FEBRUARY 1976

# FEDERAL RESERVE BULLETIN

The Economy in 1975
Revision of Money Stock Measures

A copy of the Federal Reserve BULLETIN is sent to each member bank without charge; member banks desiring additional copies may secure them at a special \$10.00 annual rate. The regular subscription price in the United States, its possessions, Canada, and Mexico is \$20.00 per annum or \$2.00 per copy; elsewhere, \$24.00 per annum or \$2.50 per copy. Group subscriptions in the United States for 10 or more copies to one address, \$1.75 per copy per month, or \$18.00 for 12 months.

The BULLETIN may be obtained from the Division of Administrative Services, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551, and remittance should be made payable to the order of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System in a form collectible at par in U.S. currency. (Stamps and coupons are not accepted.)

# FEDERAL RESERVE BULLETIN

NUMBER 2 □ VOLUME 62 □ FEBRUARY 1976

#### **CONTENTS**

71 The Economy in 1975

82 Revision of Money Stock Measures

88 Membership of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System

90 Statements to Congress

141 Record of Policy Actions of the Federal Open Market Committee

147 Law Department

169 Directory of Federal Reserve Banks and Branches

185 Announcements

187 Industrial Production

A1 Financial and Business Statistics

A1 Contents

A2 U.S. Statistics

A58 International Statistics

A76 Board of Governors and Staff

A78 Open Market Committee and Staff; Federal Advisory Council

A79 Federal Reserve Banks and Branches

A80 Federal Reserve Board Publications

A82 Index to Statistical Tables

A84 Map of Federal Reserve System

Inside Back Cover:

Guide to Tabular Presentation Statistical Releases: Reference

#### PUBLICATIONS COMMITTEE

Lyle E. Gramley Ralph C. Bryant

Joseph R. Coyne Frederic Solomon

John M. Denkler John D. Hawke, Jr.

James L. Kichline, Staff Director

The Federal Reserve Bulletin is issued monthly under the direction of the staff publications committee. This committee is responsible for opinions expressed except in official statements and signed articles. Direction for the art work is provided by Mack R. Rowe. Editorial support is furnished by the Economic Editing Unit headed by Elizabeth B. Sette.

# The Economy in 1975

This article was prepared in the National Income Section of the Division of Research and Statistics.


In early 1975 the U.S. economy began to recover from the longest and deepest recession since World War II. By the end of the year real gross national product had regained about three-fourths of the recession loss. Although unemployment had declined significantly from its spring peak, the total unemployed at year-end was still extremely large. The rate of inflation had also been reduced substantially, but it remained high by historical standards and cost pressures were continuing strong.

The expansion in economic activity from the first-quarter trough to the year-end was about equal to the average pace of previous postwar recoveries. Moderating inflationary pressures, easing credit conditions, and the fiscal stimulus of the Tax Reduction Act of 1975 all contributed to the upturn in consumer expenditures, which led the recovery in real final purchases in the spring and summer. Total final purchases, in constant dollars, turned up in the second quarter and continued to expand at an increasing pace during the remainder of the year.

After a modest rise in real GNP in the second quarter, when inventory liquidation was unusually deep, the rate of recovery in over-all activity accelerated sharply in the third quarter as business began to slow the run-off of stocks. In the late fall economic growth paused briefly as inventory investment stabilized and increases in consumer spending moderated. But the year ended with sizable gains in retail sales, employment, and industrial production.

The decline in real GNP from the last quarter of 1973 to the first quarter of 1975 exceeded the sharpest previous postwar downswing. Severe inflationary pressures, particularly those associated with the run-up of oil prices, were

#### Change in GNP




Dept. of Commerce data, seasonally adjusted annual rates. Real is in terms of 1972 dollars.

a major factor in bringing on the recession, and they contributed to its length and severity. Businesses were slow to adjust output and employment during 1973, when shortages and soaring prices masked the sluggishness of real final demands and profits while encouraging some speculation in inventories. Moreover, the slowdown in early 1974 apparently was thought to be just a temporary adjustment to the oil embargo. Once the underlying weaknesses were perceived, however, cutbacks in output and employment were made quickly.

# PERSPECTIVE ON THE RECESSION AND RECOVERY

In retrospect at least, signs of an incipient downturn in economic activity were evident as far back as 1973 when there was a marked easing in real economic growth. In part, this slowdown was the result of basic materials shortages stemming mainly from the world-wide boom in economic activity. However, the increasing share of capital spending devoted to environmental requirements tended to retard ca-

#### GNP and final sales



Dept. of Commerce data, seasonally adjusted annual rates.

pacity growth in the basic materials industries, and the distorting effects of price controls may also have curtailed their output. However, retardation of growth in real activity in 1973 also reflected the weakening of gains in real consumer spending as mounting inflation slowed the growth in real disposable incomes. Gains in consumer income were further undermined in late 1973 by sharp cutbacks in output made by auto producers and other manufacturers in response to declining sales and reduced availability of petroleum-based products following the oil embargo in October 1973.

Real output dropped sharply in the first quarter of 1974 and continued to decline until the second quarter of 1975. Real disposable income also began declining in the first quarter of 1974, and consumer confidence continued to falter. In real terms, consumer spending recovered only partially after the oil embargo ended and then declined sharply again in the last quarter of 1974.

As 1974 progressed, the weakness of underlying demands began to be evident in other sectors also. Housing activity had been falling throughout the previous year and after a brief pause early in 1974, starts plummeted again; between the first and fourth quarters of the year they fell by almost 40 per cent as nominal interest rates

reached unprecedented heights, impeding savings flows to thrift institutions and increasing the costs of homeownership. Nonresidential fixed investment, in real terms, began to decline in the second quarter of 1974 in response both to slackening consumer demands and to a growing awareness that inflation was distorting calculations of profits.

However, business continued to build inventories through mid-1974, apparently still responding to the extreme shortages of the previous year as well as to rapidly rising prices. Attempts were finally made to cut back inventories later in the year, but real final demand dropped so sharply—the steepest decline since World War II—that nonfarm inventories in constant dollars were accumulated at a \$9 billion annual rate in the fourth quarter. Auto dealers, in particular, were left with extremely large stocks. Over all, real GNP declined at a 7.5 per cent annual rate in the fourth quarter, while industrial production declined at a 12.5 per cent annual rate and nonfarm employment was reduced by 450,000.

In the first quarter of 1975 the production and employment adjustments gathered momentum and real GNP declined even more rapidly—at a 9.2 per cent annual rate—the largest drop in the postwar period. Inventory liquidation at a \$19 billion annual rate—in 1972 dollars—accounted for almost all of the decline. Final demand was down slightly, although real consumer outlays, especially for autos, increased in response to widespread price concessions. Net exports also showed improvement as imports fell drastically during this period of inventory liquidation.

The economy turned upward in the second quarter, after a total decline in real GNP amounting to 6.6 per cent, compared with an average drop of 2.1 per cent in the five earlier recessions. The largest previous postwar contraction had been 3.3 per cent in both the 1953–54 and 1957–58 contractions. Characteristically, the decline in industrial production—12.5 per cent from September 1974 to April 1975—was much greater than in GNP.

Inventories were liquidated at a somewhat greater rate in the second quarter, but final sales turned up and real GNP rose at a 3.3 per cent

annual rate. One of the most important elements in this upturn was the growth in real disposable income and the support it provided for consumer outlays. These gains were made possible by a marked slowing of inflation—from a 12 per cent rate in the second half of 1974 to a 6.5 per cent rate in the first half of 1975—and the fiscal stimulus provided by the Tax Reduction Act of 1975, enacted in March. Several other factors also contributed to the turnaround, including a strong net export position and an upturn in housing activity—the result of easing credit conditions and improved flows of savings to thrift institutions.


Economic growth accelerated sharply in the spring and early summer, with the growth rate of real GNP reaching a 12 per cent annual rate in the third quarter. Although final sales improved from the second quarter, most of the acceleration resulted from the precipitous slowing in the rate of inventory liquidation. Final demands picked up somewhat further in the fourth quarter, but over-all economic growth eased to about a 5.5 per cent rate as the impact of the swing from inventory liquidation toward accumulation tapered off. Growth in real con-

sumer spending slowed, but business capital spending turned up for the first time in this recovery. And in the last month of the year there were sizable advances in retail sales, employment, and industrial production.

By the fourth quarter of 1975 real GNP had advanced 5 per cent above its first-quarter low—about typical of past cyclical recoveries—but it was still 2 per cent below its 1973 high and industrial production remained 6 per cent below its previous peak. Unemployment totaled 7.9 million persons or 8.5 per cent of the labor force—higher than in any other postwar recession. Despite the substantial slack in the economy generally and in labor markets particularly, wages and prices continued to rise at a vigorous pace, only modestly below the rates early in the year.

Despite signs that it may be some time before business investment rebounds vigorously, there are widespread indications in consumer markets and elsewhere that the economy will continue to advance at a fairly rapid pace in 1976. Price increases will probably also be disconcertingly large, reflecting continued upward pressures from unit labor costs.

#### Production and employment




Industrial production, F.R. data. Employment and unemployment rate, Dept. of Labor data. All data seasonally adjusted

#### INCOME AND CONSUMPTION

The consumption sector endured a far larger and more prolonged adjustment in the recent recession than in previous declines, largely because continued rapid inflation reduced real income and the value of assets. Rising prices also had an indirect, adverse effect on disposable income through the progressive income tax structure. With nominal personal incomes rising rapidly throughout 1974, many workers moved into higher tax brackets despite the decline in the real value of these incomes. Only a part of the resultant sharp rise in tax receipts was offset by the increase in transfer paymentsmassive as that was. In total, real disposable income declined by 4 per cent between the peak in the fourth quarter of 1973 and the trough in the first quarter of 1975.


Real disposable income increased sharply in the second quarter of 1975 as a result of the Tax Reduction Act of 1975 in conjunction with a slowing of inflation and a continued increase in the level of transfer payments. Real disposable income fell temporarily in the third quarter, but then regained upward momentum.

#### Disposable income and saving



Dept. of Commerce data, seasonally adjusted. Real is in terms of 1972 dollars.

#### Auto sales



Ward's "Automotive Reports" data. Seasonal adjustment by F.R. Domestic-type autos include U.S. sales of cars produced in Canada.

The saving rate moved unevenly during 1975, due in part to the volatile movements in disposable income. But for 1975 as a whole the rate averaged 8.3 per cent—somewhat above the level of recent years and far above the 6.5 per cent average rate of the preceding decade and a half. This high saving rate probably reflected an attempt by consumers to restore the real value of wealth and real liquid balances—which had been greatly damaged by inflation—as well as the relative growth of farm and property income, a larger proportion of which is generally saved or reinvested.


The massive fiscal stimulus in the second quarter caused real personal consumption expenditures to rise at an annual rate of more than 4 per cent in the second and third quarters. Expenditures for furniture and appliances and nondurable goods—particularly clothing and shoes—proved immediately responsive to the rise in income. Real outlays for goods other than autos shot up 9.5 per cent at an annual rate. By the third quarter, real consumer purchases had recovered to the level of 2 years earlier. As is typical after a large surge in expenditures, the increase in real personal consumption spending moderated in the following quarter.

Auto sales followed a slightly different pattern last year. Sales of U.S.-made autos rose in early 1975, from a deeply depressed annual rate of 6 million units at the 1974 year-end, as consumers responded to the lure of manufacturers' rebates on overstocked small cars. However, some of this demand was borrowed from the future, and the sales pace fell back in the second quarter. Then with inflationary fears moderating, sales of domestic-type autos picked up again—rising to nearly 8 million units, annual rate—in the final quarter. Sales of imported cars slowed from 1.7 million units in the first quarter to 1.3 million in the fourth as this market was affected by intense domestic competition and severe inventory shortages at model changeover time.

#### INVENTORY INVESTMENT

The enormous size of the swing in inventory investment—and the effects that it had on production, income, and employment—was unprecedented in the postwar period. There had been a general build-up of stocks of both non-durable and durable goods in late 1973 and in the first half of 1974 in response to earlier strong demands, shortages, and expected price increases. By the third quarter of 1974, however, inventory/sales ratios had risen to historically high levels. As a result, businessmen began to trim their stocks of nondurable goods, particularly in the trade sector. With the collapse of





Dept. of Commerce data, seasonally adjusted.

consumer demand in the fourth quarter there was a sizable, unintended accumulation of durable goods, particularly autos. Redoubling their efforts, business firms achieved a massive liquidation in the first half of 1975 as producers joined retailers and wholesalers in ruthlessly cutting inventories.

Although production of durable goods was reduced very sharply in the fall and winter of 1974–75, stocks—especially of unsold automobiles—increased as real final demand contracted at the unusually fast rate of nearly 9 per cent in the fourth quarter of 1974. In the first quarter of 1975 there was a huge run-off of auto inventories and some liquidation of durable goods other than autos. During this period production was cut further and final demands were satisfied to a significant extent out of inventories.

The quite substantial liquidation of nondurable goods inventories has been a unique feature of this recession. Typically, the inventory cycle is dominated by movements in durable goods stocks where producers are less able to adjust output rapidly to changed sales patterns. The exceptional role of nondurable goods in this cycle probably is related to the unusually sharp movements in final demand for such goods, many of which have petrochemical bases. To a lesser extent, price uncertainty may have played a role as processors shied away from holding a number of products, for example petrochemicals, whose prices had risen astoundingly.

By late spring and early summer, demands were increasing for nondurable goods and the inventory/sales ratio dropped sharply despite rising production. There were some indications that the run-off of stocks in the second quarter was excessive. By December, production of nondurable consumer goods was 7 per cent above the trough level and that of materials had risen by 12 per cent.

In contrast, producers of durable goods continued to reduce their stocks throughout the second half of 1975. The recovery in durable goods production has been somewhat slower than the average postwar experience. Some of this sluggishness appears due to the still cloudy outlook for business equipment production. In addition, business firms apparently are remain-

ing cautious toward increases in inventory levels in view of the 1974 experience.

As the year closed, stocks of nondurable goods remained quite thin relative to sales, and further increases in demand should be reflected in new ordering. Durable goods inventories on the other hand were still fairly high relative to sales, and liquidation may continue a bit longer. Over all, the stage seems set for a moderate accumulation of inventories during 1976 as real final demands strengthen further.

#### BUSINESS FIXED INVESTMENT

Real business fixed investment, which had fallen sharply in the second half of 1974, continued weak as the economic recovery got under way. However, by the fall of 1975 capital spending appeared to have bottomed out, and late in the year it was beginning to support the recovery. For 1975 as a whole, capital outlays by business were about unchanged from 1974 in current dollars but were down almost 12 per cent in real terms.


Compared with previous economic contractions, the decline in real business fixed investment was unusually severe, and the ensuing recovery has been somewhat slow to develop. Real capital outlays declined 18 per cent from the first-quarter-1974 peak to its trough during the third quarter of 1975—the largest fall in any

postwar recession. And whereas economic activity began to recover in the second quarter of the year, growth in real spending for business fixed investment did not start until a half-year later.

The major deterrent to substantial, early recovery in capital spending was the still depressed level of real sales, both at home and abroad, and relatively low rates of capacity utilization throughout 1975. Thus, although there were other developments in 1975 that normally would have had a favorable impact on capital outlays—an increased investment tax credit, a substantial recovery in corporate profits, and moderating inflation in capital goods prices—firms were extremely cautious about adding to capacity.

This was the first postwar recession in which the real decline in structures was greater than in equipment. There were several reasons for this. As usual, the recession brought sharply lower corporate profits, which made it difficult to finance new investment internally. At the same time record high interest rates and low price/earnings ratios made external financing expensive. Because investment in structures, as compared with equipment, is more sensitive to the cost of borrowing and because equipment became eligible for a temporarily increased tax credit in 1975, the impact of these financial developments on structures was particularly severe.

#### Utilization and business investment



Capacity utilization, F.R. data, seasonally adjusted. Plant and equipment expenditures, Dept. of Commerce data; materials include the primary metals, stone, clay and glass, textiles, paper, chemicals, and petroleum industries. New orders, Dept. of Commerce data, seasonally adjusted; deflation by F.R.


As in the two previous years, plant and equipment expenditures were relatively stronger in the manufacturing sector than elsewhere. Materials producers, despite their reduced operating rates in 1975, have been accounting for a growing share of capital spending for several years. Their strong investment spending reflected both the capacity constraints that were evident before the onset of the recent recession and the need to be in compliance with environmental regulations. According to some estimates, such producers increased capacity by about 4 per cent in 1975. In contrast, both the auto industry (and the related rubber industry) and producers of electrical machinery showed sharp drops in investment spending. In electric utilities, capital spending showed a decrease in 1975 after averaging a 14 per cent annual rate of growth from 1962 to 1974. Communications and commercial firms also cut back sharply on capital spending in 1975.

Evidence available at the end of 1975 suggests that a vigorous recovery is not yet in sight for business fixed investment. The Commerce Department's year-end survey of plans for new plant and equipment expenditures indicated a moderate gain in nominal capital spending in 1976 but a decline in real expenditures from 1975. Other leading indicators of capital spending-new orders, construction contracts, and capital appropriations-also have yet to show real strength. On the positive side, the recovery in corporate profits, coupled with falling interest rates and the improving stock market, has reduced the liquidity problems that hindered investment in 1975. In addition, the extension of the income tax cut and the strong Christmas selling season have bolstered confidence. Capital spending should begin to strengthen as business begins adding to its capacity in anticipation of further economic recovery.

#### **HOUSING**

In the first quarter of 1975, private housing starts ended the steepest and most protracted decline since World War II. They had dropped from a peak of 2.4 million units, annual rate, toward the end of 1972 to a low of 1 million

#### Privately owned housing starts



Dept. of Commerce data, seasonally adjusted annual rates.

in early 1975. Starts turned up in the spring and by the year-end had advanced more than 40 per cent from their low. Factory shipments of new mobile homes for domestic use also showed some recovery as 1975 progressed, but for the year as a whole these shipments were no greater than they had been in the mid-1960's and were some 60 per cent below their 1972 high. Expenditures for residential construction, including mobile homes, contributed less to the over-all improvement in final demands in 1975 than had been the general experience in previous upturns.

The relatively low level of housing starts in 1975 (1.2 million) reflected continued depressed conditions in multifamily construction. Such starts amounted to only 270,000 units during the year—the lowest level since the late 1950's. This development was due to a number of factors, including the financial difficulties of builders of such projects, a heavy overhang of structures under way as the year began, continued weakness in consumer demands for condominium ownership, and difficulties in achieving rent levels sufficient to cover rising costs of construction and operation.

Single-family starts, on the other hand, increased to a total of nearly 900,000 units for 1975 as a whole and by year-end they were at an annual rate of about 1 million units. They benefited from support from Federal programs designed to provide below-market interest rates for some buyers. In addition, sales of new homes for owner occupancy were stimulated to some extent by the lure of special income tax rebates on purchases made before 1976 from the overhang of dwellings still in builder inven-

tories early last spring. Also the cost of mortgage credit declined somewhat during the year and loan availability improved as thrift institutions experienced exceptionally strong inflows throughout 1975.

With the number of dwelling units under construction continuing far below their previous highs and with mortgage credit conditions improving, support from residential construction for the general economic recovery may pick up significantly in 1976.


#### **EXPORTS AND IMPORTS**

The nominal value of net exports of goods and services on a national income accounts basis was \$22 billion in 1975, the largest on record. The increase in the surplus from \$3 billion (seasonally adjusted annual rate) in the third quarter of 1974 to \$24 billion in the second quarter of 1975 helped to moderate the decline in GNP. Although the surplus receded somewhat in the second half of the year, it remained surprisingly large and acted as less of a drag on real GNP growth than is usual in the early phase of a recovery.

The most important factor in the strong performance of net exports in 1975 was a very large reduction in imports of goods as a result of the U.S. recession. From the fourth quarter of 1974 to the second quarter of 1975, merchandise import volume declined more than 20 per cent. With the sharp recovery of domestic activity, volume recovered about half of this decline in the third quarter, and it continued to grow, but more slowly, in the fourth quarter.

While merchandise imports were extremely sensitive to U.S. economic activity, merchandise exports held up rather well in the face of declining economic activity abroad. The volume of nonagricultural exports did fall by 9 per cent over the first two quarters, but it turned up in the second half before the general pick-up was under way in the rest of the industrial world. Factors contributing to this nonagricultural export performance included (1) somewhat milder recessions in other major countries, on average, than in the United States; (2) an increase in

### U.S. foreign transactions Goods and services



Dept. of Commerce data, seasonally adjusted annual rates.

exports to oil-exporting countries as well as non-oil-exporting developing countries despite the latter's reduced export earnings; and (3) the price competitiveness of U.S. goods, which had improved over the three previous years.

Agricultural exports also played a key role in supporting net exports. The outlook had been for lower volume than in 1974 until the Soviet Union began buying large amounts of wheat and corn due to an impending shortfall in their harvest. As a result, agricultural export volume for 1975 was about 2 per cent above that of the previous year. Net service transactions also contributed around \$8 billion to net exports in 1975.

#### STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT

Expenditures and employment by State and local governments provided considerable strength to the economy during most of the 1960's and early 1970's. The latter half of 1974, however, brought increasing difficulties to these units. Although nominal expenditures rose sharply, inflation outpaced spending to the point that real purchases actually declined. Furthermore, growth in receipts slowed in 1974. As a result, from 1973 to 1974 there was a \$6 billion shift from surplus to deficit in the "operational" budget, which excludes the net saving in social insurance funds.

The fiscal difficulties of State and local governments were accentuated in 1975 as the fi-

nancial problems of New York City and of New York State agencies led to higher borrowing costs for many governmental units across the country. The psychological effects of the New York City crisis, combined with inherent fiscal problems, apparently caused State and local governments to tighten their budgets. Currentdollar purchases of goods and services grew by 9 per cent over the four quarters of 1975, down from the 12.5 per cent increase in the preceding year. Because New York State and all its municipalities constitute just over 10 per cent of the sector's total spending, this slowdown undoubtedly reflected a general attitude of caution on the part of a large number of governments outside New York.

While current-dollar spending slowed in 1975, real purchases contributed to the recovery as cost increases moderated over the year. Real spending on structures was up 2.7 per cent in 1975. Real compensation grew 5 per cent over the year as employment rose by half a million. The latter increase would have been substantially lower had it not been for the addition of 250,000 public-employment-program workers under a Federal grant program.

The deficit position that had developed earlier during the recession worsened in the first quarter of 1975 but then rapidly improved as some spending cuts took hold and as receipts increased due to the recovery. In the second half of the year the budget position moved firmly into surplus.


#### FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

Real Federal Government purchases of goods and services declined slightly in 1975. The major fiscal impacts on the economy were through the Tax Reduction Act of 1975 and through sharply increased transfer payments. The fiscal stimulus embodied in the tax act was unprecedented. Among other things this legislation provided (1) a cut in personal tax witholding rates and corporate taxes for the remainder of 1975, amounting to about \$12 billion, and (2) one-time cash payments, made mostly in May and June and amounting to nearly

\$10 billion, in the form of rebates on 1974 individual income taxes (up to \$200) and a special \$50 payment to Federal social security beneficiaries.

The duration of unemployment insurance coverage was lengthened to 65 weeks, and coverage was widened to include individuals not previously covered. The food stamp program and Federal grants to State and local governments for public service employment also were expanded. However, because of the continuing inflation, tax burdens were not automatically reduced to the extent experienced in previous recessions. Nevertheless, the Federal budget deficit (NIA basis) reached a peacetime high of \$102 billion (at annual rates) in the second quarter, when a substantial portion of the payments under the Tax Reduction Act were made; the deficit averaged about \$74 billion for the year as a whole. On a high-employment basis, fiscal policy was considerably more stimulative in 1975 than in preceding years as the budget shifted from an \$18 billion surplus in 1974 to a \$9 billion deficit in 1975.

#### Federal purchases and expenditures



Dept. of Commerce national income and product data, seasonally adjusted annual rates.

#### **EMPLOYMENT**

Developments in the labor market paralleled those in output in 1975. Nonfarm payroll employment fell 2.5 million, seasonally adjusted, between September 1974 and June 1975. All of this reduction occurred in the private sector. Boosted by an uncharacteristically strong recessionary growth in the labor force, the unemployment rate jumped from 5½ per cent in the third quarter of 1974 to nearly 9 per cent in the second quarter of 1975. From June to December 1975, however, almost three-fifths of the earlier job loss was recovered. But labor force growth continued rapid, and the unemployment rate dropped slightly under the 8 per cent mark as the economy entered 1976. (For a more complete discussion of labor market developments, see the January 1976 BULLE-TIN.)


#### PRICE AND LABOR COSTS

Inflationary pressures eased in 1975 following the acute acceleration of 1974, but inflation continued to be a serious problem at year-end, with rates of price and wage increases remaining high. The implicit deflator for GNP—a broad measure of price performance—increased 6.4 per cent from the end of 1974 to the end of 1975, about half the rise of the preceding year. The rate of wage inflation also slowed over the year, but less than prices, as workers attempted to recapture some of the income that had been lost to price inflation during 1973 and 1974.

The slowdown in price inflation was led by a sharp deceleration in the rate of wholesale price increases early in 1975, which began to be reflected at the consumer level by the spring of the year. Price rises for many commodities began to ease, and the consumer price index slowed from a 12 per cent increase during 1974 to a 7 per cent increase in 1975.

The sharp reduction in aggregate demand during 1974 and the first half of 1975 played a major role in reducing inflationary pressures. The effect on prices of less spending by consumers and businesses manifested itself most dramatically in the form of cash rebate programs for automobiles and reduced prices of many

#### Private nonfarm sector



Dept. of Labor data, seasonally adjusted.


other durable goods. Attempts to liquidate severely swollen business inventories also led to price cutting for several industrial materials in the first part of the year.

Two important sectors where there has been a relatively large deceleration in the rate of price inflation are fuels and power and food. As world prices of crude oil began to stabilize in early 1974, increases in wholesale prices for fuels and power and retail prices for gasoline and oil began to slow. Prices of these products, however, started to pick up again in the spring and summer of 1975, reflecting, in part, the oil import fees imposed in February and June.

The advanced levels of world demand for U.S. farm products drove farm prices up sharply in 1973 and 1974. The high level of prices, however, brought forth record plantings in 1975, and favorable weather conditions helped to produce a bumper harvest in the United States, considerably improving the price picture for food.

Increases in unit labor costs moderated substantially during 1975 and contributed to the easing of price pressures over the year. In the fourth quarter of 1975 such costs in the private nonfarm sector were about 3.5 per cent above

#### **Prices**



Dept. of Labor data, seasonally adjusted.

their level in late 1974, compared with a postwar record increase of more than 14 per cent during the preceding year. The resurgence of growth in labor productivity in mid-1975, following declines in seven of the eight previous quarters, was the major factor in the deceleration of labor costs as compensation per hour increased 7.7 per cent over the year.

Prices generally grew at a faster pace than labor costs in 1975 as businesses attempted to widen their recession-narrowed profit margins. The share of the value of output of nonfinancial corporations going to corporate profits (excluding inventory profits) rose to 10.5 per cent in the third quarter of 1975 from the recent low of 6.7 per cent in the fourth quarter of 1974,

reflecting the sharpest recovery of corporate profits in the postwar period. Nevertheless, the profit share is still well below the 14.6 per cent average of the postwar period.

The current low levels of unutilized productive resources—both capital and labor—are likely to act as a moderating force on price pressures during the coming months. Although much of the price performance will depend on such external forces as price decisions by the Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries and world harvests, domestic productivity gains and labor costs in a year of heavy collective bargaining activity will also play an important role in the determination of price changes in 1976.

# Revision of Money Stock Measures

In mid-January the Board of Governors released its annual revision of money stock measures and related items. This revision included the incorporation of new estimates for domestic nonmember banks, based on call report data for June and September 1975, and the regular updating of seasonal adjustment factors. It also incorporated new estimates of the cash-itemsbias adjustment, which had been introduced in the money stock series in late 1969.

Revised monthly data back to January 1970, both before and after seasonal adjustment, for the money stock and related measures are shown on pages 86 and 87. Monthly and weekly data, seasonally adjusted and unadjusted, for earlier years, are available from the Banking Section, Division of Research and Statistics.

#### EFFECTS OF THE BENCHMARKING

The effects on the narrow money stock  $(M_1)$  of the benchmark adjustments for domestic nonmember banks were small. Domestic nonmember deposits and certain other components of the money stock were benchmarked to the June and September call reports. The June revision for nonmember banks lowered the level of

NOTE.—Edward R. Fry, Darwin Beck, and Mary F. Weaver of the Board's Division of Research and Statistics prepared this article.


<sup>1</sup>The money stock and related measures include  $M_1$  (private demand deposits adjusted plus currency);  $M_2$  ( $M_1$  plus commercial bank time and savings deposits other than large negotiable certificates of deposit);  $M_3$  ( $M_2$  plus deposits at mutual savings banks, savings capital at savings and loan associations, and credit union shares);  $M_4$  ( $M_2$  plus large negotiable time CD's outstanding at weekly reporting banks); and  $M_5$  ( $M_3$  plus large negotiable CD's outstanding at weekly reporting banks). Monthly and weekly data for these series are published in the Bulletin and they also appear each week in the Board's H.6 press release.

 $M_1$  less than \$100 million, while the September benchmark lowered the level of the series about \$300 million. Other benchmark adjustments for deposits due to foreign commercial banks and mutual savings banks also lowered the level of  $M_1$  a little. In total,  $M_1$  was lowered about \$300

TABLE 1 Seasonal adjustment factors for  $M_1$ 

	Demand compo		Currency component			
Month	Old series	Revised series	Old series	Revised series		
1975 Jan	1.0350	1.0290	.9935	.9935		
Feb	.9900	.9880	.9873	.9870		
Mar	.9900	.9900	.9910	.9920		
Apr	1.0090	1.0090	.9945	.9945		
May	.9790	.9790	.9970	.9975		
June	.9910	.9960	1.0020	1.0025		
July	.9955	.9985	1.0080	1.0075		
Aug	.9840	.9845	1.0035	1.0035		
Sept	.9920	.9920	.9990	.9985		
Oct	.9980	.9960	.9990	.9980		
Nov	1.0050	1.0060	1.0070	1.0070		
Dec	1.0310	1.0320	1.0175	1.0185		

#### Money stock



Seasonally adjusted monthly averages of daily figures.

Time deposits Time deposits other than CD's other than CD's Demand Certifi-Demand Certifi-Cur-Cur-Period deposcates of Period depos cates of rency Nonrency Nondeposit deposit Member Member member membei banks banks banks banks .9935 1.0290 .9990 9950 .9910 May 19..... .9970 .9780 1.0085 1.0070 .9900 Feb..... .9870 .9880 1.0010 1.0020 .9690 26 . . . . . . . .9930 .9680 1.0095 1.0074 .9950 Mar. ..... .9920 .9900 1.0060 1.0090 .9810 June 2..... .9950 .9980 9840 1.0085 .9945 1.0090 .9710 9 . . . . . . 1.0090 9900 1.0075 1.0060 .9870 1.0080 Apr..... 16 ..... 9975 .9790 1.0090 .9880 1.0045 1.0050 1.0065 1.0040 .9790 1.0070 June . . . . . . . . . 23 . . . . . . 1.0025 .9960 1.0060 1.0030 .9790 .9995 .9950 1.0040 1.0012 .972030 ..... 1.0040 .9955 .9950 .9999 .9720 1.0075 .9985 1.0010 9990 .9900 July ..... .9760 Aug. .... 1.0035 9845 9990 1.0300 7 . . . . . 1.0210 1.0060 1.0025 9998 1.0010 July .9920 .9950 1.0090 .9988 .9820 Sept. . . . . . . . . . . . . . .9985 .9980 1.0460 14 . . . . . . 1.0110 1.0010 .9960 .9986 .9900 21 . . . . . . 1.0060 1.0005 9980 .9960 .9950 9970 1.0350 .9965 .9840 1.0000 1.0000 28 ..... Nov. .... 1.0070 1.0060 .9890.9920 1.0130 Dec. .... 1.0185 1.0320 .9920 .9890 1.0070 Aug. 4 . . . . . . 1.0030 .9925 9997 .0002 1.0120 1.0130 .9890 1.0000 1.0020 1.0190 11 . . . . . . . Week ending: 18 . . . . . . . 1.0080 9870 9990 1.0012 1.0290 25 . . . . . . 7 . . . . . . 1.0090 1.0590 .9975 .9910 .9960 .9975 .9740 .9980 1.0010 1.0380 14 . . . . . . 1.0000 1.0450 .9940 9985 .9942 21 ..... 1.0270 .9958 .9975 9990 9940 9820 1.0002 1.0450 Sept. 1 . . . . . . . 28 ..... .9785 .9980 .9997 .9890 .9970 .9994 .9967 8 ..... 1.0120 9910 1.0460 15 ..... 1.0010 9982 1.0020 .9950 1.0460 Feb. 4..... .9850 1.0000 .9985 .9978 .9810 22 . . . . . . .9960 .9930 9930 9969 1.0460 11 ..... .9950 .9920 1.0005 1.0008 .9750 29 . . . . . . .9860 .9830 .9945 .9970 1.0460 18 ..... .9900 .9890 1.0000 1.0020 .9670 .9630 .9975 .9979 25 . . . . . . . .9780 .9745 1.0025 1.0040 1.0000 .9960 9945 1.0450 6..... .9965 13 ..... 1.0060 .9950 1.0390 Mar. 3 ..... 9860 9920 1.0055 1.0340 1.0025 .9660 20 . . . . . . 9972 9980 1.0000 10 . . . . . . .9985 .9880 1.0045 .9740 27 . . . . . .9920 .9954 1.0074 .9860 .9955 1.0320 17 ..... .9950 .9940 1.0060 9810 1.0088 24 ..... .9900 .9845 1.0060 1.0095 .9870 3 . . . . . . 9940 1.0100 9925 .9932 1.0220 Nov. 31 ..... .9850 1.0085 10 . . . . . . .9915 1.0100 .9880 1.0115 1.0020 .9915 .9928 1.0160 1.0085 1.0100 9885 .9919 1.0110 17 . . . . . . 7..... 1.0010 1.0110 1.0085 .9760 24 . . . . . . . 1.0080 .9990 .9885 .9916 1.0100 1.0108 14 ..... 1.0005 1.0160 1.0080 .9720 1.0090 21 . . . . . . .9920 1.0190 1.0080 1.0068 .9690 Dec. 1 ...... 1.0060 1.0120 9870 .9905 1.0100 28 . . . . . . 9898 1.0100 .9830 .9940 1.0080 1.0060 .9690 8 . . . . . . . 1.0200 1.0200 .9890 .9905 9888 15 . . . . . . 1.0170 1.0320 1.0070 5 ...... .9950 .9900 1.0080 .9720 1.0060 May 1.0054 22 . . . . . . . 1.0215 1.0320 9925 .9876 12 ..... 1.0020 .9800 .9820 29 ..... 1.0185 .9950 .9878 1.0070 1.0090 1.0061 1.0350

TABLE 2 Seasonal adjustment factors for  $1976-M_1$  and related measures

million in June 1975 and \$600 million in September, because of the benchmarking.

The benchmark adjustments for  $M_2$  were larger. Nonmember bank time deposits were reduced \$500 million in June and an additional \$1.7 billion in September. Thus,  $M_2$  was \$800 million and \$2.0 billion lower in June and September, respectively.

#### SEASONAL FACTOR REVISION

The indications in recent years of a changing seasonal pattern in the demand deposit component of the money stock were buttressed by the configuration of deposit flows in 1975. As a result, changes in monthly seasonal factors were

somewhat greater than in recent reviews.<sup>2</sup> Changes in seasonal factors were largest for January and June; factors for certain other months were changed slightly, as shown in Table 1. The January seasonal factor for demand deposits was lowered relative to surrounding

 $<sup>^2</sup>$ In preparing for this revision, the Board's staff investigated several alternative methods of seasonal adjustment of the  $M_1$  series. The various methods produce widely differing monthly growth rates. The money stock is subject to a variety of transitory influences that limit the significance of short-run growth rates, and partly in consequence seasonal factors are subject to considerable uncertainty. A technical paper comparing the results of alternative procedures is available from the Banking Section, Division of Research and Statistics.

TABLE 3

Money stock growth rates: comparison of old and revised

Annual rates of growth in per cent

	1	$M_1$	Λ	$M_2$	$M_3$				
Period	Old	Revised	Old	Revised	Old	Revised			
	series	series	series	series	series	series			
	Based on quarterly-average data								
1974	5.2	5.0	7.7	7.7	7.1	7.1			
1975	4.5	4.4	8.7	8.2	11.3	11.1			
1975—H1	4.2	4.0	8.5	8.0	10.9	10.2			
H2	4.7	4.8	8.4	8.2	11.1	11.4			
Q1	3	0.6	5.8	5.6	7.8	7.5			
Q2	8.6	7.4	11.2	10.2	13.8	12.6			
Q3	6.9	7.1	10.4	10.1	13.2	13.3			
Q4	2.4	2.5	6.4	6.1	8.7	9.2			
		Based o	on monthly-	average data					
1974	4.8	4.7	7.2	7.2	6.8	6.8			
1975	4.2	4.2	8.8	8.3	11.4	11.2			
1975—H1	6.0	5.6	10.6	9.8	13.0	11.9			
H2	2.3	2.7	6.6	6.5	9.3	9.9			
Q1	0.8	1.4	7.6	6.9	9.9	9.0			
Q2	11.2	9.7	13.4	12.5	15.7	14.5			
Q3	2.3	3.6	6.3	6.5	9.9	10.7			
Q4	2.3	1.9	6.8	6.4	8.5	8.9			
1975—Jan	-11.8	- 5.1	2.5	4.1	5.6	6.2			
Feb	3.4		8.4	7.2	9.9	8.9			
Mar	11.0	9.4	11.6	9.3	13.9	11.7			
Apr	3.4	3.4	7.3	7.1	11.7	10.8			
May	11.3	11.4	13.4	13.4	14.9	14.9			
June	18.7	14.2	19.2	16.5	19.8	17.4			
July	2.0	3.7	8.2	9.5	12.2	13.2			
Aug	2.9	5.3	5.9	5.7	9.4	10.3			
Sept	2.0	1.6	4.8	4.2	7.8	8.5			
Oct	- 2.4	- 0.8	4.2	5.2	7.4	8.4			
Nov	12.2	9.4	12.9	10.8	12.4	11.6			
Dec	- 2.8	- 2.8	3.2	3.1	5.6	6.5			

months, and the June factor was raised. As usual, the changes in seasonal factors for currency were minor, and they had no significant effect on the pattern of currency growth.

Seasonal factors for commercial bank time and savings deposits and for thrift institution deposits were also reviewed. Changes in seasonals for time and savings deposits at commercial banks were relatively minor, affecting the series from 1966 to date, but the factors for thrift institutions were changed more extensively.

The method of seasonal adjustment applied to the thrift institution deposits differs from that previously utilized, and seasonal factors for this series were changed back to 1959. In the past

thrift institution deposits had been seasonally adjusted using the Census Bureau X-11 "additive" method. In this review the Census Bureau X-11 "multiplicative" method was used. This change resulted in only minor adjustments in the historical series, and the largest changes were in seasonal factors for recent years. Factors in the first half of the year now tend to be higher, and most of the offset to these higher factors is concentrated in the third quarter. Impacts of these changes on growth rates for  $M_3$  and  $M_5$  measures were minor.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>For a detailed description of the Census Bureau X-11 method, see *The X-11 Variant of the Census Method II Seasonal Adjustment Program*, Technical Paper No. 15, U.S. Department of Commerce.

Monthly and weekly seasonal factors for 1976 for the currency and deposit components of  $M_1$ and  $M_2$ , as well as for large negotiable certificates of deposit, are shown in Table 2.

#### OTHER ADJUSTMENTS

In addition to the benchmark adjustments and the changes in seasonal factors, this year's revision incorporates new estimates of the "cashitems-bias adjustment." In the construction of the money stock, cash items in the process of collection—which represent checks received but not yet collected—are deducted from private demand deposits as reported by banks in order to avoid double counting of deposits. In 1969 and 1970 adjustment had been made in the money stock data to correct for a "cash-items bias" emanating from international financial transactions.

In the late 1960's and early 1970's cash items in the process of collection grew very rapidly. A large part of this increase was related to the growth of Euro-dollar and other international financial transactions and to the clearing of such transactions through domestic banks. Such transfers generally did not create private demand deposit liabilities, so there was no counterpart build-up in money stock deposits associated with the rapid increase in cash items. Therefore, both the level and the growth rate of  $M_1$  were understated when total cash items were deducted from total money stock deposits. The understatement of  $M_1$  was corrected on the basis of data obtained from Edge Act corporations, agencies and branches of foreign banks, and other foreign-related institutions that measured the volume of items cleared through domestic banks.

Recently it was discovered that because of certain bank accounting practices the data collected had overstated the amount of the cashitems bias in recent years. Additional data obtained to adjust the figures for these years indicate that the overstatement was generally minor, with the largest corrections in 1970 and 1971. In contrast, the additional data indicate that prior to 1970 the cash-items bias was understated by minor amounts; revisions to correct that bias were also folded into the series back to 1966.

When the money stock has been revised to take into account the new benchmarks, the new seasonal factors, and the changes in the cashitems-bias adjustment, the effect, as shown in the chart, is to raise the level of  $M_1$  slightly from 1966 to 1969, and to lower it thereafter. The largest impact occurs in 1970 and 1971 when the level is reduced about \$2 billion. In the latter half of 1975 the level of  $M_1$  was reduced about \$1.0 billion.

#### IMPACT ON GROWTH RATES

Table 3 shows, in percentage terms, the effect of the revisions on annual rates of growth in money stock measures for recent periods. The upper part of the table shows growth rates based on quarterly-average data; the lower part, growth rates based on monthly-average data. In terms of half-years, the revision lowered the growth rates of  $M_1$  and  $M_3$  in the first half of 1975 and raised them in the second half. Both first- and second-half growth rates were lowered for  $M_2$  because of the large benchmark adjustment, but this measure also shows relatively larger growth in the second half after revision.

Revisions in monthly growth rates were larger than usual, primarily because of the changes in seasonal adjustment factors. The largest differences were in January and June 1975; in these 2 months, respectively, the growth rate of  $M_1$ was raised 6.7 percentage points and lowered 4.5 percentage points. The changes in monthly growth rate patterns for  $M_2$  and  $M_3$  are very similar to the pattern of  $M_1$ , but the differences in growth rates are generally much smaller.

#### NOTES TO TABLES

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$   $M_{1}$  includes (1) demand deposits at all commercial banks other than those due to domestic commercial banks and the U.S. Govt., less cash items in the process of collection and Federal Reserve float;
(2) foreign demand balances at Federal Reserve Banks; (3) currency outside Treasury, Federal Reserve Banks, and vaults of all commercial banks.

M<sub>2</sub> includes in addition to currency and demand deposits deposits, time deposits open account, and time certificates of deposits (CD's) other than negotiable time CD's issued in denominations of \$100,000 or more by large weekly reporting commercial banks. Excludes time deposits of the U.S. Govt. and of domestic commercial

 $M_3$  includes  $M_2$  plus the average of the beginning- and end-ofmonth deposits of mutual savings banks, savings capital at savings and loan associations, and credit union shares.  $M_4$  includes  $M_2$  plus large negotiable CD's.  $M_5$  includes  $M_3$  plus large negotiable CD's.  $^2$  Negotiable time CD's issued in denominations of \$100,000 or more by large weekly reporting banks.  $^3$  Average of beginning- and end-of-month deposits at mutual savings banks, savings capital at savings and loan associations, and credit union shares. credit union shares.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> At all commercial banks.

Money stock—Seasonally adjusted In billions of dollars (for footnotes see page 85)

		Ove	er-all measur	es 1				Related data	a			
Year and			2 M <sub>3</sub>	M4	M <sub>5</sub>	Currency	De	posits at con	sits at commercial banks			
month M	$M_1$	$M_2$					Cy Demand	Time and savings			thrift institu- tions 3	
								CD's <sup>2</sup>	Other	Total		
1970—Jan	210.4 209.7 211.0 212.5 213.6 214.2 215.8 217.5 217.8 218.6 219.6	393.0 392.0 394.5 398.0 400.1 402.4 406.0 410.4 414.5 417.1 420.0 423.5	607.9 606.9 610.1 614.6 618.0 621.5 626.7 633.3 639.7 644.7 650.0 656.2	403.5 402.7 406.0 411.0 413.5 415.8 422.5 429.3 435.4 439.5 443.8 448.8	618.4 617.6 621.5 627.6 631.4 634.9 643.2 652.1 660.6 667.1 673.8 681.5	46.3 46.5 46.7 47.0 47.5 47.7 47.9 48.1 48.3 48.5 48.8 49.1	164.2 163.2 164.3 165.5 165.8 166.0 166.3 167.7 169.2 169.3 169.9	10.5 10.6 11.4 13.0 13.4 16.5 18.9 20.9 22.4 23.8 25.3	182.6 182.4 183.5 185.5 186.8 188.8 191.8 194.6 197.1 199.3 201.3 204.0	193.1 193.0 194.9 198.5 200.2 208.3 213.5 217.9 221.7 225.2 229.2	214.8 214.9 215.6 216.6 217.8 219.1 220.7 222.8 225.2 230.0 232.7	
1971—Jan	220.6 222.5 224.1 225.9 228.3 229.8 230.9 231.9 232.5 232.5 233.3 233.8	428.2 434.7 441.0 445.7 450.7 454.8 457.1 459.2 461.6 464.3 468.1 471.7	663.7 673.2 683.1 691.7 700.4 707.8 713.6 719.2 725.0 731.2 738.2 745.1	454.8 462.4 469.2 473.6 479.0 483.8 487.1 489.7 492.5 496.7 500.8 505.0	690.3 700.9 711.3 719.6 728.7 736.9 743.6 749.7 755.9 763.6 771.0 778.4	49.4 49.8 50.0 50.4 50.7 51.5 51.7 51.9 52.2 52.3 52.6	171.2 172.7 174.1 175.4 177.6 178.8 179.4 180.2 180.5 180.5 180.9 181.3	26.6 27.7 28.2 27.9 28.3 29.1 30.0 30.4 30.9 32.4 32.8 33.3	207.6 212.3 216.9 219.8 222.4 225.0 226.2 227.4 229.1 231.6 234.8 237.8	234.2 239.9 245.1 247.7 250.7 256.2 257.8 260.0 264.0 267.6 271.2	235.5 238.4 242.1 246.0 249.7 253.0 256.5 260.0 263.5 266.9 270.1 273.4	
1972—Jan	235.4 237.3 239.7 241.4 242.2 243.3 245.4 247.4 249.4 251.0 252.5 255.3	477.0 481.9 486.8 490.4 493.8 498.0 502.6 507.5 512.1 516.2 520.0 525.3	753.6 762.0 770.6 777.7 784.3 792.1 801.0 810.6 819.8 828.2 836.1 844.9	510.7 516.3 520.6 525.1 529.6 534.6 540.0 546.1 551.5 556.3 562.3	787.3 796.4 804.5 812.4 820.1 828.8 838.4 849.2 859.1 868.6 878.4	52.9 53.3 53.6 53.8 54.1 54.3 54.7 54.9 55.4 55.8 56.3	182.5 184.1 186.2 187.6 188.2 188.9 190.7 192.5 194.0 195.2 196.1 198.4	33.7 34.4 33.9 34.7 35.8 36.7 37.4 38.6 39.4 40.4 42.4 43.6	241.5 244.5 247.0 249.0 251.6 254.7 260.1 262.7 265.2 267.5 270.0	275.2 278.9 280.9 283.7 287.4 291.3 294.6 298.7 302.1 305.6 309.8 313.6	276.6 280.1 283.8 287.3 290.6 294.2 298.4 303.1 307.7 312.1 316.1 319.6	
1973—Jan	257. 3 257. 8 257. 6 259. 0 261. 9 264. 1 265. 0 265. 6 265. 6 265. 6 268. 9 270. 5	529.9 532.6 534.9 538.4 543.7 548.2 550.9 554.7 556.8 561.4 566.6 571.4	852.8 858.2 862.7 868.4 876.4 883.8 888.6 893.6 897.3 904.2 912.1 919.5	575.1 582.1 589.3 596.1 603.0 608.8 613.4 620.0 622.8 625.8 630.1 634.9	898.0 907.6 917.1 926.2 935.7 944.4 951.1 958.9 963.3 968.6 975.5 982.9	57. 2 57. 5 57. 9 58. 6 58. 8 59. 3 59. 3 59. 8 60. 2 60. 5 61. 0 61. 5	200.2 200.2 199.7 200.5 203.0 204.8 205.5 205.8 205.0 206.0 207.9 209.0	45.2 49.4 54.4 57.7 59.3 60.6 62.5 65.3 66.1 64.4 63.5 63.5	272.6 274.9 277.2 279.4 281.9 284.1 285.9 289.0 291.5 294.8 297.7 300.9	317.8 324.3 331.6 337.1 341.1 344.7 348.4 357.6 359.2 361.2 364.4	322.9 325.6 327.8 330.0 332.6 337.7 338.9 340.5 342.8 345.4 348.0	
1974—Jan	271.3 272.6 274.1 275.5 276.2 277.7 278.9 279.5 279.5 281.1 282.5 283.1	575.5 580.5 584.3 588.2 590.2 594.4 597.9 600.7 607.2 610.5 612.4	925.6 932.5 938.7 944.0 946.6 952.0 957.0 961.0 964.3 971.1 976.9 981.6	641.9 648.7 652.3 662.2 668.3 675.7 681.6 685.3 687.3 692.9 696.4 702.2	992.0 1,000.7 1,006.6 1,018.0 1,024.7 1,033.3 1,040.6 1,045.6 1,049.2 1,056.8 1,062.8 1,071.4	62.0 62.7 63.2 63.9 64.3 64.6 64.8 65.5 65.9 66.6 67.4 67.8	209.3 210.0 210.9 211.6 211.9 213.1 214.1 214.0 213.9 214.6 215.1 215.3	66.4 68.2 68.0 73.9 78.1 81.3 83.6 84.6 84.8 85.8 86.0 89.8	304.3 307.9 310.2 312.7 314.0 316.7 319.1 321.2 322.7 326.0 328.0 329.3	370.7 376.1 378.2 386.7 392.1 398.0 402.7 405.8 407.5 411.8 414.0 419.1	350.1 352.0 354.3 355.8 356.4 357.6 359.1 360.3 361.9 363.9 366.4 369.2	
1975—Jan	281.9 281.9 284.1 284.9 287.6 291.0 291.9 293.2 293.6 293.4 295.7 295.0	614.5 618.2 623.0 626.7 633.7 642.4 647.5 650.6 652.9 655.7 661.6 663.3	986.7 994.0 1,003.7 1,012.7 1,025.3 1,040.2 1,051.6 1,060.6 1,068.1 1,075.6 1,086.0 1,091.9	707.3 710.2 712.8 715.1 718.8 726.5 729.6 729.3 731.9 736.6 743.4 746.2	1,079.5 1,086.1 1,093.5 1,101.1 1,110.4 1,124.3 1,133.7 1,139.3 1,147.1 1,156.5 1,167.7 1,174.7	68. 2 68. 7 69. 4 69. 5 70. 2 71. 0 71. 3 71. 9 72. 0 72. 6 73. 4 73. 7	213.7 213.2 214.7 215.4 217.4 220.0 220.6 221.3 221.6 220.8 222.3 221.3	92.7 92.1 89.8 88.4 85.1 84.1 78.8 79.1 80.9 81.8 82.9	332.6 336.2 339.0 341.8 346.1 351.4 355.5 357.4 359.2 362.3 365.9 368.3	425.4 428.3 428.7 430.1 431.2 435.5 437.6 436.2 438.3 443.2 447.6 451.2	372.2 375.9 380.7 386.0 391.6 397.8 404.1 410.0 415.2 420.0 424.4 428.6	

# Money stock—Not seasonally adjusted In billions of dollars (for footnotes see page 85)

		Over-	all measu	res 1					R	elated dat	a			
Year and month $M_1$ $M_2$						Cun		Depo Demand	osits at cor				Non- bank	U.S.
	M <sub>2</sub>	M <sub>3</sub> M <sub>4</sub>	M4	M <sub>4</sub> M <sub>5</sub>	M <sub>5</sub> Currency	Total	Mem- ber	Domes- tic non- member	CD's <sup>2</sup>	e and savi	Total	thrift institu- tions <sup>3</sup>	Govt. de- posits 4	
1970—Jan Feb Mar Apr May June July Aug Sept Oct Nov Dec	216.3 207.4 209.0 213.6 209.6 212.2 213.4 213.2 216.1 217.6 220.1 225.8	398.4 389.8 393.5 400.3 397.7 401.5 405.4 407.7 412.8 416.6 419.7 428.1	613.6 604.5 609.7 617.8 616.0 621.7 627.2 630.4 637.1 643.0 647.7 659.1	409.0 400.4 404.9 413.0 410.7 414.5 421.4 427.0 434.1 439.7 444.1 453.8	624.2 615.1 621.1 630.5 629.0 634.7 643.3 649.6 658.4 666.0 672.1 684.7	47.3 47.7 48.3 48.3 48.2 48.5 49.2	170.9	128 0	35.7 35.6 36.7 35.8 36.0 36.5 36.5 37.2 37.6 38.2	10.6 10.6 11.4 12.7 13.0 16.1 19.2 21.4 23.1 24.4 25.7	182.4 184.5 186.7 188.1	192.7 193.0 195.9 199.3 201.1 202.3 208.0 213.8 218.1 222.0 224.1 228.1	216.2 217.5 218.3 220.2 221.8 222.7 224.3	7.
1971—Jan Feb Mar Apr May June July Aug Sept Oct Nov Dec	226. 2 220. 0 221. 9 227. 1 224. 4 228. 4 230. 6 229. 2 231. 0 232. 4 234. 6 240. 4	433.2 432.3 440.1 448.5 448.4 454.1 456.9 456.5 459.7 463.1 476.4	668.0 670.7 683.3 696.3 699.4 709.0 715.0 716.3 722.1 728.1 734.8 747.7	460.0 459.6 468.1 475.6 475.8 482.4 486.2 487.5 497.0 500.7 510.2	694.8 698.0 711.3 723.4 726.8 737.2 744.3 754.0 762.4 768.4 781.5	52.2 52.7	177.0 170.9 172.4 177.0 173.9 177.4 178.7 177.3 179.1 180.2 181.8	135.7 131.1 132.4 135.8 133.2 135.8 136.4 135.0 136.0 136.0 136.1	38.3 38.4 39.7 39.1 40.0 40.7 41.4 42.1 43.0	26.8 27.3 28.0 27.1 27.4 28.3 31.0 31.9 33.6 33.8	207.0 212.3 218.2 221.4 224.0 225.7 226.4 227.3 228.7 228.7 231.1 232.6 236.0	233.8 239.6 246.2 248.5 251.4 255.6 258.3 260.6 264.6 266.1 269.8	262.4	6. 8. 5. 8. 5. 7. 7. 7. 5.
1972—Jan Feb Mar Apr May June July Aug Sept Oct Nov Dec	240.9 234.6 237.4 242.8 238.1 241.9 245.3 244.5 250.5 253.9 262.7	481.8 479.4 486.1 493.6 491.8 497.7 502.6 504.5 509.5 514.7 518.6 530.3	757.6 759.4 771.2 783.0 784.0 794.1 803.1 807.5 816.1 825.0 831.7	515.5 513.1 519.4 527.3 526.7 533.5 539.4 544.0 550.5 561.7 574.5	791.3 793.0 804.5 816.7 818.9 829.8 839.8 847.1 857.0 866.8 874.7	53.1 53.5 53.9 54.4 55.1 55.1 55.3 55.7 56.7	188.4 182.1 184.3 189.3 184.2 187.5 190.3 189.5 194.8 197.1 204.8	142.7	43.2 43.7 45.1 44.3 45.4 46.4 46.8 47.9 48.8 49.7	33.7 33.6 33.4 33.7 34.9 35.8 39.5 41.0 41.8 43.1 44.2	240.9 244.8 248.7 250.9 253.7 257.3 260.0 261.8 264.2 264.7	274.6 278.4 282.0 284.5 288.6 291.5 294.0 299.5 302.7 306.0 307.8 311.8	296.4 300.4 303.0 306.5	7. 7. 10. 6. 7.
1973—Jan Feb Mar Apr May June July Aug Sept Oct Nov Dec	263.2 254.8 255.2 260.5 257.5 263.1 265.2 262.6 263.5 265.6 270.4 278.3	535.2 530.0 534.3 542.2 541.7 548.5 551.2 551.5 553.8 559.4 565.0 576.5	856.9 855.3 863.6 874.7 876.2 886.7 891.3 890.3 893.0 900.1 907.2 921.8	580.1 578.1 587.8 598.2 600.1 607.8 612.9 619.3 626.0 629.3 640.5	901.8 903.3 917.0 930.7 934.6 946.0 953.1 958.2 966.8 971.5 985.8	58.3 58.7 59.4 60.0 60.0 60.1 60.4 61.5	206.4 198.7 202.3 198.8 203.7 205.2 202.5 203.5 203.5 203.5 203.5	152.4 145.8 145.3 148.5 145.3 148.7 149.2 147.4 147.4 149.2 151.2	49.8 50.1 51.6 51.1 52.4 53.2 52.7 53.3 53.8 55.1	44.9 48.1 53.5 56.0 58.4 59.3 61.8 67.8 69.0 - 66.6 64.3 64.0	284.3 285.3 286.0 288.9 290.3 293.7 294.7	316.9 323.3 332.6 337.6 342.7 344.7 347.8 356.7 359.3 360.3 359.0	321.7 325.2 329.3 332.5 334.5 338.2 340.2 339.2 340.8 342.2 345.3	8. 9. 10. 8. 7. 6. 4. 5. 6.
1974—Jan Feb Mar Apr May June July Aug Sept Oct Nov Dec	276.9 269.3 271.5 277.0 271.6 277.0 279.0 276.4 278.0 280.1 284.2 291.3	580.5 577.6 583.9 592.3 588.3 595.3 598.2 597.5 599.3 604.7 608.8 617.5	929.3 929.0 939.7 950.8 946.6 955.8 960.1 957.8 957.8 957.8 957.8	693.5	1,022.6 1,023.8 1,035.4 1,042.9	63.5 64.1 64.8 65.3 65.7 65.8 66.4	207.4 212.2 213.7 210.7 212.2 213.7 216.4	155.8 150.4 151.6 154.8 150.2 152.7 153.8 151.7 152.7 153.7 155.4	54.4 54.4 56.0 54.6 55.9 56.2 55.8 56.3 56.3	65.8 66.1 66.7 71.8 77.2 79.6 82.8 87.1 88.7 88.7 88.7 90.5	316.7 318.3 319.2 321.1 321.3 324.6 324.6	397.9	360.5 361.8 360.3 360.4 361.6 362.9	5. 4. 5.
1975—Jan Feb Mar Apr May July Aug Sept Oct Nov Dec	287.7 278.5 281.4 286.5 282.9 290.3 292.1 290.0 291.7 292.4 297.6 303.4	619.5 615.2 622.7 631.1 631.9 643.5 647.8 647.2 649.5 653.0 659.7 668.4	990.3 990.3 1,005.0 1,020.0 1,025.7 1,044.5 1,057.1 1,062.8 1,070.3 1,080.1 1,093.6	711.4 704.4 710.8 716.9 715.0 725.8 729.1 728.4 732.2 736.8 742.5 751.8	1,082.2 1,079.6 1,093.1 1,105.8 1,109.8 1,126.8	67.8 67.8 68.8 69.1 70.0 71.2 71.9	219.1 220.3 217.8 219.9 219.9 223.6	153.4 156.9 153.4 157.2 157.9 155.8 157.0 156.6	55.7 56.0 57.4 56.6 58.9 59.4 59.0 59.7 60.3	85.8 84.1 82.3 81.3 81.1 82.7 83.7	331.9 336.7 341.4 344.6	423.8 425.9 429.4 430.4	420.4	4. 4. 4. 3.

# Membership of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, 1913–76

#### APPOINTIVE MEMBERS<sup>1</sup>

Name	Federal Reserve district	Date of oath of		Other dates and information relating to membership <sup>2</sup>
Charles S. Hamlin	. Boston A	Aug. 10,	1914	Reappointed in 1916 and 1926. Served until Feb. 3, 1936. <sup>3</sup>
Paul M. Warburg Frederic A. Delano W. P. G. Harding Adolph C. Miller	. Chicago	do.		Term expired Aug. 9, 1918. Resigned July 21, 1918. Term expired Aug. 9, 1922. Reappointed in 1924. Reappointed in 1934 from the Richmond District. Served until Feb. 3, 1936. <sup>3</sup>
Albert Strauss	. Chicago N	Nov. 10,	1918 1919 1920	Resigned Mar. 15, 1920. Term expired Aug. 9, 1920. Reappointed in 1928. Resigned Sept. 14, 1930.
David C. Wills John R. Mitchell Milo D. Campbell Daniel R. Crissinger George R. James	. Minneapolis N . Chicago N . Cleveland N	May 12, Mar. 14, May 1,	1920 1921 1923 1923 1923	Term expired Mar. 4, 1921. Resigned May 12, 1923. Died Mar. 22, 1923. Resigned Sept. 15, 1927. Reappointed in 1931. Served until Feb. 3, 1936. <sup>3</sup>
Edward H. Cunningham . Roy A. Young Eugene Meyer Wayland W. Magee Eugene R. Black M. S. Szymczak	. Minneapolis C . New York S . Kansas City M . Atlanta N	Oct. 4, Sept. 16, May 18, May 19,	1927 1930 1931 1933 1933	Died Nov. 28, 1930. Resigned Aug. 31, 1930. Resigned May 10, 1933. Term expired Jan. 24, 1933. Resigned Aug. 15, 1934. Reappointed in 1936 and 1948. Re-
J. J. Thomas	. Kansas City . San Francisco N	do. Nov. 15,	1934	signed May 31, 1961. Served until Feb. 10, 1936. <sup>3</sup> Reappointed in 1936, 1940, and 1944. Resigned July 14, 1951.
Joseph A. Broderick John K. McKee Ronald Ransom	. Cleveland	do.		Resigned Sept. 30, 1937. Served until Apr. 4, 1946. <sup>3</sup> Reappointed in 1942. Died Dec. 2, 1947.
Ralph W. Morrison Chester C. Davis	. Dallas F . Richmond J	Feb. 10, June 25,	1936 1936	Resigned July 9, 1936. Reappointed in 1940. Resigned Apr. 15, 1941.
Ernest G. Draper Rudolph M. Evans James K. Vardaman, Jr. Lawrence Clayton Thomas B. McCabe Edward L. Norton Oliver S. Powell Wm. McC. Martin, Jr. A. L. Mills, Jr.	Richmond M. St. Louis A. Boston F. Philadelphia A. Atlanta S. Minneapolis New York A. San Francisco F.	Mar. 14, Apr. 4, Feb. 14, Apr. 15, Sept. 1, do. Apr. 2, Feb. 18,	1951 1952	Served until Sept. 1, 1950. <sup>3</sup> Served until Aug. 13, 1954. <sup>3</sup> Resigned Nov. 30, 1958. Died Dec. 4, 1949. Resigned Mar. 31, 1951. Resigned Jan. 31, 1952. Resigned June 30, 1952. Reappointed for term beginning Feb. 1, 1956. Term expired Jan. 31, 1970. Reappointed in 1958. Resigned Feb. 28, 1965.
J. L. Robertson	. Kansas City	de	0	Reappointed for term beginning Feb. 1, 1964. Resigned Apr. 30, 1973.

For notes see opposite page.

Name		ate of initial ath of office	Other dates and information relating to membership <sup>2</sup>
Paul E. Miller	. Philadelphia Aug . Dallas Mar	. 12, 1954 . 17, 1955	Died Oct. 21, 1954. Served through Feb. 28, 1966. Retired Apr. 30, 1967. Reappointed in 1960. Resigned Sept. 18, 1963.
George W. Mitchell	. Chicago Aug	. 31, 1961	Reappointed for term beginning Feb. 1, 1962. Served until Feb. 13, 1976. <sup>3</sup>
J. Dewey Daane Sherman J. Maisel Andrew F. Brimmer William W. Sherrill	. San Francisco Apr Philadelphia Mar	30, 1965 9, 1966	Served until Mar. 8, 1974. <sup>3</sup> Served through May 31, 1972. Resigned Aug. 31, 1974. Reappointed for term beginning Feb. 1, 1968. Resigned Nov. 15, 1971.
Arthur F. Burns John E. Sheehan Jeffrey M. Bucher Robert C. Holland Henry C. Wallich Philip E. Coldwell Philip C. Jackson, Jr. J. Charles Partee Stephen S. Gardner	St. Louis Jan. San Francisco June Kansas City June Boston Mar Dallas Oct. Atlanta July Richmond Jan.	11, 1973 8, 1974 29, 1974 14, 1975 5, 1976	Term began Feb. 1, 1970. Resigned June 1, 1975. Resigned Jan. 2, 1976.
CHAIRMEN <sup>4</sup>		VICE C	HAIRMEN <sup>4</sup>
Daniel R. Crissinger May Roy A. Young Oct. Eugene Meyer Sept. Eugene R. Black May Marriner S. Eccles Nov.	10, 1914-Aug. 9, 1916. 10, 1916-Aug. 9, 1922. 1, 1923-Sept. 15, 1927. 4, 1927-Aug. 31, 1930. 16, 1930-May 10, 1933. 19, 1933-Aug. 15, 1934. 15, 1934-Jan. 31, 1948. 15, 1948-Mar. 31, 1951. 2, 1951-Jan. 31, 1970.	Paul M. Albert St Edmund J. J. Tho Ronald R C. Canby J. L. Rol George V	A. DelanoAug. 10, 1914—Aug. 9, 1916 WarburgAug. 10, 1916—Aug. 9, 1918 raussOct. 26, 1918—Mar. 15, 1920 PlattJuly 23, 1920—Sept. 14, 1930 masAug. 21, 1934—Feb. 10, 1936 ansomAug. 6, 1936—Dec. 2, 1947 Balderston Mar. 11, 1955—Feb. 28, 1966 bertsonMar. 1, 1966—Apr. 30, 1973 V. MitchellMay 1, 1973—Feb. 13, 1976 S. GardnerFeb. 13, 1976—
EX-OFFICIO MEM	BERS <sup>1</sup>		
SECRETARIES OF THE W. G. McAdoo Dec. Carter Glass Dec. David F. Houston Feb. Andrew W. Mellon Mar. Ogden L. Mills Feb. William H. Woodin Mar. Henry Morgenthau, Jr. Jan.	23, 1913–Dec. 15, 1918	John Skel Daniel R Henry M Joseph W J. W. Po	ROLLERS OF THE CURRENCY ton Williams Feb. 2, 1914—Mar. 2, 1921 . Crissinger Mar. 17, 1921—Apr. 30, 1923 . DawesMay 1, 1923—Dec. 17, 1924 7. McIntosh Dec. 20, 1924—Nov. 20, 1928 leNov. 21, 1928—Sept. 20, 1932 O'ConnorMay 11, 1933—Feb. 1, 1936

of the Currency should continue to serve as members until Feb. 1, 1936; that the appointive members in office on the date of that Act should continue to serve until Feb. 1, 1936, or until their successors were appointed and had qualified; and that thereafter the terms of members should be 14 years and that the designation of Chairman and Vice Chairman of the Board should be for a term of 4 years.

¹Under the provisions of the original Federal Reserve Act the Federal Reserve Board was composed of seven members, including five appointive members, the Secretary of the Treasury, who was ex-officio chairman of the Board, and the Comptroller of the Currency. The original term of office was 10 years, and the five original appointive members had terms of 2, 4, 6, 8, and 10 years, respectively. In 1922 the number of appointive members was increased to six, and in 1933 the term of office was increased to 12 years. The Banking Act of 1935, approved Aug. 23, 1935, changed the name of the Federal Reserve Board to the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System and provided that the Board should be composed of seven appointive members; that the Secretary of the Treasury and the Comptroller

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Date after words "Resigned" and "Retired" denotes final day of service.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Successor took office on this date.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Chairman and Vice Chairman were designated Governor and Vice Governor before Aug. 23, 1935.

## Statements to Congress

Statement by Arthur F. Burns, Chairman, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, before the Subcommittee on Financial Institutions Supervision, Regulation, and Insurance of the Committee on Banking, Currency, and Housing, U.S. House of Representatives, January 21, 1976.

I am pleased to have this opportunity to present the views of the Board of Governors on Title V of the committee's "Discussion Principles"—the part concerned with reorganization of the Federal Reserve System.

Let me, first of all, congratulate this committee, and Chairmen Reuss and St Germain in particular, for the leadership you have shown in undertaking what could become one of the historic studies in the field of regulation of financial institutions. Your committee's study, Financial Institutions and the Nation's Economy (FINE), has focused attention on areas that are of great importance to the economic health of our Nation. We at the Board of Governors stand ready to assist you in any way we can.

I am here to present the Board's views on proposals in your Discussion Principles that call for changes in the structure of the Federal Reserve System. These recommendations are farreaching and, if adopted by the Congress, would fundamentally alter the character of the Federal Reserve. Consequently, it is important to examine the premises on which these recommendations are based before turning to an evaluation of specific suggestions for change.

The first premise is that the Federal Reserve is essentially a "bankers' bank" whose control rests largely in the hands of financial and industrial interests. The second premise is that the Federal Reserve is not sufficiently "responsive" to the needs of all elements of our society and that the System should be revamped to make it more "responsive."

I take strong issue with these premises. The first reflects a basic misconception of the Federal Reserve. The second, I believe, is simply an argument that there should be more political control over the monetary policy functions of the Federal Reserve. I do not think that such a radical revision of our longstanding concept of the proper status of a central bank would be in the public interest.

It is perfectly true, of course, that the Federal Reserve is, in some of its functions, a "bankers' bank." Indeed, the Congress created it for just that reason—that is, to serve as a source of liquidity for our Nation's banking system and to hold the reserves of member banks. The charge that this relationship results in "control" of the System by bankers, however, is erroneous. This premise appears to be based primarily on the fact that member banks own the stock of the Federal Reserve Banks and elect twothirds of the directors of the Reserve Banks. At a later point, I shall address the proposals in the Discussion Principles relating to the ownership of Federal Reserve Bank stock and the election of Reserve Bank directors. For the present, I cannot be more emphatic when I say that the control of the Federal Reserve System resides firmly with the Board of Governors.

The members of the Board, having been appointed by the President and confirmed by the Senate, take with utmost seriousness their responsibility to serve the best interests of the American people. I know it is fashionable to charge Federal regulatory agencies with being "captives" of the industries that they regulate; but people who follow closely the activities of the Federal Reserve Board—particularly those who are aware of the feelings of members of the banking industry about many actions of the Board—should know that this charge is not applicable to the Federal Reserve.

The claim that the Federal Reserve is not

"responsive" to all segments of American society requires careful analysis. I fear that "responsiveness," as that term is often used, is no more than a euphemism for susceptibility to control. Many who claim the System should be more "responsive" really mean that the Federal Reserve's judgments on monetary policy should be subject to some measure of political direction exercised in behalf of particular interest groups. Those who hold this view often tend to favor more and easier credit and are therefore generally opposed to the concept of an independent central bank.

There is a clear distinction, however, between being "responsive" to the demands of special interest groups and being sensitive to the needs of the various elements of our society. The Federal Reserve has been extremely sensitive to the impact its decisions may have on different segments of our society. The Board frequently must make very difficult judgments, however, and it is almost inevitable that our decisions will displease some or at times even many of our people. But this is no reason to initiate fundamental changes in the System. Some of the constructive effects of monetary policy take time to emerge, and it is therefore important to judge monetary policy over a broad time frame. The great virtue of an independent monetary authority is that it is able to make objective and informed judgments about these troublesome matters—free from the transitory pushes and pulls of the political process.

The Federal Reserve is, of course, a creation of the Congress. It is clearly within the power of the Congress to alter the legal basis of the Federal Reserve System and, if it so desires, to assume for itself more direct responsibilities for the day-to-day formulation of monetary policy. In considering such changes, however, I believe that members of the Congress will want to weigh carefully any action that would impair the objectivity of the Nation's monetary authority and its ability to make the difficult decisions necessary in formulating monetary policy.

One may differ with the Board's judgments on monetary policy matters, and one may even believe that the Congress erred in conferring such independence upon the Federal Reserve. But there should be no misunderstanding about the implication of the Discussion Principles: If the Congress now sees fit, after more than 60 years of experience, to abandon the concept of a truly independent central bank, then the Congress itself must be willing to assume both the burden and the responsibility of formulating monetary policy.

The Discussion Principles make several specific charges against the Federal Reserve that are apparently intended to support the basic premise that the System should be more "responsive." It is argued, for example, that monetary policy is shaped largely in secret. This charge apparently stems from the fact that discussions on monetary policy are held at closed sessions of the Board and of the Federal Open Market Committee. But this fact does not mean that the Federal Reserve is unaware of the views and needs of those who are affected by our decisions. During these meetings we consider in detail a broad range of information: the studies by our staff, comments from the Reserve Banks and their boards of directors, data and views submitted for our consideration by members of the Congress and other Government officials, opinions expressed by academicians, journalists, and representatives of various segments of the public-all of these are taken into account by members of the Board. Because of the sensitive nature of our discussions and the decisions that we must make, it is absolutely essential that these meetings be held in closed session. To do otherwise would be a disservice to the public interest, for premature disclosure of our discussions and decisions could severely disrupt financial markets.

It is Federal Reserve policy to disclose our decisions as quickly as possible. To this there is only one exception—the lag of 45 days in publishing the short-run targets of the Federal Open Market Committee. The basic purpose of this lag is to deny sophisticated market watchers an opportunity to gain undue advantage over an unwary public. Apart from this delay, decisions on changes in the discount rate, on bank reserve requirements, and on stock market margin requirements, also all regulatory rulings, are announced promptly by the Board—usually the same day that the actions are taken. Also, data on financial operations of the Federal Reserve,

the conditions of member banks, the money supply, interest rates, and other financial variables, are released regularly and with great frequency. The Board submits regular and frequent reports to the Congress on economic and financial matters. Indeed, the detail in which financial data are published is greater than for any other central bank in the world.

The Discussion Principles also contend that congressional involvement in monetary policy decisions has been largely peripheral. Whatever may have been true in the past, this premise is certainly invalid today. In my experience at the Federal Reserve, the Congress has never been lax in exercising its oversight of the System or in providing us with its views. Only last year, the Congress revamped its oversight procedure with the adoption of House Concurrent Resolution 133, which this committee helped to draft. This resolution provides for four regular appearances by the Federal Reserve each year before the Banking Committees solely to discuss monetary policy. We take implementation of this resolution very seriously. I have already appeared before the Banking Committees on three occasions and expect to testify early next month before this committee in response to that resolution. I have found that these discussions add an important dimension to the Board's deliberations on monetary policy.

In addition to these appearances, we are frequently asked to testify on a wide range of financial subjects before this and other committees of the Congress, including the newly formed Senate and House Budget Committees. Last year, for example, I testified formally before the Congress on 17 separate occasions, and my colleagues on the Board appeared before congressional committees on 23 other occasions. I also have frequent meetings with members of the Congress to discuss questions of mutual concern, and the amount of correspondence we have with members of the Congress-not simply on constituents' inquiries but on fundamental policy issues—is voluminous. In other words, congressional involvement with the Federal Reserve is substantial and is taken very seriously by the Board.

Finally, it is claimed that the operation of the Federal Reserve is "incoherent" and that its

present structure fails to pinpoint responsibility for monetary policy. I believe this charge reflects unfamiliarity with the structure and operation of the System. There is no uncertainty as to the responsibility for monetary policy judgments within the Federal Reserve. It rests ultimately with the seven members of the Board of Governors. Under existing law, the Board has exclusive responsibility for changes in reserve requirements, margin requirements, and banking regulations. Changes in the discount rate originate at the Reserve Banks but require explicit approval of the Board of Governors, and we examine every proposal for change with great care. Open market decisions are made by the Federal Open Market Committee (FOMC), which consists of the seven members of the Board of Governors and five Reserve Bank Presidents. The structure of the FOMC avoids complete centralization of monetary policy decisions in Washington, but the Board members are plainly in the majority on that body and the Chairman of the Board serves also as Chairman of the FOMC. Thus, far from being "incoherent," the operation of the System and the responsibility for decision-making within the System are clearly determined by the Federal Reserve Act itself.

A change in the basic structure of a government agency—such as proposed in the Discussion Principles—is justified only when some major defect has been discovered in its structure. This is not the case with the Federal Reserve. On the contrary, its structure has enabled it to serve the country well through the years, and there is no need to change it at the present time.

The Federal Reserve System, as you know, was established more than 60 years ago. If a fresh start were made, the Congress might devise a structure similar to what we now have or perhaps move in a quite different direction. Before I joined the Board of Governors in early 1970, I thought I saw all sorts of opportunities for change in the System. But I soon realized that the structure whose basic shape was devised by Woodrow Wilson, Carter Glass, and Robert Latham Owen worked quite well.

In establishing the Federal Reserve, the Congress deliberately decided that the national in-

terest required that the central bank be insulated from political pressures stemming either from the Congress or the White House. The Congress, therefore, charged the Federal Reserve with broad responsibility to protect the Nation's money and to foster its effective use.

I want to turn now to certain specific suggestions that are set forth in the Discussion Principles for reorganizing the Federal Reserve System. Two features of this reorganization plan are fundamental, and I shall devote the greater part of my remaining testimony to them.

The first of these proposed changes is to strip the Federal Reserve of all responsibilities in the area of bank regulation and supervision. Under the proposed plan, the Federal Reserve would confine its activities mainly to the sphere of monetary policy. Its regulatory functions, apart from those involving the payments mechanism, would be transferred to a new body—the Federal Depository Institutions Commission.

In testimony before this committee last December, Governor Holland presented the Board's position on this proposed fundamental change. It is the Board's judgment that the Federal Reserve, as the Nation's central bank, must be closely involved in the processes of bank regulation and supervision. These processes inevitably have an impact on general economic and financial conditions. If the Federal Reserve played no part in this activity, there is a danger that monetary policies and regulatory policies could be working at cross purposes. For example, since the growth of loan commitments by banks has a significant bearing on the availability of bank credit to business firms, the Federal Reserve must watch closely the movements of these commitments. Such commitments could increase very sharply if bank supervisors paid little attention to them, and could force the Federal Reserve to pursue a more expansionary monetary policy than it would otherwise deem appropriate.

Now, more than ever, the Federal Reserve's role in formulating monetary policy and as lender of last resort interacts with its role as a bank supervisor and regulator. Each of these areas of public policy influences the effectiveness of the other. To separate them will weaken both.

The second fundamental change proposed by the Discussion Principles is to eliminate the separate status of the Federal Reserve Banks and to make them simply regional offices of the Board. The stock of the Federal Reserve Banks would be retired; their boards of directors would be eliminated; and the Reserve Bank Presidents would be appointed by the President, subject to Senate confirmation, and they would be paid the same salary as members of the Board of Governors. The role of the Reserve Banks in monetary policy would then be purely advisory. The Banks, in turn, would be advised by newly established advisory committees.

Retiring stock of the Federal Reserve Banks would accomplish little of practical importance. While this stock carries certain voting rights, it limits the holder to a statutory dividend, the amount of stock a member bank must own is fixed by law, and this stock cannot be transferred or encumbered. Thus, it is by no means the equivalent of stock in a private corporation. On balance, the Board believes that ownership of Reserve Bank stock is desirable because of the incentive it provides to members to take an interest in the operations and efficiency of the System.

The other changes proposed by the Discussion Principles would not only weaken the present machinery for developing monetary policy but would also introduce a political dimension into the selection of Federal Reserve Bank officials. Moreover, they would curb the strong impulses within the System to improve the efficiency of the Federal Reserve Banks and to keep down their operating costs.

The 269 Reserve Bank and branch directors who now serve the System are highly qualified citizens drawn from many walks of life and from all parts of the country. Some are bankers, as contemplated by law, others are industrialists, merchants, farmers, attorneys, university presidents, and professors. They are deeply interested in our country and its economic welfare. They devote a great deal of time to the System, keeping the officials of the Reserve Banks and the Board informed on a regular, systematic basis about actual and prospective developments in their businesses, their industries, and their communities. I seriously doubt that such devo-

tion and energy would be evoked by mere participation in advisory committees such as proposed in the Discussion Principles. Service as a director of a Federal Reserve Bank carries with it both prestige and recognition of accomplishment, and this has proved to be a significant incentive in attracting some of America's finest citizens to the Federal Reserve System. This is a resource that should not be abandoned lightly.

Moreover, many of our directors are highly experienced managers, and they have been willing to put their managerial knowledge and skills at the System's disposal. The benefits are reflected in the sharp improvement of productivity in conducting System operations. The measurable output of the Federal Reserve Banks has approximately doubled in the past 8 years, with only a 40 per cent increase in System personnel. In fact, the total number of individuals employed by the System will be a little lower in 1976 than it was in 1974, despite a large increase in the measurable volume of Federal Reserve Bank operations.

The recommendations for selection and compensation of Reserve Bank Presidents would, if followed, significantly diminish the interest of many of the best qualified persons for these important positions, and they would also interject transitory political considerations into the selection process. Reserve Bank presidencies are career positions within the Federal Reserve System, and the ability to offer salaries somewhat comparable to those offered by private enterprise enables us to attract highly qualified people to the Reserve Banks.

Finally, removing the Reserve Bank Presidents from membership in the Federal Open Market Committee would reduce regional involvement in the shaping of our Nation's monetary policy. The Reserve Bank Presidents not only bring to the FOMC a degree of experience and insight that would be lacking in a purely centralized policy-making organization but they also are an important source of knowledge and informed opinion about regional interests and needs. There is a clear difference between an advisory role, as contemplated for the Reserve Bank Presidents by the Discussion Principles, and the role of a participant sharing respon-

sibility for policy-making. Removal of the Presidents from the FOMC could have the effect of making the Federal Reserve more introspective and less sensitive to public concerns—a result opposite to that sought by the authors of the Discussion Principles.

Let me turn now to a matter that I mentioned earlier in my testimony—the selection of Federal Reserve Bank directors. In view of the concern that has been expressed that the Federal Reserve is "controlled" by banking and industrial interests, let me offer a suggestion that the Board views as one way of minimizing this misinterpretation.

Under the Federal Reserve Act, six of the nine directors at each Reserve Bank are elected by the member banks. Three of these directors are typically bankers—the Class A directors—while the other three—the Class B directors—must at the time of their election be actively engaged in commerce, agriculture, or some other industrial pursuit in their district.

The remaining three directors—the Class C directors—are appointed by the Board of Governors and are considered to be the public directors. The Board appoints the chairman and deputy chairman of each Reserve Bank from among the Class C directors. In other words, as presently constituted under the law, the Reserve Bank board of directors may be viewed as representing lenders (Class A), borrowers (Class B), and the public (Class C).

The Congress may wish to consider whether responsibility for selecting Class B directors should be shifted to the Board of Governors in Washington. At the same time the Congress might wish to specify that the boards of directors encompass a broader range of interests than is required under existing law.

This would mean that a majority of the directors at each Reserve Bank would be appointed by the Board in Washington and would represent, so to speak, the public. It would be appropriate to allow member banks to continue to elect bankers as directors, in light of the burden that member banks bear in the implementation of monetary policy and the maintenance of reserve requirements. Even here, however, there may be an opportunity for broadening the selection process. If the recom-

mendation of the Discussion Principles for universal reserve requirements is adopted—and the Board strongly endorses this recommendation—the selection of Class A directors might be made by all member institutions that are required to maintain reserves with the Federal Reserve.

Let me now turn briefly to the remaining proposals.

The Discussion Principles recommend reduction of the number of Board members from 7 to 5 and a reduction in their term of office from 14 to 10 years. We believe that retaining a seven-member Board not only provides for a broader range of significant skills and experience but also helps to accomplish in an efficient way our ever-increasing workload. As to the length of term, we believe that the Congress has wisely recognized that a long term for Board members would strongly encourage independence of thought and decision. We see no reason to change that.

The Board has no basic objection to making the term of the Chairman coterminous with that of the President, but we would recommend a lag of 6 to 12 months between the inauguration of a new President and the expiration of the Chairman's term of office. In this way, a Chairman could be selected in a deliberative manner, apart from the political atmosphere that surrounds the selection of a new President's Cabinet. We also believe Senate confirmation of the Chairman would be appropriate.

Neither would the Board object to amending the Federal Reserve Act to make the Board explicitly responsible for helping to achieve the objectives of the Employment Act of 1946. We already accept the Employment Act as a guiding principle. If that Act were to be amended, however, we would suggest that the Congress also expressly declare general price stability to be an objective of national economic policy. The Federal Reserve and other Government agencies have interpreted the Employment Act to mean that a stable price level is an important objective of public policy, but the Act is less clear than it should be on this need. It would be useful to remove any doubts about our national commitment to a stable price level.

As to the matter of an annual economic re-

port, we already do much of what is recommended in the Discussion Principles, and we stand ready to provide further reports that can be helpful to the Congress. However, the suggestion that the Board be required to adjust its monetary plans to the fiscal proposals of the President is seriously deficient in failing to take account of the new fiscal role of the Congress under the Budget Reform Act. In addition, this suggestion runs the risk of diminishing the Board's independence by requiring the conditioning of its plans to the President's budget.

On the audit question, the Board remains opposed to an audit by the General Accounting Office for the reasons presented to the Banking Committee in earlier testimony.

In summary, we believe firmly that it is in the public interest to retain the concept of an independent monetary authority, and we oppose efforts to politicize the functioning of the Federal Reserve System. We also believe that the procedure established by House Concurrent Resolution 133 offers an excellent means for promoting a continuing discussion of monetary policy matters between the Congress and the Federal Reserve. As I have noted, this procedure seems to be working well.

We see no compelling reasons to legislate fundamental changes in the Federal Reserve at this time because there is no evidence that the System has failed to function well with its present structure. However, the Board would have no objection to changing the method for selection of Class A and Class B directors and providing explicitly for a greater diversity of interests among directors. Nor would the Board object to charging the Federal Reserve with explicit responsibility to further the objectives of the Employment Act of 1946, or adjusting the term of the Chairman to conform roughly to that of the President, or requiring Senate confirmation of the Chairman.

Although the Board sees no difficulty with some of the recommendations in Title V of the Discussion Principles, we also see no clear or decisive need to adopt any of them. Indeed there are strong reasons, as I have indicated, for opposing the key premises of this title. The world's history is littered with the economic wreckage caused by political domination of the

monetary function. Your predecessors in the Congress acted wisely in providing a design for the Federal Reserve that insulated it from politics. The Board urges you not to overturn a structure that has stood so well the test of time and experience.

I would again like to commend this committee for the thoughtful and careful approach you are taking in your continuing study of Financial Institutions and the Nation's Economy, and to indicate our desire to be as helpful as we possibly can in assisting you in your efforts.

Statement by Robert C. Holland, Member, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, before the Subcommittee on Financial Institutions Supervision, Regulation, and Insurance of the Committee on Banking, Currency, and Housing, U.S. House of Representatives, January 22, 1976.

I am pleased to appear before this committee on behalf of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System to discuss Title I of the FINE<sup>1</sup> "Discussion Principles" relating to depositary institutions.

In discussing the wide-ranging proposals of Title I, I think it might be most helpful to the committee if I summarize the Board's views, pointedly but rather briefly, and then stand prepared to answer any questions you might have. Some of these views are not supported by all members of the Board, but all are supported by a majority of the Board.

When I appeared before your committee last December to testify on Title IV relating to the regulatory agencies, I noted that your study wisely recognizes the interrelation of many segments of the Discussion Principles. The Board believes this interrelation is particularly significant in considering Title I relating to depositary institutions, and we support the opening statement of Title I that "A coordinated approach is needed to strengthen our depository institutions."

However, a coordinated approach does not necessarily mean that all such legislation has to be enacted at the same time. In our view there are measures, some of which I will refer to in the course of my testimony, that should

be acted on promptly within a longer-run framework of legislative reform.

It has been the view of the Board of Governors that there should be coordinated changes in our financial system designed to serve four objectives: (1) increase competition; (2) improve the flexibility of financial institutions to respond to changing needs of individuals and businesses while (3) maintaining a base for effective monetary policy, and (4) preserving a sound and resilient financial system. Although we may differ in detail, we believe that Title I of the Discussion Principles provides a good framework for the type of comprehensive legislation required.

It must be recognized that powerful forces for change are at work within our financial system. Pressures of competition, technological advance, and customer demand for different and expanded services are bringing about many changes in the structure and operations of bank and nonbank institutions. The most effective role here for the Congress and the regulatory agencies is one of channeling and containing these developments within prudent limits.

For example, institutional changes already under way are blurring the distinction between demand deposits and time and savings accounts, as well as the distinction between commercial banks and other savings institutions. The public is holding an ever larger share of its immediate liquidity in interest-bearing deposit accounts. Commercial banks and thrift institutions are in direct competition for such balances.

On the other hand, during each period of relatively high market interest rates, there has been a shift of savings funds out of depositary institutions into money market instruments in order to maximize their earnings. Whenever

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Financial Institutions and the Nation's Economy.

Regulation Q imposes below-market-rate ceilings, this movement will undoubtedly re-emerge as individuals become ever more financially sophisticated.

For the sake of simple equity to savers, as well as practicality and efficiency, removal of such ceilings is a desirable goal. To be sure, existing rate ceilings could be rendered both ineffective and unnecessary by a sufficient decline in market interest rates. Absent such a major downward adjustment in market rates, however, deposit rate ceilings should only be removed in stages over a period of time, during which thrift institutions—and perhaps some small commercial banks as well—could diversify their investment portfolios appropriately.

# ASSET AND LIABILITY POWERS OF THRIFT INSTITUTIONS

Proposals 2 (Sources of Funds), and 3 (Uses of Funds) in Title I of the FINE Discussion Principles provide a means for gradually dealing with this problem. We are in general agreement with these proposals for broadening the investment powers of thrift institutions. Such broader powers would allow them to invest in a mix of assets on which the return is more responsive to market interest rates. With more diversified asset holdings, thrift institutions in time would be in a better position to pay competitive rates to savers at times when market rates were rising, and problems of disintermediation would thereby be diminished. To this extent, there should be greater stability of flows of funds to thrift institutions, more stable flows of funds to housing finance, a more equitable return to the individual saver during periods of high interest rates, more alternative borrowing sources for consumers, and a broader range of instruments and loan terms available to consumers.

Although the Board supports expanded consumer lending powers (including the issuance of credit cards and the establishment of revolving lines of credit) and authority to invest in commercial paper, corporate debt, and bankers acceptances for thrift institutions, we believe that it would be preferable to provide for a gradual implementation of these powers. The

Board is concerned that the proposed asset diversification could have an adverse impact on housing finance that might not be offset in timely fashion by other proposals in the Discussion Principles. Gradual transition authority would assure that diversification would not have a sudden impact on housing finances and would permit adjustments to be made to deal with any stresses that might result from the expanded powers; by the same token, of course, it would also prolong the transition period during which the thrift institutions are gaining competitive vitality.

Such a gradual implementation would be consistent with the proposed step-by-step approach to the removal of deposit interest rate ceilings. The Board supports the gradual phasing out of the authority to regulate time deposit interest rates. Because of the uncertainties of financial conditions in years ahead and because of the difficulties many institutions could experience in making the needed adjustment to competition to interest rates, we believe it would be wise to afford an opportunity for final review prior to the termination of this authority. We also believe it would be important to retain the authority to reimpose interest rate ceilings should a financial emergency arise.

The Board believes that the statutory prohibition against payment of interest on demand deposits should not be lifted forthwith. That prohibition is so deeply imbedded in the banking structure that the decision to remove it should be preceded by careful study of its possible consequences and suitable preparation for dealing with the resulting adjustments, and in any event such removal should be accomplished gradually.

The Board also agrees with the Discussion Principles' proposal to permit savings and loan associations and mutual savings banks to offer demand deposits and other third-party transfer arrangements, so long as careful attention is paid to competitive equality, particularly with reference to monetary reserve requirements and all other regulations applicable to deposit accounts at commercial banks.

The Board believes that a comparable expansion of the asset and liability powers of credit unions is an appropriate long-range goal. In our

view, however, this increase in authority for credit unions should be programmed on a step-by-step basis so that there can be some assurance of a reasonably smooth and safe adjustment in their operations, and it should be subject to appropriate safeguards.

# RELATIONSHIP TO THE FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Proposal 5 sets forth a recommendation for reserve requirements that is similar to one made by the Board to the Congress in our letter of June 26 to Chairmen Reuss and St Germain. We wholeheartedly approve of the Discussion Principles' statement that all Federally insured depositary institutions should be required to meet reserve requirements on their deposit liabilities and that all reserves should be held at the Federal Reserve.

The Board believes that the enactment of this principle into law would bolster the effectiveness of monetary policy by maintaining and even tightening the relationship between bank reserves and the Nation's deposits. The task of monetary policy is now complicated because shifts in deposits between member banks and nonmember institutions alter the relationship between reserves under the control of the Federal Reserve and total deposits, which constitute the major share of the Nation's money supply. More importantly, withdrawals from Federal Reserve membership are gradually reducing the share of the Nation's total money supply that is directly linked to monetary reserves. Management of money and credit would be made more effective if required reserves against all deposits were held either in balances at Reserve Banks or in vault cash, since such reserves would be immobilized and their total more readily regulated by Federal Reserve actions.

Equity among competing institutions also requires that all institutions offering similar deposit services be subject to similar reserve requirements, particularly with the deposit functions served by the various institutions being brought closer and closer together.

The Board believes that these changes should be enacted promptly. To cushion resulting adjustment, however, we favor the Discussion Principles' proposed 5-year transition for institutions that are not subject to reserve requirements of the Federal Reserve at the time of introduction of the legislation.

The Board also agrees that all depositary institutions required to meet reserve requirements of the Federal Reserve should have "direct, full and equitable access to Federal Reserve services, including the discount window and wire transfer system." The Board recommends that, in broadening access to the discount window, the Congress also provide for liberalization of the present collateral requirements. The law now precludes the use of some sound assets and collateral at our discount window except at a penalty interest rate one-half of 1 per cent above the discount rate. We believe it would be useful to remove that penalty provision and thus eliminate an indirect restriction on the portfolios of users of the discount window. For analogous reasons the Board is opposed to the proposal in the Discussion Principles that would bar the use of loans to foreign borrowers as collateral at the discount window. All sound assets should be available to help serve this important collateralization role.

#### COMPETITION

The Board is in general agreement with the philosophy of proposal 1 concerning chartering and proposal 9 concerning branching, namely, that there should be greater opportunity for the formation of new institutions and branches to provide needed financial services and enhance competitive vigor. In carrying out its responsibilities under existing law, particularly the Bank Holding Company Act, the Board has consistently stressed the importance of improving competition and preventing any undue concentration of banking resources that would tend to reduce competition.

We support the proposal that would permit Federal chartering of mutual savings banks. We also concur in the general principle that new depositary institutions would be chartered "if capital and other requirements," presumably requirements relating to safety and soundness, are met. The implication of this proposal is that sheltering of existing financial institutions from new competition should not be grounds for denial of a new charter. We are in agreement with this approach, so long as the new competition is fairly based. We believe, however, there should be authority to deny a new charter that might reduce competition, as a *de novo* charter to a holding company that already accounts for a major share of the relevant market.

The Board believes there are many instances in which branching across State lines could be procompetitive. However, the suggestion in proposal 9 that interstate branching be authorized if it is not inconsistent with State law would, in itself, probably not produce Federal branching across State lines any time soon. A roughly similar provision in the Bank Holding Company Act has in practice served to confine bank holding companies to acquisition of banks within the State of their home office.

We believe Federal legislation to permit branching across State lines should be confined at present to areas where there is a pressing competitive need or some other overriding public benefit to be gained. Such pressing need exists for the Board's proposal to Chairmen Reuss and St Germain of February 19, 1975, providing for limited bank holding company acquisitions across State lines in order to resolve possible large failing bank cases in a manner consistent with preserving competition. We strongly urge prompt action on H.R. 4008, which contains this proposal. Also in H.R. 4008 is the Board's requested authority to waive the 30-day waiting period for bank holding company acquisitions in emergencies or failing bank situations. This provision, too, is needed now, and it has the added distinction of having—so far as we know—no expressed opposition to its enactment.

The Board supports the objectives of proposal 10 to improve competitive equity and increase competition by extending trust powers to qualified savings and loan associations, mutual savings banks, and credit unions. We believe that such trust activities should be authorized, however, only upon a finding of the regulatory authority that the institution is sufficiently large and strong to support a trust department, and

we would add the requirement that they also have qualified personnel.

Proposal 4, dealing with disclosure, also is directed at improving competition by providing depositors, borrowers, and investors with more information than they now receive. The Board agrees that adequate disclosure by financial institutions should be required in order to assist the public, but it believes such disclosure requirements should take into account the special characteristics of depositary institutions. In particular, disclosure should not impose reporting burdens disproportionate to the usefulness of the information, and it should guard against misinterpretation or "scare" effects to which banks and other depositary institutions are particularly vulnerable because so many of their liabilities are withdrawable at a moment's notice. Given these considerations, we conclude that the details of additional disclosure requirements are best developed by the appropriate regulatory agencies, in consultation with individuals and organizations affected. Indeed, the Securities and Exchange Commission and the Federal bank regulatory agencies are presently hard at work on this very task.

Appropriate public disclosure of the general financial condition of depositary institutions is desirable not only because it furthers competition but also because of the market discipline it imposes on the management of those institutions. Some reinforcement of existing regulatory discipline on the management of these institutions is also needed, as we see it. Accordingly, the Board urges the Congress to give prompt consideration to the joint recommendations of the Board, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, and the Comptroller of the Currency submitted to the committee on September 5, 1975, all designed to help prevent or correct problem situations. These recommendations include provision of civil penalties for several violations where only criminal penalties now exist, broadening the coverage of insider lending limitations, simplifying and making more effective the officer removal authority, and authorizing, under certain limited circumstances and subject to procedural safeguards, divestiture or termination of a nonbanking activity by a bank holding company.

#### OTHER PROPOSALS

The Board supports the principle of proposal 8 (Taxation) that as a matter of competitive equity depositary institutions with similar asset and liability powers should be subject to the same Federal tax treatment.

Proposal 11 provides that banks be permitted to engage in the underwriting of State and municipal revenue bonds, but that the present prohibitions on underwriting of corporate securities be retained.

Over the past two decades or so there have been a number of bills introduced in the Congress to authorize bank underwriting and dealing in revenue bonds. During this period numerous arguments have been advanced both for and against this proposal. The favorable arguments generally focus on the benefits expected to accrue to governmental units in the form of lower interest costs and improved market efficiency, while the opposing arguments center on potential conflicts of interest and risks of market

concentration. The Board, on a number of occasions, has reviewed the question of extending bank underwriting privileges to municipal revenue bonds of investment-grade quality, and since 1967 has consistently voiced its belief that the public benefits of such action outweigh any potential risks. In view of recent developments in the municipal securities markets, however, the Board would wish to make a fresh study of the situation before reaffirming its previous position on this matter.

Finally, the Board agrees with proposal 12 that the Congress await the report from the National Commission on Electronic Funds Transfers before legislating further in the area of new payment mechanisms.

I wish to thank you, Mr. Chairman, and the members of your committee for this opportunity to express the Board's views on the proposals of Title I of the Discussion Principles. As always, my colleagues on the Board and I stand ready to be of whatever assistance we can in the important work of this committee.

Statement by Philip C. Jackson, Jr., Member, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, before the Subcommittee on Financial Institutions Supervision, Regulation, and Insurance of the House Committee on Banking, Currency, and Housing, U.S. House of Representatives, January 22, 1976.

Thank you for the opportunity to appear on behalf of the Board of Governors to take part in the hearings on your committee's consideration of possible reforms in the structure and performance of the Nation's financial institutions. Our comments on the implications for the residential mortgage and real estate markets of Title II of the FINE<sup>1</sup> "Discussion Principles" will build on the testimony presented earlier today by Governor Holland on Title I dealing with depositary institutions.

Before going into the details of the Discussion Principles, I would like to make two general points. The first is that inflation continues to be the chief enemy of the mortgage and housing markets in our country. Inflation not only increases the cost of financing but it also disrupts the supply of funds. It not only escalates the price of homes but it may also reduce the income, after allowing for other necessary expenses, which consumers have available to acquire new or better housing accommodations. Unless the forces of inflation can be contained, it is doubtful that any financial restructuring could produce a mortgage market that will appropriately meet the housing needs of the American public.

The second general point is that in recent years the private sources of home mortgage credit have become concentrated in the nonbank thrift institutions. These particular lenders traditionally borrow short and lend long and thus are highly vulnerable to the effects of inflation and variations in general credit conditions. In 1960 thrift institutions held approximately 52 per cent of home mortgages outstanding. By June 30, 1975, this proportion had grown to 60 per cent. In contrast, life insurance compa-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Financial Institutions and the Nation's Economy.

nies dropped during this same period from 18 per cent to 5 per cent. Commercial banks, on the other hand, increased their share from 14 per cent to 18 per cent. Federal credit agencies and mortgage pools grew from 5 per cent in 1960 to 12 per cent in mid-1975.

This trend was confirmed in 1975 by the volume of new home loans extended. Over the first three quarters of last year, savings and loan associations and mutual savings banks together accounted for 61 per cent of total long-term home mortgage acquisitions. In comparison, commercial banks supplied 15 per cent, with Federal credit agencies and related mortgage pools accounting for nearly all of the balance. No other source of savings capital made a significant contribution to the home mortgage market.

When we consider the problem of inflation as well as the concentration of housing credit in institutions with volatile inflows of funds, it is small wonder that home buyers have been plagued not only by volatility in the price of mortgage money but also by a periodic scarcity of money at any price. There are two overriding considerations, then, that should be kept in mind insofar as housing finance is concerned. One is the need to further dampen the inflationary forces in our economy that contribute to such erratic fluctuations in both the demand for and the supply of housing credit. The other is to broaden and strengthen the sources of funds available to finance housing at a variety of investment outlets.

The expansion of investment powers of the nonbank thrift institutions and the removal of ceilings on deposit rates—as proposed in Title I of the Discussion Principles—would make for greater stability in the operations of savings and loan associations and savings banks and would produce a more even flow of mortgage funds from them. Even though the proposed expansion of deposit powers at thrift institutions may well encourage a larger share of total savings to be funneled through them, it is uncertain whether there might be some decline over the longer run in the supply of mortgage funds at institutions that become more diversified. The result may be that the cost of mortgage credit would rise relative to yields on other investments. In that event, other types of lenders would be encouraged to move more funds into mortgages. This shift would lessen upward mortgage rate pressures to some degree and help to reduce short-run fluctuations in the cost and availability of mortgage credit in the future.

Some of the FINE Study proposals in Title II are designed primarily to moderate the possible impact of more competitive pricing on mortgage borrowers. As these proposals are considered, it is well to remember that similar measures are already in effect in other forms. Of these, the principal one is our system of Government mortgage insurance and guaranty through the Federal Housing Administration and the Veterans Administration. Such programs make mortgage terms more advantageous for borrowers by pledging the faith and credit of the Government in addition to that of the home buyer who is seeking funds.

The Federal Home Loan Bank Board (FHLBB) loan proposal in Title II is similar to the Government National Mortgage Association (GNMA) tandem plan now in operation. To this extent, the proposal would essentially duplicate an existing program, which provides belowmarket interest rates to home buyers and utilizes a Government-related source of funds. It is not clear from the Discussion Principles whether the proposed new role for the Federal Home Loan Bank Board would eliminate the authority of the Federal home loan banks to make advances to thrift institutions in order to cover either takedowns of earlier mortgage commitments, or deposit withdrawals, in the event of unexpected reversals in their over-all flows of funds. In our view, such advances would still be needed, at least on a transitional basis, so as to provide necessary flexibility to this class of depositary institutions. Although the FINE Discussion Principles would allow depositary institutions access to the Federal Reserve discount window, discount borrowings have traditionally taken the form of very short-term credit designed primarily to cover temporary reserve deficiencies. Thus the discount window operation would not duplicate the FHLBB medium-term advance program now in effect.

The proposed mortgage-interest tax credit and the mortgage reserve credit features of the Discussion Principles would undoubtedly be of some help in ameliorating any adverse impacts on consumers of more competitive pricing of mortgage money. Yet the degree to which they might do so is unclear. A progressive mortgage-interest tax credit would probably offer only a relatively modest investment incentive for commercial banks and insurance companies. Neither type of credit would encourage pension funds to invest in mortgages.

Moreover, it is uncertain how much of the benefits from these plans would be passed through to lower-income consumers. If applied retroactively, the tax credit and reserve credit plans would obviously provide windfall gains to lenders on mortgages already held in their portfolios—benefits that would apparently not be transmitted to any lower-income households that had borrowed before the programs began.

The proposals in Title I would encourage more diversification by financial institutions that are now specialized. In contrast, the incentive programs in Title II would encourage specialization in one type of asset, typically with long maturity and limited marketability. It is even possible that the progressive tax credit proposal might lead to a concentration of low- and moderate-income mortgages in a relatively small number of lending institutions.

The proposed mortgage reserve credit plan to aid low- and moderate-income housing raises a number of important additional issues that I would like to summarize:

—The institution of a reserve credit plan would set an unwise precedent for extending similar preferential treatment to holdings of other types of assets deemed to be of pressing social merit. The list of favored credit instruments of this type could become longer as time passed, thus diluting the initial advantage enjoyed by qualifying mortgages, and tending to segment private credit markets even further.

—A reserve credit on one type of instrument—such as a mortgage—would encourage financial institutions to change the form of their lending simply to take advantage of this kind of subsidy. To that extent, the mortgage reserve credit would not stimulate more housing investment. Lenders would have an incentive, for example, to offer loans secured by real estate

in lieu of consumer loans to be used for non-housing purposes.

—The mortgage reserve credit plan would affect the pricing of qualifying mortgage assets and could accordingly limit their marketability. On a given mortgage loan, a reserve credit particularly when accompanied by a mortgageinterest tax credit—would produce a different effective yield at depositary institutions holding different proportions of assets in qualifying mortgages relative to their deposits. A yield distinction would also exist between institutions qualifying for the credits and those, such as pension funds, that do not. To the extent that these yield differentials would prevail, depositary institutions would either have to take lower profits or larger losses than they otherwise would be obliged to absorb on the sale of loans to nondepositary purchasers, and would thus be discouraged from broadening the secondary market for such loans.

—The mortgage reserve credit plan would require lenders to identify loans on "low- and moderate-income housing" held in their portfolios. This ongoing identification process would be difficult, particularly since qualifying characteristics of borrowers, properties, and even neighborhoods can change either up or down over the life of a given loan.

Of greater importance, a mortgage reserve credit would pose a more fundamental problem for the monetary authorities. The mortgage reserve credit plan would weaken the capacity of the Federal Reserve to control the growth of reserves at depositary institutions in order to maintain a rate of expansion in the monetary aggregates consistent with the needs of our economy. Federal Reserve decisions would be complicated by the addition of a new element to the already complex relationship between the reserve base and the money stock. This new element-stemming from the asset side of lender balance sheets rather than the liability side-would require the Federal Reserve for the first time to predict changes in holdings of qualifying mortgage assets by a large number of diverse types of commercial banks, savings banks, savings and loan associations, and credit unions.

To the degree that the proposed financial

market reorganization resulted in higher average mortgage borrowing costs over the long run, low- and moderate-income households would be affected the most. For these consumers, the cost of shelter, along with other basic necessities, usually absorbs a relatively large portion of their income. In that case, considering the imperfections of both the mortgage-interest tax credit and the mortgage reserve credit approaches, one or more alternative methods of housing assistance may be regarded as desirable for low- and moderate-income groups.

In addition to the FHA, VA, and GNMA mortgage credit programs, an elaborate system of other Federal housing aids is currently in place. Many of these plans already provide some support, directly or indirectly, to lowerincome households. Altogether, Federal aid to housing takes such varied forms as tax incentives to homeowners, landlords, and builders; cash subsidy programs to produce new and substantially rehabilitated housing; secondary mortgage market support; and direct lending. Given the complexities of the present system, now may be an appropriate time for the Congress to evaluate its over-all cost and benefits and the interrelationships among the various forms of subsidy, before proposing any further significant change.

Even in the absence of a comprehensive review of this sort, there are several ways in which

Federal assistance to homeownership could be directed at the lower-income portion of our population where the need is greatest. Unfortunately, portions of our present system now apply the largest subsidy to consumers most able to pay without public assistance.

One possibility would be to revise the present system of income tax deduction for mortgage interest and real property taxes so as to allocate tax benefits more heavily toward the lower end of the income scale. Another possibility would be to provide periodic supplements to the income of lower-income households. Both of these approaches have the advantage of directly assisting those least able to pay, rather than doing so indirectly through incentives to financial institutions.

In conclusion, the Board of Governors believes that the restructuring of depositary institutions proposed in Title I of the FINE Discussion Principles may well hold the possibility of greater stability for our specialized depositary institutions and ultimately for the mortgage and housing markets. If the Congress should decide that additional support is necessary for low- and moderate-income housing over the longer run, the Board believes that direct aid to qualified home buyers and renters is a more efficient use of public resources than programs designed to reduce the cost of housing credit through subsidies to lenders.

Statement by George W. Mitchell, Vice Chairman, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, before the Subcommittee on Financial Institutions of the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs, U.S. Senate, January 28, 1976.

I am pleased to appear before this subcommittee, on behalf of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, to discuss the Board's reasons for recommending the enactment of legislation providing for the Federal regulation and supervision of foreign bank operations in the United States.

Banking has increasingly become a multinational business in recent years in keeping with the growth in international trade and investment. (U.S. exports and imports combined are estimated to have exceeded 13.6 per cent of U.S. gross national product in 1975, compared to approximately 8.4 per cent of U.S. GNP in 1971.) That development has been reflected in the expanded operations of U.S. banks abroad. Another aspect of this development has been the growing number of foreign banks establishing offices in this country to conduct both international and domestic banking activities. In February 1973 the Board established a System Steering Committee on International Banking Regulation composed of some members of the Board and some Presidents of the Federal Reserve Banks; part of that committee's assignment was to review the regulatory policy issues associated with the influx and rapidly expanding activities of foreign banks in the United States. As a result of that review, the Board has concluded that the scale and nature of foreign bank operations in this country have become significant in terms of competition within the banking industry and of the functioning of money and credit markets and that, therefore, the time has come for the establishment of a national policy on foreign banks operating in the United States and for the creation of a system of Federal regulation, supervision, and examination of those operations.

To accomplish these objectives, the Board has submitted to the Congress legislative proposals for regulating foreign bank operations in the United States under the title of the "Foreign Bank Act of 1975." These legislative proposals were introduced in the Senate at the Board's request as S. 958—the subject of today's hearings. I would like to discuss the legislation embodied in S. 958 by first focusing in more detail on the reasons that have led the Board to conclude that such legislation is necessary at this time and by next describing briefly the major points of the Board's legislation. I will conclude my statement by setting forth additional Board recommendations on other regulatory issues not covered in S. 958 that the Board believes the Congress should consider in enacting legislation on foreign bank operations in this country.

### REASONS FOR FEDERAL REGULATION OF FOREIGN BANK OPERATIONS

There are three basic reasons that have led the Board to conclude that it is appropriate at this time to move toward a system of Federal regulation of foreign bank operations in the United States. First, and most tangible, is the rapid rate of growth that foreign bank operations in this country have undergone over recent years and their increasing importance to the functioning of domestic money and credit markets as well as to international flows of funds. Second, the present patchwork system of State and Federal

regulation has resulted in illogical differences in the regulatory treatment of domestic and foreign banks. While difficult to quantify, certain competitive advantages and disadvantages for foreign banks vis-a-vis domestic banks have occurred as a result of these differences. And finally, international banking operations are best conducted in a reasonably certain regulatory environment that fosters long-range planning and development. Federal legislation standardizing the national treatment of foreign banks in the United States not only would make for a stable regulatory environment in this country but, since U.S. banks are leaders in international banking around the world, it would also facilitate cooperation between national banking authorities, contribute to an emerging pattern by which foreign banking authorities could be guided in the treatment of banking interests originating outside their countries, and promote the development of international standards of banking soundness and competition.

#### GROWTH OF FOREIGN BANK OPERATIONS IN THE UNITED STATES

I will confine my comments this morning to summarizing what I believe to be the most important features of the recent growth of foreign bank operations in this country. In this regard, I am submitting for the record an appendix prepared by the Board's staff that provides detailed statistical information on the size and growth of the U.S. activities of foreign banks.<sup>1</sup>

As of September 1975, there were 181 U.S. banking institutions—defined to include agencies, branches, subsidiary banks, and New York investment companies—owned by foreign banks as compared to 104 in November 1972, and their total assets have more than doubled from \$24 billion in November 1972 to \$56 billion in September 1975. If clearing transactions and transactions with other offices of their

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Available on request from Publications Services, Division of Administrative Services, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551.

parent banks are eliminated, their "standard" banking assets—defined as loans, money market assets, securities, and miscellaneous assets—increased from \$18 billion in November 1972 to \$41 billion in September 1975.

The data on the over-all growth of these institutions, while impressive, does not adequately portray the increasing importance of their impact on specific U.S. banking activities. For example, in September 1975 the U.S. offices of foreign banking organizations held \$23 billion in total commercial and industrial loans, an amount equivalent to about one-fifth of such loans held by large banks that report weekly to the Federal Reserve. As recently as November 1972 their share in this important U.S. credit market was only one-eighth.

A second important activity of the U.S. offices of foreign banks is their money market transactions. In September 1975 U.S. offices of foreign banks had money market assets of more than \$12 billion, more than one-half of which represented loans to and deposits with U.S. banks. Included in this total are loans and deposits of \$3.1 billion placed with U.S. banks by the U.S. offices of banks from continental Europe. The U.S. interbank market serves these banking institutions as a convenient outlet for managing the dollar balances of their parent organizations.

U.S. offices of foreign banks also had substantial money market liabilities totaling \$11.7 billion as of September 1975. Of this total, \$6.6 billion, or more than one-half, represents interbank borrowings by U.S. offices of Japanese banks, which use the U.S. interbank market as an important source of funds to finance their U.S. operations. The U.S. offices of banks from countries other than Japan do not rely on U.S. banks as a continuing net source of funds although they utilize borrowings from U.S. banks as a source of liquidity when needed.

The important point to note from this brief discussion of the extensive transactions of the U.S. offices of foreign banks in U.S. money markets is that these transactions closely link the U.S. activities of foreign banks with domestic U.S. money and credit markets.

In addition to their U.S. lending and money market activities, foreign banking offices engage

in substantial international transactions with offices of their parent banking institutions as well as with unrelated foreign institutions. For example, as of September 1975 their gross claims on foreigners were \$16 billion and their gross liabilities to foreigners were \$25 billion. Included in these figures were net advances of \$8 billion from their related institutions outside the United States, which advances are, in effect, used to finance their U.S. banking activities.

Thus, it should be clear from this summary data that the size and growth of these operations, their impact on important credit and financial markets in the United States, and their influence on the international payments position of the United States are matters of national import. Furthermore, the size and character of these operations require that they be supervised and regulated in a manner consistent with the supervision and regulation of domestic banks.

## CURRENT REGULATION OF FOREIGN BANKS

Let me turn now to the current regulatory environment structuring foreign bank operations in the United States and how this has led to certain differences in the regulatory treatment of domestic and foreign banks. I think the central point to be made is that foreign banks are now almost exclusively subject to State regulation, with little or no Federal control.

If a foreign bank conducts its commercial banking activities in the United States exclusively through branch and agency forms of organization, it is currently not subject to any Federal regulation, supervision, or examination. Since foreign banks conduct the majority of their operations through these forms of organization, the present system unaccountably exempts from Federal oversight those operations that have the greatest potential for affecting our Nation's economy and its major financial markets.

The principal regulatory advantages for a foreign bank in operating through branch and agency forms of organization are the following:

1. Branches and agencies are not legally subject to any of the reserve requirements or

other regulations affecting monetary policy that are placed on the operations of their primary competitors—large national and State member banks in our major financial markets;

- 2. Branches and agencies are not subject to any Federal restrictions on multi-State banking and thus can be established in any State that permits entry, even if a foreign bank has a State or Federally chartered subsidiary bank in another State (44 foreign banks have commercial banking operations in more than one State);
- 3. A foreign bank maintaining only branches and agencies is not subject to the prohibitions of the Glass-Steagall Act and thus can maintain those banking operations and at the same time have an interest in a securities firm in the United States (20 foreign banks with commercial banking operations in the United States have interests in U.S. broker-dealers);
- 4. A foreign bank maintaining only branches and agencies is not subject to the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended, and thus can engage directly or indirectly in the United States in any type of nonbanking activities and can invest in any U.S. commercial firm, so long as it has the power to do so under the laws of its home country; and
- 5. Branches and agencies are not subject to any Federal bank examination, regulation, or supervision of the type carried out by the Comptroller of the Currency, the Board, or the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC).

The current regulatory framework has, however, also imposed certain artificial or outmoded restraints on foreign bank entry into the United States. For example, foreign banks cannot organize Edge Act corporation subsidiaries that enable large U.S. banks to conduct international banking and financing operations in several cities that serve as centers of international trade financing. This prohibition, which was originally enacted in 1919 amidst fears of foreign domination of U.S. trade financing, no longer serves the national interest as our banks have since that time developed into strong and efficient competitors in international and foreign banking. Thus, that prohibition today can only function to preclude additional competition in some banking markets.

The provision in the National Bank Act that

requires all directors of national banks to be citizens has been a factor influencing many foreign banks to organize State subsidiaries. The lack of any provision in Federal law for the establishment of Federal branches is in sharp contrast to the situation in most foreign countries, where foreign banks establish branches approved by the national government. (As of September 1975, there were 751 branches of our banks abroad.) U.S. regulatory policy should encourage foreign banks to opt for national rather than State subsidiaries and branches because those options would avoid problems of reciprocity between individual States and foreign governments and would afford greater Federal control over the U.S. operations of foreign banks.

Finally, the lack of availability of FDIC insurance for deposits and credit balance accounts at branches and agencies has proven a disadvantage in competing in retail banking markets but may give a cost advantage to foreign banks, because U.S. banks must meet FDIC assessments on similar liabilities.

The current pattern of State regulation may also, in some cases, lead to anticompetitive and other results not in the national interest. For example, a foreign bank may not be able to enter a U.S. banking market because of State law restrictions. This situation could in some cases prevent a domestic bank from that State from entering a foreign bank's home country if the home country imposes a reciprocity requirement. The net effect of such a situation is a reduction in U.S. banking competition and a potential impediment to the foreign commerce of the United States. Such situations might also involve important foreign policy considerations between the United States and the home country. Clearly, a national policy and national regulatory system are needed so questions of reciprocity, as well as other matters of national interest, can be judged on a national, not local,

The United States is virtually the only country that does not have central bank control over the activities of foreign banks within its borders. This situation creates a gap in the Federal Reserve's control over domestic monetary conditions that will inevitably widen and increase in

importance as foreign banks' activities continue to grow.

# MAJOR POINTS OF BOARD'S PROPOSAL

I would now like to highlight briefly the major points of the Board's proposed legislation.

In the Board's judgment, two basic policy goals are embodied in the legislation proposed in S. 958. The first goal is the adoption by the Federal Government of the principle of national treatment, or nondiscrimination, toward the operations of foreign banks in this country. Second is the goal of establishing a comprehensive system of Federal supervision, regulation, and examination of foreign bank operations in the United States in order to implement the principle of national treatment and to provide a framework for regulating the U.S. activities of foreign banks in view of their impact on the Nation's money and credit markets.

The legislation embodied in S. 958 seeks to implement the policy of national treatment by amending U.S. banking laws to provide foreign banks with the same opportunities to conduct activities in this country as are available to domestic institutions and by subjecting them to the same rules and regulations. Thus, the citizenship requirements for directors of national banks are relaxed in order to give foreign banks a real choice in deciding whether to establish a national or State subsidiary (Section 12); foreign banks are given the opportunity to establish Federal as well as State branches (Section 18); the Edge Act is amended to permit foreign banks, with Board approval, to acquire Edge Act corporation subsidiaries (Section 10); and it is recommended that the FDIC Act be amended in order to permit branches and agencies to obtain insurance on their deposit and credit balance accounts in the United States (Section 17).

The legislation proposed in S. 958 also closes Federal regulatory gaps by amending the definition of "bank" in the Bank Holding Company Act to include branches and agencies of foreign banks (Section 2(4)), and by making other amendments to that Act designed to ensure that

branches and agencies of foreign banks are treated the same as any U.S. banking organization with similar commercial banking powers (Sections 2-4). As a result, all branches and agencies would have to become insured banks; additional branches and agencies could only be established with Board approval and subject to Board analysis of financial, managerial, competitive, and convenience and needs considerations; branches and agencies could not be established outside of a foreign bank's State of principal banking operations unless a State bank headquartered in its State of principal operations could also establish such offices; the parent foreign bank would in its U.S. activities be subject to all of the nonbanking prohibitions of the Bank Holding Company Act; and, lastly, the parent foreign bank and its nonbanking subsidiaries would in their U.S. activities be subject to the Board's cease-and-desist authority for unsafe and unsound practices.

Any branch, agency, or incorporated subsidiary bank of a foreign bank with worldwide bank assets in excess of \$500 million would also be required by Section 3(3) of S. 958 to become a member of the Federal Reserve System and would thus become subject to the same kind of Federal monetary and Federal bank examination, regulatory, and supervisory controls that apply to other member banks. In addition, as member banks, such branches, agencies, and subsidiaries would become subject to the prohibitions of the Glass-Steagall Act and, as insured banks, would become subject to the provisions of the Bank Merger Act, Financial Institutions Supervisory Act of 1966, as amended, and other provisions of the FDIC Act.

S. 958 creates a comprehensive system of Federal regulation of foreign bank operations not only through various amendments to U.S. banking laws but also through the establishment of a Federal licensing procedure on future entry (Section 25). This procedure would give the Federal Government the opportunity to consider national interest and foreign policy factors in foreign bank entry, as well as the banking factors that will be considered by the bank regulatory agencies. This Federal role in entry will serve to facilitate greater cooperation among international bank regulatory authorities

and will strengthen the ability of the national Government to obtain national treatment for U.S. banking institutions abroad.

In addition, I would like to emphasize that the legislation embodied in S. 958 does not undertake to supplant State regulation or remove options for State chartering or licensing. Rather, it seeks to superimpose Federal controls on foreign bank operations in those areas where the Congress has already subjected domestic banks to national regulation, such as the Bank Holding Company Act, or where foreign bank activities involve matters of national interest that are clearly the responsibility of the Federal Government, such as the effect of their operations on national money and credit markets.

#### GRANDFATHERING OF EXISTING OPERATIONS

An important policy issue that must be considered in subjecting foreign banks to the Federal multi-State banking and nonbanking restrictions currently imposed on domestic banking organizations is the extent to which the Congress should afford foreign banks "grandfather" privileges for existing operations that do not currently conform to those domestic standards.

In Sections 3 and 4 of S. 958, the Board has recommended permanent grandfathering for all nonconforming banking and nonbanking operations (including securities operations) established by foreign banks on or before the original date of introduction of the Board's proposal in the Congress—December 3, 1974. Nonconforming multi-State banking operations established after that date but before enactment would have to be phased out in 2 years; nonbanking operations commenced in that interval would have to be phased out over 10 years.

The Board strongly believes that permanent grandfathering of long-standing foreign bank operations in this country is needed in order to minimize any possible retaliation against U.S. banks abroad. This opinion is based primarily on Board members' discussions with foreign central and commercial banks and U.S. banks with significant operations overseas. Since the overseas operations of U.S. banks are about

three times as large in terms of assets as those of foreign banks in the United States (as of September 1975, 126 U.S. banks operated 751 foreign branches in more than 90 foreign countries with total assets of about \$135 billion), it is obvious that our banking system and its U.S. banking customers would be a net loser in any possible retaliatory efforts.

Aside from such considerations, however, the Board also strongly believes that a failure to permanently grandfather existing operations would be unduly harsh in light of the grandfather privileges previously extended U.S. bank holding companies. Several bank holding companies with multi-State banking subsidiaries were given permanent grandfather rights in 1956 and again in 1966 when the test for determining a bank holding company's State of principal banking operations was clarified. In 1970 nonbanking activities of one-bank holding companies were permanently grandfathered so long as they were commenced on or before June 30, 1968, and were engaged in continuously since that date. Given precedents, foreign banks should be afforded similarly liberal grandfather privileges. It must be remembered on this issue that foreign banks have established their operations in complete conformance with existing laws; branch and agency forms of organization are not devices for avoiding certain Federal banking laws but rather are well-accepted forms of banking operations around the world.

Furthermore, it would appear that the extent of permanently grandfathered nonbanking activities would be relatively small and that the period of temporary grandfathering provided is not unreasonably long in light of divestiture experience under the Bank Holding Company Act.

The Board shares the concern of the Congress that the policies of the Glass-Steagall Act and the Bank Holding Company Act be enforced; however, rather than abolish existing foreignowned bank affiliations that would be prohibited by those Acts, it seems that a better and fairer course of action would be to give the Board the power to terminate such affiliations if, in a particular case, the Board found, after notice and opportunity for hearing, that such action was warranted. The Congress, in fact, adopted

this type of procedure in connection with its permanent grandfathering of certain of the non-banking interests of one-bank holding companies in 1970. The Board has suggested a similar review power over any permanently grandfathered nonbanking interests of foreign banks in Section 4(2) of S. 958.

# OTHER REGULATORY ISSUES INVOLVING FOREIGN BANKS

In transmitting its proposed legislation to the Congress, the Board indicated that its proposal would not cover foreign bank operations conducted through so-called New York investment companies, and would not specifically amend the Bank Holding Company Act in order to subject the several foreign bank shareholders of the European-American Bank and Trust Company, New York, New York, to the provisions of that Act.

Investment companies organized under Article XII of the New York banking law have many of the same banking and financing powers as agencies of foreign banks. Seven domestically owned investment companies appear to be primarily engaged in finance company operations; four foreign-owned investment companies are either subsidiaries or affiliates of foreign banks and appear to conduct the same type of commercial banking operations carried on by agencies. In excluding foreign-owned investment companies from the coverage of its proposed legislation, the Board was primarily influenced by the fact that only three such companies would have been covered at the time it submitted its proposal and that the New York authorities had customarily discouraged chartering of these entities in lieu of branch or agency operations. The Board was also concerned that any attempt to cover only the few foreign-owned companies would be regarded as a discriminatory action by foreign authorities.

The Board notes that since submitting its legislation, the New York banking authorities have chartered an additional investment company subsidiary of a foreign bank and have received an application to organize another investment company from a private foreign bank.

The Board understands, however, that the New York authorities are currently reviewing their policies on chartering investment companies for foreign banks.

The Board believes that there is a potential for avoidance of the objectives of its proposed legislation if foreign banks can readily obtain investment company charters in lieu of agency or branch licenses. The Board thus recommends that all future investment companies that would be chartered to engage in a commercial banking business be subjected to the same scope of Federal regulation that has been suggested for agencies and branches in order to close this potential loophole.

With respect to domestic banks owned by several foreign banks, the Board notes that, in addition to European-American, the New York banking authorities recently chartered a new bank—UBAF Arab-American Bank—that will be owned by a group of 11 Arab banks, 5 foreign consortium banks controlled by Arab banks, and 4 domestic bank holding companies, the latter each having only a statutorily permitted 5 per cent interest. The Board recently considered the question of whether a bank holding company was being formed in the organization of UBAF and determined that, on the basis of certain specific undertakings made by each of the shareholders of the bank with the Board, that a "company" had not been formed and that an application was not required under the Act.

The cases of European-American and UBAF, among others, however, demonstrate that the current definitions of "control" and "company" in the Act do not appear to cover certain multiple ownership situations where independent shareholders might act in concert to control a bank but do not constitute themselves into a corporation, partnership, association, or similar organization. Because this consortium form of arrangement might become an attractive vehicle for entry if branches and agencies of foreign banks are subjected to Federal regulation under the Bank Holding Company Act, the Board recommends that the Congress amend the Bank Holding Company Act to give the Board jurisdiction over situations where independent shareholders that do not form themselves into a company, as currently defined in the Act, nevertheless act in concert to control a bank. Since the scope and impact of any such amendment will depend, to a great degree, on the precise legal language chosen, the Board, at your request, will be glad to suggest several alternative amendments to the Bank Holding Company Act and to describe the ways in which such amendments would affect the shareholders involved. It should be noted that any such amendment would apply to domestic as well as foreign companies, and thus the Congress may also want to consider such an amendment in the context of bank holding company legislation.

#### CONCLUSION

This Nation's domestic banking system is, of course, currently undergoing a thorough re-examination by the Congress and we at the Federal

Reserve welcome this study and are glad to provide whatever assistance we may be called upon to give. It is our belief, however, that the enactment of legislation regulating foreign bank operations in the United States should not await or be made contingent upon the resolution of more fundamental domestic banking issues, such as whether U.S. banks should be allowed to engage in multi-State operations or securities activities. In our judgment, if foreign bank regulation is tied to such fundamental domestic changes, an undesirable end result will be further postponement of the enactment of any legislation regulating foreign bank operations in the United States. The longer such legislation is delayed the more difficult will be our task in this regard because foreign bank operations will continue to grow, thus making grandfathering proposals less acceptable and increasing the likelihood of retaliatory pressures against our banks abroad. The Board thus strongly recommends enactment of S. 958 during 1976.

Statement by Arthur F. Burns, Chairman, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, before the Appropriations Committee, U.S. House of Representatives, January 28, 1976.

I can summarize briefly what I have on my mind, and what I would like to convey to this committee, in three broad propositions.

First, a good recovery of economic activity is now under way.

Second, inflation moderated appreciably during the past year, but there is a grave danger that it may accelerate again.

Third, the course of fiscal policy during this year and next will play a decisive role in determining whether or not our country can win the battle against inflation.

Let me turn to the first of these propositions. A good economic recovery has been under way since April or May of last year. The recovery has gathered some momentum; in the second half of 1975 the physical volume of our Nation's total production rose at an annual rate of approximately 9 per cent, which is a rather rapid rate of increase.

Industrial production—that is, the output of our factories, mines, and utilities—grew even faster. Between April and December 1975, industrial production rose at an annual rate of 12 per cent.

These gains in production have been widespread. They started in the nondurable goods fields—in the production of textiles, leather products, paper products, and chemicals. After midyear the scope of the expansion in production broadened out and most durable goods lines—such as the machinery and equipment trades, the metals industry, and the furniture industry—showed appreciable gains.

The increases in production led to a material strengthening in the demand for labor. Since March of last year, total employment has increased by 1.7 million. The factory workweek has lengthened. It is, as of the latest count, 1½ hours longer on the average than it was last February. And the unemployment rate has declined from approximately 9 per cent last spring to about 8 per cent presently.

As 1975 ended the economy was moving up at a fast clip. In the month of December, industrial production rose 1 per cent; employment rose by a quarter of a million; retail sales rose by a remarkable 3½ per cent. In fact, the rise in retail sales toward the end of last year was so rapid that inventories of trade firms actually fell.

Let me try now to speculate a little about the future with you. As I see the economy, there is good reason to expect that the expansion in production and employment will continue in the months immediately ahead. Certainly, inventory restocking will be needed to fill half-empty shelves in many of our firms.

The confidence of consumers is returning. People around the country are in a better mood now, and they are spending more freely.

Our export markets are strong. As you may have read in this morning's paper, we had a trade surplus in 1975 of \$11 billion. Our exports will continue expanding this year, partly because other industrial countries are beginning to recover. Also, prices, by and large, have risen less rapidly in our country than abroad, and American business firms are in a stronger competitive position.

The housing industry, as you know, is depressed, but there has been some improvement and I think there will be gradual further improvement. The backlog of unsold homes is diminishing. Money is certainly in ample supply at our thrift institutions. The inflow of funds to our mortgage lending institutions this January appears to be breaking all records for that month.

Business capital spending, so far, has not shown any convincing signs of recovery. This is not entirely surprising because business investment in fixed capital often lags in the recovery process. But I think that there are cogent reasons for expecting business capital investment to join the recovery process before very long.

As you well know, the stock market has been rising briskly, interest rates of late have fallen rather sharply, and corporate profits have moved up with considerable vigor—in fact, with unexpected vigor. Also, the utilization rate of our manufacturing industries has been rising. The Federal Reserve maintains an index of the rate of capacity utilization of materials-producing industries. That rate was 70 per cent in the first quarter of 1975, and by the fourth quarter it had risen to 81 per cent.

When the average rate of capacity use is 81 per cent, there will be some industries that are well above that figure and there will be some firms within these industries that are higher still.

In sum, with an ample supply of money available, with profits improving, and with the rate of utilization of our factories rising, I think we can reasonably expect that the capital goods industries, before very long, will be showing significant expansion once again.

Our financial markets are now in an excellent position to support further economic recovery. Interest rates have declined over the past 6 months in contrast to what usually happens in the early stages of a recovery. Usually, interest rates begin rising, and they sometimes rise sharply, at about the same time as economic activity starts to recover. But interest rates now are below their lows of last June; in fact, interest rates on many short-term securities are lower now than they have been at any time since the fall of 1972. The rise in stock prices also favors the continuance of economic expansion. This is making it easier for business firms to raise equity capital. It is also making people feel richer and is thus helping to rebuild confidence all around.

It is also important to note that the liquidity position of our banks, of our thrift institutions, and of our business firms has improved very materially since the spring and summer of last year.

The critical question, of course, is how far and how fast the recovery that is now under way will proceed. In the nature of things, neither I nor anyone else can speak with great confidence on this question concerning the future. But I can say this much with assurance: the strength and the duration of the recovery that we are now experiencing will depend in large part on how well this country does in our continuing struggle with inflation.

Last year we made significant progress. Consumer prices rose 7 per cent in 1975, compared with an increase of 12 per cent during 1974. Wholesale prices rose 4 per cent last year, compared with 21 per cent during 1974.

But we must not become complacent about the improvement that has taken place on the inflation front because the progress we made was pretty much concentrated in the first half of 1975, when economic activity was weak. In the second half of 1975, troublesome signs appeared of a quickening in the pace of inflation. Wholesale prices of industrial commodities rose at a 9 per cent annual rate, which was more than twice the rate of increase in the first half of 1975. That was a disturbing development. Also, wage-rate increases remained rapid last year. As you well know, they have been running far above the long-term rate of improvement in productivity.

If the rate of inflation quickens this year, as may happen, that would pose a threat to the continuance of economic recovery. If the rate of inflation quickens, the restoration of confidence that is now under way would probably soon come to an end. If the rate of inflation quickens, interest rates would rise and financial markets might become unsettled. If the rate of inflation quickens, the flow of funds to our thrift institutions—and thus mortgage credit supplies-would tend to dry up, and housing would suffer grievously once again. Consumer spending would also tend to weaken because in our times consumers respond to inflation not by spending at a faster rate but by saving at a faster rate. This is one of the important lessons of recent times—a lesson that as yet is not understood well enough.

In view of what I have said, it seems to me that the task for public policy is eminently clear: we in Government must avoid policies that release a new wave of inflation. To the extent that we do so, we will enhance the prospects for a vigorous and durable economic expansion.

Now let me say a word or two about monetary policy. We at the Federal Reserve have been very mindful not only of the need to expand jobs in our country but also of the need to reduce the rate of inflation—because, unless that happens, we will not have good times in our land.

During the past year, all of the major monetary aggregates expanded at a moderate pace. Thus, between the fourth quarter of 1974 and the fourth quarter of 1975, the narrowly defined money supply—namely, currency plus demand deposits, frequently referred to as  $M_1$ —rose  $4\frac{1}{2}$  per cent. A more broadly defined money supply, which includes also time and savings deposits of commercial banks except for large certificates of deposit, rose 8 per cent during that period.

These increases proved to be sufficient not only to finance a vigorous recovery in the physical volume of economic activity; they proved sufficient also, I am sorry to say, to finance a fairly high rate of inflation. Moreover, interest rates fell materially, and this indicates that the moderate rates of expansion in the monetary aggregates were fully sufficient, if not more than sufficient, to take care of the Nation's legitimate needs.

We at the Federal Reserve have the firm intention of staying with a course of moderation in monetary policy. Clearly we need continued growth in economic activity; clearly this growth needs to be financed. We expect to provide sufficient money and credit to finance a satisfactory rate of expansion, but we do not have the slightest intention of throwing caution to the winds and of taking the risk of rekindling inflation.

The principles that are guiding monetary policy at the present time should, in my judgment, also shape the course of fiscal policy if our country is to regain any chance of lasting prosperity.

I need hardly remind this committee that since 1960 we have had a deficit in our Federal budget every year but one. I need hardly remind this committee that in the 10 fiscal years from 1968 through 1977, taking account of the President's recently announced budget, the Federal budget deficit will have exceeded \$20 billion in each of 6 years. And I need hardly remind this committee that in the 5 years ending with fiscal year 1976, the deficit in the unified budget will have cumulated to about \$160 billion. And if we take off-budget outlays into account—as we

should, and as I hope Mr. Lynn soon will—the total rises to more than \$180 billion.

The President has recommended a budget for the coming fiscal year that aims to slow down materially the rate of increase in Federal spending. Partly for that reason and partly also because of expected increases in revenues, the budget deficit is projected to decline from \$76 billion in fiscal 1976 to \$43 billion in fiscal 1977.

I would certainly like to see faster progress in reducing the deficit, but I do recognize that the deficit now results in large part from the fact that economic activity is well below the full employment level.

The President's recommendation to cut back on the growth of Federal expenditures and also to cut taxes strikes me as sound. Federal expenditures have been growing very rapidly in our country. According to my calculations, last year total governmental expenditures at the Federal, State, and local levels amounted to something like 38 or 39 per cent of the dollar value of our Nation's production. That percentage has been growing progressively over the years. The private sector in our economy is shrinking. Let us not overlook the fact that the private sector has been the source of strength and vitality of our economy.

I hope that the Congress will, in general, follow the recommendations in the President's budget message. I am speaking of over-all totals, not of the details of the budget.

This committee can serve a vital national function. I trust that you will bear carefully in mind, as you have in the past, the urgent need of this country to follow a course of fiscal prudence and that you, Mr. Chairman, and your colleagues on this committee, will bring your great influence to bear on the thinking of the Budget Committee and on the various legislative committees.

Statement by Philip E. Coldwell, Member, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, before the Subcommittee on Financial Institutions Supervision, Regulation, and Insurance of the Committee on Banking, Currency, and Housing, U.S. House of Representatives, January 29, 1976.

It is my privilege to present the response of the Board of Governors to the FINE1 "Discussion Principles" embodied in Title III. Before reviewing the principles and responding to each, however, I would like to present the Board's current assessment of the bank holding company movement as it has developed since the 1970 amendments. It will be recalled that the legislation amending the 1956 Bank Holding Company Act was designed (1) to bring one-bank holding companies under the Act, (2) to allow bank holding companies to engage in a broader range of nonbanking activities closely related to banking, and (3) to assure that public needs and conveniences were considered when permitting an acquisition.

The Board believes that the bank holding company movement, on balance, has been in the public interest, if all factors are carefully weighed. We recognize that it may be too early to appraise adequately all the ramifications of the changes in banking structure, the new competitiveness in banking and bank-related industries, and the sufficiency of full realization of the public benefits promised by the applicants. There are some questions on the proper degree of regulatory control and the permissiveness of the holding company form of organization. But many of the charges of financial trouble levied against the bank holding company movement have little relevance to the form of organization and are primarily the result of broader economic problems and aggressiveness of bank management policies.

In our view the primary and demonstrable benefit from the holding company movement has been the competitive impact in the banking industry. Through *de novo* and foothold entries new and stronger competitors have been introduced into local banking markets. There have been 218 *de novo* banks organized in metro-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Financial Institutions and the Nation's Economy.

politan markets by domestic multibank holding companies during the 5 years since the 1970 amendments. Of these, about 23 per cent were opened in markets where the holding company was not previously represented by a bank. Another 45 new banks were opened in nonmetropolitan markets. Of these, about 84 per cent represented initial entry by the holding companies. These data support our judgment that new banking alternatives have been opened to the public with increased competition for existing banks.

With respect to acquisitions of banks that have small market shares, empirical studies show that the market shares of these acquired banks have increased under holding company control, suggesting an improved competitiveness that perhaps includes broader services. There are less certain but creditable indications of increased competition in State and regional banking markets resulting from the growing abilities of bank holding companies to meet the expanding needs of regional and national businesses.

Competitive benefits are also reflected in the de novo and foothold entries of bank holding companies in nonbanking activities. Since 1970 bank holding companies have established over 1,600 nonbank de novo offices, with consumer finance, insurance, mortgage banking, and leasing firms accounting for more than 70 per cent of that total. Also holding companies frequently have acquired small or medium-size firms and then expanded de novo into new markets. It is our impression that the new entrants have a procompetitive effect in the local markets for such bank-related activities.

Beyond the competitive impacts, I believe that the bank holding company movement has permitted an improved mobilization of funds in the economy by overcoming, to some degree, certain restrictions such as branching limitations and barriers to the types of activities in which banks can engage. The reinforcing impact of broader marketing are difficult to quantify, but their intangible benefits for the economy are nonetheless significant. Similarly, the bank holding company organization has provided a new vehicle for marketing the stock of small

banks and certain nonbanking companies. This benefit could be particularly important in solving the problems of a majority owner of a rural bank who wishes to sell his bank.

Finally, bank holding companies have improved the financial condition and management of many of their newly acquired banks. Of particular importance has been the provision of additional capital. In 397 separate approvals of holding company acquisitions, the Federal Reserve has conditioned its approval on, or reached agreement with the applicant for, an injection of new capital. Such applicants have provided almost \$788 million of new capital as a result of these acquisition agreements, and bank holding companies, often after urging by the Federal Reserve, have put in an additional \$1,154 million in new capital. In total then, bank holding companies have injected almost \$2 billion of new capital funds into subsidiaries. While a part of this total might have been injected without the holding company form or the requirements of the Federal Reserve, it is doubtful that the total would have been nearly so large.

The ability of bank holding companies to provide management for their new acquisitions has been a significant benefit; particularly when the acquired bank had unsatisfactory leadership or faced a management succession problem. Growing bank holding companies are often able to attract new executive talent, thereby enabling them to supply management to newly acquired or organized banks. Such benefits are very difficult to measure, but we believe that the ability of holding companies to provide management is a substantial public benefit.

The Board also recognizes that there are costs associated with the bank holding company movement. Some bank holding companies have experienced financial problems, but it is important to note that many of these problems have developed in their bank subsidiaries. The majority of these problems would probably have materialized even if the banks had not been an affiliate of a holding company. A significant proportion of these bank problems have stemmed from the recession, but others have resulted from overly aggressive bank lending and investment policies.

Some other bank holding company problems, however, have originated in their nonbank subsidiaries. For example, some mortgage banking affiliates have sustained operating losses, and a few have tried to avoid severe distress by selling assets of doubtful quality to bank subsidiaries. Except in rare cases, however, the problems associated with nonbank subsidiaries have not had a major negative impact on bank holding companies. One reason is that these nonbank subsidiaries are usually a small factor in the holding company system. In fact, total nonbank assets of bank holding companies account for less than 5 per cent of total consolidated holding company assets.

Another problem area is that some real estate investment trusts (REIT's), advised by a bank holding company, often carrying the name of the holding company or its lead bank, have encountered financial troubles. Although many independent and bank-advised REIT's have experienced similar difficulties, it is probably true that the holding companies were able to pursue this line of endeavor more freely and with greater aggressiveness. Of course, the recession in economic activities has been a major source of these difficulties, but some REIT's became exposed to a greater extent than other lenders in the mortgage market.

Use of the bank holding company form of organization has permitted greater flexibility and latitude than the normal single unit bank or even a branch bank system. For example, the ability of holding companies to "double leverage"—that is, raising funds through parent debt issues and downstreaming equity capital to bank subsidiaries—has allowed the holding company to increase the capital ratios of bank subsidiaries, while increasing the leverage of the company as a whole. Problems can develop from "double leveraging" if the parent's debt servicing requirements are such that unduly heavy dividends are required from the bank.

The Federal Reserve is charged with regulating bank holding companies by approving or denying applications for acquisitions, by overseeing their financial conditions, and by insuring compliance with the Act and its associated regulations. When acting upon proposed acquisitions, we have regularly given attention to fi-

nancial and managerial factors, competitive effects (including any concentration issues), and the public benefits expected. We typically require the applicant and its subsidiaries, both bank and nonbank, to be in generally satisfactory financial condition. In a number of cases, as noted above, we have required additional capital and other corrections as a condition for approval. The Federal Reserve closely scrutinizes those applications involving acquisition debt and has denied a number where such debt would create undue pressure for increased dividends from bank subsidiaries, especially when the bank needs, or is likely to need, capital. We expect the parent company to be a source of strength to its subsidiaries and not a drain on their resources.

Approval of nonbank acquisitions has similarly been given following a determination that competitive benefits are likely to flow from the acquisition and that some significant public benefits will develop such as greater efficiency, lower interest rates, or broader services. We have designed our procedures to promote de novo entry by making the application and review process easier and quicker. Moreover, the Federal Reserve has shown a distinct preference for having bank holding companies acquire small or intermediate-size firms rather than the largest companies. We, of course, have moved carefully in reaching decisions as to which industries are closely related to banking and where operation by a holding company would be of public benefit. Under Regulation Y, the Board so far has determined 12 categories of nonbank activities to be permissible for bank holding companies and has ruled that 8 types of activities are not permissible.

Beyond these rather specific requirements, the Board has adopted policies concerning bank holding company expansion, which over the past 2 years have significantly slowed this expansion. The Board adopted this "go-slow" policy because it believed that managerial and financial resources could often be used more effectively to strengthen existing operations, particularly in the bank subsidiaries, some of which had experienced sharply declining capital ratios or large loan losses.

Similarly, we have increased our efforts to

improve the supervision of bank holding company activities by more intensive monitoring of bank holding company financial affairs and intracompany transactions. From revised and expanded financial reports, we will acquire much more information on bank holding company activity. Also a quarterly report on intracompany transactions will permit the Federal Reserve to monitor closely any unusual transactions or transfers between holding company affiliates. The Federal Reserve has increased its inspection program for bank holding companies and nonbank subsidiaries so that developing financial problems may be identified as early as possible. Such inspections also allow a check on compliance with the Bank Holding Company Act and with Federal Reserve regulations created to implement that Act. We have increased our contacts with the managements of bank holding companies so that we may be better informed about the condition of their companies and where problems may develop. Moreover, we have been increasing our use of agreements or cease and desist orders to bring about the correction of specific problems.

After 5 years of experience in enforcing and regulating the 1970 amendments to the Bank Holding Company Act, the Federal Reserve has found it desirable to suggest to the Congress certain changes in that Act that would improve our ability to correct problems or deter their development. Specifically, the Board has requested the Congress to give it the authority to invoke civil penalties for violations of the Bank Holding Company Act and thus deter the violations that are being discovered in our holding company inspections. Also, the Board has asked for authority to order divestiture of nonbank subsidiaries or nonbank activities where they are endangering the bank subsidiaries of a holding company.

As a method of dealing with situations where a bank is in serious financial difficulty, we have requested modification of the Act to permit waiver of the 30-day waiting period before an acquisition can be consummated. This authority parallels that in the Bank Merger Act. Similarly, we have requested a change in the statute that would permit inter-State bank holding company acquisitions where a bank or holding company

in difficulty is so large that it cannot be acquired by any in-State companies without creating competitive problems.

I would now like to state the Board's specific response to the FINE Discussion Principles as reflected in Title III. The first elaborates on a prior principle that a Federal Depository Institutions Commission be created and that it have authority for supervision, regulation, and examination of bank and savings and loan holding companies. As reflected in our prior testimony, the Board is opposed to the creation of this commission, and, hence, opposes the provision that the powers of the commission cover bank holding company activities.

The second Discussion Principle in this title would subject holding companies to the jurisdiction of the Federal Depository Institutions Commission so as to promote healthy competition among depositary institutions and to prevent the acquisition of banks or savings and loan associations that would tend to lessen competition in a financial market. The Board strongly endorses and has worked toward promoting healthy competition among depositary institutions. In its administration of the Bank Holding Company Act, the Board has repeatedly denied proposed acquisitions of banks and nonbank companies that would result in anticompetitive effects. Only in those rare cases, such as with the acquisition of a failing bank, where demonstrable public benefits would outweigh relatively slight anticompetitive effects, has the Board approved acquisitions of this character. I can assure you that the Board pays extremely careful attention to the competitive effects of every proposed acquisition.

The third Discussion Principle calls for prohibiting the holding company and subsidiaries from using names in such a way so as to cause public confusion. We perceive the purpose of this provision as an effort to disassociate depositary institutions from the rest of the holding company system in the public's mind so that financial trouble elsewhere in the system would not have an impact on the depositary institutions in such a way as to cause a loss of confidence. The Board believes that such a prohibition would give the depositary subsidiaries of bank holding companies a modest degree of protec-

tion, but does not believe such protection would be complete or very effective. The sophisticated holders of liabilities of depositary institutions are aware of the organizational links to the rest of the holding company system whether the name is identical or even similar. Such investors or depositors can be responsible for wide swings in deposits of individual institutions during periods of financial stress. In recent experience, typically it has been the large uninsured depositor or creditor who has sought protection by withdrawing funds from depositary institutions.

In a practical sense, also, even if the names are not similar, the holding company may still feel responsible for the nondepositary unit in the holding company and thus may attempt to use its depositary affiliates to come to the aid of that nonbanking unit in times of adversitysubject, of course, to the limitations in Section 23A of the Federal Reserve Act. There would be some cost in forcing all holding companies to change the names of their nonbanking affiliates including the denial to holding companies of one of the benefits of the holding company form, which is the strength of the holding company name on the nonbanking and banking subsidiaries. Furthermore, the proposal runs counter to the view that the public has a right to know with whom it is doing business. Also, there may be legal implications of forcing such a name change between the parent and its nondepositary subsidiaries, which the Congress should review carefully before adopting this principle.

The next proposal concerning holding companies is another attempt to avoid public confusion by requiring that any liabilities issued by nondepositary subsidiaries clearly state that the liabilities carry no guarantee by any depositary institution in the holding company system, or by the U.S. Government. The Board believes that this proposal is desirable because it would tend to clear up any confusion or misunderstanding that might exist. While lending its support to this proposal, the Board nevertheless believes that there should be recognition of the practical position of many bank holding companies that the debt of any subsidiary ordinarily should not be allowed to go into default for fear of injuring public confidence in the holding

company as a whole or in its bank affiliates. In addition, some support of the liabilities of nonbank affiliates may be desirable in the normal course of business, as in the case where a bank issues a "partial" standby letter of credit, subject to Section 23A, to facilitate marketing of the debt of an affiliate.

Another Discussion Principle requires the Federal Depository Institutions Commission to determine before permitting any action by a depositary institution with a holding company, a subsidiary, or an affiliated nonfinancial institution, that such action would not weaken the depositary institution in question. The Board assumes that it is the intent of this provision to prevent intraholding company transactions that would adversely affect depositary subsidiaries. The Board wishes to point out that such a proposal, though tending to prevent such adverse actions, would involve substantial administrative costs to review each and every transaction. In addition, prior approval of each transaction constitutes an unwarranted interference in the management of the company.

As far as banks are concerned, existing laws such as Section 23A of the Federal Reserve Act already give bank affiliates of the holding company some protection from abuse. However, as already noted in this testimony, there have been intraholding company transactions that have created problems for bank affiliates. In that regard, the Board has taken several steps to reduce or counter the adverse effects of such transactions. First, the Board has recently stepped up its monitoring program dealing with bank holding company financial developments. Second, as noted above, the Board has begun an intracompany transaction report and also requires almost immediate notice of transactions involving large amounts or a large proportion of a holding company's income or assets. Third, in order to prevent bank affiliates from being harmed by unsound financial practices of the holding company or its nonbank subsidiaries, the Board has requested and received authority from the Congress to bring cease-and-desist actions, if necessary, against holding company units. Fourth, the Board has acted to limit certain transactions by banks with affiliates. The Board has interpreted limitations placed on

member bank loans to affiliates, under Section 23A of the Federal Reserve Act, to include assets purchased from these affiliates. In addition, the Board has amended Regulation H to require member banks to treat standby letters of credit and ineligible acceptances as loans for purposes of determining limitations on loans to affiliates.

The Board believes that if existing laws and procedures are not sufficient to reasonably protect the bank subsidiaries, it would be preferable to tighten the laws on intracompany transactions rather than to prohibit such transactions except with prior approval by regulatory authorities. Currently the regulatory agencies are studying possible recommendations for strengthening of Section 23A of the Federal Reserve Act.

Turning to the next Discussion Principle, the Board supports the proposals to remove present limitations on the amount of loans between affiliated depositary institutions and to abolish the requirement that such loans be secured. We believe that within broad limits, it is reasonable to allow a statewide holding company system to transfer funds among its depositary affiliates just as a statewide branch-banking system can transfer funds among its branches. Such a provision would be particularly desirable in facilitating Federal funds transactions among depositary affiliates of the holding company. It is believed that the restrictions presently placed on such intracompany depositary loans were among the principal reasons for the conversion of a large number of holding company affiliates into statewide branching networks when the New York State law was recently changed to permit statewide branching.

The next of the Discussion Principles would prohibit transactions other than routine deposit transactions between a depositary institution that is a subsidiary of the holding company and any investment company, including REIT's, which it manages or advises. We question whether it is necessary to prohibit all transactions between depositary institutions and an investment company both related to a single holding company. For the depositary institution, the amount of loans to a REIT advised by a holding company unit would be limited by existing law, usually to 10 per cent of the bank's capital. Never-

theless, we do recognize that such loans could be made by a number of separate units and perhaps in the aggregate might constitute an overconcentration of credit for the company.

The Board is mindful that the purchase of assets by a bank from a REIT advised by the holding company is not presently limited by law except to the extent that such a purchase constitutes an "unsound" banking practice. Nevertheless, we are watching such transactions of State member banks very closely and would not hesitate to take decisive action if a transaction constituted an unsound banking practice.

In order to promote disclosure, the next Discussion Principle would require the Federal Depository Institutions Commission to obtain and make publicly available by market area on a periodic basis, information concerning loans and other financial transactions between depositary institutions and the rest of the holding company system, as well as institutions such as REIT's advised by the holding company system. The question of the degree or type of disclosure of holding company financial affairs is one that is currently under considerable study both by the regulatory agencies and the Securities and Exchange Commission. The Board recognizes that to achieve market discipline of holding companies there will have to be additional disclosure of their financial condition, and it has participated in extensive discussions with the SEC about which data should be developed and how they are to be presented.

The final provision in Title III of the Discussion Principles applies to the composition of the board of directors of each depositary institution and holding company as well as the important committees of each institution. The provision requires that one-third of the members of the board of directors and all the important committees be independent. That is, they should have no affiliation with the holding company or any of its nondepositary affiliates. It appears to us that the purpose of this provision is to give the depositary institutions greater protection from any possible abuse by the rest of the holding company system. We believe that independent directors would be of some help. But it is doubtful that the proposal would offer depositary institutions a significant amount of protection. The proposal would still leave independent directors in a minority position. Moreover, directors are obligated to defend the interest of the stockholders, and a depositary affiliate's stockholder is the holding company, which would or could be the source of the abuse.

If this FINE proposal were to be adopted, however, we would urge that small holding companies be exempted. We suggest this because in smaller towns and for small companies elsewhere, the available supply of qualified directors is often limited.

In conclusion, the Board believes the Banking Committee is rendering an important service in leading a discussion of what may be the useful and feasible elements of financial institution reform. Our net assessment of the bank holding company movement is presently favorable, but it is clearly too soon to render definitive judgments on all aspects of the movement. We hope our review of the development of bank holding companies and our comments on the FINE Discussion Principles applicable to them, will be helpful to the committee.

Statement by Arthur F. Burns, Chairman, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, before the Committee on Banking, Currency, and Housing, U.S. House of Representatives, February 3, 1976.

I am glad to meet with this committee and present once again the Federal Reserve's report on monetary policy.

Last July, when I gave the first report to the committee under House Concurrent Resolution 133, our economy was just beginning to emerge from the most severe recession of the postwar period. Since then, we have experienced a vigorous economic recovery. According to preliminary calculations, the physical volume of our Nation's total production rose at an annual rate of 9 per cent during the second half of 1975.

The rebound of the industrial sector of our economy has been even stronger. Since its low point last April, the total output of factories, mines, and power plants has increased at a 12 per cent annual rate. The advance was initially most prominent in the textile, leather, paper, and chemical industries, but the scope of the recovery broadened during the fall and winter months and now includes a wide range of durable and nondurable goods.

As production rose, the demand for labor strengthened. Since last spring, total employment across the Nation has risen by 1½ million, and the average factory workweek has lengthened by 1½ hours. In December the number of employees added to payrolls by our manu-

facturing industries exceeded the number released by a margin of 3 to 1.

The rate of utilization of our industrial plant has also risen. In the major materials industries, only 70 per cent of available plant capacity was effectively used during the first quarter of 1975; by the final quarter, utilization of capacity in these industries had climbed to 81 per cent.

Nevertheless, a large part of our labor and capital resources still remains idle. Unemployment is still deplorably high, and activity in not a few of our Nation's industries remains depressed. Continuance of moderately rapid expansion is, therefore, essential to the restoration of our economic well-being as a Nation.

Fortunately, conditions in the private economy favor a substantial further increase in production and employment this year. Last fall the pace of advance in economic activity slowed for a very brief period; but a renewed upswing developed toward year-end, and the economy entered 1976 on a strong upward trend. Consumers have been buying more liberally, as is evident from the surge in retail sales late last year. In December retail sales rose 3½ per cent on a seasonally adjusted basis, and the improvement that developed over the Christmas season appears to have continued thus far this year.

This marked strengthening of consumer spending has resulted in a further liquidation of business inventories, so that ratios of inventories to sales are now unusually low at most retail outlets and also at manufacturers of nondurable goods. Businessmen have been pursuing very cautious inventory policies; they have been reluctant to reorder in volume until they were confident that recovery was taking hold. As a result, business firms will soon need to rebuild inventories to levels consistent with the improved pace of consumer buying. It should not be surprising if orders and production advance rather briskly in the months just ahead.

Prospects for residential construction also appear to have improved. Prices of new homes remain exceedingly high, and this is bound to limit the recovery in homebuilding. Still, the inventory of unsold units—especially in the single-family market—has declined, and mortgage credit is now readily available in nearly all parts of the country. Housing starts have therefore been moving up and further significant gains are likely over the course of 1976.

Our export trades, too, will probably register some improvement this year. The demand for exports held up well in 1975, reflecting in large measure the strong competitive position that we have achieved in world markets during recent years. Economic recovery is now under way in other industrialized countries, and as it gathers momentum the demand for our exports should intensify. However, our foreign trade balance is likely to narrow this year because our economic expansion will lead to an enlarged demand for imports—including products, such as petroleum and industrial supplies, that fell off sharply during the recession.

Business capital spending can also be expected to contribute to economic recovery during 1976. This sector of demand has yet to show convincing signs of an upturn, but business fixed investment often lags behind other major categories of demand during the early stages of a recovery. With rates of capacity utilization on the increase, corporate profits moving up strongly, the stock and bond markets improving, and business confidence gaining, we can reasonably expect considerable strengthening this year of business plans for buying new equipment and building new facilities—as normally happens in the course of a business cycle expansion.

The strength of recovery in business investment outlays this year, however, will depend to a large degree on the vigor of consumer markets. Businessmen across our land are still making plans for the future with great caution. While the recent improvement in consumer buying has been encouraging, the present more optimistic mood of consumers could be destroyed by a new burst of inflation. Any resurgence in the pace of inflation this year would pose a threat to consumer and business confidence, and thus to the further recovery of economic activity that is so urgently needed.

We as a Nation made notable progress last year in reducing the rate of inflation. The rise in consumer prices came down to 7 per cent, about half the rate recorded in 1974. The rise in wholesale prices slowed down even more. These improvements reflected slack demand in product markets and increased competitive pressures, but they were evidenced mainly in the first half of last year.

In fact, there has been some worsening in the rate of inflation since the middle of 1975. One troublesome sign has been the acceleration in wholesale prices of industrial commodities. During the second half of 1975, these prices increased on the average at an annual rate of almost 9 per cent, compared with  $3\frac{1}{2}$  per cent in the first half. The advance of consumer prices quickened less rapidly—from an annual rate of 6.6 per cent in the first half of 1975 to 7.5 per cent in the final 6 months. But the rate of inflation in consumer markets could worsen further if recent sharp increases in wholesale prices are passed through to the retail level.

The trend of wage increases, while understandable, is also disturbing. Last year wage rates rose on the average by 8 per cent—far above the long-term rate of growth in productivity. This year, major collective bargaining agreements covering almost twice as many workers as in 1975 will need to be negotiated. If wage settlements in major industries exceed those of 1975—when wage and benefit increases for the first year already averaged around 11 per cent—a new explosion of wages, costs, and prices may be touched off.

Some step-up in the rate of inflation was perhaps unavoidable during the latter half of last year, in view of the vigor of economic recovery. As the recovery proceeds, however, it is clearly

the responsibility of government to manage economic policies so that a new wave of inflation, which would wreck our chances of lasting prosperity, is avoided.

Our country is now confronted with a serious dilemma in its search for ways to move the economy toward full employment. Conventional thinking about stabilization policies is proving inadequate. Stimulative financial policies have considerable merit when unemployment is extensive and the price level is stable or declining. But such policies do not work well if the price level keeps on rising while there is considerable slack in the economy. Recent experience both in our own and other industrial countries suggests that once inflation has become ingrained in the thinking of a Nation's businessmen and consumers, highly expansionist monetary and fiscal policies do not have their intended effect. In particular, instead of fostering larger consumer spending, they tend to lead to larger precautionary savings and sluggish consumer buying. The only sound fiscal and monetary policy today is a policy of prudence and moderation.

Over the past year, the Federal Reserve has sought to foster a financial climate conducive to a satisfactory recovery, but at the same time to minimize the chances of rekindling inflationary pressures. Last spring, in our first report pursuant to House Concurrent Resolution 133, we announced the growth rates of the monetary and credit aggregates that we would be seeking over the next year in the furthering of these objectives.

A growth range of 5 to  $7\frac{1}{2}$  per cent was adopted for  $M_1$ —that is, currency plus demand deposits held by the public. Higher growth ranges were specified for the broader monetary aggregates. For  $M_2$ , which also includes time and savings deposits other than large certificates of deposit (CD's) at commercial banks, the growth range was initially set at  $8\frac{1}{2}$  to  $10\frac{1}{2}$  per cent, and subsequently widened by reducing the lower end of the band to  $7\frac{1}{2}$  per cent. For a still broader monetary composite,  $M_3$ , which also includes deposits at thrift institutions, the range was initially set at 10 to 12 per cent, and then widened to 9 to 12 per cent.

At the time these ranges were established,

concern was expressed by some economists, as well as by some members of the Congress, that the rates of monetary growth we were seeking would prove inadequate to finance a good economic expansion. Interest rates would rise sharply, it was argued, as the demand for money rose with increased aggregate spending, and shortages of money and credit might soon choke off the recovery.

We at the Federal Reserve did not share this pessimistic view. We knew from a careful reading of history that the turnover of money balances tends to rise rapidly in the early stages of an economic upswing. Consequently, we resisted the advice of those who wanted to open the tap and let money flow out in greater abundance.

Subsequent events have borne out our judgment. Increases in the turnover of money balances have been even larger than we at the Federal Reserve had anticipated. Over the past two quarters, the velocity of  $M_1$ —that is, the ratio of GNP to  $M_1$ —increased at an annual rate of over 10 per cent, the largest increase for any half year in the past quarter century. Moreover, this rise in velocity was not associated with higher rates of interest or developing shortages of credit. On the contrary, conditions in financial markets continued to ease, and are more comfortable now than at any time in the past 2 years.

There is a striking contrast between the movement of interest rates during the current recovery and their behavior in past cyclical upswings. Short-term interest rates normally begin to move up at about the same time as the upturn in general business activity, although the extent of rise varies from one cycle to another. In the current economic upswing, a vigorous rebound of activity, a continuing high rate of inflation, and a record volume of Treasury borrowing might well have been expected to exert strong upward pressures on short-term interest rates. However, after some run-up in the summer months of last year, short-term rates turned down again last fall and have since then declined to the lowest level since late 1972. Long-term rates have also moved lower; yields on high-grade new issues of corporations are now at their lowest level since early 1974.

Conditions in financial markets thus remain favorable for economic expansion. Interest rates are generally lower than at the trough of the recession. Savings flows to thrift institutions are still very ample, and commitments of funds to the mortgage market are still increasing strongly. Mortgage interest rates are therefore edging down.

Moreover, the stock market has been staging a dramatic recovery. The average price of a share on the New York Stock Exchange at present is about 60 per cent above its 1974 low. A large measure of financial wealth has thus been restored to the millions of individuals across our land who have invested in common stocks. Besides this, the improvement in the stock market has made it considerably easier for many firms to raise equity funds for new investment programs or for restoring their capital cushions.

In general, the liquidity position of our Nation's financial institutions and business enterprises is now much improved. Corporations issued a record volume of long-term bonds last year, and used the proceeds to repay short-term debts and to acquire liquid assets. Commercial banks reduced their reliance on volatile funds and added a large quantity of Federal securities to their asset portfolios. The liquidity position of savings banks and savings and loan associations has likewise been strengthened.

The market for State and local government securities has, of course, been adversely affected by the New York City financial crisis. Even in this market, however, interest rates are now below their 1975 highs, and the volume of securities issued has remained relatively large. The difficulties of New York City, moreover, have had a constructive influence on the financial practices of State and local governments—as well as on other economic units—throughout the country. The emphasis on sound finance that is now under way enhances the chances of achieving a lasting prosperity in our country.

These notable accomplishments in financial markets indicate, I believe, that the course of moderation in monetary policy pursued by the Federal Reserve last year has contributed to economic recovery. The Board was pleased to

learn that the Senate Banking Committee, in its recent "Report on the Conduct of Monetary Policy," agrees with this view.

Since last spring, growth rates of the major monetary aggregates—though varying widely from month to month—have generally been within the ranges specified by the Federal Reserve. Thus, on a seasonally adjusted basis, the quarterly average level of  $M_1$  rose over the past three quarters at an annual rate of 5.7 per cent;  $M_2$  rose at a rate of 9 per cent, while  $M_3$  rose at a rate of 12 per cent. The growth rate of  $M_1$  was toward the lower end of the specified range, while growth in  $M_2$  was near the midpoint of its range. Growth in  $M_3$ , on the other hand, was at the upper end of its range.

The growth rates that I have just cited reflect new seasonal adjustment factors, published a few weeks ago, that emerged from an intensive review by the Federal Reserve staff of the process of making seasonal adjustments in our monetary statistics. This review revealed some facts about the behavior of money supply data that I believe this committee should have at its disposal.

Seasonal adjustment of the money stock, as with other economic time series, involves a rather large element of judgment. I have attached to this statement a table showing monthly, quarterly, and semiannual changes in  $M_1$  that would be obtained by applying a variety of plausible seasonal adjustment procedures. The results differ by a wide margin. For example, in November, the seasonally adjusted annual rate of change in  $M_1$  may be estimated in a range running from 3 per cent to 13 per cent; for December, the range is from -7 per cent to +3 per cent. In view of such wide ranges, no one can say with any confidence what happened to the seasonally adjusted stock of money in those months.

These observations on seasonal measurement reinforce a judgment that I have frequently expressed, namely, that many financial observers attach a degree of importance to shortrun movements of money balances that cannot

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Available upon request from Publications Services, Division of Administrative Services, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551.

be justified. In any event, it is doubtful whether small monthly changes in the stock of money balances have any real meaning for economic activity. The narrowly defined money stock,  $M_1$ , totals at present nearly \$300 billion. Whether that stock increases in any one month to \$301 billion or to \$302 billion—the difference between an annualized growth rate of 4 per cent and one of 8 per cent—is unlikely to have a perceptible impact on the condition of the real economy.

Over longer periods, of course, such technical considerations as seasonal adjustment create fewer difficulties in interpreting movements of the various measures of money balances. But there are other problems of interpretation that must be recognized in evaluating monetary policy. We are living in a world of very rapid change in financial technology. New financial practices have been spreading through our markets for the past 20 or 30 years. Of late, moreover, the innovative process has accelerated, and it appears that the amount of money needed during the past year or two to finance a given dollar volume of GNP has been substantially smaller than would have been the case earlier.

Economists have sought for many years to measure the public's demand for money by relating this magnitude to the level of the gross national product, to interest rates, and to other measurable factors. These money demand relations play an important role in most econometric models of the economy. The Board's staff uses such a model as one tool, among others, in analyzing economic and financial developments. While the money demand equation in this model has fairly often yielded poor predictions for individual quarters, these errors did not tend to cumulate. In other words, predictions for a series of quarters tended to fluctuate around the actual level of the narrowly defined money stock, rather than to diverge progressively from it.

Since the third quarter of 1974, however, this equation has persistently and increasingly overpredicted the amount of money demanded by the public to finance transactions. By the last quarter of 1975, the overprediction had cumulated to \$19 billion—about 6 per cent of the actual level of  $M_1$ . This means that if relation-

ships that existed on the average over the postwar period had continued to hold, growth in  $M_1$  at an annual rate of about 8½ per cent would have been needed during the past six quarters to finance the observed rise in nominal GNP at the interest rates that actually prevailed. The actual growth rate of  $M_1$  during those six quarters was only about half that large.

A number of factors are clearly responsible for the reduction in the amount of money needed to finance the rise in GNP, but their quantitative importance is difficult to ascertain. One important consideration is the rise of interest rates to unprecedented levels in 1974. The attractiveness of high yields on a variety of close substitutes for demand deposits led to the development of new techniques of cash management that have continued in usage since then. As a result, businesses and consumers are now keeping a larger fraction of their transactions and precautionary balances in interest-bearing liquid assets.

Moreover, as I have noted on previous occasions, numerous financial innovations and regulatory changes have facilitated the process of economizing on the sums held in the form of demand deposits. These developments have included the spread of overdraft facilities in banks, increased use by consumers of general-purpose credit cards, the growth of negotiable order of deposit (NOW) accounts in New Hampshire and Massachusetts, the emergence of money market mutual funds, the development of telephonic transfers of funds from savings to checking accounts, and the growing use of savings deposits to pay utility bills, mortgage payments, and other obligations.

One very recent development that has had a considerable impact on the behavior of  $M_1$  was the regulation issued by the banking agencies last November, which enabled partnerships and corporations to open savings accounts at commercial banks in amounts up to \$150,000. This regulatory action was of considerable benefit to small businesses. It also placed commercial banks on a more nearly comparable footing with savings and loan associations, which have long been able to issue such accounts without any limitation on size. A special survey conducted by the Federal Reserve indicates that by January

7 around \$2 billion had already been moved into these new accounts at commercial banks. Since the bulk of these funds probably were held previously as demand balances, this shift of deposits has undoubtedly accounted for a significant part of the weakness of  $M_1$  in late 1975 and early this year.

The relatively slow rate of growth in money balances during recent months has been watched carefully, and at times with considerable concern, by the Federal Reserve. In view of the rather rapid pace of economic expansion, the relative ease of financial markets, and the absence of any evidence of a developing shortage of money and credit, we have been inclined to view the recent sluggish rate of expansion in  $M_1$  as reflecting the influence of various factors that are reducing the amount of narrowly defined money needed to finance economic expansion. However, since we could not be entirely certain of our views, we have taken steps recently to ensure that the rate of monetary expansion does not slow too much or for too long.

During the past 3 months or so, open market policies have therefore been somewhat more accommodative in the provision of reserves to the banking system. This has been reflected in a decline of the Federal funds rate to around 4¾ per cent. Last month, the discount rate was lowered from 6 to 5½ per cent. And on two occasions—in mid-October and again in late December—the Board reduced reserve requirements. These reductions were aimed principally at encouraging a further lengthening of the maturities of time deposits of member banks, but they also released nearly \$700 million of reserves and thus enabled banks to support a higher level of money balances.

In taking these steps, our objective has been to stay on a course of monetary policy that will continue to support a good rate of growth in output and employment, while avoiding excesses that would aggravate inflation and create trouble for the future. We recognize, however, that recent developments with regard to economies in money use make it very difficult to ascertain how much growth in money and credit will be needed in 1976 to achieve our objectives. Substantial further economies of money use could well be realized this year; on the other

hand, resumption of a more normal relationship between the growth of money balances and the growth of GNP is entirely possible.

In light of present conditions in the economy and in financial markets, the Federal Open Market Committee has projected growth ranges of the monetary aggregates for the year ending in the fourth quarter of 1976 that differ only a little from those announced previously. For  $M_2$  and  $M_3$ , the projected growth ranges remain at  $7\frac{1}{2}$  to  $10\frac{1}{2}$  per cent, and 9 to 12 per cent, respectively. The growth range for  $M_1$  has been widened somewhat, to a 4½ to 7½ per cent band. The lowering of the bottom end of the range takes into account, among other factors, the transfer of funds from demand balances to business savings accounts at commercial banks—a development that lowers the growth rate of  $M_1$ , but leaves unaffected the growth rates of  $M_2$  and  $M_3$ .

The profound uncertainties that at present surround monetary developments, particularly the behavior of  $M_1$ , require a posture of exceptional vigilance and flexibility by the Federal Reserve in the months ahead. We believe that the growth ranges we have specified will prove adequate to finance a good expansion of economic activity in 1976. In shaping monetary policy, we will probably need to give more weight under present circumstances to the behavior of broader monetary aggregates than to movements in  $M_1$ . And we must certainly remain alert to the possibility that our longer-run projected ranges may need to be altered in view of ongoing changes in the financial world.

As my colleagues and I have frequently emphasized, the objectives of the Federal Reserve are to assure enough money and credit to finance a good expansion of economic activity and at the same time protect the value of the dollar. If the attainment of these objectives should, in our judgment, require a change of the monetary growth ranges that I have today specified, this committee can be sure that we shall not hesitate to do so.

Let me remind the committee, in this connection, that the growth rates of money and credit presently desired by the Federal Reserve cannot be maintained indefinitely without running a serious risk of releasing new inflationary pressures. As the economy returns to higher rates of resource utilization, it will eventually be necessary to reduce the rate of monetary and credit expansion. The Federal Reserve does not believe the time for such a step has yet arrived. But in view of the strong economic recovery that has been under way since last spring, we must be on our guard.

In closing, let me state once again that our Nation cannot achieve the goal of full employment by pursuing fiscal and monetary policies that rekindle inflationary expectations. Under current conditions, the return to full employment is likely to depend heavily on policies that will serve to reinvigorate the forces of competition and release the great energies of our people. This is why structural reforms of our economy deserve more attention from members of the Congress and students of public policy than they are as yet receiving.

Statement by Brenton C. Leavitt, Director, Division of Banking Supervision and Regulation, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, before the Commerce, Consumer, and Monetary Affairs Subcommittee of the Committee on Government Operations, U.S. House of Representatives, February 3, 1976.

I am pleased to respond to the committee's request for information concerning the adequacy and effectiveness of the examination, supervision, and regulatory functions of the Federal Reserve System. In this connection, I note that the committee indicated a particular interest in these functions as they relate to so-called "problem banks" and "problem bank holding companies."

The term "problem," as it is applied to the lists of banks and bank holding companies recently reported in the Nation's press, is an unfortunate one because in most instances it implies a more serious situation than exists. These lists are maintained internally for purposes of insuring that closer supervisory attention is given those institutions that are experiencing some areas of weakness or that have exposure to stress. Such lists are designed to aid in this process and normally contain a summary of the firm's financial condition, a brief discussion of its weakness or potential difficulty, the supervisory follow-up action taken, and the progress being achieved.

I wish to emphasize that institutions appearing on these lists are rarely in danger of failure. It should be noted that the news stories concerning these banks and bank holding companies were based on information that dealt with the condition of the banks and debtors as it appeared in the depth of this country's most severe recession since the 1930's. The picture looks much brighter now for both banks and their debtors. For the most part, the banks have identified their weaknesses, have instituted corrective action, and have clearly demonstrated the financial capacity and underlying strength to overcome their difficulties.

Having noted our belief that prospects are improved and that the problems are manageable, I do not wish to dismiss the difficulties that were encountered and to some extent still exist. Clearly, the heavy loan losses that have been reported by many major banks are an indication that the difficulties were far from slight. The nagging questions that this raises are: why did these difficulties occur, and who was responsible for them? Our staff at the Federal Reserve believes the underlying cause of the weaknesses that became apparent in the recent recession can, to a significant extent, be traced to the general economic and financial excesses of the early 1970's. These excesses, however, were by no means confined to the banking system. This was a period of rapid growth of the economy and one in which a mood of unbridled optimism prevailed. Much of American business was staffed and influenced by executives who were born in the 1940's, schooled in the 1950's and 1960's, and who had never experienced a severe economic reversal. Mistakes under such circumstances were inevitable. With the advantages of hindsight, it is clear that American business should have proceeded in certain areas with more caution than it did.

One well publicized area that has resulted in

a number of troubled loans for banks is the real estate investment trust (REIT) industry. The REIT—designed to provide needed funding for housing and other real estate projects, and existing because of tax advantages bestowed by the Congress—is an example of the dangers of too much too soon. The enormous volume of funds that were pumped into the construction industry by the REIT's resulted in overbuilding in certain areas and ill-conceived projects in others. These difficulties, together with other stresses in the economy that were exacerbated by the energy crisis, were major factors accounting for the increases in the volume of troubled loans in the portfolios of some of the Nation's banks. That the bankers or the regulators, for that matter, should have had the foresight to anticipate and thus avoid all of these problems is perhaps expecting too much.

Nevertheless, the supervisory process was at work during the period of the early 1970's. Let me summarize for you the broad supervisory steps that the Federal Reserve took during this period:

April 1973—a letter signed by Chairman Burns was sent to the Chief Executive Officer of each State member bank with deposits exceeding \$100 million concerning their loan commitment policy. The letter stated in part that ". . . The apparent large volume of bank commitments currently outstanding and sharply increased takedowns thereunder are indicative of the need for special attention to this subject at this time. . . "

May 1973—a letter signed by Chairman Burns was forwarded to all State member banks requesting their cooperation in assuring that the rate of credit extension be appropriately disciplined. The letter stated in part "Some key segments of the Nation's economy are now growing at an unsustainable pace, thereby adding substantially to inflationary pressures. Since excessive bank loan expansion is a factor in this development, the Federal Reserve last week supplemented its previous policy actions by adopting several regulatory amendments with a view to further curbing such expansion. I am writing to you and to every other member bank today on behalf of the Board to give emphasis to these recent actions and to invite your personal cooperation in assuring that the rate of credit extension by your bank is appropriately disciplined. . . .

June 1973—a letter was sent by Chairman Burns to about 100 foreign-owned banking institutions in the United States. The letter requested cooperation in assuring that the rate of bank credit expansion in the United States was restrained.

September 1974—the Board released a statement on bank lending policies that had been received from its Federal Advisory Council. The letter urged that banks discipline their lending policies so as to exclude loans for speculative purposes.

Beginning in early 1974 and continuing through 1975, the Board began formulating policies concerning bank holding company expansion. A so-called "go slow" policy was adopted because it was believed that managerial and financial resources could often be used more effectively to strengthen the existing operations, particularly in the bank subsidiaries, some of which had experienced sharply declining capital ratios.

In 1974 and 1975 the Board, through its statutory powers concerning applications for foreign expansion, denied a number of applications of major banks stating, in effect, that the capital of the organization should be used to support existing business rather than more expansion.

Moreover, during this time, examiners were examining individual banks and discussing with management any significant problems. When needed, examination personnel were requesting additions to capital, improvement in liquidity, and strengthening of lending policies. Governors of the Federal Reserve were speaking about these problems and urging that remedial steps be taken.

These actions obtained results. A number of banks' and bank holding companies' managements recognized their problems and realigned their lending policies to obtain more sound credit decisions; improved, to the extent possible, their liquidity positions; added to capital by slowing the rate of increase in cash dividends; added to capital funds by sale of subordinated debt; and, finally, adopted more manageable growth and expansion goals. The impact of the recent recession on the banking system would have been much more severe than it was if these actions had not been taken.

Let me now turn to the more specific areas of bank and bank holding company supervision. In discussing the Federal Reserve's supervisory role, the Committee should bear in mind that the System has direct supervisory responsibility for State-chartered banks that are members of the Federal Reserve System and Board-related responsibilities as set forth in the Bank Holding Company Act. For the purposes of our discussion today, I propose to address banks and bank holding companies separately.

Regarding banks, more specifically State member banks, the criteria for "flagging" the institution for special supervisory attention include the quality of the institution's assets, the adequacy of its capital, the strength of its earnings, its liquidity position, and the competency of its management. These considerations are reflected in what is known as a uniform rating system. A detailed description of the rating system is appended hereto. It should be noted that there is considerable flexibility in the assignment of individual ratings, and factors other than those explicitly enumerated in the attached description, particularly earnings and liquidity, are considered.

At the conclusion of each examination of a State member bank, the Reserve Bank rates the condition of the bank on a scale of 1 to 4 based on information developed by the examiners. I have attached a list of ratings of State member banks examined by the Federal Reserve during the years 1971 through 1975 to the extent that the reports have been completed. The Board of Governors does not review or pass on these ratings although it does receive periodic staff reports on the condition of banks in the various categories. Banks determined to be in satisfactory condition in all major respects are given a rating of 1. About 66 per cent of the more than 1,000 State member banks qualify for such a rating.

Banks with one or more deficiencies in asset quality, level of risk assets, management strength, or liquidity, may be given a rating of 2 unless their capital position is strong enough to offset such deficiencies. Banks in this category include many sound institutions that serve their communities very well. Ordinarily, the

managements of these banks respond promptly to examiner criticisms.

Category 3 includes largely those banks having a relatively high volume of loans that need careful attention. Over the past 2 to 3 years, there has been an increase in the number and especially in the size of banks placed in this category. As I mentioned, I believe the underlying cause of this increase can, to a significant extent, be traced to the excesses of the early 1970's that became apparent in the recent recession.

Category 4 includes banks with capital that has been impaired and with aggravated deficiencies present in condition and management. These banks usually require prompt and extensive attention to restore them to satisfactory condition. Only a few State member banks are so rated, less than 5 in any recent year.

While there are a number of banks that have been flagged for special surveillance, the second table illustrates that there has been a significant turnover in individual banks on the list. Since the beginning of 1970, for example, 75 banks have been removed from the special surveillance category while 107 were added. These data demonstrate that most banks, upon recognizing and identifying areas of trouble and potential trouble, are able to institute corrective action and overcome their difficulties. This is an indication of the resiliency of the banking system. We believe that it also illustrates that supervisory efforts on the part of the Federal Reserve are timely and obtain results. Moreover, as economic conditions improve, banks should be able to improve the condition of their loan accounts even more rapidly.

Although the Federal Reserve believes that recent events tend on balance to confirm the appropriateness of its supervisory policies, it nevertheless has been conducting a number of studies to develop even better means for preventing such situations from occurring and for resolving them as soon as possible. Attention has been focused on a number of issues including the following: the attenuation of bank capital produced by the rapid expansion of bank assets partly, but not entirely, induced by inflation; bank liquidity problems, particularly heavy reliance on liability management; a deterioration

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>All attached materials are available on request from Publications Services, Division of Administrative Services, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551.

in the quality of bank assets; increased risk of losses in bond trading departments of banks; and, the improvement and updating of examination techniques and procedures.

As a result of these studies and recent banking developments, the Board has made several legislative proposals and has proposed changes in certain regulations. Steps have also been taken to strengthen and expedite follow-up procedures, and guidelines delineating a graduated range of alternative procedures to be implemented in correcting troublesome cases have been adopted. The steps range from early attempts at "moral suasion" to meetings of the bank supervisors with boards of directors, and, in aggravated cases, the issuance of cease and desist orders. Since 1972, the Board has issued 17 cease and desist orders. The orders have dealt with such problems as deficiencies in loan collection policies, excessive dividends, insider dealings, unsound securities transactions, and so forth.

Turning briefly to the area of bank holding company supervision, I would like to note that the difficulties that have been experienced here are interrelated with bank problems but are also unique in some respects. Although there have been a number of acquisitions of nonbank entities by bank holding companies since the 1970 amendments to the Bank Holding Company Act, in terms of assets or earnings, holding companies, for the most part, are overwhelmingly dominated by their banks.

The Board's interest in bank holding companies is twofold. First, since it is responsible for determining permissible activities, it has a particular interest in the financial soundness of these new ventures and their impact on the over-all stability of the banking system. Secondly, the Board also has responsibility for general oversight of bank holding companies and for considering the financial and managerial resources of individual holding companies in connection with action on applications submitted. In connection with these various responsibilities, the Federal Reserve has undertaken efforts to monitor the financial condition of bank holding companies and their nonbank subsidiaries.

The primary considerations in "flagging" a

bank holding company for special surveillance and monitoring include: the condition of its subsidiary banks; the ability of the parent holding company and its nonbank subsidiaries to meet their cash needs; and the asset condition of significant nonbank subsidiaries as well as the impact of the operations of these entities on the over-all profitability of the organization.

The analytical process focuses on the impact of the parent bank holding company and the nonbank subsidiaries on the subsidiary bank. Experience has indicated that there are three potential hazards. The first relates to the public's identification of the holding company and the nonbank subsidiaries with an affiliated bank and the adverse impact that failure of a nonbank subsidiary may have on the public confidence in the bank. The second arises from the risk that strains in the nonbank subsidiaries and holding company may result in the transfer of inferior assets from the nonbank subsidiaries or parent bank holding company into the bank. The third results from the excessive dependency of the bank holding company upon the subsidiary banks for needed cash flow, generally in the form of dividends.

With respect to the second concern, the Board recently asked the Presidents of the Reserve Banks to forward a letter to the Chief Executive Officer of each bank holding company, noting that the sale of assets from a nonbank subsidiary to a bank could be a violation of Section 23(A) of the Federal Reserve Act. The letter is attached for the committee's information.

The third potential problem is exacerbated by the existence of excessive debt in the holding company, which may cause unduly large dividends to be paid by the bank-to its own detriment. One method that may contribute to such a condition is a technique called "bootstrapping." Briefly, "bootstrapping" is a process whereby the holding company, with the proceeds of loans that are generally secured by stock of the subsidiary banks, purchases its own shares, thereby reducing its net worth and increasing its debts. On December 11, 1975, the Board published for comment a proposed amendment to its holding company regulation designed to deal with this specific problem. A copy of that proposed amendment is attached.

The Board believes that the bank holding company should serve as a source of strength for its subsidiary banks. In those few cases where the operation of the bank holding company constitutes a threat or potential drain on the strength of the bank, as I outlined above, that holding company is designated for special surveillance.

In January 1975, 35 separate bank holding companies were included on the Federal Reserve's list of bank holding companies receiving more than normal supervisory attention. With respect to individual companies included in the January 1975 report, improvement in the economy and management's awareness of their respective problems as well as the implementation of corrective programs have ameliorated many of the adverse conditions indicated in that report.

At the present time, the Board's staff is monitoring the condition of 63 bank holding companies, some of which were included in the January 1975 report. While the total number of companies has increased, it should be remembered that improvement relating to certain types of loans and to certain regional economies typically lags behind recovery in the national economy. Therefore, we feel confident that continued improvements in the national economy and vigorous supervision will result in a reduction in the number of holding companies requiring supervisory attention.

In discharging its responsibilities as outlined in the Bank Holding Company Act, the Board has at its disposal a number of supervisory tools, which can be employed to meet specific objectives. Perhaps the most effective supervisory measure available to the Board is its statutory authority to permit or deny bank holding company acquisitions and expansion. Denial of applications or conditioned approvals have proven to be valuable in achieving correction

of troublesome bank holding company situations.

In addition to the applications process, the staff of the Federal Reserve meets with selected holding company managements to discuss unsatisfactory trends and to review progress under corrective programs that are in place. In some five cases where it was deemed warranted, the Reserve Bank has entered into agreements in writing with holding companies. Such agreements set forth certain conditions and outline corrective measures. In aggravated cases, the Board has also used its authority under the Financial Institutions Supervisory Act. Since it received such authority in 1974, the Board has taken ten cease and desist actions against bank holding companies.

We believe that the present remedies available to the Federal Reserve are sufficient to effect correction in the most troublesome areas. Nevertheless, as a result of a continuous review of the bank holding company movement and its effect on the banking system, we fully expect that, from time to time, the Federal Reserve will seek new legislation designed to deal with the changing environment. One item of legislation that would be especially helpful would be authority to assess civil penalties for violations of the Bank Holding Company Act. That and other legislation was recommended to Senator McIntyre by Chairman Burns in his letter of September 5, 1975.

In conclusion, let me reiterate that while there are banks and bank holding companies in the United States with some fairly serious asset problems, we believe that both banks and bank holding companies have demonstrated the capacity to correct these difficulties given a reasonable period of time. Furthermore, we believe that supervisory efforts of the Federal Reserve prevented the development of more serious situations and have helped to prompt the remedial actions now under way.

Additional statements follow.

Statement by Brenton C. Leavitt, Director, Division of Banking Supervision and Regulation, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, before the Subcommittee on Antitrust and Monopoly of the Committee on the Judiciary, U.S. Senate, February 4, 1976.

Mr. Chairman and members of this distinguished committee, it is indeed an honor for me to appear before this committee on behalf of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System. As I understand my function here today, it is to share with you some experiences the Board has had in its regulatory capacity. Specifically, I will be commenting on a portion of Section 3(a) of the proposed Competition Improvements Act of 1975. The Board has submitted its views on all sections of the legislation in a separate report to Senator Eastland. I do not intend my remarks to be for or against passage of the proposed Act, but hope to be of service to the legislative process by sharing our experience with statutory frameworks that are very similar to one part of Section 3(a) of the Competition Improvements Act.

Section 3(a) of the proposed Act requires that ". . . no agency shall take any action . . . the result or effect of which may tend to create or maintain a situation inconsistent with the policies or provisions of the antitrust laws unless it finds that . . . the anticompetitive effects are clearly outweighed in the public interest by significant and demonstrable benefits to the general public. . . . " Since their amendments in 1966, the Bank Merger Act and the Bank Holding Company Act have mandated the Board of Governors to operate under a similar standard: "The Board shall not approve any acquisition or merger or consolidation under this section which would . . . be in restraint of trade, unless it finds that the anticompetitive effects of the proposed transaction are clearly outweighed in the public interest by the probable effect of the transaction in meeting the convenience and needs of the community to be served." In addition, the 1970 amendments to the Bank Holding Company Act authorized the Board to pass on certain "nonbank" acquisitions by bank holding companies. The Bank Holding Company Act, as amended in 1970, instructs the Board that: "In determining whether a particular activity is a proper incident to banking or managing or controlling banks the Board shall consider whether its performance by an affiliate of a holding company can reasonably be expected to produce benefits to the public . . . that outweigh possible adverse effects, such as . . . decreased or unfair competition. . . ." Thus, the Federal Reserve Board has had firsthand experience in applying a statutory standard which, like Section 3(a) of the proposed Competition Improvements Act of 1975, requires the balancing of anticompetitive effects against other public interest considerations.

I think it may be helpful to note at this point that although the Board balances positive and negative factors in reaching the typical administrative decision under the Bank Holding Company Act, the Board has no authority to apply a balancing test to the most extreme anticompetitive situation—that is, where the proposed acquisition would result in monopoly. Section 3(c) of the Bank Holding Company Act says flatly that:

The Board shall not approve any acquisition or merger or consolidation under this section which would result in a monopoly, or which would be in furtherance of any combination or conspiracy to monopolize or to attempt to monopolize the business of banking in any part of the United States. . . .

Thus, if the Board were ever presented with an acquisition proposal that would result in monopoly or a conspiracy or attempt to monopolize, it would not be free to balance these harsh anticompetitive effects against positive convenience and needs factors. Generally, the Board understands its role in balancing the issues to mean that significant anticompetitive effects—short of monopoly—must be clearly overcome by substantial and demonstrable public interest considerations.

During the 10-year period 1966 through 1975, the Board and the 12 Federal Reserve Banks decided over 2,300 banking cases. Approximately 500 of these cases were decided by the Reserve Banks under authority delegated to them by the Board beginning in 1972. These cases involved the formations of bank holding companies, the acquisitions of additional banks

by existing bank holding companies, and certain mergers between banks. In denying 163 of these applications, it was the Board's judgment that other public interest factors did not outweigh likely anticompetitive effects or unsound banking and financial situations. These denials also served as a useful way of communicating to the industry the types of cases that would not satisfy the public interest criteria of the statutes. The Board also believes that, on balance, the public interest was served by approval of the large number of applications during the 10-year period. As evidence tending to show that these approved banking cases did not have net anticompetitive effects, it should be noted that only 17 of approximately 2,200 cases approved by the System were subsequently challenged by the Justice Department as violative of antitrust laws. Moreover, of the six cases that were tried, none resulted in a judicial determination that the antitrust laws had been violated. It would appear from these figures that during the 1966-75 period, banking cases were decided by the Board in a manner consistent with antitrust standards. This record was achieved within the framework of statutes that mandate a balancing of competitive and other public interest factors. A similar balancing approach is proposed in the Competition Improvements Act of 1975.

The Federal Reserve System has also made a large number of decisions in "nonbank" cases. These cases include bank holding company acquisitions of mortgage banking companies, consumer finance companies, and other "nonbank" companies pursuant to the amended Bank Holding Company Act. As mentioned earlier, the 1970 amendments require the Board to weigh expected public benefits against possible adverse effects, including decreased or unfair competition. During the 5-year period 1971 through 1975, the System approved approximately 2,100 and denied 45 "nonbank" acquisitions. It should be noted at this point that over 1,600 of these "nonbank" cases were approved by the Reserve Banks under delegated authority and involved the establishment of de novo offices rather than the acquisition of going concerns. To the Board's knowledge, none of the approved acquisitions has been challenged as violative of antitrust law. On the basis of the record, it would appear that the "nonbanking" cases were decided in a manner consistent with antitrust and public interest standards.

The Board also wishes to comment on the nature of the convenience and needs factors and public benefits that it has taken into consideration pursuant to its statutory mandates. The Board believes that such public interest factors have been substantial, and thus further illustrate that the balancing-of-interests approach, which is proposed in Section 3(a) of the Competition Improvements Act, may be an important administrative tool in serving the public interest.

Some of the specific benefits claimed by applicants are: (1) the introduction of new banking or nonbanking services to a community, (2) increases in banking hours and banking days, (3) the introduction or upgrading of electronic data processing facilities, (4) the lowering of service charges or prices on bank and nonbank product lines, (5) the upgrading of managerial resources, (6) increases in capital, and (7) the opening of new offices. These examples are offered in support of the Board's view that public interest factors have real substance and are thus appropriate considerations in the regulatory process. Moreover, the Board and its staff analyze all claimed public benefits to determine their substance and their relevance to the affected communities. For a more detailed examination of the nature and importance of public interest factors in the Board's regulatory process, I offer for the record three papers that originated within the Federal Reserve System in past years.1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Brenton C. Leavitt, "What the Fed Likes to See in an Application," speech presented at a conference on "The Emerging Ground Rules for Bank Expansion," sponsored by *The Bankers Magazine* and *Banking Law Journal*, Washington, D.C., Dec. 10, 1973; M. Jessee and S. Seelig, "An Analysis of the Public Benefits Test of the Bank Holding Company Act," *Monthly Review* of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York (June 1974); and Jeffrey M. Bucher, "Public Interest Factors and the Bank Holding Company Act," speech presented to the Bank Counsel Seminar of the California Bankers Association (Santa Barbara, California, Apr. 26, 1974).

Statement by Robert C. Holland, Member, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, before the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs, U.S. Senate, February 5, 1976.

I am pleased to appear before this committee on behalf of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System to review the System's performance in supervising the banking institutions under its jurisdiction. I know that the committee is particularly interested in how banks experiencing financial difficulty are identified and treated by the regulatory agencies.

As we are all aware, there have been a number of unauthorized disclosures and much comment recently in the press about banks and bank holding companies that have been placed on the so-called "problem" lists by the supervisory authorities. Indeed, such disclosures, to some extent, prompted these hearings and are responsible for my being here before you today. The Board, therefore, welcomes this opportunity to assure the committee and the American public that the U.S. banking system remains sound and that the Federal Reserve has been responsive to its supervisory responsibilities with respect to the more than 1,000 State member banks in our system.

However, before beginning my discussion of the specific areas in which the committee has expressed an interest, I wish to make a few brief comments about the lists of so-called "problem banks" and "problem bank holding companies." As many of the representatives from the regulatory agencies have been quick to point out, the term "problem" as it relates to these institutions is an unfortunate one in that it implies to the public a more severe condition than actually exists in most cases. The majority of banking organizations appearing on the lists maintained by the Federal Reserve are institutions that have encountered some difficulties and that have been identified as being in need of more than the usual degree of supervisory attention and monitoring. But, these institutions are not in imminent danger of failure. On the contrary, most have identified their problems, have demonstrated the capacity to overcome

them, and are making substantial progress. These positive steps, coupled with the improving trend in economic activity and the substantial reduction in the rate of inflation that is being achieved, make the prospects for the future of the economy, and, therefore, the banking system, brighter than has been the case for some time.

While we believe that the Nation's banks are generally well able to cope with their loan and asset problems, we do not wish to treat lightly the difficulties that were encountered and that, to some extent, still exist. The seeds of these difficulties were sown in the early 1970's when the banking system and the economy were growing at unsustainable rates. With the advantage of hindsight, it is clear that there were a number of mistakes made during this period.

Among those mistakes were: the overstimulation of the construction industry brought about to a significant degree by the proliferation of real estate investment trusts (REIT's); the failure to recognize and prepare for the impending energy crisis; the inadequacy of fiscal planning among many of the Nation's cities and political subdivisions; and, finally, the establishment of growth rather than quality goals by some banking institutions. It is quite clear that these mistakes are the underlying cause of the heavy volume of troubled loans and investments in the portfolios of some of the Nation's banks. To suggest that bankers themselves or the bank supervisors should have had the foresight to anticipate all of the problems and thus avoid them is to expect a great deal, especially in the climate of unbridled optimism that prevailed at the time.

By way of caveat, it would be unfair if I did not point out that some of the growth of the banking system that took place during this period resulted from inflationary pressures. In our environment of double-digit inflation, for example, many public utilities and others turned to the banking system when they were unable to obtain needed funding from internal sources or through the capital markets. To their credit, many banks, though already feeling the pressure of excessive loan demand, met these needs. These actions aside, however, there were clearly some excesses.

The Federal Reserve did recognize fairly early the hazards of the speed and direction in which financial institutions were moving. A number of supervisory steps designed to slow and focus banking growth were taken. Those steps included:

April 1973—a letter signed by Chairman Burns was sent to the Chief Executive Officer of each State member bank with deposits exceeding \$100 million concerning their loan commitment policy. The letter stated in part that "... The apparent large volume of bank commitments currently outstanding and sharply increased takedowns thereunder are indicative of the need for special attention to this subject at this time.

May 1973— a letter signed by Chairman Burns was forwarded to all State member banks requesting their cooperation in assuring that the rate of credit extension be appropriately disciplined. The letter stated in part "Some key segments of the Nation's economy are now growing at an unsustainable pace, thereby adding substantially to inflationary pressures. Since excessive bank loan expansion is a factor in this development, the Federal Reserve last week supplemented its previous policy actions by adopting several regulatory amendments with a view to further curbing such expansion. I am writing to you and every other member bank today on behalf of the Board to give emphasis to these recent actions and to invite your personal cooperation in assuring that the rate of credit extension by your bank is appropriately disciplined. . . .

June 1973—a letter was sent by Chairman Burns to about 100 foreign-owned banking institutions in the United States. The letter requested cooperation in assuring that the rate of bank credit expansion in the United States is restrained.

September 1974—the Board released a statement on bank lending policies that had been received from its Federal Advisory Council. The letter urged that banks discipline their lending policies so as to exclude loans for speculative purposes.

Beginning in early 1974 and continuing through 1975, the Board began formulating policies concerning bank holding company expansion. A so-called "go slow" policy was adopted because it was believed that managerial and financial resources could often be used more effectively to strengthen the existing operations, particularly in the bank subsidiaries, some of which had experienced sharply declining capital ratios.

In 1974 and 1975, the Board through its statutory powers concerning applications for foreign expansion, denied a number of applications of major banks stating, in effect, that the capital of the organization should be used to support existing business rather than more expansion.

Moreover, during this time examiners were examining individual banks and discussing with management any significant problems. When needed, examination personnel were requesting additions to capital, improvement in liquidity, and strengthening of lending policies. Governors of the Federal Reserve made public addresses about these problems and urged that remedial steps be taken.

These actions obtained results. A number of banks' and bank holding companies' managements recognized their problems and realigned their lending policies to obtain better credit decisions; improved, to the extent possible, their liquidity positions; added to capital by slowing the rate of increase in cash dividends; added to capital funds by sale of subordinated debt; and, finally, adopted more manageable growth and expansion goals. The impact of the recent recession on the banking system would have been much more severe than it was, if these actions had not been taken.

I would be remiss if I did not point out that the banking system, to its credit, is making good progress in working its way out of these difficulties without the benefit of massive Government assistance. As you may recall, there was considerable discussion this past year about the need for establishing a Reconstruction Finance Corporation (RFC) program to provide assistance to troubled firms in a variety of industries and activities that had borrowed in excess of their debt servicing capacities. This does not seem necessary now since the banks have demonstrated their capacity to arrange for orderly workout of loans in many problem cases, and, where this was not possible to absorb the necessary losses through earnings power and still continue as viable, sound institutions.

Let me turn now to the more specific areas in which the committee has expressed an interest. I have submitted, for the record, information concerning the details of some of the procedures, tests, and methodology employed in the examination of a bank for which the committee made inquiry. For the purposes of this testimony, however, I will touch on the broader aspects of bank supervision, will bring the committee up to date on what we are doing to improve it, and discuss some of our broad areas of concern.

In the process of identifying those banks that are in need of more than the usual degree of supervision or monitoring, consideration is given to the quality of the bank's assets, the adequacy of its capital, the strength of its earnings, its liquidity position, and the competency of its management. Although there are benchmark measurements for some of these factors, as illustrated in the attached description of the uniform system for rating banks,1 considerable judgment by individuals with years of experience is brought to bear in the final decision as to whether or not a particular institution should be considered as warranting special surveillance. The determination of the need for special surveillance may be based on the presence of an existing or a potential problem.

At the conclusion of each examination of a State member bank, the Reserve Bank rates the condition of the bank on a scale of 1 to 4, based on information developed by the examiners. I have attached a list of ratings of State member banks examined by the Federal Reserve during the years 1971 through 1975 to the extent the reports have been completed. The Board of Governors does not review or pass on these ratings although it does receive periodic staff reports on the condition of banks in the various categories. Banks determined to be in satisfactory condition in all major respects are given a rating of 1. About 66 per cent of the more than 1,000 State member banks qualify for such a rating.

Banks with one or more deficiencies in asset quality, level of risk assets, management strength, or liquidity, may be given a rating of 2 unless their capital position is strong enough to offset such deficiencies. Banks in this category include many sound institutions that serve their communities very well. Ordinarily, the managements of these banks respond promptly to examiner criticisms.

Category 3 includes largely those banks having a relatively high volume of loans that need careful attention. Over the past 2 to 3 years, there has been an increase in the number and especially in the size of banks placed in this category. As I mentioned, I believe the underlying cause of this increase can, to a signficant extent, be traced to the inflationary excesses of the early 1970's that became apparent in the recent recession.

Category 4 includes banks with capital that has been impaired and with aggravated deficiencies present in condition and management. These banks usually require prompt and extensive attention to restore them to satisfactory condition. Only a few State member banks are so rated, less than five in any recent year.

While there are a number of banks that have been flagged for special surveillance, there has been a significant turnover in individual banks on the list. Since the beginning of 1970, for example, 75 banks have been removed from the special surveillance category, while 107 were added. These data demonstrate that most banks, upon recognizing and identifying areas of trouble and potential trouble, are able to institute corrective action and overcome their difficulties. This is an indication of the resiliency of the banking system. We believe that it also illustrates that supervisory efforts on the part of the Federal Reserve are timely and obtain results. Moreover, as economic conditions improve, banks should be able to improve the condition of their loan accounts even more rapidly.

We also note the committee's interest in the foreign activities of U.S. banks. This is an area of increasing importance, as evidenced by the fact that assets of foreign branches of U.S. banks increased from \$47 billion in December 1970 to more than \$166 billion by September 1975. As further evidence of the increased volume of foreign activities by U.S. banks, a few of the larger banking institutions of the United States reported that upwards of one-half of their total income last year represented income from

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>All attached materials are available on request from Publications Services, Division of Administrative Services, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551.

foreign activities. Clearly, this is an appropriate area of inquiry for the committee.

The condition of every overseas branch of a State member bank is reviewed during the annual examination of the bank. This review takes two forms: either it is conducted exclusively at the head office based on the reports and information there; or, head office records are scrutinized in connection with an on-site examination of the foreign branches. Whether conducted at the head office or on-site, the methodology in reviewing the operations of foreign branches is fundamentally the same as that employed for domestic offices. Federal Reserve examiners conduct a careful review of loans and other risk assets to determine their collectibility. Of equal importance is a review of audit reports to determine the range and effectiveness of the internal controls in place at the overseas branches and to ascertain the scope and accuracy of the data forwarded to the head office for management and supervisory use.

Emphasis is still concentrated on scrutiny of head office records since, in Federal Reserve experience, an understanding of the operations of overseas branches necessarily involves the head office. While credits are on the books of overseas branches, they may well have been negotiated and concluded at the head office and supervision of the credit may be the responsibility of the head office. In addition, the senior lending officers who approve major credits and formulate the bank's lending policies are usually located at the head office. The examiners need to review reports from the overseas offices at the head office where they can determine how branch operations mesh into the bank's over-all operations and reporting systems and where they can determine how head office management exercises control and supervision over the foreign branches.

Periodically, examiners are sent to the principal overseas branches of State member banks in order to gain first-hand experience with branch records, the market conditions in which they operate, and with local branch management. While at the branch, the examiners also try to satisfy themselves that the credit, operating, and audit reports sent to the head office are accurate and complete. Information obtained

at the branches is then compared with that at the head offices. This forms another basis for discovering deficiencies in internal reporting and management systems, which can then be called to the attention of senior management for correction.

When on-site examinations are conducted, an area of operations given particularly close attention is money market and foreign exchange trading. The internal controls in place in this area are carefully scrutinized so as to insure that unauthorized transactions or losses do not go undetected. The records of past transactions are reviewed to determine that they were within the guidelines established by senior management and that exceptions to bank policies were reported to responsible bank officials.

The character of overseas branch banking is changing rapidly. As the volume of business has grown, American banks have found it necessary to delegate greater credit and operational authority to officers in the overseas branches. As a result, information at the head offices on many borrowers at the branches is no longer so current and complete. Because of this and the generally increased complexity of international operations, supervisory practices within the Federal Reserve System are being reviewed and revised. While scrutiny of banks' foreign branches from head office records will continue, it is clearly recognized that more frequent on-site examinations of foreign branches may be required. Some will be general in scope; others, confined to specific segments of branch operations.

In some countries, of course, laws prevent on-site examinations. For the branches in these countries, supervision will necessarily be centered on assuring that sufficient information is obtainable at head offices where it can be reviewed by examiners. Over the longer run, international cooperation among banking authorities may result in different ways of mitigating this problem.

We have submitted, for the record, a table indicating the coverage of on-site examinations of foreign full service branches of State member banks in the years 1971–75. Other foreign branches were not ignored during these years; rather, their activities were reviewed at the banks' head offices, as explained earlier.

I wish to briefly discuss bank holding companies and the Board's action with respect to its responsibilities under the Bank Holding Company Act. Although there have been a large number of acquisitions of nonbank entities by bank holding companies since the 1970 amendments to the Bank Holding Company Act, it should be remembered that bank holding company organizations, for the most part, continue to be overwhelmingly dominated by their banks. The Board, however, recognizes that some of the bank-related industries, most notably mortgage banking, have resulted in difficulties for a few holding companies. In response to these and other developments, the Federal Reserve has stepped up its monitoring and surveillance efforts.

In discharging its responsibilities as primary regulator of bank holding companies, the Federal Reserve has at its disposal a number of supervisory tools that can be employed to meet specific objectives, although fewer than the Congress has provided for dealing with banks. These range from "moral suasion" to denial of applications and, in aggravated cases, issuance of cease and desist orders.

We believe that the present remedies available to the Federal Reserve are sufficient to effect correction in the most troublesome areas. Nevertheless, as a result of continuous review of the bank holding company movement and its effect on the banking system, we fully expect that, from time to time, the Federal Reserve will seek new legislation designed to deal with the changing environment. One item of legislation that would be especially helpful would be authority to assess civil penalties for violations of the Bank Holding Company Act. That and other legislation was recommended to Senator McIntyre by Chairman Burns in his letter of September 5, 1975.

In pointing up some of the difficulties that a few bank holding companies have encountered, I do not wish to minimize the strengths of many and the contributions that have been made. The bank holding company movement has resulted in improved competition in certain sectors; has caused an increase in levels of service in some areas thereby better meeting the convenience and needs of the public; has pro-

vided the vehicle for raising capital needed by the subsidiary banks; and has resulted in better management of some banks, particularly smaller institutions. The Board believes that the bank holding company movement, on balance, has been in the public interest if all factors are taken into account.

Finally, I would like to turn to the very difficult subject of disclosure. Some argue that bank examination reports should be in the public domain, citing fears that financial institutions are protected by a cloak of secrecy. This is just not so. The fact is that the banking system is one of the most highly regulated industries in the United States. Disclosure requirements for banks are very extensive. Banks, by statute, are required to file with the supervisory agencies and to publish in the local press a quarterly Report of Condition. It should be noted that supervisory agencies are in the process of expanding the information contained in this and other reports that are available to the public. In addition to these sources of information, most of the large bank holding companies are registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission and are subject to reporting requirements under the Securities Exchange Act. As anyone who has leafed through a 10-K report is aware, the disclosure requirements are vast. Still more information is available on individual banks in the prospectus that is filed whenever new capital is publicly marketed and in reports filed with Federal bank regulators under the securities laws. In addition, the long-term debt of many bank holding companies is rated by the rating services and much data and analyses are available from market analysts. We favor still more disclosure, but of standard information of the type revealed by other corporations, not confidential examination data.

There is no dearth of information concerning the activities of America's banks. The issue, therefore, is not one of disclosure, per se, but is the much more narrow issue of the desirability of disclosure of supervisory reports. The examination process is one that has evolved over a number of years and many of the practices and procedures are time tested. The bank examiner has free access to all of the bank's records, and most bankers, recognizing the confidentiality of their remarks, discuss very candidly the bank's most intimate affairs with the examiner.

Disclosure of the examiners' reports would undoubtedly change the candid relationship between the banker and the examiner and thus change the examination process itself. We should carefully consider whether or not we are prepared to risk these changes, particularly in light of the fact that these processes and procedures have served both the banking system and the public well for a number of years.

With respect to the specific disclosures to

which I referred in my opening remarks, I believe it is too early to assess the impact of such revelations on those organizations and possibly on public confidence in the banking system. It would be extremely unfortunate if the reputations of those institutions are tarnished to such an extent as to interfere with their ability to effectively complete the corrective actions that they now have under way. In the long term these disclosures could prove to be counterproductive to the interests of the banking system and to the Nation's economic recovery.

Statement by Henry C. Wallich, Member, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, before the Committee on the Budget, U.S. House of Representatives, February 6, 1976.

It is a privilege for me to appear before this committee today. First of all, let me take this occasion to commend the work achieved last year by the Budget Committees. The implementation of the new Congressional Budget Act, I believe, is off to an excellent start. The growing acceptance of your new procedures will help the Congress to consider the Federal budget as a whole and to evaluate its economic implications. Your committee is playing a vital part in this accomplishment of great importance to the American economy.

Over the last 9 months the U.S. economy has again demonstrated its capacity to recover from economic adversity. The vigorous pace of the recovery is indicated by the nearly 9 per cent average annual rate of growth in real GNP that occurred during the second half of last year. This is the fastest growth rate in any half-year period since the cyclical upturn in late 1958. The recent growth in economic activity was accompanied by a 2.1 million increase in total employment from the low point reached last March. Nevertheless, the rate of unemployment in January remained at the distressingly high level of 7.8 per cent. In part the slow progress in reducing unemployment reflects a 1½ per cent increase in the labor force during 1975, considerably in excess of historical precedent for this stage of the business cycle. Families' real incomes and savings had been eroded by inflation, and efforts to bolster them helped to increase the number of persons seeking jobs.

The recent gains in economic activity have been based on expanding demands in most areas of the economy. Increases in employment and reduced tax burdens last year raised real disposable income for the first time since 1973, and thus supported higher aggregate consumption. Most recently, high retail sales during the Christmas season and continued large consumer purchases in January gave evidence of underlying strength in this sector. Housing, as you know, has also staged a substantial recovery, especially for single-family units. Activity in this sector started from such a low point, however, that residential construction still is relatively weak. The continuing high volume of exports, despite reduced economic activity abroad, indicates a new competitiveness for American goods and services, and bodes well for rising demands from this source in the future.

Changes in business inventory investment strongly interacted with movements in final demands during 1975 and accounted for a large portion of the cyclical swing during the year. Data for the fourth quarter indicate that the huge inventory liquidation that depressed economic activity in the first half of the year has about run its course. In many lines of nondurable goods, inventory/sales ratios are close to his-

toric lows and inventories of these products are generally being replenished. Stocks of durable goods are still being reduced, but over all the prospects are for a return to inventory accumulation during 1976.

Investment in plant and equipment, while sharply reduced during the recession, showed its first increase in real terms last quarter. Such increases are likely to gain momentum as higher levels of economic activity make their impact on business sales. I am aware of the very cautious investment plans reported for 1976 in the December Commerce Department survey, but I think it most likely that businessmen will revise their plans upward on the basis of favorable sales and profits experiences as has happened in prior cyclical recoveries.

State and local governments as a group continued last year to increase moderately their purchases of goods and services in real terms. This happened despite the well-known financial difficulties of some individual units. Employment in this sector, moreover, rose 5 per cent from its 1974 average, partly reflecting Federal inducements to public service employment.

On the price side, some moderation was achieved last year. Nevertheless, progress in reducing inflation has been disappointing, considering the extent of slack in the economy. The current rate of inflation, broadly viewed, appears to be on the order of 7 per cent a year. While this represents a very sizable reduction from the 12 per cent inflation rate in late 1974, a significant part of the moderation during this period has been due to diminishing pressures in special areas, such as oil, farm products, and other raw materials. Moreover, a great deal of the reduction in the pace of inflation occurred in the first half of 1975. More recently there has been a disturbing pick-up in prices of industrial commodities at the wholesale level. From now on, further reductions in the rate of inflation will be more difficult to achieve because prices will tend to reflect unit labor costs. While increases in labor compensation moderated somewhat during 1975 to around 8 per cent, they were still far above the pace of long-term productivity gains. This upward pressure on wages has been indicative of an effort to maintain real incomes in the face of still substantial price advances. Thus wages and prices continue to push each other up.

Real wage increases, over time, will tend to match productivity gains. Good increases in productivity should accompany a sustained recovery. The resultant pronounced improvement in real wages should lessen nominal wage demands. This would permit a winding down of the wage-price spiral.

More generally, prospects for continued deceleration of inflation depend on avoiding renewed overheating of the economy. The consensus view now is that recovery will proceed at a moderate rate through this year. This will help to avoid the rekindling of inflationary pressures. Diminishing inflation will be an essential factor in sustaining the expansion over a prolonged period.

In evaluating the outlook for private spending, it is relevant to consider the progress that has been made in correcting the serious financial imbalances carried over from the late 1960's and early 1970's. These arose as a consequence of accelerating inflation and the rising interest rates that inevitably grew out of that pattern of price behavior. Household wealth in real terms was reduced, as the purchasing power of fixed dollar claims declined and as common stocks lost value even in terms of current dollars. Business cash flow was impaired because bookkeeping profits—reflecting inventory gains and underdepreciation—occasioned higher taxes, without providing spendable funds to business. The unbalanced financial position of many enterprises limited their ability to finance capital expansion by borrowing, while at the same time it became more difficult to build equity, whether by selling new shares or by retaining earnings.

To overcome these financial injuries, businesses and households worked hard last year at rebuilding liquidity and net worth. Household saving rates remained well above historic levels, while businesses used the funds obtained through heavy borrowings in long-term markets to retire a large amount of short-term debt. They also limited their capital expenditures to levels nearly matching internally generated funds. Financial institutions, including banks, went through a similar process of improving their liquidity and their capital positions.

Over time, successful financial restructuring may accelerate household and business spending. In order to improve their financial position, these units may have postponed purchases of durables and capital equipment. Once they feel more comfortable financially, they may well be disposed to make up these backlogs. That possibility imparts an underlying strength to the economic outlook. It also poses a risk, however, that spending could accelerate unduly as the economy approaches higher levels of capacity utilization. This outlook reinforces the case for a policy of moderation in the ongoing expansion.

The main task of over-all fiscal policy in promoting and protecting a sustainable recovery, under the circumstances, is to bring down the massive current Federal deficit. A fiscal posture appropriate to the requirements of such a recovery will also serve to maintain balance in financial markets. As recovery progresses, these markets will need scope to supply funds that match the expanding needs of private borrowers. An excessive deficit would run the risk, first of once more generating excessive expansionary pressures and eventually, if interest rates should be driven up through competition for funds, bringing the expansion to a premature end. The budget proposed by the President plans a substantial diminution of the present large deficit, and thus meets the need to move toward budgetary balance. In terms of the hypothetical budget that would obtain if the economy were operating at full employment, the present substantial deficit is expected to shift, in the course of fiscal year 1977, to a small surplus.

Apart from these comments on the over-all budget stance, I would also like to react to the concept of curtailing budget expenditures and cutting taxes by matching amounts. Even though economists generally maintain that such simultaneous cutbacks on both sides of the budget tend to be dampening rather than neutral with respect to economic activity, this negative effect is minimized in the budget proposals because a substantial portion of the spending restraints occur in the area of transfer payments rather than in that of purchases of goods and services. Restraint on Government transfer expenditures is not likely to restrain the expendi-

tures of the beneficiaries by quite the same amount. On the other hand, taxes foregone by the Government are not likely to raise taxpayers' spending by a fully equal amount. The effects on over-all activity of the proposed matching spending and tax cuts, therefore, are likely to be small. Given this, the merits of the proposals need to be judged on grounds of the support they would lend to the long-term strength of our economy rather than on their short-run effects on economic activity.

I now would like to turn to monetary policy. In the present expansion the aims of monetary policy have been to help provide the needed financial support for recovery and to contribute to the rebuilding of liquidity, which was essential for the resumption of sustained economic growth. At the same time, monetary policy has sought to avoid actions that could supply the financial tinder for a new burst of inflation. We believe that these have been the appropriate policy objectives, and the Board would favor continuing to steer a middle course that seeks to fulfill these principal goals.

Since the spring of last year, as you know, the Federal Reserve has been formulating its policy orientation for a year ahead in terms of ranges for broad monetary aggregates and has been reporting these to the Banking Committees of each house.

The Federal Reserve has always maintained that targets of this nature must be subject to review and administered with flexibility. At the present time, these considerations are more important than usual due to the difficulty that we have experienced in recent months in interpreting the meaning of the sluggish growth of  $M_1$ . The selection of growth rates of monetary aggregates as objectives rests on the presumption of substantial regularities in the holdings of these assets by the public as related to other economic conditions. As Chairman Burns described in his recent testimony, some of the relationships-previously reasonably predictable—among money supply, GNP, and interest rates appear to have changed over the last year. Rapidly spreading new financial practices have led to substantially increased efficiencies in the use of checking accounts and seem to have permitted a very modest expansion in  $M_1$  during

the second half of last year to support a large increase in GNP, even while short-term interest rates were declining.

The proper growth path of the monetary aggregates will need to be appraised carefully as the year progresses. Economies in the use of money could spread further this year. But reestablishment of more traditional relationships among the narrowly defined money supply, GNP, and interest rates is also conceivable. In view of these uncertainties, it seems appropriate to give increased emphasis to the broader monetary aggregates as well as to credit conditions in gauging the stance of monetary policy.

In evaluating the current monetary target ranges it is important to note that these ranges are well above the long-term monetary requirements of a noninflationary economy. They are larger because weight has been given to the short-run needs for economic recovery and to the financial demands generated by recent increases in nominal GNP.

A due concern with the long-run outlook requires policy-makers to consider also the important decisions that will have to be made with respect to the division of output of our economy between consumption and investment. Specifically, I share the concern, voiced by others, that insufficient resources may be devoted to productive investment in years to come. Further, I believe that the Congress, as it decides tax and spending policies, will have an important role in determining whether and how severe a capital shortage may develop.

Several developments would seem to imply enlarged capital needs in coming years. Among them is the need for reduced pollution and for more investment in industrial health and safety. Higher energy prices have made investment in domestic energy production more economically feasible and have provided many incentives for U.S. households and industry to invest in means

of economizing on energy usage. Finally and most importantly, the need to provide jobs for a growing labor force will require a considerable expansion of productive capacity. This need for greater capacity was underscored by the bottlenecks encountered in many industries in 1973 and 1974.

The financial and real resources needed to bring about higher rates of private investment in an economy approaching high capacity utilization will have to come from higher rates of saving. It is not certain that private saving will be adequate, particularly if saving rates return to more traditional levels. The Federal Government thus may be called upon to play a vital role in bridging the gap between private saving and desirable levels of investment.

This could be accomplished if the Federal Government were to achieve a surplus in its budget as the economy approaches full employment. A budget surplus is a form of Government saving that would make resources available for use in the private sector. With the Federal Government a net supplier of funds to the credit market, rather than a net user, there would be downward pressures on interest rates. More credit would be available to businesses, homeowners, and consumers. The channelling of this increased supply to finance investment would be facilitated by tax devices such as the deferral of the tax on personal income devoted to equity acquisitions proposed by the President that would encourage the issuance of capital stock by corporations.

Long-range budgetary policy is then seen to take on added importance. As we leave recession behind us, the full employment status of the budget will be a key determinant of interest rates. It will have a stong impact on the availability of capital to meet our needs. As you review the 5-year projection of the budget, I urge you to keep these long-run needs in mind.

# Record of Policy Actions of the Federal Open Market Committee

#### MEETING HELD ON DECEMBER 16, 1975

#### Domestic Policy Directive

The information reviewed at this meeting suggested that output of goods and services—which had increased at an annual rate of 13 per cent in the third quarter—was expanding more moderately in the current quarter and that prices were continuing to rise at a relatively fast pace. Staff projections suggested that growth would remain moderate in the first half of 1976 and that the rate of increase in prices would slow somewhat.

In November the rise in industrial production slowed further, in part because of declines in output of automobiles and of energy; increases were widespread among other products, but in general they were smaller than in the preceding 5 months. Recovery in nonfarm payroll employment also slowed further. However, the dollar volume of retail sales expanded significantly for the second consecutive month. Residential construction activity rose further, reflecting the uptrend in private housing starts in recent months. The unemployment rate—which had risen 0.3 percentage point to 8.6 per cent in October—fell back to 8.3 per cent in November. Both the October rise and the November decline in the unemployment rate were caused primarily by changes in the civilian labor force.

The advance in the index of average hourly earnings for private nonfarm production workers remained rapid in November. Increases in wholesale prices of industrial commodities were pervasive, and the rise in the average for industrial commodities, although below that in October, was still relatively large. Wholesale prices of farm products declined appreciably, following 2 months of large increases, and wholesale prices of processed foods declined slightly. In October, the rise in the consumer price index had accelerated somewhat because of a considerable increase in retail prices of foods following 2 months of little change.

Staff projections of real output in the first half of 1976 were similar to those of 4 weeks earlier. They suggested that consumption expenditures would expand at a moderate pace, that residential construction and business fixed investment would continue to recover, and that State and local government purchases of goods and services would pick up somewhat from the reduced pace in the second half of 1975. It was also anticipated that business inventory accumulation would be at a moderate rate. However, exports were projected to rise less than imports.

The exchange value of the dollar against leading foreign currencies, which had declined somewhat from early October to early November, had risen somewhat since then. The net outflow of bank-reported private capital appeared to have declined in November from the high rate in October. In October both merchandise exports and imports increased somewhat, and the foreign trade surplus remained substantial.

Total loans and investments at U.S. commercial banks expanded considerably in November. Banks added to their holdings of both Treasury and other securities and increased their outstanding loans to businesses. As in October, however, the outstanding volume of commercial paper issued by nonfinancial corporations declined, and total short-term business borrowing rose little. During the period from mid-November to mid-December most banks reduced the prime rate applicable to large business borrowers from 7½ to 7½ per cent, and one major bank reduced it to 7 per cent.

 $M_1$ , which had declined in October after having grown at a slow pace during the preceding 3 months, rose sharply in November. Growth in  $M_2$  and  $M_3$  was substantial, as inflows of consumer-type time and savings deposits to banks strengthened and inflows to nonbank thrift institutions remained relatively favorable. Some portion of the inflows of such deposits to banks was attributable to expansion in business accounts following amendments to Federal Reserve regulations, effective November 10, 1975, that permitted corporations, partnerships, and other profitmaking organizations to maintain savings accounts of up to \$150,000 at member banks. To a considerable extent the funds placed in these business savings accounts appeared to have been shifted out of demand deposits.

System open market operations since the November 18 meeting had been guided by the Committee's decision to seek bank reserve and money market conditions consistent with moderate growth in monetary aggregates over the months ahead. It had been contemplated that operations would be directed toward moving the Federal

funds rate down from the prevailing level of 51/4 per cent to about the middle of the 4½ to 5½ per cent range of tolerance adopted by the Committee, if the data becoming available suggested that the several monetary aggregates were growing at rates close to the midpoints of their ranges of tolerance. However, the available data suggested greater strength in the growth of  $M_1$ , after allowance for the shift in business deposits from demand to savings accounts following the regulatory changes effective November 10. In the 3 weeks after that change business savings accounts at weekly reporting member banks had risen by about \$530 million, and it was reasonable to assume that growth had also been substantial at other banks. Had it not been for this shift, the annual rate of growth in  $M_1$  over the November-December period, according to staff estimates, would have been about 1½ percentage points higher than it appeared to be. Moreover, the available data suggested that growth in  $M_2$  over the 2-month period would be in the upper part of its specified range of tolerance. Accordingly, System operations during the inter-meeting period had been directed toward maintaining the prevailing bank reserve and money market conditions, and the Federal funds rate fluctuated around 5<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> per cent.

Short-term market interest rates rose somewhat over the intermeeting period, despite the stability in the Federal funds rate. The rise in rates appeared to reflect some concern on the part of market participants that the System would act to firm bank reserve and money market conditions in response to the strong growth in the monetary aggregates in November.

Yields on longer-term debt instruments fluctuated in a narrow range during the inter-meeting period despite a large volume of offerings of new securities, including publicly offered issues of foreign private and official institutions as well as issues of domestic borrowers. On December 9 the Treasury announced that before the end of the year it would auction \$2.5 billion of 2-year notes and \$2.0 billion of 4-year notes, of which \$3.0 billion would be for new money.

At its October meeting, the Committee had agreed that growth in the monetary aggregates on the average over the period from the third quarter of 1975 to the third quarter of 1976 at rates within the following ranges appeared to be consistent with its broad economic aims:  $M_1$ , 5 to  $7\frac{1}{2}$  per cent;  $M_2$ ,  $7\frac{1}{2}$  to  $10\frac{1}{2}$  per cent;

and  $M_3$ , 9 to 12 per cent. The associated range for growth in the bank credit proxy was 6 to 9 per cent. It was understood that the longer-term ranges, as well as the particular list of aggregates for which such ranges were specified, would be subject to review and modification at subsequent meetings. It also was understood that, as a result of short-run factors, growth rates from month to month might well fall outside the ranges contemplated for annual periods.

In the discussion of current policy at this meeting, the Committee took note of a staff analysis suggesting that in the period immediately ahead growth in the demand for money would be constrained by continuation of the shift in business deposits from demand accounts to savings accounts in response to the recent changes in regulations. Because the magnitude and duration of the shift were highly uncertain, however, estimates of the effects on  $M_1$  were subject to a large margin of error. It was also noted that projections of monetary growth for the month of December were more uncertain than those for other months because many business and financial institutions customarily made adjustments to cash and debt positions for purposes of year-end statements.

During the discussion some Committee members expressed confidence in the economic outlook for the quarters immediately ahead, while other members expressed doubt concerning the strength of the recovery. In view of the uncertainties regarding the behavior of the monetary aggregates in the December–January period, many members advocated giving greater weight than usual to money market conditions in conducting open market operations in the period until the next meeting. However, a number of members preferred to continue to base operating decisions primarily on the behavior of the monetary aggregates. There was some sentiment for a slightly more stimulative policy, but most members favored no essential change in policy.

At the conclusion of the discussion the Committee decided that operations in the period immediately ahead should be directed toward maintaining the bank reserve and money market conditions now prevailing, provided that monetary aggregates appeared to be growing at about the rates currently expected. The members concluded that growth in  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  over the December-January period at annual rates within ranges of tolerance of 4 to 7 per cent and

7 to 10 per cent, respectively, would be acceptable. It was thought that such growth rates would be likely to involve an annual rate of growth in reserves available to support private nonbank deposits (RPD's) within a range of 4 to 7 per cent.

It was contemplated that System operations until the next meeting would be directed toward maintaining the weekly average Federal funds rate at about its current level of 5½ per cent, unless rates of growth in the monetary aggregates appeared to be deviating significantly from the midpoints of their specified ranges. The members agreed that, in the event the aggregates appeared to be deviating from expectations, the weekly average funds rate might be expected to vary in an orderly fashion within a range of 4½ to 5½ per cent.

The following domestic policy directive was issued to the Federal Reserve Bank of New York:

The information reviewed at this meeting suggests that output of goods and services—which had increased very sharply in the third quarter—is expanding more moderately in the current quarter. In November the rise in industrial production and in nonfarm payroll employment slowed further. The dollar volume of retail sales rose again, however, and residential construction activity expanded, reflecting recent substantial increases in private housing starts. The unemployment rate—which had risen 0.3 percentage points to 8.6 per cent in October—fell back to 8.3 per cent in November, reflecting a sizable decline in the civilian labor force. The increase in average wholesale prices of industrial commodities, although below that in October, was still relatively large; prices of farm products declined appreciably, following 2 months of large increases. The advance in average wage rates in November was again substantial.

The exchange value of the dollar against leading foreign currencies has risen somewhat since mid-November. The net outflow of bank-reported private capital appears to have declined from the high rate reported for October. In October the U.S. foreign trade surplus remained substantial.

 $<sup>^1</sup>$ The ranges of tolerance over the December–January period were based on preliminary new seasonal factors. The growth rates specified for  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  for the 2-month period were, respectively, about  $2\frac{1}{4}$  percentage points and 1 percentage point higher than those that would have been specified had the old factors been used. It was expected that revised money supply series incorporating new seasonal factors as well as benchmark and certain other statistical adjustments would be published in late January.

 $M_1$ —which had declined in October—rose sharply in November. Growth in  $M_2$  and  $M_3$  was substantial, as inflows of consumer-type time and savings deposits to banks strengthened while inflows to nonbank thrift institutions remained relatively favorable. Long-term interest rates have fluctuated in a narrow range in recent weeks, while short-term market rates have risen somewhat.

In light of the foregoing developments, it is the policy of the Federal Open Market Committee to foster financial conditions that will encourage continued economic recovery, while resisting inflationary pressures and contributing to a sustainable pattern of international transactions.

To implement this policy, while taking account of developments in domestic and international financial markets, the Committee seeks to maintain prevailing bank reserve and money market conditions over the period immediately ahead, provided that monetary aggregates appear to be growing at about the rates currently expected.

Votes for this action: Messrs. Burns, Volcker, Baughman, Coldwell, Eastburn, Holland, Jackson, MacLaury, Mayo, Mitchell, and Wallich. Votes against this action: None.

Absent and not voting: Mr. Bucher.

Subsequent to the meeting, on January 12, the available data suggested that in the December-January period both  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  would grow at rates below the lower limits of the ranges of tolerance that had been specified by the Committee. In recent days the Manager had been aiming at a Federal funds rate of 4% per cent, and the rate had been in an area of 4% per cent.

The significance of the apparent weakness in the aggregates was highly uncertain, because of the effects of the recent introduction of business savings accounts at commercial banks and because the revised seasonal adjustment factors employed were still under review. The problems of seasonal adjustment were particularly acute for the months of December and January. For these technical reasons, and in view of more favorable recent economic statistics—including the latest data on employment and retail sales—Chairman Burns recommended that the Manager be instructed to hold the weekly average Federal funds rate at the approximate level of 4¾ per cent until the Committee's next meeting. All members of the Committee, with the exceptions of Messrs. Eastburn and MacLaury, concurred in the Chairman's recommendation.

\* \* \* \* \*

Records of policy actions taken by the Federal Open Market Committee at each meeting, in the form in which they will appear in the Board's *Annual Report*, are released about 45 days after the meeting and are subsequently published in the BULLETIN.

## Law Department

Statutes, regulations, interpretations, and decisions

#### Truth in Lending

In October 1975 the Board of Governors published amendments to Regulation Z designed to provide disclosure of closing costs in certain real estate transactions. These amendments were adopted in order to implement the provisions of § 121(c) of the Act which were added by § 409 of Title IV of Public Law 93-495. On January 2, 1976, § 121(c) was repealed by the passage of Public Law 94-205. Accordingly, the Board has rescinded the amendments to Regulation Z enacted to implement § 409.

Effective January 21, 1976, §§ 226.2(mm), (nn), (oo), (pp), and (qq), and 226.8(r) are rescinded.

Effective January 21, 1976 § 226.8(a) is amended by deleting "Except as provided in paragraph (r) of this section," from the fourth sentence thereof and by capitalizing the letter "a" in the word "all" immediately following the deleted matter, so that the fourth sentence of § 226.8(a), through the colon, reads "All of the disclosures shall be made together on either:"

Effective June 30, 1976, section 226.102 is rescinded.

#### Interim Policy on Access to Federal Reserve Clearing and Settlement Facilities

On June 10, 1975, the Board published for comment proposed arrangements for the deposit, delivery, and settlement of ACH transactions—i.e., those payments contained on magnetic tape that would be cleared through Federal Reserve clearing and settlement facilities. The Board proposed on June 10 that only financial organizations with demand deposit powers could deposit magnetic tapes with the Federal Reserve. The Board also proposed that payments would be delivered directly to financial organizations currently serviced by Federal Reserve courier services and to high volume endpoints located along existing

courier routes (see 40 FR 25641). The proposal did not apply to access to other System facilities, such as the wire transfer facilities.

The Board is not finally adopting a policy in regard to access and pricing. In the near future the Board intends to publish a pricing schedule based on the fully allocated costs of providing System check and ACH services. In developing the pricing schedule, consideration would be given to the burden of required reserves maintained by member banks. In the interim, pending the development of a final pricing schedule in respect to so-called ACH transactions, the System will basically maintain its current policy with regard to the processing and handling of such transactions and will, in fact, broaden its services concerning delivery. Such interim policies may be modified at the time a pricing schedule is adopted. During the interim period, the Federal Reserve Banks will handle and process ACH transactions for all member banks and any nonmember financial organization that is a member of an automated clearing house association and that is sending ACH data pursuant to association rules.

The Federal Reserve will deliver ACH items under the following guidelines:

- (1) Items for beneficiaries maintaining accounts at a financial institution offering demand deposit accounts may be delivered directly to that institution in the same manner that checks are presented.
- (2) Items for beneficiaries maintaining accounts at a financial organization not offering demand deposit accounts may be delivered directly to that institution provided such institution receives sufficient volume of such items to warrant separate delivery and is located on an existing check courier route.
- (3) Items may be delivered to a data processing service bureau provided the service bureau receives sufficient volume of such items to warrant separate delivery and is located on an existing check courier route.
- (4) Any financial organization may pick up items at the local Federal Reserve office provided that its volume is sufficient to warrant such actions.
  - (5) Any financial organization may have items

delivered to an endpoint that currently receives checks directly from the Federal Reserve office (i.e. the pass-through method).

(6) Items may be mailed to any financial organization by the Federal Reserve regardless of its location.

#### Settlement

Settlement for items cleared under the above arrangement will be made by credit and debit entries to reserve accounts of member banks of the Federal Reserve System.

In providing clearing and settlement services for ACH associations, the Board anticipates that these services will be made reasonably available on a comparable basis to depositary institutions having need for such services.

The above provisions apply only for the use of Federal Reserve facilities in clearing and settling payments exchanged on magnetic tape. Use of the Federal Reserve communications system for transmitting large dollar credit items will continue to be limited to Federal Reserve member banks and Government agencies. Other financial institutions may utilize this system through facilities of a member bank.

In view of the many changes occurring in the electronic payments area, Federal Reserve policy will be subject to periodic review. In particular, further review would be undertaken as a result of the study by the National Commission on Electronic Fund Transfers. These proposals, if adopted, will provide uniform standards for electronic transactions handled by the System. In such an environment, considerable cost savings to financial institutions, the U.S. Treasury, and the Federal Reserve may be realized and consumers can be afforded greater convenience and security.

#### **Bank Holding Companies**

Nonbanking Activities of Bank Holding Companies; Operation of a Travel Agency

By notice of proposed rulemaking published in the Federal Register on September 19, 1974 (39 F.R. 33741), and revised with respect to the date and scope of the oral presentation on October 31, 1974 (39 F.R. 38423), the Board of Governors proposed, in connection with an application<sup>1</sup> filed pursuant to § 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1843(c)(8)) and § 225.4(b)(2) of the Board's Regulation Y (12 C.F.R. 225.4(b)(2)), to add to the list of activities that it has determined to be closely related to banking or managing or controlling banks (§ 225.4(a) of Regulation Y), the operation of a travel agency. An oral presentation considering possible rulemaking with respect to the proposal was held on January 14, 1975.

The Board has considered all comments received prior to the oral presentation, the record of the oral presentation, and all comments submitted in connection with, and subsequent to, the oral presentation. After considering all relevant aspects of the proposal to add the operation of a travel agency to the list of closely related activities, the Board has determined not to adopt this activity as permissible for bank holding companies under § 225.4(a) of Regulation Y.

Operation of a travel agency requires the offering of a broad range of services, including but not limited to the sale of travelers checks,<sup>2</sup> procuring carrier passage and other travel accommodations by acting as agent for passengers and carriers, and acting as collection agent for airline, railroad, steamship or other companies. In addition, travel agents must have specialized knowledge concerning such diverse matters as passports, visas, inoculations and taxing regulations, as well as familiarity with local and regional social customs.<sup>3</sup> In effect, a travel agency is "a personalized department store of travel."

Before the Board may authorize a bank holding company to engage in a new activity pursuant to § 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding Company Act, there are two major issues that must be resolved. These are whether the activity is closely related to bank-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Application by First Bancorp, Inc., Corsicana, Texas, to retain First Travel Agency, Corsicana, Texas.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The sale of travelers checks has been found by the Board to be a closely related activity (see Board Order of June 14, 1973, approving application of BankAmerica Corporation, San Francisco, California, to engage *de novo* in issuance and sale of travelers checks).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>There are certain unique licensing requirements that a travel agency must meet in order to be eligible to sell transportation tickets for member carriers of airline associations. Principal among these associations are the Air Traffic Conference (ATC) (which appoints, or licenses, travel agents to sell domestic air travel) and the International Air Transport Association (IATA).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Arnold Tours, Inc. v. Camp, 338 F. Supp. 721, 723 (1972).

ing or managing or controlling banks, and, if so, whether it is a proper incident thereto. It is in the second of these tests that the weighing of the public benefits is of significance.

In a recent decision by the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia, National Courier Association v. Board,5 that Court commented on the kinds of connections that may qualify an activity as "closely related to banking." The Court stated there were at least three kinds of connections which could qualify an activity as closely related: first, that banks generally have in fact provided the proposed service; second, that banks generally provide services that are operationally or functionally so similar to the proposed services as to equip them particularly well to provide the proposed services; and third, that banks generally provide services that are so integrally related to the proposed services as to require their provision in a specialized form.

On the basis of the record in this present proceeding before the Board, it appears that the only standard under the criteria previously applied by the Board, and as set forth by the Court of Appeals in the Courier decision, that might be regarded as being applicable is that relating to whether banks generally have provided the proposed service. However, the character of the services offered by travel agencies, as it has evolved to the present day, has departed from that offered over a century ago to accommodate people immigrating to the United States.<sup>6</sup> At the present time, the number of banks currently providing travel agency services number only about 150 or less than one per cent of all commercial banks in the United States, and they account for less than two per cent of all travel agencies in the nation. Furthermore, nearly twothirds of the travel agencies affiliated with banking organizations have been established within the past fifteen years.<sup>7</sup>

It is the Board's view, in light of the above and other facts of record, that there exists an insufficient historical relationship between the proposed activity and the general nature of banking activities to meet the closely related test of § 4(c)(8). Neither does the Board conclude that the proposed activity is functionally or integrally related to other banking activities which have been

previously found to be permissible within the tests set forth in the *Courier* decision. Accordingly, the Board finds that the operation of a travel agency is not closely related to banking or managing or controlling banks. Since the board has found that the operation of a travel agency is not closely related, the Board does not reach the further question of the potential public benefits resulting from performance of the proposed activity. Thus, the Board has determined not to add the operation of a travel agency to the list of permissible activities in Regulation Y.

#### **Order Scheduling Oral Presentation**

On November 11, 1975, the Board issued a notice of proposed rulemaking to consider whether and under what conditions bank holding companies should be permitted to continue to engage in automobile leasing activities under the provisions of Section 225.4(a)(6)(a) of the Board's Regulation Y, 12 C.F.R. 225.4(a)(6)(a). The Board invited and has received comments from interested parties. In response to requests made by several interested parties for an opportunity to present their views orally, and in response to other requests for a delay in the proceeding, the Board has adopted the following procedures.

- (1) The Board will accept and consider all statements, position papers and written submissions from any participant that has commented or requested additional time to comment on the rule-making provided that such statements are submitted to the Office of the Secretary by March 15, 1976.
- (2) Those participants who notify the Secretary by February 20, 1976, and those who have already notified the Secretary will be scheduled to make an oral presentation before available Board members on Tuesday, March 23, 1976, at the Board's offices, 20th & Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. The hearing will be open to the public.
- (3) Those participants who wish to make an oral presentation should submit the names and identities of their witnesses by February 20, 1976, and

Bureaus.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>516 F. 2d 1229, 1237 (1975).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Arnold Tours, Inc. v. Camp, 472 F. 2d 427, 434 (1972).

<sup>7</sup>Based on data submitted by the Association of Bank Travel

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>Proponents of and opponents to adding this activity to the permissible list have presented arguments regarding the nature of the public benefits involved in approving this activity; however, as discussed above, the Board's finding that the proposed activity is not closely related to banking precludes any weighing of public benefits.

they should indicate the amount of time (normally not to exceed one hour) they request for oral presentation. A schedule will be provided by March 1, 1976. Parties to the oral hearing should provide the Board and all other parties with a statement or summary of their oral testimony by March 15, 1976.

- (4) Following the oral presentation, the Board will accept from any party additional material related to issues raised at the oral presentation, provided that such material is submitted to the Office of the Secretary by April 23, 1976.
- (5) A preliminary list of parties who will make an oral presentation includes representatives of the National Automobile Dealers Association, Southern California Rental and Leasing Association, Car and Truck Renting and Leasing Association, Southwest Leasing Corporation, Beverly Hills, California (on behalf of 17 leasing companies),

Consumer Bankers Association and Orbanco, Inc., Portland, Oregon.

The Board believes the procedures outlined herein will provide all interested parties with a full opportunity to express their views and to submit relevant evidence concerning the proposed rule-making. The Board further believes that the issues to be considered in this proceeding will involve legislative rather than adjudicative facts. For this reason and because the Bank Holding Company Act does not require a formal trial-type hearing in rulemaking proceedings under section 4(c)(8), 12 U.S.C. 1843(c)(8), the Board declines to conduct a formal hearing, as requested by the National Automobile Dealers Association.

The Board also declines to postpone the hearing in this proceeding pending final Congressional action on the Consumer Leasing Act (H.R. 8835 and S. 1691) as requested by several parties.

#### BANK HOLDING COMPANY AND BANK MERGER ORDERS ISSUED BY THE BOARD OF GOVERNORS

# Orders Under Section 3 of Bank Holding Company Act

American Bancorporation, Columbus, Ohio

Order Denying Acquisition of Bank

American Bancorporation, Columbus, Ohio, a bank holding company within the meaning of the Bank Holding Company Act, has applied for the Board's approval under § 3(a)(3) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(a)(3)), to acquire 51 per cent or more of the voting shares of The American Bank of Central Ohio, Harrisburg, Ohio ("Bank").

Notice of the application affording opportunity for interested persons to submit comments and views has been given in accordance with § 3(b) of the Act (38 Federal Register 27550, 39 Federal Register 6562, 40 Federal Register 52666, 41 Federal Register 14). The time for filing comments and views has expired, and the Board has considered the application and the comments and views

In accordance with § 3(b) of the Act, notice of receipt of the application was duly given to the Superintendent. Within 30 days of his receipt of said notice, the Superintendent submitted to the Board a written statement recommending disapproval of the application. In consideration of the Superintendent's recommendation, and in compliance with the requirements of the statute,2 the Board directed that a hearing be held on the application at the Federal Reserve Bank of Cleveland (38 Federal Register 29650), such hearing to be conducted in accordance with the Board's Rules of Practice for Formal Hearings (12 C.F.R. Part 263). The hearing commenced but was continued by the Administrative Law Judge, on Applicant's unopposed motion, in order that Applicant might prepare and submit to the Board certain amendments to subject application. The amendments

of the Superintendent of Banks of the State of Ohio ("Superintendent") in light of the factors set forth in § 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C 1842(c)).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Applicant has withdrawn from the Board's consideration an application filed under § 3(a)(3) of the Bank Holding Company Act to acquire The Eastern Ohio Bank, Union Township, Ohio; and an application filed under § 18(c) of the Bank Merger Act to merge its subsidiary, The Huntsville State Bank, Huntsville, Ohio, with The Miami Valley Bank, Quincy, Ohio.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Section 3(b) of the Act, 12 U.S.C. 1842(b), provides, in pertinent part, as follows: "If the . . . State supervisory authority so notified by the Board disapproves the application in writing within said thirty days, the Board shall forthwith give written notice of that fact to the applicant. Within three days after giving such notice to the applicant, the Board shall notify in writing the applicant and the disapproving authority of the date for commencement of a hearing by it on such application."

were submitted; and notice of receipt of same, affording opportunity for interested persons to submit comments and views, was duly given (39 Federal Register 6562). Thereafter, the Superintendent filed with the Administrative Law Judge a motion requesting withdrawal of his disapproval recommendation but preserving his right to submit additional written comments on the application. Accordingly, the Administrative Law Judge issued an Order terminating the hearing, subject to review by the Board.<sup>3</sup> Since termination of the hearing, both Applicant and the Superintendent have submitted additional materials and views for the record. Applicant has recently amended the application a second and third time; and notice of receipt of these new amendments, affording opportunity for interested persons to submit comments and views, has been duly given (40 Federal Register 52666, 41 Federal Register 14). The Board has considered all materials and views submitted by Applicant and the Superintendent in light of the factors set forth in Section 3(c) of the Act.4

Applicant, the nineteenth largest banking organization in Ohio, controls 6 subsidiary banks with aggregate deposits of \$49.5 million, representing .16 per cent of total deposits in commercial banks in the State.<sup>5</sup> Bank (deposits of \$16.4 million) presently has three offices, all located in the southwestern portion of the Columbus, Ohio,

banking market.<sup>6</sup> Bank is the seventh largest banking organization represented in the Columbus market with .7 per cent of total deposits in commercial banks in said market.<sup>7</sup> Applicant's banking subsidiary nearest to Bank is located at Adelphi, in Ross County, more than 40 miles away. An insubstantial amount of competition now exists between Bank and Applicant's banking subsidiaries; and it is not likely that competition between Applicant and Bank will increase in the future in view of the distances involved and Ohio's restrictive branch banking laws. The Board concludes that consummation of the proposed acquisition of Bank would not substantially lessen competition in the Columbus banking market.

Under the Bank Holding Company Act, the Board is required to take into consideration the financial and managerial resources and future prospects of the Applicant and of the bank to be acquired. In the exercise of that responsibility, the Board finds that considerations relating to the financial and managerial resources and future prospects of Applicant and Bank warrant denial of the application.

Applicant proposes to immediately acquire 50.9 per cent of the outstanding voting shares of Bank in exchange for its own callable series C preferred shares, to be issued for this purpose. Applicant will acquire these shares from two persons closely associated with Applicant. These sellers—one a director of Applicant, the other a director's spouse—will realize, when their preferred shares are called, a price equal to that originally paid for the corresponding shares of Bank first acquired by persons associated with Applicant in 1972.8 The call price represents a premium over the book

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>The Administrative Law Judge acted pursuant to Part 263.10(d) of the Board's Rules of Practice for Formal Hearings, 12 C.F.R. 263.10(d). The Superintendent's motion was unopposed; and the Administrative Law Judge's Order has not been challenged. Since the Superintendent's motion eliminated the statutory requirement that a hearing be held, and since the Board has before it a substantial record clearly adequate for determination of the issues in this case, the Board has approved the Administrative Law Judge's Order and considers the hearing in this case to have been closed on the date of that Order.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>In transmitting his Order to the Board, the Administrative Law Judge stated: "[T]his action will enable the Board to further process the application as it deems appropriate." It is clear that the Judge and the parties contemplated that the record would not close with termination of the hearing. The Superintendent conditioned his motion upon recognition by the Board of his right to submit additional materials for the record. At Applicant's request, the administrative record on the application has been held open, beyond deadlines previously announced by the Board's staff, to allow time for submission of additional materials by Applicant and to give Applicant the fullest possible opportunity to develop additional facts for the record.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Comparative banking data are as of June 30, 1975. Applicant reports total deposits of \$48.9 million as of September 30, 1975.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>The Columbus, Ohio banking market is approximated by Franklin County, Ohio, and by the following adjacent townships in five contiguous counties: Jefferson, Fairfield, Pleasant, and Range Townships in Madison County: Derby, Scioto, and Madison Townships, and a part of Harrison Township, in Pickaway County; Bloom and Violet Townships in Fairfield County; Etna, Lima, and Jersey Townships in Licking County; and Concord, Liberty, Orange, Genoa, and Harlem Townships in Delaware County.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>On May 31, 1974, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation conditionally approved the application of Bank to merge with Citizens Savings and Loan Company, Columbus, Ohio, pursuant to Section 18(c) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, 12 U.S.C. 1828(c). The conditional approval granted by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation has now lapsed. Accordingly, the Board has not considered the effect of such a merger on the instant application in light of the factors set forth in Section 3(c) of the Act.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>See p. 152 for footnote 8.

value of the Bank shares that these sellers will surrender for their series C preferred shares. However, Applicant's principals paid a premium in acquiring subject shares of Bank, and it does not appear that sellers will realize a profit as a result of their transaction. In addition, Applicant proposes to reimburse these sellers for the interest carrying charges associated with the ownership of shares of Bank by persons associated with Applicant through June 30, 1975.9 The series C preferred shares are callable at the option of Applicant. In view of the likelihood that Applicant may call these shares, the Board views this proposed acquisition by an exchange of shares as, in reality, a cash acquisition.

The Board has repeatedly expressed its concern with arrangements by which bank holding company officers or directors acquire bank shares in which their company is interested, thereby acquiring a personal financial interest in an acquisition proposed by the holding company. See *Mid America Bancorporation, Inc.*, 1974 Federal Reserve BULLETIN 131; *The Jacobus Company*, 1974 Federal Reserve BULLETIN 130.

"Arrangements by which bank holding company directors, officers or employees, or their close relatives, have a personal financial interest in an acquisition proposed by the holding company will be closely scrutinized by the Board to ensure both that they do not involve an effort by the company to circumvent the requirement that prior approval by the Board be obtained for such an acquisition, and that they do not present the threat of any

adverse effects upon the financial strength or soundness of the holding company or any of its subsidiaries. . . . The impropriety of such transactions may have more serious effects . . . where the ultimate purchase by the holding company involves the payment of substantial premiums to the insider. Such arrangements do not comport with sound banking practice and are inconsistent with the need to sustain public confidence in the integrity of the banking system." Third National Corporation, 1975 Federal Reserve BULLETIN 815.

Although the instant record does not establish that Applicant has violated the Act by acquiring Bank without Board approval, the Board believes that Applicant's proposed indemnification of its principals for the costs of holding shares in Bank pending Board action on the application threatens to adversely affect Applicant's financial resources and its prospects for the future.

The record indicates that Applicant is in need of additional capital for injection into one of its present subsidiary banks. Additionally, the financial resources and management of Applicant and certain of its present subsidiaries are in need of improvement. Applicant is endeavoring to raise additional capital with the proceeds of an equity security offering now in progress. It is the Board's view, after considering the entire record, that Applicant's resources should be more appropriately directed toward strengthening its existing banking subsidiaries rather than toward further expansion. Applicant's future prospects cannot be regarded as satisfactory if its resources are used to finance further expansion at this time.

Applicant has offered to inject additional capital into Bank should the subject application be approved. However, such additional capital would be derived from proceeds of Applicant's present equity offering, which might otherwise be used to strengthen Applicant's existing subsidiaries. The record reflects that resources now available to Applicant for these purposes are insufficient to both fulfill this capital commitment and call the series C preferred shares that Applicant would issue in this transaction. Moreover, the financial and managerial problems currently being experienced by Applicant are present also to some extent with respect to the operations of Bank. Since certain of the principals of Applicant are also principals of the bank to be acquired and since both Applicant and Bank have experienced certain

<sup>8</sup> Three directors of Applicant acquired approximately 51 per cent of the voting shares of Bank in 1972, using proceeds of a collateral loan from a bank not affiliated with Applicant. By late 1973, most of these shares had been transferred to a fourth director and to the wife of one of the original buyers, in consideration of their assumption of the supporting loan.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>On the present record, it does not appear that any indemnification agreement was in force when Applicant's directors first acquired shares of Harrisburg Bank in 1972. Shortly after this first acquisition, however, Applicant and its three directors entered into a buy-sell agreement regarding the subject shares that included, as one of its terms, an agreement by Applicant to reimburse its directors for interest expense and other costs incurred in financing the acquisition of such shares. This agreement was subsequently rescinded, and declared null and void, by the parties. A later agreement, entered into between Applicant and the present holders of these shares on June 18, 1974, does not contain an indemnification clause; however, a more recent buy-sell agreement, dated December 21, 1975, rescinds all prior agreements and obligates American to issue callable preferred shares to reimburse sellers for the debt service expense associated with the holding of subject shares of Bank by principals of Applicant since 1972.

financial problems under the management of such individuals, the Board is unable to conclude that financial and managerial considerations involved in this proposal are such that approval of the instant application would be appropriate. Instead, it appears that financial and managerial considerations weigh against approval of the instant application.

In the Board's view, a bank holding company seeking to expand its banking interests should be able to demonstrate clearly the quality of its financial and managerial resources in the operations of its existing subsidiaries. If a bank holding company cannot do so, the Board believes that it would be inappropriate to permit such an organization to expand further its banking interests until its existing subsidiaries are in acceptable condition. Applying this standard to the present application, and on the basis of the entire record considered as a whole, the Board is unable to conclude that approval of the instant application would be consistent with the financial and managerial standards the Board is required to consider under Section 3(c) of the Act, nor would the public interest be served by such action.

The adverse financial and managerial factors present in this application are not outweighed by any procompetitive effects or by benefits that would result in serving the convenience and needs of the community. It appears that the banking needs of the Columbus banking market are being well served at the present time and that Bank is generally competitive with the other banks operating in its market area. Applicant proposes to lower Bank's charges for checking account services and to assist Bank in fulfilling the mortgage loan demands of Columbus area residents. While the convenience and needs considerations are not inconsistent with approval, any public benefits that might result from approval are clearly outweighed by the adverse effects specified above. Accordingly, it is the Board's judgment that approval of the application would not be in the public interest and that the application should be denied.

On the basis of the record, the application is denied for the reasons summarized above.

By order of the Board of Governors, effective January 29, 1976.

Voting for this action: Chairman Burns, Governors Mitchell, Holland, Wallich, Coldwell, Jackson, and Partee.

(Signed) THEODORE E. ALLISON, Secretary of the Board.

First Lincolnwood Corp., Lincolnwood, Illinois

Order Denying
Formation of Bank Holding Company

First Lincolnwood Corp., Lincolnwood, Illinois, has applied for the Board's approval under § 3(a)(1) of the Bank Holding Company Act [12 U.S.C. § 1842(a)(1)] of formation of a bank holding company through acquisition of 80 per cent or more of the voting shares of The First National Bank of Lincolnwood, Lincolnwood, Illinois ("Bank").

Notice of the application, affording opportunity for interested persons to submit comments and views, has been given in accordance with § 3(b) of the Act. The time for filing comments and views has expired, and the Board has considered the application and all comments received, including those submitted by the Comptroller of the Currency, in light of the factors set forth in § 3(c) of the Act [12 U.S.C. § 1842(c)].

Applicant is a non-operating corporation organized under the laws of Illinois for the purpose of becoming a bank holding company through the acquisition of Bank. With deposits of \$65.7 million, Bank holds approximately two-tenths of one per cent of the total deposits held by commercial banks in the relevant banking market (approximated by the Chicago area) and is the 67th largest of the market's 286 banks.1 Inasmuch as this proposal represents essentially a transfer of Bank's ownership from individuals to a corporation owned by the same individuals, and Applicant has no present banking subsidiaries, the acquisition of Bank by Applicant would not eliminate any significant existing competition nor foreclose potential competition, increase the concentration of banking resources, or have any adverse effect upon competition within the relevant banking market. Accordingly, the Board concludes that competitive considerations are consistent with approval of the application.

The Board has indicated on previous occasions that a bank holding company should provide a source of financial and managerial strength to its subsidiary bank(s), and that the Board will examine closely the condition of the applicant in each case with this consideration in mind. In connection

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>All banking data are as of December 31, 1974, unless otherwise indicated.

with this proposal, Applicant will incur acquisition debt of approximately \$3.7 million, which debt Applicant proposes to service over a twelve-year period primarily through earnings of Bank. In the Board's view, the projected earnings of Applicant over the debt-retirement period appear to be somewhat optimistic in view of Bank's previous earnings record and, even if actually realized, would not provide Applicant with the financial flexibility necessary to meet its annual debt service requirements while maintaining adequate capital at Bank. Furthermore, although Applicant has stated that Bank plans to augment its capital accounts through the sale of \$1.1 million in equity capital and \$1.0 million debt capital within three to six months of approval of this application, the Board is concerned that the financial requirements imposed upon Applicant as a result of the acquisition debt, and uncertainty as to the source of funds for Bank's proposed capital injections, could prevent Applicant from resolving any unforeseen problems that may arise at Bank. On the basis of the above banking factors, and other facts of record, the Board is of the view that it would not be in the public interest to approve the formation of a bank holding company with an initial debt structure that could result in the weakening of Bank's overall financial condition. Accordingly, the Board concludes that the considerations relating to the banking factors weigh against approval of the application.

As indicated above, the proposed bank holding company formation is essentially a restructuring of the ownership interests of Bank without any significant changes in Bank's operations or the services offered to customers of Bank. Consequently, considerations relating to the convenience and needs of the community to be served are consistent with, but do not lend weight toward, approval of the application.

On the basis of all of the circumstances concerning this application, the Board concludes that the banking considerations involved in the proposal present adverse factors bearing upon the financial conditions and future prospects of both Applicant and Bank. Such adverse factors are not outweighed by any procompetitive effects or by benefits to the convenience and needs of the relevant community. Accordingly, it is the Board's judgment that approval of the application would not be in the public interest and that the application should be denied.

On the basis of the facts of record, the applica-

tion is denied for the reasons summarized above. By order of the Board of Governors, effective January 9, 1976.

Voting for this action: Vice Chairman Mitchell and Governors Bucher, Holland, Wallich, Coldwell, and Jackson. Absent and not voting: Chairman Burns.

Board action was taken while Governor Bucher was a Board member.

Board action was taken before Governor Partee became a Board member.

(Signed) THEODORE E. ALLISON, [SEAL] Secretary of the Board.

National Detroit Corporation, Detroit, Michigan

Order Approving Acquisition of Bank

National Detroit Corporation, Detroit, Michigan, a bank holding company within the meaning of the Bank Holding Company Act, has applied for the Board's approval under § 3(a)(3) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(a)(3)) to acquire all of the voting shares (less directors' qualifying shares) of National Bank of Troy ("Bank"), Troy, Michigan, a proposed new bank.

Notice of the application, affording opportunity for interested persons to submit comments and views, has been given in accordance with § 3(b) of the Act. The time for filing comments and views has expired, and the Board has considered the application and all comments received, including submissions filed by First Citizens Bank, Troy, Michigan ("Protestant"), in light of the factors set forth in § 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)).

Applicant, the largest banking organization in Michigan, controls four subsidiary banks with aggregate deposits of approximately \$6.2 billion, representing approximately 18 per cent of the total deposits in commercial banks in Michigan. Since Bank is a proposed new bank, consummation of the proposed acquisition would not immediately increase Applicant's share of commercial bank deposits in the State.

Bank is to be located in the city of Troy, which is part of the Detroit banking market, the relevant banking market for this proposal.<sup>2</sup> Applicant is the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Deposit data are as of December 31, 1974.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The Detroit banking market is approximated by Macomb, Oakland, and Wayne Counties, which include the city of Detroit and 88 other incorporated communities that comprise the Detroit metropolitan area.

largest banking organization in the relevant market and controls 32.6 per cent of the total commercial bank deposits in the market. Since Bank is a proposed new bank, Applicant's acquisition of Bank would not have any immediate effect on Applicant's share of commercial bank deposits in the Detroit banking market, nor would it eliminate any existing competition.

In connection with its consideration of this application, the Board has considered the comments submitted by Protestant. Protestant contends, in part, that to the extent new entry into Troy is desirable, banking structure in the Detroit area would be much better served by an entrant of smaller size than Applicant; and conversely, that entry by Applicant would likely raise barriers to entry in Troy to a level where important possibilities for deconcentration will be lost. Protestant further asserts that Applicant's proposed *de novo* entry into Troy would severely limit the possibility of future outside entry into Troy by preempting future demand through the creation of excess capacity.

The Board has reviewed the facts of record, including the past and projected growth of the economy and the population of the area, and finds that the city of Troy is presently a rapidly growing area, and that the high rate of growth is expected to continue in the future. In view of the rapid and substantial growth being experienced by Troy, it does not appear that Applicant's entry would either foreclose the development of future competition or preempt a banking site. Furthermore, Michigan's branch banking laws preclude Applicant's entry into Troy through the formation of a branch of an existing subsidiary. Thus, the formation of a de novo bank is the only viable means of entry into Troy presently available to Applicant. The Board notes that there are presently four banks operating in Troy, all of which are subsidiaries of bank holding companies; three of the bank holding companies are among the five largest in the State. Moreover, three of the present banks in Troy are home-office banks with branching privileges within the city. Applicant's entry into Troy through the formation of Bank would create a fourth bank with branching privileges in Troy. The Board believes that this additional potential for branching is likely to exert a procompetitive influence in the Troy area of the relevant banking market. Accordingly, it is the Board's judgment that the arguments raised by Protestant do not present sufficient grounds to warrant denial of the application, and that competitive considerations are consistent with approval of the application.

The financial and managerial resources and future prospects of Applicant and its subsidiary banks are regarded as satisfactory. Bank, as a proposed new bank, has no financial or operating history; however, its prospects as a subsidiary of Applicant appear favorable. Considerations relating to the banking factors are consistent with approval of the application. The addition of a new banking alternative in the rapidly growing Troy area will provide greater convenience to this segment of the population in the relevant banking market. In addition, affiliation with Applicant will enable Bank to offer its customers a full complement of banking services, as well as access to Applicant's specialized services, expertise, and financial resources. These considerations relating to the convenience and needs of the community to be served lend some weight toward approval of the application. It is the Board's judgment that consummation of the proposed acquisition would be in the public interest and that the application should be approved.

On the basis of the record, the application is approved for the reasons summarized above. The transaction shall not be made (a) before the thirtieth calendar day following the effective date of this Order or (b) later than three months after that date, and (c) National Bank of Troy, Troy, Michigan, shall be opened for business not later than six months after the effective date of this Order. Each of the periods described in (b) and (c) may be extended for good cause by the Board, or by the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago, pursuant to delegated authority.

By order of the Board of Governors, effective January 23, 1976.

Voting for this action: Chairman Burns and Governors Mitchell, Holland, Wallich, Coldwell, Jackson, and Partee.

(Signed) THEODORE E. ALLISON, Secretary of the Board.

Northeast United Bancorp, Inc. of Texas, Fort Worth, Texas

Order Approving Acquisition of Bank

[SEAL]

Northeast United Bancorp, Inc. of Texas, Fort Worth, Texas, a bank holding company within the meaning of the Bank Holding Company Act ("Act"), has applied for the Board's approval under § 3(a)(3) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(a)(3)) to acquire 100 per cent of the voting shares (less directors' qualifying shares) of First State Bank, Bedford, Texas ("Bank").

Notice of the application, affording opportunity for interested persons to submit comments and views, has been given in accordance with § 3(b) of the Act. The time for filing comments and views has expired, and the Board has considered the application and all comments received, including those submitted by First National Bank of Euless, Euless, Texas ("Protestant"), in light of the factors set forth in § 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)).

Applicant, the 84th largest banking organization in Texas, controls one bank with aggregate deposits of approximately \$42.6 million, representing one-tenth of one per cent of the total deposits in commercial banks in the State. Applicant's acquisition of Bank would increase Applicant's share of total State deposits by 0.03 per cent and would not result in a significant increase in the concentration of banking resources in Texas, nor would it alter Applicant's ranking among the State's other banking organizations.

Bank holds deposits of approximately \$14.3 million, representing 0.6 per cent of the total deposits in commercial banks operating in the Fort Worth banking market,2 and ranks as the 22nd largest of 48 commercial banks in the market. The three largest banking organizations in the market control, in the aggregate, more than 70 per cent of the market's deposits. Applicant is the seventh largest banking organization in the Fort Worth banking market. Its sole subsidiary, Northeast National Bank of Fort Worth, Fort Worth, Texas ("Northeast Bank"), holds deposits of \$42.6 million, representing 1.9 per cent of the market's total commercial bank deposits. To the extent that Northeast Bank and Bank operate in the Fort Worth banking market, some amount of competition would be eliminated as a result of the consummation of this proposal. However, on the basis of the facts of record, including the facts that Northeast Bank and Bank are located in separate suburbs of Fort Worth seven and one-half miles apart and that there is a large number of banks competing in the market, it does not appear that the effects on existing competition would be significant. For similar reasons, it appears that the effects on potential competition would not be serious. Moreover, even after consummation of the proposal, Applicant would control 2.5 per cent of the market's deposits (about one-fourth of the deposits held by the market's third largest banking organization, and less than one-tenth of the deposits held by the first or second largest banking organization in the market), and several independent banks in the market would remain available for acquisition by holding companies not represented in the market. Accordingly, the Board concludes that consummation of the proposal would not eliminate any significant existing competition or foreclose the development of significant potential competition.

The financial condition and managerial resources of Applicant and its sole subsidiary are considered satisfactory and the future prospects for each appear favorable. In view of Applicant's commitment to inject \$200,000 of equity capital into Bank following its acquisition, the same conclusions generally apply with respect to Bank's financial condition, managerial resources, and future prospects. Thus, the banking factors lend some weight toward approval of the application. Applicant proposes to increase the rates of interest paid on Bank's time and savings deposits, increase the parking facilities at Bank and, at a later date, provide trust services for customers of Bank. Therefore, the considerations relating to the convenience and needs of the community to be served lend weight toward approval of the application and, in the Board's view, outweigh any slight adverse competitive effects that might result from consummation of the proposal.

In its consideration of the subject application, the Board has considered the comments submitted on behalf of Protestant, a bank located approximately four miles from Bank. Protestant has raised two objections to the proposed transaction. First, Protestant asserts that consummation of the proposal would result in "a high concentration of financial power within a common trade area." This assertion is predicated upon Protestant's belief that the Mid-Cities area<sup>3</sup> is the relevant geographic

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>All banking data are as of December 31, 1974, and reflect holding company formations and acquisitions approved through November 30, 1975.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The Fort Worth banking market, the relevant geographic market for purposes of analyzing the competitive effects of this proposal, is approximated by the Fort Worth RMA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>The Mid-Cities area is approximated by the communities in the northeastern portion of Tarrant County between Fort Worth and Dallas, Texas; it includes the communities of Bedford, Euless, Hurst, and Richland Hills.

market for the Board's competitive analysis of the proposed acquisition. In this regard, the Board has examined the materials submitted by Protestant in support of its position and, on the basis of its analysis of such material and the other material in the record, the Board has concluded that the relevant geographic market involved in the subject proposal is the Fort Worth banking market.<sup>4</sup> The basis for this conclusion rests upon several economic and demographic considerations. The Mid-Cities area is suburban in nature and it is economically and physically integrated with the city of Fort Worth. For example, the Mid-Cities area is linked to downtown Fort Worth by several major highways and is exposed to all of the major Fort Worth media sources. In addition, census data reveal that a significant portion of the working population in the Mid-Cities area commutes to Fort Worth daily. Although the Mid-Cities area may represent a distinct group of suburban communities, the Board is of the view that there is no evidence indicating that the commercial banks in this area are insulated from the competitive forces that emanate from the other banks in the Fort Worth banking market.

With respect to the concentration of banking resources within the relevant banking market, Applicant, upon acquisition of Bank, would increase its share of market deposits by 0.6 per cent to a total of 2.5 per cent, which is a substantially smaller percentage of market deposits than is held by any of the market's three larger banking organizations. In addition, Applicant's share of total market deposits would be approximately equal to the fifth, sixth and seventh largest banking organizations in the market. Thus, the Board concludes that approval of the application would not result in Applicant having a high concentration of banking resources within the relevant market.

Second, Protestant asserts that due to a substantial overlap of the service areas of Bank and Northeast Bank, approval of the proposal would result in the elimination of existing and future competition between Bank and Northeast Bank. Although the banks are located in the same banking market, it appears that Bank derives less than five per cent of its total deposits and less than one per cent of its total loans from the service area of Northeast Bank; and Northeast Bank derives less than four per cent of its total deposits and

none of its loans from the service area of Bank. In view of the foregoing, the Board realizes that consummation of the subject proposal would result in the elimination of some existing competition. However, given the present structure of the Fort Worth banking market and the size of Bank and Northeast Bank in relation to that market, the Board does not believe that these adverse effects would be significant.

In several past cases, the Board has denied certain applications to acquire banks in large metropolitan markets on the basis that consummation of the proposed acquisition would eliminate competition within an area smaller than the entire relevant banking market.<sup>5</sup> In those cases, the applicant controlled a substantial share of total deposits within the relevant market. In addition, the applicant, in each of those other cases, had several existing subsidiary banks in close proximity to the bank to be acquired and there was a substantial overlap between the service areas of the applicant's existing subsidiary banks and the service area of the bank to be acquired.

The circumstances that warranted denial of the proposals described above do not appear to exist in the subject application. First, Applicant does not hold a substantial share of the market's deposits, and consummation would not result in Applicant holding a substantial share of such deposits. Second, as noted above, there does not appear to be a substantial overlap of the service areas of Bank and Northeast Bank. Furthermore, there are two banks, one of which is a subsidiary of the State's third largest banking organization, that intervene between Bank and Northeast Bank. In view of the foregoing, it does not appear that approval of the proposal would eliminate any significant competition presently existing between Bank and Northeast Bank, nor is it likely that significant competition would develop in the foreseeable future absent approval of Applicant's proposal. Moreover, the Board is of the view that the considerations relating to the convenience and needs of the communities to be served outweigh any anticompetitive effects that might result from Applicant's acquisition of Bank. Therefore, hav-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>See footnote 2 for a description of the market.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>For example, see the Board's Order of June 26, 1974, denying the application by First City Bancorporation, Houston, Texas, to acquire Meyerland Bank, Houston, Texas (60 Fed. Res. BULLETIN 509 (1974)). Applicant's request for reconsideration of this application was denied by the Board on November 11, 1974.

ing considered the comments of Protestant, it is the Board's judgment that consummation of the proposed transaction would be in the public interest and that the application should be approved.

On the basis of the record, the application is approved for the reasons summarized above. The transaction shall not be made (a) before the thirtieth calendar day following the effective date of this Order or (b) later than three months after the effective date of this Order, unless such period is extended for good cause by the Board, or by the Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta pursuant to delegated authority.

By order of the Board of Governors, effective January 19, 1976.

Voting for this action: Vice Chairman Mitchell and Governors Holland, Wallich, Coldwell, and Jackson. Absent and not voting: Chairman Burns and Governor Bucher.

Board action was taken while Governor Bucher was a Board Member and before Governor Partee became a Board Member.

(Signed) THEODORE E. ALLISON, [SEAL] Secretary of the Board.

Southland Bancorporation, Mobile, Alabama

Order Denying Acquisition of Bank

Southland Bancorporation, Mobile, Alabama, a bank holding company within the meaning of the Bank Holding Company Act, has applied for the Board's approval under § 3(a)(3) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(a)(3)) to acquire all of the voting shares (less directors' qualifying shares) of the successor by merger to First National Bank of Fairhope, Fairhope, Alabama ("Bank"). The bank into which Bank is to be merged has no significance except as a means to facilitate the acquisition of the voting shares of Bank. Accordingly, the proposed acquisition of shares of the successor organization is treated herein as the proposed acquisition of the shares of Bank.

Notice of the application, affording opportunity for interested persons to submit comments and views, has been given in accordance with § 3(b) of the Act. The time for filing comments and views has expired and the Board has considered the application and all comments received in light of the factors set forth in § 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1842 (c)).

Applicant, the fifth largest commercial banking organization in Alabama, controls two banks with aggregate deposits of approximately \$456.4 million, representing 5.1 percent of the total deposits in commercial banks in the State. Acquisition of Bank would increase Applicant's share of State deposits by .4 of one per cent and would not significantly increase the concentration of banking resources in Alabama, although, as discussed below, the proposal would have some adverse effects on concentration in the relevant market.

Bank has deposits of approximately \$31.7 million, representing 3.4 per cent of the total deposits in commercial banks in the relevant market, the Mobile banking market,<sup>2</sup> and thereby ranks as the fifth largest of eight banks operating in the market. Applicant's lead bank, Merchants National Bank of Mobile, Mobile, Alabama ("Mobile Bank"), the largest bank operating in the relevant market, has deposits of approximately \$361.6 million, representing 37.2 per cent of total commerical bank deposits in the market. The three largest banking organizations in the market account for 84.6 per cent of total commercial bank deposits. Thus, consummation of this proposal would increase Applicant's share of total deposits to 40.6 per cent and would further increase concentration of banking resources in an already concentrated banking market.

Although Bank and Mobile Bank are located 16 miles apart, competition exists between the two banks as a result of substantial commuting of the labor force between Fairhope and Mobile. Mobile Bank derives \$9.3 million in loans and \$7.2 million in deposit business from the service area of Bank. Approval of the application would, therefore, eliminate a substantial amount of existing competition between Applicant and Bank, as well as reduce the number of banking alternatives operating in the market. Moreover, approval of the proposed transaction would remove a viable entry vehicle for an Alabama bank holding company not currently represented in the market. Ac-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>All banking data are as of June 30, 1975, and reflect bank holding company formations and acquisitions approved as of November 1, 1975.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The relevant banking market is approximated by Mobile County and all of Baldwin County except for the southeastern quarter of the county. Although Mobile and Baldwin Counties are physically separated by Mobile Bay, the above market boundaries reflect commuter traffic patterns and the area within which actual competition occurs.

cordingly, the Board is of the view that consummation of the proposal would have significantly adverse effects on both existing and future competition.<sup>3</sup>

On the basis of the foregoing and other facts of record, the Board concludes that competitive considerations relating to this application weigh sufficiently against approval so that it should not be approved unless the anticompetitive effects are outweighed by other positive considerations reflected in the record such as the financial and managerial resources and future prospects of Applicant and Bank or the convenience and needs of the communities to be served.

The financial and managerial resources and prospects of Applicant, its subsidiaries, and Bank are regarded as generally satisfactory and consistent with approval of the application, although such considerations do not provide significant weight for approval of the application. As a result of this proposal, Bank would have increased loan limits and would expand its student loan services. These improved services lend some weight toward approval of the application. The Board finds, however, that neither the banking factors nor the considerations relating to convenience and needs are sufficient to outweigh the adverse competitive effects of Applicant's proposal.

On the basis of the facts in the record and in light of the factors set forth in section 3(c) of the Act, it is the Board's judgment that approval of the proposal would not be in the public interest. Accordingly, the application is denied for the reasons summarized above.

By order of the Board of Governors, effective January 26, 1976.

Voting for this action: Vice Chairman Mitchell and Governors Wallich, Coldwell, and Partee. Present and abstaining: Governor Holland. Absent and not voting: Chairman Burns and Governor Jackson.

(Signed) THEODORE E. ALLISON,
[SEAL] Secretary of the Board.

State Street Boston Financial Corporation, Boston, Massachusetts

Order Approving Acquisition of Bank

State Street Boston Financial Corporation, Boston, Massachusetts, a bank holding company within the meaning of the Bank Holding Company Act, has applied for the Board's approval under § 3(a)(3) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(a)(3)) to acquire all of the voting shares of Falmouth Bank and Trust Company, Falmouth, Massachusetts ("Bank").

Notice of the application, affording opportunity for interested persons to submit comments and views, has been given in accordance with § 3(b) of the Act. The time for filing comments and views has expired, and the Board has considered the application and all comments received in light of the factors set forth in § 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1842 (c)).

Applicant, the fourth largest banking organization in Massachusetts, presently controls two subsidiary banks with aggregate deposits of \$1.2 billion, representing approximately 8.7 per cent of the total deposits in commercial banks in the State. Applicant's acquisition of Bank would not result in a significant increase in the concentration of banking resources in Massachusetts, nor would it change Applicant's ranking among banking organizations in the State.

Bank (approximately \$16.9 million in deposits) is the sixth largest of eight banking organizations operating in the Cape Cod banking market which is the relevant banking market for this proposal,<sup>2</sup> and controls approximately 7.1 per cent of the total deposits in commercial banks in the market. By Order dated December 10, 1973, the Federal Reserve Bank of Boston approved Applicant's acquisition of Chatham Trust Company ("Chatham Bank"), Chatham, Massachusetts (deposits of approximately \$7.8 million, as of December 31, 1974). Chatham Bank operates in the same banking market as Bank, and although the acquisition of Chatham Bank has not yet been consummated,<sup>3</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>The Board denied Applicant's original application to become a bank holding company, 1974 F.R. BULLETIN 669. That application also involved acquisition of Bank. The Board's conclusion as to the effects on competition of the subject proposal are similar to its findings in its previous denial.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Banking data are as of June 30, 1975, unless otherwise indicated.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The Cape Cod banking market is approximated by Barnstable County.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>The time within which Applicant must consummate the acquisition of Chatham Trust Company has been extended by the Federal Reserve Bank of Boston pursuant to delegated authority.

the Board has examined the instant proposal in light of the competitive factors which would exist if the acquisition of Chatham Bank had been consummated. Applicant, as a result of consummation of the instant proposal, would become the fifth largest banking organization in the Cape Cod banking market, controlling 11 per cent of the total deposits in commercial banks in the market.

Although Bank and Chatham Bank operate in the same banking market, they are located approximately 40 miles apart. In view of the relatively small size of the two banks, the distance involved, and the existence of numerous intervening bank offices, it does not appear that consummation of this acquisition would eliminate any significant existing competition; nor does it appear likely that, absent this proposal, significant competition would develop between these organizations in the future. In addition, de novo entry by Applicant in the Falmouth area is regarded as relatively unattractive due to the market's low ratio of population and deposits per commercial banking office. Accordingly, the Board concludes that competitive considerations are consistent with approval of this application.

The financial and managerial resources and future prospects of Applicant, its subsidiaries, and Bank are regarded as satisfactory and consistent with approval. As part of its proposal, Applicant has committed to increase Bank's capital account by \$300,000 upon consummation of the acquisition, and by an additional \$150,000 by July 31, 1976, thereby increasing Bank's legal lending limit in addition to improving its capital position. Bank will also offer trust services and improved mortgage services as a result of its affiliation with Applicant. Accordingly, the Board regards considerations relating to the convenience and needs of the community to be served as lending support to approval of the application. It is the Board's judgment that the proposed acquisition would be in the public interest and that the application should be approved.

On the basis of the record, the application is approved for the reasons summarized above. The transaction shall not be made (a) before the thirtieth calendar day following the effective date of this Order or (b) later than three months after the effective date of this Order, unless such period is extended for good cause by the Board, or by the Federal Reserve Bank of Boston pursuant to delegated authority.

By order of the Board of Governors, effective January 20, 1976.

Voting for this action: Vice Chairman Mitchell and Governors Bucher, Holland, and Jackson. Absent and not voting: Chairman Burns and Governors Wallich and Coldwell.

Board action was taken while Governor Bucher was a Board Member and before Governor Partee became a Board Member.

(Signed) THEODORE E. ALLISON, [SEAL] Secretary of the Board.

#### Determination with Respect to Entitlement to Exemption Provided in § 4(c)(ii) of Bank Holding Company Act

Orwig and Company, Inc., Kansas City, Missouri

By Order dated December 1, 1975 (40 Federal Register 57246; 1975), the Board approved the application filed by Orwig and Company, Inc., Kansas City, Missouri ("Orwig"), for the Board's approval under § 3(a)(5) of the Bank Holding Company Act ("Act") to merge with Merchants Investors, Inc., Kansas City, Missouri ("Merchants Investors"), under the title and charter of Orwig. Because Orwig desires to continue engaging in certain nonbanking activities engaged in by Merchants Investors, which activities are not presently authorized for bank holding companies, Orwig has requested a Board determination that it is entitled to the benefits of the exemption set forth in § 4(c)(ii) of the Act. That section provides that the Act's prohibitions against nonbanking activities of a bank holding company shall not apply to any bank holding company that is "a company covered in 1970 more than 85 per centum of the voting stock of which was collectively owned on June 30, 1968, and continuously thereafter, directly or indirectly, by or for members of the same family, or their spouses, who are lineal descendants of common ancestors." (12 U.S.C. § 1843(c)(ii)). In its Order of December 1, 1975, the Board indicated that the question of Orwig's entitlement to such exemption was still under consideration. The Board has considered the request and, on the basis of the information presented, makes the following findings.

As indicated above, § 4(c)(ii) of the Act provides a complete exemption from the nonbanking prohibitions of the Act for a bank holding company

that is a "company covered in 1970" more than 85 per cent of the voting shares of which was owned on June 30, 1968, and continuously thereafter, by or for members of the same family. Thus, to qualify for a § 4(c)(ii) exemption, a bank holding company must satisfy two distinct tests: (1) it must be a "company covered in 1970"; and (2) it must fulfill the continuous family ownership requirement.

The Board believes that Orwig does not satisfy the family ownership test because Orwig was not in existence on June 30, 1968. Orwig argues, however, that it is a "successor" to a company that was in existence on that date more than 85 per cent of the voting shares of which was owned on that date by members of the same family, and that it should therefore be viewed as having been in existence on that date. Specifically, Orwig contends that it was formed on July 24, 1970, as the result of a consolidation of Mawn Investment Co. ("Mawn") and three other corporations.

The Board believes, however, that Orwig is not a "successor" to these preexisting corporations, within the meaning of the Act. The term "successor" is defined in § 2(e) of the Act as

"any company which acquires directly or indirectly from a bank holding company shares of any bank, when and if the relationship between such company and the bank holding company is such that the transaction effects no substantial change in the control of the bank or beneficial ownership of such shares of such bank." (Emphasis added.)

On July 24, 1970, the four companies that were consolidated to form Orwig owned, directly and indirectly, about 47 per cent of the shares of University Bank, Kansas City, Missouri, and about 22 per cent of the shares of Merchants-Produce Bank. It is clear, therefore, that the constituent companies of Orwig, even if viewed as a single entity, did not constitute a "bank holding company" under the definitions in the Act as it was in effect on the date of the consolidation. Accordingly, since Orwig did not acquire shares of a bank "from a bank holding company," it cannot be viewed as a "successor" to the constituent companies within the definition set forth in § 2(e).

However, even if Orwig were considered a

successor in interest to its constituent companies so that it may trace its corporate existence back to June 30, 1968, and thus satisfy the continuous family ownership requirement of § 4(c)(ii), it does not satisfy the requirement of that section that it also be a "company covered in 1970."

Section 2(b) of the Act defines the term "company covered in 1970" as a "company which becomes a bank holding company as a result of the enactment of the Bank Holding Company Act Amendments of 1970 and which would have been a bank holding company on June 30, 1968, if those amendments had been enacted on that date." On December 31, 1970, Orwig owned approximately 28.5 per cent of a company that owned substantially all of the voting shares of Merchants-Produce Bank, and by virtue of this ownership it became a bank holding company as a result of the enactment of the 1970 Amendments to the Act. In addition, as of that date Orwig owned approximately 24.9 per cent of a company that owned substantially all of the voting shares of University Bank. These holdings were reported to the Board in Orwig's initial bank holding company registration statement filed under the Act in 1971. On June 30, 1968, Orwig (if viewed as the successor in interest to its constituent companies) owned about 45 per cent of University Bank, but owned only 16 per cent of Merchants-Produce Bank. Thus, while Orwig became a bank holding company by reason of its ownership of Merchants-Produce Bank in 1970, it clearly would not have been a bank holding company as to Merchants-Produce Bank on June 30, 1968, if the 1970 Amendments had been enacted on that date. Orwig offers three arguments in an effort to cure this defect in its claim of entitlement to the § 4(c)(ii) exemption:

First, it contends that even though it did not control Merchants-Produce Bank on June 30, 1968, it did control University Bank. Therefore, it claims, it would have been a bank holding

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>See opposite column for footnote.

¹While Orwig may be viewed as the legal successor in interest to the constituent companies under applicable state corporation law, the Board does not believe that state law is relevant or controlling on the question whether Orwig is entitled to the broad exemption from the prohibitions on nonbanking activities set forth in § 4(c)(ii). That section plainly refers to "a company" whose stock was owned by family members on June 30, 1968, and the Board believes this language should be narrowly construed.

company as to University Bank on June 30, 1968, had the Act been amended on that date.

Second, Orwig contends that while it did not control Merchants-Produce Bank on June 30, 1968, by virtue of stock ownership, it exercised a "controlling influence" over the management or policies of that bank on that date, and should therefore be deemed retroactively to have controlled the bank as of that date.

Third, Orwig argues that as of December 31, 1970, it exercised a "controlling influence" over the management or policies of University Bank, and should therefore be deemed retroactively to have become a bank holding company as to University Bank by reason of the enactment of the 1970 Amendments. If this contention were accepted, Orwig would then be a "company covered in 1970" with respect to University Bank because it clearly controlled more than 25 per cent of that bank's voting shares as of June 30, 1968.

As to Orwig's first point, it is the Board's view that a company claiming to be a "company covered in 1970" must have owned more than 25 per cent of the voting shares of the same bank both on June 30, 1968, and December 31, 1970, and continuously between those dates. In enacting the 1970 Amendments to the Act, Congress was concerned about disrupting settled banking relationships that had existed for a period of time prior to the enactment of the Amendments to the Act. June 30, 1968, was originally recommended by the Administration as the cut-off date for determining eligibility for grandfather privileges.<sup>2</sup> In the final version of the legislation, Congress adopted June 30, 1968, as the "grandfather" date, "because it was about that time that it became clear that the major banks of this country were going to restructure themselves as subsidiaries or affiliates of one-bank holding companies," and because the controversy engendered by the pub-

licity concerning this development put the public on notice "that the issue was going to be reconsidered by the Congress." 116 Cong. Rec. 42424 (1970) (remarks of Sen. Sparkman). The ranking minority member of the House Banking Committee explained that the "grandfather" clause "permits nonbanking activities of one-bank holding companies that existed on or before June 30, 1968, to be continued." 116 Cong. Rec. 41953 (1970) (remarks of Rep. Widnall). It is clear, therefore, that Congress was concerned about the disruption of holding company relationships that were covered for the first time by the 1970 Amendments and that had existed on June 30, 1968. If a company that controlled one bank on June 30, 1968, voluntarily relinquished that control position prior to the enactment of the 1970 Amendments, it was plainly not within the scope of Congress' concern, even though it may have acquired control of a different bank after that date.

Orwig's second argument, that it exercised a "controlling influence" over Merchants-Produce Bank on June 30, 1968, and therefore should be deemed to be a "company covered in 1970" because it controlled the bank as of that date, within the meaning of § 2(a)(2)(C) of the Act, is also without merit. As noted above, § 2(b) of the Act defines the term "company covered in 1970" as a "company that becomes a bank holding company as a result of the enactment of the Bank Holding Company Act Amendments of 1970 and which would have been a bank holding company on June 30, 1968, if those amendments had been enacted on that date." (Emphasis added.) Since Orwig did not own as much as 25 per cent of Merchants-Produce Bank on June 30, 1968, it would not have been a bank holding company on that date had the Amendments been enacted at that time. It could only have become a bank holding company at some subsequent date after the Board made a "controlling influence" determination under  $\S 2(a)(2)(C)$ . It has long been the Board's view that a company may only be considered a "company covered in 1970" if it automatically became a bank holding company by virtue of the 1970 Amendments and would automatically have become a bank holding company on June 30, 1968, had the amendments then been enacted. See Perpetual Corporation—Pierce National Life Insurance Company, 1973 Federal Reserve Bul-LETIN 218; Ribso, Inc., 38 Fed Reg. 7029 (1973). Accordingly, Orwig cannot achieve the status of a "company covered in 1970" by virtue of a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Under Secretary of the Treasury Walker testified in early 1969:

<sup>&</sup>quot;This date is not so far back in time that forced divestitures would disrupt the operations or threaten the viability of most of the smaller, "traditional" one-bank holding companies. On the other hand, the date is early enough to include the great majority of new companies whose organization has pushed the total assets involved to such a high level."

Hearings on "Bank Holding Company Act Amendments" before the House Committee on Banking and Currency, 91st Cong., 1st Sess. 90 (1969).

retroactive "controlling influence" determination.3

Orwig's third argument, that it exercised a "controlling influence" over University Bank as of December 31, 1970, must fail for the same reasons as discussed with respect to its claim of a "controlling influence" over Merchants-Produce Bank as of June 30, 1968. In this regard the Board notes further that when Orwig registered as a bank holding company in 1971, because of its control of Merchants-Produce Bank, it did not claim to have control over University Bank by virtue of its exercise of a "controlling influence," and in fact it indicated that University Bank was not a subsidiary of Orwig. Thus, its present claim of "controlling influence" is in conflict with the representations it made to the Board in 1971.

Accordingly, on the basis of the information presented and for the reasons summarized herein, the Board has determined that Orwig is not entitled to the exemption provided in § 4(c)(ii) of the Act.

By order of the Board of Governors, effective January 15, 1976.

Voting for this action: Vice Chairman Mitchell and Governors Bucher, Holland, Wallich, and Jackson. Absent and not voting: Chairman Burns and Governor Coldwell.

Board action was taken while Governor Bucher was a Board Member and before Governor Partee became a Board Member.

(Signed) Theodore E. Allison, [SEAL] Secretary of the Board.

#### **Order Approving Reconsideration**

Citicorp, New York, New York

Citicorp, New York, New York, has requested reconsideration of the Order of November 10, 1975 (40 Federal Register 53315), whereby the Board of Governors denied the application of Citicorp for prior approval of the acquisition of West Coast Credit Corporation, Seattle, Washington, pursuant to section 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended (12 U.S.C. 1843(c)(8)).

The request for reconsideration is filed pursuant to section 262.3(g)(5) of the Board's Rules of Procedure, which provides that the Board will not grant any request for reconsideration "unless the request presents relevant facts that, for good cause shown, were not previously presented to the Board, or unless it otherwise appears to the Board that reconsideration would be appropriate." The Board finds that the request for reconsideration presents relevant facts or issues which appear appropriate in the public interest for the Board to consider. Accordingly, the request for reconsideration is hereby approved.

In order to facilitate such consideration, comments and views regarding the proposed acquisition may be filed with the Board not later than February 9, 1976. Communications should be addressed to the Secretary, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551. The application, as supplemented by Applicant's request for reconsideration, may be inspected at the offices of the Board of Governors or at the Federal Reserve Bank of New York.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>The recent decision of the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit in *Patagonia Corporation* v. *Board of Governors*, 517 F.2d 803 (1975) is not relevant to this issue. The issue in *Patagonia* was whether a bank holding company that was admittedly a "company covered in 1970," because it controlled more than 25 per cent of the same bank both on June 30, 1968, and December 31, 1970, was entitled to continue to engage in a nonbanking activity that it was engaged in through a "subsidiary" on June 30, 1968. The court held that in determining whether the nonbank company was a "subsidiary," within the definition in § 2(d)(3) of the Act, the Board must consider whether Patagonia exercised a "controlling influence" over that company's management policies.

The court itself distinguished that issue from the issue involved here and in the Board's Ribso decision, namely, whether a "controlling influence" determination can be made retroactively under § 2(a)(2)(C) with respect to a bank for the purpose of determining whether a company is a "company covered in 1970." (517 F.2d at 814).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>As an alternative, Orwig has requested that the Board make a "controlling influence" determination under § 2(a)(2)(C) of the Act that Merchants Investors was in fact a bank holding company with respect to the same bank throughout the period of June 30, 1968, to December 31, 1970, and thus a "company covered in 1970." Merchants is wholly owned by members of the same family that owns Orwig. Orwig argues that, upon the merger of Merchants into Orwig (which transaction was approved by the Board on December 1, 1975), Orwig would be a "company covered in 1970" by reason of its being a "successor" to Merchants. Merchants owned approximately 24 per cent of the voting shares of University Bank on December 31, 1970, and approximately the same ownership of University Bank existed on June 30, 1968. Orwig's request that Merchants be determined to be a "company covered in 1970" also must fail for the same reasons as discussed above with respect to Orwig's claim of a "controlling influence" over Merchants-Produce Bank as of June 30, 1968.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>The registration form instructs registrants that "if the existence of control is open to reasonable doubt in any instance" a registrant may disclaim control but must "state the material facts pertinent to the possible existence of control."

[SEAL]

By order of the Board of Governors, effective January 9, 1976.

Voting for this action: Vice Chairman Mitchell and Governors Holland and Partee. Voting against this action: Governor Coldwell. Absent and not voting: Chairman Burns and Governors Wallich and Jackson.

(Signed) THEODORE E. ALLISON, Secretary of the Board.

### **Decision Under Section 25** of the Federal Reserve Act

Bank of Tokyo, Ltd. Tokyo, Japan

The Board of Governors has approved the application of Bank of Tokyo, Ltd., Tokyo, Japan, to acquire shares of Tokyo Bancorp International, Houston, Texas ("TBI"), pursuant to section 25 of the Federal Reserve Act, 12 U.S.C. 601, and section 4(c)(5) of the Bank Holding Company Act, 12 U.S.C. 1843(c)(5). TBI has entered into an agreement with the Board whereby TBI will not purchase or hold any asset or exercise any power in the U.S. or abroad except as would be permissible under Regulation K, 12 CFR 211, applicable to Edge Corporations. In addition, TBI has agreed to comply with the reserve requirements of Regulation K with respect to its due-to-customer accounts. As a result of its agreement with the Board, TBI becomes a so-called "Agreement Corporation." Agreement Corporations are state chartered corporations principally engaged in international and foreign banking.

Board decisions on applications under section 25 of the Federal Reserve Act are announced in the form of a Board letter sent to the Applicant. There follows the text of the Board's letter to Bank of Tokyo and the agreement of TBI with the Board:

January 26, 1976

Mr. Yasushi Watanabe
Managing Director and
Regional Executive in New York
The Bank of Tokyo, Ltd.
New York Agency
100 Broadway
New York, New York 10005

Dear Mr. Watanabe:

This refers to the application of The Bank of Tokyo, Ltd., Tokyo, Japan ("Appli-

cant") for permission of the Board of Governors under the provisions of section 4(c)(5) of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended and section 25 of the Federal Reserve Act, to hold stock of Tokyo Bancorp International (Houston), Inc., Houston, Texas ("TBI"). Reference is also made to the agreement dated January 22, 1976, executed by TBI in accordance with the requirements of section 25 of the Federal Reserve Act, by which such corporation agrees to restrict its operations and conduct its business in the manner set forth therein.

After consideration of the application and agreement, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, pursuant to section 4(c)(5) of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended and section 25 of the Federal Reserve Act, approves the application and grants permission to Applicant to purchase and hold up to 10,000 shares of the stock of TBI at a cost of approximately \$1,000,000, provided such shares are acquired within one year from the date of this letter. Please advise the Board of Governors, through the Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas, the date TBI commences business.

The foregoing approval is granted subject to the condition that Applicant shall dispose of its stock in TBI as the Board may direct if, in the Board's judgment, TBI shall have failed to comply with the terms of its agreement with the Board or regulations of the Board applicable thereto. It should be noted in this regard that the provisions of § 211.3 of Regulation K relating to the organization of Edge Act Corporations do not, of course, apply to Agreement Corporations such as TBI. Accordingly, the citizenship requirements imposed on the directors and stockholders of Edge Act Corporations under section 25(a) of the Federal Reserve Act and included by implication in § 211.3 of Regulation K do not apply to TBI. In the Board's judgment, it is clear that there are no statutory citizenship requirements for directors or stockholders of Agreement Corporations imposed under section 25 of the Federal Reserve Act.

Very truly yours,

(Signed) Theodore E. Allison, Secretary of the Board.

Agreement

In consideration of the granting by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (hereinafter referred to as the Board of Governors), under the provisions of Section 4(c)5 of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended, Section 25 the Federal Reserve Act and pursuant to

an application filed with the Board of Governors by The Bank of Tokyo, Ltd., of permission to acquire and hold stock of Tokyo Bancorp International (Houston), Inc. (hereinafter referred to as the Corporation), the Corporation, in accordance with the provisions of Section 25 of the Federal Reserve Act, hereby undertakes and agrees with the Board of Governors as follows:

- Compliance with Section 11 of Regulation K:
  - That the Corporation shall not purchase or hold any asset, or otherwise exercise any of its power in the United States or abroad in any manner, which would not be permissible under the provisions of Regulation K issued by the Board of Governors. In this regard the due-to-customer accounts, credit balances in favor of its customers or other similar obligations to be maintained by such Corporation shall, for purposes of Regulation K, be treated in all respects, including, without limitation, the maintenance of reserve requirements, as if such accounts, balances or obligations, as the case may be, were deposits.
- Further Limitations and Restrictions:
   That the Corporation shall restrict its operations and conduct its business in such manner and under such other or further limitations

- and restrictions as the Board of Governors may hereafter from time to time prescribe, in Regulation K or otherwise.
- 3. Examinations and Reports:
  - (a) That at such times as may be fixed by the Board of Governors the Corporation shall submit to examination by examiners selected or approved by the Board of Governors;
  - (b) That the Corporation shall pay the expenses of all such examinations in the amount determined by the Board of Governors;
  - (c) That the Corporation shall do everything necessary to facilitate such examinations and shall make available to the examiners all information which they may require;
  - (d) That the Corporation shall make reports to the Board of Governors at such times and in such form and covering such matters as the Board of Governors may prescribe.

This agreement is executed in duplicate.

January 22, 1976

Tokyo Bancorp International (Houston), Inc.

#### ORDERS APPROVED UNDER BANK HOLDING COMPANY ACT—

#### By the Board of Governors

During January 1976, the Board of Governors approved the applications listed below. The orders have been published in the Federal Register, and copies are available upon request to Publications Services, Division of Administrative Services, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551.

Section 3

Applicant	Bank(s)	Board action (effective date)	Federal Register citation
Alabama Bancorporation, Birmingham, Alabama	Peoples Bank of Tusca- loosa, Tuscaloosa, Alabama	1/5/76	41 F.R. 1817 1/12/76
Ellis Banking Corpora- tion, Bradenton, Florida	American Bank of Fort Myers, Fort Myers, Florida	1/15/76	41 F.R. 3782 1/26/76
The Glencoe Capital Corporation, Glencoe, Ill.	Glencoe National Bank, Glencoe, Ill.	1/2/76	41 F.R. 1818 1/12/76

#### Section 3—Continued

Applicant	Bank(s)	Board action (effective date)	Federal Register citation
Аррисан	Bunk(3)	uuie)	chanon
Humboldt Bancshares	Humboldt National	1/5/76	41 F.R. 2113
Inc., Humboldt, Kansas	Bank, Humboldt,		1/14/76
	Kansas		
Nevada Brick and Tile	Nevada National Bank,	1/9/76	41 F.R. 2689
Co., Nevada, Iowa	Nevada, Iowa		1/19/76
Northstream Invest-	Security State Bank,	1/2/76	41 F.R. 1819
ments, Inc., Geddes,	Geddes, South Dakota		1/12/76
South Dakota			

#### Section 4

Applicant	Nonbanking company (or activity)	Board action (effective date)	Federal Register citation
Ancorp Bancshares, Inc., Chattanooga, Tennessee	Ancorp Insurance Company, Phoenix, Arizona	1/2/76	41 F.R. 1817 1/12/76
Central Banking System, Inc., Oakland, California	Computer Dynamics, Inc., Oakland, California	1/19/76	41 F.R. 3781 1/26/76

#### Sections 3 and 4

Applicant	Bank(s)	Nonbanking company (or activity)	Board action (effective date)	Federal Register citation
Peoples Bank- shares, Inc., Mora, Minnesota	Peoples Na- tional Bank of Mora, Mora, Min- nesota	Peoples Credit Company of Mora, Minnesota, Inc., Mora, Minnesota	1/22/76	41 F.R. 1640 1/30/76

#### By Federal Reserve Banks

During December 1975 or January 1976, applications were approved by the Federal Reserve Banks as listed below. The orders have been published in the Federal Register, and copies are available upon request to the Reserve Bank.

#### Section 3

Applicant	Bank(s)	Reserve Bank	Effective date	Federal Register citation
Northwest Ohio Bancshares, Inc., Toledo, Ohio	The Liberty State Savings Bank, Liberty Center, Ohio	Cleveland	1/16/76	41 F.R. 4075 1/28/76

#### Sections 3 and 4

Applicant	Bank(s)	Nonbanking company (or activity)	Reserve Bank	Effective date	Federal Register citation
Dubois Bank- shares, Inc., Sauk Centre, Minnesota	First State Bank of Sauk Cen- tre, Sauk Centre, Minnesota	First State Agency, Sauk Centre, Minnesota	Minneapolis	12/19/75	41 F.R. 1330 1/7/76

#### Pending Cases Involving the Board of Governors\*

- Helen C. Hatten, et al. v. Board of Governors, filed January 1976, U.S.D.C. for the District of Connecticut.
- International Bank v. Board of Governors, filed December 1975, U.S.D.C. for the District of Columbia.
- Community Bancorporation v. Board of Governors, filed December 1975, U.S.C.A. for the Sixth Circuit.
- Robert Farms, Inc. v. Comptroller of the Currency, et al., filed November 1975, U.S.D.C. for the Southern District of California.
- National Computer Analysts, Inc. v. Decimus Corporation, et al., filed November 1975, U.S.D.C. for the District of New Jersey.
- Peter E. Blum v. First National Holding Corporation, filed November 1975, U.S.D.C. for the Northern District of Georgia.
- Harlan National Co. v. Board of Governors, filed November 1975, U.S.C.A. for the Eighth Circuit.
- Peter E. Blum v. Morgan Guaranty Trust Co., et al., filed October 1975, U.S.D.C. for the Northern District of Georgia.
- A.R. Martin-Trigona v. Board of Governors, et al., filed September 1975, U.S.D.C. for the Northern District of Illinois.

- †A.R. Martin-Trigona v. Board of Governors, et al., filed September 1975, U.S.D.C. for the Northern District of Illinois.
- Reserve Enterprises, Inc. v. Arthur F. Burns, et al., filed September 1975, U.S.D.C. for the District of Minnesota.
- Logan v. Secretary of State, et al., filed September 1975, U.S.D.C. for the District of Columbia.
- †Ellsworth v. Burns, filed September 1975, U.S.D.C. for the District of Arizona.
- Florida Association of Insurance Agents, Inc., v. Board of Governors, and National Association of Insurance Agents, Inc. v. Board of Governors, filed August 1975, actions consolidated in U.S.C.A. for the Fifth Circuit
- Henry M. Smith v. National Bank of Boulder, et al., filed June 1975, U.S.D.C. for the Northern District of Texas.
- Bank of Boulder v. Board of Governors, et al., filed June 1975, U.S.C.A. for the Tenth Circuit.
- †‡David R. Merrill, et al. v. Federal Open Market Committee of the Federal Reserve System, filed May 1975, U.S.D.C. for the District of Columbia.
  - Curvin J. Trone v. United States, filed April 1975, U.S. Court of Claims.

<sup>\*</sup>This list of pending cases does not include suits against Federal Reserve Banks in which the Board of Governors is not named as a party.

<sup>†</sup> Decisions have been handed down in these cases, subject to appeals noted.

<sup>‡</sup>The Board of Governors is not named as a party in this action.

- Richard S. Kaye v. Arthur F. Burns, et al., filed April 1975, U.S.D.C. for the Southern District of New York.
- Louis J. Roussel v. Board of Governors, filed April 1975, U.S.D.C. for the Eastern District of Louisiana.
- Georgia Association of Insurance Agents, et al. v. Board of Governors, filed October 1974, U.S.C.A. for the Fifth Circuit.
- Alabama Association of Insurance Agents, et al., v. Board of Governors, filed July 1974, U.S.C.A. for the Fifth Circuit.
- †Investment Company Institute v. Board of Governors, dismissed July 1975, U.S.D.C. for the District of Columbia, appeal pending,

- U.S.C.A. for the District of Columbia Circuit.
- †George Brice, Jr., et al., v. Board of Governors, filed April 1974, U.S.C.A. for the Ninth Circuit.
- East Lansing State Bank v. Board of Governors, filed December 1973, U.S.C.A. for the Sixth Circuit.
- †Consumers Union of the United States, Inc., et al., v. Board of Governors, filed September 1973, U.S.D.C. for the District of Columbia.
- Bankers Trust New York Corporation v. Board of Governors, filed May 1973, U.S.C.A. for the Second Circuit.

# Directory of Federal Reserve Banks and Branches

Following is a list of the directorates of the Federal Reserve Banks and Branches as at present constituted. The list shows, in addition to the name of each director, his principal business affiliation, the class of directorship, and the date when his term expires. Each Federal Reserve Bank has nine directors; three Class A and three Class B directors, who are elected by the stockholding member banks, and three Class C directors, who are appointed by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System. Class A directors are representative of the stockholding member banks. Class B directors at the time of their election must be actively engaged in their district in commerce, agriculture, or some industrial pursuit, and may not be officers, directors, or employees of any bank.

For the purpose of electing Class A and Class B directors, the member banks of each Federal Reserve district are classified by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System into

three groups, each of which consists of banks of similar capitalization, and each group elects one Class A and one Class B director. Class C directors may not be officers, directors, employees, or stockholders of any bank. One Class C director is designated by the Board of Governors as Chairman of the Board of Directors and Federal Reserve Agent and another is appointed Deputy Chairman. Federal Reserve Branches have either five or seven directors, of whom a majority are appointed by the Board of Directors of the parent Federal Reserve Bank; the others are appointed by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System. One of the directors appointed by the Board of Governors at each Branch is designated annually as Chairman of the Board in such a manner as the Federal Reserve Bank may prescribe.

Names followed by footnote 1 (1) are Chairmen and those by footnote 2 (2) are Deputy Chairmen. Names in capital letters indicate new appointments; all others are reappointments.

#### DISTRICT 1—FEDERAL RESERVE BANK OF BOSTON

CLASS A:	i	expires Dec. 31
Francis N. Southworth	Chairman of the Board, President, Concord National Bank, Concord, N.H.	1976
JAMES F. ENGLISH, JR.	Chairman, The Connecticut Bank and Trust Co., Hartford, Conn.	
JOHN D. ROBINSON	President, Firstbank, N.A., Farmington, Me.	1978
CLASS B:		
G. WILLIAM MILLER	President, Textron Inc., Providence, R.I.	1976
WESTON P. FIGGINS	Chairman of the Board, Wm. Filene's Sons Company, Boston, Mass.	1977
Alfred W. Van Sinderen	President, The Southern New England Telephone Company, New Haven, Conn.	1978
CLASS C:		
Kenneth I. Guscott	President, Ken Guscott Associates, Boston, Mass.	1976
Robert M. Solow <sup>2</sup>	Institute Professor, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Mass.	1977
LOUIS W. CABOT <sup>1</sup>	Chairman of the Board, Cabot Corporation, Boston, Mass.	1978

DISTRICT 2—FEDERAL F	RESERVE BANK OF NEW YORK	Term expires
CLASS A:		Dec. 31
David Rockefeller Stuart McCarty	Chairman of the Board, The Chase Manhattan Bank, N.A. New York, N.Y. President, First-City National Bank of Binghamton, N.Y.	1976 1977
HARRY J. TAW	President, First National Bank of Cortland, N.Y.	1978
CLASS B:		
Maurice F. Granville William S. Sneath Jack B. Jackson	Chairman of the Board, Texaco Inc., New York, N.Y. President, Union Carbide Corporation, New York, N.Y. President, J.C. Penney Co., Inc., New York, N.Y.	1976 1977 1978
CLASS C:		
Alan Pifer ROBERT H. KNIGHT <sup>2</sup> Frank R. Milliken <sup>1</sup>	President, Carnegie Corporation of New York, N.Y. Partner, Shearman and Sterling, Attorneys, New York, N.Y. President, Kennecott Copper Corporation, New York, N.Y.	
BÚFFALO BR	RANCH	
APPOINTED BY FEDERAL RESE	RVE BANK:	
J. WALLACE ELY	Chairman of the Board, New York State Corporation, Rochester, N.Y.	1976
DANIEL G. RANSOM	President, The Wm. Hengerer Co., Buffalo, N.Y.	1976
CHARLES A. MARKS AVERY H. FONDA	President, Alden State Bank, Alden, N.Y. President, Liberty National Bank and Trust Company, Buffalo, N.Y.	1977 - 1978
APPOINTED BY BOARD OF GOV	VERNORS:	
RUPERT WARREN <sup>1</sup>	Former President, Trico Products Corporation, Buffalo N.Y.	, 1976
PAUL A. MILLER	President, Rochester Institute of Technology, Rochester N.Y.	
DONALD R. NESBITT	Owner-Operator, Silver Creek Farms, Albion, N.Y.	1978
DISTRICT 3—FEDERAL I	RESERVE BANK OF PHILADELPHIA	
CLASS A:		
THOMAS L. MILLER WILLIAM B. EAGLESON	President, Upper Dauphin National Bank, Millersburg, Pa Chairman of the Board, President, Girard Bank, Bala Cyn- wyd, Pa.	
JAMES PATCHELL	President and Chief Executive Officer, National Bank and Trust Company of Gloucester County, Woodbury, N.J.	i
CLASS B:		
William S. Masland C. Graham Berwind, Jr.	President, C.H. Masland & Sons, Carlisle, Pa. Chairman and President, Berwind Corporation, Philadelphia	
HAROLD A. SHAUB	Pa.  President and Chief Executive Officer, Campbell Soup Co.  Camplen N I	1977 , 1978

1976

1977

1978

#### DISTRICT 3—FEDERAL RESERVE BANK OF PHILADELPHIA—Continued

CLASS C:		Term expires Dec. 31
JOHN R. COLEMAN <sup>1</sup>	President, Haverford College, Haverford, Pa.	1976
WERNER C. BROWN	President, Hercules, Inc., Wilmington, Del.	1977
JOHN W. ECKMAN <sup>2</sup>	President, Rorer-Amchem, Inc., Fort Washington, Pa.	1978

#### DISTRICT 4—FEDERAL RESERVE BANK OF CLEVELAND

# CLASS A: EDWARD W. BARKER Chairman of the Board, First National Bank of Middletown, Ohio MERLE E. GILLIAND Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer, Pittsburgh National Bank, Pittsburgh, Pa. RICHARD P. RAISH President, First National Bank of Bellevue, Ohio

#### CLASS B:

CHARLES Y. LAZARUS	Chairman of the Board, The F. & R. Lazarus Co., Co-	
	lumbus, Ohio	1976
DONALD E. NOBLE	Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer, Rubbermaid	
	Inc., Wooster, Ohio	1977
RENE C. McPherson	Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer, Dana Cor-	
	poration, Toledo, Ohio	1978

#### CLASS C:

Robert E. Kirby <sup>2</sup>	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Westinghouse Elec-	
	tric Corporation, Pittsburgh, Pa.	1976
HORACE A. SHEPARD <sup>1</sup>	Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer, TRW Inc.,	
	Cleveland, Ohio	1977
Otis A. Singletary	President, University of Kentucky, Lexington, Ky.	1978

#### CINCINNATI BRANCH

#### APPOINTED BY FEDERAL RESERVE BANK:

Joseph F. Rippe	President, The Provident Bank, Cincinnati, Ohio	1976
Joe D. Blount	President, National Bank of Cynthiana, Ky.	1977
ROBERT A. KERR	Chairman of the Board and President, Winters National Bank	
	and Trust Co., Dayton, Ohio	1978
LAWRENCE C. HAWKINS	Vice President, University of Cincinnati, Ohio	1978

#### APPOINTED BY BOARD OF GOVERNORS:

CLAIR F. VOUGH	Chairman, Productivity Research International, Inc., Lex-	
	ington, Ky.	1976
LAWRENCE H. ROGERS II <sup>1</sup>	President, Taft Broadcasting Company, Cincinnati, Ohio	1977
MARTIN B. FRIEDMAN	President, Formica Corporation, Cincinnati, Ohio	1978

# DISTRICT 4—FEDERAL RESERVE BANK OF CLEVELAND—Continued

PITTSBURGH BRA		Term
APPOINTED BY FEDERAL RESE		expires Dec. 31
Malcolm E. Lambing, Jr. Richard D. Edwards R. BURT GOOKIN WILLIAM E. MIDKIFF, III	President, Chief Executive Officer, The First National Bank of Pennsylvania, Erie, Pa. President, Union National Bank, Pittsburgh, Pa. Vice Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, H.J. Heinz Co., Pittsburgh, Pa. Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, First National Bank and Trust Company in Steubenville, Ohio	1976 1977 1978
APPOINTED BY BOARD OF GOV	. ,	1976
G. Jackson Tankersley <sup>1</sup> Arnold R. Weber  W. H. Knoell	President, Consolidated Natural Gas Company, Pittsburgh, Pa.  Dean, Graduate School of Industrial Administration, Provost, Carnegie-Mellon University, Pittsburgh, Pa.  President, Cyclops Corporation, Pittsburgh, Pa.	1976 1977 1978
	RESERVE BANK OF RICHMOND	
CLASS A:		
PLATO P. PEARSON, JR.  JAMES A. HARDISON  J. OWEN COLE	<ul> <li>Chairman and President, The Citizens National Bank, Gastonia, N.C.</li> <li>Chairman and President, The First National Bank of Anson County, Wadesboro, N.C.</li> <li>Chairman of the Board and President, First National Bank of Maryland, Baltimore, Md.</li> </ul>	1976 1977
CLASS B:		
Andrew L. Clark Henry Clay Hofheimer, II Osby L. Weir	President, Andy Clark Ford, Inc., Princeton, W. Va. Chairman of the Board, Virginia Real Estate Investment Trust, Norfolk, Va. Retired General Manager, Metropolitan Washing- ton-Baltimore Area, Sears Roebuck and Company, Beth- esda, Md.	1977
CLASS C:		
E. Angus Powell <sup>1</sup> E. Craig Wall, Sr. <sup>2</sup> MACEO A. SLOAN	President, Chesterfield Land & Timber Corp., Midlothian, Va. Chairman of the Board, Canal Industries, Inc., Conway, S.C. Senior Vice President, North Carolina Mutual Life Insurance	1976 1977
	Co., Durham, N.C.	1978

#### DISTRICT 5—FEDERAL RESERVE BANK OF RICHMOND—Continued

BALTIMORE BRA	NCH	
		Term expires
APPOINTED BY FEDERAL RESERVE BANK: Dec		
J. Stevenson Peck	Chairman of the Board, Union Trust Company of Maryland	,
LACY I. RICE, JR.	Baltimore, Md.  President, The Old National Bank of Martinsburg, W.Va.  and President, Suburban National Bank of Martinsburg W.Va.	
J. Pierre Bernard	Chairman of the Board, The Annapolis Banking and Trus Company, Annapolis, Md.	
CATHERINE B. DOEHLER	Senior Vice President, Chesapeake Financial Corporation Baltimore, Md.	, 1978
APPOINTED BY BOARD OF GOV	TERNORS:	
I. E. KILLIAN	Manager, Eastern Region, Exxon Company, U.S.A., Balti more, Md.	- 1976
James G. Harlow <sup>1</sup>	President, West Virginia University, Morgantown, W.Va.	1977
DAVID W. BARTON, JR.	President, The Barton-Gillet Company, Baltimore, Md.	1978
CHARLOTTE BRA	NCH	
APPOINTED BY FEDERAL RESE	RVE BANK:	
THOMAS L. BENSON W. B. Apple, Jr.	President, The Conway National Bank, Conway, S.C. President and Trust Officer, First National Bank of Reids	1976 -
,	ville, N.C.	1976
John T. Fielder	President, J.B. Ivey and Company, Charlotte, N.C.	1977
WILLIAM W. BRUNER	Chairman of the Board, President, First National Bank o South Carolina, Columbia, S.C.	f 1978

#### APPOINTED BY BOARD OF GOVERNORS:

CHARLES W. DEBELL <sup>1</sup>	General Manager, North Carolina Works, Western Electric	
	Company, Inc., Winston-Salem, N.C.	1976
CHARLES F. BENBOW	Senior Vice President, R.J. Reynolds Industries, Inc., Win-	
	ston-Salem, N.C.	1977
ROBERT C. EDWARDS	President, Clemson University, Clemson, S.C.	1978

#### DISTRICT 6—FEDERAL RESERVE BANK OF ATLANTA

#### CLASS A:

JOHN T. OLIVER, JR.	President, First National Bank of Jasper, Ala.	1976
Јаск Р. Кеітн	President, First National Bank of West Point, Ga.	1977
SAM I. YARNELL	Chairman, American National Bank and Trust Company	,
	Chattanooga, Tenn.	1978

DISTRICT 6—FEDERAL F	RESERVE BANK OF ATLANTA—Continued	l
		Term expires
CLASS B:		Dec. 31
ROBERT T. HORNBECK	Manager, Tennessee Operations, Aluminum Company of America, Alcoa, Tenn.	f 1976
Ulysses V. Goodwyn	Executive Vice President, Southern Natural Resources, Inc. Birmingham, Ala.	, 1977
George W. Jenkins	Chairman, Publix Super Markets, Inc., Lakeland, Fla.	1978
CLASS C:		
CLIFFORD M. KIRTLAND, JR. <sup>2</sup> H. G. PATTILLO <sup>1</sup>	President, Cox Broadcasting Corporation, Atlanta, Ga. Chairman of the Board, Pattillo Construction Company, Inc. Decatur, Ga.	1976 , 1977
FRED ADAMS, JR.	President, Cal-Maine Foods, Inc., Jackson, Miss.	1978
BIRMINGHAM BR	ANCH	
APPOINTED BY FEDERAL RESE	RVE BANK:	
Clarence L. Turnipseed John Maples, Jr.	President, First National Bank, Brewton, Ala. Executive Vice President, Union Bank & Trust Company	
D.C. Wadsworth, Jr. ROBERT H. WOODROW, JR.	Montgomery, Ala.  President, American National Bank of Gadsden, Ala.  Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, Firs	
	National Bank of Birmingham, Ala.	1978
APPOINTED BY BOARD OF GOV	VERNORS:	
WILLIAM H. MARTIN, III HAROLD B. BLACH, JR. <sup>1</sup> FRANK P. SAMFORD, JR.	Executive Vice President, Martin Industries, Sheffield, Ala President, J. Blach & Sons, Inc., Birmingham, Ala. Chairman of the Board, Liberty National Life Insurance Co.	197 <b>7</b> ,
	Birmingham, Ala.	1978
JACKSONVILLE F	BRANCH	
APPOINTED BY FEDERAL RESE	RVE BANK:	
MACDONNELL TYRE RICHARD A. COOPER	Chairman, Sun First National Bank of Orlando, Fla. Chairman of the Board, First National Bank of New Por	
CHAUNCEY W. LEVER	Richey, Fla.  Chairman, Florida National Banks of Florida, Inc., Jackson ville, Fla.	1976 - 1977
JOHN T. CANNON, III	President, Barnett Bank of Cocoa, N.A., Cocoa, Fla.	1978
APPOINTED BY BOARD OF GOV	VERNORS	
Egbert R. Beall <sup>1</sup> Gert H. W. Schmidt James E. Lyons	President, Beall's Department Stores, Bradenton, Fla. President, TeLeVision 12 of Jacksonville, Fla. President, Lyons Industrial Corporation, Winter Haven, Fla	1976 1977 . 1978

DISTRICT 6—FEDERAL RESERVE BANK OF ATLA	$AN'\Gamma A$	_Continued
---	---------------	------------

DΙ	STRICT 6—FEDERAL F	RESERVE BANK OF ATLANTA—Continued	l
	MIAMI BRANCH		Term expires Dec. 31
ΔĐ	POINTED BY FEDERAL RESE.	DVF RANK	
<b>A4</b> .			
	MICHAEL J. FRANCO HARRY HOOD BASSETT	Chairman, City National Bank of Miami, Fla. Chairman of the Board, Southeast Banking Corporation, Miami, Fla.	1976 1977
	THOMAS F. FLEMING, JR.	Chairman of the Board, First Bancshares of Florida, Inc., Boca Raton, Fla.	
	JEAN MCARTHUR DAVIS	President, McArthur Dairy, Inc., Miami, Fla.	1978
AP.	POINTED BY BOARD OF GOV	VERNORS:	
	Castle W. Jordan¹ David G. Robinson	President, Aegis Corporation, Coral Gables, Fla. President, Edison Community College, Fort Myers, Fla.	1976 1977
	ALVARO LUIS CARTA	President, Gulf + Western Americas Corporation, Vero Beach, Fla.	1978
	NASHVILLE BRAN	NCH	
4P	POINTED BY FEDERAL RESE	RVE BANK:	
	T. SCOTT FILLEBROWN, JR.	Vice Chairman, First American National Bank of Nashville, Tenn.	, 1976
	Fred R. Lawson W. M. Johnson	President, Blount National Bank of Maryville, Tenn. President, First National Bank, Sparta, Tenn.	1976 1977
	JOHN W. ANDERSEN	President and Chief Executive Officer, First National Bank of Sullivan County, Kingsport, Tenn.	1978
AP.	POINTED BY BOARD OF GOV	/ERNORS:	
	JAMES W. LONG <sup>1</sup>	President, Robertson County Farm Bureau, Springfield	, 1976
	JAMES R. LAWSON JOHN C. BOLINGER	Fisk University, Nashville, Tenn.  Management Consultant, Knoxville, Tenn.	1977 1978
	JOHN C. BOLINGER	Management Consultant, Knowine, Tenn.	1770
	NEW ORLEANS B	BRANCH	
4 <i>P</i> .	POINTED BY FEDERAL RESE	RVE BANK:	
	MARTIN C. MILER	Chairman of the Board and President, The Hibernia National Bank, New Orleans, La.	1976
	CHARLES W. McCOY	Chairman of the Board, President, Louisiana National Bank, Baton Rouge, La.	
	R. B. LAMPTON WILMORE W. WHITMORE	President, First National Bank of Jackson, Miss.  President and Chief Executive Officer, First National Bank	1977
		of Houma, La.	1978

### DISTRICT 6—FEDERAL RESERVE BANK OF ATLANTA—Continued

DISTRICT 6—FEDERAL R	RESERVE BANK OF ATLANTA—Continued	l
NEW ORLEANS BRANCH—Continued  Term		
APPOINTED BY BOARD OF GOV	/ERNORS:	expires Dec. 31
HETTIE D. EAVES	Executive Vice President, Avondale Shipyards, Inc., New	
GEORGE C. CORTRIGHT EDWIN J. CAPLAN <sup>1</sup>	Orleans, La.  President, George C. Cortright Co., Rolling Fork, Miss.  President, Caplan's Men's Shops, Inc., Alexandria, La.	1976 1977 1978
DISTRICT 7—FEDERAL F	RESERVE BANK OF CHICAGO	
CLASS A:		
JAY J. DELAY JOHN F. SPIES A. ROBERT ABBOUD	President, Huron Valley National Bank, Ann Arbor, Mich President, Iowa Trust and Savings Bank, Emmetsburg, Iowa Chairman of the Board, First National Bank of Chicago	a 1977 ,
	III.	1978
CLASS B:		
Paul V. Farver John T. Hackett	President, Rolscreen Company, Pella, Iowa Executive Vice President, Cummins Engine Company, Inc.	
OSCAR G. MAYER	Columbus, Ind. Chairman of the Executive Committee, Oscar Mayer & Co. Inc., Madison, Wis.	1977 , 1978
CLASS C:		
Robert H. Strotz <sup>2</sup>	President, Northwestern University, Evanston, Ill.	1976
Leo H. Schoenhofen Peter B. Clark <sup>1</sup>	Chairman of the Board, Marcor Inc., Chicago, Ill. Chairman of the Board, President, The Evening News Association, Detroit, Mich.	1977 - 1978
DETROIT BRANCH		
APPOINTED BY FEDERAL RESE	RVE BANK:	
ROBERT M. SURDAM	Chairman of the Board, National Detroit Corporation, Detroit, Mich.	:- 1976
HAROLD A. ELGAS	President, Gaylord State Bank, Gaylord, Mich.	1977
JOSEPH B. FOSTER	President, Ann Arbor Bank, Ann Arbor, Mich.	1978
CHARLES R. MONTGOMER I	President, Consolidated Gas Company, Detroit, Mich.	1978
APPOINTED BY BOARD OF GO	VERNORS:	
JORDAN B. TATTER	President and Chief Executive Officer, Southern Michiga. Cold Storage Co., Benton Harbor, Mich.	n 1976
TOM KILLEFER <sup>1</sup>	Executive Vice President and General Counsel, Chrysle Corporation, Detroit, Mich.	
HERBERT H. DOW	Secretary, Dow Chemical Company, Midland, Mich.	1978

DISTRICT 8—FEDERAL	RESERVE BANK OF ST. LOUIS	Term expires	
CLASS A:		Dec. 31	
RAYMOND C. BURROUGHS DONALD N. BRANDIN	President, The City National Bank of Murphysboro, Ill. Chairman of the Board and President, The Boatmen's National Bank of St. Louis, Mo.	1976 1- 1977	
William E. Weigel	Executive Vice President, First National Bank & Trust Co. Centralia, Ill.		
CLASS B:			
Fred I. Brown, Jr. Ralph C. Bain	President, Arkansas Foundry Company, Little Rock, Ark. Senior Vice President and General Manager, Arkla Industries Inc. Evensyille Ind.		
Том К. Ѕмітн	tries, Inc., Evansville, Ind. Group Vice President, Monsanto Company, St. Louis, Monsanto Company, Monsanto Compan		
CLASS C:			
Harry M. Young, Jr. Edward J. Schnuck <sup>1</sup>	Melrose Farm, Herndon, Ky. Chairman of the Board, Schnuck Markets, Inc., Bridgeton		
Vacancy	Mo.	1977 1978	
LITTLE ROCK BRANCH			
APPOINTED BY FEDERAL RESI	ERVE BANK:		
HERBERT H. MCADAMS, II	Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer, Unio National Bank of Little Rock, Ark.	n 1976	
THOMAS E. HAYS, JR.	President and Chief Executive Officer, First National Ban of Hope, Ark.	1977	
Thomas G. Vinson	Executive Vice President, The Citizens Bank, Batesville Ark.	e, 1978	
FIELD WASSON	President, The First National Bank, Siloam Springs, Ark.	1978	
APPOINTED BY BOARD OF GO	VERNORS:		
ROLAND R. REMMEL	Chairman of the Board, Southland Building Products Co. Little Rock, Ark.	, 1976	
RONALD W. BAILEY <sup>1</sup>	Executive Vice President and General Manager, Producer Rice Mill, Inc., Stuttgart, Ark.	s 1977	
GEORGE L. KELLEY	President, Pickens-Bond Construction Company, Littl Rock, Ark.		
LOUISVILLE BRANCH			
APPOINTED BY FEDERAL RESE	ERVE BANK:		
HAROLD E. JACKSON	President, The Scott County State Bank, Scottsburg, Ind.	1976	
J. DAVID GRISSOM  TOM G. VOSS	President and Chief Operating Officer, Citizens Fidelit Corporation, Louisville, Ky.  President, The Seymour National Bank, Seymour, Ind.	y 1977 1978	
FRED B. ONEY	President, The First National Bank of Carrollton, Ky.	1978	

## DISTRICT 8—FEDERAL RESERVE BANK OF ST. LOUIS—Continued

DISTRICT 6—FEDERAL RESERVE DAING OF ST. LOUIS—Committee			
LOUISVILLE BRA	NCH—Continued	Term expires	
APPOINTED BY BOARD OF GOV	VERNORS:	Dec. 31	
WILLIAM H. STROUBE <sup>1</sup> JAMES C. HENDERSHOT  JAMES H. DAVIS	Associate Dean, College of Science and Technology, West ern Kentucky University, Bowling Green, Ky. President, Reliance Universal, Inc., Louisville, Ky. Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer, Porter Pain Co., Louisville, Ky.	1976 1977	
MEMPHIS BRANC	СН		
APPOINTED BY FEDERAL RESE	RVE BANK:		
WILLIAM M. CAMPBELL	Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer, First Na		
Charles S. Youngblood	tional Bank of Eastern Arkansas, Forrest City, Ark. President and Chief Executive Officer, First Columbus National Bank, Columbus, Miss.	1976 - 1977	
WILLIAM W. MITCHELL	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, First National Band of Memphis, Tenn.		
STALLINGS LIPFORD	President, First-Citizens National Bank, Dyersburg, Tenn.	1978	
APPOINTED BY BOARD OF GOVERNORS:			
ROBERT E. HEALY <sup>1</sup>	Partner-in-Charge of the Mid-South Area, Price Waterhous & Co., Memphis, Tenn.	e 1976	
Frank A. Jones, Jr. Jeanne L. Holley	President, Cook Industries, Inc., Memphis, Tenn. Associate Professor of Business Education, University of	1977 f	
	Mississippi, University, Miss.	1978	
DISTRICT 9—FEDERAL F	RESERVE BANK OF MINNEAPOLIS		
CLASS A:			
CHARLES T. UNDLIN	President, First National Bank of the Black Hills, Rapid City S. Dak.	, 1976	
William E. Ryan JOHN S. ROUZIE	President, Citizens State Bank, Ontonagon, Mich. President, First National Bank of Bowman, N. Dak.	1977 1978	
CLASS B:			
Warren B. Jones	Secretary-Treasurer, General Manager, Two Dot Land & Livestock Co., Harlowton, Mont.	ն 1976	
Donald P. Helgeson RUSSELL G. CLEARY	Secretary-Treasurer, Jack Frost, Inc., St. Cloud, Minn. Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, G. Heile	1977	
	man Brewing Company, LaCrosse, Wis.	1978	
CLASS C:			
Howard R. Swearer STEPHEN F. KEATING <sup>2</sup>	President, Carleton College, Northfield, Minn. Chairman of the Board, Honeywell, Inc., Minneapolis	1976 ,	
James P. McFarland <sup>1</sup>	Minn. Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer, Genera	1977	
JAMES I. INCLAREND	Mills, Inc., Minneapolis, Minn.	1978	

### DISTRICT 9—FEDERAL RESERVE BANK OF MINNEAPOLIS—Continued

HELENA BRANCH

Term
expires

Dec. 31

#### APPOINTED BY FEDERAL RESERVE BANK:

JOHN REICHEL President, First National Bank, Great Falls, Mont. 1976
GEORGE H. SELOVER President and General Manager, Selover Buick-Jeep, Inc.,
Billings, Mont. 1976
DONALD OLSSON President, Ronan State Bank, Ronan, Mont. 1977

#### APPOINTED BY BOARD OF GOVERNORS:

JAMES C. GARLINGTON<sup>1</sup> Senior Partner, Garlington, Lohn & Robinson, Attorneys,
Missoula, Mont. 1976
REGINALD M. DAVIES Owner-Operator, S Bar B Ranch, Chinook, Mont. 1977

### DISTRICT 10—FEDERAL RESERVE BANK OF KANSAS CITY

#### CLASS A:

PHILIP HAMM	President, First National Bank & Trust Company, El Dorado,	
	Kans.	1976
Craig Bachman	President, First National Bank of Centralia, Kans.	1977
JAMES M. KEMPER, JR.	Chairman and President, Commerce Bancshares, Inc.,	
	Kansas City, Mo.	1978

#### CLASS B:

Donald J. Hall	President, Hallmark Cards, Inc., Kansas City, Mo.	1976
Frank C. Love	Of Counsel, Crowe, Dunlevy, Thweatt, Swinford, Johnson	n
	and Burdick, Oklahoma City, Okla.	1977
ALAN R. SLEEPER	Livestock and Ranching, Alden, Kans.	1978

#### CLASS C:

Robert T. Person <sup>1</sup>	Chairman of the Board, President, Public Service Co. of	
	Colorado, Denver, Colo.	1976
Joseph H. Williams	President, The Williams Companies, Tulsa, Okla.	1977
Harold W. Andersen <sup>2</sup>	President, Omaha World-Herald Company, Omaha, Nebr.	1978

#### DENVER BRANCH

#### APPOINTED BY FEDERAL RESERVE BANK:

DALE R. HINMAN	Chairman of the Board, The Greeley National Bank, Gre	ee-
	ley, Colo.	1976
WILLIAM H. VERNON	Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer, Santa	Fe
	National Bank, Santa Fe, N. Mex.	1976
FELIX BUCHENROTH, JR.	President, The Jackson State Bank, Jackson, Wyo.	1977

### DISTRICT 10—FEDERAL RESERVE BANK OF KANSAS CITY—Continued

Term expires

#### DENVER BRANCH—Continued

Dec. 31

#### APPOINTED BY BOARD OF GOVERNORS:

EDWARD R. LUCERO

President and Chairman, Colorado Economic Development

Association, Denver, Colo.

1976

MAURICE B. MITCHELL<sup>1</sup>

Chancellor, University of Denver, Colo.

1977

#### OKLAHOMA CITY BRANCH

#### APPOINTED BY FEDERAL RESERVE BANK:

HUGH C. JONES V. M. THOMPSON, JR.

J. A. MAURER

Executive Vice President, The Bank of Woodward, Okla. 1976 President, Utica National Bank and Trust Co., Tulsa, Okla. 1976

Chairman of the Board, The Security National Bank and Trust Company, Duncan, Okla.

1977

#### APPOINTED BY BOARD OF GOVERNORS:

HARLEY CUSTER General Manager, National Livestock Commission Associa-

tion, Oklahoma City, Okla.

1976

President, Oklahoma Gas and Electric Co., Oklahoma City, JAMES G. HARLOW, JR.<sup>1</sup>

1977

#### **OMAHA BRANCH**

#### APPOINTED BY FEDERAL RESERVE BANK:

F. PHILLIPS GILTNER

President, First National Bank of Omaha, Nebr.

1976

GLENN YAUSSI

Vice Chairman of the Board, National Bank of Commerce

Trust & Savings, Lincoln, Nebr.

1977

ROY G. DINSDALE

Chairman of the Board, Farmers National Bank of Central

City, Nebr. 1977

#### APPOINTED BY BOARD OF GOVERNORS:

EDWARD F. OWEN DURWARD B. VARNER<sup>1</sup> President, Paxton & Vierling Steel Company, Omaha, Nebr. 1976 President, University of Nebraska, Lincoln, Nebr. 1977

#### DISTRICT 11—FEDERAL RESERVE BANK OF DALLAS

#### CLASS A:

GENE D. ADAMS President, The First National Bank of Seymour, Tex. 1976

FRANK JUNELL Chairman of the Board, The Central National Bank of San

> 1977 Angelo, Tex.

Chairman of the Board, First International Bancshares, ROBERT H. STEWART, III

> 1978 Dallas, Tex.

DISTRICT 11—FEDERAL	RESERVE BANK OF DALLAS—Continued	Term expires
CLASS B:		Dec. 3
STEWART ORTON GERALD D. HINES THOMAS W. HERRICK	President, Foley's Inc., Houston, Tex. Owner, Gerald D. Hines Interests, Houston, Tex. Cattle and Investments, Amarillo, Tex.	1976 1977 1978
CLASS C:		
JOHN LAWRENCE <sup>1</sup>	Chairman of the Board, Dresser Industries, Inc., Dallas, Tex.	1976
IRVING A. MATHEWS	Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, Frost Bros., Inc., San Antonio, Tex.	1977
Charles T. Beaird <sup>2</sup>	Chairman of the Board, Beaird-Poulan Division, Emerson Electric Co., Shreveport, La.	
EL PASO BRANC	СН	
APPOINTED BY FEDERAL RESE	RVE BANK:	
C. J. KELLY	Chairman of the Board, The First National Bank of Midland, Tex.	1976
WAYNE STEWART	President, First National Bank in Alamogordo, N. Mex.	1977
REED H. CHITTIM	President, First National Bank of Lea County, Hobbs, N. Mex.	1978
ARNOLD B. PEINADO, JR.	President, Peinado, Peinado & Navarro, Consulting Structural Engineers, El Paso, Tex.	1978
APPOINTED BY BOARD OF GOV	VERNORS:	
HERBERT M. SCHWARTZ	President, Popular Dry Goods Co., Inc., El Paso, Tex.	1976 1977
Gage Holland J. Luther Davis¹	Owner, Gage Holland Ranch, Alpine, Tex. Chairman of the Board, President, Tucson Gas & Electric	
	Company, Tucson, Ariz.	1978
HOUSTON BRANC	СН	
APPOINTED BY FEDERAL RESE	RVE BANK:	
Page K. Stubblefield Seth W. Dorbandt Bookman Peters Nat S. Rogers	President, Victoria Bank & Trust Company, Victoria, Tex. Chairman and President, First National Bank in Conroe, Tex. President, The City National Bank of Bryan, Tex. President, First City National Bank of Houston, Tex.	
APPOINTED BY BOARD OF GOV	VERNORS:	
Thomas J. Barlow <sup>1</sup>	President and Chief Executive Officer, Anderson Clayton & Co., Houston, Tex.	1976
GENE M. WOODFIN	President, Chairman, and Chief Executive Officer, Marathon Manufacturing Company, Houston, Tex.	1977
ALVIN I. THOMAS	President, Prairie View A & M University, Prairie View, Tex.	

## DISTRICT 11—FEDERAL RESERVE BANK OF DALLAS—Continued

SAN ANTONIO	BRANCH	Term
APPOINTED BY FEDERAL RESERVE BANK:		expires Dec. 31
BEN R. LOW LEON STONE RICHARD W. CALVERT JOHN H. HOLCOMB	President, First National Bank of Kerrville, Tex. President, The Austin National Bank, Austin, Tex. President, National Bank of Commerce of San Antonio, Tex Owner-Manager, Progreso Haciendas Company, Holcom Farms, Progreso, Tex.	
APPOINTED BY BOARD OF GO	VERNORS:	
MARGARET SCARBROUGH WILSON <sup>1</sup> MARSHALL BOYKIN, III  PETE J. MORALES, JR.	<ul> <li>Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, Scar broughs Stores, Austin, Tex.</li> <li>Senior Partner, Wood, Boykin &amp; Wolter, Lawyers, Corpu Christi, Tex.</li> <li>President and General Manager, Morales Feed Lots, Inc. Devine, Tex.</li> </ul>	1976 s 1977
DISTRICT 12—FEDERAL	RESERVE BANK OF SAN FRANCISCO	
A. W. Clausen	President, Chief Executive Officer, Bank of America NT &	D.
CARL E. SCHROEDER RONALD S. HANSON	SA, San Francisco, Calif. Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, The First National Bank of Orange County, Orange, Calif. President, The First National Bank of Logan, Utah	1976
CLASS B:		
CLAIR L. PECK  CHARLES R. DAHL  MALCOLM T. STAMPER	<ul> <li>Chairman of the Board, C. L. Peck Contractor, Los Angeles Calif.</li> <li>President and Chief Executive Officer, Crown Zellerbac Corporation, San Francisco, Calif.</li> <li>President, The Boeing Company, Seattle, Wash.</li> </ul>	1976
CLASS C:		
O. MEREDITH WILSON <sup>1</sup> CORNELL C. MAIER  JOSEPH F. ALIBRANDI <sup>2</sup>	<ul> <li>Retired President, Center for Advanced Study in the Behavioral Sciences, Stanford, Calif.</li> <li>President and Chief Executive Officer, Kaiser Aluminum &amp; Chemical Corporation, Oakland, Calif.</li> <li>President and Chief Executive Officer, Whittaker Corp., Loangeles, Calif.</li> </ul>	1976 & 1977

# DISTRICT 12—FEDERAL RESERVE BANK OF SAN FRANCISCO—Continued

Continued		
LOS ANGELES BRANCH		Term
APPOINTED BY FEDERAL RESE	RVE BANK:	expires Dec. 31
Linus E. Southwick Robert A. Barley Rayburn S. Dezember	President, Valley National Bank, Glendale, Calif. President, United California Bank, Los Angeles, Calif. Chairman and President, American National Bank, Bakersfield, Calif.	1976 1976
W. Gordon Ferguson	President, National Bank of Whittier, Calif.	1978
APPOINTED BY BOARD OF GOV	VERNORS:	
Armando M. Rodriguez Joseph R. Vaughan¹ Harvey A. Proctor	President, East Los Angeles College, Los Angeles, Calif. President, Knudsen Corporation, Los Angeles, Calif. Chairman of the Board, Southern California Gas Company.	1976 1977
	Los Angeles, Calif.	1978
PORTLAND BRA		
APPOINTED BY FEDERAL RES	ERVE BANK:	
Frank L. Servoss James H. Stanard	President, Crater National Bank, Medford, Oreg. Executive Vice President, First National Bank of Mc-	
KEN SMITH	Minnville, Oreg. General Manager, The Confederated Tribes of the Warm Springs Reservation, Warm Springs, Oreg.	1976 1977
APPOINTED BY BOARD OF GO	OVERNORS:	
JOHN R. HOWARD LORAN L. STEWART <sup>1</sup>	President, Lewis and Clark College, Portland, Oreg. President, Bohemia Inc., Eugene, Oreg.	1976 1977
SALT LAKE CITY	Y BRANCH	
APPOINTED BY FEDERAL RESE	RVE BANK:	
ROY W. SIMMONS DAVID P. GARDNER MARY S. JENSEN	President, Zions First National Bank, Salt Lake City, Utah President, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah Chairman of the Board, Idaho State Bank, Glenns Ferry, Idaho	1976 1976 1977
APPOINTED BY BOARD OF GOV	'ERNORS:	
Sam Bennion <sup>1</sup> Theodore C. Jacobsen	President, V-1 Oil Company, Idaho Falls, Idaho Partner, Jacobsen Construction Company, Inc., Salt Lake City, Utah	1976 1977

## DISTRICT 12—FEDERAL RESERVE BANK OF SAN FRANCISCO— Continued

SEATTLE BRANCH	Term
	expires

Dec. 31

#### APPOINTED BY FEDERAL RESERVE BANK:

HARRY S. GOODFELLOW	Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, Old	
	National Bank of Washington, Spokane, Wash.	1976
RUFUS C. SMITH	Chairman of the Board, The First National Bank of Enum-	
	claw, Wash.	1977

Vacancy 1976

#### APPOINTED BY BOARD OF GOVERNORS:

LLOYD E. COONEY <sup>1</sup>	President and General Manager, KIRO-Radio & Television	1,
	Seattle, Wash.	1976
THOMAS T. HIRAI	President and Director, Quality Growers Company, Wood	<b>i</b> -
	inville, Wash.	1977

## Announcements

## APPOINTMENT OF MR. GARDNER AS A MEMBER OF THE BOARD OF GOVERNORS

President Ford on January 15, 1976, announced his intention to appoint Stephen S. Gardner as a member of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System. Mr. Gardner's appointment was subsequently confirmed by the Senate on January 29, and his oath of office was administered on February 13.

The text of the White House announcement follows:

The President has announced his intention to nominate Stephen S. Gardner, of Wawa, Pennsylvania, to be a member of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System for a term of fourteen years beginning February 1, 1976. He will succeed George W. Mitchell whose term expires January 31, 1976. Upon confirmation by the Senate, the President will designate Mr. Gardner as Vice Chairman of the Board of Governors.

Mr. Gardner was born on December 26, 1921, in Wakefield, Massachusetts. He was educated at Boston University, Harvard College, and received his M.B.A. from Harvard Graduate School of Business Administration in 1949.

In 1949, Mr. Gardner joined the Girard Trust Bank in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, and became President in 1966, serving until 1971, when he became Chairman of the Board. He was named to his current position as Deputy Secretary of the Treasury on July 31, 1974.

Mr. Gardner is married to the former Connie Andonegui and they have five children. They reside in the District of Columbia.

#### CHANGE IN DISCOUNT RATE

The Board of Governors has announced the approval of action by directors of the Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis reducing the discount rate of that Bank from 6 per cent to 5½ per cent, effective January 23. At that time the rate was 5½ per cent at all Federal Reserve Banks.

## POLICY STATEMENT ON FOREIGN JOINT VENTURES

The Board of Governors on February 12, 1976, issued a statement of policy concerning the participation in foreign joint ventures by U.S. banking organizations. The policy is designed to deal with possible future risks entailed in becoming a shareholder in a foreign joint venture.

The policy statement is similar to that issued for comment by the Board on December 23. Some changes were made in the statement in light of public comments that were received since that time.

As a matter of policy, the Board will take the following factors, among others, into account in considering whether to approve an application to invest in a foreign joint venture:

- 1. The possibility that the venture might need additional financial support.
- 2. The possibility that the additional support might be significantly larger than the original equity investment in the joint venture.

The policy statement is not intended to prohibit or discourage joint ventures abroad. Its objective is to clarify for all parties the probable dimensions of the risks involved in such ventures.

### REGULATION Z: Amendments and Interpretations

The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System announced on January 27, 1976, regulatory amendments to carry out recent legislative revisions in the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act (RESPA) and the Truth in Lending Act.

The amendments to Regulation Z will:

- 1. Eliminate the need to make Truth in Lending disclosures together with RESPA disclosures.
- 2. Eliminate the requirement for disclosure of closing costs in certain real estate transactions not covered by RESPA. This rescinds a regulatory amendment announced by the Board on October 24, 1975, and scheduled to have gone into effect on January 31.

The Board on February 3, 1976, issued two interpretations to the Fair Credit Billing section of its Regulation Z.

The interpretations relate to:

- 1. The timing of the semiannual statement creditors must send to their customers explaining the procedures for correcting billing errors. This interpretation also permits a creditor to omit any portion of the semiannual notice that does not apply to a particular credit plan.
- 2. Modification of semiannual statements sent in States that have their own substantially similar fair credit billing acts.

#### CHANGES IN BOARD STAFF

The Board has announced a reorganization of its staff management functions, effective January 20, 1976.

The Office of Managing Director for Research and Economic Policy has been eliminated and an Office of Staff Director for Monetary Policy created. Stephen H. Axilrod, Adviser to the Board, has been appointed the Staff Director for Monetary Policy and Arthur L. Broida, Assistant to the Board, Deputy Staff Director.

The Office of Managing Director for Operations has been redesignated the Office of Staff Director for Management and John M. Denkler, Managing Director for Operations, named Staff Director. Robert J. Lawrence, Deputy Managing Director for Operations, has been designated Deputy Staff Director for Management.

The Board has also announced the temporary appointment of Joseph P. Garbarini, Vice President, Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis, as an Assistant Secretary of the Board, replacing Robert E. Smith III, who has returned to the Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas.

Mr. Garbarini, who holds a B.S. from Christian Brothers College and has attended the School of Banking of the South at Louisiana State University, joined the staff of the Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis, Memphis Branch, in 1960 and was appointed Vice President of the Bank in 1971. He also served as Secretary of the Conference of Presidents of the Federal Reserve System in 1971.

## STATISTICAL RELEASE: Automobile Credit

The Board of Governors has consolidated three statistical releases on automobile credit and terms into a single release, issued monthly. In addition to data on volume of credit extended for new and used cars, average notes, and number financed, the new release shows finance company data on maturities, loan-to-value ratios, and finance rates and figures for maturities on new-car loans at commercial banks.

To be placed on the mailing list for this release, entitled "G. 26, Automobile Credit," direct requests to Publications Services, Division of Administrative Services, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551. Historical data are available on request from the Mortgage and Consumer Finance Section, Division of Research and Statistics, at the Board.

## ADMISSION OF STATE BANKS TO MEMBERSHIP IN SYSTEM

The following banks were admitted to membership in the Federal Reserve System during the period January 16, 1976, through February 15, 1976:

Missouri			
Kansas City		Baltimore	Bank and
		Trust	Company
Montana			
Helena	Northwest	ern Union	Trust Co

## **Industrial Production**

#### Released for publication February 13


Industrial production increased by an estimated 0.7 per cent in January following revised increases of 0.6 per cent and 0.9 per cent in November and December, respectively. The January increase reflected continued gains in all major components of the index. At 119.3 per cent of the 1967 average, the total has risen 8.5 per cent since the April 1975 low.

Among consumer goods, durables apparently increased further, as production of home goods expanded and auto assemblies were only slightly reduced. Auto production is currently scheduled to increase to an 8.0 million rate in February, from a 7.6 million unit annual rate in January. Output of nondurable consumer goods, which was revised upward in December, continued to expand in January. Business equipment production is estimated to have increased 0.7 per cent in January, following a December rise of 0.8 per cent.

Production of durable goods materials apparently increased almost 1 per cent in January from a December level, which was revised downward substantially. Gains in output of nondurable goods materials continued in January but at a somewhat slower rate than earlier.

Production of energy materials changed little in

January. Total electricity output and use for industrial purposes increased further in December by 2.4 per cent with use for non-nuclear purposes rising 1 per cent, as shown by new data introduced in the January 1976 BULLETIN.



F.R. indexes, seasonally adjusted. Latest figures: January. \*Auto sales and stocks include imports.

			ly adjusted = 100				
Industrial production		1975	Per cent changes from—				
	Oct.	Nov.	Dec. <sup>p</sup>	Jan.e	Month ago	Year ago	Q3 to Q4
Total	116.7	117.4	118.5	119.3	.7	4.9	3.0
Products, total Final products Consumer goods Durable goods Nondurable goods Business equipment Intermediate products Construction products	116.9 117.0 127.0 118.3 130.5 115.7 117.0 112.5	117.8 117.8 128.6 119.0 132.5 116.4 117.8 112.5	119.9 119.8 130.8 120.5 135.1 117.3 120.4 113.2	120.6 120.6 132.1 121.5 136.1 118.1 121.1	.6 .7 1.0 .8 .7 .7 .6 .7	4.5 5.0 10.0 16.8 7.8 -3.4 3.0 -1.5	1.9 1.7 2.2 2.1 2.5 1.5 2.6 2.6
Materials	116.5	116.5	116.4	117.3	.8	6.2	4.9

<sup>p</sup>Preliminary.

eEstimated.

## Financial and Business Statistics

#### CONTENTS

1110101		<i>31</i> 1011 C	OVER
Guide	to	Tabular	Presentation

INSIDE BACK COVER

Statistical Releases: Reference

#### U.S. STATISTICS

- A2 Member bank reserves, Reserve Bank credit, and related items
- A5 Federal funds—Money market banks
- A6 Reserve Bank interest rates
- A7 Reserve requirements
- A8 Maximum interest rates; margin requirements
- A9 Open market account
- A10 Federal Reserve Banks
- A11 Bank debits
- A12 Money stock
- A13 Bank reserves; bank credit
- A14 Commercial banks, by classes
- A18 Weekly reporting banks
- A23 Business loans of banks
- A24 Demand deposit ownership
- A25 Loan sales by banks
- A25 Open market paper
- A26 Interest rates
- A29 Security markets
- A29 Stock market credit
- A30 Savings institutions

- A32 Federal finance
- A34 U.S. Government securities
- A37 Federally sponsored credit agencies
- A38 Security issues
- A41 Business finance
- A42 Real estate credit
- A45 Consumer credit
- A48 Industrial production
- A50 Business activity
- A50 Construction
- A52 Labor force, employment, and unemployment
- A53 Consumer prices
- A53 Wholesale prices
- A54 National product and income
- A56 Flow of funds

#### INTERNATIONAL STATISTICS

- A58 U.S. balance of payments
- A59 Foreign trade
- A59 U.S. reserve assets
- A60 Gold reserves of central banks and governments
- A61 International capital transactions of the United States
- A74 Open market rates
- A75 Central bank rates
- A75 Foreign exchange rates
- A82 INDEX TO STATISTICAL TABLES

#### MEMBER BANK RESERVES, FEDERAL RESERVE BANK CREDIT, AND RELATED ITEMS

(In millions of dollars)

				Fac	ctors supply	ing reserve fu	nds			
			Reserve Ba	ank credit o	utstanding					
Period or date	U.S.	Govt. secur	ities 1						Special Drawing	Treas- ury cur-
	Total	Bought out- right <sup>2</sup>	Held under repur- chase agree- ment	Loans	Float <sup>3</sup>	Other F.R. assets 4	Total <sup>5</sup>	Gold stock	Rights certificate account	rency out- stand- ing
Averages of daily figures										
1939—Dec. 1941—Dec. 1945—Dec. 1950—Dec. 1960—Dec.	2,510 2,219 23,708 20,345 27,248	2,510 2,219 23,708 20,336 27,170	9 78	8 5 381 142 94	83 170 652 1,117 1,665		2,612 2,404 24,744 21,606 29,060	17,518 22,759 20,047 22,879 17,954		2,956 3,239 4,322 4,629 5,396
1969—Dec. 1970—Dec. 1971—Dec. 1972—Dec. 1973—Dec. 1973—Dec.	57,500 61,688 69,158 71,094 79,701 86,679	57,295 61,310 68,868 70,790 78,833 85,202	205 378 290 304 868 1,477	1,086 321 107 1,049 1,298 703	3,235 3,570 3,905 3,479 3,414 2,734	2,204 1,032 982 1,138 1,079 3,129	64,100 66,708 74,255 76,851 85,642 93,967	10,367 11,105 10,132 10,410 11,567 11,630	400 400 400 400 400	6,841 7,145 7,611 8,293 8,668 9,179
1975—Jan Feb Mar Apr May June July Aug Sept Oct Nov Dec	86,039 84,744 84,847 87,080 91,918 88,912 88,166 86,829 89,191 90,476 90,934 92,108	85,369 83,843 84,398 86,117 89,355 87,618 87,882 86,348 87,531 89,547 89,560 91,225	670 901 449 963 2,563 1,294 284 481 1,660 929 1,374 883	390 147 106 110 60 271 261 211 396 191 61 127	2,456 2,079 1,994 2,061 1,877 2,046 1,911 1,691 1,823 1,945 2,480 3,029	3,391 3,419 3,142 3,237 3,039 3,098 3,100 2,953 3,060 3,521 3,481 3,534	93,002 91,168 90,819 93,214 97,845 95,119 94,144 92,395 95,277 96,931 97,817 99,651	11,647 11,626 11,620 11,620 11,620 11,620 11,620 11,620 11,599 11,599 11,599	400 400 400 429 500 500 500 500 500 500	9,235 9,284 9,362 9,410 9,464 9,536 9,616 9,721 79,797 9,877 10,010 10,094
1976—Jan. <sup>p</sup>	92,998	91,524	1,474	<b>7</b> 9	2,709	3,505	100,197	11,599	500	10,177
Week ending—										
1975—Nov. 5	92,251 87,911 90,116 92,992	89,755 87,449 89,465 90,992	2,496 462 651 2,000	67 39 58 73	2,213 2,265 2,867 2,295	3,714 3,752 3,474 3,116	99,245 94,725 97,311 99,393	11,599 11,599 11,599 11,599	500 500 500 500	9,909 9,9 <b>55</b> 10,049 10,061
Dec. 3	91,961 89,531 90,625 94,134 94,468	90,887 89,009 90,625 92,580 92,978	1,074 522 1,554 1,490	66 28 44 219 253	2,661 2,347 2,626 3,144 4,634	3,279 3,486 3,557 3,356 3,466	98,850 96,170 97,585 101,720 103,807	11,599 11,599 11,599 11,599 11,599	500 500 500 500 500	10,102 10,081 10,087 10,099 10,118
1976—Jan. 7 <sup>p</sup>	94,151 90,940 91,705 94,040	92,462 90,940 91,070 91,480	1,689 635 2,560	71 44 152 58	3,474 2,854 2,411 2,411	3,501 3,414 3,373 3,622	102,243 97,994 98,391 101,098	11,599 11,599 11,599 11,599	500 500 500 500	10,119 10,139 10,157 10,246
End of month										
1975—Nov	91,209 94,124	91,209 92,789	1,335	45 211	3,070 3,688	3,252 3,312	98,303 102,461	11,599 11,599	500 500	10,138 10,218
1976—Jan. <sup>p</sup>	96,588	91,850	4,738	64	1,624	3,676	103,182	11,599	500	10,250
Wednesday  1975—Nov. 5	85,676 90,976 91,967 96,041	85,022 87,737 90,372 90,956	654 3,239 1,595 5,085	52 70 91 184	2,639 3,430 3,086 2,247	3,723 3,803 3,082 3,221	93,066 99,159 99,163 102,816	11,599 11,599 11,599 11,599	500 500 500 500	9,916 10,033 10,056 10,068
Dec. 3	90,231 88,758 89,885 94,459 94,124	89,597 88,758 89,885 92,777 92,789	1,682 1,335	66 31 66 1,263 211	2,811 3,273 3,635 4,856 3,688	3,370 4,302 3,631 3,366 3,312	97,416 97,088 97,943 104,914 102,461	11,599 11,599 11,599 11,599 11,599	500 500 500 500 500	10,077 10,087 10,087 10,099 10,218
1976—Jan. 7 <sup>p</sup>	91,872 91,507 92,068 98,334	90,810 91,507 92,068 91,833	1,062	41 47 841 138	3,710 3,402 2,887 2,539	3,443 3,362 3,395 3,668	100,020 99,053 99,927 105,845	11,599 11,599 11,599 11,599	500 500 500 500	10,138 10,142 10,243 10,250

Notes continued on opposite page.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Includes Federal agency issues held under repurchase agreements beginning Dec. 1, 1966, and Federal agency issues bought outright beginning Sept. 29, 1971.

<sup>2</sup> Includes, beginning 1969, securities loaned—fully guaranteed by U.S. Govt. securities pledged with F.R. Banks, and excludes (if any), securities sold and scheduled to be bought back under matched sale-purchase transactions. Jacobs and scientific to be begin to the first transactions.

Jacobs Beginning 1960 reflects a minor change in concept; see Feb. 1961 BULLETIN, p, 164.

4 Beginning Apr. 16, 1969, "Other F.R. assets" and "Other F.R.

liabilities and capital" are shown separately; formerly, they were netted together and reported as "Other F.R. accounts."

<sup>5</sup> Includes industrial loans and acceptances until Aug. 21, 1959, when industrial loan program was discontinued. For holdings of acceptances on Wed, and end-of-month dates, see p. A-10. See also note 3.

<sup>6</sup> Includes certain deposits of domestic nonmember banks and foreign owned banking institutions held with member banks and redeposited in

#### MEMBER BANK RESERVES, FEDERAL RESERVE BANK CREDIT, AND RELATED ITEMS—Continued

(In millions of dollars)

			Factor	s absorbing	reserve fund	5				_
Cur- rency in	Treas- ury cash	thai	eposits, other n member be reserves th F.R. Ban	ank	Other F.R. ac- counts 4	Other F.R. lia-	ì	Member ban reserves	k	Period or date
cir- cula- tion	hold- ings	Treas- ury	For- eign	Other <sup>3</sup> ,6		bilities and capital4	With F.R. Banks	Cur- rency and coin <sup>7</sup>	Total 8	
										Averages of daily figures
7,609 10,985 28,452 27,806 33,019	2,402 2,189 2,269 1,290 408	616 592 625 615 522	73 1,53 1,24 920 250	1	248 292 493 739 1,029		11,473 12,812 16,027 17,391 16,688	2,595	11,473 12,812 16,027 17,391 19,283	
53,591 57,013 61,060 66,060 71,646 78,951	656 427 453 350 323 220	1,194 849 1,926 1,449 1,892 1,741	146 145 290 272 406 357	458 735 728 631 717 874		2,192 2,265 2,287 2,362 2,942 3,266	23,071 23,925 25,653 24,830 28,352 29,767	4,960 5,340 5,676 6,095 6,635 7,174	28,031 29,265 31,329 31,353 35,068 36,941	
77,780 76,979 77,692 78,377 79,102 80,607 81,758 81,822 *81,907 82,215 83,740 85,810	221 236 277 309 326 355 358 368 368 7362 387 415 452	2,087 2,374 1,887 3,532 8,115 3,353 2,207 818 3,415 4,940 4,333 3,955	336 317 363 307 262 272 269 274 308 271 297 259	884 711 958 718 746 989 711 660 798 632 649		3,264 3,358 3,076 3,137 3,231 3,191 3,135 3,096 3,169 3,208 3,276 3,276 3,247	29,713 28,503 27,948 28,264 27,576 28,007 27,442 27,183 27,215 27,254 27,215 27,215	7,779 7,062 6,831 6,870 6,916 6,969 7,213 7,299 7,431 7,313 7,356 7,773	37, 492 35, 565 34, 779 35, 134 34, 492 34, 976 34, 655 34, 482 34, 646 34, 567 34, 571 934, 989	
84,625	496	5,903	287	916		3,225	27,020	8,442	935,588	1976—Jan.»
										Week ending-
82,404 83,457 84,021 84,145	412 427 410 432	6,755 2,868 3,321 5,010	288 273 315 277	652 583 566 635		3,382 3,109 3,202 3,385	27,362 26,061 27,624 27,670	7,524 7,693 7,117 7,014	34,886 33,754 34,741 34,684	
84,742 85,222 85,686 86,120 86,569	460 462 449 445 448	4,124 1,865 1,943 5,533 6,777	305 243 244 254 293	877 921 979 866 891		3,297 3,044 3,158 3,355 3,477	27,245 26,594 27,312 27,345 27,569	7,572 7,825 7,827 7,491 8,036	34,817 34,419 35,139 34,836 935,611	Dec. 3
86,011 85,140 84,288 83,652	478 496 519 450	5,939 3,414 4,040 8,385	278 338 304 230	1,185 903 922 772		3,059 3,167 3,219 3,359	27,511 26,773 27,354 26,595	7,910 8,910 8,735 8,349	935,531 935,813 936,220 935,075	
04 545	462	4.010	347	900		2 402	25 071	7 572	22 542	End of month
84,545 86,547	463 483	4,919 7,285	353	888 1,090		3,403 2,968	25,971 26,052	7,572 8,036	33,543 934,094	Dec.
83,294	450	10,075	294	651		3,459	27,308	8,121	935,560	1976—Jan. <sup>p</sup>
82 001	426	3,066	255	692		2 063	24,478	7 524	32,002	Wednesday1975—Nov. 5
83,001 84,147 84,228 84,630	421 421 424 442	2,577 4,175 4,327	355 222 244 324	642 566 978		3,063 3,115 3,325 3,455	30,167 28,356 30,827	7,524 7,693 7,117 7,014	37,860 35,473 37,841	
85,146 85,773 86,033 86,608 86,547	478 460 438 434 483	2,289 1,032 4,007 6,491 7,285	229 238 226 253 353	796 1,846 897 925 1,090		3,011 3,093 3,214 3,471 2,968	27,643 26,832 25,314 28,930 26,052	7,572 7,825 7,827 7,491 8,036	35,215 34,657 33,141 36,421 934,094	Dec. 3
85,712 84,950 84,130 83,673	487 502 518 450	2,246 4,217 4,682 10,360	244 235 248 209	909 969 943 627		3,068 3,166 3,254 3,427	29,590 27,254 28,494 29,448	7,910 8,910 8,735 8,349	937,610 936,294 937,360 937,928	1976—Jan. 7 <sup>2</sup> 14 <sup>2</sup> 21 <sup>2</sup> 28 <sup>2</sup>

full with F.R. Banks in connection with voluntary participation by non-member institutions in the F.R. System's program of credit restraint.

As of Dec, 12, 1974, the amount of voluntary nonmember and foreign-agency and branch deposits at F.R. Banks associated with marginal reserves are no longer reported. Deposits voluntarily held by agencies and branches of foreign banks operating in the United States as reserves and Euro-dollar liabilities are reported.

7 Part allowed as reserves Dec. 1, 1959—Nov. 23, 1960; all allowed thereafter. Beginning Jan. 1963, figures are estimated except weekly averages. Beginning Sept. 12, 1968, amount is based on close-of-business figures for reserve period 2 weeks previous to report date.

<sup>8</sup> Beginning week ended Nov. 15, 1972, includes \$450 million of reserve deficiencies on which F.R. Banks are allowed to waive penalties for transition period associated with bank adaptation to Regulation J as amended effective Nov. 9, 1972. For 1973, allowable deficiencies included are (beginning with first statement week of quarter): Q1, \$279 million; Q2, \$172 million; Q3, \$112 million; Q4, \$84 million. For 1974, Q1, \$67 million, Q2, \$58 million. Transition period ended after 1974, Q2.
9 Beginning with week ending Nov. 19, 1975, adjusted to include waivers of penalties for reserve deficiencies in accordance with Regulation D change effective Nov. 19, 1975.
For other notes see opposite page.

#### RESERVES AND BORROWINGS OF MEMBER BANKS

(In millions of dollars)

			All	member b	anks				Large	banks <sup>2</sup>			All other banks	
Per	iod		Reserves		Borre	owings	New Y	ork City	City of	Chicago	O	her	An our	er ouriks
		Total held <sup>1</sup>	Re- quired	Excess 1	Total	Sea- sonal	Excess	Borrow- ings	Excess	Borrow- ings	Excess	Borrow- ings	Excess	Borrow- ings
1939—Dec. 1941—Dec. 1945—Dec. 1950—Dec.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	11,473 12,812 16,027 17,391	6,462 9,422 14,536 16,364	5,011 3,390 1,491 1,027	3 5 334 142		2,611 989 48 125	192 58	540 295 14 8	5	1,188 1,303 418 232	1 96 50	671 804 1,011 663	3 4 46 29
1960—Dec. 1965—Dec.		19,283 22,719	18,527 22,267	756 452	87 <b>4</b> 54		29 41	19 111	4 15	8 23	100 67	20 228	623 330	40 92
1967—Dec. 1968—Dec. 1969—Dec. 1970—Dec. 1971—Dec.		25,260 27,221 28,031 29,265 31,329	24,915 26,766 27,774 28,993 31,164	345 455 257 272 165	238 765 1,086 321 107		18 100 56 34 25	40 230 259 25 35	8 15 18 7 1	13 85 27 4 8	50 90 6 42 -35	105 270 479 264 22	267 250 177 189 174	80 180 321 28 42
1972—Dec 1973—Dec 1974—Dec		31,353 35,068 36,941	31,134 34,806 36,602	219 262 339	1,049 1,298 703	41 32	-20 -23 132	301 74 80	13 43 5	55 28 18	-42 28 39	429 761 323	-160 133 163	264 435 282
Mar. Apr May. June. July. Aug. Sept Oct Nov.		37,492 35,565 34,779 35,134 34,492 34,976 34,655 34,646 34,567 34,571 34,989	37,556 35,333 34,513 35,014 34,493 34,428 34,687 34,265 34,447 34,21 34,727	-64 232 266 120 -1 548 -32 217 199 156 290 262	390 147 106 60 271 261 211 396 191 61	13 10 7 7 9 11 17 38 61 65 28	-119 31 53 32 -28 142 -22 -18 17 42 50 64	156 37 22 25 24 90 54 14 68 31 7 63	-16 17 20 -23 -21 47 -24 5 27 -23 34 -18	16 10 10 14 2 23 1 2	-91 41 56 -4 -89 217 -118 98 23 3 42 89	87 29 28 38 13 114 62 51 141 32 5	162 143 137 115 137 142 132 132 132 134 164	131 71 46 33 23 65 122 145 185 128 49 38
1976—Jan. <sup>p</sup>		35,588	35,361	227	79	9	41	9	-13	17	-116	13	147	40
Week ending 1975—Jan.	1 8 15 22 29	37,588 37,312 38,207 38,265 37,240	37,011 37,175 38,249 38,079 37,066	577 137 -42 186 174	561 311 609 594 142	24 18 12 12 10	-8 55 -130 29 71	83 36 317 328	61 -27 1 1 -13	69	223 -26 -89 45 -24	218 107 108 130 33	301 135 176 111 140	260 168 115 136 109
June	4 11 18 25	34,511 33,707 34,937 34,706	34,177 33,743 34,603 34,615	334 -36 334 91	84 38 77 188	9 11 10 11	18 -76 80 19	61 49 97	19 -32 12 -4	11	137 -55 69 5	238	160 127 173 71	23 25 28 53
July	2 9 16 23 30	35,481 34,612 34,864 34,898 34,999	35,085 34,479 34,791 34,695 34,718	396 133 73 203 281	871 222 202 382 253	15 13 15 19 23	57 18 -72 107 82	189 78 151	-20 -20 2 9	54 50	117 -20 6 -13 67	468 90 16 57 91	183 155 137 100 117	214 132 54 124 162
Aug.	6 13 20 27	34,553 34,163 34,629 34,470	34,354 34,147 34,418 34,174	199 16 211 296	180 179 204 272	29 35 37 40	13 -46 -4 127	47	10 -22 19 -7	6	31 -45 73 48	14 18 77 87	145 129 123 128	166 108 127 170
Sept.	3 10 17 24	34,529 34,098 34,552 34,617	34,228 34,104 34,285 34,584	301 -6 267 33	222 385 327 395	50 53 60 64	28 -45 79 -66	215 79	24 -31 19 -2	ii	-66 17 28	58 34 174 115	168 136 152 73	164 136 142 201
Oct.	1 8 15 22 29	35,444 34,260 34,654 34,576 34,715	34,982 34,284 34,358 34,577 34,437	462 24 296 1 278	581 239 172 232 94	73 74 65 63 60	149 -83 -9 -8 102	39 97	-16 33 -18 15		147 -52 94 -35 33	304 51 12 22 7	164 127 178 60 128	277 188 121 113 87
Nov.	5 12 19 26	34,886 33,754 34,741 34,684	34,082 33,791 34,567 34,500	804 -37 174 184	67 39 58 73	41 26 26 26 26	355 -119 34 3	16	18 -6 -1 20		240 71 7 55	4 11 3	191 159 134 106	67 35 47 54
Dec.	3 10 17 24 31	34,817 34,419 35,139 34,836 335,611	34,504 34,276 34,906 34,625 35,197	313 143 233 211 414	66 28 44 219 253	21 14 13 12 13	119 56 111 7 57	16 140 140	-18 26 -12 -5 20		61 37 6 75 129	6 1 11 42 57	151 136 128 134 208	44 27 33 37 56
1976Jan.	$7^{p}$ $14^{p}$ $21^{p}$ $28^{p}$	335,531 335,813 336,220 335,075	35,232 35,627 35,986 34,902	299 186 234 173	71 44 152 58	10 9 9 8	-144 -22 -147 9	28	-16 1 17 38	77	68 -284 60 -152	14 2 18 15	221 111 136 69	57 42 29 33

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Beginning with week ending Nov. 15, 1972, includes \$450 million of reserve deficiencies on which F.R. Banks are allowed to waive penalties for a transition period in connection with bank adaptation to Regulation J as amended effective Nov. 9, 1972. Beginning 1973, allowable deficiencies included are (beginning with first statement week of quarter): Q1, \$279 million; Q2, \$172 million; Q3, \$112 million; Q4, \$84 million. Beginning 1974, Q1, \$67 million: Q2, \$58 million. Transition period ended after second quarter, 1974. For weeks for which figures are preliminary, figures by class of bank do not add to the total because adjusted data by class are not available.

not available.

2 Beginning Nov. 9, 1972, designation of banks as reserve city banks for reserve-requirement purposes has been based on size of bank (net Digitized for FR deniand deposits of more than \$400 million), as described in the BULLETIN

for July 1972, p. 626. Categories shown here as "Large" and "All other" parallel the previous "Reserve city" and "Country" categories, respectively (hence the series are continuous over time).

<sup>3</sup> Beginning with week ending Nov. 19, 1975, adjusted to include waivers of penalties for reserve deficiencies in accordance with Regulation D change effective Nov. 19, 1975.

Note.—Monthly and weekly data are averages of daily figures within the month or week, respectively.

\*\*Borrowings at F.R. Banks: Based on closing figures.

\*\*Effective Apr. 19, 1973, the Board's Regulation A, which governs lending by F.R. Banks, was revised to assist smaller member banks to meet the seasonal borrowing needs of their communities.

#### BASIC RESERVE POSITION, AND FEDERAL FUNDS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

(In millions of dollars, except as noted)

			Basic	reserve po	osition		Inte	rbank Fe	deral fund	s transact	ions	Related transactions with U.S. Govt. securities dealers		
Reporti	ng banks		Les	s—		plus, or it (-)	Gross tra	nsactions		Net tran	sactions			
a	nd nding—	Excess re- serves 1	Bor- rowings at F.R. Banks	Net inter- bank Federal funds trans.	Amount	Per cent of avg. required reserves	Pur- chases	Sales	Total two-way trans- actions <sup>2</sup>	Pur- chases of net buying banks	Sales of net selling banks	Loans to dealers <sup>3</sup>	Bor- row- ings from dealers 4	Net loans
Total-	46 banks													
1975—Dec.	3 10 17 24	102 200 137 168 7171		13,121 15,748 14,329 12,217 11,054	-13,035 -15,548 -14,192 -12,218 -11,057	83.4 100.3 88.7 78.5 69.2	18,808 20,128 18,973 17,708 17,683	5,687 4,380 4,644 5,491 6,629	4,964 4,161 4,205 4,681 5,306	13,844 15,967 14,768 13,027 12,378	723 218 439 810 1,324	3,601	495	3,126 3,619 3,109 3,105 2,946
1976—Jan.	7 14 21 28	120 15 1 85	105		-11,583 -16,130 -14,145 -12,038		19,175 22,350 19,657 18,363	7,478 6,206 5,617 6,250	5,623 4,877 4,943 5,290	13,552 17,473 14,714 13,072	1,856 1,329 675 960	3,099 2,989	574 376 322 476	2,720 2,723 2,667 1,822
	York City							0.50	01#	2 625	127	1.700	122	1 567
1975—Dec.	3 10 17 24 31	106 29 113 83 44	[	3,500 3,978 3,292 1,981 1,938	$\begin{bmatrix} -3,179 \\ -2,039 \end{bmatrix}$	63.5 49.5	4,854 4,278 3,443	952 876 986 1,462 1,625	828 1,190	3,637 3,978 3,450 2,253 2,440	137 158 272 502	1,700 1,587 1,840 1,912 1,891	133 119 147 185 372	1,567 1,468 1,693 1,726 1,519
1976—Jan.	7 14 21 28	25 51 -23 18	28	3,130 5,574 3,382 2,632	-3,106 -5,523 -3,433 -2,625	45.9 79.8 49.6 41.3	4,775 6,277 4,261 3,914	1,645 704 879 1,282	695	3,634 5,694 3,566 2,917	504 121 184 285	1,536 1,655 1,384 1,072	180 103 78 87	1,356 1,552 1,307 985
38 o New 1	utside York City	·												
1975—Dec.	3 10 17 24 31	171	28 34	9,621 11,771 11,037 10,236 9,116	-9,625 -11,600 -11,013 -10,179 7-9,023	102.5 125.0 114.9 108.7 795.1	14,696	4,736 3,504 3,659 4,029 5,005	3,286 3,378	10,207 11,989 11,318 10,775 9,938	586 218 281 538 822	1,806 2,331 1,670 1,689 1,719	180 253	1,558 2,151 1,416 1,379 1,427
1976—Jan.	7 14 21 28	94 -36 22 67	······ <del>†</del>	8,566 10,571 10,657 9,480	$ \begin{array}{r} -8,478 \\ -10,607 \\ -10,712 \\ -9,413 \end{array} $	89.5 110.0 109.6 100.5	15,396	5,833 5,502 4,739 4,969	4,481 4,294 4,248 4,294	9,918 11,778 11,148 10,155	1,352 1,208 491 675	1,757 1,444 1,605 1,226	394 274 245 389	1,363 1,170 1,360 837
5 in City	of Chicago			1										
1975—Dec.	3 10 17 24 31	33 -1 -5		4,199 4,877 4,619 4,381 4,162	-4,844 $-4,620$ $-4,386$	299.9 268.4 274.9	5,192	741 740 672 811 932	715 732 667 811 913	4,225 4,885 4,624 4,381 4,182	26 8 5 20	674 660 635		664 674 660 635 594
1976—Jan.	7 14 21 28	-3 -8 -6 27	77	4,428 5,433 5,065 4,455	-4,431 -5,441 -5,149 -4,428	267.6 321.0 297.5 276.4	6,326 6,109	845 893 1,044 1,238	828 863 1,025 1,213	4,445 5,464 5,084 4,479	17 31 19 25	571		566 589 571 449
33 o	others												- 10	
1975Dec.	3 10 17 24 31	3 137 25 90 799		5,422 6,893 6,418 5,856 4,954	-5,420 -6,756 -6,393 -5,793 r-4,889	69.8 88.2 81.3 74.6 62.5	9,657 9,404 9,074	3,994 2,763 2,987 3,218 4,073	3,434 2,553 2,711 2,680 3,270	5,983 7,104 6,693 6,394 5,756	560 210 276 538 802	1,658 1,010 1,054	180 253	894 1,477 756 744 832
1976—Jan.		98 28 28 40	6	4,138 5,137 5,592 5,026	-4 046	51.8	9,746 9,287	4,988 4,609 3,695 3,731	3,653 3,432 3,223 3,081	5,473 6,315 6,064 5,676	1,335 1,177 472 651	1,191 855	394 274	797 582 789 388

<sup>Based upon reserve balances, including all adjustments applicable to the reporting period. Prior to Sept. 25, 1968, carryover reserve deficiencies, if any, were deducted. Excess reserves for later periods are net of all carryover reserves.
Derived from averages for individual banks for entire week. Figure for each bank indicates extent to which the bank's weekly average purchases and sales are offsetting.
Federal funds loaned, net funds supplied to each dealer by clearing</sup> 

banks, repurchase agreements (purchases of securities from dealers subject to resale), or other lending arrangements.

4 Federal funds borrowed, net funds acquired from each dealer by clearing banks, reverse repurchase agreements (sales of securities to dealers subject to repurchase), resale agreements, and borrowings secured by Govt. or other issues.

NOTE.—Weekly averages of daily figures. For description of series and back data, see Aug. 1964 BULLETIN, pp. 944-74.

#### A 6

#### **CURRENT RATES**

(Per cent per annum)

					(2 01 00110	per annun	<del>,</del>					
	Under	Secs. 13 an	nd 13a1			Loans to all others under last par. Sec. 134						
Federal Reserve Bank				Regular rate					Special rate <sup>3</sup>			
	Rate on 1/31/76	Effective date	Previous rate	Rate on 1/31/76	Effective date	Previous rate	Rate on 1/31/76	Effective date 3	Previous rate	Rate on 1/31/76	Effective date	Previous rate
Boston New York Philadelphia Cleveland Richmond Atlanta Chicago St. Louis Minneapolis Kansas City Dallas San Francisco.	5½ 5½ 5½ 5½ 5½ 5½ 5½ 5½ 5½	1/19/76 1/19/76 1/19/76 1/19/76 1/19/76 1/19/76 1/19/76 1/23/76 1/19/76 1/19/76	6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	1/19/76 1/19/76 1/19/76 1/19/76 1/19/76 1/19/76 1/19/76 1/23/76 1/19/76 1/19/76 1/19/76	61/2 61/2 61/2 61/2 61/2 61/2 61/2 61/2	61/2 61/2 61/2 61/2 61/2 61/2 61/2 61/2	1/19/76 1/19/76 1/19/76 1/19/76 1/19/76 1/19/76 1/19/76 1/23/76 1/19/76 1/19/76 1/19/76	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	81/ <sub>2</sub> 81/ <sub>2</sub>	1/19/76 1/19/76 1/19/76 1/19/76 1/19/76 1/19/76 1/19/76 1/23/76 1/19/76 1/19/76 1/19/76	999999999999

#### **SUMMARY OF EARLIER CHANGES**

(Per cent per annum)

Effective date	Range (or level)— All F.R. Banks	F.R. Bank of N.Y.	Effective date	Range (or level)— All F.R. Banks	F.R. Bank of N.Y.	Effective date	Range (or level)— All F.R. Banks	F.R. Bank of N.Y.
In effect Dec. 31, 1955  1956—Apr. 13 20 Aug. 24 31  1957—Aug. 9 23 Nov. 15 Dec. 2	2 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> -3 2 <sup>3</sup> / <sub>4</sub> -3 2 <sup>3</sup> / <sub>4</sub> -3 3 3 -3 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> 3 -3 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> 3 -3 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>	2½ 2¾ 2¾ 3 3 3 3 3 3 2	1964—Nov. 24	31/2-4 4 4 -41/2 41/2 4 -41/2 4 -41/2 41/2 41/2 41/2	41/2 41/2 41/2 5	1971—Nov. 11	43/4-5 43/4 41/2-43/4 41/2-43/4 41/2 5 5-51/2 51/2-53/4 53/4-6	5 43/4 43/4 41/2 41/2 551/2 551/2 551/2
1958—Jan. 22.  Mar. 7.  13.  21.  Apr. 18.  May 9.  Aug. 15.  Sept. 12.  23.  Oct. 24.	23/4-3 23/4-3 21/4-3 21/4-23/4 13/4-21/4 13/4-2 13/4-2 2 2 -21/2	3 23/4 21/4 21/4 13/4 13/4 2 2 2 21/2	Apr. 19	5 -5½ 5½ 5½-5½ 5¼-5½ 5½-6 5¾-6 5¾-6	51/2 51/2 51/2 51/2 51/2 51/2 6 6	18	6 -61/2 61/2 7 -71/2 71/2-8 8 73/4-8 73/4	6 6½ 6½ 7 7½ 7½ 8 8 8 7¾ 7¾
Nov. 7	2½ 2½-3 3 3 -3½ 3½-4 4 3½-4 3½-4 3½-4 3½-4	3 3 31/2 31/2 4 4 4 31/2 31/2	13. 16. 16. Dec. 1. 4. 11. 1971—Jan. 8. 15. 19. 22. 29. Feb. 13.	51/4-51/2 51/4-51/2 51/4-51/2 51/4-51/2 51/4-51/4 5 -51/4 5 -51/4 5 43/4-5	53/4 53/4 53/4 51/2 51/4 51/4 55/4 55/4	1975—Jan. 6	714-734 714-734 714 634-714 634 614-634 614 6-614 6	73/4 71/4 71/4 63/4 61/4 61/4 65/4
Aug. 12	3 -3½ 3 -3½ 3 -3½	3 3 3 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> 3 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>	19 19 July 16 23	43/4 43/4-5 5	43/4 5 5	23	51/2	5½ 5½

Note.—Rates under Secs. 13 and 13a (as described in table and notes above). For data before 1956, see Banking and Monetary Statistics, 1943, pp. 439-42, and Supplement to Section 12, p. 31.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Discounts of eligible paper and advances secured by such paper or by U.S. Govt. obligations or any other obligations eligible for F.R. Bank purchase.

<sup>2</sup> Advances secured to the satisfaction of the F.R. Bank. Advances secured by mortgages on 1- to 4-family residential property are made at the Section 13 rate.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Applicable to special advances described in Section 201.2(e)(2) of Regulation A.

<sup>4</sup> Advances to individuals, partnerships, or corporations other than member banks secured by direct obligations of, or obligations fully guaranteed as to principal and interest by, the U.S. Govt. or any agency thereof.

#### RESERVE REQUIREMENTS ON DEPOSITS OF MEMBER BANKS

(Deposit intervals are in millions of dollars. Requirements are in per cent of deposits.)

Effective date 1		Net den	nand 2	Time <sup>3</sup> (all classes of banks)					
	Reser	ve city	Ot	her	Savings	Other time			
	0-5	Over 5	05	Over 5		0-5	Over 5		
n effect Jan. 1, 1963	16	51/2	:	12		4			
966—July 14, 21 Sept. 8, 15 967—Mar. 2					31/2	3½	5 6		
Mar. 16	16½ 17	17/2	12 12½	12½ 13	3		5		

Beginning Nov. 9, 1972

		No	et demand	2,4		Time <sup>3</sup>									
							Other time								
Effective date	0-2	2–10	10–100	100-	Over	Savings	0-5, maturing in—			Over 55, maturing in—					
			400	400		30-179 days	180 days to 4 years	4 years or more	30-179 days	180 days to 4 years	4 years or more				
1972—Nov. 9 Nov. 16		10	12	6 16½ 13	171/2	7 3		7 3			7 5				
1973—July 19		,-	121/2	131/2	18 17½	ļ					i				
1975—Feb. 13 Oct. 30	71/2	10	12	13	161/2							=			
1976—Jan. 8	7½	10	12	13	161/2	3	3	8 2½ 8 2½	8 1	6	8 2½ 8 2½	8 1			

Present legal limits:	Minimum	Maximum
Net demand deposits, reserve city banks Net demand deposits, other banks Time deposits	10 7 3	22 14 10

<sup>1</sup> When two dates are shown, the first applies to the change at reserve

1 When two dates are shown, the first applies to the change at reserve city banks and the second to the change at country banks. For changes prior to 1963 see Board's Annual Reports.

2 (a) Demand deposits subject to reserve requirements are gross demand deposits minus cash items in process of collection and demand balances due from domestic banks.

(b) Requirement schedules are graduated, and each deposit interval applies to that part of the deposits of each bank.

(c) Since Oct. 16, 1969, member banks have been required under Regulation M to maintain reserves against foreign branch deposits computed on the basis of net balances due from domestic offices to their foreign branches and against foreign branch loans to U.S. residents. Since June 21, 1973, loans aggregating \$10,000 or less to any U.S. resident have been excluded from computations, as have total loans of a bank to U.S. residents of a member bank. The reserve percentage applicable to each of these classifications is 4 per cent. The requirement was 10 per cent originally, was increased to 20 per cent on Jan. 7, 1971, was reduced to 8 per cent effective June 21, 1973, and was reduced to the current 4 per cent effective May 22, 1975. Initially certain base amounts were exempted in the computation of the requirements, but effective Mar. 14, 1974, the last of these reserve-free bases were eliminated. For details, see Regulations D and M.

3 Effective Jan. 5, 1967, time deposits such as Christmas and vacation club accounts became subject to same requirements as savings deposits. Beginning Nov. 10, 1975, profitmaking businesses may maintain savings deposits of \$150,000 or less at member banks. For details of 1975 action, see Regulations D and Q, and also BULLETINS for Oct., p. 708, and Nov., p. 769.

Notes 2(b) and 2(c) above are also relevant to time deposits.

4 Effective Nov. 9, 1972, a new criterion was adopted to designate re-

Notes 2(b) and 2(c) above are also relevant to time deposits.

4 Effective Nov. 9, 1972, a new criterion was adopted to designate reserve cities, and on the same date requirements for reserves against net demand deposits of member banks were restructured to provide that each

member bank will maintain reserves related to the size of its net demand deposits. The new reserve city designations are as follows: A bank having net demand deposits of more than \$400 million is considered to have the net demand deposits of more than \$400 million is considered to have the character of business of a reserve city bank, and the presence of the head office of such a bank constitutes designation of that place as a reserve city. Cities in which there are F.R. Banks or branches are also reserve cities. Any banks having net demand deposits of \$400 million or less are considered to have the character of business of banks outside of reserve cities and are permitted to maintain reserves at ratios set for banks not in reserve cities. For details, see Regulation D and appropriate supplements and amendments. plements and amendments.

plements and amendments.

A marginal reserve requirement was in effect between June 21, 1973, and Dec. 11, 1974, against increases in the aggregate of the following types of obligations: (a) outstanding time deposits of \$100,000 or more, (b) outstanding funds obtained by the bank through issuance by a bank's affiliate of obligations subject to existing reserve requirements on time deposits, and (c) beginning July 12, 1973, funds from sales of finance bills. The requirement applied to balances above a specified base, but was not applicable to banks having obligations of these types aggregating less than \$10 million. For details, including percentages and maturity classifications, see "Announcements" in BULLETINS for May, July, Sept., and Dec. 1973 and Sept. and Nov. 1974.

The 16½ per cent requirement applied for one week only to former

6 The 16½ per cent requirement applied for one week, only to former reserve city banks. For other banks, the 13 per cent requirement was continued in this deposit interval.

7 See columns above for earliest effective date of this rate.
8 The average of reserves on savings and other time deposits must be at least 3 per cent, the minimum specified by law. For details, see Regu-

NOTE.—Required reserves must be held in the form of deposits with F.R. Banks or vault cash.

#### MAXIMUM INTEREST RATES PAYABLE ON TIME AND SAVINGS DEPOSITS

(Per cent per annum)

Rates July 2	20, 1966—J	une 30, 197	73		Rates be	ginning Ju	ıly 1, 1973		
		Effecti	ve date				ve date	<del></del>	
Type and size of deposit	July 20, 1966	Sept. 26, 1966	Apr. 19, 1968	Jan. 21, 1970	Type and size of deposit	July 1, 19 <b>7</b> 3	Nov. 1, 1973	Nov. 27, 1974	Dec. 23, 1974
Savings deposits Other time deposits: Multiple maturity:2	4	4	4	41/2	Savings deposits Other time deposits (multipleand single-maturity): 1, 2	5	5	5	5
30–89 days	<b>4 5</b>	5	5	4½ 5 5½ 5¾	Less than \$100,000: 30-89 days	5 5½ 6 6½	5 5½ 6 6½	5 5½ 6 6½	5 5½ 6 6½
Less than \$100,000: 30 days to 1 year	51/2	5	5	5 51/2 53/4	Minimum denomination of \$1,000:4 4-6 years 6 years or more Governmental units	(6)	7½ (6)	7½ 7½ (3)	$   \begin{cases}     7\frac{1}{4} \\     7\frac{1}{2} \\     7\frac{3}{4} \\     (3)   \end{cases} $
30-59 days. 60-89 days. 90-179 days. 180 days to 1 year. 1 year or more.	51/2	51/2	5½ 5¾ 6 6¼ 6¼	(3) (3) (3) (3) (3)	\$100,000 or more	(3)	(3)	(3)	(3)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For exceptions with respect to certain foreign time deposits, see BULLETIN for Feb. 1968, p. 167.

<sup>2</sup> Multiple-maturity time deposits include deposits that are automatically renewable at maturity without action by the depositor and deposits that are payable after written notice of withdrawal.

<sup>3</sup> Maximum rates on all single-maturity time deposits in denominations of \$100,000 or more have been suspended. Rates that were effective Jan. 21, 1970, and the dates when they were suspended are:

30-59 days 60-89 days	6¼ per cent \	June 24, 1970
90-179 days	6¾ per cent	Mr. 16 1073
180 days to 1 year 1 year or more	7 per cent 71/2 per cent	May 16, 1973

Rates on multiple-maturity time deposits in denominations of \$100,000 or more were suspended July 16, 1973, when the distinction between single- and multiple-maturing deposits was eliminated.

4 Effective Dec. 4, 1975, the \$1,000 minimum denomination does not apply to time deposits representing funds contributed to an Individual Retirement Account established pursuant to 26 U.S.C. (I.R.C. 1954) \$408.

5 Between July I and Oct. 31, 1973, there was no ceiling for certificates maturing in 4 years or more with minimum denominations of \$1,000. The amount of such certificates that a bank could issue was limited to

5 per cent of its total time and savings deposits. Sales in excess of that amount were subject to the 6½ per cent ceiling that applies to time deposits maturing in 2½ years or more.

Effective Nov. 1, 1973, a ceiling rate of 7½ per cent was imposed on certificates maturing in 4 years or more with minimum denominations of \$1,000. There is no limitation on the amount of these certificates that

of \$1,000. There is no limitation on the amount of these certificates that banks may issue.

6 Prior to Nov. 27, 1974, no distinction was made between the time deposits of governmental units and of other holders, insofar as Regulation Q ceilings on rates payable were concerned. Effective Nov. 27, 1974, governmental units were permitted to hold savings deposits and could receive interest rates on time deposits with denominations under \$100,000 irrespective of maturity, as high as the maximum rate permitted on such deposits at any Federally insured depositary institution.

Note.—Maximum rates that may be paid by member banks are established by the Board of Governors under provisions of Regulation Q; however, a member bank may not pay a rate in excess of the maximum rate payable by State banks or trust companies on like deposits under the laws of the State in which the member bank is located. Beginning Feb. 1, 1936, maximum rates that may be paid by nonmember insured commercial banks, as established by the FDIC, have been the same as those in effect for member hanks. those in effect for member banks.

For previous changes, see earlier issues of the BULLETIN.

#### MARGIN REQUIREMENTS

(Per cent of market value)

	Period	For credit extended U (banks), and				
Beginning	Ending	On margin stocks	On c	onvertible	bonds	On short sales
date	date	T U G	Т	U	G	(T)
1937—Nov. 1 1945—Feb. 5 July 5 1946—Jan. 21 1947—Feb. 1 1949—Mar. 30 1951—Jan. 17 1953—Feb. 20 1955—Jan. 4 Apr. 23 1958—Jan. 16 Aug. 5 Oct. 16 1960—July 28 1962—July 10 1963—Nov. 6	1945—Feb. 4	40 50 75 100 75 50 75 50 60 70 50 70 90 70				50 50 75 100 75 50 75 50 60 70 50 70 90 70
1968—Mar. 11 June 8 1970—May 6 1971—Dec. 6 1972—Nov. 24 Effective Jan. 3, 19	June 7	70 80 65 55 65 50		50 60 50 50 50 50		70 80 65 55 65 50

NOTE.—Regulations G, T, and U, prescribed in accordance with the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, limit the amount of credit to purchase and carry margin stocks that may be extended on securities as collateral by prescribing a maximum loan value, which is a specified percentage of the market value of the collateral at the time the credit is extended; margin requirements are the difference between the market value (100 per cent) and the maximum loan value. The term margin stocks is defined in the corresponding regulation. Regulation G and special margin requirements for bonds convertible into stocks were adopted by the Board of Governors effective Mar. 11, 1968.

#### TRANSACTIONS OF THE SYSTEM OPEN MARKET ACCOUNT

(In millions of dollars)

		Ot	ıtright traı	nsactions	in U.S.	Govt. sec	urities, by	maturi	ty (exc	luding 1	natched	sale-	purchase	transacti	ons)	
	Tr	easury b	ills <sup>1</sup>	Others	within :	1 year <sup>2</sup>		-5 yea	rs		5–1	0 yea	rs	C	ver 10 ye	ears
Period	Gross pur- chases	Gross sales	Redemp- tions	Gross pur- chases	Gross sales	Exch., maturity shifts, or redemp- tions		Gross sales	Exch matur shift	rity p		iross sales	Exch. o maturit shifts		sales	Exch. or maturity shifts
1970	8,896 8,522 15,513	3,642 2 6,467 7 4,880 5,830	2,160 1,064 2,545 3,405 4,550 6,431			-3,483 -6,462 2,933 -140 -1,314 -3.553	1,338 789 579 797		-1, -2,	028 697	933 539 500		-1,84 68 -2,09 89 1,67 -4,69	5 31 4 16 5 12 5 19		-102 150 250 87 205 848
1974—Dec  1975—Jan Feb Mar Apr May July. Aug Sept Oct Nov Dec	344 357 766 2,119 903 421 2,118 1,263	945 7 460 156 318 354 161 1,505 282 3 766 3 652	6 600 900 487 506 407 612 800 400 200 400 919 200	85 14 1,579 148 50 20 2,002		2,437 -1,494 -3,131 -91 -2,144 278 -265 28	485 488 150 562		6,	126 .836 194 	61 113 450 274  180  64 137		24 3,80 ,44	9 21 1 16 1	99	298 300
Period	Tot	al outrig	ht <sup>1</sup>	sale-p transa (U.S.	iched urchase actions Govt. rities)	ag (U	purchase reements .S. Govt. curities)	ch in	Vet ange U.S. ovt.		l agency itright		gations Repur-	Ban accept ne	ances,	Net change 3
Torrou	Gross pur- chases	Gross sales	Redemp- tions	Gross sales	Gros pur- chase	pur-	Gros	see t	euri- ies	Gross pur- chases	Sales reden tion	or ip-	agree- ments, net	Out- right	Repur- chase agree- ments	
1970	12,362 12,515 10,142 18,121 13,537 20,892	5,214 3,642 6,467 4,880 5,830 5,599	2,862 4,592 4,682	12,177 16,205 23,319 45,780 64,229 151,205	45,7 62,8	05 44,7 19 31,1 80 74,7 01 71,3	741 43,1 103 32,1 755 74,1 333 70,5	519 228 795 947	4,988 8,076 -312 8,610 1,984 7,434	48 1,19 86 3,08 1,61	5 7	370 239 322 246	101 -88 29 469 -392	-6 22 -9 -2 511 163	181 -145 -36 420 -35	9,227 6,149
1974—Dec	1,254	426	6	8,855	7,9	62 11,4	11,8	395	498	36	ю		142	188	201	393
1975—Jan Feb Mar Apr May June July Aug Sept Oct Nov Dec	746 673 3,362 3,189 953 1,217  2,574 2,940 1,263 1,693 2,281	945 460 156 318 354 161 1,505 282 766 652	900 1,788 506 407 450 800 2,389 200 400	15,933 12,375 2,996 12,914	16,7 12,2 3,0 13,0 15,1 13,7 19,8 16,1	34 11,2 63 5,6 116 12,4 144 19,4 226 15,2 39 5,3 30 8,6 35 16,6 13 13,6	774 8, 489 21, 219 16, 777 6, 46 6, 664 14, 599 13,	305 328 551 352 310 346 - 381 357 338 275	844 -258 332 6,428 2,224 -873 2,866 663 4,451 186 2,047 2,797	37 21 35 39 28	0	14 81 2 2 97 6 2 40 1	-409 246 -347 883 -567 -255 -61 203 -124 -169 118	103 -12' -5 24 55 -62' 3 -1 14 49 -21	-136 39 -323 496 -375 -121 	309 -136 7,829 -3,207 -1,317 -2,926 1,222 5,155 445 -2,537

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Before Nov. 1973 BULLETIN, included matched sale-purchase transactions, which are now shown separately.

<sup>2</sup> Includes special certificates acquired when the Treasury borrows directly from the Federal Reserve, as follows: June 1971, 955; Sept. 1972, 38; Aug. 1973, 351; Sept. 1973, 836; Nov. 1974, 131; Mar. 1975, 1,360; Aug. 1975, 1,989.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 3}$  Net change in U.S. Govt. securities, Federal agency obligations, and bankers acceptances.

Note.—Sales, redemptions, and negative figures reduce System holdings; all other figures increase such holdings. Details may not add to totals because of rounding.

#### CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CONDITION OF ALL FEDERAL RESERVE BANKS

(In millions of dollars)

			Wednesday			E	nd of month	ı
Item		19	976		1975	1976	19	75
	Jan. 28	Jan. 21	Jan. 14	Jan. 7	Dec. 31	Jan. 31	Dec. 31	Jan. 31
Assets								
Gold certificate account	11,599 500	11,599 500	11,599 500	11,599 500	11,599 500	11,599 500	11,599 500	11,635 400
CashLoans:	394	379	386	375	347	405	347	328
Member bank borrowings OtherAcceptances:	138	841	47	41	211	64	211	103
Bought outright  Held under repurchase agreements	741 415	736	735	742 212	741 385	747 483	741 385	682 284
Federal agency obligations:  Bought outright  Held under repurchase agreements	6,312 393	6,312	6,072	6,072 177	6,072 118	6,312 305	6,072 118	4,688 102
U.S. Govt. securities:  Bought outright:  Bills	35,690	35,925	35,925	35,228	37,207	35,707	37,207	36,674
Certificates—Special								
NotesBonds	44,236 5,595	44,236 5,595	43,989 5,521	43,989 5,521	43,989 5,521	44,236 5,595	43,989 5,521	40,403 3,311
Total bought outright  Held under repurchase agreements	185,521 6,118	185,756	185,435	184,738 885	186,717 1,217	185,538 4,433	186,717 1,217	180,388 956
Total U.S. Govt. securities	91,639	85,756	85,435	85,623	87,934	89,971	87,934	81,344
Total loans and securities	99,638 p7,488 325	93,645 98,526 324	92,289 p9,127 322	92,867 29,570 321	95,461 9,183 319	97,882 25,872 325	95,461 9,183 319	87,203 5,646 268
Operating equipmentOther assets:  Denominated in foreign currencies	331 2,999	14 81 2,976	13 100 2,927	60 3,049	13 80 2,900	333 3,005	13 80 2,900	3,248
Total assets.	p123,287	P118,044	p117,263	p118,354	120,402	v119,934	120,402	108,730
Liabilities							120,402	
F.R. notes	74,267	74,784	75,697	76,437	77,159	73,899	77,159	67,617
Deposits: Member bank reserves	₽29,448	p28,494	<sup>p</sup> 27,254 4,217	p29,590	26,052	<sup>p</sup> 27,308	26,052	28,839 3,540
U.S. Treasury—General account  Foreign Other:	10,360	4,682 248	235	2,246	7,285 353	294	7,285	391
All other <sup>2</sup>	627	943	969	909	1,090	651	1,090	748
Total deposits	p40,644	p34,367	ν32,675	ν32,989	34,780	<sup>2</sup> 38,328	34,780	33,518
Deferred availability cash items Other liabilities and accrued dividends	4,949 1,121	5,639 1,059	5,725 1,075	5,860 1,098	5,495 1,110	4,248 1,098	5,495 1,110	4,180 1,112
Total liabilities	p120,981	p115,849	p115,172	P116,384	118,544	P117,573	118,544	106,427
Capital accounts								
Capital paid in	934 928 444	932 928 335	932 928 231	929 928 113	929 929	935 928 498	929 929	901 897 505
Total liabilities and capital accounts	p123,287	P118,044	P117,263	P118,354	120,402	p119,934	120,402	108,730
Contingent liability on acceptances purchased for foreign correspondents			42.052	12.006			41.051	384
foreign and international accounts	44,145	44,659	42,852	42,096	41,871	43,124	41,871	35,085
Federa	l Reserve No	tes—Federa	Reserve Age	ents' Accoun	ts			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
F.R. notes outstanding (issued to Bank)	81,328	81,557	81,778	81,871	81,877	81,228	81,877	74,538
Gold certificate account  Special Drawing Rights certificate account  Acceptances	11,596 302	11,596 302	11,596 302	11,596 302	11,596 302	11,596 302	11,596 302	3,207 93 425
U.S. Govt. securities	71,710	71,710	71,710	71,710	71,510	71,710	71,510	72,492
Total collateral	83,608	83,608	83,608	83,608	05,408	63,608	63,408	76,217

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See note 2 on p. A-2. <sup>2</sup> See note 6 on p. A-3.

## MATURITY DISTRIBUTION OF LOANS AND U.S. GOVERNMENT SECURITIES HELD BY FEDERAL RESERVE BANKS

(In millions of dollars)

ļ			Wednesday			F	End of mont	h	
Item		19	76		1975	1976	1975		
	Jan. 28	Jan. 21	Jan. 14	Jan. 7	Dec. 31	Jan. 31	Dec. 31	Jan. 31	
Loans—Total. Within 15 days. 16-90 days. 91 days to 1 year.	138 133 5	841 841	47 38 9	41 34 7	229 222 7	64 48 16	229 222 7	101 91 10	
Acceptances—Total Within 15 days. 16-90 days. 91 days to 1 year.	1,156 493 463 200	736 64 447 225	735 58 451 226	954 282 425 247	1,126 470 409 247	1,230 558 467 205	1,126 470 409 247	966 457 397 112	
U.S. Govt. securities—Total. Within 15 days 1 16-90 days. 91 days to 1 year 1-5 years. 5-10 years. Over 10 years.	91,639 8,761 20,655 21,159 30,383 6,526 4,155	85,756 3,841 19,659 21,192 30,383 6,526 4,155	85,435 3,971 19,390 21,293 30,273 6,426 4,082	85,623 5,624 18,271 20,947 30,273 6,426 4,082	87,934 6,205 19,245 21,703 30,273 6,426 4,082	89,971 7,552 20,302 21,053 30,383 6,526 4,155	87,934 6,205 19,245 21,703 30,273 6,426 4,082	81,344 6,324 18,535 21,182 23,440 9,673 2,190	
Federal agency obligations—Total. Within 15 days¹ 16-90 days. 91 days to 1 year. 1-5 years. 5-10 years. Over 10 years.	6,705 412 183 870 3,302 1,300 638	6,312 19 183 870 3,302 1,300 638	6,072 39 183 851 3,149 1,254 596	6,249 215 184 851 3,149 1,254 596	6,190 134 184 873 3,149 1,254 596	6,617 324 183 870 3,302 1,300 638	6,190 134 184 873 3,149 1,254 596	4,790 153 260 573 2,313 990 501	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Holdings under repurchase agreements are classified as maturing within 15 days in accordance with maximum maturity of the agreements.

#### BANK DEBITS AND DEPOSIT TURNOVER

(Seasonally adjusted annual rates)

			mand depos lions of doll	sit accounts 1 ars)		Turnover of demand deposits						
Period	Total	Leading	SMSA's	Total 232 SMSA's	226	Total	Leading	SMSA's	Total 232 SMSA's	226		
	233 SMSA's	N.Y.	6 others <sup>2</sup>	(excl. N.Y.)	other SMSA's	233 SMSA's	N.Y.	6 others <sup>2</sup>	(excl. N.Y.)	other SMSA's		
1974—Dec	22,192.4	9,931.8	5,152.7	12,260.6	7,107.9	128.0	312.8	131.8	86.6	69.3		
1975—Jan. r. Feb. r. Mar. r. Apr. r. May r. June r. July r. Aug. Sept. Oct. r. Nov. r. Dec.	22,950.1 22,180.1 22,705.1 22,738.6 22,503.5 22,827.9 23,269.4 23,181.9 24,137.1 24,067.7	10,157.8 10,918.0 10,241.1 10,810.3 10,826.1 10,612.2 10,709.5 10,628.8 10,585.0 11,801.5 11,529.9 10,970.9	4,868.4 4,992.8 4,899.6 4,770.6 4,852.6 4,755.2 4,841.1 5,125.1 5,125.1 5,125.1 4,921.3 4,937.3 4,948.4	11,696.0 12,032.1 11,939.0 11,895.4 11,912.5 11,891.3 12,118.3 12,640.5 12,596.9 12,335.6 12,537.8 12,643.2	6,827.7 7,039.3 7,039.0 7,124.9 7,059.9 7,134.6 7,277.2 7,515.4 7,443.8 7,414.3 7,600.5 7,694.8	127. 1 133. 1 124.8 122. 5 128. 9 124. 4 126. 2 130. 4 128. 8 134. 0 134. 0 131. 2	321.8 343.2 320.4 330.3 333.9 328.6 331.0 335.0 330.7 364.0 360.8 351.8	125.4 126.2 117.0 114.3 120.1 115.7 115.7 124.4 123.8 118.7 119.5 118.7	83.3 85.5 81.9 81.8 82.8 81.6 81.6 86.2 85.1 83.5 84.9	67.3 69.6 67.8 68.8 68.2 66.7 68.2 71.2 70.0 69.8 71.5 71.8		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Excludes interbank and U.S. Govt. demand deposit accounts.

<sup>2</sup> Boston, Philadelphia, Chicago, Detroit, San Francisco-Oakland, and Los Angeles-Long Beach.

Note.—Total SMSA's include some cities and counties not designated as SMSA's.
For back data see pp. 634-35 of the July 1972 BULLETIN.

#### MEASURES OF THE MONEY STOCK

(In billions of dollars)

		Sea	sonally adju	sted		Not seasonally adjusted						
Period	$M_1$	$M_2$	M <sub>3</sub>	M <sub>4</sub>	M <sub>5</sub>	$M_1$	$M_2$	Мз	M <sub>4</sub>	M <sub>5</sub>		
			Com	position of	measures is o	lescribed in	the Note be	elow.	440.4	·		
972—Dec	255.3 270.5	525.3 571.4	844.9 919.5	568.9 634.9	888.5 982.9	262.7 278.3	530.3 576.5	847.4 921.8	574.5 640.5	891.6 985.8		
974—Dec	283.1	612.4	981.6	702.2	1,071.4	291.3	617.5	983.8	708.0	1,074.		
975—Jan	281.9 281.9 284.1 284.9 287.6 291.0 291.9 293.2 293.4 295.7 295.0	614.5 618.2 623.0 626.7 633.7 642.4 647.5 650.6 652.9 661.6 663.3	986.7 994.0 1,003.7 1,012.7 1,025.3 1,040.2 1,051.6 1,060.6 1,068.1 1,075.6 1,086.0 1,091.9	707.3 710.2 712.8 715.1 718.8 726.5 729.6 729.3 731.9 736.6 743.4 746.2	1,079.5 1,086.1 1,093.5 1,101.1 1,110.4 1,124.3 1,133.7 1,139.3 1,147.1 1,156.5 1,174.7	287.7 278.5 281.4 286.5 282.9 290.3 292.1 290.0 291.7 292.4 297.6 303.4	619.5 615.2 622.7 631.1 631.9 643.5 647.8 647.2 649.5 659.7 668.4	990.3 990.3 1,002.0 1,025.7 1,044.5 1,055.0 1,055.0 1,057.1 1,062.8 1,070.3 1,080.1 1,093.6	711.4 704.4 710.8 716.9 716.0 725.8 729.1 728.4 732.2 736.8 742.5 751.8	1,082. 1,079. 1,093. 1,105. 1,109. 1,126. 1,136. 1,138. 1,145. 1,154. 1,162. 1,177.		

Note.—Composition of the money stock measures is as follows:

M1: Averages of daily figures for (1) demand deposits of commercial banks other than domestic interbank and U.S. Govt., less cash items in process of collection and F.R. float; (2) foreign demand balances at F.R. Banks; and (3) currency outside the Treasury, F.R. Banks, and vaults of

Banks; and (3) currency outside the Heastly, T.R. Banks, and Taches of commercial banks.  $M_2$ : Averages of daily figures for  $M_1$  plus savings deposits, time deposits open account, and time certificates other than negotiable CD's of \$100,000 of large weekly reporting banks.

 $M_3$ :  $M_2$  plus mutual savings bank deposits, savings and loan shares, and credit union shares (nonbank thrift).  $M_4$ :  $M_2$  plus large negotiable CD's.  $M_5$ :  $M_4$  plus large negotiable CD's.

For a description of the latest revisions in  $M_1$ ,  $M_2$ ,  $M_3$ ,  $M_4$  and  $M_5$ , see "Revision of Money Stock Measures" on pp. 82–87 of the Feb. 1976

BULLETIN.

Latest monthly and weekly figures are available from the Board's, H.6 release. Back data are available from the Banking Section, Division of Research and Statistics.

#### COMPONENTS OF MONEY STOCK MEASURES AND RELATED ITEMS

(In billions of dollars)

		S	Seasonall	y adjuste	d				No	t seasona	ally adjus	ited			
			Commerc	cial bank	s					Comme	rcial ban	ks			
Period	Cur-	De-	Tim	e and sar deposits		Non- bank thrift	Cur-	Den	nand dep	osits	Tim	e and sav deposits		Non- bank thrift	U.S. Govt. de-
	ren- cy	mand de- pos- its	CD's 1	Other	Total	insti- tu- tions2	ren- cy	Total	Mem- ber	Do- mes- tic non- mem- ber	CD's 1	Other	Total	insti- tu- tions <sup>2</sup>	pos- its <sup>3</sup>
1972—Dec 1973—Dec	56.9 61.5	198.4 209.0	43.6 63.5	270.0 300.9	313,6 364,4	319.6 348.0	57.9 62.7	204.8 215.7	152.1 156.5	51.4 56.3	44.2 64.0	267.6 298.2	311.8 362.2	317.0 345.3	7.4 6.3
1974—Dec	67.8	215.3	89.8	329.3	419.1	369.2	69.0	222.2	159.7	58.5	90.5	326.3	416.7	366.3	4.9
1975—Jan	68.2 68.7 69.4 69.5 70.2 71.0 71.3 71.9 72.0 73.4 73.7	213.7 213.2 214.7 215.4 217.4 220.0 220.6 221.3 221.6 220.8 222.3 221.3	92.7 92.1 89.8 88.4 85.1 84.1 82.1 78.8 79.1 80.9 81.8 82.9	332.6 336.2 339.0 341.8 346.1 351.4 355.5 357.4 359.2 362.3 365.9 368.3	425.4 428.3 428.7 430.1 431.5.5 437.6 436.2 438.3 443.2 447.6 451.2	372.2 375.9 380.7 386.0 391.6 397.8 404.1 410.0 415.2 420.0 424.4 428.6	67.8 67.8 68.8 69.1 70.0 71.2 71.9 72.1 71.9 72.5 73.9	219.9 210.6 212.6 217.4 212.9 219.1 220.3 217.8 219.9 219.9 223.6	158.2 151.8 153.4 156.9 153.4 157.2 157.9 155.8 157.0 156.6 158.9 162.1	58.2 55.8 56.0 57.4 56.9 59.4 59.0 59.7 60.3 61.5	91.9 89.2 88.1 85.8 84.1 82.3 81.3 81.1 82.7 83.7 82.9 83.5	331.9 336.7 341.4 344.6 349.1 353.2 355.7 357.3 357.7 360.7 362.1 365.0	423.8 425.9 429.4 430.4 433.5.5 436.9 438.4 440.5 444.4 444.9 448.4	370.8 375.2 383.3 388.9 393.8 401.0 407.2 409.9 413.3 417.2 420.4 425.2	4.0 3.3 3.8 4.0 4.1 4.2 3.4 2.7 3.9 3.4 3.5 4.2

See also Note above.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Negotiable time certificates of deposit issued in denominations of \$100,000 or more by large weekly reporting commercial banks.
<sup>2</sup> Average of the beginning and end-of-month figures for deposits of mutual savings banks, for savings capital at savings and loan associations, and for credit union shares.

<sup>3</sup> At all commercial banks.

#### AGGREGATE RESERVES AND MEMBER BANK DEPOSITS

(In billions of dollars)

	Memb	er bank	reserves,	S.A. <sup>1</sup>	Deposits subject to reserve requirement					quirements	; 3			member leposits
						S.	A.			N.5	S.A.			ndeposit ms 4
Period	Total	Non- bor- rowed	Re- guired	Avail- able <sup>2</sup>		Time	Den	nand		Time	Den	nand		
					Total	and savings	Private	U.S. Govt.	Total	and savings	Private	U.S. Govt.	S.A.	N.S.A.
1972—Dec 1973—Dec 1974—Dec	31.52 35.15 36.87	30.47 33.85 36.14	31.24 34.85 36.61	29.05 32.86 34.51	402.3 442.8 486.9	241.7 279.7 322.9	154.4 158.1 160.6	6.2 5.0 3.4	406.8 447.5 491.8	240.7 278.5 321.7	160.1 164.0 166.6	6.1 5.0 3.5	406.6 449.4 495.3	411.2 454.0 500.1
1975—Jan Feb Mar Apr May June July Sept Oct Nov Dec	37. 08 35. 64 34. 98 35. 13 34. 69 34. 78 34. 94 34. 58 34. 61 34. 66 35. 01	36.68 35.50 34.87 35.02 34.62 34.56 34.64 34.37 34.29 34.42 34.60 34.88	36.93 35.45 34.78 34.97 34.54 34.75 34.38 34.49 34.40 34.37 34.75	34.39 33.62 32.99 33.02 32.75 32.86 32.81 32.68 32.71 32.64 32.45	490.1 490.9 493.4 494.1 499.5 498.3 496.3 498.4 500.1 506.0	328.2 329.1 329.2 329.7 328.6 330.5 330.8 328.4 329.8 333.1 336.1 338.7	159.3 159.9 161.7 161.7 162.6 164.9 165.1 165.6 164.0 165.9	2.6 1.9 2.5 2.7 2.5 3.2 2.8 3.0 3.9 3.9	495.1 487.0 491.6 495.4 497.5 497.2 494.8 499.1 500.4 510.9	327. 2 326. 5 328. 9 329. 1 329. 8 330. 2 330. 5 332. 2 334. 7 334. 3 337. 2	165.0 158.0 159.8 163.2 159.0 164.2 164.5 162.3 164.0 163.3 166.7 170.7	2.9 2.4 2.8 3.1 3.0 3.1 2.5 2.0 2.9 2.5 3.1	497.7 497.4 499.9 500.8 501.2 506.5 505.1 503.3 505.5 508.0 514.1	502.6 493.5 498.1 502.2 499.2 504.5 501.8 506.1 508.3 511.9 519.3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Averages of daily figures. Member bank reserve series reflects actual reserve requirement percentages with no adjustment to eliminate the effect of changes in Regulations D and M. Required reserves were increased by \$660 million effective Apr. 16, 1969, and \$400 million effective Oct. 16, 1969; were reduced by \$500 million (net) effective Oct. 1, 1970. Required reserves were reduced by approximately \$2.5 billion, effective Nov. 9, 1972; by \$1.0 billion, effective Nov. 15; and increased by \$300 million effective Nov. 22.

3 Averages of daily figures. Deposits subject to reserve requirements

<sup>3</sup> Averages of daily figures. Deposits subject to reserve requirements include total time and savings deposits and net demand deposits as defined by Regulation D. Private demand deposits include all demand deposits except those due to the U.S. Govt., less cash items in process of collection and demand balances due from domestic commercial banks.
<sup>4</sup> "Total member bank deposits" subject to reserve requirements, plus Euro-dollar borrowings, loans sold to bank-related institutions, and certain other nondeposit items. This series for deposits is referred to as "the adjusted bank credit proxy."
Note.—Due to changes in Regulations M and D, member bank reserves include reserves held against nondeposit funds beginning Oct. 16, 1969.
Revised back data may be obtained from the Banking Section, Division of Research and Statistics, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551.

#### LOANS AND INVESTMENTS AT ALL COMMERCIAL BANKS

(In billions of dollars)

			Seasor	nally adju	isted					Not seas	ot seasonally adjusted					
	Total		Loans		Secui	rities	Loans Total					Securities				
Date	loans and invest-		Plus		nercial lustrial 3	U.S.		loans and invest-		Plus	Comn and ind	nercial lustrial <sup>3</sup>	U.S.			
	ments 1	Total <sup>1</sup>	loans sold <sup>2</sup>	Total	Plus loans sold <sup>2</sup>	Treas- ury	Other 4	ments 1	Total <sup>1</sup>	loans sold <sup>2</sup>	Total	Plus loans sold <sup>2</sup>	Treas- ury	Other4		
1971—Dec. 31 1972—Dec. 31 1973—Dec. 31 1974—Dec. 31.56.	484.8 556.4 630.3 687.1	320.3 377.8 447.3 498.2	323.1 380.4 451.6 503.0	115.9 129.7 155.8 182.6	117.5 131.4 158.4 185.3	60.1 61.9 52.8 48.8	104.4 116.7 130.2 140.1	497.9 571.4 647.3 705.6	328.3 387.3 458.5 510.7	331.1 389.9 462.8 515.5	118.5 132.7 159.4 186.8	120.2 134.4 162.0 189.6	64.9 67.0 58.3 54.5	104.7 117.1 130.6 140.5		
1975—Feb. 26	692.6 697.0 699.1 702.0 705.0 706.4 711.6 715.0 721.3	498.9 498.3 495.0 492.8 489.9 489.6 490.7 490.4 494.1 498.0 494.7	503.4 503.0 499.6 497.5 494.6 494.1 495.2 494.9 502.7 499.1	182.5 180.9 180.5 179.1 176.3 177.6 177.5 176.4 177.9 178.9 177.7	185.2 183.7 183.2 181.9 179.2 180.4 180.3 179.2 180.8 181.7 180.3	53.2 58.5 64.0 68.2 72.4 73.4 75.6 77.1 75.1 76.3 77.9	140.5 140.2 140.1 141.0 142.7 143.4 144.1 145.8 147.0 144.6	686.8 692.5 698.1 698.3 709.3 704.9 705.6 711.5 713.3 720.9 734.4	492.8 492.3 493.1 491.6 497.2 491.7 489.7 491.7 492.4 496.0 505.1	497.3 496.9 497.7 496.3 501.9 496.2 494.2 496.2 497.1 500.7 509.5	180.7 180.5 181.1 178.7 179.0 177.5 176.0 176.6 177.8 181.1	183.4 183.3 183.8 181.5 181.9 180.3 178.8 179.6 179.5 180.6 183.7	54.6 59.3 63.3 65.0 68.2 69.6 72.1 75.4 76.1 79.6 84.2	139.5 140.9 141.7 141.7 143.9 143.6 143.8 144.3 144.3 145.3		
1976—Jan. 28 <sup>p</sup>	720.5	495.4	499.7	178.1	180.6	80.2	144.9	719.5	490.6	494.9	176.0	178.5	84.9	144.0		

Adjusted to exclude domestic commercial interbank loans.

Note.—Total loans and investments: For monthly data, Jan. 1959—June 1973, see Nov. 1973 Bulletin, pp. A-96-A-97, and for 1948-58, Aug. 1968 Bulletin, pp. A-94-A-97. For a description of the current seasonally adjusted series see the Nov. 1973 Bulletin, pp. 831-32, and the Dec. 1971 Bulletin, pp. 971-73. Commercial and industrial loans: For monthly data, Jan. 1959—June 1973, see Nov. 1973 Bulletin, pp. A-96-A-98; for description see July 1972 Bulletin, p. 683. Data are for last Wednesday of month except for June 30 and Dec. 31; data are partly or wholly estimated except when June 30 and Dec. 31 are call dates.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Reserves available to support private nonbank deposits are defined as (1) required reserves for (a) private demand deposits, (b) total time and savings deposits, and (c) nondeposit sources subject to reserve requirements, and (2) excess reserves. This series excludes required reserves for net interbank and U.S. Govt. demand deposits.

Adjusted to exclude domestic commercial interbank loans.
Loans sold are those sold outright for banks' own foreign branches, nonconsolidated nonbank affiliates of the banks, the banks' holding company (if not a bank), and nonconsolidated nonbank subsidiaries of the holding company. Prior to Aug. 28, 1974, the institutions included had been defined somewhat differently, and the reporting panel of banks was also different. On the new basis, both "Total loans" and "Commercial and industrial loans" were reduced by about \$100 million.
Reclassification of loans at one large bank reduced these loans by about \$400 million as of June 30, 1972.
Farmers Home Administration insured notes included in "Other securities" rather than in loans beginning June 30, 1971, when such notes totaled about \$700 million.
5 Data beginning June 30, 1974, include one large mutual savings bank that merged with a nonmember commercial bank. As of that date there were increases of about \$500 million in loans, \$100 million in "Other securities," and \$600 million in "Total loans and investments."

<sup>6</sup> As of Oct. 31, 1974, "Total loans and investments" of all commercial As of Oct. 31, 19/4, "Total loans and investments" of all commercial banks were reduced by \$1.5 billion in connection with the liquidation of one large bank. Reductions in other items were: "Total loans," \$1.0 billion (of which \$0.6 billion was in "Commercial and industrial loans"), and "Other securities," \$0.5 billion. In late November "Commercial and industrial loans" were increased by \$0.1 billion as a result of loan reclassifications at another large bank.

#### PRINCIPAL ASSETS AND LIABILITIES AND NUMBER, BY CLASS OF BANK

(Amounts in millions of dollars)

<del></del>	Los	ans and ir	vestmen	nts	(Amor	Total	mons or c	ionars)	Der	osits					
Classification by			Secu			assets— Total lia-		Interb	1		Other			Total	Num-
FRS membership and FDIC	m		Secu		Cash assets 3	bilities and	<b>7</b> 7		l				Bor- row-	capital ac-	ber of
insurance	Total	Loans 1	U.S. Treas-	Other 2		capital ac- counts 4	Total <sup>3</sup>	De- mand	Time	Dem		Time 5	ings	counts	banks
			ury							U.S. Govt.	Other				
					Last-W	ednesday.	of-month	series 6							
All commercial banks: 1941—Dec. 31	50,746	21,714	21,808	7,225	26,551	79,104	71,283	10,	 982	44	349	15,952	23	7,173	14,278
1947—Dec. 31 7 1960—Dec. 31 1970—Dec. 318	116,284 199,509	38,057 117,642	21,808 69,221 61,003	7,225 9,006 20,864	26,551 37,502 52,150	79,104 155,377 257,552 576,242	144 103	17,079	1,799	1,343 5,945 7,938	94,367 133,379	35,360 71,641	163	10,059	14,181
1971—Dec. 31 1972—Dec. 31	516,564 598,808	346,930 414,696	64,930 67,028	104,704 117,084	99,832 113,128	257,552 576,242 640,255 739,033 835,224	537,946 616,037	32,205 33,854	2,908 4,194	10,169 10,875	220,375 252,223	272,289 314,891	25,912 38,083	42,958 47,211 52,658	13,783 13,927
1973—Dec. 31 1974—Dec. 31		349,183	34,431	140,473	28,042	919,332	747,903	43,483	6,773 11,496	'	267,506	420,611	58,369	58,128 63,650	14,465
1975—Jan. 29 Feb. 26 Mar. 26	724,820 725,480 731,690	531,390	54,550	139,540	103,880	875,020 879,080 889,370	702,170 702,500 712,520	29,930 30,410	)11,740 )10,440 )11,680	4,520 2,630 3,950	234,610	422,050 424,890 429,580	64,290	65 220	14,499
Apr. 30 May 28 June 30	731,100 733,690 747,551	526,120 527,030 535,493	63,280 65,000 68,191	141,700 141,660 143,868	114,140 114,400 1128,716	899,110 901,280 930,719	723,060 725,590 754,324	33,140 32,510	11,880 11,200 11,209	7,910 2,950 3,117	242,580	427,550	61,340	65,100	14,537 14,558
July $30^p$ Aug. $27^p$	720 050	525,640 524,700	69,620 72,060	143,590	106,780 104,030	900,210 898,940	724,350 723,090	22 166	010,830 010,570	2,230 2,850	243,470 242,290	433,389 434,660 435,870 438,920	61,800	66,150	14,583 14,595 14,612
Sept. $24^{p}$ Oct. $29^{p}$ Nov. $26^{p}$	736,830 740,590 742,300 745,150 754,780 771,380	524,260 529,890	76,050 79,550	144,840 145,340	103,160 109,140 121,370	889,370 899,110 901,280 930,719 900,210 898,940 903,440 911,930 934,450 958,410	724,330 723,090 724,490 733,730 749,140 781,770	31,280 31,830 34,470	10,990 11,210 11,160	3,220 2,700 3,600	256,970	440,960	66,360	67,440	14,629
Dec. 31 p 1976—Jan. 28p						958,410 921,760		i	11,830	3,170	278,280	446,830 446,660	38,100	68,510	14,630
Members of F.R. System:	·	·													
1941—Dec. 31 1947—Dec. 31	43,521 97,846	18,021 32,628	19,539 57,914	5,961 7,304	23,113 32,845	68,121 132,060 216,577	61,717 122,528 193,029	12,353	50	1,709 1,176 5,287	37,136 80,609 112,393	12,347 28,340 57,273	54 130		6,619 6,923 6,174
1960—Dec. 31 1970—Dec. 31 <sup>8</sup> 1971—Dec. 31	165,619 365,940 405,087 465,788	253,936 277,717	45,399 47,633	66,604 79,738	81,500 86,189	465,644 511,353	384,596 425,380 482,124	29,142	1,733	6,460 8,427	168,032 174,385	209,406	25.046	34,100 37,279 41,228 44,741	5,767 5,727 5,704 5,735
1972—Dec. 31 1973—Dec. 31 1974—Dec. 31	320.124	329,548 391,032 429,537	48,715 41,494 38,921	95,598 100,073	100,098 106,995	68,121 132,060 216,577 465,644 511,353 585,125 655,898 715,615	526,837 575,563	31,958 34,782 41,062	3,561 5,843 10,052	9,024 8,273 3,183	202,564 204,203	239,763 275,374 317,064	55,611 52,850	41,228 44,741 48,240	5,704 5,735 5,780
1975—Jan. 29 Feb. 26	549,144	414,419 412,076	37,549	98,252	86,350	676,898	536,256 535,250	28,157	10,299 8,991	3,247 1,989	177,701 178,596	316,698 317,517 320,273	56,105 58,868	48,741	5.785
Mar. 26 Apr. 30 May 28	550,756 551,264	411,446 406,676 405,803	42,544 45,142 46,918	98,967 98,938 98,543	89,685 96,694 96,455	685,906 692,147 691,485	542,076 549,824 549,996	31,102 30,19	10,231 210,433 9,751	2,794 6,212 2,178	10/ 402	217 204	55,738	49,267	5,785 5,789 5,790
June 30 July 30	562,667 553,545 554,007 555,096	405,803 412,939 403,742 402,281 400,695 401,492	49,610 50,050 51,899	100,118 99,753 99,827	89,685 96,694 96,455 107,152 89,898 87,208	716,364 688,756 686,266	573,382 547,222	39,847 30,980	9,576	2,178 2,166 1,541 2,099	187,439 201,197 184,595 183,283 181,340	320,596 320,908 321,372 324,167	56,334 56,094 54,175	50,257 49,951	5,794 5,796
Aug. 27 Sept. 24 Oct. 29 Nov. 26	555,096 556,383 564,055	400,695 401,492	54,355 54,546	100,046 100,345	88,004 91,397	686,266 689,717 695,312 714,149 733,267	546,360 552,649 564,856	29,156 29,568	3 9,578	2.343	181,340 186,851 194,502 211,418	324,167 324,700 326,055	34,230	50,543	5.792
Dec. 31 v	577,678				l .			38,59	10,197	2,226			52,75€	51,748	5,789
1976—Jan. 28 <sup>p</sup>	363,470	402,067	01,713	99,090	93,794	705,135	336,297	29,712	9,529	2,911	183,775	328,366	01,022	32,00	5,789
						Call da	te series								
Insured banks:															
Total: 1941—Dec. 31 1947—Dec. 31	114.274	21,259 37,583 117,092	21,046 67,941	6,984 8,750	25,788 36,926	76,820 152,733	141 851	12.614	654		92,975	34,882	61	9,734	13,426 13,398
1960—Dec. 31 1970—Dec. 318 1972—Dec. 31	458,919 594,502	117,092 312,006 411,525 490,527	60,468 61,438 66,679	20,451 85,475 116,298	51,836 92,708 111,333	255,669 572,682 732,519 827,081	228,401 479,174 612,822 677,358	16,921 30,233 33,366	1,874 4,113	7.898	132,533 208,037 250,693 261,530	231,132	149 19,149 37,556	20,628 42,427 52,166 57,603	13,119 13,502 13,721
1973—Dec. 31	ì				1	827,081 906,325		l	6,429			363,294 418,142		57,603 63,039	
1975—June 30 Sept. 30	736,164	526,272	67,833	142,060	125,181		746,348	· ·	10,252	3,106	261,903	416,962 425,382	59,310	65.986	14,320
National member:				· ·			i							1	
1941—Dec. 31 1947—Dec. 31 1960—Dec. 31	65,280 107,546	21,428 63,694	32,712	5,178 11,140	28,675	88,182 139,261	82,023 124,911	8,375 9,829	611	1,088 795 3,265	53,541 71,660	19,278 39,546	45 111	11,098	5,005 4,530
1970—Dec. 318 1972—Dec. 31 1973—Dec. 31	350,743	247,041	37,185	50,004	I 56,028	340,764 434,810 489,470	283,663 359,319	19,096	5 2,155	4,740 6,646 5,955	146,800	137,592	13,100 26,706	24,868 30,342 33,125	4,612
1974—Dec. 31	1			1		534,207					154,397			35,815	i
1975—June 30 Sept. 30	428,167 428,507	312,229 307,230	37,606 40,872	78,331 76,929	75,686 72,216	536,836 534,415	431,646 427,421	21,090 20,250	6,804 6,795	1,723 1,963	152,576 146,382	242,492 245,783	41,954 42,073	37,483 38,346	
	<del></del>	<u> </u>			t	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>		ı	·	<del></del>	1

#### PRINCIPAL ASSETS AND LIABILITIES AND NUMBER, BY CLASS OF BANK—Continued

(Amounts in millions of dollars)

	Lo	ans and	investme	nts		Total			Dep	osits		·			
Classification by FRS membership			Secu	rities	Cash	assets— Total lia-		Inter	bank <sup>3</sup>		Other		Bor-	Total capital	Num- ber
and FDIC insurance	Total	Loans	U.S. Treas-	Other	assets 3	bilities and capital	Total 3	De-		Den	nand	Time	row- ings	ac- counts	of banks
			ury	2		ac- counts <sup>4</sup>		mand	Time	U.S. Govt.	Other	5			ı
						Call dat	e series								
Insured banks (cont.): State member: 1941—Dec. 31 1947—Dec. 31 1960—Dec. 31 1970—Dec. 318 1972—Dec. 31 1973—Dec. 31	15,950 32,566 58,073 94,760 115,426 130,240	11,200 36,240 66,963 82,889	7,500 19,240 16,394 11,196 11,530 10,532	2,125 5,439 16,600 21,008	10,822 17,081 25,472 29,176	43,879 77,316 125,460 150,697	40,505	3,978 6,608	1,028 750 1,406		27,068 40,733	9,062 17,727 42,218 55,523	9 20 5,478 9,651	9,232 10,886	1,502 1,918 1,644 1,147 1,092 1,076
1974—Dec. 31		i i	9,846		l		144,799		ł	746		73,380		12,425	1,074
1975—June 30 1975—Sept. 30	134,759 135,003	100,968 99,854	12,004 12,234	21,787 21,240	31,466 28,842		141,995 139,276			443 490	48,621 46,416	65,654 67,958	14,380 13,211	12,773 13,009	1,064
Nonmember: 1941—Dec. 31 1947—Dec. 31 1960—Dec. 31 1970—Dec. 318 1972—Dec. 31 1973—Dec. 31	92,399 128,333	4,958 17,169 57,489 81,594	11,368 16,039	1,448 3,874 18,871 28,774	4,083 6,082 11,208 14,767	20,691 39,114 106,457 147,013	19,342 35,391	262 484 1,091 1,408	27 141 552	53 149 645 1,438 1,796 1,582	20,140 40,005 52,876	6,558 14,095 51,322 73,685	6 7 19 <b>571</b> 1,199 1,920	959 1,271 3,232 8,326 10,938 12,862	6,810 6,478 6,948 7,735 8,017 8,229
1974—Dec. 31	165,709	111,300	15,211	39,199	18,380	190,435	165,827	1,525	642	1,616	61,240	100,804	3,138	14,799	8,436
1975—June 30 1975—Sept. 30	173,238 177,371	113,074 114,589	18,223 20,275	41,942 42,457	18,029 16,717	198,157 201,299	172,707 175,060	1,397 1,277		940 1,153		108,816 111,641	2,976 3,041	15,730 16,224	8,526 8,562
Noninsured nonmember: 1941—Dec. 31 1947—Dec. 31 1960—Dec. 31 1970—Dec. 31 1971—Dec. 31 1972—Dec. 31 1973—Dec. 31	1,457 2,009 1,498 3,079 3,147 4,865 6,192	455 474 550 2,132 2,224 3,731 4,927	761 1,280 535 304 239 349 316	241 255 413 642 684 785 949	576 314 934 1,551 1,794	1,883 4,365 5,130 7,073	1,443 2,570 2,923 3,775	177 159 375 380 488 591	132 101 116 81	1,: 18 13 40 19 55	846 1,298 1,273	293 756 1,134 1,620	13 4 14 226 283 527 1,463	329 325 358 532 480 491 524	852 783 352 184 181 206 207
1974—Dec. 31	9,981	8,461	319	1,201	2,667	13,616	6,627	897	803	8	2,062	2,857	2,382	611	249
1975—June 30	11,725	9,559	3 <i>5</i> 8	1,808	3,534	16,277	8,314	1,338	957	11	2,124	3,320	3,110	570	253
Total nonmember:  1941—Dec. 31 1947—Dec. 31 1960—Dec. 31 1970—Dec. 31 1971—Dec. 31 1972—Dec. 31 1973—Dec. 31 1974—Dec. 31	133,198 155,830	59,621 69,411 85,325 104,070	2,270 11,318 11,904 16,342 17,297 18,313 16,783	19,514 24,966 29,559 34,976	4,659 6,396 12,143 13,643 16,562 18,177	23,334 40,997 110,822 129,100 154,085 179,480	21,591 36,834	439 643 1,466 1,592 1,895 2,057	160 243 359 633 930		41,303 45,990 54,406 60,802	14,388 52,078 63,081 75,305	18 12 33 796 866 1,726 3,383	1,288 1,596 3,590 8,858 9,932 11,429 13,386	7,662 7,261 7,300 7,919 8,056 8,223 8,436
1975—June 30	,		- 1		,	214,434	'	2,735		951	•	112,136	•	16,300	8,779

¹ Loans to farmers directly guaranteed by CCC were reclassified as securities and Export-Import Bank portfolio fund participations were reclassified from loans to securities effective June 30, 1966. This reduced "Total loans" and increased "Other securities" by about \$1 billion. "Total loans" include Federal funds sold, and beginning with June 1967 securities purchased under resale agreements, figures for which are included in "Federal funds sold, etc.," on p. A-16.

Effective June 30, 1971, Farmers Home Administration notes were classified as "Other securities" rather than "Loans." As a result of this change, approximately \$300 million was transferred to "Other securities" for the period ending June 30, 1971, for all commercial banks.

See also table (and notes) at the bottom of p. A-24.

² See first 2 paragraphs of note 1.

³ Reciprocal balances excluded beginning with 1942.

⁴ Includes items not shown separately. See also note 1.

³ See third paragraph of note I above.

⁶ For the last-Wednesday-of-the-month series, figures for call dates are shown for June and December as soon as they became available.

¬ Beginning with Dec. 31, 1947, the series was revised; for description, see note 4, p. 587, May 1964 BULLETIN.

ጾ Figure takes into account the following changes, which became effective June 30, 1969: (1) inclusion of consolidated reports (including figures for all bank-premises subsidiaries and other significant majority-owned domestic subsidiaries) and (2) reporting of figures for total loans and for individual categories of securities on a gross basis—that is, before deduction of valuation reserves—rather than net as previously reported.

9 Member bank data for Oct. exclude assets of \$3.6 billion of one large

Note.—Data are for all commercial banks in the United States (including Alaska and Hawaii, beginning with 1959). Commercial banks represent all commercial banks, both member and nonmember; stock savings banks; nondeposit trust companies; and U.S. branches of foreign banks. Figures for member banks before 1970 include mutual savings banks as follows: 3 before Jan. 1960 and 2 through Dec. 1960. Those banks

are not included in insured commercial banks.

Effective June 30, 1969, commercial banks and member banks exclude a small national bank in the Virgin Islands; also, member banks exclude, and noninsured commercial banks include, through June 30, 1970, a small

and noninsured commercial banks include, through June 30, 1970, a small member bank engaged exclusively in trust business; beginning 1973, exclude 1 national bank in Puerto Rico.

Beginning Dec. 31, 1973, June 30, 1974, and Dec. 31, 1974, June 30, 1975, respectively, member banks exclude and noninsured nonmember banks include 1, 2, 3, and 4 noninsured trust companies that are member of the Federal Reserve System.

Comparability of figures for classes of banks in affected somewhat by changes in F.R. membership, deposit insurance status, and by mergers etc.

etc.
Figures are partly estimated except on call dates.
For revisions in series before June 30, 1947, see July 1947 BULLETIN,

#### ASSETS BY CLASS OF BANK, JUNE 30, 1975

(Assets and liabilities are shown in millions of dollars.)

				M	ember bank	S 1		
Account	All commercial	Insured commercial	-		Large banks			Non-
	banks	banks	Total	New York City	City of Chicago	Other large	All other	member banks <sup>1</sup>
Cash bank balances, items in process.  Currency and coin.  Reserves with F.R. Banks Demand balances with banks in United States. Other balances with banks in United States. Balances with banks in foreign countries. Cash items in process of collection.	128,716 10,102 26,890 34.278 5,727 2,296 49,422	125,181 10,079 26,890 31,788 5,276 1,833 49,315	107,152 7,546 26,890 19,722 3,647 1,738 47,610	29,694 569 5,656 6,940 94 438 15,997	4,419 121 1,800 165 115 78 2,139	38,925 2,520 10,084 3,710 1,153 938 20,518	34,114 4,335 9,350 8,906 2,284 285 8,955	21,564 2,556 14,556 2,080 558 1,813
Total securities held—Book value. U.S. Treasury. Other U.S. Govt. agencies. States and political subdivisions. All other securities.	212,058 68,191 33,882 101,472 8,513	209,893 67,833 33,490 101,091 7,479	149,728 49,610 21,213 73,762 5,144	16,808 7,368 1,754 7,030 657	5,879 2,189 570 2,828 291	49,992 17,061 6,348 25,087 1,496	77,049 22,992 12,540 38,817 2,699	62,330 18,581 12,669 27,711 3,370
Trade-account securities. U.S. Treasury. Other U.S. Govt. agencies. States and political subdivisions. All other.	6,198 2,945 941 1,907 406	6,188 2,934 941 1,907 406	6,136 2,909 934 1,893 400	2,468 1,399 239 736 95	556 344 27 117 68	2,896 1,078 633 952 233	217 88 35 89 5	62 35 7 14 6
Bank investment portfolios U.S. Treasury Other U.S. Govt. agencies States and political subdivisions All other	205,860 65,246 32,941 99,566 8,108	203.705 64,899 32.549 99,184 7,073	143,592 46,701 20,279 71,869 4,743	14,340 5,969 1,515 6,294 562	5,323 1,845 544 2,711 224	47,096 15,983 5,715 24,135 1,264	76,832 22,904 12,505 38,729 2,694	62,268 18,545 12,662 27,697 3,364
Federal funds sold and securities resale agreements Commercial banks Brokers and dealers. Others	38,841 34,083 3,054 1,704	37,383 32,625 3,054 1,704	28,951 24,296 2,977 1,677	1,747 852 108 787	1,263 1,041 203 19	14,807 11,800 2,195 812	11,133 10,604 471 59	9,891 9,787 77 27
Other loans.  Real estate loans. Secured by farmland. Secured by residential. 1- to 4-family residences. FHA insured. VA guaranteed. Other. Multifamily. FHA insured. Other Secured by other properties.	496,990 131,445 6,105 81,360 74,612 5,626 3,167 65,818 6,748 762 5,986 43,981	488,888 131,246 6,090 81,233 74,489 5,610 3,147 65,732 6,744 761 5,983 43,923	384,247 94,442 2,676 59,898 54,377 4,875 2,713 46,790 5,521 706 4,815 31,868	75,339 7,951 5 4,265 3,150 233 181 2,736 1,115 136 978 3,681	22,512 1,332 2 894 839 55 20 764 55 25 30 436	142,424 35,526 327 23,532 20,932 2,632 1,418 16,882 2,600 331 2,269 11,667	143,973 49,633 2,342 31,207 29,456 1,955 1,094 26,407 1,751 214 1,537 16,084	112,742 37,003 3,428 21,462 20,235 752 454 19,029 1,227 56 1,171 12,113
Loans to domestic and foreign banks.  Loans to other financial institutions Loans on securities to brokers and dealers.  Other loans for purch./carry securities Loans to farmers Commercial and industrial loans.	11,155 32,413 5,534 3,836 19,071 178,993	8,644 32,164 5,447 3,818 19,054 174,436	8,075 30,964 5,373 3,177 10,768 147,242	3,543 11,756 3,931 516 88 39,616	504 4,720 659 277 190 12,517	3,252 12,175 649 1,497 2,554 55,802	776 2,314 134 887 7,935 39,307	3,080 1,449 161 658 8,304 31,751
Loans to individuals.  Instalment loans.  Passenger automobilies. Residential-repair/modernize. Credit cards and related plans. Charge-account credit cards. Check and revolving credit plans. Other retail consumer goods. Mobile homes. Other. Other instalment loans. Single-payment loans to individuals. All other loans.	101,816 79,246 32,128 5,627 10,835 8,240 2,595 15,273 8,807 6,466 15,383 22,570 12,726	101,512 79,033 32,026 5,611 10,835 8,240 2,594 15,242 8,801 6,441 15,318 22,479 12,568	72,806 56,275 21,423 4,077 9,551 7,389 2,162 10,661 6,340 4,321 10,563 16,531 11,400	4,942 3,062 421 202 1,015 742 273 160 100 60 1,265 1,880 2,995	1,540 804 151 49 399 369 29 104 48 56 101 736	25,865 20,229 6,621 1,717 5,320 4,181 1,139 3,765 2,276 1,489 2,807 5,636 5,103	40,458 32,180 14,230 2,109 2,818 2,096 722 6,632 3,916 2,716 6,390 8,278 2,529	29,010 22,971 10,706 1,550 1,284 851 433 4,611 2,467 2,144 4,820 6,039 1,326
Total loans and securities	747,889	736,164	562,926	93,894	29,654	207,223	232,155	184,963
Fixed assets—Buildings, furniture, real estate Investments in subsidiaries not consolidated Customer acceptances outstanding Other assets.	16,254 1,820 9,462 26,917	16,175 1,798 9,223 26,239	12,183 1,777 8,993 23,592	1,263 797 4,795 8,889	500 146 427 1,122	4,894 754 3,438 9,756	5,526 81 332 3,825	4,071 42 469 3,325
Total assets	931,057	914,781	716,623	139,333	36,268	264,990	276,032	214,434
Number of banks	14,573	14,320	5,794	12	9	155	5,618	8,779

<sup>1</sup> Member banks exclude and nonmember banks include 4 noninsured trust companies that are members of the Federal Reserve System, and member banks exclude 2 national banks outside the continental United States.

2 See table (and notes), Deposits Accumulated for Payment of Personal Loans, p. 24.

3 Demand deposits adjusted are demand deposits other than domestic commercial interbank and U.S. Govt., less cash items reported as in process of collection.

Note.—Data include consolidated reports, including figures for all bank-premises subsidiaries and other significant majority-owned domestic subsidiaries. Figures for total loans and for individual categories of securities are reported on a gross basis—that is, before deduction of

valuation reserves.

Back data in lesser detail were shown in previous BULLETINS. Beginning with the fall Call Report, data for future spring and fall Call Reports will be available from the Data Production Section of the Division of Data Processing.

Details may not add to totals because of rounding.

### LIABILITIES AND CAPITAL BY CLASS OF BANK, JUNE 30, 1975

(Assets and liabilities are shown in millions of dollars.)

				М	ember bank	s 1		
Account	All	Insured commercial			Large banks			Non- member
,	banks	banks	Total	New York City	City of Chicago	Other large	All other	banks 1
Demand deposits  Mutual savings banks Other individuals, partnerships, and corporations. U.S. Government States and political subdivisions. Foreign governments, central banks, etc Commercial banks in United States Banks in foreign countries. Certified and officers' checks, etc.	309,726 1,279 232,079 3,117 18,217 1,555 34,345 6,957 12,176	306,253 1,151 231,121 3,106 18,079 1,310 34,019 6,074 11,393	243,210 1,057 177,344 2,166 13,074 1,280 32,823 5,967 9,499	57,475 483 29,687 118 758 1,088 16,986 4,662 3,691	9,911 7,668 42 186 18 1,593 152 250	85,372 210 65,847 725 3,883 167 10,482 1,058 2,999	90,453 362 74,142 1,280 8,247 6 3,762 95 2,558	66,516 223 54,735 951 5,143 275 1,522 990 2,677
Time and savings deposits Savings deposits Accumulated for personal loan payments <sup>2</sup> . Mutual savings banks. Other individuals, partnerships, and corporations. U.S. Government. States and political subdivisions Foreign governments, central banks, etc Commercial banks in United States. Banks in foreign countries.	444,936 151,744 338 648 219,489 492 48,219 13,445 8,449 2,111	440,096 151,463 335 627 216,619 492 48,052 12,882 8,334 1,291	330,431 109,037 259 611 163,751 360 34,739 12,710 7,716 1,248	46,693 6,995 	16,362 2,385 	119,708 38,455 74 265 59,106 184 15,062 3,337 3,048 178	147,669 61,202 186 42 68,473 165 16,932 43 621	114,505 42,708 79 37 55,738 132 13,480 735 733 863
Total deposits	754,662	746,348	573,641	104,167	26,272	205,080	238,122	181,021
Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase.  Other liabilities for borrowed money.  Mortgage indebtedness.  Bank acceptances outstanding.  Other liabilities.	56,529 5,891 763 10,060 27,627	54,835 4,475 761 9,814 23,645	52,184 4,150 550 9,583 18,960	13,367 1,362 64 5,375 3,535	5,845 26 4 430 929	25,865 2,370 313 3,447 7,789	7,106 392 169 332 6,706	4,345 1,741 213 477 8,667
Total liabilities	855,533	839,879	659,069	127,870	33,507	244,864	252,827	196,464
Minority interest in consolidated subsidiaries Total reserves on loans/securities Reserves for bad debts (IRS) Other reserves on loans. Reserves on securities	8,963 8,659 121 182	8,912 8,614 119 179	1 7,297 7,110 69 119	1,685 1,685	525 525 1	2,761 2,682 17 61	2,325 2,218 50 57	1,666 1,549 53 64
Total capital accounts Capital notes and debentures Equity capital Preferred stock Common stock Surplus Undivided profits Other capital reserves	66,557 4,347 62,210 50 15,176 25,968 20,053 963	65,986 4,287 61,699 42 15,077 25,816 19,859 905	50,257 3,467 46,790 24 11,187 19,500 15,441 638	9,777 782 8,995 2,163 3,667 3,166	2,236 81 2,155  568 1,143 399 44	17,365 1,656 15,710 10 3,614 6,976 4,845 264	20,878 948 19,930 13 4,842 7,713 7,031 330	16,300 880 15,421 27 3,989 6,468 4,613 324
Total liabilities, reserves, minority interest, capital accounts	931,057	914,781	716,623	139,333	36,268	264,990	276,032	214,434
Demand deposits adjusted 3  Average total deposits (past 15 days)  Average total loans (past 15 days)	222,842 734,017 506,945	219,813 726,164 497,466	160,611 555,860 385,936	24,373 96,313 74,863	6,136 25,508 22,484	53,646 199,612 143,273	76,456 234,427 145,316	62,231 178,157 121,009
Selected ratios: Percentage of total assets Cash and balances with other banks	13.8 22.8	13.7 22.9	15.0 20.9	21.3 12.1	12.2 16.2	14.7 18.9	12.4 27.9	10.1 29.1
Total securities held. Trading account securities. U.S. Treasury. States and political subdivisions. All other trading account securities.	.7 .3 .2 .1	.7 .3 .2 .1	.9 .4 .3 .2	1.8 1.0 .5	1.5 .9 .3	1.1 .4 .4 .3	.1	
Bank investment portfolios		22.3 7.1 10.8 4.3	20.0 6.5 10.0 3.5	10.3 4.3 4.5 1.5	14.7 5.1 7.5 2.1	17.8 6.0 9.1 2.6	27.8 8.3 14.0 5.5	29.0 8.6 12.9 7.5
Other loans and Federal funds sold	57.6 5.8 80.3	57.5 5.8 80.5	57.7 6.5 78.6	55.3 11.3 67.4	65.6 6.1 81.8	59.3 7.1 78.2	56.2 3.5 84.1	57.2 3.7 86.3
Reserves for loans and securities Equity capital—Total Total capital accounts	1.0 6.7 7.1	1.0 6.7 7.2	1.0 6.5 7.0	1.2 6.5 7.0	1.4 5.9 6.2	1.0 5.9 6.6	7.2 7.6	7.2 7.6
Number of banks	14,573	14,320	5,794	12	9	155	5,618	8,779

For notes see opposite page.

#### ASSETS AND LIABILITIES OF LARGE COMMERCIAL BANKSA

(In millions of dollars)

									I	_oans							
			F	ederal fu	ınds sol	d, etc.1	<u> </u>			•		Ot	her				
		Total loans			To br and d involv	ealers							chasing securi		To no		
	Wednesday	and invest- ments		To com-			To		Com- mer-	Agri-	To br and d		oth	o iers	mstro	ations	
			Total	mer- cial banks	U S. Treas- ury se- curi- ties	Other se- curi- ties	others	Total	cial and indus- tria	cul- tural	U.S. Treas- ury secs.	Other secs.	U.S Treas- ury secs.	Other secs.	Pers. and sales finance cos., etc.	Other	Real estate
L	arge banks— Total																
	1975										,						
Jan.	8 15 22 29	407,598 402,853 395,791 393,512	19,532 18,672 17,430 17,342	14,947 14,691 14,384 13,847	2,352 1,863 1,272 1,595	1,459 1,260 840 987	774 858 934 913	300,373 297,282 293,235 292,146	130,816 129,635 128,446 127,429	3,637 3,586 3,565 3,528	2,353 1,226 550 898	3,602 2,950	85 86 84 82	2,476 2,438	10,340 10,272 9,992 9,785	21 358	60,246 60,257 60,220 60,261
Dec.	3	397,103 398,980 402,384 401,519 404,053	18,391 18,874 18,764 18,678 19,809	14,603 14,914	2,754	922 819 1,023 844 901	754 903 1,060 977 947	280,443 280,184 282,792 282,907 283,899	119,311 119,543 120,116 120,455 120,661	3,545 3,546 3,593 3,580 3,640	1,221 1,184 1,451 1,497 1,059	4,522 5,466 4,727	76 70 72 70 70	2,297 2,300 2,300	8,560 8,608 8,680 8,493 8,628	18,635 18,591 18;382	50 /02
	1976			16.203	0.607	.77					1 < 40				0.250	10.001	
Jan.	7 14 21 28	402,782 401,058 394,724 393,321	20,264 20,597 18,035 18,421	17,445 14,878	1,700 1,650	567	617 671 940 647	281,549 279,147 277,309 275,113	119,529 118,653 118,028 117,095	3,688 3,631 3,631 3,645	1,649 977 813 551	4,243 3,885	73 78 79 74	2,259	8,259 8,294 8,209 8,151	18,321 18,082 17,995 17,818	59,850 59,842 59,836 59,747
N	ew York City																
Jan.	1975 8	94,211	1,406	1,322	28	38		77,323	40,894	126		2,277	21	534	3,536	8,282	7.514
	15 22 29		1,977	2,402 1,814 1,774	62 93 108		42 160 95	75,986 73,681	40,396 39,812 39,477	126 125 121	1,085 482 754	1,868 2,231	21 20 20	507 502 499	3,591 3,428 3,288	8,282 8,330 8,207 8,192	7,514 7,508 7,531 7,509
Dec.	3	87,748 88,031 89,528 89,784 90,010	951 1,482 1,617 2,396 2,603	714 1,114 1,379 2,121 2,170	135 131 65 96 91	10	102 237 173 179 332	69,067 70,364 70,246	36,426 36,611 36,660 36,762 36,710	86 86 100 85 90	1,096 1,306 1,372	2,708 3.575	18 16 17 16 17	399 398 396	2,845	7,088 7,055 6,946 6,886 6,857	1 7.907
	1976		929	617	00		133	72 226	27 520	107	1,439	2 500	16	453	2 700	6 007	0.533
Jan.	7 14 21 28	92,527 91,908 90,501 89,993	838 1,637 1,839 2,108	1,405 1,365 1,777	/4	15	92 400 174	71,745	37,538 36,867 36,573 36,223	107 103 101 100	898 755	2,519 2,489	18 19 19	394 389	2,861 2,734	6,987 6,961 6,996 6,946	9.498
N	Outside ew York City 1975																
Jan.	8 15 22 29	313,387 309,445 305,603 303,843	16,166 15,363 15,365	12,289 12,570 12,073	1,801 1,179 1,487	1,260 840 987	756 816 774 818	223,050 221,296 219,554 218,667	89,922 89,239 88,634 87,952	3.460	141 68	1,200 1,253 1,082 1,149	65 64	1,969	6,804 6,681 6,564 6,497	13,088 13,028 12,823 12,614	52,732 52,749 52,689 52,752
Dec.	3 10 17 24 31	309,355 310,949 312,856 311,735 314,043	17,440 17,392 17,147 16,282 17,206	13,714 13,284 13,224 12,793 13,817	2,152 2,623 2,013 1,847 1,883	922 819 1,023 844 891	666 887 798	211,207 211,117 212,428 212,661 213,814	82,885 82,932 83,456 83,693 83,951	3,459 3,460 3,493 3,495 3,550	145 125	1,795 1,814 1,891 1,653 2,071	58 54 55 54 53	1,902	5,632 5,641 5,698 5,648	11,662 11,580 11,645	51,592 51,604 51,546 51,494
_	1976						101	200 222	p1 001	2 501	210	1 710	57	1 075	5 460	11 774	<b>5</b> 0.215
Jan.	7 14 21 28	310,255 309,150 304,223 303,328	19,426 18,960 16,196 16,313	15,666 16,040 13,513 13,988	1,575 1,576 1,339	677 766 567 513	579 540	208,223 207,402 206,286 205,340	81,786 81,455	3,581 3,528 3,530 3,545	79 58 61	1,712 1,724 1,396 1,314	57 60 60 55	1,865 1,883	5,469 5,433 5,475 5,446	11,334 11,121 10,999 10,872	50,317 50,335 50,338 50,291

<sup>▲</sup> Effective with changes in New York State branch banking laws, beginning Jan. 1, 1976, three large New York City banks are now reporting combined totals for previously affiliated banks that have been converted to branches.

The principal effects of these changes were to increase the reported data for New York City (total assets, by about \$5.5 billion) and to decrease the

reported data for "Outside New York City" (total assets, by about \$4.0

reported data for Odiside New Fork City (total assets, by about \$4.0 billion).

Historical data (from Jan. 1972) on a basis comparable to 1976 data will be available from the Public Information Department of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York on request.

For other notes see p. A-22.

#### ASSETS AND LIABILITIES OF LARGE COMMERCIAL BANKS \_- Continued

(In millions of dollars)

	Lo	ans (con	t.)						Invest	ments					
	0	ther (cor	nt.)			U.S. Tı	easury se	curities			Othe	r securiti	ies		
To corcial b	anks	Con- sumer instal-	For- eign govts. <sup>2</sup>	All	Total	Bills		es and bo		Total	Obliga of S ar poli subdiv	States id ical	Other corp. s	tocks,	Wednesday
Do- mes- tic	For- eign	ment					Within 1 yr.	1 to 5 yrs.	After 5 yrs.		Tax war- rants <sup>3</sup>	All other	Certif. of partici- pation <sup>4</sup>	All other 5	
															Large banks— Total 1975
3,306 3,099 3,141 3,140	6,171 6,048 5,790 5,492	34,854 34,778 34,683 34,653	1,555 1,457 1,428 1,457	19,642 19,402 18,918 18,782	25,087 24,512 23,689 23,011	4,780 4,412 3,759 3,392	3,701 3,733 3,710 3,614	12,842 12,723	3,640 3,525 3,497 3,437	62,387	6,763 6,737 6,436 6,324	40,817 40,586 40,110 40,005	2,450 2,496 2,470 2,477	12,576 12,568 12,421 12,207	Jan. 8152229
2,302 2,176 2,095 2,197 2,222	5,831 5,937 5,944 5,966 5,945	34,709 34,792 34,933 35,083 35,095	1,488 1,568 1,606 1,551 1,556	19,206	37,859 39,010 40,306 39,520 40,178	11,279 12,638 14,273 13,609 13,714	6,663 6,602 6,543 6,629 6,711	16,971 16,779 16,581 16,382 16,959	2,946 2,991 2,909 2,900 2,794	60 012	6,775	39,533 39,768 39,660 39,596 39,491	2,340 2,318 2,316 2,324 2,290	11,731 11,662 11,771 11,883 11,847	Dec. 310172431
2,069 2,001 1,918 1,957	5,625 5,487 5,404 5,214	35,460 35,377	1,616 1,741	18,524 18,121	40,630 41,362 39,963 40,508	14,159 13,249	6,762 6,903 6,748 6,785	17,664 17,524 17,314 17,506	2,759 2,776 2,652 3,194	60,339 59,952 59,417 59,279	6,550 6,364 6,194 6,102	39,709 39,621 39,407 39,425	2,317 2,278 2,251 2,241	11,763 11,689 11,565 11,511	1976Jan. 7142128
															New York City 1975
1,599 1,525 1,544 1,548	3,032 2,950 2,836 2,622	2,636 2,654 2,649 2,648	842 840 793 733	4,050 4,104 3,884 3,837	4,700	800 592 641 602		2,692 2,584 2,480 2,450	1,241 1,197 1,183 1,167	10,414 10,216 9,833 9,710	2,023 1,979 1,855 1,772	5,467 5,383 5,183 5,176	522 557 538 539	2,402 2,297 2,257 2,223	Jan. 8
852 804 826 802 823	2,464 2,505 2,532 2,543 2,541	2,619 2,629 2,627 2,646 2,598	551 580 595 600 597	3,970 3,723 3,893 4,313 4,282	8,451 8,213 8,514 8,175 8,492	2,745 2,695 3,281 2,913 3,100	977 860 832 856 840	3,995 3,851 3,651 3,645 3,836	734 807 750 761 716	9,110 9,269 9,033 8,967 8,830	1,341 1,404 1,281 1,194 1,168	5,390 5,512 5,421 5,398 5,377	475 479 475 480 478	1,874 1.856	Dec. 3
786 745 692 691	2,405 2,402 2,426 2,250	3,806 3,828 3,805 3,798	635 589 637 628	4,232 4,053 3,909 4,054	8,784 9,054 8,304 8,830	2,701 2,984 2,425 2,586	1,073 1,119 1,011 1,029	4,222 4,164 4,109 4,135	788 787 759 1,080	9,579 9,472 9,335 9,282	1,371 1,306 1,229 1,179	6,191 6,173 6,118 6,157	204 209 206 205	1.784	1976 Jan. 7 14 21 28
													1		Outside New York City 1975
1,707 1,574 1,597 1,592	3,139 3,098 2,954 2,870	32,124 32,034	713 617 635 724	15,592 15,298 15,034 14,945	20,019 19,812 19,082 18,508	3,980 3,820 3,118 2,790	3,366 3,406 3,407 3,330	10,274 10,258 10,243 10,118	2,399 2,328 2,314 2,270	52,192 52,171 51,604 51,303	4,740 4,758 4,581 4,552	35,350 35,203 34,927 34,829	1,928 1,939 1,932 1,938	10.271	Jan. 8152229
1,450 1,372 1,269 1,395 1,399	3,367 3,432 3,412 3,423 3,404	32,090 32,163 32,306 32,437 32,497	937 988 1,011 951 959	14,599	29,408 30,797 31,792 31,345 31,686	8,534 9,943 10,992 10,696 10,614	5,686 5,742 5,711 5,773 5,871	12,976 12,928 12,930 12,737 13,123	2,212 2,184 2,159 2,139 2,078	51,300 51,643 51,489 51,447 51,337	5,465 5,760 5,494 5,417 5,371	34,143 34,256 34,239 34,198 34,114	1,865 1,839 1,841 1,844 1,812	9,988	Dec. 310172431
1,283 1,256 1,226 1,266	3,220 3,085 2,978 2,964	31,632 31,572	1,015 1,027 1,104 1,146	14,212	31,846 32,308 31,659 31,678	10,824	5,689 5,784 5,737 5,756	13,442 13,360 13,205 13,371	1,971 1,989 1,893 2,114	50,082	5,179 5,058 4,965 4,923	33,518 33,448 33,289 33,268	2,113 2,069 2,045 2,036	9,905 9,783	1976 Jan. 7 14 21 28

For notes see p. A-18 and A-22.

#### ASSETS AND LIABILITIES OF LARGE COMMERCIAL BANKS▲-Continued

(In millions of dollars)

												Deposits			
		Cash			Bal-	Invest-						Demand			
	Wednesday	items in process of	Re- serves with F.R.	Cur- rency and coin	ances with do- mestic	ments in sub- sidiar- ies not	Other assets	Total assets/ total liabil-			States and		Dom inter		_
		collec- tion	Banks		banks	consol- idated		tites	Total 6	IPC	polit- ical sub- divi- sions	U.S. Govt.	Com- mer- cial	Mutual sav- ings	For- eign govts., etc. <sup>2</sup>
	Large banks— Total 1975											-			
Jan.	8	32,438 34,809 30,355 28,271	25,141 29,437	5,042 4,910 4,884 4,888	12,079 11,745 10,844 10,774	1,694	32,129 32,674	513,281 505,671	165,874 155,438	117,693 118,990 112,595 110,564	6,409 6,474 6,108 5,999	1,571 1,656 2,601 2,007	24,797 23,843 21,054 20,630	834 745 677 635	1,369 1,315
Dec.	3	36,107 31,970 37,380 36,815 41,342	20,859 19,317 22,095	5,068 5,356 5,403 5,003 5,497	12,408 13,551 13,465 12,853 15,249	1,853 1,827 1,823 1,904 1,919	37,470 38,367	517,242 518,556	171,910 168,253	121,317 120,771 124,551 123,657 132,245	6,242	1,518	23,731 24,514 23,535	728 680 634 642 893	1,151 1,144 1,230
Jan.	1976 7	35,740 35,063 34,174 31,596	22,202	5,537 5,553 5,363 5,328	14,291 13,188 12,446 13,277	1,927 1,922 1,966 1,920	40,433 38,931	518,392 509,806	168,445	124,484 124,486 119,615 116,777	6,486 6,087 6,137 6,085	1,433 2,879	23,575 23,039	863 770 742 682	1,053 1,128
	New York City	31,570	22,733	3,320	13,277	1,520	37,300	307,703	137,730	110,777	0,083	2,037	22,304	002	
Jan.	1975 8	10,970 12,906 11,156 10,963	6,357 7,653 9,385 6,189	576 568 544 550	4,813 5,264 4,605 4,626		11,495	128,828 131,898 128,130 124,544	44,411	26,696 25,238	322 410 287 338	252 489	12,347	463 416 375 355	1,112 1,102
Dec.	3	11,366 9,938 12,766 12,049 13,628	6,975	629 625 661 594 674	5,096 6,071 5,406 4,945 6,813	818 819 817 819	11,555 12,287 11,067 11,458	124,187 123,687 125,593 125,546 127,461	45,389 44,914 47,759 45,808	26,023 25,734 27,632 26,911	211 263 299 470 586	488 234 267 183	10,885 11,300 11,677 10,778	ł	980 960 936 1,002
	1976				ŕ								,,,,,		
Jan.	7	12,007 12,388 12,516 12,191	8,367 7,028 6,271 6,583	867 858 829 811	5,838 5,687 5,272 5,867	846 846	12,277	133,525 132,339 128,512 128,918	48,519	29,432 28,104	510 562 619 584	153 545	11,423 11,323	444	838 905
	Outside New York City														
	1975									l					222
Jan.	8 15 22 29	21,468 21,903 19,199 17,308	17,488 20,052	4,466 4,342 4,340 4,338	7,266 6,481 6,239 6,148	936 929	21,179 21,114	377,541 370,956	108,109	85,400	5,821 5,661		11,496 10,746	302	213
Dec.	3	22 032	13.969	4,731 4,742 4,409	7,312 7,480 8,059 7,908 8,436	1,008 1,006 1,085	26,160 26,287 26,403 26,909 27,400	387,138 387,430 391,649 393,010 399,926	121,626 119,924 124,151 122,445 131,464	95,294 95,037 96,919 96,746 102,512	5,649 5,795 5,943 6,160 6,381	1,284 2,786 1,306	13,278 12,431 12,837 12,757 15,233	336	208 228
_	1976													_	
Jan.	7	22,675 21,658	14,694 14,147 15,931 16,372	4,695 4,534	7,501 7,174	1,076 1,120	26.809	389,482 386,053 381,294 379,045	119.494	95,953 95,054 91,511 88,533	5,525 5,518	2,312 1,280 2,334 1,702	13,515 12,152 11,716 10,921	326 332	215 223

For notes see p. A-18 and A-22.

### ASSETS AND LIABILITIES OF LARGE COMMERCIAL BANKS▲--Continued

(In millions of dollars)

			Deposit	ts (cont.)						wings		Rese	erves		
Deman	d (cont.)			Time an	d savings				fror	n		101			
For-	Certi-		11	PC	States and	Do-		Fed- eral funds pur- chased,			Other liabili- ties, etc.8	Loans	Secur- ities	Total capital ac-	Wednesday
com- mer- cial banks	and offi- cers' checks	Total 6	Sav- ings	Other	polit- ical sub- divi- sions	mes- tic inter- bank	For- eign govts. <sup>2</sup>	etc.7	F.R. Banks	Other					
															Large banks— Total
															1975
5,397 5,535 4,968 5,200	6,330 7,262 6,120 6,487	228,213 227,145 227,222 226,719	58,672 58,606 58,658 58,740	122,069 121,434 120,898 120,978	25,633 25,249 25,307 25,106	8,239 8,174 8,630 8,241	11,498 11,646	51,889 48,885 52,805 48,421	2,771 2,271 2,271 46	4,051 4,124 4,100 4,005	24,908 24,221	5,269 5,305 5,429 5,448	62 61 73 60		Jan. 8152229
4,901 5,061 4,774 5,031 5,596	6,413 5,868 6,998 6,039 6,202	225,877 226,082 226,181 227,406 227,729	67,550 67,749 67,838 67,947 68,445	116,064 116,119 115,550 116,009 115,961	1 21.951	8,146 8,212 8,271 8,441 8,502	11,194 11,270 11,216	40 308	1,214	4,203 4,367 4,411 4,472 4,332	24 154	5,820 5,878 5,830 5,720 5,582	71 71 71 82 82	36,430 36,418 36,295 36,395 36,544	Dec. 3
														•	1976
4,812 4,794 5,026 4,751	6,237 6,247 6,408 6,110	226,840 226,521 225,389 225,345	69,891 70,627 71,670 72,442	113,928 113,220 111,619 111,138	22,524 22,668 22,484 22,348	8,071 7,918 7,826 7,808	10,539 10,315	53,813 49,716	6 799 77	3,966 3,718 3,413 3,530	23,678 23,592 23,106 23,053	5,479 5,445 5,430 5,417	71 71 71 74	36,809 36,781 36,908 36,961	Jan. 71421
															New York City
															1975
3,988 4,093 3,691 3,785	2,726 3,616 2,921 3,420	48,636 48,216	5,082 5,090 5,095 5,101	28,966 28,680	1,651 1,599 1,536 1,524	4,409 4,314 4,302 4,181	7,167 7,120 7,075 7,168	12,750 11,341 13,712 11,653	1,440 1,445	1,385 1,566 1,562 1,526	8,261 9,580 8,384 8,160	1.485		8,876 8,896	Jan. 8 
3,487 3,612 3,248 3,528 3,912	2,956 2,467 3,404 2,616 2,491	43,872 43,441 43,617	5,860 5,883 5,918 5,927 5,981	25,617 25,330 25,471	1,070 1,077 980 951 847	3,166 3,096 3,118 3,147 3,136	7.397	12 344	983	2,291 2,453 2,534 2,616 2,583	8,146 8,505 9,256 8,984 8,905	1,679 1,717 1,690 1,684 1,613	1 1 1 1	9,860 9,881 9,863 9,868 9,918	Dec. 3
															1976
3,340 3,364 3,504 3,370	2,547 2,735 3,109 2,672	45,811	7,988 8,079 8,191 8,320	25,277 24,612	1,447 1,390 1,369 1,329	3,036 3,043 3,059 3,094	7,159 7,022 6,869 6,660	14,710 12,165	195 70	2,054 1,904 1,682 1,838	8,806 8,943 8,924 8,818	1,693 1,691 1,628 1,630	1 1 1	10.328	Jan. 7142128
															Outside New York City 1975
1,409 1,442 1,277 1,415	3,646 3,199	179,026 178,509 179,006 178,659	53,590 53,516 53,563 53,639	92,667 92,468 92,218 92,411	23,982 23,650 23,771 23,582	3,830 3,860 4,328 4,060	4,374 4,378 4,571 4,413	39,139 37,544 39,093 36,768	17 1,331 826 46	2,666 2,558 2,538 2,479	15,583 15,328 15,837 15,602	3,782 3,820 3,905 3,928	62 61 73 60	25,319 25,300 25,236 25,305	Jan. 8152229
1,414 1,449 1,526 1,503 1,684		181,562 182,210 182,740 183,789 184,589		90,195 90,502 90,220	20,417 20,500 20,971 21,392	4,980 5,116 5,153 5,294 5,366	3.839	35,961 36,964	26 1 22	1 912	3	4,141 4,161 4,140 4,036 3,969	70 70 70 81 81		Dec. 3
															1976
1,472 1,430 1,522 1,381	3,690 3,512 3,299 3,438	180,736 180,710 180,348 180,626	61,903 62,548 63,479 64,122	88,410 87,943 87,007 86,760	21,077 21,278 21,115 21,019	5,035 4,875 4,767 4,714	3,517 3,446	38,086 39,103 37,551 40,038	604	1,731	14,872 14,649 14,182 14,235	3,786 3,754 3,802 3,787	70 70 70 73	1 26.551	Jan. 7142128

For notes see p. A-18 and A-22.

#### ASSETS AND LIABILITIES OF LARGE COMMERCIAL BANKS▲—Continued

(In millions of dollars)

	<u>- " ,</u>							Memo	randa						
	Wednesday	Total loans	Total loans and	De- mand	incl	ge negoti ime CD' uded in t vings dep	s ime		other la e deposit		Individ-	gs owner		gories	Gross liabili- ties of
		(gross) ad- justed 9	invest- ments	deposits ad- justed 10	Total	Issued to IPC's	Issued to others	Total	Issued to IPC's	Issued to others	uals and non- profit orga- niza- tions	ships and cor- pora- tions for profit 13	Do- mestic govern- mental units	All other 14	banks to their foreign branches
	Large banks— Total														
	1975													l 	
Jan.	8	301,652 298,164 293,140 292,501	389,345 385,063 378,266 376,525	105,640 105,566 101,428 101,930	92,483 91,626 91,620 91,265	64,160 63,396 62,908 62,692	28,323 28,230 28,712 28,573	37,752 37,721 37,821 37,533	20,670 20,635 20,713 20,867	17,082 17,086 17,108 16,666	58,673 58,611 58,656 58,741				2,821 3,451 2,170 2,061
Dec.	3 10 17 24 31	282,104 282,484 284,858 284,474 285,499	380,373 382,406 385,686 384,408 385,844	104,320 107,619 106,963 106,414 112,124	83,597 83,623 83,316 83,545 83,088	56,615 56,687 56,224 56,389 56,037	26,982 26,936 27,092 27,156 27,051	32,557 32,446 32,718 33,366 33,382	18,336 18,251 18,051 18,115 18,245	14,221 14,195 14,667 15,251 15,137	66,686 66,708 66,725 66,775 67,225	674 765 859	288 274 251	79 74 62	2,911 3,298 5,162 4,136 4,066
	1976														
Jan.	7	283,461 280,298 278,548 275,812	384,430 381,612 377,928 375,599	108,552 108,374 104,882 103,799	80,060 78,753 77,010 75,866	53,767 53,012 51,582 50,984	26,293 25,741 25,428 24,882	33,774 33,982 33,932 32,552	18,181 17,995 18,291 17,159	15,593 15,987 15,641 15,393	68,508 69,005 69,779 70,301	986 1,141 1,347 1,516	336 417 484 563	64	3,401 3,350 3,449 3,118
	New York City														
	1975														
Jan.	8	75,808 74,565 72,390 72,134	91,290 89,481 86,830 86,347	23,641 23,437 22,458 23,208	32,161 31,524 31,218 31,106	21,497 21,014 20,827 20,703	10,664 10,510 10,391 10,403	9,466 9,547 9,408 9,386	5,644 5,675 5,560 5,582	3,822 3,872 3,848 3,804	5,082 5,090 5,095 5,101				1,268 2,124 1,323 1,080
Dec.	3		86,182 86,113 87,323 86,861 87,017	22,650 23,442 23,049 22,798 24,884	28,872 28,375 28,556	18,977 18,738 18,382 18,604 18,146	10,290 10,134 9,993 9,952 9,811	6,914 6,839 6,814 6,730 6,779	4,637 4,623 4,628 4,478 4,590	2,277 2,216 2,186 2,252 2,189	5,777 5,772 5,817 5,826 5,879	32	33 28		2,161 2,311 4,072 3,218 3,169
	1976														
Jan.	7 14 21 28	72,761 71,232 70,805 69,413	91,124 89,758 88,444 87,525	24,577 24,987 24,135 23,822	27,175 26,729 26,073 25,864	17,414 17,118 16,588 16,458	9,761 9,611 9,485 9,406	7,557 7,586 7,985 6,971	4,910 4,783 5,285 4,394	2,647 2,803 2,700 2,577	7,832 7,851 7,940 8,036	62 83			
	Outside New York City														
	1975			į					1						
Jan.	8	255,844 223,599 220,750 220,367	298,055 295,582 291,436 290,178	81,999 82,129 78,970 78,722	60,322 60,102 60,402 60,159	42,663 42,382 42,081 41,989	17,659 17,720 18,321 18,170	28,286 28,174 28,413 28,147	15,026 14,960 15,153 15,285	13,260 13,214 13,260 12,862	53,591 53,521 53,561 53,640				1,553 1,327 847 981
Dec.	3	213,483 213,853 215,082 214,755 215,804	294,191 296,293 298,363 297,547 298,827	81,670 84,177 83,914 83,616 87,240	54 330		16,692 16,802 17,099 17,204 17,240		13,699 13,628	11,944 11,979 12,481	60 909	531 651 737	247 241 223	34 21	750 987 1,090 918 897
	1976														
Jan.	7	210,700 209,066 207,743 206,399	293,306 291,854 289,484 288,074	83,975 83,387 80,747 79,977	52,885 52,024 50,937 50,002	36,353 35,894 34,994 34,526	16,532 16,130 15,943 15,476	26,217 26,396 25,947 25,581	13,271 13,212 13,006 12,765	12,946 13,184 12,941 12,816	1 61 .154	1,079 1,264	297	18 18 18 21	894 678 851 809

A See p. A-18.

1 Includes securities purchased under agreements to resell.
2 Includes official institutions and so forth.
3 Includes short-term notes and bills.
4 Federal agencies only.
5 Includes corporate stocks.
6 Includes U.S. Govt. and foreign bank deposits, not shown separately.
7 Includes securities sold under agreements to repurchase.
8 Includes minority interest in consolidated subsidiaries.
9 Exclusive of loans and Federal funds transactions with domestic comnercial banks.

<sup>10</sup> All demand deposits except U.S. Govt. and domestic commercial banks, less cash items in process of collection.

11 Certificates of deposit issued in denominations of \$100,000 or more, 12 All other time deposits issued in denominations of \$100,000 or more (not included in large negotiable CD's),

13 Other than commercial banks.

14 Domestic and foreign commercial banks, and official international organizations

organizations.

#### COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL LOANS OF LARGE COMMERCIAL BANKS

(In millions of dollars)

Primary metals.         2,043         2,040         2,065         2,069         2,072         -29         18         7           Machinery.         5,545         5,596         5,636         5,636         5,636         5,656         5,757         -212         -88         -22           Transportation equipment.         3,188         3,227         3,204         3,097         3,056         132         -216         12           Other fabricated metal products.         2,016         2,017         2,005         2,013         1,744         42         -130         -16           Other durable goods.         3,605         3,614         3,610         3,593         3,453         152         -151         -15           Nondurable goods manufacturing:         2,684         2,690         2,706         2,730         2,691         -7         -185         -8           Petroleum refining.         2,353         2,306         2,327         2,415         2,365         -12         -144         -5           Chemicals and rubber.         2,592         2,584         2,617         2,632         2,691         -99         40         -16           Mining, including crude petroleum and natural gas.         1,615	Net cha	N	nge during—		
Durable goods manufacturing:   Primary metals.		75	1975	19	75
Machinery	IV	ec. Nov.	m II	2nd half	1st half
Bankers acceptances	21	-88 -221 -216 -122 -216 -130 -168 -151 -155 -245 170 -185 -80 -144 -51 -40 -169 -60 -73 -70 -67 -70 -67 -593 13 155 -46 -4 35 -116 -15 -126 -139 -139 -149 -159 -155 -16 -170 -170 -170 -170 -170 -170 -170 -170	6	12	-1,31- -300 -188 -71: -1,600 -288 -228 -266 -288 -1,100 -391 -32: -1,120 -32: -1,120 -32: -37: 599 -10,673

For notes see table below.

#### "TERM" COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL LOANS OF LARGE COMMERCIAL BANKS

(In millions of dollars)

				О	utstandir	ng					Net ch	ange du	ring—	
Industry	1976				19	75						1975		
	Jan. 28	Dec.	Nov. 26	Oct. 29	Sept. 24	Aug. 27	July 30	June 25	May 28	IV	ш	п	ı	2nd half
Durable goods manufactur-														
ing: Primary metals Machinery Transportation equipment.	1,341 3,117 1,686	1,372 3,313 1,615	1,381 3,451 1,727	1,320 3,538 1,624	1,338 3,737 1,693	1,286 3,825 1,722	1,269 3,864 1,725	1,288 3,977 1,740	1,280 4,269 1,726	34 -424 -78	50 -240 -47	-94 -68	74 74 1	85 664 117
Other fabricated metal products Other durable goods Nondurable goods manufac-	1,041 1,874	1,024 1,823	1,087 1,905	1,175 1,950	1,268 2,012	1,228 2,042	1,196 2,058	1,222 2,090	1,245 2,122	244 189	46 -78	-90 -161	115 -140	-187 -272
turing: Food, liquor, and tobacco.	1,547	1,578	1,544	1,451	1,471	1,461	1,440	1,514	1,616	107	-43	-47	-202	58
Textiles, apparel, and leather	1,032 1,859 1,588 925	995 1,831 1,622 888	1,072 1,860 1,549 955	1,074 1,914 1,605 995	1,103 1,967 1,665 1,056	1,077 1,889 1,645 1,023	1,116 1,828 1,678 1,085	1,095 1,709 1,762 1,143	1,075 1,611 1,784 1,114	-108 -136 -43 -168	8 258 -97 -87	-63 226 -84 13	13 -35 -32 -105	-103 123 -140 -255
Mining, including crude petroleum and natural gas. Trade: Commodity dealers. Other wholesale Retail Transportation Communication. Other public utilities Construction. Services. All other domestic loans. Foreign commercial and in-	4,528 196 1,290 2,007 4,291 1,101 3,995 2,258 5,038 3,396	4,484 172 1,276 1,996 4,390 1,081 3,979 2,181 5,135 3,299	3,867 168 1,308 2,115 4,324 1,112 3,942 2,207 5,082 3,116	3,896 162 1,403 2,150 4,420 1,122 4,027 2,267 5,097 3,054	3,847 150 1,319 2,153 4,391 1,132 3,966 2,359 5,122 3,244	3,754 148 1,371 2,139 4,405 1,149 3,902 2,367 5,010 3,257	3,801 152 1,344 2,111 4,399 1,136 4,018 2,360 5,155 3,232	3,734 148 1,329 2,136 4,425 1,133 4,045 2,314 5,140 3,258	3,646 140 1,344 2,143 4,424 1,159 4,047 2,291 5,246 3,186	637 22 -43 -157 -1 -51 13 -178 13 55	113 2 -10 17 -34 -1 -79 45 -18 -14	197 -22 -121 -147 -99 -2 11 117 -290 176	-164 -5 -42 -311 -26 53 71 -97 -102 -142	703 24 -62 -150 10 -56 -60 -149 -31 49
dustrial loans	2,999	2,921	2,851	2,834	2,763	2,695	2,676		2,547	158	169	66		
Total loans	47,109	46,975	46,623	47,078	47,756	47,395	47,643	47,796	48,015	-781	-40	-322	-1,081	-890

Note.—About 160 weekly reporting banks are included in this series; these banks classify by industry, commercial and industrial loans amounting to about 90 per cent of such loans held by all weekly reporting banks and about 70 per cent of those held by all commercial banks.

For description of series see article "Revised Series on Commercial and Industrial Loans by Industry," Feb. 1967 BULLETIN, p. 209.

Commercial and industrial "term" loans are all outstanding loans with an original maturity of more than 1 year and all outstanding loans granted under a formal agreement—revolving credit or standby—on which the original maturity of the commitment was in excess of 1 year.

#### GROSS DEMAND DEPOSITS OF INDIVIDUALS, PARTNERSHIPS, AND CORPORATIONS 1

(In billions of dollars)

			Type of holder			Total
Class of bank, and quarter or month	Financial business	Nonfinancial business	Consumer	Foreign	All other	deposits, IPC
All insured commercial banks:			,			
970—Dec	17.3	92.7	53.6	1.3	10.3	175.1
971—Sept	17.9	91.5	57.5	1.2	9.7	177.9
	18.5	98.4	58.6	1.3	10.7	187.5
972—Mar. June	20.2	92.6	54.7	1.4	12.3	181.2
	17.9	97.6	60.5	1.4	11.0	188.4
	18.0	101.5	63.1	1.4	11.4	195.4
	18.9	109.9	65.4	1.5	12.3	208.0
973—Mar.	18.6	102.8	65.1	1.7	11.8	200.0
June.	18.6	106.6	67.3	2.0	11.8	206.3
Sept.	18.8	108.3	69.1	2.1	11.9	210.3
Dec.	19.1	116.2	70.1	2.4	12.4	220.1
974—Mar June Sept Dec	18.9	108.4	70.6	2.3	11.0	211.2
	18.2	112.1	71.4	2.2	11.1	215.0
	17.9	113.9	72.0	2.1	10.9	216.8
	19.0	118.8	73.3	2.3	11.7	225.0
975—Mar.  June  Sept.  Dec. <sup>p</sup> .	18.6	111.3	73.2	2.3	10.9	216.3
	19.4	115.1	74.8	2.3	10.6	222.2
	19.0	118.7	76.5	2.2	10.6	227.0
	20.1	125.1	78.0	2.4	11.3	236.9
Veekly reporting banks:						
971—Dec	14.4	58.6	24.6	1.2	5.9	104.8
	14.7	64.4	27.1	1.4	6.6	114.3
	14.9	66.2	28.0	2.2	6.8	118.1
	14.8	66.9	29.0	2.2	6.8	119.7
975—Jan.  Feb.  Mar.  Apr.  Apr.  May.  June  July  Aug.  Sept.  Oct.  Nov.  Dec. **p.	14.8	65.6	29.2	2.2	6.6	118.3
	14.4	63.1	27.9	2.3	6.2	113.9
	14.1	63.2	28.2	2.2	6.5	114.1
	15.0	63.3	30.1	2.2	6.2	117.0
	14.2	65.1	29.2	2.3	6.5	115.0
	15.1	65.3	29.5	2.2	6.5	118.1
	15.0	64.6	29.8	2.2	6.2	118.7
	14.4	65.5	29.1	2.0	6.5	116.1
	14.7	66.7	29.6	2.1	6.3	118.1
	15.1	68.1	29.0	2.2	6.4	119.3
	15.6	69.9	29.4	2.2	6.6	121.6

<sup>1</sup> Including cash items in process of collection.

Note.-Daily-average balances maintained during month as estimated

from reports supplied by a sample of commercial banks. For a detailed description of the type of depositor in each category, see June 1971 BULLETIN, p. 466.

#### DEPOSITS ACCUMULATED FOR PAYMENT OF PERSONAL LOANS

(In millions of dollars)

Class of	Dec. 31,	Dec, 31,	June 30,	Sept. 30,	Class of	Dec. 31,	Dec, 31,	June 30,	Sept. 30,
bank	1973	1974	1975	1975	bank	1973	1974	1975	1975
All commercial	64	389 387 236 39 275	338 335 223 36 260	323 222 35 257	All member—Cont. Other large banks <sup>1</sup> All other member <sup>1</sup> All nonmember. Insured Noninsured.	155 152	69 206 115 112 3	74 186 79 76 3	74 183 66 66

<sup>1</sup> Beginning Nov. 9, 1972, designation of banks as reserve city banks for reserve-requirement purposes has been based on size of bank (net demand deposits of more than \$400 million), as described in the BULLETIN for July 1972, p. 626, Categories shown here as "Other large" and "All other member" parallel the previous "Reserve City" (other than in New York City and the City of Chicago) and "Country" categories, respectively thence the series are continuous over time). (hence the series are continuous over time).

Note.—Hypothecated deposits, as shown in this table, are treated one way in monthly and weekly series for commercial banks and in another way in call-date series. That is, they are excluded from "Time deposits" and "Loans" in the monthly (and year-end) series as shown on p. A-14; from the figures for weekly reporting banks as shown on pp. A-18-A-22 (consumer instalment loans); and from the figures in the table at the bottom of p. A-13. But they are included in the figures for "Time deposits" and "Loans" for call dates as shown on pp. A-14-A-17.

#### LOANS SOLD OUTRIGHT BY LARGE COMMERCIAL BANKS

(Amounts outstanding; in millions of dollars)

		To	selected relate	d institution	ıs 1
	Date		Ву	type of loar	1
		Total	Commercial and industrial	Real estate	All other
1975—Oct.	1	4,541 4,655 4,674 4,741 4,742	2,814 2,825 2,867 2,908 2,930	198 199 199 198 198	1,529 1,631 1,608 1,635 1,614
Nov.	5	4,771 4,716 4,740 4,701	2,893 2,869 2,877 2,846	197 205 205 205	1,681 1,642 1,658 1,650
Dec.	3	4,677 4,441 4,416 4,486 4,375	2,800 2,597 2,575 2,650 2,530	201 207 207 204 206	1,676 1,637 1,634 1,632 1,639
1976—Jan.	7	4,424 4,369 4,355 4,292	2,618 2,617 2,598 2,522	205 205 205 208	1,601 1,547 1,552 1,562

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> To bank's own foreign branches, nonconsolidated non-bank affiliates of the bank, the bank's holding company (if not a bank), and nonconsolidated nonbank subsidiaries of the holding company.

Note.—Series changed on Aug. 28, 1974. For a comparison of the old and new data for that date, see p. 741 of the Oct. 1974 BULLETIN. Revised figures received since Oct. 1974 that affect that comparison are shown in note 2 to this table in the Dec. 1974 BULLETIN, p. A-27.

### COMMERCIAL PAPER AND BANKERS ACCEPTANCES OUTSTANDING

(In millions of dollars)

	Commercial paper								Dol	lar accep	tances	•••				
End		Fina	ncial anies 1		Bank-r	elated 5				Held by	/— 			E	ased on-	_
of period	All issuers			Non- finan- cial		<u> </u>	Total	Acc	cepting ba	nks	F.R. E	lanks		Im-	Ex-	
	1334013	Dealer- placed <sup>2</sup>	Di- rectly- placed <sup>3</sup>	com- panies 4	Dealer- placed		Di- rectly-	Total	Own bills	Bills bought	Own acct.	For- eign corr.6	Others	ports into United States	ports from United States	All other
1966 1967 1968 1969	13,645 17,085 21,173 32,600 33,071	4,427 6,503	10,556 12,184 13,972 20,741 20,424	757 2,111 2,774 5,356 7,133	1,160 352	3,134 1,997	3,603 4,317 4,428 5,451 7,058	1,544 1,567	983 1,447 1,344 1,318 1,960	215 459 200 249 735	193 164 58 64 57	191 156 109 146 250	2,717 3,674	997 1,086 1,423 1,889 2,601		1,778 2,241 2,053 2,408 2,895
1971 1972 1973	32,126 34,721 41,073	5,655	20,582 22,098 27,204	6,247 6,968 8,382	524 1,226 1,938	1,449 1,411 2,943	7,889 6,898 8,892	3,480 2,706 2,837	2,689 2,006 2,318	791 700 519	261 106 68	254 179 581	3,894 3,907 5,406	2,834 2,531 2,273	1,546 1,909 3,499	3,509 2,458 3,120
1974-Nov Dec	51,954 49,144		32,562 31,839	14,532 12,694	1,875 1,800	6,769 6,518	17,553 18,484	3,789 4,226	3,290 4,685	499 542	611 999	1,756 1,109	11,398 12,150	3,810 4,023	3,709 4,067	10,035 10,394
1975-Jan Feb Mar Apr May June July Aug Sept Oct Nov	51,675 52,403 50,811 51,605 51,297 48,742 49,331 49,783 48,246 50,437 49,557	5,167 5,342 5,461 5,889 5,604 6,018 5,645 5,574 6,360	31,241 32,145 30,485 32,351	12,187 11,726	1,799 1,778 1,673 1,601 1,529 1,547 1,635 1,493 1,514 1,590	6,774 7,305 7,256 6,984 7,075 7,207 7,016 7,365 7,306 7,157 7,019	18,727 18,108 17,740 16,930 16,456 16,790 17,304	4,864 4,773 4,485 4,450 4,774 4,778 4,546 5,002 5,013	3,903 4,370 4,085 3,900 3,892 4,224 4,275 3,988 4,190 4,288 5,684	454 494 688 585 558 550 503 558 812 924 813	966 993 665 1,185 865 682 685 840 948 1,047	560 325 263 235 234 319 329 304 302 284 279	12,718 12,398 13,029 13,034 12,559 11,965 11,138 710,766 10,538 10,760 10,372	4,120 3,974 3,845 3,690 3,665 3,466 3,474 3,305 3,313 3,467 3,545	4,314 4,210 4,296 4,206 4,186 4,080 3,865 3,806 3,783 3,947 3,888	10,168 10,396 10,589 10,831 10,257 10,193 9,591 9,344 9,693 9,890 10,443

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Financial companies are institutions engaged primarily in activities such as, but not limited to, commercial, savings, and mortgage banking; sales, personal, and mortgage financing; factoring, finance leasing, and other business lending; insurance underwriting; and other investment

activities.

<sup>2</sup> As reported by dealers; includes all financial company paper sold in

the open market.

<sup>3</sup> As reported by financial companies that place their paper directly with investors.

<sup>4</sup> Nonfinancial companies include public utilities and firms engaged primarily in activities such as communications, construction, manufacturing, mining, wholesale and retail trade, transportation, and services. 5 Included in dealer- and directly-placed financial company columns. Coverage of bank-related companies was expanded in Aug. 1974. Most of the increase resulting from this expanded coverage occurred in directly-placed maper.

beginning November 1974, the Board of Governors terminated the System guarantee on acceptances purchased for foreign official accounts.

# PRIME RATE CHARGED BY BANKS

(Per cent per annum)

Effective date	Rate	Effective date	Rate	Effective date	Rate	Monthly average rate
1974—Apr. 11	10	1975—Jan. 9	101/4	1975—July 18	71/4	1974—Oct. 11.68
19	101/4	15	10	28	71/2	Nov. 10.83
25	101/2	20	9¾ 9½	Aug. 12	73/4	Dec. 10.50
May 2	103/4			1		1975—Jan. 10.05
10	11 111/4	Feb. 3	91/4	Sept. 15	8	Feb. 8.96 Mar. 7.93
i7	111/2	18	83/4	Oct. 27	73/4	Apr. 7.50
	113/	24	81/2	Nov. 5	71/	May 7.40
June 26	113/4	Mar. 5	81/4	Nov. 3	71/2	June 7.07 July 7.15
July 5	12	10	8	Dec. 2	71/4	Aug. 7.66
Oct. 7	113/4	18	73/4 71/2	1976—Jan. 12	7	Sept. 7.88 Oct. 7.96
21	111/2			21	63/4	Nov. 7.53
28	111/4	May 20	71/4	1		Dec. 7.26
Nov. 4	11	June 9	7			1976—Jan. 7.00
14	103/4					
25	101/2	1		1		

Note.—Beginning Nov. 1971, several banks adopted a floating prime rate keyed to money market variables. Rate shown is the predominant prime rate quoted by a majority of large "money market" banks to large businesses.

Effective Apr. 16, 1973, with the adoption of a two-tier or "dual prime rate," this table shows only the "large-business prime rate," which is the range of rates charged by commercial banks on short-term loans to large businesses with the highest credit standing.

# RATES ON BUSINESS LOANS OF BANKS

						Size of l	oan (in the	ousands o	f dollars)			
Center	Ail s	izes	1-	.9	10-	99	100-	-499	500-	999	1,000 a	nd over
Conto	Nov. 1975	Aug. 1975	Nov. 1975	Aug. 1975	Nov. 1975	Aug. 1975	Nov. 1975	Aug. 1975	Nov. 1975	Aug. 1975	Nov. 1975	Aug. 1975
						Short	-term			:		
35 centers	8.29 7.99 8.53 8.15 8.70 8.37 8.67	8.22 8.00 8.43 8.12 8.41 8.28 8.45	9.56 9.34 10.01 9.13 9.68 9.38 9.73	9.42 9.28 9.83 9.01 9.58 9.21 9.67	9.15 8.98 9.36 8.97 9.39 8.94 9.29	9.02 8.89 9.33 8.79 9.21 8.76 9.21	8.62 8.52 8.83 8.51 8.74 8.44 8.77	8.48 8.44 8.71 8.39 8.57 8.57 8.27	8.38 8.17 8.61 8.27 8.62 8.18 8.76	8.29 7.93 8.67 8.25 8.32 8.32 8.32	8.04 7.87 8.15 7.91 8.36 8.15 8.56	8.00 7.93 8.01 7.94 7.94 8.06 8.37
						Revolvi	ng credit			•		
35 centers	8.26 8.08 8.63 8.62 9.50 8.51 8.15	8.17 8.37 8.09 8.27 7.82 8.41 8.02	9.93 9.01 10.38 10.11 10.12 9.18 9.71	9.73 8.91 10.11 9.70 10.07 9.36 9.27	9.15 8.90 8.91 9.57 9.53 9.15 8.99	9.06 8.94 9.01 9.58 9.47 8.88 8.84	8.59 8.54 8.09 9.34 8.74 8.62 8.34	8.45 8.41 8.01 8.81 8.35 8.46 8.39	8.41 8.44 8.19 8.65 8.30 8.49 8.32	8.68 8.30 8.78 8.56 7.50 8.11 9.10	8.20 8.03 8.72 8.49 10.12 8.42 8.09	8.07 8.37 7.98 8.12 7.50 8.49 7.83
						Long	-term					
35 centers	8.88 8.44 9.10 9.03 8.87 8.88 9.27	8.89 8.77 8.96 9.45 8.91 8.41 8.57	9.76 7.37 9.84 9.71 7.82 11.60 9.90	9.45 8.80 9.35 9.71 8.87 9.69 9.60	9.18 9.09 9.39 8.55 8.84 9.44 9.90	9.47 8.53 10.09 9.24 9.66 9.38 9.24	9.11 9.13 9.02 8.94 9.06 9.39 9.32	9.01 8.86 9.56 8.50 9.54 8.67 9.28	9.16 9.46 8.02 9.90 9.36 8.97 9.49	8.54 8.01 9.28 8.23 8.04 8.62 8.47	8.79 8.32 9.33 8.97 8.54 8.65 9.21	8.89 8.80 8.60 9.81 8.30 8.18

#### **MONEY MARKET RATES**

(Per cent per annum)

	<u> </u>				<u> </u>	l per uninc						
Period	comn	ime nercial per <sup>1</sup>	Finance co. paper	Prime bankers'	Fed- eral	3-mon	th bills6		h bills6	9- to 12-mo	nth issues	
	90-119 days	4 to 6 months	placed directly, 3 to 6 months <sup>2</sup>	accept- ances, 90 days <sup>3</sup>	funds rate4	Rate on new issue	Market yield	Rate on new issue	Market yield	1-year bill (mar- ket yield)6	Other 7	3- to 5- year issues 7
1967 1968 1969		5.10 5.90 7.83	4.89 5.69 7.16	4.75 5.75 7.61	4.22 5.66 8.21	4.321 5.339 6.677	4.29 5.34 6.67	4.630 5.470 6.853	4.61 5.47 6.86	4.71 5.46 6.79	4.84 5.62 7.06	5.07 5.59 6.85
1970	4.66 8.20 10.05 6.26	7.72 5.11 4.69 8.15 9.87 6.33	7.23 4.91 4.52 7.40 8.62 6.16	7.31 4.85 4.47 8.08 9.92 6.30	7.17 4.66 4.44 8.74 10.51 5.82	6.458 4.348 4.071 7.041 7.886 5.838	6.39 4.33 4.07 7.03 7.84 5.80	6.562 4.511 4.466 7.178 7.926 6.122	6.51 4.52 4.49 7.20 7.95 6.11	6.49 4.67 4.77 7.01 7.71 6.30	6.90 4.75 4.86 7.30 8.25 6.70	7.37 5.77 5.85 6.92 7.81 7.55
1975—JanFebMarAprMayJuneJulyAugSept	7.39 6.36 6.06 6.11 5.70 5.67 6.32 6.59 6.79	7.30 6.33 6.06 6.15 5.82 5.79 6.44 6.70 6.86	77.35 6.24 6.00 5.97 5.74 5.53 76.02 6.39 6.53 6.43	7.54 6.35 6.22 6.15 5.76 5.70 6.40 6.74 6.83 6.28	7.13 6.24 5.54 5.49 5.22 5.55 6.10 6.14 6.24 5.82	6.493 5.583 5.544 5.694 5.315 5.193 6.164 6.463 6.383 6.081	6.26 5.50 5.49 5.61 5.23 5.34 6.13 6.44 6.42 5.96	6.525 5.674 5.635 6.012 5.649 5.463 6.492 6.940 6.870 6.385	6.36 5.62 5.62 6.00 5.59 5.61 6.50 6.94 6.92 6.25	6.27 5.56 5.70 6.40 5.91 5.86 6.64 7.16 7.20 6.48	6.74 5.97 6.10 6.83 6.31 6.26 7.07 7.55 7.54 6.89	7.29 6.85 7.00 7.76 7.49 7.26 7.72 8.12 8.22 7.80
Oct Nov Dec	6.35 5.78 5.88 5.15	5.91 5.97 5.27	5.79 5.86 5.16	5.79 5.72 5.08	5.22 5.20 4.87	5.468 5.504 4.961	5.48 5.44 4.87	5.751 5.933 5.238	5.80 5.85 5.14	6.07 6.16 5.44	6.40 6.51 5.71	7.50 7.51 7.50 7.18
Week ending-												
1975—Oct. 4 11 18 25	6.85 6.70 6.44 6.08	6.93 6.88 6.59 6.23	6.70 6.75 6.56 6.23	6.79 6.59 6.38 6.04	6.36 6.06 5.82 5.73	6.547 6.239 6.045 5.887	6.46 6.23 6.01 5.73	6.980 6.571 6.243 6.156	6.91 6.53 6.25 6.06	7.16 6.74 6.51 6.29	7.61 7.20 6.88 6.66	8.21 7.97 7.87 7.67
Nov. 1 8 15 22 29	5.88 5.88 5.75 5.75 5.75	6.00 6.03 5.88 5.88 5.88	6.00 6.00 5.63 5.78 5.78	5.83 5.79 5.77 5.79 5.80	5.65 5.17 5.24 5.24 5.28	5.685 5.602 5.279 5.471 5.520	5.58 5.50 5.37 5.49 5.54	5.974 5.792 5.483 5.796 5.933	5.82 5.71 5.65 5.85 5.98	6.02 5.89 5.96 6.17 6.24	6.42 6.30 6.27 6.47 6.54	7.50 7.41 7.38 7.60 7.62
Dec. 6 13 20 27	5.85 5.98 5.95 5.84	5.98 6.03 6.03 5.94	5.88 5.95 5.95 5.75	5.80 5.81 5.72 5.65	5.25 5.26 5.17 5.18	5.550 5.633 5.491 5.340	5.57 5.60 5.44 5.28	5.995 6.144 5.914 5.678	6.04 6.06 5.85 5.60	6.30 6.43 6.20 5.91	6.65 6.79 6.54 6.25	7.59 7.67 7.50 7.37
1976—Jan. 3 10 17 24 31	5.69 5.33 5.10 5.10 5.00	5.81 5.40 5.23 5.23 5.13	5.69 5.33 5.13 5.10 5.00	5.52 5.25 5.04 5.01 4.94	5.18 5.12 4.76 4.81 4.80	5.208 5.226 4.826 4.783 4.763	5. 19 5.07 4.84 4.78 4.72	5.507 5.521 5.066 5.046 5.052	5.49 5.32 5.11 5.06 5.00	5.77 5.58 5.41 5.37 5.32	6.11 5.91 5.68 5.65 5.53	7.28 7.20 7.14 7.18 7.16

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Averages of the most representative daily offering rate quoted by dealers.

dealers.

2 Averages of the most representative daily offering rate published by finance companies, for varying maturities in the 90-179 day range.

3 Beginning Aug. 15, 1974, the rate is the average of the midpoint of the range of daily dealer closing rates offered for domestic issues; prior data are averages of the most representative daily offering rate quoted by dealers.

data are averages of the most representative and dealers.

4 Seven-day averages of daily effective rates for week ending Wednesday. Since July 19, 1973, the daily effective Federal funds rate is an average of the rates on a given day weighted by the volume of transactions at these

rates. Prior to this date, the daily effective rate was the rate considered most representative of the day's transactions, usually the one at which most transactions occurred.

5 Except for new bill issues, yields are averages computed from daily closing bid prices.

6 Bills quoted on bank-discount-rate basis.
7 Selected note and bond issues.

NOTE.—Figures for Treasury bills are the revised series described on p. A-35 of the Oct. 1972 BULLETIN.

#### **BOND AND STOCK YIELDS**

(Per cent per annum)

		Governme	nt bonds					Corpor	ate bond	s				Stock	s
	** *	Sta	te and lo	cal	Aaa	utility			lected ing		By group		Divid price	lend/ ratio	Earnings/ price ratio
Period	United States (long- term)	Total <sup>1</sup>	Aaa	Baa	New issue	Re- cently offered	Total <sup>1</sup>	Aaa	Baa	Indus- trial	Rail- road	Public utility	Pre- ferred	Com-	Com-
					issuc	onered			Seasone	d issues			lerred	mon	mon
1970	6.59 5.74 5.63 6.30 6.99 6.98	6.42 5.62 5.30 5.22 6.19 7.05	6.12 5.22 5.04 4.99 5.89 6.42	6.75 5.89 5.60 5.49 6.53 7.62	8.68 7.62 7.31 7.74 9.33 9.40	8.71 7.66 7.34 7.75 9.34 9.41	8.51 7.94 7.63 7.80 8.98 9.46	8.04 7.39 7.21 7.44 8.57 8.83	9.11 8.56 8.16 8.24 9.50 10.39	8.26 7.57 7.35 7.60 8.78 9.25	8.77 8.38 7.99 8.12 8.98 9.39	8.68 8.13 7.74 7.83 9.27 9.88	7.22 6.75 7.27 7.23 8.23 8.38	3.83 3.14 2.84 3.06 4.47 4.31	6.46 5.41 5.50 7.12 11.60
1975—Jan	6.68 6.61 6.73 7.03 6.99 6.86 6.89 7.06 7.29 7.29 7.21 7.17	6.89 6.40 6.70 6.95 6.95 6.96 7.07 7.12 7.40 7.41 7.29	6.39 5.96 6.28 6.46 6.42 6.28 6.39 6.40 6.67 6.64 6.50	7.45 7.03 7.25 7.43 7.48 7.48 7.60 7.71 7.96 8.01 8.08 7.96	9.36 8.97 9.35 9.67 9.63 9.25 9.41 9.46 9.45 9.20 9.36	9.45 9.09 9.38 9.65 9.65 9.32 9.42 9.49 9.57 9.43 9.26 9.21	9.55 9.33 9.28 9.49 9.55 9.45 9.51 9.51 9.51 9.44	8.83 8.62 8.67 8.95 8.90 8.77 8.84 8.95 8.95 8.78 8.78	10.62 10.43 10.29 10.34 10.46 10.33 10.35 10.38 10.37 10.33 10.35	9.19 9.01 9.05 9.30 9.37 9.29 9.26 9.29 9.35 9.32 9.27 9.26	9.52 9.32 9.25 9.39 9.49 9.40 9.37 9.41 9.42 9.36 9.37	10.10 9.83 9.67 9.88 9.93 9.81 9.81 9.93 9.94 9.93 9.94 9.83 9.87	8.41 8.07 8.04 8.27 8.51 8.34 8.24 8.41 8.56 8.58 8.50 8.57	5.07 4.61 4.42 4.34 4.08 4.02 4.02 4.36 4.39 4.22 4.07 4.14	8.28 9.06
1976—Jan	6.94	7.08	6.22	7.81	8.70	8.79	9.33	8.60	10.24	9.16	9.32	9.68	8.16	3.80	
Week ending—  1975—Dec. 6 13 20 27	7.23 7.26 7.17 7.09	7.30 7.31 7.28 7.28	6.52 6.53 6.49 6.49	7.97 7.98 7.95 7.95	9.46 9.37 9.24	9.34 9.25 9.19 9.13	9.47 9.49 9.46 9.42	8.83 8.86 8.81 8.72	10.35 10.37 10.36 10.33	9.30 9.30 9.26 9.22	9.36 9.37 9.38 9.36	9.87 9.91 9.89 9.84	8.69 8.74 8.46 8.49	4.20 4.17 4.12 4.11	
1976—Jan. 3 10 17 24 31	7.05 6.96 6.90 6.93 6.94	7.26 7.12 7.10 7.02 6.90	6.45 6.25 6.25 6.15 6.00	7.92 7.84 7.83 7.78 7.68	8.88 8.64 8.62 8.66	9.10 8.94 8.68 8.69 8.68	9.40 9.37 9.34 9.31 9.28	8.66 8.63 8.60 8.58 8.57	10.33 10.31 10.26 10.20 10.16	9.21 9.18 9.17 9.15 9.13	9.36 9.34 9.33 9.32 9.30	9.79 9.75 9.71 9.64 9.59	8.48 8.42 8.22 7.97 8.04	4.08 3.91 3.78 3.74 3.75	
Number of issues 2	15	20	5	5			121	20	30	41	30	40	14	500	500

<sup>1</sup> Includes bonds rated Aa and A, data for which are not shown separately. Because of a limited number of suitable issues, the number of corporate bonds in some groups has varied somewhat. As of Dec. 23, 1967, there is no longer an Aaa-rated railroad bond series.

2 Number of issues varies over time; figures shown reflect most recent

NOTE.—Annual yields are averages of weekly, monthly, or quarterly data

Bonds: Monthly and weekly yields are computed as follows: (1) U.S. Govt., averages of daily figures for bonds maturing or callable in 10 years or more; from Federal Reserve Bank of New York. (2) State and local

govt., general obligations only, based on Thurs. figures, from Moody's Investors Service. (3) Corporate, rates for "New issue" and "Recently offered" Aaa utility bonds, weekly averages compiled by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System; and rates for seasoned issues, averages of daily figures from Moody's Investors Service.

Stocks: Standard and Poor's corporate series. Dividend/price ratios are based on Wed. figures. Earnings/price ratios as of end of period. Preferred stock ratio based on 8 median yields for a sample of non-callable issues—12 industrial and 2 public utility. Common stock ratios on the 500 stocks in the price index. Quarterly earnings are seasonally adjusted at annual rates. adjusted at annual rates.

### NOTES TO TABLES ON OPPOSITE PAGE:

Note.—Annual data are averages of daily or weekly figures. Monthly and weekly data are averages of daily figures unless otherwise noted and are computed as follows: U.S. Govt. bonds, derived from average market yields in table on p. A-28 on basis of an assumed 3 per cent, 20-year bond. Municipal and corporate bonds, derived from average yields as computed by Standard and Poor's Corp., on basis of a 4 per cent, 20-year bond; Wed. closing prices. Common stocks, derived from component common stock prices. Average daily volume of trading, presently conducted 5 days per week for 6 hours per day.

#### Stock Market Customer Financing:

- <sup>1</sup> Margin credit includes all credit extended to purchase or carry stocks or related equity instruments and secured at least in part by stock (Dec. 1970 BULLETIN, p. 920). Credit extended by brokers is end-of-month data for member firms of the New York Stock Exchange, June data for banks are universe totals; all other data for banks represent estimates for all commercial banks based on reports by a reporting sample, which acceptable of the part counted for 60 per cent of security credit outstanding at banks on June 30,
- 1971.

  <sup>2</sup> In addition to assigning a current loan value to margin stock generally, Regulations T and U permit special loan values for convertible bonds and stock acquired through exercise of subscription rights.

  <sup>3</sup> Nonmargin stocks are those not listed on a national securities exchange and not included on the Federal Reserve System's list of over the counter margin stocks. At banks, loans to purchase or carry nonmargin stocks are unregulated; at brokers, such stocks have no loan value.

  <sup>4</sup> Free credit balances are in accounts with no unfulfilled commitments to the brokers and are subject to withdrawal by customers on demand.

# SECURITY PRICES

		•					C	ommon s	stock pri	ces					
		ond pric					New Yor	k Stock	Exchange	e			Amer- ican	tradi sto	me of ing in ocks
Period				Stan	dard and (1941–	Poor's i	index	Nev	w York S (Dec.	31, 1965		ıdex	Stock Ex- change total		ands of ires)
	U.S. Govt. (long- term)	State and local	Cor- porate AAA	Total	Indus- trial	Rail- road	Public utility	Total	Indus- trial	Trans- porta- tion	Utility	Fi- nance	index (Aug. 31, 1973= 100)	NYSE	AMEX
1970	60.52 67.73 68.71 62.80 57.45 57.44	72.3 80.0 84.4 85.4 76.3 68.9	61.6 65.0 65.9 63.7 58.8 56.2	83.22 98.29 109.20 107.43 82.85 85.17	91.29 108.35 121.79 120.44 92.91 96.15	32.13 41.94 44.11 38.05 37.53 37.48	54.48 59.33 56.90 53.47 38.91 41.21	45.72 54.22 60.29 57.42 43.84 45.73	48.03 57.92 65.73 63.08 48.08 51.88	32.14 44.35 50.17 37.74 31.89 30.73	37.24 39.53 38.48 37.69 29.82 31.45	54.64 70.38 78.35 70.12 49.67 46.62	96.63 113.40 129.10 103.80 79.97 83.15	10,532 15,381 16,487 16,374 13,883 18,568	4,234 4,447 3,004 1,908
1975—Jan	59.70 60.27 59.33 57.05 57.40 58.33 58.09 56.84 55.23 55.23 55.77 56.03	70.9 74.1 70.9 69.5 69.6 69.8 68.3 66.1 66.1 66.2 67.4	56.4 56.6 56.2 55.8 56.6 56.7 55.6 55.6 55.8 56.0 56.3	72.56 80.10 83.78 84.72 90.10 92.49 85.71 84.62 88.57 90.07 88.74	80.50 89.29 93.90 95.27 101.05 103.68 103.84 96.21 94.96 99.29 100.86 94.89	37.31 37.80 38.35 38.55 38.92 38.97 38.04 35.13 34.94 36.92 37.81 37.07	38.19 40.37 39.55 38.19 39.69 43.65 43.67 41.04 40.53 42.59 43.77 43.25	38.56 42.48 44.35 44.91 47.76 49.21 49.54 45.71 44.97 46.87 47.64 46.78	41.29 46.00 48.63 49.74 53.22 54.61 54.96 50.71 50.05 52.26 52.91 63.70	28.12 30.21 31.62 31.70 32.28 30.79 32.88 30.14 29.46 30.79 32.15 31.61	29.55 31.31 31.04 30.01 31.02 32.78 32.98 31.02 30.65 31.87 32.83 32.75	44.85 47.59 47.83 47.35 49.97 52.20 52.51 46.55 43.38 44.36 47.48 43.86	68.31 76.08 79.15 82.03 86.94 90.57 93.28 85.74 84.26 83.46 85.60 82.50	19,661 22,311 22,680 20,334 21,785 721,286 20,076 13,404 12,717 15,893 16,795 15,859	2,665 2,302 2,521 2,743 2,750 1,476 1,439 1,629 1,613
1976—Jan	57.75	69.7	57.03	96.86	108.45	41.42	46.99	51.31	56.72	35.77	35.23	48.83	91.47	32,794	3,070
Week ending—  1976—Jan. 3 10 17 24 31	56.95 57.59 58.02 57.79 57.76	68.1 69.1 69.5 70.2 69.9	56.5 56.9 57.3 57.1 56.8	90.25 93.92 96.53 98.53 99.65	100.97 105.05 108.07 110.37 111.68	r38.12 40.06 41.39 42.42 42.35	r44.35 46.20 46.87 47.49 47.81	47.65 49.71 51.13 52.20 52.56	52.76 55.12 56.80 56.86 58.82	32.94 34.49 35.60 36.73 36.72	33.41 34.45 35.08 35.68 36.00	45.17 47.63 48.77 49.32 50.23	83.22 87.74 90.98 93.19 95.38	r15,085 28,388 31,940 32,334 36,454	2,764 2,850 3,398

For notes see opposite page.

# STOCK MARKET CUSTOMER FINANCING

(In millions of dollars)

				Margin	credit at	brokers	and ban	ks 1				
				R	egulated	2				Unregu- lated <sup>3</sup>	Free credi	t balances
End of period	]	By source	•			By t	ype				at bro	kers 4
	Total	Brokers	Ranks	Margi	n stock	Conve		Subsci	ription ues	Nonmargin stock credit at		
					rokers Banks I		Banks	Brokers	Banks	banks	Margin accts.	Cash accts.
974—Dec	4,836	3,980	856	3,840	815	137	30	3	11	2,064	r410	r1,425
775—Jan	5,099 5,164 5,327 5,666	5,365 5,399 5,448 5,519	848 830 844 824 819	5,220 5,250 5,300 5,370	806 783 800 781 779	134 136 134 138 140 146 143 142 145 144 146 147	29 34 30 30 27				410 480 515 505 520 520 555 515 470 545 490 475	1,450 1,610 1,770 1,790 1,705 1,710 1,500 1,455 1,455 1,470 1,525

For notes see opposite page.

#### **EQUITY STATUS OF MARGIN ACCOUNT DEBT** AT BROKERS

(Per cent of total debt, except as noted)

	Total debt		Eq	uity clas	s (per ce	nt)	
End of period	(mil- lions of dol- lars) <sup>1</sup>	80 or more	70-79	60-69	50-59	40-49	Under 40
							l
1974—Dec	3,840	4.3	4.6	8.8	13.9	23.0	45.4
1975—Jan Feb Mar Apr May June July Aug Sept Oct Nov Dec	4,130 4,180 4,360 4,700 4,990 5,300 5,220	5.6 5.9 6.5 7.1 7.0 7.4 6.0 5.5 5.1 5.5 5.2 5.3	7.3 7.2 8.0 8.7 9.1 9.9 8.3 6.8 7.3 6.7 6.7	13.5 14.6 15.3 16.1 16.7 18.3 13.9 11.3 10.6 11.2 12.2	24.6 25.4 27.6 28.7 31.5 32.7 23.6 20.7 19.6 21.8 23.2 22.3	28.1 28.5 25.8 23.5 21.0 20.4 30.4 31.0 31.0 29.7 28.6 28.8	21.2 18.4 16.9 15.9 13.4 11.4 17.9 24.7 26.5 25.2 24.0 25.0

<sup>1</sup> Note 1 appears at the bottom of p. A-28.

NOTE.—Each customer's equity in his collateral (market value of collateral less net debit balance) is expressed as a percentage of current collateral values.

# SPECIAL MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT BALANCES AT BROKERS, BY EQUITY STATUS OF ACCOUNTS

(Per cent of total, except as noted)

End of period	Net credit		of accounts t status	Total
End of period	status	60 per cent or more	Less than 60 per cent	balance (millions of dollars)
19 <b>74</b> —Dec	41.1	32.4	26.5	7,013
1975—Jan. Feb. Mar. Apr. May. June July Aug. Sept. Oct. Nov. Dec.	41.1 42.2 44.4 45.2 44.5 45.9 45.6 43.5 45.3 44.4 45.3 43.8	39.3 40.1 40.1 41.1 43.2 43.1 41.1 40.6 38.9 40.1 40.2 40.8	19.8 17.8 15.5 13.7 12.3 11.0 13.1 16.0 15.8 15.5 14.5	7,185 7,303 7,277 7,505 7,601 7,875 7,772 7,494 7,515 7,362 7,425 7,290

NOTE.—Special miscellaneous accounts contain credit balances that may be used by customers as the margin deposit required for additional purchases. Balances may arise as transfers based on loan values of other collateral in the customer's margin account or deposits of cash (usually sales proceeds) occur.

### **MUTUAL SAVINGS BANKS**

(In millions of dollars)

	Loa	ans		Securitie	s											
End of period	Mort- gage	Other	U.S. Govt.			Other assets	Total assets— Total liabili- ties and general	Depos- its	Other liabili- ties	General reserve ac- counts		con classifie	rtgage l nmitme ed by m nmonth	nts 2 aturity		
				govi.	Other -			reserve accts.	 			3 or less	3-6	6-9	Over 9	Total
1971 1972 <sup>3</sup> 1973	62,069 67,563 73,231 74,891	2,808 2,979 3,871 3,812	3,334 3,510 2,957 2,555	385 873 926 930	17,674 21,906 21,383 22,550	1,389 1,644 1,968 2,167	1,711 2,117 2,314 2,645	89,369 100,593 106,651 109,550	81,440 91,613 96,496 98,701	1,810 2,024 2,566 2,888	6,118 6,956 7,589 7,961	1,047 1,593 1,250 664	627 713 598 418	463 609 405 232	1,624	
1974—Nov Dec	74,913 74,891	4,226 3,812	2,553 2,555	877 930	22,201 22,550	1,406 2,167	2,633 2,645	108,809 109,550	97,582 98,701	3,291 2,888	7,936 7,961	724 664	398 418	317 232		2,182 2,040
1975—Jan Feb Mar Apr May June July Sept Oct Nov.		4,287 4,658 4,736 4,407 4,593 4,492 4,396 4,405 4,487 4,481 4,550	2,571 2,677 2,975 3,419 3,616 3,744 3,965 4,187 4,279 4,368 4,601	1,017 1,095 1,121 1,137 1,240 1,436 1,451 1,495	22,979 23,402 24,339 24,994 25,579 26,470 26,976 27,104 27,033 27,106 27,421	1,706 1,856 2,101 1,841 2,077 2,088 1,835 1,730 1,783 1,805 1,872	2,709 2,672 2,780 2,811 2,954 3,004 3,067 3,136	110,130 111,376 113,045 113,821 115,252 116,751 117,709 118,254 118,643 119,089 120,073	99,211 100,149 102,285 102,902 104,056 105,993 106,533 106,745 107,560 107,812 108,480	2,948 3,211 2,712 2,849 3,080 2,594 2,970 3,255 2,778 2,950 3,215	7,971 8,016 8,049 8,071 8,116 8,164 8,208 8,254 8,304 8,328 8,378	726 654 824 913 955 973 957 981 1,011 950 972	400 360 312 335 383 510 463 431 372 368 323	225 217 294 312 300 195 266 237 256 275 222	538 573 565 526 573	1,971 1,810 1,994 2,098 2,211 2,243 2,212 2,222 2,138 1,987 1,896

were net of valuation reserves. For most items, however, the differences

NOTE.—NAMSB data; figures are estimates for all savings banks in the United States and differ somewhat from those shown elsewhere in the BULLETIN; the latter are for call dates and are based on reports filed with U.S. Govt. and State bank supervisory agencies.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Also includes securities of foreign governments and international organizations and nonguaranteed issues of U.S. Govt, agencies.

<sup>2</sup> Commitments outstanding of banks in New York State as reported to the Savings Banks Assn. of the State of New York. Data include building

loans.

3 Balance sheet data beginning 1972 are reported on a gross-of-valuation-reserves basis. The data differ somewhat from balance sheet data previously reported by National Assn. of Mutual Savings Bank, which

# LIFE INSURANCE COMPANIES

(In millions of dollars)

	T	c	Governme	nt securiti	es	Bus	iness secui	iti <b>e</b> s	Mare	Deal	Doliou	Other
End of period	Total assets	Total	United States	State and local	Foreign 1	Total	Bonds	Stocks	Mort- gages	Real estate	Policy loans	assets
1971. 1972. 1973. 1974.	222,102 239,730 252,436 263,817	11,000 11,372 11,403 11,890	4,455 4,562 4,328 4,396	3,363 3,367 3,412 3,653	3,182 3,443 3,663 3,841	99,805 112,985 117,715 119,580	79,198 86,140 91,796 97,430	20,607 26,845 25,919 22,150	75,496 76,948 81,369 86,258	6,904 7,295 7,693 8,249	17,065 18,003 20,199 22,899	11,832 13,127 14,057 14,941
1974—Nov Dec	262,253 263,349	11,871 11,965	4,394 4,437	3,626 3,667	3,851 3,861	119,246 118,572	97,199 96,652	22,047 21,920	85,481 86,234	8,207 8,331	22,676 22,862	14,772 15,385
1975—Jan	269,715 272,143 273,523 275,816 278,343 279,354 280,482 281,847	12,065 12,161 12,338 12,374 12,464 12,560 12,814 13,022 13,150 13,793 14,129	4,461 4,512 4,581 4,608 4,678 4,738 4,738 4,895 4,914 5,505 5,762	3,669 3,686 3,712 3,719 3,739 3,762 3,902 4,039 4,122 4,148 4,210	3,935 3,960 4,045 4,047 4,047 4,060 4,069 4,088 4,114 4,140 4,157	130,659 131,524 133,237	98,876 99,571 100,116 99,725 100,478 101,238 102,675 103,496 104,529 105,473 106,385	23,110 24,587 25,399 26,531 27,369 28,600 27,623 27,163 26,995 27,764 28,110	86,526 86,929 87,187 87,638 87,882 88,035 88,035 88,162 88,327 88,445 88,655 88,850	8,313 8,402 8,582 8,782 8,843 8,989 9,058 9,112 9,210 9,356 9,464	23,058 23,224 23,391 23,459 23,570 23,675 23,794 23,919 24,048 24,171 24,271	14,875 14,841 15,133 15,014 15,210 15,246 15,228 15,443 15,470 15,617 15,766

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Issues of foreign governments and their subdivisions and bonds of the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development.

Note.—Institute of Life Insurance estimates for all life insurance companies in the United States.

Figures are annual statement asset values, with bonds carried on an amortized basis and stocks at year-end market value. Adjustments for interest due and accrued and for differences between market and book values are not made on each item separately but are included, in total in "Other assets."

#### SAVINGS AND LOAN ASSOCIATIONS

(In millions of dollars)

		Ass	sets		Total			Mortgage loan com-			
End of period	Mort- gages	Invest- ment secur- ities 1	Cash	Other	assets— Total liabilities	Savings capital	Net worth <sup>2</sup>	Bor- rowed money <sup>3</sup>	Loans in process	Other	mitments outstanding at end of period 4
1971	174,250 206,182 231,733 249,293	18,185 21,574 21,0 23,2		10,731 12,590 19,117 22,991	206,023 243,127 271,905 295,524	174,197 206,764 226,968 242,959	13,592 15,240 17,056 18,436	8,992 9,782 17,172 24,780	5,029 6,209 4,667 3,244	4,213 5,132 6,042 6,105	7,328 11,515 9,526 7,454
1974—Dec	249,293	23,2	240	22,991	295,524	242,959	18,436	24,780	3,244	6,105	7,454
1975—Jan	250,828	27,0 28,29,0 30,6 30,8 31,6 31,6 31,6 32,2	25,390 27,003 28,304 29,047 30,648 30,880 32,054 31,694 30,786 31,652 32,498 30,920		298, 361 301, 500 304, 956 308, 642 314, 079 318, 003 322, 823 326, 538 329, 131 333, 393 337, 027 338, 409	246,227 249,524 256,017 258,875 262,770 268,978 272,032 273,504 277,201 279,465 281,711 286,040	18,586 18,816 18,654 18,882 19,128 18,992 19,266 19,495 19,414 19,663 19,919 19,821	23,355 21,895 20,373 19,845 19,317 18,881 18,765 19,237 20,052 20,327 20,434 20,724	3,057 3,049 3,275 3,608 4,105 4,446 4,771 4,995 5,128 5,207 5,164 5,185	7,136 8,216 6,637 7,432 8,759 6,706 7,989 9,307 7,336 8,731 9,799 6,639	7,887 8,787 10,050 11,653 12,557 12,363 12,61 12,673 12,585 11,748 11,365 10,663

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Excludes stock of the Federal Home Loan Bank Board, Compensating changes have been made in "Other" assets,
<sup>2</sup> Includes net undistributed income, which is accrued by most, but not

in other assets. The effect of this change was to reduce the mortgage total by about \$0.6 billion.

Also, GNMA-guaranteed, mortgage-backed securities of the pass-trough type, previously included in "Cash" and "Investment securities" are included in "Other" assets. These amounted to about \$2.4 billion at the end of 1972.

Note.—FHLBB data; figures are estimates for all savings and loan assns. in the United States. Data are based on monthly reports of insured assns. and annual reports of noninsured assns. Data for current and preceding year are preliminary even when revised.

all, associations.

3 Advances from

all, associations.

3 Advances from FHLBB and other borrowing.

4 Data comparable with those shown for mutual savings banks (on opposite page) except that figures for loans in process are not included above but are included in the figures for mutual savings banks.

5 Beginning 1973, participation certificates guaranteed by the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, loans and notes insured by the Farmers Home Administration, and certain other Govt.-insured mortgage-type investments, previously included in mortgage loans, are included

# FEDERAL FISCAL OPERATIONS: SUMMARY

(In millions of dollars)

	τ	J.S. budge	et				Me	ans of fir	ancing			
					Borr	owings fi	om the p	oublic		Less: C monetai	ash and ry assets	Other
Period	Receipts	Outlays	Surplus or deficit	Public debt securi-	Agency securi-	ments b	Invest- by Govt.	Less:	Equals:	Trea- sury operat-	Other	means of financ- ing, net 2
				ties	ties	Special issues	Other	notes 1		ing balance	~	100
Fiscal year: 1972. 1973. 1974. 1975.	208,649 232,225 264,932 280,997	246,526 268,392	-23,227 -14,301 -3,460 -43,604	30,881 16,918		11,712 13,673	1.140		19,442 19,275 3,009 50,853	2,459 -3,417	-1,613 889	-4,129
Half year: 1974—JanJune July-Dec 1975 — JanJune July-Dec	rl 39,607 141,190	171,202	2,647 r-13,540 -30,012 -45,092	18,429 40.524	-689 -423	2,840 5,272	150 -1,231		-3,004 *14,751 36,059 49,347		557 1,643	231 -3,881 -2,746 -4,368
Month: 1974—Dec. <sup>7</sup>	24,944	27,398	2,454	7,300	-53	2,276	<b>–90</b>		5,062	2,874	289	555
1975—Jan . Feb . Mar . Apr . May . June . July . Aug . Sept . Oct . Nov . Dec	25,020 19,975 20,134 31,451 12,793 31,817 *20,197 *23,584 28,615 19,316 21,745 25,995	28,934 26,200 27,986 29,601 28,186 30,296 731,249 730,634 29,044 32,425 29,401 31,792	-7,852 1,850 -15,394 1,521 -11,052	1,475 5,571 9,949 7,081 11,418 5,030 5,051 9,472 75,935 8,352 4,800 9,850	-306 5 -37 -6 -55 -23 6 9 -5 -3	-1,216 10 3,296 4,131 -2,427 2,384 -2,151 -3,656 -749	-495 -79 -451 -440 276 -346 -94 -367 260 -390		3,667 4,535 11,249 7,485 8,556 567 7,800 7,189 8,463 11,743 5,936 8,215	-949 -3,390 -630 6,961 -203	-263 446 -348	-801 3 178 349 -2,981 -1,511 -1,032 -627 815 -1,732

					Selecte	d balances					
	Tr	easury opera	ating balar	ice		Е	orrowing fro	om the publi	ic.		
End of period	F.R. Banks	Tax and loan	Other deposi-	Total	Public debt	Agency securities	Le Investn Govt. a	ents of	Less: Special	Equals: Total	Memo: Debt of Govt sponsored corps.—
	Danks	accounts	taries 3		securities	securities	Special issues	Other	notes 1		Now private4
Fiscal year: 1971	1,274 2,344 4,038 2,919 5,773	7,372 7,634 8,433 6,152 1,475	109 139 106 88 343	8,755 10,117 12,576 9,159 7,591	398,130 427,260 458,142 475,060 533,188	12,163 10,894 11,109 12,012 10,943	82,740 89,536 101,248 114,921 123,033	22,400 24,023 24,133 25,273 24,192	825 825 825 825 825 (5)	304,328 323,770 343,045 346,053 396,906	37,086 41,814 51,325 65,411 76,092
Calendar year: 1973 1974 1975	2,543 3,113 7,286	7,760 72,745 1,159	70 70 7	10,374 *5,928 8,452	469,898 492,664 576,649	11,586 11,367 10,904	106,624 117,761 118,294	24,978 25,423 23,006	825 (5)	349,058 360,847 446,253	59,857 76,459
Month: 1974—Dec	3,113	2,745	70	5,928	492,664	11,367	117,761	25,423		360,847	76,459
1975 — Jan Feb	3,541 2,885 4,271 8,364 7,040 5,773 2,776 2,349 8,074 8,517 4,919 7,286	2,115 410 2,142 5,415 984 1,475 878 1,214 2,162 1,558 1,159	220 220 220 521 521 521 343 444 —141 529 559 9	5,876 3,515 6,633 14,299 8,545 7,591 4,098 3,423 10,765 10,327 6,485 8,452	494,139 499,710 509,659 516,740 528,158 533,188 538,240 547,711 553,647 561,999 566,799 576,649	11,343 11,037 11,042 11,004 10,998 10,943 10,920 10,926 10,935 10,931 10,928 10,904	115,588 116,812 115,596 115,606 118,902 123,033 120,606 122,990 120,839 117,183 116,434 118,294	25,380 23,886 24,807 24,355 23,915 24,192 23,847 23,752 23,385 23,645 23,255 23,006		364,514 369,049 380,298 387,783 396,339 396,906 404,707 411,895 420,358 432,102 438,037 446,253	76,921 75,964 76,392 77,124 75,140 76,092 77,173 76,659 77,026 78,016 78,451

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Represents non-interest-bearing public debt securities issued to the International Monetary Fund and international lending organizations. New obligations to these agencies are handled by letters of credit. <sup>2</sup> Includes accruded interest payable on public debt securities until June 1973 and total accrued interest payable to the public thereafter; deposit funds; miscellaneous liability (includes checks outstanding) and asset accounts; seigniorage; increment on gold; fiscal 1974 conversion of interest receipts of Govt. accounts to an accrual basis; gold holdings, gold certificates and other liabilities, and gold balance beginning Jan. 1974; and net gain/loss for U.S. currency valuation adjustment beginning June 1975.

<sup>3</sup> As of Jan. 3, 1972, the Treasury operating balance was redefined to exclude the gold balance and to include previously excluded "Other deposi-

taries" (deposits in certain commercial depositaries that have been converted from a time to a demand basis to permit greater flexibility in Treasury cash management).

4 Includes debt of Federal home loan banks, Federal land banks, R.F.K. Stadium Fund, FNMA (beginning Sept. 1968), and Federal intermediate credit banks and banks for cooperatives (both beginning Dec. 1968), 5 Beginning July 1974, public debt securities excludes \$825 million of notes issued to International Monetary Fund to conform with Office of Management and Budget's presentation of the budget.

Note.—Half years may not add to fiscal year totals due to revisions in series that are not yet available on a monthly basis.

#### FEDERAL FISCAL OPERATIONS: DETAIL

(In millions of dollars)

	Budget receipts  Individual income taxes																
			Individu	al incor	ne taxes								-				
Period	Total	With-	Pres. Elec- tion	Non- with-	Re-	Net	Gross	Re-	cont	oloymen kes and ribution	Un- empl.	Other net	Net	Excise taxes	Cus- toms	Estate and gift	Misc. re- ceipts 4
		held	Cam- paign Fund	held	funds	total	ceipts	funds	Pay roll taxe	l empl	insur.	re- ceipts <sup>3</sup>	total				
Fiscal year: 1972	. 208,649 . 232,223 . 264,933 . 280,999	83,20 98,09 2 112,09 122,07	0 3  2 2 2 1	25,679 27,017 30,812 734,328	14,143 21,866 23,952 34,013	94,737 103,246 118,952 122,386	34,926 39,045 41,744 45,747	2,760 2,890 3,120 5,120	44,0 52,5 62,8 71,7	88 2,03 05 2,37 78 3,00 89 3,41	2 4,357 1 6,051 8 6,837 7 6,770	3,437 3,614 4,051 4,466	53,914 64,542 76,780 86,441	15,477 16,260 16,844 16,551	3,287 3,188 3,334 3,676	4,917	3,921
Half year: 1974—Jan.—June. July-Dec. 1975—Jan.—June. July-Dec.	. [7139,60] . [141,196	59,10 7,61,37 60,69 59,54	0 28 8 4	24,605 7,098 27,198 7,649	22,953 1,016 32,997 1,362	60,782 67,461 54,926 65,835	25,155 18,247 27,500 18,810	1,63 2,010 3,109 2,73	32,9 534,4 937,3 535,4	18 25 71 3,16	4 2,914 3 3,856	2,187 2,279	41,671 39,774 46,667 40,886	7,878 8,761 7,790 8,759	1,701 1,958 1,718 1,927	2,284	2,601 r3,140 3,370 3,397
Month: 1974—Dec	. 724,94	1 710,42	9	461	90	10,801	6,458	190	4,9	82 1	4 89	356	5,441	1,489	307	341	7298
1975—Jan	25,020 19,975 20,134 31,45 12,795 31,817 20,197 23,584 28,615	10,25 10,96 4 9,62 9,55 10,30 7 10,02 7 9,20	4 7 4 8 8 15	1,046 2,661 12,766 819	4,264 8,152 6,258 12,749 1,444 498 331 382 124	3 1231	1,745 1,275 7,228 5,819 1,192 10,241 1,838 1,045 6,277 1,072 6,884	649 726 47 425 264 399	7,6 6,2 6,5,4 7,6 7,6 1,5,5 1,5,5 1,5,5 1,5,5	70 22 68 20 38 1,74 89 34 52 37 09 85 55 25	5 732 8 21 3 557 0 2,209 3 92 444 1,257 1 75 716	373 388 350 413 374 372 400 377	6,431 6,128 9,713 6,280 7,994	1,277 1,160 1,166 1,373	260 295 286 270 301 313 302 312 r310	399 356 317 459 412 503 430 431 431 431	535 741 399 559 508 615 743 539 511
			<u></u>	<del>'</del>	<u>-</u>			Ві	idget o	outlays							·
Period	Total	Na- tional de- fense	Intl. affairs	General science, space, and tech.	Agri- cul- ture	Nat- ural re- source envir and energ	cs, Co me ar trai	m- recend recense.	Com- nun. and egion. evel- oment	Educa- tion, training, employ- ment, and social serv.	Health and wel- fare	Vet- erans	Interest	Go la ei for	al e vt., s w in- ce., as id a	har. and scal ssist-	Undis- trib. off- setting re- ceipts 5
Fiscal year: 1973	246,526 268,392 324,601 373,535 97,971 394,237	75,072 78,569 86,585 92,759 25,028 101,129	2,956 3,593 4,358 5,665 1,334 6,824	74,030 73,977 3,989 4,311 1,157 4,507	2,230 1,660 2,875 742	$\begin{bmatrix} 11,7\\2 & 3,2 \end{bmatrix}$	47	,930 ,096 ,010 ,801 ,819 ,498	5,529 4,911 4,431 5,802 1,529 5,532	11,874 r11,598 15,248 18,900 4,403 16,615	91,790 106,505 136,252 160,646 41,033 171,508	12,01 13,386 16,59 19,03 4,365 17,19	6 28,0 7 30,9 5 34,8 2 9,7	72 5 74 6 35 6 69 1	.949	7.1691-	-12,318 -16,651 -14,075 -15,208 -3,589 -18,840
Month:  1975—Mar Apr May June July Aug Sept Oct Nov Dec	27,986 29,601 28,186 30,296 731,249 730,634 29,044 32,425 29,401 31,792	7,435 7,555 8,000 7,854 7,307 8,229 6,923 8,192 7,533 7,981	503 109 408 557 531 448 47 362 419 290	379 368 384 256 476 402 398 405 409	275 42 179 270 117 500 310	6 6 7 7 8 7 7 8 7 7 8 7 7 7	11 1 79 88 1 21 2 70 2 44 1 40 1 86 1	,415 ,088 ,995 ,289 ,256 ,165 ,899 ,965 ,203 ,994	c19 309 383 453 402 568 r440 462 315	1,684 1,237 1,690 71,571 896 1,653	12,379 11,968 14,158 13,092 12,431 12,738 13,575 12,612	1,46 1,46 1,41 1,36 1,44 1,33 1,51	6 2,7 8 2,6 2 2,5 7 2,6 4 2,8 8 2,9 4 2,9	57 57 57 57 57	479 759 7321 553 548	-14 1,625 213 4 1,592	-1,236 -1,053 -873 -1,601 -1,094 -1,071 -1,068 -1,035 -887 -1,221

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Collections of these receipts, totaling \$2,427 million for fiscal year 1973, were included as part of nonwithheld income taxes prior to Feb. 1974.

<sup>2</sup> Old-age, disability, and hospital insurance, and Railroad Retirement

1977. Figures for outlay categories exclude special allowances for contingencies and civilian agency pay raises totaling \$200 million for fiscal year 1976, \$175 million for the transition quarter (TQ), and \$2,260 million for fiscal year 1977, and therefore do not add to totals.

8 Effective in calendar year 1976, the fiscal year for the U.S. Govt. is being changed from July 1-June 30 to Oct. 1-Sept. 30. The period July 1-Sept. 30 of 1976, data for which are shown separately from fiscal year 1976 and fiscal year 1977 totals, will be a transition quarter.

Note.—Half years may not add to fiscal year totals due to revisions in series that are not yet available on a monthly basis.

accounts.

3 Supplementary medical insurance premiums and Federal employee

retirement contributions.

4 Deposits of earnings by F. R. Banks and other miscellaneous receipts.

5 Consists of interest received by trust funds, rents and royalties on the Outer Continental Shelf, and Govt. contributions for employee retirement.

6 Contains retroactive payments of \$2,617 million for fiscal 1972.

7 Estimates presented in Budget of the U.S. Government, Fiscal Year

### GROSS PUBLIC DEBT, BY TYPE OF SECURITY

(In billions of dollars)

					Publi	c issues (i	nterest-be	aring)		W		
End of period	Total gross	ross		1	Marketable	•		Con-	No	nmarketa	ble	Special
	public debt <sup>1</sup>	Total	Total	Bills	Certifi- cates	Notes	Bonds 2	vert- ible bonds	Total 3	Foreign issues 4	Savings bonds and notes	issues 5
1968—Dec. 1969—Dec. 1970—Dec.	358.0 368.2 389.2	296.0 295.2 309.1	236.8 235.9 247.7	75.0 80.6 87.9		76.5 85.4 101.2	85.3 69.9 58.6	2.5 2.4 2.4	56.7 56.9 59.1	4.3 3.8 5.7	52.3 52.2 52.5	59.1 71.0 78.1
1971—Dec	424.1 449.3 469.9 492.7	336.7 351.4 360.7 373.4	262.0 269.5 270.2 282.9	97.5 103.9 107.8 119.7		114.0 121.5 124.6 129.8	50.6 44.1 37.8 33.4	2.3 2.3 2.3 2.3	72.3 79.5 88.2 88.2	16.8 20.6 26.0 22.8	54.9 58.1 60.8 63.8	85.7 95.9 107.1 118.2
1975—Jan. Feb. Mar. Apr. May June July. Aug. Sept. Oct. Nov. Dec.	494.1 499.7 509.7 516.7 528.2 533.2 547.7 553.6 566.8 576.6	377.1 381.5 392.6 399.8 407.8 408.8 416.3 423.5 443.6 447.5 457.1	286.1 289.8 300.0 307.2 314.9 315.6 323.7 331.1 338.9 355.9 363.2	120.0 123.0 124.0 127.0 131.5 128.6 133.4 138.1 142.8 147.1 151.1 157.5		131.8 132.7 141.9 145.0 146.5 150.3 153.6 155.2 166.3 166.1	33.3 34.1 34.1 35.3 36.8 36.7 37.8 37.7 37.6 36.7 38.6	2.3 2.3 2.3 2.3 2.3 2.3 2.3 2.3 2.3 2.3	88.8 89.4 90.4 90.3 90.6 90.9 90.4 90.1 90.3 90.5 89.3 91.7	23.0 23.3 24.0 23.6 23.5 23.2 22.2 21.6 21.5 21.3 21.6	64.2 64.5 64.8 65.2 65.5 65.9 66.3 66.6 66.9 67.2 67.6	116.0 117.2 116.0 116.0 119.2 123.3 120.9 123.3 121.1 117.4 116.7 118.5
1976—Jan	584.4	463.8	369.3	159.6		171.1	38.6	2.3	92.2	21.6	68.2	118.1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Includes non-interest-bearing debt (of which \$614 million on Jan. 31, 1976, was not subject to statutory debt limitation).
<sup>2</sup> Includes Treasury bonds and minor amounts of Panama Canal and posted switch bonds.

# OWNERSHIP OF PUBLIC DEBT

(Par value, in billions of dollars)

		Held	by	Held by private investors											
End of period	Total gross public	U.S. Govt. agencies	F.R.	Total	Com- mercial	Mutual savings	Insur- ance	Other corpo-	State and	Indiv	iduals	Foreign and	Other misc.		
	debt	and trust funds	Banks	Total	banks	banks	com- panies	rations	local govts,	Savings bonds	Other securities	inter- national <sup>1</sup>	inves- tors 2		
1968—Dec 1969—Dec 1970—Dec	358.0 368.2 389.2	76.6 89.0 97.1	52.9 57.2 62.1	228.5 222.0 229.9	66.0 56.8 62.7	3.8 3.1 3.1	8.4 7.6 7.4	14.2 10.4 7.3	24.9 27.2 27.8	51.9 51.8 52.1	23.3 29.0 29.1	14.3 11.2 20.6	21.9 25.0 19.9		
1971—Dec	424.1 449.3 469.9	106.0 116.9 129.6	70.2 69.9 78.5	247.9 262.5 261.7	65.3 67.7 60.3	3.1 3.4 2.9	7.0 6.6 6.4	11.4 9.8 10.9	25.4 28.9 29.2	54.4 57.7 60.3	18.8 16.2 16.9	46.9 55.3 55.6	15.6 17.0 19.3		
1974—Nov Dec	485.4 492.7	139.0 141.2	81.0 80.5	265.3 271.0	53.7 55.6	2.5 2.5	5.9 6.1	11.0 11.0	28.7 29.2	63.2 63.4	21.1 21.5	58.3 58.4	20.8 23.2		
1975—Jan Feb Mar Apr May June July Aug Sept Oct Nov.**	494.1 499.7 509.7 516.7 528.2 538.2 538.2 547.2 553.6 562.0 566.8	139.0 139.8 138.5 138.0 140.9 145.3 142.5 144.8 142.3 138.8 137.7	81.3 81.1 81.4 87.8 85.6 84.7 81.9 82.5 87.0 87.2 85.1	273.8 278.9 289.8 290.9 301.7 303.2 313.8 320.4 324.4 336.0 343.9	54.6 56.5 61.8 64.1 67.7 69.2 71.4 75.4 78.4 80.5 82.6	2.6 2.7 2.9 3.2 3.4 3.5 3.7 3.9 4.0 4.2	6.2 6.6 6.7 6.9 7.1 7.3 7.4 7.6 7.9 8.8	11.3 11.4 12.0 12.5 13.7 13.2 16.2 16.0 17.5 20.0	30.0 30.5 29.7 29.8 29.8 29.6 31.3 31.2 32.2 33.8 33.9	63.7 64.0 64.4 64.7 65.1 65.5 65.9 66.2 66.5 66.8 67.1	21.6 21.3 21.4 21.4 21.5 21.6 21.8 22.6 23.0 23.2 23.5	61.5 64.6 65.0 64.9 66.8 66.0 66.7 67.3 65.5 66.9 66.1	22.3 21.6 26.1 23.6 26.8 27.4 29.5 30.5 32.3 35.2 37.5		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Consists of investments of foreign and international accounts in

The debt and ownership concepts were altered beginning with the Mar. 1969 BULLETIN. The new concepts (1) exclude guaranteed securities and (2) remove from U.S. Govt. agencies and trust funds and add to other miscellaneous investors the holdings of certain Govt.-sponsored but privately owned agencies and certain Govt. deposit accounts. Beginning in July 1974, total gross public debt includes Federal Financing Bank bills and excludes notes issued to the IMF (\$825 million).

Includes Treasury bonds and minor amounts of Panama Canal and postal savings bonds.
 Includes (not shown separately): depositary bonds, retirement plan bonds, Rural Electrification Administration bonds, State and local government bonds, and Treasury deposit funds.

<sup>4</sup> Nonmarketable certificates of indebtedness, notes, and bonds in the Treasury foreign series and foreign-currency-series issues.

<sup>3</sup> Held only by U.S. Govt. agencies and trust funds and the Federal home loan banks.

Note.—Based on Monthly Statement of the Public Debt of the United States, published by U.S. Treasury. See also second paragraph in Note to table below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Consists of investments of foreign and international accounts in the United States.

<sup>2</sup> Consists of savings and loan assns., nonprofit institutions, corporate pensions trust funds, and dealers and brokers. Also included are certain Govt. deposit accounts and Govt.-sponsored agencies.

Note.—Reported data for F.R. Banks and U.S. Govt. agencies and trust funds; Treasury estimates for other groups.

# OWNERSHIP OF MARKETABLE SECURITIES, BY MATURITY

(Par value, in millions of dollars)

	_ ·	,	Within 1 yea	г	1-5	5-10	10-20	Over
Type of holder and date	Total	Total	Bills	Other	years	years	years	20 years
All holders:  1972—Dec. 31.  1973—Dec. 31.  1974—Dec. 31.  1975—Nov. 30.  Dec. 31.	269,509 270,224 282,891 355,879 366,191	130,422 141,571 148,086 192,797 199,692	103,870 107,786 119,747 151,139 157,483	26,552 33,785 28,339 41,658 42,209	88,564 81,715 85,311 111,795 112,270	29,143 25,134 27,897 26,439 26,436	15,301 15,659 14,833 14,302 14,264	6,079 6,145 6,764 10,546 10,530
U.S. Govt. agencies and trust funds: 1972—Dec. 31	}	1,609 2,220 2,400 2,866 2,769	674 631 588 237 207	935 1,589 1,812 2,629 2,562	6,418 7,714 7,823 7,095 7,058	5,487 4,389 4,721 3,320 3,283	4,317 5,019 4,670 4,233 4,233	1,530 1,620 1,777 2,068 2,053
Federal Reserve Banks: 1972—Dec. 31	1	37,750 46,189 45,388 44,596 46,845	29,745 36,928 36,990 35,924 38,018	8,005 9,261 8,399 8,672 8,827	24,497 23,062 23,282 30,183 30,518	6,109 7,504 9,664 6,348 6,463	1,414 1,577 1,453 1,479 1,507	136 184 713 2,532 2,601
Held by private investors:  1972—Dec. 31  1973—Dec. 31  1974—Dec. 31  1975—Nov. 30  Dec. 31	180,243 170,746 180,999 251,160 255,860	91,063 93,162 100,298 145,335 150,078	73,451 70,227 82,168 114,978 119,258	17,612 22,935 18,130 30,357 30,820	57,649 50,939 54,206 74,517 74,694	17,547 13,241 13,512 16,771 16,690	9,570 9,063 8,710 8,590 8,524	4,413 4,341 4,274 5,946 5,876
Commercial banks: 1972—Dec. 31	52,440 45,737 42,755 63,309 64,398	18,077 17,499 14,873 27,778 29,875	10,289 7,901 6,952 15,335 17,481	7,788 9,598 7,921 12,443 12,394	27,765 22,878 22,717 30,245 29,629	5,654 4,022 4,151 4,368 4,071	864 1,065 733 599 552	80 272 280 318 271
Mutual savings banks:  1972—Dec. 31	1	590 562 399 876 983	309 222 207 458 554	281 340 192 418 429	1,152 750 614 1,499 1,524	469 211 174 451 448	274 300 202 234 232	124 131 88 124 112
Insurance companies: 1972—Dec. 31. 1973—Dec. 31. 1974—Dec. 31. 1975—Nov. 30. Dec. 31.		799 779 722 1,827 2,024	448 312 414 1,317 1,513	351 467 308 510 511	1,190 1,073 1,061 2,235 2,359	976 1,278 1,310 1,487 1,592	1,593 1,301 1,297 1,155 1,154	661 523 351 401 436
Nonfinancial corporations:  1972—Dec. 31	1	3,604 3,295 2,623 7,090 7,105	1,198 1,695 1,859 5,866 5,829	2,406 1,600 764 1,224 1,276	1,198 1,281 1,423 1,854 1,967	121 260 115 188 175	25 54 26 84 61	1 15 59 41 57
Savings and loan associations:  1972—Dec. 31	2,873 2,103 1,663 2,874	820 576 350 938 914	498 121 87 552 518	322 455 263 386 396	1,140 1,011 835 1,554 1,558	605 320 282 263 216	226 151 173 96 82	81 45 23 23 22
State and local governments:  1972—Dec. 31.  1973—Dec. 31.  1974—Dec. 31.  1975—Nov. 30.  Dec. 31.	10,904 9,829 7,864 9,381 9,285	6,159 5,845 4,121 5,459 5,288	5,203 4,483 3,319 4,686 4,566	956 1,362 802 773 722	2.033 1,870 1,796 1,807 1,761	816 778 815 736 782	1,298 1,003 800 817 896	598 332 332 561 558
All others:  1972—Dec. 31.  1973—Dec. 31.  1974—Dec. 31.  1975—Nov. 30.  Dec. 31.	i	61,014 64,606 77,210 101,367 103,889	55,506 55,493 69,330 86,765 88,797	5,508 9,113 7,880 14,602 15,092	23,171 22,076 25,760 35,323 35,894	8,906 6,372 6,664 9,278 9,405	5,290 5,189 5,479 5,604 5,546	2,868 3,023 3,141 4,477 4,420

NOTE.—Direct public issues only. Based on Treasury Survey of

Ownership.

Data complete for U.S. Govt. agencies and trust funds and F.R. Banks, but data for other groups include only holdings of those institutions that report. The following figures show, for each category, the number and proportion reporting: (1) 5,547 commercial banks, 471 mutual savings

banks, and 729 insurance companies combined, each about 90 per cent; (2) 459 nonfinancial corporations and 486 savings and loan assns., each about 50 per cent; and (3) 501 State and local govts., about 40 per cent. "All others," a residual, includes holdings of all those not reporting in the Treasury Survey, including investor groups not listed separately.

#### DAILY-AVERAGE DEALER TRANSACTIONS

(Par value, in millions of dollars)

				U.S. G	overnment	securities				
			By ma	iturity			By type of	customer		U.S. Govt.
Period	Total	Within 1 year	1-5 years	5-10 years	Over 10 years	U.S. Govt. securities dealers	U.S. Govt. securities brokers	Com- mercial banks	All other 1	agency securities
1974—Dec	4,111	3,126	550	369	67	671	1,196	1,120	1,124	1,087
1975—Jan	5,415 5,770 4,467 5,197 6,419 5,732 4,675 5,183 5,566 8,714 7,594 7,587	3,495 3,353 2,812 3,682 4,181 3,745 3,301 3,375 4,032 5,929 5,519 5,920	1,514 1,521 994 1,096 1,615 1,484 1,131 1,340 1,315 2,332 1,353 1,270	303 711 464 285 466 372 172 333 128 309 534 278	104 185 197 134 158 132 71 134 91 144 189	887 698 671 704 981 801 669 742 931 1,271 1,070 1,190	1,549 2,044 1,183 1,450 1,917 1,689 1,294 1,405 1,405 1,405 2,675 2,176 2,217	1,503 1,511 1,198 1,242 1,454 1,336 1,100 1,185 1,198 1,839 1,875 1,977	1,478 1,518 1,415 1,801 2,067 1,906 1,613 1,851 2,033 2,929 2,474 2,202	1,244 1,233 929 904 1,049 1,217 778 845 787 1,250 1,217 1,059
Week ending—										
1975—Dec. 3	5,977 6,196 8,722 7,513 8,371	4,691 4,945 7,267 5,480 6,299	930 893 1,015 1,584 1,754	273 292 265 292 225	83 66 174 158 93	994 962 1,587 1,064 1,169	1,543 1,847 2,728 2,135 2,378	1,628 1,517 2,126 2,075 2,317	1,812 1,871 2,280 2,239 2,507	855 794 913 1,539 1,033
1976—Jan. 7	10,345 10,889 9,133 7,919	8,034 8,250 6,269 5,794	1,918 1,988 1,953 1,426	263 579 776 605	130 132 136 95	1,285 1,512 1,081 1,192	3,745 3,626 2,981 2,350	2,463 2,542 2,097 1,674	2,853 3,209 2,973 2,704	1,268 1,879 1,574 1,048

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Since Jan. 1972 has included transactions of dealers and brokers in securities other than U.S. Govt.

Note.—The transactions data combine market purchases and sales of U.S. Govt. securities dealers reporting to the F.R. Bank of New York.

They do not include allotments of, and exchanges for, new U.S. Govt. securities, redemptions of called or matured securities, or purchases or sales of securities under repurchase agreement, reverse repurchase (resale), or similar contracts. Averages of daily figures based on the number of trading days in the period.

### **DAILY-AVERAGE DEALER POSITIONS**

(Par value, in millions of dollars)

	U.S. G	overnme	nt securi	ties, by n	naturity	U.S.
Period	All maturi- ties	Within I year	1-5 years	5-10 years	Over 10 years	Govt. agency securi- ties
1974—Dec	4,821	3,100	974	553	175	1,803
1975—Jan	4,634 5,588 5,737 4,453 6,332 6,768 5,736 5,501 5,718 7,322 6,752 6,061	2,689 3,658 3,435 3,123 4,917 5,923 4,978 4,491 5,214 6,019 5,011 5,274	1,236 1,180 1,486 1,036 1,094 748 775 609 410 1,091 640 322	600 536 618 218 248 100 47 262 56 111 594 218	113 213 198 77 73 -3 -64 138 39 102 506 247	1,578 1,469 1,444 937 896 790 626 610 529 498 953 984
Week ending-						
1975—Nov. 5	7,741 6,689 6,847 6,340	5,392 4,506 5,105 5,107	878 735 664 505	914 776 570 410	557 672 507 319	839 906 887 1,070
Dec. 3 10 17 24 31	6,181 5,689 6,700 5,964 5,785	5,225 5,101 6,256 4,992 4,635	372 94 71 521 666	320 231 134 212 245	263 264 240 240 239	1,060 912 842 1,049 1,125

Note.—The figures include all securities sold by dealers under repurchase contracts regardless of the maturity date of the contract, unless the contract is matched by a reverse repurchase (resale) agreement or delayed delivery sale with the same maturity and involving the same amount of securities. Included in the repurchase contracts are some that more clearly represent investments by the holders of the securities rather than dealer trading positions.

dealer trading positions,

Average of daily figures based on number of trading days in the period.

# DAILY-AVERAGE DEALER FINANCING

(In millions of dollars)

		Commerc	cial banks		
Period	All sources	New York City	Else- where	Corpora- tions 1	All other
1974—Dec	6,904	2,061	1,619	691	2,534
1975—Jan	6,185 6,295 6,881 5,696 6,656 7,682 6,594 6,167 6,576 6,576 7,215 7,107	1,455 1,672 1,879 1,655 1,684 1,955 1,365 1,009 1,160 1,658 1,958 2,001	1,277 1,077 1,650 1,326 1,567 1,979 1,435 1,148 1,640 1,792 1,393 1,304	864 714 838 583 452 737 929 1,120 972 817 991 1,086	2,590 2,832 2,513 2,132 2,953 3,012 2,865 2,890 2,804 2,673 2,873 2,716
Week ending-					
1975—Nov. 5 12 19 26	7,235 6,589 6,977 7,573	1,897 2,031 1,720 1,786	1,249 1,413 1,517 1,390	792 956 1,107 1,051	3,298 2,189 2,634 3,347
Dec. 3 10 17 24 31	7,824 7,163 7,931 6,695 6,423	2,462 1,976 2,148 1,986 1,802	1,380 1,277 1,707 1,113 1,073	982 1,161 1,226 1,091 954	3,001 2,749 2,851 2,506 2,594

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All business corporations, except commercial banks and insurance companies.

Note.—Averages of daily figures based on the number of calendar days in the period. Both bank and nonbank dealers are included. See also Note to the table on the left.

# OUTSTANDING ISSUES OF FEDERALLY SPONSORED CREDIT AGENCIES, DECEMBER 31, 1975

Agency, and date of issue and maturity	Cou- pon rate	Amount (millions of dollars)	Agency, and date of issue and maturity	Cou- pon rate	Amount (millions of dollars)	Agency, and date of issue and maturity	Cou- pon rate	Amount (millions of dollars)
Federal home loan banks Bonds: 6/21/74 - 2/25/76 8/25/71 - 2/25/76 8/27/73 - 2/25/76 8/26/74 - 2/25/76 6/22/73 - 5/25/76 11/27/73 - 5/25/76 11/27/73 - 8/25/76 9/25/74 - 8/25/76 10/25/74 - 11/26/76	8.70 7.38 8.75 9.20 7.20 7.45 7.80 9.55 8.60 9.55	400 300 300 600 600 300 500 700 600 500	Federal National Mortgage Association—Cont. Debentures: 3/11/71 - 3/10/76. 6/12/73 - 3/10/76. 6/10/71 - 6/10/76. 2/10/72 - 6/10/76. 11/10/71 - 9/10/76. 11/10/71 - 9/10/76. 6/12/72 - 9/10/76. 12/10/74 - 9/10/76. 7/12/71 - 12/10/76.	5.65 7.13 6.70 5.85 10.00 6.13 5.85 7.50 7.45	500 400 250 450 700 300 500 200 300	Banks for cooperatives Bonds: 7/1/75 = 1/5/76. 8/4/75 - 2/2/76. 9/2/75 - 3/1/76. 10/1/75 - 4/1/76. 11/3/75 - 5/3/76. 12/1/75 - 6/1/76. 10/1/73 - 4/4/77. 10/1/75 - 10/2/78. 12/2/74 - 10/1/79.	5.65 6.80 7.40 7.50 6.75 6.00 7.70 8.55 8.00	434 552 526 458 600 459 200 215 201
10/25/73 - 2/25/77 11/25/74 - 2/25/77 6/21/74 - 5/25/77 6/25/71 - 5/25/77 6/25/71 - 5/25/77 5/28/74 - 8/25/77 5/28/74 - 8/25/77 11/27/73 - 11/25/77 11/27/73 - 11/25/77 11/25/75 - 2/27/78 9/25/74 - 2/27/78 9/25/74 - 2/27/78 8/26/74 - 11/27/78 8/26/74 - 11/27/78 6/21/74 - 2/26/79 9/25/74 - 2/26/79 9/25/74 - 5/25/79 5/28/74 - 5/25/79 5/28/74 - 5/25/79 5/28/74 - 5/25/79 5/28/74 - 5/25/79	7.20 8.05 8.70 6.95 7.15 8.80 6.75 7.45 9.15 7.25 9.38 7.60 9.10 8.65 9.45 8.65 8.75	500 500 500 200 300 600 300 700 800 400 500 600 600 600 600 500 400 500	$ \begin{array}{c} 12/11/72 - 12/10/76. \\ 6/10/74 - 12/10/76. \\ 3/13/62 - 2/10/77. \\ 3/11/74 - 3/10/77. \\ 3/11/74 - 3/10/77. \\ 3/11/74 - 3/10/77. \\ 9/10/75 - 3/10/77. \\ 12/10/70 - 6/10/77. \\ 5/10/71 - 6/10/77. \\ 12/10/73 - 6/10/77. \\ 12/10/73 - 6/10/77. \\ 9/10/71 - 9/12/77. \\ 9/10/73 - 9/12/77. \\ 12/10/73 - 12/12/77. \\ 10/173 - 12/12/77. \\ 10/173 - 12/12/77. \\ 6/10/74 - 3/10/78. \\ 3/10/75 - 3/10/78. \\ 6/12/73 - 6/12/78. \\ 6/10/75 - 6/12/78. \\ \end{array} $	6.25 8.45 4.50 6.30 7.05 8.30 6.50 7.20 6.88 7.85 7.35 7.55 8.45 6.70 7.15 7.15	500 600 198 500 450 250 150 300 400 450 500 650 350 600 400	Federal intermediate credit banks  Bonds:	6.65 6.05 6.05 6.15 5.80 7.00 7.60 7.70 6.20 7.10 8.70 7.10	261 1,079 909 840 739 888 770 469 640 714 236 321 406 410
11/25/74 - 11/26/79 12/23/74 - 11/26/79 12/23/74 - 11/26/79 3/25/70 - 2/25/80 2/25/74 - 2/25/80 10/15/70 - 10/15/80 11/25/75 - 11/25/80 10/27/71 - 11/27/81 10/25/74 - 11/25/81 8/25/75 - 2/25/82 4/12/73 - 5/25/83 2/25/75 - 11/25/83 5/28/74 - 5/25/84 11/25/75 - 11/25/85 10/25/73 - 11/26/93 Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation	8.15 7.50 7.75 7.05 7.80 7.75 6.60 8.65 8.63	500 500 350 350 300 200 600 200 400 500 183 400 300 400	3/11/74 - 9/11/78 10/12/71 - 12/11/78 7/10/74 - 12/11/78 12/10/73 - 3/12/79 9/10/73 - 6/11/79 9/10/74 - 6/11/79 12/10/74 - 9/10/79 12/10/74 - 9/10/79 12/10/75 - 10/10/79 12/10/71 - 12/10/79 12/10/75 - 12/10/79 2/10/75 - 12/10/79 2/10/75 - 3/10/80 3/10/75 - 3/10/80 4/1/75 - 4/10/80 6/10/74 - 6/10/80 2/16/73 - 7/31/80 10/1/73 - 9/10/80	7.15 6.75 8.95 7.25 7.85 9.80 6.40 7.80 8.50 6.55 7.75 6.88 7.25 7.63 8.50 5.19	550 300 450 500 300 600 300 700 400 350 650 250 750 300 600	7/1/75 - 1/2/80  Federal land banks  Bonds:  4/20/72 - 1/20/76.  7/22/74 - 1/20/76.  2/21/66 - 2/24/76.  4/22/73 - 4/20/76.  4/22/74 - 4/20/76.  1/21/74 - 4/20/76.  4/23/73 - 10/20/76.  4/23/73 - 10/20/76.  4/21/75 - 1/20/76.  4/21/75 - 1/20/76.  4/22/74 - 4/20/77.	6.25 9.20 5.00 6.25 8.25 5.38 7.05 7.15 7.45 7.20 8.25	300 650 123 373 400 150 360 450 750 650 565
Bonds: 5/29/73 - 8/25/76 5/11/72 - 2/25/77 11/19/70 - 11/27/95 7/15/71 - 8/26/96 5/11/72 - 5/26/97 Certificates: 2/25/75 - 3/15/05 11/25/75 - 9/15/05 Federal National Mortgage Association— Secondary market operations Discount notes Capital debentures: 9/30/71 - 10/1/96 10/2/72 - 10/1/97	7.05 6.15 8.60 7.75 7.15 8.20 8.75	400 350 140 150 150 300 200 2,168 248 250	2(10)/73 - 9/10/80. 9/10/75 - 9/10/80. 1/16/73 - 10/30/80. 12/11/72 - 12/10/80. 12/11/72 - 1/20/80. 12/10/75 - 12/10/80. 6/29/72 - 1/29/81. 3/12/73 - 3/10/81. 3/21/73 - 5/1/81. 3/21/73 - 5/1/81. 1/21/71 - 6/10/81. 9/10/74 - 9/10/81. 9/10/74 - 9/10/81. 3/11/74 - 1/21/0/81. 1/10/74 - 3/10/82. 6/28/72 - 5/1/82. 2/10/71 - 9/10/82.	7.50 8.75 4.46 6.60 8.00 6.15 7.05 6.59 4.50 5.77 7.25 9.70 7.30 8.88 5.84 6.65	400 650 5 300 650 156 350 26 18 1 250 250 300 250 300 58 250	7/20/73 – 7/20/77. 10/20/71 – 10/20/77. 10/21/74 – 1/23/78. 2/20/63 – 2/20/73–78. 5/21/66 – 4/20/78. 1/20/75 – 4/20/78. 7/20/72 – 7/20/78. 10/23/73 – 10/19/78. 10/23/73 – 10/19/78. 2/20/67 – 1/22/79. 1/21/74 – 1/22/79. 1/21/74 – 1/22/79. 1/21/74 – 1/23/79. 10/20/75 – 4/23/79. 2/20/74 – 7/23/79. 10/23/72 – 10/23/79. 10/22/73 – 1/21/80. 7/20/73 – 7/21/80. 10/21/74 – 10/20/80.	7.50 6.35 8.70 4.13 7.60 6.40 9.15 5.00 7.10 6.85 7.15 6.80 6.70 7.50	550 300 546 148 150 713 269 350 285 300 285 300 235 650 389 400 300 250 400
Mortgage-backed bonds; 3/14/73 - 1/15/81 3/14/73 - 1/15/81 6/21/73 - 7/1/82 6/21/73 - 7/1/82 3/1/73 - 8/31/84 3/1/73 - 8/31/84 3/1/73 - 3/1/86 9/29/70 - 10/1/90	3.58 5.48 5.85 5.92 5.50 5.49 5.74	53 4 71 35 10 21 80 200	9/11/72 - 9/10/82 10/10/75 - 10/11/82 12/10/73 - 12/10/82 3/11/71 - 6/10/83 6/12/73 - 6/10/83 11/10/71 - 9/12/83 6/10/75 - 12/12/83 12/10/75 - 12/12/83 4/12/71 - 6/11/84 7/10/75 - 7/10/84 12/10/74 - 12/10/84 3/10/75 - 3/11/85 3/10/75 - 3/11/85 3/10/72 - 3/10/92 6/12/72 - 6/10/92 12/11/72 - 12/10/97-82	6.80 8.60 7.35	200 300 300 200 300 250 300 250 300 300 300 250 500 200 200 200	2/23/71 - 4/20/81 7/22/74 - 7/20/81 1/20/75 - 1/20/82 4/20/72 - 4/20/82 4/20/75 - 4/20/82 4/23/73 - 10/20/82 7/21/75 - 1/20/83 10/23/73 - 10/20/83 6/23/75 - 7/22/85 10/20/75 - 10/21/85	6.70 9.10 7.80 6.90 8.15 7.30 8.20	224 265 400 200 300 239 464 300 391 435

Note.—These securities are not guaranteed by the U.S. Govt.; see also note to table at top of p. A-38.

# A 38

# MAJOR BALANCE SHEET ITEMS OF SELECTED FEDERALLY SPONSORED CREDIT AGENCIES

(In millions of dollars)

	Federal home loan bank				nks			National ge Assn.	Ba	nks	Federal		Federal	
End of		Assets		Liabil	ities and	capital	(secondar	ry market tions)		or	interm credit	ediate	la	nd nks
period	Ad- vances to mem- bers	Invest- ments	Cash and de- posits	Bonds and notes	Mem- ber de- posits	Capital Stock	Mort- gage loans (A)	Debentures and notes (L)	Loans to cooper- atives (A)	Bonds (L)	Loans and dis- counts (A)	Bonds (L)	Mort- gage loans (A)	Bonds (L)
1970 1971 1972 1973	10,614 7,936 7,979 15,147	3,864 2,520 2,225 3,537	105 142 129 157	10,183 7,139 6,971 15,362	2,332 1,789 1,548 1,745	1,607 1,618 1,756 2,122	15,502 17,791 19,791 24,175	15,206 17,701 19,238 23,001	2,030 2,076 2,298 2,577	1,755 1,801 1,944 2,670	4,974 5,669 6,094 7,198	4,799 5,503 5,804 6,861	7,186 7,917 9,107 11,071	6,395 7,063 8,012 9,838
1974—Dec	21,804	3,094	144	21,878	2,484	2,624	29,709	28,201	3,575	3,561	8,848	8,400	13,643	12,427
1975—Jan Feb Mar Apr May June July Aug Sept Oct Nov Dec.**	20,728 19,460 18,164 17,528 17,145 16,803 16,685 16,945 17,482 17,578 17,606 17,845	4,467 4,838 6,415 6,836 5,745 6,259 6,174 4,680 4,247 4,368 4,439 4,376	113 99 154 98 98 134 119 89 114 70 87	21,778 20,822 20,754 20,738 19,463 19,396 19,446 18,736 18,720 18,766 18,874 18,873	2,612 2,819 3,025 2,651 2,708 2,831 2,436 2,281 2,275 2,291 2,527 2,701	2,699 2,698 2,677 2,660 2,656 2,656 2,656 2,660 2,679 2,685 2,690 2,705	29,797 29,846 29,870 29,931 29,977 30,136 30,453 30,881 31,157 31,466 31,647 31,916	28,030 27,730 28,420 28,257 27,714 28,237 28,419 28,718 28,933 29,373 29,963	3,910 3,821 3,741 3,650 3,499 3,371 3,520 3,738 3,847 4,087 4,041 3,979	3,653 3,592 3,439 3,329 2,982 2,948 2,914 3,004 3,109 3,453 3,664 3,643	8,888 9,031 9,303 9,520 9,763 10,031 10,163 10,176 10,100 9,933 8,784 9,947	8,419 8,484 8,703 9,061 9,231 9,357 9,556 9,715 9,657 9,657 9,505 9,319 9,211	14,086 14,326 14,641 14,917 15,180 15,437 15,654 15,851 16,044 16,247 16,380 16,564	13,020 13,021 13,021 13,571 13,571 13,571 14,351 14,351 14,351 14,774 14,774

Note.—Data from Federal Home Loan Bank Board, Federal National Mortgage Assn., and Farm Credit Admin. Among omitted balance sheet items are capital accounts of all agencies, except for stock of FHLB's. Bonds, debentures, and notes are valued at par. They include only publicly

offered securities (excluding, for FHLB's, bonds held within the FHLB System) and are not guaranteed by the U.S. Govt.; for a listing of these securities, see table on preceding page. Loans are gross of valuation reserves and represent cost for FNMA and unpaid principal for other agencies.

# **NEW ISSUES OF STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT SECURITIES**

(In millions of dollars)

		A	Il issues	(new cap	ital and	refundin	ıg)					Issues f	or new c	apital		
Period			Туре	of issue		Ту	pe of iss	uer	Total amount			1	Use of p	oceeds		
	Total	Gener- al obli- gations	Reve- nue	HAA1	U.S. Govt. loans	State	Special district and stat. auth.	Other <sup>2</sup>	deliv- ered 3	Total	Edu- cation	Roads and bridges	Util- ities4	Hous- ing5	Veter- ans' aid	Other pur- poses
1971 1972 1973 1974	24,963 23,653 23,969 24,315 30,607	13,305 12,257 13,563	9,332 10,632 10,212	1,022	62 57 58 79 76	5,999 4,991 4,212 4,784 7,438	9,496 9,505 8,638	9,165 10,249 10,817		24,495 19,959 22,397 23,508 29,495	4,981 4,311 4,730	1,689 1,458 768	4,638	1,910 2,639 1,064		6,741
1974—Nov Dec	2,487 1,500	1,110 761	1,374 717		3 22	689 222	1,005 558	789 700		2,403 1,475	698 297	4 64	866 424	9 53		826 637
1975—Jan Feb Mar Apr May June July Aug Sept Oct. 7 Nov. 7. Dec	2,367 2,392 2,137 2,413 2,905 3,066 3,586 2,786 2,171 2,337 2,385 2,062	1,058 907 1,120	664 851 905 1,015 1,292 2,209 1,725 1,252		6 55,2 7 7 5 2 6 3 12 14 4 10	372 877 376 368 811 938 1,577 376 357 482 470 434	880 1,197 1,137 1,063 1,665 1,185 979 1,244	880 1,048 1,161 889 989 941 747 614 855		2,332 2,353 2,083 2,316 2,784 2,840 3,554 2,561 2,123 2,241 2,318 1,990	419 430 400 379 279 212	209 94 61 211 164	644 425 474 734 559 821 879 626 447 487 618 495	105 35 38 25		757 1,136 1,009 1,078 1,570 1,397 2,115 1,434 1,215 1,438 1,365 1,159

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Only bonds sold pursuant to 1949 Housing Act, which are secured by contract requiring the Housing Assistance Administration to make annual contributions to the local authority.

<sup>2</sup> Municipalities, counties, townships, school districts.

<sup>3</sup> Excludes U.S. Govt. loans. Based on date of delivery to purchaser and payment to issuer, which occurs after date of sale.

<sup>4</sup> Water, sewer, and other utilities.
5 Includes urban redevelopment loans.

Note.—Security Industries Assn. data; par amounts of long-term issues based on date of sale unless otherwise indicated.

Components may not add to totals due to rounding.

# **TOTAL NEW ISSUES**

(In millions of dollars)

					Gross p	roceeds, all	issues 1				
			Nonco	rporate				Co	rporate		
Period	Total	*** 0	U.S.	State				Bonds		Ste	ock
		U.S. Govt. <sup>2</sup>	Govt. agency <sup>3</sup>	and local (U.S.)4	Other5	Total	Total	Publicly offered	Privately placed	Preferred	Common
1971 1972 1973 1974	96,522 100,417	17,235 17,080 19,057	16,283 12,825 23,883	24,370 23,070 22,700	2,165 1,589 1,385	44,914 40,787 33,391 37,837	31,999 27,727 22,268 31,551	24,790 18,347 13,649 25,337	7,209 9,378 8,620 6,214	3,679 3,373 3,372 2,253	9,236 9,689 7,750 4,033
						4,609 3,746 3,505	3,778 3,346 3,052	3,423 3,016 2,172	355 330 880	196 93 152	635 307 301
Mar Apr May June July Aug Sept						5,364 4,528 5,378 4,293 5,628 5,618 4,388 2,399 2,830 4,573	4,791 3,906 4,481 3,193 4,298 4,613 3,731 1,836 1,994 3,026	3,657 3,201 3,971 2,771 3,796 3,943 2,658 1,356 1,414 2,389	1,134 705 510 422 502 670 1,073 480 580 637	235 173 253 349 346 230 198 129 308 332	338 449 644 751 984 775 459 434 528 1,215

				Gross	proceeds	, major gr	oups of co	rporate is	suers			
Period	Manufa	ecturing		rcial and aneous	Transp	ortation	Public	utility	Commu	ınication		estate nancial
	Bonds	Stocks	Bonds	Stocks	Bonds	Stocks	Bonds	Stocks	Bonds	Stocks	Bonds	Stocks
1971	4,796 4,329	2,102 1,812 643 543	2,158 2,669 1,283 1,851	2,370 2,878 1,559 956	2,006 1,767 1,881 983	434 187 43 22	7,576 6,398 5,585 8,872	4,201 4,967 4,661 3,964	4,222 3,680 3,535 3,710	1,596 1,127 1,369 222	6,484 8,415 5,661 6,241	2,204 2,096 2,860 587
1974—Oct	1,697	3 2 196	102 116 180	29 100 23	306 336 14		1,414 739 435	695 225 194	439 62 150	36 31 25	791 397 817	69 44 15
1975—Jan	1,631 2,368 1,498 2,266 2,195 1,116 610	3 44 111 233 214 123 64 101 106 142	179 65 271 293 242 384 229 141 57 321	58 60 74 211 141 194 231 70 37 152	84 75 83 97 415 231 338 17 151 625	1	764 1,471 828 794 845 838 713 719 720 550	507 486 679 586 704 640 324 305 541 676	933 126 317 354 153 362 254 93 249 371	61 260 16 19 48 555	931 539 614 156 379 603 1,081 255 234 427	32 34 9 10 47 22 68 105 23

Gross proceeds are derived by multiplying principal amounts or number of units by offering price.
 Includes guaranteed issues.
 Issues not guaranteed.
 See Note to table at bottom of opposite page.

Note.—Securities and Exchange Commission estimates of new issues maturing in more than 1 year sold for cash in the United States.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Foreign governments and their instrumentalities, International Bank for Reconstruction and Development, and domestic nonprofit organizations.

# **NET CHANGE IN OUTSTANDING CORPORATE SECURITIES**

(In millions of dollars)

				Derivation	on of change, a	ll issuers 1			
Period		All securities		I	Bonds and note	s	Commo	on and preferre	d stocks
	New issues	Retirements	Net change	New issues	Retirements	Net change	New issues	Retirements	Net change
1971	46,687 42,306 33,559 39,334	9,507 10,224 11,804 9,935	37,180 32,082 21,754 29,399	31,917 27,065 21,501 31,554	8,190 8,003 8,810 6,255	23,728 19,062 12,691 25,098	14,769 15,242 12,057 7,980	1,318 2,222 2,993 3,678	13,452 13,018 9,064 4,302
1974—III IV	8,452 12,272	2,985 2,871	5,467 9,401	6,611 10,086	1,225 2,004	5,386 8,082	1,841 2,186	1,759 866	82 1,319
1975—I II	15,211 15,602 9,079	2,088 3,211 2,576	13,123 12,390 6,503	12,759 11,460 6,654	1,587 2,336 2,111	11,172 9,124 4,543	2,452 4,142 2,425	501 875 465	1,951 3,266 1,960

						Type o	f issues					
Period		inu- aring		nercial ther <sup>2</sup>	Tran tatio	spor- on <sup>3</sup>		blic ility		muni- ion	Real and fin	
1971	Bonds and notes	Stocks	Bonds and notes	Stocks	Bonds and notes	Stocks	Bonds and notes	Stocks	Bonds and notes	Stocks	Bonds and notes	Stocks
1971	6,585	2,534	827	2,290	900	800	6,486	4,206	3,925	1,600	5,005	2,017
1972	1,995	2,094	1,409	2,471	711	254	5,137	4,844	3,343	1,260	7,045	2,096
1973	801	658	-109	1,411	1,044	-93	4,265	4,509	3,165	1,399	3,523	1,181
1974	7,404	17	1,116	-135	341	-20	7,308	3,834	3,499	398	5,428	207
1974—IIIIV	1,479	-421	189	-664	49	-6	1,358	862	1,116	222	1,194	88
	3,098	126	240	-47	342	9	2,079	1,107	628	107	1,695	17
1975—I	5,134	262	373	77	1	1	2,653	1,569	1,269	24	1,742	18
II	4,574	500	483	490	429	7	1,977	1,866	810	359	852	43
III	1,442	412	221	108	147	53	1,395	1,043	472	97	866	247

<sup>1</sup> Excludes investment companies.

Note.—Securities and Exchange Commission estimates of cash transactions only. As contrasted with data shown on preceding page, new issues

exclude foreign sales and include sales of securities held by affiliated companies, special offerings to employees, and also new stock issues and cash proceeds connected with conversions of bonds into stocks. Retirements are defined in the same way and also include securities retired with internal funds or with proceeds of issues for that purpose.

# **OPEN-END INVESTMENT COMPANIES**

(In millions of dollars)

Year		and redem f own share			ts (market end of peri		Month		and redem f own share			ts (market end of perio	
	Sales 1	Redemp- tions	Net sales	Total 2	Cash position 3		Sales 1	Redemp- tions	Net sales	Total 2	Cash position 3	Other	
1963	2,460 3,404 4,359 4,671 4,670 6,820 6,717 4,624 5,145 4,892 4,358 5,346	1,504 1,875 1,962 2,005 2,745 3,841 3,661 2,987 4,751 6,563 5,651 3,937 9,571	952 1,528 2,395 2,665 1,927 2,979 3,056 1,637 394 -1,671 -1,261 1,409	29,116 35,220 34,829 44,701 52,677 48,291 47,618 55,045 59,831 46,518 35,777	1,341 1,329 1,803 2,971 2,566 3,187 3,846 3,649 3,038 3,035 4,002 5,637 3,748	23,873 27,787 33,417 31,858 42,135 49,490 44,445 43,969 52,007 56,796 42,516 30,140 38,431	1974—Dec  1975—Jan Feb Mar Apr May June July Aug Sept Oct Nov. f. Dec	1,067 889 847 808 677 703 763 763 769 914 786 1,040	411 428 470 623 791 735 811 981 788 874 995 911 1,093	325 639 419 224 17 -58 -108 -239 -35 -114 -81 -125 -53	35,777 37,407 39,330 40,449 42,353 43,832 45,538 42,896 41,672 40,234 41,860 42,460 42,179	5,637 3,889 4,006 3,870 3,841 3,879 3,640 3,591 3,660 3,664 3,601 3,733 3,748	30,140 33,518 35,324 36,579 38,512 39,953 41,898 39,305 38,012 36,570 38,259 38,727 38,431

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Includes contractual and regular single-purchase sales, voluntary and contractual accumulation plan sales, and reinvestment of investment income dividends; excludes reinvestment of realized capital gains dividends.

<sup>2</sup> Market value at end of period less current liabilities.

<sup>3</sup> Cash and deposits, receivables, all U.S. Govt. securities, and other short-term debt securities, less current liabilities.

Note.—Investment Company Institute data based on reports of members, which comprise substantially all open-end investment companies registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Data reflect newly formed companies after their initial offering of securities.

Extractive and commercial and miscellaneous companies.
 Railroad and other transportation companies.

# CORPORATE PROFITS, TAXES, AND DIVIDENDS

(In billions of dollars)

Year	Profits before taxes	In- come taxes	Profits after taxes	Cash divi- dends	Undis- tributed profits	Corporate capital consump- tion allow- ances 1	Quarter	Profits before taxes	In- come taxes	Profits after taxes	Cash divi- dends	Undis- tributed profits	Corporate capital consump- tion allow- ances 1
1968 r	85.6 83.5 71.5 82.0 96.2 117.0 132.1	39.3 39.7 34.5 37.7 41.5 48.3 52.6	46.2 43.8 37.0 44.3 54.7 68.7 79.5	21.9 22.6 22.9 23.0 24.6 27.8 31.1	24.2 21.2 14.1 21.3 30.0 40.7 48.4	44.4 49.4 55.1 60.6 65.3 71.8 82.0	1973—IV 1974—I III IV 1975—I III	119.1 128.3 129.6 146.7 123.9 97.1 108.2 129.5	48.6 49.4 52.6 59.3 49.2 37.2 41.2 50.4	70.5 78.9 77.1 87.4 74.7 59.9 66.9 79.1	29.5 30.0 30.9 31.7 31.7 32.1 32.6 33.5	40.9 48.9 46.2 55.7 43.0 27.8 34.3 45.6	75.6 77.5 80.1 83.4 87.2 89.1 91.6 95.5

<sup>1</sup> Includes depreciation, capital outlays charged to current accounts, and accidental damages.

NOTE.—Dept. of Commerce estimates. Quarterly data are at seasonally adjusted annual rates.

# **CURRENT ASSETS AND LIABILITIES OF NONFINANCIAL CORPORATIONS**

(In billions of dollars)

				C	urrent ass	ets				Cur	rent liabi	ities	11.0
End of period	Net working capital	Tetal	Cook	U.S. Govt.		nd accts.	Inven-	Othor	Total		nd accts. able	Accrued Federal	Other
1970		Total	Cash	securi- ties	U.S. Govt. 1	Other	tories	Other	Total	U.S. Govt. <sup>1</sup>	Other	income taxes	Other
1970	187.4	492.3	50.2	7.7	4.2	201.9	193.3	35.0	304.9	6.6	204.7	10.0	83.6
1971	203.6	529.6	53.3	11.0	3.5	217.6	200.4	43.8	326.0	4.9	215.6	13.1	92.4
1972	221.3	573.5	57.5	9.3	3.4	240.0	215.2	48.1	352.2	4.0	230.4	15.1	102.6
1973—II	235.4	608.2	59.0	10.0	2.9	255.4	230.1	50.8	372.7	4.5	241.7	15.0	111.6
	239.5	625.3	58.9	9.7	3.0	264.4	238.0	51.3	385.8	4.4	250.2	16.5	114.7
	242.3	643.2	61.6	11.0	3.5	266.1	246.7	54.4	401.0	4.3	261.6	18.1	117.0
1974—I	250.1	666.2	59.4	12.1	3.2	276.2	258.4	56.9	416.1	4.5	266.5	20.6	124.5
	253.9	685.4	58.8	10.7	3.4	289.8	269.2	53.5	431.5	4.7	278.5	19.0	129.1
	259.5	708.6	60.3	11.0	3.5	295.5	282.1	56.1	449.1	5.1	287.0	22.7	134.3
	261.5	712.2	62.7	11.7	3.5	289.7	288.0	56.6	450.6	5.2	287.5	23.2	134.8
1975—I	260.4	698.4	60.6	12.1	3.2	281.9	285.2	55.4	438.0	5.3	271.2	21.8	139.8
	269.0	703.2	63.7	12.7	3.3	284.8	281.4	57.3	434.2	5.8	270.1	17.7	140.6
	271.8	716.5	65.6	14.3	3.3	294.7	279.6	59.0	444.7	6.2	273.4	19.4	145.6

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 1}$  Receivables from, and payables to, the U.S. Govt. exclude amounts offset against each other on corporations' books.

NOTE.—Based on Securities and Exchange Commission estimates.

# BUSINESS EXPENDITURES ON NEW PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

(In billions of dollars)

		Manufa	cturing		Tı	ansportatio	on	Public	utilities	G.		Total
Period	Total	Durable	Non- durable	Mining	Rail- road	Air	Other	Electric	Gas and other	Commu- nications	Other 1	(S.A. A.R.)
1971 1972 1973 1974	81.21 88.44 99.74 112.40	14.15 15.64 19.25 22.62	15.84 15.72 18.76 23.39	2.16 2.45 2.74 3.18	1.67 1.80 1.96 2.54	1.88 2.46 2.41 2.00	1.38 1.46 1.66 2.12	12.86 14.48 15.94 17.63	2.44 2.52 2.76 2.92	10.77 11.89 12.85 13.96	18.05 20.07 21.40 22.05	
1973—IV	28.48	5.84	5.59	.71	.56	.60	.47	4.54	.82	3.53	5.83	103.74
1974—I II III IV	28.16	4.74 5.59 5.65 6.64	4.75 5.69 5.96 6.99	.68 .78 .80	.50 .64 .64 .78	.47 .61 .43 .48	.34 .49 .58 .71	3.85 4.56 4.42 4.80	.52 .75 .78 .87	3.19 3.60 3.39 3.78	5.05 5.46 5.57 5.97	107.27 111.40 113.99 116.22
1975—I II III IV	28.43 27.79	5.10 5.59 5.16 6.20	5.74 6.55 6.51 7.46	.91 .97 .94 1.00	.59 .71 .62 .61	.44 .47 .50 .43	.62 .77 .85 .65	3.84 4.15 4.16 4.88	. 58 . 79 . 91 1 . 00	3.11 3.22 3.14 9.	4.88 5.19 5.00	114.57 112.46 112.16 114.80
1976—I <sup>2</sup>	26.54	4.94	6.04	.96	.60	. 29	.65	4.46	.69	7.	90	118.16

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Includes trade, service construction, finance, and insurance. <sup>2</sup> Anticipated by business.

Note.—Dept. of Commerce estimates for corporate and noncorporate business; excludes agriculture, real estate operators, medical, legal, educational, and cultural service, and nonprofit organizations.

#### MORTGAGE DEBT OUTSTANDING BY TYPE OF HOLDER

(In millions of dollars)

		End of year			]	End of quarte	г	
Type of holder, and type of property	1971	1972	1973	19	974		1975	
				III <sup>†</sup>	IV <sup>r</sup>	I'	II r	III
ALL HOLDERS.  1- to 4-family.  Multifamily.  Commercial.  Farm	499,758	564,825	634,954	7678,622	7688,654	7695,354	7709,555	7725,396
	307,241	345,349	384,613	7407,421	7411,520	7414,663	7424,197	7434,976
	67,341	76,690	85,421	790,007	791,872	792,451	793,070	794,254
	92,318	107,349	125,572	138,002	140,965	142,701	145,353	7148,182
	32,858	35,437	39,348	43,192	44,297	45,539	46,935	747,984
PRIVATE FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS 1- to 4-family. Multifamily. Commercial. Farm.	394,239	450,000	505,400	537,430	542,552	546,689	558,179	7569,499
	253,581	288,018	320,420	338,166	340,007	342,313	350,198	7358,275
	52,472	59,398	64,750	67,486	68,161	68,095	68,453	768,931
	78,330	92,063	108,735	119,465	121,948	123,684	126,634	7129,263
	9,856	10,521	11,495	12,313	12,436	12,597	12,894	713,030
Commercial banks <sup>1</sup> 1- to 4-family.  Multifamily.  Commercial.  Farm.	82,515	99,314	119,068	130,582	132,105	131,903	133,012	134,025
	48,020	57,004	67,998	73,987	74,758	74,696	75,356	75,979
	3,984	5,778	6,932	7,496	7,619	7,176	6,816	6,701
	26,306	31,751	38,696	43,092	43,679	43,924	44,598	45,032
	4,205	4,781	5,442	6,007	6,049	6,107	6,242	6,313
Mutual savings banks.  1- to 4-family.  Multifamily.  Commercial.  Farm.	61,978	67,556	73,230	74,809	74,920	75,157	75,796	76,429
	38,641	41,650	44,246	44,604	44,670	44,795	45,175	45,552
	14,386	15,490	16,843	17,208	17,234	17,291	17,433	17,579
	8,901	10,354	12,084	12,938	12,956	12,996	13,112	13,221
	50	62	57	59	60	75	76	77
Savings and loan associations	174,250	206,182	231,733	247,612	249,293	252,442	261,336	7270,600
	142,275	167,049	187,750	200,343	201,553	204,099	211,290	7218,780
	17,355	20,783	22,524	23,573	23,683	23,831	24,409	724,895
	14,620	18,350	21,459	23,696	24,057	24,512	25,637	726,925
Life insurance companies  1- to 4-family.  Multifamily.  Commercial.  Farm.	75,496	76,948	81,369	84,427	86,234	87, 187	88,035	788,445
	24,645	22,315	20,426	19,232	19,026	18,723	18,377	717,964
	16,747	17,347	18,451	19,209	19,625	19,797	19,795	719,756
	28,503	31,608	36,496	39,739	41,256	42,252	43,287	744,085
	5,601	5,678	5,996	6,247	6,327	6,415	6,576	76,640
FEDERAL AND RELATED AGENCIES  I- to 4-family.  Multifamily.  Commercial.  Farm.	39,357 26,453 4,555 11 8,338	45,790 30,147 6,086	55,664 35,454 8,489	767,852 743,116 710,739	772,382 745,674 711,979	775,995 747,511 712,924	779,954 750,260 713,285	r84,525 r53,162 r14,291
Government National Mortgage Association 1- to 4-family	5,323 2,770 2,542 11	5,113 2,490 2,623	4,029 1,330 2,699	4,052 1,337 2,715	4,848 1,600 3,248	5,584 1,843 3,741	5,612 1,852 3,760	6,537 2,157 4,380
Farmers Home Administration 1- to 4-family	819 398 421	837 387 450	1,200 550 650	1,500 688 812	1,600 734 866	1,700 780 920	1,800 826 974	1,900 872 1,028
Federal Housing and Veterans Administra- tions . 1- to 4-family . Multifamily	3,389 2,517 872	3,338 2,199 1,139	3,476 2,013 1,463	r3,788 r1,965 r1,823	74,015 72,009 72,006	r4,047 r1,879 r2,168	r4,297 r1,915 r2,382	74,681 71,951 72,730
Federal National Mortgage Association  1- to 4-family	17,791	19,791	24,175	28,641	29,578	29,754	30,015	31,055
	16,681	17,697	20,370	23,258	23,778	23,743	23,988	25,049
	1,110	2,094	3,805	5,383	5,800	6,011	6,027	6,006
Federal land banks (farm only)	7,917	9,107	11,071	13,185	13,863	14,640	15,435	16,044
Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation. 1- to 4-family Multifamily	964	1,789	2,604	3,713	4,586	4,608	4,944	5,033
	934	1,754	2,446	3,414	4,217	4,231	4,543	4,632
	30	35	158	299	369	377	401	401
GNMA Pools	3,154	5,815	9,109	12,973	13,892	15,662	17,851	19,275
	3,153	5,620	8,745	12,454	13,336	15,035	17,136	18,501
	1	195	364	519	556	627	715	774
INDIVIDUALS AND OTHERS <sup>2</sup> 1- to 4-family	66,162	69,035	73,890	73,340	73,720	772,670	771,422	771,372
	27,207	27,184	28,739	26,139	25,839	24,839	23,739	723,539
	10,314	11,206	12,182	11,782	11,732	711,432	711,332	711,032
	13,977	15,286	16,837	18,537	19,017	19,017	18,719	718,919
	14,664	15,359	16,132	16,882	17,132	17,382	17,632	17,882

<sup>1</sup> Includes loans held by nondeposit trust companies but not bank trust

departments.

<sup>2</sup> Includes some U.S. agencies for which amounts are small or separate data are not readily available.

Note.—Based on data from various institutional and Govt. sources, with some quarters estimated in part by Federal Reserve in conjunction with the Federal Home Loan Bank Board and the Dept. of Commerce. Separation of nonfarm mortgage debt by type of property, where not reported directly, and interpolations and extrapolations where required, estimated mainly by Federal Reserve. Multifamily debt refers to loans on structures of 5 more units.

### FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION AND FEDERAL HOME LOAN MORTGAGE CORPORATION— SECONDARY MORTGAGE MARKET ACTIVITY

(In millions of dollars)

				FNMA							FHLMC			
End of period		Mortgage holdings			tgage ections period)	Mor commi	tgage tments		Mortgage holdings		Mort transa (during		Mort commi	
1971	Total <sup>1</sup>	FHA- in- sured	VA- guar- anteed	Pur- chases	Sales	Made during period	Out- stand- ing	Total	FHA- VA	Con- ven- tional	Pur- chases	Sales	Made during period	Out- stand- ing
1971 1972 1973 1974	17,791 19,791 24,175 29,578	12,681 14,624 16,852 19,189	5,110 5,112 6,352 8,310	3,574 3,699 6,127 6,953	336 211 71 5	9,828 8,797 8,914 10,765	6,497 8,124 7,889 7,960	968 1,789 2,604 4,586	821 1,503 1,743 1,904	147 286 861 2,682	778 1,298 1,334 2,191	64 408 409 52	1,606 1,629 4,553	182 198 186 2,390
1974—Dec  1975—Jan Feb Mar Apr May June July Aug Sept Oct Nov Dec	29,578 29,670 29,718 29,754 29,815 29,858 30,015 30,351 30,777 31,055 31,373 31,552 31,824	19,189 19,231 19,256 19,277 19,282 19,251 19,282 19,385 19,507 19,560 19,641 19,648 19,732	8,318 8,318 8,313 8,304 8,337 8,395 8,498 8,693 8,942 9,122 9,309 9,430 9,573	278 208 169 151 211 247 326 538 594 488 508 372 451	i	231 146 137 639 913 621 557 814 575 282 332 517	7,960 7,285 6,672 6,636 6,890 6,615 6,549 6,119 5,888 5,399 4,685 4,385 4,126	4,586 4,744 4,533 4,608 4,634 4,773 4,944 5,015 4,942 5,033 5,119 4,971	1,904 1,900 1,893 1,887 1,890 1,920 1,936 1,943 1,863 1,852 1,843 1,834	2,682 2,845 2,640 2,722 2,744 2,854 3,008 3,072 3,080 3,181 3,276 3,137	266 199 113 113 121 203 210 161 98 148 176 104	26 309 19 71 38 5 63 145 31 59 225	34 26 21 52 297 42 28 139 132 79 45 50	2,390 2,190 2,070 1,040 1,161 969 700 530 509 403 201 124

For FHLMC: Holdings and transactions cover participations as well as whole loans. Holdings include loans used to back bond issues guranteed by GNMA. Commitments cover the conventional and Govt.-underwritten loan programs.

#### TERMS AND YIELDS ON NEW HOME MORTGAGES

				Convention	al mortgages				
			Ter	rms 1				er cent) in market	FHA- insured loans-Yield
972	Contract rate (per cent)	Fees and charges (per cent) <sup>2</sup>	Maturity (years)	Loan/price ratio (per cent)	Purchase price (thous. of dollars)	Loan amount (thous, of dollars)	FHLBB series 3	HUD series 4	in private secondary market <sup>5</sup>
1971 1972 1973	7.60 7.45 7.78 8.71	.87 .88 1.11 1.30	26.2 27.2 26.3 26.3	74.3 76.8 77.3 75.8	36.3 37.3 37.1 40.1	26.5 28.1 28.1 29.8	7.74 7.60 7.95 8.92	7.75 7.64 8.30 9.22	7.70 7.53 8.19 9.55
1974—Dec	9.13	1.44	27.5	75.5	42.4	31.3	9.37	9.45	9.51
1975—Jan	9.09 8.88 8.79 8.71 8.63 8.73 8.66 8.63 8.70 8.75 8.75	1.51 1.44 1.61 1.53 1.63 1.42 1.40 1.56 1.56 1.55	26.7 26.8 26.5 26.5 27.0 26.5 26.0 26.7 26.7 27.3 27.3	73.8 76.5 75.1 76.4 75.5 76.4 75.9 77.0 75.9 77.5 76.5 76.8	43.2 44.4 45.9 44.5 43.5 43.1 44.1 45.6 45.6 43.9 46.4	31.6 33.0 33.7 33.4 32.2 32.4 32.9 33.7 34.1 33.2 34.8	9.33 9.12 9.06 8.96 8.90 8.89 8.89 8.89 8.94 9.01 9.01	9.15 9.05 8.90 9.00 9.05 9.00 9.15 9.25 9.25 9.25 9.25	8.99 8.84 8.69 

Weighted averages based on probability sample survey of characteristics of mortgages originated by major institutional lender groups (including mortgage companies) for purchase of single-family homes, as compiled by Federal Home Loan Bank Board in cooperation with Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. Data are not strictly comparable with earlier figures beginning Jan. 1973.
2 Fees and charges—related to principal mortgage amount—include loan commissions, fees, discounts, and other charges, but exclude closing costs related solely to transfer of property ownership.
3 Effective rate, reflecting fees and charges as well as contract rates

(as shown in first column of this table) and an assumed prepayment at 4 Rates on first mortgages, unweighted and rounded to the nearest

5 basis points.

5 Based on opinion reports submitted by field offices of prevailing local conditions as of the first of the succeeding month. Yields are derived from weighted averages of private secondary market prices for Sec. 203, 30-year mortgages with minimum downpayment and an assumed preparent at the end of 15 years. Any gaps in data are due to periods of adjustment to changes in maximum permissible contract interest rates.

#### NOTE TO TABLE AT BOTTOM OF PAGE A-44

American Life Insurance Association data for new commitments of \$100,000 and over each on mortgages for multifamily and nonresidential nonfarm properties located largely in the United States. The 15 companies account for a little more than one-half of both the total assets and the nonfarm mortgages held by all U.S. life insurance companies. Averages, which are based on number of loans, vary in part with loan composition but they and location of property there are provided that they are the second states of loans, and location of property there are provided that they are the second states of loans and location of property there are provided that they are the second states of loans and location of property there are the second states are the second states and location of property there are the second states are the second states and location of property there are the second states and the second states are the second states and the second states are the second states are the second states are the second states and the second states are the se by type and location of property, type and purpose of loan, and loan

amortization and prepayment terms. Data for the following are limited to cases where information was available or estimates could be made: capitalization rate (net stabilized property earnings divided by property value); debt coverage ratio (net stabilized earnings divided by debt service); and per cent constant (annual level payment, including principal and interest, per \$100 of debt). All statistics exclude construction loans, increases in existing loans in a company's portfolio, reapprovals, and loans centred by lead could secured by land only.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Includes conventional loans not shown separately.
Note.—Data from FNMA and FHLMC, respectively.
For FNMA: Holdings include loans used to back bond issues guaranteed by GNMA. Commitments include some multifamily and nonprofit hospital loan commitments in addition to 1- to 4-family loan commitments accepted in FNMA's free market auction system, and through the FNMA— GNMA Tandem Plans.

# FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION AUCTIONS OF COMMITMENTS TO BUY HOME MORTGAGES

	Ī				-	Date o	of auction					
Item						75					19	76
	Aug. 25	Sept. 8	Sept. 22	Oct. 6	Oct. 20	Nov. 3	Nov. 17	Dec. 1	Dec. 15	Dec. 29	Jan. 12	Jan. 26
Amounts (millions of dollars): Govtunderwritten loans Offered 1	643.1 223.0 98.5	530.1 197.7 96.9 43.9	293.6 142.0 68.8 35.2	198.5 143.0 27.5 23.5	43.2 23.2 9.7 9.2	69.8 41.7 19.6 15.2	293.1 180.6 68.6 34.6	255.9 138.5 73.9 40.5	287.1 158.8 69.7 31.2	95.3 52.7 41.8 11.8	58.4 31.5 42.7 32.1	103.9 57.7 33.4 24.7
Average yield (per cent) on short- term commitments <sup>2</sup> Govtunderwritten loans Conventional loans	9.50 9.55	9.70 9.75	9.86 9.92	9.95 10.02	9.65 9.81	9.32 9. <b>54</b>	9.33 9.40	9.32 9.38	9.31 9.36	9.29 9.35	9.13 9.28	9.07 9.22

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Mortgage amounts offered by bidders are total bids received.
<sup>2</sup> Average accepted bid yield (before deduction of 38 basis-point fee paid for mortgage servicing) for home mortgages assuming a prepayment

period of 12 years for 30-year loans, without special adjustment for FNMA commitment fees and FNMA stock purchase and holding requirements. Commitments mature in 4 months.

#### MAJOR HOLDERS OF FHA-INSURED AND VA-GUARANTEED RESIDENTIAL MORTGAGE DEBT

(End of period, in billions of dollars)

Holder	Mar. 31,	June 30,	Sept. 30,	Dec. 31,	Mar. 31,	June 30,	Sept. 30,
	1974	1974	1974	1974	1975	1975	1975
All holders. FHA. VA. Commercial banks. FHA. VA. Mutual savings banks. FHA. VA. VA.	11.1 7.8 3.3 28.2 15.3 12.9	137.8 84.9 52.9 11.0 7.6 3.4 27.9 15.1 12.8	138.6 84.1 54.5 10.7 7.4 3.3 27.8 15.0 12.8	140.3 84.1 56.2 10.4 7.2 3.2 27.5 14.8 12.7	142.0 84.3 57.7 10.5 7.2 3.3 27.2 14.7 712.5	143.0 85.0 58.0 79.6 76.4 73.2 727.2 714.7 712.5	144.9 85.1 59.8 9.7 6.4 3.3 27.0 14.5 12.5
avings and loan assns FHA VA .ife insurance cos FHA VA bthers. FHA VA	29.8	29.7	29.9	29.9	29.9	30.2	30.4
	13.3	13.1	12.9	12.7	12.5	12.2	12.1
	9.0	8.8	8.7	8.6	8.4	8.2	8.1
	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.0	4.0
	54.3	56.1	57.4	59.9	61.6	62.2	65.7

Note.—VA-guaranteed residential mortgage debt is for 1- to 4-family properties while FHA-insured includes some debt in multifamily structures.

Detail by type of holder partly estimated by Federal Reserve for first and third quarters, and for most recent quarter.

# COMMITMENTS OF LIFE INSURANCE COMPANIES FOR INCOME PROPERTY MORTGAGES

		Total				Averages			
Period	Number of loans	amount committed (millions of (dollars)	Loan amount (thousands of dollars)	Contract interest rate (per cent)	Maturity (yrs./mos.)	Loan- to-value ratio (per cent)	Capitaliza- tion rate (per cent)	Debt coverage ratio	Per cent constant
1971 1972 1973 1974	1,664 2,132 2,140 1,166	3,982.5 4,986.5 4,833.3 2,603.0	2,393 2,339 2,259 2,232	9.07 8.57 8.76 9.47	22/10 23/3 23/3 21/3	74.9 75.2 74.3 74.3	10.0 9.6 9.5 10.1	1.29 1.29 1.29 1.29	10.4 9.8 10.0 10.6
1974—Sept Oct Nov Dec	57	241.6 108.3 79.7 140.0	2,543 1,899 1,695 3,784	10.04 10.29 10.37 10.28	20/11 19/7 18/4 19/10	74.4 74.6 74.0 74.8	10.3 10.6 10.7 11.0	1.29 1.25 1.26 1.33	11.1 11.5 11.6 11.3
1975—JanFebMarAprMayJuneJulyAugSept	46 46 32	43.8 94.6 109.6 108.4 227.5 167.5 178.6 106.5 123.8	1,414 2,057 2,382 3,386 3,116 2,745 3,370 2,420 2,172	10.44 10.08 10.37 10.02 10.23 10.11 10.19 10.26 10.24	18/4 22/11 23/1 23/0 20/9 21/9 20/7 21/2 22/8	71.9 74.3 74.1 75.6 74.7 73.0 74.6 72.7 73.6	11.0 10.9 11.3 10.8 10.8 10.5 10.9 10.8	1.33 1.34 1.34 1.36 1.30 1.29 1.31 1.32 1.37	11.9 11.0 11.3 10.8 11.1 11.2 11.3 11.4

See NOTE on preceding page.

# INSTALMENT CREDIT-TOTAL OUTSTANDING, AND NET CHANGE

(In millions of dollars)

Holder, and type of credit	1973	1974	1975				1975			
				June	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.
		·	·	Amour	nts outstand	ing (end of	period)		<u> </u>	<u>'</u>
TOTALBy holder:	148,273	158,101	161,819	154,283	155,419	156,765	157,720	158,390	159,200	161,819
Commercial banks	71,871 37,243 19,609 16,395 3,155	75,846 38,925 22,116 17,933 3,281	75,710 38,932 25,354 18,328 3,495	73,687 37,828 23,186 16,079 3,503	74,232 38,177 23,507 15,963 3,540	74,701 38,340 24,043 16,172 3,509	75,024 38,375 24,510 16,232 3,579	75,286 38,411 24,706 16,444 3,543	75,174 38,642 24,934 16,860 3,590	75,710 38,932 25,354 18,328 3,495
By type of credit:										
Automobile, total  Commercial banks.  Finance companies.  Credit unions.  Others.	51,274 31,502 11,927 7,456 389	52,209 30,994 12,435 8,414 366	53,629 30,198 13,364 9,653 414	51,453 29,633 12,571 8,823 426	52,088 29,923 12,793 8,945 427	52,545 30,000 12,982 9,149 414	52,852 30,031 13,066 9,329 426	53,286 30,259 13,203 9,403 421	53,479 30,235 13,325 9,491 428	53,629 30,198 13,364 9,653 414
Mobile homes:  Commercial banks  Finance companies	8,340 3,378	8,972 3,570	8,420 3,504	8,639 3,508	8,606 3,503	8,583 3,498	8,566 3,499	8,519 3,498	8,502 3,519	8,420 3,504
Home improvement, total	7,453 4,083	8,398 4,694	8,301 4,813	8,202 4,632	8,272 4,695	8,329 4,757	8,372 4,797	8,374 4,824	8,361 4,827	8,301 4,813
Revolving credit:  Bank credit cards  Bank check credit	6,838 2,254	8,281 2,797	9,078 2,883	8,015 2,741	8,088 2,765	8,259 2,793	8,414 2,826	8,450 2,834	8,500 2,822	9,078 2,883
All other.  Commercial banks, total.  Personal loans.  Finance companies, total  Personal loans.  Credit unions  Retailers.  Others.	68,736 18,854 12,873 21,021 16,587 11,564 16,395 902	73,874 20,108 13,771 21,927 17,176 13,037 17,933 869	76,004 20,318 14,035 21,465 17,179 14,937 18,328 956	71,727 20,029 13,659 20,942 16,654 13,665 16,079 1,012	72,096 20,154 13,731 21,103 16,845 13,855 15,963 1,021	72,757 20,308 13,856 21,119 16,868 14,170 16,172 988	73,192 20,390 13,935 21,104 16,858 14,443 16,232 1,022	73,430 20,401 14,005 21,037 16,822 14,559 16,444 989	74,018 20,289 13,943 21,158 16,942 14,692 16,860 1,019	76,004 20,318 14,035 21,465 17,179 14,937 18,328 956
•				Ne	t change (de	ring period	1) 3			
TOTAL	20,826	9,824	3,719	208	886	637	759	830	805	894
By holder:										
Commercial banks. Finance companies. Credit unions. Retailers. Others	11,002 5,155 2,696 1,632 341	3,971 1,682 2,507 1,538 126	-134 7 3,237 395 214	-39 9 273 -102 67	302 197 316 -14 86	209 21 291 181 65	295 95 428 107 49	309 36 255 258 -29	233 157 270 84 61	310 34 471 125 44
By type of credit:										
Automobile, total	6,980 4,196 1,753 1,024	935 508 508 958 23	1,420 -796 929 1,239 48	-139 58 76 7	383 135 127 122 -1	213 8 126 86 -7	385 117 91 154 23	389 164 103 122 1	404 163 144 91 5	540 260 89 184 6
Mobile homes:  Commercial banks  Finance companies	1,933 462	634 192	-553 -66	-49 -2	-32 -17	-24 -11	-17 -10	62 7	-6 26	-61 -10
Home improvement, total Commercial banks	1,196 483	946 612	-100 114	10 6	38 31	-4 24	19 27	$\frac{-6}{23}$	38 42	23 41
Revolving credit:  Bank credit cards  Bank check credit	1,428 479	1,442 543	798 86	102 -12	69 15	113 12	106 14	78 17	29 2	-49 13
All other  Commercial banks, total  Personal loans Finance companies, total.  Personal loans.  Credit unions  Retailers.  Others.	8,344 2,479 1,491 2,520 1,675 1,591 1,632 122	5,141 1,257 900 906 589 1,473 1,538 -33	2,133 213 265 -462 -3 1,900 395 87	156 53 37 -21 -21 181 -102 46	430 84 31 115 161 185 -14 60	338 76 48 -58 -38 189 181 -49	262 48 45 49 59 260 -107	420 89 119 -27 -7 127 258 -28	312 2 -6 20 15 173 84 33	440 107 149 -4 23 274 125 -61

Excludes 30-day charge credit held by retailers, oil and gas companies, and travel and entertainment companies.
 Mutual savings banks, savings and loan associations, and auto dealers.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Figures for all months are seasonally adjusted and equal extensions minus liquidations (repayments, charge-offs, and other credits).

Note.—Tables contain a few minor changes in monthly figures shown in January Bulletin due to rounding techniques.

# INSTALMENT CREDIT EXTENSIONS AND REPAYMENTS

(In millions of dollars)

Holder, and type of credit	1973	1974	1975				1975			
floider, and type of credit	1773	1574	1573	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.
			·	·	Exten	sions 1				<u>'</u>
TOTAL	164,527	166,170	166,833	13,620	14,322	14,427	14,555	14,832	14,877	15,295
By holder:		ļ								
Commercial banks. Finance companies. Credit unions. Retailers 2. Others 3.	72,216 43,221 21,143 25,440 2,507	72,602 41,809 22,403 27,034 2,322	73,186 39,543 24,151 27,369 2,584	5,940 3,316 1,900 2,199 264	6,311 3,423 2,098 2,208 282	6,362 3,387 2,056 2,479 144	6,529 3,459 2,156 2,164 247	6,518 3,412 2,187 2,531 183	6,599 3,712 1,995 2,302 268	6,796 3,530 2,381 2,431 158
By type of credit:										
Automobile, total.  Commercial banks. Finance companies.  Credit unions.  Others.	46,486 29,368 9,685 7,009 424	43,431 26,407 8,851 7,788 385	46,530 26,693 9,651 9,702 484	3,753 2,132 787 789 45	4,124 2,371 868 847 38	4,032 2,355 805 840 31	4,235 2,436 865 873 61	4,189 2,434 836 878 41	4,218 2,460 831 885 42	4,405 2,591 897 875 42
Mobile homes: Commercial banks Finance companies	4,437 1,673	3,486 1,627	2,349 1,018	185 85	227 81	211 82	222 83	198 81	233 97	203 88
Home improvement, total  Commercial banks	4,828 2,489	4,854 2,790	4,333 2,515	379 204	395 222	363 219	388 224	392 238	409 243	418 253
Revolving credit:  Bank credit cards  Bank check credit	13,862 3,373	17,098 4,228	19,567 4,214	1,606 327	1,618 346	1,689 353	1,737 350	1,698 357	1,752 348	1,719 412
All other.  Commercial banks, total.  Personal loans.  Finance companies, total.  Personal loans.  Credit unions.  Retailers.  Others.	89,864 18,683 12,927 31,032 18,915 13,768 25,440 941	91,455 18,602 13,177 30,764 18,827 14,228 27,034 827	88,818 17,844 12,623 28,654 18,406 13,992 27,369 959	7,285 1,485 1,049 2,418 1,596 1,066 2,199 117	7,531 1,527 1,026 2,454 1,621 1,210 2,208 132	7,697 1,535 1,083 2,482 1,653 1,169 2,479 32	7,539 1,560 1,105 2,489 1,624 1,238 2,164 89	7,915 1,593 1,144 2,474 1,613 1,269 2,531 48	7,819 1,562 1,076 2,771 1,674 1,074 2,302	8,051 1,619 1,178 2,527 1,513 1,461 2,431
					Repayı	ments 1				
TOTAL	143,701	156,346	163,113	13,412	13,436	13,790	13,795	14,002	14,072	14,401
By holder:	į									
Commercial banks Finance companies Credit unions Retailers <sup>2</sup> Others <sup>3</sup>	61,214 38,066 18,447 23,808 2,166	68,631 40,127 19,896 25,496 2,196	73,320 39,536 20,914 26,974 2,370	5,979 3,307 1,628 2,301 198	6,009 3,227 1,782 2,222 196	6,153 3,366 1,764 2,298 208	6,234 3,364 1,728 2,271	6,209 3,376 1,932 2,273 212	6,367 3,555 1,725 2,218 208	6,486 3,496 1,910 2,306 202
By type of credit:										
Automobile, total. Commercial banks. Finance companies. Credit unions. Others.	39,506 25,172 7,932 5,985 417	42,496 26,915 8,343 6,830 408	45,110 27,489 8,722 8,463 436	3,751 2,271 729 713 38	3,741 2,236 740 725 39	3,818 2,347 679 755 38	3,849 2,319 773 719 38	3,800 2,271 733 756 40	3,814 2,297 687 794 37	3,865 2,331 808 691 36
Mobile homes:.  Commercial banks  Finance companies	2,504 1,211	2,852 1,435	2,902 1,084	234 87	259 98	235 93	239 94	260 88	239 72	264 98
Home improvement, total	3,632 2,006	3,908 2,178	4,434 2,400	368 198	357 191	367 195	369 197	398 214	371 202	395 212
Revolving credit:  Bank credit cards Bank check credit	12,434 2,894	15,656 3,685	18,769 4,128	1,504 340	1,548 331	1,576 341	1,631 336	1,619 340	1,723 346	1,768 399
All other Commercial banks, total Personal loans. Finance companies, total. Personal loans. Credit unions. Retailers. Others.	81,520 16,204 11,436 28,512 17,240 12,177 23,808 819	86,314 17,345 12,277 29,858 18,238 12,755 25,496 860	86,689 17,635 12,361 29,116 18,403 12,092 26,974 872	7,129 1,432 1,012 2,439 1,617 885 2,301 72	7,102 1,443 995 2,339 1,460 1,025 2,222 72	7,359 1,459 1,035 2,540 1,691 981 2,298 81	7,277 1,512 1,060 2,440 1,565 978 2,271 76	7,496 1,504 1,025 2,501 1,620 1,142 2,273 76	7,507 1,560 1,082 2,751 1,659 901 2,218 77	7,611 1,512 1,029 2,531 1,490 1,187 2,306 75

Monthly figures are seasonally adjusted.
 Excludes 30-day charge credit held by retailers, oil and gas companies, and travel and entertainment companies.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Mutual savings banks, savings and loan associations, and auto dealers.

NOTE.—Tables contain a few minor changes in monthly figures shown in January *Bulletin* due to rounding techniques.

#### FINANCE RATES ON SELECTED TYPES OF INSTALMENT CREDIT

(Per cent per annum)

		Co	ommercial bar	nks			Fi	nance compar	nies	
Month	New automo-	Mobile homes	Other consumer	Personal loans	Credit- card	Auton	nobiles	Mobile	Other consumer	Personal
	biles (36 mos.)	(84 mos.)	goods (24 mos.)	(12 mos.)	plans	New	Used	homes	goods	loans
74—Jan	10.55 10.53 10.50 10.51 10.63 10.81 10.96 11.15 11.31 11.53 11.57	11.09 11.25 10.92 11.07 10.96 11.21 11.46 11.71 11.72 11.94 11.87	12.78 12.82 12.82 12.81 12.88 13.01 13.14 13.10 13.20 13.28 13.16	12.96 13.02 13.04 13.00 13.10 13.20 13.42 13.45 13.41 13.60	17.25 17.24 17.23 17.25 17.25 17.25 17.23 17.20 17.17 17.15	12.39 12.33 12.29 12.28 12.36 12.50 12.58 12.67 12.84 12.97 13.06 13.10	16.56 16.62 16.69 16.76 16.86 17.06 17.18 17.32 17.61 17,78 17.88	r13.27 13.15 r13.08 r13.22 r13.43 13.60	18.90 18.69 18.90 19.25 19.31	r20.64 r20.53 r20.54 r20.74 r20.87
075—Jan	11.61 11.51 11.46 11.44 11.39 11.30 11.31 11.33 11.24 11.24	11.66 12.14 11.66 11.78 11.57 12.02 11.99 12.05 11.76 11.83	13.28 13.20 13.07 13.22 13.11 13.10 13.13 13.05 13.06 13.00 12.96	13.60 13.44 13.40 13.55 13.41 13.40 13.47 13.37 13.41 13.38 13.40 13.46	17. 12 17. 24 17. 15 17. 17 17. 21 17. 10 17. 15 17. 14 17. 14 17. 11 17. 06 17. 13	13.08 13.07 13.07 13.07 13.09 13.12 13.09 13.10 13.18 13.15	17.27 17.39 17.52 17.58 17.65 17.67 17.69 17.70 17.73 17.79	13.60 13.59 13.57 13.78 13.78	19.80 20.00 19.63 19.87 19.69	r21.09 r20.82 r20.72 r20.93 r21.16 21.09

Note.—Rates are reported on an annual percentage rate basis as specified in Regulation Z (Truth in Lending) of the Board of Governors. Commercial bank rates are "most common" rates for direct loans with

specified maturities; finance company rates are weighted averages for purchased contracts (except personal loans). For back figures and description of the data, see BULLETIN for Sept. 1973.

# MARKET GROUPINGS

(Seasonally adjusted, 1967 = 100)

	1967 pro-	1974			ily adjus				975						1976
Grouping	por- tion	aver- age	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept. r	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.p	Jan. e
Total index	100.0	124.8	113.7	111.2	110.0	109.9	110.1	111.1	112.2	114.2	116.2	116.7	117.4	118.5	119.3
Products, total.  Final products.  Consumer goods.  Equipment.  Intermediate products.  Materials.	62.21 48.95 28.53 20.42 13.26 37.79	123.1 121.7 128.8 111.7 128.3 127.4	115.4 114.9 120.1 107.8 117.6 110.5	113.7 113.3 118.8 105.3 115.2 107.4	112.4 112.2 118.2 103.9 112.7 105.9	112.9 112.6 119.6 103.0 113.4 105.2	113.4 113.7 121.2 102.9 112.4 104.9	114.2 114.5 123.3 102.2 112.8 106.0	115.3 115.7 125.5 102.2 114.3 106.8	115.8 115.9 125.7 102.3 115.4 111.5	116.9 126.8 102.8	117.0 127.0 102.6 117.0	117.8 128.6 102.8 117.8	119.8 130.8 103.6 120.4	120.6 132.1 104.3 121.1
Consumer goods															
Durable consumer goods	7.86 2.84 1.87 .97	127.9 110.0 94.9 139.0	80.3 62.6	101.0 78.2 58.9 115.5	86.8 73.1	82.4	97.6 86.3	103.4 93.2	106.9	105.9 96.8	106.7	108.9 101.2	109.7 100.0	112.8	112.9 98.8
Home goods  Appliances, TV, and radios  Appliances and A/C  TV and home audio  Carpeting and furniture	5.02 1.41 .92 .49 1.08	138.0 132.0 148.8	94.4		85.0	96.7 114.2	117.8 102.4 118.4	103.5	121.0 104.7 118.9	122.2	108.4 124.1	105.4 123.4	104.6 122.8	107.4 126.0	108.4
Misc. home goods	2.53	134.7	123.0		121.0		121.7	122.1	124.0		129.0				131.0
Nondurable consumer goods	20.67 4.32 16.34 8.37	129.2 109.0 134.5 125.4	134.5	94.5 133.6	124.1 90.9 132.7 120.7	133,3	125.3 94.4 133.5 122.4	97.7 134.9	101.6	102.0 136.6	137.8	104.5 137.3	106.1 139.6	142.4	143.2
Nonfood staples	7.98 2.64 1.91 3.43 2.25	144.0 158.4 125.2 143.8 153.7	160.6 122.0 149.2	157.1 121.9 147.2	120.9 149.0	157.6 118.4	158.4 122.8 147.8	159.2 123.3 149.4	161.2 124.1 150.4	126.7	161.6 127.7 153.2	161.7 126.4 149.5	125.5 150.7	154.0 174.0 131.5 151.0	
Equipment															
Business equipment. Industrial equipment. Building and mining equip. Manufacturing equipment. Power equipment.	12.74 6.77 1.45 3.85 1.47	129.4 128.7 136.0 121.7 139.9	122.9 138.4 111.8	120.4 137.0 109.4	118.8 137.7 106.6	115.4 116.4 132.3 105.6 128.9	115.3 131.7 105.0	114.0 127.7 104.3	126.9	113.4 128.3 105.1	114.5 129.7 104.5	115.4 133.1 104.0	116.2 136.5 103.5	136.1 104.6	117.4 137.0
Commercial, transit, farm equip Commercial equipment Transit equipment Farm equipment.	5.97 3.30 2.00 .67	130.3 141.1 109.6 138.7	121.6 135.2 91.8 143.8	118.0 130.4 91.5 135.9	115.1 127.8 88.8 130.2	92.2	114.7 121.5 98.6 129.0	120.7 98.0	98.0	123.4	116.9 122.6 105.0 124.3	123.3 100.4	123.3 101.7	101.9	126.6 102.8
Defense and space equipment Military products	7.68 5.15	82.3 81.2	83.8 81.5	82.4 80.7	82.1 80.3	82.4 80.7	82.7 82.0	82.9 82.0	82.6 82.1	81.4 80.6	81.6 80.7	81.1 80,2	80.2 78.6	80.9 79.4	81.2 79.2
Intermediate products															
Construction products	5.93 7.34	129.6 127.3	115.7 119.2	112.1 118.4	109.1 115.6	110.1 116.1	107.6 116.2	106.8 117.5	108.0 119.3	109.3 120.3	112.0	112.5 120.7	112.5 122.2		
Materials															
Durable goods materials	20.91 4.75 5.41 10.75	127.3 112.1 123.8 135.9	110.3 83.7 116.9 118.8	82.1 112.0	104.7 84.7 108.7 111.4	101.6 86.0 104.6 106.9	100.2 87.7 102.1 104.7	99.8 90.8 97.3 105.1	92.8 96.8	106.1 101.7 100.7 111.0	108.7 103.0 102.4 114.5	105.2	102.8 106.5	104.1 107.9	105.0
Nondurable goods materials Textile, paper, and chem. mat Nondurable materials n.e.c Fuel and power, industrial	13.99 8.58 5.41 2.89	128.5 139.8 110.6 122.6	103.3	108.5	105.3 106.2 103.9 118.0	107.9 110.4 104.0 117.5	109.5 113.2 103.7 118.0	117.0	118.9 106.2	118.2 126.0 106.0 118.4	106.7	136.1 107.3	136.1		
Supplementary groups					j										
Home goods and clothing	9.34 1.82	124.6 139.4	107.1 126.1	105.0	102.3 122.3	103.6 124.2	106.9 124.3		112.0 132.8	112.8 133.5	114.2 142.7		115.8 132.6		118.1
Gross value of products in market structure															
(In billions of 1963 dollars)															
Products, total.  Final products.  Consumer goods.  Equipment.  Intermediate products.	156.3 65.3		416.4 322.3 216.4 105.9 94.3	317.7 213.7 103.9	405.1 315.3 213.2 102.2 90.0	319.0 217.6 101.4	217.8	414.5 325.0 223.6 101.3 89.6	224.9 100.5	418.1 326.3 225.4 100.9 92.9	102.3	333.7 231.7	336.6 234.7 101.9	436.9 340.7 238.3 102.3 95.8	437.8 341.6 238.3 103.5 96.4

For Note see opposite page.

# **INDUSTRY GROUPINGS**

(Seasonally adjusted, 1967 = 100)

	1967	1974						19	75						1976
Grouping	pro- por- tion	aver- age	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept. r	Oct. r	Nov.	Dec.p	Jan, e
Manufacturing Durable Nondurable Mining and utilities Mining Utilities	88.55 52.33 36.22 11.45 6.37 5.08	120.7 129.7 127.3 109.3	111.7 108.2 117.0 127.0 107.0	109.2 104.8 115.6 127.3 108.6	107.7 103.5 113.7 128.8 108.9 154.0	107.9 103.3 114.8 128.1 108.5	108.2 102.5 116.1 126.5 105.9	109.5 103.2 118.6 126.8 106.3	110.6 103.5 120.8 127.4 106.4	112.8 105.4 123.4 127.0 105.0	114.7 107.0 125.7 127.8	115.8 107.6 127.2 127.0 106.4	107.8 129.0 127.4 106.0	108.6 130.6 126.0	
Durable manufactures															
Primary and fabricated metals Primary metals Iron and steel, subtotal Fabricated metal products	12.55 6.61 4.23 5.94	127.5 124.1 119.9 131.4	107.2 110.6	102.1 105.0	105.1 98.1 103.1 112.9	103.2 95.0 99.4 112.4	99.8 89.9 90.1 100.9	100.8 91.8 88.7 110.9	92.8 87.0	104.1 96.5 90.4 112.7	97.2	105.9 97.0 93.2 115.9	98.1 96.0	94.5 92.1	96.3 95.4
Machinery and allied goods.  Machinery.  Nonelectrical machinery.  Electrical machinery.  Transportation equipment.  Motor vehicles and parts.  Aerospace and misc. trans. eq. Instruments.  Ordnance, private and Govt.	32.44 17.39 9.17 8.22 9.29 4.56 4.73 2.07 3.69	116.3 128.1 133.8 125.2 96.9 113.2 81.1 143.9 86.1	126.7	123.6 106.6 77.1 77.6 76.6 134.2	104.3 81.0 85.4	104.0 84.7 93.1 76.6	109.0 113.7 103.8 87.6 95.0	103.8 90.5 100.0	103.2 79.3	103.7 110.0 115.1 104.4 92.9 107.2 79.1 132.1 84.3	116.7 106.1 94.3 110.1	107.6 94.7 111.0	114.1 119.1 108.7 94.1 109.4	115.7 119.8 111.0 95.4 110.2 81.0 138.2	116.5 120.4 112.1 94.2
Lumber, clay, and glass  Lumber and products  Clay, glass, and stone products	4.44 1.65 2.79	123.6 120.1 125.7	109.6 99.9 115.3		102.6 99.8 104.2	104.8 104.1 105.4	105.9 108.0 104.7		112.0	110.6 114.5 108.3	113.1 115.5 111.7	114.4 116.8 113.0	115.0	118.3	117.5
Furniture and miscellaneous Furniture and fixtures Miscellaneous manufactures	2.90 1.38 1.52	136,1 126,9 144,4	120.0 110.6 128.9	110.6		117.6 105.6 128.5	119.7 109.6 129.0		121.2 109.4 131.8			124.6 110.8 137.2	110.7	111.2	125,5
Nondurable manufactures													ļ	}	i
Textiles, apparel, and leather Textile mill products Apparel products Leather and products	6.90 2.69 3.33 .88	108.9 122.7 105.4 77.3	88.9 95.6 94.0 66.1		87.5 96.8 86.4 63.5	90.4 100.4 88.2 68.0	103.8 90.9	94.9 106.9 91.5 71.2	97.4 110.7 92.9 73.5	100.2 115.0 95.8 71.7	121.2	106.0 123.2 98.0 83.8	124.7 101.3	126.9	109.4
Paper and printing Paper and products Printing and publishing	7.92 3.18 4.74	121.0 134.0 112.3	108.2 114.3 104.1	106.6 109.5 104.7	104.2 104.5 104.0	105.8		107.3 109.5 105.9	107.3 111.7 104.4	110.8 116.4 107.1	113.9 124.0 107.1	114.8 127.0 106.5	114.7 127.3 106.2	129.8	
Chemicals, petroleum, and rubber Chemicals and products Petroleum products Rubber and plastics products	11.92 7.86 1.80 2.26	151.7 154.3 124.0 164.4	126.8		120.1	120.2	132.5 135.7 118.5 132.7	136.2 138.2 122.4 140.1	140.2 143.4 124.6 141.6	143.6 146.3 126.7 147.8	146.2 148.8 127.1 152.0	148.5 152.5 126.5 153.1	154.1	156.7 129.4	152.5 158.0 124.7
Foods and tobacco	9.48 8.81 .67	124.8 126.2 106.4	120.0 121.2 104.7	121.3 122.3 108.4	120.0 121.3 102.6	122.9	122.4 123.8 103.8	123.5 125.1 102.2	124.8 126.3 104.8	125.2 126.7 105.7	126.0 127.4 109.3		130.4	130.2	130.4 131.6
Mining															
Metal, stone, and earth minerals Metal mining Stone and earth minerals	1.26 .51 .76	117.2 129.2 109.1	119.1 133.8 109.0		125.4	125.8	106.2 114.8 100.4		105.0 110.3 101.4	107.2 119.2 98.9	118.5	108.0 119.8 100.0	122.1	120.5	
Coal, oil, and gas	5.11 .69 4.42	107.3 105.1 107.7	103.9 111.3 102.9		117.4			120.4	120.6				119.9		107.3
Utilities															
Electric	3.90 1.17	159.5 117.9	162.5	161.1	165.4	164.1	163.0	163.3	164.7	165.8	167.8	163.4	i		

Note.—Data for the complete year of 1972 are available in a pamphlet *Industrial Production Indexes 1972* from Publications Services, Division of Administrative Services, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551.

Published groupings include series and subtotals not shown separately. Figures for individual series and subtotals are published in the monthly Industrial Production release.

#### SELECTED BUSINESS INDEXES

(1967 = 100, except as noted)

			Iı	ndustria	l produ	ction			Ca-				nu- ring²		Pri	ces 4
				М	arket	··		In- dustry	pacity utiliza-	Con-	Nonag- ricul-					
Period ·	Total				ducts				tion in mfg. (1967	struc- tion con-	tural em- ploy-	Em-	Pay- rolls	Total retail sales 3	Con- sumer	Whole- sale com-
		Total		Final		Inter-	Mate- rials	Manu- factur-	output = 100)	tracts	ment— Total <sup>1</sup>	ment				modity
			Total	Con- sumer goods	Equip- ment	mediate		ing								
1955	58.5 61.1 61.9 57.9 64.8	56.6 59.7 61.1 58.6 64.4	54.9 58.2 59.9 57.1 62.7	59.5 61.7 63.2 62.6 68.7	48.9 53.7 55.9 50.0 54.9	62.6 65.3 65.3 63.9 70.5	61.5 63.1 63.1 56.8 65.5	58.2 60.5 61.2 56.9 64.1	75.1		76.9 79.6 80.3 78.0 81.0	92.9 93.9 92.2 83.9 88.1	61.1 64.6 65.4 60.3 67.8	59 61 64 64 69	80.2 81.4 84.3 86.6 87.3	87.8 90.7 93.3 94.6 94.8
1960	66.2 66.7 72.2 76.5 81.7	66.2 66.9 72.1 76.2 81.2	64.8 65.3 70.8 74.9 79.6	71.3 72.8 77.7 82.0 86.8	65.6	71.0 72.4 76.9 81.1 87.3	66.4 66.4 72.4 77.0 82.6	65.4 65.6 71.4 75.8 81.2	80.1 77.6 81.4 83.0 85.5	68.6 70.2 78.1 86.1 89.4	82.4 82.1 84.4 86.1 88.6	88.0 84.5 87.3 87.8 89.3	68.8 68.0 73.3 76.0 80.1	70 70 75 79 83	88.7 89.6 90.6 91.7 92.9	94.9 94.5 94.8 94.5 94.7
1965 1966 1967 1968	89.2 97.9 100.0 105.7 110.7	105.8	86.8 96.1 100.0 105.8 109.0	106.6	100.0	93.0 99.2 100.0 105.7 112.0	91.0 99.8 100.0 105.7 112.4	89.1 98.3 100.0 105.7 110.5	89.0 91.9 87.9 87.7 86.5	113.2	92.3 97.1 100.0 103.2 106.9	93.9 99.9 100.0 101.4 103.2	88.1 97.8 100.0 108.3 116.6	90 97 100 109 114	94.5 97.2 100.0 104.2 109.8	96.6 99.8 100.0 102.5 106.5
1970 1971 1972 1973 1974	106.6 106.8 115.2 125.6 124.8	106.4 113.8 123.4	104.7 111.9 121.3	123.6 131.7	106.7	112.6 121.1 131.1	107.7 107.4 117.4 129.3 127.4	105.2 105.2 114.0 125.2 124.4	78.3 75.0 78.6 83.0 78.9	165.3 179.7	107.7 108.1 111.9 116.8 119.1	98.1 94.2 97.6 103.2 102.1	114.1 116.7 131.5 149.2 157.1	119 130 142 160 171	116.3 121.2 125.3 133.1 147.7	110.4 113.9 119.8 134.7 160.1
1974—Dec	117.3	118.7	118.2	123.4	110.7	120.5	114.8	116.1	575.7		118.0	96.5	153.2	171	155.4	171.5
1975—Jan	113.7 111.2 110.0 109.9 110.1 111.1 112.2 114.2 116.2 116.7 117.4 118.5	113.7 112.4 112.9 113.4 114.2 115.3 115.8 116.9 116.9 117.8	112.2 112.6 113.7 114.5 115.7 115.9	118.8 118.2 119.6 121.2 123.3 125.5 125.7 126.8 127.0 128.6	105.3 103.9 103.0 102.9 102.2 102.3 102.8 102.6 102.8	112.7 113.4 112.4 112.8 114.3 115.4 116.6 117.0	110.5 107.4 105.9 105.2 104.9 106.0 106.8 111.5 115.1 116.5 116.5	111.7 109.2 107.7 107.9 108.2 109.5 110.6 112.8 114.7 115.8 116.4 117.4	68.2	153.0 189.0 182.0 174.0 165.0 208.0 157.0 7166.0	117.4 116.6 116.1 116.1 116.2 115.9 116.4 116.9 117.4 117.8 117.8	93.9 91.2 90.3 89.9 90.1 89.8 89.7 90.9 92.0 92.5 92.4	149.5 143.5 143.3 144.7 146.4 148.7 154.2 157.0 158.4 158.9 162.2	176 179 176 179 184 186 190 191 189 192 192	156.1 157.2 157.8 158.6 159.3 160.6 162.3 162.8 163.6 164.6 165.6	171.8 171.3 170.4 172.1 173.2 173.7 175.7 176.7 177.7 178.9 178.2
1976—Jan	119.3	120,6	120.6	132.1	104.3	121.1	117.3	118.2			118.6	93.8	164.7	197		

Construction contracts: McGraw-Hill Informations Systems Company F.W. Dodge Division, monthly index of dollar value of total construction contracts, including residential, nonresidential, and heavy engineering. Employment and payrolls: Based on Bureau of Labor Statistics data; includes data for Alaska and Hawaii beginning with 1959.

Prices: Bureau of Labor Statistics data.

# CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTS AND PRIVATE HOUSING PERMITS

(In millions of dollars, except as noted)

Type of ownership and	1973	1974	1974						19	<b>7</b> 5					
type of construction	1970		Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.
Total construction contracts 1	99,304	93,076	7,304	5,100	4,955	6,574	9,598	9,143	9,324	9,044	10,037	7,692	7,767	5,573	5,431
By type of ownership: Public Private 1		32,209 60,867	2,496 4,809	2,254 2,846	2,031 2,924	2,182 4,393	2,768 6,830	2,875 6,268	3,891 5,432	3,784 5,260	3,040 6,997	2,725 4,967	2,544 5,223	1,597 3,976	1,724 3,708
By type of construction: Residential building <sup>1</sup> Nonresidential building Nonbuilding	45,696 31,534 22,074		2,451	2,233	2,199	2,402	2,987	2,877	3,169	3,093 3,165 2,786	2,666	2,966 2,526 2,200	2,629	1,859	1,865
Private housing units authorized (In thousands, S.A., A.R.)	1,820	1,074	837	689	701	677	837	912	949	1,042	995	1,095	1,079	r1,085	1,058

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Because of improved procedures for collecting data for 1-family homes, some totals are not strictly comparable with those prior to 1968. To improve comparability, earlier levels may be raised by approximately 3 per cent for total and private construction, in each case, and by 8 per cent for residential building.

<sup>1</sup> Employees only: excludes personnel in the Armed Forces.
2 Production workers only. Revised back to 1973.
3 F.R. index based on Census Bureau figures.
4 Prices are not seasonally adjusted. Latest figure is final.
5 Figure is for 4th quarter 1974.
Note.—All series: Data are seasonally adjusted unless otherwise noted.
Capacity utilization: Based on data from Federal Reserve, McGraw-Hill Economics Department, and Dept. of Commerce.

Note.—Dollar value of construction contracts as reported by the McGraw-Hill Informations Systems Company, F.W. Dodge Division. Totals of monthly data may differ from annual totals because adjustments are made in accumulated monthly data after original figures have been published.

Private housing units authorized are Census Bureau series for 14,000 reporting areas with local building permit systems.

# **VALUE OF NEW CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY**

(In millions of dollars)

					Private						Public <sup>2</sup>		
					No	onresident	iał						
Period	Total	Total	Resi-			Buildings			Total	Mili-	High-	Conser- vation and	Other
		Total	dential	Total	Indus- trial	Com- mercial	Other build- ings 1	Other	Total	tary	way	develop- ment	Omer
1967 1968 1969	77,503 86,626 93,728	51,967 59,021 65,404	25,568 30,565 33,200	26,399 28,456 32,204	6,131 6,021 6,783	6,982 7,761 9,401	4,993 4,382 4,971	8,293 10,292 11,049	25,536 27,605 27,964	695 808 879	8,591 9,321 9,250	2,124 1,973 1,783	14,126 15,503 16,052
1970	94,167 109,950 124,077 135,456 135,246 130,595	66,071 80,079 93,893 102,894 96,836 89,841	31,864 43,267 54,288 57,623 55,212 42,876	34,207 36,812 39,605 45,271 41,624 46,965	6,538 5,423 4,676 6,243 7,843 7,842	9,754 11,619 13,462 15,453 16,050 12,794	5,125 5,437 5,898 5,888 5,895 5,580	12,790 14,333 15,569 17,687 11,836 20,749	28,096 29,871 30,184 32,562 38,426 40,754	718 901 1,087 1,170 1,188 1,395	9,981 10,658 10,429 10,559 12,093	1,908 2,095 2,172 2,313 2,781	15,489 16,217 16,496 18,520 22,364
1974—Dec		92,529	41,060	51,469	9,006	15,842	5,571	21,050	41,518	1,169	11,973	3,358	25,018
1975—Jan Feb Mar Apr May June July. Aug Sept Oct.'. Nov Dec."	128,862 125,501 121,027 121,698 126,884 128,776 132,101 137,102 135,636 136,545	91,169 89,023 85,687 84,742 84,252 84,982 88,143 90,590 92,524 93,250 95,762 95,531	39,556 38,523 37,999 37,574 38,531 40,431 43,330 45,354 45,972 46,492 47,529 48,465	51,613 50,500 47,688 47,168 45,721 44,551 44,813 45,236 46,552 46,758 48,237 47,066	8,412 8,724 7,869 7,500 8,197 7,677 7,714 7,621 7,889 7,470 7,750 7,483	15,646 14,971 13,032 12,765 12,109 11,756 11,978 12,586 12,431 12,506 12,634 12,190	5,903 5,883 5,363 5,636 5,268 5,415 5,319 5,611 5,843 5,589 5,771 5,523	21,652 20,922 21,424 21,267 20,147 19,703 19,802 19,418 20,389 21,193 22,082 21,870	41,105 39,839 39,814 36,285 37,446 41,902 40,633 41,511 44,578 42,386 40,783 43,050	1,223 1,319 1,337 1,473 1,180 1,120 1,309 1,383 1,662 1,493 1,657 1,616		2,842 3,329 3,024 2,769 3,132 3,529	

<sup>1</sup> Includes religious, educational, hospital, institutional, and other buildings.

2 By type of ownership, State and local accounted for 86 per cent of public construction expenditures in 1974.

Note.—Census Bureau data; monthly series at seasonally adjusted annual rates.

# PRIVATE HOUSING ACTIVITY

(In thousands of units)

		Starts		С	ompletio	ns		er constru d of peri			Nev	v 1-famil and fo	y homes or sale 1	sold
Period		1-	2-or-		1-	2-or-		1-	2-or-	Mobile home ship-	U	nits	(in the	n prices ousands lars) of nits
1967 1968 1969	Total	family	more family	Total	family	more family	Total	family	more family	ments	Sold	For sale (end of period)	Sold	For sale
1966	1,165 1,292 1,508 1,467	779 844 899 811	386 448 608 656	1,320 1,399	859 807	461 591	885	350	535	217 240 318 413	461 487 490 448	196 190 218 228	21.4 22.7 24.7 25.6	22.8 23.6 24.6 27.0
1970	1,434 2,052 2,357 2,045 1,338	813 1,151 1,309 1,132 888	621 901 1,047 913 450	1,418 1,706 1,971 2,014 1,692	802 1,014 1,143 1,174 931	617 692 828 840 760	922 1,254 1,586 1,599 1,189	381 505 640 583 516	541 749 947 1,016 673	401 497 576 567 7329	485 656 718 620 501	227 294 416 456 407	23.4 25.2 27.6 32.5 35.9	26.2 25.9 28.3 32.9 36.2
1974—Dec	880	682	198	1,606	852	754	r1,225	r543	683	195	382	400	37.4	36.2
1975—Jan. Feb. Mar. Apr. May, June. July Aug Sept. Oct. ' Nov. Dec. ''	999 1,000 985 980 1,130 1,094 1,235 1,269 1,452 1,354 1,309	739 733 775 762 887 884 935 987 931 1,103 1,028 972	260 267 210 218 243 210 300 282 338 349 326 337	1,535 1,320 1,305 1,211 1,276 1,165 1,269 1,267 1,291 1,115 1,416	964 770 734 756 832 785 901 881 969 734 997	571 550 571 455 444 380 368 386 322 381 419	r1,188 r1,156 r1,118 r1,087 r1,060 r1,045 r1,039 r1,036 r1,037 1,065 1,058	r529 r525 r521 r515 r513 r517 r521 r528 r532 560 559	660 631 598 573 546 7528 7518 7507 7505 505 499	185 219 199 194 224 210 225 235 215 229 232	404 411 463 570 586 556 553 576 574 604 660	404 409 396 388 383 378 383 379 383 386 377	37.2 37.9 38.8 39.2 39.5 37.9 38.6 40.7 40.8	36.4 36.6 36.5 36.7 36.9 37.2 37.4 37.8 38.2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Merchant builders only.

Note.—All series except prices seasonally adjusted. Annual rates for starts, completions, mobile home shipments, and sales. Census data except

for mobile homes, which are private, domestic shipments as reported by the Mobile Home Manufacturers' Assn. and seasonally adjusted by Census Bureau. Data for units under construction seasonally adjusted by Federal Reserve.

# LABOR FORCE, EMPLOYMENT, AND UNEMPLOYMENT

(In thousands of persons, except as noted)

					Civil	ian labor force	(S.A.)		
Period	Total non- institutional population	Not in labor force	Total labor			Employed 1			Unemploy- ment rate <sup>2</sup>
	(N.S.A.)	(N.S.A.)	force (S.A.)	Total	Total	In nonagri- cultural industries	In agriculture	Unem- ployed	(per cent; S.A.)
1969	137,841 140,182 142,596 145,775 148,263 150,827	53,602 54,280 55,666 56,785 57,222 57,587	84,240 85,903 86,929 88,991 91,040 93,240	80,734 82,715 84,113 86,542 88,714 91,011	77,902 78,627 79,120 81,702 84,409 85,935	74,296 75,165 75,732 78,230 80,957 82,443	3,606 3,462 3,387 3,472 3,452 3,492	2,832 4,088 4,993 4,840 4,304 5,076	3.5 4.9 5.9 5.6 4.9 5.6
1974—Dec		58,482 58,888 59,333 59,053 59,276 59,101 57,087 56,540 57,331 59,087 58,825 59,533 59,812	94,015 94,284 93,709 94,027 94,457 95,121 94,518 95,102 95,331 95,361 95,607 95,134 95,436	91,803 92,091 91,511 91,829 92,262 92,940 92,340 92,916 93,146 93,191 93,443 92,979 93,279	85,202 84,562 84,027 83,849 84,086 84,402 84,444 85,078 85,352 85,441 85,278 85,511	81,863 81,179 80,701 80,584 80,848 80,890 81,140 81,628 81,884 81,872 82,019 81,986 82,270	3,339 3,383 3,326 3,265 3,238 3,512 3,304 3,450 3,468 3,546 3,422 3,292 3,224	6,601 7,529 7,484 7,980 8,176 8,538 7,896 7,838 7,794 7,773 8,002 7,701 7,768	7.2 8.2 8.7 8.9 9.2 8.6 8.4 8.3 8.6 8.3

to the calendar week that contains the 12th day; annual data are averages of monthly figures. Description of changes in series beginning 1967 is available from Bureau of Labor Statistics.

# EMPLOYMENT IN NONAGRICULTURAL ESTABLISHMENTS, BY INDUSTRY DIVISION

(In thousands of persons)

Period	Total	Manufac- turing	Mining	Contract construc- tion	Transporta- tion and public utilities	Trade	Finance	Service	Govern- ment
1969. 1970. 1971. 1972. 1973.	70,442 70,920 71,216 73,711 76,896 78,413	20,167 19,349 18,572 19,090 20,068 20,046	619 623 603 622 644 694	3,525 3,536 3,639 3,831 4,015 3,957	4,435 4,504 4,457 4,517 4,644 4,696	14,704 15,040 15,352 15,975 16,674 17,017	3,562 3,687 3,802 3,943 4,091 4,208	11,228 11,621 11,903 12,392 13,021 13,617	12,202 12,561 12,887 13,340 13,739 14,177
SEASONALLY ADJUSTED									
1974—Dec	77,723	19,190	686	3,770	4,659	16,935	4,229	13,833	14,421
1975—Jan. Feb. Mar. Apr. May. June. July. Aug. Sept. Oct. Nov. <sup>p</sup> Dec. <sup>p</sup> .	77,319 76.804 76.468 76.462 76.510 76.343 76.679 77,023 77,310 77,555 77,558 77,798	18.798 18.375 18.226 18.155 18.162 18.100 18.084 18,254 18,417 18,471 18,471 18,551	723 724 729 732 738 741 743 749 752 774 767	3,749 3,592 3,467 3,441 3,439 3,392 3,395 3,415 3,432 3,402 3,403 3,389	4,603 4,565 4,506 4,508 4,491 4,469 4,464 4,466 4,467 4,476 4,501 4,481	16,903 16,879 16,851 16,847 16,857 16,877 16,984 17,016 17,045 17,043 17,020 17,096	4,219 4,210 4,207 4,209 4,208 4,202 4,203 4,218 4,239 4,246 4,248 4,259	13,857 13,865 13,864 13,878 13,889 13,871 13,990 14,054 14,113 14,157 14,189 14,251	14,467 14,594 14,618 14,692 14,726 14,691 14,816 14,855 14,845 14,964 14,959 14,999
NOT SEASONALLY ADJUSTED									
1974—Dec	78,462	19,209	681	3,695	4,659	17,608	4,208	13,764	14,638
1975—Jan. Feb. Mar. Apr. May. June July Aug. Sept. Oct. Nov.** Dec.** Dec.** Dec.**	76,207 75,772 75,778 76,177 76,689 77,183 76,439 76,900 77,614 78,193 78,324 78,529	18,573 18,165 18,037 18,000 18,071 18,255 18,007 18,450 18,694 18,687 18,625 18,567	715 714 719 726 740 756 758 763 763 764 766	3.348 3.208 3.197 3.310 3.439 3.555 3,605 3,688 3,659 3,659 3,515 3,321	4,548 4,492 4,470 4,472 4,487 4,523 4,504 4,493 4,503 4,515 4,481	16,700 16,493 16,530 16,691 16,819 16,971 16,936 16,959 17,084 17,136 17,323 17,753	4,177 4,172 4,178 4,192 4,208 4,248 4,266 4,273 4,243 4,238 4,235 4,238	13,608 13,699 13,753 13,878 13,986 14,079 14,144 14,162 14,113 14,185 14,175 14,180	14,538 14,829 14,894 14,908 14,939 14,796 14,219 14,560 15,061 15,172 15,223

Note.—Bureau of Labor Statistics; data include all full- and part-time employees who worked during, or received pay for, the pay period that includes the 12th of the month. Proprietors, self-employed persons,

domestic servants, unpaid family workers, and members of Armed Forces are excluded.

Beginning with 1973, series has been adjusted to Mar. 1974 benchmark.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Includes self-employed, unpaid family, and domestic service workers. <sup>2</sup> Per cent of civilian labor force. Nore.—Bureau of Labor Statistics. Information relating to persons 16 years of age and over is obtained on a sample basis. Monthly data relate

# **CONSUMER PRICES**

(1967 = 100)

					Hou	sing						Health	and reci	reation	_
Period	All items	Food	Total	Rent	Home- owner- ship	Fuel oil and coal	Gas and elec- tricity	Fur- nish- ings and opera- tion	Apparel and upkeep	Trans- porta- tion	Total	Med- ical care	Per- sonal care	Read- ing and recrea- tion	Other goods and serv- ices
1929	51.3 38.8 44.1 53.9 88.7 94.5	48.3 30.6 38.4 50.7 88.0 94.4	53.7 59.1 90.2 94.9	76.0 54.1 57.2 58.8 91.7 96.9	86.3 92.7	40.5 48.0 89.2 94.6	81.4 79.6 98.6 99.4	93.8	48.5 36.9 44.8 61.5 89.6 93.7	44.2 47.8 89.6 95.9	85.1 93.4	37.0 42.1 79.1 89.5	41.2 55.1 90.1 95.2	47.7 62.4 87.3 95.9	49.2 56.9 87.8 94.2
1966 1967 1968 1969	97.2 100.0 104.2 109.8	99.1 100.0 103.6 108.9	97.2 100.0 104.2 110.8	98.2 100.0 102.4 105.7	96.3 100.0 105.7 116.0	97.0 100.0 103.1 105.6	99.6 100.0 100.9 102.8	97.0 100.0 104.4 109.0	96.1 100.0 105.4 111.5	97.2 100.0 103.2 107.2	96.1 100.0 105.0 110.3	93.4 100.0 106.1 113.4	97.1 100.0 104.2 109.3	97.5 100.0 104.7 108.7	97.2 100.0 104.6 109.1
1970	116.3 121.3 125.3 133.1 147.7 161.2	114.9 118.4 123.5 141.4 161.7 175.4	118.9 124.3 129.2 135.0 150.6 166.8	110.1 115.2 119.2 124.3 *130.6 137.3	133.7 140.1 146.7 163.2	110.1 117.5 118.5 136.0 214.6 235.3	107.3 114.7 120.5 126.4 145.8 169.6	113.4 118.1 121.0 124.9 140.5 158.1	116.1 119.8 122.3 126.8 136.2 142.3	112.7 118.6 119.9 123.8 137.7 150.6	116.2 122.2 126.1 130.2 140.3 153.5	120.6 128.4 132.5 137.7 150.5 168.6	113.2 116.8 119.8 125.2 137.3 150.7	113.4 119.3 122.8 125.9 133.8 144.4	116.0 120.9 125.5 129.0 137.2 147.4
1974—Dec	155.4	169.7	159.9	133.5	174.0	228.8	156.7	152.3	141.9	143.5	147.5	159.0	145.3	139.8	143.9
1975—Jan	156.1 157.2 157.8 158.6 159.3 160.6 162.3 162.8 163.6 164.6 165.6 166.3	170.9 171.6 171.3 171.2 171.8 174.4 178.6 178.1 177.8 179.0 179.8 180.7	161.2 162.7 163.6 164.7 165.3 166.4 167.1 167.7 168.9 169.8 171.3	134.0 135.1 135.5 135.9 136.4 136.9 137.3 138.0 138.4 139.3 139.9	177.3 178.2 179.4 180.1 181.4 182.3 182.8 183.9 184.8 186.8	228.9 229.5 228.3 229.0 230.2 230.6 234.1 235.7 238.7 243.3 246.5 248.7	160.2 162.7 164.0 166.3 167.3 169.4 170.4 171.2 174.0 174.2 176.8 179.0	153.2 154.7 155.6 156.8 157.4 158.1 158.3 158.8 160.1 160.9 161.6 162.0	139.4 140.2 140.9 141.3 141.8 141.4 141.1 142.3 143.5 144.6 145.5 145.2	143.2 143.5 144.8 146.2 147.4 149.8 152.6 153.6 155.4 156.1 157.4 157.6	148.9 150.2 151.1 152.1 152.6 153.2 154.0 154.6 155.4 156.3 156.5	161.0 163.0 164.6 165.8 166.8 168.1 169.8 170.9 172.2 173.5 173.3 174.7	146.5 147.8 148.9 149.5 149.9 150.3 151.2 151.4 152.1 152.9 153.6 154.6	141.0 141.8 142.0 143.5 143.8 144.1 144.4 144.7 146.0 146.6 147.0	144.8 145.9 146.5 146.8 147.1 147.3 147.6 148.1 148.0 148.5 148.9

Note.—Bureau of Labor Statistics index for city wage earners and clerical workers.

# WHOLESALE PRICES: SUMMARY

(1967 = 100, except as noted)

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			ł					. "	Įnd	ustrial c	ommod	ities					
Period	All com- modi- ties	Farm prod- ucts	Pro- cessed foods and feeds	Total	Tex- tiles, etc.	Hides, etc.	Fuel,	Chemicals, etc.	Rub- ber, etc.	Lum- ber, etc.	Paper, etc.	Met- als, etc.	Ma- chin- ery and equip- ment	Furni- ture, etc.	Non- me- tallic min- erals	Trans- porta- tion equip- ment 1	Mis- cella-
1960 1965	94.9 96.6	97.2 98.7	89.5 95.5	95.3 96.4	99.5 99.8	90.8 94.3	96.1 95.5	101.8 99.0	103.1 95.9	95.3 95.9	98.1 96.2	92.4 96.4	92.0 93.9	99.0 96.9	97.2 97.5		93.0 95.9
1966 1967 1968 1969	100.0	100.0 102.5	102.2	100.0 102.5	100.0	103.4 100.0 103.2 108.9	97.8 100.0 98.9 100.9	99.4 100.0 99.8 99.9	100.0	113.3		98.8 100.0 102.6 108.5		102.8	98.4 100.0 103.7 107.7		97.7 100.0 102.2 105.2
1970	113.9 119.1 134.7	125.0 176.3	114.3 120.8 148.1	114.0 117.9 125.9	108.6 113.6 123.8	110.1 114.0 131.3 143.1 145.1	114.2 118.6 134.3	104.2 104.2 110.0	109.2 109.3	127.0 144.3 177.2	108.2 110.1 113.4 122.1 151.7	119.0 123.5 132.8	115.5 117.9 121.7	111.4 115.2	122.4	110.3 113.8 115.1	109.9 112.8 114.6 119.7 133.1
1974—Dec	171.5	183.7	188.2	166.1	138.4	143.2	229.0	174.0	149.4	165.4	167.2	184.6	154.0	137.7	164.3	137.0	142.4
May June July Aug	171.3 170.4 172.1 173.2 173.7 175.7 176.7 177.7 178.9 178.2	174.6 171.1 177.7 184.5 186.2 193.7 193.2 197.1 197.3	179.7 184.6 186.3 186.1 186.2 182.6	168.4 168.9 169.7 170.3 170.7 171.2 172.2 173.1 174.7 175.4	135.2 135.9 136.8 137.6 138.4 141.3	141.7 143.2 147.5 147.7 148.7 149.3 149.3 151.3 152.4 154.4	232.3 233.0 236.5 238.8 243.0 246.6 252.4 254.9 256.5 257.0	181.8 182.4 182.1 181.2 181.4 182.1 182.2 182.3	150.0 149.7 149.4 148.9 148.6 150.1 150.0 150.8 151.5	169.3 169.6 174.9 183.0 181.0 179.6 179.7 179.9 179.1	170.0 169.7 169.8 169.8 170.0 170.0 170.3 170.9 171.3	186.3 186.1 185.7 185.1 184.5 183.4 184.3 185.5 187.2 187.0	157.7 158.8 159.7 160.4 161.0 161.7 162.2 163.1 164.1 165.3	139.1 138.5 138.5 138.6 139.0 139.2 139.8 140.1	170.8 173.0 173.1 173.3 174.7 175.8 176.1 177.1	138.2 139.5 139.9 139.9 140.1 140.5 141.1 146.6 147.2	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Dec. 1968 = 100.

# **GROSS NATIONAL PRODUCT**

(In billions of dollars)

Item	1950	1970	1972	1973	1974	1975»	1974		19	75	
							IV	I	II	III	IV <sup>p</sup>
Gross national product	286.2 279.4	982.4 978.6	1,171.1 1,161.7	1,306.3 1,288.8	1,406.9 1,397.2	1,499.0 1,513.2	1,441.3 1,430.9	1,433.6 1,458.4	1,460.6 1,490.2	1,528.5 1,530.6	1,573.2 1,573.4
Personal consumption expenditures. Durable goods. Nondurable goods. Services.	192.0 30.8 98.2 63.0	618.8 84.9 264.7 269.1	733.0 111.2 299.3 322.4	808.5 122.9 334.4 351.3	885.9 121.9 375.7 388.3	127.7 410.0	387.1	926.4 118.9 394.1 413.4		131.8 416.4	136.1 424.8
Gross private domestic investment.  Fixed investment  Nonresidential  Structures  Producers' durable equipment.  Residential structures  Nonfarm  Change in business inventories.  Nonfarm.	53.8 47.0 27.1 9.3 17.8 19.9 18.7 6.8 6.0	140.8 137.0 100.5 37.7 62.8 36.6 35.1 3.8 3.7	188.3 178.8 116.8 42.5 74.3 62.0 60.3 9.4 8.8	220.5 203.0 136.5 49.0 87.5 66.5 64.7 17.5 14.1	212.2 202.5 147.9 54.4 93.5 54.6 52.2 9.7 11.6	197.5 148.7 52.6 96.1 48.8 46.9 -14.2	95.0 48.7 46.3 10.4	193.5 149.3 54.9 94.4	146.1 51.1 95.0 45.0 43.1 -29.6	197.1 146.7 51.2 95.6 50.4 48.2 -2.1	208.4 152.7 53.4 99.3 55.7 53.5 2
Net exports of goods and services	1.9 13.9 12.0	3.9 62.5 58.5		7.4 101.5 94.2	7.7 144.2 136.5	147.3	153.6	148.2	140.7	148.5	151.9
Government purchases of goods and services. Federal. National defense. Other. State local.	38.5 18.7 14.0 4.7 19.8	218.9 95.6 73.5 22.1 123.2	73.5 28.6	269.9 102.0 73.4 28.6 168.0	77.4 34.3	123.1 84.0 39.2	37.7	119.4 81.4 38.0	119.2 82.1 37.1	124.2 84.9 39.3	129.8 87.4 42.3
Gross national product in 1972 dollars	533.5	1,075.3	1,171.1	1,233.4	1,210.7	1,186.4	1,186.8	1,158.6	1,168.1	1,201.5	1,217.4

Note.—Dept. of Commerce estimates. Quarterly data are seasonally adjusted totals at annual rates. For back data and explanation of series, see the Survey of Current Business, Jan. 1976.

# NATIONAL INCOME

(In billions of dollars)

Item	1950	1970	1972	1973	1974	1975 <sup>p</sup>	1974		19	75	
							IV	I	II	Ш	IVp
National income	236.2	798.4	951.9	1,067.3	1,141.1	1,209.5	1,161.3	1,155.2	1,180.8	1,232.5	
Compensation of employees	154.8	609.2	715.1	797.7	873.0	921.4	898.1	897.1	905.4	928.2	954.9
Wages and salaries Private Military Government civilian	147.0 124.4 5.3 17.4	546.5 430.5 20.7 95.3	633.8 496.2 22.0 115.6	700.9 552.3 22.1 126.5	763.1 603.0 22.3 137.7	23.0		611.7 22.9	615.0 22.8	807.3 631.9 22.8 152.6	830.5 650.3 23.6 156.6
Supplements to wages and salaries Employer contributions for social insurance Other labor income	7.8 4.2 3.7	62.7 30.7 32.0	81.4 39.4 42.0	96.8 49.3 47.5	110.0 55.5 54.5	58.5	114.4 56.9 57.6	116.1 57.1 59.0		120.9 58.9 62.0	124.4 60.6 63.8
Proprietors' income with inventory valuation and capital consumption adjustments.  Business and professional.  Farm.	38.4 24.9 13.5	65.1 51.2 13.9	76.1 58.1 18.0	91.7 59.3 32.4	85.1 59.5 25.6	58.7	59.0	58.6	58.5	58.7	87.0 58.8 28.2
Rental income of persons with capital consumption adjustment	7.1	18.6	21.5	21.3	21.0	21.1	20.9	20.8	20.5	20.9	22.0
Corporate profits and inventory valuation adjustment and without capital consumption adjustment	37.6	66.4	89.6	98.6	93.6	108.3	86.1	83.4	101.6	119.6	
Profits before tax. Profits tax liability. Profits after tax. Dividends. Undistributed profits.	42.6 17.9 24.7 8.8 15.9	71.5 34.5 37.0 22.9 14.1	96.2 41.5 54.6 24.6 30.0	117.0 48.2 68.8 27.8 40.9	132.1 52.6 79.5 31.1 48.4	47.0 72.8 32.8	74.7 31.7	37.5 59.6 32.1	108.2 41.6 66.6 32.6 34.0	50.7 78.8 33.5	33.1
Inventory valuation adjustment	-5.0	-5.1	-6.6	-18.4	-38.5	-11.5	-37.7	-13.7	-6.6	-9.9	-15.8
Net interest	2.3	37.5	47.0	56.3	70.7	81.6	76.7	78.7	79.7	82.2	85.7

Note.—Dept. of Commerce estimates. Quarterly data are seasonally adjusted totals at annual rates. See also Note to table above.

# RELATION OF GROSS NATIONAL PRODUCT, NATIONAL INCOME, AND PERSONAL INCOME AND SAVING

(In billions of dollars)

Item	1950	1970	1972	1973	1974	1975	1974		19	75	
							IV	I	II	111	IV <sup>p</sup>
Gross national product	286.2	982.4	1,171.1	1,306.3	1,406.9	1,499.0	1,441.3	1,433.6	1,460.6	1,528.5	1,573.2
Less: Capital consumption allowances with capital consumption adjustment. Indirect business tax and nontax liability. Business transfer payments. Statistical discrepancy.	23.9 23.4 .8 2.0	90.8 94.0 4.0 -2.1			127.3 5.8	137.2 6.3	129.5 6.0	131.6 6.2	135.2	140.0 6.4	160.5 141.8 6.5
Plus: Subsidies less current surplus of government enterprises	. 1	2.7	3.6	3.7	.7	1.9	.4	1.6	2.2	1,9	1.9
Equals: National income	236.2	798.4	951.9	1,067.3	1,141.1	1,209.5	1,161.3	1,155.2	1,180.8	1,232.5	
Less: Corporate profits with inventory valuation and capital consumption adjustments  Net interest	33.7 2.3 7.1	67.9 37.5 58.7	92.1 47.0 73.6		91.3 70.7 102.9 5	81.6 108.3		78.7	79.7 106.6	82.2 108.9	85.7 111.7
Plus: Government transfer payments to persons Personal interest income. Dividends. Business transfer payments	14.4 8.9 8.8 .8	75.9 64.3 22.9 4.0	99.4 74.6 24.6 4.7	88.4 27.8	134.5 106.5 31.1 5.8	120.7 32.8		116.0 32.1	117.6 32.6	121.2 33.5	175.2 127.8 33.1 6.5
Equals: Personal income	226.1	801.3	942.5	1,054.3	1,154.7	1,264.0	1,194.8	1,203.6	1,223.8	1,261.7	1,294.8
Less: Personal tax and nontax payments	20.6	115.3	141.2	151.2	171.2	169.2	178.9	179.6	142.1	174.6	180.4
Equals: Disposable personal income	205.5	685.9	801.3	903.1	983.6	1,076.8	1,015.9	1,024.0	1,081.7	1,087.1	1,114.4
Less: Personal outlays  Personal consumption expenditures  Interest paid by consumer to business  Personal transfer payments to foreigners (Net)	194.7 192.0 2.3 .4	635.4 618.8 15.5 1.1	751.9 733.0 17.9 1.0	20.6	909.5 885.9 22.6 1.0	963.2 23.1	23.0	926.4 23.0	950.3 22.8	1,001.3 977.4 23.0 .9	998.7
Equals: Personal saving	10.8	50.6	49.4	72.7	74.0	89.6	83.6	73.6	107.5	85.9	91.3
Disposable personal income in (1972) dollars	361.9	741.6	801.3	856.0	843.5	857.0	837.6	831.6	869.8	858.2	868.4

Note.—Dept. of Commerce estimates. Quarterly data seasonally adjusted totals at annual rates. See also Note to table at top of opposite page.

# PERSONAL INCOME

(In billions of dollars)

Item	1974	1975⊅	1974						19	75					
			Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.
Total personal income	1154.7	1246.0	1200.4	1202.6	1203.2	1205.0	1209.0	1217.2	1245.2	1244.0	1262.4	1278.7	1287.4	1295.9	1301.1
Wage and salary disbursements Commodity-producing industries Manufacturing only. Distributive industries. Service industries. Government	763.6 273.7 211.2 184.3 145.0 160.6	273.5 211.1 195.1 158.6	273.7 210.8 189.8	271.7 207.8 189.9 152.4	190.2	265.9 204.4 190.7 154.6	265.8 204.9 190.9 154.5	267.0 205.6 191.7 156.1	268.8 207.2 192.9 157.4	270.9 208.8 193.9 158.2	275.6 213.2 197.7 160.3	279.5 216.6 198.2 161.5	281.7 218.7 200.2 163.1	283.2 219.7 202.4 165.3	286.2 222.6 202.8 166.0
Other labor income	54.5	61.3	58.1	58.6	59.0	59.4	59.8	60.3	60.8	61.4	62.0	62.6	63.2	63.8	64.4
Proprietors' income with inventory valuation and capital consumption adjustments	85.1 59.5 25.6	58.7	58.8	58.8	58.5	58.6	58.5	58.6	58.6	58.7	58.7	58.8	58.9	58.8	58.7
Rental income of persons with capital consumption adjustment	21.0	21.1	20.9	20.9	20.8	20.8	20.7	20.5	20.2	20.5	21.0	21.3	21.8	22.0	22.2
Dividends	31.1	32.8	31.0	.32,1	32.1	32.1	32.4	32.6	32.9	33.2	33.5	33.9	33.8	33.8	31.7
Personal interest income	106.5	120.7	116.0	115.9	116.0	116.1	116.6	117.5	118.6	119.7	121.2	122.9	125.1	127.9	130.4
Transfer payments	140.4	175.0	156.3	159.0	165.4	167.2	168.6	169.3	189.0	176.8	178.1	181.3	180.6	181.4	183.1
Less: Personal contributions for social insurance	47.4	49.8	48.1	48.9	48.8	48.9	48.9	49.1	49.3	49.5	50.0	50.4	50.7	51.2	51.6
Nonagricultural income															

NOTE.—Dept. of Commerce estimates. Monthly data seasonally adjusted totals at annual rates. See also NoTE to table at top of opposite page.

# SUMMARY OF FUNDS RAISED IN U.S. CREDIT MARKETS (Seasonally adjusted annual rates; in billions of dollars)

_											19	74	1975	_
	Transaction category, or sector	1966	1967	1968	1969	1970	1971	1972	1973	1974	H!	Н2	H1	
					Credit m	arket fi	unds rai	ised by	nonfina	ncial se	ctors			
1 2	Total funds raised by nonfinancial sectors	67.9 66.9	82.4 80.0	96.0 96.0	91.8 87.9	98.2 92.4	147.4 135.9	169.4 158.9	187.4 180.1		187.3 181.9	172.4 170.0	188.4 179.6	1 2
3 4 5	U.S. Government. Public debt securities Agency issues and mortgages	3.6 2.3 1.3	13.0 8.9 4.1	13.4 10.4 3.1	-3.7 $-1.3$ $-2.4$	12.8 12.9 1	25.5 26.0 5	17.3 13.9 3.4	9.7 7.7 2.0	12.0 12.0 *	5.1 3.9 1.2	18.9 20.2 -1.3	81.4 82.6 -1.2	3 4 5
6 7 8	All other nonfinancial sectors.  Corporate equities.  Debt instruments.  Private domestic	64.3 1.0 63.3	69.4 2.4 67.0	82.6 82.6	95.5 3.9 91.6	85.4 5.8 79.7	121.9 11.5 110.4	152.1 10.5 141.6	177.7 7.2 170.4	168.1 3.8 164.2	182.2 5.4 176.8	153.4 2.3 151.1	107.0 8.8 98.2	6 7 8
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Nonfinancial sectors Corporate equities Debt instruments. Debt capital instruments. State and local obligations Corporate bonds. Home mortgages Multifamily residential mortgages. Commercial mortgages. Farm mortgages Other debt instruments Consumer credit. Bank loans n.e.c. Open-market paper Other	62.7 1.3 61.5 38.2 5.6 10.2 11.7 3.1 5.7 1.8 23.3 6.4 10.9 1.1 5.0	65.4 2.4 63.0 44.5 7.8 14.5 3.6 4.7 2.3 18.5 4.5 9.8 1.7 2.6	79.7 2 79.9 49.5 9.5 12.9 15.1 3.4 6.4 2.2 30.4 10.6 1.8 5.0	91.8 3.4 88.4 49.6 9.9 12.0 15.7 4.7 5.3 1.9 38.8 10.4 15.5 3.0 9.9	82.7 5.7 77.0 56.7 11.2 19.8 5.8 5.8 5.3 1.8 20.3 6.0 6.7 3.0 4.6	117.3 11.4 105.8 83.2 17.6 18.8 26.1 8.8 10.0 22.6 11.2 7.8 -1.2 4.8	147.8 10.9 136.9 93.8 14.4 12.2 39.6 10.3 14.8 2.6 43.0 19.2 18.9 5.5	170.1 7.4 162.7 96.1 13.7 9.3 8.4 17.0 4.4 66.6 22.9 35.8 4 8.3	152.7 4.1 148.6 92.9 17.4 19.7 7.8 11.5 4.9 55.6 9.6 27.3 6.6 12.1	162.2 5.6 156.6 99.6 18.3 18.1 35.8 7.3 15.7 4.5 57.0 12.7 32.6 5.1 6.6	142.6 2.6 140.0 86.2 16.5 21.3 27.2 7.2 5.4 53.8 6.1 21.9 8.2 17.5	8.7 91.4 106.9 17.4 38.2 34.3 6.2 5.7 5.1 -15.4	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23
24 25 26 27 28 29	By borrowing sector: State and local governments. Households. Farm Nonfarm noncorporate. Corporate.	62.7 6.3 22.7 3.1 5.4 25.3	65.4 7.9 19.3 3.6 5.0 29.6	79.7 9.8 30.0 2.8 5.6 31.6	91.8 10.7 31.7 3.2 7.4 38.9	82.7 11.3 23.4 3.2 5.3 39.5	117.3 17.8 39.8 4.1 8.7 46.8	147.8 14.2 63.1 4.9 10.4 55.3	170.1 12.3 72.8 8.6 9.3 67.2	152.7 16.6 44.0 7.8 7.2 77.1	162.2 16.4 47.5 7.7 7.1 83.5	142.6 16.7 40.0 7.9 7.3 70.7	100.1 14.0 37.5 6.9 3.2 38.6	24 25 26 27 28 29
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	Foreign Corporate equities. Debt instruments. Bonds. Bank loans n.e.c. Open-market paper U.S. Government loans. Memo: U.S. Govt. cash balance Totals net of changes in U.S. Govt. cash balances— Total funds raised. By U.S. Government.	1.5 3 1.8 .7 2 1 1.3 4 68.3 4.0	4.0 1.2 3 5 2.6 1.2 81.3 11.8	2.8 .2 2.7 1.1 5 2 2.2 -1.1 97.1 14.6	3.7 .5 3.2 1.0 2 .3 2.1 .4 91.4 -4.1	2.7 .1 2.7 .9 3 .8 1.3 2.8 95.5 10.0	4.6 4.6 .9 1.6 .3 1.8 3.2 144.2 22.3	4.3 4 4.7 1.0 2.9 -1.0 1.8 3	7.5 2 7.7 1.0 2.8 2.2 1.7 -1.7	15.4 3 15.7 2.2 4.7 7.1 1.7 -4.6 184.7 16.6	20.0 2 20.2 2.1 9.6 7.0 1.5 -2.0 189.3 7.1	7.1 1.8 -7.1		30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39
					Credit	marke	t funds	raised b	y finan	cial sect	ors			
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Total funds raised by financial sectors.  Sponsored credit agencies.  U.S. Government securities  Loans from U.S. Government.  Private financial sectors.  Corporate equities.  Debt instruments.  Corporate bonds  Mortgages.  Bank loans n.e.c.  Open-market paper and RP's  Loans from FHLB's	11.7 4.8 5.1 2 6.9 3.7 3.2 9 -1.0 3.3	2.0 6 1 2.6 3.0 4 1.3 1.0 -2.0 1.9 -2.5	18.3 3.5 3.2 .2 14.9 6.4 8.5 1.1 .4 2.5 3.6	33.7 8.8 9.1 3 24.9 6.1 18.8 1.5 .2 2.3 10.7 4.0	12.6 8.2 8.2 4.3 4.6 -3.3 3.1 -7 -5.0 1.3	16.5 3.8 3.8 3.8 7 3.3 9.3 5.1 2.1 3.0 1.8 -2.7	28.9 6.2 6.2 22.8 2.4 20.3 7.0 1.7 6.8 4.9	52.0 19.6 19.6 19.6 32.4 31.6 2.3 -1.2 13.5 9.8 7.2	38.0 22.1 21.4 .7 15.9 1.7 14.2 1.4 -1.3 7.5 1 6.7	40.8 16.8 16.8 24.1 .5 23.6 2.0 2.0 8.9 5.8 6.8	35.2 27.4 26.0 1.4 7.8 3.0 4.8 9 -2.7 6.2 -6.0 6.5	4.2 8.0 6.9 1.1 -3.8 3.8 -7.6 2.3 1.7 -8.8 5.3 -8.1	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Total funds raised, by sector. Sponsored credit agencies. Private financial sectors  Commercial banks. Bank affiliates. Foreign banking agencies. Savings and loan associations Other insurance companies Finance companies REITS. Open-end investment companies.	.1 .1 .1 3.1	2.0 6 2.6 .1 * -1.7 .1 1.2 3.0	18.3 3.5 14.9 1.2  .1 1.1 .2 5.7 .7 5.8	33.7 8.8 24.9 1.4 4.2 4.1 .5 8.3 1.3 4.8	12.6 8.2 4.3 -3.1 -1.9 1.8 1.6 2.7 2.6	16.5 3.8 12.7 2.5 4 1.6 1 4.2 3.0 1.1	28.9 6.2 22.8 4.0 .7 .8 2.0 9.3 6.1 7	52.0 19.6 32.4 4.5 2.2 5.1 6.0 .5 9.4 6.3 -1.6	22.1 15.9 -1.9 2.4 2.9 6.3 .4 3.9	16.8 24.1 2.6 4.1 2.7 8.6 .4 3.6 2.8	.7 3.1 4.0 .3 4.2	4.2 8.0 -3.8 5.8 9 9 -8.0 -3.4 -1.3 2.9	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23
				Т	otal cre	dit mar	ket fund	ds raised	i, all se	ctors, b	y type			
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Total funds raised.  Investment company shares. Other corporate equities. Debt instruments.  U.S. Government securities State and local obligations. Corporate and foreign bonds. Mortgages. Consumer credit. Bank loans n.e.c. Open-market paper and RP's. Other loans.	74.9 8.8	84.4 3.0 2.5 79.0 12.5 7.8 17.2 23.0 4.5 7.5 4.0 2.5	5.8 .6	125.5 4.8 5.2 115.5 5.5 9.9 14.5 27.8 10.4 17.6 14.1 15.8	110.8 2.6 7.7 100.4 21.1 11.2 23.8 26.4 6.0 5.8 -1.2 7.3	1.1 13.6	198.3 -7 13.6 185.4 23.6 14.4 20.2 68.8 19.2 28.5 3.3 7.4	239.4 -1.6 9.6 231.3 29.4 13.7 12.5 71.9 22.9 52.1 11.6 17.2	1.0 4.6	228.1 8 6.7 222.2 21.9 18.3 22.2 63.4 12.7 51.1 17.8 14.9	207.6 2.8 2.5 202.3 45.1 16.5 24.5 45.6 6.1 27.9 9.4 27.2	3.3	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12

Note.—Full statements for sectors and transaction types quarterly, and annually for flows and for amounts outstanding, may be obtained from

Flow of Funds Section, Division of Research and Statistics, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551.

# DIRECT AND INDIRECT SOURCES OF FUNDS TO CREDIT MARKETS

(Seasonally adjusted annual rates; in billions of dollars)

											19	74	1975	
	Transaction category, or sector	1966	1967	1968	1969	1970	1971	1972	1973	1974	н	Н2	Н1	
1	Total funds advanced in credit markets to non- financial sectors	66.9	80.0	95.9	88.0	92.5	135.9	158.9	180.1	176.2	181.9	170.0	179.6	1
2 3 4 5 6	U.S. Government securities Residential mortgages. FHLB advances to S&L's. Other loans and securities By agency—	11.9 3.4 2.8 .9 4.8	11.3 6.8 2.1 -2.5 4.9	12.2 3.4 2.8 .9 5.1	15.7 .7 4.6 4.0 6.3	28.1 15.9 5.7 1.3 5.2	41.7 33.8 5.7 -2.7 4.9	18.3 8.4 5.2 * 4.6	33.2 11.0 7.6 7.2 7.5	13.8 6.7	11.7	58.9 10.4 15.9 6.5 26.1	36.1 27.6 16.8 -8.1 3	2 3 4 5 6
7 8 9 10 11	U.S. Government. Sponsored credit agencies. Monetary authorities. Foreign Agency borrowing not included in line 1.	1 3.5	4.6 1 4.8 2.0 6	4.9 3.2 3.7 .3 3.5	2.9 8.9 4.2 3 8.8	2.8 10.0 5.0 10.3 8.2		2.6 7.0 .3 8.4 6.2	9.2 .7	6.2	6.1	12.4 27.6 6.2 12.6 27.4	12.3 10.1 6.9 6.8 8.0	7 8 9 10 11
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Private domestic funds advanced Total net advances. U.S. Government securities State and local obligations. Corporate and foreign bonds. Residential mortgages. Other mortgages and loans Less: FHLB advances.	59.8 5.4 5.6 10.3 12.0 27.4 .9	68.1 5.7 7.8 16.0 13.0 23.1 -2.5	87.2 13.3 9.5 13.8 15.5 35.9	81.1 4.8 9.9 12.5 15.7 42.2 4.0	12.8 24.6	-4.4 17.6 19.5 29.1	146.7 15.2 14.4 13.2 44.6 59.5	13.7 10.1 44.1	24.9 17.4 20.6 25.6 67.4	18.3 19.2 31.4 82.1	138.5 34.7 16.5 21.9 19.8 52.2 6.5	60.6 17.4 43.1 23.6 -1.3	12 13 14 15 16 17 18
19 20 21 22 23	Private financial intermediation Credit market funds advanced by private financial institutions. Commercial banks. Savings institutions Insurance and pension funds. Other finance.	45.4 17.5 7.9 15.5 4.5	63.5 35.9 15.0 12.9 3	75.3 38.7 15.6 14.0 7.0	55.3 18.2 14.5 12.7 9.9	74.9 35.1 16.9 17.3 5.7	110.7 50.6 41.4 13.3 5.3	153.4 70.5 49.3 17.7 15.8	158.8 86.6 35.1 22.1 15.0	64.6 26.9 34.3	87.5 35.4	106.9 41.3 18.3 39.4 7.9	17.4 61.6	19 20 21 22 23
24 25 26	Sources of funds Private domestic deposits Credit market borrowing	45.4 22.5 3.2	63.5 50.0 4	75.3 45.9 8.5	55.3 2.6 18.8	74.9 63.2 3	90.3		158.8 84.9 31.6	72.5	155.7 93.7 23.6	106.9 51.1 4.8	98.6	24 25 26
27 28 29 30 31	Other sources Foreign funds. Treasury balances Insurance and pension reserves. Other, net	19.8 3.7 5 13.6 3.0	13.9 2.3 .2 12.0 6		34.0 9.3 * 10.8 13.8	-8.5 2.9 13.1	$\begin{bmatrix} -3.2 \\ 2.2 \\ 9.1 \end{bmatrix}$	35.5 5.2 .7 13.1 16.5	-1.0 16.7	13.6 -5.1 27.9	-2.1 22.7	50.9 16.4 -8.1 33.2 9.4	24.0 -5.4 -1.9 26.5 4.7	27 28 29 30 31
32 33 34 35 36 37	Private domestic nonfinancial investors Direct lending in credit markets U.S. Government securities State and local obligations, Corporate and foreign bonds, Commercial paper. Other	17.6 8.4 2.6 2.0 2.3 2.3	$\begin{bmatrix} -1.4 \\ -2.5 \end{bmatrix}$	2 4.7 5.8	44.5 17.0 8.7 6.6 10.2 2.0	-9.0 -1.2 10.7 -4.4	-14.0 .6 9.3 6	2.1 5.2	4.4 1.1	18.1 10.8 -1.7 1.6	13.7 8.3 -1.4 4.3	36.4 22.6 13.3 -1.9 -1.0 3.5	14.9	32 33 34 35 36 37
38 39 40 41 42	Deposits and currency.  Time and savings accounts.  Large negotiable CD's.  Other at commercial banks.  At savings institutions.	13.3	39.3 4.3	3.5	I — 13.7	24.2	81.0 7.7 32.9	101.9 85.2 8.7 30.6 45.9	18.5	71.9 23.6 26.6	89.0 30.0 32.4	55.2 54.8 17.2 20.7 16.9	105.9 87.7 -22.0 39.3 70.4	38 39 40 41 42
43 44 45	Money  Demand deposits.  Currency	4.1 2.1 2.0	12.8 10.6 2.1	14.5 12.1 2.4	7.7 4.8 2.8	10.5 7.1 3.5	12.7 9.3 3.4	16.7 12.3 4.4	12.6 8.6 3.9		13.3 4.8 8.5	.4 -3.7 4.1	18.1 10.9 7.3	43 44 45
46	Total of credit market instr., deposits, and currency.	42.0	56.3	68.7	49.9	64.1	90.5	115.7	128.1	110.5	129.3	91.6	134.8	46
47 48 49	Private support rate (in per cent) Private financial intermediation (in per cent) Total foreign funds	17.9 75.9 2.1	14.1 93.2 4.3	12.7 86.4 2.9	17.8 68.3 9.1	30.4 103.1 1.8	112.8	11.5 104.5 13.6	18.4 95.4 7.2	27.9 88.2 25.1		34.6 77.2 29.0	20.1 75.9 1.4	47 48 49
					С	orporat	e equiti	es not i	ncluded	above				
1 2 3 4 5	Total net issues.  Mutual fund shares. Other equities. Acquisitions by financial institutions. Other net purchases.	4.8 3.7 1.1 6.0 -1.2	5.5 3.0 2.5 9.1 -3.6	10.8		2.6 7.7	1.1 13.6 19.3	16.0		1.0 4.6 6.1	8 6.7 8.5	5.3 2.8 2.5 3.6 1.7	12.7 2.9 9.7 11.1 1.6	1 2 3 4 5

- Notes
  Line
  1. Line 2 of p. A-56.
  2. Sum of lines 3-6 or 7-10.
  6. Includes farm and commercial mortgages.
  11. Credit market funds raised by Federally sponsored credit agencies. Included below in lines 13 and 33. Includes all GNMA-guaranteed security issues backed by mortgage pools.
  12. Line 1 less line 2 plus line 11. Also line 19 less line 26 plus line 32. Also sum of lines 27, 32, 39, and 44.
  17. Includes farm and commercial mortgages.
  25. Lines 39 + 44.
  26. Excludes equity issues and investment company shares, Includes

- Excludes equity issues and investment company shares. Includes line 18.
- 28. Foreign deposits at commercial banks, bank borrowings from foreign branches, and liabilities of foreign banking agencies to foreign af-

- Demand deposits at commercial banks.
   Excludes net investment of these reserves in corporate equities.
   Mainly retained earnings and net miscellaneous liabilities.
   Line 12 less line 19 plus line 26.
   Thines 13-17 less amounts acquired by private finance. Line 37 includes mortgages.
   444. See line 25.
   Mainly an offset to line 9.
   Lines 32 plus 38 or line 12 less line 27 plus line 45.
   Line 19/line 1.
   Line 19/line 12.
   Lines 10 plus 28.

Corporate equities
Line 1 and 3. Includes issues by financial institutions.

# 1. U.S. BALANCE OF PAYMENTS SUMMARY

(In millions of dollars. Quarterly figures are seasonally adjusted unless shown in italics.)

Line	Credits (+), debits (-)	1972	1973	1974	19	74		1975	
					111	IV	I	11	IIIp
1 2 3	Merchandise trade balance <sup>1</sup>	-6,409 $49,388$ $-55,797$	955 71,379 -70,424	-5,277 98,309 $-103,568$	$   \begin{array}{r}     -2,315 \\     25,034 \\     -27,349   \end{array} $	-1,380 $26,593$ $-27,973$	1,830 27,188 -25,358	3,378 25,692 -22,314	2,026 26,716 -24,690
4 5	Military transactions, net	$-3,621 \\ -3,024$	-2,317 $-2,862$	-2,158 $-2,692$	-513 -721	-498 -741	-349 -572	-405 -393	128 -480
6 7 8 9	Investment income, net <sup>2</sup>	4,321 6,416 3,746 -5,841	5,179 8,841 5,157 -8,819	10,121 17,679 8,389 -15,946	2,354 4,700 2,354 -4,700	2,559 4,080 2,358 -3,879	1,176 2,156 2,148 -3,128	2,171 2,075	1,778 2,371 2,307 -2,900
10	Other services, net 2	2,803	3,222	3,830	960	1,049	1,093	1,043	1,095
11	Balance on goods and services <sup>3</sup>	-5,930 	4,177	3,825	$     \begin{array}{r}       -235 \\       -2,871   \end{array} $	989 2,348	3,178 4,230	5,015 5,234	<b>4,54</b> 7 1,978
12	Remittances, pensions, and other transfers		-1,903	-1,721	-457	-439	-448	-462	-426
13	Balance on goods, services, and remittances	<b>−7,537</b>	2,274	2,104	-692 -3,340	550 1,904	2,730 3,812	4,553 4,762	<b>4,121</b> <i>1,539</i>
14	U.S. Government grants (excluding military)	-2,173	-1,938	4-5,461	-808	-649	-727	-721	-621
15	Balance on current account	-9,710	335	4-3,357	$ \begin{array}{r r} -1,500 \\ -4,104 \end{array} $	<b>-99</b> 1,289	2,003 3,075	3,832 3,973	3,500 964
16 17 18	U.S. Government capital flows excluding nonscheduled repayments, net 5	-1,706 137	-2,933 289	4408 1	-195 *	985 *	-1,015	-821	<b>−701</b>
19 20 21 22 23 24 27	official reserve agencies.  Long-term private capital flows, net.  U.S. direct investments abroad.  Foreign direct investments in the United States 6.  Foreign securities.  U.S. securities other than Treasury issues 6.  Other, reported by U.S. banks.  Other, reported by U.S. nonbanking concerns	234 -69 -3,530 380 -618 4,507 -1,158 351	1,154 177 -4,968 2,656 -759 4,055 -706 -101	-8,463 -7,455 2,224 -1,990 672	278 -2,157 -1,828 -1 -304 204 48 -276	125 -5,570 -3,310 -653 -726 -663 -285	$ \begin{array}{r} -2,199 \\ -1,041 \\ 340 \\ -2,021 \end{array} $	-2,304 679 -1,001 678 -648	
26	Balance on current account and long-term capital 5  Not seasonally adjusted	-11,113	_977 	-10,702	$ \begin{array}{r r} -3,574 \\ -6,097 \end{array} $	-6,529 -4,616	670 134		1,580 -837
27 28 29 30 31 32	Nonliquid short-term private capital flows, net	-1,542 -1,457 -306 221 710 -1,884	-4,238 -3,886 -1,183 831 -2,436	1,840	-1,458 -1,614 -276 432	-2,305 -2,406 -137 238	1,733 250 -54	-1,008 -167 205	-1,116 202
33	Net liquidity balance  Not seasonally adjusted		-7,651	-18,940	-3,897 -5,538	-7,598 $-6,475$	3,326 4,471	920 774	208 -1,500
34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41	Liquid private capital flows, net. Liquid claims. Reported by U.S. banks. Reported by U.S. nonbanking concerns. Liquid liabilities— Foreign commercial banks. International and regional organizations. Other foreigners.	3,475 -1,247 -742	2,343 -1,951	10,543 -6,267 -6,134 -133 16,810	4,014 -249 -753 504 4,263 3,178 215	2,730 -2,101 -1,732 -369 4,831 2,730	-6,587 -4,744 -5,062 318 -1,843 -2,818 871	-2,634 -2,287 -2,413 126 -347 175 -666	4,711 378 926 -548 4,333 2,429
42	Official reserve transactions balance, financed by changes in—  Not seasonally adjusted	-10,354	-5,308 	<b>−8,397</b>	117 -1,684	-4,868 -4,070		-1,714 $-1,290$	4,919 3,051
43	Liquid liabilities to foreign official agencies	9,734	4,456	8,503	751	3,886	2,751	1,423	-4,828
44 45	Other readily marketable liabilities to foreign official agen- cies 7 Nonliquid liabilities to foreign official reserve agencies re-	399	1,118	673	136	630	841	321	252
46	ported by U.S. Govt	189 32 547	-475 209			215 137			-1 -342
47 48 49 50	Gold SDR's Convertible currencies Gold tranche position in IMF	547 -703 35 153	233 -33	-172 3	-123 -152	-20 241 -84	-4 -14	-16 -6	-25 -22 -95
51 52 53	Memoranda: Transfers under military grant programs (excluded from lines 2, 4, and 14) Reinvested earnings of foreign incorporated affiliates of U.S. firms (excluded from lines 7 and 20) Reinvested earnings of U.S. incorporated affiliates of foreign firms (excluded from lines 9 and 21)	4,492 4,521 548	2,809 8,124 945	7,508	352	490		1,244	66
54	Balances excluding allocations of SDR's:  Net liquidity, not seasonally adjusted	-14,539	_7,65 <i>1</i>	-18,940	-5,538	-6 <b>,47</b> 5	4,471	774	-1,500
55	Official reserve transactions, N.S.A	-11,064	-5,308	-8,397	-1,684	-4,070	-2,214	-1,290	3,051

For notes see opposite page.

#### 2. MERCHANDISE EXPORTS AND IMPORTS

(Seasonally adjusted; in millions of dollars)

		Expo	rts 1			Imp	orts <sup>2</sup>			Trade 1	palance	
	1972	1973	1974	1975 r	1972	1973	19743	1975 '	1972	1973	19743	1975 r
Month:												
Jan.   Feb.   Mar.   Apr.   May.   June   July   Aug.   Sept.   Oct.   Nov.   Dec.   Dec.   Sept.   Oct.   Nov.   Dec.   May.   Sept.   Oct.   Nov.   Dec.   Sept.   Oct.   Nov.   Dec.   Sept.   Oct.   Sept.   Oct.   Oct	4,074 3,824 3,869 3,820 3,882 3,971 4,074 4,191 4,176 4,312 4,468 4,553	4,955 5,070 5,311 5,494 5,561 5,728 5,865 6,042 6,420 6,585 6,879 6,949	7,150 7,549 7,625 8,108 7,652 8,317 8,307 8,379 8,673 8,673 8,973 8,862	9,412 8,787 8,693 8,574 8,144 8,692 8,884 8,970 9,157 9,288 9,409 9,325	4,436 4,473 4,515 4,417 4,486 4,565 4,726 4,612 4,738 5,148 5,002	5,244 5,483 5,414 5,360 5,703 5,775 5,829 6,011 5,644 5,996 6,684 6,291	6,498 7,318 7,742 8,025 8,265 8,577 8,922 9,267 8,696 8,773 8,973 9,257	9,617 7,880 7,285 8,022 7,103 6,962 7,913 7,967 8,189 8,212 8,299 8,746	-361 -649 -647 -596 -604 -497 -491 -535 -436 -426 -680 -449	-289 -413 -103 +133 -142 -47 +37 +32 +776 +589 +195 +658	+652 +231 -117 +83 -612 -260 -615 -888 -297 -100	-205 +908 +1,408 +552 +1,041 +1,730 +971 +1,003 +968 +1,076 +1,110 +579
Quarter: I II III IV	11,767 11,673 12,442 13,333	15,336 16,783 18,327 20,413	22,325 24,077 25,085 26,508	26,892 25,409 27,010 28,022	13,424 13,370 13,903 14,888	16,140 16,839 17,483 18,972	21,558 24,867 26,885 27,003	24,782 22,087 24,068 25,258	-1,657 -1,697 -1,461 -1,555	-804 -56 +844 +1,441	+767 -790 -1,800 -495	+2,111 +3,322 +2,942 +2,765
Year4	49,199	70,823	97,908	107,191	55,583	69,476	100,251	96,140	-6,384	+1,347	-2,343	+11,050

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Exports of domestic and foreign merchandise (f.a.s. value basis); excludes Department of Defense shipments under military grant-aid programs.

2 General imports, which includes imports for immediate consumption

basis. For calender year 1974, the f.a.s. import transactions value was \$100.3 billion, about 0.7 per cent less than the corresponding Customs import value of \$101.0 billion.

4 Sum of unadjusted figures.

Note.—Bureau of the Census data. Details may not add to totals because of rounding.

#### 3. U.S. RESERVE ASSETS

(In millions of dollars)

End of year	Total	Gold stock 1		Con- vertible	Reserve position		F-4-6		Gold stock		Con- vertible	Reserve position	
		Total <sup>2</sup>	Treasury	foreign curren- cies	in IMF	SDR's <sup>3</sup>	End of month	Total	Total <sup>2</sup>	Treasury	foreign curren- cies	in IMF	SDR's <sup>3</sup>
1961 1962 1963 1964 1966 1967 1968 1970 1971 1971 1972 19737 19737	18,753 17,220 16,843 16,672 15,450 14,882 14,830 15,710 416,964 14,487 512,167 13,151 14,378 15,883	16,947 16,057 15,596 15,471 13,806 13,235 12,065 10,892 11,859 11,072 10,206 10,487 11,652	16,889 15,978 15,513 15,388 13,733 13,159 11,982 10,367 10,367 10,732 10,132 10,410 11,567 11,652	781 781 1,321 2,345 3,528 42,781 629 5276 241	1,690 1,064 1,035 769 863 326 420 1,290 2,324 1,935 465 552 1,852	851 1,100 1,958 2,166 2,374	1975— Jan Feb Mar Apr May June July Aug Sept Oct Nov Dec 1976— Jan	16,242 16,084 16,117 16,291 16,569	11,635 11,620 11,620 11,620 11,620 11,620 11,618 11,599 11,599 11,599 11,599	11,635 11,620 11,620 11,620 11,620 11,620 11,618 11,599 11,599 11,599 11,599 11,599	2 2 19 2 4 25 2 28 247 413 423 80	1,908 2,065 2,194 2,168 2,179 2,135 2,135 2,169 2,144 2,192 2,234 2,212	2,403 2,444 2,423 2,393 2,438 2,418 2,329 2,321 2,365 2,336 2,336 2,336

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Includes (a) gold sold to the United States by the IMF with the right of repurchase, and (b) gold deposited by the IMF to mitigate the impact on the U.S. gold stock of foreign purchases for the purpose of making gold subscriptions to the IMF under quota increases. For corresponding

total gold stock is \$828 million (Treasury gold stock \$822 million), reserve position in IMF \$33 million, and SDR's \$155 million.

7 Total reserve assets include an increase of \$1,436 million resulting from change in par value of the U.S. dollar on Oct. 18, 1973; of which, total gold stock is \$1,165 million (Treas. gold stock \$1,157 million) reserve position in IMF \$54 million, and SDR's \$217 million.

8 Beginning July 1974, the IMF adopted a technique for valuing the SDR based on a weighted average of exchange rates for the currencies of 16 member countries. The U.S. SDR holdings and reserve position in the IMF are also valued on this basis beginning July 1974. At valuation used prior to July 1974 (SDR 1 = \$1.20635) SDR holdings at end Jan. amounted to \$2,449 million reserve position in IMF, \$2,283 million, and total U.S. reserves assets, \$2,389.

Note.—See Table 20 for gold held under earmark at F.R. Banks for foreign and international accounts. Gold under earmark is not included in the gold stock of the United States.

#### NOTES TO TABLE 1 ON OPPOSITE PAGE:

plus entries into bonded warehouses, See also note 3.

<sup>3</sup> Beginning with 1974 data, imports are reported on an f.a.s. transactions value basis; prior data are reported on a Customs import value

gold subscriptions to the 1917 under quota increases. For corresponding liabilities, see Table 5.

2 Includes gold in Exchange Stabilization Fund.

3 Includes allocations by the IMF of Special Drawing Rights as follows: \$867 million on Jan. 1, 1970; \$717 million on Jan. 1, 1971; and \$710 million on Jan. 1, 1972; plus net transactions in SDR's.

4 Includes gain of \$67 million resulting from revaluation of the German mark in Oct. 1969, of which \$13 million represents gain on mark holdings

mark in Oct. 1909, of which \$15 million represents gain on mark holdings at time of revaluation.

5 Includes \$28 million increase in dollar value of foreign currencies revalued to reflect market exchange rates as of Dec. 31, 1971.

6 Total reserve assets include an increase of \$1,016 million resulting from change in par value of the U.S. dollar on May 8, 1972; of which,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Adjusted to balance of payments basis; among other adjustments, excludes military transactions and includes imports into the U.S. Virgin

Islands.

<sup>2</sup> Fees and royalities from U.S. direct investments abroad or from foreign direct investments in the United States are excluded from investment income and included in "Other services."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Differs from the definition of "net exports of goods and services" in the national income and product (GNP) account. The GNP definition excludes special military sales to Israel from exports and excludes U.S. Govt. interest payments from imports.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Includes under U.S. Government grants \$2 billion equivalent, representing the refinancing of economic assistance loans to India; a corresponding reduction of credits is shown in line 16.

<sup>5</sup> Includes some short-term U.S. Govt. assets.

<sup>6</sup> Includes some transactions of foreign official agencies.

<sup>7</sup> Includes changes in long-term liabilities reported by banks in the United States and in investments by foreign official agencies in debt securities of U.S. Federally sponsored agencies and U.S. corporations.

Note.—Data are from U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis. Details may not add to totals because of rounding.

# 4. GOLD RESERVES OF CENTRAL BANKS AND GOVERNMENTS

(In millions of dollars; valued at \$35 per fine ounce through Apr. 1972, at \$38 from May 1972-Sept. 1973, and at \$42.22 thereafter)

End of period	Esti- mated total world <sup>1</sup>	Intl. Mone- tary Fund	United States	Esti- mated rest of world	Algeria	Argen- tina	Aus- tralia	Aus- tria	Bel- gium	Canada	China, Rep. of (Taiwan)	Den- mark	Egypt
1970	41,275 41,160 44,890 49,850 49,790	4,339 4,732 5,830 6,478 6,478	11,072 10,206 10,487 11,652 11,652	25,865 26,220 28,575 31,720 31,660	191 192 208 231 231	140 90 152 169 169	239 259 281 311 312	714 729 792 881 882	1,470 1,544 1,638 1,781	791 792 834 927 927	82 80 87 97 97	64 64 69 77 76	85 85 92 103 103
1975—Jan	49,760 49,755 249,740	6,478 6,478 6,478 6,478 6,478 6,478 6,478 6,478 6,478 6,478 6,478 6,478	11,635 11,621 11,620 11,620 11,620 11,620 11,618 11,599 11,599 11,599 11,599	31,660 31,655 231,660	231 231 231 231 231 231 231 231 231 231	169 169 169 169 169 169 169 169	312 312 312 312 312 312 312 312 312 312	882 882 882 882 882 882 882 882 882 882	1,781 1,781 1,781 1,781 1,781 1,781 1,781 1,781 1,781 1,781 1,781 1,781	927 927 927 927 927 927 927 927 927 927	97 97 97 97 97 97 97 97 97 97	76 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 76	103 103 103 103 103 103 103 103
End of period	France	Ger- many	Greece	India	Iran	Iraq	Italy	Japan	Kuwait	Leb- anon	Libya	Mexi- co	Nether- lands
1970	3,532 3,523 3,826 4,261 4,262	3,980 4,077 4,459 4,966 4,966	117 98 133 148 150	243 243 264 293 293	131 131 142 159 158	144 144 156 173 173	2,887 2,884 3,130 3,483 3,483	532 679 801 891 891	86 87 94 120 148	288 322 350 388 389	85 85 93 103 103	176 184 188 196 154	1,787 1,909 2,059 2,294 2,294
1975—Jan	4,262 4,262 4,262 4,262 4,262 4,262 4,262 4,262 4,262 4,262 4,262 4,262 4,262	4,966 4,966 4,966 4,966 4,966 4,966 4,966 4,966 4,966 4,966 4,966 4,966	150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150	293 293 293 293 293 293 293 293 293 293	158 158 158 158 158 158 158 158 158 158	173 173 173 173 173 173 173 173 173 173	3,483 3,483 3,483 3,483 3,483 3,483 3,483 3,483 3,483 3,483 3,483 3,483	891 891 891 891 891 891 891 891 891	140 140 154 154 175 154 154 160 160 160	389 389 389 389 389 389 389 389	103 103 103 103 103 103 103 103 103 103	154 154 154 154 154 154 154 154	2,294 2,294 2,294 2,294 2,294 2,294 2,294 2,294 2,294 2,294 2,294 2,294
End of period	Paki- stan	Portu- gal	Saudi Arabia	South Africa	Spain	Sweden	Switzer- land	Thai- land	Turkey	United King- dom	Uru- guay	Vene- zuela	Bank for Intl. Settle- ments 2
1970	54 55 60 67 67	902 921 1,021 1,163 1,180	119 108 117 129 129	666 410 681 802 771	498 498 541 602 602	200 200 217 244 244	2,732 2,909 3,158 3,513 3,513	92 82 89 99	126 130 136 151 151	1,349 775 800 886 886	162 148 133 148 148	384 391 425 472 472	-282 310 218 235 250
1975—Jan	67 67 67 67 67 67 67 67 67 67	1,175 1,175 1,175 1,175 1,175 1,175 1,175 1,175 1,175 1,175	129 129 129 129 129 129 129 129 129	764 759 755 747 742 734 742 744 762 754 752 749	602 602 602 602 602 602 602 602 602	244 244 244 244 244 244 244 244 244 244	3,513 3,513 3,513 3,513 3,513 3,513 3,513 3,513 3,513 3,513 3,513 3,513	99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99	151 151 151 151 151 151 151 151 151 151	886 886 886 886 886 886 886 886	148 148 148 148 148 135 135 135 135	472 472 472 472 472 472 472 472 472 472	265 272 259 260 239 262 264 254 256 259 246

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Includes reported or estimated gold holdings of international and regional organizations, central banks and govts, of countries listed in this table, and also of a number not shown separately here, and gold to be distributed by the Tripartite Commission for the Restitution of Monetary Gold; excludes holdings of the U.S.S.R., other Eastern European countries, and People's Republic of China.

The figures included for the Bank for International Settlements are the Bank's gold assets net of gold deposit liabilities. This procedure avoids the overstatement of total world gold reserves since most of the gold deposited with the BIS is included in the gold reserves of individual countries.

2 Net gold assets of BIS, i.e., gold assets minus gold deposit liabilities.

#### 5. U.S. LIABILITIES TO FOREIGN OFFICIAL INSTITUTIONS, AND LIQUID LIABILITIES TO ALL OTHER FOREIGNERS

(In millions of dollars)

<u></u>						Liabilitie	s to foreign	countries				
		Liquid liabili- ties to		Offic	ial instituti	ions <sup>2</sup>			Liquid	liabilities to foreigners	o other	Liquid liabili- ties to non-
End of period	Total	IMF arising from gold trans- actions 1	Total	Short- term liabili- ties re- ported by banks in U.S.	Market- able U.S. Treas. bonds and notes <sup>3</sup>	Non- market- able U.S. Treas. bonds and notes4	Other readily market- able liabili- ties 5	Liquid liabili- ties to com- mercial banks abroad <sup>6</sup>	Total	Short- term liabili- ties re- ported by banks in U.S.	Market- able U.S. Treas. bonds and notes <sup>3</sup> , <sup>7</sup>	mone- tary intl. and re- gional organi- zations 8
1963	26,394	800	14,425	12,467	1,183	766	9	5,817	3,387	3,046	341	1,965
19649	{29,313 29,364	800 800	15,790 15,786	13,224 13,220	1,125 1,125	1,283 1,283	158 158	7,271 7,303	3,730 3,753	3,354 3,377	376 376	1,722 1,722
1965	29,568	834	15,825	13,066	1,105	1,534	120	7,419	4,059	3,587	472	1,431
1966 <sup>9</sup>	{31,144 31,019	1,011 1,011	14,840 14,895	12,484 12,539	860 860	583 583	913 913	10,116 9,936	4,271 4,272	3,743 3,744	528 528	906 905
19679	{35,819 35,667	1,033 1,033	18,201 18,194	14,034 14,027	908 908	1,452 1,452	1,807 1,807	11,209 11,085	4,685 4,678	4,127 4,120	558 558	691 677
19689	{38,687 38,473	1,030 1,030	17,407 17,340	11,318 11,318	529 462	3,219 3,219	2,341 2,341	14,472 14,472	5,053 4,909	4,444 4,444	609 465	725 722
19699	10{45,755 45,914	1,109 1,019	1015,975 15,998	11,054 11,077	346 346	10 3,070 3,070	1,505 1,505	23,638 23,645	4,464 4,589	3,939 4,064	525 525	659 663
1970—Dec	{47,009 46,960	566 566	23,786 23,775	19,333 19,333	306 295	3,452 3,452	695 695	17,137 17,169	4,676 4,604	4,029 4,039	647 565	844 846
1971—Dec. 11	{67,681 67,808	544 544	51,209 50,651	39,679 39,018	1,955 1,955	9,431 9,534	144 144	10,262 10,949	4,138 4,141	3,691 3,694	447 447	1,528 1,523
1972—Dec	82,862		61,526	40,000	5,236	15,747	543	14,666	5,043	4,618	425	1,627
19 <b>73</b> —Dec	1292,456		1266,827	1243,923	5,701	1215,530	1,673	17,694	5,932	5,502	430	2,003
1974—Dec. 9	{119,097   119,010		76,658 76,665	53,057 53,064	5,059 5,059	16,196 16,196	2,346 2,346	30,314 30,079	8,803 8,943	8,305 8,445	498 498	3,322 3,322
1975—Jan	119,332 119,854 120,810 122,078 121,872 122,268 123,629 123,117		78,689 79,210 79,085 79,799 80,533 79,705 79,259 77,921	51,832 54,310 53,696 53,521 52,395 51,879 50,318 49,917 48,075 49,602 49,129 48,989	5,177 5,279 6,003 5,941 6,064 6,119 6,160 6,276 6,452 6,624 6,454 6,500	16,324 16,324 16,365 17,925 19,027 19,474 19,324 19,524 19,584 19,834	2,627 2,776 3,187 3,258 3,415 3,508 3,753 3,742 3,870 4,048 4,055 4,198	29,135 27,297 27,404 28,794 28,910 28,136 29,157 30,364 30,310 28,467 32,210 29,493	8,752 9,093 9,047 8,843 9,115 9,192 9,651 9,904 10,021 10,234 10,762	8,244 8,483 8,411 8,188 8,492 8,538 8,412 8,980 9,203 9,283 9,527 10,033	508 610 636 655 623 654 710 671 701 738 707 729	4,189 4,253 4,193 4,088 4,254 4,011 4,284 4,355 4,982 4,942 4,595 5,672

<sup>1</sup> Includes (a) liability on gold deposited by the IMF to mitigate the impact on the U.S. gold stock of foreign purchases for gold subscriptions to the IMF under quota increases, and (b) U.S. Treasury obligations at cost value and funds awaiting investment obtained from proceeds of sales of gold by the IMF to the United States to acquire income-earning assets.
<sup>2</sup> Includes BIS, and European Fund through Dec. 1972.
<sup>3</sup> Derived by applying reported transactions to benchmark data; breakdown of transactions by type of holder estimated for 1963.
<sup>4</sup> Excludes notes issued to foreign official nonreserve agencies.
<sup>5</sup> Includes long-term liabilities reported by banks in the United States and debt securities of U.S. Federally sponsored agencies and U.S. corporations.

and debt securities of U.S. Federally sponsored agencies and U.S. Corporations.

6 Includes short-term liabilities payable in dollars to commercial banks abroad and short-term liabilities payable in foreign currencies to commercial banks abroad and to other foreigners.

7 Includes marketable U.S. Treasury bonds and notes held by commercial backs abroad.

cial banks abroad.

8 Principally the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development and the Inter-American and Asian Development Banks.

9 Data on the 2 lines shown for this date differ because of changes in reporting coverage. Figures on first line are comparable with those

shown for the preceding date; figures on second line are comparable with

shown for the preceding date; figures on second line are comparable with those shown for the following date.

10 Includes \$101 million increase in dollar value of foreign currency liabilities resulting from revaluation of the German mark in Oct. 1969.

11 Data on the second line differ from those on first line because certain accounts previously classified as official institutions are included with banks; a number of reporting banks are included in the series for the first time; and U.S. Treasury securities payable in foreign currencies issued to official institutions of foreign countries have been increased in value to reflect market exchange rates as of Dec. 31, 1971.

12 Includes \$162 million increase in dollar value of foreign currency liabilities revalued to reflect market exchange rates, as follows: short-term liabilities, \$15 million; and nonmarketable U.S. Treasury notes, \$147 million.

Note.—Based on Treasury Dept. data and on data reported to the Treasury Dept. by banks and brokers in the United States. Table excludes IMF holdings of dollars, and U.S. Treasury letters of credit and nonnegotiable, non-interest-bearing special U.S. notes held by other international and regional organizations.

## 6. U.S. LIABILITIES TO OFFICIAL INSTITUTIONS OF FOREIGN COUNTRIES, BY AREA

(Amounts outstanding; in millions of dollars)

End of period	Total foreign countries	Western Europe <sup>1</sup>	Canada	Latin American republics	Asia	Africa	Other countries <sup>2</sup>
1971.	50,651	30,134	3,980	1,429	13,823	415	870
1972.	61,526	34,197	4,279	1,733	17,577	777	2,963
1973.	66,827	45,730	3,853	2,544	10,887	788	3,025
1974—Dec. <sup>3</sup>	{76,658	44,185	3,662	4,419	18,604	3,161	2,627
	\76,665	44,185	3,662	4,419	18,611	3,161	2,627
1975—Jan Feb Mar Apr May June July Aug Sept Oct Nov.** Dec.**	79,210 79,085 79,799 80,533 79,705	43,331 44,770 45,776 45,063 45,276 44,241 44,068 43,359 44,662 45,139	3,621 3,616 3,546 3,251 3,101 3,008 2,966 2,929 3,011 3,049 3,223 3,137	3,659 4,223 4,390 4,506 4,600 4,723 4,748 4,924 4,830 4,254 4,056 4,448	19,555 20,274 19,441 20,062 20,423 20,457 21,299 20,972 20,819 22,008 21,776 21,961	3,232 3,356 3,433 3,448 3,800 3,319 3,392 3,137 3,018 2,951 2,983	2,562 2,450 2,624 2,710 2,917 3,269 3,132 2,974 2,763 2,602 2,614 1,853

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Includes Bank for International Settlements, and European Funds through 1972.
 <sup>2</sup> Includes countries in Oceania and Eastern Europe, and Western European dependencies in Latin America.
 <sup>3</sup> See note 9 to Table 5.

Note.-Data represent short- and long-term liabilities to the official

institutions of foreign countries, as reported by banks in the United States; foreign official holdings of marketable and nonmarketable U.S. Treasury securities with an original maturity of more than 1 year, except for nonmarketable notes issued to foreign official nonreserve agencies; and investments by foreign official reserve agencies in debt securities of U.S. Federally sponsored agencies and U.S. corporations.

#### 7. SHORT-TERM LIABILITIES TO FOREIGNERS REPORTED BY BANKS IN THE UNITED STATES, BY TYPE

(Amounts outstanding; in millions of dollars)

			То	all foreig	ners				,	To nonmo and regio	netary in onal organ	ternational nizations 6	
		 	Paya	able in do	llars		Payable	IMF gold invest-		Dep	osits	U.S.	
End of period	Total 1		Dep	osits	U.S. Treasury	Other short-	in foreign cur-	ment <sup>5</sup>	Total			Treasury bills and certifi-	Other short- term
1971		Total	Demand	Time <sup>2</sup>	bills and certifi- cates <sup>3</sup>	term liab.4	rencies		!	Demand	Time <sup>2</sup>	cates	liab.7
1971 1972 1973	55,428 60,696 69,074	55,036 60,200 68,477	6,459 8,290 11,310	4,217 5,603 6,882	33,025 31,850 31,886	11,335 14,457 18,399	392 496 597	400	1,367 1,412 1,955	73 86 101	192 202 83	210 326 296	892 799 1,474
1974—Dec.8	{94,847 {94,760	94,081 93,994	14,068 14,064	10,106 10,010	35,662 35,662	34,246 34,258	766 766		3,171 3,171	139 139	111 111	497 497	2,424 2,424
1975—Jan Feb Mar Apr May June July Aug Sept. r Oct Nov. p Dec. p	94,065 93,006 94,103 93,651 792,490 92,002 93,515 92,483 91,935	92,412 93,332 92,325 93,362 92,986 791,906 91,442 92,953 91,929 91,300 94,697 93,202	12,284 12,135 12,319 11,691 11,925 12,595 12,215 12,215 13,422 12,160 12,815 13,714	10,053 10,202 10,043 10,390 10,374 10,536 10,372 10,804 10,518 10,583 10,354 10,658	38,108 40,428 40,094 40,628 38,265 38,553 38,518 36,642 37,749 37,297 37,436	31,966 30,567 29,869 30,857 30,059 730,458 30,301 31,416 31,346 30,807 34,231 31,394	721 733 682 742 665 584 560 562 554 635 640 599		3,921 3,976 3,496 3,601 3,853 3,453 4,115 4,253 4,895 4,582 4,471 5,285	123 118 189 99 115 106 146 110 107 132 145 139	111 102 116 126 133 133 134 148 127 151 156 187	1,234 1,260 777 781 1,994 996 2,518 3,156 3,008 2,397 1,605 2,547	2,453 2,495 2,413 2,594 1,612 2,219 1,317 839 1,389 1,903 2,562 2,405

For notes see opposite page.

#### 7. SHORT-TERM LIABILITIES TO FOREIGNERS REPORTED BY BANKS IN THE UNITED STATES, BY TYPE-Continued

(Amounts outstanding; in millions of dollars)

		Total to o	fficial, banl	cs and othe	er foreigners	s	j	7	Γο official i	nstitutions <sup>9</sup>	,	
			Payable	in dollars		Payable			Payable	in dollars		
End of period	Total	Dep	osits	U.S. Treasury	Other short-	in foreign cur-	Total	Dep	osits	U.S. Treasury	Other short-	Payable in foreign
		Demand	Time <sup>2</sup>	bills and certifi- cates <sup>3</sup>	term liab.4	rencies		Demand	Time 2	bills and certifi- cates 3	term liab. 7	currencies
1972 1973	59,284 67,119	8,204 11,209	5,401 6,799	31,523 31,590	13,659 16,925	496 597	40,000 43,923	1,591 2,125	2,880 3,911	31,453 31,511	3,905 6,248	171 127
1974—Dec.8	{91,676 {91,589	13,928 13,925	9,995 9,899	35,165 35,165	31,822 31,834	766 766	53,057 53,064	2,951 2,951	4,257 4,167	34,656 34,656	11,066 11,163	127 127
1975—Jan	89, 211 90, 090 89, 511 90, 503 89, 797 88, 553 87, 887 89, 261 87, 588 87, 352 90, 866 88, 515	12,161 12,016 12,130 11,592 11,811 12,490 12,070 12,104 13,315 12,027 12,670 13,576	9,942 10,100 9,927 10,264 10,241 10,403 10,238 10,656 10,391 10,434 10,198 10,472	36,874 39,169 39,316 39,643 38,634 37,269 36,035 35,362 33,634 35,359 35,692 34,889	29,513 28,072 27,456 28,263 28,448 27,807 28,984 30,576 29,694 28,897 31,669 28,989	721 733 682 742 665 584 560 562 554 635 637 591	51,832 54,310 53,696 53,521 52,395 51,879 50,318 49,917 48,075 49,602 49,129 48,989	2,185 2,058 2,323 2,147 2,175 2,564 2,492 2,493 2,452 2,448 2,242 2,644	4,201 4,206 4,203 4,193 4,331 4,321 4,098 4,239 3,987 3,948 3,655 3,438	36,531 38,840 39,015 39,316 38,372 36,994 35,803 35,055 33,284 34,983 35,247 34,204	8,916 9,206 8,154 7,864 7,517 8,000 7,925 8,130 8,352 8,223 7,985 8,703	
				To banks 1	0			Тос	ther foreig	ners		
			•			Payable in	n dollars					To banks and other foreigners:
End of period	Total		Dep	osits	U.S. Treasury	Other short-		Depo	osits	U.S. Treasury	Other short-	Payable in foreign cur-
		Total	Demand	Time <sup>2</sup>	bills and certifi- cates	term liab.4	Total	Demand	Time <sup>2</sup>	bills and certifi- cates	term liab.7	rencies
1972 1973	19,284 23,196	14,340 17,224	4,658 6,941	405 529	5 11	9,272 9,743	4,618 5,502	1,955 2,143	2,116 2,359	65 68	481 933	325 469
1974—Dec.8	${38,619 \atop 38,525}$	29,676 29,441	8,248 8,244	1,942 1,936	232 232	19,254 19,029	8,304 8,445	2,729 2,729	3,796 3,796	277 277	1,502 1,643	639 639
1975—Jan	37,379 35,780 35,815 36,982 37,403 36,674 37,569 39,344 39,512 37,750 41,737 39,526	28,414 26,564 26,722 28,052 28,245 27,553 28,596 29,803 29,756 27,832 31,574 28,902	7,351 7,138 7,067 6,889 6,852 7,067 6,882 6,907 7,982 6,811 7,589 7,683	1,982 2,033 1,808 2,102 1,821 1,949 2,033 1,824 1,799 1,777 1,694 2,136	172 155 101 120 105 99 80 77 78 100 135 335	18,909 17,238 17,747 18,941 19,466 18,438 19,601 20,994 19,897 19,143 22,156 18,747	8,244 8,483 8,411 8,189 8,493 8,537 8,412 8,980 9,203 9,282 9,527 10,034	2,625 2,820 2,740 2,556 2,784 2,859 2,696 2,705 2,881 2,839 3,249	3,760 3,861 3,916 3,969 4,089 4,133 4,107 4,592 4,605 4,708 4,850 4,898	171 174 200 207 156 176 152 230 272 276 311 349	1,688 1,628 1,555 1,457 1,465 1,369 1,458 1,452 1,445 1,530 1,528 1,538	721 733 682 742 665 584 560 562 554 637 591

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Data exclude IMF holdings of dollars.

<sup>7</sup> Principally bankers' acceptances, commercial paper, and negotiable time certificates of deposit.

8 Data on the 2 lines shown for this date differ because of changes in 8 Data on the 2 lines shown for this date differ because of changes in reporting coverage. Figures on the first line are comparable in coverage with those shown for the preceding date; figures on the second line are comparable with those shown for the following date.
9 Foreign central banks and foreign central govts. and their agencies, Bank for International Settlements, and European Fund through Dec. 1972.
10 Excludes central banks, which are included in "Official institutions."

Note.—"Short term" obligations are those payable on demand or having an original maturity of 1 year or less. For data on long-term liabilities reported by banks, see Table 9. Data exclude International Monetary Fund holdings of dollars; these obligations to the IMF constitute contingent liabilities, since they represent essentially the amount of dollars available for drawings from the IMF by other member countries. Data exclude also U.S. Treasury letters of credit and nonnegotiable, noninterest-bearing special U.S. notes held by the Inter-American Development Bank and the International Development Association.

¹ Data exclude IMF holdings of dollars.
 ² Excludes negotiable time certificates of deposit, which are included in "Other short-term liabilities."
 ³ Includes nonmarketable certificates of indebtedness and Treasury bills issued to official institutions of foreign countries.
 ⁴ Includes liabilities of U.S. banks to their foreign branches, liabilities of U.S. agencies and branches of foreign banks to their head offices and foreign branches, bankers' acceptances, commercial paper, and negotiable time certificates of deposit.
 ⁵ U.S. Treasury bills and certificates obtained from proceeds of sales of gold by the IMF to the United States to acquire income-earning assets. Upon termination of investment, the same quantity of gold was reacquired by the IMF.
 ⁶ Principally the International Bank for Reconstruction and Develop-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Principally the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development and the Inter-American and Asian Development Banks. Includes difference between cost value and face value of securities in IMF gold investment account.

## 8. SHORT-TERM LIABILITIES TO FOREIGNERS REPORTED BY BANKS IN THE UNITED STATES, BY COUNTRY

(End of period. Amounts outstanding; in millions of dollars)

Area and country	19	74					19	75				
	De	ec. 1	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.p	Dec.p
Europe: Austria Belgium-Luxembourg	607	607 2,506	599 2,539	629 2,810	627 2,875	627 3,070	661 2,982	667 2,891	688	606 2,918	635 2,938	700 2,917
Denmark. Finland France Germany	369 266 4,287 9,420	369 266 4,287 9,429	370 202 4,226 11,240	340 212 4,600 10,229	323 181 4,982 8,203	355 365 5,403 6,460	325 361 5,515 5,440	308 406 5,493 5,277	311 391 5,950 4,797	327 367 6,608 5,047	361 380 7,172 4,841	332 385 7,733 4,407
Greece	248 2,617 3,234	248 2,617 3,234	2,449 3,414	10,229 202 2,498 3,302	8,203 273 2,157 3,351	6,460 254 2,298 3,535	5,440 299 1,426 3,539	1,056 3,301	1,426 3,059	331 1,398 3,199	313 1,071 3,301	1,141 3,148
Norway	1,040 310 382 1,138	1,040 310 382 1,138	843 288 358 1,209	827 247 361 1,477	846 267 341 1,697	945 264 362 1,847	1,118 279 392 2,010	268 288	982 207 459 2,195	886 236 414	970 190 402	996 194 426
SwitzerlandTurkeyUnited Kingdom	9,986 152 7,559	10,137 152 7,584	8,938 243 7,039	8,817 103 7,053	8,615 87 6,994	8,445 124 6,417	7,941 106 6,461	2,203 8,350 134 8,342	8,104 116 6,261	2,252 8,205 128 6,722	2,241 8,029 120 7,202	2,272 8,555 118 6,884
Yugoslavia Other Western Europe <sup>2</sup> U.S.S.R Other Eastern Europe	183 4,073 82 206	183 4,073 82 206	158 2,641 35 218	122 2,516 34 123	126 2,511 61 148	2,527 62 370	106 2,535 29 181	104 2,266 50 160	128 2,408 39 272	138 2,428 42 153	175 2,370 38 128	126 2,930 40 200
Total	<sup>7</sup> 48,667	48,852	47,200	46,502	44,666	43,817	41,706	42,924	41,020	42,405	42,878	43,788
Canada Latin America:	3,517	3,520	3,448	3,946	3,951	3,617	3,921	3,637	3,944	3,567	4,091	3,074
Argentina	886 1,448 1,034 276 305	1,054 1,034 276 305	1,248 1,065 258 326	886 1,946 1,077 278 313	964 2,288 984 260 307	989 1,691 1,081 289 400	1,061 1,991 853 301 376	1,054 2,187 921 280 367	1,503 1,016 293 379	1,135 2,221 1,083 270 366	1,142 2,989 1,083 266 387	1,147 1,833 1,207 317 414
Mexico	1,770 488 272 147	1,770 510 272 165	1,668 528 225 177	1,727 695 217 183	1,876 579 206 168	1,819 549 219 155	1,794 657 228 190	1,811 645 208 160	1,862 752 245 208	1,956 765 247 168	2,183 840 249 175	2,077 1,104 244 172
Venezuela Other Latin American republics	3,413 1,316	3,413 1,316	3,501 1,348	3,559 1,401	3,866 1,353	3,726 1,506	3,964 1,410	4,242 1,364	1,462	3,531 1,399	3,188 1,361	3,283 1,494
Netherlands Antilles and Surinam Other Latin America	158 526	158 596	143 507	113 761	123 905	134 998	104 1,496	105 1,513	119 1,904	113 1,046	118 2,148	7129 71,448
Total	12,038	11,754	11,817	13,158	13,881	13,557	14,425	14,858	14,973	14,305	16,131	14,869
Asia: China, People's Rep. of (China Mainland) China, Republic of (Taiwan) Hong Kong	50 818 530	50 818 530	62 1,037 528	63 1,038 543	56 999 596	65 1,071 598	50 1,015 540	55 1,054 577	94 1,058 741	104 1,061 684	93 1,051 683	123 1,025 623
India Indonesia Israel	261 1,221 386	261 1,221 389 10,897	183 497 511 11,390	127 582 493 10,993	168 279 538 11,109	145 365 472 11,223	133 527 369 11,669	214 289 343 11,218	214 234 322 11,128	194 612 364 9,940	181 418 342 10,776	126 369 7386 10,142
Japan Korea Philippines Thailand Middle East oil-exporting	384 747 333	384 747 333	745 455	345 660 446	341 662 342	361 697 370	366 632 284	374 669 255	342 604 207	400 580 194	386 593 193	390 698 252
Countries 3 Other	4,633 813	4,633 820	3,673 978	3,922 905	4,315 861	3,850 906	4,447 767	4,819 919	5,101 970	5,784 926	5,987 885	6,285 910
Total	21,073	21,082	20,371	20,114	20,265	20,122	20,800	20,785	21,015	20,844	21,589	21,330
EgyptSouth AfricaOil-exporting countries4Other	103 130 2,814 504	103 130 2,814 504	92 191 3,041 524	112 159 3,070 526	113 179 3,009 594	514 141 2,965 572	253 132 2,785 558	295 147 2,873 553	183 254 2,649 560	185 177 2,447 575	255 108 2,372 643	342 168 2,238 622
Total	3,551	3,551	3,848	3,867	3,895	4,192	3,727	3,866	3,646	3,385	3,377	3,370
Other countries: AustraliaAll other	2,742 89	2,742 89	2,761 66	2,856 60	3,069 71	3,185 64	3,231 77	3,114 75	2,912 78	2,766 80	2,712 87	1,971 114
Total	2,831	2,831	2,828	2,916	3,140	3,249	3,308	3,189	2,989	2,846	2,800	2,085
Total foreign countries  International and regional:	91,676	91,589	89,511	90,503	89,797	88,553	87,887	89,261	87,588	87,352	90,866	88,515
International <sup>5</sup> Latin American regionalOther regional <sup>6</sup>	2,900 202 69	2,900 202 69	3,222 229 44	3,291 220 90	3,600 169 84	73,688 155 94	3,844 181 90	3,950 215 88	r4,615 186 94	4,303 190 90	4,217 193 61	5,062 187 37
Total	3,171	3,171	3,496	3,601	3,853	73,937	4,115	4,254	74,895	4,583	4,471	5,285
Grand total	94,847	94,760	93,006	94,103	93,651	<sup>7</sup> 92,490	92,002	93,515	<sup>7</sup> 92,483	91,935	95,337	93,801

For notes see opposite page.

#### 8. SHORT-TERM LIABILITIES TO FOREIGNERS REPORTED BY BANKS IN THE UNITED STATES, BY COUNTRY-Continued

(End of period. Amounts outstanding; in millions of dollars) Supplementary data 7

	19	73	19	74	1975		19	73	19	74	1975
Area and country	Apr.	Dec.	Apr.	Dec.	Apr.	Area and country	Apr.	Dec.	Apr.	Dec.	Apr.
Other Western Europe: Cyprus	9 12 22	19 8 62	10 11 53	7 21 29	17 20 29	Other Asia—Cont.: Cambodia Jordan Laos. Lebanon Malaysia Pakistan.	3 4 3 55 59 93	2 6 3 62 58 105	4 6 3 68 40 108	4 22 3 7126 63 91	30 5 180 92
Costa Rica Dominican Republic Ecuador El Salvador Guatemala Haiti Honduras	75	86 118 92 90 156 21	88 137 90 129 245 28 71	117 127 122 129 214 35 88	120 214 157 144 255 34 92	Singapore. Sri Lanka (Ceylon)	53 6 98	141 13 88	165 13 98	7245 14 126	215 13 70
Jamaica Nicaragua Paraguay Trinidad and Tobago, Other Latin America:	32 79 26 17	39 99 29 17	52 119 40 21	69 127 46 107	62 125 38	Ethiopia (incl. Eritrea). Ghana. Kenya. Liberia. Southern Rhodesia	75 28 19 31 1	79 20 23 42 2	118 22 20 29 1	95 18 31 39 2	76 13 32 33 3 14
Bermuda	127 100	242 109	201 354	107 r449	100 r627	Tanzania Tunisia Uganda Zambia	16 11 19 37	12 7 6 22	12 17 11 66	11 19 13 22	21 23 18
AfghanistanBurma.	19 17	22 12	11 42	18 65	19	All other: New Zealand	34	39	33	47	36

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Data in the 2 columns shown for this date differ because of changes in reporting coverage. Figures in the first column are comparable in coverage with those for the preceding date; figures in the second column are comparable with those shown for the following date.

<sup>2</sup> Includes Bank for International Settlements.

<sup>3</sup> Comprises Bahrain, Iran, Iraq, Kuwait, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, and United Arab Emirates (Trucial States).

#### 9. LONG-TERM LIABILITIES TO FOREIGNERS REPORTED BY BANKS IN THE UNITED STATES

(Amounts outstanding; in millions of dollars)

		То	•	To foreign	countrie	s			Co	untry or a	ırea		
End of period	Total	intl. and regional	Total	Official institu- tions	Banks <sup>1</sup>	Other foreign- ers	Ger- many	United King- dom	Total Europe	Total Latin America	Middle East <sup>2</sup>	Other Asia <sup>3</sup>	All other coun- tries
1972 1973	1,018 1,462	580 761	439 700	93 310	259 291	87 100	165 159	63 66	260 470	136 132		33 83	10 16
1974—Dec	1,285	822	464	124	261	79	146	43	227	115	r94	r8	20
1975—Jan. Feb. Mar. Apr. May June July Aug Sept. Oct. Nov.* Dec.**	1,441 1,548 1,414	846 776 800 626 585 518 438 378 401 311 297 415	560 666 748 788 865 893 960 974 1,083 1,074 1,093	223 336 426 466 548 576 641 651 763 748 749 781	266 264 255 253 248 247 242 243 241 241 261 215	71 66 67 68 69 70 77 81 79 83 83	144 141 131 129 123 120 121 120 118 118 115 90	58 57 57 57 57 59 61 61 61 61 61	218 211 202 205 201 197 201 202 201 206 206 182	118 119 120 121 121 121 123 121 123 124 147 140	189 304 394 429 514 609 619 731 712 712 744	11 9 10 5 6 7 6 7 6 6 8	21 21 22 22 22 23 24 23 24 24 24 24

 <sup>1</sup> Excludes central banks, which are included with "Official institutions."
 2 Comprises oil-exporting countries as follows: Bahrain, Iran, Iraq,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Comprises Algeria, Gabon, Libya, and Nigeria.
<sup>5</sup> Data exclude holdings of dollars of the International Monetary Fund.
<sup>6</sup> Asian, African, and European regional organizations, except BIS, which is included in "Europe."
<sup>7</sup> Represent a partial breakdown of the amounts shown in the other categories (except "Other Eastern Europe").

Kuwait, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, and United Arab Emirates (Trucial

#### INTL. CAPITAL TRANSACTIONS OF THE U.S. - FEBRUARY 1976 A 66

#### 10. ESTIMATED FOREIGN HOLDINGS OF MARKETABLE U.S. TREASURY BONDS AND NOTES

(End of period; in millions of dollars)

Area and country	1974						1	975					
	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.p	Dec.p
Europe: Belgium-Luxembourg	10 9 251 30 493 788 5	11 9 252 31 529 780 5	12 9 252 30 578 774 5	14 208 252 29 599 *79	14 209 252 32 611 795	14 209 251 34 564 797	14 209 252 37 522 97	14 209 252 37 536 98 5	14 210 278 41 520 102 5	14 217 275 44 501 114 5	14 216 275 54 441 152 5	13 216 275 58 414 152 4	13 215 276 55 363 117 4
Total	885	916	959	1,186	1,217	1,174	1,135	1,151	1,169	1,170	1,157	1,134	1,044
Canada	713	697	584	588	460	412	412	408	406	404	399	400	393
Latin America: Latin American republics Netherlands Antilles and Surinam Other Latin America	12 83 5	11 82 6	11 142 6	11 130 5	11 125 4	11 118 4	13 134 5	13 178 5	13 149 5	13 149 5	13 158 6	33 160 6	33 161 6
Total	100	99	159	147	140	133	152	196	167	168	177	199	200
Asia: Japan Other Asia	3,498 212	3,498 325	3,496 541	3,496 1,071	3,496 1,121	3,496 1,291	3,496 1,397	3,496 1,418	3,496 1,498	3,502 1,648	3,520 1,798	3,269 1,849	3,271 2,000
Total	3,709	3,822	4,037	4,567	4,617	4,787	4,893	4,914	4,994	5,149	5,319	5,118	5,271
A frica	151	151	151	151	161	181	181	201	211	261	311	311	321
All other													
Total foreign countries	5,557	5,685	5,889	6,639	6,596	6,687	6,773	6,870	6,945	7,153	7,362	7,161	7,229
International and regional: International Latin American regional	97 53	215 53	226 51	627 71	419 69	342 57	29 44	128 40	66 35	52 35	324 35	94 29	357 29
Total	150	268	277	699	488	399	74	169	101	87	359	124	386
Grand total	5,708	5,953	6,167	7,337	7,084	7,087	6,847	7,039	7,048	7,240	7,721	7,285	7,615

NOTE.—Data represent estimated official and private holdings of marketable U.S. Treasury securities with an original maturity of more than I

year, and are based on a benchmark survey of holdings as of Jan. 31, 1971, and monthly transactions reports (see Table 14).

#### 11. SHORT-TERM CLAIMS ON FOREIGNERS REPORTED BY BANKS IN THE UNITED STATES, BY TYPE

(Amounts outstanding; in millions of dollars)

					Payable	in dollars				Pay	able in for	eign curre	ncies
End of period	Total			Loans	s to—		Collec-	Accept- ances			<u></u>	Foreign govt. se-	
1971		Total	Total	Official institu- tions	Banks 1	Others 2	tions out- stand- ing	made for acct. of for- eigners	Other	Total	Deposits with for- eigners		Other
1971 1972 <sup>3</sup> 1973	15,471 15,676	12,377 14,625 14,830 20,061	3,969 5,674 5,671 7,660	231 163 163 284	2,080 2,975 2,970 4,538	1,658 2,535 2,538 2,838	2,475 3,269 3,276 4,307	4,254 3,204 3,226 4,160	1,679 2,478 2,657 3,935	895 846 846 662	548 441 441 428	173 223 223 119	174 182 182 115
1974—Dec	39,030	37,835	11,301	381	7,342	3,579	5,637	11,237	9,659	1,195	668	289	238
1975—Jan. Feb. Mar. Apr. May. June. July. Aug. Sept. Oct. Nov. Dc. P.	39,863 42,274 42,748 45,831 45,705 45,537 45,439 45,564 47,697 48,051	37,800 38,689 41,127 41,646 44,775 44,492 44,362 44,291 44,433 46,380 46,770 48,397	10,207 10,288 9,606 10,637 11,839 11,344 11,700 13,082 12,706 12,632 13,056 13,387	361 379 310 362 366 494 572 626 572 622 670 592	6,289 6,384 5,659 6,494 7,622 6,793 6,835 7,960 7,520 7,483 7,913 7,790	3,557 3,525 3,637 3,780 3,852 4,057 4,292 4,497 4,614 4,517 4,473 5,005	5,565 5,346 5,418 5,342 5,537 5,345 5,383 5,314 5,465 5,361 5,467	11,062 11,127 11,341 11,441 10,959 10,639 10,204 9,977 10,071 10,134 10,610 11,124	10,966 11,927 14,762 14,226 16,440 17,165 17,076 15,917 16,342 18,160 17,743 18,420	1,274 1,175 1,147 1,102 1,056 1,212 1,175 1,148 1,130 1,306 1,261 1,287	719 609 626 619 478 591 608 610 576 734 625 611	351 336 290 241 301 335 296 240 236 231 340 301	204 229 231 242 277 286 271 298 319 341 316 376

Excludes central banks which are included with "Official institutions."
 Includes international and regional organizations.
 Data on the 2 lines shown for this date differ because of changes

in reporting coverage. Figures on the first line are comparable in coverage with those shown for the preceding date; figures on the second line are comparable with those shown for the following date.

## 12. SHORT-TERM CLAIMS ON FOREIGNERS REPORTED BY BANKS IN THE UNITED STATES, BY COUNTRY

(End of period. Amounts outstanding; in millions of dollars)

	1974				-	19	75				
Area and country	Dec.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov. <sup>p</sup>	Dec.p
Europe:								-			
Austria	21 384	550 550	16 674	19 647	600	16 620	28 598	20 536	19 554	32 457	15 351
Belgium-Luxembourg	384 46	41	53	49	64	62	60	46	50	54	49
DenmarkFinland	122	137	147	137	133	143	143	130	127	133	128
France	673	896	859	726	584	666	741	906	1,329	1,190	1,403
FranceGermany	589	387	399	389	428	482	448	443	495	659	427
Greece	64	46	54	37	37	46	50	54	56	91	49
Italy	345	287	334	329	339	363	336	363	437	413	370
Netherlands	348 119	187 104	157 114	221 126	218 98	288 91	338 106	313 102	264 102	285 92	300 71
Netherlands Norway Portugal Spain	20	32	26	25	25	27	22	18	15	19	16
Snain	196	150	234	251	235	257	214	245	256	261	249
Sweden	180	72	101	132	115	155	185	182	152	182	167
Switzerland	335	230	227	277	252	254	290	214	274	314	232
	15	19	37	30	40	26	43	56	54	121	86
United Kingdom Yugoslavia. Other Western Europe.	2,570	2,984	3,261	3,712	3,476	3,458	4,067	3,724	3,792	3,882	4,574
Yugoslavia	22 22	16 24	28 31	39 25	31	36	40 62	37	34 22	55 24	38
U.S.S.R	46	34	51	83	22 77	80	79	106	144	165	103
Other Eastern Europe	131	110	113	117	811	130	110	110	96	103	114
Other Lastern Laropetti								7 (20	0.075		
Total	6,245	6,327	6,918	7,373	6,910	7,222	7,960	7,630	8,275	8,534	8,769
Canada	2,776	2,919	2,896	3,081	2,837	2,651	2,340	2,626	2,728	2,739	2,808
Latin America:	720	869	958	1,007	1,111	1,105	1,115	1,219	1 344	1,229	1 202
Argentina	3,398	5,926	5 714	7,723	8,658	1 7 813	6,627	6,432	1,344 7,250 1,536	6.856	1,203 7,331 2,227
Bahamas	1,415	1,266	1,299	7,723 1,272	1,184	1,390	1,505	6,432 1,491	1,536	6,856 1,782	2,227
Chile	290	395	433	422	429	472	435	405	331	381	360
Colombia	713	695	710	702	687	666	667	684	662	649	689
Mexico	1,972	2,120	2,245	2,383	2,548 527	2,676	2,762	2,705	2,623	2,549	2,773
PanamaPeru	503	546 555	524 606	671 590	623	581 626	578	721 624	903 599	866 565	1,032
Peru	518 63	104	116	100	85	90	646	54	52	56	588 51
Uruguay Venezuela	704	736	757	745	791	902	956	1,109	1.050	980	1,086
Other Latin American republics	852	902	954	960	953	1,043	992	998	1,028	956	972
Netherlands Antilles and Surinam	62	39	36	44	83	62	54	57	72	59	62
Other Latin America	1,156	1,603	1,744	2,240	1,843	1,692	2,104	1,700	2,202	2,520	1,868
Total	12,366	15,758	16,096	18,859	19,521	19,118	18,516	18,199	19,673	19,448	20,243
Asia:		İ									
China People's Rep. of (China Mainland)	4	19	11	12	9	13	13	- 11	11	11	22
China, People's Rep. of (China Mainland) China, Republic of (Taiwan)	500	500	448	434	483	463	503	600	601	681	735
Hong KongIndia	223	291	210	288	315	201	190	231	257 17	258	258
India	14 157	17 145	21 134	119	115	113	38 88	91	86	16 92	103
Indonesia	255	322	299	287	312	362	358	398	389	387	491
Japan	12,514	11,605	10.887	10 603	10,245	10,308	10 202	10,400	10,253	10,429	10,760
Korea	955	1,356	1,503	1,415	1,523	1,462	1,502	1,515	1,555	1,505	1,556
KoreaPhilippines	372	353	398	433	478	481	410	340	338	347	377
Thailand	458	406	413	374	441	461	494	474	501	499	493
Middle East oil-exporting countries 1	330 441	369 477	563 444	411 554	41 8 489	527 541	493 572	624 651	446 702	506 660	524 685
Other	16,222	15,860	15,330	14,969	14,848	14,955	14,954	15,357	15,156	15,391	16,023
	10,222	12,000			1,3,3	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				,,,,,,,,	
Africa: Egypt	111	122	142	138	149	134	141	125	127	130	104
South Africa	329	413	458	475	498	489	492	504	513	540	545
Oil-exporting countries 2	115	108	_95	128	120	144	134	190	207	215	231
Other	300	232	278	276	302	296	347	343	379	409	351
Total	855	875	973	1,018	10,68	1,064	11,14	1,162	1,227	1,294	1,231
Other countries:					ļ				1	1	
Australia	466	436	428	440	428	446	466	509	532	554	535
All other	99	99	107	89	81	80	88	80	105	91	535 73
	565	535	535	528	509	526	554	589	638	645	608
Total			l	45,829	45,694	45,536	45,436	45,562	47,696	48,050	49,683
Total foreign countries	39,030	42,274	42,747	45,829		45,536	45,436	43,362	47,090		,
International and regional		1	1		11					1	1
Grand total	39,030	42,274	42,748	45,831	45,705	45,537	45,439	45,564	47,697	48,051	49,684

Comprises Bahrain, Iran, Iraq, Kuwait, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, and United Arab Emirates (Trucial States).
 Comprises Algeria, Gabon, Libya, and Nigeria.

made to, and acceptances made for, foreigners; drafts drawn against foreigners, where collection is being made by banks and bankers for their own account or for account of their customers in the United States; and foreign currency balances held abroad by banks and bankers and their customers in the United States. Excludes foreign currencies held by U.S. monetary authorities.

Note.—Short-term claims are principally the following items payable on demand or with a contractual maturity of not more than 1 year: loans

#### 13. LONG-TERM CLAIMS ON FOREIGNERS REPORTED BY BANKS IN THE UNITED STATES

(Amounts outstanding; in millions of dollars)

				T	ype					Coi	antry or a	rea		
			Pay	able in do	ollars					İ				
End of period	Total		Loan	s to		Other	Payable in foreign	Total Europe	Canada	Total Latin	Japan	Middle East 3	Other Asia 4	All
	972 5,063 973 5,996	Total	Official institu- tions	Banks 1	Other foreign- ers <sup>2</sup>	long- term claims	curren- cies			America				coun- tries 2
1972 1973	5,063 5,996	4,588 5,446	844 1,160	430 591	3,314 3,694	435 478	40 72	853 1,272	406 490	2,020 2,116	353 251		918 1,331	514 536
1974—Dec	7,171	6,482	1,333	931	4,219	609	80	1,907	501	2,602	258	384	977	542
1975—Jan	7,480 7,569 7,598 7,885 7,930 8,221	6,631 6,799 6,900 6,915 7,194 7,118 7,339 7,386 7,637 7,907 8,050 8,367	1,370 1,378 1,399 1,239 1,282 1,269 1,286 1,276 1,345 1,266 1,279 1,360	972 1,035 1,063 1,110 1,192 1,204 1,290 1,336 1,364 1,516 1,564 1,709	4,289 4,386 4,438 4,566 4,720 4,645 4,763 4,774 4,929 5,125 5,206 5,298	583 611 598 605 610 719 792 787 809 840 903 921	69 70 78 81 92 90 85 93 114 118	1,992 2,096 2,126 2,188 2,325 2,285 2,344 2,387 2,426 2,534 2,534 2,529 2,663	490 500 500 505 491 461 471 438 508 595 569 542	2,603 2,675 2,695 2,786 2,851 2,881 2,985 3,003 3,132 3,168 3,281 3,456	248 248 247 242 254 264 270 259 265 292 293 312	373 388 385 247 242 241 241 237 237 222 249 220	1,019 972 1,024 1,002 1,042 1,135 1,204 1,204 1,195 1,214 1,219	560 601 592 630 679 684 707 728 775 835 931

Excludes central banks, which are included with "Official institutions."
 Includes international and regional organizations.
 Comprises Middle East oil-exporting countries as follows: Bahrain,

Iran, Iraq, Kuwait, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, and United Arab Emirates (Trucial States).

4 Until Dec. 1974 includes Middle East oil-exporting countries.

#### 14. PURCHASES AND SALES BY FOREIGNERS OF LONG-TERM SECURITIES, BY TYPE

(In millions of dollars)

	Market	table U.S	. Treas.	bonds and	notes 1		S. corpo ecurities		Fo	reign bo	nds 3	Fo	reign stoc	cks <sup>3</sup>
Period		Net purc	hases or	sales (-)										
74.04	Total	Intl. and		Foreign		Pur- chases	Sales	Net pur- chases or sales (-)		Sales	Net pur- chases sales (-)	Pur- Sales	Sales	Net pur- chases or sales (-)
		regional To	Total4	Official	Other									
1973 1974	305 -472	-165 101	470 -573	465 642	6 69		13,810 14,677	4,764 1,506	1,474 1,045	2,467 3,284	-993 -2,240	1,729 1,907	1,554 1,721	176 185
1975—JanDec.p	1,908	236	1,672	1,441	230	20,309	15,202	5,107	2,388	8,717	-6,329	1,538	1,719	-182
1974—Dec	156	-15	171	153	17	1,101	1,246	-145	101	524	-423	117	87	30
1975—Jan Feb Mar Apr May June July. Aug Sept Oct Nov.** Dec	245 214 1,171 -254 3 -240 192 9 192 481 -435 330	118 9 421 -210 -89 -326 95 -67 -14 272 -235 262	127 205 749 -43 92 86 96 77 206 209 -201 68	118 102 724 -62 123 56 41 117 175 173 -171 47	9 102 25 20 -31 31 56 -40 31 37 -30 21	1,246 1,699 1,760 1,640 1,846 1,754 2,251 1,421 1,257 2,023 1,605 1,808	913 1,445 1,155 1,397 1,679 1,332 1,278 1,338 1,124 1,362 1,231 947	333 254 604 243 167 422 973 82 133 662 374 860	131 118 197 167 172 215 315 158 194 195 248 282	1,207 554 647 341 345 855 1,011 353 287 678 991 1,449	-1,076 -436 -450 -174 -173 -640 -696 -195 -93 -484 -743 -1,167	147 134 148 155 145 129 109 89 91 137 107	156 173 159 141 157 143 119 256 79 161 78 97	-9 -39 -11 14 -12 -15 -10 -167 11 -24 29 51

1975	Middle East	Africa
JanDec.p	1,698	170
Jan. Feb. Mar. Apr. May June July Aug. Sept. Oct. Nov.	100 209 525 50 175 106 1 80 150 150	20 10 50 50
Dec.p	101	10

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Excludes nonmarketable U.S. Treasury bonds and notes issued to official institutions of foreign countries.

<sup>2</sup> Includes State and local govt, securities, and securities of U.S. Govt agencies and corporations. Also includes issues of new debt securities sold abroad by U.S. corporations organized to finance direct investments

abroad.

3 Includes transactions (in millions of dollars) of oil-exporting countries in Middle East and Africa as shown in the tabulation in the opposite column:

#### 15. NET PURCHASES OR SALES BY FOREIGNERS OF U.S. CORPORATE STOCKS, BY COUNTRY

(In millions of dollars)

Period	Pur- chases	Sales	Net pur- chases or sales (-)	France	Ger- many	Nether- lands	Switzer- land	United King- dom	Total Europe	Canada	Total America Latin	Middle East <sup>1</sup>	Other Asia <sup>2</sup>	Other 3
1973 1974	12,767 7,634	9,978 7,095	2,790 540	439 203	2 39	339 330	686 36	366 -377	2,104 281	99 -6	-33		577 288	5 10
1975—JanDec.p	15,036	10,600	4,435	262	250	359	897	569	2,464	356	-7	1,440	140	39
1974—Dec	450	429	21	13	13	20	-10	-76	-30	14	10		27	*
1975—Jan	748 1,420 1,152 1,318 1,527 1,321 1,669 1,153 882 1,407 1,114 1,325	554 891 913 1,058 1,149 1,063 1,080 712 642 1,042 809 686	193 529 240 259 378 258 589 441 240 365 304 639	36 21 12 -15 -6 32 55 52 10 16 22 28	17 25 15 23 4 1 31 52 7 -7 40 40	8 14 40 26 27 19 80 47 22 17 -5 64	42 115 39 44 100 71 139 83 64 36 42 123	-8 147 38 54 59 36 75 38 7 48 44 32	111 331 150 136 193 152 396 302 123 142 132 297	12 20 15 -5 36 21 20 21 20 59 36 102	-15 13 -5 2 1 8 13 -6 -15 7 -1 -9	86 153 85 119 113 87 153 82 72 130 122 238	-3 -4 -6 2 36 9 2 26 32 21 12 13	2 15 * 5 -2 -19 6 16 8 8 4 -2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Comprises Middle East oil-exporting countries as follows: Bahrain, Iran, Iraq, Kuwait, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, and United Arab Emirates (Trucial States).

#### 16. NET PURCHASES OR SALES BY FOREIGNERS OF U.S. CORPORATE BONDS, BY COUNTRY

(In millions of dollars)

Period	Total	France	Ger- many	Nether- lands	Switzer- land	United Kingdom	Total Europe	Canada	Total Latin America	Middle East <sup>1</sup>	Other Asia <sup>2</sup>	Total Africa	Other countries	Intl. and regional
1973 1974 1975—Jan.–Dec. <sup>p</sup>	993	201 96 82	-33 27	-19 183 -16	307 96	275 329 80	1,204 672	49 50 127	44 43 30	1,426	588 632 42	* 8	10	52 456 993
1974—Dec		1	*	-4	1	64	66	-4	17		93	*	*	-337
1975—JanFebMarAprMayJuneJulyAugSeptOctNov.**Dec.**	-212 164 384 -358 -107	2 -4 1 3 9 27 13 -13 1 39 2	3 3 -1 2 1 * 16 -3 6 -50 8 3	* -1 -26 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1	6 3 10 35 7 5 35 -6 -7 12 9 8	59 -91 23 -99 -81 32 80 -69 121 89 -41 56	94 -87 32 -100 -72 58 183 -73 -19 51 -25 74	14 16 4 5 7 4 33 6 -5 38 -2 6	-1 * -4 3 1 * 1 1 5 11 6	151 35 341 80 81 65 179 -1 82 209 75 130	1 -19 -11 -11 -1 -1 -1 -7 -4 4 -12	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	1 * * * * * * * * * * *	-120 -241 10 -6 -218 38 -17 -292 -162 -11 11

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See note 1 to Table 15. <sup>2</sup> See note 2 to Table 15.

Note.—Statistics include State and local govt, securities, and securities of U.S. Govt, agencies and corporations. Also includes issues of new debt securities sold abroad by U.S. corporations organized to finance direct investments abroad.

#### 17. NET PURCHASES OR SALES BY FOREIGNERS OF LONG-TERM FOREIGN SECURITIES, BY AREA

(In millions of dollars)

Period	Total	Intl. and re- gional	Total foreign coun- tries	Eu- rope	Canada	Latin Amer- ica	Asia	Af- rica	Other coun- tries
1973 1974	$     \begin{array}{r}       -818 \\       -2,054   \end{array} $	139 -60	-957 -1,995	-141 -546	-569 -1,529	-120 -93	-168 144	3 7	37 22
1975— JanDec. <sup>p</sup>	-6,459	-2,192	-4,267	-47	-3,155	-306	-619	15	-154
1974—Dec	-393	-95	-298	-27	-190	-25	-67	12	*
1975—Jan Feb Mar Apr May. June. July Sept Oct.** Nov.** Dec.**	-475 -462 -160 -185 -655 -706 -362 -82 -508	-572 -147 -106 -57 31 * -475 -21 18 5 -62 -839	-328 -356 -103 -216 -655 -231 -341 -100 -513 -652	-41 19 -66 -57 39 -22 -26 24 -19 48 -27 80	-405 -159 -175 -6 -168 -478 -116 -204 -131 -460 -584 -287	-28 -97 -3 17 * -25 -164 25 -48 6 9	-60 -94 -112 -59 -88 -30 -69 1 24 -56 3 -78	20 -2 * -2 2 * -1 -3 -2 -1	** 1 2 2 2 -127 4 2 1 6 6 -48 1

#### 18. FOREIGN CREDIT AND DEBIT **BALANCES IN BROKERAGE ACCOUNTS**

(Amounts outstanding; in millions of dollars)

End of period	Credit balances (due to foreigners)	Debit balances (due from foreigners)
1973—Mar June Sept Dec.	310 316 290 333	364 243 255 231
1974—Mar	383 354 298 293	225 241 178 194
1975—Mar	349 380 258	209 233 343

Note.—Data represent the money credit balances and money debit balances appearing on the books of reporting brokers and dealers in the United States, in accounts of foreigners with them, and in their accounts carried by foreigners. foreigners.

Until 1975 includes Middle East oil-exporting countries,
 Includes international and regional organizations.

### 19a. ASSETS OF FOREIGN BRANCHES OF U.S. BANKS (In millions of dollars)

			Cla	ims on U	J <b>.</b> S.		Claims	on foreig	ners		
Location and currency form	Month-end	Total	Total	Parent bank	Other	Total	Other branches of parent bank	Other banks	Official institutions	Non- bank for- eigners	Other
IN ALL FOREIGN COUNTRIES Total, all currencies	1972—Dec 1973—Dec		4,678 5,091	2,113 1,886	2,565 3,205	71,304 111,974	11,504 19,177	35,773 56,368	1,594 2,693		2,220 4,802
	1974—Nov Dec	150,274 151,905	7,751 6,898	5,159 4,464	2,592 2,434	136,442 138,713	28,366 27,559	58,727 60,283	4,019 4,077	45,330 46,795	6,081 6,294
	1975—Jan	151,662 155,204 155,616 156,909 162,342 160,703 165,835 166,075	7,029 5,486 5,326 5,831 7,726 5,538 5,918 9,100 6,572 7,917 8,690	2,342 2,788 6,048 3,267 4,891	2,669 2,604 2,688 2,779 2,837 3,196 3,129 3,052 3,305 3,026 2,926	143,750 143,949 143,101 150,516 148,225 150,197 153,171 155,014	27,894 28,969 28,330 29,195 27,581 30,870 30,153 31,506 32,674 34,325	58,794 61,611 60,292 60,330 63,710 62,438 62,455 65,011 64,186	4,152 4,246 4,407 4,353 4,494 4,836 4,796 4,892 4,861 5,226 5,502	48,335 49,402 50,109 50,697 51,101 50,839 51,567 51,793 52,928	5,832 6,127 5,836 6,083 6,287 6,561 6,537 6,332 6,493
Payable in U.S. dollars	1972—Dec 1973—Dec	52,636 79,445	4,419 4,599	2,091 1,848	2,327 2,751	47,444 73,018	7,869 12,799	26,251 39,527	1,059 1,777	12,264 18,915	773 1,828
	1974—Nov Dec	105,969	7,445 6,602	5,105 <b>4,42</b> 8	2,340 2,174	94,581 96,210	20,623 19,688	43,741 45,067	3,192 3,289	27,026 28,166	3,039 3,157
	1975—Jan	105,776 104,360 107,519 108,399 111,638 117,296 117,268 121,478 123,119 125,840 129,205	6,706 5,141 5,012 5,466 7,316 5,112 5,511 8,776 6,236 7,500 8,336	4,318 2,839 2,607 3,009 4,825 2,280 2,737 5,995 3,210 4,817 5,712	2,387 2,302 2,405 2,456 2,491 2,832 2,774 2,782 3,025 2,682 2,624	95,989 96,327 99,637 100,231 101,384 109,181 108,281 109,425 113,926 115,163 117,589	20,448 20,827 19,836 20,993 21,281 24,529 24,180 25,071 25,444 26,554 27,899	43,151 42,672 46,118 45,172 45,403 49,132 48,572 48,063 51,470 50,006 51,006	3,370 3,431 3,604 3,599 3,685 3,949 3,929 4,148 4,040 4,363 4,644	29,020 29,397 30,079 30,467 31,016 31,571 31,600 32,143 32,143 32,971 34,240 34,041	3,082 2,891 2,870 2,702 2,938 3,003 3,476 3,277 2,957 3,177 3,280
IN UNITED KINGDOM Total, all currencies	1972—Dec 1973—Dec	43,467 61,732	2,234 1,789	1,138 738	1,096 1,051	40,214 57,761	5,659 8,773	23,842 34,442	606 735	10,106 13,811	1,018 2,183
	1974—Nov Dec	69,137 69,804	3,387 3,248	2,568 2,472	818 776	63,571 64,111	13,122 12,724	32,128 32,701	753 788	17,567 17,898	2,179 2,445
	1975—JanFebMarAprMayJuneJulyAugSeptOctNov.*P	68,451 67,038 69,654 69,248 68,707 70,751 70,382 72,455 72,120 72,742 73,924	2,633 1,818 1,798 2,017 2,535 1,834 1,904 3,795 2,042 2,681 3,112	1,902 1,023 982 1,126 1,689 641 807 2,698 1,076 1,699 2,137	731 796 817 891 845 1,192 1,097 1,097 967 982 975	63,527 63,250 65,693 65,330 64,269 66,868 66,277 66,428 67,923 67,631 68,494	12,873 13,246 12,806 13,314 12,491 13,765 14,414 15,213 15,249 16,555 17,549	32,057 31,641 34,260 33,079 32,443 34,634 33,431 32,998 34,759 32,806 33,189	854 848 929 919 920 948 923 948 825 830 852	17,743 17,515 17,699 18,018 18,415 17,522 17,509 17,268 17,091 17,440 16,904	2,291 1,970 2,163 1,902 1,904 2,049 2,202 2,232 2,155 2,430 2,319
Payable in U.S. dollars	1972—Dec 1973—Dec	30,257 40,323	2,146 1,642	1,131 730	1,015 912	27,664 37,816	4,326 6,509	17,331 23,389	543 510	5,464 7,409	446 865
	1974—Nov Dec	48,710 49,211	3,277 3,146	2,546 2,468	730 678	44,198 44,693	10,796 10,265		615 610	9,852 10,102	1,235 1,372
	1975—Jan	47,769 46,019 48,939 48,797 48,506 51,365 51,665 53,456 54,256 54,192 56,221	2,542 1,697 1,687 1,885 2,404 1,669 1,742 3,661 1,910 2,552 2,988	1,892 1,017 974 1,109 1,671 623 793 2,681 1,054 1,687 2,123	650 680 713 776 733 1,045 949 980 856 865 865	43,959 43,244 46,039 45,923 45,180 48,713 48,787 48,763 51,369 50,494 52,145	10,615 10,373	24,874 23,990 23,320 25,761 25,143 24,540 27,008 24,691	740	10,268 10,055 10,057 10,217 10,506 10,178 10,267 10,168 10,277 10,557 10,353	1,077 1,212 989 922 983 1,136
IN BAHAMAS AND CAYMANS <sup>1</sup> Total, all currencies	1972—Dec 1973—Dec	12,642 23,771	1,486 2,210	214 317	1,272 1,893	10,986 21,041	725 1,928	5,507 9,895	431 1,151	4,322 8,068	170 520
	1974—Nov Dec	32,313 31,733	3,299 2,463	1,816 1,081	1,484 1,382	28,130 28,455	3,829 3,478	11,371 11,354	1,993 2,022	10,937 11,601	883 815
	1975—Jan	33,131 33,534 33,793 35,666 38,198 39,646 39,614 41,624 41,606	3,223 2,563 2,405 72,586 4,125 2,633 2,786 4,115 3,188 3,988 4,544	1,594 1,072 839 1,006 2,468 987 1,134 2,580 1,289 2,295 2,931	1,629 1,491 1,567 1,581 1,657 1,645 1,652 1,535 1,899 1,692 1,613	29,070 30,137 30,671 32,359 33,215 36,182 35,678 36,556 37,481 39,226 39,109	3,644 3,855 3,568 4,320 4,270 5,831 5,015 5,222 5,220 5,604 5,321	13,181 13,747 14.065	2,027 2,060 2,393 2,419 2,531 2,772 2,747 2,891 3,020 3,308 3,432	14,637 14,901	838 834 716 720 858 831 1,150 953 933 952 953

For notes see p. A-74.

## 19Ь. LIABILITIES OF FOREIGN BRANCHES OF U.S. BANKS (In millions of dollars)

		To U.S.			To t	foreigner		ons of do			
Total	Total	Parent bank	Other	Total	Other branches of parent bank	Other banks	Offi- cial insti- tutions	Non- bank for- eigners	Other	Month-end	Location and currency form
78,203 121,866	3,501 5,610	997 1,642	2,504 3,968	72,121 111,615	11,121 18,213	41,218 65,389	8,351 10,330	11,432 17,683	2,580 4,641	1972—Dec. 1973—Dec.	IN ALL FOREIGN COUNTRIESTotal, all currencies
150,275 151,905			5,652 6,173	131,619 132,990	27,717 26,941	63,596 65,675	19,979 20,185	20,327 20,189	6,755 6,933	1974—Nov. Dec.	
151,140 151,662 155,204 155,617 156,910 162,342 160,703 165,837 166,075 169,424 172,502	11,831 12,561 15,407 14,935 16,861 18,618 17,704 17,183 18,824 19,644 20,640	6,356 6,607 8,849 8,703 10,366 12,204 11,542 10,021 10,848 11,191 11,104	5,476 5,954 6,557 6,233 6,494 6,414 6,162 7,162 7,976 8,453 9,536	133,540 134,594 133,806 137,189 136,808 142,327 141,102 143,609	28,214 29,192 26,725 30,412 30,233 30,582 30,314	64,147 63,402 63,419 62,287 64,700 64,955 65,956 70,161 70,756 70,333 70,411	21,951 22,577 23,236 22,223	19,057 19,330 19,879 20,158 20,715 20,249 20,492 20,289 20,868	6,257 6,088 6,243 6,535 6,191 6,326 6,149 6,172		
54,878 80,374		847 1,477	2,202 3,550	50,406 73,189	7,955	29,229	6,781 7,491	6,441 9,502	1,422 2,158	1972—Dec. 1973—Dec.	Payable in U.S. dollars
107,427 107,890		6,023 5,641	5,192 5,795		20,242 19,330	43,147 43,656	16,789 17,444	12,054 12,072	3,9 <b>7</b> 9 3,9 <b>5</b> 1	1974—Nov. Dec.	
108,190 106,125 109,501 110,405 114,105 119,385 119,319 123,906 125,442 127,899 132,070	12,063 14,795 14,277 16,256 17,998 17,090	6,460 8,660 8,517 10,189 12,008 11,335 9,840 10,645	6,135 5,760 6,067	97,828 99,013 103,987 104,062 105,569	19,999 20,109 19,880 20,683 20,521 23,969 24,112 24,435 24,477 25,824 27,051		18,343 18,708 19,303 19,909 18,928 17,968 17,393 18,080 16,777 17,476 18,407	10,939	3,636 3,368 3,414 3,397 3,560 3,216		
43,467 61,732	1,453 2,431	113 136	1,340 2,295	41,020 57,311	2,961 3,944	24,596 34,979	6,433 8,140	7,030 10,248	994 1,990	1972—Dec. 1973—Dec.	IN UNITED KINGDOMTotal, all currencies
69,137 69,804		889	3,487	62,397	5,071				2,363 2,418	1974—Nov. Dec.	
68,451 67,038 69,654 69,248 68,708 70,751 70,382 72,457 72,120 72,742 73,924		873 913 1,224 1,342 1,337 1,451 1,718 1,904	2,931 3,462 3,871 3,254 3,435 3,217 2,961 3,348 3,779 3,720 4,242		4,567 4,693 4,630 5,394 5,325 7,030 6,475 6,260 6,396 6,746	30,266			2,287 2,117 2,196 2,026 2,164 2,226 2,203 2,194 2,046 2,138 2,161		
30,810 39,689	1,272 2,173	72 113	1,200 2,060	29,002 36,646	2,008		5,329 5,923			1972—Dec. 1973—Dec.	Payable in U.S. dollars
49,668 49,666	4,037 3,744	865 484	3,172 3,261	44,256 44,594	3,557 3,256	20,200 20,526	12,808 13,225	7,691 7,587	1,375 1,328	1974—Nov. Dec.	
48,490 46,698 49,533 49,177 49,479 51,848 51,826 54,017 54,683 54,478 56,696	3,599 4,164 4,805 4,297 4,487 4,369 4,421 4,975 5,389 5,276 6,062	895 1,189 1,313	2,744 3,269 3,616 2,984 3,173 2,957 2,737 3,103 3,581 4,053	43,578 41,350 43,546 43,758 43,784 46,312 46,217 47,912 48,314 48,079 49,411	3,172 3,266 3,072 3,886 4,220 5,962 5,478 5,478 5,478	19,128 17,997 18,640	12 022	6,479 6,658 6,717 6,789 7,228	1,184 1,183 1,122 1,208 1,167		
12,643 23,771	1,220 1,573	312 307	908 1,266	11,260 21,747	1,818 5,508	7,875 14,071	230 492	1,338 1,676	163 451	1972—Dec. 1973—Dec.	IN BAHAMAS AND CAYMANS 1Total, all currencies
32,313 31,733	4,426 4,815	2,699 2,636	1,727 2,180	27,107 26,140	8,538 7,702	14,132 14,050	2,296 2,377	2,141 2,011	779 778	1974—Nov. Dec.	
33,131 33,534 33,793 35,667 38,198 39,614 41,624 41,601 44,166 244,606	9,991 8,800 9,928 10,833	5,083 6,766 8,322 7,407 5,715 6,490 7,056	3,085 3,439 3,778	30,861 32,372		12,614 13,694 16,018 14,482	2,769	2,393	793 690 711 799 793 690 911 812 961		

For notes see p. A-74.

Digitized for FRASER http://fraser.stlouisfed.org/ Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis

### 20. DEPOSITS, U.S. TREAS. SECURITIES, AND GOLD HELD AT F.R. BANKS FOR FOREIGN OFFICIAL ACCOUNT

(In millions of dollars)

T 1 C		Assets in custody						
End of period	Deposits	U.S. Treas. securities 1	Earmarked gold					
1972 1973 1974	325 251 418	50,934 52,070 55,600	215,530 217,068 16,838					
1975—Jan Feb Mar Apr May June July Aug Sept Oct	391 409 402 270 310 373 369 342 324 297	58,001 60,864 60,729 60,618 61,539 61,406 60,999 60,120 58,420 60,307	16,837 16,818 16,818 16,818 16,818 16,803 16,803 16,803 16,751					
Nov Dec 1976—Jan	346 352 294	60,512 60,019 61,796	16,745 16,745 16,669					

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Marketable U.S. Treasury bills, certificates of indebtedness, notes, and bonds and nonmarketable U.S. Treasury securities payable in dollars and in foreign

Note.—Excludes deposits and U.S. Treasury securities held for international and regional organizations. Earmarked gold is gold held for foreign and international accounts and is not included in the gold stock of the United States.

#### 21. SHORT-TERM LIQUID CLAIMS ON FOREIGNERS REPORTED BY NONBANKING CONCERNS

(Amounts outstanding; in millions of dollars)

		Payable i	n dollars	Payal foreign c			
End of period	Total	Deposits	Short- term invest- ments 1	Deposits	Short- term invest- ments 1	United King- dom	Canada
1971	1,507	1,078	127	234	68	580	443
1972 <sup>2</sup>	{1,965	1,446	169	307	42	702	485
	{2,374	1,910	55	340	68	911	536
	3,162	2,588	37	427	109	1,118	770
1974—Nov	2,998	2,380	15	326	277	1,285	941
Dec	3,311	2,582	56	412	261	1,350	951
1975—Jan	3,275	2,521	50	359	345	1,145	1,117
	3,376	2,515	52	403	406	1,088	1,136
	3,283	2,434	67	395	388	1,064	1,134
	3,368	2,458	48	314	550	1,065	1,279
	3,188	2,220	47	393	527	908	1,240
	3,138	2,241	95	369	433	974	1,128
	3,221	2,278	118	420	405	904	1,109
	3,438	2,334	129	453	522	1,017	1,309
	3,602	2,522	125	456	499	1,104	1,252
	3,411	2,581	179	410	241	1,178	1,127
	3,543	2,571	266	442	264	1,098	1,291

<sup>1</sup> Negotiable and other readily transferable foreign obligations payable on demand

Note.—Data represent the liquid assets abroad of large nonbanking concerns in the United States. They are a portion of the total claims on foreigners reported by nonbanking concerns in the United States and are included in the figures shown in Table 22.

#### 22. SHORT-TERM LIABILITIES TO AND CLAIMS ON FOREIGNERS REPORTED BY NONBANKING CONCERNS, BY TYPE

(Amount outstanding; in millions of dollars)

		Liabilities		Claims						
End of period		Payable	Payable		Payable	Payable in fo				
	Total	in dollars	in foreign currencies	Total	in dollars	Deposits with banks abroad in reporter's name	Other			
1972—Mar	2,933	2,407 2,452 2,435 2,635 2,928	437 472 498 484 469	5,173 5,326 5,487 5,721 6,304	4,557 4,685 4,833 5,074 5,645	317 374 426 410 393	300 268 228 237 267			
1973—Mar	3,308 3,283 3,567 3,964	2,836 2,760 2,919 3,257	472 523 648 707	7,019 7,292 7,627 8,463	6,150 6,451 6,701 7,553	456 493 528 485	414 349 399 425			
1974—Mar	4,373 5,101 5,567 5,769	3,564 4,158 4,634 4,855	809 943 933 914	10,458 11,022 10,681 11,233	9,525 10,104 9,720 10,190	400 420 419 455	533 498 543 587			
1975—Mar June <sup>r</sup> Sept. <sup>p</sup>	5,746	4,868 4,922 4,967	866 824 837	10,878 10,827 11,845	9,744 9,546 10,505	441 466 507	692 815 832			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Data on the 2 lines shown for this date differ because of changes in reporting coverage. Figures on the first line are comparable with those shown for the

preceding date; figures on the second line are comparable with those shown for the following date.

currencies.

2 The value of earmarked gold increased because of the changes in par value of the U.S. dollar in May 1972, and in Oct. 1973.

Programme and other readily transferable foreign obligations payable on demand or having a contractual maturity of not more than 1 year from the date on which the obligation was incurred by the foreigner.

2 Data on the 2 lines for this date differ because of changes in reporting coverage. Figures on the first line are comparable in coverage with those shown for the preceding date; figures on the second line are comparable with those shown for the following date.

#### 23. SHORT-TERM LIABILITIES TO AND CLAIMS ON FOREIGNERS REPORTED BY NONBANKING CONCERNS

(End of period. Amounts outstanding; in millions of dollars)

		Liabiliti	ies to foreig	gners			Clair	ms on forei	gners	
Area and country	197	74		1975		19	74		1975	
	Sept.	Dec.	Mar.	June	Sept.p	Sept.	Dec.	Mar.	June	Sept.p
Europe:	10	20	26	22	10			1,5	12	1.5
AustriaBelgium-Luxembourg	18 501	20 516	26 474	22 338	18 332	15 114	26 128	15 137	13 132	15 131
Denmark	22	24	23	14	. 8	25 91	42	35 77	22	24
FinlandFrance	12 157	16 202	16 151	12 138	14 149	461	120 430	328	87 287	114 311
Germany	240	313	350 25	291	275	326	339	276	346	319
Greece	28 129	39 125	25 109	27 110	21 156	69	65 397	59 309	69 300	56 380
Netherlands	120	117	121	141	153	413 144	148	157	135	139
Norway	10	9	9	8	13	32	36	35	41	48
PortugalSpain	20 46	19 <b>5</b> 6	13 54	13 59	13 74	69 414	81 369	42 3 <b>5</b> 9	32 324	39 315
Sweden	40	38	32	30	47	97	89	66	774	100
Switzerland	106	140	157	168	167	154	136	86	113	220
TurkeyUnited Kingdom	20 1,408	1,222	12 1,110	14 1,006	22 895	24 1,763	26 1,853	33 1,642	1,542	31 1,769
Yugoslavia	17	40	52	45	60	23	22	33	32	24
Other Western Europe  Eastern Europe	80	5 70	5 54	4 49	5 38	20 90	21 142	23 114	16 153	19 170
-										
Total	2,981	2,979	2,794	2,487	2,461	4,344	4,469	3,825	3,748	4,225
Canada	296	298	258	274	286	1,571	1,610	1,860	1,950	2,104
Latin America: Argentina	28	36	31	30	28	59	69	76	65	53
Bahamas	325	281	299	267	190	518	594	615	631	685
Brazil	160 14	118 22	121 23	127	116	419	461	376	347	384
Colombia	13	14	11	15 11	13 14	124 49	106 51	69 51	57 47	41 46
Cuba	*	*	*	*	*	1	1	1	*	1
MexicoPanama	64 21	63	72 18	74 27	84 19	287 114	297 132	325 110	305 128	299 103
Peru	15	28 14 2	18	16	19	40	44	46	50	48
Uruguay	53	2 49	3 39	3 44	2	6 190	5 190	15	166	151
VenezuelaOther L.A. republics	53 63	83	65	67	54 75	182	190	180 195	166 179	151 163
Neth. Antilles and Surinam	8	24 81	48	54	72	14	20	16	13	13
Other Latin America	50		114	125	115	169	147	196	159	192
Total	818	816	862	859	801	2,169	2,308	2,271	2,152	2,183
Asia: China, People's Republic of (China										
Mainland)	23	17	102	6	2	8	17	19	32	45
China, Rep. of (Taiwan)	72 18	93 19	102 19	100 30	101 29	127 64	137 63	121 83	125 85	355 84
India	10	7	10	21	21	37	37	32	39	48
Indonesia	38 40	60 50	63 62	87 62	105 45	81 53	85 44	110 46	142 60	129 63
Japan	352	348	327	273	278	1,158	1,218	1,307	1,226	1,234
KoreaPhilippines	66	75	47 19	43	63	123	201	165	178	207
Thailand	28 10	25 10	9	17 6	14 8	108 23	93 24	82 30	91 25	91 21
Other Asia	431	536	645	845	908	311	387	398	470	535
Total	1,087	1,239	1,312	1,491	1,575	2,093	2,307	2,392	2,472	2,814
Africa:			_							
EgyptSouth Africa	35	43	5 54	34 65	34 79	16 90	15 101	24 104	15 104	16 79
Zaire	17	18	17	9	9	13	24	18	17	22
Other Africa	114	129	142	215	220	205	234	242	227	273
Total	172	193	217	323	341	325	374	387	364	391
Other countries: Australia	5-7	56	60	27		124	110	07	101	00
All other	57 32	30	60 31	37 18	52 21	134 44	116 49	97 45	101 39	80 50
Total	89	86	91	55	73	178	165	141	139	128
International and regional	125	158	201	257	267	1		1	1	

NOTE.—Reported by exporters, importers, and industrial and commercial concerns and other nonbanking institutions in the United States.

Data exclude claims held through U.S. banks, and intercompany accounts between U.S. companies and their foreign affiliates.

#### A 74 INTL. CAPITAL TRANSACTIONS OF THE U.S. II FEBRUARY 1976

#### 24.LONG-TERM LIABILITIES TO AND CLAIMS ON FOREIGNERS REPORTED BY NONBANKING CONCERNS

(Amounts outstanding; in millions of dollars)

							Claims					
End of period	Total liabilities					C	ountry or	area				
	naomities	Total	United Kingdom	Other Europe	Canada	Brazil	Mexico	Other Latin America	Japan	Other Asia	Africa	All other
1971—Sept		3,019 3,118 3,068	135 128 128	672 705 704	765 761 717	178 174 174	60 60 60	597 652 653	133 141 136	319 327 325	85 86 86	75 85 84
1972—June	3,300	3,206	108	712	748	188	61	671	161	377	86	93
	3,448	3,187	128	695	757	177	63	662	132	390	89	96
	{ 3,540	3,312	163	715	775	184	60	658	156	406	87	109
	{ 3,600	3,284	191	745	759	187	64	703	133	378	86	38
1973—MarJuneSeptDec	3,777	3,421	156	802	775	165	63	796	123	393	105	45
	3,779	3,472	180	805	782	146	65	825	124	390	108	48
	3,993	3,632	216	822	800	147	73	832	134	449	108	51
	3,878	3,693	290	761	854	145	79	824	122	450	115	53
1974—Mar	3,827	3,814	369	737	888	194	81	800	118	448	119	61
	3,524	3,809	363	696	907	184	138	742	117	477	122	61
	3,356	3,932	370	702	943	181	145	776	114	523	118	59
	3,707	4,114	364	640	977	187	143	1,018	107	505	121	54
1975—Mar	3,954	4,128	340	652	1,020	182	160	961	102	527	130	54
June	r4,068	74,063	299	7632	71,018	*182	154	939	98	536	138	68
Sept	4,014	4,206	362	618	1,037	177	222	895	95	586	146	67

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Data on the 2 lines shown for this data differ because of changes in reporting coverage. Figures on the first line are comparable with those

#### 25. OPEN MARKET RATES

(Per cent per annum)

	Canada		United I	ed Kingdom France		France	Germany, Fed. Rep. of		Netherlands		Switzer- land	
Month	Treasury bills, 3 months 1	Day-to- day money 2	Prime bank bills, 3 months	Treasury bills, 3 months	Day-to- day money	Clearing banks' deposit rates	Day-to- day money <sup>3</sup>	Treasury bills, 60-90 days 4	Day-to- day money 5	Treasury bills, 3 months	Day-to- day money	Private discount rate
1973 1974 1975	5.43 7.63 7.36	5.27 7.69 7.34	10.45 12.99 10.57	9.40 11.36 10.16	8.27 9.85 10.13	7.96 9.48 7.23	8.92 12.87 7.89	6.40 6.06 3.51	10.18 8.76 4.23	4.07 6.90 4.41	4.94 8.21 3.65	5.09 6.67 6.25
1975—Jan	6.65 6.34 6.29 6.59 6.89 6.96 7.22 7.72 8.37 8.44	6.82 6.88 6.73 6.68 6.88 7.17 7.42 7.74 7.79 8.29	11.93 11.34 10.11 9.41 10.00 9.72 9.86 10.59 10.43 11.38	10.59 9.88 9.49 9.26 9.47 9.43 9.71 10.43 10.36 11.42	8.40 7.72 7.53 7.50 7.81 7.00 7.34 8.59 9.40 9.88 11.34	9.30 9.50 8.22 7.09 6.25 6.25 6.43 6.50 6.93 7.00	11.20 9.91 9.06 8.34 7.56 7.31 7.25 7.16 6.91 6.53 6.74	5.13 3.88 3.38 3.38 3.38 3.38 3.38 3.38 3	7.54 4.04 4.87 4.62 5.32 4.91 3.98 1.93 4.25 3.36	6.60 6.56 5.94 5.16 3.64 2.76 2.98 2.89 2.60 4.22 4.67	6.18 7.33 5.87 4.13 1.98 1.37 1.99 1.51 .94 4.35 4.19	7.00 7.00 7.00 6.50 6.50 6.50 6.50 6.50 5.50 5.50
Dec	8.59 8.59	8.66 8.75	10.88	9.87	9.61	7.00	6.42	3.13	3.84 3.58	4.88	4.34 3.76	5.50

Based on average yield of weekly tenders during month.
 Based on weekly averages of daily closing rates.
 Rate shown is on private securities.

#### NOTES TO TABLES 19a AND 19b ON PAGES A-70 AND A-71, RESPECTIVELY:

Note.—Components may not add to totals due to rounding.

For a given month, total assets may not equal total liabilities because some branches do not adjust the parent's equity in the branch to reflect unrealized paper profits and paper losses caused by changes in exchange rates, which are used to convert foreign currency values into equivalent dollar values.

shown for the preceding date; figures on the second line are comparable with those shown for the following date.

<sup>4</sup> Rate in effect at end of month.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Monthly averages based on daily quotations.

Note.—For description and back data, see "International Finance," Section 15 of Supplement to Banking and Monetary Statistics, 1962.

Cayman Islands included beginning Aug. 1973.
 Total assets and total liabilities payable in U.S. dollars amounted to \$41,250 million and \$41,550 million, respectively, on Nov. 30, 1975.

#### 26. CENTRAL BANK RATES FOR DISCOUNTS AND ADVANCES TO COMMERCIAL BANKS

(Per cent per annum)

	Rate as of I	January 31, 1976		Rate as of January 31, 1976		
Country	Per cent	Month effective	Country	Per cent	Month effective	
Argentina Austria Belgium Brazil	18.0 5.0 6.0 18.0	Feb. 1972 Jan. 1976 Aug. 1975 Feb. 1972	Italy Japan. Mexico Netherlands.	6.0 6.5 4.5 4.5	Sept. 1975 Oct. 1975 June 1942 Sept. 1975	
Canada Denmark France Germany, Fed. Rep. of	9.0 7.5 8.0 3.5	Sept. 1975 Aug. 1975 Sept. 1975 Sept. 1975	Norway. Sweden . Switzerland . United Kingdom . Venezuela .	5.0 5.5 2.5 10.0 5.0	Oct. 1975 Jan. 1976 Jan. 1976 Jan. 1976 Oct. 1970	

Note.—Rates shown are mainly those at which the central bank either discounts or makes advances against eligible commercial paper and/or govt, securities for commercial banks or brokers. For countries with more than one rate applicable to such discounts or advances, the rate shown is the one at which it is understood the central bank transacts the largest proportion of its credit operations. Other rates for some of these countries follow:

Argentina—3 and 5 per cent for certain rural and industrial paper, depending on type of transaction;

Brazil—8 per cent for secured paper and 4 per cent for certain agricultural paper;

Japan—Penalty rates (exceeding the basic rate shown) for borrowings from the central bank in excess of an individual bank's quota; United Kingdom—The Bank's minimum lending rate, which is the average rate of discount for Treasury bills established at the most recent tender plus one-half per cent rounded to the nearest one-quarter per cent

above; Venezuela—2 per cent for rediscounts of certain agricultural paper, 4½ per cent for advances against government bonds, and 5½ per cent for rediscounts of certain industrial paper and on advances against promissory notes or securities of first-class Venezuelan companies.

#### 27. FOREIGN EXCHANGE RATES

(In cents per unit of foreign currency)

	1	1		-							
Period	Australia (dollar)	Austria (schilling)	Belgium (franc)	Canada (dollar)	Denmark (krone)	France (franc)	Germany (Deutsche mark)	India (rupee)	Ireland (pound)	Italy (lira)	Japan (yen)
1972 1973 1974 1975	119.23 141.94 143.89 130.77	4.3228 5.1649 5.3564 5.7467	2.2716 2.5761 2.5713 2.7253	100.937 99.977 102.257 98.297	14.384 16.603 16.442 17.437	19.825 22.536 20.805 23.354	31.364 37.758 38.723 40.729	13.246 12.071 12.460 11.926	250.08 245.10 234.03 222.16	.17132 .17192 .15372 .15328	.32995 .36915 .34302 .33705
1975—Jan	132.95 134.80 135.85 134.16 134.04 133.55 130.95 128.15 128.87 126.26 126.26	5.9477 6.0400 6.0648 5.9355 6.0033 5.7223 5.4991 5.4029 5.4586 5.4535 5.3986	2.8190 2.8753 2.9083 2.8433 2.8631 2.7123 2.6129 2.5485 2.5662 2.5618 2.5311	100, 526 99, 957 99, 954 98, 913 97, 222 97, 426 97, 004 96, 581 97, 437 97, 557 98, 631 98, 627	17.816 18.064 18.397 18.119 18.299 18.392 17.477 16.783 16.445 16.601 16.564 16.253	22.893 23.390 23.804 23.806 24.655 24.971 23.659 22.848 22.367 22.694 22.684 22.428	42.292 42.981 43.120 42.092 42.546 42.726 40.469 38.857 38.191 38.737 38.619 38.144	12.300 12.550 12.900 12.686 12.391 12.210 11.777 11.379 11.281 11.244 11.238 11.134	236.23 239.58 241.80 237.07 232.05 228.03 218.45 211.43 208.34 205.68 204.84 202.21	.15504 .15678 .15842 .15767 .15937 .15982 .15387 .14963 .14740 .14745 .14721 .14645	.33370 .34294 .34731 .34224 .34314 .34077 .33741 .33560 .33345 .33076 .33053 .32715
1976—Jan	125.65	5.4300	2.5443	99.359	16.231	22.339	38.425	11.178	202.86	.14245	.32826
Period	Malaysia (dollar)	Mexico (peso)	Nether- lands (guilder)	New Zealand (dollar)	Norway (krone)	Portugal (escudo)	South Africa (rand)	Spain (peseta)	Sweden (krona)	Switzer- land (franc)	United Kingdom (pound)
1972 1973 1974 1975	35.610 40.988 41.682 41.753	8.0000 8.0000 8.0000 8.0000	31.153 35.977 37.267 39.632	119.35 136.04 140.02 121.16	15.180 17.406 18.119 19.180	3.7023 4.1080 3.9506 3.9286	129.43 143.88 146.98 136.47	1.5559 1.7178 1.7337 1.7424	21.022 22.970 22.563 24.141	26.193 31.700 33.688 38.743	250.08 245.10 234.03 222.16
1975—JanFebMarArrMayJuneJulyAugSeptOctNovDec	43.359 44.136 44.582 43.797 44.278 43.856 41.442 39.779 38.219 38.931 38.929 38.670	8.0000 8.0000 8.0000 8.0000 8.0000 8.0000 8.0000 8.0000 8.0000 8.0000 8.0000	40.715 41.582 42.124 41.291 41.581 41.502 39.154 37.887 37.229 37.658 37.638 37.234	131.72 133.30 134.31 132.66 131.66 130.86 127.73 111.79 105.50 104.74 104.75 103.77	19.579 19.977 20.357 20.049 20.198 20.393 19.241 18.304 17.834 18.089 18.116 17.988	4.0855 4.1139 4.1276 4.0596 4.0933 4.1124 3.9227 3.7700 3.7048 3.7359 3.7318 3.6836	145.05 147.16 148.70 147.01 146.69 146.31 139.75 131.40 114.84 114.69 114.75	1.7800 1.7784 1.7907 1.7756 1.7871 1.7922 1.7446 1.7140 1.6914 1.6883 1.6889 1.6765	24.750 25.149 25.481 25.171 25.422 25.532 24.213 23.174 22.501 22.769 22.788 22.685	39.571 40.450 40.273 39.080 39.851 40.086 38.272 37.332 36.905 37.555 37.683 37.970	236.23 239.58 241.80 237.07 232.05 228.03 218.45 211.43 208.35 205.68 204.84 202.21
1976—Jan	38.696	8.0000	37.429	104.06	17.992	3.6562	114.80	1,6751	22.831	38.418	202.86

NOTE.—Averages of certified noon buying rates in New York for cable transfers. For description of rates and back data, see "International Finance," Section 15 of Supplement to Banking and Monetary Statistics, 1962.

## Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System

ARTHUR F. BURNS, Chairman ROBERT C. HOLLAND PHILIP E. COLDWELL STEPHEN S. GARDNER, Vice Chairman
HENRY C. WALLICH
J. CHARLES PARTEE

OFFICE OF STAFF DIRECTOR FOR MANAGEMENT

JOHN M. DENKLER, Staff Director ROBERT J. LAWRENCE, Deputy Staff Director

GORDON B. GRIMWOOD, Assistant Director and Program Director for Contingency Planning

WILLIAM W. LAYTON, Director of Equal Employment Opportunity

BRENTON C. LEAVITT, Program Director for Banking Structure

PETER E. BARNA, Program Director for Bank Holding Company Analysis

OFFICE OF BOARD MEMBERS

THOMAS J. O'CONNELL, Counsel to the Chairman
ROBERT SOLOMON, Adviser to the Board
JOSEPH R. COYNE, Assistant to the Board
KENNETH A. GUENTHER, Assistant to the Board
JAY PAUL BRENNEMAN, Special Assistant to the
Roard

PHILIP C. JACKSON, JR.

FRANK O'BRIEN, JR., Special Assistant to the Board

DONALD J. WINN, Special Assistant to the Board

LEGAL DIVISION

JOHN D. HAWKE, JR., General Counsel BALDWIN B. TUTTLE, Deputy General Counsel

ROBERT E. MANNION, Assistant General Counsel

ALLEN L. RAIKEN, Assistant General Counsel GARY M. WELSH, Assistant General Counsel CHARLES R. MCNEILL, Assistant to the General Counsel OFFICE OF STAFF DIRECTOR FOR MONETARY POLICY

STEPHEN H. AXILROD, Staff Director ARTHUR L. BROIDA, Deputy Staff Director STANLEY J. SIGEL, Assistant to the Board MURRAY ALTMANN, Special Assistant to the Board

NORMAND R. V. BERNARD, Special Assistant to the Board

DIVISION OF RESEARCH AND STATISTICS

LYLE E. GRAMLEY, Director JAMES L. KICHLINE, Associate Director JOSEPH S. ZEISEL, Associate Director EDWARD C. ETTIN. Adviser JOHN H. KALCHBRENNER, Adviser PETER M. KEIR. Adviser JAMES B. ECKERT, Associate Adviser JOHN J. MINGO, Associate Adviser ELEANOR J. STOCKWELL, Associate Adviser HELMUT F. WENDEL, Associate Adviser JAMES R. WETZEL, Associate Adviser JARED J. ENZLER, Assistant Adviser ROBERT M. FISHER, Assistant Adviser J. CORTLAND G. PERET, Assistant Adviser STEPHEN P. TAYLOR, Assistant Adviser LEVON H. GARABEDIAN. Assistant Director

DIVISION OF FEDERAL RESERVE BANK OPERATIONS

JAMES R. KUDLINSKI, Director
WALTER A. ALTHAUSEN, Assistant Director
BRIAN M. CAREY, Assistant Director
HARRY A. GUINTER, Assistant Director

### DIVISION OF FEDERAL RESERVE BANK EXAMINATIONS AND BUDGETS

WILLIAM H. WALLACE, Director CLYDE H. FARNSWORTH, JR., Assistant Director THOMAS E. MEAD, Assistant Director P. D. RING, Assistant Director

#### DIVISION OF DATA PROCESSING

CHARLES L. HAMPTON, Director BRUCE M. BEARDSLEY, Associate Director GLENN L. CUMMINS, Assistant Director WARREN N. MINAMI, Assistant Director ROBERT J. ZEMEL, Assistant Director

#### DIVISION OF PERSONNEL

KEITH D. ENGSTROM, Director CHARLES W. WOOD, Assistant Director

#### OFFICE OF THE CONTROLLER

JOHN KAKALEC, Controller
TYLER E. WILLIAMS, JR., Assistant Controller

#### DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES

WALTER W. KREIMANN, Director DONALD E. ANDERSON, Assistant Director JOHN D. SMITH, Assistant Director

#### OFFICE OF SAVER AND CONSUMER AFFAIRS

FREDERIC SOLOMON, Assistant to the Board and Director JANET O. HART, Deputy Director JERAULD C. KLUCKMAN, Assistant Director ROBERT S. PLOTKIN, Assistant Director

#### OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

THEODORE E. ALLISON, Secretary
\*JOSEPH P. GARBARINI, Assistant Secretary
GRIFFITH L. GARWOOD, Assistant Secretary

### DIVISION OF BANKING SUPERVISION AND REGULATION

BRENTON C. LEAVITT, Director
FREDERICK R. DAHL, Assistant Director
JACK M. EGERTSON, Assistant Director
JOHN N. LYON, Assistant Director
JOHN T. McCLINTOCK, Assistant Director
JOHN E. RYAN, Assistant Director
THOMAS A. SIDMAN, Assistant Director
WILLIAM W. WILES, Assistant Director

#### DIVISION OF INTERNATIONAL FINANCE

†RALPH C. BRYANT, Director
JOHN E. REYNOLDS, Acting Director
ROBERT F. GEMMILL, Adviser
REED J. IRVINE, Adviser
†HELEN B. JUNZ, Adviser
SAMUEL PIZER, Adviser
GEORGE B. HENRY, Associate Adviser
CHARLES J. SIEGMAN, Associate Adviser
EDWIN M. TRUMAN, Associate Adviser

<sup>\*</sup>On loan from the Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis.

<sup>†</sup>On leave of absence.

## Federal Open Market Committee

ARTHUR F. BURNS, Chairman

PAUL A. VOLCKER, Vice Chairman

ERNEST T. BAUGHMAN PHILIP E. COLDWELL DAVID P. EASTBURN STEPHEN S. GARDNER ROBERT C. HOLLAND PHILIP C. JACKSON, JR. BRUCE K. MACLAURY ROBERT P. MAYO
J. CHARLES PARTEE
HENRY C. WALLICH

ARTHUR L. BROIDA, Secretary
MURRAY ALTMANN, Deputy Secretary
NORMAND R. V. BERNARD, Assistant
Secretary
THOMAS J. O'CONNELL, General Counsel
EDWARD G. GUY, Deputy General Counsel
STEPHEN H. AXILROD, Economist
(Domestic Finance)

LYLE E. GRAMLEY, Economist

(Domestic Business)

(International Finance)
EDWARD G. BOEHNE, Associate Economist
\*RALPH C. BRYANT, Associate Economist
RICHARD G. DAVIS, Associate Economist
RALPH T. GREEN, Associate Economist
JOHN KAREKEN, Associate Economist
JOHN E. REYNOLDS, Associate Economist
KARL O. SCHELD, Associate Economist

ROBERT SOLOMON, Economist

ALAN R. HOLMES, Manager, System Open Market Account
PETER D. STERNLIGHT, Deputy Manager for Domestic Operations
SCOTT E. PARDEE, Deputy Manager for Foreign Operations

## Federal Advisory Council

ELLMORE C. PATTERSON, SECOND FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICT, President WILLIAM F. MURRAY, SEVENTH FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICT, Vice President

RICHARD D. HILL, FIRST FEDERAL

RESERVE DISTRICT

JAMES F. BODINE, THIRD FEDERAL

RESERVE DISTRICT

M. BROCK WEIR, FOURTH FEDERAL

RESERVE DISTRICT

JOHN H. LUMPKIN, FIFTH FEDERAL

RESERVE DISTRICT

LAWRENCE A. MERRIGAN, SIXTH

FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICT

EDWIN S. JONES, EIGHTH FEDERAL

RESERVE DISTRICT

George H. Dixon, ninth federal

RESERVE DISTRICT

EUGENE H. ADAMS, TENTH FEDERAL

RESERVE DISTRICT

BEN F. LOVE, ELEVENTH FEDERAL

RESERVE DISTRICT

GILBERT F. BRADLEY, TWELFTH FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICT

HERBERT V. PROCHNOW, Secretary WILLIAM J. KORSVIK, Associate Secretary

<sup>\*</sup>On leave of absence.

## Federal Reserve Banks, Branches, and Offices

FEDERAL RESERVE BANK, branch, or facility Zip	Chairman Deputy Chairman	President First Vice President	Vice President in charge of branch
BOSTON* 02106	Louis W. Cabot Robert M. Solow	Frank E. Morris James A. McIntosh	
NEW YORK*10045	Frank R. Milliken Robert H. Knight	Paul A. Volcker Richard A. Debs	
Buffalo14240	Rupert Warren	Richard A. Deus	Ronald B. Gray
PHILADELPHIA 19105	John R. Coleman John W. Eckman	David P. Eastburn Mark H. Willes	
CLEVELAND* 44101	Horace A. Shepard Robert E. Kirby	Willis J. Winn Walter H. MacDonald	
Cincinnati         45201           Pittsburgh         15230	Lawrence H. Rogers, II G. Jackson Tankersley	Walter 11. Water Solution	Robert E. Showalter Robert D. Duggan
RICHMOND*23261	E. Angus Powell E. Craig Wall, Sr.	Robert P. Black George C. Rankin	
Baltimore	James G. Harlow Charles W. DeBell	George C. Ramkin	Jimmie R. Monhollon Stuart P. Fishburne
Center22701			Albert D. Tinkelenberg
ATLANTA30303	H. G. Pattillo Clifford M. Kirtland, Jr.	Monroe Kimbrel Kyle K. Fossum	
Birmingham       35202         Jacksonville       32203         Miami       33152         Nashville       37203         New Orleans       70161	Harold B. Blach, Jr. Egbert R. Beall Castle W. Jordan James W. Long Edwin J. Caplan	Kyle K. 1055um	Hiram J. Honea Edward C. Rainey W. M. Davis Jeffrey J. Wells George C. Guynn
CHICAGO*60690  Detroit48231	Peter B. Clark Robert H. Strotz Tom Killefer	Robert P. Mayo Daniel M. Doyle	William C. Conrad
ST. LOUIS63166	Edward J. Schnuck Vacancy	Darryl R. Francis	
Little Rock       72203         Louisville       40201         Memphis       38101	Ronald W. Bailey William H. Stroube Robert E. Healy	Eugene A. Leonard	John F. Breen Donald L. Henry L. Terry Britt
MINNEAPOLIS 55480	James P. McFarland Stephen F. Keating	Bruce K. MacLaury Clement A. Van Nice	
Helena59601	James C. Garlington	Cichichi 71. Van 1400	John D. Johnson
KANSAS CITY64198	Robert T. Person Harold W. Andersen	George H. Clay John T. Boysen	
Denver       80217         Oklahoma City       73125         Omaha       68102	Maurice B. Mitchell James G. Harlow, Jr. Durward B. Varner		J. David Hamilton William G. Evans Robert D. Hamilton
DALLAS75222	John Lawrence Charles T. Beaird	Ernest T. Baughman T. W. Plant	
El Paso       79999         Houston       77001         San Antonio       78295	J. Luther Davis Thomas J. Barlow Margaret Scarbrough Wilson	1. W. Flant	Fredric W. Reed James L. Cauthen Carl H. Moore
SAN FRANCISCO94120	O. Meredith Wilson	John J. Balles	
Los Angeles       90051         Portland       97208         Salt Lake City       84110         Seattle       98124	Joseph F. Alibrandi Joseph R. Vaughan Loran L. Stewart Sam Bennion Lloyd E. Cooney	John ·B. Williams	Richard C. Dunn Angelo S. Carella A. Grant Holman James J. Curran

<sup>\*</sup>Additional offices of these Banks are located at Lewiston, Maine 04240; Windsor Locks, Connecticut 06096; Cranford, New Jersey 07016; Jericho, New York 11753; Columbus, Ohio 43216; Columbia, South Carolina 29210; Des Moines, Iowa 50306; Indianapolis, Indiana 46204; and Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202.

### Federal Reserve Board Publications

Available from Publications Services, Division of Administrative Services, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551. Where a charge is indicated, remittance should accompany

THE FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM—PURPOSES AND FUNCTIONS. 1974. 125 pp. \$1.00 each; 10 or more to one address, \$.75 each.

#### ANNUAL REPORT

- FEDERAL RESERVE BULLETIN. Monthly. \$20.00 per year or \$2.00 each in the United States, its possessions, Canada, and Mexico; 10 or more of same issue to one address, \$18.00 per year or \$1.75 each. Elsewhere, \$24.00 per year or \$2.50 each.
- FEDERAL RESERVE CHART BOOK ON FINANCIAL AND BUSINESS STATISTICS. Monthly. Subscription includes one issue of Historical Chart Book. \$12.00 per year or \$1.25 each in the United States, its possessions, Canada, and Mexico; 10 or more of same issue to one address, \$1.00 each. Elsewhere, \$15.00 per year or \$1.50 each.
- HISTORICAL CHART BOOK. Issued annually in Sept. Subscription to monthly chart book includes one issue. \$1.25 each in the United States, its possessions, Canada, and Mexico; 10 or more to one address, \$1.00 each. Elsewhere, \$1.50 each.
- Capital Market Developments. Weekly. \$15.00 per year or \$.40 each in the United States, its possessions, Canada, and Mexico; 10 or more of same issue to one address, \$13.50 per year or \$.35 each. Elsewhere, \$20.00 per year or \$.50 each.
- SELECTED INTEREST AND EXCHANGE RATES—WEEKLY SERIES OF CHARTS. Weekly. \$15.00 per year or \$.40 each in the United States, its possessions, Canada, and Mexico; 10 or more of same issue to one address, \$13.50 per year or \$.35 each. Elsewhere, \$20.00 per year or \$.50 each.
- THE FEDERAL RESERVE ACT, as amended through December 1971, with an appendix containing provisions of certain other statutes affecting the Federal Reserve System. 252 pp. \$1.25.
- REGULATIONS OF THE BOARD OF GOVERNORS OF THE FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM
- Published Interpretations of the Board of Governors, as of June 30, 1975. \$2.50.
- Supplement to Banking and Monetary Statistics. Sec. 1. Banks and the Monetary System. 1962. 35 pp. \$.35. Sec. 2. Member Banks. 1967. 59 pp. \$.50. Sec. 5. Bank Debits. 1966. 36 pp. \$.35. Sec. 6. Bank Income. 1966. 29 pp. \$.35. Sec. 9. Federal Reserve Banks. 1965. 36 pp. \$.35. Sec. 10. Member Bank Reserves and Related Items. 1962. 64 pp. \$.50. Sec. 11. Currency. 1963. 11 pp. \$.35. Sec. 12. Money Rates and Securities Markets. 1966. 182 pp. \$.65. Sec. 14. Gold. 1962. 24 pp. \$.35. Sec. 15. International Finance. 1962.

request and be made payable to the order of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System in a form collectible at par in U.S. currency. (Stamps and coupons are not accepted.)

- 92 pp. \$.65. **Sec. 16 (New).** Consumer Credit. 1965. 103 pp. \$.65.
- THE FEDERAL FUNDS MARKET. 1959. 111 pp. \$1.00 each; 10 or more to one address, \$.85 each.
- Trading in Federal Funds. 1965. 116 pp. \$1.00 each; 10 or more to one address, \$.85 each.
- INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTION—1971 EDITION. 1972. 383 pp. \$4.00 each; 10 or more to one address, \$3.50 each.
- THE PERFORMANCE OF BANK HOLDING COMPANIES. 1967. 29 pp. \$.25 each; 10 or more to one address, \$.20 each.
- BANK CREDIT-CARD AND CHECK-CREDIT PLANS. 1968. 102 pp. \$1.00 each; 10 or more to one address, \$.85 each.
- SURVEY OF FINANCIAL CHARACTERISTICS OF COnsumers. 1966. 166 pp. \$1.00 each; 10 or more to one address, \$.85 each.
- SURVEY OF CHANGES IN FAMILY FINANCES. 1968. 321 pp. \$1.00 each; 10 or more to one address, \$.85 each.
- REPORT OF THE JOINT TREASURY-FEDERAL RESERVE STUDY OF THE U.S. GOVERNMENT SECURITIES MARKET. 1969. 48 pp. \$.25 each; 10 or more to one address, \$.20 each.
- JOINT TREASURY-FEDERAL RESERVE STUDY OF THE GOVERNMENT SECURITIES MARKET: STAFF STUDIES—PART 1. 1970. 86 pp. \$.50 each; 10 or more to one address, \$.40 each. Part 2. 1971. 153 pp. and Part 3. 1973. 131 pp. Each volume \$1.00; 10 or more to one address, \$.85 each.
- OPEN MARKET POLICIES AND OPERATING PROCE-DURES—STAFF STUDIES. 1971. 218 pp. \$2.00 each; 10 or more to one address, \$1.75 each.
- REAPPRAISAL OF THE FEDERAL RESERVE DISCOUNT MECHANISM. Vol. 1. 1971. 276 pp. Vol. 2. 1971. 173 pp. Vol. 3. 1972. 220 pp. Each volume \$3.00; 10 or more to one address, \$2.50 each.
- THE ECONOMETRICS OF PRICE DETERMINATION CON-FERENCE, October 30-31, 1970, Washington, D.C. Oct. 1972. 397 pp. Cloth ed. \$5.00 each; 10 or more to one address, \$4.50 each. Paper ed. \$4.00 each; 10 or more to one address, \$3.60 each.
- FEDERAL RESERVE STAFF STUDY: WAYS TO MODERATE FLUCTUATIONS IN HOUSING CONSTRUCTION, Dec. 1972. 487 pp. \$4.00 each; 10 or more to one address, \$3.60 each.
- Lending Functions of the Federal Reserve Banks. 1973. 271 pp. \$3.50 each; 10 or more to one address, \$3.00 each.
- Introduction to Flow of Funds. 1975. 64 pp. \$.50 each; 10 or more to one address, \$.40 each.

IMPROVED FUND AVAILABILITY AT RURAL BANKS (Report and study papers of the Committee on Rural Banking Problems). June 1975. 133 pp. \$1.00; 10 or more to one address, \$.85 each.

#### STAFF ECONOMIC STUDIES

Studies and papers on economic and financial subjects that are of general interest in the field of economic research

SUMMARIES ONLY PRINTED IN THE BULLETIN (Limited supply of mimeographed copies of full text available upon request for single copies)

HOUSEHOLD-SECTOR ECONOMIC ACCOUNTS, by David F. Seiders. Jan. 1975. 84 pp.

THE PERFORMANCE OF INDIVIDUAL BANK HOLDING COMPANIES, by Arthur G. Fraas. Aug. 1975.

PRINTED IN FULL IN THE BULLETIN

Staff Economic Studies shown in list below.

#### REPRINTS

(Except for Staff Papers, Staff Economic Studies, and some leading articles, most of the articles reprinted do not exceed 12 pages.)

SEASONAL FACTORS AFFECTING BANK RESERVES. 2/58. MEASURES OF MEMBER BANK RESERVES. 7/63.

RESEARCH ON BANKING STRUCTURE AND PERFORM-ANCE, Staff Economic Study by Tynan Smith.

A REVISED INDEX OF MANUFACTURING CAPACITY, Staff Economic Study by Frank de Leeuw with Frank E. Hopkins and Michael D. Sherman. 11/66.

U.S. International Transactions: Trends in 1960-67. 4/68.

Measures of Security Credit. 12/70.

MONETARY AGGREGATES AND MONEY MARKET CON-DITIONS IN OPEN MARKET POLICY. 2/71.

REVISED MEASURES OF MANUFACTURING CAPACITY Utilization. 10/71.

REVISION OF BANK CREDIT SERIES. 12/71.

ASSETS AND LIABILITIES OF FOREIGN BRANCHES OF U.S. BANKS. 2/72.

BANK DEBITS, DEPOSITS, AND DEPOSIT TURNOVER-REVISED SERIES. 7/72.

YIELDS ON NEWLY ISSUED CORPORATE BONDS. 9/72.

RECENT ACTIVITIES OF FOREIGN BRANCHES OF U.S. BANKS. 10/72.

REVISION OF CONSUMER CREDIT STATISTICS. 10/72. One-Bank Holding Companies Before the 1970

AMENDMENTS. 12/72.

YIELDS ON RECENTLY OFFERED CORPORATE BONDS. 5/73.

CAPACITY UTILIZATION IN MAJOR MATERIALS INDUS-TRIES. 8/73.

CREDIT-CARD AND CHECK-CREDIT PLANS AT COMMER-CIAL BANKS, 9/73.

RATES ON CONSUMER INSTALMENT LOANS. 9/73.

NEW SERIES FOR LARGE MANUFACTURING CORPORA-TIONS. 10/73.

MONEY SUPPLY IN THE CONDUCT OF MONETARY POLICY. 11/73.

U.S. ENERGY SUPPLIES AND USES, Staff Economic **Study** by Clayton Gehman. 12/73.

CAPACITY UTILIZATION FOR MAJOR MATERIALS: RE-VISED MEASURES. 4/74.

NUMERICAL SPECIFICATIONS OF FINANCIAL VARIABLES AND THEIR ROLE IN MONETARY POLICY. 5/74.

INFLATION AND STAGNATION IN MAJOR FOREIGN IN-DUSTRIAL COUNTRIES. 10/74.

REVISION OF THE MONEY STOCK MEASURES AND MEM-BER BANK DEPOSITS. 12/74.

U.S. International Transactions in 1974. 4/75.

MONETARY POLICY IN A CHANGING FINANCIAL ENVI-RONMENT: OPEN MARKET OPERATIONS IN 1974. 4/75

THE STRUCTURE OF MARGIN CREDIT. 4/75.

Changes in Bank Lending Practices, 1974. 4/75.

NEW STATISTICAL SERIES ON LOAN COMMITMENTS AT SELECTED LARGE COMMERCIAL BANKS, 4/75.

RECENT TRENDS IN FEDERAL BUDGET POLICY, 7/75.

BANKING AND MONETARY STATISTICS, 1974. Selected series of banking and monetary statistics for 1974 only. 2/75, 3/75, 4/75 and 7/75.

CHANGES IN TIME AND SAVINGS DEPOSITS AT COM-MERCIAL BANKS. January-April 1975. 10/75.

RECENT DEVELOPMENTS IN INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL MARKETS. 10/75.

MINNIE: A SMALL VERSION OF THE MIT-PENN-SSRC ECONOMETRIC MODEL, Staff Economic Study by Douglas Battenberg, Jared J. Enzler and Arthur M. Havenner. 11/75.

AN ASSESSMENT OF BANK HOLDING COMPANIES, Staff Economic Study by Robert J. Lawrence and Samuel H. Talley. 1/76.

INDUSTRIAL ELECTRIC POWER USE. 1/76.

REVISION OF MONEY STOCK MEASURES. 2/76.

## Index to Statistical Tables

#### References are to pages A-2 through A-75 although the prefix "A" is omitted in this index

(For list of tables published periodically, but not monthly, see inside back cover)

```
ACCEPTANCES, bankers, 9, 25, 27
                                                               Demand deposits:
Agricultural loans of commercial banks, 16, 18
                                                                    Adjusted, commercial banks, 11, 13, 17
Assets and liabilities (See also Foreigners):
                                                                    Banks, by classes, 14, 17, 20, 21
     Banks, by classes, 14, 16, 17, 18, 30
                                                                    Ownership by individuals, partnerships, and cor-
     Federal Reserve Banks, 10
                                                                       porations, 24
     Nonfinancial corporations, current, 41
                                                                    Subject to reserve requirements, 13
                                                                    Turnover, 11
Automobiles:
                                                               Deposits (See also specific types of deposits):
     Consumer instalment credit, 45, 46, 47
    Production index, 48, 49
                                                                    Accumulated at commercial banks for payment of
                                                                   personal loans, 24
Banks, by classes, 14, 17, 20, 21, 30
Federal Reserve Banks, 10, 72
BANK credit proxy, 13
Bankers balances, 16, 17, 20
                                                                    Subject to reserve requirements, 13
     (See also Foreigners)
                                                               Discount rates at Federal Reserve Banks (See Interest
Banks for cooperatives, 38
Bonds (See also U.S. Govt. securities):
                                                                 rates)
                                                               Discounts and advances by Reserve Banks (See Loans)
    New issues, 38, 39, 40
                                                               Dividends, corporate, 41
     Yields and prices, 28, 29
Branch banks:
                                                               EMPLOYMENT, 50, 52
     Assets, foreign branches of U.S. banks, 70
     Liabilities of U.S. banks to their foreign branches
                                                               FARM mortgage loans, 42
       and foreign branches of U.S. banks, 22, 71
                                                               Federal agency obligations, 9, 10, 11
Brokerage balances, 69
                                                               Federal finance:
                                                                    Receipts and outlays, 32, 33
Business expenditures on new plant and equipment, 41
                                                              Treasury operating balance, 32 Federal funds, 5, 16, 18, 21, 27 Federal home loan banks, 37, 38
Business indexes, 50
Business loans (See Commercial and industrial loans)
                                                               Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, 37, 42, 43
CAPACITY utilization, 50
                                                               Federal Housing Administration, 42, 43, 44
Federal intermediate credit banks, 37, 38
Capital accounts:
    Banks, by classes, 14, 17, 22
Federal Reserve Banks, 10
                                                               Federal land banks, 37, 38, 42
                                                               Federal National Mortgage Assn., 37, 38, 42, 43, 44
Central banks, 60, 75
                                                               Federal Reserve Banks:
Certificates of deposit, 22
                                                                    Condition statement, 10
Commercial and industrial loans:
Commercial banks, 13, 16
                                                                    U.S. Govt. securities held, 2, 10, 11, 34, 35
                                                               Federal Reserve credit, 2, 4, 10, 11
     Weekly reporting banks, 18, 23
                                                               Federal Reserve notes, 10
Commercial banks:
                                                               Federally sponsored credit agencies, 37, 38
     Assets and liabilities, 13, 14, 16, 17, 18
                                                               Finance companies:

Loans, 18, 45, 46, 47

Paper, 25, 27
     Consumer loans held, by type, 45, 46, 47
     Deposits at, for payment of personal loans, 24
     Loans sold outright, 25
                                                               Financial institutions, loans to, 16, 18
     Number, by classes, 14
                                                               Float, 2
     Real estate mortgages held, by type of holder and
                                                               Flow of funds, 56, 57
       property, 42-44
                                                               Foreign:
Commercial paper, 23, 25, 27
                                                                    Currency operations, 10
Condition statements (See Assets and liabilities)
                                                                    Deposits in U.S. banks, 3, 10, 17, 21, 72
Construction, 50, 51
                                                                    Exchange rates, 75
Consumer instalment credit, 45, 46, 47
Consumer price indexes, 50, 53
                                                                    Trade, 59
                                                               Foreigners:
Consumption expenditures, 54, 55
                                                                    Claims on, 66, 67, 68, 72, 73, 74
Corporations:
                                                                    Liabilities to, 22, 61, 62, 64, 65, 72, 73, 74
     Profits, taxes, and dividends, 41
     Security issues, 39, 40
     Security yields and prices, 28, 29
                                                                    Certificates, 10
Cost of living (See Consumer price indexes)
Currency and coin, 3, 16
                                                                    Reserves of central banks and govts., 60
                                                                    Stock, 2, 59
Currency in circulation, 3, 12
                                                               Government National Mortgage Assn., 42
Customer credit, stock market, 29, 30
                                                               Gross national product, 54, 55
DEBITS to deposit accounts, 11
                                                               HOUSING permits, 50
Debt (See specific types of debt or securities)
```


Housing starts, 51


### References are to pages A-2 through A-75 although the prefix "A" is omitted in this index


INCOME, national and personal, 54, 55 Industrial production index, 48, 49, 50 Instalment loans, 45, 46, 47 Insurance companies, 31, 34, 35, 42, 44 Insured commercial banks, 14, 16, 17, 24 Interbank deposits, 14, 20 Interest rates:  Bond and stock yields, 28 Business loans of banks, 26 Federal Reserve Banks, 6 Foreign countries, 74, 75 Money market rates, 27 Mortgage yields, 43, 44 Prime rate, commercial banks, 26 Time and savings deposits, maximum rates, 8 International capital transactions of U.S., 61–74 International institutions, 60–64, 66, 67–69, 73 Inventories, 54 Investment companies, issues and assets, 40 Investments (See also specific types of investments): Banks, by classes, 14, 16, 19, 30 Commercial banks, 13 Federal Reserve Banks, 10, 11 Life insurance companies, 31 Savings and loan assns., 31	REAL estate loans: Banks, by classes, 16, 18, 30, 42 Mortgage yields, 43, 44 Type of holder and property mortgaged, 42–44 Reserve position, basic, member banks, 5 Reserve requirements, member banks, 7 Reserves: Central banks and govts., 60 Commercial banks, 17, 20, 22 Federal Reserve Banks, 10 Member banks, 3, 4, 13, 17 U.S. reserve assets, 59 Residential mortgage loans, 43, 44 Retail credit, 45, 46 Retail sales, 50  SAVING: Flow of funds series, 56, 57 National income series, 54, 55 Savings and loan assns., 31, 35, 42, 44 Savings deposits (See Time deposits) Savings institutions, principal assets, 30, 31 Securities (See also U.S. Govt. securities): Federally sponsored agencies, 37, 38
	International transactions, 68, 69
LABOR force, 52	New issues, 38, 39, 40
Life insurance companies (See Insurance companies)  Loans (See also specific types of loans):	Yields and prices, 28, 29 Special Drawing Rights, 2, 10, 58, 59
Banks, by classes, 14, 16, 18, 30	State and local govts.:
Commercial banks, 13, 14, 16, 18, 23, 25, 26	Deposits, 17, 20
Federal Reserve Banks, 2, 4, 6, 10, 11	Holdings of U.S. Govt. securities, 34, 35
Insurance companies, 31, 44	New security issues, 38, 39
Insured or guaranteed by U.S., 42, 43, 44	Ownership of securities of, 16, 19, 30 Yields and prices of securities, 28, 29
Savings and loan assns., 31	State member banks, 15, 24
MANUFACTURERS:	Stock market credit, 29, 30
Capacity utilization, 50	Stocks (See also Securities):
Production index, 49, 50	New issues, 39, 40
Margin requirements, 8	Yields and prices, 28, 29
Member banks:	TAY receipts Federal 33
Assets and liabilities, by classes, 14, 16, 17 Borrowings at Federal Reserve Banks, 4, 10	TAX receipts, Federal, 33 Time deposits, 8, 13, 14, 17, 21, 22
Number, by classes, 14	Treasury currency, Treasury cash, 2, 3
Reserve position, basic, 5	Treasury deposits, 3, 10, 32
Reserve requirements, 7	Treasury operating balance, 32
Reserves and related items, 2, 4, 13	7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Mining, production index, 49	UNEMPLOYMENT, 52
Mobile home shipments, 51 Money market rates (See Interest rates)	U.S. balance of payments, 58 U.S. Govt. balances:
Money stock and related data, 12	Commercial bank holdings, 17, 20
Mortgages (See Real estate loans and Residential	Member bank holdings, 13
mortgage loans)	Treasury deposits at Reserve Banks, 3, 10, 32
Mutual funds (See Investment companies)	U.S. Govt. securities:
Mutual savings banks, 20, 30, 34, 42, 44	Bank holdings, 14, 16, 19, 30, 34, 35
NATIONAL banks, 14, 24	Dealer transactions, positions, and financing, 36 Federal Reserve Bank holdings, 2, 10, 11, 34, 35
National defense expenditures, 33	Foreign and international holdings, 10, 66, 68, 72
National income, 54, 55	International transactions, 66, 68
Nonmember banks, 15, 16, 17, 24	New issues, gross proceeds, 39
	Open market transactions, 9
OPEN market transactions, 9	Outstanding, by type of security, 34, 35
DAVPOLLS manufacturing index 50	Ownership, 34, 35
PAYROLLS, manufacturing index, 50 Personal income, 55	Yields and prices, 28, 29 Utilities production index 49
Prices:	Utilities, production index, 49
Consumer and wholesale commodity, 50, 53	VETERANS Administration, 43, 44
Security, 29	
Prime rate, commercial banks, 26	WEEKLY reporting banks, 18-22
Production, 48, 49, 50	AUDY DO (O. A. C.
Profits, corporate, 41	YIELDS (See Interest rates)

# The Federal Reserve System

Boundaries of Federal Reserve Districts and Their Branch Territories







#### **LEGEND**

- Boundaries of Federal Reserve Districts
- Boundaries of Federal Reserve Branch
  Territories
- Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System
- Federal Reserve Bank Cities
- Federal Reserve Branch Cities
   Federal Reserve Bank Facility

#### Guide to Tabular Presentation

#### SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS

e	Estimated	N.S.A.	Monthly (or quarterly) figures not adjusted
c	Corrected	TD G	for seasonal variation
р	Preliminary	IPC SMSA	Individuals, partnerships, and corporations
r	Revised	A	Standard metropolitan statistical area Assets
rp	Revised preliminary	L	Liabilities
I, II,	1	S	Sources of funds
III, IV	Quarters	U	Uses of funds
n.e.c.	Not elsewhere classified	*	Amounts insignificant in terms of the partic-
A.R.	Annual rate		ular unit (e.g., less than 500,000 when the unit is millions)
S.A.	Monthly (or quarterly) figures adjusted for seasonal variation		(1) Zero, (2) no figure to be expected, or (3) figure delayed

#### GENERAL INFORMATION

Minus signs are used to indicate (1) a decrease, (2) a negative figure, or (3) an outflow.

A heavy vertical rule is used in the following instances: (1) to the right (to the left) of a total when the components shown to the right (left) of it add to that total (totals separated by ordinary rules include more components than those shown), (2) to the right (to the left) of items that are not part of a balance sheet,

(3) to the left of memorandum items.
"U.S. Govt. securities" may include guaranteed issues of U.S. Govt. agencies (the flow of funds figures

also include not fully guaranteed issues) as well as direct obligations of the Treasury. "State and local govt." also includes municipalities, special districts, and other political subdivisions.

In some of the tables details do not add to totals because of rounding.

The footnotes labeled Note (which always appear last) provide (1) the source or sources of data that do not originate in the System; (2) notice when figures are estimates; and (3) information on other characteristics of the data.

#### TABLES PUBLISHED QUARTERLY, SEMIANNUALLY, OR ANNUALLY, WITH LATEST BULLETIN REFERENCE

Quarterly	Issue	Page	Annually—Continued	Issue	Page
Sales, revenue, profits, and dividends of large manufacturing corporations	Dec. 1975	A-76	Banks and branches, number, by class and State	Apr. 1975	A-76—A-77
Semiannually					
D. I			Flow of funds:		
Banking offices:			Assets and liabilities:	19	
Number in the	Aug 1075	A-76	1962–73	Oct. 1974	A-59.14—A-59.28
United States  Number of par and nonpar		A-77	Flows:		
Number of par and nonpar	Aug. 1973	A-77	1965–73	Oct 1074	A 59 A 50 12
Annually			1905–73	Oct. 1974	A-36—A-39.13
Bank holding companies:			Income and expenses:		
Banking offices and depos-			Federal Reserve Banks	Feb. 1975	A-80—A-81
its of group banks, Dec.			Insured commercial banks	June 1975	A-80—A-81
31, 1974	June 1975	A-76—A-79	Member banks:		
Banking and monetary statistic	cs:		Calendar year	June 1975	A-80—A-89
1974	Feb. 1975	A-84-A-85	Income ratios	June 1975	A-90—A-95
	Mar. 1975	A-79—A-82	Operating ratios	Sept. 1975	A-76—A-81
	Apr. 1975	A-78—A-85	sees sales?	-	
	May 1975	337			
	July 1975	A-77	Stock market credit	Feb. 1975	A-86—A-87

### Statistical Releases

#### LIST PUBLISHED SEMIANNUALLY, WITH LATEST BULLETIN REFERENCE

	Issue	Page
Anticipated schedule of release dates for individual releases	 Dec. 1975	A-83