumers once again have led to seemingly complicated regulatory provisions. The current statute and the proposed bill specify that any discount must be offered to "all prospective buyers." Its availability must be disclosed to all of them "clearly and conspicuously in accordance with regulations of the Board." But who are " all prospec-
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began pursuing six years ago would be to retain this limit, but to allow discounts and surcharges to be used with minimal further government interference.

Attached to my statement is an appendix discussing certain technical problems that our staff has identified with the current language of the bill. ${ }^{1}$ Although I have not referred to these issues in my testimony, we would of course be happy to answer any questions you may have on these points.

With regard to title III, the technical amendment to the Truth in Lending Act, I have no hesitation in recommending adoption. In the course of our efforts to revise and simplify Regulation Z to conform with the Truth in Lending Simplification and Reform Act of 1980, we have received numerous questions regarding the sta-

[^6]tus of the civil liability provisions. The statute gives creditors the option of complying with the new rules beginning on April 1, 1981, or waiting until April 1, 1982, when compliance becomes mandatory. However, uncertainty has arisen as to whether creditors are protected by the new civil liability provisions of the statute if they elect to follow the new rules before April 1, 1982. Title III makes it clear that the civil liability provisions take effect this April.
Without such protection, creditors will not have the incentive they otherwise would have to comply with the new regulations at an early date. This outcome would seem to be contrary to what we believe was the intent of the Congress. Both consumers and creditors will benefit from the new and simpler disclosure scheme. It would be unfortunate if a technical problem turned out to be an impediment to voluntary early compliance with the new provisions during the transition year. Thus, we wholeheartedly support this portion of the bill.

Statement by Paul A. Volcker, Chairman, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, before the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs, U.S. Senate, February 25, 1981.

I am pleased to be here to discuss with you the Monetary Policy Report of the Board of Governors that reviews economic and financial developments over the past year and sets forth appropriate ranges for growth of money and credit for 1981. ${ }^{1}$ Because I have already reviewed recent developments with the committee, my emphasis this morning will be on the present and future concerns of monetary policy. In that connection, I would like to touch first on some more technical considerations of Federal Reserve operating techniques.

As you well know, 1980 was a tumultuous year for the economy and financial markets. While most measures of the monetary and credit aggregates grew at or very close to our target ranges

1. See "Monetary Policy Report to Congress," pp. 195-208 of this Bulletin.
for the year as a whole, considerable volatility occurred from month to month or quarter to quarter. Moreover, interest rates moved through a sharp cycle and had considerable instability over shorter time spans.

In the light of these developments, I initiated in September a detailed study by Federal Reserve staff of the operating techniques adopted by the Federal Open Market Committee in October 1979, looking, among other things, to the question of whether the particular techniques we employed contributed importantly to the observed volatility. Those techniques place emphasis in the short run on following a path of nonborrowed reserves.

The study drew upon the substantial body of staff expertise both at the Board of Governors and at the regional Federal Reserve Banks, thus providing a variety of viewpoints and analytic approaches. The Federal Open Market Committee (FOMC) has had some discussion of the findings, and we are now at a point at which the work can be made available to interested outside experts. To assure full review, Board staff will be arranging "seminars," as appropriate, with
economists having a close interest in these matters.
Among the important questions at issue is whether alternative techniques would promise significantly better short-run control over the monetary and credit aggregates and whether such techniques would imply more interest rate instability. We also examined again the significance for the economy and for basic policy objectives of monthly, quarterly, or longer deviations of monetary growth from established target ranges.

For the convenience of the committee and others, I have listed in this text some of the technical findings that may be of more general interest.

1. The work confirms that the week-to-week money supply figures are subject to a considerable amount of statistical "noise"-unpredictable short-run variations related to the inherent difficulty of computing reliable weekly seasonal adjustment factors and other random disturbances. One analysis suggests that the random element in the weekly M-1 data, as first published, is about $\$ 3$ billion, plus or minus. While those variations average out over time, they could amount to $\$ 1 / 2$ billion on a monthly average basis, equivalent to a change of $4 \frac{1}{2}$ percent at an annual rate.
2. No clear evidence was found that, in the present institutional setting, alternative approaches to reserve (or monetary base) targeting would increase the precision of monetary control. Indeed, in current circumstances, some other approaches would appear to result in less precision in the short run. Perhaps more significant, the linkage between any reserve measure and money in the short run was loose; econometric tests seem to suggest that, even assuming absolute precision in meeting a reserve target (which is not in fact possible), monthly M-1 measures would be expected to deviate from the target by more than 8 to 10 percent, plus or minus (at an annual rate), one-third of the time. Those deviations should tend to average out over time, so that much closer control could be achieved over a period of three to six months, assuming no constraints on operations from interest rates or other factors. Those econometric results are consistent with the actual experience of 1980 .
3. Pursuing the closest possible short-run control of the money supply by any technique entails a willingness to tolerate large changes over short periods of time in short-term interest ratesgreater than were experienced in 1980. The technique actually employed, as expected, contributed to more day-to-day or week-to-week volatility than earlier procedures, but presumably not so much as other, more rigid reserve targeting approaches. Experience in 1980 also strongly suggested that short-run changes in money market rates became more highly correlated with fluctuations in long-term interest rates, which may be of more significance to investment and financial planning. The degree to which that closer association reflected uncertainty and a learning process unique to 1980 or is inherent in reserve-based targeting cannot be determined at this time.
4. Interest rate instability associated with the new techniques per se is extremely difficult to distinguish from other sources of interest rate fluctuation. However, the major swings in interest rates during the year-historic peaks in early 1980, the sharp drop in the spring, and the return to historical highs-can be traced to disturbances in the economy itself, to the imposition and removal of credit controls, to the budgetary situation, and to shifting inflationary expectations. Indeed, while much compressed in time, the broad interest rate fluctuations were, in relative magnitude, not out of keeping with earlier cyclical experience.
5. Money supply fluctuations last year over periods of a quarter or so were probably larger than might have been expected on the basis of econometric analysis of reserve control techniques. The inference from the study is that the credit control program and other external "shocks" could have been responsible. At the same time, the evidence is that the quarterly deviations in money growth from the trend for the year did not have an important influence on economic activity. If money growth had somehow been held constant, short-run interest rate variability would have been still larger.

In analyzing the results of the study and given the basic intent to control monetary and credit growth within target ranges over a period of time, the FOMC continues to believe present operating techniques are broadly appropriate. Assuming the present institutional structure, al-
ternative reserve control approaches do not appear to promise more short-term precision. We do, however, have under consideration possible modifications and improvements. Without going into technical detail, such matters as more frequent adjustment of the discount rate, more forceful adjustments in the "path" for nonborrowed reserves when the money supply is "off course," and a return to contemporaneous reserve accounting are being actively reviewed. In each case, the possible advantages in terms of closer control of the monetary aggregates need to be weighed against other considerations, including contributing to unnecessary short-run volatility of interest rates.

As a personal observation, I would emphasize that swings in the money and credit aggregates over a month, a quarter, or even longer should not be disturbing (and indeed may in some situations be desirable), provided there is understanding and confidence in our intentions over more significant periods of time. A major part of the rationale of present, or other reserve-based, techniques is to assure better monetary control over time. I believe, but cannot "prove," that the money supply in 1980 was held under closer control than if our operating emphasis had remained on interest rates. I hope 1980 was instructive in demonstrating that we do take the targets seriously, as a means both of communicating our intentions to the public and of disciplining ourselves.

In that light, I would like to turn to the targets for 1981. Those targets were set with the intention of achieving further reduction in the growth of money and credit-returning such growth over time to amounts consistent with the capacity of the economy to grow at stable prices. Against the background of the strong inflationary momentum in the economy, the targets are frankly designed to be restrictive. They do imply restraint on the potential growth of the nominal gross national product. If inflation continues unabated or rises, real activity is likely to be squeezed. As inflation begins noticeably to abate, the stage will be set for stronger real growth. Monetary policy is, of course, designed to encourage that disinflationary process. But the success of the policy and the extent to which it can be achieved without great pressure on interest rates and stress on financial markets, already heavily strained, will also depend
on other public policies and private attitudes and behavior.

Abstracting from the impact of shifts into negotiable order of withdrawal (NOW) accounts and other interest-bearing transaction accounts, growth ranges for the narrower monetary aggre-gates-M-1A and M-1B-have been reduced by $1 / 2$ percent to $3-5 \frac{1}{2}$ percent and $31 / 2-6$ percent respectively. Growth last year from the fourth quarter 1979 average to the fourth quarter 1980 average (when adjusted for shifts into NOW accounts) approximated $61 / 4$ percent and $63 / 4$ percent, just about at the top of the target range. ${ }^{2}$ Consequently, the new target ranges imply a significant reduction in the monetary growth rates.

The FOMC did not change the targets for M-2 or M-3. In the case of M-2, the upper end of the range was exceeded by about $3 / 4$ percent in 1980, and M-2, which includes new forms of marketrate savings instruments and the popular money market mutual funds, has shown some recent tendency to grow more rapidly relative to the narrow aggregates. In the past few years, growth of M-2 has been much closer to the growth of nominal GNP than has growth of M-1. Should those conditions prevail in 1981, actual results may well lie in the upper part of the range indicated. M-3, which includes instruments such as certificates of deposit used by banks to finance marginal loan growth, is influenced, as is bank credit itself, by the amount of financing channeled through the banking system as opposed to the open market. Changes in those aggregates must be assessed in that light.

I must emphasize that both $\mathrm{M}-1$ series, as actually reported, are currently distorted by the shift into interest-bearing transaction accounts. Those shifts were particularly large in January, when for the first time depository institutions in all parts of the country were permitted to offer such accounts. As the year progresses, we anticipate that the distortion will diminish as has already been the case in February. However,

[^7]any estimate of the shifts into NOW-type accounts for 1981 as a whole and the source of those funds must be tentative.

Survey results and other data available to us suggest that perhaps 80 percent of the initial shifts during January into NOW and related accounts were from demand deposits included in M-1A, thus "artificially" depressing that statistic. The remaining 20 percent was apparently shifted from savings accounts (or other investment instruments), "artificially" increasing M-1B. More recent data suggest that the proportion shifting from demand deposits, while still preponderant, may be slowly falling. Making allowance for these shifts, M-1A and M-1B through mid-February of this year have remained near the average level of December. At intervals we plan to publish further estimates of the shifts in accounts and their implications for assessing actual growth relative to the targets. But I cannot emphasize too strongly the need for caution in interpreting published data over the next few months.

Once these shifts are largely completed, we plan publication of a single $\mathrm{M}-1$ series. In that connection, I must note that the behavior of an $\mathrm{M}-1$ series containing a large element of interestbearing deposits, with characteristics of savings as well as transaction accounts, is likely to alter relationships between M-1 and other economic variables. For that and other reasons, the significance of trends in any monetary aggregate even over long periods of time must be analyzed carefully, and, if necessary, appropriate adjustments in targets must be made.

Those technical considerations should not obscure the basic thrust of our policy posture. Our intent is not to accommodate inflationary forces; rather, we mean to exert continuing restraint on growth in money and credit to squeeze out inflationary pressures. That posture should be reflected in further deceleration in the monetary aggregates in the years ahead and is an essential ingredient in any effective policy to restore price stability.

During 1980 despite the pressures arising from sharply higher oil prices and the strong momentum of large wage settlements and other factors, inflation did not increase. But the hard fact is that we, as a nation, have not yet decisively turned back the tide of inflation. In my judgment,
until we do so prospects for strong and sustained economic growth will remain dim. In that connection, forecasts by both the administration and members of the FOMC anticipate continuing economic difficulties and high inflation during 1981.

I have emphasized on a number of occasions that we now have a rare opportunity to deal with our economic malaise in a forceful, coordinated way. As things stand, the tax burden is rising; yet, in principle the need for tax reduction-tax reduction aimed to the maximum extent at incentives to invest, to save, and to work-has come to be widely recognized. Regulatory and other government policies have tended to increase costs excessively and damage the flexibility of the economy; but realization of the need to redress the balance of costs and benefits is now widespread. Despite efforts to cut back from time to time, government spending has gained a momentum of its own; now, the possibility of attacking the problem head on presents itself. We are all conscious of the high levels of interest rates and strains in our financial system; yet, there is widespread understanding of the need for monetary restraint.

The new administration is clearly aware of these realities and has set forth a program of action. It has seized the initiative in moving from opportunity to practical policy.

I know that the case is sometimes made that monetary policy alone can deal with the inflation side of the equation. But not in the real worldnot if other policies pull in other directions, feeding inflationary expectations, propelling the cost and wage structure upward, and placing enormous burdens on financial markets with large budgetary deficits into the indefinite future.

That is why it seems to me so critical-if monetary policy is to do its job without unduly straining the financial fabric-that the federal budget be brought into balance at the earliest practical time. That objective cannot be achieved in a sluggish economy. Moreover, tax reduc-tion-emphasizing incentives-is important to help lay the base for renewed growth and productivity. For those reasons, the linchpin of any effective economic program today seems to me early, and by past standards massive, progress in cutting back the upward surge of expenditures, on and off budget.

We know the crucial importance of restraint on money and credit growth. When I am asked about the need for consistency among all the elements of economic policy-a policy that can effectively deal with inflation and lay the groundwork for growth-I must emphasize the need to
combine that monetary restraint with spending control.

Cutting spending may appear to be the most painful part of the job-but I am convinced that the pain for all of us will ultimately be much greater if such cutting is not accomplished.

> Chairman Volcker gave a similar statement before the House Committee on Banking, Finance and Urban Affairs, February 26, 1981.

Statement by J. Charles Partee, Member, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, before the Subcommittee on Telecommunications, Consumer Protection and Finance of the Committee on Energy and Commerce, U.S. House of Representatives, February 26, 1981.

I appreciate the opportunity to appear before this committee to discuss H.R. 1294, a bill to extend margin credit regulations to the acquisition of U.S. corporations by foreign persons using credit obtained from foreign lenders, as well as House Concurrent Resolution 59, which calls for a study by the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) and the Department of Commerce on the effects of such foreign acquisitions on our economy.

It is my understanding that H.R. 1294 and its companion bill in the Senate, S. 289 , would make it unlawful for a foreign lender to extend credit and for a foreign national to obtain credit in excess of the margin requirements of the Federal Reserve Board when that credit would finance certain acquisitions of U.S. securities.

The Board recognizes that the purpose of H.R. 1294 is to provide for equity between domestic and foreign interests in the area of corporate acquisition financing. But our experience in margin regulation leads us to the view that the proposed legislation would create many problems and that its costs would probably be well in excess of its benefits.

At the outset, I would like to point out that the Board has been concerned with the possibilities for circumventing the margin regulations through extensions of credit abroad ever since the regulations were first imposed in the 1930s. From the
beginning, however, we have faced the insolvable problem that, because of the complexity and flexibility of financial arrangements made outside the United States, it would be quite impossible to monitor this source of credit with anything like the same effectiveness expected of domestic margin regulation.

A prior attempt by the Board to regulate in the area of foreign securities credit transactions may serve to clarify some of the problems encountered, which still appear relevant in the context of the proposed legislation.

In 1963 a special study of the securities markets pointed to the problems created by the availability of credit from foreign sources. The study found that foreign credit sources were significant sources of funds for large purchases of securities. Prompted by the findings of this study, the Board subsequently took the position that when credit is used in connection with a securities purchase effected on a domestic exchange, or that otherwise had its impact in this country, then that credit came within the purview of the Board's responsibilities, and persons subject to U.S. jurisdiction could be prohibited from acting on behalf of the parties. The Board, realizing that it was nearly impossible for a securities transaction originating abroad to be executed in the United States without the help of a domestic agent, proposed to amend its margin regulations to forbid persons already subject to these regulations to perform services connected with any credit associated with the transaction unless the loan was in conformity with the applicable margin requirements. The Board stated that the so-called agency proposals were directed against excessive credit flowing into the securi-
ties markets in circumvention of the other provisions of section 7 of the Exchange Act.

Adverse public comment on this proposal generally reflected strong representations that the application of credit regulations to foreign banks could violate international law. Commentators feared that the proposed rule would be viewed abroad by foreign financial institutions as an unacceptable intrusion into their affairs and an attempt by the Board to extend its influence and jurisdiction beyond the borders of the United States.

Still another objection to the proposed agency provision was the difficulty in its application to the foreign financial community and the lack of any capability for insuring effective enforcement abroad. Critics stated that a foreign bank could not comply with a regulation having no force of law in its own country, without establishing costly controls and procedural followups as a voluntary matter. The expectation that foreign banks would do this and continue to uphold such procedures years after they were instituted was thought to be unrealistic, in the absence of any domestic supervisory authority. This is a relevant concern with respect to H.R. 1294 because the Board's margin rules apply not only when credit is initially extended, that is, when the 13D or 14 D filings are made, but throughout the life of the loan.

In 1968 these considerations caused the Board to modify its agency proposals to permit domestic banks to act as agents for foreign banks in certain circumstances. The changed proposal represented an important shift of position, away from the attempt to control the flow of all foreign credit into the domestic securities markets to the more limited objective of preserving the integrity of the Board's margin regulations by preventing evasions on the part of U.S. persons resulting from the use of foreign credit sources.

This more limited objective was finally achieved when, in 1971, Regulation X was promulgated by the Board with the stated purpose of "preventing the infusion of unregulated credit into U.S. securities markets." The new regulation was limited to U.S. borrowers and foreign nationals who were controlled by or otherwise acting on behalf of U.S. residents, and it shifted focus from the foreign credit source-over which our jurisdiction was questionable-to the U.S.
borrower or his agent, where enforcement sanctions were available.

Our experience indicates to us that the benefits derived from any wider reach of the margin rules would not be justified by the costs. I see these costs as difficult and controversial enforcement issues, antagonism from foreign financial institutions and governments, and, quite possibly, the retaliatory imposition abroad of new barriers to the free flow of capital.

I realize that corporate takeovers, both friendly and unfriendly, often generate much notoriety and controversy. All takeovers, however, should not be viewed in a negative light. In fact, such acquisitions by foreign or domestic interests are often welcomed by financially troubled American corporations and can serve the important economic purpose of revitalizing inefficient firms.

Even if it were determined that foreign takeovers were undesirable as a matter of public policy, I believe that the imposition of margin requirements on foreign credit transactions would not be the most effective vehicle in preventing such corporate activity.

First, the proposed legislation would not reach corporate takeovers in which credit is not used. Acquisitions financed with corporate earnings or through an exchange of shares are not subject to the margin regulations and would therefore remain unaffected. Also, a substantial foreign firm could usually assemble sufficient collateral or borrow on an unsecured basis to meet the rules, at least for the time it would take to file and process the required 13D or 14D statement and for the acquisition to be consummated.

Second, the proposed legislation would apply to all acquisitions of 5 percent or more of companies subject to registration under section 12-a percentage of ownership that does not necessarily indicate that the acquirer intends to control the corporation whose stock it purchases. In fact, such acquisitions often are made for investment purposes only, with no view to ultimate corporate change.

Finally, the proposed legislation would apply only to acquisitions of corporations subject to registration under section 12 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and not to many important U.S. corporations that are closely held or otherwise are exempted from SEC coverage.

You have also asked for Board comment on Concurrent Resolution 59, with respect to the type of information that would throw light on the impact on the U.S. economy and on U.S. securities markets of the acquisition of U.S. companies by foreign nationals. Adequate statistical information is available on such acquisitions; we have just had the first results of a new annual reporting system developed by the Commerce Department, which provides a wealth of data on the acquisitions made by foreigners in 1979. Moreover, data have been collected for many years in connection with the preparation of the U.S. international accounts. I would doubt, therefore, that anything more needs to be done along those lines.

There are limits, however, to what can be learned from data stemming mainly from corporate accounts-balance sheets, profit and loss statements, and related records. Such information is extremely helpful in portraying the share of various aspects of the U.S. economy-production, employment, earnings, and so forth-in which foreign-owned U.S. firms, both old and new, participate. But the question of economic impact on the economy is considerably broader and goes beyond such quantitative measurements. Our national interest is concerned primarily with finding ways to make the economy work more efficiently; to be more innovative in technical and managerial techniques; and to reach into areas of industry or commerce that are falling behind economically but may be revived with an infusion of new capital, or new management, or new ideas. When we look at the impact of corporate acquisitions on the U.S. economy, whether foreign or domestic, these seem to be the most relevant factors.

What this suggests is that it might be useful to take a look at a cross section of acquisitions and attempt to develop a qualitative evaluation of the
possible benefit, or possible damage, of the change in ownership and management. Such a survey could provide a valuable supplement to the quantitative material that is already available. The early history of foreign investment in the United States shows many examples of foreign initiative here that significantly influenced our own economic development; and even though the United States became the predominant exporter of industrial capital many years ago, ample room still exists for us to benefit from healthy injections of investment and ideas originating elsewhere.

On the question of the effects on U.S. securities markets, we at the Board are not aware of any generalized adverse impacts from the acquisition activities of foreign investors. Last year there was unusually active foreign interest in U.S. equity markets, with gross foreign purchases of U.S. stocks near $\$ 40$ billion and net purchases of about $\$ 5$ billion. In fact, however, this activity is generally welcomed as a sign of the overall attractiveness of the U.S. economy. Such purchases tend to make it easier for all U.S. corporations to obtain equity financing in the market.

A remote possibility exists that specific foreign purchases aimed at acquiring substantial interests in U.S. companies might disturb some sector of the market, but it should be recognized that any conceivable activity would still account for only a tiny share of total transactions in our markets. We are not aware of policies in foreign countries aimed at stimulating foreign acquisitions of U.S. firms. Indeed, most countries would probably envy the ability of the United States to attract sizable capital inflows, especially in the current environment, in which sharply higher oil prices have meant that almost all industrial nations are facing large current-account deficits.

Statement by Paul A. Volcker, Chairman, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, before the Committee on Ways and Means, U.S. House of Representatives, March 3, 1981.

I am pleased to be here to discuss with you some considerations relevant to your deliberations
about economic policy. The Ways and Means Committee of course carries the responsibility for originating tax legislation and has large spending programs under its immediate purview. The responsibilities of the Federal Reserve lie in the area of monetary policy. Mutual understanding of our purposes and policies seems to me
critical to achieving more satisfactory economic performance and to the success of the program outlined by the President.

The economy entered 1981 on an upward trajectory, extending the recovery in activity from last year's brief but sharp recession. January saw further gains in retail sales, employment, and industrial production and-despite high interest rates-continued stability in housing starts. On the whole, the demand for goods and services has continued to prove more buoyant than most analysts had expected.

However, as we all know, unemployment and inflation remain at unacceptably high levels. There have been strong pressures in financial markets. Moreover, as things stand, the outlook is far from satisfactory. In particular, it is clear that we will be unable to have sustained economic expansion unless we are successful in bringing inflation down. Monetary policy is and will remain directed toward that priority objective. But, in my judgment, to continue to rely on monetary and credit restraint almost alone to deal with inflation would pose large and unnecessary risks-risks of financial strains and of excessive costs in terms of growth and investment.

Last year, monetary restraint was the key factor in keeping inflation from accelerating in the face of rising oil prices and other factors. Important as it was, that "holding action" was accomplished only at the expense of historically high interest rates, impinging strongly on some areas of the economy and on investment generally. In these circumstances, the monetary restraint essential to deal with inflation urgently needs to be combined with other effective actions to relieve pressures on financial markets, to reduce costs, to spur investment and productivity, and to encourage risk-taking. In the best of circumstances, it will take time to bring results, and the process of change almost inevitably will involve some pain. But, with the new President seizing the initiative, I also believe we have a virtually unparalleled opportunity to achieve a consensus for effective action in a number of directions.

As you know, I testified last week before the banking committees of the House and Senate, presenting the intentions of the Federal Reserve with respect to monetary and credit growth for 1981. Without repeating the details, those targets
are consistent with further reduction in the growth of money and credit this year. Against the background of the strong inflationary momentum in the economy, the targets are frankly designed to be restrictive, as they must be if we are to look toward a winding down of the inflationary process. And, while we only look a year ahead in setting out specific growth ranges for the various money and credit aggregates, further reductions will be necessary in the years ahead to return monetary growth to amounts consistent with the capacity of the economy to grow at stable prices.

The narrow money aggregates, $\mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{~A}$ and M-1B, are currently distorted by rapid institutional change-the introduction of negotiable order of withdrawal (NOW) accounts and other interest-bearing transaction accounts nationwide. Abstracting from the impact of shifts into those accounts, our intentions are reflected in a reduction of targeted growth ranges by $1 / 2$ percent (to 3 to $5 \frac{1}{2}$ percent and $31 / 2$ to 6 percent) for $\mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{~A}$ and $\mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{~B}$ respectively. Growth last year from the fourth-quarter-1979 average to the fourth-quarter-1980 average (when adjusted for shifts into NOW accounts) approximated $61 / 4$ percent and $63 / 4$ percent, just over the top of the target range. ${ }^{1}$ Consequently, the new target ranges imply a significant reduction in the monetary growth rates.

The Federal Open Market Committee did not change the targets for the broader M-2 or M-3 aggregates, which include various types of savings and time deposit accounts. The relationship between M-2, M-3, and the narrower aggregates has changed over recent years and this year's targets are consistent with further restraint across the entire range of monetary measures. Indeed, because actual growth in 1980 was $3 / 4$

[^8]percent or more above the upper end of the indicated range, success in reaching the target range in 1981 implies significantly lower growth.

I cannot emphasize too strongly the need for care in interpreting the actual data for monetary and credit growth as the year progresses. As I indicated, both M-1 series are currently distorted by the shift into interest-bearing transaction accounts. As the year progresses, we anticipate the distortion will diminish, and from time to time we will provide estimates of the effects of the shifts on the data. But beyond that particular source of distortion, the data are subject to considerable volatility from month to month or quarter to quarter. What counts is the trend over a reasonable period of time.

Those technical considerations should not obscure the basic thrust of our policy posture. Our intent is not to accommodate inflationary forces but rather to continue the restraint on growth in money and credit that is necessary to squeeze out inflationary pressures. Whereas there can be debate about timing and degree, the need for that basic discipline is common to virtually all schools of economic thought and is, of course, recognized in the administration's program for economic recovery.

Restraint on monetary expansion does place broad limits on the potential growth of the nominal gross national product-that is, the combined result of changes in real output and the price level. It implies that all the demands for money and credit potentially generated by an economy both growing and inflating cannot be met. So long as inflation continues unabated or rises, real activity is likely to be constrained. But as inflation begins noticeably to abate, the stage will be set for stronger-and sustained-real growth. Monetary policy is, of course, designed to encourage and speed that disinflationary process. But the success of such a policy-particularly the extent to which it can be pursued without great pressure on interest rates and aggravating strains in financial markets-also will depend on other public policies and private attitudes and behavior.

I must emphasize the risks and difficulties of dealing with inflation entirely by monetary poli-cy-of failing to bring other policies into support of that objective. If budgetary and other policies pullin the opposite direction-if those policies
feed inflationary expectations, propel the cost and wage structure upward, add unnecessary regulatory costs, and fail to reduce and in time eliminate deficit financing-then the danger of a kind of collision in financial markets between public and private borrowers will be intensified.

But that risk can be minimized in the short run and the groundwork laid for renewed prosperity in the 1980s by forceful, coordinated actions. Fortunately, there appears to be broad recognition of the nature and urgency of our problems and a willingness to bring to bear a new discipline in fiscal and regulatory policy.

To that end, the new administration has set forth a sweeping new program of action encompassing an array of spending cuts and tax reductions. There will properly be debate about the specific components of that program. Estimates of its precise impact on the economy this year and next will vary, just as such estimates would be challenged for any program. The simple fact is that we have not been able to count on any economic forecasting technique to provide consistently reliable results in recent years in the face of the virtually unprecedented nature of our economic problems, severe energy shocks, and volatile expectations. In these circumstances, I personally would be cautious in interpreting the results of any economic model so far as the precise timing and magnitude of future economic developments are concerned. But that does not mean that valid judgments cannot be reached about the general shape, size, and direction of needed policy changes. Economic analysis seems to me to point clearly to the following conclusions:

1. Against the background of the federal tax burden reaching the highest level in our history, tax cuts are needed to encourage greater investment, productivity, and work effort.
2. At the same time, a continued need to finance huge budgetary deficits in congested financial markets into the indefinite future would threaten the availability of funds to private borrowers, including businesses that must undertake the needed productive investment as well as to the homebuilding industry and others heavily dependent on borrowed funds.
3. In these circumstances, the amount of tax reduction that can be prudently undertaken is dependent on cutting back the inexorable rise in
federal spending, on and off budget. The larger the spending cuts, the greater the prospects for reducing the strains in financial markets and for turning back inflation.
4. In the best of circumstances, there are limits to the amount of revenues that, in the short run, can be foregone as a result of tax cuts. Thus, from the standpoint of general economic policy, the emphasis in tax reduction should, to the maximum extent feasible, be placed on measures that promise to increase incentives to work, to invest, and to save.
5. At a time when we are fighting inflation, other government policies that increase costs, inhibit competition, and impair the flexibility of the market economy need urgent review. Costs of regulatory policies must be assessed against the benefits. Our markets must be open to competition from home and abroad to spur innovation and productivity, and government should reexamine policies that tend to place an excessively high and rising floor under certain costs and prices.

This committee is deeply involved in the crucial fiscal decisionmaking. I know that tax and spending cuts, by their very nature, involve difficult considerations of fairness as well as economic efficiency. It is not appropriate for the Federal Reserve to intrude on the details of that decisionmaking process. But I would emphasize one point central to economic policy generally and the relationship to monetary policy in particular.

To me, the linchpin of the whole economic program is early and, by past standards, massive progress in cutting back the upward surge of federal expenditures. Those spending cutbacks are necessary to clear the way for sizable tax reduction and to permit early progress toward the goal of a balanced budget.

I know the difficulties and constraints-the need to increase defense spending, to protect the truly needy, to pay interest, and to maintain strength and continuity in other essential programs. But the budget is huge and has increased by more than a third in real terms over the last decade. Surely there is ample room for cutting if there is the will, and the administration proposals for specific cuts over a broad array of programs point the way.

I must emphasize that, from the standpoint of general economic policy, all the risks seem to me on the side of not cutting back the rise in spending enough. Every dollar of added savings can only help head off tensions in financial markets, make room for more private investment, and provide an appropriate setting for prudent and needed tax reduction. In that connection, I would remind you that even the specific cuts proposed by the administration, large as they are, are only a kind of progress payment toward what needs to be done to bring the budget into balance in reasonably prosperous economic conditions. Further very sizable reductions are indicated in the program for fiscal 1983 and beyond. The sooner that process is started, the better will be the prospects for changing public attitudes and economic performance.
I would like to make one last point before concluding. The need to reduce inflation as part of any effective economic program is now widely recognized, and the Federal Reserve has an indispensable role to play in that process. How soon our efforts in that direction succeed, and how soon we can look forward to healthy growth and reduced unemployment, will depend in large measure on how quickly attitudes toward inflation change in the private sector, and how those new attitudes are reflected in pricing and wage decisions.
Strong upward momentum in wage contracts and pricing policies will ultimately be inconsistent with a commitment to monetary and fiscal restraint, and inimical to the interests of both the nation and the particular firms and workers involved. After years of inflation, attitudes and expectations are not likely to change easily. That is why our commitment to restraint must be strong, visible, and sustained.
I believe the monetary targets of the Federal Reserve are consistent with that need. Demonstrated progress on the fiscal side is also a necessary ingredient. And, in the end, we will need to see visible progress toward price stabil-ity-an objective that for far too long has eluded us. All of this will inevitably require harsh choices. But I know of no feasible alternatives. And I am convinced that the difficulties for all of us will ultimately be much greater if these choices are not squarely confronted now.

# Announcements 

## Publication of <br> Capital Formation Study

Since the early seventies, increasing attention has been focused on the adequacy of the rate of capital formation in the United States. To improve its understanding of the economic issues underlying the discussion of capital adequacy, the Board of Governors, through its Committee on Research and Statistics, directed the staff to study the determinants of capital formation and the public policy measures that might be instituted to improve the prospects for real investment in the economy.
Public Policy and Capital Formation publishes the results of that study. It contains 19 papers that focus on the various issues involved and were prepared by members of the research staffs within the Federal Reserve System.
The heightened interest in capital formation has likely resulted from a number of recent trends and events. Productivity growth has been slow throughout the 1970s, and some have blamed this development on inadequate investment in plant and equipment. The widespread shortages, particularly of basic materials, that appeared in 1973 and 1974 raised doubts about whether the country's productive capacity was as great as had been previously thought. The rapid rise in energy prices beginning in 1973 has stirred speculation that many capital facilities are now obsolete because they use energy in what have become uneconomic quantities.
During the cyclical upswing that began in 1975, business investment has been unusually weak at the same time that the labor force has been growing rapidly, prompting questions about the ability of the economy to absorb the influx of new workers. Recurrent deficits in the balance of trade and the decline of the international value of the dollar have lent urgency to the question of capital formation particularly since the countries that have enjoyed the largest trade surpluses and currenç appreciations-Germany and Japan,
for example-are characterized by comparatively high rates of capital formation. Although the most apparent policy tools for influencing saving and investment are beyond the control of a central bank, the policies of the Federal Reserve can affect capital formation.

The price of the publication is $\$ 13.50$ a copy. Copies may be obtained from Publications Services, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551.

## Supplemental Pricing Procedure

The Federal Reserve Board on February 27, 1981, announced adoption of three sets of procedures designed to implement the service pricing requirements of the Monetary Control Act of 1980. The procedures supplement the pricing principles announced by the Board on December 31, 1980, and include the following: (1) procedures for the administration of clearing balances; (2) guidelines for billing cycles, service charge statements, and payments for service charges; and (3) interim procedures for initiation and review of changes in fees and services.

The new procedures are detailed below.

## Clearing Balances

The Board of Governors has authorized Federal Reserve Banks to establish clearing balances for eligible institutions with zero or small required reserve balances in order to facilitate access to Federal Reserve services. ${ }^{1}$ Clearing balances

[^9]help to avoid account overdrafts and their associated costs, and will earn credits that may be used to offset charges for Federal Reserve services. Institutions that may establish a clearing balance include domestic depository institutions, U.S. branches and agencies of foreign banks, Edge Act corporations, and Federal Home Loan Banks.

Establishing and adjusting the clearing balance level. In establishing the initial clearing balance a Reserve Bank will discuss with an institution its expected use of services. These discussions will focus on both the volume of services and the type of services the institution intends to use and the need to avoid account overdrafts. For example, use of the wire transfer service results in an irrevocable transaction that may require a greater clearing balance than another higher-volume service involving revocable transactions.

Adjustments in the amount of an institution's clearing balance may result from changes in its overdraft experience or in its use of services. Satisfactory maintenance of the clearing balance with no overdrafts may, with Reserve Bank approval, enable an institution to reduce its clearing balance. Conversely, a pattern of repeated large overdrafts may be reason for a Reserve Bank to require an increase in an institution's clearing balance. Similarly, a decrease in the use of Federal Reserve services may be reason to consider decreasing an institution's clearing balances, whereas an increase in the use of services may be reason to consider increasing the clearing balance requirement. Adjustments in the clearing balance level will be discussed in advance with the financial institution. Such adjustments will be made no more than once a month and will be effective with the maintenance period beginning the first Thursday of each month.

For monetary control purposes it is important that an institution's clearing balance be maintained at its agreed-upon (required) level. The Federal Reserve has developed procedures, including financial incentives, that are designed to encourage maintenance of a clearing balance at the required level. These procedures include earnings credits, account maintenance procedures
FRASE and fees for deficiencies.

Earnings credits. Earnings credits on clearing balances may only be used to offset charges for Federal Reserve services. The average federal funds rate for the weekly maintenance period will be the basis for calculating earnings credits. This rate is published weekly in Federal Reserve statistical release H.15(519), 'Selected Interest Rates."

Credits will be computed on the lesser of the required clearing balance or the actual clearing balance maintained (after adjustments and "car-ry-forwards''). The calculation of earnings credits will be lagged two weeks beyond the close of the weekly maintenance period so as to minimize the number of times when earnings credits must be recalculated because of "as-of"' adjustments to the base. ${ }^{2}$ If an as-of adjustment affects the level of the clearing balance held during a period more than two weeks before the date that the adjustment is made, the Reserve Bank will analyze the effect on earnings credits calculated for that period. Any correction will be made to earnings credits available in the current or a future billing cycle.

If available earnings credits exceed the Federal Reserve charges incurred during a given month, unused credits will be accumulated for use in subsequent months. Credits will be retained for a maximum of 52 weeks and will be applied against service charges using the first-in, first-out method. Earnings credits are not transferable among accounts.

Account maintenance procedures. Account maintenance procedures generally will be the same whether balances in the account are clearing or reserve balances, or both, in order to aid in account administration for both financial institutions and the Reserve Banks. Similarities between administration of reserve and clearing accounts include the following: (1) weekly maintenance period (from Thursday through Wednesday); (2) carry-forward provisions (for any excess or deficiency that does not exceed 2 percent of the required account balance); (3) provisions for "as-of' adjustments; (4) provisions for moni-

[^10]toring daylight overdrafts; (5) charges for overnight overdrafts (overdrafts are penalized currently by charging a fee of 10 percent per annum); (6) provisions for waiving charges for infrequent and small overdrafts.

If an institution meets its reserve requirements with either vault cash or with a passthrough relationship with a correspondent, it may establish its own account at a Reserve Bank through which it settles the debits and credits arising from its use of Federal Reserve services. Such an account would contain a clearing balance only and would be administered independently of the institution's required reserves. The account maintenance procedures will apply to the account maintained for clearing purposes, and the carry-forward provision will be 2 percent of the required clearing balance.

If a depository institution has a reserve account with a Federal Reserve office and a required clearing balance is established for the institution, both the reserve balance and the clearing balance will be administered in a single account. The depository institution will be expected to maintain a daily average balance for the week equal to the sum of its required reserve balance and its required clearing balance. At the end of each weekly maintenance period, the balance held with a Reserve office (after application of any as-of adjustment and/or carry-forward) will be allocated first to the required clearing balance and second to the required reserve balance. Thus, if the average balance held with a Reserve office during the weekly maintenance period is less than the total required balance-clearing plus required reserve-the depository institution will be considered deficient in its required reserve balance. A clearing balance deficiency will occur only when the deficiency in the average total balance exceeds the required reserve balance. If the average balance exceeds the required total balance, the institution will be considered to be holding an excess reserve balance. The carry-forward provision for excesses or deficiencies will be 2 percent of the total required balance (clearing plus reserve). Neither excess nor required reserve balances will generate earnings credits.

Of course, a depository institution that maintains its required reserves on a passthrough basis or in vault cash may obtain available Federal

Reserve services directly from its Federal Reserve office without establishing a clearing balance account.

Fees for deficiencies. The notable exception between the administration of reserve and clearing balances is that a deficiency in a required clearing balance is charged for a different rate than a deficiency in a required reserve balance. A charge of 2 percent per year will apply to that portion of any clearing balance deficiency (after application of carryover) that does not exceed 20 percent of the required clearing balance. Any remaining deficiency (above the amount equal to 20 percent of the required clearing balance) will be subject to a charge at 4 percent per year.

As in reserve administration, Reserve Banks may waive the charge for infrequent clearing balance deficiencies when the charge is small and the deficiency is not the result of negligence by the depository institution. Reserve Banks will monitor the incidence of deficiencies and will meet with a depository institution that demonstrates a repeated inability to maintain the required level to discuss how to manage better its total (reserve plus clearing) balance.

## Service Charges

The Federal Reserve System has developed guidelines for statements of charges incurred for Federal Reserve services and for methods of payment for those charges by the responsible Reserve Bank customer. The guidelines include the following: uniform billing cycles (the periods over which service charges are incurred), uniform procedures for applying available earnings credits to offset service charges, a standard interval between the end of the billing cycle and the debiting of charges (not offset by earnings credits) to a designated account, and minimum standards for descriptive information to be provided to customers about the services used and charges incurred.

These guidelines will be implemented with the start of the pricing of, and full access to, Federal Reserve check services now scheduled for August 1981. Until then, each Reserve Bank will use its own procedures on an interim basis.

The guidelines will provide procedural consistency among Reserve Bank Districts. However, the Reserve Banks will retain flexibility in the format of service charge statements and in the frequency of service charge notices to their customers.

Before implementation, the Reserve Banks will provide Federal Reserve customers with at least two summary statements of services used and charges incurred to test these procedures.

Uniform billing cycles. There will be twelve billing cycles per year over which charges for Federal Reserve services will be accrued. Each billing cycle will end on the last Wednesday of the calendar month and will cover either a fouror five-week period.

Minimum standards for statements of service charges. At minimum, a monthly summary statement of service charges incurred over the cycle will be provided directly or indirectly to Federal Reserve customers. The statement will be provided by the Reserve Bank no later than the Wednesday following the close of the billing cycle (that is, no later than the first Wednesday of the subsequent month).

It is the intent of the Federal Reserve System to reflect in the statement the Federal Reserve services used during the billing cycle by type of transaction with associated unit volume, unit price for the service, and total charges for the service. However, some Reserve Banks may not be immediately in a position to provide this minimum detail on the monthly statement but will be able, during the interim, to provide adequate detail in some alternative form.

Each Reserve Bank will provide its customers with a list of persons who can respond to questions about each type of service charge.

Application of earnings credits. Earnings credits available at the end of the billing cycle will be used immediately to offset service charges accrued. As of the end of the billing cycle in each calendar month, earnings credits available are defined as earnings credits imputed to clearing balances maintained through the reserve-clearing statement period ending two weeks before the end of the billing cycle. If available earnings credits exceed service charges, excess earnings
credits may be carried forward for up to 52 weeks and applied to service charges incurred in subsequent billing cycles. If available earnings credits are insufficient to cover service charges, the remaining service charges will be debited to a previously designated account at a Federal Reserve Bank.

Debit of service charges to the responsible account. On the third Thursday following the close of each billing cycle (or on the next business day if that Thursday is a holiday), the account of the user of Federal Reserve services or the designated account of the user's correspondent will be charged for the amount by which service charges exceed available earnings credits.

## Interim Procedures for Pricing Administration

The pricing of financial services supplied by the Federal Reserve System to financial institutions will have a significant impact on both the Federal Reserve and the financial community. The System has a responsibility to adopt administrative procedures for pricing that will meet the needs of Reserve Banks in adjusting to a new environment and to the needs of the financial community for advance information about changes.

In its December 31, 1980, announcement of pricing decisions, the Board of Governors outlined a procedure for pricing administration that contemplated eventually placing primary responsibility for initiation of price and service changes with the Reserve Banks and review of certain proposed changes by the Conference of First Vice Presidents. During the initial phase of pricing, however, the Board anticipated that major policy issues would arise and that the resolution of those issues could affect both Federal Reserve Banks and private suppliers of interbank services. To advise the Board on those major issues, a pricing policy committee consisting of representatives from the Board and the Reserve Banks has been established.

The procedures outlined later are intended to retain flexibility for the Reserve Banks to undertake price and service changes in response to local conditions and, simultaneously, to develop
a common Systemwide framework for pricing decisions. These interim procedures will be reviewed in 1982 after the System has gained experience with pricing administration.

Role of the Board of Governors. The Monetary Control Act specifies that the Board must put into effect a set of pricing principles and a schedule of fees for Federal Reserve bank services to depository institutions. The Board's responsibilities for pricing administration are as follows: (1) to establish the initial fee structure for each service; (2) to approve proposed changes in the fee structure for each service; (3) to issue guidelines for the use of pricing techniques, such as peak-load pricing, designed to encourage efficient use of resources; (4) to determine annually the appropriateness of continuing to price automated clearinghouse services at their expected long-run average cost; (5) to approve proposed changes in services that raise major policy issues; and (6) to provide oversight of the Reserve Bank implementation of access to, and pricing of, services in accordance with the Board's pricing principles. (The pricing principles are contained in the Federal Reserve press release of December 31, 1980.)

Role of the pricing policy committee. The pricing policy committee, as the principal pricing policy advisory group to the Board of Governors, has the following three major responsibilities: (1) to advise the Board on all significant pricing issues, including operating procedures (such as billing and clearing balances), fee structures, and service structures; (2) to monitor changes in fees and services-initiated either by a Reserve Bank or through the Conference of First Vice Presidents, to ensure that the pricing principles previously announced by the Board are interpreted consistently-and to submit to the Board of Governors for its approval any change that raises a major policy issue; (3) to assist the Board of Governors in its implementation of pricing and in the oversight of progress toward meeting the System goal of matching revenues and costs for priced services.

To fulfill these responsibilities, the pricing policy committee will undertake the following specific assignments: (1) review, before announcement, the proposed 1982 fee schedules for
all priced services; (2) review, before announcement, proposed significant changes in prices or services; (3) establish Reserve Bank reporting procedures necessary to provide data needed to advise the Board of Governors on pricing issues and progress in matching revenues and costs.

The pricing policy committee is an interim group that is expected to be phased out as the System gains experience with pricing. In the longer run, the Reserve Banks and the Conference of First Vice Presidents will be given primary responsibility for changes in fees and services, subject to the traditional review by the Board and its Committee on Reserve Bank Activities.

Role of the Reserve Banks and the Conference of First Vice Presidents. Changes in fees and services will be initiated by the Reserve Banks for District-priced services; for nationally priced services, changes will be reviewed by the Conference of First Vice Presidents. Although changes will be monitored by the pricing policy committee during the interim period, the Reserve Banks and the Conference will be responsible for ensuring that changes comply with the Board's pricing principles.

Announcements of changes in fees and services. The Federal Reserve intends to review all service fees at least annually and will announce adjustments to fee schedules that reflect current estimates of expenses and the private sector adjustment factor. Apart from the annual review, announcements will be made whenever new services are introduced or when significant changes are made in existing services. Some fee changes may be announced between annual reviews that are necessitated as a result of forecast errors or other unanticipated changes in either the service environment or the resources required for a service. It is the System's intent to give its customers reasonable advance notice of changes in its fees and significant changes in service arrangements. When exceptional circumstances require, however, prices or services may be changed on short notice.

Generally speaking, changes in prices and services will be announced in advance in Reserve Bank operating letters. Public comment will be solicited on important pricing issues that
would have significant longer-run effects on the nation's payments system.

## amendment to Regulation P

The Federal Reserve Board has amended Regulation P (Minimum Security Devices and Procedures for Federal Reserve Banks and State Member Banks) implementing the Bank Protection Act to eliminate several reporting requirements.

The actions lighten the regulatory reporting burden of all state member banks and are expected to be of particular benefit to small banks.

The Board amended Regulation $P$ to eliminate a requirement calling for reports (form $\mathrm{P}-1$ ) to be filed by state member banks concerning security devices in use at their banking office. This action had been recommended to the Board (and to other federal agencies supervising banks and thrift institutions) by the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council. In adopting the Council's recommendation, the Board said that it has been found that regular, on-site examination of bank security by bank examiners and the
generally high current level of bank security have made this report unnecessary.

Regulation $P$ was also amended to eliminate the requirements that state member banks file with their District Reserve Bank a copy of their written security program and, when applicable, a copy of the bank's statement explaining why the bank's security program does not meet the minimum standards of the regulation.

State member banks are required to continue preparing these reports and to have them readily available for scrutiny by examiners. It has been found that examiners generally rely on bank records and not Reserve Bank records in determining compliance with the regulation.

## System Membership: Admission of State Bank

The following bank was admitted to membership in the Federal Reserve System during the period February 11 through March 10, 1981:
Virginia
Tazewell ............ Citizens Bank of Tazewell

# Legal Developments 

## Bank Holding Company and Bank Merger Orders Issued by the Board of Governors

Orders Under Section 3 of Bank Holding Company Act

First National Boston Corporation, Boston, Massachusetts

## Order Approving Acquisition of a Bank

First National Boston Corporation, Boston, Massachusetts, a bank holding company within the meaning of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (the "BHC Act'"), has applied for the Board's approval under section 3(a)(3) of the BHC Act (12 U.S.C. § 1842(a)(3)) to acquire 100 percent (less directors' qualifying shares) of the shares of The Country Bank, National Association, Shelburne Falls, Massachusetts ("Bank").
Notice of the application, affording an opportunity for interested persons to submit comments and views, has been given in accordance with section 3(b) of the BHC Act. The time for filing comments and views has expired and the Board has considered the application and all comments received, including those of the Massachusetts Urban Reinvestment Advisory Group, Inc., Jamaica Plain, Massachusetts, and the Rural Development Corporation of Franklin County, Greenfield, Massachusetts (collectively referred to as "Protestants'"), in light of the factors set forth in section 3(c) of the BHC Act. In addition to interposing numerous objections to the proposed acquisition, Protestants have requested that the Board order a formal hearing to air the Community Reinvestment Act ("CRA')related issues raised by this application.

With regard to Protestants' request for a hearing, neither the CRA, nor section 3(b) of the BHC Act requires the Board to hold a formal hearing concerning an application, except when the appropriate banking authority makes a timely written recommendation of denial of an application. In this case, no such recommendation has been received from the Comptroller of the Currency, and, thus, no formal hearing is required. Nevertheless, the Board could in its discretion order a formal or informal proceeding concerning the application if it determines that there are material questions of
fact in dispute that can only be resolved by means of such a proceeding.
After considering the record of this application, the Board has determined that there are no material factual differences in the record which would warrant a hearing on this application. Rather, Protestants' primary arguments concern the interpretation or significance that should be accorded to certain facts in the record. Since the Board is charged by statute with making such judgments, and in view of the fact that all parties have been afforded a full and fair opportunity to present their arguments in written submissions to the record, including the opportunity to comment on one anothers' submissions, the Board has determined that a hearing would serve no useful purpose.' Accordingly, Protestants' request for a formal hearing is hereby denied. Thus, the Board will consider the merits of the application, including the objections raised by Protestants.
Applicant, the largest commercial banking organization in Massachusetts, controls nine domestic banking subsidiaries with aggregate deposits of $\$ 4.2$ billion, representing 22.5 percent of the total commercial bank deposits in the state. ${ }^{2}$ Acquisition of Bank, with deposits of $\$ 14.9$ million, would increase Applicant's share of commercial bank deposits in Massachusetts by less than one-tenth of one percent. Thus, consummation of the proposal would not have any appreciable effect upon the concentration of banking resources in Massachusetts.
Bank, with four banking offices, is the third largest of four commercial banks in the Greenfield banking market, ${ }^{3}$ and holds 14.8 percent of the commercial

[^11]bank deposits in the market. While Old Colony Bank of Hampden County, N.A., ("OCB-Hampden"), Applicant's nearest subsidiary bank, has an office located 18 road miles southeast of Bank's Conway office, OCB-Hampden operates in a separate and distinct banking market, and none of Applicant's other banking subsidiaries operates in the Greenfield banking market. Accordingly, the Board concludes that consummation of the proposal would not result in the elimination of any existing competition between Applicant and Bank. While it appears that Applicant has the financial and managerial resources to enter the Greenfield banking market de novo, based on the record the Board regards that market as unattractive for de novo entry and notes state law precludes Applicant from branching into the market. Based on the foregoing, the Board concludes that consummation of the proposal would not have any significantly adverse effects on existing or potential competition in any relevant area.

The financial and managerial resources and future prospects of Applicant, its banking subsidiaries and Bank are regarded as satisfactory. Applicant has committed to inject some additional capital into Bank upon consummation of the proposal, which would enhance Bank's future prospects. Accordingly, it is the Board's judgment that banking factors lend some weight toward approval of this application.

In considering the effects of the proposed acquisition on the convenience and needs of the community to be served, the Board has also considered the record of Applicant's banking subsidiaries in meeting the credit needs of their communities as provided in CRA (12 U.S.C. § 2901) and the Board's Regulation BB, ( 12 C.F.R. § 228). ${ }^{4}$ In so doing, the Board has examined the objections of Protestants relating to Applicant's record of performance with respect to CRA factors, and particularly the record of Applicant's lead bank, First National Bank of Boston ("FNBB"), Boston, Massachusetts. Specifically, Protestants allege that Applicant engages in community disinvestment as evidenced by the decreasing percentage of loans made by FNBB in its CRA community as compared to its total domestic and international lending operations; that FNBB's efforts to ascertain community credit needs are ineffective; that FNBB's participation in community development programs has been insufficient; that FNBB has failed to meet the credit needs of small businesses and small farmers; that Applicant's subsidiary banks have failed to meet the needs of CRA communities for housing-related

[^12]credit; and, that Applicant's subsidiary banks have not complied with the technical requirements of CRA or the Home Mortgage Disclosure Act of 1975 ("HMDA") (12 U.S.C. § 2803).
In support of their objections, Protestants have submitted information to the Board regarding these allegations. In addition, the proposed acquisition has been the subject of public hearings before the Massachusetts Board during which Protestants presented information concerning their allegations. The Board has examined the submissions offered by Protestants and Applicant regarding the issues raised by Protestants. The Board has also considered the conclusions of the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, which conducted an examination of FNBB that included an assessment of FNBB's record of meeting the requirements of the CRA. Finally, the Board notes that it has recently had occasion to consider many of the same issues raised by Protestants in acting to approve an application by Applicant to acquire Southeastern Bank and Trust Company, New Bedford, Massachusetts. ${ }^{5}$ There the Board found that, on balance, Applicant has a positive record of helping to meet the credit needs of its community, including the low- to moderate-income areas. In considering Protestants' objections to this acquisition, the Board has paid particular attention to the record of performance of FNBB and Applicant in helping to meet community credit needs since approving Applicant's acquisition of Southeastern Bank and Trust Company. Accordingly, after considering the entire record, the Board makes the following findings concerning Protestants' allegations.
With respect to Protestants' claim of community disinvestment, the Protestants assert that a large percentage of FNBB's loans are made to out-of-state commercial borrowers, and that the percentage of FNBB investments in its CRA community has declined. The Board notes, however, that between 1978 and 1979 , FNBB substantially increased the number and dollar volume of residential mortgage loans to borrowers in its CRA community. In addition, during the past two years FNBB almost doubled the dollar amount of its home improvement loans to its community. Also, FNBB extended over $\$ 11$ million in HELP Loans to its CRA community, Suffolk County, between January 1978 and September 1980. Moreover, the Board has stressed that the CRA was not intended to establish fixed ratios between deposits and loans in particular neighborhoods, and cannot be read to require fixed proportions of retail or commercial depos-

[^13]its to retail or commercial lending. ${ }^{6}$ Accordingly the Board does not necessarily regard Applicant's role as a large internationally-oriented commercial bank as being inconsistent with helping to meet the credit needs of its local community. Thus, the Board finds the Protestants' claim unsupported by the facts.

Protestants assert that Applicant's efforts to ascertain the credit needs of its CRA community have been ineffective. In this regard, the Board notes that Applicant and FNBB had previously committed to form a number of committees composed of individuals representing broad community interests and specifically designed to help FNBB ascertain the credit needs of its community. Protestants have complained that the current members of the board of directors serving on FNBB's Community Investment Committee are not representative of the board; that FNBB has established less than half of the 15 proposed neighborhood committees; and that the neighborhood committees which have been established have not led to a resolution of community issues. It appears from the record that FNBB has within the past year taken a series of positive steps to communicate more effectively with local groups in an effort to ascertain the credit needs of its local community. The Community Investment Committee of FNBB's board of directors, which monitors FNBB compliance with CRA and reviews efforts made by FNBB to meet community credit needs, regularly reports its findings to FNBB's full board of directors. From the record, it appears that membership on FNBB's Community Investment Committee is on a rotational basis involving all members of FNBB's board of directors. With respect to the neighborhood committees, while FNBB concedes that during the past year it has not established all 15 of the proposed neighborhood committees, FNBB expects that four more committees (for a total of 10 committees) will be in operation shortly, and it has increased its efforts (including hiring additional staff) to hasten the formation of the remaining committees. Moreover, while formation of neighborhood committees has not had the immediate result of FNBB returning to particular neighborhoods in loans as much as FNBB accepts in deposits, the Board has repeatedly stressed that it is concerned more with the lender's sensitivity to the needs of each area than with the ratio of loans to deposits in a particular area. Finally, the Board notes that FNBB advertises its services through major media sources as well as in 11 local and trade newspapers, and within the past year has increased its advertising regarding the availability of residential mortgages.
6. Manufacturers Hanover Trust Co., 66 Federal Reserve BulLetin 601 (1980).

Protestants allege that Applicant's actual investment in community development programs to which it has made commitments has been minimal. However, the Board finds no evidence in the record that Applicant or FNBB are unwilling to meet these commitments and Applicant has reaffirmed to the Board its intention to fulfill all of its commitments. Moreover, from the record it appears that Applicant has taken steps to enhance its ability to participate in community development programs. For example, Applicant has recently established a subsidiary, First National Boston Mortgage Corporation, to provide a complete array of mortgage services, including V.A., F.H.A. and low-down-payment mortgages, thereby enabling FNBB to fulfill its commitment to make loans available under the Boston Urban Housing Program.
The Protestants contend that FNBB has failed to meet the credit needs of small businesses and small farmers, and based on the record, the Board finds this contention to be without merit. As of August 11, 1980, FNBB had 5,000 loans totalling $\$ 106$ million under a special small business index rate, which allows loans to small businesses and nonprofit corporations at rates 1.25 percent below FNBB base rate. In addition, FNBB's Urban Marketing Department, which helps meet the needs of Boston's low income and minority entrepreneurs, has made more than $\$ 7$ million in loans. Moreover, in June 1980, FNBB agreed to provide $\$ 15$ million to the Neighborhood Business Revitalization Program, which is designed to provide financial assistance packages to small and medium size businesses in distressed neighborhoods. Finally, FNBB plays an important role in making low cost loans available to farmers by maintaining a multi-million dollar credit line to Farm Credit Bank of Springfield, Massachusetts, to support the Farm Credit Bank's commercial paper borrowings.
With respect to FNBB's record of residential mortgage lending, the Board recognizes that prior to 1978 FNBB was not primarily engaged in initiating residential mortgages. Nevertheless, FNBB has gradually but consistently increased its presence in the residential mortgage market. FNBB made more mortgage loans in Suffolk County during the first six months of 1980 than it had during all of 1977, and the total dollar volume of residential loans during the first six months of 1980 almost equalled the total dollar volume of 1979. In addition, there has been little difference between FNBB's acceptance rate for mortgage loans between low- and moderate-income areas and other areas. With respect to the home mortgage needs of low- and moderate-income families, within the past year FNBB has arranged for private mortgage insurance to enable it to offer mortgages with low down payments. In addition, FNBB is increasing from 50 percent to 80
percent the amount of potential rental income which may be counted toward monthly income in calculating mortgage eligibility. Moreover, FNBB's subsidiary, First National Boston Mortgage Corporation, will provide new residential mortgage products and increased housing funds through access to the secondary markets. Finally, FNBB has recently initiated a Community Mortgage Program to promote the purchase of homes by low- and moderate-income families at below market rates, as well as a Community Home Improvement Program to provide home improvement loans at reduced interest rates for low- and moderate-income residents.
Protestants have also challenged the adequacy of the CRA records for several of Applicant's other subsidiary banks, in particular, Old Colony Bank of Middlesex County ("OCB-Middlesex") and OCBHampden in connection with their residential lending activities. With respect to OCB-Middlesex, the Board notes that OCB-Middlesex has substantially increased the number of mortgages booked and that over 55 percent of these were in its CRA community. Moreover, both in number of loans and dollar value, OCBMiddlesex was the largest lender in Middlesex County during the first six months of 1980 . As a result, OCBMiddlesex was forced to briefly freeze its mortgage lending activities, which out-paced the staff's ability to process home mortgage applications. With respect to OCB-Hampden, the Board notes that it only began residential lending during the fall of 1979. Nevertheless, between 1979 and 1980 OCB-Hampden has made a substantial number of home mortgage loans, of which 43 percent were in its CRA community. In addition, OCB-Hampden has approved more mortgages in the first six months of 1980 than it did in all of 1979. Furthermore, OCB-Hampden has granted mortgages in 75 percent of the applications received from its CRA community between 1979 and 1980. OCBHampden has also made its services known to its local community, which has a significant Spanish-speaking population, through the use of bilingual tellers and advertising in a Spanish-language newspaper called The Voice. After reviewing these and other facts of record, the Board finds the Protestants claim to be unsupported by the facts.
Protestants allege that certain of Applicant's subsidiary banks have not complied with technical requirements of the CRA or the HMDA. These requirements are designed to acquaint the community with each bank's lending policies and to permit members of the community to comment on those policies. In particular, Protestants assert that Old Colony Bank of Essex County had not compiled a required home mortgage disclosure statement; FNBB had one letter (unrelated to CRA) missing from its CRA public comment file;
and Old Colony Bank of Norfolk County's home mortgage disclosure statement was not made readily accessible to the public. However, Protestants indicate that the Old Colony Banks of Essex County and Norfolk County have subsequently prepared HMDA statements. With respect to FNBB's public comment file, since comments from other organizations were properly placed in FNBB's comment files, the Board believes FNBB's failure to place one letter in its file was an isolated error in a generally good record of technical compliance. It is the Board's view that such isolated errors are not a substantially adverse reflection on the CRA record of FNBB or Applicant's other eight subsidiary banks. See e.g., AmeriTrust, 66 Federal Reserve Bulletin 238 (1980). Thus, the Board considers that Protestants' allegations in this regard are without merit.

With respect to other convenience and needs considerations, approval of the present application will assist Bank in serving a larger number of borrowers and in extending larger loans through overline participation with Applicant's other banking affiliates. In addition, Applicant will introduce a number of new services through Bank, including 90 percent mortgages, cash management services, construction financing, and trust and investment services to both businesses and individuals. Applicant also proposes to raise the interest rate paid on passbook 90 -day notice accounts and lower the minimum deposit required for these accounts. Thus, based on its review of the facts of record, including Applicant's and FNBB's performance with respect to the factors to be considered under CRA, the Board concludes that considerations relating to convenience and needs lend some weight toward approval of the application.

Based on the record, it is the Board's judgment that approval of the application would be in the public interest and that the application should be approved for the reasons summarized above. This transaction shall not be made before the thirtieth calendar day following the effective date of this Order, or later than three months from the effective date of this Order unless such period is extended for good cause by the Board or the Federal Reserve Bank of Boston, pursuant to delegated authority.

By order of the Board of Governors, effective February 25, 1981.

[^14](Signed) James McAfee, [sEal] Assistant Secretary of the Board.

## Heritage Wisconsin Corporation, Wauwatosa, Wisconsin

## Order Approving Acquisition of Banks

Heritage Wisconsin Corporation, Wauwatosa, Wisconsin, a bank holding company within the meaning of the Bank Holding Company Act ("Act"), has applied for the Board's approval under section 3(a)(3) of the Act (12 U.S.C. § 1842(a)(3)) to acquire 100 percent of the voting shares (less directors' qualifying shares) of both Southridge Bank of Greendale, Greendale, Wisconsin ("Southridge Bank'), and Northridge Bank, Milwaukee, Wisconsin ("Northridge Bank") (collectively "Banks").

Notice of the applications, affording opportunity for interested persons to submit comments and views, has been given in accordance with section 3(b) of the Act. The time for filing comments and views has expired and the Board has considered the applications and all comments received in light of the factors set forth in section 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. § 1842(c)).

Applicant, the seventh largest banking organization in Wisconsin, controls seven commercial banks ${ }^{1}$ with aggregate deposits of $\$ 386.4$ million, representing approximately 1.9 percent of total deposits in commercial banks in the state. ${ }^{2}$ Acquisition of Banks, with aggregate deposits of $\$ 45.2$ million, would increase Applicant's share of commercial bank deposits in Wisconsin by 0.2 percent and would cause Applicant to become the sixth largest banking organization in the state. In view of the sizes of Banks, consummation of the proposal would not result in a significant increase in the concentration of commercial banking resources in the state.

Banks are currently the only subsidiary banks of Ridge Bancorporation of Wisconsin, Greendale, Wisconsin, a registered bank holding company. Northridge Bank ( $\$ 21.9$ million in deposits) is the 36th largest of 56 banking organizations located in the Milwaukee banking market and holds approximately 0.3 percent of total market deposits in commercial banks. ${ }^{3}$ Southridge Bank ( $\$ 23.3$ million in deposits) is the 35th largest commercial banking organization located in the relevant market and holds approximately 0.4 percent of total market deposits in commercial banks. Together, Banks rank as the 19th largest com-

[^15]mercial banking organization in the market. Applicant is the fifth largest banking organization in the Milwaukee market with 11 offices of four of its subsidiary banks holding aggregate deposits of $\$ 283.3$ million, representing 4.3 percent of total deposits in commercial banks in the relevant market. Consummation of the transactions will increase Applicant's share of market deposits by 0.7 percent and would not cause Applicant's rank within the market to change. Although acquisition of Banks will eliminate some competition, the Milwaukee market is not highly concentrated and there will remain a large number of independent banks as entry vehicles for banking organizations not currently represented in the market. In view of all the facts of record including the structure of the relevant market and the size of Banks, the Board is of the view that consummation of the transactions will have only slightly adverse effects on competition in the Milwaukee market.

The financial and managerial resources of Applicant and its subsidiaries are considered generally satisfactory and its future prospects appear favorable. The financial and managerial resources of Banks are satisfactory and their future prospects as affiliates of Applicant appear favorable. Accordingly, banking factors are consistent with approval of the applications. Applicant proposes to expand banking hours at Banks and to institute a number of services not now available at Banks, including automatic transfer services, trust services, investment management, leasing, and creditrelated insurance activities. In the Board's view, the benefits to the public that may be expected from consummation of the proposed transactions lend weight sufficient to outweigh any adverse effects on competition that may result from consummation of the proposals. Therefore, it is the Board's judgment that the proposed transaction would be in the public interest and that the applications should be approved.

On the basis of the record, the applications are approved for the reasons summarized above. The transactions shall not be made before the thirtieth calendar day following the effective date of this Order or later than three months after that date, unless such period is extended for good cause by the Board, or by the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago pursuant to delegated authority.

By order of the Board of Governors, effective February 23, 1981.

Voting for this action: Chairman Volcker and Governors Schultz, Wallich, Partee, Rice, and Gramley. Absent and not voting: Governor Teeters.
[seal]

Orders Under Section 2 of Bank Holding Company Act

## Citicorp,

New York, New York

## Order Granting Determination Under the Bank Holding Company Act

Citicorp, New York, New York, a bank holding company within the meaning of section 2(a) of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended ( 12 U.S.C. § 1841 et seq.) (the "Act'), has requested a determination pursuant to section $2(\mathrm{~g})(3)$ of the Act that, with respect to the sale by Citicorp of the assets of its travel agency business to VTS Travel Enterprises, Inc., New York, New York ("VTS'), Citicorp is not in fact capable of controlling VTS notwithstanding the fact that VTS is indebted to Citicorp in connection with the sale.
Under the provisions of section $2(\mathrm{~g})(3)$ of the Act, shares ${ }^{1}$ transferred after January 1, 1966, by a bank holding company to a transferee that is indebted to the transferor are deemed to be indirectly owned or controlled by the transferor unless the Board, after opportunity for hearing, determines that the transferor is not in fact capable of controlling the transferee. No such request for a hearing has been received by the Board. Citicorp has submitted to the Board evidence to show that it is not in fact capable of controlling VTS, and the Board has received no contradictory evidence. It is hereby determined that Citicorp is not in fact capable of controlling VTS. This determination is based upon the evidence of record in this matter, including the following facts.

On May 30, 1980, Citicorp transferred its entire interest in the travel agency business, consisting of inventory, accounts receivable, licenses, suppliers' warranties, and trademark and service mark rights, to VTS, a corporation owned by former employees of Citicorp's travel agency business. Citicorp received as its consideration cash and VTS' promissory note for the remainder of the purchase price. The sale appears to have been the result of arm's length negotiations, and there is no evidence to indicate that the sale was motivated by an intent to evade the requirements of the Act. A substantial portion of the initial indebtedness has been repaid by VTS, and, based on the

[^16]record, there is no evidence that VTS will be unable to repay the remaining indebtedness in accordance with the terms of the note. Moreover, the indebtedness is not secured by the property of VTS, but rather by personal guarantees of VTS' shareholders and letters of credit. Finally, the requirements of the indebtedness are of the type normally imposed on a borrower by a prudent institutional lender and are reasonably required to protect Citicorp's interest.
Although VTS will continue to provide travel services to employees of Citicorp and its subsidiary, Citibank, N.A., there is no requirement that they use the services of VTS; Citicorp has represented that all employees have been notified that they may use VTS or any other travel agency of their choice. In addition, none of the shareholders of VTS has remained an officer, director or employee of Citicorp or any of its subsidiaries, and no present officer, director or employee of Citicorp or any of its subsidiaries is an officer, director or employee of VTS.

Accordingly, it is ordered that the request of Citicorp for a determination pursuant to section $2(\mathrm{~g})(3)$ is granted. This determination is based on representations made to the Board by Citicorp. In the event that the Board should hereafter determine that facts material to this determination are otherwise than as represented, or that Citicorp or VTS has failed to disclose to the Board other material facts, this determination may be revoked, and any change in the factors and circumstances relied upon by the Board in making this determination could result in the Board's reconsideration of this determination.

By order of the Board of Governors, acting through its General Counsel, pursuant to delegated authority (12 C.F.R. § 265.2(b)(1)), effective February 5, 1981.
(Signed) James McAfee,
[sEAL] Assistant Secretary of the Board.

## Certifications Pursuant to the Bank Holding Company Tax Act of 1976

## American General Corporation, Houston, Texas

## Final Certification Pursuant to the Bank Holding Company Tax Act of 1976

American General Corporation ("Company'), Houston, Texas, the successor corporation to American General Insurance Company, Houston, Texas ("AG'), has requested a final certification pursuant to section $1101(\mathrm{e})$ of the Internal Revenue Code (the "Code"), as amended by section 2(a) of the Bank

Holding Company Act of 1976 (the '"Tax Act'"), that it has (before the expiration of the period prohibited property is permitted to be held under the Bank Holding Company Act ( 12 U.S.C. $\$ 1841$ et seq.) ("BHC Act") ceased to be a bank holding company.

In connection with this request, the following information is deemed relevant for purposes of issuing the requested certification ${ }^{1}$

1. Effective June 23, 1977, the Board issued a prior certification pursuant to section 1101(b) of the Code with respect to the proposed divestiture by AG of $2,632,042$ shares of Class $B$ nonvoting stock of Texas Commerce Bancshares, Inc. ("TCB"), then held by AG, through the pro rata distribution of such shares to the holders of common stock of AG.
2. The Board's Order certified that:
A. AG is a qualified bank holding corporation, within the meaning of section 1103(b) of the Code, and satisfies the requirements of that subsection; B. The shares of TCB that AG proposes to distribute to its shareholders are all or part of the property by reason of which AG controls (within the meaning of section 2(a) of the BHC Act) a bank or bank holding company; and
C. The distribution of such shares of TCB is necessary or appropriate to effectuate the purposes of the BHC Act.
3. Following issuance of the prior tax certification in the years 1977 through 1980, AG and Company took the following actions to divest all of the $2,632,042$ shares of TCB stock:
A. 1,328,950 TCB Class B shares were divested by AG through the conversion of debentures which had been issued in June of 1974;
B. $1,300,483$ TCB Class B shares were divested by AG through annual pro rata dividend distributions to shareholders of AG; and
C. 2,609 TCB Class B shares were sold by Company through sales in the open market on August 8,1980 . Company does not currently hold any interest in TCB.
4. Company has committed that no director, officer, or policymaking employee of Company serves or will serve in a similar capacity with TCB or any of its subsidiaries;
5. Company has committed that no director, officer or policymaking employee of Company, or a person owning 25 percent or more of the shares of Company, or any combination of such persons, owns or controls or will own or control, directly or indirect-

[^17]ly, 25 percent or more of the voting shares of TCB or any of its subsidiaries.
6. Company does not exercise any influence or control over TCB or any of its subsidiaries.
7. Company does not directly or indirectly own, control, or have power to vote 25 percent or more of any class of voting securities of any bank or any company that controls a bank.
8. Company does not control in any manner the election of a majority of the directors, or exercise a controlling influence over the management or policies of TCB or any bank or company that controls a bank.

On the basis of the foregoing information, it is hereby certified that Company has (before the expiration of the period prohibited property is permitted under the BHC Act to be held by a bank holding company) ceased to be a bank holding company, and has disposed of all its banking property within the meaning of section $1103(\mathrm{~g})$ of the Tax Act.
This certification is based upon the representations made to the Board by Company and upon the facts set forth above. In the event the Board should determine that facts material to this certification are otherwise than as represented by Company, or that Company has failed to disclose to the Board other material facts, it may revoke this certification.
By order of the Board of Governors, acting through its General Counsel, pursuant to delegated authority (12 C.F.R. § $265.3(\mathrm{~b})(3)$ ), effective February 26, 1981.
(Signed) James McAfee, [sEAL] Assistant Secretary of the Board.

## Homewood Corporation, Columbus, Ohio

## Final Certification Pursuant to the Bank Holding Company Tax Act of 1976

Homewood Corporation (formerly Franklin Corp.), Columbus, Ohio ("Homewood"), has requested a final certification pursuant to section 6158 (c)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code ("Code'), as added by section 3(a) of the Bank Holding Company Tax Act of 1976, that it has (before the expiration of the period prohibited property is permitted under the Bank Holding Company Act ( 12 U.S.C. § 1841 et seq.) ("BHC Act") to be held by a bank holding company) ceased to be a bank holding company.

In connection with this request, the following infor-
mation is deemed relevant for the purposes of issuing the requested certification: ${ }^{1}$

1. Effective October 1, 1980, the Board issued a prior certification pursuant to section 6158(a) of the Code with respect to the proposed sale of 3,886 shares of common stock ("Bank Shares") of The Franklin Bank, Grove City, Ohio ("Bank'), to Centran Corporation, Cleveland, Ohio ("Centran"). The Board's Order certified that:
A. Homewood is a qualified bank holding corporation within the meaning of section 1103(b) of the Code, and satisfies the requirements of that section;
B. 2,545 of Bank Shares, representing 63.6 percent of the outstanding voting shares of Bank, that Homewood proposes to sell to Centran are all or part of the property by reason of which Homewood controls within the meaning of section 2(a) of the BHC Act a bank or bank holding company; and
C. The sale of such shares of Bank is necessary or appropriate to effectuate the policies of the BHC Act.
2. On October 1, 1980, following prior certification of the transaction by the Board of Governors, acting through its General Counsel, Homewood Corporation sold to Centran all of its interest in Bank.
3. The prior certification issued on October 1, 1980, was granted upon the condition that no person holding an office or position (including an advisory or honorary position) as a director or officer of Homewood will serve in a similar capacity with Bank, Centran, or its subsidiaries. Effective October 1,1980 , all such interlocking relationships between Homewood and Centran and their respective subsidiaries were terminated.
4. Homewood has represented that it does not exercise a controlling influence over the management or policies of Bank, or any other bank or bank holding company.
5. Homewood has represented that it does not control in any manner the election of a majority of the directors, or own or control, directly, or indirectly, more than 5 percent of the outstanding shares of any bank or bank holding company.

On the basis of the foregoing information, it is hereby certified that Homewood has (before the expiration of the period prohibited property is permitted

[^18]under the BHC Act to be held by a bank holding company) ceased to be a bank holding company.

This certification is based upon the representations and commitments made to the Board by Homewood and upon the facts set out above. In the event the Board should hereafter determine that facts material to this certification are otherwise than as represented by Homewood, or that Homewood has failed to disclose to the Board other material facts or to fulfill any of its commitments, the Board may revoke this certification.

By order of the Board of Governors, acting through its General Counsel pursuant to delegated authority (12 C.F.R. § 265.2(b) (3)), effective February 12, 1981.
(Signed) James McAfee,
[seal] Assistant Secretary of the Board.

Strachan Construction Company, Inc., Fort Walton Beach, Florida

## Final Certification Pursuant to the Bank Holding Company Tax Act of 1976

Strachan Construction Company, Inc., Fort Walton Beach, Florida ("Strachan'), has requested a final certification pursuant to section 1101(e) of the Internal Revenue Code ("Code"), as amended by section 2(a) of the Bank Holding Company Tax Act of 1976 ("Tax Act"), that it has (before the expiration of the period prohibited property is permitted under the Bank Holding Company Act ( 12 U.S.C. § 1841 et seq.) ("BHC Act'") to be held by a bank holding company) ceased to be a bank holding company.

In connection with this request, the following information is deemed relevant for purposes of issuing the requested certification: ${ }^{1}$

1. Effective October 21, 1980, the Board issued a prior certification pursuant to section 1101 (b) of the Code with respect to the proposed divestiture by Strachan of 11,966 shares of First City Bank of Fort Walton Beach, Fort Walton Beach, Florida ("Bank"), then held by Strachan through the pro rata distribution of such shares to Strachan's three shareholders.
2. The Board's Order certified that:
A. Strachan is a qualified bank holding corporation within the meaning of subsection (b) of section 1103 of the Code and satisfies the requirements of that subsection;

[^19]B. The 11,966 shares of Bank that Strachan proposes to distribute to its shareholders are all or part of the property by reason of which Strachan controls (within the meaning of section 2(a) of the BHC Act) a bank or a bank holding company; and C. The distribution of such shares is necessary or appropriate to effectuate the policies of the BHC Act.
3. On December 10, 1980, Strachan distributed to its shareholders on a pro rata basis 13,751 shares of Bank. ${ }^{2}$
4. Strachan has represented to the Board that it no longer owns or controls voting shares of any bank or any company that controls a bank.
5. Strachan has represented to the Board that there are no interlocking director, officer and management official positions between Strachan and Bank. Strachan has represented that it does not control in any manner the election of a majority of directors or exercise a controlling influence over the management or policies of Bank, any other bank or any company that controls a bank.

On the basis of the foregoing information, it is hereby certified that Strachan has (before the expiration of the period prohibited property is permitted under the BHC Act to be held by a bank holding company) ceased to be a bank holding company.

This certification is based upon representations and commitments made to the Board by Strachan and upon the facts set forth above. In the event the Board should hereafter determine that facts material to this certification are otherwise than as represented by Strachan or that Strachan has failed to disclose to the Board other material facts or to fulfill any commitments made to the Board in connection herewith, it may revoke this certification.

By order of the Board of Governors, acting through its General Counsel, pursuant to delegated authority, (12 C.F.R. § 265.2 (b)(3)), effective February 6, 1981.
(Signed) James McAfee, [SEAL] Assistant Secretary of the Board.

## Order Approved Under Bank Merger Act

American Bank of Commerce, Albuquerque, New Mexico

## Order Approving Merger of Bank

American Bank of Commerce, Albuquerque, New Mexico ("Applicant'"), a state member bank of the Federal Reserve System, is a wholly owned subsidiary of Bank Securities, Inc., Albuquerque, New Mexico ("BSI'). Applicant has applied to the Board pursuant to the Bank Merger Act (12 U.S.C. § 1828(c)), for approval to merge with Republic Bank, Albuquerque, New Mexico ("Bank'), under the charter and title of Applicant. As an incident to the proposed merger, the existing offices of Bank would become branch offices of the resulting bank.

As required by the Bank Merger Act, notice of the proposed transaction has been published and reports on competitive factors have been requested from the Attorney General, the Comptroller of the Currency, and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. The time for filing views and comments has expired and the application and all comments received have been considered in light of the factors set forth in the Act.

Applicant's parent, BSI, is the fourth largest banking organization in New Mexico and controls eight subsidiary banks with $\$ 364$ million in deposits, representing 7.7 percent of the total state bank deposits. ${ }^{1}$ Bank is the 43rd largest bank in the state, with deposits of $\$ 33$ million, representing less that 1 percent of statewide commercial bank deposits, and its acquisition by Applicant would not alter BSI's or Applicant's statewide ranking or significantly increase their share of deposits in the state. Accordingly, consummation of the proposal would not have an appreciable effect on the concentration of banking resources in New Mexico.

Two of BSI's subsidiary banks compete in the relevant banking market. ${ }^{2}$ Applicant is the fourth largest bank in the Albuquerque banking market, with total deposits of $\$ 99.6$ million, representing approximately 5.6 percent of commercial bank deposits in the market. BSI's other banking subsidiary, First State Bank, Rio Rancho, has total deposits of $\$ 33.4$ million representing approximately 1.7 percent of commercial bank deposits and ranks as the 10th largest bank in the Albuquerque banking market. Therefore, BSI has aggregate deposits in the relevant market totaling \$133 million, representing 7.4 percent of commercial bank deposits and ranks as the fourth largest banking organization in the Albuquerque market.

[^20]Bank, with total deposits of $\$ 33$ million, representing 1.9 percent of the commercial bank deposits in the market, is the ninth largest of thirteen banks in the Albuquerque banking market and competes in no other markets. Upon consummation of the proposed transaction, BSI and Applicant would hold total market deposits of $\$ 166$ and $\$ 133$ million, respectively, representing 9.3 and 7.5 percent of the market deposits.

Approval of the proposal would eliminate some existing competition within the Albuquerque banking market. While the market shares of BSI and Applicant would increase slightly, their respective ranks within the market would be unchanged and they would remain substantially smaller in absolute size and market share than the three larger banking organizations in the Albuquerque banking market. Moreover, numerous independent banking alternatives would remain available within the market. Consequently, it appears that the effect of the merger on existing competition in the Albuquerque banking market would not be significant.

After examining information of record concerning the financial and managerial resources of Applicant, BSI and Bank, the Board concludes that the financial and managerial resources and future prospects of the institutions involved, as well as the banking factors, are consistent with approval. In fact, consummation of this merger would have a beneficial effect on Applicant and BSI. In addition, as a result of consummation of the proposed merger, the resulting bank will be able to
offer increased lending limits and other expanded services to their customers. In particular, the resulting bank will offer trust services, the convenience of automatic teller machines and debit-card system, services previously unavailable from Bank. The Board believes that considerations relating to the convenience and needs of the communities to be served lend weight toward approval and are sufficient to outweigh any slightly adverse competitive effects that may be associated with this proposal. Accordingly, the Board finds that consummation of the proposal would be consistent with the public interest. On the basis of the record and for the reasons summarized above, the application to merge and, incident thereto, to establish branches, is hereby approved.
The transaction shall not be consummated before the thirtieth calendar day following the effective date of this Order or later than three months after the effective date of this Order, unless such period is extended for good cause by the Board or by the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City pursuant to delegated authority.
By order of the Board of Governors, effective February 5, 1981.

[^21](Signed) James McAfee,
[sEal]
Assistant Secretary of the Board.

## Orders Approving Applications Under the Bank Holding Company act and Bank Merger Act

## By the Board of Governors

During February 1981 the Board of Governors approved the applications listed below. Copies are available upon request to Publications Services, Division of Support Services, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551.

Section 3

Applicant $\quad \operatorname{Bank}(\mathrm{s}) \quad$| Board action |
| :---: |
| (effective |
| date) |

First City Bancorporation of Texas, Inc.
Houston, Texas
First City Bancorporation of Texas, Inc., Houston, Texas
First Union Bancorporation and Firstsub, Inc.

Central Park Bank, San Antonio, Texas

Windsor Park Bank, San Antonio, Texas

Columbia Union National Bank and
Trust Company
Kansas City, Missouri

February 4, 1981

Section 3-continued

| Applicant | Bank(s) | Board action <br> (effective <br> date) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Metropolitan Bancorporation, Inc. <br> Minneapolis, Minnesota | Metropolitan State Bank, <br> Minneapolis, Minnesota | February 25, 1981 |
| Southwest Bancshares, Inc., <br> Houston, Texas | Texas Bank of Beaumont <br> Beaumont, Texas | February 6, 1981 |

## By Federal Reserve Banks

Recent applications have been approved by the Federal Reserve Banks as listed below. Copies of the orders are available upon request to the Reserve Banks.

## Section 3

| Applicant | Bank(s) | Reserve Bank | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Effective } \\ & \text { date } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| American City Bancorp, Inc., Tullahoma, Tennessee | American City Bank, Tullahoma, Tennessee | Atlanta | February 3, 1981 |
| Arapahoe Financial Corp., Arapahoe, Nebraska | Citizens State Bank, Arapahoe, Nebraska | Kansas City | February 12, 1981 |
| Avenue Bancorporation, Chicago, Illinois | Avenue Bank and Trust Company of Oak Park, Oak Park, Illinois | Chicago | February 4, 1981 |
| BancMidwest Corporation <br> St. Paul, Minnesota | Goodhue State Bank Goodhue, Minnesota Chisago County State Bank, Center City, Minnesota White Rock State Bank, White Rock, Minnesota | Minneapolis | February 12, 1981 |
| Banc One Corporation, Columbus, Ohio | Lake National Bank, Painesville, Ohio | Cleveland | February 5, 1981 |
| Benz Holding Company, Melvin, Iowa | Melvin Savings Bank, Melvin, Iowa | Chicago | February 20, 1981 |
| Boatmen's Bancshares, Inc., St. Louis, Missouri | Plaza National Bancshares, Inc., <br> St. Louis County, Missouri Plaza Bank of Westport <br> St. Louis County, Missouri | St. Louis | February 3, 1981 |
| Boelus Investment Co., Boelus, Nebraska | Boelus State Bank, Boelus, Nebraska | Kansas City | February 2, 1981 |
| Cass County State Company, Plattsmouth, Nebraska | The Cass County Bank, Plattsmouth, Nebraska | Kansas City | February 13, 1981 |
| Central Bancorporation, Inc., <br> Newport, Minnesota | Blue Earth State Bank, Blue Earth, Minnesota | Minneapolis | February 11, 1981 |
| Chittenden Corporation, Burlington, Vermont | Mountain Trust Company Stowe, Vermont | Boston | February 17, 1981 |
| Cokato Bancshares, Inc., Cokato, Minnesota | State Bank of Cokato, Cokato, Minnesota | Minneapolis | February 2, 1981 |

Section 3-continued

| Applicant | Bank(s) | Reserve Bank | Board action (effective date) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commerce Southwest Inc., Dallas, Texas | The Farmers \& Merchants Na tional Bank of Kaufman, Kaufman, Texas | Dallas | February 2, 1981 |
| Daingerfield Bancshares, Inc., Daingerfield, Texas | The National Bank of Daingerfield, Daingerfield, Texas | Dallas | January 30, 1981 |
| Financial Growth Systems, Inc., Inverness, Florida | Citizens First National Bank of Citrus County, Inverness, Florida <br> Citizens First National Bank of Crystal River, Crystal River, Florida Lake County Bank, Leesburg, Florida | Atlanta | January 30, 1981 |
| Finlayson Bancshares, Inc., Finlayson, Minnesota | First State Bank of Finlayson, Finlayson, Minnesota | Minneapolis | February 12, 1981 |
| First of Austin Bancshares, Inc., Austin, Texas | Western National Bank, Austin, Texas | Dallas | January 30, 1981 |
| First Bancorp, Inc., Corsicana, Texas | First Greenville Bancshares, Inc., Greenville, Texas <br> First Greenville National Bank, Greenville, Texas | Dallas | February 9, 1981 |
| First Bancorp of War, Inc., Welch, West Virginia | The Bank of War, War, West Virginia | Richmond | January 29, 1981 |
| First Community Bancshares, Inc., Lone Grove, Oklahoma | First Community Bank of Lone Grove, <br> Lone Grove, Oklahoma | Kansas City | February 23, 1981 |
| First Granbury Bancorporation, Granbury, Texas | The First National Bank of Granbury, Granbury, Texas | Dallas | January 29, 1981 |
| First New Mexico Bankshare Corporation, Albuquerque, New Mexico | Southwest National Bank, Hobbs, New Mexico | Kansas City | February 17, 1981 |
| First State Bancorporation, Tiptonville, Tennessee | First State Bank and Trust Company, Tiptonville, Tennessee | St. Louis | February 13, 1981 |
| First Medicine Lodge Bancshares, Inc., Medicine Lodge, Kansas | First National Bank of Medicine Lodge, <br> Medicine Lodge, Kansas | Kansas City | January 30, 1981 |
| First National Financial Corp. of Martinsville, Martinsville, Indiana | First National Bank, Martinsville, Martinsville, Indiana | Chicago | February 5, 1981 |
| First Peoples Bancorp, Inc., Jefferson City, Tennessee | First Peoples Bank of Jefferson County, Jefferson City, Tennessee | Atlanta | January 30, 1981 |
| Geneseo Bancshares, Inc., Geneseo, Kansas | The Citizens State Bank, Geneseo, Kansas | Kansas City | February 12, 1981 |
| Guardian Banks Financial Corp., Seminole, Florida | Guardian Bank, Seminole, Florida | Atlanta | January 30, 1981 |

Section 3-continued

| Applicant | Bank(s) | Reserve <br> Bank | Board action <br> (effective <br> date) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gulf Coast Bancshares, Inc., <br> Alvin, Texas <br> Hawkeye Bancorporation, <br> Des Moines, Iowa | First National Bank of Alvin, <br> Alvin, Texas <br> Cedar River Bancorporation, <br> Cedar Rapids, Iowa | Dallas | Fhicago | February 19, 1981

## Sections 3 and 4

| Applicant | Bank(s) | Nonbanking company (or activity) | Reserve Bank | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Effective } \\ & \text { date } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First Guthrie Bancshares, Inc., Guthrie, Oklahoma | First Union Corporation, Stillwater, Oklahoma The First National Bank and Trust Company of Stillwater, Stillwater, Oklahoma | consumer finance activities and creditrelated insurance sales | Kansas City | February 6, 1981 |
| Lakeland Agency, Inc., Pequot Lakes, Minnesota | Lakeland State Bank, Pequot Lakes, Minnesota | to continue to engage in general insurance activities in Pequot Lakes, Minnesota, a town of less than 5,000 population | Minneapolis | February 10, 1981 |

## Section 4

| Applicant | Nonbanking company (or activity) | Effective date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Deposit Guaranty Corp., Jackson, Mississippi | to engage in the activity of servicing the loans and other extensions of credit acquired through an existing subsidiary | February 5, 1981 |
| Marsall \& Ilsley Corporation, Milwaukee, Wisconsin | to continue to engage in leasing activities through its subsidiary | February 7, 1981 |
| Morrill Bancshares, Inc., Sabetha, Kansas | to engage in general insurance agency activities | February 4, 1981 |
| Southern Bancorporation, Inc. | World Acceptance Corporation and World Finance Corporation of Georgia, Family Financial Services Inc., Fort Valley, Georgia | February 6, 1981 |

Orders Approved Under Bank Merger act

By Federal Reserve Banks

| Applicant | Banks | Reserve <br> Bank | Effective <br> date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The Carroll County Trust Com- <br> pany, | Lafayette National Bank, <br> Littleton, New Hampshire | Boston | February 17, 1981 |

Conway, New Hampshire

## Pending Cases Involving the Board of Governors

This list of pending cases does not include suits against the Federal Reserve Banks in which the Board of Governors is not named a party.

Wilshire Oil Company of Texas v. Board of Governors, et al., filed U.S.D.C. for New Jersey.
9 to 5 Organization for Women Office Workers v. Board of Governors, filed December 1980, U.S.D.C. for the District of Massachusetts.

Wilshire Oil Company of Texas v. Board of Governors, filed December 1980, U.S.C.A. for the District of Columbia.
Securities Industry Association v. Board of Governors, et al., filed October 1980, U.S.D.C. for the District of Columbia.
Securities Industry Association v. Board of Governors, et al., filed October 1980, U.S.C.A. for the District of Columbia.
A. G. Becker, Inc. v. Board of Governors, et al., filed October 1980, U.S.D.C. for the District of Columbia.
A. G. Becker, Inc. v. Board of Governors, et al., filed October 1980, U.S.C.A. for the District of Columbia.
Independent Insurance Agents of America and Independent Insurance Agents of Missouri v. Board of Governors, filed September 1980, U.S.C.A. for the Eighth Circuit.
Independent Insurance Agents of America and Independent Insurance Agents of Virginia v. Board of Governors, filed September 1980, U.S.C.A. for the Fourth Circuit.
Nebraska Bankers Association, et al. v. Board of Governors, et al., filed September 1980, U.S.D.C. for the District of Nebraska.
Republic of Texas Corporation v. Board of Governors, filed September 1980, U.S.C.A. for the Fifth Circuit.
Consumers Union of the United States, Inc., v. Board of Governors et al., filed August 1980, U.S.D.C. for the District of Columbia.
A. G. Becker Inc., v. Board of Governors, et al., filed August 1980, U.S.D.C. for the District of Columbia.
Otero Savings and Loan Association v. Board of Governors, filed August 1980, U.S.D.C. for the District of Columbia.
Edwin F. Gordon v. Board of Governors, et al., filed August 1980, U.S.C.A. for the Fifth Circuit.
Martin-Trigona v. Board of Governors, filed July 1980, U.S.C.A. for the District of Columbia.
U.S. League of Savings Associations v. Depository Institutions Deregulation Committee, et al., filed June 1980, U.S.D.C. for the District of Columbia.
Berkovitz, et al. v. Government of Iran, et al., filed June 1980, U.S.D.C. for the Northern District of California.
Mercantile Texas Corporation v. Board of Governors, filed May 1980, U.S.C.A. for the Fifth Circuit.
Corbin, Trustee v. United States, filed May 1980, United States Court of Claims.
Louis J. Roussel v. Board of Governors, filed April 1980, U.S.D.C. for the District of Columbia.
Ulyssess S. Crockett v. United States et al., filed April 1980, U.S.D.C. for the Eastern District of North Carolina.
County National Bancorporation and TGB Co. v. Board of Governors, filed September 1979, U.S.C.A. for the Eighth Circuit.

Gregory v. Board of Governors, filed July 1979, U.S.D.C. for the District of Columbia.

Donald W. Riegel, Jr. v. Federal Open Market Committee, filed July 1979, U.S.D.C. for the District of Columbia.
Connecticut Bankers Association, et al., v. Board of Governors, filed May 1979, U.S.C.A. for the District of Columbia.
Independent Insurance Agents of America, et al., v. Board of Governors, filed May 1979, U.S.C.A. for the District of Columbia.
Independent Insurance Agents of America, et al., v. Board of Governors, filed April 1979, U.S.C.A. for the District of Columbia.
Independent Insurance Agents of America, et al., v. Board of Governors, filed March 1979, U.S.C.A. for the District of Columbia.
Security Bancorp and Security National Bank v. Board of Governors, filed March 1978, U.S.C.A. for the Ninth Circuit.
Investment Company Institute v. Board of Governors, filed September 1977, U.S.D.C. for the District of Columbia.
Roberts Farms, Inc., v. Comptroller of the Currency, et al., filed November 1975, U.S.D.C. for the Southern District of California.
David Merrill, et al. v. Federal Open Market Committee, filed May 1975, U.S.D.C. for the District of Columbia.
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### 1.11 RESERVES OF DEPOSITORY INSTITUTIONS, RESERVE BANK CREDIT

Millions of dollars

| Factors | Monthly averages of daily figures |  |  | Weekly averages of daily figures for week-ending |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1980 | 1981 |  | 1981 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. | Jan. 14 | Jan. 21 | Jan. 28 | Feb. 4 | Feb. 11 | Feb. 18 | Feb. 25 |
| Supplying Reserve Funds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Reserve Bank credit outstanding | 143,250 | 142,819 | 140,373 | 143,691 | 143,002 | 140,192 | 139,632 | 139,545 | 141,281 | 140,696 |
| 2 U.S. government securities ${ }^{1}$ | 119.074 | 119.362 | 116.509 | 120.543 | 119.952 | 116.988 | 116.737 | 115,857 | 117,348 | 115,262 |
| 3 Bought outright ................ | 118.548 526 8.81 | 118.795 567 | 116,509 | 120.543 | 119.753 199 | 116,988 | 116.737 | 115,857 | 117,348 | 115,262 |
| 5 Federal agency securities ........... | 8.821 | 8.812 | 8.739 | 8,739 | 8,754 | 8.739 | 8.739 | 8,739 | 8.739 | 8.739 |
| 6 Bought outright | 8.743 | 8,739 | 8.739 | 8.739 | 8.739 | 8.739 | 8.739 | 8.739 | 8.739 | 8.739 |
| 7 Held under repurchase agreements . | 78 | 73 |  |  | 15 | ........ |  |  |  |  |
| 8 Acceptances | 124 | 68 |  |  | 32 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 Loans | 1.617 | 1.405 | 1,278 | 1,332 | 1.419 | 1.793 | 1,201 | 1,113 | 1,145 | 1,713 |
| 10 Float | 5.797 | 4.161 | 3,755 | 4.489 | 3,650 | 3,235 | 3.047 | 3,438 | 3.745 | 5.272 |
| 11 Other Federal Reserve assets | 7.817 | 9.011 | 10,092 | 8.587 | 9.195 | 9.437 | 9.907 | 10.398 | 10,305 | 9.709 |
| 12 Gold stock | 11.161 | 11.160 | 11.159 | 11.161 | 11.160 | 11.159 | 11.159 | 11,159 | 11.159 | 11,159 |
| 13 Special drawing rights certificate account | 3.313 | 2.518 | 2.518 | 2.518 | 2,518 | 2,518 | 2.518 | 2,518 | 2.518 | 2.518 |
| 14 Treasury currency outstanding ........... | 13.422 | 13.465 | 13.465 | 13.431 | 13.438 | 13.446 | 13,638 | 13.460 | 13,465 | 13,474 |
| Absorbing Reserve Funds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 Currency in circulation | 135.676 | 133.443 | 131.846 | 134.479 | 132.811 | 131,370 | 131,139 | 131,721 | 132.431 | 131,989 |
| 16 Treasury cash holdings Deposits, other than member bank reserves, with Federal Reserve Banks | 446 | 440 | 452 | 440 | 437 | 443 | 445 | 445 | 450 | 450 |
| 17 Treasury | 2.722 | 3.172 | 3.297 | 3.085 | 3.109 | 3.498 | 3.288 | 3,926 | 2.832 | 3,376 |
| 18 Foreign | 353 | 380 | 319 | 530 | 304 | 275 | 402 | 283 | 346 | 282 |
| 19 Other . | 403 | 541 | 401 | 395 | 672 | 468 | 501 | 431 | 366 | 373 |
| 20 Other Federal Reserve liabilities and capital <br> 21 Reserve accounts ${ }^{2}$ | 4.881 | 4.872 | 4,609 | 4.971 | 4.973 | 4.753 | 4.600 | 4.532 | 4.635 | 4.610 |
|  | 26.664 | 27.114 | 26.591 | 26,900 | 27.809 | 26.508 | 26,571 | 25,344 | 27,364 | 26.765 |
| 21 Reserve accounts ${ }^{2}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . | End-of-month figures |  |  | Wednesday figures |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1980 | 1981 |  | 1981 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. | Jan. 14 | Jan. 21 | Jan. 28 | Feb. 4 | Feb. 11 | Feb. 18 | Feb. 25 |
| Supplying Reserve Funds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 Reserve bank credit outstanding | 146,383 | 139,328 | 139,199 | 145,550 | 137,992 | 138,371 | 140,41 ${ }^{\prime}$ | 143,200 | 142,868 | 143,683 |
| 23 U.S. government securities ${ }^{1}$ | 121.328 | 117.169 | 117.621 | 121,571 | 113.812 | 115.138 | 117.179 | 117.146 | 117,913 | 116.622 |
| 24 Bought outright ........ | 119.299 | 117.169 | 117,621 | 121,571 | 113.812 | 115,138 | 117,175 | 117.146 | 117.913 | 116,622 |
| 25 Held under repurchase agreements | 2.029 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 Federal agency securities | 9.264 | 8.739 | 8.737 | 8.739 | 8.739 | 8.739 | 8.739 | 8.739 | 8.739 | 8.737 |
| 27 Bought outright ...... | 8.739 | 8.739 | 8.737 | 8.739 | 8.739 | 8.739 | 8.739 | 8.739 | 8.739 | 8.737 |
| 28 Held under repurchase agreements | 525 | ...... | ....... | ........ | ......... | ......... | . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | ........ | $\ldots . .$. |  |
| 29 Acceptances | 776 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 Loans | 1.809 | 1.304 | 1.249 | 2.539 | 1.349 | 1.553 | 752 | 1.037 | 875 | 5.192 |
| 31 Float | 4.467 | 2.280 | 1.545 | 3.863 | 4.894 | 3.061 | 3,547 | 5,700 | 5,472 | 3,279 |
| 32 Other Federal Reserve assets | 8,739 | 9,836 | 10.047 | 8,838 | 9,198 | 9.880 | 10.200 | 10.578 | 9.869 | 9.853 |
| 33 Gold stock | 11.160 | 11.159 | 11.156 | 11.160 | 11.159 | 11.159 | 11,159 | 11.159 | 11.159 | 11.158 |
| 34 Special drawing rights certificate account | 2.518 | 2.518 | 2.518 | 2.518 | 2.518 | 2.518 | 2.518 | 2.518 | 2.518 | 2,518 |
| 35 Treasury currency outstanding .......... | 13,838 | 13,886 | 13.477 | 13.437 | 13,444 | 13.450 | 13,457 | 13.464 | 13,471 | 13.477 |
| Absorbing Reserve Funds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36 Currency in circulation . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 137.244 | 131.113 | 131.375 | 134,042 | 132,325 | 131,372 | 131,424 | 132.461 | 132.846 | 132.006 |
| 37 Treasury cash holdings . Deposits, other than member bank reserves. with Federal Reserve Banks | 437 | 451 | 460 | 440 | 440 | 440 | 441 | 445 | 450 | 450 |
| 38 Treasury | 3.062 | 3.038 | 2.284 | 2.814 | 3.013 | 2.974 | 4,069 | 3.468 | 3.729 | 3.433 |
| 39 Foreign | 411 | 573 | 422 | 301 | ${ }_{536}$ | 302 | 278 | 267 | 241 | 232 |
| 40 Other | 617 | 515 | 337 | 370 | 536 | 439 | 432 | 424 | 364 | 397 |
| 41 Other Federal Reserve liabilities and capital | 4.671 | 4.579 | 4.737 | 4,891 | 4.701 | 4,649 | 4.431 | 4,708 | 4.486 | 4,449 |
| 42 Reserve accounts ${ }^{2}$ | 27.456 | 26.621 | 26.734 | 29.807 | 23.850 | 25.323 | 26.476 | 28.568 | 27.900 | 29.869 |

1. Includes securities loaned-fully guaranteed by U.S. government securities pledged with Federal Reserve Banks-and excludes (if any) securities sold and scheduled to be bought back under matched sale-purchase transactions.
2. Includes reserve balances of all depository institutions.

Note. For amounts of currency and coin held as reserves, see table 1.12.

### 1.12 RESERVES AND BORROWINGS Depository Institutions

Millions of dollars


## 1. Includes all reserve balances of depository institutions.

2. Prior to Nov. 13, 1980, the figures shown reflect only the vault cash held by member banks.
3. Total vault cash at institutions without required reserve balances less vault cash equal to their required reserves.
4. Adjusted to include waivers of penalties for reserve deficiencies in accordance with Board policy, effective Nov. 19, 1975, of permitting transitional relief on a graduated basis over a 24 -month period when a nonmember bank merged into an
existing member bank, or when a nonmember bank joins the Federal Reserve System. For weeks for which figures are preliminary, figures by class of bank do System. For weeks for which figures are preliminary, tigures by
not add to total because adjusted data by class are not available.
not add to total because adjusted data by class are not available.
5 . Reserve balances with Federal Reserve Banks plus vault cash at institutions with required reserve balances plus vault cash equal to required reserves at other institutions.
5. Reserve balances with Federal Reserve Banks plus vault cash used to satisfy reserve requirements less required reserves. (This measure of excess reserves is comparable to the old excess reserve concept published historically.)March 1981

### 1.13 FEDERAL FUNDS AND REPURCHASE AGREEMENTS Large Member Banks ${ }^{1}$ <br> Averages of daily figures, in millions of dollars

| By maturity and source |
| :--- |

1. Banks with assets of $\$ 1$ billion or more as of December 31, 1977.

### 1.14 FEDERAL RESERVE BANK INTEREST RATES

Percent per annum

| Federal Reserve Bank | Current and previous levels |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Short-term adjustment credit ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | Extended credit |  |  |  |  |  | Emergency credit to all others under section $13^{3}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Seasonal credit |  |  | Special circumstances ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rate on } \\ & 2 / 28 / 81 \end{aligned}$ | Effective date | Previous rate | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rate on } \\ & 2 / 28 / 81 \end{aligned}$ | Effective date | Previous rate | Rate on 2/28/81 | Effective date | Previous rate | Rate on 2/28/81 | Effective date | Previous rate |
| Boston | 13 | 12/8/80 | 12 | 13 | 12/8/80 | 12 | 14 | 12/8/80 | 13 | 16 | 12/8/80 | 15 |
| New York | 13 | 12/5/80 | 12 | 13 | 12/5/80 | 12 | 14 | 12/5/80 | 13 | 16 | 12/5/80 | 15 |
| Philadelphia | 13 | 12/8/80 | 12 | 13 | 12/8/80 | 12 | 14 | 12/8/80 | 13 | 16 | 12/8/80 | 15 |
| Cleveland. | 13 | 12/5/80 | 12 | 13 | 12/5/80 | 12 | 14 | 12/5/80 | 13 | 16 | 12/5/80 | 15 |
| Richmond | 13 | 12/5/80 | 12 | 13 | 12/5/80 | 12 | 14 | 12/5/80 | 13 | 16 | 12/5/80 | 15 |
| Atlanta | 13 | 12/5/80 | 12 | 13 | 12/5/80 | 12 | 14 | 12/5/80 | 13 | 16 | 12/5/80 | 15 |
| Chicago | 13 | 12/8/80 | 12 | 13 | 12/8/80 | 12 | 14 | 12/8/80 | 13 | 16 | 12/8/80 | 15 |
| St. Louis | 13 | 12/5/80 | 12 | 13 | 12/5/80 | 12 | 14 | 12/5/80 | 13 | 16 | 12/5/80 | 15 |
| Minneapolis | 13 | 12/5/80 | 12 | 13 | 12/5/80 | 12 | 14 | 12/5/80 | 13 | 16 | 12/5/80 | 15 |
| Kansas City | 13 | 12/5/80 | 12 | 13 | 12/5180 | 12 | 14 | $12 / 5180$ | 13 | 16 | 12/5/80 | 15 |
| Dallas ....... | 13 | 12/8/80 | 12 | 13 | 12/8/80 | 12 | 14 | 12/8/80 | 13 | 16 | 12/8/80 | 15 |
| San Francisco .... | 13 | 12/5/80 | 12 | 13 | 12/5/80 | 12 | 14 | 12/5/80 | 13 | 16 | 12/5/80 | 15 |

Range of rates in recent years ${ }^{4.5}$

| Effective date | Range (or level) All F.R. Banks | F.R Bank of N.Y. | Effective date | Range (or level)All F.R. Banks | F.R. Bank N. Y . | Effective date | Range (or level) All F.R. Banks | F.R. Bank N. Y . |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| In effect Dec. 31, 1970 | 51/2 | 51/2 | 1974-Apr. 25 | 71/2-8 | 8 | 1978- July 10 | 71/4 | 71/4 |
| 1971-Jan. 8 ...... | 51/4-51/2 | $51 / 4$ | Apr 30 | 8 | 8 | Aug. 21 | $73 / 4$ | $73 / 4$ |
| 15 | $51 / 4$ | $51 / 4$ | Dec. 9 | $73 / 4-8$ | $73 / 4$ | Sept. 22 |  | 8 |
| 19 | 5-51/4 | $5{ }^{1 / 4}$ | 16 | $73 / 4$ | $73 / 4$ | Oct. 16. | $8-81 / 2$ | $81 / 2$ |
| 22 | 5-51/4 |  |  |  |  | 20 | $81 / 2$ | $81 / 2$ |
| 29 | 5 | 5 | 1975-Jan. 6 | $71 / 4$ | $71 / 4$ | Nov. 1 | $81 / 2-91 / 2$ | $91 / 2$ |
| Feb. 13 | 4/4, 5 | 5 | 10 | $71 / 4$ | $71 / 4$ |  | 91/2 | 91/2 |
| 19 | 43/4 | 43/4 | 24 | 71/4 | 71/4 |  |  |  |
| July 16 | $43 / 4-5$ | 5 | Feb. 5 | $6^{3} / 4-71 / 4$ | $63 / 4$ | 1979- July $20 \ldots$ | 10 | 10 |
| Nov 23 | 5 | 5 | Mar ${ }^{7}$ | $6^{63 / 4}$ | $63 / 4$ | Aug. 17 | 10-101/2 | 101/2 |
| Nov. 11 | 43/4-5 | 5 | Mar. 10 | 6 $1 / 4 / 43 / 4$ | 61/4 | 20 | 101/2 | 101/2 |
| Dec. 13 | $413 / 24^{3 / 4}$ | $43 / 4$ $43 / 4$ | ¢ 14 | ${ }_{6-61 / 4}$ | $61 / 4$ | Sept. 19 | 10,2-11 | 11 |
| 17 | 41/2-43/4 | $41 / 2$ |  |  |  | Oct. 8 | 11-12 | 12 |
| 24 | 41/2 | $41 / 2$ | 1976-Jan. 19 | 51/2-6 | $51 / 2$ |  | 12 | 12 |
|  |  |  |  | $51 / 2$ | 51/2 |  |  |  |
| 1973-Jan. 15 | 5 | 5 | Nov. 22 | $51 / 4.51 / 2$ | $51 / 4$ | 1980- Feb. 15 | 12-13 | 13 |
| Feb. 26 | 5-51/2 | $51 / 2$ | 26 | $51 / 4$ | $51 / 4$ | 19 | 13 | 13 |
| Mar. 2 | ${ }_{51 / 2}{ }^{1 / 2}$ | $51 / 2$ |  |  |  | May 29. | 12-13 | 13 |
| Apr. 23 | $515 / 23 / 4$ | $51 / 2$ | 1977-Aug. $30 .$. | $51 / 4-53 / 4$ | $51 / 4$ | 30. |  | 12 |
| May 4 | $53 / 4$ | $53 / 4$ | Sept 31 | $5 y^{4} / 53 / 4$ | $53 / 4$ | June 13 | 11-12 | 11 |
| 11 | 53/4-6 | 6 | Sept. 2 | $53 / 4$ | $53 / 4$ | 16 | 11 | 11 |
| 18 | 6 | 6 | Oct. 26 | 6 | 6 | July 28 | 10-11 | 10 |
| June 11 | 6-61/2 | 61/2 |  |  |  | 29 | 10 | 10 |
| 15 | $61 / 2$ | $61 / 2$ | 1978-Jan. 9 | 6-61/2 | $61 / 2$ | Sept. 26 | 11 | 11 |
| July 2 | 7 | 7 | - 20 | 61/2 | $61 / 2$ | Nov. 17 | ${ }_{12}^{12}$ | 12 |
| Aug. 14 | 7-71/2 | $71 / 2$ | May 11 | 612-7 | 7 | Dec. 5 | 12-13 | 13 |
| 23 | $71 / 2$ | 71/2 | 12 |  |  | - ${ }^{8}$ | 13 | 13 |
|  |  |  | July 3 | 7-71/4 | $71 / 4$ | In effect Feb. 28, 1981 | 13 | 13 |

1. Effective Dec. 5, 1980, a 3 percent surcharge was applied to short-term ad justment credit borrowings by institutions with deposits of $\$ 500$ million or more who borrowed in successive weeks or in more than 4 weeks in a calendar quarter. 2. Applicable to advances when exceptional circumstances or practices involve only a particular depository institution as described in section 201.3(b) (2) of Regonly a part
2. Applicable to emergency advances to individuals, partnerships, and corporations as described in section 201.3(c) of Regulation A.
3. Rates for short-term adjustment credit. For description and earlier data see the following publications of the Board of Governors: Banking and Monetary Statistics, 1914-1941 and 1941-1970; Annual Stutistical Digest, 1971-1975, 1972-1976, 1973-1977, and 1974-1978.
4. Twice in 1980 , the Federal Reserve applied a surcharge to short-term adjustment credit borrowings by institutions with deposits of $\$ 500$ million or more justment credit borrowings by institutions with deposits of 4 weeks in a calendar who had borrowed in successive weeks or in more than 4 weeks in a calendar
quarter. A 3 percent surcharge was in effect from Mar. 17, 1980, through May 7 , 1980. On Nov. 17, 1980, a 2 percent surcharge was adopted which was subsequently raised to 3 percent on Dec. 5, 1980.

### 1.15 DEPOSITORY INSTITUTIONS RESERVE REQUIREMENTS

Percent of deposits

| Type of deposit, and deposit interval in millions of dollars | Member bank requirements before implementation of the Monetary Control Act |  | Type of deposit, and deposit interval | Depository institution requirements after implementation of the Monetary Control Act ${ }^{5}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Effective date |  | Percent | Effective date |
| Net demand ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Net transaction accounts ${ }^{6}$ |  |  |
| 0-2 | 7 | 12/30/76 | \$0-\$25 million | 3 | 11/13/80 |
| 2-10 | 91/2 | 12/30/76 | Over \$25 million | 12 | 11/13/80 |
| 10-100 | 113/4 | 12/30/76 |  |  |  |
| 100-400 | 123/4 | 12/30/76 | Nonpersonal time deposits ${ }^{7}$ |  |  |
| Over 400 | 161/4 | 12/30/76 | By original maturity Less than 4 years. | 3 | 11/13/80 |
| Time and savings ${ }^{2,3}$ |  |  | 4 years or more. | 0 | 11/13/80 |
| Savings . . . . . . . | 3 | 3/16/67 | Eurocurrency liabilities |  |  |
| Time ${ }^{4}$ a |  |  | All types | 3 | 11/13/80 |
| 0-5, by maturity |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30-179 days ...... | 3 | 3/16/67 |  |  |  |
| 180 days to 4 years | $21 / 2$ | 1/8/76 |  |  |  |
| 4 years or more ... | 1 | 10/30/75 |  |  |  |
| Over 5 , by maturity |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30-179 days . . . . . | 6 |  |  |  |  |
| 180 days to 4 years 4 years or more . . | $21 / 2$ | $1 / 8 / 76$ |  |  |  |
| 4 years or more ... | 1 | 10/30/75 |  |  |  |

1. For changes in reserve requirements beginning 1963 , see Board's Annual Statistical Digest, 1971-1975 and for prior changes, see Board's Annual Report for 1976, table 13. Under provisions of the Monetary Control Act, depository institutions include commercial banks, mutual savings banks, savings and loan associations, credit unions, agencies and branches of foreign banks, and Edge Act corporations.
2. (a) Requirement schedules are graduated, and each deposit interval applies to that part of the deposits of each bank. Demand deposits subject to reserve requirements are gross demand deposits minus cash items in process of collection and demand balances due from domestic banks.
(b) The Federal Reserve Act as amended through 1978 specified different ranges of requirements for reserve city banks and for other banks. Reserve cities were designated under a criterion adopted effective Nov. 9, 1972, by which a bank having net demand deposits of more than $\$ 400$ million was considered to have the character of business of a reserve city bank. The presence of the head office of such a bank constituted designation of that place as a reserve city. Cities in which there were Federal Reserve Banks or branches were also reserve cities. Any banks having net demand deposits of $\$ 400$ million or less were considered to have the character of business of banks outside of reserve cities and were permitted to maintain reserves at ratios set for banks not in reserve cities.
(c) Effective Aug. 24, 1978, the Regulation $\mathbf{M}$ reserve requirements on net balances due from domestic banks to their foreign branches and on deposits that foreign branches lend to U.S residents were reduced to zero from 4 percent and 1 percent, respectively. The Regulation $D$ reserve requirement on borrowings from unrelated banks abroad was also reduced to zero from 4 percent.
(d) Effective with the reserve computation period beginning Nov. 16, 1978 , domestic deposits of Edge corporations were subject to the same reserve requirements as deposits of member banks.
3. (a) Negotiable order of withdrawal (NOW) accounts and time deposits such as Christmas and vacation club accounts were subject to the same requirements as savings deposits.
(b) The average reserve requirement on savings and other time deposits before implementation of the Monetary Control Act had to be at least 3 percent, the minimum specified by law.
4. (a) Effective Nov. 2, 1978, a supplementary reserve requirement of 2 percent was imposed on large time deposits of $\$ 100,000$ or more, obligations of affiliates, and ineligible acceptances. This supplementary requirement was eliminated with the maintenance period beginning July $24,1980$.
(b) Effective with the reserve maintenance period beginning Oct. 25, 1979, a marginal reserve requirement of 8 percent was added to managed liabilities in excess of a base amount. This marginal requirement was increased to 10 percent beginning April 3, 1980, was decreased to 5 percent beginning June 12, 1980, and was reduced to zero beginning July 24,1980 . Managed liabilities are defined as large time deposits, Eurodollar borrowings, repurchase agreements against U.S. government and federal agency securities, federal funds borrowings from nongember institutions, and certain other obligations. In general the base for the merbinal reserve requirement was originally the greater of (a) $\$ 100$ million or (b) marginal reserve requirement was originally the greater of (a) $\$ 100$ million or (b) the average amount of the managed iabilities held by a member bank, Edge
corporation, or family of U.S. branches and agencies of a foreign bank for the two corporation, or family of U.S. branches and agencies of a foreign bank for the two
statement weeks ending Sept. 26, 1979. For the computation period beginning Mar. 20, 1980, the base was lowered by (a) 7 percent or (b) the decrease in an institution"s U.S. office gross loans to foreigners and gross balances due from foreign offices of other institutions between the base period (Sept. 13-26, 1979) and the week ending Mar. 12, 1980, whichever was greater. For the computation period beginning May 29,1980 , the base was increased by $71 / 2$ percent above the base used to calculate the marginal reserve in the statement week of May 14-21, 1980. In addition. beginning Mar. 19, 1980, the base was reduced to the extent that foreign loans and balances declined.
5. For existing nonmember banks and thrift institutions, there is a phase-in period ending Sept. 3, 1987. For existing member banks the phase-in period is about three years, depending on whether their new reserve requirements are greater or less than the old requirements. For existing agencies and branches of foreign banks, the phase-in ends Aug. 12, 1982. All new institutions will have a two-ycar phase-in beginning with the date that they open for business.
6. Transaction accounts include all deposits on which the account holder is permitted to make withdrawals by negotiable or transferable instruments, payment orders of withdrawal, telephone and preauthorized transfers (in excess of three per month), for the purpose of making payments to third persons or others.
7. In general, nonpersonal time deposits are time deposits, including savings deposits, that are not transaction accounts and in which the beneficial interest is held by a depositor that is not a natural person. Also included are certain transferable time deposits held by natural persons, and certain obligations issued to depository institution offices located outside the United States. For details, see section 204.2 of Regulation D.

Note. Required reserves must be held in the form of deposits with Federal Reserve Banks or vault cash. After implementation of the Monetary Control Act, nonmembers may maintain reserves on a pass-through basis with certain approved institutions.

### 1.16 MAXIMUM INTEREST RATES PAYABLE on Time and Savings Deposits at Federally Insured Institutions

Percent per annum


1. July 1, 1973, for mutual savings banks; July 6, 1973, for savings and loan associations.
2. For authorized states only, federally insured commercial banks, savings and loan associations, cooperative banks, and mutual savings banks in Massachusetts and New Hampshire were first permitted to offer negotiable order of withdrawal (NOW) accounts on Jan. 1, 1974. Authorization to issue NOW accounts was extended to similar institutions throughout New England on Feb. 27, 1976, and in tended to similar institutions throughout New England on Feb. 27, 1976, and in
New York State on Nov. 10, 1978, and in New Jersey on Dec. 28, 1979. AuthorNew York State on Nov. 10, 1978, and in New Jersey on Dec. 28, 1979. Author-
ization to issue NOW accounts was extended to similar institutions nationwide ization to issue NOW a
effective Dec. $31,1980$.
effective Dec. 31,1980 .
3. For exceptions with respect to certain foreign time deposits see the FEDERAL Reserve Bulletin for October 1962 (p. 1279), August 1965 (p. 1084), and February 1968 (p. 167).
4. Effective Nov. 10,1980 , the minimum notice period for public unit accounts at savings and loan associations was decreased to 14 days and the minimum maturity period for time deposits at savings and loan associations in excess of $\$ 100,000$ was decreased to 14 days. Effective Oct. 30, 1980, the minimum maturity or notice period for time deposits was decreased from 30 days to 14 days for mutual savings banks
5. Effective Oct. 30, 1980, the minimum maturity or notice period for time deposits was decreased from 30 days to 14 days for commercial banks.
deposits was decreased from 30 day
6. No separate account category.
7. No minimum denomination. Until July 1, 1979, a minimum of $\$ 1,000$ was required for savings and loan associations, except in areas where mutual savings banks permitted lower minimum denominations. This restriction was removed for deposits maturing in less than 1 year, effective Nov. 1, 1973.
8. No minimum denomination. Until July 1, 1979, minimum denomination was $\$ 1,000$ except for deposits representing funds contributed to an Individual Retirement Account (IRA) or a Keogh (H.R. 10) plan established pursuant to the Internal Revenue Code. The $\$ 1,000$ minimum requirement was removed for such accounts in December 1975 and November 1976 respectively.
9. Between July 1, 1973, and Oct. 31, 1973, there was no ceiling for certificates maturing in 4 years or more with minimum denominations of $\$ 1,000$; however, the amount of such certificates that an institution could issue was limited to 5 percent of its total time and savings deposits. Sales in excess of that amount, as well as certificates of less than $\$ 1,000$, were limited to the $6 \%$ percent ceiling on time deposits maturing in $21 / 2$ years or more.
deposits maturing in 242 years or more.
Effective Nov. 1, 1973, ceilings were reimposed on certificates maturing in 4 years or more with minimum denomination of $\$ 1,000$. There is no limitation on years or more with minimum denomination of $\$ 1,000$
the amount of these certificates that banks can issue.
the amount of these certificates that banks can issue.
10 . Accounts subject to fixed rate ceilings. See footnote 8 for minimum denomination requirements.
10. Effective January 1, 1980, commercial banks are permitted to pay the same rate as thrifts on IRA and Keogh accounts and accounts of governmental units when such deposits are placed in the new $21 / 2$-year or more variable ceiling certificates or in 26 -week money market certificates regardless of the level of the Treasury bill rate.
11. Must have a maturity of exactly 26 weeks and a minimum denomination of $\$ 10,000$, and must be nonnegotiable.
12. Commercial banks, savings and loan associations, and mutual savings banks were authorized to offer money market time deposits effective June 1, 1978. The were authorized to offer money rate for commercial banks on money market time deposits entered into before June 5,1980 , is the discount rate (auction average) on most recently issued before June 5,1980 , is the discount rate (auction average) on most recently issued
six-month U.S. Treasury bills. Until Mar. 15,1979 , the ceiling rate for savings and loan associations and mutual savings banks was $1 / 4$ percentage point higher than the rate for commercial banks. Beginning March 15, 1979, the $1 / 4-$ percentage-point interest differential is removed when the six-month Treasury bill rate is 9 percent or more. The full differential is in effect when the six-month bill rate is $83 / 4$ percent
or less. Thrift institutions may pay a maximum 9 percent when the six-month bill rate is between $83 / 4$ and 9 percent. Also effective March 15, 1979, interest compounding was prohibited on six-month money market time deposits at all offering thrift institutions were as follows: Feb. 5, 13.985; Feb. 12, 14.680; Feb. 19, 15.010; Feb. 26, 13.861. Effective for all six-month money market certificates issued beginning June 5, 1980, the interest rate ceilings will be determined by the discount rate (auction average) of most recently issued six-month U.S. Treasury bills as follows:
Bill rate Commercial bank ceiling $\quad$ Thrift ceilin
8.75 and above bill rate $+1 / 4$ percent
8.50 to $8.75 \quad$ bill rate $+1 / 4$ percent
7.50 to $8.50 \quad$ bill rate $+1 / 4$ percent
7.25 to 7.50
7.75
7.75
7.75
he prohibition against compounding interest in these certificates continues.
13. Effective Jan. 1, 1980, commercial banks, savings and loan associations, and mutual savings banks were authorized to offer variable-ceiling nonnegotiable time deposits with no required minimum denomination and with maturities of $21 / 2$ years or more. The maximum rate for commercial banks is $3 / 4$ percentage point below the yield on 21/2-year U.S. Treasury securities; the ceiling rate for thrift institutions is $1 / 4$ percentage point higher than that for commercial banks. Effective Mar. 1, 1980, a temporary ceiling of $113 / 4$ percent was placed on these accounts at commercial banks; the temporary ceiling is 12 percent at savings and loan associations and mutual savings banks. Effective for all variable ceiling nonnegotiable time deposits with maturities of $2 \frac{1}{2}$ years or more issued beginning June 2, 1980, the ceiling rates of interest will be determined as follows:

| Treasury yield | Commercial bank ceiling | Thrift ceiling |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 12.00 and above | 11.75 | 12.00 |
| 9.50 to 12.00 | Treasury yield $-1 / 4$ percent | Treasury yield |
| Below 9.50 | 9.25 | 9.50 |

Interest may be compounded on these time deposits. The ceiling rates of interest at which these accounts may be offered vary biweekly. The maximum allowable rates in February for commercial banks were as follows: Feb. 5, 11.75; Feb. 19, 11.75. The maximum allowable rates in February for thrift institutions were as follows: Feb. $5,12.00$; Feb. $19,12.00$.
15. Between July 1, 1979, and Dec. 31, 1979, commercial banks, savings and loan associations, and mutual savings banks were authorized to offer variable ceiling accounts with no required minimum denomination and with maturities of 4 years or more. The maximum rate for commercial banks was $11 / 4$ percentage points below the yield on 4-year U.S. Treasury securities; the ceiling rate for thrift institutions was $1 / 4$ percentage point higher than that for commercial banks.
NoTE. Before Mar. 31, 1980, the maximum rates that could be paid by federally insured commercial banks, mutual savings banks, and savings and loan associations were established by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, the Board of Directors of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, and the Federal Home Loan Bank Board under the provisions of 12 CFR 217, 329, and 526 , respectively. Title II of the Depository Institutions Deregulation and Monetary Control Act of 1980 (P.L. 96-221) transferred the authority of the agencies to establish maximum rates of interest payable on deposits to the Depository Institutions Deregulation Committee. The maximum rates on time deposits in denominations of $\$ 100,000$ or more with maturities of $30-89$ days were suspended in June 1970; such deposits maturing in 90 days or more were suspended in May 1973. For information regarding previous interest rate ceilings on all types of accounts, see earlier issues of the Federal Reserve Bulletin, the Federal Home Loan Bank Board Journal, and the Annual Report of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

### 1.17 FEDERAL RESERVE OPEN MARKET TRANSACTIONS

Millions of dollars


1. Both gross purchases and redemptions include special certificates created when the Treasury borrows directly from the Federal Reserve, as follows (millions of dollars): March 1979, 2,600.

Note. Sales, redemptions, and negative figures reduce holdings of the System Open Market Account; all other figures increase such holdings. Details may not add to totals because of rounding.
1.18 FEDERAL RESERVE BANKS Condition and Federal Reserve Note Statements

Millions of dollars

| Account | Wednesday |  |  |  |  | End of month |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1981 |  |  |  |  | 1980 | 1981 |  |
|  | Jan. 28 | Feb. 4 | Feb. 11 | Feb. 18 | Feb. 25 | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. |
|  | Consolidated condition statement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Gold certificate account | 11.159 | 11.159 | 11.159 | 11.159 | 11.158 | 11.161 | 11.159 | 11.156 |
| 2 Special drawing rights certificate account | 2.518 | 2.518 | 2.518 | 2.518 | 2.518 | 2.518 | 2.518 | 2.518 |
| 3 Coin . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 447 | 465 | 477 | 479 | 486 | 397 | 468 | 495 |
| 4 To depository institutions | 1.553 | 752 | 1.037 | 875 | 5.192 | 1.809 | 1,304 | 1.249 |
| 5 Other.................. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Acceptances <br> 6 Held under repurchase agreements <br> Federal agency obligations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 776 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 Bought outright . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 8.739 | 8.739 | 8.739 | 8.739 | 8.737 | 8.739 | 8.739 | 8,737 |
| U.S. government securities Bought outright |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 Bills . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 39,527 | 41,568 | 41,535 | 42,325 | 41.034 | 43.688 | 41.558 | 42.033 |
| 10 Notes | 58.718 | 58.718 | 58,718 | 58.370 | 58.370 | 58.718 | 58,718 | 58.370 |
| 11 Bonds | 16.893 | 16.893 | 16.893 | 17.218 | 17.218 | 16.893 | 16,893 | 17.218 |
| 12 Total | 115.138 | 117.179 | 117.146 | 117.913 | 116.622 | 119.299 | 117.169 | 117.621 |
| 13 Held under repurchase agreements ................. | 115.138 | 117.179 | 0 | 117.9 | 116.622 | 2.029 | 117.169 | 117.60 |
| 14 Total U.S. government securities . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 115,138 | 117,179 | 117.146 | 117.193 | 116,622 | 121,328 | 117,169 | 117,621 |
| 15 Total loans and securities | 125,430 | 126,670 | 126,922 | 127,527 | 130,551 | 133,177 | 127,212 | 127.607 |
| 16 Cash items in process of collection . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 8.654 458 | 9.570 458 | 11.325 458 | 14.004 459 | 9.220 | 12.554 | 7.865 | 7.473 |
| 17 Bank premises . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 458 | 458 | 458 | 459 | 461 | 457 | 458 | 461 |
| 18 Denominated in foreign currencies ${ }^{2}$ | 5,974 | 6,388 | 6.713 | 6.985 | 7.088 | 5,104 | 5.993 | 7.086 |
| 19 Allother . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 3.448 | 3.354 | 3.407 | 2.425 | 2.304 | 3.177 | 3.385 | 2,500 |
| 20 Total assets | 158,088 | 160,582 | 162,979 | 165,556 | 163,786 | 168,545 | 159,058 | 159,296 |
| Liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 Federal Reserve notes | 118.808 | 118.873 | 119.919 | 120.304 | 119.465 | 124.241 | 118.147 | 118,854 |
| Deposits <br> 22 Depository institutions | 25.323 | 26.476 | 28.568 | 27,900 | 29.869 | 27.456 | 26.621 | 26,734 |
| 23 U.S. Treasury-General account | 2,974 | 4.069 | 3.468 | 3.729 | 3.433 | 3.062 | 3.034 | 2,284 |
| 24 Foreign-Official accounts ..... | 302 | 278 | 267 | 241 | - 232 | 411 | $\bigcirc$ | - 422 |
| 25 Other . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 439 | 432 | 424 | 364 | 397 | 617 | 515 | 337 |
| 26 Total deposits . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 29.038 | 31,255 | 32,727 | 32,234 | 33,931 | 31,546 | 30,747 | 29,777 |
| 27 Deferred availability cash items ..... | 5.593 | 6.023 | 5.625 | 8.532 | 5,941 | 8.087 | 5.585 | 5.928 |
| 28 Other liabilities and accrued dividends ${ }^{3}$ | 2,017 | 1.878 | 2.038 | 1.811 | 1.755 | 2,265 | 1.957 | 1.958 |
| 29 Total liabilities | 155,456 | 158,029 | 160,309 | 162,881 | 161,092 | 166,139 | 156,436 | 156,517 |
| Capital Accounts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 Capital paid in | 1.208 | 1.209 | 1.210 | 1,212 | 1.221 | 1,203 | 1,208 | 1,222 |
| 31 Surplus ...... | 1.203 | 1,203 | 1.203 | 1.203 | 1,203 | 1,203 | 1.203 | 1.203 |
| 32 Other capital accounts | 221 | 141 | 257 | 260 | 270 | 0 | 211 | 354 |
| 33 Total liabilities and capital accounts | 158,088 | 160,582 | 162,979 | 165,556 | 163,786 | 168,545 | 159,058 | 159,296 |
| 34 Memo: Marketable U.S. government securities held in custody for foreign and international account .... | 93,027 | 93.081 | 93.445 | 94,084 | 93,977 | 91.795 | 92,756 | 94.658 |
|  | Federal Reserve note statement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35 Federal Reserve notes outstanding (issued to bank) | 140.843 | 140.767 | 141.028 | 141.128 | 141.361 | 140.184 | 140,717 | 141,297 |
| 36 Less-held by bank ${ }^{4}$. . . . . . . . . . | 22,035 | 21.894 | 21.109 | 20.824 | 21.896 | 15,943 | 22,570 | 22,443 |
| 37 Federal Reserve notes, net .................... . | 118.808 | 118.873 | 119.919 | 120,304 | 119.465 | 124,241 | 118.147 | 118.854 |
| 38 Collateral for Federal Reserve notes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 38 Gold certificate account . ............................ . | 11.159 | 11.159 | 11.159 | 11.159 | 11,158 | 11,161 | 11.159 | 11.156 |
| 39 Special drawing rights certificate account . . . . . . . . . | 2,518 | 2.518 | 2.518 | 2.518 | 2,518 | 2.518 | 2.518 | 2,518 |
| 40 Other eligible assets . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 105.131 ${ }^{0}$ | 0 105.196 | 106.242 | 106.627 | 0 105.789 | $110.56{ }^{0}$ | - 0 | 0 |
| 41 U.S. government and agency securities ............ | 105.131 | 105.196 | 106.242 | 106.627 | 105.789 | 110.562 | 104.470 | 105,180 |
| 42 Total collateral | 118,808 | 118,873 | 119,919 | 120,304 | 119,465 | 124,241 | 118,147 | 118,854 |

1. Includes securities loaned-fully guaranteed by U.S. government securities pledged with Federal Reserve Banks-and excludes (if any) securities sold and scheduled to be bought back under matched sale-purchase transactions.
2. Includes U.S. government securities held under repurchase agreement against receipt of foreign currencies and foreign currencies warehoused for the U.S. Treasury. Assets shown in this line are revalued monthly at market exchange rates.

## A12 Domestic Financial Statistics $\square$ March 1981

### 1.19 FEDERAL RESERVE BANKS Maturity Distribution of Loan and Security Holdings

Millions of dollars

| Type and maturity groupings | Wednesday |  |  |  |  | End of month |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1981 |  |  |  |  | 1980 | 1981 |  |
|  | Jan. 28 | Feb. 4 | Feb. 11 | Feb. 18 | Feb. 25 | Dec. 31 | Jan. 31 | Feb. 28 |
| 1 Loans-Total | 1.553 | 752 | 1.037 | 875 | 5,172 | 1.809 | 1.304 | 1.249 |
| 2 Within 15 days | 1,505 | 685 | 964 | 839 | 5,153 | 1.757 | 1,255 | 1,199 |
| 316 days to 90 days | 48 | 67 | 73 | 36 | 29 | 52 | 49 | 50 |
| 491 days to 1 year | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 Acceptances-Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 776 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 Within 15 days ... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 776 | 0 | 0 |
| 716 days to 90 days | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{1}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 891 days to 1 year | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 U.S. government securities-Total | 115.138 | 117,179 | 117.146 | 117.913 | 116,622 | 121.328 | 117,169 | 117,621 |
| 10 Within 15 days ${ }^{1}$.............. | 4,385 | 4,954 | 6.536 | 6.217 | 5,096 | 4.780 | 2,125 | 3,101 |
| 1116 days to 90 days | 19.948 | 21,623 | 20.035 | 20.889 | 21.510 | 23.499 | 24.904 | 23.245 |
| 1291 days to 1 year | 27.943 | 27.741 | 27.715 | 26.916 | 26.125 | 30.187 | 27,279 | 27,385 |
| 13 Over 1 year to 5 years | 34.505 | 34,504 | 34,504 | 34,809 | 34.809 | 34.505 | 34,505 | 34,809 |
| 14 Over 5 years to 10 years | 13.355 | 13,355 | 13.354 | 13,755 | 13.755 | 13.355 | 13,354 | 13,754 |
| 15 Over 10 years ........ | 15.002 | 15.002 | 15.002 | 15,327 | 15.327 | 15.002 | 15,002 | 15,327 |
| 16 Federal agency obligations-Total | 8,739 | 8.739 | 8.739 | 8.739 | $8.7 シ 7$ | 9.264 | 8.739 | 8,737 |
| 17 Within 15 days ${ }^{1}$. . . . . . . . . . . . | 73 | 0 | 183 | 257 | 128 | 705 | 73 | 128 |
| 1816 days to 90 days | 550 | 619 | 436 | 362 | 429 | 426 | 550 | 439 |
| 1991 days to 1 year. | 1.750 | 1.753 | 1,830 | 1,830 | 1.834 | 1.519 | 1.749 | 1,834 |
| 20 Over 1 year to 5 years | 4.597 | 4.597 | 4,553 | 4,553 | 4.621 | 4.837 | 4.597 | 4,621 |
| 21 Over 5 years to 10 years | 1.085 | 1.085 | 1,052 | 1.052 | 1.030 | 1.092 | 1,085 | 1,030 |
| 22 Over 10 years ......... | 684 | 685 | 685 | 685 | 685 | 685 | 685 | 685 |

1. Holdings under repurchase agreements are classified as maturing within 15
days in accordance with maximum maturity of the agreements.

### 1.20 BANK DEBITS AND DEPOSIT TURNOVER

Debits are shown in billions of dollars, turnover as ratio of debits to deposit. Monthly data are at annual rates.

| Bank group, or type of customer |
| :--- |

[^22]NoTE. Historical data for the period 1970 through June 1977 have been estimated: these estimates are based in part on the debits series for 233 SMSAs. which were available through June 1977. Back data are available from Publications Services, Division of Administrative Services, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System. Washington, D.C. 20551. Debits and turnover data for savings deposits are not available before July 1977.

### 1.21 MONEY STOCK MEASURES AND COMPONENTS

Billions of dollars, averages of daily figures

| Item | $\begin{aligned} & 1977 \\ & \text { Dec. } \end{aligned}$ | 1978 Dec. | $\begin{aligned} & 1979 \\ & \text { Dec. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1980 \\ & \text { Dec. } \end{aligned}$ | 1980 |  |  |  |  | $\frac{1981}{\text { Jan. }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. |  |
|  | Seasonally adjusted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Measures ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1 \mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{~A}$ | 328.4 | 351.6 | 369.8 | 384.8 | 379.5 | 383.4 | 386.3 | 388.4 | 384.8 | 372.8 |
| $2 \mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{~B}$ | 332.6 | 360.1 | 386.9 | 411.9 | 402.7 | 408.0 | 412.0 | 415.0 | 411.9 | 416.1 |
| $3 \mathrm{M}-2$ | 1,294.1 | 1,401.5 | 1.526 .0 | 1,673.4r | 1,632.5 | 1,644.4 | 1,656.5 | 1,670.8 | 1,673.4r | 1,681.7 |
| $4 \mathrm{M}-3$ | 1,460.3 | 1,623.6 | 1,775.5 | 1.957.9r | 1,889.5 | 1,904.6 | 1,921.8 | 1,946.1 | 1,957.9r | 1,978.2 |
| $5 . \mathrm{L}^{2}$ | 1.720 .2 | 1,934.9 | 2,151.8 | 2,373.5 | 2,282.7 | 2,306.5 | 2,319.1 ${ }$ | 2,346.5 | 2,373.5 | n.a. |
| Components |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 Currency | 88.7 | 97.6 | 106.3 | 116.4 | 113.5 | 113.9 | 115.1 | 115.8 | 116.4 | 116.6 |
| 7 Demand deposits | 239.7 | 253.9 | 263.5 | 268.4 | 266.0 | 269.5 | 271.2 | 272.6 | 268.4 | 256.2 |
| 8 Savings deposits | 486.4 | 475.8 | 417.0 | $393.6{ }^{7}$ | 408.1 | 412.1 | 414.2 | 407.9 | $393.6{ }^{r}$ | 377.1 |
| 9 Small-denomination time deposits ${ }^{3}$ | 454.9 | 533.8 | 656.2 | $763.2{ }^{r}$ | 712.6 | 716.4 | 723.6 | 741.6 | $763.2 r$ | 778.0 |
| 10 Large-denomination time deposits ${ }^{4}$ | 145.2 | 194.7 | 219.0 | $248.0{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 223.3 | 226.8 | 229.8 | 238.8 | $248.0{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 257.9 |
|  | Not seasonally adjusted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Measures ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $11 \mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{~A}$ | 337.2 | 360.9 | 379.4 | 394.7 | 377.3 | 382.6 | 388.0 | 391.1 | 394.7 | 377.3 |
| $12 \mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{~B}$ | 341.4 | 369.5 | 396.4 | 421.8 | 400.5 | 407.2 | 413.7 | 417.7 | 421.8 | 420.7 |
| $13 \mathrm{M}-2$ | 1,295.9 | 1,403.6 | 1,527.7 | 1,674.8r | 1,629.5 | 1,642.3 | 1.656 .9 | 1,665.7 | 1.674.8r | 1,685.2 |
| $14 \mathrm{M}-3$ | 1,464.5 | 1,629.2 | 1,780.8 | 1,962.8r | 1,886.6 | 1,902.3 | 1,923.1 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 1,942.1 | $1.962 .8{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 1,983.5 |
| $15 \mathrm{~L}^{2}$ | 1,723.2 | 1,938.3 | 2,154.3 | 2,375.0 | 2,278.6 | 2,296.2r | 2,318.0 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 2,344.7 | 2,375.0 | n.a. |
| Components |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 Currency | 90.3 | 99.4 | 108.3 | 118.5 | 113.7 | 113.7 | 114.9 | 116.6 | 118.5 | 115.8 |
| 17 Demand deposits | 247.0 | 261.5 | $271.2^{r}$ | 276.2 | 263.6 | 268.9 | 273.1 | 274.5 | 276.2 | 261.5 |
| 18 Other checkable deposits ${ }^{5}$ | 4.2 | 8.6 | 17.0 | 27.1 | 23.2 | 24.6 | 25.7 | 26.6 | 27.1 | 43.3 |
| 19 Overnight RPs and Eurodollars ${ }^{6}$ | 18.6 | 23.9 | 25.3 | 32.2 | 31.6 | 32.9 | 32.5 | 32.6 | 32.2 | 32.9 |
| 20 Money market mutual funds | 3.8 | 10.3 | 43.6 | 75.8 | 80.7 | 78.2 | 77.4 | 77.0 | 75.8 | 80.7 |
| 21 Savings deposits . . . . . . . . . | 483.1 | 472.6 | 414.1 | $390.9 r$ | 408.8 | 412.4 | 412.9 | 405.8 | $390.9{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 374.7 |
| 22 Small-denomination time deposits ${ }^{3}$ | 451.3 | 529.8 | 651.2 2226 | $757.4{ }^{r}$ 251.5 | 711.1 | 714.9 | 723.7 230 | 735.9 | $757.4 r$ | 779.3 |
| 23 Large-denomination time deposits ${ }^{4}$ | 147.7 | 198.2 | 222.6 | $251.5 r$ | 223.3 | 226.5 | 230.7 r | 240.0 | $251.5^{r}$ | 259.8 |

1. Composition of the money stock measures is as follows:

M-1A: Averages of daily figures for (1) demand deposits at all commercial banks other than those due to domestic banks, the U.S. government, and foreign banks and official institutions less cash items in the process of collection and Federal Reserve float; and (2) currency outside the Treasury, Federal Reserve Banks, and the vaults of commercial banks.
M-1B: M-1A plus negotiable order of withdrawal and automatic transfer service accounts at banks and thrift institutions, credit union share draft accounts, and demand deposits at mutual savings banks.
M-2: M-1B plus savings and small-denomination time deposits at all depository institutions, overnight repurchase agreements at commercial banks, overnight Eurodollars held by U.S. residents other than banks at Caribbean branches of member banks, and money market mutual fund shares.
M-3: M-2 plus large-denomination time deposits at all depository institutions and term RPs at commercial banks and savings and loan associations.
2. L: M-3 plus other liquid assets such as term Eurodollars held by U.S. residents other than banks, bankers acceptances, commercial paper. Treasury bills and other liquid Treasury securities, and U.S. savings bonds.
3. Small-denomination time deposits are those issued in amounts of less than $\$ 100,000$.
4. Large-denomination time deposits are those issued in amounts of $\$ 100,000$ or more and are net of the holdings of domestic banks, thrift institutions, the U.S. government, money market mutual funds, and foreign banks and official institutions.
5. Includes ATS and NOW balances at all institutions, credit union share draft balances, and demand deposits at mutual savings banks.
6. Overnight (and continuing contract) RPs are those issued by commercial banks to the nonbank public, and overnight Eurodollars are those issued by Caribbean branches of member banks to U.S. nonbank customers.
NoTE. Latest monthly and weekly figures are available from the Board's H.6(508) release. Back data are available from the Banking Section, Division of Research and Statistics.

### 1.22 AGGREGATE RESERVES OF DEPOSITORY INSTITUTIONS ${ }^{1}$ AND MEMBER BANK DEPOSITS

Billions of dollars, averages of daily figures

| Item | $1978$Dec. | $\begin{aligned} & 1979 \\ & \text { Dec. }{ }^{r} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1980 \\ & \text { Dec. } \end{aligned}$ | 1980 |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{1981}{\text { Jan. }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | July | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. ${ }^{2}$ | Dec. |  |
|  | Seasonally adjusted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Total reserves ${ }^{3}$ | 41.16 | 43.46 | 40.13 | 42.78 | 40.75 | 41.52 | 41.73 | 41.23 | 40.13 | 40.10 |
| 2 Nonborrowed reserves | 40.29 | 41.98 | 38.44 | 42.39 | 40.09 | 40.21 | 40.42 | 39.17 | 38.44 | 38.70 |
| 3 Required reserves | 40.93 | 43.13 | 39.58 | 42.50 | 40.45 | 41.26 | 41.52 | 40.73 | 39.58 | 39.56 |
| 4 Monetary base ${ }^{4}$. | 142.2 | 153.7 | 159.8 | 158.8 | 158.2 | 159.5 | 160.9 | 160.7 | 159.8 | 160.1 |
| 5 Member bank deposits subject to reserve requirements ${ }^{5}$ | 616.1 | 644.5 | 701.8 | 658.5 | 667.8 | 678.2 | 684.7 | 694.3 | 701.8 | 703.8 |
| 6 Time and savings. | 428.7 | 451.2 | $485.6{ }^{r}$ | 467.0 | 474.2 | 482.0 | $485.5{ }^{7}$ | $475.4{ }^{\prime}$ | $485.6{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 517.4 |
| $7 \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { Private . . . . . . . } \\ & 8\end{aligned}$ | 185.1 2.2 | 191.5 1.8 | 196.0 1.9 | 189.1 2.5 | 191.5 2.1 | 194.5 1.8 | 195.6 2.4 | 198.1 2.2 | 196.0 1.9 | 184.1 2.3 |
|  | Not seasonally adjusted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 Monetary base ${ }^{4}$ | 144.6 | 156.2 | 162.5 | 159.6 | 158.0 | 1.59 .0 | 160.6 | 161.5 | 162.5 | 161.0 |
| 10 Member bank deposits subject to reserve requirements ${ }^{5}$ | 624.0 | 652.7 | 710.3 | 658.2 | 662.5 | 675.6 | 684.2 | 694.6 | 710.3 | 712.6 |
| 11 Time and savings | 429.6 | 452.1 | $486.5^{r}$ | 466.0 | 471.8 | 479.6 | $484.5{ }^{r}$ | 474.5 r | $486.5 r$ | 493.4 |
| 12 Private | 191.9 | 198.6 | 203.2 | 190.0 | 189.0 | 193.9 | 196.4 | 199.6 | 203.2 | 189.9 |
| 13 U.S. government | 2.5 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 2.1 |

1. Reserves of depository institutions series reflect actual reserve requirement percentages with no adjustment to eliminate the effect of changes in Regulations
D and M. Before Nov. 13, 1980, the date of implementation of the Monetary D and M. Before Nov. 13, 1980, the date of implementation of the Monetary Control Act, only the reserves of commercial banks that were members of the Federal Reserve System were included in the series. Since that date the series include the reserves of all depository institutions. In conjunction with the implementation of the act, required reserves of member banks were reduced about $\$ 4.3$ billion and required reserves of other depository institutions were increased about $\$ 1.4$ billion. Effective Oct. 11, 1979, an 8 percentage point marginal reserve requirement was imposed on "Managed Liabilities." This action raised required reserves about $\$ 320$ million. Effective Mar. 12, 1980, the 8 percentage point mar ginal reserve requirement was raised to 10 percentage points. In addition the base upon which the marginal reserve requirement was calculated was reduced. This action increased required reserves about $\$ 1.7$ million in the week ending Apr. 2 action increased required reserves about $\$ 1.7$ million in the week ending Apr. 2.
2. Effective May 29, 1980 the marginal reserve requirement was reduced from 1980. Effective May 29,1980 the marginal reserve requirement was reduced from
10 to 5 percentage points and the base upon which the marginal reserve requirement 10 to 5 percentage points and the base upon which the marginal reserve requirement was calculated was raised. This action reduced required reserves about $\$ 980$ million
in the week ending June 18, 1980. Effective July 24,1980 , the 5 percent marginal reserve requirement on managed liabilities and the 2 percent supplementary reserve requirement against large time deposits were removed. These actions reduced required reserves about $\$ 3.2$ billion.
3. Reserve measures for November reflect increases in required reserves asso ciated with the reduction of weekend a\%oidance activities of a few large banks. The reduction in these activities lead to essentially a one-time increase in the average reduction in these activities lead to essentially a one-time increase in the average
level of required reserves that need to be held for a given level of deposits entering the money supply. In November, this increase in required reserves is estimated at $\$ 550$ to $\$ 600$ million
4. Reserve balances with Federal Reserve Banks plus vault cash at institutions with required reserve balances plus vanlt cash equal to required reserves at other institutions.
5. Includes reserve balances at Federal Reserve Banks in the current week plus vault cash held two weeks earlier used to satisfy reserve requirements at all depository institutions plus currency outside the U.S. Treasury, Federal Reserve Banks, the vaults of depository institutions, and surplus vault cash at depository institutions.
6. Includes total time and savings deposits and net demand deposits as defined by Regulation D. Private demand deposits include all demand deposits except those due to the U.S. government, less cash items in process of collection and demand balances due from domestic commercial banks.

NOTE. Latest monthly and weekly figures are available from the Board's H.3(502) statistical release. Back data and estimates of the impact on required reserves and changes in reserve requirements are available from the Banking Section, Division of Research and Statistics.

### 1.23 LOANS AND SECURITIES All Commercial Banks ${ }^{1}$

Billions of dollars; averages of Wednesday figures

| Category | $\begin{aligned} & 1977 \\ & \text { Dec. } \end{aligned}$ | $\underline{1978}$Dec. | $1979$Dec. | 1980 | 1981 | $\begin{aligned} & 1977 \\ & \text { Dec. } \end{aligned}$ | 1978 Dec. | $\begin{aligned} & 1979 \\ & \text { Dec. } \end{aligned}$ | 1980 | 1981 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Dec. | Jan. |  |  |  | Dec. | Jan. |
|  | Seasonally adjusted |  |  |  |  | Not seasonally adjusted |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Total loans and securities ${ }^{2}$ | 891.1 | 1,014.3 ${ }^{3}$ | 1,132.5 ${ }^{4}$ | 1,234.1 | 1,250.8 | 899.1 | 1,023.8 ${ }^{3}$ | 1,143.04 | 1,245.7 | 1,251.1 |
| 2 U.S. Treasury securities | 99.5 | 93.4 | 93.8 | 109.6 | 112.7 | 100.7 | 94.6 | 95.0 | 111.0 | 113.8 |
| 3 Other securities | 159.6 | $173.1{ }^{3}$ | 191.5 | 214.3 | 216.5 | 160.2 | $173.9{ }^{3}$ | 192.3 | 215.2 | 216.1 |
| ${ }_{5}^{4}$ Total loans and leases ${ }^{2}$ | 632.1 | $747.8{ }^{3}$ | $847.2^{4}$ | 910.1 | 921.5 | 638.3 | $755.4{ }^{3}$ | $855.7{ }^{4}$ | 919.5 | 921.2 |
| 5 Commercial and industrial loans | 211.25 | 246.56 | 290.54 | 323.1 | 327.9 | $212.6^{5}$ | $248.2^{6}$ | $292.4{ }^{4}$ | 325.3 | 327.0 |
| 6 Real estate loans | $175.2^{5}$ | 210.5 | $242.4{ }^{4}$ | 260.9 | 262.7 | $175.5{ }^{5}$ | 210.9 | 242.94 | 261.4 | 262.7 |
| 7 Loans to individuals | 138.2 | 163.9 | 185.0 | 175.2 | 174.9 | 139.0 | 164.8 | 186.2 | 176.2 | 174.9 |
| 8 Security loans | 20.6 | 19.4 | 18.3 | 17.9 | 19.0 | 22.0 | 20.7 | 19.6 | 19.1 | 19.3 |
| 9 Loans to nonbank financial institutions | 25.85 | $27.1^{7}$ | $30.3{ }^{4}$ | 30.7 | 31.4 | $26.3^{5}$ | 27.67 | $30.8{ }^{4}$ | 31.3 | 31.1 |
| 10 Agricultural loans | 25.8 | 28.2 | 31.0 | 34.2 | 34.5 | 25.7 | 28.1 | 30.8 | 34.1 | 34.2 |
| 11 Lease financing receivables | 5.8 | 7.4 | 9.5 | 11.1 | 11.5 | 5.8 | 7.4 | 9.5 | 11.1 | 11.5 |
| 12 All other loans | 29.5 | 44.93 | 40.2 | 56.9 | 59.6 | 31.5 | $47.6^{3}$ | 43.5 | 61.0 | 68.5 |
| Memo: <br> 13 Total loans and securities plus loans sold ${ }^{2.9}$ | 895.9 | 1,018.1 ${ }^{3}$ | 1,135.34.8 | 1,236.8 | 1,253.5 | 903.9 | 1,027.6 ${ }^{3}$ | 1,145.74,8 | 1,248.4 | 1,253.9 |
| 14 Total loans plus loans sold ${ }^{29}$ | 636.9 | $751.6^{3}$ | $850.00^{4.8}$ | 912.8 | 924.3 | 643.0 | $759.2^{3}$ | $858.4^{4.8}$ | 922.2 | 924.0 |
| 15 Total loans sold to affiliates ${ }^{9}$ | 4.8 | 3.8 | $2.8{ }^{8}$ | 2.7 | 2.8 | 4.8 | 3.8 | 2.88 | 2.7 | 2.8 |
| 16 Commercial and industrial loans pius loans sold ${ }^{9}$ | 213.95 | 248.56 .10 | $292.3{ }^{4.8}$ | 324.9 | 329.8 | $215.3{ }^{5}$ | 250.16 .10 | $294.2{ }^{4.8}$ | 327.1 | 328.8 |
| 17 Commercial and industrial loans sold ${ }^{9}$ | 2.7 | 1.910 | $1.8{ }^{8}$ | 1.8 | 1.9 | 2.7 | 1.910 | 1.88 | 1.8 | 1.9 |
| 18 Acceptances held | 7.5 | 6.8 | 8.5 | 7.8 | 8.4 | 8.6 | 7.5 | 9.4 | 8.5 | 8.8 |
| 19 Other commercial and industrial loans | 203.75 | 239.7 | 282.0 | 315.3 | 319.5 | 203.95 | 240.9 | 283.1 | 316.8 | 318.1 |
| 20 To U.S. addressees ${ }^{11}$. ............. | $193.8{ }^{5}$ | 226.6 | 263.2 | 293.5 | 295.6 | 193.75 | 226.5 | 263.2 | 293.5 | 293.6 |
| 21 To non-U.S. addressees | 9.95 | 13.1 | 18.8 | 21.8 | 23.9 | 10.35 | 14.4 | 19.8 | 23.3 | 24.5 |
| 22 Loans to foreign banks | 13.5 | 21.2 | 18.7 | 24.0 | 24.7 | 14.6 | 23.0 | 20.1 | 25.8 | 25.7 |
| 23 Loans to commercial banks in the United States | 54.1 | 57.3 | 77.8 | n.a. | n.a. | 56.9 | 60.3 | 81.9 | п.a. | п.a. |

1. Includes domestic chartered banks; U.S. branches, agencies, and New York investment company subsidiaries of foreign banks; and Edge Act corporations.
2. Excludes loans to commercial banks in the United States.
3. As of Dec. 31, 1978, total loans and securities were reduced by $\$ 0.1$ bilion. "Other securities" were increased by $\$ 1.5$ billion and total loans were reduced by $\$ 1.6$ billion largely as the result of reclassifications of certain tax-exempt obligations. Most of the loan reduction was in "all other loans."
4. As of Jan. 3, 1979, as the result of reclassifications, total loans and securities and total loans were increased by $\$ 0.6$ billion. Business loans were increased by $\$ 0.4$ billion and real estate loans by $\$ 0.5$ billion. Nonbank financial loans were reduced by $\$ 0.3$ billion.
5 . As of Dec. 31, 1977, as the result of loan reclassifications, business loans were reduced $\$ 0.2$ billion and nonbank financial loans $\$ 0.1$ billion; real estate loans were increased $\$ 0.3$ billion.
5. As of Dec. 31, 1978, commercial and industrial loans were reduced $\$ 0.1$ billion as a result of reclassifications.
6. As of Dec. 1, 1978, nonbank financial loans were reduced $\$ 0.1$ billion as the result of reclassification.
7. As of Dec. 1, 1979 , loans sold to affiliates were reduced $\$ 800$ million and commercial and industrial loans sold were reduced $\$ 700$ million due to corrections of two banks in New York City.
8. Loans sold are those sold outright to a bank's own foreign branches, nonconsolidated nonbank affiliates of the bank, the bank's holding company (if not a bank), and nonconsolidated nonbank subsidiaries of the holding company.
a bank), and nonconsolidated nonbank subsidiaries of the holding company.
10 . As of Dec. 31,1978 , commercial and industrial loans sold outright were 10. As of Dec. 31,1978 , commercial and industrial loans sold outright were
increased $\$ 0.7$ billion as the result of reclassifications, but $\$ 0.1$ billion of this amount increased $\$ 0.7$ billion as the result of reclassifications, but $\$ 0.1$ billion of th
9. United States includes the 50 states and the District of Columbia.

Note. Data are prorated averages of Wednesday data for domestic chartered banks, and averages of current and previous month-end data for foreign-related institutions.

### 1.24 MAJOR NONDEPOSIT FUNDS OF COMMERCIAL BANKS ${ }^{1}$

Monthly averages, billions of dollars

| Source | December outstanding |  |  | Outstanding in 1980 and 1981 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | May | June | July | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. |
| Total nondeposit funds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Seasonally adjusted ${ }^{2}$ | 61.8 | 85.4 | 118.8 | 119.9 | 114.1 | 112.2 | 107.3 | 112.0 | 118.6 | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. |
| 2 Not seasonally adjusted Federal funds, RPs, and other borrowings from nonbanks | 60.4 | 84.4 | 117.4 | 123.0 | 114.2 | 116.4 | 110.3 | 112.5 | 119.6 | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. |
| 3 Seasonally adjusted ${ }^{3}$. ........................ | 58.4 | 74.8 | 88.0 | 94.2 | 96.7 | 98.5 | 94.0 | 100.2 | 104.4 | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. |
| 4 Not seasonally adjusted | 57.0 | 73.8 | 86.5 | 97.4 | 96.8 | 102.7 | 97.1 | 100.8 | 105.4 | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. |
| 5 Net Eurodollar borrowings, not seasonally adjusted | -1.3 | 6.8 | 28.1 | 23.0 | 14.6 | 10.9 | 10.3 | 8.9 | 11.5 | 7.5 | 7.0 | 8.7 |
| 6 Loans sold to affiliates, not seasonally adjusted ${ }^{4,5}$. | 4.8 | 3.8 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 2.8 |
| Memo <br> 7 Domestic chartered banks net positions with own |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 foreign branches, not seasonally adjusted ${ }^{6}$ | - 12.5 | -10.2 | 6.5 | 2.6 | -5.4 | $-8.4$ | -10.3 | -14.5 | $-12.9$ | -14.2 | $-14.7$ | -16.2 |
| 8 Gross due from balances .................. | 21.1 | 24.9 | 22.8 | 27.3 | 30.1 | 32.7 | 35.8 | 38.2 | 38.3 | 37.2 | 37.5 | 37.4 |
| 9 Gross due to balances ........ | 8.6 | 14.7 | 29.3 | 30.0 | 24.7 | 24.3 | 25.5 | 23.7 | 25.5 | 23.0 | 22.7 | 21.2 |
| 10 Foreign-related institutions net positions with directly related institutions, not seasonally adjusted ${ }^{7}$ | 11.1 | 17.0 | 21.6 | 20.5 | 19.9 | 19.3 | 20.6 | 23.3 | 24.4 | 21.7 | 21.7 | 24.9 |
| 11 Gross due from balances | 10.3 | 14.2 | 28.9 | 28.4 | 28.5 | 30.8 | 30.9 | 30.3 | 30.8 | 32.3 | 33.7 | 31.2 |
| 12 Gross due to balances | 21.4 | 31.2 | 50.5 | 48.8 | 48.4 | 50.1 | 51.6 | 53.6 | 55.2 | 54.1 | 55.4 | 56.1 |
| 13 Security RP borrowings, seasonally adjusted ${ }^{8}$ | 36.3 | 44.8 | 49.2 | 43.7 | 49.0 | 55.0 | 57.5 | 56.2 | 59.7 59.5 | 58.8 | 63.4 | 68.7 |
| 14 Not seasonally adjusted ................. | 35.1 | 43.6 | 47.9 | 46.0 | 48.8 | 54.7 | 59.1 | 58.7 | 59.5 | 60.9 | 61.7 | 65.0 |
| 15 U.S. Treasury demand balances, seasonally adjusted ${ }^{5}$ | 4.4 | 8.7 | 8.1 | 9.5 | 8.6 | 10.9 | 11.8 | 126 | 14.0 | 6.9 | 7.6 | 8.0 |
| 16 Not seasonally adjusted ......... | 5.1 | 10.3 | 9.7 | 8.5 | 10.0 | 9.3 | 9.3 | 14.2 | 12.7 | 6.6 | 9.0 | 7.9 |
| 17 Time deposits, $\$ 100,000$ or more, seasonally adjusted ${ }^{10}$ | 162.0 | 213.0 | 227.6 | 242.1 | 237.6 | 234.0 | 234.4 | 238.8 | 241.6 | 249.3 | 257.5 | 268.2 |
| 18 Not seasonally adjusted | 165.4 | 217.9 | 232.8 | 240.2 | 235.5 | 230.0 | 232.1 | 236.7 | 241.1 | 250.8 | 263.4 | 272.8 |

1. Commercial banks are those in the 50 states and the District of Columbia with national or state charters plus U.S. branches, agencies, and New York investment company subsidiaries of foreign banks and Edge Act corporations
2. Includes seasonally adjusted federal funds, RPs, and other borrowings from nonbanks and not seasonally adjusted net Eurodollars and loans to affiliates. Includes averages of Wednesday data for domestic chartered banks and averages of current and previous month-end data for foreign-related institutions.
3. Other borrowings are borrowings on any instrument, such as a promissory note or due bill, given for the purpose of borrowing money for the banking business. This includes borrowings from Federal Reserve Banks and from foreign banks, term federal funds, overdrawn due from bank balances, loan RPs, and participations in pooled loans. Includes averages of daily figures for member banks and averages of current and previous month-end data for foreign-related institutions. averages of current and previous month-end data for foreign-related institutions.
4. Loans initially booked by the bank and later sold to affiliates that are still held by affiliates. Averages of Wednesday data.
5. As of Dec. 1, 1979 , loans sold to affiliates were reduced $\$ 800$ million due to corrections of two New York City banks.
6. Includes averages of daily figures for member banks and quarterly call report figures for nonmember banks.
7. Includes averages of current and previous month-end data until August 1979; beginning September 1979 averages of daily data.
8. Based on daily average data reported by 122 large banks beginning February 1980 and 46 banks before February 1980.
9. Includes U.S. Treasury demand deposits and Treasury tax-and-loan notes at commercial banks. Averages of daily data.
10. Averages of Wednesday figures

NOTE. Security RP borrowings, U.S. Treasury demand balances, and time deposits in denominations of $\$ 100,000$ or more have revised to reflect benchmark adjustments to call reports.

### 1.25 ASSETS AND LIABILITIES OF COMMERCIAL BANKING INSTITUTIONS Last-Wednesday-of-Month Series

 Billions of dollars except for number of banks
1.26 ALL LARGE WEEKLY REPORTING COMMERCIAL BANKS with Domestic Assets of $\$ 750$ Million or More on December 31, 1977, Assets and Liabilities
Millions of dollars, Wednesday figures

2. Other than financial institutions and brokers and dealers
2. Other than financial institutions and brokers and dealers.
3. Includes federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to
repurchase; for information on these liabilities at banks with assets of $\$ 1$ billion

| Account | 1980 | 1981 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Adjustment bank. 1980 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dec. 31 | Jan. 7 | Jan. 14 | Jan. 21 | Jan. 28p | Feb. $4 p$ | Feb. It ${ }^{p}$ | Feb. $18{ }^{p}$ | Feb. ${ }^{25}$ |  |
| 1 Cash items in process of collection | 62.722 | 54,008 | 53,487 | 49.255 | 47,184 | 50,544 | 46.644 | 59,169 | 48,181 | 33 |
| 2 Demand deposits due from banks in the United States | 20.856 | 20.849 | 18.840 | 19.538 | 19.680 | 18.580 | 18.873 | 21.572 | 19.370 | -19 |
| 3 All other cash and due from depository institutions ... | 31,838 | 29,192 | 33,559 | 27.536 | 28.758 | 29.500 | 31.640 | 31,267 | 32.563 | -241 |
| 4 Total loans and securities | 526,068 | 529,522 | 523,956 | 520,106 | 516,504 | 520,140 | 514,146 | 519,922 | 517,070 | 1,368 |
| Securities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 U.S. Treasury securities | 36,649 | 37.804 | 37.662 | 37.494 | 36,973 | 38.281 | 37.318 | 37.575 | 37.871 | 146 |
| 6 Trading account | 4.313 | 6.341 | 6,547 | 6,465 | 6,258 | 7,452 | 6.410 | 6.671 | 7.034 |  |
| 7 Investment account, by maturity | 32.337 | 31,463 | 31.115 | 31,029 | 30,715 | 30.829 | 30.908 | 30,904 | 30,838 | 146 |
| 8 One year or less | 9,475 | 8,852 | 8,704 | 8,678 | 8,524 | 8,627 | 8.714 | 8,456 | 8,485 | 69 |
| 9 Over one through five years | 19.886 | 19.588 | 19,294 | 19.228 | 19,097 | 19.112 | 19.110 | 19.310 | 19.155 | 76 |
| 10 Over five years. | 2.976 | 3.022 | 3.117 | 3.124 | 3.094 | 3.091 | 3.084 | 3.138 | 3.198 | 1 |
| 11 Other securities | 71.913 | 72.041 | 71.191 | 70.890 | 71.045 | 71.743 | 70.699 | 70.526 | 70.937 | 103 |
| 12 Trading account | 3.234 | 3,234 | 2.469 | 2,260 | 2,435 | 3,503 | 2,554 | 2,365 | 2,761 |  |
| 13 Investment account | 68,680 | 68,806 | 68.722 | 68,630 | 68,610 | 68,240 | 68.145 | 68,161 | 68,176 | 103 |
| 14 U.S. government agencies | 14,903 | 14.988 | 14,868 | 14,829 | 14,822 | 14,831 | 14.852 | 14.894 | 14,864 | 50 |
| 15 States and political subdivision, by maturity | 51,113 | 51,189 | 51.183 | 51,145 | 51.096 | 50.798 | 50.659 | 50,632 | 50.691 | 56 |
| 16 One year or less | 6.592 | 6.489 | 6.407 | 6,404 | 6,442 | 6.416 | 6.272 | 6.264 | 6,316 | 8 |
| 17 Over one year | 44.521 | 44.700 | 44.776 | 44.741 | 44.654 | 44.382 | 44.387 | 44.368 | 44.374 | 48 |
| 18 Other bonds, corporate stocks and securities | 2.663 | 2.629 | 2.671 | 2.656 | 2,692 | 2.611 | 2.633 | 2.635 | 2.620 | -3 |
| Loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 Federal funds sold ${ }^{1}$ | 24,330 | 30,163 | 26,912 | 25.935 | 24.058 | 24.497 | 23.312 | 26.554 | 25.461 | 37 |
| 20 To commercial banks | 16.489 | 20.919 | 19.033 | 16.696 | 15.998 | 17.104 | 16.096 | 19.298 | 18.138 | 37 |
| 21 To nonbank brokers and dealers in securities | 5.882 | 7.233 | 5.606 | 6.677 | 5.839 | 5.284 | 5.566 | 5.633 | 5.435 |  |
| 22 Toothers | 1.959 | 2.011 | 2.273 | 2.563 | 2,221 | 2.108 | 1,650 | 1,623 | 1,889 |  |
| 23 Other loans. gross | 404.526 | 400,974 | 399.711 | 397,322 | 395.953 | 397,138 | 394,380 | 396,882 | 394,406 | 1,128 |
| 24 Commercial and industrial | 165,826 | 164.358 | 164.253 | 163,142 | 162,636 | 163,215 | 161.488 | 161,655 | 160.853 | 339 |
| 25 Bankers acceptances and commercial paper | 4.006 | 4,015 | 4.435 | 3.767 | 4,008 | 4,047 | 3,395 | 4,001 | 3.516 |  |
| 26 Allother | 161.820 | 160.343 | 159.818 | 159.375 | 158.628 | 159.168 | 158.093 | 157.655 | 157.337 | 339 |
| 27 U.S. addressees | 154.604 | 153,175 | 152.600 | 152,080 | 151.295 | 152.060 | 150.978 | 150,583 | 150.371 | 339 |
| 28 Non-U.S. addressees | 7,216 | 7.167 | 7,218 | 7,295 | 7,333 | 7,108 | 7.116 | 7,072 | 6,966 |  |
| 29 Real estate | 105.403 | 105,777 | 106.111 | 106,194 | 106.432 | 106,750 | 106,949 | 107,151 | 107,238 | 418 |
| 30 To individuals for personal expenditures | 63.634 | 63,929 | 63.727 | 63.492 | 63,376 | 63.130 | 62.858 | 62,832 | 62,730 | 362 |
| 31 To financial institutions Commercial banks in the United States | 5.226 | 4,425 | 4.561 | 3,995 | 4.103 | 3,788 | 4.217 | 4.534 | 4,269 | 6 |
| 32 Banks in foreign countries | 9.692 | 9,260 | 9.357 | 9,625 | 8.947 | 8,907 | 8.500 | 9.066 | 8.283 | 7 |
| 33 Sales finance, personal finance companies, etc | 9.911 | 10,069 | 9.836 | 9,806 | 9.805 | 9,758 | 9,680 | 9,734 | 9.613 | 1 |
| 34 Other financial institutions | 15.522 | 15.190 | 15,007 | 14.888 | 14.921 | 15.006 | 14.888 | 14.962 | 14,772 | 2 |
| 35 To nonbank brokers and dealers in securities | 7.701 | 6.830 | 6.306 | 5.662 | 5.456 | 5.494 | 5.124 | 5.242 | 5.835 |  |
| 36 To others for purchasing and carrying securities ${ }^{2}$ | 1.909 | 1.866 | 1.944 | 1.902 | 1.965 | 1,977 | 1.991 | 2.047 | 2.050 |  |
| 37 To finance agricultural production.. | 5.259 | 5,209 | 5,185 | 5,163 | 5.192 | 5.197 | 5.156 | 5,188 | 5,234 | 6 |
| 38 Allother | 14.443 | 14,061 | 13,424 | 13.454 | 13,120 | 13,915 | 13.527 | 14,471 | 13,530 | -1 |
| 39 Less: Unearned income | 6.029 | 6,062 | 6,132 | 6,130 | 6,115 | 6.015 | 6,032 | 6,059 | 6.030 | 35 |
| 40 Loan loss reserve | 5.322 | 5,398 | 5.387 | 5.406 | 5.410 | 5.504 | 5.531 | 5.556 | 5.575 | 11 |
| 41 Other loans, net | 393.175 | 389.514 | 388.191 | 385.786 | 384.427 | 385.619 | 382,816 | 385.267 | 382,800 | 1.081 |
| 42 Lease financing receivables | 9.050 | 9.038 | 9.230 | 9.246 | 9.324 | 9.635 | 9.660 | 9,665 | 9.711 |  |
| 43 All other assets ......... | 85,194 | 81,474 | 83,233 | 79.998 | 79,787 | 81.396 | 85.156 | 80.517 | 82.587 | 153 |
| 44 Total assets | 735,728 | 724,084 | 722,305 | 705,679 | 701,237 | 709,795 | 706,120 | 722,113 | 709,482 | 1,295 |
| 45 Deposits $\begin{aligned} & \text { Demand deposits }\end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 302 |
| 45 Demand deposits ..... | 213.906 | 193.712 | 189.665 688 | 179.027 581 | 174.1851 | 179.864 700 | $\begin{array}{r}177.229 \\ \hline 89\end{array}$ | 189.469 716 | $\begin{array}{r}172.156 \\ \hline 14\end{array}$ | 302 |
| 47 Individuals, partnerships, and corporations | 147.106 | 131,862 | 130.481 | 122,873 | 119.046 | 121.057 | 118.882 | 128,027 | 115.062 | 240 |
| 48 States and political subdivisions | 5,192 | 4,560 | 4.190 | 4,437 | 4,227 | 4,612 | 4,066 | 4.204 | 4,096 | 15 |
| 49 U.S. government | 990 | 1.424 | 1,579 | 1,114 | 1,477 | 3,214 | 1,799 | 1,474 | 1,412 | 2 |
| 50 Commercial banks in the United States | 39.769 | 37,623 | 35,770 | 32,740 | 32.764 | 33,002 | 33.691 | 36,171 | 33.977 | 9 |
| 51 Banks in foreign countries | 8.877 | 7.662 | 7,480 | 8,273 | 7.954 | 7.105 | 9.830 | 9.418 | 8,369 | 11 |
| 52 Foreign governments and official institutions | 2.454 | 1.657 | 1.474 | 1.821 | 1.454 | 1.782 | 1,545 | 2.253 | 1.590 |  |
| 53 Certified and officers' checks | 8.708 | 8.170 | 8.002 | 7.188 | 6.709 | 8.392 | 6.817 | 7.207 | 7.106 | 26 |
| 54 Time and savings deposits | 293.036 | 295,548 | 295,621 | 297.756 | 299.786 | 300.186 | 298.883 | 298.832 | 299.350 | 774 |
| 55 Savings | 67.133 | 69.939 | 69,841 | 69,743 | 68,821 | 69,902 | 69.814 | 70,105 | 69,358 | 238 |
| 56 Individuals and nonprofit organizations | 63.227 | 66,030 | 65.921 | 65,959 | 65.034 | 66,081 | 65.991 | 66,206 | 65,588 | 214 |
| 57 Partnerships and corporations operated for profit | 3,310 | 3,266 | 3,287 | 3,183 | 3.200 | 3.190 | 3,193 | 3,222 | 3,148 | 19 |
| 58 Domestic governmental units | 573 | 622 | 612 | 578 | 565 | 611 | 610 | 656 | 603 | 5 |
| 59 All other | 23 | 20 | 22 | 23 | 21 | 20 | 19 | 20 | 18 |  |
| 60 Time | 225.904 | 225.609 | 225.780 | 228.012 | 230.965 | 230.284 | 229.069 | 228.727 | 229.992 | 536 |
| 61 Individuals, partnerships, and corporations | 192.582 | 192,915 | 193,088 | 194,908 | 197.431 | 197,003 | 195.827 | 195.518 | 196.419 | 451 |
| 62 States and political subdivisions | 18,249 | 17,995 | 18.086 | 18,286 | 18,782 | 18,569 | 18,827 | 18.812 | 19.169 | 79 |
| 63 U.S.government | 284 | 285 | 298 | 282 | 294 | 283 | 283 | 294 | 290 | 5 |
| 64 Commercial banks in the United States .......... | 8.097 | 7.846 | 7.912 | 8.234 | 8.118 | 8.093 | 7.757 | 7.685 | 7.759 | 2 |
| 65 Foreign governments, official institutions, and banks | 6.691 | 6.569 | 6.396 | 6,302 | 6.340 | 6,336 | 6.375 | 6,418 | 6,355 |  |
| 66 Liabilities for borrowed money | 972 | 211 | 1,816 | 540 | 368 | 72 | 375 | 97 | 4.272 |  |
| 67 Treasury tax-and-loan notes | 6.225 | 2,555 | 2,185 | 3.998 | 5.541 | 1.759 | 1.710 | 1.821 | 5.520 |  |
| 68 All other liabilities for borrowed money ${ }^{3}$ | 113,095 | 126.522 | 127.823 | 118,656 | 114.368 | 119,898 | 119,792 | 122,922 | 117.570 | 27 |
| 69 Other liabilities and subordinated notes and debentures | 61,554 | 58,576 | 58.249 | 58.750 | 59,827 | 60,319 | 60,526 | 61,526 | 63,206 | 73 |
| 70 Total liabilities | 688,784 | 677,083 | 675,359 | 658,727 | 654,072 | 662,098 | 658,515 | 674,668 | 662,075 | 1,176 |
| 71 Residual (total assets minus total liabilities) ${ }^{4}$ | 46,945 | 47.001 | 46,946 | 46,951 | 47,165 | 47,698 | 47,605 | 47,445 | 47,407 | 118 |

1. Includes securities purchased under agreements to resell.
2. Other than financial institutions and brokers and dealers.
3. Includes federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreement to repurchase; for information on these liabilities at banks with assets of $\$ 1$ billion or more on Dec. 31, 1977, see table 1.13.
4. This is not a measure of equity capital for use in capital adequacy analysis or for other analytic uses
1.28 LARGE WEEKLY REPORTING COMMERCIAL BANKS IN NEW YORK CITY Assets and Liabilities

Millions of dollars, Wednesday figures

| Account |  | 1980 | 1981 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dec. 31 | Jan. 7 | Jan. 14 | Jan. 21 | Jan. $28 p$ | Feb. $4 p$ | Feb. $1 \mathrm{I}^{p}$ | Feb. $18^{p}$ | Feb. $25 p$ |
|  | Cash items in process of collection | 24.782 | 20.614 | 21.628 | 18.696 | 18.644 | 18.772 | 17,906 | 19,549 | 18.827 |
|  | Demand deposits due from banks in the United States | 14,724 | 15,101 | 13,387 | 14.305 | 14.527 | 12, 841 | 13,247 | 15.089 | 14,232 |
|  | All other cash and due from depository institutions .. | 7.742 | 8.286 | 11,388 | 5,904 | 7,178 | 7.712 | 8.378 | 10,642 | 8,419 |
|  | Total loans and securities ${ }^{1}$ | 129,586 | 129,279 | 126,775 | 125,235 | 123,296 | 124,325 | 121,931 | 125,738 | 123,490 |
| Securities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | U.S. Treasury securities ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trading account ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Investment account, by maturity | 8.418 | 8,238 | 7.990 | 7.990 | 7,985 | 8.004 | 7.975 | 8.266 | 8.120 |
|  | One year or less | 1,454 | 1.585 | 1,619 | 1.593 | 1,614 | 1,666 | 1,653 | 1.612 | 1,598 |
| 9 | Over one through five years | 6.412 | 6.113 | 5,817 | 5,848 | 5.834 | 5.809 | 5.807 | 6.085 | 5,952 |
| 10 | Over five years | 551 | 539 | 554 | 549 | 537 | 529 | 515 | 570 | 570 |
| 11 Other securities ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | Trading account ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | Investment account | 13,676 | 13.752 | 13,698 | 13,702 | 13,675 | 13.617 | 13.525 | 13.532 | 13,561 |
| 14 | U.S. government agencies | 2.305 | 2.319 | 2.303 | 2,298 | 2,296 | 2.307 | 2.302 | 2.302 | 2.331 |
| 15 | States and political subdivision, by maturity | 10.750 | 10.797 | 10.753 | 10.776 | 10.757 | 10.685 | 10.612 | 10.613 | 10.627 |
| 16 | One year or less | 1.664 | 1.668 | 1,562 | 1.562 | 1,554 | 1.482 | 1,387 | 1.378 | 1,380 |
| 17 | Over one year | 9,087 | 9.129 | 9.190 | 9,214 | 9,203 | 9,202 | 9,225 | 9.234 | 9,247 |
| 18 | Other bonds, corporate stocks and securities | 620 | 636 | 642 | 627 | 622 | 626 | 611 | 618 | 602 |
| Loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | Federal funds sold ${ }^{3}$ | 7.284 | 9.819 | 7.994 | 7,780 | 7.254 | 6.979 | 6.112 | 8.738 | 7.823 |
| 20 | To commercial banks | 3,461 | 5,414 | 4.210 | 3.914 | 3,836 | 3.536 | 2.517 | 5,267 | 4,569 |
| 21 | To nonbank brokers and dealers in securities | 3,061 | 3,605 | 2.678 | 2.890 | 2.545 | 2,640 | 2,917 | 2,956 | 2,664 |
| 22 | To others | 762 | 801 | 1,105 | 976 | 872 | 802 | 678 | 515 | 590 |
| 23 | Other loans, gross | 103,141 | 100.435 | 100,084 | 98.762 | 97,385 | 98.709 | 97.327 | 98,230 | 97.030 |
| 24 | Commercial and industrial | 51.754 | 51.243 | 51.551 | 51.082 | 50.614 | 50.845 | 49.785 | 49.857 | 49,378 |
| 25 | Bankers acceptances and commercial paper | 767 | 790 | 1,183 | 942 | 1.056 | 1.155 | 680 | 1,037 | 886 |
| 26 | All other | 50,986 | 50,453 | 50,368 | 50,140 | 49.558 | 49.690 | 49,105 | 48,820 | 48.491 |
| 27 | U.S. addressees | 48.477 | 47,995 | 47,784 | 47.528 | 46,944 | 47.077 | 46.496 | 46,231 | 45,951 |
| 28 | Non-U.S. addressees | 2.510 | 2.458 | 2.584 | 2.612 | 2.614 | 2.613 | 2,609 | 2.588 | 2,540 |
| 29 | Real estate | 14,826 | 14.816 | 14.890 | 14.891 | 14,941 | 15.115 | 15.154 | 15.180 | 15.237 |
| 30 | To individuals for personal expenditures | 9,369 | 9.446 | 9.392 | 9.403 | 9.396 | 9.389 | 9,390 | 9.422 | 9,388 |
| 31 To financial institutionsCut |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Commercial banks in the United States | 2,081 | 1.502 | 1,660 | 1,268 | 1,280 | 1,163 | 1,359 | 1,643 | 1.430 |
| 32 | Banks in foreign countries | 5.072 | 4.689 | 4.686 | 4.918 | 4,326 | 4.387 | 4.160 | 4.592 | 4.051 |
| 33 | Sales finance, personal finance companies, etc. | 4.395 | 4.547 | 4.342 | 4.238 | 4.181 | 4.300 | 4.273 | 4.232 | 4,162 |
| 34 | Other financial institutions | 4.848 | 4.703 | 4,621 | 4.562 | 4.454 | 4.541 | 4.434 | 4.432 | 4.380 |
| 35 | To nonbank brokers and dealers in securities | 4,838 | 3,960 | 3,602 | 3.055 | 3,024 | 3,207 | 3,068 | 3,075 | 3,563 |
|  | To others for purchasing and carrying securities ${ }^{4}$ | 405 | 395 | 431 | 424 | 472 | 489 | 489 | 507 | 504 |
| 37 | To finance agricultural production | 435 | 439 | 444 | 447 | 422 | 439 | 436 | 439 | 432 |
| 38 | All other | 5.117 | 4.695 | 4.465 | 4,474 | 4,274 | 4.832 | 4.778 | 4.851 | 4,504 |
| 39 | Less: Unearned income | 1.149 | 1.157 | 1.187 | 1.190 | 1.198 | 1.146 | 1.153 | 1.163 | 1.170 |
| 40 | Loan loss reserve | 1.783 | 1.809 | 1.804 | 1,808 | 1,804 | 1.839 | 1.856 | 1.866 | 1.874 |
|  | Other loans, net | 100,208 | 97,470 | 97.093 | 95,764 | 94.382 | 95.724 | 94.318 | 95,201 | 93,986 |
|  | Lease financing receivables | 1,758 | 1.768 | 1.966 | 1,966 | 1,973 | 2.271 | 2,259 | 2,259 | 2,261 |
| 43 | All other assets ${ }^{5}$ | 37.241 | 36,975 | 38,782 | 34,272 | 34,615 | 37,144 | 39,498 | 35,403 | 36,713 |
|  | Total assets | 215,832 | 212,022 | 213,926 | 200,380 | 200,234 | 203,064 | 203,219 | 208,680 | 203,942 |
| Deposits |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45 | Demand deposits | 77.180 | 69.113 | 69.240 | 64.510 | 64.199 | 64.125 | 64.920 | 67,386 | 64,502 |
| 46 | Mutual savings banks | 436 | 383 | 363 | 307 | 285 | 362 | 331 | 381 | 292 |
| 47 | Individuals, partnerships, and corporations | 38,646 | 33.926 | 35,087 | 32,596 | 32,274 | 31.660 | 30,646 | 33,776 | 30,715 |
| 48 | States and political subdivisions | 578 | 366 | 467 | 528 | 525 | 492 | 424 | 431 | 425 |
|  | U.S. government | 173 | 350 | 401 | 291 | 352 | 831 | 426 | 306 | 240 |
| 50 | Commercial banks in the United States | 24.145 | 23.240 | 22,373 | 19,279 | 20,231 | 19,328 | 20.641 | 20,029 | 21.529 |
| 51 | Banks in foreign countries | 7.045 | 5.832 | 5.680 | 6,607 | 6,184 | 5.517 | 8.028 | 7.561 | 6,583 |
|  | Foreign governments and official institutions | 2.073 | 1.355 | 1.139 | 1.523 | 1,160 | 1.501 | 1.277 | 1.925 | 1.329 |
|  | Certified and officers' checks | 4.083 | 3.662 | 3.731 | 3,379 | 3.186 | 4.432 | 3.146 | 2,976 | 3.389 |
|  | Time and savings deposits | 57.318 | 57,961 | 57.590 | 57.962 | 58.096 | 58.301 | 57.318 | 56.444 | 55.707 |
| 55 | Savings | 9,547 | 9.558 | 9.476 | 9.330 | 9,150 | 9.239 | 9,217 | 9,231 | 9.147 |
| 56 | Individuals and nonprofit organizations | 9,124 | 9,131 | 9,059 | 8.928 | 8,746 | 8.823 | 8.787 | 8.797 | 8,721 |
| 57 | Partnerships and corporations operated for profit | 308 | 305 | 297 | 290 | 289 | 290 | 289 | 287 | 288 |
|  | Domestic governmental units | 107 | 115 | 113 | 104 | 111 | 122 | 136 | 144 | 135 |
| 59 | All other | 8 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 3 |
| 60 | Time | 47,770 | 48.403 | 48,114 | 48.633 | 48,946 | 48,961 | 48,101 | 47,213 | 46,560 |
| 61 | Individuals. partnerships. and corporations | 41,064 | 41,882 | 41,575 | 42,044 | 42,395 | 42,402 | 41.492 | 40.503 | 39.631 |
| 62 | States and political subdivisions ... | 1.436 | 1.384 | 1.339 | 1.413 | 1,508 | 1,559 | 1.674 | 1.725 | 1.770 |
|  | 3 U.S. government | 14 | 14 | 22 | 25 | 24 | 32 | 37 | 38 | 36 |
| 64 | 4 Commercial banks in the United States | 2,370 | 2,305 | 2,460 | 2,515 | 2,347 | 2,204 | 2.196 | 2,213 | 2,258 |
| 65 | Foreign governments, official institutions, and banks | 2.886 | 2.818 | 2,719 | 2,636 | 2,672 | 2,664 | 2,702 | 2,734 | 2.865 |
| Liabilities for borrowed money |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6 Borrowings from Federal Reserve Banks | 475 |  | 1,490 |  |  |  | 150 |  | 2,730 |
|  | Treasury tax-and-loan notes ........... | 1,833 | 95 45713 |  | 39.1 | $38.2{ }^{1}$ | \% ${ }^{2}$ | 583 | $\begin{array}{r}354 \\ 43 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 1,500 |
|  | All other liabilities for borrowed money ${ }^{6}$........... | 37.976 | 45.713 | 47,020 | 39.535 | 38.223 | 40.516 | 40.394 | 43.974 | 38.151 |
|  | Other liabilities and subordinated notes and debentures | 25.296 | 23,402 | 22.958 | 22,816 | 24.175 | 24.342 | 24.002 | 24.727 | 25.637 |
|  | Total liabilities | 200,077 | 196,283 | 198,300 | 184,825 | 184,695 | 187,187 | 187,367 | 192,884 | 188,227 |
|  | Residual (total assets minus total liabilities) ${ }^{4}$ | 15.755 | 15.738 | 15.627 | 15,555 | 15.539 | 15,877 | 15.852 | 15.796 | 15,716 |
| 1. Excludes trading account securities. <br> 2. Not available due to confidentiality. <br> 3. Includes securities purchased under agreements to resell. <br> 4. Other than financial institutions and brokers and dealers. <br> 5. Includes trading account securities. <br> 6. Includes federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase <br> 7. This is not a measure of equity capital for use in capital adequacy analysis or for other analytic uses. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 1.29 LARGE WEEKLY REPORTING COMMERCIAL BANKS Balance Sheet Memoranda

Millions of dollars, Wednesday figures

| Account | 1980 | 1981 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Adjustment bank, 1980 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dec. 31 | Jan. 7 | Jan. 14 | Jan. 21 | Jan. $28{ }^{p}$ | Feb. $4^{p}$ | Feb. $11^{p}$ | Feb. $18{ }^{p}$ | Feb. $25^{p}$ |  |
| Banks with Assets of \$750 Million or More |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Total loans (gross) and securities adjusted ${ }^{1}$ | 551.646 | 551,605 | 547,441 | 546,631 | 543,287 | 546.167 | 540,576 | 542,963 | 541.405 | 1,451 |
| 2 Total loans (gross) adjusted ${ }^{1}$. ${ }^{\text {a }}$. ${ }^{\text {a }}$. ${ }^{\text {a }}$. . | 433,582 | 432,308 | 429,250 | 428,902 | 425,949 | 426,795 | 423,198 | 425,404 | 423,216 | 1,197 |
| 3 Demand deposits adjusted ${ }^{2}$. ............... | 119,700 | 109,123 | 106,799 | 103,530 | 100,147 | 100,605 | 102,762 | 99.767 | 95,600 | 347 |
| 4 Time deposits in accounts of $\$ 100,000$ or more | 159.443 | 158.357 | 158,214 | 160.187 | 162,410 | 161.311 | 160,059 | 159,520 | 160.016 | 113 |
| 5 Negotiable CDs :- | 116,374 | 114,827 | 114.303 | 115,864 | 117,693 | 116,453 | 114,752 | 114,292 | 114.208 | 54 |
| 6 Other time deposits | 43,069 | 43,530 | 43,912 | 44,324 | 44,717 | 44,858 | 45,307 | 45,228 | 45,808 | 58 |
| 7 Loans sold outright to affiliates ${ }^{3}$ | 2,748 | 2.773 | 2,778 | 2,753 | 2.760 | 2.785 | 2.793 | 2,883 | 2.760 |  |
| 8 Commercial and industrial ... | 1.800 | 1,862 | 1,865 | 1,833 | 1,850 | 1.878 | 1.884 | 1.977 | 1.846 |  |
| 9 Other | 947 | 911 | 913 | 920 | 910 | 906 | 909 | 906 | 913 |  |
| Banks with Assets of \$1 Billion or More |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 Total loans (gross) and securities adjusted! | 515.704 | 515,638 | 511.882 | 510.951 | 507.928 | 510.767 | 505.397 | 507.706 | 506,268 | 1,382 |
| 11 Total loans (gross) adjusted ${ }^{1}$ | 407,141 | 405,793 | 403,029 | 402,567 | 399.910 | 400,743 | 397.380 | 399.604 | 397.460 | 1,133 |
| 12 Demand deposits adjusted ${ }^{2}$ | 110,420 | 100,615 | 98.828 | 95.917 | 92,758 | 93,105 | 95,094 | 92.655 | 88.586 | 258 |
| 13 Time deposits in accounts of $\$ 100,000$ or more | 150,394 | 149,306 | 149,236 | 151,237 | 153,504 | 152,239 | 151,030 | 150,508 | 150,840 | 110 |
| 14 Negotiable CDs | 109.936 | 108,419 | 107.974 | 109.592 | 111,477 | 110.113 | 108.473 | 108,004 | 107,803 | 54 |
| 15 Other time deposits | 40.458 | 40,888 | 41.262 | 41.645 | 42,026 | 42,125 | 42.556 | 42.504 | 43.038 | 56 |
| 16 Loans sold outright to affiliates ${ }^{3}$ | 2,711 | 2,733 | 2.738 | 2,708 | 2,725 | 2,748 | 2,756 | 2,849 | 2,724 |  |
| 17 Commercial and industrial | 1,783 | 1,839 | 1,838 | 1,801 | 1,825 | 1,850 | 1,856 | 1,948 | 1.818 |  |
| 18 Other | 928 | 893 | 900 | 907 | 900 | 898 | 901 | 900 | 905 |  |
| Banks in New York City |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 Total loans (gross) and securities adjusted 1,4 | 126,976 | 125.329 | 123,896 | 123.052 | 121,183 | 122,610 | 121,063 | 121,857 | 120,534 | 175 |
| 20 Total loans (gross) adjusted ${ }^{1} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots .$. | 104.883 | 103,338 | 102.208 | 101.360 | 99.522 | 100,988 | 99.563 | 100,058 | 98.854 | 65 |
| 21 Demand deposits adjusted ${ }^{2}$............. | 28.081 | 24.909 | 24,838 | 26.244 | 24.972 | 25,194 | 25,946 | 27,502 | 23.906 | -248 |
| 22 Time deposits in accounts of $\$ 100,000$ or more | 37,811 | 38,263 | 38,033 | 38,579 | 38,826 | 38,753 | 37,925 | 37,044 | 36,172 | 55 |
| 23 Negotiable CDs | 28.649 | 29.154 | 28.877 | 29.294 | 29.595 | 29,235 | 28,229 | 27.493 | 26,680 |  |
| 24 Other time deposits ..................... | 9.162 | 9,109 | 9,156 | 9.285 | 9,232 | 9,518 | 9,696 | 9.552 | 9.492 | 55 |

1. Exclusive of loans and federal funds transactions with domestic commercial banks.
2. All demand deposits except U.S. government and domestic banks less cash items in process of collection.
3. Loans sold are those sold outright to a bank's own foreign branches, nonconsolidated nonbank affiliates of the bank, the bank's holding company (if not a bank), and nonconsolidated nonbank subsidiaries of the holding company.
4. Excludes trading account securities

### 1.30 LARGE WEEKLY REPORTING COMMERCIAL BANKS Domestic Classified Commercial and Industrial Loans <br> Millions of dollars

| Industry classification | Outstanding |  |  |  |  | Net change during |  |  |  |  | Adjustment bank ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1980 |  |  | 1981 |  | 1980 |  |  | 1981 |  |  |
|  | Oct. 29 | Nov. 26 | Dec. 31 | Jan. 28 | Feb. $25 p$ | Q3 | Q4 | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. ${ }^{\text {p }}$ |  |
| 1 Durable goods manufacturing | 23,335 | 24,088 | 24.676 | 24,378 | 24,424 | 783 | 1,164 | 587 | -300 | 45 | 2 |
| 2 Nondurable goods manufacturing | 20.273 | 20.804 | 20.503 | 19,359 | 18,937 | 1.195 | 970 103 | -301 | $-1.142$ | -422 | -1 |
| 3 Food, liquor, and tobacco.... | 4.584 | 4.921 | 5.384 | 4.915 | 4,529 | 649 | 1,033 | 463 | -466 | -386 | -3 |
| 4 Textiles, apparel, and leather | 5,070 | 4,906 | 4.150 | 4,096 | 4.364 | 269 | -1,054 | -756 | -54 | 268 |  |
| 5 Petroleum refining .......... | 3,153 | 3.129 | 3.633 | 3,185 | 2.929 | -28 | 947 | 504 | -448 | -256 |  |
| 6 Chemicals and rubber | 3,846 3,620 | 4,158 $\mathbf{3 , 6 9 0}$ | 3,917 3,419 | 3,782 3,381 | 3,673 3,442 | 30 275 | 184 -140 | -241 | -135 -39 | - 109 | 2 |
| 8 Mining (including crude petroleum and natural gas) | 14,716 | 15,338 | 16,427 | 16,251 | 15,935 | 199 | 2,471 | 1,089 | -177 | -316 |  |
| 9 Trade | 26,270 | 27.050 | 26,250 | 25,552 | 25.245 | 350 | 1,300 | -801 | -697 | -307 |  |
| 10 Commodity dealers | 2.470 | 2.402 | 2.563 | 2.116 | 1.874 | 588 | 444 | 161 | -447 | -242 |  |
| 11 Other wholesale | 11.876 | 12,182 | 12.306 | 12.057 | 11.707 | -94 | 720 | 124 | -248 | -350 |  |
| 12 Retail | 11,923 | 12,467 | 11,381 | 11,378 | 11,663 | -144 | 136 | -1,086 | -2 | 285 |  |
| 13 Transportation, communication, and other public utilities | 19,316 | 20,099 | 21,316 | 20,741 | 20.270 | 478 | 2,093 | 1,217 | -573 | -472 | -2 |
| 14 Transportation . . . . . . . . . . . | 7.788 | 8.019 | 8.374 | 8.254 | 8.139 | 136 | 638 | 354 | - 117 | -114 | -2 |
| 15 Communication | 3.094 | 3,161 | 3,319 | 3.184 | 3,097 | 154 | 326 | 158 | -136 | -87 |  |
| 16 Other public utilities .............. | 8,434 | 8,919 | 9.623 | 9,303 | 9,033 | 188 | 1,128 | 704 | -320 | -270 |  |
| 17 Construction | 5,924 | 5.992 | 5,993 | 5.950 | 6,109 | 60 | -37 | 1 | -42 | 159 | -2 |
| 18 Services | 21.530 | 22.160 | 22.853 | 23.247 | 23.533 | 1.014 | 1,542 | 693 | 394 | 286 |  |
| 19 All other ${ }^{2}$ | 15,634 | 16,146 | 16.586 | 15.816 | 15.919 | 403 | 1.184 | 440 | -1.111 | 103 | 341 |
| 20 Total domestic loans | 146,998 | 151,678 | 154,604 | 151,295 | 150,371 | 4,483 | 10,687 | 2,926 | -3,648 | -924 | 339 |
| 21 Memo: Term loans (original maturity more than 1 year) included in domestic loans | 76,912 | 78,956 | 81,745 | 81.794 | 80,147 | 2,241 | 5,209 | 2,789 | 52 | -1,647 | -3 |

1. Adjustment bank amounts represent accumulated adjustments originally made to offset the cumulative effects of mergers. These adjustment amounts should made to offset the cumulative effects of mergers. These adjustment amounts should with any date in the subsequent year. Changes shown have been adjusted for these amounts.
2. Includes commercial and industrial loans at a few banks with assets of $\$ 1$ billion or more that do not classify their loans.

Note. New series. The 134 large weekly reporting commercial banks with domestic assets of $\$ 1$ billion or more as of December 31,1977 , are included in this mestic assets of $\$ 1$ bithion or more as of December 31,197 , are included in this
series. The revised series is on a last-Wednesday-of-the-month basis. Partly estimated historical data are available frorn the Banking Section. Division of Research and Statistics, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington. D.C., 20551.
1.31 GROSS DEMAND DEPOSITS of Individuals, Partnerships, and Corporations ${ }^{1}$

Billions of dollars, estimated daily-average balances

| Type of holder | Commercial banks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1975 \\ & \text { Dec. } \end{aligned}$ | 1976 <br> Dec. | 1977 <br> Dec. | 1978 <br> Dec. | 19792 |  | 1980 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Sept. | Dec. | Mar. | June | Sept. | Dec. |
| 1 All holders-Individuals, partnerships, and corporations | 236.9 | 250.1 | 274.4 | 294.6 | 292.4 | 302.2 | 288.4 | 288.6 | 302.0 | 316.8 |
| 2 Financial business | 20.1 | 22.3 | 25.0 | 27.8 | 26.7 | 27.1 | 28.4 | 27.7 | 29.6 | 29.8 |
| 3 Nonfinancial business | 125.1 | 130.2 | 142.9 | 152.7 | 148.8 | 157.7 | 144.9 | 145.3 | 151.9 | 162.3 |
| 4 Consumer . . . . . . . . . | 78.0 | 82.6 | 91.0 | 97.4 | 99.2 | 99.2 | 97.6 | 97.9 | 101.8 | 104.0 |
| 5 Foreign .. | 2.4 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 17.3 |
| 6 Other . . |  |  |  |  | 14.9 | 15.1 | 14.4 | 14.4 | 15.5 | 17.4 |
|  | Weekly reporting banks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1975 \\ & \text { Dec. } \end{aligned}$ | 1976 <br> Dec. | 1977 <br> Dec. | $1978$Dec. | 19793 |  | 1980 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Sept. | Dec. | Mar. | June | Sept. | Dec. |
| 7 All holders-Individuals, partnerships, and corporations | 124.4 | 128.5 | 139.1 | 147.0 | 132.7 | 139.3 | 133.6 | 133.9 | 140.6 | 147.4 |
| 8 Financial business | 15.6 | 17.5 | 18.5 | 19.8 | 19.7 | 20.1 | 20.1 | 20.2 | 21.2 | 21.6 |
| 9 Nonfinancial business | 69.9 | 69.7 | 76.3 | 79.0 | 69.1 | 74.1 | 69.1 | 69.2 | 72.4 | 77.7 |
| 10 Consumer | 29.9 | 31.7 | 34.6 | 38.2 | 33.7 | 34.3 | 34.2 | 33.9 | 36.0 | 36.3 |
| 11 Foreign | 2.3 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.1 |
| 12 Other. | 6.6 | 7.1 | 7.4 | 7.5 | 7.4 | 7.8 | 7.2 | 7.5 | 7.9 | 8.7 |

1. Figures include cash items in process of collection. Estimates of gross deposits are based on reports supplied by a sample of commercial banks. Types of depositors in each category are described in the June 1971 BuLLETIN, p. 466.
2. Beginning with the March 1979 survey, the demand deposit ownership survey sample was reduced to 232 banks from 349 banks. and the estimation procedure was modified slightly. To aid in comparing estimates based on the old and new reporting sample, the following estimates in billions of dollars for December 1978 have been constructed using the new smaller sample; financial business, 27.0; nonfinancial business, 146.9; consumer, 98.3; foreign. 2.8: and other. 15.1.
3. After the end of 1978 the large weekly reporting bank panel was changed to 17) large commercial banks. each of which had total assets in domestic offices exceeding $\$ 750$ million as of Dec. 31. 1977. Sce "Announcements," p. 408 in the May 1978 Bullettr. Beginning in March 1979. demand deposit ownership estimates for these large banks are constructed quarterly on the basis of 97 sample banks and are not comparable with earlier data. The following estimates in billions of dollars for December 1978 have been constructed for the new large-bank panel: financial business. 18.2: nonfinancial business. 67.2; consumer, 32.8; foreign. 2.5; other. 6.8 .
1.32 COMMERCIAL PAPER AND BANKERS DOLLAR ACCEPTANCES OUTSTANDING

Millions of dollars, end of period

| Instrument |
| :--- |

### 1.33 PRIME RATE CHARGED BY BANKS on Short-Term Business Loans

Percent per annum

| Effective date | Rate | Effective Date | Rate | Month | Average rate | Month | Average rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1980--Oct. 1 | 13.50 | 1980-Dec 10 | 20.00 | 1980-Jan. | 15.25 | 1980-Aug. | 11.12 |
| 17 | 14.00 | 16 | 21.00 | Feb. | 15.63 | Sept. | 12.23 |
| 29 | 14.50 | 19 | 21.50 | Mar. | 18.31 | Oct. | 13.79 |
| Nov. 6 | 15.50 |  |  | Apr. | 19.77 | Nov. | 16.06 |
| 17 | 16.25 | 1981-Jan. 2 | 20.50 | May | 16.57 | Dec. | 20.35 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 12.63 |  |  |
| Dec. ${ }^{26}$ | 17.75 18.50 19.90 | Feb. ${ }_{19}$ | 19.50 19.00 | July | 11.48 | 1981-Jan. | 20.16 |
| Dec. $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & \\ & \\ & \end{aligned}$ | 18.50 19.00 | 19 |  |  |  | Feb. | 19.43 |

### 1.34 TERMS OF LENDING AT COMMERCIAL BANKS Survey of Loans Made, November 3-8, 1980



1. Interest rate range that covers the middle 50 percent of the total dollar amount f loans made.
2. Fewer than 10 sample loans.

### 1.35 INTEREST RATES Money and Capital Markets

Averages, percent per annum; weekly and monthly figures are averages of business day data unless otherwise noted.

|  | Instrument | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1980 |  | 1981 |  | 1981, week ending |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. | Jan. 30 | Feb. 6 | Feb. 13 | Feb. 20 | Feb. 27 |
| Money Market Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Federal funds ${ }^{1,2}$ | 7.93 | 11.19 | 13.36 | 15.85 | 18.90 | 19.08 | 15.93 | 18.12 | 17.19 | 16.51 | 15.81 | 14.96 |
|  | Commercial paper 1 month | 7.76 | 10.86 | 12.76 | 15.23 | 18.95 | 17.73 | 15.81 | 17.07 | 16.47 | 16.34 | 15.81 | 14.72 |
| 3 | 3-month | 7.94 | 10.97 | 12.66 | 15.18 | 18.07 | 16.58 | 15.49 | 16.38 | 15.85 | 16.02 | 15.54 | 14.68 |
| 4 | 6 -month | 7.99 | 10.91 | 12.29 | 14.73 | 16.49 | 15.10 | 14.87 | 15.02 | 14.90 | 15.20 | 15.02 | 14.45 |
| Finance paper, directly placed ${ }^{3,4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 3 -month | 7.78 | 10.78 10.47 | 11.49 | 14.87 | 17.87 15.00 | 16.979 | 14.45 | 14.80 | 14.67 | 14.77 | 14.69 | 13.80 |
| 7 | 6 -month | 7.78 | 10.25 | 11.28 | 13.07 | 14.78 | 14.09 | 14.05 | 14.24 | 14.14 | 14.27 | 14.31 | 13.60 |
| Bankers acceptances ${ }^{4.5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 3 -month | 8.11 | 11.04 | 12.78 n.a | 15.34 n. a | 17.96 n.a. | 16.62 14.88 | 15.54 14.89 | 16.32 14.91 | 15.86 14.88 | 16.18 15.28 | 15.40 | 14.83 14.55 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14.55 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14.96 |
| 11 | 3-month | 8.22 | 11.22 | 13.07 | 15.68 | 18.65 | 17.19 | 16.14 | 17.03 | 16.38 | 16.71 | 16.31 | 15.31 |
| 12 | 6 -month | 8.61 | 11.44 | 12.99 | 15.36 | 17.10 | 15.92 | 16.00 | 15.92 | 15.81 | 16.43 | 16.33 | 15.59 |
| 13 | Eurodollar deposits, 3-month ${ }^{2}$ | 8.78 | 11.96 | 14.00 | 16.46 | 19.47 | 18.07 | 17.18 | 18.56 | 17.23 | 17.16 | 18.11 | 16.59 |
| Secondary market ${ }^{7}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 3-month ....... | 7.19 | 10.07 | 11.43 | 13.73 | 15.49 | 15.02 | 14.79 | 15.01 | 14.90 | 15.51 | 14.68 | 14.19 |
| 15 | 6 -month | 7.58 | 10.06 | 11.37 | 13.50 | 14.64 | 14.08 | 14.05 | 14.01 | 13.92 | 14.63 | 14.00 | 13.76 |
| 16 | 1-year | 7.74 | 9.75 | 10.89 | 12.66 | 13.23 | 12.62 | 12.99 | 12.68 | 12.84 | 13.31 | 12.98 | 12.89 |
| Auction average ${ }^{\text {8 }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 3-month | 7.221 | 10.041 | 11.506 | 13.888 | 15.661 | 14.724 | 14.905 | 15.199 | 14.657 | 15.397 | 15.464 | 14.103 |
| 18 | 6 -month | 7.572 | 10.017 | 11.374 | 13.612 | 14.770 | 13.883 | 14.134 | 14.121 | 13.735 | 14.430 | 14.760 | 13.611 |
| 19 | 1-year | 7.678 | 9.817 | 10.748 | 12.219 | 13,261 | 12.554 | 12.801 | 13.033 |  |  |  | 12.801 |
| Capital Market Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Treasury notes and bonds ${ }^{9}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 1-year | 8.34 | 10.67 | 12.05 | 14.15 | 14.88 | 14.08 | 14.57 | 14.24 | 14.41 | 14.92 | 14.50 | 14.50 |
| 21 | 2-year | 8.34 | 10.12 | 11.77 | 13.51 | 14.08 | 13.26 | 13.92 | 13.39 | 13.67 | 14.22 | 13.81 | 14.02 |
| 22 | $21 / 2$-year ${ }^{11}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13.25 |  | 13.95 |  | 14.00 |
| 23 | 3-year | 8.29 | 9.71 | 11.55 | 13.31 | 13.65 | 13.01 | 13.65 | 13.13 | 13.41 | 13.86 | 13.53 | 13.80 |
| 24 | 5-year | 8.32 | 9.52 | 11.48 | 12.83 | 13.25 | 12.77 | 13.41 | 12.89 | 13.13 | 13.59 | 13.32 | 13.63 |
| 25 | 7 -year | 8.36 | 9.48 | 11.43 | 12.71 | 13.00 | 12.66 | 13.28 | 12.78 | 13.00 | 13.45 | 13.24 | 13.45 |
| 26 | 10-year | 8.41 | 9.44 | 11.46 | 12.68 | 12.84 | 12.57 | 13.19 | 12.74 | 12.95 | 13.39 | 13.16 | 13.32 |
| 27 | 20-year | 8.48 | 9.33 | 11.39 | 12.44 | 12.49 | 12.29 | 12.98 | 12.48 | 12.72 | 13.15 | 12.97 | 13.10 |
| 28 | 30-year | 8.49 | 9.29 | 11.30 | 12.37 | 12.40 | 12.14 | 12.80 | 12.32 | 12.60 | 12.99 | 12.77 | 12.89 |
| 29 | Composite ${ }^{12}$ Over 10 years (long-term) | 7.89 | 8.74 | 10.81 | 11.83 | 11.89 | 11.65 | 12.23 | 11.80 | 12.02 | 12.41 | 12.21 | 12.32 |
| State and local notes and bonds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | Aaa . . . | 5.52 | 5.92 | 7.85 | 8.71 | 9.44 | 8.98 | 9.46 | 9.30 | 9.30 | 9.40 | 9.50 | 9.65 |
| 31 | Baa | 6.27 | 6.73 | 9.01 | 9.74 | 10.64 | 9.90 | 10.15 | 9.90 | 10.00 | 10.20 | 10.20 | 10.20 |
| 32 | Bond Buyer series ${ }^{14}$ | 6.03 | 6.52 | 8.59 | 9.56 | 10.11 | 9.66 | 10.10 | 9.91 | 9.90 | 9.99 | 10.22 | 10.27 |
| Corporate bonds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 | All industries | 9.07 | 10.12 | 12.75 | 13.64 | 14.04 | 13.80 | 14.22 | 13.93 | 14.05 | 14.23 | 14.33 | 14.30 |
| 34 | Aaa | 8.73 | 9.63 | 11.94 | 12.97 | 13.21 | 12.81 | 13.35 | 12.98 | 13.07 | 13.41 | 13.51 | 13.45 |
| 35 | Aa | 8.92 | 9.94 | 12.50 | 13.34 | 13.78 | 13.52 | 13.89 | 13.62 | 13.69 | 13.87 | 14.04 | 14.00 |
| 36 | A | 9.12 | 10.20 | 12.89 | 13.59 | 14.03 | 13.83 | 14.27 | 13.97 | 14.12 | 14.22 | 14.40 | 14.35 |
| 37 | Baa | 9.45 | 10.69 | 13.67 | 14.64 | 15.14 | 15.03 | 15.37 | 15.15 | 15.32 | 15.41 | 15.36 | 15.39 |
|  | Aaa utility bonds ${ }^{16}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 38 | New issue .......... | 8.96 | 10.03 | 12.74 | 13.85 | 14.51 | 14.12 | 14.90 | 14.06 |  |  |  | 14.90 |
| 39 | Recently offered issues | 8.97 | 10.02 | 12.70 | 13.91 | 14.38 | 14.17 | 14.58 | 14.08 | 14.30 | 14.58 | 14.57 | 14.85 |
| Memo: Dividend/price ratio ${ }^{17}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40 | Preferred stocks | 8.25 | 9.07 | 10.57 | 11.35 | 11.94 | 11.64 | 11.83 | 11.54 | 11.80 | 11.84 | 11.92 | 11.78 |
| 41 | Common stocks | 5.28 | 5.46 | 5.25 | 4.63 | 4.74 | 4.76 | 5.00 | 4.84 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.02 |

1. Weekly and monthly figures are averages of all calendar days, where the rate for a weekend or holiday is taken to be the rate prevailing on the preceding business day. The daily rate is the average of the rates on a given day weighted by the volume of transactions at these rates.
2. Weekly figures are statement week averages-that is, averages for the week ending Wednesday.
3. Beginning November 1977, unweighted average of offering rates quoted by at least five dealers (in the case of commercial paper), or finance companies (in the case of finance paper). Previously, most representative rate quoted by those dealers and finance companies. Before November 1979. maturities for data shown are $30-59$ days, $90-119$ days, and $120-179$ days for commercial paper; and $30-59$ days, $90-119$ days, and $150-179$ days for finance paper.
4. Yields are quoted on a bank-discount basis, rather than an investment yield basis (which would give a higher figure)
5. Dealer closing offered rates for top-rated banks. Most representative rate (which may be, but need not be, the average of the rates quoted by the dealers). 6. Unweighted average of offered rates quoted by at least five dealers early in the day.
6. Unweighted average of closing bid rates quoted by at least five dealers.
7. Rates are recorded in the week in which bills are issued.
8. Yields (not compounded) are based on closing bid prices quoted by at least five dealers
9. Yields adjusted to constant maturities by the U.S. Treasury. That is yields are read from a yield curve at fixed maturities. Based on only recently issued, actively traded securities.
10. Each monthly figure is an average of only five business days near the end of the month. The rate for each month was used to determine the maximum interest rate payable in the following month on small saver certificates, until June 2,1980 Each weekly figure is calculated on a biweckly basis and is the average of five business days ending on the Monday following the calendar week. Beginning June 2, the biweekly rate is used to determine the maximum interest rate payable in the following two-week period on small saver certificates. (See table 1. 16.)
11. Unweighted averages for all outstanding notes and bonds neither due nor callable in less than 10 years, including several very low yielding "flower" bonds. 13. General obligations only. based on figures for Thursday. from Moody's Investors Service
12. General obligations only, with 20 years to maturity, issued by 20 state and local governmental units of mixed quality. Based on figures for Thursday.
13. Daily figures from Moody's Investors Service. Based on yields to maturity on selected long-term bonds.
14. Compilation of the Federal Reserve. Issues included are long-term (20 years or more). New-issue yields are based on quotations on date of offering; those on recently offered issues (included only for first 4 weeks after termination of underwriter price restrictions), on Friday close-of-business quotations
15. Standard and Poor's corporate series. Preferred stock ratio based on a sample of ten issues: four public utilities, four industrials, one financial, and one transportation. Common stock ratios on the 500 stocks in the price index.

| Indicator | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1980 |  |  |  |  | 1981 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. |
|  | Prices and trading (averages of daily figures) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Common stock prices |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 New York Stock Exchange (Dec. 31, $1965=50$ ) | 53.76 | 55.67 | 68.06 | 70.87 | 73.12 | 75.17 | 78.15 | 76.69 | 76.24 | 73.52 |
| 2 Industrial | 58.30 | 61.82 | 78.64 | 82.15 | 84.92 | 88.00 | 92.32 | 90.37 | 89.23 | 85.74 |
| 3 Transportation | 43.25 | 45.20 | 60.52 | 62.48 | 65.89 | 70.76 | 77.22 | 75.74 | 74.43 | 72.76 |
| 4 Utility . . . . . | 39.23 | 36.46 | 37.35 | 38.18 | 38.77 | 38.44 | 38.35 | 37.84 | 38.53 | 37.59 |
| 5 Finance | 56.74 | 58.65 | 64.28 | 67.22 | 69.33 | 68.29 | 67.21 | 67.46 | 70.04 | 68.48 |
| 6 Standard \& Poor's Corporation (1941-43 $=10)^{1} \ldots$ | 96.11 | 98.34 | 118.71 | 123.50 | 126.49 | 130.22 | 135.65 | 133.48 | 132.97 | 128.40 |
| 7 American Stock Exchange (Aug. 31, $1973=100$ ) | 144.56 | 186.56 | 300.94 | 321.87 | 337.01 | 350.08 | 349.97 | 347.56 | 344.21 | 338.28 |
| 8 Nolume of trading (thousands of shares) | 28,591 | 32,233 | 44.867 | 45,984 | 50,397 | 44,860 | 54,895 | 46,620 | 45,500 | 42,963 |
| 9 American Stock Exchange | 3,622 | + 4.182 | 6,377 | 6,452 | 7,880 | 7,087 | 7,852 | 6,410 | 6,024 | 4,816 |
|  | Customer financing (end-of-period balances, in millions of dollars) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 Regulated margin credit at brokers/dealers ${ }^{2}$ | 11,035 | 11,619 | 14,721 | 12,007 | 12,731 | 13,293 | 14,363 | 14,721 | 14,242 |  |
| 11 Margin stock ${ }^{3}$ | 10,830 | 11,450 | 14,500 | 11.800 | 12,520 | 13,080 | 14,140 | 14,500 | 14.020 |  |
| 12 Convertible bonds | 205 | 167 | 219 | 204 | 208 | 211 | 220 | 219 | 221 | 1 |
| 13 Subscription issues | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | п.a. |
| 14 Free credit balantes at brokers ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 Margin-account | 835 2.510 | 1,105 4,060 | 2,105 $6,070 r$ | 1,695 4,925 | 1,850 5,680 | $1,950{ }^{\circ}$ 5.500 | $2,120 c$ $5,590^{c}$ | 2,105 $6,070 r$ | 2,065 5,655 | , |
|  | Margin-account debt at brokers (percentage distribution, end of period) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |
| 17 By equity class (in percent) ${ }^{5}$ | 33.0 | 16.0 | 14.0 | 11.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 14.0 | 20.0 |  |
| 18 40-49... | 28.0 | 29.0 | 30.0 | 25.0 | 28.0 | 29.0 | 18.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 1 |
| 19 50-59 | 18.0 | 27.0 | 25.0 | 30.0 | 26.0 | 25.0 | 31.0 | 25.0 | 22.0 | n.a. |
| 20 60-69 | 10.0 | 14.0 | 14.0 | 16.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 18.0 | 14.0 | 13.0 |  |
| 21 70-79 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 9.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 11.0 | 9.0 | 8.0 |  |
| 2280 or more | 5.0 | 7.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 9.0 | 8.0 | 7.0 | 1 |
|  | Special miscellaneous-account balances at brokers (end of period) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 Total balances (millions of dollars) ${ }^{6}$ | 13,092 | 16,150 | 21,690 | 18,350 | 19,283 | 19,929 | 211,600 | 21,690 | 21,686 |  |
| 24 Distribution by equity status (percent) | 41.3 | 44.2 | 47.8 | 48.2 | 49.0 | 46.8 | 46.5 | 47.8 | 47.0 | n.a. |
| ${ }_{25}$ Debt status, equity of |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2560 percent or more . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 45.1 | 47.0 | 44.4 | 44.6 | 43.4 | 46.2 | 46.8 | 44.4 | 43.9 | , |
| 26 Less than 60 percent . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 13.6 | 8.8 | 7.7 | 7.0 | 7.6 | 7.0 | 6.7 | 7.7 | 9.1 | $\downarrow$ |
|  | Margin requirements (percent of market value and effective date) ${ }^{7}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mar. 11, |  | ne 8,1968 | May 6, 1970 |  | Dec. 6, 1971. |  | Nov. 24, 1972 | Jan. 3, 1974 |  |
| 27 Margin stocks | 705070 |  | 806080 | $\begin{aligned} & 65 \\ & 50 \\ & 65 \end{aligned}$ |  | 555055 |  | 655065 | 505050 |  |
| 28 Convertible bonds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 Short sales |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1. Effective July 1976, includes a new financial group, banks and insurance companies. With this change the index includes 400 industrial stocks (formerly 425 ), 20 transportation (formerly 15 rail), 40 public utility (formerly 60), and 40 financial.
2. Margin credit includes all credit extended to purchase or carry stocks or related equity instruments and secured at least in part by stock. Credit extended is end-ofmonth data for member firms of the New York Stock Exchange.
In addition to assigning a current loan value to margin stock generally, Regulations $T$ and $U$ permit special loan values for convertible bonds and stock acquired through exercise of subscription rights.
3. A distribution of this total by equity class is shown on lines 17-22.
4. Free credit balances are in accounts with no unfulfilled commitments to the brokers and are subject to withdrawal by customers on demand.
5. Each customer's equity in his collateral (market value of collateral less net debit balance) is expressed as a percentage of current collateral values.
6. Balances that may be used by customers as the margin deposit required for additional purchases. Balances may arise as transfers based on loan values of other collateral in the customer's margin account or deposits of cash (usually sales proceeds) occur.
7. Regulations G, T, and U of the Federal Reserve Board of Governors, prescribed in accordance with the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, limit the amount of credit to purchase and carry margin stocks that may be extended on securities as collateral by prescribing a maximum loan value, which is a specified percentage as collateral by prescribing a maximum loan value, which is a specified percentage
of the market value of the collateral at the time the credit is extended. Margin requirements are the difference between the market value ( 100 percent) and the maximum loan value. The term "margin stocks" is defined in the corresponding regulation.

### 1.37 SAVINGS INSTITUTIONS Selected Assets and Liabilities

Millions of dollars, end of period

| Account | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{1981}{\text { Jann }^{p}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. |  |
|  | Savings and loan associations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Assets | 523,542 | 578,962 | 590,725 | 592,931 | 594,397 | 596,620 | 603,295 | 609,320 | 617,773 | 623,939 | 629,829r | 631,046 |
| 2 Mortgages | 432.808 | 475.688 | 480,0132 | 479.956 | 481.042 | 482,839 | 487.036 | 491.895 | 496.495 | 499,973 | $502.812^{\prime}$ | 504,078 |
| 3 Cash and investment securities ${ }^{\text {1 }}$ | 44.884 | 46,341 | 50.373 | 52.466 | 52.408 | 52,165 | 53.336 | 53,435 | 56.146 | 57,302 | 57.572 | 57.383 |
| 4 Other | 45,850 | 56,933 | 60,320 | 60.509 | 60.947 | 61.616 | 62,923 | 63,990 | 65.132 | 66,664 | $69.445{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 69.585 |
| 5 Liabilities and net worth | 523,542 | 578,962 | 590,725 | 592,931 | 594,397 | 596,620 | 603,295 | 609,320 | 617,773 | 623,939 | 629,829r | 631,046 |
| 6 Savings capital ... | 430,953 | 470,004 | 478.400 | 481.411 | 486,680 | 488.896 | 497,403 | 496.991 | 500,861 | 503,365 | 510.959r | 512,858 |
| 8 Borrowed money | 42.907 31,990 | 55,232 | 57.253 42.724 | 51.419 41.529 13 | 54,796 40.613 | 41,239 39,882 | 55.396 41.005 | 58.418 42.547 | 60.727 44.325 | 62.067 45.505 | 64.491 $47.045 r$ | 62.674 46.585 |
| 9 Other | 10.917 | 14.791 | 14.529 | 13.670 | 14.183 | 13,579 | 14.391 | 15.871 | 16.402 | 16.562 | 17.446r | 16.098 |
| 10 Loans in process | 10,721 | 9,582 | 7.725 | 7.185 | 7.1031 | 7.112 | 7.540 | 8.243 | 8.654 | 8.853 | $8.783 \cdot$ | 8.321 |
| 11 Other .......... | 9,904 | 11,506 | 14,143 | 16.141 | 12.966 | 14.364 | 16.190 | 12.776 | 14,502 | 16.433 | $12.227 r$ | 14.047 |
| 12 Net worth ${ }^{2}$ | 29.057 | 32.638 | 33.204 | 32.995 | 32.924 | 32.787 | 32.766 | 32.892 | 33.029 | 33.221 | 33.319 r | 33.137 |
| 13 Memo: Mortgage loan commitments outstanding ${ }^{3}$ | 18.911 | 16,007 | 14.195 | 13.931 | 15.368 | 18,020 | 20.278 | 20.311 | 19.1077 | 17.979 | $16.102^{r}$ | 15.859 |
|  | Mutual savings banks ${ }^{\text {+ }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 Assets | 158,174 | 163,405 | 165,366 | 166,340 | 166,982 | 167,959 | 168,752 | 169,409 | 170,432 | 171,126 | 171,594 | 4 |
| Loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 Mortgage | 95.157 | 98.908 | 99.045 | 99.163 | 99.176 | 99.301 | 99.289 | 99,306 | 99.523 | 99.677 | 99.891 |  |
| $16 \begin{gathered}\text { Other } \\ \text { Securies }\end{gathered}$ | 7.195 | 9.253 | 10.187 | 10.543 | 11.148 | 11.390 | 11.122 | 11,415 | 11.382 | 11.477 | 11.770 |  |
| 17 U.S. government ${ }^{5}$ | 4.959 | 7.658 | 7,548 | 7.527 | 7,483 | 7.796 | 8.079 | 8.434 | 8,622 | 8,715 | 8.891 |  |
| 18 State and local government | 3.333 | 2.930 | 2.791 | 2.727 | 2.706 | 2,702 | 2.709 | 2.728 | 2,754 | 2.736 | 2.379 |  |
| 19 Corporate and other ${ }^{6}$ | 39.732 | 37.086 | 37.801 | 38.246 | 38.276 | 38.863 | 39.327 | 39.609 | 39.720 | 39.888 | 39.349 |  |
| 20 Cash | 3.665 | 3.156 | 3.405 | 3.588 | 3.561 | 3.260 | 3.456 | 3.153 | 3.592 | 3.717 | 4.330 |  |
| 21 Other assets | 4.131 | 4.412 | 4.588 | 4.547 | 4,631 | 4,648 | 4.770 | 4,764 | 4.839 | 4,916 | 4.983 | n.a. |
| 22 Liabilities | 158,174 | 163,405 | 165,366 | 166,340 | 166,982 | 167,959 | 168,752 | 169,409 | 170,432 | 171,126 | 171,594 |  |
| 23 Deposits | 142.701 | 146.006 | 145.821 | 146.637 | 148.606 | 149.580 | 150.187 | 151.765 | 151.998 | 152.133 | 153.555 |  |
| 24 Regular ${ }^{7}$ | 141.170 | 144.070 | 143.765 | 144.646 | 146.416 | 147.408 | 148.018 | 149.395 | 149.797 | 150.109 | 151.450 |  |
| 25 Ordinary savings | 71.816 | 61.123 | 54.247 | 54.669 | 56.388 | 57.737 | 58.191 | 58.658 | 57.651 | 56,256 | 53.955 |  |
| 26 Time and other | 69,354 | 82.947 | 89.517 | 89.977 | 91.128 | 89.671 | 89.827 | 90.736 | 92.146 | 93.853 | 97.494 |  |
| 27 Other | 1.531 | 1.936 | 2,056 | 1.990 | 2.190 | 2,172 | 2.169 | 2,370 | 2.200 | 2.042 | 2.105 |  |
| 28 Other liabilities | 4.565 | 5.873 | 7.916 | 8.161 | 6.898 | 6.964 | 7.211 | 6.299 | 7.117 | ${ }^{7.644}$ | 6.665 |  |
| 29 General reserve accounts | 10.907 | 11.525 | 11.629 | 11.542 | 11.478 | 11.416 | 11.353 | 11.344 | 11.317 | 11.349 | 11.374 |  |
| 30 Memo: Mortgage loan commitments outstanding ${ }^{\text {® }}$ | 4.400 | 3,182 | 2,097 | 1,883 | 1.898 | 1.939 | 1.849 | 1,883 | 1,817 | 1,682 | 1.476 | $\dagger$ |
|  | Life insurance companies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 Assets | 389,924 | 432,282 | 442,932 | 447,020 | 450,858 | 455,759 | 459,362 | 464,483 | 468,057 | 473,529 | 476,190 | 4 |
| Securities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 Government | 20.009 | 0.338 | 20.470 | 20.529 | 20.395 | 20,736 | 20.833 | 20.853 | 20,942 | 21.204 | 21.453 |  |
| 33 United States ${ }^{9}$ | 4.822 | 4.888 | 5.1059 | 5.107 | 4.990 | 5,325 | 5,386 | 5,361 | 5,390 | 5.568 | 5.753 |  |
| 34 State and local | 6.402 | 6.428 | 6.351 | 6.352 | 6.349 | 6,361 | 6.421 | 6,474 | 6,484 | 6,568 | 6.682 |  |
| 35 Foreign ${ }^{\text {(t) }}$ | 8.785 | 9.022 | 9.060 | 9.070 | 9.056 | 9.050 | 9.026 | 9.018 | 9.068 | 9.068 | 9.018 |  |
| 36 Business | 198.105 | 222.332 | 222.175 | 223.556 | 224.874 | 228.645 | 230.477 | 233.652 | 236, 115 | 239.150 | 238.048 | n.a. |
| 37 Bonds | 162.587 | 178.371 | 182.750 | 183.356 | 184.329 | 186,385 | 187.839 | 189,586 | 191.229 | 191.753 | 191.090 |  |
| 38 39 $\begin{array}{r}\text { Stocks } \\ \text { Mortgages }\end{array}$ | 35.518 106.167 | 39.757 118.421 | 123.425 | 120.563 | 40,545 125455 | 42.260 | 42.638 | 44.066 128.189 | $\begin{array}{r}44.886 \\ 128 \\ \hline 187\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}47.397 \\ \hline 12988\end{array}$ | 46.958 131.145 |  |
| 40 Real estate | 11,764 | 13,007 | 13.696 | 13,981 | 14.085 | 14.164 | 14.184 | 14,460 | 14,702 | 15.183 | 15.247 |  |
| 41 Policy loans | 30,146 | 34.825 | 38.166 | 38.890 | 39,354 | 39,649 | 39.925 | 40,258 | 40,548 | 40.878 | 41.411 |  |
| 42 Other assets | 23.733 | 27.563 | 24.838 | 25.501 | 26.695 | 26.104 | 26.586 | 27.171 | 26.765 | 27.236 | 28.836 | $\dagger$ |
|  | Credit unions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 44 Federal | 34.760 | 35.934 | 35.834 | 36.341 | 37.555 | 37.573 | 38.168 | 39.219 | 39.155 | 39.428 | 39.801 | 39.142 |
| 45 State | 27.588 | 29.920 | 29.356 | 29.762 | 30,547 | 30.856 | 31.385 | 31,296 | 31.547 | 31,907 | 31.908 | 31.612 |
| 46 Loans outstanding | 50,269 | 53.125 | 50,344 | 49.469 | 48.172 | 47,829 | 47.884 | 47,211 | 47,221 | 47.299 | 47.774 | 47.309 |
| 47 Federal ........ | 27.687 | 28.698 | 27.119 | 26.550 | 25.773 | 25.435 | 25.401 | 25,381 | 25.288 | 25,273 | 25.627 | 25.272 |
| 48 State | 22.582 | 24.426 | 23.225 | 22.919 | 22.399 | 22.394 | 22.483 | 21.830 | 21.933 | 22.026 | 22.147 | 22.037 |
| 49 Savings | 53,517 | 56.232 | 56.338 | 57.197 | 59.310 | 60.574 | 61.403 | 63,728 | 63,957 | 64,304 | 64.399 | 63.874 |
| 50 Federal (shares) | 29,802 | 35.530 | 30,851 | 31.403 | 32,764 | 33,472 | 33.964 | 35.961 | 36.030 | 36,183 | 36.348 | 35.915 |
| 51 State (shares and deposits) | 23.715 | 25.702 | 25.487 | 25.794 | 26.546 | 27,102 | 27.439 | 27.767 | 27.927 | 28.121 | 28.051 | 27.959 |

For notes see bottom of page A28.

### 1.38 FEDERAL FISCAL AND FINANCING OPERATIONS

Millions of dollars

| Type of account or operation | Fiscal year 1978 | Fiscal year 1979 | Fiscal year 1980 | Calendar year |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 1979 | 1980 |  | 1980 |  | 1981 |
|  |  |  |  | H2 | H1 | H2 | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. |
| U.S. budget |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Receipts ${ }^{1}$.............................. | 401,997 | 465,940 | 520.050 | 233,952 | 270.864 | 262.152 | 39,175 | 48.903 | 52,214 |
| 2 Outlays ${ }^{1.2}$............................ | 450,804 | 493,635 | 579.613 | 263,004 | 289,905 | 310,972 | 48.049 | 56,202 | 59.099 |
| 3 Surplus, or deficit( - ) | $-48,807$ | - 27.694 | -59,563 | -29,052 | -19,041 | -48,82 | -8,874 | -7,299 | -6,884 |
| 5 Federal funds ${ }^{3}$ | -61,532 | -46,069 | -67,752 | -38,773 | -23,418 | $-46,306$ | -5,825 | -12,960 | $-3,434$ $-3,451$ |
| Off-budget entities (surplus, or deficit $(-))$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 Federal Financing Bank outlays .... | -10,661 | - 13,261 | - 14,549 | -5,909 | -7,735 | -7,552 | -1,358 | -1,033 | -960 |
| 7 Other ${ }^{4}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 302 | 793 | 303 | 765 | -522 | 376 | -466 | 463 | -494 |
| U.S. budget plus off-budget, including Federal Financing Bank |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 Surplus, or deficit ( - ) .............. | -59,166 | -40,162 | $-73,808$ | -34,197 | -27,298 | -55,998 | -10,698 | -7,869 | -8,339 |
| 9 Source or financing Borrowing from the public | 59,106 | 33,641 | 70,515 | 31,320 | 24,435 | 54,764 | 9,231 | 13,667 | 6,772 |
| 10 Cash and monetary assets (decrease, or increase (-) $)^{5}$ | -3,023 | -408 | -355 | 3,059 | -3,482 | -6.730 | 4.077 | -10.485 | 2,252 |
| 11 Other ${ }^{6}$........................... | 3,083 | 6,929 | 3,648 | -182 | 6.345 | 7.964 | -2.610 | 4.686 | -685 |
| Memo: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 Treasury operating balance (level, end of period) | 22,444 | 24,176 | 20,990 | 15,924 | 14,092 | 12,305 | 7.226 | 12,305 | 13,917 |
| 13 Federal Reserve Banks | 16,647 | 6.489 | 4,102 | 4,075 | 3.199 | 3,062 | 2.435 | 3.062 | 3.038 |
| 14 Tax and loan accounts | 5,797 | 17,687 | 16,888 | 11,849 | 10,893 | 9,243 | 4,791 | 9,243 | 10,879 |

1. Effective June 1978, earned income credit payments in excess of an individual's tax liability, formerly treated as income tax refunds, are classified as outlays retroactive to January 1976
2. Effective Oct. 1, 1980, the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation was reclassified from an off-budget agency to an on-budget agency in the Department of Labor.
3. Half-year figures are calculated as a residual (total surplus/deficit less trust fund surplus/deficit).
4. Includes Postal Service Fund; Rural Electrification and Telephone Revolving Fund; and Rural Telephone Bank.
5. Includes U.S. Treasury operating cash accounts; special drawing rights; gold tranche drawing rights; loans to International Monetary Fund; and other cash and monetary assets.
6. Includes accrued interest payable to the public; allocations of special drawing rights; deposit funds; miscellaneous liability (including checks outstanding) and asset accounts; seignorage; increment on gold; net gain/loss for U.S. currency valuation adjustment; net gain/loss for IMF valuation adjustment; and profit on the sale of gold.
Source. "Monthly Treasury Statement of Receipts and Outlays of the U.S. Government," Treasury Bulletin, and the Budget of the United States Government, Fiscal Year 1981.

## NOTES TO TABLE 1.37

1. Holdings of stock of the Federal Home Loan Banks ate included in "other assets."
2. Includes net undistributed income, which is accrued by most, but not all, associations.
3. Excludes figures for loans in process, which are shown as a liability.
4. The NAMSB reports that, effective April 1979, balance sheet data are not strictly comparable with previous months. Beginning April 1979, data are reported on a net-of-valuation-reserves basis. Prior to that date, data were reported on a gross-of-valuation-reserves basis.
5. Beginning April 1979, includes obligations of U.S. government agencies. 5. Beginning April 1979, includes obligations of U.S. governm"
Before that date, this item was included in "Corporate and other."

Before that date, this item was included in "Corporate and other."
6 . Includes securities of foreign governments and international organization and, prior to April 1979, nonguaranteed issues of U.S. government agencies.
7. Excludes checking, club, and school accounts.
8. Commitments outstanding (including loans in process) of banks in New York State as reported to the Savings Banks Association of the state of New York.
9. Direct and guaranteed obligations. Excludes federal agency issues not guaranteed, which are shown in the table under "Business" securities.
10. Issues of foreign governments and their subdivisions and bonds of the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development.

Note. Savings and loan associations: Estimates by the FHLBB for all associations in the United States. Data are based on monthly reports of federally insured associations and annual reports of other associations. Even when revised, data for current and preceding year are subject to further revision.
Mutual savings banks: Estimates of National Association of Mutual Savings Banks for all savings banks in the Unitecl States.

Life insurance companies: Estimates of the American Council of Life Insurance for all life insurance companies in the United States. Annual figures are annualstatement asset values, with bonds carried on an amortized basis and stocks at year-end market value. Adjustments for interest due and accrued and for differences between market and book values are not made on each item separately but are included, in total, in "other assets."
Credit unions: Estimates by the National Credit Union Administration for a group of federal and state-chartered credit unions that account for about 30 percent of credit union assets. Figures are preliminary and revised annually to incorporate recent benchmark data.

### 1.39 U.S. BUDGET RECEIPTS AND OUTLAYS

Millions of dollars

|  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

1. Effective June 1978, earned income credit payments in excess of an individual's tax liability, formerly treated as income tax refunds, are classified as outlays retroactive to January 1976.
2. Old-age, disability, and hospital insurance, and railroad retirement accounts.
3. Old-age, disability, and hospital insurance.
4.Supplementary medical insurance premiums, federal employee retirement contributions, and Civil Service retirement and disability fund.
5.Deposits of earnings by Federal Reserve Banks and other miscellaneous receipts.
6.Effective Oct. 1, 1980, the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation was re-
classified from an off-budget agency to an on-budget agency in the Department of Labor.
7.Effective September 1976, "Interest" and "Undistributed offsetting receipts" reflect the accounting conversion from an accrual basis to a cash basis for the interest on special issues for U.S. government accounts.
4. Consists of interest received by trust funds, rents and royalties on the Outer Continental Shelf, and U.S. government contributions for employee retirement.

SOURCE. "Monthly Treasury Statement of Receipts and Outlays of the U.S. Government" and the Budget of the U.S. Government, Fiscal Year 1981.

### 1.40 FEDERAL DEBT SUBJECT TO STATUTORY LIMITATION

Billions of dollars

| Item | 1978 | 1979 |  |  |  | 1980 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dec. 31 | Mar. 31 | June 30 | Sept. 30 | Dec. 31 | Mar. 31 | June 30 | Sept. 30 | Dec. 31 |
| 1 Federal debt outstanding | 797.7 | 804.6 | 812.2 | 833.8 | 852.2 | 870.4 | 884.4 | 914.3 | 936.7 |
| 2 Public debt securities | 789.2 | 796.8 | 804.9 | 826.5 | 845.1 | 863.5 | 877.6 | 907.7 | 930.2 |
| 3 Held by public... | 619.2 | 630.5 | 626.4 | 638.8 | 658.0 | 677.1 | 682.7 | 710.0 | 737.7 |
| 4 Held by agencies | 170.0 | 166.3 | 178.5 | 187.7 | 187.1 | 186.3 | 194.9 | 197.7 | 192.5 |
| 5 Agency securities | 8.5 | 7.8 | 7.3 | 7.2 | 7.1 | 7.0 | 6.8 | 6.6 | 6.5 |
| 6 Held by public... | 7.0 | 6.3 | 5.9 | 5.8 | 5.6 | 5.5 | 5.3 | 5.1 | 5.0 |
| 7 Held by agencies | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| 8 Debt subject to statutory limit | 790.3 | 797.9 | 806.0 | 827.6 | 846.2 | 864.5 | 878.7 | 908.7 | 931.2 |
| ${ }^{9}$ Public debt securities | 788.6 | 796.2 | 804.3 | 825.9 | 844.5 | 862.8 | 877.0 | 907.1 | 929.6 |
| 10 Other debt ${ }^{1}$... | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| 11 Memo: Statutory debt limit | 798.0 | 798.0 | 830.0 | 830.0 | 879.0 | 379.0 | 925.0 | 925.0 | 935.1 |

1. Includes guaranteed debt of government agencies. specified participation certificates, notes to international lending organizations, and District of Columbia stadium bonds.

### 1.41 GROSS PUBLIC DEBT OF U.S. TREASURY Types and Ownership

Billions of dollars, end of period

| Type and holder | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 |  |  | 1981 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. |
| 1 Total gross public debt | 653.5 | 718.9 | $789.0{ }^{r}$ | $845.0{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 908.2 | $9 \\| 3.8$ | 930.2 | 934.1 | 950.5 |
| By type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Interest-bearing debt | 652.5 | 715.2 | 782.4 | 844.0 | 906.9 | 909.4 | 928.9 | 929.8 | 946.5 |
| 3 Marketable . . . . . . . | 421.3 | 459.9 | 487.5 | 530.7 | 599.4 | 605.4 | 623.2 | 628.5 | 642.9 |
| 4 Bills | 164.0 | 161.1 | 161.7 | 172.6 | 202.3 | 208.7 | 216.1 | 220.4 | 229.0 |
| 5 Notes | 216.7 | 251.8 | 265.8 | 283.4 | 311.9 | 311.1 | 321.6 | 321.2 | 324.5 |
| 6 Bonds | 40.6 | 47.0 | 60.0 | 74.7 | 85.2 | 85.5 | 85.4 | 86.9 | 89.4 |
| 7 Nonmarketable ${ }^{1}$ | 231.2 | 255.3 | 294.8 | 313.2 | 307.5 | 3014.0 | 305.7 | 301.3 | 303.5 |
| 8 Convertible bonds ${ }^{2}$ | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 State and local government series | 4.5 | 13.9 | 24.3 | 24.6 | 23.9 | 24.0 | 23.8 | 23.7 | 23.6 |
| 10 Foreign issues ${ }^{3} \ldots \ldots . . . . . .$. | 22.3 | 22.2 | 29.6 | 28.8 | 24.8 | 24.5 | 24.0 | 23.8 | 24.0 |
| 11 Government | 20.8 r | $21.0{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 28.0 | 23.6 | 18.4 | 18.1 | 17.6 | 17.5 | 17.5 |
| 12 Public ..... | 1.5 r | $1.2^{r}$ | 1.6 | 5.3 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 6.4 |
| 13 Savings bonds and notes | 72.3 | 77.0 | 80.9 157.5 | 79.9 | 73.0 | 72.8 | 72.5 | 71.4 | 70.7 |
| 14 Government account series 4 | 129.7 | 139.8 | 157.5 | 177.5 | 185.7 | 182.4 | 185.1 | 182.2 | 185.0 |
| 15 Non-interest-bearing debt | 1.1 | 3.7 | 6.8 | 1.2 | $1.2{ }^{r}$ | 4.4 | 1.3 | 4.2 | 4.0 |
| By holder ${ }^{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 U.S. government agencies and trust funds | 147.1 | 154.8 | 170.0 | 187.1 | 193.4 | 189.7 | 192.5 | 4 | 4 |
| 17 Federal Reserve Banks . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 97.0 4095 | 102.8 r | $110.6{ }^{r}$ | 117.5 | 121.5 | 120.4 | 121.3 |  |  |
| 18 Private investors. | 409.5 | 461.3 | 508.6 | 540.5 | 593.3 | 603.2 | 616.4 |  |  |
| 19 Commercial banks . | 103.8 | 101.4 | 94.78 | 97.0 | 103.4 | 101.8 | 104.7 |  |  |
| 20 Mutual savings banks | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.0 | $4.2{ }^{r}$ | 5.5 | 5.6 | 5.8 |  |  |
| 21 Insurance companies | 12.7 | 15.5 | 14.9 | 14.4 | 15.3 | 15.4 | 15.2 | 1 | 1 |
| 22 Other companies . . . | 27.7 | 22.7 | $20.5 r$ | 23.9 | 25.3 | 24.8 | 24.6 | n.a. | n.a. |
| 23 State and local governments | 41.6 | 54.8 | $70.1{ }^{r}$ | $68.2 r$ | 73.1 | 74.6 | 74.7 | \| |  |
| Individuals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 Savings bonds . | 72.0 | 76.7 | 80.7 | 79.9 | 73.0 | 72.5 | 72.5 |  |  |
| 25 Other securities . . . . . . . | 28.8 | 28.6 | ${ }_{130.1}{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 34.2 | 49.9 | 52.1 ${ }^{r}$ | 56.7 |  |  |
| 26 Foreign and international ${ }^{6}$ Other miscellaneous investors ${ }^{\text {a }}$. | 78.1 | 109.6 | 137.8 | 123.8 | $127.7{ }^{r}$ | 132.6 | 134.3 |  |  |
| 27 Other miscellaneous investors ${ }^{7}$ | 38.9 | $45.9{ }^{\prime}$ | 58.2 | $94.8{ }^{r}$ | $120.1{ }^{r}$ | 123.4 | 127.9 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |

1. Includes (not shown separately): Securities issued to the Rural Electrification Administration, depository bonds, retirement plan bonds, and individual retirement bonds
2. These nonmarketable bonds, also known as Investment Series B Bonds, may be exchanged (or converted) at the owner's option for $1 / 2$ percent, 5 -year marketable Treasury notes. Convertible bonds that have been so exchanged are removed from this category and recorded in the notes category (line 5).
3. Nonmarketable dollar-denominated and foreign currency-denominated series held by foreigners.
4. Held almost entirely by U.S. government agencies and trust funds
5. Data for Federal Reserve Banks and U.S. government agencies and trust funds are actual holdings; data for other groups are Treasury estimates.
6. Consists of investments of foreign balances and international accounts in the United States. Beginning with July 1974, the figures exclude non-interest-bearing notes issued to the International Monetary Fund.
7. Includes savings and loan associations, nonprofit institutions, corporate pension trust funds, dealers and brokers, certain government deposit accounts, and government sponsored agencies.

Note. Gross public debt excludes guaranteed agency securities and. beginning in July 1974, includes Federal Financing Bank security issues
Data by type of security from Monthly Statement of the Public Debt of the United States (U.S. Treasury Department): data by holder from Treasury Bulletin.
1.42 U.S. GOVERNMENT MARKETABLE SECURITIES Ownership, by maturity

Par value; millions of dollars, end of period

| Type of holder | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 |  | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Nov. | Dec. |  |  | Nov. | Dec. |
|  | All maturities |  |  |  | 1 to 5 years |  |  |  |
| 1 All holders | 487,546 | 530,731 | 605,381 | 623,186 | 162,886 | 164,198 | 191,614 | 197,409 |
| 2 U.S. government agencies and trust funds | 12,695 109,616 | 111,047 | 9,569 120,447 | 9,564 121,328 | 3,310 31,283 | 2,555 28,469 | 1,990 35,190 | 1,990 35,835 |
| 4 Private investors | 365,235 | 402,226 | 475,365 | 492,294 | 128,293 | 133,173 | 154,434 | 159,585 |
| 5 Commercial banks | 68,890 | 69,076 | 75,691 | 77,868 | 38,390 | 38,346 | 43,659 | 44,482 |
| 6 Mutual savings banks | 3.499 | 3,204 | 3,803 | 3,917 | 1,918 | 1,668 | 1,912 | 1.925 |
| 7 Insurance companies | 11,635 | 11,496 | 12,095 | 11,930 | 4,664 | 4.518 | 4,693 | 4,504 |
| 8 Nonfinancial corporations | 8,272 | 8,433 | 7,880 | 7758 | 3,635 | 2,844 | 2,705 | 2,213 |
| 9 Savings and loan associations | 3,835 | 3,209 | 4,061 | 4,225 | 2,255 | 1,763 | 2,147 | 2,289 |
| 10 State and local governments | 18,815 | 15.735 | 21,203 | 21.058 | 3,997 | 3.487 | 5.286 | 4.595 |
| 11 All others | 250,288 | 291,072 | 350,633 | 365.539 | 73.433 | 80.546 | 94,032 | 99,577 |
|  | Total, within 1 year |  |  |  | 5 to 10 years |  |  |  |
| 12 All holders | 228,516 | 255,252 | 288,481 | 297,385 | 50,400 | 50,440 | 52,893 | 56,037 |
| 13 U.S. government agencies and trust funds | 1,488 | 1,629 | 834 | 830 | 1,989 | 871 | 1,404 | 1,404 |
| 14 Federal Reserve Banks | 52,801 | 63,219 | 56,660 | 56,858 | 14,809 | 12,977 | 13,468 | 13,458 |
| 15 Private investors | 174.227 | 190,403 | 230,987 | 239.697 | 33,601 | 36.592 | 38,021 | 41,175 |
| 16 Commercial banks | 20.608 | 20,171 | 23,614 | 25.197 | 7,490 | 8.086 | 5,915 | 5,793 |
| 17 Mutual savings banks | 817 | 836 | 1,172 | 1,246 | 496 | 459 | 437 | 455 |
| 18 Insurance companies | 1,838 | 2,016 | 1,949 | 1,940 | 2,899 | 2,815 | 3,000 | 3,037 |
| 19 Nonfinancial corporations | 4,048 | 4,933 | 3,916 | 4,281 | 369 | 308 | 382 | 357 |
| 20 Savings and loan associations | 1,414 | 1,301 | 1,769 | 1,646 | 89 | 69 | 75 | 216 |
| 21 State and local governments | 8,194 | 5,607 | 7.218 | 7.750 | 1,588 | 1,540 | 1.999 | 2,030 |
| 22 Allothers ............... | 137,309 | 155.539 | 191,350 | 197,636 | 20,671 | 23,314 | 26,212 | 29,287 |
|  | Bills, within 1 year |  |  |  | 10 to 20 years |  |  |  |
| 23 All holders | 161,747 | 172,644 | 208,721 | 216,104 | 19,800 | 27,588 | 36,893 | 36,854 |
| 24 U.S. government agencies and trust funds 25 Federal Reserve Banks | 42,397 ${ }^{2}$ | 45,337 | 44,057 | 43.971 | 3,876 2,088 | 4,520 3,272 | 3,686 5,941 | 3,686 5,919 |
| 26 Private investors | 119,348 | 127.306 | 164,663 | 172.132 | 13.836 | 19,796 | 27,266 | 27,250 |
| 27 Commercial banks | 5.707 | 5,938 | 8,651 | 9,856 | 956 | 993 | 1,122 | 1,071 |
| 28 Mutual savings banks | 150 | 262 | 337 | 394 | 143 | 127 | 181 | 181 |
| 29 Insurance companies | 753 | 473 | 549 | 672 | 1,460 | 1,305 | 1,744 | 1,718 |
| 30 Nonfinancial corporations | 12 | 2.793 | 1,812 | 2,363 | 86 | 218 | 428 | 431 |
| 31 Savings and loan associations | 262 | 219 | 822 | ${ }_{5} 818$ | 60 | 58 | 57 | 52 |
| 32 State and local governments | 5,524 | 3.100 | 5,126 | 5,413 | 1.420 | 1.762 | 3,651 | 3.597 |
| 33 Allothers ............... | 105,161 | 114,522 | 147,366 | 152,616 | 9,711 | 15,332 | 20,083 | 20,200 |
|  | Other, within 1 year |  |  |  | Over 20 years |  |  |  |
| 34 All holders | 66,769 | 82,608 | 79,760 | 81,281 | 25,944 | 33,254 | 35,500 | 35,500 |
| 35 U.S. government agencies and trust funds | 1,487 | 1,629 | 834 | 829 | 1.031 | 1,472 | 1,656 | 1,656 |
| 36 Federal Reserve Banks | 10.404 | 17,882 | 12,602 | 12,888 | 8,635 | 9.520 | 9,188 | 9,258 |
| 37 Private investors | 54,879 | 63,097 | 66,324 | 67,565 | 15,278 | 22,262 | 24,657 | 24,587 |
| 38 Commercial banks | 14,901 | 14,233 | 14,963 | 15,341 | 1,446 | 1,470 | 1,382 | 1,325 |
| 39 Mutual savings banks | 667 | 574 | 834 | 852 | 126 | 113 | 100 | 110 |
| 40 Insurance companies | 1,084 | 1.543 | 1.401 | 1.268 | 774 | 842 | 708 | 730 |
| 41 Nonfinancial corporations | 2,256 | 2,140 | 2,104 | 1.918 | 135 | 130 | 449 | 476 |
| 42 Savings and loan associations | 1,152 | 1.081 | 947 | 828 | 17 | 19 | 13 | 21 |
| 43 State and local governments | 2,670 | 2,508 | 2,091 | 2,337 | 3,616 | 3,339 | 3,049 | 3,086 |
| 44 Allothers ............... | 32,149 | 41,017 | 43,984 | 45,020 | 9,164 | 16,340 | 18,956 | 18,838 |

[^23]460 mutual savings banks, and 723 insurance companies, each about 80 percent;
(2) 413 nonfinancial corporations and 478 savings and loan associations, each about (2) 413 nonfinancial corporations and 478 savings and loan associations,
50 percent; and (3) 491 state and local governments, about 40 percent
"All others," a residual, includes holdings of all those not reporting in the Treasury Survey, including investor groups not listed separately.

### 1.43 U.S. GOVERNMENT SECURITIES DEALERS Transactions

Par value; averages of daily figures, in millions of dollars

| Item | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 |  |  | 1980, week ending Wednesday |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Oct. 22 | Oct. 29 | Nov. 5 | Nov. 12 | Nov. 19 | Nov. 26 |
| 1 U.S. government securities | 10,838 | 10,285 | 13,183 | 17,464 | 21,716 | 21,576 | 16,068 | 16,823 | 18,541 | 25,386 | 22,277 | 20,769 |
| By maturity <br> 2 Bills | 6.746 | 6.173 | 7.915 | 11.543 |  | 13.840 | 11.155 | 10.515 | 13.100 | 14.207 | 14343 | 13.520 |
| 3 Other within 1 vear | $\begin{array}{r}6.746 \\ \hline 237\end{array}$ | 6.173 | 7,915 454 | 11.543 350 | $\begin{array}{r}13.768 \\ 442 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 13,840 464 | 11.430 | 10.515 | 13.103 | 14.207 | 14.343 636 | 13,532 |
| $41-5$ years | 2,320 | 1,889 | 2,417 | 2,745 | 3,699 | 3,461 | 2,256 | 3,339 | 2,541 | 4,691 | 3,494 | 3,942 |
| 5 5-10 years | 1,148 | 965 | 1,121 | 1,060 | 1,640 | 1,806 | 798 | 988 | 960 | 3,189 | 1,594 | 943 |
| 6 Over 10 years | 388 | 867 | 1,276 | 1,766 | 2,167 | 2,005 | 1,428 | 1,608 | 1,608 | 2,997 | 2.211 | 1,933 |
| By type of customer <br> 7 U.S. government securities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| dealers | 1,268 | 1,135 | 1,448 | 1,296 | 1,745 | 1,807 | 992 | 1,066 | 1,669 | 1,640 | 1,687 | 2,096 |
| 8 U.S. government securities brokers | 3,709 | 3,838 | 5,170 | 7,664 | 9.536 | 8,382 | 7,298 | 7,998 | 8,043 | 11,513 | 9,773 | 8,872 |
| 9 Commercial banks | 2,294 | 1.804 | 1,904 | 2,019 | 2,366 | 2,661 | 1,708 | 1,969 | 2,158 | 2,807 | 2,547 | 2,007 |
| 10 All others ${ }^{1}$. | 3,567 | 3,508 | 4,660 | 6.485 | 8.069 | 8,726 | 6.070 | 5.790 | 6.671 | 9,427 | 8.271 | 7.795 |
| 11 Federal agency securities | 1,729 | 1,894 | 2,723 | 3,277. | 3,074 | 2,789 | 2,947 | 3,194 | 3,140 | 3,141 | 3,656 | 2,751 |

1. Includes, among others, all other dealers and brokers in commodities and securities, foreign banking agencies, and the Federal Reserve System.
Note. Averages for transactions are based on number of trading days in the period.

Transactions are market purchases and sales of U.S. government securities dealers reporting to the Federal Reserve Bank of New York. The figures exclude ers reporting to the Federal Reserve Bank of New York. The figures exclude
allotments of, and exchanges for, new U.S. government securities, redemptions of called or matured securities, or purchases or sales of securities under repurchase. reverse repurchase (resale), or similar contracts.
1.44 U.S. GOVERNMENT SECURITIES DEALERS Positions and Sources of Financing

Par value; averages of daily figures, in millions of dollars

| Item | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 |  |  | 1980, week ending Wednesday |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Sept. 24 | Oct. 1 | Oct. 8 | Oct. 15 | Oct. 22 | Oct. 29 |
|  | Positions ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 U.S. government securities | 5,172 | 2,656 | 3,223 | 2,701 | 3,279 | 4,042 | 2,921 | 2,164 | 2,018 | 2,984 | 2,517 | 3,299 |
| 2 Bills | 4.772 | 2,452 | 3.813 | 2.557 | 3.132 | 4.081 | 3.184 | 2.683 | 2.126 | 2,818 | 2,569 | 2,566 |
| 3 Other within 1 year | +99 | 260 | -325 | -1,082 | -792 | -1,394 | -1.788 | -1.425 | -1.369 | -1.502 | -995 | -712 |
| $41-5$ years........ | 60 | -92 | -455 | 755 | -123 | -43 | 970 | -908 | 1,097 | 853 | 229 | 970 |
| 5 5-10 years | 92 | 40 | 160 | -221 | -13 | 104 | -69 | -359 | -155 | -69 | -187 | -342 |
| 6 Over 10 years | 149 | -4 | 30 | 69.2 | 1,075 | 1,294 | 624 | 356 | 318 | 884 | 902 | 818 |
| 7 Federal agency securities | 693 | 606 | 1,471 | 979 | 357 | 643 | 435 | 486 | 858 | 947 | 1,188 | 1,066 |
|  | Financing ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reverse repurchase agreement ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 Overnight and continuing .... | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | 7,239 | n.a. | n.a. | 7,382 | 8,285 | 7.061 | 6,731 | 7.009 | 7.106 |
| 9 Term agreements .......... |  |  |  | 23,088 |  |  | 22,883 | 21,188 | 23,322 | 23,118 | 23.610 | 24,203 |
| 10 Repurchase agreements ${ }^{4}$. ${ }^{\text {Overnight and continuing }}$ |  |  |  | 21.835 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 Term agreements ........... |  |  |  | 21.835 19,699 |  |  | 19.899 | 23,391 17,550 | 20.543 20.467 | 20,783 19,280 | 22,376 20,791 | 22,080 20,408 |
| 1. Net amounts (in terms of par values) of securities owned by nonbank dealer firms and dealer departments of commercial banks on a commitment, that is, tradedate basis, including any such securities that have been sold under agreements to repurchase. The maturities of some repurchase agreements are sufficiently long, however, to suggest that the securities involved are not available for tradirg purposes. Securities owned, and hence dealer positions, do not include securities purchased under agreement to resel!. <br> 2. Figures cover financing involving U.S. government and federal agency secu- |  |  |  |  | 3. Includes all reverse agreements, including those that have been arranged to make delivery on sales and those for which the securities obtained have been used as collateral on borrowings. <br> 4. Includes both repurchase agreements undertaken to finance positions and "matched book" repurchase agreements. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Note Data for positions are averages of daily figures, based on the number of trading days in the period. Data for financing are based only on Wednesday figures. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 1.45 FEDERAL AND FEDERALLY SPONSORED CREDIT AGENCIES Debt outstanding

Millions of dollars, end of period

| Agency | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1980 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | July | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. |
| 1 Federal and federally sponsored agencies ${ }^{1}$ | 103,848 | 112,472 | 137,063 | 180,119 | 179,545 | 182,713 | 188,076 | 188,743 | 193,229 |
| 2 Federal agencies | 22,419 | 22,760 | 23,488 | 26.810 | 26,930 | 27,618 | 27,797 | 27,941 | 28.606 |
| 3 Defense Department ${ }^{2}$ | 1,113 | 983 | 968 | 661 | 651 | 641 | 636 | 631 | ${ }^{610}$ |
| 4 Export-Import Bank ${ }^{3,4}$. $\ldots$... | 8.574 | 8.671 | 8.711 | 10,248 | 10,232 | 10.728 | 10.715 | 10,696 | 11,250 |
| 5 Federal Housing Administration ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | 575 | 581 | 588 | 516 | 508 | 495 | 490 | 486 | 477 |
| 6 Government National Mortgage Association participation certificates ${ }^{6}$ | 4.120 | 3,743 | 3,141 | 2.842 | 2,842 | 2,842 | 2,842 | 2,842 | 2.817 |
| 7 Postal Service ${ }^{7}$. ....................... | 2,998 | 2.431 | 2,364 | 1.770 | 1,770 | 1,770 | 1,770 | 1,770 | 1,770 |
| 8 Tennessee Valley Authority | 4.935 | 6.015 | 7.460 | 10.300 | 10.445 | 10,660 | 10.835 | 11,010 | 11,190 |
| 9 United States Railway Association? | 104 | 336 | 356 | 473 | 482 | 482 | 509 | 506 | 492 |
| 10 Federally sponsored agencies ${ }^{1}$ | 81.429 | 89,712 | 113,575 | 153.309 | 152,615 | 155.095 | 160.279 | 160.802 | 164.623 |
| 11 Federal Home Loan Banks | 16.811 | 18,345 | 27,563 | 36,039 | 35,690 | 36,710 | 38.819 | 39,380 | 41,258 |
| 12 Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation | 1,690 | 1.686 | 2,262 | 2.634 | 2,634 | 2,537 | 2.537 | 2.537 | 2.536 |
| 13 Federal National Mortgage Asssociation ... | 30.565 | 31.890 | 41.080 | 52.114 | 52,001 | 52,382 | 53,889 | 53,643 | 55,185 |
| 14 Federal Land Banks ................. | 17.127 | 19.118 | 20.360 | 12.765 | 12.765 | 12.765 | 12,365 | 12.365 | 12,365 |
| 15 Federal Intermediate Credit Banks | 10.494 | 11.174 | 11.469 | 1.821 | 1.821 | 1.821 | 1.821 | 1.821 | 1,821 |
| 16 Banks for Cooperatives | 4,330 | 4.434 | 4,843 | 584 | 584 | 584 | 584 | 584 | 584 |
| 17 Farm Credit Banks ${ }^{1}$ |  | 2.548 | 5,081 | 45,111 | 44,824 | 45,950 | 47.888 | 48.021 | 48.153 |
| 18 Student Loan Marketing Association ${ }^{8}$ | 410 | 515 | 915 | 2,240 | 2,295 | 2,345 | 2,375 | 2,450 | 2,720 |
| 19 Other . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 2 | , | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Memo: 20 Federal Financing Bank debt ${ }^{\text {, }}$, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 28,711 | 38,580 | 51,298 | 78,870 | 80,024 | 82,559 | 83,903 | 85,440 | 87,460 |
| Lending to federal and federally sponsored agencies 21 Export-Import Bank ${ }^{4}$ | 5.208 | 5.834 | 6.898 | 9.558 | 9.558 | 10,067 | 10.067 | 10.067 | 10,654 |
| 22 Postal Service ${ }^{7}$...... | 2.748 | 2.181 | 2.114 | 1.520 | 1.520 | 1.520 | 1.520 | 1.520 | 1.520 |
| 23 Student Loan Marketing Association ${ }^{8}$ | 410 | 515 | 915 | 2,240 | 2,295 | 2,345 | 2.375 | 2.450 | 2,720 |
| 24 Tennessee Valley Authority | 3,110 | 4.190 | 5,635 | 8.575 | 8.720 | 8,935 | 9.110 | 9,285 | 9,465 |
| 25 United States Railway Association ${ }^{7}$ | 104 | 336 | 356 | 473 | 482 | 482 | 509 | 506 | 492 |
| Other Lending ${ }^{10}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 Farmers Home Administration | 10.750 | 16.095 | 23.825 | 36.715 | 37.403 | 37.961 | 38.466 | 39.431 | 39.431 |
| 27 Rural Electrification Administration | 1.415 | 2.647 | 4,604 | 8.084 | 8.233 | 8.425 | 8.646 | 8.760 | 9,196 |
| 28 Other. | 4,966 | 6.782 | 6,951 | 11,705 | 11,813 | 12,824 | 13,210 | 13,421 | 13,982 |

1. In September 1977 the Farm Credit Banks issued their first consolidated bonds, and in January 1979 they began issuing these bonds on a regular basis to replace the financing activities of the Federal Land Banks. the Federal Intermediate Credit Banks, and the Banks for Cooperatives. Line 17 represents those consolidated bonds outstanding, as well as any discount notes that have been issued. Lines 1 and 10 reflect the addition of this item.
2. Consists of mortgages assumed by the Defense Department between 1957 and 1963 under family housing and homeowners assistance programs.
3. Includes participation certificates reclassified as debt beginning Oct. 1, 1976.
4. Off-budget Aug. 17, 1974, through Sept. 30. 1976; on-budget thereafter.
5. Consists of debentures issued in payment of Federal Housing Administration insurance claims. Once issued, these securities may be sold privately on the securities market.
6. Certificates of participation issued prior to fiscal 1969 by the Government National Mortgage Association acting as trustee for the Farmers Home Administration: Department of Health. Education, and Welfare; Department
of Housing and Urban Development: Small Business Administration: and the Veterans Administration
7. Off-budget.
8. Unlike other federally sponsored agencies, the Student Loan Marketing Association may borrow from the Federal Financing Bank (FFB) since its obligations are guaranteed by the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare.
9. The FFB, which began operations in 1974, is authorized to purchase or sell obligations issued, sold, or guaranteed by other federal agencies. Since FFB incurs debt solely for the purpose of lending to other agencies. its debt is not included in the main portion of the table in order to avoid double counting
10. Includes FFB purchases of agency assers and guaranteed loans; the latter contain loans guaranteed by numerous agencies with the guarantees of any particular agency being generally small. The Farmers Home Administration item consists exclusively of agency assets, while the Rural Electrification Administration entry contains both agency assets and guaranteed loans
1.46 NEW SECURITY ISSUES of State and Local Governments

Millions of dollars

| Type of issue or issuer, or use | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | June | July | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. |
| 1 All issues, new and refunding ${ }^{1}$ | 46,769 | 48,607 | 43,490 | 6,063 | 4.907 | 3,809 | 4.255 | 4,425 | 2,806 |
| Type of issue | 18.142 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 Revenue ........ | 28.655 | 30.658 | 31.256 | 4.136 | 3.506 | 2.995 | 2.902 | 3.418 | 2,090 |
| 4 Housing Assistance Administration ${ }^{2}$ <br> 5 U.S. government loans | 72 | 95 | 125 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 9 | 19 | 11 |
| Type of issuer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 State | 6.354 | 6.632 | 4.314 | 897 | 185 | 304 | 640 | 195 | 323 |
| 78 Special district and statutory authority | 21.717 | 24.156 | 23.434 | 3.440 | 3.157 | 2.212 | 2.603 | 2,547 | 1.569 |
| 8 Municipalities, counties, townships, school districts | 18.623 | 17.718 | 15,617 | 1.724 | 1.558 | 1.283 | 1,003 | 1.666 | 9012 |
| 9 Issues for new capital, total | 36,189 | 37,629 | 41,505 | 5,986 | 4.539 | 3,783 | 3,639 | 4,265 | 2,599 |
| Use of proceeds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 Education | 5.076 | 5.003 | 5.130 | 753 | 631 | 266 | 422 | 767 | 202 |
| 11 Transportation | 2.951 | 3,460) | 2.441 | 344 | 151 | 95 | 425 | 279 | 255 |
| 12 Utilities and conservation | 8.119 | 9.026 | 8.594 | 625 | 1.260 | 1.176 | 716 | 764 | 367 |
| 13 Social welfare | 8.274 | 10.494 | 15.968 | 3.007 | 1.695 | 1.424 | 1.198 | 1.095 | 1.023 |
| 14 Industrial aid | 4.676 | 3.526 | 3.836 | 367 | 188 | 341 | 331 | 531 | 369 |
| 15 Other purposes | 7.1093 | 6.120 | 5.536 | 930 | 614 | 481 | 547 | 829 | 383 |

. Par amounts of long-term issues based on date of sale.
. Only bonds sold pursuant to the 1949 Housing Act, which are secured by contract requiring the Housing Assistance Administration to make annual contributions to the local authority.

### 1.47 NEW SECURITY ISSUES of Corporations

Millions of dollars

| Type of issue or issuer. or use | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | May | June | July | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. |
| 1 All issues ${ }^{1}$ | 53,792 | 47,230 | 51,464 | 9,067 | 9,511 | 7,941 | 5,371 | 4,922 | 5,728 | 3,827 |
| 2 Bonds | 42,015 | 36.872 | 40,139 | 7,335 | 8,148 | 6,567 | 4,147 | 2,813 | 3,275 | 2,055 |
| 3 Type of offering | 24.072 | 19.815 | 25.814 | 6.810 | 7.548 | 5.354 | 3.843 | 2.421 | 2.756 | 1.405 |
| 4 Private placement | 17.943 | 17.057 | 14.325 | 525 | 600 | 1.213 | 304 | 392 | 519 | 650 |
| ${ }_{5}$ Industry group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 Manufacturing | 12.204 | 9.572 | 9.667 | 2.4000 | 2.318 | 2.851 | 1.499 | 509 | 614 | 88 |
| 6 Commercial and miscellaneous | 6.234 | 5.246 | 3.941 | 560 | 1.629 | 999 | 203 | 357 | 312 | 432 |
| 7 Transportation | 1.996 | 2.007 | 3.102 | 364 | 38.5 | 329 | 338 | 401 | 236 | 86 |
| 8 Public utility | 8.262 | 7.092 | 8.118 | 723 | 1.412 | 316 | 971 | 555 | 754 | 565 |
| ${ }^{9}$ Communication | 3.163 | 3.373 | 4.219 | 1.171 | 209 | 787 | 580 | 517 | 791 | 163 |
| 10 Real estate and financial | 10.258 | 9.586 | 11.095 | 2.116 | 2.195 | 1.284 | 556 | 472 | 568 | 722 |
| 11 Stocks | 11,777 | 10,358 | 11,325 | 1,732 | 1,363 | 1,374 | 1,224 | 2,109 | 2,453 | 1,772 |
| Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 Preferred | 3.916 | 2.832 | 3,574 | 202 | 382 | 3601 | 101 | 392 | 535 | 256 |
| 13 Common | 7.861 | 7.526 | 7.751 | 1.530 | 981 | 1.014 | 1.123 | 1.717 | 1.918 | 1.516 |
| Industry group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 Manufacturing | 1.189 | 1.241 | 1,679 | 215 | 127 | 165 | 293 | 502 | 848 | 418 |
| 15 Commercial and miscellaneous | 1.834 | 1.816 | 2.623 | 512 | 202 | 390 | 238 | 569 | 321 | 509 |
| 16 Transportation | 456 | 263 | 5255 | 27 | 9 |  | 32 | 54 | 117 | 53 |
| 17 Public utility | 5.865 | 5.140 | 5.171 | 615 | 494 | 714 | 463 | 633 | 526 | 227 |
| 18 Communication | 1.379 | 264 | 303 | 25 | 126 |  | 46 | ${ }^{6}$ | 67 | 113 |
| 19 Real estate and financial | 1.049 | 1.631 | 1.293 | 338 | 406 | 104 | 152 | 345 | 574 | 452 |

1. Figures, which represent gross proceeds of issues maturing in more than one year, sold for cash in the United States, are principal amount or number of units multiplied by offering price. Excludes offerings of less than $\$ 100.000$. secondary offerings, undefined or exempted issues as defined in the Securities Act of
2. employee stock plans. investment companies other than closed-end. intracorporate transactions. and sales to foreigners

### 1.48 OPEN-END INVESTMENT COMPANIES Net Sales and Asset Position

Millions of dollars

|  | Item | 1979 | 1980 | 1980 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{1981}{\text { Jan. }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | June | July | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. |  |
| Investment Companies ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sales of own shares ${ }^{2}$ | 7.495 | 15.266 ${ }^{r}$ | 1,772 | 1,890 | 1.507 | 1,405 | 1.523 | 1.289 | 1,242 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 1,675 |
| 2 | Redemptions of own shares ${ }^{3}$ | 8.393 | 12.012 | 775 | 863 | 1,019 | 1,228 | 1,362 | 1.086 | 1,720 | 1,193 |
| 3 | Net sales ................. | -898 | $3.254^{r}$ | 997 | 1,027 | 488 | 177 | 161 | 203 | -478 | 482 |
|  | Assets ${ }^{4}$ | 49.277 | 58.400 | 52.946 | 54.406 | 54.941 | 55.779 | 56.156 | 60.329 | 58.400 | 56.160 |
| 5 | Cash position ${ }^{5}$ | 4,983 | 5.321 | 6.495 | 5.629 | 5,619 | 5.481 | 5,460 | 5.467 | 5.321 | 4.636 |
| 6 | Other ........ | 44,294 | 53,079 | 46,451 | 48.777 | 49,322 | 50,298 | 50,696 | 54,862 | 53,079 | 51,524 |

1. Excluding money market funds.
2. Includes reinvestment of investment income dividends. Excludes reinvestment of capital gains distributions and share issue of conversions from one fund to another in the same group.
3. Excludes share redemption resulting from conversions from one fund to another in the same group.
4. Market value at end of period. less current liabilities.
5. Also includes all U.S. government securities and other short-term debt securitics.
Note. Investment Company Institute data based on reports of members, which comprise substantially all open-end investment companies registered with the Se curities and Exchange Commission. Data reflect newly formed companies after their initial offering of securities.

### 1.49 CORPORATE PROFITS AND THEIR DISTRIBUTION

Billions of dollars; quarterly data are at seasonally adjusted annual rates

| Account |  | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1979 |  |  |  | 1980 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Q1 |  |  | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 |
| 1 | Profits before tax |  | 192.6 | 223.3 | 255.4 | 253.1 | 250.9 | 262.0 | 255.4 | 277.1 | 217.9 | 237.6 |
| 2 | Profits tax liability | 72.6 | 83.0 | 87.6 | 88.5 | 86.4 | 88.4 | 87.2 | 94.2 | 71.5 | 78.5 |
| 3 | Profits after tax | 120.0 | 140.3 | 167.7 | 164.6 | 164.5 | 173.6 | 168.2 | 182.9 | 146.4 | 159.1 |
| 4 | Dividends ......... | 38.7 | 43.1 | 48.6 | 47.5 | 48.3 | 48.6 | 50.1 | , 52.4 | 54.2 | 55.1 |
| 5 | Undistributed profits | 81.3 | 97.2 | 119.1 | 117.1 | 116.2 | 125.0 | 118.1 | 130.5 | 92.2 | 104.0 |
| 6 | Capital consumption allowances | 110.4 | 122.9 | 139.5 | 131.9 | 137.2 | 142.6 | 146.4 | 151.7 | 155.4 | 160.5 |
| 7 | Net cash flow | 191.7 | 220.1 | 258.6 | 249.0 | 253.4 | 267.6 | 264.5 | 282.2 | 247.6 | 264.5 |

Source. Survey of Current Business (U.S. Department of Commerce).

### 1.50 NONFINANCIAL CORPORATIONS Current Assets and Liabilities

Billions of dollars, except for ratio

| Account | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 |  |  | 1980 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 |
| 1 Current assets | 759.0 | 826.8 | 902.1 | 1,030.0 | 1,108.2 | 1,169.5 | 1,200.9 | 1,235.2 | 1,233.8 | 1,255.8 |
| 2 Cash | 82.1 | 88.2 | 95.8 | 104.5 | 100.1 | 103.7 | 116.1 | 110.2 | 111.5 | 113.2 |
| 3 U.S. government securities | 19.0 | 23.4 | 17.6 | 16.3 | 18.6 | 15.8 | 15.6 | 15.1 | 13.8 | 16.3 |
| ${ }_{5}^{4}$ Notes and accounts receivable | 272.1 | 292.8 | 324.7 | 383.8 | 421.1 | 453.0 | 456.8 | 471.2 | 464.2 | 479.2 |
| 5 Inventories | 315.9 | 342.4 | 374.8 | 426.9 | 465.2 | 489.4 | 501.7 | 519.5 | 525.7 | 525.1 |
| 6 Other | 69.9 | 80.1 | 89.2 | 98.5 | 103.2 | 107.7 | 110.8 | 119.3 | 118.7 | 122.0 |
| 7 Current liabilities | 451.6 | 494.7 | 549.4 | 665.5 | 724.7 | 777.8 | 809.1 | 838.3 | 828.1 | 852.1 |
| 8 Notes and accounts payable | 264.2 | 281.9 | 313.2 | 373.7 | 406.4 | 438.8 | 456.3 | 467.9 | 463.1 | 477.3 |
| 9 Other.. | 187.4 | 212.8 | 236.2 | 291.7 | 318.3 | 339.0 | 352.8 | 370.4 | 364.9 | 374.8 |
| 10 Net working capital | 307.4 | 332.2 | 352.7 | 364.6 | 383.5 | 391.7 | 391.8 | 397.0 | 405.7 | 403.7 |
| 11 Memo: Current ratio ${ }^{1}$ | 1.681 | 1.672 | 1.642 | 1.548 | 1.529 | 1.504 | 1.484 | 1.474 | 1.490 | 1.474 |

1. Ratio of total current assets to total current liabilities.

Note. For a description of this series, see "Working Capital of Nonfinancial Corporations" in the fuly 1978 Bulletin, pp. 533-37.

All data in this table reflect the most current benchmarks. Complete data are available upon request from the Flow of Funds Section, Division of Research and Statistics.

Source. Federal Trade Commission.

### 1.51 TOTAL NONFARM BUSINESS EXPENDITURES on New Plant and Equipment

Billions of dollars; quarterly data are at seasonally adjusted annual rates.

| Industry | 1979 | $1980{ }^{2}$ | 1979 |  | 1980 |  |  |  | 1981 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Q3 | Q4 | Q1 | Q2 | Q13 | Q4 ${ }^{2}$ | Q1 ${ }^{2}$ | Q2 ${ }^{2}$ |
| 1 Total nonfarm business | 270.46 | 294.30 | 273.15 | 284.30 | 291.89 | 294.36 | 296.23 | 294.95 | 310.59 | 323.84 |
| Manufacturing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 Nondurable goods industries | 47.61 | 56.65 | 47.97 | 51.55 | 53.49 | 56.32 | 58.21 | 57.96 | 60.23 62.46 | 65.21 |
| Nonmanufacturing <br> 4 Mining. | 11.38 | 13.50 | 11.40 | 11.86 | 11.89 | 12.81 | 13.86 | 15.25 | 16.07 | 18.02 |
| ${ }_{5}$ Transportation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 Railroad | 4.03 4.01 | 4.17 <br> 3.97 | 4.13 3.95 | 4.24 4.55 | 4.46 3.90 | 4.06 <br> 4.27 <br> .7 | 3.98 4.06 | 4.22 <br> 3.59 | 3.62 <br> 4.04 | 4.07 3.41 |
| ${ }_{7}^{6}$ Air Other | 4.31 | 3.84 3.84 | 4.60 | 4.41 | 4.11 | 3.76 | 4.18 | 3.44 | 3.83 | 3.41 4.13 |
| Public utilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 Electric | 27.65 | 27.44 | 28.71 | 27.16 | 28.98 | 27.91 | 28.14 | 25.05 | 27.99 | 27.93 |
| 9 Gas and other | 6.31 | 7.18 | 6.35 | 6.92 | 7.28 | 7.12 | 7.44 | 6.90 | 8.79 | 8.29 |
| 10 Trade and services | 79.26 | 82.28 | 78.86 | 82.69 | 82.17 | 81.07 | 81.19 | 84.87 | 84.09 | 87.43 |
| 11 Communication and other ${ }^{1}$ | 34.83 | 37.02 | 35.05 | 35.90 | 37.34 | 37.66 | 36.97 | 36.26 | 39.48 | 40.01 |

1. "Other" consists of construction; social services and membership organization; and forestry, fisheries, and agricultural services.
2. Anticipated by business.

Source. Survey of Current Business (U.S. Dept. of Commerce)

### 1.52 DOMESTIC FINANCE COMPANIES Assets and Liabilities

Billions of dollars, end of period

| Account | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts receivable, gross |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Consumer | 36.1 | 36.0 | 38.6 | 44.0 | 52.6 | 65.7 | 67.7 | 70.2 | 71.7 | 73.6 |
| 2 Business | 37.2 | 39.3 | 44.7 | 55.2 | 63.3 | 70.3 | 70.6 | 70.3 | 66.9 | 72.3 |
| 3 Total . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 73.3 | 75.3 | 83.4 | 99.2 | 116.0 | 136.0 | 138.4 | 140.4 | 138.6 | 145.9 |
| 4 Less: Reserves for unearned income and losses | 9.0 | 9.4 | 10.5 | 12.7 | 15.6 | 20.0 | 20.4 | 21.4 | 22.3 | 23.3 |
| 5 Accounts receivable, net | 64.2 | 65.9 | 72.9 | 86.5 | 100.4 | 116.0 | 118.0 | 119.0 | 116.3 | 122.6 |
| 6 Cash and bank deposits | 3.0 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 3.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 All other | 12.0 | 11.8 | 12.6 | 14.3 | 17.3 | 24.9 | 23.7 | 26.1 | 28.3 | 27.5 |
| 9 Total assets | 79.6 | 81.6 | 89.2 | 104.3 | 122.4 | 140.9 | 141.7 | 145.1 | 144.7 | 150.1 |
| Liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 Bank loans | 9.7 | 8.0 | 6.3 | 5.9 | 6.5 | 8.5 | 9.7 | 10.1 | 10.1 | 13.2 |
| 11 Commercial paper | 20.7 | 22.2 | 23.7 | 29.6 | 34.5 | 43.3 | 40.8 | 40.7 | 40.5 | 43.4 |
| 12 Debt |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 Short-term, n.e.c. | 4.9 | 4.5 | 5.4 | 6.2 | 8.1 | 8.2 | 7.4 | 7.9 | 7.7 | 7.5 |
| 13 Long-term n.e.c. | 26.5 | 27.6 | 32.3 | 36.0 | 43.6 | 46.7 | 48.9 | 50.5 | 52.0 | 52.4 |
| 14 Other. | 5.5 | 6.8 | 8.1 | 11.5 | 12.6 | 14.2 | 15.7 | 16.0 | 14.6 | 14.3 |
| 15 Capital, surplus, and undivided profits | 12.4 | 12.5 | 13.4 | 15.1 | 17.2 | 19.9 | 19.2 | 19.9 | 19.8 | 19.4 |
| 16 Total liabilities and capital | 79.6 | 81.6 | 89.2 | 104.3 | 122.4 | 140.9 | 141.7 | 145.1 | 144.7 | 150.1 |

1. Beginning Q1 1979, asset items on lines 6, 7, and 8 are combined.

Note. Components may not add to totals due to rounding.

### 1.53 DOMESTIC FINANCE COMPANIES Business Credit

Millions of dollars, seasonally adjusted except as noted

| Type | Accounts receivable outstanding Nov. 30, $1980^{1}$ | Accounts receivable outstanding Dec. 31, $1980^{1}$ | Changes in accounts receivable |  |  | Extensions |  |  | Repayments |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1980 |  |  | 1980 |  |  | 1980 |  |  |
|  |  |  | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Oct. | Nov, | Dec. |
| 1 Total | 69,742 | 72,337 | 647 | 410 | 1,982 | 16,781 | 15,681 | 18,308 | 16,134 | 15,271 | 16,326 |
| 2 Retail automotive (commercial vehicles) . | 12,469 | 12.455 | -128 | -169 | -151 | 969 | 908 | 923 | 1,097 | 1,077 | 1,074 |
| 3 Wholesale automotive ................. | 11,169 | 12.182 | 62 | 299 | 434 | 5.223 | 5.455 | 5,564 | 5,161 | 5.156 | 5,130 |
| farm equipment | 22,589 | 23,465 | 16 | 149 | 876 | 1,460 | 1,612 | 1,562 | 1,444 | 1,463 | 686 |
| 5 Loans on commercial accounts receivable and factored commercial accounts receivable |  | 7,416 | 408 | -261 | 1,195 | 6.756 | 5.455 | 7.827 | 6,348 | 5,716 | 6,632 |
| 6 All other business credit | 17,501 | 16,819 | 289 | 392 | -372 | 2,373 | 2,251 | 2,432 | 2,084 | 1,859 | 2,804 |

1. Not seasonally adjusted.

### 1.54 MORTGAGE MARKETS

Millions of dollars; exceptions noted.

| Item | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1980 |  |  |  |  |  | 1981 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | July | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. |
|  | Terms and yields in primary and secondary markets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary Markets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Conventional mortgages on new homes Terms ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Purchase price (thousands of dollars) | 62.6 | 74.4 | 83.5 | 89.0 | 88.6 | 83.7 | 84.0 | 77.1 | 97.0 | 89.8 |
| 2 Amount of loan (thousands of dollars) | 45.9 | 53.3 | 59.3 | 63.7 | 61.5 | 58.7 | 61.3 | 56.1 | 63.0 | 65.1 |
| 3 Loan/price ratio (percent) | 75.3 | 73.9 | 73.3 | 73.5 | 71.2 | 72.2 | 75.0 | 75.2 | 72.9 | 75.6 |
| ${ }_{5} 4$ Maturity (years) ................... | 28.0 | 28.5 | 28.2 | 28.9 | 27.7 | 27.6 | 28.2 | 27.6 | 28.2 | 29.0 |
| 5 Fees and charges (percent of loan amount) ${ }^{2}$. ${ }^{\text {c... }}$ | 1.39 9 | 1.66 | 2.10 | 2.13 | 2.12 | 2.10 | 2.16 | 2.15 | 2.40 | 2.60 |
| 6 Contract rate (percent per annum) ........ | 9.30 | 10.48 | 12.25 | 12.11 | 11.84 | 11.95 | 12.20 | 12.62 | 12.80 | 13.02 |
| Yield (percent per annum) <br> 7 FHIBB series ${ }^{3}$ | 9.54 | 10.77 | 1265 | 12.51 | 12.25 | 1235 | 12.60 | 13.04 |  | 1354 |
| 8 HUD series ${ }^{4}$. | 9.68 | 11.15 | 13.95 | 12.45 | 13.25 | 13.70 | 14.10 | 14.70 | 15.05 | 14.95 |
| Secondary Markets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yield (percent per annum) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 FHA mortgages (HUD series) ${ }^{5}$ | 9.70 | 10.87 | 13.42 | 12.39 | 13.54 | 14.26 | 14.38 | 14.47 | 14.08 | 14.23 |
| 10 GNMA securities ${ }^{6}$ | 8.98 | 10.22 | 12.55 | 11.53 | 12.34 | 12.84 | 12.91 | 13.55 | 13.62 | 13.50 |
| 11 FNMA auctions ${ }^{7}$ Government-underwritten loans | 9.77 | 11.17 | 14.11 | 12.65 | 13.92 | 14.77 | 14.94 | 15.53 | 15.21 | 14.27 |
| 12 Conventional loans | 10.01 | 11.77 | 14.43 | 12.80 | 13.66 | 14.45 | 14.70 | 15.30 | 15.54 | 14.95 |
|  | Activity in secondary markets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal National Mortgage Association |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mortgage holdings (end of period) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 FHA-insured | 43.311 | 51.091 | 51,327 | 55,362 | 55,361 | 55,632 | 55.188 | 56.619 | 57,327 | 57.380 |
| 15 VA-guaranteed | 10,544 | 10,496 | ) $33,417^{8}$ | 31,751 | 31,741 | 31,997 | 32,493 | 32.839 | 33,417 | 33,417 |
| 16 Conventional . | 11,524 | 16,106 | 18,358 | 18,034 | 18,049 | 18,074 | 13,148 | 18,239 | 18,358 | 18.435 |
| ${ }^{\text {Mortgage transactions (during period) }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 Purchases . | 12,303 9 | 10,805 0 | 8.100 0 | 100 0 | 167 0 | 500 0 | 771 0 | 579 0 | 855 | 185 |
| Mortgage commitments ${ }^{9}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 Contracted (during period) | 18,959 | 10,179 | 8,044 | 734 | 1,180 | 1,070 | 514 | 472 | 403 | 241 |
| 20 Outstanding (end of period) ................... | 9,185 | 6,409 | 3.278 | 4.230 | 4,545 | 4,789 | 4,399 | 3.963 | 3,278 | 3.063 |
| Auction of 4-month commitments to buy Government-underwritten loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 Offered ......................... . . | 12,978 | 8,860 | 8.605 | 1,055.6 | 1.063 .3 | 907.0 | 427.8 | 252.0 | 242.1 | 210.7 |
| 22 Accepted. | 6,747.2 | 3,921 | 4,002 | 430.3 | 628.10 | 538.0 | 257.7 | 135.6 | 110.8 | 93.0 |
| Conventional loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 Offered | 9,933.0 | 4,495 | 3,639 | 228.7 | 430.4 | 347.7 | 107.6 | 81.6 | 84.8 | 32.0 |
| 24 Accepted | 5.111 | 2,344 | 1,749 | 140.9 | 218.8 | 209.8 | 93.9 | 68.8 | 54.1 | 30.3 |
| Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mortgage holdings (end of period) ${ }^{10}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 Total | 3,064 | 4,035 | 5,067 | 4,151 | 4,295 | 4,543 | 4,727 | 4,843 | 5,067 | 5,039 |
| 26 FHA/VA | 1,243 | 1,102 | 1,033 | 1,066 | 1,058 | 1,050 | 1,044 | 1,038 | 1,033 | 1,029 |
| 27 Conventional | 1,165 | 1,957 | 2,830 | 3,085 | 3,237 | 3,492 | 3,629 | 3,715 | 2,830 | 2.825 |
| Mortgage transactions (during period) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 Purchases .......................... | 6,525 | 5.717 | 3,722 | 440 | 495 | 521 | 398 | 231 | 285 | 152 |
| 29 Sales | 6,211 | 4,544 | 2,526 | 288 | 320 | 275 | 187 | 94 | 48 | 168 |
| Mortgage commitments ${ }^{11}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 Contracted (during period). | 7.451 | 5,542 | 3,859 | 708 1386 | 476 | 218 | 222 | 180 | 126 | 203 |
| 31 Outstanding (end of period) | 1,410 | 797 | 447 | 1,386 | 1,300 | 934 | 726 | 653 | 447 | 487 |

1. Weighted averages based on sample surveys of mortgages originated by major institutional lender groups. Compiled by the Federal Home Loan Bank Board in cooperation with the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.
2. Includes all fees, commissions, discounts, and "points" paid (by the borrower or the seller) in order to obtain a loan.
3. Average effective interest rates on loans closed, assuming prepayment at the end of 10 years.
4. Average contract rates on new commitments for conventional first mortgages, rounded to the nearest 5 basis points; from Department of Housing and Urban Development
5. Average gross yields on 30 -year, minimum-downpayment. Federal Housing Administration-insured first mortgages for immediate delivery in the private secondary market. Any gaps in data are due to periods of adjustment to changes in maximum permissible contract rates.
6. Average net yields to investors on Government National Mortgage Association guaranteed, mortgage-backed, fully modified pass-through
securities, assuming prepayment in 12 years on pools of 30 -year FHA/VA mortgages carrying the prevailing ceiling rate. Monthly figures are unweighted averages of Monday quotations for the month.
7. Average gross yields (before deduction of 38 basis points for mortgage servcing) on accepted bids in Federal National Mortgage Association's auctions of 4 month commitments to purchase home mortgages, assuming prepayment in 12 years for 30-year mortgages. No adjustments are made for FNMA commitment fees or stock related requirements. Monthly figures are unweighted averages for auctions conducted within the month.
8. Beginning March 1980, FHA-insured and VA-guaranteed mortgage holdings in lines 14 and 15 are combined.
9. Includes some multifamily and nonprofit hospital loan commitments in addition to 1 - to 4 -family loan commitments accepted in FNMA's free market auction system, and through the FNMA-GNMA tandem plans.
10. Includes participation as well as whele loans.
11. Includes conventional and government-underwritten loans.

Millions of dollars, end of period

| Type of holder, and type of property |  | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1979 | 1980 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Q4 |  |  | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | O4 |
|  | All holders |  | 1,168,486 | 1,324,856 | 1,449,633 | 1,333,550 | 1,355,402 | 1,378,414 | 1,412,515 | 1,449,633 |
| 2 1- to 4 -family3 Multifanily.4 Commercial5 Farm |  | 764.246 | 875,874 | 956.475 | 872.068 | 894.980 | 908.119 | 931,232 | 956,475 |
|  |  | 121.285 | 129,261 | 137,859 | 130,713 | 130.800 | 132,430 | 134,886 | 137.859 |
|  |  | 211,749 71,206 | 237,205 82,516 | 258,799 96,500 | 238,412 92,357 | 242,709 86,913 | 246.861 91,004 | 252,783 93,644 | 258,799 96,500 |
| 6 Major financial institutions |  | 848.177 | 938,676 | 998.025 | 939,487 | 951.402 | 958,892 | 977,454 | 998,025 |
|  | Commercial banks ${ }^{1}$ | 214,045 | 245,187 | 264,602 | 245,998 | 250.702 | 253,103 | 258,003 | 264,602 |
| 8 | 1- to 4-family | 129,167 | 149,460 | 160,746 | 145,975 | 152,553 | 153,753 | 156,737 | 160,746 |
|  | Multifamily | 10,266 |  | 12,304 | 12,546 | 111.557 | 11.764 | 11,997 | 12,304 |
| 10 | Commercial | 66.115 | 75.957 | 82,688 | 77,096 | 77.993 | 79,110 | 80,626 | 82,688 |
| 11 | Farm | 8.497 | 8.590 | 8.864 | 10,381 | 8.599 | 8.476 | 8,643 | 8.864 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & 13 \\ & 14 \\ & 15 \\ & 16 \end{aligned}$ | Mutual savings banks | 95,157 | 98.908 | 99.827 | 98,908 | 99,151 | 99.150 | 99.306 | 99,827 |
|  | 1- to 4 -family | 62,252 | 64,706 | 65,307 | 64,706 | 64,885 | 64.864 | 64.966 | 65,307 |
|  | Multifamily | 16,529 | 17,180 | 17,340 | 17,180 | 17,223 | 17.223 | 17.249 | 17.340 |
|  | Commercial | 16,319 | 16,963 | 17,120 | 16,963 | 17,004 | 17,004 | 17,031 | 17,120 |
|  | Farm | 57 | 59 | 60 | 59 | 59 | 59 | 60 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 17 \\ & 18 \\ & 19 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | Savings and loan associations | 432,808 | 475,797 | 502,718 | 475,797 | 479,078 | 481.184 | 492,068 | 502,718 |
|  | 1-to 4-family | 356,114 | 394,436 | 417,759 | 394,436 | 398.114 | 398,864 | 408,908 | 417,759 |
|  | Muitifamily | 36,053 | 37.588 | 39,011 | 37,588 | 37,224 | 37,340 | 38,185 | 39,011 |
|  | Commercial | 40,641 | 43.773 | 45.948 | 43.773 | 43.740 | 43,980 | 44,975 | 45,948 |
| 21 | Life insurance companies | 106,167 | 118.784 | 130,878 | 118,784 | 122.471 | 125,455 | 128.077 | 130.878 |
| 22 | 1 - to 4 -family | 14,436 | 16,193 | 18,420 | 16,193 | 16,850 | 17,796 | 17.996 | 18,420 |
|  | Multifamily | 19,000 | 19,274 | 19,813 | 19,274 | 19,590 | 19,284 | 19,357 | 19,813 |
|  | Commercial | 62.232 | 71.137 | 79.843 | 71.137 | 73,618 | 75.693 | 77.995 | 79,843 |
| 25 | Farm | 10.499 | 12.180 | 12.802 | 12,180 | 12.413 | 12.682 | 12,729 | 12,802 |
| 26272829 | Federal and related agencies Government National Mortgage Association 1- to 4 -family Multifamily | 81.853 | 97,293 | 114,325 | 97,293 | 104,133 | 108.742 | 110,695 | 114,325 |
|  |  | 3.509 | 3,852 | 4,453 | 3,852 | 3,919 | 4.466 | 4.389 | 4.453 |
|  |  | 877 | 763 | 709 | 763 | 749 | ${ }^{736}$ | 719 | 709 |
|  |  | 2.632 | 3,089 | 3.744 | 3,089 | 3.170 | 3,730 | 3.670 | 3,744 |
|  |  | 926 | 1,274 | 3,725 | 1,274 | 2,845 | 3.375 | 3.525 | 3.725 |
|  |  | 288 | 417 | 1,033 | 417 | 1,139 | 1,383 | 978 | 1,033 |
|  |  | 320 | 71 | 818 | 71 | 408 | 636 | 774 | 818 |
|  |  | 101 | 174 | 391 | 174 | 409 | 402 | 370 | 391 |
|  |  | 217 | 612 | 1,483 | 612 | 889 | 954 | 1.403 | 1,483 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 36 \\ & 37 \end{aligned}$ |  | 5.419 | 5,764 | 5,824 | 5,764 | 5.833 | 5.894 | 5,769 | 5,824 |
|  |  | 1,641 | 1,863 | 1,879 | 1,863 | 1,908 | 1,953 | 1,826 | 1,879 |
|  |  | 3.778 | 3,901 | 3,945 | 3,901 | 3,925 | 3.941 | 3,943 | 3,945 |
|  |  | 43.311 | 51,091 | 57,327 | 51,091 | 53,990 | 55.419 | 55,632 | 57,327 |
|  |  | 37.579 | 5.488 | 51.775 | 45,488 | 48,394 | 49,837 | 50,071 | 51,775 |
|  |  | 5,732 | 5,603 | 5,552 | 5,603 | 5,596 | 5,582 | 5,561 | 5,552 |
| 414243Federal Land Banks1-to 4 -family... |  | 25,624 | 31.277 | 38,131 | 31,277 | 33,311 | 35.574 | 36,837 | 38.131 |
|  |  | 927 | 1.552 | 2,099 | 1.552 | 1.708 | 1.893 | 1,985 | 2.099 |
|  |  | 24,697 | 29,725 | 36,032 | 29,725 | 31,603 | 33,681 | 34,852 | 36,032 |
|  |  | 3,064 | 4,035 | 4,865 | 4,035 | 4.235 | 4.014 | 4.543 | 4,865 |
|  |  | 2.407 | 3,059 | 3,710 1 | 3,059 | 3.210 | 3,037 | 3,459 | 3,710 |
|  |  | 657 | 976 | 1,155 | 976 | 1,025 | 977 | 1,084 | 1.155 |
| 47 Mortgage pools or trusts ${ }^{2}$. . . . . . . . . . |  | 88,633 | 119.278 | 142.498 | 119,278 | 124,632 | 129,647 | 136.583 | 142.498 |
| 48 Government National Mortgage Association |  | 54,347 | 76.401 | 93,874 |  |  | 84,282 | 89.452 | 93,874 |
|  |  | 52.732 | 74,546 | 91,602 | 74,546 | 78.872 | 82.208 | 87,276 | 91.602 |
|  | Multifamily | 1,615 | 1,855 | 2,272 | 1,855 | 1,971 | 2,074 | 2,176 | 2,272 |
|  |  | 11,892 | 15.180 | 16.952 | 15,180 | 15,454 | 16.120 | 16,659 | 16.952 |
|  |  | 9,657 | 12.149 | $\begin{array}{r}13,397 \\ 3 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 12,149 | 12,359 | 12,886 | 13.318 |  |
| 54 Farmers Home Administration |  | 22.394 |  |  |  | 28,335 | 29.245 | 30.472 |  |
|  | 1-10 4 -family ............. | 13,400 | 14.884 | 16,865 | 14,884 | 14,926 | 15.224 | 16.226 | 16.865 |
|  | Multifamily | 1,116 | 2.163 | 2,323 | 2,163 | 2,159 | 2.159 | 2,235 | 2.323 |
|  | Commercial | 3.560 | 4.322 | 5,258 | 4,328 | 4.495 | 4,763 | 5,059 | 5,258 |
|  | Farm | 4.318 | 6.322 | 7,226 | 6.322 | 6,755 | 7.099 | 6,952 | 7,226 |
| 59 Individual and others ${ }^{3}$ |  | 149,823 | 169,609 | 194,785 | 177,492 | 175,235 | 181,133 | 187,783 | 194,785 |
|  |  | 82.769 | 96.358 | 111,174 | 96,037 | 99,333 | 102.685 | 106,767 | 111, 174 |
|  |  | 21.352 | 23,350 | 26,027 | 23,436 | 23.857 | 24,486 | 25,284 | 26,027 |
| 616263 | Commercial | 22,781 | 24,873 | 27,551 | 24,941 | 25.450 | 25,909 | 26,727 | 27.551 |
|  | 63 Farm | 22,921 | 25,028 | 30,033 | 33,078 | 26,595 | 28,053 | 29,005 | 30,033 |

1. Includes loans held by nondeposit trust companies but not bank trust departments
2. Outstanding principal balances of mortgages backing securities insured or guaranteed by the agency indicated.
3. Other holders include mortgage companies, real estate investment trusts, state and local credit agencies, state and local retirement funds, noninsured pension funds, credit unions, and U.S. agencies for which amounts are small or separate data are not readily available.
1.56 CONSUMER INSTALLMENT CREDIT ${ }^{1}$ Total Outstanding, and Net Change

Millions of dollars


### 1.57 CONSUMER INSTALLMENT CREDIT Extensions and Liquidations

Millions of dollars; monthly data are seasonally adjusted.


1. Includes auto dealers and excludes 30 -day charge credit held by travel and entertainment companies.
1.58 FUNDS RAISED IN U.S. CREDIT MARKETS

Billions of dollars; half-yearly data are at seasonally adjusted annual rates.


### 1.59 DIRECT AND INDIRECT SOURCES OF FUNDS TO CREDIT MARKETS

Billions of dollars, except as noted; half-yearly data are at seasonally adjusted annual rates

| Transaction category, or sector | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1978 |  | 1979 |  | 1980 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | HI | H2 | H1 | H2 | H1 | H2 |
| 1 Total funds advanced in credit markets to nonfinancial sectors | 200.7 | 261.0 | 335.3 | 398.3 | 390.6 | 349.8 | 387.4 | 409.2 | 377.7 | 402.3 | 313.0 | 386.5 |
| By public agencies and foreign |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 U.S. government securities | 22.5 | 26.8 | 40.2 | 43.9 | 2.0 | 22.3 | 43.7 | 116.6 44.0 | 4.6 -22.1 | 26.2 | 101.7 | 19.7 |
| 4 Residential mortgages ... | 16.2 | 12.8 | 20.4 | 26.5 | 36.1 | 32.0 | 22.2 | 30.7 | 32.6 | 39.6 | 33.5 | 30.4 |
| 5 FHLB advances to savings and loans | -4.0 | $-2.0$ | 4.3 | 12.5 | 9.2 | 7.1 | 13.2 | 11.8 | 7.8 | 10.5 | 4.1 | 10.2 |
| 6 Other loans and securities ......... | 9.8 | 16.6 | 20.2 | 26.9 | 32.8 | 34.5 | 23.7 | 30.1 | 29.2 | 36.3 | 39.3 | 29.6 |
| Total advanced, by sector |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 U.S. government ........ | 15.1 | 8.9 | 11.8 | 20.4 | 22.5 | 26.0 | 19.4 | 21.4 | 23.8 | 21.3 | 29.6 | 22.5 |
| 8 Sponsored credit agencies | 14.8 | 20.3 | 26.8 | 44.6 | 57.5 | 48.6 | 39.4 | 49.8 | 49.9 | 65.2 | 43.6 | 53.6 |
| 9 Monetary authorities ... | 8.5 | 9.8 | 7.1 | 7.0 | 7.7 | 4.5 | 13.4 | . 5 | . 9 | 14.5 | 14.6 | $-5.6$ |
| 10 Foreign . . . . . . . . . | 6.1 | 15.2 | 39.4 | 37.7 | $-7.7$ | 16.7 | 30.6 | 44.9 | $-27.0$ | 11.7 | 13.9 | 19.5 |
| 11 Agency borrowing not included in line 1 | 13.5 | 18.6 | 26.3 | 41.4 | 52.4 | 47.5 | 38.5 | 44.3 | 45.8 | 59.0 | 45.8 | 49.2 |
| Private domestic funds advanced <br> 12 Total net advances | 169.7 | 225.4 | 276.5 | 330.0 | 362.9 | 301.5 | 323.2 | 336.9 | 375.9 | 348.8 | 257.1 | 345.8 |
| 13 U.S. government securities | 75.7 | 61.3 | 44.1 | 51.3 | 87.9 | 104.6 | 56.3 | 46.4 | 96.6 | 79.1 | 85.6 | 123.5 |
| 14 State and local obligations | 16.1 | 15.7 | 23.7 | 28.3 | 18.9 | 22.2 | 27.8 | 28.7 | 16.0 | 21.8 | 18.0 | 26.4 |
| 15 Corporate and foreign bonds | 32.8 | 30.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 25.6 | 25.5 | 24.1 | 20.9 | 26.9 | 24.3 | 32.4 | 18.7 |
| 16 Residential mortgages .... | 23.2 | 52.6 | 83.3 | 88.2 | 81.8 | 58.1 | 87.1 | 89.5 | 85.1 | 78.5 | 46.5 | 69.8 |
| 17 Other mortgages and loans | 17.9 | 63.3 | 107.3 | 152.2 | 157.9 | 98.2 | 141.1 | 163.3 | 159.1 | 155.6 | 78.6 | 117.7 |
| 18 Less: Federal Home Loan Bank advances | -4.0 | $-2.0$ | 4.3 | 12.5 | 9.2 | 7.1 | 13.2 | 11.8 | 7.8 | 10.5 | 4.1 | 10.2 |
| Private financial intermediation <br> 19 Credit market funds advanced by private financial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 Credit market funds advanced by private financial institutions | 122.5 | 190.1 | 257.0 | 296.9 | 292.5 | 265.6 | 301.7 | 292.0 | 307.5 | 277.4 | 229.6 | 301.8 |
| 20 Commercial banking | 29.4 | 59.6 | 87.6 | 128.7 | 121.1 | 103.5 | 132.5 | 125.0 | 124.6 | 117.6 | 57.2 | 149.9 |
| 21 Savings institutions. | 53.5 | 70.8 | 82.0 | 75.9 | 56.3 | 57.6 | 75.8 | 75.9 | 57.7 | 54.9 | 31.4 | 83.8 |
| 22 Insurance and pension funds | 40.6 | 49.9 | 67.9 | 73.5 | 70.4 | 76.4 | 76.9 | 70.2 | 75.4 | 65.5 | 84.6 | 68.2 |
| 23 Other finance | $-1.0$ | 9.8 | 19.6 | 18.7 | 44.7 | 28.1 | 16.6 | 20.9 | 49.8 | 39.6 | 56.3 | -. 1 |
| 24 Sources of funds | 122.5 | 190.1 | 257.0 | 296.9 | 292.5 | 265.6 | 301.7 | 292.0 | 307.5 | 277.4 | 229.6 | 301.8 |
| 25 Private domestic deposits | 92.0 | 124.6 | 141.2 | 142.5 | 136.7 | 163.9 | 138.3 | 146.7 | 121.7 | 151.6 | 147.7 | 180.1 |
| 26 Credit market borrowing | -1.4 | 4.4 | 26.9 | 38.3 | 33.8 | 19.8 | 40.0 | 36.7 | 38.4 | 29.2 | 5.1 | 34.6 |
| 27 Other sources . . . . . . . . | 32.0 | 61.0 | 89.0 | 116.0 | 122.0 | 81.9 | 123.5 | 108.6 | 147.3 | 96.6 | 76.8 | 87.1 |
| 28 Foreign funds | -8.7 | -4.6 | 1.2 | 6.3 | 26.3 | -20.0 | 5.7 | 6.9 | 49.4 | 3.2 | - 18.1 | -21.8 |
| 29 Treasury balances | $-1.7$ | -. 1 | 4.3 | 6.8 | . 4 | $-2.0$ | 1.9 | 11.6 | 5.1 | $-4.3$ | -2.5 | -1.5 |
| 30 Insurance and pension reserves | 29.7 | 34.5 | 49.4 | 62.7 | 49.0 | 58.5 | 66.2 | 59.2 | 53.9 | 44.0 | 59.6 | 57.4 |
| 31 Other, net . . . . . . | 12.7 | 31.2 | 34.1 | 40.3 | 46.3 | 45.4 | 49.6 | 31.0 | 38.9 | 53.7 | 37.9 | 53.1 |
| Private domestic nonfinancial investors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 Direct lending in credit markets | 45.8 | 39.7 | 46.3 | 71.5 | 104.2 | 55.7 | 61.4 | 81.6 | 106.8 | 100.5 | 32.6 | 78.7 |
| 33 U.S. government securities | 24.1 | 16.1 | 23.0 | 33.2 | 57.8 | 30.7 | 32.1 | 34.4 | 64.1 | 51.5 | 13.2 | 48.2 |
| 34 State and local obligations | 8.4 | 3.8 | 2.6 | 4.5 | -2.5 | $-1.8$ | 7.0 | 2.0 | $-2.3$ | $-2.7$ | $-2.9$ | $-.8$ |
| 35 Corporate and foreign bonds | 8.4 | 5.8 | $-3.3$ | -1.4 | 11.1 | 5.4 | -3.7 | 1.0 | 7.8 | 14.2 | 8.3 | 2.4 |
| 36 Commercial paper . . . . . . . . | $-1.3$ | 1.9 | 9.5 | 16.3 | 10.7 | -2.4 | 8.2 | 24.4 | 12.5 | 9.0 | -6.2 | 1.3 |
| 37 Other......... | 6.2 | 12.0 | 14.5 | 18.8 | 27.1 | 23.9 | 17.8 | 19.8 | 24.7 | 28.5 | 20.2 | 27.6 |
| 38 Deposits and currency | 98.1 | 131.9 | 149.5 | 151.8 | 144.7 | 173.5 | 148.7 | 154.8 | 131.1 | 158.1 | 156.7 | 190.1 |
| 39 Security RPs | . 2 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 7.5 | 6.6 | 4.7 | 9.8 | 5.1 | 18.5 | $-5.3$ | 5.3 | 4.0 |
| 40 Money market fund shares | 1.3 | * | 12.2 | 6.9 | 34.4 | 29.2 | 6.1 | 7.7 | 30.2 | 38.6 | 61.9 | -3.4 |
| 41 Time and savings accounts | 84.0 | 113.5 | 121.0 | 115.2 | 84.7 | 131.8 | 110.7 | 119.8 | 71.4 | 97.9 | 91.9 | 171.7 |
| 42 Large at commercial banks | - 15.8 | $-13.2$ | 23.0 | 45.9 | 4.4 | 12.7 | 33.9 | 57.9 | $-25.3$ | 26.0 | - 12.0 | 37.4 |
| 43 Other at commercial banks | 40.3 | 57.6 | 29.0 | 8.2 | 39.3 | 62.9 | 18.4 | -1.9 | 41.3 | 37.3 | 60.6 | 65.2 |
| 44 At savings institutions | 59.4 | 69.1 | 69.0 | 61.1 | 45.1 | 56.2 | 58.5 | 63.8 | 55.4 | 34.7 | 43.4 | 69.1 |
| 45 Money . . . . . . . . | 12.6 | 16.1 | 26.1 | 22.2 | 18.9 | 7.8 | 22.1 | 22.3 | 10.9 | 26.8 | -2.4 | 17.9 |
| 46 Demand deposits | 6.4 | 8.8 | 17.8 | 12.9 | 11.0 | -1.8 | 11.6 | 14.2 | 1.6 | 20.3 | -11.4 | 7.8 |
| 47 Currency...... | 6.2 | 7.3 | 8.3 | 9.3 | 7.9 | 9.6 | 10.5 | 8.1 | 9.3 | 6.5 | 9.0 | 10.1 |
| 48 Total of credit market instruments, deposits and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 49 Public support rate (in percent) | 22.2 | 20.8 | 25.4 | 27.5 | 20.5 | 27.4 | 26.5 | 28.5 | 12.6 | 28.0 | 32.5 | 23.3 |
| 50 Private financial intermediation (in percent) | 72.2 | 84.3 | 93.0 | 90.0 | 80.6 | 88.1 | 93.4 | 86.7 | 81.8 | 79.5 | 89.3 | 87.3 |
| 51 Total foreign funds . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | $-2.6$ | 10.6 | 40.5 | 44.0 | 18.6 | -3.3 | 36.3 | 51.8 | 22.4 | 14.9 | $-4.2$ | $-2.3$ |
| Memo: Corporate equities not included above 52 Total net issues | 10.7 | 11.9 | 4.0 | 3.7 | 6.6 | 17.0 | -. 4 | 7.9 | 4.8 | 8.4 | 11.1 | 22.8 |
| 53 Mutual fund shares | $-.1$ | $-1.0$ | -.9 | -1.0 | -1.0 | -2.0 | -. 5 | -1.5 | -1.0 | 8.4 -.9 | 1.4 | 2.7 |
| 54 Other equities | 10.8 | 12.9 | 4.9 | 4.7 | 7.6 | 15.0 | . 1 | 9.4 | 5.8 | 9.3 | 9.8 | 20.2 |
| 55 Acquisitions by financial institutions | 9.6 | 12.3 | 7.4 | 7.6 | 15.7 | 18.7 | . 4 | 14.7 | 12.5 | 18.9 | 16.7 | 20.7 |
| 56 Other net purchases . . . . . . . . . . . . | 1.1 | -. 4 | $-3.4$ | $-3.8$ | $-9.1$ | -1.7 | -. 8 | -6.8 | -7.7 | $-10.5$ | -5.6 | 2.1 |

Notes by line number.
Line 2 of p . A42.
Sum of lines 3-6 or 7-10.
Includes farm and commercial mortgages
11. Credit market funds raised by federally sponsored credit agencies, and net issues of federally related mortgage pool securities. Included below in lines 3, 13, 33.
12. Line 1 less line 2 plus line 11. Also line 19 less line 26 plus line 32 . Also sum of lines $27,32,39,40,41$, and 46.
17. Includes farm and commercial mortgages
25. Sum of lines $39,40,41$, and 46.
26. Excludes equity issues and investment company shares. Includes line 18.
28. Foreign deposits at commercial banks, bank borrowings from foreign branches, and liabilities of foreign banking agencies to foreign affiliates.
29. Demand deposits at commercial banks
30. Excludes net investment of these reserves in corporate equities.
31. Mainly retained earnings and net miscellaneous liabilities.
32. Line 12 less line 19 plus line 26.

33-37. Lines 13-17 less amounts acquired by private finance. Line 37 includes mortgages.
7. Mainly an offset to line 9
48. Lines 32 plus 38 , or line 12 less line 27 plus 45 .
49. Line 2 fline 1 .
50. Line 19 line 12
51. Sum of lines 10 and 28 .

52, 54 . Includes issues by financial institutions
Note. Full statements for sectors and transaction types quarterly, and annually for flows and for amounts outstanding, may be obtained from Flow of Funds Section, Division of Research and Statistics, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551.

## A44 Domestic Nonfinancial Statistics $\square$ March 1981

2.10 NONFINANCIAL BUSINESS ACTIVITY Selected Measures
$1967=100$; monthly and quarterly data are seasonally adjusted. Exceptions noted.

| Measure |  | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1980 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1981 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | June |  |  | July | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. ${ }^{p}$ | Feb.e |
| 1 Industrial production ${ }^{1}$ <br> Market groupings |  |  | 146.1 | 152.5 | 147.1 | 141.5 | 140.4 | 141.8 | 141.1 | 146.9 | 149.4 | 150.9 | 151.5 | 150.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Products, total | 144.8 | 150.0 | 146.7 | 142.5 | 142.8 | 143.8 | 145.3 | 147.2 | 148.7 | 149.9 | 150.1 | 149.1 |
| 3 | Final, total | 135.9 | 147.2 | $145.4 r$ | 142.4 | 142.8 | 143.9 | 143.9 | 145.8 | $147.5 r$ | 148.2 | 148.3 | 147.6 |
| 4 | Consumer goods | 149.1 | 150.8 | 145.5 | 142.1 | 142.0 | 142.7 | 144.3 | 146.6 | $148.0{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 147.7 | 147.4 | 146.5 |
| 5 | Equipment . | 132.8 | 142.2 | 145.1 | 142.6 | 142.9 | 142.9 | 143.2 | 144.8 | $146.7{ }^{r}$ | 148.9 | 149.4 | 149.1 |
| 6 | Intermediate | 154.1 | 160.5 | 151.9 | 143.5 | 144.4 | 147.6 | 150.6 | 152.4 | $153.5 r$ | 156.1 | 156.9 | 154.7 |
| 7 | Materials | 148.3 | 156.4 | $147.6^{r}$ | 140.0 | 136.5 | 138.6 | 142.4 | 146.4 | $150.5^{r}$ | 152.4 | 153.8 | 153.3 |
| Industry groupings |  | 146.8 | 153.6 | 146.6 | 140.3 | 139.1 | 140.6 | 143.4 | 14.5 .4 | 149.1 | 150.6 | 151.0 | 150.2 |
| Capacity utilization (percent) ${ }^{1,2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | Manufacturing ............ | $\begin{aligned} & 84.4 \\ & 85.6 \end{aligned}$ | $87.4$ | $\begin{aligned} & 79.0 \\ & 79.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 75.7 \\ & 75.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 74.9 \\ & 73.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 75.5 \\ & 74.6 \end{aligned}$ | 76.7 | 73.2 | 79.4 | 79.9 | 80.0 | 79.3 |
| 10 | Industrial materials industries |  |  |  |  |  |  | 76.4 | 73.4 | 80.4 | 81.2 | 81.7 | 81.2 |
| 11 Construction contracts $(1972=100)^{3}$ |  | 174.1 | 185.6 | 161.8 | 145.0 | 148.0 | 192.0 | 163.0 | 167.0 | 210.0 | 193.0 | 185.0 | n.a. |
| 12 | Nonagricultural employment, total ${ }^{4}$ | 131.8 | 136.6 | 137.8 | 136.8 | 136.6 | 137.0 | 137.4 | 137.9 | 138.2 | 138.5 | 139.0 | 139.1 |
| 13 | Goods-producing, total | 109.8 | 113.7 | 110.9 | 109.1 | 108.0 | 108.6 | 109.3 | 110.0 | 110.7 | 111.1 | 111.7 | 111.4 |
| 14 | Manufacturing, total | 105.4 | 108.3 | 104.7 | 102.9 | 102.0 | 102.5 | 103.1 | 103.7 | 104.3 | 104.4 | 104.6 | 104.7 |
| 15 | Manufacturing, production-worker | 103.0 | 105.4 | n.a. | 97.4 | 96.2 | 97.0 | 97.7 | 100.7 | 99.1 | 99.2 | 99.4 | 99.7 |
| 16 | Service-producing | 143.8 | 149.2 | 152.5 | 152.1 | 152.3 | 152.6 | 152.7 | 153.1 | 153.3 | 153.5 | 154.0 | 154.3 |
| 17 | Personal income, total | 273.3 | 308.5 | 342.9 | 337.6 | 343.0 | 345.9 | 350.1 | 35.4 .7 | 358.3 | 361.4 | 364.9 | n.a. |
| 18 | Wages and salary disbursements | 258.8 | 289.5 | 314.7 | 309.9 | 310.6 | 314.4 | 317.8 | 323.6 | 328.0 | 330.5 | 335.3 | n.a. |
| 19 | Manufacturing | 223.1 | 248.6 | 261.5 | 254.2 | 254.3 | 258.5 | 262.9 | 267.6 | 273.1 | 275.8 | 280.0 | n.a. |
| 20 | Disposable personal income ${ }^{5}$ | 268.7 | 301.5 | 334.5 |  |  | 338.0 |  |  | 348.3 r |  |  |  |
| 21 Retail sales ${ }^{6}$ |  | 253.8 | 281.6 | $300.0^{r}$ | 290.4 | 299.1 | 301.0 | 306.0 | 308.0 | $313.8{ }^{r}$ | 315.8 | 325.1 | 327.9 |
| Prices ${ }^{7}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | Consumer | 195.4 | 217.4 | 246.8 | 247.6 | 247.8 | 249.4 | 251.7 | 253.9 | 256.2 | 258.4 | 260.5 | n.a. |
| 23 | Producer finished goods | 194.6 | 216.1 | 246.9 | 244.9 | 249.3 | 251.4 | 251.4 | 255.4 | 255.6 | 256.9 | 259.8 | 262.4 |

1. The industrial production and capacity utilization series have been revised back to January 1979
2. Ratios of indexes of production to indexes of capacity. Based on data from Federal Reserve, McGraw-Hill Economics Department, and Department of Commerce.
3. Index of dollar value of total construction contracts, including residential. nonresidential, and heavy engineering, from McGraw-Hill Information Systems Company, F. W. Dodge Division.
4. Based on data in Employment and Earnings (U.S. Department of Labor) Series covers employees only, excluding personnel in the Armed Forces.
5. Based on data in Survey of Current Business (U.S. Department of Commerce)
6. Based on data in Survey of Current B
Series for disposable income is quarterly
7. Based on Bureau of Census data published in Survey of Current Business. 7. Data without seasonal adjustment, as published in Monthly Labor Review. Seasonally adjusted data for changes in the price indexes may be obtained from the Bureau of Labor Statistics, U.S. Department of Labor.

Note. Basic data (not index numbers) for series mentioned in notes 4, 5, and 6, and indexes for series mentioned in notes 3 and 7 may also be found in the Survev of Current Business.

Figures for industrial production for the last two months are preliminary and estimated, respectively.

### 2.11 OUTPUT, CAPACITY, AND CAPACITY UTILIZATION

Seasonally adjusted

| Series | 1980 |  |  |  | 1980 |  |  |  | 1980 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | O4 | O1 | O2 | Q3 | Q4 | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 |
|  | Output (1967 $=100$ ) |  |  |  | Capacity (percent of 1967 output) |  |  |  | Utilization rate (percent) |  |  |  |
| 1 Manufacturing | 152.8 | 143.9 | 141.0 | 148.7 | 183.3 | 184.8 | 186.3 | 187.8 | 83.4 | 77.9 | 75.7 | 79.2 |
| 2 Primary processing. | 160.5 | 145.0 | 139.6 | 153.0 | 188.5 | 190.0 | 191.5 | 193.0 | 85.1 | 76.3 | 72.9 | 79.3 |
| 3 Advanced processing | 148.8 | 143.3 | 141.8 | 146.4 | 180.5 | 182.0 | 183.5 | 185.0 | 82.5 | 78.7 | 77.3 | 79.1 |
| 4 Materials | 156.3 | 145.1 | 139.2 | 149.8 | 182.8 | 184.3 | 185.8 | 187.2 | 85.5 | 78.7 | 74.9 | 80.0 |
| 5 Durable goods ... | 155.0 | 140.6 | 131.5 | 145.1 | 187.2 | 188.6 | 190.0 | 191.5 | 82.8 | 74.6 | 69.2 | 75.8 |
| 6 Metal materials | 117.1 | 100.6 | 86.6 | 109.9 | 140.7 | 140.8 | 140.9 | 141.0 | 83.2 | 71.4 | 61.5 | 78.0 |
| 7 Nondurable goods | 179.3 | 166.0 | 161.9 | 175.5 | 199.8 | 202.0 | 204.3 | 206.5 | 89.7 | 82.2 | 79.2 | 85.0 |
| 8 Textile, paper, and chemical | 187.5 | 171.9 | 165.6 | 182.6 | 208.3 | 211.0 | 213.7 | 216.2 | 90.0 | 81.5 | 77.5 | 84.5 |
| 9 Textile .................. | 120.6 | 116.4 | 113.4 | 113.1 | 138.8 | 139.2 | 139.6 | 140.0 | 86.9 | 83.7 | 81.2 | 80.8 |
| 10 Paper | 146.1 | 142.1 | 142.9 | 149.4 | 154.7 | 156.0 | 157.4 | 158.8 | 94.5 | 91.0 | 90.7 | 94.0 |
| 11 Chemical | 233.6 | 208.3 | 197.9 | 226.8 | 260.4 | 264.6 | 268.7 | 272.9 | 89.7 | 78.7 | 73.6 | 83.1 |
| 12 Energy materials | 130.8 | 130.0 | 129.6 | 129.2 | 151.1 | 151.8 | 152.6 | 153.1 | 86.6 | 85.6 | 85.0 | 84.4 |

2.11 Continued


1. Monthly high 1973: monthly low 1975.
2. Preliminary; monthly highs December 1978 through January 1980; monthly
lows July 1980 through October 1980.

### 2.12 LABOR FORCE, EMPLOYMENT, AND UNEMPLOYMENT

Thousands of persons; monthly data are seasonally adjusted. Exceptions noted.

| Category | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1980 |  |  |  |  | 1981 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Aug. | Scpt. | Oct. | Nov. ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | Dec.' | Jan. ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | Feb. |
| Household Survey Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Noninstitutional population ${ }^{1}$ | 161,058 | 163,620 | 166,246 | 166,578 | 166,789 | 167,005 | 167,201 | 167,396 | 167,585 | 167,747 |
| 2 Labor force (including Armed Forces)' | 102.537 | 104.996 | 106.821 | 107.059 | 107.101 | 107.288 | 107.404 | 107.191 | 107.668 | 107.802 |
| 3 Civilian labor force Employment | 100.420 | 102.908 | 104.719 | 104.945 | 104.980 | 105,167 | 105.285 | 105.067 | 105.543 | 105.681 |
| 4 Nonagricultural industries ${ }^{2}$ | 91.031 | 93.648 | 93,960 | 93.793 | 93.781 | 93,887 | 93.999 | 93.888 | 94.294 | 94,646 |
| $5 \xrightarrow[\text { Agriculture ............................. }]{\substack{\text { Unemploymen }}}$ | 3.342 | 3.297 | 3,310 | 3.210 | 3.399 | 3.319 | 3.340 | 3.394 | 3.403 | 3.281 |
| 6 Number . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 6.047 | 5.963 | 7.448 | 7.942 | 7.800 | 7.961 | 7.946 | 7.785 | 7.847 | 7.754 |
| 7 Rate (percent of civilian labor force) | 6.0 | 5.8 | 7.1 | 7.6 | 7.4 | 7.6 | 7.5 | 7.4 | 7.4 | 7.3 |
| 8 Not in labor force | 58,521 | 58.623 | 59.425 | 59.519 | 59.687 | 59.717 | 59.797 | 60.205 | 59.917 | 59.946 |
| Establishment Survey Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 Nonagricultural payroll employment ${ }^{3}$ | 86,697 | 89,886 | 90,652 | 90,142 | 90,384 | 90,710 | 90,961 | 91,125 | 91,499 | 91,550 |
| 10 Manufacturing | 20.505 | 21.062 | 20.365 | 19.940 | 20.044 | 20,157 | 20.282 | 20.312 | 20,350 | 20.370 |
| 11 Mining ....... | 851 | 960 | 1.025 | 1.013 | 1.028 | 1.037 | 1.054 | 1.072 | 1.084 | 1.090 |
| 12 Contract construction | 4,229 | 4.483 | 4.468 | 4.359 | 4.404 | 4.442 | 4.475 | 4.508 | 4.608 | 4.500 |
| 13 Transportation and public utilities | 4.923 | 5.141 | 5.155 | 5.129 | 5.124 | 5,147 | 5.132 | 5.137 | 5.148 | 5.147 |
| 14 Trade . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 19.542 | 20,269 | 20.571 | 20.589 | 20.620 | 20.641 | 20.660 | 20.638 | 20.782 | 20.892 |
| 15 Finance | 4.724 | 4,974 | 5.162 | 5.180 | 5.194 | 5.214 | 5.225 | 5.245 | 5.265 | 5.275 |
| 16 Service | 16.252 | 17.078 | 17.736 | 17.788 | 17.861 | 17.913 | 17.969 | 18.068 | 18.135 | 18.164 |
| 17 Government | 15,672 | 15.920 | 16.171 | 16.144 | 16.109 | 16,159 | 16.164 | 16.145 | 16.127 | 16,112 |

1. Persons 16 years of age and over. Monthly figures, which are based on sample data. relate to the calendar week that contains the 12th day; annual data are averages of monthly figures. By definition, seasonality does not exist in population averages of monthy figures. By Befinition, seasonality does not exist in populoument and Earnings (U.S. Department of Lafigure
2. Includes self-employed, unpaid family, and domestic service workers.
3. Data include all full- and part-time employees who worked during, or received pay for, the pay period that includes the 12 th day of the month. and exclude proprietors. self-employed persons. domestic servants, unpaid family workexclude proprietors. seli-employed persons. domestic servants, unpaid amily workers, and members of tea Armed fortes. Data are adjusted to the March ine. Based on data from Employment and Earnings (U.S. Department of Labor).

### 2.13 INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTION Indexes and Gross Value

Monthly data are seasonally adjusted.


[^24]2.13 Continued

| Grouping | $\underset{\text { code }}{\text { SIC }}$ | 1967 <br> pro- <br> por- <br> tion | 1980 Avg. | 1980 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1981 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Feb. | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan.p | Feb.e |
|  |  |  |  | Index (1967 = 100) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Major Industry |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Mining and utilities |  | 12.05 | 150.4 | 149.0 | 150.1 | 149.6 | 150.1 | 150.1 | 150.5 | 150.5 | 150.2 | 152.8 | 153.4 | 155.4 | 155.2 |
| 2 Mining . . . . . . . . |  | 6.36 | 132.8 | 132.9 | 133.1 | 133.4 | 132.9 | 130.6 | 129.6 | 130.5 | 132.1 | 136.0 | 138.2 | 140.5 | 141.5 |
| 3 Utilities |  | 5.69 | 169.9 | 167.1 | 169.1 | 167.7 | 169.3 | 171.8 | 173.8 | 172.7 | 170.4 | 171.5 | 170.4 | 172.0 | 170.4 |
| 4 Electric |  | 3.88 | 189.7 | 185.7 | 187.9 | 186.0 | 188.7 | 192.4 | 195.4 | 193.9 | 190.3 | 191.5 | 190.3 |  |  |
| 5 Manufacturing |  | 87.95 | 146.6 | 153.0 | 147.9 | 143.4 | 140.3 | 139.2 | 140.6 | 143.4 | 146.4 | 149.1 | 150.6 | 151.0 | 150.2 |
| 6 Nondurable |  | 35.97 | 161.1 | 165.9 | 161.6 | 158.0 | 155.3 | 154.7 | 156.9 | 160.3 | 161.8 | 163.3 | 165.1 | 165.2 | 165.1 |
| 7 Durable . |  | 51.98 | 136.6 | 144.1 | 138.4 | 133.3 | 129.9 | 128.3 | 129.4 | 131.7 | 135.8 | 139.3 | 140.5 | 141.1 | 139.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 Metal | 10 | . 51 | 109.1 | 136.6 | 123.5 | 120.8 | 120.0 | 83.1 | 71.2 | 73.1 | 90.8 | 107.2 | 122.1 | 122.6 |  |
| 9 Coal . . . . . . . . . . | 11.12 | . 69 | 146.7 | 136.0 | 143.4 | 145.0 | 150.0 | 149.8 | 154.9 | 148.9 | 145.7 | 151.6 | 155.3 | 150.3 | 156.2 |
| 10 Oil and gas extraction. | 13 | 4.40 | 133.6 | 130.4 | 132.5 | 133.9 | 133.2 | 134.3 | 133.6 | 134.7 | 135.4 | 137.4 | 137.4 | 140.7 | 142.5 |
| 11 Stone and earth minerals | 14 | . 75 | 131.7 | 142.3 | 133.1 | 128.1 | 123.9 | 123.7 | 123.5 | 128.2 | 129.0 | 133.0 | 137.8 | 142.7 | . . . . |
| 12 Fondurable manufactures | 20 | 8.75 | 149.3 | 149.0 | 147.8 | 149.5 | 149.0 | 148.9 | 148.3 | 148.6 | 149.4 | 150.5 | 151.4 | 151.1 |  |
| 13 Tobacco products | 21 | . 67 | 119.8 | 120.0 | 121.9 | 116.2 | 113.9 | 119.6 | 117.4 | 119.1 | 123.1 | 125.1 | 118.8 | 151. |  |
| 14 Textile mill products | 22 | 2.68 | 136.8 | 144.0 | 139.9 | 137.1 | 133.6 | 132.5 | 132.6 | 133.0 | 133.8 | 135.0 | 133.2 | 133.8 | . . . . |
| 15 Apparel products | 23 | 3.31 | 128.6 | 133.8 | 131.3 | 128.6 | 127.2 | 121.5 | 123.8 | 126.7 | 127.5 | 128.0 | 125.0 |  |  |
| 16 Paper and products | 26 | 3.21 | 151.0 | 153.6 | 148.2 | 145.7 | 146.2 | 143.6 | 147.1 | 152.3 | 153.0 | 154.4 | 156.5 | 155.4 | 154.1 |
| 17 Printing and publishing | 27 | 4.72 | 139.6 | 139.9 | 136.5 | 135.5 | 135.4 | 138.6 | 140.3 | 140.3 | 141.5 | 142.7 | 144.9 | 145.6 | 146.2 |
| 18 Chemicals and products | 28 | 7.74 | 206.7 | 217.4 | 209.1 | 199.2 | 191.1 | 190.3 | 197.8 | 206.8 | 209.1 | 212.0 | 218.8 | 219.0 |  |
| 19 Petroleum products ... | 29 | 1.79 | 134.9 | 144.6 | 137.4 | 133.0 | 131.3 | 130.5 | 126.7 | 130.5 | 130.1 | 131.2 | 136.8 | 137.4 | 136.5 |
| 20 Rubber and plastic products | 30 | 2.24 | 255.8 | 266.8 | 261.8 | 248.1 | 242.9 | 242.5 | 245.9 | 253.1 | 259.2 | 259.6 | 259.2 | 259.9 |  |
| 21 Leather and products .... | 31 | . 86 | 70.1 | 73.3 | 69.9 | 70.1 | 68.5 | 67.8 | 67.7 | 67.2 | 70.2 | 71.2 | 67.8 | 67.8 |  |
| Durable manufactures <br> 22 Ordnance, private and government .... | 19.91 | 3.64 | 77.9 | 77.2 | 77.5 | 77.9 | 77.5 | 77.1 | 77.2 | 77.1 | 79.1 | 79.6 | 79.5 | 69.6 | 79.8 |
| 23 Lumber and products | 24 | 1.64 | 119.3 | 130.2 | 105.2 | 104.5 | 109.7 | 112.8 | 121.7 | 122.6 | 122.2 | 124.9 | 122.0 | 122.3 |  |
| 24 Furniture and fixtures | 25 | 1.37 | 150.0 | 159.2 | 157.1 | 149.5 | 143.1 | 138.6 | 141.1 | 144.8 | 147.2 | 147.2 | 149.0 | 148.5 |  |
| 25 Clay, glass, stone products | 32 | 2.74 | 146.5 | 162.4 | 148.8 | 140.8 | 134.5 | 134.2 | 135.7 | 141.4 | 145.2 | 147.8 | 151.5 | 154.0 |  |
| 26 Primary metals | 33 | 6.57 | 101.6 | 111.9 | 106.4 | 96.1 | 90.4 | 81.7 | 86.0 | 90.1 | 100.6 | 113.4 | 112.1 | 112.9 | 111.7 |
| 27 Iron and steel | 331.2 | 4.21 | 91.7 | 103.4 | 97.4 | 84.4 | 75.4 | 68.1 | 75.3 | 79.8 | 93.3 | 107.4 | 103.6 | 106.4 |  |
| 28 Fabricated metal products | 34 | 5.93 | 135.0 | 145.7 | 141.4 | 133.2 | 126.1 | 123.8 | 125.8 | 129.0 | 132.8 | 134.1 | 137.4 | 138.2 | 137.9 |
| 29 Nonelectrical machinery . | 35 | 9.15 | 162.7 | 167.0 | 163.2 | 162.1 | 158.3 | 158.5 | 158.8 | 159.1 | 161.1 | 163.4 | 167.1 | 168.8 | 168.1 |
| 30 Electrical machinery . . . | 36 | 8.05 | 172.7 | 179.2 | 177.0 | 171.4 | 166.6 | 165.0 | 166.7 | 167.5 | 170.0 | 173.0 | 174.9 | 177.7 | 175.4 |
| 31 Transportation equipment . . . . . | 37 | 9.27 | 116.8 | 125.7 | 115.1 | 109.8 | 110.0 | 110.7 | 108.3 | 112.9 | 118.8 | 121.7 | 120.6 | 117.4 | 116.4 |
| 32 Motor vehicles and parts . . . . | 371 | 4.50 | 118.8 | 133.9 | 114.7 | 105.9 | 106.7 | 107.9 | 104.4 | 113.4 | 124.2 | 129.0 | 126.3 | 119.0 | 117.9 |
| 33 Acrospace and miscellaneous transportation equipment | 372-9 | 4.77 | 114.9 | 118.1 | 115.5 | 113.5 | 113.1 | 113.4 | 111.9 | 112.3 | 113.6 | 114.8 | 115.2 | 116.0 | 114.9 |
| 34 Instruments . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 38 | 2.11 | 171.0 | 174.8 | 173.8 | 171.0 | 169.2 | 167.5 | 167.6 | 167.4 | 169.6 | 169.9 | 172.1 | 173.6 | 171.6 |
| 35 Miscellaneous manufactures | 39 | 1.51 | 147.8 | 151.6 | 151.2 | 147.3 | 43.7 | 144.7 | 144.2 | 142.8 | 145.0 | 147.5 | 149.5 | 151.6 | 150.7 |
|  | Gross value (billions of 1972 dollars, annual rates) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Major Market |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36 Products, total . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | **. $\cdot$, | 507.4 | 602.0 | 619.8 | 599.5 | 588.6 | 585.0 | 586.7 | 585.9 | 593.3 | 604.7 | 610.9 | 615.1 | 614.4 | 609.6 |
| 37 Final |  | 390.92 | 465.4 | 476.4 | 464.5 | 457.3 | 455.6 | 456.9 | 453.0 | 58.0 | 467.7 | 473.0 | 475.0 | 472.7 | 470.4 |
| 38 Consumer goods |  | 277.52 | 313.5 | 320.0 | 312.5 | 306.3 | 305.8 | 307.7 | 305.1 | 309.0 | 316.6 | 320.0 | 319.9 | 317.7 | 315.8 |
| 39 Equipment |  | 113.42 | 151.9 | 156.3 | 152.0 | 151.0 | 149.8 | 149.2 | 147.9 | 149.0 | 151.1 | 153.0 | 155.1 | 155.0 | 154.6 |
| 40 Intermediate |  | $116.6{ }^{2}$ | 136.7 | 143.4 | 135.0 | 131.3 | 129.4 | 129.9 | 132.9 | 135.3 | 137.1 | 137.9 | 140.1 | 141.7 | 139.2 |

[^25]Note. Published groupings include some series and subtotals not shown separately. For description and historical data, see Industrial Production-1976 Revision (Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System: Washington, D.C.), December 1977.

### 2.14 HOUSING AND CONSTRUCTION

Monthly figures are at seasonally adjusted annual rates except as noted.

| Item | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1980 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1981 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | June | July | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov.r | Dec. ${ }^{r}$ | Jan. |
|  | Private residential real estate activity (thousands of units) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Permits authorized | 1,801 | 1.552 | 1,171 | 1,078 | $1.236{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1,361 | 1,564 | 1.333 | 1,355 | 1.235 | 1,213 |
| 2 1-family | 1.183 | 981 | 704 | 628 | 781 | 857 | 914 | 819 | 812 | 743 | 703 |
| 3 2-or-more-family | 618 | 570 | 467 | 450 | 455 | 504 | 650 | 514 | 543 | 492 | 510 |
| 4 Started | 2,020 | 1,745 | 1.292 | 1,184r | $1.277 r$ | 1,411r | $1.482 r$ | $1,519 r$ | 1.550 | 1.532 | 1.585 |
| 5 1-family .......................... | 1,433 | 1,194 | 852 | $760^{r}$ | $867 \%$ | $971{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $1.1032 r$ | 1.0099 | 1.019 | 971 | 941 |
| 6 2-or-more-family | 587 | 551 | 440 | $424 r$ | $410{ }^{r}$ | $440 r$ | 450 | $510 r$ | 531 | 561 | 644 |
| 7 Under construction. end of period ${ }^{1}$ | 1.310 | 1.140 | 903 | 871 | 851 | 843 | 868 | $886{ }^{\circ}$ | 907 | 918 | п.a. |
| 8 1-family .. | 765 | 639 | 519 | 474 | 473 | 474 | 500 | $515 r$ | 531 | 537 381 | n.a. |
| 9 2-or-more-family | 546 | 501 | 385 | 397 | 378 | 369 | 368 | $371{ }^{r}$ | 376 | 381 | n.a. |
| 10 Completed | 1.868 | 1,855 | 1.498 | 1,469 | 1.502 | 1,405 | 1.256 | 1.285 | 1.269 | 1,380 | n.a. |
| 11 1-family ........................... | 1.369 | 1.286 | 954 | ${ }_{586} 8$ | 876 | 917 | 753 | 819 r | 824 | 897 | n.a. |
| 12 2-or-more-family . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 499 | 570 | 544 | 583 | 626 | 488 | 503 | $466^{r}$ | 445 | 483 | п.a. |
| 13 Mobile homes shipped | 276 | 277 | 222 | $166{ }^{r}$ | $207 r$ | $208{ }^{r}$ | $239 r$ | $236{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 239 | 261 | п.a. |
| Merchant builder activity in 1 -family units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 Number sold ....................... | 818 | 709 | 531 | 532 r | $625 r$ | $616^{r}$ | $563{ }^{r}$ | $549 r$ | 559 | 527 | 493 |
| 15 Number for sale, end of period Price (thousands of dollars)² Median | 419 | 402 | 342 | $341^{r}$ | $335 r$ | $331{ }^{r}$ | 335 | 334 | 338 | 337 | 336 |
| 16 Units sold . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 55.8 | 62.7 | 64.9 | 65.4 | 64.4 | 63.2 | 68.5 | $66.1{ }^{r}$ | 67.2 | 67.8 | 67.2 |
| Average <br> 17 Units sold | 62.7 | 71.9 | 76.6 | 76.3 | 76.8 | 76.5 | 80.3 | $77.7 r$ | 82.1 | 81.9 | 79.6 |
| Existing Units (1-family) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 Number sold | 3.905 | 3.742 | 2.881 | $2.570^{r}$ | 2.920 | 2,970 | 3,280r | $3.120^{r}$ | 2.960 | 2.910 | 2,580 |
| Price of units sold (thous of dollars) ${ }^{2}$ <br> 19 Median | 48.7 | 55.5 | 62.1 | 63.4 | 64.1 | 64.9 | 64.2 | 62.7 | 64.3 | 63.0 | 64.5 |
| 20 Average .......................... | 55.1 | 64.0 | 72.7 | $74.1{ }^{\prime}$ | 75.7 | 76.2 | 75.5 | 73.4 | 74.9 | 74.0 | 76.1 |
|  | Value of new construction ${ }^{3}$ (millions of dollars) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 Total put in place . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 205,457 | 228,948 | 227,775 | 215,021 | 214,315 | 215,149 | 223,660 | 226,132 | 231,564 | 242,376 | 255,638 |
| 22 Private | 159.555 | 179.948 | 172.622 | 161.349 | 158.593 | 162.057 | 167.887 | 171.053 | 177.861 | 183,990 | 191.954 |
| 23 Residential | 93,423 | 99,029 | 86,210 | 73,360 | 74.277 | 78.632 | 84.378 | 87.375 | 93.692 | 95.978 | 100,682 |
| 24 Nonresidential, total Buildings | 66,132 | 80,919 | 86.412 | 87,989 | 84.316 | 83.425 | 83.504 | 83.678 | 84.169 | 88.012 | 91,272 |
| 25 Industrial ..... | 10.993 | 14.953 | 14,021 | 15,022 | 13.267 | 13,046 | 13.102 | 12,996 | 13.392 | 15,079 | 14,393 |
| 26 Commercial .................. | 18.568 | 24.924 | 29,344 | 29.609 | 28.063 | 27.993 | 27.425 | 28.417 | 28.888 | 30,392 | 33.574 |
| 27 Other ........................... | 6.739 | 7.427 | 8.533 | 8.256 | 8.115 | 8.095 | 8.447 | 8.760 33.505 | 8.799 | 9,086 | 9.864 |
| 28 Public utilities and other | 29,832 | 33.615 | 34.514 | 35.102 | 34.871 | 34.291 | 34.530 | 33.505 | 33.090 | 33.455 | 33.441 |
| 29 Public | 45,901 | 49.001 | 55.154 | 53.672 | 55.721 | 53.092 | 55.778 | 55,078 | 53.703 | 58,386 | 63,684 |
| 30 Military | 1.501 | 1.641 | 1.876 | 1.748 | 2.041 | 2.315 | 1.717 | 2.144 | 1.866 | 1.818 | 2,127 |
| 31 Highway | 10,713 | 11.915 | 13.450 | 14.012 | 13.758 | 11.334 | 13.804 | 13.550 | 12.427 | 13,347 | n.a. |
| 32 Conservation and development .... | 4,457 | 4.586 | 5.081 | 4.241 | 5.896 | 4.353 | 5.091 | 4.763 | 5.109 | 5,607 | n.a. |
| 33 Other . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 29,230 | 30,859 | 34.747 | 33.671 | 34.026 | 35,190 | 35.166 | 34.621 | 34.301 | 37.614 | n.a. |

## 1. Not at annual rates.

2. Not seasonally adjusted.
3. Value of new construction data in recent periods may not be strictly comparable with data in prior periods due to changes by the Bureau of the Census in its estimating techniques. For a description of these changes see Construction Reports (C-30-76-5), issued by the Bureau in July 1976.

Note. Census Bureau estimates for all series except (a) mobile homes, which are private. domestic shipments as reported by the Manufactured Housing Institute are private, domestic shipments as reported by the Manufactured Housing Institute
and seasonally adjusted by the Census Bureau. and (b) sales and prices of existing and seasonally adjusted by the Census Bureau. and (b) sales and prices of existing
units, which are published by the National Association of Realtors. All back and units, which are published by the National Association of Realtors. All back and
current figures are available from originating agency, Permit authorizations are those reported to the Census Bureau from 16.000 jurisdictions beginning with 1978.

### 2.15 CONSUMER AND PRODUCER PRICES

Percentage changes based on seasonally adjusted data, except as noted

| Item | 12 months to |  | 3 months (at annual rate) to |  |  |  | 1 month to |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Index } \\ & \text { level } \\ & \text { Jan. } \\ & 1981 \\ & (1967 \\ & =100)^{1} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1980 \\ & \text { Jan. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1981 \\ & \text { Jan. } \end{aligned}$ | 1980 |  |  |  | 1980 |  |  |  | $\frac{1981}{\text { Jan }}$ |  |
|  |  |  | Mar. ${ }^{r}$ | Juner ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | Sept. ${ }^{r}$ | Dec. ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | Sept. ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | Oct. ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | Nov.r | Dec. ${ }^{r}$ |  |  |
| Consumer Prices ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 All items | 13.9 | 11.7 | 17.3 | 11.4 | 7.8 | 13.2 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.0 | . 7 | 260.5 |
| 2 Commodities | 13.6 | 10.3 | 15.3 | 5.4 | 13.2 | 11.0 | 1.3 | . 9 | 1.0 | . 7 | . 6 | 245.4 |
| 3 Food. | 8.9 | 10.2 | 3.3 | 5.8 | 19.7 | 13.1 | 1.7 | . 9 | 1.2 | 1.0 | -. 1 | 268.6 |
| 4 Commodities less food | 15.7 | 10.5 | 20.7 | 5.2 | 10.6 | 9.9 | 1.1 | . 9 | . 9 | . 6 | 1.0 | 232.4 |
| 5 Durable | 10.6 | 9.8 | 8.2 | 7.5 | 15.2 | 11.8 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 4 | . 3 | 221.0 |
| 6 Nondurable | 22.3 | 11.2 | 38.1 | 3.8 | 5.0 | 6.2 | . 4 | . 3 | . 5 | 7 | 2.1 | 245.3 |
| 7 Services | 14.5 | 13.7 | 20.1 | 20.5 | . 7 | 16.8 | . 7 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | . 9 | 287.7 |
| 8 Rent.......... | 8.1 | 9.1 | 8.3 | 10.0 | 8.6 | 9.6 | 1.0 | 1.0 | . 6 | . 7 | . 7 | 200.9 |
| 9 Services less rent | 15.5 | 14.3 | 21.7 | 22.1 | $-.3$ | 17.8 | . 7 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.5 | . 9 | 304.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 All items less food and energy | 15.1 | 12.0 | 20.3 | 12.7 | 5.7 | 13.2 | . 9 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 257.6 |
| 12 Homeownership ........... | 21.1 | 14.8 | 22.6 | 26.4 | -3.5 | 23.1 | . 7 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 1.5 | . 5 | 335.8 |
| Producer Prices |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 Finished goods | 13.3 | 10.8 | 17.5 | 8.4 | 13.5 | 7.8 | . 3 | . 9 | . 5 | . 5 | . 9 | 259.8 |
| 14 Consumer | 14.4 | 10.9 | 18.8 | 7.6 | 14.5 | 6.9 | . 3 | . 8 | . 5 | . 4 | . 8 | 261.4 |
| 15 Foods | 5.2 | 8.1 | -. 9 | -1.4 | 31.0 | 3.6 | . 5 | . 7 | . 1 | . 1 | 0.0 | 250.6 |
| 16 Excluding foods | 19.4 | 12.2 | 29.7 | 12.1 | 7.6 | 8.5 | . 2 | . 8 | . 7 | . 5 | 1.2 | 260.9 |
| 17 Capital equipment | 9.6 | 10.8 | 13.6 | 10.9 | 9.9 | 11.4 | . 1 | 1.7 | . 1 | . 9 | 1.0 | 253.9 |
| 18 Intermediate materials ${ }^{3}$ | 18.6 | 10.6 | 23.7 | 6.2 | 7.8 | 12.6 | . 5 | . 5 | . 8 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 296.6 |
| ${ }_{19}$ Crude materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 Nonfood | 29.1 | 12.3 | 18.9 | . 2 | 32.3 | 17.6 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 1.3 | . 8 | -. 8 | 428.7 |
| 20 Food | 3.9 | 11.1 | -16.6 | -. 3 | 73.9 | -4.1 | . 7 | 1.5 | . 2 | -2.6 | -1.1 | 270.6 |

1. Not seasonally adjusted.
2. Figures for consumer prices are those for all urban consumers.
3. Excludes intermediate materials for food manufacturing and manufactured animal feeds.

### 2.16 GROSS NATIONAL PRODUCT AND INCOME

Billions of current dollars except as noted; quarterly data are at seasonally adjusted annual rates.

| Account | 1978 | 1979 | $1980{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 1979 | 1980 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Q4 | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ |
| Gross National Product |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Total | 2,156.1 | 2,413.9 | 2,626.5 | 2.727 .5 | 2,571.7 | 2,564.8 | 2,637.3 | 2,732.3 |
| ${ }_{2}$ By source | 1348.7 | 1510.9 | 1.6723 | 1582.3 | 1.631 .0 | 1626.8 | 1682.2 | 17492 |
| 3 Durable goods ................ | 199.3 | - 212.3 | 1,672.3 | 1.675.4 | 1, 220.9 | 1.6194 .4 | 1,682.2 208.8 | 1223.4 |
| 4 Nondurable goods | 529.8 | 602.2 | 675.4 | 785.1 | 661.1 | 664.0 | 674.2 | 702.2 |
| 5 Services......... | 619.6 | 696.3 | 785.1 | 727.0 | 749.0 | 768.4 | 799.2 | 823.7 |
| 6 Gross private domestic investment | 375.3 | 415.8 | 395.4 | 410.0 | 415.6 | 390.9 | 377.1 | 398.1 |
| 7 Fixed investment. | 353.2 | 398.3 | 400.8 | 108.6 | 413.1 | 383.5 | 393.2 | 413.3 |
| 8 Nonresidential | 242.0 | 279.7 | 295.4 | 290.2 | 297.8 | 289.8 | 294.0 | 300.0 |
| 9 Structures | 78.7 | 96.3 | 108.6 | 105.1 | 108.2 | 108.4 | 107.3 | 110.5 |
| 10 Producers' durable equipment | 163.3 | 183.4 | 186.8 | 185.1 | 189.7 | 181.4 | 186.8 | 189.5 |
| 11 Residential structures ......... | 111.2 | 118.6 | 105.3 | 120.6 | 115.2 | 93.6 | 99.2 | 113.3 |
| 12 Nonfarm | 106.9 | 113.9 | 100.3 | 115.4 | 110.1 | 88.9 | 94.5 | 107.9 |
| 13 Change in business inventories | 22.2 | 17.5 | -5.3 | -0.8 | 2.5 | 7.4 | -16.4 | $-15.2$ |
| 14 Nonfarm | 21.8 | 13.4 | -4.1 | -4.4 | 1.5 | 6.1 | -12.3 | $-11.7$ |
| 15 Net exports of goods and services | $-0.6$ | 13.4 | 24.2 | 7.6 | 8.2 | 17.1 | 44.5 | 26.9 |
| 16 Exports | 219.8 | 281.3 | 340.1 | 306.3 | 337.3 | 333.3 | 342.4 | 347.5 |
| 17 Imports | 220.4 | 267.9 | 315.9 | 298.7 | 329.1 | 316.2 | 297.9 | 220.5 |
| 18 Government purchases of goods and services | 432.6 | 473.8 | 534.6 | 496.4 | 515.8 | 530.0 | 533.5 | 558.0 |
| 19 Federal | 153.4 | 167.9 | 198.9 | 178.1 | 193.0 | 198.7 | 194.9 | 212.1 |
| 20 State and local | 279.2 | 305.9 | 335.7 | 318.3 | 325.8 | 331.3 | 338.6 | 346.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 Goods | 946.6 | 1.055.9 | 1.131.2 | 1.078 .4 | 1.116.9 | 1.106 .4 | 1.129 .4 | 1.171 .9 |
| 23 Durable | 409.8 | 451.2 | 458.8 | 448.1 | 456.4 | 444.6 | 456.5 | 477.8 |
| 24 Nondurable | 536.8 | 604.7 | 672.3 | 630.3 | 6610.5 | 661.8 | 672.9 | 694.1 |
| 25 Services | 976.3 | 1.097 .2 | 1,229.5 | 1,142.8 | 1,173.6 | 1,205.6 | 1,249.0 | 1,284.8 |
| 26 Structures | 233.2 | 260.8 | 265.8 | 275.1 | 276.2 | 252.8 | 258.9 | 275.5 |
| 27 Change in business inventories | 22.2 | 17.5 | -5.3 | -0.8 | 2.5 | 7.4 | -16.0 | -15.2 |
| 28 Durable goods | 17.8 | 11.5 | -4.1 | -0.4 | -11.8 | 3.3 | -8.4 |  |
| 29 Nondurable goods | 4.4 | 6.0 | -1.2 | -0.5 | 14.3 | 4.1 | -7.7 | -15.6 |
| 30 Memo: Total GNP in 1972 dellars | 1,436.9 | 1,483.0 | 1,480.7 | 1,490.6 | 1,501.9 | 1,463.3 | 1,471.9 | 1,486.5 |
| National Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 Total | 1,745.4 | 1,963.3 | 2,119.5 | 2,031.3 | 2,088.5 | 2,070.0 | 2,122.4 | n.a. |
| 32 Compensation of employees | 1.299 .7 | 1,460.9 | 1.596 .5 | 1.518.1 | 1,558.0 | 1,569.0 | 1,597.4 | 1.661 .6 |
| 33 Wages and salaries ....... | 1.105.4 | 1,235.9 | 1,343.6 | 1,282.4 | 1,314.5 | 1,320.4 | 1.342.3 | 1,397.2 |
| 34 Government and government enterprises | 219.6 | 235.9 | 253.6 | 243.3 | 246.7 | 250.5 | 253.9 | 263.3 |
| 35 Other | 885.7 | 1.000.0 | 1.090 .0 | 1.039 .1 | 1.06\%.9 | 1.069.9 | $1,088.4$ | 1,133.9 |
| 36 Supplement to wages and salaries | 194.3 | 225.0 | 252.9 | 235.7 | 24.3 | 248.6 | 255.0 | 264.5 |
| 37 Employer contributions for social insurance | 92.1 | 106.4 | 115.8 | 109.8 | 12.6 | 113.6 | 116.0 | 121.0 |
| 38 Other labor income | 102.2 | 118.6 | 137.1 | 126.0 | 30.9 | 135.1 | 139.1 | 143.5 |
| 39 Proprietors' income ${ }^{1}$ | 117.1 | 131.6 | 130.7 | 136.3 | 133.7 | 124.9 | 129.7 | 134.3 |
| 40 Business and professional ${ }^{1}$ | 91.0 | 100.7 | 107.2 | 106.8 | 107.9 | 101.6 | 107.6 | 111.8 |
| 41 Farm ${ }^{1}$ | 26.1 | 30.8 | 23.4 | 29.5 | 25.7 | 23.3 | 22.1 | 22.6 |
| 42 Rental income of persons ${ }^{2}$ | 27.4 | 30.5 | 31.8 | 31.0 | 31.2 | 31.5 | 32.0 | 32.4 |
| 43 Corporate profits ${ }^{\text {1 }}$ | 199.0 | 196.8 | 180.7 | 189.4 | 200.2 | 169.3 | 177.9 | ก.a. |
| 44 Profits before tax ${ }^{3}$ | 223.3 | 255.4 | 241.8 | 255.4 | 277.1 | 217.9 | 237.6 | ก.a. |
| 45 Inventory valuation adjustment | -24.3 | -42.6 | -43.9 | -50.8 | -61.4 | -31.1 | -41.7 | -41.4 |
| 46 Capital consumption adjustment | -13.5 | -15.9 | -17.2 | -15.1 | -15.4 | -17.6 | -17.9 | -17.8 |
| 47 Net interest | 115.8 | 143.4 | 179.9 | 156.5 | $16 \div .4$ | 175.3 | 185.3 | 193.6 |

[^26]3. For after-tax profits, dividends, and the like, see table 1.49. Source. Survey of Current Business (Department of Commerce).

### 2.17 PERSONAL INCOME AND SAVING

Billions of current dollars; quarterly data are at seasonally adjusted annual rates. Exceptions noted.

|  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

[^27]
### 3.10 U.S. INTERNATIONAL TRANSACTIONS Summary

Millions of dollars; quarterly data are seasonally adjusted except as noted. ${ }^{1}$


1. Seasonal factors are no longer calculated for lines 13 through 42.
2. Data are on an international accounts (IA) basis. Differs from the census basis primarily because the IA basis includes imports into the U.S. Virgin Islands, and it excludes military exports. which are part of line 6
3. Includes reinvested earnings of incorporated affiliates
4. Differs from the definition of "net exports of goods and services" in the national income and product (GNP) account. The GNP definition makes various adjustments to merchandise trade and service transactions.
5. Primarily associated with military sales contracts and other transactions arranged with or through foreign official agencies.
6. Consists of investments in U.S. corporate stocks and in debt securities of private corporations and state and local governments.

Note. Data are from Bureau of Economic Analysis, Survey of Current Business (U.S. Department of Commerce).

### 3.11 U.S. FOREIGN TRADE

Millions of dollars: monthly data are seasonally adjusted.


Note. The data in this table are reported by the Bureau of Census data on a free-alongside-ship (f.a.s.) value basis-that is, value at the port of export. Beginning in 1981. foreign trade of the U.S. Virgin Islands is included in the Census basis trade data: this adjustment has been made for all data shown in the table. The Census basis data differ from merchandise trade data shown in table 3.10 . U.S. International Transactions Summary, for reasons of coverage and timing. On the export side. the largest adjustments are: (a) the addition of exports to Canada not covered in Census statistics. and (b) the exclusion of military sales (which are combined with other military transactions and reported separately in the "service
account" in table 3.10. line 6. On the import side, additions are made for gold, ship purchases, imports of electricity from Canada and other transactions; military payments are excluded and shown separately as indicated above.

Source. FT900 "Summary of U.S. Export and Import Merchandise Trade" (U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census).

### 3.12 U.S. RESERVE ASSETS

Millions of dollars, end of period

| Type | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1980 |  |  |  |  | 1981 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. ${ }^{\text {p }}$ |
| 1 Totall | 18,650 | 18,956 | 26,756 | 22.691 | 22,994 | 23,967 | 25,673 | 26,756 | 28,316 | 29,682 |
| 2 Gold stock, including Exchange Stabilization Fund ${ }^{1}$ | 11.671 | 11.172 | 11,160 | 11.172 | 11,168 | 11,163 | 11.162 | 11.160 | 11,159 | 11,156 |
| 3 Special drawing rights ${ }^{2.3}$. $\ldots$.......... | 1.558 | 2.724 | 2.610 | 4.009 | 4.007 | 3.939 | 3.954 | 2.610 | 3.628 | 3,633 |
| 4 Reserve position in International Monetary Fund ${ }^{2}$ | 1,047 | 1.253 | 2.852 | 1.564 | 1,665 | 1.671 | 1,822 | 2.852 | 2,867 | 3,110 |
| 5 Foreign currencies ${ }^{4.5}$ | 4.374 | 3.807 | 10.134 | 5.946 | 6.154 | 7.194 | 8.735 | 10.134 | 10.662 | 11.783 |

1. Gold held under earmark at Federal Reserve Banks for foreign and international accounts is not included in the gold stock of the United States: see table 3.22 .
2. Beginning July 1974, the IMF adopted a technique for valuing the SDR based on a weighted average of exchange rates for the currencies of member countries. From July 1974 through December 1980, 16 currencies were used: from January From Juy 1981 currencies have been used. The U.S. SDR holdings and reserve position in the IMF also are valued on this basis beginning July 1974.
3. Includes allocations by the International Monetary Fund of SDRs as follows: $\$ 867$ million on Jan. 1. 1970; $\$ 717$ million on Jan. 1, 1971: $\$ 710$ million on Jan. 1. 1972: $\$ 1.139$ million on Jan. 1. 1979; $\$ 1.152$ million on Jan. 1, 1980; and $\$ 1.093$ million on Jan. 1, 1981: plus net transactions in SDRs.
4. Beginning November 1978 , valued at current market exchange rates.
5. Includes U.S. government securities held under repurchase agreement against receipt of foreign currencies. if any.
3.13 FOREIGN BRANCHES OF U.S. BANKS Balance Sheet Data Millions of dollars, end of period

| Asset account | 1977 | $1978{ }^{\text { }}$ | 1979 | 1930 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | June | July ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | Aug. ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | Sept. ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | Oct. ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | Nov. | Dec. ${ }^{\text {P }}$ |
|  | All foreign countries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Total, all currencies | 258,897 | 306,795 | 364,233 | 376,722 | 377,877 | 386,467 | 385,884 | 383,178 | 389,011 | 398,207 |
| 2 Claims on United States | 11,623 | 17,340 | 32,302 | 29,069 | 29,085 | 36,864 | 29,341 | 30,476 | 30,617 | 28,480 |
| 3 4 Parent bank . Other . . . . . . . . . | 7,806 $\mathbf{3 , 8 1 7}$ | 12,811 4,529 | 25,929 6,373 | 18,565 10,504 | 17,552 | 26,711 10,153 | 19,685 9,656 | 21,440 9,036 | 22,254 8,363 | 20,661 7,819 |
| 5 Claims on foreigners | 238,848 | 278,135 | 317,175 | 330,171 | 331,320 | 332,522 | 339.188 | 335,418 | 340,647 | 350,795 |
| 6 Other branches of parent bank | 55,772 | 70,338 | 79,661 | 76,061 | 75,196 | 72,558 | 73,856 | 72,458 | 74,043 | 76,556 |
| 7 Banks | 91,883 | 103,111 | 123,413 | 132,667r | 134,710 | 136,617 | 139,924 | 138,246 | 139,929 | 144,443 |
| 8 Public borrowers ${ }^{2}$ | 14.634 | 23,737 | 26,072 | 25,632 | 25.474 | 26.113 | 26.740 | 26,548 | 26,935 | 27.690 |
| 9 Nonbank foreigners | 76.560 | 80.949 | $88.029^{r}$ | 95,811 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 95.940 | 97.234 | 98,668 | 98,166 | 99,740 | 102,106 |
| 10 Other assets | 8,425 | 11,320 | 14,756 | 17,482 | 17.472 | 17,081 | 17,355 | 17,284 | 17,747 | 18,932 |
| 11 Total payable in U.S. dollars | 193,764 | 224,940 | 267,711 | 275,232 | 275,783 | 283,974 | 282,171 | 279,689 | 284,281 | 290,818 |
| 12 Claims on United States | 11,049 | 16.382 | 31.171 | 27,867 | 27.720 | 35.551 | 28.138 | 29,059 | 29.173 | 27.225 |
| 13 Parent bank | 7.692 | 12,625 | $\underset{5}{25,639}$ | 18,254 | 17.236 | 26,390 | 19,414 | 21,043 | 21,853 | 20,310 |
| 14 Other | 3,357 | 3,757 | 5,539 | 9,613 | 10,484 | 9,161 | 8,724 | 8.016 | 7,320 | 6,915 |
| 15 Claims on foreigners. | 178,896 | 203,498 | 229.118 | ${ }_{5}^{238,213}$ | 239,290 | 239.561 | 245.538 | 242,018 | 246,238 | 253,330 |
| 16 Other branches of parent bank | 44,256 | 55,408 | 61,525 | 58,456 | 57.813 | 55,106 | 56,613 | 55,230 | 57,219 | 58,259 |
| 17 Banks | 70,786 | 78,686 | 96,261 ${ }^{\prime}$ | 104,982r | 106,399 | 108.109 | 111,916 | 109,443 | 110,799 | 115,863 |
| 18 Public borrowers ${ }^{2}$ | 12,632 | 19,567 | 21,629 | 21,382 | 21.233 | 21,786 | 22,305 | 22,578 | 22,846 | 23,391 |
| 19 Nonbank foreigners | 51,222 | 49,837 | 49,703 | 53,393 $r$ | 53.845 | 54,560 | 54,754 | 54,767 | 55,374 | 55,817 |
| 20 Other assets | 3,820 | 5.060 | 7,422 | 9,152 | 8,773 | 8,862 | 8.445 | 8.612 | 8,870 | 10,263 |
|  | United Kingdom |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 Total, all currencies | 90,933 | 106,593 | 130,873 | 139,066 | 135,669 | 136,467 | 137,447 | 138,158 | 140,715 | 142,781 |
| 22 Claims on United States | 4,341 | 5,370 | 11,117 | 9,157 | 8,366 | 8.465 | 8,022 | 8,216 | 8,771 | 7,477 |
| 23 Parent bank | 3,518 | 4,448 | 9,338 | 6.870 | 5,705 | 6,023 | 5.788 | 5.969 | 6.552 | 5.792 |
| 24 Other.. | 823 | 922 | 1.779 | 2.287 | 2.661 | 2.442 | 2,234 | 2,247 | 2,219 | 1.685 |
| 25 Claims on foreigners | 84,016 | 98,137 | 115,123 | 124,059 | 120,914 | 121,805 | 123,369 | 123,854 | 125,859 | 129,263 |
| 26 Other branches of parent bank | 22,017 | 27,830 | 34,291 | 34,824 | 32,231 | 31,607 | 30,858 | 31,431 | 32,267 | 34,538 |
| 27 Banks | 39,899 | 45,013 | 51,343 | 54,855 | 54.824 | 55,530 | 57,066 | 56,723 | 57,423 | 57,658 |
| 28 Public borrowers ${ }^{2}$ | 2,206 | 4.522 | 4,919 | 5.897 | 5.710 | 5.865 | 6.251 | 6.113 | 6.405 | 6.780 |
| 29 Nonbank foreigners | 19,895 | 20,772 | 24,570 | 28.483 | 28.149 | 28,803 | 29,194 | 29,587 | 29.764 | 30.287 |
| 30 Other assets | 2,576 | 3,086 | 4,633 | 5,850 | 6,389 | 6,197 | 6,056 | 6,088 | 6,085 | 6,041 |
| 31 Total payable in U.S. dollars | 66,635 | 75,860 | 94,287 | 98,013 | 93,158 | 93,720 | 94,784 | $\mathbf{9 5 , 2 8 7}$ | 97,246 | 98,913 |
| 32 Claims on United States | 4,100 | 5,113 | 10,746 | 8,790 | 7,831 | 7.954 | 7.6:6 | 7.647 | 8,233 | 7,098 |
| 33 Parent bank | 3,431 | 4,386 | 9,297 | 6.810 | 5,629 | 5.960 | 5.744 | 5.817 | 6,410 | 5,701 |
| 34 Other. | 669 | 727 | 1,449 | 1.980 | 2,202 | 1,994 | 1.912 | 1,830 | 1.823 | 1,397 |
| 35 Claims on foreigners ............ | 61,408 | 69,416 | 81,294 | 86.404 | 82,434 | 82,705 | 84.355 | 84,849 | 86,246 | 88,967 |
| 36 Other branches of parent bank | 18,947 | 22,838 | 28,928 | 28,692 | 26,083 | 25,565 | 24.913 | 25,593 | 26,710 | 28,231 |
| 37 Banks | 28,530 | 31,482 | 36,760 | 39,050 | 38,471 | 39.070 | 40.917 | 40,312 | 40,542 | 41,373 |
| 38 Public borrowers ${ }^{2}$ | 1,669 | 3,317 | 3,319 | 4,396 | 4,280 | 4,327 | 4.663 | 4.551 | 4.706 | 4,909 |
| 39 Nonbank foreigners | 12,263 | 11,779 | 12,287 | 14,266 | 13,600 | 13,743 | 13.862 | 14,393 | 14,288 | 14,454 |
| 40 Other assets | 1,126 | 1.331 | 2.247 | 2,819 | 2,893 | 3,061 | 2.773 | 2,791 | 2.767 | 2.848 |
|  | Bahamas and Caymans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41 Total, all currencies | 79,052 | 91,735 | 108,977 | 115,276 | 120,307 | 128,515 | 123,179 | 119,524 | 119,367 | 124,969 |
| 42 Claims on United States | 5,782 | 9.635 | 19,124 | 17,682 | 18,272 | 25,882 | 18,305 | 19,656 | 18.325 | 17,813 |
| 43 Parent bank | 3,051 | 6.429 | 15,196 | 10,660 | 10,524 | 19.149 | 11,839 | 13,837 | 13,071 | 12,573 |
| 44 Other | 2,731 | 3,206 | 3,928 | 7,022 | 7,748 | 6.733 | 6,466 | 5,819 | 5,254 | 5,240 |
| 45 Claims on foreigners | 71,671 | 79,774 | 86,718 | 93,432 | 98.020 | 98.496 | 100,905 | 95,959 | 96,800 | 101,943 |
| 46 Other branches of parent bank | 11,120 | 12,904 | 9,689 | 12.977 | 14,362 | 13.160 | 14,724 | 13,093 | 13,135 | 13,336 |
| 47 Banks ......... | 27,939 | 33,677 | 43,189r | 48,092 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 50,866 | 51,845 | 52,787 | 49,915 | 50,646 | 54,814 |
| 48 Public borrowers ${ }^{2}$ | 9,109 | 11,514 | 12,905 | 11,554 | 11,627 | 12,055 | 12,078 | 12,441 | 12,213 | 12,574 |
| 49 Nonbank foreigners | 23,503 | 21,679 | 20,935 | 20,809r | 21,165 | 21,436 | 21.316 | 20,510 | 20,806 | 21,219 |
| 50 Other assets | 1,599 | 2,326 | 3,135 | 4,162 | 4,015 | 4,137 | 3,969 | 3,909 | 4,242 | 5,213 |
| 51 Total payable in U.S. dollars | 73,987 | 85,417 | 102,368 | 109,715 | 114,538 | 122,667 | 117,245 | 113,683 | 113,572 | 118,786 |

[^28]
### 3.13 Continued

| Liability account | 1977 | $1978{ }^{1}$ | 1979 | 1980 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | June | July | Aug. | Sept. ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | Oct. ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | Nov. | Dec. ${ }^{\text {P }}$ |
|  | All foreign countries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 52 Total, all currencies | 258,897 | 306,795 | 364,233 | 376,722 | 377,877r | 386,467r | 385,884 | 383,178 | 389,011 | 398,207 |
| 53 To United States | 44,154 | 58,012 ${ }^{r}$ | 66,686 | 76,187r | 83,244r | $87.606{ }^{\prime}$ | 84,068 | 84,152 | 86,580 | 91,017 |
| 54 Parent bank Other banks in United States | 24,542 | 28,654 <br> $12,169 r$ | 24,530r 13,968 | $30,985 r$ <br> 12,255 | 35,423r | $37,466 r$ 14.725 | 38,490 12,635 | 37,187 12,860 | 36,957 $\mathbf{1 3 , 4 1 0}$ | 39,298 14,277 |
| 56 Nonbanks ................. | 19,613 | 12,189r | - 28,188 | 12,947 | 36,406 $r$ | 345,415r | 12,943 | 34,105 | 13,410 36,213 | - 37,442 |
| 57 To foreigners | 206,579 | 238,912 | 283,344 | 284,716 | 279,604r | 284,141r | 287,810 | 285,198 | 288,225 | 291,637 |
| 58 Other branches of parent bank | 53,244 | 67,496 | 77,601 | 72,061 | 72,067 | 69,178 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 70,689 | 69,691 | 71,498 | 73,864 |
| 59 Banks $\ldots . . \ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . .$. | 94,140 | 97,711 | 122,849 | 127.813 | 122,727r | 130,360r | 131,022 | 132,142 | 132,237 | 130,408 |
| 60 Official institutions | 28,110 | 31,936 | 35,664 | 34,141 | 33.073 | 33,080 | 33,086 | 30.713 | 31,073 | 32,386 |
| 61 Nonbank foreigners | 31,085 | 41,769 | 47,230 | 50,701 | $51,737 r$ | 51,523r | 53,013 | 52,652 | 53,417 | 54,979 |
| 62 Other liabilities | 8,163 | 9,871 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 14,203' | 15,819 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 15,029r | 14,720 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 14,006 | 13,828 | 14,206 | 15,553 |
| 63 Total payable in U.S. dollars | 198,572 | $\mathbf{2 3 0 , 8 1 0}$ | 273,819 | 282,578 | 283,090r | 291,873 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 289,163 | 287,177 | 292,425 | 301,976 |
| 64 To United States | 42,881 | 55,811 | 64,530 | 73.527 | 80,657r | $84,698{ }^{\prime}$ | 81,125 | 81.255 | 83,764 | 88.201 |
| 65 Parent bank | 24,213 | 27,519 | 23,403 | 29.547 | 33,977 | 35,906 | 36,825 | 35,431 | 35,243 | 37,666 |
| 66 Other banks in United States | 18,669 | 11,915 $r$ | 13,771 | 11,985 | 11,155 | 14,419 | 12,410 | 12,581 | 13,114 | 13,959 |
| 67 Nonbanks .. | 18,669 | 16,377r | 27,356 | 31,995 | 35,525r | 34,373 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 31,890 | 33,243 | 35.407 | 36,576 |
| 68 To foreigners | 151,363 | 169,927 | 201,476 | 200.049 | 194,359r | 198,971r | 200,281 | 198,541 | 200,814 | 204,643 |
| 69 Other branches of parent bank | 43,268 | 53,396 | 60,513 | 56,247 | 56,206 | 53,355 | 55,146 | 53.695 | 55,543 | 56,852 |
| 70 Banks | 64,872 | 63,000 | 80,691 | 84,467 | 78,930 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | $86.420{ }^{r}$ | 85.387 | 86,961 | 86,525 | 86,482 |
| 71 Official institutions | 23,972 | 26,404 | 29,048 | 26,961 | 26,177 | 26.165 | 25,659 | 23,364 | 23,798 | 24,702 |
| 72 Nonbank foreigners | 19,251 | 27,127 | 31,224 | 32,374 | $33.046{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 33,031 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 34,089 | 34.521 | 34,948 | 36,607 |
| 73 Other liabilities | 4,328 | 5,072 | 7,813 | 9,002 | 8,074 | 8,204 | 7,757 | 7,381 | 7,847 | 9,132 |
|  | United Kingdom |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 74 Total, all currencies | 90,933 | 106,593 | 130,873 | 139,066 | 135,669 | 136,467 | 137,447 | 138,158 | 140,715 | 142,781 |
| 75 To United States | 7.753 | 9,730 | 20,986 | 20,012 | 21,404 | 20.608 | 19,343 | 19.157 | 20,594 | 21,739 |
| 76 Parent bank ........ | 1,451 | 1,887 | 3.104 | 2,410 | 3,275 | 2.542 | 2.951 | 2,712 | 3,198 | 4,176 |
| 77 Other banks in United States | 6.302 | $4,189 r$ $3,54 r$ | 7,693 10.189 | 6,129 | $\begin{array}{r}5,567 \\ \hline 12,562\end{array}$ | 5,910 | 5.361 | 5,800 | 5.732 | 5,716 |
| 78 Nonbanks .. | 6.302 | 3,654r | 10,189 | 11,473 | 12,562 | 12,156 | 11,031 | 10,645 | 11,664 | 11,847 |
| 79 To foreigners | 80,736 | 93,202 | 104,032 | 112,055 | 107,739 | 109,604 | 112.412 | 113.539 | 114,813 | 115,578 |
| 80 Other branches of parent bank | 9.376 | 12,786 | 12.567 | 13.767 | 12.694 | 13,343 | 13,706 | 13,940 | 13.951 | 13,933 |
| 81 Banks | 37.893 | 39,917 | 47.620 | 54.927 | 51,203 | 51.452 | 53,776 | 56,772 | 58,127 | 55,848 |
| 82 Official institutions | 18,318 | 20,963 | 24,202 | 22.577 | 21,088 | 22,600 | 22,444 | 19,807 | 20,437 | 21,412 |
| 83 Nonbank foreigners | 15,149 | 19,536 | 19,643 | 20,784 | 22,754 | 22,209 | 22,486 | 23,020 | 22,298 | 24,385 |
| 84 Other liabilities | 2,445 | 3.661 | 5.855 | 6,999 | 6.526 | 6,255 | 5.692 | 5,462 | 5,308 | 5,464 |
| 85 Total payable in U.S. dollars | 67,573 | 77,030 | 95,449 | 100,117 | $\mathbf{9 5 , 3 1 4}$ | 96,453 | 96,832 | 97,055 | 99,135 | 102,300 |
| 86 To United States | 7.480 | 9,328 | 20,552 | 19,321 | 20,843 | 20,007 | 18.687 | 18.551 | 19,978 |  |
| $8_{88} 7$ Parent bank Other banks in United S | 1,416 | 1,836 | 3.054 | 2,315 | 3,238 5 | 2,496 <br> 5 | 2,892 5 5 | 2,634 5 | 3,101 5,616 | 4,078 |
| 88 Other banks in United States | 6,064 | $4,101{ }^{r}$ 3,391 | 7.651 9,847 | 6,056 10,950 | 5,486 12,119 | 5,809 11,702 | 5.259 10.536 | 5,714 10,203 | 5,616 11.261 | 5,626 11,376 |
| 90 To foreigners | 58,977 | 66,216 | 72.397 | 77.322 | 71,489 | 73,431 | 75,422 | 76.114 | 76,696 | 78,512 |
| 91 Other branches of parent bank | 7,505 | 9,635 | 8.446 | 9,758 | 8,672 | 9.128 | 9,588 | 9,891 | 9,770 | 9,600 |
| 92 Banks | 25,608 | 25,287 | 29,424 | 35,394 | 31,352 | 31,726 | 32,891 | 35,495 | 35,998 | 35,097 |
| 93 Official institutions | 15,482 | 17,091 | 20,192 | 18,300 | 16,846 | 18,253 | 18,046 | 15,338 | 15,989 | 17,024 |
| 94 Nonbank foreigners | 10,382 | 14,203 | 14,335 | 13,870 | 14,619 | 14,324 | 14,897 | 15,390 | 14,939 | 16,791 |
| 95 Other liabilities | 1,116 | 1,486 | 2.500 | 3,474 | 2,982 | 3,015 | 2,723 | 2.390 | 2,461 | 2,708 |
|  | Bahamas and Caymans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 96 Total, all currencies | 79,052 | 91,735 | 108,977 | 115,276 | 120,307r | 128,515 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 123,179 | 119,524 | 119,367 | 124,969 |
| 97 To United States | 32,176 | 39,431 | 37,719 | 48,431 | 54,217r | 58,925 $r$ | 56.317 | 56,123 | 56,860 | 59,746 |
| 98 Parent bank | 20,956 | 20,482 | 15,267 | 22,748 | 26,589 | 29,189 | 29.355 | 27,678 | 26,871 | 28,353 |
| 99 Other banks in United States |  | 6,073 | 5,204 | 5,200 | 4.821 | 7.460 | 6,075 | 5,945 | 6,518 | 7,135 |
| 100 Nonbanks | 11,220 | 12,876 | 17,248 | 20,483 | 22,807r | 22,276 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 20,887 | 22,500 | 23,471 | 24,258 |
| 101 To foreigners | 45,292 | 50,447 | 68,598 | 63,935 | 63,208 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 66,630 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 63,966 | 60,593 | 59,492 | 61,305 |
| 102 Other branches of parent bank | 12,816 | 16,094 | 20,875 | 20,102 | 20,409 | 18,081 | 17.079 | 16,720 | 15,878 | 17,040 |
| 103 Banks .......... | 24.717 | 23.104 | 33,631 | 28.917 | 27,145r | 34,100r | 32,185 | 29,202 | 28,933 | 29,901 |
| 104 Official institutions | 3,000 | 4,208 | 4,866 | 5,096 | 5,525 | 4,119 | 4,250 | 4,610 | 4,368 | 4,361 |
| 105 Nonbank foreigners | 4,759 | 7,041 | 9,226 | 9,820 | 10,129r | 10,330r | 10,452 | 10.061 | 10,313 | 10,003 |
| 106 Other liabilities | 1.584 | 1,857 | 2,660 | 2,910 | 2,882 | 2,960r | 2,896 | 2,808 | 3,015 | 3,918 |
| 107 Total payable in U.S. dollars | 74,463 | 87,014 | 103,460 | 11,494 | 116,246 ${ }^{\prime}$ | 124,103 | 118,576 | 115,166 | 115,121 | 120,789 |

1. In May 1978 the exemption level for branches required to report was increased, which reduced the number of reporting branches.
2. In May 1978 a broader category of claims on foreign public bor-
rowers, including corporations that are majority owned by foreign governments, replaced the previous, more narrowly defined claims on foreign official institutions.
3.14 SELECTED U.S. LIABILITIES TO FOREIGN OFFICIAL INSTITUTIONS

Millions of dollars, end of period

| Item | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1580 |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{1981}$ Jan. ${ }^{\text {P }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | July | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. ${ }^{p}$ |  |
| 1 Total ${ }^{1}$ | 131,097 | 162,589 | 149,481 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 153,088 | 154,674 | 156,899 | 157,385 | 163,196 | 164,312 | 162,701 |
| 2 By type Liabilities reported by banks in the United States ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 U.S. Treasury bills and certificates ${ }^{3}$........... | 18,003 47.820 | 27,671 | 30,475 47.666 | 29,211 47.982 | 29,449 49.811 | 30,918 49,361 | 28.815 50.392 | 29.601 55.104 | 30,361 56,243 | 26,926 56,525 |
| 4 U.S. Treasury bonds and notes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 32.164 | 35,894 | 37.590 | 40.546 | 39,801 | 40,799 | 41.463 | 41,764 | 41,431 | 42,318 |
| 6 U.S. securities other than U.S. Treasury securities ${ }^{\text {S }}$ | 12,667 | 14,764 | 16,363 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 19,395 | 19,959 | 20,567 | 21,461 | 21.473 | 21,623 | $\stackrel{14,624}{ }$ |
| By area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 Western Europe ${ }^{1}$ | 70,748 | 93,089 | 85,602 | 78,141 | 78,424 | 76,942 | 76.004 | 80,899 | 81.593 | 80,365 |
| 8 Canada ........ | 2,334 | 2.486 | 1,898 | 1,907 | 2,156 | 1,901 | 1.736 | 1.433 | 1.562 | 1,174 |
| 9 Latin America and Caribbean | 4,649 | 5,046 | 6,291 | 6,308 | 6,050 | 6,610 | 6,008 | 5.722 | 5,668 | 5,456 |
| 10 Asia | 50,693 | 58.817 | $52.793{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 63,086 | 64.287 | 67.696 | 69.042 | 70.025 | 70.536 | 70.548 |
| 11 Africa | 1,742 | 2.408 | 2.412 | 2.930 | 3,281 | 3.232 | 3,520 | 3.867 | 4.128 | 3,976 |
| 12 Other countries ${ }^{6}$ | 931 | 743 | 485 | 716 | 476 | 518 | 1,075 | 1,250 | 825 | 1,182 |

1. Includes the Bank for International Settlements.
2. Principally demand deposits, time deposits, bankers acceptances, commercial paper, negotiable time certificates of deposit, and borrowings under repurchase agreements.
3. Includes nonmarketable certificates of indebtedness (including those payable in foreign currencies through 1974) and Treasury bills issued to official institutions of foreign countries.
4. Excludes notes issued to foreign official nonreserve agencies. Includes bonds and notes payable in foreign currencies.
5. Debt securities of U.S. government corporations and federally sponsored agencies, and U.S. corporate stocks and bonds.
6. Includes countries in Oceania and Eastern Europe

Note. Based on Treasury Department data and on data reported to the Treasury Department by banks (including Federal Reserve Banks) and securities dealers in the United States.
3.15 LIABILITIES TO AND CLAIMS ON FOREIGNERS Reported by Banks in the United States Payable in Foreign Currencies
Millions of dollars, end of period

| Item |  | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dec. |  | Mar. | June | Sept. | Dec. |
| 1 | Banks' own liabilities |  | 925 | 2,363 | 1,868 | 2,358 | 2,693 | 2.669 | 3.737 |
| 2 | Banks' own claims ${ }^{1}$ | 2.356 | 3.671 | 2.419 | 2,772 | 2,955 | 3.112 | 4.104 |
| 3 | Deposits ....... | , 941 | 1,795 | . 994 | 1,212 | 1.048 | 1.126 | 2,506 |
| 4 | Other claims | 1,415 | 1,876 | 1,425 | 1,5611 | 1,908 | 1.985 | 1,598 |
| 5 | Claims of banks' domestic customers ${ }^{2}$ |  | 358 | 580 | 1,058 | $\bigcirc 798$ | 595 | 962 |

1. Includes claims of banks' domestic customers through March 1978.
2. Assets owned by customers of the reporting bank located in the United States that represent claims on foreigners held by reporting banks for the accounts of their domestic customers.

### 3.16 LIABILITIES TO FOREIGNERS Reported by Banks in the United States Payable in U.S. dollars

Millions of dollars, end of period

| Holder and type of liability | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{1981}{\text { Jan } . p}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | July | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. |  |
| 1 All foreigners | 126,168 | 166,877 | 187,492 | 188,295 | 201,402 | 191,683 | 195,827 | 204,882r | 205,258 | 201,917 |
| 2 Banks' own liabilities |  | 78,730 | 117,211 | 116,497 | 128,171 | 118,663 | 121,240 | 125,139r | 124,751 | 122,442 |
| 3 Demand deposits | 18,996 | 19,218 | 23,325 | 22,046 | 22,511 | 22,474 | 22,457 | 22,847 | 23,377 | 22,129 |
| 4 Time deposits ${ }^{1}$. | 11,521 | 12,431 | 13,627 | 12,995 | 13,208 | 13,824 | 14,157 | 14,773 | 15,164 | 15,657 |
| 5 Other ${ }^{2}$ |  | 9.704 | 16.419 | 18.700 | 18,785 | 18,046 | 17.222 | 17.117r | 17,583 | 14,867 |
| 6 Own foreign offices ${ }^{3}$ |  | 37,376 | 63,839 | 62.757 | 73,667 | 64,319 | 67,405 | 70.401 | 68.627 | 69,789 |
| 7 Banks' custody liabilities ${ }^{4}$ |  | 88,147 | 70,281 | 71.797 | 73,231 | 73,020 | 74,587 | 79,743 | 80.506 57 | 79,475 |
| 8 U.S. Treasury bills and certificates ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | 48,906 | 68,202 | 48,573 | 49,627 | 51,505 | 50,731 | 51,990 | 56,484 | 57,595 | 57,667 |
| 9 Other negotiable and readily transferable instruments ${ }^{6}$ |  | 17,446 | 19,359 | 19.438 | 19,141 | 19.778 | 19,967 | 20.624 | 20,079 | 19,036 |
| 10 Other ................................. |  | 2,499 | 2,350 | 2,732 | 2,586 | 2,511 | 2,630 | 2.635 | 2,832 | 2.773 |
| 11 Nonmonetary international and regional organizations ${ }^{7}$ | 3,274 | 2,607 | 2,356 | 2,903 | 2,820 | 2,549 | 2,734 | 2,476 | 2,342 | 1,961 |
| 12 Banks' own liabilities |  | 906 | 714 | 607 | 501 | 476 | 352 | 383 | 442 | 419 |
| 13 Demand deposits | 231 | 330 | 260 | 214 | 171 | 141 | 115 | 187 | 146 | 212 |
| 14 Time deposits ${ }^{1}$ | 139 | 84 | 151 | 93 | 101 | 100 | 95 | 92 | 85 | 71 |
| 15 Other ${ }^{2}$..... |  | 492 | 303 | 299 | 229 | 235 | 143 | 104 | 211 | 137 |
| 16 Banks' custody liabilities ${ }^{4}$ |  | 1,701 | 1,643 | 2,296 | 2.319 | 2,073 | 2.382 | 2.093 | 1.900 | 1.542 |
| 17 U.S. Treasury bills and certificates ...i. | 706 | 201 | 102 | 604 | 644 | 316 | 581 | 337 | 254 | 88 |
| 18 Other negotiable and readily transferable instruments ${ }^{6}$ <br> 19 Other |  | 1,499 1 | 1,538 2 | 1,692 | 1,675 0 | 1,757 0 | 1,800 0 | 1,756 0 | 1,646 0 | 1,453 0 |
| 20 Official institutions ${ }^{8}$ | 65,822 | 90,706 | 78,142 | 77,193 | 79,260 | 80,279 | 79,207 | 84,706 | 86,604 | 83,451 |
| 21 Banks' own liabilities |  | 12,129 | 18,228 | 17,071 | 17.591 | 18,548 | 16,182 | 16,897 | 17,806 | 15,174 |
| 22 Demand deposits | 3,528 | 3,390 | 4,704 | 4.218 | 3,898 | 4,348 | 3,406 | 3,553 | 3,771 | 3,869 |
| 23 Time deposits ${ }^{1}$ | 1.797 | 2.550 | 3,041 | 2.705 | 3,006 | 3.477 | 3,390 | 3,623 | 3.592 | 3,348 |
| 24 Other ${ }^{2}$....... |  | 6,189 | 10,483 | 10.148 | 10,688 | 10,724 | 9.387 | 9,721 | 10.443 | 7.957 |
| 25 Banks' custody liabilities ${ }^{4}$ |  | 78,577 | 59.914 | 60,122 | 61,669 | 61,731 | ${ }_{50}^{63,025}$ | 67,808 | 68,798 | 68,277 |
| 26 U.S. Treasury bills and certificates ${ }^{5}$ Other negotiable and readily transferable | 47,820 | 67,415 | 47,666 | 47,982 | 49,811 | 49,361 | 50,392 | 55,104 | 56,243 | 56,525 |
| 27 Other negotiable and readily transferable instruments ${ }^{6}$ |  | 10,992 | 12,196 | 12.092 | 11.805 | 12.307 | 12.542 | 12.648 | 12.501 | 11,723 |
| 29 Banks ${ }^{9}$ | 42,335 | 57,495 | 88,352 | 90,111 | 100,788 | 89,979 | 95,012 | 97,759r | 96,397 | 96,293 |
| 30 Banks' own liabilities |  | 52.705 | 83,352 | 84,629 | 95,475 | 84,737 | 89.653 | $91.880^{\circ}$ | 90.439 | 90.212 |
| 31 Unaffiliated foreign banks |  | 15,329 | 19.512 | 21,872 | 21,808 | 20.419 | 22,249 | 21,478 ${ }^{r}$ | 21.812 | 20.423 |
| 32 Demand deposits | 10,933 | 11,257 | 13,274 | 12,882 | 13,427 | 12,995 | 13,843 | 13.714 | 14.104 | 12,867 |
| 33 Time deposits ${ }^{1}$ | 2,040 | 1,443 | 1,680 | 1,626 | 1,514 | 1,412 | 1,724 | 1,786 | 1,811 | 1,834 |
| 34 Other ${ }^{2}$ |  | 2,629 | 4,558 | 7,364 | 6,867 | 6,012 | 6,681 | 5,978 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 5,897 | 5,723 |
| 35 Own foreign offices ${ }^{3}$ | ....... | 37.376 | 63.839 | 62.757 | 73.667 | 64.319 | 67.405 | 70,401 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 68,627 | 69,789 |
| 36 Banks' custody liabilities ${ }^{4}$ |  | 4,790 | 5,000 | 5,482 | 5.313 | 5,241 | 5,359 | 5,880 | 5,959 | 6,081 |
| 37 U.S. Treasury bills and certificates ..... | 141 | 300 | 422 | 557 | 577 | 361 | 515 | 529 | 623 | 647 |
| 38 Other negotiable and readily transferable instruments ${ }^{6}$ |  | 2,425 | 2,405 | 2,395 | 2,435 | 2,533 | 2,417 | 2,883 | 2,748 | 2.856 |
| 39 Other |  | 2,065 | 2,173 | 2,530 | 2,301 | 2,347 | 2.427 | 2,467 | 2,588 | 2,578 |
| 40 Other foreigners | 14,736 | 16,070 | 18,642 | 18,088 | 18,533 | 18,876 | 18,874 | 19,941 | 19,914 | 20,211 |
| 41 Banks' own liabilities |  | 12.990 | 14,918 | 14.190 | 14.604 | 14,901 | 15,052 | 15.979 | 16.065 | 16,636 |
| 42 Demand deposits | 4,304 | 4.242 | 5,087 | 4.732 | 5.014 | 4,991 | 5.093 | 5,393 | 5,356 | 5,181 |
| 43 Time deposits | 7,546 | 8,353 | 8.755 | 8,570 | 8,588 | 8,836 | 8,948 | 9.272 | 9.676 | 10,405 |
| 44 Other ${ }^{2}$ |  | 394 | 1,075 | 888 | 1,002 | 1,075 | 1,011 | 1,315 | 1,033 | 1,050 |
| 45 Banks' custody liabilities ${ }^{4}$ |  | 3.080 | 3,725 | 3.898 | 3.930 | 3,975 | 3,822 | 3,962 | 3,849 | 3,575 |
| 46 U.S. Treasury bills and certificates ...... | 240 | 285 | 382 | 484 | 473 | 693 | 502 | 513 | 474 | 407 |
| 47 Other negotiable and readily transferable $\begin{gathered}\text { instruments }{ }^{6} \text {............................ } . .\end{gathered}$ |  | 2.531 | 3,220 | 3,259 | 3,226 | 3,181 | 3,208 | 3,337 | 3,185 | 3,004 |
| 48 Other |  | 264 | 123 | 154 | 231 | 100 | 112 | 112 | 190 | 164 |
| 49 Memo: Negotiable time certificates of deposit in custody for foreigners |  | 11,007 | 10,974 | 10,500 | 10,433 | 10,704 | 10,799 | 10,553 | 10,745 | 10,108 |

1. Excludes negotiable time certificates of deposit, which are included in "Other negotiable and readily transferable instruments." Data for time deposits before April 1978 represent short-term only.
2. Includes borrowing under repurchase agreements
3. U.S. banks: includes amounts due to own foreign branches and foreign subsidiaries consolidated in "Consolidated Report of Condition" filed with bank regulatory agencies. Agencies, branches, and majority-owned subsidiaries of foreign banks: principaliy amounts due to head office or parent foreign bank, and foreign branches, agencies or wholly owned subsidiaries of head office or parent foreign bank.
4. Financial claims on residents of the United States, other than long-term securities, held by or through reporting banks.
3.16 Continued

|  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

[^29]3. Included in "Other Latin America and Caribbean" through March 1978
4. Compriscs Bahrain. Iran, Iraq. Kuwait. Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, and United Arab Emirates (Trucial States).
5. Comprises Algeria. Gabon. Libya. and Nigeria
6. Asian, African, Middle Eastern, and European regional organizations, except the Bank for International Settlements. which is included in "Other Western Europe.
3.17 BANKS' OWN CLAIMS ON FOREIGNERS Reported by Banks in the United States Payable in U.S. Dollars
Millions of dollars, end of period

| Area and country | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{1981}{\text { Jan. }^{p}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | July | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. |  |
| 1 Total | 90,206 | 115,603 | 133,919 | 151,218 | 163,401 | 161,518 | 162,658 | 167,396 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 172,557 | 166,717 |
| 2 Foreign countries | 90,163 | 115,547 | 133,887 | 151,187 | 163,363 | 161,484 | 162,618 | 167,363r | 172,488 | 166,672 |
| 3 Europe | 18,114 | 24,232 | 28,429 | 28,439 | 29.411 | 29,722 | 29,259 | 32,520r | 32,045 | 30,478 |
| 4 Austria | 65 | 140 | 284 | 309 | 280 | 264 | 196 | 250 | 236 | 251 |
| 5 Belgium-Luxembourg | 561 | 1,200 | 1,339 | 1,622 | 1,881 | 1.954 | 1,680 | 1,946 | 1,621 | 1,722 |
| 6 Denmark ........... | 173 | 254 | 147 | 149 | 164 | 180 | 132 | 165 | 127 | 126 |
| 7 Finland | 172 | 305 | 202 | 223 | 215 | 184 | 253 | 248 | 460 | 334 |
| 8 France | 2,082 | 3,735 | 3.322 | 2,582 | 3,288 | 3.232 | 2.551 | 3.506 | 2,958 | 2,716 |
| 9 Germany | 644 | 845 | 1,179 | 1,004 | 1,131 | 1,018 | 987 | 1,506 | 948 | 1,006 |
| 10 Greece.. | 206 | 164 | 154 | 279 | 265 | 221 | 278 | 265 | 256 | 264 |
| 11 Italy | 1,334 | 1,523 | 1,631 | 2,295 | 2,433 | 2,560 | 2,842 | 3,063 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 3,364 | 3,136 |
| 12 Netherlands | 338 | 677 | 514 | 492 | +632 | 546 | '557 | 749 | 575 | 642 |
| 13 Norway | 162 | 299 | 276 | 270 | 231 | 248 | 335 | 138 | 227 | 289 |
| 14 Portugal | 175 | 171 | 330 | 346 | 335 | 330 | 341 | 393 | 331 | 305 |
| 15 Spain | 722 | 1,120 | 1,051 | 1,011 | 1,139 | 1,106 | 1,113 | 1,111r | 993 | 1,136 |
| 16 Sweden | 218 | 537 | 542 | 534 | 558 | 716 | 763 | 633 | 783 | 691 |
| 17 Switzerland | 564 | 1,283 | 1,165 | 1,319 | 1,581 | 1,337 | 1,564 | 1,932 | 1,446 | 1,753 |
| 18 Turkey. | 360 | 300 | 149 | 143 | 137 | 144 | 123 | 149 | 145 | 146 |
| 19 United Kingdom | 8,964 | 10.172 | 13,814 | 13,175 | 12,651 | 13,080 | 12,950 | 13.885 | 14,807 | 13,027 |
| 20 Yugoslavia .... | 311 | 363 | 611 | 648 | 647 | 682 | 684 | 689 | 853 | 866 |
| 21 Other Western Europe ${ }^{1}$ | 86 | 122 | 175 | 170 | 172 | 245 | 226 | 234 | 179 | 347 |
| 22 U.S.S.R. | 413 | 366 | 290 | 531 | 232 | 241 | 257 | 271 | 281 | 251 |
| 23 Other Eastern Europe ${ }^{2}$ | 566 | 657 | 1.254 | 1.336 | 1.438 | 1.434 | 1.427 | 1.389 | 1.457 | 1,469 |
| 24 Canada | 3,355 | 5,152 | 4,143 | 4,654 | 4,775 | 5,255 | 4,614 | 4,542 | 4,810 | 4,157 |
| 25 Latin America and Caribbean | 45,850 | 57,567 | 68,011 | 78,690 | 89,253 | 85,768 | 87,665 | 89,263 | 92,971 | 90,617 |
| 26 Argentina | 1,478 | 2,281 | 4.389 | 5.234 | 5,393 | 5,629 | 5, 8 , 859 | 6,270 | 5,693 | 5,656 |
| 27 Bahamas | 19,858 | 21,555 | 18.918 | 28.710 | 31,866 | 30.269 | 30.275 | 29.679 | 29.378 | 28,233 |
| 28 Bermuda | 232 | 184 | 496 | 194 | 256 | 216 | 399 | 260 | 218 | 285 |
| 29 Brazil | 4,629 | 6,251 | 7.720 | 8,989 | 9,251 | 9,639 | 10,135 | $10,001{ }^{r}$ | 10,477 | 10,243 |
| 30 British West Indies | 6,481 | 9,692 | 9,822 | 8.637 | 14,570 | 11,980 | 12,630 | 13.674 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 15,702 | 14,531 |
| 31 Chile | 675 | 970 | 1,441 | 1,359 | 1,487 | 1,627 | 1,721 | 1,730 | 1,951 | 1,843 |
| 32 Colombia | 671 | 1,012 | 1,614 | 1.448 | 1,490 | 1.493 | 1.575 | 1.582 | 1.754 | 1,648 |
| 33 Cuba | 10 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| 34 Ecuador | 517 | 705 | 1.025 | 1,051 | 1,136 | 1,111 | 1,157 | 1,157 | 1,190 | 1,220 |
| 35 Guatemala ${ }^{3}$ |  | 94 | 134 | 153 | 102 | 105 | 112 | 114 | 137 | 114 |
| 36 Jamaica ${ }^{3}$ |  | 40 | 47 | 31 | 31 | 33 | 35 | 40 | 36 | 33 |
| 37 Mexico | 4,909 | 5,479 | 9,099 | 10,660 | 10,785 | 11,123 | 11,745 | 12,014 | 12,586 | 12,634 |
| 38 Netherlands Antilles | 224 | 273 | 248 | 760 | 725 | 710 | 799 | 816 | 821 | 835 |
| 39 Panama | 1,410 | 3,098 | 6,031 | 4.552 | 4,931 | 4,461 | 3,972 | 4.367 | 4,974 | 5,028 |
| 40 Peru. | 962 | 918 | 652 | 647 | 687 | 671 | 719 | 749 | 890 | 912 |
| 41 Uruguay | 80 | 52 | 105 | 91 | 105 | 100 | 100 | 105 | 137 | 110 |
| 42 Venezuela | 2,318 | 3,474 | 4,669 | 4.469 | 4,737 | 4,879 | 4.710 | 5.113 | 5.438 | 5,515 |
| 43 Other Latin America and Caribbean | 1,394 | 1,490 | 1,598 | 1,700 | 1.697 | 1,715 | 1.721 | $1.591{ }$ | 1,585 | 1,775 |
| 44 Asia | 19,236 | 25,386 | 30,652 | 36,282 | 36,927 | 37,620 | 37,806 | 37,961r | 39,118 | 38,388 |
| China |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45 Mainland | 10 | 4 | 35 | 68 | 50 | 117 | 126 | 187 | 195 | 225 |
| 46 Taiwan | 1,719 | 1.499 | 1,821 | 2.224 | 2,284 | 2,492 | 2.332 | 2,382 | 2.469 | 2,410 |
| 47 Hong Kong | 543 | 1.479 | 1.804 | 2,174 | 2,063 | 2,099 | 1,980 | $2.094 r$ | 2,247 | 2,252 |
| 48 India | 53 | 54 | 92 | 97 | 118 | 84 | 103 | 125 | 142 | 110 |
| 49 Indonesia | 232 | 143 | 131 | 205 | 245 | 208 | 214 | 248 | 245 | 280 |
| 50 Israel | 584 | 888 | 990 | 950 | 1,012 | 918 | 1,055 | $1.125 r$ | 1,172 | 1,081 |
| 51 Japan | 9.839 | 12,671 | 16,946 | 20,595 | 21,205 | 20,663 | 20,607 | 20,323 | 21,356 | 21,187 |
| 52 Korea | 2,336 | 2,282 | 3,798 | 5,523 | 5,464 | 5,574 | 5,885 | $5.844{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 5,697 | 5,724 |
| 53 Philippines | 594 | 680 | 737 | 881 | 1,019 | 1,169 | 1,081 | $1.122 r$ | 989 | 841 |
| 54 Thailand | 633 | 758 | 935 | 939 | 947 | 947 | 925 | $974{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 876 | 814 |
| 55 Middle East oil-exporting countries ${ }^{4}$ | 1,746 | 3,125 | 1,548 | 1,120 | 1,040 | 1,471 | 1,258 | 1,538 | 1,494 | 1,436 |
| 56 Other Asia | 947 | 1.804 | 1,813 | 1,506 | 1,480 | 1,876 | 2,240 | 1,999 | 2,236 | 2,027 |
| 57 Africa | 2.518 | 2,221 | 1,797 | 2,179 | 1,977 | 2,029 | 2,090 | 1.933 | 2.377 | 1,910 |
| 58 Egypt | 119 | 107 | 114 | 112 | 135 | 123 | 159 | 165 | 151 | 175 |
| 59 Morocco | 43. | 82 | 103 | 134 | 180 | 166 | 119 | 146 | 223 | 186 |
| 60 South Africa | 1,066 | 860 | 445 | 691 | 469 | 535 | 440 | 375 | 370 | 337 |
| 61 Zaire | 98 | 164 | 144 | 107 | 98 | 101 | 123 | 98 | 94 | 96 |
| 62 Oil-exporting countries ${ }^{5}$ | 510 | 452 | 391 | 378 | 349 | 374 | 469 | 402 | 805 | 410 |
| 63 Other | 682 | 556 | 600 | 757 | 746 | 729 | 780 | 747 | 734 | 707 |
| 64 Other countries | 1,090 | 988 | 855 | 943 | 1,021 | 1,091 | 1,185 | 1,143 | 1,166 | 1,122 |
| 65 Australia | 905 | 877 | 673 | 743 | 793 | 879 | 942 | 915 | 859 | 827 |
| 66 All other | 186 | 111 | 196 | 200 | 228 | 213 | 243 | 228 | 307 | 295 |
| 67 Nonmonetary international and regional organizations ${ }^{6}$ | 43 | 56 | 32 | 31 | 38 | 34 | 40 | 34 | 70 | 44 |

1. Includes the Bank for International Settlements. Beginning April 1978, also includes Eastern European countries not listed in line 23.
2. Beginning April 1978 comprises Bulgaria, Czechoslovakia, the German Democratic Republic, Hungary, Poland, and Romania.
3. Included in' "Other Latin America and Caribbean" through March 1978.
4. Comprises Bahrain, Iran, Iraq, Kuwait, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, and United Arab Emirates (Trucial States).

### 3.18 BANKS' OWN AND DOMESTIC CUSTOMERS' CLAIMS ON FOREIGNERS Reported by Banks in the United States

Payable in U.S. Dollars
Millions of dollars, end of period

| Type of claim | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 198) |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{1981}{\text { Jan. } p}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | July | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | Dec. ${ }^{\text {r }}$ |  |
| 1 Total | 90,206 | 126,851 | 154,017 | ........ | ........ | 187,008 | ....... | ........ | 198,663 | . ....... |
| 2 Banks' own claims on foreigners |  | 115.603 | 133.919 | 151.218 | 163.401 | 161.518 | 162.658 | 167.396 | 172.557 | 166.717 |
| 3 Foreign public borrowers ....... | ....... | 10,312 | 15,580 | 16.659 | 17.419 | 18.969 | 19.046 | 20,661 | 20.668 | 20,645 |
| 4 Own foreign offices ${ }^{1}$. . . |  | 41.628 | 47.475 | 58.520 | 64.051 | 61.879 | 61.613 | 62.397 | 64,968 | 63,757 |
| 5 Unaffiliated foreign banks |  | 40.496 | 40,969 | 42.007 | 47.500 | 46.008 | 46.574 | 49.071 | 50,204 | 46.079 |
| 6 Deposits ....... |  | 5.428 | 6.253 | 6.165 | 7.250 | 7.216 | 7.136 | 7.579 | 8.258 | 7.190 |
| 7 Other | ...... | 35.167 | 34.716 | 35.842 | 40.250 | 38.792 | 39.438 | 41.493 | 41.947 | 38.889 |
| 8 All other foreigners |  | 23,167 | 29.896 | 34,032 | 34.431 | 34,661 | 35,425 | 35,267 | 36.717 | 36.236 |
| 9 Claims of banks domestic customers ${ }^{2}$ |  | 11,248 | 20,098 |  |  | 25,490 |  |  | 26,106 |  |
| 10 Deposits . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |  | 480 | 955 | . $\cdot$. $\cdot$. | . $\cdot .$. | 1.081 | . $\cdot$. | ...... | 885 | ..... |
| 11 Negotiable and readily transferable instruments ${ }^{3}$ |  | 5.414 | 13.124 |  |  | 15,260 |  |  | 15.574 |  |
| 12 Outstanding collections and other claims ${ }^{4}$..... | 6.176 | 5,353 | 6.019 |  |  | 9,148 |  |  | 9,648 |  |
| 13 Memo: Customer liability on acceptances |  | 14,969 | 18.058 |  |  | 23,533 |  |  | 22,821 |  |
| Dollar deposits in banks abroad, reported by nonbanking business enterprises in the United States ${ }^{5}$ |  | 13.162 | 21.578 | 25.546 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | $24.245{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 22.057 r | $22.667{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $24.491^{r}$ | 21,177 | n.a. |

1. U.S. banks: includes amounts due from own foreign branches and foreign subsidiaries consolidated in "Consolidated Report of Condition" filed with bank regulatory agencies. Agencies, branches, and majority-owned subsidiaries of foreign banks: principally amounts due from head office or parent foreign bank. and foreign branches. agencies. or wholly owned subsidiaries of head office or parent foreign bank.
2. Assets owned by customers of the reporting bank located in the United States that represent claims on foreigners held by reporting banks for the account of their domestic customers.
3. Principally negotiable time certificates of deposit and bankers acceptances.
4. Data for March 1978 and for per od prior to that are outstanding collections only. 5. Includes demand and time deposits and negotiable and nonnegotiable certificates of deposit denominated in U.S. dollars issued by banks abroad. For description of changes in data reported by nenbanks. see July 1979 Bulletin, p. 550.

Note. Beginning April 1978, data for banks own claims are given on a monthly basis. but the data for claims of banks" own domestic customers are available on a quarterly basis only.

### 3.19 BANKS' OWN CLAIMS ON UNAFFILIATED FOREIGNERS Reported by Banks in the United States Payable in U.S. Dollars

Millions of dollars, end of period

| Maturity: by borrower and area | 1978 | 1979 |  | 1980 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dec. | Scpt. | Dec. | Mar. | June | Sept. | Dec. |
| 1 Total | 73,771 | 87,580 | 86,261 | 85,227 | 92,748 | $\mathbf{9 8 , 8 9 2}$ | 106,296 |
| By borrower |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2{ }_{3}^{2} \begin{gathered}\text { Maturity of } 1 \text { year or less }{ }^{1} \text { Foreign public borrowers }\end{gathered}$ | 58.481 4.633 | 68.404 6.142 | 65.251 7.127 | 63.863 6.773 57 | 71.368 7.089 | 76.096 8.639 | 82.197 9.573 |
| 4 All other foreigners ... | 53.849 | 62.262 | 58.125 | 57.090 | 64.279 | 67.458 | 72,624 |
| 5 Maturity of over 1 year ${ }^{1}$ | 15.289 | 19.176 | 21.009 | 21.359 | 21,380 | 22,796 | 24,099 |
| 6 Foreign public borrowers | 5.361 | 7.652 | 8.114 | 8.430 | 8.515 | 9.592 | 10.089 |
| 7 All other foreigners .... | 9.928 | 11.524 | 12.895 | 12.929 | 12,865 | 13,204 | 14.010 |
| By area <br> Maturity of 1 year or less |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{9} \quad$ Europe | 2.670 | 2.471 | 1.777 | 1.818 | 2.013 | 2.166 | 2,721 |
| 10 Latin America and Caribbean | 20.990 | 25.690 | 24.974 | 23,178 | 24.417 | 28.007 | 32,065 |
| 11 Asia | 17.579 | 21.519 | 21.673 | 23.358 | 25.753 | 26.892 | 26.440 |
| 12 Africa | 1.496 | 1.401 | 1.080 | 1.043 | 1,320 | 1.401 | 1.756 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 Europe . . . . . . . . . . | 3.142 | 3.653 | 4.140 | 4.248 | 4.033 | 4.715 | 5.095 |
| 15 Canada | 1.426 | 1.364 | 1.317 | 1.214 | 1.199 | 1.188 | 1.447 |
| 16 Latin America and Caribbean | 8.464 | 11.771 | 12.821 | 13.397 | 13,902 | 14.192 | 15,017 |
| 17 Asia | 1,407 | 1.578 | 1.911 | 1,728 | 1.524 | 2.009 | 1.862 |
| 18 Africa | 637 | 623 | 652 | 620 | 576 | 567 | 507 |
| 19 Allother ${ }^{2}$ | 214 | 188 | 169 | 152 | 146 | 126 | 171 |

1. Remaining time to maturity.
2. Includes nonmonetary international and regional organizations.
3.20 CLAIMS ON FOREIGN COUNTRIES Held by U.S. Offices and Foreign Branches of U.S.-Chartered Banks ${ }^{1}$

Billions of dollars, end of period


1. The banking offices covered by these data are the U.S. offices and foreign branches of U.S.-owned banks and of U.S. subsidiaries of foreign-owned banks. Offices not covered include (1) U.S. agencies and branches of foreign banks, and (2) foreign subsidiaries of U.S. banks. To minimize duplication, the data are adjusted to exclude the claims on foreign branches held by a U.S. office or another foreign branch of the same banking institution. The data in this table combine foreign branch of the same banking institution. The data in this table combine
foreign branch claims in table 3.13 (the sum of lines 7 through 10) with the claims foreign branch claims in table 3.13 (the sum of lines 7 through 10) with the claims
of U.S. offices in table 3.17 (excluding those held by agencies and branches of foreign banks and those constituting claims on own foreign branches). However, see also footnote 2 .
2. Beginning with data for June 1978, the claims of the U.S. offices Digitized for $\mathbf{m}$ this dable include only banks' own claims payable in dollars. For earlier dates
the claims of the U.S. offices also include customer claims and foreign currency claims (amounting in June 1978 to $\$ 10$ billion).
3. In addition to the Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries shown individually, this group includes other members of OPEC (Algeria, Gabon, Iran, Iraq, Kuwait, Libya, Nigeria, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, and United Arab Emirates) as well as Bahrain and Oman (not formally members of OPEC)
4. Foreign branch claims only through December 1976.
5. Excludes Liberia.
6. Includes Canal Zone beginning December 1979
7. Foreign branch claims only.
8. Includes New Zealand, Liberia, and international and regional organizations.

### 3.21 MARKETABLE U.S. TREASURY BONDS AND NOTES Foreign Holdings and Transactions

 Millions of dollars

### 3.22 FOREIGN OFFICIAL ASSETS HELD AT FEDERAL RESERVE BANKS

Millions of dollars, end of period

| Assets | 1978 | 1979 | 1980) | 1980 |  |  |  |  | 1981 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb ${ }^{p}$ |
| 1 Deposits | 367 | 429 | 441 | 336 | 460 | 368 | 368 | 411 | 573 | 422 |
| Assets held in custody 2 U.S. Treasury securities | 117.126 | 95,075 | 104,490 | 96.504 | 96.227 | 98,121 | 102.786 | 102.417 | 104,490 | 106.389 |
| 3 Earmarked gold ${ }^{2}$. ....... | 15.463 | 15,169 | 14.893 | 15.025 | 14.987 | 14.986 | 14.968 | 14,965 | 14,893 | 13.835 |

1. Marketable U.S. Treasury bills, notes, and bonds: and nonmarketable U.S.

Treasury securities payable in dollars and in foreign currencies.
2. The value of earmarked gold increased because of the changes in par value of the U.S. dollar in May 1972 and in October 1973.

Note. Excludes deposits and U.S. Treasury securities held for international and regional organizations. Earmarked gold is gold held for foreign and international accounts and is not included in the gold stock of the United States.

### 3.23 FOREIGN TRANSACTIONS IN SECURITIES

Millions of dollars


1. Comprises oil-exporting countries as follows: Bahrain, Iran. Iraq, Kuwait. Oman. Qatar. Saudi Arabia. and United Arab Emirates (Trucial States).
2. Includes state and local government securities. and securities of U.S. government agencies and corporations. Also includes issues of new debt securities sold abroad by U.S. corporations organized to finance direct investments abroad.

### 3.24 LIABILITIES TO UNAFFILIATED FOREIGNERS Reported by Nonbanking Business Enterprises in the United States ${ }^{1}$ <br> Millions of dollars, end of period

| Type, and area or country | 1978 | 1979 | 1979 |  |  | 1980 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | June | Sept. | Dec. | Mar. | June. | Sept. |
| 1 Total | 14,879 | 16,950 | 15,519 | 15,700 | 16,950 | 17,373 | 18,472 | 18,406 |
| 2 Payable in dollars | 11,516 | 13,932 | 12,631 | 12,692 | 13,932 | 14,437 | 15,105 | 15,203 |
| 3 Payable in foreign currencies ${ }^{2}$ | 3,363 | 3,018 | 2,888 | 3,008 | 3,018 | 2,936 | 3,366 | 3,203 |
| By type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 Financial liabilities | 6.305 | 7,311 | 6.049 | 6.131 | 7.311 | 7.802 | 8.307 | 8,125 |
| 5 Payable in dollars | 3,841 | 5,101 | 3,876 | 3,877 | 5.101 | 5,618 | 5.751 | 5,707 |
| 6 Payable in foreign currencies | 2,464 | 2,210 | 2,173 | 2,254 | 2,210 | 2,184 | 2,556 | 2,418 |
| 7 Commercial liabilities | 8,574 | 9,639 | 9,470 | 9,568 | 9,639 | 9.571 | 10,165 | 10,281 |
| 8 Trade payables | 4,008 | 4,380 | 4.302 | 4.051 | 4.380 | 4,138 | 4,265 | 4.370 |
| 9 Advance receipts and other liabilities | 4,566 | 5,258 | 5,168 | 5,518 | 5,258 | 5,433 | 5,899 | 5,911 |
| 10 Payable in dollars | 7,675 | 8,830 | 8,755 | 8,815 | 8,330 | 8,819 | 9,355 | 9,496 |
| 11 Payable in foreign currencies | 899 | 808 | 715 | 754 | 808 | 752 | 810 | 785 |
| By area or country Financial liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 Europe . . . . . . | 3,903 | 4.579 | 3,582 | 3,713 | 4. 579 | 4,813 | 5,392 | 5,214 |
| 13 Belgium-Luxembourg | 289 | 345 | 355 | 317 | 345 | 360 | 422 | 404 |
| 14 France | 167 | 168 | 134 | 126 | 1.68 | 188 | 341 | 327 |
| 15 Germany | 366 | 497 | 283 | 381 | 497 | 520 | 657 | 557 |
| 16 Netherlands | 390 | 834 | 401 | 542 | 834 | 801 | 783 | 766 |
| 17 Switzerland | 248 | 168 | 235 | 190 | 1.68 | 172 | 238 | 224 |
| 18 United Kingdom | 2,110 | 2,372 | 1,955 | 1,957 | 2,372 | 2,568 | 2,783 | 2,761 |
| 19 Canada | 244 | 445 | 290 | 304 | 4.45 | 383 | 482 | 456 |
| 20 Latin America and Caribbean | 1.357 | 1,483 | 1,395 | 1.347 | 1,483 | 1,764 | 1,633 | 1,718 |
| 21 Bahamas. | 478 | 375 | 477 | 390 | 375 | 459 | 434 | 412 |
| 22 Bermuda | 4 | 81 | 2 | 2 | 81 | 83 | 2 | 1 |
| 23 Brazil | 10 | 18 | 19 | 14 | 18 | 22 | 25 | 20 |
| 24 British West Indies | 194 | 514 | 189 | 198 | 514 | 694 | 700 | 685 |
| 25 Mexico | 102 | 121 | 131 | 122 | 121 | 101 | 101 | 108 |
| 26 Venezuela | 49 | 72 | 68 | 71 | 72 | 70 | 72 | 74 |
| 27 Asia | 791 | 795 | 772 | 757 | 795 | 821 | 775 | 705 |
| 28 Japan ......................... | 714 | 723 | 706 | 700 | 723 | 737 | 680 | 615 |
| 29 Middle East oil-exporting countries ${ }^{3}$ | 32 | 31 | 25 | 19 | 31 | 26 | 31 | 37 |
| 30 Africa | 5 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 11 | 10 | 11 |
| 31 Oil-exporting countries ${ }^{4}$ | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | , | 1 | , |
| 32 Allother ${ }^{5}$ | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 10 | 15 | 21 |
| Commercial liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 Europe . . . . . . . . . . . | 3,033 | 3,621 | 3.303 | 3,393 | 3,621 | 3,682 | 4,008 | 4,010 |
| 34 Belgium-Luxembourg | 75 321 | 137 <br> 467 | $\begin{array}{r}81 \\ 353 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 103 | 137 <br> 4 <br> 4 | 117 503 5 | 132 <br> 485 | 107 486 |
| 36 Germany | 529 | 534 | 471 | 539 | 534 | 533 | 714 | 670 |
| 37 Netheriands | 246 | 227 | 230 | 206 | 227 | 288 | 245 | 272 |
| 38 Switzerland | 302 | 310 | 439 | 348 | 310 | 382 | 462 | 451 |
| 39 United Kingdom | 824 | 1,073 | 997 | 1,015 | 1,073 | 994 | 1,120 | 1,024 |
| 40 Canada | 667 | 868 | 663 | 717 | 808 | 720 | 591 | 590 |
| 41 Latin America | 997 | 1,323 | 1,335 | 1,401 | 1,323 | 1,253 | 1,271 | 1,361 |
| 42 Bahamas. | 25 | 69 | 65 | 89 | 69 | 4 | 26 | 8 |
| 43 Bermuda | 97 | 32 | 82 | 48 | 32 | 47 | 107 | 114 |
| 44 Brazil | 74 | 203 | 165 | 186 | 203 | 228 | 151 | 156 |
| 45 British West Indies | 53 | 21 | 121 | 21 | 21 | 20 | 37 | 12 |
| 46 Mexico | 106 | 257 | 216 | 270 | 257 | 235 | 272 | 324 |
| 47 Venezuela | 303 | 301 | 323 | 359 | 301 | 211 | 210 | 293 |
| 48 Asia... | 2.932 | 2,865 | 3,034 | 2,996 | 2.865 | 2.912 | 3,053 | 2,889 |
| 49 Japan | 448 | 488 | 516 | 517 | 488 | 578 | 411 | 492 |
| 50 Middle East oil-exporting countries ${ }^{3}$ | 1,523 | 1,017 | 1,225 | 1,070 | 1017 | 901 | 1,019 | 937 |
| 51 Africa | 743 | 728 | 891 | 775 | 728 | 742 | 875 | 1,036 |
| 52 Oil- exporting countries ${ }^{4}$ | 312 | 384 | 410 | 370 | 384 | 382 | 498 | 633 |
| 53 All other ${ }^{5}$ | 203 | 233 | 243 | 287 | 233 | 263 | 367 | 396 |

1. For a description of the changes in the International Statistics tables, see July 1979 BULLETIN, p. 550 .
2. Before December 1978, foreign currency data include only liabilities denominated in foreign currencies with an original maturity of less than one year.
3. Comprises Bahrain, Iran, Iraq, Kuwait, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, and United Arab Emirates (Trucial States).
4. Comprises Algeria, Gabon, Libya, and Nigeria.
5. Includes nonmonetary international and regional organizations.
3.25 CLAIMS ON UNAFFILIATED FOREIGNERS Reported by Nonbanking Business Enterprises in the
United States

Millions of dollars, end of period

| Type, and area or country | 1978 | 1979 | 1979 |  |  | 1980 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | June | Sept. | Dec. | Mar. | June | Sept. |
| 1 Total | 27,859 | 30,859 | 30,296 | 30,949 | 30,859 | 31,953 | 31,850 | 31,374 |
| 2 Payable in dollars | 24,861 | 27,703 | 27,394 | 28,280 | 27,703 | 28,956 | 28,808 | 28,240 |
| 3 Payable in foreign currencies ${ }^{2}$ | 2,998 | 3.156 | 2,902 | 2.668 | 3.156 | 2,997 | 3,042 | 3,134 |
| By type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 Financial claims | 16,522 | 18,107 | 19,303 | 19,176 | 18,107 | 19,237 | 18,499 | 18,164 |
| 5 Deposits | 11,062 | 12,461 | 13,643 | 13,730 | 12,461 | 13,563 | 12,658 | 12,099 |
| 6 Payable in dollars | 10,000 | 11,572 | 12,706 | 12,830 | 11,572 | 12,601 | 11,778 | 11.018 |
| 7 Payable in foreign currencies | 1,061 | 5889 | 938 | 901 | 889 | 963 | ${ }_{5} 879$ | 1,081 |
| 8 Other financial claims | 5,461 | $\begin{array}{r}5,646 \\ 3 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 5,660 | 5.446 | 5,646 3 | 5.673 | 5,841 | 6,065 |
| 9 Payable in dollars | 3,855 | 3,792 1 | 4,059 | 4,030 1,416 | 3,792 <br> 1 | 4,046 | 4,103 1,737 | 4,395 1,670 |
| 10 Payable in foreign currencies | 1,606 | 1,854 | 1,601 | 1,416 | 1,854 | 1,627 | 1,737 | 1,670 |
| 11 Commercial claims | 11,337 | 12,752 | 10,993 | 11,773 | 12,752 | 12,716 | 13,352 | 13,210 |
| 12 Trade receivables | 10.778 | 12,064 | 10,364 | 11,061 | 12,064 | 12,071 | 12,656 | 12,521 |
| 13 Advance payments and other claims | 559 | 688 | 628 | 712 | 688 | 645 | 695 | 689 |
| 14 Payable in dollars | 11,006 | 12,339 | 10,629 | 11,421 | 12,339 | 12,309 | 12,926 | 12,827 |
| 15 Payable in foreign currencies | 331 | 413 | 363 | 352 | 413 | 407 | 425 | 383 |
| By area or country Financial claims |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 Europe . .... | 5,218 | 6,115 | 5,638 | 6,562 | 6,115 | 5,826 | 5,835 | 5,576 |
| 17 Belgium-Luxembourg | 48 | 32 | 54 | 33 | 32 | 19 | 23 | 14 |
| 18 France.. | 178 | 177 | 183 | 191 | 177 | 290 | 307 | 381 |
| 19 Germany | 510 | 407 | 361 | 393 | 407 | 298 | 190 | 168 |
| 20 Netherlands | 103 | 53 | 62 | 51 | 53 | 39 | 37 | 30 |
| 21 Switzerland | 98 | 73 | 81 | 85 | 73 | 89 | 96 | 41 |
| 22 United Kingdom | 4,023 | 5,053 | 4,650 | 5,522 | 5,053 | 4,778 | 4,855 | 4,546 |
| 23 Canada | 4,482 | 4,812 | 5,146 | 4,767 | 4.812 | 4,882 | 4,778 | 4,798 |
| 24 Latin America and Caribbean | 5,665 | 6,190 | 7,433 | 6,682 | 6,190 | 7,512 | 6,807 | 6,671 |
| 25 Bahamas | 2,959 | 2,680 | 3,637 | 3,284 | 2,680 | 3,448 | 2,962 | 2,757 |
| 26 Bermuda | 80 | 30 | 57 | 31 | 30 | 34 | 25 | 65 |
| 27 Brazil | 151 | 163 | 141 | 133 | 163 | 128 | 120 | 116 |
| 28 British West Indies | 1,288 | 2,001 | 2.407 | 1,838 | 2,001 | 2,591 | 2,393 | 2,283 |
| 29 Mexico | 163 | 158 | 159 | 156 | 158 | 169 | 178 | 192 |
| 30 Venezuela | 150 | 133 | 151 | 139 | 133 | 132 | 139 | 128 |
| 31 Asia | 922 | 693 | 800 | 818 | 693 | 708 | 758 | 792 |
| 32 Japan | 307 | 190 | 217 | 222 | 190 | 226 | 253 | 269 |
| 33 Middle East oil-exporting countries ${ }^{3}$ | 18 | 16 | 17 | 21 | 16 | 18 | 16 | 20 |
| 34 Africa | 181 | 253 | 227 | 277 | 253 | 265 | 256 | 260 |
| 35 Oil-exporting countries ${ }^{4}$ | 10 | 49 | 23 | 41 | 49 | 40 | 35 | 29 |
| 36 Allother ${ }^{5}$ | 55 | 44 | 61 | 69 | 44 | 43 | 65 | 68 |
| Commercial claims |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37 Europe | 3,985 | 4,895 | 3,833 | 4,127 | 4,895 | 4,751 | 4,820 | 4,610 |
| 38 Belgium-Luxembourg | 144 | 203 | 170 | 179 | 203 | 208 | 255 | 227 |
| 39 France | 609 | 727 | 470 | 518 | 727 | 703 | 662 | 698 |
| 40 Germany | 399 | 584 | 421 | 448 | 584 | 515 | 504 | 561 |
| 41 Netherlands | 267 | 298 | 307 | 262 | 298 | 347 | 297 | 287 |
| 42 Switzerland | 198 | 269 | 232 | 224 | 269 | 349 | 429 | 332 |
| 43 United Kingdom | 827 | 905 | 731 | 818 | 905 | 924 | 908 | 979 |
| 44 Canada | 1,096 | 843 | 1,106 | 1,164 | 843 | 862 | 895 | 926 |
| 45 Latin America and Caribbean | 2,547 | 2,853 | 2,406 | 2.595 | 2,853 | 2,990 | 3,281 | 3,351 |
| 46 Bahamas. | 109 | 21 | 98 | 16 | 21 | 19 | 19 | 53 |
| 47 Bermuda | 215 | 197 | 118 | 154 | 197 | 135 | 133 | 81 |
| 48 Brazil | 629 | 647 | 503 | 568 | 647 | 656 | 697 | 709 |
| 49 British West Indies | 9 | 16 | 25 | 13 | 16 | 11 | 9 | 17 |
| 50 Mexico | 506 | 698 | 584 | 648 | 698 | 833 | 921 | 973 |
| 51 Venezuela | 292 | 342 | 296 | 346 | 342 | 349 | 394 | 384 |
| 52 Asia. | 3,082 | 3,365 | 2,967 | 3,116 | 3,365 | 3,370 | 3,540 | 3,361 |
| 53 Japan | 976 | 1,127 | 1,005 | 1,128 | 1,127 | 1,209 | 1,130 | 1,065 |
| 54 Middle East oil-exporting countries ${ }^{3}$ | 717 | 766 | 685 | 701 | 766 | 718 | 829 | 829 |
| 55 Africa | 447 | 556 | 487 | 549 | 556 | 518 | 567 | 699 |
| 56 Oil-exporting countries ${ }^{4}$ | 136 | 133 | 139 | 140 | 133 | 114 | 115 | 135 |
| 57 Allother ${ }^{5}$ | 179 | 240 | 194 | 220 | 240 | 225 | 249 | 264 |

1. For a description of the changes in the International Statistics tables, see July 1979 Bulletin, p. 550.
2. Prior to December 1978, foreign currency data include only liabilities denominated in foreign currencies with an original maturity of less than one year.
3. Comprises Bahrain, Iran, Iraq, Kuwait, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, and United Arab Emirates (Trucial States).
4. Comprises Algeria, Gabon, Libya, and Nigeria.
5. Includes nonmonetary international and regional organizations.

### 3.26 DISCOUNT RATES OF FOREIGN CENTRAL BANKS

Percent per annum

| Country | Rate on Feb. 28, 1981 |  | Country | Rate on Feb. 28, 1981 |  | Country | Rate on Feb. 28, 1981 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Month effective |  | Percent | Month effective |  | Percent | Month effective |
| Argentina | 169.80 | Feb. 1981 | France ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  | Jan. 1981 |
| Austria . | 6.75 | Mar. 1980 | Germany, Fed. Rep. of | 7.5 | May 1980 | Switzerland | 4.0 | Feb. 1981 |
| Belgium | 12.0 | July 1980 | Italy . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 16.5 | Sept. 1980 | United Kingdom | 14.0 | Nov. 1980 |
| Brazil . . | 40.0 | June 1980 | Japan | 7.25 | Nov. 1980 | Venezuela .... | 10.0 | July 1980 |
| Canada | 17.08 | Feb. 1981 | Netherlands | 8.0 | Oct. 1980 |  |  |  |
| Denmark | 11.00 | Oct. 1980 | Norway | 9.0 | Nov. 1979 |  |  |  |

1. As from February 1981, the rate at which the bank of France discounts Treasury bills for seven to ten days.
Note. Rates shown are mainly those at which the central bank either discounts or makes advances against eligible commercial paper and/or
government securities for commercial banks or brokers. For countries with more than one rate applicable 10 such discounts or advances, the rate shown is the one at which it is understood the central bank transacts the largest proportion of its credit operations.

### 3.27 FOREIGN SHORT-TERM INTEREST RATES

Percent per annum, averages of daily figures

| Country, or type | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1980 |  |  |  |  | 1981 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. |
| 1 Eurodollars | 8.74 | 11.96 | 14.00 | 10.82 | 12.07 | 13.55 | 16.46 | 19.47 | 18.07 | 17.18 |
| 2 United Kingdom | 9.18 | 13.60 | 16.59 | 16.45 | 15.89 | 15.87 | 15.84 | 14.64 | 14.20 | 13.12 |
| 3 Canada ....... | 8.52 | 11.91 | 13.12 | 10.47 | 10.73 | 11.71 | 12.96 | 16.83 | 16.98 | 17.28 |
| ${ }_{5}^{4}$ Germany . | 3.67 | 6.64 | 9.45 5 | 8.93 | 8.90 5 | 8.99 | $\stackrel{9}{59}$ | 10.11 | 9.41 | 10.74 |
| 5 Switzerland | 0.74 | 2.04 | 5.79 | 5.52 | 5.57 | 5.40 | 5.53 | 6.61 | 5.68 | 7.09 |
| 6 Netherlands | 6.53 | 9.33 | 10.60 | 9.97 | 10.31 | 9.63 | 9.59 | 9.69 | 9.36 | 9.78 |
| 7 France .... | 8.10 | 9.44 | 12.18 | 11.20 | 11.81 | 11.69 | 11.26 | 11.52 | 11.38 | 11.87 |
| 8 Italy. | 11.40 | 11.85 | 17.50 | 17.30 | 17.50 | 18.16 | 17.51 | 17.47 | 17.34 | 17.50 |
| 9 Belgium | 7.14 | 10.48 | 14.06 | 12.52 | 12.35 | 12.24 | 12.40 | 12.75 | 12.41 | 12.52 |
| 10 Japan .................. | 4.75 | 6.10 | 11.45 | 12.04 | 11.46 | 10.98 | 9.74 | 9.60 | 9.00 | 8.52 |

Note. Rates are for 3-month interbank loans except for the following: Canada, finance company paper; Belgium, time deposits of 20 million

### 3.28 FOREIGN EXCHANGE RATES

Cents per unit of foreign currency

| Country/currency | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1980 |  |  |  |  | 1981 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. |
| 1 Australia/dollar | 114.41 | 111.77 | 114.00 | 115.77 | 117.04 | 117.43 | 116.75 | 116.86 | 118.19 | 116.26 |
| 2 Austria/schilling | 6.8958 | 7.4799 | 7.7349 | 7.8840 | 7.8916 | 7.6714 | 7.3433 | 7.1549 | 7.0297 | 6.6033 |
| 3 Belgium/franc . . | 3.1809 | 3.4098 | 3.4247 | 3.4883 | 3.4844 | 3.3875 | $3.245 \%$ | 3.1543 | 3.0962 | 2.8972 |
| 4 Canada/dollar | 87.729 | 85.386 | 85.530 | 86.263 | 85.861 | 85.538 | 84.286 | 83.560 | 83.974 | 83.442 |
| 5 Denmark/krone | 18.156 | 19.010 | 17.766 | 18.070 | 18.068 | 17.639 | 16.962 | 16.573 | 16.181 | 15.152 |
| 6 Finland/markka | 24.337 | 27.732 | 26.892 | 27.353 | 27.428 | 27.122 | 26.452 | 25.903 | 25.752 | 24.656 |
| 7 France/franc | 22.218 | 23.504 | 23.694 | 24.106 | 24.056 | 23.489 | 22.515 | 21.925 | 21.539 | 20.142 |
| 8 Germany/deutsche mark | 49.867 | 54.561 | 55.089 | 55.867 | 55.883 | 54.280 | 52.113 | 50.769 | 49.771 | 46.757 |
| 9 India/rupee | 12.207 | 12.265 | 12.686 | 12.849 | 12.903 | 12.932 | 12.868 | 12.608 | 12.567 | 12.164 |
| 10 Ireland/pound | 191.84 | 204.65 | 205.77 | 210.62 | 210.34 | 203.88 | 194.59 | 189.01 | 185.54 | 173.31 |
| 11 Italy/lira | . 11782 | . 12035 | . 11694 | . 11801 | . 11742 | . 11441 | . 11000 | . 10794 | . 10478 | . 09807 |
| 12 Japan/yen | . 47981 | . 45834 | . 44311 | . 44666 | . 46644 | . 47777 | . 46928 | . 47747 | 49419 | 48615 |
| 13 Malaysia/ringgit | 43.210 | 45.720 | 45.967 | 46.484 | 47.127 | 46.902 | 46.187 | 45.406 | 44.994 | 44.196 |
| 14 Mexico/peso | 4.3896 | 4.3826 | 4.3535 | 4.3389 | 4.3443 | 4.3324 | 4.3166 | 4.3071 | 4.2792 | 4.2544 |
| 15 Netherlands/guilder | 46.284 | 49.843 | 50.369 | 51.305 | 51.398 | 50.052 | 48.102 | 46.730 | 45.810 | 42.870 |
| 16 New Zealand/dollar | 103.64 | 102.23 | 97.337 | 97.738 | 98.309 | 98.069 | 96.770 | 95.404 | 96.137 | 93.414 |
| 17 Norway/krone | 19.079 | 19.747 | 20.261 | 20.555 | 20.676 | 20.421 | 19.938 | 19.370 | 19.087 | 18.485 |
| 18 Portugal/escudo | 2.2782 | 2.0437 | 1.9980 | 2.0163 | 2.0096 | 1.9756 | 1.9178 | 1.8773 | 1.8591 | 1.7722 |
| 19 South Africa/rand | 115.01 | 118.72 | 128.54 | 131.55 | 132.73 | 133.13 | 133.20 | 132.83 | 133.69 | 129.27 |
| 20 Spain/peseta | 1.3073 | 1.4896 | 1.3958 | 1.3810 | 1.3639 | 1.3423 | 1.3085 | 1.2653 | 1.2409 | 1.1686 |
| 21 Sri Lanka/rupee | ${ }_{2}^{6.3834}$ | ${ }_{23.323}{ }^{6.4226}$ | ${ }_{23}^{6.1947}$ | ${ }_{23} 6.2980$ | ${ }_{24.31972}$ | ${ }_{2}^{5.9707}$ | 5.8139 | 5.7379 | 5.9525 | ${ }_{2} 5.5975$ |
| 22 Sweden/krona | 56.283 | 60.121 | 59.697 | 60.527 | 61.012 | 60.185 | 57.942 | 56.022 | 54.907 | 51.502 |
| 24 United Kingdom/pound | 191.84 | 212.24 | 232.58 | 237.04 | 240.12 | 241.64 | 239.41 | 234.59 | 240.29 | 229.41 |
| Memo: <br> 25 United States/dollar ${ }^{3}$ | 92.39 | 88.09 | 87.39 | 86.09 | 85.50 | 86.59 | 89.31 | 90.99 | 91.38 | 96.02 |

1. Index of weighted average exchange value of U.S. dollar against currencies of other G-10 countries plus Switzerland. March $1973=100$.
Weights are 1972-76 global trade of each of the 10 countries. Series
revised as of August 1978. For description and back data, see "Index of
the Weighted-Average Exchange Value of the U.S. Dollar: Revision" on page 700 of the August 1978 Bulletin.
[^30]
# Guide to Tabular Presentation, Statistical Releases, and Special Tables 

Guide to Tabular Presentation

## Symbols and Abbreviations

| c | Corrected |
| :--- | :--- |
| e | Estimated |
| p | Preliminary |
| r | Revised (Notation appears on column heading |
|  | when more than half of figures in that column |
| are changed.) | Amounts insignificant in terms of the last decimal |
|  | place shown in the table (for example, less than <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> millions) |

## General Information

Minus signs are used to indicate (1) a decrease, (2) a negative figure, or (3) an outflow.
"U.S. government securities" may include guaranteed issues of U.S. government agencies (the flow of funds figures also include not fully guaranteed issues) as well as direct obli-

| 0 | Calculated to be zero |
| :--- | :--- |
| n.a. | Not available |
| n.e.c. | Not elsewhere classified |
| IPCs | Individuals, partnerships, and corporations |
| REITs | Real estate investment trusts |
| RPs | Repurchase agreements |
| SMSAs | Standard metropolitan statistical areas |
| $\ldots . .$. | Cell not applicable |

Calculated to be zero
n.a.

Not elsewhere classified
IPCs
REITs
RPs
Real estate investment trusts
Repurchase agreements
...... Cell not applicable
gations of the Treasury. "State and local government" also includes municipalities, special districts, and other political subdivisions.

In some of the tables details do not add to totals because of rounding.

## Statistical Releases

List Published Semiannually, with Latest Bulletin Reference

|  |  | Iss | Page |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Anticipated schedule of release dates for periodic releases | December 1980 | A8 |

## Special Tables

## Published Irregularly, with Latest Bulletin Reference

Commercial bank assets and liabilities, call dates, December 31, 1978, to March 31, $1980 \ldots \ldots$..... October 1980 A71
Commercial bank assets and liabilities, June 30, 1980
December 1980
Commercial bank assets and liabilities, September 30, 1980
February 1981
Assets and liabilities of U.S. branches and
agencies of foreign banks, June 30, 1980
March 1981
A68
Special tables begin on following page.
4.30 ASSETS AND LIABILITIES of U.S. Branches and Agencies of Foreign Banks, June 30, 1980

Millions of dollars

| Item | All states ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | New York |  | Califorria Total | Illinois Branches | Other states ${ }^{2}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Branches | Agencies | Branches | Agencies |  |  | Branches | Agencies |
| 1 Total assets ${ }^{4}$ | 122,529 | 74,767 | 47,763 | 64,774 | 20,671 | 25,782 | 5,941 | 4,028 | 1,333 |
| 2 Cash and due from depository institutions | 17,340 | 14,342 | 2,999 | 13.575 | 2.756 | 219 | 671 | 96 | 24 |
| 3 Currency and coin (U.S. and foreign) . |  |  | 3 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| 4 Balances with Federal Reserve Banks | 22 | 17 | 5 | 14 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 Balances with other central banks ............... | , | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 Demand balances with commercial banks in United States | 8,611 | 6,604 | 2,008 | 6,418 | 1,916 | 87 | 141 | 44 | 5 |
| 7 All other balances with depository institutions in United States and with banks in foreign countries | 7,894 | 7,038 | 855 | 6,465 | 723 | 113 | 524 | 49 | 19 |
| 8 Time and savings balances with commercial banks in United States | 3,700 | 3,401 | 300 | 3,246 | 243 | 45 | 109 | 46 | 11 |
| 9 Balances with other depository institutions in United States | 431 | 431 | 0 | 430 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 Balances with banks in foreign countries .... | 3,763 | 3.207 | 556 | 2.789 | 480 | 67 | 415 | 3 | 9 |
| 11 Foreign branches of U.S. banks ..... | 813 | . 624 | 189 | 544 | 171 | 17 | 80 | 0 | 1 |
| 12 Other banks in foreign countries | 2,949 | 2,583 | 366 | 2,245 | 308 | 50 | 335 | 3 | 8 |
| 13 Cash items in process of collection | 796 | 669 | 128 | 666 | 111 | 17 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 14 Total securities, loans, and lease financing receivables | 75,602 | 49,778 | 25,824 | 42,428 | 12,438 | 12,172 | 4,873 | 2,465 | 1,226 |
| 15 Total securities, book value | 2,929 | 1,667 | 1,263 | 1,441 | 1.136 | 127 | 123 | 102 | 0 |
| 16 U.S. Treasury .o.............................. | 1,918 | 1,018 | 900 | 895 | 840 | 60 | 25 | 98 | 0 |
| 17 Obligations of other U.S. government agencies and corporations | 299 | 80 | 220 | 77 | 201 | 20 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 18 Obligations of states and political subdivisions in United States | 159 | 124 | 36 | 93 | 1 | 35 | 28 | 3 | 0 |
| 19 Other bonds, notes, debentures and corporate stock | 553 | 445 | 108 | 376 | 95 | 13 | 69 | 0 | 0 |
| 20 Federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell | 5.640 | 3.013 | 2,626 | 2.876 | 2.279 | 350 | 110 | 22 | 4 |
| 21 By holder |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 Commercial banks in United States | 5,368 | 2,795 | 2,574 | 2,710 | 2,237 | 339 | 57 | 22 | 4 |
| 22 Others | 271 | 219 | 53 | 166 | 42 | 10 | 53 | 0 | 0 |
| 23 By type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 One-day maturity or continuing contract $\ldots$......... | 5,536 | 2,975 60 | 2,561 72 | 2,854 19 | 2,218 52 | 346 20 | 94 | 22 0 | 4 0 |
| 25 Other ..................................... | 5,404 | 2,915 | 2,489 | 2,834 | 2,166 | 326 | 53 | 22 | 4 |
| 26 Other securities purchased under agreements to resell | 104 | 38 | 66 | 22 | 62 | 4 | 16 | 0 | 0 |
| 27 Total loans, gross | 72,760 | 48,161 | 24,599 | 41,035 | 11,315 | 12,070 | 4,751 | 2,364 |  |
| 28 Less: Unearned income on loans | 72,90 | 52 | 4. 38 | . 50 | , 13 | 25 |  | 1 | ${ }^{0}$ |
| 29 Equals: Loans, net | 72,670 | 48,109 | 24,561 | 40,985 | 11,302 | 12,045 | 4,750 | 2,363 | 1,226 |
| Total loans, gross, by category |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 Real estate loans .......... | 1.704 | 243 | 1.460 | 120 | 651 | 693 | 19 | 95 | 126 |
| 31 Loans to financial institutions | 23,933 | 18.764 | 5,169 | 17.273 | 2.794 | 2.323 | 1.430 | 61 | 51 |
| 32 Commercial banks in United States ............. | 11,651 | 9.278 | 2.373 | 8.470 | 1,074 | 1,287 | 755 | 53 | 12 |
| 33 U.S. branches and agencies of other foreign banks | 10,714 | 8.560 | 2,155 | 7,809 | 903 | 1,252 | 699 | 52 | 0 |
| 34 Other commercial banks | 937 | 718 | 219 | 861 | 172 | 35 | 56 | 1 | 12 |
| 35 Banks in foreign countries . ...................... | 11,285 | 8,791 | 2,494 | 8,274 | 1,479 | 980 | 515 | 2 | 35 |
| 36 Foreign branches of U.S. banks ............... | 1,456 | 1,086 | 371 | 1,006 | 277 | 94 | 77 | 2 | 0 |
| 37 Other | 9.829 | 7.705 | 2,123 | 7,267 | 1.202 | 886 | 438 | 0 | 35 |
| 38 Other financial institutions | 997 | 695 | 302 | 529 | 241 | 56 | 160 | 6 | 5 |
| 39 Loans for purchasing or carrying securities | 735 | 362 | 372 | 337 | 354 | 19 | 20 | 5 | 0 |
| 40 Commercial and industrial loans ........ | 38,304 | 22.901 | 15,403 | 17.679 | 6,297 | 3.114 | 3,076 | 2,143 | 994 |
| 41 U.S. addressees (domicile) | 23,863 | 14.102 | 9.761 | 10,053 | 3,391 | 5,4,45 | 2.738 | 1,310 | 927 |
| 42 Non-U.S. addressees (domicile) | 14,440 | 8,799 | 5,642 | 7,626 | 2,906 | 2,669 | -339 | 834 | 67 |
| 43 Loans to individuals for household, family, and other personal expenditures | 101 | 67 | 34 | 51 | 17 | 17 | 4 | 11 | 0 |
| 44 All other loans . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 7,985 | 5.824 | 2,161 | 5.575 | 1,202 | 904 | 202 | 47 | 55 |
| 45 Loans to foreign governments and official institutions | 6,665 | 4,725 | 1,940 | 4,516 | 1,021 | 864 | 189 | 19 | 55 |
| 46 Other .......... | 1,320 | 1.099 | 221 | 1.058 | 181 | 40 | 13 | 28 | 0 |
| 47 Lease financing receivables | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 48 All other assets | 23,947 | 7.634 | 16,313 | 5,895 | 3.197 | 13,641 | 288 | 1,446 | 80 |
| 49 Customers' liability on acceptances outstanding | 7.617 | 3.711 | 3.906 | 3.618 | 2.728 | 1,151 | 61 | 32 | 27 |
| 50 U.S. addressees (domicile) ............... | 4.000 | 2.092 | 1,908 | 2,044 | 899 | 988 | 37 | 11 | 21 |
| 51 Non-U.S. addressees (domicile) ....... | 3.616 | 1,618 | 1,998 | 1,573 | 1,829 | 163 | 24 | 21 | 6 |
| 52 53 Net due from related banking institutions Other............................. | 12,777 3,553 | 1.527 2.396 | 11,250 1.157 | 160 2.117 | 0 | 11.229 661 | 227 | 1,366 48 | 21 |
| 53 Other......................................... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Item |  | All states ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | New York |  | California Total ${ }^{3}$ | Illinois Branches | Other states ${ }^{2}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Branches | Agencies | Branches | Agencies |  |  | Branches | Agencies |
|  | Total liabilities ${ }^{4}$ | 122,529 | 74,767 | 47,763 | 64,774 | 20,671 | 25,782 | 5,941 | 4,028 | 1,333 |
| 55 | Total deposits and credit balances | 34.069 | 29.632 | 4.437 | 26.675 | 3.634 | 760 | 479 | 2.472 | 48 |
| 56 | Individuals, partnerships, and corporations | 16.918 | 16.171 | 747 | 13.519 | 305 | 413 | 287 | 2.360 | 34 |
| 57 | U.S. addressees (domicile) | 14.252 | 14.049 | 203 | 11.511 | 130 | 66 | 215 | 2.317 | 12 |
| 58 | Non-U.S. addressees (domicile) | 2.666 | 2.122 | 544 | 2.008 | 175 | 348 | 71 | 43 | 21 |
|  | U.S. government, states, and political subdivisions in United States | 103 | 103 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 71 | 0 |
| 60 | All other | 17.048 | 13.359 | 3.690 | 13.128 | 3.329 | 346 | 190 | 41 | 14 |
| 61 | Foreign governments and official institutions | 2.927 | 2.672 | 255 | 2.550 | 91 | 165 | 112 | 10 | 0 |
| 62 | Commercial banks in United States ....... | 5,743 | 4.638 | 1.105 | 4.631 | 1.095 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 9 |
| 63 | U.S. branches and agencies of other foreign banks | 841 | 832 | 9 | 831 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 64 | Other commercial banks in United States | 4.902 | 3.806 | 1.096 | 3.799 | 1.087 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 8 |
| 65 | Banks in foreign countries ................ | 2.707 | 2.318 | 389 | 2.266 | 267 | 119 | 40 | 12 | 3 |
| 66 | Foreign branches of U.S. banks | 48 | 39 | 8 | 37 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 67 | Other banks in foreign countries | 2,660 | 2,279 | 381 | 2,229 | 267 | 111 | 40 | 10 | 3 |
| 68 | Certified and officers' checks, travelers checks. and letters of credit sold for cash | 5.671 | 3.731 | 1.940 | 3.681 | 1.877 | 61 | 35 | 15 | 2 |
|  | Demand deposits | 140915 | 8.910 | 2.005 | 8.655 | 1.877 | 116 | 133 | 120 | 15 |
| 70 | Individuals, partnerships, and corporations | 1.526 | 1.479 | 47 | 1.280 | 0 | 38 | 93 | 104 | 11 |
| 71 | U.S. addressees (domicile) | 981 | 968 | 12 | 798 | 0 | 7 | 72 | 96 | 7 |
| 72 | Non-U.S. addressees (domicile) ............ | 545 | 511 | 34 | 482 | 0 | 31 | 21 | 8 | 4 |
| 73 | U.S. government. states, and political subdivisions in United States | 14 | 14 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| 74 | Allother | 9.375 | 7.417 | 1.958 | 7.361 | 1.877 | 78 | 40 | 15 | 4 |
| 75 | Foreign governments and official institutions | 723 | 714 | 9 | 712 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 76 | Commercial banks in United States ...... | 1.953 | 1.951 | 2 | 1.949 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 77 | U.S. branches and agencies of other foreign banks | 165 | 164 | 1 | 164 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 78 | Other commercial banks in United States | 1.788 | 1.787 | 1 | 1.785 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 79 | Banks in foreign countries .................. | 1.028 | 1.021 | 7 | 1.019 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| 80 | Certified and officers' checks, travelers checks. and letters of credit sold for cash | 5,671 | 3.731 | 1.940 | 3,681 | 1.877 | 61 | 35 | 15 | 2 |
| 81 | Time deposits | 20.952 | 20.372 | 581 | 17.726 | 0 | 556 | 324 | 2.322 | 25 |
| 82 | Individual, partnerships, and corporations | 14.654 | 14.342 | 312 | 11.944 | 0 | 296 | 171 | 2.226 | 17 |
| 83 | U.S. addressees (domicile) | 12.876 | 12.871 | 5 | 10.553 | 1 | 6 | 124 | 2.193 | 0 |
| 84 | Non-U.S. addressees (domicile) | 1.778 | 1.471 | 307 | 1.391 | 0 | 290 | 47 | 33 | 17 |
| 85 | U.S. government. states, and political subdivisions in United States | 89 | 89 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 71 | 0 |
| 86 | All other | 6.209 | 5.941 | 268 | 5.766 | 0 | 261 | 150 | 25 | 8 |
| 87 | Foreign governments and official institutions | 2.109 | 1.958 | 151 | 1.838 | 0 | 151 | 110 | 10 | 0 |
| 88 | Commercial banks in United States ....... | 2.695 | 2.686 | 8 | 2,681 | 0 | $1)$ | , | 3 | 8 |
| 89 | U.S. branches and agencies of other foreign banks. | 668 | 668 | 0 | 668 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 90 | Other commercial banks in United States | 2.027 | 2.019 |  | 2.014 | 0 | 0 | 8 |  | 8 |
| 91 | Banks in foreign countries . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 1.406 | 1.297 | 109 | 1.247 | 0 | 108 | 38 | 12 | 0 |
| 92 | Savings deposits . . . . . . . . | 377 | 351 | 26 | 295 | 0 | 28 | 23 | 31 | 0 |
| 93 | Individuals. partnerships, and corporations | 376 | 350 | 26 | 295 | , | 28 | 23 | 31 | 0 |
| 94 | U.S. addressees (domicile) | 209 | 219 | 0 | 161 | 0 | 1 | 19 | 29 | 0 |
| 95 | Non-U.S. addressees (domicile) | 167 | 141 | 26 | 134 | 0 | 26 | 4 | 2 | 0 |
| 96 | U.S. government, states, and political subdivisions in United States | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 97 | All other ....... | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $1)$ | 0 | 0 |
| 98 | Credit balances . ............................ | 1.826 | 0 | 1.825 | ${ }^{0}$ | 1.757 | 60 | $1)$ | 0 | 8 |
| 99 | Individuals, partnerships. and corporations | 362 | 0 | 362 | 0 | 305 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 100 | U.S. addressees (domicile) | 185 | 0 | 185 | 0 | 130 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 101 | Non-U.S. addressees (domicile) | 177 | 0 | 177 | 0 | 175 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 102 | U.S. government, states, and political subdivisions in United States | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 103 | All other . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 1,463 | 0 | 1.463 | 0 | 1,452 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 104 | Foreign governments and official institutions | 95 | 0 | 95 | 0 | 91 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 105 | Commercial banks in United States ........ | 1.095 | 0 | 1.095 | ${ }^{1}$ | 1,095 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 106 | U.S. branches and agencies of other foreign banks. |  | 0 |  | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 107 | Other commercial banks in United States | 1.087 | 0 | 1.087 | 0 | 1.087 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 108 | Banks in foreign countries ..................... | 273 | 0 | 273 | 0 | 267 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 |


| Item |  | All states ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | New York |  | Califormia Total ${ }^{3}$ | Illinois Branches | Other states ${ }^{2}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Branches | Agencies | Branches | Agencies |  |  | Branches | Agencies |
| 109 Federal funds purchased and sold under agreement to repurchase |  | 11,547 | 6,448 | 5,099 | 5,635 | 2,529 | 2,416 | 664 | 149 | 154 |
| 110 | By holder <br> Commercial banks in United States | 10.536 | 5.937 | 4,598 | 5.144 | .172 | 2,403 | 644 | 149 | 23 |
| 111 | Others . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 1,012 | 511 | 501 | 491 | 357 | 13 | 19 | 0 | 131 |
| 11 | By type One-day maturity or continuing contract | 346 | 6,254 | 5.092 | 5.451 | 529 | 2.410 | 654 | 149 | 153 |
| 113 | Securities sold under agreements to repurchase | , 500 | 6,2541 | 5,092 | -425 | 2,529 | 2,410 | 7 | 1 | 0 |
| 114 | Other . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 10,845 | 5,823 | 5,023 | 5,026 | 2,526 | 2,344 | 647 | 149 | 153 |
| 115 | Other securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 201 | 194 | 7 | 184 | 0 | 7 | 9 | 0 | 1 |
| 116 | Other liabilities for borrowed money | 33,383 | 11.673 | 21,710 | 9,691 | 3,756 | 17,916 | 1,545 | 437 | 37 |
| 117 | Owed to banks . . . . . . . . . | 30,485 | 10,370 | 20,115 | 8,526 | 3,460 | 16,618 | 1,497 | 346 | 37 |
| 118 | U.S. addressees (domicile) | 24.920 | 6.352 | 18,568 | 5,042 | 2,926 | 15.614 | 966 | 344 | 28 |
| 119 | Non-U.S. addressees (domicile) | 5.565 | 4,018 | 1,547 | 3,484 | 534 | 1.004 | 531 | 2 | 9 |
| 120 | Owed to others . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 2,898 | 1,304 | 1,595 | 1,164 | 296 | 1,299 | 49 | 91 | 0 |
| 121 | U.S. addressees (domicile) | 2,142 | 960 | 1,182 | 843 | 80 | 1,102 | 30 | 87 | 0 |
| 122 | Non-U.S. addressees (domicile) | 756 | 344 | 413 | 322 | 216 | 196 | 19 | 3 | 0 |
| 123 | All other liabilities | 43.529 | 27.013 | 16.516 | 22.772 | 10.751 | 4.690 | 3.253 | 969 | 1,094 |
| 124 | Acceptances executed and outstanding | 8.103 | 3.809 | 4.294 | 3.702 | 2.504 | 1.763 | 73 | 34 | 27 |
| 125 | Net due to related banking institutions ${ }^{5}$ | 32,379 | 20.798 | 11,581 | 16,911 | 8.017 | 2,533 | 2,976 | 893 | 1,049 |
| 126 | Other | 3,047 | 2.406 | 642 | 2,159 | 230 | 394 | 203 | 43 | 18 |
| Memo |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 127 \\ & 128 \end{aligned}$ | Time deposits of \$ 100,000 or more . . . . . . . . . . . . | 19,680 | 19.259 | 421 | 16,810 | 0 | 408 | 176 | 2,272 | 14 |
|  | Certificates of deposit (CDs) in denominations of $\$ 100,000$ or more | 16,526 | 16,210 | 316 | 13,818 | 0 | 314 | 154 | 2,237 | 3 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 129 \\ & 130 \end{aligned}$ | Other | 3,154 | 3.049 | 105 | 2,992 | 0 | 93 | 22 | 35 | 11 |
|  | Savings deposits authorized for automatic transfer and now accounts | 56 | 55 | 1 | 52 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 131 | Money market time certificates of $\$ 10,000$ and less than $\$ 100,000$ with original maturities of 26 weeks | 330 | 326 | 4 | 294 | 0 | 4 | 13 | 19 | 1 |
| 132 | Time certificates of deposit in denominations of $\$ 100,000$ or more with remaining maturity of more than 12 months | 1.395 | 1,311 | 84 | 1,230 | 0 | 82 | 14 | 67 | 2 |
| 133 | Acceptances refinanced with a U.S.-chartered bank | 1,560 | 734 | 826 | 616 | 321 | 506 | 10 | 108 | 0 |
| 134 | Statutory or regulatory asset pledge requirement ..... | 44,791 | 34.720 | 10,071 | 30,167 | 10,027 | 30 | 4,501 | 51 | 15 |
| 135 | Statutory or regulatory asset maintenance requirement | 4,765 | 4,536 | 229 | 2,953 | 173 | 18 | 157 | 1,426 | 38 |
| 136 | Commercial letters of credit . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 7.976 | 4.543 | 3,433 | 4,087 | 1.060 | 2.331 | 236 | 220 | 42 |
| 137 | Standby letters of credit, total | 3,361 | 2,275 | 1,086 | 1,953 | 489 | 472 | 215 | 106 | 126 |
| 138 | U.S. addressees (domicile) | 2,447 | 1,662 | 785 | 1,477 | 314 | 412 | 111 | 74 | 59 |
| 139 | Non-U.S. addressees (domicile) | 914 | 613 | 301 | 476 | 175 | 60 | 104 | 33 | 67 |
|  | Standby letters of credit conveyed to others through participations (included in total standby letters of credit) | 48 | 20 | 29 | 18 | 6 | 20 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
|  | Holdings of commercial paper included in total gross loans | 857 | 710 | 147 | 694 | 97 | 50 | 15 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Holdings of acceptances included in total commercial and industrial loans | 3,573 | 1,832 | 1,741 | 1,749 | 617 | 1,119 | 48 | 35 | 4 |
| 143 | Immediately available funds with a maturity greater than one day (included in other liabilities for borrowed money) | 15,713 | 5.092 | 10,621 | 3.956 | 2.139 | 8,471 | 901 | 235 | 11 |
| 144 | Gross due from related banking institutions ${ }^{5}$ | 43,781 | 17.674 | 26,107 | 15,338 | 11,732 | 144,278 | 473 | 1,863 | 98 |
| 145 | U.S. addressees (domicile) $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$. | 14,668 | 3,398 | 11,271 | 2,308 | 1,618 | 9.636 | 102 | 987 | 17 |
| 146 | Branches and agencies in United States | 14,411 | 3,265 | 11,146 | 2,176 | 1,587 | 9,543 | 102 | 987 | 16 |
| 147 | In the same state as reporter ...... | 584 | 84 | 500 | 67 | 0 | 492 | 0 | 17 | 8 |
| 148 | In other states $\because . . \cdots \cdots . .$. | 13,828 | 3,181 | 10,646 | 2,109 | 1,587 | 9,051 | 102 | 970 | 8 |
| 149 | U.S. banking subsidiaries ${ }^{6}$ | 257 | 132 | 125 | 132 | 31 | 93 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 150 | Non-U.S. addressees (domicile) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 29.113 | 14.276 | 14,837 | 13,030 | 10.114 | 4.642 | 371 | 875 | 81 |
| 151 | Head office and non-U.S. branches and agencies. | 27,117 | 13,488 | 13,629 | 12.263 | 8,909 | 4,639 | 354 | 872 | 80 |
| 152 | Non-U.S. banking companies and offices ........ | 1,996 | 789 | 1,208 | 767 | 1,205 | 2 | 17 | 4 | 1 |
| 153 | Gross due to related banking institutions ${ }^{5}$ | 63,383 | 36,945 | 26,438 | 32.088 | 19.749 | 5,581 | 3.450 | 1,389 | 1.125 |
| 154 | U.S. addressees (domicile) . $\ldots \ldots \ldots$. | 15,257 | 7.836 | 7,421 | 5.192 | 4,592 | -1,346 | 1,758 | 885 | 484 |
| 155 | Branches and agencies in United States | 15,043 | 7,731 | 7,312 | 5,111 | 4,507 | 2, 326 | 1,737 | 883 | 478 |
| 156 | In the same state as reporter ...... | . 566 | 8181 | 485 6828 | 55 | 7 4 | 477 1.849 | 0 | 27 | 0 |
| 157 | In other states . . . . | 14,477 | 7,650 | 6,828 | 5,056 | 4,500 | 1.849 | 1,737 | 856 | 478 |
| 158 | U.S. banking subsidiaries ${ }^{6}$ | 214 | 104 | 109 | 81 | 84 | 19 | 21 | 2 | 5 |
| 159 | Non-U.S. addressees (domicile) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 48.126 | 29.110 | 19,017 | 26.896 | 15,158 | 1,236 $-1,106$ | 1,692 | 504 | 641 |
| 160 | Head office and non-U.S. branches and agencies. | 46.186 | 27.381 | 18,805 | 25,243 | 15,077 | 3,106 | 1.616 | 504 | 639 |
| 161 | Non-U.S. banking companies and offices ........ | 1.940 | 1.729 | 212 | 1.653 | 80 | 129 | 76 | 0 | 2 |


| Item | All states ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | New York |  | California Total ${ }^{3}$ | Illinois Branches | Other states ${ }^{3}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Branches | Agencies | Branches | Agencies |  |  | Branches | Agencies |
| Average for 30 calendar days (or calendar month) ending with report date |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 162 Total assets . . . . . . . . . | 126,918 | 76.433 | 50,485 | 66,417 | 23,436 | 25,805 | 5,813 | 4,183 | 1,264 |
| 163 Cash and due from depository institutions | 14,198 | 11,889 | 2,309 | 11.197 | 2,080 | 207 | 615 | 77 | 22 |
| 164 Federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell | 7,206 | 5,069 | 2.137 | 4,900 | 1,846 | 288 | 152 | 14 | 5 |
| 165 Total loans . . . . . . . . . | 71,167 | 46,958 | 24,209 | 40,008 | 11,002 | 12,062 | 4,596 | 2,343 | 1,156 |
| 166 Loans to banks in foreign countries | 10,942 | 8,361 | 2,581 | 7,846 | 1,498 | 1,049 | 513 | 2 | 34 |
| 167 Total deposits and credit balances | 34,639 | 31,258 | 3,380 | 28,277 | 2,592 | 746 | 418 | 2,558 | 48 |
| 168 Time CDs in denominations of \$100,000 or more | 15,424 | 15,036 | 389 | 12,588 | 76 | 311 | 150 | 2,297 | 2 |
| 169 Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 8,589 | 4,567 | 4,022 | 3,947 | 1,518 | 2,375 | 523 | 97 | 128 |
| 170 Other liabilities for borrowed money | 33,663 | 12,899 | 20,764 | 10,914 | 2,794 | 17,932 | 1,527 | 457 | 38 |
| 171 Number of reports filed ${ }^{7}$ | 306 | 135 | 171 | 79 | 63 | 86 | 31 | 24 | 23 |

1. Data are aggregates of categories reported on the quarterly form FFIEC 002, "Report of Assets and Liabilities of U.S. Branches and Agencies of Foreign Banks." This form was first used for reporting data as of June 30, 1980. From November 1972 through May 1980, U.S. branches and agencies of foreign banks had filed a monthly FR 886a report. Aggregate data from that report were available through the Federal Reserve statistical release G.11, last issued on July $10,1980$. Data in this table and in the G. 11 tables are not strictly comparable because of differences in reporting panels and in definitions of balance sheet items.
2. Includes the District of Columbia.
3. Agencies account for virtually all of the assets and liabilities reported in California
4. Total assets and total liabilities include net balances, if any, due from or due to related banking institutions in the United States and in foreign countries (see footnote 5 ). On the former monthly branch and agency report, avail-
able through the $G .11$ statistical release, gross balances were included in total assets and total liabilities. Therefore, total asset and total liability figures in this table are not comparable to those in the G. 11 tables.
. "Related banking institutions" includes the foreign head office and other U.S. and foreign branches and agencies of the bank, the bank s parent holding company and majority-owned banking subsidiaries of the bank and of its parent holding company (including subsidiaries owned both directly and indirectly). Gross amounts due from and due to related banking institutions are shown as memo items.
5. "U.S. banking subsidiaries" refers to U.S. banking subsidiaries majorityowned by the foreign bank and by related foreign banks and includes U.S. offices of U.S.chartered commercial banks, of Edge Act and Agreement corporations, and of New York State (Article XII) investment companies.
6. In some cases two or more offices of a foreign bank within the same metropolitan area file a consolidated report.
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## Staff Studies

Studies and papers on economic and financial subjects that are of general interest.

## Summaries Only Printed in the Bulletin

Requests to obtain single copies of the full text or to be added to the mailing list for the series may be sent to Publications Services.

Tie-ins Between the Granting of Credit and Sales of Insurance by Bank Holding Companies and Other Lenders, by Robert A. Eisenbeis and Paul R. Schweitzer. Feb. 1979. 75 pp.
Innovations in Bank Loan Contracting: Recent Evidence by Paul W. Boltz and Tim S. Campbell. May 1979. 40 pp.

Measurement of Capacity Utilization: Problems and Tasks, by Frank de Leeuw, Lawrence R. Forest, Jr., Richard D. Raddock, and Zoltan E. Kenessey. July 1979. 264 pp.

The GNMA-Guaranteed Passthrough Security: Market Development and Implications for the Growth and Stability of Home Mortgage Lending, by David F. Seiders. Dec. 1979. 65 pp.
Foreign Ownership and the Performance of U.S. Banks, by James V. Houpt. July 1980. 27 pp.
Performance and Characteristics of Edge Corporations, by James V. Houpt. Feb. 1981. 56 pp.
Banking Structure and Performance at the State Level during the 1970s, by Stephen A. Rhoades. Mar. 1981. 26 pp.

## Printed in Full in the Bulletin

An Assessment of Bank Holding Companies, by Robert J. Lawrence and Samuel H. Talley. January 1976.

## REPRINTS

Most of the articles reprinted do not exceed 12 pages.
Measures of Security Credit. 12/70.
Revision of Bank Credit Series. 12/71.
Assets and Liabilities of Foreign Branches of U.S. Banks. 2/72.
Bank Debits, Deposits, and Deposit Turnover-Revised Series. 7/72.
Rates on Consumer Instalment Loans. 9/73.
New Series for Large Manufacturing Corporations. 10/73.
The Structure of Margin Credit. 4/75.
Industrial Electric Power Use. 1/76.
Revised Series for Member Bank Deposits and Aggregate Reserves. 4/76.
Industrial Production-1976 Revision. 6/76.
Federal Reserve Operations in Payment Mechanisms: A Summary. 6/76.
The Commercial Paper Market. 6/77.
The Federal Budget in the 1970's. 9/78.
Redefining the Monetary Aggregates. 1/79.
Implementation of the International Banking Act. 10/79.
U.S. International Transactions in 1979: Another Round of Oil Price Increases. 4/80.
Perspectives on Personal Saving. 8/80.
The Impact of Rising Oil Prices on the Major Foreign Industrial Countries. 10/80.

## Index to Statistical Tables

## References are to pages A-3 through A-71 although the prefix " $A$ " is omitted in this index

ACCEPTANCES, bankers, 10, 23, 25
Agricultural loans, commercial banks, 18, 19, 20, 24
Assets and liabilities (See also Foreigners)
Banks, by classes, 17, 18-21, 27, 68-73
Domestic finance companies, 37
Federal Reserve Banks, 11
Foreign banks, U.S. branches and agencies, 68-71
Nonfinancial corporations, current, 36
Automobiles
Consumer installment credit, 40, 41
Production, 46, 47
BANKERS balances, 17, 18-20, 68, 70, 72
(See also Foreigners)
Banks for Cooperatives, 33
Bonds (See also U.S. government securities)
New issues, 34
Yields, 3
Branch banks, 15, 21, 54, 68-71
Business activity, nonfinancial, 44
Business expenditures on new plant and equipment, 36
Business loans (See Commercial and industrial loans)
CAPACITY utilization, 44
Capital accounts
Banks, by classes, 17, 69, 71, 73
Federal Reserve Banks, 11
Central banks, 66
Certificates of deposit, 21, 25
Commercial and industrial loans
Commercial banks, 15, 24
Weekly reporting banks, 18-21, 22
Commercial banks
Assets and liabilities, 3, 15, 17, 18-21
Business loans, 24
Commercial and industrial loans, 22, 24
Consumer loans held, by type, 40,41
Loans sold outright, 21
Nondeposit funds, 16
Number by classes, 17, 69, 71, 73
Real estate mortgages held, by holder and property, 39
Commercial paper, $3,23,25,37$
Condition statements (See Assets and liabilities)
Construction, 44, 48
Consumer installment credit, 40, 41
Consumer prices, 44, 49
Consumption expenditures, 50,51
Corporations
Profits and their distribution, 35
Security issues, 34, 63
Cost of living (See Consumer prices)
Credit unions, 27, 40, 41
Currency and coin, 5, 17, 68, 70, 72
Currency in circulation, 4, 13
Customer credit, stock market, 26
DEBITS to deposit accounts, 12
Debt (See specific types of debt or securities)
Demand deposits
Adjusted, commercial banks, 12, 14
Banks, by classes, 17, 18-21, 69, 71, 73
Ownership by individuals, partnerships, and corporations, 23

Demand deposits-Continued
Subject to reserve requirements, 14
Turnover, 12
Deposits (See also specific types)
Banks, by classes, 3, 17, 18-21, 27
Federal Reserve Banks, 4, 11
Turnover, 12
Discount rates at Reserve Banks (See Interest rates)
Discounts and advances by Reserve Banks (See Loans)
Dividends, corporate, 35
EMPLOYMENT, 44, 45
Eurodollars, 25
FARM mortgage loans, 39
Farmers Home Administration, 39
Federal agency obligations, 4, 10, 11, 12, 32
Federal and federally sponsored credit agencies, 33
Federal finance
Debt subject to statutory limitation and types and ownership of gross debt, 30
Receipts and outlays, 28,29
Treasury operating balance, 28
Federal Financing Bank, 28, 33
Federal funds, 3, 6, 18, 19, 20, 25, 28
Federal Home Loan Banks, 33
Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, 33, 38, 39
Federal Housing Administration, 33, 38, 39
Federal Intermediate Credit Banks, 33
Federal Land Banks, 33, 39
Federal National Mortgage Association, 33, 38, 39
Federal Reserve Banks
Condition statement, 11
Discount rates (See Interest rates)
U.S. government securities held, $4,11,12,30,31$

Federal Reserve credit, 4, 5, 11, 12
Federal Reserve notes, 11
Federally sponsored credit agencies, 33
Finance companies
Assets and liabilities, 37
Business credit, 37
Loans, 18, 19, 20, 40, 41
Paper, 23, 25
Financial institutions, loans to, 18, 19, 20
Float, 4
Flow of funds, 42, 43
Foreign
Banks, assets and liabilities of U.S. branches and agencies, 68-71
Currency operations, 11
Deposits in U.S. banks, 4, 11, 18, 19, 20
Exchange rates, 66
Trade, 53
Foreigners
Claims on, 54, 56, 59, 60, 61, 65
Liabilities to, 21, 54-58, 62-64
GOLD
Certificates, 11
Stock, 4, 53
Government National Mortgage Association, 33, 38, 39
Gross national product, 50,51

HOUSING, new and existing units, 48
INCOME, personal and national, 44, 50, 51
Industrial production, 44, 46
Installment loans, 40, 41
Insurance companies, 27, 30, 31, 39
Interbank loans and deposits, 17
Interest rates
Bonds, 3
Business loans of banks, 24
Federal Reserve Banks, 3, 7
Foreign countries, 66
Money and capital markets, 3, 25
Mortgages, 3, 38
Prime rate, commercial banks, 24
Time and savings deposits, 9
International capital transactions of the
United States, 54-65
International organizations, 54-59, 62-65
Inventories, 50
Investment companies, issues and assets, 35
Investments (See also specific types)
Banks, by classes, 17, 27
Commercial banks, $3,15,17,18-20,68,70,72$
Federal Reserve Banks, 11, 12
Life insurance companies, 27
Savings and loan associations, 27
LABOR force, 45
Life insurance companies (See Insurance companies)
Loans (See also specific types)
Banks, by classes, 17, 18-21, 27
Commercial banks, $3,15,17,18-21,22,24,68,70,72$
Federal Reserve Banks, 3, 4, 5, 7, 11, 12
Insurance companies, 27, 39
Insured or guaranteed by United States, 38,39
Savings and loan associations, 27
MANUFACTURING
Capacity utilization, 44
Production, 44, 47
Margin requirements, 26
Member banks
Assets and liabilities, by classes, 17
Borrowings at Federal Reserve Banks, 5, 11
Federal funds and repurchase agreements, 6
Number, 17
Reserve requirements, 8
Reserves and related items, 3, 4, 5, 14
Mining production, 47
Mobile home shipments, 48
Monetary aggregates, 3, 14
Money and capital market rates (See Interest rates)
Money stock measures and components, 3,13
Mortgages (See Real estate loans)
Mutual funds (See Investment companies)
Mutual savings banks, 3, 9, 18-20, 27, 30, 31, 39
NATIONAL defense outlays, 29
National income, 50
OPEN market transactions, 10

## PERSONAL income, 51

Prices
Consumer and producer, 44, 49
Stock market, 26
Prime rate, commercial banks, 24
Production, 44, 46
Profits, corporate, 35

REAL estate loans
Banks, by classes, 18-20, 27, 29
Life insurance companies, 27
Mortgage terms, yields, and activity, 3, 38
Type of holder and property mortgaged, 39
Repurchase agreements and federal funds, 6, 18, 19, 20
Reserve requirements, member banks, 8
Reserves
Commercial banks, 17, 68, 70, 72
Federal Reserve Banks, 11
Member banks, 3, 4, 5, 14, 17
U.S. reserve assets, 53

Residential mortgage loans, 38
Retail credit and retail sales, 40, 41, 44

## SAVING

Flow of funds, 42, 43
National income accounts, 51
Savings and loan assns., 3, 9, 27, 31, 39, 42
Savings deposits (See Time deposits)
Savings institutions, selected assets, 27
Securities (See also U.S. government securities)
Federal and federally sponsored agencies, 33
Foreign transactions, 63
New issues, 34
Prices, 26
Special drawing rights, 4, 11, 52, 53
State and local governments
Deposits, 18, 19, 20
Holdings of U.S. government securities, 30, 31
New security issues, 34
Ownership of securities of, 18, 19, 20, 27
Yields of securities, 3
Stock market, 26
Stocks (See also Securities)
New issues, 34
Prices, 26
TAX receipts, federal, 29
Time deposits, 3, 9, 12, 14, 17, 18-21
Trade, foreign, 53
Treasury currency, Treasury cash, 4
Treasury deposits, 4, 11, 28
Treasury operating balance, 28
UNEMPLOYMENT, 45
U.S. balance of payments, 52
U.S. government balances

Commercial bank holdings, 18, 19, 20
Member bank holdings, 14
Treasury deposits at Reserve Banks, 4, 11, 28
U.S. government securities

Bank holdings, 17, 18-20, 27, 30, 31, 68, 70, 72
Dealer transactions, positions, and financing, 32
Federal Reserve Bank holdings, 4, 11, 12, 30, 31
Foreign and international holdings and transactions, 11, 30, 62
Open market transactions, 10
Outstanding, by type and ownership, 30, 31
Rates, 3, 25
Utilities, production, 47
VETERANS Administration, 38, 39
WEEKLY reporting banks, 18-22
Wholesale (producer) prices, 44, 49
YIELDS (See Interest rates)

## The Federal Reserve System

Boundaries of Federal Reserve Districts and Their Branch Territories


## LEGEND

\author{

- Boundaries of Federal Reserve Districts <br> - Boundaries of Federal Reserve Branch Territories
}
(2) Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve


[^0]:    1. M-1A is currency plus private demand deposits at commercial banks net of deposits due to foreign commercial banks and official institutions. M-1B is M-1A plus other checkable deposits (that is, negotiable order of withdrawal accounts, accounts subject to automatic transfer service, credit union share draft balances, and demand deposits at mutual savings banks). M-2 is M-1B plus savings and smalldenomination time deposits at all depository institutions, shares in money market mutual funds, overnight repurchase agreements (RPs) issued by commercial banks, and overnight Eurodollar deposits held by U.S. residents at Caribbean branches of U.S. banks. M-3 is M-2 plus large time deposits at all depository institutions and term RPs issued by commercial banks and savings and loan associations. Bank credit is total loans and investments of commercial banks.
[^1]:    2. Previous episodes had occurred, particularly in the mid1970s, of lasting downward shifts in the demand for M-1 balances following rises in interest rates to new record levels. Such interest rate movements evidently encouraged greater efforts to economize on holdings of nonearning assets.
[^2]:    3. The charts, appendixes, including "Staff Study of the New Monetary Control Procedure: Overview of Findings and Evaluation," by Stephen H. Axilrod, and staff papers for this report are available on request from Publications Services, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551.

    The monetary control project staff papers are as follows: Richard Davis, "Monetary Aggregates and the Use of 'Intermediate Targets' in Monetary Policy;" Jared Enzler, "Economic Disturbances and Monetary Policy Responses;'" Jared Enzler and Lewis Johnson, "Cycles Resulting from Money Stock Targeting;" Margaret Greene, "The New Approach to Monetary Policy-A View From the Foreign Exchange Trading Desk;" Dana Johnson and others, "Interest Rate Variability Under the New Operating Procedures and the Initial Response in Financial Markets;" Peter Keir, "Impact of Discount Policy Procedures on the Effectiveness of Reserve Targeting;" Fred Levin and Paul Meek, "Implementing the New Procedures: The View From the Trading Desk;" David Lindsey and others, "Monetary Control Experience Under the New Operating Procedures;" David Pierce, "Trend and Noise in the Monetary Aggregates;" Lawrence Slifman and Edward McKelvey, "The New Operating Procedures and Economic Activity since October 1979;" Peter Tinsley and others, "Money Market Impacts of Alternative Operating Procedures;" and Edwin M. Truman and others, "The New Federal Reserve Operating Procedures: An External Perspective."

[^3]:    1. Data are on a value-date basis.
[^4]:    1. Foreign exchange reserves for Germany and other members of the EMS, including the United Kingdom, incorporate adjustments for gold and foreign exchange swaps
[^5]:    against European currency units (ECUs) done with the European Monetary Fund. Foreign exchange reserve numbers used in the report are drawn from International Monetary Fund data published in International Financial Statistics.

[^6]:    1. The appendix to this statement is available on request from Publications Services, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551.
[^7]:    2. Growth, as statistically recorded, was 5 percent for M-1A in 1980 and $71 / 4$ percent for M-1B. Available evidence suggests that about two-thirds of the transfer into interestbearing checking accounts in 1980 reflected shifts from M-1A, "artificially" depressing M-1A, and about one-third reflected shifts from savings or other accounts, "artificially" raising $\mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{~B}$. The data and the targets cited are calculated as if such shifts did not take place.
[^8]:    1. Growth, as statistically recorded and published, was 5 percent for M-1A in 1980 and $7 \frac{1}{4}$ percent for M-1B. Available evidence suggests about two-thirds of the transfer into inter-est-bearing checking accounts in 1980 reflected shifts from M-1A, "artificially" depressing M-1A, and about one-third reflected shifts from savings or other accounts, "artificially" raising M-1B. The data and the targets cited in the text are calculated as if such shifts did not take place.
    For 1981 the target ranges for growth of M-1A and M-1B before adjustment for these shifts are $-4 \frac{1}{2}$ to -2 percent and 6 to $81 / 2$ percent respectively. See "Monetary Policy Report to Congress," pages 195-208 in this Bulletin for a complete discussion of the impact on the 1981 targets of nationwide NOW account growth.
[^9]:    1. An institution may elect to settle the credits and debits arising from its use of Federal Reserve services in one of the following ways: (1) through its own account at a Reserve Bank that may consist of a reserve balance and/or a clearing balance; (2) by means of prior arrangements, through an account maintained by a correspondent at a Reserve Bank; and (3) if it maintains reserves with a passthrough correspondent and has made prior arrangements through the passthrough reserve account maintained at a Reserve Bank.
[^10]:    2. The term "as-of" and other similar technical terms used in this document are best explained by direct contact with the Federal Reserve office that serves the area in which an institution is located.
[^11]:    1. In this regard, the Board notes that Protestants and Applicant have had ample opportunity to resolve any material factual differences in a hearing conducted on September 25, 1980, by the Massachusetts Board of Bank Incorporation ('Massachusetts Board') concerning issues similar to those raised by Protestants in connection with the proposed acquisition. The hearing was attended by representatives of the Federal Reserve System, and the order of the Massachusetts Board has been made a part of the record in this application. On January 20, 1981, the Massachusetts Board unanimously approved Applicant's acquisition of Bank, and has recommended approval of this application.
    2. All banking data are as of June 30, 1980, unless otherwise indicated.
    3. The Greenfield banking market is approximated by Franklin County, Massachusetts, excluding the towns of Warrick, Orange, New Salem, Whately, Sunderland, Leverett and Shutesbury.
[^12]:    4. The CRA requires the Board to assess the record of Applicant's banking subsidiaries in helping to meet the credit needs of their entire communities, including low- and moderate-income neighborhoods, consistent with safe and sound operation, and to take the record of those institutions into account in its evaluation of this application.
[^13]:    5. 66 Federal Reserve Bulletin 162 (January 1980).
[^14]:    Voting for this action: Chairman Volcker and Governors Schultz, Wallich, Partee, Rice, and Gramley. Absent and not voting: Governor Teeters.

[^15]:    1. Applicant also owns less than 25 percent of the shares of two banks with aggregate deposits of $\$ 85.1$ million, representing 0.4 percent of deposits in commercial banks in Wisconsin.
    2. Banking data are as of December 31, 1979, and reflect bank holding company formations and acquisitions approved as of December 31, 1980 .
    3. The relevant banking market is approximated by the Milwaukee Ranally Metropolitan Area.
[^16]:    1. Although section $2(\mathrm{~g})(3)$ refers to transfers of "shares," the Board has previously taken the position that a transfer of such a significant volume of assets that the transfer may in effect constitute the disposition of a separate activity of a company is deemed to involve a transfer of "shares" of that company. 12 C.F.R. § 225.139.
[^17]:    1. This information derives from Company's communications with the Board concerning its request for this certification, Company's Registration Statement filed with the Board pursuant to the BHC Act, and other records of the Board.
[^18]:    1. This information derives from Homewood's correspondence with the Board concerning its request for this certification, Homewood's Registration Statement filed with the Board pursuant to the BHC Act, and other records of the Board.
[^19]:    1. This information derives from Strachan's communications with the Board concerning its request for this certification, Strachan's Registration Statement filed with the Board pursuant to the BHC Act, and other records of the Board.
[^20]:    1. All banking data are as of December 31, 1979.
    2. The Albuquerque banking market is the relevant market and is approximated by the Albuquerque RMA.
[^21]:    Voting for this action: Vice Chairman Schultz and Governors Partee, Rice, and Gramley. Absent and not voting: Chairman Volcker and Governors Wallich and Teeters.

[^22]:    1. Represents accounts of individuals, partnerships. and corporations. and of states and poitical subdivisions.
    2. Excludes special club accounts, such as Christmas and vacation clubs
    3. Accounts authorized for negotiable orders of withdrawal (NOW) and accounts authorized for automatic transfer to demand deposits (ATS). ATS data availability starts with December 1978.
    4. Represents corporations and other profit-seeking organizations (excluding commercial banks but including savings and loan associations, mutual savings banks, credit unions. the Export-1mport Bank, and federally sponsored lending agencies).
    5. Savings accounts other than NOW; business; and, from December 1978. ATS
[^23]:    Note. Direct public issues only. Based on Treasury Survey of Ownership from Treasury Bulletin (U.S. Treasury Department).
    Data complete for U.S. government agencies and trust funds and Federal Reserve Banks, but data for other groups include only boldings of those institutions that report. The following figures show, for each category, the number and proportion reporting as of Dec. 31, 1980: (1) 5,354 commercial banks.

[^24]:    For notes see opposite page.

[^25]:    1. The industrial production series has been revised back to January 1979.
    2. 1972 dollars.
[^26]:    1. With inventory valuation and capital consumption adjustments.
    2. With capital consumption adjustments.
[^27]:    1. With inventory valuation and capital consumption adjustments.
    2. With capital consumption adjustment.
[^28]:    For notes see opposite page.

[^29]:    1. Includes the Bank for International Settlements. Beginning April 1978. also includes Eastern European countries not listed in line 23.
    2. Beginning April 1978 comprises Bulgaria, Czechoslovakia. the German Democratic Republic. Hungary, Poland, and Romania.
[^30]:    Note. Averages of certified noon buying rates in New York for cable transfers.

[^31]:    *On loan from the Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond.

[^32]:    *Additional offices of these Banks are located at Lewiston, Maine 04240; Windsor Locks, Connecticut 06096; Cranford, New Jersey 07016; Jericho, New York 11753; Utica at Oriskany, New York 13424; Columbus, Ohio 43216; Columbia, South Carolina 29210; Charleston, West Virginia 25311; Des Moines, Iowa 50306; Indianapolis, Indiana 46204; and Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202.

