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## REVIEW OF THE MONTH.

Public finance during the month of August has continued to show the

Treasury finance. same tendencies which characterized the month of July. Total ordinary receipts for the period were $\$ 398,400,666$, while the total ordinary disbursements were $\$ 447,571,062$, the deficit on ordinary account being thus $\$ 49,170,396$. On August 9 the Secretary of the Treasury, under the authority of the act of September 24, 1917, offered for subscription an issue of $\$ 150,000,000$ of one-year Treasury certificates of indebtedness, bearing interest from August 16 at the rate of 6 per cent. The certificates were issued under the same conditions as in the case of previous recent issues and the offering resulted in an oversubscription of approximately $\$ 58,000,000$. The grand total of receipts for the month, both on ordinary and extraordinary account (public debt included) was about $\$ 619,600,425$ as against a grand total of disbursements of $\$ 567,015,712$, thus creating a surplus for the month on all accounts of \$52,584,713.
The influence of Treasury finance during the month of August upon banking and credit conditions has been comparatively limited, as is generally the case at the opening of the fiscal year. There are gratifying indications that recent certificate issues are being well absorbed by the investing public. While the matter is not capable of accurate statement, the reports of the 815 member banks reporting weekly to the Board supports the view that out of the estimated outstanding volume of tax and loan certificates of $\$ 2,570,000,000$ on August 31, by far the larger portion, possibly close to 75 per cent, has been distributed among the investing public, leaving only a relatively small proportion in the hands of the subscribing banks.

Conditions both in public and private finance are beginning to give unmis-
Domestic and foreign credit. takable evidence of a return toward more normal conditions.
Reviewing conditions since the armistice of November 12, 1918, it is found that as compared with a "peak" of $\$ 1,863,476,000$ on May 16, 1919, in the total volume of paper collateraled by war obligations and held by Federal Reserve Banks; and as compared with a peak of $\$ 1,438,204,000$ on June 20, 1919, representing paper of the same class under discount at member banks (excluding amounts rediscounted), the respective figures corresponding to these high points to-day are $\$ 1,314,830,000$ and $\$ 693,993,000$. The circulation of notes has, however, increased from $\$ 2,850,944,000$ on January 30 , 1920, to $\$ 3,120,138,000$ on July 30 . The reaction against further expansion of credit and the tendency toward the reduction of prices appears clearly to be promoting the process of liquidation and is resulting in a decrease of speculation, whether in securities, commodities, or land. These and other facts and indications warrant the belief that the process of financial recovery and improvement is well under way in the domestic field. Such difficulties of a financial character as may be expected in the near future are more likely to arise out of the foreign than out of the domestic situation and make a survey of the foreign field important, therefore, at this time.

An examination of the credit and banking situation in most of the European countries shows that though progress is being made in many directions it is slow, while in some of the countries there has been but little or no check to the development of inflation and consequently comparatively little improvement in the prospect for stable currency relations, either with the United States or
with other countries. The bearing of all this on our situation is recognized by many of the larger banks of the country which are directly concerned in foreign trade and finance.. As a result there has been careful study on the part of various leading banks of existing credit conditions abroad for the purpose of ascertaining to what extent we are likely to be able to adjust ourselves to the situation. In speaking of existing conditions the Shawmut National Bank of Boston remarks that "so important has this matter of foreign exchange rates become that upon them depends to a large extent the direction of trade and the course of prices * * *. An understanding of foreign exchange has become a necessity rather than a luxury." The Mechanics and Metals Bank of New York, in a publication entitled "America's Opportunity," notes that "it has been left with the foreign exchange market * * * , to weigh, one upon another, all the factors upon which the future of Europe so vitally depends." The situation is also generally recognized among exporters and financial concerns developing the export trade. In a bulletin to its clients, issued on August 25, the First Federal Foreign Banking Association calls attention to the fact that "the adoption of a well-thoughtout policy and definite plans regarding extension of credit in merchandising to foreign customers is * * * a matter of more than usual importance to American manufacturers and merchants."

The foreign exchange and currency situation in the different countries has

League of Na tions analysis.
been so clearly recognized as of fundamental significance at the present time as to have led to an extensive and comprehensive study conducted under the auspices of the International Secretariat of the League of Nations and issued within the past month under the title Currencies After the War. In reviewing the situation the report comes to the following conclusions:
"The main results of the war disclosed by the memoranda as a whole may be summarized as follows:
"(1) All currencies have depreciated in terms of commodities. Even those countries, such as Argentina, which have escaped the necessity of special expenditure for military purposes
have failed to escape from a rise in commodity prices. In fact, neutral countries have been more or less compelled to endure many of the financial and economic ills which have fallen upon the belligerents.
"(2) Most currencies have depreciated in terms of gold.
"(3) Gold itself has depreciated in terms of commodities.
"(4) There is a remarkable relation between the expansion of currencies and the rise in commodity prices. Whether this relation is causal or not is still a matter of dispute, though most leading authorities attribute the rise in prices to the use made by Governments of currency and bank credits for financing expenditure.
"(5) In certain cases, such as that of Germany, there is a great disparity between the internal and external commodity values of the currency.
"(6) Everywhere currency and exchange disorder is hampering trade and retarding reconstruction. In some countries it is a prime factor amongst those which are causing a breakdown of the economic and social system."
These views lead to an analysis of price, banking, and exchange conditions in the several countries, with a view to ascertainment of the position of the world at large and of the different nations as elements in the general world problem. In concluding the study the statement is made that-
"The effect of the instability of currency values upon internal trade and industry is serious enough, but the effect upon international trade is perhaps still greater and more harmful. International trade on the modern scale by means of barter would be an impossibility; it can only be conducted with the assistance not only of money but also of credit. But the use of credit involves time bargains in money, so that if on the balance of all sales and purchases a country grants credit to foreigners either the creditors or the debtors must run an exchange risk in respect of the indebtedness of which the date of settlement is postponed. Neither by the clearance of spot transactions nor by sales and purchases of forward exchange can bankers eliminate this risk."
Finally the report comes to the conclusion that-
"An immediate legislative reorganization of currencies might well prove premature. It still remains to determine what the fundamental basis of the new systems shall be. A
universal gold standard with gold currencies of the prewar British type is generally regarded as impracticable; the way for universal or all but universal adoption of some form of gold exchange standard has not yet been prepared by either economists or business men. Still less have they considered how under future conditions reasonable stability is to be given to the value of gold or of such other single or multiple standard as it may be decided to adopt. To the problem of currency, as has already been said, the question of credit is complementary. It is perhaps by international cooperation alone that we can now hope to stay the breakdown of currency and credit which over large areas is rapidly developing into a disaster of the first magnitude."

The views of observers in all countries, as reflected in the report of the League of Nations, based as it was upon a comprehensive questionnaire sent to a large number of experts, are thus found to be in general agreement upon the essentials of the international trade, currency, and foreign exchange problems. They are also in agreement to the effect that the establishment of a stable basis of international trade is probably fully as important as the establishment of a stable basis of domestic trade-indeed, that the latter can hardly be obtained without the former. The position of the United States, therefore, in its relation to other countries must be reckoned as a factor of great significance in the further development of our domestic business, to say nothing of the maintenance of our export trade and foreign commercial relationships. Further developments and changes have occurred since the compilation of the League of Nations report was prepared. The general elements of the problem, however, remain the same, and at least a beginning in the task of solving it has been made by obtaining a consensus of opinion with respect to the facts and difficulties under_ lying currency and exchange conditions at the present day.

In our foreign-trade situation the most noteworthy development of the Our foreign past few weeks has been the
trade. relative decline of our export balance and the relative increase of our imports from abroad. This is of twofold signifi-
cance. It shows, first of all, that some foreign countries are recovering their capacity to export goods, the proceeds becoming available for the settlement of their indebtedness. It also indicates the existence of actual progress toward the adjustment of our foreign-trade balance upon a more normal and stable footing. Figures issued by the Department of Commerce on September 3 for the trade of July, 1920, show total exports in that month amounting to $\$ 651,382,000$, as against imports of $\$ 537,-$ 170,000 -an excess export balance of $\$ 114,-$ 212,000 . The figures made public for the preceding month (June) had indicated an even more noteworthy progress toward the restoration of a normal balance between exportation and importation. While this development has thus become marked during the past few months, it was not until a considerable time after the conclusion of the armistice that such a change in the war-trade situation began to appear. The total balance of trade in our favor from November 1, 1918, up to July 31, 1920, may be stated in round numbers as $\$ 6,062,000,000$, being the difference between $\$ 13,910,000,000$ of exports and $\$ 7,848,000,000$ of imports. This may be taken as an average balance in our favor of $\$ 288,669,000$ per month over a period of 21 months. Our export balance for July, 1920, being, as already stated, approximately $\$ 114,000,000$, it thus appears that a striking reduction in the movement of exports as compared with imports into the country has been effected, the present balance being only 40 per cent of the average. The movement of our export and import figures may be seen at a glance by comparing the statements since the beginning of the year 1920 as follows:

| 1920. | Total exports. | Total imports | Excess of exports. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January . | \$722,063,790 | \$473, 823,869 | \$248, 239,921 |
| February | 645, 145,225 | 467,402,320 | 177,742,905 |
| March | 819,556,037 | 523,923, 236 | 295,632, 801 |
| April | 684,319,392 | 495,738,571 | 188,580,821 |
| May. | 745,868,402 | 431,004,944 | 314, 863,458 |
| June. | 631, 082,648 | 552,875,088 | 78,207,560 |
| July . | 651,381,827 | 537,170,351 | 114,211,476 |

There has also been a very decided change in the geographic distribution of the existing bal-
ance of trade, as may be seen by comparing the situation for 1919 with that of the first six months of 1920. The Federal Reserve Board in its annual report for 1919 furnished figures showing our trade balance with some of the principal foreign countries and with this there may now be compared the corresponding figures for the first six months of 1920.

United States trade balance.
[In millions of dollars.]


United States movement of gold, first six months of 1920.
[In millions of dollars.]
[Minus sign denotes excess of exports from the United States. Plus sign denotes excess of imports into the United States.]

|  | $\begin{gathered} 1920 \\ \begin{array}{c} \text { (Jan.- } \\ \text { June.) } \end{array} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1919 \\ \text { (Calen- } \\ \text { dar year. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1919 \\ (J a n .- \\ \text { June. } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| European countries: |  |  |  |
| European countries:United Kingdom and Canada.France................... | +85.0$+\quad .7$ | +40.7 | +31.3 |
|  |  | $-4.2$ | $-.2$ |
| Oriental countries: |  |  |  |
| Japan .......... | - 5.4 -8.8 | - 34.3 | - 17.8 |
| China.. | -16.3 | -39.1 | -. 8 |
| Hongkong.........................South American countries: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Argentinn.... | $\begin{array}{r} -90.0 \\ -\quad .1 \end{array}$ | South American countries:Argentina.................. |  |
|  | $\overline{-1}_{13.0}$ | -11.7 -5.9 | $\begin{array}{r} =6.9 \\ -2.1 \end{array}$ |

This alteration in trade has not, however, thus far reflected itself as

Exchange situation. might have been expected in the exchange situation of the leading countries. Examination of the course of the exchanges does not indicate that degree of stability or that promise of permanent adjustment which might be expected from the fact that the nation's trade is now moring as steadily as seems to be the case back toward a more normal relationship. Start-
ing with the "pegged" rate of approximately $\$ 4.76$, which existed until March 18, 1919, rates for sterling have passed through several periods of fluctuation. Immediately after the restoration of free trade in exchange there was a downward movement of strrling, which eventually carried the quotation of that currency to a low point of $\$ 3.65$ late in 1919. During the early months of 1920 there was another downward movement which carried the quotation at one time (in February) to about $\$ 3.18$, followed by a comparatively steady recovery during the late spring and summer of 1920. During June, 1920, the quotation was advanced to a point rather better than $\$ 4$, after which there was a gradual downward movement, accelerated during the latter part of July and carrying the quotation to about $\$ 3.58$ at the close of August. The movement for other European currencies have followed lines roughly parallel to that of sterling, francs and lire particularly being influenced in their movement by that of sterling exchange.

In exchange on oriental countries there has been since the armistice a movement of quotations differing widely from that of the European exchanges, largely because of the high price of silver bullion. The decline in the value of silver which has set in during recent months has tended to bring about a material reduction in the value of the Eastern currencies, irrespective of the circumstance that important changes in merchandise trade balances with the United States have occurred during the postwar period. Exchange relations between European countries have varied considerably according to the fiscal and banking conditions of the various nations, but during much of the time since the armistice the exchanges of most of these nations with England have been strongly in favor of the latter.

An interesting comparison designed to show the relative position of the London market at the present time as compared with other countries is furnished by Barclay's Bank in its monthly review for August:

| London quotations of exchange rates. |
| :--- |
|  |

As is well known, the aggregate amount ad-
Foreign loans. vanced by the United States Government to foreign countries, most of it during the war, and therefore prior to the armistice, was about $\$ 9,565,000,000$. During the year 1919 a British Government loan of $\$ 250,000,000$ was offered and placed on the market in the United States, and since then other foreign government loans aggregating abou, $\$ 263,000,000$ additional have been offered. It is understood, however, that of this aggregate of over $\$ 500,000,000$ of securities perhaps as much as $\$ 100,000,000$ were not placed. The principal debt development of importance now in prospect is that of meeting the Anglo-French bond maturities, amounting technically to $\$ 500,000,000$ and falling due on October 15. The technical amount of the maturities has been reduced by sums which have been generally unofficially stated as amounting to about $\$ 240,000,000$, such reduction having been effected by purchase of the bonds in the open market. Assuming this estimate to be correct, there would remain a sum of about $\$ 260,000$,000 still to be provided for in the near future. No other government loan liabilities are now in sight, since the arrangement whereby a suspension of interest payments upon bonds of foreign countries held by the United States Government has been agreed upon for a three-year period.

The following statement furnishes a consolidated compilation of the foreign loans of all kinds that have been placed in the United States during the period since the armistice.


According to the League of Nations publication already cited, the breakdown of currency and exchange operations has had an effect upon international trade which is "even greater and more harmful than its effect upon domestic trade." Whereas before the war the exchange risk involved in international indebtedness was considered a comparatively minor matter, "the position in this respect is now entirely changed." Accordingly, as the League's report points out, "Americans hesitate to run the risk of accepting promises in any currency but dollars and the debtors hesitate to undertake dollar liabilities." The same difficulty in international financing exists in the case of loans to which the United States is not a party, so that there is apparently a serious economic barrier to the early development of any sound system of international finance. In speaking of this situation as a factor in retarding reconstruction, the League of Nations document remarks:
"The mere fact that currencies have depreciated would not by itself be a sufficient cause for alarm. But since through the breakdown of currencies at this time the whole prewar economic system is threatened, the problem becomes at once most urgent and difficult.

The trouble is now twofold. In the first place, all currencies have lost their stability, and some are almost valueless. In the second place, the very countries which, like Austria, are short of essential commodities are unable to obtain credit. Instability of currency helps to deprive these countries of the possibility of obtaining credit, and lack of credit prevents the stabilization of the currency."
Reference has already been made to the International merchandise balance of the Intarnational
balate of United United States, and it has been States. noted that this balance is gradually returning toward normal. Existing conditions, however, grow out of the fact that a large part of the balance which has developed during past months has not been financed on a solid basis. The conditions which have existed during much of the time since the armistice are illustrated by the fact that so few foreign securities have been placed in this country during that period. Exactly how large the balance above referred to may be at the present time is a matter of estimate and to some extent of conjecture. But taking into account the merchandise balance in our favor since the armistice amounting to $\$ 6,062,000,000$ and offsetting it with the advances made by the United States, which since the armistice may be roughly stated at $\$ 2,500,000,000$, the small volume of foreign loans placed in the United States during a like period amounting to $\$ 660,000,000$, and estimates which may be approximately made of the amounts of American capital invested during the past few months in Germany and other countries, it still remains true that the international trade balance in favor of the United States for the financing of which no satisfactory provision has been made in the form of investment obligations is a very large one. Account must be taken of a net loss of $\$ 400,000,000$ of gold by the United States during the period and of payments received for the service of our merchant ships to foreigners, as well as of the by no means inconsiderable disbursements of the United States Government on account of its military and naval forces stationed abroad, besides amounts of goods of American origin held unsold abroad. While there can be no absolute certainty as to
the figure thus held in suspense, the balance computed from such data as are now avail-. able would seem to be at least $\$ 3,000,000,000$. From this, of course, must be deducted the amount of indebtedness to European and other countries which existed at about the time of the armistice and which was then being carried upon the books of bankers and business men.

In exactly what form current indebtedness is being maintained is of course uncertain. To some extent it consists of balances on the books of foreign banks which have been established there in favor of American creditors and which they have not withdrawn because of the unfavorable exchange conditions. To some extent it consists of merchandise balances, which always amount to a considerable sum but which at the present time are undoubtedly far greater than usual because of the longer extension of credit which has been granted by not a few export houses in order that they might relieve their foreign customers of pressure for immediate funds so far as practicable. A part of the indebtedness consists of short-term obligations of one sort or another which are steadily maturing and must be taken care of out of the proceeds of current exportations from European countries to the United States or of services. It is this great accumulation of balances which in large measure operates to keep the exchange market in an unstable and unsatisfactory condition. At any given moment a considerable volume of exchange may be suddenly thrown upon the market, with the result of depressing quotations and bringing about an undesirable and disturbing irregularity and uncertainty in the exchange market. The existence of this outstanding current debt, for which no satisfactory provision has been made, may be expected to continue to exert a depressing influence upon quotations and presents, therefore, a disturbing factor in postwar international finance.

While it has been hoped that during the current year there would be
Foreign cur- decided progress toward improvement in currency and credit conditions in foreign countries, it may
seriously be doubted whether much progress in that direction has actually been made. There had been a continued growth of currency, with resulting reaction on the exchanges, in nearly all of the European countries up to a date near the close of 1919, as seen in table A following, issued by the League of Nations.

In order to furnish data more nearly contemporary, the following table B has been prepared to afford a basis of comparison of note circulation in leading countries before the war and at present. As is evidenced, there is no direct comparison between the two tables.

Table A. - Currency expansion and rates of exchange.

|  | Expansion of currency of all kinds. |  | Rates of exchange on London; per cent of parity. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Com- } \\ \text { pared } \\ \text { with } \\ 1913 \\ (1913 \\ =100) . \end{gathered}$ | Propor- tionate figures (U. K. $=100)$. | At date shown in column 1. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Nov. } 7, \\ 1919 . \end{gathered}$ | At end of Au1920 . |
| United States (August, 1919). | 171 | 70 | 86.4 | 85.3 | 73 |
| Spain (September, 1919)..... | 185 | 76 | 87.0 | 84.1 | 94 |
| Japan (May, 1919)............ | 223 | 91 | 92.3 | 84.5 | 73 |
| Switzerland(September, 1919) | 230 | 94 | 93.0 | 91.5 | 86 |
| Denmark (August, 1919)..... | 240 | 98 | 106.7 | 107.5 | 136 |
| United Kingdom............) | 244 | 100 |  |  |  |
| Netherlands(September,1919) | 270 | 111 | 92.2 | 90.8 | 92 |
| Sweden (September, 1919)... | 275 | 113 | 94.1 | 97.2 | 97 |
| Norway (August, 1919)....... | 305 | 125 | 100.9 | 100.9 | 137 |
| France (September, 1919).... | 375 | 153 | 137.6 | 148.0 | 204 |
| Italy (May, 1919)............ | 435 | 178 | 150.4 | 183.5 | 303 |
| Germany (October, 1919).... | 875 | 359 | 435.1 | 707.3 | 857 |

Table B.-Increase in note circulation. [In millions of currency.]

|  | Country. | Date. | Amount. | Per cent. | Date. | Amount. | Compared with 1913per cent. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States ${ }^{1}$ |  | Jan. 1,1914 | \$1,069.1 | 100 | Aug. 1,1920 | \$4,361.1 | 407.9 |
| United Kingdom |  | Dec. 30,1913 | £ 46.7 | 100 | Aug. 11,1920 | £ 464.1 | 993.8 |
| France........... |  | Dec. 26, 1913 | Fr. 5,713.6 | 100 | Aug. 19,1920 | Fr. 37, 899.8 | 663.3 |
| Germany ${ }^{2}$. |  | Dec. 31, 1913 | M. 2,593.4 | 100 | July 31,1920 | M. $69,096.5$ | 2,664.3 |
| Italy ${ }^{3}$ |  | .do....... | L. 1,764.4 | 100 | June 30, 1920 | L. 13, 827.6 | 783.7 |
| Do ${ }^{4}$. |  | .do....... | L. 2,782.6 | 100 | Sept. 30, 1919 | L. 16,356.2 | 587.8 |
| Belgiam |  | do. | Fr. $1,049.8$ | 100 | Aug. 5,1920 | Fr. 5,464.6 | 520.5 |
| Spain. |  | do | Pes.1,931.3 | 100 | Aug. 14, 1920 | Pes. 3,982.1 | 206.2 |
| Japan. |  | do........ | Yen 426.4 | 100 | July 31,1920 | Yen 1, 202.4 | 282.0 |
| Sweden. |  | do | Kr. 234.5 | 100 | - ...do...... | Kr. 724.8 | 309.1 |
| Netherlands |  | do | Fl. 333.8 | 100 | July 26, 1920 | F1. 1,017.2 | 304.7 |
| Denmark. |  |  | Kr. 151.6 | 100 | July 31, 1920 | Kr. $\quad 541.2$ | 357.0 |
| Norway |  | do | Kr. 106.8 | 100 | Aug. 16,1920 | Kr. ${ }^{457.3}$ | 428.2 |
| Switzerland |  |  | Fr. 313.8 | 100 | July 31,1920 | Fr. 951.1 | 303.1 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes amounts of United States notes, Treasury notes, and national-bank notes in circulation on Jan. 2, 1914, and amounts of Federal Reserve notes, Feveral Reserve Bank notes, United States notes, Treasury notes, and national-bank notes in circulation on Aug. 1, 1920, as shown by the Treasury circulation statements.
${ }_{3}^{2}$ Includine Reichsbank and war loan bank notes, but excluding Treasury notes and notes of other four banks of issue.
Figures for the Bank of Ttaly only.

It will be observed that credit expansion has continued in practically all countries throughout the year 1920 instead of there being, as it had been hoped at the opening of the year that there might be, a restoration of sounder conditions. As has recently been remarked by an American institution engaged in foreign trade financing, "there is a condition of disorganization of merchandise credit machinery" in many parts of the world, and "over the largest part of Europe banking machinery suffers from disorganization $* * *$ in the sense and to the extent that the responsibility of the bankers forbids them to carry on certain banking functions absolutely necessary to the well-oiled movement of merchandise financing on a normal basis." Unfortunately, such efforts at "deflation" as have been made do not seem to affect the growth of public loans and currency which have continued in many countries to expand, but they tend merely
to limit the commercial commitments the banks are willing to make.

With respect to the English banking situation it appears that during the first six months of 1920 deposits of the five largest joint stock banks show an increase of 2.3 per cent, as compared with an increase of 3 per cent during the last half of the year 1919 and 15.4 per cent during the first half. "Advances" (largely on war securities) made by this same group. of banks, however, show an increase of about 14 per cent since the first of the year, which compares with an increase of 34 per cent in the second half of the year 1919. It should be noted, however, that the increase in the item "Advances" has been partially offset by the decrease in the item "Other investments."

With respect to the English currency situation, it appears that so far from there having been a decrease in circulation, the volume of
currency (including both Bank of England and currency notes) has increased by 5.4 per cent from the opening of the current year up to July 28, as compared with an increase of 4.7 per cent during the second half of the year 1919.

For the United States the course of Federal Reserve notes and of reserve holdings at Federal Reserve Banks since the beginning of the year 1920 may be reviewed as follows:

| [In millions of dollars.] |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Federal Reserve notes in circulation. | Total reserves. |
| Jan. 30,1920. | 2,851 | 2,074 |
| Feb. 27, 1920. | 3,020 | 2,083 |
| Mar. 26,1920. | 3,048 | 2,057 |
| Apr. $30,1920$. | 3,075 | 2,071 |
| May 28,1920. | 3,107 | 2,092 |
| June 25,1920. | 3,117 | 2,109 |
| July 30,1920. | 3,120 | 2,129 |
| Aug. 27, 1920. | 3,204 | 2,128 |

These figures must, however, be considered in connection with data showing the member banking situation, and accordingly the following table has been prepared to indicate the general trend of the banking position during the past month:

| [In millions of dollars.] |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date. | Number of banks reporting. | Loans <br> (including redis- <br> counts) and investments (inchuding United States securities). | Rediscounts and bills payable with Federal Reserve Banks. | Net demand deposits. |
| Aug. 6, 1920. | 815 | 16,813 | 2,022 | 11,312 |
| Aug. 13, 1920. | 818 | 16,829 | 2,050 | 11,392 |
| Aug. $20,1920$. | 818 | 16,883 | 2,086 | 11,256 |
| Aug. 27, 1920. | 820 | 16,931 | 2,128 | 11,261 |

The test of the improvement of the banking position of the country generally is not to be sought in figures of total volume of credit but in the character of the obligations created and carried in the portfolios of both member banks and Federal Reserve Banks. In analyzing the figures consideration should be given to the fact that there have been material changes in the general character of banking demand. As noted a month ago, speculation in commodities has been largely checked in many parts of the country, while the check already administered to stock and securities speculation has necessarily taken a considerable load off the banking and credit organization of the country as compared with
1919. The general movement in the portfolios of the Federal Reserve Banks is toward the reduction of war paper and the increase of commercial paper. The latter movement is particularly marked at the moment because of the unquestioned obligation of the Federal Reserve System to meet the current seasonal requirements of productive industry ungrudgingly in the present critical period of business transition. Indiscriminate liquidation of credits has not been the object of the recent policy of the Federal Reserve System. The primary concern of the Federal Reserve Board now, as always, has been to make sure that the essential credit needs of American industry are being met. But, because of the extended condition of the banks of the country, the Federal Reserve System has sought to accomplish its object, so far as might be found practicable, by taking measures to promote the liquidation of speculative and inactive credits which were serving no necessary purpose, in the expectation that the funds thus liberated would be made available for the use of current industry and the credit needs of industry thus be provided for in a normal and healthy way, and without involving the danger of further and avoidable impairment of the reserve strength of the Federal Reserve System.
In harmony with this attitude, the Federal Reserve Board has, however, consistently adhered to the

## Essential loans.

 policy of leaving entirely in the hands of local banks the decision what loans are to be regarded as nonessential or "speculative." Governor Harding has outlined the attitude of the Board on this subject, stating that "it has never undertaken to classify any business or industry as essential or nonessential and does not intend to do so." Governor Harding has further called attention to the fact that "expansion of undue bank credit has been restrained," while he also noted that "the fact that the general volume of loans and discounts remains practically the same would seem to indicate that the solid and genuine business interests of the country have encountered no serious hardship." Continuing his discussion of the general credit situation, Governor Harding remarks:"The Federal Reserve Board has consistently from the beginning of the effort to curb the
tendency to headlong resort to credit operations simply urged the local banker to study his client thoroughly, and the Board has never undertaken to say what class of loans should be held to be necessary or otherwise. The Board is too far removed from the actual detailed situations involved to undertake to do this and has relied on the consistent information and judgment of the local banker to accomplish the Board's purpose. * * * The difficulty is no doubt that many critics of the Board think that the same rules of action employed during the stress of the war and the selection of preferred war business for credit assistance are now in operation. This is entirely untrue, and the reports coming daily to the Board from the Federal Reserve Banks and from many sources of commercial intelligence distinctly contradict it."

The extent to which the unsatisfactory, not

Prices and exchanges. to say disordered, state of credit and currency disclosed above in the review of the situation in Europe has affected the course of the foreign exchanges in the American market in recent months is not susceptible of accurate measurement, but their failure to show recovery in the face of reduction of trade balances against the leading European countries suggests the conclusion that currency depreciation and banking expansion is the most serious present cause of disturbance in the foreign exchanges. The fluctuations of exchange due directly to changes in currency conditions are of vital importance in competitive trade because of their influence on prices. Those countries whose currency is depreciated in terms of that of others presumably find it more costly to buy goods in countries where rates are high, while on the other hand the export trade with those countries whose exchange rates are low is subject to the difficulty of obtaining settlement from customers, while again competitive exporters in low-exchange countries are temporarily aided in selling their goods in neutral markets. This is a situation which the United States has been obliged continuously to meet for some time past. Inflation and uncertainty in the currency and banking systems of different countries and embargoes on the exportation of gold tend to aggravate such instability of exchange, while the recurring necessity of meeting maturities in international
indebtedness likewise tends to make conditions more difficult.

The current situation with respect to price developments is naturally
Prices at home and abroad. closely allied to the exchange situation, not only because it reflects in a complex way the results of inflation and the changes in the value of foreign currencies, but also changes in the volume of production. Exactly how far fluctuations in prices are due to changes in volume of production and how far to banking inflation is not capable of accurate determination. There can, however, be no difference of opinion as to the fact that changes in the levels of prices in different countries are influenced by all of these factors and that such changes when compared with changes in the price levels in other countries necessarily influence the flow of international trade profoundly. Without doubt the rapid and extensive fluctuations in the value of exchange which had been brought about by alterations in currency value, by Government fiscal operations, and by the "dumping" of large quantities of bills on the market because of the fear of their holders that loss might result from continuous holding of them, have profoundly disturbed prices from time to time and may be regarded as factors explanatory of the extremes to which price quotations have gone. Index numbers for some of the principal countries show that prices are much higher now than they were approximately a year ago. A review of such numbers for the past few months, however, shows that the tendency is distinctly downward both in England and in the United States. A similar movement is also to be noted in various continental countries, although obscured there to a greater extent through the existence of currency expansion.

Wholesale price indexes.

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { August, } \\ 1919 . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { April, } \\ 1920 . \end{gathered}$ | May, 1920. | June, 1920. | July, 1920. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sweden.. | 321 | 354 | 361 | 366 | 364 |
| Japan.. | 251 | 300 | 272 | 248 | 239 |
| Italy............... | 368 | 679 | 659 | 614 |  |
| India............... | 204 | 200 | 210 | 206 | 209 |
| France. | 347 | 584 | 550 | 493 | 492 |
| Canada............ | 223 | 261 | 263 | 258 | 256 |
| United Kingdom.. | 250 | 113 | 305 | 300 | ¢99 |
| Australia.......... | 182 | 217 | 225 | 233 |  |
| United States..... | 226 | 265 | 272 | 268 | 262 |

It must not be overlooked that in a long view of the problem the essen-

Production and foreign trade tial basis of both currency and exchange improvement is to be sought mainly in the state of industry and the recovery of productive capacity. Accordingly, chief attention has been centered for the past few months upon the activity of industry in the several countries, and as a result of such activity the recovery of their export capacity.

During the past few months the Board has published production data for the United States whose figures have shown a tendency toward increase not only in business activity but in physical output of goods in certain basic industries. The figures for the current month are presented in the following table:

|  | June, 1920. |  | July, 1920. |  | July, 1919. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total. | Relative. | Total. | Rela tive. | Total. | Relative. |
| Receipts of live stock at |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 western markets (in thousands of head) | 5,064 | 91 | 4,632 | 84 | 5,536 | 100 |
| Receipts of grain at 17 interior centers (in thousands of bushels). | 80,058 | 74 | 84,331 | 78 | 108,713 | 100 |
| Shipment of lumber reported by 3 associations (in millions of feet). | 685 | 75 | 661 | 73 | 108,713 909 | 100 |
| Bituminous coal production (in thousands of short tons) $\qquad$ | 44, 463 | 108 | 45, 527 | 110 | 41, 205 | 100 |
| Anthracite coal production (in thousands of short tons) | 7,754 | 99 | 7,785 | 100 | 7,803 | 100 |
| Crude petroleum production (in thousands of barrels). | 37, 295 | 110 | 38,419 | 113 | 33,894 | 100 |
| Pig iron production (in thousands of long tons).. | 3,044 | 125 | 3,067 | 126 | 2,429 | 100 |
| Steel ingot production (in thousands of long tons).. | 2,981 | 119 | 2,803 | 112 | 2,508 | 100 |
| Cotton consumption (in thousands of bales) | 556 | 109 | 525 | 103 | 510 | 100 |
| Wool consumption (in thousands of pounds).... | 40,680 | 74 | 32,372 | 59 | 54,973 | 100 |

While the data relating to production in foreign countries are scanty and inadequate at the present time, so that statistical comparisons, either with former years or with conditions in the United States, can not be drawn, there are data which throw a valuable light on the general economic situation as influenced by productive capacity. The first set of facts which may be considered to advantage relates to the export power of various nations. Facts as to Great Britain, France, and Italy are stated in the following table:

Exports of United Kingdom, France, and Italy during 1919 and to June, 1920.
[In millions of dollars at gold parity.]

|  | United Kingdom. ${ }^{1}$ | France. ${ }^{\text { }}$ | Italy. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1919. |  |  |  |
| January.. | 253 | 67 | 44 |
| February. | 253 | 68 | 51 |
| March.... | 302 | 93 | 60 |
| April.... | 350 | 82 | 59 |
| May...... | 369 | 98 | 57 |
| June. | 372 | 110 | 79 |
| July . | 375 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 72 |
| August. | 438 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 88 |
| September | - 400 | 134 | 110 |
| October.. | 480 | 139 | 124 |
| November | 523 | 134 | 113 |
| December | 570 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 147 |
| 1920. |  |  |  |
| January... | 639 | 139 | 83 |
| February.. | 528 | 255 | 103 |
| March.... | 636 | 259 | 115 |
| April. | 616 | 266 | 113 |
| May. | 679 | 233 |  |
| June.. | 664 | 349 | . $\cdot$...... |

1 Including reexports.
${ }_{2}$ Figures based on 1918 value units.
${ }^{3}$ A change in the value units employed in calculating the figures for July, A ugust, and December makes them incomparable. Frenc
exports for the year 1919 valued at 1918 rates amount

Proceeding on the assumption that exports represent a surplus in industrial output which can be spared by the population and shipped abroad, there is thus seen to be a distinct advance from a period shortly after the armistice up to the present time in each of the three countries which are taken as typical. Aside from the export figures, it is still difficult to get complete data relating to economic and productive conditions, but some important features of the situation in several of the European countries may be briefly reviewed.

In the following table is shown monthly production of coal, pig iron, steel ingots and castings, and ship tonnage under construction for the first six months of 1920 in the United Kingdom:

| Date. | Coal. | Pigiron. | Steel ingots and castings. | Ship tonnage under construction. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monthly average, 1913.. | Metric tons. $24,336,000$ | Metric tons. 869,000 | Metric tons. 649,000 | Gross tons. ${ }^{1} 2,003,000$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1920 \\ \text { January..... } \end{array}$ | $222,657,000$ |  | 766,000 |  |
| February. | 19, 435, 000 | 656,000 | 811,000 |  |
| March... | 19,505,000 | 710,000 | 854,000 | 3,394,000 |
| April. | 17,131,000 | 655, 000 | 779,000 |  |
| May. | ${ }^{2} 22,131,000$ | 738,000 | 848,000 |  |
| June. | $19,048,000$ $22,926,000$ | 726,000 750,000 | 745,000 800,000 | 3,578,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{1}$ Average of 4 quarterly estimates. Figures following are estimates taken at the end of each quarter. 25 weeks in the month. |  |  |  |  |

In countries such as France which sustained severe industrial devastation, evidence of recovery is to be sought in the work of economic restoration rather than in conventional figures of national production.
Among the encouraging factors which indicate economic recuperation in France, as set forth by M. Tardieu in a recent statement, the most striking are those in regard to the invaded districts. The committee of the devastated regions has recently announced that 74 per cent of the population driven out of these regions by the war has returned, that 98 per cent of the municipal governments destroyed have been reestablished, and that 83 per cent of the schools existing before the war have been reopened. Of the 574,744 houses in the region which were one-half or wholly destroyed, 13,100 have been rebuilt and 178,500 repaired, and of the arable ground torn up either by shell fire or by troops, 38 per cent has received its first plowing. The main lines of all the railroads in this district have been repaired and half the branch lines are now in working order. Of the 11,500 factories destroyed, 3,540 are working again and 3,812 are in process of rebuilding. There were 72 blast furnaces in operation in this district in 1914; in 192017 are in operation and 20 more almost ready to be lighted. Crops throughout France are reported to be much better in 1920 than in 1919. The following table shows the increase in the area sown to grain:
[In hectares.]

|  | 1919 | 1920 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wheat | 4,486,000 | 4,601,000 |
| Meslin. | 82,550 | 92, 780 |
| Rye. | 734,090 | 792, 760 |
| Barley | 102,590 | 140, 170 |
| Oats. | 668,440 | 741, 760 |

Flax acreage has trebled since 1919, and the prospects for the flax and hemp harvests are excellent. The industrial activity of the country is indicated by the many fairs and expositions which have been held to promote internal commerce. French coal production is, however, still below its prewar level, although since the prolonged strike in May it has begun to improve, as is shown by the following table:

French coal production.

| Monthly average, 1913. | 2,982,500 |
| :---: | :---: |
| January 1920 | 1,749,389 |

January, 1920. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1, 749, 389
February, 1920 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1, 557, 787

April, 1920............................................. I, 426, 684
May, 1920............................................... . . 806,285
June, 1920. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1, 896, 235
July 1-20, 1920........................................ . . . 1, 162, 553
Statistics of the Belgian production of coal, pig iron, manufactured iron, steel ingots and castings, and crude zinc are presented in the following table:

| Date. | Coal. | Pigiron. | Manufactured iron. | Steel ingots and castings. | Crude zinc. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monthly average, 1913. | Metric tons. 1,910, 710 | Metric tons. 207,058 | Metric tons. 25, 362 | Metric tons. 205,552 | Metric tons. 17,910 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1920 \\ \text { January... } \end{array}$ | 1,869,635 | 40,820 | 15,318 | 56,006 | 4,060 |
| February | 1,683, 750 | 51,773 | 16,858 | 70,848 | 4,560 |
| March | 2,006, 160 | 66,009 | 14,525 | 78,985 | 5,841 |
| April . | 1,900,750 | 75,686 | 15,527 | 100, 274 | 6,927 |
| May.. |  | ${ }^{1} 91,842$ | ${ }^{1} 17,537$ |  |  |
| June............... | ..... |  |  |  |  |

Note.-Figures obtained from Economic Review of May 26 and June 9.

Iron Trade Review, Aug. 12.
Coal production in Germany is as follows:
[Taken from the Economic Review, May 19, 1920.]


The relation of production, and as a result export power, to exchange quotations is in all ordinary or normal conditions a very direct one. It had therefore been supposed by some that as export capacity increased exchange would move back toward normal. Experience during the past few months has not shown that this is necessarily the case, since even in those countries such as Great Britain, which were largely increasing their ability to ship goods, there has been at times a simultaneous reduction in the value of exchange. Even if there were at the present time an absolute balance of exportation and importation between, say, the United States and Great Britain, this would not necessarily restore the old relationship in the exchanges of the two countries because of the profound effect exerted by depreciation of currency, as measured in terms of the gold standard, and the local creditsituation in the different foreign countries. It is only in the relationship of countries on the gold standard and where the currency and other credit obligations are as a matter of fact freely converted into gold that the balance of exports and imports is the controlling factor in the course and rate of the foreign exchanges.

The difficulties to which international lending and financing are subjected by

## Foreign trade banking.

 reason of bad currency and banking conditions in the several countries have been partially responsible for the slowness with which foreign trade banks have been developed. In the United States thus far there has been but one organization chartered under the so-called Edge Act, and while in foreign countries, such as Canada and Great Britain some progress has been made toward the establishment of new branches abroad, this progress has been relatively slow and hesitating. Branches of all financial institutions, both those existing before the war and maintained thereafter, and those newly established, have found themselves seriously handicapped by the exchange uncertainties which have prevented them from making advances to traders and producers in the countries in which they were situated. In these circumstances American banks andbankers have been able to see but little advantage in the foreign expansion of their institutions and have been disposed to avoid losses which might be incurred through the making of large commitments expressed in the paper of the countries where their branches might be located. Great Britain in the effort to expand her foreign trade and restore it to a prewar basis has probably proceeded more actively than any other country, her dealings, however, being primarily expressed in terms of sterling, as was the case with prewar trade.
For July, 1920, British trade returns are reported to show a growth to $£ 137,000,000$ from $£ 65,000,000$ a year earlier. There has been during recent months a steady reduction of the adverse balance of trade from which Great Britain was suffering at the close of the war. While other European countries have not succeeded in paralleling the advance of Great Britain in this regard some of them have made decided progress. What has been done in this direction, however, has been largely for the purpose of meeting immediate necessities and obtaining immediate further supplies of goods, and has not been of sufficient amount to furnish even the basis for permanent investment or the improvement of production abroad. Although European banks have proceeded actively in the making of acceptances and the development of commerce, they have not been able to furnish the capital which was needed for foreign investment, while the general financial stringency throughout the world has rendered it exceptionally difficult to obtain capital upon terms which would be profitable. For all these reasons the relation of foreign banks to export trade has been different from that which existed before the war, because at a time when there was currency stability and uniformity it was possible to work upon an established basis of value and hence to offer securities to the investor with an assurance that they would be paid in a form that would return him the value he had loaned.

There has been comparatively little change in the international distribution

Gold and bank reserves. of gold during the past few months. The following table shows the reserve position of some of the prin-
cipal institutions, as it has developed during the past year and a half.

Central bank deposits, total note circulation, and gold reserves in Great Britain, France, and Italy.
[In millions of dollars.]

| End of month. | Great Britain. |  |  | Pank of France. |  |  | Bank of Italy. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | a ¢ 0 0 8 |  |  | $\dot{8}$ $\frac{8}{8}$ $\square$ | $\circ$ $\stackrel{2}{0}$ 0 0 0 0 0 |  | ®i $\stackrel{0}{\circ}$ $\square$ | 禹 |
| 1919. | 721 |  | 532 | 518 |  | 730 | 306 |  | 173 |
| February | 706 | 1,874 | 536 | 521 | 6,314 | 745 | 288 | 1,730 | 172 |
| March. | 717 | 1,955 | 549 | 592 | 6,441 | 748 | 289 | 1,768 | 172 |
| April. | 714 | 2,071 | 556 | 602 | 6,558 | 749 | 288 | 1, 812 | 172 |
| May. | 694 | 2,051 | 558 | 686 | 6,574 | 748 | 292 | 1,797 | 172 |
| June | 768 | 2,047 | 566 | 664 | 6,647 | 748 | 328 | 1,841 | 170 |
| Juiy. | 654 | 2,035 | 569 | 575 | 6,760 | 751 | 303 | 1,948 | 170 |
| August | 575 | 71,999 | 568 | 592 | 6,772 | 751 | 317 | 1,998 | 170 |
| September | 569 | 71,009 | 568 | 554 | 6,907 | 750 | 341 | 2,130 | 170 |
| October. | 676 | 72,040 | 568 | 613 | 7,136 | 750 | 359 | 2,307 | 170 |
| November | 585 | $7{ }^{7} 2,057$ | 567 | 608 | 7,223 | 749 | 364 | 2,363 | 170 |
| December | 973 | ${ }^{7} 2,168$ | 584 | 620 | 7,194 | 747 | 402 | 2,449 | 170 |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January. | 756 | 7 2,014 | 625 | 615 | 7,253 | 744 | 365 | 2,314 | 170 |
| February | 925 | 72,031 | 676 | 634 | 7,313 | 744 | 345 | 2,266 | 170 |
| March. | 668 | 72,115 | 685 | 781 | 7,251 | 744 | 358 | 2,325 | 170 |
| April. | 683 | 72,134 | 687 | 671 | 7,274 | 743 | 360 | 2,421 | 170 |
| May. | 573 | ${ }^{7} 2,199$ | 686 | 725 | 7,318 | 743 | 341 | 2,495 | 170 |
| June | 933 | ${ }^{7} 2,258$ | 713 | 706 | 7,246 | 743 | 363 | 2,669 | 170 |
| July. | 651 | 7 2,281 | 739 | 661 | 7,275 | 745 |  |  |  |

${ }_{1}$ Public and other deposits.
Currency and Bank of England notes
Including $\$ 138,695,000$ held by the exchequer.
Includes Government and other deposits, also dividends unpaid.
${ }_{6}$ Exclusive of gold held abroad.
positi in conto corrente fruttifero; (3) Conti correnti passivi
${ }_{7}$ Exclusive of Bank of England notes held by the exchequer as reserve against currency notes outstanding. (In this connection attention may be called to the table furnished on p. 667 of the BULLETIN for July, 1920 , where the note circulation of the United Kingdom at the end of May, 1920 , is given as $£ 459,780,000$. This figure contains an error, as it includes twice over $\mathbf{£ 7}, 850,000$ bank notes held in the currency note
reserve.)

In these circumstances it is not strange that Government control of specie movement has continued in practically all western countries except the United States. Cessation of Gorernment oversight would probably result in loss of considerable parts of the specie stocks of various countries and might thus embarrass and delay the eventual restoration of sound currency conditions. Although the reserve holdings of many of the foreign banks are considered entirely inadequate to the resumption of convertibility under present conditions, they might serve as a satisfactory beginning in that direction should it be possible to bring about a more normal international trade relationship and a greater stability of public finance. Nevertheless, at the present time the restoration of conditions that would permit the free movement of gold and of specie in general is
regarded as practically out of the question. The questionnaire distributed by the League of Nations, already referred to, contained an inquiry as to the possibility of international action or policy aiming at reorganization of the currency and foreign exchange situation of the various countries, and brought forth the general opinion that:-
"It would, indeed, be useless to attempt to construct and put into operation a new currency system so long as the essential data are continuously and rapidly changing under the action of forces which would upset the equilibrium of any system however perfect. In fact, it seems evident that something approaching currency stability must be attained before currency reorganization by legislative action is attempted. The conditions of stability have been clearly indicated by the economists and others who have analyzed the causes of instability; the most important are the termination of inflationist methods of financing Gorernment expenditure, the settlement of international balances of trade and payment by means involving a far less considerable strain than that which at present presses upon the currencies of borrowing countries, the elimination of the large and incommensurate potential cause of the disturbance of currency values constituted by the large foreign holdings of some currencies, and the internal private hoarding of others, and the removal of much of the uncertainty which at present exists as to the effects of the economic and financial clauses of the treaties of peace."

Apparently there is thus far no definite movement looking in the direction of a restoration of currency and banking conditions to anything like the position which they occupied before the war, notwithstanding that an eventual adjustment and the termination of stringent Government control in the various countries will be essential to the attainment of permanent stability.
During the month ending August 10 the net outward movement of gold was $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gold and silver } \\ & \text { movements. }\end{aligned} \$ 2,208,000$, as compared with a net inward movement of $\$ 11$,517,000 for the month ending July 10. Net imports of gold since August 1, 1914, were $\$ 706,376,000$, as may be seen from the following exhibit. (See next page.)

England furnished $\$ 14,084,000$, or over threefourths of the $\$ 18,254,000$ of gold imported
during the monthly period ending August 10 , Canada, Colombia, France, and Mexico furnishing most of the remainder. Of the gold exports. amounting to $\$ 20,462,000$, over threefourths, or $\$ 15,582,000$, was consigned to Japan, $\$ 2,100,000$ to the French East Indies, and the remainder principally to Hongkong, British India, Mexico, and Canada. Since the removal of the gold embargo on June 9, 1919, total gold exports have amounted to approximately $\$ 579,515,000$. Ot this total, $\$ 146,-$ 555,000 was consigned to Argentina, $\$ 126,027,-$ 000 to Japan, $\$ 66,084,000$, to Hongkong, $\$ 55,396,000$ to China, $\$ 40,411,000$ to British India, $\$ 29,778,000$ to Spain, and the remainder principally to Uruguay, Mexico, the Dutch East Indies, the Straits Settlements, Canada, and Venezuela.

${ }^{1}$ Excess of exports over imports.
During the same monthly period the net outward movement of silver was $\$ 468,000$ as compared with a net inward movement of $\$ 2,235,000$ for the month ending July 10. Net exports of silver since August 1, 1914, were $\$ 453,832,000$, as may be seen from the following exhibit:

| [In thousands of dollars.] |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Imports. | Exports. | Excess of exports mports. import |
| Aug. 1 to Dec. 31, 1914. | 12,129 | 22,182 | 10,053 |
| Jan. 1 to Dec. 31, 1915.. | 34,484 | 53,599 | 19,115 |
| Jan. 1 to Dec. 31, 1916. | 32,263 | 70,595 | 38,332 |
| Jan. 1 to Dec. 31, 1917. | 53,340 | 84,131 | 30,791 |
| Jan. 1 to Dec. 31, 1918. | 71,376 | 252,846 | 181, 470 |
| Jan. 1 to Dec. 31, 1919 | 89,410 | 239, 021 | 149,611 |
| Jan. 1 to Aug. 10, 1920. | 64,011 | 88,471 | 24,460 |
| Total. | 357,013 | 810, 845 | 453, 832 |

Mexico furnished $\$ 2,053,000$, and Peru and China each $\$ 1,000,000$ of the $\$ 5,494,000$ of
silver imported during the monthly period ending August 10, most of the remainder coming from Colombia, Canada, Bolivia, and the Dutch East Indies. Of the $\$ 5,962,000$ of silver exports, $\$ 1,899,000$ was consigned to Hongkong, \$1,657,000 to England, \$1,065,000 to China, and the remainder principally to Japan, Canada, and Mexico.

Moderate liquidation of the principal loan and investment accounts, ac-
The banking companied by a substantial reduction in net demand deposits and a further increase in accommodation at the Federal Reserve Banks, are the main developments in the banking field as indicated by the weekly condition statements of about 815 member banks in leading cities for the period July 16 to August 20.

Holdings of Government securities showed a downward course until the last week under review, when, as a consequence of the August 16 issue of about 157 millions of loan certificates, certificate holdings increased by 50 millions, as against a gradual reduction in these holdings by 79 millions during the preceding four weeks. Loans secured by Government war obligations show a steady reduction, amounting to over 40 millions for the period, loans backed by corporate securities declined about 41 millions, while other loans and investments, including commercial loans and discounts, increased by about .66 millions. Total loans and investments of reporting institutions, accordingly, show a further decrease for the period of over 50 millions. Accommodation at the Federal Reserve Banks for reporting member banks increased during the five weeks from 1,953 to 2,086 millions, or from 11.8 to 13.6 per cent of the reporting banks' total loans and investments. For the member banks in New York City an increase in this ratio from 12.1 to 13.6 per cent is shown.

Principal changes in the condition of the Federal Reserve Banks between July 23 and August 20 include an increase of nearly 200 millions in the holdings of discounted bills, the larger share of this increase, viz, 130 millions, being represented by the increase in the holdings of ordinary commercial paper, as distinct
from paper secured by United States war obligations, including Treasury certificates of the more recent issues (so-called war paper). Holdings of purchased acceptances, largely bankers' bills, show a further reduction of 32 millions, while Treasury certificates on hand declined by about 25 millions, this decline representing largely the amount of special cert:ficates redeemed by the Government during the period.

Substantial increases are shown in the volume of interbank discounting, the total holdings of paper discounted for other Federal Reserve Banks showing an almost continuous increase from 123 millions on July 23 to 166 millions on August 27. The latter amount was held exclusively by the Boston and Cleveland banks. Of the total discounts held by the latter bank, the share held for other Federal Reserve Banks was slightly larger than the amount of paper held under discount for its own members, while in the case of the Boston Federal Reserve Bank this share was about 36 per cent. No change is shown in the list of rediscounting institutions, which includes the Federal Reserve Banks of Richmond, Atlanta, St. Louis, Minneapolis, Kansas City, and Dallas. It is notable that the total increase of 33 millions in the holdings of paper, rediscounted for other Federal Reserve Banks, is about equal to the aggregate increase in rediscounts with other reserve institutions shown by the four Federal Reserve

Banks which continued to apply progressive discount rates, viz, the banks of Atlanta, St. Louis, Kansas City, and Dallas, this increase falling about 11 millions short of the increase in the total discounts for their member banks. Holdings of acceptances purchased by the Philadelphia, Cleveland, and San. Francisco banks from the New York and Chicago banks show a further increase from 42.5 to 48.9 millions.

Both Government and members' reserve deposits show some increases, with the consequence that net deposits at the close of the period, 1,718 millions, were about 32 millions larger than five weeks earlier. Federal Reserve note circulation shows a further expansion of over 85 millions, while Federal Reserve bank note circulation increased by nearly 11 millions. The banks' gold reserves, in consequence of further export withdrawals, declined 11.5 millions, while the loss in total cash reserves, because of further silver deposits by the Government, was slightly over 6 millions. The banks' reserve ratio shows a gradual decline for the period from 44.4 to 43.2 per cent.
A vacancy occurred in the membership of the Federal Reserve Board on Personnel. August 10, when the unexpired term to which Mr. Henry A. Moehlenpah was appointed by the President September 5, 1919, came to an end.

## BUSINESS, INDUSTRY, AND FINANCE, AUGUST, 1920.

Continued readjustment of economic and business conditions generally has been the characteristic feature of the month of August. There are already indications that the transition period is nearing a halt and that an improvement of the general situation is in sight. Nevertheless, there is still much to be done before business, prices, and industry can be regarded as having settled down upon a stable basis. In manufacturing districts Federal Reserve agents report dullness of business accompanied by an unusual degree of hesitation on the part of middlemen and dealers. This is the result of reaction among consumers who have refused to pay excessive prices and of some tendency to unemployment in various directions due to the letting down of demand. In the agricultural regions the promising crop prospects have given a much more hopeful turn to affairs and have tended to minimize the broader questions of price adjustment, money rates, and industrial unrest. In those parts of the country the paramount idea is production upon a large scale accompanied by improvement of transportation and better labor conditions. Where the processes of distributing and financing are more important, the prospect of betterment is less immediate, although fundamental conditions are slowly improving and the underlying business situation is usually described as sound. Prices still show a tendency to fall and for the month of August the Board's index number of wholesale prices has shown a reduction of 7 points. While differences were noted between the indexes of some of the price-reporting agencies early in the year, there is now practical uniformity, although some show greater or more rapid declines than others.
In district No. 1 (Boston) business conditions generally have been characterized by "dullness to a degree rather more than usual," a fact which is assigned to the "widespread and undoubtedly heavy liquidation on the part of merchants, *** chiefly in wearing apparel lines," who "have to an unprecedented degree cancelled orders placed, resulting finally in almost universal curtailment of production" by establishments engaged in the industry. The effect of the situation has been modified by the fact that reaction has been so closely restricted to a particular field. Retail merchants show caution.
In district No. 2 (New York) it is found that "the period from July 1 to August 20 has been
one of shifting credit, but with no substantial change in the volume of bank loans." The number of banks borrowing from the Federal Reserve Bank has decreased from 380 early last November, when the discount rate was raised, to 313 on August 1 of this year. "Manufacturers and merchants have at last realized that the period of constant expansion has about reached the limit of its possibilities." As to the price situation, the opinion is expressed that "while some prices were increasing and many remained stable, so large a number of important commodities have declined" as to indicate that "with further credit inflation checked natural laws are once more operating."
In district No. 3 (Philadelphia) prices in textiles, leather, and shoes continue to drop and the same is true of cloths and clothing, while there seems to be some ground for fearing a recurrence of labor unrest. Slight improvement in transportation is encouraging but inadequate. Retail trade shows a favorable prospect.
In district No. 4 (Cleveland) the demand for funds is still heavy, collections are somewhat variable, but "satisfactory when all circumstances are considered," and lake ore movement and coal shipments are improving.
In district No. 5 (Richmond) the outstanding feature of the information received by the Reserve Bank is "optimism and confidence in the basic soundness of general conditions." It is nevertheless admittedly hard to specify definite developments which justify this confidence. Manufacturers are receiving insufficient orders to keep them running steadily in all cases, but they are taking a more hopeful view.
In district No. 6 (Atlanta) improvement in crop prospects which was so strong a factor during July has been somewhat offset by reaction in August. Prices are moving downward. Some wholesalers show reductions in sales but retailers report an increase of 16.3 per cent in net sales over July last year. On the whole, the position is hopeful.
In district No. 7 (Chicago) "caution rules in business." Retailers have found limits in the popular purchasing , power and business is "slowing up a little", with the net result "a waiting attitude." "Dearth of liquid capital "is largely responsible for the situation.

In district No. 8 (St. Louis) " the volume of trade holds up well as contrasted with the corresponding period last season, and to date
there has been no marked decline in the purchasing power of the public." There is evidence of "more definite and significant steps in the direction of readjustment than has been observed up to this time." Greater optimism and a greater disposition to economy and caution are observed both among the public and among merchants. Crops are large and prices for them are high.

In district No. 9 (Minneapolis) "the slowing up of business which was noted during June has been offset to a considerable extent during July by the rising tide of business confidence." However, "stringent money conditions prevail" and "liquidation of merchandise has progressed very slowly. Some food prices have fallen. There has been no shortage of labor to handle the crop. Transportation conditions are the keystone to the business" side of agriculture.

In district No. 10 (Kansas City) business men "see no cause for serious apprehension on account of * * * price adjustment, money tightness, or industrial unrest." They have produced this year the largest all-round crops of food products in history and believe that improvement of transportation and the rapid movement of the goods to the consumer is the urgent need.

In district No. 11 (Dallas) favorable factors include "further improvement in the condition of crops and ranges; increase in volume of wholesale and retail trade; increase in building activity; increase in farm granaries for the storage of wheat awaiting shipment; and improved movement and supply of freight cars." As against these desirable factors, there is noted "excessive rains in the cotton belt, conducive to depredations of insects; further inflation of credit; increase in business failures; depression in demand for products of the live-stock industry; and shortage of labor for harvesting cotton." Trade has reacted well from seasonal dullness, but it is believed that "the margin of surplus income from production this year will not be sufficient to support any, radical expansion of industry and commerce" in the year 1920-21.

In district No. 12 (San Francisco) it is reported that "favorable growing conditions during the past month have improved the prospects for good crops in all sections * * * except some of the dry farming sections." Labor is well employed and only one considerable strike is in progress. Harvesting is progressing satisfactorily with fairly adequate labor, but car shortage is present.

The agricultural situation has during the month of August reached a point at which returns can be counted upon with much more
certainty than heretofore and the outlook has continued to be in the main favorable. In district No. 9 (Minneapolis) the Federal Reserve Bank's own estimate of crop yield shows much larger production of wheat, oats, barley, and flax than in 1919, with rye only a little behind last year. There is "a total production of $610,000,000$ bushels of small grains as compared with $421,000,000$ bushels in 1919 . The corn crop prediction of $245,400,000$ bushels on August 1 is about $3,000,000$ bushels better than a year ago." In district No. 10 (Kansas City) the situation is equally favorable. "All in all, the reports now show that 1920 is one of the best, if not the very best, crop in years." The bank is disposed to believe that the Government's estimate of $261,226,000$ bushels of wheat as compared with $301,000,000$ bushels last year is too low, while oats will be hardly less than $200,000,000$ bushels and corn has made remarkable progress, the estimated yield now being $483,000,000$ bushels. Other orops are in excellent condition, especially hay. On the Pacific coast the total estimated yield of wheat is a little under $100,000,000$ bushels for the district, as compared with $101,000,000$ bushels in 1919. Corn, oats, and hay show good advances over 1918 and 1919. The hop crop is much larger than last year and 75 per cent of it has been sold, while the amount of deciduous fruits on the Pacific coast is expected to be greater than was anticipated. In the Pacific Northwest the yield of apples is estimated at 25,500 carloads as against 32,600 carloads in 1919. In the Middle West the seventh district (Chicago) reports that crops "promise well, with indications for a larger production than a year ago in all excepting wheat."
Accompanying the generally favorable July outlook for cotton was a decrease in price. In district No. 11 (Dallas) the "cotton responded wonderfully to the best July weather that the crop has enjoyed for several years," but subsequent excessive rains are conducive to depredations of insects. This is reflected in the figures of condition on July 25 as against June 25, while the Federal Reserve Bank's own detailed survey of counties as of August 1 seems encouraging. District No. 6 (Atlanta) reports the condition of cotton not very different in July from that of June and states that the boll weevil is now present in practically all of the cotton counties of Georgia. While the Florida cotton crop has improved slightly, that of Louisiana has deteriorated, but the Mississippi and Tennessee crops show improvement. As for August developments, "the reports of damage by excessive rains during the first two weeks in August have been somewhat discour-
aging." The condition of the cotton crop on August 25, as reported by the Department of Agriculture, was 67.5 per cent-a decline of about 6.6 points from that on July 25 , and somewhat less than the 10-year average.

In live stock, district No. 11 (Dallas) reports that ranges are in fine condition, the stock itself being "prime" and the situation generally favorable. In district No. 10 (Kansas City) fine pasturage has tempted many growers and feeders to hold their stock, and car shortage has tended to accentuate the same situation.

The movement of agricultural products to market is still affording the basis for more or less anxiety and uncertainty. There has been improvement in railroad conditions, but it has been insufficient. In district No. 9 (Minneapolis) the agitation for empty cars suitable for grain has brought substantial results, but not all that had been expected. Receipts of empty grain cars for the five weeks ending July 3 averaged 1,629 cars per week, or 35 per cent of those ordered sent, while in the four weeks ending August 1 the weekly average was 2,427 cars, or 53 per cent. "This improvement of 800 cars per week is encouraging, although not sufficient to meet the needs of moving the new crop." Total grain receipts during July, 1920, are reported by the Minneapolis Chamber of Commerce as about $10,000,000$ bushels, while shipments were $8,061,000$ bushels. On the whole, there has been an increase of about 10 per cent in the receipts and a decrease of about 17 per cent in the shipments as compared with June, while there is a decrease of about 5 per cent in the total receipts and shipments of flour for the same period. The amount of wheat in terminal elevators in Minneapolis and Duluth on July 31 was 2,145,000 bushels, as against 912,000 bushels on the corresponding date a year ago. In district No. 10 (Kansas City) the movement of grain to the markets of the district has been in greatly reduced volume as compared with a year ago, notwithstanding larger crops. During July, 1919, receipts of grain and flour at Kansas City were 13,841,550 bushels, but for July, 1920, 6,770,250 bushels. Shipments in July, 1919, were 3,132,000 bushels and in July, 1920, 4,498,000 bushels. Figures of July receipts and shipments at Omaha, St. Joseph, Wichita, and Oklahoma City were less than a year ago by 40 to 60 per cent, due to the car shortage. It is now thought that it would be better for the grower to provide storage for himself and to distribute the marketing of grain over a longer period. Grain markets during the month have been active. Prices declined substan-
tially at Minneapolis during July and the early part of August, while at Kansas City, "there were sensational declines in prices." This is ascribed to "favorable crop reports." Reports from mills representing 75 per cent of the flour-producing capacity of the Minneapolis district show operations at 44.6 per cent of full capacity during the four weeks ending July 31, as compared with 46.8 per cent in the four weeks ending July 3 and 40.5 per cent one year ago. Recent trade reports indicate that sales are still small, although inquiry is fairly large.

An important situation has developed in connection with the marketing of grain. According to district No. 7 (Chicago), "it will be recalled that last year there was a heavy carry-over of grain, farmers starting out with the intention of holding for a few months for a more favorable price level. They encountered an extremely bad transportation situation, which prevented the marketing of grain when prices did improve. The result was rather disastrous, as in many instances farmers were forced to carry grain for many months against their wish and to borrow at the banks. Much of this is still being carried by the banks, either on farms or in country elevators. This tends to make the farmer cautious. If cars are obtainable the farmer, as a rule, is not delaying shipment of his grain to market. Another factor militating against widespread storage of grain is the uncertainty as to the future course of the commodity markets."

Live-stock prices also show a downward drift for some classes, Minneapolis reporting substantial reductions in beef prices and a moderate reduction in lambs. Receipts of cattle and calves at 15 principal markets during July were $1,188,019$ head, as compared with $1,290,265$ head during June and 1,527,881 head during July, 1919, the respective index numbers being 118, 128, and 152. Receipts of hogs amounted to $2,115,639$ head during July, corresponding to an index number of 92 , as compared with $2,746,390$ head during June and $2,411,539$ head during July, 1919, the respective index numbers being 125 and 110. Receipts of sheep for July were 1,301,458 head, as compared with $1,006,528$ head during June and 1,558,767 head during July, 1919, the respective index numbers being 95, 74, and 114. District No. 10 (Kansas City) reports that " the July movement of live stock to the six markets of this district was 8 per cent less in volume than in June and 23.5 per cent less than in July, 1919. A total of $1,459,891$ animals were received at the markets during the month, as against $1,586,193$
in the previous month and $1,909,890$ in the corresponding month last year. A large part of the losses in receipts was on hogs.
The heavy declines indicated, especially in the movement of cattle, calves, and sheep, are generally attributed to the smaller movement from areas affected by the drought at this time last year.

The iron and steel situation during the month has not materially changed. Railroad conditions are somewhat better, but advances in freight rates have introduced a new factor into the price prospects. Pig iron has advanced during the past few weeks and Bessemer iron, as well as other products, has also risen. The movement of iron and steel from the mills and furnaces has continued on the increase and the leading producer in the Pittsburgh district has reduced its accumulations by about 65,000 tons. The trend toward the easing up of congestion at the works is more pronounced in some cases than in others. In district No. 3 (Philadelphia) iron and steel producers "still suffer seriously from transportation inadequacy." In some lines a slackening of demand has been noticed. Higher freight rates are expected to add considerably to manufacturing costs. Both in districts No. 3 and No. 4 there is a falling off in the daily output of pig iron and the same is true of some steel products. In district No. 6 (Atlanta) "the shortage of freight cars has grown more serious during the past month." Pig iron is piling up in the district and iron and steel movements are greatly delayed. Consumption of pig iron is holding up well and steel mills in the district as a whole are working at more than 80 per cent of capacity. In spite of the difficulties encountered in the industry, a hopeful spirit generally prevails. In district No. 3 (Philadelphia), for instance, a large manufacturer whose principal product is bar iron is optimistic about conditions for the rest of the year, while rails are in strong demand and miscellaneous steel products are finding a good market. The unfilled orders of the United States Steel Corporation at the close of July were $11,118,468$ tons, corresponding to an index number of 211 , as compared with $10,978,817$ tons at the close of June, corresponding to an index number of 208. Steel ingot production during July was $2,802,818$ tons, as compared with $2,980,690$ tons during June, the respective index numbers being 116 and 123. Pig iron production during July was $3,067,043$ tons, as compared with $3,043,540$ tons during June, the respective index numbers being 132 and 131, although the average daily output was somewhat less during July.

Lake ore shipments from the Superior region in July were $9,638,606$ tons, bringing the total to August 1 to $26,079,111$ tons, which compares with $25,181,848$ tons on the corresponding date in 1919, and $26,608,933$ tons in 1918. The 1918 tonnage is that which the producers have set out to duplicate during the present season, and it is shown that they are only slightly below their goal.
Congestion on the railroads, which was the source of so much anxiety and difficulty during the earlier part of the summer, is gradually yielding to special effort to relieve it. District No. 4 (Cleveland) reports that the outlook in the lake trade is a little better than a month ago. The coal movement has shown a steady gain since the recent orders of the Interstate Commerce Commission. In district No. 3 (Philadelphia) "moderate improvement * * * has taken place during the past month. * * * Cars are moving a little more freely to destinations.". There has been no reduction in prices for spot coal. New freight rates are expected to add from 65 to 85 cents a ton to the cost of anthracite to the retailer. In district No. 6 (Atlanta) Alabama coal production shows a little improvement, but strikes are still on in various fields. Coke production is below the demand and car shortage is still felt. In district No. 4 (Cleveland) coal movement is showing a steady gain all around. There is still shortage in various parts of the country, not for immediate needs but as compared with the estimated demand of coming months. The bituminous output is estimated by the Geological Survey as $226,000,-$ 000 tons for the first half of this year (1920) as compared with $218,000,000$ tons in the corresponding period last year. Allowing for importation and exportation there is an indicated balance for domestic consumption of $215,000,-$ 000 tons, against $211,000,000$ tons last year. Production of bituminous coal for the country at large during July amounted to $45,526,500$ tons, as compared with $44,462,500$ tons during June and 42,698,000 tons during July, 1919, the respective index numbers being 123, 120, and 115. Sporadic labor difficulties in the coal industry have to some extent retarded production, but in the main the figures show that conditions heretofore have been reasonably favorable. The production of anthracite, however, will be curtailed in consequence of the recent widespread cessation of work by miners. Production during July amounted to $7,785,000$ tons, as compared with $7,754,000$ tons during June and $7,803,000$ tons during Juy, 1919, the index number for all three months being 105.

In petroleum there is a growth in production in Kansas, Oklahoma, Wyoming, and Colorado. For the two first-named States there is an increase during the first seven months of 1920 as compared with the same period in 1919 from $64,189,000$ barrels to $79,360,000$ barrels. Developmental operations in the Oklahoma field, including Kansas, Oklahoma, and Wyoming, have been very satisfactory during July. The stock of oil in storage in district No. 10 (Kansas City) on July 1, 1920, was $60,712,000$ barrels as against $61,604,000$ barrels on January 1. On the Pacific coast the daily production during July averaged 279,000 barrels as compared with 273,000 in June. Stored stocks were $24,405,000$ barrels on July 31, a decrease of 964,150 barrels during the month. Shortage of gasoline continues to be general on the Pacific coast, while in district No. 10 (Kansas City) the summer demand is at its height and shipments to the Pacific coast are being made from the mid-continent and Rocky Mountain fields. The market for petroleum during the month of July was steady and quiet, with the price of crude oil generally subject to little change. In district No. 11 (Dallas) drilling results improved during July and for the district as a whole 868 wells were completed as compared with 650 in June. The daily average output during July was 375,000 barrels, a decrease of 7,500 from June. The outlook in the Texas field is regarded as very promising.
In metal mining there was a curtailment of production at the opening of July with regard to zinc and lead, but later there was a partial resumption of work, resulting in an average weekly output of about 10,000 tons at the end of the month. Curtailment and rearrangement of production has helped to adjust the labor situation. There has developed a relative reduction of output, but a deficiency of cars has continued to be marked, even as compared with the supply of ores on hand. Cars intended for other products are now being used to ship ores. The price of zinc ores has increased from $\$ 45$ at the opening of July to $\$ 47.50$ for 60 per cent concentrates. Lead ore prices also showed a decided advance for the month from $\$ 90$ to $\$ 100$ per ton on 80 per cent lead. The average output has fallen off in the Colorado metal mining district. Production reported during July by reduction plants in the Cripple Creek district totaled 38,222 tons, or a gross value of $\$ 468,568$. Some indications of improvement in mining activity are reported. With the exception of zinc, however, recent trade reports indicate extreme quiet in these industries, little interest being shown by consumers.

General manufacturing has varied widely in different parts of the country during the months both of July and August as a result of sporadic depression and suspension of work, coupled with difficulties of various kinds in connection with the continuation of production. There is still depression in woolens and cottons, knit goods and underwear, wearing apparel, shoes, leather, and various other articles. Resumption of activity immediately after Labor Day is promised in a number of sections and it is held that there is some indication that retailers' stocks are becoming so reduced that active buying must soon be resumed. District No. 1 (Boston) reports that tanneries in the district are still closed or operating up to about a 50 per cent basis. While there has been some resumption of shoe manufacturing, numerous large concerns are still either wholly closed down or operating on short time and they are purchasing little leather. Prices for side leathers are of 10 to 13 per cent from last month, but still 50 to 100 per cent higher than in 1913-14. Hides are accumulating. District No. 5 (Richmond) reports that shoes are moving from manufacturer to retailer slowly, while buyers are holding off in the hope of reductions. Leather has weakened, but there is little prospect of the saving reaching the consumer for a good while, owing to the length of time required in shoe production. In district No. 7 (Chicago) first hands are holding leather and are finding more than usual difficulty in financing it. Cancellations are still active. Sales are 50 per cent off from 1919 for the first seven months of 1920. The automobile demand for leather "is the only really rosy spot in the picture." In district No. 3 (Philadelphia) shoe manufacturing plants have been shut down or running at minimum capacity, but have now resumed operations preparing samples. Orders are slow in being placed. The public has been refraining from purchasing high-priced shoes but has bought freely at reductions. Stagnation still exists in leather. Few tanneries are operating and the transportation situation is in part blamed. From district No. 8 (St. Louis) it is reported that business in shoes is steady, prices are definitely lower, but the decline is not as marked as had been looked for (except in a few special grades), and that the country merchants are in a somewhat more steady frame of mind.

As for wool and woolen goods, district No. 1 (Boston) reports that the situation in the wool industry is difficult to diagnose, the Boston market being dull, although the supply, especially of the finer grades, available for use is not excessive, if production should become
normal. Woolen mills in New England during the month of August were either closed or running on part time. Returned goods are felt to be insufficient to meet the public demand when it revives. "Briefly, therefore, the whole wool situation is one of waiting and caution, with hand-to-mouth buying on the part of the manufacturer." In district No. 3 (Philadelphia) "there is an unprecedented situation," with "an absence of activity such as has never been known." "Such is the apathy shown in the market that samples for spring (1921) materials which in normal times would have been displayed in July have not been prepared in numerous instances." In the clothing trade, district No. 5 (Richmond) states that "clothing manufacturers report dull business, with a decided reluctance on the part of the retailer to enter into further contracts." A change in the demands of customers is noted, and it is believed that lower-priced goods made of coarser wool may figure more largely during the coming season. District No. 7 (Chicago) finds that "fine wools are scarce and coarse wools are a drug on the market." There has been "a flood of cancellations, slow payment for goods already delivered, and a general slowing down of the apparel industries."

In cottons district No. 1 (Boston) reports that "dullness in cotton this month is fully as pronounced as during * * * June and July and dealers report only buying by the mills in small lines for immediate requirements." Nevertheless, there has not been as general curtailment in production as had been anticipated. According to United States cotton statistics, cotton held in mills in the district for July was 660,893 bales, while the amount consumed during July was 198,233 bales. Prices for combed and carded yarns were lower at the middle of August than earlier, and "consumers of spot yarn have found the supply so plentiful that they have been in no mood to buy in advance of current needs." In district No. 3 (Philadelphia) further curtailment of operations has been noted among cotton-yarn manufacturers. Spinners are accumulating large stores of finished products. The acute situation of the cotton-yarn industry is attributed to the restriction of credit by the banks, although the policy of the banks is believed by larger manufacturers to be correct. In knit goods the deadlock between underwear manufacturers and jobbers still continuesjobbers waiting for lower prices while manufacturers make no attempt to sell their product. The situation in hosiery is but little better, while in underwear the only buying demand is for export. In district No. 1 (Boston) small wares are reported lower in price than last
month, and there is a feeling among some wholesalers that retailers might well sell cheaper and still make a good profit.

In other manufacturing lines there is more or less difference of condition. District No. 3 (Philadelphia) reports activity in broad silks, although the buying movement was not general and few silk mills continued open. In paper and twine district No. 1 (Boston) finds that there is still difficulty among dealers in procuring sufficient supplies to meet the demands of the trade. Stocks are believed to be small. Prices continue from 100 to 400 per cent higher (wholesale) than in prewar times and are still tending upward. District No. 3 (Philadelphia) states that production of paper for the first six months of 1920 exceeded that of the same period in 1919 by about 9 per cent. Prices have advanced in most grades of paper. Hope for a new source of supply of wood pulp from Alaska is entertained in various quarters. The outlook is said to be encouraging in drugs and chemicals, although the inactivity of the textile and tanning industries has reacted upon the trade. There is a plentiful supply of most raw materials for drug making, but American crude drugs are difficult to obtain. Sales are far in advance of this time last year. In rubber there is some anxiety. District No. 3 (Philadelphia) reports that there is "a decreasing demand for tires." No difficulty exists in getting raw materials.

In lumber and building material the situation is also variable. In some districts the expectation that heavy buying would develop in anticipation of higher freight rates has been disappointed. Stocks are small in numerous quarters. In district No. 6 (Atlanta) the lumber market is still dominated by the transportation situation. Curtailment of production is becoming more general, but apart from a continuous scarcity of cars the immediate outlook is satisfactory. In the Middle West high costs of lumber, millwork, and other materials have seriously retarded building. In district No. 10 (Kansas City) lumber and material markets have been inactive, with lowered demand due to unsatisfactory distribution. In New England, district No. 1 (Boston), dwelling house construction is still backward, partly due to the increased cost of material and labor. On the Pacific coast, district No. 12 (San Francisco), car shortage restricts lumbering operations and 20 per cent of the mills are closed, while those that are running are operating at only 75 per cent capacity. For the last four weeks in July reports from 122 mills operating on Douglas fir show production 31 per cent below normal as compared with 7.5 per cent during the preceding four weeks.

Unshipped orders amounted to $330,000,000$ feet as compared with $343,000,000$ feet. Building, however, is active. In district No. 9 (Minneapolis) lumber cut and shipped by producers increased about 50 per cent during the month. While average orders on hand by southern pine producers decreased from 502,927,000 feet for July 9 to $389,850,000$ feet for July 30, production during the same period shows an increase, the average figure for the week ending July 30 being 468,983,000 feet, which is about 75 per cent of normal.
The labor situation is reported generally favorable. In district No. 1 (Boston) employment in the textile industries is somewhat depressed, and the same is true of the shoe factories, certain of which, however, have started up again, but with production curtailed. Returns from public employment offices show that the number of positions reported filled during July suffered a loss of 34 per cent from June of this year and 34 per cent from July of last year. In spite of curtailment of output and reduction in number of operations in certain industries, the report of the New York State Industrial Commission shows a decrease of only one-half of 1 per cent between June and July in number of factory workers. Since the report of district No. 2 was received, there has been a strike on a leading traction company in New York City. District No. 7 (Chicago) reports the labor situation "distinctly better, though there is some shortage." On the Pacific coast "the supply of labor about equals demand throughout the district."
The volume of trade, both wholesale and retail, is reviewed as usual in the Board's special reports on that topic. Generally speaking, retail trade is fairly satisfactory. The Board's systematic report on wholesale trade conditions has not been extended throughout all Federal Reserve districts. The data at hand, however, indicate an interesting and, on the whole, promising situation. Price reductions have evidently led to a revival of buying activity on the part of retailers in the South and West. Reports from wholesale dry goods firms in the Atlanta district show average increases in sales of 79.1 per cent in July as compared with June. Nevertheless, the sales of these same firms were still 26.6 per cent below the figures for July a year ago-a vivid illustration of the extent to which buying activity had fallen off in preceding months. The Dallas reports also indicate increased activity in wholesale dry goods, although sales are slightly below July, 1919. San Francisco reports show increases of about one-third in value of sales over the preceding month for 12 wholesale dry goods houses, while the sales exceeded those for a year ago by nearly

32 per cent. Wholesale grocery firms reporting show only slight increases in sales during the month, in some instances recording decreases, while the same is true for hardware and shoe lines. In the San Francisco district returns based on sales of 23 wholesale hardware concerns indicate average declines of 7.7 per cent as compared with June, while 13 wholesale shoe establishments showed reductions of 10 per cent in volume of sales. Reports uniformly testify, however, to the fact that hardware sales have averaged much above those for July a year ago, while the limited data available for wholesale shoe houses shows that in this line the volume of sales is below that of last year. Downward tendencies in wholesale prices are noted for groceries, dry goods, and shoes, but no evidence of weakening is found in wholesale hardware or furniture lines. It is probably significant that so important a jobbing center as St. Louis reports good buying activity on the part of retailers to meet heavy current needs, although here, as elsewhere, there is an indisposition to make commitments for the future.
The situation in the retail trade has not changed in any essential way since last month. The volume of sales continues moderately large. In all districts sales in terms of value are greater than those during the same month last year. In many districts sales of essential commodities in terms of physical amounts are said to be fully as great and in some cases to exceed those during the same period last year. These results, however, have to a large extent been accomplished by means of reduction sales. The demand for luxuries and semiluxuries has everywhere notably fallen off. Merchants are maintaining an attitude of caution in replenishing their stocks, apparently waiting for prices to reach a position of greater stability.

Financially the month has been quiet. Interest and discount rates have continued high, with general scarcity of funds. The reserve position of the Federal Reserve Banks has been well maintained. The Federal Reserve Bank of Boston reports that reserve conditions are improving and that the expected stringency in the money market usual during the fall months should not be greater than last year. The bill market for bankers' acceptances in New York has continued active, with supply more plentiful toward the end of the period and demand fairly well sustained. There is evidence that investors are taking up Liberty bonds and Victory notes for permanent absorption. Sales of new securities continue to fall off and financial operations are postponed whenever possible because of the difficulties arising out of high rates of interest. There is some slowing down of collections and this has
been an unfavorable element in the financial position of some lines of business. On the whole it is believed in most districts that more favorable financial conditions are in sight and that merchants and manufacturers, while drawing heavily upon the resources of the banks, are doing so primarily for productive purposes and not for speculative or nonproductive objects. Liquidation has been carried still further in the stock market and prices have reached in New York levels lower than those of February or May. The news of the higher railroad-rate decision caused only a temporary recovery. Call money rates have generally been moderate, ranging from 7 to 9 per cent practically throughout the period. Time money has been scarce and the charge for it has been high.

On the Pacific coast member-bank conditions are not far from stable. Interest and discount rates, however, have shown some tendency to harden during the past month. Some of the southern banks show a consider-
able increase in their accommodations to member banks. An increased strain has been imposed upon the present situation as the result of active harvesting. From the grain districts (Minneapolis) it is reported that moderate liquidation of loans was made by customers during July, although an actual calculation of the extent of it is said to be difficult to make. Money rates have remained firm, with little change.
The collection situation has been the subject of a special inquiry during the past month and the results of it are presented elsewhere. In a general way, the situation is fairly favorable, with collections slowing down in a number of instances due to desire on the part of people to conserve their funds in order to avoid borrowing at high rates from banks, as well as to lack of funds in other cases, due to slow movement of commodities. Someincrease in commercial failures is noted practically throughout the country, but there are many lines in which the change, if any, has been small.

## SPECIAL REPORTS ON BUSINESS CONDITIONS.

## THE MOVEMENT OF LOANS.

The movement of loans in the several Federal Reserve districts shows considerable variation. Demands are reported to be heavy on the whole, with conditions virtually unchanged from the preceding month. District No. 5 (Richmond) and district No. 11 (Dallas) report recent expansion in the loans of member banks, but district No. 9 (Minneapolis) and district No. 10 (Kansas City) state that moderate liquidation of loans has begun. In districts No. 2 (New York) and No. 3 (Philadelphia) very little change in the volume of bank loans is reported, while in district No. 1 (Boston) causes locally operative have brought about a liquidation of bank loans in Boston proper, with some slight increases in other parts of the district.

## DISTRICT NO. 1 (BOSTON).

Member banks in Boston show a $\$ 12,000,000$ decline in loans and investments from July 16 to August 13; on the latter date these stood at $\$ 775,000,000$ against $\$ 787,000,000$ four weeks earlier. The decline was distributed among all types of loans and investments, the reduction in loans secured by stocks and bonds being some $\$ 5,000,000$, in certificates of indebtedness some $\$ 4,000,000$, and in commercial loans and investments almost $\$ 2,000,000$. In comparison with the decline in loans and investments there has been practically no change in either demand deposits or time deposits, while such change as has occurred has been due to the reduction of Government deposits. Loans from the Federal Reserve Bank have been reduced some $\$ 12,000,000$. Member banks in New Haven, Hartford, Springfield, and Providence do not show any decrease in loans, there being in fact a slight increase, less than $\$ 500,000$, while deposits remain the same as on July 16. Loans with the Federal Reserve Bank, however, have increased slightly, due undoubtedly to the withdrawal of Government deposits in these institutions. Notwithstanding this increase in loans in the Federal Reserve Bank, the fact stands forth that 9 out of the 24 member banks in these cities on August 13 were not borrowing at all from the Federal Reserve Bank of Boston.

DISTRICT NO. 2 (NEW YORK).
The period from July 1 to August 20 has been one of shifting credit, but of no substantial change in the volume of bank loans. This, however, may be considered as equivalent to a slight decrease in loans, for loans normally increase somewhat during July and August in anticipation of autumn demands. Since July 1 the deposits of the New York City banks have decreased \$147,000,000 and the Federal Reserve Bank of New York has lost $\$ 61,000,000$ of gold through the gold settlement fund to other districts. The loss of deposits is due in part to reductions of out-of-town bank balances, but more largely to the maintenance of smaller balances by commercial customers, either to avoid further borrowing or in anticipation of having to borrow less during the coming months. Gradual liquidation in the prices of securities has lessened the demand for call money, resulting in slightly lower rates, but otherwise the credit situation has remained unchanged during the past 30 days.

## DISTRIOT NO. 3 (PHILADELPHIA).

Banks in the third district show little change in condition during the past month. If anything, there has been a slight increase in loans and deposits. Philadelphia Clearing House members shared in the increase in loans, but they are still considerably below the figures which they reported earlier in the year.
Commercial paper dealers feel that some firms at least are adjusting their finances to the present credit situation and are offering paper in smaller volume. The general supply is sufficient however to care for the small demand from the banks. It is said that greater discrimination is being shown in purchases and that first-class paper only is wanted by many institutions. The average rate is unchanged at 8 per cent for good names.

## DISTRIOT NO. 4 (CLEVELAND).

There is no slackening in the demand for funds. This may be said to be especially true of the rural banks, where efforts are being made to finance the farmer.

The manufacturing districts report no change in credit conditions. The principal reasons given for making new loans and renewing old ones are "large inventories occasioned by the necessity of making larger purchases than usual to insure adequate supplies of goods and raw materials, large quantities of finished goods because of inadequate transportation facilities, cancellations of orders, and slow collections."

In some sections it is reported that customers' balances in banks are smaller than ever before, indicating a probability that more and more money is being converted into inventory and manufactured goods, now known as "frozen capital." The liquidation of these goods depends upon how soon traffic conditions may be restored to the point where they may find their way to market.

## DISTRICT NO. 5 (RICHMOND).

Condition reports received from 82 member banks show an increase in outstanding loans and investments amounting to $\$ 7,311,000$ in 5 weeks, totals for week ending August 6 being $\$ 490,261,000$ as against $\$ 482,950,000$ for the week ending July 2, 1920. This would certainly seem to prove that no sudden and disastrous calling of loans is being done by member banks, as is sometimes charged. During the 5 weeks deposits increased $\$ 15,087,000$ in the same 82 banks. Banks are meeting all actual needs, and there is little evidence of serious credit strain except in speculative fields or in cases in which corporations have attempted to expand too rapidly. Railway conditions have not improved sufficiently to release very much frozen credit now tied up in raw materials and finished goods for which transportation can not be secured.

## DISTRICT NO. 7 (CHICAGO).

Ordinarily credit demand is heaviest in the fall of the year, because of agricultural necessity, and the present year is no exception. Considerable attention has been devoted to the possible effect of new crop requirements at the banks because of their already loaned-up condition. There is a wide divergence of opinion among bankers as to the probable demands. Both the country elevator operators and the farmers are clearing bins of any carry-over from the 1919 crop where possible, to make way for the new yield, but this is a slow process. Credit frozen to grain carried over from last year will be released for new usage with the marketing of old grain, which is now actively under way with an added supply of cars in the grain belt.

## DISTRICT NO. 8 (ST. LOUIS).

No marked changes in financial conditions in the district occurred during the past month. There is a continued broad demand for money and credits, with the call from the country particularly urgent. There has been some liquidation in loans, and during the past week or ten days certain country banks have slightly reduced their obligations. The slump in deposits, however, continues. Sharp price reductions in some staples have had a tendency to promote liquidation of accumulated stocks. Thus far the massive requirements for crop financing have been met, and requirements of essential business are being well cared for. Consensus of opinion among bankers is that with a continuance of the recent conservative policy in the matter of apportioning credits and the discouragement of speculation in all forms, general business can be carried forward without serious disturbance until the return flow of money begins in the fall. Efforts on the part of railroads to increase transportation efficiency and expedite the crop movement are expected to be reflected shortly in the release of enormous credits heretofore tied up in delayed freight. To the South, where the old crop of cotton has not been sold, banks have been obliged to carry a heavy burden, but withal financing of the growing crop has been adequately handled. Rates are high, and present indications are that there will be little change.

Commercial paper houses report extreme dullness in their line, July and August business being well under that of preceding seasons. Country banks are buying sparingly, and the large city institutions are too closely pushed to supply regular and routine demands of their customers to invest in paper of this class. Some paper has sold fractionally above 8 per cent, but the prevailing rate is still 8 per cent, with no names, however good, obtaining concessions under that figure.

## DISTRICT NO. 9 (MINNEAPOLIS).

Reports received from 35 selected member banks in the larger cities in this district, and which comprise about 75 per cent of the lending operations of the Federal Reserve Bank, indicate that a moderate liquidation of loans was made by customers during the month of July. These member banks reduced loans to customers by $\$ 5,000,000$ between July 2 and August 6, and this was effected by a decrease in customers' deposits amounting to $\$ 4,000,000$. These member banks liquidated loans at the Federal Reserve Bank amounting to $\$ 4,900,000$, and this was effected in part by sales of Gov-
ernment securities made by these banks amounting to $\$ 2,400,000$.

Between July 2 and August 6 the Federal Reserve Bank collected $\$ 4,900,000$ from the larger banks and loaned about $\$ 3,900,000$ to other member banks, thereby realizing a net reduction of accommodation to member banks in this district of $\$ 1,000,000$.

## DISTRICT NO. 10 (KANSAS CITY).

There are many evidences that liquidation of loans has set in, throughout the wheat sections in particular, which may be expected to become heavier in September. Demand for loans continues strong at the reserve cities and rates remain firm. There is noticeable a slight improvement in the car situation, and should this improvement continue there is every reason to expect that this district will rapidly regain its financial position.

## DISTRIOT NO. 11 (DALLAS).

Notwithstanding the generally auspicious outlook throughout the district, the present inflation of credit makes it certain that the margin of surplus income from production this year will not be sufficient to support any radical expansion of industry and commerce in the form of new enterprises in 1921. Bank loans have been steadily mounting during the past six months, until now the Dallas Federal Reserve Bank's loans to member banks are two-thirds larger than they were a year ago, and the district is drawing extensively upon the surplus funds of other sections. Liquidation during the coming fall months, therefore, should be and is expected to be heavy, except in those sections where cotton is being heavily damaged by weevils, worms, or grasshoppers, and in certain parts of the extreme western section of the district where weather and market conditions have not been favorable to the cattle and sheep industry. Cotton being the district's chief reliance for the payment of its obligations, the extent of general liquidation this fall will depend largely upon (1) the average price commanded by the 1920 crop, and (2) the growers' ability to hold in check during the next few weeks the ravages of insects resulting from recent excessive rains.

Condition reports of reserve city banks as of July 30 reflected the increased strain imposed upon the present credit situation by the farger demands of industry and agriculture pending the harvesting of crops. Loans increased $\$ 6,260,000$ over the total reported June 25, and bills payable with Federal Reserve Bank were larger by $\$ 10,235,000$.

## COLLECTION CONDITIONS.

In connection with the preparation of the report on business conditions in the several Federal Reserve districts during August, special attention was given to the collection situation, and information was obtained from a large number of business houses as to their experience since the opening of the present year. Considerable differences appear as between the several districts, and as between different lines of industry. Where the decline (with relation to volume of business done) has occurred, it commenced more largely in the second quarter, although in a relatively small number of cases it was apparent about the opening of the year. In certain cases the decline is not found until about July, while some houses report improvement in collections during August. In the agricultural districts it is in general too early in the year to accurately judge conditions, as dependence is placed on the crops to supply funds for the liquidation of accounts, but on the whole relatively little or no decline in collections is reported. In the manufacturing districts, on the other hand, collections have declined broadly in those industries, such as tanning, textiles and auto tires, which have witnessed a decline in sales, while in general they have been well maintained in other industries, such as hardware and drugs and chemicals, in which activity has continued. The manner in which the slowing up is manifested also varies with the industry in question. Where a high percentage of cash discounters is normally found, this decrease will naturally occur in the percentage of those taking the discount, rather than in the percentage of accounts running past due. On the whole, from certain districts, such as No. 3 (Philadelphia), it is reported that the first mentioned has been the particular form in which the slowing up has been noted, while in district No. 4 (Cleveland) it is stated that it was also the earliest indication of the slowing up, while "as the credit pinch became more apparent the falling off showed noticeably in the percentage of accounts running past due." In district No. 12 (San Francisco) the falling off has been equally pronounced in the two ways.

Turning to the agricultural districts, in district No. 9 (Minneapolis) while "October 1st is the 'big pay day,' and July and August are slack months with few collections due, collection conditions on the whole are good," and there has been no increase in bad debts. "Business has been dull in many lines," with "very little overstocking and consequent inability to settle obligations promptly." From district No. 10 (Kansas City) it is stated that
with the exception of "some sections where car shortage has interfered with the movement of grain, and comparatively few accounts are running overdue, merchants report that they have not noted any decline in collections since the beginning of this year." Turning to the South, in district No. 5 (Richmond) "collection conditions are not far from normal." In district No. 11 (Dallas), while general liquidation in the fall will depend upon the cotton crop, "July witnessed an appreciable slowing up of collections as compared with the situation earlier in the summer, but a falling off in collections at this season of the year is a normal occurrence, as payments are usually at a low ebb just prior to the harvesting of the cotton crop." In district No. 6 (Atlanta) "collections are not as good as for the past month or two. Increasing numbers of accounts are being allowed to run past due, and collections are harder to make."

In some of the districts in which manufacturing plays a larger rôle, collections appear to have been affected to a greater extent. This has been most noticeable, according to the report from District No. 3 (Philadelphia), "in those lines which have been affected by declining business demand," certain lines showing little if any change. In district No. 4 (Cleveland) it is believed that the slow movement of freight may have "contributed more to the slowing up of collections than has the difficulty in securing bank accommodation." It is stated from that district that "while the general situation as regards collections may be said to be satisfactory when all circumstances are considered, the month of June appears to have been the turning point in nearly all lines of business. Collections from the first of the year through the month of May were reported as normal, for the most part, but in some lines the slowing up developed as early as February. Since June collection resistance has increased, although a few firms note an improvement in August." From district No 7 (Chicago), it is stated that in nearly all "branches of trade there is manifested a more general tendency on the part of the purchasers of goods to ignore discounts or ask extensions. Some of this is traceable to the usual seasonal let-up, but not all of it can be so explained." Most houses' collections, however, are reported "good or normal." In district No. 2 (New York) "delayed collections are reported generally throughout the millinery, fur manufacturing, clothing, men's furnishing, and leather trades" as well as in textiles. "Prompt collections are reported in the drug jobbing, crockery, glassware, hardware, and cutlery trades, while the
niano trade reports continued good payments." In district No. 12 (San Francisco) about 50 per cent of the reporting firms have experienced no decline in collections.
Turning to individual industries, wholesale grocers almost universally report collections normal or good. The status of collections is reflected in the following table, which gives average percentages since January 1, 1920, of outstandings on the first of each month to last month's sales, as reported by wholesale grocers' associations. in various sections of the country. Caution is necessary, however, in the use of these figures, as the averages are unweighted, and moreover the number and identity of reporting firms changes somewhat from month to month.

Average collection percentages.

|  | New York. | Penn- <br> syl- <br> vania, New Jersey. and Delaware. | Ohio. | Illi- <br> nois. | Mich. igan. | Iowa, <br> $\mathrm{Ne}-$ <br> braska, and Min-nesota. | Oklahoma. | Cali fornia. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. 1. | 105.5 | 93.0 | 81.9 |  | 68.9 | 98.2 | 104.0 | 104. |
| Feb. 1 | 94.7 | 93.4 | 83.8 |  | 77.4 | 101.6 | 109.0 | 97.5 |
| Mar. 1 | 113.1 | 105.8 | 85.5 |  | 87.5 | 101.3 | 106.0 | 112.0 |
| Apr. 1. | 95.2 | 92.8 | 79.0 |  | 70.9 | 89.7 | 99.9 | 99.6 |
| May 1. | 100.9 | 92.3 | 76.9 | 82.7 | 70.7 | 88.6 | 99.8 | 96.1 |
| June 1 | 93.3 | 89.3 | 78.6 | 79.2 | 74.6 | 92.7 | 105.0 | 95.0 |
| July 1. | 95.7 | 86.0 | 72.6 | 79.8 | 65.9 | 86.0 | 106.0 | 101.9 |
| Aug. 1. | 85.9 | 75.0 |  | 78.5 | 67.9 | 88.0 | 107.0 | 100.2 |

While this is the general situation, from district No. 4 (Cleveland) it is stated that "wholesale grocers report that some of their heaviest buyers are slow in making remittances, and are showing a tendency to becoming slightly delinquent." The wholesale grocers at this time of the year are receiving many goods for the fall and winter trade, requiring funds in excess of those received from collections, and certain of them are thus slow in paying current bills. In district No. 6 (Atlanta) certain leading firms report a falling off in collections, stating that it commenced in June, and was especially marked with supply merchants in small towns. A leading eastern canner reports that collections have been more difficult during the last six months, but especially since June 1. A leading flour miller notes a falling off in collections of bills on open account, commencing with February, and most pronounced in that month, with June the next most noticeable month, but collections are now again normal. The slump occurred on sales to the small baker with little capital, and is ascribed to" overanxiety of milling salesmen to increase business after war-time
regulations were removed." Ninety per cent of the product of the milling industry, however, is sold on documented drafts, and the opinion is expressed that these are probably out five or ten days longer now than a few months ago. Flour manufacturers' collections in district No. 8 (St. Louis) "are not uniformly satisfactory."

Among lines in which collections have been well maintained are also drugs and chemicals, tobacco, and hardware. In district No. 3 (Philadelphia), however, "a slight retardation in drugs and chemicals collections is noted," but in district No. 8 (St. Louis) "in drugs and chemicals, and hardware, and in metal goods generally, the recent upward pace has been well maintained." Hardware manufacturers in district No. 4 (Cleveland) report a decline in collections beginning with July, being most noticeable during August, and found especially in accounts running past due. In the steel industry collections are reported as generally satisfactory with the exception of the automobile trade. No decline is reported in general by hardware manufacturers in district No. 7 (Chicago). Several hardware jobbers in district No. 6 (Atlanta) state that they have experienced no decline in collections, one a decline only in July, and one reports that while "fairly good, collections are not what they should be," and attributes this to advance in prices, stating that "the country merchant can not make enough on the turnover to take care of the advance that he has to put in the replacement of goods." Agricultural implement manufacturers report that collections in general are slower, although the time of commencement is given variously as from March to July, and one states merely that collections are" much slower than they were a year ago." Local dealers generally are stated to have been unable to finance themselves at their local banks, and the manufacturer has been under the necessity of extending short-time credit.

Prominent among lines which have been adversely affected are leather, textiles, and auto tires. In the tanning industry the situation is rather mixed. The volume of business is small and a considerable number of firms, owing to careful selection of purchasers, report no decrease in collections. In district No. 3 (Philadelphia) the latter was the case with about one-half the number of tanners reporting, the others showing a decline commencing variously in March, April, and June and about July 1, being given in several cases as most pronounced in June. Several state that the decrease is most pronounced in the percentage of those who formerly discounted their bills
but who now take full time. Tanners in district No. 4 (Cleveland) "generally report collections as very satisfactory, although a slowing up is noted, particularly on the part of those who are always inclined to be dilatory." Shoe manufacturers in this district report a noticeable decrease in the percentage of discounters, an increase in the number of accounts permitted to run past due, and numerous requests for extension of open accounts. In district No. 3 (Philadelphia), a decline is reported by certain shoe manufacturers, commencing with May or June. Shoe manufacturers in district No. 7 (Chicago) generally report a decrease, commencing in practically all cases in May and given by some as most pronounced in June, by others as equally pronounced in May and July. The decrease is noted in accounts running past due. Shoe wholesalers in district No. 6 (Atlanta) in general report a decrease commencing in April, May, or June, and most pronounced in most cases in July, being most noticeable in past due accounts. One remarks that "slowness seemed rather general, but more pronounced in country and small town accounts," while several report greater effort required in making collections.

Cotton yarn collections in district No. 3 (Philadelphia) are reported extremely poor and requests for extensions are common. Some leading silk manufacturers report a decrease in collections, most marked on the whole since about June. In the various branches of the wool industry a slowing up is reported, and is noted by certain houses in district No. 3 (Philadelphia) as occurring more largely in the second quarter or in July. Hosiery and underwear manufacturers in this district report a slowing up of collections, remarked more particularly during June and July. A leading underwear manufacturer in district No. 9 (Minneapolis) shows a percentage of past due paper to total volume this year of 3 per cent as against 1.2 per cent in 1919, this being credited to the small country merchant of the Middle West, and rather to dislike for bookwork than to financial insecurity. Comparing the same two periods, however, the percentage of discounters shows an increase of 4.5 per cent. Textile collections in district No. 4 (Cleveland) were extremely good until the end of May, there being much anticipation, but there has been a noticeable slowing up since June 30 and a practical elimination of anticipation. A recent "investigation by one of the New York credit agencies indicates that about 20 per cent of accounts of the smaller concerns are overdue. While the accounts of the larger textile houses
are in somewhat better condition, it was estimated that 12 to 15 per cent of these payments were also delayed. While this is a very high percentage of slow accounts, the general opinion of the trade looks for some gradual improvement in payments as prices steady."

The majority of wholesale dry goods houses in district No. 4 (Cleveland) state that their "percentage of collections for the first six months was in excess of that for the same period last year. There is, however, less inclination to anticipate the usual dating, probably due to inability to secure customary bank accommodation." While certain houses in district No. 7 (Chicago) report no decline in collections, but on the contrary show an increase, one house reports a decrease since May, discounters now passing the discount and open accounts in a considerable number of cases running past due. Certain houses in district No. 3 (Philadelphia) report that collctions are "not quite as free as recently," and some cotton goods commission houses indicate a falling off during August. There has been a seasonal decrease in district No. 6 (Atlanta), noticed particularly in accounts running past due, but one house states that "they have been unusually good, that is, for the summer season," while another has been able to "keep the showing of actual collections as good as in the last two or three years only at the expense of a great deal of more effort."

Reports received from leading clothiers indicate a falling off in collections since April. A large manufacturer in district No. 7 (Chicago) notes an increase of 47.5 per cent in average receivables outstanding at the end of the month during April to July, inclusive, as against January to March, inclusive. Another shows 82 per cent of its outstandings at the end of June over 30 days old, while at the end of April about 23 per cent had run longer than 30 days. From St. Louis, however, it is stated that collections in this line, "while not as good as they have been, are in the main satisfactory." In district No. 4 (Cleveland) clothing and garment trade collections are said to be satisfactory, although there has been little anticipation. The percentage of past due accounts, while showing an increase over earlier in the year, is still very small, and August collections are said to show improvement. In district No. 1 (Boston) collections in the men's furnishing industry are good, although in district No. 3 (Philadelphia) several reports show a decrease since about April or May. In district No. 2 (New York), as noted above, delayed payments are reported generally in the industry.

In the rubber tire industry it is reported from district No. 4 (Cleveland) that "a slow decline is noted beginning in January." Not until May 10 (and June 10 in the northern part of the country), at which time bills for the heavy deliveries during the winter months are due,
"was a material drop in collections observed, due to retardation of sales owing to slow deliveries and a rainy spring, and inaoility to obtain bank accommodation." In district No. 3 (Philadelphia) "collections are reported as very slow, and this is attributed to the fact that customers are unable to secure accommodation at the bank," being especially felt in view of the large capital required due to high prices. In this district collections are also slowing up in other branches of the rubber industry. Inasmuch as automobiles are largely sold against sight draft, no change is noted in collections, with the exception of one manufacturer in district No. 7 (Chicago), who reports slowness especially in July on sales made on open account.

## PRODUCTION AND ORDERS OF UNDERWEAR.

Certain data are being gathered monthly by the Association of Knit Goods Manufacturers of America relative to production, shipments, unfilled orders, and cancellations in the knit-underwear industry.

Fifty-seven mills belonging to the association report their production of winter and summer underwear for the month of July at 583,190 dozen ( 73.4 per cent of normal output). June reports from 54 mills gave a production total of 560,034 dozen ( 80.3 per cent of normal).
Twenty representative mills reported for June and July the following data (in dozens):

|  | June. | July. | Loss. | Gain. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unfilled orders, first of month | 987,084 | 770,995 | 216,089 |  |
| New orders during month..... | 49,663 | 22, 847 | 26,816 |  |
| Shipments during month.. | 245,366 | 285, 130 |  | 39,764 |
| Cancellations during month | 20,386 | 16,698 230,418 | 3,688 |  |
| Production during month. | 260, 140 | 230, 418 | 35,722 |  |

Thirty-two mills reported similar data for July alone as follows:

Unfilled orders first of month, $1,285,005$ dozen
Production during month, 421,790 dozen ( 79.6 per cent of normal production).

New ordeis received during month, 36,291 dozen ( 8.6 per cent of production).
Shipments during month, 461,332 dozen (109.3 per cent of production).
Cancellations during month, 24,416 dozen (5.7 per cent of production).
Balance of orders on hand August 1, 835,558 dozen


Increase (or decrease) in volume of net sales during July, 1920, as compared with July, 1919.


DISTRICT NO. 6 (ATLANTA).
A majority of reports received from wholesale grocery firms in the district show substantial reductions in the money volume of sales during July as compared to sales for June. Most reports show increases over sales for July, 1919, ranging from 5 per cent to as high as 65 per cent and 70 per cent. While there
may be some occasional reaction, the opinion of the trade generally indicates a gradual decline in prices.
The increase in money volume of sales by reporting wholesale dry goods firms ranges from 16.4 per cent to 114.2 per cent over sales for June. All reports show decreases as compared to July, 1919, ranging from 17.2 per cent to 37.5 per cent. Buying is limited to actual needs, and lower prices are looked for.
Comparison of sales during July and June by wholesale hardware firms vary from a decrease of 9.1 per cent to an increase of 55.4 per cent. Compared to sales for July last year, one report shows a decrease of 25.3 per cent, while a majority of reports show increases ranging up to 57.2 per cent. While there is some expectation of lower prices later on, there seems to be no immediate prospect of a material change.

Wholesale shoe firms report increases for July over June as high as 50 per cent, while in only one or two cases are decreases shown. Only one report shows an increase over July last year, decreases in other instances ranging from 6 per cent to 28 per cent. The downward tendency is expected to continue, but by a long swing rather than precipitately. Wholesale cancellations of orders during June and July are now to some extent being reinstated.

## DISTRICT NO. 11 (DALLAS).

The increased volume of orders booked in July by wholesale dealers in dry goods, hardware, drugs, and automobile supplies, as reported by our correspondent firms, indicates that retailers are now beginning to lay in stocks in preparation for the fall trade.

Reports indicate that the wholesale apparel trade shows symptoms of renewed strength, following an exceptionally dull summer season. According to newspaper accounts of the first "buyers excursions" recently conducted by the large wholesale centers in this district, buying of fall merchandise has opened up on a more enlarged scale than the wholesalers were led to expect by the previous attitude of extreme caution on the part of the retail distributors. Although the excellent crop prospects have unquestionably created a more hopeful feeling in all lines of business, the effect of the present strained condition of credit is still apparent in the volume of orders reported booked by the wholesale trade last month, as contrasted with sales in July, 1919.
While all reporting lines except farm implements showed an increase in sales over the month of June, 1920, July sales of dry goods, groceries, auto supplies, and farm implements were below the record of July, 1919. Only
hardware and drugs scored gains for the 12month period. July witnessed an appreciable slowing up of collections as compared with the situation earlier in the summer. It may be said, however, that a falling off in collections at this season of the year is a normal occurrence, as payments are usually at a low ebb just prior to the harvesting of the cotton crop.

Wholesale prices generally were stationary in July, with a slight weakening shown in certain grocery staples and in dry goods, and an upward tendency in the drug and hardware lines. Hardware dealers report that it is becoming increasingly difficult to secure goods from manufacturers, whose supply of raw materials and manufactured stocks is said to be unusually low at this time. Wholesale stocks on hand July 31, measured by cost values, showed substantial increases over those of a year ago in all reporting lines except dry goods. This situation denotes, to some extent at least, an improvement in the transportation service, which, though still the subject of general complaint, is more satisfactory than it was a year ago.

```
DISTRICT NO. 12 (SAN FRANCISCO).
```

Department stores and wholesale grocery, dry goods, and shoe stores reported a slight downward tendency in prices during the past month, while wholesale hardware, stationery, and furniture stores reported an upward tendency in prices. Reports indicate that the transportation situation is not interfering with local deliveries, but many concerns report difficulty in securing shipments from the East because of car shortage. Collections during July were reported in general as good, the same as in June, although an increasing number of stores reported that July collections were only fair.
Condition of Wholesale Trade During July, 1920, in District No. 12.
Percentage of increase or decrease in net sales for July, 1920, over July, 1919.

|  | Hard ware. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dry } \\ & \text { goods. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gro- } \\ & \text { ceries. } \end{aligned}$ | Shoes. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sta- } \\ & \text { tion- } \\ & \text { ery. } \end{aligned}$ | Furniture. | Total. ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Los Angeles. | 29.3 |  | 7.1 | 4.7 | 52.0 | 15.3 | 20.2 |
| Sacramento.. | 12.2 |  | 7.7 |  |  |  | 8.4 |
| San Francisco | 30.4 | 33.5 | ${ }^{2} 1.5$ | 223.7 | 45.1 | 218.8 | 11.8 |
| Portland. | 15.8 | 54.8 | 1.8 | 23.3 | 80.5 | 1.1 | 8.8 |
| Salt Lake City. |  |  | 4.3 |  | 74.8 |  | 4.1 |
| Seattle. | 4.8 | 20.8 | 4.1 |  | 7.9 | 15.3 | 10.1 |
| Spokane. | 78.6 | 57.2 | 12.3 |  | 28.2 |  | 21.8 |
| Tacoma. | 11.1 |  | 6.1 |  | 37.6 | 14.1 | 8.5 |
| District. | 20.4 | 31.7 | 2.7 | 21.8 | 37.3 | 8.8 | 12.0 |

Drugs: San Francisco, 29.1 per cent; Portland, 11.1 per cent district, 23.7 per cent.
:Includes reporting drug firms.
${ }^{2}$ Decrease.

Percentage of increase in net sales Jan. 1-July 30, 1920, over same period, 1919.

|  | Hardware. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dry } \\ \text { goods. } \end{gathered}$ | Groceries. | Shoes. | Sta-tionery. | Furniture. | Total. ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Los Angeles. | 59.7 |  | 34.8 | 37.5 | 52.2 | 21.2 | 46.5 |
| Sacramento. | 46.0 |  | 18.7 |  |  |  | 27.6 |
| San Francisco | 52.2 | 49.1 | 28.5 | 20.9 | 52.9 | 82.4 | 35.9 |
| Portland. | 33.0 | 31.9 | 28.2 | 27.2 | 54.3 | 51.0 | 21.9 |
| Salt Lake City. |  |  | 32.5 |  | 50.0 |  | 33.6 |
| Seattle... | 26.6 | 58.8 | 20.9 |  | 23.5 | 14.4 | 28.9 |
| Spokane. | 87.7 | 57.1 | 25.2 |  | 37.5 |  | 33.0 |
| Tacoma. | 30.1 |  | 19.3 |  | 41.4 | 74.5 | 27.5 |
| District | 42.3 | 48.9 | 27.7 | 14.3 | 43.4 | 55.4 | 34.2 |

Drugs: San Franciseo, 21.3 per cent; district, 25.2 per cent. ${ }^{1}$ Includes reporting drug firms. 2 Decrease.

Percentage of increase or decrease in net sales for July, 1920, over June, 1920.

|  | Hardware. | Dry | Groceries. | Shoes. | Sta-tionery. | Furniture. | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Los Angeles. | 16.7 |  | 7.9 | 110.9 | ${ }^{1} 22.3$ | 8.6 | 0.4 |
| Sacramento.. | 119.4 |  | 12.4 |  |  |  | 28.9 |
| San Francisco | 13.6 | 40.3 | ${ }^{1} 14.2$ | ${ }^{1} 12.3$ |  |  | 1.8 |
| Portland. | 14.0 | 19.0 | 38.0 | 16.5 | 22.9 | 5.4 | 17.5 |
| Salt Lake City |  |  | 12.6 |  | 11.9 |  | 1.2 |
| Seattle... | 118.3 | 11.2 | 14.2 |  | 14.1 |  | 12.5 |
| Spokane. | 13.6 | 18.6 | 12.9 |  | 12.1 |  | 12.8 |
| Tacoma. | ${ }^{1} 12.6$ |  | 19.4 |  |  | 126.4 | ${ }^{1} 10.5$ |
| District. | 17.7 | 33.4 | 5.4 | 110.0 | 5.5 | 15.5 | 6.7 |

Drugs: Portland, 8.2 per cent; ${ }^{1}$ district, 4.7 per cent. ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ Decrease.

## - Loans for Essential Industry.

Governor Harding, in a letter of reply to a lumber company on August 27, discussed the question of essential production as follows:

The Federal Reserve Board has done nothing by act or implication to discourage loans for essential building operations. The Board is well aware that the country is several years behind in its building operations, due to curtailment during the period of the war. It recognizes the urgent need for more houses for dwelling and business purposes and realizes that construction work now under way is not nearly great enough to supply the requirements. This is due in part to the difficulty in making the necessary financial arrangements, for many of the savings banks, insurance companies, and other lending institutions have invested heavily in Government bonds and are just finishing the liquidation of the indebtedness incurred in making these investments, while others have applications on file for loans which have to be taken up in regular course. Another obstacle to the progress of building operations is the lack of adequate transportation facilities. We are now having the seasonal movement of crops and the facilities of the railroads will be severely taxed for the next few months in furnishing adequate transportation for this purpose. The abnormal cost of construction is having its effect upon building operations, many owners being reluctant to build under existing circumstances, even where they are able to make satisfactory financial arrangements.

While the Federal Reserve Board has pointed out the necessity, in the present circumstances, for the exercise of more discriminating judgment on the part of banks generally in granting accommodations, it has repeatedly stated
that care should be taken to do nothing to interfere with essential production. The Board has never undertaken to define what in its opinion might be regarded as essential and nonessential loans: it has stated that this is a matter which should properly be left to the judgment of the individual banks. There can be no question that the production and distribution of the basic necessities of life, such as food, fuel, and clothing, are essential, and it is obvious that shelter is also a fundamental necessity.

The Federal Reserve Board does not feel that it can be justly charged with responsibility for any restrictions of credit to the building industry. The rediscount transactions of the Federal Reserve Banks are limited by section 13 of the Federal Reserve Act and reserve banks are not permitted to make direct loans to borrowers; they can only discount for member banks upon their indorsement "notes, drafts, and bills of exchange arising out of actual commercial transactions; that is, notes, drafts, and bills of exchange issued or drawn for agricultural, industrial, or commercial purposes, or the proceeds of which have been used, or are to be used, for such purposes, the Federal Reserve Board to have the right to determine or define the character of the paper thus eligible for discount within the meaning of this act." "Notes, drafts, and bills admitted to discount under the terms of this paragraph must have a maturity at the time of discount of not more than 90 days, exclusive of days of grace." The Federal Reserve Banks, therefore, clearly have no power to finance building operations in the sense that a savings bank or insurance company can finance such operations by taking a mortgage extending over a term of years, but when a building operation is properly financed in advance by contract with some responsible individual, firm, or corporation to furnish money at various stages of the construction, a reputable owner or contractor ought to have no difficulty in getting short-time accommodations at his bank for pay-roll purposes or for current purchases of material. Notes given under these conditions maturing within 90 days and otherwise conforming to the provisions of the Federal Reserve Act are eligible for discount at a Federal Reserve Bank when offered by a member bank with its indorsement.

## Erratum.

Attention has been called by Mr. Basil B. Blackett, of the British Treasury, to an error contained in statistics printed in the Federal Reserve Bulletin for July (p. 667). At that point the note circulation of the United Kingdom at the end of May, 1920, was given as $459,780,000$ pounds sterling. This figure, Mr . Blackett points out, really includes twice over 7,850,000 pounds sterling of bank notes held in the currency note reserve. To that extent it vitiates the comparison with 1919, inasmuch as no notes of the bank were then held in the currency note reserve. In future this factor if not allowed for may seriously affect figures, the notes of the bank held in the currency note reserve about the beginning of August already amounting to $18,600,000$ pounds sterling. Allowance should therefore be made in the figures given on page 667 of the Bulletin for July to the extent of the $7,850,000$ pounds sterling for which allowance was not made. Thanks are due to Mr. Blackett for the information.

## TERMS OF SALE.

The following is the sixth of a series of articles giving data as to current practice and recent history of terms of sale in the principal industries. Acknowledgment is due the various branches of the Government and the many business houses, individuals, and trade associations who have courteously furnished the information.

TANNING.
The tanning industry is very complex. There are two principal branches. Soleleather manufacturers confine themselves largely to this branch, although also producing belting and harness leather to some extent. There is a greater diversity in methods of tanning upper leather and a tendency to specialize on making certain classes and grades. ${ }^{1}$ The product is more varied, due to a wider range of uses, and there is a larger number of kinds of raw material. In addition to producing the various types of upper leather, a few tanners also include in their production bag and case, glove, fancy, and book leather. Most sole-leather tanners have a standard product for which there is a steady sale, consequently they produce considerable stock in advance of orders.
Leather is largely sold direct by the tanner to the manufacturer of leather products. Estimates place the proportion of leather sold direct at over 75 per cent of the total output, these figures including sales by tanners through associated houses and subsidiary companies. Although perhaps the majority of firms from. whom reports were received indicate no change in distributive methods during the past decade, some tanners report an increasing tendency to sell direct instead of through selling agents. The latter, however, may be employed in the sale of leather at a considerable distance from the tannery. One tanner believes that upper leather is sold to a larger extent through agents than is sole leather. The amount passing through the hands of leather dealers is very small. They are employed more largely in cleaning up job lots and in distributing to the smaller manufacturer. While considerable upper leather is sold on consignemnt, it is understood that a large quantity is sold outright. Commission merchants in recent years are stated to be to a considerable extent becoming direct owners of tanneries, and also hide importers and dealers. During the war period a considerable increase was noted in the number of small speculative jobbers.

[^0]Among jobbers, the "finders" are an important class, cutting up the stock and selling the smaller finder, cobbler, or shoe-repair man who is limited in his means, and carrying the large number of articles, such as thread, machine parts, rubber heels, etc., which he requires. ${ }^{2}$ Leather belting is sold almost entirely direct by tanners to the manufacturers.

The tanning industry has no marked seasonal aspect, and one tanner states that purchasing depends largely upon market conditions, business being unusually good when prices are firm and advancing, while less buying occurs when prices are weak and easier. On the whole, however, business of the second half year is heavier than the first. Although most tanners who furnished data report no change in this regard during the past decade, some report that seasonal fluctuations are now less pronounced. One tanner states that since terms on sole leather were changed about 10 years ago, fixed buying periods have been largely obliterated. Broadly, there are, of course, two seasons, spring and fall, with a short dull period of several weeks after each season. It has been stated that deliveries in October in general are heaviest, due to the fact that shoe manufacturers are stocking up for their next run, as well as to reorders for midwinter trade, while activity is lowest about April or later. This applies more largely to leather used by the shoe industry, which it has been estimated constitutes about 70 to 80 per cent of the total output. ${ }^{3}$ The demand for belting leather is not seasonal, but varies according to industrial requirements. When business is normal there is a steady trade all the year around in fancy leather. Purchases are made in the late winter and early spring for Easter business, and in the late summer and fall for Christmas business, with subsequent fill-in orders, and there is considerable buying for advertising purposes.

There are many variations in terms of sale in the industry as a whole, but in each branch certain terms are recognized as regular. Standard terms for sole leather are 4 per cent 10 days, 3 per cent 30 days, 2 per cent 60 days, net 90 days. A considerable number of tanners, however, do not quote the 90 -day terms, while some also omit the 60 -day terms. Twenty days extra is largely given, or payments permitted by a given date of the following month, such as the 10 th or 15 th, for the previous month's shipments. It has been stated that the terms of 3 per cent 30 days are

[^1]practically ignored. Certain tanners give no 20 days extra to purchasers taking 60 or 90 days. Some tanners make shipments direct from the tannery instead of from warehouses in the larger centers, and in this case terms are often made cash discount for payment on arrival. Terms were changed some years ago, the general consensus of opinion placing the time at about 8 to 10 years. Prior terms were 5 per cent 10 days, 4 per cent 60 days, "with almost any dating a shrewd buyer would feel inclined to exact under abnormal market conditions," and the change occurred as a result of the strain upon the tanner's resources. At first no 20 days extra was given, but this was shortly granted. It is stated by several authorities that dating is occasionally permitted at present, as for example, to jobbers in dull times. One tanner states that more recently there has been considered the question of reducing the discount from 4 per cent to 2 per cent which, state two authorities, was also attempted at the time the change in terms was carried out. The regular terms apply also on tanners' sales of cut soles, which are produced by several leading tanners (as well as by specialized manufacturers), and on rough belting leather. Finished belting leather, however, bears terms of 5 per cent 10 days, with 4 per cent 60 days under special arrangement to cover long time in transit. Cut stock for shoe repairing purposes bears terms of 1 per cent 10 days, in some cases with 20 days extra, and in some cases with net terms of 30 or 60 days. A leading tanner engaged in the sale of cut stock to finders makes terms of 1 per cent 10 days, net 30 days on blocks and strips, but quotes 4 per cent 10 days, 2 per cent 30 days, net 60 days on other classes of cut stock.

Regular terms on upper leather, including glazed kid and patent leather, are 5 per cent 10 days, 4 per cent 30 days. Considerable flexibility exists with reference to the discount period, and monthly settlement, ranging from the 1st to the 15 th, is frequent, while in many cases 30 days is granted. Under special agreement, with the 4 per cent discount, 60 days is specified in a few cases instead of 30 days. It has been reported that "the New England trade usually demand and frequently obtain" such terms. One tanner states that "it is not so much a question of changing terms as making our customers live up to them," while another states that "terms of sale do not seem to be considered an obligation or contract to most of the shoe trade, and there is tremendous abuse in regard to the time taken in the payment of bills and the amount of discount deducted." It has been stated by one authority that about 10 years ago an unsuccessful effort was made
by certain tanners to shorten terms and reduce discounts on upper leather, while a nother states that some years ago an effort was made to reduce the discount to 4 per cent. While these are the terms on finished leather, rough leather carries only a 1 per cent discount.

Usual terms on harness leather are 2 per cent 30 days, net 60 days and in some cases net 90 days, while russet collar leather carries terms of 2 per cent 10 days and in some cases 3 per cent 10 days, net 30 and 60 days.

During the last few years terms on glove leather have been shortened and discounts reduced or abolished. At the present time they range from net 10 days to net 30 days, in the latter case often carrying a discount of 1 per cent or 2 per cent 10 days. It is stated that the great majority of fancy leather manufacturers employ terms of either 2 per cent 10 days, net 30 days, or 2 per cent 30 days. Exceptions noted are granting of the discount on accounts taking more than 30 days, the quoting of net 30 -day terms and by several smaller manufacturers of terms of 3 per cent 30 days, which latter were the general terms up to several years ago. Purchases by fancy leather goods manufacturers from tanners producing chiefly sole leather carry the regular sole-leather terms of 5 per cent 10 days, 4 per cent 30 days. Coat leather is sold on terms of net 30 days. Customary book-leather terms are 2 per cent 10 days, net 30 days, ${ }^{4}$ and for upholstery leather 2 per cent 10 days.

The trade acceptance is used only occasionally in the industry, the individual tanner when employing it at all employing it only on a very small proportion of his accounts. Its use, however, is reported to have increased during the past year. A leading tanner states that "accounts not handled on a discount basis are not considered satisfactory," and estimates that from 15 to 20 per cent of accounts run overdue, although not seriously, and in a large majority of cases interest is added for the overtime. While many tanners note no difference in collections from the various classes of purchasers, it has been stated that "shippers generally regard the shoe trade as more desirable than the jobbing trade." Collections from larger jobbers and finders, however, are stated to be as prompt as collections from shoe manufacturers, and several tanners consider them at times more so, but the smaller jobbers and finders are naturally less prompt. Small dealers are stated mostly to obtain their supplies through larger jobbers. In the words of one tanner, "in most cases a jobber is trying to do too much business on his given capital, that

1 Onthank, op. cit., p. 37, gives the discount as either 2 or 3 per cent.
is, he is endeavoring to buy on extended terms, sell on a cash or 10-day basis, and turn his capital from his customer to his source of purchase without great obligation on his part, thus causing occasional lack of ready funds, hence delayed payments." Several leading tanners state that some shoe manufacturers at times in the early part of their season when they are obliged to make and hold shoes for delivery dates are inclined to be slower in payments than ordinarily. One tanner of sole and belting leather considers belting manufacturers more prompt, while another reports no difference in collections on shoe and harness leather, with glove-leather collections slightly less satisfactory.

While the majority of authorities report that jobbers' terms do not differ from tanners', some believe that dealers' terms were more liboral in the time given, although the standard discounts are the same. As jobbers sell to smaller accounts, which the tanners would not solicit, their collections are believed to be less prompt. Finders' customary terms are stated to be 2 per cent 10 days, net 30 days, althougn in certain districts longer net terms, such as 60 days, are given. Finders' collections are stated to have greatly improved during the last few years with the placing of the shoe-repairing industry on a more businesslike basis.

## BOOTS AND SHOES.

It is estimated that approximately 60 per cent of the total output of shoes is distributed through jobbers, and, states one authority, the percentage would be even greater were jobbing houses owned by manufacturers included. Certain manufacturers also distribute goods from other factories in addition to their own. In St. Louis in particular there has been an increasing tendency during the past decade for manufacturers to job also shoes produced by other manufacturers. Practice with respect to sales to jobbers varies between the different markets, and thus in Cincinnati manufacturers in general do not sell jobbers, while in Rochester, where women's and children's shoes are produced, the percentage is estimated at 40 per cent. The same manufacturer ordinarily does not sell both wholesaler and retailer. Heaviest sales by manufacturers are in March and April and in September and October, heaviest production in December to March and June to September, and heaviest shipments in February to April and August to September.

Terms on which manufacturers sell vary considerably, instances reported ranging from net 10 days to discounts of 10 per cent, one manufacturer reporting 7 per cent for payment

25 th e. o. m. Distinction is made by certain manufacturers between different types of sales, one Cincinnati house thus having regular terms on goods to be made up of 2 per cent 10 days, net 30 days, to retailer, with 30 days extra on shipments over 1,000 miles; $\bar{\jmath}$ per cent 10 days, net 30 days, to department stores, and 6 per cent 10 days, net 30 days, to jobbers, while net 30 days is quoted on goods sold from the floor or out of stock. On sales to jobbers the cash discount will be stressed, whereas on sales to retailers the norm is largely net terms of 30 days, as will be indicated below, although net 60 days is quoted by some manufacturers. ${ }^{5}$ This is reflected in the difference in the percentage of wholesalers and retailers who take the cash discount, estimated for the Rochester market as 80 per cent and 50 per cent for the respective classes. The average percentages range from 50 per cent to in some cases 60 to 70 per cent. A substantial percentage of overdue accounts is shown, several houses stating that 20 to 25 per cent of retailers run beyond the net period. A shortening of terms is reported during the past decade and greater uniformity has been introduced. Very little use of the trade acceptance is reported by manufacturers.

Due to the fact noted above that shoe manufacturers in large part also engage in jobbing, purchasing other makes and maintaining stocks, little attention apparently has been paid to the terms upon which the wholesaler purchases. This activity has been confined more largely to rubber and tennis footwear, in which a contract is signed with the manufacturers for the ensuing year. At the January, 1920, meeting of the National Shoe Wholesalers Association, it was suggested that whereas canvas footwear and tennis shoes were billed out on a net due date of June 15, and fall shipments of rubber boots and shoes on November 1, bearing terms of 1 per cent 10 days net 30 days, and in the interests of uniformity, rubber boots and shoes should bear due date of December 1, allowing 12 per cent for anticipation, or canvas footwear should be billed May 15, 1 per cent 10 days net 30 days, but no action was taken in view of the need for conforming to the action of the company stores. A resolution was introduced by the committee on datings, discounts, and overdue accounts of the Western Association in 1918, providing that all tennis invoices from May 15 to January 1 be due 30 days from shipment date, and that invoices from January 1 to May 15 be due and payable June 15, but no action was taken. It is re-

- Little differentiation is reported by manufacturers between terms on which they sell their own goods and those of other manufacturers which they job.
ported that St. Louis houses in 1918 granted July 1 due date on this item, whereas other markets granted June 15, as did the rubber companies also.

Prior to 1918 general terms of shoe wholesalers were largely net 60 days, with considerable variation in the cash discounts given, which ranged roughly from 1 per cent 10 days to 5 per cent 30 days, but averaged 2 per cent 10 days, in some cases with 1 per cent 30 days quoted in addition to the latter, for example, in New England largely. In the fall of that year, upon the suggestion of the Allied Council of the American Shoe and Leather Industries and Trades, an attempt was made to change terms to net 30 days, and " a movement, which had considerable strength, developed for discount of 1 per cent 10 days, and although it is far from uniform, the trend seems to be toward the latter figuring." The matter was discussed by both the National Association and the four constitutent territorial associations, each of which has had for some years a committee dealing with the subject of terms, discounts, and overdue accounts, and there was general agreement as to the desirability of these terms. Local groups have also considered the matter, and on various occasions there have been resolutions passed recommending certain terms. A survey made in 1919 by the committee of the National Association, and embracing 159 houses, showed that in the New England, Middle Atlantic, and Middle Western sections there was general adherence to terms of 30 days, although in the South the reverse was true. Certain houses made terms of both 30 days and 60 days. Less uniformity was, however, noted on the question of discounts. While in New England 1 per cent was customary, in the Middle Atlantic States 2 per cent was more frequent, due to the fact that Philadelphia and Baltimore houses, with few exceptions, were on a 2 per cent basis. In the South 2 per cent was almost universal, while in the Middle West the number of houses allowing 2 per cent was considerable, although somewhat less than those allowing 1 per cent. In the South some houses reported the employment of net terms only, while in the Middle West more houses employed such terms than granted a discount of 2 per cent, although the figure was somewhat less than those granting a discount of 1 per cent. As a result of its survey, the committee stated that "very gratifying progress has (apparently) been made in shortening terms and discounts." The movement has since continued, although exceptions to the terms of 1 per cent 10 days, net 30 days, are still found. With the exception of the South, almost all new accounts
were stated recently to be on a 1 per cent 10 day, net 30-day basis. Turning to the several sections, at the opening of the present year practically all upstate houses in New York were believed to be on the new basis, and some New York City houses in fact quoted 2 per cent to New York City trade and 1 per cent upstate and in New England. In the West terms were considered in 1918 at several group meetings, the change being initiated at St. Joseph, and finally accomplished at a Chicago meeting. Net terms were fixed at 30 days, with 15 days extra for shipments of 1,000 miles or over. At the November, 1918, meeting of the Western Association data obtained showed that three-fourths of the firms replying had adopted the 30-45 day terms, most frequent discounts being 1 per cent and 2 per cent and absolutely net, and a resolution was passed favoring the elimination of the cash discount and making the terms net 30 or 45 days, with latest shipping dates on white goods or low shoes April 1, as concession to northwestern houses. While the Southern Association has considered the matter of terms during the past two or three years, the same success does not appear to have attended its efforts as has been the case with the other associations. It is generally agreed that the change in terms has been made with little difficulty, and that there has been no adverse effect upon business. There has also been the saving in the discount, in addition to more rapid inflow of funds, and corresponding reduction in bank borrowings. An aid thereto, of course, has been the merchandising situation in the industry.

Advance orders have always been taken, although it is stated from New England that the seasonal aspect of the industry has been less marked during the last few years, due to active consumption and more frequent purchasing. Orders are taken for shipment on a given date, with the seller retaining the privilege of prior shipment, in which case the goods are billed as of the date called for in the order in place of date of shipment, and carrying the usual terms. Time of shipment varies somewhat, and likewise the "dating" granted. Spring shipments in general will be made from December on, January, February, and March being the heaviest months, and the most frequent datings are March 1 and April 1, although February 1 and May 1 may also be granted. Fall shipments in general will be made from May on, July, August, and September being the heaviest months, and the most frequent datings are September 1 and October 1, although August 1 and November 1 may also be granted. Certain houses have eliminated the season dating entirely.

Considerable interest has been manifested in the trade acceptance, and some educational work has been undertaken by the associations, but little use on the whole is made of the instrument. In 1918, of 62 middle western houses, 16 were using it with satisfactory results, while 13 were desirous of employing it, but considerable lack of knowledge of its proper use was found, as well as lack of interest due to shortness of terms and fear of loss of business through nonuniversality of its use.

As noted above, the committees of the national and constituent associations deal with overdue accounts as well as terms and discounts. The practice of charging interest on overdue accounts is widespread in certain sections, being reported as general in New England. Particular interest has been manifested by the Western Association, only 9 out of 67 reports to it in 1918 showing no interest charged, while in 1919 the proportion had fallen to 3 out of 40 .

## LEATHER MANUFACTURES.

Fancy leather goods, such as hand bags, card cases, etc., are sold by approximately half the manufacturers to both wholesalers and retailers, whereas the other half is about equally divided between sales exclusively to wholesalers and exclusively to retailers. Discounts have varied greatly within the last 10 years, and prior to about 5 years ago there was no standardization. Department stores in particular received discounts up to 7 and 10 per cent at that time, but these have since been largely abolished. The recognized present terms are 2 per cent 10 days, net 30 or 60 days. Certain manufacturers, however, employ terms of 2 per cent 10 days, 60 days extra. As amounts are comparatively small, little use is made of the trade acceptance.

Heaviest deliveries of saddlery north of the Mason and Dixon line occur from December to February, while south of the line they occur during June and July. Adopted terms of the Wholesale Saddlery Ássociation were shortened in 1917 from net 60 days from date of shipment to net 30 days, both with a cash discount of 2 per cent 10 days. Anticipation of season dating is permitted at the rate of 6 per cent per annum, while provision is made for collection of interest at the legal rate in the debtor's State on overdue accounts. Datings shall be given only on manufactured leather goods, sweat pads, summer and winter horse clothing, and lap robes. Except in nine of the Southern States, a maximum spring dating of March 1 is permitted on shipments made not earlier than December 1, while in Texas a maximum fall
dating of August 1 is permitted on goods shipped not earlier than June 1, in Arkansas and Louisiana the respective dates are September 1 and July 1, and in the remaining six Southern States October 1 and July 1. Northern Oklahoma has a maximum spring dating of March 1 on shipments made not earlier than December 1, and southern Oklahoma a maximum fall dating of September 1 on shipments made not earlier than July 1. Both datings are permitted in Tennessee. In addition there are certain season goods, such as summer lap robes (July 1), fly nets, and horse cloth (June 1), winter horse cloth (October 1), and fur goods (November 1), which carry maximum datings.
It has been estimated that roughly 25 per cent of the output of leather belting is sold to dealers. The industry is not seasonal, demand differing but slightly at different seasons of the year. The most frequent terms are 1 per cent 10 days, net 30 days, although some houses employ terms of 2 per cent 10 days, net 60 days and a small number net 30 days. Proximo terms are granted in certain cases. During the past decade terms have been reduced from 2 per cent 10 days, net 60 days. It is stated that "the great bulk of the business is paid promptly and advantage taken of discounts."

## LUMBER.

Manufacture.-The outstanding feature of the lumber manufacturing industry for the present purpose is the existence of a large number of operators, estimated at upward of 40,000 , and ranging all the way from the small portable mill, which may operate on either virgin timber or second growth, to the large mill operating on extensive bodies of virgin timber. Only within recent years has it been possible, largely through the activity of the lumber associations, to attain some measure of uniformity in selling and financing methods, and to set up certain standards. In spite of certain differences in demand, and the varied problems of production, these standards, however, are similar as between the diferent kinds of wood, as all manufacturers and wholesalers come into competition with each other to a greater or lesser extent. ${ }^{6}$

Terms of sale may be divided substantially into three classes. First are those calling for part cash, such as 10 per cent or more, with order and the balance on receipt of notice of shipment. Such terms are used by the very

[^2]small operator without yards, who puts his product in transit as soon as cut. It is stated that in some cases wholesalers pay such operators in full in advance, thus furnishing the funds for the business, while in other cases 80 to 90 per cent, and in some instances up to 98 per cent, may be paid on receipt of invoice and balance on arrival of car. Second are terms embodied in special contracts drawn to cover a considerable period of time. This form is usually employed between large mills and wholesalers and manufacturers of products such as furniture, where these manufacturers receive their entire supply of raw material from the mills in question. These terms vary according to the individual case.
The terms recommended by certain of the larger manufacturers' associations, which have interested themselves in the subject during the past 8 or 10 years, provide the third class. Frequent deviation, however, is found, and the terms must be regarded rather merely as representing a norm. They usually form part of a series of provisions which are far wider in scope, and cover also matters such as grades, deliveries, claims, etc. The cash discount specified, in particular by eastern and southern associations ${ }^{7}$ has been 2 per cent 10 days or 15 days from date of invoice on the net amount of the invoice after deduction of freight, ${ }^{8}$ in some cases if the remittance is mailed within that time. ${ }^{9}$ While for many years 15 days was the universal discount period, it is stated that the western producers found themselves handicapped by this arrangement, due to the fact that cars were in transit from 15 to 30 days, and as they got farther and farther east with their product and railroad congestion increased, delivery took 60 days or more, whereas in the south and east rail delivery was usually secured within the 15-day period. As a result, the discount period was not as strictly observed by the western shippers. The majority of the western associations, as well as one northern and one southern association, ${ }^{10}$ now have instead a clause permitting the cash discount for payment within 5 days after arrival of the car, in general as evidenced by the paid freight bill. Toward the close of 1917, three of the western and northern associations,

[^3]in the belief, it is stated, that terms would ultimately be entirely on a net basis and that a 2 per cent discount was excessive, reduced the discount in their recommended terms to 1 per cent. Great difficulty, however, was experienced, and the former discount was restored after about a year. In several cases 1 per cent 30 days from date of invoice is also specified, and in the case of one southern association, which has terms calling for 2 per cent within 5 days after arrival of car, the 1 per cent is given for payment within 30 days after arrival instead of invoice datc.

Standard net terms are 60 days from date of invoice, although in a few cases where no terms have been recommended it is reported that 30 days is given instead. In certain cases provision is made for a trade acceptance, ${ }^{12}$ and several associations specify that it be mailed within a certain number of days, such as 10 or 15 , after the invoice date. One association permits 90 days with a trade acceptance as against 60 days with a note settlement. Difficulty arises in case a buyer wishes to discount his bill, but has not as yet received the shipment. Largely in the West and South, ${ }^{12}$ a clause is included to govern terms in the event of nonarrival of the car within a certain period, either the discount period, where this is a specified number of days after date of the invoice, or where this period is 5 days after the arrival of the car, within 30 days or the net period of 60 days. In this event it is usually provided that 90 per cent of the invoice, less the estimated freight (the actual figure for which, however, is given by many shippers) shall be paid, and the balance be due on arrival and inspection. In certain cases, principally in the West, a provision however is inserted prohibiting the deduction of the discount when payment is not made within a specified number of days after the date of the invoice, in certain cases 30 days and in other cases 60 days. ${ }^{13}$

The associations' terms, however, are by no means adhered to in all cases. Thus, for example, a southern association which has recommended the 2 per cent 10 -day, net 60 -day terms, reports that many do not adhere to the terms and accounts frequently run 90 days, while they are often closed by notes running three to four months. Terms in fact vary with general market conditions, and it is stated that in consequence of the heary demand during

[^4]the past year "mills did a great deal of business on a cash with order basis." It is stated that wholesalers at present discount practically all bills. Considerable difference also exists between purchasers with respect to the promptness with which payments are made on bills which are discounted. Thus a western association stated last year that the larger line yard buyers with headquarters in Minneapolis and Kansas City, pay within 15 days from invoice date, which was two to three weeks in advance of receipt, while others paid 5 days after arrival of car and still others up to 15 days thereafter.

Wholesale.-A study published in 1918 states that "there has been a marked tendency in recent years to increase the sales of lumber from the sawmill direct to the larger consumer, or retail yard.',16 Wholesalers, however, state more recently that the recent high prices prevailing and the increased cost of doing business has resulted in mills seeking the wholesaler, and increased the proportion of business done through them. The practice varies with the different localities, 60 per cent of the output of southern pine, for example, being sold direct, chiefly by large mills, while on the West Coast the figure is but 20 per cent. Considering the type of purchaser, a leading authority has given the following estimates of the proportions of business done by lumber manufacturers and wholesalers with retailers, planing mills, and manufacturing consumers. In this compilation, the planing mill percentage is separated from the general retail business, although it is very common for a retail lumber yard to operate a planing mill.

| Section. | Retail. | Planing mill. | Manufacturing constumers. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England | Per cent. | Per cent. | Per cent. 30 |
| Middle Atlantic States | 45 | 25 | 30 |
| Southern Atlantic States | 35 | 30 | 35 |
| Central States. | 60 | 10 | 30 |
| Western States. | 40 | 30 | 30 |

Two leading middle western wholesalers, however, state that the trade of wholesalers with retailers is a relatively small part of the business in that section, although it is believed that in the east the reverse is the case, and one estimates that 60 to 70 per cent of wholesalers' sales in his territory are to manufacturing consumers.

Standard recommended terms were first adopted by the National Wholesale Lumber Dealers' Association in 1902. These terms provided for net cash payment of freight, the

16 Dodd, Lumbering, p. 13.
balance to be settled for by note at 60 days from date of invoice, or less $1 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent if paid within 15 days from date of invoice or 1 per cent 30 days. No discount was to be allowed after 30 days, but in the event of nonreceipt of ear within the discount times, prepayment was not held to forfeit the right to make corrections. These terms were reaffirmed at subsequent conventions, although there had gradually come about widespread deviation from them. In 1917 the committee on terms of sale unsuccessfully recommended the recognition of existing conditions and instead the adoption of terms calling for a note at 90 days from date of invoice, with a discount of 2 per cent if paid within 10 days from date of arrival of car. It was stated that terms at that time were in many cases 2 per cent 30 days, net 90 days, from date of shipment, which were first instituted in the case of shipments to a distance in view of the time the shipment was in transit. Several of the retailers' associations have interested themselves in terms, and adopted recommended terms on which their members purchase. While this has been the most prominent in the metropolitan district, it is stated that such terms have been adopted among others in New England, New York State, New Jersey, Ohio, Pennsylvania, and Illinois. "The main point in contention," states one authority, "is that the retailer would like to buy at a certain time from arrival, whereas the wholesaler endeavors to insist (in order to definitely fix the date) on the time being based from date of shipment. The reason for this contention has been the great delays since the war in lumber coming through." The recommended terms of the New York (City) Lumber Trade Association call for 2 per cent 10 days from date of arrival or note due 3 months from date of arrival, and a considerable amount of lumber has been bought on these terms, while net 4 months from arrival has also been employed. After a conference with representatives of other lumber trade organizations, standard recommended terms were prepared by the committee on terms of sale of the wholesalers' organization, and adopted at the 1920 convention. These terms called for net cash 60 days from date of invoice, or less 2 per cent if paid within 15 days from date of invoice, or 1 per cent if paid within 30 days. Settlement by note or trade acceptance was permitted at 90 days from date of invoice, same to be mailed within 10 days after arrival of car. In the event of nonarrival of the car within the discount period deduction of the discount was permitted for payment within the discount period of 80 per cent of the net amount of the invoice (estimated freight deducted), the balance to be paid with-
in 10 days after arrival and unloading, but if not so paid the discount was to be credited only on the amount paid within the discount period. The provisions as to freight and nonforfeiture of the right to make corrections are again inserted, as well as the 30 -day discount limit. One authority states that many wholesalers at the present time are trying to sell on terms of $1 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent based on date of arrival, while they take 2 per cent from the manufacturers, paying in 10 days or less from date of shipment. Among variations from these terms, it should be noted that eastern lumber, manufactured in New England and the Canadian Provinces, for many years has been generally sold on special terms of 1 per cent 10 days from date of invoice, or net 30 days.

As in the case of payments to lumber manufacturers, considerable variation exists with regard to payments to wholesalers. Data obtained from leading wholesalers indicate that from 40 to 50 per cent of purchases are discounted, although considerable variation is shown between individual firms and the percentage, of course, varies with general business conditions. One authority states that woodworking concerns take full time and are considered slow, although the majority report no difference between collections from retailers and planing mills. It is generally agreed that industrial consumers provide a higher percentage of discounters than do retailers, some authorities stating that the former usually discount their bills. Some large furniture manufacturers, however, are reported by several middle western wholesalers to take "as long as they can," and in one case pay interest for the time ( 30 to 60 days) taken in excess of 60 days. Requests for renewal are stated to be more frequent in the territory east from Pittsburgh, and come chiefly from the retail trade. In such cases it is usual to require part payment, in general 50 per cent, and the most frequent additional period is 60 days, although 30 days and less frequently 90 days are also given.
In the industry, as indicated above, while net terms in certain cases are on open account, they are more frequently covered by a note. Within the last few years the committee on terms of sale of the National Wholesale Lumber Dealers Association has advocated the use of the trade acceptance, and the standard terms were changed in 1919 by providing for the use of either trade acceptance or note where net terms were employed. The committee stated in its 1920 report that the information which it had indicated that the use of the instrument was growing rapidly. It is stated, bowever, that certain retailers in the larger cities who do not discount endeavor to force the use of
the open account, and frequently run beyond the 90 -day net period. It should be stated, however, that while various associations have recommended or approved certain terms, there is no obligation on the part of the members to adhere to those terms only, the action being merely a recommendation considered in the best interests of the industry for producing uniformity in settlement.

## FU̇RNITURE AND STORE FIXTURES.

Furniture is largely sold by the manufacturer direct to the retailer. In the case of home furniture, including both dining and bed room types, it is estimated that 80 per cent or more is sold in this manner, while for office furniture the percentage is probably even greater. Manufacturers of certain lines, in particular filing equipment, however, have chains of stores through which their product is retailed. Store fixtures are largely sold through agents, but are sold direct by certain manufacturers.

Manufacturers of home furniture in many cases distinguish between sales in carload lots and less than carload lots and sales to wholesalers. Sales in less than carload lots carry the smallest discount, in general 2 per cent 20 days or 30 days, with net terms of 60 days, although in certain cases terms such as 2 per cent 10 days, net 30 days, may be specified. Proximo terms are frequently quoted. The National Alliance of Case Goods Associations some years ago adopted recommended terms calling for 2 per cent 20 days, net 60 days, which were amended in 1919 by giving 1 per cent for a 60 -day trade acceptance. Certain of the constituent bodies have also recommended terms. Data obtained in 1919 from 102 firms in various sections of the country as to terms in use indicated, it is stated, a surprising uniformity in adherence to the regular adopted terms, and not over 2 to 3 per cent employed other terms. Carload lots in many cases carry a 5 per cent discount in place of a 2 per cent, or else an extra 5 per cent, the time given being the same, although no net terms may be quoted in certain cases, while frequently the terms are the same as for less than carload lots. Among southern manufacturers, however, the discount is stated generally to be 10 per cent, but a large number, possibly 30 or 40 per cent of the factories, have changed terms from 10 per cent 30 days to 5 per cent 10 days. Jobbers' discounts range from 10 per cent to 20 per cent, although certain manufacturers quote the same terms as on
carload and less than carload lots. It has been stated, however, that the eastern factories are practically all on a net basis.

With the heavy demand for furniture in recent years, there has been a tendency to abolish datings and reduce discounts. Prior to several years ago, extra dating, such as for example 60 days, was given by some manufacturers, and retailers might obtain 30 days extra on shipments at certain seasons of the year, while it was also customary in certain cases to accept notes with the privilege of renewal. At the present time, 15 to 30 days extra is given by certain manufacturers on long-distance shipments, such as to the far West. In the case of discounts, many of the manufacturers gave cash discounts up to 5 per cent, in which latter case terms of 2 per cent 30 days might also be quoted. Upholstered furniture manufacturers prior to 1919 in many cases gave discounts up to 5 per cent, but these have been superseded by a 2 per cent discount. Other manufacturers report the elimination of quantity discounts.

Although the adopted terms of several of the associations provide for the use of the trade acceptance, the instrument in general is not employed to a very considerable extent in the industry. It is stated, however, that its use is growing among southern manufacturers, and it is now employed for from 15 to 20 per cent of the accounts. Before the war 10 to 15 per cent of upholstered furniture manufacturers' accounts were covered by it, but as a result of the subsequent existence of a sellers' market and increased employment of cash terms, it is not much used now. ${ }^{\text {is }}$ The percentage of cash discounters reported by certain leading houses ranges from 30 to 80 per cent, with 50 per cent most frequent. From some sources it is stated that the percentage of accounts running overdue is smaller at the present time than in the past. The following data were obtained in 1919, showing the number of days accounts receivable on the books of certain representative manufacturers:


Data obtained recently from certain houses show 10 per cent running past due in the majority of cases, averaging on the whole about 30 days past due, although other houses show percentages ranging from 20 to 50 per cent.

Such information as has been obtained indicates that jobbers' terms do not differ materially from manufacturers', although their collections appear slower, a much larger proportion running past due. One eastern distributor reports that up to two years ago, about one-half his settlements were made by notes running $1,2,3$, or 4 months. It is stated that cash discounts of 5 to 10 per cent were given on quantity business in former years.

Terms of manufacturers of office furniture are reported as substantially similar to those of manufacturers of home furniture. The cash discount is usually from 2 to 5 per cent, 10 or 20 days, with net terms of 30 to 60 days, and carload lots carry a discount of from 3 to 5 per cent. A tightening up of terms and decrease in the maximum cash discount period is reported during the past 5 to 10 years. The percentage of cash discounters appears higher than for home furniture, several firms reporting as high as 95 per cent.

Very few firms engaged in the manufacture of store fixtures at the present time give any cash discount on their product, and only a few firms building special lines of fixtures still give a cash discount of from 2 to 5 per cent. The standard terms in the industry are net 30 days, and a very large proportion of the business is done on these standard terms. A great many sales are also made on the deferred-payment plan. In this case an advance payment of usually from 10 to 25 per cent is required, and generally a total payment of from 25 to 50 per cent is required before the goods are actually delivered. The total time given seldom, if ever, exceeds 12 months. A large proportion of the deferred-payment business does not carry over eight months' time, and many firms give no more than six months.

The amount of deferred-payment business has been gradually decreasing for several years, sales made on the standard terms having increased correspondingly. Prior to 1913 a large proportion of the business was done on the deferred-payment plan, two or three years' time often being given, and cash discounts were also very common. The practice of giving this long time, however, it is reported, has now been almost entirely discontinued, and the business is stated to be on by far the best basis as to terms that it ever has been.

## BOXES, SHOOKS, AND SHIPPING CONTAINERS.

It is estimated that more than 90 per cent of the output of boxes and shooks ${ }^{19}$ is sold direct to users. Wholesalers who do a commission business as well as buy outright for the most part represent factories which are either located at some distance from the market or are small in size. Prevailing terms are 2 per cent 10 days, net 30 days, from date of shipment, but some firms allow only a 1 per cent discount, while others have eliminated the discount entirely, and others grant net terms of 60 days. In some cases the discount period is from date of arrival, in others it is 30 days from invoice, while proximo terms, such as the 10 th or 15 th, are also given. There is stated to be a tendency in the industry toward the abolition of the cash discount. Certain differences, however, exist as between dificerent sections. Thus data obtained from New England give terms as largely 1 per cent 10 days, net 30 days, while in the North Carolina pine region a 2 per cent discount is more frequent, and at least half the manufacturers now have net terms of 30 days, without any discount, toward which there has been a tendency in the last two years. A frequent exception to the regular terms, however, is found in the case of canners, who, it is stated, endeavor to purchase their shook requirements considerably in advance of the season. Such terms are largely governed by the financial ability of the purchaser, and instances reported from New England are the use of a 60-day acceptance, as well as the payment of one-half on arrival and the balance 6 months from date. In the North Carolina pine region instances reported are a 3 to 4 months' note and the granting of from 2 to 6 months. On oil boxes for export in the latter region advances of 80 per cent of the invoice price are made every 2 weeks. While many manufacturers report that their collections are generally prompt, some report a considerable percentage as running past due. This varies largely according to the individual manufacturer, some stating, for example, that the canning trade they sell buy practically everything on a discount basis.

The great bulk of wooden shipping con-tainers-pails, tubs, and kits for such products as lard, candy, fish, preserves, paint, printers' ink, etc.-go in carload lots direct to the manufacturers of the products shipped in these containers. Terms on candy, fish, and jelly pails are largely governed by the terms made by the manufacturers and packers of these products. For many years they have been 2 per cent 10
${ }^{19} \mathrm{~A}$ set of boards in order for nailing together into a packing box and
conveniently bundled for transportation. conveniently bundled for transportation.
days, net 30 days, from date of invoice, but a small percentage of candy pails carry a 1 per cent cash discount instead. Terms on lard tubs have been gradually shortened, the earlier terms of 1 per cent 10 to 20 days, net 30 to 60 days, being displaced by terms of 1 per cent 10 days, net 30 days, and then by terms of net 30 days. At present most lard tubs are sold on terms of net 15 days, but a small percentage still bear terms of 1 per cent 10 days, net 30 days. Most of the other containers, such as kits, bear terms of 1 per cent 10 days, net 30 days. While the majority of accounts are discounted, it is stated that the cash discount period frequently is not adhered to.

## BRICK, TILE, REFRACTORIES, AND CEMENT.

Common brick is largely sold direct by the manufacturer to the contractor or owner, although in the smaller communities where there are no brick plants distribution is made to some extent through building supply dealers or brokers. It has been estimated very roughly that 60 per cent of the output of face brick is sold to building-material dealers, who almost invariably sell direct to industrial consumers. The larger portion of the output of hollow building tile is sold by manufacturers to building-material dealers, who then distribute them to consumers and contractors. Some large industrial consumers, however, purchase direct from manufacturers. Practically the entire output of tile is sold direct by manufacturers to dealers or contractors, furniture and hardware dealers in many cases maintaining a tile department. It is stated that considerably more than 90 per cent of the output of refractories, including fire clay, silicia, magnesite, and bauxite brick and shapes, ganister, and deadburned magnesite and dolomite, is sold direct to the consumer, the balance being sold either through engineers and contractors or through dealers. While the proportion of sales of cement made by manufacturers direct to consumers is stated to vary considerably among the various companies, data obtained from leading producers show figures ranging from 10 to 20 per cent.

Terms of sale of common brick by manufacturers vary greatly. Some of the larger and more businesslike companies grant a regular cash discount which ranges from 2 per cent to 5 per cent 10th e. o. m. In other localities, however, in particular in the far West, discounts as high as $\$ 1$ per thousand have been granted for bills paid within 30 days from shipment, while in many cases a price differential of $\$ 1$ is quoted as between cash and credit shipments. Manufacturers' terms of sale of face brick like-
wise are not standardized. It is stated that possibly 40 per cent of the output is sold on terms of net 30 days, the balance usually bearing a cash discount of 1 per cent or 2 per cent 10 days in addition to the net terms, or being sold on draft with bill of lading attached. Terms on this item have been shortened during the past decade. Thus it is stated that 10 years ago almost all manufacturers granted a cash discount of 2 per cent 10 days, while net terms in certain cases have been 60 days. The by-product of face-brick plants, cull or common brick, is usually sold in the immediate locality of the plant upon terms which are in line with those prevailing for other building materials in the same community. Terms of sale of hollow building tile, as prepared by the association and applied by leading manufacturers, are net 30 days, less freight allowance, subject to a cash discount of 5 per cent 15 days from the delivered price. Efforts have been made during the past decade to obtain greater uniformity in terms, and the employment of a 5 per cent discount in place of 2 per cent has been one of the results. Sewer pipe, flue lining, and wall coping are sold largely on terms of net 30 days, subject to a cash discount of 5 per cent 10 days or 10 th proximo after deducting freight. It is reported that considerably more than 90 per cent of the output of refractories is sold on terms of net 30 days or net 15th proximo. Of the remaining 10 per cent a negligible proportion is stated to carry a cash discount of 2 per cent 10 days, and a still smaller proportion a cash discount of 1 per cent 10 days. On contract work certain manufacturers may employ other terms, such as, for example, 60 per cent on delivery of material, 30 per cent during construction, and 10 per cent 30 days after completion. While some authorities report practically no change in terms during the past decade, from other sources a tendency to eliminate cash discounts is noted, as well as to restrict the time taken to 60 days. Terms of sale of tile manufacturers are 3 per cent 30 days, net 60 days, which terms have remained unchanged for the past 20 years at least. Net terms for cement have been 30 days for many years, but the cash discount has been increased during the past decade. Prior to about the opening of 1916 it was 2 cents per barrel for payment within 10 days from date of shipment, although certain producers prior to 1914 gave only 1 cent per barrel. In 1916 it was increased to 5 cents per barrel, due to the increase in the price of the product, and this has been changed recently by certain producers to 10 cents per barrel, equivalent to a little less than 3 per cent.

Inasmuch as the demand for common brick has usually been below the output, and there are about 1,700 producers, terms on common brick have not been closely adhered to. It is estimated that fully 85 per cent of purchasers of hollow building tile discount their bills. In the tile industry it is estimated that 50 per cent of sales are discounted, $37 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent are paid within 60 days, and the remaining $12 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent become delinquent. As so large a proportion of the output of refractories is sold to large producers in the metallurgical industries, it is stated that manufacturers may be said to have a "preferred credit list"' on all but a very small amount of their product. Leading cement manufacturers report that from 80 to 85 per cent of their invoices are discounted, while several report that from 10 to 15 per cent run past due, a veraging variously 45 and from 60 to 90 days, although some manufacturers report only a negligible percentage running beyond the 30 -day period.

Very limited use of the trade acceptance is reported in all these industries.

## BUILDERS' SUPPLIES DEALERS.

A leading authority estimates that roughly about 60 per cent of building materials are sold by manufacturers to local dealers, while the remaining 40 per cent are distributed direct by the manufacturer. This is influenced largely by the location of plants. Practically all mason's materials are stated to be sold through the local dealer, in particular in the larger cities. When direct sales are made by the manufacturer, these occur generally in smaller outlying towns, but in some of the larger cities, e. g., Philadelphia and Chicago, some items, such as hard hrick, are sold direct by the manufacturers, their plants being lo-- cated in these cities. On the Pacific coast, granite is almost universally quarried, cut and placed in the building by the same concern, without the intervention of jobbers and dealers, but in the East and Middle West it is frequently quarried for sale to, jobbers and dealers. "Building specialties" manufactured in the East are first sold to coast jobbers, and by them to dealers or consumers. In the larger cities the great bulk of building material is sold by dealers to contractors rather than direct to consumers, but in small towns the trade is frequently divided, while in farm trade practically all sales are made direct to the consumer. In Atlanta it is estimated that possibly 75 per cent of contracts are on a "cost percentage" basis, the contractor placing the order to be billed direct to the owner. On the Pacific coast it is stated to be "more expedi-
tious to approach the owner of a prospective building with respect to supplying goods which must be ordered from the East, as the contractor frequently postpones ordering so long that delays intervene after the work has begun. In the West there is lacking that custom prevailing in the East under which contractors are themselves financially responsible for the work as it progresses," the practice there being for the contractor to make payments for materials dependent on payments to him by the owner.
Regular terms of building-supply dealers are largely on a 30 -day basis, the cash discount being 2 per cent 10 th, or in some cases 15 th, proximo, while net terms in certain cases are 60 days. In Atlanta, however, it is stated that all items other than cement, which carries a discount, are sold on net 30-day terms. A tendency to closer terms is reported by some firms, and decrease in the net period from $60-90$ days, or longer, to 30 or in some cases 60 days. As stated by a leading New York firm, " 10 years ago most of the speculative builders paid by notes entirely, and these notes were extended from time to time until final payment was made on the loan on the building. Due to advancing costs of the materials and also the handling of same, this method of payment was finally done away with. At the present time about 50 per cent of our customers discount within 10 days from date of invoice (proximo terms are employed), 35 per cent paying cash in 30 days, and 15 per cent paying by note in either 30 or 60 days, generally 30 , and very rarely 60 ." The trade acceptance is little used by dealers, while where notes are taken, part cash, such as 50 per cent, may be required, and interest added. On the Pacific coast "contracts for building materials customarily provide that the vendor shall receive 75 per cent of the value (figured on contract prices) of material delivered and installed during any month, on the 10th of the succeeding month, and the remaining 25 per cent within 30 days after completion of the contract (i. e., formal acceptance of the building)." Overdue payments bear interest at the legal rate, while where work is done for the State payments each month are usually for 90 per cent of the value. As a result of laxness in that section with respect to the cash discount period, as well as the net period, there is stated to be a tendency to eliminate the cash discount. The tendency during the past decade has been rather toward stricter observance of existing terms, and the substitution of a net period of 30 days for one of 60 days, while the discount was reduced about three years ago from 5 per cent to 3 per cent and finally to 2 per cent, and payment twice a month on "steamer days" has been abolished.

## PAINT AND VARNISH.

Both paints and varnishes in many cases are produced by the same manufacturers, while both are distributed largely through the same jobbers, and a close relation exists between their use. Terms are therefore in large measure similar, varnish terms tending to conform to those on paint. Of the total output of paint, it is estimated that 60 per cent is sold by manufacturers direct to industrial consumers, such as manufacturing plants and railways, while 40 per cent is sold to wholesale and retail dealers and to painters direct. While it has been stated that there has been an increasing tendency toward specialization, in many cases there are very few exclusive paint jobbers, and glass or hardware is handled, many of these dealers being hardware jobbers or to a lesser extent wholesale druggists. The largest distribution through the retail druggist is stated to be in the Middle and Far West, while in the smaller cities of the East the wholesale druggist must seek the consuming trade, and in the larger cities the trade is confined to exclusive paint wholesalers, who may, however, handle glass and heavy oils to some extent.

Terms generally prevailing with paint manufacturers for many years have been 2 per cent 10 days, net 60 days. At the close of 1918 a resolution was adopted by the national association favoring a change in the terms to dealers to 1 per cent 10 days, net 30 days, to be effective April 1, 1919. An effort was made by some of the larger houses to put these terms in force, but the attempt was abandoned, as the general consensus of opinion proved to be decidedly against the reduction. While hardware jobbers were decidedly against such a change, which would bring the paint terms "out of line" with those on which they purchased the remainder of their merchandise, the terms have been favored for several years by the wholesale druggists, whose standard purchasing and selling terms they are. In accordance with a recommendation made about a year previously, terms to manufacturers and other industrial consumers are generally 1 per cent 10 days, net 30 days, in particular by the larger houses. Railroads, however, receive net cash terms, at least from the larger manufacturers. At the 1918 meeting it was also suggested that paint and varnish manufacturers limit the practice of offering spring datings for orders placed in the fall for shipment during the fall and winter months (about Nov. 1 to Feb. 1) to one complete stock order to be shipped at the option of the manufacturer after November 1, as dealers had expected to
obtain dating on the many small orders placed during that period which were evidently for immediate consumption.

The varnish industry in the past has been noted for long terms and for the looseness with which even the prevailing terms were enforced. During the last 10 years, manufacturers' terms were generally reduced to 5 per cent 30 days, net 4 months. Within the last four or five years these terms have been further reduced to 2 per cent 10 days, net 60 days. These were the prevailing paint terms, and many paint manufacturers were adding varnish plants, while conversely many varnish manufacturers were commencing to manufacture paint. It is estimated that at present 75 or 80 per cent of the varnish sold to dealers carries these terms, while to manufacturing plants, railways, etc., the terms are shorter still. A general tendency to sell on net terms is reported, as well as to shorten terms and make terms and discounts more uniform. A considerable amount of varnish, however, is still sold on the old 4 months' terms, in particular, it is believed, to the carriage trade.

Both the paint and varnish manufacturers' associations approved the use of trade acceptances as far as possible, to be effective January 1, 1919, but the instrument thus far has been used only to a very limited extent.

Jobbers' terms, which have been in effect for many years, are 2 per cent 10 days, net 60 days, for varnish and mixed paints. White lead and linseed oil bear terms of 1 per cent 10 days, net 30 days, changed in the case of white lead since 1917, from 2 per cent 10 days, net 60 days, and turpentine bears net 30 days. Dry paints are generally sold on terms of 1 per cent 10 days, net 30 days.

## GLASS AND GLASSWARE.

## Manufacturers of glass products sell largely

 to jobbers, who usually have an exclusive territory, and to consuming manufacturers in other industries who use glass products. In the case of bottles and jars, a large quantity is sold to manufacturers of various food products, and it is estimated that from 75 to 90 per cent of the output is sold to industrial consumers, the balance distributing itself between wholesalers and retailers. Plain prescription ware alone is sold to jobbers. Jobbers of plate and window glass sell to contractors and manufacturers of building-construction material as well as to retailers. Only a small amount of glassware is sold direct to the retailer, the nature of the product limiting such sales to cut glass, tableware, some light goods, and a few specialties. A leading manufacturer sellsabout 75 per cent of his output of pressed and blown ware to wholesalers or manufacturers, and 25 per cent to retailers, railroads, and public utilities, the proportion varying with the individual products. It has been estimated that 85 per cent of the output of cut glass is sold to retailers, while the remaining 15 per cent goes to wholesalers who buy rather the cheaper grade of goods. Increased capacity on the part of some manufacturers of glassware has reduced the operating period in certain lines to six and seven months. It is stated that there has been a tendency to shift the responsibility for stocking the product to the manufacturer. ${ }^{20}$

The regular terms employed by plate-glass manufacturers, which have been in effect for 20 years or more, are 1 per cent 10 days, net 30 days. The bulk of sales are made to jobbers who almost universally discount their bills.

Manufactures of window glass on December 5,1916 , adopted the same terms as were employed by plate-glass manufacturers, namely, 1 per cent 10 days, net 30 days. Prior to that time terms were 2 per cent 10 days, net 60 days. Since the greater part of the output is sold in carload lots to large jobbers who distribute it to retailers and consumers along with plate glass, rough-rolled glass and other flat-glass products, as well as paints and oils, the great bulk of manufacturers' invoices are discounted. Occasionally a customer desires more than 30 days' time, and in such cases is usually charged interest at the rate of 6 per cent for the overtime, while notes, such as for 60 or 90 days, may be taken.

Manufacturers of flint and lime glass (pressed and blown ware) in January, 1916, adopted terms of 1 per cent 15 days, net 30 days, in spite of strong opposition on the part of the jobbers. Prior terms were 2 per cent 30 days, net 60 days. Various estimates put the proportion of bills discounted at from one-third to two-thirds (in amount, not number), and the balance take from 30 to 45 or 60 days. It is stated that tableware since 1916 has carried terms of 1 per cent 30 days, net 60 days.

For approximately the past 15 years the terms adopted by bottle manufacturers have been 1 per cent 10 days, net 30 days. Prior terms were 2 per cent 10 days, net 60 days. It is estimated that approximately 75 per cent of the invoices are discounted, while of the remainder not over 5 per cent run past due.
Manufacturers of cut glass in December, 1918, adopted terms of 1 per cent 30 days, net 60 days. Prior to that time the cash

[^5]discount had been 2 per cent. Some manufacturers employ terms of 1 per cent 15 days, net 30 days. Substantially 60 per cent of accounts are discounted, while of the remainder 15 per cent run past due. Manufacturers of blanks for cut glass employ terms of 1 per cent 30 days, net 60 days.
Manufacturers of ornamental glassware employ terms of 1 per cent 10 days, net 30 days. Formerly terms were 2 per cent 30 days, net 60 days. Practically the entire output is sold to wholesalers, and the bulk of invoices are discounted, butfew running beyond the net period.

The trade acceptance is not employed in the majority of the branches of the industry, in particular for plate and window and cut glass. Its use in connection with ornamental glassware is very limited, as is also the case with bottle manufacturers. Certain of the latter grant 60 days or 90 days in place of 30 days where the acceptance is employed. A leading manufacturer of pressed and blown glassware estimates that 4 per cent of his accounts (in amount, not number) are covered by trade acceptances.
Jobbers or distributors of plate and window glass sell on terms of 1 per cent 10 days from date of shipment, net 30 days. These terms have been applied to plate glass for many years, and were applied about 4 years ago to window glass, following the similar change in manufacturers' terms from 2 per cent 10 days, net 60 days. It is stated that occasionally contractors are permitted to pay 85 to 90 per cent of the contract price by the 10 th of the month for the preceding month's deliveries. Trade acceptances are not generally used by distributors except in settlement for carload shipments. The proportion of bills discounted varies from 20 to 55 per cent, the amount paid at maturity from 20 to 60 per cent, and the amount running past due from 20 to 50 per cent. It is stated that 30 days past due is about the limit allowed on overdue accounts.

## Interest Rates in the New York Market.

A table and two charts are presented showing the interest rates on two classes of commercial paper and on demand loans in the New York market, compared with the average discount rate charged by the New York Federal Reserve Bank. The figures are based on monthly data published in the Federal Reserve Bulletin. For 30 to 90 day and for 4 to 6 month commercial papor averages of the high and low rates for each month are shown, while the Federal Reserve discount rate is the actual average for all paper discounted during each month by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York. Call-loan rates fluctuated so
widely during the period and the margin be－ tween the high and the low rate for the same month is so wide that the average was thought to be misleading，and the actual high and low rates are shown．In view of their much wider range a separate chart on a smaller scale is shown for the call－loan rates．

Commercial paper of the two classes used generally moved together and the ruling rate for most of the months was the same for the two classes．From March to July of this year， however，the long－term paper carried a higher rate．Average rates of discount charged by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York on all discounts were about $1 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent below the market rate until November，1919，when the Federal Reserve Board and the Federal Reserve Banks embarked upon the policy of raising discount rates．After that date the spread be－ tween the market rates and the Federal Reserve rate decreased rapidly and in March and April was about $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent．Since then commercial rates have increased more rapidly than the Federal Reserve rate，although the margin narrowed somewhat when the Federal Reserve Bank raised its rate on commercial paper to 7 per cent in May．


Discount and interest rates in the New York market．

| Period． |  | $90^{\circ}$ －$\overbrace{0}^{2}$ <br> 區驾委首品号 0 言 | Call loans, high rate: |  | ¢ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1918 |  |  |  |  | 1918. |  |
| Oct． 16 to Nov．15．．． | $5 \frac{1}{4}$ | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 8 | 5 | O－October．．．．． | 4.09 |
| Nov． 16 to Dec．15．．． | $5 \frac{1}{8}$ | $5 \frac{3}{1}$ | $6 \frac{1}{2}$ | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | December． | 4.05 |
| 1919. |  |  |  |  | 1919. |  |
| Dec． 16 to Jan． 15. | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | $6 \frac{1}{2}$ | $3{ }^{3}$ | January．．． | 4.07 |
| Jan． 16 to Feb．15． | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | $6 \frac{1}{2}$ | $3 \frac{3}{1}$ | February．．． | 4.03 |
| Feb． 16 to Mar．15．．． | 5 | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | $6 \frac{1}{2}$ | $4{ }^{\frac{1}{4}}$ | March．．．．．． | 4.02 |
| Mar． 16 to Apr．15．．． | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | $6 \frac{1}{2}$ | $3 \frac{3}{3}$ | April．．．．．．．． | 4.03 |
| Apr． 16 to May 15．．． | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | $6 \frac{1}{2}$ | $3 \frac{1}{2}$ | May．．．．．．．． | 4.03 |
| May 16 to June 15．．． | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | $10^{\circ}$ | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | June．．．．．．． | 4.04 |
| June 16 to July 15．．． | 51 | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 15 | 5 | July．．． | 4.06 |
| July 16 to Aug．15．．． | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 18 | 4 | Angust．．．． | 4.05 |
| Aug． 16 to Sept． $15 .$. | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 8 | $3 \frac{1}{2}$ | September．． | 4.04 |
| Sept． 16 to Oct．15．．． | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 15 | 4 | October．．．．． | 4.03 |
| Oct． 16 to Nov．15．．． | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 30 | 5 | November．． | 4.49 |
| Nov， 16 to Dec．15．．． | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 5 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 15 | 5 | December． | 4.63 |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  | 1920. |  |
| Dec． 16 to Jan． 15. | $5 \frac{3}{4}$ | $5 \frac{3}{1}$ | 25 | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | January．．． | 4.86 |
| Jan． 16 to Feb． 15. | $5 \frac{3}{4}$ | $5 \frac{3}{4}$ | 25 | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | February ． | 5.42 |
| Feb． 16 to Mar． 15. | 6 | 6 | 15 | 6 | March．．． | 5.53 |
| Mar． 16 to Apr．15．．． | 57 | 6 | 15 | 6 | April．． | 5.48 |
| Apr． 16 to May 15．．． | 63 | $6 \frac{1}{2}$ | 15 | 6 | May．．．．．．．．． | 5.56 |
| May 16 to June 15．．． | $6 \frac{1}{2}$ | $6 \frac{3}{7}$ | 12 | 6 | June．．．．．．．． | 6.19 |
| June 16 to July 15．．． | 7 | 7 | 15 | 4 | July．． | 6.25 |
| July 16 to Aug．15．．． | 7 | 7 | 11 | 6 |  |  |



Low rates on call money have fluctuated between $3 \frac{3}{4}$ and 6 per cent, while high rates have been as low as $6 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent and as high as 30 per cent. The highest peaks reached by call money rates are shown for midsummer 1919 when speculation reached its climax, for October of the same year, when the stringency following overexpansion was most acute, and for the early months of 1920 when heary liquidation on the stock exchange created an exceptional demand for money.

## August Crop Report, by Federal Reserve Distriets.

Forecasts of crop production issued by the Bureau of Crop Estimates, United States Department of Agriculture, as of August 1, 1920, are shown in the table below, together with the forecasts made as of July 1 and estimates of production in 1919. For average figures for the years 1915-1919, see Federal Reserve Bulletin, July, 1920, page 832.
Corn production, according to the August forecast, is expected to be over 3 billion bushels,
or about 225 millions in excess of the July forecast. Only once in the history of the United States has corn production been larger than this year's latest forecast, the record crop of 1917 being estimated at 3,065 million bushels. Prospects of wheat production, on the other hand, are not quite so bright as in July, owing to the fact that spring wheat in the Minneapolis district has suffered from rust. The forecast for total wheat production is 794 million bushels, comparable with 941 millions estimated in 1919, and a five-year average of 832 millions. Prospects of the oats crop were considerably better in August than in July, and the hay forecast rose from 85 million tons in July to 107 millions in August.

The cotton crop is expected to reach $12 \frac{1}{2}$ million bales, exceeding the production of any year since 1914, when more than 16 million bales of cotton were raised. Average cotton production for the five-year period, 1915-1919, was $11,403,000$ bales, so that this year's forecast is more than $1,000,000$ bales above the average production for the past five years.

Production of corn, wheat, cotton, oats, and hay, by Federal Reserve districts-Aug. 1, 1920, forecast of the Bureau of Crop Estimates.
[In thousands of units of measurement.]


[^6]
## Foreign Branches.

There is given below a list of foreign branches of national banks and of banks doing business under agreement with the Federal Reserve Board which were open for business on August 18, 1920 :

NATIONAL BANKS.
National City Bank, New York City:
Buenos Aires, Argentina.
Plaza Once, Buenos Aires, Argentina.
Rosario, Argentina.
Brussels, Belgium.
Antwerp, Belgium.
Bahia, Brazil
Pernambuco, Brazil.
Porto Alegre, Brazil.
Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.
Santos, Brazil.
Sao Paulo, Brazil.
Barranquilla, Colombia
Bogota, Colombia.
Medellin, Colombia.
Santiago, Chile.
Valparaiso, Chile.
Artemisa, Cuba
Bayamo, Cuba.
Caibarien, Cuba.
Camaguey, Cuba
Cardenas, Cuba.
Ciego de Avila, Cuba.
Cienfuegos, Cuba.
Colon, Cuba.
Cruces, Cuba
Cuatro Caminos, Habana, Cuba.
Galiano, Habana, Cuba.
Guantanamo, Cuba.
Habana, Cuba.
Manzanillo, Cuba.
Matanzas, Cuba.
Nuevitas, Cuba.
Pinar del Rio, Cuba.
Placetas del Norte, Cuba
Remedios, Cuba.
Sagua la Grande, Cuba.
Sancti Spiritus, Cuba.
Santa Clara, Cuba
Santiago, Cuba
Union de Reyes, Cuba.
Yaguajay, Cuba.
Genoa, Italy
Lima, Peru.
San Juan, Porto Rico.
Ponce, Porto Rico
Barcelona, Spain.
Madrid, Spain.
Cape Town, South Africa
Port of Spain, Trinidad.
Calle Rondeau, Monteviden, TJruguay.
Montevideo, Uruguay
Caracas, Venezuela.
Ciudad Bolivar, Venezuela.
Maracaibo, Venezuela
Temporarily closed-
Moscow, Russia.
Petrograd, Russia.
First National Bank, Boston, Mass.
Buenos Aires, Argentina.
banks doing business under agreement with the federal reserve board.

American Foreign Banking Corporation, New York City:
Brussels, Belgium.
Buenos Aires, Argentina.
Cali, Colombia.
Cristobal, Canal Zone.
Harbin, Manchuria.
Havana, Cuba.
La Vega, Dominican Republic.
Manila, Philippine Islands.
Panama City, Republic of Panama.
Puerto Plata, Dominican Republic.
Port-au-Prince, Haiti.
Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.
Sanchez, Dominican Republic.
San Francisco de Macoris, Dominican Republic.
San Pedro de Macoris, Dominican Republic.
San Pedro Sula, Republic of Honduras.
Santiago de Los Caballeros, Dominican Republic.
Santo Domingo, Dominican Republic.
Mercantile Bank of the Americas (Inc.), New York City:
Paris, France.
Barcelona, Spain.
Madrid, Spain.
Affiliated institutions-
Banco Mercantil Americano de Colombia-
Bogota, Barranquilla, Cartagena, Medellin, Cali, Girardot, Manizales, Honda, Armenia, Bucaramanga, and Cucuta, Colombia.
Banco Mercantil Americano del Peru-
Lima, Arequipa, Chiclayo, Callao, Trujillo, and Piura, Peru.
Banco Mercantil Americano de Caracas-
Caracas, La Guayra, Maracaibo, Puerto Cabello, and Valencia, Venezuela.
American Mercantile Bank of Brazil-
Para and Pernambuco, Brazil.
National Bank of Nicaragua-
Managua, Bluefields, Leon, and Granada, Nicaragua.
Banco Mercantil Americano de CubaHavana and Ciego de Avila, Cuba.
Banco Mercantil de Costa Rica-
San Jose, Costa Rica
Banco Atlantida-
La Ceiba, Tegucigalpa, San Pedro Sula, Puerto Cortez, and Tela, Honduras.
(A branch office is also maintained by the Mercantile Bank of the Americas (Inc.) in New Orleans, La.)
Asia Banking Corporation, New York City:
Canton, China
Changsha, China.
Hankow, China.
Hongkong, China.
Manila, Philippine Islands.
Peking, China.
Shanghai, China.
Tientsin, China.
International Banking Corporation, New York City:
Canton, China.
Hankow, China
Harbin, China.
Hongkong, China.
Peking, China.
Shanghai, China.
Tientsin, China.
Tsingtao, China.
Loudon, England.

International Banking Corporation-Continued.
Lyons, France.
Bombay, India.
Calcutta, India.
Rangoon, India.
Yokohama, Japan.
Kobe, Japan.
Batavia, Java.
Sourabaya, Java.
Panama, Republic of Panama.
Colon, Republic of Panama.
Cebu, Philippine Islands.
Manila, Philippine Islands.
Singapore, Straits Settlements.
Santo Domingo, Dominican Republic.
Sanchez, Dominican Republic.
San Pedro de Macoris, Dominican Republic.
Santiago, Dominican Republic.
Barahona, Dominican Republic.
Puerta Plata, Dominican Republic.
(A branch office is also maintained by the International Banking Corporation in San Francisco, Calif.)
Park-Union Foreign Banking Corporation, New York City:
Paris, France.
Shanghai, China.
Tokyo, Japan.
Yokohama, Japan.
(Branch offices are also maintained in San Francisco, Calif., and Seattle, Wash., by the ParkUnion Foreign Banking Corporation.)
The First National Corporation, Boston, Mass., has opened no foreign branches. A branch office of this corporation is maintained at 14 Wall Street, New York City.
The Shawmut Corporation of Boston, Mass., has opened no foreign branches. A branch office of this corporation is maintained at 65 Broadway, New York.

The French American Banking Corporation of New York City and the Foreign Credit Corporation of New York City have opened no foreign or domestic branches.

## State Banks and Trust Companies Admitted.

The following list shows the State banks and trust companies which have been admitted to membership in the Federal Reserve System during the month of August, 1920. One thousand four hundred and seventeen State institutions are now members of the system, having a total capital of $\$ 496,789,578$, total surplus of $\$ 491,409,950$, and total resources of $\$ 9,853,495,006$.
$\left.\begin{array}{c|c|c|c}\hline \text { Capital. } & \text { Surplus. } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Total } \\ \text { resources. }\end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c}\text { District No. 2. }\end{array} & & & \\ \text { Bank of Blasdell, Blasdell, N. Y........ } & \$ 30,000 & \$ 10,500 & \$ 41,449 \\ \text { District No. s. }\end{array}\right]$


WITHDRAWALS
The Elmhurst State Bank, Elmhurst, Ill., and the North Side State Savings Bank, Chicago, Ill., have withdrawn from membership.

## Acceptances to $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ Per Cent.

Since the issuance of the August Bulletin the following bankshave been authorized by the Federal Reserve Board to accept drafts and bills of exchange up to 100 per cent of their capital and surplus:

The First National Bank, New Haven, Conn.
Dallas National Bank, Dallas, Tex.
The First National Bank, Los Angeles, Calif.

## Commercial Failures Reported.

Continuance of a tendency toward increase in the country's business mortality is reflected in the 459 commercial failures reported to R. G. Dun \& Co. during three weeks of August, as against 318 defaults in the corresponding period of 1919. Exclusive of banking suspensions and personal bankruptcies, the statement for July, the latest month for which complete statistics are available, discloses 681 insolvencies for $\$ 21,906,412$ of liabilities, the number of reverses being the largest of any month since December, 1918, and the indebtedness the heaviest of all months back to January, 1916, excepting the $\$ 32,990,965$ of June, this year. The July statistics contrast sharply with the low-record total of 452 failures of July, 1919, when the amount of money involved barely exceeded $\$ 5,500,000$. Separated according to Federal Reserve districts, the July returns show more defaults than in that month last year in 10 of the 12 districts, the exceptions being the eighth district, where some falling off appears, and the sixth district, where no change at all is revealed. In respect of the liabilities, decreases from the July, 1919, figures are shown only by the sixth and eighth districts.

| Failures during July. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Districts. | Number. |  | Liabilities. |  |
|  | 1920 | 1919 | 1920 | 1919 |
| First. | 48 | 45 | \$470,259 | \$320,069 |
| Second | 172 | 79 | 11,438, 511 | 1,836,523 |
| Third.. | 29 54 | $\stackrel{28}{44}$ | 755,711 921,988 | 315,061 <br> 247 |
| Fifth.. | 39 | 17 | 1,995, 934 | - 316,282 |
| Sixth. | 32 | 32 | 443, 135 | 486, 668 |
| Seventh | 70 | 51 | 2, 417,401 | 375, 494 |
| Eighth. | 28 | 44 | 96,040 | 240,812 |
| Ninth... | 14 34 | ${ }_{21}^{6}$ | 238,471 | 67,687 201,188 |
| Eleventh. | 41 | 23 | 1,148,614 | 261, 727 |
| Twelfth. | 120 | 62 | 1, 431,738 | 838,115 |
| Total. | 681 | 452 | 21, 906,412 | 5,507,010 |

## New National Bank Charters.

The Comptroller of the Currency reports the following increases and reductions in the number and capital of national banks during the period from July 31 to August 27, 1920, inclusive:
New charters issued to. ..................... 24
With capital of......................................
Increase of capital approved for . ......... 36
With new capital of........................
Aggregate number of new charters and
Aggregate number of new charters and
banks increasing capital...................
Number of banks liquidating (other than
those consolidating with other national
banks under the act of June 3,1864 )... 3
Capital of same banks.
3
$\cdots$


Total number of banks going into liquidation or reducing capital (other than those consolidating with other national banks under the act of June 3, 1864)........... 3
Aggregate capital reduction
Consolidation of national banks under the
act of Nov. 7, 1918
Capital...................................................................... $\$ 2,120,000$

8,735,000
$10,855,000$

The foregoing statement shows the aggregate of increased capital for the period of the banks embraced in statement was..
Against this there was a reduction of capital owing to liquidation (other than for consolidation with other national banks under the act of June 3, 1864), and reductions of capital of ${ }^{1}$ $\qquad$ 400,000
Net increases
$10,455,000$
1 Includes two reductions in capital aggrepating $\$ 125,000$ incident to consolidations under Act of November 7, 1918.

## Fiduciary Powers Granted to National Banks.

The applications of the following banks for permission to act under section 11-k of the Federal Reserve Act have been approved by the Board during the month of August, 1920:

District no. 2.
Trustee, executor, administrator, registrar of stocks and bonds, guardian of estates, assignee, receiver, and committee of estates of lumatics: of estates, assignee, receiver, and committee of estates
The Exchange National Bank of Olean, Olean, N. Y.

District No. 3.
Trustee, executor, administrator, registrar of stocks and bonds, guardian of estates, assignee, receiver, and committee of estates of lunatics: Woodstown National Bank, Woodstown, N. J.
Guardian of estates, assignee, receiver, and committee of estates of
The National Bank of Boyertown, Boyertown, Pa.
District No. 4.
Trustee, executor, administrator, registrar of stocks and bonds, guardjan of estates, assignee, receiver, and committee of estates of lunatics: Bell National Bank, Pineville, Ky. The Grove City National Bank, Grove City, Pa.

## District No. 5.

Trustee, executor, administrator, registrar of stocks and bonds, guardian of estates, assignee, receiver, and committee of estates of lunatics
Guardian
Guardian of estates, assignee, receiver, and committee of estates of luna tics:

First National Bank of Danville, Danville, Va.

$$
\text { District No. } 6 .
$$

Trustee, executor, administrator, registrar of stocks and bonds, guardian of estates, assignee, receiver, and committee of estates of lunatics:

The First National Bank of Oxford, Oxford, Ala.

## District No. 7.

Trustee,executor, administrator, registrar of stocks and bonds, guardian
of estates, assignee, receiver, and committee of estates of lunaties. Union National Bank, Muskegon, Mich.
Calumet National Bank of Chicago, Chicago, Ill.
Perry National Bank, Perry, Iowa.
First National Bank of Viroqua, Viroqua, Wis
The First National Bank of Edgerton' Edgerton, Ind

## District No. 9.

Trustee, executor, administrator, guardian of estates, assignee, and committee of estates of lunatics:
First National Bank of Little Falls, Little Falls, Minn

## District No. 10.

Trustee, executor, administrator, registrar of stocks and bonds, guardian estates, assignee, receiver, and committee on estates of lunaties: First National Bank of Gunnison, Gunaison, Colo.

Principal Books and Articles Relating to Banking and Currency Received at the Federal Reserve Board Library During the Month Ending August 15, 1920.

Anderson, Benjamin McAlester.
The Fallacy of "the stabilized dollar." 1920. 16p.
Bankers trust company, New York.
Americas merchant marine, a presentation of its history and devel
Bergès, Mantice
La problème monétaire et fiduciaire, par Maurice Bergès et Fréderic Besson. 1918. 164p.
Federal reserve bank of San Francisco, comp.
$\cdot$
Directory of banks in twelfth federal reserve district, June, 1920. $1920 .{ }^{46 \mathrm{p}}$
Foote, Lewis A. aecrual accounting problem. c1920. 2 v
Godfrey, Carlos Emmor.
The Mechanics bank, 1834-1919, Trenton in New Jersey; a history. 1919. 164p.

Guaranty trust company of New York
Greater France $\quad$ el920. 28 p .
Huart, Albin.
dude comparée des principaux systèmes de banque; l'organisation du crédit on France. 1913. 351p.
International economic conference, London 1919
The famine in Europe, the facts and suggested remedies; being a report of the International economic conference, called by the London, on the 4 th, 5 th, and 6 th of November, 1919 , by Lord Parmon, Sir George Paish, . . . and others. 1920. 126p.
Kahn, Otto Hermann.
Our economic and other problems; a financier's point of viow. c1920
Page, H. M., comp
hart of money and discount rates in London during the year 1919, comp. by Page and Gwyther. 1920.
Paine, William W orship.
Short papers on-1. A Capital Ievy as a means of taxation. 2. The Present unrest in industry ${ }^{\text {3. }}$. Capital and labour 4. The
Nationalization of industry.
Pétellat, Henri.
Bourse justice 1918
. Bourse et justice. 1918
Pogliani, A
The financial, industrial and political situation in Italy: an address [May 27, 1920]. 1920 11p
Raabe, Adam.
The German republic; economic position and potentialities with special reference to foreign exchange and investment, by A. Raabe and F. Glissman. c1920. 32p.
Railway clearing house, London.
The New railway rates; how they affect the cost of living. 1920. 8p.
Roumania. Some details regarding its history, growth, system of gov-
ernment, financial resources, etc. 1919. 15p.
Strakosch, Henry
The South African currency and exchange problem. 1920. 34 p .
Swiss bank corporation, Basel.
[Graphique des changes étrangers en bourse de Genève.] 1920.
Swiss bank corporation, Basel.
Renseignements divers sur les valeurs cotées à la bourse de Genève: cour
75 p.
Warfield, S. Davies.
Address on occasion of organization of the National conference of mutual savings banks at Boston, April 23, 1920. $1920 \quad 14 \mathrm{p}$.

## SERIALS.

Australia. Treasurer's department Statement of receipt
ended 31st March, 1920
Belgium. Ministère de l'intérieur
Annuaire statistique de la Belgique . . 44e. année-1913
Economisch-historisch jaarboek. Uitg. door de vereeniging het Nederlandsch economisch-historisch archief gevestigd to 's-Gravenhage... 1916-1919. 5 v
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## BANK REPORTS, 1919 AND 1920.

Banco do Brasil, Rio de Janeiro.
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## ERRATA.

Page 871 of August (1920) Bulletin: In table showing rediscount operations between Federal Reserve Banks, the amount, 45,000 , appearing in the May column under Richmond should have been shown opposite Cleveland instead of New York; in the April column under St. Louis the figures shown opposite Boston and New York should have been 22,000 and 23,000 , respectively, instead of 30,000 and 15,000 as published.

The headline over the table at the top of page 775 of the August Bulletin should read "in millions of dollars" instead of "in thousands of dollars."

## RULINGS OF THE FEDERAL RESERVE BOARD.

## Clayton act as applied to private bankers.

The question has been presented as to whether the recent amendment of May 26, 1920, to the Clayton Antitrust Act authorizes a private banker, with the consent of the Federal Reserve Board, to serve as an officer or director of national banks or whether the effect of the amendment is merely to authorize a private banker, with the consent of the Federal Reserve Board, to serve foreign banking corporations.
The amendment of May 26, 1920, amended the second paragraph of section 8 of the Clayton Antitrust Act by inserting certain words in the proviso at the end of that paragraph. This proviso was originally enacted by the act approved May 15, 1916, known as the Kern amendment. As amended by the act approved May 26, 1920, the proviso reads:

And provided further, That nothing in this act shall prohibit any private banker or any officer, director, or employee of any member bank or Class A director of a Federal reserve bank, who shall first procure the consent of the Federal Reserve Board, which Board is hereby authorized at its discretion, to grant, withhold, or revoke such consent, from being an officer, director, or employee of not more than two other banks, banking associations, or trust companies, whether organized under the laws of the United States or any State, if such other bank, banking association or trust company is not in substantial competition with such banker or member bank.
The words in bold face are those which were inserted by the amendment of May 26, 1920.
Under the terms of the Clayton Act no private banker with aggregate resources in excess of $\$ 5,000,000$ is eligible to serve as a director of any national bank, and no pricate banker, regardless of the amount of aggregate resources, is eligible to serve as a director, offlcer, or employee of any national bank located in a city of more than 200,000 inhabitants, if his business is located in the same city. Prior to the amendment of May 26, 1920, the Federal Reserve Board was without authority to grant private bankers located in a city of more than 200,000 inhabitants permission to serve as directors or officers of national banks located in the same city, nor to grant to private bankers having resources in excess of $\$ 5,000,000$ permission to serve as directors or officers of any national bank wherever located.

The Board's opinion based upon the history of the amendment of May 26, 1920, is that it was clearly intended to give to the Board authority to grant to a private banker permission to serve as a director or officer of not more
than two national banks which are not in substantial competition with such private banker or with each other. Furthermore a logical construction of the act as amended requires the same conclusion.
It is the view of the Board, therefore, that a private banker may with the permission of the Federal Reserve Board, serve as director or officer of not more than two national banks which are not in substantial competition with the private banker or with each other.

Collection of bill of lading drafts received from nonmember bank for account of member bank.
Inquiry has been made as to whether country bank correspondents of a member bank may, with the authorization of the member bank, send bill of lading drafts direct to the Federal Reserve Bank for collection and credit to the member bank's account. The country bank correspondents referred to are not members of the Federal Reserve System and do not carry clearing accounts with any Federal Reserve Bank.

There is no provision of law authorizing a Federal Reserve Bank to receive items for deposit or collection from banks which are not members of the Federal Reserve System and which do not carry clearing accounts with the Federal Reserve Bank. Section 13 of the Federal Reserve Act, however, provides that a Federal Reserve Bank may receive on deposit from any of its member banks checks and drafts payable upon presentation and may receive for collection from any of its member banks maturing notes and bills. Under this provision it would be legal for a Federal Reserve Bank to receive direct from a bank, even though that bank is not a member and does not maintain a clearing account, checks and drafts or maturing notes and bills sent for the account of a member bank, provided the Federal Reserve Bank has received satisfactory notice from the member bank that the member bank has authorized the sending bank to act as the agent of the member bank in forwarding the items for the member bank's account.

A somewhat similar arrangement has been approved whereby a member bank in one Federal Reserve district may send maturing notes and bills direct to a Federal Reserve Bank of another district for collection and credit to the account of the Federal Reserve Bank of the
district in which the sending bank is located, although there is no provision of law which authorizes a Federal Reserve Bank to receive such items from a member bank located outside of its own district. (See ruling on page 276 of March, 1920 , Bulletin.)

The notice from the member bank to the receiving Federal Reserve Bank that the member bank has authorized its correspondent to forward items direct to the Federal Reserve Bank for the account of the member bank should, in the case under consideration, be specific--that is, it should name the particular correspondent or correspondents of the member bank which are so authorized. Bill of lading drafts drawn upon individuals, firms, or corporations other than banks are not "shecks and drafts" within the meaning of section 13 of the Federal Reserve Act, and should not, therefore, be received by a Federal Reserve Bank, except for collection and credit when paid.

It should be understood, of course, that a Federal Reserve Bank may at its discretion decline to receive for collection bill of lading drafts forwarded to it by a member bank through the agency of the member bank's correspondents which are not themselves members and which do not maintain clearing accounts.

## Paper of irrigation company.

The question has been presented as to whether water sold by an irrigation company to farmers and delivered through the company's irrigation ditches should be classed as a "commodity" and whether the notes of such an irrigation company may be considered commercial paper. This question is very similar to the question of whether natural gas sold and delivered may be considered "goods sold" within the meaning of the Board's regulation defining a trade acceptance. Upon this question the Board ruled affirmatively in a ruling dated April 23, 1918, published in the Bulletin for May, 1918, on page 435 . The Federal Reserve Board is of the opinion that water actually sold and delivered by an irrigation company to farmers who have contracted with the company for its delivery may be considered "goods sold"; or, in other words, that the sale of water in this manner is a commercial transaction. Consequently the Board is of the opinion that a note of the irrigation company, the proceeds of which have been or are to be used for pay roll or other current purposes in connection with the distribution of the water to the farmers, is eligible for rediscount by a Federal Reserve Bank, provided that the note otherwise complies with
the requirements of the law and of the Federal Reserve Board's regulations.

Where a note of an irrigation company is offered for rediscount as agricultural paper, the note having a maturity in excess of three months but less than six months, and the irrigation company owning and operating both an irrigation system and a rice farm, the Federal Reserve Bank must be satisfied that the proceeds of the particular note have been in fact used for an agricultural purpose in connection with the rice farm, as distinguished from a commercial purpose in connection with the delivery and sale of water to farmers through the irrigation system. Of course, the fact that the note bears upon its face a statement to the effect that the purpose for which the proceeds have been or are to be used is an agricultural purpose need not be taken by the Federal Reserve Bank as conclusive.

## Real estate loans by national banks exercising trust powers.

The Federal Reserve Board has received an inquiry as to whether a national bank, after qualifying under section $11(\mathrm{k})$ of the Federal Reserve Act to exercise fiduciary powers, may engage in any business which a State trust company may carry on under the laws of the same State.

The Federal Reserve Board is of the opinion that under section $11(\mathrm{k})$ of the Federal Reserve Act national banks which have duly qualified may act in those capacities specifically enumerated in that section and in any other fiduciary capacity in which competing trust companies in the same State are permitted to act. The Board does not believe that national banks can exercise any nonfiduciary powers merely because competing trust companies are permitted to exercise those powers under the laws of a particular State.

Section 24 of the Federal Reserve Act authorizes a national bank which is not located in a central reserve city to make loans secured by improved and unencumbered farm lands situated within its Federal Reserve district or within a radius of 100 miles of the place in which such bank is located, irrespective of district line, and also to make loans secured by improved or unencumbered real estate located within 100 miles of the place in which such bank is located, irrespective of district lines, and imposes certain limitations as to the maturities and amounts of such loans. The provisions of this section are controlling upon all national banks, irrespective of whether or not they have qualified under section $11(\mathrm{k})$
to exercise fiduciary powers, and no national bank may loan its own funds upon the security of farm lands or real estate except upon the conditions and subject to the limitations imposed by section 24. The Federal Reserve Board knows of no provision of law which would permit a national bank to create a special trust fund in its trust department for the benefit of itself, and certainly a national bank should not be permitted, by means of any such indirect method, to use its own assets in a manner which would be in violation of law if done directly without the intervention of the trust department.

The further inquiry is made as to whether a national bank duly qualified to act as trustee may invest funds which it holds as trustee in loans upon farm lands and real estate without regard to the provisions of section 24 of the Federal Reserve Act. A national bank is bound by the terms of the instrument creating
the particular trust in so far as the investment of trust funds is concerned. It is the opinion of the Federal Reserve Board that under the terms of paragraph VI of Regulation F, series of 1919, where a national bank is acting as trustee for a third person of funds which, under the terms of the instrument creating the trust, may be invested in loans secured by farm lands or real estate, the national bank may invest those funds in such loans on farm lands or real estate, and in such amounts, as a State trust company would be authorized to make under the laws of that State, if it were acting as trustee under the same trust instrument. In other words, the Board is of the opinion that the provisions of section 24 of the Federal Reserve Act apply to any investment by a national bank of its own funds but do not necessarily apply to an investment of trust funds held by a national bank as trustee for a third person.

## LAW DEPARTMENT.

## Amendment to Texas banking laws.

The Legislature of the State of Texas recently enacted the following act which specifically authorizes trust companies haring a capital of not less than $\$ 500,000$ to deal in commercial paper and to accept bills or drafts drawn upon them:

Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Texas:
Section 1. Any trust company organized under the laws of the State with a capital of not less than five hundred thousand dollars shall, in addition to all other powers conferred by law, have the power to purchase, sell, discount,
and negotiate with or withoutits endorsement or guaranty, notes, drafts, checks, bills of exchange, acceptances, including bankers' acceptances, cable transfers and other evidences of indebtedness; to purchase and sell, with or without its endorsement or guaranty, stocks, bonds, securities including the obligations of the United States or of any State thereof; to issue debentures, bonds, and promissory notes, to accept bills or drafts drawn upon it, but in no event having liaoilities outstanding thereon at any one time exceeding five times its capital stock and surplus; provided, however, that with the consent in writing of the Commissioner of Insurance and Banking, they may have outstanding at any one time ten times the capital stock and surplus; and generally to exercise such powers as are incidental to the powers conferred by this act.

## RETAIL TRADE.

In the following tables is given a summary of the results obtained during the past few months in districts Nos. $1,2,3,4,5,6,7,9$, 10,11 , and 12, on the regular retail trade index form from representative department stores. In districts Nos. 1, 5, 11, and 12 the data were received in (and averages computed from) actual amounts (dollars). In districts Nos. 2, $3,4,5,6,7,9$, and 10 the material was received in the form of percentages, the averages for the cities and districts computed from such percentages being weighted according to vol-
ume of business done during the calendar year 1919. For the month of July, the tables are based on reports from 22 stores in district No. 1, 10 in district No. 2, 15 in district No. 3, 14 in district No. 4, 20 in district No. 5, 11 in district No. 6, 8 in district No. 7, 13 in district No. 9,15 in district No. 10, 15 in district No. 11, and 29 in district No. 12. For the earlier months the number of stores varied somewhat, due to the inclusion of new stores from time to time in the reporting list.

Condition of retail trais in Federal Reserve districts Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, and 12.
[Percentage of increase.]

| District and city. | Comparison of net sales with those of corresponding period previous year. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No-vember, 1919. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { De- } \\ & \text { cem- } \\ & \text { ber, } \\ & 1919 . \end{aligned}$ | January, 1920 | $\left\{\begin{array}{c} \text { Feb- } \\ \text { ruary, } \\ 1920 . \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { March, } \\ 1920 . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { April, } \\ 1920 . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May, } \\ & 1920 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June, } \\ & 1920 . \end{aligned}$ | July,$1920 .$ | July 1, 1919, to close of- |  | Jan. 1, 1920, to close of- |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | No-vember, 1919. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { De- } \\ & \text { cem- } \\ & \text { ber, } \\ & 1919 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Feb- } \\ \text { ruary, } \\ 1920 . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { March, } \\ & 1920 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A pril, } \\ & 1920 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May, } \\ & 1920 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June, } \\ & 1920 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July, } \\ & 1920, \end{aligned}$ |
| District No. 1: Boston... Outside... |  | 42.3 41.9 | 33.2 43.5 | 15.4 36.1 | 38.9 29.4 | 16.8 26.0 | 19.4 25.5 | 27.8 28.5 | 16.3 27.2 |  | 36.3 38.5 | 24.9 41.6 | 30.7 36.4 | 26.1 33.1 | 24.7 30.5 | 25.3 30.0 | 16.3 27.2 |
| District. |  | 42.1 | 34.8 | 18.3 | 37.5 | 18.5 | 20.7 | 28.0 | 19.9 | ..... | 37.2 | 32.5 | 31.6 | 27.5 | 25.8 | 26.2 | 19.9 |
| District No. 2: <br> N. Y. City and Brooklyn..... Outside........ |  | 49.2 | 54.6 | 29.9 | 66.6 50.4 | 15.0 22.4 | 41.1 22.8 | 26.4 32.3 | 22.4 26.9 |  | 49.7 | 39.8 | 59.3 38.1 | 35.0 33.6 | 35.3 30.1 | 32.7 31.7 | 22.4 26.9 |
| District. |  |  |  |  | 64.8 | 15.8 | 35.4 | 28.4 | 24.4 | ....... |  |  | 57.0 | 34.9 | 33.7 | 33.8 | 24.4 |
| District No. 3. |  |  | 22.2 | 17.6 | 37.5 | 12.4 | 50.7 | 34.3 | 23.8 |  |  | 20.3 | 26.2 | 20.5 | 30.9 | 31.0 | 23.8 |
| District No. 4 |  |  |  | 28.6 | 45.5 | 18.4 | 31.3 | 31.5 | 29.9 |  |  | 36.0 | 38.2 | 33.6 | 32.1 | 34.6 | 29.9 |
| District No. 5. |  |  |  | 14.2 | 23.1 | . 9.9 | 11.0 | 21.4 | 15.7 |  |  | 5.3 | 12.1 | 8.8 | 9.3 | 11.4 | 15.7 |
| District No. 6. |  |  |  |  | 27.4 | 23.4 | 31.0 | 24.3 | 11.6 |  |  |  | 29.9 | 22.0 | 28.1 | 29.3 | 11.6 |
| District No. 7. |  |  | 48.2 | 51.7 | 65.2 | 33.3 | 49.7 | 59.6 | 41.2 |  |  | 50.7 | 57.4 | 43.5 | 49.6 | 58.7 | 41.2 |
| District No. 9.. |  |  |  |  |  | 17.0 | 4.3 | 11.8 | 11.6 |  |  |  |  | 19.8 | 5.6 | 12.0 | 11.6 |
| District No. 10.... |  |  |  |  | 24.6 | 19.6 | 10.9 | 12.9 | 14.1 |  |  |  | 26.9 | 24.9 | 21.2 | 17.9 | 14.1 |
| District No. 11.... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25.9 |
| District No. 12: Los Angeles... | 88.7 | 77.3 | 83.8 | 51.6 | 58.4 | 43.6 | 38.2 | 39.0 | 35.1 | 77.2 | 77.3 | 68.3 | 61.2 | 56.1 | 52.2 | 49.8 | 35.1 |
| San Francisco. | 45.2 | 54.6 | 53.5 | 26.9 | 35.4 | 28.5 | 40.9 | 23.6 | 21.0 | 46.3 | 47.1 | 58.9 | 39.7 | 36.6 | 37.6 | 35.3 | 21.0 |
| Oakland. | 30.3 | 29.8 | 41.4 | 27.4 | 31.0 | 14.9 | 17.1 | 15.2 | 16.9 | 31.9 | 31.9 | 34.8 | 32.3 | 27.9 | 25.6 | 23.2 | 16.9 |
| Sacramento | 36.6 | 50.7 | 54.2 | 22.6 | 65.1 | 33.9 | 34.4 | 32.1 | 20.1 | 35.7 | 39.5 | 36.6 | 60.9 | 47.5 | 39.4 | 38.3 | 20.1 |
| Seattle.. | 21.0 | 28.6 | 23.9 | 22.4 | 19.2 | 4.3 | 6.3 | 11.1 | . 2 | 29.5 | 29.3 | 23.0 | 21.8 | +16.2 | 13.9 | 13.4 | . 2 |
| Spokane...... | 46.4 | 77.1 | 36.2 | 23.6 | 19.8 | 10.9 | 48.8 | 62.8 | 22.7 | 70.4 | 72.0 | 30.1 | 26.8 | 23.1 | 29.1 | 35.7 | 22.7 |
| Salt Lake City | 42.7 | 32.3 | 23.8 | 11.5 | 10.5 | 7.1 | 26.4 | 18.3 | 20.6 | 32.0 | 29.2 | 18.1 | 15.1 | 12.8 | 16.4 | 14.7 | 20.6 |
| District. | 46.1 | 50.7 | 51.7 | 31.1 | 37.8 | 13.8 | 31.2 | 27.8 | 21.2 | 47.5 | 47.0 | 46.5 | 41.0 | 36.9 | 34.7 | 33.2 | 21.2 |

Condition of retail trade in Federal Reserve districts Nos. 1, 2, s, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, and 12-Continued.
[Percentage of increase.]

' Decrease.

## FOREIGN TRADE INDEX.

There is presented below a series of indexes designed to reflect movements in foreign trade of the United States, with fluctuations due to price changes eliminated. The commodities chosen for these indexes are those for which prices are compiled by the Federal Reserve Board in the preparation of its international price index. The list includes 14 of the most important imports, the value of which in 1913 formed 40.6 per cent of the total import values, and 29 of the most important exports, the value of which in 1913 formed 56.3 per cent of the total export values. The list of the commodities is given in the July Bulletin.

After their decline in June, total exports again showed an increase in July, though they were still less than for May. Total imports, on the other hand, showed a decrease. While there was a decrease in the exports of consumers' goods, this was more than compensated for by the increase in the exports of both raw materials and producers' goods. The latter showed the most marked increase, being considerably greater than for both last month and the same month last year. The increase in the imports of consumers' goods which was shown for July as compared with June was more than offset by decreases in imports of both raw materials and producers' goods.

Value of exports and imports of selected commodities at 1913 prices.
[In thousands of dollars; i. e., 000 omitted.]
[Monthly average values, $1913=100$.]

|  | Exports. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Imports. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Raw materials (12 commodities). |  | Producers' goods ( 10 commodities). |  | Consumers' goods ( 7 commodities). |  | Grand total exports $(29 \mathrm{com}$ modities). |  | Raw materials ( 6 commodities). |  | Producers' goods (6 commodities). |  | Consumers' goods (2 commodities). |  | Grand total imports (14 com. modities). |  |
|  | Value. | Index number. | Value. | Index number. | Value. | Index number. | Value. | Index number. | Value. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Index } \\ & \text { num- } \\ & \text { ber. } \end{aligned}$ | Value. | Index number. | Value. | Index number. | Value. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Index } \\ & \text { num- } \\ & \text { ber. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1913. |  | 116.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January | 100,027 | 116.8 83.0 | 11, 2,262 | 101.4 | 3), 790 | 100.9 | 114, 130 | 111.6 | 40, 336 | 111.1 | 32,545 34,308 | 110.7 | 12,080 | 107.6 | 94, 91,348 | 114.6 |
| March. | (i1, ¢81 | 72.0 | 11, 836 | 102.1 | 28, 198 | 94.3 | 102, 215 | 80.1 | 46,079 | 113.3 | 37, 824 | 122.0 | 10,145 | 90.4 | 94, 018 | 113.5 |
| April. | 71, 446 | 83.0 | 14, 128 | 121.8 | 28, 708 | 94.3 | 114, 282 | 89.5 | 42,785 | 105.2 | 36, 216 | 116.9 | 8,983 | 80.0 | 87.984 | 106.2 |
| May. | 48,856 | 80.4 | 11,661 | 100.6 | 29,923 | 98.3 | 110,440 | 86.5 | 38, 111 | 93.7 | 32,601 | 105.2 | 6,127 | 54.6 | 76, 839 | 92.7 |
| June. | 46,943 | 54.8 | 11,612 | 100.1 | 28, 242 | 92.8 | 86.817 | 68.0 | 32,793 | 80.6 | 33,790 | 109.0 | 6, 843 | 60.9 | 73,426 | 88.6 |
| July . | 51,325 | 59.9 | 11, 109 | 95.8 | 27, 4.86 | 91.0 | 90, 120 | 70.6 | 31, 681 | 77.9 | 31,178 | 100.6 | 7,557 | 67.3 | 70,416 | 85.0 |
| August | 74, 869 | 87.4 | 11,547 | 99.5 | 29,370 | 96.5 | 115,786 | 90.7 | 35, 194 | 86.6 | 34,223 | 110.4 | 9,438 | 84.1 | 78,855 | 95.1 |
| September. | 103,614 | 120.9 | 10, (22 | 91.6 | 32, 190 | 105.8 | 146,426 | 114.7 | 42, 588 | 104.7 | 35, 137 | 113.4 | 14,04,8 | 125.3 | 91,793 | 110.8 |
| October. | 137, 772 | 160.9 | 12,608 | 108.7 | 34,612 | 113.8 | 184, 992 | 144.9 | 36, 842 | 90.6 | 18,331 | 59.2 | 14,695 | 130.9 | 69, 868 | 84.3 |
| November | 120, 836 | 148.1 | 9,987 | 86.1 | 31, 246 | 102.7 | 168,069 | 131.6 | 37, 465 | 92.1 | 22,353 | 72.1 | 13,892 | 123.8 | 73.710 | 88.9 |
| December | 113, 326 | 132.3 | 10,053 | 86.7 | 33,089 | 108.7 | 156,468 | 122.5 | 48, 886 | 120.2 | 23.406 | 75.5 | 19,028 | 169.5 | 91,320 | 110.2 |
| Year | 1,027, 789 | 100.0 | 139,191 | 100.0 | 365,269 | 100.0 | 1, 532, 249 | 100.0 | 487,935 | 100.0 | 371, 912 | 100.0 | 134, 721 | 100.0 | 994,568 | 100.0 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1919 . \\ \text { January. } \end{array}$ | 84,066 | 98.2 | 18, 444 | 159.0 | 56,748 | 186.4 | 159,258 | 124.7 | 38,273 | 94.1 | 45, 802 | 143.1 | 12,115 | 107.9 | 96,280 | 116.2 |
| February | 58,488 | 68.3 | 14,598 | 125.9 | 53, 338 | 175.2 | 126,424 | 99.0 | 35, 074 | 86.3 | 60, 653 | 195.7 | 12,950 | 107.4 | 107, 783 | 130.0 |
| March | 57, 659 | 67.3 | 16,161 | 139.3 | 61,585 | 202.3 | 135, 405 | 106.1 | 39,396 | 96.9 | 78, 773 | 254.2 | 21,748 | 193.9 | 139,937 | 168.8 |
| April | (5, 112 | 76.0 | 19,356 | 166.9 | 80,439 | 264.9 | 165,107 | 129.3 | 51,28) | 126.1 | 81, 409 | 24.2 .7 | 13,222 | 117.8 | 145. 911 | 176.0 |
| May. | 1.7,595 | 78.9 | 15,972 | 137.7 | 58,731 | 192.9 | 142, 298 | 111.4 | 71, 831 | 176.7 | 84,538 | 272.8 | 15,949 | 142.1 | 172,318 | 208.0 |
| June | 98,335 | 114.8 | 28,618 | 246.7 | 9(i, 088 | 315.7 | 223, 041 | 174.7 | 73,311 | 189.3 | 57, 244 | 184.7 | 12, 208 | 168.7 | 142, 763 | 172.3 |
| July. | 71,918 | 81.0 | 14, 953 | 146. 1 | 50, 531 | 166.0 | 139,402 | 109.1 | 72,974 | 179.5 | 72, 172 | 232.9 | 21, 555 | 192.0 | 166, 701 | 201.1 |
| August | S1,302 | 94.9 | 19,579 | 168.8 | 49,548 | 162.8 | 150,428 | 117.8 | 77.988 | 191.8 | $3 \mathrm{ti}, 460$ | 117.6 | 17,525 | 156.1 | 131,973 | 159.2 |
| September. | -7,209 | 82.0 | 19,345 | 167.0 | 43,108 | 141.6 | 132,682 | 103.9 | 109, 741 | 269.9 | 60, 884 | 196.4 | 20,779 | 185.1 | 191, 424 | 231.0 |
| October | 7), 240 | 82.0 | 16, 844 | 145.2 | 45,983 | 151.1 | 133,067 | 104.2 | 88, 701 | 218.2 | 64,921 | 209.5 | 17,198 | 153.2 | 170, 820 | 206.1 |
| November | 09, 289 | 116.3 | 15,740 | 135.7 | 4ii, 473 | 152.7 | 14i1, 802 | 126.7 | 84,424 | 207.6 | 69,554 | 224.4 | 17,060 | 152.0 | 171, 138 | 206.4 |
| Decemb | *9,585 | 104.1 | 13,208 | 113.9 | 43,503 | 143.1 | 144,356 | 114.6 | 64, 252 | 158.0 | 60,994 | 196.8 | 14, 831 | 132.1 | 140,077 | 169.0 |
| Year. | 914,098 | 88.9 | 214,837 | 154.4 | 680,335 | 187.9 | 1,815,270 | 118.5 | \|807,245 | 165.5 | 773,494 | 208.0 | 194, 206 | 145.7 | 1,777,025 | 178.7 |
| $1920 .$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 112.9 |  | 4 |  | 264.6 |  | 159.2 |  |  |
| February | 70,130 | 81.9 | 14, 198 | 122.4 | 41, 645 | 136.8 | 125, 973 | 98.7 | 75,597 | 185.9 | 99, 502 | 321.0 | 14,241 | 126.9 | 189,340 | 228.4 |
| March. | 90, 805 | 106.0 | 17,279 | 149.0 | 56, 428 | 185.4 | 164,512 | 128.9 | 77,946 | 191.7 | 117, 188 | 378.1 | 19,260 | 171.6 | 214,414 | 258.7 |
| April. | 18,048 | 79.4 | 17,063 | 147.1 | 51,689 | 169.8 | 130, 800 | 107.1 | 77, 288 | 190.1 | 89,684 | 289.4 | 21,347 | 190.1 | 188,319 | 227.2 |
| May. | 13,650 | 74.3 | 17,546 | 151.3 | 62,457 | 205.2 | 143, 653 | 112.5 | 45,967 | 113.0 | 74, 811 | 241.4 | 11, 140 | 98.5 | 131,918 | 159.2 |
| $\checkmark$ June. | 55, 200 | 64.5 | 14,663 | 126.4 | 46, 113 | 151.5 | 115, 976 | 91.1 | 55,965 | 137.6 | 87, 205 | 281.4 | 18,437 | 113.0 | 161,607 | 195.0 |
| July. | 66, 924 | 78.1 | 19,138 | 165.0 | 43,325 | 142.4 | 129,387 | 101.3 | 48,580 | 119.5 | 86,720 | 279.8 | 20,691 | 177.6 | 155,291 | 187.5 |

## WHOLESALE PRICES ABROAD. ${ }^{1}$

Index numbers of wholesale prices (all commodities).
$[1913=100$.

|  | United States; Federal Reserve Board (90 quotations). | United States; Bureat of Labor Statistics (328 quotations). | United Kingdom; Statist ( $45 \mathrm{com}-$ modities). | France; <br> Bulletin de la Statistique Générale ( $45 \mathrm{com}-$ modities). | Italy; Prof. Bachi $(40$ commodities). | Sweden; Svensk Handelstidning. | Japan; <br> Bank of Japan for Tokyo (56 commodities). | Australia; Commonwealth Bureau Census and Statistics (92 commodities). | Canada; Department of Labor (272 quotations). | Calcutta, India; Department of Statistics ( $75 \mathrm{com}-$ modities). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1913. | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |  | 100 |  |
| 1914. |  | 100 | 101 | 101 | 95 | 116 | 96 | 2100 | 101 | ${ }^{3} 100$ |
| 1915. |  | 101 | 126 | 137 | 133 | 145 | 97 | 141 | 110 |  |
| 1916. |  | 124 | 159 | 187 | 202 | 185 | 117 | 132 | 135 |  |
| 1917. |  | 174 | 206 | 262 | 299 | 244 | 149 | ${ }^{1} 155$ | 177 |  |
| 1918. |  | 197 | 226 | 339 | 409 | 339 | 197 | 170 | 206 | ............- |
| July........ | 212 | 218 | 243 | 349 | 359 | 320 | 247 | 176 | 218 |  |
| August. | 218 | 226 | 250 | 347 | 368 | 321 | 251 | 182 | 223 | 204 |
| September | 212 | 221 | 252 | 360 | 370 | 319 | 257 | 185 | 223 | 200 |
| October. | 212 | 223 | 264 | 382 | 388 | 307 | 271 | 200 | 222 |  |
| November. | 219 | 230 | 271 | 405 | 436 | 308 | 280 | 199 | 227 | ...........- |
| December. | 224 | 238 | 276 | 423 | 455 | 317 | 288 | 197 | 240 | ........... |
| -1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January... | 242 | 248 | 288 | 487 | 504 | 319 | 301 | 203 | 248 | 218 |
| February. | 242 | 249 | 306 | 522 | 556 | 342 | 313 | 206 | 254 | 209 |
| March. | 248 | 253 | 307 | 555 | 619 | 354 | 321 | 209 | 258 | 198 |
| April. | 263 | 265 | 313 | 584 | 679 | 354 | 300 | 217 | 261 | 200 |
| May. | 264 | 272 | 305 | 550 | 659 | 361 | 272 | 225 | 263 | 210 |
| June. | 258 | 269 | 300 | 493 | 614 | 366 | 248 | 233 | 258 | 206 |
| July... | 251 | 262 | 299 | 492 | ......... | 364 | 239 | 234 | 256 | 209 |

1 Theindex numbers printed in this article are constructed by the various foreign statistical offices according to methods described in the Bullefin for January, 1920. In all cases except that of the United States the original basis upon which the index numbers have been computed has been shifted to the 1913 base. The monthly and yearly index numbers are therefore only approximate. The latest figures are received by cable and are subject to correction.

Juy, $1914=100$.
3 End of Juyy, $1914=100$.
Last six months of 1917.

In France and England the decline in wholesale prices which began in April had about come to a standstill in July. Japanese prices were still declining, but not so rapidly as during the three or four months previous. In the United States the recession in prices occurred somewhat later than abroad, and was quite as considerable in July as in June. Elsewhere, with the exception of Italy, the trend has not been especially striking. The present situation in the leading commercial countries varies with different commodities. Liquidation has been completed in certain lines (such as nonferrous metals) and prices are beginning to advance; in other lines no reductions have been made because of shortage of materials, and in still others (notably textiles) inactivity and stationary or falling prices continue.

## ENGLAND.

The Statist index shows a gradual decline in prices since April, amounting in all to 14 points when computed on the 1913 base, the decline from the end of June to the end of July amounting to only 1 point. The Economist index shows a decline in prices between March and June, but a slight rise in July. Certain leading industries, such as the iron and steel industry,
have been largely unaffected by price recessions. Coal prices remain fixed at their former level. Nonferrous metals, such as copper, tin, zinc, and lead, which were among the first commodities to decline in price, have moved upward again in July. Textile and leather lines seem to be passing through a rather more extended period of inactivity. Retail prices have not as yet declined, although the rate of increase in July was not so great as thatof May and June.

During July the currency note circulation continued to increase, but not at the June rate. In both months legal requirements as to the maximum issue were met by transferring bank notes to the currency notes account. Thus the currency note circulation increased from 351 million pounds the first of June to 362 million pounds at the end of July, and bank notes in the redemption account increased from 10.4 million to 18.5 million pounds during the same period. Note circulation of the bank increased only about 2 million pounds between the first of June and the end of July, not including the notes which went to the redemption account. Coin and bullion held by the bank increased almost 3 million pounds during July and deposits declined very markedly between June

30, when they amounted to 192 million pounds, and July 28, when they stood at 134 million pounds. During the first weeks of August they have been on a higher level than during July. The expansion of deposits was unusually heavy at the end of June because that date ended the half year.

A comparison of conditions between April 15, when the discount rate at the Bank of England was raised from 6 to 7 per cent, and the end of July, shows that currency and bank-note circulation have expanded at a rapid pace, although in the case of the latter not so rapidly as during the first quarter of the year. Gold has been concentrated in the Bank of England, and deposits with the bank have been less extensive than during the first quarter. Deposits with the "five big banks," however, increased during the first half of the year. During the period between April 15 and the end of July, coin and bullion held by the bank increased from 112.4 million to 123.2 million pounds, or 9.6 per cent; currency note circulation increased from 340 million to 362 million pounds, or 6.5 per cent, and bank note circulation from 100 to 107 million pounds, or 7 per cent. Between the same dates, deposits (public and other) declined from 142 million to 134 million pounds, but during June they stood for the most part higher than in April and during August they have been at about the April level. Deposits of the five leading English commercial banks were increased during the first half year of 1920 by 31.9 million pounds.

As regards the floating debt, Treasury bills outstanding at the end of March amounted to 1,107 million pounds, whereas at the end of July they had been reduced to 1,058 million pounds. The floating debt as a whole fell from 1,312 million at the earlier date to 1,262 million pounds at the end of July.

The positive stand taken by the chancellor of the exchequer in the matter of floating debt reduction has been widely condemned in the British financial press. Too rapid debt reduction brought about by excessive taxation will
deter rather than speed the return to norma conditions is the view taken by these groups. They urge the removal of the excess profits duty and sharp reduction in Government expenditures as the best means of bringing about stable financial conditions. At the present time the excess profits duty stands at 60 per cent, but the chancellor has stated that the tax will be reduced to 40 per cent in the next budget or eliminated if a substitute for it can be found.

Whether England has reached the limit of her tax-paying capacity is a question which is much under discussion there. According to reliable figures the per capita rate of taxation is $\$ 105.47$, a considerably larger sum than in the United States or France, where the per capita rates are $\$ 53.71$ and $\$ 44.51$, respectively. During the present period of more or less widespread trade depression, an attack upon methods of taxation is to be expected. As prices approach more nearly to costs, it is natural that taxes loom larger and seem to be the cause of the depression. It seems more likely, however, that a reduction has occurred in consumers' demand (either because of inability to pay or of fairly large stocks) which together with the curtailment of credit has caused the present inactivity in commodity markets.

How much Government expenditures can be reduced is always a matter difficult to determine because of political considerations. The Manchester Guardian is of the opinion that they can not be appreciably decreased. The bread subsidy, amounting to 45 million pounds annually, is still effective, but will probably be removed in the next budget.
Discount rates during the first six months of 1920 have risen very appreciably, in the case of bankers bills from an average of $5 \frac{19}{32}$ per cent at the end of January to $6 \frac{11}{16}$ per cent at the end of July. The greatest increase occurred following the raising of the official bank rate in the middle of April. During July rates tended to be slightly easier than in the two preceding months.

| 1920. | Deposit and note accounts. |  |  |  | Government fioating debt. |  |  | Discount rates. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bank notes. ${ }^{1}$ | Currency notes and certificates outstanding. | Deposits, public and other. | Coin and bullion. ${ }^{2}$ | Treasury bills. | Temporary advances. | Total fioating debt. | 3 month's bank bills. | 6 month's trade bills. |
| End ot- | $000 ' s$. | 000's. | $000 ' s$. | 000's. | 000's. | $000 ' s$. | $000 \cdot 1$ | Per cent. | Per cent. |
| January.. | £84, 258 | £329,554 | £155, 272 | £128,434 | £1, 111,000 | £208,000 | £ $1,319,000$ | $5 \frac{19}{5 \frac{1}{2}}$ |  |
| February. | 92, 426 | 324,994 | 190, 147 | 138,946 | 1,070,000 | 188,000 | 1,258,000 | $5 \frac{7}{3}$ | 6 |
| March. | 99, 371 | 335, 372 | 137, 170 | 140,672 | 1,107,000 | 205,000 | 1,312,000 | $5{ }_{5}$ | 64 |
| April. | 101,284 | 337, 377 | 140,381 | 141,018 | 1,048, 000 | 249,000 | 1,297, 000 | $6 \frac{3}{2}$ | $7 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| May. | 103, 614 | 348,316 | 117,784 | 140,955 | 1,062,000 | 221,000 | 1,283,000 | $6 \frac{35}{3}$ | $7 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| June. | 106,658 | 357, 356 | 191,715 | 146,382 | 1,050,000 | 244, 000 | 1,294,000 | $6{ }^{6}$ | 73 |
| July. | 106,869 | 361,911 | 133, 796 | 151,734 | 1,058,000 | 204,000 | 1,262,000 | $6 \frac{13}{6}$ | $7 \frac{1}{2}$ |

Foreign trade showed further improvement during July, the adverse balance totaling only 8.5 million pounds. From the point of view of international payments this showing is very farorable, and when considered as an index of production or export capacity it shows great improvement over a year ago. A study of the trade of the first six months of the year by the board of trade shows that in quantity exports in 1920 were 26.5 per cent less than exports in 1913. Reexports were 5 per cent less than in the prewar year, and imports 3.4 per cent less. The July figures show appreciable improvement over those of earlier months of the year and this in
spite of the fact that the export of coal continued to be very largely curtailed. Since coal production shows little prospect of reaching prewar levels, an increase must occur in the export of other commodities if British trade is to regain its prewar position.

Coal production during July, taken on a weekly basis, was slightly less than that of June and appreciably less than that of February and March. This was due to continued export restrictions which although somewhat amended apparently allow little of the commodity to leave the country. The trend of pig-iron and steel-ingot production continued upward.

| Date. | Value of foreign trade. |  |  | Production (metric tons). |  |  | Ship tonnage under construction (gross tons). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Imports. | Exports. | Reexports. | Coal. | Pig iron. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Steelingots } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { castings. } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Monthly average, 1913. | $\begin{aligned} & 000 ' s . \\ & £ 39,061 \end{aligned}$ | 000's. <br> £43,771 | 000's. £9, 131 | $\begin{aligned} & 000 \text { 's. } \quad 24,336 \end{aligned}$ | $000 ' s .{ }_{869}$ | 000's. 649 | 22,002,699 |
| January........................... | 183,498 | 105,880 | 25,464 | 1 22,657 | 676 | 766 |  |
| February | 170, 514 | 85, 964 | 22,604 | 19,435 | 656 | 811 |  |
| March... | 176,648 | 103,699 | 27,031 | 19,505 | 710 | 854 | 3,394,425 |
| April. | 167,154 | 106,252 | 20,407 | 17,131 | 655 | 779 |  |
| May. | 166, 816 | 119,319 | 20,260 | 122,131 | 738 | 848 |  |
| June. | 170,491 | 116,352 | 20,124 | 19,048 | 726 | 745 | 3,578,000 |
| July.. | 163,842 | 137,452 | 17,848 | 122,926 | 750 | 800 |  |

15 weeks in the month.
${ }^{2}$ Average of four quarterly estimates. Figures following are estimates taken at the end of each quarter.

The price situation in the different commodity lines is exceedingly confused. According to cables received by the Board from consular agents in England the following basic foodstuffs were as high in July as in June or higher: Wheat, barley, beef, ham, mutton, tea, and butter. Sugar and coffee were the only important staple foods to decline. The leading raw materials in the manufacture of textiles were, on the other hand, lower than in June, jute showing a very considerable drop. Hides likewise continued to decline. In the mineral group, coal and Cleveland pig iron were the same as in June, steel billets declined in price, but copper, lead, zinc, and tin (the first commodities to be liquidated in the spring) were higher than in June. Rubber and nitrate of soda declined.

According to the Statist index, vegetable foods as a group declined, animal foods advanced very materially, and sugar, tea, and coffee declined. This agrees with the consular report except as regards vegetable foods. The increase in minerals (due to the rise in nonferrous metals) and the decline in textiles and
sundries is likewise in accord with the report of the American consul.
The Economist index, on the other hand, shows a decline in cereals and meats, a rise in "other foods" (consisting of tea, sugar, etc.), and a rise in textiles and a fall in miscellaneous commodities, such as rubber, timber, oils, etc. It agrees with the Statist and the consular representative in showing an increase in the price of minerals.
Trading in cotton was reported to have improved in Manchester toward the end of July as a result of renewed buying from India and China. The Polish situation, following closely upon the previous inactivity, had a tendency to keep trade inactive, however. In the case of wool the fundamental cause for lower prices is to be found in the very large stocks of raw material owned by the Government in Australia and to be transported to England as rapidly as shipping will allow. The market in raw materials and finished goods continued inactive. Jute prices have been affected by the condition of Indian exchange, which has recently been very favorable to England.

The continental exchanges were somewhat less favorable to England in July than during recent months. The position of Paris, Italy, and

| Date. | Statist index number of wholesale prices ( $193=100$ ) . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Foreign exchange rates, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ London on- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Vegetable foods. | $\left.\begin{gathered} \text { Ani- } \\ \operatorname{mal} \\ \text { foods. } \end{gathered} \right\rvert\,$ | Sugar, coffee, tea. | Foodstufts. | Min. erals. | Textiles. | Sundries. | Materials. | All. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { New } \\ & \text { York. } \end{aligned}$ | Paris. | Italy. | Berlin. | Buenos Aires. | Stockholm. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cal- } \\ & \text { cutta. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1913. | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 24.8665 | 25. 2250 | 25. 2250 | 20.430 | 47.580 | 18.159 | 24 |
| 1914. | 110 | 100 | 107 | 105 | 90 | 97 | 105 | 98 | 101 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1915. | 155 | 125 | 130 | 137 | 109 | 111 | 131 | 119 | 126 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1916. | 193 | 152 | 161 | 169 | 140 | 152 | 163 | 153 | 159 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1917. | 252 | 192 | 213 | 218 | 152 | 228 | 212 | 198 | 206 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1918. | 248 | 210 | 238 | 229 | 167 | 265 | 243 | 225 | 226 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1919-end of- July....... | 244 | 208 |  | 231 | 203 | 257 | 283 | 249 | 243 | 4. 4056 | 31. 0900 | 37.4525 |  | 52.000 | 17.774 |  |
| August...... | 255 | 208 | 320 | 231 | 206 | 273 | 283 | 255 | 250 | 4.4056 4.3400 | 32.7475 | 37.4525 38.2500 |  | 52.000 52.875 | 17.744 17.400 | $21^{\frac{1}{16}}$ |
| September.. | 258 | 208 | 328 | 245 | 206 | 287 | 278 | 257 | 252 | 4.1629 | 35.7287 | 40.8125 | 101.937 | 55.500 | 17.050 | 22 |
| October.... | 260 | 226 | 322 | 253 | 222 | 305 | 284 | 270 | 264 | 4.1934 | 35.5900 | 41.6000 | 106.500 | 55.125 | 17.265 | $24 \frac{11}{8}$ |
| November. . | 266 | 226 | 332 | 258 | 226 | 325 | 292 | 280 | 271 | 4. 1142 | 38. 2450 | 47.7937 | 156.375 | 56.750 | 17.676 | 249 |
| December.. | 270 | 228 | 336 | 260 | 234 | 334 | 296 | 286 | 276 | 3.8646 | 41.4566 | 49.6166 | 183.333 | 61.110 | 17. 784 | $27_{4}^{\frac{3}{6}}$ |
| 1920: January .... | 274 | 230 | 356 | 265 | 256 | 343 | 312 | 302 | 288 | 3.6904 | 42.9375 | 51. 2375 | 239.375 | 63.390 | 17.804 | $28 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| February... | 297 | 237 | 415 | 286 | 267 | 362 | 329 | 318 | 306 | 3.4612 | 48.2125 | 60.3812 | 327.750 | 69.660 | 18.208 | 328 |
| March... | 345 | 237 | 393 | 300 | 263 | 360 | 318 | 312 | 307 | 3.6907 | 52.3375 | 70.4700 | 304.100 | 64.660 | 18. 244 | 293 |
| April. | 246 | 265 | 392 | 315 | 263 | 354 | 321 | 311 | 313 | 3.9181 | 63. 2937 | 88. 0000 | 239.500 | 60.125 | 17.935 | $28{ }^{\frac{1}{3}}$ |
| May. | 351 | 244 | 473 | 318 | 273 | 308 | 311 | 298 | 305 | 3. 8462 | 56.7125 | 76. 3120 | 183.870 | 60.220 | 18. 208 | $26 \frac{3}{18}$ |
| June......... | 359 | 244 | 496 | 325 | 269 | 308 | 282 | 285 | 300 | 3.9421 | 48.9200 | 66.9550 | 154.700 | 58.190 | 18.081 | $23{ }^{2}$ |
| July......... | 343 | 278 | 425 | 325 | 276 | 298 | 277 | 283 | 299 | 3.8755 | 47.0425 | 66.1875 | 150.813 | 56.700 | 17.716 | 22 塞 |
| ${ }^{1}$ Average of weekly quotations from London Times. ${ }^{2}$ Par. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

in spite of decreases in some lines of goods at wholesale. This is accounted for in part by the fact that there is a lag between fluctuations in wholesale and retail prices, but probably to a larger extent by the fact that foods have not been much reduced and rents, fuel, and gas are still advancing. Of the items of great importance in the family budget, clothing is probably the only one which has been appreciably reduced in recent months.
Although unemployment is reported in shipyards and short hours in several lines of industry, trade-union unemployment returns for the month of July were not high. They showed, however, increasing lack of employment between March and July.


Berlin improved. During August the Polish situation had a bad effect upon all the exchanges.

There was little change in the price level in France during July, and the most interesting developments there, if one discounts events of a political character, were in regard to the financial situation of the Government. In the last days of June the Senate and the Chamber of Deputies completed the work of enacting new taxes which will, according to Les Temps, increase the annual revenue of the French Government to approximately $23,000,000,000$ francs a year. On July 20 there were reported to the Senate the expenses of the war and the deficit occasioned thereby and the public debt as of July 1 was announced. On July 31 the Chamber of Deputies granted the request of the finance minister for the issuing of a new loan, and in the first week of August it was announced that France was making preparations for the payment of her share of the AngloFrench loan due the United States in October.

The recently enacted tax laws provide for the application of the excess war profits tax until June 30, 1920 ; for a tax of 1.1 per cent on total business turnover; for increased inheritance, stamp, and registration taxes; and for increased income taxes. The income taxes are of two kinds, a classified and a general income tax. The classified tax applies (a) to all persons in communities of 50,000 inhabitants or less, whose incomes exceed 4,000 francs; (b) to all persons in communities of more than 50,000
inhabitants or within a radius of 15 kilometers of such a community whose incomes exceed 5,000 francs; and (c) to all persons in Paris or within a radius of 25 kilometers of Paris whose incomes exceed 6,000 francs. The rate of this tax for amounts exceeding 8,000 francs is 8 per cent for incomes derived from commercial enterprises, and 6 per cent for incomes derived from other sources. For the amount between the minimum exempt and 8,000 francs there are provided giaduated rates varying with the source of the income. The 20 per cent tax on incomes from mines and industries connected with mining, which is already in effect, is not changed by the new law.

The general income tax affects only such sums as exceed 6,000 francs after deductions for the support of dependents have been made. This tax is calculated at the rate of 50 per cent on one twenty-fifth of the income botween 6,000 and 20,000 francs, two twentyfifths of the income between 20,000 and 30,000 francs, and so on, adding one twentyfifth for each additional 10,000 francs up to 100,000 francs, for each 50,000 francs up to 550,000 , and at the rate of 50 per cent on all the income over 550,000 francs. This tax is increased 25 per cent for those unmarried or divorced persons over 30 years old who have no dependents, and 10 per cent for persons married more than two years who have no children or other dependents. This does not apply to those who are in receipt of a pension for a 40 per cent disability or whose children were killed during the war. Receipts from the 1.1 per cent tax on total business turnover are to be divided between the Central Government and the departments and communes, 1 per cent going to the Central Government and 0.1 per cent to the departments and communes. Business enterprises whose charges are regulated by the Government or whose profits were taxed before the passage of this law are not required to pay the new tax. As applied to businesses which supply lodging or sell food and liquors for consumption on the premises, the rate of the tax is increased to 3 per cent for those of second class, and to 10 per cent for those of first class. The total turnover of industries selling luxuries at retail or for consumption will also be taxed at the rate of 10 per cent. As these taxes went into effect immediately, French tax receipts in July totaled 1,109 million francs, 22 per cent more than the receipts for June, 1920, and 44 per cent more than those for July of last year.

According to the report to the Senate on July 20, French expenses resulting from the war from August 1, 1914, to July 31, 1920,
were $233,299,536,826$ francs. The major part of these expenses have been met as follows:


The status of the public debt as of July 1, 1920, calculating foreign debts at par, was as follows:


In the face of such a large floating debt and the heary burden of reconstruction, it is not surprising that the finance minister, M. Francois Marsal, has asked for a new internal loan. The loan floated last spring was not as largely subscribed as it would have been but for the widespread railroad strike which occurred during the subscription period.

During the month of July the total gold reserve of the Bank of France increased from 5,588 million francs to 5,589 million francs and its silver reserve from 241 million francs to 248 million francs. During the same period the note circulation of the bank rose from 37,544 million to 37,696 million francs.

Chiefly because of unfavorable news from the East, exchange rates fluctuated unfavorably during the month. Sterling was quoted about 2 francs higher at the end of July than at the beginning, and the dollar rose from 12 francs 10 on the 1st to 13 francs $8 \frac{1}{2}$ on the 30 th.

Crop reports continue to be good. Wheat fields, vineyards, and the sugar beet, hay, potato and flax crops were all in better condition in July, 1920, than in July, 1919. Much of this improvement is said to be due to the use of improved agricultural machinery. Sugar production increased from 107,536 tons in the season of 1918-19 to 152,403 tons in the season of 1919-20. The increase in the food supply of France is so great that the minister of commerce, M. Isaac, has announced that the system of rationing will soon cease except as regards wheat and wheat products, which will remain under control until August, 1921. The new price recently established for wheat is 100 francs per quintal and for bread 1 franc 25 to 1 franc 30 per kilogram, an increase in both cases. The price of bread corresponds with the price of wheat for the first time since 1915, thus relieving the Treasury of a heary burden.

The course of wholesale prices in France during July shows no distinct trend either upward or downward. The silk market at Lyon reports a period of inactivity as a result of the hope among buyers that prices will go still lower than the June level. The price of wool at Havre decreased slightly during the month, but cotton increased in price from 7 to 11 per cent. The rise in the Statistique Générale index number for minerals seems to have been caused by the increase in the prices of copper, tin, and zinc, as iron and steel prices show little variation throughout the month. The price of vegetable foods, as is natural at this season of the year, declined markedly.

The following table shows the trend of prices according to the Statistique Générale:

Group index numbers-France.
[Bulletin de la Statistique Gonérale.]
$[1913=100$.

| Date. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ani- } \\ & \text { mal } \\ & \text { food. } \end{aligned}$ | Vegetable foods. | Sugar, coffee, and cocoa. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Foods } \\ & (20) . \end{aligned}$ | Min- | Tex- | Sun- | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mate- } \\ & \text { rials } \\ & (25) . \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1913. | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 1914. | 103 | 103 | 106 | 104 | 98 | 109 | 99 | 101 |
| 1915. | 126 | 126 | 151 | 131 | 164 | 132 | 145 | 145 |
| 1916. | 162 | 170 | 164 | 167 | 232 | 180 | 199 | 206 |
| 1917. | 215 | 243 | 201 | 225 | 271 | 303 | 302 | 291 |
| 1918. | 286 | 298 | 231 | 281 | 283 | 460 | 420 | 387 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1919 . \\ \text { July.... } \end{array}$ | 372 | 336 | 257 | 338 | 267 | 406 | 395 | 358 |
| August... | 360 | 309 | 263 | 323 | 273 | 434 | 398 | 367 |
| September.. | 387 | 308 | 264 | 334 | 279 | 476 | 402 | 381 |
| October..... | 402 | 337 | 268 | 353 | 295 | 554 | 403 | 405 |
| November. | 424 | 351 | 271 | 369 | 323 | 620 | 415 | 435 |
| December... | 432 | 380 | 278 | 375 | 357 | 649 | 419 | 454 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1920 . \\ \text { January. } \end{array}$ | 452 | 432 | 419 | 440 | 413 | 787 | 465 | 525 |
| February... | 484 | 474 | 436 | 474 | 444 | 828 | 503 | 561 |
| March.... | 500 | 516 | 439 | 498 | 460 | 884 | 548 | 600 |
| April. | 522 | 511 | 429 | 506 | 498 | 953 | 587 | 646 |
| May. | 480 | 480 | 424 | 472 | 459 | 841 | ${ }^{601}$ | ${ }^{614}$ |
| June......... | 482 | 400 | 392 | 434 | 428 | 734 | 517 | 540 542 |
| July .... | 501 | 370 | 405 | 432 | 449 | 746 | 500 | 542 |

Coal receipts for the month of June exceeded those for May. Receipts for June and for July 1-20 were as follows:

Coal available in France.


The task of supplying the country's needs remains, however, a serious one. A recent report to the Senate sets forth the following figures:

Coal received in France.
[In thousands of metric tons.]


The average monthly receipts in 1913 were 4,542 thousand tons, and in 1919 only 3,106 thousand tons; receipts for the first six months of 1920 have totaled only 23,075 thousand tons.

The improvement in the French balance of trade has been so marked that the Government has decided to remove part of the restrictions on imports of luxuries. The following figures show the extent of that improvement:

French foreign trade, January through July. ${ }^{1}$
[In millions of francs.]

|  | 1919 | 1920 | Increase $\underset{\text { decrease. }}{\substack{\text { or } \\ \text { d } \\ \hline}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Imports: |  |  |  |
| Foodstuffs. | 5,706 | 5,395 | - 311 |
| Raw materials..................... | 7,369 | 10,153 | +2,784 |
| Manufactured article | 5,947 | 6,212 | + 265 |
| Total. | 19,022 | 21,760 | +2,738 |
| Exports: |  |  |  |
| Frodstufts......................... | 528 | 1,089 | + 560 |
| Raw materials...................... | $\begin{array}{r}765 \\ 2,990 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 2,775 <br> 794 | +2,010 |
| Parcel post....... |  | +548 | $+\quad+6004$ $+\quad 56$ |
| Total. | 4,776 | 12,006 | +7,230 |

${ }^{1}$ Based upon 1919 value units.

ITALY.
In a series of recent articles from an Italian correspondent, the London Economist has published some illuminating information regarding economic conditions in Italy since the Giolitti government came into power. According to this correspondent, the cause of the fall of the former government was due to Nitti's efforts to decrease the extraordinary budget by relieving the treasury of the bread subsidy which will amount to 4,400 million lire in 1920-21.

To do this involved an increase in the selling price of wheat from 65 to 115 lire per metric quintal and the bread price from 85 centesimi to 1.50 lire per kilogram. In order that the burden of this increase in prices might not fall on the working classes, Nitti's plan provided that all employers increase salaries and wages of workers 25 centesimi a day for each of the worker's dependents. To relieve the treasury further a bread tax was proposed for all people with an income greater than 12,000 lire per annum. This meant that the bread subsidy continued, but that the burden was definitely placed upon the employing class in the community and, to a less extent, upon those of moderate or large income. The administrative difficulties in the tax are apparent.

The Giolitti government has so far done nothing about the bread subsidy, but has made very sweeping recommendations regarding new taxes. How to meet the requirements of the extraordinary budget for $1920-21$, which amounts to something over 13 billion lire, is the problem of the day. The ordinary budget provides for revenue of about 10.5 billion lire and expenditures of 11.5 billion. The extraordinary expenditures consistof the following:

As was stated in the last number of the Bulletin, Giolitti has recommended very drastic changes in taxation. The war-profits tax is to be raised from 60 per cent to 100 per cent, i. e., war profits are to be confiscated. Bearer bonds must be registered. To quote the Economist: "The last momentous bill obliges all possessors of securities to register them in their name. The bill puts an end to bearer securities, which were until to-day almost the only form known to Italian investors. All securities, from the States' rentes and municipality debentures to joint stock company shares and debentures, will cease to be bearer securities and will have to be registered in the name of the possessors. The precedent most frequently quoted in favor of this far-reaching reform is the English one. The Government aims by means of compulsory registration of all securities at knowing exactly the capital and income of all taxpayers." Taxes on motor cars have been increased to such a point that the possession of a car is prohibitive except to the very rich, and succession taxes in the case of large fortunes have been very much increased.

The following table shows the trend of wholesale prices, by groups of commodities:

Group index numbers-Italy.
Prof. Bachi. $\quad 1913=100.1$

|  | Cereals and meats. | Other foodstuffs. | Textiles. | Minerals and metals. | Other goods. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1913. | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 1914. | 102 | 84 | 96 | 100 | 96 |
| 1915. | 132 | 93 | 113 | 207 | 1.33 |
| 1916.. | 156 | 135 | 184 | 380 | 197 |
| 1917... | 215 | 171 | 326 | 596 | 266 |
| 1918...... | 315 | 229 | 475 | 750 | 391 |
| 1919. |  |  |  |  |  |
| July.... | 334 | 332 | 401 | 423 | 342 |
| August.... | 332 | 351 | 423 | 424 | 341 |
| September. | 319 | 357 | 429 | 442 | 342 |
| October... | 326 | 366 | 499 | 459 | 341 |
| November. | 328 | 371 | 633 | 568 | 351 |
| December. | 338 | 373 | 658 | 584 | 405 |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |
| January... | 363 | 396 | 777 | 671 | 418 |
| February.. | 365 | 399 | 840 | 857 | 443 |
| March . | 381 | 418 | 962 | 996 | 489 |
| April. | 395 | 494 | 1,064 | 1,076 | 535 |
| May... | 441 | 499 | 840 | 1,088 | 525 |
| June.. | 445 | 507 | 742 | 917 | 534 |
| July......... |  |  |  |  |  |

The Bank of Japan wholesale price index registers a 3.5 per cent decrease in prices between June and July, or a 34.5 per cent decrease since March, when prices were at their peak. Other business indexes show a similar or more exaggerated trend downward, as is shown by the accompanying table of quotations for spot silk, cotton-yarn futures, and shares of the Tokyo Exchange Co. and the Kanegafuchi Cotton Mill. Fluctations are due to speculative influences, but clearly the trend during the past five months has been radically downward.

| Date of cable. | Raw silk; Yokohama spot, 100 kin . | Cotton yarn; Osaka market months future; bale or 400 pounds. | Shares of Takyo Stock Exchange Co. | Shares of Kanegafuchi Cotton Mill. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1920. | Yen. | Yen. | Yen. | Yen. |
| Mar. 16. | 3,450 | 614 | 400 | 470 |
| Apr. 2. | 3,380 | 611 | 396 | 456 |
| Apr. 16. | 2,900 | 472 | 274 | 358 |
| May 1. | 2,000 | 400 | 230 | 335 |
| May 17. | 1,970 | 362 | 222 | 279 |
| June 1. | 1,500 | 392 | 211 | 267 |
| June 15. | 1,400 | 267 | 160 | 197 |
| July 1. | 1,400 | 318 | 188 | 243 |
| July 16. | 1,250 | 352 | 125 | 245 |
| Aug. 2. | 1,100 | 330 | 122 | 247 |
| Aug. 10 | 1,190 | 292 | 112 | 214 |

On August 2 the note circulation of the Bank of Japan had advanced from 1,038 million yen on June 30, 1919, to 1,202 million yen and was over three times as great as in 1913. Other
basic indexes are presented in the following table:

|  | Raw silk spat, Yokohama, 100 kin. | Cotton yarn future (Osaka Exchange), bale of 400 pounds. | Shares of Tokyo Stock Exchange Co. | Kane-gafuchi Cotton Mill. | Wholeprice in Tokyo 1913= 100). | Note circulation (June 30 ). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1913....... | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yen. } \\ \text { H. } 1,025.000 \\ \text { L. } 840.000 \end{gathered}$ | Yen. <br> H. 158.800 | Yen. | Yen. | 100 | Million Yen. |
| 1914....... | A. 859.380 | A. 149.621 | 143.29 | 108. 14 |  | 371 |
|  | H. 1,030.000 | H. 135.450 |  |  |  |  |
| 1915....... | A. 883.790 | A. 113.991 | 130.33 | 99.80 | 96 | 362 |
|  | H. $1,150.000$ | \#. 130.500 |  |  |  |  |
|  | L. 735.000 | L. 98.650 |  |  |  |  |
| 1916....... | A. $\quad 830.080$ | A. 114.152 | 164.85 | 118.32 | 97 | 337 |
|  | H. $1,350.000$ | H. 196.350 <br> L. 122.950 |  |  |  |  |
|  | A. 1, 170.630 | A. 146. 521 | 315.82 | 194, 58 | 117 | 429 |
| 1917....... | H. 1, 750.000 | H. 465.000 |  |  |  |  |
|  | L. 1, 125.000 | L. 168.950 |  |  |  |  |
|  | A. 1,302.910 | A. 252.645 | 239.11 | 246.84 | 149 | 606 |
| 1918....... | H. 1, 620.000 | H. 424.900 |  |  |  |  |
|  | L. 1, 300.000 | L. 288.000 |  |  |  |  |
|  | A. 1, 477.813 |  | 174.54 | 244.07 | 197 | 787 |
| 1919....... | H. $3,280.000$ | H. 699.000 |  |  |  |  |
|  | L. 1,300.000 | L. 309.500 |  |  |  |  |
|  | A. 2,096. 917 | A. | 310.55 | 343.22 | 240 | 1,038 |
| Mar. 16. | 3,450.000 | 614.000 | 400.00 | 470.00 | ${ }^{1} 321$ |  |
| Aug. $10 \ldots$ | 1,190.000 | 292.000 | 111.50 | 2214.00 | : 239 | ${ }^{3} 1,202$ |

${ }^{1}$ March and July numbers.
${ }_{2}$ Reserves of this corporation increased strikingly durng the war period, and its present financial strength is much greater than before the war.

Aug. 2, 1920.
According to figures of the Bank of Japan, promotion of new business and expansion of old has been made on an enormous scale during the first three months of the year. Capital issues were offered to the public to the amount of 4,164 million yen between January and June, 1920. This exceeded the issues of the same period in 1919 by 3,020 million yen. Eighty-nine per cent of the issues were made between January and April. This enormous expansion of business is thought to have had an important bearing upon the recent business situation, increasing money stringency and the importation of raw materials and equipment goods from abroad.

Classified figures of capital issues offered to the public.

| Groups | 1915 | 1916 | 1917 | 1918 | 1919 | ${ }^{1} 1920$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Banking. | 30,918 | 39,333 | 188,449 | 240, 453 | 642,620 | 569,054 |
| Finance and warehouse |  |  | 40,020 |  | 112,180 | 199,595 |
| Insurance. | 12,400 | 25,000 | 15,500 | 60,400 | 76,800 | 40,500 |
| Transportation | 66, 140 | 84,375 | 223,315. | 311, 975 | 453,925 | 444,966 |
| Mining. | 1,970 | 66,850 | 187,995 | 297, 790 | 289,430 | 202, 485 |
| Electricenterprises | 41, 462 | 75,309 | 92, 617 | 155, 815 | 460,983 | 126, 445 |
| Manufacturings... | 107,133 | 310,760 | 586,585 | 878,770 | 1,209,997 | 1, 456, 337 |
| Marine products.- | 1,675 | 4,100 | 10,650 | 8,980 | 32,590 | 48,200 |
| Agriculture and forestry.......... | 1,410 | 3,050 | 25,000 |  |  | 139, 370 |
| Commerce, ete. | 27,670 | 43,815 | 192,338 | 621, 726 | 611,600 | 937, 208 |
| Total. | 292,578 | 658,692 | 1,562,469 | 2,676,797 | 4, 068,475 | 4, 164, 160 |

During July exports of merchandise from Japan were valued at 157 million yen, imports at 154 million yen. This would seem to show the likelihood of the trade balance turning from the so-called "unfavorable" position of the first six months of the year to a favorable position. Such was usually the case in prewar years, as was pointed out in the last number of the Bulletin. The following table shows the position of the merchandise and bullion balances from 1913 through the first half of 1920.

Japanese foreign trade, 1913-1920.
[Unit-1,000 yen.]
[Minus sign indicates excess of exports. Plus sign indicates excess

|  | Commodities. |  |  | Bullion. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Exports. | Imports. | Balance | Ex- | Im- | Balance. |
| $913:$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| First half. | 284, 5454 | 404, 982 | $+120,428$ $-23,457$ | 16,944 | 20 | 16,924 $-\quad 9,148$ |
| 1914: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| First half. | 312,070 | 382,734 | + 70,664 | 10,643 | 1,478 | - 9,165 |
| Second half. | 279,032 | 213,002 | - 66,030 | 19,007 | 7,629 | $-11,378$ |
| 1915: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Second hajf.... | 497, 393 | 243, 707 | -163,686 | 18, 749 | 20,916 | $+\quad 2,167$ |
| 1916: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| First half. | 469,566 | 380, 44] | - 89,125 | 3,585 | 29,980 | $+26,395$ |
| Second half.... | 657,902 | 375,987 | -281, 915 | 24, 495 | 71,050 | + 46,555 |
| 1917: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Second half. | 894,485 | $\stackrel{447,948}{587,863}$ | $-260,572$ $-306,622$ | 88,034 | 172, 2387 | $+107,539$ $+131,203$ |
| 1918: ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  | 88,054 |  |  |
| First half. | 896,923 | 839, 882 | - 57,041 | 4 | 1,817 | $+1,813$ |
| 1919: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| First half. | 827, 420 | 1,049,370 | +221,9501 | 倍 |  | + 712 |
| Second half. ... | 1,271, 453 | 1,124,090 | -147,363 | 5,054 | 326,765 | +321,711 |
| 1920: First half..... | 1, 138, 479 | 1,611, 884 | +473, 405 |  |  |  |

Group index numbers-Australian Commonwealth-Bureau of Census and Statistics.
[July, 1914=100.7

| Date. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 成 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| July, 1914. | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 1915. | 117 | 93 | 202 | 127 | 110 | 150 | 116 | 149 |
| 1916. | 154 | 131 | 113 | 124 | 127 | 155 | 136 | 172 |
| 1917. | 213 | 207 | 110 | 116 | 131 | 155 | 194 | 243 |
| -1918. | 220 | 232 | 135 | 121 | 138 | 147 | 245 | 315 |
| 191 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July.... | 186 | 226 | 168 | 141 | 148 | 148 | 243 | 277 |
| August... | 182 |  | 190 |  | 150 | 145 | $\stackrel{24}{29}$ | ${ }_{263} 27$ |
| October. | 186 | 243 | 236 | 141 | 152 | 154 | 271 | 272 |
| November | 184 | 254 | 238 | 142 | 151 | 132 | 278 | 267 |
| December. | 186 | 259 | 224 | 142 | 156 | 132 | 281 | 266 |
| 1923. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January.. | 189 | 273 | 227 | 143 | 156 | 147 | 282 | 268 |
| February | 192 | 283 | 226 | 149 | 161 | 149 | 287 | 272 |
| March. | 205 | 281 | 226 | 162 | 160 | 126 | 298 | 280 |
| April.. | 205 | 277 | 234 | 169 | 192 | 160 | 298 | ${ }^{280}$ |
| May. | 214 | ${ }_{265}^{265}$ | 252 | 177 | 197 | 170 | 307 | ${ }_{297}^{297}$ |
| June | ${ }_{211}^{214}$ | 260 252 | ${ }_{244}^{261}$ | 188 | 195 193 | 2081 | 307 | 297 283 |




## WHOLESALE PRICES IN THE UNITED STATES.

In connection with the Board's project of constructing foreign indexes of wholesale prices, an American index number has been made by a method similar to that to be used in the case of the foreign countries. A description of the composition and construction of this new American index number is contained in the Bulletin for May, 1920. Certain changes have been made in the number since its first publication, due to the fact that more reliable quotations have been obtained for two commodities (lumber and fuel oil), but it is now published in its revised form.

The organization of the commodities is in part similar to that used by the Board in recomputing the index numbers of the Bureau of Labor Statistics. But additional information is also given regarding the movement of prices of goods produced here, imported, and exported.

During the present period of rapid change in prices, it is especially important to note the differences in fluctuations and level shown by different index numbers. For that reason in future numbers of the Bulletin the differences between the index number of the Bureau of Labor Statistics and that of the Board will be commented upon. Charts showing the fluctuations in the Board's index numbers are to be found on the opposite page.

Index numbers of wholesale prices in United States-Federal Reserve Board.
[Average prices $1913=100$.]

| Date. | Goods duced. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Im- } \\ & \text { port- } \\ & \text { ed. } \end{aligned}$ | Ex- ed. | Consumed. | Raw mate rials. | Producers' goods. | Consumers' goods. | All. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1913. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January.. | 100 | 105 | 100 | 100 | 99 | 105 | 99 | 100 |
| February | 100 | 104 | 100 | 101 | 99 | 105 | 99 | 100 |
| March. | 100 | 103 | 99 | 101 | 100 | 105 | 99 | 101 |
| April. | 101 | 101 | 99 | 101 | 101 | 103 | 99 | 101 |
| May.. | 100 | 100 | 99 | 100 | 100 | 102 | 99 | 100 |
| June. | 100 | 99 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 101 | 101 | 100 |
| July. | 100 | 99 | 99 | 100 | 98 | 101 | 102 | 100 |
| August. | 100 | 101 | 99 | 101 | 101 | 100 | 101 | 101 |
| September | 101 | 100 | 102 | 101 | 102 | 98 | 101 | 101 |
| October... | 101 | 98 | 103 | 100 | 102 | 96 | 101 | 100 |
| November | 99 | 98 | 102 | 98 | 100 | 95 | 101 | 99 |
| December. | 98 | 93 | 99 | 97 | 98 | 91 | 99 | 97 |
| 1919. January. | 197 | 168 | 200 | 195 | 195 | 193 | 196 | 195 |
| February | 191 | 168 | 192 | 190 | 190 | 191 | 188 | 189 |
| March. | 193 | 163 | 194 | 191 | 196 | 185 | 188 | 191 |
| April. | 198 | 165 | 194 | 196 | 201 | 181 | 197 | 196 |
| May.. | 204 | 172 | 211 | 201 | 209 | 184 | 202 | 202 |
| June. | 204 | 180 | 214 | 202 | 208 | 192 | 202 | 203 |
| July. | 214 | 176 | 224 | 21 ] | 217 | 200 | 211 | 211 |
| August | 221 | 174 | 219 | 218 | 217 | 206 | 224 | 218 |
| September | 215 | 170 | 212 | 212 | 211 | 203 | 216 | 211 |
| October. | 215 | 174 | 226 | 211 | 213 | 207 | 214 | 212 |
| November | 222 | 179 | 242 | 217 | 220 | 213 | 219 | 219 |
| December. | 231 | 203 | 245 | 225 | 229 | 223 | 225 | 226 |
| $\begin{gathered} 1920 . \\ \text { January.. } \end{gathered}$ | 244 | 212 | 255 | 240 | 245 | 236 | 240 | 242 |
| February | 244 | 216 | 252 | 242 | 242 | 247 | 240 | 242 |
| March. | 250 | 218 | 256 | 247 | 246 | 263 | 241 | 248 |
| April. | 265 | 242 | 264 | 263 | 263 | 274 | 257 | 263 |
| May. | 266 | 246 | 262 | 264 | 263 | 274 | 261 | 264 |
| June. | 261 | 226 | 256 | 258 | 258 | 265 | 256 | 258 |
| July. | 255 | 204 | 248 | 251 | 250 | 251 | 254 | 251 |



Index numbers of wholesale prices in the United States for principal classes of commodities.
[Bureau of Labor Statistics.]
[A verage price for $1913=100$.]

| Year and month. | Raw materials. |  |  |  |  | Producers'goods. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Consumers } \\ \text { goods. } \end{gathered}$ | All commodities (Bureau of Labor Statistics index number). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{\text { products. }}{\text { Farm }}$ | Animal products. | Forest products. | Mineral products. | Totalraw materials. |  |  |  |
| July, 1914.. | 102 | 106 | 97 | 91 | 99 | 93 | 103 | 100 |
| July, 1915.... | 111 | 122 | 93 96 | 97 115 | 1113 | $\begin{array}{r}99 \\ 140 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 119 | 120 |
| July, $1917 . .$. | 232 | 168 | 126 | 205 | 187 | 210 | 175 | 187 |
| July, 1918...... | 240 | 210 | 140 | 182 | 198 | 196 | 203 | 198 |
| July, 1919.. | 261 | 233 | 166 | 177 | 214 | 202 | 230 | 219 |
| January, 1920 | 291 | 213 | 273 | 190 | 239 | 245 | 259 | 248 |
| February, 1920 | 278 | 206 | 315 | 194 | 240 | 246 | 256 | 248 |
| March, 1920... | 288 | 200 | 348 | 197 | 247 | 246 | 263 | $\stackrel{253}{ }$ |
| April, 1920. | 304 | 196 | 367 | 224 | 260 | 263 | 280 | 266 |
| May, 1920. | 314 | 179 | 367 | 234 | 280 | 271 | 285 | 27 |
| June, 1920. | 301 | 186 184 | 363 359 | 244 250 | 260 257 |  | ${ }_{272}^{280}$ |  |
| July, 1920... | 287 | 184 | 359 | 250 | 257 | 252 | 272 | 26 |

In order to give a more concrete illustration of actual 1920 , to July, 1920, compared with like figures for July price movements, there are also presented in the following of previous years. The actual average monthly prices table monthly actual and relative figures for certain com- shown in the table have been abstracted from the records modities of a basic character, covering the period January, $\mid$ of the United States Bureau of Labor Statistics.

Average monthly wholesale prices of commodities.
[Average price for 1913=100.]

| Year and month. | Corn No. 3, Chicago. |  | Cotton, middling, New Orleans. |  | Wheat, No. 1, northern spring, Minneapolis. |  | Wheat, No. 2, red winter, Chicago. |  | Cattle, steers, good to choice, Chicago. |  | Hides, packers, heavy native steers, Chicago. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average price per bushel. | Relaprice. | Average price per pound. | Relaprice. | Average price per bushel. | Relaprice. | A verage price per bushel. | Relative price. | Average 100 <br> pounds. | Relaprice. | Average price per pound. | Relative price. |
| July, 1914. | \$0.7044 | 114 | \$0.1331 | 105 | \$0.8971 | 103 | \$0.8210 | 83 | \$9.2188 | 108 | \$0.1938 | 105 |
| July, 1915 | . 7806 | 127 | . 0869 | 68 | 1.3901 | 159 | 1. 1611 | 118 | 9.2125 | 108 | . 2575 | 140 |
| July, 1916 | . 8041 | 131 | . 1303 | 103 | 1.1703 | 134 | 1.1597 | 118 | 9.9850 | 117 | . 2700 | 147 |
| July, 1917 | 2.0393 | 331 | . 2525 | 199 | 2.5815 | 296 | 2.3310 | 236 | 12.5600 | 148 | . 3300 | 179 |
| July, 1918 | 1.5900 | 258 | . 2945 | 232 | 2.1700 | 248 | 2.2470 | 228 | 17.6250 | 207 | . 3240 | 176 |
| July, 1919 | 1.9075 | 310 | . 3377 | 266 | 2.6800 | 307 | 2.2580 | 229 | 16.8688 | 198 | . 4860 | 264 |
| January, 1920 | 1.4750 | 240 | . 4035 | 318 | 2.9313 | 336 | $2.6333^{*}$ | 267 | 15.9375 | 187 | . 4000 | 218 |
| February, 1920 | 1.4125 | 229 | . 3944 | 311 | 2.6875 | 308 | 2.4900 | 252 | 14.9688 | 176 | . 4025 | 219 |
| March, 1920. | 1.5515 | 252 | . 4060 | 320 | 2.7550 | 315 | 2.5000 | 253 | 14.4000 | 169 | . 3640 | 198 |
| April, 1920 | 1.6913 | 275 | . 4144 | 326 | 3.0063 | 344 | 2.7725 | 281 | 13.9063 | 163 | . 3613 | 196 |
| May, 1920. | 1.9825 | 322 | . 4038 | 318 | 3.0750 | 352 | 2.9750 | 302 | 12.6000 | 148 | . 3538 | 192 |
| June, 1920 | 1.8390 | 299 | . 4030 | 317 | 2.9000 | 332 | 2.8950 | 294 | 15.0313 | 177 | . 3410 | 185 |
| July, 1920. | 1.5388 | 250 | . 3950 | 311 | 2.8313 | 324 | 2.8050 | 284 | 15.3813 | 181 | . 2944 | 160 |
| Year and month. | Hogs, light, Chicago. |  | Wool, Ohio, $\frac{1}{2}$ grades, scoured. |  | Hemlock, NewYork. |  | Yellow pine, flooring New York. |  | Coal, anthracite, stove, New York, tidewater. |  | Coal, bituminous, run of mine, Cincinnati. |  |
|  | Average 100 pounds. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rela- } \\ & \text { tive } \\ & \text { price. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Average } \\ & \text { price per } \\ & \text { pound. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rela- } \\ & \text { tive } \\ & \text { price. } \end{aligned}$ | Average price per M feet. | Relative price. | A verage price per M feet. | Relative price. | Average price per long ton. | Relaprice. | Average price per short ton. | Relative price. |
| July, 1914. | \$8.7563 | 104 | \$0.4444 | 94 | \$24.5000 | 101 | \$42.0000 | 94 | \$4.9726 | 98 | \$2.2000 | 100 |
| July, 1915. | 7.5750 |  | . 5585 | 118 |  |  | 38.5000 |  | 4.9571 |  | 2. 2000 | 100 |
| July, 1916. | 9.7650 15.3750 | 116 <br> 182 | .6857 1.2143 | 146 | 23.7500 28.0000 | 98 116 | 38.0000 57.0000 | 85 | 5.4495 5.8859 | 1108 | 2.2000 5.0000 | 100 |
| July, 1918. | 18.0000 | 213 | 1.4365 | 305 | 34.5000 | 142 | 60.0000 | 135 | 6.5968 | 130 | 4.1000 | 186 |
| July, 1919 | 22.3875 | 265 | 1.2364 | 263 | 41.0000 | 169 | 73.0000 | 164 | 8.1881 | 162 | 4.0000 | 182 |
| January, 1920 | 15.1250 | 179 | 1.2364 | 263 | 53.0000 | 219 | 112.0000 | 251 | 8.4291 | 167 | 4.1000 | 186 |
| February, 1920 | 14.9813 | 177 | 1.2364 | 263 | 57.0000 | 235 | 139.0000 | 312 | 8.4118 | 166 | 4.1000 | 186 |
| March, 1920 | 15.5000 | 183 | 1.2364 | 263 | 57.0000 | 235 | 139.0000 | 312 | 8.4109 | 166 | 4. 1000 | 186 |
| A pril, 1920 | 15.7125 | 186 | 1. 2000 | 255 | 57.0000 | 235 | 160.0000 | 359 | 8.4368 | 167 | 5.5000 | 250 |
| May, 1920 | 14.7550 | 175 | 1. 1636 | 247 | 57.0000 | 235 | 160.0000 | 359 | 8. 9964 | 178 | 6.0000 | 273 |
| June, 1920. | 15.3500 | 182 | 1.0000 | 212 | 57.0000 | 235 | 160.0000 | 359 | 9.3672 | 185 | 6.0000 | 273 |
| July, 1920. | 15.8875 | 188 | . 9091 | 193 | 57.0000 | 235 | 160.0000 | 359 | 9.4580 | 187 | 6.0000 | 273 |
| Year and month. | Coal, Pocahontas, Norfolk. |  | Cake, Connellsville. |  | Copper, ingot, electrolytic, New York. |  | Lead, pig. desilverized, New York. |  | Petroleum, crude, Pennsylvania, at wells. |  | Pig iron, basic. |  |
|  | Average price per long ton. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rela- } \\ & \text { tive } \\ & \text { price. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Average } \\ & \text { price per } \\ & \text { short ton. } \end{aligned}$ | Relative price. | Average price per pound. | Relative price. | Average price per pound. | Relative price. | Average price per barrel. | Relative price. | Average price per long ton. | Relative price |
| July, 1914. | \$3.0000 | 100 | \$1. 8750 | 77 | \$0.1340 | 85 | \$0.0390 | 89 | \$1. 7500 | 71 | \$13.0000 | 88 |
| July, 1915 | 2.8500 | 95 | 1.7500 | 72 | 1988 | 126 | . 0575 | 131 | 1.3500 | 55 | 12.7400 | 87 |
| July, 1916 | 3.0000 | 100 | 2.6250 | 108 | . 2650 | 168 | . 0685 | 156 | 2. 6000 | 106 | 18.0000 | 122 |
| July, 1917. | 5. 1400 | 171 | 12. 2500 | 502 | . 3175 | 202 | . 1138 | 259 | 3. 1000 | 127 | 52.5000 | 357 |
| July, 1918. | 4.6320 | 154 | 6.0000 | 246 | . 2550 | 162 | . 0802 | 182 | 4.0000 | 163 | 32.0000 | 218 |
| July, 1919. | 5. 1400 | 171 | 4.0950 | 168 | . 2150 | 137 | . 0561 | 128 | 4. 0000 | 163 | 25.7500 | 175 |
| January, 1920 | 4. 6320 | 154 | 6.0000 | 246 | . 1931 | 123 | . 0872 | 198 | 5. 0625 | 207 | 37.7500 | 255 |
| February, 1920 | 4.6320 | 154 | 6.0000 | 246 | . 1906 | 121 | . 0881 | 200 | 5. 5125 | 225 | 42.2500 | ${ }^{287}$ |
| March, 1920 | 4.6320 | 154 | 6. 0000 | 246 | . 1858 | 118 | . 0923 | ${ }_{20}^{210}$ | 6. 1000 | 249 | 41.6000 | 283 |
| April, 1920 | 6.4800 6.4800 | ${ }_{216}^{216}$ | 10.5000 12.0000 | 430 492 | . 1919 | 122 | . 08896 | 195 | 6. 61000 | 249 | 42.5000 43.2500 | 289 294 |
| June, 1920 | 6.4800 | 216 | 14.3000 | 586 | . 1900 | 121 | . 0848 | 193 | 6.1000 | 249 | 44.0000 | 299 |
| July, 1920. | 6. 4800 | 216 | 14.3750 | 589 | . 1900 | 121 | . 0860 | 195 | 6. 1000 | 249 | 45.7500 | 311 |

Average monthly wholesale prices of commodities-Continued.
Average price for $1913=100$.]

| Year and month. | Cotton yarns, northern cones, 10/1. |  | Leather, sole, hemlock No. 1 . |  | Steelbillets, Bessemer, Pittsburgh. |  | Steel plates, tank, Pittsburgh. |  | Steelrails, open hearth, Pittsburgh. |  | Worsted yarns, 2-32's crossbred. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average price per pound. | Relative price | Average price per pound. | Relative price. | Average price per long ton. | Relative price. | Average price per pound. | Relative price | Average price per long ton. | Relative price. | Average price per pound. | Relative price. |
| July, 1914. | \$0. 2150 | 97 | \$0.3050 | 108 | \$19.0000 | 74 | \$0.0113 | 76 | \$30,0000 | 100 | \$0.6500 | 84 |
| July, 1915. | . 1600 | 72 | . 3050 | 108 | 21.3800 | 83 | . 0120 | 81 | 30.0000 | 100 | .8500 | 119 |
| July, 1916. | . 2525 | 114 | . 3700 | 131 | 41.0000 | 159 | . 0345 | 233 | 35.0000 | 117 | 1.1000 | 142 |
| July, 1917. | . 4500 | 203 | . 5400 | 191 | 100.0000 | 388 | . 0900 | 608 | 40.0000 | 133 | 1.6000 | 206 |
| July, 1918. | . 6412 | 290 | . 4900 | 174 | 47.5000 | 184 | . 0325 | 220 | 57.0000 | 190 | 2.1500 | 277 |
| July, 1919. | . 5912 | 267 | . 5300 | 188 | 38.5000 | 149 | . 0265 | 179 | 47.0000 | 157 | 1. 6000 | 206 |
| January, 1920. | . 7271 | -329 | . 5600 | 199 | 48.0000 | 186 | . 0274 | 185 | 50.7500 | 169 | 2.2500 | 290 |
| February, 1920 | . 7465 | 337 | . 5700 | 202 | 55. 2500 | 214 | . 0350 | 236 | 54.5000 | 182 | 2.2500 | 290 |
| March, 1920. | . 7549 | 341 | . 5700 | 202 | 60.0000 | 233 | . 0365 | 247 | 54.5000 | 182 | 2. 2000 | 283 |
| April, 1920. | . 7784 | 352 | . 5700 | 202 | 60.0000 | 233 | . 0375 | 253 | 54.5000 | 182 | 2.2000 | 283 |
| May, 1920. | . 7672 | 347 | . 5700 | 202 | 60.0000 | 233 | . 0375 | 253 | 54.5000 | 182 | 2.0000 | 258 |
| June, 1920. | . 7299 | 330 | . 5700 | 202 | 60.0000 | 233 | . 0355 | 240 | 54.5000 | 182 | 2.0000 | 258 |
| July, 1920. | . 7009 | 317 | . 5700 | 202 | 62.5000 | 242 | . 0338 | 228 | 54.5000 | 182 | 1. 7500 | 225 |
| Year and month. | Beef, carcass, good native steers, Chicago. |  | Coffee, Rio, No. 7. |  | Flour, wheat, standard patents (1918, standard war), <br> Minneapolis. |  | Hams, smoked,Chicago. |  | Illuminating oil, $150^{\circ}$ fire test, New York. |  | Sugar, granulated, New York. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Average price per pound. | Relative price. | Average price per pound. | Relative price. | Average price per barrel. | Relative price. | Average price per pound. | Relative price. | Average price per gallon. | Rela. tive price. | Average price per pound. | Relative price. |
| July, 1914. | \$0.1350 | 104 | \$0.0882 | 79 | \$4.5938 | 100 | \$0.1769 | 106 | \$0.1200 | 97 | \$0.0420 | 98 |
| July, 1915. | . 1315 | 102 | . 0738 | 66 | 7.0313 | 153 | . 1610 | 97 | . 1200 | 97 | . 0582 | 136 |
| July, 1916. | . 1413 | 109 | . 0900 | 81 | 6. 1000 | 133 | . 1900 | 114 | . 1200 | 97 | . 0750 | 176 |
| July, 1917. | . 1638 | 120 | . 0950 | 85 | 12.7500 | 278 | . 2395 | 144 | . 1200 | 97 | . 0745 | 174 |
| July, 1918. | . 2400 | 185 | . 0855 | 77 | 10.7020 | 233 | . 3025 | 182 | . 1710 | 139 | . 0735 | 172 |
| July, 1919. | . 2075 | 160 | . 2303 | 207 | 12. 1550 | 265 | . 3835 | 230 | . 2050 | 166 | . 0882 | 207 |
| January, 1920. | . 2320 | 179 | . 1628 | 146 | 14. 4438 | 315 | . 2944 | 177 | . 2240 | 182 | . 1537 | 360 |
| February, 1920 | . 2125 | 164 | . 1478 | 133 | 13. 5375 | 295 | . 3056 | 184 | . 2400 | 195 | . 1495 | 350 |
| March, 1920. | . 2050 | 158 | . 1500 | 135 | 13. 1650 | 287 | . 3155 | 190 | . 2500 | 203 | . 1372 | 321 |
| April, 1920. | . 2090 | 161 | . 1514 | 136 | 14. 2813 | 312 | . 3313 | 199 | . 2600 | 211 | . 1919 | 449 |
| May, 1920. | . 1950 | 151 | . 1559 | 140 | 15. 0313 | 328 | . 3556 | 214 | . 2600 | 211 | . 2247 | 526 |
| June, 1920. | . 2225 | 172 | . 1498 | 135 | 14. 1600 | 309 | . 3650 | 220 | . 2600 | 211 | . 2120 | 497 |
| July, 1920... | . 2550 | 197 | . 1306 | 117 | 13.6688 | 298 | . 3769 | 227 | . 2600 | 211 | . 1910 | 447 |

## DISCOUNT AND INTEREST RATES

In the following table are presented actual discount and interest rates prevailing during the 30 -day period ending August 15, 1920, in the various cities in which the several Federal Reserve Banks and their branches are located. A complete description of the several types of paper for which quotations are given will be found in the September, 1918, and October, 1918, Federal Reserve Bulletins. Quotations for new types of paper
will be added from time to time as deemed of interest.

During the month under review increases in rates are found only in a relatively small number of cases, while a somewhat lesser number of decreases are noted. These changes in rates are scattered, and on the whole not pronounced, either for any particular centers or types of paper. In many centers rates remain unchanged. Present rates continue higher in almost all centers than rates during the same period of 1919 .

Discount and interest rates prevailing in various centers during 30-day period ending Aug. 15, 1920.

| District. | City. | Prime commercial paper. |  |  |  | Interbank loans. | Bankers' acceptances, 60 to 90 days. |  | Collateral loans-stock exchange or other current. |  |  | Cattle loans. | Secured by warehouse receipts, etc. | Ordinaryloans tocustomerssecured byLibertybonds andcertificatesoindebt-edness. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Customers'. |  | Open market. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \text { to } 90 \\ & \text { days. } \end{aligned}$ | 4 to 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \text { to } 90 \\ & \text { days. } \end{aligned}$ | 4 to ${ }^{8}$ months. |  | Indorsed. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Un- } \\ & \text { indorsed. } \end{aligned}$ | Demand. | 3 months. | 3 to 6 months. |  |  |  |
| No. 1 | Boston... New York | $\begin{array}{lll} \text { H. L. } & \text { C. } \\ 8 & 6 & 7 \\ 8 & 6 & 6-7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} H . & L . & C \\ 8 & 6.1 \\ 8 & 6 & 7 \\ 8 & 6 & 6-7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ccc} H . & L . & C . \\ 8 & 73 & 8 \\ 8 & 8 & 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} H . & L . & C . \\ 84 & 7 \frac{3}{4} & 8 \\ 8 & 6 & 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|ccc} H & L & C \\ 7 & 6 & 6 \frac{1}{2} \\ 7 & 6 & \cdots \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{ccc} H . & L . & C . \\ 8 & 8 & 8 \\ 11 & 6 & 6-7 \\ 7 & 6 & 6-7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} H . & L . & C \\ 8 & 7 \frac{1}{2} & 7 \\ 6 & 6 & 6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} H . & L . & C . \\ 8 & 7 \frac{1}{2} & 8 \\ 7 & 6 & 6 \end{array}$ | H. L. C. | H. L. C. | $\begin{array}{lll} H & L . & C \\ 7 & 6 & 6 \frac{1}{2} \\ 7 & 6 & 6 \end{array}$ |
| No. 3 | Pufialo.... | 7668 | 7 6 <br> 6 6 <br> 7 6 | 88 | 848 | ( $\begin{array}{ccc}7 & 6 \\ 6 & 6 \\ 6 & 6 \\ 7 & 6 & 6 \\ 7\end{array}$ | $6 \frac{1}{4} 6$ |  |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ccc}7 & 6 \\ 10 & 6 & 6 \\ 8 & 6 & 7\end{array}\right.$ |  |  |  | 7 51  <br> 6   <br>  6 0 <br> 7 6 6 |
|  | Pittsburgh. | ${ }^{6} 66$ | $\begin{array}{llll}6 & 6 & 6\end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{llll}6 & 6 & { }^{6} \\ 7 & 6\end{array}$ |  | $6^{61} 6{ }^{6} 9$ | 6 6 6 <br> 8 7  | ${ }^{6} \mathbf{6}$ | ${ }^{6} 666$ |  |  | 7 6  <br> 6 6 6 <br> 6   |
|  | Cincinnati. |  | 7 6  <br> 6 $6 \frac{1}{2}$  <br> 6 6 6 | $7 \frac{1}{2} 646$ | 718 | ( 780 | $6^{6 \frac{1}{2}} 6606$ | $6{ }^{\frac{1}{2}} 6316$ | [8 <br> 6 76 | $\begin{array}{llll} & 7 & 7 & 7 \\ 6 & 6 & 7\end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{cccc}7 \frac{1}{2} & 6 \frac{1}{2} & 7 \\ 6 & 6 & 6\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ccc}61 & 6 \\ 63 & 6 & 6 \\ 6 & 6 & 6\end{array}$ |
|  | Baltimore. | ${ }^{8} 6$ | 66 |  |  | $\begin{array}{llll}6 & 6 & 6 \\ 8 & 6 & \end{array}$ | $\square^{6} \quad 6 \quad 6$ |  | 66 | $\begin{array}{llll}6 & 6 & 6\end{array}$ | ${ }_{6} 6$ |  |  | 66 |
| No. 6. | Atlanta... |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{lll}88 & 6 & 7 \\ 8 & 6\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}7 & 7 & 7\end{array}$ | 77 | $\begin{array}{ll}8 & 6 \\ 8 & \\ 8\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}8 & 6 & 7\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}8 & 6 & 6 \\ 8 & 6 & 7\end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{lll}8 & 6 & 7\end{array}$ |
|  | $\xrightarrow{\text { Birmingham }}$ Jacksonville. | $\begin{array}{llll}88 & 6 & 7 \\ 8 & 6 & 7\end{array}$ | 88 6 7 <br> 8 6 7 | 81   <br> 8 6 7 <br> 8 6 7 | $8_{1}$ 6  <br> 8 6  <br> 8 6 $7^{\frac{3}{3}}$ |  |  |  | 8 6 7 <br> 8 6 7 | $\begin{array}{\|ccc\|}8 & 6 & 7 \\ 8 & 6 & 7\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}8 \\ 8 & 6 & 7 & 7 \\ 8 & 7\end{array}$ |  | $8{ }^{8}$ | 8   <br> 8 6 7 <br> 8 6 74 <br>    |
|  | New Orleans | 886178 | ${ }_{8}^{8} 86178$ | $\begin{array}{llll}8 & 8 & 8\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}8 & 8 & 8\end{array}$ | 8 61 7 <br> 8 $6 \frac{1}{2}$  | 88 |  | ${ }^{8} 868$ | 8 ${ }^{8}$ | ${ }_{8}^{8} 86178$ |  | 8 7 7-7-8 | $\begin{array}{lll}8 \\ 8 & 6 & 6-7\end{array}$ |
| No. 7 | Nashville. | $\begin{array}{lll}8 & 6 & 7 \\ 7 & 6 & 7\end{array}$ | 8   <br> 7 6 7 <br> 7 7  | $\begin{array}{llll}7 & 7 & 7\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}7 & 7 & 7\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}8 & 6 \\ 7 & 6 \\ 7 & 7 \\ 7\end{array}$ | $6+6$ |  |  | 8   <br> 7 6 6 <br> 7 7  |  |  | 77 | 7 6 |
|  | Detroit. | ${ }_{7}^{7} 7$ | ${ }_{7}^{7} 787$ | $8{ }^{8}$ | $8 \quad 7 \begin{array}{lll}8 & 7\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}7 & 62 \\ 7\end{array}$ |  |  | $7{ }^{7} 7$ | $\begin{array}{llll}7 & 6^{2} & 7\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}7 & 7 & 7 & 7\end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{lll}7 & 6 & 7 \\ 7 & 7 & 7\end{array}$ |
| No. 8. | St. Louis... |  | $7 \frac{1}{2}$ <br> 6 <br> 6 <br> 6 | 888 | 88 |  |  | $7{ }^{7 \frac{1}{2}} 6 \frac{1}{4}$ | $7 \frac{1}{2}$ 61 <br> 6 6 | $\begin{array}{llll}7 \frac{1}{2} & 61 & 7 \\ 6 & 6 & 7\end{array}$ |  |  | 666 | (1) $\begin{array}{llll}7 & 6 & 7 \\ 6 & 6 & 6\end{array}$ |
|  | Memphis ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Little Rock. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No.9.. | Little Rock..... | $\begin{array}{lll}8 \\ 7 & 7 & 7 \\ 7 \\ 7\end{array}$ |  |  |  | 7 6 7 <br> 7 7 7 <br> 8   | ${ }_{64} \quad 6 \quad 64$ | 63 64. | $\begin{array}{llll}8 & 7 & 8 \\ 8 & 7 \frac{1}{2} & 8 \\ 8 & 8\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cccc}8 & 7 & 7 \\ 8 & 7 \frac{7}{2} & 8 \\ 8\end{array}$ | 8 8 8 8 |  |  |    <br> 8 7 7 <br> $7 \frac{1}{2}$ 7 7 |
| No. 10. | Kansas City..... | 86 ${ }^{8} 8$ | ${ }^{8} 86$ | 8 8  <br> 8 8 8 <br> 8   | $\begin{array}{llll}8 & 8 & 8 \\ 8 & 7 & 8\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{8}^{8} 8$ |  | $8 \quad 7 \quad 7$ |  |
|  | Omaha.......... |  | $\begin{array}{llll}81 & 7 & 8 \\ 88 & 6 & 7\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}8 & 7 & 8 \\ 84 & 8 & 8\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}8 & 7 & 8 \\ 88 \\ 81 & 8 & 8\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ccc}9 & 61 & 7 \frac{71}{2} \\ 8 & 6 & \\ 7\end{array}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{lll}8 & 7 & 8 \\ 8 & 6 & 7\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}8 & 7 & 8 \\ 8 & 6 & 7\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} 8 & 7 \\ 9 & 7 & 8 \\ 8 & 6 & 7 \end{array}$ |  |  |
| No. 11. | Dallas. | ${ }_{8}^{8} 86$ | $\begin{array}{llll}8 & 8 & 7 \\ 8 & 7\end{array}$ | ${ }^{8} 888$ | 8488 |  |  |  | 8667 | ${ }_{8}^{8} \quad 6{ }^{6} 7$ | 8 6 6 | ${ }_{8}^{8} 6{ }^{6} \quad 78$ | 87 | $6^{4 \frac{4}{2}} 6^{\frac{3}{4}}$ |
| No.11. | El Paso | $1{ }^{10} 6{ }^{6} 8$ | ${ }^{10} 96$ | $\begin{array}{llll}9 & 6 \frac{1}{2} & 8\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}9 & 8 & 8\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}8 & 7 & 8 \\ 8 & 7 \\ 8 & 8 & 8\end{array}$ |  |  | ${ }^{8} 888$ | $\begin{array}{llll}9 & 8 & 8 \\ 7\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}10 & 8 & 8 \\ 7 & 8\end{array}$ | $10{ }^{10} 88$ |  | $7{ }^{7} 8$ |
| No. 12. | Houston . ........ |  | 7 7 7 <br> 7 6 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $7^{7 \frac{1}{2}} 6 \frac{1}{6 \frac{1}{2}} \quad 7$ |  | $8 \frac{1}{2} 71$ | $7 \frac{1}{2} 7$ |  |
|  | Portland. | 864 |    <br> 8 61 61 <br> 8   | 88 | $\begin{array}{llll}8 & 8 & 8\end{array}$ | $8 \quad 64$ | $\begin{array}{llll}7^{2} & 6 & 6\end{array}$ | $8{ }^{6} 6^{\frac{1}{4}} 7$ | $\begin{array}{llll}8 & 6 & 7\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}8 & 6^{2} & 7^{\frac{1}{2}}\end{array}$ | 8 62 7 |  |  |  |
|  | Seattle. | 8 6i | $8_{8} 86$ | $\begin{array}{llll}8 \frac{1}{3} & 6 & 8\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}81 & 6 & 8\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}8 & 8 & 7\end{array}$ | $7 \quad 63$ |  | 7 | 8 6宕 | $8{ }^{6}$ |  |  |  |
|  | Spokane. ${ }^{\text {Salt Lake }}$ City. |  | $\begin{array}{lll}8 \\ 8 & 6 & 7 \\ 8 & 7\end{array}$ |  |  | 8 |  |  | 7 8 8 8 | 6 | 8 |  |  | $\begin{array}{ll}8 & 6 \\ 8 & 7\end{array}$ |
|  | Los Angeles.. | 86 | 86 | 8188 | 818 | 8 | $7{ }_{7} 6$ | 777 | 85 | $8 \quad 5$ | 86 |  |  | 88 |

Rates for demand pader secured by prime bankers' acceptances, high 6, low 6, customary 6.
${ }^{2}$ No report.

## PHYSICAL VOLUME OF TRADE.

In continuation of tables in the July Federal Reserve Bulletin there are presented in the following tables certain data relative to the physical volume of trade. The January, 1919, issue contains a description of the methods employed in the compilation of the data and the construction of the accompanying index numbers. Additional material will be presented from time to time as reliable figures are obtained.
In the textile industries a further decline $n$ activity was noted during the month of July. Wool consumption during that month was approximate'y one-fifth less than during June, and materially below the figure for July, 1919 while the percentage of idle woolen machinery on the lst of August for the majority of classes of machinery showed a further increase over the percentage idle on July 1. Consumption of cotton showed a slight falling off during July, although the amount was somewhat greater than during July, 1919, while the percentage of spindles active during the month was somewhat greater than during both June, 1920, and July, 1919. Imports of raw silk during July were considerably less than during June, the July figure being less than one-half that for July, 1919.
The production of bituminous coal during July showed a further slightincrease over June and was likewise greater than for July, 1919, while the production of crude petroleum also showed a similar increase. Anthracite-coal production during July remained substantially unchanged when compared both with June and with July, 1919. Pigiron production during July showed a further slight increase as compared with June, although steel-ingot production showed a considerable falling off. The unfilled orders of the United States Steel Corporation, however, showed a further slight increase during July, and at the close of that month were almost double the figure for a
year ago. In comparing these figures relative to the iron and steel industries for July of this year with July of last year, it should be remembered that last year the industry was just commencing to recover from the post armistice depression.

Lumber receipts at Chicago showed a falling off as compared with June, although they were substantially in excess of the figure for July, 1919. While both production and shipments oi lumber by western mills showed a falling off for July as compared with June, production by southern mills was well sustained and shipments were considerably in excess of June, although for both western and southern mills (except western pine production) the figures were considerably below those for July, 1919. California shipments of citrus fruits showed a further seasonal falling off and were somewhat less than during July, 1919. July sugar receipts at North Atlantic ports, however, were materially in excess of receipts for June, and almost 50 per cent greater than for July, 1919, while meltings were somewhat greater for June, 1920, and raw stocks at the close of July were over 60 per cent greater than at the close of June. Receipts of live stock at the 15 western markets showed a further decrease in the case of cattle and calves and hogs, although a seasonal increase in the case of sheep was noted. In all cases, however, he figures were considerably less than for July, 1919, and reflect the generally lighter movement which has been in progress during the present year. Receipts of grain and flour at the 17 interior centers during July showed a further increase as compared with June, but a considerable decrease as compared with July, 1919.

With the exception of April, 1920, railroad net ton mileage during the present year has been considecably in excess of 1919, though less than at the peak during the late summer and early autumn. The onnage of vessels cleared during July showed a further increase over June and was considerab!y in excess of the figure for July, 1919.

Live-stock movements.
[Bureau of Markets.]

| . | Receipts. |  |  |  |  | Shipments. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cattle and calves, 60 markets. | Hogs, 60 markets. | Sheep, 60 markets. | Horses and mules, 44 markets. | Total, all kinds. | Cattle and calves, 54 markets. | Hogs, 54 markets. | Sheep, 54 markets. | Horses and mules, 44 markets. | Total, all kinds. |
| July, 1919. | Head. $2,008,238$ | Head. $2,999,636$ | Head. $2,178,124$ | Head. 52,247 | Head. $7,238,245$ | Head. <br> 708, 552 | Head. $964,273$ | Head. 999,683 | Head. $47,140$ | Head. $2,719,648$ |
| 1920. January.... | 1,868,723 | 5,275, 412 | 1,281,051 | 138,541 | 8, 842,727 | 752,605 | 1,665,274 | 669,458 | 138,145 | 3,225,482 |
| February | 1,468,370 | 3, 423,992 | 1,387,111 | 108,056 | 6,387,529 | 591, 691 | 1,287,169 | 572,634 | 110,827 | 2,562,321 |
| March... | 1,803,073 | 3,963, 245 | 1,255,490 | 82,584 | 7,104, 392 | 570,323 | 1,399, 485 | 483,550 | 87,896 | 2,541, 254 |
| April | 1,542, 150 | 3,030, 801 | 1,441,072 | 48,036 | 6,062, 059 | 593, 362 | 1,119, 205 | 724,718 | 47,998 | 2, 485, 283 |
| May. | 1,766,394 | 4,234,022 | 1,421,009 | 40,901 | 7,462,326 | 771, 865 | 1,374,902 | 769, 718 | 40,021 | 2,956,506 |
| June. | 1,870, 121 | 3,741, 202 | 1,592,450 | 33,205 | 7, 236,978 | 789,982 | 1,295, 973 | 768,172 | 34,545 | 2,888,672 |
| July. | 1,657, 743 | 2,837,685 | 2,000,758 | 37,231 | 6,533,417 | 721,328 | 1,095,470 | 1,015,612 | 38,715 | 2,871,125 |

Receipts and shipments of live stock at 15 western markets.
[Chicago, Kansas City, Oklahoma City, Omaha, St. Louis, St. Joseph, St. Paul, Sioux City, Cincinnati, Cleveland, Denver, Fort Worth, Indianapolis, Louisvilie, Wichita. 1

RECEIPTS.
[Monthly average, 1911-1913 $=100$.]

|  | Cattle and calves. |  | Hogs. |  | Sheep. |  | Horses and mules. |  | Total, all kinds. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Head. | Relative. | Head. | Relative. | Head. | Relative. | Head. | Relative. | Head. | Relative. |
| July, $1919 .$. | 1,527,881 | 152 | 2,411,539 | 110 | 1,558,767 | 114 | 37,646 | 82 | 5,535,833 | 120 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1920 . \\ \text { January } . . . . \end{array}$ | 1,400,031 | 139 | 3,912,449 | 178 | 1,035,591 | 76 | 90,662 | 197 | 6, 438,733 | 139 |
| February.... | 1,068,092 | 114 | 2,440, 154 | 19 | - ${ }^{\text {, } 948,116}$ | 74 | 76,048 | 168 | 4, 532,410 | 105 |
| March.. | 1,203,499 | 119 | 2,910,909 | $1{ }^{\circ}$ | 900,299 | 66 | 57,880 | 126 | 5,072,587 | 110 |
| April. | 1,040,903 | 103 | 2, 150, 281 | 92 | 928, 191 | 68 | 31,235 | 68 | 4, 150,610 | 90 |
| May. | 1,209,656 | 120 | 3,128,249 | 142 | 796,160 | 58 | 25,469 | 55 | 5,159, 334 | 112 |
| June. | 1,290,265 | 128 | 2,746,390 | 125 | 1,006,528 | 74 | 21,316 | 46 | 5,064,499 | 110 |
| July.... | 1,188,019 | 118 | 2,115,639 | 96 | 1,301,458 | 95 | 26,697 | 58 | 4,631,813 | 100 |
| SHIPMENTS. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July, 1919.. | 515,071 | 127 | 691,283 | 143 | 694.942 | 128 | 32,616 | 79 | 1,933,912 | 135 |
| January $\begin{array}{r}1920 .\end{array}$ | 548,841 | 135 | 1,026, 763 | 212 | 403,382 | 80 | 90,630 | 221 | 2,069,616 | 144 |
| February.. | 427,608 | 113 | 814,253 | 180 | 334,012 | 71 | 79,100 | 207 | 1,654,973 | 124 |
| March... | 418,310 | 103 | 923,526 | 191 | 298,878 | 59 | 62,625 | 153 | 1,703,339 | 119 |
| April. | 414,967 | 102 | 712,087 | 147 | 373, 381 | 74 | 31,348 | 76 | 1,531,783 | 107 |
| May. | 515,062 | 127 | 822,907 | 170 | 316, 002 | 63 | 24,617 | 60 | 1,678,588 | 117 |
| June... | 528,273 | 130 | 797,946 | 165 | 399,613 | 79 | 22,623 | 55 | 1, 748,455 | 122 |
| July .. | 508,199 | 125 | 737,923 | 152 | 644, 557 | 128 | 28,168 | 69 | 1,918,847 | 134 |

Exports of certain meat products.
[Department of Commerce.]
[Monthly average, 1911-1913=100.]

|  | Beef, canned. |  | Beef, fresh. |  | Beef, pickled, and other cured. |  | Bacon. |  | Hams and shoulders, cured. |  | Lard. |  | Pickled pork. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pounds. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rela- } \\ & \text { tive. } \end{aligned}$ | Pounds. | Relative. | Pounds. | Relative. | Pounds. | Relative. | Pounds. | Relative. | Pounds. | Relative. | Pounds. | Relative. |
| July, 1919... | 5,392,104 | 814 | 8,680,524 | 700 | 3,320,564 | 124 | 117,679, 193 | 703 | 47, 452, 834 | 318 | 68, 163,734 | 155 | 2,392,515 | 54 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1920 . \\ \text { January. } \end{array}$ | 1,081,643 | 163 | 22,872, 223 | 1,844 | 1,670,500 | 63 | 77,501,002 | 463 | 13,905,923 | 93. | 38,823,902 | 88 | 4,251,187 | 96 |
| February | 735, 132 | 119 | 13,010, 793 | 1,124 | 1, 631,457 | 65 | 75, 891,195 | 486 | 24, 217,706 | 174 | 36,644, 906 | 88 | 3,710,308 | 90 |
| March | 1,647, ${ }^{897}$ | ${ }_{243}^{128}$ | $\underset{17,687,306}{6,036}$ | 1.487 | $\stackrel{2,290,835}{2,241,460}$ | ${ }_{84}^{86}$ | $75,002,410$ $24,356,349$ | 448 | $31,088,859$ $15,640,236$ | 1208 | $69,429,785$ $40,758,401$ | ${ }_{93}^{158}$ | $3,160,456$ <br> $2,784,535$ | ${ }_{63}^{71}$ |
|  | 5,976,493 | 902 | 14,304,038 | 1,427 | 3,056,449 | 114 | 50,412,388 | 301 | 17,896,764 | 120 | 55,544,483 | 126 | - $3,816,157$ | ${ }_{86}^{63}$ |
| June.. | 6,787, 622 | 1,025 | 12,526,669 | 1,010 | 2,563,702 | 96 | 60, 730,935 | 363 | 21,277,089 | 143 | 45,069,517 | 102 | 3,962,649 | ${ }_{00}$ |
| July.. | 5,217,838 | 788 | 5,506,812 | 444 | 1,973,004 | 74 | 31,562,761 | 188 | 8,385,089 | 56 | 47,061,422 | 107 | 2,926,247 | 66 |

Receipts of grain and flour at 17 interior centers.
fChicago, Cleveland, Detroit, Duluth, Indianapolis, Kansas City, Little Rock, Louisville, Memphis, Milwaukee, Minneapolis, Omaha, Peoria, St. Louis, Spokane, Toledo, Wichita; receipts of flour not available for Cleveland, Detroit, Indianapolis, Louisville, Omaha, Spokane, Toledo, and Wichita.]
[Compiled from reports of trade organizations at these cities.]
[Monthly average, 1911-1913=100.]

|  | Wheat. |  | Corn. |  | Oats. |  | Rye. |  | Barley. |  | Totalgrain. |  | Flour. |  | Totalgrain and flour. ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bushels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Relative. | Bushels. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rela- } \\ & \text { tive. } \end{aligned}$ | Bushels. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rela- } \\ & \text { tive. } \end{aligned}$ | Barrels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Relative. |
| July, 1919... | 49,612,115 |  | 12, 544, 219 |  | 25,219, 809 |  | 3,105,096 |  | 11,155,491 | 156 | 101,636,730 |  | 1,572,420 | 80 | 108,712,620 | 125 |
| January | 25, 074,624 |  | 24,139, 094 |  | 20,925,941 |  | 4, 378,610 |  | 3, 298,544 |  | 77, 816,813 |  | 2,298,692 | 117 | 88, 160, 927 | 102 |
| February | 18, 115,324 |  | '26, 051,855 |  | 20, 575, 654 |  | 3,263,686 |  | 2, 470,622 |  | 70, 477, 141 |  | 2, 059,421 | 113 | 79, 744,536 | 99 |
| March | 18, 007,798 |  | 24, 306,196 | 108 | $19,149,624$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5,548,79 \\ & 42,914,553 \end{aligned}$ |  | $2,928,440$ |  | $67,940,797$ | 87 | $1,617,544$ | ${ }_{45}^{83}$ |  | 87 |
| April. | $18,260,236$ $20,510,063$ |  | 11, 326, ${ }^{\text {207,950 }}$ |  | 12, 952, 593 <br> 16, 724,389 |  | 2,914,553 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2,245,881 \\ & 2,690.076 \end{aligned}$ |  | $44,699,772$ $55,790,985$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 888,42 \\ 11.913 .075 \end{array}$ | 45 98 | $\begin{aligned} & 48,697,676 \\ & 64,399 \end{aligned}$ | 56 74 |
| June | 21,020,640 |  | 27, 251, 166 |  | 14,260,053 |  | \|3,177, 770 |  | 2,721,367 |  | 68,430, 996 |  | 2,113, 979 | 108 | 80,057,876 | $\stackrel{74}{92}$ |
| July ${ }^{2}$ | 29,816,261 |  | 20, 824,268 |  | 18,734, 180 |  | 3,096,026 |  | 2,661,181 |  | 75,131,916 |  | 2,044,235 | 104 | 84,330,974 | 97 |

${ }^{1}$ Flour reduced to its equivalent in wheat on basis of $4 \frac{1}{2}$ bushels to barrel
:Flour receipts for Memphis not included; June receipts 13,825 barrels.

Shipments of grain and flour at 14 interior centers.
[Chicago, Cleveland, Detroit, Duluth, Kansas City, Little Rack, Louisville, Milwaukee, Minneapolis, Omaha, Peoria, St. Louis, Toledo, Wichita; shipments of flour not available for Cleveland, Detroit, Louisville, Omaha, Toledo, and Wichita.]

${ }^{1}$ Flour reduced to its equivalent in wheat on basis of $4 \frac{1}{2}$ bushels to barrel.
Receipts of grain and flour at 9 seaboard centers.
[Boston, New York, Philadelphia, Baltimore, New Orleans, San Francisco, Portland (Oreg.), Seattle, Tacoma; receipts of flour not availablefor Seattle and Tacoma.]
[Compiled from reports of trade organizations at these cities.]
[Monthly average, 1911-1913=100.]

|  | Wheat. |  | Corn. |  | Oats. |  | Rye. |  | Barley. |  | Total grain. |  | Flour. |  | Totalgrain and flour. ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bushels. | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Rela- } \\ & \text { tive. } \end{aligned}\right.$ | Bushels. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rela- } \\ & \text { tive. } \end{aligned}$ | Bushels. | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Rela- } \\ \text { tive. } \end{array}\right\|$ | Bushels. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rela- } \\ & \text { tive. } \end{aligned}$ | Bushels. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rela- } \\ & \text { tive. } \end{aligned}$ | Bushels. | Relative. | Barrels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Rela- <br> tive. |
| July, 1919. | 5,806,227 | 46 | 901,842 | 25 | 6,959,186 | 146 | 1,478,551 | 1,041 | 9, 723,852 | 586 | 24, 869,658 |  | 1,514, 135 |  | 31,683,266 | 116 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1920 . \\ \text { January. } \end{array}$ | 5,711,009 | 45 |  | 42 | 2,663, 274 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 76 |
| February | $4,898,690$ $6,486,745$ 5 | 5 | 1,244, 393 |  | 2,331,246 |  | 3, 212, 668 |  | 1,315, 291 | 85 | 13, 002,288 |  | 1,102,606 |  | 17,964,015 | 70 |
| A pril. | 5,441,434 | 43 | 1,317,555 | 37 | 1,546,590 |  | 4, 440,385 | 2,421 | 1,685,054 | 41 | 12, 430,983 | 55 | + $1,843,916$ |  | 18, 2288,605 | 59 |
| мay. | 10,621,723 | 84 | 1,767,332 | 22 | 2,382, 271 |  | 5,117, 806 | 3,602 | 556, 764 |  | $19,445,896$ |  | 1,301, 211 | 12 | 25, 301, 346 | ${ }_{92}$ |
| June. | 13, 374, 721 | 106 | 1, $1,878,284$ | 53 | 3, 194, 897 |  | 6,506,053 | 4,579 | 1,191,767 |  | 26, 145, 722 |  | '1,486, 365 | 142 | 32, 834, 365 | 120 |
| July. | 18, 710,633 | 149 | 3,305,542 | 93 | 3,499,101 |  | 5,048,019 | 3,553 | 2,098, 083 |  | 32,661,378 | 144 | 1,660,849 | 158 | 40,135,198 | 146 |

${ }^{1}$ Flour reduced to its equivalent in wheat on basis of $4 \frac{1}{2}$ bushels to barrel
Stocks of grain at 8 seaboard centers at close of month.
[Boston, New York, Philadelphia, Baltimore, New Orleans, Newport News, Galveston, San Francisco.]
[Compiled from reports of trade organizations at these cities.]
[Bushels.]

|  | Wheat. | Corn. | Oats. | Rye. | Barley. | Totaıgrain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| July, 1919. | 5,557,644 | 265, 196 | 3,760,063 | 867,491 | 5,528,176 | 15,978,570 |
| January............................. | 8,485,491 | 711,501 | 2,398,639 | 2,397,156 | 2,587,543 | 16,580,330 |
| February | 6,634,682 | 948,239 | 1,571,209 | 2,671,743 | 2, 340,787 | 14, 166,660 |
| March... | 6, 280, 682 | 851,287 | 1,351, 457 | 2, 389,321 | 1,891, 862 | 12,764, 609 |
| April | $\begin{array}{r}7,704,155 \\ 10 \\ \hline 81 \\ \hline 81927\end{array}$ | 967,475 | 3199,958 | 1,944, 350 | $\checkmark \quad 034,983$ | 13,040, 921 |
|  | 10, 8 8192,819 | 437,521 459,568 | 819, 990 | $\xrightarrow{1,889,965}$ | 1,071,920 | $15,001,123$ $13,082,559$ |
| July. | 11,923, 745 | 744, 167 | 1,323,940 | 1,275,554 | 3,187,611 | 18,455,017 |

Note.-Figures for San Francisco include also stocks at Port Costa and Stockton.

Cotton.
[New Orleans Cotton Exchange.]
[Crop years 1911-1913=100.]

|  | Sight receipts. |  | Port receipts. |  | Overland movement. |  | American spinners' takings. |  | Stocks at ports and interior towns close of month. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bales. | Relative. | Bales. | Relative. | Bales. | Relative. | Bales. | Relative. | Bales. | Relative. |
| August ................ | 313, 301 | 25 |  |  |  | 47 |  |  |  | 120 |
| September | 584,776 | 47 | 260, 698 | 28 | 26, 138 | 25 | 300, 001 | 66 | 1,501,805 | 127 |
| October.. | 1,779,927 | 142 | 1,029,331 | 112 | 110, 202 | 105 | 621,784 | 137 | 2,340,881 | 199 |
| November.. | 2,369, 177 | 189 | 1,178,443 | 128 | 245,237 | 233 | 1, 155, 324 | 254 | 2,616,383 | 222 |
| December. | 2,147, 365 | 171 | 1,069,693 | 116 | 242, 940 | 231 | 1,214,337 | 267 | 2,765,040 | 235 |
| January. | 1,526,622 | 122 | 982,030 | 107 | 205, 233 | 195 | 793,453 | 175 | 2, 470,496 | 210 |
| February. | 1,003,226 | 86 | 725, 515 | 85 | 138,084 | 141 | 374,093 | 88 | 2,510,482 | 213 |
| March... | 757,792 | 60 | 621,808 | 68 | 108,573 | 103 | 270, 269 | 59 | 2, 276, 737 | 193 |
| April. | 559,880 | 45 | 499,187 | 54 | 48,565 | 46 | 276, 805 | 61 | 2, 148, 038 | 182 |
| May.. | 316,633 | 25 | 289, 809 | 32 | 57,661 | 55 | 214, 678 | 47 | 1,913,407 | 162 |

California shipments of citrus and deciduous fruits.
[1911-1913=100.]

|  | Oranges. |  | Lemons. |  | Total citrus fruits. |  | Total. deciduous fruits. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Carloads. | Relative. | Carloads. | Relative. | Carloads. | Relative. | Carloads. |
| July, 1919. | 2,568 | 105 | 1,038 | 256 | 3,606 | 127 | 4,199 |
| January......................... | 2,457 | 100 | 630 | 156 | 3,087 | 108 | 123 |
| February. | 2,683 | 118 | 852 | 225 | 3,535 | 133 | 139 |
| March.... | 4,715 | 193 | 651 | 161 | 5,366 | 188 | 155 |
| April........... | 3,720 | 152 | $\begin{array}{r}508 \\ 1 \\ \hline 153\end{array}$ | ${ }_{334}^{125}$ | 4,228 | 148 | ${ }_{24}^{22}$ |
| May.......... | 5,048 3,294 | 206 132 | 1,353 1,576 | 334 389 | 6,401 4,870 | 225 171 | 24 1,263 |
| July..... | 2,822 | 115 | 1,664 | 164 | 3,486 | 122 | 3,179 |

Sugar.
[Data for ports of New York, Boston, Philadelphia.]
[Weekly Statistical Sugar Trade Journal.]
[Tons of 2,240 pounds. Monthly average 1911-1913=100.]


Naval stores.
[Data for Savannah, Jacksonville, and Pensacola.
[In barrels.]
[Compiled from reports of trade organizations at these cities.]

|  | Spırits of turpentine. |  | Rosin. |  |  | Spirits of turpentine. |  | Rosin. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Receipts. | Stocks at close of month. | Receipts. | Stochs at close of month. |  | Receipts. | Stocks at close of month. | Receipts. | Stocks at close of month. |
| July.......... | 23,598 | 30,656 | 76,561 | 235,707 | $1920 .$ | 1,8767,644 | 4,819 <br> 3,996 | 14,66027,029 | 103,44398.517 |
| 1020. |  |  |  |  | April... |  | 6,996 |  |  |
| January ..... | 8,3003,762 | $\begin{aligned} & 24,910 \\ & 17,900 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47,874 \\ & 29,303 \end{aligned}$ | 165,927140,559 | June. | 33,522 | 19,654 | 94,904 | 108,656 |
| February ... |  |  |  |  | July . | 39,158 | 30,906 | 117,088 | 135,979 |

Lumber.
[From reports of manufacturers' associations.]
[M feet.]

|  | Southern pine. |  |  | Western pine. |  |  | Douglas fir. |  |  | Eastern white pine. |  |  | North Carolina pine. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nummills. | Production. | Shipments. | Number of mills. | Production. | Shipments. | Number of mills. | Production. | Shipments. | Number of mills. | Production. | Shipments. | Nummills. | Production. | Shipments. |
| July...... | 206 | 401,939 | 466,786 | 48 | 148,533 | 140,680 | 114 | 268,634 | 301,050 | 9 | 27,382 | 22,470 | 35 | 22,326 | 34, 191 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1920 . \\ \text { January.... } \end{array}$ | 202 | 386,481 | 406,706 | 53 |  | 144,180 | 128 | 327,568 | 344,568 | 21 |  |  | 25 |  | 26,283 |
| February | 203 | 383,239 | 369,047 | 51 | 85,583 | 147, 180 | 124 | 332, 511 | 295,934 | 21 | 32,551 | 59,687 | 15 | 15,534 | 15,202 |
| March. | 205 | 436, 944 | 424,775 | 50 | 130,425 | 156,211 | 123 | 342,948 | 329,012 | 21 | 43,771 | 61,620 | 24 | 29,633 | 29,896 |
| April. | 205 | 438,056 | ${ }_{399} 359461$ | 51 | 167,165 | 133, 114 | 126 | 359,651 | 274, 587 | 21 | 46,222 | $\stackrel{61,757}{ }$ | 13 | 13,659 | 10,613 |
| May. | 205 | 430,271 | 347,404 | 51 | ${ }_{197}^{183,621}$ | 132,181 | 124 | 424,687 | 383,346 | 20 | 12,731 | 26,323 | 14 | 15,992 | 18,657 |
| June | 207 | ${ }_{385}^{385}$, 842 | ${ }_{331}^{287}$, 273 | 45 | 177,262 | 125,770 103,500 | 127 | -342,612 | 225, 666 | 20 | 25.771 37.459 | ${ }_{40}^{41,657}$ | ${ }_{20}^{12}$ | 14, 259 | 10,481 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20,50 | 15,217 |

Receipts and shipments of lumber at Chicago.
[Chicago Board of Trade.]
[Monthly average, 1991-1913=100.]

|  | Receipts. |  | Shipments. |  |  | Receipts. |  | Shipments. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M feet. | Relative. | M feet. | Relatlve. |  | M feet. | Relative. | m feet. | Relative. |
| July............. | 200,148 | 94 | 90, 134. | 118 | March.. | 284,146124.72518, | $\begin{array}{r}134 \\ 59 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 122,40151,495 |  |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  | April... |  | $\begin{array}{r}59 \\ 89 \\ \hline 9\end{array}$ |  | 67 116 |
| January ......... | 235,423 | 98119 | $\begin{aligned} & 71,233 \\ & 81,561 \end{aligned}$ | 93114 |  | 234, 562 | 111 | 90,503 | 118 |
| February. |  |  |  |  | July. | 222,619 | 105 | 82,896 | 108 |

Coal and coke.
[U. S. Geological Survey.]
[Monthly average, 1911-1913 = 100.]

|  | Bituminous coal, estimated monthly production. |  | Anthracite coal, estimated monthly production. |  | Beehive coke, estimated monthly production. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Short tons. | Relative. | Short tons. | Relative. | Short tons. | Relative. |
| July............................... | 42,698,000 | 115 | 7,803,000 | 105 | 1,503,367 | 57 |
| January .......................... 1920. | 48,689, 000 | 131 | 7,687,000 | 104 | 1,982,000 | 76 |
| February | 40,127,000 | 116 | 6,367,000 | 86 | 1,731,000 | 71 |
| March... | 46,792,000 | 126 | 7,240,000 | 98 | 2,025,000 | 77 |
| April.. | 37.966,000 | 102 | 6,454,000 | 87 | 1,602,167 | 61 |
| May.. | 39,059,000 | 105 | 7,631,000 | 103 | 1,689,500 | 65 |
| June........ | 44, 462,500 | ${ }_{123}^{120}$ | $7,754,000$ $7,785,000$ | ${ }_{105}^{105}$ | $1,710,333$ $1,693,000$ | $\stackrel{65}{65}$ |
| July........ | 45, 526,500 | 123 | 7,785,000 | 105 | 1,693,000 |  |

Crude petroleum.
[U. S. Geological Survey.]
[Barrels of 42 gallons each.]

|  | Produced. |  | Stocks at end of month (barrels). |  | Produced. |  | Stocks at end of month (barrels). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Barrels. | Relative. |  |  | Barrels. | Relative. |  |
| July, 1919.. | 33, 894, 000 | 177 | 140,093, 000 | April............ | 36,201,000 | 189 | 124,991,000 |
| January........... |  |  | 127, 164,000 | May.... | 36,931,000 | 193 | 124, 689,000 |
| February. | 33, 212,000 | 186 | 126, 339,000 | July... | 38,419,000 | 200 | 128,165,000 |
| March..... | 36,461,000 | 190 | 125,597, 000 |  |  |  |  |

Total output of oil refneries in United States. [Bureau of Mines.]


Iron and steel.
[Great Lakes iron-ore movements, Marine Review; pig-iron production, Iron Age; steel-ingot production, American Iron and Steel Institute.] [Monthly average, 1911-1913=100: iron ore, monthly average, May-November, 1911-1913=100.]


Imports of pig tin.
[Department of Commerce.]
[Monthly average, 1911-1913=100.]

|  | Pounds. | Relative. |  | Pounds. | Relative. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| July, 1910.. | 113, 120 | 1 | March........................................ | 11,980,019 | 132 |
| 1920. |  |  |  | $10,345,130$ $9,102,341$ | 114 190 |
| January. | 8,772,953 | 97 | June............................................ | 11, 232,325 | 124 |
| February.. | 13, 925,843 | 164 | July.. | 17,584,167 | 193 |

Raw stocks of hides and skins.
[Bureau of Markets; July, 1920, on, Bureau of the Census.]
[In pieces.]


Note.-Figures for July 31 are provisional.
$9149^{\circ}-20-6$

## Textiles.

[Silk, Department of Commerce; cotton and idle wool machinery, Bureau of the Census; wool consumption, Bureau of Markets.] [Cotton, monthly average crop, years 1912-1914 = 100; silk, monthly average, 1911-1913=100.]

|  | Cotton consumption. |  | Cotton spindles active during month. | Wool consumption (pounds). | Percentage of idle woolen machinery on first of month to total reported. |  |  |  |  |  | Imports of raw silk. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Looms. |  | Sets of cards. | Combs. | Spinning spindles. |  |  |  |
|  | Bales. | Relative. |  |  |  |  | $\left.\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Wider } \\ \text { than 50- } \\ \text { inch reed } \\ \text { space. } \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | Under 50-inch reed space. | Woolen. | Worsted. | Pounds. | Relative. |
| July, 1919. | 510,328 | 113 |  | 34, 171,690 | 54,973,093 | 22.0 | 26.0 | 9.7 | 7.6 | 8.9 | 13.5 | 5,202,407 | 254 |
| January $\begin{array}{r}1920 .\end{array}$ | 591,725 | 132 | 34,739,071 | 63, 059,862 | 14.5 | 18.5 | 8.8 | 7.2 | 9.1 | 10.2 | 4,855,989 | 237 |
| February.. | 516,594 | 123 | 34,668,643 | 55, 247,652 | 12.2 | 17.6 | 7.6 | 6.9 | 7.1 | 7.9 | 3,696, 121 | 194 |
| March.. | 575,704 | 128 | 34,667, 747 | 58,344,602 | 14.9 | 19.8 | 9.8 | 7.0 | 10.3 | 11.7 | 2,491,651 | 122 |
| April. | 567,839 | 126 | 34, 346, 737 | 57,887, 832 | 13.1 | 16.9 | 9.6 | 7.1 | 9.5 | 7.0 | 2,227,857 | 109 |
| May... | 541,080 | 120 | 34,066,236 | 50,649,381 | ${ }^{15.2}$ | 18.2 | 10.6 | 6.7 | 11.5 | 7.0 | 2,505,798 | 122 |
| June....... | 555,521 525,405 | 124 | $34,503,754$ $\mathbf{3 4 , 6 6 6 , 8 4 2}$ | $40,679,920$ $32,372,064$ | 26.8 42.5 | ${ }_{32}^{22.4}$ | 21.1 38.0 | 15.9 35.0 | ${ }_{42.0}^{23.1}$ | 14.2 32.7 | ${ }_{2}^{3,221,177}$ | 157 126 |
| August..... | 525,405 | 117 | 34,666,842 | 32,372,064 | 49.5 | 32.3 29.9 | 38.0 39.6 | 155.0 33.4 | 42.0 45.5 | 32.7 37.6 | 2,581,920 | 126 |

Production of wood pulp and paper.
[Federal Trade Commission.] [Net tons.]

|  | Wood pulp. | Newsprint. | Book. | Paper board. | Wrapping. | Fine. |  | Wood pulp. | Newsprint. | Book. | Paper board. | Wrapping. | Fine. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| July, 1919 | 260,685 | 113,929 | 75,613 | 169,593 | 63,769 | 30,036 | 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  | April. | 350, 194 | 128,269 | 95, 251 | 199,395 | 75,347 | 33,493 |
| January... | 302,541 | 129,663 | 96, 419 | 211, 933 | 70,109 | 32,886 29,202 | May | 363,815 337115 | 129,230 130,380 | 92,856 | 213,475 | 70,511 | 31, 575 |
| $\underset{\text { March }}{ }$ Februal | 267,143 | 127,847 | 85,382 95,851 | 207,863 | 68,403 | ${ }_{33,671}^{29,202}$ | July | 312,334 | 129,853 | ${ }_{95,526}$ | 218,771 | 73,487 | ${ }_{34,078}^{34}$ |

Sale of revenue stamps for manufactures of tobacco in the United States (excluding Porto Rico and Philippine Islands).
[Commissioner of Internal Revenue.]


Output of locomotives and cars.
[Locomotives, United States Railroad Administration; February on, reports from individual producers; cars, Railway Car Manufacturer's

|  | Locomotives. |  | Output of cars. |  |  |  | Locomotives. |  | Output of cars. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Domestic shipped. | Foreign completed | Domestic. | Foreign. | Total. |  | Domesshipped. | Foreign com- <br> pleted | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Domes- } \\ & \text { tic. } \end{aligned}$ | Foreign. | Total. |
| July, 1919. | Number. 121 | Number. 73 | Number. 2,777 | $\underset{\substack{\text { Number. }}}{ }$ | Number. 9,713 | $\begin{array}{r} 1920 . \\ \text { March..... } \\ \text { April...... } \end{array}$ | Number. | Number. | Number. $\begin{aligned} & 3,053 \\ & 2,313 \end{aligned}$ | Number. 2,040 1,934 | Number. 5,093 4,247 |
| ${ }^{1920}$ |  |  |  |  |  | May. |  |  | 2,792 2,780 | 1,402 | 4,194 3,511 |
| Jebruary... | 48 | 22 | 4,650 3,960 | 1,966 | 6,564 $\mathbf{5 , 0 2 6}$ | June. | 122 | 54 | $\stackrel{2}{2,731}$ | 434 | 3,165 |

Vessels built in United States, including those for foreign nations, and officially numbered by the Bureau of Navigation.
[Monthly average, 1911-1913=100.]

|  | Number. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gross } \\ \text { tonnage. } \end{gathered}$ | Relative. |  | Number. | Gross tonnage. | Relative. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| July, 1919. | 245 | 397,628 | 1,645 | 1920. |  |  |  |
| 1920. |  |  |  | May | 164 | 251,442 185,145 | 1,040 |
| January | 115 | 253, 680 | 1,050 | June.. | 198 | 267,076 | 1,105 |
| February. |  | 267,231 279,709 | 1,185 1,157 | July ............ | 173 | 217, 239 | 899 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Tonnage of vessels cleared in the foreign trade.
[Department of Commerce.]
[Monthly average, 1911-1913=100.]


Net ton-miles, revenue and nonrevenue.
[United States Railroad Administration; March, 1920 on, Interstate Commerce Commission.]


Commerce of canals at Sault Ste. Marie.
[Monthly average, May-November, 1911-1913=100.]
EASTBOUND.

|  | Grain other than wheat. |  | Wheat. |  | Flour. |  | Iron ore. |  | Total. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bushels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Relative. | Barrels. | Relative. | Short tons. | Relative. | Short tons. | Rela- <br> tive. |
| July, 1919... | 7,100,008 | 80 | 2,391, 840 | 12 | 915,420 | 79 | 8,912,609 | 150 | 9,343,396 | 133 |
| April.............. | 6,008,000 |  | 4,274, 611 |  |  |  | 162,630 |  |  |  |
| May.. | 11,904,942 | 134 | 13,497,995 | $70^{\circ}$ | 658,910 | 57 | 6,683, 820 | 113 | 7,483, 836 | 107 |
|  | 3,076,986 | 35 | 5,976, 125 | 31 | 1,082,521 | ${ }^{93}$ | $8,707,350$ | 146 | 9, 153, 884 | 131 |
| July.............. | 3,133,419 | 35 | 7,838,470 | 41 | 1,171,250 | 101 | 9, 235,086 | 156 | 9,749,701 | 139 |

WESTBOUND.


## GOLD SETTLEMENT FUND.

Fiscal operations of the United States Treasury during the month of June, including the receipt of 744 millions of income and excessprofits taxes, the payment of about 154 millions of interest on United States securities, and the issue and redemption of Treasury certificates of indebtedness aggregating 419 millions and 728 millions, respectively, also the redemption of 201 millions of certificates of indebtedness on July 1, are reflected in the heavy volume of clearings and transfers effected through the gold settlement fund during the three weeks ending July 1, 1920. A substantial increase in the volume of rediscount transactions effected between the Federal Reserve Banks during the current three-month period accounts for the increase from $\$ 1,472,168,698$ to $\$ 1,688,008,156$ in the volume of interbank transfers effected through the fund. Total clearings during the period, $\$ 21,035,992,496$, show a slight decline from the record total of $\$ 21,756,273,548$ for the preceding three months. The issue of 201 millions and redemption of 84 millions of Treasury certificates about July 15 and the issue of 157 millions of certificates on August 16 account largely for the heavy volume of transactions through the gold settlement fund for the weeks ending July 22 and August 19.

Operations of the New York bank through the fund resulted in a net gain through settlements of $\$ 44,241,404$ and a net loss through transfers of $\$ 142,006,588$, thus indicating a net movement of funds away from New York of $\$ 97,765,184$. The other three eastern banks, as well as Chicago and San Francisco, show net increases in their gold holdings through settlements and transfers, while all other Federal Reserve Banks show net losses.

Net deposits of gold in the banks' fund aggregating $\$ 132,067,760$ were more than offset by
net transfers of $\$ 170,833,500$ to the agents' fund, thus resulting in a decrease in the banks' aggregate balances in the fund of $\$ 38,765,740$. Balances in the agents' fund show a net increase for the three-month period of $\$ 65$,333,500 as the result of net transfers from the banks' fund aggregating $\$ 170,833,500$ and net withdrawals of gold amounting to $\$ 105,500,000$. On August 19, 1920, the aggregate balances in the two funds stood at $\$ 1,158,960,461$, or $\$ 26,567,760$ more than on May 20, 1920.
Below are given figures showing operations of the two funds for the period from May 21 to August 19, 1920, inclusive:

Amounts of clearings and transfers through the gold settlement fund, by Federal Reserve Banks, from May 21, 1920, to Aug. 19, 1920, both inclusive.


Changes in ownership of gold.

| Federal Reserve Bank. | Total to May 21, 1920. |  | From May 21, 1920, to Aug. 19, 1920, inclusive. |  |  |  | Total changes from May 21, 1915, to Aug. 20, 1920. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Decrease. | Increase. | Balance to credit May 20, 1920 plus net deposits of gold since that date. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Balance. } \\ & \text { Aug. } 19,1920 . \end{aligned}$ | Decrease. | Increase. | Decrease. | Increase. |
| Boston |  | \$43,514, 262.14 | \$4, 177, 317.71 | \$28, 564, 594. 621 |  | \$24, 387, 276.91 |  | 867,901, 539.05 |
| New York | \$805, 439, 871.80 |  | 133, $614,537.32$ | 35, 349, 353.45 | 7, 765, 183.87 |  | \$903, 205, 055.67 |  |
| Cleveland |  | $\|$$40,428,679.88$ <br> 178 | $6,055,786.88$ $27,439,112.88$ | ${ }_{65} \mathbf{4 6 , 4 6 2 , 5 4 2 , 9 5 9 . 3 9}$. |  | 40, 406, 762.71 |  | $80,885,412.59$ 217,249219 |
| Richmond |  | 18, 825, 199.01 | 25,674,795. 13 | 18, 798, 108.05. | 6,876, 687.08 | 38, 533,846.44 |  | 11,948, 511.93 |
| Atlanta.. |  | 56, 226, 909.57 | 21, 161,414.50 | 4, 600, 948.57 | 16, 560, 465.93 |  |  | 39, 666 , 443.64 |
| Chicago.. |  | 11,218,927. 95 | 56, 751, 175.08 | 81, 232, 325. 52 | , | 24, 481, 150.44 |  | 35, 700, 078.39 |
| St. Louis |  | 66, 134, 700. 62 | 9, 684, 883.01 | 8,124,694. 10 | 1,560, 188.91 |  |  | 64,574,511.71 |
| Minneapolis | 2,601,455.44 |  | 14, 521, 774, 68 | ${ }_{5}^{5} 5,991,071.94$ | 8,530, 702.74 |  | 11, 132,158.18 |  |
| Dallas ..... |  | 37,276, 510.44 | 18, 369, 044.36 | $25,678,029.931$ <br> $5,109,786.34$ | $82,611.87$ $13,259,258.02$ |  |  | ${ }_{24,017,252.42}^{29,160,65.51}$ |
| San Francisco |  | 326, 457, 494. 58 | 24,065, 118.12 | 40, $891,180.04$ | ............ | $16,826,061.92$ |  | 343, 283, 556.50 |
| Total. | 808,041, 327.24 | 808,041,327.24 | 366, 775, 601. 47 | 366, 775,601. 47 | 44, 635, 098.42 | 144, 635, 098. 42 | 914, 337, 213.84 | 914, 337, 213.84 |

Combined statement from May 21, 1920, to Aug. 19, 1920, inclusive.
GOLD SETTLEMENT FUND.


FEDERAL RESERVE AGENTS' FUND.

| Federai Reserve Agent at- | Balance last statement, May 20, 1920 | Gold withdrawals. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gold } \\ & \text { deposits. } \end{aligned}$ | Withdrawals for transfers to bank. | Deposits through transfers from bank. | Total withdrawals. | Total deposits. | Balance at close of business Aug. 19, 1920 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Boston. | \$92,000,000 | 835,000,000 | \$25,000,000 | \$10,000,000 | \$40,000,000 | \$45, 000,000 | \$65,000,000 | \$112,000,000 |
| New York. | 80,000,000 | $17,000,000$ 30 |  |  |  | $17,000,000$ 31 500 |  | ${ }^{63}, 000,000$ |
| ${ }_{\text {Cleveland.. }}$ | $72,889,260$ $95,000,000$ | 30,000,000 |  | 1,500,090 | $50,000,000$ $5,000,000$ | 31,500,000 | $50,000,000$ $5,000,000$ | $91,389,260$ 100,000 |
| Richmond | 37,500, 000 | 23,000,000 | 15,000,000 |  | 13,000,000 | 23,000,000 | 28,000,000 | 42, 500,000 |
| Atlanta. | 47,090, 000 | 20, 100,000 |  | 600,000 | 17,200, 000 | 20,700, 000 | 17,200,000 | 43, 500,000 |
| Chicago. | 143, 144, 500 | 49,000,000 | 58,000,000 |  | 10,000,000 | 49,000,000 | 68,000,000 | 162, 144,500 |
| St. Louis. | 39,230, 600 | 28,0000000 | 21, 100,000 | 900,000 | 6, 100,000 | $\begin{array}{r}28,900,000 \\ 3,400 \\ \hline 000\end{array}$ | 27, 2000,000 | 37,530,600 |
| Minneapoli | $18,600,000$ $32,860,000$ | $2,000,000$ $14,000,000$ | 9,500,000 | 1,400,000 | 750,000 $7,000,000$ | $3,400,000$ $14,000,000$ | 16,500,000 | $15,950,000$ $35,360,000$ |
| Dallas... | 11,234,000 | 8,000,000 | 7,000,000 |  | 7, 5000000 | $14,000,000$ 8,00 | $16,500,000$ 7 | 10,734,000 |
| San Francis | 57, 393,000 | 15,000,000 | 7,0,00 | 31, 816,500 | 67,500, 000 | 46,816,500 | 67,500,000 | 78,076,500 |
| Total. | 726,851,360 | 241, 100, 000 | 135,600,000 | 46, 216, 500 | 217,050,000 | 287, 316,500 | 352,650,000 | 792, 184, 860 |

## BANK TRANSACTIONS DURING JULY-AUGUST.

In the attached tables are shown debits to individual account for the five weeks ending August 25 of the present year and for the corresponding weeks of 1919, as reported to the Federal Reserve Banks by the country's more important clearing houses. A summary by Federal Reserve districts presents a comparison of figures for 154 centers for which reports are available both for the 1920 and the 1919 periods under review.

Aggregate debits to individual account for the 154 centers included in the summary varied during the five weeks under review within rather narrow limits, the largest amount, 8,839 millions, being shown for the week ending August 4, and the smallest amount, 7,986 millions, for the week ending August 25. The volume of transactions throughout the period under review was considerably below the average for the 34 weeks of the year, which is 9,222 millions, and the total reported for the week ending August 25 was the smallest amount for any week in 1920, excepting only the week of February 25, which contained Washington's birthday, a legal holiday, and, therefore, had only five business days.

In 1919 the variations for the corresponding five-week period were somewhat wider, but the general direction of the changes from week to week was the same as for this year, the lowest amount reported for the week ending August 27 being approximately the same as the total for the corresponding week of 1920.

The statement below presents a comparison of debits in New York City and in 153 other centers for each week of the five-week period, together with totals for corresponding weeks in 1919, and the amounts by which the totals in 1920 exceeded or fell short of the 1919 figures:
[In millions of dollars.]

| Week ending. | In New York City. |  |  | In 153 other centers. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1920 | 1919 | Excess <br> 1920 <br> over <br> 1919. <br> 19, | 1920 | 1919 | Excess <br> 1920 <br> Over <br> 1919. |
| July 21, 1920 . | 4,383 | 5,433 | -1,050 | 4,890 | 4,274 | 616 |
| July 28, $1920 \ldots$ | 3,898 | 4,675 | - 777 | 4,354 | 3,867 | 487 |
| Aug. 4, 1920. | 4,331 | 5,256 | - 925 | 4,508 | 4,260 | 248 |
| Aug. 11, 1920 | 4,064 | 5,088 | -1,024 | 4,279 | 4,148 | 131 |
| $\text { Aug. 18, } 1920$ | 3,968 | 4,494 | - 526 | 4,580 | 4,156 | 424 |
| Aug. 25, 1920 | 3,722 | 4,253 | - 531 | 4,264 | 3,732 | 532 |
| Aug. 27, 191 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

For the 153 centers outside of New York City aggregate debits were larger this year than last year for each of the weeks under review, the excess varying between 131 and 532 millions. For New York City, on the other hand, this year's figures were lower than 1919 figures, and the losses in most cases were considerably larger than the gains for the other cities, with the consequence that aggregate debits were lower this year than last year for every week except August 25, when the two amounts were about equal. The heavy decline in volume of check transactions in New York City, as has been frequently pointed out, is due primarily to the relative inactive state of the stock exchange and the low level of security prices. Another contributing cause may be found in the establishment of the Stock Clearing Corporation, which enables firms belonging to the corporation to settle by check only for the net debit balances of stock transactions during a day, instead of drawing checks for each individual purchase.

Debits to individual account at clearing-house banks.
SUMMARY BY FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICTS.
[In thousands of dollars.]

| Federal Reserve district. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { centers } \\ & \text { included. } \end{aligned}$ | Week ending- |  |  |  |  | $1919$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | July 28. | Aug. 4. | Aug. 11. | Aug. 18. | Aug. 25. | July 30. | Aug. 6. | Aug. 13. | Aug. 20. | Aug. 27. |
| Boston. | 12 | 402,382 | 451,085 | 376, 146 | 435,779 | 390, 617 | 414, 501 | 454,583 | 418, 704 | 402,016 | 354,415 |
| New York | 7 | 4,047,015 | 4,485, 785 | 4, 204, 494 | 4, 120,502 | 3, 863, 549 | 4,787,614 | [5,387,088 | 5, 216, 201 | 4,622,041 | 4,371, 108 |
| Philadelphia |  |  | ${ }_{590}^{411,226}$ |  |  |  |  | 401,545 | 381, 267 | 381,029 |  |
| Cleveland. | 14 | 598,920 169,559 | 590, 1939 193 | 550,672 173,791 | 564,354 178,918 | 548, 234 | 502,917 161,325 | 521,218 189 | 529,220 175,116 | 486,382 170,481 | 464,749 154,722 |
| Atlanta. | 15 | 223,508 | 232,576 | 220, 341 | 223,089 | 219, 439 | 185, 104 | 208,488 | 201, 818 | 190,968 | 184,509 |
| Chicago. | 23 | 1,114,936 | 1,134,352 | 1,089, 939 | 1,188, 296 | 1,048, 754 | 952, 201 | 1,035,417 | 1,031, 256 | 1,071,677 | 936,414 |
| St. Louis. | 5 | 198, 340 | 203,375 | 213, 189 | 224, 920 | 202,086 | 211, 700 | 231, 776 | 219,482 | 228,341 | 185, 565 |
| Minneapolis | 11 | 146, 493 | 153, 283 | 139,586 | 162,657 | 146, 687 | 135, 298 | 150, 872 | 144,929 | 160,038 | 142,831 |
| Kansas City. | 14 | 295,322 | 303, 177 | 313, 765 | 313,221 | 295, 975 | 302, 453 | 330, 652 | 311, 123 | 315, 788 | 283, 399 |
| Dallas...... | ${ }_{20}^{13}$ | 128,888 522,973 | 145,783 536, 335 | $\begin{aligned} & 140,203 \\ & 517,263 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100,425 \\ & 570,807 \end{aligned}$ | 141,036 534,488 | 121,821 411,271 | 130,530 474,348 | 133,691 473,377 | 133,770 487,555 | 121,213 440,634 |
| Total | 154 | $\overline{8,252,262}$ | 8,838, 893 | 8,342,945 | 8,548, 283 | 7, 986, 271 | 8,542,261 | $\overline{9,515,566}$ | 9,236,184 | 8,650,086 | 7,985,245 |

Note.-Figures for the following centers, while shown below in the body of the statement, are not included in the summary, complete data for these centers not being available for each week under review: Manchester, N. H. Portland, Me.; Atchison, Kans.; Cheyenne, Wyo. Sioux Falle these centers not being avaina, Huntington, W.; Moline, Ill.; Washington, D. C.

Debits to individual account at clearing-house banks-Continued.
DATA FOR EACH REPORTING CLEARING-HOUSE CENTER.
[In thousands of dollars.]

| Federal Reserve District. | $\begin{gathered} 1920 \\ \text { Week ending- } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 1919 \\ \text { Week ending- } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | July 28. | Aug. 4. | Aug. 11. | Aug. 18. | Aug. 25. | July 30. | Aug. 6. | Aug. 13. | Aug. 20. | Aug. 27. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Faston. | 255,729 7,018 | 286,430 10,075 | 241,886 8,389 | 283,931 9,091 | $\begin{array}{r}254,446 \\ 7,258 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 293,466 7,419 | 317,584 8,693 | 284,219 8,021 | $\begin{array}{r} 271,720 \\ 7,721 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 243,682 \\ 6,601 \end{array}$ |
| Hartfo ${ }^{\text {d }}$ d. | 20,960 | 29,511 | 22,095 | 21,106 | 18,801 | 19,956 | 23,921 | 21,349 | 16,344 | 18,014 |
| Holyo, e | 4,448 | 5,105 | 3,959 | 3,756 | 4,095 | 3,597 | 3,190 | 3,383 | 3,287 | 3,248 |
| Lower: | 5,581 | 5,739 | 5,991 | 5,839 | 5,822 | 4,852 | 4,969 | 5,099 | 5,022 | 4,400 |
| Mane eiter | 677 | $\begin{array}{r}5,727 \\ 10,130 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | \%, 764 | ${ }_{9}^{4}, 752$ | ${ }_{7}^{4}, 028$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| New New Ha en | 8,066 19,813 | 10,130 19,579 | - 79,501 | $\begin{array}{r}9,280 \\ 19,038 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 7,228 16,675 | 5,122 14,119 | 6,333 16,221 | 17,321 | 16,982 | 5,801 14,167 |
| Portland. | 8,027 | 9,598 | 8,968 | 8,299 | 7,955 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Provide i | 36, 732 | 36,767 | 25,010 | 37,332 | 32,622 | 30,313 | 34,221 | 31,404 | 32,045 | 25,395 |
| Springtel | 16,039 | 17,526 | 14,927 | 16,010 | 15,836 | 13,720 | 14,924 | 13,291 | 14,955 | 12,219 |
| Waterli ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 6,501 | 7,014 | ${ }^{6}, 647$ | 7,776 | 6,220 | 5,833 | 6,138 | 7,726 | 6,900 | 5,707 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12,821 |
|  | 27,464 | 21,187 | 20,129 | 22,069 | 20,827 | 16,407 | 17,841 | 19,887 | 11,954 | 18,984 |
| Bingham | 4,333 | 4,560 | 4,381 | 3,438 | 3,789 | 3,337 | 3,672 | 3,391 | 3,349 | 3,125 |
| Butfalo. | 63,806 | 71,883 | 66,549 | 68,021 | 63,772 | 52,851 | 65,078 | 61,331 | 65,106 | 56, 433 |
| New Yo | 3,898, 013 | 4,331, 074 | 4,063, 612 | 3, 968,469 | 3,722,078 | 4,675, 401 | 5, 256, 018 | 5,088,079 | 4, 493, 547 | 4,253, 411 |
| Passaic | 5, 171 | 5,292 | 5,178 | 5,964 | 5,142 | 3,573 | 3,933 | 4,083 | 3,929 | 3,628 |
| Rochester | 30, 715 | 33, 415 | 27,523 | 32, 234 | 31,794 | 23,483 | 26, 857 | 26,660 | 28,847 | 22, 820 |
| Syracuse. | 17,513 | 18,374 | 17,122 | 20,307 | 16, 147 | 12,562 | 13,689 | 12,770 | 15,309 | 12,707 |
| Altoona......... | 3,166 | 2, 461 | 3,029 | 2,631 | 2,471 | 3,010 | 2,994 | 3,367 | 3,667 | 3,505 |
| Chester. | 5,166 | 5,340 | 4,963 | 5,950 | 5,182 | 3,857 | 4,361 | 4,526 | 4,779 | 3,863 |
| Harrisburg | 4,453 | 1,233 | 2,897 | 2,067 | 1,229 | 4,000 | 3,972 | 3,969 | 3,800 | 3,930 |
| Johnstowi | 4,743 | 4,780 | 4,965 | 4,650 | 4,050 | 3,559 | 3,595 | 3,343 | 3,512 | 2,794 |
| Lancaster | 5,296 | 5,971 | 5,484 | 5,522 | 4,200 | 4,255 | 4,531 | 4,636 | 4,629 | 4,171 |
| Philadelp | 328,868 | 335,488 | 323,706 | 330, 977 | 364, 101 | 291, 987 | 334,588 | 312,192 | 312,379 | 281,766 |
| Reading | 3,287 | 3,391 | 4,328 | 3,481 | 3,352 | 3,132 | 3,120 | 4,136 | 4,145 | 3,683 |
| Scranton | 15,636 | 13,343 | 16,784 | 12,238 | 16,074 | 12,112 | 11,318 | 12,341 | 10,390 9 | 13,193 |
| Trenton.. | 12,052 | 12,890 10,282 | 11,960 9 | 11,936 8,879 | $\begin{array}{r}11,942 \\ 8,275 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 8,458 7,179 | 8,855 <br> 7,438 | ${ }_{7,372}$ | ${ }_{7}^{9,732}$ | 9,029 |
| Williasesar | 5,748 <br> 4,304 | 10,282 3,960 | 4,459 | 8,879 4,675 | 8,275 3,807 | 7,179 | 3,141 | 2,880 | 3,422 | 6,040 3,238 |
| Wilmington | 7,088 | 7,602 | 7,455 | 8,060 | 6,892 | 8,496 | 9,857 | 10,005 | 9,511 | 7,621 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cincinnati | 61,812 | 64,065 | 60,952 | 61,543 | 58,152 | 54,373 | 51,113 | 55, 025 | 55,788 | 48,653 |
| Cleveland. | 176,734 | 175, 468 | 163,831 | 180,501 | 160,470 | 156, 435 | 144,328 | 155, 039 | 154,314 | 132,911 |
| Columbus | 28,310 | 29,636 | 31,927 | 29,486 | 27,583 | 27,360 | 30,260 | 28,816 | 27,519 | 26,887 |
| Dayton | 11,768 | 11,198 | 11,964 | 11,734 | 10,958 | 12,376 | 12,429 | 13,398 | 12,125 | 11,265 |
| Erie. | 7,670 | 8,177 | 8,048 | 8,517 | 7,814 | 5,470 | 7,785 | 6,609 | 6,422 | 6,039 |
| Greenshurg | 6,791 | 6,710 | 4,569 | 6,538 | 7,191 | 4,754 | 2,908 | 7,776 | 4,008 | 3,360 |
| Lexingto | ${ }_{3}^{4,422}$ | ${ }_{3}^{4,177}$ | 4,400 3,527 |  |  | 4,218 2,632 | 5,272 2,595 | 5,384 $\mathbf{3}, 238$ | 4,402 2,665 | $\begin{array}{r}4,405 \\ 2 \\ \hline 150\end{array}$ |
| Oil City. | - $\begin{array}{r}3,213, \\ 21353\end{array}$ | 3,177 206,072 | 3,527 181,489 | 3,589 170,715 | 3,156 192,498 | 2,632 156,691 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 2, } \\ 189 \\ 1895 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 3,328 172,564 | 2,665 | 2,650 155,309 |
| Pittsburgh | 213,353 3,750 | 206,072 3,506 | 181,489 3,262 | 170,715 3,446 | 192,498 3,246 | 156,691 3,372 | 189,769 3,214 | 172,564 $\mathbf{2 , 9 9 8}$ | 142,074 3,585 | 155,309 3,543 |
| Toledo.. | 31,652 | 29,700 | 30,810 | 31,249 | 29,900 | 28,047 | 28,400 | 32,371 | 29,053 | 25,963 |
| Wheeling | 9,300 | 10,428 | 8,788 | 9,440 | 7,517 | 8,869 | 7,315 | 6,944 | 6,618 | 8,797 |
| Youngstown | 14,340 | 14,377 | 16,022 | 13,805 | 13,221 | 15,831 | 12,821 | 19,246 | 13,962 | 15,034 |
| No. 5-Richmond: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charleston | 7,638 | 8,975 | 7,895 | 6,113 | 5,170 | 7,029 | 5,750 | 7,457 | 5,929 | 6,062 |
| Charlotte. | 6,344 | 7, 275 | 6, ${ }^{\text {, }}$, 915 | 7,412 | 6,405 | 5,500 5,470 | $\stackrel{6}{6,400}$ | 3,800 5,402 | 5,900 | 4,200 |
| Columbia. | 5,087 5,310 | 5,258 6,014 | +4,915 | 4,972 6,199 | 4,228 6,091 | 5,470 | 5,567 | 5,402 | 5,572 | 6,064 |
| Huntincton | 5,310 21,574 | $\begin{array}{r}6,014 \\ 22,606 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Norfolk. | 21,574 4,100 | 22,606 3,441 | 22,557 4,100 | 20,372 4,898 | 19,821 3,379 | 16,567 3,697 | 20,655 3,490 | 18,477 3,900 | 17,169 3,260 | $\begin{array}{r} 17,427 \\ 3,050 \end{array}$ |
| Richmond | 25,327 | 24,389 | 22,768 | 26,033 | 25, 211 | 21, 214 | 29,403 | 27,251 | 22,571 | 23,480 |
| No.6-Atlanta: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. Atlanta..... | 25,702 | 28,898 |  | 30,283 | 25,965 | 22,130 | 28,548 | 23,212 | 24,318 | 23,419 |
| Augusta. | 7,050 | 7,829 | 7,022 | 7,498 | 6,987 | 7,989 | 7,152 | 5,899 | 6,450 | 5,766 |
| Birmingham | 16,028 | 16,184 | 16,604 | 15, 258 | 16,191 | 11, 429 | 12,825 | 12,880 | 12, 414 | 13,832 |
| Chattanoor | 10,560 | 10,722 | 11,938 | 12,510 | 10,221 | 11,067 | 10,861 | 11,566 | 11,278 | 9,270 |
| Jacksonville | 12,812 | 14,460 | 14,549 | 12,935 | 12,416 | 10,554 | 10,957 | 10,352 | 10,425 | 10,124 |
| Knoxville | 5,754 | 6,743 | 6,991 | 7,015 | 6,325 | 4,676 | 5,711 | 5,672 | 6,220 | 5,749 |
| Macon. | 5,594 | 6,765 | 5,711 | 6,651 | 5,299 | 5,329 | 4,889 | 6,231 | 5,541 | 5,917 |
| Mobile. | 7,206 | 8,633 | 8,410 | 8,137 | 7,429 | 6,893 | 7,045 | 7,198 | 6,992 | 6,721 |
| Montgomer | 4, 334 | 4,537 | 4, 242 | 4,083 | 3,836 | 3,657 | 4,493 | 3,716 | 3,749 | 3,196 |
| Nashville. | 32,308 | 22,668 | 23,057 | 24, 787 | 22,656 | 18,169 | 21,494 | 20,968 | 19,980 | 18,274 |
| New Orleans | 72,434 | 79,386 | 70,105 | 73,414 | 80,461 | 61,898 | 71,448 | 72,333 | 62,526 | 61,166 |
| Pensacola. | 2,224 14,023 | 2,703 14,989 | 2, ${ }^{\text {13,52 }}$ | 2,611 | 2,051 12,746 | 13,952 | 2,124 | 2,180 13,896 | 2,500 | 2, 1473 |
| Tampa.. | $\begin{array}{r}14,023 \\ 5 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 14,989 6,305 | r ${ }^{13,958}$ | 11,202 | 12,746 5,486 | 13,871 | 15,648 3,875 | 13,896 | $\begin{array}{r}13,348 \\ 3,860 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 14,255 3,664 |
| Vickshurg | 1,600 | 1,754 | 1,700 | 1,430 | 1,370 | 1,419 | 1,418 | 1,467 | 1,367 | 1,083 |
| No. ${ }_{\text {Bay Chity }}$ (1). | 3,086 | 3,590 | 3,258 | 3,397 | 3,253 | 2,505 | 2,941 | 2,809 | 2,880 |  |
| Bloomington | 2,374 | 2,956 | 2,672 | 2,754 | 2,379 | 2,504 | 2,822 | 2,566 | 2,588 | 2,555 |
| Cedar Rapids. | 10,953 | 10,190 | 11,232 | 10,762 | 10,602 | 7,749 | 9,132 | 8,729 | 10,638 | 8,462 |
| Chicago. | 712,635 | 725, 094 | 684,020 | 740,387 | 639,908 | 645, 441 | 695,655 | 685,735 | 684,519 | 611,419 |
| Davenport | 7,289 | 8,501 | 8,898 | 6,906 | 6,785 | 5,208 | 7,638 | 6,468 | 6,057 | 6,732 |
| Decatur. | 4,087 18,043 | 4,496 18,356 | 4,521 19,832 | 4,631 18,400 | 3,949 18,872 | 3, 17,596 185 | 4,361 17,947 | $\begin{array}{r}3,614 \\ 19,224 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 3,732 19,471 | 3,663 19,662 |
| Detroit. | 148,982 | 152,459 | 145,618 | 183,873 | 164,542 | 95,759 | 107, 832 | 121,083 | 161,446 | 120,139 |

Debits to individual account at clearing-house banks-Continued.
DATA FOR EACH REPORTING CLEARING-HOUSE CENTER-Continued.
[In thousands of dollars.]

| Federal Reserve District. | $\begin{gathered} 1920 \\ \text { Week ending - } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 1919 \\ \text { Week ending- } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | July 28. | Aug. 4. | Aug. 11. | Aug. 18. | Aug. 25. | July 30. | Aug. 6. | Aug. 13. | Aug. 20. | Aug. 27. |
| No. 7-Chicago-Continued. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dubuque. | 3,506 | 3,199 | 3,736 | 3,450 | 3,202 | 1,950 | 2,453 | 2,239 | 2,510 | 2,089 |
| Flint | 8,427 | 12,380 | 10,503 | 9,654 | 9,403 | 7,286 | 8,582 | 8,035 | 7,847 | 8,827 |
| Fort Wayne | 8,865 | 9,490 | 7,411 | 8,390 | 7,248 | 5,276 | 5,971 | 5,656 | 5,932 | 4,909 |
| Grand Rapids | 23,495 | 22,283 | 22,976 | 22,914 | 21,878 | 18,288 | 21,613 | 23,306 | 21,294 | 18,916 |
| Indianapolis. | 41,506 | 38,572 | 40,791 | 41,082 | 37,191 | 32,400 | 33,126 | 32,636 | 33,930 | 26,852 |
| Jackson. | 5,843 | 5,352 | 5,352 | 4,740 | 4,616 | 3,746 | 5,621 | 3,951 | 5,337 | 4,142 |
| Kalamazoo | 5,016 | 5,558 | 5,255 | 6, 5 , 52 | 5,273 | 3,385 | 3,668 | 3,906 | 4,099 | 2,636 |
| Lansing.. | 5,983 61,404 | 6,253 | 5,871 61,756 | 7,132 | 6,479 | 4,695 | 5,591 | 5,799 | 4,958 | 5,559 |
| Milwauke | $\begin{array}{r}61,404 \\ 2,329 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}62,846 \\ 2,588 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 61,756 2,402 | $\begin{array}{r}66,841 \\ 2,505 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 60,393 | 49,616 | 58,464 | 55,840 | 56,013 | 49,513 |
| Peoria. | 10,870 | 11,026 | 11,065 | 11,058 | 9,388 | 10,249 | 10,925 | 10,411 | 9,513 | 8.568 |
| Rockford. | 5,621 | 4, 899 | 6,269 | 6,053 | 5,719 | 4,257 | 4,763 | 4,700 | 4,581 | 4,638 |
| Sioux City | 14, 880 | 13,770 | 14,278 | 14,515 | 13,400 | 21,763 | 15,311 | 10,961 | 12,294 | 13,965 |
| South Bend | 5,131 | 5,402 | 5,866 | 5,776 | 5,712 | 2,197 | 3,618 | 4,268 | 3,421 | 3,585 |
| Springfield | 3,207 | 4,104 | 5,034 | 4,635 | 4,255 | 3,594 | 4,020 | 6,162 | 5,791 | 4,346 |
| Waterloo. | 3,733 | 3,576 | 3,725 | 4,394 | 4,307 | 2,983 | 3,363 | 3,158 | 2,826 | 2,583 |
| No. 8-St. Louis: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Little Rock | 6,326 | 8,076 | 7,479 | 7,454 | 6,716 | 7,257 | 9,091 | 7,786 | 6,580 | 6,182 |
| Louisville. | 25,300 | 29,940 | 33,485 | 28,763 | 28,702 | 28,923 | 32,642 | 32,497 | 35,702 | 29,464 |
| Memphis. | 24,001 | 25,739 | 24,287 | 27,265 | 28,110 | 24,086 | 28,402 | 23,900 | 25, 463 | 21,484 |
|  |  |  | 142,966 | 155,968 | 134,284 | 146, 176 | 156,276 | 150,219 | 156,707 | 124,052 |
|  |  |  | 1,801 | 1,713 | 1,752 | 1,476 | 1,806 | 1,897 | 1,875 | 2,023 |
| Billings | 1,780 | 1,887 | 2,005 | 1,963 | 1,725 | 1,837 | 2,212 | 1,017 | 2,026 | 1,564 |
| Duluth. | 20,449 | 22,596 | 19,499 | 20,080 | 19,622 | 19,566 | 19,046 | 18,221 | 17,922 | 17,690 |
| Fargo. | 3,235 | 2,875 | 3,506 | 3,266 | 3,307 | 3,018 | 3,869 | 3,600 | 7,809 | 7,637 |
| Grand Fork | 1,568 | 1,574 | 1,557 | 1,482 | 1,600 | 1,526 | 1,578 | 1,562 | 1,644 | 1,560 |
| Great Falls | 1,638 | 1,723 | 2,107 | 1,963 | 1,986 | 1,582 | 2,165 | 1,914 | 1,793 | 1,982 |
| Helena. | 2,049 | 2,446 | 2,485 | 2,246 | 2,174 | 2,001 | 2,674 | 2,711 | 2,579 | 3,219 |
| Minneapo | 74, 148 | 83,553 | 74, 295 | 89,918 | 79,164 | 67,902 | 75, 766 | 76,309 | 83,730 | 73,999 |
| St. Paul | 36, 891 | 31,942 | 28,931 | 36,784 | 32,557 | 34,292 | 39,263 | 34,965 | 37,962 | 30,580 |
| Sioux Fall | 5,870 | 5,216 | 5,193 | 5,675 | 5,421 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Superior | 1,947 | 1,950 | 2,227 | 2,067 | 1,811 | 1,124 | 1,445 | 1,993 | 1,819 | 1,697 |
| Atchison.. | 458 | 577 | 716 | 541 | 436 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bartlesvill | 3,748 | 4,048 | 3,330 | 3,411 | 2,831 | 2,385 | 3,200 | 2,569 | 2,240 | 2,446 |
| Cheyenne | 1,919 | 1,984 | 1,929 | 1,795 | 2,282 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Colorado Springs | 3,000 | 3,227 | 3,615 | 3,783 | 3,389 | 3,285 | 4,100 | 4,147 | 4,725 | 2,793 |
| Denver. | 42,956 | 42,288 | 41,408 | 39,464 | 38,383 | 29,836 | 33, 448 | 29,501 | 31,702 | 30,432 |
| Joplin.. | 2,713 | 2,934 | 3,651 | 3,611 | 2,969 | 2,739 | 3,253 | 3,255 | 2,917 | 2,710 |
| Kansas City, Ka | 3,972 | 4,182 | 4,318 | 4,307 | 4,282 | 3,406 | 3,441 | 3,922 | 3,789 | 2,638 |
| Kansas City, | 88, 157 | 87, 409 | 97,904 | 94,497 | 88,631 | 112,684 | 125, 052 | 118, 337 | 114,907 | 98,010 |
| Muskogee.. | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 4, } \\ \hline 05 \\ 205 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 4,850 23 2883 |  | 4, ${ }^{4}, 945$ | 4,795 26785 26 | 3,750 16,374 1 | 3,933 18,922 | 4,084 17174 |  |  |
| OLlahoma City | 20,789 55,861 | $\begin{array}{r}23,863 \\ 5685 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 27,663 54,196 | 30,591 | 26,785 56,914 | 16,374 63,864 | 18,922 67,024 | 17,174 65,43 | 16,156 71,725 | 16,3,425 |
| Pueblo. | 3,641 | 3,651 | 4,942 | 5,071 | 3,832 | 4,489 | 3,685 | 3,766 | 5,112 | 3 ,227 |
| St. Joseph | 14,353 | 17,008 | 20,225 | 14,384 | 18,830 | 18,851 | 20,114 | 17,437 | 19,554 | 16,149 |
| Topeka | 3,755 | 5,275 | 4,982 | 4,429 | 3,993 | 4, 858 | 6,498 | 5,607 | 5,428 | 4,790 |
| Tulsa. | 30,022 | 29,314 | 26,821 | 31,467 | 24,816 | 21,787 | 21, 242 | 21,397 | 21,724 | 20,579 |
| No. 11-Dalas: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Austin....... | 2,493 | 2,894 | 1,822 | 3,026 | 2,882 | 1,930 | 2,877 | 3,286 | 3,220 | 2,814 |
| Beaumon | 4,614 | 4,721 | 4,820 | 5,277 | 4,292 | 3,236 | 3,375 | 3,092 | 3,448 | 3,924 |
| Dallas. | 30,902 | 36,222 | 34,654 | 36,089 | 33,636 | 31,338 | 30,800 | 35,323 | 33,177 | 28,551 |
| El Pas | 8,773 | 8,799 | 7,266 | 7,402 | 7,735 | 6,090 | 7,093 | 7,040 | 7,150 | 6,319 |
| Fort Worth | 26,181 | 26, 897 | 26,483 | 27,015 | 26, 185 | 22,211 | 21,898 | 21,899 | 22,018 | 19,394 |
| Galveston. | 8,810 | 8,147 | 7,613 | 15,174 | 10, 360 | 8,763 | 12,586 | 9,370 | 11,137 | 9,317 |
| Houston. | 30,943 | 35,373 | 35,690 | 41,741 | 33,126 | 32,007 | 33, 873 | 34,188 | 36, 140 | 32,256 |
| San Antoni | 5,810 | 7,466 | 7,501 | 7,135 | 8,222 | 3,305 | 3,514 | 4,299 | 3,858 | 6,798 |
| Shreveport | 3,547 | 6,581 | 4,783 | 9,110 | 7,077 | 6,077 | 6,345 | 7,001 | 5,928 | 5,475 |
| Texarkana | 1,291 | 1,567 | 1,786 | 1,839 | 1,222 | 1,485 | 1,647 | 2,193 | 1,710 | 1,522 |
| Tucson | 1,498 | 1,629 | 1,650 | 1,391 | 1,400 | 1,159 | 1,322 | 1,306 | 1,083 | 680 |
| Waco. | 2,974 | 3,679 | 3,565 | 3,325 | 3,447 | 2,805 | 3,580 | 3,012 | 3,145 | 2,802 |
| No. 12-San Francisco: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,876 |
| Boise... | 2,961 | 3,049 | 3,257 | 3,251 | 3,537 | 3,072 | 2,650 | 3,208 | 2,728 | 2,426 |
| Fresno. | 9,789 | 9,921 | 11, 864 | 11,474 | 10,751 | 5,826 | 6,800 | 7,521 | 7,526 | 6,123 |
| Long Beach | 4, 832 | 5,383 | 5,358 | 5,365 | 4,805 | 3,052 | 4,124 | 3,506 | 3,505 | 2,662 |
| Los Angeles. | 94,288 | 95,568 | 91,059 | 102,331 | 96,535 | 68,377 | 76,496 | 75,935 | 77,372 | 64,017 |
| Oakland. | 33, 108 | 30,518 | 20,052 | 20,677 | 19,851 | 12,414 | 14,362 | 12,485 | 14,894 | 12, 867 |
| Ogden. | 2,757 | 3,169 | 3,334 | 3,788 | 3,872 | 3,106 | 3,039 | 3,041 | 3,681 | 3,212 |
| Pasadena | 4,344 | 4,960 | 5,174 | 5,957 | 4,938 | 3,375 | 4,859 | 3,782 | 3,633 | 2,650 |
| Portland. | 37,070 | 41,155 | 43,966 | 45, 865 | 40,344 | 32,860 | 39,349 | 45,345 | 48,730 | 38,117 |
| Reno.. | 2,658 | 2,843 | 2,666 | 2, 830 | 迷, 559 | 1,901 | 2,457 | 2,246 | 2, 290 | 2, 100 |
| Sacramento | 14, 513 | 15,540 | 16,046 | 17,425 | 15,769 | 10,587 | 13,033 | 13,268 | 13,500 15,985 | 12,526 |
| Salt Lake City | 16,170 | 17,392 | 15,196 | 16,988 | 15,810 | 13,077 | 14,980 | 16,111 | 15,985 | 15,081 |
| San Diego..... | $\begin{array}{r}7,569 \\ 215 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 7,465 219539 | 7 78804 | $\begin{array}{r}8,833 \\ 25 \\ \hline 25\end{array}$ | 6,505 | 4,326 | 5,233 | 5,318 | 5,321 | 4,245 |
| San Franci | 215, 119 | 219, 539 | 206, 729 | 235,725 | 226,598 | 171, 149 | 200, 028 | 187,638 | 193,207 | 189, 865 |
| San Jose. | 5,396 | 6,017 41 | 6,056 | 6,665 | 6,040 | 3,982 | 5, 5115 | 5,744 |  |  |
| Seattle. | 42, 823 | 41,078 | 44,044 | 46,883 | 41,933 | 46,519 | 51,682 10,933 | 57,012 | 54,694 10,986 | 48,031 9,717 |
| Spokane. | 9,094 | 10,779 | 11,679 | 13, 350 | 11,626 | 8,548 | 10, 933 | 10, 965 | 10,986 | 9,717 |
| Stockton | 5,559 | 6,416 | 5,937 | 5,932 | 5,629 | 5,750 | 5,705 | 3,994 | b, 222 | 3,562 |
| Tacoma. | 10,195 | 10,405 | 11,607 | 11,797 | 11,719 | 8,941 | $\mathbf{9}, 314$ $\mathbf{2}, 035$ | 11,041 2 | 12,874 | 12,946 2,524 |
| Yakima | 2,232 | 2,537 | 2,627 | 2,459 | 2,578 | 1,776 | 2,035 | 2,804 | 2,804 | 2,524 |

## DISCOUNT AND OPEN-MARKET OPERATIONS OF THE FEDERAL RESERVE BANKS DURING JULY, 1920.

Detailed tables showing the discount and open-market operations of each Federal Reserve Bank during July, 1920, are shown on pages 983 and 984 . Following is a summary for the system, as a whole, of the operations in July and June of the current year with comparative figures for 1919:

Summary of discount and open-market operations of Federal Reserve Banks in July and June, 1920 and 1919. [In thousands of dollars.]

|  | 192) |  | 1919 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | July. | June. | July. | June. |
| Total discounts and openmarket purchases. | 7, 518,907 | 7,797,839 | 7,692, 825 | 6,771, 913 |
| Discounts-Total......... | 6,714, 924 | 6,336,642 | 7, 183, 435 | 6,328,911 |
| Secured by Government war obligations. | 4, 532,466 | 4,545, 248 | 6, 824,988 | 6,036,277 |
| Otherwise secured and unsecured-Total..... | 2, 182, 458 | 1,791, 394 | 358,447 | 292,634 |
| Trade acceptances...... | 2, 13, 453 | -13,920 | 8,505 | 7,949 |
| Bankers' acceptances.- | 7,069 | 9,432 | 360 | 496 |
| All other commercial n. e. s., agricultural and live-stock paper) | 2,161,936 | 1,768,042 | 349,582 | 284, 189 |
| Average maturity (in days) | 13.63 | 14.48 | 9.41 | 9.79 |
| Average rate (365-day basis) per cent.. ......... | 6.21 | 6.20 | 4.14 | 4.19 |
| Open-market operations: |  |  |  |  |
| Bills purchased-Total. . <br> Bankers'acceptances- | 219,464, | 285, 753 | 276, 485 | 291,915 |
| Total............. | 207,272 | 256, 184 | 273,975 | 290, 203 |
| In the domestic trade | 48,773 | 62,811 | 60,380 | 62,219 |
| In the foreign trade. . | 158, 499 | 193, 373 | 213,595 | 227,984 |
| Trade acceptancesTotal. | 10,168 | 24,419 | 1,669 | 1,645 |
| In the domestictrade | 214 | 1,547 | 729 | 661 |
| In the foreign trade. | 9,954 | 22, 872 | 940 | 984 |
| Dollar exchange....... | 2,024 | 5,150 | 841 | 67 |
| Average maturity (im days) | 47.82 | 45.68 | 51.21 | 45.60 |
| Average rate (365-day basis) per cent............ | 6.06 | 6.07 | 4.25 | 4.24 |
| United States securities purchased: |  |  |  |  |
| Victory notes........... |  |  | 60 | 278 |
| Certificates of indebtedness. | 584,519 | 1, 175, 444 | 232, 845 | 150,809 |

During the month of July the total of discount operations of the Federal Reserve Banks was about 378 millions in excess of the total for June of this year but about 469 millions below the amount for July of last year. The figures in the table, it should be noted, are exclusive of bills discounted for other Federal Reserve Banks, which totaled 321 millions during July and 273 millions during June of this year, and 296 millions during July and 355 millions during June of the past year.
While the discounts for the system as a whole were smaller in July than in June, the total for the New York bank increased by more than 600 millions, and that for the Boston bank declined by about 130 millions. Other banks having larger totals for July than for June are
those of Atlanta, St. Louis, Kansas City, and San Francisco.

Of the total bills discounted by the Federal Reserve Banks the proportion secured by Government war obligations was 67 per cent, compared with 72 per cent the month before and 95 per cent for July and June, 1919. Discounts of trade acceptances were about 0.5 million less in July than in June of this year, but were about 5 millions above the amount shown for July of last year. Discounted bankers' acceptances also show a decline, the total in July being about 7 millions, as against 9.4 the month before, but only 0.4 million in July, 1919. Discounts of commercial paper proper and of agricultural and live-stock paper totaled about 394 millions more in July than in June, the amount for July being about 1,812 millions above the corresponding amount for July, 1919.

The number of items discounted in July of this year was 95,397 , as compared with 98,296 in June and 46,909 in July, 1919. It is to be noted that the average amount of the items discounted in July of this year was $\$ 70,389$, as compared with $\$ 153,136$ for July, 1919, when a larger proportion of the discounts consisted of member banks' collateral notes.

Nearly 90 per cent of the discounts in July consisted of 15-day paper, i. e., paper maturing within 15 days after date of discount or rediscount with Federal Reserve Banks. This percentage is higher than for June, when it was 88 per cent, but lower than for July, 1919, when it was 97 per cent. Six-month bills, composed of agricultural and live-stock paper, totaled about 40 millions in July, as compared with 52 millions the month before and about 9 millions in July, 1919. The average maturity of the bills discounted in July figures out at 13.63 days, as compared with 14.48 days in June, and 9.41 days in July of last year.

A slight increase in the average rate of discount, from 6.20 per cent in June to 6.21 per cent in July is noted, the average rates for the corresponding months in 1919 being 4.19 and 4.14 per cent. The average rates of discount were higher in July than in June, however, at the eastern banks and at the Richmond, Chicago, and San Francisco banks.

Total bills purchased in open market in July were about 66 millions less than for the month of June. Smaller amounts are shown for all classes of acceptances in July than in June, the decrease in bankers' acceptances being about

49 millions and in trade acceptances about 14 millions. As compared with July of last year the total of bankers' acceptances purchased shows a decline of about 67 millions, while the total of trade acceptances increased from 1.7 to 10.2 millions, the increase in foreign trade acceptances being from 0.9 to about 10 millions.

The average maturity of all paper purchased by the Federal Reserve Banks during July was 47.82 days, compared with 45.68 days the month before and 51.21 days the year before. The average rate charged on acceptances purchased in July was 6.06 per cent, showing but little change from the average of 6.07 per cent for June, while for July and for June, 1919, the corresponding average rates were 4.25 and 4.24 per cent.

During the month under review 63 banks were added to the membership of the system, the total number of member banks increasing from 9,395 to 9,458 , while the number of banks accommodated through discount of paper decreased from 4,948 in June to 4,858 in July. The number of members in each district at the end of July and of June and the number accommodated during each month is shown in the following statement:

| Federal Reserve Bank. | Number of member banks in district. |  | Number of member banks accommodated. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | July 31. | June 30. | July. | June. |
| Boston. | 432 | 432 | 231 | 246 |
| New York | 775 | 772 | 347 | 365 |
| Philadelphia | 690 | 688 | 357 | 378 |
| Cleveland. | 862 | 861 | 298 | 296 |
| Richmond | 605 | 601 | 390 | 377 |
| Atlanta. | 443 | 438 | 288 | 267 |
| Chicago. | 1,397 | 1,394 | 751 | 739 |
| St. Louis. | 567 | 562 | 287 | 297 |
| Minneapolis. | 979 | 972 | 502 | 495 |
| Kansas City. | 1,070 | 1,062 | 508 | 494 |
| Dallas.. | 828 | 812 | 519 | 610 |
| San Francisco. | 810 | 801 | 380 | 384 |
| Total. | 9,458 | 9,395 | 4,858 | 4,948 |

Federal Reserve Bank holdings of discounted and purchased bills, by classes of paper, at the end of July and June, 1920 and 1919, are shown in detail on page 985 and are summarized in the opposite column.

Among the principal changes between June and July in holdings of discounted paper the following are to be noted: A decrease of about 37 millions in paper secured by Government war obligations, more than offset by an increase of about 97 millions in paper not so secured. Holdings of agricultural paper were larger by 23 millions at the end of July than at the end of June, while the most recent total of 107 millions was 78 millions in excess of the corresponding amount a year earlier.

Summary of discounted and purchased paper held by the Federal Reserve Banks at the end of July and June, 1920 and 1919. ${ }^{1}$
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | 1920, end of- |  | 1919, end of- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | July. | June. | July. | June. |
| Discounted paper-Total. | 2,491,630 | 2,431,794 | 1,867,602 | 1, 818,040 |
| Secured by Government war obligations. | 1,241,017 |  | 1,616,210 | 1,573,483 |
| Otherwise secured and | 1,241,017 | 1,277,980 | 1,616,210 | 1,543,483 |
| unsecured-Total. | 1,250,613 | 1,153, 814 | 251, 392 | 244,557 |
| Agricultural paper. | 106,611 | 83,193 | 28,639 | 30, 836 |
| Live-stock paper. | 95,909 | 84,845 | 34,965 | 37,420 |
| Trade acceptances... | 19,498 | 20,034 | 9,600 | 7,803 |
| Bankers'acceptances. | 9,830 | 25,174 | 473 | 1,113 |
| Commercial paper, n. e. s $\qquad$ | 1,018,765 | 940,568 | 177,715 | 167,385 |
| Purchased paper-Total. | 346,408 | 384,551 | 373,240 | 315,993 |
| Bankers'acceptancesTotal. | 339,646 | 372,541 | 371,991 | 314,407 |
| Member banks........ | 234,368 | 255,564 | 269, 568 | 233,519 |
| Nonmember trust companies........... | 1,975 | 1,876 | 8,935 | 9,225 |
| Nonmember State banks. | 45,137 | 54,598 | 31,928 | 29,361 |
| Private banks......... | 36,087 | 38, 647 | 42,593 | 29,648 |
| Foreign banks, branches, and agencies. | 22,079 | 21,856 | 18,967 | 12,654 |
| Trade acceptancesTotal | 6, 6 , 762 | 12,010 | 1,249 |  |
| Foreign............... | 5,124 | 10,071 | , 673 | 1,204 |
| Domestic.. | 1,638 | 1,939 | 576 | 382 |

${ }^{1}$ For discounted paper the figures are for the last Friday of each month, for purchased paper for the last day of each month.

Holdings of live-stock paper show an increase of 11 millions for the end of July and an increase of 61 millions as compared with the total held a year ago, while bankers' and trade acceptance holdings were smaller at the end of July of this year than at the end of June, but much larger than at the end of July, 1919, the increase for bankers' acceptances being from 0.5 to 9.8 millions and for trade acceptances from 9.6 to 19.5 millions. Holdings of commercial paper not otherwise specified show a further increase from 941 millions in June to 1,019 millions in July, the corresponding figures in 1919 being 167 and 178 millions, respectively. While commercial paper constituted only 13.4 per cent of all discounts held at the end of July, 1919, this proportion rose to 47.4 per cent at the end of June and to 49.8 per cent at the end of July of this year.

Holdings of purchased acceptances at the end of July were about 346 millions, marking a further decrease of about 38 millions from the end of June of this year and a decrease of 27 millions since the end of July, 1919. Bankers' acceptances show a decrease of about 3.2 millions for the month and of about the same amount for the year, while trade acceptance holdings declined from 12 to 6.8 millions during the month under review, but still show a large increase over the 1.2 millions reported at the end of July, 1919. Of the bankers' acceptances held at the end of July, 69 per cent
were bills accepted by member banks, 13.9 per agencies. Of the trade acceptances held, about cent by nonmember State banks and trust companies, 10.6 per cent by private banks, and 5 millions were based on transactions in the 6.5 per cent by foreign banks, branches, and domestic trade and 1.6 millions on transactions in the foreign trade.
Total discount and open-market operations of each Federal Reserve Bank during July, 1920.

| Federal Reserve Bank. | Bills discounted for member banks. | Bills boughtin openmarket. | United States securities purchased. |  | Total. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Bonds and Victory notes. | Certificates of indebtedness. | July, 1920. | July, 1919. |
| Boston. | \$286, 483,793 | \$22,568,796 |  | \$62,763,000 | \$371, 815,589 | \$435, 552,808 |
| New York. | 4,044, 469, 874 | 115,739,767 |  | 382,798.500 | 4,543,008, 141 | 4,323, 320,699 |
| Philadelphi | $489,727,126$ 196977,108 | 616,085 $21,538,845$ |  | $61,286,500$ $13,350,000$ | $551,629,711$ $231,865,953$ | ${ }_{1}^{1,034,505,184}$ |
| Richmond. | 251, 416, 440 | 3,083,515 |  | 8,000, 000 | 262, 4999,955 | ${ }_{426,996,327}$ |
| Atlanta.. | 180, 186, 879 | 1,430,084 |  | 4,000,000 | 185, 616, 963 | 182,993, 039 |
| Chicago. | 496, 302,412 | 27,607, 673 |  | 3, 150,000 | $527,060,085$ | 408,088,731 |
| St. Louis. | 192, 560, 908 | 2,040,770 |  | 860,500 | 195, 462,178 | 154, 273, 131 |
| Minneapolis | 72, 291, 302 | 2,774, 399 |  |  | 76,081, 701 | 40, 098, 143 |
| Kansas City | 147, 852,802 | 2,063,612 |  | * 1,821,000 | 151,737, 414 | 110, 503,447 |
| Dallas..... | $\begin{aligned} & 109,534,072 \\ & 247,121,093 \end{aligned}$ | 682,500 $19,317,631$ |  | $5,270,500$ $40,203,500$ | $115,487,072$ 306642,224 | $100,713,599$ $156,393,031$ |
| Total, July, 1920.. | 6,714,923, 809 | 219,463,677 |  | 584, 519,500 |  |  |
| Total, July, 1919. | 7, 183, 435, 073 | 276, 484,830 | 860,250 | 232,845,000 |  | $7,692,825,153$ |
| Total, 7 months ending July 31, 1920 . | 45, 146, 332,039 | 1,933, 167,062 | 228, 100 | 5, 242, 888,500 | 52,322,615,701 |  |
| Total, 7 months ending July 31, 1919. | 43, 248, 463,252 | $1,349,252,732$ | 1,751,475 | 1,797,500,500 |  | $146,396,968,959$ |

${ }^{1}$ Includes $\$ 1,000$ of municipal warrants.
Average daily amount of earning assets held by each Federal Reserve Bank during July, 1920, earnings from each class of earning assets, and annual rate of earnings on basis of July, 1920, returns.


Bills discounted during July, 1920, distributed by classes; also average rates and maturitics of bills discounted by each Federal Reserve Bank.

| Federal Reserve Bank. | Customers' paper secured by Government war obligations. | Member banks' collateral notes. |  | Trade acceptances. | Bankers' acceptances. | All other (commercial n. e. s., agticultural and live-stock paper). | Total. | A verage maturity in days. | Average rate (365-day basis). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Secured by Government war obligations. | Otherwise secured. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ston |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Per cent. |
| New York | 57,498, 277 | 2,617,967,910 | 51,219 | $12,112,710$ | $2 \ldots 3,534,343$ | 1,363, 305,415 | 4,044, 469,874 | 6.76 | 6.25 |
| Philadelphia | 33,589, 804 | 289, 943,084 |  | 2, 541,118 | -118,643 | 165,534,477 | -489,727, 126 | 13.98 | 5. 54 |
| Cleveland. | 7,559,924 | 144, 460, 370 | 222,000 | 2,421,769 | 85, 140 | 42, 227,905 | 196,977, 108 | 21.86 | 5.84 |
| Richmond | 2,451,661 | 209, 963, 445 | 1,762,000 | 869,799 |  | 36,369,535 | 251,416,440 | 16.76 | 5.96 |
| Atlanta. | 5,765,296 | 116, 564, 285 | 714,500 | 642,349 | 114,999 | 56,385, 450 | 180, 186, 879 | 28.91 | 5.94 |
| Chicago | 9,961,854 | 295, 432, 298 | 817,655 | 1,884, 638 | 655, 000 | 187, 550, 967 | 496, 302, 412 | 34.36 | 6.65 |
| St. Louis. | 5,715,701 | 100, 369, 142 | 441, 150 | 932,115 | 827,352 | 84, 275,448 | 192,560,908 | 30.13 | 6.04 |
| Minneapolis | 1,818,057 | 32,645,450 | 2,060,942 | 336,582 |  | 35, 430, 271 | 72, 291,302 | 42.24 | 6.77 |
| Kansas City | 3,724,615 | 104,552,630 | 566, 000 | 1,022,745 | 30,862 | 37,955,950 | 147, 852,802 | 31.01 | 6.62 |
| Dallas... | 1,593,517 | 74, 317, 168 | 821, 100 | 346,694 |  | 32,455,593 | 109,534,072 | 33.55 | 5.87 |
| San Francisco | 2,699, 222 | 163,371,736 | 690,600 | ${ }^{5} 2,195,932$ | 1,702,443 | 76, 461, 160 | 247, 121,093 | 21.57 | 5.95 |
| Total, July, 1920. . | 148,686, 188 | 4,383, 779,883 | 8, 297, 166 | 13,452,509 | 7,068,782 | 2, 153, 639, 281 | 6, 714,923, 809 | 13.63 | 6.21 |
| Total, July, 1919.. | 214, 957, 975 | 6,610,038,564 | 20,893,025 | 8,504,928 | 360,677 | 328,679,904 | 7, 183, 435, 073 | 9.41 | 4.14 |

1 Includes $\$ 233,300$ in the foreign trade.
2 Includes $\$ 15,000$ of dollar exchange bills.
${ }^{3}$ Includes $\$ 105,466$ in the foreign trade.

Bankers' and trade acceptances in the foreign and domestic trade and dollar exchanqe bills purchased during July, 1920; also average rates and maturities of total bills purchased by each Federal Reserve Bank.

| Federal Reserve Bank. | Bankers' acceptances. |  |  | Trade acceptances. |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dollar } \\ & \text { exchange } \\ & \text { bills. } \end{aligned}$ | Total bills purchased. | Average maturity in days. | Average rate (365-day basis). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Domestic. | Foreign. | Total. | Domestin. | Foreign. | Total. |  |  |  |  |
| Boston. | \$7,821,54 | \$14,747, 251 | \$22,568,796 |  |  |  |  | \$22, 568,796 | 33.78 | Per cent. 6.09 |
| New York. | 20,681, 821 | 84,395, 280 | 105,077, 101 | \$190,060 | \$9,220,054 | 99,410,114 | \$1,252,552 | 115, 739, 767 | 42.20 | 6.04 |
| Philadelphia | 5,75,352 | 515,733 | 591,085 |  |  |  | 25,000 | 616,085 | 43.96 | 5.88 |
| Cleveland... | 5,769,287 | 15,594,558 | 21,363,845 |  |  |  | 175,000 | 21,538, 845 | 55.18 | 6.01 |
| Richmond. | 397,915 | 2,685,600 | 3,083,515 |  |  |  |  | 3,083, 515 | 71.68 | 6.08 |
| Atlanta... | 954,028 | 476,056 | 1,430,084 |  |  |  |  | 1,430,084 | 63.30 | 6.08 |
| Chicago... | 6, 236, 986 | 21, 100,687 | 27,337,673 |  |  |  | 270,000 | 27,607,673 | 61.80 | 6.13 |
| St. Louis. | 1,240,770 | 800,000 | 2,040,770 |  |  |  |  | 2,040,770 | 49.25 | 6.17 |
| Minneapolis. | -527,690 | 2,146,709 | 2,674,399 |  |  |  | 100,000 | 2,774,399 | 70.80 | 6.08 |
| Kansas City . | 109, 000 | 1,954,612 | 2,063,612 |  |  |  |  | 2,063, 612 | 60.44 | 6.08 |
| Dallas...... | 682, 500 |  | 682,500 |  |  |  |  | 682, 500 | 59.58 | 6.08 |
| San Francisco | 4,275,900 | 14,082,709 | 18,358,609 | 24,233 | 733,594 | 757, 827 | 201, 195 | 19,317,631 | 59.69 | 6.03 |
| Total, July, 1920. | 48, 772, 794 | 158,499, 195 | 207, 271, 989 | 214, 293 | 9,953,648 | 10, 167, 941 | 2,023,747 | 219, 463,677 | 47.82 | 6.06 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Total, July, } \\ 1919 . . . . . . . \end{gathered}$ | 60,379,550 | 213,595, 045 | 273,974, 595 | 728,458 | 940,450 | 1,668,908 | 841,327 | 276, 484, 830 | 51.21 | 4.25 |

Discounted bills, including member banks' collateral notes, held by each Federal Reserve Bank on the last Friday in July, 1920, distributed by classes.
[In thousands of dollars.]

| Federal Reserve Bank | $\begin{gathered} \text { Agricul- } \\ \text { tural- } \\ \text { paper. } \end{gathered}$ | Live-stock paper. | Customers' paper secured by Government war obligations. | Member banks' collateral notes- |  | Trade acceptances. | Bankers' acceptances. | All other (commercial paper n. e. s.). | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Secured by Government war obligations. | Otherwise secured. |  |  |  |  |
| Boston: | ${ }^{6}$ | 5,085 | 25,862 | 63,959 |  | 195 | 174 | 54,300 | 149,581 |
| New York | 459 |  | 127,872 | 392,331 |  | 3,125 | 2,463 | 302,071 | 828,321 |
| Philadelphia | 328 |  | 49,148 | 93, 830 |  | 714 | 299 | 36, 289 | 180,608 |
| Cleveland. | 341 | 247 | 14,849 | 62,185 | 36 | 2,289 | 79 | 74,113 | 154,139 |
| Richmond. | 7,738 | 149 | 6,644 | 35,785 | 701 | 1,502 |  | 51,989 | 104,508 |
| Atlanta. | 10,927 | 2,392 | 8,430 | 46, 209 | 112 | 1,286 | 612 | 44, 159 | 114, 127 |
| Chicago. | 25,812 |  | 17,981 | 138,496 | 459 | 3,748 | 1,588 | 256,559 | ${ }^{444,643}$ |
| St. Louis. | 3,676 | 2,142 | 13,079 | 25,413 | 94 | 1,093 | 1,400 | 60,689 | 107,586 |
| Minneapolis. | 12,199 | 30,935 | 3,964 | 4,841 | 940 | 523 |  | 25, 122 | 78,524 |
| Kansas City | 8,786 | 27,567 | 6,928 | 39,069 | 198 | 1,163 | 42 | 25,446 | 109,199 |
| Dallas. | 16,175 | 12,377 | 2,774 | 13,226 | 489 | 814 | 153 | 26,067 | 72,075 |
| San Francisco. | 20,164 | 15,015 | 4,235 | 43,907 | 137 | 3,046 | 3,020 | 58,795 | 148,319 |
| Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 106,611 \\ 28,639 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 95,909 \\ & 34,965 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 281,766 \\ & 230,218 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 959,251 \\ 1,385,992 \end{array}$ | 3,166 12,959 | 19,498 9,600 | 9,830 473 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,015,599 \\ 164,756 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,491,630 \\ & 1,867,602 \end{aligned}$ |

Acceptances purchased by each Federal Reserve Bank and held July 31, 1920, distributed by classes of accepting institutions.
[In thousands of dollars.]

| Federal Reserve Bank. | Bank acceptances. |  |  |  |  |  | Trade acceptances. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Member banks. |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Non- } \\ \text { member } \\ \text { State } \\ \text { banks. } \end{gathered}$ | Private banks. | Foreign banks, branches and agencies. | Total. | Domestic. | Foreign. | Total. | Grand |
| Boston. | 24,040 |  | 2,087 | 2,590 | 315 | 29,032 |  |  |  | 29,032 |
| New York | 74,110 | 1,634 | 24,647 | 18,541 | 10,830 | 129,762 | 1,468 | 4,039 | 5,507 | 135, 269 |
| Philadelphia | 7,903 | 200 | 1,345 | 1,359 | 598 | 11,405 |  |  |  | 11,405 |
| Cleveland. | 36,111 | 100 | 8,638 | 7,597 | 5,053 | 57,499 | 83 |  | 83 | 57,582 |
| Richmond. | 5,588 |  |  |  |  | 5,588 |  |  |  | 5,588 |
| Chicago. | 38,749 | 13 | 642 | 567 | 272 | 40, 193 |  |  |  | - 40,193 |
| St. Louis | 2,740 |  |  |  |  | 2,740 |  |  |  | 2,740 |
| Minneapolis | 2,659 |  | 183 |  | 317 | 3,159 |  |  |  | 3,159 |
| Kansas City | 3,248 |  |  |  |  | 3,248 |  |  |  | 3,248 |
| Dallas... | 718 |  |  |  |  | , 718 |  |  |  | 718 |
| San Francisco | 34,966 | 28 | 7,5.5 | 5,433 | 4,744 | 52,766 | 87 | 1,085 | 1,172 | 53,938 |
| Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 31, 1920. | 234,368 | 1,975 | 45, 137 | 36,087 | 22,079 | 339,646 | 1,638 | 5,124 | 6,762 | 346,408 |
| June 30, 1920. | 255, 564 | 1,876 | 54, 598 | 38,647 | 21,856 | 372,541 | 1,939 | $\stackrel{10,071}{7}$ | 12,010 8 8 | 384, 551 |
| May $31,1920$. Apr. 30, | 275,369 270,808 | 2,954 1,237 | 56,187 <br> 45,055 | 47,448 48,549 | 29,469 31,210 | $\xrightarrow{411,427}$ | 1,542 600 | 9,788 | $\begin{array}{r}8,765 \\ 10,388 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 420,192 407,247 |
| Mar. 31, 1920 | 282,339 | 1,389 | 55,390 | 51,012 | 23, 654 | 413,784 | 572 | 5,566 | 6,138 | 419,922 |
| Feb. 28, 1920 | 364, 940 | 2,100 | 70, 127 | 60,218 | 33, 440 | 530,825 | 580 | 4,800 | 5,380 | 536, 205 |
| Jan. 31, 1920. | 383, 375 | 6,134 8,935 | -68,592 | ${ }_{42}^{61,218}$ |  | ${ }_{5}^{555},{ }^{571}$,522 | 1,893 | 4,595 | 6,488 | 562,010 |
| July $31,1919$. July 31, 1918. | 260,568 154,614 | 8,935 1,129 | 31,938 7,302 | 42,593 18,082 | 18,967 8,975 | 371,991 190,102 | 576 | 673 | 1,249 7,781 | 373,240 197,883 |
|  | 16, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 197,883 |

OPERATIONS OF THE FEDERAL RESERVE CLEARING SYSTEM FROM JULY 16 TO AUG. 15, 1920.
[Amounts in thousands of dollars.]

| Federal Reserve Bank or | Items drawn on banks in own district. |  |  |  | Items drawn on Treasurer of United States. |  | Total. |  | Items forwarded <br> to other Federal <br> Reserve Banks <br> and their branches. |  | Items forwarded to parent bank or to branch in same district. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Located in Federal Reserve Bank and branch cities. |  | Located outside Federal Reserve Bank and branch cities. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Number. | A mount. | Number. | A mount. | Number. | A mount. | Number. | Amount. | Number. | A mount. | Number. | mount. |
| Boston |  |  | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { 2, 890,080 } \\ 3,737,160 & \mathbf{1 , 2 9 3 , 1 0 0}\end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 20,564 \\ & 88,756 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 110, 150 | 75, 372 | 21.941 | 8,208 |
| New York. |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 87,512, \\ 8.570 \end{array}$ | $[5,644,39]$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,40,166 \\ & 375,270 \end{aligned}$ |  | 847,770 | 587, 514 |  |  |  |  |
| Philadelphial | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 1,028, \\ & 14,346 \\ & 1,340,805 \end{aligned}\right.$ | -863,524 |  |  | 1, 783,023 | 272,315 | 182,795 | - 4 4, 458 | 3,286,623 | 1,179,297 | 139,585 715,937 | 36,440 225,867 | 32,465 | 23,363 |
| Cleveland... | $\begin{array}{r} 1,340,805 \\ 330,747 \end{array}$ | 284,841 | 1,040,003 | 191, 621 | 26, 803 | 4,488 | 1,397, 553 | 480,950 | 21,972 | 30,205 | 45,786 | 15,573 |
| Cincinnati | $148,928$ | 161,823 | 724,397 | 95,445 | 30,700 | 3,585 | 904,025 | 260, 853 | 13,296 | 16,073 | 9,256 | 5,700 |
| Pittsburgh. | $\begin{aligned} & 148,988 \\ & 310,716 \end{aligned}$ | 339,601 | 802,999 | 104, 430 | 22,788 | 5,298 | 1,136,503 | 449, 329 . | 60,931 | 49,065 | 28,353 | 8,389 |
| Richmond.. |  | 157, 398 | 1,305,475 | 282,155 | 35,030 | 5,950 | 1,434,695 | 445, 503 | 114,573 | 64,913 | 9,411 | 11,240 |
| Baltimore |  | 177,171 | 630,909 | 86,528 | 42,445 | 9,011 | 860,706 | 272, 710 | 145, 067 | 138, 119 | 9,301 | 9,801 |
| Atlanta.. | $\begin{aligned} & 187,352 \\ & 103,917 \end{aligned}$ | 66,404 | 284, 557 | 60,324 | 22,388 | 7,794 | 410,862 | 134, 522 | 19,983 | 18, 554 | 55,479 | 10, 706 |
| Birmingham | $\begin{gathered} 10,917 \\ 38,467 \end{gathered}$ | 20,914 | 138, 460 | 12, 242 | 10,355 | 884 | 187, 282 | 34,040 | 16, 848 | 12, 448 | 22, 662 |  |
| Jacksonville Nashville*. | $\begin{aligned} & 38,467 \\ & 29,76 \\ & 44,783 \end{aligned}$ | 20,636 35,199 | 114,853 179,027 | 13,423 <br> 23,875 | 9,954 11,303 | 1,278 1,440 | 154,523 235,153 | 35,337 60,514 | 22, 274 | 7,423 5,128 | 6,150 11,084 | 2,440 1,914 |
| New Orleans. | 53, 782 | 62,655 | 99,001 | 16,537 | 16,906: | 3,930 | 169,689 | 83,122 | 64,180 | 10,748 | 6,740 | 1,219 |
| Chicago... | $\begin{gathered} 33,182 \\ 701,664 \\ 184,671 \end{gathered}$ | 748, 176 | 3,122,293 | 427,465 | 168, 089 | 22,301 | 3,992,046 | 1,197,942 | 273,966 | 41,286 | 10, 874 | 5,351 |
| Detroit |  | 194, 960 | 347, 441 | 50, 933 | 22,338 | 3,231 | 554, 450 | ${ }^{249}$,124 | 8,720 | 7,277 | 7,174 | 3,211 |
| St. Louis*. | $\begin{aligned} & 184,671 \\ & 204,954 \end{aligned}$ | 253, 840 | 1,240,485 | 105, 193 | 83, 184 | 12,941 | 1,528,623 | 371, 974 | 22,694 | 8,637 | 24,987 | 2,317 |
| Little Rock | $\begin{array}{r}204,954 \\ 40,058 \\ 75,026 \\ \hline 64\end{array}$ | 24,817 | 254, 018 | 19,341 | 6,300 | 2,223 | 300, 376 | 46,381 | 8,226 | 1,922 | 28,655 | 3,992 |
| Louisville |  | 64,898 | ${ }^{325,610}$ | 26,736 | 23,952 | 7,041 | 424,588 | 98,675 | 9,748 | 2,012 | 2,414 |  |
| Memphis* | $\begin{array}{r} 64,752 \\ 227,243 \end{array}$ | 31,541 | 127,276 | 9,945 | 8,256 | 1,304 | 200, 284 | 42,790 | 2,499 | 1,226 | 3,312 | 1,210 |
| Minneapolis. |  | 150, 619 | 1,487, 433 | 119, 185 | 17,482 | 5,721 | 1,732, 158 | 275, 525 | 95, 771 | 36,228 |  |  |
| Kansas City* | $\begin{array}{r} 227,243 \\ 320,023 \\ 70,685 \end{array}$ | 370, 494 | 2,224, 660 | 233, 913 | 65, 656 | 8,226 | 2, 210,339 | 612,633 | 253, 960 | 75, 829 |  | 36,743 17 17 |
|  |  |  |  | 21, 748 | 17,236 | 2,862 |  | 85, 387 | 105,875 |  | 51, 720 |  |
| Oklahoma City ${ }^{\text {Omaha......... }}$ |  | 25,396 64,762 | 409, 105 | 43, 42001 | 16,408 |  | 423,397 564,810 | 68,989 108,733 | 13,017 | 2,261 | -7,134 | 7,479 |
| Dallas*.... | 83,720 79,513 | 64,064 65 | 1,410, ${ }^{4635}$ | 246, 127 | 23,901 | 4, 874 | 1,513,449 | 316,065 | 86, 892 | 29,653 | 54,593 | 7, 706 |
| El Paso * | $\begin{array}{r} 28,959 \\ 56,906 \end{array}$ | 12,251 | 1,125,902 | 12, 666 | 11,204 | 2,363 | 166,065 | 27, 280 | 19,327 | 7,543 | 13,708 | 2,930 |
| Houston * |  | 44,216 | 355, 513 | 39,179 | 40, 319 | 2,214 | 452,738 | 85,609 | 18,846 | 23,980 | 9,163 | 2,410 |
| San Francisco. | 115,232 | 104, 763 | 314,721 | 29,644 | 43,281 | 82,697 | 473,234 | 217, 104 | 23, 743 | 4,624 | 60, 276 | 10, 272 |
| Los Angele | 103,24360,996 | 84, 767 | 532,097 | 47,945 | 16,799 | 6,254 | 652,139 | 138,966 | 52, 219 | 7,353 | 24,774 | 4,374 |
| Portland....... |  | 35,165 | 162,266 | 11,664 | 13,962 | 5,256 | 237, 224 | 52, 085 | 2,444 | 2,048 | 25,922 | 4,462 |
| Salt Lake City* | 52, 62362,302 |  | 349, 169 | 31, 105 | 10,225 | 2,362 | 412,017 | 59,609 | 6,902 | 15, 172 | 6,976 | 6,936 |
| Seattle.......... |  | 42,661 | 188,451 | 17, 117 |  | 7,556 | 271,755 | 67,334 | 16,465 | 5,624 | 31,751 | 6,175 |
| Spokane. | 27,047 | 17, 483 | 143,918 | 11,636 | 6,511 |  | 177, 476 | 29,965 | 5,939 | 3,230 | 16,261 | 3,741 |
| July 16 to Aug. 15, $1920.7,093,9817,407,87527,951,8624,510,4621,999,664\|383,068\| 37,045,50712,301,40513,376,93211,579,215$ <br>  <br> July 16 to Aug. 15, $1919.5,079,2066,222,44018,419,2213,670,8052,259,7261,553,788,25,758,153,11,447,033{ }^{3} 32,728,099{ }^{3} 11,535,757$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 736,000 \\ & 560,189 \end{aligned}$ | 272, ${ }_{2659}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 244, 828 |  |
| Federal Reserve district. | 1 Reserve district. |  |  |  | Number of member banks in district on Aug. 15. |  |  | Number of nonmember banks on par list Aug. 15. |  | Number of incorporated banks other than mutual sarings banks not on par list Aug. 15. |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 192 |  | 1919 | 1920 | 1919 | 192 |  | 20 | 1919 |
| Boston. |  |  |  |  |  | 432 | 429 | 257 | 241 |  |  |  |
| New York. |  |  |  |  |  | 775 | 740 | 324 | 317 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 691 | ${ }^{670}$ | 432 | 406 |  |  |  |
| Cleveland. |  |  |  |  |  | 864 | 832 | 1,077 | 956 |  |  | 119 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 608 | 572 | 764 | 395 |  | 782 | 1,038 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 444 | 428 | 433 | 351 |  | 1,182 | 1,218 |
| Atlanta. Chicago. |  |  |  |  |  | , 396 | 1,364 | 4,241 | 3,192 |  |  | 964 |
| Chicago.. St. Louis |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r}567 \\ 985 \\ \hline 1\end{array}$ | ${ }_{893}^{527}$ | 2,512 <br> 2,901 <br> , 5 | 1,653 1,463 |  | 174 | 962 1,401 |
| Minneapolis. |  |  |  |  |  | ,071 | 1,013 | 3,388 | 2,371 |  |  | ' 876 |
| Kansas City |  |  |  |  |  | 831 | 748 | 1,239 | 320 |  |  | 891 |
| Dallas....... |  |  |  |  |  | 808 | 678 | 1,037 | 913 |  |  | 152 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | , 472 | 8,894 | 18,605 | 12,578 |  | 2,138 | 7,621 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes 6,553 ite ms amounting to $\$ 1,965,000$ forwarded direct to member banks in other Federal Reserve districts.
2 Includes 7,313 items amounting to $\$ 2,073,000$ forwarded direct to member banks in other Federal Reserve districts.
${ }^{8}$ Includes 4,544 items amounting to $\$ 4,023,000$ forwarded direct to member banks in other Federal Reserve districts.

* Note.-Number of business days in period was 26 in all Federal Reserve Bank and branch cities except in those marked with an asterisk where the number was 25, and in Oklahoma City where the branch opened for business on August 2 and where therefore the number of business days was 11 only.

| From－ | To－ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 部 } \\ & \text { 落 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 采 } \\ & \text { 总 } \\ & \text { 品 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { 落 } \\ \stackrel{4}{\omega} \\ \text { A } \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { gig } \\ & \text { gig } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & x \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & y \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}\right.$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boston． |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 6 |
| New York | 1 | ．． | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 3 |  | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 |
| Buffalo． | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | ． 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 |
| Philadelphia． | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 |
| Cleveland． | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | ， | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | ） 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 |
| Cincinnati． | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 |  | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 |
| Pittsburgh． | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 |
| Richmond． | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 3 | － 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 |
| Baltimore． | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | ．． | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 |
| Atlanta． | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| New Orleans． | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 |
| Birmingham． | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | ． | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 |
| Jacksonville． | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | ．．． | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | ．．．． | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 6 |
| Nashville． | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | ．．． | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | ．．．． | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 |
| Chicago． | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Detroit． | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 |
| St．Louis． | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | ．．． | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 1 | ， | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 |
| Louisville． | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | ．．． | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 |
| Memphis． | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | $\ldots$ | 1 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 |
| Little Rock． | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | ． | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 |
| Minneapolis． | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 1 | ， | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| Helena． | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | ．．． | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| Kansas City | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | ． | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 |
| Omaha． | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 |  | 4 | ＋ | 3 | 4 |
| Denver． | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | ， | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | ．$\cdot$ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 4 |
| Oklahoma | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | － | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | ．．．． | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 |  | 4 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| Dallas． | ， | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |  | 2 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 |
| El Paso | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 |  | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 3 |
| Houston． | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 |  | 4 | 6 | 6 | B | 4 | 4 |
| San Francisco．． | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | ．．． | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Spokane． | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 |  | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 3 |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| Portland． | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 1 |  | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Seattle． | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 1 |  | 3 | 4 |
| Salt Lake City． | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |  | 2 |
| Los Angeles．． | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 2 | ．．．． |

## CHANGES IN CONDITION OF THE FEDERAL RESERVE BANKS.

During the five weeks between July 23 and August 27 the Federal Reserve Banks increased their holdings of discounted bills by 197.2 millions from 2469.9 to 2667.1 millions, or at a weekly average rate of 39.4 millions. This increase proceeded steadily from week to week and much the larger share of it, viz, 129.8 millions, is represented by the increase of ordinary commercial paper, as distinct from paper secured directly or indirectly by United States war obligations or by Treasury certificates (socalled war paper). Loan operations of the Government included the issue on August 16 of over 157 millions of 1-year 6 per cent loan certificates and the redemption before maturity of moderate amounts of tax certificates falling due on September 15. On the whole, the effect of these operations was to arrest for a time the gradual liquidation by member banks of their holdings of Treasury certificates, for which there is apparently a steadily growing demand on the part of the investing public. Federal Reserve Bank holdings of paper secured by Treasury certificates show but little change, the August 27 total of 346.7 millions being slightly below the July 23 total. As against this slight decrease, the Federal Reserve Banks report a 60 -million increase in their holdings of paper secured by Liberty bonds and a $10-$ million increase in paper secured by Victory notes. Of the total amount of discounts carried on August 27 by the Reserve Banks, the share of bills directly or indirectly protected by Government securities was slightly below 50 per cent, of which a little over 50 per cent, as against 48.1 per cent on July 23, is represented by bills secured by Liberty bonds.

Nearly 160 millions of the total increase of 197 millions in discounts held is composed of 15-day paper, which constituted slightly below 60 per cent of the total discounts held by the Reserve Banks on the last Friday in August. Holdings of 30-day paper show an increase of over 7 millions, while those of 60-day paper went up about 75 millions. On the other hand, holdings of 90 -day paper, and especially of 6 -month paper, show substantial reductions for the period, the decrease in the holdings of the latter class of paper reflecting largely the seasonal liquidation of loans in the farm districts.

Further reduction from 353.5 to 321.9 millions is shown in the Reserve Bank holdings of acceptances. These holdings are at present concentrated largely in the New York, Cleveland, Chicago, and San Francisco banks and are composed chiefly of bankers' bills originally purchased by the New York bank for its own
account and the account of other Reserve Banks. A reduction in Treasury certificates from the maximum of 298.5 millions held on July 30 to 273.7 millions, held four weeks later, represents largely the amount of special certificates redeemed by the Government during the more recent weeks.
Substantial increases for the period under review are shown in the volume of interbank discounting, the holdings of paper discounted for other Reserve Banks showing an almost continuous increase from 123.2 millions on July 23 to 166.5 millions on August 27. The latter amount was held exclusively by the Boston and Cleveland banks, bills discounted for other Reserve Banks constituting about 36 per cent of the total discounts held on August 27 by the Boston bank and over 50 per cent of the total discounts held on that date by the Cleveland bank. No change is shown in the list of rediscounting institutions, which includes the Federal Reserve Banks of Richmond, Atlanta, St. Louis, Minneapolis, Kansas City, and Dallas. Four of the banks included in this list, viz, those at Atlanta, St. Louis, Kansas City, and Dallas, continued to apply progressive discount rates during the period under review. These four banks show an aggregate increase of 33.2 millions in their liabilities on paper rediscounted with other Federal Reserve Banks besides a total increase of 11.1 millions in their net holdings of paper discounted for their member banks.
Holdings of acceptances purchased from other Federal Reserve Banks show a further increase from 42.5 to 48.9 millions, the latter amount representing acceptances sold by the New York and Chicago banks without their indorsement to the Philadelphia, Cleveland, and San Francisco banks. The aggregate guarantors' liability of the Federal Reserve Banks on bills purchased for account of foreign correspondents remains unchanged at 16.2 millions.

Government deposits fluctuated between 11.6 millions on August 13 and 55 millions on the following Friday. Reserve deposits moved within the relatively narrow limits of 1834.5 millions on August 13 and 1793.7 millions on August 20, while other deposits, including largely foreign Government credits and nonmembers' clearing accounts, show a further decline from 48.3 to 43.2 millions between July 23 and August 27. Net deposits increased from 1685.6 millions, the low figure for the period, to a high of 1717.9 millions five weeks later. Federal Reserve note circulation shows a further expansion for the period of 85.4 mil-
lions, while Federal Reserve Bank notes in cir-| silver deposits by the Government, amounted culation increased by 10.7 millions. The banks' to only 6.2 millions. The banks' reserve ratio gold reserves, in consequence of further export continued to decline steadily during the period withdrawals, show a loss of 11.5 millions, while and on August 27 stood at 43.2 per cent, comthe loss in total cash reserves, because of further pared with 44.4 per cent five weeks before.

Resources and liabilities of each Federal Reserve Bank at close of business on Fridays, July 30 to Aug. 27, 1920. RESOURCES.
[In thousands of dollars.]

$9149^{\circ}-20-7$

Resources and liabilities of each Federal Reserve Bank at close of business on Fridays, July 30 to Aug. 27, 1920—Continued.
RESOURCES-Continued.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | Boston. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { New } \\ & \text { York. } \end{aligned}$ | Philadelphia. | Cleveland. | Richmond. | Atlanta. | Chicago. | Louis. | Minneapolis. | Kansas City. | Dallas. | San <br> Fran- <br> cisco. | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States Government bonds: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 30......... | 557 | 1,457 | 1,386 | 833 | 1,233 | 114 | 4,477 | 1,153 | 116 | 8,867 | 3,966 | 2,632 | 26,791 |
| Aug. 6. | 557 | 1,462 | 1,386 | 834 | 1,233 | 114 | 4,490 | 1,153 | 116 | 8,867 | 3,966 | 2,632 | 26,810 |
| Aug. 13. | 557 | 1,462 | 1,386 | 834 833 | 1,233 | 114 | 4,490 4,490 | 1,153 | 116 | 8,867 8,867 | 3,966 <br> 3,966 | 2,632 <br> 2,632 <br> 2 | 26, 810 |
| Aug. 27. | $\stackrel{557}{557}$ | 1,462 | 1,386 | 834 | 1,233 | 114 | 4,490 | 1,153 | 116 | 8,867 | 3,966 | 2,632 | 26,810 |
| United States Vic- tory notes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tory notes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| Aug. 6. | 5 | 50 |  | 10 |  | 3 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 69 |
| Aug. 13. | 5 | 50 |  | 10 |  | 3 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 69 |
| Aug. 20. | 5 | 50 |  | 10 |  | 3 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 69 |
| Aug. $27 .$. | 5 | 50 |  | 10 |  | 3 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 69 |
| United States cer- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tiffeates of indebtedness: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 30......... | 26,657 | 81,502 | 39,357 | 25,322 | 12,260 | 15,665 | 39,669 | 17,233 | 8,481 | 12,883 | 8,300 | 11, 191 | 298,520 |
| Aug. 6. | 21,541 | 68,743 | 32,165 | 23,322 | 12,260 | 15,665 | 39,723 | 17,274 | 8,481 | 12,842 | 8,300 | 11,174 | 271,490 |
| Aug. 130 | 26,525 21,583 2 | 66,116 69,629 | -36,205 | -23,322 | 12,260 12,260 | ${ }_{15}^{15,665}$ | 39,656 40,038 | 17,257 <br> 17 <br> 1702 | 8,481 | 12,845 <br> 12,830 | 8,300 8,302 | 11, ${ }_{13}^{11,204}$ | ${ }_{277}^{277,836}$ |
| Aug. 27. | 21,507 | 69,401 | 33,309 | 23, 322 | 12,260 | 15,665 | 39, $6 \overline{7} 7$ | 17, 509 | 8,481 | 12,825 | 8,300 | 11,465 | 273,701 |
| Total earning assets: July $30 . \ldots \ldots .$. | 205,701 | 1,046,525 | 232,756 | 237,855 | 123,589 | 133,445 | 528, 271 | 128,712 | 90,280 | 133,966 | 84,999 | 216, 216 | 3,162,315 |
| Aug. 6. | 210,773 | 1,063, 409 | 219,180 | 246, 683 | 124,640 | 135,155 | 524,566 | 130, 152 | 92, 109 | 134,902 | 86,617 | 219, 406 | 3,187,592 |
| Aug. 13. | 213,637 | 1,079, 609 | 227, 844 | 264,722 | 125,364 | 136, 222 | 521, 270 | 132, 329 | 86,860 | 123,413 | 84,197 | 218, 872 | 3,214,339 |
| Aug. 20. | 230, 185 | 1,068,903 | 223,058 | 265,799 | 126,718 | 132,685 | 530, 197 | 135, 753 | 88,088 | 135,322 | -85,602 | 221,752 | 3, 244,062 |
| Aug. 27.. | 227,731 | 1,091, 479 | 229,015 | 287,772 | 121,401 | 136,862 | 524,010 | 133,207 | 87,741 | 135,381 | 86,469 | 228, 604 | 3,289, 672 |
| Bank premises: July $30 \ldots \ldots$ | 1,535 | 3,783 | 619 | 1,156 | 1,079 | 601 | 2,118 | 866 | 566 | 762 | 972 | 232 | 14,289 |
| Aug. $6 .$. | 1,582 | 3,869 | 619 | 1,156 | 1,079 | 60.3 | 2,118 | 866 | 566 | 762 | 992 | 232 | 14,444 |
| Aug. 13. | 1,644 | 3,841 | 686 | 1,156 | 1,129 | 603 | 2,118 | 866 | 566 | 762 | 1,001 | 232 | 14,604 |
| Aug. 20 | 1,679 | 3,846 | 638 | 1,156 | 1,129 | 603 | 2,138 | 866 | 586 | 762 | 1,019 | 232 | 14,654 |
| Aug. $27.1 . .$. | 1,700 | 3,942 | 638 | 1,156 | 1,135 | 606 | 2,138 | 866 | 586 | 791 | 1,079 | 232 | 14,869 |
| Uncollected items and other deductions from gross |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tions from gross deposits: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 30......... | 54,215 | 150,978 | 62,231 | 70,576 | 52,535 | 24,040 | 93,939 | 40,628 | 19,351 | 62,710 | 43,978 | 35,883 | 711,064 |
| Aug. ${ }^{6}$ | 57,362 | 157, 059 | 59,798 | 71,858 | 53,904 | 23,534 | 99,966 | 46,329 | 19,078 | 68,089 | 42,304 | 37,407 | 733, 688 |
| Aug. 13. | 66, 610 | 173,908 | 69,619 | 78,816 | 56, 113 | 29,444 | 101,751 | 44, 890 | 21,523 | 70,758 | 45,712 | 40,058 | 799,202 |
| Aug. 20. | 59,586 | 168, 254 | 72,099 | 80,305 | 59,324 | 33,184 | 100,770 | 43, 998 | 20,540 | 62,719 | 44,512 | 39,949 | 785,240 |
| Aug. 27. | 51,331 | 158, 600 | 66, 106 | 74,192 | 55,242 | 26,894 | 94,808 | 41,560 | 20,003 | 59,080 | 43, 173 | 38,900 | 729,889 |
| 5 per cent redemption fund against Federal Reserve |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank notes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July $30 .$. | 1,072 | 3,127 | 1,300 | 871 | 451 | 517 | 2, 211 | 523 | 445 | ${ }_{916}$ | 586 | 665 | 12,684 |
| Aug. 6. | 1,072 | 3,156 | 1,300 | 959 | 451 | 526 | 2,505 | 527 | 339 | 916 | 586 | 665 | 13,002 |
| Aug. 13 | 1,072 | ${ }_{2}^{2,157}$ | 1,300 | +959 | 451 | 482 | ${ }_{2}^{2,433}$ | 524 | 402 | 916 | 586 | 665 | 11,947 |
| Aug. 20. | 1,072 | 2,189 | 1,300 | 1,039 | 451 | 491 | 2,096 | 524 | 271 | 916 | 586 | 665 | 11,600 |
| $\xrightarrow{\text { Aug. } 27 . . . . . . . ~}$ | 1,072 | 2,194 | 1,300 | 1,139 | 451 | 559 | 1,968 | 524 | 582 | 916 | 586 | 665 | 11,956 |
| All other resources: <br> July 30 | 244 | 802 | 355 | 179 | 278 | 114 | 606 | 312 | 82 | 274 | 276 | 255 | 3,777 |
| Aug. 6........... | 288 | 598 | 371 | 227 | 242 | 161 | 451 | 321 | 105 | 283 | 182 | 271 | 3,500 |
| Aug. 13. | 402 | 824 | 318 | 197 | 178 | 83 | 700 | 342 | 108 | 257 | 128 | 322 | 3,859 |
| Aug. 20....... | 258 | 782 | 433 | 166 | 188 | 97 | 701 | 378 | 103 | 263 | 117 | 341 | 3,827 |
| Aug. $27 . . . . .$. | 273 | 808 | 429 | 172 | 870 | 183 | 589 | 383 | 76 | 207 | 170 | 398 | 4,558 |
| Total resources: July $30 \ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 486, 616 | 1,826,304 | 453,438 | 563,807 | 250,735 | 235, 226 | 939,684 | 251,840 | 160,620 | 268,717 |  |  | 6,032,769 |
| Ang. 6 | 492, 222 | 1,840,382 | 456, 629 | 559,007 | 257, 681 | 237, 447 | 945, 032 | 256,944 | 161, 834 | 280,470 | 177, 399 | 418,923 | 6,083,970 |
| Aug. 13 | 506, 373 | 1,859,545 | 470,865 | 583,869 | 260, 919 | 243,675 | 960, 280 | 256, 060 | 157,393 | 273,378 | 179, 751 | 424,728 | 6, 176, 836 |
| Aug. 20 | 504,551 | 1,854, 089 |  |  | 266, 040 | 243, 136 | ${ }_{960}^{958} 8880$ |  | 157,763 |  |  | 426,064 | 6,181,220 |
| Aug. 27 Include billi... | 498,935 | 1,855,747 | 472,572 | 594,507 | 264,661 | 241,775 | 960,924 | 256,919 | 156,228 | 263,605 | 178,843 | 428,055 | 6,178,771 |
| Includes bills discounted for other Federal Reserve |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Banks: <br> July 30. | 44,923 | 39,025 |  | 54,755 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aug. $6 .$. | 59,514 | 27,285 |  | 64,083 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 150, 882 |
| Aug. 13. | 60,429 | 10,391 |  | 63,979 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 134,799 |
| Aug. 20. | 67,916 | 8,306 |  | 74, 964 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 151, 186 |
| 9 Aug. $27 . \ldots$ Includes bankers | 66,911 |  |  | 99,620 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 166,531 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| acceptances bought from |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal Reserve |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Banks without |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| their indorse- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ment: ${ }_{\text {July }} 30$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19.092 |  |
| Aug. 6. | 2,518 |  | 10,014 | 9,329 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16,590 | 38, 451 |
| Aug 13. | 1,444 |  | 7,130 | 21,559 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17,389 | 47,522 |
| Aug. 20. |  |  | 4,274 | 16,892 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{14,235}$ | 35,401 |
| Aug. 27 |  |  | 11,812 | 21,440 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15,672 | 48,924 |

Resources and liabilities of each Federal Reserve Bank at close of business on Fridays, July 30 to Aug. 27, 1920—Continued.
LIABILITIES.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | Boston. | New York. | Philadelphia. | Cleveland. | Richmond. | Atlanta. | Chicago. | St. Louis. | Minneapolis. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Kansas } \\ & \text { City. } \end{aligned}$ | Dallas. | San Francisco. | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capital, paid-in: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 30...... | 7, 586 | 24,679 | 8,304 | 10,162 | 4,876 | 3,855 | 13,495 | 4,270 | 3,299 | 4,319 | 3,913 | 6,467 | 95, 225 |
| Aug. 6. | 7, 586 | 24,680 | 8,312 | 10, 166 | 4,878 | 3,864 | 13, 550 | 4,272 | 3,311 | 4,322 | 3,924 | 6,476 | 95,341 |
| Aug. 13 | 7,589 | 25,338 | 8,312 | 10, 167 | 5,097 | 3,865 | 13, 555 | 4,272 | 3,322 | 4,322 | 3,929 | 6,783 | 96,551 |
| Aug. 20 | 7,589 | 25,336 | 8,398 | 10,246 | 5, 101 | 3, 875 | 13,549 | 4,275 | 3,328 | 4,345 | 3,933 | 6,784 | 96,759 |
| $\text { Aug. } 27$ | 7,580 | 25;336 | 8,399 | 10,249 | 5,129 | 3, 888 | 13,685 | 4,297 | 3,329 | 4,408 | 3,936 | 6,819 | 97,055 |
| July 30. | 12,351 | 51,308 | 13,069 | 13,712 | 8,067 | 7,050 | 23,917 | 5,884 | 5,178 | 8,395 | 4, 152 | 11,662 | 164,745 |
| Aug. 6 | 12,351 | 51,308 | 13, 069 | 13,712 | 8,067 | 7,050 | 23,917 | 5,884 | 5,178 | 8,395 | 4,152 | 11, 662 | 164, 745 |
| Aug. 13 | 12,351 | 51,308 | 13,069 | 13,712 | 8,067 | 7,050 | 23,917 | 5,884 | 5, 178 | 8,395 | 4,152 | 11,662 | 164,745 |
| Aug. 20. | 12, 351 | 51, 308 | 13, 069 | 13,712 | 8,067 | 7,050 | 23,917 | 5,884 | 5,178 | 8,395 | 4,152 | 11, 662 | 164,745 |
| Aug. 27. | 12,351 | 51,308 | 13,069 | 13,712 | 8,067 | 7,050 | 23,917 | 5,884 | 5,178 | 8,395 | 4,152 | 11, 662 | 164,745 |
| Government de- posits: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 30.. | 559 | 410 | 748 | 756 | 843 | 67 | 743 | 2,139 | 294 | 2,084 | 1,564 | 1,960 | 12,167 |
| Aug. 6 | 1,110 | 10,014 | 1,039 | 316 | 2,218 | 1,160 | 1,064 | 661 | 533 | 643 | 1,638 | 384 | 20,780 |
| Aug. 13 | 361 | 3,127 | 1,100 | 632 | 687 | 730 | 618 | 833 | 316 | 736 | 1,250 | 1,227 | 11,623 |
| Aug. 20 | 3,700 | 19,089 | 2,444 | 3,125 | 1,347 | 1,971 | 7,086 | 5,009 | 1,747 | 2,658 | 1,755 | 5,028 | 54,959 |
| Aug. 27. | 1,848 | 20,139 | 2,274 | 1,194 | 1,549 | 1,272 | 2,902 | 2,967 | 1,615 | 2,767 | 1,665 | 3,318 | 43,510 |
| Due to membersreserve account: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 30. | 118,938 | 710,488 | 104,911 | 144, 444 | 57, 772 | 50,041 | 259,736 | 64,246 | 47, 770 | 80, 970 | 52, 925 | 115,915 | 1, 808, 156 |
| Aug. 6. | 117,727 | 722, 701 | 106,997 | 142,913 | 58,593 | 49,757 | 258,318 | 60,801 | 48,174 | 79, 652 | 53,677 | 117,488 | 1, 816,798 |
| Aug. 13 | 121, 109 | 727, 642 | 107, 531 | 144,941 | 57,045 | 50, 157 | 265, 708 | 64, 993 | 43,272 | 79,799 | 53,310 | 119,035 | 1,834,542 |
| Aug. 20 | 116,955 | 706, 633 | 104,482 | 146,448 | 59,185 | 49,175 | 253, 698 | 65, 446 | 42,670 | 81, 240 | 51, 855 | 115, 888 | 1,793,675 |
| Aug. 27. | 118, 776 | 717,885 | 106, 384 | 147,831 | 58,984 | 49,319 | 262,480 | 66,042 | 42,420 | 78,952 | 53,512 | 115,917 | 1, 818,502 |
| Deferred availability items: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 30........ | 44, 124 | 101, 075 | 47,779 | 55, 950 | 40,891 | 20,838 | 63,970 | 37,092 | 17,502 | 55,678 | 27,558 | 24,233 | 536,690 |
| Aug. 6. | 43, 636 | 94,727 | 46,853 | 53, 258 | 42,416 | 20,361 | 64,987 | 44,902 | 18,419 | 67,966 | 24, 836 | 27,417 | 549,778 |
| Aug. 13 | 51,144 | 113,013 | 53,127 | 63, 207 | 44,099 | 26, 429 | 72, 184 | 39,542 | 19, 205 | 59,351 | 28,347 | 29,749 | 599,397 |
| Aug 20. | 48,141 | 110, 143 | 54,670 | 63, 953 | 45,600 | 26,431 | 71, 532 | 40,557 | 18,597 | 53,722 | 28,238 | 29,510 | 591,094 |
| Aug. $27 . . .$. | 41,093 | 98,839 | 48,549 | 60,734 | 42,921 | 22,755 | 65,789 | 36,075 | 17,306 | 52,087 | 26,542 | 29,874 | 542,564 |
| Other deposits, including foreign government credits: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | , |
| July 30. | 2,230 | 26,024 | 2,743 | 2,328 | 1,368 | 1,139 | 3,891 | 1,538 | 965 | 1,438 | 838 | 6,794 | 51,296 |
| Aug. 6 | 3,386 | 21, 340 | 2,773 | 2,416 | 1,350 | 1,055 | 3,808 | 1,676 | 941 | 1,471 | 889 | 3,716 | 44,821 |
| Aug. 13 | 4,311 | 21,895 | 3,114 | 2,408 | 1,405 | 1,094 | 3,635 | 1,600 | 961 | 1,506 | 860 | 3,301 | 46,090 |
| Aug. 20. | 3,053 | 21,409 | 2,819 | 2, 277 | 1,479 | 1,099 | 3,898 | 1,641 | 928 | 1,396 | 847 | 3,982 | 44,828 |
| $\xrightarrow{\text { Aug. } 27}$ | 2,158 | 20,692 | 3,016 | 2,305 | 1,462 | 1,032 | 4,029 | 1,566 | 917 | 1,487 | 895 | 3,621 | 43,180 |
| July 30. | 165,851 | 837,997 | 156,181 | 203, 478 | 100,874 | 72,085 | 328,340 | 105,015 | 66,531 | 140, 170 | 82, 885 | 148,902 | 2,408,309 |
| Aug. 6 | 165, 859 | 848,782 | 157, 662 | 198,903 | 104,577 | 72,333 | 328, 177 | 108,040 | 68,067 | 149,732 | 81, 040 | 149,005 | 2,432, 177 |
| Aug. 13 | 176,925 | 865,677 | 164, 878 | 211, 188 | 103,236 | 78,410 | 342, 145 | 106,968 | 63,754 | 141,392 | 83,767 | 153,312 | 2, 491, 652 |
| Aug. 20 | 171, 849 | 857, 274 | 164,415 | 215, 803 | 107,611 | 78,676 | 336, 214 | 112, 653 | 63,942 | 139,016 | 82, 695 | 154, 408 | 2,484,556 |
| Aug. 27. | 163, 875 | 857, 555 | 160,223 | 212,064 | 104,916 | 74,378 | 335, 200 | 106,650 | 62, 258 | 135,293 | 82,614 | 152, 730 | 2,447,756 |
| Federal Reserve notes in circulation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 30. | 284, 842 | 849,589 | 254,445 | 315,526 | 125,214 | 139, 277 | 533, 589 | 126,517 | 76,374 | 98, 887 | 79,192 | 236,686 | 3,120,138 |
| Aug. 6. | 289, 872 | 852,369 | 255, 765 | 314, 771 | 128, 224 | 140, 787 | 537, 951 | 128,214 | 76,052 | 100, 454 | 79,509 | 237, 893 | 3,141, 861 |
| Aug. 13 | 292, 189 | 852, 695 | 262,309 | 326,617 | 132,342 | 140, 707 | 538,278 | 128, 262 | 75,799 | 101, 832 | 79, 202 | 238,949 | 3,169, 181 |
| Aug. 20........ | 294, 550 | 854, 295 | 262,239 | 322, 899 | 132,692 | 139,548 | 541,649 | 128,584 | 75,849 | 103, 655 | 79,494 | 239, 271 | 3,174,725 |
| Aug. 27 <br> Federal Reserve | 296,131 | 854,925 | 268, 028 | 334, 884 | 133,969 | 142, 426 | 543,929 | 128,316 | 75,901 | 103, 780 | 79,415 | 241, 933 | 3,203,637 |
| Bank notes in circulation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 30. | 13,894 | 35,958 | 19,277 | 18,478 | 10,455 | 11,490 | 33,355 | 8,557 | 7,807 | 15,019 | 7,098 | 10,780 | 192, 168 |
| Aug. 6 | 14,297 | 35, 360 | 19,465 | 18,675 | 10,572 | 11, 805 | 34,312 | 8,776 | 7,720 | 15, 486 | 7,278 | 11,088 | 194, 834 |
| Aug. 13 | 14,875 | 35, 393 | 19,835 | 19, 186 | 10,688 | 11,901 | 34,704 | 8,864 | 7,802 | 15, 395 | 7,199 | 11, 070 | 196,912 |
| Aug. 20. | 15,462 | 35, 267 | 19,902 | 19,784 | 10, 866 | 12, 123 | 35, 100 | 9,317 | 7,808 | 15, 128 | 7,065 | 10, 741 | 198,563 |
| Aug. 27 dindio...- | 16,065 | 34,790 | 20,005 | 20,006 | 10,876 | 12,109 | 35,378 | 9,650 | 7,844 | 15,510 | 7,065 | 11,495 | 200,793 |
| July 30......... | 2,092 | 26,773 | 2,162 | 2,451 | 1,249 | 1,469 | 6,988 | 1,597 | 1,431 | 1,927 | 1,460 | 2,585 | 52, 184 |
| Aug. 6. | 2,257 | 27, 883 | 2,356 | 2,780 | 1,363 | 1,608 | 7,125 | 1,758 | 1,506 | 2,081 | 1,496 | 2,799 | 55,012 |
| Aug. 13. | 2,444 | 29,134 | 2,462 | 2,999 | 1,489 | 1,742 | 7,681 | 1,810 | 1,538 | 2,042 | 1,502 | 2,952 | 57,795 |
| Aug. 20......... | 2,750 | 30, 609 | 2, 666 | 3,261 | 1,703 | 1,864 | 8,431 | 2,017 | 1,658 | 2,132 | 1,583 | 3,198 | 61, 872 |
| Aug. 27........ | 2,933 | 31,833 | 2,848 | 3,592 | 1,704 | 1,924 | 8,815 | 2,122 | 1,718 | 2,219 | 1,661 | 3,416 | 64,785 |
| July 30. | 486, 616 | 1,826,304 | 453,438 | 563, 807 | 250,735 | 235, 226 | 939,684 | 251,840 | 160, 620 | 268,717 | 178,700 | 417,082 | 6,032, 769 |
| Aug. 6. | 492, 222 | 1, 840,382 | 456,629 | 559,007 | 257,681 | 237, 447 | 945, 032 | 256,944 | 161,834 | 280,470 | 177, 399 | 418, 923 | 6,083, 970 |
| Aug. 13. | 506,373 | 1,859,545 | 470,865 | 583, 869 | 260,919 | 243,675 | 960, 280 | 256, 060 | 157, 393 | 273,378 | 179,751 | 424, 728 | 6,176,836 |
| Aug. 20......... | 504, 551 | 1, 854,089 | 470, 689 | 585, 705 | 266,040 | 243, 136 | 958, 860 | 262, 730 | 157,763 | 272, 671 | 178,922 | 426, 064 | 6,181,220 |
| Aug. 27......... | 498,935 | 1,855, 747 | 472,572 | 594,507 | 264, 661 | 241, 775 | 960,924 | 256,919 | 156, 228 | 269,605 | 178,843 | 428,055 | '178,771 |

Resources and liabilities of each Federal Reserve Bank at close of business on Fridays, July 90 to Aug.27, 1920—Continued.
LIABILITIES-Continued.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | Boston. | New York. | Philadelphia. | Cleveland. | Richmond. | Atlanta. | Chicago. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { stouis. } \\ & \text { Lo } \end{aligned}$ | Minneapolis. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Kansas } \\ & \text { City. } \end{aligned}$ | Dallas. | San <br> Fran- cisco. | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| memoranda. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contingent liability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| as indorser on dis- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| counted paper rediscounted with |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| other Federal Re- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| serve Banks: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 30. |  |  |  |  | 23,133 | 21,607 |  | 30,607 | 13,738 | 22,902 | 26,716 |  | 138,703 |
| Aug. 6. |  |  |  |  | 24,924 | 28,183 |  | 31,904 | 12,226 | 25,756 | ${ }^{27,889}$ |  | 150,882 |
| Aug. 13 |  |  |  |  | 24,667 | 25, 209 |  | 20,941 | 10,073 | 23,534 | 30,375 |  | 134,799 |
| Aug. 20 |  |  |  |  | 24,720 | 32,723 |  | 27,628 | 17,197 | 17,640 | 31,278 |  | 151,186 |
| Aug. 27 |  |  |  |  | 25,000 | 31,963 |  | 32, 434 | 20,347 | 22,247 | 34,540 |  | 166, 531 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| on bulls pur- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| chased for foreign |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| correspondents: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 30. | 1,168 | 6,092 | 1,280 | 1,312 | 784 | 576 | 1,904 | 75 | 432 | 768 | 416 | 736 | 16,220 |
| Aug. 6. | 1,168 | 6,093 | 1,280 | 1,312 | 784 | 576 | 1,904 | 752 | 432 | 768 | 416 | 736 | 16,221 |
| Aug. Ang. | 1,168 | 6,083 | 1,280 | 1,312 | 784 | 576 | 1,904 | 752 | 432 | 768 | 416 | 736 | ${ }_{16,211}^{16,211}$ |
| Aug. 27.... | 1,168 | 6,077 | 1, 280 | 1,312 | 784 | 576 | 1,904 | 752 | 432 | 768 | 416 | 736 | 16, 205 |

Maturities of bills discounted and bought, also of Treasury certificates of indebtedness.
[In thousands of dollars.]


## FEDERAL RESERVE NOTES.

Federal Reserve agents' accounts at close of business on Fridays, July 30 to Aug. 27, 1920.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | Boston. | New York. | Philadelphia. | Cleveland. | Richmond. | Atlanta. | Chicago. | St. <br> Louis. | Minneapolis. | Kansas City. | Dallas. | San <br> Fran- <br> cisco. | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| resources. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal Reserve notes on hand: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 30...... | 49,300 | 135,000 | 28,780 22 | 31,150 <br> 30 | ${ }_{26}^{27,713}$ | 68,520 68165 | 75,740 66,140 | 18,240 17 | 11, ${ }_{11}, 095$ | 6,450 5,640 | 12,350 12,110 | 5,080 5,080 | ${ }_{443}^{469318}$ |
| Aug. 13 | 42,000 | 135,000 | 24,940 | 27,725 | 24,974 | 71, 205 | 73,900 | 16,440 | 10, 455 | 5,280 | 11,020 | 5,080 | 448,019 |
| Aug. 20 | 52,400 | 135,000 | 24,940 | 30,325 | 25,088 | 70, 435 | 72,200 | 15,640 | 9,675 | 4,880 | 13,200 | 6,080 | 459,863 |
| Aug. 27 | 53,660 | 141,000 | 30,940 | 26,565 | 27,348 | 70,515 | 71,720 | 16,640 | 8,975 | 6,880 | 13,010 | 6,080 | 473,333 |
| Federal Reserve notes outstand- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ing: July 30. | 295,953 | 981,658 | 265,305 | 331,711 | 131,481 | 143, 037 | 592,052 | 144,923 | 78,095 | 105,409 | 82,729 | 273,435 | 3,425,788 |
| Aug. 6. | 299,841 | 974,670 | 269,335 | 330,870 | 133,928 | 146,695 | 599,764 | 145, 279 | 77, 240 | 105,983 | 83,157 | 271,738 | 3,438,500 |
| Aug. 13 | 303,252 | 971, 932 | 270,334 | 338,224 | 138,296 | 145,582 | 596,498 | 146,967 | 77, 145 | 107,704 | 83,305 | 271,730 | 3,450,969 |
| Aug. 20 | 304,317 | 968,934 | 279, 710 | 345,545 | 137,648 | 145,223 | 594, 721 | 146,301 | 77,040 | 109,925 | 82,582 | 270,939 | 3,462,875 |
| Aug. 27. | 305,679 | 972, 767 | 277,707 | 349,866 | 137,773 | 146,693 | 593,521 | 145,481 | 77,013 | 109,295 | 82,826 | 273,110 | 3,471, 731 |
| Collateral security |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| for Federal Reserve notes out- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| standing: Gold and gold |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| aertificates- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 30... | 900 | 196,608 |  | 32,025 |  | 2,500 |  | 3,810 3810 | 13,052 |  | 10, 331 |  | 259, 226 |
| Aug. 6. | 900 900 | 196,608 |  | 32,025 <br> 32,025 |  | 2,500 2,500 |  | 3,810 3,810 | 13,052 <br> 13,052 |  | 10,331 |  | 259,226 260,226 |
| Aug. Aug. 20. | 900 | ${ }_{196,608}$ |  | 32,025 |  | 2,500 |  | 3,810 | 13, 052 |  | 11,331 |  | 260, 226 |
| Aug. 27. | 900 | 196,608 |  | 32,025 |  | 2,500 |  | 3,810 | 13,052 |  | 11,331 |  | 260, 226 |
| Gold redemp- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tion fund- | 18,966 | 9,531 | 14,308 | 19,448 | 4,061 |  | 9,992 | 3,378 | 1,557 | 3,342 | 4,095 | 19,737 |  |
| Aug. 6. | 16, 854 | 18,936 | 17,338 | 16,608 | 2,508 | 5,220 | 9,384 | 2,934 | 2,052 | 2,507 | 5,283 | 18, 160 | 117,784 |
| Aug. 13 | 19,965 | 18,034 | 15,138 | 17,236 | 3,876 | 3,688 | 8,679 | 3,163 | 1,917 | 3,387 | 6,340 | 16,520 | 117,943 |
| Aug. 20 | 23, 430 | 17,518 | 17,514 | 18,957 | 1,843 | 2,558 | 9,482 | 3,096 | 1,832 | 2,208 | 4,867 | 14,949 | 118,254 |
| Aug. 27. | 21,492 | 16,342 | 15,510 | 18,719 | 3,268 | 3,609 | 8,922 | 2,896 | 1,805 | 2,718 | 5,932 | 13,318 | 114,531 |
| Gold settlement fund-Federal Reserve |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Board- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 30. | 117,000 | 73,000 | ${ }_{86}^{91,389}$ | 100,000 | 33,500 41,500 | 41,500 | 147, 145 | 39, 381 | 16,700 | 31,860 35,360 | 12,734 10 | 80,494 79,374 | 782,853 |
| Aug. 6. | 112,000 | 63,000 | 86,389 | 100,000 | 38, 500 | 43,500 | 166, 144 | 37,330 | 15,950 | 34,360 | 10,734 | 78, 486 | 786,393 |
| Aug. 20. | 106,000 | 63,000 | 91,389 | 100,000 | 42,500 | 43,500 | 161,144 | 38,431 | 16,100 | 35, 360 | 10,734 | 77,626 | 785,784 |
| Aug. 27. | 106,000 | 63,000 | 101,389 | 100,000 | 39,500 | 42,000 | 157,145 | 38,831 | 16,200 | 35,360 | 8,734 | 71,768 | 779,927 |
| Eligible paper- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amountre- quired - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 30. | 159,087 | 702,519 | 159,608 | 180,238 | 93,920 | 95,819 | 434,915 | 100,204 | 46,786 | 70,207 | 55,569 | 173,204 | 2,272,076 |
| Aug. 6. | 165, 087 | 696,126 | 165, 608 | 182, 237 | 89,920 | 98,975 | 445, 235 | 99, 504 | 46,336 | 68, 116 | 56, 809 | 174, 204 | 2,288, 157 |
| Aug. 13. | 170,387 | 694, 290 | 168, 807 | 188,963 | 95,920 | 95, 894 | 421,675 | 102,664 | 46, 226 | ${ }^{69} 9597$ | 54, 900 | 176,724 | 2,286, 407 |
| Aug. 20. | 173,987 | 691, 808 | 170, 807 | 194,563 | 93,305 | 96,665 | 424,095 | 100, 964 | 46,056 | 72, 357 | 55,640 | 178,364 | 2,298,611 |
| Aug. 27. | 177,287 | 696,817 | 160, 808 | 199, 122 | 95, 005 | 98,584 | 427,454 | 99,944 | 45, 956 | 71,217 | 56,829 | 188,024 | 2,317,047 |
| Excess amount |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| helduly 30. |  | 259,995 |  |  | 14,925 | 21,836 | 49,210 | 10,024 | 25,828 | 42,005 | 17,164 |  |  |
| Aug. 6. | 23,583 | 263,734 | 6,381 | 38,003 | 19,697 | 20,392 | 35, 131 | 12,101 | 26,790 | 44, 887 | 17,542 | 22,088 | 530,329 |
| Aug. 13. | 16,163 | 286,145 | 2,438 | 33,877 | 4,319 | 24,508 | 55, 175 | 11,171 | ${ }^{23,665}$ | 31,640 | 17,031 | 13,412 | 519,544 |
| Aug. 20. | 34, 053 | 271, 664 | 1,220 | 39,416 | 17,036 | 20, 164 | ${ }_{51}^{61,574}$ | 16,245 | 26,105 | 41, 184 | 17,694 | 15,522 | 561, 877 |
| Aug. 27. | 28,375 | 291, 854 | 4,511 | 62,465 | 11,072 | 22,484 | 52,099 | 14,575 | 18,653 | 42,386 | 17,374 | 14, 061 | 579,909 |
| July $30 . .$. | 660,601 | 2,358,311 | 560, 971 | 722,357 | 305, 200 | 376,430 | 1,309,054 | 318,110 | 193,413 | 259,273 |  |  |  |
| Aug. 6. | 666,565 | $2,348,074$ | 567, 831 | 730,493 | 314, 267 | 381,947 | 1,300, 799 | 319,699 | 192,365 | 262,493 | 195, 966 | 570, 644 | 7,851,143 |
| Aug. 13. | 664,667 | 2,365,009 | 568,046 | 738,050 | 305,885 | 386,877 | 1,322,071 | 321,545 | 188, 410 | 252, 328 | 194, 661 | 561, 952 | 7,869,501 |
| Aug. 20 | 695,087 | 2,344,532 | 585, 580 | 760, 831 | 317, 420 | 381,045 | $1,323,216$ | 324,487 |  | 265,914 | 196,038 | 563,480 | 7,947,490 |
| Aug. 27 | 693,393 | 2, 378, 388 | 590, 865 | 788, 762 | 313,966 | 386,385 | 1,310, 861 | 322,177 | 181, 654 | 267, 856 | 196,036 | 566,361 | 7,996,704 |
| liablities. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal Reserve notes received from Comp- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { troller-gross: } \\ & \text { July } 30 . . . . \end{aligned}$ | 615,700 | 2,238,960 | 605, 880 | 623,220 | 342,500 | 357,420 | 1,094,880 | 345,360 | 169,580 | 235,480 | 170,980 | 476,580 |  |
| Aug. 6 | 615,700 | 2, 238,960 | 605, 880 | 624, 820 | 345,900 | 361, 720 | 1,095,600 | 346,960 | 169,580 | 236,080 | 171,980 | 477,580 | 7,290, 760 |
| Aug. 13. | 619,700 | 2,245,060 | 611,240 | 631,520 | 350, 160 | 365, 680 | $1,104,800$ | 349, 820 | 169,580 | 238,560 | 171,980 | 480, 100 | 7,338,200 |
| Aug. 20. | 633,700 | 2,245, 060 | 623,240 | 643,720 | 351, 660 | 365, 680 | 1, 105,520 | 350, 420 | 169,580 | 241,560 | 174,900 | 482, 740 | 7,387, 780 |
| Aug. 27. | 638,260 | 2,257, 760 | 629,24C | 546, 520 | 355, 620 | 369, 680 | 1,108,400 | 352, 800 | 169, 580 | 244, 420 | 175,900 | 487, 400 | 7,435,580 |
| Less amounts re- turned for de- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| struction: <br> July 30. | 270,447 | 1,122,302 | 311,795 | 260,359 | 183,706 | 145,863 | 427,088 | 182,197 | 80,090 | 123,621 | 75,901 | 198,065 | 3,381,434 |
| Aug. 6. | 272,559 | 1,129, 290 | 313,765 | 263, 200 | 185, 258 | 146, 860 | 429,696 | 184,641 | 81,245 | 124,457 | 76,713 | 200, 762 | 3, 408,446 |
| Aug. 13 | 274, 448 | 1,138,128 | 315,966 | 265, 571 | 186, 890 | 148, 893 | 434, 402 | 186, 413 | 81,980 | 125,576 | 77,655 | 203, 290 | 3,439, 212 |
| Aug. | 276, 983 | 1,141, 126 | 318,590 | 267, 850 | 188,924 | 150, 022 | 438, 599 | 188, 479 | 82, 865 | 126,755 | 79, 128 | 205,721 | 3,465, 042 |
| Aug. 27. | 278, 921 | 1,143,993 | 320,593 | 270,089 | 190, 499 | 152, 472 | 443,159 | 190, 679 | 83,592 | 128,245 | 80,064 | 208,210 | 3,490,516 |

Federal Reserve agents' accounts at close of business on Fridays, July 30 to Aug. 27, 1920—Continued.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | Boston. | New York. | Philadelphia. | Cleveland. | Richmond. | Atlanta. | Chicago. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { St. } \\ & \text { Louis. } \end{aligned}$ | Minneapolis. | Kansas City. | Dallas. | San Francisco. | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Liabilities-con. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | , |  |  |  |  |
| Netamount of Fed- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| eral Reserve notes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| received from |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Comptroller of the |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Currency: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 30. | 345, 253 | 1,116,658 | 294, 085 | 362,861 | 158,794 | 211,557 | 667,792 | 163,163 | 89,490 | 111, 859 | 95,079 | 278,515 | 3, 895, 106 |
| Aug. 6. | 343, 141 | 1, 109, 670 | 292, 115 | 361, 620 | 160,642 | 214, 860 | 665,904 670,398 | 162,319 | 88,335 | 111, 623 | 95, 267 | 276, 818 | 3,882,314 |
| Aug. 13 | 345,252 | 1,106, 932 | 295, 274 | 365,949 | 163, 270 | 216,787 | 670, 398 | 163, 407 | 87,600 | 112,984 | 94, 325 | 276,810 | 3, 898, 988 |
| Aug. 20 | 356,717 | [1, 103, 934 | 304, 650 | 375, 870 | 162,736 | 215, 658 | 666,921 | 161, 941 | 86,715 | 114, 805 | 95,772 | 277,019 | 3, 922, 738 |
| Aug. ${ }^{27}$........ | 359,339 | 1,113, 767 | 308,647 | 376,431 | 165,121 | 217, 208 | 665, 241 | 162,121 | 85,988 | 116, 175 | 95,836 | 279, 190 | 3,945,064 |
| Collateral received from Federal Re- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| serve Bank: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gold |  | 279139 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Auly 30 | 136,866 134,754 | 279, 139 | 105,697 | 151, 473 | 37,561 44,008 | 47,218 | 157,137 154,529 | 44,719 45 | 31,309 30,904 | 35,202 37 | 27,160 | 100,231 97 | 1,153,712 |
| Aug. 13 | 132,865 | 277,642 | 101, 527 | 149,261 | 42,376 | 49,688 | 174, 823 | 44,303 | 30,919 | 37,747 | 28,405 | 95, 006 | 1, 164,562 |
| Aug. 20 | 130,330 | 277, 126 | 108,903 | 150,982 | 44,343 | 48,558 | 170,626 | 45,337 | 30,984 | 37,568 | 26,932 | 92,575 | 1,164,264 |
| Aug. 27.... | 128,392 | 275,950 | 116,899 | 150,744 | 42,768 | 48,109 | 166,067 | 45,537 | 31,057 | 38,078 | 25,997 | 85,086 | 1, 154, 684 |
| Eligible paper- |  |  |  |  | 108, 845 | 117,655 | 484, 125 | 110,228 | 72,614 | 112,212 | 72,733 | 188,461 |  |
| Aug. 6. | 188,670 | 959,860 | 171,989 | 220,240 | 109,617 | 119,367 | 480,366 | 111, 605 | 73,126 | 113,003 | 74,351 | 196, 292 | 2, 818,486 |
| Aug. 13 | 186,550 | 980,435 | 171,245 | 222,840 | 100, 239 | 120, 402 | 476, 850 | 113, 835 | 69,891 | 101,597 | 71,931 | 190,136 | 2, 805,951 |
| Aug. 20 | 208,040 | 963,472 | 172,027 | 233, 979 | 110,341 | 116, 829 | 485, 669 | 117, 209 | 72,161 | 113,541 | 73,334 | 193, 886 | 2, 860,488 |
| Aug. 27 | 205, 662 | 988, 671 | 165,319 | 261,587 | 106,077 | 121,068 | 479,553 | 114,519 | 64,609 | 113,603 | 74,203 | 202,085 | 2,896,956 |
| Total liabilities: July 30 . | $6{ }^{6} \mathrm{C}, 60$ | [2,358, 311 | 560,971 | 722,357 | 305, 200 | 376, 430 | 1,309, 054 | 318,110 | 193,413 | 259, 273 | 194,972 | 567,207 |  |
| Aug. 6. | 666, 565 | 2,348,074 | 567, 831 | 730,493 | 314, 267 | 381, 947 | 1,300, 799 | 319,699 | 192,365 | 262, 493 | 195,966 | 570, 644 | 7, 851, 143 |
| Aug. 13 | 664, 667 | 2,365,009 | 568,046 | 738, 050 | 305, 885 | 386, 877 | 1,322,071 | 321, 545 | 188,410 | 252,328 | 194,661 | 561,952 | 7, 869,501 |
| Aug. 20 | 695, 087 | [2,344,532 | 585, 580 | 760,831 | 317, 420 | 381, 045 | 1,323,216 | 324, 487 | 189,860 | 265,914 | 196,038 | 563,480 | 7,947,490 |
| Aug. 27. | 693,393 | 2,378,388 | 590,865 | 788,762 | 313,966 | 386,385 | 1,310,861 | 322, 177 | 181,654 | 267, 856 | 196,036 | 566,361 | 7,996,704 |

## CONDITION OF MEMBER BANKS IN LEADING CITIES.

Continued, though moderate, liquidation of Government war securities and of principal loan accounts, accompanied by a considerably larger reduction in individual deposits, are some of the main developments in the banking field during the period July 16 to August 20 , as indicated by the weekly condition reports of about 815 member banks in leading cities. Borrowings from the Federal Reserve Banks during August show a substantial increase. A summary of changes in the principal asset and liability items of these banks follows:

Resources and liabilities of member banks in leading cities from July 16 to Aug. 20, 1920.
[In millions of dollars.]


On August 16 the Treasury issued over 157 millions of 1-year 6 per cent loan certificates, with the consequence that there is shown on the following Friday a 50 million dollar increase in the total Treasury certificate holdings of the reporting banks, as against a 79 million reduction in these holdings during the four previous weeks. Both Liberty bond and Victory note holdings show but slight and, on the whole, downward changes. A similar development is noted for the member banks in New York City, which report for the first 4 weeks a gradual reduction of their certificate holdings by over 55 millions, as against an addition to these holdings during the week ending August 20 of over 16 millions, and but slight
changes in their holdings of Government war securities proper. Loans secured by Government war obligations followed a steady downward course, the August 20 total of 959 millions being about 41 millions below the total reported five weeks previous. Most of this reduction is reported by the banks located outside of New York City, the corresponding reduction for the New York City banks being about 15 millions. Loans protected by corporate securities carried on August 20 are given as 3,006 millions, or 41 millions below the July 16 total. For the New York banks a slightly larger reduction of this item, from 1,135 to 1,088 millions, may be noted.

All other loans and investments, comprising largely commercial loans and discounts, show an increase for the period of 66 millions, with the consequence that the total loan and investment account at the close of the period-16,883 millions-is 51 millions less than at the beginning of the period under review. For the New York City banks an increase of 38 millions in other loans and investments and a reduction of 63 millions in total loans and investments are shown. The ratio of combined holdings of United States war securities and loans supported by such securities to total loans and investments of reporting banks shows a further decline from 13.4 to about 13 per cent. In New York City, because of the relatively heavier liquidation of United States securities during the period, this ratio shows a decline from 17.4 to 16.7 per cent.

After a practically continuous decline to 67 millions on August 13, Government deposits, following the placing of the August 16 Treasury certificate issue, rose to 145 millions, an increase of 23 millions for the period. On the other hand, other demand deposits (net) show a reduction to 11,256 millions, or of 296 millions, while time deposits increased from 2,698 to 2,724 millions. For the New York City banks decreases of 9 millions in Government deposits and of 199 millions in other demand deposits are shown, as against an increase of about 6 millions in time deposits.

Total accommodation of reporting members at Federal Reserve Banks, as shown on the books of the latter, fluctuated between 1,953 millions on July 23 and 2,086 millions on August 20, the latter total marking an increase of 96 millions over the total reported at the beginning of the period. Of the larger amount slightly less than 50 per cent was represented by loans secured by Government war obligations, including Treasury certificates. The ratio of total accommodation at the Federal Reserve Banks to total loans and investments
of reporting banks, which measures in a way the extent to which the loan burden of the member banks is shifted to the reserve institutions, shows an almost continuous rise from 11.8 to 12.4 per cent. For the New York City banks an increase in this ratio from 12.1 to 13.6 per cent is shown, corresponding to an increase of 80.8 millions in their borrowings from the local Federal Reserve Bank.

Reserve balances fluctuated in general accord with changes in demand deposits, and on August 20 totaled 1,363 millions, a reduction of 59 millions since July 16. Cash in vault shows a slight decline from 368 to 352 millions. For the New York City banks decreases of 46 millions in reserve balances and of about 10 millions in cash in vault are shown.

Principal resources and liabilities of member banks in leading cities, including member banks located in Federal Reserve Bank cities and in Federal Reserve branch cities as at close of business on Fridays, from July 23 to Aug. 20, 1920.

1. ALL REPORTING MEMBER BANKS.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | Boston. | New York. | Philadelphia | Cleveland. | Richmond. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { At- } \\ & \text { lanta. } \end{aligned}$ | Chicago. | St. <br> Louis. | Minneapolis. | Kansas | Dallas. | San Francisco. | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of reporting |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 46 | 114 | 56 | 92 | 82 | 47 | 107 | 35 | 35 |  |  |  |  |
| July 30. | 46 | 114 | 56 | 92 | 82 | 47 | 107 | 35 | 35 | ${ }_{83}$ | 49 | 68 | 814 |
| Aug. 6 | 46 | 114 | 56 | 92 | 82 | 47 | 107 | 35 | 35 | 83 | 50 | 68 | 815 |
| Aug. 13 | 46 | 114 | 59 | 92 | 82 | 47 | 107 | 35 | 35 | ${ }_{83}^{83}$ | 50 | 68 | 818 |
| Aug. 20. | 46 | 114 | 59 | 92 | 82 | 47 | 106 | 35 | 35 | 83 | 51 | 68 | 818 |
| United States bonds to secure circulation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 23. | 12,311 | 46,659 | 11,347 | 42,095 | 28,352 | 14,235 | 21,549 | 16,925 | 7,321 | 15,358 | 19,573 | 32,535 | 268,260 |
| July 30 | 12,311 | 46,657 | 11,347 | 42,095 | 28, 350 | 14,310 | 21,549 | 16,924 | 7,321 | 15, 396 | 19,573 | 32,535 | 268, 368 |
| Aug. 6 | 12,311 | 46, 582 | 11,347 | 42,095 | 23, 483 | 14, 310 | 21,549 | 16,924 | 7,318 | 15,396 | 19,573 | 32,535 | 268, 423 |
| Aug. 13 | 12,311 | 46, 223 | 11,347 | 42,134 | 23, 483 | 14,235 | 21, 550 | 16,924 | 7,321 | 15,396 | 19,573 | 32,535 | 268,532 |
| $\xrightarrow{\text { Aug. } 20 . . . . . . . . . . . ~}$ | 12,311 | 46,750 | 11,347 | 42,135 | 28, 483 | 14, 285 | 21,550 | 16, 924 | 7,321 | 15, 421 | 19,573 | 32,635 | 268, 735 |
| Other United States bonds, including Liberty bonds: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 14,060 | 251,008 | 29,026 | 59,400 | 33,782 | 28,197 | 59,614 | 12,971 | 9, 857 | 23,569 | 21,394 | 63,245 | 606, 123 |
| July 30. | 14, 214 | 254, 792 | 29, 150 | 58,982 | 33, 851 | 28, 918 | 56, 865 | 12, 260 | 9, 676 | ${ }_{23,}^{2358}$ | 21,659 | 63,770 | 607,595 |
| Aug. 6. | 15,148 | 259, 899 | 29, 498 | 59,595 | 33,672 | 28,470 | 56,607 | 13,119 | 9,801 | 23,812 | 21,441 | 63,628 | 614,681 |
| Aug. 13 | 15,248 | 255,003 | 30,371 | 59,174 | 33, 634 | 28,444 | 54, 771 | 13, 295 | 9,721 | 22,499 | 21,211 | 64, 311 | 607,682 |
| Aug. 20. | 15,399 | 254,099 | 28,906 | 59,616 | 33, $70 \times$ | 23, 563 | 55, 621 | 13,318 | 9,761 | 24,355 | 21,307 | 62,563 | 607,127 |
| United States Victory |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 23. | 7,070 | 83,084 | 9,047 | 19,228 | 7,658 | 4,282 | 39,996 | 2,652 | 1,010 | 4,513 | 3,428 | 11,733 | 193,701 |
| July 30 | 6,981 | 82, 781 | 9,014 | 19,407 | 7,391 | 4,317 | 39,747 | 2,689 |  | 4,579 | 3,194 | 12,021 | 193,118 |
| Aug. 6. | 6,084 | 75,021 | 9,011 | 19,486 | 7,759 | 4,400 | 38,987 | 2,649 | 882 | 4,504 | 3,321 | 11,928 | 184, 032 |
| Aug. 13 | 6,072 | 81, 226 | 9,366 | 19,526 | 7,766 | 4,429 | 38, 988 | 2,675 2 2 | 1,016 | 4,299 | 3,146 | 12, 113 | +190, 619 |
|  | 6,071 | 80, 287 | 8, 887 | 19,408 | 7,667 | 4,453 | 38, 516 | 2,731 | 1,039 | 4,523 | 3,160 | 12, 502 | 189, 244 |
| United States certificates of indebtedness: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 23. | 23, 453 | 254,340 | 20,600 | 24,013 | 11, 844 | 8694 | 61, 787 | 5,726 | 3,118 | 11,300 | 4,801 | 26,423 | 462,099 |
| July 30 | 21,332 | 231,437 | 25, 325 | 22,301 | 12, 171 | 9,404 | 59, 753 | 6,398 | 2,878 | 10,435 | 5,378 | 27, 146 | 433, 958 |
| Aug. 6 | 19,778 | 211, 873 | 23,971 | 20,995 | 11,514 | 9,203 | 54, 261 | 5, 126 | 2,730 | 9,980 | 5,315 | 26, 479 | 401, 225 |
| Aug. 13 | 19,246 | 217, 236 | 22,406 | 20,931 | 11,549 |  | 53,572 | 5,036 | 2,693 | 9,957 | 5; 298 | 26, 161 | 393,644 |
|  | 25,749 | 225,814 | 24,636 | 25, 195 | 12,327 | 11,068 | 65, 135 | 5,827 | 2,812 | 9,221 | 6,090 | 29,579 | 443,433 |
| Total United States |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 23. | 56, 894 | 635,091 | 76,020 | 144,736 | 81,636 | 55,408 | 182,946 | 38,274 | 21, 306 | 54,740 | 49, 196 | 133,936 | 1,530,183 |
| July 30. | 54,838 | ${ }^{615,667}$ | 74, 836 | 142,785 | 81, 763 | 56,949 | 177,914 | ${ }^{38,271}$ | 20, 872 | 53, 868 | 49,804 | 135,472 | 1,503, 039 |
| Aug. 6. | ${ }^{53,321}$ | 593, 375 |  | 142,171 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 134,570 |  |
| Aug. 13. | 52,877 59,530 | 590,238 606,860 | 73,490 | 141,765 146,354 | 81,432 82,186 | 56,617 58,369 | 168,878 180,822 | 37,930 38,800 | 20,751 20,933 | 52,151 | 49,228 50,130 | 135,120 137 | 1, $1,508,477$ |
| Loans secured by Government war obliga-tions, including rediscounts with Federal Reserve Bank: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 23. | 52,289 | 471,919 | 89,806 | 74,746 | 32,940 | 28,443 | 109,723 | 35,376 | 16,499 | 26,517 | 10,207 | 33,249 | 981,714 |
| July 30. | 52,509 | 478,315 | 89,805 | 75,376 | 32,433 | 28,368 | 101,810 | 34,983 | 16,765 | 25,656 | 9,975 | 35,977 | 981, 972 |
| Aug. 6. | 51,593 | 472,975 | 89,444 | 75,294 | 32,050 | 28,966 | 101,418 | 33,593 | 16,760 | 25,873 | 9,995 | 35, 198 | 973, 159 |
| Aug. 13 | 51,912 | 461,254 | 88, 414 | 75,981 | 32,069 | 28,674 | 104,461 | 33, 334 | 16,808 | 26,842 | 10,099 | 33,911 | 963,959 |
| Aug. 20. | 49,092 | 469,132 | 88, 123 | 75, 513 | 31,866 | 28,509 | 97,351 | 32,836 | 16,474 | 27, 197 | 9,935 | 33,327 | 959,355 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 23. | 186,993 | 1,300,528 | 200,772 | 329,606 | 106, 439 | 60, 951 | 458,081 | 122,563 | 29,425 | 79,948 | 39,233 | 145, 259 | 3, 059,798 |
| July 30. | 194, 439 | 1, $1,284,542$ | 203, 814 | 326,700 | 107.443 |  | 461,995 |  | 30,583 | 79, 684 | 38,729 | 144, 634 | 3,060, 319 |
| Aug. 6. | 183, 663 | 1,246,203 | [ 201,617 | 329,823 | ${ }_{107}^{107,318}$ | 60,560 60,315 | 458,343 456,533 | 126, 744 | 30,918 30,428 | 80,198 79,734 | 39,237 38,782 | 146,511 148,300 | $3,011,063$ 2,99759 |
| Aug. 13. | 180,831 | $1,240,314$ $1,240,843$ | -205, 2129 | -324,302 | 107,267 10631 | 60,315 60,231 | 456,533 458,438 | 125, 1214 | 30,428 30,380 | 79,734 80,050 | 38,782 38,902 | 148,300 142,690 | $2,997,589$ $3,005,721$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July $23 . . . . . . . . . . .$. | 785, 961 | 4,003,241 | 568, 550 | 936,021 | 392,844 | 420,057 | 1,768, 490 | 414,373 | 283,452 | 509,638 | 249,995 | 996,415 | 11,329,037 |
| July | 785, 210 | 4,005, 139 | 571,493 | 943,058 | 392, 551 | 423,755 | 1,766,344 |  |  |  | 252, 736 | 991,124 | 11,337,942 |
| Aug. ${ }^{\text {Aug. }} 13$ | 791,627 799,137 | 4, $4,026,071$ | - $\begin{aligned} & 580,620 \\ & 589,761\end{aligned}$ | 952,989 951,549 | ${ }^{390} 39368$ | 417,193 409,635 | 1,765,365 | 41,289 410,891 | 289, 294 | \| $\begin{aligned} & 512,983 \\ & 512,679\end{aligned}$ | - 254,137 | 975,468 968,361 | 11, $11,460,2176$ |
| Aug. 20. | 799,533 | 4, 4 , ${ }^{\text {a }}$, 214 | 596,597 | 952,680 | [393,093 | 426,085 | 1, 772,547 | 413,781 | 279,369 | 514,704 | 255,383 | ${ }_{971}, 914$ | 11,408, 905 |

Principal resources and liabilities of member banks in leading cities, including member banks located in Federal Reserve Bank cities and in Federal Reserve branch cities as at close of business on Fridays, from July 23 to Aug. 20, 1920-Contd.

1. ALL REPORTING MEMBER BANKS-Continued.
[In thousands of dollars.)

|  | Boston. | New York. | Phila-delphia- | Cleveland. | Richmond. | Atlanta. | Chicago. | St. Louis. | Minneapolis. | Kansas City. | Dallas. | San Fran- cisco. | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total loans and investments, including rediscounts with Federal Reserve Bank: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 23.............. | 1,082,137 | 6, 410,779 | 935, 148 | 1,485, 109 | 613,859 | 564,859 | 2,519,240 | 610,586 | 350,682 | 670, 843 | 348,631 | 1,308,859 | 16,900,731 |
| July 30 | 1,086,987 | 6,383, 663 | 939, 948 | 1, 487, 919 | 614,190 | 569,682 | 2,508, 063 | 609,394 | 351, 191 | 670,784 | 351,244 | 1,307, 207 | 16,883, 272 |
| Aug. 6 | 1,080,204 | 6,333,624 | 945, 538 | 1,500,277 | 614,117 | 563, 102 | 2,496,530 | 609,333 | 352, 363 | 672, 746 | 353, 019 | 1, 291,747 | 16, 812,800 |
| Aug. 13 | 1,084, 757 | 6,358, 187 | 956,704 | $1,493,597$ | 611,734 | 555, 241 | 2, 504, 160 | 608, 099 | 347, 283 | 671, 406 | 352,031 | 1, 285, 692 | 16,828,891 |
| Aug. $20 . \ldots$. | 1,093,207 | 6,352, 049 | 970,623 | 1, 497, 810 | 614,076 | 573, 194 | 2,509,158 | 612,236 | 347,156 | 675, 471 | 352,350 | 1,285, 210 | 16,882, 540 |
| Reserve balances with |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal Reserve Bank: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 23. | 85,622 | 655, 454 | 69,250 | 99,994 | 36,284 | 32,298 | 191, 670 | 41,196 | 21,842 | 47,618 | 25,133 | 81,660 | 1,388, 021 |
| July 30. | 83,029 | 647, 267 | 66,331 | 100,035 | 35, 387 | 30,988 | 189, 558 | 41, 555 | 21,328 | 44,044 | 24,570 | 84,567 | 1,368,659 |
| Aug. 6 | 80,845 | 657, 713 | 69,446 | 100,846 | 37,712 | 32, 181 | 187,994 | 38,455 | 20,923 | 44,338 | 24,476 | 79,877 | 1,374, 806 |
| Aug. 13 | 83,653 | 665,957 | 69,325 | 101,396 | 35,654 | 31, 215 | 196,230 | 41, 138 | 17, 431 | 42,401 | 24,611 | 83,220 | 1,392, 231 |
| Aug. 20.1 | 79,942 | 647, 564 | 65,996 | 100,327 | 37, 102 | 31,395 | 186, 162 | 42,213 | 20,393 | 44,811 | 24,647 | 81,949 | 1,362,501 |
| Casty $23 .$. | 23,973 | 118,478 | 16,343 | 33,879 | 16,952 | 12,871 | 65, 057 | 9,408 | 10,479 | 14,894 | 10,529 | 28,024 | 360,887 |
| July 30 | 22,406 | 115, 403 | 15,741 | 32,789 | 16,546 | 13,205 | 65, 632 | 9,095 | 9, 180 | 14,919 | 11,900 | 27,933 | 354, 749 |
| Aug. 6. | 23, 876 | 119, 007 | 15,779 | 32,729 | 16,505 | 13,167 | 66,097 | 9,578 | 9,528 | 14, 246 | 11,982 | 27,685 | 360, 179 |
| Aug. 13. | 23,567 | 115,456 | 16,921 | 30,924 | 16,229 | 12,947 | 65,095 | 9,390 | 9,317 | 14, 177 | 11,831 | 28,652 | 354,506 |
| Aug. 20............ | 24,292 | 110,851 | 16,920 | 32,460 | 16,027 | 13,278 | 66, 190 | 9,312 | 9,236 | 14,342 | 11,438 | 27,712 | 352,058 |
| on which reserve is computed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 23........... | 835,041 | 5, 165, 557 | 675,638 | 914,964 | 343, 827 | 265,752 | 1,416,365 | 319,459 | 213,172 | 416,012 | 224,770 | 636,544 | 11, 427, 101 |
| July 30. | 832,429 | 5, 170, 254 | 666,861 | 918,246 | 342, 066 | 263, 534 | 1,412, 934 | 318,248 | 209,915 | 412, 461 | 219, 866 | 632,024 | 11, 398, 838 |
| Aug. 6 | 824,478 | 15, 096,475 | 683,699 | 914, 096 | 343,554 | 263, 330 | 1,399, 1.27 | 318,020 | 210,097 | 408, 675 | 223, 014 | 627, 179 | 11, 311, 744 |
| Aug. 13 | 837, 274 | 15,078, 627 | 688,348 | 914, 849 | 343, 807 | 266, 121 | 1,423, 424 | 330,212 | 213,065 | 430, 113 | 228,156 | 637, 789 | 11, 391,785 |
| Aug. 20. | 817,044 | 5,025, 971 | 690, 632 | 921,699 | 338, 078 | 264,672 | 1, 387, 947 | 322,082 | 205,386 | 425, 163 | 225, 091 | 632,157 | 11, 255, 922 |
| Time deposits: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 30 | 140,322 | 426, 606 | 33, 290 | 364, 319 | 106, 704 | 150, 582 | 624,945 | 124, 364 | 64, 102 | 96, 631 | 54, 161 | 519,826 | 2, 705,852 |
| Aug. 6 | 140, 421 | 429, 595 | 33,514 | 365, 892 | 112, 770 | 150, 859 | 627, 257 | 124,764 | 63, 846 | 96,940 | 54, 245 | 521,521 | 2, 721, 624 |
| Aug. 13 | 140,940 | 435,537 | 36,966 | 367, 892 | 107, 079 | 151, 276 | 627, 814 | 124, 767 | 63,906 | 96,641 | 54, 635 | 520, 029 | 2, 727,482 |
| Aug. 20. | 140,909 | 435, 650 | 36,993 | 367, 292 | 107, 401 | 150,324 | 625,901 | 124, 263 | 64,081 | 97,639 | 54,968 | 518,407 | 2, 723, 828 |
| Government depo July 23. | 8,204 | 84, 466 | 9,222 | 7,433 | 987 | 1,727 | 12,135 | 3,461 | 138 | 5,962 | 461 | 8,080 | 142,276 |
| July 30. | 6,646 | 68, 424 | 7,462 | 5,952 | 820 | 1,501 | -9,798 | 2,747 | 245 | 4,718 | 371 | 6,603 | 115, 287 |
| Aug. 6 | 4,247 | 43,704 | 4,769 | 4,011 | 442 | 1,039 | 6,262 | 1, 750 | 157 | 3,020 | 235 | 4,510 | 74, 146 |
| Aug. 13. | 3, 800 | 39,319 | 4,291 | 3,624 | 583 | , 724 | 5,646 | 1,564 | 141 | 2,718 | 216 | 4,107 | 66,733 |
| Aug. $20 . . . . . . . . .$. | 12,931 | 71,976 | 9,326 | 12,160 | 2,600 | 3,065 | 14,703 | 3,609 | 689 | 4,940 | 1,416 | 7,859 | 145,274 |
| Bills payable with Fed- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secured by United States war obliga-tions- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 19,631 | 348, 217 | 55,748 | 30,729 | 33,810 | 32,362 | 99,223 | 20,896 | 4,685 | 26,329 | 16, 851 | 24,921 | 713,402 |
| July 30. | 22,619 | 336, 930 | 59,008 | 26,293 | 35, 142 | 32,602 | 98,588 | 21,964 | 5,471 | 26,975 | 17,156 | 26,064 | 708, 812 |
| Aug. 6 | 24,946 | 368,783 | 56,376 | 25,935 | 36,540 | 33,308 | 98,995 | 21, 689 | 4, 862 | 30,447 | 17,523 | 24,965 | 744,369 |
| Aug. 13. | 23,476 | 385, 707 | 56,193 | 35, 210 | 37,090 | 32,572 | 92,044 | 20,551 | 4,737 | 24, 794 | 17,555 | 27,787 | 757,716 |
| Aug. 20. | 33,452 | 367,980 | 53,464 | 33,074 | 36, 863 | 34, 146 | 97,992 | 22, 164 | 5,821 | 25,880 | 18,077 | 27, 273 | 756,186 |
| All other- |  |  |  | 36 | 150 | - 869 |  | 2,388 | 450 | 85 |  | 185 | 4,163 |
| July 30. |  |  |  | 36 | 495 | 826 |  |  | 450 | 85 | 145 | 85 | 2,122 |
| Aug. |  |  |  | 36 | 536 |  |  |  |  | 85 | 180 | 360 | 1,197 |
| Aug. 13 |  |  |  | 36 | 641 |  |  |  | 450 | 85 | 15 | 235 | 1,462 |
| Aug. 20........ |  |  |  | 142 | 1,086 |  |  |  | 450 | 85 |  | 85 | 1,848 |
| Bills rediscounted with |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secured by United States war obliga- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tions- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 23. | 21,019 | 125, 745 | 47,308 | 12,343 | 4,937 | 6, 801 | 16,635 | 10,940 | 2, 157 | 6,248 | 1,885 | 2,515 | 258,533 |
| July 30. Aug. 6. | 20,130 18,504 | 126,074 133,974 | 46,765 44,863 | 12,733 10,146 | 4,436 4,264 | 6,761 7,134 | 15,876 15,335 | 10,612 9,321 8 | 2,506 2,623 | 6,101 | 1,655 | 3,214 4,276 | 256,863 258,446 |
| Aug. 13 | 19,437 | 133, 977 | 44, 150 | 12,306 | 3, 759 | 7,119 | 18,187 | 8,739 | 2,073 | 6,094 | 1,778 | 2, 803 | 260,422 |
| Aug. 20 | 17,936 | 140, 523 | 46,784 | 12,154 | 3,906 | 7,349 | 17,799 | 9,289 | 1, 821 | 6,556 | 1,522 | 2,760 | 268,399 |
| All other- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 23. | 45,789 | 269, 421 | 31,647 | 47, 160 | 37,510 | 52,650 | 241, 988 | 68,989 | 43,900 | 59, 267 | 20,146 | 58,865 | -977,332 |
| July 30. | 37, ${ }^{37} 7818$ | 283,117 282,754 | 34,281 32,563 | 42,834 | 38,355 38,164 | 56,105 60,990 | 245,733 242,511 | 70,949 74,737 | 47,801 48,784 | 61,675 61,219 | 23,036 23,575 | 63,433 65,120 | $1,005,237$ $1,017,629$ |
| Aug. 6. Aug. 13 | 37,781 40,272 | 282, 754 | 32,563 | 49,431 45,476 | 38,164 37,046 | 60,990 58,331 | 242,511 238,318 | 74,737 65,871 | 48,784 42,300 | 61,219 53,670 | 23,575 22,587 | 65,120 62,182 | $1,017,629$ $1,030,414$ |
| Aug. 20. | 46,463 | 324,565 | 36,911 | 43,063 | 37,616 | 63,228 | 240,698 | 75, 741 | 48,981 | 57, 911 | 22,314 | 62,572 | 1,060, 063 |

Principal resources and liabilities of member banks in leading cities, including member banks located in Federal Reserve Bank cities and in Federal Reserve branch cities as at close of business on Fridays, from July 23 to Aug. 20, 1920—Continued.
2. MEMBER BANKS IN FEDERAL RESERVE BANK CITIES.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | Boston. | New York. | Phila-delphia. | Cleveland. | Richmond. | Atlanta. | Chicago. | St. Louis. | Minneapolis. | Kansas City. | Dallas. | San <br> Francisco. | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of reporting banks: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 23. | 22 | 72 | 41 | 12 | 9 | 8 | 50 | 13 | 9 | 20 | 7 | 15 | 278 |
| July 30. | 22 | 72 | 41 | 12 | 9 | 8 | 50 | 13 | 9 | 20 | 7 | 15 | 278 |
| Aug. 6. | 22 | 72 | 41 | 12 | 9 | 8 | 50 | 13 | 9 | 20 | 8 | 15 | 279 |
| Aug. 13 | 22 | 72 | 44 | 12 | 9 | 8 | 50 | 13 | 9 | 20 | 8 | 15 | 282 |
| Aug. 20. | 22 | 72 | 44 | 12 | 9 | 8 | 49 | 13 | 9 | 20 | 8 | 15 | 281 |
| United States bonds to secure circulation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 23. | 2, 281 | 36,961 | 7,337 | 3,664 | 2,782 | 3,100 | 1,438 | 10,295 | 2,791 | 4,958 | 4,560 | 16, 550 | 96,717 |
| July 30. | 2, 281 | 36,961 | 7,337 | 3,664 | 2,780 | 3,100 | 1,438 | 10,294 | 2,791 | 4,996 | 4,560 | 16,550 | 96,752 |
| Aug. 6. | 2, 281 | 36,886 | 7,337 | 3,664 | 2,780 | 3,100 | 1,438 | 10, 294 | 2,791 | 4,996 | 4,560 | 16,550 | 96,677 |
| Aug. 13 | 2,281 | 37,026 | 7,337 | 3,664 | 2,780 | 3,100 | 1,438 | 10,294 | 2,791 | 4,996 | 4,560 | 16,550 | 96, 817 |
| Aug. $20 . . . . . . . . . . . .$. | 2,281 | 37,053 | 7,337 | 3,664 | 2,780 | 3,100 | 1,438 | 10,294 | 2,791 | 4,996 | 4,560 | 16,650 | 96,944 |
| Other United States bonds, including Liberty bonds: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 23................. | 5,165 | 218,057 | 21, 740 | 7,499 | 4,706 | 1,651 | 22,412 | 4,762 | 1,934 | 8,205 | 5,815 | 38,457 | 340, 403 |
| July 30. | 5, 162 | 221, 852 | 21, 856 | 7,501 | 4,683 | 1, 654 | 21, 254 | 4,067 | 1,730 | 8,145 | 6,019 | 38, 811 | 342, 734 |
| Aug. 6. | 5, 135 | 226, 849 | 22, 234 | 7,481 | 4,683 | 1,536 | 20,984 | 4,948 | 1, 878 | 8,155 | 6,019 | 38, 813 | 348,715 |
| Aug. 13 | 5,116 | 221,530 | 23, 105 | 7,575 | 4,683 | 1,672 | 19,143 | 4,956 | 1,732 | 6,519 | 6,078 | 39,308 | 341, 417 |
| Aug. $20 . . .{ }^{\text {a }}$. | 5,110 | 220,898 | 21,634 | 7,566 | 4,683 | 1,545 | 19,907 | 4,879 | 1,720 | 8,559 | 6,095 | 37,152 | 339, 748 |
| United States Victory notes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,014 | 3,630 | 103,319 |
| July 30. | 401 | 73,381 | 6,397 | 2,240 | 195 | 186 | 12,355 | 548 | 181 | 2,450 | 812 | 4,102 | 103, 248 |
| Aug. 6. | 341 | 65,686 | 6,395 | 2,231 | 195 | 187 | 11,642 | 532 | 101 | 2,352 | 812 | 4,048 | 94, 522 |
| Aug. 13 | 324 | 71, 684 | 6,825 | 2,300 | 176 | 187 | 11, 629 | 498 | 181 | 2, 113 | 810 | 4,269 | 100,996. |
| Aug. 20 | 317 | 70,949 | 6,349 | 2,248 | 193 | 200 | 11,336 | 547 | 206 | 2,400 | 817 | 4,624 | 100, 186 |
| United States certificates of indebtedness: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 23. | 14,135 | 235, 130 | 24,505 | 4,555 | 1,245 | 1,196 | 24, 142 | 4,376 | 655 | 5,949 | 1,143 | 12,219 | 329, 250 |
| July 30. | 13,032 | 212, 480 | 23, 239 | 4,596 | 1,186 | 1,221 | 23, 728 | 5,158 | 640 | 5,116 | 1,691 | 13,109 | 305, 196 |
| Aug. 6. | 11,671 | 193, 101 | 21,869 | 4,343 | 1,181 | 1,221 | 22,510 | 3,906 | 487 | 4,620 | 1,616 | 12,869 | 279,394 |
| Aug. 13 | 11,196 | 188, 547 | 20,301 | 4,168 | 1,147 | 1,272 | 21,644 | 3,790 | 507 | 4,597 | 1,589 | 12,708 | 271,466 |
| Aug. 20 | 14,631 | 205,276 | 22,429 | 4,644 | 1,006 | 1,221 | 22, 637 | 4,319 | 596 | 3,647 | 2,332 | 14,600 | 297,338 |
| Total United States securities owned: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 23. | 21,909 | 563,828 | 60,010 | 17,918 | 8,928 | 6,133 | 60,481 | 19,953 | 5,561 | 21,580 | 12,532 | 70, 856 | 869,689 |
| July 30 | 20,876 | 544, 674 | 58,829 | 18,001 | 8,844 | 6,161 | 58,775 | 20,067 | 5,342 | 20,707 | 13,082 | 72,572 | 847,930 |
| Aug. 6. | 19,428 | 522, 522 | 57, 835 | 17,719 | 8,839 | 6,044 | 56,574 | 19,680 | 5,257 | 20,123 | 13,007 | 72, 280 | 819,308 |
| Aug. 13. | 18,917 | 518,787 | 57,568 | 17,707 | 8,786 | 6,231 | 53, 854 | 19,538 | 5,211 | 18,225 | 13,037 | 72,835 | 810, 696 |
| Aug. 20. | 22,339 | 534,176 | 57,749 | 18, 122 . | 8,662 | 6,066 | 55,318. | 20,039 | 5,313 | 19,602 | 13,804 | 73,026 | 834, 216 |
| Loans secured by Government war obligations, including rediscounts with Federal Reserve Bank: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 23 ................... | 43,930 | 439,555 | 85,251 | 20,887 | 9, 527 | 6,281 | 72, 720 | 21,967 | 9,264 | 11,562 | 2,709 | 16,477 | 740,130 |
| July 30. | 43,991 | 445, 829 | 85,059 | 20,637 | 9,520 | 5,695 | 66,144 | 21, 740 | 9,402 | 11,507 | 2,830 | 18,190 | 740, 544 |
| Aug. 6. | 42,891 | 442,224 | 85, 194 | 20,657 | 9,214 | 5,525 | 65,866 | 20,493 | 9,038 | 11, 590 | 2,646 | 17, 812 | 733, 150 |
| Aug. 13 | 43,562 | 430,989 | 84,220 | 20, 806 | 9, 135 | 5,147 | 67, 695 | 20,698 | 9,071 | 12, 248 | 2,578 | 16, 197 | 722, 346 |
| Aug. 20. | 40,793 | 439, 493 | 84,077 | 20,543 | 9,013 | 5,155 | 64,124 | 19,803 | 9,165 | 12, 156 | 2,593 | 15,617 | 722, 532 |
| Loans secured by stocks and bonds, other than United States securities. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 23. | 141,971 | 1,146,701 | 182,075 | 117,043 | 14,813 | 6,428 | 341, 531 | 84,543 | 12,729 | 34,021 | 9,986 | 70,285 | 2,162,126 |
| July 30. | 149, 677 | 1,130,519 | 185,091 | 112, 215 | 14,976 | 6, 462 | 346, 209 | 88,915 | 13, 050 | 34, 151 | 9,870 | 68, 429 | 2,159,564 |
| Ang. 6. | 138, 511 | 1,094, 253 | 183,002 | 115, 276 | 15, 195 | 6, 533 | 342,036 | 88,751 | 13,195 | 34, 586 | 9,788 | 70, 112 | 2,111, 238 |
| Ang. 13 | 135, 624 | 1,085, 346 | 186, 500 | 108, 990 | 15, 292 | 6,572 | 339,807 | 87,598 | 13, 134 | 34,468 | 9,762 | 69,756 | 2,092,849 |
| Aug. 20 | 140,583 | 1,087,910 | 193, 254 | 109, 035 | 15,181 | 6,571 | 341.082 | 88.691 | 12,820 | 34,405 | 9,657 | 69, 109 | 2,108, 298 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 30. | 568,544 | 3, 534, 016 | 497, 745 500,893 | 297,415 | 75,426 | 74,021 74,797 | 1,054, 645 | 287, 308 | 136,802 137,571 | 178,896 179,216 | 58,724 59 | 498,726 | 7,262,268 |
| Aug. 6 | 570,450 | 3, 548, 801 | 510,716 | 302,068 | 75,180 | 72, 621 | 1,053, 954 | 282, 266 | 137, 237 | 181,338 | 62,270 | 476, 131 | 7, 273,032 |
| Aug. 13 | 577, 146 | 3, 582, 874 | [520, 899 | 302, 671 | 74,007 | 71, 004 | 1,066, 044 | 281,360 | 135, 245 | 181, 651 | 62, 567 | 468, 920 | 7,324,388 |
| Aug. 20. | 576,658 | 3, 559,884 | 527,310 | 305,376 | 75,280 | 73,354 | 1,067,727 | 283, 807 | 132, 544 | 182, 529 | 63,687 | 476,273 | 7,324,429 |
| Total loans and investments, including rediscounts with Federal Reserve Bank: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 23..................... | 776,354 | 5, 684, 100 | 825,081 | 453, 263 | 108, 694 | 92, 863 | 1,529, 377 | 413, 771 | 164,356 | 246, 059 | 83, 951 | 656, 344 | 11, 034, 213 |
| July 30. | 778,036 | 5, 653,298 | 829, 872 | 452, 730 | 109,001 | 93, 115 | 1,526, 769 | 410, 856 | 165, 365 | 245,581 | 85, 736 | 650,540 | 11,009, 899 |
| Aug. 6. | 771, 280 | 5, 607, 800 | 836,747 | 455, 720 | 108, 428 | 90,723 | 1, 518, 430 | 411, 190 | 164, 727 | 247, 637 | 87, 711 | 636,335 | 10, 936,728 |
| Aug. 13. | 775,249 | 5, 617, 996 | 849,187 | 450, 174 | 107, 220 | 88,954 | 1, 527, 400 | 409, 194 | 162, 661 | 246,592 | 87, 944 | 627,708 | 10, 950,279 |
| Aug. 20................... | 780,373 | 5, 621, 463 | 862,390 | 453, 076 | 108, 136 | 91, 146 | 1, 528, 251 | 412, 340 | 159, 842 | 248,692 | 89, 741 | 634,025 | 10,989,475 |
| Reserve balances with Federal Reserve Bank: |  | , 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 23. | 68,631 | 610,846 | 61,750 | 25,461 | 5,718 | 6,153 | 136, 552 | 31,216 | 10,334 | 16,115 | 6,612 | 38, 178 | 1,017,566 |
| July 30. | 67,244 | 606,317 | 59, 267 | 28, 252 | 5,391 | 5,904 | 135,921 | 31,179 | 9,766 | 12,754 | 6,921 | 42,304 | 1,011,220 |
| Aug. 6. | 64, 140 | 615,224 | 62, 971 | 27,386 | 6,379 | 5,018 | 133,079 | 28,501 | 9,765 | 14,000 | 7,106 | 36, 583 | 1,010,152 |
| Aug. 13 | 66,615 | 624, 805 | 61,900 | 29, 250 | 5,510 | 5,935 | 138,356 | 30,316 | 6,059 | 12,784 | 7,148 | 38,717 | 1,027,395 |
| Aug. 20. | 64,446 | 600, 719 | 60, 198 | 27, 530 | 6,172 | 5,925 | 132,179 | 31, 225 | 9,939 | 14,777 | 6,919 | 38, 165 | 1998, 194 |

Principal resources and liabilities of member banks in leading cities, including member banks located in Federal Reserve Bank cities and in Federal Reserve branch cities as at close of business on Fridays, from July 23 to Aug. 20, 1920—Continued.
2. MEMBER BANKS IN FEDERAL RESERVE BANK CITIES-Continued.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bos- } \\ & \text { ton. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { New } \\ & \text { York. } \end{aligned}$ | Phila-delphia. | Cleveland | Richmond. | Atlanta. | Chicago. | $\xrightarrow[\text { Souis. }]{\text { St. }}$ | Minneapolis. | Kansas City. | Dallas. | San <br> Francisco. | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash in vault: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 23. | 13,940 | 104,555 | 13, 061 | 8,609 | 1,651 | 2,107 | 36,185 | 4,900 | 3,405 | 3,778 | 1,745 | 11,119 | 205, 055 |
| July ${ }^{30}$ | 13,349 13,893 | 102,579 | 12,317 | 8, 209 | 1,684 $\mathbf{1 , 6 9 9}$ | 2,369 2,175 | 36,256 36,963 | + ${ }_{5}^{4,797}$ | $\xrightarrow{2,922}$ | 4,084 | 2,006 1,942 | 10,578 10,390 | 201, 150 |
| Aug. ${ }^{\text {Ang. }}$ | 13,860 | 104,913 102,250 | 12,462 | 8,909 8,740 | 1,699 | $\stackrel{2}{2,175}$ | 36,963 36,099 | 5,003 | 2,763 2,981 | 3,873 | $\xrightarrow{1,942}$ | 10, 10.250 | 204,998 202,444 |
| Aug. 20 | 14,493 | 97, 552 | 12,976 | 8,743 | 1,475 | 2,328 | 36,474 | 4,808 | 2,871 | 3,750 | 1,937 | 10,335 | 197,742 |
| Net demand deposits on which reserve is computed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 23. | 644,487 | 4,653,613 | [586,992 | 227,347 | 54,223 | 41,870 | 974,303 | 225, 111 | 91,580 | 144,320 | 61, 892 | 299,796 | 8,005,534 |
| Jaty 30. | 644,430 | 4, 664, 731 | 577, 891 | 229,968 | 53,308 | 42, 194 | 972,089 | 225, 307 | 91, 234 | 140, 940 | 62, 370 | 301, 825 | 8,006, 287 |
| Aug. 6. | 836, 181 | 4, 603, 319 | 595,218 | 230,364 | 50,781 | 42, 156 | 957,209 969349 | 222, 491 | 91, 156 | 140,566 | 64, 484 | 299,555 | 7,933,480 |
| Aug. 13 | 646,804 | 4, 578, 440 | - 599,009 | - $\begin{aligned} & 231,233 \\ & 229\end{aligned}$ | 51, 859 | 44,832 42,530 | -969, ${ }_{945} \mathbf{3 4 9}$ | ${ }_{225,254}^{23,}$ | 90,360 87,892 | 150, 15005 | ${ }_{65,333}^{67}$ | 301, 326 | 7,964, 722 |
| Aug. 20...) | 630, 173 | 4, 527,824 | 602,906 | 229, 338 | 51,971 | 42,530 | 945,639 | 225, 760 | 87,892 | 150, 462 | 65, 333 | 301, 726 | 7,861,754 |
| July 23.. | 43,783 | 301, 623 | 23,487 | 171,358 | 21,304 | 21,342 | 282, 640 | 73,936 | ${ }^{23,138}$ | 19,137 | 5,048 | 245,674 | 1,232,470 |
| July 30. | 43, 478 | 301, 766 | 23,287 | 171, 552 | 21,327 | 21,311 | 282,679 | 74,097 | 22,967 | 11,647 | 5,094 | 245,368 | 1,224, 573 |
| Aug. 6 | 42,568 | 300, 362 | 23,504 | 172, 430 | 21,390 | 21,307 | 283,811 | 74, 290 | 22,921 | 11, 625 | 5,121 | 245,933 | 1,225, 262 |
| Aug. 13 | 42,819 | 307, 727 | 26,925 | 173, 714 | 21,371 | ${ }^{21,163}$ | 285,056 | 74, 452 | 22,903 | 11,605 | 5,151 | 244,996 | 1, ${ }^{2371}, 811$ |
| Aug. $20.7 . . . . . . . ~$ | 42,930 | 305,843 | 26,934 | 173,725 | 21,393 | 21, 100 | 283,332 | 74, 141 | 23, 144 | 11,597 | 5,204 | 242,468 | 1,231,811 |
| July 23.... | 6,057 | 81,009 | 9,192 | 952 | 356 | 64 | 7,976 | 3,258 | 97 | 5,164 | 301 | 7,223 | 121,649 |
| July 30 | 4,906 | 65, 624 | 7,438 | 797 | 310 | 51 | 6,435 | 2,583 | 243 | 4,075 | 242 | 5,929 | 98, 633 |
| Aug. 6. | 3,141 | 41, 896 | 4,754 | 501 | 147 | 32 | 4,119 | 1,644 | 156 | 2,607 | 154 | 4, 120 | ${ }^{63,} 271$ |
| Aug. 13 | 2, 813 | 37,707 | 4,278 | 455 | 186 | 29 | 3,713 | 1,470 | 140 | 2,345 | 138 | 3,707 | 56,981 |
| Aug. 20 | 6,891 | 68, 464 | 9,093 | 2,480 | 323 | 31 | 7,566 | 3,140 | 80 | 4,397 | 1,299 | 6,248 | 110,012 |
| Bills payable with Federal Reserve Bank: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secured by United States war obligations- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 23........ | 18,134 | 311,606 300 | 51,025 | ${ }^{6,704}$ | 4,769 | 1,697 | 37,612 | 14, 812 | 393 343 | 15, 104 | ${ }_{2}^{2,703}$ | 12,551 | 477,140 472,973 |
| July 30. Aug. 6. | 20, 877 22,154 | 300,999 331,149 | 54,585 52,915 | 5,526 5,265 | 5,878 | 1,747 1,847 | 36,687 <br> 33,628 | 14,373 | 343 271 | 14,538 | - ${ }^{2,753}$ | 13,720 12,833 | 472,973 502,328 |
| Aug. 13 | 20,929 | 346, 848 | 52,083 | 6,989 | 7,252 | 1,797 | 31,819 | 13, 189 | 296 | 12,487 | 3,454 | 14,830 | 511,973 |
| Aug. 20. | 30,815 | 328,951 | 50, 160 | 5,285 | 7,725 | 1,797 | 34,034 | 14, 333 | 380 | 13,170 | 3,873 | 14,180 | 505,203 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { All other- } \\ & \text { July } 23 . \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 150 |
| July 30. |  |  |  |  | 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 150 |
| Aug. 6 |  |  |  |  | 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 150 |
| Aug. 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aug. 20. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bills rediscounted with Federal Reserve Rank: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secured by United States war obligations-- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| war obligations- |  | 120, 263 |  |  | 226 |  |  |  |  |  | 473 |  | 214,678 |
| July 30. | 19,523 | 120, 890 | 46,072 | 3,821 | 193 | 1,201 | 8,684 | 5,874 | 1,762 | 3,573 | 602 | 1,764 | 213,899 |
| Aug. 6. | 17,904 | 128,915 | 44,372 | 3,969 | 98 | 1,035 | 7,975 | 4,588 | 1,503 | 3,662 | 483 | 3,171 | ${ }^{217}$,675 |
| Aug. 13. | 18,811 | 129,870 | 43,661 | 3,739 | 46 | 843 | 9,605 | 4,253 | 1,372 | 3,708 | 422 | 1,780 | 218, 110 |
| Aug. 20 | 17,323 | 136,356 | 46,296 | 3,872 | 14 | 773 | 9,209 | 4,649 | 1,363 | 3,744 | 416 | 1,546 | 225, 561 |
| All ${ }_{\text {Juther }}^{\text {July }} \mathbf{2 3}$ | 44,950 | 252,218 | 29,925 | 35,526 | 9,966 | 10,519 | 169,092 | 53,913 | 32,632 | 29,891 | 4,995 | 28,516 | 702,143 |
| July 30. | 36,956 | 261, 925 | 32, 278 | 34, 127 | 9,920 | 11, 160 | 174, 325 | 53,027 | 32, 576 | 29,567 | 6,359 | 33, 254 | 715,474 |
| Aug. Ang. 13 | 38,772 | 262,421 304,175 | $\xrightarrow{30,910}$ | 34,350 35,064 | 11,364 10,245 | 11, 625 | 171,327 172,798 | 58,978 51,417 |  | ${ }_{25,211}^{29,}$ | $\xrightarrow{6,675}$ | 33,532 31,398 | 719,464 749,890 |
| Aug. 20 | 45, 808 | 301, 571 | 34,997 | 33,052 | 10,335 | 12, 407 | 180, 037 | 59,391 | 32,353 | 26,951 | 6,457 | 32,161 | 775,520 |

Principal resources and liabilities of member banks in leading cities, including member banks located in Federal Reserve Bank cities and in Federal Reserve branch cities as at close of business on Fridays, from July 23 to Aug. 20, 1920-Contd.
3. MEMBER BANKS IN FEDERAL RESERVE BRANCH CITIES.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | $\underset{\text { Nistrict. }{ }^{\text {New }}}{\substack{\text { York }}}$ | Cleveland District. ${ }^{2}$ | Richmond District. ${ }^{3}$ | Atlanta District. ${ }^{4}$ | Chicago District. ${ }^{5}$ | St. Louis District. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Kansas } \\ \text { City } \\ \text { District. }{ }^{7} \end{gathered}$ | Dallas District. ${ }^{8}$ | San Francisco District. ${ }^{-}$ | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of reporting banks: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 23. | 10 | 40 | 19 | 24 | 12 | 18 | 19 | 12 | 44 | 198 |
| July 30 | 10 | 40 | 19 | 24 | 12 | 18 | 19 | 12 | 44 | 198 |
| Aug. 6. | 10 | 40 | 19 | 24 | 12 | 18 | 19 | 12 | 44 | 198 |
| Aug. 13. | 10 | 40 | 19 | 24 | 12 | 18 | 19 | 12 | 44 | 198 |
| Aug. 20. | 10 | 40 | 19 | 24 | 12 | 18 | 19 | 13 | 44 | 199 |
| United States bonds to secure circulation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 23 | 1,599 | 25,117 | 5,608 | 6,930 | 1,905 | 5,280 | 4,387 | 7,108 | 13, 185 | 71, 119 |
| July 30 | 1,599 | 25,117 25,117 | 5,608 5,608 | 7,005 | 1,905 | 5,280 5,280 | 4,387 4,387 | 7,108 | 13,185 13,185 | 71,194 71,194 |
| Aug. 6. Aug. 13 | 1,599 | 25,117 25,156 | 5,608 5,608 | 7,005 | 1,905 | 5,280 5,280 | 4,387 4,387 | 7,108 | 13,185 13,185 | 71,194 71 |
| Aug. 20................................ | 1,599 | 25,156 | 5,608 | 6,930 | 1,905 | 5,280 | 4,387 | 7,108 | 13,185 | 71, 158 |
| Other United States bonds, including Liberty bonds: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 23......................... | 11,439 | 40, 247 | 9,161 | 22,254 | 17,528 | 7,651 | 5,830 | 7,228 | 21,399 | 142,737 |
| July 30 | 11,393 | 39, 981 | 9,242 | 22,870 | 17, 571 | 7,674 | 5,555 | 7,248 | 21,341 | 142,875 |
| Aug. 6. | 11,510 | 40, 844 | 9, 334 | 22,538 | 17,560 | 7,643 | 5,883 | 7,193 | 21,552 | 144,057 |
| Aug. 13. | 11,550 | 40,390 | 9,269 | 22, 375 | 17, 561 | 7,814 | 6,291 | 7,194 | 21,730 | 144, 174 |
| Aug. 20. | 11,577 | 40,587 | 9,273 | 22,622 | 17,511 | 7,886 | 6,108 | 7,270 | 22,118 | 144,952 |
| United States | 1,886 | 13,957 | 2,846 | 2,917 | 18,563 | 2,028 | 586 | 1,200 | 7,565 | 51,548 |
| July 30. | 1,848 | 14. 105 | 2,837 | 2,804 | 18, 523 | 2,043 | 620 | 1,195 | 7,381 | 51,356 |
| Aug. 6. | 1,829 | 14,187 | 2,837 | 2,886 | 18, 520 | 2,020 | 636 | 1,190 | 7,400 | 51,505 |
| Aug. 13. | 1,828 | 14,125 | 2,836 | 2,916 | 18,515 | 2,079 | 657 | 1,188 | 7,365 | 51,509 |
| Aug. 20. | 1,839 | 14,109 | 2,837 | 2,916 | 18,431 | 2,077 | 683 | 1,188 | 7,424 | 51,504 |
| United States certificates of indebtedness: July $23 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 12,546 | 15,034 | 2,124 | 6,446 | 27,691 | 1,220 | 3,677 | 1,679 | 12,428 | 82,845 |
| July 30 | 12,279 | 13,587 | 2,117 | 7,132 | 27, 133 | 1,110 | 3,677 | 1,679 | 12,256 | 80, 970 |
| Aug. 6 | 12,184 | 12,573 | 1,856 | 6,975 | 23, 295 | 1,090 | 3,677 | 1,679 | 11,855 | 75,184 |
| Aug. 13. | 12,156 | 12,704 | 1, 796 | 7,230 | 23,505 | 1,116 | 3,671 | 1,679 | 12,006 | 75, 863 |
| Aug. 20. ............................... | 13,036 | 16,249 | 1,109 | 8,840 | 33,030 | 1,378 | 3,695 | 1,726 | 13,028 | 92,091 |
| Total United States securities owned: | 27,470 | 94,355 | 19,739 | 38,547 | 65, 687 | 16,179 | 14,480 | 17,215 | 54,577 | 348,249 |
| July 30 | 27,119 | 92,790 | 19,804 | 39,811 | 65,132 | 16,107 | 14,239 | 17, 230 | 54, 163 | 346,395 |
| Aug. 6. | 27,122 | 92, 221 | 19,635 | 39,404 | 61,280 | 16,033 | 14,583 | 17, 170 | 53,992 | 341,940 |
| Aug. 13. | 27, 133 | 92,375 | 19,509 | 39,451 | 61,486 | 16,289 | 15, 1006 | 17,169 | 54,286 | 342,704 |
| Aug. 20. | 28, 051 | 96,101 | 18,827 | 41,308 | 70,877 | 16,621 | 14,873 | 17,292 | 55, 755 | 359,705 |
| Loans secured by Government war obligations, including rediscounts with Federal Reserve Bank: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 23. | 12, 221 | 41,844 | 9, 133 | 16,565 | 19,563 | 11,972 | 9,058 | 2,926 | 15,930 | 139,212 |
| July 30 | 13, 517 | 43,342 | 8,911 | 17,386 | 18,518 | 11, 583 | 8,642 | 2,918 | 16,964 | 141,781 |
| Aug. 6. | 11, 888 | 42,305 | 8,909 | 18,008 | 18,817 | 11, 445 | 8,773 | 2, 879 | 16,176 | -139,200 |
| Aug. 13 | 11,575 | 43,931 | 8,957 | 17,822 | 20,530 | 11, 195 | 8,887 | 2,899 | 16,558 | 142,354 |
| Aug. 20.................... | 11, 253 | 43,688 | 9,128 | 18,075 | 17,052 | 11,400 | 9,108 | 2,963 | 16,546 | 139,213 |
| Loans securd than United States securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 23. | 52,518 | 152,756 | 32,631 | 40,925 | 57,984 | 34,939 | 22,313 | 16,539 | 68, 941 | 479,496 |
| July 30 | 51,793 | 155, 123 | 32,328 | 40,572 | 58,229 | 35, 420 | 21,858 | 16,392 | 70, 137 | 481, 852 |
| Aug. 6. | 52, 794 | 154, 474 | 32,142 | 40,377 | 59,999 | 35,047 | 21,901 | 16,438 | 67,522 | 480, 694 |
| Aug. 13. | 53,335 | 155, 218 | 32, 336 | 39,581 | 59,175 | 35, 294 | 21, 296 | 16,445 | 70,052 | 482,732 |
| Aug. 20..........-........... | 53,736 | 154,109 | 33,466 | 40,142 | 59,675 | 35,271 | 21,657 | 16,574 | 65,035 | 479,665 |
| All other loans and investments, including rediscounts with Federal Reserve Bank: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 23. | 181,453 | 469,067 | 122,891 | 259,751 | 342,823 | 111,508 | 159,950 | 78,747 | 460,336 | 2,186,526 |
| July 30. | 183,821 | 469,126 | 123,619 | 263,789 | 340,794 | 113,432 | 161,656 | 79,610 | 462,487 | 2,198,334 |
| Aug. 6. | 181, 778 | 478, 202 | 123, 762 | 259,051 | 340, 210 | 113,334 | 162,428 | 78, 248 | 465, 630 | 2,202,643 |
| Aug. 13. | 182,415 | 476,372 | 123,459 | 254, 566 | 343,651 | 113,908 | 159,142 | 78,342 | 463,888 | 2,195,743 |
| Aug. 20.... <br> Total loans and tnvestments, including | 182,934 | 475,962 | 123,431 | 265,508 | 339,442 | 113,841 | 160,302 | 77,779 | 461,434 | 2,200,633 |
| Total loans and tnvestments, including rediscounts with Federal Reserve Bank: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 23. | 273,662 | 758,022 | 184, 394 | 355,788 | 486,007 | 174,598 | 205, 801 | 115,427 | 599,784 | 3,153,483 |
| July 30. | 276, 250 | 760,381 | 184, 662 | 361,558 | 482, 673 | 176,542 | 206, 395 | 116, 150 | 603, 751 | 3,168,362 |
| Aug. 6. | 273,582 | 767, 702 | 184, 448 | 356,840 | 480, 306 | 175,859 | 207,685 | 114,735 | 603, 320 | 3,164,477 |
| Aug. 13. | 274,458 | 767,896 | 184, 261 | 351,420 | 484,842 | 176,686 | 204,331 | 114,855 | 604,784 | 3,163,533 |
| Aug. 20. <br> Reserve balances with Federal Reservo | 275,974 | 769,860 | 184,852 | 365,033 | 487,046 | 177, 133 | 205,940 | 114,608 | 598,770 | 3,179,216 |
| Reserve balances with Federal Reserve Bank: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 23. | 16,839 | 56,641 | 13,166 | 20,299 | 29,303 | 9,322 | 13,981 | 8,261 | 40,235 | 208,047 |
| July 30. | 15,598 | 54,698 | 12,678 | 19,316 | 26,860 | 9,301 | 13,763 | 7,943 | 39,087 | 199,244 |
| Aug. $6 .$. | 17,444 | 55,347 | 13,745 | 20,071 | 28,561 | 9,272 | 13,952 | 7,735 | 39,759 | 205,886 |
| Aug. 13. | 15, 233 | 54,429 | 12,793 | 19,386 | 30,432 | 9,994 | 12,814 | 7,740 | 40,857 | 203,678 |
| Aug. 20.................................. | 18,249 | 55,613 | 13,128 | 19,258 | 27,797 | 9,902 | 14,066 | 7,610 | 40,278 | 205,901 |

[^7]${ }^{6}$ Louisville, Memphis, and Little Rock.
7 Omaha and Denver.
${ }^{8}$ Spokane, Portland, Salt Lake City, Los Angeles, and Seattle.

Principal resources and liabilities of member banks in leading cities, including member banks located in Federal Reserve Bank cities and in Federal Reserve branch cities as at close of business on Fridays, from July 23 to Aug. 20, 1920-Contd.
3. MEMBER BANKS IN FEDERAL RESERVE BRANCH CITIES-Continued.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | New <br> York District. | Cleveland District. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rich- } \\ \text { mond } \\ \text { District. } \end{gathered}$ | Atlanta District. | Chicago District. | St. Louis District. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Kansas } \\ \text { Cisty } \\ \text { District. } \end{gathered}$ | Dallas District. | San Francisco District. | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash in vault: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 23... | 3,558 | 14,805 | 5,513 | 6,970 | 12,889 | 3,600 | 5,256 | 2,936 | 15, 263 | 70,790 |
| July 30 | 3,005 | 14,506 | 5,305 | 7,022 | 13,528 | 3,472 | 5,182 | 3,305 | 15, 781 | 71,106 |
| Aug. 6. | 3,432 | 13, 892 | 5,178 | 7,016 | 13,465 | 3,736 | 5,148 | 3,154 | 15,697 | 70,718 |
| Aug. 13 | 3, 114 | 12,878 | 5, 106 | 6,787 | 13, 526 | 3,651 | 5,187 | 3,283 | 16,660 | 70, 192 |
| Aug. 20............................. | 3,223 | 13,835 | 4,870 | 7,256 | 14,643 | 3,595 | 5,042 | 3,102 | 15,617 | 71,183 |
| Net demand deposits on which reserve is computed: | 171,103 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 23................................. | 171,103 | 512,614 | 113, 017 | 173, 057 | 201,660 | 83, 815 | 117,574 | 67,120 | 305, 340 | 1,745,300 |
| July 30. | 171,952 | 514,887 | 113, 552 | 172,403 | 202, 283 | 82,679 | 119,299 | 64,111 | 298,931 | 1,740,097 |
| Aug. 6 | 166, 593 | 510,160 | 116,482 | 170, 108 | 202,676 | 85,511 | 117,446 | 63,364 | 296, 282 | 1,728,622 |
| Aug. 13. | 168,593 | 507,870 | 113,455 | 170,699 | 212,929 | 87,696 | 122,282 | 63,590 | 303, 637 | 1,750,751 |
| Aug. 20.... | 166,889 | 517,088 | 112,215 | 171,152 | 202,770 | 85, 221 | 121,927 | 65, 449 | 299, 681 | 1, 742, 392 |
| Time deposits: <br> July 23 .. | 54, 586 | 116,702 | 20,099 | 90, 266 | 224, 230 | 40,880 | 54,472 | 21,967 | 261,236 | 884,438 |
| July 30 | 56,233 | 116,925 | 20,501 | 90, 128 | 224, 466 | 40,975 | 49,376 | 21,804 | 260, 835 | 881,243 |
| Aug. 6. | 60, 619 | 117,017 | 26, 190 | 90,313 | 224, 798 | 41,134 | 49,666 | 21, 786 | 261, 919 | 893, 442 |
| Aug. 13. | 60, 832 | 117, 604 | 20,543 | 90,756 | 224,149 | 40,959 | 49,383 | 21,973 | 261, 528 | 887,727 |
| Aug. 20. | 60,851 | 116,678 | 20,615 | 89, 867 | 223, 972 | 40,947 | 49,710 | 22,136 | 261,808 | 886, 584 |
| Government depasits: |  |  |  |  |  | , | -10 | 22,130 | 21,808 | 88, |
| July 23. | 1,947 | 5,699 | 290 | 1,635 | 711 | 203 | 676 | 150 | 820 | 12,131 |
| July 30 | 1,577 | 4,498 | 234 | 1,427 | 575 | 164 | 546 | 121 | 644 | 9,786 |
| Aug. 6. | 1,026 | 3,067 | 118 | 993 | 367 | 106 | 350 | 76 | 390 | 6,493 |
| Aug. 13. | 907 | 2,768 | 105 | 682 | 331 | 94 | 316 | 74 | 383 | 5,660 |
| Aug. 20. | 1,530 | 9,098 | 427 | 2,905 | 4,311 | 469 | 293 | 114 | 1,068 | 20,215 |
| Bills payable with Federal Reserve Bank: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secured by United States war obligations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 23. | 21,585 | 21,915 | 9,970 | 25,616 | 38,299 | 5,752 | 5,670 | 7,428 | 11,110 | 147,345 |
| July 30 | 20,681 | 18,110 | 9,892 | 25,650 | 37,421 | 6,268 | 6,776 | 7,333 | 11,103 | 143,234 |
| Aug. 6. | 21,274 | 18,554 | 9,775 | 26,081 | 40,175 | 6,844 | 7,449 | 6,853 | 10,890 | 147,895 |
| Aug. 13. | 20,397 | 25,548 | 10,544 | 25,451 | 36, 835 | 6,986 | 6,754 | 6,609 | 11,715 | 150, 839 |
| ${ }^{\text {All }}$ Aug. 20. | 21,350 | 24,806 | 9,487 | 26,817 | 39,817 | 6,755 | 6,760 | 6,909 | 11,585 | 154,286 |
| All other July 23 |  |  |  | 869 |  | 2,388 | 85 |  | 185 | - 3,527 |
| July 30. |  |  |  | 826 |  | 2,388 | 85 |  | +85 | 3, 996 |
| Aug. 6. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 85 |  | 360 | 445 |
| Aug. 13. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 85 |  | 235 | 320 |
| Aug. 20. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 85 |  | 85 | 170 |
| Bills rediscounted with Federal Reserve |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secured by United States war obli-gations- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 23. | 3,378 | 6,712 | 2,406 | 4,369 | 4,352 | 4,847 | 1,218 | 556 | 1,152 | 28,990 |
| July 30. | 3,310 | 7,662 | 2,090 | 4,582 | 3,974 | 4,403 | 1,172 | 593 | 1,376 | 29,162 |
| Aug. 6. | 3,193 | 4,860 | 2, 103 | 4,983 | 4,301 | 4,411 | 1,293 | 615 | 1,026 | 26,785 |
| Aug. 13. | 2,528 | 7,675 | 1,902 | 5,223 | 5,750 | 4,166 | 1,184 | 596 | 944 | 29,968 |
| All Aug. 20. | 2,683 | 7,617 | 2,169 | 5,627 | 5,965 | 4,326 | 1,456 | 606 | 1,135 | 31,584 |
| July 23. | 7,768 | 6,505 | 14,478 | 33,029 | 17,253 | 14,061 | 16,990 | 8,376 | 25,562 | 144,022 |
| July 30 | 8,829 | 4,067 | 15,025 | 34,857 | 14, 836 | 16,712 | 17,616 | 9,293 | 25,158 | 146,393 |
| Aug. 6. | 7,257 | 10,115 | 14,009 | 39,713 | 16,503 | 14,709 | 17,747 | 9,502 | 26,548 | 156,103 |
| Aug. 13. | 6,940 | 5,089 | 14,342 | 38,922 | 14,984 | 13,570 | 13,755 | 8,947 | 25, 971 | 142,520 |
| Aug. 20. | 8,394 | 4,465 | 14,162 | 42,194 | 9,341 | 15,445 | 15,950 | 8,249 | 25,313 | 143,513 |

## IMPORTS AND EXPORTS OF GOLD AND SILVER.

Gold imports into and exports from the United States, distributed by countries.

|  | Imports. |  |  |  |  |  | Exports. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { During } \\ & \text { 10 days } \\ & \text { ending } \\ & \text { July } 20, \\ & 1920 \text {. } \end{aligned}$ | During <br> 11 days <br> ending <br> July 31, <br> 1920. | $\begin{gathered} \text { During } \\ \text { month } \\ \text { of July, } \\ \text { 1920. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { During } \\ \text { 10 days } \\ \text { ending } \\ \text { Aug. } 10, \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { From } \\ & \text { Jan. } 1 \text { to } \\ & \text { Aug. } 10, \\ & 1919 . \end{aligned}$ | During 10 days ending Julv 20, 1920 . | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { During } \\ \text { 11 days } \\ \text { ending } \\ \text { Juli 31, } \\ 1920 . \end{array}$ | During month of $\mathrm{Fuly}^{1920 .}$ 1920. | During 10 days ending 1920. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { From } \\ & \text { Jan. 1to } \\ & \text { Aug. 10, } \\ & 1920 . \end{aligned}$ | From Aug. 10 , 1919. |
| Belgium. |  |  |  |  | \$335,906 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denmark |  | \$33,139 | 49,724 | 8676,505 | 1,420, 584 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\$ 2,666$ 03,423 |
| Germany |  |  | , | , | 1, |  |  |  |  |  | \$10,000 |  |
| Greece. |  | 10,000 | 10,000 |  | 120,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Italy. |  |  |  |  | 40, $10 \stackrel{7}{7}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 241, 263 | 60,000 |
| Netherlan |  |  |  |  | 1,161, ${ }^{\text {, }}$ 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15,000 |
| Norway |  |  |  |  | 3,324 25,364 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {Spain.. }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 26,920,000 |
| Sweden.... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 661 |
| Switzerland. ...... England. | \$7,066,750 | 352, 916 | 9,721,366 | 6,664, 189 | 4,937 $76,783,923$ | \$13,329 |  |  |  |  | 1,100 13,235 | 1,192,805 |
| Scotland. |  |  |  | 45 | 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Europe. | 7,066,750 | 396, 055 | 9,781,090 | 7,340,739 | 79, 895, 618 | 13,329 |  |  |  |  | 266, 510 | 29,794,555 |
| British Honduras. Canada. | 12,520 | 1,225,805 | 1,274,863 | 37,240 | 28, 733, 863 | ,643,234 | 872, 379 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 33,825 | 10,000 018,637 |
| Costa Rica. | 8,131 |  | 48, 650 | 42,475 | 428, 160 | 463,375 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Guatemala |  |  | 9,353 |  | 14, 872 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hinduras. |  | $\xrightarrow{12,234} 3$ | 13,229 66,615 | 30,569 64,800 | 174,632 799,316 | 201,711 |  |  |  |  | 19000 | 21,300 |
| Panama. |  | 40,355 | 40, 355 | 2,662 | 84, 325 | 2, 411 |  |  |  |  |  | 1000000 |
| Salvador | 80,419 | 133, 898 | 7,896 376,875 | 11, ${ }^{125}, 286$ | 160,104 2,675 | r $\begin{array}{r}489, \\ 3,093\end{array}$ |  | 190,785 |  |  | 20,000 | 1,000 5, |
| Newfoundiand |  | 133, 88 |  |  | 2,6\%, 281 | 3,093, 61 | 136,367 | 190,785 | 565,862 | 223, 554 |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Cuba } \\ \text { British West Indies. } \end{gathered}$ |  | 853 | 853 |  | 57,680 87,249 | 3,100 | 50,000 | 100, 000 | 100,000 |  | 2700,000 |  |
| Virgin Islands of |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10,000 |  |
| Dominican Republic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10,000 |  | 39,000 | 10,000 |
| Dutch West Indies. | 16,000 | 2,890 | 18,890 |  | 147,930 |  |  |  | 10,00 |  |  | 10,000 |
| Total North America. | 117,070 | 1,451,041 | 1,857, 579 | 314,649 | 33,311,432 | 37,800, 663 | 258, 746 | 555,965 | 1,151,093 | 289,423 | 19,545,572 | 9, 212,755 |
| Argentina. | 18,974 | 660 | 30,073 1,290 | 46 | 76,692 <br> 6,878 | 68,144 1,069 |  |  |  |  | 89, 995, 000 | $32,960,000$ $2,500,000$ |
| Brazil. |  |  |  |  | 24,585 | 18,363 |  |  |  |  | 2000000 | $2,500,000$ 50,00 |
| Chile. |  | 346 | 1,343 | 3,245 | 329, 868 | 156, 280 |  |  |  |  | 400,000 | 100, 000 |
| Colombia. Ecuador. | 477, 707 | 269, 131 | 816,039 | 370, 165 | $\begin{array}{r}2,602,315 \\ 400,632 \\ \hline 1\end{array}$ | 536,448 379,911 |  |  |  |  | 700,000 156,000 | 4,483,620 |
| British Guiana. |  |  | 4,331 |  | 71,940 | 79, 694 |  |  |  | 00 |  |  |
| Dutch Guiana. | 2,387 |  | 2,387 |  | 2,387 | 15, 272 | 6,300 |  | 6,300 |  | 6,300 | 19,795 |
| Peru. | 17,330 | 33,865 | 63,628 | 15,154 | 581,715 | 564, 038 |  |  |  |  |  | 2, 893,369 |
| Venezuela. | 17,996 |  | 19,027 | 27,047 | 314,839 | 150,644 |  |  |  |  | $12,850,000$ 334,000 | $7,405,000$ $9,978,720$ |
| Total South America. | 535, 391 | 304,002 | 983, 558 | 415,657 | 4,411,851 | 1,969,863 | 6,300 |  | 6,300 | 26,000 | 104, 721,300 | $\xrightarrow{60,395,509}$ |
| China. |  |  |  |  | 1,260 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9,628,446 |
| British India........ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 750,000 | $\begin{array}{r} 0,110,088 \\ \hline \end{array}$ $6,683,454$ | 2,442,047 |
| Dutch East Indies.: |  | 102,919 | 201, 287 |  | 2, 389,066 |  |  |  | $\because 500,000$ | 20,000 | $\begin{gathered} 6,683,454 \\ 12,065,105 \end{gathered}$ | 2, 147,000 |
| French East Indies. |  |  |  |  |  | 10.0000 | 2,100, 000 |  | 2, 100,000 |  | 2, 100, 000 |  |
| Hongkong. <br> Japan. |  |  | 6, 576,087 |  | 30, 191, 910 | 10,000,000 | 2,200,000 | 6, 146, , 444 | 16, 1381,675 | -327, 220 | 25, ${ }^{3} 989,578$ | 15, 338,110 |
| Russia in Äsia |  |  |  |  |  | …......... | 2,200,000 | 6,365, 244 | 16, 133, 715 | 7,017, 283 | 31,913,185 | $\begin{array}{r} 36,040,116 \\ 23,000 \end{array}$ |
| Total Asia. | ......... | 102,919 | 6,777, 374 |  | 32,782, 236 | 12, 524, 455 | 4,699,405 | 6, 511,694 | 20, 715, 390 | 8,114,503 | 101, 157, 159 | 65,518,719 |
| New Zealand...... | 64,822 |  |  |  | 1, 101, 276 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Philippine Islands.. British South Africa |  | 71,002 | 171,644 |  | 557,219 | 366, 292 |  |  |  |  |  | 2,500 |
| British South Africa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Portuguese Africa.. |  | 74,25i | 74, 251 |  | 280,358 | 422,413 |  |  |  |  | 28, |  |
| Total all countries. | 7,784,033 | 2,399,270 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 225, 78, | 038 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes: Ore and base bullion, $\$ 11,713,000$; United States mint or assay office bars, $\$ 3,846,000$; bullion refined, $\$ 77,212,000$; United States coin, $\$ 12,864,000$; foreign coin, $\$ 46,705,000$
coin, $\$ 205,980,000$; foreign exports- $\$ 434,000$ and base bullion, $\$ 10,000$; United States mint or assay office bars, $\$ 18,286,000$; bullion refined, $\$ 1,009,000$;
,
Excess of gold exports over imports since Jan. 1, 1920, $\mathbf{\$ 7 3 , 3 7 ,}$
of gold exports over imports since June 10, 1919, $\$ 394,643,000$.

Silver imports into and exports from the United States, distributed by countries.

|  | Imports. |  |  |  |  |  | Exports. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | During 10 days ending 1920. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { During } \\ & \text { 11 days } \\ & \text { ending } \\ & \text { July i, } \\ & \text { 1920. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { During } \\ \text { month of } \\ \text { July, } \\ \mathbf{1 9 2 0} . \end{gathered}$ | During 10 days ending Aug. 10, 1920. | $\begin{gathered} \text { From } \\ \text { Jan. } 1 \text { to } \\ \text { Aug. } 10, \\ \text { 1920. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { From } \\ \text { Jan. } 1 \text { to } \\ \text { Aug. } 10, \\ 1919 . \end{gathered}$ | During 10 days July 20, 1920. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { During } \\ & \text { Did days } \\ & \text { ending } \\ & \text { July in, } \\ & 1920 \text {. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { During } \\ \text { month of } \\ \text { July, } \\ \text { 1920. } \end{array}$ | During 10 days ending Aug. 10, 1920 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { From } \\ & \text { Jan. } 1 \text { to } \\ & \text { Aug. 10, } \\ & 1920 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { From } \\ & \text { Jan. } 1 \text { to } \\ & \text { Ang. } 10, \\ & \text { 1919. } \end{aligned}$ |
| Denmark. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8587,897 |
| France |  |  | 812,531 | \$3,345 | $\$ 80,836$ 24,026 | \$11,100 |  |  |  |  | \$32,920 | 6,418,882 |
| Netheriand |  |  |  |  | 30,820 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Norway |  |  |  |  | 14,453. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1, 109,956 |
| Portugai |  |  |  |  | 7,978 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,950 |
| Spain. Sweden |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$12,700 |  | \$12,700 |  | 54,960 | 101,228 |
| Switzerland. |  |  |  |  |  |  | , |  | -2, |  |  | 172,203 |
| United KingdomEngland.. |  |  |  | 1,350 | 787,917 | 34,895 | 683,622 | 8973, 849 | 1, 873, 851 |  | 3,966,402 | 12,350,298 |
| Total Europe. |  |  | 12,531 | 4,695 | 946,030 | 45,995 | 696,322 | 973, 849 | 1,886,551 |  | 4,054,282 | 20,742,545 |
| British Hondura |  |  |  |  | 63,367 | 255, 637 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canada..... | \$103,144 | \$117, 190 | 247,141 4,476 | 85,093 3,430 | $2,400,703$ 51,718 | $4,881,770$ 108,368 | 67,893 | 316,694 | 428,930 | 824,798 | 6,033,598 | 2,605,316 |
| Guatemala. | 1,698 |  | 1,729 |  | 21,734 |  |  | 1,000 | 1,000 |  | 4,500 | 4,400 |
| Honduras. | ${ }_{3} 700$ | 114,408 | 127, 825 | 29,262 11,581 | 1,684, 501 | 1, 5364,785 |  |  | 3,000 |  | 371,505 3,000 | 85,900 |
| Panama.. |  | 5,149 | 18,749 5,149 | 11, 262 | 437,326 | 584, 89 8 |  |  |  |  | 542,000 | 204,250 |
| Salvador. | 22, 800 |  | -45,367 | 49.797 | 3,637, 724 | 248, 305 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mexico. Newfoundiand | 438,901 | 884,417 | 2,789, 261 | 729,529 | 38,289, 065 | 35, 180, 411 | 60, 130 | 21,909 | 177,037 | 81,441 | 2,597,916 | 888,969 |
| British West Indies. |  |  |  |  | 6,949 | 2,296 |  |  |  | 400 | 18,080 | 10,328 |
| Cuba........... |  | 16,879 | 16,879 |  | 62,550 | 52, 189 |  |  | 19,387 | 817 | 939, 953 | 161 |
| U. S . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25,000 |  |
| Dominican Republic |  |  |  |  | 84, 800 |  |  |  |  | 34,500 | 231,500 | 105,000 |
| Dutch West Indies. |  |  |  |  | 1,200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| French West Indies. Haiti. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5,000 |  | 5,000 |  | 9,000 |  |
| Total North America... | 568, 659 | 1,138,043 | 3,256, 574 | 908,945 | 46,832, 381 | 42, 921, 240 | 133,023 | 339,603 | 634,354 | 141,956 | 10,776,025 | 3,904,324 |
| Argentina | 2,504 |  | 3,730 |  | 23,380 | 41, 203 |  |  | 9,187 |  | 11,492 | 743 |
| Bolivia.. <br> Brazil | 10,992 | 158, 235 | 231,443 | 13,912 | $\begin{aligned} & 922,503 \\ & 293 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 108,487 \\ 1,527 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  | 2,383 | 852 |
| Chile. | 83,308 | 83, 404 | 166,986 | 4,552 | 2,148,956 | 1,127,235 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Colombia. | 69,479 | 130, 124 | 226,967 | 122,903 | 577, 112 | 128,224 |  |  |  |  |  | 2,000 |
| ${ }_{\text {Echador }}$ British Guiana |  |  | 4,554 | 1,600 | 47,026 | 13,670 |  |  |  |  |  | 2,493 |
| Dutch Guiana.. |  |  |  |  | -6,390 |  |  | 400 | 400 |  | 1,402 | 4,929 |
| Peru.... | 282, 194 | 362,345 | 898,258 | 379,112 | 8,763,316 | 5, 378,985 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Venezuela. |  |  |  |  | 68 | 512 |  |  |  |  | 10,000 |  |
| Total South America. | 448,487 | 734, 108 | 1,531,948 | 522,079 | 12,489,044 | 6,799, 876 |  | 400 | 9,587 |  | 25,227 | 11,017 |
| China.... | 1,000,000 |  | 1,278,000 |  | 1,289,974 |  | 1,065,225 |  | 1,065, 225 |  |  |  |
| British India........ |  | 159,338 | $\begin{array}{r}1,2707,464 \\ \hline 1\end{array}$ |  | 2,290, 213 | 971,229 |  |  |  |  | 223,211 | $108,877,478$ |
| French East Indies. |  |  |  |  | 2,29,213 |  |  |  |  |  | -1,058,373 |  |
| Hangkong. |  |  |  |  | 1,650 |  | 85,478 | $1,813,140_{1}$ | 1,898,619 |  | 18,698, 886 | 4,037,981 |
| Japan. ${ }_{\text {Rusia }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 713,723 | 713,763 970 | 52,759 |
| Turkey in Asia. |  |  |  |  | 38,51i |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Asia. | 1,000,000 | 159,338 | 1,685,464 |  | 3,620,348 | 971,229 | 1,150,698 | 1,813,146, | 2,963, 844 | 713,723 | 73,610,622 | 129, 939,373 |
| New Zealand...... |  |  | 191 |  | 11,407 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Philippine Islands. | 1,524 | 1,333 | 2,857 |  | 12,883 6,097 | $\begin{gathered} 7.654 \\ 76,922 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| British south Africa British West A frica. |  |  |  |  | 6,097 | $76,822$ |  |  |  |  | 5;480 |  |
| Portuguese Africa.. |  | 6,664 | 6,664 |  | 93,321 | 42,998 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total all countries.. | 2,018,861 | 2,039,486 | 6,496,229 | 1,435,728 | 164,011,511 | 50, 865,814 | 1,980,043 | 3,126,998 | 5,494,336 | 855,679 | 288,471,663 | 154, 597, 259 |

[^8]General stock of money in the United States, money held by the Treasury and by the Federal Reserve system, and all other money in the Cnited States, Aug. 1, 1920.

|  | General stock. | Held in the United States Treasury as assets of the Government. ${ }^{1}$ | Held by or for Federal Reserve Banks and agents. | Held outside United States Treasury and Federal Reserve system. | Amount per capita outside United States Treasury and Federal Reserve system. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gold coin (including bullion in Treasury) ${ }^{2}$ | \$2,695,337,608 | \$409,632,934 | \$1, 273, 737,017 | \$427,700,394 |  |
| Gold certificates........................... |  |  | 329,633,960 | 254,633, 303 |  |
| Standard silver dollars | 269,798,6.32 | 8,190,928 | ${ }^{3} 64,971,151$ | $73,586,309$ |  |
| Silver certificates..... | 析 |  | 29, 452, 873 | 91, 943, 922 |  |
| Subsidiary silver | 258,863, 512 | 6,364, 173 |  | 252,499, 339 |  |
| Treasury notes of 1890 | 258,863, | -304, |  | 1,653,419 |  |
| United States notes... | 346,681,016 | 6,045, 338 | 456,566, 145 | 284,069, 533 |  |
| Federal Reserve notes. | 3,423, 299, 935 | 27,65), 850 | 287, 4.54, 995 | 3, 108, 194,990 |  |
| Federal Reserve Bank notes | 207,400, 000 | 2,115, 736 | 14, 999,899 | 190,284, 365 |  |
| National-bank notes. | 726,463, 704 | 23, 824,306 | 2, 195, 052 | 700, 444, 346 |  |
| Total: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aug. 1, 1920 | 7,927,844,377 | 483, 824,265 | 2,059,010,192 | 5,385,009, 920 | \$50.22 |
| July 1, 1923. | 7, 887, 181, 586 | $485,057,472$ | 2,021, 271, 614 | 5, 380, 852, 500 | 50.19 |
| June 1, 1920 | 7, 804, 528, 384 | 462,799, 326 | 1,988,583, 225 | $5,353,145,833$ | 50.00 |
| May 1, 1920 | 7, 755,953,906 | 488, 928, 232 | 1,976, 153,519 | $5,290,872,155$ | 49.45 |
| Apr. 1, 1920. | 7,761,146, 018 | 503, 309,638 | 1,984, 495,464 | 5,273, 340,916 | 49.33 |
| Mar. 1, 1920. | $7,806,311,880$ | 546, 960, 744 | 1,981, 490,058 | 5, 277, 861, 078 | 49.41 |
| Feb. 1, 1920. | $7,744,769,263$ | 625,142,749 | 2,009, 651,988 | 5, 109, 974,526 | 47.88 |
| Jan. 1, $1920 .$. | $7,961,320,139$ | 604, 888,833 | 2, 044, 422,303 | $5,312,009,003$ | 49.81 |
| July 1, 1919. | 7,588, 473,771 | 578, 848, 043 | 2, 167, 280,313 | 4,842, 245,415 | 45.00 |
| Jan. 1, 1919. | 7, 780, 793,606 | 454, 948, 160 | 2, 220, 705, 767 | 5, 105, 139,679 | 47.83 |
| July 1, 1918. | 6, 742,225,784 | 356, 124, 750 | 2, 018, 361, 825 | 4,367,739, 209 | 41.31 |
| Jan. 1, 1918. | 6, 256, 198, 271 | 277, 043,358 | 1,723,570,291 | $4,255,584,622$ | 40.53 |
| July 1, 1917. | 5,480, 009, 884 | 253,671,614 | 1,280, 880,714 | 3,945,457,556 | 37.88 |

1 Includes reserve funds held against issues of United States notes and Treasury notes of 1890 and redemption funds held against issues of na-tional-bank notes, Federal Reserve notes, and Federal Reserve Bank notes, but excludes gold and silver coin and bullion held in trust for the redemption of outstanding gold and silver certificates and Treasury notes of 1890 .
${ }_{2}$ Includes balances in gold settlement fund standing to the credit of the Federal Reserve Banks and agents.
${ }^{2}$ Includes balances in gold se
4 Includes Treasury notes of 1890.
FEDERAL RESERVE BANK DISCOUNT RATES.
Rates on paper discounted for member banks approved by the Federal Reserve Board up to Aug. 31, 1920.

| Federal Reserve Bank. | Paper maturing within 90 days. |  |  |  | Bankers' acceptances maturing months. | Agricultural and live-stock paper maturing within 91 to 180 days. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Secured by- |  | Trade acceptances. | All other. |  |  |
|  | Treasury certificates of indebtedness. | Liberty bonds and Victory notes. |  |  |  |  |
| Boston | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6 | 7 | 7 |  | 7 |
| New York. | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 7 |
| Philadelphia | ${ }^{1} 6$ | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6 | 6 | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6 |
| Cleveland. | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | $5 \frac{3}{4}$ | $5 \frac{3}{3}$ | 6 | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6 |
| Richmond | ${ }^{1} 6$ | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6. |
| Atlanta.... | ${ }^{1} 6$ | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6 | 6 | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6 |
| Chicago.. | ${ }^{1} 6$ | 6 | 7 | 7 | - 6 | 7 |
| St. Louis. | ${ }^{2} 5 \frac{1}{2}$ | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6 | 6 | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6 |
| Minneapolis. | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6 | 61 | 7 | 6 | 7 |
| Kansas City. | ${ }^{1} 6$ | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6 | 6 | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6 |
| Dallas....... | ${ }^{1} 6$ | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6 | 6 | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6 |
| San Francisco.. | ${ }^{1} 6$ | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |

[^9]
## CONDITION OF MEMBER BANKS.

Abstract of condition reports of State bank and trust company members in each Federal Reserve district on June 30, 1920.
[In thousands of dollars.]


Abstract of condition reports of State bank and trust company members of the Federal Reserve System on June 30, 1920, arranged by classes.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | Central reserve city banks. |  |  |  | Other re serve city banks (180 banks) | Country banks banks). | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { United } \\ \text { States } \\ \text { (1,37t } \\ \text { bankis June } \\ 30,1920 . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { United } \\ \text { States } \\ (1,306 \\ \text { banks) May } \\ 4,1920 \text {. } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | New York (32 banks). | Chicago ( 14 banks). | St. Louis ( 17 banks). | Total 63 banks). |  |  |  |  |
| Resources. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans and discounts. | 1,726,916 | 450, 295 | 124,904 | 2,302,115 | 1,984,337 | 1,396,302 | 5,682,754 | 5,508,993 |
| Overdrafts, $1+$ ini............................ | 1,034 | 158 | 37 | 1,229 | 2,679 | 2,225 | 6,133 | 5,702 |
| Customers liability on account of letters of credit. | 574 | 17 |  | 591 | 1,105 | 101 | 1,797 | 1,723 |
| Customers' liability on account of acceptances. Liberty bonds (exclusive of Liberty bonds | 137,895 | 28,185 | 9,440 | 175,520 | 51,800 | 8,267 | 235,587 | 230,015 |
| borrowed)........................... | 134,725 | 6,403 | 4,075 | 145,203 | 114,856 | 99,983 | 360,042 | 339,777 |
| Other United States bonds (exclusive of United States bonds borrowed). | 120 | 7,150 |  | 7,270 | 3,572 | 396 | 11,238 | 13,455 |
| United States Victory notes. | 24,740 | 3,614 | 444 | 28,798 | 43,635 | 32,018 | 104,451 | 103,663 |
| United States certificates ol indebtedness. | 75,928 | 3,518 | 1,462 | 80,908 | 83,606 | 32,749 | 197,263 | 249, 222 |
| War savings and thrift stamps and Treasury savings certificates actually owned.. | 42 | 32 | 4 | 78 | 373 | 601 | 1,052 | 1,217 |
| Stock of Federal Reserve Bank.............. Other bonds stocks, etc. (exclusive of securi- | 8,282 | 2,309 | 831 | 11,422 | 11,201 | 6,575 | 29,198 | 28,282 |
| ther bonds, stocks, etc. (exclusive of securities borrowed). | 287,426 | 71,355 | 28,685 | 387,466 | 561,546 | 324,888 | 1,273,900 | 1,292,924 |
| Banking house. | 42,112 | 2,973 | 2,948 | 48,033 | 61,661 | 39, 294 | . 148,988 | 142,296 |
| Furniture and fixtures. | 296 | 102 | 345 | 6.53 | 5,330 | 7,539 | 13,522 | 12,845 |
| Other real estate owned | 3,345 | 91 | 186 | 3,622 | 14,551 | 5,944 | 24,117 | 2f, 859 |
| Lawful reserve with Federal Reserve Bank | 256,280 | 48,605 | 13,453 | 318,338 | 184,556 | 90,521 | 593,415 | 599,429 |
| Cold coin and certificates | 8,388 | 4,070 |  | 12,542 | 3,673 | 4,107 | 20,322 | 17,426 |
| All other cash in vault. | 30,878 | 7,468 | 2,149 | 40,495 | 61,603 | 49,936 | 152,034 | 148,040 |
| Items with Federal Reserve Bank in process of collection. | 37,801 | 11,743 | 6,278 | 55,822 | 60,721 | 16,464 | 133,007 | 125,337 |
| Due from banks, bankers, and trust companies Exchanges for clearing house, also checks on | 86, 133 | 33,920 | 9,473 | 129,526 | 174,802 | 127,255 | 431,583 | 436,855 |
| other banks in same place... | 273,426 | 30,318 | 3,095 | 30f, 839 | 61,814 | 15,685 | 384,338 | 240,512 |
| Outside checks and other cash items.. | 12,571 | 6,491 | 643 | 19,705 | 19,583 | 7,916 | 47,204 | 37,916 |
| Approximate interestearned but not collected. | 12,552 | 1,042 | 428 | 14,022 | 7,911 | 3,805 | 25,738. | 20,400 |
| Other assets. | 92,685 | 12,745 | 347 | 105,778 | 17,831 | 5,550 | 129,159 | 129,439 |
| Total. | 3,254,059 | 732,605 | 209,311 | 4,195,975 | 3,532,746 | 2,278,121 | 10,006,842 | 9,718,337 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital stock paid in | 122,720 | 36,450 | 13,075 | 172,245 | 177, 232 | 144,121 | 493, 598 | 481,536 |
| Sarplus tund ............................... | 154,377 | 41,877 | 14,610 | 210, 864 | 200, 153 | 83,578 | 494,595 | 486, 840 |
| Undivided profits, less expenses and taxes paid. | 47,982 | 8,613 | 3,539 | 60, 134 | 52, 471 | 37,437 | 150,042 | 151,142 |
| Approximate interest and discount collected, but not earned......................... | 7,586 | 2,152 | 813 | 10,551 | 6,307 | 2,778 | 19,636 | 17,746 |
| Amount reserved for taxes accrued. | 10,009 | 2,945 | 435 | 13,389 | 5,914 | 2,043 | 21, 346 | 18,863 |
| Amount reserved for interest accrued | 4,266 | 573 | 244 | 5,083 | 3,336 | 2,685 | 11, 104 | 19,643 |
| Due to Federal Reserve Bank............... | 2,949 | 203 |  | 3,152 | 443 | 1,926 | 5,521 | 2,508 |
| Due to banks, bankers, and trust companies.. Certified and cashiers' or treasurers' checks | 346, 205 | 49, 494 | 9,199 | 404,898 | 170,309 | 61,139 | 636,346 | 604,365 |
| outstanding.................................. | 156,280 | 7,140 | 1,170 | 164,590 | 38,490 | 15,028 | 218, 108 | 182,685 |
| Demand deposits | 1,945,795 | 337, 249 | 91,343 | 2, 374,387 | 1,479,647 | 997, 563 | 4, 851, 597 | 4,713,460 |
| Time deposits. | 155,359 | 204,345 | 57,978 | 417,682 | 1,183, 259 | 825,094 | 2,426,035 | 2,337, 635 |
| United States deposits. | 46,716 | 1,211 | 2,287 | 50, 214 | 29, 397 | 6,887 | 86,498 | 76,521 |
| Bills payable with Federal Reserve Bank.... | 64,684 | 10,412 | 5,124 | 80, 220 | 105,718 | 60,034 | 245, 972 | 294,097 |
| Bills payable other than with Federal Reserve Bank. | 393 | 100 |  | 493 | 13,115 | 26,378 | 39,986 | 35,216 |
| Cash letters of credit and travelers' checks |  | 77 |  |  | 207 | 32 |  |  |
| Acceptances. | 143,335 | 28,790 | 9,422 | 181,547 | 52, 585 | 8,237 | 242,369 | 235, ${ }^{9}$, 422 |
| Other liabilities. | 28,987 | 954 | 68 | 30,009 | 13, 163 | 3,161 | 46,333 | 51, 284 |
| Total. | 3,254,059 | 732,605 | 209, 311 | 4,195,975 | 3,532,746 | 2,278,121 | 10,006, 842 | 9,718,337 |
| Liability for rediscounts with Federal Reserve Bank. | 79,255 | 46,313 | 13,799 | 139,367 |  |  |  |  |
| Liability for rediscounts with other banks.... |  |  |  |  | 8,167 | 8,596 | 16,763 | 10,765 |
| Ratio of reserve with Federal Reserve Bank to net deposit liability (per cent). | 12.0 | 12.4 | 12.8 | 12.1 | 10.0 | 6.6 | 10.1 | 10.5 |

Classification of loans and discounts of State bank and trust company members of the Federal Reserve System, as shown by their condition reports for June 30, 1920.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dis- } \\ \text { trict } \\ \text { No. } \\ \text { (36 } \\ \text { banks). } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dis- } \\ \text { trist } \\ \text { No. } 2 \\ \text { (132 } \\ \text { banks). } \end{gathered}$ | District No. 3 (40 banks) | District No. 4 (109 banks) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dis- } \\ \text { trict } \\ \text { No. } 5 \\ \text { (33 } \\ \text { banks } . \end{gathered}$ | District No. 6 banks) | District No. 7 banks). | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Dis- } \\ \text { trict } \\ \text { No. } 8 \\ \text { (86 } \\ \text { banks }) . \end{array}$ | District No. 9 (107 banks) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dis- } \\ \text { trict } \\ \text { No. } 10 \\ \text { (56. } \\ \text { banks). } \end{gathered}$ | District No. 11 (160 | District No. 12 (184 banks) | Total <br> United States (1,374) banks). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| On demand: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not secured by collateral. | 27,429 | 67,301 | 5,979 | 30,990 | 3,192 | 7,517 | 32,801 | 10,315 | 4,101 | 3,031 | 3,703 | 21,592 | 217, 951 |
| Secured by Government war obligations.......... | 2,025 | 34,471 | 5,419 | 4,785 | 1,043 | 1,381 | 11,298 | 2,351 | 273 | 134 | 164 | 1,941 | 65, 285 |
| Secured by other col- lateral. | 71, 204 | 600 | 85,577 | 97, 479 | 14,350 | 42,047 | 159, 288 | 37, 512 | 3,643 | 6,820 | 6,422 | 19,233 | 1,143,668 |
| On time: Not secured by collateral |  |  |  |  |  |  | 51 |  |  | 27,730 | 26,180 |  |  |
| Secured by Government | 249,40 | 96 | 43 | 143 | 54, | 112, | 513 | 113, | 35, | 27, | 26,180 | 106, | 2,446,685 |
| War obligations........ | 24,708 | 195, 599 | 22,787 | 20,644 | 6,261 | 8,770 | 34,803 | 8,657 | 1,677 | 2,676 | 1,237 | 9,830 | 337,649 |
| Secured by other col- lateral. . | 62,811 | 372, 804 | 22,302 | 72,367 | 30,805 | 63,771 | 200, 740 | 76, 114 | 26, 187 | 27,717 | 42,850 | 72,143 | 1,070,611 |
| Secured by real estate mortgages, or other real estate liens or deeds. |  |  | 6,690 | 113,768 | 8,437 | 13,075 | 221,778 | 32,991 | 17,0 | 9,333 | 5,055 | 194, 123 | 723,292 |
| Acceptances of other banks discounted. | 3,246 |  | 300 | 3,962 | 76 | $\begin{array}{r}375 \\ \hline 275\end{array}$ |  | 2,549 | 1721 | 11 41 |  | 7,824 | 121, 53 |
| Acceptances of this bank purchased or discounted. | 2,759 | 7,258 | 118 | 644 | 115 | 2,531 | 2,013 | 206 |  |  |  | 18 | 6,062 |
| Loans and discounts not classified | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 106 | 156 |
| Total loans and discounts | 487, 350 | 2,376,033 | 192, 533 | 488, 310 | 118, 871 | 251, 679 | 1, 198,292 | 284, 280 | 88,935 | 77,482 | 85,611 | 493, 519 | 6,142,895 |

Abstract of condition reports of all member banks in each Federal Reserve district on June 30, 1920 (including 8,025 national banks and 1,974 State banks and trust companies).
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { District } \\ & \text { No. } \\ & \text { (432 } \\ & \text { banks). } \end{aligned}$ | District No. 2 banks). | $\begin{gathered} \text { District } \\ \text { No. } 3 \\ (688 \\ \text { banks). } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { District } \\ \text { No. } 4 \\ \text { (860 } \\ \text { banks). } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { District } \\ \text { No. } \\ \text { (603 } \\ \text { banks). } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { District } \\ \text { No. } 6 \\ \text { (4no } \\ \text { banks). } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { District } \\ \text { No,.7 } \\ \text { (1,395 } \\ \text { banks). } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { District } \\ \text { No.9 } \\ \text { (980 } \\ \text { banks). } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { District } \\ & \text { No. 10 } \\ & \text { (1,0611 } \\ & \text { banks). } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { District } \\ \text { No.111 } \\ \text { (8ill } \\ \text { banks). } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { District } \\ \text { No. } 12 \\ \text { (7996 } \\ \text { banks). } \end{gathered}$ | Total United States banks). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| resources. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans and discounts. | 1,313,187 | 5, 431, 265 | 1,044, 289 | 1,559,766 | 829,625 | 667,636 | 2,765, 259 | 733,844 | 727,411 | 949,557 | 654, 438 | 1,399, 834 | 18,076,111 |
| Overdrarts, $\begin{aligned} & \text { Customers } \\ & \text { liability on a }\end{aligned}$ |  | 4,763 |  | 1,010 | 1,036 | 1,417 | 2,722 | 1,321 | 1,605 | 2,632 | 2,213 | 2,950 | 22,587 |
| credit...; $1.0 .1 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 1 | 6,329 | 25 | 1,095 | 53 | 691 | 1,420 | 253 |  | 51 | 7 | 1,077 | 11,015 |
| Customers' liability on account of acceptance | 86,471 | 338,606 | 25,631 | 28,064 | 12,763 | 15,140 | 74,654 | 17,350 | 8,464 | 4,800 | 4,911 | 35,147 | ${ }^{652,001}$ |
| United States Government securities owned | 165, 600 | 813,998 | 250, 100 | 297, 054 | 167, 223 | 129,953 | ${ }^{396,615}$ | 115,879 | 91,780 | 139,334 | 127, 121 | 246,968 | 2,941,655 |
| Stook of Federal Reserve Bank | 7,527 242,790 | - $\begin{array}{r}24,670 \\ 983,265\end{array}$ | 8,323 393,850 8 | 10,148 447,513 | $\begin{array}{r}4,813 \\ 92 \\ \hline 170\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}3,782 \\ 63,992 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 13,270 412,515 | 4,251 105,706 | 3,274 67,556 | 4,294 82,202 | $\begin{array}{r}3,741 \\ 16,968 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 6,392 216,870 | - $\begin{array}{r}94,485 \\ 3,124,897\end{array}$ |
| Other bonds, stocks, and securit | 242, 790 | ${ }^{983} \mathbf{9 8 2 6 5}$ | ${ }_{393} 38850$ | 447,513 | 92,170 <br> 29 <br> 290 | - ${ }_{21}^{60,937}$ | - 112,515 | ${ }_{19} 19.921$ |  |  |  |  | 3,124,897 |
| Furniture and fixtur | ${ }_{2}{ }^{2}, 905$ | 5,274 | 3 3,856 | 5 5,039 | 3,353 | 3,689 | 8,184 | 2,886 | 3,840 | 4,714 | 4, 854 | 9,169 | 57,763 |
| Other real estate owned | 2,624 | 9,576 | 5,065 | 13,198 | 2,953 | 4,303 | 6,349 | 3,729 | 4,193 | 2,622 | 5,296 | 9,158 | 69,066 |
| Lawful reserve with Federal Reserve Bank | 117,233 | 732,871 | 102,596 | 145,367 | 61,028 | 53,848 | 258, 033 | 64,416 | 49, 757 | 80,174 | 54,249 | 119,076 | 1,838,648 |
| Cash in vault. | 51,383 | 157,822 | 44,889 | 63,651 | 33, 129 | 23,898 | 103,739 | 19,914 | 20,504 | 30,355 | 23,692 | 48,841 | 621,817 |
| Federal | 49,829 | 161,003 | 62,720 | 64,235 | 36,687 | 22,564 | 72,258 | 40,588 | 8,037 | 45, 019 | 26,058 | 26,118 | 615,116 |
| Duefrom banks, bankers, and trust companies. | 97,099 | 229,096 | 94,998 | 163,406 | 89,677 | 100,479 | 329,417 | 87,448 | 101,274 | 216,263 | 102,381 | 212,503 | 1,824,041 |
| xchanges for clearing house, | 45,974 | 860,755 | 45,684 | 34,219 | 20,710 | 16,579 | 103,560 | 15,109 | 11,841 | 24,649 | 8,322 | 41,397 | 1,223,799 |
| Outside checks and other cash item | 8,410 | 37,447 | 7,125 | 8,623 | 4,415 | 5,221 | 21,737 | 2,993 | 6,048 | 5,464 | 6,576 | 12,210 | 126,269 |
| Due from United States Treasurer. | 2,596 | 5,710 | 3,220 | 4,929 | 3,164 | 2,036 | 4,710 | 2,066 | 1,853 | 2, 436 | 2,493 | 3,292 | 38,505 |
| Approximate interest earned but not collected. Other assets. | $\begin{array}{r} 3,494 \\ 18,572 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28,021 \\ 227,285 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,989 \\ & 4,516 \end{aligned}$ | 5,471 5,171 | 1,303 | 1,589 5,618 | 9,169 $\mathbf{2 7 , 4 9 2}$ | $\stackrel{2,302}{2,039}$ | 1,111 1,415 | 3,445 658 | 1,954 | 6,880 18,598 | 73,728 313,164 |
| Total | 2,250, 067 | 10,162,319 | 2,135,224 | 2,917,876 | 1,394,163 | 1,144,372 | 4,670,339 | 1,242,015 | 1,133,344 | 1,620,372 | 1,066,681 | 2,457,529 | 32,194,301 |
| liabilities. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital stock paid in | 134, 257 | 384,497 | 109,082 | 166,960 | 96,178 | 77,080 | 260, 922 | 91,497 | 72,491 | 94, 404 | 82,344 | 147,302 | 1,717,014 |
| Surplus fund | 120,622 | 449,092 | 169,112 | 173,797 | 68,082 | 52,053 | 186, 451 | 51, 921 |  | 50,767 | 47,749 | 72,82 | 1,480,456 |
| Undivided profits, less expenses and taxes paid.- | 49,294 | 182,254 | 42,342 | 62,459 | 21, 421 | 15,878 | 68,361 | 20,983 | 13,786 | 22,493 | 19,901 | 37,255 | 561, 427 |
| Approximate interest and discount colle................... but not earned......... | 9,510 | 31,641 | 5,472 | 6,016 | 5,047 | 3,938 | 12,489 | 4,678 | 3,035 | 4,514 | 3,839 |  | 93,174 |
| Amount reserved for taxes accrued | 5,641 | 32,541 | 2,823 | 3, 804 | 1,398 | 1,880 | 10,082 | 1,743 | 1,878 | 1,813 | 1,641 | 2,445 | 67,689 |
| Amount reserved for interest accru | 2,676 |  | 1,952 | 2,221 | 1,787 | 913 | 2,911 | 831 | 1,435 | 003 |  | 1,636 | 26,474 |
| Due to Federal Reserve Bank | 3,914 | 7,537 | 1,453 | 1,562 | 4,961 |  | 1,584 |  |  | 32 | 1,665 |  | 24,682 $3,461,016$ |
| Due to banks, bankers, and trust companies..... | 136,258 | 1,399, 216 | 166, 589 | 226,690 | 115, 666 | 101,509 | 505, 400 | 146,759 | 106,800 | 261, 676 | 94, 616 | 199,837 | 3,461,016 |
| Certified cashier's or treasurer's checks outstanding $\qquad$ | 17,432 | 453,735 | 13,202 | 20,092 | 10,188 | 6,428 | 39,573 | 7,396 | 12,490 | 22,854 | 13,079 | 31,892 | 648,361 |
| Demand deposits | 1,234,574 | 5, 200,065 | 1,000, 052 | 1,292,543 | 569,343 | 497,585 | 1,923,274 | 535,046 | 416,003 | 771,494 | 592,380 | 1,034, 813 | 15,067, 172 |
| Time deposits | 320, 556 | 881, 858 | 386,497 | 736,296 | 326, 160 | 258, 167 | 1,295, 017 | 240,461 | 378, 271 | 252, 124 | 96, 908 | 738,611 | 5, 910, 926 |
| United States deposits............. | 21, ${ }^{2166}$ | 122,831 47098 | 19,033 | 20,966 | $\stackrel{6}{64} 96$ | \% 6 6,481 | 16, 260 | 8, 580 | 2, ${ }^{2,865}$ | 13,287 <br> 30 | 5, 51.063 | ${ }_{41}^{16,181}$ |  |
| Bills payable with Federal Reserve Bank...... | 46,685 | 470, 398 | 120, 273 | 53,538 | 64, 193 | 49,310 | 143,257 | 39, 591 | 18,952 | 39,715 | 34, 434 | 41,721 | 1,122,067 |
| Bank... | 4,791 | 5,013 | 4,382 | 7,194 | 19,168 | 10,990 | 18,547 | 15,695 | 18,182 | 17,284 | 16,285 | 17,912 | 155,443 |
| Cash letters of credit and travelers' checks outstanding. |  | 22,629 | 66 | 1,264 | 91 | 701 | 2,230 | 275 | 18 | 268 | 178 | 896 | 28,896 |
| - 1 ceeptan | 88,953 | 353,256 | 26,323 | 28,297 | 13,033 | 15,353 | 75,781 | 17,365 | 8,459 | 4,848 | 5,068 | 36, 829 | ${ }^{673,565}$ |
| National bank notes outstandin | 47,303 | 84, 134 | 54,546 | 89,920 | 56,934 | 39, 833 | 82, 816 | 41, 567 | 31,293 | 48, 825 | 46, 895 | 63,587 | 687,653 |
| United States bonds borrowed. | 4,241 | 34, 036 | 10,215 | 20,394 | 7,656 | 4,499 | 14,664 | 11,343 | 3,161 | 11,402 | 3,530 | 5,669 |  |
| Other bonds and securities borro Other liabilities. | 178 1,236 | 1,193 37,531 | - ${ }_{\text {1,791 }}$ | 465 3,398 | 5,86 ${ }^{564}$ | ${ }_{5}^{218}$ | 1,355 10,365 | 152 6,027 | $\begin{array}{r}31 \\ 595 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 1, ${ }_{639}$ | 459 250 | 4,425 4,407 | 4,588 72,665 |
| Total | 2,250,067 | 10, 162,319 | 2,135,224 | 2,917,876 | 1,394, 163 | 1,144,372 | 4,670,339 | 1,242,015 | 1,133, 344 | 1,620,372 | 1,066,681 | 2,457,529 | 32, 194,301 |
| Liability for rediscounts, including those with Federal Reserve Bank' | 110,775 | 346,578 | 89,358 | 64,584 | 74,517 | 80,498 | 343,221 | 100, 471 | 85,328 | 93, 679 | 56,958 | 112,825 | 1,558,792 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes United States Government securities borrowed by national banks.
${ }_{2}$ Includes other bonds and securities borrowed by national banks.
${ }^{3}$ Includes acceptances of other banks and foreign bills of exchange sold with indorsement or other guaranty by national banks.

Abstract of condition reports of all member banks of the Federal Reserve system on June 30, 1920, arranged by classes (including 8,025 national banks and 1,374 State banks and trust companies).
[In thousands of dollars]

|  | Central Reserve city banks. |  |  |  | Other Reserve city banks(553 banks) (553 banks) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Country } \\ & \text { banks } \\ & \text { (8,738 } \\ & \text { banks). } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { United } \\ \text { States } \\ \text { (9,399 } \\ \text { banks) } \\ \text { June } \\ \text { 1920. } \\ \text { 1920. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { United } \\ \text { States } \\ (9,291 \\ \text { banks) } \\ \text { May } 4 \text { ( } \\ \text { 1920. } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | New York (63 banks). | Chicago (23 banks). | St. Louis | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ (108 \text { banks) } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
| RESOURCES. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans and discounts. | 4,249, 273 | 1,016,834 | 294,445 | 5,560,552 | 5,607,241 | 6,908, 318 | 18,076, 111 | 17,794,164 |
| Customers' liability on account of letters of | 4,188 |  |  | 4,646 | 5,681 |  |  |  |
| credit.. | 6,124 | 655 | 180 | 6,959 | 3,266 | 790 | 11,015 | 7,482 |
| Customers liability on account of acceptances. | 329, 909 | 64,964 | 14,755 | 409,628 | 218,819 | 23,554 | 652,001 | 655,405 |
| United States Government securities owned ${ }^{1 .}$. | 572, 249 | 59,662 | 28, 205 | 660,116 | 825, 317 | 1,456, 222 | 2,941, 635 | 3, 081,156 |
| Stock of Federal Reserve Bank. | 18,477 | 4,927 103,025 | 1,845 47402 | 25,249 69428 69 | $\begin{array}{r}30,101 \\ 955 \\ \hline 126\end{array}$ | - 39,135 | 94,485 $3,124,897$ | - 92,435 |
| Other bonds, stocks, and securitie | 543,901 | 103,025 11,973 | 47,402 7 7 | 694,328 90 | 955,726 162,686 | $1,474,843$ 211,305 | $\begin{array}{r}3,124,897 \\ 464,634 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 3,175,951 |
| Furniture and fix | 1,000 | 11, 120 | -747 | 1,867 | 12,472 | 43, 424 | 57,763 | 55,808 |
| Other real estate owned | 4,369 | 204 | 1,890 | 6,463 | 27,722 | 34, 881 | 69,066 | 70,819 |
| Lawful reserve with Federal Reser | 619,667 | 127,306 | 31,246 | 778,219 | 538,214 | 522,215 | 1,838,648 | 1,865,638 |
| Cash in yault.. | 108,231 | 33,706 | 5,062 | 146,999 | 180,640 | 294,178 | 621,817 | 620,897 |
| Items with Federal Reserve Bank in process of collection | 119,591 | 40,148 | 26,974 | 186,713 | 364,203 | 64,200 | 615,116 | 580,063 |
| Due from banks, bankers, and trust companies | 130,689 | 131,095 | 30,390 | 292, 174 | 706,053 | 825,814 | 1,824, 041 | 1, 874, 173 |
| Exchanges for clearing house, also checks on other banks in same place. | 841,880 | 69,272 | 9,741 | 920,893 | 244,575 | 63,331 | 1,228,799 |  |
| Outside checks and other cash items.. | 28,338 | 8,536 | 927 | 37,801 | 53,996 | 34, 472 | 126,269 | 102,996 |
| Due from United States Treasurer | 3,173 | 280 | 547 | 4,000 | 10,194 | 24,311 | 38,505 | 38,187 |
| Approximate interest earned but not collected. | 21,328 | 1,599 | 625 | 23,552 | 18,749 | 31, 427 | 73,728 | 66,070 |
| Other assets. | 224,390 | 23,163 | 593 | 248,146 | 54,789 | 10,229 | 313, 164 | 323,899 |
| Total | 7,897,978 | 1,697,846 | 503,124 | 10,098,948 | 10,020,444 | 12,074,909 | 32, 194, 301 | 31,748, 572 |
| lablities. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital stock paid in. | 266,462 | 84, 800 | 37,775 | 389,037 | 529, 164 | 798,813 | 1,717,014 | 1,695,555 |
| Surplus fund. | 359, 992 | 80,777 | 23,710 | 464,479 | 483, 518 | 532,459 | 1,480, 456 | 1,446, 915 |
| Undivided profits, less expenses and taxes paid. | 138,893 | 22,446 | 8,884 | 170,223 | 158,663 | 232,541 | 561, 427 | 588,697 |
| Approximate interest and discount collected | 26,131 | 7,639 | 2,443 | 36,213 | 30,212 | 26,749 | 93, 174 |  |
| Amount reserved for taxes accrued | 30,624 | 7,132 | , 832 | 38,588 | 20,246 | 8,855 | 67,689 | 62,560 |
| Amount reserved for interest acoru | 6,970 | 574 | 264 | 7,808 | 6,862 | 11,804 | 26, 474 | 39,404 |
| Due to Federal Reserve Bank | 3,122 | 203 |  | 3,325 | 5,652 | 15,705 | 24,682 | 21,547 |
| Due to banks, bankers, and trust companies. | 1,319, 575 | 322,474 | 77,245 | 1,719, 294 | 1,362,380 | 379,342 | 3,461, 016 | 3,524,359 |
| Certified and cashiers' or treasurers' checks outstanding. |  | 19,419 | 3,240 | 462,552 | 109,133 | 76,676 |  | 518,517 |
| Demand deposits. | 4,030,805 | 808,233 | 209, 437 | 5,048,475 | 4,525,420 | 5,493, 277 | 15,067, 172 | 14, 833,215 |
| Time deposits. | 296,026 | 221,381 | 85,341 | 602,748 | 1,798,642 | 3,509, 536 | 5,910, 926 | 5, 747, 532 |
| United States deposits. | 110,016 | 4,933 | 6,359 | 121,308 | 94, 961 | 43,910 | 260, 179 | 190, 168 |
| Bills payable with Federal Reserve Bank. | 400,312 | 43,981 | 14,606 | 458,899 | 367,203 | 295,965 | 1,122,067 | 1,246,721 |
| Bills payable other than with Federal Reserve Bank | 573 | 100 |  | 673 | 48,053 | 106,717 | 155,443 | 133,497 |
| Cash letters of credit and travelers' checks outstanding. |  |  | 199 | 24,121 | 3,751 |  | 28,896 |  |
| Acceptances. | 344, 239 | 66, 144 | 14,737 | 425, 120 | 224,018 | 24,427 | 673, 565 | 673,852 |
| National bank notes outstandin | 36,960 |  | 10,523 | 47, 829 | 170,857 | 468,967 | 687,653 | 687,931 |
| United States bonds borrowed. | 30,453 | 3,250 | 7,373 | 41,076 | 55, 145 | 34, 639 | 130, 860 | 123, 143 |
| Other bonds and securities borr Other liabilities. | 1,059 33,367 | 2,598 | 156 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,059 \\ & 36,121 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,699 \\ 24,865 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,824 \\ 11,679 \end{gathered}$ | 4,582 72,665 | 6,119 83,945 |
| Total | 7,897,978 | 1,697,846 | 503, 124 | 10,098,948 | 10,020,444 | 12, 074, 909 | 32, 194,30 | 31,748, 57 |
| Liability for rediscounts, including those with Federal Reserve Bank ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  | 693, 968 | 296,192 |  |  |
| Ratio of reserve with Federal Reserve Bank to net deposit liability (per cent) |  | 12.9 | 12.4 | 12.8 | 10.0 | 7.4 | 9.9 | 10.1 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes United States Government securities borrowed by national banks.
*Includes other bonds and securities borrowed by national banks.

- Inciudes acceptances of other banks and foreiga bills of exchange sold with indorsement or other guaranty by national banks.


## INDEX.




The branch at Helena, Mont., has been authorized by the Federal Reserve Board, but is not yet open for business.
$\bigcirc$


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Certain of the data contained in this article have been taken from Onthank, The Tanning Industry.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ It is estimated that from 30 to 35 per cent of all soleleather goes from manufacturers to sole cutters and the shoe-repair trade.
    ${ }_{3}$ Data obtained by the Federal Trade Commission for the year 1918 give the output of shoeleather as 59 per cent of the total when measured in square feet, and 74 per cent when measured in pounds.

[^2]:    6 One authority believes however that, as the value of the average carload of hardwood is considerably in excess of that of a carload of softwood, and as many of the consumers of hardwoods are in business in a small way ind with limited capital, in actual practice more liberal terms are extended on hardwoods.

[^3]:    TOne association provides for discount for payment on receipt o invoice. Several other associations report these discounts generally in use among their membership
    ${ }^{8}$ A delivered price is generaliy quoted, and deduction of the freight by the purchaser permitted.
    ${ }^{9}$ With a relatively few producers the discount is $1 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent, and in only one territory may it be said to be practiced in a territorial way namely, Buffalo and Tonawanda, and there it is largely confined to sales through New York State.
    ${ }^{20}$ One western association states that 1 per cent 5 days after arrival
    is in general use among its membership.

[^4]:    ${ }^{11}$ Another also reports the use of the tradeacceptance to cover the net
    period.
    13 Oneassociation specifying 30 days states that the arrangement has been provided "to allow sufficient time for the shipper to render invoices and tally sheets and for the consignee to receive, check and
    make remittances and take advantage of the discount."

[^5]:    ${ }^{20}$ Certain of the data in this paragraph have been taken from United States Bureau of Foreign and Domestic Commerce, Miscellaneous Beries, No. 60.
    $9149^{\circ}-20-4$

[^6]:    1 In addition the following amounts were estimated grown in Lower California (Mexico): Aug. 1, 1920, forecast, 93,000 bales; July 1, 1920, forecast, 91,000 bales; estimate for $1919,52,000$ bales.
    2 Cotton grown outside of cotton belt included as follows: Aug. 1 1920, forecast, 12,000 bales July 1, 1920, forecast, 11,000 ba es; estimate for 1919 , 8,000 bales.

[^7]:    1 Buffalo.
    ${ }^{2}$ Pittsburgh and Cincinnati.
    Bat Orleans, Birmingham, Jacksonville, and Nashville.
    ( Detroit.

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes: Ore and base bullion, $850,023,000$; United States mint or assay office bars, 83,000 ; bullon refined, $\$ 4,973,000$; United States coin, $\$ 1,650,000$; foreign coin, $\$ 7,362,000$.
    , Includes: Domestic exports-Ore and base bullion, $\$ 727,000$; United States mint or assay office bars, $\$ 3,444,000$; bullion refined, $\$ 50,372,000$ coin, $\$ 13,969,000$. Foreign exports-Ore and base bullion, $\$ 1,000$; bullion refined, $\$ 16,334,000$; coin, $\$ 3,624,000$.

    Excess of silver exports over imports since Jan. 1, 1920, $\$ 24,460,000$. Excess of silver exports over imports since Aug. 1, 1914, \$453,832,000.

[^9]:    1 Discount rate corresponds to interest rate borne by certificates pledged as collateral, with minimum of 5 per cent in the case of Philadelphia, Atlanta, Kansas City, and Dallas, and $5 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent in the case of Richmond, Chicago, and San Francisco.

    Note.-Rates shown for Atlanta, St. Louis, Kansas City, and Dallas are normal rates, applying to discounts not in excess of basic lines fixed for each member bank by the Federal Reserve Bank. Rates on discounts in excess of the basic line are subject to a $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent progressive increase for each 25 per cent by which the amount of accommodation extended exceeds the basic line.

