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## REVIEW OF THE MONTH

Reserve bank credit outstanding in recent months has been in larger volume than in the early weeks of this year and

Volume and character of reservebank credit. considerably above the level of a year ago. In the middle of July total earning assets of the reserve banks were over $\$ 1,000,000,000$, that is, about $\$ 200,000,000$ above the amount in midsummer of 1924. Compared with the summer of last year the growth in reserve-bank credit in use, in the absence of change in the public's currency requirements, reflects chiefly the effect of gold exports, amounting to $\$ 115,000,000$ for the year, and a considerable growth in reserve balances of member banks corresponding to the increase in demand deposits at these banks, particularly at financial centers. The increase during the year in the outstanding volume of reserve-bank credit has been accompanied by a marked change in its composition. Acceptance holdings of the reserve banks, which a year ago were small, have increased considerably, and discounts for member banks are also larger than last summer, while Government security holdings of the reserve banks have declined. This change in the composition of earning assets reflects in part a reduction by the reserve banks of their security holdings and in part the growth in the demand for reservebank credit at financial centers, where member bank deposits showed the largest increase and where the influence of the demand for gold for export was first felt. This larger demand for reserve-bank credit at financial centers was met in part through the sale of bankers' acceptances to the reserve banks. The increase in the
earning assets of reserve banks for the year thus reflects a larger total demand for reservebank credit, while changes in the composition of the earning assets have been influenced by changes in the character and geographical location of this demand.

Of the total of $\$ 1,015,000,000$ of earning assets of the reserve banks on July 22, about 44 per cent represented discounts for
Composition of earning assets. member banks, 22 per cent purchased acceptances, and 33 per cent United States securities. The table below shows the composition of earning assets at different dates in the past two years:

| Earning Assets of Federal Reserve Banks [Amounts in millions of dollars] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ 25, \\ 1923 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ 23, \\ 1924 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan. } \\ 21, \\ 1925 \end{gathered}$ | July 22, 1925 | Per cent of total |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ 25, \\ 1923 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ 23, \\ 1924 \end{gathered}$ | Jan. 1925 | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ 22, \\ 1925 \end{gathered}$ |
| Discounts. | 761 | 291 | 203 | 443 | 74 | 36 | 22 | 44 |
| Acceptances.. | 177 | 32 | 306 | 225 | 17 | 4 | 32 | 22 |
| United States securities $\qquad$ <br> All other. $\qquad$ | 96 | 477 1 | 423 13 | 335 12 | 9 | 60 | 45 1 | 33 1 |
| Total earning assets $\qquad$ | 1,034 | 801 | 945 | 1,015 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

United States securities, which constituted only 9 per cent of earning assets in July, 1923, had increased to 60 per cent of the total last summer, but since that time the proportion has been reduced by one-half. Discounts for member banks, on the other hand, declined from 74 per cent of the total in July, 1923, to 21 per cent last winter, the lowest percentage for about eight years, and then advanced to nearly twice this percentage at the present time. The percentage of acceptances in the total of earning assets this summer is relatively high when compared not only with the negligible
proportion a year ago, but also with that in 1923, and this considerable volume of acceptances held is an important feature of the position of the reserve banks, particularly at this time of the year; when the reserve banks' acceptance holdings are usually at a low point and when the total volume of acceptances outstanding is seasonally small. Reserve bank holdings of acceptances last August constituted only about 3 or 4 per cent of the total volume of outstanding acceptances, while at the present time over 40 per cent of the reported total of acceptances is held by the reserve banks.

Among the factors accounting for the relatively large proportion of acceptances in the present total of earning assets

Growth of acceptance holdings.
of the reserve banks, in comparison with a year ago, the most important arise from the changes in the banking situation in the financial centers compared with the remainder of the country. A year ago, largely as the result of heavy gold imports and a relatively inactive demand for commercial loans, money conditions were exceptionally easy, especially at the financial centers, and the volume of reserve-bank credit in use was at the lowest level in recent years. The Federal reserve banks, furthermore, had purchased a considerable volume of United States securities, and the funds arising from these purchases had been used by member banks at the financial centers largely in repayment of borrowings at the reserve banks. The prevailing ease of money conditions also resulted in an active investment demand for acceptances, with the consequence that bankers and dealers were offering only a small amount of bills to the reserve banks, and the acceptance holdings of these banks declined rapidly to an exceptionally low level. In contrast to the !conditions prevailing in the summer of 1924, member banks at financial centers this year, instead of receiving large gold imports as in the previous year, have had to draw upon their balances with the reserve banks to meet a demand for gold for export, and in order to replenish their reserves have had to obtain additional Federal reserve bank credit. Furthermore, the accumulation of deposits at the central money market during the latter part of

1924 resulted in a large increase in reserve requirements of the member banks in New York City, and though there has been a large reduction in deposits at these banks since the opening of the year, the total is still above the level of a year ago. The demand for reserve-bank credit at the financial centers is much greater relatively to the rest of the country than it was last year, and the larger proportion of acceptances in the total earning assets of the reserve banks is due chiefly to the fact that at these centers a considerable proportion of reservebank credit is obtained through the sale of acceptances to the reserve banks.

The change in the origin of the demand for reserve-bank credit between last summer and the present time is brought out
Discounts in financial centers and outside. by the following table, which shows the discounts of Federal reserve banks for reporting member banks in New York City, for all other reporting member banks, that is, for banks in other large cities, and for nonreporting member banks, that is, for member banks outside these cities:

Discounts of Federal Reserve Banks
[ In millions of dollars]

| Discounts for- | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ 1924, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Suly } 15, \\ & 1925, \end{aligned}$ | Increase $(+)$ or decrease ( - ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All member banks | 305 | 455 | +150 |
| Reporting member banks: | 1272221 |  | $\begin{array}{r} +60 \\ +117 \\ +-27 \end{array}$ |
| In New York City-... |  | 72189194 |  |
| Nonreporting banks...... |  |  |  |

The total volume of discounts on July 15, 1925, was larger than a year ago by about $\$ 150$,000,000 , or nearly 50 per cent. The increase for reporting member banks in New York City was from $\$ 12,000,000$ to $\$ 72,000,000$ and for all other reporting member banks from $\$ 72$,000,000 to $\$ 189,000,000$, while member banks outside of the leading cities had a smaller volume of discounts this year than a year ago. The change in the geographical location of the demand for reserve-bank credit, furthermore, is only partly brought out by the figures on discounts, since it is the practice of member banks in the financial centers to obtain a considerable part of the reserve bank credit they require
through the sale of acceptances to the reserve banks. The principal market for acceptances is in New York City, and purchases are made largely in that market by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, some of them for account of other reserve banks. In such cases the funds arising from the purchase of bills become available to the New York money market, though the bills constitute a part of the assets not of the New York reserve bank but of the banks for whose account the purchases were made. The distribution of acceptances among the 12 Federal reserve banks, therefore, as shown by their statements of condition, does not indicate the extent to which reserve-bank credit is used by the member banks in the different districts, and in analyzing the acceptance holdings of the reserve banks in their relation to the demand for reserve bank credit the significant amounts are for the 12 reserve banks as a whole.

In its relation to the acceptance market, it is the policy of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, where the largest Relation of volume of acceptances are marreserve banks
to
acceptance keted, to stand ready at all market. times to buy at its buying rate, which is at or slightly above the market rate, all prime eligible acceptances tendered to it for sale by member banks. The reserve bank does not generally take the initiative in the purchase of acceptances, but purchases only such bills as are tendered to it for sale in most cases by member banks but also by nonmember banks and dealers in acceptances with a surplus of bills in their portfolios. Offerings of bills to the reserve banks increase in volume at times when money conditions become tighter and rates firmer, with the consequence that it is at such times that the volume of outstanding reserve-bank credit is likely to be increased by the purchase of acceptances. The same conditions tend to increase member bank borrowings at the reserve banks, so that in the relationship between member banks and the reserve-banks the rôle of acceptances is more nearly comparable to that of discounts than to that of United States securities. When a member bank requires additional reserve-bank
credit it is often in a position to choose whether to discount paper with the reserve bank or to sell to that bank some of the acceptances in its portfolio. The sale of acceptances has the advantage for the member bank of obtaining reserve-bank funds at a rate that is usually somewhat lower than the discount rate, and also of obtaining the use of these funds without incurring indebtedness at the reserve bank. There is a strong disinclination on the part of member banks against being in debt at the reserve bank, and the sale of acceptances enables them to secure the use of reserve-bank funds without resorting to direct borrowing. A factor in the situation, which enables the member banks in financial centers, in contrast to country banks, to obtain reserve-bank credit through the sale of acceptances, is the close relationship existing between the different member banks at these centers and between these banks and the money market. As the result of daily settlements through the clearing house, which are the outcome of a large volume and variety of transactions, favorable or adverse balances of each bank become reflected in gains or losses in their reserve accounts at the reserve banks, and it is chiefly the loss of reserve balances that leads member banks to seek additional reserve-bank credit. The extent to which the money market in the financial centers in its relation to the reserve banks has become coordinated is indicated in the development in recent years of the practice among member banks out of debt at the reserve bank, and having excess balances at that bank as the result of a day's settlements through the clearing house, to sell these balances at a rate frequently somewhat below the discount rate to other banks whose reserve balances are deficient. Member banks in financial centers, therefore, owing to the greater variety of their assets and the closer coordination among themselves, are in a position to replenish their reserves at the reserve banks in other ways than by discounting paper, and at the present time conditions have been favorable to the sale of acceptances as a means of obtaining a considerable part of the required volume of reserve-bank credit.

Acceptance credit has in recent years become an increasingly important method of financing our foreign trade. Recent esti-
Volume of acceptance credit. mates of the acceptance council placed the total volume of acceptances outstanding in January of this year at about $\$ 835,000,000$, a larger figure than at any time since 1919, when the volume was over $\$ 1,000,000,000$. In viets of the much lower price level at present than in 1919, the existing volume of acceptances must have served to finance a considerably larger physical volume of goods than did the somewhat larger total of acceptances six years ago. That acceptances purchased by the reserve banks have been used to a large extent to finance foreign trade is indicated by the fact that of the total of $\$ 253,000,000$ of acceptances held by the 12 Federal reserve banks at the close of June of this year, $\$ 120,000,000$ were acceptances based on imports, $\$ 87,000,000$ on exports, and $\$ 42,000,000$ on domestic transactions, the remainder representing a relatively small amount of dollar exchange and miscellaneous bills.
In order to obtain a more accurate idea of the purposes for which acceptances purchased by the Federal reserve banks have
Commodities been drawn, the Federal Reunderlying ac- serve Board has recently tabuceptance credit. lated in detail the commodities on which acceptances purchased by the Federal reserve banks have been based. This tabulation by commodities is now available for acceptances purchased during March and April, 1925, and is presented in detail on pages 559-563 of this issue. Opposite is given a table showing for the two months combined the principal commodities underlying the acceptances purchased by the reserve banks during those two months, exclusive of bills purchased under repurchase agreements for which the underlying commodities are not reported.

Acceptances drawn to finance imports during March and April were based on about 600 different commodities, and bills drawn to finance exports were based on over 250 commodities. The largest volume of import acceptances was used to finance imports of sugar, silk, coffee, hides and skins, wool, and rubber. Export acceptances were used chiefly for exporting cot-
ton, grain and flour, lard and meat, and copper. Cotton acceptances alone represented nearly one-half of the total export acceptances, and grain acceptances accounted for one-seventh of the total. Cotton and grains are also by far the most important commodities upon which acceptances arising out of domestic transactions were based, such acceptances being for the most part drawn for the purpose of financing the agricultural staples while they are stored in elevators and warehouses awaiting export, though some of the commodities so stored later go into domestic consumption. Acceptances purchased by the reserve banks thus represent an important part of the credit required for financing the distribution of agricultural and other products, and the practice of the reserve banks in supporting the acceptance market facilitates the marketing of the output of American industry and agriculture.
Acceptances Purchased by the Federal Reserve Banks During March and April, $1925^{1}$ [In thousands of dollars]

| Commodity | Based on- |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Im- | Ex. ports | Goods stored in or shipped between foreign countries | Domestic transactions | Total for four groups |
| Coconut oil | 826 | 19 |  |  | 845 |
| Coffee. | 12,128 | 983 | 4,283 | 358 | 17,752 |
| Copper | 916 | 4,536 | 692 | 535 | 6,679 |
| Copra. | 775 |  | 9 |  | 784 |
| Cotton. | 2, 269 | 40,470 | 4,942 | 27, 155 | 74,836 |
| Cotton manufactures | 803 | 334 | 6 | 1,194 | 2,337 |
| Farm implements. |  | 1,235 |  |  | 1,235 |
| Flour.-.-- | 21 | 3,157 | 2,087 |  | 5,265 |
| Furs. | 1,400 | 132 |  | 156 | 1,688 |
| Grain. | 330 | 10,318 | 419 | 16, 113 | 27, 180 |
| Hides and skins | 5,876 | 207 | 79 | 1,138 | 7,300 |
| Iron and steel. | 343 | 191 | 581 | 71 | 1, 186 |
| Lard and meat |  | 2,996 | 657 | 1,670 | 5,323 |
| Lumber. | 26 | 1,097 | 683 | 80 | 1,886 |
| Matches. | 75 | 100 | 975 |  | 1,150 |
| Nuts. | 984 | 11 | 16 | 178 | 1,189 |
| Pulp wood | 71 |  | 625 |  | 696 |
| Rubber. | 2,945 | 6 | 58 | 40 | 3,049 |
| Silk. | 14, 529 | 215 | 9 | 329 | 15,082 |
| Sugar. | 17,119 | 290 | 1,987 | 386 | 19,782 |
| Tin... | 844 |  |  |  | 844 |
| Tobacco. | 988 | 52 | 170 | 2,985 | 4, 195 |
| Wood pulp | 1,813 | 52 | 50 |  | 1,915 |
| Wool ...... | 9,671 | 61 | 281 | 1,419 | 11,432 |
| All other-.--...- | 12,557 | 10,212 | 5,309 | 5,480 | 33,558 |
| All commodities | 87,309 | 76,674 | 23, 918 | 59, 287 | 247, 188 |

${ }^{1}$ Exclusive of bills bought under repurchase agreements.

## NOTE

## Report of agent general for reparation payments.

The agent general for reparation payments, Mr. S. Parker Gilbert, has recently made public a report on the workings of the machinery set up under the Dawes plan during the first eight months of operation. A summary of this report appears on pages $550-559$ of this issue.

## BUSINESS CONDITIONS IN THE UNITED STATES

Production of basic commodities and factory employment declined further in June, while railway freight shipments and the volume of wholesale trade increased. Wholesale prices, after declining for two months, advanced in June.

Production.-Production in basic industries, as indicated by the Federal Reserve Board's $i_{\text {index, }}$ declined about 1 per cent in June to the lowest level since the autumn of 1924, but was 17 per cent above the low point of last summer. Output of pig iron, steel ingots, lumber, newsprint, and petroleum, and mill consumption of cotton declined in June, while production of bituminous coal, sole leather, and wheat flour increased. The number of automobiles manufactured during June was slightly less than in May. Factory employment declined 1 per cent and factory pay rolls over 2 per cent between May 15 and June 15, reflecting substantial declines in the automobile, boot and shoe, textile, and iron and steel industries. Building contracts awarded during June were larger in value than during May and almost equaled the peak figure for April. In square feet of floor space the June awards were a little smaller than those for May. Residential contracts in June were the smallest for any month since February, but greatly exceeded those of a year ago.

The Department of Agriculture estimate of the condition of all crops combined on July 1 showed some improvement from the month before. The corn crop forecast places it at approximately $650,000,000$ bushels above last year. The July 15 cotton crop estimate was $13,588,000$ bales, compared with a forecast of $14,339,000$ bales on June 25.

Trade.-Freight-car loadings were larger during June than during May, as is usual at that season, and also considerably exceeded the figures for June, 1924, the low point of last year. Sales at department stores during June were seasonally smaller than in May, but totaled 5 per cent more than last year. It should be borne in mind, however, that in June of this year there were four Sundays, as compared with five in the preceding month as well as in June, 1924. Mail-order sales were 6 per cent larger than in May and exceeded the amount for June, 1924. Sales of wholesale firms were 5 per cent greater than in May and larger than in any June in the last five years. Department-store stocks were reduced further in June, but were slightly larger



Weekly figures for 12 Federal reserve banks. Latest figures, July 22
 Employment, 94; pay roll, 105 .
than a year ago. Wholesale stocks of groceries, shoes, and hardware were smaller at the end of June than a month earlier, but those of dry goods and drugs were larger. Compared with a year ago, stocks of groceries and drugs were larger in value, while stocks of dry goods, shoes, and hardware were smaller.

Prices.-Wholesale commodity prices advanced 1.4 per cent in June, according to the index of the Bureau of Labor Statistics, following declines in April and May. The largest increase for any commodity group was for the miscellaneous group, which includes crude rubber; prices of farm products, foods, and fuel and lighting also advanced, while prices of building materials declined considerably. In the first half of July quotations on flour, beef, hogs, wool, copper, petroleum, hides, and rubber increased, while prices of sugar, bituminous coal, and hardwood lumber declined.

Bank credit.-At member banks in leading cities the volume of loans on securities continued to increase after the middle of June and during the first half of July was at a higher level than at any previous time. Demand for bank credit for commercial purposes was relatively inactive, and the volume of commercial loans at reporting member banks remained near the low level for this year, although considerably above the amount for the corresponding period in 1924.

At the reserve banks the seasonal demand for credit and currency was reflected in increased borrowing by member banks, which carried discounts at the beginning of July to the highest level in more than a year, and notwithstanding the subsequent decline, the total on July 22 was still at a relatively high level. Total earning assets on that date showed little change as compared with the figures for four weeks earlier.

Firmness in the money market at the close of the fiscal year was followed by an easing of money after the first week of July. In the latter part of the month there was again evidence of firmer money conditions. These changes were reflected chiefly in the movement of rates for call money, quoted rates on prime commercial paper and on bankers' acceptances remaining throughout the period at $33 / 4-4$ and $31 / 4$ per cent.

BUSINESS INDEXES OF THE FEDERAL RESERVE BOARD
[Monthly average 1919 = 100]

| Year and month | Production in basic industries ${ }^{1}$ | Factory employment | Factory pay rolls | Building contracts awarded | $\left.\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Railroad- } \\ \text { car } \\ \text { loadings } \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | Wholesale trade | $\underset{\text { Department-store }}{\substack{\text { Des }}}$ |  | Department-storestocks 1 |  | Bank <br> debits <br> outside <br> of New <br> City |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unadjusted | Adjusted | Unadjusted | Adjusted |  |
| January 1924 | 121 | 100 | 108 | 170 | 118 | 80 | 110 | 126 | 116 | 132 | 105 |
| February. | 121 | 101 | 114 | 163 | 125 | 78 | 102 | 128 | 127 | 135 | 109 |
| March... | 117 | 101 | 113 | 164 | 115 | 80 | 115 | 115 | 139 | 137 | 108 |
| A pril. | 114 | 99 | 111 | 150 | 121 | 78 | 133 | 131 | 141 | 136 | 111 |
| May... | 104 | 96 | 106 | 129 | 117 | 77 | 127 | 123 | 136 | 136 | 109 |
| June. | 94 | 93 | 100 | 125 | 103 | 76 | 120 | 120 | 127 | 134 | 103 |
| July..... | 95 | 89 | 92 | 121 | 111 | 78 | 91 | 123 | 123 | 131 | 108 |
| August. | 94 | 90 | 96 | 133 | 111 | 83 | 93 | 118 | 126 | 126 | 108 |
| September | 103 | 91 | 99 | 150 | 117 | 92 | 119 | 131 | 137 | 128 | 107 |
| October. | 109 | 93 | 103 | 166 | 120 | 95 | 141 | 124 | 148 | 132 | 112 |
| November. | 107 | 93 | 101 | 196 | 116 | 84 | 141 | 126 | 148 | 132 | 107 |
| December... | 117 | 94 | 106 | 180 | 124 | 79 | 210 | 131 | 124 | 133 | 112 |
| January 1925 | 127 | 95 | 103 | 168 | 123 | 79 | 108 | 124 | 119 | 134 | 120 |
| February | 124 | 96 | 109 | 159 | 125 | 76 | 101 | 131 | 127 | 135 | 121 |
| March .-- | 120 | 96 | 110 | 178 | 117 | 83 | 121 | 121 | 139 | 137 | 120 |
| April... | 119 | 96 | 108 | 176 | 129 | 79 | 136 | 133 | 140 | 136 | 122 |
| May.- | 111 | 95 | 108 | 151 | 123 | 79 | 128 | 124 | 135 | 135 | 119 |
| June.. | 110 | 94 | 105 | 171 | 117 | 83 | 126 | 126 | 128 | 134 | 122 |

${ }^{1}$ The indexes of production in basic industries, building contracts, car loadings, and bank debits are adjusted to allow for seasonal variations; the indexes of department-store sales and stocks are shown both with and without seasonal adjustments.

## BANK CREDIT

At member banks in leading cities security loans, which have advanced almost continuously for more than a year, increased sharply again in June and on July 1 were higher than at any previous time. The recent increase, occurring almost entirely in New York City, reflected in considerable measure preparations for mid-year disbursements, and was followed by declines during the first half of July. The total volume of these loans on July 15 was, however, still considerably larger than at the beginning of the year and $\$ 850,000,000$ above the level of a year ago. While the growth during the year was chiefly in the larger financial centers, there were some increases in all districts. The banks' holdings of investments have remained practically constant since the middle of March, and the total at the middle of July was nearly $\$ 600,000,000$ above that for July 16, 1924. Loans chiefly for commercial purposes have fluctuated during the past month and a half within a relatively narrow range near the low level for the year, but still more than $\$ 200,000,000$ above last year. Total loans and investments of reporting banks at the middle of July were $\$ 1,647$,000,000 higher than a year ago. Demand deposits of these banks on July 15 were about $\$ 400,000,000$ below the peak reached at the opening of the year, but $\$ 825,000,000$ above the level of last year, and time deposits were
almost $\$ 700,000,000$ higher than at that time. The following table shows the principal resources and liabilities of member banks in leading cities for each week between June 17 and July 15, as well as changes for the four weeks and for the year ending July 15:

Loans, Investments, and Deposits of Member Banks in Leading Cities
[In millions of dollars]


At the reserve banks, between June 24 and July 22, changes in the volume of credit outstanding were largely in response to seasonal changes in the demand for credit and currency over the mid-year and the July 4 holiday. On July 1 discounts for member banks were higher than at any time in more than a year and on

July 22, after a considerable decline, were more than $\$ 150,000,000$ above the level of July, 1924. The banks' holdings of acceptances and United States securities changed only slightly during the four-week period, and the total of these holdings was lower than it has been since last summer. Acceptances have increased considerably in proportion to the total of earning assets over the past year, and on July 22 were almost $\$ 200,000,000$ larger in volume than in 1924. The principal resources and liabilities of the Federal reserve banks for the period between June 24 and July 22 and changes for this period and since July 23, 1924, are shown in the following table:

Principal Resources and Liabilities of Federal Reserve Banks
[In millions of dollars]

| Date | Earning assets |  |  |  | Total cash reserves | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { de- } \\ \text { posits } \end{gathered}$ | Federal reserve note circulation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total 1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bills } \\ \text { dis- } \\ \text { count- } \\ \text { ed } \end{gathered}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Bills } \\ \text { bought } \\ \text { in } \\ \text { open } \\ \text { market } \end{array}\right\|$ | Gov-ernment securities |  |  |  |
| June 24 | 1, 034 | 455 | 242 | 325 | 2,959 | 2,210 | 1,634 |
| July 1 | 1,127 | 512 | 249 | 354 | 2,927 | 2, 252 | 1,653 |
| July 8 | 1, 043 | 450 | 241 | 339 | 2,924 | 2, 188 | 1,652 |
| July 15 | 1, 043 | 4.55 | 231 | 344 | 2,935 | 2, 232 | 1,627 |
| July 22 | 1,015 | 443 | 225 | 335 | 2,935 | 2, 200 | 1,605 |
| Increase ( + ) or decrease ( - ): <br> Four weeks ending July 22 | -19 | -12 | -17 | $+10$ | -24 | -10 | -29 |
| Year ending <br> July 22 | +214 | +152 | $+193$ | -142 | -339 | +55 | -177 |

${ }^{1}$ Including foreign loans on gold and all other earning assets.

## MONEY RATES

Somewhat firmer tendencies characterized money conditions during the last week of June and the first three weeks of July. Prime commercial paper of $4-6$ months maturities continued to be quoted at $33 / 4$ to 4 per cent, with New. York banks showing little interest in offerings at the lower rate, while the offering rate on 90 -day bankers' acceptances remained at the $31 / 4$ per cent level which had prevailed during the preceding five weeks. The yield on Government securities, both short-term certificates of indebtedness and Liberty bonds, however, rose gradually during the first three weeks of July in contrast to the steady and slightly easing tendency they had exhibited during the preceding two months, while the weekly average of renewal rates on call loans, after fluctuating for 11 consecutive weeks between $31 / 2$ and 4 per cent, was above the latter figure in all but the second week in July, and during the week ending July 4 was the highest
since the first week in January, 1924. The table below shows the rates prevailing in the New York market during the past three months:

Money Rates in New York

${ }^{1}$ Issues maturing Sept. 15, 1925.
In the London money market, money rates hardened somewhat throughout June, the average rate at which Treasury bills were tendered being 4.522 per cent during the last week as compared with 4.317 per cent at the end of May, while the rate on three-month bank bills was $4 \frac{9}{16}$ per cent as compared with $4 \frac{7}{16}$ per cent at the earlier date. During the first week in July rates eased off somewhat to 4.510 per cent in the case of Treasury bill tenders and $41 / 2$ per cent for three-month bank bills.

## aCCEPTANCES

The acceptance market was relatively quiet during the four weeks ending July 15, with the smallest volume of transactions reported for many months. Rates on all maturities were unchanged throughout the period. During the last half of June the demand for bills of the longer maturities, based partly on foreign orders, exceeded the supply at prevailing rates in New York, Boston, and Chicago, and New York reporting dealers' portfolios were reduced to a new low point for the year. Over the first of July, however, slightly firmer money conditions were accompanied by a moderate increase in the supply of bills and a slackening in demand. Later the volume of offerings again fell off and exceptionally quiet conditions were reported from all the important markets. Dealers' portfolios on July 16 were of moderate size and consisted chiefly of bills of the shorter maturities. Rates in the New York market on July 15 were $31 / 8$ bid and 3 per cent offered on 30 -day bills, $31 / 4$ bid and $31 / 8$ offered on 60 -day bills, $33 / 8$ bid and $31 / 4$ per cent offered on 90 -day bills, with $35 / 8$ to $33 / 4$ bid and $31 / 2$ to $35 / 8$ per cent offered on the longest maturities.

## CAPITAL ISSUES

New domestic capital issues during May, 1925, amounted to $\$ 472,000,000$, according to the compilation of the Commercial and Financial Chronicle. This total was approximately the same as in April, when $\$ 475,000,000$ of new issues were reported, but the composition of the borrowing showed marked changes. Corporate issues, which were large in April, due chiefly to the offering of the Dodge Bros.' motor securities during that month, fell off in May to about the March levels. New municipal flotations during May of $\$ 186,000,000$, on the other hand, nearly doubled those in April and were the largest since June, 1924, while farm-loan issues rose to $\$ 36,000,000$, the largest since January of the current year. Ameng the corporate securities, both public utility and railroad flotations were in larger volume than in April. Total domestic refunding operations during May fell off to about one-half the April figures.

The following table shows the domestic securities issued in May, 1925, as compared with those of the previous month and of May, 1924:

## Domestic Capital Issuds <br> [In millions of dollars]

|  | May, 1925 |  | April, 1925 |  | May, 1924 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | New | Refund- ing | New | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Refund- } \\ & \text { ing } \end{aligned}$ | New | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Refund- } \\ & \text { ing } \end{aligned}$ |
| Total corporate.-..- | 249.9 | 34.9 | 377.0 | 68.6 | 447.1 | 48.7 |
| Long-term bonds and notes. | 178.3 | 25.3 | 219.4 | 65.6 | 190.3 | 48.3 |
| Short-term bonds and notes. | 5. 6 | . 7 | 20.0 | . 2 | 8. 6 |  |
| Stocks | 66.0 | 9.0 | 137.6 | 2.8 | 248.2 | . 4 |
| Farm-loan issues.-.- | 36.2 185.7 | $\stackrel{.8}{8}$ | 6.4 | 4.7 | 5.7 |  |
| Municipal.-.-------- | 185.7 | 2.9 | 90.9 | 5.3 | 116.8 | . 6 |
| Total | 471.8 | 38.6 | 474.3 | 78.6 | 569.6 | 49.3 |

The total volume of foreign securities issued in the United States during May, 1925, amounted to $\$ 33,193,000$, according to the compilation of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York. This was the lowest monthly total since June, 1924. In June, 1925, however, foreign security flotations in the United States rose to $\$ 176,120,000$, the largest offerings in any month of the current year.

## SECURITY PRICES

During the last week in June and the first three weeks in July average prices of represen-
tative common stocks continued the advance that characterized the preceding three months, but prices of representative bonds fell off somewhat. The price index of 232 common stocks computed by the Standard Statistics Co. reached a new high on July 20, 4.1 points above the peak reached on February 9 and 14 points above the 1924 low on March 30. While both rails and industrials advanced during the period, the rise in the latter group of stocks was the more pronounced and accounted for the higher levels of the general average. The average of the 31 railroad stocks included in the index on July 20 was still 3.1 points below the high reached during the first week of March. Among the industrials the advance was broad, the tobacco, chain-store, mail-order, automobile and auto accessory, chemical, farm-implement, leather and shoe, rubber, traction, gas and power, and miscellaneous groups all making new highs for the year. The average prices of 40 bonds computed by Dow, Jones \& Co., after reaching a new high on the current movement the first week in June, fell off subsequently and by the middle of July had receded to the levels of the first week in May. The following table gives indexes of stock prices computed by the Standard Statistics Co. of New York, the average prices of 40 bonds computed by Dow, Jones \& Co., and the average number of shares of stock sold daily on the New York Stock Exchange for the last five months.

Index Numbers of Security Prices

|  | Price indexes of-1 |  |  | A verage price of 40 ds | Averagenumberof sharesof stocksold daily(000 omit-ted) ${ }^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 201 \text { in- } \\ \text { dustrial } \\ \text { stocks } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 31 \text { rail- } \\ \text { road } \\ \text { stocks } \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\underset{\text { stocks }}{232}$ |  |  |
| Average for- |  |  |  |  |  |
| February, 1925.---.---- | 127.5 | 112.9 | 123.2 | ${ }^{91.55}$ | 1,688 |
| March, 1925 | 123.9 | 110.3 | 119.9 | 91.35 | 1,651 |
| April, 1925 | 123.4 | 107.7 | 118.8 | ${ }_{81}^{91} 69$ | 1,088 |
| June, 1925. | 131.0 | 109.7 | 124.7 | 93.14 | 1, 313 |
| A verage for week ending- |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 6, 1925. | 133.1 | 111.0 | 126.7 | 92.89 | 1,410 |
| July 13, 1925. | 133.8 | 110.7 | 127.1 | 92. 90 | 1,365 |
| July 20, 1925..........-- | 136.2 | 111.1 | 128.9 | 92. 52 | 1,185 |
| July $27,1925 \ldots . . .-{ }^{\text {- }}$ |  |  |  | 92. 33 | 1,278 |

1 For the industrial stocks, the average of 1917-1921 prices equals 100; for the rails the average of the high and low prices made in the 10 years,
$1913-1922$, equals 100 . The indexes are weighted by the number of shares of each stock outstanding. Prices used are closing quotations on Monday.
${ }_{2}$ Arithmetic average of daily peak and low prices, as published in
the Wall Street Journal. Weekly averages are for week ending with Saturday, preceding date given.
Saturday, preceding daturdays omitted. Weekly averages are for five days ending with
Friday, preceding date given.

## AGRICULTURAL CREDIT BANKS

During June, 1925, intermediate credit banks closed direct loans amounting to $\$ 3,340$,366 for all districts; this amount is but 35 per cent of the amount closed in May, The new loans were extended largely in the Baltimore and Louisville farm-loan districts. Rediscounts closed in June, for all districts, totaled $\$ 3,952,465$, or 15 per cent smaller than in May. The amount for the Houston bank made slightly more than one-third of this total. The totalvolume of direct loans outstanding on June 30 was $\$ 25,156,010$, which is $\$ 2,562,256$ less than the amount of direct loans outstanding on May 31. The total volume of rediscounts outstanding on June 30 was $\$ 33,241,382$, which is an increase of $\$ 981,338$ over that on May 31.

The following table shows the volume of direct loans outstanding on July 18, the latest available date, compared with the volume outstanding on June 13, classified by commodities on which the loans were based.

Intermediate Credit Banks
[In thousands of dollars]


Federal land banks increased their mortgage loans during June by $\$ 6,461,702$ to $\$ 975,175,-$ 003, and joint-stock land banks by $\$ 7,508,202$ to $\$ 501,673,342$.

## AGRICULTURE

In July the composite condition of all crops showed some improvement over the preceding month, and forecasts on the basis of conditions on July 1 indicate that the total wheat crop will be slightly larger than was expected a month earlier. The forecast for the corn crop is 3,095,-

000,000 bushels, the largest on record with the exception of 1912 and 1920. From the largest acreage in the history of the industry a cotton crop approximating $13,588,000$ bales is indicated on the basis of the condition of the crop at the middle of July. With the exception of the drought areas of the Dallas Federal reserve district and certain sections of the Kansas City district, pastures and ranges were in better condition on July 1 than a year ago and livestock, except in the sections where the drought has continued serious, showed similar improvement.

Harvesting of the small grain crops began earlier this year than in 1924 and was practically completed by the middle of July in the St. Louis, Kansas City, and Dallas Federal reserve districts, as well as in the southern reserve districts. The total volume of marketing of agricultural products in June was slightly

larger than in May, but smaller than in June last year. Receipts of grain at leading markets were in 11 per cent larger volume than last year and reflected the early harvesting season. Exports of agricultural products were in 18 per cent smaller volume than in May and for the first time since last July were in smaller volume than in the corresponding month of the preceding year. Smaller exports of grains, fruits, vegetables, and tobacco than in June last year were mainly responsible for the decline in the total volume.

Prices at the beginning of the present marketing season, while slightly lower than early last spring, are considerably higher than in the summer of 1924. The accompanying chart, based upon the Department of Agriculture's farm price index, shows the fluctuations of farm prices since January, 1922.

## Grain.

Harvesting of winter wheat was practically completed in the Dallas, Kansas City, and St. Louis districts late in June and early in July. The July 1 forecast of the Department of Agriculture showed a further reduction of the estimated yield of winter wheat of $3,000,000$ bushels, which is now $404,000,000$ bushels, as compared with $590,000,000$ bushels last year. The spring wheat crop improved during June and the total yield of all wheat is now placed at $680,000,000$ bushels, as against $873,000,000$ bushels last year. The first official estimate of Canadian wheat placed the yield at $365,000,000$ bushels, $103,000,000$ bushels greater than the final harvest of last year. Crop conditions in European countries continue to be favorable and much larger crops of both wheat and rye are expected than were harvested last year.

On the basis of the condition of July 1, the expected yield of corn is over $3,000,000,000$ bushels. This is $650,000,000$ bushels over last year and $160,000,000$ bushels over the $1920-$ 1924 average. Growing conditions were good in the principal growing areas, though it was still dry in the South, Southwest, and in some sections of the north central district.

Wheat prices fluctuated within wide margins during June and July. On July 10 No. 2 red winter wheat at Chicago was $\$ 1.575$ per bushel; on July 17 it had advanced to $\$ 1.661$. A month earlier the quotation was $\$ 1.828$ a bushel, while a year ago it was only $\$ 1.275$. No. 1 northern spring at Minneapolis showed even greater fluctuations. On July 10 the quotation was given as $\$ 1.516$ per bushel. The market then advanced to $\$ 1.684$ on July 17. A month ago the price was $\$ 1.609$ a bushel, while a year ago it was but $\$ 1.331$.

As a result of the early harvest in the Southwest the new wheat crop began to reach the market earlier than last season, and the total volume of grain marketed in June, as reflected by receipts at 17 interior centers, was $22,397,000$ bushels. This is $3,731,000$ bushels more than in May and $4,515,000$ bushels larger than in June, 1924.

The carry-over of wheat in the United States is $17,000,000$ bushels less than in 1924 and amounts to $87,000,000$ bushels for the present year. It is estimated that the world carry-over will be $75,000,000$ bushels smaller than last year. At the same time the world wheat crop yield for 1925, according to the Department of Agriculture, is not expected to exceed that of 1924. The year ending June 30 saw a large increase in wheat exports over both

1923 and 1924. The following table shows the exports of wheat, including flour, since 1922:

Wheat Exports ${ }^{1}$

| Year ending June 30- | Amounts (in <br> bushels) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1922 \ldots$ |  | $279,228,000$ <br> 1923 |
| 1925 |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Including flour.

## Cotton.

With the exception of the drought which continued in sections of Texas and Oklahoma, weather conditions in June were generally satisfactory for the growing cotton crop, In July, however, the crop in the Piedmont sections of the Carolinas and Georgia began to suffer from dry weather and the effects of the continued drought in Texas and Oklahoma became evident. Approximately $46,500,000$ acres, as compared with $42,600,000$ acres last year, were planted, and on the basis of the condition of the crop on June 25 a total yield of $14,339,000$ bales was indicated by the Department of Agriculture. During the first two weeks of July the condition of the crop declined rapidly, particularly in Oklahoma and Texas, and the expected yield was reduced to $13,588,000$ bales on the basis of the condition of the crop on July 16.

Fertilizers were used in larger quantities in all cotton-growing States except Texas and Louisiana during the first six months of this year. A total of $4,593,000$ tons was sold in 1925, as compared with $4,207,000$ tons in 1924.

Marketing of the old crop showed a further seasonal decline in June, and exports were in smaller volume than in June last year. During the eleven months of the crop year ending June 30 approximately $14,460,000$ bales came into sight, approximately $7,993,000$ bales were exported during the same period, and takings by American spinners totaled $6,460,000$ bales. Stocks at public warehouses at the end of June amounted to 760,000 bales, compared with 882,000 bales last year, and the amount that remained on farms to come into sight was estimated to be 683,000 bales, about the same as a year earlier.

During the last weeks of June cotton prices declined in anticipation of the expected large yield and for the week ending July 3 the price for spot cotton middling grade at New

Orleans closed at 22.95 cents a pound. During the first three weeks of July, when the effects of the deterioration of the crop in Texas and Oklahoma became evident, the market strengthened and on July 25 the price was 25.25 cents a pound. Prices of spot cotton and October futures at the end of each week since the middle of June are shown in the table:

|  | Spot | October futures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June 13. | 24. 15 | 22.23 |
| June 20. | 23.95 | 22.99 |
| June 27. | 24.00 | 23.28 |
| July 3. | 22.95 | 23.12 |
| July 11. | 23.50 | 22. 98 |
| July 18. | 23. 85 | 23.31 |
| July 25. | 25.25 | 24.78 |

Tobacco.
From a total acreage 1 per cent smaller than in 1924, the expected yield of tobacco is $42,403,000$ pounds larger than the final harvest of $1,240,513,000$ pounds last year. Larger production is expected from all of the principal producing Federal reserve districts except Philadelphia and St. Louis. In the Atlanta district the States of Georgia and Tennessee show large increases in acreage and the expected yield in that district is 18 per cent larger than in 1924, the greatest increase for any Federal reserve district. The expected yield this year and the final harvest in 1924 for the principal producing districts are shown in the following table:

Production of Tobacco
[Thousands of pounds]

| Federal reserve district | Yield, 1924 | Forecast <br> July 1, 1925 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Philadelphia | 59,800 | 52,783 |
| Cleveland. | 147, 384 | 148, 289 |
| Richmond | 488, 240 | 532, 811 |
| Atlanta. | 110,326 | 129,910 |
| St. Louis.. | 338, 335 | 319, 174 |

Marketing of the old tobacco crop was completed earlier this year than in 1924, and by the end of June practically the entire crop had been sold by the growers or delivered to their marketing associations. Total sales in May and June at loose-leaf warehouses this year amounted to only $1,394,622$ pounds, compared with $6,757,015$ pounds during the corresponding months in 1924.

Reports to the Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia indicate that the demand for cigars fell off during June, but was maintained
at about the same level as a year earlier. Early in July manufacturers were operating their plants at a maximum of about 65 per cent of capacity. Orders for finished products are being filled as they come in, and unfilled orders are not large.
Fruits and vegetables.
Supplies of fruits and vegetables have recently been in about the usual summer volume. Shortages of potatoes, cabbage, and onions have been made up partly by the larger supply in early fruits and miscellaneous vegetables. In recent years truck raising has been steadily increasing and has doubled in volume during the past seven years. Prices showed the usual downward summer trend, but without noticeable weakness.

The July crop report indicated a rather poor outlook for most fruits and vegetables. The estimated production of potatoes is $68,000,000$ bushels below the average yield for the past five years. Conditions are poor in the South and in many States of the East and Middle West, as a result of dry weather. A commercial apple crop of $29,230,000$ barrels, or about the average, is expected, but the proportion of boxed apples will be larger. Pears and peaches are not far from the average. A 3,000,000 bushel increase over last year in California peaches offset losses elsewhere. A similar situation obtains in California as to grapes.

Shipments of new apples began early; the first shipments came from southern Illinois and Delaware. The Georgia peach season passed its peak by the middle of July, after Elbertas began to reach the market. Shipments were 2,400 cars larger than the corresponding period of 1924 , according to the crop and market report of the Department of Agriculture. Total shipments for June were 4,730 cars, considerably above any June for which there is a record. Tomato shipments totaled in June 5,971 cars, the largest for any June since 1922, and 1,900 cars more than last month. Shipments of watermelons and cantaloupes each exceeded 10,000 cars, the former reaching 10,959 cars and the latter 10,085 cars. Watermelons were more than 4,000 cars ahead of last June, while cantaloupe shipments were 1,500 cars less than in June, 1924. Oranges continued below the 1924 record, being in June but 61 per cent of the June, 1924, shipment. Lemon shipments for June exceeded those of a year ago and reached a total of 1,852 cars.

The old apple crop finished the year with shipments of nearly 100,000 cars, which
amounted to but three-quarters of those of the previous year. Washington, New York, and Virginia furnished more than half the crop.

## Livestock.

Ranges are generally good to excellent in condition, being reported as 87 per cent of normal on July 1 by the Department of Agriculture. This is the same figure as for June 1 and 5 points higher than on July 1, 1924. Ranges of the San Francisco district improved during June and were substantially better than a year ago. In the Minneapolis district ranges are above the average. Conditions in Wyoming and Nebraska are excellent, but ranges in the remaining sections of the Kansas City district need rain. Ranges in the Dallas district continue to suffer from drought and were

in relatively poor condition. Except in the Southwest, cattle and sheep were reported to be in good condition. The receipts of lambs from the Pacific Northwest are reported to be large and of unusually good quality at the principal markets.

According to the Department of Agriculture's June pig survey, the spring crop was 8.7 per cent smaller than a year ago. The survey indicates that this year's production of pigs (both spring and fall crops) will be approximately $43,000,000$ in the Corn Belt, as compared with $47,500,000$ in 1924 and $59,000,000$ in 1923. Favorable prices and a large crop of
corn will probably lead to an increase of breeding stocks.

There was a 2 per cent increase in marketing livestock in June over May, but the number was 7 per cent smaller than in June of last year. Cattle and sheep showed little variation in June from the receipts in May, but were each 6 per cent greater than the receipts of June, 1924. Receipts of hogs were 16 per cent less than in June, 1924 , but were nearly 7 per cent greater than in May, 1925.

With the prospects of a smaller supply the price of hogs advanced. The accompanying chart shows the marketings and price of hogs since January, 1922. For the week ending July 17 the price for hogs at Chicago was $\$ 14.25$ per hundred pounds, as compared with $\$ 10.13$ a year ago. The price for beef cattle rose from $\$ 10.90$ to $\$ 13.00$ per hundred pounds during the month and the price of sheep was slightly higher than a month earlier.

## Dairy products.

Pasturage conditions were adversely affected in June by hot dry weather, but more recently beneficial rains in the principal dairying sections have reversed these conditions. Forecasts by the Department of Agriculture indicate a hay crop (both tame and wild) of $92,600,000$ tons. This is $19,900,000$ tons less than last year. Receipts of butter at leading markets in June indicated that production showed the usual seasonal increase over May, but that it was 4 per cent less than last year. Receipts of cheese not only indicated the normal gain in production in June, but also showed an increase of $2,000,000$ pounds over June, 1924. There was the usual June movement into storage of dairy products. Stocks of butter increased $50,623,000$ pounds, but were $10,787,000$ pounds less than last year at this time. Stocks of cheese in storage at the end of June were nearly $1,000,000$ pounds larger than last year and were the largest holding in recent years.

The price situation remains relatively unchanged, though there was a temporary reaction the latter part of June. This was more than made up by the middle of July. Butter and cheese prices recently have been at higher levels than for several years, but it has been difficult to determine any evidence of real trend, as prices hovered within a very narrow range.

A new record in volume of dairy production was established in the United_States last year,
according to the Department of Agriculture, and is shown in the following table:

Dairy Production in the United States

| Product | Production in pounds (000 omitted) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1924 | 1923 |
| Butter. | 1,356,000 | 1,252, 000 |
| Cheese.-.-.il | 1,412,940 | , 394, 747 |
| Canned milk | 1,700,548 | 1,774,881 |
| Milk and cream ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ | 52, 772,000 | 50,440, 000 |

1 Production in gallons.
${ }^{2}$ Estimated consumption in households.

## MINING

## Coal and coke.

In spite of a current rate of output definitely above the low levels that characterized the early spring of this year and the spring and summer of last year, the market for bituminous coal continued sluggish during the last part of June and the first half of July, and the Coal Age index of spot prices for bituminous coal fell slightly on July 13 to a new low of $\$ 1.93$ as compared with $\$ 1.94$ a month previous. Stocks of bituminous coal in the hands of

consumers on June 1 were estimated by the Geological Survey at $38,000,000$ net tons, as compared with $44,000,000$ net tons on March 1 , and $51,000,000$ net tons on June 1 of last year. This is the lowest level to which stocks have fallen in over two years and is estimated to represent only 32 days' supply. Output of bituminous coal in June was $37,167,000$ net tons, the highest since March, and 18 per cent above June, 1924. During the first half of July production was at about the same level
as in June, average output per working-day for the week ending July 11 being $1,438,000$ net tons, as compared with $1,437,000$ net tons during the week ending June 13. This was the fifteenth week during which current output was above 1924 and brought total 1925 output to July 11 to 247,763;000 net tons, as compared with $243,427,000$ net tons during the corresponding period of last year.

Output of anthracite during June was $7,804,000$ net tons, 4 per cent under May but above any other month since March, 1924. In the first week of July output fell off, due to the holiday, but during the week ending July 11 had risen to $1,854,000$ net tons, as compared with $1,870,000$ net tons during the week ending June 13. Prices rose slightly at the beginning of the month.

June production of coke, both by-product and beehive, was slightly smaller than in May, the combined output amounting to $3,753,000$ tons as compared with $3,898,000$ tons in May. This was the smallest output since last November, but 26 per cent above June, 1924. Weekly production of beehive coke continued to decline during the first part of July, output for the week ending. July 11 being 126,000 tons as compared with 136,000 tons for the week ending June 13.

## Petroleum.

After reaching a record volume of $70,000,000$ barrels in May, the production of petroleum declined steadily in June and the first two weeks of July. From a record high level of 2,346,900 barrels during the last week of May, the daily average output declined to $2,115,000$ barrels for the week ending July 18, and the total production for June is estimated at 67,000,000 barrels. Sharp declines in the production at the Smackover (Arkansas) field were largely responsible for the decline in June. With the increase in production during April and May there was a slight decline in the price of crude petroleum. The Oil, Paint, and Drug Reporter's average price at three fields declined from $\$ 2.40$ a barrel in March to $\$ 2.32$ in May, but in June it advanced to $\$ 2.47$, a level higher than at any earlier month this year or in 1924. Advances, however, were more common for the high gravity light oils, which were in great demand by refineries in view of their high gasoline content.

Production of all refined products increased in May and was larger than in May last year. Production of gasoline amounted to 922,046,000 gallons, 7 per cent more than in April,
the previous record volume. The domestic demand was approximately $831,788,000$ gallons, 4 per cent greater than in April, and exports were slightly smaller. As a result of a slightly larger increase in production than in consumption and a smaller volume of exports than in April, reserve stocks at the end of May were slightly larger than at the end of April. Total stocks at refineries amounted to $1,716,922,000$ gallons, about a two months' supply at the May rate of consumption. With the exception of a slight decline in March and April, prices of gasoline have advanced steadily since last autumn, and at the end of June the Oil, Paint, and Drug Reporter's average price at three refineries was 16.96 cents a gallon, as compared with 11.52 cents a gallon last year.

## Nonferrous metals.

The activity which characterized the nonferrous metal markets the preceding month was maintained during the last half of June and the first half of July, and prices for copper, tin, and silver continued to rise, in contrast to lead which rose during the first part of June and subsequently dropped back to the quotations prevailing in the third week in May. On July 15 refined electrolytic copper delivered in New York was quoted at $141 / 4$ cents, as compared with $131 / 4$ cents during the third week in April when the low for 1925 was reached and $151 / 8$ cents at the high during the second week in January. Output of copper in the United States during June was estimated at $140,644,000$ pounds, as compared with $140,066,000$ pounds in May and 127,866,000 pounds in June, 1924. Consumption has been high during 1925 and, despite record production as compared with previous years, current stocks are estimated to be well below levels of last year. Quotations for zinc, which dropped during the first half of June, were strong during the succeeding four weeks and by the middle of July reached the levels that marked the end of March. Though zinc output during June was the lowest since last November, it was in excess of shipments, which were below any month since August, with the result that stocks on hand at the end of the month increased to nearly 23,000 tons. Output of lead during June, on the other hand, surpassed the March peak and was 9 per cent above June, 1924.

Quotations for bar silver in New York made a new high for the year at $701 \frac{1}{2}$ cents on June 26, under the stimulus of active Chinese demand. This was $11 / 4$ cents above the previous high on January 31 and 4 cents over the low
for the year on April 23. Quotations eased subsequently and on July 15 were $695 / 8$ cents, as compared with 683/4. cents on June 17. Deliveries of tin into the United States during June were in greater volume than in May but below any other month of the current year, and stocks at the end of the month in New York also rose above the low May totals but remained below any other month since March, 1924. Prices continued to rise, straits tin being quoted at 58 cents on July 15, as compared with $501 / 2$ cents on April 15 and $601 / 2$ cents on January 3.

## MANUFACTURING

## Food products.

The production of wheat flour was 9 per cent greater in June than in May, totaling 8,917,000 barrels, but was smaller than in June, 1924 , by 415,000 barrels. Demand for flour improved during June, but, as usual before the wheat harvest figures become known, prices of wheat and flour have fluctuated rather sharply and buyers have been cautiously restricting purchases to their immediate needs. Quotations on standard patents at Minneapolis on July 17 were $\$ 9$ per barrel, as compared with $\$ 8.30$ the week before, $\$ 8.50$ a month ago, and $\$ 7.70$ a year ago. Flour exports in June rose to 819,561 barrels from the year's low in May of 690,228 barrels. With the exception of May, June exports were the smallest of the year and were 354,000 barrels below last June.
Sugar meltings in June were slightly larger than in May, 1925, and June of last year. Meltings in June, 1925, were 487,000 long tons. Willet \& Gray state that the total meltings and deliveries at United States ports between January 1 and June 27 were larger by 286,000 long tons than in the same period last year. Although sales of refined sugar both at home and exports to Great Britain were larger than last year, production was still greater, with the result that stocks of refined sugar are heavy and prices are lower. The present quotation, 5.35 cents per pound, is the lowest in several years. Raw sugar on the same date (July 17) was 4.27 cents per pound. Estimates place the world's new crop at $25,000,000$ long tons, the largest on record.

The number of animals slaughtered in June was the largest in any month since February. Slaughterings were 9 per cent larger than in May, but were 6 per cent less than in June, 1924. Hogs furnished the increase in slaughtering over May. Sales reported in dollars by 47 packers aggregated nearly 5 per cent greater
than in May and 24 per cent above June, 1924, a part of this gain being due to higher prices. Prices at Chicago continued to advance throughout June, though lambs showed an easing tendency about the middle of the month. Cold-storage holdings of meat products were reduced during the June and were less than a year ago. There was little change in the export situation; meat and lard forwarded for export did not differ materially from the quantity exported in May.

## Textiles.

Some improvement was noted in textile markets late in June and during July. Buying of goods became more active and prices strengthened. In general, productive activity "has been less recently than during the early months of this year, but greater than in the summer of 1924. The silk industry, however, has continued unusually active throughout the year.

Cotton-goods and yarn markets have been somewhat more active in recent weeks, and price advances have been noted again for the first time since last March. The lowest figures since 1922 were recorded by the Fairchild index of cotton yarn prices on June 6 and by the goods index on June 27. In recent weeks these prices have tended to be affected by fluctuations in the raw-cotton market. A rise in quotations on goods and yarns was checked after the large crop estimate on July 2; later in July, however, they increased again, and rather sharp advances followed the reduced crop forecast of July 23. Buying of goods slackened somewhat about the middle of July, but became active again after the latest crop report. Consumption of cotton by mills, and active spindle hours, were reduced in June, but not to as great an extent as in the same month of 1923 and 1924, and both of these measures of manufacturing activity were considerably greater than a year ago. The decline in activity in recent months has been noted in all States, although it was of somewhat greater magnitude in New England than in the South. New England mills, on the other hand, have shown a greater increase since the low point of last year than have the southern mills. Cotton finishers reported larger orders but smaller shipments and billings in June than in May. Employment and pay rolls in the cotton finishing industry were unusually large in March, but have declined steadily since that time. Spring lines of woolen and worsted piece goods for men's wear were opened the latter part of July,
with prices in general about 7 per cent lower than the corresponding opening a year ago. About the same time wages were reduced approximately, 10 per cent in many important New England mills. Tropical goods were opened early in July with reductions on some lines. Markets for woolen and worsted goods and yarns have been rather dull recently, awaiting the openings. The number of active machinery hours in the industry during June was less than in any month since last August. Wool consumption, on the other hand, according to preliminary reports, was slightly greater in June than in May. Raw-wool markets became more active in June and July and prices rose. London auctions opened and sales were resumed in Australia early in July, and subsequently markets were reported to be fairly strong and active. According to the Fairchild indexes, prices of raw wool, tops, and worsted yarns, after rapid declines early in the year, reached low points in May and June and have recently advanced. Seasonal movements of employment and pay rolls were noted in the clothing industries during June-up in men's clothing and down in women's clothing. In the former industry, however, the indexes were smaller than a year ago, while for the latter they were approximately the same.

Since last fall the silk industry has passed through a period of activity greater than at any time since 1920. Imports of raw silk continued rather large in June and warehouse stocks were increased, but mill takings were large, and reports indicate that manufacturers were operating at a high rate, with employment and pay rolls as large as at any time in the last five years. Furthermore, the demand for goods has continued active, the volume of unfilled orders large, and prices firm. About the first of July quotations on Kanzai No. 1 raw silk in the New York market rose to over $61 / 2$ cents, the highest price recorded since the spring of 1923. A slight recession has occurred since that rise.

Some manufacturers have opened their spring, 1926, lines of underwear, but many have not yet announced new prices. Quotations available are approximately the same as those of a year ago. Reports indicate that the volume of buying has recently been rather small. Statistics for June showed an increase in the production of winter underwear and a decrease in the output of summer garments. New orders were smaller than in May, but shipments increased. Stocks showed another increase. Lower prices for the spring of 1926
were recently announced on bathing suits by certain important producers. The hosiery market has continued fairly active. Preliminary figures indicate an increase in shipments during June and slight decreases in production and new orders. For women's full-fashioned hose, however, all items increased.

## Iron and steel.

Summer is usually the quietest season in iron and steel markets, and in general buying and production are now about at the lowest levels of the year. The reduction in activity during the first six months of this year, however, has been considerably less than that for the corresponding period last year. Steel mills, for example, report operations in July at about 60 per cent of capacity, whereas a year ago they were around 35 per cent. Ingot production was at the rate of 123,348 tons a day in June, as compared with the March peak of 161,482 tons, and 72,223 tons in July, 1924, the low point of recent years. The total output for the first six months was greater than that of the corresponding period of all years except 1923 and 1918. Pig-iron production declined in June to a daily average of 89,115 tons, as compared with 94,542 tons in May and 67,541 tons in June, 1924. There was a net loss of seven in the number of active blast furnaces between June 1 and July 1. Unfilled orders of the United States Steel Corporation declined 339,342 tons in June to $3,710,458$ tons.

Prices have been firmer in recent weeks, and, owing largely to increases in quotations on sheets, the Iron Age composite price of finished steel advanced from 2.424 cents a pound on June 23, the low point of recent years, to 2.439 cents a pound on July 21. In the week ending July 7 , however, the corresponding composite of pig-iron prices declined from $\$ 19.13$ a ton to $\$ 18.96$ a ton, a new low figure. Buying of pig iron for thirdquarter requirements has about ceased, and as yet few orders for the fourth quarter have been placed. Steel buying has been rather active recently in some lines, particularly for use in the building and automobile industries, which together generally use over 25 per cent of all steel. The markets for sheets and for bars have been well maintained by demand from automobile producers. Sheet sales, reported by association members, amounted to 286,453 tons in June as compared with 186,538 tons in May. Structural steel bookings in June were estimated at 255.200 tons the largest
recorded in any month except March, 1923. Shipments totaled 229,100 tons, which figure has not been exceeded in the past two years. Railroad buying, however, which ordinarily accounts for another 25 per cent of steel consumption, continues small, although some increased interest in rails is reported. Locomotive shipments were slightly larger in June than in May, but orders decreased.

## Automobiles and tires.

Activity continues at a fairly high rate in the automobile industry. Production during June, although slightly less than in April and May, was greater than in the corresponding month of previous years, and the total output for the first six months of the year also established a new high record. About 350,000 passenger cars were produced in the United States during June, as compared with a maximum of 376,000 in April, and with 214,000 in June, 1924. Truck production declined from 41,000 in ${ }_{\text {a May }}$ to 36,000 in June, but exceeded figures for any month of last year. Reports from the industry in July indicate further seasonal declines in production schedules; buyers are apparently waiting for the new models which are expected on the market soon.
Shipments of automobiles in June were less than in May, but much larger than a year before. Certain large manufacturers, reporting to the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago, indicated that their sales to dealers and dealers' sales to users during June were approximately equal to each other, whereas in April and May and in June of last year dealers received more cars than they sold. Wholesale distribution, according to certain Middle Western dealers, was less in June than in May, but over double that for June, 1924. Retail sales increased as compared with both of the months with which comparisons were given. Stocks of cars held by dealers decreased.
Crude rubber has continued its sharp price advance, and during July spot quotations reached $\$ 1.20$ a pound, as compared with about 20 cents a year earlier. Rather large reductions occurred later in the month. Imports continue to be large. Advances in tire prices, following previous increases on May 1 and June 1, were announced on July 1 and again on July 18. These prices are now about 35 or 40 per cent higher than in April. Production and shipments of pneumatic tires during June attained new high records, and stocks which were on March 31 at the maximum ever recorded were reduced on June 30 to an amount smaller than that of a year earlier.

## Lumber.

As reported to the National Lumber Manufacturers Association by 516 mills, production of lumber in June, totaling $1,400,566,000$ feet; exceeded shipments by $97,000,000$ feet, or 7 per cent. Both production and shipments fell off in June; but, as compared with 1924, June totals were in larger volume. During March, April, May, and June, this year as in 1924, production each month ran above shipments following several months during which shipments were somewhat in excess. On the half year's account as a whole, however, shipments and production have run out in nearly equal volume, production being slightly in excess. The Southern Pine Association reports June production and shipments in reduced volume as compared with May but somewhat in excess of 1924. In general this holds true also of production and shipments of Douglas fir, as reported by the West Coast Lumbermen's Association, although reports from this association have shown a somewhat larger excess of shipments over production for several months past. For the first half year as a whole, a small excess of production over shipments is shown for southern pine and a small excess of shipments over production for Douglas fir.

From current reviews of the lumber industry it would appear that production is running on a narrow margin of profit, if not in some lines at a loss. Some manufacturers, it is reported, have announced shutdowns, and further curtailment or a suspension of production has been proposed. It is noted that with the demand for hardwoods exceptionally active prices are tending downward, and that many operators have closed down. The situation is characterized as one in which production is in nearly equal volume with shipments, with orders in somewhat larger volume.

## Hides, leather, and shoes.

Hide markets have been rather active recently with advancing prices, leather has been in somewhat greater demand, and shoes are beginning to be sold in fair volume for next season's needs. Hide prices during July continued the advance begun last spring, and on July 17 light native cows were quoted at 16 cents a pound, as compared with $131 / 4$ cents on April 17 and $113 / 4$ cents on July 18, 1924. The latest quotation was above the highest price recorded last November and has not been exceeded since late 1922. Prices on some of the other kinds of hides, however, were still lower than during last January.

Some increase in activity has peen noted in leather markets recently, and prices have been firm. Sole leather production was larger in June than in any month since last December, and considerably exceeded the output of June, 1924. Tanners' finished stocks and stocks in process increased. Stocks of sole leather held by shoe manufacturers at the end of May were larger than at any time since the figures began to be collected in 1920. Production of all the chief kinds of leather except goat and kid was reduced during June, in many cases to the lowest for the year. Stocks, although some increases were noted, continued relatively small.

Shoe manufacturers reported the receipt in recent weeks of a rather substantial volume of orders for fall goods, and factory operations were increased toward the end of July. Production, according to preliminary reports, was seasonally lower in June than in May. In the St. Louis district, however, manufacturers reported an increase in output.

## BUILDING

June awards of building contracts in 11 Federal reserve districts totaled $\$ 561,000,000$, according to compilations by the F. W. Dodge Co., exceeding the value contracted for in May by $\$ 52,000,000$ or 10 per cent, and exceeding last year's figure for June by $\$ 146,000,000$, or 35 per cent. The increase over May this year is particularly significant, in view of the fact that in recent years the aggregate of values contracted for has fallen off in June, the net decrease in the 11 districts last year in June as compared with May amounting to $\$ 30,000,000$.

Totals by districts indicate that very generally the country over the high level of building activity indicated by awards in May was maintained through the month of June. It may be noted, however, that nearly $\$ 30,000$, 000 of the $\$ 52,000,000$ net increase over May (noted above) is credited to the Chicago district and nearly $\$ 9,000,000$ to the New York district. Very substantial increases for June this year over last are shown for each Federal reserve district excepting Dallas.

Awards covering residential construction fell off in June from $\$ 237,000,000$ to $\$ 218,000,000$. Although constituting a smaller proportion of the total for all classes in June as compared with May, awards for this class of construction this year in the 10 districts for which comparable data are available exceeded the June, 1924, aggregate value of residential awards by $\$ 47,000,000$, or nearly 30 per cent.

Square feet contracted for in 27 Northeastern States in June totaled for all classes of construction $66,579,000$, falling 3 per cent below the corresponding figure for May, but exceeding the June, 1924, aggregate by 38 per cent. Increases for June this year over 1924 are shown for each class of construction excepting public buildings.

Values permitted for in 168 cities ran in nearly the same volume in June as in May, but exceeded last year's June aggregate by nearly 30 per cent. In comparison with last year, a relatively greater increase is shown for values than for number of permits, the average value per permit increasing approximately from $\$ 4,100$ to $\$ 5,200$.

Computations by the F. W. Dodge Co., covering the value of permits issued in 210 representative cities, show a net gain of 8 per cent for the first half of 1925 over the same period in 1924. On the half year's account as a whole New York City shows a decrease of 15 per cent and the remaining cities a net increase of 18 per cent. In June this year 142 of these cities reported an increase over June of last year and 67 a decrease for permit valuations.

The Bureau of Labor Statistics index for wholesale prices of building materials declined from 175.1 in May to 170.7 in June, indicating that prices of materials in June were on the average at the level of last September and October. This index reached a maximum of 182.7 in February.

## TRANSPORTATION

In June, as in each preceding month of this year excepting February, the aggregate of car loadings for all classes of freight combined exceeded the corresponding figure for 1924, the decrease shown for February being fairly accounted for by the extra day last year. As compared with 1924 the roads loaded in round numbers 525,000 , or nearly 14 per cent, more cars in June this year. Increase over last year was reported by the carriers in each of the seven districts for which reports of loadings to the car service division of the American Railway Association are separately compiled. By classes of commodities also, as well as geographically, this very considerable increase over last year was widely distributed, increases' being shown for each class of freight excepting livestock and grain products. Summary totals covering the "delivery service" of the carriers in the first
half of the year are given below for 1925 in comparison with 1924 and 1923. Particularly significant are the large increases over the two preceding years in loadings of miscellaneous freight including merchandise moving in less than carload lots:

Car Loadings

|  | All classes of freight | Miscellaneous, including less-thancarload merchandise |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January to June, inclusive: |  |  |
| 1925. | 24, 183, 973 | 15, 194, 452 |
| 1924 | $23,195,474$ | 14, 278, 854 |
| 1923 | $23,004,894$ | 14, 237, 849 |
| Increase: |  |  |
| 1925 over 1924. | 988,499 | 915,598 |
| 1925 over 1923. | 1,179, 079 | 956,603 |
| Increase, per cent: |  |  |
| 1925 over 1924 | 4.3 | 6. 4 |
| 1925 over 1923 | 5.1 | 6.7 |

Large increases over 1924 are shown also in the reports covering freight movement during the weeks ended July 4 and July 11. According to compilations by the Bureau of Railway Economics, loadings for the year to July 11 exceeded the average for the six years, 1919-1924, by 15 per cent, the percentage increase over the six-year average being for manufactured products 20.2 , for forest products 23.1 , for grain and grain products 2.3 , and for coal and coke 2.5 .
Although freight has been moving in record high volume during the half year, the carriers have maintained constantly a large surplus of cars available for service. For the first quarter of July a surplus of 311,572 cars was reported, and this is approximately the surplus reported from quarter to quarter for several months past.

Gross revenues of the roads in the first five months of the year aggregated $\$ 2,388,417,-$ 735, exceeding the six-year average for these months by 6.5 per cent. In the same period expenses, exclusive of taxes, totaling $\$ 1,902,-$ 839,910, were 2.3 per cent below the six-year average. Rate of return on property investment was equivalent to 4.38 per cent, the rate earned by carriers in the eastern district being 5.10 per cent, in the southern district 5.55 per cent, and in the western district 3.13 per cent. For the month of May the ratio of operating expenses to operating revenue was 76.89 per cent, the corresponding ratio for May of last year being 79.89.

## Wholesale trade.

Total volume of wholesale trade was larger during the first six months of 1925 than in 1924. Sales of meats increased substantially and hardware and drugs were sold in somewhat larger volume. Dry goods were sold in about the same volume as in 1924 , but sales of groceries and shoes were slightly smaller.

In June the Federal Reserve Board's combined index of wholesale trade increased 5.6 per cent and reflected the largest volume of trade for that month since 1920. Sales in all lines, except shoes, which were in approximately the same volume, were larger than in May, the most substantial increases being in the sales of groceries, meats, and dry goods. Groceries were sold in larger volume in all Federal reserve districts except Richmond and St. Louis, and hardware sales increased in all districts except Richmond, Dallas, and San Francisco.

Sales in all lines were larger than in June, 1924, and the value of meat sales was larger than for any month in the past five years.


The chart shows the monthly volume of meat sales from January, 1921, to May, 1925. Sales of dry goods were approximately 18 per cent larger than in June last year. Increases were noted in all Federal reserve districts except Dallas, but the largest were in the New York and the four mid-western districts. Sales of agricultural implemente were 31 per cent greater than in June, 1924, and for the six months ending with June they were 31 per cent larger than during the corresponding period last year.

Increased sales in June resulted in a reduction of stocks of merchandise at wholesale firms, which at the end of June were smaller than at the end of any month since December. Stocks of groceries, shoes, and hardware were
smaller than at the end of May, and those of dry goods, shoes, and hardware, were smaller than last year. The value of stocks of meat was 36 per cent greater than last year, partly because of higher prices for certain meat products than in June, 1924. Accounts receivable outstanding at the end of June were larger for groceries in all districts from which reports were received than at the end of May, but were smaller for dry goods and hardware. As compared with a year ago, accounts outstanding were smaller for all leading lines except dry goods.

## Retail trade.

Volume of trade at retail stores was larger during the first six months of 1925 than in the corresponding period last year. Sales at department stores were more than 2 per cent larger than during the first half of 1924 and buying at mail-order houses and 5 -and-10-cent chains, grocery, drug, and music chain stores was more than 9 per cent greater than last year.
Sales at department stores, as measured by the Federal Reserve Board's index, were about 2 per cent smaller in June than in May, but this decline was considerably less than that which usually occurs in June.: It should be borne in mind, however, that in June of this year there were four Sundays as compared with five in May, as well as in June, 1924. Smaller sales were reported from the Cleveland, Atlanta, Minneapolis, Dallas, and San Francisco Federal reserve districts, the greatest decline being 8 per cent in the San Francisco district. As compared with June, 1924, total sales were 5 per cent larger and increases were noted in all districts, the most substantial being in the Chicago and San Francisco districts.

Stocks at department stores were reduced slightly more than the usual seasonal amount in June and at the end of that month were in about the same volume as last year. Stores in the Boston, Atlanta, Chicago, and San Francisco Federal reserve districts reported smaller stocks than last year, but in nearly all other districts inventories were larger.

As a result of larger sales in June than last year and of stocks in about the same volume, the rate of stock turnover was 8 per cent greater than in June, 1924. For the six months ending with June total stocks were turned over 1.66 times, as compared with 1.62 times during the corresponding period last year. On the basis of these data the annual rate of stock turnover for the first half of 1925 was 3.32 times, as compared with 3.24 times last year.

Stocks were sold at a faster rate than last year in all Federal reserve districts except Philadelphia and Cleveland.

## EMPLOYMENT

Factory employment and pay rolls declined further during June, and the Federal Reserve Board's indexes were at the lowest levels since last winter, although higher than during June, 1924. The declines were rather general, as increases were noted in only a few seasonal industries such as food products, men's clothing, lumber, cement, and brick. By industries, the greatest increases were in men's clothing and the greatest decreases in women's clothing, both of which movements were seasonal. As may be seen from the tables on pages 569-570, the most important industrial groups-iron and steel and textiles-continued to show declines in both employment and pay rolls. Substantial decreases were also noted in the automobile industry following several months of rapid increases. Boots and shoes showed seasonal recessions. As compared with June, 1924, the indexes of employment and earnings were somewhat larger in the automobile, textile, iron and steel, and leather and shoe industries, whereas both indexes for railway-car building and repairing were substantially smaller.

In general, according to the Bureau of Labor Statistics, employment and earnings increased during June in the States west of the Mississippi River and decreased in the Eastern States, whereas comparisons with a year ago indicate increases in the East and decreases in the West. No important wage changes during June were reported to the Bureau of Labor Statistics. Late in July, however, important woolen and worsted manufacturing companies and some tire manufacturers have announced decreases in wage rates. Employment in coal mines, according to reports, has decreased further during the summer. Representatives of miners and operators in both anthracite and bituminous fields have recently been in conference regarding wage agreements, and newspaper reports indicate the possibility of strikes. A large volume of road construction and building of all kinds is under way and furnishes employment for many workers. The demand for farm labor is likewise seasonally large.

## SAVINGS DEPOSITS

Savings deposits reported by 902 banks distributed throughout the United States totaled $\$ 7,983,373,000$ on July 1, compared with
$\$ 7,853,724,000$ on June 1 and $\$ 7,450,005,000$ on July 1, 1924. Small increases occurred in all except the Philadelphia district, the greatest advance being in the Atlanta district. A comparison of savings deposits on July 1, 1925, with a month and a year previous is shown, by Federal reserve districts, in the following table. In the Boston and New York districts the figures represent only deposits of mutual savings banks; in all other districts where there are but few mutual savings banks, savings deposits of other banks are included.

Savings Deposits, by Federal Reserve Districts
[In thousands of dollars]

| Federal reserve district | Number of banks | $\underset{\text { Ig25 }}{ }$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } 1, \\ 1925 \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{1924}{\text { July }^{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Boston | 64 | 1,322, 249 | 1,314,076 | 1,256, 624 |
| New York. | 30 | 2, 108, 961 | 2, 079, 086 | 1,981, 700 |
| Philadelphia | 79 | 524, 176 | 521,359 | 488,816 |
| Cleveland. | 70 | 867, 166 | 845,650 | 8\%9, 248 |
| Richmond. | 87 | 355, 571 | 347,000 | 315, 352 |
| Atlanta. | 93 | 256, 650 | 246, 299 | 233, 086 |
| Chicago | 196 | 958, 863 | 946, 447 | 922, 619 |
| St. Louis | 31 | 143, 883 | 142, 633 | 138, 550 |
| Minneapolis | 26 | 106, 715 | 105, 123 | 99, 108 |
| Kansas City | 53 | 107, 138 | 106, 331 | 104, 857 |
| Dallas.. | 102 | 106, 158 | 102, 935 | 95, 573 |
| San Francisco | 71 | 1,125, 843 | 1,096,785 | 1,004, 472 |
| Total | 002 | 7, 983, 373 | 7, 853, 724 | 7,450, 005 |

## PRICES

Wholesale prices, according to the index number of the Bureau of Labor Statistics, rose by 1.4 per cent in June, following declines in April and May. The prices of farm products, foods, fuel and lighting, and miscellaneous commodities advanced, while all other groups declined, building materials showing a decrease of 2.5 per cent as compared with May, 1925. The 5 per cent increase in miscellaneous commodities reflects chiefly the continued rise in the price of rubber. Price increases in anthracite coal, fuel oil, and gasoline resulted in the 2.6 per cent adrance in the fuel and lighting group.

Compared with a year ago, wholesale prices are 8.9 per cent higher. The farm products, foods, chemicals and drugs, and the miscellaneous groups show the largest advances, while fuel and lighting, metals, building materials, and house furnishings are lower.

When regrouped by stage of manufacture, all groups in June showed an increase over May figures except crops and forest products. Forest products dropped 4.3 per cent, while crops were only 0.7 per cent lower.

In the following table are shown index numbers of wholesale prices in the United States
as grouped by the Bureau of Labor Statistics and as regrouped by the Federal Reserve Board:

## Wholesale Prices in the United States

| $[1913=100]$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1925 |  |  | 1924 |
|  | June | May | April | June |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Farm products............-.-....- | 155 | 152 | 153 | 134 |
| Foods... | 155 | 153 | 154 | 136 |
|  | 188 | 188 | 190 | 187 |
|  | 173 | 168 | 169 | 175 |
| Metals.......-.... | 126 | 127 | 129 | 132 |
| Building materials. | 171 | 175 | 174 | 173 |
| Chemicals and drugs | 133 | 133 | 134 | 127 |
| House furnishings.- | 170 | 170 | 170 | 172 |
| Miscellaneous.... | 138 | 131 | 129 | 111 |
| Federal Reserve Board groups: |  |  |  |  |
| Raw materials .---.-..... | 162 | 160 | 161 | 147 |
| Crops | 175 | 176 | 173 | 165 |
| Animal products. | 141 | 134 | 141 | 109 |
| Forest products. | 181 | 189 | 187 | 182 |
| Mineral products | 167 | 167 | 168 | 168 |
| Producers' goods.... | 135 | 131 | 131 | 130 |
| Consumers'goods. | 165 | 164 | 166 | 151 |

In July prices of wheat, beef, hogs, wool, and rubber advanced, while prices of sugar, potatoes, silk, and eggs declined.

Retail food prices in June increased by more than 2 per cent over May, according to the Bureau of Labor Statistics. Prices of potatoes, oranges, eggs, and cabbage showed a marked increase, while only two commodities, hens and coffee, decreased to any extent.

The cost-of-living index for June, as computed by the National Industrial Conference Board, increased 1 per cent over May. The average prices of food, clothing, and fuel advanced, while the other groups remained unchanged.

## COMMERCIAL FAILURES AND BANK SUSPENSIONS

During June 1,745 commercial failures, with liabilities of $\$ 36,701,496$, were reported by R. G. Dun \& Co., bringing the total number of failures for the second quarter of the year to 5,451 and total liabilities to $\$ 110,916,670$. Although insolvencies were somewhat more numerous in the second quarter of the year than in the same quarter of the two preceding years, the total amount of indebtedness in default during the past three months was lower than it has been in the second quarter of any year since 1920. The number of insolvencies and the liabilities involved were smaller in the quarter just ended than in the same period last year for both the manufacturing group
and agents' and brokers' group and were larger this year than last for the trading group. Failures were less numerous in the second than in the first quarter of this year for all three classes, and liabilities in default were higher for only the manufacturing class of enterprise. The number and liabilities of commercial failures, by class of enterprise, are shown in the following table for the second quarter of 1924 and 1925 and the first quarter of 1925:

Commercial Failures, Classified for the First and Second Quarters of 1925 and the Second Quarter of 1924

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{} \& \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Number} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Liabilities (000 omitted)} <br>
\hline \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{1925} \& 1924 \& \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{1925} \& 1924 <br>
\hline \& $$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Second } \\
\text { quar- } \\
\text { ter }
\end{gathered}
$$ \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { First } \\
& \text { quar- } \\
& \text { ter }
\end{aligned}
$$ \& $$
\left|\begin{array}{c}
\text { Second } \\
\text { quar- } \\
\text { ter }
\end{array}\right|
$$ \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Second } \\
& \text { quar- } \\
& \text { tor }
\end{aligned}
$$
ter \& First quarter \& Second ter <br>
\hline All classes.....- \& 5,451 \& 5,969 \& 5,130 \& \$110,917 \& \$128,482 \& \$119,594 <br>
\hline Manufacturing....... \& 1,261 \& 1,318 \& 1,384 \& 47,440 \& 40, 618 \& 57, 540 <br>
\hline Trading \& 3,942 \& 4,387
264 \& 1,477

269 \& 54,569
8,908 \& 63,316
24,548 \& 48,875
13,179 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

The number and total liabilities of commercial failures in June were slightly lower than in May, but were nearly 10 per cent higher than in June last year, the number being, in fact, higher than in June of any year since 1915 and the liabilities the highest for the month of June since 1922. The increase in June this year as compared with last was most marked in the trading class of failures. Insolvencies for June of this year and last, by class of enterprise, are presented below:

Commercial Failures, Classified for June, 1924 AND 1925

|  | Number |  | Liabilities |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1925 | 1924 | 1925 | 1924 |
| All classes. | 1,74.5 | 1,607 | \$36,701,496 | \$34, 099, 031 |
| Manufacturing. | 431 | - 439 | 16, 159, 040 | 16, 645,661 |
| Trading .-. | 1,229 | 1,084 | 17, 213, 189 | 14, 809, 593 |
| Agents, brokers, | 85 | 84 | 3,329, 267 | 2, 643,777 |

Comparing June of this year and last, failures were more numerous this year in the Boston, Chicago, St. Louis, Minneapolis, and San Francisco districts, and liabilities were larger in all except the New York, Richmond, St. Louis, and Dallas districts. In the Atlanta district the number of failures was lower than
for any month since October, 1920, and in the New York district the total indebtedness involved in failures was lower than for any month since May, 1920. Comparative data, by districts for the month of June, are presented in the following table:

Failures During June

| Federal reserve district | Number |  | Liabilities |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1925 | 1924 | 1925 | 1924 |
| Boston. | 200 | 154 | \$3, 343, 294 | \$2, 724, 380 |
| New York | 320 | 334 | 4, 560, 093 | 10,967, 752 |
| Philadelphia | 70 | 81 | 2, 373,659 | 1,323,953 |
| Cleveland. | 149 | 149 | 5, 512, 039 | 3, 685, 790 |
| Richmond | 116 | 126 | 2, 196, 548 | 2, 701, 150 |
| Atlanta. | 73 | 103 | 2, 099, 949 | 1, 805, 051 |
| Chicago. | 229 | 194 | 7, 112,848 | 5, 387, 561 |
| St. Louis. | 86 | 81 | 883, 277 | 975, 155 |
| Minneapolis | 89 | 70 | 3, 342, 444 | 1,432, 514 |
| Kansas City | 109 | 113 | 1,342, 887 | 1,013, 266 |
| Dallas.. | 44 | 50 | 1,536,229 | 765, 071 |
| San Francisco | 260 | 152 | 3,398, 229 | 1,317, 388 |
| Total | 1,745 | 1,607 | 36, 701, 496 | 34, 999,031 |

During June 32 banks, with capital and surplus of $\$ 1,767,000$, were reported to the Federal reserve banks as having been declared insolvent or closed, as compared with 56 banks reported for May and 44 banks for June last year. Of the total, 26 , with capital and surplus of $\$ 1,282,000$, were nonmember banks, as against 44 in May, and 6 , with capital and surplus of $\$ 485,000$, were member banks, as against 12 in May. Comparing May and June, the largest decreases were in the Richmond and Dallas districts. In the Minneapolis district two nonmember banks which had previously been closed were reported to have resumed operations during the month. The figures for closed banks represent, so far as could be determined, banks which have been declared insolvent or have been closed by order of supervisory authorities, and it is not known how many of the latter institutions may ultimately prove to be solvent.

Banks Closed During June, 1925

|  | All banks |  | Member : |  | Nonmember |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Num- } \\ \text { ber } \end{gathered}\right.$ | Capital and surplus | Num- | Capital and surplus | Num- | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Capital } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { surplus } \end{aligned}$ |
| All districts.- | 32 | \$1, 767, 000 | 6 | \$485,000 | 26 | \$1, 282, 000 |
| Richmond. | 2 | 131,000 |  |  | 2 | 131,000 |
| Atlanta | 2 | 29,000 |  |  | 2 | 29,000 |
| Chicago. | 6 | 282,000 | 1 | 35, 000 | 5 | 247,000 |
| St. Louis | 5 | 477,000 |  |  | 5 | 477, 000 |
| Minneapolis | 10 | 544,000 | 3 | 355, 000 | 7 | 189, 000 |
| Kansas City. | 2 | 77,000 | 1 | 60,000 | 1 | 17,000 |
| Dallas.-. | 3 | 166,000 |  |  | 3 | 166,000 |
| San Francisc | 2 | 61,000 | 1 | 35,000 | 1 | 26,000 |
| ${ }^{1}$ Includes 1 State in the Chicago distr $\$ 27,500$, in the Minn | memb <br> ict and eapolis | er bank, w 1 State m district. | ith cap mber, | ital and with cap | urplus <br> al and | of $\$ 35,000$, surplus of |

## FOREIGN TRADE

Excess imports of merchandise over exports were shown for the first time since July, 1924, in the figures for June, and amounted to $\$ 2,017,000$. Imports of merchandise were $\$ 325,167,000$, slightly less than for May, and comparing with $\$ 274,000,000$ for June, 1924. Exports were $\$ 323,150,000$, a decline of $\$ 48,253,000$ compared with May, and the lowest figure shown since July, 1924.
For the six-month period ended June, 1925, imports of merchandise amounted to $\$ 2,064,000,000$, an increase of $\$ 214,000,000$ over the first six months of 1924 , while exports of merchandise increased $\$ 274,000,000$ and totaled $\$ 2,364,000,000$. Net exports increased by $\$ 60,000,000$. Total visible imports increased by $\$ 26,000,000$, while total visible exports increased $\$ 460,000,000$, so that the total visible export balance was $\$ 468,000,000$, an increase over the 1924 period of $\$ 434,000,000$.

| Merchandise | Trade <br> IIn thousa | ALANCE <br> TES <br> of dollar | F THE | NITED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | Imports | Exports | Excess of imports | Excess of exports |
| 1924 |  |  |  |  |
| January | 295, 506 | 395, 172 |  | 99,666 |
| February. | 332, 323 | 365, 775 |  | 33,452 |
| March. | 320, 482 | 339,755 |  | 19,273 |
| April | 324, 291 | 346,936 |  | 22,645 |
| May. | 302,988 | 335, 099 |  | 32, 111 |
| June. | 274, 001 | 306, 989 |  | 32,988 |
| July . | 278, 594 | 276, 649 | 1,945 |  |
| August | 254,542 | 330, 659 |  | 76, 117 |
| September | 287, 144 | 427, 460 |  | 140,316 |
| October-..- | 310, 752 | 527, 172 |  | 216,420 |
| November | 296, 148 | 493, 573 |  | 197, 425 |
| December- | 333, 192 | 445, 748 |  | 112,556 |
| Year | 3,609,963 | 4,590,987 |  | 981, 024 |
| January |  |  |  |  |
| February | 333, 457 | 370,676 |  | 37,219 |
| March. | 385, 379 | 453, 653 |  | 68, 274 |
| April | 346, 081 | 398, 218 |  | 52,137 |
| May | 327, 519 | 371,403 |  | 43,884 |
| June-.-......----- | 325, 167 | 323,150 | 2,017 |  |


| Trade Balance of Months Ende <br> [In thou | THE U <br> ed JUNE <br> usands of | NITED <br> E, 1924 <br> dollars] | TATES <br> AND 19 | ${ }_{R}$ Six |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Merchandise | Gold | Silver | Total |
| Imports 1924 |  |  |  | 2,110,352 |
| Exports. | 2, 089, 726 | 3,855 | 51, 577 | 2, 145, 158 |
| Net imports ( - ) or exports ( + ) | +240, 135 | -222,387 | +17,058 | +34,806 |
| 1925 |  |  |  |  |
| Imports. | 2, 063, 768 | 40,667 | 32, 180 | 2, 136,615 |
| Exports.-..........-.-.-........ | 2,363, 543 | 190, 936 | 50, 510 | 2,604,989 |
| Net imports ( - ) or exports ( + ) | $+299,775$ | +150,269 | +18,330 | +468,374 |

## GOLD MOVEMENTS

## UNITED STATES

Total imports of gold during June amounted to $\$ 4,426,000$, which is $\$ 6,967,000$ less than in May and \$20,755,000 less than in June of last year. $\$ 2,841,000$, or about 64 per cent, came from Canada and $\$ 485,000$ from Mexico, while $\$ 683,000$, or about 15 per cent, came in small amounts from various countries of Central and South America. Exports of gold during June were $\$ 6,712,000$, about one-half as large as in May and by far the smallest amount shown since last December when the outward movement of gold began, but $\$ 6,444,000$ larger than in June, 1924. Of the June total, $\$ 3,640,000$, or 54 per cent, was exported to Hongkong.

Gold Imports into and Exports from the United States
[In thousands of dollars]


For the six-months period ended June, 1925, total gold imports were $\$ 40,667,000$, or about one-fifth as large as for the corresponding period of 1924 when they amounted to $\$ 226,242,000$.

Total exports were $\$ 190,936,000$ compared with $\$ 3,855,000$ for the 1924 period, an increase of $\$ 187,081,000$. Net exports for the 1925 period were $\$ 150,269,000$, as against net imports for the 1924 period of $\$ 222,387,000$.

Silver imports during June were $\$ 4,917,000$, of which $\$ 3,423,000$ came from Mexico. Silver exports were $\$ 8,517,000$, of which $\$ 5,063,000$ were sent to British India and $\$ 2,676,000$ to China.

## GREAT BRITAIN

Total gold imports increased during May and were $£ 2,650,000$, compared with $£ 1,031,000$ in April, and $£ 3,367,000$ in May, 1924. Imports of $£ 2,149,000$, or more than four-fifths of the total for the month, were shown from Russia, and were the first reported shipments of gold from that country into Great Britain since an isolated shipment of $£ 559,500$ in January, 1921. Imports from the Transvaal declined from $£ 715,000$ to $£ 129,000$. Total gold exports during May were $£ 2,634,000$, compared with $£ 1,992,000$ during April, or an increase of $£ 642,000$, and compared with $£ 6,279,000$ during May, 1924. The greatest increases were shown in exports to the United States, which rose from no exports during April to $£ 356,000$ during May; in exports to British India, which rose by $£ 339,000$; and to Switzerland, which rose by $£ 300,000$. Other countries showed moderate increases. Net imports during May were $£ 16,000$, compared with net exports of $£ 961,000$ during April, and with net exports of $£ 2,912,000$ during May, 1924.

For the five months ended May, 1925, total gold imports were $£ 12,223,000$, compared with $£ 18,330,000$ during the similar period of last year, the decline amounting to about 33 per cent. Imports from the Transvaal declined by $£ 12,000,000$, which was partly offset by an increase of $£ 4,000,000$ from the United States and by the import during May of $£ 2,000,000$ from Russia. Total exports for the five-month period of 1925 were $£ 16,263,000$, a decline of 47 per cent from the earlier figure. Exports to the United States declined by $£ 21,000,000$ and to Egypt by $£ 1,000,000$; while exports to British India rose by $£ 3,500,000$ and to Switzerland by $£ 1,000,000$. Net exports were $£ 4,040,-$ 000 , compared with $£ 12,366,000$ during the five months of 1924.


## FOREIGN EXCHANGE

Sterling exchange during July remained at practically the same level as in June, about \$4.86, with fluctuations narrower than during June and considerably narrower than during May. The French franc, after having declined on June 30 to 4.51 cents per franc, which was the lowest point touched since March, 1924, advanced to 4.73 cents on July 21 and averaged 4.70 for the month. Belgian francs on July 1 were quoted at 4.43 cents per franc, the lowest point touched since June, 1924, advanced to 4.70 on July 6, and thereafter maintained a level of about 4.62. Italian lire on July 1 stood at 3.35 cents per lira, the lowest quotation since December, 1920, rallied to 3.80 on the 11th, and then stood at about 3.67 until the end of the month. The Netherlands florin fluctuated between 40.04 and 40.18 cents per
florin during July, compared with 40.05 and 40.18 during June. Danish kroner showed steady advances during the past two months, rising from 18.73 cents per krone on June 2 to 23.77 cents on July 28 . Norwegian kroner rose from 16.74 cents per krone on June 2 to 18.43 cents on July 2, declined to 17.49 cents on the 11th, and rallied to 18.79 on the 28th. Swedish kronor stood above parity since June 29, reaching a high of 26.91 cents per krona on July 18. Swiss francs have been above par since April 6, and for the past two months have averaged between 19.40 and 19.41 cents per franc. The Canadian dollar also has stood somewhat above par since June 25.

Argentine pesos advanced from 90.73 cents per peso on June 10 to 91.89 cents on July 13, and averaged 91.75 for July, as compared with 91.33 for June. Brazilian milreis and Chilean pesos averaged somewhat higher during July than during June. Uruguayan pesos advanced from 96.39 cents per peso on June 10 to 100.04 cents on July 28 .

The Shanghai tael declined from 77.71 cents per tael on June 27 to 76.18 cents on July 21, but averaged 76.54 cents during July, as compared with 76.09 cents during June. Indian rupees have stood at about 36.60 cents per rupee since June 25. Japanese yen advanced from 40.30 cents per yen on June 11 to 41.36 cents on July 13, and averaged 41.07 cents during July, compared with 40.83 cents during June.

Foreign Exchange Rates
[In cents]

| Exchange | Par value | July, $1925{ }^{\text { }}$ |  | June, 1925 |  |  | June, 1924, age |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Low | High | Low | High | $\begin{gathered} \text { Aver- } \\ \text { age } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Sterling | 486. 65 | 485.44 | 486. 12 | 485.74 | 486.21 | 486. 04 | 431. 99 |
| French franc. | 19.30 | 4.48 | 4. 75 | 4.51 | 5.02 | 4. 77 | 5.25 |
| German reichsmark | ${ }^{23.82}$ | 23. 80 | 23.80 | 23. 80 | 23.80 | 23. 80 |  |
| Italian lira ---.-.- | 19.30 | 3.35 | 3.80 | 3. 51 | 4. 04 | 3. 83 | 4. 33 |
| Netheriands fiorin.. | 26.80 | 40.04 26 | 26. 91 | 26. 75 | 46. 80 | 26.76 | 26.54 |
| Swiss franc | 19.30 | 19.40 | 19.42 | 19.35 | 19.42 | 19.40 | 17.66 |
| Canadian dollar | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100. 11 | 99. 99 | 100.01 | 100.00 | 98. 44 |
| Argentine peso | 96. 48 | ${ }^{91.64}$ | ${ }_{71} 91.89$ | ${ }^{90} 43$ | ${ }_{77} 9.17$ | ${ }^{91 .} 33$ | 73.89 |
| Shanghai tael | 66.85 | 76. 18 | 76.92 | 74. 84 | 77.71 | 76. 09 | 71.37 |

1 Not including figures for the 31st.

## REPORT OF THE AGENT GENERAL FOR REPARATION PAYMENTS

Under date of May 30, 1925, the agent general for reparation payments submitted to the Reparation Commission a report covering the period since September 1, 1924, the date on which actual operations began under the Dawes plan. ${ }^{1}$ In view of the importance of this report a fairly extended summary is here presented.

The two months between the adoption of the plan and October 31, 1924, when the permanent agent general for reparation payments assumed office, are referred to as the transition period. While the report, therefore, reviews the first eight months of the first annuity year, ${ }^{2}$ it covers the first six months of effective operation of the reparation program. The report is prefaced with the observation that: "Enough time has now elapsed to warrant a review of the results thus far attained, not only in respect to the payment of reparations, but also in the direction of balancing the German budget and stabilizing the German currency. It is too early to draw conclusions regarding the ultimate effects of the plan. Broadly, it is an endeavor to stimulate confidence among peoples and to apply principles of reason and justice to a difficult, vital problem. The success of the plan will be measured not alone in terms of payments effected. It will be determined also by the extent to which it helps to replace distrust and discord with confidence and conciliation. Its early operation has fulfilled expectations, and this report is written in the belief that information regarding what has been done will assist, both in the creditor countries and in Germany, toward confirming those forces which are working for reconstruction."

## THE TRANSITION PERIOD

The London agreement provided that the experts' plan should be considered in operation when the Reparation Commission was in a position to make certain announcements: "These were first that Germany on her part (a) had voted and promulgated the necessary laws for the working of the plan, (b) had installed all executive and controlling bodies

[^0]provided for therein, (c) had definitely constituted the new bank and the new German railway company, and (d) had deposited with the respective trustees certificates representing the railway bonds and the industrial debentures. Secondly, it was necessary to find that contracts had been concluded assuring the subscription of an international loan for the effective equivalent of $800,000,000$ gold marks. And finally the commission had to be able to announce that the allied governments on their part had taken the necessary steps in accordance with the London agreement to restore the fiscal and economic unity of Germany. * * *
"On September 1, 1924, the Reparation Commission decided that the necessary laws had been voted and promulgated, and on the same day Mr. Owen D. Young, one of the American members of the first committee of experts, who had been appointed agent general for reparation payments ad interim, assumed his duties.
"The agent general ad interim opened an account with the Reichsbank and received into it on September 1, 1924, the initial payment made by the German Government in the paper mark equivalent of $20,000,000$ gold marks. Under the terms of the London agreement the German Government was obliged to make payments to the agent general every 10 days until the plan was declared operative, in such amounts as would, with the receipts of France and Belgium in the occupied territory, the payments under the reparation recovery acts, and the paper marks advanced to the armies of occupation, aggregate each month one-twelfth of the first year's annuity. These payments the Government continued to make regularly in the required amounts during the balance of the transition period and until the proceeds of the loan had become available, giving its guaranty, in common with the Reichsbank, that the paper marks thus paid during the transition period would be maintained at their gold value until used. These payments *** were subsequently reimbursed to the German Government from the proceeds of the loan.
"The agent general ad interim on his part, in order that reparation payments and deliveries might not be interrupted, undertook to provide out of the amounts thus received from Germany or for its account the current financing of deliveries in kind and the working expenses of German mines and cokeries then under allied management."

The agent general ad interim immediately organized a small tentative staff, recruited principally from the available members of the experts' organization and from the personnel of the Reparation Commission.
"Simultaneously steps were taken to complete the administrative organization contemplated by the experts' plan, and to place it on a permanent basis. This called for the appointment of the following officers: (1) The agent general for reparation payments; (2) a commissioner of the Reichsbank; (3) a commissioner for the German railways; (4) a commissioner of controlled revenues; (5) a trustee for the German railway bonds; (6) a trustee for the German industrial debentures.
"In addition, the plan provided for a transfer committee under the chairmanship of the agent general. This committee was charged with supervision over the use and withdrawal of the moneys received from Germany, and with the control of transfers and the regulation of the execution of the programs for deliveries in kind in such a manner as to prevent difficulties arising with respect to the foreign exchange. Provision was also made for the appointment of foreign members on the general council of the Reichsbank and the general boards of the German Railway Company and the Bank for German Industrial Debentures.
"All these officials were duly appointed during September and October, 1924, and entered upon their duties. The three commissioners and the two trustees, under the chairmanship of the agent general for reparation payments, were constituted under the plan as a general coordinating board, and held their first meeting for purposes of organization in Paris on September 19, 1924. The transfer committee held its first meeting in Berlin on October 31, 1924, on the same day with the first meeting of the general council of the Reichsbank.
"The reconstitution of the Reichsbank, under the new bank law, had in the meantime become effective on October 11, 1924.
"The new German Railway Co. had also become legally constituted, in accordance with the law of August 30, 1924. The actual transfer of operations from the German Government to the company took place on October 11, though it was not until November 16, 1924, when the lines operated by the Franco-Belgian Régie were handed over, that the company obtained unified control of the entire German railway system. On October 1, 1924, the railway company executed its first mortgage bond
for 11 milliards of gold marks, as contemplated by the plan, and on October 10, 1924, delivered it to the trustee for the German railway bonds.
"The organization of the charge on German industry had also been carried through its initial stages. On October 2, 1924, the trustee for the German industrial debentures received the collective industrial bond in the amount of 5,000 millions of gold marks, evidencing the industrial charge. The Bank for German Industrial Debentures had also been organized on September 30, 1924, and its board of directors held its first meeting in Berlin on October 31, 1924.
"While these matters were being perfected, the negotiations for the international loan had been actively proceeding and had finally been concluded in London on October 10, 1924.
"On October 13, 1924, the Reparation Commission was able to take its decision announcing that Germany on its part had fulfilled the conditions prerequisite to the inception of the plan. Shortly thereafter, on October 28, 1924, it took its further decision to the effect that the allied governments on their part had completed the restoration of the fiscal and economic unity of Germany within the meaning of the London agreement. From this date the experts' plan may be considered as being in full force and effect.
"The transition period thus came to an end, and on October 31, 1924, the permanent agent general for reparation payments assumed office." * * *

## THE GERMAN EXTERNAL LOAN, 1924

"The most important single development of the transition period was the issue of the German external loan, 1924. The experts had stated in their report that an integral part of their plan was the issue by Germany of a foreign loan, in an amount to yield the effective equivalent of $800,000,000$ gold marks.
"'The loan was to serve the twofold purpose, 'of assuring currency stability and financing essential deliveries in kind during the preliminary period of economic rehabilitation.'

[^1]It was intended in this way to aroid a complete suspension of payments by Germany to her creditors during the period of stabilization. The plan accordingly provided that the loan was to be regarded as an anticipation of the annuities payable by Germany and that the service of the loan was to be a charge on the annuities.
"Negotiations for the loan proceeded accordingly and resulted on October 10, 1924, in the execution of an agreement for the issue of the loan in various tranches not later than November 10, 1924, in eight countries other than Germany. In addition, it was understood that there would be issued in Germany a further tranche in an amount sufficient, when added to the others, to yield the effective equivalent of $800,000,000$ gold marks, plus the expenses of issue, negotiation, and delivery. The German Government on the same date executed a general bond securing equally the bonds of all tranches of the loan. On October 13, 1924, the Reparation Commission took appropriate decisions constituting the service of the loan a first charge on all payments provided for under the plan to or for the account of the agent general for reparation payments, a first charge by way of collateral security on the controlled revenues, and a first charge on any other assets or revenues of Germany to which the powers of the commission extend under the treaty of Versailles. The German Government in addition recognized the service of the loan as a direct and unconditional obligation of the Reich, chargeable on all its assets and revenues.
"All tranches of the loan, other than the German tranche, were thereupon offered for subscription, and all were fully subscribed. In the meantime, the transfer committee, at its first meeting on October 31, 1924, took appropriate action for ensuring the service of the loan, recognizing its priority and giving it an absolute right of remittance irrespective of the effect upon the exchange. * * *
"Subsequently, the German tranche of the loan was issued and fully subscribed in Germany, through the Reichsbank.
"The following statement shows the amounts of the serval tranches of the loan, and the net proceeds realized, both in foreign currencies and in gold marks:

${ }^{1}$ The figures are subject to final adjustment, depending upon the expenses of issue, negotiation, and delivery.
"In accordance with the terms of the loan, its proceeds in the respective foreign currencies realized were all credited to the Reichsbank in an account in the name of the Reich and under the control of the agent general for reparation payments. These foreign currencies were subsequently released to the Reichsbank, as contemplated by the plan, the equivalent in reichsmarks being deposited with the Reichsbank to the credit of an account in the name of the Reich and under the control of the agent general. By agreement with the German Government, the agent general has the sole drawing power over this account. It was agreed further that the German Government would receive credit on account of the annuity for the withdrawals from the loan account made by the agent general from time to time, at the gold mark equivalent of the reichsmarks withdrawn.
"The bonds mature October 15, 1949, unless previously redeemed, and carry interest at the rate of 7 per cent per annum, payable semiannually on April 15 and October 15 in each year, with provision for a cumulative sinking fund sufficient to redeem all of the bonds by maturity." * * *

## THE PAYMENT OF REPARATIONS

The agent general's payments during the first eight months of operations under the plan have aggregated over $620,000,000$ gold marks. As a result of the allied finance min-
isters' agreement of January 14, 1925, the existing basis of the distribution of the annuity may be summarized as follows:
"Preferred claims (estimated as amounting to approximately 28 per cent of the first year's annuity).-(a) The service of the German external loan, 1924; (b) the expenses of administration of the Reparation Commission, the organizations created under the plan, the interallied Rhineland high commission, and the military commission of control; (c) army costs in arrears; and (d) current army costs.
" Nonpreferred claims (estimated as amounting to approximately 72 per cent of the first year's annuity).-The Spa percentages are maintained in the distribution of the sum available for nonpreferred claims after applying 5 per cent of this sum in reimbursement of claims on account of the Belgian war debt, 1 per cent thereof in satisfaction of claims for restitution, and $21 / 4$ per cent of the balance thereof to the claims of the United States of America." The residuum is divided as follows:

|  | Per cent. |
| :---: | :---: |
| France | 52.00 |
| British Em | - 22.00 |
| Italy | 10.00 |
| Belgium | 8.00 |
| Serb-Croa | 5. 00 |
| Rumania | 1.10 |
| Japan_ | 0.75 |
| Portugal. | 0.75 |
| Greece. | 0.40 |
|  | 100. 00 |

The payments for the first year thus far made, with the exception of payments for the service of the German external loan, have been made almost entirely within Germany. The largest item, amounting to over 277,000,000 gold marks, has been for deliveries in kind, chiefly to France, Italy, and Belgium. The next largest item, $149,000,000$ gold marks, has represented costs of the armies of occupation. Payments to Great Britain have been almost wholly through the operations of the British reparation recovery act which has yielded $120,400,000$ gold marks of the total 148,700,000 gold marks received by that country. In the same period France has received a total of $267,700,000$, Italy $44,600,000$, and Belgium $65,900,000$ gold marks.

Arranged according to the several objects of expenditure, the payments made during the period are tabulated as follows:

Deliveries in kind Gold marks.
Restoration of library of Louvain---- $277,807,234.65$
Reparation recovery acts.............. 132, 530, 060. 28 Occupation costs .......................... 149, 184, 882. 95 Service of German external loan, 1924 41, 576, 661. 60
Administrative and control costs $\ldots$. $18,894,155.17$

Of the last item, the cost of maintaining the office for reparation payments amounted to 2,036,765.84 gold marks.
Payments are made by a system of monthly programs, this method offering the advantage of having an even flow of deliveries and payments.

The transfer committee.-The transfer of German reparation payments under the plan is in charge of the transfer committee.
"During the early months of the operation of the plan the transfer committee has had relatively little to do with transfers, in the sense of purchases of foreign currencies. It has been actively concerned, however, with the arrangements for deliveries in kind and for payments under the reparation recovery acts. At the same time it has been engaged in preparing the groundwork for the discharge of its duties in the future. To this end, it has organized a capable economic service.
" (a) Transfers into foreign currencies.-It is the contemplation of the plan that during the first two annuity years the great bulk of the payments out of the annuity should be made within Germany-particularly during the first year, when the funds available for distribution represent principally the proceeds of the German external loan, 1924. This, of course, has had to be subject to one outstanding exception, that is to say, for the service of the loan itself. At its first meeting the transfer committee recognized the priority given to the service of the loan, and undertook to authorize the acquisition of the foreign currencies necessary for the purpose without regard to the effect on the foreign exchange market. The purchases of foreign exchange needed for this purpose have been accomplished each month without difficulty through the Reichsbank. The foreign currencies required in any year for the service of the loan should not, on the basis of existing exchange rates, amount to more than the equivalent of about $93,000,000$ gold marks.
"Certain expenses of administration have also had to be paid in foreign currencies, but only in limited amounts. Aside from these exceptions it has been the consistent policy of the agent general and the transfer committee to require that payments should be made in reichsmarks and within Germany. * * * In pursuance of this policy, the transfer committee at one of its early meetings decided to refuse authority for the payment of transportation costs outside of Germany which were payable in foreign currencies, on the ground that this was not in accordance with the intent of the plan. Some exceptions to this decision have been made following the receipt of the first payment of $100,000,000$ gold marks by the German Railway Co., but these relate chiefly to the transport of coal on the Lower Rhine and have not affected the general policy.
"(b) Deliveries in kind.-The transfer committee has been largely concerned up to this time with the arrangements for deliveries in kind. Its functions in this respect are two-fold, first, to be consulted by the Reparation Commission in the formulation of programs of deliveries in kind, particularly as to the character and amount of such deliveries, and second, after the programs have been approved by the commission, to regulate their execution in such a manner as to prevent difficulties arising with the foreign exchange.
"The transfer committee has functioned on this basis in dealing with the programs for deliveries in kind over the first eight months. At the same time it has had to consider the procedure to be applied to future deliveries. In accordance with the provisions of the London agreement a special committee of allied and German representatives, with a neutral chairman, was appointed to organize the procedure for deliveries in kind, on a basis that would conform as closely as possible to commercial usage. This committee succeeded in reaching an agreement, and under date of March 9,1925 , presented a unanimous report to the Reparation Commission covering the whole field of deliveries. The regulations establish a procedure, with detailed provisions, governing contracts between allied buyers and German producers on reparation account, and both allied and German representatives appear to agree that the result will be a practical and effective system of deliveries. According to their terms the regulations are liable to be revised every two years, the first revision to take place in April, 1927. This will give an
opportunity to make such modifications from time to time as may prove to be necessary in the light of practical experience.
"The transfer committee, on its part, was particularly concerned with the provisions for handling payments under the contracts. To this end it made various suggestions looking toward the simplification of the regulations, believing that it was desirable to encourage the greatest freedom of contract between the parties and to facilitate as much as possible the arrangements for deliveries in kind.
"The regulations proposed by the special committee were approved by the Reparation Commission with some amendments, so as to be effective on May 1, 1925, and are now in force.
"(c) The reparation recovery acts.-The experts provided in their report that wherever they referred to payments for deliveries in kind they 'intended to include therein payments in Germany arising through the operation of the reparation recovery acts.' One of the first problems of the transfer committee, therefore, was to bring the administration of the reparation recovery acts into harmony with the rest of the plan and to put the payments under the recovery acts under the same effective control that it was exercising over deliveries in kind."

The reparation recovery acts in Great Britain and in France provide for levies of 26 per cent on imports from Germany. The levies originally depended for their effective operation on reimbursement to the German exporter, in Germany, in the equivalent of the amount withdrawn in England or France, for otherwise the rate tended to become prohibitive and to shut off trade completely.
"The existing methods of collection meant, in effect, that the Governments imposing the levy confronted the transfer committee with an accomplished fact, or, in other words, that by direct action of their own, and without consultation with the committee, these Governments were taking the tax each month out of German exports, leaving the agent general with a resulting obligation to reimburse the German exporter out of the annuity with the equivalent sum in reichsmarks. This tended to make extremely difficult the control of the transfer committee over this particular portion of the reparation payments. It also created difficulties with the distribution of the annuity among the powers, for there was no inherent relation between the recovery act levy and the shares to which the respective Governments were entitled. The British recovery act, for
example, was yielding somewhat more each month than the proper monthly share of the British Government in the annuity.
"The question of the recovery acts accordingly engaged the attention of the transfer committee at its first meeting, on October 31, 1924. It was clear that the committee's jurisdiction could best be enforced through its control over the reimbursements to the German exporter. The agent general for reparation payments, on November 14, 1924, took the first step to make this practically effective by sending a formal notification to the finance minister of the Reich that on and after December 1, 1924, he would repay the German Government for the reimbursements which it made to German exporters under the recovery acts only if and to the extent that the transfer committee authorized him to do so. For the time being the transfer committee gave this authorization, and on this basis the agent general proceeded to reimburse to the German Government what it had paid out to the exporters.
"At the same time, however, negotiations were begun in an effort to find a more satisfactory method of handling the matter, and particularly one that would give the transfer committee more effective control over the payments. It was also desired, if possible, to find a method of collection that would be less burdensome to trade. The system in force meant that every invoice covering a shipment from Germany had to be handled in two sections, 74 per cent being paid to the German exporter in the regular way and 26 per cent being paid to the British or French Government, as the case might be, against a voucher or receipt which the German exporter used in collecting his reimbursement in Germany. The result was great inconvenience to both exporters and importers, and a heavy burden to trade. This was particularly true as between Great Britain and Germany, where the volume of trade was much larger than between France and Germany."

The first negotiations, therefore, related to the British reparation recovery act, and were actively begun in December, 1924, between the British and the German Governments and the agent general.

A plan was proposed by which the German exporters, by their own voluntary action, would deliver enough sterling each month to cover the amount which Great Britain was entitled to receive on account of the recovery act, and the sterling bills thus delivered would be used as the medium for making the
recovery act payments to Great Britain. On March 25, 1925, negotiations were concluded upon this basis and a draft protocol providing for amending the method of administering the British reparation recovery act was duly initialled by representatives of the British and German Governments. The transfer committee passed resolutions on the same day giving effect to its provisions, and on April 1 the Reparation Commission also took the necessary action. The protocol was finally signed by the authorized representatives of the two Gorernments on April 3, and on April 7 the British Parliament, on the recommendation of the Chancellor of the Exchequer, passed a bill suspending as from midnight on April 9 the collection in Great Britain of the 26 per cent levy.
"As from May 1, 1925, the new method of administering the act has been in effect. Instead of 800 German exporting firms, as provided in the agreement, 1,200 firms, representing about 90 per cent of the German export trade with England, have already given undertakings to deliver to the Reichsbank each month 30 per cent of the sterling proceeds accruing to each one of them from exports to Great Britain. Out of the sterling so surrendered, the Reichsbank at agreed intervals each month makes deposits at the Bank of England for credit to the account of the agent general for reparation payments, representing the sterling equivalent of the reichsmark credit held by the agent general for the British Government and available for payments to it under the recovery act in accordance with the program established by the Reparation Commission after consultation with the transfer committee. The agent general, in turn, * * * reimburses the German exporters, through the Reichsbank, with the equivalent in reichsmarks of the sterling thus deposited, and, subject to the approval of the transfer committee, pays over the sterling to the British Government.
"It is expected that the aggregate surrenders of sterling by the exporters will be sufficient to cover the requirements of the recovery act, but the agreement also provides for the creation and maintenance of a reserve fund in the sterling equivalent of $10,000,000$ reichsmarks with the Devisenbeschaffungsstelle ${ }^{1}$ for use in the event that the sterling surrendered should ever be inadequate.
:The Devisenbeschaffungsstelle is an office of the German Government which is charged with the purchase of foreign exchange.
"Operations under the new system began promptly on May 1, 1925, and the sterling necessary to cover its requirements through the month of May has already been surrendered to the agent general and paid over to the Bank of England according to the terms of the agreement.
"From the point of view of the transfer committee the new method of administering the British recovery act is entirely satisfactory. It assures to the committee the full control contemplated by the plan, first through the fact that it is consulted in the formulation of the programs, and then through the power of the committee to suspend payments whenever necessary in its judgment to prevent difficulties arising with the foreign exchange. The new system also has the advantage of adjusting itself automatically to the British Government's share in the available funds in the annuity. ***
"Satisfactory arrangements have also been concluded with the French Government for bringing the administration of the French reparation recovery act into harmony with the plan and under the jurisdiction of the transfer committee. As a result the French Government, beginning with the month of May, 1925, is depositing to the credit of the agent general's account with the Bank of France, the proceeds of collections under the reparation recovery act in force in France. The sums thus deposited will be paid over to the French treasury, subject to the approval of the transfer committee, within the limits of the French share of the available funds in the annuity. The transfer committee, on this basis, has authorized the agent general to make continued reimbursement to German exporters in respect to the French recovery act.
"(d) Establishment and maintenance of accounts abroad.-Partly in consequence of the arrangements made in connection with the reparation recovery acts, but also with a view to facilitating the service of the German external loan, the transfer committee has authorized the agent general to establish and maintain accounts in foreign currencies with various central banks outside Germany. The agent general now has accounts of this character in the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, the Bank of England, and the Bank of France."

## THE WORK OF THE COMMISSIONERS AND TRUSTEES

The work of the several commissioners and trustees provided for by the experts' plan is mentioned only briefly in the report of the agent general, since each submitted at the same time an individual report.

It is still too soon to draw conclusions, the report states, as to the earning power of the railway company, but the results thus far seem to be on the whole satisfactory. Despite somewhat unfavorable conditions, the receipts for the six months have nearly tallied with the estimates of the company, leaving a working surplus of $276,809,000$ reichsmarks, of which 142,469,000 has been devoted to extraordinary expenditure. This result has been attained notwithstanding substantial reductions in freight rates and a considerable excess of expenditure over the estimates.

The report of the commissioner of controlled revenues shows "the steps which have been taken to establish control over the assigned revenues and the organization which has been required for the purpose. It is particularly interesting to note the actual collections of the controlled revenues thus far effected, and to see that the collections realized are materially in excess of the budget contribution provided for by the plan in a standard year." * * *
"The report of the trustee for the German railway bonds summarizes his work up to this time. The agent general and the transfer committee have been in frequent consultation with the trustee on the question of the possible sale of a portion of the railway bonds when the time becomes opportune. In this connection the following resolution was unanimously adopted by the transfer committee at its seventh meeting held in Paris on April 8-10, 1925 :
"The committee appreciates the importance of the views entertained by the trustee for the German railway bonds as to the desirability of putting these bonds on an investment basis and selling them in so far as possible on the investment markets of the world in accordance with the contemplation of the experts' plan. The committee is of the opinion, however, that as a practical matter the prospects for the sale of the bonds will depend primarily upon their intrinsic merits, and will be determined by the credit of the German Railway Company, its record of operations and earnings, and the general record which is established with respect to the stability of Germany and the progress of the experts' plan. These are questions of fact on which the investment markets will have to form their own judgment in due time." $* * *$
"The report of the trustee for the German industrial debentures summarizes the progress made in the application and administration of the charge upon German industry. The amounts required for the service of the industrial debentures will be paid into the account of the agent general twice each year, the first installment of $62,500,000$ gold marks falling due on April 1, 1926, and the second installment in the same amount on August 25, 1926. The installments increase until in a standard year they aggregate $300,000,000$ gold marks, which includes interest at the rate of 5 per cent and provision for a redemption fund at the rate of 1 per cent. This means a total annual charge for both interest and redemption fund amounting under present conditions to 6 per cent of 15.73 per cent, or less than 1 per cent of the business capital (Betriebsvermögen) of each of the industrial and trading concerns upon which the industrial charge is imposed."

## FISCAL AND FINANOIAL CONDITIONS IN GERMANY

The remainder of the report is devoted to a descriptive analysis of what has been accomplished in the direction of balancing the German budget and stabilizing the German currency.
For the German fiscal year 1924-25, which closed on March 31, 1925, budget estimates of receipts amounted to $5,860,200,000$ reichsmarks, of which $1,861,600,000$ reichsmarks were allocated to the States and communes, leaving the estimated revenue available to the Reich of $3,998,600,000$ reichsmarks. The estimated expenditures were tabulated as follows:

```
Administrative expenses of the Reich:
    Ordinary budget
    Reichsmarks
3, 215, 500, 000
Extraordinary budget
``` \(\qquad\)
```

Peace treaty charges to -----...by Germany, payable prior to and outside of the operation of the experts' plan
466,500, 000
$3,998,600,000$

```
"From the foregoing it appears that the budget estimates contemplated that there would have to be an internal loan of \(348,000,000\) reichsmarks in order to balance the budget. It is to be noted also that the estimates included no provision for expenditures resulting from the execution of the experts' plan, for the reason that under the plan there is no charge on the German budget during the first year, the entire annuity for this year being pro-
vided by the external loan of \(800,000,000\) gold marks and the payments of interest on the reparation bonds of the German Railway Co. The estimated expenditure on account of peace treaty charges represented expenditures outside the plan, accruing mainly before September 1, 1924.
"The final figures show that the receipts of the Reich for the year 1924-25 have been far in excess of the estimates, and nothing in the nature of an internal loan has been required. The returns from taxation amounted in total to \(7,311,700,000\) reichsmarks, showing an excess of \(2,068,000,000\) reichsmarks over the estimates, or an increase of nearly 40 per cent."

The final figures for the expenditures of the Reich during the financial year 1924-25 are not yet available, and the report states that it is difficult if not impossible to make definite analysis from the incomplete and tentative figures which can now be had. The report, however, shows that the allocations to States and communes, which had been estimated at \(1,861,600,000\) reichsmarks, actually amounted to \(2,744,600,000\) reichsmarks, while certain supplementary expenditures above budget estimates under other heads amounted to \(1,403,-\) 400,000 reichmarks, although these were in part offset by economies in other directions. The report adds:
"The greater part of the items covered by these supplementary estimates appears to be for the liquidation of obligations of one kind or another, or in the nature of advances to public or quasi public enterprises. After taking them all into account there would appear to be a net surplus of \(386,600,000\) reichsmarks for the year in addition to the unused credit of \(345,000,000\) from the Rentenbank loan( which the Finance Minister has treated as an item of revenue).
"In his address to the taxation committee of the Reichstag, on May 6, 1925, the Finance Minister indicated demands for expenditure (although he did not give detailed figures), which he said would reduce the surplus to about \(100,000,000\) reichsmarks, after taking into account the Rentenbank loan."

The budget for the financial year 1925-26 has not yet been voted, but the preliminary estimates show expected receipts of \(6,973,-\) 100,000 reichsmarks, from which must be deducted \(2,327,600,000\) reichsmarks allocated to States and communes, and possibly the sum of \(291,700,000\) reichsmarks representing the proceeds of the sale of preference shares of the railway company, which may not be realized
during the year. These deductions leave the total estimated receipts available to the Reich at \(4,353,800,000\) reichsmarks, against total estimated expenditures of \(4,490,500,000\) reichsmarks. The estimated deficit would therefore be \(136,700,000\) reichsmarks, which it is proposed to cover by certain tax increases.

Commenting on the public debt of the Reich, the report states:
"According to the official statement published by the Government the public debt of the Reich during the financial year ended March 31, 1925, increased by about \(410,000,000\) reichsmarks, leaving the total public debt on that date at \(2,815,600,000\) reichsmarks. The increase was due to the issue of the German external loan, 1924, for the other debt diminished by about \(530,000,000\) reichsmarks during the year.
"The present actual value of the old papermark debt of the Reich, unless it should be increased by revalorization, amounts to less than 1,000 reichsmarks. The Government has recently made proposals for revaluing a portion of this old debt, and a bill is now pending in the Reichstag under which it would be revalorized under certain conditions at about \(4,000,000,000\) reichsmarks. \({ }^{1}\) Until further action has been taken, it is impossible to give definite figures as to the effect this will have on either the principal of the debt or the annual charge for its service. Whatever the resulting charge, it must be clear, of course, that it will be subordinate at all times to the charge for reparations. This in fact is expressly recognized by the bill which the Government has presented."

The report also discusses the present position of the Reichsbank, and the commercial banks, and the condition of German foreign trade.

\section*{STABILIZATION OF THE GERMAN CURRENCY}

The report gives an account of the methods used for the reconstruction of German currency through the organization of the Rentenbank and later through the reorganization of the Reichsbank.

On October 15, 1924, the first reporting date of the Reichsbank after its reconstitution, the total monetary circulation of Germany amounted to \(3,540,400,000\) reichsmarks. This compared with a circulation of \(5,741,-\) 000,000 marks of the old currency on July 23 , 1914, the last reporting date before the outbreak of war. The composition of the cur-

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) On July 16 the revaluation act was signed, which fixes the debt in goid at 5 per cent of its face value, making the total \(3,500,000,000\) gold
}
rency on these two dates, as compared with April 30, 1925, was in round figures as follows:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & July 23, 1914 (millions of marks) & October 15, 1924 (millions of reichs marks) & April 30, 1925 (millions of reichsmarks) \\
\hline Reichsbank notes & 1,891 & 1,397 & 2,452 \\
\hline Notes of the private banks of issue (Bavarian Note Bank, Wurttemberg Note Bank, Saxon Bank, Baden Bank) & 1,801
150 & & 2,

153 \\
\hline Notes of the Reichskassen. & 100 & & \\
\hline Rentenbank notes.. & & 1,790 & 1,480 \\
\hline German gold coins. & 2,750 & 1, & 1, \\
\hline Other German coins.- & 850 & 305 & 431 \\
\hline Hamburg emergency currency (gold secured). & & 45 & \\
\hline Other emergency currency (largely of the railways) & & 3.4 & \\
\hline Total & 5,741 & 3, 549. 4 & 4,516 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The notes of the Reichsbank reported under date of October 15, 1924, while referred to in terms of reichsmarks, were in fact the old notes of the Reichsbank evaluated at the rate of \(1,000,000,000,000\) paper marks to one reichsmark. The new reichsmark notes actually began to appear about the middle of November.
"It appears that the circulation of the reconstituted Reichsbank is tending to reproduce the oscillations in the Reichsbank circulation before the war; but this is probably less an indication of the elasticity of the currency than that German business is adhering generally to its former dates of settlement. The total currency in circulation at the end of April, 1925, was still about 1,500 million reichsmarks below the average pre-war circulation. It is important, however, not to overlook a material change in the character of the circulation as compared with that before the war. In the pre-war years gold formed a substantial part of the German circulation, amounting to an estimated total well above 2 milliards of marks, and the Reichsbank circulation averaged but little more than one-third of the total circulation. At the present time, however, there is no gold in circulation and the circulation of Reichsbank notes, particularly with the gradual extinction of the Rentenbank currency, forms a much higher proportion of the circulation, representing at present somewhat more than one-half of the total."

\section*{CONCLUSIONS}

The concluding paragraphs of the report are in part as follows:
"The plan has realized its two essential preliminary objects. The German budget for the financial year 1924-25 has shownia safe
balance. In fact, it has yielded a surplus of receipts over expenditures, which has enabled the German Government to liquidate many outstanding liabilities and should provide a helpful cash reserve for the future. Germany has also succeeded in providing and maintaining a stable currency. Measured in terms of its exchange value in foreign markets, the reichsmark has remained firm as the equivalent of gold; measured in terms of internal purchasing power, it has varied in recent months only within limits practically identical with those of other stable currencies.
"The results achieved in these directions do not by themselves mark a final readjustment. They are rather the starting points from which readjustment must proceed. Current developments now as later must be estimated in terms of this process. In industry, for example, Germany is well equipped, and has resources for production on a large scale. But there is still a serious shortage of working capital, and it will take time to build up foreign markets. German industry, moreover, must now produce and sell under stable conditions, and it is finding that this presents rather different problems than doing business under conditions of inflation. In the field of credit and banking there remains the task of gradually restoring the fund of savings which is needed to finance domestic business and industry. The main reliance in the long run must be on the growth of domestic capital, and there are already encouraging signs of the return of habits of saving. From the point of view of the banks there is the problem of broadening the base of credit which was so greatly narrowed by inflation, and of determining how to adjust the present supply to the more essential demands. It will take real leadership and a general willingness to face facts as they are to work out these various problems to solution.
"The experts' plan proposed in effect an international experiment in good will. It aimed to remove from the field of controversy a subject which after all is largely economic in character and to give a fair trial to methods of patient inquiry and quiet administration. In carrying it out the allied governments, the German Government, and all the agencies concerned in its execution have worked together loyally, and in the spirit of friendly accommodation which was the basis of the plan. Its further progress will mainly depend upon the continuance of that mutual faith and confidence which have made possible a satisfactory beginning."

\section*{COMMODITY CLASSIFICATION OF ACCEPTANCES}

In order to obtain a more complete picture of the purposes for which bankers' acceptances purchased by the Federal reserve banks are drawn, the Federal Reserve Board has made a tabulation of the bills purchased in March and April of this year, classified by the underlying commodities. The commodities on which the bills are based are reported to the Federal Reserve Board by the Federal reserve banks for all purchased acceptances, with the exception of those bought under repurchase agreements. Of the total volume of bills purchased in March, the information by commodities is available for 61 per cent and for those purchased in April for 42 per cent. The following table gives the general classification of the acceptances purchased during the two months:

Acceptances Purchased by the Federal Reserve BANKS \({ }^{1}\)
[In thousands of dollars]
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & March & April & Total \\
\hline Bankers' acceptances based on- & & & \\
\hline Imports & 53, 282 & 34, 027 & 87, 309 \\
\hline Exports & 49,215 & 27,459 & 76,674 \\
\hline Domestic transactions.-...-......- & 39,855 & 19,432 & 59, 287 \\
\hline foreign countries......................-- & 13,558 & 10,360 & 23, 918 \\
\hline Dollar exchange & 1,846 & 887 & 2,733 \\
\hline Trade acceptances based on imports and exports. & 365 & 413 & 778 \\
\hline Unclassified.- & 790 & 579 & 1,369 \\
\hline Total. & 158,911 & 93, 157 & 252,068 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\({ }^{1}\) Exclusive of bills bought under repurchase agreements.
In the detailed table following, acceptances drawn to finance imports, exports, domestic transactions, goods stored in or shipped between foreign countries, and trade acceptances are listed separately. During both months import acceptances representid the largest amount and export accep:ances the next largest. Together, the acceptances drawn to finance foreign trade represent about two-thirds of the acceptances purchased by the reserve banks. As is stated in the review of the month, acceptances based on domestic transactions are for the most part based on agricultural and other staples held in storage and awaiting shipment abroad or sale in the domestic market. The volume of dollar exchange bills and of trade acceptances purchased was small both in March and in April.

\section*{FTEDERAL RESERVE BANES-ACGEPTANCES PURCHASED IN MARCH AND APRIL, 1925, BY UNDERLYING COMMODITIES:}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & March & April & & March & April \\
\hline Bankers' Acceptences Based on Imporim & & & Bankers' Acceptances Based on Imports-Con. & & \\
\hline Animals and animal products, except wool and hair: & & & Vegetable food products, oil seeeds, expressed oils and beverages-Continued. & & \\
\hline Casings- & & & Garlic....-. & \$2,927 & \$3, 571 \\
\hline Hog. & \$48,809 & \$84,010 & Tapioca & 19,577 & 2,792 \\
\hline Sheep & 17,484 & 18,224 & Taploca flour & 49,860 & 43, 229 \\
\hline Not stated & 72, 760 & 45,073 & Peas. & 3,119 & \\
\hline Egg yolk. & 6,686 & & Fruits- & & \\
\hline Egg albumen & 25,199 & 14,579 & Cranberries & 5,500 & \\
\hline Sardines. & & 3,700 & Cherries in bri & 3,770 & 1,713 \\
\hline Fish... & 28,316 & & Currants. & 1,157 & \\
\hline Crab, canned & & 1,762 & Peels- & & \\
\hline Crab meats & 5,237 & & Orange & & 2, 130 \\
\hline Cod oil. & 28,647 & & Not stated & 1,778 & \\
\hline Hides- & & &  & 19,577 & \\
\hline Buffalo & 8,982 & 12,514 &  & 14, 630 & \[
4,453
\] \\
\hline Cattle. & & 74213 &  & 22,179 & 13,179 \\
\hline Horse & & 5,710 &  & 6, 000 & 13, 17 \\
\hline Mule & 1,876 & & Limes. & 20,400 & -...-.. \\
\hline Not stated & 787, 073 & 232, 010 & Nuts- & & \\
\hline \[
\underset{\text { Kid. }}{\text { Skins- }}
\] & 837 & &  & 15, 260 & 8,108 \\
\hline Buck & & 2,428 & Peanuts & 112, 261 & 534, 769 \\
\hline Calf. & 81, 853 & 30,690 & Other edible nuts & & 56, 277 \\
\hline Deer & 6,032 & 785 & Apricot kernels. & 3,449 & \\
\hline Dog. & 4,929 & 3,880 & Not stated & 17,028 & \\
\hline Fitch & & 5,000 & Oilseeds- & & \\
\hline Fox & 44, 121 & 30,055 &  & 14,947 & 15,582. \\
\hline Goat & 429, 760 & 429,819 & Copra. & 517,097 & 257,758 \\
\hline Goat and sheep & & 2,730 & Flax & 292, 500 & 196,708 \\
\hline Hare. & & 1,902 & Poppy & & 2,646 \\
\hline Lamb. & 210, 353 & 139,037 & Rape. & & 3,286 \\
\hline Lynx. & 1,633 & & Copra cake meal. & 1,553 & \\
\hline Lamb, Mongolian & & 10,381 &  & 38,940 & \\
\hline Leopard. & & 8,004 & Vegetable oils- & & \\
\hline Marmot & 24, 800 & 19,425 & Anise. & 9,994 & 4,189 \\
\hline Mink & 23,325 & & Bean... & 120, 000 & 8,432 \\
\hline Mole. & & 4,300 & Cassia & 14, 084 & 30,786 \\
\hline Moufion & 1,951 & 17,354 & Coconut & 508, 819 & 316,591 \\
\hline Rabbit. & 30,390 & 29,048 & Olive.. & 44,905 & 260,912 \\
\hline  & 119,891 & 157,011 & Linseed. & & 3, 118 \\
\hline Thibetines & & 29,500 & Linseed cake meal & 9,208 & \\
\hline Squirrel & 3,900 & & Chinese sauce. & 1,800 & \\
\hline Weasel. & 37, 600 & 112,300 & Cocoa beans. & 119,066 & 55,281 \\
\hline Wolf. & 7,612 & 7,000 & Cocoa-...- & 183, 817 & 246,382 \\
\hline Not stated & 1,351, 172 & 1,143, 621 & Cocoa, sugar, and coffee & 20,000 & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Ivory \\
Lard
\end{tabular} & 24,800
1,323 & . & Cocos and coffee & & 75,000
1,300 \\
\hline Leather- & 1,323 & & Coconut products & 6, 2878,524 & 1,300
\(5,148,979\) \\
\hline Dressed. & & 6,772 & Caffein free coffee & & - 10,300 \\
\hline Kid, glazed & & 3,500 & Tea -- & 141,583 & 56,696 \\
\hline Tanned dogskins. & & 2,730 & Spices- & & \\
\hline Not stated. & 131,858 & 72, 776 & Anise seed & & 2685 \\
\hline Gloves...-..... & & 2,901
523,113 & Celery seed & 3, 000 & 2,500
1,736 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Furs, not stated \(\qquad\) \\
Miseellaneous animal products-
\end{tabular} & 877,478 & 523, 113 & Cinnamon & 7,500 & 1,736 \\
\hline Feathers- & & & Caraway seed. & & 4,564 \\
\hline Ostrich & 11,429 & & Cassia. & & 6,655 \\
\hline Duck. & & 19,168 & Cassia, Saigon & & 684 \\
\hline Goose. & & 13,626 & Ginger.- & 2,772 & 1,366 \\
\hline Not stated & 52, 880 & 25,942 & Nutmeg.-. & 9,843 & 11,540 \\
\hline Glue... & 4, 869 & 2,250 & Pepper, unground & & +937 \\
\hline Casein... & 73, 501 & 33,487 &  & 126, 638 & 16,502 \\
\hline Pearl shells & 2, 187 & 1,679 &  & 6,300 & \\
\hline Beeswax --............ & 1,029 & 4,070 & Sugar- & & \\
\hline Lambskin leg crosses. & 4,500 & 3,473
13,750 & Cane...... & & 175,000
\(5,755,455\) \\
\hline Dogskin mats. & & 13,750
41,278 & Food products & \(11,188,786\)
34,000 & 5,755,455 \\
\hline Bone grease & 5,389 & 4,276 & Other vegetable products, except fibers and & 34,000 & \\
\hline Gelatine. & 46, 590 & & wood: & & \\
\hline  & 11,611 & & Rubber....- & 1,247, 709 & 1,696,535 \\
\hline  & & 3,450 & Rubber and wax & & 4,660 \\
\hline Vegetable food products, oil seeds, expressed & & & Wax, rubber, ipecac. & & 1,448 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
oils, and beverages: \\
Grains-
\end{tabular} & & & Varnish gumsCopal. & & 33,701 \\
\hline Corn. & 40,248 & 148, 700 & Damar. & & 16,925 \\
\hline Rice. & 48,467 & 42,308 & Gum, balsam. & 1,250 & \\
\hline Wheat & & 15,410 & Gum, not stated & 71, 275 & 7,842 \\
\hline Not stated. & 10,000 & 25, 000 &  & 23,147 & 9,227 \\
\hline Flour- & & &  & & 13,277 \\
\hline Wheat. & & 3,229 &  & 62,114 & 973 \\
\hline Not stated & & 17,605 & Drugs..... & 4,555 & 1,503 \\
\hline Onions & 17,813 & & Gall nuts. & 32,741 & 55, 131 \\
\hline Beans. & 109, 133 & 130,001 & Herbs.. & & 1,156 \\
\hline  & & 2,902 &  & 2, 252 & 1,714 \\
\hline Chick peas'or garbanzo. & & 20,000 & Sandal wood & & 33,831 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

FEDERAL RESERVE BANEG-ACCEPTANCEG PURCHASED IN MARCE AND APRIL, 1925, BY UNDERLYING
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & March & April & & March & April \\
\hline Bankers' Acceptances Based en Imports-Con. & & & Bankers' Acceptances Based on Imports-Con. & & \\
\hline Other vegetable products, except fibers and & & & Textiles-Continued. & & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
weod-Continued. \\
Oils-
\end{tabular} & & & \begin{tabular}{l}
Mats \\
Matting
\end{tabular} & \$10, 048 & \$12,051 \\
\hline Sesame. & \$25,381 & & Matting and rugs & & 8,495 \\
\hline Citronella & 33,006 & \$242 & Sea grass. & 4,404 & T76 \\
\hline Citronella & 12, 422 & & Palm fiber & & 1,027 \\
\hline Essential & 2,850 & 6,858 & Palm leaf fans & 2,601 & \\
\hline Wood... & 436, 601 & 205,828 & Broad. & & 2,685 \\
\hline Perilla. & 68,469 & & Not stated & & 14,910 \\
\hline Quebracho extract & & \[
\begin{gathered}
28,725 \\
28,152
\end{gathered}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
Mohair. \\
Wool-
\end{tabular} & 17,872 & \\
\hline Seeds, except oilseeds- & & & Noils. & 50,000 & \\
\hline Clover- & & & Rags.... & & 11,740 \\
\hline Red \(\qquad\) Not stated & 21, 067 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 34,065 \\
& 13,989
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
Waste \\
Not stated
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{array}{r}
9,793 \\
6.694 .505
\end{array}
\] & -10909 \\
\hline Canary.... & 12, 105 & 4,079 & Carpet wool. & & 2, 13,093 \\
\hline Rose--- & 2,360 & & Woolenware & 2,454 & \\
\hline Hemp. & 16,209 & 2,847 & Woolen carpets. & 68, 132 & 9,430 \\
\hline Not stated & 15,918 & 2,000 & Wool knit stock. & 4, 198 & \\
\hline Tobacco - - \({ }_{\text {Tobaco }}\) & 405, 163 & 582,917
5,350 & Woolen rugs.- & 3,397
7,500 & - \({ }^{9821}\) \\
\hline Cigars... & 58,484 & 5,885 & Carpets..... & 391, 329 & 94, 409 \\
\hline Pyrethrum.-.................................-- & 2,464 & & Rugs --- & & 81,737 \\
\hline  & 1,910 & & Rugs and carpets & & 31, 158 \\
\hline Pitch... & 10,300 & & Hair- & & 1,134 \\
\hline Textiles: & & & Stumps. & 10,146 & \\
\hline  & 1,399, 843 & 868, 658 & Human. & & 30, 807 \\
\hline Cotton manufactures- & & & Nets. & 5, 642 & 8,249 \\
\hline  & 60,350 & 30,153 & Goat & 42,102
5,512 & \\
\hline Yarn. & & 3, 060 & Camel & & 4,200 \\
\hline Shirts & 5,760 & & Not stated & 32,366 & 14, 671 \\
\hline Wipers. & 1,180 & 2,020 & Silk- \({ }_{\text {Raw }}\) & & \\
\hline Colored & & 1,984 & Waste. & 195,205 & 8, 515,177 \\
\hline Hosiery & 15, 805 & 712 & Goods. & 60,964 & 92, 147 \\
\hline Ajour braid & & 5, 300 & Noils. & & 2, 608 \\
\hline  & 315, 885 & 29,084 & Handkerchiefs & & 1, 862 \\
\hline Laces.....-...................................... & 84, 965 & 71,289 & Flags. & 11,766 & 430 \\
\hline Lare and silk & & 13,059 & Embroidery & & 460 \\
\hline Core-.- & 14,315 & 25,14
1,845 & Pongee. & 87,699 & 28, 191 \\
\hline Dark cottons and linsey garments & & \(\begin{array}{r}1,484 \\ \hline 80\end{array}\) & Yarn-1-- & 9, 433,835 & 2,345, 559 \\
\hline Gaods and & 26,333
1,230 & 66,854 & Tussah waste. & & 2, 6, 165 \\
\hline  & 2,160 & - & Rice fiber. & & 2,073 \\
\hline Jute waste. & & 994 & Dresses & 31 & 2,139 \\
\hline Burlap & 33, 332 & 61,295
11,001 & Textiles. & 11, 455 & \\
\hline Upholstering material & 3, 674 & 1, 684 & Wood and paper: & & \\
\hline Hat braid.. & 5,720 & & Lumber... & 6, 218 & 19,998 \\
\hline Hemp- & & & Pulp wood. & & 71,048 \\
\hline Rope- & 58,939 & 10,579 & Rattan- & & \\
\hline Manila rope & & 10,080 & Furniture & 1, 333 & 4, 819 \\
\hline Not stated & 372, 550 & 359, 717 & Not stated & 61,371 & 14,257 \\
\hline Arg. Red cord & & 2,479 & Blackwood ware & 128 & 777 \\
\hline Ramie noils- & 1,985 & 2,122 & Shingles. & & 5, 196 \\
\hline Wool and mohair & 5, 000 & & Boxes.-. & & 1,537 \\
\hline Ropes... & 25,000 & 13, 789 & Baskets. & 1,675 & 3, 503 \\
\hline Fabrics. & 3, 036 & 410 & Canes and walking sticks & & 377 \\
\hline Linen..- & 46,058 & 8,290 & Tonkin canes . & 3,788 & \\
\hline Rope yarn Linen & 4,229 & 1,100 & Bamboo- & & \\
\hline Kapoc.....- & 101, 647 & 155,945 & Baskets. & & 12,880 \\
\hline Coir yarn-. & 1,095 & 1,768 & Ware. & 128 & \\
\hline Canvas padding & & 5,760 & Not stated & 6,218 & 11, 760 \\
\hline Flues.- & 22, 387 & & Pulp.- & & 64, 277 \\
\hline Hats Felt & 11, 832 & 5,153 & Paper- & & \\
\hline Rush.. & & \({ }^{6} 614\) & Stock--- & 9,4
\(\mathbf{3 6} 693\) & 3,870 \\
\hline Straw & & 14,510 & Hats....- & & 1,045 \\
\hline Straw and paper & 14, 413 & 8,482 & Lithographie & & 787 \\
\hline Not stated..... & 99, 964 & 59, 227 & Not stated. & 309, 776 & 161,024 \\
\hline Poplin.- & 2,748 & & Cardboards...- & & 11,252 \\
\hline Straw hat bodies. & & 1,584 & Wood pulp.. & 1,255, 889 & 657, 109 \\
\hline Straw- & & & Sulphite- & & \\
\hline Curaid & 61,346 & 32,637 & Not stated. & & 26, 756 \\
\hline Rugs and mats. & & 4, 674 & Cigarette cases. & 762 & \\
\hline Matting, rugs and grass rugs & 7,070 & 10,132 & Cork shavings. & 1, \({ }_{0}^{1,342}\) & -........ \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

FEDERAL RESERYE BANKS-ACCEPTANCES PURCHASED IN MARCH AND APRIL, 1925, BY UNDERLYING
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & March & April & & March & April \\
\hline Bankers' Acceptances Based on Imports-Con. & & & Bankers' Acceptances Based on Imports-Con. & & \\
\hline Nonmetallic minerals: & & & Miscollaneous-Continued. & & \\
\hline Coal.- & \$2,787 & \$8, 000 & Button blanks & \$1, 045 & \$1,366 \\
\hline Crude oil & 91, 499 & 101, 173 & Matches.- & 72,156 & 3,050 \\
\hline Sulphur oil & & 25,846
1,620 & Beads-.... & 18,054 & 1,932
106,013 \\
\hline Cement. & 65, 800 & 60, 961 & Buttons..- & 22, 404 & \\
\hline Barytes & 426 & 4, 379 & Brushes-
Tooth & & 397 \\
\hline Glass- Indestructible pearls & & 3,781 & Not stated & 12, 185 & 13,130 \\
\hline Pearls.. & 10, 773 & 9, 831 & Pencils & 2,866 & \\
\hline Ware & 742 & 5,412 & Brush ware-- & & 1,480
2,709 \\
\hline Plate s....... & & 3,351 & Loofahs or loosahs & & 2, 001 \\
\hline Not stated & & 11,998 & Lamp shades..- & & 1,344 \\
\hline Clay-...- & 37,789 & 15, 070 & Fans..... & & \\
\hline Porcelain---- & 5,159 & 1, 995 & Tea and oil & 8,608
4,746 & 5, 264 \\
\hline Asbestos, manufactures of & & 18,037 & Gas tubing & & 806 \\
\hline Carbon. & & 5,661 & Gams.- & 1,320 & 86,573 \\
\hline Mica-...- & 4,200
20,000 & 4,622
34,615 & Walnuts and canary seed & 7,900 & \\
\hline Magnesite & & 1,952 & Food products. & & 11,000 \\
\hline Chinaware & 3,342
6,690 & & Oil........ & \(\begin{array}{r}123,140 \\ 98 \\ \hline 101\end{array}\) & 63, \(32 \overline{2}\) \\
\hline Ores, metals, and manufactures, except ma- & & & Extracts.- & & 4, 204 \\
\hline chinery and vehicles: & & 100,000 & Wax..... & 22,281 & 11,328 \\
\hline Iron and steel products. & & 35, 000 & Coffee and hide & 12,000 & \\
\hline Pig iron. & 166, 700 & 88, 140 & Cunned go & 3,068
1,792 & 22 \\
\hline Steel.....- & 14,997
5,510 & 32,457 & Galalith pencis & 1,750 & 1,500 \\
\hline Steel bars. & 5,510 & 755 & Curtains..-- & & 884 \\
\hline Wire. & & 5,502 & Parasols & 1,752 & 10, 224 \\
\hline Tin. & 344, 001 & 500,000 & Whistles - & & 1,581 \\
\hline Brass & 683
2,563 & & Material & & 2,477 \\
\hline Cutlery. & 4, 018 & & Watch movements. & & 15,660 \\
\hline Antimony. & 60,871 & 40, 620 & Loud-speaker units. & 1,200 & 1,200 \\
\hline Antimony regulus. & & 14,433
1,026 & Total & 53, 282, 195 & 4, 026,881 \\
\hline Copper.-- & 559,461 & 356,915 & & & \\
\hline Copper ore & & 49,742 & Bankers' Acceptances Based on Exports & & \\
\hline Woolfram or & & 11, 692 & Animals and animal products, except wool and & & \\
\hline Zinc dust- & 444 & 1,288 & hair: & & \\
\hline Metals & 131, 998 & & Bacon. & 100,000 & 52,002 \\
\hline Sockets & 614 & & Fur- & & \\
\hline Machinery and vehicles: & 2,377 & & & & 1,750 \\
\hline Electrical- & & & Not stated & 40, 167 & 90,312 \\
\hline Fixtures. & & 837 & Buttermilk. & 1,462 & \\
\hline Merchandise & & 1,298 & Grease-- & & \\
\hline Phonograph motor & & +200 & Bone. & 4,385 & 7,102 \\
\hline Carriage covers. & 330 & & \({ }^{\text {Hog. }}\) & 140,093
16,978 & \({ }_{16,606}^{11,708}\) \\
\hline Machinery.- & 10,316 & & Butter... & 16,978
15,000 & 16,606 \\
\hline Gears....- \({ }^{\text {Chemicals and allied products: }}\) & 22,650 & & Butter and eggs & 25,000 & \\
\hline Cantharides............... & 1,817 & 898 & Hides, not stated & 70,083 & 40,583 \\
\hline Chemicals... & 32, 308 & 21, 551 & Jerked beef. & 17,601 & \\
\hline Colors. & & 4, 432 & Corred beef - & 6,046
140,704 & 16,893 \\
\hline Mentrystals & & 28,575 & Pork-.......... & 100,009 & \\
\hline Not state & 76,800 & 14, 625 & Pork products. & 150,000 & \\
\hline Soda. & & 652 & Lards and meats. & 1,375,000 & 864, 846 \\
\hline Arsenic. & 5,470 & 616 & Sardines. & 2,020 & 20,794 \\
\hline Soap... & 16,478
7,000 & 44,341 & Skins- & s,0s2 & 2,648 \\
\hline Magnesium, not stat & & 2,582 & Fox. & & 12,200 \\
\hline Fertilizer. & & 23,063 & Calf & & 7,905 \\
\hline Nitrogenous material. & & 26,800 & Not sta & 29,369 & 47,578 \\
\hline Tankage............-- & & 1,033 & Sausage & 250,000 & \\
\hline Fireworks............- & 38,849 & 36,978 & Shoes. & 200,000 & 456 \\
\hline Musk.... & 9,415 & & getable food products, oil seeds, expressed & & \\
\hline Sakow musk & & 1,536 & oils, and beverages: & & \\
\hline Carbonate of ammonia & 2,563 & & Apples. & 25,000 & 2,150 \\
\hline Nitrate of soda.- & 6,790 & & Apricots.. & 2,138 & \\
\hline \(\xrightarrow[\text { Potash }]{\text { Miscellaneous: }}\) & 68, 206 & & Cocoa but & 372,689 & 8,444
610,383 \\
\hline Rice and sea grass.. & 9, 279 & & Flour. & 2, 748, 171 & 408, 673 \\
\hline Films. & 9,566 & 80,401 & Citron. & 13,428 & \\
\hline Optical goods. & 16,310 & 3,318 & Food- & & \\
\hline Mroduce & 6,600 & 1,600 & Stuff. & & 50,000 \\
\hline Toys & 3,518 & 4, 067 & Linseed-oil cake. & 122,388 & \\
\hline Merchandise.... & 648, 933 & 313,541 & Cottonseed cake.. & 310,605 & 130,007 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

FEDERAL RESERVE BANKS-ACCEPTANCES PURCHASED IN MARCH AND APRIL, 1925, BY UNDERLYING: COMMODITIES-Continued


\section*{FEDERAL RESERVE BANKS-ACCEPTANCES PURCHASED IN MARCH AND APRIL, 1925, BY UNDERLYING}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & March & April & & March & April \\
\hline Bankers' Acceptanees Based on Demeatic & & & Bankers' Acceptances Based on Domeatic Transactiong-Continued & & \\
\hline Animals and animal products, except wool and hair: & & & Textiles-Continued. Cotton manufactures-Continued. & & \\
\hline Bacon, hams, lard, etc. & & \$385,000 & Thread waste...-............... & & \$8,500 \\
\hline Bacon and hams.....- & \$580, 000 & & Yarns....-.-. & & 8,047 \\
\hline Furs- & & & Bags (grain). & & 100, 000 \\
\hline Raw ---.- & & 4,350 & Mohair & \$1,184 & 48,080 \\
\hline Not stated & 12,725 & 139,250 & Kapok & 53,12m & 25,373 \\
\hline Herring.. & 4,850 & 10,821 & Wool. & & 3,220 \\
\hline Sardines & & 3,571 & Silk- & 21,496 & \\
\hline Not stated & & 5,705 & Not stated & & 20, 800 \\
\hline Hides- & & 1,100 & Hosiery-.... & 2,500
4,306 & \\
\hline Cow & & 75,000 & Rope- & & \\
\hline Kips & 10,921 & & Old. & & 3,467 \\
\hline Salted. & & 4,183 & Not stated & 35, 263 & 13,197 \\
\hline Not stated & 453, 399 & 343, 570 &  & 5,700 & \\
\hline Leather & 12,038
3,942 & 16,246
15,757 &  & 13,000 & \\
\hline Lard..... & 100,000 & 625, 000 & Carpet...- & & 30,000 \\
\hline Skins- & & & Straw hats. & 8,928 & 2,063 \\
\hline Calf & & 19,354 & Silk- & & \\
\hline Sheep & \(\begin{array}{r}5,279 \\ 39 \\ \hline 927\end{array}\) & 11,651 & Raw & & 41,448 \\
\hline Goat. & 39,927
15000 & 74,308 & Wool- \({ }^{\text {Notated }}\) & 262, 278 & 25,609 \\
\hline Not stated & 84,447 & & Waste & & 5,400 \\
\hline Crab meat. & & 26,347 & Not state & 884,741 & 528, 592 \\
\hline Sheep casings & 12,300 & 10,910 & Sisal... & 75, 000 & \\
\hline Eggs & 20,000 & 49,000 & Textiles & 24, 666 & \\
\hline Pegoultry food products, oilseeds, expressed & 15,000 & & Webbing & 5,604 & \\
\hline Vegetable food products, oilseeds, expressed oils, and beverages: & & & Wood and paper: & & \\
\hline Vegetables- & & & Paper... & 22,336 & 58,093
3,975 \\
\hline Beans & 205, 372 & 156, 320 & Ties- & & \\
\hline \({ }^{\text {Peas }}\) Chick-peas. & 70,000 & 1,000 & Oak cross & & 1,167 \\
\hline Kidney beans. & & 2,062 & Long leaf pine & 44,824 & 3,179 \\
\hline Coconuts- & 4,040 & & Wood. & & 141, 514 \\
\hline Peanats. & 125, 000 & 35,500 & Furniture (sea-grass) & 4.306 & \\
\hline Raisins. & 170, 720 & 300, 000 & Nonmetallic minerals: & & \\
\hline Rice.- & & 15, 556 & Mica and soap bark. & & 755 \\
\hline Grain- Alfalá & 5,000 & & Petroleum, crude. & 171,000 & 391,000 \\
\hline Wheat & 420,525 & 254, 680 & Petroleum, oil. & & 253, 237 \\
\hline Hay- & 189,043 & & Window glass.- & 133, 500 & 75, 050 \\
\hline Not stated & 10, 099, 300 & 万, 129,000 & Coal.-- & 100, 955 & 273, 257 \\
\hline Fruits & 50,000 & & Ores, metals, and manufactures, except ma- & & \\
\hline Coffee & 175, 718 & 181, 522 & Peeler comber... & & 95, 000 \\
\hline Cocoa & & 11,055 & Calipers. & & 8,070 \\
\hline Cocoa beans. & 3,213 & 15,634 & Copper wire & 370, 131 & 71, 533 \\
\hline Vegetable oils- & & & Channels. & & 1,430 \\
\hline Oinseed. & 7,700 & 8,738 & Steel-Rails. & & 5,000 \\
\hline Cottonseed. & 100,000 & 190,000 & Bars & & 18,000 \\
\hline Salad. & & 40,000 & Not stated & 47, 878 & \\
\hline Apples. & 6, 500 & & Copper ware. & - 41,996 & \\
\hline \({ }_{\text {Canned }}\) fruit & 7,200 & 110,983 & Tire chains. & 1,758 & \\
\hline Sugar & 166, 000 & 220, 375 & Wire.- & 99,455 & \\
\hline Walnuts.....- & & 6,325 & Machinery and vehicles: & & \\
\hline Other vegetable products, except fibers and & & & Chemicals and allied products: & 32 & \\
\hline wood: & & & Alcohol & & 36, 000 \\
\hline Dyes-..--.-.-...............................--- & 6, 132 & 8,350 & Napthalene. & & 800 \\
\hline Rubber-
Crude & & & Colors.. & & 8,000 \\
\hline Crude--.- & & 10,000 & Soap.-. & 2,980 & 3,250 \\
\hline Tobacco....-. & \[
\begin{array}{r}
19,900 \\
1,863,608
\end{array}
\] & 1,120, 627 & Acid phosphates & 55, 000 & 2,200 \\
\hline Camphor- & 8, 702 & & Potash.. & 62, 500 & \\
\hline Canary seed. & 2, 559 & & Miscellaneous: & 62, 0 & \\
\hline Cascara bark. & 3,222 & & Radio supplies & & 13,019 \\
\hline Chillies-...........- & 3,067 & & Cotton and oil & 50,000
150,000 & \\
\hline Wood oil (Chinese) & 35, 000 & & Buttons. & & 2.529 \\
\hline Testiles: & & & Merchandise & 106, 167 & 24, 821 \\
\hline Burlap..... & & 18,300 & Petroleum oil and staple products. & 310,000 & 225,000 \\
\hline Cotton..... & & 7,000 & Canned goods.- & 16,606 & 5,000 \\
\hline  & 19,991, 165 & 7,163, 536 & Splits & & 1,834 \\
\hline Laces. \(\qquad\) & 25, 843 & 24,631 & Garbanzo & 41,599 & \\
\hline Goods-- & 996, 616 & 9, 738 & Unclassified & 299, 000 & \\
\hline Linters & 4,553 & 6,076 & Total & 39, 854, 671 & 19, 451, 839 \\
\hline Waste... & 6,910 & 7,723 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

FEDERAL RESERVE BANKS-ACCEPTANCES PURCHASED IN MARCH AND APRIL, 1925, BY UNDERLYING COMMODITIES-Continued
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & March & A pril & & March & April \\
\hline Bankers' Acceptances Based on Goods Stored in or Shipped Between Forelgn Countries & & & Bankers' Acceptances Based on Goods Stored in or Shipped Between Foreign CountriesContinued & & \\
\hline Animals and animal products; except wool and hair: & & & Wood and paper-Continued. & & \\
\hline Bacon, lard, beef, lambs, butter, eggs, etc... & \$291, 524 & \$285,000 & Pulp wood................. & \$625,000 & \\
\hline Butter, lard, casings, etc. & & 80, 000 & Rags & 1,810 & \\
\hline BeefJerked. & 38,435 & 68,313 & Nonmetallic minerals: & 28,000 & \\
\hline Frozen-- & & 4, 500 & Coke and coal. & 28,000 & \\
\hline Not stated.--- & & 3,083 & Ores, metals and manufactures, except machin- & & \\
\hline Casings, not stated & 1,980 & 1,000 & ery and vehicles: & & \$ 395.870 \\
\hline Leather- & & 16,215 & Iron- & 228, 57 & \$395, 870 \\
\hline Goods. & & 50,000 & Ore & & 643,982 \\
\hline Not stated & 212, 292 & 151,115 & Not stated & 142,635 & 203, 224 \\
\hline Stearine & & 3,686 & Iron and tin- & 133, 814 & \\
\hline Skins: & & & Iron and steel & 126, 125 & \\
\hline Rabbit & \[
\begin{gathered}
15,000 \\
8,000
\end{gathered}
\] & & Lead........ & 38,035
150,000 & 43,299
110,733 \\
\hline Not stated. & 16,276 & & Steel. & 92, 230 & 16,633 \\
\hline Vegetable food products, oil, seeds, expressed & & & Scrap brass & & 4,839 \\
\hline oils. and beverages: & & & Zinc.-.-- & 24,975 & 2,125 \\
\hline Coffee- & 2,010,009 & 2,273, 007 & Machinery and vehicles: & & \\
\hline Flour.. & 2,500 & 2, 084, 565 & Electrical material. & 71,791 & 10,540 \\
\hline Grain- & & & Typewriters. & 850 & 1,300 \\
\hline Rye- & & 22,500
55,017 & Machinery-- & 12,346 & \\
\hline Wheat Rice. & & 55,017
51,321 & Sewing machines. & 10,000 & \\
\hline Not state & 104,000 & 135, 000 & Chemicals and dyes & & 250, 000 \\
\hline Beet sugar & 150, 000 & & Potash & 904 & \\
\hline Cloves. & 8,246 & & Menthol crystals & & 7,200 \\
\hline Sugar. & 1, 214,005 & 772,512 & Chemicals... & 40, 000 & \\
\hline Sugar, coffee, and cocoa & 150,000 & 50,000
15,500 & Miscellant Salts. & 23,000 & \\
\hline Copra & 9,400 & & Matches & 975, 000 & \\
\hline Copra cake & 21, 111 & & Merchandise- & & \\
\hline Cottonseed cake-... & 25, 294 & -........- & General.. & & 40,501 \\
\hline Other vegetable products, except fibers and & 6,563 & & Pianos. & 771,553 & \\
\hline wood: & & & Unclassifled- & & \\
\hline Oil- & & & Sterling bills. & 483,672 & 356,900 \\
\hline Wood. & 28,870 & 25, 800 & Not stated. & 36,942 & \\
\hline Not stated & & 55,770 & Food products. & 100,000 & \\
\hline Rubber. & 30, 000 & 28,000 & Total & 13, 557, 558 & 10,360, 239 \\
\hline Tobacco & 39, 039 & 131, 423 & & & \\
\hline Perilla oil & 3,765
4,950 & & Doilar Exchange Bils. & 1,845,505 & \(\xrightarrow{886,946}\) \\
\hline Textiles: & & & Trade Acceptances Based on Imperts and Ex- & & \\
\hline Cotton- & 3, 861,419 & 1,080,876 & & & \\
\hline Cotton manufactures & & & Vegetable food products, oil seeds, expressed & & \\
\hline Goods.... & & 1,050 & oils, and beverages: & & \\
\hline Socks. & 1,800 & & Coffee & 90, 000 & 43,900 \\
\hline Cotton and & 3,024
145,010 & 65, 145 & Sugar.. & 1,915 & 199,777
5,856 \\
\hline Hemp ----........ & 13, 184 & 3,800 & Other vegetable products, except fibers and wood: & & \\
\hline Straw braids & 5,970 & & Varnish gums, Damar & & 3,616 \\
\hline Mexican fiber & 9,848 & & Tobacco. & & 15, 246 \\
\hline Mats....-. & & \[
\begin{array}{r}
354 \\
9,244
\end{array}
\] & Textiles: Raw silk & & \\
\hline Bed covers. & 540 & & Kapok & & 8,908 \\
\hline Buatal fiber. & 3,288 & & Hemp. & 286, 963 & \\
\hline Wool, not stated & 162, 269 & 118,623 & Wood and paper: & & \\
\hline Gunny bagging & 11,774
\(\mathbf{2 6 , 2 5 0}\) & & Misceneer-.-.-: & & 7,908 \\
\hline Rattan and sea grass. & 4,251 & & ant Merchandiso. & 6,193 & 27,766 \\
\hline Wood and paper: Lumber & 532, 794 & 150,000 & Total & 365, 071 & 412,977 \\
\hline Paper & 4,000 & 2,610 & & & \\
\hline Wrapping paper & & 1,126 & Bankers' Acceptances, Imports and Exports, not Classified & 791, 233 & 579,394 \\
\hline Products & & 100,000 & & & \\
\hline Pulp. & & 50,000 & Grand total. & 158, 910,827 & 93, 157, 296 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\title{
ESTIMATE OF PRODUCTION OF CROPS, BY FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICTS
}
[On the basis of the July estimates by the Department of Agriculture]
[In thousands of units]
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{2}{*}{Federal reserve district} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Corn (bushels)} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Total wheat (bushels)} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Winter wheat (bushels)} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Spring wheat (bushels)} \\
\hline & Yield, 1924 & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Forecast } \\
\text { July } 1,1925
\end{gathered}
\] & Yield, 1924 & Forecast
July 1, 1925 & Yield, 1924 & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered}
\text { Forecast } \\
\text { July } 1,1925
\end{gathered}\right.
\] & Yield, 1924 & \[
\underset{\text { July } 1,1925}{\text { Forecast }}
\] \\
\hline Boston. & 12,310 & 14,543 & 190 & 320 & & & 130 & 320 \\
\hline New York & 28,956 & 36, 129 & 7.757 & 8,266 & 7,505 & 7,984 & 252 & 282 \\
\hline Philadelph & 50,506 & -67,187 & 18,285 & 19,834 & 18, 147 & 19,693 & 138 & 141 \\
\hline Cleveland & \({ }_{136}^{138,991}\) & 217,411 & 42, 545 & 27, 546 & 42,513 & \({ }_{28}^{27,513}\) & 32 & 33 \\
\hline Atlanta. & 176, 332 & 199, 452 & -4,112 & - \({ }^{28,780}\) & 2,
4,112 & 28,01
5,780 & & \\
\hline Chicago. & 721,019 & 1,044, 018 & 67,980 & 57,301 & 65, 262 & 53,713 & 2,718 & 3,588 \\
\hline St. Louis. & 346, 256 & 441,632 & 53, 394 & 60,247 & 53,203 & 59,976 & 191 & 271 \\
\hline Minneapolis. & 268, 121 & 331, 497 & 257, 976 & 206, 030 & 14, 021 & 6,209 & 243,055 & 199, 821 \\
\hline Kansas City & 452, 646 & 499, 102 & 295, 244 & 161,600 & 284, 992 & 152,025 & 10, 252 & 9,575 \\
\hline Dan Francis & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 92,819 \\
& 12,443
\end{aligned}
\] & 56,751
15,356 & 26,819
70,874 & 4,417
100,158 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 26,513 \\
& 45,372
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
4,289 \\
38,578
\end{array}
\] & 25,502 & 61,580 \\
\hline Total. & 2,436,513 & 3,095,176 & 872, 673 & 679, 590 & 590, 037 & 403, 851 & 282, 636 & 275, 739 \\
\hline \multirow{2}{*}{Federal reserve district} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Oats (bushels)} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Hay (tons)} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Tobacco (pounds)} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Potatoes (bushels)} \\
\hline & Yield, 1924 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Forecast \\
July 1, 1925
\end{tabular} & Yield, 1924 & Forecast July 1, 1925 & Yield, 1924 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Forecast \\
July 1, 1925
\end{tabular} & Yield, 1924 & Forecast July 1, 1925 \\
\hline Boston & 8,326 & 9,362 & 4,433 & 4,369 & 12, 158 & 12,176 & 55,519 & 44,908 \\
\hline New York & 36, 015 & 35,450 & 7,789 & 6,593 & 38,802 & & 52, 881 & 40, 320 \\
\hline Phiadelphia & 23, 414 & 21, 5 SO & 3, 516 & 2,674 & 59,800 & 52,783 & 29, 223 & 22, 661 \\
\hline Cieveland & 81,572
22,643 & 72,971
28 & \(\mathbf{i}, 526\)
4,258
2,26 & 4,642
3,229 & \begin{tabular}{l}
1488,344 \\
\hline 18
\end{tabular} & 148,289
532,811 & - 37,495 & 19,432 \\
\hline Atlanta & 12, 728 & 16, 701 & 2,927 & 2,563 & 110,326 & 129,910 & 12,661 & 9,871 \\
\hline Chicago & 608, 284 & 486,976 & 22.352 & 12, 886 & 39, 248 & 42,118 & 81,801 & 51,924 \\
\hline St. Louis & 60,927 & 57,796 & 8,719 & 6,335 & 338, 335 & 319, 174 & 20, 930 & 14, 219 \\
\hline Minueapolis & 432,684 & 345, 146 & 18,527 & 17, 474 & 2,200 & 2,441 & 76,808 & 51, 025 \\
\hline Kansas City & 174, 026 & 161, 670 & 17,655 & 15, 107 & 4,020 & 3,998 & 31,159 & 28,928 \\
\hline Dan Prancis & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 52,149 \\
& 29,132
\end{aligned}
\] & 16,049
40,194 & 1,429
13,309 & 1,235
15,483 & & & 2,936
31,913 & 2,822
35,425 \\
\hline Total & 1,541,900 & 1,292, 101 & 112, 450 & 92, 600 & 1,240,513 & 1, 282, 916 & 454, 784 & 349, 566 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Fiduciary Powers Granted to National Banks}

During the month ended July 21, 1925, the Federal Reserve Board approved applications of the national banks listed below for permission to exercise one or more of the fiduciary powers named in section \(11(\mathrm{k})\) of the Federal reserve act as amended, as follows: (1) Trustee; (2) executor; (3) administrator; (4) registrar of stocks and bonds; (5) guardian of estates; (6) assignee; (7) receiver; (8) committee of estates of lunatics; (9) in any other fiduciary capacity in which State banks, trust companies, or other corporations which come into competition with national banks are permitted to act under the laws of the State in which the national bank is located.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Location & District No. & Name of bank & Powers granted \\
\hline Lewiston, Me & 1 & First National Bank & 1 to 9. \\
\hline Manchester, N & 1 & Merchants National Bank & 9. \\
\hline Milton, Pa & 3 & First National Bank & 1 to 9. \\
\hline Reading, Pa & 3 & Farmers National Bank & 1 to 9. \\
\hline Knox, Pa. & 4 & Clarion County National
Bank. & 1 to 9. \\
\hline Snow Hill, N. C-.-- & 5 & National Bank of Snow Hill & \\
\hline Orangeburg, S. O......- & 5 & Orangeburg National Bank..- & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \text { to } 3 \\
& \text { and } 5 .
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline Lake City, Fla & 6 & First National Bank & 1 to 9. \\
\hline LaFayette, La & 6 & .....do & 1 to 9. \\
\hline Auburn, Ind..........- & 7 & City National Bank---.------- & 1 to 9. \\
\hline Westport, Ind. & 7 & First National Bank.-....-- & 1 to 9. \\
\hline Mount Vernon, Ind...- & 8 & Mount Vernon National Bank \& Trust Co. & 1 to 9. \\
\hline Saut Center, Minn...- & 9 & First National Bank....------ & 1 to 9. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Changes in National Bank Membership}

The Comptroller of the Currency reports the following increases and reductions in the number and capital of national banks during the period from June 20 to July 24, 1925, inclusive:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline & Number of banks & Amount of capital \\
\hline New charters issued. & 27 & \$2, 725,000 \\
\hline Restored to solvency. & 1 & 25,000 \\
\hline Increase of capital approved & 32 & 3, 005,000 \\
\hline Aggregate of new charters, banks restored to solvency, and banks increasing capital & 60 & 5, 755, 000 \\
\hline Liquidations & 19 & 2,890,000 \\
\hline Reducing capital \({ }^{1}\) & 4 & 1,325,000 \\
\hline Total liquidations and reductions of capital. - & 23 & 4, 215,000 \\
\hline Consolidations of national banks under act of Nov. 7, 1918. & 4 & 2, 150,000 \\
\hline Aggregate increased capital for period & & 5,755,000 \\
\hline Reduction of capital owing to liquidations, etc. & & 4,215,000 \\
\hline Net increase. & & 1,540,000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

1 Includes three reductions in capital aggregating \(\$ 1,300,000\) incident to consolidations under act of Nov.7. 1918.

\section*{Changes in State Bank Membership}

The following list shows the State banks and trust companies which were admitted to membership in the Federal reserve system during the month ended July 21, 1925, on which date 1,481 State institutions were members of the system; also other changes affecting State bank membership.

ADMISSIONS
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Capital & Surplus & Total resources \\
\hline District No. 2 American Union Bank, New York, N.Y. District No. 3 & \$800, 000 & \$201, 108 & \$8,132, 647 \\
\hline Glenside Bank \& Trust Co., Glenside, Pa. & 125,000 & 30,000 & 870,590 \\
\hline North York State Bank, North York, Pa & 40,000 & 4,000 & 253,448 \\
\hline District No. 6 & . & & \\
\hline Bank of Wetumpka, Wetumpka, Ala... & 25,000 & 15,000 & 192,726 \\
\hline District No. 7 & & & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Columbia State Savings Bank, Chicago, \\
111
\end{tabular} & 200,000 & 25,000 & 2,313,041 \\
\hline District No. 8 & & & \\
\hline Federal Bank \& Trust Co., Little Rock, Ark & 200,000 & 20,000 & 240,000 \\
\hline Citizens Bank of Festus, Festus, Mo..- & 35, 000 & 40,000 & 454,909 \\
\hline District No. 11 & & & \\
\hline Farmers Guaranty State Bank, Brady, Tex. & 50,000 & 5,000 & 152,289 \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{CHANGES} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline District No. 4 & & & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Consolidation: \\
Commonwealth Banking \& Trust \\
Co., Cleveland, Ohio \\
with
\end{tabular} & \$250,000 & \$22,030 & \$2,000,619 \\
\hline Reliance Trust Co.,Cleveland, Obio, under name of Ohio Trust Co & 300,000 & 50,000 & 2,356, 268 \\
\hline Voluntary withdrawal: Falls Banking Co., Cuyahoga Falls, Ohio & 150,000 & 62,500 & 1,610,703 \\
\hline District No. 7 & & & \\
\hline Voluntary withdrawal: Bank of Fountain, Fountain, Mich. & 25,000 & 5,000 & 193,322 \\
\hline District No. 8 & & & \\
\hline Voluntary withdrawal: Bank of Alamo, Alamo, Tenn & 25,000 & 5,000 & 276,943 \\
\hline District No. 9 & & & \\
\hline Voluntary withdrawals: & & & \\
\hline Kenyon State Bank, Kenyon, Minn & 50,000 & 12,000 & 622,535 \\
\hline Security State Bank, Wanamingo, Minn & 40,000 & 8,000 & 265, 242 \\
\hline La Crosse County Bank, West & & & \\
\hline Peoples State Bank, Whitehall, Wis. & 50,000
30,000 & 27,500
6,000 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 714,316 \\
& 490,051
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline Security State Bank, Noonan, N. Dak. & 25,000 & 5,000 & 387, 537 \\
\hline District No. 11 & & & \\
\hline Voluntary withdrawal: Flatonia State Bank, Flatonia, Tex & 50, 000 & 13,000 & 597, 611 \\
\hline Converted into national bank: & & & \\
\hline Citizens State Bank, Commerce, Tex. & 25,000 & 12,500 & 225,647 \\
\hline Celina State Bank, Celina, Tex & 35,000 & & 340, 180 \\
\hline Absorbed by nonmember bank: & & & \\
\hline First State Bank, Ladonia, Tex .-.- & 35, 000 & 5, 000 & 470,263 \\
\hline Guaranty Bank \& Trust Co., Orange, Tex & 100,000 & & 557, 235 \\
\hline First State Bank, Sabinal, Tex..... & 80,000 & & 526,080 \\
\hline Commercial Guaranty State Bank, San Augustine, Tex.................. & 50,000 & 12,500 & 447, 843 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Change of title.-First Guaranty State Bank, Denton, Tex., to First State Bank, Denton, Tex.
Change of title and location.-Hudson Trust Co., West Hoboken, N. J., to Hudson Trust Co. of Union City, N. J.

\section*{BUSINESS STATISTICS FOR THE UNITED STATES INDUSTRIAL ACTIVITY}

Further decreases, smaller than during May, were noted in various indicators of industrial activity for June. The following table shows certain of these indexes for May and June, for the maximum month of this year, and for June, 1924, which was approximately the low month of last year. In the early months of this year business was notably active, comparing favorably with the corresponding periods of 1923 and 1924. As in those years, however, recessions in many lines were noted later, but the declines were by no means as great as in 1924. Production, employment, and car loadings, for example, although considerably less in June than in earlier months of this year, were materially above the levels of June,
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & June, & May, 1925 & Maximum month, 1925 & June, 1924 \\
\hline Production in basic industries \({ }^{1}\) & 110 & 111 & 127 January - - & 94 \\
\hline Manufacturing production....- & 125 & 129 & 134 March --- & 98 \\
\hline  & 125 & 125 & 141 January -- & 110 \\
\hline  & 94 & 95 & 96 March...-- & 93 \\
\hline Factory pay roils ....-....-....-. & 105 & 108 & 110 March.-- & 100 \\
\hline Agricultural movements....----.-. -- & 81 & 80 & 119 January.- & 87 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Freight car loadings: \\
Total 1
\end{tabular} & 117 & 123 & 129 April.-..- & 103 \\
\hline Merchandise and miscollaneous \({ }^{\text {a }}\) & 126 & 130 & 138 February. & 108 \\
\hline Building contracts awarded \({ }^{1}\) & 171 & 151 & 178 March..- & 125 \\
\hline Wholesale trade. & 83 & 79 & 83 March.... & 76 \\
\hline Department store sales \({ }^{1}\) & 126 & 124 & 133 April...... & 120 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\({ }^{1}\) Adjusted for seasonal variations.
1924. Building continues active, and contract awards did not show the great decline noted in the summers of 1923 and 1924. Automobile production has also continued large. Mineral output was rather well maintained in June, and diverse changes in the movements of different groups of agricultural commodities about offset each other.

Note.-Revisions in the statistics representing paper production have necessitated changes in the total indexes of manufacturing production and in the paper and printing groups for certain months of recent years. The following table gives these indexes for all months in which revisions were made. Figures for 1925 are shown in the table on page 570.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Total manufacturing} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Paper and printing} \\
\hline & 1923 & 1924 & 1922 & 1923 & 1924 \\
\hline January . & 121 & 123 & 100 & 123 & 131 \\
\hline February & 116 & 124 & 95 & 113 & 125 \\
\hline March & 134 & 128 & 111 & 127 & 134 \\
\hline April. & 131 & 123 & 106 & 124 & 141 \\
\hline May... & 138 & 113 & 117 & 133 & 132 \\
\hline June.- & 129 & 98 & 114 & 128 & 122 \\
\hline July & 119 & 97 & 105 & 111 & 111 \\
\hline August & 127 & 105 & 114 & 121 & 118 \\
\hline September....................... & 118 & 113 & 114 & 113 & 120 \\
\hline  & 129 & 124 & 120 & 129 & 138 \\
\hline  & 119 & 113 & 120 & 119 & 126 \\
\hline  & 109 & 117 & 115 & 116 & 127 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


INDEX OF PRODUCTION IN BASIC INDUSTRIES \({ }^{1}\)
[Index and relatives for each industry adjusted for seasonal variations. Monthly average 1910 =100]
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{Year and month} & \multirow{3}{*}{General index} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Iron and steel} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Textiles} & \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{Food produets} & \multirow{3}{*}{Lumber} \\
\hline & & \multirow{2}{*}{Pig iron} & \multirow{2}{*}{Steel ingots} & \multirow{2}{*}{Cotton} & \multirow{2}{*}{Wool} & \multirow{2}{*}{Wheat flour} & \multirow{2}{*}{Sugar meltings} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Animals slaughtered} & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & Cattle & Calves & Sheep & Hiogs & \\
\hline 1924 & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline June... & 94 & 81 & 74 & 70 & 88 & 107 & 111 & 86 & 108 & 102 & 116 & 104 \\
\hline July & 95 & 70 & 67 & 71 & 83 & 122 & 125 & 95 & 117 & 99 & 141 & 106 \\
\hline August & 94 & 71 & 87 & 72 & 82 & 100 & 104 & 94 & 118 & 91 & 136 & 105 \\
\hline September-.-..----- & 103 & 80 & 99 & 93 & 91 & 110 & 141 & 93 & 128 & 91 & 132 & 108 \\
\hline October---.------- & 109 & 93 & 104 & 109 & 100 & 97 & 141 & 94 & 143 & 93 & 121 & 120 \\
\hline November. & 107 & 97 & 109 & 105 & 102 & 84 & 111 & 93 & 129 & 82 & 122 & 116 \\
\hline December.. & 117 & 119 & 133 & 111 & 102 & 82 & 91 & 99 & 155 & 87 & 130 & 128 \\
\hline 1925 & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline January .-.-------- & 127 & 134 & 151 & 115 & 100 & 94 & 132 & 100 & 140 & 90 & 121 & 147 \\
\hline February...-...-. & 124 & 143 & 150 & 114 & 99 & 103 & 104 & 96 & 157 & 91 & 107 & 125 \\
\hline March....-.-.-.-.-. & 120 & 136 & 147 & 110 & 95 & 94 & 133 & 100 & 138 & 102 & 96 & 125 \\
\hline April.-.-.-.....-.-. & 119 & 128 & 128 & 121 & 92 & 90 & 134 & 104 & 124 & 120 & 101 & 123 \\
\hline May........-.....-.- & 111 & 113 & 121 & 103 & 91 & 88 & 115 & 104 & 114 & 117 & 92 & 116 \\
\hline June-.-------------- & 110 & 107 & 115 & 98 & 88 & 102 & 117 & 95 & 126 & 105 & 101 & 117 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{Year and month}} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Coal} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Nonferrous metals} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Sole leather} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Newsprint} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Cement} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Petroleum} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Tobacco products} \\
\hline & & \(\underset{\text { nous }}{\text { Bitumi- }}\) & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Anthra- } \\
\text { cite }
\end{gathered}
\] & Copper & Zine & & & & & Cigars & Cigarettes & Manufactured tobaceo \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{1924} & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline June.- & - & 85 & 100 & 124 & 111 & 54 & 103 & 173 & 187 & 91 & 163 & 94 \\
\hline July & & 89 & 106 & 133 & 109 & 63 & 102 & 193 & 185 & 98 & 155 & 97 \\
\hline August & & 87 & 95 & 132 & 106 & 62 & 101 & 190 & 190 & 95 & 157 & 93 \\
\hline September & & 103 & 105 & 130 & 104 & 68 & 107 & 186 & 191 & 100 & 162 & 98 \\
\hline October..- & & 110 & 95 & 133 & 108 & 71 & 110 & 183 & 185 & 97 & 158 & 99 \\
\hline November & & 104 & 91 & 140 & 108 & 71 & 104 & 187 & 183 & 96 & 145 & 89 \\
\hline December. & & 116 & 100 & 137 & 121 & 76 & 103 & 182 & 182 & 92 & 170 & 94 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Jenuary 1925}} & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & 125 & 104 & 148 & 128 & 68 & 106 & 243 & 192 & 91 & 188 & 105 \\
\hline February & & 109 & 116 & 147 & 119 & 72 & 108 & 193 & 191 & 88 & 171 & 97 \\
\hline March. & & 95 & 96 & 142 & 131 & 70 & 110 & 199 & 187 & 86 & 170 & 89 \\
\hline April.- & & 105 & 106 & 136 & 124 & 69 & 115 & 199 & 194 & 87 & 178 & 95 \\
\hline May & & 99 & 106 & 134 & 127 & 64 & 112 & 193 & 212 & 88 & 175 & 96 \\
\hline June...- & & 101 & 101 & 137 & 117 & 66 & 110 & 197 & 210 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

1 This table contains for certain months the index numbers of production in basic industries which are shown in the chart at the bottom of page 529 , together with the series of relatives used in constructing the index. In making the final index the relatives are adjusted to allow for seasonal lished on pages 1414-1421 of the Bullefin for December, 1922.

REVISED INDEX OF EMPLOYMENT IN MANUFACTURING INDUSTRIES :
[Monthly average, \(1919=100\) ]


This table contains for certain months index numbers of employment, together with group indexes for important industrial components. The general index is a weighted average of relatives for 33 individualindustries. The method of constraction was described in detail and indexes for the above groups since January, 1919, were published on pages 324-325 of the Bulletin for May, 1925.

INDEX OF PAY ROLLS IN MANUFACTURING INDUSTRIES \({ }^{1}\)
[Monthly average, 1919=100]
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{General index} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Metals and products} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Textiles and products} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Lumber and products} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Rail \\
road \\
vehi- \\
cles
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Auto-mobiles} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Paper and printing} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Foods and produets} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Leather and products} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Stone, clay, and glass} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Tobacco products} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Chem- \\
icals \\
and \\
prod- \\
ucts
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline & & Group index & Iron and steel & Group index & Fabrics & Products & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1924 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline June. & 99.9 & 85.1 & 84.9 & 94.0 & 93.4 & 94.7 & 109.6 & 97.1 & 109.3 & 133.3 & 104.2 & 81.7 & 157.1 & 92.8 & 90.6 \\
\hline July. & 92.3 & 75.4 & 75.0 & 85.8 & 85.3 & 86.4 & 102. 1 & 88.6 & 99.9 & 128.2 & 104.8 & 80.7 & 142.0 & 90.4 & 87.9 \\
\hline August & 96.1 & 78.6 & 78.3 & 92.3 & 91.5 & 93.4 & 105.0 & 91.6 & 107.6 & 128.0 & 102. 6 & 91.0 & 148.4 & 90.2 & 89.9 \\
\hline September & 99.3 & 80.7 & 80.1 & 98.3 & 97.5 & 99.3 & 107.8 & 90.3 & 111.8 & 133.4 & 107.8 & 95.9 & 146.0 & 93.9 & 91.1 \\
\hline October-.- & 102.8 & 84.9 & 84.7 & 103.0 & 105.6 & 99.8 & 111.2 & 97.6 & 116.8 & 135.6 & 105.5 & 96.2 & 149.6 & 85.5 & 95.4 \\
\hline November & 101.0 & 85.1 & 84.5 & 99.1 & 104.5 & 92.5 & 110.2 & 94.6 & 111.4 & 136.0 & 105.1 & 87.9 & 145.1 & 97.9 & 95.5 \\
\hline December.. & 105.8 & 91.6 & 91.1 & 107.5 & 113.9 & 99.7 & 111.9 & 97.4 & 114.5 & 139.9 & 107.2 & 91.5 & 143.6 & 100.0 & 98.2 \\
\hline 1925 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline January & 103.1 & 92.9 & 92.6 & 108.6 & 112.9 & 103.3 & 103. 1 & 91.5 & 99.9 & 138.2 & 102. 8 & 95.3 & 128.8 & 93.5 & 97.0 \\
\hline February & 108. 9 & 96.3 & 95.8 & 113.4 & 114. 2 & 112.4 & 108.5 & 100.0 & 132.1 & 137.5 & 105.4 & 99.7 & 136.4 & 87.0 & 99.7 \\
\hline March. & 110.4 & 97.2 & 96.5 & 114.4 & 114. 2 & 114.7 & 109.7 & 100.2 & 142.9 & 139.4 & 103.5 & 100.0 & 143.4 & 88.3 & 101.6 \\
\hline April. & 107.6 & 94.4 & 93.9 & 108.0 & 111.7 & 103.5 & 107.9 & 95.4 & 156.2 & 137.1 & 98.5 & 91.5 & 149.9 & 74.2 & 97.6 \\
\hline May & 107.8 & 94.6 & 94.0 & 105. 0 & 109.9 & 99.0 & 108. 6 & 91.6 & 163.9 & 136.7 & 101.8 & 90.3 & 154.6 & 90.9 & 98.4 \\
\hline June. & 105.2 & 91.1 & 90.4 & 101.6 & 103.9 & 98.8 & 109.7 & 90.4 & 151.2 & 135.5 & 105.1 & 85.1 & 154.3 & 90.3 & 95.7 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

1 This table contains for certain months index numbers of pay rolls, together with group indexes for important industrial components. The general index is a weighted average of relatives for 33 individual industries. The method of construction was described in detail and indexes for the general index is a weighted average of reatives January, 1919, were published on pages 324-325 of the Bulletin for May, 1925.

INDEXES OF INDUSTRIAL ACTIVITY 1
[No seasonal adjustment. Monthly average \(1919=100\) ]


\section*{COMMODITY MOVEMENTS}

With the March issue the publication in the Bulletin of detailed statistics regarding movements and stocks of commodities was discontinued. Certain figures compiled by the board's division of research and statistics are still published in order that users of these data may continue to have comparable series of data. Information as to current figures for any of the discontinued series will be furnished upon request.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { June, } \\
& { }_{1925}
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\underset{1925}{\text { May, }}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
June, \\
1924
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Grain and Flour & & & \\
\hline Receipts at 17 interior centers (000 omitted): & & & \\
\hline Wheat (bushels) & 22, 397 & 18, 666 & 17,882 \\
\hline Corn (bushels) & 17,552 & & \\
\hline Oats (bushels) & 19, 0689 & 14, 336 & 15,752 \\
\hline Rye (bushels) & 889
3,382 & 4,554
2,662 & 3,972
1,675 \\
\hline Total grain (bushels) & 63, 289 & 53, 246 & 56,923 \\
\hline Flour (barrels) & 2, 492 & 2,114 & 2, 522 \\
\hline Total grain and flour (bushels) & 74,500 & 62,757 & 68,271 \\
\hline Shipments at 14 interior centers ( 000 omitted): & & & \\
\hline Wheat (bushel & 12, 658 & 21, 017 & 14, 522 \\
\hline Oats (bushels) & 18, 254 & 20,505 & 13,497 \\
\hline Rye (bushels) & 1,475 & 6, 564 & 5,422 \\
\hline Barley (bushels) & 2,711 & 2,113 & 1,285 \\
\hline Total grain (bushels) & 53, 054 & 59,776 & 48, 464 \\
\hline Flour (barrels) & 3,352 & 2,650 & 3,378 \\
\hline Total grain and flour (bushels) & 68, 137 & 71, 692 & 63, 664 \\
\hline Stocks at 11 interior centers at close of month ( 000 omitted): & & & \\
\hline Wheat (bushels) & 19,568 & 22, 635 & 29,576 \\
\hline Corn (bushels) & 12, 291 & 15,082 & 6,230 \\
\hline Oats (bushels) & 29, 617 & 31, 581 & 2,787 \\
\hline Rye (bushels) & 6,448 & 7,232 & 14, 20 I \\
\hline Barley (bushels) & 747 & 1,430 & 360 \\
\hline Tutal grain (bushels) & 68,671 & 77,960 & 53,154 \\
\hline Receipts at 9 seaboard centers ( 000 omitted): Wheat (bushels) & 8,606 & 12,098 & \\
\hline Corn (bushels) & 923 & 694 & 1,199 \\
\hline Oats (bushels) & 3,197 & 7,065 & 1,783 \\
\hline Rye (bushels) & 186 & 823 & 1,944 \\
\hline Barley (bushels) & 3,186 & 4,710 & 1,185 \\
\hline Total grain (bushels) & 16,098 & 25,390 & 15,860 \\
\hline Flour (barrels) & 1,518 & 1,289 & 1, 555 \\
\hline Total grain and flour (bushels) & 22,927 & 31, 190 & 22,858 \\
\hline Stocks at 8 seaboard centers at close of month ( 000 omitted): & & & \\
\hline Wheat (bushels) & 5,801 & 7, 504 & 2,416 \\
\hline Corn (bushels) & 366 & 544 & 342 \\
\hline Oats (bushels) & 1,088 & 1,790 & 690 \\
\hline Rye (bushels) & 733 & 1,275 & 912 \\
\hline Barley (bushels) & 1,490 & 1,071 & 1, 505 \\
\hline Total grain (bushels) & 9,478 & 12, 184 & 5,865 \\
\hline Wheat flour production (barrels, 000 omitted). & 8,917 & 8,151 & 9,332 \\
\hline Tobacco & & & \\
\hline Tobacco sales at loose-leaf warehouses (pounds, 000 omitted): & & & \\
\hline Burley ----... & 19 & 40 & 100 \\
\hline Western dark. & 219 & 1,116 & 1,598 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { June, } \\
& 1925
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { May, } \\
1925
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { June, } \\
& 1924
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline Transportation & & & \\
\hline Revenue freight loaded and received from connections (cars loaded, 000 omitted): Classified by nature of products- & & & \\
\hline Grain and grain products... & 159 & 159 & 159 \\
\hline Coal & 676 & 660 & 589 \\
\hline Coke. & 40 & 41 & 31 \\
\hline Forest products. & 318 & 326 & 285 \\
\hline Ore. & 274 & 271 & 247 \\
\hline Merchandise, 1. c. 1 & 1,119 & 1,095 & 1,005 \\
\hline Miscellaneous. & 1,600 & 1, 532 & 1,336 \\
\hline Total. & 4, 302 & 4, 200 & 3,777 \\
\hline Classified by geographical divisions- & & & \\
\hline  & 1,023 & 999 & 897 \\
\hline Allegheny... & 879 & 857 & 778 \\
\hline Pocahontas. & 219 & 209 & 168 \\
\hline Southern. & 618 & 617 & 523 \\
\hline Northwestern & 662 & 652 & 603 \\
\hline Central western & 614 & 583 & 568 \\
\hline Southwestern. & 288 & 283 & 240 \\
\hline Total. & 4,302 & 4,200 & 3,777 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

BUILDING STATISTICS
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Building permits issued in 168 cities, grouped by Federal reserve districts: \\
Number of permits- \\
Boston (14 cities) \\
New York (22 cities) \\
Philadelphia ( 14 cities) \\
Cleveland (12 cities) \(\qquad\) \\
Richmond (15 cities) \(\qquad\) \\
Chicaso ( \(\qquad\) \\
St. Louis ( 5 cities) \(\qquad\) \\
Minneapolis ( 9 cities) \\
Kallas (9 cities) \\
San Francisco (20 cities) \(\qquad\)
\end{tabular} & 3,272
12,998
3,140
6,358
4,058
3,766
10,690
2,990
2,155
2,927
2,382
10,813 & 3,892
12,933
3,431
7,109
4,104
4,000
15,201
3,020
2,16
3,272
2,77
11,056 & 3,297
10,369
3,604
5,929
4,79
3,123
13,299
2,624
2,049
2,645
2,365
9,980 \\
\hline Total & 65, 549 & 72,811 & 63, 563 \\
\hline Value of permits (dollars, 000 omitted)Boston (14 cities) & 15, 701 & 19,774 & 11,380 \\
\hline New York (22 cities) & 99,765 & 98, 273 & 79,506 \\
\hline Philadelphia (14 cities) & 20, 253 & \({ }_{21,527}^{217}\) & 16,059 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Cleveland (12 cities) \\
Richmond (15 cities)
\end{tabular} & 27,542 & 25,177
15,065 & 19,411 \\
\hline Atlanta (15 cities) & 15, 881 & 14, 169 & 7,577 \\
\hline Chicago (19 cities) & 64, 102 & 74,971 & 59,345 \\
\hline St. Louis ( 5 cities) & 10,554 & \begin{tabular}{l} 
9,543 \\
5 \\
5 \\
\hline 194
\end{tabular} & 5,690
4,537 \\
\hline Manneas City (14 citics & 6,
14,490 & 5,494
10,214 & 4,537
8,738 \\
\hline Dallas (9 cities). & 6,587 & 7,669 & \\
\hline San Francisco (20 & 39,073 & 38,842 & 28,198 \\
\hline Total & 338, 759 & 340, 718 & 260,936 \\
\hline Building contracts awarded, by Federal reserve districts (dollars, 000 omitted): & & & \\
\hline Boston- & 41, 211 & 43,639 & 31,345 \\
\hline New York & 121, 527 & 112,585 & 93,409 \\
\hline Philadelphia & 35, 168 & 37,638 & 28, 782 \\
\hline Cleveland & 52,381 & 52,084 & 41, 868 \\
\hline Richmond & 36,961
51,919 & 35,450
50,694 & 29,398
33,741 \\
\hline Chicago & 127, 387 & 97, 707 & 77, 841 \\
\hline St. Louis & 38,876 & 35, 041 & 27,506 \\
\hline Minneapolis & 16, 504 & 16, 876 & 13,052 \\
\hline Kansas City & 16, 811 & 12,447 & 7,445
31,097 \\
\hline & & 14,541 & 31,0.7 \\
\hline Total (11 districts) & 561,038 & 508, 702 & 415,423 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\({ }^{1}\) Excluding Colorado.

\section*{WHOLESALE AND RETAIL TRADE}

WHOLESALE TRADE IN THE UNITED STATES, BY LINES 1 [A verage monthly sales 1919= 100]
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & General index & Groceries & Meat & Dry & Shoes & Hardware & Drugs \\
\hline 1924 & & & & & & & \\
\hline January & 80 & 80 & 66 & 97 & 49 & 91 & 116 \\
\hline February. & 78 & 77 & 63 & 98 & 49 & 90 & 109 \\
\hline March. & 80 & 80 & 62 & 90 & 65 & 104 & 118 \\
\hline April.. & 78 & 79 & 61 & 81 & 69 & 108 & 114 \\
\hline May... & 77 & 81 & 64 & 72 & 56 & 104 & 110 \\
\hline June... & 76 & 83 & 64 & 70 & 52 & 96 & 105 \\
\hline July.- & 78 & 83 & 67 & 79 & 44 & 93 & 110 \\
\hline August... & 83 & 83 & 68 & 102 & 56 & 93 & 107 \\
\hline September-- & 92 & 93 & 71 & 116 & 69 & 106 & 117 \\
\hline October-- & 95 & 100 & 78 & 104 & 67 & 110 & 128 \\
\hline November.- & 84 & 89 & 69 & 88 & 57 & 98 & 109 \\
\hline December. & 79 & 83 & 66 & 77 & 57 & 99 & 109 \\
\hline 1925 & & & & & & & \\
\hline January & 79 & 80 & 71 & 82 & 43 & 89 & 116 \\
\hline February. & 76 & 73 & 69 & 88 & 46 & 90 & 109 \\
\hline March. & 83 & 79 & 73 & 96 & 63 & 107 & 121 \\
\hline April & 79 & 75 & 68 & 85 & 65 & 107 & 115 \\
\hline May. & 79 & 79 & 75 & 77 & 55 & 101 & 107 \\
\hline June. & 83 & 84 & 80 & 82 & 55 & 105 & 110 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\({ }^{1}\) For description of the wholesale trade index see Federal Reserve Bulletin for April, 1923.
CHANGE IN CONDITION OF WHOLESALE TRADE, BY LINES AND DISTRICTS
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Percentage change in June, 1925, sales compared} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Percentage change
in stocks at the end of June, 1925, compared with1} \\
\hline & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { May, } \\
1925,
\end{gathered}
\] & June, & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { May, } \\
\text { May }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
{ }_{1924}{ }_{1}
\] \\
\hline Groceries: United States. & 6.2 & 0.9 & -7.1 & 7.3 \\
\hline Boston district & 11.8 & 6.2 & \(-14.3\) & 15.4 \\
\hline New Yorls district. & 4.0 & 4.9 & -38. 5 & 6.8 \\
\hline Philadelphia district & 7.0 & \(-1.7\) & -4.2 & \(-2.6\) \\
\hline Cleveland district.- & 6.1
-0.2 & 3.3
6.0 & -1.5 & \(-5.7\) \\
\hline Atlanta district.. & 1.3 & 7.2 & & \\
\hline Chicago district & 6.4 & -7.2 & \(-5.4\) & 10.9 \\
\hline St. Louis district & \(-4.2\) & 0.5 & -10.2 & 11.9 \\
\hline Minneapolis district & 10.6 & -2.3 & & \\
\hline Kansas City district & 15.8 & -0.3 & & \\
\hline Dallas district--7---- & 0.8 & 0.8 & -6.6 & 6.9 \\
\hline San Francisco district & 6.0 & -4.6 & & \\
\hline United States.. & 6.3 & 17.6 & 2.3 & -12.6 \\
\hline New York district. & 7.1 & 40.7 & & \\
\hline Philadelphia district & 4.9 & 12.8 & -3.1 & \(-14.2\) \\
\hline Cleveland district... & 8.2 & 4.7 & -5.3 & -12.3 \\
\hline Richmond district & 13.9 & 16.8 & 6.9 & -16.0 \\
\hline Atlanta district.- & \(-11.1\) & 14.2 & & \\
\hline Chicago district & 17.6 & 22.4 & 1.5 & -9.3 \\
\hline St. Louis district.-. & 2.5 & 22.5 & 4.0 & -4.7 \\
\hline Minneapolis district & 15.2 & 20.7 & & \\
\hline Kansas City district & -4.4 & 21.2 & & \\
\hline Dallas district------ & -8.7 & -6. 7 & 8.1 & -13.9 \\
\hline San Francisco district. & -3.9 & 5.4 & & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Shoes: \\
United States...
\end{tabular} & 0.2 & 5.4 & -2.1 & -13.8 \\
\hline Boston district. & 1.7 & 18.2 & -1.1 & -0.5 \\
\hline New York district & 1.3 & 6.3 & & 20.6 \\
\hline Philadelphia district.. & -3.1 & 2.7 & -2.0 & \(-4.0\) \\
\hline Cleveland district-... & 0.4 & -10.2 & -7. 1 & \(-24.2\) \\
\hline Richmond district. & -12.7 & 4.8 & -1.8 & -21.5 \\
\hline Atlanta district. & -19.6 & -7.5 & & \\
\hline Chicago district & -5. 1 & -19.6 & & -24.1 \\
\hline St. Louis district--..- & -1.7 & 8.1
48.2 & 0.7 & 1.7 \\
\hline Minneapolis district San Francisco district & 10.4
7.7 & 48.2
6.7 & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

CHANGE IN CONDITION OF WHOLESALE TRADE, BY
LINES AND DISTRICTS-COntinued
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Percentage change in June, 1925, sales compared with-} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Percentage change in stocks at compared with-comparedwit-} \\
\hline & \[
\underset{1925}{\text { May, }}
\] & June, 1924 & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { May, } \\
1925
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { June, } \\
& \text { 1924 }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline Hardw & & & & \\
\hline & & & & \\
\hline New York district. & 7.7 & 11.5 & -5. 5 & -15.5 \\
\hline Philadelphia district....- & 4.7 & 8.5 & -5.6 & \(-4.0\) \\
\hline Cleveland district & 7.1
-0.9 & 14.9
-0.0 & -2.9
-4.1 & -20.4
-8.2 \\
\hline Atlanta district.... & -0.7 & -12.0 & -4.1 & -8.2 \\
\hline Chicago district.. & 1.8 & 8.4 & -2.8 & \(-6.9\) \\
\hline St. Louis district & 0.7 & 16.4 & -1.1 & 10.0 \\
\hline Minneapolis district. & 4.4 & 7.8 & & \\
\hline Kansas City district.- & 1.7 & 10.6 & & \\
\hline Dallas district - ----- & 1.9
-0.8 & 9.2
4.6 & -3.0 & -12.7 \\
\hline Drugs: & & & & \\
\hline United States. & 3.6 & 5.0 & 1.8 & 2.7 \\
\hline New York district & 5.3 & -5.3 & & \\
\hline Philadelphia district & -0.2 & 9.7 & & \\
\hline Cleveland district.-. & 3.0 & 7.1 & & \\
\hline Richmond district.. & -0.0 & 8.1 & & \\
\hline Atlanta district...........- & 0.4
5.2 & 14.2
11.6 & & 2 \\
\hline Chicago district--.---.---- & 5.2
4.3 & 11.6 & 2.0 & \\
\hline Kansas City district. & 1.4 & 9.3 & & \\
\hline Dallas district - dist & 1.0 & 5.0 & -0.5 & 1.2 \\
\hline Furniture: & & & & \\
\hline Richmond district- & -1.0 & 21.2 & -4.8 & 18.5 \\
\hline Atlanta district.. & -1.4 & 36.9 & & \\
\hline St. Louis distriet. & -5.6 & 33.3 & -10.6 & -12.6 \\
\hline Kansas City district- & \(-15.3\) & 6.3 & & \\
\hline San Francisco district & -1.6 & 6.6 & & \\
\hline Agricultural implements: & & & & \\
\hline United States \({ }^{\text {a }}\) - & 2.1 & 39.8 & & \\
\hline Atlanta district---- & -11.9 & 55.3 & & \\
\hline Minneapolis distr & 22.3 & 46.4 & & \\
\hline Dallas district. & -30.0 & 10.0 & -4.2 & 0.0 \\
\hline San Francisco district & 38.6 & 60.7 & & \\
\hline Stationery and paper: & & & & \\
\hline New York district Philadelphia district & \(\begin{array}{r}4.6 \\ -4.8 \\ \hline\end{array}\) & 10.1
-0.9 & & \\
\hline Atlanta district & -4.8 & -0.9 3 & 3.9 & 2.1 \\
\hline San Francisco district. & -0.2 & 5.8 & & \\
\hline Automobile supplies: & & & & \\
\hline San Francisco district. & 4.0 & 2.2 & & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Clothing: \\
New York district
\end{tabular} & & & & \\
\hline St. Louis district. & -63.2 & 39.8 & & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Cotton jobbers: \\
New York district
\end{tabular} & & & -11.4 & -16. 7 \\
\hline Silk goods: \({ }^{3}\) New York district & & & & -6.6 \\
\hline Machine tools: & & & & \\
\hline New York district & 6.5 & 87.1 & & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Diamonds: \\
New York district
\end{tabular} & 15.3 & 11.9 & & \\
\hline Jewelry: & & & & \\
\hline New York district, & -11.3 & -17.9 & -0.8 & 11.1 \\
\hline Philadelphia district. & 2.5 & 11.0 & 1.0 & 2.3 \\
\hline Electrical supplies: Philadelphia district & 5.5 & & 0.6 & \\
\hline Atlanta district...... & 13.8 & 2 & & -4.1 \\
\hline St. Louis district. & 67.9 & 30.8 & -3.1 & 9.4 \\
\hline Millinery: \({ }^{\text {Kansas }}\) City district & & & & \\
\hline Kansas City district. & 11.9 . & 23.3 & & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
ves: \\
St. Louis district
\end{tabular} & -24.3 & -7.1 & -8.4 & -27.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

1 Changes in total stocks for the United States are weighted averages computed on the basis of firms which have reported regularly to the Federal Reserve System since January, 1923
\({ }^{2}\) Sales of agricultural implements for the United States are compiled by the Chicago Federal Reserve Bank from reports of laading implement manufacturers and include all their domestic business. \({ }_{3}\) Stocks at first of month-quantity, not value.

RETAIL TRADE, BY REPORTING LINES 1
[Average monthly sales \(1919=100\) ]
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Year and month} & \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{Sales without seasonal adjustment} & \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{Sales with seasonal adjustment} \\
\hline &  &  &  &  &  &  &  &  &  &  &  &  &  &  &  &  &  &  \\
\hline \multicolumn{19}{|l|}{1924} \\
\hline June. & 120 & 89 & 200 & 162 & 143 & 131 & 140 & 75 & 169 & 120 & 104 & 203 & 176 & 146 & 134 & 132 & 94 & 181 \\
\hline July & 91 & 69 & 206 & 163 & 148 & 128 & 113 & 72 & 177 & 123 & 93 & 214 & 179 & 147 & 129 & 123 & 97 & 181 \\
\hline August & 93 & 74 & 201 & 172 & 152 & 138 & 108 & 91 & 180 & 118 & 98 & 211 & 181 & 151 & 141 & 138 & 102 & 179 \\
\hline September & 119 & 106 & 210 & 169 & 145 & 137 & 124 & 110 & 189 & 131 & 112 & 221 & 183. & 147 & 137 & 129 & 110 & 192 \\
\hline October. & 141 & 141 & 240 & 203 & 159 & 144 & 138 & 124 & 199 & 124 & 109 & 234 & 188 & 156 & 137 & 122 & 102 & 194 \\
\hline November. & 141 & 131 & 232 & 199 & 145 & 138 & 146 & 111 & 186 & 126 & 105 & 230 & 191 & 154 & 139 & 134 & 89 & 195 \\
\hline December. & 210 & 148 & 253 & 366 & 187 & 192 & 186 & 184 & 282 & 131 & 123 & 242 & 198 & 153 & 142 & 142 & 98 & 188 \\
\hline \multicolumn{19}{|l|}{1925} \\
\hline January. & 108 & 108 & 250 & 151 & 155 & 122 & 107 & 92 & 162 & 124 & 110 & 249 & 207 & 161 & 141 & 141 & 112 & 193 \\
\hline February & 101 & 105 & 233 & 156 & 146 & 110 & 100 & 99 & 175 & 131 & 116 & 243 & 209 & 161 & 140 & 149 & 120 & 207 \\
\hline March. & 121 & 119 & 252 & 177 & 160 & 131 & 127 & 105 & 188 & 121 & 103 & 233 & 185 & 159 & 135 & 127 & 116 & 186 \\
\hline April & 136 & 117 & 258 & 195 & 159 & 134 & 177 & 107 & 210 & 133 & 114 & 255 & 208 & 164 & 139 & 152 & 126 & \({ }^{210}\) \\
\hline May. & 128 & 95 & 254 & 191 & \({ }_{167}^{163}\) & 143 & \({ }_{152}^{149}\) & \({ }_{99}^{96}\) & 195 & 124 & 106 & 254 & 201 & 166 & 140 & 129 & 116 & \({ }_{197}\) \\
\hline June. & 123 & 101 & 257 & 187 & 167 & 134 & 152 & 99 & 184 & 126 & 119 & 261 & 203 & 170 & 137 & 142 & 125 & 197 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\({ }_{1}\) For description of the retail trade indexes sse Federal Reserve Bulletins for January and March, 1924.
\({ }^{2}\) Index of sales of grocery chains revised. Comparable index numbers for all months since January, 1919, may be obtained from Division of
Research and Statistics, Federal Reserve Board.
DEPARTMENT STORE SALES, BY FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICTS
[A verage monthly sales 1919=100]
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{Federal reserve district} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Num- } \\
& \text { ber } \\
& \text { of re- } \\
& \text { port } \\
& \text { ing } \\
& \text { firms }
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{Sales without seasonal adjustment} & \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{Sales with seasonal adjustment} \\
\hline & & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{1925} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{1924} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{1925} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{1824} \\
\hline & & June & May & Apr. & Mar. & Feb. & June & May & June & May & Apr. & Mar. & Feb. & June & May \\
\hline United States...................... & 359 & 126 & 128 & 136 & 121 & 101 & 120 & 127 & 126 & 124 & 133 & 121 & 131 & 120 & 123 \\
\hline Boston. & 24 & 134 & 128 & 136 & 110 & 94 & 131 & 132 & 126 & 120 & 129 & 110 & 126 & 123 & 125 \\
\hline New York & \({ }^{63}\) & 132 & 132 & 140 & 124 & 107 & 127 & 132 & 131 & 129. & 136 & 122 & 140 & 127 & 128 \\
\hline Philadelphia & 22 & 127 & 121 & 134 & 125 & 101 & 123 & 124 & 123 & 117 & 122 & 135 & 125 & 119 & 120 \\
\hline Cleveland. & 54 & 128 & 132 & 142 & 125 & 106 & 126 & 133 & 126 & 126 & 139 & 123 & 137 & 124 & 128 \\
\hline Richmond. & 23 & 123 & 117 & 132 & 119 & 89 & 118 & 116 & 119 & 116 & 129 & 115 & 120 & 114 & 115 \\
\hline Atlanta.. & 35 & 98 & 104 & 109 & 99 & 84 & 95 & 104 & 104 & 99 & 106 & 100 & 111 & 100 & 99 \\
\hline Chicago---- & \({ }^{63}\) & 139 & 139 & 149 & 127 & 107 & 125 & 134 & 141 & 133 & 146 & 127 & 136 & 126 & 128 \\
\hline Minneapolis. & 23 & 103 & 107 & 116 & 97 & 81 & 99 & 109 & 102 & 106 & 110 & 99 & 115 & 98 & 107 \\
\hline Dallas.....-.- & 21
31 & \({ }^{96}\) & 1148 & 1110 & 1107 & 90
113 & 125 & 1105 & 1143 & \({ }_{143}^{107}\) & 116 & 101 & 114 & 1100 & 1104 \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

DEPARTMENT STORE STOCES, BY FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICTS
[Average monthly stocks 1919=100]
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{Federal reserve district} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Num ber of re-porting firms} & \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{Stocks without seasonal adjustment} & \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{Stocks with seasonal adjustment} \\
\hline & & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{1925} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{1924} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{1925} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{1924} \\
\hline & & June & May & Apr. & Mar. & Feb. & June & May & June & May & Apr. & Mar. & Feb. & June & May \\
\hline United States & 314 & 128 & 135 & 140 & 139 & 127 & 127 & 136 & 134 & 135 & 136 & 137 & 135 & 134 & 136 \\
\hline Boston. & 24 & 112 & 125 & 127 & 126 & 115 & 119 & 126 & 118 & 124 & 124 & 127 & 123 & 125 & 126 \\
\hline New York & 63 & 128 & 134 & 139 & 135 & 123 & 122 & 131 & 134 & 134 & 134 & 132 & 132 & 128 & 130 \\
\hline Philadel phia & 13 & 159 & 172 & 186 & 185 & 167 & 146 & 152 & 165 & 176 & 183 & 182 & 177 & 151 & 156 \\
\hline Cleveland. & 52 & 129 & 136 & 140 & 137 & 125 & 128 & 138 & 132 & 135 & 135 & 136 & 134 & 131 & 137 \\
\hline Richmond & 19 & 123 & 124 & 132 & 131 & 118 & 122 & 130 & 131 & 126 & 128 & 125 & 126 & 130 & 132 \\
\hline Atlanta. & 22 & 104 & 112 & 114 & 113 & 107 & 113 & 121 & 109 & 115 & 112 & 112 & 111 & 119 & 124 \\
\hline Chicago. & 51 & 144 & 153 & 157 & 158 & 145 & 145 & 154 & 153 & 155 & 152 & 158 & 156 & 154 & 156 \\
\hline Minneapolis & 22 & 109 & 115 & 116 & 117 & 106 & 106 & 113 & 114 & 111 & 112 & 112 & 109 & 111 & 109 \\
\hline Dallas-.--- & 19 & 114 & 123 & 126 & 125 & 113 & 114 & 120 & 119 & 122 & 126 & 124 & 120 & 119 & 119 \\
\hline San Francisco & 29 & 129 & 134 & 140 & 136 & 126 & 134 & 141 & 135 & 133 & 135 & 134 & 131 & 141 & 141 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{FOREIGN BANKING AND BUSINESS CONDITIONS}

\section*{THE NATIONAL BANK OF GREECE IN 1924}

The statement of the National Bank of Greece for December 31, 1924, as compared with that for the end of the previous year, shows an increase of nearly 10 per cent in total resources. Cash in hand declined substantially, as did also loans and advances to the State, but investments were nearly tripled and commercial loans rose by about 25 per cent, while State bonds taken to cover the acceptance of treasury bills practically doubled.

Following is a statement of the condition of the bank at the end of 1923 and 1924:

National Bank of Greece
[In millions of drachmas]
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{2}{*}{,} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{End of-} \\
\hline & 1923 & 1924 \\
\hline ASSETS & & \\
\hline Cash in hand. & 800 & 485 \\
\hline Funds disposable abroad & 2,046 & 1,987 \\
\hline Loans and advances to the Sta & 3.866 & 1,97
3,027 \\
\hline Discounts, advances, and loans on securities, on merchandise and mortgage. & 1,788 & 2, 242 \\
\hline Old loan to State against forced currency (1898) & 43 & 41 \\
\hline Mortgaged property taken possession of... & 8 & 1 \\
\hline Bank premises and installation expenses. & 19 & 20 \\
\hline State bonds to cover acceptance of treasury bills & 925 & 1,900 \\
\hline Sundry accounts. & 295 & 461 \\
\hline Total & 10, 104 & 11,081 \\
\hline Liabilities & & \\
\hline Share capital paid up & 20 & 20 \\
\hline Reserve funds. & 250 & 80 \\
\hline Bank notes issued & 5,406 & 5,292 \\
\hline Deposits-at sight, at fixed dates, and savings bank deposits. & 2, 529 & 2,811 \\
\hline Other special deposits. & 250 & 669 \\
\hline Bills payable & 77 & 92 \\
\hline Bank's lottery loans. & 64 & \\
\hline Debentures in circulation secured by mortgage & & 61 \\
\hline Treasury bills accepted on behalf of the State & 935 & 1,613 \\
\hline Sundry accounts. & 573 & 243 \\
\hline Total. & 10, 104 & 11,081 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Commenting on the marked increase in the floating debt evidenced by the increase of about \(700,000,000\) drachmas in treasury bills accepted on behalf of the State, the annual report offers the following remarks:

From this source (i. e., the issue of treasury bills) the State drew in 1924 up to the end of the month of June, when the circulation of the treasury bills reached its maximum ( \(1,933,207,000\) drachmas), an amount of \(890,000,000\) drachmas. But, after that date and since, the circulation of these treasury bills began diminishing, and on December 31, 1924, came down to 1,613,379,900 drachmas. Thus, in view of the bank having paid off by its own disposable funds treasury bills already overdue, the State has contracted a new debt toward the bank, which on December 31, 1924, reached the sum of \(300,048,083\) drachmas. This sum forms the only new debt contracted by the State at the close of the year.

The issue of treasury bills without doubt contributed great service to the State during the critical circumstances of recent years. But this chapter of the public economy begins to present certain threatening signs. The mass of the floating debt created by them causes anxiety both to the bank which has guaranteed them and to the State, and measures will have to be taken to deal with the question.
The reduction which has been effected, especially during recent months, must certainly not be taken to be the result of a weakening of credit, because the bills which are presented for payment for the most part belong not to private persons but to banks which need to liquidate their provisional investments. Besides this, a close movement continues in new purchases, especially in the Provinces, without, however, this investment filling the voids.

The reduction is exclusively due to the increased requirements of production, which absorbs more capital than formerly, and only such capital as is invested provisionally is withdrawn, as it is found possible to invest it more permanently in various forms of business in the country. We must consequently have in view the fact that this source of capital not only will be closed, but that it ought to be closed, and that treasury bills will continually be presented for payment without being renewed, and that for the purpose of settling these bills there must be found capital ready to hand. In agreement with the Government absolutely effective measures are being taken for putting a definite stop, and a special revenue is being assigned for the service and settlement of those treasury bills which expire, and the extinction of the various floating debts with the National Bank.

\section*{FINANCIAL STATISTICS FOR PRINCIPAL FOREIGN COUNTRIES}
(Bank figures are for the last report date of month, except for London clearing banks, which are daily averages)

ENGLAND
[Millions of pounds sterling]
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{1925} & 1924 \\
\hline & June & May & April & June \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Bank of England: \\
Issue department-
\end{tabular}}} \\
\hline & & & & \\
\hline Gold coin and bullion & 155 & 155 & 154 & 126 \\
\hline Notes issued.-.....- & 175 & 175 & 174 & 46 \\
\hline Gold and silver coin. & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\
\hline Bank notes... & 30 & 26 & 25 & 20 \\
\hline Government securities. & 39 & 37 & 37 & 48 \\
\hline Other securities. & 79 & 75 & 76 & 81 \\
\hline Public deposits. & 14 & 16 & 17 & 20 \\
\hline Other deposits...... & 118 & 107 & 105 & 113 \\
\hline Ratio of gold and note reserve to deposit liabilities (per & & & & \\
\hline cent)....-.-.... & 24.0 & 23.0 & 22.1 & 16.25 \\
\hline Bank notes in circulation. & 91 & 90 & 94 & 104 \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{ll|l|l|l} 
Cine London clearing banks: & \(-\cdots-\cdots\) & 292 & 292 & 290 \\
Ning & 290 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}}} \\
\hline & & & & \\
\hline Money at call and short notice... & 113 & 104 & 112 & 108 \\
\hline Discounts and advances..... & 1,056 & 1,049 & 1,039 & 1,037 \\
\hline Investments. & 267 & 274 & 278 & 324 \\
\hline Total deposits. & 1, 624 & 1,598 & 1,606 & 1,652 \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{}} \\
\hline & & & & \\
\hline Total. & 792 & 737 & 728 & 798 \\
\hline Treasury bills. & 597 & 581 & 563 & 580 \\
\hline Temporary advances & 195 & 156 & 1.65 & 218 \\
\hline Index of security prices (December, \(\quad 195 \quad 156\) & 114.1 & 115.1 & 116.5 & 115.5 \\
\hline Index number of foreign exchange & & & & \\
\hline value of the pound sterling (per cent) & 128.2 & 127.1 & 126.3 & 125.1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

FRANCE
[Millions of francs]
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Bank of France:} \\
\hline Gold reserve \({ }^{1}\) & 3,682 & 3,682 & 3,682 & 3, 679 \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{}} \\
\hline & & & 23,250 & 23,000 \\
\hline Note circulation. & 43,000 & 42, 703 & 43,050 & 39, 665 \\
\hline Total deposits & 2,446 & 2,176 & 2,105 & 2,151 \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Three commercial banks:} \\
\hline Demand deposits & & 15,482 & 14, 893 & 14,878 \\
\hline Bills-- & & & 10,340 & \(\underset{\substack{10,844 \\ 3 \\ 971}}{ }\) \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{cc|c|c|c|c}
\begin{tabular}{c} 
Clearings, daily average of Paris \\
banks,
\end{tabular} & 1,356 & 1,016 & 1,116 & 1,294
\end{tabular}}} \\
\hline & & & & \\
\hline Price of 3 per cent perpetual rente. & 42.90 & 44.60 & 45.00 & 54.45 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\({ }^{1}\) Not including gold held abroad.
CANADA
[Millions of dollars]
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{1925} & 1924 \\
\hline & May & April & March & May \\
\hline Chartered banks: & \multirow[b]{4}{*}{(r \(\begin{array}{r}54 \\ 1,130 \\ 3\end{array}\)} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
57 \\
1,120
\end{array}
\]} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{} & \\
\hline Gold coin and bullion 1-......... & & & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1, \(\begin{array}{r}54 \\ \hline 163\end{array}\)} \\
\hline Current loans and discounts..... & & & & \\
\hline Money at call and short notice..- & & 1, 349 & \[
1,1_{316}
\] & 1,302
495 \\
\hline Public and railway securities.... & 575 & 564 & 569 & 495 \\
\hline Note circulation. & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{2,165} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{- \(\begin{array}{r}151 \\ 2,145\end{array}\)} & 158 & \(\begin{array}{r}169 \\ \hline 1011\end{array}\) \\
\hline Individual deposits ....----.-. & & & 2,147 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{2,011} \\
\hline Gold reserve against Dominion notes. & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
116 \\
205 \\
1,342
\end{array}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
2,140 \\
116 \\
206
\end{array}
\]} & 120 & \\
\hline Dominion note circulation ---------- & & & 207 & 217 \\
\hline Bank clearings \({ }^{2}\) & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 1,279 \\
& 2,229
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\(\xrightarrow{1,195}\)} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1,432
2,290} \\
\hline Bank debits \({ }^{2}\) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 1,342 \\
& 2,282
\end{aligned}
\] & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Not including gold held abroad.
2 Total for month

GERMANY
[Millions of reichsmarks]

\({ }^{1}\) Not including gold held abroad.
JAPAN
[Millions of yen]

\({ }^{1}\) Gold abroad, gold coin and bullion in Japan.

\section*{CONDITION OF CENTRAL BANKS IN OTHER COUNTRIES}


CONDITION OF CENTRAL BANKS IN OTHER COUNTRIES-Continued
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{1925} & 1924 & & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{1925} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
1924 \\
June
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline & June & May & April & June & & June & May & April & \\
\hline State Bank of Russia (note issuing department; thousands of cher- & & & & & Hank of Spain (millions of pesetas): Gold & 2,537 & 2,536 & 2,536 & 2,534 \\
\hline vontsi): & & & & & Balances abroad & 29 & & 31 & 35 \\
\hline Gold. & 16,909 & 16,798 & 17,994 & 9,269 & Bills discounted. & 841 & 812 & 862 & 829 \\
\hline Foreign currency. & 4,894 & 5,100 & 4,689 & 10, 007 & Note circulation. & 4,274 & 4,295 & 4,364 & 4,343 \\
\hline Loans and discounts. & 42,906 & 38,307 & 36, 319 & 19,778 & Current accounts & 1,042 & 1,125 & 1,119 & 954 \\
\hline Bank notes. & 66,466 & 61,554 & 60,439 & 38,750 & Bank of Sweden (millions of tro- & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & Gold & 235 & & 233 & 247 \\
\hline of Serbs, Croats, and Slovenes & & & & & Balances abroad and foreigu & & & & \\
\hline (millions of dinars): & & & & & bills \({ }^{1}\) - & 139 & 136 & 124 & 79 \\
\hline Gold............................ & 73 & 72 & 72 & 72 & Dounestic bills.- & 365 & 363 & 386 & 442 \\
\hline Foreign currency and balances abroad & 372 & 368 & 352 & 347 & Government securities:
Swedish & 13 & 13 & 13 & \\
\hline Bills. & \({ }_{934}\) & 994 & 1,066 & 1,143 & Foreign & 38 & 40 & 41 & 42 \\
\hline Note circulation. & 5,644 & 5,771 & 5,671 & 5,434 & Note circulation. & 523 & 500 & 493 & 557 \\
\hline Current accounts.. & 528 & 312 & 306 & 344 & Deposits. & 229 & 243 & 253 & 224 \\
\hline South African Eeserve Bank & & & & & Swiss National Bank (millions of franes): & & & & \\
\hline (thousands of pounds sterling): & & & - & & & 498 & 482 & 480 & 513 \\
\hline Gold coin and bullion. & & 4,763 & 1,677 & 549 & Domestic bills. & 263 & 257 & 261 & 242 \\
\hline Gold certificates.. & & 9,976 & 10,224 & 10,173 & Loans....... & 49 & 52 & 52 & 78 \\
\hline Total bills discounted & & 3,372 & 5,124 & 4,075 & Balances abroad and due from & & & & \\
\hline Domestic bills. & & & 351 & 2,357 & correspondents .. & 69 & 47 & 49 & 37 \\
\hline Foreign bills. & & 3,053 & 4,313 & 768 & Note circulation & 834 & 822 & 830 & 877 \\
\hline Notes in circulation & & 10,566 & 10,699 & 10,789 &  & 111 & 80 & 76 & 63 \\
\hline Bankers' deposits. & & 5,760 & 5,013 & 5,576 & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\({ }^{1}\) Includes foreign government securities.

\section*{DISCOUNT RATES OF 31 CENTRAL BANKS}
[Prevailing rates with date of last change]
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Country & Rate & In effect since- & Country & Rate & In effect since- & Country & Rate & In effect since- & Country & Rate & In effect since- \\
\hline Austria & 10 & July 24,1925 & Esthonia & 10 & July 1,1925 & Japan. & 7.3 & Apr. 15, 1925 & Portugal.. & 9 & Sept. 12,1923 \\
\hline Belgium... & \(51 / 2\) & Jan. 22, 1923 & Finland. & 9 & Mar. 6, 1924 & Java & 6 & May 3,1925 & Rumania. & 6 & Sept. 4, 1920 \\
\hline Bulgaria & 10 & Aug. 31, 1924 & France & 6 & July 9, 1925 & Latvia. & 8 & Feb. 16, 1924 & Russia. & 8 & Apr. -, 1924 \\
\hline Czechoslo- & & & Germany & 9 & Feb. 26, 1925 & Lithuania- & 7 & Feb. 8, 1925 & South Africa & \(51 / 2\) & Nov. - 1024 \\
\hline Danzig....-- & 7 & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Mar. 25,1925 \\
Mar. \\
\hline 1925
\end{tabular} & Greece..- & \({ }_{9}^{81 / 2}\) & Feb. 15, 1925 & Netherlands & 4 & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Jan. \\
May \\
\hline 16,1925
\end{tabular} & Spain-... & 5 & Mar. \({ }_{\text {July }}{ }_{24,1925}\) \\
\hline Denmari... & 7 & Jan. 17,1924 & lndia. & 4 & July 2, 1925 & Peru & 6 & Apr. 1, 1923 & Switzerland & 4 & July 14, 1923 \\
\hline England. & 5 & Mar. 5, 1925 & Italy. & 7 & June 18, 1925 & Pola & 10 & Nov. 28, 1924 & Yugoslavia. & 6 & June \({ }_{\sim}^{*}\) 23, 1922 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
Changes.-Bank of Esthonia, from 9 to 10 per cent on July 1, 1925; Bank of France, from 7 to 6 per cent on July 9, 1925; Imperial Bank of India, from 5 to 4 per cent on July 2, 1925; Bank of Sweden, from 51/2 to 5 per cent on July 24, 1925; Austrian National Bank, from 11 to 10 per cent on July 24, 1925.
}

\section*{FOREIGN TRADE OF PRINCIPAL COUNTRIES}

UNITED STATES


FOREIGN COUNTRIES

\({ }_{1}\) Figures for Russia are for eight months ending May, 1925. Prior to 1924 Russian foreign trade figures were computed on a 1913 price basis. \({ }^{2}\) Figures for December, 1924.

\section*{PRICE MOVEMENTS IN PRINCIPAL COUNTRIES}

The tables below give the all-commodities and group index numbers of wholesale prices in the five countries included in the Federal Reserve Board's indexes. In the first table the all-commodities index for each country is shown both in terms of paper currency and converted to a gold basis. The latter figure takes into account the depreciation of the foreign currency
in terms of the American dollar (or gold) and the series indicates relative pricelevels in the several countries when all prices are expressed in dollars. The wholesale price index of the Bureau of Labor Statistics for the United States, with the group indexes shown by that bureau and the regrouping made by the Federal Reserve Board, appears on page 546 of this issue.
federal reserve board wholesale price indexes for all commodities


FEDERAL RESERVE GOARD WHOLESALE PRICE INDEXES FOR GROUPS OF COMMODITIES 1

\({ }^{1}\) Complete descriptions of these index numbers may be found in the following issues of the Bulletin: United States-May and June. 1526 June, 1921, and May, 1922; England-February, 1922; France-August, 1922; Canada-July, 1922; Japan-September, 1922.

\section*{WHOLESALE PRICE LEVELS IN PRINCIPAL COUNTRIES}

\section*{ALL-COMMODITIES INDEX NUMBERS}
[Pre-war=100]


\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) First of month figures.
}

The foreign index numbers of wholesale prices are cabled to the Federal Reserve Board by the various forelgn statistical offices. Index numbers of commodity groups for most of the countries are also available in the office of the Division of Research and Statistics of the board, and may be had upon request

Wherever possible the indexes have been shifted from original bases to a 1913 base. Further information as to base periods, sources, number of commodities, and period of the month to which the figures refer may be found on page 48 of the January, 1924 , issue of the Bulletin.

\section*{RETAIL FOOD PRICES AND COST OF LIVING IN PRINCIPAL COUNTRIES}

INDEX NUMBERS OF RETAKL FOOD PRICES
[ \(\mathrm{Pre}-\mathrm{war}=100\) ]


INDEX NUMBERS OF COST OF LIVING
[ Pr -war \(=100\) ]


Note.-Information as to the number of foods and items included, the original base periods, and sources may be found on page 276 of the April, 1925, issue of the Bulletin. The original bases of the indexes have been shifted to July, 1914, wherever possible.

\title{
BANKING AND FINANCIAL STATISTICS
}

FEDERAL RESERVE BANKS
AVERAGE DAILY CONDITION FOR JUNE AND MAY, 1925
[Amounts in thousands of dollars]
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{2}{*}{Federal reserve bank} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Total earning assets} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Total cash reserves} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Total deposits} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Federal reserve notes in circulation} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Reserve percentages} \\
\hline & June & May & June & May & June & May & June & May & June & May \\
\hline Boston & 76,129 & 75, 011 & 271,685 & 282, 833 & 142,472 & 137, 639 & 192,837 & 207, 150 & 81.0 & 82.0 \\
\hline New York & 249, 493 & 271, 401 & 960, 624 & 944, 354 & 851, 100 & 844, 384 & 331,417 & 337, 745 & 81.2 & 79.9 \\
\hline Philadelphia & 81, 137 & 85, 655 & 230, 358 & 223, 379 & 131,647 & 131, 199 & 157,472 & 154,867 & 79.9 & 78.1 \\
\hline Oleveland. & 95,165 & 98, 638 & 309, 259 & 298, 970 & 178, 818 & 175, 310 & 204,433 & 200, 543 & 80.7 & 79.5 \\
\hline Richmond. & 65, 637 & 63, 563 & 79,903 & 83, 635 & 65, 845 & 66,248 & 71,034 & 72, 803 & 58.4 & 60.1 \\
\hline Atlanta. & 53,779 & 41, 951 & 152, 115 & 165, 655 & 70,880 & 71, 207 & 136, 553 & 139, 182 & 73.3 & 78.7 \\
\hline Chicago. & 122,922 & 137, 716 & 379, 258 & 367, 275 & 323,651 & 322, 326 & 157, 369 & 160, 148 & 78.8 & 76.1 \\
\hline St. Louis & 64,482 & 52, 072 & 64, 742 & 81, 114 & 79, 239 & 80,454 & 45, 285 & 47, 241 & 52.0 & 63.5 \\
\hline Minneapolis. & 41, 236 & 37, 135 & 79, 267 & 82,048 & 54, 250 & 52, 558 & 62, 436 & 62, 346 & 67.9 & 71.4 \\
\hline Kansas City. & 55, 893 & 54, 125 & 98, 603 & 99, 156 & 89,185 & 87, 955 & 62, 993 & 63, 293 & 64.8 & 65.6 \\
\hline Dallas. & 48,665 & 38,673 & 59, 209 & 71,806 & 59,606 & 60, 803 & 39,654 & 41,981 & 59.7 & 69.9 \\
\hline San Francisco. & 106, 129 & 93, 292 & 267, 401 & 277, 127 & 162, 275 & 161, 271 & 198.647 & 197, 577 & 74. 1 & 77.2 \\
\hline Total: 1925 & 1, 060,667 & 1, 049,232 & 2,953, 024 & 2, 977, 352 & 2, 208, 968 & 2,191, 354 & 1,660, 130 & 1,684, 876 & 76.3 & 76.8 \\
\hline 1924 & 842,963 & 839,571 & 3, 246,997 & 3, 221, 809 & 2, 064, 532 & 1,980, 210 & 1,870, 823 & 1, 11515449 & 82.5 & 82.7 \\
\hline 1923 & 1, 124, 891 & 1, 1;3, 194 & 3, 204, 303 & 3, 180, 433 & 1, 931, 212 & 1,948, 878 & 2, 246, 535 & 2, 243, 195 & 76.7 & 75.9 \\
\hline 1922 & 1, 166, 617 & 1, 188, 849 & 3, 136, 308 & 3, 126,773 & 1, 892, 591 & 1,87i, 269 & 2, 138,430 & 2, 153, 053 & 77.8 & 77.6 \\
\hline 1921. & 2, 175, 175 & 2.353, 794 & 2,605, 779 & 2, 541,640 & 1, 723, 271 & 1,717.423 & 2,682, 560 & 2,787, 379 & 59.1 & 56.4 \\
\hline 1920. & 3, 209, 650 & 3,255,859 & 2, 102, 985 & 2, 078, 822 & 1,974,537 & 1,987, 323 & 3,113,949 & 3, 089, 737 & \({ }^{143.3}\) & 142.4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\({ }^{1}\) Calculated on basis of net deposits and Federal reserve notes in circulation.
FEDERAL RESERVE BANKS-RESOURCES AND LIABILITIES, BY WEEKS
RESOURCES
[In thousands of dollars]
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Total & Boston & New York & Philadelphia & Cleveland & Richmond & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { At- } \\
\text { lanta }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Chi- } \\
& \text { cago }
\end{aligned}
\] & St. & Minneapolis & Kansas City & Dallas & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { San } \\
& \text { Fran- } \\
& \text { cisco }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \multicolumn{14}{|l|}{Gold with Federal reserve agents:} \\
\hline  & 1,473, 117 & 177, 640 & 356, 159 & 136, 902 & 179,091 & 31,599 & 108, 763 & 135, 384 & 24, 390 & 53, 669 & 53,447 & 26, 164 & 189, 909 \\
\hline July 1 & 1,459, 127 & 150,789 & 356, 159 & 136, 339 & 180, 950 & 30, 352 & 109, 579 & 135,384 & 23, 895 & 53, 252 & 52, 546 & 26, 642 & 203, 240 \\
\hline July \({ }^{\text {July }} 15\) & 1,461, \({ }^{1}\),472, 241 & 1474, 637 & 356,047
356,047 & 142,547
141,469 & 180,266
181,068 & \begin{tabular}{l}
29,114 \\
46 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} & 110,611
111,764 & 135,348
135,348 & 22, 2611 & 53,306
53,802 & 54,109
53,329 & 23, 2360 & \begin{tabular}{l}
203,764 \\
202 \\
\hline 1
\end{tabular} \\
\hline July 22. & 1, 456, 802 & 151, 407 & 355, 956 & 138, 965 & 179,483 & 35, 221 & 110, 581 & 135,273 & 21, 620 & 53, 194 & 52, 388 & 22,811 & 199, 903 \\
\hline \multicolumn{14}{|l|}{} \\
\hline June 24. & 53, 819 & 7,947 & 10,345 & 9,334 & 3,469 & 3,797 & 1,893 & 7,211 & 2,019 & 789 & 2,855 & 1,713 & 2,447 \\
\hline July 1 & 58, 141 & 10, 439 & 9, 057 & 12, 739 & 3,230 & 4, 006 & 2,497 & 5,968 & 2,006 & 925 & 3,411 & 1,810 & 2,053 \\
\hline July 8 & 47,706 & 13, 174 & 7,974 & 5,577 & 2, 808 & 2, 205 & 2,391 & 4,957 & 2,425 & 1,121 & 1,448 & 1,911 & 1,715 \\
\hline July 15 & 51,384 & 15,969 & 6,692 & 7,644 & 2, 801 & 2,746 & 2,595 & 3,843 & 1,883 & 1,380 & 1,829 & 1,786 & 2,216 \\
\hline July 22 & 52, 473 & 8, 346 & 10,648 & 9,245 & 5,163 & 3, 142 & 2,623 & 2,765 & 1,956 & 1,752 & 2,423 & 1,943 & 2,467 \\
\hline \multicolumn{14}{|l|}{Gold held exclusively against Federal reserve notes:} \\
\hline June 24.- & 1,526,936 & 185, 587 & 366, 504 & 146, 236 & 182, 560 & 35,396 & 110,656 & 142, 595 & 26, 409 & 54, 458 & 56, 302 & 27, 877 & 192, 356 \\
\hline July 1. & 1,517, 268 & 161,228 & 365, 216 & 149, 078 & 184, 180 & 34, 358 & 112,076 & 141, 352 & 25,901 & 54, 177 & 55, 957 & 28, 452 & 205, 293 \\
\hline July 8 & 1,508, 734 & 160, 469 & 364, 021 & 148, 124 & 183, 074 & 31, 319 & 113, 002 & 140, 305 & 25, 086 & 54, 427 & 55, 557 & 27, 871 & 205, 479 \\
\hline July 15 & 1,523, 625 & 160, 606 & 362,739 & 149, 113 & 183, 869 & 49,439 & 114, 359 & 139, 191 & 23, 994 & 55, 182 & 55, 158 & 25, 235 & 204, 740 \\
\hline July 22 .-.-............... & 1,509, 275 & 159, 753 & 366, 604 & 148, 210 & 184, 646 & 38,363 & 113, 204 & 138,038 & 23, 576 & 54,946 & 54, 811 & 24,754 & 202, 370 \\
\hline \multicolumn{14}{|l|}{Gold settlement fund with Federal Reserve Board:} \\
\hline June 24-.-....-.-.-........-- & 674, 499 & 48,626 & 233,767 & 49,066 & 73, 881 & 19, 679 & 17,545 & 122,514 & 8,541 & 16, 118 & 34, 877 & 11,083 & 38, 802 \\
\hline July 1 & 680, 503 & 53, 645 & 221, 702 & 53, 035 & 76, 238 & 13,889 & 13,783 & 126, 973 & 8,051 & 21, 348 & 38, 360 & 12,079 & 41, 400 \\
\hline July 8 & 678, 327 & 57, 440 & 223,098 & 55,009 & 69,376 & 21, 437 & 16, 101 & 113, 931 & 15,540 & 20, 711 & 35, 444 & 10,692 & 39,548 \\
\hline July 15 & 675,710 & 53,661 & 234,756 & 49, 223 & 75, 386 & 24,921 & 12, 238 & 109, 901 & 14,251 & 14,585 & 32, 596 & 10,775 & 43,417 \\
\hline July 22 ---.-.-..........-- & 688,785 & 62, 027 & 235, 848 & 52, 157 & 73, 720 & 32, 877 & 15,741 & 115, 220 & 6,675 & 9,716 & 39, 566 & 12, 263 & 32,975 \\
\hline \multicolumn{14}{|l|}{Gold and gold certificates held by banks:} \\
\hline June 24 & 609,329 & 26,319 & 336,040 & 21, 878 & 39,764 & 22,649 & 4, 254 & 102, 563 & 10,972 & 7,330 & 3,366 & 8, 810 & 25,384 \\
\hline July 1 & 587,791 & 24, 864 & 330, 222 & 20,073 & 40, 130 & 22,786 & 4, 167 & 92, 187 & 9,543 & 7, 221 & 4, 136 & 8,395 & 24,067 \\
\hline July 8 & 597, 200 & 23,655 & 338, \(0 \pm 9\) & 20,618 & 40, 848 & 23, 201 & 4, 464 & 95, 026 & 10, 364 & 7,218 & 3,738 & 7,683 & 24, 326 \\
\hline July 15 & 591, 266 & 26, 274 & 341, 364 & 19,169 & 41, 594 & 4, 4.531 & 3,155
3 & 102, 105 & 9,943 & 7,460 & 3, \({ }^{3} \mathbf{6 2 7}\) & 7,391 & 24, 453 \\
\hline July 22 & 592, 790 & 23, 137 & 340, 086 & 19,081 & 42, 287 & 4,981 & 3, 527 & 101,814 & 10,607 & 7,311 & 3, 197 & 7,424 & 24, 358 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
federal reserve banks-resources and liabilities, by weeks-Continued
RESOURCES-Continued
[In thousands of dollars]
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Total & Boston & New York & Philadelphia & Cleveland & Richmond & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { At- } \\
\text { lanta }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Chi- } \\
& \text { cago }
\end{aligned}
\] & St. Louis & Minneapolis & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Kansas } \\
\text { City }
\end{gathered}
\] & Dallas & \begin{tabular}{l}
San \\
Francisco
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Total gold reserves: & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline June 24. & 2, 810,764 & 260, 532 & 936,311 & 217, 180 & 296, 205 & 77, 724 & 132, 455 & 367, 672 & 45,922 & 77, 906 & 94, 545 & 47,770 & 256,542 \\
\hline July 1 & 2, 785,562 & 239, 737 & 917, 140 & 222, 186 & 300, 548 & 71,033 & 130, 026 & 360,512 & 43, 495 & 82, 746 & 98,453 & 48,926 & 270, 760 \\
\hline July 8 & 2,784, 261 & 241, 564 & 923, 178 & 223, 751 & 293, 298 & 75,957 & 133, 567 & 349, 262 & 50,990 & 82,356 & 94, 739 & 46, 246 & 269, 353 \\
\hline July 15 & 2, 790, 601 & 240, 341 & 939, 259 & 217, 505 & 300,849 & 78,891 & 129, 752 & 351, 197 & 48, 188 & 77, 227 & 91, 381 & 43, 401 & 272,610 \\
\hline July 22 & 2,790,850 & 249,917 & 942, 518 & 219, 448 & 300,653 & 76, 221 & 132, 472 & 355, 072 & 40, 858 & 71,973 & 97, 574 & 44, 441 & 259,703 \\
\hline Reserves other than gold:
June \(24 . \ldots . . . . . . . .-. . . . ~\) & 148,049 & 13,365 & 36,674 & 8,384 & 7,942 & 5, 559 & 16,463 & 18,011 & 20,713 & 1,525 & 4,649 & 9,199 & 5,565 \\
\hline July 1 & 141, 306 & 12,562 & 35, 178 & 7,509 & 7,562 & 4,972 & 15,038 & 18, 137 & 20,026 & 1,391 & 4,460 & 9,082 & 5,389 \\
\hline July 8 & 139,493 & 13, 874 & 36, 189 & 6,816 & 7,158 & 4,619 & 13, 519 & 17, 632 & 19,559 & 1,118 & 3,880 & 8,930 & 6,199 \\
\hline July 15 & 144, 769 & 13,659 & 38,480 & 6, 991 & 7,385 & 4,492 & 13, 815 & 19, 181 & 19, 777 & 1,829 & 4,328 & 8,691 & 6,141 \\
\hline July 22 & 143, 996 & 13, 265 & 40,217 & 5,838 & 7,416 & 4,669 & 13,224 & 18,658 & 20,518 & 1,846 & 4,146 & 8,621 & 5,578 \\
\hline \multicolumn{14}{|l|}{Total reserves:} \\
\hline July & 2, 926,868 & 252, 299 & 952,318 & 229, 695 & 308, 110 & 76,005 & 145, 064 & 378, 649 & 63,521 & 84, 137 & 102,913 & 58,008 & 276, 149 \\
\hline July 8 & 2, 923, 754 & 255, 438 & 959,367 & 230, 567 & 300, 456 & 80,576 & 147,086 & 366, 894 & 70, 549 & 83, 474 & 98, 619 & 55, 176 & 275,552 \\
\hline July 15 & 2, 935,370 & 254, 000 & 977, 739 & 224, 496 & 308, 234 & 83, 383 & 143, 567 & 370,378 & 67,965 & 79,056 & 95,709 & 52,092 & 278,751 \\
\hline \multicolumn{14}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{July 22
Nonreserve cash:}} \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline July 1. & 47,429 & 5,065 & 14,658 & 1,449 & 2,820 & 2,392 & 3,281 & 6,428 & 3,416 & 919 & 2,095 & 2,294 & 2,612 \\
\hline July 8 & 49,699 & 4,111 & 17,179 & 1,404 & 2,380 & 2, 629 & 3,787 & 6,356 & 3, 683 & 826 & 2,150 & 2,416 & 2,778 \\
\hline July 15 & 56, 208 & 4,930 & 19,021 & 1,476 & 3,578 & 2,993 & 3,830 & 7,246 & 3, 667 & 1,539. & 2,217 & 2,509 & 3,203 \\
\hline July 22. & 56,932 & 5,476 & 19,327 & 1,495 & 3,253 & 3,075 & 3, 688 & 8,004 & 3,798 & 1,448 & 2,172 & 2,264 & 2, 932 \\
\hline \multicolumn{14}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Bills discounted: \\
Secured by U. S. Govern- \\
ment obligations-
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline June 24. & 249,914 & 14,656 & 93,672 & 23, 749 & 39,486 & 15, 087 & 3,401 & 29,878 & 6, 241 & 317 & 938 & 786 & 21, 703 \\
\hline July 1 & 268,937 & 16,894 & 109,440 & 26,464 & 32, 234 & 16,209 & 3,672 & 42, 580 & 6,779 & 980 & 1,009 & 717 & 11, 959 \\
\hline July 8 & 230, 270 & 15,007 & 91, 634 & 22, 838 & 27,755 & 16, 803 & 828 & 29,062 & 6, 698 & 440 & 1,075 & 1,414 & 16, 716 \\
\hline July 15 & 237, 540 & 17,577 & 81, 515 & 26,105 & 34, 530 & 16,810 & 1,493 & 30, 992 & 6,861 & 506 & 1,420 & 1,149 & 18, 582 \\
\hline  & 230, 032 & 9,976 & 89, 295 & 23, 164 & 30,433 & 19,135. & 2,164 & 24,388 & 7,467, & 2,145 & 1,011 & 1,914 & 18,940 \\
\hline \multicolumn{14}{|l|}{} \\
\hline July 1 & 242,688 & 27,191 & 41,671 & 18,809 & 16,437 & 38,359 & 21,429 & 28,388 & 13, 832 & 4,386 & 6,000. & 6,009 & 20,177 \\
\hline July 8 & 220,061 & 22,762 & 32, 149 & 16,539 & 14,462 & 33,497 & 21, 387 & 25, 838 & 12,311 & 4,615 & 5,779 & 6,742 & 23,980 \\
\hline July 15 & 217, 199 & 17,543 & 40,227 & 20,428 & 12,485 & 30,629 & 20,649 & 25, 400 & 12,815 & 4,520 & 5,989 & 7,157 & 19,357 \\
\hline July 22 & 212, 490 & 14,027 & 31, 595 & 19,182 & 16,419 & 32,374 & 22, 244 & 23,724 & 13,882 & 4,674 & 5,532. & 7,567 & 21, 270 \\
\hline \multicolumn{14}{|l|}{Total bills discounted:} \\
\hline July 1 & 511, 625 & 44,085 & 151,111 & 45, 273 & 48,671 & 54, 568 & 25,101 & 70,968 & 18,980 & 4, 5961 & 6,949 & 6,726 & 32,136 \\
\hline July 8 & 450, 331 & 37,769 & 123, 783 & 39,377 & 42,217 & 50, 300 & 22, 215 & 54,900 & 19,009 & 5,055 & 6,854 & 8,156 & 40,696 \\
\hline July 15 & 454, 739 & 35, 120 & 121, 742 & 46,533 & 47,015 & 47, 439. & 22, 142 & 56, 392 & 19,676 & 5,026 & 7,409 & 8,306 & 37,939 \\
\hline July 22 & 442, 522 & 24,003 & 120,890 & 42,346 & 46, 852 & 51, 509 & 24,408 & 48, 112 & 21,349 & 6,819 & 6,543 & 9,481 & 40,210 \\
\hline Bills bought in open market: & & 5 & & & & 21 & & & & & 17 & & \\
\hline July 1. & 249, 090 & 34, 982 & 46,991 & 15,099 & 20, 737 & 9,924 & 17,935 & 30,022 & 11,136 & 15,766 & 12,943 & 12,519 & 21, 036 \\
\hline July 8 & 240, 711 & 36, 886 & 38, 453 & 13, 002 & 21,717 & 9,750 & 16,693. & 30, 460 & 10, 647 & 15, 362 & 12, 650 & 14, 022 & 21, 069 \\
\hline July 15 & 231, 329 & 36, 199 & 37, 394 & 12,729 & 20, 999 & 8,874 & 16,007 & 27, 784 & 10,361 & 15, 741 & 14, 070 & 13, 617 & 17,554 \\
\hline July 22. & 224, 525 & 33, 903 & 33, 916 & 11, 888 & 18,218 & 8,210 & 15,644 & 26,063 & 10,244 & 19,045 & 15, 096 & 12,599, & 19,699 \\
\hline \multicolumn{14}{|l|}{U. S. Government securities: Bonds-} \\
\hline June 24.-...-------. & 72, 297 & 569 & 6,122 & 620 & 9, 004 & 1,585. & 1,954 & 20,356 & 3,391 & 8,345 & 9,334 & 7,760 & 3,257 \\
\hline July 1 & 68, 247 & 560 & 4,912 & 610 & 8, 746 & 1, 486 & 1,689 & 20,098 & 2, 703 & 8,150 & 9,571 & 7,263 & 2,459 \\
\hline July 8 & 68,556 & 559 & 4,912 & 610 & 8, 746 & 1, 486 & 1,715 & 20,217 & 2,803 & 8,150 & 9, 572 & 7,327 & 2,459 \\
\hline July 15 & 68,777 & 559 & 4,912 & 610 & 8, 746 & 1,486 & 1,692 & 20,232 & 3, 050 & 8,150 & 9,572 & 7,308 & 2,460 \\
\hline July 22 & 68,905 & 558 & 4,912 & 610 & 8, 746 & 1,486 & 1,678 & 20, 266 & 3, 107 & 8,150 & 9,572 & 7,360 & 2,460 \\
\hline Treasury notesJune 24 & 226, 083 & 1, 145 & 50,780 & 17,20 & 11,571 & 4,019 & 11,288 & 19, 117 & 27, 227 & 7,752 & 19,293 & 20,450 & \\
\hline July 1. & 249,551 & 1,297 & 73, 136 & 17, 207 & 11,571 & 4,019 & 11, 288 & 19,118 & 27, 227 & 7,751 & 20,293 & 20,410 & 36,234 \\
\hline July 8 & 241, 683 & 2,395 & 64, 054 & 17, 207 & 11,571 & 4,019 & 11, 203 & 19, 118 & 27, 227 & 7,751 & 20, 293 & 20,521 & 36, 234 \\
\hline July 15 & 242, 365 & 2, 373 & 64, 864 & 17, 207 & 11,571 & 4, 019 & 11, 297 & 19,118 & 27, 227 & 7,751 & 20,293 & 20, 411 & 36,234 \\
\hline July 22 & 231, 290 & 1, 086 & 54, 542 & 17, 207 & 11, 571 & 4,019 & 11, 297 & 19,118 & 27, 227 & 7,751 & 20, 293 & 20,945 & 36, 234 \\
\hline \multicolumn{14}{|l|}{Certificates of indebted-ness-} \\
\hline  & 26,229 & 3,893 & 892 & 57 & 8,305 & 63 & 1,121 & 2,497 & 544 & 128 & 2,784 & 898 & 5,047 \\
\hline July 1 & 35, 777 & 5, 020 & 8,178 & 57 & 9, 447 & 64 & 1, 196 & 2,974 & 544 & 128 & 2,784 & 337 & 5,048 \\
\hline July 8 & 28,722 & 4,668 & 2, 256 & 57 & 9,547 & 64 & 1,389 & 1,744 & 544 & 128 & 2,830 & 447 & 5, 048 \\
\hline July 15 & 33, 335 & 5, 362 & 5, 482 & 57 & 10,397 & 64 & 1,428 & 1,658 & 544 & 128 & 2,830 & 337 & 5,048 \\
\hline July 22 & 35, 109 & 6,135 & 6,315 & 57 & 10,397 & 64 & 1,428 & 1,776 & 544 & 128 & 2,830 & 387 & 5,048 \\
\hline \multicolumn{14}{|l|}{Total U. S. Government securi-} \\
\hline June 24 & 324, 609 & 5,607 & 57, 794 & 17, 884 & 28,880 & 5,667 & 14,363 & 41,970 & 31, 162 & 16,225 & 31,411 & 29, 108 & 44, 538 \\
\hline July 1. & 353, 575 & 6, 877 & 86, 226 & 17, 874 & 29, 764 & 5, 569 & 14, 173 & 42,190 & 30, 474 & 16, 029 & 32, 648 & 28, 010 & 43, 741 \\
\hline July 8. & 338, 961 & 7,622 & 71, 222 & 17, 874 & 29, 864 & 5, 569 & 14,397 & 41,079 & 30, 574 & 16, 029 & 32,695 & 28, 295 & 43, 741 \\
\hline July 15 & 344, 477 & 8,294 & 75, 258 & 17, 874 & 30,714 & 5,569 & 14, 417 & 41, 008 & 30,821 & 16, 029 & 32, 695 & 28, 056 & 43, 742 \\
\hline July 22...........ar- & 335, 304 & 7,779 & 65, 769 & 17,874 & 30,714 & 5,569 & 14,403 & 41, 160 & 30,878 & 16,029 & 32,695 & 28,692 & 43, 742 \\
\hline Foreign loans on gold:
June 24 & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline July I. & 10,500 & 777 & 2,835 & 976
976 & 1,124 & 557 & 430 & 1,449 & 483 & \begin{tabular}{l}
346 \\
346 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} & 420 & \begin{tabular}{l}
368 \\
368 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} & 735
735 \\
\hline July 8 & 10,500 & 777 & 2,835 & 976 & 1,124 & 557 & 430 & 1,449 & 483 & 346 & 420 & 368 & 735 \\
\hline July 15 & 10, 500 & 777 & 2,835 & 976 & 1,124 & 557 & 430 & 1,449 & 483 & 346 & 420 & 368 & 735 \\
\hline July 22. & 10,500 & 7771 & 2,835 & 976 & 1,124 & 557 & 430 & 1,449 & 483 & 346 & 420 & 368 & 735 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
federal reserve banks-RESOURCES and habilities, by weeks-continued
RESOURCES-Continued
In thousands of dollars]
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Total & Boston & New York & Philadelphia & Cleveland & Richmond & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { At.- } \\
& \text { lanta }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Chi- } \\
& \text { cago }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { St. } \\
\text { Louis }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Minne- } \\
& \text { apolis }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Kansas } \\
& \text { City }
\end{aligned}
\] & Dallas & Srancisco \\
\hline All other earning assets: June 24 & & & & 2, 250 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline July 1. & 2,250 & & & 2,250 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline July 8 & 2,250 & & & 2,250 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline July 15. & 2, 250 & & & 2,250 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline July 22. & 1, 850 & & & 1,850 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Total earning assets: & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline June 24...... & 1,034, 470 & 72, 759 & 217, 101 & 76, 379 & 105, 117 & 64, 128 & 57, 566 & 126, 550 & 61,354 & 39,644 & 53, 895 & 48,613 & 111,364 \\
\hline July 1. & 1, 127, 040 & 86,721 & \({ }^{287}{ }^{281} 163\) & 81, 472 & 100, 296 & 70, 618 & 57, 6339 & 144, 629 & 62, 710 & 37, 507 & \({ }^{53,} 020\) & 47,623 & 97,648 \\
\hline July 15 & 1,043, 295 & 80, 380 & 237, 229 & 80,362 & \({ }^{99} 98.852\) & 66, 439 & 52,996 & 126, 633 & 60, 6131 & 36, 724 & 54, 594 & 50,841
50,347 & 106,241
99,970 \\
\hline July 22 & 1, 014, 701 & 66, 462 & 223, 410 & 74,934 & 96, 908 & 65, 845 & 54, 885 & 116, 784 & 62, 954 & 42, 239 & 54, 754 & 51, 140 & 104, 386 \\
\hline Uncollected items: & 619, 112 & 55,893 & 147, 510 & 60, 062 & 59, 550 & 51,312 & 29, 200 & 78,267 & 30,664 & 13,488 & 35,659 & 20,748 & 36, 759 \\
\hline July 1 & 670, 084 & 62, 401 & 173, 388 & 60, 676 & 59, 456 & 53,714 & 26, 825 & 92, 392 & 30, 303 & 13,492 & 38, 677 & 20, 270 & 38, 490 \\
\hline July 8 & 683, 492 & 63,717 & 161,244 & 63, 869 & 63, 655 & \({ }^{57,036}\) & 30, 897 & 85, 010 & 34, 596 & 16, 156 & 42, 737 & 24, 611 & 39, 964 \\
\hline July 15 & 746, 725 & 70, 919 & 183, 002 & 66, 027 & 73, 7071 & 59, 467 & 33, 731 & 93, 638 & 35, 077 & 16, 312 & 43, 083 & 26, 107 & 45, 655 \\
\hline Bank premises: & 644, 018 & 57,590 & 148, 616 & 59, 245 & 64, 541 & 54,971 & 30, 207 & 82, 810 & 33, 273 & 14,545 & 38, 289 & 22, 134 & 37,797 \\
\hline June 24.... & 60, 173 & 4, 190 & 16, 897 & 1,139 & 7,573. & 2,446 & 2,780 & 8,099 & 4,549 & 3,049 & 4,339 & 1,833 & 3, 279 \\
\hline July 1 & 60, 180 & 4, 190 & 16, 898 & 1,139 & 7,573 & 2, 446 & 2,780 & 8,099 & 4,551 & 3,049 & 4,339 & 1,833 & 3, 283 \\
\hline July 8 & 60, 326 & 4, 190 & 16,981 & 1,139 & 7,573 & \(\stackrel{2}{2,446}\) & 2,780 & 8 8, 099 & 4, 568 & 3,049 & 4, 386 & 1,883 & 3,282 \\
\hline July 15 & 60,383 & 4, 190 & 16,984 & 1,158 & 7,573 & 2, 446 & 2,780 & 8, 099 & 4, 563 & 3,019 & 4, 419 & 1,833 & 3,289 \\
\hline July 22 & 60, 397 & 4, 190 & 16,997 & 1,158 & 7,573 & 2, 446 & 2,780 & 8, 099. & 4,564 & 3,049 & 4,419 & 1,833 & 3,289 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
All other resources: \\
June 24
\end{tabular} & & & 5,809 & 153 & 321 & 683 & 2,592 & 1,380 & 322 & 3,318 & 459 & & \\
\hline July 1 & 21, 445 & 60 & 6,150 & 191 & 319 & 732 & 2,573 & 1,318 & 324 & 3,155 & 485 & 1,569 & 4, 569 \\
\hline July 8 & 21, 618 & 165 & 6, 083 & 198 & 331 & 775 & 2, 556 & 1,332 & 328 & 3, 160 & 651 & 1,594 & 4,445 \\
\hline July 15. & 21, 425 & 82 & 6,121 & \({ }^{219}\) & 346 & 702 & 2,510 & 1,290 & 346 & 3, 152 & 642 & 1,559 & 4,456 \\
\hline July 22...- & 21, 591 & 89 & 6,187 & 216 & 358 & 668 & 2, 505 & 1,289 & 343 & 3,351 & 637 & 1,539 & 4,409 \\
\hline Total resources: & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline July 1 & 4, 853, 046 & 410, 736 & \(1,450,575\) & 374, 622 & 478, 574 & 205, 907 & 238, 162 & 631, 515 & 164,819 & 142, 259 & 201, 529 & 131,597 & 422,751 \\
\hline July 8 & 4, 781, 642 & 410, 675 & 1, 397, 147 & 370, 656 & 469,317 & 209,638 & 240, 841 & 595, 579 & 174, 437 & 143, 457 & 201, 162 & 136, 471 & 432, 262 \\
\hline July 15 & 4, 863, 407 & 414, 5111 & 1, 440, 096 & 373, 738 & 493, 290 & 211, 430 & 239, 414 & 607, 284 & 172,959 & 140, 250 & 200, 664 & 134, 447 & 435,324 \\
\hline July 22 & 4, 732, 485 & 396, 9891 & 1,397, 272 & 362, 334 & 480, 702 & 207, 895 & 239, 761 & 590, 716 & 166,308 & 138, 451 & 201, 991 & 131, 972 & 418, 094 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

LIABILITIES
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Federal reserve notes in actual circulation: & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline June 24. & 1,634, 235 & 186, 180 & 327,030 & 153,061 & 203,425 & 69, 715 & 135, 127 & 155,794 & 44,455 & 62,650 & 62, 311 & 38,631 & 195,856 \\
\hline July 1 & 1,653,006 & 184,432 & \({ }^{337}, 789\) & 155, 870 & 203,718 & 69,441 & 134,898 & 155, 273 & 44, 843 & 62, 978 & 62,843 & 38, 909 & 202,012 \\
\hline July 8. & 1,652, 290 & 181, 522 & 332,978 & 153, 208 & 208,432 & 70,150 & 134, 912 & 154, 204 & 44, 222 & 63, 952 & 63,652 & 39,474 & 204,884 \\
\hline July 15 & 1, 626, 971 & 175, 398 & 330, 147 & 152, 287 & 206, 229 & 69,636 & 131, 813 & 152, 956 & 43,855 & 62,916 & 63, 083 & 37,611 & 201,045 \\
\hline & 1,60, 214 & 171,358 & 330, 705 & 144,885 & 203,029 & 68, 759 & 131,602 & 150, 645 & 43,029 & 62, 291 & 62, 820 & 38, 037 & 198, 054 \\
\hline Member bank reserve ac-count- & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline June 24. & 2,139,779 & 142, 299 & 808, 187 & 123, 531 & 182,820 & 64, 744 & 68,749 & 327, 805 & 75,395 & 51,945 & 84,815 & 54,590 & 154,899 \\
\hline July 1 & 2, 198, 629 & 139,432 & 843, 935 & 132, 953 & 183, 133 & 65, 003 & 66, 351 & 346,600 & 71,885 & 53, 652 & 87, 988 & 56,233 & 151,382 \\
\hline July 8 & 2, 147, 100 & 142,070 & 826,458 & 129, 007 & 168,521 & 65, 578 & 68,138 & 317, 360 & 78,626 & 53,034 & 83, 360 & 57, 263 & 157,685 \\
\hline July 15 & 2,195, 601 & 145, 184 & 851,049 & 130, 756 & 183, 552 & 66, 150 & 67,499 & 325,609 & 76,175 & 50,013 & 83,040 & 56,751 & 159, 823 \\
\hline July 22 & 2, 160, 748 & 142, 318 & 828, 216 & 132, 184 & 182, 631 & 67, 102 & 67, 939 & 319, 112 & 73, 271 & 50, 645 & 87,662 & 56,509 & 153, 159 \\
\hline Goverument
June 24 & 46, 207 & 2,799 & 12,306 & 2,837 & 1,615 & 3,116 & 5,140 & 4,898 & 1,749 & 1,690 & 2,450 & 3,495 & 4,112 \\
\hline July 1 & 23,330 & 1,585 & 7,238 & 469 & 807 & 1,662 & 1,635 & 1,240 & 1,016 & 1,495 & 2,040 & 1,396 & 2,747 \\
\hline July 8 & 13,282 & 941 & 3,416 & 474 & 549 & 938 & 837 & 1,175 & 874 & 465 & 2,237 & 564 & , 812 \\
\hline July 15 & 10,907 & 278 & 3,509 & 195 & 672 & 785 & 396 & 714 & 536 & 1,153 & 323 & 727 & 1,619 \\
\hline July 22. & 13, 963 & 944 & 3,918 & 457 & 721 & 883 & 1,894 & 412 & 1,149 & 1,054 & 962 & 949 & 620 \\
\hline Other deposi & 4,4 & 303 & & 16 & 1,281 & 82 & 164 & 84 & 843 & 270 & 544 & 144 & 4.625 \\
\hline July 1 & 30,426 & 377 & 19,497 & 534 & 1,081 & 207 & 211 & 1,316 & 1,332 & 240 & 494 & 09 & 4,828 \\
\hline July & 27,366 & 397 & 16,244 & 545 & 1,788 & 190 & 173 & 1,436 & 945 & 299 & 433 & 185 & 4, 731 \\
\hline July 1 & 25, 194 & 252 & 13,659 & 589 & 833 & 180 & 152 & 1,190 & 1,329 & 269 & 1,705 & 186 & 4,850 \\
\hline July 2 & 25,008 & 240 & 14,062 & 417 & 881 & 148 & 136 & 1,556 & 1,111. & 263 & 1,374 & 114 & 4,706 \\
\hline Total deposits: & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline June 24 & 2, 210, 414 & 145, 461 & 834,705
870 & 126, 784 & 185, 716 & 68,042 & 74, 053 & 334,087 & 77, 987 & 53, 905 & 87, 809 & 58, 229 & 163,636 \\
\hline July 1. & 2, 252, 385 & 141, 394 & 870,670 & 133, 956 & 185,021 & 66, 872 & 68, 197 & 349, 246 & 74, 233 & 55, 387 & 90,514 & 57, 938 & 158,957 \\
\hline July \({ }^{\text {July }} 15\) & 2, 187, 748 & 143,408 & 846, 118 & 130,026 & 170, 858 & \({ }^{66,706}\) & 69,148 & 319,971 & 80,445 & 53, 788 & 88,030 & 58,012 & 163, 228 \\
\hline July 15 & \(\xrightarrow{\mathbf{2}, 1931,702}\) & 145,714
143,502 & 868, 217 & 131,540 & 184, 233 & \({ }_{68,133}^{67,115}\) & 68,047
69,969 & 327,513
321,050 & 78,040 & 51, 435
51 & 85,068
89,998 & 57, 664
57,572 & 166,292
158,485 \\
\hline Deferred availability items: & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline June 24--.......-- & 557, 073 & \begin{tabular}{l}
55,345 \\
59 \\
\hline 8.643
\end{tabular} & 123, 273 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 53,565 \\
& 53.283
\end{aligned}
\] & 53,605
53,501 & 48,729
51,154 & 21, 735 & 68,495
79
79 & 29,476
30 & \({ }_{12}^{11,623}\) & 31, 3343 & 22, 8171 & 36,469
37,438 \\
\hline July 8 & 596, 963 & 60,414 & 124,890 & 55, 871 & 53, 645 & 54, 301 & 22,533 & 73,239 & 34, 209 & 14,011 & 37, 714 & 26, 396 & 39, 740 \\
\hline July 15 & 660,047 & 68,094 & 148,402 & 58,374 & 65, 605 & 56, 224 & 25,311 & 79,367 & 35,499 & 14, 211 & 38,767 & 26,597 & 43,596 \\
\hline July 22 & 582, 450 ! & 56,797 & 127, 069 & 52, 806 & 57,115 & 52,500 & 23,918 & 71,476 & 32,063 & 12,476 & 35,371 & 23,751 & 37,108 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

FEDERAL RESERVE BANES—RESOURCES AND LIABILITIES, BY WEEKS-Continued LIABILITIES-Continued
[In thousands of dollars]

federal reserve banks-maturity distribution of bills and certificates of indebtedness
[In thousands of dollars]
\begin{tabular}{l|r|r|r|r|r|r|r|r|r}
\hline
\end{tabular}
federal reserve notes-federal reserve agents' accounts, by weeks
[In thousands of dollars]
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Total & Boston & New York & Philadelphia & Cleveland & Richmond & Atlanta & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Chi- } \\
& \text { cago }
\end{aligned}
\] & St. Louis & Manneapolis & Kansas City & Dallas & San Francisco \\
\hline \multicolumn{14}{|l|}{Federal reserve notes received from comptroller:} \\
\hline June 24 & 2,945, 097 & 297, 777 & 758,429 & 225, 029 & 274,504 & 108, 357 & 215, 042 & 432,884 & 75, 060 & 87, 130 & 99, 064 & 67, 028 & 304,793 \\
\hline July 1 & 2,949,328 & 294, 426 & 766,952 & 225, 866 & 277, 062 & 107, 111 & 213,859 & 432, 997 & 74, 565 & 86, 713 & 98,563 & 66, 430 & 304, 784 \\
\hline July 8 & 2, 937, 365 & 290, 932 & 769, 890 & 222, 075 & 274, 378 & 108, 333 & 212, 990 & 430, 188 & 73, 730 & 86, 267 & 99,326 & 65, 748 & 303, 508 \\
\hline July 15 & 2,944, 876 & 287, 474 & 772,003 & .218,996 & 279, 080 & 106, 751 & 212, 143 & 431, 254 & 73, 181 & 86, 243 & 99,846 & 65, 237 & 312, 668 \\
\hline July 22 & 2, 926, 058 & 284, 244 & 765, 804 & 222, 492 & 275, 496 & 105, 279 & 211, 521 & 428, 907 & 72, 690 & 85, 635 & 99,105 & 64, 599 & 310, 286 \\
\hline \multicolumn{14}{|l|}{Federal reserve notes held by Federal reserve agent:} \\
\hline June 24...---.................-- & 1, 003, 586 & 86, 020 & 308, 140 & 38,600 & 47,700 & 24, 794 & 66, 354 & 266, 5007 & 24,960 & 20, 916 & 28, 373 & 22, 522 & 68,700 \\
\hline July 1 & 1,014, 217 & 88,950
89
700 & 315,340
314,620 & 39,000
35,000 & 51,800
49,500 & 24,795
23995 & 65,834
64,394 & 267, 007 & 24,960 & 19,586
18,096 & 27,873
28,733 & 22, 372 & \[
66,700
\] \\
\hline July 8 & \(1,001,026\)
\(1,012,796\) & 89,700
88,200 & 314,620
318,220 & 35,000
35,000 & 49,500
52,350 & 23,995
23,494 & 64,394
63,249 & 266,256
267,757 & 24,960 & 18,096
18,731 & 28,733
28,413 & 21,272
22,322 & 64,500
70,100 \\
\hline July 15 & 1, 012, 796 & 88, 200 & 318, 220 & 35,000
37 & 52,350
48,750 & 23,494
23,494 & 63,249
63,139 & 267, 757 & 24, 960 & 18, 731 & 28,413
28,413 & 22, 322 & 70,100
70,100 \\
\hline July 22 Federal reserve notes issued to & 1,004, 116 & 90, 150 & 310,900 & 37,000 & 48, 750 & 23, 494 & 63, 139 & 267, 757 & 24,960 & 18, 131 & 28,413 & 21,322 & 70, 100 \\
\hline \multicolumn{14}{|l|}{Federal reserve notes issued to Federal reserve bank:} \\
\hline June 24 & 1,941,511 & 211, 757 & 450, 289 & 186,429 & 226, 804 & 83, 563 & 148,688 & 166,377 & 50, 100 & 66, 214 & 70,691 & 44,506 & 236, 093 \\
\hline July 1 & 1,935, 111 & 205, 476 & 451, 612 & 186,866 & 225, 262 & 82, 316 & 148, 025 & 165,990 & 49, 605 & 67, 127 & 70, 690 & 44, 058 & 238, 084 \\
\hline July 8 & 1,936, 339 & 201, 232 & 455, 270 & 187, 075 & 224, 878 & 84, 338 & 148, 596 & 163, 932 & 48,770 & 68, 171 & 70,593 & 44, 476 & 239,008 \\
\hline July 15 & 1,932, 080 & 199, 274 & 453,783 & 183, 996 & 226, 730 & 83,257 & 148,894 & 163, 497 & 48, 221 . & 67, 512 & 71, 433 & 42,915 & 242, 568 \\
\hline July 22 & 1, 921, 942 & 194, 094 & 454, 904 & 185, 492 & 226, 746 & 81, 785 & 148, 382 & 161, 150 & 47, 730 & 67, 504 & 70,692 & 43,277 & 240, 186 \\
\hline \multicolumn{14}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{}} \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline July 1 & 287, 591 & 32, 550 & 186, 698 & 5,600 & 8, 780 & 2,000 & 9,000 & & 12, 775 & 13, 052 & & 17, 136 & \\
\hline July 8 & 287, 191 & 32, 550 & 186, 698 & 5,600 & 8, 780 & 2,000 & 9,000 & & 12, 375 & 13, 052 & & 17, 136 & \\
\hline July 15 & 307, 151 & 33, 350 & 186,698 & 5, 600 & 8,780 & 21, 160 & 9,000 & & 12,375 & 13, 052 & & 17, 136 & \\
\hline July 22...-....-.-.- & 307, 151 & 33, 350 & 186, 698 & 5,600 & 8,780 & 21, 160 & 9,000 & & 12,375 & 13, 052 & & 17, 138 & \\
\hline Gold redemp June 24 & 106, 255 & 17, 590 & & 9,413 & 311 & & & & & & & & 52 \\
\hline July 1. & 102, 093 & 14, 239 & 28, 461 & 9,850 & 12, 170 & 2, 057 & 5,579 & 4, 740 & 2,120 & 1,200 & 3,186 & 3,006 & 15, 485 \\
\hline July 8 & 100,560 & 10, 745 & 28,349 & 11, 058 & 11, 486 & 3,819 & 4,611 & 4, 703 & 1, 286 & 1,254 & 4,749 & 3,324 & 15, 176 \\
\hline July 15. & 111,784 & 17, 287 & 28,349 & 12,980 & 12, 288 & 2,238 & 8,764 & 4,703, & 1,736 & 1,750 & 3,969 & 2,813 & 14,907 \\
\hline July 22 -------.-.-.-.-- & 102, 653 & 14, 057 & 28,258 & 10,476 & 10,703 & 3,766 & 7,581 & 4,628 & 1,245 & 1,142 & 3,028 & 3,175 & 14, 594 \\
\hline \multicolumn{14}{|l|}{Gold fund-Federal Reserve} \\
\hline June 24 & 1,080, 846 & 129, 000 & 141,000 & 121, 889 & 160,000 & 26, 295 & 93,000 & 130, 645 & 10,000 & 39,000 & 49,360 & 6,500 & 174, 157 \\
\hline July 1 & 1, 069, 443 & 104,000 & 141,000 & 120,889 & 160, 000 & 26, 295 & 95, 000 & 130, 644 & 9,000 & 39,000 & 49,360 & 6,500 & 187, 755 \\
\hline July 8 & 1, 073, 277, & 104,000 & 141,000 & 125, 889 & 160, 000 & 23, 295 & 97,000 & 130, 645 & 9,000 & 39,000 & 49,360 & 5,500 & 188, 588 \\
\hline July 15 & 1, 053, 306. & 94, 000 & 141,000 & 122, 889 & 160, 000 & 23, 295 & 94,000 & 130, 645 & 8,000 & 39,000 & 49,360 & 3,500 & 187, 617 \\
\hline July 22 & 1, 046, 998 & 104,000 & 141,000 & 122,889 & 160,000 & 10,295 & 94, 000 & 130, 645 & 8,000 & 39,000 & 49,360 & 2,500 & 185, 309 \\
\hline \multicolumn{14}{|l|}{Eligible paper-} \\
\hline July 1 & 717, 052 & 79, 067 & 170,772 & 52, 864 & 66,797 & 58,956 & 42,956 & 100, 837 & 31, 646 & 20,963 & 19,852 & 19, 239 & 53, 103 \\
\hline July 8 & 656, 210 & 74, 655 & 139,038 & 45, 454. & 62, 952 & 57, 322 & 38, 843 & 85, 251 & 29,624 & 20,303 & 19, 417 & 22, 177 & 61, 174 \\
\hline July 15 & 650,135 & 71, 319 & 134, 691 & 49,871 & 67, 715 & 55, 114 & 38, 095 & 84, 072 & 29,997 & 20,654 & 21, 394 & 21, 920 & 55, 293 \\
\hline July 22 & 633, 349 & 57, 906 & 132, 239 & 47, 122 & 64, 952 & 57, 165 & 40,012 & 73,953 & 31, 551 & 25,707 & 21, 497 & 22, 079 & 59, 166 \\
\hline \multicolumn{14}{|l|}{} \\
\hline July 1. & 2, 176, 179 & 229,856 & 526,931 & 189, 203 & 247, 747 & 89,308 & 152, 535 & 236, 221 & 55, 541 & 74, 215 & 72, 398 & 45, 881 & 256, 343 \\
\hline July 8 & 2, 117, 238 & 221,950 & 495, 085 & 188, 001 & 243, 218 & 86, 436 & 149, 454 & 220, 599 & 52, 285 & 73, 609 & 78, 526 & 48, 137 & 264, 938 \\
\hline July 15 & 2, 122, 376 & 215,956 & 490, 738 & 191, 340 & 248, 783 & 101,807 & 149,859 & 219, 420 & 52, 108 & 74, 456 & 74, 723 & 45, 369 & 257, 817 \\
\hline July 22 & 2, 090, 151 & 209, 313 & 488, 195 & 186, 087 & 244, 435 & 92, 386 & 150, 593 & 209, 226 & 53, 171 & 78, 901 & 73,885 & 44,890 & 259, 069 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
federal reserve banks-Earning assets held and earnings thereo n, June, 1925
[In thousands of dollars]
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Total & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Bos- } \\
\text { ton }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Now } \\
& \text { York }
\end{aligned}
\] & Phila-delphia & Cleveland & Richmond & At- & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Chi- } \\
& \text { cago }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { St. } \\
& \text { Louis }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Min- } \\
& \text { neapo- } \\
& \text { lis }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Kan- } \\
\text { sas } \\
\text { City }
\end{gathered}
\] & Dallas & \begin{tabular}{l}
San \\
Francisco
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
HOLDINGS ON JUNE 30, 1925 \\
Total earning assets.
\end{tabular} & 1,099,998 & 94,409 & 272,551 & 83,592 & 92,018 & 65,802 & 54,076 & 136, 682 & 61,403 & 38,892 & 53,615 & 47, 452 & 99,506 \\
\hline Bills discounted for members & 480, 468 & 52, 441 & 132,348 & 47, 138 & 41,065 & 50,024 & 21,327 & 63, 248 & 19,709 & 5,439 & 6,875 & 6,681 & 34, 173 \\
\hline Bills bought in open market. & 253, 507 & 34, 263 & 51,415 & 15, 353 & 20, 065 & 9,652 & 18,068 & 29,952 & 10,737 & 17,078 & 13,672 & 12,395 & 20,857 \\
\hline United States securities. & 353, 273 & 6,928 & 85, 953 & 17,875 & 29, 764 & 5,569 & 14,251 & 42,033 & 30,474 & 16, 029 & 32,648 & 28,008 & 43,741 \\
\hline Foreign loans on gold & 10,500 & 777 & 2,835 & & 1,124 & 557 & 430 & 1,449 & 483 & 346 & 420 & 368 & 735 \\
\hline All other earning assets. & 2,250 & & & 2,250 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Bills Discounted & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Rediscounted bills: \\
Commercial and agricultural paper, n. e. s.
\end{tabular} & 180, 901 & 36, 924 & 10, 526 & 8,928 & 9,146 & 29, 977 & 18,541 & 26,306 & 13, 294 & 4,330 & 5,836 & 5,131 & 11,962 \\
\hline Trade acceptances, domestic- & 2, 496 & 127 & 159 & 125 & 615 & 378 & 215 & 691 & 156 & & & & , 27 \\
\hline Secured by U. S. Government obligations. & 1,095 & 189 & & 82 & 356 & 265 & 101 & 65 & 5 & 1 & 10 & & 21 \\
\hline Member bank collateral notes: & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Secured by U. S. Government obligations. & 244, 226 & 15, 201 & 99,621 & 28,051 & 28,083 & 15,912 & 1,832 & 35,593 & 6,254 & 979 & 899 & 626 & 11, 175 \\
\hline Otherwise secured................ & 51,750 & & 22,042 & 9,952 & 2, 865 & 3,492 & 1,638 & 593 & & 129 & 130 & 921 & 10,988 \\
\hline Total discounted bills & 480, 468 & 52,441 & 132,348 & 47, 138 & 41,065 & 50,024 & 21,327 & 63, 248 & 19,709 & 5,439 & 6,875 & 6,681 & 34, 173 \\
\hline Bills Bought & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Bankers' acceptances based on- & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Imports & 119,641 & 13, 930 & 24,945 & 5, 040 & 11,502 & 4, 863 & 8,428 & 13,668 & 4,720 & 8,755 & 6, 105 & 5,914 & 11,771 \\
\hline Exports ---.-.-.----- & 87,230
41990 & \(\begin{array}{r}10,506 \\ 9 \\ \hline, 772\end{array}\) & 15,845
7735 & 6,710
3 & \({ }^{5,578}\) & 2,570 & \(\underset{\substack{6,823}}{ }\) & 10,327 & 4,642 & \(\begin{array}{r}\text { 5,470 } \\ \mathbf{2} \\ \hline\end{array}\) & \(\stackrel{6}{6} 1429\) & 5, 586 & 6,741 \\
\hline Dollar exchange bills. & - 21,203 & \({ }^{\text {, }} 5\) & + 466 & \(\stackrel{140}{ }\) & 2, 299 & \({ }^{2} 135\) & \({ }^{2} 140\) & \({ }^{5} 279\) & 1, 220 & 2,764 & \({ }^{1} 67\) & \({ }_{232}^{660}\) & 2, 81 \\
\hline All other....... & 1,593 & & 1,593 & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Trade acceptances based on imports & 835 & & 831 & & & & & & & & & & 4 \\
\hline Trade acceptances based on exports. & 15 & & & & & & & & & & & & 15 \\
\hline Total purchased bills & 253, 507 & 34, 263 & 51,415 & 15,353 & 20,065 & 9,652 & 18,068 & 29,952 & 10,737 & 17,078 & 13,672 & 12,395 & 20,857 \\
\hline United States Securities & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline United States bonds. & 68, 281 & 561 & 4,912 & 611 & 8,746 & 1,486 & 1,767 & 20,055 & 2,703 & 8, 150 & 9,570 & 7,261 & 2,469 \\
\hline Treasury notes. & 248,776 & 1,347 & 72,311 & 17,207 & 11,571 & 4,019 & 11,288 & 19, 118 & 27, 227 & 7,751 & 20, 293 & 20, 410 & 36,234 \\
\hline Certificates of indebtedness & 36,216 & 5,020 & 8,730 & 17,57 & 9,447 & 4, 64 & 1,196 & 2,860 & \({ }^{2} 544\) & 128 & 2,785 & 20, 337 & 5,048 \\
\hline Total U. S. securities. & 353, 273 & 6,928 & 85, 953 & 17,875 & 29,764 & 5,569 & 14, 251 & 42,033 & 30, 474 & 16,029 & 32,648 & 28, 008 & 43,741 \\
\hline DAILY AVERAGE HOLDINGS DURING JUNE & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Total earning assets 1 & 1,060,667 & 76, 129 & [249, 493 & 81, 137 & 95, 165 & 65,637 & 53,779 & 122, 922 & 64,482 & 41,236 & 55, 893 & 48,665 & 106, 129 \\
\hline Bills discounted & 437,621 & 35, 544 & 125, 552 & 40, 646 & 42,858 & 49, 116 & 22, 868 & 45,478 & 20,031 & 5,078 & 7,418 & 6, 122 & 36, 910 \\
\hline Bills bought... & 264, 589 & 35, 125 & 49,927 & 19,364 & 23,047 & 10,076 & 15, 354 & 33,741 & 11,397 & 18,744 & 14,380 & 11,356 & 22, 078 \\
\hline United States securitie & 345,707 & 4, 683 & & \[
17,901
\] & & 5,888 & \[
15,127
\] & & \[
\begin{array}{r}
32,571 \\
483
\end{array}
\] & \[
17,088
\] & \[
33,675
\] & & 46, 406 \\
\hline Foreign loans on gold & 10,500 & 777 & 2,835 & \[
\begin{array}{r}
1,976 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] & \[
1,124
\] & 557 & \[
\begin{array}{r}
\quad 430 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
4, \\
1,449
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
0,483 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
1, \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
420 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
018 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] & 735 \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Total earning assets & 3,055 & 208 & 701 & 236 & 266 & 205 & 164 & 370 & 189 & 119 & 162 & 140 & 295 \\
\hline Bills discounted. & 1,328 & 103 & 361 & 117 & 123 & 162 & 78 & 150 & 66 & 17 & 25 & 20 & 106 \\
\hline Bills bought & 690 & 92 & 130 & 51 & 60 & 26 & 41 & 88 & 30 & 48 & 37 & 29 & 58 \\
\hline United States securitie & 1,002 & 11 & 202 & 59 & 80 & 15 & 44 & 128 & 92 & 53 & 99 & 90 & 129 \\
\hline Foreign loans on gold & 29 & 2 & 8 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 4 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\
\hline anNuAl rate of earnings & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Total earning assets \({ }^{\text {1 }}\) & 3. 50 & 3.32 & 3.42 & 3.53 & 3.40 & 3.80 & 3.60 & 3.66 & 3.56 & 3.51 & 3.52 & 3.51 & 3.38 \\
\hline Bills discounted. & 3.69 & 3.50 & 3.50 & 3. 50 & 3. 50 & 4.00 & 4.00 & 4.00 & 4.00 & 4.00 & 4.00 & 4.00 & 3. 50 \\
\hline Bills bought & 3. 17 & 3.18 & 3.16 & 3.19 & 3.18 & 3.18 & 3.18 & 3.17 & 3. 16 & 3. 15 & 3. 14 & 3.16 & 3.18 \\
\hline United States securities. & 3.53 & 2.94 & 3.45 & 4.01 & 3.43 & 3.19 & 3.40 & 3.69 & 3.43 & 3.76 & 3.58 & 3.55 & 3. 39 \\
\hline Foreign loans on gold............. & 3. 50 & 3.50 & 3.50 & 3.50 & 3.50 & 3.50 & 3.50 & 3.50 & 3.50 & 3. 50 & 3.50 & 3.50 & 3. 50 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\({ }^{1}\) Figures for Philadelphia include average daily holdings of Federal intermediate credit bank debentures, \(\$ 2,250,000\); earnings, \(\$ 6,311\); and annual rate of earnings, 3.41 per cent.

FEDERAL RESERVE BANKS-VOLUME OF DISCOUNT AND OPEN-MARKET OPERATIONS DURING JUNE, 1925
[Amounts in thousands of dollars]
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Total & Boston & New York & Philadelphia & Cleveland & Richmond & \[
\underset{\text { ta }}{\text { Atlan- }}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Chi- } \\
& \text { chago }
\end{aligned}
\] & St. Louis & Minneapolis & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Kansas } \\
\text { City }
\end{gathered}
\] & Dallas & San
Francisco \\
\hline Volume of Operations Total (all classes) \(\qquad\) & 2,987,733 & 257.987 & 1,547,063 & 160,379 & 231,006 & 214,478 & 49,816 & 203, 786 & 59,130 & 19,904 & 22,589 & 21,214 & \(\underline{ }\) 200,381 \\
\hline Bills discounted for member banks. & 2,454, 714 & 223, 271 & 1, 230, 162 & 151,643 & 214, 285 & 208, 111 & 32, 664 & 153,143 & 50, 547 & 4,387 & 7,154 & 7,419 & 171,928 \\
\hline Bills bought in open market.... Bills bought from other Federal reserve banks. & 245,996
20,055 & 28, 314 & 110,382 & 8,153 & 11,187 & 5,765 & 4,835
10,034 & 21, 308 & 6,313 & 14,751 & 6,541
5,021 & 4,732
5,000 & 23,715 \\
\hline U. S. securities bought in open market \(\qquad\) & 1260,968 & 5,958, & 204,899 & 25 & 4,892 & 284 & 2,037 & 28,507 & 1,994 & 568 & 3,633 & 3,853 & ,318 \\
\hline Foreign loans on gold Bills Discounted & 6,000 & 444 & 1,620 & 558 & 642 & 318 & 246 & 828 & 276 & 198 & 240 & 210 & 420 \\
\hline Rediscounted bills: Commercial, agricultural, and livestock paper, i.e.s. Demand and sight drafts & 122,722
386 & 5,212 & 9,792 & 6,118 & 9,542 & 17,336 & 12,486 & - 29,069 & 19, 059 & 1,325 & 2,300 & 2,969 & 7,514 \\
\hline Trade acceptances...... & 1,728 & 211 & 134 & 111 & 475 & 261 & 238 & 208 & 69 & & & 4 & 17 \\
\hline Secured by U.S. Government obligations.. & & 102 & & 2 & 258 & 69 & 58 & 49 & 2 & & & 38 & 17 \\
\hline Member bank collateral notes: Secured by U.S. Government obligations. & 1,839,514 & 63,767 & 1,054, 297 & 105, 232 & 169,342 & 145, 220 & 15,945 & 122, 724 & 29, 283 & 2,839 & 4,538 & 2,050 & 124,282 \\
\hline Secured by eligible paper \({ }^{2}\) - & 489,689 & 153, 979 & 165, 939 & 40, 100 & 34,668 & 45,225 & 3,825 & 1,093 & 1,975 & 223 & 280 & 2, 329 & 40,053 \\
\hline Total bills discounted & 2,454,714 & 223,271 & 1,230,162 & \(\stackrel{\text { 151,643 }}{ }\) & \(\underline{=214,285}\) & 208,111 & 32,664 & 153,143 & 50,547 & 4,387 & 7,154 & 7,419 & \(\underline{ }\) \\
\hline Average rate ( 365 -day basis) per cent & 3. 69 & 3.50 & 3.50 & 3.50 & 3.50 & 4.00 & 4.00 & 4.00 & 4.00 & 4.00 & 4.00 & 4.00 & 4.00 \\
\hline Average maturity (in days): Member bank collateral notes & 5.89 & 5.90 & 5.03 & 8.40 & 5.44 & 96 & 10.25 & 12.61 & 9.99 & & & & 11 \\
\hline Rediscounted bills... & 58.40 & 46. 62 & 37.82 & 50.95 & 50.60 & 57.48 & 64.88 & 56. 58 & 54. 13 & 114.04 & 107.09 & 98.08 & 79.50 \\
\hline Number of member banks on
June 30 ......................... & 9,546 & 420 & 869 & 747 & 866 & 607 & 501 & 1,405 & 622 & 859 & 1,049 & 850 & 751 \\
\hline Number of member banks accommodated during month.- & & & & & & 331 & & 474 & & 135 & 169 & 180 & 240 \\
\hline Per cent accommodated... & 34.5 & 47.9 & 45.9 & 49.8 & 36.7 & 54.5 & 48.3 & 33.7 & 36.7 & 15.7 & 16.1 & 21.2 & 32.0 \\
\hline From- & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Member banks. & 66, 720 & 5,513 & 11, 683 & 4,215 & 8,095 & 2,571 & 2,230 & 11,929 & 3,818 & 7,081 & 3,031 & 2,098 & 4,461 \\
\hline Nonmember banks, banking corporations, ete.- & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline With resale agreement. & 117,879 & 15, 104 & 86, 637 & & & & & 3, 841 & & & & & 12,297 \\
\hline All other-..-..........- & 61,397 & 7,697 & 12,062 & 3,938 & 3,092 & 3,194 & 2,605 & 5,538 & 2,500 & 7,670 & 3,510 & 2,634 & 6,957 \\
\hline Total bills bought.... & 245,996 & 28,314 & 110,382 & 8,153 & 11,187 & 5,765 & 4,835 & 21,308 & 6, 313 & 14,751 & 6,541 & 4,732 & 23,715 \\
\hline Rates charged: & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 3 3 per cent- & 149,213 & \(\begin{array}{r}\text { 5, } 491 \\ 10,983 \\ \hline\end{array}\) & 101,377
3,296
4, & 1,057
3,796 & 4,450
4,834 & 2,591
1,518
1 & 2,140
1,285 & \begin{tabular}{l}
8,785 \\
6,285 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} & 3,397
1,754
1,185 & 7,598
5,110 & 3,497
1,912 & 2,638
1,364 & \begin{tabular}{l} 
6,192 \\
3,824 \\
\hline 8
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 314 per cent & 45, 341 & 10, 414 & 4,739 & 3,056 & 1,837 & 1,594 & 1,076 & 4, 105 & 1,155 & 2, 039 & 1,132 & 518 & 13,675 \\
\hline \(33 / 8 \mathrm{per}\) cent & 3,771 & 1,131 & 270 & 187 & 66 & 62 & & 2, 033 & & & & 17 & \\
\hline \(31 / 2\) per cent. & 1,091 115 & & 496 & 57 & & & 14 & 95 & 7 & & & 194 & 19 \\
\hline 4 per cent. & 320 & & & & & & 320 & & & & & & \\
\hline 41/4 per cent. & 17 & & 17 & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \({ }_{4}^{4}{ }^{\text {a }}\), per cent & 186 & & 186 & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 435 per cent & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Average rate (365-day basis)per cent & 3.19 & 3.21 & 3.13 & 3.23 & 3. 19 & 3.21 & 3.25 & 3.23 & 3.18 & 3.17 & 3.18 & 3.22 & 3,20 \\
\hline A verage maturity (in days) \({ }^{3}\)..- & 49.25 & 62.44 & 43.38 & 54.75 & 53.14 & 43.62 & 50.04 & 48,23 & 47.46 & 51.50 & 47.14 & 47.24 & 41.86 \\
\hline Class of bills: \({ }^{3}\) & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Banker's acceptances-
Based on imports. & 48,227 & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Based on exports. & 35, 250 & 1,851 & 5,128 & 2,773 & 4, 4,085 & 1,353 & 2,186 & 5,190 & 2,182 & 3,678 & 2,179 & 1,729 & \(\stackrel{3,072}{3,916}\) \\
\hline Based on domestic & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Dollar exchange & 1,570 & & 410 & & 21 & , 140 & 45 & 204 & \(\stackrel{220}{29}\) & 175 & 101 & 12 & 77 \\
\hline Unclassified & 14,769 & 1,805 & 3,250 & & 1, 024 & 953 & 467 & 1,134 & 944 & -1,599 & 1,244 & 696 & 753 \\
\hline Trade acceptances-foreign & 294 & & 294 & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Total & 128,117 & 13,210 & 23,745 & 8,153 & 11, 187 & 5,765 & 4,835 & 17,467 & 6,313 & 14,751 & 6,541 & 4, 732 & 11,418 \\
\hline United States Securities Bought in Open Market & & & ; & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline United States bonds. & 2,776 & & & & & & 695 & 1,174 & & & 702 & 199 & 6 \\
\hline Treasury notes & 69,918 & 2,950 & 52,867 & 25 & 750 & 284 & 817 & 1,395 & 1,994 & 568 & 2,342 & 1,614 & 4,312 \\
\hline Certificates of indebtedness.-. & 188, 274 & 3,008 & 152,032 & & 4, 142 & & 525 & 25,938 & & & 589 & 2,040 & \\
\hline Total United States
securities bought_---- & 260, 968 & 5,958 & 204, 899 & 25 & 4, 892 & 284 & 2,037 & 28, 507 & 1,994 & 568 & 3,633 & 3,853 & 4,318 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\({ }^{1}\) Exclusive of \(\$ 58,000,000\) Treasury notes sold under repurchase agreement and subsequently repurchased.
Including bills taken under a resale contract
a Exclusive of acceptances bought under a resale contract.

\section*{REPORTING MEMBER BANKS IN LEADING CITIES}

\section*{PRINCIPAL RESOURCES AND LIABILITIES, BY WEEKS}
[In thousands of dollars]
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Total} & \multicolumn{12}{|c|}{Federal reserve district} \\
\hline & & Boston & New York & Philadelphia & Cleveland & Richmond & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { At- } \\
& \text { lanta }
\end{aligned}
\] & Chicago & St. & Minneapolis & Kansas & Dallas & Srancisco \\
\hline Number of reporting banks: & \multirow[b]{5}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
733 \\
733 \\
733 \\
733 \\
731
\end{gathered}
\]} & \multirow{7}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 42 \\
& 42 \\
& 42 \\
& 42 \\
& 42
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[b]{4}{*}{103
103
103
103} & \multirow[b]{4}{*}{55
55
55
55} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{73} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{36} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{100} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{33} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\({ }_{2}^{25}\)} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{71} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{49} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{70} \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline July 1. & & & & & & 73 & 36 & \[
100
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 33 \\
& 33 \\
& 33
\end{aligned}
\] & \(\begin{array}{r}25 \\ 25 \\ \hline\end{array}\) & 71
71 & 49
49 & 70
70 \\
\hline July 8 & & & & & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{76
75} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{73} & 36 & 100 & 33 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\(\stackrel{25}{25}\)} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{71} & 49 & 70 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{July 15} & & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{103} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{55} & & & 36 & 100 & 33 & & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{49} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{69} \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Loans and discounts, gross:}} & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{ernment obliga-tions-} & 9,648 \\
\hline June 24 & 172, 145 & 7,910 & 61, 772 & 13, 239 & 17,811 & 7, 570 & 6,985 & 28,386 & 9,629 & 2,826 & 3, 522 & 3,019 & 9,476 \\
\hline July 1 & 178, 572 & 12, 290 & 62, 876 & 13,408 & 18,342 & 6, 210 & 7,045 & 30,035 & 9,711 & 2,795 & 3,539 & 2,945 & 9, 376 \\
\hline July 8 & 172, 991 & 10,646 & 59,948 & 11, 812 & 18,493 & 5,750 & 6,871 & 29,684 & 11, 229 & 2,701 & 3,503 & 3,095 & 9,159 \\
\hline July 15. & 164, 914 & 10,628 & 55, 799 & 10,852 & 18,483 & 5,751 & 7,225 & 28,811 & 9,150 & 2,610 & 3,448 & 3,024 & 9,133 \\
\hline \multicolumn{14}{|l|}{Secured by stocks and} \\
\hline june 17 & 5, 019,553 & 328, 837 & 2, 252, 434 & 330, 931 & 472, 066 & 128, 068 & 86, 807 & 774, 452 & 168, 031 & 69,446 & 102,438 & 72, 298 & 233, 745 \\
\hline June 24 & 5, 033,609 & 317, 093 & 2, 283, 852 & 330, 451 & 478, 693 & 129, 375 & 87,000 & 761,283 & 170, 825 & 67, 817 & 100, 979 & 70, 218 & 236, 023 \\
\hline Juiy 1. & 5, 167, 857 & 330, 627 & 2, 402, 521 & 329, 804 & 478, 716 & 131,345 & 85, 283 & 751, 874 & 168, 823 & 66, 848 & 106, 854 & 73,897 & 241,265 \\
\hline July 8. & 5, 092, 413 & 318, 211 & 2, 295, 202 & 332, 540 & 479, 631 & 128,993 & 86,547 & 786, 231 & 169,480 & 67, 760 & 112,925 & 73,823 & 241, 071 \\
\hline \multicolumn{14}{|l|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{All other loans and}} \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{14}{|l|}{} \\
\hline June 24 & 7,999, 077 & 640, 661 & 2, 441, 621 & 364, 433 & 742, 764 & 356, 508 & 373, 541 & 1, 213, 851 & 296, 530 & 169, 207 & 323,069 & 215, 243 & 861,649 \\
\hline July 1. & 8,029, 008 & 641, 019 & 2, 461, 815 & 365, 744 & 743,777 & 358, 969 & 379,457 & 1, 221,451 & 295, 315 & 164, 136 & 325, 334 & 213, 713 & 858,278 \\
\hline July 8 & 8, 014,824 & 645, 812 & 2, 452, 272 & 369, 326 & 747, 608 & 361, 457 & 375, 940 & 1, 211, 269 & 295, 231 & 162, 167 & 322, 192 & 207, 508 & 864, 042 \\
\hline July 15. & 8, 057,357 & 660, 079 & 2, 467, 373 & 376, 709 & 741, 151 & 363, 154 & 372, 898 & 1, 224, 715 & 299, 717 & 161,332 & 321, 715 & 208, 297 & 860, 217 \\
\hline \multicolumn{14}{|l|}{Total loans and dis-counts-} \\
\hline June 17. & 13,200, 534 & 977,750 & 4, 764, 987 & 711,624 & 1, 236,094 & 488,487 & 467, 049 & 2, 012, 905 & 474,786 & 243, 612 & 428, 501 & 290, 246 & 1, 104, 493 \\
\hline June 24 & 13, 204, 831 & 965,664 & 4, 787, 245 & 708, 123 & 1, 239, 268 & 493, 453 & 467, 528. & 2, 003,520 & 476,984 & \({ }^{239}\), 850 & 427, 570 & 288, 480 & 1, 107, 148 \\
\hline July 1 & 13, 375, 437 & 983, 936 & 4, 927, 212 & 708, 956 & 1, 240, 835 & 496, 524 & 471,785 & 2, 003, 360 & 473, 849 & \({ }^{233}, 779\) & 435, 727 & 290, 5551 & 1, 108, 919 \\
\hline July 8 - & 13, 280, 2288 & 974, \(668{ }^{\prime}\) & 4, 807, 422 & 713, 778 & 1, 245,732 & 496, 200 & 469,358 & 2, 027, 184 & 475, 940 & \({ }^{232}, 628\) & 438, 620 & 284, 426 & 1, 114, 272 \\
\hline U July 15-..... & 13, 285, 922 & 991, 218 & 4, 765, 621 & 713, 067 & 1, 243, 482 & 494, 995 & 471,944 & 2, 048, 292 & 480, 566 & 229, 521 & 443, 632 & 287, 3571 & 1, 116, 227 \\
\hline \multicolumn{14}{|l|}{} \\
\hline June 24. & 219, 321 & 9,791 & 39,749 & 9, 619 & 31, 856 & 25, 238 & 14, 891 & 17, 623 & 12,707 & 6,811 & 9, 358 & 17,678 & 24, 000 \\
\hline July 1. & 218, 945 & 9, 814 & 39, 887 & 9,654 & 31.811 & 25, 338 & 14, 880 & 17, 624 & 12, 707 & 6,811 & 9,332 & 17, 187 & 24, 000 \\
\hline July 8 - & 219, 432 & 9,814 & 39,737 & 9, 653 & 31, 811 & 25, 887 & 14, 884 & 17, 660 & 12,707 & 6,811 & 9, 282 & 17, 187 & 23,999 \\
\hline \multicolumn{14}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{U. S. Liberty bonds:}} \\
\hline June 17........... & 1,368, 213 & 78,723 & 597, 845 & 50, 105 & 170, 258 & 35, 727 & 11,367 & 172, 032 & 21,715 & 25, 588 & & & \\
\hline June 24 & 1, 375, 518 & 78,587 & 603, 773 & 49,044 & 168, 799 & 34, 550 & 12, 197 & 170, 470 & 24, 832 & 25, 189 & 50, 541 & 17,882 & 139,654 \\
\hline July 1. & 1,380, 329 & 78, 848 & 603, 311 & 49, 217 & 167, 059 & 35, 705 & 13, 335 & 174, 597 & 21,022 & 25, 210 & 51,106 & 17,971 & 142, 948 \\
\hline July 8 & 1,380, 184 & 78,850 & 603, 216 & 50, 129 & 171,830 & 36, 828 & 13,458 & 170, 523 & 22, 172 & 25, 125 & 50, 206 & 17, 950 & 139,897 \\
\hline \multicolumn{14}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{r|r|r|r|r|r|r|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline U. S. Treasury bonds: & \(1,379,583\) & 79,943 & 604,465 & 51,523 & 171,569 & 36,222 & 12,009 & 172,188 & 22,656 & 25,075 & 50,391 & 17,569 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}}} \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline June 24- & 439, 977 & 20,666 & 195, 468 & 19,431 & 31, 615 & 6,673 & 6, 171 & 63, 557 & 9,398 & 12,453 & 15,799 & 8,156 & 50, 590 \\
\hline July 1. & 438, 850 & 20, 867 & 196, 947 & 19,014 & 32, 579 & 7,287 & 5,881 & 62, 518 & 12, 240 & 12,453 & 14,655 & 7,635 & 46, 774 \\
\hline July 8 & 437, 241 & 19,547. & 195, 310 & 21, 103 & 30, 760 & 9,040 & 5,315 & 60,876 & 11, 662 & 12,072 & 13,097 & 7,876 & 50,583 \\
\hline July 15 & 439, 636 & 20,566 & 196, 177 & 18,080 & 32, 360 & 9,112 & 5,720 & 61,375 & 11, 200 & 12,252 & 12,923 & 7,384 & 52,487 \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{} & \\
\hline June 24 & 383, 251 & 6,420 & 172, 831 & 9,713 & 38,869 & 1,075 & 3,427 & 75, 478 & 7,365 & 18,132 & 16, 157 & 8,009 & 25, 775 \\
\hline July 1. & \({ }^{386,897}\) & 6, 152 & 175, 729 & 9,229 & 38,943 & 984 & \(\stackrel{2}{2,471}\) & 79, 163 & 7,046 & 18,132 & 16, 088 & 7,783 & 25, 177 \\
\hline  & 387, 125, & 6,376 & 176, 368 & 9,113 & 42,380 & 1,084 & \(\stackrel{2}{2,479}\) & 75, 634 & 7,043 & 18, 032 & 16,039 & 7,528 & 25, 049 \\
\hline \multicolumn{14}{|l|}{} \\
\hline U. June 17-..........---- & 145, 184 & 6, 641 & 58, 401 & 9, 993 & 16, 726 & 3,877 & 4,325 & 14,520 & 3, 600 & 4,041 & 3, 141 & 4,336 & 15,583 \\
\hline June 24 & 136, 613 & 6,600 & 54, 767 & 10;452 & 14, 542 & 3,684 & 3,781 & 12,375 & 3,286 & 4,045 & 3,485 & 3, 620 & 15, 976 \\
\hline July 1 & 124, 084 & 5,310 & 52,174 & 8, 625 & 12,948 & 3,631 & \(\stackrel{2,222}{ }\) & 8,812 & 2, 537 & 4,060 & 3,474 & 3, 501 & 16, 763 \\
\hline July 8 & 120, 245 , & 5,295 & 51, 822 & 6,329 & 11, 653 & 3,132 & 2,450 & 8, 835 & 1,535 & 4,060 & 3,634 & 3, 472 & 18, 028 \\
\hline \multicolumn{14}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{}} \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline June 17. & 2,944, 235 & 199,092 & 1, 147, 320 & 261, 822 & 351,234 & 63,231 & 43,280 & 433, 068 & 113, 027 & 41,877 & 76, 252 & 19,380 & 194,652 \\
\hline June 24 & 2, 950, 719 & 202, 168 & 1, 156,392 & 262, 674 & 352, 063 & 63,483 & 43,463 & 426, 675 & 113,725 & 41, 991 & 74, 850 & 18,866 & 194, 369 \\
\hline July 1 & 2,967, 457 & 203, 861 & 1, 164, 733 & 261, 485 & 346, 950 & 62,855 & 45, 222 & 436, 784 & 113, 965 & 42, 560 & 74,797 & 18,649 & 195, 596 \\
\hline July 8 - & 2, 953, 830 & 204, 789 & 1, 160, 861 & 262,039 & 348, 932 & 61, 907 & 44, 618 & 429, 697 & 107,934 & 43, 372 & 74,754 & 18,572 & 196,355 \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Total investments:}} & 348, 663 & 62, 232 & 45, 194 & 425, 195 & 108, 168 & 43, 039 & 75,331 & 18, 727 & 194, 772 \\
\hline & & & & & 642, 147 & 138,502 & 85, 123 & 776,211 & 172,743 & 108,444 & 171, 008 & 75,049 & 452, 395 \\
\hline June 24 & 5, 505, 399, & 324, 232 & 2, 222, 980 & 360, 933 & 637, 744 & 134,703 & 83, 930 & 766, 178 & 171, 313 & 108, 621 & 170, 190 & 74, 211 & 450, 364 \\
\hline July 1. & 5, 516, 562 & 324, 852 & 2, 232, 681 & 357, 251 & 630, 290 & 135, 800 & 84, 011 & 779, 498 & 169,517 & 109, 226 & 169,452 & 72, 726 & 451,258 \\
\hline July 8. & 5, 498, 057 & 324,671 & 2, 227, 314 & 358, 366 & 637, 366 & 137,878 & 83,204 & 763,225
759 & 163,053 & 109,472 & 167, 012 & 72, 585 & 453,911 \\
\hline July 15 & 5,483,569 & 328, 113 & 2, 216, 427 & 356, 070. & 638,429 & 137,623 & 82,092 & 759,710 & 162,326 & 109,268 & 168, 221 & 73, 156 & 452, 134 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

PRINCIPAL RESOURCES AND LIABILITIES BY WEEKS-Continued
[In thousands of dollars]
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Total} & \multicolumn{12}{|c|}{Federal reserve district} \\
\hline & & Boston & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { New } \\
& \text { York }
\end{aligned}
\] & Philadelphia & Cleveland & Richmond & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { At- } \\
& \text { lanta }
\end{aligned}
\] & Chicago & St. Louis & Minneapolis & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Kansas } \\
\text { City }
\end{gathered}
\] & Dallas & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { San } \\
& \text { Fran- } \\
& \text { cisco }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline Total loans and investments: & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline June 17-............... & 18,740, 012 & 1, 299,571 & 6, 998, 911 & 1, 073, 735 & 1, 878, 241 & 626, 989 & 552, 172 & 2, 789, 116 & 647, 529 & 352, 056 & 599, 509 & 365, 295 & 1, 556, 888 \\
\hline June 24 & 18,710, 230 & \(1,289,896\) & 7, 150,225 & \(1,0099,056\) & 1,877, 012 & 628, 156 & 551, 456 & 2, 769, 698 & 648,2978 & 348,471 & 597, 760 & 362,691 & \(1,557,512\) \\
\hline July 8 & 18, \({ }^{18} 878,285\) & \(1,290,339\) & 7,034, 736 & \(1,072,144\) & \(1,883,098\) & 634, 078 & 552, 562 & 2, 780, 409 & 643, \({ }^{6063}\) & 342, 100 & \({ }_{605,}^{632}\) & 357,011 & 1, 5668,178 \\
\hline July 15. & 18,769, 491 & i, 310, 331 & 6, 982,048 & \(1,069,137\) & 1, 881,911 & 632, 618 & 554, 036 & 2, 808, 002 & 642, 892 & 338, 789 & 611, 853 & 360, 513 & 1, 568, 361 \\
\hline Reserve balance with Federal reserve banks: & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline June 17.. & 1,667, 108 & 103,452 & 765, 119 & 80,624 & 120, 655 & 38, 170 & 40,316 & 263, 512 & 45, 118 & 25,000 & 52,022 & 30, 169 & 102, 951 \\
\hline June 24 & \(1,603,827\) & 94, 698 & 723, 164 & 74, 827 & 129, 256 & 38,714 & 39, 475 & 250, 323 & 44, 949 & 24, 812 & 51,856 & 27, 580 & 104, 173 \\
\hline July 1 & \(1,662,066\) & 93, 628 & 759, 776 & 82, 624 & 127,797 & 39,556 & 37,969 & 267, 235 & 41, 834 & 26, 624 & 54, 322 & 28, 508 & 102, 193 \\
\hline July 8 & 1, 616, 432 & 95,719 & 753, 211 & 80, 409 & 114, 465 & 38, 742 & 39,075 & 237, 191 & 46, 946 & 25, 638 & 49, 288 & 29, 414 & 106, 334 \\
\hline July 15 & 1,646, 888 & 97, 196 & 762, 543 & 80, 332 & 126, 605 & 40,999 & 37, 237 & 247, 587 & 45, 408 & 22,685 & 49,541 & 29,407 & 107, 367 \\
\hline Cash in vault: & 275, 422 & & & 16,281 & 30,944 & 14, 190 & 10,631 & 49,964 & 7,285. & 5,688 & 11, 894 & 9,624 & 22,147 \\
\hline June 24 & 278, 189 & 20, 939 & 77, 409 & 16, 173 & 31, 406 & 14, 171 & 10,615 & 51, 030 & 7,002 & 5,870 & 12, 635 & 9, 442 & 21,497 \\
\hline July 1 & 278, 125 & 20, 146 & 79,658 & 15, 432 & 29,624 & 13, 808 & 11, 043 & 49, 451 & 8,209 & 5,837 & 12,478 & 10, 133 & 22,306 \\
\hline July 81 & 289, 744 & 20,609 & 82, 670 & 15,689 & 32, 349 & 14, 523 & 11,098 & 53,813 & 7,548 & 6,343 & 12, 724 & 9,597 & 22,881 \\
\hline  & 277, 378 & 20, 952 & 77,193 & 14, 149 & 31,395 & 14, 155 & 9,599 & 52, 631 & 7,496 & 5,800 & 12,066 & 10,050 & 21,892 \\
\hline June 17. & 12, 865, 325 & 894, 296 & 5, 622,549 & 770, 464 & 1, 004, 913 & 342,647 & 327, 957 & 1,770, 288 & 390, 690 & 232,302 & 484, 997 & 260, 970 & 763,252 \\
\hline June 24 & 12, 724, 742 & 877, 537 & 5, 579, 887 & 759, 319 & 1, 003, 679 & 346, 074 & 322, 198 & 1, 738, 904 & 384, 394 & 226, 200 & 478, 510 & 254, 423 & 753,617 \\
\hline July 1 & 13, 053, 739 & 905, 6131 & 5, 790, 661 & 769, 368 & \(1,018,244\) & 349, 631 & 321, 615 & 1,766, 272 & 379, 954 & 227, 746 & 491,990 & 257, 217 & 775,435 \\
\hline July 8 & 12, 890, 060 & 886, 100 & 5, 657, 695 & 770, 787 & 1,015, 505 & 354, 515 & 323,922 & 1, 762, 424 & 384, 486 & 227,616 & 482, 913 & 256, 618 & 767,479 \\
\hline July 15. & 12,987, 280 & 915, 325 & 5, 651, 149 & 766, 105 & 1,023, 677 & 357, 881 & 331,980 & 1, 796, 482 & 387, 350 & 223, 593 & 490,644 & 259, 912 & 783, 182 \\
\hline Time deposits: & 5, 187,778 & 367, 145 & 1, 201, 088 & 180, 432 & 735, 531 & 200, 554 & 200, 219 & 991, 675 & 207, 037 & 102,329 & 138,589 & 95, 118 & 768,061 \\
\hline June 24 & 5, 188, 308 & 369, 897 & 1, 196, 713 & 177,081 & 734, 925 & 204, 017 & 200, 199 & 989,662 & 205, 740 & 103, 237 & 138, 371 & 94, 932 & 773, 534 \\
\hline July 1 & 5, 172, 152 & 366, 585 & 1, 173, 648 & 180, 765 & 737, 191 & 205, 351 & 204, 887 & 980, 031 & 207, 384 & 101, 657 & 138, 340 & 95, 034 & 781, 279 \\
\hline July 8 & 5, 164, 211 & 367, 337 & 1, 164, 049 & 175, 248 & 739, 024 & 204,401 & 204, 153 & 981, 933 & 206, 338 & 101, 479 & 140,176 & 94, 763 & 785, 310 \\
\hline July 15-....----- & 5, 163, 729 & 368, 227 & 1, 167, 374 & 172, 855 & 745, 729 & 204, 352 & 203, 193 & 978, 526 & 205, 800 & 101,333 & 139, 944 & 94,846 & 781, 550 \\
\hline Government deposits: June 17 & 113, 586 & 8,606 & 19,109 & 11,665 & 19,731 & 4, 324 & 7,532 & 22,148 & 3,660 & 1,887 & 1,481 & 4,472 & 8,971 \\
\hline June 24 & 116,639 & 8, 606 & 19,109 & 14,861 & 19,737 & 4,270 & 7,483 & 22,148 & 3,665 & 1,886 & 1,431 & 4,472 & 8,971 \\
\hline July 1. & 112,855 & 8, 593 & 19,108 & 11, 482 & 19,737 & 4,317 & 7,532 & 22, 148 & 3, 656 & 1,736 & 1,431 & 4, 144 & 8,971 \\
\hline July 8 - & 88,905 & 6,962 & 13,542 & 8,588 & 16, 260 & 3,152 & 6,519 & 18,778 & 2,244 & 1,588 & 837 & 3, 585 & 6,850 \\
\hline July 15. & 75,598 & 5,918 & 11,513 & 7,304 & 13,836 & 2,681 & 5,541 & 15,970 & 1,906 & 1,344 & 711 & 3,048 & 5,826 \\
\hline Bills payable and rediscounts with Federal re- & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline serve banks: \({ }^{\text {dect }}\), & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Secured by U.S. Government obliga-tions- & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline June 17. & 173, 987 & 4,669 & 74, 819 & 7,888 & 20,366 & 8,093 & 3,940 & 33, 362 & 500 & & 665 & 600 & 19,085 \\
\hline June 24 & 169,168 & 4,850 & 75, 558 & 5,795 & 27, 263 & 6,184 & 3,078 & 24,460 & 1,055 & & 240 & 375 & 20,310 \\
\hline July 1 & 185, 714 & 6, 950 & 91,516 & 9,492 & 20,054 & 6, 892 & 2,933 & 36, 214 & 875 & 600 & 278 & 120 & 9,790 \\
\hline July 8. & 151, 626 & 5,395 & 77,032 & 6,239 & 14, 885 & 7,585 & 80 & 21,155 & 22 & 270 & 638 & 720 & 17, 605 \\
\hline All \(\begin{aligned} & \text { July } 15 \\ & \text { other- }\end{aligned}\) & 158, 781 & 9,275 & 65, 930 & 13,055 & 23,345 & 3,331 & 684 & 24, 835 & 1,641 & & 813 & 322 & 15,550 \\
\hline All other- 17. & 77,433 & 8,569 & 20,338 & 4,659 & 9,819 & 14,968 & 5,055 & 2,207 & 2,789 & 422 & 701 & 1.115 & 6,791 \\
\hline June 24 & 85, 581 & 11, 574 & 18,321 & 5,303 & 8,113 & 11,380 & 6,038 & 7, 636 & 4, 834 & 371 & 665 & 1,224 & 10,122 \\
\hline July 1 & 121, 395 & 20,439 & 31, 607 & 9,386 & 8,888 & 16,717 & 6,446 & 10,705 & 6,427 & 360 & 721 & 929 & 8,770 \\
\hline July 8. & 96, 363 & 15,659 & 22, 025 & 6,638 & 9, 124 & 12,888 & \({ }^{6,783}\) & 8,434 & 3,268 & 349 & 730 & 1,278 & 9,187 \\
\hline July 15. & 102, 479 & 10,250 & 32,686 & 10,566 & 5,864 & 15,035 & 5,432 & 8,366 & 4,891 & 333 & 978 & 1,278 & 6,800 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

REPORTING MEMBER BANKS IN 12 FEDERAL RESERVE BANK CITIES-BANKERS' BALANCES
[In thousands of dollars]
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Total (12 } \\
\text { cities) }
\end{gathered}
\]} & \multicolumn{12}{|c|}{Federal reserve bank city} \\
\hline & & Boston & New York & Philadelphia & Cleveland & Richmond & At- & Chicago & Louis & Minne- & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Kansas } \\
\text { City }
\end{gathered}
\] & Dallas & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { San } \\
\text { Fran } \\
\text { cisco }
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline Due to banks: & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline June 17, & 2, 264,341 & 133,738 & 1, 085, 234 & 181, 861 & 55,081 & 29,280 & 18, 602 & 395, 532 & 87, 240 & 50,479 & 104, 270 & 25,351 & 97, 673 \\
\hline June 24 & 2, 218, 066 & 118,358 & 1,094, 082 & 173, 654 & 50, 192 & 28,152 & 18, 221 & 380,657 & 84, 813 & 48,390 & 102,039 & 26,396 & 93, 112 \\
\hline July 1. & 2, 375, 289 & 135, 467 & 1, 176,573 & 180, 830 & 52, 929 & \({ }_{31}^{28,231}\) & 17, 933 & \begin{tabular}{l}
412,061 \\
308 \\
\hline 100
\end{tabular} & 81, 365 & 50, 026 & 105, 5078 & 27, 869 & 106,498 \\
\hline July \({ }^{\text {July }} 15\) & 2, 289,
\(2,262,845\) & \(\xrightarrow{131,190}\) & 1, 108, 681 & 176,681
178,931 & 54,854
54,455 & 31,948
32,981 & 20,672
19,719 & 398,370
389,033 & 79,405
86,635 & 53,481
49,267 & 109, 2785 & 26, 868 & 100,081 \\
\hline Due from bank & & & & & & & & & & & 109,955 & 26,107 & 105, 849 \\
\hline June 17. & 655,357 & 52,106 & 112, 969 & 64, 886 & 26,715 & 15,715 & 13,652 & 190,323 & 30,436 & 26,560 & 45, 270 & 25, 161 & 51, 764 \\
\hline June 24 & 601,483 & 39,515 & 111,431 & 65, 131 & 23,488 & 13,671 & 12,796 & 174,464 & 29,415 & 21,818 & 42,193 & 22,005 & 45, 556 \\
\hline July 1. & 659,645 & 43,394 & 122, 928 & 76, 881 & 31,339 & 15,463 & 11,021 & 187, 286 & 35,266 & 21, 874 & 42,488 & 21,888 & 49,717 \\
\hline July \(8 .\).
July 15. & 631,788 & 38, 672 & 112,406 & 57, 522 & 26, 917 & 15,772 & 13, 258 & 186, 532 & .\(^{33,865}\) & 27, 141 & 45, 946 & \({ }^{20,657}\) & 53,300
50885 \\
\hline July 15. & 668, 892 & 38, 911 & 135, 749 & 63,061 & 31, 263 & 15,493 & 12, 267 & 180,614 & -35,643 & 24,823 & 51, 512 & 28,671 & 50,885 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

REPORTING MEMBER BANKS IN NEW YORK CITY AND CHICAGO-PRINCIPAL RESOURCES AND LIABILITIES, BY WEEKS
[In thousands of dollars]
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{New Y ork City} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{City of Chicago} \\
\hline & June 17 & June 24 & July 1 & July 8 & July 15 & June 17 & June 24 & July 1 & July 8 & July 15 \\
\hline Number of reporting banks & 62 & 62 & 62 & 62 & 62 & 46 & 46 & 46 & 46 & 46 \\
\hline Loans and discounts, g & \multirow[b]{4}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
57,627 \\
1,999,954 \\
2,147,021
\end{array}
\]} & \multirow[b]{4}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
56,798 \\
2,036,274 \\
2,137,446
\end{array}
\]} & \multirow[b]{4}{*}{57,898
\(2,156,973\)
\(2,152,715\)} & \multirow[b]{4}{*}{} & \multirow[b]{4}{*}{} & \multirow[b]{4}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
20,810 \\
581,206 \\
682, 441
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{4}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
21,287 \\
569,498 \\
685,851
\end{array}
\]} & \multirow[b]{4}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
\[
22,973
\] \\
560,653 \\
683, 255
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{4}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
595,023 \\
671,563
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[b]{4}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
\[
21,784
\] \\
605, 811 \\
674,412
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline ment obligations & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Secured by stocks and bonds. \(\qquad\) & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline All other loans and discounts. & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Total loans and discounts. \\
U, S. pre-war bonds. \\
U. S. Liberty bonds \\
U. S. Treasury bonds. \\
U. S. Treasury notes \\
U. S. Treasury certificates Other bonds, stocks, and securities..
\end{tabular}} & 4, 204, 602 & 4,230,518 & 4,367, 586 & 4, 246, 814 & 4, 207, 031 & 1,284, 457 & 1,276,636 & 1,266, 881 & 1,289, 364 & 1,302,007 \\
\hline & \multirow[t]{5}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
29,012 \\
50,751 \\
178,817 \\
183,032 \\
54,974 \\
860,499
\end{array}
\]} & \multirow[t]{5}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
29,012 \\
509,859 \\
180,708 \\
161,402 \\
51,970 \\
866,323
\end{array}
\]} & \multirow[t]{5}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
29,000 \\
508,261 \\
182,217 \\
163,696 \\
50,113 \\
876,783
\end{array}
\]} & \multirow[t]{5}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
29,000 \\
508,433 \\
181,230 \\
164,327 \\
49,769 \\
874,048
\end{array}
\]} & \multirow[t]{5}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
29,000 \\
507,302 \\
181,804 \\
174,298 \\
50,081 \\
851,754
\end{array}
\]} & \multirow[t]{5}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
1,933 \\
85,067 \\
26,701 \\
55,466 \\
7,398 \\
204,935
\end{array}
\]} & \multirow[t]{5}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
1,933 \\
84,863 \\
26,577 \\
55,380 \\
6,882 \\
199,264
\end{array}
\]} & \multirow[t]{5}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
1,933 \\
8,315 \\
25,787 \\
59,201 \\
3,631 \\
206,788
\end{array}
\]} & \multirow[t]{5}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
1,933 \\
8,403 \\
25,527 \\
55,584 \\
3,913 \\
199,689
\end{array}
\]} & \multirow[t]{5}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
1,934 \\
87,153 \\
26,064 \\
54,879 \\
4,035 \\
195,877
\end{array}
\]} \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Total investments......... \\
Total loans and investments. \(\qquad\)
\end{tabular}} & 1,813,085 & 1,799,274 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\(\frac{1,810,770}{6,178,356}\)} & 1,806, 807 & 1,794, 239 & 381, 500 & 374, 889 & 386,655 & 372, 349 & 369,942 \\
\hline & 6,017,687 & \[
6,029,792
\] & & 6,053,621 & 6,001,270 & 1,665,957 & 1,651,535 & 1,653,536 & 1,661,713 & 1,671,949 \\
\hline Reserve balances with Federal reserve bank & \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
710,869 \\
60,793 \\
5,052,840 \\
821,834 \\
14,966
\end{array}
\]} & \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
666,156 \\
61,811 \\
5,021,755 \\
819,086 \\
14,966
\end{array}
\]} & \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
702,711 \\
63,198 \\
5,215,561 \\
796,710 \\
14,966
\end{array}
\]} & \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
698,598 \\
66,004 \\
5,098,752 \\
787,334 \\
10,625
\end{array}
\]} & \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
700,440 \\
61,367 \\
5,089,508 \\
786,183 \\
9,035
\end{array}
\]} & \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
177,110 \\
23,566 \\
1,163,973 \\
483,997 \\
11,625
\end{array}
\]} & \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
167,583 \\
24,132 \\
1,150,582 \\
482,655 \\
11,625
\end{array}
\]} & \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
181,013 \\
23,973 \\
1,173,348 \\
48,422 \\
11,625
\end{array}
\]} & \multirow[b]{4}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
157,892 \\
25,426 \\
1,152,580 \\
479,685 \\
9,720
\end{array}
\]} & \multirow[b]{4}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
165,786 \\
24,706 \\
1,174,808 \\
47,701 \\
8,258
\end{array}
\]} \\
\hline Cash in vault & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Time deposits ------ & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Government deposits.-......--- & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Bills payable and rediscounts with Federal reserve bank: & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Secured by U. S. Government obligations & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 62,190 \\
& 19,529
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 57,930 \\
& 14,940
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 68,595 \\
& 28,066
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 59,895 \\
& 18,954
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 42,755 \\
& 28,940
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
13,668 \\
1,005
\end{array}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 4,316 \\
& 1,005
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 9,962 \\
& 4,075
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
8,710 \\
605
\end{array}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 5,310 \\
& 2,482
\end{aligned}
\]} \\
\hline All other --............. & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Total borrowings from Federal reserve bank.- & 81,719 & \[
72,870
\] & 96, 661 & 78,849 & 71, 695 & 14,673 & 5,321 & 14,037 & 9,315 & 7,792 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

ALL MEMBER BANKS-DEPOSITS, BY FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICT AND BY SIZE OF CITY
[In thousands of dollars]
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{Federal reserve district} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Net demand deposits} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Time deposits} \\
\hline & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{1925} & 1924 & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{1925} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} \\
\hline & Mar. 25 & Apr. 22 & May 27 & June 24 & June 25 & Mar. 25 & Apr. 22 & May 27 & June 24 & \\
\hline Boston & 1, 270, 144 & 1, 298,704 & 1,313,510 & 1, 337, 475 & 1,249, 512 & 721, 631 & 729,635 & 745, 103 & 762,492 & 6E6, 959 \\
\hline New York & 6, 109, 736 & 6, 334, 922 & 6, 236, 627 & 6, 276, 324 & \(5,982,997\) & 2, 063,332 & 2, 121,020 & 2, 154, 206 & 2, 141, 081 & 1,812, 215 \\
\hline Philadelphi & 1, 127, 880 & 1, 155, 613 & 1,159, 071 & 1, 159, 036 & 1,067, 178 & 788,880 & 786,676 & 796, 502 & 808, 481 & 695, 498 \\
\hline Cleveland. & 1, 456, 881 & 1, 469,584 & 1, 663,643 & 1, 482, 2584 & 1, 385, 052 & 1,299, 175 & 1, 300,882 & 1, 314, 938 & 1, 344, 751 & 1,226, 845 \\
\hline Richmond & 569,755 & 565,450 & 560, 248 & 558, 817 & 531, 197 & 492,908 & 501,893 & 501, 137 & 508,488 & 461,243 \\
\hline Atlanta. & 616, 226 & 620, 420 & 646, 362 & 621,453 & 477, 319 & 386, 835 & 388, 125 & 407, 256 & 407, 643 & 347, 419 \\
\hline Chicago. & 2, 382, 909 & 2, 384, 717 & 2, 391, 294 & 2, 425, 469 & 2, 216, 745 & 1, 762, 222 & 1,767,731 & 1, 814,574 & 1,826, 655 & 1,636,533 \\
\hline St. Louis & 722, 922 & 708, 335 & 700, 591 & \({ }^{693,028}\) & 641, 034 & 432, 651 & 435,041 & 437,801 & 441,929 & 406, 117 \\
\hline Minneapolis & 475, 267 & 451, 891 & 439,619 & 451, 240 & 396,739 & 441, 252 & 439, 950 & 435, 891 & 434, 484 & 398, 800 \\
\hline Kansas Cit & 872, 756 & 838,824 & 824, 391 & 836, 559 & 727, 746 & 305, 752 & 307, 175 & 309, 873 & 309,948 & 297, 679 \\
\hline Dallas. & 662, 862 & 635,576 & 605,626 & 588, 601 & 512,565 & 163, 780 & 165, 531 & 166,006 & 167, 218 & 157, 153 \\
\hline San Francisc & 1, 235, 913 & 1,251,037 & 1, 230, 203 & 1, 240, 484 & 1,206, 298 & 1,240,735 & 1,242,963 & 1, 251,953 & 1,267, 614 & 1,051,859 \\
\hline Total & 17, 503, 151 & 17,715,080 & 17, 571, 185 & 17, 670, 740 & 16, 394, 382 & 10,094, 153 & 10, 186, 622 & 10, 335, 240 & 10,420, 784 & 9, 148, 320 \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Banks in cities and towns having a populatinn of -}} \\
\hline & & & & & 1,512, 480 & 1,684,816 & 1,691, 055 & 1,692,920 & 1,715, 247 & 1,588,443 \\
\hline 5,000 to 14,999. & 1,086, 008 & 1, 088,048 & 1, 078,411 & 1, 077, 714 & 1,021, 564 & 1, 079, 743 & 1, 081,730 & 1,082,783 & 1,091, 481 & 1,016, 429 \\
\hline 15,000 to 99,999 & 2, 225, 264 & 2, 239, 760 & 2, 266, 800 & 2, 265, 177 & 2, 004, 410 & 2, 048, 373 & 2, 044, 266 & 2, 086, 284 & 2, 081, 760 & 1, 890, 041 \\
\hline 100,000 and over & 12, 528, 394 & 12, 749, 388 & 12,612,029 & 12, 713, 433 & 11, 855, 928 & 5, 281, 221 & 5, 369, 571 & 5, 473, 253 & 5, 532, 296 & 4, 653,407 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Total & Boston & New York & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Philadel- } \\
\text { phia }
\end{gathered}
\] & Oleveland & Richmond & Atlanta & Chicago & \begin{tabular}{l}
St. \\
Louis
\end{tabular} & Minneapolis & \[
\underset{\text { Kansas }}{\text { City }}
\] & Dallas & San
Francisco \\
\hline \multicolumn{14}{|l|}{earnings} \\
\hline Discounted bills. & \$6, 681, 270 & \$487, 906 & \$1,991, 661 & \$608, 212 & \$669,494 & \$742, 285 & \$351, 823 & \$821, 478 & \$271, 964 & \$98, 419 & \$121, 197 & \$66,372 & \$450, 459 \\
\hline Purchased bills & 4, 399, 874 & 586, 654 & 981, 129 & 322, 107 & 449, 203 & 124, 225 & 163, 359 & 543, 119 & 213,536 & 135, 978 & 201,339 & 200, 458 & 478, 767 \\
\hline United States securities & 6, 701, 960 & 322, 250 & 1, 811, 808 & 471, 957 & 688,237 & 63, 796 & 115, 458 & 999, 022 & 274, 221 & 354, 907 & 515, 572 & 402,479 & 682, 253 \\
\hline Deficient reserve penalti & 133,141 & 3,626 & 12, 951 & 2,781 & 7,047 & 25, 243 & 15, 527 & 19, 196 & 11, 424 & 6,461 & 14,913 & 9,834 & 9, 138 \\
\hline Miscellaneous. & 1,145, 551 & 86,447 & 322, 043 & 72,547 & 99,436 & 15,235 & 18, 174 & 202, 520 & 22,745 & 53, 205 & 143,900 & 57, 289 & 52,010 \\
\hline Total earnings. & 19, 061, 796 & 1, 486, 883 & 5,119, 592 & 1,477, 604 & 1, 913,417 & 970,784 & 664, 341 & 2, 585,335 & 793, 890 & 648, 970 & 991, 921 & 736, 432 & 1,672,627 \\
\hline \multicolumn{14}{|l|}{Salaries: CURRENT EXPENSES} \\
\hline Bank officers............... & 1,207,752 & 58,458 & 230, 850 & 63, 583 & 113,750 & 77, 892 & 88, 138 & 168, 793 & 69, 275 & 62,968 & 79, 943 & 68, 108 & 125, 994 \\
\hline Clerical staft. & 6, 1996,63 & 442, 051 & 1, 609,430 & 460, 322 & 524, 090 & 332, 409 & 190, 013 & 844,950 & 336, 289 & 193, 124 & 335, 865 & 272, 925 & 658, 195 \\
\hline Special ofticers and & 374,603 & 16, 203 & 1,74,763 & 26,375 & 48,809 & 22,195 & 14,958 & 48, 006 & 19,130 & 12,898 & 32, 619 & 18,028 & 40,619 \\
\hline All other- & 896, 857 & 42,044 & 230, 935 & 47, 605 & 107, 580 & 31, 871 & 16, 055 & 149, 203 & 24, 951 & 48, 484 & 79,380 & 51, 247 & 67,497 \\
\hline Governors' conferences & 2,493 & 134 & 130 & 97 & 146 & 105 & 158 & 160 & 191 & 318 & 250 & 307 & 497 \\
\hline Federal reserve agents' conferences & 108 & & & & & & & 108 & & & & & \\
\hline Federal Advisory Council & 5,970 & 388 & 317 & 263 & 200 & 292 & 488 & 200 & 600
6,615 & 545 & 565 & 2,657 & 17,248 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Traveling expenses \\
Assessments for Federal Reserve Board expenses. \\
Legal fees
\end{tabular}} & 82,985
138,164 & 3,224
3,616 & 9,011
11,609 & 4,015
4,857 & 3,758
9,998 & 3,999
10,969 & 12,107
9,469 & 3,904
13,738 & \(\begin{array}{r}\text { 13, } \\ \text { 13, } \\ \hline 158\end{array}\) & 4,498
21,718 & 11,949
6,285 & 2, 657
\(\mathbf{1 3 , 3 3 8}\) & 17,248 \\
\hline & 1376,056 & 27,773 & & & & & & & 17,214 & 12,274 & & & \\
\hline & 47, 670 & \({ }^{125}\) & 101,364
10,041 & \[
\begin{array}{r}
34,858 \\
1,265
\end{array}
\] & - 3 , 286 & \[
\begin{array}{r}
20,065 \\
36
\end{array}
\] & 15,406
5,004 & 1,517 & 17,214 & 14,516 & 4,478 & \({ }^{1383}\) & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{6,419} \\
\hline Insurance (other than on currency and security shipments) & 217,620 & 14, 176 & 40, 055 & 17,522 & 20,359 & 13,126 & 11,763 & 19,972 & 11,970 & 16, 598 & 17,327 & 12, 667 & \\
\hline Insurance on currency and security shipments & 280, 359 & & & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{42, 709} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
34,389 \\
63,382 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
14,613 \\
90
\end{gathered}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{15,627
27,288} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
32,301 \\
121,086
\end{array}
\]} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{7,656} & 6,547 & 7161 & & \\
\hline Taxes on banking house & 625, 781 & \[
\begin{gathered}
8,688 \\
51,870 \\
0
\end{gathered}
\] & \(\begin{array}{r}56,416 \\ 2090,73 \\ \hline 0\end{array}\) & & & & & & & 40, 075 & 34,691 & 16,490 & 20, 755 \\
\hline Light, heat, and power & 177, 227 & 9,909 & 46,726 & 10, 819 & 20,478 & 4,971 & 5,343 & 20, 820 & 4, 005 & 11, 138 & 24,905 & 11,004 & 7,109 \\
\hline Repairs and alterations, b & 77,317 & 491 & 8,435 & 793 & 4,970 & 391 & 3,251 & 31, 301 & 4,487 & 3,884 & 16,666 & 1,361 & 1,287 \\
\hline Rent & 183, 670 & 840 & 14,005 & 1,410 & \({ }^{21,395}\) & 5,123 & 4, 857 & 19, 242 & 37,767 & 6,853 & 15, 287 & \({ }_{877} 7\) & 56,168 \\
\hline Office and other supplies & 203, 432 & 11, 469 & 43,933 & 17,475 & 15, 810 & 8,310 & 7,350 & 34, 750 & 11,078 & 13,101 & 13, 335 & 6,877 & 19,944 \\
\hline Printing and stationery & 223,457 & 19,916 & 34, 947 & 17, 188 & 27,968 & 12,470 & 12,653 & 35, 247 & 6,956 & 10,637 & 12,589 & 8,939 & 23,947 \\
\hline Telephone & 97,095 & 9, 869 & 25, 518 & 13, 083 & 6,741 & 3,224 & 2, 549 & 11, 995 & 3,632 & 2,919 & 3,531 & 4,734 & 9,300 \\
\hline Telegraph & 257, 707 & 3,735 & 32, 030 & 8, 133 & 17,528 & 14, 538 & 32, 557 & 25, 196 & 20, 975 & 9,870 & 30, 174 & 23,839 & 39, 132 \\
\hline Postage. & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{200, 163} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{18, 189} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{45, 303} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{28,730} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{12,482} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{14,675} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{13, 288} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{26,525} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{7,758} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{5,847} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{5,358} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{9,403} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{11, 605} \\
\hline Expressage & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Federal reserve currency: \\
Original cost, including shipping charges \(\qquad\)
\end{tabular} & 878, 127 & 178, 074 & 199, 172 & 120, 747 & 58,857 & 57, 379 & 51, 118 & 64,278 & & 10,788 & 39,281 & 4,374 & 94, 059 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Cost of redemption, including shipping charges \\
All other expenses.
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 105,259 \\
& 336,735
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 13,435 \\
& 19,444
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 19,627 \\
& 81,118
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 18,534 \\
& 24,057
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 12,018 \\
& 26,654
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
7,339 \\
15,052
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
2,000 \\
13,003
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 10,884 \\
& 46,800
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
3,242 \\
23,811
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
616 \\
19,775
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
4,755 \\
27,195
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
3,167 \\
17,564
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
9,642 \\
22,262
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline & 14, 042, 467 & 1,075, 560 & 3, 272, 359 & 1,057, 678 & 1, 266, 981 & 751, 941 & 604, 331 & 1,894,412 & 685, 865 & 558, 968 & 884,342 & 613,469 & 1,376, 561 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 19,061,796 \\
& 14,042,467
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1,486, 883} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{5, 119, 592} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1,477,604} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1,913,417} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{970,784
751,941} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 664,341 \\
& 604,331
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{2, \(5884,31,412\)} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{793, 890} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 648,970 \\
& 558,968
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{-981, 9212} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{736,432
613,469} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 1,672,627 \\
& 1,376,561
\end{aligned}
\]} \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Current net earning \\
Dividends paid.
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{5 , 0 1 9 , 3 2 9} \\
& 3,424,045
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 411,323 \\
& 245,454
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
1,847,233 \\
930,029
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 419,926 \\
& 329.591
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 646,436 \\
& 387,109 \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 218,843 \\
& 178,742
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
60,010 \\
137,948
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 690,923 \\
& 464,551
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
153,076
\] & \[
99,641
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 107,579 \\
& 129,747
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 122,963 \\
& 125,889
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 296,066 \\
& 244,268
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline reimbursable expenditures of fiscal agency department & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Salaries. & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 72,526 \\
& 23,189
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{3,200
782} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{8,438
2,160} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{4, 838} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{7,184
2,826} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{3,291
1,103} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{6,601
985} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 6,730 \\
& 5,547
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1,998} & & 8,742 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{5,165
994} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{3 , 9 7 4} \\
& 1,733
\end{aligned}
\]} \\
\hline All othe & & & & & & & & & & 3,026 & 1,277 & & \\
\hline Total & 95, 715 & 3,982 & 10,598 & 5,596 & 10,010 & 4,394 & 7,586 & 12, 277 & 7,850 & 11, 537 & 10,019 & 6,159 & 5,707 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Other than those connected with governors' and agents' conferences and meetings of directors and of the advisory council.
}

\section*{DEBITS TO INDIVIDUAL ACCOUNTS BY BANKS IN SELECTED CITIES}

\section*{MONTHLY SUMMARY FOR BANKS IN 141 CENTERS}
[In thousands of dollars]
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Federal reserve district} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Numbel } \\
& \text { of } \\
& \text { centers }
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{1925} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{1924} \\
\hline & & April & May & June & April & May & June \\
\hline No. 1-Boston & 11 & 2,326, 857 & 2,273, 167 & 2,374, 832 & 2, 118, 054 & 2, 052, 772 & 2,040,544 \\
\hline No. 2-New York. & 7 & 24, 721, 153 & 26, 976, 549 & 27,764, 381 & 21, 356, 913 & 22, 124, 834 & 22,639,521 \\
\hline No. 3-Philadelphi & 10 & 2, 024,253 & 2, 071,159 & 2,296, 834 & 1,942.356 & 1,894, 928 & 1,936, 205 \\
\hline No. 4-Cleveland & 13 & 2, 407,075 & 2, 240,047 & 2,459, 218 & 2, 275, 246 & 2, 122,402 & 2, 105, 227 \\
\hline No. 5-Richmond & 7 & 747,785 & 718,880 & 774,907 & 672, 898 & 665,057 & \({ }^{673,372}\) \\
\hline No. 7-Chicago. & 21 & 6, 131,296 & 5, 248, 800 & 5, 523,074 & 4, 643,076 & 4,746, 250 & 872,788
\(4,582,549\) \\
\hline No. 8-St. Louis & 5 & 1,177,677 & 1, 141, 405 & 1,170,648 & 1, 033,484 & 1,057,603 & 1,035, 196 \\
\hline No. 9-Minneapolis & 9 & 697, 614 & ,694,973 & 762, 780 & 552,910 & ,596, 115 & 613,515 \\
\hline No. 10-Kansas City & 14 & 1.110,810 & 1, 089, 204 & 1, 210,741 & 1, 012,890 & 1,000, 236 & 963,496 \\
\hline No. 11-Dallas.... & 11 & 5 527,025 & 506, 060 & -524, 937 & 183, 944 & 466, 060 & 447,735 \\
\hline No. 12-San Francise & 18 & 2, 604, 174 & 2,519, 105 & 2, 689,431 & 2,456,815 & 2, 401, 209 & 2, 319,693 \\
\hline Total & 141 & 44, 536, 713 & 46, 576, 267 & 48,611,133 & 39, 518, 668 & 40, 044, 354 & 40, 229, 841 \\
\hline New York City & & 23, 944, 580 & 26, 179, 393 & 26, 929,559 & 20,653,978 & 21,405,560 & 21,925,659 \\
\hline Other cities & 140 & 20.592, 133 & 20, 396, 874 & 21, 681, 574 & 18, 864, 690 & 18,638,794 & 18,304, 182 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

WEEKLY SUMMARY FOR BANKS IN 254 CENTERS
[In thousands of dollass]
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{2}{*}{Federal reserve district} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Numcenters} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{1925, week ending-} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{1924, week ending-} \\
\hline & & June 24 & July 1 & July 8 & July 15 & July 22 & June 25 & July 2 & July 9 & July 16 & July 23 \\
\hline No. 1-Boston- & 16 & 606, 293 & 624,782 & 609, 813 & 546, 248 & 589, 409 & 497, 316 & 615,686 & 459,458 & 560,095 & 492,998 \\
\hline No. 2-New York. & 14 & 5, 988, 062 & 7,069, 150 & 6, 031, 170 & 6, 121, 431 & 6, 012, 911 & 5,090, 430 & 6, 239, 158 & 4, 285, 927 & 5, 295, 999 & 4,983,899 \\
\hline No.3-Philadelphia. & 18 & 589, 897 & 613, 102 & 524, 266 & 556, 025 & 522, 601 & 512,465 & 557,030 & 407, 498 & 511, 418 & 473, 275 \\
\hline No. 4-Cleveland.- & 22 & 642, 160 & 744, 767 & \({ }^{653.954}\) & 688, 646 & 696, 404 & 555, 959 & \({ }^{678,871}\) & 526, 710 & 606, 661 & 575,907 \\
\hline No. 5-Richmond. & 23 & 289, 305 & 333, 196 & 320, 181 & 325, 014 & 290, 885 & 260, 343 & 305, 869 & 241, 571 & 277,793 & 255,513 \\
\hline No. 6-Atlanta... & 24 & 245, 092 & 267, 818 & 266, 684 & 266, 587 & 255, 662 & 197, 060 & 239, 914 & 204, 651 & 230, 733 & 215, 380 \\
\hline No. 7-Chicago. & 36 & 1,251,587 & 1,459, 138 & 1, 243, 230 & 1,299,962 & 1, 326, 360 & 1,122, 399 & 1,300, 091 & 946, 545 & 1, 182, 235 & 1,124, 171 \\
\hline No. 8-St. Louis & 14 & 252, 230 & 293, 718 & 274,902 & 294, 876 & 1287, 951 & 1, 236, 598 & 285, 078 & 223, 220 & 269,715 & 240, 904 \\
\hline No. 9-Minneapolis. & 17 & 173, 728 & 200, 510 & 171, 855 & 185,880 & 180, 518 & 146, 495 & 183, 012 & 142, 374 & 172,483 & 154,012 \\
\hline No. 10-Kansas City & 27 & 286, 684 & 321, 748 & 306, 067 & 309, 386 & 311, 385 & 236, 683 & 284, 442 & 241, 370 & 299, 939 & 257,407 \\
\hline No. 11-Dallas -- & 15 & 131, 464 & 135, 128 & 134, 420 & 141, 701 & 142, 311 & 115, 202 & 125, 063 & 111,313 & 125, 055 & 119,692 \\
\hline No. 12-San Francisco. & 28 & 614, 064 & 682, 669 & 620, 882 & 670, 606 & 655,931 & 534, 966 & 613, 975 & 501, 087 & 629,725 & 558,873 \\
\hline Total & 254 & 11,070, 566 & 12, 745, 726 & 11, 157, 429 & 11, 406, 362 & 11, 272, 328 & 9, 505, 916 & 11,428, 189 & 8, 291, 724 & 10, 161, 851 & 9, 452, 031 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
bank debits for federal reserve bank and branch cities
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline No. 1-Boston & 426,055 & 420, 369 & 415, 105 & 348, 346 & 402,783 & 337, 110 & 411, 727 & 300, 993 & 377, 855 & 329, 184 \\
\hline No. 2-New Yo & 5, 668,452 & 6,681, 610 & 5, 690, 889 & 5, 772, 609 & 5, 691, 155 & 4, 814,567 & 5,870,941 & 4, 012, 572 & 4, 989, 282 & 4,712,008 \\
\hline Buffalo & 75, 523 & 81, 524 & 82, 220 & 94, 622 & 81, 668 & 62, 681 & 89, 088 & 62, 349 & 76, 276 & 64, 516 \\
\hline No. 3-Philadelphi & 456. 175 & 462, 249 & 385, 870 & 417,337 & 389, 376 & 392, 896 & 416,900 & 292,883 & 381, 201 & 352, 454 \\
\hline No. 4-Cleveland. & 144,778 & 188, 638 & 161, 884 & 176, 082 & 180, 344 & 134, 523 & 166, 623 & 124, 607 & 152, 779 & 143, 134 \\
\hline Cincinnati & 78, 253 & 85, 100 & 75, 918 & 84, 054 & 84, 651 & 69, 098 & 77, 038 & 64,707 & 81, 119 & 73, 688 \\
\hline Pittsburgh & 221, 836 & 252, 568 & 211, 494 & 208, 721 & 212, 182 & 182, 047 & 234,758 & 171, 712 & 190, 058 & 182, 674 \\
\hline No. 5-Richmond & 27,894 & 34, 846 & 30,640 & 29,024 & 27, 153 & 24, 428 & 33, 408 & 26,385 & 30, 641 & 24, 564 \\
\hline Baltimore & 96,310 & 108, 724 & 109,346 & 104,367 & 96, 336 & 84,965 & 104, 750 & 68,553 & 90, 501 & 81,917 \\
\hline No. 6-Atlanta & 36,774 & 34, 497 & 33, 233 & 33, 293 & 33, 485 & 29,961 & 32,407 & 25, 221 & 32, 680 & 27,788 \\
\hline Birmingham & 27,044 & 29,791 & 27,925 & 31, 173 & 29, 880 & 23,066 & 27,665 & 25, 010 & 26, 333 & 23, 487 \\
\hline Jacksonvill & 21, 723 & 22,752 & 19,182 & 23,441 & 20, 568 & 12,523 & 12,974 & 11,524 & 14,231 & 12,680 \\
\hline Nashville. & 17, 231 & 19,554 & 17,607 & 18,936 & 19,484 & 14, 447 & 17,630 & 15, 708 & 17,205 & 16,376 \\
\hline New Orlean & 63,904 & 81,976 & 84, 711 & 74,383 & 70, 049 & 55, 347 & 77, 474 & 60, 711 & 67, 272 & 68,793 \\
\hline o. 7-Chicago & 741, 009 & 916, 273 & 759, 666 & 775, 742 & 774, 421 & 685, 440 & 828, 442 & 563, 589 & 718,607 & 674, 021 \\
\hline Detroit & 217, 766 & 226,498 & 167,312 & 207, 697 & 235, 279 & 172, 044 & 164,954 & 117, 951 & 162, 022 & 175, 381 \\
\hline o. 8-St. Louis. & 139, 300 & 168, 400 & 152,600 & 168,900 & 165, 200 & 136, 114 & 169,020 & 124,094 & 158, 809 & 139,404 \\
\hline Little Roc & 13, 581 & 14,216 & 11,780 & 15, 274 & 14,092 & 12,067 & 12,978 & 11, 250 & 13,629 & 13, 256 \\
\hline Louisville & 40, 735 & 48,655 & 49,069 & 46, 246 & 45,755 & 36,902 & 46,361 & 35, 195 & 40, 577 & 38, 023 \\
\hline Mernphis & 26, 198 & 26,320 & 25, 530 & 26,327 & 26, 155 & 23, 763 & 27,676 & 24, 277 & 26,537 & 23,072 \\
\hline No. 9-Minneapol & 86, 268 & 97, 876 & 82,102 & 85, 861 & 86, 921 & 70, 036 & 89, 603 & 66,796 & 87,372 & 71, 611 \\
\hline Helena. & 1,552 & 1,737 & 2,501 & 2,212 & 2,459 & 1,865 & 2,412 & 2,385 & 2,671 & 2,362 \\
\hline No. 10-Kansas & 80, 893 & 89,515 & 87, 120 & 88,627 & 101, 692 & 63,990 & 70,782 & 60,842 & 94,610 & 75,638 \\
\hline Denver. & 41,785 & 44, 733 & 39,698 & 31,705 & 39, 212 & 34, 102 & 43, 170 & 31, 476 & 37,523 & 37,060 \\
\hline Oklahom & 17, 448 & 19,805 & 21, 500 & 22, 557 & 18,828 & 14, 112 & 21, 131 & 16, 298 & 17,952 & 15,950 \\
\hline Omaha & 46, 789 & 47,013 & 46, 130 & 48, 174 & 48,780 & 40, 771 & 41,621 & 37,677 & 43,315 & 41, 467 \\
\hline No. 11-Dallas. & 40,615 & 39,645 & 39, 181 & 44, 607 & 43,887 & 33,147 & 34, 863 & 30,760 & 34,864 & 33, 858 \\
\hline El Paso. & 7,084 & 7,467 & 7,857 & 7,651 & 7,314 & 8,422 & 9,098 & 7,908 & 8,005 & 7,574 \\
\hline Houston & 27, 881 & 27, 547 & 26, 592 & 26, 277 & 31, 176 & 23, 014 & 25,051 & 19, 903 & 24,651 & 26, 313 \\
\hline No. 12-San Fran & 204, 948 & 245, 745 & 205, 226 & 225,994 & 233, 736 & 171,748 & 195, 110 & 156, 981 & 205, 595 & 178, 594 \\
\hline Los Angel & 174, 822 & 190, 408 & 175,695 & 178, 502 & 177, 605 & 161,651 & 172,515 & 134, 763 & 170, 174 & 158, 906 \\
\hline Portland & 34,005 & 36, 616 & 36, 643 & 43, 156 & 39, 199 & 34, 547 & 34, 494 & 31, 194 & 38, 247 & 34, 865 \\
\hline Salt Lake & 14,982 & 15, 369 & 15,682 & 16,099 & 14, 981 & 14, 513 & 16,509 & 12,545 & 14,951 & 13,886 \\
\hline Seattle & 45,605 & 47, 564 & 40,051 & 50,975 & 44, 131 & 28,709 & 47, 833 & 34,089 & 51,521 & 38,783 \\
\hline Spokane & 12,063 & 11, 187 & 11,287 & 13,383 & 12,156 & 10, 329 & 12,010 & 9,813 & 12, 469 & 10,261 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

MEMBER BANKS AND NONMEMBER BANKS ON PAR LIST AND NOT ON PAR LIST
NUMBER AT THE END OF JUNE, 1925 AND 1924

\({ }^{1}\) Figures for 1925 represent the number of member banks in actual operation; those for 1924 represent the number shown by the capital stock records of the Federal reserve bank. The number shown by the capital stock records on June 30, 1925, was 9,633 .
\({ }_{2}\) Incorporated banks other than mutual savings banks.

\section*{MONEY IN CIRCULATION}
[Source: U.S. Treasury Department circulation statements]
[In thousands of dollars]
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Date & Total & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Gold } \\
\text { coin and } \\
\text { bullion }
\end{gathered}
\] & Gold certificates & Standard silver dollars & Silver certifcates & Treasury
notes of 1890 & Subsidiary silver & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { United } \\
& \text { States } \\
& \text { notes }
\end{aligned}
\] & Federal reserve notes & Federal reserve bank notes & National-
bank
notes & Total circulation per capita (in dollars) \\
\hline 1914-July 1 & 3, 402, 015 & 611,545 & 1,026,149 & 70,300 & 478,602 & 2,428 & 159,966 & 337, 845 & & & 715, 180 & 34. 35 \\
\hline 1917-Apr. 1 & 4, 100, 591 & 641, 794 & 1,348,818 & 70,863 & 459,680 & 1,997 & 191, 351 & 330,353 & 356,448 & 3,170 & 697, 180 & 39. 54 \\
\hline 1920-Nov. & 5, 628, 428 & 495, 353 & 231, 404 & 89,725 & 60,385 & 1,628 & 261, 556 & 277, 736 & 3, 310, 225 & 209, 877 & 715, 023 & 52,36 \\
\hline 1922-Aug. & 4, 337, 418 & 416, 282 & 171, 985 & 58, 378 & 268, 802 & 1,508 & 229, 956 & 284, 343 & 2,115, 350 & 65, 032 & 725, 782 & 39.47 \\
\hline 1924-July 1. & 4,755, 403 & 396, 415 & 801, 381 & 54,017 & 364,414 & 1,423 & 252,971 & 297,790 & 1,843,091 & 10,066 & 733, 835 & 42. 20 \\
\hline Aug. 1 & 4,665, 187 & 398, 499 & 800, 124 & 53, 644 & 372, 683 & 1,420 & 252, 407 & 301, 667 & 1,745, 820 & 9,635 & 729, 288 & 41. 36 \\
\hline Sept. 1. & 4,773,878 & 401,794 & 872, 807 & 53,915 & 385, 499 & 1,417 & 253, 732 & 308, 111 & 1,746, 230 & 9,229 & 741, 144 & 42.28 \\
\hline Oct. 1 & 4, 806, 367 & 427, 970 & 898, 165 & 54, 603 & 388, 574 & 1,412 & 256, 467 & 304, 345 & 1,729,301 & 9,030 & 736, 500 & 42. 52 \\
\hline Nov. 1 & 4, 879,694 & 436, 160 & 904, 861 & 55, 185 & 389, 201 & 1,410 & 259,710 & 305, 840 & 1,784,046 & 8,710 & 734, 571 & 43.12 \\
\hline Dec. 1 & 4, 993, 570 & 437, 971 & 933, 688 & 55, 606 & 389, 113 & 1,407 & 263, 102 & 304, 418 & 1,862,055 & 8,471 & 737, 739 & 44.08 \\
\hline 1925-Jan. 1 & 4, 992, 931 & 458, 206 & 970, 564 & 57, 384 & 388, 540 & 1,405 & 266, 298 & 295, 233 & 1,841,691 & 8,238 & 705, 442 & 44.03 \\
\hline Feb. 1 & 4, 751, 538 & 455, 169 & 929,650 & 55, 533 & 360,808 & 1,401 & 256, 898 & 283, 598 & 1, 688, 662 & 7,987 & 711,832 & 41.86 \\
\hline Mar. 1. & 4, 804, 209 & 462,925 & 913,900 & 55, 264 & 366,024 & 1,398 & 256, 509 & 288, 668 & 1,734,606 & 7,756 & 717,159 & 42.28 \\
\hline Apr. & 4, 776, 167 & 469, 448 & 914,968 & 54, 666 & 371, 229 & 1,396 & 257, 559 & 285, 780 & 1,702, 212 & 7,506 & 711, 403 & 41.99 \\
\hline May & 4, 725, 191 & 453,211 & 918, 862 & 54, 398 & 376,442 & 1,392 & 258, 446 & 281, 043 & 1,676,078 & 7, 299 & 698,020 & 41.50 \\
\hline June 1 & 4, 774, 313 & 437, 612 & -972,438 & & 380,681
3796 & 1,390
1,387 & 259,894
262,607 & 284,799
279,943 & 1,679, 833 & & 696,649
681,709 & 41.89
41.49 \\
\hline July 1 & 4, 734, 236 & 428, 102 & 1,003,285 & 54, 294 & 379,796 & 1,387 & 262,607 & 279, 943 & 1,636, 192 & 6,921 & 681,709 & 41.49 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) The figures for the several classes of money do not add to this total, as mutilated currency forwarded for redemption and unassorted currency held by Federal reserve banks have been deducted only from the total.
}
discount rates of federal reserve banks in effect July 31, 1925
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{Federal reserve bank} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Paper maturing -} \\
\hline & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Within 90 days} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
After 90 days bu within 9 months
\(\qquad\) \\
Agricultural \({ }^{1}\) \\
and livestock paper
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline & Commercial, agricultural, paper n. eck paper, n. e. s. & Secured by United States tovernment obligations & \(\underset{\text { acceptances }}{\text { Bankers' }}\) & Trade
acceptances & \\
\hline Boston-- & \(31 / 2\) & \(31 / 2\) & \(31 / 2\) & \(31 / 2\) & \(31 / 2\) \\
\hline New York & \(31 / 2\) & 31/2 & \(31 / 2\) & \(31 / 2\) & \(31 / 2\) \\
\hline Philadelphia & \(31 / 2\) & \(31 / 2\) & \(31 / 2\) & \(31 / 2\) & 31 \\
\hline Cleveland.- & \(31 / 2\) & 31/2 & \(31 / 2\) & 31/2 & \(31 / 2\) \\
\hline Richmond. & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 \\
\hline Atlanta.- & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 \\
\hline Chicago & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 \\
\hline St. \({ }^{\text {W L }}\) Louis & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 \\
\hline Minneapolis. & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 \\
\hline Kansas City & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 \\
\hline Dallas---- & & 4 & 4 & 4 & \\
\hline San Francisco. & \(31 / 2\) & \(31 / 2\) & \(31 / 2\) & \(31 / 2\) & \(31 / 2\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

I Includiug lankers' acceptances drawn for an agricultural purpose and secured by warehouse receipts, etc. Changes during the month-None.

GOLD SETTLEMENT FUND
INTERBANK TRANSACTIONS FROM JUNE 18, 1925, TO JULY 22, 1925, INCLUSIVE
[In thousands of dollars]
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{2}{*}{Federal reserve bank} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Transfers} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Daily settlements} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Changes in ownership of gold through transfers and settlements} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Balance in fund at close of period} \\
\hline & Debits & Credits & Debits & Credits & Decrease & Increase & \\
\hline Boston. & 35,000 & & 893, 033 & 923, 985 & 4,048 & & 62, 027 \\
\hline New York, & 12,500 & 66,800 & 3, 157, 678 & 3, 104, 611 & & 1,233 & 235, 848 \\
\hline Philadelphia & 7,300 & 1,000 & 880, 860 & 892,422 & & 6,062 & 52, 157 \\
\hline Richmond & 4,000 & 2,000
5,000 & 804,996
680,305 & 820,011
687,213 & & 12,515
7,908 & 73,720
32,876 \\
\hline Atlanta.- & 7,000 & 2,500 & 364, 115 & 368, 368 & 247 & & 15,741 \\
\hline Chicago- & 37, 000 & 7,000 & 1, 529, 603 & 1,548, 433 & 11, 170 & & 115, 220 \\
\hline St. Louis-1- & & 6,000 & \({ }^{647}, 122\) & 631,909 & 9,213 & & 6, 675 \\
\hline Minneapolis. & 1,000 & 1,500
10 & 220, 896 & 216,231
488,752 & 4,165 & & 9, 716 \\
\hline Dallas...... & 1,000 & 2,000 & 278, 104 & 274, 551 & 2, 553 & & 12, 263 \\
\hline San Francisco. & & 5,500 & 364, 265 & 359, 676 & & 911 & 32, 975 \\
\hline Total 5 weeks ending- & & & & & & & \\
\hline July 22, 1925. & 109, 300 & 109,300 & 10,316, 162 & 10, 316, 162 & 31,396 & 31,396 & 688,784 \\
\hline June 17, 1925. & 148,900 & 148,900 & 10, 143, 295 & 10, 143, 295 & & & \({ }^{678} 1587\) \\
\hline June 18, 1924 & 108, 500 & 108,500 & \(\stackrel{8}{\mathbf{9}, 135,038}\) & 9,135,038 & & & 574,153 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\title{
MONEY RATES PREVAILING IN FEDERAL RESERVE BANK AND BRANCH CITIES
}

The following table shows the customary rates charged on loans and discounts in the various cities in which Federal reserve banks and their branches are located，as reported by representative banks．These rates are not averages but are those rates at which the bulk of paper of each that no one rate clearly covers the bulk of the paper handled，a range of
［Rates prevailing during week ending with the 15th day of the month
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{District and city} & \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Customers＇prime commercial paper} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\multirow{2}{*}{Interbank loans}} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{Loans secured by Liberty bonds}} & \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Loans secured by stocks and bonds} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Loans secured by } \\
\text { warehouse } \\
\text { receipts }
\end{gathered}
\]}} & \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{\multirow{2}{*}{Cattle loans}} \\
\hline & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{30－90 days} & \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{4－6 months} & & & & & & & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Demand} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Time} & & & & & & \\
\hline & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { July, } \\
& 1925
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\left|\begin{array}{c}
\text { June, } \\
1925
\end{array}\right|
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { July, } \\
& 19244
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& \text { July, } \\
& 1925
\end{aligned}\right.
\] & \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\text { June, } \\
1925
\end{array}
\] & July; & \[
\left|\begin{array}{c}
\text { July, } \\
1925
\end{array}\right|
\] & \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\text { June, } \\
1925
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{|l}
\text { July } \\
1924
\end{array}
\] & \[
\left.\begin{array}{|}
\text { July, } \\
1925
\end{array} \right\rvert\,
\] & \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \text { June, } \\
1925
\end{array}
\] & July，
1924 & \[
\left.\begin{array}{|c|}
\text { July, } \\
1925
\end{array} \right\rvert\,
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { June, } \\
1925
\end{gathered}
\] & July,
\[
1924
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { July, } \\
& 1925
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\left.\begin{gathered}
\text { June, } \\
1925
\end{gathered} \right\rvert\,
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { July, } \\
& 1924
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{|c|}
\text { July, } \\
1925
\end{array}\right.
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { June, } \\
& 1925
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { July, } \\
& 1924
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\left|\begin{array}{|c|}
\text { July, } \\
1925
\end{array}\right|
\] & June, & \begin{tabular}{l}
July， \\
1924
\end{tabular} \\
\hline No．1－Boston & 4－43 & 4－5 & 42－43 & 4－5 & 4－5 & 42－43 & \({ }^{4}\) & \(\stackrel{4}{4}\) & & 42－5 & 4－5 & & \(4 \frac{1}{2}\) & 4 & 3 & 41－5 & 4－5 & 5 & & & & & & \\
\hline No．2－New Yo & 4－5 & 4－4 \({ }^{\frac{1}{3}}\) & \(4-5\) & 4－5 & 4－5 & \(4{ }^{4}-5\) & 43－5 & 4－5 & 4－4 \({ }^{4}\) & \(4-4{ }^{\frac{3}{2}}\) & 4－43 & 4－4 \({ }^{2}\) & 4－4 \({ }^{\frac{2}{4}}\) & \(3^{3 \frac{3}{2}-4}{ }^{\frac{3}{4}}\) & 2－4 \({ }^{\frac{3}{2}}\) & \(4-5\) & \({ }^{33} 5\) & 3－4 \({ }^{\text {a }}\) & 41 \({ }^{\frac{1}{2}-5 \frac{1}{2}}\) & 41－5 & 4－5 & & & \\
\hline No．3－Puifalo \({ }^{\text {Pudelp }}\) & & & 5－6 \({ }_{4}\) & 4－6 & & \(41-6\)
\(4-5\) & & & S－6 & 6 &  & 5－6 & 5－6 & & 5－6 & 5－6 & \(5-6\)
\(4-5\) & 5－6 & \(5-6\)
\(4-6\) & 5－6 & & & & \\
\hline No．4－Cleveland & 512－6 & 55－6 & \(5^{\frac{1}{2}-6}\) & 51－6 & \(5^{\frac{1}{2}-6}\) & － & & & \({ }^{-12}\) & 5－6 & \({ }_{5}^{4-5}\) & & 51－6 & 52－6 & & \({ }_{5}^{4 \frac{1}{2}-6}\) & 5 \({ }_{5}^{4}-6\) & 3－5 & 㐌－6 &  & \({ }^{5 \frac{1}{3}-6}\) & & & \\
\hline Pittsburgh & 5－6 & \(5{ }^{5-6}\) & & 5－6 & 5－6 & \({ }^{6}\) & 5－6 & 5－6 & 6 & \(5-6\) & 5－6 & & 5－6 & 5－6 & & 4i－6 & 5－6 & 6 & & 6 & 6 & & & \\
\hline －Cincinnati & 5－6 & 5－6 & & 5－6 & \({ }_{5}^{5 \frac{1}{2}-6}\) & \({ }_{5}{ }^{6}\) & \(5-5 \frac{2}{2}\) & \(5-5 \frac{1}{2}\) & & \(5-51\) & \(5-5 \frac{1}{2}\) & 521－6 & 5－51． & 5－6 & \({ }^{5 \frac{1}{2}-6}\) & 5－6 & & 51－6 & 6－7 & 6－7 & 6 & & & \\
\hline No．5－Richmond & & \({ }_{4 \frac{1}{2}-5}{ }^{5}\) & 5－53 & 5－6 & 5－6 & 5－6 & 43，51 & \begin{tabular}{|c}
\(4 \frac{1}{2}-5\) \\
4 \\
4 \\
5
\end{tabular} & & & & 4i－6 & ｜rc｜c｜ & 5－6 & \(5 \frac{1}{2}-6\)
\(4^{3}-5\)
5 & 5－6 & 5－6 &  & & & －\({ }^{6}\) & & & \\
\hline Baltimore & 年 \({ }_{5}^{4 \frac{1}{2}-6}\) &  & \(5-5 \frac{1}{2}\)
\(5-6\) &  &  & 5－51 & \begin{tabular}{|c|c}
\(4 \frac{1}{2}-5 \frac{1}{2}\) \\
\(5-6\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular} &  &  & \({ }_{42-8}{ }^{\frac{4}{4}}\) & \(5-8{ }^{4 \frac{3}{3}}\) & 43－5 &  & 5－6 &  & \(5-53\)
\(5-6\) & 5－53 & \(4{ }^{3}-51\)
\(5-7\) & & \(5_{5}^{5}\) & 51－6 & & & \\
\hline －Airmingham & & & 5－6 & \(5-6\)
\(5-6\) & 5－6 & 5－6 & 5－6 & － \(\begin{array}{r}5-6 \\ 5-6 \\ 5\end{array}\) & 5－6 & 42－8 & 5－8 & 5－7 & －\({ }^{-6}\) & 5－6 & \(5-7\) & 5－6 & & 5－7 & 5－6 & \({ }_{6}^{5}\) & \(5-7\) & & & \\
\hline Jacksonville & 4－8 & \({ }^{3 \frac{1}{3}-8}\) & & 4－8 & 31－8 & & 5－6 & 5－6 & \({ }^{6}\) & 4－8 & & & 3i－8 & \(4-8\) & & 4－8 & 43－818 & & 41－8 & 42－8 & 6 & & & \\
\hline New Orlean & 5－51 \({ }_{5}\) & \(\xrightarrow{5-5}\) & 5－6 & 5－6 & 5－6 & \({ }^{5 \frac{1}{2}-6}\) &  & 5－6 &  & 5－6 \({ }^{5}\) & 5－6 & 5－6 &  & & 5－6 & 年－6 & \({ }_{51-6}^{51}\) & 5－6 &  & & \(51-7\)
\(51-6\) & & & \\
\hline No 7－Chicago & －\({ }_{\text {S }}^{2}\) & － & －\({ }_{\text {42 }}^{1}\) & 43－5 & 42－5 & \(4_{4}^{1}-5 \frac{1}{2}\) & －\({ }^{\text {32 }}\) & －\({ }_{5}^{5}\) & －\({ }^{1}\) & － & 42 \({ }^{\frac{1}{2}-51}\) & \({ }_{5}\) & 42 \({ }_{4}^{2}\) & － & 4 \({ }_{4}^{5}\) & －\({ }_{4}^{4}\) & － & 42 \({ }^{\frac{1}{2}-5 \frac{3}{2}}\) & \(5{ }^{5}\) & 42 & & 5i－6 & 5－6 & 51 \\
\hline －Detroit－ & 42－6 & \(4^{\frac{1}{2}-6}\) & 5－6 & 42－6 & 5－6 & \({ }^{4 \frac{1}{2}-6}\) & － 5 & & & 5－6 & 5－6 & 5－6 & 42－6 & \({ }^{51-6}\) & \({ }^{42}\) & \(5^{5-6}\) & 5－6 & \({ }^{5}-6\) & \(51-6\) & 5－6 & 51－6 & － & & \\
\hline \(\mathrm{No}_{\mathrm{a}}^{-}\)8－St．Louis & 4－5 & 4－51 \({ }^{\frac{1}{2}}\) & 4－51 \({ }^{-5}\) & 4－5 & 4－5is & \({ }^{4}-{ }^{-5}{ }^{\frac{1}{2}}\) & 5 & 5－5i \({ }^{5}\) & 5－6 & 5 & 5 & 5－5a & 4－5 & 4－5 & 42 \({ }^{\text {42 }}\)－ & －5－53 & 4－5t & － \(\begin{array}{r}4 \frac{1}{2}-6 \\ 6\end{array}\) & 5－51 & 5－53 & 5－6 & \(5-6\) & 5－6 & 6 \\
\hline Little Rock & & 5－6 & 6 & & 5－6 & & 6 & 5－6 & & 5－6 & 5－6 & 5－7 & & & 6－7 & & & 6－7 & 6 & 6－7 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 6 \\
\hline No．9－Minneapoli & 41 \({ }^{\frac{1}{2}-5 \frac{1}{2}}\) & 41－51 & 8 & 42－5 & 41－5i \({ }^{\frac{1}{4}}\) & \(5-51\) & & & 51－6 & & & & 41－513 & 43－51 & \(5-5 \frac{1}{2}\) & 43－53 & & \[
\begin{gathered}
0 \\
5 \\
5
\end{gathered}
\] & & & & & & \\
\hline No． \(10-\) Kansas & 5－\({ }_{\text {8 }}^{\text {52 }}\) & 5－6 & －\({ }_{\text {8 }}^{8}\)－ & ［ 8 & 5－6 & & 㐌－8 \({ }^{\text {5－6 }}\) & 6－8 & \[
\begin{array}{r}
7-8 \\
6
\end{array}
\] & 5－6 & 4t－6 & \(5-6\) & 5－6 & \({ }^{-1}\) & 5－6 & 6－8 & \[
\begin{gathered}
8 \\
6
\end{gathered}
\] & （ \begin{tabular}{c}
8 \\
\hline 6
\end{tabular} & 5－6 & 5－6 & 5 －6 & 6－7 & 6－7 & 6－7 \\
\hline No．Omaha & 4－64 & 41－6 & 412－6 & 4－6 & 42－6 & 41－6 & & ， & 51－63 & & 5－6 & 5－6 & & \({ }^{6}\) & 4－6 & 6 & 6 & \({ }_{3}^{5}-6\) & 6 & －6 & －6 & 512－7 & 53－8 & 7－8 \\
\hline Denver． & 51－6 & 51－6 & 51－6 & \(4-5 \frac{1}{2}\) & 4－6 & 51－6 & 6－63 & \(6-6 \frac{1}{2}\) & 6－8 & 5－6 & 5－6 & 6 & 5－6 & 5－6 & 51－6 & 5－7 & \(5 \frac{1}{2}\) & 51－6 & 6－8 & 6－7 & 6－7 & 6－8 & 6－7 & 6－8 \\
\hline Oklahoma & 5－7 & 5－7 & \begin{tabular}{|c|}
6 \\
4 \\
4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} & － \(\begin{array}{r}5-7 \\ 5 \\ \hline-6\end{array}\) & 5－7 & & 6－7 & －6－7 & 6－7 & 51－6 & 51－6 & － & & － & 5－7 & ¢ \(\begin{aligned} & 6-7 \\ & 5-8\end{aligned}\) & 6－7 & 6
6
6
-7 & \(6-7\)
\(5-8\) & \begin{tabular}{l}
6 \\
6 \\
5 \\
\hline-8
\end{tabular} & \({ }_{5}^{6-8}\) & 6－8 & \({ }^{6}-8\) & 7－8 \\
\hline No 11－Dalas． & S－6 &  & 4－6 & － \(\begin{gathered}5-6 \\ 6-8\end{gathered}\) & － \(\begin{gathered}\text {－} \\ 6 \\ -8\end{gathered}\) & 5－68 & － 5 －6 & －\({ }_{\text {c }} \mathbf{- 6}\) &  & 5－6 & 析－6 & & 5－6 & 5－6 & & 5－8 & －\({ }_{\text {5－8 }}\) & 8 & 8 & \begin{tabular}{l} 
S \\
\hline-8 \\
\hline-8
\end{tabular} & 8 & 7－8 & \(\begin{array}{r}7-8 \\ 8 \\ \hline\end{array}\) & 6－8 \\
\hline Houston． & \(5-6\) & 5－6 & 5－6 & 5－6 & \(5-6\) & \(5-6\) & 4－6 & & 42－5 & \(5-6\) & 5－6 & \({ }^{5}-6\) & 5－7 & 5－6 & 5－6 & 5－6 & 5－6 & 5－6 & 5－6 & \(5-6\) & \({ }^{-6}\) & 7－8 & & \\
\hline No 12－San Franci &  & 5－5 \({ }^{\frac{1}{2}}\) & 6－5 & \(|\)\begin{tabular}{c}
5 \\
8 \\
\hline-7
\end{tabular} & 5－51 \({ }^{-1}\) & 6－7 & 5－6 & － \(\begin{array}{r}12-6 \\ 6\end{array}\) & 5－6 & － \begin{tabular}{c} 
512 \\
6 \\
6 \\
\hline-7
\end{tabular} & 5－6 \({ }^{5-7}\) & \begin{tabular}{|c}
\(5-6\) \\
\(6-7\)
\end{tabular} & \(\begin{array}{|cc|}5 & -6 \\ 6 & -7\end{array}\) & S－6 \(\begin{gathered}5 \\ 6 \\ -7\end{gathered}\) &  & － \(\begin{gathered}5 \\ 6-7\end{gathered}\) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5_{2}^{1}-6 \\
& 6-7
\end{aligned}
\] &  & & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 6 \\
& 7
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
5 \\
6 \\
6 \\
-7 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} & 6－7 & \(6-63\) & 6 \({ }_{-6}^{6}\) \\
\hline Seattle．－ & & & & & & & 5－6 \({ }^{-6}\) & 5－631 & & \({ }^{6}-7\) & & & 6 & 6 & \({ }^{6}\) & －6 & & 6－7 & －7 & 6－7 & \({ }_{6}^{6-7}\) & & & \\
\hline Spokane & \(5-7\)
\(5-6\) & 5－7 & 6－7 \({ }_{\text {6 }} \times\) & 3年－6 & 31－7 & \({ }^{6-7}\) & \(\begin{array}{cc}6 & -7 \\ 6 & -7\end{array}\) & \begin{tabular}{|cc|}
\hline 6 & -7 \\
6 & -7
\end{tabular} & 6－7 & \begin{tabular}{|c}
\(6-7\) \\
\(6-7\)
\end{tabular} & 6－7 & \({ }^{6}-7\) & & 7 & 6－7 & 6－7 & 6－7 & \(\begin{array}{r}\text { 6－7 } \\ \hline\end{array}\) & 6－8 & 6－7 & 6－8 & \(6-7\)
\(7-8\) & \(6-7\)
\(7-8\) & \(7-8\)
\(7-8\) \\
\hline Los Angeles & － \(\begin{array}{r}\text { 5－6 } \\ 6 \\ \hline\end{array}\) & 6－7 & － \begin{tabular}{l}
4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} & 6－7 & 6－7 & －\({ }^{4} \mathbf{4}\) & 6 －7 & － & 6－7 & 6－7 & 6－7 & \({ }_{6}\) & 6－7 & 6 －7 & 6－7 & 6－7 & \(6-7\)
\(6-7\) & \begin{tabular}{|c|}
\(6-7\) \\
\(6-7\)
\end{tabular} & 7 & 6－7
6
6 & \({ }_{6 \frac{1}{2}-7}\) & 7－8 & 7－8 & 7－8 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

GOLD AND SILVER IMPORTS AND EXPORTS
imports into and exports from the united states, distributed by countries


\section*{FOREIGN EXCHANGE RATES}
[Noon buying rates for cable transfers in New York as published by Treasury. In cents per unit of foreign currency]
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{Countries} & \multirow{3}{*}{Monetary unit} & \multirow{3}{*}{Par of exchange} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{July, 1925 \({ }^{2}\)} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{June, 1925} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{June, 1924} \\
\hline & & & \multirow{2}{*}{Low} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{High} & \multirow{2}{*}{Low} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{High} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{A verage} & \multirow{2}{*}{Low} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{High} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{A verage} \\
\hline & & & & & & & Rate & Per cent of par & & & Rate & Per cent of par \\
\hline Austria & Schilling & 14.07 & 14.0390 & 14.0700 & 14. 0470 & 14.0620 & 14. 0518 & 99.87 & & & & \\
\hline Belgium & Franc & 19.30 & 4. 4300 & 4. 7000 & 4. 4800 & 4.9000 & 4. 7035 & 24.37 & 4.3300 & 4. 8000 & 4. 5648 & 23.65 \\
\hline Bulgaria- & Lev. & 19.30 & . 7286 & . 7372 & . 7286 & . 7372 & . 7322 & 3.79 & . 7083 & . 7250 & . 7173 & 3.72 \\
\hline Czechoslovakia & Crown & & 2.9607 & 2. 9628 & 2. 9615 & 2.9633 & 2.9625 & & 2.9193 & 2.9571 & 2. 9364 & \\
\hline Denmark & Krone & 26.80 & 20. 1000 & 23. 7700 & 18. 7300 & 20.1600 & 19. 1138 & 71.32 & 15.9900 & 16. 9100 & 16. 7776 & 62.60 \\
\hline Finland & Markka. & 19.30 & 2.5198 & 2. 5248 & 2.5207 & 2.5230 & 2.5218 & 13.07 & 2.5031 & 2. 5114 & 2.5068 & 12. 99 \\
\hline France. & Franc. & 19.30 & 4.4600 & 4.7500 & 4.5100 & 5.0200 & 4. 7696 & 24.71 & 4.9500 & 5.5300 & 5. 2528 & 27. 22 \\
\hline Germany & Reichsmark & 23.82 & 23.8000 & 23. 8000 & 23.8000 & 23.8000 & 23.8000 & 99.92 & & & & \\
\hline Great Britain & Pound. & 486.65 & 485. 4400 & 486. 1200 & 485. 7400 & 486.2100 & 486.0415 & 99.87 & 430.7600 & 433.7900 & 431.9880 & 88.77 \\
\hline Greece. & Drachma & 19.30 & 1.5460 & 1. 6773 & 1.5812 & 1.7069 & 1. 6575 & 8.59 & 1. 6683 & 1.8153 & 1. 7343 & 8,99 \\
\hline Hungary & Krone. & 20.26 & . 0014 & . 0014 & . 0014 & . 0014 & . 0014 & . 01 & . 0011 & . 0012 & . 0013 & . 01 \\
\hline Italy.... & Lira. & 19.30 & 3.3500 & 3.8000 & 3. 5100 & 4.0400 & 3.8273 & 19.83 & 4.3100 & 4. 3500 & 4.3328 & 22.45 \\
\hline Netherlands & Florin. & 40.20 & 40.0400 & 40.1800 & 40.0500 & 40.1800 & 40.1354 & 99.84 & 37.3100 & 37. 6400 & 37.4352 & 93.12 \\
\hline Norway & Krone & 26. 80 & 17.4900 & 18. 7900 & 16. 7400 & 17.8800 & 17. 0115 & 63.48 & 13.4200 & 13.5800 & 13.5132 & 50.42 \\
\hline Poland. & Zloty & 19.30 & 18. 0500 & 19. 2000 & 19. 1700 & 19.2100 & 19.1858 & 99.41 & 19.2400 & 19.3700 & 19.2928 & 99.96 \\
\hline Portugal & Escudo & 108. 05 & 5. 0500 & 5. 1900 & 5. 0300 & 5.1100 & 5.0719 & 4.69 & 2.7900 & 2. 9600 & 2.8600 & 2.65 \\
\hline Rumania & Leu. & 19.30 & . 4591 & . 5205 & . 4596 & . 4758 & . 4660 & 2.41 & . 4223 & . 4396 & . 4311 & 2.23 \\
\hline Spain... & Peseta & 19.30 & 14, 4400 & 14.5600 & 14.5200 & 14.6400 & 14.5731 & 75.51 & 13.3800 & 13.5800 & 13.4552 & 69. 72 \\
\hline Sweden & Krona & 26. 80 & 26.8000 & 26.9100 & 26. 7500 & 26. 8000 & 26.7635 & 99.86 & 26.5000 & 26. 5700 & 26. 5416 & 99.04 \\
\hline Switzeriand & Franc. & 18.30 & 19.4000 & 19.4200 & 19.3500 & 19.4200 & 19.4019 & 100.53 & 17.5700 & 17.7600 & 17. 6604 & 91.50 \\
\hline Yugoslav & Dinar & 19.30 & 1.7458 & 1. 8000 & 1. 6463 & 1.7571 & 1. 7114 & 8.87 & 1.1419 & 1. 2216 & 1.1850 & 6.14 \\
\hline Canada. & Dollar & 100.00 & 100.0010 & 100. 1083 & 99. 9832 & 100.0127 & 99.9982 & 100.00 & 98. 2234 & 99.0125 & 98.4401 & 98. 44 \\
\hline Cuba & Peso. & 100.00 & 99. 8646 & 99.9583 & 99.9375 & 100.0000 & 99.9695 & 99.97 & 99.9805 & 100.0469 & 100.0143 & 100, 01 \\
\hline Mexico & --.do & 49.85 & 49.5833 & 49.7375 & 49. 1417 & 49.9000 & 49.6641 & 99.63 & 48.1250 & 48.3333 & 48.2199 & 96. 73 \\
\hline Argentin & Peso (gold) & 96. 48 & 91. 6400 & 91. 8900 & 90.7300 & 92.1700 & 91. 3304 & 94. 66 & 73.3900 & 74.1500 & 73. 8912 & 76. 59 \\
\hline Brazil & Milreis. & 32.44 & 10.7200 & 11. 8200 & 10.5600 & 11. 1400 & 10.8919 & 33.58 & 10.6300 & 10.9500 & 10.7488 & 33.13 \\
\hline Chile & Peso (paper) & 119.53 & 11. 4900 & 11.8900 & 11. 2200 & 11. 4300 & 11.3173 & 57.95 & 10.3700 & 10.7400 & 10.5956 & 54. 25 \\
\hline Uruguay & Peso. & 103.42 & 97. 2500 & 100.0400 & 96. 3900 & 98.0000 & 96. 9738 & 93. 77 & 76.6300 & 78.4600 & 77. 5880 & 75. 02 \\
\hline China. & Mexican dollar & 148.11 & 55.7600 & 56. 8000 & 54.7700 & 57.9000 & 55.8931 & 116.18 & 50.6200 & 51.7500 & 51.2844 & 106, 60 \\
\hline Do & Shanghai tael & \({ }^{1} 66.85\) & 76. 1800 & 76. 9200 & 74.8400 & 77.7100 & 76.0881 & 113.82 & 70.5700 & 71. 7500 & 71.3724 & 106, 76 \\
\hline Hongkong & Dollar-... & 147.77 & 56.4200 & 57.1300 & 54.8900 & 58.1300 & 56.0223 & 117.28 & 51.6200 & 52. 1000 & 51. 9368 & 108. 72 \\
\hline India & Rupee & 48. 66 & 36. 5500 & 36. 6700 & 36. 3800 & 36.6000 & 36. 4815 & 74.97 & 30.2400 & 30.7000 & 30.4876 & 62.65 \\
\hline Japan & Yen. & 49.85 & 40.7300 & 41.3600 & 40.3000 & 41.5800 & 40.8258 & 81.90 & 40.3100 & 41. 8400 & 41.0824 & 82.41 \\
\hline Straits Settlemen & Singapore dollar & 56.78 & 56. 6300 & 56.6300 & 56.3800 & 56. 7200 & 56.5823 & 99.65 & 50.0800 & 50.3800 & 50.1892 & 88.39 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

11913 average.
\({ }_{2}\) Not including figures for the 31st.
[A verage price per fine ounce]
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline & June & May \\
\hline London (converted at average rate of exchange) & \$0.69758 & \$0.68387 \\
\hline New York. & . 69452 & . 67915 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{I NDEX}


```


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The committee of experts presented its plan Apr. 9, 1924. The Allied and German Governments adopted it by the agreement executed at London on Aug, 30, 1924. For full text of the plan see Federal
    Reserve Bulleqin for May, 1924, p. 351.
    2 The annuity year, which is the time unit of the plan, runs from
    sept. 1 to Aug. 31.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ The principal officers of the permanent organization for the execution of the plan are as follows: The agent general for reparation paybank: G. W. J. Bruins, Dutch; the commissioner for the German railways: Gaston Leverve, French; the commissioner of controlled revenues: Ways: Gaston Leverve, French; bonds: Leon Delacroix, Belgian; the trustee for the German industrial debentures: Bernardino Nogara, Italian.
    The transfer committeo consists of the agent general for reparation payments, as chairman ex officio, and the following: Joseph E. Sterrett, American; Jean Parmentier, French; Henry Bell, British; Pasquale Jannaccone, Italian; Albert E. Janssen, Belgian.
    Sir Charles Addis acted temporarily as the British member pending the qualification of Mr. Bell.

