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## REVIEW OF THE MONTH.

While the recovery of business in the United States which has been going on

Foreign and domestic business. during the past two months or more has distinctly continued to increase in momentum, and while some indications of betterment are noticeable in certain of the foreign countries, it is a striking fact that there has been little or no improvement in the financial basis of our foreign trade. Exchange quotations have fluctuated even more than usual during the month of October. The mark has reached a new low level below fivetenths of a cent. Sterling exchange has undergone considerable variation, and the same is true of other European currencies. This situation has had a depressing effect upon our foreign trade. It has tended to discourage American banks from making long-term commitments, or indeed any commitments stated in foreign currency, unless simultaneously "covered" by an equal amount of exchange sold or purchased, as the case might be.

It is remarkable that, in spite of these discouraging conditions, the export and import trade of the Nation has continued upon a high level. The figures for September indeed show some falling off, exports being $\$ 325,000,000$, while imports were $\$ 179,000,000$, a decline of $\$ 31,000,000$ in our net "favorable" foreigntrade balance as compared with the preceding month. Nevertheless, this decline is of only temporary effect, the gross amount of our exports during the present calendar year being $\$ 3,552,000,000$, as against a total of $\$ 1,873,-$ 000,000 of imports. Our net balance for the first nine months of the calendar year 1921 is thus seen to be $\$ 1,679,000,000$, or only $\$ 43,000,000$ below the balance for the same period in 1920. Owing to the fact that the last quarter of 1920 was a period of sustained increase, while the last quarter of 1921 seems likely to be a period of decline in export balances, it would seem that the calendar year 1921 bids fair to add to our total outstanding unfunded balance a sum only between one-third
and one-half as great as was added during the year 1920. The foreign-trade returns for the past month indicate a shrinkage in the amount of the favorable balance thus shown, which may before the end of the year, should present tendencies be maintained, cause some modification of conclusions as compared with the early part of the year.
It is, of course, our trade relations with Europe that are of greatest
Recent development of trade. immediate significance in this connection, both on account of the fact that Europe became most heavily indebted to us during the war and the early post armistice period, as well as because of its close commercial relations with us and its importance as buyer of our merchandise. This makes the position of the United States at the present time in its trade relations with European countries, as distinct from our relations with the world at large, especially important. In the following table is shown, by principal countries, the development of our trade balance during the year 1921 to date:

Merchandise Trade Balance of United States During First Nine Months, 1921.
[In thousands of doltars.]


According to this showing, the countries which are chiefly in our debt as the result of this year's operations are Great Britain, Germany, Italy, and the Netherlands, while of other countries which have direct claims upon us to an extent which creates an unfavorable balance of trade against us the principal are Cuba, Brazil, Chile, Japan, and India. It is evident, therefore, that even allowing for obligations of debtor countries that may have been disposed of through some form of payment by means of bills or drafts drawn on creditor countries-a means of payment which must have been limited at best-and allowing also for payments by Europe in gold and for new long-time borrowing, foreign trade between the United States and European countries left a substantial net balance in favor of ourselves for the year 1921 to date.

There has always been some doubt as to the

Our post-armistice obligations. amount of our current balance with the rest of the world, but a more positive basis for its computation is now at hand than at any former time. Data obtained by the Federal Reserve Board during the course of the war have been during the past few weeks analyzed, and the international debt situation of the United States during the period February, 1918-June, 1919, has been determined. The Board's figuresshow a net balance owed by the United States on open account during this period ranging roughly from about $\$ 600,000,000$ to $\$ 1,100,000,000$. These figures cover practically all transactions and bank balances except some comparatively insignificant holdings and remittances which were not reported. In order to arrive at the total net balance owing to the United States, as distinguished from the balance on open account, other funded items should be added to the amount of United States Government credits opened in favor of various nations and availed of by them on the several dates. From that total there should then be deducted the abovementioned balance owed by the United States on open account.

The net balance on open account is shown in the following table:

Net Balance Owed by the United States to Foreign Countries on Specified Dates.

| Countries. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Feb. 27, } \\ & 1918 \text {. } \end{aligned}$ | Nov. 13, 1918. | Dec. 31, $1918 .$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 25, } \\ & \text { 1919. } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Great Britain. | \$92, 699, 478 | \$160,025, 743 | \$142, 008, 511 | \$105, 363, 541 |
| France. | 141, 679,586 | 195, 209, 012 | 144, 122, 473 | 68,852,622 |
| Italy. | 42, 050, 559 | 83,104,588 | 58,616, 854 | 78,239, 156 |
| Denmark | 69, 346, 193 | 86, 255,842 | 91, 039, 784 | 67, 170, 134 |
| Holland. | 63,037, 005 | 59, 827,781 | 70, 393, 334 | 73,838,392 |
| Norway | 63,793, 797 | 63, 959,939 | 62, 116,305 | 31,054,310 |
| Sweden | 23, 895,040 | 35, 373,540 | 41, 652, 177 | 46, 214,438 |
| Total Europe. | 634, 063, 109 | 806, 907, 192 | 733,360,173 | 549, 978,423 |
| Argentina | 28,662,502 | 66,510,049 | 77,291,385 | 62,601,213 |
| Brazil. | 19,176, 195 | ${ }^{1} 15,485,240$ | ${ }^{1} 16,627,060$ | ${ }^{1} 19,198,693$ |
| Chile. | 19,156, 789 | ${ }^{14} 14,349,951$ | ${ }^{1} 16,607,811$ | ${ }^{18} 8,641,166$ |
| Total South America.. | 2,499,153 | 25,715,783 | 34,637, 854 | 15, 830,812 |
| Canada. | 71,180, 679 | 15,848,069 | 29,378,225 | 55,435,236 |
| India. | 6,563, 231 | ${ }^{1} 16,277,580$ | ${ }^{1} 15,029,425$ | 18,883, 858 |
| Japan. | 20, 840,274 | 81, 133, 783 | 99, 816,033 | 11,965,968 |
| Grand total. | 773,882,376 | 927,446, 517 | 882, 135, 870 | 643, 047, 223 |

${ }^{1}$ Owed to the United States.
In the September, 1920, issue of the BulleTIN it was estimated that an
Our accumu-
lated balance. unfunded international balance in favor of the United States had accumulated since the armistice to the amount of some $\$ 3,000,000,000$. This balance was presented simply on the basis of the trade and finance figures covering operations initiated since the date of the armistice, and confessedly had no reference to such balance as still persisted as the result of former transactions. That there was a considerable balance growing out of prearmistice transactions had been definitely ascertained by the Division of Foreign Exchange of the Federal Reserve Board, but the figures relating to this unfunded surplus had not then been made available for public use. The balance of $\$ 3,000,000,000$, computed by the Board as of August, 1920, would be increased to a figure of $\$ 4,000,000,000$, or a little more, were the computation to be brought up to the present time by using the same methods as were employed in the original computation. Since September, 1920, however, more information has been obtained on some of the uncertain or "invisible" items in such an estimate than was then available, with the result that a final estimate up to October 1, 1921, allowing for the deduction of such invisible items, does not exactly coincide
with the sum above mentioned, as will presently appear. In particular, the data of the Division of Foreign Exchange, which have recently been analyzed, show that on December 31, 1918, there was owed by American banking institutions to foreign countries a net balance of $\$ 882,000,000$ on open account. In order to take this figure fairly into consideration, it is desirable to compute the total amount of merchandise balance developing in favor of the United States beginning with January, 1919, and to offset it so far as practicable with estimates of other elements entering into the international balance since that date. The result may then be compared with the Board's earlier estimate as brought down to date on the foregoing lines. Such a complete estimate, taking into account the unfunded balance shown by the records of the Division of Foreign Exchange, is presented in the following table:

Statement Showing the International Balance of the United States on October 1, 1921.
[In millions of dollars.]

| Items. | 1919 | 1920 | $\begin{gathered} 1921(9 \\ \text { months). } \end{gathered}$ | Total, Jan. 1, 1919, to Oct. 1, 1921. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States, creditor. |  |  |  |  |
| Excess of exports of merchandise. | 4,016 | 2,949 | 1,679 | 8,644 |
| Net exports of gold and silver | 441 |  |  |  |
| Net exports of Federal Reserve notes. | 91 | 103 |  | 194 |
| Net interest payments receivable (private) | 60 | 125 | 150 | 335 |
| Net ocean freight payments receivable. | 220 | 140 | 50 | 410 |
| Total credit items | 4,828 | 3,317 | 1,879 | 9,583 |
| United States, debtor. |  |  |  |  |
| Net imports of gold and silver. |  | 70 | 559 | 188 |
| Net imports of U.S. paper currency. |  |  | 100 | 100 |
| Net international payments of United States Government. | 2,375 | 305 | (1) | 2,680 |
| Net private investment of American capital abroad | ${ }^{2} 300$ | 2235 | 250 | 785 |
| American securities resold to |  |  |  |  |
| United States..................... | 150 | 125 | 40 | 315 |
| Immigrants' remittances and relief. | 2600 | 2700 | 300 | 1,600 |
| Tourists' expenditures.............. | 250 | 2150 | 125 | 325 |
| Total debit items | 3,475 | 1,585 | 1,374 | 5,993 |
| Net additions to unfunded credit balance of the United States.... | 1,353 | 1,732 | 505 | 3,590 |
| Net balance on open account owed by United States on Dec. 31, 1918.. |  |  |  | 882 |
| Net unfunded credit balance of the United States, Oct. 1, 19213 |  |  |  | 2,708 |

1 Definite figures not available.
${ }^{2}$ Estimate of John H. Williams, in Review of Economic Statistics, Supplement, June, 1921.

The above figure of $\$ 2,708,000,000$ representing the net unfunded credit balance on October 1, 1921, covers all items that have
ordinarily been included in balances of this sort. In addition to these elements there are, however, other factors to be taken into account for which estimates have been furnished unofficially. It has been estimated by the economist of a New York national bank on the strength of official information that European settlements on account of canceled war contracts amounted to $\$ 500,000,000$. The same authority has shown on the basis of information furnished by the banks themselves that deposit balances transferred by Far Eastern banks from London to New York amount to $\$ 200,000,000$ for 1919-20. These credit items of $\$ 700,000,000$ added to the above estimate would increase the unfunded balance to $\$ 3,408,000,000$. It is important to note that this balance with the world is not to be identified with our balance with Europe taken alone. There is no necessary conflict between the figure here given and the somewhat higher estimates which have been made of Europe's unfunded debt to private creditors in the United States. Results thus reached, it will be observed, agree closely with the Board's estimate of a year ago, brought up to date, when deduction is made for the balance outstanding at the time of the armistice. In all frankness, it should be stated, however, that a wide divergence of opinion prevails among students of the existing balance of trade situation, and in the very nature of the case the estimate just given can not claim to be more than an approximation based on the best information obtainable. The net balance due on open account has recently been estimated by one observer at only slightly over $\$ 600,000,000$ on January 1, 1921, while on the same date it has been put by others as high as $\$ 4,000,000,000$. The data already furnished afford a basis for choosing between or modifying these estimates.

Attention may be called also to a factor which has not been taken into account in our estimates, because of the uncertainties surrounding it. As is well known, a considerable amount of merchandise represented in the export figures was refused by the foreign purchasers and either stored abroad pending a sale for what it would bring or returned to this country and included in the import returns at very much reduced values. Besides this, in not a few cases
during the period of rapidly falling prices, American exporters have effected settlements of accounts due from foreign merchants at only a fraction of the reported export valuations. This would have the effect of reducing the actual balance below the official foreign trade returns and would reduce correspondingly any estimate of the unfunded balance now outstanding. The allowance to be made for this factor, however, could hardly be large in comparison with the total figures of our merchandise trade.

The question how this great international
Modifications of balance has been financed or our balance. "carried" is one which has been the subject of frequent discussion. The idea that it could be largely carried in the form of open accounts on the books of American merchants has been criticized by some, but there is much evidence to show that a very large proportion of it has at times been so carried. In other cases the indebtedness has been paid by foreign buyers of American goods but in their own currency, as the result of which American establishments have found themselves the proprietors of large balances on the books of the foreign banks which they could not bring home without very severe loss due to the depreciation of exchange, and which they, therefore, in many cases preferred to "carry" until such time as the sums due could be realized upon a basis more nearly corresponding to the original parity of the local unit of currency in American money. Banking transfers and the return of American securities, whose proceeds are realized through sales in the United States, and are then paid to American creditors, undoubtedly account for some reduction from time to time in the outstanding balance. There is another factor which has come into importance within the past 12 months. The cancellation of contracts in countries such as Argentina, as well as in other South American States, undoubtedly culminated in a refusal on the part of foreigners to pay sums which in many cases they had undertaken to pay for American goods. In some cases such refusal resulted in an adjustment ${ }_{3}^{*}$ which rendered possible a settlement of the indebtedness upon a lower basis. The
"balance," apparently growing out of exports valued at a certain level, was thus correspondingly reduced, as settlement was made at a rate much lower than that indicated by the valuation of the goods for export. In other cases considerable quantities of goods have either been reimported, or are on the point of being reimported, into the United States, a fact which, often in large measure, tends to distort or impair the study of those elements of our balance of trade which grow out of transfers of certain classes of goods. It would seem also that there has been established within recent months a very large absorption by American houses of "participations" in the enterprises of European corporations. These participations have in some cases been represented by actual stock issued to American citizens, but in other cases have apparently been represented only by certificates of participation, or of rights to share in the prospective profits growing out of the sale of goods shipped from the United States to Europe and there sold to traders who resell them to other foreigners who consume them. There is no way of ascertaining exactly what weight to allow to these elements in the situation. They do not, however, alter the fact that in one way or another very large claims have been acquired and are still held by American citizens upon the business and industry of other parts of the world, and that, even where these have been in a certain sense converted, as through the taking of participating shares of the kind already referred to, they still continue to represent claims out of which interest or profit payments must grow.

Within recent months an element which has probably been of increasing im-
Speculative pur- portance in the settlement of chases of currency. $\begin{aligned} & \text { our international balance has }\end{aligned}$ been the speculative purchase of foreign currency. To a very considerable extent such purchases have been made in the actual paper currency of the country in question, such currency then being shipped to the buyer in the United States and physically held by him. In other cases foreign currency when purchased has been held abroad subject to the order of the American buyer and has not physically
been transferred. The result, however, amounts to much the same in either case, for the reason that the transaction has the effect of withdrawing currency from circulation and turning it over to an American holder, who carries it in the expectation that it will increase in value. This is the same kind of method for carrying indebtedness that was resorted to by American business men who contented themselves with open account claims on foreign debtors or with balances in foreign banks. The difference between the two situations is found in the fact that within the past few months Americans who were not directly interested in trade with foreign countries have become large speculative purchasers and holders of currency in the way described, and have thoreby assisted to finance our balance of trade. What such speculative purchases amount to it would be difficult to say, but in any event such purchases have been an important element in the financing of the trade balance running in favor of the United States. Where such purchases of foreign currencies are made, the meaning of the transaction is that the holder of the foreign currency practically advances to the country whose currency he purchases the use of capital without an interest charge, in the expectation that eventually through a rise in its price the foreign currency will recover something of its old value and thus yield a profit greater than could be realized from the interest return upon investment securities that he might have purchased. The hazard in the situation is found in the fact that the foreign holder of such currency is in a position no better than nor different from the domestic holder. Both are owners of substitutes for money either in the form of bank notes or Government obligations which are distinctly subject to repudiation or to a process of "scaling down." While no such process has been distinctly undertaken of late in any of the European countries, the belief that reductions of the nominal or par value of the currency must eventually be undertaken finds a strong support in the enormous quantities of such paper now outstanding. Germany alone on September 30 had a total outstanding circulation of $86,384,000,000$ marks in Reichs-
bank notes and of $7,610,000,000$ marks in loan bank notes. The note issues of some of the southern and southeastern European countries are in an even more seriously inflated and depreciated condition, while the situation in Russia and in adjacent territory is too well known to require much discussion.

The part played by gold imports in the settlement of balances growing out
Imports of gold of recent trade has been treated in former issues and needs only cursory reference. The movement of gold into the United States has been at a very high level during the last year, our total net receipts since the beginning of the current year being in the neighborhood of $\$ 564,000,000$. The increase of gold in the vaults of the Federal Reserve Banks during the same period has been $\$ 666,000,000$, so that, it appears, all of the transfers to the United States of gold specie and bullion, besides large amounts of gold certificates, show themselves as additions to the reserves of the Federal Reserve System. Such net gains of gold by the United States, of course, are equivalent to a corresponding reduction of the merchandise trade balance and during the current year have amounted to approximately one-third of the total amount of such merchandise balance up to the 1st of October. The question how long this gold inflow will continue is one which has been much discussed, but little consensus of opinion has been reached, some, however, taking the view that the inward movement will be materially reduced as soon as the total supply of Russian gold has been completely liberated. This view does not necessarily require for its support an actual transfer of Russian gold to the United States, but merely the disbursal of Russian gold in the "free" form among the countries of Europe, which will thereby be enabled to dispense with a corresponding amount of their own gold. That such a process is taking place through the substitution of Russian for other European gold, the latter being shipped to the United States, or through conversion of Russian gold into the mintages of other European countries, which in turn are then shipped to the United States in settlement of American balances, the facts in the case would seem
clearly to indicate. Closely allied to the shipment of gold into the United States as a means of settling our international balance is the policy of some foreign countries of shipping securities in settlement of their debts. These securities have been during the past year, as in former years, of three general varieties-those of American origin formerly held by foreign investors and now returned to us, those of foreign origin shipped here and sold, and those offered for the first time on this market. While it would be difficult to estimate even roughly the amount of the first two classes of securities returned to the United States, an approximate estimate of the amount of foreign securities newly issued sold in this country during the year, and whose proceeds may reasonably be regarded as reducing by so much the amount of indebtedness of foreign countries, can be formed. The following table, prepared for the Board by the Guaranty Trust Co. of New York, shows the foreign Government and municipal loans (excluding Canadian municipals) floated in the United States, and also the new capital flotations made here from January 1 to September 30, 1921, by corporations (foreign and domestic) whose properties are located in foreign countries. The figures as shown have been allowed for in the earlier estimates of net unfunded balances:

| Country. | Corporation issues. | Foreign Government borrowings. ${ }^{1}$ | Total capital issucs. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Canada | \$73, 915, 000 |  | \$73, 915,000 |
| Newfoundiand |  | \$6,000,000 | 6,000,000 |
| Cuba. | 22,300,000 |  | 22, 300, 000 |
| Porto Rico | 3,000,000 |  | 3,000,000 |
| Dominican Repub |  | 2,500,000 | 2,500,000 |
| Parasuay.. | 1, 192, 166 |  | 1, 192, 166 |
| Argentine |  | 50, 000,000 | $50,000,009$ |
| Brazil. |  | 60,000,000 | 60, 000, 000 |
| Chile. |  | 24, 000,000 | 24, 000,000 |
| Uruguay |  | 7,500, 000 | 7,500,000 |
| Philippine |  | 20,000,000 | 20,000,000 |
| France.. | 4,275,000 | 100,000,000 | 104,275,000 |
| Belgium. |  | 30,000,000 | 30, 000,000 |
| Denmark |  | 15,000,000 | 15,000, 000 |
| Other ${ }^{2}$ | 9,600,000 |  | 9,600,000 |
| Total | 114, 282, 166 | $315,000,000$ | 429,282, 166 |
| I Includes all foreign municipal loans, except Canadian. <br> 2 Includes capital issues by companies having properties in more than one country. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

The striking aspect of the year's financial developments on the banking
Relationof banks to foreign trade. side in connection with foreign trade is found in the fact that there has been a steady and extensive withdrawal of American banking institutions from the foreign field. Conditions since the close of the war have passed through several different stages. So long as foreign exchange rates continued to be "pegged," as they were for some time after the close of the conflict, it was relatively safe for American banking institutions to assume and carry commitments stated in foreign currencies. After the close of the period of "pegging," the date of which may be roughly set at about the middle of the year 1919, banks which were desirous of curtailing their foreign commitments did so by refusing to purchase or discount paper stated in foreign currency; or when they purchased such paper they immediately "covered" it, thereby transferring the liability to foreign holders as rapidly as might be. During the year from July, 1919, to July, 1920, and to some extent for a few months after that date, the general tendency of our banks was to supply credit in foreign trade largely on the strength of exporters' own paper. There was a large unfunded balance of indebtedness due to the United States, but this unfunded balance was carried by the exporter in such manner as he might see fit. In order to enable him to give free credit to his foreign customer, howerer, his bank frequently advanced him funds, knowing that they were being used to "carry" his customer abroad. As soon as the epidemic of cancellation had fully set in, with the resulting return to American drawers of drafts which had been dishonored by foreign buyers, particularly in South America, banks began to discourage the extension of credit in this way, and to refuse to make as liberal allowances to their customers as they had in former months. In those countries which had established moratoria, or in which conditions resembling a moratorium had developed, agree-
ment was in special cases reached by groups of banks engaged in financing the trade with those countries not to discount bills or to furnish exchange. The result of such action was that trade was in some cases seriously embarrassed because of inability to make remittances. Thus during the latter half of 1920 and the first six months of 1921 a very great curtailment of the commercial credit previously extended by American interests to foreigners undoubtedly occurred.

A striking feature of the development of
Curtailment of American banking policy along branch system.
the lines thus indicated has been the curtailment, since the opening of the current year, of branches or agencies previously established in foreign countries. Some of the larger New York institutions have closed numbers of their foreign agencies either temporarily or permanently, and have withdrawn from operations in some countries, giving as a reason for so doing the disturbed state of trade of those countries and the belief that currency and exchange relations were likely to continue in so uncertain a state for so long a period that the acceptance of further hazards or the "tying up" of more banking capital in such markets was not wise. This movement is still under way and has resulted in a decrease in the number of the branches of American institutions in South America and in the East, while the changing conditions of trade have resulted in altering the location or the business policy of formerly active branches which had been established on the other side of the Atlantic. In view of the fact that our foreign branch-bank system was of quite recent origin and confined to a comparatively small number of institutions, this tendency to contraction of operations and retirement from the field has been of far greater immediate significance than would otherwise have been the case. It is estimated that in the aggregate a total of probably 14 branch offices and agencies of American banks abroad have been closed or suspended. While this number is not in itself large, it is considerable when compared with the relatively small number of such offices previously existing.

Although in Great Britain the Government has made many efforts to en-

Policy of foreign banking institutions. courage export trade by guaranteeing the credit needed, there has been a tendency there as well as here for the banks to eliminate foreign paper from their portfolios. The original British plan for a Treasury guaranty went into effect in the autumn of 1919 and provided for advances by the Government up to 80 per cent of the cost of manufactured goods exported to a limited number of small countries. Manufacturers did not avail themselves of the privileges of the scheme during the export boom of early 1920; and in September the terms of the guaranty were somewhat relaxed. Even so, very few applications were made for advances, and in July of the present year the whole scheme was again revised. By the new plan not only private exporters but banks as well are offered guaranties, the private exporters up to 85 per cent of the selling value of the goods and banks 70 per cent of the loss incurred in advancing credits. The list of countries has also been extended to include all of the British Empire and certain additional foreign countries, coal has been added to the list of commodities, and the duration of credit, including renewals, extended to September, 1925. In a recent speech the Prime Minister suggested further relaxation of the original terms of the export credit scheme. It has also been true that foreign exporters have been inclined to allow decidedly better terms to buyers in competitive markets than American concerns were able to offer under the increasingly difficult banking conditions already sketched. Whereas in some South American markets American shippers have gone back to the practice of requiring cash against documents before shipment of goods, German, British, and French firms have been disposed to offer distinctly more favorable terms, and have been supported in so doing by the banks of those countries. From one point of view this difference in banking policy may be said to grow naturally out of the prevailing state of things, inasmuch as it has been important for the European countries to enlarge
their export power, while in the United States it is probably true that the export trade had in some lines been expanded to a level higher than could be regarded as normal. The falling off in the acceptance liabilities of British banks is evidence that these institutions have been likewise seeking to reduce the amount of their foreign commitments, but the reduction, amounting as it does to about 45 per cent since January, is probably attributable in part to price declines and in part to the larger use of other methods of financing business rather than to a retirement from foreign trade commitments in the sense that has been true in the American banking field.

Coupled with the limitation of commitments on branch account has been also
Change in direction of credit. a change in the direction and the character of credit granted by our banks. In view of the disinclination of various institutions to involve more of their capital in the foreign trade, either directly or through the discounting of paper made by commercial houses which were themselves financing such business, there has been a natural disinclination to make acceptances as freely as formerly. During the early part of the year the reduction shown in the total amount of such acceptances outstanding in our markets left probably not over $\$ 600,000,000$ in the hands of holders, although it was true that most of the reduction in acceptance volume appeared to have taken place outside of New York. Since that time there has undoubtedly been a further reduction in the volume of acceptances outstanding, made as a result of decreasing foreign trade operations, the amount in existence to-day being estimated at probably not to exceed $\$ 450,000,000$. As a consequence there has been a lessening of the volume of such acceptance paper available for purchase and this reduction would have been even greater than has actually been the case were it not for the fact that a very large amount of acceptances made for the financing of the Cuban sugar crop and for the furnishing of dollar exchange in certain South American countries has tended to maintain the gross amount of the paper mainly employed in actually sustaining export and import merchandise operations. This state of things has
been rendered even more obvious by the fact that in some instances foreign credit corporations organized for the special purpose of financing dealings, their stock being owned by groups of banks, have been completely disestablished and their assets distributed to the stockholders, or the scope of their operations has been so materially reduced as to bring about a practical retirement from at least certain branches of the export trade. The industrial effect of these changes in banking methods has proved of considerable importance. Not only is there a growing difficulty in the financing of export trade itself which tends to limit the power of American producers to dispose of their goods abroad, but the easier credit terms that are being offered by foreign shippers, with the aid of their own banking mechanism in competitive markets, is undoubtedly tending to restore the hold of such foreign countries upon those markets. This tendency is reported in its most evident form in South America and the East. The fact that our exports have continued to maintain themselves at a relatively high scale has been largely due to the practice already described of shipping to the United States large quantities of specie for the purpose of creating credit accounts here which could be used to pay for our exports of foodstuffs and in some cases of manufactured goods.

In another way the change in the method of

## Discount market

 and foreign trade. financing foreign trade is producing an important influence upon the financial situation. During the past few years, effort has been made to popularize the banker's acceptance as an investment for spare or surplus reserve funds held by individual banks, and a considerably broader distribution of such acceptance paper has in this way been developed. According to the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, numbers of member banks in the second district have been continually increasing their purchases of such paper for a good while past. The same is more or less true in other districts, and the distribution of actual commercial paper in this way has been regarded by many observers as a very desirable step in advance, due to the fact that it released the banks from the necessity of finding stockmarket demands for their spare funds, so thatthey were able to obtain a fair rate of interest upon their available resources without resort to the practice of call lending. Without going into the argument on this question, which is already more or less familiar, it is worth noting that, should there be a sharp decline in the volume of bankers' acceptances made by American bankers, the result would be to reduce the supply of acceptances available for distribution to banks in the way already referred to and thereby to throw them back upon the types of investment which they formerly employed. The change in the acceptance holdings of the Federal Reserve Banks themselves has been rather noteworthy in the course of the past year, as may be seen from the following table:

| Federal Reserve | Bankers' |
| :---: | :---: |
| End of- |  |
| - September, 1920. | \$306, 295, 000 |
| December, 1920 | 276, 090, 000 |
| March, 1921. | 132, 106, 000 |
| June, 1921. | 48,586,000 |
| September, 1921 | 45, 761, 000 |

From this showing it will be seen that a very decided decline in the acceptance investments of the Federal Reserve Banks has occurred, and an explanation of it may be partly found in the general decline of the volume of such acceptances as well as in the increasing scope of the distribution of the paper already mentioned. Whichever element in the explanation may be deemed most cogent, it is at all events true that the apparent volume of investment of the Federal Reserve Bank funds in acceptances markedly declined at the same time that the total bill holdings of the Federal Reserve Banks have, as is well known, greatly fallen off. Bearing in mind that some bankers have held to the opinion that in normal times the portfolios of Federal Reserve Banks would consist primarily of acceptance paper, it is of course evident that the present tendencies are strongly in opposition to such a belief. In fact, it may fairly be gathered that complete withdrawal from the financing of foreign trade, which is now apparently threatened as the result of current banking policy, would have the effect of eliminating a considerable part of the eligible bankers' acceptances upon which
reliance has been placed for the development of the American discount market. It is true that a substantial number of foreign banks have established local branches in the United States and are engaged in marketing their acceptances here. The Federal Reserve Banks, moreover, have found in this department of the acceptance field an attractive element of paper for investment. It may be questioned, however, whether there is greater safety or liquidity in the purchase of paper made by foreign banks for the purpose of financing trade with the United States than would be found in the similar paper made by American banks. Were we to buy as freely of such foreign bankers' acceptances as we have in time past bought of acceptances made by domestic banks, we should eventually be in the position of employing our Federal Reserve resources to finance the foreign trade through the agency of outside banking institutions rather than through our own.

In accordance with the usual practice there are presented in the following table the Board's current indexes of business conditions. Index of business conditions. The figures are computed up to the most recent available date, but as usual represent a period of about 30 days before publication. Examination of the figures indicates that the upturn in conditions which was remarked a month ago was on the whole maintained.
[000 omitted.]


During the month ending October 10 the net inward movement of gold Gold and silver was $\$ 54,774,000$, as compared movements. with a net inward movement of $\$ 76,738,000$ for the month ending September 10. England and France combined furnished over 45 per cent, or $\$ 25,754,000$, of the $\$ 57$,860,000 of gold imported during the monthly period ending October 10 , other countries of Europe furnishing over 33 per cent, and the Orient and Canada most of the remainder. Of the gold exports, amounting to $\$ 3,086,000$, over 75 per cent, or $\$ 2,342,000$, was consigned to Hongkong and the remainder to Canada, British India, and Mexico.

Net imports of gold since August 1, 1914, were $\$ 1,438,777,000$, as may be seen from the following exhibit:


1 Excess of exports.
Since the beginning of the present year net gold imports totaled $\$ 564,045,000$. The largest gains are shown through imports from the following countries: England, $\$ 160,108,000$; France, $\$ 160,062,000$, and Sweden, $\$ 59,021,000$. Gold exports during the present year were consigned chiefly to the following destinations: Mexico, $\$ 5,322,000$; Hongkong, $\$ 3,892,000$; Sweden, $\$ 2,643,000$; and Canada, $\$ 2,071,000$.

During the month ending October 10 the net inward movement of silver was $\$ 535,000$, as compared with a net inward movement of $\$ 1,771,000$ for the month ending September 10. Mexico furnished over 73 per cent, or $\$ 3,800$,000 , of the $\$ 5,139,000$ of silver imported during the monthly period ending October 10, the remainder coming principally from Peru, Canada, and Panama. Silver exports, amounting to $\$ 4,604,000$, were consigned principally to England, China, and Canada.

Net exports of silver since August 1, 1914, were $\$ 445,145,000$, as may be seen from the following exhibit:
[In thousands of dollars.]


1 Excess of imports.
Fairly stable credit conditions during the The banking second half of September, folsituation. lowed by a moderate upward movement of loans and discounts during the early weeks of October, are indicated by weekly reports of about 810 member banks in leading cities. Further reduction of discount rates--to 5 per cent--effected by the New York, Boston, and Philadelphia Federal Reserve Banks between September 22 and October 5 do not seem to have affected materially the general credit situation. Small increases in the loan accounts of member banks in the first two districts were due primarily to increases in loans secured by corporate obligations in connection with the important foreign and domestic security issues of those weeks, offset to a large extent by continued liquidation of paper secured by Government obligations and of commercial loans proper. Aggregate loans and discounts on October 11-12 of $\$ 11,602,000,000$ show an increase for the four weeks of $\$ 39,000,000$, the larger increase of $\$ 68,000,000$ in loans secured by corporate obligations being offset in part by reductions in both Government paper and ordinary commercial loans. A total increase of $\$ 161,000,000$ in the investment block was caused largely by investments in Treasury notes and certificates of the September 15 issue, also by takings of newly issued domestic and foreign Government securities. Total loans and investments of the reporting banks on October 11-12 were about $\$ 200,000,000$ larger than four weeks earlier. This increase is accompanied by a larger gain in Government and time deposits, and by moderate reductions in net demand deposits.

Weekly changes in the leading accounts of the reporting member banks are shown in the following exhibit:

Reporting Member Banks.
[In millions of dollars.]

${ }^{1}$ Including rediscounts with Federal Reserve Banks.
Discount figures of the Federal Reserve Banks, which cover the four-week period between September 21 and October 19, show a moderate increase of about $\$ 15,000,000$ between September 21 and October 11, and a reduction of $\$ 72,600,000$ during the following week, when the Government redeemed the outstanding balance of $\$ 380,000,000$ of certificates and paid the semiannual interest on the fourth Liberty bonds. Acceptances purchased in open market show an increase for the review period of $\$ 20,800,000$, Pittman certificates show a further decline of $\$ 28,000,000$, other Treasury certificates, largely held under repurchase agreements by the New York Federal Reserve Bank, a decline of $\$ 4,800,000$, and other United States securities, a decline of about $\$ 5,000,000$.

As a result of the above changes, total earning assets show a reduction of $\$ 74,400,000$ and on October 19 stood at $\$ 1,577,900,000$, or about 54 per cent below the peak figure of $\$ 3,422,000,000$ shown on October 15 of last year. Rediscounts with other Federal Reserve Banks of the Richmond, Atlanta, Minneapolis, and Dallas banks show a steady decline from $\$ 66,500,000$ to $\$ 42,700,000$. Federal Reserve note circulation, notwithstanding the substan-
tial increase around October 1, shows a further reduction of $\$ 33,800,000$, the October 19 total of $\$ 2,440,900,000$ being the lowest weekly figure reported since October 4, 1918. The banks also report a reduction of $\$ 10,600,000$ in their net liabilities on Federal Reserve bank notes, though the amount in actual circulation, according to Treasury records, shows some increase for the period. Reserve deposits increased by $\$ 72,700,000$, while Government deposits fell off $\$ 45,100,000$. Gold reserves show a further gain of $\$ 61,600,000$, offset by a loss of $\$ 2,900,000$ in other cash reserves. Since the beginning of the year the gold reserves of the system have increased by $\$ 709,900,000$, this increase being slightly offset by a loss during the period of $\$ 41,900,000$ in other cash reserves. The reserve ratio, chiefly because of the gain of gold, shows a further rise from 68.7 to 70.3 per cent.

Weekly changes in the principal assets and liabilities of the reserve banks are shown in the following exhibit:

Federal Reserve Banks.
[In millions of dollars.]

| Date. | Cash reserves. | Bills discounted. |  | Total deposits. | F. R. notes in actual circula-tion. | Reserve ratio. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Secured by U.S. Governmentions. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { other. } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| Sept. 21. | 2,863 | 495 | 892 | 1,692 | 2,475 | 68.7 |
| Sept. 28. | 2,879 | 491 | 912 | 1,717 | 2,457 | 69.0 |
| Oct. $5 .$. | 2,883 | ${ }_{503}^{496}$ | 902 | 1,696 | 2,482 | 69.0 |
| Oct. 19. | 2,922 | 460 | 880 | 1,717 | 2,441 | 70.3 |

The usual quarterly session of the Federal Advisory Council took place in Washington on September 19. Meetings On October 25-28 a joint conference of governors and Federal Reserve agents was held.

## BUSINESS, INDUSTRY, AND FINANCE, OCTOBER, 1921.

Marketing of agricultural products has proceeded rapidly during the month of October* This has had a beneficial influence in stimulating the activity of important lines of industry and trade. The higher prices realized by the farmer for tobacco and cotton and from heary sales abroad of cereals have given him ready cash and have brought him into the market for purchases of seasonable goods, with corresponding benefit to trade in the producing regions. Improved collections and the reduction of outstanding loan accounts have followed in the train of this renewed activity. The beginnings of improvement are noticeable, even in portions of the country such as some of the southern and southwestern districts where credit conditions have been more serious than elsewhere.

Some distinctly encouraging elements in the general business situation are to be noted. The outlook in the textile industries is among these. There has been a more active demand for raw wool, especially of the medium grades heretofore in smaller demand. While it is true that uncertainty in regard to the future of cotton prices has led some textile manufacturers to hold off, the disposition to buy has been much more pronounced during the past few weeks.

Basic manufacturing and structural industries have not yet shown the influence of reviving demand, except to a limited degree. There has been some increase in activity, although the extent of it has varied quite materially as between industries. In iron and steel an increase both in production and in unfilled orders has taken place which, although limited in amount, is regarded as of first-rate importance as marking the turn from the low point. Conditions surrounding the production and sale of nonferrous metals are materially improved in the southwestern mining districts, and bituminous coal production has shown a substantial increase. Better conditions are also reported in the lumber industry, one or two districts, notably Minneapolis, however, furnishing an exception. The activity of building has been particularly noteworthy because of its continuance beyond the time when a seasonal reaction would ordinarily occur.

The better conditions in the agricultural districts, accompanied by improved buying demand and the enlarged activity in manufacturing, have naturally been reflected in increased activity of wholesale trade. An improvement has been noted in the majority of cases for several leading reporting lines, although in the case of wholesale groceries there has been reaction in a few instances. The sale of certain seasonal articles, which would ordinarily show an increase at this period of the year, has in some cases failed to do so owing to the mild weather. Retail trade has been fairly well maintained in most parts of the country, although the September figures did not make as good a showing as compared with last year as did the August figures.

Index numbers of prices appear to show that the price level has attained a substantial degree of stability. The index number of the Federal Reserve Board shows no change during the month of September.

Although unemployment continues to be a serious element in the existing industrial situation, there has been a fairly general increase in the number of men employed. Relatively little labor unrest exists at the present time, but the announcement of a call for a nation-wide railroad strike produced, in some districts at least, an effort to speed up industrial activities with the intention of facilitating larger rail shipments in anticipation of a possible crippling of the transport system. The possibility of such a strike apparently was reflected only to a slight degree in other branches of industrial activity during the month, owing no doubt to the belief that an accommodation designed to prevent its occurrence would be arrived at.

Steady liquidation of credit, increases in reserve strength and of reserve ratios, and some decline in bills held by Federal Reserve Banks have been the characteristic features of the month from the banking standpoint. Financially the outstanding occurrence has been the marked increase in the prices of standard bonds, particularly of Liberty bonds, and the limited decline in rates of interest.

## AGRICULTURE.

The average condition of all crops in the United States continued to decline during September and the composite condition on October 1 was 91.1 per"cent of their 10 -year average condition on that date. The aggregate crop production this year is estimated to be 16.9 per cent lower than last year. The tobacco and potato crops showed notable improvement during September, but this was more than counterbalanced by further deterioration of the cotton and grain crops. The production of corn was forecasted on October 1 to amount to $3,163,063,000$ bushels, an increase of 12 per cent over the average production of the past 10 years. District No. 7 (Chicago) states that the general quality of the corn crop is good, although there has been considerable damage from worms and mold. The condition of corn in district No. 9 (Minneapolis) improved during September and the estimated production is 9 per cent greater than in 1920. Production in district No. 8 (St. Louis) is also larger than in 1920, but the quality is disappointing on account of damage from ear worms and corn borers. The condition of the white potato crop has shown marked improvement during the past two months. The estimated production on October 1 totaled $345,844,000$ bushels, as compared with $322,985,000$ bushels on September 1. District No. 9 (Minneapolis) reports an estimated production of $43,146,000$ bushels of potatoes on October 1, an increase of 13.2 per cent over the estimate of September 1. Seeding of winter wheat is making excellent progress. Districts No. 10 (Kansas City) and No. 8 (St. Louis) both report that care is being taken to seed the wheat late enough to avoid the infection of the Hessian fly. An increased acreage of winter wheat is indicated in district No. 8 (St. Louis), but no acreage reports have yet been received in district No. 10 (Kansas City).

## COTTON.

The condition of the cotton crop on September 25 was 42.2 per cent, which forecasts a production of about $6,537,000$ bales. During the current season heat and drought forced early maturity of cotton, while lack of fertilizer and extensive boll-weevil activity combined to lower the quality and yield. District No. 11 (Dallas) reports that there has been no improvement in the crop since September 25 in any of the districts which were infected with boll weevils. Picking is completed except in the northwestern part of Texas. Reports from district No. 6 (Atlanta) state that unfavorable weather, late planting, stinted use of fertilizer,
and boll-weevil ravages will result in the shortest cotton crop Georgia has had for over 40 years. The army worm has spread over the fields of Mississippi and Tennessee during the past month and has destroyed all the foliage. This has damaged young bolls and resulted in much shedding. In Florida the crop is practically all picked and ginned. District No. 5 (Richmond) states that cotton is opening rapidly and that most of it will be picked by November 1. Farmers appear to sell cotton freely whenever the market price is higher than 20 cents a pound, but seem reluctant to sell at lower levels.

## TOBACCO.

Favorable weather conditions in certain districts led to an increase in the estimated production of tobacco, the figure for October 1 being $991,564,000$ pounds. The quality of the crop in district No. 3 (Philadelphia) is excellent, while it is reported from district No. 8 (St. Louis) that the Burley crop will contain a high percentage of the best grades and that the crop in the western dark districts is among the finest ever raised. Bright tobacco is being marketed steadily on the North Carolina and Virginia markets, and prices seem to be fairly satisfactory to the growers. As the season has advanced prices have tended to rise, due largely to better grades coming on the floor. Medium to fine grades are bought eagerly, but the prices offered for common tobacco have continued low. Leaf dealers in that district report an active business, especially for cigarette and smoking tobacco, but in district No. 3 (Philadelphia) business is still dull, as cigar manufacturers seem to have sufficient tobacco for their present needs. Sales of cigars in that district continue at about the same level as during September. This is due in part to the receipt of Christmas orders, although these are not in as great volume as in normal years. Manufacturers in district No. 5 (Richmond) are receiving their usual business for domestic consumption, but are as yet doing little foreign business. A tendency toward lower prices is reported, chiefly through the introduction of new brands of cigars and cigarettes.

## FRUTT.

Deciduous fruits showed a moderate improvement in prospects during September, while citrus fruits registered considerable deterioration. The apple crop in the United States is only 57.8 per cent of the 10 -year average, but a record crop has been harvested in the Pacific Northwest. Apple shipments for
the season to October 8, 1921, from Idaho, Oregon, and Washington totaled 8,491 carloads, an increase of 209 per cent over shipments in the corresponding period of 1920. California grape shipments prior to October 8 amounted to 19,658 cars, an increase of 12 per cent over 1920. The 1921 crop of raisins in California, however, will only amount to about 125,000 tons, a decline of 31 per cent from the production in 1920.

District No. 6 (Atlanta) reports that the Florida citrus fruits have suffered from dry weather and that the condition of oranges is lower than in the past three years. Grapefruit also deteriorated during September, but limes showed little change, as the bulk of the lime crop had already been harvested. The Georgia watermelon crop is believed to have been the largest ever harvested, and shipments reached the record total of 15,791 cars.

## GRAIN MOVEMENTS.

Grain receipts continued on an unusually large scale throughout September, although there was some recession from the record movements of August. Wheat receipts at Minneapolis and Duluth totaled 32,108,426 bushels during September, as compared with 21,911,320 bushels in August and 22,698,772 bushels in September, 1920. At the four principal markets of district No. 10 (Kansas City) 21,981,400 bushels of wheat were received during September, as compared with $29,955,300$ bushels in August and $13,179,200$ bushels in September, 1920. It is estimated that 66 per cent of the Kansas wheat crop of 1921 had passed into trade channels by October 1 . Receipts of corn at Chicago totaled $23,406,000$ bushels in September, as compared with $16,810,000$ bushels in August and 12,061,000 bushels in September, 1920. Corn receipts at the four leading markets of district No. 10 (Kansas City) were 15 per cent greater in September than in September, 1920, while receipts of oats were about 6 per cent smaller. Oats receipts at Chicago declined from $16,722,000$ bushels in August to $6,306,000$ bushels in September. Stocks of grain at interior centers showed a marked increase during September, although there was a slight decline in the stock of rye. Stocks of oats are still accumulating in terminal elevators at Minneapolis and amounted to $18,114,082$ bushels at the end of September, as compared with $3,499,862$ bushels on the same date in 1920.

## FLOUR.

Further increase occurred in flour production during September. Reporting mills in district No. 9 (Minneapolis) produced $3,241,926$ barrels during the five weeks ending October 1; 1921, an increase of 16 per cent over the figure of 2,797,170 barrels during the preceding five weeks, and 43 per cent over the output of 2,265,530 barrels during the corresponding period last year. The output of reporting mills in district No. 10 (Kansas City) was 2,195,900 barrels during the four weeks ending October 1, an increase of 57 per cent over the figure for the corresponding period of 1920. In district No. 12 (San Francisco), 64 mills produced 970,632 barrels during September, as compared with 662,190 barrels by 70 mills in August, an increase of 46 per cent. Since the end of September, however, business in district No. 8 (St. Louis) has dropped off, due largely to the decline in wheat prices, which upset confidence in flour prices and caused purchasers to defer placing quantity orders or orders for future delivery. Interior Kansas millers complain that they are being heavily handicapped by the very high premiums on good milling wheat created by the buying of outside mills. Some export demand, centering in the lower grades, has appeared in district No. 8 (St. Louis), while there was an increased volume of both foreign and domestic business in district No. 12 (San Francisco) during September. Trade with the Orient has been hampered by inability to obtain sufficient cargo space for immediate shipment.

## LIVE STOCK.

Live stock is generally in good condition, and winter ranges on the whole are excellent. Movement of cattle and calves to market has continued at about the same level, September receipts at 15 western markets being $1,417,259$ head, as compared with $1,418,237$ head during August and 1,737,469 head during September, 1920. The respective index numbers were 141, 141, and 172 . Receipts of hogs, however, decreased from 1,919,514 head during August, corresponding to an index number of 87 , to 1,783,827 head during September, corresponding to an index number of 81, as compared with $1,545,842$ head during September, 1920, corresponding to an index number of 70 . Sheep receipts, on the other hand, increased from 1,568,584 head during August to 1,651,659
head during September, as compared with 1,892,327 head during September, 1920. The respective index numbers were 115, 121, and 138. The lower markets in early October stimulated purchasing of feeder cattle at Chicago, while more country buyers were at the markets of district No. 10 (Kansas City) at the beginning of the third week in October than for many months, due to the abundance of feed in the country and lower prices of stockers and feeders. The feature during September at Fort Worth was the strong competition given the packers by the outside buyers.

Chicago live cattle prices declined as a rule throughout September, but strengthened in early October. Twenty-four representative packers report an increase of 0.14 per cent in September sales (measured in dollars) over those during August, but a decrease of 36.5 per cent from September, 1920, sales. Operations at the large packing centers of district No. 10 (Kansas City) during September showed a slight falling off as compared with August, but when compared with a year ago showed an increase for hogs and sheep and a pronounced decrease for cattle and calves. Stocks of meat in store at the end of September were considerably less than at the end of August. The consuming public during the month continued to prefer the more expensive meats, while there was a slackening of export trade.

## COAL.

There was a slight increase in the production of bituminous coal for the month of September. The output was $35,105,000$ tons, corresponding to an index number of 95 , as compared with $34,538,000$ tons, corresponding to an index number of 93 , during August. This increase was found in spite of the fact that production during the first half of the month was at a relatively low level, due to a variety of causes. The output is still considerably below the September, 1920, figure of $49,172,000$ tons. District No. 3 (Philadelphia) believes that undoubtedly a part of the increased September tonnage is being stocked, but that it nevertheless represents an actual resumption of industrial activity. Prices, however, have not increased, but on the contrary quotations on many grades are lower than they were a month ago. Districts Nos. 4 (Cleveland), 5 (Richmond), and 6 (Atlanta) report an improvement in the demand for prepared sizes for domestic use, but state that as industrial consumers are still ordering in small quantities, steam sizes move slowly and it is difficult to fill the demand for the prepared sizes.

September anthracite production decreased to $7,124,000$ tons from the August figure of $7,196,000$ tons. The respective index numbers were 96 and 97 . Business in general is still in small lots for immediate needs, but the market for the steam sizes in district No. 3 (Philadelphia) is improving somewhat. Company prices remain approximately the same on both steam and prepared sizes, while some of the independent producers have advanced their quotations, not only on stove and chestnut, but on fine sizes also.
Increased activity in the iron and steel industry has been reflected by an increase in both beehive and by-product coke production during September. Beehive coke production increased from 248,000 tons during August to 289,000 tons during September, but with this is to be contrasted an output of $1,757,667$ tons during September, 1920. The respective index numbers are 9.5, 11, and 67. Byproduct coke production increased from $1,402,000$ tons in August to $1,423,000$ tons in September, the respective index numbers being 159 and 161.

## PETROLEUM.

According to reports from the various fields production of crude petroleum in September was much curtailed as compared with August. In California this was the result of a strike of oilfield employees in one of the heaviest producing sections of the State. Daily average production was 264,314 barrels, the smallest reported in the past two and one-half years, and was only 81.6 per cent of the amount produced in August, 1921. Consumption during September exceeded this curtailed production by 5,274 barrels daily, and thus for the first time in many months stored stocks were drawn upon. On September 30 these stocks stood at $33,671,-$ 495 barrels, as compared with $33,829,725$ barrels on August 31, 1921. In this field 47 new wells were opened during the month with an initial daily production of 8,690 barrels, as compared with 83 wells in August with a daily production of 20,895 barrels. In the KansasOklahoma field production declined about $1,000,000$ barrels for September, as compared with August, but was 500,500 barrels in excess of the September, 1920, figure. Stocks are reported to have increased. Drilling operations in Kansas, Oklahoma, and Wyoming continued to be somewhat curtailed, 403 wells being completed with a daily production of 53,901 barrels. That the number of wells completed is not always indicative of the amount of new production is shown by the figures for September, 1920 , when 1,048 wells were completed
with a daily average of only 83,917 barrels. According to unofficial reports production of crude oil for that district during September amounted to approximately $12,528,750$ barrels. District No. 11 (Dallas) also reported decreased production in September, with the exception of the Texas coastal zone, while 171 wells were completed during September, as compared with 223 during August. An advance in price is reported from $\$ 1$ per barrel to $\$ 1.75$. This increase apparently has had no effect on the demand, which has grown steadily stronger.

## IRON AND STEEL.

A continuation during the first half of October of the improvement noted in the iron and steel industry during September is reported. Pig-iron production during the latter month amounted to 985,529 tons, corresponding to an index number of 43 , as compared with 954,193 tons during August, corresponding to an index number of 41 , and there was a net gain of 12 in the number of furnaces in blast. Steel-ingot production showed a similar increase, from 1,138,071 tons during August to 1,174,740 tons during September. The respective index numbers were 49 and 51. The increased volume of business was reflected in an increase, the first since July, 1920, in the unfilled orders of the United States Steel Corporation, from $4,531,926$ tons at the close of August, corresponding to an index number of 86 , to 4,560,670 tons at the close of September, corresponding to an index number of 87. As a result of increased orders and more free specification by consumers against old orders, production has increased. The lighter products, such as sheets, tin plate, and wire, are making the best showing, and sheet prices have been advanced. The September ingot production figure given above is said to be hardly a criterion of steel-making activity, as these lighter products constituted most of the output, and mills frequently had sufficient stocks of raw material on hand. The heavier materials in general have continued to be sluggish in district No. 4 (Cleveland).

The reduction of 28 per cent in railroad freight rates on lake ore, effective October 20, has brought the question of a general reduction in iron and steel freight rates prominently before the industry. Prices themselves are not very firmly established, even without this additional factor, and the market has been characterized as "spotty and uneven." New business in the industry as a whole has been lighter since about the middle of the month, while little attention in general was paid by either producers or consumers to the possibility of
a tie-up of transportation, although some consumers endeavored to hurry shipments in order to accumulate some stocks.

## automobiles.

September shipments by automobile manufacturers decreased somewhat from the August figures. Carload shipments were 20,150 carloads, as compared with 20,758 in August and 20,804 in September, 1920. Driveaways and boat shipments also decreased and were roughly two-thirds of those in September, 1920. Little change in conditions in the industry on the whole is reported. Price reductions alone have frequently proved ineffectual in stimulating sales. Purchasers are exercising great discrimination, and the majority of orders are stated to have been for a few standard makes. Manufacturers of such cars have had sales in excess of last year, but in general the business done has not been more than half that of the same period last year, and for trucks not over 35 to 40 per cent. Manufacturers' and dealers' stocks of finished cars, however, are not large. Seasonal adjustments of production are being made, to correspond with the natural decline in buying at this time of the year.

## NONFERROUS METALS.

The latter part of September and first part of October showed an increase in the demand for the nonferrous metals. The price of copper (New York, net refinery) was 12.75 cents per pound on October 15 as compared with 12.25 cents on October 1, but the price of lead remained stationary at 4.70 cents per pound. While copper and zinc remain the most active metals, there has also been a slight increase in the price of zinc. Copper production in September amounted to 19,682,554 pounds, in comparison with a production of $20,013,569$ pounds in August, or a decrease of 331,015 pounds. Zinc production amounted to 14,367 tons, which was less than 40 per cent of the September, 1920, figure.
District No. 12 (San Francisco) reports that 10 mines engaged in the production of gold, silver, and lead show an increased output during August, 1921, both as compared with July, 1921, and August, 1920. Many of the mines are still shut down because of unsatisfactory market prices for their products. District No. 10 (Kansas City) reports that operators of the zinc and lead mines in the Missouri-Kansas-Oklahoma district were more optimistic at the end of September than they had been for several months. September shipments of zinc ore from the district amounted
to 19,976 tons, with prices ranging from $\$ 20$ per ton at the beginning to $\$ 21$ at the end of the four-week period. Surplus stocks show a slight increase for the month.

## COTTON TEXTILES.

During the past month there have been no changes of moment to record in the cottontextile industry. Stabilization of prices has not yet been achieved, but fluctuations are less extreme and there is a growing disposition to believe that the time is not far distant when orders for future delivery can again be placed with some measure of confidence. Current demands from purchasers, in combination with orders placed before recent price advances, have enabled mills to maintain a high degree of activity and, as a matter of fact, cotton consumption in September was in excess of that for September, 1920, and likewise greater than during the preceding August. The Census Bureau reported that 484,647 bales of lint cotton were consumed in the United States during September, as compared with 457,647 bales in September, 1920, and 467,103 bales in August of this year. District No. 3 (Philadelphia) states that the "gray-goods market is quiet and practically free from the speculative element." The market is reported to be wel sold up for a month or six weeks. The demand is almost wholly of domestic origin and, while buying is widely distributed, orders are small and for immediate shipment. Many gingham mills have their output sold up to April or May and a few mills not sold up have advanced prices on an average about 10 per cent above July quotations. In the market for cotton yarns, buying, though steady, is in limited volume. Some manufacturers in district No. 3 (Philadelphia) report that their production is sold ahead well up to the first of the year, but others will require new orders if their mills are to maintain the present schedule of operation. Business is said to be about evenly divided between weaving and knitting yarns, with an increase in inquiries from knitting mills, however. The report of district No. 3 (Philadelphia) notes that there is a large movement into trade channels of yarn stocks purchased at the high prices prevailing last year, but now selling at prices below those that would be quoted by a spinner for the same counts to-day. Many of these yarns have been held by commission houses which have marked down their inventories. In district No. 5 (Richmond) higher prices set by the mills have checked buying somewhat, but orders are sufficient to keep them running full time, and many are sold out for several months
ahead. One large mill in the district, manufacturing tire yarns and fabrics, increased its business 50 per cent during the past month, while another chain of mills reported that they were not quoting for deliveries beyond 1921. In district No. 6 (Atlanta) cotton mills are, generally speaking, operating at full capacity, and some of the mills have even found it necessary to install night shifts. The 34 representative cotton-cloth mills which make regular reports to the Atlanta Federal Reserve Bank produced 6.7 per cent more cloth in September of this year than a year ago, although the September average was 6.1 per cent below that for August of this year. However, this decrease does not quite equal the advance in production that occurred during August. Orders on hand at the end of the month increased 8.9 per cent as compared with the end of August, although they were 28.4 per cent below the outstanding orders for a year ago. The yarn production reported by 39 mills in the district showed a slight decrease, both as compared with September, 1920, and with the preceding August, the respective percentages being 4.2 and 8 . Orders on hand at the end of the month were, however, 3 per cent above those on hand at the end of August and 28.8 per cent above those on hand at the end of September, 1920.

## FINISHERS OF COTTON FABRICS.

The 34 mills reporting to the National Association of Finishers of Cotton Fabrics showed a further increase of activity during September, following a pronounced advance in August. The total number of finished yards billed during the month rose from $95,915,235$ yards to 101, 824,795 yards. The total gray yardage of finishing orders received increased from 101,741,412 yards in August to $107,336,429$ yards in September. The average percentage of capacity operated increased from 71 per cent to 75 per cent, while the total average work ahead at the end of the month rose somewhat, from an average of 9.6 days to an average of 11 days.

## WOOLEN TEXTILES.

A recent development of significance for the woolen industry has been the growth of activity in the raw-wool market and the appearance of a demand for medium and low grade wools. The Government auction of carpet wools held October 6 was quite successful, as practically all of the wool was disposed of. In the report from district No. 1 (Boston) several suggestions are offered in partial explanation of the recent increase in buying, "such as the assump-
tion that the emergency tariff will be extended, a growing realization that available stocks of better grades of wool in this country are being reduced faster than it appears they are being replenished, and sympathy with higher prices and active buying in the foreign markets." There has been practically no change in the percentage of operating activity for the woolen and worsted mills of New England since last June. Operations continue to be at approximately 80 per cent of maximum capacity. District No. 3 (Philadelphia) reports a good demand for yarns, which is especially strong in the case of knitting yarns. The result is that the majority of spinners have many contracts for forward delivery, so that they can take very few orders to cover immediate needs.

Wool consumption during September amounted to $62,131,000$ pounds, as compared with 58,261,000 pounds in August. The reports published by the Bureau of the Census showing activity in woolen and worsted machinery indicate that there has been a slight increase in general activity, as the percentage of idle hours reported on October 1 had declined in all cases except for looms wider than 50 inches reed space. The percentage of idle hours reported for woolen spindles was 20.9 per cent on October 1, as compared with 21.2 per cent on September 1, and for worsted spindles the percentages were 7.8 and 11.5 , respectively.

## CLOTHING.

The seven wholesale manufacturers of men's clothing who regularly report to the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago showed an increase in the number of suits made in September, as compared with September, 1920, of 14 per cent. The number of suits shipped were 3.4 per cent less than shipments during the same period a year ago. Few additional orders are reported, as the selling season is about over, but the increase in September production as compared with last year was due to the fact that the season lasted relatively late. Operations of 15 reporting tailors to the trade are still greatly below those of the corresponding period for last year, as the number of suits made was 25.6 per cent less than for September, 1920; shipments were 27.3 per cent lower; and orders were 28.5 per cent below those received last year. However, some improvement occurred during the month, as the July and August figures were even further behind those of last year. In district No. 2 (New York) 11 wholesale clothing firms reported sales of clothing, both men's and women's, to be 25.4 per cent below August sales and 65.1 per cent below those of September, 1920.

## sILK.

Heary importations of raw silk in September and a consumption for that month above the average for the preceding six months' period coincided curiously enough with a curtailment of operations in the broad-silk industry. District No. 3 (Philadelphia) attributes the maintenance of demand for the raw material to purchasing by hosiery and knit-goods mills. The actual importation for September was 35,366 bales, and the average monthly importation over a period of six months was 31,716 bales. Consumption in September amounted to 31,229 bales, as compared with an average for the six months' period of 30,607 bales. Recent increases in the sales of broad silks have reduced retailers' stocks, causing manufacturers to hope that their accumulated holdings will be eventually absorbed. Meantime activity in the goods mills is at low ebb; the latest reports from Paterson for October 8, covering a total of 15,000 looms, stated that only 3,696 of those looms were producing. Of the total loom-hours available, about 24 per cent were being utilized. Activity was much greater in the North Hudson section, where 2,910 looms out of a total of 4,665 were in operation and the loom-hour activity was over 62 per cent.

## HOSIERY.

The shortage of full-fashioned silk hosiery, so frequently referred to in former reports, has not yet been overcome, and district No. 3 (Philadelphia) says that "efforts to increase production in mills affected by the strike have met with only fair success." The supply of seamless and mock-fashioned silk hosiery is, however, sufficient, barring a few exceptions In cotton and mercerized hosiery the demand has increased slightly, but jobbers are making purchases only in small amounts and for immediate delivery, in view of price uncertainties. The 25 hosiery firms selling to the wholesale trade which regularly report to the Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia showed a slight falling off in the product manufactured during September, amounting to 0.8 per cent, but the output was 131.9 per cent in excess of that for a year ago. Orders booked during September advanced 126.3 per cent as compared with the preceding month and were 344.9 per cent above those for September, 1920. Unfilled orders on hand September 30 were 4.7 per cent greater than at the end of August and 57.9 per cent above those on hand at the end of September, 1920. The nine hosiery firms selling to the retail trade
reported a heavy increase in product manufactured during the month of 67.9 per cent, but their output was only 7.1 per cent above the returns for September a year ago. Orders booked during September were 9.8 per cent above those received during August and 261.6 per cent above the figures for September, 1920. Unfilled orders on hand September 30 were 13.4 per cent below those for the preceding month but were 4.3 per cent, above those on hand September 30, 1920. Mills manufacturing cotton hosiery in the southern districts reported a high degree of activity. In district No. 5 (Richmond) the volume of business was said to be sufficient to enable many mills to keep running full time, and this was particularly true in the case of those mills which had only slightly advanced their prices following the rise in the price of raw cotton. In district No. 6 (Atlanta) the manufacturers of cotton hosiery reporting to the Federal Reserve Bank were operating at from 80 to 90 per cent of capacity. During the month there were increases in unfilled orders and stocks on hand as well as in the amount of the product manufactured by these mills. Slight increases in prices occurred, although it was said that present prices were approximately 50 per cent lower than those prevailing a year ago.

## UNDERWEAR.

The reports received from 35 members of the Knit Goods Manufacturers of America engaged in producing underwear showed substantial improvement in September as compared with August. Mills producing 303,748 dozen in August had an output in September of 352,243 dozen, a gain of 14 per cent. Unfilled orders rose from 437,866 dozen in August to 509,851 dozen in September, or 14 per cent. New orders increased from 456,135 dozen in August to 763,966 dozen in September, a gain of 40 per cent. Shipments decreased from 398,783 dozen in August to 343,145 dozen in September, a decrease of 14 per cent. Thirty-nine reporting mills, whose normal production is 567,501 dozen monthly, showed unfilled orders on hand of $1,088,686$ dozen, or nearly two months' production at normal or two and one-half months at these present rates of actual production. Spring lines continue to sell at higher price levels following the increase in the price of cotton, and the indications of a return to normal seasonal channels of distribution are stronger.

## SHOES AND LEATHER.

The market for hides and skins was active during September and the first two weeks of

October, and prices of both packer hides and country hides have advanced. Net shipments of green hides from Chicago during September exceeded those in August by 91.6 per cent. District No. 7 (Chicago) notes a decided improvement in demand for the cheaper grades of leather and work-shoe leather in September, while district No. 3 (Philadelphia) states that "sole leather is the feature of the leather market." The upperleather market is rather quiet, although sales of upper leather were larger in September than in August. Sales of belting leather continue to be much restricted, due to the reduced operations of most manufacturing industries. Exports of both upper and sole leather were slightly larger in September than in August. Demand for shoes continues to be very satisfactory, although it has shifted somewhat from fancy grades to more staple lines. During September the production of six large shoe concerns in district No. 1 (Boston) decreased 20.2 per cent from that of August, but exceeded by 20.4 per cent the production in September, 1920. Shipments were 12.6 per cent less than in August, but 19 per cent more orders were booked. Reports from 45 shoe firms in district No. 3 (Philadelphia) show a production in September which was 2.4 per cent greater than in August and 39.4 per cent greater than in September, 1920. Shipments were 11.4 per cent less in September than in August, but the number of new orders increased 48 per cent. "Some of the large plants are operating on full time and are booking large orders for January and April delivery." District No. 7 (Chicago) states that the production of 21 reporting shoe concerns in September was 3 per cent greater than in August and 26.5 per cent greater than in September, 1920. Shipments increased 7.3 per cent and unfilled orders increased 7.2 per cent during September, while stocks at the close of the month were 25.8 per cent smaller than at the close of August. In the aggregate, manufacturers' stocks in that district amount to less than two weeks' production. There have been many small orders and much demand for immediate shipment, which indicate that dealers are buying cautiously. Reports from 11 leading shoe interests in district No. 8 (St. Louis) indicate that shipments in September were from 10 to 40 per cent larger than in September, 1920. "Production is at a higher rate than at any time in the past," and "all reporting plants have sold their output for 60 days ahead." Prices were reduced about 5 per cent early in October.

## LUMBER.

An increasingly active demand for lumber and substantial advances in prices of upper grades, with smaller advances in common items during September, are indicated by reports from the several districts. Actual production shows some decrease, but manufacturers' stocks are declining materially. Demand is chiefly for upper grades of lumber, due, it is said, to the high freight rates which operate against shipment of low-grade stock. District No. 12 (San Francisco) reports that the late September statements of the four lumber associations of that district reflect the cumulative effect of several favorable factors which have been increasingly apparent in the lumber situation. Due to active cargo buying and large seasonal buying by domestic distributors, orders placed during September totaled $369,093,000$ feet, the highest point reached since May, 1920. This figure is 19 per cent greater than for August and 31.4 per cent greater than for September, 1920. The four associations of the district report a total cut for September of $346,719,000$ feet, a decrease of 2.3 per cent from the production for August and of 24 per cent from that for the corresponding period last year. Shipments declined from $341,906,000$ feet in August to $320,988,000$ feet in Soptember. Logging operations are proceeding at approximately 75 per cent of capacity, which is a decided improvement over last month.

Thirty-two pine mills in district No. 11 (Dallas) report a September production which was 33 per cent below normal and 19 per cent below shipments. New orders received were 88 per cent of normal monthly production. Mill prices have tended upward during the month, especially in the lower lumber grades, where No. 2 and No. 3 southern pine advanced about $\$ 3$ per thousand. In district No. 6 (Atlanta) reports indicate an increase in the demand for lumber and some advance in prices of both higher and lower grades. The Southern Pine Association's September statement, covering 133 member mills, shows actual production to be 22.23 per cent below normal and shipments to be 18.6 per cent above actual production. The lumber cut of reporting mills in district No. 9 (Minneapolis) totaled 14,089,436 feet, which was 20 per cent below the August cut and 40 per cent below the cut of September last year. Orders booked during the month, however, were 15 per cent greater in volume than those for August and 50 per cent greater than those for the corresponding period in 1920. District No. 8 (St. Louis)
reports sharp advances in upper grades of yellow pine during September, with only a slight increase in production but with heary shipments. In this district the hardwood situation has improved and demand is increasing.

## BUILDING.

As a whole, building continued to be well sustained during September, improvement being evident chiefly in residential construction. The total value of contracts awarded in the seven districts, for which statistics are compiled by the F. W. Dodge Co., shows an increase during September as compared with August and as compared with September, 1920. The increase from August to September was due entirely to districts No. 2 (New York), No. 4 (Cleveland), and No. 5 (Richmond), as they alone showed increases. All districts except No. 1 (Boston) and No. 9 (Minneapolis) showed increases in residential contracts. In district No. 1 (Boston) contracts amounted to $\$ 15,282,-$ 766 , as compared with $\$ 19,276,295$ during August. Of this total approximately $\$ 6,548$,$000^{\circ}$ was for residential purposes, as compared with $\$ 6,703,000$ in August. In district No. 2 (New York) contracts awarded during September totaled $\$ 90,730,134$, in comparison with $\$ 62,043,905$ during August, and residential building totaled $\$ 48,789,646$, as compared with $\$ 36,061,717$. Total contracts awarded in district No. 3 (Philadelphia) amounted to $\$ 16,197,500$ in September and $\$ 22,350,500$ in August. Residential contracts totaled \$5,526,400 in September and $\$ 5,331,500$ in August. In district No. 4 (Cleveland) the total amount of contracts awarded was $\$ 36,041,601$, as compared with $\$ 26,665,555$ for August. Of these, $\$ 8,987,610$ were for residential purposes, as compared with $\$ 8,209,645$ during August. Contracts for district No. 5 (Richmond) amounted to $\$ 19,597,191$ in September, as compared with $\$ 17,337,624$ in August, while $\$ 6,171,436$ of the September total were for residential purposes, as compared with $\$ 5,938,-$ 417 for August. In district No. 7 (Chicago) building contracts totaled $\$ 41,461,283$ during September, as compared with $\$ 44,680,034$ during August. Total building contracts awarded in district No. 9 (Minneapolis) amounted to $\$ 8,162,640$, as compared with $\$ 9,173,552$ during August.

In district No. 1 (Boston) 2,407 building permits were issued during September, valued at $\$ 5,655,335$, as compared with 1,715 permits, valued at $\$ 4,868,873$, issued during September, 1920. Permits granted in 22 cities of district No. 2 (New York) numbered 7,709, with a
valuation of $\$ 47,232,953$, as compared with 4,779 permits, with a valuation of $\$ 22,224,669$, during September last year. In district No. 3 (Philadelphia) 2,990 permits were issued during September, in comparison with 1,943 permits during September, 1920. District No. 4 (Cleveland) reports that the valuation of permits issued in 13 leading cities during September shows a gain of 21.4 per cent over September last year. In district No. 5 (Richmond) total permits issued in 15 cities numbered 3,389 , valued at $\$ 9,284,277$, in comparison with 2,497 permits, valued at $\$ 5,860,033$, during September, 1920. District No. 6 (Atlanta) reports that in 14 important cities 2,847 permits were issued, valued at $\$ 5,150,280$, as compared with 2,255 , valued at $\$ 4,243,928$, during the corresponding period last year. District No. 7 (Chicago) reports 5,466 permits, valued at $\$ 23,080,016$, granted in 19 cities, as compared with 5,003 permits, valued at $\$ 16,405,747$, granted during September, 1920. Building permits issued in the five largest cities of district No. 8 (St. Louis) show a marked gain, in both number and expenditure, over those issued in August, and also over September, 1920. The number and valuation of building permits issued during September in 9 cities of district No. 9 (Minneapolis) decreased 17.4 and 26.3 per cent, respectively, as compared with the August figures. September reports from 19 cities of district No. 10 (Kansas City) show an increase for the month under review over the corresponding period last year of 71.2 per cent in the number of permits and 106.9 per cent in their valuation. In 9 cities of district No. 11 (Dallas) 2,598 permits, valued at $\$ 5,680,968$, were issued during September, as compared with 1,864 permits, valued at $\$ 3,284,841$, issued during September, 1920. In district No. 12 (San Francisco) "September building returns reached the highest point ever touched." Permits granted in 20 cities of the district number 11,169, with a valuation of $\$ 20,134,993$, as compared with 9,382 , with a valuation of $\$ 17,117,294$, granted during September last year.

## EMPLOYMENT.

The latest report on employment in selected industries, made by the United States Department of Labor, showed that during the month of September there were increases in numbers on the pay roll as compared with August in 11 of the 14 industries covered, the advances ranging from 0.2 per cent in the case of the silk industry to 4.8 per cent for hosiery and underwear and for cigar manufacturing. Only men's ready-made clotbing, automobiles, boots and shoes showed decreases of 0.9 per
cent, 0.7 per cent, and 0.3 per cent, respectively. As compared with September, 1920, eight industries showed increases and six decreases. The maximum increase of 59.2 per cent was found in the woolen industry, while there was an increase of 18.4 per cent in boots and shoes and of 13.9 per cent for cotton finishing. The most pronounced decrease occurred in iron and steel, with a drop of 42 per cent in numbers employed; there was a 35 per cent decrease in car building and repairing, and a 31.6 per cent reduction in paper making. Judging from such local reports as are available for the period in question and likewise for a later date, the statistics given not only reflect general conditions but indicate a tendency which has been sustained during the past few weeks. The latest returns to the Massachusetts Department of Labor and Industries showed that the situation in that State was still serious on October 1, with 14.6 per cent of the membership of reporting labor organizations idle as a result of the lack of demand for their services, exclusive of other causes. Nevertheless, the showing was much better than at the time of the preceding quarterly inquiry, when 20 per cent of the workers belonging to these unions were unable to find employment. The three Massachusetts public employment offices showed, for the four weeks ending October 15, a ratio of about 12 persons applying for positions to every one asked for. Last May the ratio was approximately 19 to 1 . The effects of part-time employment in some sections, especially in the metal, machinery, and tool-making industries of southern New England, are said to constitute a problem almost as serious as complete unemployment. In New York State, the Department of Labor reported an increase of 3.5 per cent in the number of factory workers employed in the period from August 15 to September 15. The principal changes occurred in textile and in clothing factories, while some steel plants in the Buffalo district likewise increased their forces. Preliminary reports for October indicated that there would be a further diminution in factory unemployment in the State.

In district No. 3 (Philadelphia), on the other hand, the reports to the Pennsylvania State Department of Labor showed a slight increase in numbers unemployed for the period from September 30 to October 15 for the six cities of Altoona, Harrisburg, Johnstown, Phileddelphia, Scranton, and Williamsport, but during the preceding two weeks' period there had been a reduction of 1 per cent in the numbers of unemployed. Total unemployment for these cities on October 15 was estimated at 202,185. In district No. 5 (Richmond) the situation
remained substantially unchanged during the month. In the West Virginia coal-mining region unemployment continued to be widespread, and those miners that were at work were averaging only two to four days per week. In general, the small towns were suffering less than the larger cities of the district. For 221 firms in district No. 7 (Chicago), employing 135,182 persons on September 30, 1921, there was a negligible decrease in numbers on the pay roll as compared with August 31 and a decrease of 28.7 per cent as compared with September 30,1920 . The decreases occurred principally in the industries engaged in the production of iron and steel products, such as agricultural implements and railway equipment while food, clothing, leather, and shoes showed gains. Reports from 10 firms engaged in construction and building work showed a reduction of 26.8 per cent in numbers employed in September as compared with the preceding month, and six automobile and accessory plants outside Detroit employed 8.5 per cent fewer men in September. In district No. 12 (San Francisco) increases and decreases in numbers employed in various industries about offset one another, leaving the total number of men engaged in gainful occupations at the end of the month approximately unchanged. The close of the fishing season caused heary reductions in numbers employed and further declines occurred in the shipbuilding industry. On the other hand, demand from the lumbering regions of Oregon and Washington improved and the coal mines of Washington also resumed operations. In California there was a heavy demand for unskilled labor to harvest the later crops. Slightly better conditions were reported in the mining districts of Utah, Nevada, and Arizona, and there was an increased demand for harvest labor in Idaho, where railroad construction work was also beginning to be undertaken. The demand for skilled labor in the building trades was greater in practically all cities throughout the district as a result of the quite general increase in building activity.

## WHOLESALE TRADE.

With few exceptions, sales of reporting wholesale hardware and dry goods firms in the several districts increased during September as compared with August. These increases were exceptionally heavy in both hardware and dry goods in district No. 6 (Atlanta). Fall buying on the part of retailers in that section of the country, delayed beyond the usual period, received additional emphasis as a result of the heightened public demand that followed upon crop sales. For all reporting districts, the increases in hardware varied from a minimum
of 5.5 per cent ( 24 firms reporting) in district No. 3 (Philadelphia) to a maximum of 16.2 per cent (21 firms reporting) in district No. 6 (Atlanta), while decreases were recorded in district No. 9 (Minneapolis) and district No. 11 (Dallas) amounting to 11.8 per cent ( 7 firms reporting) and 7 per cent ( 11 firms reporting), respectively. District No. 11 (Dallas) explains the decrease in sales by stating that the demand from country buyers has been poor, although it has remained fairly good in the cities. In district No. 9 (Minneapolis) the stimulating effect of crop liquidation would undoubtedly be felt somewhat later than in other parts of the country, but, nevertheless, as the crops went to market earlier than usual this year, the explanation is hardly adequate to account for a downward trend in September sales. In district No. 3 (Philadelphia) the 24 firms from which returns have been received stated that the increase in sales consisted "almost entirely of orders for rush shipments for the replenishment of stocks." Wholesalers were said to be neither buying nor selling spring goods to any extent.
In the case of dry goods, advances in the price of cotton goods may in some cases have accelerated the seasonal upward movement of sales which occurred in all reporting districts except district No. 2 (New York), in which three firms showed an average decline of 0.7 per cent, and district No. 9 (Minneapolis), in which five firms showed an average decline of 20 per cent during the month. The advances ranged from 8.1 per cent in district No. 5 (Richmond), with 7 firms reporting, up to 33.9 per cent in district No. 6 (Atlanta), with 18 firms reporting. In the case of wholesale groceries, the upward movement of sales was by no means as general as it was in the case of hardware and dry goods. Usually September marks the beginning of fall buying activity, but in three districts, namely, district No. 2 (New York), No. 3 (Philadelphia), and No. 7 (Chicago), declines in sales occurred during the month amounting to 5.9 per cent ( 9 firms reporting), 6.1 per cent ( 48 firms reporting), and 6.8 per cent ( 23 firms reporting) for the districts in question. It will be noted, furthermore, that these averages cover almost 50 per cent of all reporting firms, as returns for the country as a whole comprise only 163 wholesale grocery firms. District No. 3 (Philadelphia) gave as a reason for the sales decline the unwillingness of retailers to place orders for future delivery. In district No. 6 (Atlanta), however, retailers were reported to have purchased more liberally, and district No. 11 (Dallas) stated that although the buying was cautious it showed improvement, especially in the Loui-
siana section, where the increase in the price of cotton and the renewed activity in the oil industry had produced favorable results. In the case of boots and shoes, the sales movement manifested lack of uniformity within the several districts. In districts No. 2 (New York) and No. 5 (Richmond) declines occurred amounting to 7.5 per cent ( 7 firms-reporting) and 0.5 per cent ( 8 firms reporting), respectively. On the other hand, extraordinarily heavy increases took place in district No. 6 (Atlanta) and in district No. 12 (San Francisco). For the former district 9 firms reported an average increase in sales of 35.7 per cent, while for the latter district 16 firms reported an advance of 29.2 per cent in sales. In the only other district from which reports have been received, namely, district No. 7 (Chicago), 9 firms showed an average advance in sales of 7.8 per cent.

RETAIL TRADE.
While September retail trade as shown by sales of representative department stores is in excess of the August figures, it has been retarded somewhat by the unseasonably warm weather which prevailed during the first part of the month. The large amount of unemployment prevailing has also forced people to confine their purchasing rather to essentials. Demand accordingly seems to be greatest for carpets, rugs, yard goods, and medium-priced ready-to-wear clothing, whereas items like pianos, phonographs, and confectionery are selling poorly. Sales of 342 representative department stores throughout the United States, while showing an increase over August, were nevertheless 15.1 per cent below the September, 1920, figures. In this connection should be kept in mind the decrease in prices which has taken place between the two dates, so that if allowance is made for these price changes the actual volume of goods would probably be in excess of that sold a year ago. In the several districts, decreases from September, 1920, are shown amounting to 9.9 per cent in district No. 1 (Boston), 8 per cent in district No. 2 (New York), 15.3 per cent in district No. 3, (Philadelphia), 23.6 per cent in district No. 4 (Cleveland), 16.2 per cent in district No. 5 (Richmond), 22.9 per cent in district No. 6 (Atlanta), 15.3 per cent in district No. 7 (Chicago), 18.2 per cent in district No. 8 (St. Louis), 17.8 per cent in district No. 9 (Minneapolis), 13.8 per cent in district No. 10 (Kansas City), 22.7 per cent in district No. 11 (Dallas), and 8.7 per cent in district No. 12 (San Francisco).

Although stocks which the department stores have on hand are lower than they were
last year, they showed a considerable increase during the month of September. This would seem to indicate that retailers are displaying greater confidence in the stability of prices and therefore gradually increasing stocks from the low point reached last spring. The rate of turnover continues approximately the same, and this is true likewise of outstanding orders.

## PRICES.

During the first three weeks of October prices of leading farm products declined from the September average except in the case of certain classes of live stock, such as cattle and sheep. Wheat, oats, corn, cotton, and hogs were all appreciably lower at the later date. In the metal industries, on the other hand, prices were relatively stable, the nonferrous metals showing increases, and steel products showing very little change. Spot prices of bituminous coal were about the same in the two months.
Prices in the leather industry were practically the same in October as in September, although the raw material advanced. Cotton yarns and cloth also increased, and wool manufactures were firm.
The wholesale price indexes of both the Bureau of Labor Statistics and the Federal Reserve Board showed no change between August and September for all commodities, but there were wide fluctuations both up and down in the prices of individual commodities. Raw materials as a whole advanced, in spite of the decline in live-stock prices. Consumers' goods, on the other hand, declined, the reduction in, food prices being most important. Producers' goods showed very little change. In the classification of the commodities in the Federal Reserve Board index as (1) goods of domestic production, (2) goods imported, and (3) goods exported, it appears that goods of domestic origin averaged the same in the two months; prices of imported goods were slightly higher in September than in August, while prices of exported commodities were radically higher, due to the advance in the price of raw cotton.

A survey of the cost of living in 32 cities in the United States made by the Bureau of Labor Statistics for September shows that between June, 1920, and September, 1921, there had been a decrease of 18 per cent on an average. Most of this decrease occurred prior to May, 1921, however, the decrease since that time amounting to only 1.7 per cent. Retail prices of food in the one month of September showed a small decrease, the index of the Bureau of Labor Statistics moving from 155 in August to 153 in September.

## SHIPPING.

Further reductions in ocean freight rates took place in October, thus bringing rates on the principal commodities more generally into line with the lower quotations on grain which were announced the last week of September. The charter market for full cargo steamers continues slow with moderate recessions from rates previously quoted. From the standpoint of American steamship companies, the unprofitableness of operating vessels at current rates is accentuated by the difficulty in arranging for inward cargoes on the return voyage. This situation is emphasized by the figures recently made public by the Shipping Board on the tonnage of American sea-borne imports and exports. For the fiscal year ended June 30, 1921, exports are given as $59,205,050$ long tons, while imports were little more than half as much, being reported at only $36,879,532$ long tons in the same months. Such disproportion between the amount of cargo available for inward voyages to United States ports, as compared with that available on the outward voyages, obviously necessitates many vessels returning to this country in ballast, with a consequent loss of freight revenue.

## FOREIGN TRADE.

Both exports and imports declined in September, after the increases recorded for August, to about the level of July. For similarly low monthly totals we must go back to 1915 and earlier. Specifically, exports during September were valued at $\$ 325,000,000$, while imports totaled $\$ 180,000,000$. The corresponding values of exports and imports a year ago were $\$ 605,000,000$ and $\$ 363,000,000$, respectively, although much of the significance in such a comparison is lost by reason of the price declines which have occurred during the past year. A truer idea of the trend of our foreign trade from the standpoint of the volume or quantity of goods shipped in and out is afforded by the Board's foreign trade index in which the effect of price changes has been eliminated. The export index stands at 119.9 and the import index at 114.6 for September, compared, respectively, with 88.6 and 129 a year ago. It is evident that average prices or valuations of the various commodities must have altered radically to make an equal or greater quantity of goods this year of only one-half the value reported for the same month last year. These facts are borne out also by a detailed consideration of the average valuation of individual commodities, published by the Department of Commerce, which show in many cases price reductions during this period of 50 per cent and more.

When these factors are taken into consideration, the foreign trade totals reported for recent months assume a less serious aspect and indicate rather the resumption and continuance of business at a lower level of prices.

## TOBACCO FINANCE.

The following is the third of a series of articles describing the financing methods which are employed in the tobacco industry. The first and second articles appeared in the September, 1921, and October, 1921, issues. Data were obtained partly through the cooperation of the Federal Reserve Agents in the various districts, and partly direct from banks and persons interested in the industry in various capacities, as well as from other Governmentorganizations. To all of them acknowledgment is due.

## III. Financing the Grower-Manufactured and Export Type Districts.

The financing of the growing stage in the manufactured and export type districts differs in important respects from the financing found in the cigar type districts. The former problem is of much greater magnitude, in particular as those districts are largely one-crop sections. Owing to this fact and other differences in the methods of production, as well as to the difference in the methods of marketing the crop, the actual methods employed in financing differ.

## A. ACCOMMODATKON TO THE GROWER.

The grower in the manufactured and export type districts secures his accommodation from one of three principal sources-the bank, the leaf sales warehouse, and the local store and fertilizer dealer. The leaf sales warehouse, however, is of small importance as a source of funds in some sections, and the outstanding characteristic in these districts is rather the part played by the store or supply merchant. There are conspicuous differences in practice between the several districts, and, moreover, between the various classes of growers themselves.

At the two extremes stand owner and cropper. The owner finds it unnecessary to borrow in some cases, especially in view of the high price of tobacco for some seasons prior to 1920-21. In general, however, he will either borrow direct from the bank (while perhaps at the same time giving the fertilizer dealer a note), or, more especially in the case of the smaller landowner, will buy on time from the supply merchant. The cropper, on the other hand, in general depends upon his
landlord. The landlord in some cases either advances cash directly, or furnishes supplies from a store which he himself operates, while in other cases he vouches for the cropper at the local store, perhaps waiving his crop rights. In certain cases, he indorses the tenant's paper, which the latter takes to the bank. In some sections the farmer may also obtain a cash advance direct from the warehouse, or may have the warehouseman indorse his note, which he then takes to the warehouseman's bank or less frequently to his own bank.

In the Virginia dark district, the store apparently is of major importance, followed in order by the warehouse and the bank, although one warehouseman in a leading market in the western part of the district states that the grower relies more largely upon the warehouse than upon the store, and two others report that 50 per cent and 70 per cent of the farmers get accommodation from the warehouse. One bank in the eastern part of the district, however, observes that almost every farmer of any standing in its section is inclined to deal directly with the bank. The accommodation by the warehouse in the district is largely in the form of direct advances, and indorsement appears to be comparatively much less frequent. In the old bright belt of Virginia the warehouse is of somewhat less importance as a source of funds. Both direct loans and indorsement by it are found. In the vicinity of South Hill the crop is grown largely on credit supplied by the stores, while farther west in the vicinity of South Boston the financial credit of the farmer is believed to be better and bank loans on warehouse indorsement most frequent, and still farther west around Danville and Chatham the crop is still more on a cash basis. In the old bright belt of western North Carolina the situation is broadly similar to that across the border in Virginia, and the store supplies the bulk of the accommodation, with the bank second and the warehouse playing a small rôle. In the new bright belt of eastern North Carolina the store plays by far the leading rôle, while warehouses, except in certain centers, extend little accommodation to growers. One supply merchant in a leading center in that section says that "the tobacco growers are accustomed to buy largely on time during the first eight months of the year, to be paid for when they sell their crop. During that time perhaps 75 per cent of all sales of merchandise, live stock, and fertilizer are made on a time basis. During the other four months practically ali sales are on a cash basis." In fact, out of 21 other stores in that section from which data were obtained, 17 estimate that 75 per cent or more of the business
of stores in their county is on time, and all reporting banks state that one-half or more of the growers' accommodation is obtained from the store. In South Carolina, on the other hand, there is apparently a considerable proportion of direct bank borrowing. A wholesale grocer in one of the leading markets in that State advises that "the manner of supplying farmers has undergone a change within the last 10 years. It used to be customary for the lien merchant, as he was called, to make advances to farmers, but most of this advancing is now done by local banks. The farmer arranges with his bank for money for his entire farm, in most cases even to paying of the fertilizer bill, although some fertilizers are sold, especially to the most substantial farmers, on their notes." On the other hand, another authority advises that farmers in the State generally are financed through large lien merchants, while a supply merchant comments that "the greater part of the credit extended by the store is late in the summer after the grower has spent all of the money borrowed from the bank and still needed money to carry him till the tobacco was sold." Warehouses in that State in general eliminated accommodation to growers in recent years, although some small loans are still reported to gather the crop in June and July. It should be remembered that in this section, as also in eastern North Carolina, cotton is frequently of primary importance, rather than tobacco.
In the burley district the landowner, and in some cases the cash tenant, secures his accommodation from the bank, while the share tenant and the cropper are financed by the landlord, either direct or through indorsement to the store or bank. One banker believes that the landlord, especially in view of the superior lien which he has under Kentucky law, ${ }^{1}$, should either finance the tenant himself, or else indorse for all bank and store accommodation. In this district, it is reported that the low prices paid the grower for the 1920 crop did not permit him to liquidate his indebtedness incurred during that growing season. As a result, the merchants themselves, unless in a fairly strong cash position, were in a strained situation and consequently found it necessary to greatly curtail extension of credit. It is

[^0]often stated that country stores in the district will play a much less important part in financing tobacco growers than in the past. As in the case of the warehouses, "they were agreeably surprised to learn that such financing, which had come to be regarded as necessary, could be dispensed with." The warehouses in certain centers of this district had loaned heavily for several years, but largely eliminated the practice during the past season. It is stated that they "welcomed the opportunity which has been presented by the present credit stringency to break away from a practice which was rapidly getting beyond their control." On the other hand, a considerable amount of loans by warehouses are reported by markets in the Clarksville-Hopkinsville and one-sucker western dark districts, although the reverse is reported from the Green River, Henderson, and Paducah districts. The accommodation is, however, limited to small growers of moderate means and to tenants, states one warehouseman in the Clarksville-Hopkinsville district, but 25 to 30 per cent of the growers obtain such accommodation. Abolition of the practice in some markets in recent years is reported. The store in all these districts apparently plays a considerable rôle in supplying funds. One merchant in the Paducah district estimates that merchants in his district collected between 25 and 40 per cent of last year's bills, and continued to carry the remainder of the credit business on their books. Several report that credit business has been more limited for growing the 1920 crop. A banker in the ClarksvilleHopkinsville district believes that the bulk of the farmers generally have enough funds left from the previous crop to carry them until September 1, and that "of late years there has been a growing tendency, encouraged by the banks, to borrow all their money from the bank, instead of with the supply merchants."

In short, the warehouse is a leading source of funds in the Virginia dark section, is of less importance in the old bright belt, and, with certain exceptions in eastern North Carolina, is not found in the new bright belt. In the case of the western districts, it was a considerable source of accommodation to burley growers prior to the season of 1920-21 and likewise in the Clarksville-Hopkinsville and one-sucker districts, where it has been continued to some extent. Accommodation is extended by the warehouseman in order to have farmers bring their tobacco to his house, but there has been a tendency away from the practice, as will be seen in more detail below.

The store plays a large part in practically all sections. Stores in the larger centers of Virginia and western North Carolina, however, do
little time business with farmers. Again, on the whole, groceries will be more largely a cash item, while dry goods, furniture, implements, and building materials will be sold more largely on time. Stores in the larger centers also in considerable measure sell to merchants at smaller country points, as well as themselves sell growers direct. It is stated from the Virginia old bright belt that notes are usually taken up at the bank before store accounts are paid, as the store charge for crop time is uniform, and interest on the bank loan is thus decreased. One authority observes that in eastern North Carolina the store often fixes the amount which it will advance the grower, but that in western North Carolina this is not done.

The bank likewise plays a considerable part in all districts. Not only is this true of banks in the country, but banks in the larger markets also loan a considerable amount direct to growers. One bank in a leading market in the old bright belt of western North Carolina characterizes its situation as follows: "Our bank gets to be more and more like a farmers' supply store every year. We have over 600 notes fallling due November 1 alone; average note, $\$ 200$."
There are certain characteristic forms of security which the grower gives when he obtains accommodation from each of these sources. The form employed is largely irrespective of the district in question. In part, also, the form employed is related to the class of grower, and a note secured by collateral may be generally required from one class, whereas to another class the advance may be entirely on open account. The standard security given the store is the crop lien. In South Carolina, however, it is said that the regular crop lien is outlawed, and a form of chattel mortgage "that amounts to the same thing" is employed instead. A considerable number of authorities in other districts report the use of the chattel mortgage, and some state that in certain cases it is employed in addition to the crop lien, the chattel mortgage then serving as security in the event of crop failure. To some extent time sales are made on open account, and several burley growers believe that most sales are made in that manner. One comments as follows: "Under the laws of Kentucky the landlord has first lien on the crop for all money advanced during the year. Possibly 5 per cent of the stores secure a second lien, never a chattel mortgage. The general practice is openaccount credit business with the tenant, with individual understanding that accounts are to be settled when the crop is cashed. Quite frequently attachments are sued out against the selling warehouseman, because the creditor doubts the intention or ability of the debtor
to settle in full. Crop securities are very exceptional." In general, however, where the open account is used, it is largely in the case of growers who own theirown land, or for the better class of tenants. The difference in the form of security required is well illustrated in the following quotation from a supply merchant in one of the leading centers in eastern North Carolina:
To those with an established credit, accommodation is extended without any security whatever; those who haven't it, give either a crop lien or a crop lien and chattel mortgage combined covering live stock as well as the crops. The amount of security depends, of course, upon the amount of accommodation, the character and ability of the party asking it, and the amount of rent paid, if any. The owner of the land, if required to give any security at all, is usually able to secure credit on merely a crop lien, but tenants, in view of the fact that they have to pay rent, are usually required to put in whatever they have in the way of live stock or other personal property. Share tenants, or those farming with the landlord's team, are required to secure the guarantee of their landlord.

Another merchant in the same center estimates that about 80 per cent of his time customers give crop liens, together with live stock, wagons, or implements as additional security, and the remaining 20 per cent are sold on open account, while a third merchant in that district estimates that probably 50 per cent of the business is so covered.
-The security which the grower gives the warehouse in general is substantially similar to that which he gives the store. The crop lien is the standard form. A warehouseman in the Virginia dark section states that his loan is made on demand, but is paid only when the crop is sold, or, if the grower meets with misfortune, such as through a storm, it is carried over. Other warehouses, however, loan for a fixed period. The crop lien can be recorded if the farmer is suspected and is binding for 10 years in that State. The law there likewise requires the sale of tobacco on the floor of the warehouse which has extended accommodation and permits the latter to stop an attempted sale elsewhere. The only district in which the crop lien is not the major form is the new bright belt. In eastern North Carolina the loan is usually on open account, with a mere promise to sell at the warehouse. In South Carolina, where accommodation is rarely extended, small advances are unsecured, or a chattel mortgage may be employed. In all districts there are some exceptions to the rule. Some advances on open account are reported, as is also indorsement-e. g., by landlords in some cases, or on poor risks, and real-estate deed of trust or chattel mortgage. Several warehouses in the Virginia old bright belt state that a crop lien is used where the grower does not own real estate, while another warehouse
takes a second lien on the crop, the store having the first lien.

The standard form of bank borrowing is upon indorsed note. The indorser may be another grower, a warehouseman, a landlord, or, it is reported by a bank in the Virginia dark district, the grower's wife in the case of the best farmers. The bank prefers to have another party who is financially responsible and to whom it can look for payment. It does not wish to have the farmer's crop or possessions on its hands and leaves them to the store or warehouse, who, especially the former, can keep in closer touch with the grower. Exceptions, however, are found in all sections. Most conspicuous is South Carolina, where there appears to be a considerable proportion of chattel mortgages employed. Thus one authority says:

The banks secure themselves by chattel mortgages on the crop and usually include the work animals if it is a tenant farmer they are supplying. They sometimes require the landowner either to guarantee the account or waive his rent. Those who own their own farms are sometimes supplied on their promissory notes, chattel mortgages being usually given as additional security, depending, of course, upon the financial standing of the man himself.

This coincides with the data given above, showing a tendency for the banks themselves to extend accommodation direct to the grower in that section rather than indirectly through loans to the supply merchant. Some of the best farmers in all sections obtain loans on their straight note, and in one of the largest markets in the new bright belt of eastern North Carolina it is stated that, measured in volume, most accommodation extended to growers was on their straight notes, although measured in number of borrowers, most was indorsed. One banker in the Clarksville-Hopkinsville district estimates that 60 per cent of the accommodation is on unsecured promissory note, 5 per cent on real-estate mortgage, 5 per cent on chattel mortgage, 10 per cent on collateral, and 20 per cent on personal indorsement. Comment is made that the small proportion of chattel mortgages is due to the fact that "Kentucky laws are unfavorable to the holder, expensive to foreclose, etc., so this class of borrowers usually gives some friend or neighbor a mortgage, frequently paying for the accommodation, and has the mortgagee 'go his security,'" while the collateral is "usually in the form of notes of other farmers taken in trade. It is the custom here when one farmer agrees to take the note of another, to demand a 'bankable' note, which a local bank will either discount or take as collateral."

Practice as to the time of bank borrowing varies. In some cases it is thought that bor-
rowing tends to be concentrated in the spring at planting time and at harvest to house and market the crop. In the former case the grower may borrow the full amount at once. In other cases, however, small amounts are borrowed at more frequent intervals from time to time as needed, especially, it is stated by one authority, in the case of tenants. Due dates of course are fixed by the time of marketing the crop. In the East they will be from about October to January, with November perhaps most frequent, while in the West about December 1 or January 1 will be most frequent. In South Carolina alone is the time earlier. The crop there moves to market from about July 1 on, and thus August 1 to September 1 are the usual dates. Notes relating to cotton growing, however, mature about November 1 in that State. A banker in the eastern districts observes that "it has seemed to me for several years that banks located in rural districts, serving principally a farming clientele, could render a real service to their farmer customers by spreading the maturities for obligations created during the planting and growing seasons over a longer period than is now generally the practice. Aside from the fact that the grower would have a longer period in which to market the crop, the banks are overrun with customers on the concentrated maturity date, and as a consequence they are oftentimes unable to efficiently administer the wants or needs of the individual borrower."

## B. THE SUPPLY MERCHANT.

In almost all cases the time price charged by the supply merchant is higher than the cash price. The latter prevails, it is reported, in some sections when payment is made within 30 days. Considerable variation is shown. Only in a relatively small number of cases is it stated that there is no difference. In some cases the price differs according to the different commodities, while in other cases a flat percentage is added. In the Virginia dark district practice apparently varies, some stores reporting the average difference about 10 per cent and others considerably more. One store in that section advises that for about 10 per cent of its sales it accepts owners' notes for the amount figured at the cash price plus interest. In the Virginia old bright belt the average percentage is stated to be 10 per cent, and the same appears to be the case in western North Carolina. In eastern North Carolina 16 out of 25 reporting stores show an average difference of 10 per cent, while most of the others show greater increases, such as from 10 to 15,20 , or 25 per cent. A store in a leading market in that district comments as follows:

Practically all the stores charge merchandise and fertilizer at a cash price and add 10 per cent flat at the time of final settlement. Live stock is usually sold at an advance of 5 to 7 per cent over the cash price and a note taken drawing 6 per cent interest from date of sale to date of payment, and the privilege is granted the buyer of paying one-half at the end of one year, with the understanding that the remainder will be renewed and carried for 12 months longer.
In South Carolina the percentages in almost all cases are greater than 10 per cent, ranging from there up, such as 10 to 20 per cent, or being specified at a greater flat figure. One store which called attention to the increasing tendency of the bank to loan direct to growers observes that the large difference between the cash and time price is due to the fact that only the poorer class of risks now buy on time from it. In the burley district it is stated that in some cases the price is the same, but other reports show a difference of 5 or 10 per cent. Somewhat over one-third of the reporting stores in the western dark districts report either no difference or "very little, if any." Some of these stores, however, take notes, in some cases at least, which draw 6 per cent interest. The differences shown by other stores in general vary from 5 to 15 per cent, with 10 per cent most frequent.
With respect to the source from which the supply merchant obtains funds, distinction must be made between stores in the larger and the smaller centers. In general it appears that relatively little accommodation is obtained by country stores from the banks, and they rely in large measure upon the wholesalers in the larger centers, being carried until the crop is sold. Stores in the larger centers may combine bank borrowing to a greater or lesser extent with buying on time. A large supply merchant in eastern North Carolina says that "the average supply house runs about 60 per cent from the banks and 40 per cent from the business houses." Several stores, however, state that business houses allow only 30 to 60 days' time on items other than fertilizer. At points where banking facilities are adequate, the local banks supply the banking accommodation which is obtained. In the larger centers of the new bright belt of eastern North Carolina, however, local facilities are insufficient, and the major part of bank borrowing is from banks located elsewhere. Thus, a supply merchant in Kinston advises that this is done by probably half a dozen large mercantile establishments in his county doing a farm-supply business, which borrow their money entirely from the banks. In South Carolina several instances were also reported of bank borrowing outside the district by a merchant who complained of the restricted local facilities, and who believed
that it took about the store's capital to loan to its farming clients.

The location of these "outside" banks varies somewhat according to the particular district. In the east, the largest banking center from which stores in every section obtain some accommodation is Richmond, followed by Norfolk and Baltimore. Leading Richmond banks state that supply merchants borrow to a considerable extent in that center. One says that such borrowing is found in the case of merchants having a rating of, say, $\$ 50,000$. The other centers are more local in character and relate merely to a particular district. Thus Lynchburg and Farmville also provide some accommodation to stores in the Virginia dark section, while Raleigh, Winston-Salem, and Wilmington also provide some accommodation to stores in the North Carolina new bright belt, and Wilmington and Charleston to stores in South Carolina. One South Carolina store also specifies New York and one eastern North Carolina store, Washington, D. C. The situation, of course, may even be further localized, and resort may be had instead to a near-by center. Thus from Jones County, in eastern North Carolina, it is stated that part of the required funds are borrowed in New Bern and Kinston. One bank in a leading market in eastern North Carolina states that in case of need it may arrange a loan from its correspondents for its local stores, and a Richmond banker who had actively gone out for store accounts said that he obtained some from local banks which were not in a position to handle the account.

The form in which the supply merchant borrows from the bank differs somewhat. A number of the larger and better stores borrow on their own note, either straight or indorsed by the members of the firm or the officers of the corporation. In some other cases, others may indorse, while loans are often secured by collateral. Although the use of stocks and bonds, real estate mortgages, or insurance policies for this purpose is reported in a few instances, in the majority of cases crop liens or chattel mortgages are used. One store in the Virginia dark district states that banks there prefer such security. Growers' notes secured by a crop lien, however, are rediscounted with the banks to some extent, as well as notes which country stores give to wholesale houses in the larger centers. While most stores apparently employ such notes entirely in one way or the other, perhaps mostly as collateral, some rediscount part and use the remainder as collateral. In eastern North Carolina rediscounting is reported only in scattered instances and in the main at smaller points. It is believed by a merchant
in that section that the collateral given provides a margin of 50 to 100 per cent over the amount borrowed. One Richmond bank advises that its notes generally have both collateral, in the form of growers' notes, and indorsement.

The maturity of the notes varies from 60 days to 4 months, one bank in eastern North Carolina observing that its notes are largely for 90 days, with renewal permitted, some running for 6 months. Most frequent maturity dates in the east thus are November 1 to 15. A Richmond bank remarks that its loans run from late spring to late fall, while another further states that some stores borrow in March and April, but borrowing especially occurs from May and June until November. In the case of the burley district, stores are stated to pay off their notes during February and March.

## C. THE FERTILIधER MANUFACTURER AND DEALER.

Local stores, leaf sales warehousemen (to some extent, as will be indicated below), and special fertilizer dealers sell commercial fertilizer to growers. In Kentucky and Tennessee nearly all the fertilizer is stated to pass through the hands of dealers. In general, there are many farmer dealers who buy a carload and have farmers haul the fertilizer away from the car at a siding. A leading manufacturer estimates, however, that 15 per cent is sold direct to large tobacco growers, landlords, or groups of growers, instead of through one of the above three sources. In western North Carolina there are not as many direct sales as in eastern North Carolina, in the latter of which there are many large planters who grow cotton and tobacco. One manufacturer states that he favors having the local store handle the product, as it knows the local men and also has a better standing. The warehouse, in his opinion, is not in as good a position to handle the business. The manufacturer or wholesale distributor has a relatively small number of customers.

Sales in general commence in December, and shipments are made from February to April. The terms on which the fertilizer is sold differ somewhat between the several districts. Thus, in the new bright belt, whether in South Carolina or North Carolina, 5 per cent May 1, with average net date of November 1 , is specified. The actual net date in any individual case may be October 15, November 1, or November 15. This is largely a cotton territory. In the old bright belt terms are 5 per cent July 1, with average net date of January 1 and actual net
dates of December 15, January 1, or January 15. Anticipation is permitted at a specified rate of interest. In the burley district 5 per cent July 1 or 7 per cent 30 days from date of shipment is granted. Until July 1 an open account is employed. By that date, however, settlement must be provided either in cash or by note, and the latter is then due net December 1, plus interest from July 1. It is stated that in Tennessee paper bearing interest from June 1 is usually taken. Terms of manufacturers to dealers and of dealers to growers are similar. Years ago the dealer indorsed the notes which he received from those growers who did not discount their bills and turned them over to the manufacturer. Some Tennessee bankers advise that this is still the usual custom in that district. At the present time, however, the dealer is stated generally to give the manufacturer his own note and employ the farmer's notes as collateral. The latter are returned to him by the manufacturer under trust receipt some time before the net due date. The dealer may take a crop lien. Some manufacturers have given a 5 per cent discount for many years, while others state that it was increased four or five years ago.

The proportion of accounts which are discounted, and thus conversely the extent to which the fertilizer manufacturers finance tobacco raising, varies considerably according to general conditions. A leading manufacturer observes that receivables in relation to the volume of business were much lower for 1918, 1919, and 1920 than normally. On the other hand, owing to the low price of tobacco, difficulty in paying was experienced during the past winter by those who let their bills run to the net due date. Several estimates which were obtained of the percentage of discounters in 1920 differ greatly, and no general conclusions can be drawn. Some indication is afforded by the figures of the percentage of credit sales to total sales of warehousemen given below in section D. While the grower may give his note for fertilizer to the dealer, the latter may obtain funds from the bank and discount his account with the manufacturer. In so doing, he may himself borrow directly from the bank, or may rediscount the growers' notes with it. The farmer, in fact, may also borrow from the bank to obtain funds to pay off his fertilizer bills, or, as one warehouseman in the Virginia dark district notes, from the warehouse. The bank, however, in all cases does not favor extension of accommodation to the grower to pay for the latter's fertilizer bills, states a bank in one of the leading eastern North Carolina markets, in spite of the large cash discount offered.

## D. THE LEAF SALES WAREHOUSE.

As indicated above, the practice of leaf sales warehouses with respect to advances to growers differs in the several districts. In general, however, there is a growing tendency toward abolition of the custom. Several warehousemen believe that 1920 was the last season in the Virginia dark section in which any considerable loaning occurred, due to the losses sustained. In the old bright belt of Virginia some of the larger markets also show little or no use of the practice. In one of the larger markets it is thought that the practice was discontinued in 1915, but that the tradesmen connected with the individual houses have continued themselves to indorse individually. The amounts have, however, been cut down, and it was believed the practice would be eliminated this year. In some of the leading markets of the North Carolina old bright belt effort has been likewise made to discontinue accommodation, in certain cases by board of trade rule, and little in general is reported to be granted. In the North Carolina new bright belt the Eastern North Carolina Warehousemen's Association adopted a rule against the practice several years ago, and in two of the four leading centers the accommodation now extended is reported to be very limited. A warehouseman in one of these markets states that whereas in the past $\$ 150,000$ a season was loaned in his market, he does not believe that over $\$ 10,000$ is now loaned. Warehousemen in both of the markets where lending has continued believe that it will be greatly curtailed. A warehouseman in one of them remarked this spring that "there are seven warehouses here, and they will average lending $\$ 25,000$ each to farmers," indorsement being the custom. Heary losses, however, have been experienced and "practically all warehouses have stopped lending, and I think that for the next crop this practice will be stopped almost entirely;" while a warehouseman in the other market believes that the practice will be discontinued in view of the fact that "experience along this line has been rather sad." In South Carolina the association rules have prohibited the practice for some years. Two associations existed until 1920, one north and one south of the Peedee River, but they have now consolidated. In this connection it should be noted that the majority of warehouses in that State are operated by men who live elsewhere and are only in the community a part of the year. They come to South Carolina in July before the opening of the sales season and go to one of the North Carolina or Virginia markets at its close, where they then frequently operate another
warehouse. Warehouse lending by several burley markets was heavy for some years past, but has again been eliminated. Many of the markets showed no loans last year, while in markets in which the practice was found the lending occurred only to a very small extent. In the western dark districts the ClarksvilleHopkinsville and one-sucker markets showed direct loans to growers, although this was not true of the other districts.
No definite standards for loaning by the warehouseman exist, and practice differs greatty. One warehouseman in the Virginia dark section states that the old rule was to loan $\$ 10$ per 1,000 pounds. A warehouseman in the Virginia old bright belt says that " the warehousemen have no way of determining beforehand the value of any particular farmer's crop, and usually make advances according to acreage planted," but several warehousemen in that and other districts say that their loans are not based on the acreage, but rather on their knowledge of the farmer. Several warehousemen in the new bright belt of eastern North Carolina agree that in general about $\$ 25$ per acre has been loaned, and another says that the rule was $\$ 5$ per acre in the old days, the amount subsequently being raised as prices increased. One warehouseman in the Clarksville-Hopkinsville district places the amount at from $\$ 25$ to $\$ 50$ per acre of 1,000 pounds, while another warehouseman in that district makes the following comments on the general practice:

In an effort to get business, we make all kinds of advances, as we have all kinds of people to deal with. Some producers are absolutely solvent, owning farm and other properties, but prefer to borrow on their tobacco. You can advance them close to the value of their crop or even more. There are others, honest men, too, who will pay you only if the tobacco brings the amount of the advance, as they have no other income. Then there are some who borrow all they can get and from every house pessible, and actually beat you out of your money. Finally there is a big class who overestimate not only the weight but also the character of their crop, and on this class we make our losses, as it is a rare thing that a shortage is ever paid a warehouseman. There is no way for any warehouseman to see all of the tobacco he advances on before making the advance, as we make them in several adjoining counties and far off the public road, and only have the word of the borrower.

In all sections, of course, some loans are made on the tobacco when placed upon the warehouse floor for sale. This gives the farmer some money in hand for meals and expenses in town pending its sale. Many warehouses, however, report no such loans, or state that they are rarely requested. The amounts may be small, but, on the other hand, may run up to near the full value of the tobacco if required.

Warehouses sell fertilizer much more largely in Virginia than in either North Carolina or

South Carolina. However, the practice was reported in only 3 out of 11 markets in the Virginia dark district as against 7 out of 15 markets in the Virginia old bright belt. In the North Carolina old bright belt only 3 out of 18 markets, in the North Carolina new bright belt only 1 out of 24 markets, and in South Carolina only 1 out of 22 markets report the practice. In the latter district it is noted, however, that the warehouseman at times is interested in a separate fertilizer business. The warehouses in the larger markets in general do not engage in the business, but in some important markets of the Virginia dark district, the Virginia old bright belt, and the North Carolina old bright belt fertilizer is sold by warehousemen. The estimates furnished by the individual warehouses show in all cases but one that at least 50 per cent of sales are on credit and about two-thirds state that from 75 to 90 per cent are on credit. Only 1 out of 30 reporting warehouses in the burley district and 1 out of 25 in the western dark districts handle fertilizer, thus including 2 out of 35 markets.

## E. THE PROBLEM OF THE BANK.

The problem which confronts banks in the tobacco raising sections is twofold. It relates, first, to the question of financing the grower himself during the growing season, and, second, to the question of financing the buyers during the marketing season. "The financing of the tobacco grower, in the eastern districts at least," says one authority, "has never impressed me as a matter of much difficulty. His needs are not large, comparatively speaking. Labor is the big item in tobacco culture in this district, and a large share of that is family labor. What accommodation is needed is mostly for implements or fertilizer and for household supplies, and is needed principally through the spring and summer when credits are normally easy." The bank finances the growing process directly through advances to growers and indirectly through advances to warehousemen, which are to be loaned in turn to growers and to stores. Its loans will increase as the growing season progresses, until they are heaviest at the opening of the marketing season. The exact time of the latter differs in the various sections, as was indicated in the figures shown in Section I of this study (Sept., 1921, Bulletin, p. 1065). As the crop is marketed growers' loans are repaid, and likewise growers' indebtedness to stores and warehouses is reduced, so that the latter in turn reduce their indebtedness to the banks. But at the same time the financing of the marketing process is required. The leaf sales
warehouses at which the tobacco is marketed regularly require funds during the greater part of the sales season, and generally obtain their funds locally. To the extent that the crop is purchased by the larger companies, which borrow elsewhere, no local funds are further needed. The large manufacturing companies maintain balances at central points such as Richmond and Louisville, and in general are reported to keep local buyers regularly supplied with funds sufficient for the next three or four days' purchases, although in some cases they pay from other points. In larger centers, where they rehandle the tobacco they have purchased, liberal balances are stated to be kept during the entire year. The smaller independent dealers in leaf tobacco, however, borrow locally to some extent.

Where the larger companies maintain balances in banks in the tobacco districts, funds which are borrowed elsewhere are made available for the marketing of the crop. It is necessary each year for the banks also to obtain funds from banks in other sections to assist in the process. The amount of bills payable and rediscounts varies considerably from year to year. In 1920, e. g., the figures mounted rapidly, and by mid-year were far in excess in some districts of the seasonal peak reached during the previous year. The seasonal movement is complicated by the fact that the marketing of the old crop and the growing of the new overlap to some extent in practically all districts except South Carolina.

The banks obtain these funds from various sources. A considerable part is borrowed from the Federal Reserve Bank, while among the various centers in which lending banks are located are certain of those from which it was stated above that supply merchants had directly obtained accommodation, namely, New York, Richmond, Raleigh, and Wilmington in the East, and Louisville, Cincinnati, and Chicago in the West. Certain of these banks in turn may rediscount or borrow from banks at other centers, or at the Federal Reserve Bank. A sort of endless chain is thus formed, and the final ramifications may be difficult to trace in certain cases.

It will be evident from the above discussion that banks in the tobacco-raising sections are vitally interested in the prevailing agricultural practice. The more diversified the crops, the greater is the grower's independence of the supply merchant and bank, and the less is his need for accommodation. Similarly with the system of tenure. Likewise is the bank interested in the methods whereby the grower obtains his accommodation. While the supply merchant system has its defenders, and
has perhaps arisen because of the logic of events, it is frequently condemned by bankers and others, who point out as its results encouragement of heavy borrowing due to ease on the part of the grower in getting funds, encouragement of cultivation only of the principal cash crops, as these provide the basis for the adrance, and a tendency to place the grower in a position of dependency where he is able in many cases only to "just make both ends meet." A further indictment is the combination of banking, leaf sales warehouse, and merchandising interests by individuals which is often found. At the same time it is realized that the process of change is slow and that in it education must play a leading rôle.

Banks are interested likewise in the marketing system. During the past season, with the fall in the price of tobacco, extensive changes in marketing methods have been proposed, and growers' associations have been formed. An important point in all the plans which have been prepared is provision for organized control of the rate of marketing of the crop, and provision of adequate funds to enable the carrying out of the plan by holding tobacco until its turn to move to market shall come. Various associations in the eastern and western districts have announced plans, and actively undertaken to "sign up" growers in their territories. It is generally proposed to have the association operate when growers of a specified proportion of the crop in that district have agreed to cooperate, and it is thought that the associations will inaugurate their operations on the 1921 crop in some districts. ${ }^{1}$

## THE HAGUE RULES, 1921.

Following are the new "Hague Rules, 1921," recently adopted by the Thirtieth Conference of the International Law Association at The Hague (Aug. 30-Sept. 3), and aim at the regulation of international trade by generally accepted rules:

## Article I.-Definitions.

(a) "Carricr" includes the owner or the charterer who enters into a contract of carriage with a shipper.
(b) "Contract of carriage" means a bill of lading or any similar document of title in so far as such document relates to the carriage of goods by the sea.
(c) "Goods" includes goods, wares, merchandise, and articles of every kind whatsoever except live animals and cargo carried on deck.
(d) "Ship" includes any vessel used for the carriage of goods by sea.
(e) "Carriage of goods" covers the period from the time when the goods are received on the ship's tackle to the time when they are unloaded from the ship's tackle.
${ }^{1}$ For information as to the plans of the Burley Tobacco Growers' Cooperative Association, cf. an article by James C. Stone in Bankers Home Magazine, August, 1921, p. 13.

Article II.-Risks.
Subject to the provisions of Article V, under every contract of carriage of goods by sea the carrier, in regard to the handling, loading, stowage, carriage, custody, care, and unloading of such goods, shall be subject to the responsibilities and liabilities and entitled to the rights and immunities hereinafter set forth.

## Article III.-Responsibilities and liabilities.

1. The carrier shall be bound before and at the beginning of the voyage to exercise due diligence to-
(a) Make the ship seaworthy.
(b) Properly man, equip, and supply the ship.
(c) Make the holds, refrigerating and cool chambers, and all other parts of the ship in which goods are carried, fit and safe for their reception, carriage, and preservation.
2. The carrier shall be bound to provide for the proper and careful handling, loading, stowage, carriage, custody, care, and unloading of the goods carried.
3. After receiving the goods into his charge the carrier, or the master or agent of the carrier, shall, on the demand of the shipper, issue a bill of lading showing, among other things-
(a) The leading marks necessary for identification of the goods as the same are furnished in writing by the shipper before the loading starts, provided such marks are stamped or otherwise shown clearly upon the goods if uncovered, or on the cases or coverings in which such goods are contained, in such a manner as will remain legible until the end of the voyage.
(b) The number of packages or pieces, or the quantity or weight, as the case may be, as furnished in writing by the shipper before the loading starts.
(c) The apparent order and condition of the goods. Provided that no carrier, master, or agent of the carrier shall be bound to issue a bill of lading showing description, marks, number, quantity, or weight which he has reasonable ground for suspecting do not accurately represent the goods actually received.
4. Such a bill of lading issued in respect of goods other than goods carried in bulk and whole cargoes of timber shall be prima facie evidence of the receipt by the carrier of the goods as therein described in accordance with section $3(a),(b)$, and (c). Upon any claim against the carrier in the case of goods carried in bulk or whole cargoes of timber the claimant shall be bound, notwithstanding the bill of lading, to prove the number, quantity, or weight actually delivered to the carrier.
5. The shipper shall be deemed to have guaranteed to the carrier the accuracy of the description, marks, number, quantity, and weight as furnished by him, and the shipper shall indemnify the carrier against all loss, damages, and expenses arising or resulting from inaccuracies in such particulars.
6. Unless written notice of a claim for loss or damage and the general nature of such claim be given in writing to the carrier or his agent at the port of discharge before the removal of the goods, such removal shall be prima facie evidence of the delivery by the carrier of the goods as described in the bill of lading, and in any event the carrier and the ship shall be discharged from all liability in respect of loss or damage unless suit is brought within 12 months after the delivery of the goods.
7. After the goods are loaded the bill of lading to be issued by the carrier, master, or agent of the carrier to the shipper shall, if the shipper so demands, be a "shipped" bill of lading, provided that no "received for shipment" bill of lading or other document of title shall have been previously issued in respect of the goods.

In exchange for and upon surrender of a "received for shipment" bill of lading, the shipper shall be entitled, when the goods have been loaded, to receive a "shipped" bill of lading.
A "received for shipment" bill of lading which has subsequently been noted by the carrier, master, or agent with the name or names of the ship or ships upon which the
goods have been shipped and the date or dates of shipment, shall, for the purpose of these rules, be deemed to constitute a "shipped" bill of lading.
8. Any clause, covenant, or agreement in a contract of carriage relieving the carrier or the ship from liability for loss or damage to or in connection with goods arising from negligence, fault, or failure in the duties and obligations provided in this article or lessening such liability otherwise than as provided in these rules shall be null and void and of no effect.

## Articre IV.--Rights and inmunitics.

1. Neither the carrier nor the ship shall be liable for loss or damage arising or resulting from unseaworthiness unless caused by want of due diligence on the part of the carrier to make the ship seaworthy and to secure that the ship is properly manned, equipped, and supplied.
2. Neither the carrier nor the ship shall be responsible for loss or damage arising or resulting from-
(a) Act, neglect, or default of the master, mariner, pilot, or the servants of the carrier in the navigation or in the management of the ship;
(b) Fire;
(c) Perils, dangers, and accidents of the sea or other navigable waters;
(d) Act of God;
(e) Act of war:
(f) Act of public enemies;
(g) Arrest or restraint of princes, rulers, or people, or seizure under legal process;
(h) Quarantine restrictions;
(i) Act or omission of the shipper or owner of the goods, his agent, or representative;
(j) Strikes or lockouts or stoppage or restraint of labor from whatever cause, whether partial or general;
(k) Riots and civil commotions;
(l) Saving or attempting to save life or property at sea;
( $m$ ) Inherent defect, quality, or vice of the goods;
(n) Insufficiency of packing;
(o) Insufficiency or inadequacy of marks;
(p) Latent defects not discoverable by due diligence;
(q) Any other cause arising without the actual fault or privity of the carrier, or without the fault or neglect of the agents, servants, or employees of the carrier.
3. Any deviation in saving or attempting to save life or property at sea or any deviation authorized by the contract of carriage shall not be deemed to be an infringement or breach of these rules or of the contract of carriage, and the carrier shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting therefrom.
4. Neither the carrier nor the ship shall be responsible in any event for loss or damage to or in connection with goods in an amount beyond $£ 100$ per package or unitor the equivalent of that sum in other currency, unless the nature and value of such goods have been declared by the shipper before the goods are shipped and have been inserted in the bill of lading.

By agreement between the carrier, master, or agent of the carrier and the shipper another maximum amount than mentioned in this paragraph may be fixed, provided that such maximum shall not be less than the figures above named.
The declaration by the shipper as to the nature and value of any goods declared shall be prima facie evidence, but shall not be binding or conclusive on the carrier.
5. Neither the carrier nor the ship shall be responsible in any event for loss or damage to or in connection with goods if the nature or value thereof has been willfully misstated by the shipper.
6. Goods of an inflammable or explosive nature or of a dangerous nature, unless the nature and character thereof have been declared in writing by the shipper to the carrier before shipment and the carrier, master, or agent of the carrier has consented to their shipment, may at any time before delivery be destroyed or rendered innocuous by the carrier without compensation to the shipper, and the shipper of such goods shall be liable for all damages and
expenses directly or indirectly arising out of or resulting from such shipment. If any such goods shipped with such consent shall become a danger to the ship or cargo they may in like manner be destroyed or rendered innocuous by the shipper.
7. A carrier shall be at liberty to surrender in whole or in part all or any of his rights and immunities under this article, provided such surrender shall be embodied in the bill of lading issued to the shipper.

> Article V.-Special conditions.

Notwithstanding the provisions of the preceding articles a carrier and a shipper shall in regard to any particular goods be at liberty to enter into any agreement in any terms as to the responsibility and liability of the carrier for such goods, and as to the rights and immunities of the carrier in respect of such goods, or his obligation as to seaworthiness, or the care or diligence of his servants or agents in regard to the handling, loading, stowing, custody, care, and unloading of the goods carried by sea, provided that in this case no bill of lading shall be issued and that the terms agreed shall be embodied in a receipt which shall be a nonnegotiable document and shall be marked as such.
Any agreement so entered into shall have full legal effect.
Article VI.-Limitations on the application of the rules.
Nothing herein contained shall prevent a carrier or a shipper from entering into any agreement, stipulation, condition, reservation, or exemption as to the responsibility and liability of the carrier or the ship for the loss or damage to or in connection with the custody and care and handling of goods prior to the loading on and subsequent to the unloading from the ship on which the goods are carried by sea.

Article VII.-Limitation of liability.
The provisions of these rules shall not affect the rights and obligations of the carrier under the convention relating to the limitation of the liability of owners of seagoing vessels.

## REORGANIZATION OF THE AUSTRIAN LÄNDERBANK AND OF THE ANGLOAUSTRIAN BANK.

Recent dispatches from Vienna indicate that bills authorizing the reorganization and expatriation of the Austrian Länderbank and of the Anglo-Austrian Bank have been passed by Parliament. These bills provide for the transfer of control in the Länderbank to a French financial syndicate headed by the Banque de Paris et des Pays Bas, and in the Anglo-Austrian Bank to a British financial group, which includes the Bank of England. The headquarters of the Länderbank will be removed to Paris, while the central office of the AngloAustrian Bank is to go to London. The Länderbank will be renamed Banque des Pays de l'Europe Centrale.

It is not stated whether the bills as finally passed have been materially modified from those which have been under discussion since February of this year. In the case of the Länderbank the existing capital consists of 400,000 fully paid shares of 400 kronen each. According to the schemes previously reported, there is to be created a like number of ordinary shares of 100 francs each, together with

600,000 preference shares, also of 100 francs each. The latter shares are to be placed through French channels, and 16 members of the maximum of 32 in the board of directors are to be of French nationality. The British creditors of the former London branch of the bank are to receive special certificates with the option of exchanging these certificates in whole or in part for ordinary shares at par at the rate of 25 francs to the pound sterling. In the distribution of profits, the holders of the preference shares have priority up to a noncumulative dividend of 6 per cent. Five per cent of the balance goes to the directors and 15 per cent of the remainder again to the holders of preference shares, while the holders of ordinary shares, i. e., the prewar shareholders, are to receive a 6 per cent dividend after the previously enumerated returns have been paid. The British holders of certificates will be entitled to noncumulative interest at 6 per cent after payment of the 6 per cent dividend to the ordinary shareholders. The bill provides for a reduction to 10 per cent of the Austrian tax on liquidating banks, which otherwise would have amounted to a formidable figure.

In the case of the Anglo-Austrian Bank the existing capital of $200,000,000$ kronen will be exchanged for $£ 650,000$, the present shareholders receiving three $£ 1$ shares for every 4 shares of 240 kronen. The Bank of England's claim on the Anglo-Austrian Bank amounts to $£ 1,665,000$, of which the bank will forego $£ 590,000$. The remainder will be discharged by the allotment of $£ 375,000$ in ordinary shares and $£ 700,000$ in obligation bonds. In addition, it is expected that a London syndicate will advance $£ 1,000,000$ of new capital against preference shares- $£ 400,000$ immediately and $£ 600,000$ later on. The holders of preference shares will have a prior claim on a 6 per cent dividend and on 10 to 12 per cent of the remaining profits, while 90 per cent of the remainder will be used to pay up to 6 per cent dividends to common shareholders. Any profits above these amounts will be used for the payment of interest and amortization of bonds. In this case, as in the case of the Länderbank, the Austrian Government will forego the levying of a large portion of the liquidation tax.

It is stated that the most important advantage of the reconstruction of these two banks for Austria lies in the fact that the banks are thereby completely freed from their prewar foreign debt obligations and also in the fact that the location of their head offices in London and in Paris will add to their prestige. It is expected that these banks will be instrumental in placing Ter Meulen bonds for the use of Austrian industries.

## GROWTH OF DEPOSITS, NOTE CIRCULATION AND RESERVES IN PRINCIPAL FOREIGN COUNTRIES, 1904 TO 1921.

There is presented herewith a set of tables showing for leading foreign countries the growth in central bank and Government note circulation, and in deposit liabilities of central banks, also changes in reserves and in ratios of reserves to liabilities for a period of years from 1904 to 1921. This material affords a basis for the study of credit expansion of central banks and of the general currency situation, as related to reserves for a decade before the World War and for more recent years. No attempt is made to cover developments in the field of commercial banking.

The following summary table shows, for the countries included, total central reserves, total paper circulation, and the percentage that reserves constituted of the circulation at the end of 1904, ten years before the outbreak of the war, 1913, just before the war began, and at the end of June, 1921:

Britain the reserves against paper circulation, which at that time consisted almost exclusively of Bank of England notes, amounted on December 30, 1913, to 118 per cent, while at the end of June, 1921, reserves against Bank of England and currency notes combined were 37 per cent. In France reserves declined from 73 per cent at the end of 1913 to about 10 per cent at the middle of 1921 . In Italy the reduction has been from 58 per cent to 6 per cent, but the largest declines in reserves occurred in Germany, where before the war the ratio of reserves to note circulation was 56 per cent, while at the end of June, 1921, it had fallen to 1.3 per cent.

In Japan developments were very different from those in the other countries. While note circulation increased from $426,000,000$ yen at the end of 1913 to $1,124,000,000$ yen at the middle of 1921, Japan's gold reserves, including

NOTE CIRCULATION, RESERVES, AND RESERVE RATIOS OF EIGHT FOREIGN COUNTRIES IN 1904, 1913 , AND 1921.
[In thousands of currency.]

| Country. | Monetary unit. | Metallic reserves. |  |  | Note circulation. |  |  | Ratio of reserves to notes. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1904 | 1913 | 1921 | 1904 | 1913 | 1921 | 1904 | 1913 | 1921 |
|  | Cents at par |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Belgium. | Franc......... 19.30 | 119,366 | 305, 304 | 305, 178 | 694,429 | 1,067,407 | 6,110,162 | 17.2 | 28.6 | 5.0 |
| France. | Franc......... 19.30 | 3, 760, 800 | 4,157,500 | 3,846, 277 | 4,324, 800 | 15, 713, 600 | 37,422,087 | 87.0 | 72.8 | 10.3 |
| Germany | Reichsmark... 23.82 | 927,076 | 1, 446, 803 | 1, 102, 768 | 1,599,784 | 2, 593, 445 | 84,027,695 | 57.9 | 55.8 | 1.3 |
| Great Britain | Pound........ 486.65 | 29,927 | , 34,983 | 159,867 | 1, 28, 204 | 29,608 | 433,440 | 106.1 | 118.1 | 36.9 |
| Italy. | Lira........... 19.30 | 845, 043 | 1, 608, 382 | 1, 191, 995 | 1,719,772 | 2, 782,580 | 20,486,923 | 49.1 | 57.8 | 5.8 |
| Netherlands | Florin......... 40.20 | 144,000 | -160, 506 | 1, 618, 299 | 1,264,060 | 2, 312,695 | 991,929 | 54.5 | 51.3 | 62.3 |
| Canada. | Dollar......... 100.00 | 53,361 | 142, 517 | 153,618 | 112, 111 | 227, 107 | 445, 732 | 47.6 | 62.7 | 34.5 |
| Japan. | Yen............ 49.85 | 26,428 | 130,317 | 1,217,000 | 286,626 | 426,389 | 1,124,169 | 9.2 | 30.6 | 108.3 |
| Total(indoll verted at p |  | 1,402,858 | 1,958, 633 | 3,080,806 | 2,180,096 | 3,172,984 | 35,885,319 | 64.4 | 61.7 | 8.6 |

Reduced to dollars at par the paper circulation of the eight countries included in the table aggregated $\$ 2,180,000,000$ in 1904, $\$ 3,173,000,000$ in 1913 , and $\$ 35,786,000,000$ in 1921, while the ratio of reserves to notes fell from 64 per cent in 1904 to 62 per cent in 1913, and to 9 per cent in 1921 . While increases in circulation were universal in all the countries included and relative reductions in reserves are shown for all the countries, except Japan and Netherlands, the extent of these changes differs widely from country to country. In Great
gold held in Japan by the Government and the Bank of Japan, increased from $130,000,000$ yen to $1,217,000,000 \mathrm{yen}$, so that the ratio of reserves to notes, which was 31 per cent at the end of 1913, rose to 108 per cent in the middle of 1921.
Another summary table shows the growth in deposits of central banks and the ratio of reserves to the combined total of deposit and note liabilities of the banks and of the note liabilities of the Governments:

RATIO OF RESERVES AGAINST DEPOSITS OF CENTRAL BANKS AND NOTE CIRCULATION IN EIGHT FOREIGN COUNTRIES IN 1904, 1913, AND 1921.
[In thousands of currency.]

| Country. | Monetary unit. | Deposits of central banks. |  |  | Deposits of central banks and notes in circulation. |  |  | Ratio of reserves to combined total of deposits of central banks and notes in circulation. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1904 | 1913 | 1921 | 1904 | 1913 | 1921 | 1904 | 1913 | 1921 |
| Belgium. | Franc. | 93,374 | 117,098 | 1,094,586 | 787, 803 | 1,184, 505 | 7,204, 748 | 15.1 | 25.8 | 4.2 |
| France. | Franc. | 841,900 | 978, 700 | 2, 860, 548 | 5, 166,700 | 6,692,300 | 40, 282,635 | 72.8 | 62.1 | 9.5 |
| Germany. | Reichsmark | 580,297 | 793,120 | 20,392,708 | 2,180,081 | 3,386,565 | 104, 420,403 | 42.5 | 42.7 | 1.1 |
| Great Britain | Pound. | 53,425 | 71,344 | 147,036 | 81,629 | 100,952 | 580,476 | 36.7 | 34.6 | 27.5 |
| Italy | Lire. | 298, 125 | 329,081 | 2,395, 289 | 2,017,898 | 3, 111,661 | 22,882,212 | 41.9 | 51.7 | 5.2 |
| Netherlands | Florin. | 6,072 | 4,383 | 50,638 | 270,132 | 1317,028 | 1,042,567 | 53.3 | 50.6 | 59.3 |
| Canada. | Dollar | 514,245 | 1, 170,651 | 2,373,029 | 1226,356 | 1,397,758 | 2, 798,761 | 8.5 | 10.2 | 5. 5 |
| Japan. | Yen. | 43, 824 | 123, 163 | 1, 154, 162 | 330,450 | 549,552 | 2,308,331 | 8.0 | 23.7 | 52.7 |
| Total (in dollars converted at par) |  | 1, 174,798 | 2,044,909 | 9,762,410 | 3,354, 894 | 5,217,893 | 45,647,735 | 48.1 | 37.5 | 6.7 |

Deposits of central banks to a large extent represent the reserves of commercial banks; therefore the reserves held by the central banks against these deposits are the ultimate reserves back of the countries' credit. Material increases are shown in central bank deposits during the decade before the war for all the countries, except Netherlands, but a very much greater expansion is seen since the outbreak of the war. It should be noted that in the case of Canada the banks of issue are the chartered banks, which are not central banks but the commercial banks of the country. When the note liabilities of the banks and of the Governments are combined with the deposit liabilities of the central banks and related to the reserves held by the banks and the Governments, the resulting ratios are significant in that they show what might be called the central reserve position of the different coun-
tries. Of the European countries included, Netherlands is the only one which remained neutral in the World War, and while her notes and deposits increased rapidly, her reserves show an even larger growth, and her reserve position was stronger in 1921 than in either 1913 or 1904 . The same is true of Japan, a belligerent, but far removed from the actual scene of the conflict, which greatly stimulated her trade and industry. Of the other countries, Great Britain alone has a reserve percentage at all comparable with prewar standards, though even there a decided decline is noted. In France the ratio dropped from 62 per cent in 1913 to less than 10 per cent in 1921 ; in Italy, from 52 per cent to 5.2 per cent; in Canada, from 10 per cent to less than 6 per cent, while in Germany the reserve percentage was 43 in 1913 and dwindled to 1 per cent by the middle of the current year.

BELGIUM.
[In thousands of iranes.i

|  | Bank of Belgium. |  |  |  |  |  | Ratio of total metallio reserves to total note and deposit liabilities. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Notes incirculation. | Deposits. | Total note and deposit liabilities. | Metallic reserves. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Cold. | Silver. | Total. |  |
| Dec. 29, 1904.. | 694, 429 |  |  |  |  |  | Per cent. |
| 28, 1905. | 724, 082 | 98,616 | 822,698 |  |  | 117,621 | 14.3 |
| 27, 1906.. | 770, 423 | 95,129 | 865,552 |  |  | 124, 185 | 14.4 |
| 26, 1907. | 798, 168 | 87,574 | 885, 742 |  |  | 133,262 | 15.1 |
| 31, 19808. | 806,878 | 100, 717 | 907, 595 |  |  | 15, 163 | 17.4 |
| 29, 19010. | 845,016 904,534 | 112, 770 | 957,586 $1,009,653$ |  |  | 158,912 203,401 | 16.6 20.2 |
| 28, 1911.. | 969,764 | 133,541 | 1, 103, 305 |  |  | 246,707 | 20.2 |
| 27, 1912. | 1,034,616 | 144,588 | 1, 179, 204 |  |  | 283, 494 | 24.0 |
| June ${ }^{260}$, 191.3.. | 1,067, 107 | 117,998 | 1,184, 505 |  |  | 305,394 | 25.8 |
| June. 30, 319191. |  |  |  |  |  | 1334,982 1268999 |  |
| - $30,1915$. |  |  |  |  |  | 1268,999 1288,800 |  |
| 28, 1916. |  |  |  |  |  | 1269,144 |  |
| 27, 1917. |  |  |  |  |  | 1269,489 |  |
| July ${ }_{3}^{26,19192}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 1289,720 |  |
| July ${ }^{\text {Dee. }} 30,19192$. | $4,696,202$ 4,763 | $2,476,485$ $2,433,618$ | $7,172,687$ 7,197420 | ${ }_{266,409}^{256,041}$ | ${ }_{26,}^{28,29192}$ | 294,332 <br> 293 <br> 15 | 4.1 |
| Dee. $30,1919 .$. | $4,763,802$ $6,119,239$ | 2, <br> $1,173,518$ <br> 1 | 7,197,420 | 266,409 266,519 | 26,902 27,920 | 293,311 294,439 | 4.1 |
| June 29, 1921.. | 6, 110,162 | 1,094, 586 | 7,204, 748 | 266, 569 | 88, 809 | 305, 178 | 4.2 |

1 From the annual report of the bank for 1920, p. 13 . These amounts wero held for safekeeping in the Bank of England.
2 First statement issued by the bank after the withdrawal of the Germans,
${ }^{2}$ First statement issued by the bank after the withdrawal of the Germans,

FRANCE.
[In thousands of franes.]

|  | Bank of France. |  |  |  |  |  | Ratio of total metallic reserves to total note and deposit liabilities. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Notes in circulation. | Deposits. | Total note and deposit liabilities. | Metallic reserves. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Gold. | Silver. | Total. |  |
| Dec. 29, 1904. | 4,324, 800 | 841,900 | 5,166,700 | 2,658,600 | 1,102,200 | 3,760, 800 | Per cent. |
| Dec. 28, 1905. | 4, 565,900 | 1,106, 000 | 5,671,900 | 2,878, 400 | 1,074,900 | 3,953,300 | 69.7 |
| 27, 1906.. | 4, 714, 100 | -945, 100 | 5, 659,200 | 2,705, 700 | 1998,600 | 3, 704, 300 | 65.5 |
| 26, 1907.. | 4, 800, 600 | 747, 800 | 5, 548, 400 | 2,690,900 | 924,400 | 3,615,300 | 65.2 |
| 24, 1908.. | 4, 934, 400 | 756, 200 | 5, 690,600 | 3,488, 400 | 889,600 | 4,378,000 | 76.9 |
| 30, 1909.. | 5,323, 895 | 820, 822 | 6, 144, 717 | 3, 495, 373 | \$76,009 | 4,371,382 | 71.1 |
| 29, 1910.. | 5,260,998 | 794, 834 | 6, 055, 832 | 3,279, 424 | 826,096 | 4,105,520 | 67.8 |
| 28, $1911 .$. | 5,310,363 | 971, 068 | 6, 281, 431 | 3, 206, 449 | 804, 125 | 4, 010, 574 | 63.9 |
| 26, 1912. | 5, 584, 338 | 887, 032 | 6, 471, 370 | 3, 207, 320 | 689,215 | 3, 896, 535 | 60.2 |
| 26, 1913. | 5,713,600 | 978, 700 | 6, 692, 300 | 3, 517, 400 | 640, 100 | 4, 157, 500 | 62.1 |
| 24, 1914.. | 10,042,900 | 3, 101, 063 | 13, 143,963 | 4, 158, 461 | 355, 952 | 4,514,413 | 34.4 |
| 30, 1915.. | 13, 309, 850 | 2, 287,729 | 15,597, 579 | 5, 015, 287 | 352, 088 | 5, 367, 375 | 34.4 |
| 28, 1916. | 16,678, 818 | 2, 275, 233 | 18, 954,051 | ${ }^{1} 3,382,827$ | 294, 869 | 3,677,696 | 19.4 |
| 27, 1917. | 22, 336,799 | 3, 165, 600 | 25, 502, 399 | 13,314, 417 | 247,657 | 3,562, 074 | 14.0 |
| 26, 1918. | 30, 249, 612 | 2, 477, 881 | 32, 727, 493 | $\cdot 3,440,460$ | 318,348 | 3,758,808 | 11.5 |
| 26, 1919.. | 37, 274, 540 | 3,203,593 | 40, 478, 133 | ${ }^{1} 3,600,245$ | 268, 035 | 3,868, 280 | 9.6 |
| 30, 1920.. | 37, 901, 599 | 3, 574, 946 | 41, 476,545 | 13,551,902 | 266, 333 | 3,818, 235 | 9.2 |
| June 30, 1921.. | 37, 422, 087 | 2, 860,548 | 40, 282, 635 | 1 3, 571, 951 | 274,326 | 3,846, 277 | 9.6 |

${ }^{1}$ Exclusive of "gold held abroad," consisting mainly of gold credits. On Dec. 28, 1916, this item stood at 1,693,088,000 franes; on Dec. 27, 1917, at 2,037,108,000 franes; on Dec. 26, 1918, at 2,037,108,000 franes; on Dec. 26, 1919, at 1,078,278,000 franes; on Dec. 30, 1920, at 1,948, 367,000 francs; on June 30, 1921, at $1,948,367,000$ francs.

GERMANY.
[In thousands of marks.]

|  | Reichsbank. |  |  |  |  |  | Darlehns-kassenscheine in circulation. | Total <br> Reichsbank notes and Darlehns-kassenscheine in circulation. | Ratio of total metallie reserves to total Reichsbank notes and Darlehus-kassenscheine in circulation. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Notes in circulation. | Deposits. | Total note and deposit liabilities. | Gold. | Total metallic reserves. | Ratio of total metallic reserves to total note and deposit liabilities. |  |  |  |
| Dee. 31, 1904 | 1,599,784 | 580, 297 | 2,180,081 | 708, 814 | 927, 076 | Per cent. |  |  | Per cent. |
| 1905. | 1, 656, 679 | 630,807 | 2,287, 486 | 596,389 | 803, 580 | 35.1 |  |  |  |
| 1906. | 1,775, 898 | 652, 883 | 2,428, 781 | 481, 381 | 665, 017 | 27.4 |  |  |  |
| 1907. | 1,885, 922 | 658,502 | 2,544,424 | 497,546 | 704, 179 | 27.7 |  |  |  |
| 1908. | 1,975,390 | 656, 578 | 2,631,968 | 768, 260 | 980, 147 | 37.2 |  |  |  |
| 1909. | 2,071, 512 | 673, 624 | 2,745, 136 | 681, 056 | 915, 180 | 33.3 |  |  |  |
| 1910. | 2,072, 766 | 710,949 | 2,783,715 | 661, 037 | 924,012 | 33.2 |  |  |  |
| 1911. | 2,250, 564 | 710, 481 | 2,961, 045 | 727, 760 | 1,007, 838 | 34.0 |  |  |  |
| 1912. | 2,519,378 | 745, 969 | 3,265,347 | 776,648 | 1,037, 035 | 31. 8 |  |  |  |
| 1913. | 2, 593,445 | 793, 120 | 3,386, 565 | 1,169, 971 | 1,446, 803 | 42.7 |  |  |  |
| 1914. | 5,045, 899 | 1,756,907 | 6, 802, 806 | 2,092, 811 | 2,129, 676 | 31.3 | 445, 832 | 5,491,731 | 38.8 |
| 1915. | 6,917,922 | 2,359,012 | 9, 276,934 | 2,445, 185 | 2, 477, 258 | 26.7 | -972,166 | 7, 890,088 | 31.4 |
| 1916. | 8,054,652 | 4,564, 206 | 12,618,858 | 2,520, 473 | 2, 536,792 | 20. 1 | 2, 872, 936 | 10, 927,588 | 23.2 |
| 1917. | 11, 467,749 | $8,050,389$ 13 | 19,518, 138 | 2, 406, 586 | 2, 587, 936 | 13.3 | $6,264,514$ 10,242 | 17, 732,263 | 14.6 |
| 1918. | 22, 187, 815 | 13,280, 398 | 35, 468, 213 | 2,262, 219 | 2, 282, 167 | 6.4 | 10, 242, 230 | 32, 430, 045 | 7.0 |
| 1919. | 35, 698,369 | 17,071, 857 | 52, 770, 226 | 1,089, 499 | 1,110,019 | 2.1 | 13, 781, 200 | 49, 479,569 | 2.2 |
| 1920. | 68, 805, 008 | 22, 327, 114 | 91, 132, 122 | 1,091, 636 | 1,097, 409 | 1.2 | $12,033,300$ $8,706,600$ | $80,838.308$ | 1.4 |
| June 30, 1921. | 75, 321, 095 | 20,392, 708 | 95, 713, 803 | 1,091,563 | 1, 102, 768 | 1. 2 | 8,706,600 | 84,027,695 | 1.3 |

GREAT BRITAIN.
[In thousands of pounds.]

|  | Bank of England. |  |  |  |  | Exchequer. |  |  |  |  | Total Bank of England and currency notes in circalation. ${ }^{1}$ | Total metallic reserves | Ratio of total metallic reserves to total notes in circulation. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Notes in circulation. | Depos- its. | Total note and deposit liabilities. | Total metallic reserves. | Ratio of metallic reserves to total note and deposit liabilities. | Currency notes in circulation. | Gold. | Bank of England notes. | Total reserves. | Ratio of total reserves to notes in circulation. |  |  |  |
| Dec. 28,1904 | 28,204 | 53,425 | 81,629 | 29,927 | $\mid \text { Per cent. } \mid$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percent. |
| Dec. 27,1905. | 29, 351 | 52, 038 | 81, 389 | 28, 530 | 35.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26,1906 | 28, 795 | 50, 337 | 79, 132 | 29, 064 | 36.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26, 1907 | 29, 514 | 49,131 | 78,645 | 30, 746 | 39.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30, 1908. | 29,751 | 61, 527 | 91, 278 | 30,732 | 33.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $29,1909 .$ | 28, 858 | 60,993 | 89,851 | 32,628 | 36.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $28,1910 .$ | 28,610 | 55, 963 | 84, 573 | 31, 356 | 37.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $27,1911 .$ | 29, 193 | 61, 123 | $90,316$ | 32, 438 | 35.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $26,1912$ | 29, 200 | 67, 120 | $96,320$ | 31,300 | 32.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $31,1913 .$ | 29,608 | 71,344 | 100,952 | 34, 983 | 34.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30, 1914.. | 36, 139 | 154,988 | 191, 127 | 69,494 | 36.4 | 38, 478 | 18,500 |  | 18,500 | 48.1 | 74, 617 | 87,994 | 117.9 |
| 29, 1915. | 35, 309 | 151, 650 | 196, 959 | 51, 476 | 26.1 | 103, 125 | 28,500 |  | 28,500 | 27.6 | 138,434 | 79,976 | 57.8 |
| 27, 1916. | 39,676 | 178, 843 | 218,519 | 54,305 | 24.9 | 150, 144 | 28, 500 |  | 28,500 | 19.0 | 189, 820 | 82, 805 | 43.6 |
| 26, 1917. | 45, 944 | 166, 171 | 212,115 | 58,337 | 27.5 | 212, 782 | 28,500 |  | 28,500 | 13.4 | 258, 726 | 86, 837 | 33.6 |
| 31, 1918. | 70,307 | 172,680 | 242,987 | 79, 111 | 32.6 | 323, 241 | 28, 500 |  | 28,500 | 8.8 | 393, 548 | 107, 611 | 27.3 |
| 31, 1919. | 91, 350 | 199, 851 | 291, 201 | 91,342 | 31.4 | 356, 152 | 28,500 | 4,000 | 32,500 | 9.1 | 443, 502 | 119, 842 | 27.0 |
| 29, 1920. | 132,851 | 189, 859 | 322, 710 | 128,268 | 39.8 | 367, 626 | 28, 500 | 19,450 | 47,950 | 13.0 | 481, 027 | 156,768 | 32.6 |
| June 29, 1921. | 129, 006 | 147, 036 | 276,042 | 128,367 | 46.5 | 323, 884 | 28, 500 | 19,450 | 250,950 | 15.7 | 433, 440 | 159, 867 | 36.9 |

${ }^{1}$ Bank of England notes outstanding, less such notes held by the Bank of England and by the exchequer, plus currency notes in circulation.
2 Includes $£ 3,000,000$ of silver.
ITALY.
[In thousands of lire.]

|  | Banks of Italy, Naples, and Sicily. |  |  |  |  |  |  | Treasury notes in circulation. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gold } \\ \text { re-- } \\ \text { serves. }{ }^{2} \end{gathered}$ | Ratio of gold reserves to notes in circulation. | Total bank and Treasury notes in circulation. | Total metallic reserves. | Ratio of metallic reserves to total notes in circulation. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Notes in circulation. ${ }^{1}$ | Dcposits. | Total note and deposit liabilities. | Metallic reserves. |  |  | Ratio of metallic reserves to total note and deposit liabilitios. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Gold. | Silver. | Total. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Per cent. |  |  | Per cent. |  |  | Per cent. |
| Dec. 31, 1904 | 1,276, 921 | 298,126 | 1,575,047 | 625,937 | 96,005 | 721,942 | 45.8 | 442, 851 | 123, 101 | 27.8 | 1,719,772 | 845,043 | 49.1 |
| 1905 | 1,406, 475 | 299,361 | 1,705,836 | 805, 877 | 108,259 | 914,136 | 53.6 | $440,305$ | 120, 291 | 27.3 | 1,846, 780 | $1,034,427$ | 56.0 |
| 1906 | 1,605,280 | 287, 406 | 1, 892,686 | 928,867, | 134,566 | 1,063,433 | 56.2 | 438,447 | 118, 434 | 27.0 | 2, 043,727 | 1, 181, 867 | 57.8 |
| 1907 | 1,851,542 | 345, 492 | 2, 197, 034 | 1,126,525 | 141,056 | 1,267, 581 | 57.7 | 437,518 | 117, 278 | 26.8 | $2,289,060$ | $1,384,859$ | 60.5 |
| 1908 | 1, 862,558 | 325,655 | 2,188,213 | 1,178, 800 | 131, 842 | 1,310, 642 | 59.9 | 435,671 | 177, 678 | 40.8 | $2,298,229$ | $1,488,320$ | 64.8 |
| 1909 | 1,931, 663 | 306,256 | 2,237, 919 | 1,203,579 | 124,320 | 1,327, 899 | 59.3 | $432,925$ | 174,929 | 40.4 | $2,364,588$ | $1,502,828$ | 63.6 |
| 1910 | 2,026, 848 | 340,100 | $2,366,948$ | $1,235,977$ | 104, 554 | 1,340,531 | 56.6 | 442, 119 | 183, 661 | 41.5 | $2,468,967$ | $1,524,192$ | 61.7 |
| 1911 | 2, 193,382 | 355, 688 | 2,549,070 | 1,268,069 | 125, 779 | 1,393, 848 | 54.7 | 485, 291 | 226, 831 | 46.7 | $2,678,673$ | 1, 620,679 | 60.5 |
| 1912 | 2, 212,381 | 331, 628 | 2,544,009 | 1,286, 328 | 144,432 | 1,430, 760 | 56.2 | 498,974 | 241, 151 | 48.3 | $2,71,355$ | 1,671,911 | 61.7 |
| 1913 | 2, 283,509 | 329,081 | 2,612,590 | 1, 375, 416 | 115, 727 | 1,491, 143 | 57.1 | 499,071 | 117, 239 | 23.5 | 2, 782, 580 | 1, 608, 382 | 57.8 |
| 1914 | 2, 936,015 | 753,005 | 3,689,020 | 1,396,683 | 130, 509 | 1,527, 192 | 41.4 | $657,242$ | 156,345 | 23.8 | $3,593,257$ | 1, 683,537 | 46.9 |
| 1915 | 3, 968, 069 | 1, 012,866 | 4,980,935 | 1,364, 137 | 127, 533 | 1,491, 670 | 30.0 | 1,082,102 | 156, 345 | 14.5 | $5,050,171$ | 1, 648,015 | 32.6 |
| $1916$ | 5, 012,350 | 1, 134,012 | 6, 146,362 | $1,157,514$ | 112, 618 | $1,270,132$ | 20.7 | 1, 317, 284 | 167, 729 | 12.7 | $6,329,634$ | 1, 437,861 | 22.7 |
| $1917$ | 8, 424,997 | 1, 857,031 | 10, 282,028 | 1,071, 092 | 127, 143 | $1,198,235$ | 11.7 | 1, 2341,000 | 3 166, 724 |  | $10,265,997$ |  |  |
| 1918 | 11, 7500,263 | 2,033,669 | 13, 783,932 | 1,048, 721 | 116,838 <br> 114 <br> 00 | $1,165,559$ $1,151,400$ | 8.5 | $2,337,000$ $2,533,000$ | 164,943 34,309 |  | 14, 087, 263 |  |  |
| 1919 | 16, 1981,300 | $2,729,710$ $2,743,711$ | 19,011,010 | $1,036,700$ $1,058,870$ | 114,700 114,676 | $1,151,400$ $1,173,546$ | 6.1 5.2 | 2, 533,000 | 3 3 3 2 2 |  | 18, 814,300 |  |  |
| July 31,1921 | 17,939,923 | 2,395,289 | 20,335,212 | 1,075, 604 | 114,056 | $1,189,660$ | 5.9 | 2, 547,000 | ${ }^{3} 2,335$ |  | 20,486, 923 |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ For the years 1914 to 1921 the bank notes are classed as issued for account of commerce and for account of Government, as follows:
[In thousands of lire.]

|  | 1914 | 1915 | 1916 | 1917 | 1918 | 1919 | 1920 | 1921 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| For account of commerce. | 2,201,134 | 1, 808, 733 | 2, 458, 177 | 2,591,997 | 4, 584, 736 | 5,651, 600 | 8,988, 869 | 9,432,738 |
| For account of Government. | 734, 881 | 2,069,336 | 2, 554, 173 | 5,833,000 | 7, 165,527 | 10,629,700 | 10,742,772 | 8,507,185 |
| Total. | 2,936,015 | 3,968,069 | 5,012,350 | 8,424,997 | 11,750,263 | 16,281,300 | 19,731, 641 | 17,939,923 |

${ }^{2}$ In addition the Treasury held a certain amonnt of silver as cover for the so-called buoni di Cassa, notes fof 1 and 2 lire denominations, included in the total of Treasury note circulation shown. On April 30, 1921, the amount of silver cover (fractional curreney) for $277,012,000$ lire of these notes was $187,700,000$ lire
${ }_{3}$ By a decree of June 24, 1915, the gold reserve held by the Treasury against Treasury notes was mobilized and largely transferred to foreign banks of issue. Its place was taken by certificates of deposit and foreign Treasury certificates payable in gold. Figures showing the actual composition of the gold reserve between 1915 and 1918 are not available. Figures for 1919, 1920, and 192 I represent the ampount of gold held for account of the Bank of Naples.

NETHERLANDS.
[In thousands of florins.]

|  | Netherlands Bank. |  |  |  |  |  | Ratio of total metallic reserve to total note and deposit liabilities. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Notes in circulation. | Deposits. | Total note and deposit liabilities. | Metalic reserves. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Gold. | Silver. | Total. |  |
| Dec. 31, 1904.. | 264,060 |  | 270, 132 | 67,560 |  |  | Per cent. |
| - 30, 1905. | 287,748 | 6,276 | 294,024 | 79,260 | 73,824 | 153, 084 | 52.1 |
| 29, 1906. | 271, 284 | 6, 864 | 278, 148 | 66, 432 | 69,180 | 135, 612 | 48.8 |
| 28, 1907. | 272, 544 | 7,488 | 280, 032 | 91,788 | 54, 804 | 146,592 | 52.4 |
| 26, 19009. | 275, 808 | 6,744 5,496 | 282,552 | 101,016 120,972 | 49,320 36,468 | 150,336 157,440 | 53.2 52.2 |
| 24, 1910. | 278, 388 | 4,392 | 282, 780 | 124,248 | 24,696 | 148,944 | 52.7 |
| 30, 1911. | 312,924 | 14,664 | 327, 588 | 140, 364 | 10,848 | 151, 212 | 46.2 |
| 28, 1912. | 317,117 | 15,120 | 332,237 | 161,774 | 8,082 | 169, 856 | 51.1 |
| 27, 1913. | 312,695 | 4,333 | 317,028 | 151,490 | 9,016 | 160,506 | 50.6 |
| 24, 1914. | 473, 107 | 17,455 | 490, 562 | 208, 119 | 3,492 | 211,611 | 43.1 |
| 31, 1915. | 577.056 | 29,771 | 606,827 | 429,182 | 6,195 | 435, 377 | 71.8 |
| 30, 1916. | 758, 379 | 54,577 | ${ }_{912} 81956$ | 587, 602 | 6,985 | 594, 588 | 73.1 |
| 29, 1917. | 890, 273 | 53,632 | 943,905 | 698,233 | 7,028 | 705, 261 | 74.7 |
| 28, 1918. | 1,068,947 | 88, 478 | 1,157,425 | 689, 441 | 8,545 | 697, 986 | 60.3 |
| 27, 197192. | 1, $1,072,732$ | 102,343 85,261 | $1,135,075$ $1,157,406$ | 637,323 636,141 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 6, } \\ 21,193 \\ \hline 100\end{array}$ | 643,426 657,331 | 55 |
| June 27, 1921. | 991,929 | 50,638 | 1,042, 567 | 605,968 | 12, 331 | 618, 299 | 59.3 |

CANADA.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | Chartered banks. |  |  |  |  | Dominion notes. |  |  | Total notes in circu-lation. | $\underset{\substack{\text { Total } \\ \text { restallic }}}{\substack{\text { res. }}}$ | Ratio of total metalic to total notes in circu-lation lation. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Notesin circulation. | Deposits. | Total note and deposit liabilities. | $\underset{\text { Total }}{\text { metallic }} \begin{gathered} \text { reserves. } \end{gathered}$ | Ratio of total metallic reserves to total note and deposit liabilities. | Notesin circulation | Gold. reserves. | Ratio of gold reserves to Dominion notes. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Per cent. |  |  | Per cent. |  |  | Per ${ }_{\text {Prent. }}^{47.6}$ |
| Dec. $31,1905$. | 64,307 69,982 | 579, 9193 | 649, 175 | 17,618 | 3.0 | -50, 159 | 35,743 34,289 | 68.1 | 120, 141 | -53,939 | 44.9 |
| 1906. | 78,417 | 685, 837 | 764,254 | 23, 753 | 3.1 | 59,722 | 38,685 | 64.8 | 138,139 | 62, 438 | 45.2 |
| 1907. | 77,504 | 653,780 | 731,284 | 25, 119 | 3.4 | 63,784 | 39,686 | 62.2 | 141, 288 | 64, 805 | 45.9 |
| 1908. | 73,058 | 735, 835 | 808, 893 | 27, 099 | 3.4 | 79,430 | 61,675 | 77.7 | 152,488 | 88,774 | 58.2 |
| 1909. | 81, 326 | 877,992 | 959, 318 | 27, 457 | 2.9 | 85,783 | 69,526 | 81.1 | 167, 109 | 96, 983 | 58.0 |
| 1910. | 87,695 | -937,106 | 1, 024,801 | 33,412 | 3.3 | 91,797 | 74,789 | 81.5 | 179,492 | 108, 201 | 60.3 |
| 1911. | 102,037 | 1, 056,504 | 1, 158,541 | 37,464 | 3.2 | 104, 836 | 100, 631 | 96.0 | 206, 873 | 138,095 | 66.8 |
| 1912. | 110, 048 | 1, 1172, 016 | 1, 272, 064 | 33,780 | 2.7 | 115,688 | 104,077 | 97.0 | 225, 733 | 137, 857 | 61.1 |
| 1913. | 108,646 105,970 | $1,170,651$ $1,185,740$ | $1,279,297$ $1,291,710$ | - 27,142 | 3.1 | 118,461 | - $\begin{gathered}115,375 \\ 94\end{gathered}$ | 97.4 | 227,107 2689 | 142,517 <br> 137 <br> 182 | ${ }_{51}^{62.8}$ |
| 1915 | 122, 200 | 1, 359,612 | 1, 481, 812 | 48,793 | 3.3 | 171,694 | 120,335 | 70.1 | 293, 894 | 169,128 | 51.3 57.6 |
| 1916.. | 148, 785 | 1, 539, 409 | 1, 688, 194 | 56,466 | 3.3 | 179, 290 | 119, 598 | 66.7 | 328,075 | 176,064 | 53.7 |
| 1917. | 192,924 | 1, 856,487 | 2,049,411 | 75,029 | 3.7 | 274,064 | 120, 143 | 43.8 | 466,988 | 195, 172 | 41,8 |
| 1918. | 224, 501 | 2, 184, 333 | 2, 408, 834 | 69,427 | 2.9 | 299, 815 | 121,261 | 4 J .4 | 524,316 | 190, 688 | 36.4 |
| ${ }_{1920}^{1919 .}$ | 232,487 <br> 228 | $2,413,651$ $2,491,953$ | $2,646,138$ $2,720,712$ | 73,053 74,085 | 2.8 2.7 | 304,853 283,214 | 114,822 97,146 | 37.7 34.3 | 537,340 511,973 | 187,875 171,231 | 35.0 |
| June 30, 1921. | 195, 675 | 2, 353,029 | 2, 548,704 | 69,764 | 2.7 | 250, 057 | 83, 854 | 33.5 | 445, 732 | 153, 618 | 34.5 34.5 |

JAPAN.
[In thousands of yen.]

|  | Bank of Japan. |  |  |  |  | Gold holdings in Japan of the Bank and of the Government. | Ratio of gold holdings in Japan of the Bank and of the Government to total note and deposit liabilities of the Bank. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Notes in circulation. | Deposits. | Total note and deposit liabilities. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { reserves. } \end{gathered}$ | Ratio of total reserves to total note and deposit liabilities. |  |  |
| Dec. 31,1904.. |  |  |  |  | Pcr cent. |  | Per cent. 8.0 |
| Dec. $30,1905$. | 312,791 | 449, 108 | 761,899 | 115,595 | 15.2 | 36,765 | 4.8 |
| 29,1906.. | 341,766 | 401,500 | 743,266 | 147, 202 | 19.8 | 53,834 | 7.2 |
| 28,1907.. | 369,984 | 471,052 | 841,036 | 161,742 | 19.2 | 44,562 | 5.3 |
| 26,1908.. | ${ }_{352,734}$ | 220, 287 | 573, 021 | 169,505 | 29.6 | 61, 841 | 10.8 |
| 25, 1909. | 352,763 | 187,704 | 540,467 68848 | 217, 843 |  | 116,680 | 21.6 |
| 31, 1910. | 401,625 | 280, 823 | ${ }_{5682}{ }^{6} 448$ | 222, 382 | 32.6 |  | 19.8 |
| 30, 28.1911. | 433,399 448,922 | 133,650 97,968 | 567,058 546,890 | $\begin{array}{r}229,154 \\ 247 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 40.4 45.2 | 132,854 136,036 | 23.1 24.9 |
| 27, 1913.. | 426,389 | 123, 163 | 549, 552 | 224, 366 | 40.8 | 130,317 | 23.7 |
| 26,1914.. | 385,589 | 117, 708 | 503,297 | 218, 237 | 43.4 | 128,510 | 25.5 |
| 25,1915.. | 430, 138 | 244,973 | 675,111 | 248,418 | 36.8 | 136,785 | 20.3 |
| 29,1917. | 601,224 | 380, 201 | 981,425 | 410,519 | 41.8 | 227, 504 | 23.2 |
| 28, 291918. | - 81144,739 | -993, 5938 | $1,425,130$ $2,136,276$ | 649,618 712,925 | 45.6 33.4 | 461,346 453,000 | 32.4 21.2 |
| 27, 1919. | 1, 555,101 | 1,145,743 | 2, 700, 844 | 953, 194 | 35.3 | 702,000 | 21.2 26.0 |
| 25, 1920. | 1,439, 241 | 1,050,683 | 2,489,924 | 1,248,200 | 50.1 | 1,107,000 | 44.5 |
| June 25,1921.. | 1,124, 169 | 1,184, 162 | 2,308,331 | 1,278,547 | 55.4 | 1,217,000 | 52.7 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes "gold held abroad," which consists mainly of gold credits.

## BUSINESS AND FINANCIAL CONDITIONS ABROAD.

german reparations.

With the spectacular drop in the value of the German mark since the August 31 payment on reparations, the question how Germany is to meet the terms of the reparations agreement has again been brought very much to the fore. This drop in the value of the mark is to a large extent the outcome of the inflation policy of the German Government, and the inflation policy in turn is due, in part at least, to the terms of the reparations agreement. The leading provisions of the May agreement may be summarized as follows: ${ }^{3}$
(1) Germany agreed to pay annually to the Allies $2,000,000,000$ gold marks, plus a sum equivalent to 26 per cent of the value of German exports. It was agreed that these sums were to be paid in two series of quarterly installments-i. e., $500,000,000$ gold marks on each of the four dates, January 15, April 15, July 15, and October 15, and the export payments on February 15, May 15, August 15, and November 15. The reparations agreement does not state for how many years these payments must be made, since this depends upon the size of the export trade.
(2) These payments are to provide redemption for bonds to be delivered by Germany to the Allies to the amount of $132,000,000,000$ gold marks. Bonds to the value of $12,000,000,000$ gold marks were delivered on July 1, 1921, while the bonds for the other $120,000,000,000$ gold marks were to be delivered on November 1. So far, none of these bonds have been issued to the public, but if they are issued, Germany will be responsible for the payment of 6 per cent interest on the outstanding bonds, 5 per cent of which will go to holders of the bonds and 1 per cent to the provision of a sinking fund.

Cash payments under the terms of this agreement began with the payment of $1,000,000,000$ gold marks to the Allies by Germany on May 31,1921 . Only $150,000,000$ gold marks, however, of the total billion were paid to the commission in gold, $840,000,000$ gold marks being in the form of three-months' Treasury bills indorsed by the Reichsbank and some of the leading commercial banks, and $10,000,000$ gold marks were held in Germany at the disposal of the commission. Practically all of the cash payment was made in New York. On August 31 the $840,000,000$ gold marks three-months' Treasury bills fell due. Although by that time Germany had mobilized cash balances in New

[^1]York to this amount, the operation had had a very serious effect upon the foreign value of the mark, which declined from $\$ 0.0155$ on May 2 at New York to $\$ 0.0116$ on August 31.

Prior to the signing of the May agreement, payments had been made on reparations to the extent of something like $4,500,000,000$ gold marks. Only $93,000,000$ gold marks of this total had been paid in cash, the rest being payments in kind. Adding the billion gold marks paid in specie under the terms of the London agreement, we have a total of something over $5,500,000,000$ gold marks as having been paid on reparations, not counting the sums received from the 26 per cent tax on exports or any payments in kind since May 1, 1921. In any consideration of the total value of the bonds issued, this sum must be subtracted from the $132,000,000,000$ gold marks, leaving Germany still responsible for $126,500,000,000$ gold marks, minus the sums covered by the export tax and reparations in kind indicated above.

An announcement made October 1 by the Committee on Guarantees of the Reparations Commission states that the committee provisionally accepts the German evaluation of exports from May 1 through July 31, and that deliveries in kind already made and those to be made before the end of October, together with the taxes levied in certain allied countries upon German exports, will meet the sum due on November 15. This announcement does not give the sum due in November. Estimates of the amount have varied from $250,000,000$ to $375,000,000$ gold marks. Figures recently made public on the value of the German export trade in May, June, and July make it seem that the smaller figure is more nearly correct. If the export figures in paper marks are reduced to gold marks by applying the percentage depreciation of the mark in terms of dollars in each month to the figure for that month, it appears that total exports for the three months' period were worth $979,613,000$ gold marks, and that the sum due on reparations account in November is therefore about $255,000,000$ gold marks. According to unofficial newspaper reports, German deliveries to the Allies of coal, dyes, pharmaceutical products, and materials for reconstruction from May 1 through July 31 were valued at $150,000,000$ gold marks. According to this same report the greater part of these deliveries were made to France. Supposing that the above estimate of the November payment is correct, Germany will then have transferred to the Allies since November 11, 1918, about $5,810,000,000$ gold marks- $4,555,000,000$ gold marks in goods and cash before April 30,

1921, $1,000,000,000$ gold marks in cash before August 31, 1921, and $255,000,000$ gold marks in goods from May 1 to October 31, 1921.

During the next six months there are payments due on January 15, February 15, and April 15. (As was mentioned above, the Norember 15 payment has been met already, according to a statement of the Reparations Commission.) Coal deliveries will go toward the payment of the January, February, and April installments and the Wiesbaden agreement provides for partial payments to France in kind. There is a movement at present among the German industrial groups looking toward the creation of foreign credits on their part to be turned over to the German Government for reparations payments. But, in view of the present depreciation of German marks, it seems unlikely that large funds can be raised in foreign investment markets and that the domestic market will have to be drawn on more heavily than has been the case during the past three years.
Although the total paid on reparations amounts to only $5,800,000,000$ gold marks, or something over $\$ 1,333,000,000$, the disorganization which has resulted from the transfer of this comparatively moderate sum of money (equal to about one-third the present annual budget requirements of the American Government) has been enormous. This is mainly because Germany is not on a gold but a paper currency basis, and the payment of the equal of $5,800,000,000$ gold marks requires an amount of paper marks enormous but hard to calculate because of the shift in the value of the paper mark during the past two years. Although the terms of reparation can not be held entirely responsible for the inflation policy of the German Government, it has been one of the most important single factors in the situation. During the past year, while the United States and England and certain other industrial countries have been slowly returning to a normal gold basis of prices, the reverse has been the case with Germany, with the result that we find Germany at present enjoying great industrial activity while her creditors are suffering from severe industrial depression.

## ENGLAND. ${ }^{4}$

## BRITISH RECEIPTS ON REPARATIONS.

According to the terms of the Spa agreement, the British Empire is to receive 22 per cent of German reparations as compared with

[^2]the 52 per cent which is France's share, and 8 per cent Belgium's. By April 30, 1921, she had received payments in cash and kind approximating a value of $£ 13,000,000$, or $206,000,000$ gold marks. Most of this sum has been paid in the form of ships, as is shown by the accompanying table.

Approximate Deliveries to Great Britain, Nov. 11, 1918, to Apr. 30, 1921, Under the Terms of the Reparations Agreement.


The Treaty of Versailles provided that all German vessels of 1,600 tons and up, as well as one-half of her ships of a tonnage of 1,000 to 1,600 tons and one-fourth of her steam trawlers and fishing boats, were to be turned over to the Allies. The treaty also provided that Germany was to build merchant ships for the Allies during the next five years of not to exceed 200,000 tons a year. Although no official statistics are at hand showing the exact amount of tonnage delivered under this provision of the treaty, or the distribution among the Allies of the tonnage delivered, it is clear from the accompanying table that England received the largest proportion of German tonnage and that Germany's old fleet of merchant vessels has in large part disappeared.

Steam Tonnage (Merchant Ships of 100 Tons and Over) Owned by Principal Maritime Countries, June, 1914, 1919, 1920, and 1921.
[Lloyd's Register of Shipping. Thousands of gross tons.]

|  | 1914 | 1919 | 1920 | 1921 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United Kingdom. | 18,892 | 16,345 | 18,111 | 19,288 |
| British Dominions | 1,632 | 1,863 | 2,032 | 1,950 |
| Germany.. | 5,135 | 3,247 | 419 | 654 |
| United States | 4,330 | 11,983 | 14,574 | 12,314 |
| France. | 1,922 | 1,962 | 2,963 | 3,046 |
| Italy. | 1,430 | 1,238 | 2,118 | 2,378 |
| Japan. | 1,708 | 2,325 | 2,996 | 3,063 |
| Total (world) | 45, 404 | 47,897 | 53,905 | 54,217 |

By the middle of 1920, apparently the bulk of tonnage due to Great Britain under the terms of the treaty (with the exception of the new tonnage to be built) had been delivered by Germany, and in September, 1920, the Government began to sell the boats to British
nationals and foreigners other than Germans. By this time the shipping industry had been very seriously affected by depression and values had strikingly declined. It was decided, therefore, early in 1921 not to enforce the terms of the treaty as regards the building of new tonnage by the Germans for the Allies, and later in the spring ex-German ships began to be sold back to the Germans. The latter developmenit, however, was preceded by a period in which German shipping companies chartered a certain number of ex-German boats from their British owner's and probably participated with other foreigners in the purchase of "prize" boats. No official statistics are available as to the exact amount of German tonnage sold by the British Government, but it is estimated that the amount going back to Germany has not been very great, in fact, probably not more than 120,000 tons.

The Treasury receipts from the sale of these ships have been insignificant as compared with total Treasury receipts or with Germany's reparation obligations. By August of this year the sale of ships had brought approximately $£ 7,000,000$ into the Treasury, while the sale of dyestuffs and other reparation receipts had totaled about $£ 5,000,000$ more. By way of comparison, it may be noted that estimated British Treasury receipts for the current fiscal year amount to well over $£ 1,000,000,-$ 000 . As a matter of fact, none of this $£ 12,000,-$ 000 has been available for ordinary expenses, as about one-half of it was used to make cash advances to Germany to enable her to make coal deliveries under the terms of the treaty, and the other half to help pay for the cost of the British army of occupation on the Rhine.

It will be remembered that during the spring of this year, when the whole question of reparations was still undecided, the Allies began to apply what were known as "sanctions," or, in other words, to regulate and tax German exports. In England the German Reparations (Recovery) Act provided for the collection of a tax of up to 50 per cent on the value of goods imported from Germany if 75 per cent or more of the value of the goods was due to German manufacture. This tax was later reduced to 26 per cent and remained in effect until September 30. As in the case of the sale of ships, the actual return to the Treasury from the sanctions has been insignificant, as up to August 9 only $£ 341,000$ had been collected.

No decision has as yet been reached as to the distribution among the Allies of the first milliard gold mark specie payment completed
on August 31. It appears improbable, however, that England's share will be more than enough to help pay for the army of occupation.

## FINANCIAL POSITION OF GREAT BRITAIN.

It is apparent from the discussion above that thus far collections from reparations have been so small as scarcely to figure in British public finances. Assuming a payment of the reparations in full-i. e., $132,000,000,000$ gold marksthe share of the British Empire would be $29,000,000,000$ gold marks, which, converted into sterling at par, would amount to about $1,500,000,000$ pounds sterling. Large as it is, the sum owed to Great Britain by the Allies is considerably more important. On March 31, 1921, Great Britain was creditor of the Allies to the extent of $1,800,000,000$ pounds sterling and of the Dominions to the extent of $144,000,000$ pounds. The following table summarizes the situation:


Between March 31 and September 30 there was a reduction in this total, amounting to about $£ 23,500,000$. At the same time Great Britain is herself indebted to the United States to the extent of something over $£ 1,000,000,000$ (exchange at $\$ 4$ for $£ 1$ ), part of which debt was incurred by Great Britain on behalf of her Allies.
The serious and world-wide disorganization of trade and currency which has accompanied the transfer of $5,800,000,000$ gold marks from Germany to the Allies (only a little over $1,000,000,000$ gold marks of which was paid in
exchange and cash) gives an indication of the dangers connected with the transfer between countries of funds such as are indicated above. Payments of any magnitude, whether made in specie or kind, lead to such a serious dislocation of economic forces that there is danger that the results of the payment may be more serious than the loss of it.

Great Britain's domestic finances are not in as favorable a condition as a year ago. The budget for the year beginning April 1, 1921 , provided for total expenditures of $£ 1,040,000,000$, not taking into account the sums to be expended in connection with the decontrol of the coal and railway industries, unemployment relief, etc. At the completion of the six months' period on September 30, revenue receipts amounted to only $£ 456,000,000$, or 44 per cent of the original estimate of expenditures. Since receipts are always lighter in the firstsix months than in the second six months of the fiscal year, this would not be such a bad showing if it were not for the emergency expenditures not provided for in the budget. The failure of receipts to meet current expenditures, however, has necessitated a further increase in the floating debt. On March 31, 1921, the floating debt (consisting of Treasury bills outstanding and ways and means advances) amounted to $£ 1,275,000,000$. By September 30 it had been increased to $£ 1,321,000,000$, while a year ago September 30 it amounted to $£ 1,282,000,000$.

## EXPORT OREDITS.

Active efforts are being made by the British Government to encourage the extension of credits for foreign trade. For over two years the so-called export credit scheme has been administered with very little success, but it is now proposed that the terms of the scheme be made more attractive to borrowers. To date Government advances have been made only in case of exports to such countries as Finland, the Baltic Provinces, Poland, Czechoslovakia, Jugoslavia, Rumania, Bulgaria, and Austria. Advances in the future will be made to other countries as well, while guarantees to traders will be increased from 85 to 100 per cent of the value of the goods.

Although this and other credit schemes of the Government may serve to stimulate trade, it seems unlikely that there can be any large scale development in the foreign field until there is greater stability in foreign exchange, especially in the European currencies. This is more or less proved by the fact that Government advances to exporters to the European countries mentioned above, during the two
years between September, 1919, and September, 1921, amounted to only $£ 1,276,030$; also by the fact that the acceptances of the nine leading joint stock banks decreased by some 45 per cent between January and September, 1921.

On November 3, immediately following a reduction in Federal Reserve Bank rates, the Bank of England lowered its official rate of discount from $5 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent to 5 per cent. This rate is well above those quoted on October 25 for bank bills and Treasury bills, but is lower than the rates quoted on that date for prime trade bills.

Foreign trade during September showed a small improvement in exports, but a slight reduction in imports. Exports of iron and steel manufactures and cotton yarns and cloth showed the greatest improvement over the preceding month, while the value of coal exports fell off slightly.

## PRICES.

Indexes are not in agreement as to the trend of wholesale prices in England during recent months. The Economist index has shown slight advances in prices during August and September, but both the Statist and the Board of Trade indexes (the latter based on 159 quotations) show declines during these months. In the following table are presented the allcommodities indexes of these three organizations during the first nine months of 1921:

Wholesale Price Indexes.
[1913-100.]

|  | Board of Trade. | Statist. | Fconomist. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1921. |  |  |  |
| January | 251 | 232 | 209 |
| February | 230 | 215 | 192 |
| March... | 215 | 208 | 189 |
| April. | 209 | 199 | 183 |
| May.. | 205 | 191 | 182 |
| June.. | 202 | 183 | 179 |
| July.. | 198 | 186 | 178 |
| August. | 194 | 183 | 179 |
| September. | 191 | 176 | 183 |

Reports received from the American consul at London regarding the prices of about 90 commodities at wholesale in September show a considerably larger number of reductions than of advances in prices. In fact, the only leading lines to show consistent advances were cotton, hides and leather, flax and jute, tin and zinc, coffee and tea. Steel products, bituminous coal, leading cereals, meats, etc., all showed declines as compared with August.
The index of the cost of living decreased from 220 during August to 210 during September,
with the level in July, 1914, considered as 100. Improvement continued in employment conditions, the percentage of trade union members out of work averaging 14.8 at the end of September, as compared with 16.3 at the end of August. The percentage of unemployed among those people who are insured under the unemployment insurance act decreased from 13.15 at the end of August to 12.2 at the end of September. There were $1,405,000$ people registered at employment offices on September 30, as compared with $1,573,000$ on August 26.

Production in leading industries continues to be considerably curtailed. There is even less work going forward in the shipbuilding industry than in June, coal production has not increased over the August average, and iron and steel production continues low.

Volume of British Commodity Production, Ship Tonnage Under Construction, and Tonnage Moved on British Railways.

|  | Production (long tons, 000 omitted). |  |  | Ship tonnage under construction (gross tons). | British railways (net tonmiles, omitted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Coal. | Pig iron. | Steel ingots and castings. |  |  |
| Monthly average: 1913 | 23,957 | 855 | 639 | 22,002,699 |  |
| 1920... | 19,128 | 667 | 755 | $23,603,131$ |  |
| August.. | 16,970 | 752 | 709 |  | 1,555 |
| September........ | 18,885 | 741 | 885 | 3,731,000 | 1,556 |
| October. | ${ }^{8} 14,044$ | 533 | 544 |  | 944 |
| November. | 15,920 | 403 | 505 |  | 1,469 |
| December | 20,230 | 683 | 747 | 3,709,000 | 1,323 |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |
| January ......... | 21, 805 | 642 | 493 |  | 1,440 |
| February........ | $\begin{array}{r}17,369 \\ 163 \\ \hline 18\end{array}$ | 464 <br> 386 | 481 859 |  | 1,276 |
| March............ | 16,437 $\mathbf{5 1 , 9 5 0}$ | 386 60 | ${ }_{71}$ | 4, 799,00 | $\begin{array}{r}1,597 \\ \hline 59\end{array}$ |
| May.. |  | 14 | 6 |  | 498 |
| June. | ${ }^{6} 179$ | 1 | 1 | ${ }^{7} 3,530,000$ | 520 |
| July............. | ${ }^{3} 15,214$ | 10 | 117 |  | 1,088 |
| August ${ }_{\text {September }}$ | 16,594 16,517 | 94 158 | 434 429 | $83,283,000$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Revised figures.
: Average of 4 quarterly estimates.
: 5 weeks.
${ }_{5}$ Work suspended on all but $2,952,000$ tons.
${ }^{5}$ First week in April.
${ }^{-}$Production from Apr. 4 to July 4.
7 Work suspended on all but $2,351,000$ tons.
${ }^{8}$ Includes 731,000 tons on which work has been suspended and 457,000 tons delayed in completion.

## FRANCE. ${ }^{1}$

## PUBLIC FINANCE.

The progress of French war finance up to December, 1920, was outlined in the February, 1921, issue of this Bulletin. French public finance is still very truly "war finance." Although the war has been over officially for two years, the French Government still carries

[^3]three war burdens-the interest upon the debt accumulated during the war, the expense of the reconstruction of the devastated regions, and the expense of a large standing army.

During the first half of 1921 the total debt rose from $245,000,000,000$ francs to 264,000 ,000,000 francs, calculating in both instances the foreign debt at par. This increase is accounted for by the loan floated in the United States, the issue of two-year Treasury bills at home, and the increase in the number of threemonths, six-months, and one-year Treasury bills outstanding. Besides these loans floated by the State itself, there are five loans not included in the above statement of the public debt for which the Government has assumed the responsibility. These loans were floated by the cities and industries of the devastated regions during the spring and summer of 1921, and the Government has guaranteed their interest and repayment. They are summarized in the following table:

| Loans Floated for the Benefit of Devastated Regions. <br> [In millions of francs.] |
| :---: |
| By the coal operators of the Nord and Pas de Calais <br> districts................................................. 1,200 |
| By the city of Albert. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 25 |
| By the city of Verdun. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 55 |
| By the city of Rheims........ .-. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 120 |
| By the French sugar industry in reconstruction.... 200 |
| Total........................ . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1,600 |

The State will also be responsible for the interest and repayment of two loans floated in October; the loan of the Credit National for $3,000,000,000$ francs, and that of the Alsatian industries in reconstruction for $85,000,000$ francs.

The relations of the Government to the Bank of France have not changed greatly during 1921. Early in the year the State reduced its debt to the central bank by about $1,000,000,000$ francs (from $26,600,000,000$ francs to 25,600 ,000,000 francs), but since that time the advances of the bank to the State have fluctuated, rising as high as $26,750,000,000$ francs in May and falling to $24,900,000,000$ francs in August and September. On October 20 these advances had risen again to $25,300,000,000$ francs. Meanwhile the circulation of the Bank of France has also fluctuated within narrow limits. It rose to $38,833,000,000$ francs in May and fell to $36,920,000,000$ francs in September, standing at $37,407,000,000$ francs on October 20. Because of the difficulties attending the repayment of the public debt in a period of falling prices, there are several prominent men in France who are in favor of stabil-
izing the value of the franc at its present level. The Government, however, seems to be in favor of returning to prewar values as rapidly as it is practicable to do so.

The budget for 1922 has not yet been decided upon. On October 5 the Commission of Finance of the Chamber of Deputies returned to the Government the budget submitted by the Finance Minister on July 8, with the request that further economies be instituted and that the total expenditures for 1922 be reduced to an amount equal to the receipts expected during that year from the taxes now in force. As the budget for 1922 was submitted to the Finance Commission it contemplated the expenditure of almost $25,000,000,000$ francs, divided as follows:

Of the suggestions which have been made for reducing public expenditures, the two most prominently urged are those in regard to the reduction of the army and the abolition of cost-of-living bonuses for public employees. Tho French Government and the French public, in general, feel that a reduction of the army is impractical until France has received further guarantees that the reparations agreement will be fulfilled.

There is much difference of opinion about the question of cost-of-living bonuses. The reporter general for the budget, M. Bokanowski, has assembled the following figures showing the amount of money which is being and which is to be spent by the Government for salaries and wages:

French Government Employees.

| Date. | Number. | Total cost in francs. | Cost per person. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| July 1, 1914 | 543,271 | 1,154,418,145 | 2,125 |
| Jan. 1, 1921. | 732, 926 | 4,905,264, 634 | 6,692 |
| Jan. 1, 1922. | 690,938 | 4,617,903,666 | 6,684 |

It is evident from these figures that the amount paid per employee has increased about
3.15 times since 1914. This increase corresponds very closely with the increase in the cost of living in France during the same period, an increase which has been, according to the French General Statistical Office, about 3.29 times. This correspondence between the increase in Government salaries and in cost of living has been pointed out by the French press, and no definite steps have yet been taken toward a reduction of the rate of Government pay.

The largest item in the current budget is that of the interest on the public debt, which amounts to $1,042,000,000$ francs more than it did last year, because of the loan floated in the United States, the increase in the floating debt, and the issue of the two-year Treasury bonds in the spring of 1921.

Interest and amortization for the bonds of the Credit National and the bonds of industrial groups and municipalities in the devastated regions are not included in the ordinary, but in the recoverable budget, which is to be met in large part by loans floated by the Credit National. Interest and amortization charges for these loans will amount to $857,000,000$ francs, in addition to the $12,526,000,000$ francs allowed for interest on other loans in the ordinary budget. Total expenditures included in the recoverable budget will amount, according to the Government, to $7,158,620,742$ francs. Of this sum over $1,000,000,000$ francs is to go directly toward the reconstruction of the devasted regions. Whether it will be possible to reduce this sum if the terms of the Wiesbaden agreement are fulfilled has not been announced.

When the Finance Minister presented his outline of the 1922 budget to the Finance Commission of the Chamber of Deputies in July, he estimated that the Government would receive next year from taxes already in force, $22,450,980,807$ francs. The most important of these taxes are as follows:

Estimate of French Tax Receipts, 1922.

## [In millions of francs.]



The most important item included in "exceptional resources" is the extraordinary tax on war profits, which is expected to yield $2,500,000,000$ francs during the year.
It will be noted that there is a difference of $2,481,000,000$ francs between the contemplated expenditures and the tax receipts expected in 1922. That gap the Finance Minister proposed to fill by increasing the rate of certain taxes already in force, notably the tax on total business turnover. This the Finance Commission has decided not to do, and the budget plan once more returns to the Government.
The whole fiscal situation in France has been rendered difficult this year, because receipts from indirect taxes and Government monopolies have failed to fulfill budget estimates. The deficit in the first nine months of the year amounted to $856,000,000$ francs in spite of the fact that receipts were $1,178,000,000$ francs larger than in the corresponding period of last year.
The most important of the taxes that have failed to come up to budget estimates are the tax on total business turnover, which brought in during the first nine months of this year almost $800,000,000$ francs less than the estimated yield, and customs duties which have fallen about $700,000,000$ francs behind estimates. On the other hand, several taxes have exceeded budget estimates, thus reducing the total deficit to only a little more than $860,000,000$ francs. There are also large arrears in the payment of income taxes. Of the $2,541,000,000$ francs due on income taxes in 1920 and 1921, only $1,228,000,000$ francs have been paid.

## INDUSTRIAL SITUATION.

The reason for the failure of French taxes to come up to the expectations of the Government are obviously closely connected with the decline in industrial activity in France this year. There are signs, however, of increasing businese in France. Trade figures for September, recently received in this country, show a marked increase in imports of raw materials. Total imports for September weighed 4,092,000 metric tons, as compared with $2,593,000$ tons in August. The increase in exports was not so rapid, however, and the result is an excess of imports over exports amounting for the month to about 450,000 francs and for the year, thus far, to about 230,000 francs.

Prices in general rose in France during September. The index number of the French General Statistical Office shows an increase of nine points. The movement was irregular, however. Prices of grain fell, because of the excellence of this year's crop. The most conspicuous increase occurred in the case of textiles.

The strike in the textile mills of the north continued throughout the month of September, but in October settlements had been effected in many mills. The number of unemployed receiving State or municipal aid declined during September, however, the total at the end of the month being 20,830 , as compared with 27,634 at the end of August.
The following table summarizes the various indexes available on French business conditions:

Frence Business Indexes.

|  | Coal. |  |  | Raw cotton imported for con-sumption. | Cotton stocks Havre. ${ }^{1}$ | Raw silk imported for con-sump- | Total imports. | Total exports. | Number of unemployed receiving State or municipal aid. ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Produced. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Stocks } \\ & \text { at } \\ & \text { mines. } 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Imported } \\ \text { for } \\ \text { consump- } \\ \text { tion. } \end{array}\right\|$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Thousands of metric tons. |  |  | Metric tons. | Thousands of bales of 50 kilograms. | Metric tons. | Thou- sands of metric tons. | Thousands of metric tons. |  |
| 1913, average. | $\begin{aligned} & 3,338 \\ & 2,025 \end{aligned}$ | $\ldots 279$ | 2, ${ }_{2}^{1,558}$ | 27,428$\mathbf{1 9}, 576$ | $\begin{aligned} & 274 \\ & 225 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 629 \\ & 399 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,685 \\ & 4,245 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,840 \\ & 1,039 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }^{3} 39,522$ |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January... | $\begin{aligned} & 2,352 \\ & 2,137 \\ & 2.255 \end{aligned}$ | 879 | 1,738 <br> 1,177 <br> 17 | 26,393 <br> 14,199 <br> 1039 | 200196185 | 1619773 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 3,702 } \\ \text { 2,579 } \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 1,1171,414 | 71,77489,28988,382 |
| February. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| March...... | 2,258 | $\cdots \cdots$ | 1, 1,066 | 10,323 12,696 | 185 | 251 | 2, 2,652 | 1,154 | 75,569 |
| May... | 2, 108 | 1,3631,190 | 731 | $\begin{array}{r} 7,709 \\ 14,014 \end{array}$ | 169 | 161 | 2, 047 | 1, 1605 | $\begin{array}{r}65,362 \\ \hline 139\end{array}$ |
| June... | 1,762 |  |  |  |  | 174 |  |  |  |
| July.. | $\stackrel{2}{2} 280$ | 1,353 | 1,064 |  | 131 |  | 2,164 2,593 | 1,194 | $\begin{array}{r}137,226 \\ 127 \\ \hline 12034\end{array}$ |
| August | 2,403 |  |  |  | 132 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2,593 \\ & 4,092 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,035 \\ & 1,172 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 127,634 \\ 420,408 \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^4]
## GERMANY. ${ }^{1}$

## REPARATIONS.

Up to April 30, 1921, Germany had made payments on reparations account amounting to $4,554,792,000$ gold marks, divided as follows:

German Payments on Reparations Account from Nov. 11, 1918, to Apr. 30, 1921.
[In thousands of gold marks.]

There has been no detailed announcement of the value of the commodities which have been delivered since May 1, 1921. According to the announcement of the Reparations Commission, mentioned on page 1301, however, by November 15 Germany will have delivered goods equivalent to 26 per cent of the value of her exports in May, June, and July of this year. Further cash payments on reparations account will thus have been postponed until January 15, 1922, when a quarterly payment of $500,000,000$ gold marks will be due. If the terms of the Wiesbaden agreement are carried out, it seems likely that a large part of that payment will also be made in the form of goods. This agreement was concluded early in October by the French and German Ministers for Reconstruction. It provides that Germany shall supply France with goods up to a value of $7,000,000,000$ gold marks during the next $4 \frac{1}{3}$ years. A syndicate of German producers is to be formed which will receive the orders placed by groups in the French devastated districts. The amount delivered by Germany will depend upon the wishes of the French, as the agreement does
${ }^{1}$ German price, trade, and financial statistics will be found on pp.
$1341,1343,1345,1346,1376$.
not require them to order goods from Germany. Up to 1926 the Germans will be credited on the books of the Reparations Commission with at least 35 per cent of the goods delivered each year, provided that the amount to be credited in any one year does not exceed $1,000,000,000$ gold marks. From 1926 to 1936 the amount credited each year is not to exceed the amount of the reparations payment due to France. If the deliveries have not been entirely compensated by credits on reparations account by May 1, 1936, the balance is to be paid in four halfyearly installments. France is to pay interest at the rate of 5 per cent on balances not credited on reparations account. These deliveries of goods for the payment of the reparations debt will simplify greatly the task before the leaders of Germany. They will not alter the fact, however, that a revision of the basis of German finances is essential. They reduce the number of foreign credits to be purchased by the German Government, but they can not do away with the necessity for paying German producers for the goods sent to the Allies. Until the Government has some way of reimbursing these producers except by discounting Treasury bills with the Reichsbank, the currency situation in Germany can not improve.

## PUBLIC FINANCE.

The latest figures available on the total outstanding public debt in Germany are those for May 31, 1921, which place the total debt on that date (excluding the debt on reparations account) at $277,479,000,000$ marks. This debt has been built up in two ways. During the war the Government depended for its supply of credit in large measure upon long-term loans floated at home. Unlike the allied belligerents, the German Government bought relatively little abroad, and it was therefore not obliged to borrow much abroad. In the nine loans which were floated in Germany during the war, $97,668,-$ 000,000 marks were subscribed. The following table summarizes the general characteristics of those loans:

German War Loans.

| Date. | Interest rate (per cent). | Sale price. |  |  | Number of subscribers (in thousands). | Amount (in millions of marks). ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Treasury certificates. | Registered bonds. | Ordinary bonds. |  | Treasury certificates. | Registered bonds. | Ordinary bonds. | Total. |
| (1) Sept., 1914. | 5 | 97.50 | 97.30 | 97.50 | 1,177 | 1, 339 | 1,199 | 1,922 | 4,460 |
| (2) Feb-Mar., 1915 | 5 | 98.50 | 98.30 | 98.50 | 2,691 | 775 | 1,675 | 6,610 | 9,060 |
| (3) Sept., 1915. | 5 |  | 98.80 |  | 3,966 |  | 2,169 | 9,932 | 12, 101 |
| (4) Mar., 1916. | 25 | 95.00 | 98.30 | 98.50 | 5,280 | 1,569 | 2,023 | 7,120 | 10,712 |
| (5) Sept.-Oct., 1916. | 25 | 95.00 | 97.80 | 98.00 | 3,810 | 1,073 | 2,181 | 7,398 | 10, 652 |
| (6) Mar.-Apr., 1917. | 25 | 98.00 | 97.80 | 98.00 | 7,063 | 1,364 | 2,575 | 9,183 | 13, 122 |
| (7) Sept., 1917. | 25 | 98.00 | 97.80 | 98.00 | 5,530 | 1,301 | 2,502 | 8,655 | 12, 458 |
| (8) Mar.-Apr., 1918. | 25 | 98.00 | 97.80 | 98.00 | 6,870 | 1,464 | 2,840 | 10,457 | 14, 766 |
| (9) Sept.-Nov., 1918. | 25 | 98.00 | 97.80 | 98.00 | 2,742 |  |  |  | 10, 337 |

[^5]The 5 per cent Treasury certificates of the first two loans matured from 1918 to 1922; the $4 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent Treasury certificates of the fourth and fifth loans were issued in 10 series, maturing from 1923-1932; those of the last four loans were redeemable by drawings after 1918 at 110. They will mature in 1967. These last Treasury certificates have elaborate conversion privileges. The 5 per cent loans are of the perpetual type, not to be converted before 1924. The registered bonds were sold at a lower price than the ordinary bonds, because buyers agreed not to part with them until a year after their purchase. During the latter part of the war conversions of certificates purchased in the earlier loans were allowed, and a part of the debt was redeemed with the proceeds of the war profits tax. As a result, on December 31, 1918, the nominal value of the bonds outstanding was as follows:

|  | Marks. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 5 per cent bonds. | 77, 780, 400, 000 |
| 5 per cent Treasury certificates | 2,320,300, 000 |
| $4 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent Treasury certificates | 8,887, 400, 000 |
| Total. | 88, 988, 100,000 |

Subscriptions to the Government war loans were greatly facilitated by loans made on collateral by the Darlehenskassen (war loan banks) and the number of loan bank notes in circulation in December, 1918, amounted to 10,242,000,000 marks.

The Government expense not covered by taxation had far exceeded the amount subscribed to these loans, however, and the floating debt at the end of 1918 amounted to 55,060 ,000,000 marks, while the prewar bonds still outstanding brought the total German public debt at that date up to $148,780,000,000$ marks.

Exactly how much of the floating debt in 1918 consisted of Treasury bills held by the Reichsbank it is not possible to say, since the Reichsbank at that time published in a single figure the amount of commercial bills and Treasury bills discounted. It is certain, however, that the number of Treasury bills held by the Reichsbank has greatly increased since 1918. There has been no funding loan in Germany since the ninth war loan, which closed only a few days before the revolution. Meanwhile Government expenditures have constantly exceeded Government receipts and the Finance Ministry has resorted to the expedient of issuing Treasury bills for the Reichsbank to discount. The following tables sets forth the relation between the funded debt and the amount of Treasury bills outstanding on October 30 of each year since 1914, as compared with the situation on May 31, 1921 :

| German Pubiac Debt. <br> [In millions of marks.] |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Date. | Funded debt. | Discounted Treasury bills. ${ }^{1}$ |
| Oct. 30, 1914. |  | 5,200 | 2,700 |
| Oct. 30, 1915. |  | 17,700 | 8,600 |
| Oct. 30, 1916. |  | 40,400 | 11,500 |
| Oct. 30, 1917. |  | 62, 100 | 26,090 |
| Oct. 30, 1918. |  | 85, 600 | 47, 900 |
| Oct. 30, 1919. |  | 90,500 | 80, 400 |
| Oct. 30, 1920. |  | 85,900 | 138, 100 |
| May 31, 1921. |  | 78,345 | 176,643 |

${ }^{1}$ These figures do not include the total floating debt, which amounted on May 31, 1921, to $199,134,000,000$ marks.

The recent decrease in the funded debt shown by the above table is the result of the use of war loans in paying the "emergency levy." The effect of discounting Treasury bills with the Reichsbank may be seen in the following table, which gives Reichsbank holdings of Treasury and commercial bills and the Reichsbank note circulation since the beginning of the war:

Reiclesbank Struation.
[In millions of marks.]

|  | Holdings of Treasury bills. | Commercial bills. | Note circulation. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June 30, 1914. |  | 213 | 2,407 |
| Dec. 31,1914. |  | 937 | 5,046 |
| Dec. 31, 1915. |  | 803 | 6,918 |
| Dec. 31, 1916. |  | 610 | 8,055 |
| Dec. 31, 1917. |  | 596 | 11, 468 |
| Dec. 31, 1918. |  | 416 | 22, 188 |
| Dec. 31, 1919. |  | 745 | 35,698 |
| Dec. 31, 1920. |  | 634 | 68,805 |
| June 30, 1921. | 79,608 | 1,565 | 75, 321 |
| Sept. 30, 1921. | 98,422 | 1,142 | 86,384 |

Although nofigures were available before May, 1921, on the exact number of Treasury bills held by the Reichsbank, it may be assumed that a large proportion of the amounts published as discounted Treasury and commercial bills were Treasury bills, since such a large part of the business of the country has been in the hands of the State since 1914.

It is evident that the continuous placing of Treasury bills with the Reichsbank and the consequent increase in the note circulation of the bank increases prices and therefore Government expenses, and makes the planning of a budget a very difficult matter. The recent increase in prices in Germany will necessitate an entire revision of the Chancellor's budget plan for this year. The difficulties of the situation are well illustrated by a comparison of German tax receipts for this year with the receipts anticipated when the budget was planned. While
tax receipts in other countries are falling below budget estimates because of the decline in prices and the industrial depression, the situation is exactly the reverse in Germany, where tax receipts for the first five months of the fiscal year ending March 31, 1922, are more than onehalf the anticipated yield for the entire yearthat is, the budget estimated that taxes at present in force would bring in $39,116,000,000$ paper marks during the entire year 1921-22, while from April 1, 1921, through August, 1921, they had already brought in $27,974,000,000$ marks.

The financial situation in Germany has now been reduced to one of complete uncertainty by the reorganization of the Government. The Chancellor, who was at the same time Finance Minister, was to have put his completed plans for increasing taxes to meet not only ordinary Government expenses but also payments on reparations account, before the Reichstag in November. The partition of Upper Silesia decided upon by the League of Nations was such, however, that these plans have had to be changed.

## THE UPPER SILESIȦN DECISION.

According to the decision of the League of Nations Council, to which the Upper Silesian question had been referred, the boundary line between Germany and Poland will divide the disputed industrial district approximately in half, assigning Gleiwitz, Zabrze, and the city of Beuthen to Germany, and Koenigshütte,Beuthen County, Kattowitz City, and Kattowitz County to Poland. For 15 years the industrial district is to be administered as an economic unit. During that period raw materials are to pass freely over the border line and Poland is to supply Germany with a proportion of the product of the iron mines, and Germany is to supply Poland with a proportion of the product of the coal mines of the district. The German mark is designated as the monetary standard of the area for not more than 15 years. A mixed commission of Germans and Poles and a member from the League of Nations is to put this decision of the league into effect.

## INDUSTRLAL SITUATION IN GERMANY.

Official trade figures are now available to confirm the reports that German export trade increased during the spring and early summer. For the first time official figures giving the value of the import trade are published with those for the export trade.

The revival of foreign trade will be facilitated by the fact that the economic "sanctions" were raised on September 30. The issuing of import
and export licenses for the occupied area will still be supervised by an allied commission for the purpose of preventing discrimination against certain countries, but most of the difficulties arising from the economic "sanctions" have now disappeared.

German Foreign Trade, 1921, Excluding Deliveries on Reparations Account. ${ }^{1}$

${ }^{1}$ Trade reports for January, February, March, and April, 1921, have not been published.
${ }_{2}$ Converted to gold marks by applying the depreciation of the mark in terms of dollars in each month, to the figure in paper marks for that month.
${ }^{3}$ Subject to revision.
Figures now available regarding the amount of unemployment in Germany during the summer show that the fall in the exchange value of the mark, combined with the activity usual at that time of year, increased the demand for labor. In August there was a distinct decline over the previous month in the number of unemployed receiving State aid, in the percentage of trade-union members unemployed, and in the number of applicants at public employment agencies as compared with the number of available positions. In September the number of unemployed receiving aid from the State again decreased. Other figures on the situation in September are not yet available. The following table summarizes the unemployment situation in Germany during the present year:

Unemployment in Germany.

|  | Number of unemployed receiving Stato sid. | Trade-unions. |  | Number of applicants at public employ ment agenctos for every 100 available positions. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number of members unemployed. | Per cent ofmembers unemployed. |  |
| 1913, average. |  |  | 2.9 |  |
| 1920, average. | 366, 241 | 196,251 | 3.8 | 167 |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |
| January... | 410,238 | 257, 550 | 4.5 | 210 |
| February . . | 423,164 | 267,517 | 4.7 | 206 |
| March.... | 426,600 | 212,276 | 3.7 | 188 |
| April.... | 413,321 | 217,307 | 3.9 | 189 |
| May ... | 394, 262 | 214,073 | 3.7 | 175 |
| June. | 357,352 | 177,913 | 3. 0 | 169 |
| July... | 314, 319 | 143,375 | 2.5 | 151 |
| August | 268,508 | 123,689 | 2.2 | 124 |
| September. | 232,369 |  |  |  |

The month of October witnessed a further decline in the foreign exchange value of the mark. The average quotation of the mark in New York for the month was 0.68 cent, and the low for the month ( 0.54 cent) was reached on October 17.

The rise of prices in Germany, which was the logical result of the decline in the foreign exchange value of the mark, is reflected in the movement of the Frankfurter Zeitung's wholesale price index number, which rose from 1,993 on October 1 to 2,687 on November 6.

In spite of the magnitude of changes in wholesale prices, speculation in commodities has not been as great as speculation on the Bourse. The Frankfurter Zeitung index number of securities prices on the Bourse shows that prices of 15 bonds and 25 stocks had risen 196 per cent since January 1, 1920.

The following table gives the group indexes of the Frankfurter Zeitung as they are originally compiled, using January 1,1920 , as 100 . On May 1, 1920, the index reached its high point last year, and on June 4 this year the index reached its lowest point.

Group Indexes of the Frankfurter Zeitung.
[January $1,1920=100$.]


## RULINGS OF THE FEDERAL RESERVE BOARD.

Notes of packing and marketing corporations and associations are commercial not agricultural paper.
After having the matter under consideration for a considerable time, the Board recently ruled that notes of corporations or associations engaged in packing and marketing citrus fruits should not be classed as agricultural paper eligible for discount with maturities up to six months, but should be classed as commercial paper which may be eligible with maturities not in excess of 90 days.

Paragraph VI of the Board's Regulation A, Series of 1920 , defines six months' agricultural paper as "a note, draft, bill of exchange, or trade acceptance the proceeds of which have been used, or are to be used, for agricultural purposes, including the breeding, raising, fattening, or marketing of live stock, and which has a maturity at the time of discount of not more than six months, exclusive of days of grace." This definition is based upon the terms of section 13 of the Federal Reserve Act, and is intended to be merely an amplification of the description of this class of paper contained in that section. It is clear, under the provisions of the law and the regulations, that a note of a farmer should be classed as agricultural paper if the proceeds have been used by the farmer to finance the production of his crop, or the packing or marketing of his crop. So, also, a farmer's note given to a third party in payment for services or supplies furnished to the farmer for current agricultural uses should be classed as agricultural paper.

Where the packing or marketing of the crop is done by a party other than the farmer, and the question is as to the classification of the note of that other party, the situation is somewhat different. The corporations and associations in question are organized for the purpose of packing and marketing citrus fruits, and the Board understands that they borrow money on their own notes to obtain funds with which to pay their current expenses, such as the payment of wages and the purchase of supplies, in connection with their business of packing and marketing the fruit delivered to them by the growers. There is no doubt that such notes are eligible for discount by Federal Reserve Banks, provided, of course, that they comply in ali respects with the provisions of the law and the regulations of the Federal Reserve Board; but after very careful consideration of the matter the Board feels compelled to rule that they must be classed as commercial rather than agricultural paper, and consequently that they can not be eligible except within 90 days of maturity,

The business of these corporations and associations in performing services in connection with the packing and marketing of the fruits produced by the growers must be regarded as a commercial business rather than an agricultural business. The fact that the corporations and associations deal exclusively or for the most part with growers does not make their business agricultural any more than the fact that the customers of a country merchant are all farmers makes the business of that merchant an agricultural one. In this connection it is appropriate to call attention to the fact that the Federal Reserve Board has consistently ruled that the business of purchasing and selling agricultural commodities is a "commercial" rather than an "agricultural" business within the meaning of these terms as they are used in the Federal Reserve Act, and consequently that the note of a dealer in agricultural commodities can not be eligible as agricultural paper. Similarly, the business of a corporation or association which is engaged in performing services in connection with packing and marketing agricultural products grown by others is a commercial rather than agricultural business, and a note of such a corporation or association the proceeds of which are used in the operation of this business can not be eligible as six months' agricultural paper, although it may be eligible as 90 -day commercial paper.

It is the Board's understanding that the corporations and associations in question desire to use the proceeds of their notes for current purposes in connection with their packing and marketing business, such as the payment of wages and the purchase of current supplies. If other uses should be contemplated, such as the making of advances to customers, the eligibility of the notes would involve other considerations.

## Acceptances against domestic shipping documents.

The Board recently considered the eligibility for discount by Federal Reserve Banks of certain bankers' acceptances, drawn by a packer, under a letter of credit issued at the request of a tanner, who is the accepting bank's customer, against hides shipped by the packer to the tanner. Under the terms of the contract between the tanner and the packer, the tanner is to process the hides and market them for an agreed compensation, accounting to the packer for the balance of the proceeds. It appears also that the periods for which the acceptances are drawn are in excess of the time required for
the shipment of the hides and are long enough to cover either in whole or in part the time required for the tanning process. Both the packer and the tanner are located in the United States, and no mention is made of any contract for the exportation of the hides, so the acceptances must be regarded as growing out of domestic rather than foreign transactions.

The Board's rulings, published on pages 66 and 1301 of the Federal Reserve Bulletin for 1920, stated that it is an abuse of the domestic acceptance privilege for a bank to issue acceptances for the purpose of furnishing to its customer additional working capital during the period required for manufacturing or processing the goods upon which the acceptances are based. In the cases discussed in those rulings the goods against which the acceptances were drawn had been purchased by the accepting bank's customer, whereas in the instant case the goods are consigned to the accepting bank's customer, the tanner. The Board is of the opinion that the acceptances in the present case are subject to the same objection as the acceptances considered in the former rulings, namely, that they are drawn for the purpose of furnishing the bank's customer with additional working capital during the period required for manufacturing or processing the goods against which they are drawn. For this reason the Board believes that the acceptances in question should not be regarded favorably by Federal Reserve Banks.

## Security for acceptance credits.

Upon the following statement of facts the Federal Reserve Board was recently asked to rule whether the trust receipts in question are eligible as substituted security for acceptance credits extended to a customer in excess of 10 per cent of the accepting bank's capital and surplus.

A bank grants an acceptance credit to a customer to finance a transaction involving the domestic shipment of goods, and pursuant to the credit accepts drafts drawn by that customer in an amount in excess of 10 per cent of the bank's capital and surplus, the bank receiving from the customer and holding at the time of acceptance order railroad bills of lading covering the commodities which are the basis of the credit. In order that the cars containing the commodities may be diverted to the warehouse in which the commodities are to be stored, it is necessary to surrender the bills of lading to the carrier, and when the proper time arrives the bank proposes to hand the bills of lading to the customer, taking in return a trust receipt
providing that the customer receives the bills of lading in trust and as agent for the bank and for the sole purpose of diverting the cars to the warehouse. This trust receipt will also recite that attached to it is a copy of the customer's instructions to the railroad requiring diversion of the cars to the warehouse, and also a copy of the customer's instructions to the warehouse company to the effect that the warehouse receipts when issued be sent direct to the bank. Under these circumstances will the accepting bank, during the six or seven day interval between the time it releases the bills of lading to the customer and the time it receives the warehouse receipts, be properly secured as required by the provisions of the Federal Reserve Act and the Board's regulations made pursuant thereto?

The fourth paragraph of section 13 of the Federal Reserve Act provides that a Federal Reserve Bank may discount acceptances of the kinds thereinafter described which have a maturity at the time of discount of not more than three months' sight, exclusive of days of grace, and which are indorsed by at least one member bank. The following paragraph provides in part that-
Any member bank may accept drafts or bills of exchange drawn upon it * * * which grow out of transactions involving the domestic shipment of goods provided shipping documents conveying or securing title are attached at the time of acceptance: or which are secured at the time of acceptance by a warehouse receipt or other such document conveying or securing title covering readily marketable staples. No member bank shall accept * * * ior any one person, company, firm, or corperation to an amount equal at any time in the aggregate to more than 10 per centum of its paid-up and unimpaired capital stock and surplus, unless the bank is secured either by attached documents or by some other actual security growing out of the same transactions as the acceptance;

The sentence last quoted is the important one for the purposes of this inquiry, and the Board has construed this provision to mean that if the accepting bank has acceptances outstanding for any one customer in excess of 10 per cent of the bank's capital and surplus, it must at all times hold as security for such excess the original documents deposited with it at the time of acceptance or some other actual security growing out of the same transaction as the acceptance. The Board has also ruled that a trust receipt which permits the customer to obtain control of the goods covered by the original documents does not constitute actual security within the meaning of the law, and that an accepting bank is not secured within the meaning of the provision if the documents constituting the security are held by the customer for the acceptor's account.

In the case presented the customer would have access to and control over the goods after he had received the order bills of lading and had given the accepting bank the trust receipt, because the mere possession of the negotiable bills of lading would actually give the customer such access and control irrespective of the terms of the trust instrument. The Federal Reserve Board is of the opinion, therefore, that the accepting bank would not be secured within the meaning of the provision of section 13 during the time it held the customer's trust receipt.

It seems to the Board, however, that with very slight changes in the procedure the matter could be handled in such a way that the accepting bank would at all times be secured in conformity with the requirements of the law and the regulations of the Federal Reserve Board. If the bank delivered the bills of lading to one of its own employees or to a third party independent of the customer as agent for the bank, and if that employee or third party, acting in behalf of the bank, surrendered the bills of lading to the railroad with instructions to divert the cars to a certain warehouse and instructed the warehouse to issue the warehouse receipts direct to the bank, the bank would at all times remain in control of the goods, so that the requirement of the law and the Board's regulations would be satisfied.

## Warehouse receipts as collateral for bank loans.

The Federal Reserve Board receives inquiries from time to time with reference to warehouse receipts, issued by warehousemen licensed under the United States warehouse act, as collateral for bank loans. The following letter written by Gov. Harding, in reply to such an inquiry, may be of interest, since it contains some informal comments upon this general subject and explains why such questions do not come within the official jurisdiction of the Federal Reserve Board:

September 21, 1921.
Dear Sir: You have requested an expression of opinion from me upon the general question of the acceptability of warehouse receipts issued by warehouses licensed and bonded under the United States warehouse act as collateral for bank loans.

This is not a question which comes within the jurisdiction of the Federal Reserve Board. The Board has no control over banks as regards the character of loans made to, or the kind of collateral required from, their customers. These are questions of banking judgment which must be determined by the officers
of each bank in the exercise of their sound banking discretion. Similarly, it is not the function of the Federal Reserve Board to pass upon questions involving the acceptability from a credit standpoint of paper offered to Federal Reserve Banks for discount, such question being for the determination of the officers of the Federal Reserve Banks in the exercise of their best judgment.

It is the function of the Federal Reserve Board to determine and define the character of the paper eligible under the terms of the Federal Reserve Act for discount by Federal Reserve Banks when offered by banks which are members of the Federal Reserve System. Under the provisions of section 13 of the Federal Reserve Act, however, the eligibility of paper for discount by Federal Reserve Banks is not dependent upon whether or not the paper is secured, or upon the character of such security as there may be, but depends upon the purpose for which the member bank's customer obtained the loan and used the proceeds thereof. I do not mean to say that the question of collateral security is unimportant, for I recognize that this question is often very important to the member bank which is applied to for the loan in the first instance and may be important also to the Federal Reserve Bank to which the paper evidencing the loan is offered for discount by the member bank. I mean to say merely that these questions are for the determination of the officers of the interested banks in the exercise of their banking discretion and are not questions which the Federal Reserve Board can pass upon officially.

Having thus defined the scope of the official functions of the Federal Reserve Board in so far as they are related to the subject matter of your inquiry, I am very glad to give you a brief statement of my own personal views.

I think it is recognized by banks and other financial institutions that warehouse receipts representing readily marketable nonperishable agricultural commodities properly warehoused are a desirable kind of security for bank loans. It is apparent, of course, that some warehouse receipts are more desirable than others and that the degree of desirability is dependent upon a number of factors, included among which are the form and legal effect of the receipt, the question of whether or not the issuing warehouseman is licensed, bonded, and operating under Federal law, or a State law furnishing proper safeguards, and is supervised and examined by competent officials with a view to the protection of the public, the reputation and financial responsibility of the warehouseman, the physical condition affecting the warehouse, the question of insurance, etc.

Generally speaking, there can be no doubt, I think, that warehouse receipts issued by warehousemen licensed and bonded under the United States warehouse act will be considered by bankers as more desirable collateral security than those issued by warehousemen who are not licensed or bonded under any State or Federal law.

The United States warehouse act specifies in detail what shall be stated on each receipt issued under that act, and these statements give very full information regarding the commodity which the receipt represents. The act also requires the warehousemen to keep records of all commodities stored or withdrawn and of all receipts issued and returned, and to make such reports to the Secretary of Agriculture as the Secretary shall require. The Secretary is given full authority to cause inspections and examinations to be made, with a view to determining whether the warehousemen are complying with the conditions of the act and the regulations issued thereunder, and is also given authority, after a hearing has been afforded, to suspend or revoke the license of any warehousemen licensed under the act, and I understand that such inspections and examinations are actually being made and are being made at irregular intervals without notice to the warehousemen, so as to reflect the normal conditions of the warehousemen's business. I understand also that before any license is issued the reputation and financial responsibility of the applicant is investigated, and the applicant's warehousing facilities are examined, and that no license is issued unless these are found to be satisfactory. Furthermore, the law requires that each applicant for a license must file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of not less than $\$ 5,000$ nor more than $\$ 50,000$, depending upon the capacity of the applicant's warehouse facilities, to secure the faithful performance of his obligations as a warehouseman, and that any person injured by the breach of any obligation to secure which the bond is given shall be entitled to sue on the bond for the damages he may have sustained on account of the breach.
All of these features are such as tend to make the warehouse receipts issued by warehousemen licensed and bonded under the United States warehouse act attractive to conservative bankers as collateral security for loans, and, as I have heretofore stated, except for such special conditions as may apply to particular cases, such warehouse receipts will undoubtedly be regarded more favorably by bankers than will receipts issued by warehousemen who are not
licensed or bonded under State or Federal law. I have no hesitation in saying, therefore, that in my judgment a campaign such as I understand is being conducted by the Department of Agriculture among warehousemen to educate them to the advantages of becoming licensed under the United States warehouse act, and among bankers to educate them to the desirability as a form of collateral security of warehouse receipts issued by warehousemen so licensed can be made to result in much benefit to the public through improved facilities both for the storing and marketing of agricultural products and for the proper financing of such storing and marketing.

The relative desirability of warehouse receipts issued by warehousemen licensed under the United States warehouse act and warehouse receipts issued by warehousemen licensed under the laws of particular States is a matter upon which I can not express an opinion, as I am not familiar with the various State laws or the administration thereof. It is my opinion, however, that there are certain advantages in being licensed under the Federal law, and in being subject to the supervision of the Federal authorities, which advantages would be most apparent in cases where the holders of warehouse receipts desire to use them as collateral for loans from banks located in States other than that in which the warehouse happens to be located.

Yours, very truly,
(Signed) W. P. G. Harding,

## New National Bank Charters.

The Comptroller of the Currency reports the following increases and reductions in the number and capital of national banks during the period from September 24 to October 21, 1921, inclusive:


1 Includes one increase in capital of $\$ 25,000$ incident to a consolidation under act of Nov. 7, 1918.

## State Banks and Trust Companies.

## ADMISSIONS.

The following list shows the State banks and trust companies which were admitted to membership in the Federal Reserve System during the month ending October 31, 1921, on which date 1,626 State institutions were members of the system, having a total capital of $\$ 584,457,142$, total surplus of $\$ 528,808,084$, and total resources of $\$ 9,961,423,617$.

|  | Capital. | Surplus. | Total resources. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District No. 5. |  |  |  |
| Carolina Banking \& Trust Co., Flizabeth City, N. C | \$102, 142 |  | \$102, 142 |
| Merchants \& Producers Bank, Salem, W. Va. | 50,000 | \$32,000 | 875, 757 |
| District No.6. |  |  |  |
| Merchants \& Farmers Bank, Roanoke, Ala. | 125,000 | 82,500 | 786,340 |
| Bank of Graymont, Graymont, Ga | 25,000 | 5,000 | 175,847 |
| District No. 7. |  |  |  |
| Adams State Bank, Chicago, Ill . . . . . | 200,000 | 20,000 | 1,331,845 |
| Blissfield State Bank, Blissfield, Mich ... | 50.1000 | 1.5,000 | 733, 001 |
| Drexel State Bank, Chicago, Ill. | 350,000 | 150,000 | 8,037, 244 |
| Polo State Bank, Polo, Ill .............. | 75,000 | 10,000 | 472,331 |
| Pinney State Bank, Cass City, Mich.... | 50, 000 |  | 620,838 |
| State Bank of Croswell, Crosswell, Mich - | 60, 030 | 12,000 | 1,214,006 |
| District No. 8. |  |  |  |
| First State Bank, O' Fallon, Ill......... | $2 \overline{5}, 000$ | 5,000 | 43,443 |
| District No. 9. |  |  |  |
| Farmers \& Merchants State Bank, Menahga, Minn. | 25,000 | 5,000 | 198,065 |
| District No. 11. |  |  |  |
| Citizens Etate Bank, Greenville, Tex. . | 100,000 |  | 312,434 |
| District No. 12. |  |  |  |
| Pioneer Bank, Porterville, Calif......... |  | 32,000 | 1,399,552 |
| The Valley Bank, Fresno, Calif........ | 625, 000 | 62,500 | 2,096, 641 |

CHANGE IN NAME.
First State Bank, Golden Valley, N. Dak., to First American State Bank, Golden Valley, N. Dak.

## CONVERSION.

The Mercantile Bank \& Trust Co., Savannah, Ga., has converted into a national bank.

## CONSOLIDATION

The Charles River Trust Co., Cambridge, Mass., has consolidated with the Harvard Trust Co., Cambridge, Mass.

WITHDRAWALS.
Farmers Equity Bank, Brooksville, Ky.
American Bank, Sidney, Nebr.
Butler County State Bank, David City, Nebr.
First State Bank, North Bend, Nebr.

## Commercial Failures Reported.

Despite some narrowing of the margin of increase, failures in the United States still largely exceed in number those of a year ago, the 1,135 defaults reported to R. G. Dumn \& Co. during three weeks of October comparing with only 617 insolvencies in the corresponding period of 1920. The statement for September, the latest month for which complete statistics are available, disclose 1,466 failures, involving $\$ 37,020,837$ of liabilities. While this exhibit reflects some improvement in comparison with the returns for August, yet the number of September defaults is the largest for that month since 1914, and the indebtedness is the heaviest on record for September. Analyzed according to Federal Reserve districts, more insolvencies occurred in every district than in September last year, and the liabilities are also heavier in every instance, except in the first and second districts.

Fallures During September.

| District. | Number. |  | Liabilities. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1921 | 1920 | 1921 | 1920 |
| First. | 124 | 73 | \$2,725,247 | \$1, 360,762 |
| Second | 317 | 145 | 10,439,409 | 14,551,283 |
| ${ }_{\text {Fourth }}$ | 63 118 | $\underline{25}$ | -978,713 | 1, 417,524 |
| Fifth. | 85 | 54 | 1,692, 840 | 1,646,417 |
| Sixth. | 125 | 44 | 2,328,764 | , 500, 371 |
| Seventh. | 179 | 67 | 6, 777, 026 | 5,679, 728 |
| Eighth.. | 80 | 35 | 2, 383, 404 | 352,873 |
| Ninth. | 57 | 16 | 980, 471 | 99,730 |
| Tenth. | 61 | 26 | 1,042, 641 | 466,451 |
| Eleventh | 104 | 36 | 2,872,281 | 864,924 |
| Twelfth | 153 | 91 | 1,984,635 | 1,265,544 |
| Total. | 1,466 | 677 | 37,020, 837 | 29,554,288 |

## Fiduciary Powers Granted to National Banks.

The applications of the following banks for permission to act under section $11(\mathbf{k})$ of the Federal Reserve Act were approved by the Board during the month ending October 31, 1921.

District No. 2.
Trustee, executor, administrator, registrar of stocks and bonds, guardian of estates, assicnee, receiver, and committee of estates of linatics: Citizeus National Bunk, Wellsville, N. Y.

## District No. 4.

Trustee, executor, administrator, registrar of stocks and bonds, guardian of estates, receiver, and committee of estates of lunatics:

Clark County National Bank, Winchester, Ky.
District No. 5.
Trustee, executor, administrator, registrar of stocks and bonds, guardian of estates, assignee, receiver, and committee of estates of lunatics: Lincoln National Bank, Washington, D.C.

$$
\text { District No. } 7 .
$$

Trustee, executor, administrator, registrar of stocks and bonds, guardian of estates, assignee, receiver, and committee of estates of lunatics: Indiana Harbor National Bank of East Chicago, Indiana Harbor, Ind.

## District No. 8.

Trustee, executor, administrator, registrar of stocks and bonds, guardian of estates, assignee, receiver, and committee of estates of lunatics:

First National Bank, El Dorado, Ark.
First National Bank, Carlyle, Ill.
District No. 9.
Trustee, executor, administrator, registrar of stocks and bonds, guardian of estates, assignee, receiver, and committee of estates of lunatics: Security National Bank, Fargo, N. Dak.

## PRICE MOVEMENT AND VOLUME OF TRADE.

## WHOLESALE PRICES IN THE UNITED STATES.

Although some rather important price fluctuations occurred during September, there was no change in the price indexes for all commodities of the Bureau of Labor Statistics or of the Federal Reserve Board. The former stood at 152 as compared with a base of 100 in 1913, the latter at 143 .

In the classification of the Federal Reserve Board the most striking change occurred in the "goods exported" group, which rose from 123 in August to 144 in September. This was due to the large increase in the price of cotton and to smaller advances that occurred in important grain exports. Goods of domestic production averaged the same in the two months, because although there were increases in important agricultural products, these were offset by reductions in leading consumers' goods.

The reclassification of the index of the Bureau of Labor Statistics shows advances in the prices of agricultural products, decreases in animal and forest products, and small reductions in mineral products.

Price quotations thus far received for the first three weeks of October show material reductions in the prices of agricultural products (except live stock, which are higher than in recent months), but nonferrous metals prices have increased and bituminous coal has scarcely changed. Although it is impossible to forecast the movement of the Federal Reserve Board index number for the month, it seems unlikely that there will be an appreciable advance indicated.


INDEX NUMBERS OF WHOLESALE PRICES IN UNITED STATES—CONSTRUCTED BY THE FEDERAL RESERVE BOARD FOR THE PURPOSE OF INTERNATIONAL COMPARISON.
[Average price for $1913=100$.]

${ }^{1}$ The index number of the Federal Reserve Board has been constructed primarily with a view to international comparisons of wholesale prices. Due to the difficulties connected with the collection of foreign prices, the foreign index numbers are still incomplete, but in spite of this it has seemed advisable to publish the American number, since it contains certain classifications of commodities not otherwise available, namely, the prices of the important goods imported into this country, and of goods largely exported, and compares them with the general price level in the

For detailed information regarding the makeup of the number, reference may be made to the Fears 1913 , 1919 , and ages 499-50?. The commodities included in the different groups are listed there with exact specifications and markets indicated. The "weights" assigned to the different commodities in constructing the index numbers are also given in detail. Revisions in prices or weights appear in assigned to the duiferent commodities in 1920 , and June, 1921.

The index of "goods produced" consists of 74 quotations ( 30 raw materials, 24 producers' and 20 consumers' goods). These include agricultural products (such as grains, live stock, and textiles), minerals, and lumber, among the raw materials; yarns, leather, semifinished steel products refined oils, chemicals, building materials, etc., among the producers' goods; and potatoes, meats, flour, rice, dairy products, cotton and woolen cloths, boots and shoes, and kerosene among the consumers' goods.

The index of "goods imported" consists of 18 quotations ( 9 raw materials, 7 producers' and 2 consumers' goods). It includes Egyptian cotton, materials; plantation American raw wool, Japanese and Chiose goods.
Leading American exports are included in the index of prices of "goods exported," which is made up of 40 quotations 17 raw materials, 12 producers' and 11 consumers' goods). Grains, tobacco, cotton, copper, coal, pig iron, petroleum, and lumber make up the list of raw materials; vegetable oils, leather, semifinished metal products, refined oils, and chemicals the producers' goods; and wheat flour, refined sugar, pork products, coffee, cotton cloth, boots and shoes, and kerosene the consumers' goods.

The index numbers of "raw materials," "producers' goods," and "consumers' goods," consist of the commodities mentioned above which fall into these classes, whether they are of domestic or foreign origin. The raw materials group includes 39 quotations, the producers' goods 29 , and the consumers goods 22.

The "all commodities" index is obtained by combining the group indexes of domestic and foreign goods. It consists of 90 different quotations. The quotations are obtained from representative trade journals and private firms. About half of them are the same that are used by the Bureau of Labor Statistics in its larger compilation of prices and are furnished to the Board by that bureau.

INDEX NUMBERS OF WHOL ESALE PRICES IN THE UNITED STATES FOR PRINCIPAL CLASSES OF COMMODITIES-BUREAU OF LABOR STATISTICS-REGROUPED BY FEDERAL RESERVE BOARD. ${ }^{2}$
[Average price for 1913=100.]

| Year and month. |  | Raw materials. |  |  |  |  | Pro-ducers'goods. | Consumers' goods. | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { All com- } \\ \text { modities. } \end{gathered}\right.$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Agricultural products. | Animal products. | Forest products. | Mineral products | Total raw materials. |  |  |  |
|  | 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January.. |  | 155 | 119 | 245 | 220 | 175 | 169 | 182 | 177 |
| February... |  | 145 | 114 | 225 | 207 | 164 | 155 | 171 | 167 |
| March..... |  | 136 126 | 116 106 | 210 205 | 197 189 | 157 149 | 149 143 | 168 159 159 | 162 154 15 |
| May... |  | 131 | 104 | 205 | 188 | 149 | 140 | 153 | 151 |
| June.... |  | 125 | 102 | 204 | 182 | 145 | 137 | 152 | 148 |
| July.. |  | 122 | 109 | 203 | 177 | 145 | 134 | 153 | 148 |
| August.. |  | 123 | 112 | 200 | 175 | 145 | 132 | 162 | 152 |
| September. |  | 142 | 104 | 194 | 172 | 146 | 133 | 160 | 152 |

${ }^{3}$ As the index number of the Bureau of Labor Statistics (which is based upon 315 quotations) has been reclassified by the Federal Reserve Board, the raw materials group consists of approximately 76 quotations, the producers' goods of about 80 , and the consumers' goods of 158. Raw upon the same number, forest products based upon 11 quotations, and mineral products based upon 27 quotations. The FEDERAL RESERVE Bulletin for October, 1918, contains a list of the commodities in each group. The weights are the same as those used by the Bureau of Labor Statistics.

In order to give a more concrete illustration of actual price movements, there are also presented in the following table monthly actual and relative figures for certain commodities of a basic character. The prices shown in the
table have been obtained from the records of the United States Bureau of Labor Statistics, except in the case of bituminous coal, prices for which have been obtained from the Coal Age.
average monthly wholesale prices of commodities.
[Average price for $1913=100$.]


## average monthly wholesale prices of commodities-Continued.

| Year and month. | Coal, anthracite, stove, New York, tidewater. |  | Coke, Connellsville, at furnace. |  | Copper, ingot, electrolytic, New York. |  | Lead, pig, desilverized, New York. |  | Petroleum, crude Pennsylvania, at wells. |  | Pig iron, basic, <br> Mahoning and Shenango Valley, at furnace. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average price per long ton. | Relative price. | Average price per short ton. | Relative price. | A verage price per pound. | Relative price. | Average price per pound. | Relative price. | Average price per barrel. | Relatrice price. | Average price per long ton. | Relaprice. |
| 1913. | \$5.0613 | 100 | \$2. 4396 | 100 | \$0.1573 | 100 | \$0.0440 | 100 | \$2.4500 | 100 | \$14.7058 | 100 |
| 1919. | 8. 1639 | 161 | 4.7375 | 194 | . 1911 | 122 | . 0578 | 131 | 4. 1346 | 169 | 27.6971 | 188 |
| 1920 | 9.4265 | 186 | 10. 8153 | 443 | . 1797 | 114 | . 0808 | 184 | 5.9750 | 244 | 42.2692 | 287 |
| September........ | 10. 4363 | 206 | 15. 3125 | 628 | . 1869 | 119 | . 0816 | 185 | 6. 1000 | 249 | 48.5000 | 330 |
| January.......... | 10.6373 | 210 | 5.5313 | 227 | . 1288 | 82 | . 0497 | 113 | 5. 7750 | 236 | 30.0000 | 204 |
| Februar | 10.6382 | 210 | 5.1875 | 213 | . 1288 | 82 | . 0468 | 106 | 4.1875 | 171 | 27.5000 | 187 |
| March. | 10.6382 | 210 | 5. 0000 | 205 | . 1223 | 78 | . 0405 | 92 | 3. 0000 | 122 | 24.2000 | 165 |
| April. | 10. 1380 | 200 | 3.7188 | 152 | . 1247 | 79 | . 0428 | 97 | 3. 1875 | 130 | 22.8750 | 156 |
| May. | 10. 2910 | 203 | 3. 3250 | 136 | . 1283 | 82 | . 0495 | 113 | 3. 3500 | 137 | 22.0000 | 150 |
|  | 10.3900 | 205 | 3.0938 | 127 | . 1284 | 82 | . 0451 | 103 | 2.6250 | 107 | 20.7500 | 141 |
| July. | 10. 5048 | 208 | 2.9063 | 119 | . 1253 | 80 | . 0440 | 100 | 2.2500 | 92 | 19.3750 | 132 |
| August | 10. 6036 | 210 | 2.8000 | 115 | . 1173 | 75 | . 0440 | 100 | 2.2500 | 92 | 18.2000 | 124 |
| Septembe | 10.7075 | 212 | 3.1875 | 131 | . 1200 | 76 | . 0461 | 105 | 2.3125 | 94 | 19.1250 | 130 |
| Year and month. | Cotton yarns, northern cones, 10/1 Boston. |  | Leather, sole, hemlock, No. 1, Chicago. |  | Steel billets, Bessemer, Pittsburgh. |  | Steel plates, tank, Pittsburgh. |  | Steel rails, open-hearth, Pittsburgh. |  | Worsted yarns, 2-32's crossbred, Philadelphia. |  |
|  | Average price per pound. | Relative price. | Average price per pound. | Relative price. | Average price per long ton. | Relative price. | Average price per pound. | Relative price. | Average price per long ton. | Relative price. | Average price per pound. | Relative price. |
| 1913. | \$0.2213 | 100 | \$0.2821 | 100 | \$25. 7892 | 100 | \$0.0148 | 100 | \$30.0000 | 100 | \$0.7767 | 100 |
| 1919. | . 5340 | 241 | . 5283 | 187 | 40.5385 | 157 | . 0271 | 183 | 49. 2642 | 164 | 1.6274 | 210 |
| 1920. | . 6245 | 282 | . 5342 | 189 | 56.2596 | 218 | . 0328 | 222 | 53.8269 | 179 | 1. 8250 | 235 |
| September........ | . 5429 | 245 | . 5100 | 181 | 58.7500 | 228 | . 0325 | 220 | 54.5000 | 182 | 1. 6000 | 206 |
| January ........... | . 2878 | 130 | .4000 | 142 | 43. 5000 | 169 | .0265 | 179 | 47.0000 | 157 | 1.1500 | 148 |
| February. | . 2775 | 125 | . 3800 | 135 | 42. 2500 | 164 | . 0233 | 157 | 47.0000 | 157 | 1. 1500 | 148 |
| March. | . 2447 | 111 | - 3700 | 131 |  | 149 | . 02021 | 138 | 47.0000 | 157 | 1. 2000 | 155 |
| April. | - 2381 | 108 | . 3700 | 131 | 37.5000 37.0000 | ${ }_{143}^{145}$ | . 02210 | 142 | 47.0000 47.0000 | 157 | 1. 2000 | 155 |
| May. | . 2491 | 113 | . 3700 | 131 | 37.0000 | 143 | . 02195 | 149 | 47.0000 47.0000 | 157 | 1.2500 | 161 |
| June. | . 24411 | 109 | . 3500 | 124 | 32.2500 | 125 | . 0185 | 125 | 47.0000 | 157 | 1. 1.200 | 148 |
| August | . 2586 | 117 | . 3400 | 121 | 29.6000 | 115 | . 0178 | 120 | 47.0000 | 157 | 1.1500 | 148 |
| Septem | . 3446 | 156 | . 3400 | 121 | 29.0000 | 112 | . 0164 | 111 | 47.0000 | 157 | 1.1500 | 148 |
| Year and month. | Beef, carcass, good native steers, Chicago. |  | Coffee, Rio, No. 7, New York. |  | Flour, wheat, standard patents (1918, stan $\underset{\text { War), }}{ }$ Minneapolis. |  | Hams, smoked, Chicago. |  | Illuminating oil, $150^{\circ}$ fire test, New York. |  | Sugar, granulated, New York. |  |
|  | Average priceper pound. | Relative price. | Average price per pound. | Relative price. | Average price per barrel. | Relative price. | Average price per pound. | Relative price | Average price per gallon. | Relative price. | Average price per pound. | Relative price |
| 1913. | \$0.1295 | 100 | \$0.1113 | 100 | \$4. 5837 | 100 | \$0.1662 | 100 | \$0.1233 | 100 | \$0.0427 | 100 |
| 1919. | . 2333 | 180 | . 1785 | 160 | 11.9982 | 262 | . 3433 | 207 | . 2004 | 163 | . 0894 | 209 |
|  | . 2304 | 178 | . 1198 | 108 | 12.6750 | 277 | . 3340 | 201 | . 2629 | 213 | . 1267 | 297 |
| September........ | . 2600 | 201 | . 0819 | 74 | 12.5938 | 275 | . 3634 | 219 | . 2750 | 223 | . 1426 | 334 |
| January . ${ }^{1921 .}$ | . 1738 | 134 | . 0669 |  | 9.6250 | 210 | . 2488 |  |  |  |  |  |
| February. | .1600 | 124 | . 0672 | 60 | 9.1813 | 200 | . 2600 | 156 | .2750 | 223 | .0709 | 177 |
| March. | . 1625 | 125 | . 0639 | 57 | 8.7300 | 190 | . 2725 | 164 | . 2625 | 213 | . 0784 | 184 |
| April. | . 1650 | 127 | . 0600 | 54 | 7.9500 | 173 | . 2763 | 166 | . 2540 | 206 | . 0725 | 170 |
| May. | . 1650 | 127 | . 0621 | 56 | 8.7450 | 191 | . 2725 | 164 | . 2400 | 195 | . 0632 | 148 |
| June. | . 1600 | 124 | . 0666 | 60 | 9.0063 | 196 | . 2822 | 170 | . 2200 | 178 | . 0569 | 133 |
| July. | . 1490 | 115 | . 0647 | 58 | 8. 9000 | 194 | . 3200 | 193 | . 2200 | 178 | . 0546 | 128 |
| August | . 1600 | 124 | . 0703 | 63 | 8. 1200 | 177 | . 3248 | 195 | . 22200 | 178 | . 0583 | 137 |
| September. | . 1595 | 123 | . 0788 | 71 | 8.3188 | 181 | . 2756 | 166 | . 2200 | 178 | . 0559 | 131 |

1 On Toledo market, average for last six months of 1913.

## FOREIGN TRADE INDEX.

There are presented below the usual indexes designed to reflect movements in foreign trade of the United States, with the fluctuations due to price changes eliminated. The commodities chosen for these indexes are those for which prices are compiled by the Federal Reserve Board in the preparation of its international price index. The list includes 27 of the most important imports the value of which in 1913 formed 49.3 per cent of the total import values, and 29 of the most important exports the value of which in 1913 formed 56.3 per cent of the total export values. The classification of the original list of commodities used was given in the July, 1920, Bulletin. The classification of the 11 additional commodities of imports was given in the April, 1921, Bulletin. Two additional commodities, bananas and edible olive oil, have been incorporated in the group of consumers' imports, and the index recomputed on this basis for the entire period.

A rather precipitate decrease is noticeable in the total volume of both exports and imports for the month of September. After a
large increase in the volume of exports of raw materials for August, this group has again receded to almost the same level as in July. The volume of consumers' goods exported also decreased considerably, while the volume of producers' goods showed a decided increase. The most noticeable increase among this group was in the case of steel rails, which had experienced a slump during August. Considerable gain was also shown in the volume of gasoline and upper leather exported, while exports of cottonseed oil revived somewhat from the low level reached during August and showed an increase over September, 1920.

The decrease in volume of imports for September was greatest in the case of consumers' products. All commodities in that group showed a decline, with the exception of tea. The decrease in imports of raw materials was distributed among seven of the commodities included in this group, while the three other commodities registered only slight increases. The fall in the volume of imports of producers' goods was due in large measure to the decline in imports of cane sugar, extract of quebracho, glycerine, and nitrate of soda.
index of value of foreign trade in selected commodities at 1913 prices.
[Monthly average values, 1913=100.]

|  |  | Exports. |  |  |  | Imports. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Raw materials ( $12 \mathrm{com}-$ modities). | Producers' <br> goods (10 commodities). | $\begin{gathered} \text { Consumers' } \\ \text { goods (7 } \\ \text { com-- } \\ \text { modities). } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total ( } 29 \\ \text { com- } \\ \text { modities). } \end{gathered}$ | Raw materials ( 10 com modities). | Producers' goods (12 commodities). | Consumers' goods (5 commodities). | Total (27 commodities). |
| 1913-Year. |  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1919-Year. |  | 88.9 | 154.7 | 188.5 | 118.6 | 157.5 | 193.0 | 147.5 | 168.4 |
| 1920-Year. |  | 92.2 | 142.6 | 137.9 | 107.7 | 135.2 | 227.3 | 166.7 | 168.4 |
| January. |  | 105.2 | 187.9 | 126.0 | 117.6 | 74.5 | 130.8 | 123.9 | 102.6 |
| February |  | 91.0 | 141.0 | 116.4 | 101. 6 | 118.2 | 143.5 | 135.5 | 130.0 |
| March.... |  | 78.2 | 104.4 | 122.4 | 91.1 | 160.7 | 177.4 | 178.9 | 169.6 |
| April. |  | 76.6 | 102.7 | 122.5 | 89.9 | 153.4 | 177.7 | 185.1 | 167.2 |
| May.. |  | 97.7 | 81.8 | 112.8 | 100.0 | 98.7 | 150.1 | 162.1 | 127.3 |
| Jume. |  | 107.9 | 74.4 | 135.1 | 111.3 | 94.5 | 152.5 | 130.4 | 120.9 |
| July. |  | 111.6 | 68.3 | 131.8 | 112.5 | 99.3 | 126.5 | 121.4 | 112.6 |
| August |  | 142.5 | 68.1 | 164. 1 | 140.9 | 116.7 | 164.8 | 129.8 | 135.9 |
| September. |  | 115.7 | 79.1 | 147.5 | 119.9 | 102.8 | 137.7 | 99.4 | 114.6 |

## INDEX OF OCEAN FREIGHT RATES.

The accompanying table shows the monthly fluctuations in ocean freight rates prevailing between United States Atlantic ports and the principal European trade regions. The figures are derived from the actual rates quoted on the following commodities: Grain, provisions, cotton, cottonseed oil, and sack flour. For the methods used in constructing the index, see the August, 1921, Bulletin, pages 931-934.

In October ocean freight rates to Europe fell to new low levels for the period following the close of the war. The French Atlantic and Netherlands-Belgium trades are the only general exceptions, and even in those trades the rates on certain commodities-wheat and flour, for instance-are now lower than they were in February and March of this year, when the rate war between the French line and the conference lines was at its height. According to the index numbers here presented, in October, 1921, rates to Europe as a whole were a little less than one-third as high as in January, 1920. Rates to United Kingdom ports, at 37 per cent of the level of January, 1920, have declined the least among the different European trades, while the French Atlantic and the Scandina-
vian trades disclose the greatest relative declines in the past 22 months.

| [January, 1920, rates $=100.1$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month. | United States Atlantic ports to- |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | United <br> Kingdom | French Atlantic. | Nether- lands and Pelgium. | Scandinavia. | Medi-terranean. | All |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January.. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| February | 96.5 91.2 | 86.9 78.5 | 83.3 78.0 | 880.1 | 91.1 | 80.3 |
| Mapril. | 91.2 90.2 | 78.5 87.2 | 78.0 78.9 | 84.6 82.7 | 79.5 72.2 | 83.4 83.5 |
| мау.. | 96.2 | 85.9 | 87.3 | 82.5 | 75.2 | 87.5 |
| June. | 101.2 | 87.1 | 89.5 | 82.1 | 76.5 | 90.0 |
| July. | 96.0 | 85.6 | 82.1 | 82.0 | 75.3 | 86.3 |
| August. | 85.7 | 77.9 | 70.4 | 82.1 | 73.2 | 78.5 |
| September. | 86.7 | 73.7 | 66.9 | 82.1 | 71.6 | 76.9 |
| October... | 84.9 | 68.9 | 70.9 | 75.3 | 69.6 | 75.4 |
| November. | 77.8 | 51.6 | 59.9 | 59.6 | 59.2 | 63.8 |
| December. | 72.3 | 38.5 | 47.0 | 51.6 | 49.2 | 53.6 |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January.. | 60.7 | 30.2 | 34.1 | 42.9 | 43.2 | 43.3 |
| February | 54.7 | 27.7 | 29.2 | 30.9 | 43.8 | 38.5 |
| March. | 49.3 | 24.6 | 28.3 | 30.8 | 42.2 | 35.9 |
| April. | 50.1 | 32.6 | ${ }^{36.6}$ | 29.4 | 35.7 | 39.0 |
| May. | 50.6 | 35.0 | ${ }^{38.2}$ | 31.3 | 34.6 | 40.1 |
| June. | 42.7 | 34.7 | 38.3 ! | 31.3 | 34.0 | 37.6 |
| July ... | 42.5 | 33.2 | 37.0 | 29.0 | 34.7 | 36.8 |
| August... | 42.9 | 33.4 | 36.7 | 28.4 | 34.3 | 36.7 |
| Septembe | 41.8 37.0 | 32.7 28.5 | 35.8 30.7 | 28.2 26.7 | 33.6 33.3 | 36.0 32.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## PHYSICAL VOLUME OF TRADE.

The volume of commodities shipped from farms and factories during September showed a moderate decline from the high level reached in August, but this may have been due to the fact that there were two less days in September. The outstanding developments of the month were the distinct improvement in the iron and steel industry, the further increase of activity in textile manufacturing, and the unusually heary movement of agricultural products from the farms to primary markets.

There was a noteworthy increase in receipts of cotton and cottonseed during September. Grain movements were somewhat smaller than during August, but receipts and shipments of corn registered a considerable increase. September live-stock receipts were larger than those of August, due principally to the marketing of sheep. Shipments of deciduous fruits were extremely heavy, while receipts of citrus fruits, sugar, rosin, and turpentine declined.

Production of pig iron and steel ingots continued to show a moderate increase during September, and there was a gain in the unfilled orders of the United States Steel Corporation for the first time in over a year. The volume of imports of pig tin also increased, while production of copper and zinc showed a slight further curtailment.

The total volume of fuel production showed little change during September. Production of bituminous coal and coke were at higher levels than in August, but this was counterbalanced by diminished output of anthracite coal and of crude petroleum. Textile factories increased their consumption of both cotton and wool during September, but there was a decrease in the volume of raw silk imports. Paper production continued to increase, but the output of wood pulp was slightly curtailed.

Total cut and shipments of five reporting lumber associations declined during September, but lumber receipts at Chicago and St. Louis continued to show an increase. Cement production and shipments fell off slightly from the record figures of August, although unusually well maintained considering the season of the year. Output of locomotive factories increased somewhat, due to foreign orders, but production of railway cars and shipments of automobiles showed a considerable decrease. Production of sole leather was smaller than in August.

Revenue freight loaded by railroads was slightly smaller in September than in August, due to a considerable decrease in loadings of ore and grain, although more cars were loaded with live stock, coal, and coke. Loadings declined in all railroad districts, except the Pocahontas, southern, and central western.





| LIVE-STOCK MOVEMENTS. [Bureau of Markets.] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Receipts, |  |  |  |  | Shipments. |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cattle and calves, 59 markets. | Hogs, 59 markets. | Sheep, 59 markets. | Horses and mules, 43 markets. | Total, all kinds. | Cattle and calves, 54 markets. | Hogs, 54 markets. | Sheep, 54 markets. | Horses and mules, 43 markets. | Total, all kinds. |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1920 . \\ \text { September... } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Head. } \\ 2,280,805 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Head. } \\ 2,383,809 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Head. } \\ 2,825,708 \end{gathered}$ | Head. 58, 796 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Head. } \\ 7,549,118 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} H e a d . \\ 1,080,857 \end{gathered}$ | Head. $931,261$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Head. } \\ 1,581,680 \end{gathered}$ | Head. $59,560$ | Head. $3,653,358$ |
| May.......... | 1,531, 682 | 3,311, 976 | 1,886, 817 | 17, 824 | 6, 748, 299 | 591, 770 | 1,037, 466 | 915, 116 | 16,610 | 2, 560,962 |
| June. | 1, 572, 334 | 3, 559, 165 | 1, 812,339 | 13,292 | 6,957, 130 | 605, 822 | 1,136, 269 | 763, 577 | 13, 214 | 2, 518, 882 |
| July. | 1, 335, 548 | 2, 717, 247 | 1,738,957 | 10,696 | 5, 802, 448 | 490, 751 | 915,998 | 760, 172 | 10,036 | 2, 176,957 |
| August | 1,856, 948 | 2, 647,965 | 2, 467, 048 | 15,933 | 6,987, 894 | 842, 254 | 927, 962 | 1,110, 134 | 14, 661 | 2, 895,011 |
| September. | 1,889, 057 | 2, 646, 127 | 2, 544, 530 | 21,093 | 7, 100, 807 | 905,136 | 944, 366 | 1,375, 415 | 20, 779 | 3, 245,696 |

RECEIPTS AND SHIPMENTS OF LIVE STOCK AT 15 WESTERN MARKETS.
[Chicago, Kansas City, Oklahoma City, Omaha, East St. Louis, St. Joseph, St. Paul, Sioux City, Cincinnati, Cleveland, Denver, Fort Worth, Indianapolis, Lonisville, Wichita. Monthly average, 1911-1913=100.]

RECEIPTS.

|  | Cattle and calves. |  | Hogs. |  | Sheep. |  | Horses and mules. |  | Total, all kinds. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Head. | Relative. | Head. | Relative. | Head. | Relative. | Head. | Relative. | Head. | Relative. |
| 1920. September... | 1,737,469 | 172 | 1,545,842 | 70 | 1,892, 327 | 138 | 39,390 | 86 | 5,215, 028 | 113 |
| May......... | 1, 062,988 | 105 | 2, 401, 246 | 109 | 1,097, 976 | 80 | 12,082 | 26 | 4, 574, 292 | 99 |
| June. | 1, 117, 111 | 111 | 2,671, 462 | 122 | 1, 130, 874 | 83 | 8, 135 | 18 | 4, 927, 582 | 107 |
| July. | 940,173 | 93 | 2,021, 268 | 92 | 1, 035,674 | 76 | 6,952 | 15 | 4,004,067 | 87 |
| August... | 1, 418,237 | 141 | 1,919,514 | 87 | 1,568, 584 | 115 | 11, 147 | 24 | 4, 917,482 | 106 |
| September. | 1,417,259 | 141 | 1, 783, 827 | 81 | 1,651,659 | 121 | 15, 089 | 33 | 4, 867, 834 | 105 |
| SHIPMENTS. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| September.. | 820, 949 | 202 | 540, 812 | 112 | 1,027,510 | 204 | 40, 890 | 100 | 2, 430, 161 | 169 |
| May......... | 424, 558 | 104 |  | 133 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June..... | 414, 814 | 102 | 703, 724 | 145 | 403, 748 | 80 | 8,199 | 20 | 1, 330,485 | 107 |
| July. | 338, 306 | 83 | 619, 854 | 128 | 408, 088 | 81 | 6,439 | 16 | 1, 372, 687 | 96 |
| August. | 650,106 | 161 | ${ }^{609,506}$ | 126 | 604, 996 | 120 | 10, 098 | 25 | 1, 1880,706 | ${ }_{151}$ |
| September... | 698, 459 | 172 | 583, 866 | 121 | 840, 242 | 167 | 14,732 | 36 | 2, 137, 299 | 150 |

SHIPMENTS OF STOCKERS AND FEEDERS FROM 34 MARKETS.

|  | Cattle and calves. | Hogs. | Sheep. | Total, all kinds. |  | Cattle and calves. | Hogs. | Sheep. | Total, all kinds. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1920 . \\ \text { September... } \end{array}$ | Head. <br> 473,652 | Head. 44, 340 | Head. 789,387 | $\underset{1,307,379}{\text { Head. }}$ | June......... | Head. <br> 195, 039 | Head. | Head. <br> 88,302 | Head. |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  | July.... | 120,429 353,619 | 15,493 | 138, 414 | 274,336 |
| May.......... | 211, 846 | 29,409 | 114, 811 | 356, 066 | September. | 390,090 | 38, 081 | 731, 110 | 1,159,281 |

ANIMALS SLAUGHTERED UNDER FEDERAL INSPECTION.
[Bureau of Animal Industry. Monthly average, 1911-1913=100.]

|  | Cattle. |  | Calves. |  | Hogs. |  | Sheep. |  | Total. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Head. | Relative. | Head. | Relative. | Head. | Relative. | Head. | Relative. | Head. | Relative. |
| September............. | 825,484 | 136 | 347,578 | 197 | 1,978,602 | 70 | 1,150,776 | 95 | 4,302,440 | 90 |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May.. | 559,979 | 92 | 366,798 | 207 | 3, 274, 114 | 116 | 984,903 | 82 | 5,185, 794 | 108 |
| June.. | 640,164 579,028 | 105 95 | 369,696 324,046 | 209 | $3,618,174$ $2,820,616$ | 128 | 1,116,069 | 83 | 5, 744, 103 | 117 |
| August. | 680,419 | 112 | 303, 796 | 172 | 2, 530,459 | ${ }^{90}$ | 1, 236, 992 | 103 | 4,783,592 | 100 99 |
| September. | 689,043 | 114 | 321,193 | 181 | 2,422,350 | 86 | 1, 249,032 | 104 | 4,681,618 | 97 |

EXPORTS OF CERTAIN MEAT PRODUCTS.
[Department of Commerce. Monthly average, 1911-1913=100.]

|  | Beef, canned. |  | Beef, fresh. |  | Beef, pickled, and other cured. |  | Bacon. |  | Hams and shoulders, cured. |  | Lard. |  | Pickled pork. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pounds. | Relative. | Pounds. | Relative. | Pounds. | Relative. | Pounds. | Relative. | Pounds. | Relartive. | Pounds. | Relative. | Pounds. | Rela tive. |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1920 . \\ \text { September } \end{array}$ | 241, 261 | 37 | 1,964, 543 | 158 | 1,613,657 | 60 | 41, 371, 561 | 247 | 8,997, 124 | 60 | 46, 326, 353 | 105 | 3,279, 902 | 74 |
| May....... | 326,459 | 49 | 191,366 | 15 | 1,822,383 | 68 | 38,464, 256 | 230 | 15,508,520 | 104 | 48,604, 395 | 110 | 2,558,043 |  |
| June. | 186,647 | 28 | 167,318 | 13 | 2, 004, 136 | 75 | 35,011,966 | 209 | 18,536, 898 | 124 | 67,655,776 | 154 | 3, 337, 759 | 75 |
| July | 351,566 | 53 | 918,476 | 74 | 2,418, 262 | 91 | 48,171, 465 | 288 | 27,786, 271 | 186 | 83,329, 134 | 189 | 3,368, 482 | 76 |
| August | 914,418 | 138 | 292,663 | 24 | 2,752,598 | 103 | 45, 340,151 | 271 | 32, 233, 527 | 216 | 87,410,516 | 199 | 3,212,347 | 73 |
| September. | 286, 805 | 43 | 239, 039 | 19 | 2,857,076 | 107 | 44, 718, 463 | 267 | 17, 137,613 | 115 | 104, 740,783 | 238 | 2,990, 328 | 68 |

RECEIPTS OF GRAIN AND FLOUR AT 17 INTERIOR CENTERS.
[Chicago, Cleveland, Detroit, DuIuth, Indianapolis, Kansas City, Litile Rock, Louisville, Memphis, Milwaukee, Minneapolis, Omaha, Peoria, St. Louis, Spokane, Toledo, Wichita; receipts of flour not available for Cleveland, Detroit, Indianapolis, Louisville, Omaha, Spokane, Toledo, and Wichita. Compiled from reports of trade organizations at these cities. Monthly average, 1911-1913 $=100$.]

|  | Wheat. |  | Corn. |  | Oats. |  | Rye. |  | Barley. |  | Total grain. |  | Flour. |  | Total grain and flour. ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bushels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Rela. tive. | Barrels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Rela tive. |
| $\begin{gathered} 1920 . \\ \text { September.. } \end{gathered}$ | 46, 181, 275 |  | 20,696,955 |  | 1,031, 569 |  | 5, 571, 428 |  | 6,630,056 |  | 110,111,283 | 141 | 1,843,954 |  | 118, 409, 076 | 137 |
|  | 25, 514, 527 |  | 20,939, 570 |  | 15, 524,227 |  | 1,368, 821 |  | 2,551,087 |  | 65, 898, 232 |  |  |  | 72,640, 186 |  |
| June. | 30, 342, 592 |  | 35, 816, 899 |  | 21,921, 817 |  | 1, 464,530 |  | 3, 859,432 |  | 93, 405,270 |  | 865, 219 |  | 97, 298, 756 | 112 |
| July. | 71, 422, 624 |  | 19,713,672 |  | 25, 527,442 |  | 2, 557,053 |  | 3, 073,358 |  | 122,294,149 |  | 2, 705, 340 |  | [34, 468, 179 | 155 |
| August..... | 77,026, 777 |  | 30,983, 338 |  | 42, 739, 890 |  | 6, 207, 749 |  | 6,387, 297 |  | 163,344,951 |  | 3, 130, 086 |  | 177, 430, 338 | 205 |
| September. | 64,670, 046 | 239 | 37,333,764 |  | 21, 791,603 |  | 5, 487, 909 |  | 5, 086, 281 |  | 134,369,603 |  | 3, 421, 132 |  | 149, 764,697 |  |

1 Flour reduced to its equivalent in wheat on basis of $4 \frac{1}{2}$ bushels to barrel.
SHIPMENTS OF GRAIN AND FLOUR AT 14 INTERIOR CENTERS.
[Chicago, Cleveland, Detroit, Duluth, Kansas City, Little Rock, Louisville, Milwaukee, Minneapolis, Omaha, Peoria, St. Louis, Toledo, Wichita; shipments of four not available for Cleveland, Detroit, Louisville, Omaha, Toledo, and Wichita.]

|  | Wheat. |  | Corn. |  | Oats. |  | Rye. |  | Barley. |  | Total grain. |  | Flour. |  | Total grain and nlour.: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bushels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Relative. | Bushels. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rela- } \\ & \text { tive. } \end{aligned}$ | Bushels. | Relative. | Barrels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Rela. tive. |
| $\stackrel{1920 .}{ }$ | 28,700, 593 | 184 | 6,284, 075 | 44 | 12,690, 866 |  | 4,339, 057 |  | 3, 556, 180 | 91 | 55, 570, 771 |  | 3, 187, 454 | 94 | 69, 914, 314 | 108 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1921 . \\ \text { May.... } \end{array}$ | 18,675,009 |  | 15, 747, 327 |  | 12, 447, 121 |  | 1, 309,016 |  | 1,682,946 |  | 49, 861, 419 |  | 2,533,847 | 75 | 61, 263, 730 | 94 |
| June. | 21, 550, 026 |  | 21, 381,193 |  | 11, 656, 507 |  | 1,427, 796 |  | 2, 224,652 | 57 | 58,240, 174 |  | 2,113,649 | 62 | 67,751,594 | 104 |
| July | 31, 373, 871 |  | 20, 154, 143 |  | 10, 051,024 |  | 878, 751 |  | 2, 313,726 |  | 64, 771, 515 |  | 3, 842, 046 | 113 | 82,060, 722 | 126 |
| August..... | 58,901, 701 | 378 | 22,657, 863 | 159 | 15, 422, 006 |  | 4, 548, 466 |  | 4, 060, 175 |  | 105, 590, 211 |  |  | 149 | 128, 271, 714 | 198 |
| September.- | 42,726, 679 |  | 27, 705, 761 | 194 | 15, 341, 862 |  | 5, 541,999 |  | 3,676, 194 |  | 94,992,495 | 191 | 5, 473, 912 | 162 | 119,625,099 | 184 |

${ }^{1}$ Flour reduced to its equivalent in wheat on basis of $4 \frac{1}{2}$ bushels to barrel.
STOCKS OF GRAIN AT 11 INTERIOR CENTERS AT CLOSE OF MONTH.
[Chicago, Detroit, Duluth, Indianapolis, Kansas City, Milwaukee, Minneapolis, Omaha, Peoria, St. Louis, and Toledo.]

|  |  | Wheat. | Corn. | Oats. | Rye. | Barley. | Total grain. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| September.......................... |  | Bushels. <br> 9, 134, 621 | Bushels. <br> 5, 669, 580 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bushels. } \\ 23,322,910 \end{gathered}$ | Bushels. <br> 1,303, 475 | Bushels. <br> 2, 114, 369 | Bushels. $41,544,955$ |
| May.. | 1921. | 3,787, 294 | 10,539,233 | 24,926,743 | 363, 170 | 1,079, 831 | 40, 696,271 |
|  |  | 3, 853,292 | 17,944, 190 | 29, 273, 562 | 239, 665 | 1,407, 124 | 52, 717, 833 |
| July. |  | 13,541, 547 | 10, 392, 384 | 32,845, 591 | 625,975 | 1,406, 742 | 58, 812, 239 |
| August |  | 21,927,695 | 6,906, 590 |  |  |  | ${ }^{84,446,977}$ |
| Septembe |  | 29, 727, 378 | 7,794,625 | 52,136, 821 | 2,481,562 | 2,265,615 | 94,406,001 |

receipts of grain and flour at nine seaboard centers.
[Boston, New York, Philadelphia, Baltimore, New Orleans, San Francisco, Portland (Oreg.), Seattle, Tacoma; receipts of flour not available from Seattle and Tacoma. Compiled from reports of trade organizations at these cities. Monthly average, 1911-1913=100.]

|  | Wheat. |  | Corn. |  | Oats. |  | Rye. |  | Barley. |  | Total grain. |  | Flour. |  | Total grain and flour. ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bushels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Rela- <br> tive. | Bushels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Rela- <br> tive. | Barrels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Relative. |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1920 . \\ & \text { September. } \end{aligned}$ | 31,693,246 | 252 | 1,456, 958 | 41 | 3,069, 700 |  | , 133,465 |  | 1, 815, 227 | 109 | 42, 168, 596 |  | 1, 422, 872 | 136 | 48, 571, 520 | 177 |
| $\begin{gathered} 1921 . \\ \text { May.... } \end{gathered}$ | 15, 052,060 | 119 | 4, 807, 533 | 135 | 1,221, 630 |  | 1,506, 359 | 1,060 | 1, 422,693 |  | 24,010, 275 |  | 1, 518,671 | 145 | 30, 844, 295 | 113 |
| June.. | 16, 628,892 | 132 | 5,385,639 | 152 | 1,903, 786 |  | 1, 180, 119 | 831 | 658,295 |  | 25,756, 731 |  | 1, 714, 852 | 164 | 33, 473, 565 | 122 |
| July... | 34, 142, 124 | 271 |  | $\stackrel{263}{55}$ | 7, 002, 155 |  | 3, 143, 438 |  | $4,626,343$ |  | 58, 257, 757 |  | 7, 210, 521 | 690 | -90,705, 102 | 331 |
| August.... | 33,049,960 | 262 | 1,936,481 | 65 | 2, 2121,580 | 45 | 1, $1,965,945$ |  | $6,089,131$ $4,769,381$ |  | [43, 442,041 |  | 2, $2,357,563$ | 228 | 51, 758, 974 $54,051,075$ | 189 197 |
|  | , |  | 2,215, |  | 2,22, |  | $1, \infty$ |  | ,76,381 |  |  |  | , 307,03 | 22 | , 51,0 | 1 |

${ }^{1}$ Flour reduced to its equivalent in wheat on the basis of $4 \frac{1}{2}$ bushels per barrel.

## STOCKS OF GRAIN AT EIGHT SEABOARD CENTERS AT ClOSE OF MONTH.

[Boston, New York, Philadelphia, Baltimore, New Orleans, Newport News, Galveston, San Francisco. Compiled from reports of trade organizations at these cities.]

|  |  | Wheat. | Corn. | Oats. | Rye. | Barley. | Total grain. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| September | 1920. | Bushels. $15,517,070$ | Bushels. <br> 1,146,514 | Bushels. <br> 2,398,157 | Bushels. $2,414,910$ | Bushels. 4, 110, 158 | Bushels. $25,586,809$ |
|  | 1921. | 3, 788, 898 | 1,185,753 | 1,107,518 | 534, 574 | -1008 |  |
| June. |  | 3,486,041 | 2, 136, 128 | 1,058, 652 | 157,397 | $1,664,674$ | 7,517, ${ }^{\text {8, }}$, 992 |
| July. |  | 9,972, 506 | 1, 113, 767 | -981,942 | 386,710 | 3, 738, 401 | 16, 193, 326 |
| August. |  | 12,934, 198 | 955, 694 | 1,592,072 | 1,389, 338 | 3,997,970 | 20, 869, 272 |
| September.. |  | 17,626,696 | 999,184 | 1,862,899 | 1,640,511 | 3,811,936 | 25,941,226 |

WHEAT-FLOUR PRODUCTION.
[January, 1918, to June, 1920, U. S. Grain Corporation; July, 1920, on, estimated by Russel's Commercial News (Inc.), New York.]

| September..................... | Barrels. <br> 9, 450, 000 | 1921. | Barrels. <br> 8,087,000 <br> 10, 720, 000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | June.. |  |
|  |  | July...i. |  |
| May. | 8,406,000 | September. | 13, 349,000 |

COTTON


## COTTONSEED.



SHIPMENTS OF CITRUS AND DECIDUOUS FRUITS FROM CALIFORNIA.
[March, 1921, on, Bureau of Markets and California Fruit News. ${ }^{1}$ Monthly average, 1911-1913=100.]

${ }^{1}$ For previous sources, see April, 1921, Bulletin.
sugar.
[Data for ports of New York, Boston, Philadelphia, San Francisco, Galveston, Sayannah, New Orleans. Weekly Statistical Sugar Trade Journal. Tons of 2,240 pounds.]

|  | Reccipts. | Meltings. | Raw stocks at close of month. |  | Receipts. | Meltings. | Raw stocks at close of month. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1920. |  |  |  | 1921. |  |  |  |
| September. | 186,822 | 257,613 | 102,179 | June. | ${ }_{221}^{264,} 706$ | 301,835 | 244, ${ }^{144,904}$ |
| 1921. |  |  |  | August. | 416, 170 | 423,677 | 136,421 |
| May. | 351, 249 | 343,106 | 289,080 | September. | 240,211 | 253,811 | 145,390 |

tobacco sales at loose-deaf warehouses.
[Reports of State authorities.]

|  | Virginia dark. | Bright belt. |  |  |  | Burley. | Western dark. | Grand total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Virginia. | North Carolina. | South Carolina. | Total. |  |  |  |
| September......... | Pounds.$1,893,344$ | Pounds.$2,423,854$ | Pounds. 40, 480,056 | pounds.$\mathbf{9}, 479,017$ | Pounds. 52,382,927 | pounds. $2,967,586$ | Pounds. 771,995 | Pounds. 58,015, 852 |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July... |  |  | $2,200,905$ $11,840,109$ | $7,952,265$ $37,950,177$ | $10,153,179$ $49,790,286$ | 1,521, 247 $\mathbf{2}, 662,095$ | 573,650 486,550 | 12,248,067 |
| September. | 67,809 | 1,698, 410 | 41,756,009 | 2,287, 697 | 45,742,116 | 2,346,050 | 265, 280 | 48,421,255 |

Note.-Includes sale for growers and dealers, but excludes resales.
SALE OF REVENUE STAMPS FOR MANUFACTURES OF TOBACCO IN THE UNITED STATES (EXCLUDING PORTO RICO AND PHILIPPINE ISLANDS).
[Commissioner of Internal Revenue.]

|  | Cigars. |  | Cigarettes. | Manufactured tobacco. |  | Cigars. |  | Cigarettes. <br> Small | Manufactured tobacco. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Large. | Small. | Small. |  |  | Large. | Small. |  |  |
| 1920. September. | Number. $678,640,116$ | Number. 50, 175, 580 | Number. $3,557,482,503$ | Pounds. <br> 32, 118, 950 | June....... | Number. <br> 618, 495, 102 | Number. <br> $50,175,400$ | Number. <br> 4, 219,727,623 | Pounds, |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  | July..... | 564, 598,255 | 47, 362, 340 | 4, 161, 217, 573 | $29,226,353$ $33,601,590$ |
| мау........ | 555, 497, 120 | 55, 349, 100 | 4, 136,084, 890 | 28,671, 501 | September. | 614,427,829 | 55,799,900 | 4,791, ${ }^{597}$, 910 | 31, 488,809 |

NAVAL STORES.
[Data for Savannah, Jacksonville, and Pensacola. Compiled from reports of trade organizations at these cities.]

|  | Spirits of turpentine. |  | Rosin. |  |  | Spirits of turpentine. |  | Rosin. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Receipts. | Stocks at close of month. | Receipts. | Stocks at close of month. |  | Receipts. | Stocks at close of month. | Receipts. | Stocks at close of month. |
| September... | Barrels. 32, 162 | Barrels. 44, 396 | Barrels. 96, 591 | Barrels. 176,612 | $\begin{array}{r} 1921 . \\ \text { June........ } \end{array}$ | Barrels. 33, 533 | Barrels. 36, 949 | Barrels. 80, 943 | Barrels. 308, 341 |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  | August. | 33,773 | 52, 851 | 92,580 | 328,907 |
| May.......... | 26,364 | 38, 650 | 61,213 | 312, 293 | September. | 28,786 | 54,949 | 83,484 | 322, 898 |

COAL AND COKE.
[U. S. Geological Survey. Monthly average, 1911-1913=100.]

|  | Bituminous coal, estimated monthly production. |  | Anthracite coal, estimated monthly production. |  | Beehive coke, estimated monthly production. |  | By-product coke, estimated monthly production. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Short tons. | Relative. | Short tons. | Relative. | Short tons. | Relative. | Short tons. | Relative. |
| October................. | 52, 144, 000 | 141 | 8,056,000 | 109 | 1,742,333 | 67 |  |  |
| May..................... | 33, 330, 000 | 90 | 7, 497,000 | 101 | 290, 000 | 11 |  |  |
| June.. | 33, 852, 000 | 91 | 7,786, 000 | 105 | 232,000 | 9 | 1,410,000 |  |
| July | 30, 394, 000 | 82 | 7, 050, 000 | 95 | 181,000 | 7 | 1, 285, 000 | 146 |
| August.. | 34, 538, 0000 | ${ }_{95}^{93}$ | 7, 196, 01000 | ${ }_{96}^{97}$ | 248,000 | 0 | 1, 402, $0 \times 00$ | 159 162 |
| September. | $35,105,000$ $43,741,000$ | -958 | 7, 124,000 | 96 |  |  | 1, 423, 000 | 162 |
| October. | 4, 74,00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

CRUDE PETROLEUM.
[Production and stocks, U. S. Geological Survey; wells completed, Oil and Gas Journal and Standard Oil Bulletin (California). Barrels of 42 ; wells completed, oil and Gas Journal and
gallons each. Monthly average, $1911-1913=100$.]

|  | Production. |  | Stocks at close of month (barrels). | Producing oil wells cleted. |  | Production. |  | Stocks at close of (barrels). | Producing oil wells completed. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Barrels. | Relative. |  |  |  | Barrels. | Relative. |  |  |
| 1920. September...... | 37,889, 000 | 196 | 122, 852,000 | 2,185 | June............ | 40,405, 000 | 211 | 164, 837, 000 |  |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  | July... | 40, 328,000 | 210 | 172, 359, 000 | 1,157 |
| May | 42,043, 000 | 219 | 156, 561, 000 | 1,405 | September... | 36, 469, 000 | 190 | 177, 250, 000 | 952 788 |

## TOTAL OUTPUT OF OLL REFINERIES AND STOCKS OF OIL. <br> [Bureau of Mines.] <br> OUTPUT BY MONTHS.

|  | Crude oil run (barrels). | Gasoline (gallons). | Kerosene (gallons). | Gas and fuel (gallons). | Lubricating (gallons). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |
| August. | 39,757,770 | 444, 141,422 | 189, 010,459 | 834,322,503 | 91,078,569 |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |
| May.. | $36,990,478$ $36,940,821$ | $448,567,873$ $430,344,393$ | 145, 225,023 | 817, 367,590 | 70,000,194 |
| July. |  | $419,641,815$ | 138,724, 132 | 8207, <br> 805128,262 | $63,088,609$ $65,893,228$ |
| August. | 36,044,910 | 431,577,195 | 143,652, 290 | 784, 450,485 | 66,473,473 |

STOCKS AT CLOSE OF MONTH.

| 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| August 31. | 17,960,558 | 323,239,991 | 378, 548,791 | 708,608,472 | 130,797,810 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 31. | 20, 816,203 | 800, 495, 787 | 452,437,995 | 1,163,388,695 | 261,759,797 |
| July 31.. | 21,008,986 | 850,644, 450 | +35, 056,713 $412,201,674$ | $1,248,664,088$ $1,269,419,283$ | 260, 883,121 |
| August 31. | 16,374,050 | 567,645,548 | 389,893,421 | 1,243,445,980 | $258,638,298$ $242,530,099$ |

## IRON AND STEEL.

[Pig-iron production, Iron Age; steel-ingot production, American Iron and Steel Institute. Monthly average, 1911-1913=100.]

|  | Iron-ore shipments from the upper Lakes. |  | Pig-iron production. |  | Steel-ingot production. |  | Unfilled orders U. S. Steel Corporation at close of month. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Gross tons. | Relative. | Gross tons. | Relative. | Gross tons. | Relative. | Gross tons. | Relative. |
| October...................... | 8,848,986 | 146 | 3,292,597 | 142 | 3,015,982 | 129 | 9,836, 852 | 187 |
| May.......................... | 2,594,027 | 43 | 1,221,221 | 53 | 1,265,850 | 54 |  |  |
| June. | 3,600,989 | 59 | 1,064, 833 | 46 | 1,003, 406 | 43 | 5, 117, 868 | 97 |
| July. | 4,047,687 | 67 | 864, 555 | 37 | 1803,376 | 35 | 4, 830, 324 | 92 |
| August. | 4,329, 158 | 71 | 954, 193 | 41 | 1,138, 071 | 49 | 4, 5331,926 | 86 |
| September | 3,913, 122 | 65 |  | 43 | 1,174, 740 | 51 | $4,560,670$ | 87 |
| October. |  |  | 1,233,232 | 53 | 1,616,810 | 70 | 4,286,829. | 81 |

STRUCTURAL-STEEL ORDERS AND SHIPMENTS.
[Bridge Builders and Structural Society.]

|  | Fabricated structural steel contracted for throughout country. |  | Structural-steel orders and shipments of the membership of Bridge Builders and Structural Society. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tonnage. | Per cent shop capacity. | Orders. |  | Shipments. |  |
|  |  |  | Tonnage. | Per cent shop capacity. | Tomage. | Per cent shop capacity. |
| Soptember..................... | 77, 400 | 43.0 | 26,755 | 37.0 | 53,526 | 74.0 |
| 1921. | 50,80066,900 | 28.0 | 25,763 | 37.5 |  |  |
| June. |  | 37.033.5 | 25, 247 | 35.5 | 27, 363 | 41.039.032.5 |
| July.. | 66,900 60,200 |  | 21,847 | 32.0 | 22, 186 |  |
| August. | $\begin{aligned} & 50, \\ & 50,300 \\ & 86,000 \end{aligned}$ | 33.048.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,010 \\ & 32,099 \end{aligned}$ | 26.047.0 | 23,55823,197 | 34.534.0 |
| September... |  |  |  |  |  |  |

PRODUCTION OF COPPER.
[American Bureau of Metal Statistics. Monthly average, 1911-1913=100.]

|  | Pounds. | Relative. |  | Pounds. | Relative. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1921. |  |  | 1921. |  |  |
| May. | 22,835, 228 | ${ }_{2}^{23}$ | August. | 20,013,569 | 20 |
| July...... | $18,039,107$ 16,390 | 18 17 | September. | 19,682,554 | 20 |

ZINC.
[American Zinc Institute. Tons of 2,000 pounds.]


LEAD PRODUCTION.
[American Bureau of Metal Statistics. Tons of 2,000 pounds.]

|  | Production. | Relative. |  | Production. | Relative. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1921. |  |  | 1921. |  |  |
| May........ | 27,650 28,348 | 79 81 | July .... | 27, 827 | 80 |

TIN.
[Imports, Department of Commerce. Deliveries, New York Metal Exchange. Monthly average, 1911-1913=100.]

|  | Imports. | Relative. | Deliveries tofactories. |  | Imports. | Relative. | Deliveries to factories. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| October.............. | Pounds. <br> 6,741, 331 | 74 | Pounds. <br> 7,649,600 | July................. | Pounds. <br> 3,565, 767 | 39 | Pounds. $3,411,520$ |
| May................. |  |  |  | September | 5,796, 186 | 64 | 5, 835,200 |
| June. | 4, 133, 450 | 45 | 3, 561,600 | October. |  |  | $5,107,200$ |

TEXTLLES-COTTON AND SILK.
[Cotton, Bureau of the Census; silk, Department of Commerce. Cotton, monthly average, crop years 1912-1914=100; silk, monthly average, 1911$1913=100.1$

|  | Cotton consumption. |  | Cotton spindles active during month. | Imports of raw silk. |  |  | Cotton consumption. |  | Cotton spindles active during month. | Imports of raw silk. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bales. | Relative. |  | Pounds. | Relative |  | Bales. | Relative. |  | Pounds. | Relative. |
| 1920. September. | 457, 967 | 102 | 34,072, 389 | 1,968,801 | 96 | June...... | 461,656 | 103 | 32,665, 315 | 3,871, 327 | 189 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | July.... | 410, 120 | 91 | 32, 446, 281 | 4, 817,985 | 238 |
| May....... | 439, 884 | 98 | 32,631, 051 | 4, 437,080 | 217 | September | 484,647 | 108 | 33, 898, 415 | 5, $4,597,642$ | 225 |

TEXTILES-WOOL.
[Wool consumption, Bureau of Markets; idle wool machinery, Bureau of the Census.]

|  | Consumption (pounds). ${ }^{1}$ | Percentage of idle machinery on first of month to total reported. |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage of idle hours on first of month to total reported. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Looms. |  | Sets of cards. |  | Spinningspindles. |  | Looms. |  | Sets of cards. | Combs. | Spinning spindles. |  |
|  |  | Wider than 50 -inch reed space. | 50 -inch reed space or less |  | Combs. | Woolen. | Worsted. | Wider than 50 -inch reed space. | $\begin{gathered} 50-\mathrm{inch} \\ \text { reed } \\ \text { space } \\ \text { or less. } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | Woolen. | Worsted. |
| October....... | 38,443,688 | 49.0 | 34.9 | 38.3 | 26.3 | 43.2 | 26.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May........... |  |  |  |  | 14.2 |  | 12.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 59, 592, 000 | 20.5 | 25.2 | 21.6 | 10.9 | 20.6 | 10.1 | 19.9 | 30.1 | 19.8 | 1.4 | 18.6 | 10.4 |
| July. | 53, 076, 000 | 18.7 | 25.2 | 21.3 | 11.0 | 20.5 | 9.8 | 17.5 | 26.3 | 17.9 | 4.1 | 18.2 | 6.6 |
| August.... | 58, 261, 000 | 20.4 | 26.4 | 22.9 | 12.7 | 21.9 | 13.3 | 20.8 | 29.6 | 20.6 | 12.6 | 20.0 | 14.3 |
| September | 62,130,667 | 22.0 23.7 | 28.4 25.8 | 22.8 22.3 | 16.7 <br> 14.3 | 22.4 22.4 | 9.5 8.6 | 23.3 25.8 | 31.0 27.5 | 21.1 21.0 | 8.8 2.4 | 21.2 20.9 | 11.5 7.8 |

${ }^{1}$ Converted to grease-equivalent basis.
LUMBER.
[From reports of manufacturers' associations.]

|  | Southern pine. |  |  | Western pine. |  |  | Douglas fir. |  |  | Eastern white pine. |  |  | North Carolina pine. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of mills. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pro- } \\ & \text { duc- } \\ & \text { tion. } \end{aligned}$ | Shipments. | Num mills. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pro- } \\ & \text { duc- } \\ & \text { tion. } \end{aligned}$ | Shipments. | Nummills. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pro- } \\ & \text { duc- } \\ & \text { tion. } \end{aligned}$ | Shipments. | Number of mills. | Pro-duction. | Shipments. | Nummills. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pro- } \\ & \text { duc- } \\ & \text { tion. } \end{aligned}$ | Shipments. |
| September. | 204 | $\begin{aligned} & M \text { feet. } \\ & 376,566 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} M \text { feet. } \\ \mathbf{3 7 8}, 195 \end{gathered}$ | 49 | $\begin{aligned} & M Y \text { feet. } \\ & 164,312 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { M feet. } \\ 98,808 \end{gathered}$ | 127 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M feet. } \\ & 299,277 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & M \text { feet. } \\ & 238,965 \end{aligned}$ | 20 | $\underset{48,962}{M}$ | $\begin{aligned} & M \text { feet. } \\ & 45,445 \end{aligned}$ | 20 | $\begin{gathered} M \text { feet. } \\ 21,887 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M feet. } \\ & 16,043 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June. | 190 | 365,970 | ${ }_{371,183}$ | $\stackrel{5}{55}$ | 121,648 | 76,884 | 114 | 294, 762 | 330,002 | 19 | 32,708 | 22,018 | 14 | 16, 128 | 15,673 |
| July. | 187 | 366,057 | 346, 300 | 56 | 110,588 | 77, 243 | 107 | 191, 257 | 187,165 | 19 | 43,843 | 21,991 | 11 | 15, 267 | 14,864 |
| August | 185 | 388,510 | 405, 974 | 56 | 107, 346 | 92,397 | 103 | 290, 877 | 321,553 | 19 | 47,675 | 25,933 | 12 | 15,820 | 16,617 |
| September | 186 | 385,029 | 433,714 | 53 | 82,890 | 89,500 | 103 | 231,559 | 216,763 | 19 | 37,716 | 35,675 |  |  |  |

RECEIPTS AND SHIPMENTS OF LUMBER AT CHICAGO AND ST. LOUIS.
[Chicago Board of Trade and Merchants' Exchange of St. Louis. Monthly average, 1911-1913=100.]

|  | Receipts. |  | Shipments. |  |  | Receipts. |  | Shipments. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M feet. | Relative. | M feet. | Relative. |  | M feet. | Relative. | M feet. | Relative. |
| October 1920. | 398,333354,992 | 86 | 220,116 | 87 | 1921. | $\begin{aligned} & 372,453 \\ & 328,129 \\ & 356,730 \\ & 388,740 \\ & 405,755 \end{aligned}$ | 807177848484 | $\begin{aligned} & 253,848 \\ & 216,908 \\ & 235,736 \\ & 246,602 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r}100 \\ 85 \\ 93 \\ 97 \\ 96 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| October.. |  |  |  |  | June... |  |  |  |  |
| 1921. |  | 76 | 242,452 |  | August....... |  |  |  |  |
| May.......... | 354,992 |  |  | 95 | September. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | October.... |  |  | 270,448 |  |

PRODUCTION OF WOOD PULP AND PAPER.
[Federal Trade Commission.]

|  | Wood pulp. | Newsprint. | Book, | Paper board. | Wrapping. | Fine. |  | Wood pulp. | Newsprint. | Book. | Paper board. | Wrapping. | Fine. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1920.September | $\begin{gathered} N e t \\ \text { tons. } \\ \mathbf{2 9 3 , 9 1 3} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Net } \\ \text { tons. } \\ 121,005 \end{gathered}$ | Net tons. 94, 142 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Net } \\ \text { tons. } \\ 218,743 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Net } \\ & \text { tons } \\ & 70,917 \end{aligned}$ | Net tons. 34, 207 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Net } \\ \text { tons. } \\ 189,389 \end{gathered}$ | Net tons. | Net | Net | $N e t$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | June..... |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { tons. }}{53,984}$ | $\xrightarrow{\text { tons. }}$ | ${ }_{\text {tons. }}^{\text {to, }}$ | tons. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | July. | 178, 173 | 94,247 | 48,527 | 112, 265 | 45, 090 | 16,327 |
| 1921. | 216, 101 | 78,868 | 52,642 | 122,801 | 53,084 | 17,484 | August.... | 195,176 193,479 | 102,277 98,898 | 59,711 62,416 | 138,530 160,207 | 56,167 59,095 | ${ }_{20,555}^{18,833}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | September | 193,479 | 98,898 | 62,416 | 160,207 | 59,095 | 20,555 |

CEMENT.
[U. S. Geological Survey.]

|  | Production. | Shipments. | Stocks at close of month. |  | Production. | Shipments. | Stocks at close of month. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1921. | Barrels. | Barrels. | Barrets. | 1921. | Barrels. | Barrels. | Barrels. |
| May. | 9,281,000 | - ${ }^{9,488,000}$ | 12,450,000 | August.... | 10,244,000 | 12,340,000 | 8,280,000 |
| July. | 9,568,000 | 10,301,000 | 10, 414,000 | September. |  |  |  |

RAW STOCKS OF HIDES AND SKINS.
[Bureau of Markets; July, 1920, on Bureau of the Census.]

|  | Cattle <br> hides. | Calfskins. | Kipskins. | Goat and kid. | Cabaretta. | Sheep and lamb. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sept. 30.............................. | 6,158,289 | 2,055, 084 | 947, 546 | 17, 573, 404 | 2,736,802 | 8,661,215 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 31. |  | 7,441, 619 $7,077,950$ | $3,715,602$ $3,890,547$ | 1,021, 039 | $8,789,123$ $9,679,847$ | 1,244,069 | 13,334,187 |
| July 31. | 6,448, 869 | $3,839,871$ $3,45,31$ | $\begin{array}{r}1,080,762 \\ \hline 800\end{array}$ |  | 1, 109,005 | $13,765,042$ $13,761,905$ |
| Aug. 31. | 6,494,281 | 3,459,310 | 895,523 | 10,948,785 | 1,029,369 | 13,904,019 |
| Sept. 30 | 6,086,225 | 3,475,069 | 938,001 | 10,745,903 | 791,110 | 12,606,056 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes hides and skins in transit.
LEATHER PRODUCTION.
[Tanners' Council.]

|  | Sole leather (sides). | Skivers <br> (dozens). | Oak and union harness leather (stuffed sides). |  | Sole <br> - leather (sides). | Skivers (dozens). | Oak and union harness leather (stuffed sides). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| September.......... | 1,375,763 | 13,788 | 95,204 | June............... |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | July.... | 1, 331,373 | 12,321 | 57,196 44,971 |
| 1921. |  |  |  | August.. | 1,607,302 | 21, 430 | 50,857 |
| May. | 1,561,220 | 14,499 | 57,480 | September | 1,507, 185 | 20,683 | 49,507 |

AUTOMOBILE TIRES AND TUBES.
['The Rubber Association of America.]

|  | Pneumatic tires. |  |  | Inner tubes. |  |  | Solid tires. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Production. | Stocks. | Shipments, domestic. | Production. | Stocks. | Shipments domestic. | Production. | Stocks. | Shipments, domestic. |
| 1921. | Number. | Number. | Number. <br> 965,417 | Number. | Number. <br>  | Number. <br> Number | Number. | Number. | Number. |
| February. | 819, 892 | 5,193,018 | 1,073,756 | 916, 627 | 5,415, 464 | 1,129, 881 | 23,365 | 304, 374 | 20, 599 |
| March. | 1, 163, 314 | 4, 597, 103 | 1,614,651 | 1,346, 483 | 5,044, 861 | 1,613,690 | 28,710 | 283, 800 | 43,926 |
| April. | 1, 051,418 | 4. 527,445 | 1,785, 951 | 1,762, 122 | 4,916, 772 | 1,983, 571 | 28,859 | 269, 985 | 42,080 |
| May. | 2, 100,917 | 4,451,668 | 2,085, 882 | 2, 210,040 | 4,751, 880 | 2, 342,557 | 35,156 | 264, 633 | 40, 122 |
| June. | 2,313, 265 | 4, 154, 456 | 2, 643,850 | 2,359,928 | 3. 835,098 | 3, 232,673 | 28,395 | 240,336 | 49, 867 |
| July..... | $\xrightarrow{2,570,524} \mathbf{3 , 0 4 3 , 1 8 7}$ | $3,892,037$ $3,934,583$ | $\xrightarrow{2,757,581}$ | $3,020,981$ $4,430,152$ | 3,122, 815 $3,649,319$ | $3,603,248$ $3,804,060$ | 35,123 55,694 | 220,003 216,367 | 55,678 66,856 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 60,856 |

SHIPMENTS OF AUTOMOBILES.
[National Automobile Chamber of Commerce.]

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Railroad } \\ & \text { (carloads). } \end{aligned}$ | Driveaways (machines). | Boat (machines). |  | Railroad (carloads). | Driveaways (machines). | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Boat (ma- } \\ & \text { chines). } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1920. |  |  |  | 1921. |  |  |  |
| October. | 17,209 | 14, 127 | 2,519 | June. | 20,269 19,514 | 18,834 | 3,947 3,726 |
| 1921. |  |  |  | August | 20,758 | 15,218 | 3, 395 |
| May. | 18,608 | 15, 193 | 2,381 | September | 20, 150 | 13, 550 | 3, 580 |
|  |  |  |  | October... | 17,323 | 11,257 | 2,300 |

OUTPUT OF LOCOMOTIVES AND CARS.
[Locomotives, reports from individual producers; cars, Railway Car Manufacturers' Association.]

|  | Locomotives. |  | Output of cars. |  |  |  | Locomotives. |  | Output of cars. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Foreign completed | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Domes- } \\ & \text { tic. } \end{aligned}$ | Foreign. | Total. |  |  | Foreign completed | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Domes- } \\ & \text { tic. } \end{aligned}$ | Foreign. | Total. |
| 1920. September. | ${ }_{126} \text { Number. }$ | Number. 69 | $\underset{3,955}{\text { Number. }}$ | Number. 1,103 | Number. 5,058 | June....... | Number. 43 | Number. 36 | Number. 2,540 | Number. 417 | Number. 2,957 |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  | July.... | 31 <br> 43 | 25 51 | 4,140 2,364 | 441 182 | $\mathbf{4 , 5 8 1}$ $\mathbf{2 , 5 4 6}$ |
| May ......... | 56 | 18 | 3,843 | 44 | 4,287 | Septembe | 50 | 53 | 1,597 | 374 | 1,971 |

vessels built in united states, including those for foreign nations, and officially numbered by the BUREAU OF NAVIGATION.
[Monthly average, 1911-1913=100.]

|  | Number. | Gross tonnage. | Relative. |  | Number. | Gross tonnage. | Relative. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| September......... | 135 | 261,962 | 1,084 | June. ............... | 160 | 173,885 |  |
| 1921. |  |  |  | July... | $\begin{array}{r}107 \\ 75 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 90,636 90,205 | 375 373 |
| May | 116 | 132,622 | 549 | September | 67. | 105,352 | 436 |

RAILROAD OPERATING STATISTICS.
[United States Railroad Administration; March, 1920, on, Interstate Commerce Commission.]

|  | Net ton-miles, revenue and nonrevenue. | Net tons per train. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Net tons } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { loaded car. } \end{gathered}$ |  | Net ton-miles, revenue and nonrevenue. | Net tons per train. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Net tons } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { loaded car. } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1920. |  |  |  | 1921. |  |  |  |
| August. | 42,684,908,000 | 788 | 30.1 | June | 28, $110,661,000$ | ${ }_{660} 67$ | 27.7 27.5 |
| May 1921. |  |  |  | August.. | 30,381,958,000 | 670 | 27.4 |
| May............. | 28, 221,000,000 |  | 27.8 |  |  |  |  |

RAILROAD REVENUE-FREIGHT LOADED AND RECEIVED FROM CONNECTIONS.
[American Railway Association. Carloads.]
REVENUE-FREIGHT LOADED, CLASSIFIED ACCORDING TO NATURE OF PRODUCT.

|  |  | Grain and grain products. | Live stock. | Coal. | Coke. | Forest products. | Ore. | Merchandise, L. C. L. | Miscellaneous. | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| September. | 1920. | 187, 516 | 135,037 | 863, 881 | 67, 445 | 266, 617 | 347, 466 | 885, 986 | 1,431, 353 | 4, 185, 301 |
|  | 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May. |  | 161, 133 | 111, 416 | 657, 022 | 21, 622 | 207, 598 | 100, 396 | 899, 233 | 1,006, 632 | 3, 165, 052 |
| June. |  | 181, 080 | 121,751 | 696, 312 | 21,064 | 221, 569 | 130, 838 | 947, 162 | 1,106, 768 | 3, 426, 544 |
| July - |  | 239, 857 | 104,224 | 634, 100 | 17,057 | 182, 078 | 131,666 | 879,382 | 1,072, 774 | 3, 261, 138 |
| August. |  | 269, 878 | 124,394 | 699, 193 | 19,875 | 202, 545 | 142, 961 | 968, 746 | 1, 227, 599 | 3,655, 191 |
| September. |  | 239,514 | 129,600 | 705, 827 | 21, 406 | 201, 251 | 123, 730 | 971, 527 | 1,248,529 | 3,641, 384 |

REVENUE-FREIGHT LOADED, CLASSIFIED ACCORDING TO GEOGRAPHICAL DIVISIONS.

|  | Eastern. | Allegheny. | Pocahontas. | Southern. | Northwestern. | Central western. | Southwestern. | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| September 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| september. | 1,009,434 | 87, 517 | 162, 430 | 557,829 | 710,914 | 582,509 | 283, 068 | 4,185,301 |
| May .................... | 772733 | 651, 670 | 137, 324 | 468,284 | 453,876 | 431,815 | 249350 | 3, 165, 052 |
| June. | 826, 912 | 714, 503 | 150, 331 | 497, 093 | 519, 090 | 465, 973 | 252, 642 | 3, 426,544 |
| July | 786,454 | 651,910 | 122,958 | 465, 145 | 489, 118 | 485, 161 | 260, 392 | 3,261, 138 |
| August | 890, 199 | 716,717 | 120, 320 | 493, 840 | 579, 035 | 567, 883 | 287, 197 | 3, 655,191 |
| September. | 882, 236 | 710, 463 | 131, 712 | 508, 984 | 555, 095 | 574, 833 | 278, 061 | 3, 641,384 |

TONNAGE OF VESSELS CLEARED IN THE FOREIGN TRADE.
[Department of Commerce. Monthly average, 1911-1913=100.]

|  | Net tonnage. |  |  | Relative. | Per-centage American to total. | Relative. |  | Net tonnage. |  |  | Relative. | Per-centage Ameritotal. | Relative. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | American. | Foreign. | Total. |  |  |  |  | American. | Foreign. | Total. |  |  |  |
| 1920. September | 3, 421, 531 | 3, 513,599 | 6, 935, 130 | 170 | 49.3 | 186 | June..... | 3, 058,679 | 3, 094, 452 | 6, 153, 131 | 150 | 49.7 | 187 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | July ..... | 2, 512,712 | 3, 362,443 | $5,875,1.55$ $5,804,508$ | 144 142 | 42.8 44.5 | 161 168 |
| May...... | 2, 113, 881 | 2,910,341 | 5, 024, 222 | 123 | 42.1 | 159 | September | 3,091,960 | 2, 903, 253 | 5, 995, 213 | 147 | 51.6 | 194 |

COMMERCE OF CANALS at sault ste. Marie.
[Monthly average, May-November, 1911-1913=100.]
EASTBOUND.

|  | Grain other than wheat. |  | Wheat. |  | Flour. |  | Iron ore. |  | Total. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bushels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Relative. | Barrels. | Relative. | Short tons. | Relative. | Short tons. | Relative. |
| September........ | 3, 102,770 | 35 | 11, 624, 488 | 60 | 621,010 | 53 | 8,721, 412 | 147 | 9, 290, 129 | 133 |
| April............ | 7, 418, 708 |  | 8, 592, 826 |  | 54,540 |  | 95, 328 |  | 518,458 |  |
| May. | 12,431, 592 | 140 | 12,609, 469 | 66 | 890, 330 | 77 | 2, 652,033 | 45 | 3, 407, 827 | 49 |
|  | 11, 358, 929 | 127 | 10,418,433 | 54 | 1, 150,240 | 99 | 3, 892, 791 | 66 | 4,628,067 | 66 |
| July.... | 10,839,026 | 122 | 7, 878, 077 | 41 | 1, 119, 140 | 96 | 4, 356, 760 | 73 | 5, 011, 900 | 72 |
| August.... | 10,298, 759 | 116 | $10,132,267$ $28,120,141$ | [53 | 1, ${ }^{1,232,250}$ | 106 131 | $4,384,949$ $3,610,454$ | 74 61 | $5,128,043$ $5,017,941$ | 73 72 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

COMMERCE OF CANALS AT SAULT STE. MARIE-Continued.
WESTBOUND.

|  | Hard coal. |  | Soft coal. |  | Total. |  | Total freight. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Short tons. | Relative. | Short tons. | Relative. | Short tons. | Relative. | Short tons. | Relative. |
| September.................... | 177, 123 | 57 | 2,040,774 | 106 | 2,458,002 | 99 | 11, 748, 131 | 124 |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |
| May... | 214,776 | 69 | 2,303, 369 | -120 | 2,747, 236 | 110 | 6,155,063 | 65 |
|  | 247,048 | 80 | 3,027, 177 | 158 | 3, 451,409 | 139 | 8,079, 476 | 85 |
| July... | 445, 754 | 144 | 2, 486,990 | 129 | 3, 126, 683 | 126 | 8, 138,583 | 86 |
| $\stackrel{\text { August }}{\text { September... }}$ | -489, $\mathbf{2 8 1} 130$ | 158 91 |  | 88 58 | $2,369,833$ <br> $1,464,130$ | 95 59 | $7,497,876$ $6,482,071$ | $\begin{array}{r}79 \\ \hline 8\end{array}$ |

PRODUCTION OF ELECTRIC POWER BY PUBLIC UTILITY POWER PLANTS.
[U. S. Geological Survey.]

|  | Kilowatt hours. |  |  |  | Kilowatt hours. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Produced by waterpower. | Produced by fuels. | Total. |  | Produced by waterpower. | Produced by fuels. | Total. |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1920 . \\ \text { September... } \end{array}$ | 1,315,642,000 | 2,307,640,000 | 3, 623, 282,000 | June.......... | 1,215,976,000 | 2,028,121,000 | 3,244,093,000 |
| 1921. |  |  |  | July. | $1,224,813,000$ $1,200,000,000$ | $2,044,896,000$ $2,209,909,000$ | $3,269,709,000$ 3,409 309000 |
| May.......... | 1,322,201,000 | 1,941,565,000 | 3,263, 766,000 | September. | 1,118,407,000 | 2,259,087,000 | 3,377,484,000 |

## November Estimate of Corn Production.

Following is a table showing by Federal Reserve districts the preliminary estimate of corn production issued by the United States Department of Agriculture as of November 1, 1921, compared with the forecast made in October and the estimate for 1920 . It will be noted that, though the preliminary estimate is about $11,000,000$ bushels below the October forecast and $80,000,000$ less than last year's record crop, it is still, with the single exception of 1920, the largest corn crop in the history of the country.

Production of Corn, by Federal Reserve Districts [Nov. 1, 1921, forecast of the Department of Agriculture. In thousands

| Federal Reserve district. |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct. } 1 \\ & \text { forecast for } \\ & 1921 . \end{aligned}$ | Estimate for 1920. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Boston. | 5,750 | 5, 810 | 4,535 |
| New York | 43,428 | 44,643 | 38,550 |
| Philadelphia | 67,930 | 64,609 | 63,133 |
| Cleveland. | 200,533 | 201, 017 | 216,642 |
| Richmond. | 183,980 | 181,536 | 202,850 |
| Atlanta.. | 297,615 | 305,067 | 266,055 |
| Chicago.. | 937,596 | 928,661 | 987, 897 |
| St. Louis. | 436,180 | 450,971 | 441, 118 |
| Minneapolis. | 287, 813 | 281, 559 : | 259, 126 |
| Kansas City | ${ }_{2}^{459,370}$ | 483,966 | 542,699 |
| Dallas...... | 221,341 10,162 | 206,152 9,072 | 199,638 10,124 |
| Total | 3,151,698 | 3,163,063 | 3,232,367 |

## BULLDING STATISTICS.

BUILDING PERMITS IN 166 SELECTED CITIES.
[Collected by the 12 Federal Reserve Banks.]
NUMBER OF PERMITS ISSUED.

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { District } \\ \text { No.1 } \\ \text { (14 } \\ \text { cities). } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { District } \\ \text { No. } 2 \\ \text { (22 } \\ \text { cities). } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { District } \\ \text { No. } \\ \text { (14 } \\ \text { cities). } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { District } \\ \text { No. } 4 \\ (12 \\ \text { cities). } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { District } \\ \text { No. } 5 \\ \text { (15 } \\ \text { cities). } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { District } \\ \text { No. } 6 \\ \text { (14 } \\ \text { cities). } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { District } \\ \text { No. } \\ \text { (19 } \\ \text { cities). } \end{gathered}$ | District No. 8 (4 cities). |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { District } \\ & \text { No. 10 } \\ & \text { (i4 } \\ & \text { cities). } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { District } \\ \text { No.11 } \\ \text { (9 } \\ \text { cities). } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { District } \\ & \text { No. } 12 \\ & \text { (20 } \\ & \text { cities }) . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { (166 } \\ \text { cities). } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1920 . \\ \text { September... } \end{array}$ | 1,715 | 4,779 | 1,943 | 3,528 | 2,497 | 2,255 | 5,003 | 1,309 | 1,419 | 1,559 | 1,864 | 9,224 | 37,095 |
| May......... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June. | 2,517 | 8,534 | 2,874 | 4,564 | $\underset{4,045}{4,170}$ | 2,800 | 5,930 | 1,939 | 1,971 | 2,166 | 2,617 | 8,199 | 47,156 |
| July.. | 2,230 | 7,501 | 2,599 | 3,678 | 3,278 | 2,564 | 5,392 | 1,815 | 1,753 | 2,240 | 2,475 | 7,925 | 43,450 |
| August.... | 2,596 | 8,188 | 2,749 | 4,222 | 3,756 | 3,437 | 6,157 | 1,971 | 2,390 | 2,653 | 2,814 | 10,187 | 51,120 |
| September. | 2,442 | 7,709 | 2,990 | 3,987 | 3,389 | 2,847 | 5,466 | 2,036 | 1,975 | 2,739 | 2,598 | 11,169 | 49,347 |

VALUE OF PERMITS ISSUED.


VALUE OF BUILDING CONTRACTS AWARDED, BY FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICTS.
[ F . W. Dodge Co.]
value of contracts for all classes of buildings.

|  | District No. 1. | District No. 2. | District No. 3. | District <br> No. 4. | District No. 5.1 | District <br> No. 7. | District <br> No. 9. ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| October . ................ | 16,908,259 | 51,216,541 | 6,756,200 | 31,796, 117 | 10,020,614 | 37, 991, 617 | 0,781,844 |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May. | 17,954, 234 | 58, 816,766 | 23,373,200 | 33,915, 207 | 23,023,500 | 54, 867,976 | 8, 841,467 |
|  | 15,308,072 | 63, 561,928 | 14,796,800 | 39, 928, 314 | 20,428, 761 | 45, 199,007 | 8,762,123 |
| July. | 19,298, 334 | 54, 500,566 | 13, 563, 100 | 35, 669,377 | 16,026,969 | 41,119, 866 | 12,651,007 |
| August | 19,276, 295 | 62,043, 905 | 22,350,500 | 26,665, 555 | 17, 337, 624 | 44,680,034 | 9, 173, 552 |
| September | 15,282,766 | 90, 730,134 | 16,197,500 | 36,041,601 | 19,597, 191 | 41, 461, 283 | 8,162, 640 |
| October. | 20,498,363 | 74,429,237 | 18,295,400 | 26,969,800 | 19,463,402 | 36, 250,434 | 8,047,792 |

${ }^{1}$ North and South Carolina not included prior to May, 1921.
2 Montana not included.
VALUE OF CONTRACTS FOR RESIDENTIAL BUILDINGS.

|  | District No. 1. | District No. 2. | District No. 3. | District No. 4. | District No. 5.1 | District No. 7. | District No. 9.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| October.............. 1920. | 511, 379 | 14, 547, 821 | 1,342,000 | 5, 275, 353 | 1,626,395 | 10, 174,284 | ,051,909 |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May. | 6,933, 658 | 36,963,442 | 6, 846, 800 | 9,188, 212 | 6,961,450 | 9, 779,947 | 2,323, 240 |
| June. | 6,531,152 | 34, 355,048 | 3,543,700 | 8, 198,377 | 4,090,859 | 9,880, 273 | 2,554,424 |
| July... | 6,672,758 | 22, 546, 142 | 2, 971,900 | $8,319,248$ | 5, 335,545 | 7, 382, 427 | 3,758,503 |
| August. | 6,702,583 | $36,061,717$ $48,789,646$ | $5,331,500$ $5,526,400$ | $8,209,645$ 8,987610 | 5, 938, 417 | $10,424,029$ $11,655,497$ | ${ }_{2}^{2,975,504}$ |
| October. | 8,094,387 | 39, 738,113 | 5,449,400 | 8,991,474 | 5,937,599 | $11,665,497$ $11,660,499$ | 2,256,545 |

${ }^{1}$ North and South Carolina not included prior to May, 1821.
${ }^{2}$ Montana not included.

## PRODUCTION AND SHIPMENTS OF FINISHED COTTON FABRICS. ${ }^{1}$


${ }^{1}$ The National Association of Finishers of Cotton Fabrics, at the request of the Federal Reserve Board, has arranged for a monthly survey within the industry. The results of the inquiries are herewith presented in tabular form. The secretary of the association makes the following statement concerning the tabulation:

The accompanying figures are compiled from statistics furnished by 35 out of 58 member firms of this association. It is probably fair to state that in the absence of having specific detail at hand, but, according to our best estimate, it is probably well within the fact that the figures given for the various classes of work would cover, approximately, the following percentages of the entire industry: White goods, 72 per cent; dyed goods 62 per cent; printed goods, 30 per cent. The figures given represent reports from exactly the same finishers for the two months, both for the totals
and for the subdivisions, and therefore are strictly comparable.

Note-Many plants were unable to give details under the respective headings of white goods, dyed goods, and printed goods, and reported
their totals only; therefore the column headed "Total" does not always represent the total of the subdivisions but is a correct total for the district.

## REPORT OF KNIT-GOODS MANUFACTURERS OF AMERICA.

The total production of winter and summer underwear for the six months ended September 30 was as follows:

|  | Number of mills reporting. | Actual production (dozens). | Per cent of normal. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1921. |  |  |  |
| April. | 61 | 401,938 | 49.6 |
| May. | 62 | 505, 347 | 55.4 |
| June. | 60 | 559, 591 | 65.5 |
| July.. | 61 | 490, 640 | 51.2 |
| August | 148 | 412, 627 | 71.1 |
| September. | 155 | 572, 833 | 84.4 |
| Winter underwear (September) | 47 | 363, 694 | 83.5 |
| Summer underwear (September) | 28 | 209, 139 | 86.0 |

15 other mills reported closed.
Order and production report for month ended September 30, 1921, follows: The number of mills reporting was 44.


Thirty-five representative mills which reported for August and September furnish the data for the following table:
[In dozens.]

|  | $\underset{(35 \text { mills })}{\text { August }}$ | September (35 mills). | Gain. | Loss. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unfilled orders first of month | 437, 866 | 509,851 | 71,985 |  |
| New orders..................... | 456, 135 | 763, 966 | 307, 831 |  |
| Shipments....................... | 398,783 | 343,145 |  | 55,638 |
| Cancellations. | 4,662 | 4,364 |  | 298 |
| Production. | 303,748 | 352,243 | 48,495 | ... |

## RETAIL TRADE.

The following tables are a summary of the data obtained from 342 representative department stores in the 12 Federal Reserve districts. In districts Nos. $1,2,5,6,7,9,11$, and 12 the data were received in (and averages computed from) actual dollar amounts. In districts Nos. 3, 4, 8, and 10 the material was received in the form of percentages, and the averages for the cities and districts computed from such percentages were weighted according to volume of business done during the calendar year 1920 .
The changes in retail trade for the United States as a whole are obtained by combining the district percentages, after multiplying them by a system of weights based partly on population and partly on banking resources.
The tables for the month of August are based on reports from 26 stores in district No. 1, 54 stores in district No. 2,49 stores in district No. 3, 18 stores in district No. 4, 25 stores in district No. 5, 31 stores in district No. 6, 40 stores in district No. 7, 21 stores in district No. 8, 14 stores in district No. 9, 14 stores in district No. 10, 20 stores in district No. 11, and 30 stores in district No. 12.

CONDITION OF RETAIL TRADE IN THE FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICTS.
[Minus sign ( - ) denotes decrease.]

| District and city. | Percentage of increase in net sales as compared with corresponding period previous year. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ratio of outstanding orders at close of month to total purchases during previous calendar year (per cent). |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May, } \\ & \text { 1921. } \end{aligned}$ | June, 1921. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July, } \\ & \text { 1921. } \end{aligned}$ | Aug., 1921. | Sept., 1921. | Jan. 1, 1921, to close of- |  | July 1, 1921, to close |  |  | May, <br> 1921. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June, } \\ & \text { 1921. } \end{aligned}$ | July, 1921. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug., } \\ & \text { 1921. } \end{aligned}$ | Sept., 1921. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | May, | June, 1921. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July, } \\ & 1001 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug., } \\ & \text { 1921., } \end{aligned}$ | Sept., 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |
| District No. 1: <br> Boston......... Outside <br> Outside....... <br> District..... <br> District No. 2: <br> New York <br> Cityand <br> Brooklyn.. | $-2.4$ | -1.5 | -11.5 | -2.1 | $-9.2$ | 2.2 | 1.5 | -11.5 | -6.8 | -7.8 | 5.7 | 6.9 | 6.1 | 7.2 | . 4 |
|  | -11.4 | -8.5 | $-14.1$ | $-10.5$ | $-12.3$ | -6.8 | - 7.1 | $-14.1$ | $-12.4$ | $-12.0$ | 8.8 | 5.5 | 7.5 | 7.4 | 7.3 |
|  | -4.5 | $-3.1$ | -12.3 | $-4.5$ | -9.9 | . 1 | -. 5 | -12.3 | -8.4 | $-9.0$ | 6.2 | 6.7 | 6.3 | 7.3 | 7.4 |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} -11.7 \\ -9.4 \\ =32.4 \\ =3.9 \\ -9.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} =8.7 \\ =3.4 \\ -4.4 \\ -9.4 \\ =7.2 \\ =7.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -12.0 \\ & -9.1 \\ & -13.8 \\ & -6.0 \\ & -14.3 \\ & -14.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & =4.1 \\ & =6.2 \\ & =3.4 \\ & =3.1 \\ & =11.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -12.0 \\ -3.9 \\ 1.8 \\ 7.7 \\ -13.4 \\ -7.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.4 \\ -5.1 \\ -\quad .5 \\ 9.1 \\ 5.2 \\ -4.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -8.8 \\ -3.5 \\ -8.8 \\ -7.9 \\ -3.3 \\ -5.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -12.0 \\ =9.1 \\ -13.8 \\ =6.0 \\ -14.3 \\ -14.9 \end{array}$ | -8.2 |  | 6.65.5 | 5.48.3 |  | 7.510.0 | 6.59.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - 9.7 |  |  | 6.9 9.2 |  |  |
| Newark. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - 5.9 | 4.5 | 5.5 | 6.0 | 5.7 | 4.8 |
| Rochest |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -4.5 | - 5.2 | 5. 3 | 4.2 | 7.5 | 11.7 | 8.5 |
| Syracus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $-12.0$ | -13.6 |  | 3.7 |  |  |  |
| Outside |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -14.3 | $-12.0$ | 7.1 | 7.3 | 8.6 | 8.3 | 9.6 |
| District No. 3: Philadelphia.. Outside. | -10.0 | -7.1 | -11.5 | $-5.2$ | -8.2 | -4.3 | -5.1 | -11.5 | -8.6 | -8.4 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 8.0 | 8.3 | 7.1 |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} -8.8 \\ -4.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -9.5 \\ -.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -13.8 \\ -6.4 \end{array}$ | $-2.9$ | $\begin{array}{r} -16.5 \\ -12.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}-1.9 \\ \hline .7\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.3 \\ -\quad 1.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -13.8 \\ -6.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.5 \\ -7.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -12.0 \\ -9.4 \end{array}$ | 7.55.0 | 8.25.4 | 8.1 | $\begin{aligned} & 8.9 \\ & 8.1 \end{aligned}$ | 7.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District No. 4 : Cleveland..... Pittsburgh Outside....... | -7.8 | - 7.3 | -11.8 | $-4.0$ | -15.3 | -1.2 | -1.9 | $-11.8$ | -8.3 | -11.3 | 7.0 | 7.5 | 7.9 | 8.7 | 7.1 |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} -17.9 \\ 1.3 \\ .2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & =21.8 \\ & =9.9 \\ & -11.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -29.2 \\ & -16.3 \\ & -17.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -22.4 \\ & -22.5 \\ & -11.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -26.9 \\ -24.6 \\ -15.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -10.1 \\ 5.7 \\ 2.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -11.8 \\ 4.9 \\ 2.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -29.2 \\ & -16.3 \\ & -17.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -22.8 \\ & -17.8 \\ & -17.4 \end{aligned}$ | -26.7-23.5-14.5 | 5.55.15.8 | $\begin{aligned} & 5.3 \\ & 4.3 \\ & 5.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.6 \\ & 5.8 \\ & 9.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.6 \\ 7.1 \\ 11.3 \end{array}$ | 6.1 <br> 5.8 <br> 8.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District..... <br> District No. 5: <br> Baltimore..... <br> Richmond.... <br> Washington... <br> Other cities. | 5.5 | -14.1 | -21.4 | -21.0 | -23.6 | . 3 | -1.1 | -21.4 | -19.3 | -22.7 | 5.4 | 4.7 | 7.2 | 8.0 | 6.4 |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} -7.1 \\ -3.0 \\ 4.3 \\ -5.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -7.0 \\ -4.1 \\ 4.9 \\ -3.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -16.9 \\ -15.9 \\ -4.2 \\ -10.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -17.4 \\ -4.9 \\ =1.4 \\ -9.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -18.9 \\ -12.9 \\ -11.8 \\ -19.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -2.0 \\ 3.2 \\ 6.3 \\ 5.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -2.9 \\ 1.8 \\ 4.9 \\ 3.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -16.9 \\ -15.9 \\ -4.2 \\ -10.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -17.2 \\ & -11.0 \\ & -2.9 \\ & -10.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -17.8 \\ -11.7 \\ -6.1 \\ -13.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.4 \\ & 7.2 \\ & 6.8 \\ & 6.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7.9 \\ 10.7 \\ 7.7 \\ 8.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9.5 \\ 12.5 \\ 7.6 \\ 9.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9.8 \\ 12.0 \\ 6.9 \\ 9.0 \end{array}$ | 7.912.76.46.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District No. 6: Atianta. Birmingham.. Nashville. New Orleans.. Outside. | -3.0 | $-4.2$ | -11.7 | -9.8 | -16.2 |  | $=.9$ | $\underline{-11.7}$ | $\underline{-10.8}$ | $-12.7$ | 5.6 | 8.3 | 9.2 | 9.0 | 7.7 |
|  | $=3$ | -32.1 |  | -35.1 | -36.7 | $=$ |  | ........ | $-36.4$ |  | ....... | 2.4 | .... |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \cdots .1 . . \\ -2.3 \\ -80.6 \\ -30.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -32.9 \\ & -15.7 \\ & -10.9 \\ & -28.0 \end{aligned}$ | - 23.9 | ..... | -25.5 |  |  | -36.2 -33.3 |  |  |  | 19.9 5.5 | 9.6 6.0 |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -19.0 \\ & =8.3 \\ & -18.6 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | -22.1 |  | -11.8 | $\cdots 22.3$ | $-17.5$ | - 20.8 |  | 4.9 | 9.3 | 7.6 | 7.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | -15.7 |  | $-4.9$ | -8.6 | $-9.9$ | -12.3 |  | 8.5 | 10.1 | 11.8 | 10.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  | -26.9 |  | -15.8 | $-30.0$ | -29.0 | -25.2 |  | 3.1 | 6.3 | 7.2 | 4.7 |
| District | -16.8 |  |  | $-21.3$ | -22.9 | -10.7 | -12.0 | -21.2 | -21.7 | -22.2 | 4.6 | 5.4 |  | 8.0 | 6.8 |
| District No. 7: Chicago....... Outside...... |  |  |  | - 20.4 | $\begin{array}{r} 7.6 \\ -17.2 \end{array}$ |  | $\frac{\cdots \cdots}{-11.6}$ | $-14.7$ | $\begin{array}{r} -10.4 \\ -18.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9.4 \\ -17.7 \end{array}$ | 4.0 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.8 8.0 | 6.5 |
| District | -12.5 | $\underline{-17.3}$ | -14.7 | $-18.8$ | -15.3 | -8.0 |  |  | $\underline{-17.1}$ | -16.0 |  | 5.4 | 8.9 | 7.9 | 6.5 |
| istrict No. 8: St. Louis. | $=$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} -13.2 \\ -20.9 \\ -26.6 \\ -12.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -8.9 \\ & -9.5 \\ & -214 \\ & -14.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -18.5 \\ & -13.2 \\ & -22.6 \\ & -1.2 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -11.1 \\ & -15.0 \\ & -24.3 \\ & -13.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -14.2 \\ & -10.7 \\ & -23.7 \\ & -14.0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 8.2 \\ & 5.7 \\ & 5.9 \\ & 6.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7.5 \\ 8.3 \\ 8.9 \\ 11.4 \end{array}$ |  |
| Louisville. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -13.2 \\ & -20.9 \\ & -26.6 \\ & -12.9 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.2 |
| Memphis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9.6 |
| Outside. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.7 |
| District | -1.6 | -7.9 | -16.5 | -11.7 | $-18.2$ | -. 4 | -1.8 | -16.5 | -13.9 | -15.3 | 5.1 | 5.6 | 7.4 | 8.2 | 6.8 |
| District No. 9 | -14.9 | -16.8 | -21.8 | -11.0 | -17.8 | - 7.0 | -15.6 | -21.8 | -23.6 | -17.2 | 9.5 | 9. | 10.5 | 8. | 7.3 |
| District No. 10: |  |  |  | -9.4 | -11.8 |  |  |  | -10.1 | -10.8 |  |  |  | 7.6 |  |
| Denver.... |  |  |  |  | $-8.7$ |  |  |  | -7.3 | -7.7 |  |  |  | 8.5 | . 7 |
| Outside |  |  |  | -16.6 | -21.9 |  |  |  | $-18.1$ | -8.7 |  |  |  | 8.5 | 7.4 |
| Distric | - 5.3 | -10.8 | -10.9 | -8.9 | $-13.8$ | $-3.8$ | -4.3 | -10.9 | -11.6 | -9.1 | 3.9 | 5.9 | 9.9 | 8.1 | 5.8 |
| District No. 11 | -17.4 | -17.4 | -22.1 | -23.3 | -22.7 | -13.0 | -15.2 | -22.1 | -22.7 | -23.0 | 4.4 | 6.4 | 10.4 | 8.7 | 7.8 |
| District No. 12: Los Angeles... | 8.8 | 2.9 | - 1.5 |  |  | 9.5 | 8.0 | -1.5 |  |  | 9.2 | 11.1 | 11.9 | 10.1 | 8.3 |
| San Francisco. | $-2.4$ | $-5.7$ | -11.6 | $-1.6$ | -4.7 | -4.5 | -4.1 | $-11.6$ |  | - 5.7 | 9.1 | 10.3 | 12.1 | 10.4 | 8.6 |
| Oakland...... | $-2.1$ | -3.9 | -7.9 | $-8.4$ | $-12.8$ | 1.5 | - 5 | -7.9 |  | -9.5 | 4.8 | 5.0 | 11.0 |  |  |
| Sacramento | -14.8 | -13.8 | -11.4 | -8.5 | -21.6 | -14.0 | -9.2 | -11.4 |  | -12.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seattle... | -16.8 | -13.5 | $-18.6$ | -12.5 -8.6 | -10.8 | -13.5 | -15.6 | $-18.6$ |  | -13.9 | 5.2 4.0 | 6.2 | 7.0 | 8.7 | 7.1 |
| Spokane...... | -17.9 <br> -18.7 | -23.4 -6.1 | -22.0 <br> -16.2 | -8.6 | -11.4 -13.9 | - 5.4 -3.5 | -8.2 | -22.0 |  | -12.8 -15.7 | 4.0 6.0 | 9.2 4.0 | 9.5 9.6 | 7.7 10.5 | 5.5 10.1 |
| District. | -4.1 | -7.8 | -12.9 | -6.2 | $-8.7$ | -6.9 | -6.6 | -12.9 |  | -8.8 | 6.7 | 8.4 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 8.1 |
| United States | -8.6 | -10.7 | -15.1 | -11.9 | $-15.1$ | -4.3 | -6.0 | -15.1 | -14.2 | -14.0 | 5.5 | 6.4 | 8.4 | 8.3 | 7.0 |

CONDITION OF RETAIL TRADE IN THE FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICTS-Continued.
[Minus sign ( - ) denotes decrease.]

| District and city. | Percentage of increase in stocks at close of month compared with- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ratio of average stocks at close of each month to average monthly sales for same period (per cent). |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Same month provious year. |  |  |  |  | Previous month. |  |  |  |  | Jan. 1, 1921, to close of- |  | July 1, 1921, to close of- |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May, } \\ & 1921 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { June, } \\ \text { 1921. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July, } \\ & \text { 1921, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug., } \\ & \text { 1921. } \end{aligned}$ | Sept., <br> 1921. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May, } \\ & \text { 1921. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June, } \\ & \text { 1921. } \end{aligned}$ | July, 1921. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug., } \\ & \text { 1921. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept., } \\ & \text { 1921., } \end{aligned}$ | May, $1921 .$ | June, | July, 1921. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug., } \\ & \text { 1921. } \end{aligned}$ | Sept., <br> 1921. |
| District No. 1: <br> Boston. Outside. $\qquad$ <br> District | -18.7 -16.5 | -16.6 | -15.6 -16.7 | -17.9 -19.1 | -17.3 <br> -18.7 | -2.9 .2 | -3.4 -5.8 | -3.4 | 1.7 .5 | 10.9 10.2 | 289.8 424.5 | $\begin{aligned} & 283.0 \\ & 416.0 \end{aligned}$ | 422.1 432.6 | $\begin{aligned} & 405.0 \\ & 458.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 378.0 \\ & 478.0 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | -18.1 | -16.8 | -15.9 | -18.2 | -17.8 | -2.0 | -4.1 | - 2.6 | 1.4 | 10.7 | 319.5 | 312.0 | 425.1 | 420.0 | 405.0 |
| District No. 2: <br> New York City and Brooklyn. <br> Buffalo. | -16.8 -10.4 -25.8 -29.2 -12.6 -10.0 | -17.6 <br> -12.2 <br> -26.2 <br> -31.0 <br> -21.5 <br> -5.0 | -20.6 -18.3 -24.6 -30.2 -26.1 -8.3 -8. | -23.1 -21.6 -5.9 -25.1 -24.6 -9.1 | -18.6 <br> -178 <br> -24.2 <br> -19.7 <br> -19.0 <br> -7.7 | rer -2.6 .3 .0 .2 -6.0 -2.1 1.6 | -5.9 -8.9 -8.9 -2.9 -2.8 -3.3 | -3.9 -3.1 4.5 -2.8 -7.6 -6.8 | 4.0 4.8 7.0 5.4 4.9 4.9 1.0 | $\begin{array}{r}13.1 \\ 16.2 \\ 0.7 \\ 10.5 \\ 6.1 \\ 11.9 \\ \hline 1\end{array}$ | 309.5 <br>  <br> 352.6 <br> 340.4 <br> 39.4 <br> 342.4 <br> 446.5 <br> 482.2 | 329.6 396.4 330.3 387.0 411.7 472.5 | 443.6 470.9 451.9 433.9 439.8 469.4 487.3 | 455.6 462.0 459.1 445.1 498.2 557.4 | 444.8 456.6 428.6 431.6 488.5 579.0 |
| District..... <br> District No. 3: Philadelphia.. Outside | -17.3 | -11.5 | -20.6 | -19.7 | $-18.0$ | -2.1 | -5.6 | - 4.2 | 5.2 | 11.9 | 326.5 | 333.9 | 431.6 | 453.7 | 442.5 |
|  | -17.0 -8.2 | $\begin{array}{r} -11.9 \\ -12.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -13.6 \\ & -15.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -19.1 \\ -20.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -17.7 \\ & -12.6 \end{aligned}$ | $-2.7$ | -.9 -4.6 | -4.9 -4.3 | $-\begin{array}{r} 2.5 \\ 4.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.4 \\ 10.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 314.2 \\ & 447.7 \end{aligned}$ | 311.9 432.3 | $\begin{aligned} & 469.2 \\ & 479.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 442.2 \\ & 525.5 \end{aligned}$ | $440.7$ $546.4$ |
| District..... <br> Distri.t No. 4: Cleveland Pittsburgh.... Outside <br> District | -14.9 | $-12.1$ | -14.0 | -17.3 | -16.4 | . 2 | -1.7 | -4.7 | . 7 | 7.4 | 346.1 | 341.0 | 471.9 | 462.8 | 466.8 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & -28.7 \\ & -14.1 \\ & -9.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -28.0 \\ -14.9 \\ -9.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -27.9 \\ -14.9 \\ -9.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -28.7 \\ -13.4 \\ -20.3 \end{array}$ | (-26.8 <br> -15.4 <br> -19.5 | -1.0 <br> -4.9 <br> -2.7 | $\begin{array}{r}-2.6 \\ -3.6 \\ 1.7 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -6.0 \\ -5.4 \\ -10.4 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.8 \\ & 6.2 \\ & 7.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r}15.2 \\ 6.6 \\ 9.1 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 328.7 \\ & 302.4 \\ & 418.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 363.1 \\ & 287.7 \\ & 378.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 476.3 \\ & 362.7 \\ & 483.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 461.0 \\ & 443.1 \\ & 588.9 \end{aligned}$ | 462.5 487.2 441.9 |
|  | -18.2 | -19.1 | $-19.6$ | -18.8 | -19.6 | -3.1 | -2.8 | - 6.1 | 6.8 | 9.7 | 334.0 | 319.5 | 416.9 | 467.3 | 470.9 |
| District No. 5: <br> Baltimore <br> Richmond.... <br> Washington... <br> Other cities... <br> District | -25.3 <br> -14.6 <br> -29.2 <br> -24.2 | 䛧 $\begin{aligned} & -24.2 \\ & -14.2 \\ & -27.5 \\ & -21.0\end{aligned}$ | -27.8 <br> -16.9 <br> -23.9 <br> -18.2 | -26.1 <br> -150 <br> -20.8 <br> -18.6 | -21.1 <br> -13.5 <br> -17.5 <br> -13.8 | -3.1 $=.2$ -1.5 | -6.6 -2.2 -2.8 -1.2 | $\begin{array}{r}-4.2 \\ -1.9 \\ -2.3 \\ 2.3 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 6.7 5.4 8.9 4.7 | 17.4 <br> 15.4 <br> 11.8 <br> 17.0 | 327.9 <br> 358.5 <br> 345.3 <br> 394.6 | 323.7 <br> 350.8 <br> 340.6 <br> 391.7 <br> 31.3 | 463.2 <br> 429.5 <br> 434.8 <br> 480.5 | 475.1 459.0 458.3 495.3 | 477.9 <br> 460.2 <br> 481.4 <br> 553.9 |
|  | -25.8 | -24.2 | -24.1 | -22.3 | -17.9 | -1.7 | -4.1 | $-2.2$ | 7.0 | 16.8 | 345.6 | 341.3 | 453.3 | 471.2 | 490.4 |
| District No. 6: <br> Atlanta. <br> Birmingham. <br> Nashville. <br> New Orleans. <br> Outside.... |  | -21.8 <br> -19.1 <br> -1.6 <br> -26.4 | -2.9 -15.2 -26.4 | -23.3 -20.3 -22.8 -17.4 -21.4 | -22.6 -24.0 -20.4 -16.9 -14.0 |  | -.6 -2.8 -2.8 -4.9 -3.6 | -2.5 <br> -2.4 <br> -2.6 | 5.3 0.0 10.0 3.2 9.9 | 15.0 7.9 8.3 14.0 7.4 |  | 499.1 74.7 432.7 350.8 536.6 | $\begin{aligned} & 633.3 \\ & 610.9 \\ & 644.3 \end{aligned}$ | 656.1 809.7 556.7 50.9 805.8 | 573.8 971.2 497.9 472.7 626.0 |
| District <br> District No. 7: Chicago. Outside | -11.5 | -17.4 | -21.6 | -19.8 | -18.3 | -2.7 | -2.8 | $-.4$ | 5.3 | 11.0 | 417.8 | 425.3 | 571.6 | 612.2 | 565.9 |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -14.3 \\ & -23.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -10.8 \\ -23.8 \end{array}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 12.2 \\ 7.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10.0 \\ 3.0 \end{array}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 463.1 \\ & 517.5 \end{aligned}$ | 470.3 518.0 |
| District.... <br> District No. 8: <br> St. Louis..... <br> Louisville.... <br> Memphis. <br> Outside. | -18.8 | -20.6 | -20.0 | -21.6 | -21.5 | -3.1 | -4.0 | -1.2 | 8.0 | 4.3 | 411.4 | 428.3 | 500.4 | 505.2 | 508.3 |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r}-6.8 \\ -18.4 \\ -7.4 \\ -15.6 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -7.6 \\ -11.5 \\ -20.6 \\ -12.9 \end{array}$ | -12.1 <br> -10.6 <br> -21.3 <br> -11.6 |  |  | $=3.4$ $=3.4$ $=6.9$ -9.6 | $\begin{array}{r}10.2 \\ 11.2 \\ 3.8 \\ 11.2 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}5.3 \\ 10.0 \\ 9.8 \\ 12.9 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  |  | 410.3 455.6 475.7 337.7 | 419.8 729.3 463.3 521.5 | 429.4 638.6 434.8 536.3 |
| District..... <br> District No. 9..... <br> District No. 10: Kansas City... Denver........ Outside. | -11.0 | -9.9 | -9.3 | -10.7 | -13.3 | -1.7 | -. 5 | -3.7 | 9.4 | 7.4 | 329.6 | 344.2 | 426.1 | 461.8 | 462.5 |
|  | -24.3 | -27.4 | -21.6 | -21.1 | -18.1 | -4.2 | -5.7 | -. 6 | 6.9 | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} -13.1 \\ -13.9 \\ -19.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & =14.8 \\ & =11.1 \\ & -18.2 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 10.3 \\ & 13.2 \\ & 16.4 \end{aligned}$ | 4.7 5.9 8.7 |  |  |  | 544.1 443.3 560.2 | 557.5 602.1 425.9 |
| District..... | -19.8 | -17.0 | -15.0 | -15.1 | -14.2 | -4.3 | -9.0 | 6.3 | 12.7 | 6.1 | 359.2 | 378.1 | 497.1 | 527.9 | 543.3 |
| District No. 11.... <br> District No. 12: | -21.0 | -22.6 | -27.6 | -25.5 | -26.0 | -3.4 | -4.6 | -2.2 | 16.9 | 7.3 | 407.5 | 386.5 | 543.2 | 594.8 | 551.8 |
| District No. 12: <br> Los Angeles... <br> San Francisco. <br> Oakland. <br> Sacramento.. <br> Seattle. <br> Spokane. <br> Salt Lake City | $\begin{aligned} & -9.4 \\ & -17.6 \\ & -16.5 \\ & -29.4 \\ & -27.4 \\ & -17.1 \\ & -10.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -17.6 \\ & -16.3 \\ & -18.4 \\ & -32.6 \\ & -28.3 \\ & -16.3 \\ & -25.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -3.6 \\ & -14.6 \\ & -16.6 \\ & -32.4 \\ & -37.0 \\ & -17.2 \\ & -30.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.6 \\ & -9.2 \\ & -12.7 \\ & -14.5 \\ & -23.2 \\ & -15.5 \\ & -24.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.7 \\ -6.8 \\ -8.6 \\ -15.5 \\ -25.2 \\ -0.1 \\ -18.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}2.3 \\ -2.3 \\ -6.8 \\ -6.9 \\ -3.0 \\ -2.5 \\ -3.2 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -9.1 \\ & -8.6 \\ & -4.7 \\ & -6.8 \\ & -5.1 \\ & -7.1 \\ & -8.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7.5 \\ -\quad .6 \\ -2.7 \\ -6.8 \\ -13.5 \\ -7.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .6 \\ 7.9 \\ 7.0 \\ 22.9 \\ 13.5 \\ 7.3 \\ 13.1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}5.3 \\ 10.2 \\ 9.1 \\ 9.6 \\ 4.6 \\ 4.0 \\ 2.4 \\ 8.9 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 374.1 \\ & 38.8 \\ & 484.2 \\ & 382.6 \\ & 423.4 \\ & 602.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 376.0 \\ & 39.4 \\ & 468.2 \\ & 422.0 \\ & 410.1 \\ & 575.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 393.7 \\ & 40.0 \\ & 499.7 \\ & 429.3 \\ & 410.8 \\ & 575.5 \end{aligned}$ | 394.1 40.5 498.9 44.9 404.1 586.1 | 467.2 449.1 446.8 517.8 419.8 577.0 525.7 |
| District. | -14.2 | -20.9 | -18.3 | -15.5 | -15.7 | -1.0 | -7.6 | -. 7 | 4.6 | 4.3 | 466.6 | 469.7 | 478.3 | 455.7 | 479.8 |
| United States. | -17.7 | -17.4 | -19.1 | -19.0 | -18.1 | -2.4 | -4.4 | $-2.0$ | 6.6 | 8.7 | 366.0 | 369.3 | 468.0 | 487.0 | 483.5 |

WHOLESALE TRADE.
PERCENTAGE OF INCREASE (OR DECREASE) IN NET SALES IN SEPTEMBER, 1921, AS COMPARED WITH THE PRECEDING MONTH (AUGUST, 1921).

| District. | Groceries. |  | Dry goods. |  | Hardware. |  | Boots and shoes. |  | Furniture. |  | Drugs. |  | Auto supplies. |  | Stationery. |  | Farm implements. |  | Auto tires. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per | Number of firms. | Per cent. | Num ber of firms. | Per cent. | Num ber of firms. | Per cent. | Number of firms. | Per cent. | Number of firms. | Per cent. | Number of firms. | Per cent. | Number of firms. | Per cent. | Number of firms. | Per cent. | Number of firms. | Per cent. | Num ber of firms. |
| No. 2 | -5.9 |  | $-0.7$ | 3 | $+8.7$ | 11 | 7.5 | 7 |  |  | +0.6 | 4 |  |  | 4. | 5 |  |  |  |  |
| No. 3. | -6. 1 | 48 | .... |  | + 5.5 | 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. 5 | +7.5 |  | +8.1 | 7 | $+10.3$ | 19 | $-{ }^{-} 5$ | 8 | $+2.7$ | 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. 6. | +5.8 | 25 | $+33.9$ | 18 | +16.2 | 21 | $+35.7$ | d | +14.2 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. 7 | -6.8 | 23 | +8.8 | 9 | +7.3 | 17 | + 7.8 | 9 |  |  | +9.0 | 11 | -15.8 | 9 | - 5.2 | 5 |  |  | -18.0 | 5 |
| No. 9. |  |  | -20.0 | 5 | $-11.8$ | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | +33.9 | 8 |  |  |
| No. 10 | +8.1 |  |  |  | +12.2 | 4 |  |  | $+19.6$ | 4 | $+3.3$ | 3 |  |  |  |  | $-23.1$ | 3 |  |  |
| No. 11 | $+9.6$ |  | +13.3 | 11 | - 7.0 | 11 |  |  | +25.9 |  | $+.8$ | 9 |  |  |  |  | -30.4 |  |  |  |
| No. 12. | +3.1 |  | +24.7 |  | $+6.0$ | 22 | +29.2 |  | +32.7 | 17 | $+.3$ | 9 | -. 09 |  | +12.1 |  | -31.4 | 24 | -20.7 | 15 |

PERCENTAGE OF INCREASE (OR DECREASE) IN NET SALES IN SEPTEMBER, 1921, AS COMPARED WITH SEPTEMBER, 1920.


COMPARATIVE WHOLESALE PRICE LEVELS IN PRINCIPAL COUNTRIES.

The foreign index numbers published herewith are constructed by various statistical offices according to methods described, in most cases, in the Bulletin for January, 1920. ${ }^{1}$

In the following table the all-commodities index numbers for the whole series of countries appear together to facilitate the study of comparative price levels.

[^6]German index number was described in the Bulletins for February and March, 1821 . Complete information regarding the computation of the Index of the United States Bureau of Labor Statisties appears in the publications of that bureau, and a description of the index number of the Federal Reserve Board may be found in the Bulletin for May, 1920 The Danish index has been constructed only recently, is based upon the prices of 33 commodities, and is roughly weighted according to consumption. The new British index number, compiled by the Board of Trade, has been revised and now uses prices in 1913 as a base. In the case of the two American index numbers, 1913 is used
as the basis in the original computations. In the other cases in which as the basis in the original computations. In the other cases in which
1913 appears as the basis for the computation, the index numbers 1913 appears as the basis or the computation, the index numbers have
been shifted from their original bases. The computations in these cases been shifted from their original bases. The computations in these cases
are, therefore, only approximately correct. In a few cases July, 1914, or the year immediately preceding that, is used as the base. The figures are for the most part received by cable, and the latest ones are subject to revision. In cases where the index numbers were available they have been published for the war years in various issues of the Bulletin in 1920 .

INDEX NUMBERS OF WHOLESALE PRICES (ALL COMMODITIES).

${ }^{1}$ Index numbers for 1920 and thereafter based upon prices of 76 commodities. Computations arrived at by the method described on p. 465 of the Bulletin for April, 1921.
${ }_{2}$ Average for the month.
${ }^{2}$ End of month.
4 Beginning of month but not always the first.
5 Middle of month.
${ }^{5}$ End of year and end of month.
7 First of month.
8 July 1,1913 , to June $30,1914=100$.

> 9 Middle of $1914=100$. 10 Dec. 31,1913 -June $30,1914=100$. 11 12 July $1,1912-$ June $30,1914=100$. 12 July, 1914=100. 13 Lnd Last Julx months of $1914=100.7$

In the following tables the index numbers for fore impossible to compare present levels with individual groups of commodities are given for each country separately. In the case of the Italian group indexnumbers, Prof. Bachi's new computations only are given. These can not be prewar levels in these cases. No group index numbers are compiled by the Bank of Japan, and the Danish index published by the Finanstidende has only two group indexes, one referred back to the 1913 base and it is therefor food and one for "other commodities."

GROUP INDEX NUMBERS-UNITED STATES-BUREAU OF LABOR STATISTICS.
$[1913=100$.


ALL COMMODITIES GROUP INDEX NUMBERS-UNITED KINGDOM-BOARD OF TRADE.
[1913=100.]

|  | Cereals. | Meat and fish. | Other foods. | Total food. | Iron and steel. | Other metals and minerals. | Cotton. | Other textiles. | Other articles. | Total not food. | All commodities. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1920 a verage. | 273 | 263 | 278 | 272 | 406 | 252 | 480 | 362 | 274 | 310 | 314 |
| September, 1920. . | 282 | 282 | 262 | 275 | 434 | 266 | 462 | 342 | 276 | 345 | 318 |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January.. | 245 | 285 | 251 | 257 | 359 | 213 | 224 | 220 | 221 | 248 | 251 |
| February . | 212 | 266 | 227 | 234 | 320 | 201 | 195 | 201 | 216 | 228 | 230 |
| March.... | 205 | 251 | 229 | 228 | 283 | 192 | 173 | 179 | 205 | 209 | 215 |
| April. | 200 | 245 | 224 | 222 | 259 | 192 | 181 | 170 | 196 | 202 | 209 |
| May.. | 197 | 220 | 222 | 213 | 250 | 193 | 183 | 166 | 201 | 201 | 205 |
| June. | 109 | 219 | 218 | 212 | 240 | 189 | 180 | 162 | 199 | 196 | 202 |
| July.... | 200 | 214 | 218 | 211 | 229 | 185 | 180 | 161 | 194 | 192 | 198 |
| August. | 204 | 217 | 214 | 212 | 222 | 172 | 176 | 150 | 189 | 186 | 194 |
| September. | 196 | 201 | 207 | 202 | 207 | 160 | 213 | 163 | 189 | 186 | 191 |

GROUP INDEX NUMBERS-UNITED KINGDOM-STATIST.
[1913=100.]

| Date. | Vegetable foods. | Animal foods. | Sugar, cof fee, tea. | Foodstutis. | Minerals. | Textiles. | Sun- dries. | Mate- <br> rials. | All com-modities. | Date. | Vegetable foods. | Animal fonds. | Sugar, cofree, tea. | Foodstuffs. | Mincrals. | Textiles. | Sundries. | Mate rials. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { com- } \\ & \text { mod } \\ & \text { ities. } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1913. | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1914. | 110 | 100 | 107 | 105 | 90 | 97 | 105 | 98 | 101 | January. | 234 | 283 | 192 | 251 | 225 | 198 | 230 | 219 | 232 |
| 1915. | 155 | 125 | 130 | 137 | 109 | 111 | 131 | 119 | 126 | February... | 206 | 270 | 187 | 234 | 200 | 178 | 224 | 203 | 215 |
| 1916 | 193 | 152 | 161 | 169 | 140 | 152 | 163 | 153 | 159 | March. | 214 | 262 | 182 | 232 | 179 | 171 | 221 | 193 | 208 |
| 1917 | 252 | 192 | 21.3 | 218 | 152 | 228 | 212 | 198 | 206 | April. | 212 | 249 | 189 | 225 | 176 | 172 | 199 | 184 | 199 |
| 1918. | 248 | 210 | 238 | 229 | 167 | 265 | 243 | 225 | 226 | May. | 211 | 223 | 158 | 209 | 177 | 164 | 192 | 179 | 191 |
| 1919 | 252 | 215 | 275 | 238 | 190 | 271 | 268 | 243 | 242 | June. | 226 | 206 | 156 | 207 | 157 | 164 | 181 | 168 | 183 |
| 1929 | 321 | 264 | 366 | 301 | 269 | 299 | 290 | 285 | 291 | Ju!y......... | 222 | 212 | 144 | 206 | 168 | 167 | 183 | 174 | 186 |
| September, $1920 . .$. | 319 | 291 | 334 | 308 | 283 | 286 | 279 | 282 | 292 | August...... | 221 203 | 213 186 | 159 153 | 207 | 156 | 160 182 | 180 | 168 | 183 176 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

GROUP INDEX NUMBERS-FRANCE-GENERAL STATISTICAL BUREAU.
$[1913=100$ ]

| Date. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ani- } \\ \text { mal } \\ \text { fods. } \end{gathered}$ | Vege table foods. | Sugar coffee and cocoa | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Foods } \\ & (20) . \end{aligned}$ | Minerals. | Textiles. | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Sun- } \\ \text { dries } \end{array}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Raw } \\ & \text { mate } \\ & \text { rials } \\ & (25) . \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { com- } \\ \text { mod- } \\ \text { ities. } \end{gathered}$ | Date. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ani- } \\ \text { mal } \\ \text { foods. } \end{gathered}$ | Vegetable foods. | Sugar coffee, and cocoa | Foods (20). | Minerals | Textiles. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sun- } \\ & \text { dries } \end{aligned}$ | Raw mate rials (25). $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { com- } \\ & \text { mod. } \\ & \text { ities. } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1913 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1914. | 103 | 103 | 106 | 104 | 98 | 109 | 99 | 101 | 101 | January. | 483 | 334 | 337 | 397 | 341 | 460 | 445 | 415 | 407 |
| 1915 | 126 | 126 | 151 | 131 | 164 | 132 | 145 | 145 | 137 | February | 452 | 317 | 338 | 378 | 300 | 398 | 422 | 378 | 377 |
| 1916 | 162 | 170 | 164 | 167 | ${ }_{271}^{232}$ | 180 | 199 | 206 | 187 | March | 406 | 322 | 367 36 | 366 | $\stackrel{281}{289}$ | 375 | 392 | 355 | 360 |
| 1917 | 215 | 243 | 201 | 225 | 271 | 303 | 302 | 291 | 262 | April. | 396 | 348 | 359 | 372 | 281 | 314 | 371 | 328 | 347 |
| 1918 | 286 | 298 | 231 | 281 | 283 | 460 | 420 | 387 | 339 | May. | 379 | 346 | 317 | 356 | 266 | 282 | 355 | 309 | 329 |
| 1919. | 392 | 313 | 253 | 336 | 272 | 444 | 405 | 373 | 357 | June.. | 349 | 368 | 338 | 357 | 261 | 278 | 341 | 300 | 325 |
| 1920. | 503 | 427 | 422 | 459 | 449 | 737 | 524 | 550 | 510 | July. | 353 | 364 | 393 | 366 | 253 | 290 | 343 | 301 | 330 |
| October, 1920. | 533 | 421 | 422 | 469 | 453 | 637 | 527 | 528 | 502 | August | ${ }^{371}$ | 311 | ${ }_{352}$ | 355 | 245 | 321 | 356 | 312 | 331 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | September | 373 | 311 | 389 | 352 | 253 | 388 | 370 | 338 | 344 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | October... |  | 308 | 305 | 326 | 262 | 391 | 365 | 338 | 332 |

GROUP INDEX NUMBERS-ITALY-RICCARDO BACHI.
[1920 $=100$.]

|  | Vege- table foods. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ani- } \\ \text { mal } \\ \text { foods. } \end{gathered}$ | Chemicals. | Textiles. | Min- <br> erals <br> and <br> met- <br> als. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Build } \\ & \text { ing } \\ & \text { mate } \\ & \text { rials. } \end{aligned}$ | Other vegetable products. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sun- } \\ & \text { dries. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { com- } \\ & \text { mod- } \\ & \text { ities. } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Vege- } \\ & \text { table } \\ & \text { foods. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ani- } \\ \text { mal } \\ \text { foods. } \end{gathered}$ | Chem- | Textiles. | Min- <br> erals <br> and <br> met- <br> als. | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Build- } \\ \text { ing } \\ \text { mate- } \\ \text { rials. } \end{gathered}\right.$ | Other vegetable prod ucts. | Sun- | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { com- } \\ & \text { mod. } \\ & \text { itides. } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| October, 1020. | 107 | 108 | 103 | . 98 | 107 | 113 | 101 | 105 | 105 | ${ }^{1921 .}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 197 | 101 | 76 63 | 47 46 | 62 | 107 | 110 95 | 95 90 | 88 |
| January.... | 107 | 121 | 98 | 77 | 88 | 113 | 123 | 107 | 103 | July. | 100 | 109 | 65 | 54 | 60 | 95 | 92 | 87 | 83 |
| February..... | 103 | 120 | 89 | 65 | 80 | 117 | 127 | 107 | 98 | August | 107 | 113 | 68 | 62 | 60 | 92 | 96 | 87 | 87 |
| March. | 108 | 117 | 87 | 64 | 72 | 113 | 123 | 104 | 97 | September.. | 114 | 125 | 71 | 75 | 59 | 91 | 102 | 93 | 93 |
| April.. | 106 | 116 | 83 | 57 | 69 | 109 | 111 | 105 | 94 | October... | 116 | 129 | 75 | 76 | 64 | 91 | 114 | 94 | 96 |

GROUP INDEX NUMBERS-GERMANY-FRANKFURTER ZEITUNG.
[Middle of $1914=100$.]

|  | Agricul- tural products. | Texleather. | Minerals. | Miscellaneous. | $\underset{\substack{\text { com- } \\ \text { comi- } \\ \text { tios- }}}{ }$ ties. |  | Agricultural products. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tex- } \\ & \text { tiles, } \\ & \text { leather. } \end{aligned}$ | Miner- als. | Miscellaneous. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { com- } \\ & \text { modi- } \\ & \text { ties. } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  |  | 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average for the year.... | 1,232 | 3,175 | 1,733 | 1,440 | 1,522 | Beginning of - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning of November. | 1,450 | 3,025 | 1,678 | 1,658 | 1,647 | April. | 1,195 1,169 | 2,153 2125 212 | 1,608 1,566 | 1,500 | 1,410 1,428 |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,116 | 1,907 | 1, 524 | 1, 633 | 1, ${ }^{1}, 387$ |
| Beginning of- |  |  |  |  |  | July. | 1, 270 | 2,153 | 1,613 | 1,588 | 1,467 |
| January.. | 1,353 | 2,643 | 1,678 | 1,575 | 1,603 | August | 1,881 | 2,289 | 1,636 | 1,550 | 1,723 |
| February. | 1,265 | 2,507 | 1,580 | 1,525 | 1,473 | September |  | 2,616 | 1,748 | 1,608 | 1,777 |
| March.... | 1,204 | 2,371 | 1,552 | 1,517 | 1,419 | October.. | 1,995 2,786 | 3,270 4,578 | 2,112 $\mathbf{2 , 9 3 7}$ | 1,733 2,092 | 1,993 2,687 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Novembe | 2,786 | 4,578 | 2,937 | 2,092 | 2,687 |

GROUP INDEX NUMBERS-SWEDEN-SVENSK HANDELSTIDNING.
[[July 1, 1913-June 30, 1914=100].

| Date. | Vegetable foods. | Animal foods. | Raw materials for agri- culture. | Coal. | Metals. | Building materials. | Wood pulp. | Hides and leather. | Textiles. | Oils. | All commodities. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1913-14. | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 1914. | 136 | 101 | 114 | 123 | 109 | 104 |  | 118 | 103 | 111 | 116 |
| 1915. | 151 | 140 | 161 | 177 | 166 | 118 | 116 | 158 | 116 | 120 | 145 |
| 1916. | 152 | 182 | 180 | 266 | 272 | 165 | 233 | 229 | 166 | 149 | 185 |
| 1917. | 181 | 205 | 198 | 551 | 405 | 215 | 267 | 206 | 247 | 212 | 244 |
| 1918. | 221 | 419 | 304 | 856 | 398 | 275 | 300 | 195 |  |  | 339 |
| 1919. | 261 | 409 | 340 | 804 | 258 | 286 | 308 | 211 |  |  | 330 |
| 1920. | 262 | 296 | 312 | 1,007 | 278 | 371 | 675 | 215 | 324 | 294 | 347 |
| September, 1920... | 273 | 312 | 308 | 1,085 | 273 | 388 | 753 | 180 | 310 | 340 | 362 |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January.... | 244 | 266 | 281 | 371 | 230 | 320 | 520 | 131 | 169 | 328 | 267 |
| February.. | 231 | 241 | 248 | 362 | 204 | 319 | 511 | 108 | 147 | 318 | 250 |
| March..... | ${ }_{232}^{238}$ | ${ }_{231}^{229}$ | 240 236 | 279 | 185 | 298 | 510 | 85 | 134 | 268 | 237 |
| April.... | ${ }_{221}^{232}$ | ${ }_{217}^{231}$ | 236 245 | 291 | 178 | 236 | ${ }_{210}^{510}$ | $\begin{array}{r}84 \\ 106 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 125 | 2284 | 229 |
| May..... | 221 | 206 | 245 | 369 371 | 153 149 | 237 <br> 224 | 286 286 | 106 | 132 | ${ }_{207}^{238}$ | 218 |
| July | 236 | 227 | ${ }_{216}$ | 315 | 149 | 199 | 197 | 112 | 133 | 191 | 211 |
| August. | 217 | 230 | 214 | 250 | 130 | 198 | 183 | 107 | 132 | 191 | 198 |
| September. | 183 | 208 | 207 | 223 | 130 | 191 | 178 | 108 | 166 | 191 | 182 |

${ }^{1}$ Average for 6 months ending Dec. 31, 1914.
GROUP INDEX NUMBERS-CHRISTIANIA, NORWAY-GKONOMISK REVUE.
[Dec 31, 1913-June 30, 1914=100.]

| Date. | Animal foods. | Vegetable foods. | Feed-stufts and fertilizers. | Fuel. |  | Iron. | Metals. | Building materials. | Textiles. | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Hides } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { leather. } \end{array}$ | Pulp wood. | Paper. | $\underset{\substack{\text { All } \\ \text { com- } \\ \text { modi- }}}{ }$ties. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Coal and coke. | $\left.\begin{gathered} \text { Petro- } \\ \text { leam } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { benzine. } \end{gathered} \right\rvert\,$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| End of- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1914. | 115 | 130 | 108 | 151 | 104 | 115 | 128 | 107 | 105 | 158 | 103 | 101 | 115 |
| 1915. | 149 | 150 | 150 | 224 | 132 | 158 | 289 | 131 | 121 | 193 | 124 | 137 | 159 |
| 1916. | 193 | 198 | 195 | 355 | 170 | 435 | 401 | 213 | 178 | ${ }_{251}^{251}$ | 171 | 190 | ${ }^{231}$ |
| 1917. | 260 | 292 | 231 | 1,161 | 231 | 720 | 503 | 326 | 264 | 296 | ${ }_{217}$ | 263 | 341 |
| 1918. | 324 | 277 | 284 | - 514 | 247 | 573 | 503 | $\begin{array}{r}359 \\ 358 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 302 | 286 | 283 | 313 | 345 |
| 1919. | 329 | 281 | 277 | 767 | 162 | 442 | 187 | 358 416 | 356 | 285 | ${ }_{321}^{277}$ | 322 | 327 |
| $1920 . .$. | ${ }_{353}^{352}$ | 385 390 | 340 343 | 647 1,190 | 407 369 | 482 581 | 2200 | 416 460 | 402 |  | 321 446 | 472 482 | 377 419 |
| October, 1920. | 353 | 390 | 343 | 1,190 | 369 | 581 | 232 | 460 | 431 | 271 | 446 | 482 | 419 |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January.... | 307 | 370 | 317 | 512 | 384 | 394 | 165 | 378 | 374 | 217 | 321 | 472 | 344 |
| March... | ${ }_{307}^{294}$ | 315 | 287 | 289 | 364 <br> 357 | 328 | 169 | 362 339 | 352 <br> 350 | 203 | 289 | 472 | 312 |
| April. | 283 | 304 | 273 | 317 | 343 | 295 | 166 | 329 | 329 | 199 | 289 | 413 | 297 |
| May.... | 280 | 303 | 277 | 317 | 343 | 295 | 166 | 324 | 324 | 190 | 289 | 413 | 294 |
| June. | 273 | 323 | 275 | 345 | 336 | 296 | 167 | 308 | 324 | 197 | 289 | 413 | 294 |
| July.... | 301 296 | 408 360 | 254 | 388 371 |  | 307 | 190 | 309 305 | 319 315 | 197 197 | 276 | 392 392 | 300 297 |
| August.... | 296 302 | 360 303 | 260 | 371 333 | 297 297 | 307 339 | 190 | 399 299 | 315 309 | 1970 | 227 | 392 377 | 286 |
| October..... | 297 | 305 | 251 | 333 | 297 | 335 | 197 | 297 | 315 | 228 | 227 | 350 | 287 |

GROUP INDEX NUMBERS-AUSTRALIAN COMMONWEALTH-BUREAU OF CENSUS AND STATISTICS.
[July, 1914 $=100$.]

| Date. | Metals and coal. | Textiles, leather, ete. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Agricul- } \\ \text { tural } \\ \text { products. } \end{gathered}$ | Dairy products. | Groceries and tobacco. | Meat. | Building materials. | Chemicals. | All commodities. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| July, 1914. | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 1915... | 117 | 93 | 202 | 127 | 110 | 150 | 116 | 149 | 141 |
| 1916. | 154 | 131 | 113 | 124 | 127 | 155 | 136 | 172 | 132 |
| 1917. | 213 | 207 | 110 | 116 | 131 | 155 | 194 | 243 | 155 |
| 1918. | 220 | 232 | 135 | 121 | 138 | 147 | 245 | 315 | 170 |
| 1919.. | 193 | 217 | 186 | 137 | 147 | 145 | 261 | 282 | 180 |
| 1920... | 209 | 243 | 229 | 184 | 186 | 201 | 295 | 277 | 218 |
| September, 1920. | 211 | 222 | 221 | 209 | 196 | 273 | 295 | 276 | 230 |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January....... | 215 | 145 | 197 | 208 | 197 | 191 | 279 | 244 | 196 |
| February..... | 214 | 132 | 192 | 206 | 197 | 184 | 303 | 242 | 192 |
| March........ | 203 | 107 | 176 | 207 | 198 | 178 | 303 | 234 | 181 |
| April...... | 202 | 114 | 164 | 178 | 196 | 144 | 284 | 231 | 171 |
| May..... | 194 | 108 | 161 | 175 | 195 | 140 | 279 | 224 | 166 |
| June...... | 195 | 105 | 160 | 171 | 188 | 134 | 250 | 233 | 162 |
| July...... | 195 | 111 | 158 | 166 | 188 | 119 | 238 | 220 | 159 |
| August.. | 194 | 116 | 160 | 164 | 190 | 120 | 231 | 224 | 160 |
| September.. | 193 | 143 | 159 | 146 | 187 | 110 | 226 | 220 | 160 |

GROUP INDEX NUMBERS-CANADA-DEPARTMENT OF LABOR. 1
$[1913=100$.

| Date. | Grains and fodder | Animals and meats. | Dairy products. | Fruits and vegetables. | Other foods. | Textiles. | Hides, leather, etc. | Metals. | Implements. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Build- } \\ \text { ing ma } \\ \text { terials, } \\ \text { lumber } \end{gathered}$ | Fuel and lighting. | Drugs and cals. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { com- } \\ & \text { modi- } \\ & \text { ties. } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1913. | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 1914. | 114 | 107 | 100 | 99 | 104 | 102 | 105 | 96 | 101 | 100 |  | 106 | 101 |
| 1915. | 136 | 104 | 105 | 93 | 121 | 114 | 110 | 128 | 106 | 97 | 92 | 160 | 110 |
| 1916. | 142 | 121 | 119 | 130 | 136 | 148 | 143 | 167 | 128 | 100 | 113 | 222 | 135 |
| 1917. | 206 | 161 | 149 | 233 | 180 | 201 | 168 | 217 | 174 | 118 | 163 | 236 | 177 |
| 1918. | 231 | 197 | 168 | 214 | 213 | 273 | 169 | 229 | 213 | 147 | 188 | 250 | 206 |
| 1919. | 227 | 199 | 192 | 206 | 222 | 285 | 213 | 173 | 228 | 171 | 201 | 205 | 217 |
| 1920. | 263 | 198 | 204 | 261 | 258 | 303 | 192 | 203 | 245 | 268 | 255 | 204 | 248 |
| September, 1920 | 254 | 202 | 202 | 190 | 261 | 296 | 161 | 207 | 259 | 273 | 296 | 217 | 241 |
| 192. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January.... | 186 | 175 | 216 | 184 | 212 | 228 | 131 | 174 | 257 | 248 | 247 | 196 | 208 |
| February | 171 | 171 | 185 | 163 | 205 | 204 | 126 | 167 | 257 | 239 | 234 | 188 | 199 |
| March. | 168 | 171 | 174 | 175 | 203 | 198 | 118 | 158 | 243 | 231 | 233 | 181 | 194 |
| April. | 154 | 169 | 161 | 162 | 189 | 191 | 115 | 145 | 241 | 229 | 226 | 180 | 187 |
| May.. | 150 | 158 | 126 | 162 | 189 | 187 | 114 | 147 | 236 | 224 | 216 | 178 | 183 |
|  | 146 | 146 | 124 | 169 | 183 | 180 | 106 | 154 | 236 | ${ }^{218}$ | 212 | 175 | 179 |
| July.... | 146 | 143 | 133 | 157 182 1 | 174 173 | 179 <br> 181 <br> 18 | 106 101 |  | ${ }_{237}^{236}$ | ${ }_{1}^{217}$ | 207 | 175 176 | 177 |
| August. | 152 144 | 143 133 | 142 141 | 182 170 | 173 170 | 181 183 | 101 100 | 145 143 | 237 235 | 189 | 206 206 | 176 171 | 174 172 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1 Unimportant groups omitted.
GROUP INDEX NUMBERS-CALCUTTA, INDIA-DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS.
[End of July, 1914=100.]

| Date. |  |  | Metals. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Hides } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { skins. } \end{aligned}$ | Cotton manu-factures. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Raw } \\ \text { cotton. } \end{gathered}$ |  | Other textiles. | Oils, mus tard. | Raw jute. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oil } \\ & \text { seeds. } \end{aligned}$ | Tea. | Sugar. | Pulses. | Cereals | Other | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { com- } \\ \text { modi- } \\ \text { ties. } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| End of July, 1914.. | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 1002 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Average for 1920... | 138 | 231 | 238 | 147 | 354 | 153 | 149 | 162 | 128 | 104 | 173 | 78 | 407 | 166 | 154 | 184 | 204 |
| September, 1920... | 158 | 237 | 245 | 105 | 347 | 154 | 163 | 164 | 115 | 105 | 179 | 65 | 456 | 170 | 154 | 186 | 208 |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January.. | 158 | 238 | 247 | 81 | 324 | 107 | 104 | 149 | 116 | 85 | 130 | 77 | 314 | 135 | 139 | 139 | 178 |
| February. | 147 | 226 | 243 | 80 | 305 | 104 | 101 | 149 | 97 | 80 | 124 | 70 | 352 | 119 | 129 | 148 | 174 |
| March | 153 | 239 | 255 | 86 | 301 | 98 | 91 | 143 | 90 | 81 | 122 | 71 | 369 | 122 | 128 | 136 | 175 |
| April. | 147 | 242 | 255 | 97 | 311 | 119 | 97 | 123 | 110 | 85 | 131 | 76 | 359 | 147 | 141 | 150 | 183 |
| May. | 151 | 262 | 254 | 110 | 312 | 124 | 94 | 126 | 112 | 75 | 142 | 78 | 302 | 156 | 145 | 153 | 184 |
| June.. | 149 | 244 | 245 | 119 | 303 | 129 | 100 | 132 | 114 | 76 | 145 | 89 | 239 | 165 | 150 | 152 | 178 |
| July. | 149 | 237 | 245 | 116 | 316 | 136 | 102 | 147 | 115 | 80 | 157 | 92 | 247 | 173 | 151 | 162 | 183 |
| August.- | 143 | ${ }_{247}^{242}$ | 241 | 121 | 301 | 150 | 107 | $\stackrel{135}{14}$ | 116 | 85 | 150 | 1107 | 236 | 182 | 153 | 170 | 184 |
| September......... | 143 | 247 | 214 | 120 | 302 | 217 | 127 | 147 | 115 | 102 | 145 | 116 | 219 | 182 | 157 | 172 |  |

## COMPARATIVE RETAIL PRICES IN PRINCIPAL COUNTRIES.

In the following table are presented statistics showing the trend of retail prices and the cost of living in the United States and important European countries: ${ }^{1}$
berg. Dr. Kuczynski bases his calculations upon the cost of living per week of a family of four in Greater Berlin.
Retail Prices in the United States, Paris, and Sweden; Cost of Living in United Kingdom and Berlin.
[July, 1914=100.]

|  | United States, retail prices. ${ }^{1}$ | United Kingdom, cost of living. ${ }^{2}$ | Paris, retail prices. ${ }^{1}$ | Sweden, retail prices. | Berlin, cost of living. ${ }^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1919. | 182 | 216 | 260 | 321 |  |
| 1920. | 199 | 249 | 371 | 298 | 1,080 |
| September. | 199 | 161 | 407 | 307 | 1,038 |
| 1921. |  |  | 410 | 283 |  |
| February.. | 155 | 251 | 382 | 283 | 1,087 |
| March... | 153 | 241 | 358 | 253 | 1,035 |
| April. | 149 | 233 | 328 | 248 | 976 |
| May.. | 142 | 228 | 317 | 237 | 990 |
| June.. | 141 | 219 | 312 | 234 | 1,080 |
| July.... | 145 | 219 | 306 | 232 | 1,125 |
| August. | 152 | 222 | 317 | 234 | 1,177 |
| September. | 150 | 220 | 329 | 228 | 1,212 |
| October... |  | 210 |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Average for the month. ${ }_{3}$ Angust, 1913-July, ${ }^{2}$ Beginning of month.

1 Three of these index numbers-those for the United Kingdom Paris, and Sweden-are constructed on the basis of prices in July 1914= 100. In the case of the United States, the original base, that of the year 1913 , has been shifted to the July, 1914, base. The German index uses the Year ending July, 1914, as a base.
The American index number, constructed by the Bureau of Labor Statisties, was based upon the retail prices of 22 articles of food, weighted increased to 43 articles reported by dealers in 51 inportant cities. The method of weighing continues the same, although the actual "weight" applied have been changed.
The British index number of the cost of living constructed by the minstry of Labor consists of the retail prices not only of foodstuirs but of other articles as well. Retain clothing prices, rents, and the cost of fuel, lighting, and miscellaneous housenold items are also taken into consideration. The index number is weighted according to the importance of the items in the budgets of working-class ramines
Sthe retaif price index for Paris, compiled by the French General Statistical Office, consists of retail prices of 13 different commodities, wegnted according to the average annual consumption of a workingman's family of four persons. Eleven or the commodities includ
rhe Swadish index nume other two are kerosene and alconor. uel and lishtindex number consists of the retail prices of foodstuns, (in 1920,50 articles in 49 towns), weighted according to the budget of a workingman's family which before the war had a yearly income of ,000 kroner.
piled by Dr andex expresses upon a percentage basis figures

## FOREIGN TRADE-UNITED KINGDOM, FRANCE, ITALY, SWEDEN, JAPAN, AND GERMANY.

In the following table are presented figures showing the monthly value of the foreign trade of a group of important European countries and Japan. Up-to-date figures for Germany are not available. ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ Currencies have not been converted to a common unit, nor are methods of valuation the same in all countries. In England imports are given current c. i. f. values in England; exports and reexports,
current f. o. b. values. The same method is followed in Japan and Sweden. In France and Italy the value of foreign trade is estimated not in terms of current prices but in terms of those of some earlier dated usually the preceding year.
None of the figures presented below include the import or export of gold and silver. In the case of England and France, group figures are given as well as total values, while in the case of the other countries, total values only are presented. This does not mean that group figures are not obtainable, merely that they are either delayed in publication or appear not to be of such general interest as the French and English material.
Japanese figures for recent months are received by cable and subject
to revision.

FOREIGN TRADE OF THE UNITED KINGDOM.

|  | Imports. |  |  |  |  |  | Exports. |  |  |  |  |  | Reexports. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In thousands of pounds sterling. |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { In } \\ & \text { thou- } \\ & \text { sands } \\ & \text { of tons. } \end{aligned}$ | In thousands of pounds sterling. |  |  |  |  | In thousands of tons. |  |  |
|  | Food, drink, and tobacco | Raw materials and articles mainly unmanufactured. | Articles wholly or mainly manufactured. | Miscellaneotus, including parcel post. | Total. |  | Food, drink, and tobacco. | Raw materials and articles mainly unmanufactured. | Articles wholly or mainly manufactured. | Miscellaneous, including parcel post. | Total. |  |  | In thousands of tons. |
| Monthly average: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 24,184 59,927 | 23,485 50,565 | 16,134 24,663 | 259 | 64,061 135,513 | 4,669 | 2,716 | 5,825 $\mathbf{9 , 2 7 4}$ | 34,281 58,457 | 949 1,008 | 43,770 66,553 | 7,650 | 9,131 13,729 | 152 |
| 1920........... | 63,948 | 59,292 | 37, 902 | 254 | 161,395 | 3,795 | 4,241 | 12,138 | 93,394 | 1,523 | 111,297 | 3,292 | 18,701 | 139 |
| September.. | 67,334 | 44,557 | 40,573 | 294 | 152,757 | 4,175 | 4,310 | 9,515 | 102,216 | 1,414 | 117,456 | 2,694 | 13,351 | 122 |
|  | 49,158 | 37,005 | 30,467 | 420 | 117,051 | 3,383 | 3,852 | 7,668 | 79, 746 | 1,491 | 92,756 | 2,558 | 9,955 | 87 |
| February.......... | 47,750 | 25, 504 | 23,394 | 326 | 96,974 | 2,573 | 3,075 | 5,881 | 58,177 | 1,089 | 68,222 | 2,446 | 8,004 | 82 |
| March............ | 50,888 | 17,739 | 24,930 | 184 | 93,742 | 2,697 | 2,897 | 5,832 | 56,969 | 1,111 | 66,809 | 2,656 | 8,883 | 127 |
| April............. | 52,908 | 16,547 | 20,374 | 167 | $89,9.96$ | 2,668 | 3, 729 | 2,936 | 52,019 | 1,184 | 59,868 | 1,279 | 8,524 | 126 |
| May.............. | 50,094 | 16,711 | 19,282 | 221 | 86,308 | 2,891 | 2,101 | 1,437 | 38, 662 | 889 | 43,088 | 500 | 7,232 | 90 |
| June............... | 51,915 | 18,389 | 17,600 | 278 | 88,182 |  | 2,439 | 1,125 | 33,658 | 930 | 38,152 |  | 7,083 |  |
| July............... | 42,090 | 20,232 | 18, 005 | 431 | 80,757 | 4,050 | 2,702 | 2,775 | 36,705 | 991 | 43,172 | 11,350 | 9,362 |  |
| August........... | 50,584 | 19,589 | 18,194 | 214 | 88,581 | 3,389 | 3,124 | 7,058 | 39,936 | 1,228 | 51,346 | 3,747 | 9,998 | 154 |
| September-....... | 48,410 | 20,465 | 17,905 | 338 | 87,119 |  | 3,300 | 6,997 | 44,009 | -942 | 55, 248 |  | 8,595 |  |
| October ${ }^{2}$. |  |  |  |  | 84,742 |  |  |  |  |  | 62,265 |  | 10,386 | - |

FOREIGN TRADE OF FRANCE: ${ }^{1}$

|  | Imports. |  |  |  |  | Exports. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In thousands of francs. |  |  |  | Inthou-sands ofmetrictons. | In thousands of francs. |  |  |  |  | Inthou-sands ofmetrictons. |
|  | Food. | Raw materials. | Manulactared articles. | Total. |  | Food. | Raw materials. | Manufactured articles. | Parcel post. | Total. |  |
| Monthly average: 19132 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1913{ }^{19}$ | 151,465 892,040 | 1,229, 435 | 138,169 861,797 | 2,983, 278 | 3,685 3,204 | 69,908 99,201 | 154,841 | 301,420 615,630 | 47,182 | 573,351 989,966 | 1,840 464 |
| $1920{ }^{3}$ | 718,179 | 1,400,046 | 832,187 | 2,350,413 | 4,245 | 184,277 | 397,677 | 1,187,742 | 99,867 | 1,869,563 | 1,039 |
| September ${ }^{4}$. 192. | 911,000 | 1,850,000 | 910,000 | 3,272,000 | 4,341 | 239,000 | 452,000 | 1,612,000 | 112,000 | $2,414,000$ | 1,276 |
| 1921.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January. | 346,703 | 1,101,267 | 534,498 | 1,982,468 | 3,702 | 188,546 | 436,069 | 1,142,398 | 115, 605 | 1,882,618 | 1,117 |
| February | 386,169 | 803,231 | 424,531 | 1,613,931 | 2,579 | 172,992 | 478,561 | 1,162,817 | 85, 074 | 1,899, 444 | 1,414 |
| March... | 455, 545 | 786, 352 | 501,011 | 1,742,908 | 2,827 | 162,901 | 379, 281 | 1,035,826 | 108, 418 | 1,686, 426 | 1,069 |
| April. | 390, 315 | 887,151 | 501,593 | 1,779, 089 | 2,652 | 176, 333 | 468, 453 | 1,179,683 | 107, 799 | 1,932, 268 | 1,154 |
| May.. | 419,229 | 690, 553 | 455, 726 | 1,565, 508 | 2,250 | 151, 724 | 415,057 | 986,583 | 95,271 | 1,648, 635 | 1,168 |
| June. | 490,615 | 871,374 | 361,545 | 1,723,534 | 2,047 | 148,237 | 540,722 | 977,129 | 84,376 | 1,950, 464 | 1,405 |
| July. | 434,001 | 704,069 | 331,047 | 1,469, 117 | 2,164 | 123,303 | 439,534 | 925, 868 | 74,350 | 1,563, 055 | 1,194 |
| August. | 555,545 | 8055,697 | 320,052 | 1,731, 294 | 2,593 | 112, 654 | 445,312 | 1,084 ${ }^{\text {d }} 193$ | 82,933 | 1,723,092 | 1,035 |
| September. | 692,000 | 1,204,000 | 330,000 | 2,221,000 | 4,092 | 147,000 | 445,000 | 1,087,000 | 96,000 | 1,775,000 | 1,172 |

1 Not including gold, silver, or the reexport trade.
2 Calculated in 1913 value units.
${ }^{3}$ Calculated in 1919 value units. French foreign trade figures are orisinally recorded in quantity units only, and the value of the trade is calculated by applying official value units to the quantities imported and exported. Normally the monthly statements of trade appear computed at the rates of the year previous, and only at the end of the year is the trade evaluated at the prices prevailing during that year. Because of the disturbed price conditions in France during the past two years, 1919 price units are being applied to the 1921 trade.
${ }^{4}$ Calculated in 1920 value units.
FOREIGN TRADE OF ITALY, SWEDEN, AND JAPAN.

|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Italy. } \\ & \text { (In millions of } \\ & \text { lire.) } \end{aligned}$ |  | Sweden. <br> (In millions of kronor.) |  | Japan. (In millions of yen.) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Imports. | Exports. | Imports. | Exports. | Imports. | Exports. |
| Monthly average: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1913.......... |  | 304 | 210 | 71 | 68 | 61 | 53 |
| 1919. |  | 1,385 | 506 | 211 | 131 | 181 | 175 |
| 1920. |  | 1,322 | 650 | 281 | 191 | 195 | 162 |
|  | 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June. |  | 2,076 | 752 | 283 | 224 | 220 | 184 |
| July... |  | 1,040 | 521 | 331 | 268 | 157 | 154 |
| August. |  | 1,249 | 532 | 308 | 230 | 123 | 175 |
|  | 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January. |  | 1,166 | 503 | 122 | 91 | 105 | 75 |
| February.. |  | 1,320 | 566 | 116 | 89 | 119 | 77 |
| March.... |  | 1,503 | 569 | 99 | 75 | 137 | 94 |
| April...... |  | 1, 346 | 586 | 106 | 69 | 139 | 115 |
| May....... |  | 1,191 | 453 | 95 | 82 | 149 | 105 |
| June..... |  |  |  | 93 | 69 | 148 | 107 |
| July...... |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r}98 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 94 | 110 | 99 |
| August... |  |  |  | 103 | 113 | 131 | 105 |

11920 and 1921 figures based on 1919 value units.
moreign trade of germany.

|  | Imports. ${ }^{1}$ |  | Exports. ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  | Imports. ${ }^{1}$ |  | Exports. ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Merchandise (in thousands of marks). | In thousands of metric. | Gold and sil- ver (in thou- sands of marks) | Merchandise (in thousands of marks). | In thousands of metric tons. |  | Merchandise (in thousands of marks). | In thousands of tons. | Gold and silver (in thousands of marks). | Merchandise (in thousands of marks). | In thousands of metric tons. |
| Monthly average: 1913. 1920 | 926,654 | 6,068 $\mathbf{1 , 5 7 0}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8,450 \\ 17 ; 773 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 841,375 \\ 5,775,933 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,146 \\ & 1,657 \end{aligned}$ | 1920. $\begin{aligned} & \text { November... } \\ & \text { December... }\end{aligned}$ |  | 1,757 | 3,353 45,306 | $\begin{aligned} & 7,909,571 \\ & 7,817,910 \end{aligned}$ | 1,768 |
| July........ |  | 1,739 | 2,350 | 6,187,922 | 2,094 | $1921 .{ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| August. |  | 1,512 | 5, 116 | 6,027, 625 | 1,911 | May... | 5,486,000 | 1,534 |  | 4,558,000 | 1,145 |
| September. |  | 1,680 1,757 | 6,400 6,239 | $6,411,311$ $6,488,133$ | 1,837 1,494 |  | 6,409,000 | 1,824 |  | 5,332,000 $6,208,000$ | 1,509 |
|  |  |  |  | 6,48, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Not including philanthropic gifts.
2 Not including deliveries on reparations account.
8 Figures covering the first four months of 1921 are not available. Figures for May, June, and July are subject to revision.

## dISCOUNT AND OPEN-MARKET OPERATIONS OF FEDERAL RESERVE BANKS.

Following is a set of tables showing the volume of bills discounted and of acceptances and Government securities purchased by the Federal Reserve Banks during September, 1921:
volume of operations during september, 1921.

| Federal Reserve Bank. | Bills discounted for member banks. | Bills bought in open market. | U. S. securities purchased. |  | Total. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Bonds and notes. | Certificates of indebtedness. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { September, } \\ & \text { 1921. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { September, } \\ & 1920 \text {. } \end{aligned}$ |
| Boston | \$330,076, 136 | \$10,638,562 | \$2,021,000 | \$18,953,500 | \$361, 689, 198 | \$477, 280, 712 |
| New York. | 1,091, 256, 096 | 41,382, 214 | 2,023, 800 | 426,556,000 | 1,561, 218,110 | 5,194,086, 838 |
| Philadelphia | 272, 172,581 | 2,000,775 | 2,870,300 | 3,446,500 | $280,490,156$ | 429,037, 821 |
| Cleveland. | 186,035,681 | 3,168,127 | 17,500 | 42,195,000 | 231,416,308 | 323, 431, 007 |
| Richmond. | 214, 743, 244 | 2,669,600 |  | 9,000,000 | 226, 412, 814 | 284, 901,704 |
| Atlanta. | 132,044, 325 | 5, 344, 282 | 100, 000 |  | 137, 488, 607 | 209, 773, 242 |
| Chicago | 310,422, 093 | 6,665, 118 | 2,687,100 | 14,136,000 | 333, 920, 311 | 656,960, 732 |
| St. Louis. | 129,321, 248 | 2,651,335 | 111,400 | 1, 254,000 | 133,337,983 | 212,634,354 |
| Minneapolis | 51, 864,383 |  | 80, 100 | , 620,000 | 52,564,483 | 76,385,740 |
| Kansas City | 69,966,798 | 329,532 | 800,500 | 1,819,500 | 72,916,330 | 161, 254,579 |
| Dallas. | 65,544,545 | 50,000 |  | 470,000 | 65, 064, 545 | 125,573,279 |
| San Francisc | 179,651,537 | 6,983,350 | 591,600 | 5,517,500 | 192, 743,987 | 295,946, 432 |
| Total: September, 1921 | 3,033,108,667 | 81,882,895 | 11, 303, 300 | $\begin{aligned} & 523,968,000 \\ & 890,306,500 \end{aligned}$ | 3,650, 262,862 | 8,447,266,440 |
| September, 1920 | 7,298,971, 351 | 257,988,589 |  |  |  |  |
| 9 months ending Sept. 30,1921 9 month ending Sept. 30,1920 | $\begin{aligned} & 46,869,621,732 \\ & 60,427,827,424 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,003,620,391 \\ & 2,450,863,929 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 40,619,961 \\ 264,400 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,100,541,557 \\ & 6,260,515,500 \end{aligned}$ | 51,014, 403,641 | 69, 139, 471, 253 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 69,139, 471, 253 |

VOLUME OF BILLS DISCOUNTED DURING SEPTEMBER, 1921, BY CLASSES OF PAPER; ALSO NUMBER OF MEMBER BANKS ACCOMMODATED.

${ }^{1} T$ otal discounts multiplied by ratio of average maturity of bills discounted by each bank to average maturity (17.22) for system.

VOLUME OF BILLS DISCOUNTED DURING SEPTEMBER, 1921, BY RATES OF DISCOUNT CHARGED; ALSO AVERAGE RATES aND MATURITIES.

| Federal Reserve Bank. | 5 per cent. | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent. | 6 per cent. | $6 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent. | Total. | Average rate (365day basis). | Average maturity. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Boston. | \$93,094,740 | \$236,981,396 |  |  | \$330,076,136 | Per cent. 5.36 | Days. <br> 7.74 |
| New York | 410,418,945 | $680,837,151$ |  |  | 1,091, 256,096 | 5.33 | 9.67 |
| Philadelph |  | 272, 172, ${ }^{1881}$ |  |  | 272, 172, 581 | 5.50 | 12.28 |
| Cleveland |  | 186,035,681 |  |  | 186,035,681 | 5.50 | 19. 21 |
| Atlanta... |  |  | 132,044, 325 |  | 132,044,325 | 6.00 6.00 | 17.66 |
| Chicago. |  |  | 310,432,093 |  | 310,432,093 | 6.00 | 34.99 |
| St. Louis. |  | 115,000 | 129,206, 248 |  | 129,321,248 | 6.00 | 23.29 |
| Minneapolis. |  |  | 25, 149,912 | \$26,714,471 | 51,864,383 | 6.41 | 42.06 |
| Kansas City |  |  | 69,966,798 |  | 69, 966, 798 | 6.00 | 36. 73 |
| Dallas.... |  |  | 65, 544,545 |  | 65,544, 545 | 6.00 | 32.49 |
| San Francis |  | 179,651,537 |  |  | 179,651,537 | 5.50 | 20.20 |
| Total: September, 1921. August, 1921... | 503,513,685 | $1,555,793,346$ $2,538,906,297$ | $\begin{aligned} & 947,087,165 \\ & 942,176,033 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26,714,471 \\ & 31,980,860 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,033,108,667 \\ & 3,513,063,190 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.75 \\ & 5.76 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.22 \\ & 15.76 \end{aligned}$ |

VOLUME OF BANKERS' AND TRADE ACCEPTANCES PURCHASED DURING SEPTEMBER, 1921, BY CLASSES.

| Federal Reserve Bank. | Bankers' acceptances. |  |  |  | Trade acceptances. |  |  | Total bills purchased. | Total adjusted to a common maturity basis. ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Foreign. | Domestic. | Dollar exchange. | Total. | Foreign. | Domestic. | Total. |  | Amount. | Per cent of total. |
| Boston. | \$6,618,646 | \$3,834,916 | \$185,000 | \$10,638,562 |  |  |  | \$10,638,562 | \$6,054,286 | 7.4 |
| New York.... | 30, 399,111 | 9,050, 128 | 1,878,281 | 41, 327,520 | \$54,694 |  | \$54,694 | 41,382, 214 | 31,211, 700 | 38.1 |
| Philadelphia. | 1,216,275 | 769,500 | 15,000 | 2,000, 775 |  |  |  |  |  | 5.4 |
| Cleveland.... | 1,570,918 | 1,377, 209 | 220,000 |  |  |  |  | 3, 168, 127 | 2,542,322 | 3.1 |
| Richmond. | 499, 800 $3,015,955$ | $\mathbf{2}, 169,800$ $\mathbf{2}, 328,327$ |  | 2, $\mathbf{5}, 3449,600$ $\mathbf{5}$ |  |  |  | 2, 669,600 $5,344,282$ | $4,845,949$ $11,645,364$ | 5.9 14.2 |
| Chicago. | 4,960,087 | 1,213,031 | 492,000 | 6,665, 118 |  |  |  | ${ }_{6,665,118}$ | 13,731,986 | 14.2 16.8 |
| St. Louis | 1,323,810 | 1,202,525 | 125,000 | 2,651,335 |  |  |  | 2,651,335 | 1,535,817 | 1.9 |
| Minneapolis | 198,000 | 131,332 |  | 329,332 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dallas..... |  | 50,000 |  | 50,000 |  |  |  | 50,000 | 129, 330 | . 7 |
| San Francisc | 4,888,511 | 1,464,420 | 574,235 | 6,927, 166 | 56,184 |  | 56,184 | 6,983,350 | 5,198,505 | 6.3 |
| Total: Sept., 1921.. | 54,691,113 | 23,591,388 | 3,489,516 | 81,772,017 | 110,878 |  |  |  | 81,882,895 | 100.0 |
| Aug., 1921. | 79,757,243 | 21,717,194 | 5,795,624 | 107,270,061 | 32,984 |  | 32,984 | 107,303,045 |  |  |
| Sept., 1920.. | 196,307,696 | 52,960,631 | 6,589,600 | 255,857,987 | 1,926,831 | \$203, 771 | 2,130,602 | 257, 988,589 |  |  |
| Aug., 1920.. | 190, 985, 198 | 51,027,040 | 5, 426, 161 | 247, 438,399 | 12, 269, 879 |  | 12, 269, 879 | 259, 708, 278 |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Total purchases multiplied by ratio of average maturity of bills purchased by each bank to average maturity (25.89) for system.
VOLUME OF ACCEPTANCES PURCHASED DURING SEPTEMBER, 1921, BY RATES OF DISCOUNT CHARGED; ALSO AVERAGE RATES AND MATURITIES.

| Federal Reserve Bank. | 47 per cent. | $5 \text { per }$ cent. | ${ }^{51}$ per cent. | 54 per cent. | 53 per cent. | 53 per cent. | 5 5er cent. | 52 per cent. | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \text { per } \\ & \text { cent. } \end{aligned}$ | Total. | Average (365-day basis). | Average maturity. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Boston. |  | \$9,751, 480 | \$332,072 | \$501,010 | \$54,000 |  |  |  |  | \$10,638, 562 | $\left\|\begin{array}{r} \text { Per cent. } \\ 5.12 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Days. } \\ 14.73 \end{gathered}$ |
| New York |  | 22, 366, 213 | 1,906, 322 | 16, 826,767 | 78, 218 | \$204,694 |  |  |  | 41,382, 214 | 5. 18 | 19.52 |
| Philadelphi |  | 1, ${ }^{1} 8861,345$ | 317,136 169,108 | 100,000 538,634 | 235, 000 |  |  |  |  | $2,000,775$ <br> 3168 <br> 127 | 5.11 | $\begin{array}{r}56.82 \\ \\ \\ \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| Richmond. |  | 1,801,345 |  | 508, 034 | 235,000 | 105,000 | 107,690 | 8151, 350 | \$2,669,600 | $3,168,127$ $2,669,600$ | 5.21 6.08 | 20.77 46.99 |
| Atlanta.. |  |  |  |  |  | 217,255 |  |  | 5, 127, 027 | 5,344, 282 | 6. 07 | 56.41 |
| Chicago. | \$1, 14, 470 | 3, 835, 802 | 1, 404,905 | 340,000 | 34, 851 |  | 35,000 |  |  | 6,665, 118 | 5.12 | 53.33 |
| St. Louis. | 1,672,467 | 516,685 | 300, 000 | 147, 183 |  | 15,000 |  |  |  | 2,651,335 | 5.03 | 15.00 |
| Kansas City |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 75,000 | 254, 532 | 329,532 | 6.08 | 46.84 |
| Dallas...... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 50, 000 | 50,000 | 6.08 | 66.96 |
| San Francisco. | 442,873 | 1,822,467 | 1,162,166 | 629, 875 | 785,642 | 1,253,537 | 656, 151 | 224,739 | 5,900 | 6,983, 350 | 5.30 | 19.27 |
| Total: September, 1921 | 3, 129, 810 | 41, 737, 631 | 5,591,799 | 19,083, 469 | 1,187, 711 | 1,795, 486 | 1, 798, 841 | ${ }_{2}^{451,089}$ | 8, 107, 059 | 81, 882, 895 | 5. 35 | 25.89 |
| August, 1921.... |  | 24, 387, 302 | 12, 578, 843 | 57, 847, 423 | 1,791, 668 | 3,905, 304 | 1,115, 820 | 2, 877,070 | 2, 701, 331 | ${ }^{1} 107,303,045$ | 5.31 | 25.33 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes $\$ 48,284$ and $\$ 50,000$ of acceptances purchased at $5 \frac{7}{7}$ and $6 \frac{1}{8}$ per cent respectively.
Note.-All Federal Reserve Banks use 360 days to the year in calculating interest on bills bought in open market.

## HOLDINGS OF EARNING ASSETS, BY CLASSES.

Following is a set of tables giving a detailed analysis of the different classes of earning assets held by the Federal Reserve Banks at the end of September, 1921:

AVERAGE DAILY HOLDINGS OF EACH CLASS OF EARNING ASSETS, EARNINGS THEREON, AND ANNUAL RATES OF EARN. INGS, DURING SEPTEMBER, 1921.

| Federal ReserveBank. | Average daily holdings of- |  |  |  | Earnings on- |  |  |  | Annual rate of earnings on- |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { classes } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { erning } \\ & \text { assets. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dis- } \\ & \text { counted } \\ & \text { bills. } \end{aligned}$ | Pur- chased bills. | U.S. securities. | $\stackrel{\text { All }}{\text { classes }}$ of earning assets. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dis- } \\ \text { counted } \\ \text { bills. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pur- } \\ & \text { chased } \\ & \text { bills. } \end{aligned}$ | U.S. securities. | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { All } \\ \text { classes } \\ \text { orning } \\ \text { eassets. } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dis- } \\ \text { counted } \\ \text { bills. } \end{gathered}$ | Pur- chased bilis. | U. S. ties. |
| Boston | \$115, 129, 864 | 893, 236,491 | \$3, 403, 863 | \$18, 489, 510 | \$481, 361 | \$433,650 | \$14, 378 | \$33, 333 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Per ct. } \\ 5.09 \end{array}$ | Perct. | Perct. 5.14 | Perct. 2. 19 |
| New York | 401, $1309,743,453$ | 301, 277,903 | 20,409, 067 | 79, 322, 430 | 1, 658 , 841 | 1, 384, 113 | 87,210 | 187,518 | 5.03 | 5.59 | 5.20 | 2. 88 |
| Cleveland.. | 155, 834, 245 | 132, 667,324 | 1,722, 421 | 24, 444,500 | 54, <br> 663,422 | 471, 892 | 7,499 | 49,031 | 4. ${ }^{\text {4. }} 18$ | -5. 56 | 5.150 | 2.16 |
| Richmond | 109, 330,451 | 99, 823, 598 | 2, 630,120 | 6, 576, 733 | 516, 761 | 492, 089 | 13, 150 | 11, 522 | 5.77 | 6. 00 | 6. 08 | 2.13 |
| Atlanta. | 122, 667, 828 | 101, 200, 624 | 2,513, 746 | 18, 953,458 | 565, 163 | 500, 750 | 12, 289 | 52,124 | 5.61 | 6.02 | 5.95 | 3.35 |
| Chicago. | 254, 943, 822 | 216, 335, 298 | 2, 447, 504 | 36, 161, 020 | 1,168,073 | 1,096,706 | 10, 280 | 61,087 | 5.57 | 6. 17 | 5.11 | 2.06 |
| St. Louis. | 87, 354, 549 | 74, 352, 482 | 617, 854 | 12, 384, 213 | 390, 940 | 366, 310 | 2,581 | 22,049 | 5.44 | 5. 99 | 5.10 | 2.17 |
| Minneapolis | 77, 804,700 | 72, 595, 000 |  | 5, 209, 700 | 390, 346 | 381,385 |  | 8,961 | 6. 10 | 6.39 |  | 2.09 |
| Kansas City | 86, 887, 071 | 69, 151, 113 | 620, 168 | 17, 115, 790 | 378,402 | 340, 971 | 3, 179 | 34, 252 | 5.29 | 5. 99 | 6. 23 | 2.43 |
| Dallas... | 60, 962, 124 | 55, 646,973 | 413,551 | 5, 271, 600 | 299, 553 | 289, 134 | ${ }_{212}^{212}$ | 10, 207 | 5.98 | 6. 32 | 5. 84 | 2.35 |
| San Francisco | 138, 106, 375 | 125, 244, 794 | 3, 413, 421 | 9,448, 160 | 610,683 | 578, 552 | 15, 213 | 16, 918 | 5.38 | 5.62 | 5.42 | 2.18 |
| Total: <br> September, 1921 | 1,740, 473, 882 | 1, 445, 689, 968 | 40, 020, 253 | 254, 763, 661 |  |  |  |  |  | 5.85 |  |  |
| August, 1921..... | 1,841, 167, 977 | 1, $554,273,504$ | 38, 124, 395 | 248, 770, 078 | 8, 493, 825 | 7, 827, 996 | 173, 556 | 492, 273 | 5. 43 | 5.93 | 5.35 | 2.33 |
| September, 1920 | 3, 329, 481,645 | 2, 677, 052, 425 | 313, 863, 889 | 338, 565, 331 | 15, 843, 314 | 13, 656, 417 | 1, 558, 618 | 628, 279 | 5.81 | 6.22 | 6.06 | 2.27 |
| A.ugust, 1920..... | 3, 233, 862, 055 | , 605, 113, 456 | 325, 461, 036 | 303, 287, 563 | 15, 872, 666 | 13, 627,062 | 1, 674, 351 | 571,253 | 5.81 | 6. 19 | 6.07 | 2.22 |

HOLDINGS OF DISCOUNTED BILLS, BY CLASSES.
[End of September figures. In thousands of dollars.]

| Federal Reserve Bank. | Total. |  | Member banks' collateral notes. |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Commer- } \\ \text { cial } \\ \text { paper, } \\ \text { n. e.s. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Agri- } \\ & \text { cultural } \\ & \text { paper. } \end{aligned}$ | Livestock paper. | Bankers' acceptances. |  | Trade acceptances. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Secured by Government obligations. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Other- } \\ \text { wise } \\ \text { secured. } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | Foreign. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Do- } \\ \text { mestic. } \end{gathered}$ | Foreign. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Do- } \\ \text { mestic. } \end{gathered}$ |
| Boston. | 86,704 | 6,618 | 26,391 |  | 52,518 | 984 | 4 |  |  |  | 189 |
| New York. | 265,225 | 8,643 | 104,017 |  | 150,210 | 447 |  | 173 | 15 | 30 | 1,690 |
| Philadelphia.............. | 101,601 | 14,993 | 55,096 |  | 30,911 | 372 | 5 |  |  |  | , 224 |
| Cleveland................ | 129, 992 | 7, 828 | 32, 888 | 45 | 86,325 | 838 | 425 | 83 | 132 |  | 1,428 |
| Richmond. | 96,755 | 3,534 | 18,580 | 511 | 60,667 | 11,963 | 68 |  |  |  | 1,432 |
| Atlanta.. | 103,771 | 6,976 | 30,547 | 328 | 47, 260 | 14,278 | 2,826 |  |  |  | 1,556 |
| Chicago.. | 224, 216 | 6,977 | 64,818 | 508 | 99, 662 | 51,950 |  |  |  |  | 301 |
| St. Louis. | 75,579 | 4,128 | 23, 894 | 38 | 35,514 | 10,187 | 1,333 |  | 148 |  | 337 |
| Minneapolis.. | 69,560 | 764 | 9,556 | 926 | 23,766 | 22,033 | 12,261 |  | 11 |  | 243 |
| Kansas City.. | 73, ${ }_{598}$ | 2,754 | 16,097 |  | 26,988 | 7,155 | 20,810 |  | 10 |  | 170 |
| Dan Francisco....... | 59,895 125,731 | 206 3,749 | 7,207 39 | $\begin{array}{r} 2,996 \\ 12,696 \end{array}$ | 15,566 42,424 | 17,827 14,217 | 14,595 | 247 | 26 | 60 | 798 1,007 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total: Sept. 30, 1921. | $1,413,013$ $1,491,985$ | 67,870 82,053 | 428,974 463,123 | $\begin{aligned} & 18,048 \\ & 16,242 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 671,811 \\ & 695,691 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 152,251 \\ & 157,394 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 63,749 \\ & 65,033 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 503 \\ & 635 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 342 \\ 1,080 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 90 \\ 104 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9,375 \\ 10,580 \end{array}$ |
| Sept. 24, 1920. <br> Aug. 27, 1920. | $\begin{aligned} & 2,704,464 \\ & 2,667,127 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 295,373 \\ 297,442 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 925,050 \\ \mathbf{1}, 017,388 \end{array}$ | 8,877 <br> 3,819 | 1, 220,588 | 120,998 117,050 | 103,426 99,228 | 8,0 9,0 |  |  |  |

HOLDINGS OF BANKERS' AND TRADE ACCEPTANCES PURCHASED OR DISCOUNTED, BY CLASSES OF ACCEPTANCES.
[End of September figures. In thousands of dollars.]

| Federal Reserve Bank. | All classes. |  |  | Bankers' acceptances. |  |  |  | Trade acceptances. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total. | Purchased in open market. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dis- } \\ \text { counted } \\ \text { for } \\ \text { member } \\ \text { banks. } \end{gathered}$ | Total. | Foreign. | Domestic. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dollar } \\ \text { ex- } \\ \text { change. } \end{gathered}$ | Total. | Foreign. | Domestic. |
| Boston. | 3,822 | 3,633 | 189 | 3,633 | 2,264 | 1,337 | 32 | 189 |  | 189 |
| New York. | 22, 182 | 20, 274 | 1,908 | 20, 407 | 14,743 | 4,821 | 843 | 1,775 | 85 | 1,690 |
| Philadelphia | 2,325 | 2,101 | 1,643 | $\stackrel{2,101}{2}$ | 1,266 | 815 | 20 | 224 |  | 1228 |
| Cleveland. | 3, 4,640 | 3, ${ }^{1}, 881$ | 1,643 1,432 | $\mathbf{2 , 0 9 6}$ 3,208 | 1,193 | 683 2,393 | 220 | 1,428 |  | 1,428 |
| Atlanta | 6,328 | 4,772 | 1, 556 | 4,772 | 2,717 | 2,055 |  | 1, 556 |  | 1, 556 |
| Chicago.. | 3,150 | 2,849 | 301 | 2,849 | 1,813 | 936 | 100 | 301 |  | 301 |
| St L Louis. | 2,377 | 1,892 | 485 | 2,040 | 793 | 1,122 | 125 | 337 | ......... | 337 |
| Minneapolis. | $\stackrel{254}{510}$ |  | 254 | 11 | 198 | 111 |  | 243 |  | 243 170 |
| Kansas City | 510 868 | 330 70 | 180 | 340 70 | 198 | 142 |  | 179 | ..... | 1798 |
| San Francisco | 5,373 | 4,032 | 1,341 | 4, 234 | 3,343 | 637 | 254 | 1,139 | 132 | 1,007 |
| Total: Sept. 30, 1921. | 55,353 |  |  | 45,761 | 29, 145 | 15,022 $\mathbf{9}, 103$ | 1,594 | 9,592 | 217 | 9,375 |
| Purchased in Ang. 31, $1921 .$. | 47, 721 |  |  | 36,995 | 27,005 | 9,103 | 887 | 10,726 | 146 | 10,580 |
| Purchased in open market: <br> Sept. 30, 1921 |  | 45,042 |  | 44,916 |  |  | 1,594 | 126 | 126 |  |
| Aug. 31, 1921. |  | 35, 322 |  | 35, 280 | 26,370 | 8,023 | , 887 | 42 | 42 |  |
| Discounted for member banks: Sept. 30, 1921. |  |  | 10,311 | 845 | 503 | 342 |  | 9,466 | 91 | 9,375 |
| Aug. 31, 1921. |  |  | 12,399 | 1,715 | 635 | 1,080 |  | 10,684 | 104 | 10,580 |

HOLDINGS OF BANKERS' ACCEPTANCES PURCHASED OR DISCOUNTED, BY CLASSES OF ACCEPTING INSTITUTIONS.
[End of September figures. In thousands of dollars.]

| Federal Reserve Bank. | Total. | Member banks. |  | Nonmember banks and banking corporations. | Private bankers. | Branches <br> and agencies of foreign banks. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | National. | Nonnational. |  |  |  |
| Boston. | 3,633 | 1,648 | 1,621 | 141 | 102 | 121 |
| New York... | 20, 407 | 6, 736 | 5,689 | 3,415 | 2,081 |  |
| Philadelphia. | 2,101 2,096 | 480 473 | $\begin{array}{r}783 \\ 629 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 521 176 | 270 10 | 47 808 |
| Richmond... | 3,208 | 2,908 | 300 |  |  | 808 |
| Atlanta... | 4,772 | 1,315 | 3,097 | 360 | ... | ... |
| Chicago... | 2, 849 | 1,327 | 1,522 |  |  |  |
| St. Louis...... | 2,040 | 852 | 1,183 |  | 5 | ....... |
| Minneapolis... | 11 340 | 245 | 11 95 |  |  |  |
| Dallas........ | 70 | 70 | \% |  |  |  |
| San Francisco. | 4,234 | 1,640 | 1,181 | 834 | 176 | 403 |
| Total: Sept. 30, 1921. | 45,761 36,995 | 17,694 15,343 | 16,111 10,739 | 5,447 6,363 | 2,644 3,070 | 3,865 |
| Purchased in Aug. 31, 1921. | 36,995 | 15,343 | 10,739 | 6,363 | 3,070 | 1,480 |
| Purchased. 30, 1921.......... |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aug. 31, 1921.. | 35, 280 | 14,763 | 10, 395 | 5,638 | 3,022 | 1, 462 |
| Discounted for member banks: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aug. 31, 1921. | 1,715 | 580 | 344 | 725 | 48 | 18 |

## BANKING CONDITIONS DURING SEPTEMBER AND OCTOBER.

Substantial investments in United States and other securities, also a moderate increase in loans secured by corporate obligations, are indicated in the weekly statements of reporting member banks for the four-week period ending October 11-12. The first week of the review period witnessed large Government operations connected with the payment of the September 15 tax installment. While these operations had but little effect upon the status of the Federal Reserve Banks, as disclosed by the bank statement of September 21, that is, six days after the date of these operations, their effect upon the condition of the member banks is seen in an increase of about $\$ 177,000,000$ in the holdings of Government securities and of $\$ 419,000,000$ in Government deposits, representing largely book credits for Treasury notes and certificates allotted, as against an aggregate reduction of $\$ 298,000,000$ in demand deposits, largely the result of payment of customers' tax checks, also in a reduction of $\$ 47,000,000$ in aggregate accommodation at the Federal Reserve Banks. The following weeks witnessed substantial liquidation of United States shortterm securities, though the total amount of investments, because of considerable purchases by reporting banks of foreign government and domestic corporate securities, show an upward trend, the total October 11-12 investments of $\$ 3,399,000,000$ being $\$ 161,000,000$ in excess of the September 14 total.

Increases in the loan accounts of the reporting institutions are due exclusively to the steady increase in loans secured by stocks and bonds shown primarily by the New York and Boston member banks in connection with the financing of foreign and domestic security issues, the increases under these heads being, however, offset to a large extent by continued liquidation of paper secured by Government obligations and of commercial loans proper. For all reporting banks the October 11-12 statement shows an increase for the review period of $\$ 68,000,000$ in loans secured by stocks and bonds, as against declines of $\$ 15,000,000$ in loans secured by Government obligations and of $\$ 14,000,000$ in all other, i. e., largely commercial, loans. As a consequence of the changes stated, total loans and investments on October 11-12 stood at slightly over $\$ 15,000$,000,000 , or about $\$ 200,000,000$ in excess of the total shown four weeks before. This increase is accompanied by additions of over $\$ 350,000$,000 to Government deposits and of $\$ 25,000,000$ to time deposits, as against a reduction of $\$ 69,000,000$ in net demand deposits.

Borrowings of the reporting member banks from the Federal Reserve Banks show but
moderate fluctuations, the October 11-12 total of $\$ 900,000,000$ being only $\$ 8,000,000$ below the total reported four weeks earlier.
In the following table are shown the principal changes in the condition of reporting member banks during the four weeks ending October 11-12. Corresponding changes since the begining of 1920 are traced in the chart on page 1348.

## MOVEMENT OF PRINCIPAL ASSETS AND LIABILITIES OF

 REPORTING MEMBER BANKS.[In millions of dollars.]

|  | Sept. 14. | Sept. 21. | Sept. 28. | Oct. 5. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct. } \\ & 11-12 . \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of reporting banks.. | 810 | 810 | 809 | 809 | 809 |
| Loans and discounts: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secured by U. S. Government obligations... | 599 | 593 | 577 | 591 | 584 |
| Secured by stocks and bonds (other than U. S. Government obliga- |  |  |  |  |  |
| tions)................... | 2,966 | 2,979 | 2,997 | 3,019 | 3,034 |
| All other | 7,998 | 7,972 | 7,999 | 8,005 | 7,984 |
| counts 1 | 11,563 | 11,544 | 11,573 | 11,615 | 11,602 |
| U. S. bonds. | 868 | 870 | 878 | 882 | 879 |
| U. S. Victory notes. | 163 | 166 | 166 | 163 | 161 |
| U. S. Treasury notes.. | 53 | 165 | 149 | 139 | 138 |
| U.S. certificates of indebtedness. $\qquad$ | 141 | 201 | 169 | 163 | 158 |
| Other bonds, stocks, and securities. | 2,013 | 1,997 | 2,022 | 2,065 | 2,063 |
| Total investmen | 3,238 | 3,399 | 3,384 | 3,412 | 3,399 |
| Total loans and discounts, and investments ${ }^{1}$................ | 14,801 | 14,943 | 14,957 | 15,027 | 15,001 |
| Reserve with F. R. Bank | 1,229 | 1,189 | 1,242 | 1,216 | 1,244 |
| Cash in vault. | 310 | 301 | 305 | 301 | 310 |
| Net demand deposit | 10,131 | 9,833 | 9,806 | 9,926 | 10,062 |
| Time deposits. | 2,917 | 2,916 | 2,925 | 2,956 | 2,942 |
| Government deposits | 130 | 549 | 547 | 532 | 481 |
| Bills payable and rediscounts with F. R. Bank, total. | 908 | 861 | 875 | 880 | 900 |
| Secured by U. S. Government obligations... <br> All other. | $\begin{aligned} & 296 \\ & 612 \end{aligned}$ | 290 571 | 280 595 | 291 | 304 596 |
| Ratio of accommodation at F. R. Bank to total loans and investments.. | 6.1 | 5.8 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 6.0 |

${ }^{1}$ Including bills rediscounted with Federal Reserve Bank.
The most important development in the Federal Reserve field during the period under review was the reduction in discount rates by a number of Federal Reserve Banks. On September 22 the New York Federal Reserve Bank reduced its rate to 5 per cent. On the next day Boston effected the same reduction, and during the first week of October Philadelphia likewise adopted the lower rate. The effect of these reductions upon the general credit situation, as reflected by the weekly statements of the reporting member banks, is not easily traceable. Discount figures of the New York bank show an increase of
$\$ 76,400,000$ between September 21 and October 11. Like figures of the Boston bank, on the other hand, show a decline of $\$ 14,400,000$, while the total for the system shows an increase of $\$ 15,200,000$. During the following week, when the Government redeemed the outstanding balance of $\$ 382,500,000$ of Treas-
secured by United States Government obligations and about $\$ 22,000,000$-the reduction in other discounted bills.

Reserve bank holdings of acceptances purchased in open market increased from \$33,500,000 to $\$ 54,300,000$, the New York bank reporting substantial increases in the holdings

ury certificates, besides paying the semiannual interest on the Fourth Liberty bonds, considerable liquidation of borrowings by member banks took place, the October 19 total of $\$ 1,329,800,000$ of discounts held by the system marking a reduction of $\$ 57,400,000$ for the four-week period. Of this total reduction $\$ 35,400,000$ represents the reduction in paper
of bankers' bills. Pittman certificates on deposit with the Treasury to secure Federal Reserve Bank note circulation show a gradual decline of $\$ 28,000,000$, while other Treasury certificates, largely held under repurchase agreements by the New York Federal Reserve Bank, show a decline of $\$ 4,800,000$, and other United States securities a decline of
about $\$ 4,800,000$. Total earning assets of the Federal Reserve Banks show $a{ }^{\text {F }}$ reduction for the four weeks of $\$ 74,400,000$ and on October 19 stood at $\$ 1,577,900,000$, a new low record for the year and about 54 per cent below the peak figure of $\$ 3,422,000,000$ reported on October 15 of last year.

The following table indicates in summary form the weekly changes in the principal assets and liabilities of the Federal Reserve Banks for the four weeks between September 21 and October 19, while the chart on page 1348 gives a graphic picture of these changes since the beginning of last year:
MOVEMENT OF PRINCIPAL ASSETS AND LIABILITIES OF THE 12 FEDERAL RESERVE BANKS COMBINED. [In millions of dollars.]

|  | Sept. | Sept. 28. | Oct. 5. | Oct. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oet } \\ & 19 . \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reserves: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total. | 2,863.1 | 2, 878.7 | 2,882.9 | 2,876.9 | 2,921.8 |
| Gold | 2,711.1 | 2,726.0 | 2,732.6 | 2,728.9 | 2,772.7 |
| Bills discounted: <br> Total........ | 1,387.2 | 1,402.9 | 1,398.1 | 1,402.4 | 1,329.8 |
| secured by U. S. Government obli- |  |  |  |  |  |
| gations............. | 495.1 | 490.9 | - 495.9 | 502.8 | 459.7 |
| All other.............. | 892. I | 912.0 | 902.2 | 899.6 | 870.1 |
| Bills bought in open market. | 33.5 | 38.9 | 42.1 | 61.4 | 54.3 |
| Certificates of indebtedness.. | 193.4 | 187.8 | 186.4 | 182.7 | 160.7 |
| Total earning assets.... | 1,652.3 | 1,666.1 | 1,662.1 | 1,680.2 | 1,577.9 |
| Government deposits. | 74.2 | 57.3 | 59.0 | 54.3 | 29.1 |
| Members' reserve deposits. | 1,588.2 | 1,635.6 | 1,613.1 | 1,646.1 | 1,660.9 |
| T Total deposits......... | 1,691.6 | 1,717.4 | 1,696.3 | 1,724.9 | 1,717.4 |
| F. R. notes in circulation.... | 2, 474.7 | 2,457.2 | 2,482.3 | 2,476.3 | 2,440.9 |
| F. R. Bank notes in circula-tion-netliability........... | 103.6 | 101.4 | 99.6 | 97.9 | 93.0 |
| Reserve percentage........... | 68.7 | 69.0 | 69.0 | 68.5 | 70.3 |

Rediscounting operations of the Federal Reserve Banks of Richmond, Atlanta, Minneapolis, and Dallas show a considerable decline in volume during the early part of October, the amount of bills held under rediscount for these banks by the Boston, New York, and Cleveland Federal Reserve Banks at the close of the period, $\$ 42,700,000$, being $\$ 23,800,000$ le
than four weeks before. Each of the four borrowing banks reports substantial reductions in the amount of paper rediscounted. Minneapolis was able to redeem the entire amount of paper held under rediscount with the New York bank during the week ending October 5, but resumed borrowings about the end of the period.
Total deposits at the Federal Reserve Banks fluctuated within moderate limits and on October 19 stood $\$ 1,717,400,000$, or $\$ 25,800,000$ in excess of the September 21 total. Federal Reserve note circulation, except for the first week in October, continued its downward course, and on October 19 stood at $\$ 2,440$,900,000 , the lowest weekly total reported since October 4, 1918, and 29 per cent below the peak figure shown on December 23 of last year. Mainly through the deposit with the Treasury of lawful money the reserve banks were able to reduce by $\$ 10,600,000$ their aggregate net liabilities on Federal Reserve Bank notes, though the actual circulation of these notes, according to Treasury records, shows a slight increase for the period.

Gold reserves, except for the second week in October, show a continuous increase and on October 19 aggregated $\$ 2,772,700,000$, a gain of $\$ 61,600,000$ for the four weeks and of $\$ 709,900,000$ since the beginning of the year. Other reserve cash, i. e., legals and silver, shows a decline of $\$ 2,900,000$ for the four weeks and of $\$ 41,900,000$ since January 1 . The reserve ratio, primarily because of the gain in gold and to a much smaller extent because of the reduction in note liabilities, shows a further rise from 68.7 to 70.3 per cent.
In the following table are shown comparative figures of average daily cash reserves, deposits, Federal Reserve note circulation, and reserve percentages for the months of September and October of the present and the two preceding years:

CASH RESERVES, TOTAL DEPOSITS, FEDERAL RESERVE NOTE CIRCULATION, AND RESERVE PERCENTAGES FOR OCTOBER AND SEPTEMBER, 1921.
[Daily averages. Amounts in thousands of dollars.]

| Federal Reserve Bank. | Total cash reserves. |  | Total deposits. |  | Federal Reserve notes in circulation. |  | Reserve percentages. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | October. | September. | October. | September. | October. | September. | October. | September |
| Boston. | 273, 121 | 259, 856 | 114, 571 | 112,448 | 230, 668 | 240, 205 | 79.1 | 73.7 |
| New York | 1, 063,432 | 1,013, 246 | 683, 527 | 668, 121 | 636, 921 | 641,957 | 80.5 | 77.3 |
| Philadelph | 216,463 245,402 | 217,453 | 104, 376 | 103, 411 | 204, 916 | 212, 648 | 70.0 | 68.8 |
| Richmond. | 72,416 | 256,512 68,706 | 136,437 55,307 | 138,478 54,872 | -221, 872 | 232, 296 107,510 | 68.5 44.1 | 69.2 42.3 |
| Atlanta.. | 72,016 | 71,492 | 45, 084 | 44, 803 | 129, 781 | 129, 233 | 41.2 | 41.1 |
| Chicago. | 464, 827 | 467,369 | 243, 453 | 247, 246 | 418, 509 | 430,771 | 70.2 | 68.9 |
| St. Louis. | 104, 401 | 93,712 | 63,283 | 62,292 | 103, 593 | 100, 163 | 62.6 | 57.7 |
| Minneapolis | 40,980 | 40, 224 | 43, 873 | 44,705 | 57, 894 | 56,998 | 40.3 | 39.6 |
| Kansas City | 73, 470 | 81, 562 | 69, 294 | 73, 894 | 72, 619 | 74,383 | 51.8 | 55.0 |
| Dallas.. | 35, 153 | 34, 815 | 47,328 | 45, 059 | 40, 836 | 39,962 | 39.9 | 40.9 |
| San Francisco | 244,046 | 231,449 | 121, 496 | 120, 833 | 229,673 | 227,784 | 69.5 | 66.4 |
| Total, 1921 | 2,905,727 | 2, 836,396 | 1, 728, 029 | 1,716,162 | 2, 456, 121 | 2,493,910 | 69.4 | 67.4 |
| 1920. | 2,162,178 | 2,139,280 | 1,867,589 | 1,912,070 | 3,336,768 | 3,275,535 | 143.1 | 143.3 |
|  | 2, 207,386 | 2,157,932 | 1,980,676 | 1,930,969 | 2, 738, 394 | 2, 627, 295 | ${ }^{1} 48.5$ | 150.4 |

1 Calculated on the basis of net deposits and Federal Reserve notes in circulation.

CONDITION OF FEDERAL RESERVE BANKS.
RESOURCES AND LIABILITIES OF EACH FEDERAL RESERVE BANK ON WEDNESDAYS, SEPT. 28 TO OCT. $19,1921$.
RESOURCES.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | Total. | Boston. | New York. | Phila-delphia. | Cleveland. | Richmond. | $\begin{gathered} \text { At- } \\ \text { lanta. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chi- } \\ & \text { cago. } \end{aligned}$ | St. Louis. | Min-neapolis. | Kansas City. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dal- } \\ & \text { las. } \end{aligned}$ | San Francisco. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gold and gold certificates: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sept. 28 | 442,707 | 8,254 | 348,566 | 1,846 | 12, 251 | 2,852 | 4,985 | 22,364 | 3,152 | 8,829 | 2,257 | 8,550 | 18,801 |
| Oct. 5. | 448, 472 | 8,301 | 357, 210 | 1,859 | 12,332 | 2,867 | 5,019 | 22,430. | 3,167 | 8,867 | 2,276 | 6,060 | 18,084 |
| Oct. 11 | 446, 962 | 8,324 | 355, 875 | 1,894 | 12,385 | 2,892 | 4,955 | 22,050 | 3,193 | 8, 880 | 2, 303 | 6,250 | 17,961 |
| Oct. 19.................... | 447,697 | 8,167 | 356,039 | 1,894 | 12,396 | 2, 867 | 4,946 | 22,179 | 3,188 | 8,891 | 2,316 | 6,735 | 18,079 |
| Gold settlement fund-F. R. Board: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sept. 28....................... | 415,765 | 45,200 | 88, 847 | 51, 875 | 45, 863 | 20, 818 | 6,235 | 58,359 | 17,116 | 10, 575 | 26, 80 C | 7,446 | 36,631 |
| Oct. 5. | 415, 175 | 52,779 | 32,474 | 65, 158 | 45,756 | 21,372 | 6,653 | 81,240 | 19,516 | 13,531 | 28,658 | 8,622 | 39,416 |
| Oct. 111 | 426,998 | 48,004 | 41,855 | 65,671 | 42, 863 | 20,900 | 7,708 | 86, 424 | 23, 676 | 13,033 | $30,11]$ | 5,574 | 41,179 |
| Oct. 19 | 480, 829 | 47,097 | 116,714 | 54,067 | 48, 314 | 23,435 | 4,858 | 79, 139 | 21,387 | 9,387 | 29, 292 | 5,963 | 41,173 |
| Gold with F. R. Agents: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oct. 5. | 1,756, 582 | 176, 213 | 575,828 | 147, 372 | 177, 281 | 32,074 | 49,391 | 308, 396 | 56,569 | 18,324 | 35,657 | 11,676 | 167, 801 |
| Oct. 111 | 1,732, 113 | 181,623 | 545, 684 | 149, 144 | 178,524 | 30,193 | 48,487 | 302,550 | 62,591 | 18,390 | 34, 150 | 12,866 | 167,911 |
| Oct. 19. | 1,711,331 | 178,013 | 545, 396 | 1.38, 032 | 168, 644 | 35,226 | 45,831 | 294, 842 | 62,568 | 18,510 | 35, 353 | 11,872 | 177,044 |
| Gold redemptionfund: 1080 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sept. 5. | 112,370 | 17, 168 | 15,000 | 10,089 6,586 | 6, 264 | 9,720 | 5,076 | 23, 8 , 87 | 3,685 | 2,505 | 3,271 | 4,053 | 7,167 |
| Oct. 11 | 122,849 | 21,703 | 15,000 | 4,467 | 4,910 | 11,403 | 4,715 | 37, 593 | 3,644 | 2,272 | 4,756 | 2,774 | 9,612 |
| Oct. 19. | 132,864 | 25, 249 | 15,000 | 8,079 | 4,683 | 6,140 | 6,773 | 45, 126 | 3,650 | 2,041 | 3,531 | 3,643 | 8,949 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sept. 28. | 2,725,966 | 246, 898 | 1,028, 479 | 210,654 | 241, 747 | 65, 751 | 63,463 | 421, 144 | 80,595 | 40,395 43,227 | 68, 116 | 28,958 30,411 | 229, 766 |
| Oct. 5. | 2,732,599 | 254, 459 | 980,512 | 220,975 | 241, 633 | 66,033 | 66, 139 | 443,943 | 82, 937 | 43,227 42,575 | 69,862 71,320 | 30, 411 | $\begin{aligned} & 232,468 \\ & 236 \end{aligned}$ |
| Oct. 111 | 2,728, 922 | 259, 654 | 958,414 | 221, 176 | 238,682 | 65,388 | 65,865 | 448,617 | 93,104 | 42,575 | 71, 320 | 27, 464 | 236,663 |
| Oct.19.. | 2,772, 721 | 258,526 | 1,033, 149 | 202,072 | 234, 037 | 67,668 | 62,408 | 441, 286 | 90,793 | 38,829 | 70,495 | 28,213 | 245, 245 |
| Legal-tender | 152, 719 | 15, 405 | 61,319 | 6,742 | 6,435 | 4,966 | 8,164 | 20, 173 | 14,933 | 535 | 3,912 | 6,183 | 3,952 |
| Oct. 5. | 150, 343 | 15, 581 | 61,538 | 6,762 | 5,991 | 4,625 | 8,007 | 18,851 | 14, 195 | 409 | 4,263 | 6,207 | 3,914 |
| Oct. $11{ }^{1}$ | 148,011 | 16,505 | 56,339 | 6,395 | 5,770 | 5,379 | 7,861 | 20,031 | 14,520 | 372 | 4,695 | 6,552 | 3,592 |
| Oct. 19. | 149,039 | 16,747 | 56,068 | 6,727 | 5,648 | 5,306 | 7,592 | 20,979 | 13,757 | 371 | 4,724 | 6,839 | 4,281 |
| Total reserves: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oct. 5. | 2, 882,942 | 270, 040 | 1,042, 050 | 227,737 | 247,624 | 70,658 | 74, 146 | 462,794 | 97, 132 | 43,636 | 74, 125 | 36,618 | 236, 382 |
| Oct. 11 | 2,876,933 | 276, 159 | 1,014, 753 | 227, 571 | 244, 452 | 70,767 | 73, 726 | 468,648 | 107,624 | 42,947 | 76,015 | 34, 016 | 240, 255 |
| Oct. 19. | 2,921, 760 | 275,273 | 1,089, 217 | 208,799 | 239, 685 | 72,974 | 70,000 | 462,265 | 104, 550 | 39,200 | 75,219 | 35, 052 | 249, 526 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secured by U.S. Government obtigations- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sept. 28................. | 490, 927 | 31, 237 | 105, 937 | 69,840 | 40,233 | 23, 130 | 37, 419 | 69,949 | 28,065 | 9.524 | 19,250 | 10, 553 | 44,490 |
| Oct. 5 | 495, 866 | 26.709 | 116,508 | 67,600 | 40, 074 | 26,957 | 36,921 | 69,795 | 27, 337 | 10,714 | 19, 189 | 9,567 | 44, 495 |
| Oct. 11 | 502, 701 | 24,379 | 139, 337 | 68, 264 | 41,500 | 25, 229 | 33, 345 | 64,748 | 25,601 | 10,305 | 18, 461 | 9,133 | 42, 189 |
| Oct. 19. | 459,671 | 24, 847 | 97, 867 | 71,654 | 36,846 | 32, 166 | 32,492 | 65,918 | 26, 330 | 5,243 | 17,707 | 7, 871 | 40,730 |
| All other- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sept. 28 | 911, 976 | 54, 343 | 150, 233 | 30, 636 | 91, 149 | 74,530 | 61, 978 | 156, 163 | 45,518 | 60,301 5988 | 54, 135 | 51, 127 | 81, 863 |
| Oct. 5. | 902, 2955 | 52,122 47,789 | 159,714 171,299 | 26,555 27,156 | 90,365 93,511 | 71,913 69,364 | 63,037 62,827 | 145,247 | 48,884 41,766 | 59,878 58,404 | 53, 600 | 50,916 <br> 50,903 | 80,024 76,432 |
| Oct. 11 | 899,615 <br> 870,097 | 47,789 46,953 | 171,299 151,977 | 27,156 30,003 | 93,511 90,577 | 69,364 66,707 | 62,827 64,138 | 146,275 138,470 | 41,766 44,407 | 58,404 63,724 | 53,889 54,551 | 50,003 49,626 | 76,432 68,964 |
| Bills bought in open market: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sept. 28.................. | 38, 889 | 3,795 | 17,605 | 1,972 | 1,561 | 3, 103 | 4,490 | 1,494 | 1,108 |  | 394 | 70 | 3,297 |
| Oet. | 42,070 | 3,241 | 18,667 | 1,353 | 2,010 | 3,670 | 5,083. | 3,907 | 504 |  | 520 | 70 | 3,045 |
| Oct. 11 | 61,393 | 5,578 | 33, 332 | 2,391 | 2, 209 | 3,686 | 5,468 | 3,709 | 247 |  | 769 | 170 | 3,834 |
| Oct. 19 | 54, 308 | 4,500 | 22,776 | 6,255 | 2,610 | 3,491 | 5,869 | 3,706 | 9 | 10 | ],143 | 205 | 3,441 |
| U.S.londs and notes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sept. 28 | 36, 485 | 1,030 | 3,026 | 1,520 | 844 | 1,233 | 10, 407 | 4,507 | 1,250 | 172 | 9, 330 | 2,613 | 514 |
| Oct. 5. | 35, 433 | 1, 368 | 2,526 | 1,453 | 844. | 1,233 | 10, 399 | 4,499 | 1,246 | 117 | 8,877 | 2,643 | 228 |
| Oct. 11 | 33, 658 | 567 | 1, 526 | 1,448 | 844 | 1,233, | 10,399 | 4,497 | 1. 300 | 117 | 8,868 | 2,643 | 214 |
| Oct. 19. | 33, 130 | 555 | 1,005 | 1,465 | $8 ; 6$ | 1,233 | 10,308 | 4,498 | 1,181 | 224 | 8,868 | 2,643 | 204 |
| U. S. certificates of indebted- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ness: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One-year certificates (Pittman Act)- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sept. 28 | 175,375 | 14,936 | 49,276 | 19,280 | 18, 299 | 3,760 | 8,564 | 27,112 | 11, 068 | 4,980 | 7,320 | 1,900 | 8,880 |
| Oct. 5. | 167, 375 | 14, 835 | 49,276 | 18, 280 | 17,299 | 3,760 | 8,564 | 25,112 | 9,068 | 4,980 | 6,320 | 1,900 | 7,880 |
| Oct. $11{ }^{1}$ | 162,875 | 14,936 | 46,276 | 18, 280 | 16,299 | 3, 760 | 8,564 | 25, 112 | 9,068 | 4,980 | 5,820 | 1,900 | 7,850 |
| Oct. 19. | 156, 875 | 13,936 | 45,776 | 17,280 | 15,299 | 3,760 | 8,564 | 24, 112 | 8,068 | 4,980 | 5,320 | 1,900 | 7,880 |
| All other- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sept. 2 | 12,399 | 6 | 9,021 | 236. | 38 |  | 1. | 852 | 74 | 21 | 1,369 | 230 | 551 |
| Oct. | 19,054 | 871 | 15. 801 | 229 | 209 |  | ] | 1,391 | 111 | 27 | 51 |  | 363 |
| Oct. 11 | 19,862 | 536 | 17,754. | 158. | 208 |  | 1 | 873 | 119 |  | 30 |  | 183 |
| Oct. 19. | 3,808 | 175 | 950 | , | 180 |  | 1 | 2,370 | 83 | 31 | 16 |  |  |
| Total earning assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sept. 28 | 1,696,051 | 105, 347 | 336,098 | 123, 493 | 152, 124 | 106,056 | 122,859 | 260,077 | 87,083 | 74, 998 | 91,798 | 66,523 | 139,595 |
| Oct. 5.1 | $1,662,053$ $1,680,192$ | 99, 247 | 362,492 409,524 | 115,470 117,697 | 150, 801 | 107,533 | 124,005 | 249,951 | 87,150 78,101 | 75,716 73,806 | 88,557 87,837 | 65,096 64,749 | 136,035 130,732 |
| Oct. 19. | 1,577, 889 | 93, 9066 | 320, 351 | 126,659 | 146,368 | 107,360 | 121,462 | 239,074 | 80,368 | -74, 212 | 87,605 | 64,245 62,245 | 121,219 |
| Bank premises: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sept. 28 | 29,172 | 4,242 | 5,594 | 533 | 2,960 | 2,501 | 861 | 4,987 | 627 | 690 | 3,455 | 2,055 | 667 |
| Oct. 5 | 29,501 | 4,267 | 5, 776 | 533 | 3,027 | 2,540 | 871 | 4,988 | 627 | 690 | 3,455 | 2,055 | 672 |
| Oct. 11 | 30, 052 | 4,295 | 5, 777 | 5331 | 3,108 | 2,576 | 876 | 5,295 | 627 | 690 | 3,545 | 2,057 | 673 |
| Oct.19... | 30, 957 ${ }^{\text {] }}$ | 4,387 | 5,883 | 533 | 3,218 | 2,697 | 885 | \%,392 | 627 | 718 | 3,836 | 2,097 | 684 |

${ }^{1}$ Tuesday.

RESOURCES AND LABILITIES OF EACH FEDERAL RESERVE BANK ON WEDNESDAYS, SEPT. 28 TO OCT. 19, 1921-Continued. RESOURCES-Continued.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | Total. | Boston. | New York. | Phila-delphia. | Cleveland. | Richmond. | $\begin{gathered} \text { At- } \\ \text { lanta. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chi- } \\ & \text { cago. } \end{aligned}$ | St. Louis. | Min-neapolis. | Kansas City. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dal- } \\ & \text { las. } \end{aligned}$ | San Francisco. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 per cent redemption fund against F. R. Bank notes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sept. 28 | 9,086 | 772 | 1,596 | 700 | 1,239 | 263 | 605 | 1,664 | 523 | 178 | 916 | 186 | 444 |
| Oct. 5. | 8,842 | 422 | 1,792 | 700 | 1,240 | 263 | 526 | 1,664 | 523 | 196 | 916 | 156 | 444 |
| Oct. 11 | 8,777 | 422 | 1,770 | 700 | 1,239 | 188 | 545 | 1,665 | 523 | 209 | 916 | 158 | 444 |
| Oct. 19. | 8,883 | 422 | 1,945 | 700 | 1,239 | 188 | 541 | 1,665 | 523 | 194 | 916 | 156 | 394 |
| Uncollected items: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oct. 5. | 55S, 105 | 44,911 | 138,017 | 45,617 | 46,599 | 47,526 | 19,220 | 65,141 | 33,493 | 16,047 | 40,454 | 26,585 | 34,495 |
| Oct. 111 | 567,681 | 49,649 | 116,462 | 47,182 | 47,829 | 46, 833 | 23,370 | 69,965 | 36,565 | 17,147 | 43,429 | 30,619 | 35,631 |
| Oct. 19. | 630,581 | 59,833 | 132,811. | 53,847 | 54, 085 | 52, 764 | 25,576 | 77,671 | 37,283 | 19,349 | 44,017 | 32,433 | 40,912 |
| All other resources: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sept. $28 \ldots \ldots$ | 15,947 | 454 | 3,083 | 441 | 1,005 | 298 | 770 | 1,832 | 874 | 616 | 510 | 1,560 | 4,504 |
| Oct. 5. | 15,905 | 487 | 3,078 | 438 | 1,024 | 389 | 817 | 1,830 | 818 | 676 | 436 | 1, 117 | 4,496 |
| Oct. $11{ }^{1}$ | 15,697 | 446 | 3,303 | 458 | 1,030 | 385 | 801 | 1,919 | 869 | 557 | 425 | 1,947 | 4,457 |
| Oct. 19. | 16, 887 | 445 | 2,757 | 453 | 1,071 | 314 | 632 | 1,863 | 806 | 704 | 435 | 3,131 | 4,276 |
| Total resources: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sept. 28. | $5,107,126$ $5,157,349$ | 418,060 419,374 | 1, 543, 162 | 386,992 390,495 | 449, 700 | 222,390 | 217, 357 | 773,562 | 213, 338 | 130,818 | 207,302 | 129,999 | 414, 446 |
| Oct. 5. | $5,157,349$ $5,180,332$ | 419,374 424,756 | $1,553,205$ <br> $1,551,589$ | 390,495 394,141 | 450,315 452,229 | 228,909 | 219,585 | 786, 368 | 219,743 | 136,961 | 207,943 | 131, 927 | 412,524 |
| Oct. 111. | $5,180,332$ $5,186,957$ | 424,756 431,326 | 1,551,589 | 394,141 390,991 | 452,229 | 224,321 | 219,922 219,096 | 792,706 | 224,309 224,157 | 135,456 134,377 | 212,167 212,028 | 133,544 135,114 | 415,192 417,011 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21,0 |  | 22,15 |  |  |  |  |

LIABILITIES.

| Capital paid in: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sept. 28.... | 103,049 | 7,935 | 27,088 | 8,683 | 11,113 | 5,403 | 4,142 | 14,348 | 4,567 | 3,554 | 4,533 | 4,314 | 7,369 |
| Oct. 5 | 103,046 | 7,934 | 27,086 | 8,684 | 11, 105 | 5, 406 | 4,145 | 14,348 | 4,567 | 3,555 | 4,533 | 4,314 | 7,369 |
| Oct. 11 | 103,070 | 7,935 | 27,087 | 8,684 | 11, 104 | 5,409 | 4,146 | 14, 358 | 4,567 | 3,556 | 4,528 | 4,314 | 7,382 |
| Oct. 19 | 103, 034 | 7,935 | 27,087 | 8,684 | 11, 103 | 5,410 | 4,148 | 14,365 | 4,568 | 3,556 | 4,524 | 4,275 | 7,379 |
| Surplus: | 213,824 | 16,342 | 59,318 | 17,564 | 22, 263 | 11,026 | 8,708 | 30,536 | 9,114 | 7,303 | 9,330 | 7,113 | 15,207 |
| Oct. 5 | 213, 824 | 16,342 | 59,318 | 17,564 | 22,263 | 11,026 | 8,708 | 30, 536 | 9,114 | 7,303 | 9,330 | 7,113 | 15,207 |
| Oct. 11 | 213,824 | 16,342 | 59,318 | 17,564 | 22,263 | 11,026 | 8,708 | 30,536 | 9,114 | 7,303 | 9,330 | 7,113 | 15,207 |
| Oct. 19. | 213,824 | 16,342 | 59,318 | 17,564 | 22,263 | 11,026 | 8,708 | 30, 536 | 9,114 | 7,303 | 9,330 | 7,113 | 15,207 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sept. 28..................... | 51,654 | 2,666 | 19,903 | 3,356 | 2,575 | 2,428 | 3,564 | 9,322 | 1,340 | 1,911 | 1,792 |  | 2,797 |
| Oct. 5 | 51,741 | 2,684 | 19,859 | 3,408 | 2,564 | 2,475 | 3,620 | 9,299 | 1,327 | 1,954 | 1,814 |  | 2,737 |
| Oct. 11 | 52,514 | 2,677 | 20,087 | 3,440 | 2,659 | 2,539 | 3,703 | 9,364 | 1,377 | 1,970 | 1,863 |  | 2,835 |
| Oct. 19. | 53,145 | 2,726 | 20, 202 | 3,503 | 2,724 | 2,604 | 3,791 | 9,414 | 1,398 | 2,043 | 1,877 |  | 2,863 |
| Deposits: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sept. 28 | 57,253 | 2, 802 | 12,645 | 1,873 | 1,988 | 6,119 | 4,888 | 2,323 | 1,776 | 4,991 | 1,869 | 6,428 | 9,551 |
| Oct. 5. | 59,004 | 878 | 18, 961 | 2,838 | 4,142 | 2,914 | 1,753 | 8,915 | 2,344 | 5,678 | 1,769 | 4, 896 | 3,916 |
| Oct. 11 | 54,270 | 2,831 | 14,774 | 6,206 | 2,561 | 2,713 | 2,236 | 7,674 | 2,384 | 3,516 | 2,948 | 3,398 | 3,029 |
| Oct. 19.. | 29,120 | 1,699 | 7,098 | 483 | 892 | 2,339 | 1,586 | 3,263 | 796 | 2,630 | 1,646 | 4,954 | 1,734 |
| Member bank-reserve account- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sept. 28. | 1,635,572 | 106,757 | 667, 054 | 96,859 | 132, 292 | 47,934 | 42,471 | 226, 847 | 59,213 | 38,866 | 64, 383 | 41,622 | 111, 274 |
| Oct. 5 | 1,613,149 | 107,711 | 629, 765 | 102,038 | 131, 774 | 51,117 | 41,835 | 232, 610 | 59,479 | 40, 741 | 63,221 | 41, 101 | 111, 757 |
| Oct. $11{ }^{1}$ | 1,646,099 | 107,911 | 653, 161 | 98, 221 | 132,775 | 51,377 | 43, 264 | 235, 384 | 61,081 | 40,795 | 65, 693 | 43,695 | 112,742 |
| All Other- | 1,660,930 | 113,848 | 660, 322 | 102,223 | 130,515 | 51,992 | 42,642 | 236,563 | 60,927 | 38,570 | 64,898 | 42,358 | 116,078 |
| Sept. | 24,580 | 799 | 11,742 | 1,429, | 761 | 447 | 352 | 2,471 | 769 | 524 | 582 | 338 | 4,366 |
| Oct. 5 | 24,179 | 813 | 11, 026 | 1,396 | 746 | 437 | 359 | 2,537 | 857 | 566 | 567 | 359 | 4,516 |
| Oct. 11 | 24, 496 | 937 | 11, 148 | 1,687 | 1,405 | 422 | 350 | 2,508 | 850 | 613 | 647 | 313 | 3,616 |
| Oct. 19. | 27, 388 | 968 | 12, 225 | 1,336 | 903 | 512 | 371 | 4,043 | 956 | 764 | 1,079 | 484 | 3,747 |
| Total deposits: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sept. 5. | 1, $1,796,332$ | 110, 358 | 691,441 | 106, 271 | 136, 662 | 54,500 54,468 | 47,711 | 244, 042 | 61,758 62,680 | 44,381 46,985 | 66, 654 | 48,388 46,356 | 120, 189 |
| Oct. 111 | 1,724, 865 | 111, 679 | 679,083 | 106, 114 | 136, 741 | 54, 512 | 45, 850 | 245, 566 | 64,315 | 44, 924 | 69,288 | 47, 406 | 119, 387 |
| Oct. 19. | 1, 717, 444 | 116, 515 | 679,645 | 104, 042 | 132,310 | 54,843 | 44,599 | 243, 869 | 62,679 | 41,964 | 67, 623 | 47, 796 | 121, 559 |
| F. R. notes in actual circulation: | 2,457,196 | 234,562 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sept. 28 | $2,457,196$ $2,482,313$ | 234, 2318 | 642, 293 | 205, 2684 | 226, 546 | 109, 647 | 127, 359 | 423, 703 | 100,470 103,416 | 56,678 57,928 | 73,364 73,704 | 40,224 | 232,192 |
| Oct. 11 | 2,476,311 | 234,173 | 638,752 | 207, 445 | 223, 872 | 109, 426 | 130, 309 | 423, 688 | 103, 120 | 58, 160 | 73, 248 | 41, 433 | 232,685 |
| Oct. 19 | 2, 440, 862 | 231, 940 | 632, 329 | 201, 154 | 219,400 | 108, 173 | 128, 224 | 416,129 | 103, 126 | 58, 152 | 72, 600 | 40,246 | 229,389 |
| F. R. Bank notes in circula- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tion-net liability: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sept. 28 | 101,372 | 7,342 | 27, 434 | 5,779 | 10,802 | 3, 234 | 7,583 | 9,922 | 6, 056 | 3,534 | 12, 480 | 3,045 3,033 | 4,161 3,197 |
| Oct. $5 .$. | 99,602 | 8,038 | 29, 284 | 5,871 | 9,817 | 3,443 | 7,769 | 9,955 | 4,212. | 3,424 | 11, 559 | 3,033 3,037 | 3,197 3,275 |
| Oct. $11{ }^{1}$ | 97,933 | 8,761 | 25,664 | 5,979 | 9, 852 | 3, 567 | 7,749 | 9,998 | 5,215 | 3,409 | 11, 427 | 3,037 | 3,275 3,280 |
| Deferred availability items: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deferred avaiability items: | 441,300 | 37, 550 | 82, 416 | 41,761 | 40,037 | 37, 246 | 17,297 | 50,220 | 28,870 | 12,261 | 37, 673 | 25, 211 | 30,758 |
| Oct. 5 | 488, 741 | 38,914 | 111, 208 | 42, 436 | 42, 719 | 41, 141 | 16,302 | 51, 020 | 33, 212 | 14,562 | 40, 109 | 27, 349 | 29,769 |
| Oct. $11{ }^{1}$ | 489,403 | 41,850 | 96, 785 | 43, 855 | 44, 415 | 36, 537 | 18, 431 | 55,086 | 35,404 | 14, 866 | 41, 112 | 28,501 | 32,561 |
| All Oct. 19.7 other liabilities: | 543,238 | 46,639 | 104, 784 | 49,906 | 47, 150 | 49, 249 | 20,976 | 60,346 | 37, 815 | 16,596 | 43, 265 | 31, 044 | 35,468 |
| All other liabilities: | 21,326. | 1,305 | 4,432 | 990 | 1,323 | 1,261 | 993 | 3,870 | 1,163 | 1,196 | 1,296 | 1,704 | 1,793 |
| Oet. 5. | 21,750 | 1,342 | 4,405 | 996 | 1,317 | 1,303 | 1,030 | 4,003 | 1,215 | 1,250 | 1,337 | 1,688 | 1,864 |
| Oct. 111 | 22, 412 | 1,339 | 4,813 | 1,060 | 1,323 | 1, 305 | 1,026 | 4,110 | 1, 197 | 1,268 | 1,371 | 1,740 | 1,860 |
| Oct. 19. | 22, 458 | 1,323 | 4,518 | 1,095. | 1,331 | 1,318 | 1,068 | 4,199 | 1,240 | 1,322 | 1,427 | 1, 751 | 1,866 |

1 Tuesday.

RESOURCES AND LIABILITIES OF EACH FEDERAL RESERVE BANK ON WEDNESDAYS, SEPT. 28 TO OCT. 19, 1921-Continued.
LIABILITIES-Continued.
[In thousands of dollars.]


1 Tuesday.
Rediscounts of bills between federal reserve banks.
[In thousands of dollars.]

| Federal Reserve Bank. | Paper rediscounted with other F. R. Banks. |  |  |  | Paper discounted for other F. R. Banks. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sept. 28. | Oct. 5. | Oct. 11. | Oct. 19. | Sept. 28. | Oct. 5. | Oct. 11. | Oct. 19. |
| Boston. |  |  |  |  | 22, 163 | 21, 840 | 16,894 | 16,043 |
| New York. |  |  |  |  | 30,326 | 19,518 | 20,000 | 20,407 |
| Cleveland. |  |  |  |  | 12,783 | 7,463 | 7,133 | 6,278 |
| Richmond. | 24,903 | 19,518 | 20,000 | 14,407 |  |  |  |  |
| Atlanta... | 20,095 | 17,195 | 16,378 | 14,096 |  |  |  |  |
| Minneapolis | 5. 423 |  |  | 6,000 |  |  |  |  |
| Dallas.... | 14,851 | 12,108 | 7,649 | 8,225 |  |  |  |  |
| Total. | 65,272 | 48,821 | 44,027 | 42,728 | 65,272 | 48,821 | 44,027 | 42,728 |

MATURITY DISTRIBUTION OF BILLS AND CERTIFICATES OF INDEBTEDNESS HELD BY THE 12 FEDERAL RESERVE BANKS COMBINED.
[In thousands of dollars.]


${ }^{1}$ Tuesday.

## FEDERAL RESERVE NOTES.

FEDERAL RESERVE AGENTS' ACCOUNTS ON WEDNESDAYS, SEPT. 28 TO OCT, 19, 1921 .
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | Total. | Boston. | New York. | Philadelphia. | Cleveland. | Richmond. | Atlanta | Chicago | Louis. | Minneapolis. | Kansas City. | Dallas. | San $\underset{\substack{\text { Fran- } \\ \text { cisco. }}}{ }$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net amount of F. R. notes received from Comptroller of the Currency: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sept. 28. | 3,650, 957 | 348, 796 | 1,083,569 | 252,131 | 290, 358 | 136,033 | 211,237 | 634,666 | 151,634 | 71,934 | 86,264 | 67,160 | 317,175 |
| Oct. 5 | $3,633,702$ $3,614,118$ | ${ }_{347,610}^{352,199}$ | $1,069,686$ $1,060,625$ | 246, 831 | 289,158 284,802 | 137,607 138,087 | 212, 845 | 626,811 | 156,552 155,975 | ${ }_{72,361}$ | 86,014 84,507 | 66,326 65,515 | 316,285 317,995 |
| Oct. 19. | 3,588, 748 | 348,600 | 1,050, 246 | 244, 719 | 283,322 | 139,620 | 210,691 | 623,337 | 154,052 | 72,401 | 82,710 | 64,522 | 314,528 |
| F. R. notes on | 833, 279 | 96,500 | 309, 700 | 20, 220 |  | $21,569$ |  | 162,760 | 26,660 | 12,330 | ,440 | 22.512 | 4,360 |
| Oct. 5 | 837,759 | 99,500 | 326,700 | 20, 220 | 44,080 | 20, 249 | 71, 873 | 159,080 | 28, 260 | 10,945 | 4,440 | 20,452 | 31,960 |
| Oct. 111 | 833, 929 | 99,500 | 315,580 | 20, 220 | 42,980 | 20,009 | 76,913 | 159, 200 | 28,260 | 12,115 | 4,440 | 20,452 | 34, 260 |
| Oct. 19. | 819,665 | 99,900 | 295,220 | 20,220 | 43,980 | 23,609 | 75,914 | 164, 160 | 29,060 | 11,850 | 4,440 | 20,452 | 30,860 |
| Sept. 28. | 2,817,678 | 252,296 | 773, 869 | 231,911 | 245,678 | 114,464 | 133,689 | 471,906 | 124,974 | 59,604 | 81,824 | 44,648 | 282,815 |
| Oct. 5 | 2,795, 943 | 252,699 | 742,986 | 229, 839 | 245,078 | 117,358 | 139,877 | 467, 731 | 128,292 | 60,310 | 81,574 | 45, 874 | 284,325 |
| Oct. $11{ }^{1}$ | 2,780, 189 | 248,110 | 745,045 | 226, 611 | 241, 822 | 118,078 | 135,932 | 467, 765 | 127, 715 | 60,246 | 80,067 | 45,063 | 283,735 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oct. 5 | 450, 163 | 5,600 | 396,925 |  | 18,375 |  | 3,400 |  | 6,110 | 13,052 |  | 6,701 |  |
| Oct. $11{ }^{1}$ | 450, 162 | 5,600 | 396,924 |  | 18,375 |  | 3,400 |  | 6,110 | 13,052 |  | 6,701 |  |
| GoldOct. $19 .$. | 450, 163 | 5,600 | 396,925 |  | 18,375 |  | 3,400 |  | 6,110 | 13,052 |  | 6,701 |  |
| Gold rept. 28. | 110,566 | 15,009 | 18,142 | 13,455 | 14,706 |  | 5,019 |  | 3,649 |  |  | 3,575 | 14,387 |
| Oct. 5 | 120, 199 | 20,613 | 17,903 | 16,983 | 13,906 | 3,074 | 2,491 | 15,751 | 3,528 | 1,072 | 3,297 | 2,741 | 18, 840 |
| Oct. $11{ }^{1}$ | 114, 167 | 16,023 | 17,760 | 13,755 | 15,149 | 4,193 | 4,087 | 14,906 | 4,050 | 2,138 | 1,790 | 3,931 | 16,385 |
| Oct. 19. | 126,046 | 22,413 | 17,471 | 17,643 | 15,269 | 4,726 | 1,931 | 15,198 | 4,127 | 2,258 | 1,993 | 2,937 | 20,080 |
| Sept. 28 | 1,201, 162 | 150,000 | 161,000 | 133,389 | 145,000 | 32,000 | 39,000 | 300,644 | 47,031 | 4,200 | 33,360 | 2,234 | 153,304 |
| Oct. 5 | 1,186,220 | 150,000 | 161,000 | 130,389 | 145,000 | 29,000 |  | 292,645 | 46,931 |  | 32,360 | 2,234 | 148,961 |
| Oct. 11 | 1, 167,784 | 160,000 | 131,000 | 135,389 120 | 145,000 | 26,000 | ${ }_{40}^{41,000}$ | 287,644 | 52,431 | 3,200 3 | 32,360 | 2,234 | 151,526 |
| Eligible paper- ${ }^{\text {Oct. }}$ - | 1,135, 122 | 150,000 | 131,000 | 120,389 | 135,000 | 30,500 | 40,500 | 279,644 | 52,331 | 3,200 | 33,360 | 2,234 | 156,964 |
| Eligible paper- <br> Amount required- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sept. 28 | 1,058, 613 | 81,687 | 197, 803 | 85,067 | 67,597 | 79,965 | 86, 270 | 154,935 | 68,184 | 41, 101 | 45,917 | 34,963 | 115,124 |
| Oct. Oct. 12 | 1,039,361 | 76,486 66,487 | ${ }_{199}^{167,1581}$ | 82,467 <br> 77 <br> 867 | 67,797 | 85, 284 | 90,486 | 169,335 | 71, 723 | 41, 986 | 45,917 | 34, 198 | 116,524 |
| Oct. 19. | 1,057, 752 | 70,687 | 209,630 | 86,467 | 70,698 | 80,785 | 88,946 | 164,335 | 62,424 | 42,041 | 42,917 | 32,198 | 106,624 |
| Excess amou | 340, |  |  | 2,201 | 65,271 | 18,508 | 17,602 |  | 6,483 | 27,774 | 27,840 | 26,673 |  |
| Oct. 5 | 363,781 | 5,586 | 102,255 | 5,096 | 64,433 | 16,623 | 14, 528 | 59,579 | 4,995 | 26,582 | 27,377 | 25,936 | 10,791 |
| Oct. 11 | 370,055 | 11,259 | 119,604 | 4,644 | 73,466 | 8,915 | 14, 192 | 49,196 | 2,363 | 25,073 | 27,181 | 27,958 | 6,204 |
| Oct. 19 | 286, 851 | 5,613 | 36,588 | 13,213 | 59,035 | 19,045 | 13,534 | 43,687 | 8,506 | 25,632 | 30,386 | 25,302 | 6,310 |

${ }^{1}$ Tuesday.

CONDITION OF MEMBER BANKS IN LEADING CITIES.
PRINCIPAL RESOURCES AND LIABILITIES OF MEMBER BANKS IN LEADING CITIES ON WEDNESDAYS, FROM SEPT. 21 TO OCT. 12, 1921.

ALL REPORTING MEMBER BANKS IN EACH FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICT.
[Amounts in thousands of dollars.]

|  | Total. | Boston. | New York. | Philadelphia. | Cleveland. | Richmond. | Atlanta. | Chicago. | $\begin{gathered} \text { St. } \\ \text { Louis. } \end{gathered}$ | Minneapolis. | Kansas City. | Dallas. | San <br> Francisco. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of reporting banks: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sept. $21 . .$. | 810 | 49 | 112 | 58 | 85 | 82 | 43 | 112 | 37 | 35 | 79 | 52 | 66 |
| Sept. 28 | 809 | 49 | 112 | 58 | 85 | 82 | 43 | 112 | 37 | 35 | 79 | 52 | 65 |
| Oct. 5 | 809 | 49 | 112 | 58 | 85 | 82 | 43 | 112 | 37 | 35 | 79 | 52 | 65 |
| Oct. 11-12. | 809 | 49 | 112 | 58 | 85 | 82 | 43 | 112 | 37 | $3 \overline{5}$ | 79 | 52 | 65 |
| Loans and discounts, including bills rediscounted with F. R. Bank: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secured by U.S. Government obligations- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sept. $21 . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. | 592, 842 | 34, 348 | 230,399 | 68,347 | 55, 746 | 24,483 | 17,675 | 79,627 | 18,535 | 12,570 | 17,651 | 6,751 | 26,710 |
| Sept. 28 | 576, 626 | 32, 422 | 225, 493 | 60,608 | 55,995 | 23, 479 | 18, 184 | 76, 805 | 19,038 | 12,544 | 18,431 | 6, 837 | 26,790 |
| Oct. 5 | 591, 362 | 31, 151 | 243, 944 | 59,086 | 55,870 | 23, 152 | 18,457 | 76,872 | 19,249 | 12,222 | 18,474 | 6, 193 | 26,692 |
| Oct. 11-12. | 584,112 | 31, 596 | 237, 886 | 58, 504 | 54,616 | 22,919 | 20,911 | 74,880 | 19,220 | 12,288 | 18,245 | 6,734 | 26,313 |
| Secured by stocks and bonds (other than U.S. Government obliga-tions)- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 26, |
| Sept. 21. | 2,979,307 | 187,764 | 1,289, 885 | 183, 227 | 336, 814 | 109,289 | 52, 189 | 424,981 | 117, 938 | 31,540 | 65,980 | 37,964 | 141, 736 |
| Sept. 28 | 2,996,667 | 190,643 | $1,284,787$ | 192, 108 | 336,708 | 109, 298 | 52,016 | 437,047 | 118, 731 | 30, 200 | 65,659 | 37, 484 | 141,986 |
| Oct. 5 | 3,019,122 | 192, 636 | 1,302, 505 | 195, 275 | 334,248 | 109,997 | 51, 892 | 438, 909 | 118, 297 | 31, 382 | 64,192 | 37,362 | 142, 427 |
| Oct. 11-12 | 3,033, 868 | 194, 369 | 1,313, 836 | 194, 832 | 335, 803 | 109, 781 | 52, 599 | 440, 175 | 118,549 | 30, 897 | 64, 240 | 37, 367 , | 141, 420 |
| All other-- |  |  |  | 355, 851 |  |  | 314, 726 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sept. 28 | 7,999,600 | 590,603 | 2,687,314 | 357, 199 | 664, 825 | 332, 380 | 319, 375 | 1, 177, 873 | 300, 959 |  | 1 |  | 6 |
| Oct. 5 | 8,004, 803 | 601, 185 | 2,695, 814 | 356, 625 | 660,094 | 330,217 | 323,744 | 1, 173, 784 | 304, 696 | 233,965 | 370, 836 | 208, 149 | 745,694 |
| Oct. 11-12. | 7,984,119 | 602,811 | 2, 672, 357 | 360, 753 | 666, 814 | 332, 200 | 316,452 | 1, 172, 448 | 300, 952 | 234, 363 | 368, 520. | 206, 844 | 749,605 |
| Total loans and discounts, including bills rediscounted with F. R. Bank: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sept. 21. | 11,544, 165 | 821, 594 | 4, 174, 664 | 607, 425 | 1,054,701 | 465, 113 | 384, 590 | 1,695,859 | 443,374 | 280, 201 | 450, 482 | 249, 140 | 917,022 |
| Sept. 28 | 11,572,893 | 813, 668 | 4, 197,594 | 609, 915 | 1,057,528 | 465, 157 | 389,575 | 1,691, 725 | 441, 728 | 285,307 | 453, 773 | 250,768 | 916, 155 |
| Oct. 5. | 11, 615, 287 | 824, 972 | 4, 242, 263 | 610,986 | 1,050,212 | 463,366 | 394,093 | 1,689, 565 | 442,242 | 277,569 | 453, 502 | 251, 704 | 914, 813 |
| U. Oct. $11-12$ | 11,602,099 | 828,776 | 4, 224, 079 | 614, 089 | 1,057,233 | 464,900 | 389,962 | $1,687,503$ | 438,721 | 277,548 | 451,005 | 250,945 | 917,338 |
| U. S. bonds: <br> Sept. 21. | 870, 244 | 37, 571 | 311,486 | 46,238 | 105,610 | 61, 304 | 30,229 | 71,581 | 26,387 | 15,721 | 30,475 | 34, 430 | 99,212 |
| Sept. 28. | 877, 894 | 37,996 | 311, 997 | 46, 093 | 107,510 | 61, 039 | 30, 285 | 71,642 | 26,970. | 15,957 | 31,859 | 34, 811 | 101,735 |
| Oct. $5 . . .1$ | 881, 759 | 39,583 | 313, 705 | 46, 167 | 108, 487 | 60, 664 | 30, 143 | 71, 274 | 26, 858 | 16,075 | 31, 978 | 34, 257 | 102,568 |
| Oct. 11-12................. | 879,056 | 39,786 | 310, 984 | 46,093 | 110, 147 | 60, 716 | 30,567 | 71,2i1 | 27,164 | 15,618 | 32,647 | 34,754 | 99,369 |
| U. S. Victory notes: |  | 5,603 | 82,674 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sept. 28. | 166, 064 | 5,611 | 83,738 | 5,380 | 15, 161 | 4, 707 | 2,143 | 27,300 | 1,970 | 673 | 2,770 | 1,319 | 15,292 |
| Oct. 5 | 162, 689 | 4,535 | 77, 899 | 5,348 | 15, 376 | 4,506 | 2,291 | 26,963 | 1,988 | 652 | 2,764 | 1,319 | 19,048 |
| Oct. 11-12. | 160, 899 | 4,512 | 77, 464 | 5,535 | 14, 407 | 3,711 | 2,255 | 26,809 | 2,069 | 1, 059 | 2,905 | 1,319 | 18,854 |
| U. S. Treasury notes: ${ }_{\text {Sept. } 21 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~}^{\text {d }}$ | 164,685 | 9,627 | 77,818 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sept. $28 .$. | 149,320 | 5,111 | 83,582 | 15, 5888 | 11, 8 879 | 9,173 8,220 | 624 $\mathbf{2 6 7}$ | 13,386 11,273 | 3,64 $\mathbf{2}, 739$ | 4, 4,658 |  |  | 73 |
| Oct. 5 | 139,372 | 4,023 | 81, 688 | 13, 561 | 7,183 | 7,029 | 394 | 11,965 | 2,554 | 2,149 | 1,440 | 622 | 6,764 |
| Oct. 11-12 | 138, 365 | 3,934 | 83, 197 | 13, 334 | 6,034 | 6,880 | 390 | 12,094 | 1, 858 | 1,865 | 2,306 | 27 | 6,585 |
| U. S. certificates of indebtedness: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12,01 |  |  |  |  | 0, |
| Sept. 21. | 200,914 | 9,202 | 66, 382 | 15, 476 | 12,562 | 4, 896 | 2,805 | 42,216 | 5,257 | 3,383 | 7,039 | 8,449 | 23,247 |
| Sept. 28 | 169,296 | 7,422 | 58, 802 | 12,390 | 12,732 | 3,752 | 2,780 | 33,458 | 4,566 | 2,404 | 6,331 | 4,007 | 20,646 |
| Oct. 5.- | 163,267 | 6,573 | 59, 562 | 12,702 | 10, 537 | 4,738 | 2,693 | 29, 411 | 4,515 | 1,925 | 6,420 | 3,986 | 20,204 |
| Oct. 11-12................ | 158, 333 | 6,801, | 64, 103 | 12,088 | 10,699 | 3,118 | 1,702 | 25,860 | 3,277 | 1,734 | 6,297 | 3,036 | 19,618 |
| Other bonds, stocks, and securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25, | 3, 21 |  |  |  |  |
| Sept. 21. | 1,996, 800 | 138, 561 | 702,195 | 154, 624 | 265, 795 | 46, 417 | 37, 067 | 343,675 | 67, 410 | 20,623 | 47,604 | 9,567 | 163, 262 |
| Sept. 28 | 2,021, 789 | 146, 649 | 703, 956 | 155, 589 | 274, 306 | 51, 597 | 36, 572 | 343, 265 | 67,324 | 20,615 | 47, 124 | 9,518 | 165,274 |
|  | 2,064, 977 | 139, 775 | 725, 302 | 154, 414 | 289,277 | 49,740 | 36, 507 | 352,504 | 67, 803 | 21, 563 | 47,388 | 10,310 | 170,394 |
| Oct. 11-12................ | 2,062, 682 | 140, 117 | 729,105 | 155, 669 | 277, 805 | 49,438 | 37, 412 | 355, 977 | 68, 118 | 21, 877 | 46,644 | 10, 383 | 170, 137 |
| Total loans and discounts and investments, including bills rediscounted with F . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R. Bank: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sept. 21. | 14,943, 071 | 1,022, 158 | 5, 415,219 | 848, 171 | 1,466,362 | 591, 655 | 457, 463 | 2, 194,324 | 547,922 | 325, 582 | 543,767 | 304, 165 | 1,225,983 |
| Sept. 28 | 14, 957, 256 | 1,016, 457 | 5, 439, 669 | 844, 955 | 1,476,023 | 594,472 | 461, 628 | 2, 178,663 | 545,297 | 328, 614 | 543,453 | 301, 480 | 1,226, 545 |
| Oct. $5 . .$. | 15, 027, 351 | 1,019, 461 | 5, 500, 419 | 843, 179 | 1,481,072 | 590,043 | 466, 121 | 2, 181,682 | 545, 960 | 319, 933 | 543, 492 | 302, 198 | 1,233, 791 |
| Oct. 11-12............. | 15, 901, 434 | 1,023, 926 | 5, 438, 932 | 845, 808 | 1,476,325 | 588, 763 | 462, 288 | 2, 179,364 | 541,208 | 319,701 | 541, 804 | 300, 464 | 1, 231, 851 |
| Reserve with F. R. Bank: Sept. $21 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 1,189, 256 | 72,625 | 557, 453 | 59,970 |  | 29,030 | 23, 559 | 171,013 | 37,112 | 16,587 | 39,501 | 18,558 | 74,462 |
| Sept. 28 | 1,241, 664 | 72,021. | 609,610 | 58,293 | 80,508 | 28,300 | 26, 503 | 171,097 | 38, 118 | 16, 820 | 35, 747 | 20,116 | 75,231 |
| Oct. 5..... | 1,215,740 | 72,719 | 573, 037 | 63, 367 | 89,741 | 31, 139 | 25, 6.59 | 173,849 | 38,514 | 18,979 | 35, 347 | 19.359 | 74, 030 |
| Oct. 11-12. | 1,243, 802 | 73,009 | 596,025 | 61,278 | 93,995 | 31, 446 ; | 26,614 | 174,608 | 37, 421 | 16, 319 | 34, 947 | 22,360 | 75,780 |
| Sopt. 21 | 301, 445 | 20,602 | 100, 739 | 17,386 | 29,156 | 14,202 | 8,920 | 52, 414 | 6,990 | 6, 104 | 12, 322 | 9, \$41 | 22,769 |
| Oct. 28. | 304,912 | 20,779 | 101,446 | 16, 638 | 29,343 | 14,256 | 9,120 | 52, 686 | 7,523 | 6, 415 | 12, 971 | 10,110 | 23,625 |
| Oct. 5.... | 300,519 | 20,730 | 100, 301 | 16, 185 | -29,336 | 14,388 | 9,290 | 51, 715 | 7, 105 | 6,148 | 12, 135 | 9,90e | 23,010 |
| Net demand deposits: | 309, 855 | 20,892 | 105, 058 | 16,196 | 29,320 | 14,765 | 9,433 | 53, 926 | 7,166 | 6,779 | 13,010 | 9,849 | 23,433 |
| Sept. 21. | 9, 833, 196 | 698, 135 | 4, 434, 702 | 599, 019 | 785, 813 | 284, 698 | 207, 454 | 1,259, 698 | 275, 881 | 159, 746 | 359, 845 | 184,294 | 574,713 |
| Sept. 28. | 9, 865, 599 | 697,313 | 4, 477,486 | 599,735 | 792, 700 | 288,181 | 208,776 | $1,254,017$ | 279, 108 | 158, 823 | 354, 240 | 182, 808 | 562,412 |
| Oct. 5. | 9, 925,772 | 709,978 | 4, 486,727 | 608, 054 | 796,245 | 292,083 | 214, 138 | $1,268,907$ | 2S0, 643 | 171,570 | 350, 338 | 184, 317 | 562,772 |
| Oct. 11-12 | 10,062, 331 | 723, 430 | 4, 536,305 | 616,681 | 802,720 | 297, 908 | 217,975 | 1,289,343 | 285; 854 | 170.691 | 352, 071 | 189, 433 | 579,920 |

PRINCIPAL RESOURCES AND LIABILITIES OF MEMBER BANKS IN LEADING CITIES ON WEDNESDAYS, FROM SEPT. 21 TO
all reporting member banks in each federal reserve district--Continued.
[Amounts in thousands of dollars.]

|  | Total. | Boston. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { New } \\ & \text { York. } \end{aligned}$ | Philadelphia. | Cleveland. | Richmond. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Atlan- } \\ & \text { ta. } \end{aligned}$ | Chicago. | St. Louis. | Minneapolis. | Kansas | Dallas. | San <br> Francisco. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time deposits: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sept. 21. | 2, 915, 459 | 181, 012 | 445, 419 | 13,077 | 419,611 | 121,621 | 139,750 | 650, 080 | 146, 218, | 67,818 | 99,913 | 59,793 | 541,347 |
| Oept. 5. | 2, ${ }^{2}$,926, 1892 | $182,604!$ 179,8031 | 447, 4929 | $43,688)$ 43 738 | 425, 109 | 121, 833 | 139,440 <br> 139 <br> 1 | 649,618 652,968 | 145,679 | 67, 672 | 100, 066 | 59, 528 | 542, 372 |
| Oct. 11-12 | 2,942, 409 | 179, 803 | 451, 257 | 43,354 | 423,950 | 121,944 | 140,588 | 654,715 | 147, 176 | 67, 863 | 104, 839 | 59,748 | 565,413 |
| Government deposits: Sept. $21 . .$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sept. 21 | 549, 131 | 43, 661 | 248, 642 | 46, 127 | 45, 152 | 17,441 | 6,779 | 63, 588 | 17,441, | 16,905 | 12, 170 | 9,548 | 21,587 |
| Oept. 5 | 547,173 532,080 | 43,651 42,329 | 248,750 <br> 241 <br> 148 | 44,402 | 45, 650 | 17,398 | 6,655 | 61, 360 | 17, 808 | 17, 817 | 12, 170 | 9, 456 | 21,498 |
| Oct. 11-12. | -332,080 |  | ${ }_{217,048}^{241,148}$ | \| 49,874 | 44, 895 | 16,061 14,950 | 6,314 5,994 | 61,650 55,487 | [17, 3 , 539 | 17, 17.47 | 11,745 10.571 | 9,105 8,640 | 20,668 18,601 |
| Bills payable with $\bar{F}$. $\quad$ R | 1ヶ, |  | 21, 04 | 39, 8. | 782 |  |  | 487 | 939 | 15, 340 | 10,575 | 8, 040 | 18, 601 |
| Sceured by U.S. Government obligations- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sept. $21 . \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 224,323 | 7,631 | 55, 873 | 24, 933 | 15,243 | 24,213 | 14,060 | 27, 436 | 11,634 | 4, 041 | 12,183 | 5,389 | 21,687 |
| Sept. 28 | 223, 609 | 9,989 | 54, 200 | 23,510 | 14, 856 | 22,460 | 14, 298 | 26, 299 | 12,379 | 3,345 | 8,580 | 5, 521 | 28, 172 |
| Oct. 3 | 242, 095 | 5, 966 | 74, 208 | 22, 334 | 18, 200 | 21, 439 | 14, 294 | 28, 326 | 11, 490 | 2,872 | 8, 283 | 6,016 | 28, 668 |
| All Octher-11-12........... | 259, 118 | 6, 731 | 90, 984 | 23,457 | 18, 999 | 22, 367 | 11,705 | 24, 423 | 10, 422 | 2,899 | 6,978 | 4, 522 | 26,641 |
| All other- <br> sept. 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 366 | 139 |
| Sept. 28. | 1,078 |  |  |  | 27 |  |  |  |  | 177 |  | 478 | 396 |
| Oct. 5 | 1,945 |  |  |  | 27 |  | 750 |  |  | 1.77 |  | 395 | 596 |
| Bills rediccouted withol ${ }^{\text {Och }}$ | 2,095 |  |  |  | 27 | 250 | 750 |  |  |  |  | 472 | 596 |
| Bills rediscounted with F. R. Bank: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secured by U. S. Govern- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ment oblications- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sept. 21. | 65, 286 | 6, 465 | 13,387 | 18,30s | 6, 871 | 2, 065 | 4,931 | 5,437 | 2,183 | 752 | 1,967 | 246 | 2,670 |
| Sopt. 2 | 56, 25.5 | 4,113 | 8,370 | 15,334 | 6,711. | 2, 115 | 5,377 | 5,917 | 2,778 | 842 | 1,913 | 303 | 2,482 |
| Oct. ${ }^{\text {S }}$-1-12 | 49,367 | 3,557 | 6,788 | 14,410 | 4, 508 | 2,048 | 5,304 | 4, 263 | 2,774 | 662 | 2, 205 | 253 | 2,595 |
| All Septher-11-12 | 45,315, | 2,970 | 3, 047 | 13,691 | 3,117 | 1,931 | 7,627 | 4, 254 | 3,003 | 724 | 2, 101 | 247 | 2,603 |
| Sept. 21. | 570, 277 | 33, 333 | 103, 533 | 23, 332 | 75,330 | 47,600 | 38, 886 | 102, 6.5 | 33,185 | 27, 897 | 30, 865 | 17, 850 |  |
| Sent. 28 | 594,329 | 29,669 | 133, 6.55 | 24, 92 S | 67,525 | 47, 002 | 44, 663 | 99,584 | 29,996 | 31, 861 | 33, 119 | 16, 434 | 35, 892 |
| Oct. 5 Oct. $11-12$ | 587, 075 | 28, ${ }_{2}$ | 146, 666 | 2n, 772 | 71, 328 | 45, 733 | 42,709 | 88, 239 | 33, 206 | 23, 862 | 32,98. | 16, 952 | 35,929 |
| Oct. 11-1 |  | 27,702 | $1.58,665$ | 21,393 | 76, 572 | 42,939 | 39,644 | 90, 815 | 30, 895 | 22, 184 | 32,900 | 15, 842 | 33, 236 |

member banks in federal reserve bank cities.
[Amounts in thousands of dollars.]

| Number of reporting banks: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 280 | 26 | 70 | 4 |  | 10 |  | 51 | 13 | 10 | 17 | 8 | 15 |
| Sept. 28 | 280 | 26 | 70 | 44 | 8 | 10 | 8 | 51 | 13 | 10 | 17 | 8 | 15 |
| Oct. 5 | 280 | 26 | 70 | 44 | 8 | 10 | 8 | 51 | 13 | 10 | 17 | 8 | 15 |
| Oct. 11-12. | 280 | 26 | 70 | 44 | 8 | 10 | 8 | 51 | 13 | 10 | 17 | 8 | 15 |
| Loans and discounts, including bills rediscounted with F. R. Bank: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 418, 742 | 28, 131 | 207,090 | 64,923 | 16,669 | 6, 237 | 2,109 | 57,053 | 10,310 | 7,987 | 5,543 | 1,620 | 11,070 |
| Sept. 28 | 404, 230 | 26,375 | 202, 759 | 57, 179 | 16,533 | 5,613 | 2,113 | 56, 769 | 10, 214 | 7,907 | 5, 978 |  | 11, 144 |
| Oct. $5 .$. | +20, 075 | 25,475 | 221, 450 | 55, 727 | 16,423 | 5,673 | 2,061 | 56, 794 | 10, 504 | 7,751 | 6,045 | 1,333 | 10, 1034 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6, 116 | 1,547 | 10,778 |
| bonds (other than U.S. Government obliga- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sept. 21. | 2,098,332 | 141, 704 1 | 1,128,542 | 164,276 | 133,784 | 14,930 | 8,737 | 305, 461 | 87,523 | 14,375 | 24, 114 | 10,106 | 64,780 |
| Sept. 28 | 2,113, 426 | 144, 9231 | 1, 120, 496 | 173, 790 | 132, 441 | 15,598 | 8,692 | 317,075 | 88,070 | 13,496 | 23,250 | 10,443 | 65, 152 |
| Oct. 5 | 2,145,383 | 147,271 1 | i, 144, 024 | 177, 231 | 132, 823 | 15,465 | 8,742 | 319, 331 | 87, 741 | 14,285 | 23,331 | 10,329 | 64,810 |
| Oct. 11-12 | 2,159,447 | 149, 5931 | 1, 150, 663 | 175, 724 | 133,600 | 15, 195 | 8,869 | 320, 564 | 87, 819 | 13,718 | 23, 392 | 10, 209 | 64,101 |
| Sept. 21 | 5,161,971 | 463, 1482 | 2, 359, 793 | 322,002 |  |  |  |  |  | 110,672 | 129, 814 | 53, 280 | 369,076 |
| Sept. 2 | 5,175, 149 | 454, 660 | 2, 391, 393 | 322,092 | 276,827 | 65, 983 | 53,938 | 756, 258 | 185,287 | 116, 883 | 130, 803 | 52, 448 | 368, 477 |
| Oct. $5 . .$. | 5, 169, 864 | 463, $494{ }^{2}$ | 2, 398,904 | 320, 888 | 274, 999 | 65,698 | 53, 527 | 749, 756 | 182,532 | 110, 252 | 129, 878 | 52, 375 | 367, 561 |
| Oct. 11-12. | 5,158,773 | 464, 724 ${ }^{2}$ | 2,375,604 | 325, 718 | 278, 760 | 65, 584 | 54, 526 | 751,073 | 180,989 | 110,665 | 128,967 | 52, 522 | 369,641 |
| Totalloans and discounts, including bills rediscounted with F. R. Bank: |  |  | ,3, | 32, 7 | 27, | 65, 38 | 54, 52 | 71,03 | 18, | 10, |  |  |  |
| Sept. 21 | 7,679,045 | 632, 98313 | 3, 695, 425 | 551,201 | 426,332 | 87,916 | 64,398 1 | 1,132,383 | 285, 970 | 133,034 | 159,471 | 65, 006 | 444, 926 |
| Sept. 28 | 7,692, 805 | 626, 058 | 3, 714,648 | 553,061 | 425, 801 | 87, 194 | 64, 7431 | , 130, 102 | 283,571 | 138,286 | 160,031 | 64, 533 | 444,773 |
| Oct. 5 O.... | 7,735, 317 | 636, 240 | 3, 764, 78 | 553, 846 | 424,245 | 86, 838 | 64, 3301 | , 125,881 | 280,777 | 132, 288 | 159,254 158,475 | 64, 037 64,278 | 443,205 444,520 |
| U. S. bonds: | 7,728, 672 | 640, 2673 | 3,747,727 | 556,469 | 427, 862 | 86,392 | 65, 3141 | 1,125,966 | 279,329 | 132,073 |  |  |  |
| Sept. 21 | 444, 007 | 10,673 | 266, 252 | 33, 955 | 9,903 | 7,242 |  | 17,979 | 12,154 | 4,524 | 8,707 | 8,452 | 59,760 |
| Sept. 2 | 449, 504 | 10,641 | 267,796 | 33, 794 | 10, 179 | 7,238 | 4,406 | 18,501 | 12,169 | 4,241 | 10, 175 | 8,544 | 61, 820 |
| Oct. 5. | 450, 654 | 10,912 | 268, 676 | 33, 862 | 10, 142 | 7,238 | 4,406 | 18,283 | 12,144 | 4,330 | 10,503 | 8,537 | 61, 621 |
| U. S. Victory H | 448,544 | 11,116 | 267, 263 | 33, 763 | 10,728 | 7,219 | 4,456 | 18,813 | 12, 230 | 4,017 | 11,274 | 8,536 | 59,129 |
| Sept. 21 | 100, 265 | 562 | 74, 889 | 2,842 | 1,254 | 106 |  | 11,474 | 439 | 218 | 857 | 31 |  |
| Sept. 28 | 102, 035 | 557 | 76, 354 | 2, 891 | 1,377 | 81 | 5 | 11, 865 | 517 | 187 | 848 | 31 | 7,322 10,733 |
| Oct. $5 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots .$. | 99, <br> 98 <br> 98 <br> 110 | ${ }_{4}^{479}$ | 70,879 70,372 | 2, 839 3,024 | 1,447 | 81 81 | 5 | -11, 11,592 | 443 494 | 186 349 | 867 874 | 31 31 | 10,733 10,637 |

PRINCIPAL RESOURCES AND LIABILITIES OF MEMBER BANKS IN LEADING CITIES ON WEDNESDAYS FROM SEPT. 21 TO OCT. 12, 1921-Continued.

MEMBER BANKS IN FEDERAL RESERVE BANK CITIES-Continued.
[Amounts in thousands of dollars.]

|  | Total. | Boston. | New York. | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Phila- } \\ \text { delphia. } \end{array}$ | Cleveland. | Richmond. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Atlan- } \\ & \text { ta. } \end{aligned}$ | Chicago. | St. <br> Louis. | Minneapolis. | Kansas City. | Dallas. | San <br> Francisco. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sept. 5. | -104, 98 | 1,610 | 78, 781 | 14,858 12,847 | 1, 878 | 180 | 180 | 3,627 3,524 | 1,766 | 186 | 482 | ${ }_{27}$ | 1,063 |
| Oct. 11-12. | 101, 101 | 1,543 | 77, 706 | 12,621 | 578 | 179 | 180 | 5,238 | 1,533 | 168 | 425 | 27 | 903 |
| U. S. Certificates of indebtedness: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sept. 21 | 137,973 | 4,932 | 60,623 | 13,857 | 764 | 676 | 1,000 | 28,283 | 3,475 | 1,810 | 1,979 | 5,941 | 14,633 |
| Sept. 28 | 108, 693 | 2,809 | 53,692 | 10,865 | 649 | 125 | 1,000 | 20, 227 | 3,220 | 1,322 | 1,411 | 1,627 | 11,746 |
| Oct. 5 | 105, 701 | 2,715 | 54,704 | 11, 172 | 594 | 123 | 1,081 | 17, 296 | 3,148 | 853 | 1,329 | 1,606 | 12,080 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sept. 21. | 1,086, 805 | 63,424 | 528, 181 | 124, 337 | 70,534 | 4,003 | 3,424 | 134, 914 | 43,643 | 8,893 | 11,804. | 1,562 | 92,086 |
| Sept. 28 | 1,096, 211 | 71, 381 | 529, 088 | 125, 183 | 69, 824 | 4,018 | 3,427 | 134, 180 | 43, 448 | 8,929 | 12,040 | 1,472 | 93,021 |
| Oct. 5. | 1, 119,618 | 64, 274 | 549, 811 | 124, 205 | 69,359 | 4,003 | 3,411 | 142, 317 | 44, 728 | 9,098 | 12,755 | 1,558 | 94,099 |
| Oct. 11-12................ | 1, 127, 721 | 64,671 | 551,668 | 125, 493 | 69,510 | 4,137 | 3,411 | 146, 357 | 44, 854 | 9, 198 | 12,991 | 1,558 | 93, 873 |
| Totalloans and discountsand investments, including bills rediscounted with F. R. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sept. 28 | 9,554, 230 | 714, 139 | 4, 719,669 | 740,652 | 508,926 | 98, 878 | 73,5811 | 1,313, 502 | 345,063 | 153, 110 | 185, 292 | 76,673 | 619, 745 |
| Oct. 5 | 9,610,584 | 716, 230 | 4,784,642 | 738,771 | 506,665 | 98,461 | 73,4131 | 1,318, 828 | 343, 006 | 146, 941 | 185,140 | 75,796 | 622,691 |
| Oct. $11-12 \ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 9,608,056 | 720, 384 | 4,774, 147 | 742,385 | 510,404 | 98, 127 | 73, 5731 | 1,320,481 | 340, 438 | 146, 465 | 185,267 | 75, 736 | 620, 649 |
| Reserve with F. R. Bank: Sept. 21 | Reserve with F. R. Bank: |  |  |  |  |  | 4,853 | 122,764 | 27,391 | 6,565 | 13,710 | 4,008 | 33,695 |
| Sept. 28 | 928, 752 | 57,639 | 568, 276 | 53, 230 | 28, 148 | 4,205 | 5,132 | 123,384 | 28, 159 | 7,865 | 13,427 | 5,375 | 33, 912 |
| Oct. 5 | 889, 991 | 58,164 | 527, 537 | 57, 127 | 26,697 | 4,540 | 4,940 | 124,126 | 28,004 | 8,024 | 11,540. | 4,729 | 34,563 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 26, 631 | 6,513 | 10,636 | 6,057 | 34,063 |
| Sept.21.. | 170, 067 | 11,325 | 87,392 | 13, 160 | 7,161 | 975 | 1,831 | 30, 252 | 3,241 | 2,012 | 2,934 | 1,412 | 8,372 |
| Sept. 28 | 172, 314 | 11, 569 | 88,608 | 13,210 | 7,156 | 931 | 1,735 | 30,126 | 3,476 | 2, 136 | 3,125 | 1,534 | 8,708 |
| Oct. 5 | 170,025 | 11,840 | 86,983 | 13,028 | 7,235 | 903 | 1,762 | 29,651 | 3,225 | 2,156 | 2,920 | 1,535 | 8,787 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sept. 28. | 6,954, 938 | 541,041 | 4,018,072 | 520,025 | 208, 380 | 43,004 | 35,562 | 874, 529 | 194, 588 | 77,488 | 132,967 | 48,406 | 260, 876 |
| Oct. 5. | 6,991,616 | 552, 714 | 4, 028,096 | 527, 429 . | 202, 869 | 42,644 | 36, 314 | 888, 541 | 192, 406 | 79,326 | 130,708 | 48,467 | 262, 102 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sept. $21 .$. | 1,361, 570 | 75,390 | 277, 290 | 30, 389 | 227, 151 | 23,113 | 21,396 | 310,069 | 84, 750 | 27,060 | 11,536 | 7,358 | 266,068 |
| Sept. 28 | 1,368,617 | 76,983 | 278, 403 | 30, 971 | 229, 320 | 22,918 | 21,349 | 309, 947 | 84,642 | 27, 283 | 11, 806 | 7,342 | 267,653 |
| Oct 5.. | 1, 375, 361 | 74,607 | 280, 363 | 31,058 | 230,048 | 23, 058 | 21, 465 | 312, 179 | 85, 302 | 26,789 | 15, 126 | 7,181 | 268, 185 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sept. $21 . .$. | 431,689 | 36, 203 | 238, 446 | 43, 876 | 7,872 | 1,768 | 1,634 | 44,497 | 14, 842 | 7,750 | 10,075 | 8,148 | 16,578 |
| Sept. | 430, 014 | 36, 203 | 231, 5154 | 42, 225 | 7,872 | 1,768 | 1,634 | 43,216 | 15, 097 | 8,840 | 10, 075 | 8,045 | 16, 485 |
| Oct. 511 l | 419,616 377,86 | 35,148 31 | ${ }_{208}^{231,221}$ | ${ }^{42}, 2191$ | 7,703 | 1,739 | 1,560 | 43,006 38,709 | 14, 722 | 8,840 7866 | 9,732 | 7,738 | 15, 989 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank: <br> Secured by U.S. Govern- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 102, 462 | 5,551 | 37, 275 | 21,707 | 1,000 | 6,239 | 580 | 4,263 | 5,485 | 1,451 | 5, 560 |  | 13,345 |
| Sept. 28 | 104, 261 | 7,791 | 37, 322 | 20,300 | 1,000 | 4,498 | 580 | 4,722 | 5,617 | 934 | 1,794 |  | 19,700 |
| ${ }^{\text {Oct. }}$ Oct. $11-12$ | 120,959 146,93 | 3,701 | 56, 589 | 19, 250 |  | 4,799 | 580 | 7, 108 | 5,390 | ${ }_{601}^{603}$ | 1,548 | 500 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sept. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oct. 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bills rediscounted with F. R. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank: Secured by U.S. Govern- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sept. 21 | 45, 022 | 5,923 | 11, 833 | 18,282 | 1,824 |  | 268 | 3,675 | 604 | 437 | 586 | 5 | 1,532 |
| Sept. 28 | 34, 906 | 3,706 | 7,217 | 15, 308 | 1,284 |  | 258 | 4,073 | 561 | 427 | 533 | 25 | 1, 514 |
| Oct. ${ }^{\text {Oct. }} 11$ | 30,790 | 3,230 | 6,168 | 14, 388 | 1,258 |  | 162 | 2,505 | 563 | 381 | 638 | 2 | 1, 495 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sept. 21 | 335, 804 | 30,999 | 81, 169 | 22, 763 | 57,328 | 10, 323 | 5,042 | 54, 330 | 15,119 | 17,703 | 15,300 | 6,029 | 19,699 |
| Sept. 28 | 347, 580 | 27, 17 | 105,885 | 24, 001 | 51, 541 | 9,284 | 4,418 | 48,990 | 12,296 | 22, 003 | 15,400 | 4,, 52 | 22,493 |
| Oct. $51-12 \ldots \ldots \ldots .$. | 341,274 <br> 356,137 | 26,173 25,340 | 117, 998 | ${ }^{19}{ }^{19}, 3828$ | 54,873 58,859 | 8,886 8,219 | 4, 4 , 102 | 38,816 | 14, 800 | 15, 390 | 15, 128 | 4,065 | 21, 437 |
|  |  |  | 10, 500 | 20, 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20,610 |

## BANK DEBITS.

Volume of business, as measured by debits to individual accounts reported to the Federal Reserve Board for banks in 155 clearing-house centers for the four weeks ending October 19, shows an average increase of about 12 per cent compared with the preceding four weeks, the total for the most recent week being the largest reported since the week ending January 19. Considerable fluctuations from week to week were due to fairly well defined causes: The week ending October 5 saw the payment of many end-of-month and end-of-quarter obligations, hence debits for that week were relatively large; for the following week a low figure is shown, chiefly because October 12, Columbus Day, is a legal holiday in many cities, and in these cities the week, therefore, contained only five business days. During the week ending October 19, heavy Government operations and October 15 payments combined to increase the volume of debits to a figure not equaled since the middle of January.

Fluctuations in the volume of debits for the corresponding four weeks in 1920 were closely parallel to those shown for the period under review, but the average level of the figures last year was about 17 per cent above this year's level. It may be noted that the week ending

October 20, 1920, saw the largest total of debits reported for any week of that year.


DEBITS TO INDIVIDUAL ACCOUNTS AT CLEARING-HOUSE BANKS.
SUMMARY BY FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICTS.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | Number of centers included. | 1921 <br> Week ending- |  |  |  | Week 1920 ending- |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Sept. 28. | Oct. 5. | Oct. 11-12. | Oct. 19. | Sept. 29. | Oct. 6. | Oct. 13. | Oct. 20. |
| Boston. | 14 | 331, 424 | 393,478 | 307, 091 | 473, 489 | 468, 191 | 501,008 | 399, 889 | 569,076 |
| NeW York. | 7 7 | 3, 9431,354 | 4,516, 885 | 3, 466, 985 | 4, 668, 717 | 4,412,645 | 5,179,661 | 4,023, 467 | 5,479,619 |
| Cleveland. | 13 | 346, 138 | 414, 630 | 334,534 | 434, 190 | [44, 716 | 455, 806 594,565 | 376, 825 | 487,008 <br> 629 |
| Richmond. | 9 | 176, 665 | 224, 158 | 192,375 | 245, 499 | 209,497 | 242,266 | 212,287 | 246, 663 |
| Atlanta. | 15 | 175, 504 | 211, 796 | 182,669 | 221,052 | 236,286 | 252,235 | 226,560 | 263,978 |
| Chicago. | 23 | 866,608 | 979, 571 | 777, 115 | 1,078, 080 | 1,124, 422 | 1,233, 185 | 1,055, 654 | 1, 411, 461 |
| St. Louis. | 5 | 166, 532 | 207, 935 | 187,488 | 1, 224, 353 | 211, 587 | -239,734 | 227, 592 | 258, 912 |
| Minneapolis. | 12 | 135,093 | 162, 134 |  | 162,098 | 194,144 | 219,962 | 219, 759 | 229, 856 |
| Kansas City. | 15 <br> 12 <br> 1 | 225,006 127,055 | 240,835 <br> 159 <br> 095 | 226,659 717433 | ${ }^{247}$ 14, 5675 | 327, 458 | 345, 699 | 322, 260 | 347, 215 |
| San Francis | 20 | 460, 546 | 1501, 368 | 412, 438 | 148, 578 | 160,250 53691 | - 594,353 | 157,146 517,938 | 182, 123 |
| Total. | 155 | 7, 284, 728 | 8,390,724 | 6,648, 182 | 8,913,934 | 8,836,444 | 10,031,766 | 8,222,506 | 10,748, 136 |

NoTE.-Figures for the following centers, while shown in the body of the statement, are not included in the summary, complete data for these centers not beingavailable for each week under review: Harrisburg, Pa.; Johnstown, Pa.; Reading, Pa.; Cincinnati, Ohio; Greenville, S.C.; Wilming-
ton, N. C.; Springfield, Ill.; East St. Louis and National Stock Yards, Ill.; Quincy, Ill.; Springtield, Mo.; Atchison, Kans.; and Galveston, Tex.

DEBITS TO INDIVIDUAL ACCOUNTS AT CLEARING-HOUSE BANKS--Continued. DATA FOR EACH REPORTING CENTER.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1921 \\ & \text { Week ending-- } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 1920 <br> Week ending-- |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sept. 28. | Oct. 5. | Oct. 11-12. | Oct. 19. | Sept. 29. | Oct. 6. | Oct. 13. | Oct. 20. |
| District No. 1-Boston: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bangor......... | 3.173 | 5,139 | 3,597 | 4,065 | 4,033 | 3,245 | 4,036 | 4,508 |
| Boston.. |  | $\begin{array}{r}1239,033 \\ 315 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}1187,247 \\ \mathbf{2 5 5} 55 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 1303,376 403,425 | 302,343 | 308,505 | 246,755 | 365, 609 |
| Fall River. | 6, 800 | 7,678 | 6,261 | 8,373 | 7,850 | 8,680 | 6,407 | 9,328 |
| Hartford. | 18,720 | 26,096 | 17,485 | 23, 456 | 28,281 | 31,682 | 22,050 | 27, 205 |
| Holyoke. | 2, 608 | 3, 115 | 2,434 | 3,742 | 4,166 | 4,778 | 3,574 | 5,075 |
| x,owell. | 4,218 | 4,592 | 3,977 | 6,176 | 5,473 | 6, 148 | 5,212 | 6, 884 |
| Manchester- | ${ }_{5}^{3,611}$ | 4,876 | 3, 807 | ${ }^{5,396}$ | 4,532 | 5,721 | 4. 545 | 5,762 |
| New Bedford | 5, 225 | 5,719 18,101 | 5,163 | 8,370 8 | 7,331 | 7, 169 | 6,374 | 8,999 |
| New Haven | 12,951 | 18,101 9899 | 13,471 | 19,728 | 17,508 | 17.508 | 17, 325 | c5, 632 |
| Portland.. | 6, 6 , 684 | 9,399 34 34053 | 9,457 | 7,599 40,438 | $\begin{array}{r}8,913 \\ 36,137 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 11,063 | $\begin{array}{r}10,191 \\ 33 \\ \hline 141\end{array}$ | 10,406 |
| Springfield | 12, 405 | 14,337 | 11,007 | 16, 856 | 16,703 | 17,736 | 14,274 | 19,043 |
| Waterbury | 4,674 | 6,316 | 4,712 | 7,285 | 6,947 | 10, 800 | 8,200 | 9,400 |
| District No. 2-New York: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Binghamton | 3,443 | 4,098 | 3,364 | 4,981 | 4,240 | 5,299 | 4,606 | 22,628 4 |
| Buffalo. | 48,309 | 64,670 | 46,049 | 73,382 | 64,410 | 84,646 | 63, 109 | 81,874 |
| New York | 3, 829, 245 | 4,373,399 | 3,364,389 | 4,514, 605 | 4,272,957 | 4,998, 459 | 3,885,092 | 5,308, 198 |
| Passaic. | 4,776 | 4, 839 | 4,418 | 5,999 | 5,596 | 5, 064 | 4,968 | 5,960 |
| Rechester | 27, 177 | 32, 598 | 23,020 | 34.212 | 29,317 | 41,203 | 27, 278 | 35,563 |
| District No.3-Philadelphia: |  |  |  | 14,702 | 17,922 | 22,820 | 19, 657 | 20,58i |
| Altoona............. | 3,065 | 3,164 | 2,535 | 3.622 | 4,460 | 3,950 | 3,900 | 3,640 |
| Chester. | 3,838 | 4,711 | 3,499 | 5,744 | 5,411 | 6.422 | 5,785 | 6,947 |
| Harrisburg | 5,650 | 7,963 | 5,368 | 7,766 |  |  |  |  |
| Lanctown | 4,497 4,659 | 5.218 | 4,005 | 5,009 |  |  |  |  |
| Philadelphia | 272,592 | 311,743 | 24,202 | 366, 539 | 347,015 | 376,799 | 506, 136 | 6,580 406,428 |
| Reading.. | 6,681 | 7,841 | 7,750 | 0,004 |  |  |  |  |
| Scranton | 16.315 | 15,389 | 14,855 | 14,030 | 15,959 | 21,174 | 177,795 | 17,613 |
| Trenton. | ${ }^{9}, 961$ | 10, 240 | 9,192 | 13,689 | 12,090 | 12,309 | 11,078 | 15,403 |
| Wilkes-Barre | 8,159 | 11, 186 | 7,664 | 10, 130 | 7,952 | 10. 229 | 10. 531 | 10,891 |
| Williamsport | 3,752 | 4, 233 | 4,151 | 4,874 | 4,021 | 4. 87.8 | 4,176 | $5,63 \times 8$ |
| Wilmingto | 7,073 | 8.254 | 5,475 | 8,943 | 7,163 | 7,760 | 7,651 | 8,389 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Akron... | 13,035 | 14,302 | 9,536 | 13,246 | 21,490 | 23,891 | 19,008 | 22,419 |
| Cincinnati | 55,390 | 59,842 | 50,025 | 78,732 |  |  |  |  |
| Cleveland. | 105, 897 | 1.24, 203 | 84,375 | 136,417 | 160, 104 | 200, 913 | 167.912 | 210, 185 |
| Columbus | 22,558 | 27, 709 | 23, 710 | 31,465 | 29,511 | 30, 963 | 27,309 | 34,790 |
| Dayton. | 11, 868 | 12, 980 | 12,812 | 13,419 | 11, 138 | 12,327 | 11, 743 | 11, 831 |
| Erie........ | 5,683 4,208 | 7,441 | 5,675 | 5, 868 | 7,703 | 9,033 | 7,612 | 9,001 |
| Lexington. | 4,208 $\mathbf{2}, 999$ |  | 3,882 3,054 | 4,867 4,290 | 6,662 4,870 | 6, 5,164 5,164 | 6,400 4,448 | 7,113 5,719 |
| Oil city. | 1,922 | 2,218 | 1,500 | 2,602 | 3,721 | 3,999 | 2, 534 | 4,785, |
| Pittsburgh | 133,244 | 165,279 | 147, 134 | 174,496 | 234, 724 | 234, 208 | 179, 874 | 257,026 |
| Springfiel | 3,402 25 2095 | 3,353 29,191 | 3,190 24,877 | 3, 307 | 3,827 3098 | - 3,635 | 3,668 | 3,614 |
| Wheeling. | $2.5,905$ 6,575 | 29,191 7 | 24, 5, | 33,458 9,034 | 29,968 9,651 | 34,578 9,935 | 26,661 8,524 | 36, ${ }^{358}$ |
| Youngstown. | 8, 558 | 12, 490 | 9,191 | 11,321 | 17,188 | 19,076 | 17,436 | 11,369 15,454 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Baltimore.. | 82,781 | 112,468 | 87,943 | 131, 144 | 106,171 | 124,101 | 98,298 | 123,995 |
| Charleston. | 4,726 | 6,186 | 5, 674 | 5,380 | ${ }_{5}^{5,500}$ | 7,461 | 6,500 | 6,875 |
| Charlotte | - 5,756 | -6,554 | 7, 101 | 7,202 | 6,704 | 7,360 | 7, 808 | 8,222 |
| Greenville, S . C | -3,958 | 6,591 4,582 | B, 5 , 042 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { P, } \\ \mathbf{3}, 376 \\ \hline, 366\end{array}$ | 5,756 | 6,928 | 5,954 | 6,356 |
| Huntington | 4,080 | 4,393 | 3,772 | 5,772 | 5,856 | 6,196 | 5,618 | 6,893 |
| Norfolk. | 13,094 | 15,044 | 14,137 | 15,915 | 18,309 | 19,359 | 19,166 | 21,059 |
| Raleigh.. | 4,120 24,641 | 4,000 28,974 | $\begin{array}{r}4,080 \\ \hline 20\end{array}$ | 3, 140 | 4, 500 | 4,280 | 4,280 | 4,300 |
| Washington. | - 32,409 | 39,948 | 20, 27 | 28,571 | 22,740 33 | 27, 589 | 28, 103 | 29, 299 |
| Wilmington. | 4,800 | - ${ }^{\text {, }} 838$ | 37, 5,391 | 42,799 6,016 | 33,961 | 38,992 | 36,560 | 39,664 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Atlanta. | 23,089 | 28,445 | 26,548 | 29,541 | 26,942 | 31, 243 | 30, 056 | 32,851 |
| Augusta..... | 6,770 | 8,997 | 7,706 | 6,768 | 8, 835 | 9,598 | 9,370 | 10,054 |
| Birmingham. | 12,913 | 15, 529 | 7,146 | 14,632 | 16,687 | 18,745 | 17, 554 | 19,203 |
| Chattanooga. | 6,519 | 7,910 | 8,077 | 9, 000 | 10,295 | 12,343 | 12,570 | 12,196 |
| Tacksonville | 8,000 | 9, 100 | 8,445 | 10,371 | 12,465 | 14,019 | 13,282 | 14,780 |
| Macon.... | 5,110 4 4 4 | 8,485 <br> 4,73 <br> 8. | 6,518 | 8,007 | 6, 206 | 8,064 | 6,569 | 7,166 |
| Mobile. | 7,058 | 8,431 | ${ }_{5}^{4}, 380$ | 4,811 6,188 | 6,873 <br> 7 393 | 6,722 8,897 | 6,260 8,088 | 6,999 7,850 |
| Montgomery | 3,900 | 4,978 | 4,361 | 4, 618 | 4,635 | 5,276 | 5, 180 | -3,400 |
| Nashville.. | 26, 337 | 28, 478 | 29, 323 | 29, 840 | 22,442 | 29,982 | 23,906 | 26,923 |
| New Orlean | 52, 158 | 62, 877 | 52, 722 | 74, 333 | 86, 600 | 79,029 | 68,054 | 94,096 |
| Pensacola. | 1,248 12,150 | 1,500 14,800 | 1,317 13.860 | 1,443 14,100 | 2,416 17,669 | 2,518 17,435 |  | 2,440 17,058 |
| Tampa. | 4,366 | 5,724 | 4.956 | 5,129 | ¢, 433 | 6,560 | 6,068 | 5,259 |
| Vicksburg. | 1,553 | 1,869 | 1,878 | 2,271 | 1,396 | 1,804 | 1,648 | 1, 703 |

debits to individual accounts at clearing-house banks-continued, DATA FOR EACH REPORTING CENTER-Continued.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | 1921 <br> Week ending- |  |  |  | Week ending |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sept. 28. | Oct. 5. | Oct. 11-12. | Oct. 19. | Sept. 29. | Oct. 6. | Oct. 13. | Oct. 20. |
| District No. 7-Chicago: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bay City... | 2,548 | 3,050 | 2,238 | $\stackrel{2,509}{ }$ | 3,703 | 3,868 | 3,013 | 3,360 |
| Bloomington. | 1,949 8,432 | - ${ }_{\text {2, }}$ | 10,914 | 2,469 10 | 2,770 12197 | 3,001 11,995 | $\begin{array}{r}2,855 \\ 12 \\ \hline 100\end{array}$ | 3,014 12,260 |
| Chicago... | 590,633 | 655,888 | 491,607 | 717,306 | 730,626 | 812,003 | 672, 649 | 924,767 |
| Davenport | 6,415 | 7,275 | 7,285 | 6,927 | 7,692 | 9,519 | 7,489 | 7,485 |
| Decatur.. | 2,799 | 3,038 | 2,388 | 3,551 | 4,104 | 4,017 | 3,601 | 4,332 |
| Des Moines. | 13,268 | 16,487 | 16,754 | 16,346 | 19,450 | 21,692 | 20,778 | 20,911 |
| Detroit. | 96, 337 | 109, 700 | 91,761 | 135, 918 | 141,545 | 151,212 | 131, 401 | 195, 167 |
| Dubuque. | 2,420 | 3,043 | 2,717 | 3,205 | 3,385 | 4,054 | 3,412 | 3,829 |
| Flint. | 4,812 | 5,946 | 4,596 | 5,770 | 8,193 | 10,319 | 7,816 | 8,940 |
| Ft. Wayne | 6,163 | 5,576 | 5, 829 | 7,554 | 7,490 | 8,272 | 6,985 | 9,244 |
| Grand Rapids | 18,468 | 21,401 | 19,712 | 21,151 | 20, 990 | 21, 312 | 21,578 | 22, 895 |
| Indianapolis. | 27,250 | 30,001 | 24,657 | 38, 227 | 3 $\mathbf{0}, 321$ | 40, 207 | 33,020 | 42,553 |
| Jackson.. | 3,486 | 3,757 | 3,254 | 3,651 | 5,095 | 5,741 | 4,303 | 5,711 |
| Kalamazoo | 3,535 | 4,059 | 3,793 | 3,979 | 5,531. | 7,004 | 5,577 | 6,435 |
| Lansing. | 4,741 | 5, 155 | 4,229 | 5,100 | 5,391 | 6,782 | 5,828 | 6,117 |
| Milwankee | 45, 460 | 58,542 | 54, 670 | 60, 114 | 70,461 | 65, 887 | 70,465 | 86,371 |
| Moline. | 1,568 | ${ }^{1}, 767$ | 1,556 | 2,144 | 2,492 | 2, 703 | 2, 857 | 3,125 |
| Peoria. | 6,832 | 8,397 | 6,204 | 9,203 | 9,389 | 10,370 | 8,484 | 11,089 |
| Rockford. | 4,140 | 4,501 | 4, 496 | 4,089 | 6,233 | 7,145 | 6,391 |  |
| Sioux City. | 7,552 | 9,062 6,446 | 8,190 5,504 | 8,384 6,899 | 13,521 4,927 | 16,744 4,739 | 15,715 5,197 | 16,944 6,130 |
| Springfield, il | 4,736 | 5,522 | 5,543 | 4,792 |  |  |  |  |
| Waterloo.. | 2,503 | 3,399 | 3,352 | 3,123 | 3,916 | 4, 399 | 3, 840 | 4,307 |
|  | 7.597 | 8,002 | 8,232 | 8,539 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4, 545 | 4,942 | 4,776 | 6,090 | 5,120 | 5150 | 5,292 | 5,340 |
| Little Rock. | 12,511 | 14,382 | 10,454 | 13, 896 | 8,650 | 17,011 | 11,963 | 15,186 |
| Louisville. | ${ }^{1} 21,196$ | ${ }^{1} 21,859$ | ${ }^{1} 18,299$ | ${ }^{1} 26,936$ | 27,556 | 29,418 | 25, 439 | 32,284 |
| Do. | 27, 105 | 28, 158 | 24,456 | 34, 612 |  |  |  |  |
| Memphis | 25,539 | 34, 502 | 32, 428 | 34, 768 | 25,839 | 28, 856 | 31, 800 | 35,919 |
| Quincy St. Louis | 1,701 | - 2,294 | 1,745 | 2,575 |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { St. Louis. } \\ & \text { Do.. } \end{aligned}$ | 1102,741 113,751 | $\begin{array}{r} 1132,250 \\ 144,516 \end{array}$ | 1 121,531 134,832 | 1142,663 156 1514 | 144,422 | 159,299 | 153,098 | 170,183 |
| Springfield, Mo. | 2,474 | 2,973 | 12,851 | 2,839 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aberdeen........... | 1,228 | 1,588 | 1,566 | 1,498 | 1,932 | 2,221 | 2,153 | 1,978 |
| Billings. | 1,593 | 2,120 | 1,673 | 2, 171 | 2,036 | 2, 5040 | 2,440 | 3,200 |
| Duluth. | 21,491 | 22, 249 | 19, 266 | 20,578 | 36, 603 | 40,115 | 39,969 | 40,030 |
| Fargo....... | 2,444 | 2,985 | 2,735 | 3,550 | 3,688 | 4, 811 | ${ }^{4,505}$ | ${ }_{2}^{4}, 135$ |
| Grand Forks | 1,206 | 1,793 | 1,739 | 2,448 | 1,651 | 2,247 | 2,057 | 2, 272 |
| Great Falls. | 1,972 | 2,132 | 1,627 | 2,238 | 2, 892 | 2,915 | 2,331 | 2,591 |
| Helena... | 2,506 | 3,244 | 2,715 | 3,132 | 2,314 | 2,962 | 2,671 | 2,776 |
| Minneapoli | 70,739 | 86, 838 | 69,855 | 84, 288 | 100,615 | 115,113 | 116,725 | 110,562 |
| St. Paul. | 25, 249 | 32,089 | 30, 243 | 35, 002 | 33, 105 | 37,504 | 35,076 | 51,572 |
| Sioux Falls | 3,590 | ${ }^{4,113}$ | 4,033 | 4, 179 | 6,099 | 6,274 | 8,285 | $\stackrel{6,700}{2}$ |
| Superior. | 2,237 | 1,836 | 1,947 | 1,857 | 2,170 | 1,980 | 2,021 | 2,188 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,526 |  |
| Atchison. | 1,080 | 1,329 | 1,289 | 1,317 |  |  |  |  |
| Bartlesvill | 1,715 | 1,808 | 1,541 | 2,121 | 3,933 | 3,485 | 3,584 | 3,704 |
| Cheyenne. | 1,833 | 2,922 | 2,220 | 1,658 |  | 2,756 | 1,990 | 1,913 |
| Colorado Spring | 2,305 | 2,716 | 2,486 | 2,549 | 3,474 | 3,651 | 3,584 | 8,123 |
| Denver. | 32,966 | 34,651 | 30,168 | 32,414 | 50,202 | 56,171 | 48,351 | 51,477 |
| Joplin.. | 1,776 | $\stackrel{2}{2} 539$ | ${ }_{3}^{2,242}$ | 2,346 | 3,381 | 3, 874 | 3,989 | 3,703 |
| Kansas City, Kans | 3,445 | 3,477 | 3,062 | 3,295 | 4,236 | 4,318 | 4,410 | 4,501 |
| Kansas City, Mo. | 70, 105 | 77,073 | 75,106 | 80,568 | 99,423 | 102,651 | 94,577 | 112,355 |
| Muskogee...i. | 3,416 | - 3,926 | 3,982 | 3,750 | 5,100 |  | 5,496 | 7,328 |
| Omaha...... | 42,600 | 41, 803 | 34,840 | 48,117 | 56, 768 | 58,887 | 54, 565 | 61,608 |
| Pueblo. | 3,532 | 3,931 | 4,003 | 4,141 | 4,136 | 6,179 | 7,016 | 7,247 |
| St. Joseph | 15,067 | 16,866 | 15,299 | 17,219 | 18,085 | 18,831 | 17,638 | 18,933 |
| Topeka. | 2,993 | 4,217 | 3,425 | 4,751 | 3,343 | 4,633 | 4,301 | 4,399 |
| Tulsa... | 15,010 | 13,082 | 15,489 | 13,101 | 30,425 | 27, 822 | 28,843 | 29, 026 |
| Wichita.......... | 8,570 | 10,694 | 10,525 | 10,202 | 14,721 | 17, 163 | 13,629 | 13,121 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Austin.... | 3,128 | 3,735 | 3,349 | 3,653 | 5,193 | 5,263 | 4,680 | 5,300 |
| Beaumont. | 2,361 | 3,054 | 2,665 | 3,643 | 4,474 | 5,026 | 4,797 | 5,621 |
| Dallas. | 39,206 | 42,669 | 33,827 | 44,689 | 43,744 | 50,961 | 45,459 | 54,206 |
| El Paso. | 6,494 | 6,997 | 6,758 | 8,207 | 8,089 | 8,814 | 9,236 | 9,276 |
| Fort Worth | ${ }^{23,210}$ | 27, 430 | 27,312 | 28,412 | 28,440 | 29,880 | 28,997 | 30,432 |
| Galveston | 25, 204 | 27,853 | 18,763 | 19,068 |  |  |  |  |
| Houston. | 30, 230 | 50,394 | 23,025 | 32,915 | 43,509 | 46,369 | 36,061 | 45,905 |
| San Antonio | 6,336 | 6,805 | 4,872 | 7,767 | 8,453 | 9,540 | 8,247 | 9,743 |
| Shreveport | 6,957 | 7,596 | 5,985 | 8,155 | 8,172 | 6,936 | 8 8,220 | 9,413 |
| Texarkana, Tex | 1,268 | 1,647 | 1,440 | 1,800 | 1,725 | 1,892 | 2,157 | ${ }_{2}^{2,210}$ |
| Waco.. | 1,379 $\mathbf{5 , 0 8 5}$ | 1,681 5,140 | 1,525 4,920 | 5,947 | 5,220 | 1,686 4,697 | 2,577 | 5,794 |

## DEBITS TO INDIVIDUAL ACCOUNTS AT CLEARING-HOUSE BANKS-Continued. <br> DATA FOR EACH REPORTING CENTER-Continued.

[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | 1921 <br> Week ending- |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 1920 \\ \text { Week ending- } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sept. 28. | Oct. 5. | Oct. 11-12. | Oct. 19. | Sept. 29. | Oct. 6. | Oct. 13. | Oct. 20. |
| District No. 12-San Francisco: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Berkeley................... | 3,687 | 3,674 | 2,839 | 4,672 | 2,874 | 3,238 | 2, 518 | 3,643 |
| Boise... | 2,600 | 3,151 | 2,916 | 2,705 | 2,662 | 3,470 | 3,470 | 3,130 |
| Fresno. | 14,087 | 13,532 | 19,521 | 16, 818 | 13,218 | 15,597 | 16,563 | 19,452 |
| Long Beach. | 5,035 | 5,555 | 4,721 | 6,683 | 4,938 | 5,984 | 4,931 | 6,582 |
| Los Angeles. | 106, 405 | 109, 743 | 90,312 | 128,073 | 96,214 | 101,831 | 88,896 | 109,784 |
| Oakland. | 17,102 | 19,538 | 17,895 | 19,512 | 19,675 | 24,265 | 21,871 | 23, 229 |
| Ogden..- | 3,076 | 3,754 | 4,092 | 3,131 | 4,800 | 5,400 | 4,486 | 4,686 |
| Pasadena. | 4,204 | 5,340 | 4,377 | 6,066 | 4,473 | 5,615 | 4,358 | 5,342 |
| Portland. | 29,418 | 35,709 | 31,647 | 40,668 | 44,637 | 48,567 | 47,480 | 53,890 |
| Reno... | 2,402 | 2,468 | 2,231 | 2,951 | 2,603 | 3,153 | 2,587 | 3,153 |
| Sacramento. | 15,919 | 17,523 | 14,998 | 19,151 | 19, 154 | 18, 113 | 15,367 | 21,651 |
| Salt Lake City | 12,642 | 16,600 | 11,232 | 16,222 | 17,517 | 20,571 | 15,907 | 19, 255 |
| San Diego... | 6,587 | 7,354 | 6,133 | 8,337 | 7,447 | 9,204 | 7,856 | 9,738 |
| San Francisco | 177,726 | 182,458 | 149,785 | 207,314 | 214,370 | 238,649 | 201,449 | 261,577 |
| San Jose. | 4,959 | 5,534 | 4,774 | 6,488 | 6,227 | 7,047 | 6,794 | 8,312 |
| Seattle. | 29,835 | 37,573 | 29,718 | 38,180 | 43,637 | 46, 866 | 39,228 | 49,141 |
| Spokane. | 10,204 | 13,347 | 9,342 | 13,988 | 13,189 | 15,602 | 14,202 | 16,563 |
| Stockton. | 4,665 | 6,486 | 4,321 | 6,016 | 5,880 | 6,430 | 5,777 | 6,913 |
| Tacoma. | 7,367 | 8,737 | 6,880 | 11,750 | 10,230 | 11,240 | 11,004 | 12,041 |
| Yakima. | 2,626 | 3,292 | 2,838 | 4,123 | 2,946 | 3,511 | 3,194 | 4,179 |

## GOLD SETTLEMENT FUND.

INTER-BANK TRANSACTIONS FROM SEPT. 23 TO OCT. 20, 1921, INCLUSIVE.
[In thousands of dollars.|

| Federal Reserve Bank. | Transfers. |  | Daily settlements. |  | Changes in ownership of gold throngh transfers and settlements. |  | Balance in fund at end os period. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Debits. | Credits. | Debits. | Credits. | Decrease. | Increase. |  |
| Boston. | 57,500 | 32,291 | 379, 110 | 423,134 |  | 18,815 | 49,582 |
| Now York. | 105,500 | 164,261 | 1,501, 767 | 1, 3797,036 | 75,970 |  | 116,881 |
| ${ }_{\text {Pheveland }}$ | 13,000 12,000 | 6,000 10,596 | 491,995 384,822 | 498,065 383,809 | 930 2,417 |  | 53,849 49,299 |
| Richmond. | 92,317 | 82,000 | 426,457 | 443,670 |  | 6,896 | 22, 008 |
| Athants.. | 10,212 | 9,500 | 169,363 | 169,631 | 444 |  | 4,907 |
| Chicago.. | 12,000 | 6,000 | 660,871 | 692, 277 |  | 25,406 | 78,788 |
| St. Louis... |  | 7,000 | 385, 950 | 395, 029 |  | 16,079 | 20,666 |
| Minneanolis. | 21,944 | 8,000 | 113, 543 | 128,329 |  | ${ }^{842}$ | 10, 718 |
| Kansas City | 2,000 | 11,500 | 295, 340 | 282, 284 | 3, 556 |  |  |
| Dallas..... | 36,675 | 20,000 | 182, 994 | 202, 890 |  | 3,22i | 7,666 |
| San Francisco |  | 6,000 | 180, 868 | 186,926 |  | 12,058 | 41,287 |
| Total, four weeks ending- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oct. 20, 1921. <br> Sept. 22, 1921. | $\begin{aligned} & 363,148 \\ & 462,341 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 363,148 \\ & 462.341 \end{aligned}$ | $5,173,080$ $4,693,123$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,173,080 \\ & 4.693 .123 \end{aligned}$ | 83,317 | 83, 317 | $482,540$ |
| Oct. 21, 1920. Sept. $23,1920$. | $\begin{aligned} & 675,093 \\ & 897,585 \end{aligned}$ | 675,083 897,585 | 7, 054, 394 $6,600,694$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7,054,394 \\ & 6,600,691 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 391,563 \\ & 340,325 \end{aligned}$ |

## FEDERAL RESERVE CLEARING SYSTEM.

OPERATIONS FROM SEPT. 16 TO OCT. 15, 1921.
[All figures shown in thousands.]

${ }^{1}$ Includes items drawn on banks in other Federal reserve districts forwarded direct to drawee bank.
Note,-Number of business days in period for Richmond, Nashville, Minneapolis, Kansas City, Denver, and Oklahoma City was 26 , and for other Federal Reserve Bank and branch cities, 25 days.

NUMBER OF MEMBER AND NONMEMBER BANKS IN EACH FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICT, OCT. 15,1921 aND 1920.

| Federal Reserve district. | Member banks. |  | Nonmember banks. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | On par list. |  | Not on par list. ${ }^{1}$ |  |
|  | 1921 | 1920 | 1921 | 1920 | 1921 | 1920 |
| Boston. | 436 | 434 | 258 | 258 |  |  |
| Newt York. | 795 | 788 | 328 | 322 |  |  |
| Pbilladelphia. | 701 880 | 696 867 | 467 1,086 | 434 1,072 |  |  |
| Richmond. | 622 | 610 | 1,004 | 778 | 572 | 800 |
| Atlanta. | 507 | 448 | ${ }^{4} 402$ | 416 | 1,171 | 1,206 |
| Chicago.... | 1,444 | 1,403 | 4,240 | 4,278 |  |  |
| St. Louis..... | , 585 | 568 | 2,496 | 2,516 | 167 | 174 |
| Minneapolis.... | 1,021 | -992 | 2,715 | 2,920 3,395 | 118 |  |
| Kansas City ... | 1,083 | 1,083 | 3,220 1,191 | 3,395 1,258 | 172 | , |
| San Francisen. | 865 | 819 | ${ }^{1} 978$ | 1,028 |  |  |
| Total. | 9, 803 | 8,544 | 18,388 | 18,675 | 2,200 | 2,180 |

[^7]GOLD AND SILVER IMPORTS AND EXPORTS.
GOLD IMPORTS INTO AND EXPORTS FROM THE UNITED STATES, DISTRIBUTED BY COUNTRIES.

| Country. | Imports. |  |  |  |  |  | Exports. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | During <br> 10 days ending Sept. 20, 1921. | During <br> 10 days ending Sept. 30 , 1921. | $\begin{gathered} \text { During } \\ \text { month of } \\ \text { Sept., } \\ \text { 1921. } \end{gathered}$ | During 10 days ending Oct. 10, 1921. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { From } \\ & \text { Jan. } 1 \text { to } \\ & \text { Oct. 10, } \\ & \text { 1921. } \end{aligned}$ | From <br> Jan. 1 to Oct. 10, 1920. | During <br> 10 days ending Sept. 20, 1921. | During 10 days ending Sept.30, 1921. | During month of Sept., 1921. | During 10 days ending Oct. 10, 1921. | From Jan. 1 to Oct. 10, 1921. | From <br> Jan. 1 to <br> Oct. 10, <br> 1920. |
| Austria. |  |  |  |  | \$9,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Belgium. |  |  | \$3, 720,000 |  | 3,760,711 | \$337, 130 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denmark |  |  |  |  | 3,236, 497 | 16, 199, 294 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| France. | \$6, 381, 995 | 82, 659, 220 | 17, 784, 302 | 85, 992, 312 | 160,061, 532 | 16, 587, 644 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Germany | 8, 784, 729 | 4,734, 850 | 13, 519, 579 | 96,305 | 16, 445, 050 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$10, 000 |
| Greece.. |  |  |  |  | 715,745 | 128, 700 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Italy. | 33,043 |  | 33,043 |  | 146, 332 | 40,107 |  |  |  |  |  | 241, 263 |
| Malta. |  |  |  | 614,680 | 614,680 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Netherlands | 152, 3881 | 422, 286 | 820,277 | 89,631 | 18,590, 704 | 1,163,121 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Norway... |  |  |  |  | 1, 534,985 | 3, 324 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poland and Danzig | 200 | 200 | 400 |  | 400 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Portugal........... |  |  |  | 2,148 | 23,040 | 25, 364 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Russia in Europe. |  |  |  |  | 85,000 $3,243,238$ | $1,268,631$ 132,916 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spain... Sweden. | 138,841 | 51, 255 | 605,036 | 4,149, 060 | $3,243,238$ $59,021,071$ | 132,916 |  | $\$ 200$ | \$200 |  | 2,643, 8200 |  |
| Switzerland........ |  |  | 16,436 |  | 572,957 | 4,937 |  |  |  |  |  | 2,604 |
| Turkey in Europe. United Kingdom: England. | 18,000 $4,626,805$ | 27,075 $6,050,124$ | 61,075 $16,955,333$ | 33,119 43,735 | 471,458 $160,107,522$ | 136, $329 . . . .$. |  |  |  |  |  | ...... |
| Scotland...... |  |  |  |  |  | 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Europe | 20, 136,001 | 13,945,010 | 53,515,481 | 11,020,990 | 428,639,922 | 156, 221, 254 |  | 200 | 200 |  | 2,643, 213 | 268, 014 |
| Bermuda. |  |  |  |  | 102, 890 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| British Honduras.. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canada. | 1,034,500 | $1,042,408$ 1,591 | $3,082,467$ 29,091 | 86,861 41,388 | $31,105,862$ 694,828 | $31,895,533$ 531,333 | \$67,687 | 108,069 | 277,897 | \$265, 953 | 2,071,298 | 4,583,963 |
| Guatemala | 100 |  | 48, 100 | 41,388 $\mathbf{2}, 522$ | 694,828 <br> 503,909 | 531,333 14,872 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Honduras. |  | 2, 459 | 2, 459 | 770 | 200, 361 | 215,947 |  |  |  |  |  | 19,000 |
| Nicaragua | 11, 788 | 53, 155 | 64,966 | 19, 433 | 634,672 | 1, 010,335 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Panama. | 113, 734 | 38, 300 | 219, 316 | 139,170 | 2, 154, 119 | 232, 934 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mexico. | 176, 186 | 117, 201 . | 437, 103 | 187, 604 | 4, 231, 845 | 3, 709, 583 | 100, 100 | 5,734 | 140,999 | 17,500 | 5, 322, 249 | 17, 108, 167 |
| Cuba. $\qquad$ |  | $\stackrel{2}{2}, 149$ | 257, 858 | 23,885 | 360, 425 | 14, 762 |  |  |  |  | 250,844 | 475,000 |
| British West Indies <br> Virgin Islands of <br> U.S. $\qquad$ | 4,83 | 2,040 |  | 25, 885 | 466,751 150,000 | 184,546 |  |  |  |  |  | 10,000 |
| Dominican $\mathbf{R e}$ public |  |  |  |  | 25,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 39,000 |
| Dutch West Indies, | 125, 807 | 191, 106 | 340, 873 | 181,471 | 5,263, 769 | 331, 881 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Haiti....-...-...--- |  |  |  |  |  | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total North America... | 1,466, 948 | 1,450,409 | 4,608, 954 | 683, 104 | 46,682, 868 | 39, 046, 924 | 167, 787 | 113,803 | 418, 896 | 283, 453 | 7,644,391 | 22, 255, 130 |
| Argentina |  |  | 9,797 | 130,000 | 1,058, 020 | 1, 663, 104 |  | . |  |  |  | 89,995, 000 |
| Bolivia |  | 2,000 | 2,827 |  | 5, 031 | 6, 878 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chile. | 2, 123 | 159,000 | 163, 535 |  | 93,122 283,772 | - 368,585 |  |  |  |  | 24, 300 | 280,000 400,000 |
| Colombia | 8,408 | 56, 643 | 589, 034 | 454, 747 | 9, 260, 508 | 4, 233, 631 |  |  |  |  |  | 700, 000 |
| Ecuador. | 68, 638 | -), 800 | 74, 438 | 127, 521 | 582,759 | 523, 893 |  |  |  |  |  | 206,000 |
| British Guiana |  |  |  | -974 | 108, 410 | 111, 201 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dutch Guiana |  |  |  | 5,388 | 59,418 | 7,945 |  |  |  |  |  | 6,300 |
| Peru..... | 43,973 | 67, 550 | 158, 552 | 69, 588 | 1,092,566 | 706,209 |  |  |  |  |  | 3,653, 376 |
| Uruguay. |  | 245, 502 | 400, 255 | 106,711 | 5,384, 050 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12,850,000 |
| Venezuela |  | 15, 344 | 90, 320 | 21, 568 | 1,063, 918 | 365,638 |  |  |  |  |  | 184, 000 |
| Total South America.. | 123, 142 | 551,839 | 1,494, 758 | 916, 497 | 18,991,574 | 8,011,556 |  |  |  |  | 24, 300 | 108,274,676 |
| China. | 41, 880 | 115,233 | 836, 482 |  | 17,379, 222 | 1,260 |  |  |  |  |  | 25, 286, 750 |
| British India | 1,753,725 | 1,524,542 | 3,484, 267 | 2,076,469 | 29,363,896 |  | 55,000 |  | 55,000 | 124,000 | 179,000 | 6, 503, 741 |
| Straits Settlements |  |  |  |  | 29,363,396 |  |  |  | 5,00 | 124,000 | 175,00 | 6,683, 454 |
| Dutch East Indies- |  |  | 106,828 | 243, 000 | 1,025, 485 | 2,672,994 |  |  |  |  | 60, 000 | 12, 065, 105 |
| French East Indies |  |  |  |  | 6,005, 892 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2, 290,000 |
| Greece in Asia. Hongkong..... | 26,990 |  | 41, 890 | 28,06 | 977,698 $5,580,825$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Japan...... |  |  |  |  | 2, 208,234 | 30, 191, 910 | 1,849,345 | 2,700 | 1,974,645 | 490, 000 | 3, 892, 135 | $\begin{aligned} & 28,642,202 \\ & 52,351,025 \end{aligned}$ |
| Palestine and Syria | 38, 495 | 35,988 | 217, 564 |  | 2,881, 384 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkey in Asia.... |  |  |  |  | 1,448, 793 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Asia... | 1,861,090 | 1,675, 763 | 4, 687, 031 | 2,347,537 | 64, 876, 289 | 32, 866,164 | 1,904, 345 | 2,700 | 2,029,645 | 614,000 | 4,131, 135 | 133, 822, 277 |
| Australia. |  |  |  | 12,540 | 11,703,027 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Zealand |  | 85,388 | 85, 388 |  | 2,264,285 | 1,587,908 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tahiti |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 300 |  |
| Philippine Islands. | 32,349 | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \overline{3}, 512 \\ 300 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 122,861 \\ 300 \end{array}$ |  | 958,575 21,965 | 700, 212 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| British West Africa |  |  |  |  | 13,250 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 39,446 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { British } \quad \text { Sout h } \\ \text { Africa. } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | 51,823 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

GOLD IMPORTS INTO AND EXPORTS FROM THE UNITED STATES, DISTRIBUTED BY COUNTRIES-Continued.

|  | Imports. |  |  |  |  |  | Exports. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coantry. | During <br> 10 days ending Sept. 20, 1921. | During 10 days ending Sept. 30, 1921. | During month of Sept., 1921. | During <br> 10 days ending 1921. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { From } \\ & \text { Jan. } 1 \text { to } \\ & \text { Oct. 10, } \\ & \text { 1921. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { From } \\ & \text { Jan. I to } \\ & \text { Oct. } 10, \\ & 1920 . \end{aligned}$ | During 10 days ending Sept. 20, 1921. | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { During } \\ \text { 10 days } \\ \text { ending } \\ \text { Sept.30, } \\ \text { 1921. } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { During } \\ \text { month of } \\ \text { Sept., } \\ \text { 1921. } \end{array}$ | During 10 days ending 1921. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { From } \\ & \text { Jan. } 1 \text { to } \\ & \text { Oct. 10, } \\ & \text { 1921. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { From } \\ & \text { Jan. } 1 \text { to } \\ & \text { Oet. 10, } \\ & 1920 . \end{aligned}$ |
| Eqypt Portuguese Africa. | 36,000 |  | $\left.\begin{array}{r} 1,736,439 \\ 21,180 \end{array} \right\rvert\, .$ | 1,480,411 | $\begin{array}{r} 3,723,140 \\ 562,073 \end{array}$ | 280,358 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total, all countries. | 23,655,530 | 17, 744, 221 | 56, 270, 391 | 16, 461, 079 | 1578,488, 791 | 238, 714, 376 | 2, 072, 132 | 116,703 | 2,448,741 | 897, 453 | 14.443,339 | 264, 659, 543 |
| Excess of imports or exports ....... | 21, 583, 398 | 17,627, 518 | 33, 821, 651 | :5,563, 626 | 564, 045, 452 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25, 945, 167 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes: Ore and base bullion, $\$ 29,514,000$; United States mint or assay office bars, $\$ 428,000$; other refined bullion, $\$ 412,557,000$; United States coin, $\$ 36,743,000$; foreign coin, $\$ 99,246,000$.
coin, $\$ 9,883,000$. Foroign
SILVER IMPORTS INTO AND EXPORTS FROM THE UNITED STATES, DISTRIBUIED BY COUNTRIES.

| Country. | Imports. |  |  |  |  |  | Exports. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | During 10 days ending Sept. 20, 1921. | During 10 days ending Sept. 30, 1921. |  | During 10 days ending Oct. 10, 1921. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { From } \\ & \text { Jan. } 1 \text { to } \\ & \text { Oct. 10, } \\ & \text { 1921. } \end{aligned}$ | From Jan. 1 to Oct. 10, 1920. | During 10 days ending Sept. 20, 1921. | $\left.\begin{array}{\|c} \text { During } \\ \text { 10 days } \\ \text { ending } \\ \text { Sept. } 0, \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { During } \\ \text { month of } \\ \text { Sept., } \\ \text { 1921. } \end{gathered}$ | During 10 days ending Oct. 10, 1921. | $\underset{\text { From }}{\text { Jan. } 1 \text { to }}$ Oct. 10 1921. | $\begin{gathered} \text { From } \\ \text { Jan. I to } \\ \text { Oct. } 10, \\ 1920 . \end{gathered}$ |
| Belgium. |  |  |  |  | \$16. | \$8,161 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bulgaria. |  |  |  |  | 16, 825 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denmark | \$34, 230 | \$18,563 | \$53, 278 | \$1,244 | 153, 372 | 106,640 |  |  |  |  |  | \$32,920 |
| Germany | 63,683 | 16,431 | 843, 211 | 44, 199 | 5, 080,222 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12, |
| Greece. | 11,496 |  | 11,496 |  | 225,234 22,847 | 2t,026 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Netherla |  | 1,669 | 1,669 |  | 2,474 | 54,861 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Norway. |  |  |  |  | 4,111 | 14,453 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Polandand Danaig |  |  |  |  | 1,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\underset{\text { Portugal............ }}{\text { Spain }}$ |  |  |  | 781 | 21,163 16,328 | 7,978 67,848 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sweden. |  |  |  | 1,324 | 6,604 | 31,395 |  |  |  |  |  | 54,960 |
| Turkey in Europe |  |  |  |  | 10,157 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England....... | 118,683 |  | 118,730 | 25 | 1,261,991 | 802,158 | \$858,180 | \$670, 891 | \$2,073,618 | \$107, 923 | \$9,727,725 | 4,591,383 |
| TotalEurope. | 228,092 | 30,663 | 1,028,414 | 47,573 | 6,823,254 | 1,117,520 | 858,180 | 670,891 | 2,073,618 | 107, 923 | 9,727,725 | 4,679,263 |
| Bermuda.......... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 400 |  |
| British Honduras. | 57,730 | 6,100 20,983 | 6,100 196,738 | 172,375 | [rer $\begin{array}{r}6,100 \\ 3,149,012\end{array}$ | 63,367 $3,212,420$ | 21, 184 | 160, 166 | 266, 983 | 829, 199 | 2,725,799 | 6,358.050 |
| Costa Rica |  | 2,96 | 6, 819 | 1,935 | 3, 4 53, 394 | 3, 60,253 | 21,184 | 16, 16 | 260,983 | 29, 199 | 2, 25,199 | 6,358.050 |
| Guatemala |  |  |  |  | 520 | 21,534 | 1,000 |  | 1,000 |  | 3,500 | 4,500 |
| Honduras |  | 3,470 | 3,470 20,244 |  | ${ }_{200}^{831,293}$ | 2,045,492 |  |  |  | 3,500 | 5,300 | 391,505 |
| Panama.. | 17,320 | 5,923 62 | 20,244 | 5,701 201,167 | 200,218 587,121 | 614,372 139,685 |  | 43 | 43 |  | 226,043 | 3,000 542,000 |
| Salvador. |  |  | 19,965 |  | 40,610 | 3,685,083 |  |  |  |  | 50,000 |  |
| Mexico.. | 1,142,498 | 759,903 | 2,696, 850 | 1,897,922 | 28,541,482 | 45, 936,854 | 59,013 | 123,773 | 235,987 | 28,880 | 1, 818,554 | 2,858,181 |
| Cuba. |  | 6,881 | 6,918 |  | ${ }^{77,653}$ | 66,515 |  | 2,200 | 9,400 |  | 319,025 100,553 | $1,247,904$ 18,080 |
| Virgin Islands of |  |  |  |  | 1,868 | 7,017 |  |  |  | , | 100,553 | 18,080 $\mathbf{5 , 0 0 0}$ |
| DominicanRepublic. |  |  | 40,900 |  | 210,900 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 261,500 |
| Dutch West Indies | 50 |  | 150 |  | 1,700 | 1,867 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| French West Indies |  |  |  |  |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Haiti. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9,000 |
| Total North America... | 1,215,105 | 844,378 | 2,998, 340 | 2,279, 160 | 33,701,901 | 55,978, 279 | 81,197 | 286, 182 | 514,013 | 863,580 | 5,249,174 | 11,718,720 |
| Argentina |  |  | 3,113 |  | 18,592 | 28,063 |  |  |  |  | 900 | 12,138 |
| Bolivia |  | 6,094 | 16,052 1,116 |  | 275,188 41,876 | 1,006,594 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chile. | 4,614 |  | 114,021 |  | 1,331,466 | 2,774,074 |  |  |  |  |  | 2,383 |
| Colombia |  | 2,023 | 14,230 | 7,482 | 138,287 | 644, 601 |  |  |  |  | 239,500 |  |
| Ecuador...... | 3,364 |  | 3,364 | 5,832 | 33, 596 | 62,812 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| British Guiana |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{43}$ | 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peru. | 176,158 | 87,340 | 373,759 | 191, 134 | 4,077,680 | 9,807,870 |  |  |  |  |  | 1,402 |
| Uruguay |  | 145 | 224 |  | 3,077 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Venezuela |  | 22 | 30 | 29 | 2,615 | 144 |  |  |  |  |  | 10,000 |
| Total South America. . | 184, 136 | 95,624 | 525,909 | 204, 483 | 5,922,567 | 14,330, 883 |  |  |  |  | 240,400 | 25,873 |

SILVER IMPORTS INTO AND EXPORTS FROM THE UNITED STATES, DISTRIBUTED BY COUNTRIES-Continued.

| Country. | Imports. |  |  |  |  |  | Exports. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | During <br> 10 days ending Sept. 20, 1921. | During 10 days ending Sept. 30, 1921. | During month of Sept., 1921. | During 10 days ending Oct. 10, 1921. | From Jan. 1 to Oct. 10, 1921. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { From } \\ & \text { Jan. } 1 \text { to } \\ & \text { Oct. } 10, \\ & 1920 . \end{aligned}$ | During 10 days ending Sept. 20, 1921. | $\begin{gathered} \text { During } \\ \text { lo days } \\ \text { ending } \\ \text { Sept.30, } \\ 1921 . \end{gathered}$ | During month of Sept., 1921. | During 10 days ending Oct. 10, 1921. | From <br> Jan. 1 to Oct. 10, 1921. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { From } \\ & \text { Jan. } 1 \text { to } \\ & \text { Oct. } 10, \\ & 1920 . \end{aligned}$ |
| China. | \$116 | \$51 | \$334 |  | \$7,775 | \$1,289, 974 | \$421, 203 |  | \$1,052, 461 | \$864, 162 | 87,816, 930 | \$57,685,987 |
| Chosen. ${ }^{\text {British }}$ India | 302 |  | 302 | \$150 | 11, 305 |  |  |  | 1,025, 464 | 277, 000 | 3,150,517 | 223,211 |
| Dutch East Indies. |  |  | 7,698 |  | 383,019 | 2,327, 337 |  |  | 1,025, 0 | 27,00 | 3,150,017 | 223,211 |
| French East Indies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 528,000 | 4, 058, 373 |
| Hongkong. |  |  |  |  | 396 | 1,650 |  |  | 64, 025 | 139, 895 | 7, 728, 499 | 20, 126, 082 |
| Japan... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 217, 170 | 33, 668 | 2,689,304 | 1,602,512 |
| Russia in Asia. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | , 970 |
| Turkey in Asia |  |  |  |  | 960 | 38,511 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Asia... | 418 | 51 | 8,334 | 150 | 403,595 | 3,657, 472 | 421, 203 |  | 2,359, 120 | 1,314,725 | 21,913, 250 | 83,697,135 |
| Australia |  |  |  | 2 | 3,130 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Zealand...... |  | 77 | 77 |  | 1,182 | 11, 714 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Philippine Islands. | 1,672 | 873 | 3,095 |  | 16, 670 | 15, 852 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Abyssinia ......... |  |  |  |  | 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| British South Africa. $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  | 3,760 | 6,097 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| British West <br> Africa............ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7,480 |
| Portuguese Africa. |  |  | 1,190 |  | 37, 128 | 93,321 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total, all countries. | 1,629, 423 | 977,666 | 4,565, 359 | 2,531,368 | $146,913,218$ | 75, 211, 138 | 1,360,580 | \$957,073 | 4,946, 751 | 2,286,228 | 237,130,549 | 100, 128, 471 |
| Excess of imports or exports | 268,843 | 20,593 |  | 245, 140 | 9,782,669 |  |  |  | 381,392 |  |  | 24,917,333 |

1 Includes: Ore and base bullion, $\$ 32,520,000$; other refined bullion, $\$ 5,892,000$; United States coin, $\$ 2,784,000 ;$ foreign coin, $\$ 5,717,000$. 2 Includes: Domestic exports-ore and base bullion, $\$ 15,000$; United States mint or assay office bars, $\$ 152,000$; other refined bullion, $\$ 17,985,000$; coin, $\$ 845,000$. Foreign exports-Ore and base bullion, $\$ 2,000$; bullion refined, $\$ 14,415,000 ;$ coin, $\$ 3,716,000$.

## MONEY HELD OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES TREASURY AND THE FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM, OCT. 1, 1921.

|  | General stock. | Held in the U.S. Treasury as assets of the Government. ${ }^{1}$ | Held by or for F. R. Banks and agents. ${ }^{2}$ | Held outside U. S. Treasury and <br> F. R. system. | Amount per capita outside U.S. Treasury and <br> F. R. system. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gold coin (including bullion in Treasury) Gold certificates. | \$3, 453, 008, 914 | \$379,497, 020 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,811,446,694 \\ 701,020,050 \end{array}$ | $\$ 375,060,631$ <br> 185,984, 519 |  |
| Standard silver doilars.............. | 318,508, 378 | 14,946, 704 | 3 39, 116, 994 | 180, $36,271,553$ |  |
| Silver certificates. |  |  | 35, 102, 274 | 191, 508, 389 |  |
| Subsidiary silver. | 272, 573, 273 | 10, 970, 304 |  | 261, $1,502,969$ |  |
| United States notes. | 346,681,016 | 5,067, 051 | ${ }^{4} 76,739,536$ | 264, 1774,429 |  |
| Federal Reserve notes. | 2, 824, 273, 760 | 3 3,349, 064 | 301, 961,328 | 2, 518,963,368 |  |
| Federal Reserve Bank note | 120, 989, 400 | 1, 825, 594 | 12,230, 052 | 2, 106, 933,754 |  |
| National bank notes........ | 743, 239, 114 | 14, 924, 277 | 8,067, 178 | 720, 247,659 |  |
| Total: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oct. 1, 1921. | 8,079, 273, 855 | 430,580, 014 | 2,985, 684,106 | 4,663, 009, 735 | 42.98 |
| Sept. 1, 1921 | 8,010, 7 ,982, 397240 | 431,623,906 | 2,907, ${ }^{2} 8888,113$ | 4,672,030,221 | 43.11 |
| July 1, 1921 | 8, $024,422,943$ | 460,595, 721 | 2, 697, 553,897 | 4, $866,273,325$ |  |
| June 1, 1921 | 8, 073, 737, 233 | 499, 236, 987 | 2,562, 692, 917 | 5,011, 807, 329 | 46.43 |
| May 1, 1921 | 8,040, 936,478 | 508,349, 193 | 2, 512, 465, 834 | 5, 020, 121, 451 | 46.57 |
| Apr. 1, 1921 | 8,082, 773, 866 | 496, 945, 969 | 2, 534, 743, 843 | 5, 051, 084, 054 | 46.91 |
| Mab. $1,1921$. | 8, $8171,237,897$ | 493,976, ${ }^{4920}$ |  | 5, 233, 105, 666 | ${ }_{48}^{48} \mathbf{4 1}$ |
| Jan. 1, 1921 | 8,372,970,904 | 494, 296, 257 | 2, 377, 972, 494 | 5,500, 702, 153 | 51, 519 |
| July 1, 1920 | 7,887,181, 586 | 485, 057, 472 | 2,021, 271, 614 | $5,380,852,500$ | 50.19 |
| Jan. 1, 1920. | 7,961, 320,139 | 604, 888, 833 | 2, $044,422,303$ | 5, 312, 009,003 | 49.81 |
| July 1, 1919. | 7,588, 473, 771 | 578, 4548,043 | $\stackrel{\text { 2, 167, }}{2,280,313}$ | 4, 842, <br> $\mathbf{5 , 1 0 5}, 1359,415$ | 45.00 47.83 |
| July 1, 1918 | 6,742, 225,784 | 356, 124, 750 | 2,018, 361, 825 | 4, $467,739,209$ | ${ }_{41.31}^{47}$ |
| Jan. 1, 1918 | 6,256, 198,271 | 277, 043, 358 | 1,723, 570,291 | 4, 255, 584, 622 | 40.53 |
| July 1, 1917. | 5, 480, 009, 884 | 253, 671, 614 | 1,280, 880, 714 | 3,945,457, 556 | 37.88 |

[^8]FEDERAL RESERVE BANK DISCOUNT RATES.
RATES ON PAPER DISCOUNTED FOR MEMBER BANKS IN EFFECT OCTOBER 31, 1921.

| Federal Reserve Bank. | Paper maturing within 90 days. |  |  |  | Bankers' acceptances maturing within 3 months. | Agricultural and live-stock paper maturing after 90 days but within 6 months. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Secured by- |  | Trade acceptances. | Commercial, agricultural, and live-stock paper, n.e.s. |  |  |
|  | Treasury notes and certificates of indebtedness. | Liberty bonds and Victory notes. |  |  |  |  |
| Boston. | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |  | 5 |
| New York | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Philadelphia | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Cleveland... | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| Richmond. | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Atlanta. | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Chicago. | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| St. Louis. | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6 |
| Minneapolis. | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Kansas City . | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | $(i$ |
| Dallas.. | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | $(i$ |
| San Francisco. | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ |

## DISCOUNT AND INTEREST RATES

In the following table are presented actual discount and interest rates prevailing during the 30 -day period ending October 15, 1921, in the various cities in which the several Federal Reserve Banks and their branches are located. A complete description of the several types of paper for which quotations are given will be found in the September, 1918, and October, 1918 , Federal
Reserve Bulletins. Quotations for new types of paper will be added from time to time as deemed of interest.

Rates for all classes of paper, on the whole, tended to decline from the levels prevailing in the period ending september 15, 1921. These declines have been most general in the case of prime commercial paper purchased in the open market and bankers' acceptances, both indorsed and unindorsed. Presen rates for all classes of paper, particularly prime commercial paper, bankers acceptances, and demand collateral loans are lower in most reporting center than those prevailing in the same period of 1920.
discount and interest rates prevailing in various centers during 30-day period ending oct. 15, 1921.

| District. | City, | Prime commercial paper. |  |  |  | InterbankIoans. | Bankers' acceptances,60 to 90 days. |  | Collateral loans-stock exchange. |  |  | Cattle loans. | Secured by warehouse receipts. | Ordinaryloans tocustomerssecured byLibertybonds andcertificatesof indebt-edness. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Customers. |  | Open market. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 30 to 60 days. | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \text { to } 6 \\ & \text { months. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30 \text { to } 90 \\ \text { days. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \text { to } 6 \\ \text { months. } \end{gathered}$ |  | Indorsed. | Unindorsed. | Demand. | 3 months. | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \text { to } 6 \\ & \text { months. } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| No. | Boston... | $\begin{aligned} & H . L . C . \\ & 7 \\ & 7 \\ & 8 \\ & 8 \\ & 7 \\ & 5 \end{aligned} \frac{61}{6}$ |  |  | H. L. C. $6 \frac{1}{2} 5 \frac{3}{2} 6$ $6 \frac{1}{2} 5 \frac{3}{4} 6$ | $\begin{aligned} & H . L . C . \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | H. L. C. <br> 5 41 $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | H. L. C. <br> $54 \frac{1}{2} 4 \frac{1}{2}$ <br> $64^{2} 4^{\frac{3}{3}}-6$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { H. } L . C . \\ & \underline{5} 6 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & H . L . C \\ & 6 \frac{1}{2} \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | H. L.C. | H. L. C. | H. L. C. <br> $6 \frac{1}{2} 664$ <br> $6 \quad 526$ |
|  | New Yor Buffalo. |  |  |  | 8 $5 \frac{51}{2}$ <br> 8 6 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 7 \\ & 6 \\ & 6 \end{aligned} 6$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. 3...... } \\ & \text { No. } 4 . . . . \end{aligned}$ | Philadelphia | ${ }^{6} 66$ |  0 7 <br>  6  | 615 |  | 6in 50.6 |  | $\begin{array}{lll}8 & 0 \\ 6 & 6 & 6 \\ 7 & \end{array}$ |  |  | \|lll| | $\begin{array}{ll}7 & 6 \\ 6 & 6 \\ 6\end{array}$ | ${ }_{6} \times \ldots$ | ${ }^{6} 6$ |  |
|  | Cleveland. | 7 6 <br> 6 6 | 7 6 <br> 6 7 |  |  | $\begin{array}{lll}7 & 6 \\ 6\end{array}$ | ${ }^{7}{ }^{\frac{1}{2}} 6 \frac{1}{7} 7$ | 71 $6 \frac{1}{4} 7$ | 7 6 <br> 6 6 | $\begin{array}{llll}7 & 6 & 7 \\ 6 & 6 & 7\end{array}$ | 7 6 <br> 6 6 |  | 7 7 | 7 6  <br> 6 6  <br> 6 6 6 |
| No. 5.... | Cincinnati | $\begin{array}{llll}6 & 6 & 6 \\ 7 & 6 & 6-6 \frac{1}{2}\end{array}$ | 6 6 6 <br> 7 6 61 <br>    |  | $\begin{array}{ccc}6 & 6 & 6 \\ 7 & 6 & 6 \frac{1}{2}\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}6 & 6 & 6 \\ 7 & 6 & 6\end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{lll}6 & 6 & 6 \\ 7 & 6 \frac{1}{2} & 61-7\end{array}$ | 6 6 6 <br> 7 61  | 6 <br> 7 <br> 7 | $76 \frac{1}{7}$ | $\begin{array}{llll} \\ 7 & 6 & 6 \\ 6\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}6 & 6 & 6 \\ 7 & 6 \frac{1}{2} & 6 \frac{1}{2}\end{array}$ |
|  | Richmond | 666 | $\begin{array}{lll}6 & 6 & 6\end{array}$ |  |  | ${ }^{6} 66$ |  |  | ${ }^{6} 6{ }^{6} 6$ | $\begin{array}{lll}6 & 6 & 6\end{array}$ | ${ }_{6} 66$ |  | 666 | $\begin{array}{llll}6 & 6 & 6\end{array}$ |
|  | Baltimore | 6   <br> 8 6 6 | $\begin{array}{llll}6 & 6 \\ 8 & 6 & 6 \\ \\ 7\end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{ccc}6 \\ 8 & 6 & 6 \\ 7\end{array}$ | ${ }^{6} 106$ |  | 668 | ${ }^{6} 666$ | ${ }^{6} 666$ |  | ${ }_{8}^{6} 66$ | ${ }_{6}^{6} 66$ |
| No.6.... | Atlanta. | 8   <br> 7   <br> 7 6 7 | 8   <br> 7   <br> 7 6 7 | 6 |  | $\begin{array}{lll}8 & 7 & 7 \\ 7^{1} & 6 & 61\end{array}$ | ${ }_{6}^{8} 787$ | ${ }^{7}{ }_{8} 6 \frac{61}{2} 7^{\frac{1}{4}}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}8 \\ 7 & 7 \\ 8 & 7 \\ 8\end{array}$ | 8 7 <br> 7 7 | $\begin{array}{lll}8 & 7 \\ 8 & 6 \\ 6\end{array}$ |  | 8 7 7 <br> 7   | 87 |
|  | Jacksonvill | $\begin{array}{ll}8 & 6 \\ 8 & 6 \\ 8 & 7 \\ 8 & \\ 8\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}74 & 6 \\ 8 & 7 \\ 8 & 8\end{array}$ | 16 6 | $8{ }^{1}$ | $7^{7 \frac{1}{4}}{ }^{6}{ }^{6}{ }^{\frac{61}{4}}$ |  | 8 8 8 8 | ${ }^{7}{ }^{\frac{1}{3}} 6878$ |  | $\begin{array}{lll}8 \\ 8 & 6 & 7 \\ 8 & 7\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}8 & 8 \\ 8 & 8 \\ 8 & 8\end{array}$ | $7 \frac{3}{4}$ 8 8 8 | $\begin{array}{llll} \\ 7 & \\ 7 & 6 & 7 \\ 7 & 7 \\ 7\end{array}$ |
|  | New Orlea Nashville. | 8 6 $7-712$ <br> 8 6 7 | $\begin{array}{llll}8 & 6 & 7-8 \\ 8 & 6 & 7\end{array}$ |  |  | 8 $6 \frac{1}{2}$ <br> 8 7 |  |  | $8667-7 \frac{1}{2}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}8 & 6 & 7.71-9 \\ 8 & 6 & 7\end{array}$ | ${ }^{8} 86 \begin{array}{lll}6 \\ 8 & 6 & 7 \\ 7\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{llll}8 & 61 & 61 \\ 8 & 7 & 7 \\ 8 & 6\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}8 & 6 & 6 \frac{1}{2}-7 \\ 7 & 6 & 6\end{array}$ |
| No. 7.... | Nashville Chicago. | 8 6 7 <br> 7 6 61 | $\begin{array}{lll}8 & 6 & 7 \\ 7 & 6 & 61 \\ 7\end{array}$ | $6 \frac{1}{2} 6 \frac{1}{2}$ | $6 \frac{1}{2} 6 \quad 6 \frac{1}{2}$ |  |  |  | 7 6 61 <br> 7   |  | $\begin{array}{lll}8 & 6 & 7 \\ 7 & 6 \frac{7}{2} & 61 \\ 7 & \\ 7\end{array}$ | 7 | 8 6 7 <br> 7 $6 \frac{1}{2}$  | $\begin{array}{llll}7 & 6 & 6 \\ 7 & 6 & 61-7 \\ 7 & 6\end{array}$ |
|  | Detroit. St. Louis | $\begin{array}{lll}7 & 6 & 6 \\ 8 & 6 \\ 8 & 6 & 61\end{array}$ | 7 6 64 <br> 7 6 6 <br>    |  | 6. 6 |  6 62 <br> 7 6 61 <br> 8 6 6 <br> 7   |  |  | 7 6 62 <br> 7 6 62 <br> 6   | $\begin{array}{lll}7 & 6 & \\ 7 & 6 \\ 7 & 6 & 6\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll}7 & 6 \\ 7 & 6 \\ 7 & 6 \\ 6\end{array}$ | 87 | 7 $3^{2}$ 7 <br> 71 6 7 | 7 6 62 <br> 7 6 7 |
|  | St. Louis Louisville | 8 6 6 <br> 7 6  | $\begin{array}{ccc}7 & 6 & 63 \\ 7 & 6 & 6 \\ 6\end{array}$ |  |  | (1)8 6 <br> 7 63 <br>  6 |  | $\begin{array}{llll}6 & 6 & 6 \\ 6 & 6 & 6\end{array}$ | 7 6 61 <br> 6 6 6 |  | $\begin{array}{ccc}7 & 6 & 6 \frac{3}{4} \\ 6 & 6 & 6\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}8 & 7 \\ 6 & 6 & 7\end{array}$ | 71 <br> 6 <br> 6 <br> 6 | $\begin{array}{lll}7 & 6 & 7 \\ 6 & 6 & 6\end{array}$ |
|  | Memphis. | $\begin{array}{llll}8 & 6 & 6 \\ 8 & 7\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}8 & 6 & 8 \\ 8 & 8\end{array}$ |  |  | 867 |  |  | $\begin{array}{lll}8 & 6 & \\ 8 & 6 & 7\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}6 & 6 & \\ 8 & 6 & 7\end{array}$ | 6 8 8 68 |  | $\begin{array}{llll}6 \\ 8 & 6 \\ 8\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll} \\ 7 & 6 & 6 \\ & 6\end{array}$ |
|  | ${ }_{\text {Little Ro }}$ | 8 7 <br> 7 8 | ${ }_{7}^{8} 78$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{rl}71 \\ 7 \\ 7 & 7 \\ 7\end{array}$ |  |  | 8878 | 8878 | 878 | 87 | 888 | 878 |
| No. 9..... | Minneap | $\begin{array}{ccc}7 & 61 \\ 8 & 7 & 7\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}7 \frac{1}{2} & 7 \\ 8 & 8 \\ 8\end{array}$ | 6 8 8 88 8 |  | $\begin{array}{lll}7 \frac{1}{2} & 7 \\ 8 & 7 \\ 8 & 7\end{array}$ | $5{ }_{6} 4 \frac{7}{5} 5$ | $65^{\frac{1}{4}} 5$ | $\begin{array}{lll}8 & 7 & 7 \\ 8 & 8 & 8 \\ 8 & 8\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}8 & 7 & 7 \\ 8 & 7 \\ 8\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}8 & 7 & 7 \frac{1}{2} \\ 88 \\ 8 & 8 & 8\end{array}$ | ${ }^{7} 87$ | $7_{7} 7 \frac{1}{2} 7{ }^{7} 7$ | $6 \frac{1}{2}$   <br> 8 8 $6 \frac{1}{2}$ <br> 8   |
| No. 10.... | Kansas Cit |  |  |  |  | $767^{2}$ |  |  | 868 | 886 | $\begin{array}{ll}8 & \\ 8 & 6 \\ 0 & 7\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}8 & 7 & 7 \\ 8 & 7\end{array}$ | $867^{2}$ | $8{ }^{8} 661$ |
|  | Omaha. | $8{ }^{8} 67$ | 867 | $7{ }^{7} 5 \frac{53}{4} 6 \frac{1}{2}$ | $7^{4} 5 \frac{3}{4 \frac{1}{2}}$ | $8_{8}^{8 \frac{1}{2}} 6 \frac{61}{21} 7$ | $5_{5}^{5} 55^{\frac{7}{8}} 5$ |  | $8 \frac{81}{8 \frac{1}{2}} \frac{7}{7} \frac{1}{2}$ | ${ }^{9} 668$ | $\begin{array}{llll}9 & 6 & 7 \frac{1}{3}\end{array}$ | ${ }_{9} 788$ | ${ }^{9} 78$ | 866 |
|  | Denver.... | ${ }_{10}^{8} 866$ | 8 10 10 68 | 61 ${ }^{1} 5 \frac{1}{4} 6$ | $6{ }^{61} 51{ }^{1} 6$ | $\begin{array}{llll}8 & 6 & 7 \\ 8 & 6 & 7\end{array}$ |  |  | 8 10 10 8 | $\begin{array}{lll}8 & 6 & 7 \\ 10 & 6^{\frac{7}{2}} & 8\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ccc}8 & 6 & 7 \\ 10 & 63 \\ 8 & 8\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ccc}8 & 6 & 7-8 \\ 10 & 6 & 8\end{array}$ | 8   <br> 10 7 78 | $\begin{array}{ccc}8 & 6 & 7 \\ 10 & 6 & 8\end{array}$ |
| No. 11.... | Dallas..... |  | ${ }^{10} 8688$ |  | $6 \frac{1}{2}$ 8 8 8 | 8 6 7 <br> 7 6 64 | 666 | 66 | ${ }^{10} 8{ }^{6} 888$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{rrrr}10 & 6 \\ 8 & 8 \\ 8 & 8\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rl}10 & 7 \\ 8 & 7 \\ 78\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ccc}10 & 6 & 8 \\ 8 & 6 & 7 \frac{3}{4}\end{array}$ |
|  | El Paso. | 10 7 <br> 8 6 | 10 8 8 <br> $7{ }^{1}$ 81 8 <br> 1   | 1888 | $88^{2} 8$ | $\begin{array}{lll}8 & 7 & 7 \\ 7 & 6\end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{cc}10 & 5 \\ 8 & 5 \frac{7}{8} \\ 8 & 8 \\ 7\end{array}$ | 10 8  <br> 8 8 8 <br> 7   | $\begin{array}{ccc}10 & 8 \\ 10 & 8 \\ 8 & 6 & 7\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ccc}10 & 8 & 9 \\ 8 & 7 & 7\end{array}$ | 10 8 <br> 8 8 | $\begin{array}{ccc}10 & 6 & 8 \\ 8 & 6 & 7\end{array}$ |
| ivo. 12. | Houston. | $\begin{array}{ccc}8 & 6 & 7 \\ 7 & 6 & 6 \frac{1}{2}\end{array}$ |  | 91030 | $\cdots{ }_{6} 9$ | 7 <br> ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}{ }^{6}$ <br> 6 | $\begin{array}{lll}7 & 6 & 7 \\ 5 & 5 \\ 5 & 5 \frac{1}{2}\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{lll}8 & 6 & 7 \\ 7 & 6 & 6 \frac{1}{2} \\ 8 & \end{array}$ | 8 6 7 <br> 7 6 $6 \frac{1}{7}$ | 8 6 7 <br> 7 6 $6 \frac{1}{3}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}8 \\ 7 & 7 & 7 \frac{1}{2} \\ 7\end{array}$ | ${ }_{7}^{8}{ }_{6}{ }^{\frac{1}{2}}{ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 8 6 7 <br> 7 6 $6 \frac{1}{2}$ |
|  | Portland. | 867 | $8^{2} 667$ | $6{ }^{\frac{1}{2}} 5^{\frac{1}{2}} 5^{\frac{1}{2}} 55^{\frac{3}{3}}$ | $7{ }^{4} 58$ | $7^{2} 687$ | 洨5 5 | $4{ }^{\frac{3}{4}} \mathbf{4}_{8}^{5} 0^{2}$ | $84 \frac{1}{2} 7^{2}$ | $8 \quad 6 \frac{1}{2} 7^{2}$ | 8637 | $87^{2} 8$ | $86 \frac{1}{2} 7^{2}$ |    <br> 8 6 6 |
|  | Seattle. | $86 \frac{1}{7}$ | 8 6 ${ }^{\frac{1}{4} 7}$ | $7^{*} 6{ }^{6}$ | $6 \frac{1}{2} 5 \frac{1}{2} 6$ | $7{ }_{8}^{6 \frac{1}{2}} 7$ | $6 \frac{1}{5} 5$ | ${ }_{5} 5^{5} 5$ | $8{ }^{61} 7$ | 877 | $8{ }^{8} 67$ |  | 8778 | $\begin{array}{llll}8 & 7 & 71 \\ 8 & 7\end{array}$ |
|  | Spokane- | ${ }_{8}^{8} 7^{61} 7^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}8 & 7 & 7 \\ 8 & 7 & 7 \frac{1}{2}\end{array}$ |  |  | 877 |  |  | 877 | 88 | 87 | 7 | $\begin{array}{llll}8 & 7 & 7 \\ 8 & 8 & 8\end{array}$ | 87 |
|  | Los Angeles | $8{ }^{8} 5{ }^{\text {l }}$ | 867 |  | $7{ }^{7}{ }^{3} \frac{1}{2} 6$ | 8 - | 4 | $4{ }^{4}$ | 6 | 86 | 6 | 868 | 1067 | 86 |

EARNINGS AND DIVIDENDS OF STATE BANK AND TRUST COMPANY MEMBERS. ABSTRACT OF EARNINGS AND DIVIDENDS REPORTS OF STATE BANK AND TRUST COMPANY MEMBERS OF THE FEDERAL RESERYE SYSTEM FOR THE FIRST SIX MONTHS OF 1921, ARRANGED BY FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICTS.
(In thousands of dollars.)

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dis- } \\ \text { trict } \\ \text { No. } \\ \text { (41 } \\ \text { banks). } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dis- } \\ \text { trict } \\ \text { No.2 } \\ \text { (134 } \\ \text { banks). } \end{gathered}$ | District No. 3 (48 banks) | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Dis- } \\ \text { trict } \\ \text { No. } 4 \\ \text { (113 } \\ \text { banks). } \end{array}$ | District No. 5 (62 banks) | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Dis- } \\ \text { trict } \\ \text { No. } 6 \\ \text { (116 } \\ \text { banks) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dis- } \\ \text { trict } \\ \text { No. } 7 \\ (363 \\ \text { banks }) . \end{gathered}$ | District No. 8 (102 banks). | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dis- } \\ \text { trict } \\ \text { No. } 9 \\ \text { (133 } \\ \text { banks). } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dis- } \\ \text { trict } \\ \text { No. } 10 \\ \text { (60 } \\ \text { banks). } \end{gathered}$ | District No. 11 (204 banks) | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Dis- } \\ \text { trict } \\ \text { No. } 12 \\ (217 \\ \text { banks }) . \end{array}$ | Total United States (1,593 banks). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capital stock paid in Surplus. | $\begin{aligned} & 36,411 \\ & 38,951 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 187,255 \\ & 190,561 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25,821 \\ & 48,748 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 64,436 \\ & 79,357 \end{aligned}$ | 15,160 9,799 | $\begin{aligned} & 27,025 \\ & 17,272 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100,986 \\ 85,091 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30,203 \\ & 22,085 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10,747 \\ 3,994 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13,335 \\ 4,272 \end{array}$ | 16,400 6,598 | $\begin{aligned} & 57,626 \\ & 25,237 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 585,405 \\ & 531,965 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total capital and surplu | 75,362 | 1377, 816 | 74, 569 | 143, 793 | 24, 959 | 44, 297 | 186,077 | 52,288 | 14,741 | 17,607 | 22,998 | 82, 863 | 1,117, 370 |
| Gross earnings: Interest and discount. | 19,616 | 92,905 | 9,846 | 27,414 | 4,390 | 10,86 | 48,966 | 12,664 | 4,095 | 4,364 | 5,149 | 24, 234 | 264, 504 |
| Exchange and collection | 47 |  | 73 | $254$ | 51 | 462 | 574 | - 295 | 81 | 46 | 115 | $\begin{array}{r}370 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $2,890$ |
| Commissions. | 435 | 2,781 | 195 | 277 | 44 | 271 | 1, 270 | 273 | 64 | 40 | 32 | 260 | 5,942 |
| Other earning | 2,005 | 22, 492 | 2,662 | 5,594 | 456 | 830 | 5,696 | 1, 180 | 160 | 486 | 195 | 2,696 | 44,452 |
| Total gross earning | 22,103 | 118,700 | 12,776 | 33, 539 | 4,941 | 12,424 | 56,506 | 14, 412 | 4,400 | 4, 936 | 5,491 | 27,560 | 317, 788 |
| Expenses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest and discount on bor- | 3,509 | 18,3 | 2,03 |  | 818 | 2,143 | 9,387 | 2, 558 | 956 | 1,0 | 1,2 | 5,5 |  |
| rowed money | 953 | 7,200 | 870 | 2, 431 | 695 | 2,044 | 3,114 | 1,674 | 630 | 396 | 734 | 1,433 | 22,174 |
| Interest on deposit | 7,230 | 32,622 | 2, 817 | 11, 096 | 1,213 | 2,468 | 16, 863 | 3,035 | 1,398 | 1,287 | 744 | 9,947 | 90, 720 |
| Taxes. | 945 | 5,513 | 527 | 1,507 | 316 | 797 | 4, 522 | 784 | 267 | 217 | 314 | 1,275 | 16,984 |
| Other expenses. | 1,974 | 12, 167 | 1,263 | 4, 200 | 654 | 1,726 | 6, 433 | 1,993 | 559 | 702 | 813 | 3,260 | 35, 744 |
| Total expense | 14, 611 | 75, 809 | 7,510 | 24,880 | 3,696 | 9,178 | 40,319 | 10,044 | 3,810 | 3,610 | 3, 863 | 21,475 | 218, 805 |
| Net earnings since last report...... Recoveries on charged-off assets... | 7,492 74 | $\begin{array}{r} 42,891 \\ 1,418 \end{array}$ | 5,266 19 | 8,659 | 1,245 | $3, \frac{246}{353}$ | $16,187$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,368 \\ 340 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 590 \\ 64 \end{array}$ | 1,326 93 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,628 \\ & 125 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,085 \\ & 573 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 98,983 \\ 4,056 \end{array}$ |
| Total net earnings and recoveries. | 7,566 | 44, 309 | 5,285 | 8,770 | 1,473 | 3,599 | 16,845 | 4,708 | 654 | 1,419 | 1,753 | 6,658 | 103, 039 |
| Losses charged off: | 622 |  | 111 | 390 |  |  |  | 913 | 208 | 507 | 366 |  |  |
| On bonds, securities | 640 | 11,500 | 718 | 370 | 60 | 186 | $\stackrel{919}{ }$ | 593 | 21 | 39 | 57 | 948 | 16,051 |
| Other losses. | 170 | 1,045 | 261 | 254 | 21 | 96 | 296 | 119 | 30 | 30 | 75 | 342 | 2,739 |
| Total losses charged | 1,432 | 17,018 | 1, 090 | 1,014 | 355 | 989 | 3,555 | 1,625 | 259 | 576 | 498 | 3,218 | 31,629 |
| Net addition to profit | 6, 134 | 27, 291 | 4, 195 | 7,756 | 1,118 | 2,610 | 13,290 | 3,083 | 395 | 843 | 1,255 | 3,440 | 71,410 |
| Dividends declared. | 2,275 | 16,576 | 2,351 | 4, 407 | 663 | 1,433 | 6,098 | 1,805 | 325 | 763 | 481 | 2,820 | 39,997 |
| Ratio of dividends declared to capital stock (annual basis) per cent. | 12.5 | 17.7 | 18.2 | 13.7 | 8.7 | 10.6 | 12.0 | 12.1 | 6.0 | 11.4 | 5.9 | 9.8 | 13.7 |
| Ratio of dividends declared to capital and surplus (annual basis) per cent. | 6.0 | 8.8 | 6.3 | 6.1 | 5.3 | 6.5 | 6.6 | 6.9 | 4.4 | 8.7 | 4.2 | 6.8 | 7.2 |
| Ratio of net profits to capital and surplus (annual basis) per cent. | 16.3 | 14.4 | 11.3 | 10.8 | 9.0 | 11.8 | 14. 3 | 11.8 | 5.4 | 9.6 | 10.9 | 8.3 | 12.8 |

## FOREIGN EXCHANGE.

Developments in the foreign exchange situation during October include a stiffening of sterling and a further precipitate decline of the mark. The volume of trade with England, reflected in the weight for that country, shows a relative decrease, while the volume of trade with Germany was relatively larger. It will be recalled in this connection that the weights are based on the volume of trade during the preceding month, that is, in September.

In the calculation of the general index the moderate increase in the sterling rate, from 372.4 to 387.3 , is more than offset by the decrease in Great Britain's trade with this country. This decrease reduces the weight for that country from 226 in September to 208 in October, and, since sterling is one of the least depreciated currencies, a decrease in the weight assigned to it tends to depress the index. On
the other hand, the mark, which is by far the most depreciated of the currencies included, dropped further from 0.96 to 0.68 , and in addition the weight assigned to it rose from 100 to 125, both of these changes having the tendency to reduce the index. As a consequence of these developments and the comparatively minor fluctuations in other rates, the general index declined from 51 in September to 46 in October.

The rates used in the compilation of the table are noon buying rates for cable transfers in New York, as published daily by the Treasury in accordance with the act of May 27, 1921. It should be noted that the weights shown in the table have been reduced to a per thousand basis in order to facilitate comparisons from month to month of changes in the relative weights of the different currencies.

FOREIGN EXCHANGE RATES.
COUNTRIES INCLUDED IN COMPUTATION OF INDEX.
[Rates in cents per unit of foreign currency.]

|  | Monetary unit. | Par of exchange. | Low. |  | High. |  | A verage. |  | Per cent of par. |  | Weights. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | October. | September. | October. | September. | October. | September. | October. | September. | October. | September. |
| Belgium. | Franc | 19.30 | 6.9900 | 6.8400 | 7.2500 | 7.6400 | 7.1316 | 7.1700 | 36.95 | 37.15 | 42 | 30 |
| Denmark | Krone. | 26.80 | 17.9400 | 17.1500 | 19.3000 | 17.8800 | 18.9220 | 17.6700 | 70.60 | 65.93 | 7 | 9 |
| Great Britain | Pound. | 486.65 | 372.8800 | 369.9000 | 395.5700 | 374.6300 | 387.2892 | 372.4000 | 79.58 | 76.52 | 208 | 226 |
| France. | Franc. | 19.30 | 7.0700 | 6.9800 | 7.4000 | 7.8700 | 7.2524 | 7.2800 | 37.58 | 37.72 | 114 | 116 |
| Germany | Reichsmark | 23.82 | . 5420 | . 7989 | . 8278 | 1.1705 | . 6832 | . 9574 | 2.87 | 4.02 | 125 | 100 |
| Italy. | Lira. | 19.30 | 3.8600 | 3.9800 | 4. 1100 | 4.5000 | 3.9708 | 4. 2000 | 20.57 | 21.76 | 33 | 37 |
| Netherlands | Florin. | 40.20 | 31.9900 | 31.0000 | 34.7100 | 32.1900 | 33.4544 | 31.6600 | 83.22 | 78.76 | 42 | 47 |
| Norway | Krone. | 26.80 | 11.9300 | 12.2700 | 13. 5500 | 13.6200 | 12.6444 | 12.8600 | 47.18 | 47.99 | 7 | 5 |
| Spain... | Peseta | 19.30 | 13.0300 | 12.9600 | 13. 4500 | 13.1000 | 13.2520 | 13.0200 | 68. 66 | 67.46 | 15 | 10 |
| Sweden. | Krona. | 26. 80 | 22. 2900 | 21.3900 | 23. 4200 | 22.4400 | 22.9364 | 21. 7800 | 85.58 | 81.27 | 12 | 30 |
| Switzerland | Franc. | 19.30 | 17.3600 | 17.0300 | 19. 2600 | 17.3100 | 18.2332 | 17.2000 | 94.47 | 89.12 | 8 | 7 |
| Canada. | Dollar. | 100.00 | 90.8281 | 89.1250 | 92. 1094 | 90.9167 | 91.3906 | 89.8519 | 91.39 | 89.85 | 180 | 179 |
| Argentina | Peso (gold) | 96.48 | 71.3500 | 67.4800 | 74.4700 | 73.8300 | 73.0780 | 69.6300 | 75. 74 | 72.17 | 17 | 23 |
| Brazil. . | Milreis. . | 32.44 | 12.4900 | 11.9900 | 13.0000 | 12.8300 | 12.7080 | 12.3700 | 39.17 | 38.13 | 18 | 19 |
| Chile. | Peso (paper) | 19.53 | 11.0000 | 9.8750 | 12. 1250 | 11.6250 | 11.7344 | 10.7080 | 60.08 | 54.83 | 11 | 11 |
| China. | Shanghai tael | 66.85 | 75.6000 | 68.3700 | 80.3500 | 79.9000 | 78.4016 | 73.0000 | 117.28 | 109.20 | 44 | 44 |
| India. | Rupee | 48.66 | 26.9800 | 25.2100 | 28.1900 | 27.4800 | 27.4188 | 26.3900 | 56.35 | 54.23 | 26 | 26 |
| Japan. | Yen.. | 49.85 | 47.4100 | 47.8200 | 47.9100 | 48. 4600 | 47.6552 | 48.2000 | 95.60 | 96. 69 | 91 | 81 |

## FOREIGN EXCHANGE RATES-Continued.

OTHER COUNTRIES.

|  | Monetary unit. | Par of exchange. | Low. |  | High. |  | Average. |  | Per cent of par. ${ }^{\text {I }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | October. | September. | October. | September. | October. | September. | October. | September. |
| Austria. | Krone. | 20.26 | . 0481 | . 0582 | . 0659 | . 1250 | . 0561 | . 0936 | 0.28 | 0.46 |
| Bulgaria. | lev.. | 19.30 | . 6620 | . 6820 | . 7200 | . 8738 | . 6814 | . 7587 | 3. 53 | 3.93 |
| Czechoslovakia | Krone. | 20.26 | . 9372 | 1.0093 | 1.0903 | 1.2193 | 1.0493 | 1.1601 | 5.18 | 5.73 |
| Finland | Markka. | 19.30 | 1. 4186 | 1.1986 | 1,6029 | 1.5671 | 1.5278 | 1.4059 | 7.92 | 7.28 |
| Greece.. | Drachma | 19.30 | 4.1300 | 4. 7800 | 4.7700 | 5.6600 | 4.3792 | 5. 2100 | 22.69 | 27.15 |
| Hungary | Krone. | 20.26 | . 1272 | . 1375 | . 1561 | . 2606 | . 1432 | . 1944 | 0.71 | 0.96 |
| Poland. | Polish mark. | 23.52 | . 0156 | . 0150 | . 0256 | . 0383 | . 0212 | . 0256 | 0.09 | 0.11 |
| Portugal | Esciudo. | 108.05 | 8.8700 | 8.4000 | 9.9900 | 11.0800 | 9. 6228 | 9.4160 | 8.91 | 8.71 |
| Rumania | Leu. | 19.30 | . 6554 | . 8113 | . 9000 | 1. 1963 | . 7785 | . 9574 | 4.03 | 4.96 |
| Yugoslavia | Krone. | 20. 26 | . 3281 | . 3950 | . 4388 | . 5600 | . 3795 | . 1759 | 1. 87 | 2.35 |
| Yugosiavia | \{ Serbian | 19.30 | 1.3113 | 1. 6025 | 1. 7708 | 2.2500 | 1.5227 | 1. 9122 | 7.89 | 9.91 |
| Cuba.. | Peso. | 100.00 | 99.4592 | 99.0938 | 99.7292 | 99.5212 | 99.5258 | 99.3233 | 99.53 | 99.32 |
| Mexico. | Peso. | 49.85 | 48.2300 | 48. 0000 | 48.9344 | 49.2813 | 48.4113 | 48.5990 | 97.11 | 97.49 |
| Uruguay . | Peso. | 103. 42 | 65.5600 | 63.3200 | 67.7800 | 68.0600 | 66.4388 | 64.8900 | 64.24 | 62.74 |
| Hongkong | Dollar. | 47.77 | 54.9200 | 50.2000 | 57. 9600 | 56.0500 | 56. 4892 | 52.4400 | 118.25 | 109.78 |
| Java............ | Florin.......... | 40.20 | 31.4200 | 31.0000 | 33. 7800 | 31.7500 | 32.7988 | 31.3600 | 81.59 | 78.01 |
| Straits Settlements | Singapore dollar | 56.78 | 42.5000 | 42.0000 | 45.3300 | 42.8300 | 43.9204 | 42.4600 | 77.35 | 74.78 |

Based on average.
Average price of silver per fine ounce: in London (converted at average rate of exchange) \$0.72187: in New York $\$ 0.71373$.


## FINANCIAL STATISTICS FOR ENGLAND, FRANCE, ITALY, GERMANY, SWEDEN, AND JAPAN.

A summary of banking and financial conditions abroad is presented statistically in the accompanying tables. Similar material will be published regularly each month in the Bulletin.

BRITISH FINANCIAL SITUATION.
[Amounts in millions of pounds sterling.]

|  | Depositand note accounts, Bank of England and Treasury. |  |  |  | Government floating |  |  | Nine London clearing |  |  |  | Capital issues United Kingdom. ${ }^{4}$ | Discoun | t rates. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bank notes. ${ }^{1}$ | Curnotes and certificates standing. | Deposits, public other. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Coin } \\ \text { andbul- } \\ \text { lion. }{ }^{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Treas- } \\ & \text { ury- } \\ & \text { bills. } \end{aligned}$ | Temporary advances. | Total floating debt. | Money at call and short notice. | Dis- counts and advances | Investments. | $\underset{\text { posits. }}{\text { De- }}$ |  | Three months bank bills. | Six months trade bills. | index <br> ber of foreign change value of £. |
| 1913, average of end of month figures........... | 29 |  | 57 | 38 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per ct. } \\ & 4 \frac{2 x}{23} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 1920, end ofSeptember.... | 109 | 354 | 127 | 152 | 1,139 | 143 | 1,232 |  |  |  |  | 20 | $6 \frac{17}{18}$ | $7 \frac{1}{2}$ | 100.2 |
| 1921, end of- ${ }_{\text {January...... }}$ | 109 | 342 | 129 | 157 | 1,145 | 242 | 1,387 | 99 | 1,207 | 341 | 1,810 | 22 |  |  | 122.4 |
| February | 108 | 336 | 127 | 157 | 1,110 | 189 | 1,299 | 88 | 1,172 | 340 | 1,754 | 10 | 648 | 71 | 120.9 |
| March... | 110 | 344 | 138 | 157 | 1,121 | 155 | 1,275 | 83 | 1,145 | 336 | 1,715 | 26 | $6{ }^{1}$ | $7 \frac{1}{2}$ | 123.0 |
| April........... | 109 | 338 | 141 | 157 | 1,100 | 190 | 1,290 | 92 | 1,127 | 309 | 1,710 | 15 | $5 \frac{3}{4}$ | 7 | 120.0 |
| May........... | 108 | 333 | 128 | 157 | 1,152 | 163 | 1,315 | 96 | 1,144 | 307 | 1,729 | 17 | $5{ }_{5}^{51}$ | 7 | 119.1 |
| June. | 110 | 324 | 147 | 157 | 1,222 | 150 | 1,374 | 198 | 1,162 | 312 | 1,768 | ${ }_{10} 34$ | $\frac{5}{18}$ | ${ }_{5}^{63}$ | 117.8 |
| July ........... August...... | 109 107 | 325 319 |  |  |  | 150 180 |  | 104 |  | 312 302 |  |  |  | ${ }_{6}^{5 \frac{5}{3}}$ |  |
| August........ September... | 107 106 | 319 314 | 137 118 | 157 157 | 1,166 1,159 | 180 | 1,347 1,321 | 100 99 | 1,179 1,186 | 302 302 | 1,764 1,771 | 8 8 | 4 $4 \frac{3}{2}$ | ${ }_{5}^{6}$ | 113.0 114.6 |

${ }^{1}$ Less notes in currency notes account.
${ }^{3}$ Average weekly figures.
FRENCH FINANCIAL SITUATION.
[Amounts in millions of franes.]

${ }^{1}$ End of month figures.
${ }_{3}^{2}$ Includes Treasury and individual deposits. Under the laws of Aug. 5 and Dec. 26, 1914, July 10, 1915, and Feb. 16, 1917
4 From indirect taxation and Government monopolies.
${ }^{-}$Foreign debt converted to francs at par.
${ }_{6}$ Last Wednesday in the month.
7 Figures of the "Association Nationale des Porteurs Français de Valeurs Mobilières." Bonds issued by the Government and the railroad companies not included.
${ }^{8}$ Not including 1,978,000,000 francs held abroad from January through August and 1,948,000,000 francs from September through December.
9 Not including about $1,948,000,000$ francs held abroad.
10 Average for 11 months.
${ }^{11}$ Revised figure.

ITALIAN FINANCIAL SITUATION.
|In millions of lire.]

|  | Leading private banks. ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | Banks of issue. |  |  |  |  |  | Government finances. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cash. | ```Loans, dis- counts, and due from corre- spond- ents.``` | Deposits and due to corre-spondents. | Loans and discounts | Gold reserve | Total reserve. | Deposits and demand liabilities. | Com-mercial circulation. | Circulation for account of the state. | State currency notes. | Treasury metallic reserve. | Shortterm treasury bills. | Total public debt. | Principal revenues from taxation and monopolies during month. ${ }^{2}$ |
| 1913, end of December. 1920, end of | 129 | 2, 007 | 1,674 | 857 | 1,375 | 1,661 | 318 | 2,284 |  | 499 | 117 |  |  |  |
| June................ | 874 | 14,996 | 14,060 | 6,784 | 1,038 | 2,110 | 2,379 | 7,484 | 10,333 | 2,538 | 343 | 9,300 | 95,000 |  |
| 1021 July. ..... |  |  |  | 6,576 | 1,039 | 2,113 | 2,196 | 7,615 | 10,284 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1921, end of- | 1,193 | 17, 113 | 16,392 | 6, 931 | 1,058 | 2,046 | 2,635 | 8,673 | 10,591 |  |  |  |  | 822 |
| February | 1,016 | 16,842 | 15,961 | 7,158 | 1, 062 | 2,007 | 2, 351 | 8,619 | 10,308 |  |  |  |  | 1,210 |
| March. | 1,067 | 17, 096 | 16,425 | 7,144 | 1,062 | 2,043 | 2,461 | 9, 233 | 9,532 |  |  |  |  | 606 |
| April. | 1, 165 | 17, 162 | 16, 694 | 7,040 | 1,036 | 2,138 | 2,349 | 8,677 | 9,601 |  |  |  |  | 1,309 |
| May. | 1,021 | 17, 489 | 16,645 | 6, 951 | 1,070 | 2,165 | 2,198 | 8, 809 | 9, 219 | 2, 546 | 319 |  | 106, 721 | , 607 |
| June. | 1,078 | 18,047 | 17, 391 | 7,438 | 1,073 | 1,989 | 2, 356 | 9, 437 | 8,722 8,507 |  |  | 19,000 |  | 1,525 |
| July... |  |  |  | 7,156 | 1,076 | 1,990 | 2,290 | 9,433 | 8,507 |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Banca Commerciale Italiana, Banca Italiana di Sconto, Credito Italiano, Banco di Roma.
${ }^{2}$ Revennes from state railways; from post, telegraph, and telephones; from state domain; from import duties on grain; and from Government sales of sugar are not included.

SWEDISH FINANCIAL SITUATION.
[Values in millions of kronor.]


[^9]
## GERMAN FINANCIAL SITUATION.

[Amounts in millions of marks.]



#### Abstract

${ }^{1}$ End of month ${ }_{2}{ }^{2}$ Calculated by the Frankfurter Zeitung with prices of 25 stocks, 10 domestic and 5 foreign bonds at beginning of months (prices as of Jan. 1 , $1920=100$ ). Former calculations of securities prices published in the FEDERAL RESERVE BULLETIN were based on 25 stocks and 10 domestic bonds 1920 $=100$. Former calcuations of securities prices published in the Federal reserve Buleetin were based on 25 stocks and (iodomestic bonds only. The five additional foreign bonds are as follows: 4 per cent Austrian gold rentes; 5 per cent redeemable Mexican bonds (silver); 4 per cent Turkish (Bagdad) bonds, Series $I$; $4 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent redeemable Rumanian gold bonds of 1913; 4 per cent Hungarian gold rentes. rish (Bagdad) 3 As of Sept. 18, 1920 . 4 As of July 29, 1921 . 6 As of Sept. 9, 1921.


Japanese financial situation.
[Amounts in millions of yen.]

|  | Bank of Japan. |  |  |  | Tokyo banks. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Private and Government deposits. | Loans and discounts. | Note circulation. | Specie reserve. ${ }^{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Tokyo } \\ \text { associated } \\ \text { banks, } \\ \text { total } \\ \text { loans. } \end{gathered}$ | Tokyo clearings (total within month). | Average discount rate (Tokyo market). |
| 1920, end of-1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Per cent. |
|  | 1,165 1,120 | 381 273 | 1,349 1,202 | 979 1,011 | 2,036 2,029 | 2,524 2,190 | 10.99 10.95 |
| August. |  |  | 1,217 | 1,011 | 2,029 2,014 | 2,139 |  |
| September. |  |  | 1,070 |  | 2,076 | 2,032 |  |
| 1921, end of- |  |  |  | …….. | 2,076 | 2,032 |  |
| February. | 1,071 | 115 | 1,235 1,141 | 1,235 1,141 | 2,171 2,188 | 2,013 2,143 | 10.33 9.71 |
| March.... | 1,190 | $\begin{array}{r}103 \\ 88 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 1,178 | 1,178 | 2,188 2,219 | 2,143 2,502 | 9. 71 9.23 |
| April. | 1,098 | 79 | 1,058 | 1,058 | 1,848 | 2,442 | 9.16 |
| May... | 1,172 | 76 | 1,059 | 1,059 | 1,873 | 2,506 | 9.05 |
|  | 1,119 | 74 | 1,053 | 1,053 | 1,980 | 2,670 | 8.91 |
| August.... |  |  |  |  | 1,987 1,951 | 2,341 2,816 | 8.36 8.43 |
| September October. |  |  | 1,077 1,126 1,250 |  | 1,951 1,955 | $\stackrel{2,816}{2,627}$ | 8.43 |
| October... |  |  | 1,255 |  |  |  |  |
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The landlord has a superior lien on produce, fixtures, household furniture, and other personal property of the tenant. Furthermore, the latter, when furnished money or property, is not entitled to any exemption On property removed openly from the leased premises, the landlord in either case has a superior lien for 15 days after date of removal.
    When contract is made whereby the landlord receives part of the crops, he has the right to such a portion when planted, even though it is planted or raised by a different person. He may recover damages if a crop in a proper manner, and for the taking of or injury to the crop, and crop in a proper manner, and for the taking of or Thury to the crop, and a purchaser in good faith without notice of a crop for 20 days after removal a purchaser in good faith w

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ For exact terms of the agrement, see Federal Reserve Bulietin, June, 1921, p. 674.

[^2]:    1 British price, trade, and financial statistics may be found on pp. 1341, 1342, 1345 , 1374.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ French price, trade, and financial statistics may be found on pp. 1341, 1342, 1345, 1346,1374 .

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ End of month.
    End of minth. These figures represent only a relatively small proportion of the unemployed in France.
    End of December, 1920.
    4 Provisional.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ Official documents giving the amount of these loans are not available. Figures given here follow Dr. Köppe's studies in the Jahrbücher für Nationalökonomie und Statistik, 1916-1919.
    ${ }^{2}$ Treasury certificates floated in the last six loans bore interest at the rate of $4 \frac{3}{2}$ per cent.

[^6]:    ${ }_{1}$ A description of the method used in the construction of the Swedish Index number appeared in the BULLETiN for February, 1921, for the new italian index number in the April, 1921, issue of the BULEETN, and the method used by the Frankfurter Zeitung in the case of the

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ Incorporated banks other than mutual savings banks.

[^8]:    1 Includes reserve funds held against issues of United States notes and Treasury notes of 1890 and redemption funds held against issues of national-bank notes, Federal Reserve notes, and Federal Reserve Bank notes, but excludes gold and silver coin and bullion held in trust for the redemption of outstanding gold and silver certificates and Treasury notes of 1890 .
    ${ }^{2}$ Exclusive of amounts held with United States Treasurer in gold redemption fund against Federal Reserve notes.
    Includes subsidiary silver. 1890 .

[^9]:    1 Source: Kommersiella Meddelanden.

[^10]:    In case of Tokyo banks, and note circulation and specie reserve of Bank of Japan, last day of month
    ${ }^{2}$ It is generally understood that in recent years a certain portion of the reserve has been held abroad. Specie reserve figures do not include bank's own notes held in the bank.

