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## REVIEW OF THE MONTH.

With the rapid progress toward more normal conditions in business and banking that has been characteristic of the past
Establishment few months, there has been of normal credit. associated a change in the character of credit and collection conditions. This improvement throws a raluable light upon the general banking situation. As business improves, collections after a certain period become better and business more truly liquid. Banking is correspondingly nearer to the standards of liquidity which represent or correspond to real soundness in mercantile and financial organization. Changes in business credit are, therefore, of significance, not merely in themselves, but also because of their direct bearing upon the banking position. This closeness of relationship has long been recognized by all who were interested in central banking practice or theory. The Federal reserve act recognized the necessity of scientific study and analysis of credit and laid a basis for regular and careful collection of data on the subject, while the regulations and rulings of the Federal Reserve Board have steadily called for the complete analysis of commercial credit conditions. Of fundamental importance in the study of such conditions are the situation as to terms of sale, varying as it does from time to time; the methods employed for the systematic study and analysis of credit conditions (to both of which the board has devoted continuous study for a long time past); and the position as to business embarrassments. The latter are most frequently taken as an index of change in business conditions, although the other elements just cited are to be regarded as of equal or greater importance, though not usually so treated.
The following compilation shows the present situation with respect to failures, contrasted

Credit and the depression of 1920-21. with that which existed after the panic of 1907 and after
that of 1893. The figures used are those of R. G. Dun \& Co., and seem to
show that the upward movement of failures reached its peak in number during December, 1921, and January, 1922, and has since been steadily receding, while in amount of liabilities a definite "peak" was reached in December, 1921, with distinct, although somewhat irregular, recession since that month. This conclusion also agrees with data derived from other sources. Comparing the present situation with that which existed after 1907, it is interesting from a chronological standpoint that the peak in number of failures was reached in January, 1908, subsidence occurring quite steadily and largely from that month onward. The early symptoms of trouble in 1907 had hardly made themselves felt much before June, while bank suspension was agreed upon in October. After the panic of 1893 , a peak in number of failures was reached about the close of that year, the most acute stage of the panic occurring during the early spring, with bank suspension later. In both 1907-8 and 1893-94 liabilities showed roughly the same course as the number of failuros. During the troubles of 1920-21 the arrival at the peak in commercial failures has been somewhat longer deferred than on either of the other two preceding occasions. Recession of prices started, it will be recalled, in May, 1920, while a high point in liabilities of failed concerns was not reached until March of the following year, and was again exceeded at the close of 1921 and opening of 1922.

Commerciar Faifures.
[1R. G. Dun de Co.]
NUMBER.

| Montb. | 1073 | 1801 | 1907 | 1008 | 1920 | 192. | 1.922 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Januar |  |  | ( 1, 3:5\% | 1,919 | 569 | 1,895 | 2,723 |
| Februar | 3, 302 | 4,3011 | 92 | 1, 621. | 492 | 1, 64] | 2,331. |
| March. |  |  | \%is 3 | 1,339 | 506 | 1,336 | 2,463 |
| April |  |  | 799 | 1,309 | 504 | 1, 487 | 2,167 |
| May | 3,1991 | 2,73! | 857 | 1,379 | 547 | 1,350 | 1,960 |
| June |  |  | 815 | 1,112 | 674 | 1,320 | 1,740 |
| July. |  |  | 777 | 1,232' | 681 | 1,444 |  |
| August. | \} 1,015 | 2, 868 | 850 | 1, 199 | 673 | 1,562 |  |
| Septembe |  |  | 859 | 1,026 | 6.7 | 1, 166 |  |
| October. |  |  | 1, 139 | 1,187 | 933 | 1,713 |  |
| November | $4,826$ | 3,979 | 1, 180: | 1,120 | 1.050 | 1,988 |  |
| Decembe |  |  | (1,316] | 1, 217 | 1,525 | 2,444 |  |
| To | 15,212 | 13, Na | 11,721: | 15,600 | 8,881 | 19,622 | 13,388 |

901

Commercjal Fallures-Continued. LIABILITIES.
[IIn thousands of dollars.]

| Month. | 1893 | 1894 | 1007 | 1908 | 1920 | 1921 | 1922 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Januar |  |  | (13, 628: | 27,100! | 7,2401 | 52, 137 | 73.796 |
| Februar | $4 \overline{4}, 338$ | 64,137 | 10,28; | 27,065 | 9,7631 | 60, 852 | 72, 608 |
| March |  |  | (8,194 | 21,54\% | 12,6991 | 6i, 409 | 71,608 |
| Aprel |  |  | (11, 0x | 20, 31 f! | 13, 2211 | 39,568 | 73, 059 |
| May | 191,24 | 37,596 | 9, 903 | 13, 613 | 10, 8261 | 37, 066 | 44,403 |
| Junc |  |  | 16, +169 | $14,700$. | 32,991i | 31,639 | 3S, 243 |
| July |  |  | (12, 33\% | 14,22\% | 21,906 | 12, 774 |  |
| Angust | 82,460 | 29, 411 | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}15,198 \\ 18\end{array}\right.$ | 23.782 | 28, 333 | 12,901! |  |
| Soplemb | 82, | 2, | 18,935 | 12, 29: | 29, 51 | 37,021 |  |
| October. |  |  | [27, 410 | 15, 890 | 38,915 | 53,059 |  |
| November | 95,431 | 41, 848 | 17, 63. | 12,600 | 30,758 | 53, 470 |  |
| Decemb |  |  | (36, 29] | 14,149 | 58, 373 | 87,302 |  |
| Total. | 346, 780172,983 |  | $197,3 \times 6$ | $2,316,2$ | $95,122!$ | $27,401$ | $373,717$ |

The facts thus set forth have an important boaring upon the working of the Federal reserve system; partly as afford-
Working of the ing a test of the system, partly Federal reserve as showing how the system
system. system. itself has reacted upon the commercial situation. Federal rescrve extension of credit, it will be recalled, did not reach its highest level until about six months after recession of credit had set in, or at about the beginning of November, 1920 , when the portfolio of bills held was $\$ 3,127,000,000$ (November 5). When this fact is considered it will be observed that the working of the banking system as now organized has tended to make the approach to a "peak" more gradual. The high record for any month of 1920-21 in volume of liabilities was in December, 1921; while the low point, subsequent to May, 1920, was in July of the same year. Failures for the former date were approximately one-fourth in value those of the latter. For the disturbance of 1907, the high point (in value) was about three and onehalf times the aggregate during the lowest month of the period. The relatively slower advent of widespread commercial embarrassment is the more remarkable when the larger volume of assets employed by the community as woll as the larger volume involved in failures during 1920-21 is considered. Bankers met the situation more adequately than ever before, carrying concerns that were in difficulties until it became certain that they could not be saved. The organization of creditors committees, created to give to bankers and others a participation in the operation of enterprises that were financially embarrassed,
had the effect of giving time for the extrication of these concerns from their difficulties if they held out prospects of future recovery. It undoubtedly avoided great numbers of industrial catastrophes which would have occurred under the old system and which would have entailed great losses. It was at one time felt that this process might go too far and result in preserving a good many concerns that actually had no claim to existence. Study of the figures of failures and considcration of the relatively long period of months over which they have been protracted shows that such a fear was without foundation.

The financial situation of business houses, as a whole, after this period of severe strain and its effect upon them, is

Situation of business houses. indicated in the financial statements submitted by such houses to their banks in 1922. In spite of the marked increase in both the number and liability of failures at the opening of the present year, the 1922 statements show material improrement over a year ago in most lines. Especially is this true with respect to the "liquid" position, as shown by the current ratio, or comparison of quick assets with current liabilities. $\Lambda s$ is well known, this test is very frequently employed by credit men. The current ratio is materially higher this year than last, reflecting changes in soveral other items in the statement. Merchandise inventory had already been written off to some extent in 1920 to correspond with lower values resulting from the drop in prices experienced in many lines during that year. But, by and large, the January, 1921, statements showed considerable inventory on hand. In fact, in some lines it was greater in point of physical volume, even if not when measured in terms of dollar volume, than it had been at the opening of 1920. In 1921 considerable further writing off occurred, and, furthermore, stocks themselves were considerably reduced. This was a usual reaction from the situation which had existed when business reached its peak of activity. Business houses at that time had placed orders aggregating several times their actual requirements, and then found that the entire quantity ordered was delivered. As a result, business men have cur-
tailed greatly the volume of their "forward" orders, in addition to keeping smaller stocks on hand. In seasonal lines especially, wholesalers and retailers have compelled manufacturers to carry stocks of goods, because they themselves have ordered their own supplies only from time to time as needed.

At the same time that inventory was written down, sales decreased (reflecting in part the lower price level prevailing), while "payables" remained at their full amount. Accordingly, the current position became lower. $\Lambda t$ the present time, howerer, stocks are much smaller, and inventory has been pretty well written off. Corresponding to this decrease, although somewhat less in amount, there has been a decrease in the volume of accounts and notes payable. As inventory has been reduced, obligations hare been repaid. The great decrease came in 1921, and is reflected in the January, 1922, statements. This decrease in payables, however, has been much more largely in the nature of a reduction of indebtedness of business houses to banks than of business houses to each other. Receivables show a relatively smaller docrease than do payables. This corresponds in part to the smaller dollar volume of business, but also reflects a lagging in paying merchandise creditors. The relatively greater decrease in merchandise than in payables has resulted in a decrease in net worth. Many firms showed deficits from current operations during the past two years, and the surplus account suffered correspondingly. Since the opening of the present year, however, volume of business has increased, and actual earnings are again generally shown.

The above analysis indicates that the financial position of business houses is decidedly better than a year ago. Inventory on the whole has been written off sufficiently. It has also moved from distributers' hands, so that stocks maintained hare been considerably reduced. Trade obligations have not yet shown a corresponding decrease, but liquidation of indebtedness to banks has made great progress. Finally, the bankers in general do not at present need to make certain allowances when considering the net worth
shown by the borrower, while profits are taking the place of previous operating deficits. This process of readjustment has gone further in some industries than in others. Some lines experionced price decreases at an early date and wrote off inventory correspondingly, but in others prices changed more slowly and readjustment to the new conditions was delared. Accordingly, in these lines the Jo-ary, 1922, statements show improvement far less than the average, but a better outlook is indicated for the mid-year statements. In such lines progress has been made only since the opening of the present year.
During the last few years the length of the credit period granted the buyer by the seller has increased perceptibly.
Mercantile This is not, however, reflected
credit conditions. credit conditions. to a very marked degree in the regular terms of sale which are quoted in different industries. On the contrary, these tend in many cases to continue unchanged; if business houses do not universally adhere to them, it is nevertheless felt that they represent an ideal or standard condition and as such exert a wholesome influence. Furthermore, the shortening of terms cvident during the period of prosperity generally did not go far enough to supplant the regular terms, but rather represented exceptions to them. Accordingly, the record of collections affords a much more accurate indication of the trend of morcantile credit conditions. Collections have two aspects: The extent to which buyers take cash discounts or anticipate the due dates of their bills, and the promptness with which they pay at the time the bills are duc net. Business houses obtain from the banks the major part of the funds they use to take advantage of the cash discount. As heavier demands are made ùpon the banks toward the peak of prosperity, funds are less easy to obtain and accordingly the number of those who discount their bills tends to decrease. Such, in fact, appears to have been the case early in 1920. In 1921, after business conditions had unmistakably changed, the number of those taking the cash discount may likewise be expected to have been relatively small, and during the present year only gradual improvement is shown. Collections,
as distinct from discounting of bills, show a similar condition. The relatively slower decrease in reccivables already noted affords an indication of this tendency, and trade reports confirm it. In short, merchandise creditors had to carry their customers when the latter were unable to move their inventory. This condition is reflected in the reports at various times in the past that new purchases were paid more promptly, while old accounts alraady outstanding were but slowly reduced. Collections have continued to lag relatively until late this spring, May and June in particular evidencing improvement.

The period under consideration affords interesting evidence of the relative degree of liquidity of the various classos
Banks and busi- of loans made by banking inness borrowers. stitutions. There is always difference of opinion as to this matter. The view generally accepted for many years was that loans growing out of commercial transactions were most liquid; more recently this has been seriously questioned. No hard and fast rule can be laid down. It is, of course, true that loans made for capital purposes are not liquid. This has been amply demonstrated by the experience of the last several years. Borrowers who undertook plant expansion with funds borrowed from the banks, hoping to repay the loans shortly out of anticipated profits, were in a difficult situation when general conditions changed and profits did not materialize. The plant did not provide the wherewithal to repay the loan. But those who borrowed apparently for commercial purposes in many cases likewise experienced difficulty. In the expectation that prices would continue to rise, they accumulated stocks of commodities, which, when economic reaction set in and prices fell, proved far in excess of market demand and had to be revalued at the new and lower price levels. Loans meanwhile remained unchanged in amount, and current operations, especially in view of diminished sales, did not provide the means of repayment. Accordingly it became necessary for creditors to carry such borrowers temporarily while the loans remained "frozen." Some light upon the relative degree of liquidation of each class
of loan is afforded by the following figures for national banks taken from the annual report of the Comptroller of the Currency for 1921.

|  | Papor with one ior orore ndividual or frm names (not sccured collateral). | $\begin{gathered} \text { Paper } \\ \text { secured } \\ \text { by stocks } \\ \text { and bonds. } \end{gathered}$ |  | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June 30, 1919. | $5,848,884$ $8,312,200$ | $3,438,385$ 3,117, c90 l | $1,331,359$ $1,782,399$ | $10,618,628$ $13,212,489$ |
| 1921. | 7,24, 143 | 2,690, 167 | 1,662, 717 ! | 11,600,032 |

In considering these data it should be remembered that the figures in the first and third columns may represent in part loans, the proceeds of which were employed by the recipients for capital purposes. The decrease in loans secured_by stocks and bonds between 1919 and 1920, in the face of an increase in unsecured loans and commodity loans, therefore possesses only limited significance. Most important appears the fact that the decrease in commodity loans between 1920 and 1921 was relatively smaller than in the case of either of the other two classes of loans. But, as just indicated, in considering the above figures it should be borne in mind that loans are not classified according to the actual use made of the proceeds, and thus the source from which the borrower is enabled to liquidate the loan can not be fully determined.

A prominent aspect of the general situation this spring has been the issue of bonds by industrial enterprises. The pro-
Present status ceeds of many of these have been used to pay up bank loans or to supply working capital. The experience of the last several years has shown the need of keeping a sufficient margin of working capital, at the same time that the nocessity for writing off inventories, etc., has in some cases decreased the capital invested in the business. Accordingly outstanding short-term indebtedness has been funded, or obligations incurred to supply additional funds. While this process is relatively more expensive than bank borrowing, it has the advantage of providing the business house with funds for a longer period of time, and permitting the concern to retire the
obligations when convenient out of future earnings.

While this derelopment has been taking place, banks' loans direct to customers have been decreasing until lately. In fact, both the issue of bonds and the fotation of paper in the open market have played a considerable rôle in enabling business houses to liquidate their indebtedness to the banks. As pointed out in the July Bulletin, banks have otherwise invested the funds so relcased. This has taken the form of increased purchase of papor in the open market and of heavier purchase of securities of one kind or another, as well as of increase in loans on securities. But enlargement of commercial demand may now be expected, with the increase in business activity which is taking place. In these circumstances the question now confronts our banking institutions, what is the "portfolio policy" which they should pursuc? How should they endearor to strike a balance between the different uses to which they put their funds, in order to render maximum service to the business community?

The open market for commercial paper reflects clearly both the general busincss situation in relation to the borrower and
The commercial the existence of surplus funds
paper market.
in the hands of banking institu-
tions. While dealers' outstandings on the whole show a steady increase from the low point reached in the latter part of 1921, paper remains scarce. The increased volume of paper in the market is due, not to increased business demands, but rather to a shift of borrowing from customers' own banks to the open market because of the lower level of rates prevailing in the latter. Many firms are reported to have taken advantage of the situation to "clean up" with their own banks. Added to this is the fact that, with the present volume of business carried on at lower unit cost, many houses find at most a small amount of bank accommodation suffices in addition to the funds they can obtain in the open market. New borrowing is strictly limited and confined largely to current requirements. Several months ago it was felt by some banks that poorer namos were in relatively better supply than first-class names, but
little difference is now reported. Paper of the very "largest" names, however, is on the whole scarce. Theso concerns are in a strong financial position now, especially where they adopted a conservative dividend policy and did not pay out completely the substantial profits they made. Furthermore, in view of the decreased volume of business, their requirements are less. At the same time it may be noted that the market on the demand side at present shows a more normal tendency. Large city banks are now purchasing for their own account, while thore is less country bank buying.

Bank credit methods have been adapted to the changes in general business conditions. At certain times some informaChanges in bask tion is more important; at other
credit practice. times other information. Two years ago market conditions were unstable and constant shifting occurred. Special attention was therefore given to obtaining statements more frequently and supplementing them with operating data and data as to the probable high point of borrowings. At the present time, while more data are probably obtained, emphasis is chiefly placed upon the ability and character of the men behind the concern. Older business houses are approved by reason of the fact that they succeeded in passing through the previous period of stress, but close scrutiny is made of the past history of those connected with new concerns. A development of the past two years has also been the use, when applying for credit, of a financial budget by business houses to supplement the statement proper. This practice has been confined largely to those houses whose statement is only fair. It is probably largely the outcome of inquiries by banks as to what the peak of the concern's indebtedness would probably be, and how the concern would liquidate the debt. The shifting conditions found two years ago further caused banks to follow commodity price fluctuations more closely, as well as to consider particularly the influence of general business conditions in the industry, which often overshadow the immediate situation of the individual concern. Slight change in loan forms is, however, shown, only minor modification in the interest of greater security to the lend-
ing bank being reported in more or less isolated cases.

Changes which have occurred on the foreign side of the credit practice of banks are also noteworthy-particularly so in view of the developments which have been taking place credits. in the organization of our banks for the treatment of forcign credits. The experience of the past two years has resulted in credit study of the same sort that has been employed in the analysis of domestic conditions. Heary losses suffered in connection with foreign advances are currently ascribed to lack of information analogous to that obtained through domestic credit study by those most conversant with the situation. The fact that banks in extending commercial accommodations against foreign shipments have almost uniformly insisted upon retaining right of recourse to the local house engaged in the particular transaction and have, of course, extended such accommodation in the majority of cases to concerns whose credit was known to be sound, has in large measure acted as a buffer against loss, but only indirectly, since the local exporting or importing house has had to bear the brunt of losses. Such houses, however, which do not themselves have good credit connections abroad have found it necessary to rely on the banks for credit information as to forcign customers. Experience has shown that where such credit information was obtained from foreign branches of American banks it has usually been of satisfactory character. This has increased regret at the tendency to reduction in the number of foreign branches, but has led business houses largely engaged in the export trade to feel the necessity of attempting a more thorough organization of their credit system to cover the foreign field. In some cases they are dispatching skilled credit men to travel abroad in the territory in which the business is being done; while American banks, in those countries in which they still maintain branches, are tending more and more to develop their credit systems on the lines pursued in domestic trade. The experience of $1920-21$ has unquestionably emphasized the necessity of such action and is tending to bring about a marked alteration of methods.

The above survey indicates clearly that the credit problems confronting both business houses and banking institu-

Banking and credit. tions change to a marked dogree from time to time during the course of the business cycle. Furthermore, it is seen that the methods adopted, both by banks and business houses, to deal with these credit problems change accordingly. New devices are introduced from time to time, and varying importance is attached to existing devices and methods in an effort to provide the maximum degree of safcty and liquidity in the credit system. It is clearly desirable that central banking institutions be fully cognizant of developments. No less is it desirable that the banking system maintain close contact with the various methods used, and that it itself employ such of these mothods from time to time as it deems desirable. Only in this way may it maintain the most effective regulation of the general credit system. Activity along the lines indicated is necessary to supplement the more general regulation of credit by the traditional method of the discount rate. To the extent that this is realized the functioning of the banking system is made more effective.

The Federal Reserve Board's usual indexes of gencral production and marketing conditions are shown in the following table. Coal production was slightly higher than in May, Index of busi-
ness conditions. whereas shipments of grain and lumber were somewhat lower. Iron and steel production continued to be much higher than in the corresponding month of 1921.

|  | June; 1921. June, 1922. |  |  | May, 1922. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total. | Rola- Total. | Relative. | Total. | Relalive. |
| Receipts of live stock at 15 western markets (head).... | 4, 9288 | $100.0{ }^{5} \quad 5,141$ | 104.3 | 4,991 | 1.01 .3 |
| Receipts of grain at 17 interior centers (bushels). | 93, 405 | $100.0,78,019$ | 83.0 | 86, 458 | 92.6 |
| sightreceipts of colton (bales) | 660 | $100.0{ }^{50}$ |  | 594 | 90.0 |
| shipments of lumber reported by 3 associaions (I leet) | 679 | 100.0 950 |  | 1,101 | 162.2 |
| Bituminous coal production (short tons) | 33, 8.5 | 100.0 ${ }^{100.0} 8$ |  |  | 59.9 |
| Anthracite coal production (shori tons) $\qquad$ | 7,786 | $100.0{ }^{1}$ | 1.1 | 35 | 4 |
| Crude petroleum producion (barrels) | 40,354 |  | 112.1! | 46, 473 | 115.2 |
| Pig-iron production (long tons). | 1,005 | $100.0,12,361$ | 221.7 | 2,307 | 216.6 |
| Stecl-ingot production (long | $1,003 i$ | 100.0 2,634 | 252.6 | \% 711 | 270.3 |
| Cotton consumption (bales) | 1,003 | 100.015 | 110.0 | ${ }^{2}$, | 107.4 |

Net gold imports for the month of June totaled $\$ 11,368,000$, as compared with net imports of $\$ 5,587,000$ in May.
Gold and silver Nearly 70 per cent of the total
avements. movements. gold imports for the month, $\$ 12,969,000$, came from Europe. Arrivals of gold from Great Britain, mainly of South African origin, after a practical suspension for two months, totaled $\$ 4,160,000$, while other imports from Europe consisted chiefly of shipments from Danish and French ports. Gold exports for the month totaled $\$ 1,601,000$, most of which was consigned to Hongkong and Mexico. Net imports of gold since August 1, 1914, totaled $\$ 1,654,949,000$, as may be seen from the following exhibit:


Silver imports for the month were valued at $\$ 6,346,000$ and silver exports at $\$ 6,004,000$, leaving thus an excess of imports of $\$ 342,000$, as against an excess of exports of $\$ 165,000$ for the preceding month. Over 70 per cent of the silver imports is credited to Mexico and over 12 per cent to Peru, the remainder coming mainly from Canada and Central America. Nearly three-fourths of the silver exported during the month was consigned to China and Hongkong, while shipments to England and British India account for nearly 20 per cent of the total silver exports for the month. Net exports of silver since August 1, 1914, totaled $\$ 440,530,000$, as shown in the following exhibit:


[^0]Developments in the banking field during the five weeks ending July 19 differed but little from those described in the The banking situation. Bulletin a month ago. Liquidation of commercial loans by the member banks continued, but was more than offset by the increase in investments, mainly in United States securities. Total loans and discounts of about 800 momber banks in leading cities show a total reduction during the five weeks undor review of $\$ 136,000,000$, of which $\$ 40,000,000$ represents a reduction in loans secured by Government obligations, $\$ 7,000,000$ a reduction in loans socured by corporate and other obligations, and $\$ 83,000,000$ a reduction in all other loans and discounts, composed largely of commorcial loans proper. Under the general head of investmonts United States bond holdings of the reporting banks show a continuous growth, aggregating $\$ 46,000,000$ for the fire-week period. Changes in the other classes of Government ecurities are traceable largely to the refunding operations of the Government, involving the exchange of Victory notes for Treasury notes bearing a lower rate of interest and maturing three and onc-half years from June 15, and to the issuance on that date of a new serics of Treasury certificates. Investments in corporato sccurities showed an upward trend until July 5, but declined during the two subsequent weoks, and the July 1.9 total is only about $\$ 10,000,000$ larger than five weeks before. Total loans and investments of the reporting banks fiuctuated between $\$ 15,264,000,000$ on June 21 and $\$ 15,188,000,000$ a week after and stood at $\$ 15,197,000,000$ on July 19, a decline of about $\$ 16,000,000$ for the five weeks, but an increase of $\$ 247,000,000$ since July 20 of last year. Investments in Goverament securitios constituted 14 per cent of total loans and investments on July 19, 1922, compared with 8 per cont about a year ago, while the corresponding share of "all other" loans and discounts shows a decline during the same period from 54 to 46 per cent. As against substantial reductions in Goverument and other demand deposits, time deposits show a steady advance of $\$ 205,000,000$ for the period under review. Borrowings of the reporting banks from the Federal reserve banks advanced to $\$ 206,000,000$
on July 5, mainly in connection with the large holiday demands for currency. Since then the return flow of currency has enabled the banks to reduce substantially the e borrowings from the reserve banks. The proportion which the borrowings of reporting member banks constitute of the total discounts held by the reserve banks was 35.5 per cent on July 19, compared with 27.9 per cent five weeks earlier.

The following exhibit presents the principal changes in the condition of reporting member banks during the five weeks under review:

Reporting Member Banks.
[In millions of dollars.]

| [In millions of dollars.] |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date. | Number of reporting banks. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Loans } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { dis- } \\ & \text { counts. } \end{aligned}$ | Investments. | Rediscounts and bills payable with Federal reserve banks. | Ratio of accommodation $(4 \div 2+3)$ | Net demand deposits. |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| June 14. | 800 | 10,944 | 4,269 | 116 | 0.8 | 11,198 |
| June 21. | 799 | 10, 885 | 4,379 | 123 | . 8 | 11, 192 |
| Tune 28. | 799 . | 10,783 | 4, 40.5 | 165 | 1.1 | 11, 124 |
| July 5. | 796 | 10, 839 | 4,401 | 206 | 1.4 | 11,088 |
| July 12. | 793 | 10, 839 | 4,372 | 142 | . 9 | 1.1, 199 |
| July 19. | 795 | 10,809 | 4,389 | 158 | 1. 0 | 1.1, 101 |

1 Including rediscounts with Foderal reserve banks.


At the Federal reserve banks the principal developments for the five weeks ending July 26 include a further reduction of $\$ 41,600,000$ in discounted paper and of $\$ 14,700,000$ in Government securities, as against an increase of $\$ 34,700,000$ in acceptances purchased in open market. Total earning assets of the reserve banks on July 26 stood at $\$ 1,076,900,000$, as compared with $\$ 1,098,600,000$ five weoks earlier and $\$ 3,421,600,000$ at the peak resched on November 5, 1920. Decreases in discounts for the five weeks under review are shown for all the Federal reserve banks except Boston and Dallas, which show small increases, by far the largest reduction being reported for the Cleveland bank, whose discounts declined from $\$ 42,100,000$ on June 21 to $\$ 25,000,000$ on July 26. During the first two weeks of the period discounts showed an upward tendency, partly as the result of ond of fiscal year settloments and of currency demands in connection with the July 4 holiday, but for the next three weeks a reduction from $\$ 498,900,000$ on July 5 to $\$ 380,000,000$ on July 26 is shown.

Federal reserve note circulation, which had increased to $\$ 2,194,300,000$ on July' 5 in response to the holiday demand for currency, declined to $\$ 2,126,800,000$ on July 26 , this amount being but $\$ 500,000$ in excess of the June 21 figure. Increases of $\$ 36,100,000$ in Government deposits and of $\$ 3,200,000$ in members' reserve deposits, together with a reduction of $\$ 5,600,000$ in other deposits, resulted in an advance of $\$ 33,700,000$ in the reserve banks' total deposit liability. Gold reserves
show an increase of $\$ 34,600,000$ for the period and cash reserves an increase of $\$ 33,800,000$ The Chicago bank reports the largest increase in gold reserves, $\$ 59,300,000$, smaller increases boing shown for five other reserve banks, while the New York, Philadelphia, Atlanta, St. Louis, Minneapolis, and Dallas banks show decreases in their gold reserves. With Federal-reserve note circulation practically unchanged and the increase in deposits practically offsotting gains in reserves, the reserve ratio of the reserve banks shows but a nominal advance from 79.1 to 79.2 per cent. Net liability of the reserve banks on Federal reserve bank notes declined from $\$ 67,700,000$ on June 21 to $\$ 63,600,000$ five woeks later.

Weekly changes in the principal asset and liability items of the Federal reserve banks are shown in the following exhibit:


Mr. W. J. Bailey has been elected Governor of the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City, effective July 1, 1922, to succed Mr. J. Z. Miller, Jr., resigned.

## BUSINESS, INDUSTRY, AND FINANCE, JULY, 1922.

The outstanding feature of the greater part of the month has been the continuance of business and industrial activity at the relatively high rate recently attained. In fact, production has shown further increases in some lines, while in those which normally would be noticeably affected by seasonal influences, decreases on the whole have been relatively slight. At the same time, prices have continued their upward tendency, the index number of the Federal Reserve Board for June being 162, an increase of 4 points over the May figures, further increases being indicated for July. As the current month progressed, the effects of the coal and railroad strikes began to make themselves felt. This influence has served recently to restrain productive activities in various lines, noticeably iron and steel. The plans recently announced by the administration are expected to relieve the situation.

The output in various lines of manufacture showed further improvement in June. This was particularly noticeable in the case of iron and steel, copper, automobiles, and tanning. In the textile industries, increased output of both cotton and woolen goods was reported during June, although some seasonal recessions have been experienced since the opening of July. Construction activity has been well sustained, only slight recessions occurring in June, and the production of lumber and other building materials accordingly has continued at a high level. The amount of bituminous coal mined in June showed a considerable increase, but since the opening of the present month has fallen off greatly. Coal stocks have consequently been further drawn upon. Anthracite production has been negligible, and stocks, with the exception of pea sizes, are practically exhausted. Petroleum output continues large, and stocks are, in fact, accumulating.

A further roduction in the number of persons out of work was reported during June, and scarcity of labor continued to be noted, especially in the building trades. Certain districts also reported a scarcity of agricultural labor. Unemployment remained a factor only in those lines, such as textiles, coal, and transportation, in which labor difficulties exist.

Agricultural prospects are still very satisfactory for the country as a whole, although there has been a considerable deterioration in the condition of wheat and oats. Fruit crops are reported to be above the average, and the tobacco outlook in general excellent. The cotton crop shows some improvement during June, but it is still too early to estimate the amount of damage from the boll weevil.

In wholesale trade there was a general improvement in most lines during June. Grocery sales in particular were large and showed increases in all districts over last year. The majority of the districts likewise reported an increase in dry goods sales as compared with May. Boot and shoe sales declined during the month of June, and the situation in respect to hardware in most of the districts was not so satisfactory as in recent months, although generally better than a year ago. The volume of retail trade was well sustained during June, although slightly less than in May.

Financially there have been few new developments noted for the month. The Federal Reserve Banks of Dallas and San Francisco reduced the discount rate to $4 \frac{1}{2}$ and 4 per cent, respectively. Of much interest has been the announcement by the Treasury on July 26 calling for redemption on December 15, 1922, of approximately $\$ 1,000,000,000$ of the $4 \frac{3}{4}$ per cent Victory notes. Federal reserve bank portfolios show little change, while member bank loans, other than those secured by stocks and bonds, show a downward tendency. Foreign exchange rates have remained steady, except for the mark, which reached the lowest figure to date. The foreign trade figures for June show a substantial increase over May both for imports and exports.

## AGRICULTURE.

The average condition of winter wheat on July 1 was 77 , compared with a condition on June 1 of 81.9 and a condition of 77.2 on July 1,1921 , and is four points below the 10 -year average for July 1. The production estimate for the entire United States is $569,276,000$ bushels-practically $38,000,000$ bushols under the June 1 estimate. The major part of this loss occurred in district No. 10 (Kansas City), due to premature ripening and shriveling of the grain during the dry weather and to the difficulty of securing sufficient workers when the crop rapidly matured. The harvesting of spring wheat in district No. 8 (St. Louis) is completed. Early returns show uneven conditions, the chief source of complaint being the light weight of the grain, due here also to premature ripening. District No. 7 (Chicago) and district No. 12 (San Francisco) report deterioration of the crops during June. A long period of unusually dry weather in the latter district has seriously damaged the condition of spring wheat and has reduced the yield of winter wheat both in quality and quantity.

The condition of the oats crop has greatly deteriorated throughout the oats-growing section, and some fields have been abandoned. Corn has made good progress during June in district No. 8 (St. Louis) and in district No. 10 (Kansas City). In the latter district, however, the rains, which were badly needed in early July, did considerable damage through floods and soil washing, so that in spite of the increased acreage the yield will be less than in 1921. The barley harvest in California has been practically completed, and the yield is estimated at $36,720,000$ bushels, an increase of $7,020,000$ over last year's crop. The white potato crop is generally very good, and the sweet potato crop is unusually good in district No. 8 (St. Louis). The sugar-cane crop in Louisiana is estimated at 19 per cent less than last year, due to unfavorable weather conditions.

## COTTON.

During June weather conditions were favorable, and the cotton prospects improved in most districts. The price of middling upland cotton at New Orleans on July 12 was 21.7 cents, as compared with 19.4 cents on June 14. Cotton stores at mills and public warehouses on June 30 amounted to $3,268,000$ bales-about 41 per cent less than on June 30, 1921. The Texas acreage is estimated to be approximately 11 per cent greater than last year's, with a forecasted yield of $3,525,000$ bales, compared with a total production of $2,200,000$ bales in 1921.

In district No. 8 (St. Louis) cotton made good progress under improved weather conditions. Fields in this district, as a rule, show the results of intensive cultivation and are clean and clear of weed growth. Numerous reports of the presence of boll weevils have been received, but it is impossible as yet to determine the extent of the damage. District No. 10 (Kansas City) reports an increased acreage, while the condition of the crop indicates an improvement over the May condition and is a shade better than a year ago. The weevils appeared much earlier in this district than last year, but are being actively combated, and in some sections their number has decreased since thoir first appearance. The condition of the crop in district No. 6 (Atlanta) is less favorable than in May, due to excessive rains and the activities of the weevil. Tenncssee alone of the States in that district reports that the crop as a whole is in splendid condition-decidedly above the average. The Georgia crop has suffered the most, due to continuous rains which prevented cultivation, induced the growth of grass, and leached out much of the fertilizer.

## TOBACCO.

Reports from tobacco-growing districts are very favorable, and in district No. 5 (Richmond) the growth is well advanced. The slight damage from hoavy rains is confined to low and poorly drained ficlds. The estimated production from the increased acreage is 161,515,000 pounds. The South Carolina crop, which is at present being cured, is reported as of rather inferior quality, due to excessive moisture during the growing season. The burley tobacco crop in district No. 4 (Cleveland) is in excellent condition. It is believed that a large part of the crop will be cut in August, and early cutting means good quality. Practically all of the old burley has been sold and the farmers appear well satisfied with the work of the marketing association. A continuation of the June increase in business still prevails among the cigar manufacturers in district No. 3 (Philadelphia). The larger firms, especially those located in Philadelphia, and having a national distribution, report that their business has shown a decided improvement. Demand during the summer months is stimulated by a large vacation trade in the better grades of cigars. Orders are still very largely for immediate delivery, but there is a growing tendency on the part of jobbers and wholesalers to order ahead and return to the old practice of placing orders for regular weekly shipments.

FRUIT.
In district No. 12 (San Francisco) the warm weather has hastened the maturity of the fruit crops, and there have been no reductions in previously estimated yields. The estimates for the peach and pear crops are well above last year's production. Car-lot shipments of fresh deciduous fruits are expected to be unusually large this season, and grape growers estimate that they will require over 40,000 cars to move thoir crops. The apple prospoct in Georgia continues to improve and the production will probably be greater than for several years. The crop for the entire United States is cstimated at $94,239,000$ bushels, compared with the 1921 yiold of 63,612 bushels. The yield of Georgia peaches, however, has been less satisfactory than was anticipated a month ago. Production of watermelons is running above that of last year, due chiefly to increased acroage, and the cantaloupe production has been three or four times that of last year. The fruit crop in district No. 10 (Kansas City) is the best for several years and is bringing very large revenue to the growers. Prices of deciduous fruits are expected, however, to be slightly lower than in 1921, due to the abundant crop. The condition of oranges in Florida improved during June, but there was no similar improvement in the condition of grapefruit, which is below that of a year ago. Shipments of citrus fruits from California are somewhat smaller than during May and substantially less than in June last year. Prices of oranges are well above last year's price, but there is a reduction in prices of lemons.

## GRAIN MOVEMENTS.

Grain receipts at 17 interior centers totaled $78,018,402$ bushels, a decrease of 10 per cent compared with last month, but are still well above the April figure. Receipts of corn and barley increased, but there was a marked decline in receipts of wheat, oats, and rye, with flour receipts showing practically no change. In the cases of wheat, corn, and oats, receipts at Chicago register the most important changes and are responsible for the difference between total receipts this month and last, other increases and decreases practically offsetting each other. June wheat receipts at the 17 centers totaled $21,092,993$ bushels, a decrease of 30 per cent as compared both with May and with June, 1921. Receipts of corn during June amounted to $32,693,596$ bushels, an increase of 19.5 per cent compared with last month and a decrease of 12.8 per cent compared with last year. Although June wheat
receipts at four leading markets in district No. 10 (Kansas City) show decreases as compared with last month and with June, 1921, receipts for the wheat year 1921-22 ending June 30 show an increase of $23,734,350$ bushels, or 6.7 per cent over the receipts for the previous 1920-21 wheat year. "This increase reflects something of the activities of farmers in disposing of their wheat under the more favorable market prices of recent months." Grain prices, which continued to decline early in July, have shown a tendoncy to recover since the middle of the month.

## FLOUR.

Flour production during June was 8,136,000 barrels, compared with 8,073,000 barrels during May and $8,087,000$ barrels during June, 1921. In district No. 9 (Minneapolis), however, output decreased from 1,889,755 barrels in May to $1,829,635$ barrels in June, an increase of 7.1 per cent over June last year. The mills in district No. 10 (Kansas City) manufactured 1,535,506 barrels of flour in June, a decrease of 1.2 per cent compared with last month, but an increase of 7.8 per cent compared with June last year. Output of 11 leading mills in district No. 8 (St. Louis) was 223,428 barrels, or 23 per cent less than during May. Forty-two mills in district No. 7 (Chicago) produced 412,456 barrels, an increase of 12 per cent over last month and of 22.9 per cont over the corresponding period last year. In district No. 12 (San Francisco) production of 63 mills amounted to 480,738 barrels, compared with 520,800 barrels produced by 65 mills in May. Throughout June the demand for flour from all soures was quiet in district No. 8 (St. Louis). Domestic buyers there and in district No. 12 (San Francisco) were taking only what they neoded for immediate distribution. Reduced flour buying during the month is probably the result of an anticipated price decline, in response to falling wheat prices. Export interests have made some inquiries, especially since the first of July, for flour to be milled from the new crop, but in both districts contracting for future requirements has been unusually light.

## LIVE STOCK.

Movement of cattle, calves, and hogs to market during June was greater than during June, 1921, but the reverse was true of sheep. Receipts of cattle and calves at 15 western markets declined during June, but receipts of hogs and sheep this month were slightly larger than during May. Receipts of cattle and calves during June totaled 1,228,489 head, a decrease of 6 per cent compared with last month and an increase of 5 per cent compared
with June a year ago. June receipts of hogs were $2,865,301$ head, an 'increase of 3.6 per cent over May receipts and of 7 per cent over those of June, 1921. Receipts of sheep amounted to $1,038,519$ head, an increase of 11 per cent as compared with last month and a decrease of 8 per cent as compared with June last yoar. A survey recently completed by the United States Department of Agriculture shows a net increase of 14.5 per cent in the number of pigs raised in the Corn Belt States during the first half of 1922 as compared with the first half of 1921. It is suggested that this increase may overcome the present shortage in meat stocks. District No. 12 (San Francisco) reports that unusually hot and dry weather has caused a rapid detcrioration of pastures in the Pacific Northwest and in the Intermountain States during June, and hay crops in these sections will be light. At present, however, live stock is reported to be in good condition, dry grass and stubble forage affording a sufficient supply of feed for current needs.
Sales of 31 packers show an increase of 0.2 per cent in June as compared with May, and of 7.1 per cent as compared with June, 1921. A few companies report improvement in rural buying, but this has bcen offset to some extent by a falling off in sales in the coal mining districts. Storage stocks of cured meats and lard at principal packing points in the west show substantial increases over those on June 1, but are still below those for a year ago.

## COAL.

Production of bituminous coal in June amounted to $22,309,000$ tons, as compared with $20,290,000$ tons in May and $33,852,000$ tons in June, 1921. The steady acceleration of mining activity, which was the feature of the months of May and June, was not maintained during July, and there was a continuous decline in average daily production from the week ending June 24 to the week ending July 22. This decrease in output during July was largely due to congestion of railroad traffic at the mines of Kentucky and West Virginia, which is a result of the strike of railway shopmen. Demand for all grades of bituminous coal increased substantially after the reduction of freight rates on July 1. Railroads, steel mills, and cement plants have been particularly active buyers. High-grade steam coals have been in great demand, but are now difficult to obtain.

Anthracite production is still practically negligible on account of the strike, and consists mainly of river dredgings. The June output amounted to 84,000 tons, as compared
with 35,000 tons in May and 7,786,000 tons in June, 1921. The number of unbilled cars of anthracite at the mines was reduced from 2,815 on April 8 to 525 on July 8. All anthracite mine workers, except maintenance men, are reported by district No. 3 (Philadelphia) to be still on strike.
Stocks of both anthracite and bituminous coal have shrunk steadily since April 1 and are now very low. This condition is most serious in New England and the Northwest. District No. 1 (Boston) reports that hard coal stocks are nearly exhausted, except for pea sizes, while soft coal reserves are "dangerously low." Reports from district No. 9 (Minneapolis) indicate that stocks at Duluth and Superior on July 1 were only about one-third as large as a year ago, while stocks at Minneapolis and St. Paul were only one-fifth as great as on July 1, 1921.

By-product coke production increased from 2,537,000 tons in May to 2,580,000 tons in June, and is now very near the maximum monthly output. Beehive coke production also increased, and amounted to 458,000 tons in June, as compared with 432,000 tons in May. Prices of Connellsville furnace coke advanced from $\$ 6.50$ per ton on June 13 to $\$ 9.50$ per ton on July 11 .

## PETROLEUM.

Crude petroleum production continued through June and the first half of July at about the same high level as in May. The total flow of United States wells amounted to $45,247,000$ barrels for 30 days of June, as compared with $46,473,000$ barrels for 31 days of May. Drilling operations continued to increase during June, and the number of new wells completed totaled 1,662 in comparison with 1,511 in May. Stocks of oil continue to accumulate rapidly.
District No. 12 (San Francisco) reports little change in the June level of production from that prevailing in May, while the number of new wells completed increased from 64 to 68. In district No. 10 (Kansas City), also, drilling activity showed considerable acceleration and 753 wells, with 149,020 barrels of production, were completed in June, as compared with 669 wells which had a 144,780 barrel output in May. The number of new wells drilled in district No. 11 (Dallas), on the other hand, declined from 507 in May to 473 in June. In that district there has been a marked reduction in the output of the Mexia field, but the Pioneer field continues to add important new producing wells.

There has been some divergence in movement of crude-oil prices during July. On July

15 the leading refining company in California announced a general reduction of 25 cents per barrel in its buying prices for all grades of oil in the San Joaquin Valley and WhittierFullerton fields. A similar reduction of 25 cents per barrel was announced early in July for leading grades of mid-continent crude oil. District No. 11 (Dallas), on the other hand, reports an increase of 25 cents per barrel in Curry crude oil, and the prevalence of increased premiums for both Mexia and North Texas crude oil. Refinery prices for gasoline have shown a tendency to soften during July, but there has been an increase of 25 cents per barrel in the price of bunker oil at New York.

## IRON AND STEEL.

Conditions in the iron and steel industry have continued to be encouraging, although there is temporarily some curtailment of activity due to the coal and railroad strikes. Unfilled orders of the United States Steel Corporation increased 7.3 per cent during June and amounted to $5,635,531$ tons at the end of the month. June production of pig iron and steel ingots totaled 2,361,028 tons and 2,634,477 tons, respectively. This represented an increase in average daily output as compared with May, although the total production of steel ingots showed a slight decline. A number of blast furnaces were forced to bank during the third week in July, and operations of steel mills are reported to have slackened somewhat, due to the shortage of fuel and of shipping facilities. District No. 3 (Philadelphia) reports that one of the most encouraging factors in the present situation is the diversity of demand, while district No. 4 (Cleveland) states that in some lines, particularly certain types of steel bars, deliveries on new orders require three or four months. The continued activity of automobile factories has resulted in an active market for sheets, while builders continue to purchase large quantities of shapes. Railroad demand continues good, and orders for over 100,000 new cars were placed during the first six months of this year. The market for machinery and machine tools is improving, and the stocks of tools are being gradually reduced. Prices of most iron and steel products have advanced during July, while premiums are being paid for prompt delivery of certain grades of steel. Slight recessions have been reported, however, in certain grades of pig iron.

## AUTOMOBILES.

Automobile production continued to expand during June for the sixth consecutive
month. The output of passenger cars amounted to 262,384 , an increase of 13.2 per cent over May, while the June production of trucks totaled 25,372 , an increase of 9.4 per cent. Carload shipments registered a slight decline, but this was partly counterbalanced by increases in the number of driveaways and shipments by boat. Replacement buying continues to be an important factor in the enlarged demand. Reports from 230 dealers in district No. 8 (St. Louis) indicate that sales of new cars increased in both cities and rural districts, while the used-car market was stronger than in any previous month this year. There has boen a large increase in the percentage of closed cars to total passenger cars, and this has caused a very great improvement in the body industry. District No. 4 (Cleveland) reports that most of the increase in volume of truck production is confined to the three-quarter ton trucks.

## NONFERROUS METALS.

Production of all important nonferrous metals continued to increase during June and mine operations were, as a whole, on a larger scale than in any month since August, 1920. Copper production totaled $93,739,847$ pounds, which was 5.7 per cent in excess of the May output and 420 per cent larger than in June, 1921. Demand continues to be about sufficient to absorb the increased production, as is evidenced by a maintenance of the general selling price of electrolytic copper delivered at New York at about 13.875 cents per pound since early in June. There has recently, moreover, been considerable improvement in the average price received for copper exports. Domestic inquiries are generally for moderate tonnage, but orders are numerous.. Conditions in the zinc industry are also improving steadily, although the revival is much more gradual than in the case of copper. The June output of retorts was 28,547 tons, which was 4.1 per cent higher than in May and 48 per cent greater than in June, 1921. Stocks of zinc declined from 40,409 tons on May 31 to 29,576 tons on June 30, and are now less than the amount required for one month's consumption. The general selling price at St. Louis rose from 5.425 cents on June 14 to 5.80 cents on July 19. Shipments of zinc ore from district No. 10 (Kansas City) were slightly larger in June than in May, while the average price per ton rose from $\$ 29.51$ to $\$ 31.96$.

Pig lead production was about 1.6 per cent higher in June than in May. The market price at New York is unchanged at 5.75 cents per pound, while the price at St. Louis has shown a decided decline. District No. 10
(Kansas City) reports that the surplus stocks of lead ore were entirely exhaustèd during June. Drilling operations are under way in many parts of the district. June silver production amounted to $4,760,160$ ounces, an increase of 12 per cent over the May output.

## COTTON TEXTILES.

Cotton consumption and active spindles in June showed an increase over the May figures, and consumption was greater than during June of last year, but in July uncertainty as to the prospects for the new cotton crop and fluctuations in raw cotton prices have been deterrent influences in the cotton textile markets. In district No. 1 (Boston) more cotton was consumed in June than during any month since the strike started early in February, and, although the questions involved are not yet settled, the industry in that district is now operating at close to 70 per cent of maximum capacity. Uncertainty as to the raw cotton situation is discouraging future buying of cotton goods. Orders for yarns in district No. 3 (Philadelphia) are more numerous than they were prior to June 15, but individual sales are smaller in size. On the other hand, extreme quietness is reported to be the distinguishing feature of the cotton goods business in that district. The price question is also of importance there and in district No. 5 (Richmond). District No. 6 (Atlanta) reports that cotton cloth production in 35 mills was 8 per cent greater during June than in May and 46.2 per cent in excess of the June, 1921, output, but shipments were 13 per cent and orders 7.8 per cent smaller than during May. These items showed an increase, respectively, of 34 per cent and 65 per cent over the figures for last Junc. The situation among the yarn manufacturers was more favorable, and June production exceeded the May output by 30 per cent, while shipments were only 3.6 per cent less and orders were slightly larger than in May. As compared with June, 1921, all items showed marked betterment and production was 77.6 per cent, shipments 37 per cent, and orders over 40 per cent greater. Prices in general are firm and many items are advancing, reflecting the recent rise in the raw cotton market.

## COTTON FINISHING.

Reports from 35 of the 58 members of the National Association of Finishers of Cotton Fabrics show an increase in total finished yards billed from $92,254,873$ yards in May to $99,874,093$ in June. The improvement occurred in all three of the groups, namely,
white goods, dyed goods, and printed goods. Orders received increased slightly, whereas shipments fell off a little. There was an average of 9.4 days' work ahead at the end of the month as compared with 9.1 days at the end of June. The greatest increases in total finished yards billed occurred in district No. 1 (Boston), and the average percentage of capacity operated during the month declined in all reporting districts except No. 1 (Boston) and No. 8 (St. Louis).

## WOOLEN TEXTILES.

The active buying noted in the raw-wool market during May, when the domestic clip was coming into the market, has subsided. District No. 1 (Boston), however, reports that a fair amount of wool is still being sold each week. In district No. 3 (Philadelphia) trading is reported to be extremely quiet. District No. 7 (Chicago) also reports a decline in actual sales, although shipments of raw wool from Chicago are larger than in May. From district No. 10 (Kansas City) comes the information that sheep growers have been selling their wool this season at prices ranging around 40 cents a pound as compared with 11 cents, the prevailing quotation in the spring of 1921 . It is generally reported, however, from the central markets that the recent rapid price advances have been halted, and some moderate recessions have occurred since the latter part of June.

The event of chief importance in the woolengoods market was the announcement on July 17 of prices on spring lines by the largest producer. The opening quotations, reflecting the recent advances in raw wool to only a small extent, were on the whole a little higher than those at last year's opening, but were lower on many lines than prices prevailing more recently. The woolen industry in district No. 1 (Boston) is operating at over 80 per cent of capacity, which is less than last summer and is at a much lower rate than that prevailing during the early months of this year. In district No. 3 (Philadelphia) there has been a slight improvement in the demand for worsteds and a decline in that for woolens, but woolen mills are operating at 80 per cent of capacity, whereas the worsted output is only about 50 per cent of the possible maximum. The third district further reports that the yarn markets are inactive. Yarn prices have been unchanged since July 1. District No. 7 (Chicago) reports that manufacturers are receiving a satisfactory volume of orders for fall delivery, although less than usual at this time of the year.

Department of Commerce statistics indicate a slight increase in production in June, as the percentage of idle machine hours on July 1 to total hours reported continued the decline noted during the previous month. For looms wider than 50 -inch reed space there was a decline in the percentage of idle hours to total from 37.6 on June 1 to 36.2 on July 1. For smaller looms the percentage fell from 44.8 to 40.1 . The rate of decline in idle hours was practically the same for both woolen and worsted spindles. The former fell from 11.4 to 10.1 per cent and the latter from 34.7 to 31.5 per cent of the total reported. Despite improvement within the last two months, manufacturing activity, as indicated by the above figures, is still less than that prevailing during the 12 months prior to April of this year, except in the case of woolen spindles, which are more active than at any time within the last two years.

## CLOTHING.

Inactivity generally prevailed in the clothing trade during June and July. In district No. 2 (New York), sales by 21 firms in June were 93 per cent of those of June, 1921. The position of the trade in that district has also declined since last month, as May sales were 114 per cent of those during the corresponding month of 1921. Sales of men's clothing by 8 firms were 9 per cent less in June than they were last June, but those of women's clothing by 14 firms were only 2 per cent less. Manufacturers, however, report that orders are now beginning to be placed in larger volume. In district No: 7 (Chicago) there was an increase in the production of men's clothing by 5 firms of 173 per cent over May, but a decline of 20 per cent in the number of suits shipped. As compared to June, 1921, there were decreases of 20.7 per cent in production and 11.6 per cent in shipments. Orders for fall received this season were 18.8 per cent less than those booked during the corresponding period last year. Seven tailors to the trade in that district reported a falling off from May of 31 per cent in orders, 27 per cent in production, and 29 per cent in shipments, but as compared to last June there were increases of approximately 40 per cent in all three of these items. Clothing firms in district No. 8 (St. Louis) report that orders for future delivery are in excess of those of last year.

## SILK TEXTILES.

Raw silk consumption fell from 33,284 bales in May to 29,529 bales in June. This figure is also smaller than that for last June, 31,192 bales, but exceeds the amount consumed during
any month since last October, with the exception of January and May. The advance in prices of raw silk noted in June caused a lull in buying, according to reports from district No. 3 (Philadelphia), and since July 10 a decline in quotations has resulted.

Broad silk manufacturers in district No. 3 (Philadelphia) are beginning to receive more orders as jobbers' stocks, which have been large for some months, become smaller. Most orders continue to be for delivery immodiately or in the near future. Production has also increased in that district, and plant operations now - verage 50 per cent of capacity as compared with 40 per cent during the previous month. Manufacturers' stocks are large because of continued production during the period in which jobbers were supplying retailers' demands.
Statistics from Paterson show that operations on July 15 amounted to 24.83 per cent of total available loom hours, as compared with 24.25 per cent on July 1, 21.39 on June 17, and 42.54 on July 16, 1921. Out of a total of 15,000 looms 3,993 were operating on July 15. In the north Hudson section, 2,048 looms from a total of 4,115 were producing on July 15, and the percentage of loom hours operated to the total was 45.23 , to be compared with 51.65 per cent a year before. No material change occurred in this percentage during the preceding month.

## HOSIERY.

New business received by hosiery manufacturers in July fell below the volume of the preceding month. Reports from district No. 3 (Philadelphia) indicate that manufacturers of woolen and full-fashioned silk hosiery are not affected by the present dullness as much as are producers of seamless silk and artificial silk hosiery, because the former were booked to capacity for the next three months, whereas the latter have nearly filled the few contracts they have which call for future delivery. June figures for the Philadelphia district in the case of 36 firms selling to the wholesale trade show little change in production as compared with May, a slight reduction in finished stocks, increases of 10 per cent in orders booked and of 6 per cent in shipments, and a decline of 16 per cent in unfilled orders on hand at the end of the month. All items are larger than were the coresponding figures for June, 1921. The 12 firms selling to the retail trade reported increases of 13 per cent in production, 15 per cent in orders, 9 per cent in shipments, and 20 per cent in unfilled orders on hand as compared to the May figures, with a decline of 8 per cent in finished product on hand. Production was

31 per cent greater than during June, 1921, and stocks were larger by 38 per cent; orders and shipments showed little or no change, but unfilled orders were nearly 30 per cent less than they were a year ago. Cancellations for both groups of firms were smaller in June than during May.
Reports from four mills in district No. 6 (Atlanta) reflect a decline in business said to be usual during the summer months. Manufactures were smaller than in May by 5.5 per cent, orders booked declined 20 per cent and unfilled orders on hand 4 per cent, whereas stocks of hosiery were 2.3 per cent and of raw material 34 per cent larger than at the end of May. Increases over June, 1921, ranged from 22 per cent in production to 56 per cent in unfilled orders. Finished stocks were slightly smaller than a year ago.

## UNDERWEAR.

Production of underwear during June by 47 producers totaled 564,893 dozens, which was an increase of 46,743 dozens over the May output of the same number of mills, and 5,302 dozens over the figures for 60 mills in June, 1921. The output for June of this year was only 72.2 per cent of normal, a decline from 74.2 per cent in May, but an improvement over June, 1921, in which month production was only 65.5 per cent of normal. Manufactures of winter underwear increased from 298,080 dozens in May to 345,605 during June. On the other hand, the output of summer garments was only 219,288 dozens, a nominal reduction from the previous month, which is, of course, to be expected at this time of the year.
Comparative reports for 26 mills show a loss in new orders booked, which, together with an increase of 54,524 dozens in shipments, caused a decline in the volume of unfilled orders on hand at the end of the month. All of these items showed exactly opposite tendencies during May. Cancellations decreased further. The percentage of new orders received in June to normal production for that month, as reported by 38 mills, was 77.9 per cent; shipments were 66.5 per cent; cancellations, 1.9 per cent, and production, 80.5 per cent of the normal production for these same mills.

Returns from 14 firms making summer underwear in district No. 3 (Philadelphia) show a decrease in production during June of 40 per cent below May and 55 per cent below June, 1921. Orders booked, however, increased 35 per cent and shipments fell off 30 per cent as compared with May, resulting in an increase of unfilled orders on hand of 53 per cent. Orders booked were 20 per cent greater in volume than
during last June, whereas shipments were 55 per cent and unfilled orders 47 per cent less than the June, 1921, figures. Seven firms producing winter underwear report increases in June over the May figures of 7.5 per cent in output, 21 per cent in finished stocks, and 145 per cent in orders, which with only a 47 per cent growth in shipments resulted in a 15 per cent larger volume of unfilled orders on hand. The better position of the group making winter garments is, of course, seasonal. Prices for fall weights are firm and generally higher than the opening quotations of last January.

## shoes and leather.

Sales of hides and skins were large during the first three weeks of July and prices of Chicago packer hides have continued their strong upward swing which began in April. Calfskin prices have advanced even more rapidly than hides, and goatskins have been sold during July at prices from 10 to 20 per cent higher than those prevailing in the early part of June.

Demand for leather continued to improve during June and July. Prices have advanced from 5 to 15 per cent, and the volume of both domestic and foreign sales has increased. The improvement has been shared by almost all branches of the industry, but is perhaps most pronounced for calf, kid, and glove leathers. District No. 3 (Philadelphia) reports that prices of all heavy leather are from 2 to 3 cents per pound higher than in June. Leather belting sales continue to increase, reflecting a general improvement in industrial activity.

The volume of shoe production in June showed a seasonal decline of about 5 per cent for the country as a wholo, and amounted to $25,559,094$ pairs. Reports from eight important concerns in district No. 1 (Boston) indicate that the output of New England factories was about 0.7 per cent larger than in May and 19.1 per cent larger than in June, 1921. Shipments and new orders of seven fof these reporting firms increased 12 per cent and 5.3 per cent, respectively, above the figures for May. In district No. 3 (Philadelphia) 46 manufacturers report reductions of 8.6 per cent in production and 9.8 per cent in shipments during June, but new orders were 17 per cent larger than those received in May. Orders for early autumn delivery continued to be placed in considerable volume during July, and factories are roported to be better supplied with business than for several months. Production of 25 shoe firms in district No. 7 (Chicago) increased
6.1 per cent during June, but the volume of shipments continued to decline. There was a substantial increase in the volume of unfilled orders. June sales of shoes reported by 11 manufacturers in district No. 8 (St. Louis) were from 3 to 14 per cent larger than in May, while the volume of new orders was much stimulated by an increasing firmness in prices. Factory operation in that district was at from 92 to 100 per cent of capacity.

## LUMBER.

Lumber cut, shipments, and new orders were all slightly less in June than in May, but were each about 50 per cent larger than in June, 1921. The total cut of the slightly varying number of mills which report to the National Lumber Manufacturers Association was about 1,032,868,000 feet in June, as compared with $1,055,854,000$ feet in May and $748,680,000$ feet in June, 1921. The volume of new orders reported weekly to the association has shown a downward tendency since the latter part of May and only amounted to $161,756,252$ feet in the week ending July 8, as compared with $301,837,237$ feet in the week ending May 20. Railroad shipments of forest products also registered a moderate decline in June, aggregating. 271,358 cars, as compared with 273,204 cars in May.

Conditions in the lumber industry of district No. 12 (San Francisco) continued to improve during June, and both production and shipments of 194 reporting mills were higher than in May. New orders were less than the cut for the first time this year. Lumber was shipped in large quantities from the Pacific Northwest to the Atlantic Coast States and southern California, but there was a considerable reduction in foreign shipments. Log production is now reported to amount to about 95 per cent of normal capacity, and present prices for logs are the highest in the history of the industry.

District No. 6 (Atlanta) reports that the cut of 117 mills belonging to the Southern Pine Association aggregated 308,552,342 feet in June, as compared with $346,992,585$ feet cut by 122 mills in May. Excessive rainfall has greatly hindered logging in certain sections and has forced some mills to reduce operations. The production of 41 reporting southern pine mills in district No. 11 (Dallas) increased slightly during June, while orders and shipments were slightly smaller than in May. Prices of southern pine have continued steady with a few minor reductions.

Production of reporting lumber manufacturers in district No. 9 (Minneapolis) was some-
what smaller in June than in May, while shipments and orders both increased. The physical volume of lumber sold by 18 reporting retail companies in that district was 26 per cent larger than in May and 8 per cent larger than in June, 1921.

## buIlding.

Building operations continued at a record rate throughout June in almost all sections of the United States. The value of contracts awarded in seven Federal reserve districts (compiled from statistics gathered by the F. W. Dodge Co.) amounted to $\$ 311,688,517$ in June, as compared with $\$ 323,044,164$ in May and $\$ 207,985,005$ in June, 1921. In four of these seven districts there was some decline in the value of contracts let, but June contracts for district No. 7 (Chicago) totaled more than in any month since October, 1919; while figures for district No. 3 (Philadelphia) were the largest since April, 1920. Residential building reached a new high level during June, and contracts awarded for such purposes in seven Federal reserve districts aggregated \$128,632,327 , which was 86 per cent greater than in June, 1921, 218 per cent greater than in June, 1920, and 34 per cent greater than in June, 1919. Construction of houses and apartments is now proceeding at a rate which is considerably higher than is necessary to care for the estimated annual growth in population. However, as a result of the accumulated shortage of the war years, the present rate would have to be maintained several years before housing accommodations could be restored to as high a level as that existing in 1914. Statistics of number and value of building permits issued in 166 cities are published on page 985.

District No. 1 (Boston) reports that building continued to be very active during July, and that the total volume of construction under way in New England is larger than for several years. The cost of construction is slowly rising, as a result of increased costs for labor and materials. Higher building costs are also reported from district No. 3 (Philadelphia), but they have not as yet resulted in any noticeable curbing of activity. District No. 4 (Cleveland) reports an unprecedented volume of suburban house building. A number of large business structures are under way in Pittsburgh and Columbus. Building operations in district No. 8 (St. Louis) have been somewhat retarded by delayed deliveries of materials. A shortage of skilled workers in building crafts is reported from many sections of the country.

## EMPLOYMEAT.

Employment conditions continued to improve during June and the first two weeks of July, despite a considerable amount of voluntary unemployment due to strikes in the coal, railroad, and textile industries. The supply of agricultural labor seems about sufficient to meet the demand for the country as a whole, but a scarcity has developed in certain sections. There has also been a shortage of skilled or semiskilled workers in the building trades, railroad equipment plants, machine and tool factories, textile mills, and lumber plants.

District No. 1 (Boston) reports that the demand for men in the metal-working industry is very large and that the supply of dependable farm labor is totally inadequato to meet current needs. There has bcen some decline in the call for men skilled in the building trades, and a surplus of carpenters, painters, and paper hangers is reported from Springfield. Reports from district No. 2 (New York) indicate a growing scarcity of unskilled workers, whereas there are still more applicants than vacant positions in the clerical and skilled manual occupations. The New York State Department of Labor reports that employment in factories throughout the State increased 2 pcr cent, and that average wage payments also continued to increase. The most important increase in employment was noted at railroad equipment factories and repair shops. The New York Merchants Association estimate the number of unemployed in New York City during June at about 295,000 , a decline of 14 per cent since October, 1921. In district No. 3 (Philadelphia) the Pennsylvania State Department of Labor estimates that 82,400 persons were unemployed on July 15 in the cities of Altoona, Harrisburg, Johnsiown, Philadelphia, Scranton, and Williamsport, a decrease of 20.8 per cent from the total on June 15. Labor shortages are reported in the steel, building, and textile industries.
District No. 5 (Richmond) reports that textile mills, lumber plants, tobacco factories, and furniture factories are employing approximately their average number of workers, while there is a shortage of labor in certain of the building trades. The number of employed has increased in all the leading cities of district No. 6 (Atlanta). There has been a substantial increase in employment at Alabama and Tennessee coal mines, while operations of Mississippi lumber mills are deterred by a shortage of labor.

Reports from 173 firms in district No. 7 (Chicago) show that there was an increase of 6.1 per cent in the number employed during June, while the average pay per worker increased 2.5 per cent. The largest gain in employment was reported by railway repair shops, while the most marked decline occurred at box and container factories. Some difficulty is being experienced in obtaining a sufficient number of bricklayers and plasterers. District No. 8 (St. Louis) reports a scarcity of both skilled and unskilled workers in the steel and building industries, but states that there has been a satisfactory supply of farm laborers at the same wage rates as prevailed last year. A strong demand is reported from district No. 9 (Minneapolis) for plasterers, plumbers, and electricians and for permanent farm labor.

A survey of 311 industrial plants in district No. 10 (Kansas City) on July 1 shows that there was very little change in the number employed from that on June 1. The unemployed in the cities have been absorbed by the harvest and threshing demand of rural districts. District No. 11 (Dallas) reports increased employment of metal miners, as a result of the reopening of several mines in Arizona and New Mexico. District No. 12 (San Francisco) states that the number of men on the pay rolls of 40 manufacturing firms in Los Angeles, Portland, San Francisco, and Seattle was 43,410 on June 30 , an increase of 1.6 per cent as compared with figures for May 31. An improvement is noted in the demand for both skilled and unskilled workers in the agricultural, lumber, and building industries.

WHOLESALE TRADE.
Percentage of Increase (or Decrease) in Net Sales in June, 1922, as Compared with the Preceding Month (May, 1922).
[Minus sign ( - ) denotes deerease.]

| District. | Groceries. |  | Dry goods. |  | Hardware. |  | Boots and shoes. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per cent. | Number of firms. | Per cent. | Num- <br> ber of <br> firms. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { cent. } \end{aligned}$ | Number of firms. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { cent. } \end{aligned}$ | Number of firms. |
| No. 2. | 14.1 | 42 | 2.1 | 8 | -4.6 | 11 | 3.6 | 10 |
| No. 3. | 9.2 | 51 | 8.3 | 14 | -7.5 | 28 |  |  |
| No. 4. | 11.8 | 25 | 4.8 | 13 | -0.8 | 12 |  |  |
| No. $5 .$. No. 6. | 0.7 -1.3 | 44 34 | 9.5 -4.6 | ${ }_{23}^{16}$ | -4.0 -4.1 | ${ }_{21}^{18}$ | -2.8 -9.4 | 19 |
| No. 7. | 15.2 | 40 | 6.8 | 12 | 1.5 | 21 | -3.1 | 10 |
| No. 9. | 18.2 | 42 | 14.0 | 2 | 8.1 | 11 | -11.6 | 5 |
| No. 10. | 9.4 | 12 | 16.0 | 6 | 6.0 | 13 |  |  |
| No. 11. | 3.1 | 12 | -2.8 | 12 | -1.8 | 11 |  |  |
| No. $12 .$. | 19.9 | 30 | 1.5 | 16 | 7.8 | 21 | 0.7 | 16 |

Pergentage of Increase (or Decrease) in Net Saties in June, 1922, as Compared with the Same Month Last Year (June, 1921).


Improvement in sales of wholesale groceries occurred during June in every reporting district with the exception of district No. 6 (Atlanta), where there was a slight decline as compared to the May figures. Some of this increase is attributed by district No. 3 (Philadelphia) to the active demand for sugar for preserving and canning. There has also been a substantial rise in the price of this staple. An encouraging feature of the June returns is the increase over last June reported in every district. This is the first time since 1920 for some districts that sales have been larger than in the corresponding month of the previous year. This, too, may be attributed to the better demand for sugar and, according to district No. 2 (New York), to the higher level of prices.

Dry goods sales also improved in June, except in districts No. 6 (Atlanta) and No. 11 (Dallas), whereas in comparison with figures for last June increases and decreases were evenly divided among the reporting districts, with the size of the changes heavier in case of the declines. Hardware sales receded or improved only slightly in most sections of the country. Districts No. 9 (Minneapolis), No. 10 (Kansas City), and No. 12 (San Francisco), however, report substantial improvement in June sales over those for May. District No. 3 (Philadelphia) attributes the falling off in June sales to the coal strike and states that dull business in July is also reported. Credit is generally given to the present building boom for the larger volume of hardware sales this June as compared with June, 1921, which is found in all districts except No. 5 (Richmond) and No. 6 (Atlanta).

Wholesale business in boots and shoes declined during June infour out of the six reporting districts. Thisfalling off in sales is said to be seasonal by district No. 6 (Atlanta), as the booking of fall orders has not yet begun. Sales are also smaller than they were last June in every dis-
trict except No. 7 (Chicago), where there was an increase of 17 per cent, which, however, was the first gain in this item since January and is attributed to improvement among the larger stores. Drug sales show slight and varying changes among the reporting districts, ranging from an increase of 5.6 per cent for five stores in district No. 10 (Kansas City) to a decrease of 5.5 per cent for 15 stores in district No. 3 (Philadelphia). They are larger than they were last June in all districts except No: 5 (Richmond), No. 10 (Kansas City), and No. 11 (Dallas). Furniture sales increased 12 per cent for 14 stores in district No. 12 (San Francisco) and slightly in district No. 5 (Richmond), but fell off in No. 6 (Atlanta), No. 10 (Kansas City), and No. 11 (Dallas). A substantial improvement as compared with June, 1921, is noted for all reporting districts. Farm implements are in much better demand than a year ago, but June sales were materially below those of May.

## RETAIL TRADE.

Distribution at retail continued in large volume during June, but was on a smaller scale than in May. The decrease under last June's sales was due partly to unfavorable weather, but particularly to the coal and railroad strikes. Vacation needs have been a factor in the purchasing for the month, and the increases in residential building have been reflected in the buying of house furnishings. In agricultural districts the excellent crop prospects have increased the purchasing power of the farmers during the past few months.

Reports from 464 department stores in the United States indicate a decrease of 1.3 per cent in the dollar value of sales as compared with a year ago. Reference to the table on page 987 shows trade in district No. 7 (Chicago) to have registered the most important increase, 3.9 per cent, while the trade of district No. 11 (Dallas) suffered a decrease of 10.2 per cent. The selling value of stocks on hand at the end of June throughout the United States was 1.4 per cent less than on the same date a year ago and 5.3 per cent less than at the end of May, 1922. The rate of turnover has improved in spite of the decreased sales, as the ratio of stocks to sales is 405.1 as compared with 426.8 for last month. The increasing ratio of outstanding orders to purchases for 1921 reflects the continued placing of fall orders.

## PRICES.

The Federal Reserve Board index number of wholesale prices for June stood at 162. This is 4 points higher than the index for May, and represents an increase of 2.5 per cent.

Cotton, cattle, lumber, pig iron, coke, and coal show a marked rise in price. Slight increases have also taken place in hides, leather, tobacco, cement, silk, and yarns. Prices of grains have dropped decidedly, while nonferrous metals have remained unchanged.

The Bureau of Labor Statistics index rose in June to 150 , an increase of 2 points. The largest gains among groups of commodities are fuel and lighting ( 9 points) and building materials ( 7 points). Foods, cloths and clothing, and metals also increased. Farm products fell 1 point, while chemicals and house furnishing goods did not change.

## FOREIGN TRADE.

The official returns for June disclose a substantial gain over the preceding month in the value of exports, while a somewhat smaller increase is reported for imports. Specifically, exports rose from $\$ 308,000,000$ in May to $\$ 334,000,000$ in June, and for the same months imports increased from $\$ 253,000,000$ to $\$ 260,000,000$. The excess of exports over imports for June amounted, therefore, to $\$ 74,000,000$, which is larger than the export balance in any previous month since last October, with the exception of April, when the balance was approximately $\$ 100,000,000$. In the fiscal year ending with June, exports were valued at $\$ 3,770,000,000$, as compared with $\$ 6,517,000,000$ in the previous fiscal year. This reduction by nearly 50 per cent in the value of our export trade is partly accounted for by the lower price level prevailing in 1921-22. There has nevertheless been a material shrinkage in the volume of our overseas trade. Imports have also diminished in value, the total for the fiscal year just closed being $\$ 2,608,000,000$ as against $\$ 3,654,000,000$ in 1920-21.

## Fiduciary Powers Granted to National Banks.

During the month of July the Federal Reserve Board approved applications of the national banks listed below for permission to exercise one or more of the fiduciary powers named in section $11(\mathrm{k})$ of the Federal reserve act as amended, as follows:

1. Trustee.
2. Executor.
3. Administrator.
4. Registrar of stocks and bonds.
5. Guardian of estates.
6. Assignee.
7. Receiver.
8. Committee of estates of lunatics.
9. In any other fiduciary capacity in which State banks, trust companies, or other corporations which come into competition with national banks are permitted to act under the laws of the State in which the bank is located.

The numerals opposite the name of each bank indicate the power or powers it is authorized to exercise, as given below:

| Place. | Dis- <br> trict <br> No. | Name of bank. | Powers. granted. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jarchmont, N. Y... | 2 | Larehmont National Bank | 1 to 9. |
| New York, N. Y.... | 2 | Iincoln Nalional Bank.... | 1 to 9. |
| Riverton, N.J...... | 3 | Cinnaminson National 3ank. | 1 to 9. |
| Philadelphia, Pa... | 3 | Southwestern National Bank. | 1 to 8. |
| Stroudsburg, Pa.... | 3 | Stroudsburg National Bank. | 1 to 9. |
| Lexington, Ky..... | 4 | Phoenix National Bank \& Trust Co. | 1 to 9. |
| Raleigh, N. C....... | 5 | Citizens National Bank... | 1 to 9. |
| Aurora, Ill .. . . . . . | 7 | Merchants National Bank. | 1 to 9. |
| Freeport, Ill. | 7 | Second National Bank...- | 1 to 9. |
| Washington, Iowa.. | 7 | Washington National Bank. | 5 to 8. |
| Corning, Ark....... | 8 | First National Bank...... | 1 to 9. |
| Columbia, Ky...... | 8 | - ....do..... | 1 to 3, 5 to 8. |
| Sodalia. Mo........ | 8 | Third National Jank | 1 to 3,5 to 7. |
| Bismarcik, N. Dak... | 9 | First National Jank. | 1 and 9 . |
| Durango, Colo...... | 10 | Burns National Bank | 1 to 7. |
| Okanogan, Wash... | 12 | First National Bank. ..... | 1 to 9. |
| Rosalia, Wash...... | 12 | Whitman County National Bank. | 1 to 9. |

## State Banks and Trust Companies.

## ADMISSIONS.

The following list shows the State banks and trust companies which were admitted to membership in the Federal Reserve System during the month ending July 31, 1922, on which date 1,655 State institútions were members of the system:

|  | Capital. | Surplus. | Total resources. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District No. 3. |  |  |  |
| IIightstown Trust Co., Hightstown, N.J. | \$100,000 | \$16,000 | \$819, 853 |
| District No. 6. |  |  |  |
| Planters Bank, Carlton, Ga............ | 25,000 | 3,000 | 92,732 |
| Farmers Bank of Crawford, Crawford, | 100,000 | 50,000 |  |
| Bank of Locust Grove, Locust Grove, |  |  | 544,317 |
|  | 25,000 | 5,000 | 129, 722 |

Consolidation and change of name.-The First State Savings Bank, Evart, Mich., a member bank, and the Evart Savings Bank of Evart, a nonmember bank, have consolidated under the name of Evart State Bank, which continues membership.
Converted into national banks, Northwestorn State Bank, Bellingham, Wash.; First State Bank, Garfield, Wash.; Citizens Bank, Emporia, Va. Insolvent. Stockmens State Bank, Browning, Mont.
Withdrawals.-Midwest Reserve Trust Co., Kansas City, Mo.; Battery
Park Bank, Asheville, N. C. Park Bank, Asheville, N. C.
Change of name.-Jenkintor
Change of name.-Jenkintown Trust Co., Jenkintown, Pa., to Jenkintown Bank \& Trust Co; Old Dominion Trust Co., Richmond, Va.,
to State \& City Bank \& Trust Co. to State \& City Bank \& Trust Co.

## Commercial Failures Reported.

A further narrowing of the margin of increase in number of failures over those of last year has recently been witnessed, the 1,205 defaults reported to R. G. Dun \& Co. during three weeks of July comparing with a total of 985 for the corresponding period of 1921 . The returns for June, the latest month for which complete statistics are available, disclose 1,740 commercial insolvencies in the

United States for $\$ 38,242,450$ of liabilities. The number of June failures is less than that of all months since last October, though being considerably in excess of the 1,320 defaults of June, last year, and the indebtedness is the smallest of all months back to last September. In June, 1921, the liabilities aggregated $\$ 34,639,370$. Separated according to Federal reserve districts, the June statement reveals numerical increases, as compared with last year's returns, in all districts except the third district, while the indebtedness is larger in the first, second, fifth, seventh, ninth, and twelfth districts.

Famures During June.


## New National Bank Charters.

The Comptroller of the Currency reports the following increases and reductions in the number and capital of national banks during the period from June 24 to July 28, 1922, inclusive:

${ }_{1}$ Includos 1 reduction in capital aggregating $\$ 2,000,000$ incident to consolidation under act of Nov. 7, 1918.

## Acceptances to $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ Per Cent.

Since the issuance of the July Bulletin the following banks have been authorized by the Federal Reserve Board to accept draits and bills of exchange up to 100 per cent of their capital and surplus:
Republic National Bank, Dallas, Tox.
-Central National Bank, Richmond; Va.

## Chattanooga Discontinued as Reserve City.

Effective August 1, the designation of Chattanooga as a reserve city has been terminated.

## INTERNATIONAL PRICE INDEXES.

## The French Index Number. ${ }^{1}$

The fourth in the scrios of wholesale price indexes, being constructed by the Federal Reserve Board for purposes of international comparison, traces the course of prices in France from January, 1920, up to the present time. The indexes previously published in this series, those for the United States, Great Britain, and Canada, include 1919, but the industrial disorganization which prevailed in France in that year made it impractical to compute the French index before the beginning of 1920 .

The purpose of this series of index numbers is to provide a more accurate means of comparing changes in the level of wholosale prices on an international basis, by constructing price indexes for several different countries according to a uniform plan, thus eliminating any variations in the series which might be due to differences in construction. The method which has been used in the construction of the Federal Reserve Board's price indexes has been explained in previous issues of the Buleetrn. ${ }^{2}$ Each index in this series is a weighted arithmotic average of from 90 to 100 price quotations for about 70 commoditics. The weights represent the quantity of production and trade for each commodity in 1913 in the country to which the index applies; for example, the indexes of "goods imported" represent the relationship of the quantity of goods imported in 1913 at their prices in that year to the same quantity of goods at their prices in 1920 and the succeeding yoars. When this method of weighting was chosen it was recognized that important changes had occurred in the quantity of production and trade since 1913, but it was felt that the situation in that year was more nearly typical of the normal industrial life of the countries concerned than in any year since that time. Another and very important reason for using the 1913 figures as weights is that complete production figures are not available for any postwar year.
The "All commodities indexes" represent the successive values of "goods produced" plus "goods imported." Six group indexes are regularly published for each country, giving price variations for (1) raw materials, (2) producers' goods, (3) consumers' goods, (4) goods imported, (5) goods produced, and (6) goods exported. The weights for each one of these groups have been checked, wherever possible,

[^1]by multiplying the given quantity figure by the 1913 commodity price and comparing the result with pre-war value figures obtained from other sources, and, if necessary, revising the quantity figure. In certain cases the weights for semifinished goods have been increased for the purpose of giving representation to highly manufactured products for which price quotations are not available. In weighting hay and certain other agricultural products (in the case of the French index these include live stock, meat, and potatocs) estimates of the amounts leaving the farms, rather than the actual production figures, have been used as weights.

## TII CONSTRUCTION OF THE FRFNCH INDEX.

In the case of France, the weighting of a wholesale price index with 1913 production and trade figures is especially open to question. The change wrought by the war in French industrial life was greater than that which occurred in the United States, England, or Canada. On that account allowances have been made to include the production, imports, and exports of Alsace-Lorraine in the case of raw cotton, cotton manufactures, iron ore, iron and soft steel products, potash, and coal. On the other hand, the omission of flax from the import group, woolen yarn from the "goods produced" group, and wheat and wheat flour from the "goods exported" group testify to the changes which have taken place in the business life of France itself in the last eight years. The only other deviation from the 1913 figures occurs in the weights for linen yarn, which have been reduced by two-thirds in the "goods produced" and "goods exported" groups. This change seemed advisable because of the fact that France is still to a great extent cut off from her normal supply of Russian flax and because many of the linen yarn producers in the Lille district have not yet returned to their pre-war productive capacity.

The Federal Reserve Board French index number is made up of 39 raw materials price quotations, 36 price quotations of producers' (somifinished) goods, and ${ }^{2} 23$ price quotations for consumers' (finished): 'goods. The mechanism of price reporting was not so well developed in France in 1914 as in the United States and England, and the reporting services which did exist at that time were badly disrupted by war, so that the task of collecting prices for France has been a particularly difficult one. The
board is indebted to the Bulletin de la Statistique Générale for certain price quotations for 1920 which appeared in its January, 1921, issue and which are not available elsewhere. All the quotations used in the index (with two exceptions) have been obtained from published sources. The broad-silk quotations (those for tafeta and mousseline) are furnished by a private firm in Lyon, through the courtesy of the American consul in that city. The most conspicuous omissions from the index, aside from those mentioned above, are shoes, woolen cloth, and linen cloth. It has been impossible to obtain regular quotations for these commodities either before or since the war.
Practically all of the production figures used in weighting the French index have been obtained from three publications of the French Government, the Annuaire Statistique de la France, the Statistique Agricole Annuelle de la France, and the Rapport Général sur l'Industrie Francaise; sa Situation, son Avenir, published by the French Ministry of Commerce in 1919. Production figures for finished and semifinished manufactured products have in most cases been obtained from the last-named volume. French statisticians have criticized the figures of the Rapport Général as being incomplete, but the fact remains that they furnish the best information obtainable in regard to French production in many lines. The production figures for butter and checse are estimates based on the number of milch cows in France, since there has been no census of dairy products in France since 1892.
The import and export weights represent the "general trade" of France (minus the transit trade when that item is of any importance). This method was used in making up the import and export weights in order to include the reexport trade and yet to eliminate goods which are shipped through France without actually entering into French trade. The following tables list the price quotations which make up the various groups of the French index. The least satisfactory is the export group, for the reason that French export trade is so largely made up of highly manufactured commodities that do not lend themselves readily to price comparisons over a period of years. In 1913 the value of the commodities included in the export index totaled only 33 per cent of total French exports in that year, as compared with 62 per cent in the case of the import index.
A. GOODS PRODUCED.

| Commodity. | Grade. | Unit. | Market. | Source. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Weight } \\ \text { (000's } \\ \text { omitted). } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. Raw materials. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wheat | Nalive, red. | 100 kilos. | Chartres. | Bulletin des Halles, Bourses ot | 70,000 |
| Rye | For grinding | do | do |  | 12,700 |
| Oats | Gray.. | do | do............ | do............................... | 51,800 |
| Barloy | Natire, for grinding | do. | Brieno |  | 10,400 |
| Corn. | Native |  | Brienon- | do............................... | 15\% 4000 |
| Sheep | Live, do | do | La villet |  | 1, 171, 181,000 |
| Hogs. |  | ...do | do | ....do............................... | 917,000 |
| Hidos | Cattle, 110-119 d. k.; cow, 99 d. k. and under. | 30 kil | Halle aux Cuirs, Paris. | Marcol Delam | 1,920 |
| Skins | Calf, 16-24 d. k.......................... | do | ....do............ | do. | 240 |
| Sill |  | Picce. | - |  | 1,030 |
| Silk. | Raw, Cevennes (extra and first grade), 11-13, 12-16. | 1 kilo. | Lyon. | Bulletin des Halles, Bourses et Marchés. | 647 |
| Flax | White, superior quality................ | do | Lille. | ...do.... | 22,000 |
| Hay. | First quality | 520 kilos | Montrouge | do | 5,300 |
| Iron | Briey | Metric ton | At mine....... | La Metallur | 43,000 |
| Coal. | Semibituminous, run of mine, 30-35 |  | Nord and Pas de Calais districts. | L'Usine, La Mctallurgi | 41,700 |
| D | Bituminous, run of mine, 30-35.... | do |  |  |  |
| Coke. | M. ${ }^{\text {M.talurgical.- }}$ |  | Irourihe-et-Moselie | La Metallurg | 4,000 9,000 |
| Zinc. | Good grades.. | 100 kilos. | La Placo de Paris.. | L'Economiste Irançais | 679 |
| Lead. | Ordinary grades | . do. | .....do. | L - do............... | 300 |
| Aluminum... | 98-99 per cent, in ingots of 3 kilos or | do...... | do |  | 135 |
| Lumber. | Oak, ordinary up to 0.29 in width and up to 8 meters loug. Poplar planks, all sizes................... | Cubic meter. | Nancy | La Technique Moderne | 7,900 |
| II. Producers' yoods. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sugar............... | Beet, raw, No. 3 | 100 kilos. | Paris. | Bulletin des Malles, Bourses et Marchés. | 9,300 |
| Cotton yarns....... | American cotion, warp, 28 bobin .... | 1 kilo. | Fpinal. | L'Industrie Textile. | 295, 000 |
| Silk Da............... | American cotton, warp, disided, vo. 20 | 1 ki.do. |  | Builetin des Hailes, Bourses et......................... | 625 |
| Silk yarns.......... | France, extra.......................... | 1 kilo. | Lyon. | Bulletin des Halles, Bourses et Yarches. | 625 |
| Linen yarn... | No. 35, woof, wet. | Package of 16 kilos. | Lille. |  | 1,500 |
| Leather. | Native, crust. | 1 kilo... | Paris. | L'Index des Industries aux Cuirs... | 45, 800 |
|  | Native, smooth.: |  |  |  | 45,800 |
| Iron and soit steel products. | Beams, ordinary I and E. ${ }^{\text {N }}$ |  | La Place de Paris.. | La Metall |  |
| Do............. | Plates, $3 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{m}$ | do. | do | do | 73,800 |
| Do. | Shapes |  | do | do |  |
| Do... | Rails......... | do. | do |  |  |
| Hard stcel | Special grade for tools.............. |  | i |  | 8,400 |
| Tin plate. | $0.25 \mathrm{~mm} ., 510$ by 710 m ., 1.12 sheets to | Caso of 82 | Paris |  | 463 |
| Copper products. | Copper wire, red, 9-10 and over..... | 100 kilos..... | do. | do. | 1,090 |
| Glass............. | Window, 3d choice. . | Case. | Syndicat des Ne gociants des verres a vitres. | La Technique Moderne | 390 |
| Brick. | Domestic, ist quality | Per thousand. | Paris | .do. | 475 |
| Coment. | Portland, 2d quality | Metric ton... |  | . do. | 1,930 |
| Paper.............. | White, for printing, 48-55 gr. and over-1 | 100 kilos. |  | Le Papier.......... | 7,000 |
| Sulphate of ammonia. | 20-21 per cent |  | Paris | La Technique Moderne. | 750 |
| Sulphuric acid..... | $53^{\circ}$ Baumé. | do. | do. | .do.. | 11,600 |
| Tartaric acid. | First, white, orsstallized, powdered, or granulated. | 1 kilo. | do |  | 8,580 |
| Muriate of potash.. | 50 to 60 per cent of potash (Alsace).... | $100 \mathrm{kiIos} . .$. | do. | do. | 1,500 |
| Alcohol. | Denatured, 90 | Hectoliter. |  | ....do. | 3,000 |
| Turpentine.. |  | 100 kilos... | Chambre Syndicale des Couleurs et Vernis. | .... do................................. | 250 |
| Glycerine........... | Brown, $28{ }^{\circ}$. Refincd | .do. | Marseille....... | . .do. | 200 |
| Colza oil. <br> Peanut oil | Refincd... |  | do |  | 4,000 |
| III. Consumers' goods. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wheat flour. | Good. | 100 kilos... | Paris. | La Reforme Economique. | 64,910 |
| Sugar. | Retined................. | i ${ }^{\text {a do. }}$ |  | Bulletin de la Statistique Générale.-1 | 9,700 |
| Beef... | 1Tindquarters, 3 d quality. | 1 kilo... | Hallos Centrales, Paris. | Bulletin des ILalles, Bourses et Marchés. | 619,000 |
| Mrutton. | 3a quality. | do. | ....do............ |  | 96,000 |
| Pork products | Ham.. | do. | do | .....do................................. | 486,000 |
| Butter. | Normandy. | , |  | do | 151,000 |
| Cheese. | Camembert, Normand | 100 cheeses. . |  |  | 800 |
| Margarine.......... | Oleo. | 100 kilos... | Marseille. | La Reforme Economique............ | 265 |
| Potatocs........... | Common whit | 100 kilos.... | Iralles Centrales, | Butletin dos Halles, Bourses et Marchés | 68,000 |
| Wine. | ked and white. | 1 hectoliter. | Beziers. | . do | 44,000 |
| Soap....... | Brown, extra pure...... | 100 kilos. | Marscille |  | 3,600 |
| Cotion cloth. Do. | Renforce $3-4,20-20,20-26$ Calicot, 4 | $\} 1$ meter. | Epinal. | L'Industrie Textile. | 2,400,000 |
| Broad silk | Mrousseline, good quality, plain color. | 1 meter. | Lyon. | Private firm.. |  |
| Do.............. | Taffeta, all silk, plain color............ | .....do. |  | ....do...... | 48,000 |

## B. GOODS IMPORTED.

| Commodity. | Grade. | Unit. | Market. | Source. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Weight } \\ \text { (000's } \\ \text { omitted). } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. Raw materials. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wheat. | Plata, soft. | 100 kilos. | Marseille | U Economisto Francais. | 20,800 |
| Corn | Plata, red. | .do | Bordeaux.. | Bulletin des Halles, Bourses et | 6, 150 |
| Cotton, raw | Louisiana. | 50 kilos. | Harre. | L'Jconomiste Français. | 8,330 |
| Wool, raw. | Buenos Airos, grease. | 1 kilo.. | ....do | ....do. | 3,300 |
| Silk, raw. | Japanese filature 12, $13-15$ | do | Lyon. | do. |  |
| Oil sceds | Canton, best, 7-11, 9-11, 16- | 100 kilos | dido... |  | 6,800 |
| Oil sceds | Peanuts, Coromandel. . | 00 kilos | Marseille. | Bulletin des Halles, Bourses et Marchés. | 3,700 |
| Cattlo hides. | Rio de Janciro, salt | $50 \mathrm{kilos.....}$. | Havre. | L'Index des Industries aux Cuirs. . | 3,200 |
| Tin. | English Cornwall. | 100 kilos. | La Place de Paris. | L'Economiste Francais. | 100 |
| Coppe | Ingots, suitable for brass | do. | .... do. | ...do. | 970 |
| Lead. | Ordinary grades.. | do | do | do | 803 |
| Zinc. | Good grades.. | do. | - |  | 380 |
| Coal... | German, run of mine, bituminous, 20-25. | Metric ton... | By rail at frontier | L'Usine, La Metallurgic. | 30,000 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Do } \\ \text { Coke.. } \end{gathered}$ | German Ruhr, coking coal, 8-25. Ruhr foundry. |  |  |  | ,078 |
| II. Producers'goods. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Linsced oil......... | H. P. | 100 kilos... | Chambre Syndicale des Cou- | La Technique Moderne | 1, 200 |
| Hemp.............. | Naplos, extra | do...... | Marseille........ | Bulletin des Halles, Bourses et Marchés. | 261 |
|  | British Indian |  |  |  | 1,330 |
| Rubber. | Para, finc. | 1 lilo....... | Paris. | La Techniguc lloderno | 16, 900 |
| Tin plate | $0.25 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{m}, 510$ by 710 m . | Case of 82 kilos. |  | La Motallurgio....... | ${ }_{4} 418$ |
| Leather. | Box calf, black, plain, grade B. | Foot... | . do. | L'Tndex des Industries anx Cuirs.. |  |
| Do. | Kid, black, irst choice.. |  |  | Bundo.......................... | 10,000 |
| Gasoline | Refined..... |  |  | Bulletin des Halles, Bourses et Marchés. | 2,600 |
| Nitrate of soda. |  | 100 |  | La Technique Moderne. | 3,240 |
| III. Consumers' goods. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coffee. | Santos, good average | 50 kilos. | Havre. | L'Economiste Franças | 3,600 |
| Cacao. | Caraque, natural | . . do. | ..do. | do. | 683 |
| Cheess. | Gruyere, Swiss... |  | Halles Centrales, Paris. | Bulletin des Halles, Bourses et Marchús. | 210 |
| Kerosene. | Refined, available. | 1 hectoliter. . | Paris. | . do. | 1,300 |
| Lard.. | American, in tierces. | 100 kilos. | ...do | La Reforjue Eeonomique........... | 64 |
| Wine. | Indo-China......................... | i hectoliter.. | Lyon..... | Bulletin do la Statistique Gendale. Bulletin des Halles, Bourses et | 3,6,600 5,600 |
| Olive oil. | Tunis, Spanish......................... | 100 kilos.. | Marseill | Marchés. <br> ....do. . | +230 |

## C. GOODS EXPORTED OR REEXPORTED.

| Commodity. | Grade. | Unit. | Market. | Source. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Woight } \\ (000 \text { 's } \\ \text { omitted). } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. Raw materials. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cotton, raw. | Louisiana, ordinary. | 50 kilos...... | Havra. | L'Economiste Français. | 1,520 |
| Wool, raw.. | Grease, Buenos Aires. | 100 kilos. | do | do................ | ${ }^{130}$ |
| silk, raw............ | Cerennes, avorage extra and first order, 11-13, 12-16. | 1 kilo.. | Iyon. | Bulletin des Halles, Bourses et Marchés. |  |
|  | Canton, best, 7 -11, 9-11, 10-18.......... |  |  | I'tconomiste Frangais............. | 2,500 |
| Do.. | Japan, filature, 12, 11-13. |  |  |  |  |
| Hides and skins.... | Cattle hides, 110-119 d.k. | 50 kilos | Halle aux P | Marcel Delamaire |  |
| Do.. | Cowhides, $59 \mathrm{d.k}$ and under |  |  |  | 1,607 |
| Do. | Calfskins, 16-24, d. . |  |  |  |  |
| Iron ore............. | Briey .............. | 1 metric ton. | At mino. | La Metallurgi | 18,600 |
| Pig iron.............. | P. R., No.3, ordinary | ...do...... | Mourthe-ot-M | ..do.. | 1,400 |
| II. Producers' goods. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vegetable oils. | Colza oil, refined. | 100 kilos. | Marseille. | La Technique Moderno. |  |
| Do. | Peanut oil, industrial. | do | do. | Bulletin des Halles, jiourses ot | 818 |
| Glycerine. | Brown, $28^{\circ}$. |  |  | La 'lechnique Moderne | 88 |
| Rubber............ | Para. | 1 kilo....... | Havre. | ...do. | 11,000 |
| linen yarn......... | No. 35 woof, wet | 1 package, weighing | Lille. | Bulletin des Halles, Bourses et Marchés. | ${ }^{3} 30$ |
|  |  | 160 kilos. |  |  |  |
| Iron and soit steel products. | Beams, ordinary, 1 and P. N ............ |  |  | La Metallurgie...................... |  |
| Do.. | Shapes, first quality |  |  |  | 7,000 |
| Do.......... | Rails............... | do | .....do. |  |  |

## C. Goods exported or reexported-Continued.



## REPRESENTATION OF INDUSTRIES IN TIIE PRICE INDEX.

The index thus constructed gives the following representation to the various branches of French business life:

Relative Vaiue of Commodity Groups Represented in the French Index Number of Wholesale Prices.

|  | In 1913. | $\begin{gathered} \text { In May, } \\ \text { i920. } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grains. | 23.3 | 15.5 |
| Live stock and meats. | 14.0 | 14.3 |
| Other foods. | 15.2 | 12.3 |
| Total foods. | ธ2. 5 | 42.1 |
| Textiles. | 13.8 | 25.7 |
| Iron and steel products | 13.3 | 10.3 |
| Coal and coke...... | 6.4 | 9.4 |
| Other motals and miner | 2.9 | 2.3 |
| Hides and leather.... | 2.8 | 2.4 |
| Building materials. | 2.5 | 3.3 |
| Chemicals........ | 1.4 | 1.0 |
| Miscellancous. | 4.4 | 3.6 |
| Grand total. | 100.0 | 100.0 |

The change in the relationship of the value of foods to the total value of the commodities included in the index from 1913 to May, 1920, is accounted for by the regulation of grain prices by the Government, which persisted up to August, 1921. The relative importance of agricultural and food products in the 1913 index seems to be in accordance with the organization of French life at that time. M. Réné Pupin in his study," "La Richesse de la France devant la guerre," estimates that in 1911 the products of French agriculture were worth $12,500,000,000$ francs and the products of French industry 11,500,000,000 francs, and
that of $34,000,000,000$ francs spent in France in that year $18,900,000,000$ francs, or 25.9 per cent, were spent for food.

THE EFFECT OF GOVERNMENT CONTROL ON FRENCII PRICES.

Throughout the first 18 months of the period covered by this index number prices in France were very largely affected by the price control exercised by the French Government. They are still affected by that control to some extent because of the Government regulation of the price of alcohol, and its control and sale of the German coal, coke, and sulphate of ammonia delivered on reparations account. The commodities included in the Fedcral Reserve Board's price indox for France which were still being regulated by the Government in 1920 are kerosene, gasoline, coal and coke, alcohol, sulphate of ammonia, wheat, wheat flour, rye, and sugar. Government control of sugar prices ceased in August, 1920, and of prices for wheat, wheat flour, and rye in August, 1921. Control of gasoline and kerosene was relaxed in July, 1921, and an announcement of January 11, 1922, stated that the State's supply of kerosene had been entircly disposed of and that importers were no longer required to purchase from the State a quantity equal to their imports.

The effect of Government control of the prices of these important commodities during 1920 was to keep the general level of French prices somewhat lower than it would otherwise have been. It also changed the movement of the general level of prices in 1920. Most commodities not controlled by the Gov-
ernment reached their highest prices in France in April or May, 1920, while the Governmentcontrolled prices were at their peak in the autumn of that year, and the upward movement of French price indexes in August, 1920, is to be explained by a radical increase in Government prices of wheat, wheat flour, and rye in that month.

COMPARISON WITH THE STATISTIQUE GLNERALE KNDEX NUMBER.

The only other wholesale price index published for France at the present time is that compiled by the Bureau de la Statistique Générale, the French Government's statistical office. Although the general trend of the Federal Reserve Board's new index follows that of the index of the Statistique Générale in several important respects, ${ }^{1}$ the lerel of the Board's index is distinctly lower than that of the other number and the trend is different in the spring and summer of 1920 and in the autumn of 1921. The difference in the level of the two indexes is to be explained by the fact that, while the Statistique Génerale index consists exclusively of food and raw materials prices, the Board's index represents wholesale prices of semifinished and finished products as woll. The difference in the trend of the two indexes is caused largely by the difference in the roprosentation of nonferrous metals and of iron and steel in the two indexes. The Statistique Générale is an unweighted arithmetic index of 45 price quotations, of which two represent iron products and four represent nonferrous metals. In 1920 nonferrous metal prices fell very rapidly, while iron and steel prices did not begin to decline until the end of the summer. The fact that nonferrous metals are relatively so important in the Statistique Générale index caused it to break sharply in April, 1920, while in the case of the Federal Reserve Board index the decline began a month later and was more gradual. In this connection it is interesting to note that the Statist index in England, which is constructed in much the same way as the Statistique Générale index, gave April as the peak for prices in that country, while the indexes of the Board of Trade and the Federal Reserve Board for England gave May as the month when the high point of the general price level was reached.

[^2]
## the course of french prioes.

The accompanying graphs trace the course of French prices, according to the groups into which the Federal Reserve Board index is divided. The highest point was reached by the index for imported goods, which registered 655 in May, 1920, but the "goods exported" index was not far below it, and it reached 653 in the same month. The fact that the index for goods produced in France was at that time 140 points below the indexes for goods entcring into foreign trade is accounted for, in the first place, by the fact that prices of some of the most important commodities in the "groods-produced" index were still being kept very low by the French Government in the spring of 1920, and, in the second place, by the fact that prices of textiles, which are imported into France in large quantities in their raw state and exported as semifinished and finished goods, rose to great heights at this time.
In France prices of raw materials rose higher as compared with their 1913 level than did either consumers' or producers' goods, in spite of the fact that the Government regulated the prices of raw sugar, whoat, rye, coal, and coke. The shortage of raw materials in France in 1919 and 1920, which caused the extreme price rise, is too well known to need comment. The index for prices of consumers' goods did not rise so high as that for raw materials, while the index for semifinished goods at its peak was the lowest of the three groups. This latter fact is the result, in part at least, of the Government regulation of coal prices, which influence the price of semifinished goods to such a large extent. During the spring of 1920, before the industrial depression and the break in raw matcrials prices began, the Government kept domestic coal prices relatively low, and this helped to keep down the prices of some of the important producers' goods, notably those of iron and steel products, at the time when textiles and nonferrous metal prices were at their height.
The fact that French prices in the late spring of 1922 were about 200 por cent above thoir 1913 level, while prices in the United States were only about 60 per cent above that level, should be considered in connection with the great increase in the note circulation of the Bank of France. In 1913 the average note circulation in France amounted to $5,565,000,000$ francs; in 1920 it averaged $38,066,000,000$ francs; in 1921, $37,404,000,000$

francs; and at the end of June, 1922, although it had fallen to $36,039,356,000$ francs, it remained at about 650 per cent of its 1913 level. The course of French prices during the period covered by the Federal Reserve Board's index has been affected also by Government price regulation, by a shortage of commodities, and later by business depression and falling off in demand.

The following table gives the all-commodities' index and the various group indexes for 1920, 1921, and through May, 1922.

Index Numbers of Wholesale Prices in France.
[Constructed by the Federal Reserve Board for the purpose of interna tional comparison. $\Lambda$ verage prices in $1913=100$. ]

| Month. | Goods produced. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Goods } \\ \text { im }-1 \\ \text { ported. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Goods } \\ \text { ex- } \\ \text { ported. } \end{gathered}$ | Raw materials. | Pro-duccrs' goods. | Con-sumcrs' goods. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { com- } \\ & \text { modi- } \\ & \text { ties. } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January . | 401 | 495 | 489 | $45 \%$ | 373 | 398 | 41.7 |
| February | 419 | 542 | 535 | 483 | 408 | 409 | 441 |
| March. | 458 | 579 | 563 | 510 | 437 | 468 | 479 |
| April. | 498 | 651 | 633 | 549 | 482 | 522 | 525 |
| Miay. | 512 | 655 | 603 | 559 | 498 | 535 | 537 |
| June. | 484 | 574 | 539 | 518 | 482 | 488 | 500 |
| July | 477 | 524 | 496 | 503 | 459 | 481 | 485 |
| August | 494 | 582 | 500 | 523 | 453 | 503 | 500 |
| September | $493-$ | 517 | 501. | 520 | 441 | 506 | 497 |
| October.. | 481 | 489 | 463 | 508 | 417 | 496 | 483 |
| November. | 454 | 466 | 400 | 496 | 396 | 447 | 456 |
| December. | 422 | 408 | 373 | 452 | 350 | 430 | 420 |
| 192 L . | 387 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fanuary | 386 | 383 349 |  | 400 382 | 304 276 | 422 | 387 |
| February | 366 348 | 349 330 | 330 305 | 382 | 2769 | 484 | 364 345 |
| April. | 334 | 326 | 280 | 354 | 259 | 361 | 343 333 |
| May. | 330 | 285 | 269 | 335 | 240 | 368 | 323 |
| Junc. | 317 | 279 | 256 | 332 | 226 | 347 | 31.1 |
| July | 317 | 282 | 261 | 328 | 232 | 348 | 312 |
| August | 304 | 290 | 26 L | 322 | 229 | 330 | 302 |
| September. | 298 | 314 | 276 | 328 | 240 | 309 | 301 |
| October. | 291 | 314 | 291 | 319 | 238 | 304 | 295 |
| November. | 290 | 304 | 294 | 315 | 233 | 305 | 292 |
| December. | 284 | 303 | 233 | 313 | 230 | 294 | 287 |
| 1922. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January . | 284 | 295 | 277 | 308 | 229 | 299 | 288 |
| Febrıary. | 282 | 286 | 275 | 300 | 227 | 300 | 283 |
| March. | 288 | 282 | 272 | 305 | 229 | 306 | 287 |
| April. | 302 | 282 | 274 | 318 | 228 | 327 | 299 |
| May.. | 305 | 288 | 279 | 322 | 226 | 333 | 302 |

The final chart on page 928 shows the Federal Reserve Board index for the United States, for England, and for France, the last two adjusted in accordance with their respective foreign exchange rates on New York. The spread between the lines showing the threc indexes indicates the difference between gold prices in the three countrics and shows that French gold prices were relatively low during 1920 and 1921. At the present time gold prices in France appear to be coming closer to the level of prices in the United States. The following table gives the figures upon which this graph is based.
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Federal Reserve Board Index of American Prices and the British and French Indexes Reducej to a Gond Basis.
$[1913$ average prices $=100$.]

| Month. | Federal Reserve Board index of Amorican prices. | Federal Rescrve Board index of British prices converted to gold. | Federal <br> Reserve Board index of French prices converted to gold. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1920. |  |  |  |
| January . . | 248 | 237 | 201 |
| February.. | 248 | 227 | 17.1 |
| March.... | 253 | 267 | 188 |
| April.. | 267 | 276 | 189 |
| May.... | 269 | 274 | 221 |
| Junc... | 262 | 278 | 217 |
| July. | 254 | 265 | 21.5 |
| August. | 240 | 245 | 197 |
| September. | 232 | 231 | 181 |
| October. . | 214 | 214 | 169 |
| November. | 196 | 198 | 142 |
| Decomber. | 179 | 186 | 129 |
| 1921. |  |  |  |
| January. | 168 | 188 | 129 |
| February. | 157 | 180 | 135 |
| March.... | 152 | 172 | 126 |
| April. | 146 | 1.66 | 125 |
| May... | 145 | 16.1 | 140 |
| Junc... | 142 | 173 | 130 |
| July.- | 145 | 146 | 126 |
| August. | 146 | 146 | 121 |
| September. | 146 | 148 | 113 |
| October... | 145 | 1.19 | 111 |
| November. | 145 | 14. | 109 |
| December. | 142 | 147 | 117 |
| 1922. |  |  |  |
| January... | 142 | 148 | 121 |
| February. | 146 | 150 | 128 |
| March... | 147 | 151 | 134 |
| April. | 149 | 151 | 143 |
| May... | 158 | 156 | 143 |

## TREASURY REFUNDING OPERATIONS.

In announcing on July 26 the call for redemption before maturity of about half the Victory notes outstanding, and the offering of a new issuc of $\$ 300,000,000$ or thereabouts of short-term Treasury notes, the Secretary of the Treasury addressed the following letter to banks and trust companies:
Dear Sir: The Treasury is announcing to-day a call for the redemption on December 15, 1922, of about half of the $4 \frac{3}{4}$ per cent Victory notes which remain outstanding, and at the same time is offering on the usual terms a new issue of $\$ 300,000,000$, or thercabouts, of short-term Treasury notes bearing interest at $4 \frac{1}{4}$ per cont, with provision for additional allotments up to a limited amount in exchange for 43 per cent Victory notes.
These two operations mark an important further step in the development of the Treasury's refunding program, and I am sending this letter to the president of every banking institution in the country in order to draw attention to the significance of the announcements and ask the cooperation of the banks in affording to their customers ample facilities for investing in the new notes. The call for the partial redemption of $4 \frac{3}{4}$ per cent Tintory notes affects about $\$ 1,000,000,000$ face amount © totes, and
makes the notes thus called for redemption payable on Decomber 15, 1922, leaving the balance of the Victory Liberty loan to mature on May 20, 1923, according to its terms. The notes called for redemption bear the distinguishing letters $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{E}$, or F prefixed to their serial numbers, and can thus be readily distinguished from the notes not affected by the call. Copies of the official circulars will come to you from the Federal reserve bank of your district and additional copies may be obtained upon application.
The notes now offered for subscription are designated Treasury notes of Scries B-1926, are dated August 1, 1922, will mature September 15, 1926, and will not be subject to call for redemption before maturity. The amount of the offering is $\$ 300,000,000$, or thereabouts, but the Secretary of the Treasury reserves the right to allot additional notes up to a limited amount to the extent that $4 \frac{3}{4}$ per cent Victory notes are tendered in payment. Subscriptions may be closed at any time without notice, and the right is reserved to reject any subscription and to allot less than the amount applied for. Holders of outstanding $4 \frac{3}{4}$ per cent Victory notes, whether or not called for redemption, thus have an opportunity now, within the limitations of the olfering, to exchange their notes for new securities of the Government bearing interest at 44 per cent and running for a period of over three years after Victory notes would mature or be redeemed. Applications for the Treasury notes now offered will be received in regular course through the several Federal reserve banks, as fiscal agents of the United States, from which further particulars concerning the offering may bo obtained. This is the fourth exchange offering which the Treasury has made in order to facilitate the refunding of the Victory Liberty loan, and on this offering, as on previous offerings, I hope that banks and trust companies throughout the country will extend to their customers every possible assistance in effecting exchanges.

The Treasury's program for dealing with the short-dated debt of the Government has now progressed to such a point that I believe it is worth while to recite what has already been accomplished and call attention to what remains to be done within the current fiscal year. On April 30, 1921, when the situation was first outlined in my letter of that date to the chairman of the Committee on Ways and Means, the gross public debt on the basis of daily Treasury statements amounted to about \$23,995,000,000 , of which over $\$ 7,500,000,000$ was short-dated debt maturing within about two years, made up of over $\$ 4,050,000,000$ in Victory notes, over $\$ 2,800,000,000$ in Treasury certificates of indebtedness, and about $\$ 650$,000,000 in war savings certificates. By June 30, 1922, the gross public debt had been reduced to about $\$ 22,963,-$ 000,000 , a reduction of about $\$ 1,032,000,000$ during the period of 14 months. This reduction has taken place, for the most part, in the short-dated debt, and has been
accomplished through the operation of the sinking fund and other public-debt expenditures chargeable against ordinary receipts, the application of surplus revenues to the retirement of debt, and the reduction of the balance in the general fund. At the same time the Treasury has been engaged, through its refunding operations, in distributing substantial amounts of the remaining shortdated debt into more convenient maturities, and in this manner has refunded about $\$ 2,250,000,000$ of early maturing debt into Treasury notes of various series maturing in 1924, 1925, and 1926. As a result of these operations the amount of outstanding Victory notes has been reduced from over $\$ 4,050,000,000$ on April 30, 1921, to about \$1,990,000,000 on June 30, 1922, and the amount of outstanding Treasury certificates from orer $\$ 2,800,000,000$ to about $\$ 1,825,000,000$. In addition there are about $\$ 625$,000,000 of war savings certificates of the series of 1918 which become payable on January 1, 1923, so that on June 30, 1922, there still remained outstanding about $\$ 4,440,000,000$ of short-dated debt, all of which matures in the current fiscal year.

The refunding of this debt, most of which will have to be accomplished within the next 10 months, presents a problem of first importance. The $\$ 300,000,000$, or thereabouts, of Treasury notes offered for subscription on the usual terms will provide for the Treasury certificates maturing August 1 and for the Treasury's remaining cash requirements between now and September 15, 1922, while the offering to allot additional notes in exchange for $4 \frac{3}{4}$ per cent Victory notes should accomplish the rofunding of some more of the Victory Tiberty loan and correspondingly reduce the amount of Victory notes to be provided for upon redemption or maturity. At the same time the call for the redemption of about half of the outstanding Victory notes before maturity will make that much of the Victory loan payable on December 15 of this year, and enable the Treasury to deal with it before maturity by appropriate refunding loans. This will mean that by January 1, 1923, the outstanding Victory notes will have been reduced to about $\$ 1,000,000,000$, or, in other words, a manageable maturity which can be dealt with as opportunity offers without spectacular refunding operations that would upset the security of markets and disturb the course of business and industry.

The current offering of notes is thus an essential part of the refunding program on which the Treasury is engaged, and the banking institutions of the country by extending their facilitios for the exchange of outstanding 43 per cent Victory notes for the new notes will be performing an important service for the country as well as for their customers.

Cordially yours,
A. W. Mellon, Secretary.

To the president of the bank or trust company addressed.

## RULINGS OF THE FEDERAL RESERVE BOARD.

Eligibility of paper of cooperative marketing associations.
Several questions were recently submitted to the Federal Reserve Board with reference to the eligibility of paper created by a tobacco growers' cooperative marketing association for rediscount at Federal reserve banks.

The association in question, like that described in the ruling published on page 1199 of the Federal Reserve Bulletin for October, 1921, is a nonstock and nonprofit corporation, and its members consist exclusively of growers of tobacco who have agreed to sell and deliver to the association all the tobacco grown by or for them, or acquired by them as landlords or lessors. The agreements between the growers and the association provide for the distribution of the proceeds of each pool prorata among the growers who have contributed to that pool. The association is given power to sell the tobacco in such form and upon such terms as may be deemed most advantageous to the growers, it being contemplated that a part of the tobacco will be sold without being redried and that such of the tobacco as can not be sold at a reasonable price in that form will be redried and stored by the association and sold as there is a demand for redried tobacco. The association is also specifically given the right to "borrow money in its name on the tobacco, through drafts, acceptances, notes, or otherwise, or on any warehouse receipts or bills of lading or upon any accounts for the sale of tobacco or on any commercial paper delivered therefor"; and it is agreed that the association "shall prorate the money so received among the growers equitably, as it may determine, for each district and period of delivery."
(1) The first question is as to the eligibility for rediscount at Federal reserve banks of notes made by the association and discounted at banks for the purpose of obtaining funds with which to make payments to the growers for tobacco delivered by them. This question depends upon whether the payments are to be regarded as payments on account of the purchase price of goods bought by the association, in which case the proceeds of the association's notes may be said to be used for a commercial purpose, which would make the notes eligible, or are to be regarded as advances or loans, in which case the proceeds must be said to be used for a finance purpose, which would make the notes ineligible.
The agreement between the association and the growers puts the transaction in the form
of a sale and transfers title in the tobacco to the association and gives the association full control over the tobacco and over the resale thereof, so that unless the form of the transaction is to be disregarded the proceeds of the association's notes may be said to be used for the commercial purpose of buying the tobacco from the growers and the association's notes may be considered eligible for rediscount when they have maturities not in excess of 90 days and when they otherwise comply with the provisions of the law and the board's regulations. It is true that the sales of tobacco by the growers to the association have the unusual feature that the purchase price is not fixed at the time of delivery, but is dependent upon the price at which the tobacco is resold by the association, so that the risks incident to resale by the association are borne by the growers; and for this reason the board has held that for some purposes the transactions between growers and such associations should be regarded in substance as consignments rather than sales. Considering, however, that a cooperative marketing association is a nomprofit corporation and in the last analysis the participating members rather than the association itself must assume the ultimate risk of loss and must benefit directly from any gain resulting from the operation of the association, these transactions between the association and its members conform to the usual type of sale as closely as they can consistently with the nature and purposes of an association of this character. In other words, there is no material difference between these transactions and ordinary sales except that the sellers, the growers, have the ultimate hope of gain and risk of loss resulting from resale by the purrchaser, the association, and this difference exists by reason of the relation that necessarily exists between the association and its members; so that to conclude that the transactions are not sales when considered from the point of view of the association would be in effect to say that it is impossible for the association and its members to engage in purchase and sale transactions between themselves.

The board is of the opinion, therefore, that the notes of the association, the proceeds of which have been or are to be used to make payments to the growers for tobacco delivered to the association, may be considered eligible for discount by Federal reserve banks with maturities not in excess of 90 days, provided, of course, that the notes comply in other re-
spects with relevant provisions of law and the regulations of the Federal Reserve Board.
(2) The second question relates to the eligibility of drafts drawn upon the association by the growers, accepted by the association, and discounted by the growers at their banks. As was held in the ruling published in the October, 1921, Bulletin, such drafts are eligible for rediscount as agricultural paper if the proceeds are used by the growers for an ag icultural purpose. The point is made, however, that practically the only use which the growers are likely to make of the proceeds of such drafts when discounted is to pay debts previously incurred by them in growing and harvesting the crop, and that, unless this can be held to be using such funds for an agricultural purpose, little or no agricultural paper can be created in this way.

In defining the character of notes, drafts, and bills of exchange eligible for rediscount, in Section II of its Regulation $\Lambda$, the board has determined that "(a) It must be a note, draft, or bill of exchange which has been issued or drawn, or the proceeds of which have been used or are to be used in the first instance, in producing, purchasing, carrying, or marketing goods in one or more of the steps of the process of production, manufacture, or distribution, or for the purpose of carrying or trading in bonds or notes of the United States." The board has held heretofore that for all practical purposes any note which is secured by Government bonds usually may be considered to be drawn either for the purpose of carrying or trading in those bonds within the meaning of the Federal reserve act, and it should not be incumbent upon a Federal reserve bank to look further than that fact in order to determine the technical eligibility of such a note. That holding was based on the theory that if the owner of bonds has obligations which he can not meet without either selling his bonds or borrowing money on them, the borrowing of money on such bonds with wh ch to mect his obligations enablos him to "carry" the bonds. Similarly, money borrowed by a grower to enable him to meet his obligations without selling his crop immediately enables him to "carry" the crop. When a grower delivers his crop to a cooperative marketing association which is actually engaged in orderly marketing, therefore, and when the grower is obliged to borrow money for ordinary general purposes, such as the payment of obligations previously incurred in growing or harvesting the same crop, a draft drawn by the grower on the association for a part of the market value of the crop may properly be considered to be drawn for an agricultural purpose.

It is well recognized that agricultural products should not be dumped upon the market as soon as grown, but on the contrary should be marketed gradually as and when there is a normal demand. Consequently, the carrying of tobacco and other agricultural products for such periods as are reasonably necessary in order to accomplish the orderly marketing thereof is a legitimate and necessary step incident to normal distribution, and the board has held heretofore that a farmer's note which is drawn, or the proceeds of which are used, to finance the carrying of the farmer's products for such reasonable period is a note which has been issued or drawn for an agricultural purpose within the meaning of section 13 of the Federal reserve act and which may, therefore, be eligible for discount by Federal reserve banks. It is hard to imagine how a grower could use the proceeds of a loan to finance the carrying of a crop except by using them to meet obligations or make necessary expenditures which would necessitate the immediate sale of his crop if he did not obtain a loan.

It must be recognized, however, that there is a distinction between carrying agricultural products for such periods as are reasonably necessary to effect orderly marketing and mere speculative withholding from the market, at a time when there is a normal demand, in the hope ultimately of obtaining a higher price. Such withholding is not an agricultural purpose within the meaning of section 13, and if a marketing association should engage in such a speculative holding of a crop instead of marketing it in an orderly manner, drafts drawn to finance the growers of such a crop during the holding of it for speculation should not be considered to be drawn for an agricultural purpose. The exact dividing line between legitimate carrying and speculative withholding is, of course, very difficult to determine. The question of whether a doubtful case falls on one side or the other of this line is a question of fact which it is not for the Federal Reserve Board to determine but which should be determined rather by the local bank whose customer desires the loan and by the Federal reserve bank if the paper representing the loan is offered for rediscount.
(3) The third question relates to the eligibility of paper created by the association and secured by warehouse receipts for tobacco stored in warchouses which, although they may be owned by the association or by corporations organized and controlled by the association, yet have independent management and organization. Inasmuch as the eligibility of paper other than bankers' acceptances is not dependent upon the existence of character of
collateral security, the question whether such paper of the association is technically eligible for rediscount is not dependent upon whether the warehouse issuing the receipt offered as collateral security is independent of the borrower. The character of a warchouse receipt or other sccurity offered as collateral for paper other than bankers' acceptances is material only as bearing upon the acceptability of the paper as distinguished from its cligibility, and the quostion of the accoptability is for the determination of the Federal reserve banks rather than the Fedcral Reserve Board.

The subject of the eligibility of bankers' acceptances drawn by cooperative marketing associations against warehouse receipts covering agricultural commodities was discussed in a ruling published on page 963 of the Federal Reserve Bulletin for August, 1921, and acceptances drawn by the association now under consideration against warehouse receipts issued
by warehouse corporations organized by it would come within the principles set forth in that ruling. Inasmuch as this association takes title to the tobacco and is given full control thereof and is, moreover, specifically given the right to borrow money in its own name on warehouse receipts issued against the tobacco, it is clear that it can store the tobacco and obtain negotiable warehouse receipts conveying security title. The board has indicated already the general principles to be applied by Federal reserve banks in determining under what circumstances warehouses are to be considered independent of the borrowers in passing upon bankers' acceptances, and the application of those principles to specific cases involves questions of fact which are primarily for the determination of the Federal reserve banks. (See rulings on pages 31, 634, and 862, respectively, of the 1918 Bulletin.)

## LAW DEPARTMENT.


#### Abstract

The act approved July 1, 1922, broadens the rediscount privilege of member State banks and puts them upon a basis of equality with national banks in this respect.


The act approved July 1, 1922, the text of which was published in the Law Department of the Federal Reserve Bulletin for July, 1922, amended section 9 of the Federal reserve act by striking out the following proviso in the tenth paragraph:

That no Federal rescrve bank shall be permitted to discount for any State bank or trust company notes, drafts, or bills of exchange of any one borrower who is liable for borrowed money to such State bank or trust company in an amount greater than 10 per centum of the capital and surplus of such State bank or trust company, but the discount of bills of exchange drawn against ac tually existing value and the discount of commercial or business paper actually owned by the person negotiating the same shall not be considered as borrowed money within the meaning of this section.
and substituting in lieu thereof the following:
That no Federal reserve bank shall be permitted to discount for any State bank or trust company notes, drafts, or bills of exchange of any one borrower who is liable for borrowed money to such State bank or trust company in an amount greater than that which could be borrowed lawfully from such State bank or trust company were it a national banking association.

The provisions of section 5200 of the Revised Statutes determine the amount which a single customer may legally borrow from a national bank, and the effect of the amendment to section 9 of the Federal reserve act is, therefore, to permit a Federal reserve bank to rediscount for a member State bank the eligible paper of a customer of that State bank whenever the total loans of the State bank to that customer are not in excess of the limits prescribed by section 5200 of the Revised Statutes. This
section excludes from consideration as money borrowed, as did the old provision of section 9 of the Federal reserve act, the discount of bills of exchange drawn in good faith against actually existing values, and the discount of commercial or business paper actually owned by the person negotiating the same, and provides also that certain other kinds of paper, which were not referred to in section 9 , may be discounted in excess of the normal limit of 10 per cent of the bank's capital and surplus. The effect of the amendment is, therefore, to broaden the rediscount privilege of member State banks and to place these banks on an equality with national banks in this respect. The amendment does not, of course, affect any part of section 9 except the proviso which is specifically referred to, and under the terms of the sentence that immediately followed this proviso it is still necessary that "The Federal reserve bank, as a condition of the discount of notes, drafts, and bills of exchange for such State bank or trust company, shall require a certificate or guaranty to the effect that the borrower is not liable to such bank in excess of the amount provided by this section, and will not be permitted to become liable in excess of this amount while such notes, drafts, or bills of exchange are under discount with the Federal reserve bank."

ANALYSIS OF SECTION 5200 R. S.
In view of this amendment, and for the information of member State banks particularly, the Federal Rescrve Board deems it appropriate at this time to republish the analysis of the provisions of section 5200 of the Revised

Statutes which was previously published on page 1055 of the Federal Reserve Bulletin for November, 1919.

The analysis states the amount which may be loaned to any person, company, firm, or corporation (including in the liability of a company or firm the liability of the several members thereof) under the various clauses of section 5200 , as last amended by the act approved October 22, 1919. These amounts are stated in terms of the percentage of the paid-in and unimpaired capital and surplus of the lending bank.

| Character of loans. | Amount loanable. |
| :---: | :---: |
| (A) Accommodation or straight loans, whether or not single name. |  |
|  | Maximum limit, 10 per cent of bank's paid-up and unimpaired capital and surplus. |
| (B) "Bills of exchange drawn in good faith against actually existing values." The law expressly provides that this phrase shall also include: <br> (a) Dralts and bills of exchange securcd br shipping documents conveying or securing title to goods shipped. <br> (b) Demand obligations, when secured by documents covering cominodities in actual process of shipment. <br> (c) Bankers' acceptances of the kinds described in section 13 of of the Federal Reserve Act. | No limit imposed by law. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| (ن) Commercial or business paper (of other makers) actually owned by the person, company, corporation, or firm negotiating the same. | No limit imposed by law. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| (D) Notes secured by shipping documents, warehouse recents, or other such documents convering or securing title covering readily marketable nonperishable staples. including live stock. yo banl may make any loan under (D), however. | 15 per cent of bank's capital |
|  | and surplus, in addition to |
|  | the amount allowed under |
|  | (A); or if the full amount |
|  | allowed under (A) is not |
|  | loancd then the amount |
|  | which may beloaned in the |
| (a) Unless the actual market value | (D) is increased by the |
|  | loanable amount not used |
| of the property sceuring the obligauion is not at any time less than 115 per cent of the face amount of the note, and | under (A). Inother words, |
|  | the amount loaned under |
|  | (A) must never be more |
| (b) Unless the property is fully cov-! than 10 per cent, but the |  |
|  | aggregate of (A) and (D) |
| shall the privilege afforded by ( D ) be exercised for any one customer \| |  |
|  | may equal, but not exceed 25 per cent. |
| for more than 6 months in any consecutive 12 months. |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| (F) Notes secured by not less than a likeface amount of bonds or notes of the | 10 per cent of bank's capital and surplus, in addition to |
|  |  |
| United Statesissued since April 24,1917 , or by certificates of indebtedness of the Uniled States. | the amount allowed under (A); or if the full amount allowed under ( A ) is not |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| Untedstas. | loaned, then the amountwhich may be loaned in |
|  |  |
|  | \| the manner described un- |
|  |  |
|  | der (E) is increased by the loanable amount not used |
|  | under (A). In other words, the amount loaned under |
|  | the amount loaned under (A) must never be more than 10 per cent, but the aggregate of (A) and (T) may equal, but not exceed, 20 per cent. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| (F) Notes secured by United states No limit, but this privilege, |  |
| Government obligations of the kinds, under regulations of the |  |
| described under ( E ) the face amount of | Comptroller of the Cur- |
| which is at least ecjual to 105 per cent of the amount of the customer's notes. | rency, expires December |
|  | 31, 1922. |
|  |  |

Some Examples of What May Be Loaned to Any One Customer Under Section 5200 of the Revised Statutes, Expressed in Terms of Percentage of the Lending Bank's Captial and Surplus.

|  | Hlustration 1. | Illustration 2. | Illustration 3. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (A) Accommodation or straight loans. | Per cent. 10 | Percent. | Percent. |
| (D) Notes secured by warehouse receipts, ete. | 15 | 20 | 15 |
| (B) Notes secured by a like face amount of United States Government obligations. | 10 | 10 | 15 |
| Total. | 35 | 35 | 35 |
| (B) Bills of exchange drawn against actually | No limit imposed by law. |  |  |
| existing values. |  |  |  |
| (C) Commercial or business paper ............ | Do. |  |  |
| (F) Notes secured by at least 105 per cent of United States Government obligations. | Do. |  |  |

## what a federal reserve bank may redis-

 COUNT FOR ITS MEMBER BANKS.A Federal reserve bank may not, of course, under any circumstances, rediscount paper other than that which is eligible under the terms of the Federal reserve act. So also the limitations imposed upon the amounts of rediscounts which Federal reserve banks may make for member banks, whether State or National, are determined by the provisions of the Federal reserve act and are not in any way affected by the amendment to section 5200.

Under the provisions of section 13 of the Federal reserve act any Federal reserve bank may rediscount for any member bank, whether State or National, eligible paper of any one borrower to the extent of 10 per cent of the member bank's capital and surplus, but it is expressly provided that "this restriction shall not apply to the discount of bills of exchange drawn against actually existing values."

In the opinion of the Federal Reserve Board this phrase "bills of exchange drawn against actually existing values" includes "drafts or bills of exchange secured by shipping documents conveying or securing title to goods shipped" and "bankers' acceptances of the kinds described in section 13 of the Federal reserve act," even though section 13 (unlike the amendment to section 5200) does not expressly state that those two classes of paper are bills of exchange drawn against actually existing values. In the opinion of the board, however, accepted demand bills on which the drawer is released from liability are not "bills of exchange" within the meaning of section 13, and must, therefore, be included in determining the limits on the amount of paper of any one borrower which a Federal reserve bank may rediscount for any member bank.

## BUSINESS AND FINANCIAL CONDITIONS ABROAD.

THE HAGUE CONFERENCE.
In accordance with plans made at Genoa the non-Russian commission of economic experts, representing 25 nations, met at The Hague on June 15 to discuss the program and procedure to be followed in subsequent sessions with the Russian delegates. The conference was organized on the basis of a general commission, with three subcommissions on credits, debts, and private property. In its preliminary sessions the general commission decided to formulate no program or order of procedure until the Russians came.

On June 26 the Russians arrived at The Hague. They insisted on meeting the commission on credits first, since for them credits were of prime importance. On the other hand, from the point of view of the other powers represented at the conference the recognition of Russian debts was regarded as a prerequisite in any discussion of credits. The Russians, however, in meeting with the subcommissions on debts and private property refused to recognize the debts of former Governments or the principle of the restitution of pricate property. They would be willing to make certain concessions along these lines provided that they were granted sufficient credits and were assured of a moratorium of 20 to 50 years. Even so, they stated, it would be as a matter of expediency, not of right.

In order to understand the economic situation in Russia more fully, the non-Russian commission asked for a statement of the Russian budget and of other financial affairs of the Government. The figures that were presented, although inconsistent with other data previously made public in Russia and elsewhere, nevertheless served to emphasize the deplorable condition of the country. The circulation of paper money was shown to have increased so enormously that currency stabilization and the rehabilitation of the country are hopeless without financial assistance from abroad. In spite of this fact and the repeated warnings from the non-Russian experts that credits could be obtained only when confidence was restored, the Russians refused to retreat materially from their position with respect to debts and property rights.

Finally, on July 13, after trying ineffectually for three weeks to obtain any basis for an agreement, the other delegates sent word to the Russians that they would not meet them again unless the Russians had new proposals to
present. On July 16 the Russians asked to meet the conference again, and on July 19 they presented their proposals. They stated then that if the experts would refer their proposals to their Governments they would ask the Russian Government whether, assuming that credits in the sense intended were not forthcoming, the debts due by former Russian Governments would be recognized; and whether, furthermore, the Russian Government would agree to give effectual compensation to foreigners for nationalized property, provided that terms were left to be decided between the Russian Government and the persons concerned. The non-Russians did not foel that these proposals were a satisfactory basis on which to continue negotiations, especially as they would have to wait seven days to hear from Moscow. At the same time they stated that if the Russians loyally carried out their proposals it would contribute to the establishment of confidence necessary for reconstruction and would create an atmosphere favorable for further negotiations. The nonRussians then proceeded to bring the conference to a close. On the recommendation of the subcommissions, resolutions were adopted to the effect that the delegates from the various countries would use their influence to prevent their nationals from accepting concessions from the Soviet Government involving property in Russia formerly owned by foreigners.

The Hague conference, therefore, came to an end without arriving at a basis for negotiations between the Russian Government and the other nations. The Russians and the other groups of delegates, it should be remembered, came to the conference with views diametrically opposed as to the logical and proper approach to the problems in hand. The nonRussian delegates took the position that recognition of debts by Russia must precede any discussion or negotiation with regard to credits. They felt that such recognition was essential to show Russia's good faith and to restore confidence abroad as to Russia's intention to meet her obligations. The Russian delegates, on the other hand, regarded credits as the matter of most vital importance; but they were unwilling to recognize Russia's debts in advance of receiving credits to an amount approximating their requirements. They went to The Hague expecting to bargain for credits in return for industrial, mining, and other economic concessions in Russia. They based their hopes upon the rich ratural resources of their country, in which they expected foreign
capitalists would be willing to invest. The non-Russians refused to conduct negotiations along these lines, and, since neither side would modify its position, the conforence ended in a doadlock.

## russia's indebtedness and foreign trade.

One of the results of the conferences at Genoa and The Hague, and especially of the commercial treaties concluded by representatives of the soviet régime with a number of western European nations, has been to lift many of the barriers which have surrounded Russia for more than three years. The vast natural resources of the country and the lack of domestic funds have always attracted foreign capital. As a result, foreigners have always been particularly interested in the political and business conditions of this country. The World War and the Russian revolution inflicted severe losses upon the old Russian Empire. Valuable territory was lost; production in most industries ceased; transportation, including railroads and navigation, reached an extremely low degree of efficiency; and, instead of being, as it once was, the granary of a large part of Europe, exporting largely food and food products, Russia is at present forced to import bread for the starving population.
... Russia to-day may be said to be without production or transportation. With a currency whose purchasing power approaches zero, and with the unstable business and political conditions now prevailing, it is not a country for the business man who looks toward immediate trade and interchange of commodities, but merely a potential market for the investor. Russia, above all, is in need of capital to rebuild her railroads, to stabilize her currency, and to increase the productive power of the country.

This is the first of a series of articles which will survey the most important phases of economic conditions in Russia. It should be kept in mind, however, that the country is in a chaotic condition, and that figures bearing on Russia's economic life are far from being wholly reliable.

## GENERAL RCONOMIC SURVEY.

It is very difficult to compare the present "Russian Socialist Federal Soviet Republic" with the Imperial Russian Empire as it existed before the war. Not only has the economic structure with respect to distribution of wealth and income been changed entirely, but the political borders of the country have also undergone important changes. Shortly before the war the population of the Russian Empire
was stated to be $182,000,000$, whereas the number of persons at present under control of the soviet régime does not exceed $130,000,000$, according to Russian official figures. It is not necessary to survey the territory under Russian rule. Nothing in this respect is fixed and changes are likely to take place from day to day. In western Europe, countries like Finland, Esthonia, Latvia, and Lithuania have been entirely carved out of Russia. Poland received her most important territory from former Russian lands, while Rumania acquired the Russian Province of Bessarabia. In the Caucasus and Ukraine several attempts were made to cstablish new border States, but in most cases without success. In Siberia, also, important changes took place. Subsequently, however, the number of persons under soviet control has increased considerably. The extent of more recent territorial changes can be scen by comparing the figures of 1919 with those of later date. In 1919 the total population under soviet control was $85,000,000$; at the end of $1920,100,000,000$; while at the present time, June, 1922, 130,000,000.

The economic policy of the soviet gevernment underwent important changes since 1918, and three distinct periods can be traced: (1) The breaking up and destroying of the old system through nationalization and confiscation; (2) the creation of a new, highly centralized apparatus entirely operated and controlled by the State; (3) the new economic policy tending toward decentralization of industry and trade, restitution of private property, and a more friendly attitude toward foreign concessions.

These three phases of Russian coonomic development can be seen in the internal as well as the external policy of the soviet régime. The internal policy is expressed in the attitude of the Government toward banking, currency, production, and transportation, while the attitude toward foreign trade and foreign indebtedness marks its external policies. The foreign trade of Russia, as will be seen later in this survey, has dwindled to a very small percentage of its pre-war volume and can recover only after the productive power of the country has been increased. This, however, can take place only with the aid of foreign capital, which will not go into the country until the status of property held by foreigners and Russia's indebtedness to other countries are fixed. Hence these two points were the main topics of discussion at the Genoa and Hague conferences and seem to be the crux of the Russian question.

## I. Russia's Foreign Indebtedness.

As in the case of the indebtedness of most European countries, Russia's domestic as well
 the Russian Government were:

|  | Rubles. |
| :---: | :---: |
| General loans. | 5, 726, 661, 481 |
| State railway loans. | 3, 097, 862, 866 |
| State guaranteed loans. | 3, 803, 216, 579 |
| Total. | 627,744, 026 |

They were largely long-term loans, bearing interest from 3 to 6 per cent. It is difficult to state how much of these debts was held abroad. France claims that about one-third of Russia's total pro-war indebtedness was owned by French citizens, and the "Bureau des Biens et Interêts Privés," an association for the protection of French capital invested in Russia, estimates the total holdings of Russian pre-war Government securities at 8,926,728,650 gold francs. As British and Belgian citizens held large blocks of Russian Government or Government-guaranteed securitics, one might safely state that between onc-third and one-half of Russia's pre-war debt was held abroad.

From the outbreak of the war in August, 1914, until November, 1917, debts of about $25,000,000,000$ rubles were incurred as follows:

| Loans raised, in rubles of one-tenth an imperial or in foreign currency | Rubles. $2,794,931,228$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Loans, raised in rubles of one-fifteenth of an imperial. | 11, 696, 041, 767 |
| Short-term loans at home. | 6,500,000,000 |
| Short-term loans abroad | 4, 179, 963, 000 |
| Total | 25, 220, 936, 895 |

The above figures include domestic and foreign loans. The exact sums subscribed or floated abroad can easily be traced, as they were issued in the currencies of the respective foreign countries. Government loans floated by Russia during the war in some of the allied countries are:

|  | Original currency. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Great Britain (pounds) | 568, 200, 000 |
| France (francs) | 3, 950, 000, 000 |
| Japan (yen) | 255, 000, 000 |
| Italy (lire) | 36,000, 000 |
| United States ${ }^{1}$ (dollars) | 223,000, 000 |

Russia's total indebtedness in November, 1917, at the time of the accession of the present régime to power, thus consisted of two elements, as follows:

Rubles.
Pre-war loans . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 12, 627, 740, 926
War loans.
$25,220,936,895$
Total....................................... 37,848,677,821
Out of this total indebtedness about $13,000,-$ 000,000 rubles, or 32 per cent, were held abroad. The foreign holdings may be classified as follows:

[^3]|  | Rubles. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Pre-war debts. | 4,200, 000,000 |
| Foreign war loans. | 7,428,000,000 |
| Guaranteed loans. | 870,000, 000 |
| Municipal loans. | 422,000,000 |
| Total | 12,920,000,000 |

These debts represent merely Government or Government-guaranteed loans. They do not include loans of private organizations, railroads, public utilitios, etc., floated and held abroad.

After the inauguration of the sovict régime, the question of cancollation of debts became acu'te, and in January, 1918, the Council of the People's Commissaries passed a docree whereby the entire indebtedness of the Russian Government, domestic as well as foreign, was canceled. The principal clauses of the decree, translated from the original Russian, follow:
(1) All state loans concluded by the Government of the Russian landowners and Russian bourgeoisie, which are enumerated in the inclosed statement, are being annulled (canceled) as of December 1, 1917. The December coupons are not subject to paymeni.
(2) In the same way all guaranties are being annulled, which have been given by the abovementioned Governments on the loans of various concerns and institutions.
(3) Absolutely and without any exceptions all foreign loans are being annulled.
(4) Short-time obligations and series of the state chancellery are remaining in force. Interest on them is not paid, but their obligations have the same validity as the credit notes.

Paragraph 4 was included mainly to provide additional currency, as the printing press could not put out enough currency to meet the demands of the Government. Certificates of short-term notes were declared legal tender and put on an equal basis with the notes alroady in circulation. The rest of the decreo deals mainly with the rights of holders of small amounts of internal loans, and does not refor to foreigners. Attention is called to the wording of the decrec which explicitly states that "all foreign loans are canceled absolutely and without any exceptions." This decreo imposes a loss upon foreign investors as well as Governments, especially Great Britain, France, Belgium, and the United States, of about $13,000,000,000$ gold rubles.
The soviet regime was not satisfied with the cancellation of the total Government indebtedness, but also nationalized all private property in the country. Thus all enterprises owned or controlled by foreigners became the property of the Russian state. The nationalization was carried through without any
compensation to the original owners. In addition, the Government confiscated the property and deposits of domestic and foreign banks, thus increasing the total losses suffered by foreigners.

The countries most affected by the cancellation of debts and the nationalization of private property are France, Great Britain, Belgium, the United States, and, to a smaller degree, Italy. In these and other countries protective committees have been formed by the holders of claims against Russia. Germany, it may be noted, was never a large investor in Russian enterprises. Her total investments in Russia at the end of the war probably did not exceed $1,000,000,000$ rubles, but as all debts between Russia and Germany were provisionally canceled by the treaty of Rapallo no further consideration need here be given to German holdings.

## CLATMS OF FOREIGN COUNTRTES AGALNST RUSSIA.

France.-The cancellation of debts and the nationalization of private property by Russia affected to a very large extent the national wealth of France. A large proportion of her pre-war sarings were invested in Russian Government, railway, and municipal securities. They were widely distributed among small and large investors and actively traded in on the Paris stock exchange. It is difficult to obtain accurate figures of the total claims of French citizens against Russia. There are many elements of uncertainty, such as the value of stocks and property left in Russia, which can not be accurately valued. It is, however, possible to give more or less reliable figures of the amount of Russian pre-war Government obligations held in France. According to estimates of the "committee for the protection of French property in Russia,' based upon sums paid in interest, the par value of these socurities amounted to $8,926,728,650$ gold francs. Their actual value decreased rapidly and they were quoted in June, 1914, at $8,000,000,000$ francs; in February, 1917, at $5,210,000,000$ francs; and in January, 1920 , at $3,170,000,000$ francs. After that period Russian securities ceased to be quoted regularly on the Paris stock exchange. If the sum of $750,000,000$ francs for bonds not quoted in France and 1,675,000,000 francs for various Russian industrial shares are added, the total of French investments in Russia before the war amounts to $11,351,728,650$ gold francs, consisting of the following items:

|  | Francs. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Russian State bonds. | 6, 480, 212,000 |
| Russian railway bonds..................... | 212, 575,000 |
| Russian railway bonds quoted "en Ganque" | 42,333, 000 |
| Russian railway bonds quoted officially... | 1, 676, 706,000 |
| Bonds of Russian land credit banks. . . . | 451, 791, 000 |
| Credit Foncier Mutuel bonds............. | 63, 111, 000 |
| Bonds not quoted in France.. . . . . . . . . . . | 750, 000, 000 |
| Various Russian industrial securities. | 1, 675, 000, 000 |
| Total. | 11, 351, 729, 000 |

All these debts were held by private citizens. The French Government appeared as Russia's creditor only after the outbreak of the war, when it advanced to the Russian Government funds of an approximate value of $4,000,000,000$ francs. This amount consisted mainly of treasury bonds negotiable through the Bank of France, transfers for all unpaid materials, and Russian coupons accepted in payment of subscriptions to French loans. The total Russian indebtedness to France, therefore, according to the above figures, is:
Pre-war debts. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 11, 351, 728, 650
War debts.
$4,000,000,000$

## Total

$15,351,728,650$
These figures do not differ materially from estimates of the Russian Government, of about $13,000,000,000$ francs, in which sum, however, the item "various Russian securities" is not included. But the above total does differ from data taken from other French sources. Thus, for instance, the French Minister of Foreign Affairs estimated Russia's war debts to France at $5,000,000,000$ francs and the total pre-war indebtedness at $16,000,000,000$ francs. The total indebtedness would thus be 21,000 ,000,000 francs, not including Russian interior war loans subscribed in France and various French properties and concessions estimated at 7,000,000,000 gold francs.

Belgium.-As in the case of France, it is difficult to determine with accuracy the total value of Belgian capital invested in Russia. Unlike France, however, Belgium was not mainly interested in Government securities, but invested largely in industrial, mining, and public utility enterprises. M. Witneur, secretary of the Association for the Protection of Belgian Owners in Russia, estimated the total value of the claims of Belgian citizens against Russia at $3,500,000,000$ francs. It is difficult to check the accuracy of this sum, since the bulk of the claim consists of properties, largely of industrial and mining enterprises, the value of which can be only roughly estimated. M. Witneur divides the Belgian claims into four
categories and estimates the respective values as follows:

A further analysis of these four groups shows the following details. Group 1 is composed of the following items:

| 37 mining, coal, and metallurgical enterprises. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Francs. } \\ 1,160,000,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 81 various industrial enterprises...... | 680, 000,000 |
| 43 public utility enterprises | 582, 000, 000 |
| Total. | 2,350,000,000 |
| Group 2 comprises the followin | securities: |
| Government loans | Franes. 385, 000, 000 |
| Railway loans.. | 103, 000, 000 |
| Municipal loans. | 37, 000,000 |
| Loans not specified (mainly war loans). | 115, 000, 000 |
| Total. | 640, 000, 000 |

The items specified in group 3 are:

| Cash deposits in banks and other institutions. $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Francs. } \\ & 193,000,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Safe deposits in special box | 87, 000, 000 |
| Amounts due from Russian debtors to Belgian creditors. | 125, 000,000 |
| Total. | 405, 000, |

Group 4 includes such items as houses, furniture, land property, and other valuables left by Belgian citizens in Russia.
Although the aggregate of group 1 is very large, it nevertheless seems plausible, since it is generally known that Belgian capital controlled many Russian industrial and public utility enterprises. With regard to the other three groups, however, there are certain items which appear more or less open to question.

Italy.-The claims of the Italian Government and Italian citizens against Russia are not large and are classified in the table below. They are stated mainly in foreign currencies, the actual value of which can not very well be determined. Russian bonds are given at nominal value, although it is a well-known fact that they were sold considerably below par.

Approximate Credits of the Italian Governaent and of Italian Citizens Aganst Russia.

| Creditors and classes of credits. | Curreney. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Lire. | Rubles. | Sterling. | French franes. | German marks. |
| (a) Credit of the State, subscription to bonds of national Russian loans. <br> (b) Credit of syndicate of Italian banks for war materials furnished Russian Government. | 65,000,000 |  |  | 34, 855, 500 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (c) Credits of banking institutions and private persons: <br> (1) Bank deposits in bonds and in cash in Russian institutions and active |  |  | 138,50010,728 | $\begin{aligned} & 2,713,591 \\ & 1,950,938 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 147,300 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 441,617 \\ & 868,217 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| (2) Commercial (supplies, goods bilied, various operations) |  |  |  |  |  |
| (3) Bonds of Russian public debt (nominal value) ...... | ${ }_{5} \mathbf{5}$, 826, 340 | $\left\{\begin{array}{c} 4,00,52,808 \\ 15,512,255 \end{array}\right.$ |  |  |  |
| (4) Expropriations and forced abandonments of industrial establishments, real property, administrations, and other property. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total. | 72, 136,213 | 273, 209, 029 | 149,228 | 39, 520, 029 | 147, 973 |

${ }^{1}$ Value of real property.
Great Britain.-The claims of the British Government and British citizens against Russia have not been published in detail. Russian Government loans held in Great Britain, including the advances of the British Government, have been estimated by Russian officials to be about $5,000,000,000$ gold rubles, while British sources place the figure at $£ 650,000,000$. To judge from various statements made by high British officials at Genoa and The Hague, the total amount of British capital invested in Russian industrial and mining enterprises must be very high, and probably surpasses by far the total claims of France and Belgium.

United States of America.-Russia's indebtedness to the United States is comparatively
small and was incurred mainly during the war. Including the claims of American citizens filed with the Treasury Department, estimated at $\$ 350,000,000$, all debts probably do not exceed $\$ 800,000,000$.

Russian loans floated oither entirely or partly in the United States have been as follows:
(1) $\$ 25,000,000,5 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent 5 -year gold Treasury bonds. They were issued in November, 1916; fell due on December 1, 1921. Principal and interest was made payable in New York in United States gold coin. The semiannual interest payment due December 1, 1919, was defaulted on that date.
(2) Internal $5 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent ruble bonds of 1916. The total issue amounted to $2,000,000,000$ rublos. How much was subscribed in the United States is uncertain. These
bonds are redcemable in Russia and in rubles. Their value in United States currency depends thus upon the ruble exchange.
(3) $800,000,000,63$ per cent 3 -year short-term notes, due July 10, 1919. Interest was payable in United States gold coin. This loan is a direct external obligation of the Russian Government.

The adrances made by the United States to the Russian Government may be seen from the following figures showing the situation at the beginning of February, 1922:
Principal amount of obligations...............
\$192, 601. 297
30, 558, 955
Total indebtedness.
223,160,252
Interest paid prior to February, 1922.
$5,107,536$

## II. Rusban Foreigy Trade.

Having considered Russia's indebtedness to foreign countries, we pass now to the commercial relations of Russia with other countries. By the decree of the Peoples' Commissariat of April 24, 1918, the entire foreign trade of Soviet Russia was made a Government monopoly. Private persons, corporations, and trade organizations were strictly prohibited from undertaking any transactions inrolving the shipment of goods to or from Russia. 1 Commissariat for Foreign Trade was established, vested with unrestricted power regarding all matters of export and import. It prohibited the foreign trade operations of cooperative societies and thus completed the Government monopoly. A subordinate organization called "The Foreign Trade Council" was established, with the duty to pass on the rarious applications of Government institutions for the exportation and importation of goods.

During 1918, 1919, and 1920 very little international trade was carried on between Russia and other countries. Most of the border territories were occupicd by antisoriet troops, and the blockade by the western European countries made any business transactions with Soviet Russia practically impossible. That under such conditions Russian foreign trado should shrink to almost nothing is self-evident, and can be seon from the following figures: In 1913 Russia's total exports amounted to $1,476,-$ 081,000 pood, but in 1919 to only $1,252,000$ pood, or 0.07 per cent of the pre-war volume, and in 1920 to only 676 pood.

Tho treaty with Esthonia and the trade agroement with Great Britain, concluded in March, 1921, practically ended the foreign blockade. Other countries, such as Germany and the border States, established trade relations with Russia a little later.

The lifting of the blockade did not materially improve the foreign-trade situation, and
the sorict officials soon recognized that Government monopoly and high centralization of international trade were the main obstacles to any further development. In 1921 the serere restrictions regarding domestic trado were superseded by more liberal provisions, which, however, did not affect the attitude of the soviet with regard to the monopoly and the centralization of forcign trade. This policy of tho soviet officials as to foreign trade was severely criticized at the Ninth Soviet Congress in December, 1921. It indorsed the monopoly of foreign trade, but objected to jts centralization, and decided that other institutions should be created for the carrying on of foreign trade between Russia and other countries. As a first step, the Central Organization of Cooperative Societies, or Centrosoyous, endeavored to regain its old rights and privileges, and started to operate as an independent institution. In addition, new corporations were created by the State in which private individuals, domestic as well as foreign, were permitted to participate.
According to the decree of March 13, 1921, the following organizations and institutions were permitted to engage in foreign trade: (1) The Commissariat for Forcign Trade; (2) coopcrative societies; (3) State institutions; (4) combinations of such State institutions (socalled trusts) ; (5) provincial soriets; and (6) stock companies founded by the Government for that purpose. All these organizations and institutions, with the excoption of the Central Organization of Cooperative Societies, are subject to the supervision of the Commissariat for Foreign Trade.
The greatest hope of the soviet régime scems to be based upon the forcign trade corporations. They may be founded only by State institutions, who hold at least 51 per cent of the total stock. The rest of the shares may be offered to private individuals, domestic as well as foreign. A number of foreign trade corporations have beon established up to the present time, the most important of which are:
(1) The Russian Export \& Import Co. All shares are held by soriet institutions.
(2) The German-Russian Trading Co., the minority stock of which is held by German capitalists.
(3) Naphtexport, organized for the collection and sale of all mineral-oil products. The sharcholders are the Commissariat for Foreign Trade, the State Fuel Board, and the Azerbayan and Grosny Petroleum Idministration.
(4) Koshirio. Its main business is the buying and selling of hides, leather, and other animal products and raw materials. The share holdings are divided between the Commissariat for Forcign Trade, the Supreme Economic

Council, and the Central Soyous, which institutions hold shares for $9,000,000$ gold rubles. The rest of the shares, par value $6,000,000$ gold rubles, are held by a group of German merchants. Among the comparatively large number of corporations in which forcign capital has been invested, the following may be mentioned as among the most important: (1) Russanglolyes, a Russian-English company, carrying on trade between Russia and Great Britain; and (2) the Russhollandlyes, a company of similar nature, which carries on trade with Holland. German capitalists are now very active in Russia, their interests covering practically every branch of industry and transportation.

A translation of the soviet decrec of March 13, 1922, superseding that of June 11, 1920, is reproduced below.

## TEXT OF SOVIET DECREE ON FOREIGN TRADE CONTROL.

I. The forcign trade of the Russian Socialist Federal Soviet Republic is a state monopoly, conducted by the Commissariat of Foreign Trade on the following principles:
(a) Erport:-(1) The Commissariat of Foreign Trade disposes on foreign markets of export merchandise produced by State organizations or by itself. The procceds are put into the State "valuta" fund.
(2) The Commissariat of Foreign Trade disposes on foreign markets on a commission basis, under contract, of merchandise turned over to it by State organizations or prepared by itself, by trusts, or by cooperatives, and uses the proceeds to buy goods in foreign markets, according to orders of the above-mentioned organizations.
(3) The Commissariat of Foreign Trade is given the right to permit Government organs, trusts, or cooperatives to engage in direct dealings in forcign markets, their contracts and agreements being subject to previous approval of the Commissariat of Foreign Trade or its representatives.
(4) The Centrosoyus is given the right to market its export goods by direct contract with foreign cooperatives in agreement with and under the control of the Commissariat of Foroign Trade and under its general direction.
(b) Import.-(1) The import orders of the several People's Commissariats and central organizations, made in accordance with state plans, are executed (by the Commissariat of Foreign Trade) with participation of experts and specialists chosen by those organizations. These specialists are entitled to initiative as far as technique is concerned.
(2) Purchases of State organizations on commission basis (see subdivision (a), par. 2) in more important cases are conducted with direct participation of the mombers of those organizations.
(3) The Commissariat of Foreign Trade is given the right to permit the above-mentioned organizations to make their purchases in foreign markets directly, but on condition that their contracts and agreements must be approved in advance by the Commissariat of Foreign Trade.
(4) The same procedure is applied to the Centrosoyus and other all-Russian cooperative unions. At the same time, the Centrosoyus is given the right to deal directly with forcign cooperatives, and in order to carry on such dealings it may have agencies abroad, but working in agreement with the Commissariat of Foreign Trade and under its general control.

## ORGANIZATION OF STOCK COMPANIES.

II. The Commissariat of Forcign Trade also organizes with the confirmation of the Soviet of Labor and Defense, special stock companies-Russian, foreign, or combinedfor the purpose of attracting foreign capital, of preparing export goods within the country, of selling them abroad, and of importing articles necessary for the reestablishment of national economy and for internal barter. Such companies may be organized for trading in general, or for special operations, or for special lines of trade; and for their transactions within the country and abroad these stock companies may use the facilities of the Commissariat of Foreign Trade or establish their own offices. They may also organize and operate industrial establishments for the production of export goods. Such stock companies may be organized, also, by other State organizations, on condition that they receive the approval of the Soviet of Labor and Defense and that they work under the control of the Commissariat of Foreign Trade. The Commissariat of Foreign Trade is to participate in the discussions of the Soviet of Labor and Defense when the by-laws of such companies are under consideration.

## RECENT DEVELOPMENT OF FOREIGN TRADE.

To foster forcign trade and to facilitate credit for institutions engaged in international commerce, the Commissariat for Foreign Trade contemplates the establishment of a bank for foreign trade with a capital of $25,000,000$ gold rubles. The organization of this institution is the same as that of other corporations. The capital stock will be divided into 50,000 shares, of which 25,500 , or 51 per cent, will be hold by the Commissariat for Foreign Trade, the remainder to be offored to domestic and foreign investors. The bank will be under control of a board of directors elected by the shareholders. Negotiations are also proceeding for the -establishment of a Central Asiatic bank to facilitate forcign trade in central Asia.

Statistics of Russian foreign trade are not very accurate, and due allowance must be made for that fact in dealing with them. The figures for 1921, howover, aro more reliable than those of previous years, for the reason that since 1921 the fronticrs of the Russian Empire have been more or less fixed and have not undergone such changes as were frequently taking place before. This analysis will, therefore, be confined mainly to the trade of 1921 and of the first two months of 1922.
According to Russian sourcos, the foreign trade of Sovict Russia for 1921 was:


Although these figures show a decided increase over the last three years, they are very small and insignificant when compared with prewar figures. During 1913, the last normal year, Russia's foreign trade amounted to $1,220,-$ 500,000 gold rubles in imports and $1,420,900,-$ 000 rubles in exports. The trade figures for 1921 constitute, however, only 2.8 per cent of the quantity and 9.6 per cent of the value of the pre-war figures of foreign trade. Even after making allowances for loss of important territories, these figures show how great the decrease of Russia's foreign trade has been.

A further analysis of imports and exports to and from Russia shows another very important change. Before the war imports into Russia were mainly machinery, finished products, and food products, ranking in the order named. The food products imported consisted of luxuries such as tea, coffee, wine, and spices. Exports were made up largely of grain, which amounted to 56 per cent of the total, forest products and raw materials coming next in rank. At the present time, 44.8 per cent of the total volume of imports consists of food and food products, while such goods as are exported are mostly partly finished forest products, which amount to 70 per cent of exports. The following table analyzes the imports of Russia in 1921, according to the main classes of commodities:

| Class of commodities. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Quantity } \\ \text { (in 1, } 000 \\ \text { pood). } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Value } \\ & \text { (in 1,000 } \\ & \text { gold). } \end{aligned}$ | Percentage. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Quantity. | Value. |
| Food and animals. | 20,041. 4 | 32,122 | 36.3 | 12.9 |
| Frood products. . . . . . . . . . . . . | 669.0 | 79,210 | 1.2 | 31.9 |
| Wood, wood products, and seed. | 798.2 | 1,008 | 1.4 | . 4 |
| Pottery. | 76.5 | 453 | . 1 | . 2 |
| Fuel. ........................... | 16,399.3 | 5,571 | 29.6 | 2.2 |
| Raw products and chemicals. | 608.7 | 4,005 | 1.1 | 1.6 |
| Ores, metals, and metal products. | 11, 148.4 | -8,367 | 20.3 | 23.5 |
| Paper. | 1,763.8 | 7,954 | 3.3 | 3.2 |
| Flax. | 598.6 | 30, 411 | 1. 0 | 12.2 |
| Clothing. . . . . . . . . . . . . | 72.1 | 26,263 | . 1 | 10. 6 |
| Yarious, including 2,653,329 pood for starving population | 3,135.3 | 3,133 | 5.6 | 1.3 |
| Total. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 55, 306. 3 | 248,497 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

As seen from this table, necessities of daily life constituted three-fourths of Russia's total imports. The exports of Russia consisted mainly of half-finished forest products. The principal items of the $12,963,000$ pood of goods exported were $9,577,809$ pood of wood and half-finished wood products; 618,000 pood of flax; 48,000 pood of wool, hides, and skins; 396,000 pood of benzine. Oil products amounted to only 157,000 pood.
The countries sharing the bulk of Russian imports were Great Britain, Germany, and the United States.

Distribution of Imports into Russia, by Countries, in 1921.


The share of the Scandinavian countries was 10 per cent. The United States shipped chiefly food and food products. Fifty per cent of the imports from Germany were agricultural machinery and implements, while 62 per cent of shipments from Great Britain were food and clothing. Russia's best customers during this period were Great Britain, taking 47 per cent of Russia's total exports; Latvia, 22.7 per cent; Norway, 12.9 per cent; and Germany, 5 per cent.

The foreign trade for January and February, 1922, showed a further increase of imports over exports. The total imports for the two months amount to 14,039,500 pood, against exports of 1,241,100 pood. Exports, therefore, during these two months are only 8.85 per cent of the total volume of trade. They were in January 18.98 per cent, but dropped in February to 2.39 per cent. The reason for this situation is the increased imports of food products shipped mainly from the United States. In February alone $4,021,500$ pood of food products, or 47 percent of the total imports, were shipped from the United States to Russia.

## FRANCE.

ECONOMIC CONDITIONS DURING THE FIRST sIX MONTHS OF 1922.

A review of the past six months shows little change in French economic conditions. Although it appears that at no time since the war has France passed through a period of depression so severe as in a number of other countries, conditions are now noticeably better than a year ago and somewhat better than at the beginning of this year. Consideration of a number of leading factors in the economic situation offers a measure of the extent of this improvement.

Onemployment.-Foremost among the indexes of prosperity are unemployment statistics. Figures on this subject are not complete, as they show only those persons who come within the scope of certain governmental funds. These exist in only 31 departments out of 90 and in 233 municipalities. As out of 264 funds in existence only 73 are actually functioning, it will be appreciated that their
reports are more in the nature of an index than of a complete picture.

In December, 1920, 39,522 persons were receiving unemployment allowances. The accompanying table shows the trend of these figures since that time. 1921

|  | d. |
| :---: | :---: |
| January........ 63, 962 | November...... 10, 346 |
| February....... 89, 039 | December...... 10, 032 |
| March. . . . . . . . 91, 225 | 1922: |
| April........... . 84, 896 | January....... . 9, 940 |
| May. . . . . . . . . . 70, 383 | February....... 9, 244 |
| June........... . 47, 331 | March......... 7,915 |
| July . . . . . . . . . 33, 731 | April. ......... 6, 354 |
| August. . . . . . . . 28, 665 | May. . . . . . . . . . 5, 686 |
| September. . . . . 21, 797 | June ........... 4, 584 |
| October. . . . . . 14, 322 |  |

Since these figures cover the important industrial centers where unemployment is most likely 'to appear, notably in Paris, where about twothirds of those receiving allowances reside, the data are fairly satisfactory. A still more encouraging evidence of industrial activity is found in the figures for June 30, showing that while 10,139 were out of work, 10,085 positions were reported seeking workers.

Various reasons may be given to account for this situation. The following may be men-tioned-the number killed and disabled in the war, the vast amount of labor required to restore the devastated regions and to repair the accumulated deterioration of physical wealth from the war period, and the facility with which the French workman turns to agriculture if other work is slack. Further, French relief systems have been by no means prodigal of unemployment doles, thus offering little encouragement to idleness.

An enormous amount of materials and labor have been, and for some years will continue to be, required for the restoration of the devastated regions and other repair work. If capital is available this will keep France busy for some time; and capital seems to be in large supply.

The matcrials for this work have been largely of French origin. Practically the only reparations in kind received by France have been coal, the latest available figures for which were published in last month's Bulletin. To the end of April general reparations in kind received in France totaled $9,000,000$ gold marks. In addition to the reconstruction of the devastated regions, there is throughout France an enormous amount of what may be called deferred maintenance work. Railroads, farms, dwellings, and factories depreciated seriously during the war period.

Production of pig iron.-The activity in restoration is appearing distinctly in the increase in the production of pig iron, the value of which as an index is too well known to ro-
quire elaboration. The two following tables show the production of pig iron in metric tons:

| Year. | Monthly averages. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1913. | 434, 000 |
| 1919 | 201,000 |
| 1920. | 276, 000 |
| 1921 | 280,000 |
| 1922 (5 months) | 369,000 |

These last three years include the AlsaceLorraine production, with a monthly average of 93,000 metric tons. A statement by months shows steady progress in this industry.

1921:

| January.. | 293, 000 |
| :---: | :---: |
| February. | 292, 000 |
| March | 300, 000 |
| April. | 292, 000 |
| May. | 283, 000 |
| June. | 28a, 000 |
| July. | 267, 000 |
| August. | 255, 000 |
| September | 244, 000 |
| October. | 256, 000 |
| November | 295, 000 |

1921:
December..... 301, 000
Average..... 280, 000
1922:
January....... 312,000
February...... 323,000
March.......... . 385, 000
April.......... 383, 000
May........... 442, 000
From the low point of last September, the figures show a marked and steady advance. It will be noted, however, that even with the addition of the valuable fields of Alsace and Lorraine, production is below the 1913 figure.

Clearing-house returns.-Clearing-house figures are not perfect indexes of business activity, for the reason that they include items of speculation. In France, their usual disadvantages are aggravated by fluctuations in the gold value of the franc (external value) and its commodity value (internal value). The comparatively small use, also, which the French people make of checks impairs the value of this indicator. Since, however, clearinghouse figures have some utility for comparative purposes, the following table is presented showing the clearings of Paris, in millions of francs. To make these comparisons more offective, the index number of wholesale prices is also given (1913 base).

| $\cdots$ | Month. | Clearings. | Wholesale price index. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1921. |  |  |
| January. |  | 16,426 | 407 |
| February |  | 14, 187 | 377 |
| March. |  | 14,447 | 360 |
| April. |  | 15,599 | 347 |
| May. |  | 15,976 | 329 |
| June. |  | 15,144 | 325 |
|  | 1922. |  |  |
| January. |  | 12,229 | 314 |
| February |  | 11,745 | 306 |
| March |  | 12,286 | 307 |
| April. |  | 9,859 | 314 |
| May. |  | 11,805 | 317 |
| June. |  | 11,805 | 325 |

Taking into consideration the decline in prices, the figures for the first quarter of 1922 tend to show that the volume of business activity is about the same as that of last year.

Balance of trade.-French foreign trade is showing marked improvement. It is impossible to come to any definite conclusions from a study of the monetary value of French foreign trade, as exports were still computed on a table of prices established in 1919 until the end of June, 1922. But a comparison of tonnages gives ground for encouragement.
[Thousands of metric tons.]


This shows that in quantity French foreign trade is approximating pre-war levels.

In addition to this healthy trend of foreign trade, revenue from tourists has been very large this year, affecting the invisible balance of trade in favor of France. Although definite statistics of tourists and their expenditures are not published, it is believed that the figures of 1913 are largely surpassed, and perhaps multiplied several times. Before the war one of the largest invisible items of the trade balance was the income from foreign securities. So many of these held by France are now in default that their importance in the balance of trade has been reduced; but it is by no means improbable that, even though visible foreign trade figures run against France, the income from external securities and from tourists will swing the balance in her favor for the year.

Freight receipts.-Although not a perfect index, the gross receipts of all French railways are also useful in showing the activity of trade.

| 1922: | Firancs. |
| :---: | :---: |
| January | 454, 323, 000 |
| February | 468, 175, 000 |
| March. | 472, 779, 000 |
| April. | 608, 764, 000 |
| May. | 472, 607, 000 |
| June | 504, 430, 000 |

These indexes indicate recent improvement but do not show anything in the nature of a boom in business as yet. In fact, a boom based upon the reconstruction of ruins and the repair of general deterioration of the physical equipment existing in 1913 would not be entirely sound.

Financial conditions.-While production and trade show improvement, the financial situation is less distinct. The statements of the Bank of France as of the end of December, 1921, and June 29, 1922, follow:
[In thousands of francs.]

|  | Dec. 31, 1921. | June 29, 1922. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Note circulation. | 36,487, 457 | 36,039, 355 |
| Deposits. | 2, 743, 206 | 2, 448, 373 |
| Liscounts.... | $2,505,826$ $8,228,043$ | $\mathbf{2 , 3 3 1}$ $\mathbf{2 , 1 7 4 , 7 6 9}$ |

The greatest volume of note circulation was in the first week of the year, reaching $37,421,-$ 830,000 francs, declining to a low of $35,281,-$ 790,000 francs in the third week in March, a total maximum decrease of $2,140,040,000$. francs, or about 6 per cent. On June 29 note circulation had advanced again to 36,039 ,355,000 francs, as compared with $37,422,-$ 087, 000 francs at the end of June, 1921. But while the note circulation was undergoing this small contraction, the volume of short-term treasury bills was mounting. The net increase of treasury bills in each of the first five months of the year, as compared with the preceding month, has been as follows:
1922:
Francs.

| January. | 1, 366, 829,900 |
| :---: | :---: |
| February | 305, 430, 000 |
| March | 746, 706, 000 |
| April. | 689, 242, 000 |
| May | 1,362,000, 000 |
| Total | 4, 470, 207, 900 |

The increase in treasury bills and the decrease in note circulation have tended, in fact, to offset each other, but the item of treasury bills is often ignored. Such bills may be used by the Government in settling its obligations in lieu of opening credits with the Bank of France, which would result in an increased note circulation. In some respects these treasury bills resemble interest-bearing currency, although their influence upon credit, prices, and exchange is difficult to measure.

Capital issues.-During the period under consideration a large number of new capital issues have been put out. As was implied in earlier paragraphs of this article, a great part of this capital goes, not into creating new capital assets, but rather for repairing the wreckage and forced undermaintenance of the war period. Recent figures are given in the following exhibit:
[In thousands of francs.]

|  | Industrials and miscellancous. | Railroads. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1922. |  |  |
| First quarter. | 6,198,738 | 1918,069 |
| April. | 458, 505 | 2150,703 |
| May . | 643, 824 | 185,971 |

${ }^{1}$ Does not include $94,340,875$ tranes issue sold in England by the Paris-Lyon-Mediterranée.
${ }_{2}-$ Does not include figures for the Orleans line, nor the $336,352,658$ francs issue floated in the United States by the Paris-Lyon-Mediterranée.

Foreign exchange.-In attempting to analyze the economic situation of France at the present time, with a view to discovering the trend of conditions, very little help can be derived from a study of exchange rates. During the first five months of the year the gold value of the franc advanced and then in one month canceled its gain. The following table shows the course of the franc in New York from the beginning of the year:
 $\$ 0.0915$.

From the first trading day of the year to the high point the advance was about 16 per cent. Several reasons were given for the rise. Among these the decline in note circulation was given a very prominent place and was an undoubted aid to speculation for the advance.

Starting from the June high of $\$ 0.0915$ on June 6, and following the adjournment of the bankers' conference, which had failed to produce an acceptable plan of action, the franc declined precipitately. This decline was ascribed to the uncertainties of German politics, to purchases of dollars in anticipation of grain and cotton movements, to the reparation payments, to English pressure for interest on her loans to France, and to other causes. While it is impossible to state a positive conclusion, it is by no means improbable that a part of the rise of the franc was caused by speculative sentiment encouraged by several promising factors, which neither individually nor collectively justified the advance, and that what had been built on such an insecure foundation crumpled easily on bad news.

Summary.-From the foregoing survey no very distinct conclusions appear. The financial or monetary indexes have registered little change during the past six months. The pop-
ulation, however, is well employed; there is a great amount of necessary work at hand and apparently a large amount of available capital to facilitate that work. There are indications (in pig-iron production and railroad revenues) that distinct improvement may soon appear. The volume of foreign trade is near pre-war levels, and the balance of trade is being favorably affected by the expenditures of tourists in France. On the other hand, it must be remembered that a great part of the labor, energy, and capital of France are now, and for some years to come will be, devoted to restoring the real assets of the country to the physical condition of 1913 . Until that is done relatively little can be undertaken in the way of new projects.

## REPARATIONS.

Reparations are always uppermost in French thought, although so far their direct influence on French economic conditions has been much less than the discussion devoted to the problem would lead one to suppose. It is true that coal deliveries have been important. The total amount of coal delivered to France, including the credits for the Saar mines, is valued at $2,300,000,000$ gold marks, according to a dispatch of June 30, 1922, from Berlin. From November 11, 1918, to April 31, 1922, reparations in kind, excluding coal, dyestuffs, etc., delivered under Appendix IV of the treaty, were as follows:

Gold marks.

Deliveries in kind for the devastated regions to the same date have been apportioned as follows:

Gold marks.
Belgium................................................................ 18,041,000
Italy.... . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 6, 327, 000

France... . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $8,991,000$
Cash reparations which, with the July 15 payment of $32,000,000$. gold marks, have reached a total of almost $1,500,000,000$ gold marks, are held by the Reparations Commission. The Wiesbaden agreement, providing for reparations in kind according to a rather elaborate plan, had not been adopted by France up to June 30, 1922, and consequently has never gone into operation. It may be made effective in the near future.

Meanwhile, the uncertainty of the present outlook is apparent. In three and a half years France has received approximately $9,000,000$ gold marks in kind, while the program calls for $950,000,000$ gold marks delivered
in kind this year alone. Consequently a new plan is being sought. The latest proposal provides for the construction by German workmen, with German materials at German cost, of great public works throughout France. The press accounts mention water-power developments, harbor developments, inland waterways, and the channel tunnel. The cost of this interesting program is estimated at 18,500,000,000 paper francs. The plan has not matured sufficiently to make possible any definite conclusions with regard to the practicability of such proposals.

## ITALY.

The industrial situation in Italy is still unsatisfactory. The severity of the depression may be measured by three indexes-the volume of new capital available for industry, the market price of industrial shares, and the volume of unemployment.

Capital investment.-In Italy very accurate figures are at hand, not only of capital issues (including both new enterprises and new capital added to old concerns), but of "disinvestments." "Disinvestments" are reductions of investment capital by failure, reorganization, etc. The difference between investment and "disinvestments" is the net investment of the month.

The war caused an enormous increase in industrial activity in Italy, which was shown in the increase of new capital available. The totals of new capitalissucs (netinvestments) were in 1911, 159,300,000 lire; in 1912, 168,900,000 lire; and in 1913, 133,600,000. The outbreak of the war virtually stopped investment; the total for 1915 reaching only $72,300,000$ lire, or one-half that of 1913. In 1916 the war boom set in, with a net investment of $276,050,000$ lire. In 1917 the figure was $1,333,700,000$ lire, almost exactly ten times the amount of 1913 . This was the result partly of the increase in prices, but still more of the enormous activity generated by war demands. By this time inflation was an important factor, but the true situation was not generally realized, for two reasons: (a) the pegging of the lire exchange concealed the external measure of values, and (b) the utility of index figures in measuring the value of money was not so well appreciated as it is now. As a matter of fact, too, such artifices as subsidies, Government price fixing, etc., combined to befog the indexes. The following table shows the amount of subsequent new capital issues:

| [Millions of lire.] |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| First semester, 1918. | 1, 149.0 |
| Second semester, 1918. | 1,886. 4 |
| Total. | 3, 035.4 |
| First semester, 1919. | 1,497.6 |
| Second semester, 1919. | 1, 533.2 |
| Total. | 3, 030.8 |
| First semester, 1920. | 2,557.1 |
| Second semester, 1920. | 2,400. 4 |
| Total. | 4,957.5 |
| First semester, 1921 | 1,939.9 |
| Second semester, 1921 | 583.0 |
| Total. | 2,522.9 |

The greatest volume of capital available for industrial development was in 1920, when the figure was thirty-seven times that of 1913. In 1921 the total, though enormously greater than 1913, was only about one-half that of the peak year.

One of the best available measures of this industrial expansion is the index of wholesale prices. The figures of Professor Bachi, based on 1901-1910 prices, show an index of 120 for 1913 and 748 for 1920. During that period, therefore, prices had advanced about six times, while the volume of capital issues had expanded thirty-seven times, showing distinctly that the increase of commodity prices was only one factor of this great expansion.

By 1920 , also, the volume of "disinvestments" began to be significant, though it was not until the latter half of 1921 that it became ominous.
[Millions of lire.]

| Period. | Capital increase. | Capital decrease. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First semester, 1919. | 1,558 | 79.0 |
| Sceond semester, 1919 | 1,603 | 70.6 |
| First semester, 1920. | 2, 707 | 149.9 |
| Sccond semester, 1920 | 2,512 | 111.7 |
| First semester, 1921. | 2,130 | 191.0 |
| Second semester, 1921 | 1,330 | 746.7 |

In the latter half of last year the ratio of capital increase to capital decrease was as 2 to 1 . The first column in the table above shows that the flow of new capital into Italian industry had been checked; the second column, which corresponds in part to figures of the volume of business failures in the United States, reflects the depression which had already become acute, particularly in the iron industry.

Enormously overdeveloped to meet war needs, that was the first industry to feel the severity of peace-time readjustments. It should be noted that the index of wholesale commodity prices shows only a moderate decline from the 1920 average of 748 to a 1921 average of 692. Consequently this sudden decline in the last half of 1921 seems to represent a drying up of capital investment rather than any deflation of commodity prices. As a matter of fact, the strong deflationary movement seems to have started with February, 1922, the January, 1922, average being identical with the average for the whole year 1921. The decline from the average of 1920 (748) to the average for January, 1922, was only 56 points. The decline during the months of February and March, 1922, alone was 53 points. The financial effects of this decline in commodity prices must be awaited in later figures.

The distribution of capital investment is instructive, as it shows which lines of industry have so far felt the depression most severely:


The only industry which still was capable of attracting large amounts of capital in 1921 was the electrical.

Although the concerns which engaged most extensively in war work were overdeveloped, it may be doubted if Italian industry as a whole is overdeveloped. Not only is there a certain amount of war devastation to be reconstructed but there is a great amount of undermaintenance, taking the word in its broadest sense, accumulated during the war period. But it appears that Italian industry is not at present attracting much capital for such undertakings.

Current valuation of industrial shares.-The same causes which have discouraged the investment of new capital have reduced the valuation of the shares of existing concerns. At the recent General Assembly of the Associazione Bancaria Italiana interesting figures were brought out showing the current values of the principal groups of industrial shares. The figures of December, 1918, are taken as a base.

| Industry or business. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { De- } \\ & \text { cember, } \\ & 1919 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { De- } \\ \text { cember, } \\ 1920 . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { De- } \\ & \text { cember, } \\ & 1921 \text {. } \end{aligned}$ | March, 1922. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Banks. | 109 | 103 | 94 | 90 |
| Railroads. | 92 | 62 | 50 | 52 |
| Transportation: |  |  |  |  |
| Land....... | 85 | 70 | 58 | 61 |
| Sea. | 103 | 83 | 54 | 47 |
| Cotton. | 119 | 137 | 126 | 115 |
| Jute.. | 95 | 118 | 102 | 108 |
| Wool. | 104 | 101 | 118 | 118 |
| Liuen. | 121 | 152 | 155 | 124 |
| Silk. | 147 | 172 | 153 | 128 |
| Mining. | 10.4 | 74 | 63 | 50 |
| Iron.... | 87 | 47 | 17 | 14 |
| Machinery. | 90 | 55 | 32 | 18 |
| Automobiles. | 112 | 72 | 56 | 47 |
| Electrical. | 93 | 67 | 67 | 68 |
| Chemical. | 93 | 80 | 59 | 54 |
| Sugar ... | 107 | 108 | 101 | 97 |
| Other food products. | 112 | 106 | 107 | 98 |
| Waterworks........ | 1.00 | 87 | 90 | 87 |
| Realty | 105 | 100 | 100 | 93 |
| Miscellancous. | 104 | 130 | 112 | 103 |
| Average........... | 104 | 96 | 85 | 78 |

The average of security prices has declined 22 per cent since the end of December, 1918. At that time the exchange was still pegged near its parity. As the above percentages are based on prices in paper lire, it is evident that they do not reflect gold values.

However, very little satisfaction can be found in deductions based on the gold exchange value of seriously depreciated currencies. A better index is that of wholesale commodity prices. In the period under discussion the averages were (1901-1910 base):

## 1918 ${ }^{1}$
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1921...................................................... 692 1922:

It will be noted that, while during 1920, prices were making a sensational rise of about 300 points, most securities were nearly stationary in price. During the next year, while wholesale prices showed little change, the decline in security values was noticeable. In the first quarter of 1922 the decline both in prices and securities was severe. The total decrease in commodities by this scale is about 15 per cent, while the drop of security prices in paper lire is about 25 per cent. In spite of this drastic decline, there is little evidence that foreign capital is being attracted into Italian industries in any considerable amounts.

Unemployment. -The figures for unemployment are further evidence of the severity of the depression. Although Italy has a comparatively small devastated area, it suffered,

[^4]like the other belligerents, a long period of severe undermaintenance, which has by no means been made up. It appears that, as yet, capital is not available to undertake the needed work of restoration. The following figures show the situation:

Unemploymeny in Italy at First of Each Month.

| 1921. | Number. | \$7. 1922. | Number. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| July | 388, 744 | January. | 541,775 |
| August | 435, 194 | February | 606,819 |
| September. | 470, 543 | March | 576, 284 |
| October. | 473, 216 | April. | 498.606 |
| November. | 462,368 | May. | 431, 724 |
| December. | 512, 260 |  |  |

The peak of unemployment was reached about the first of February, but a serious proportion of a population of less than $40,000,000$ is still without work. It remains to be seen whether this decrease in unemployment represents an improvement in industry or a readjustment of labor, by the return to the farms of workmen drawn into industry during the period of war-time inflation.

## GERMANY.

ECONOMIC CONDITIONS DURING THE FIRST SIX MONTHS OF 1922.

German economic conditions during the past half year were largely governed by two factors: (1) The steady decrease in the exchange value of the mark, and (2) the rapid increase in prices. It may be said that the prevailing business activity and "prosperity" of the country were wholly the result of these two basic phenomena. The effects of continued and progressive currency inflation, such as is now taking place in Germany, are cumulative, and have resulted in forcign exchange depreciation, accompanied by a more rapid increase in prices and wages. This fact is illustrated in the following table, which compares indexes of. the volume of outstanding paper notes, the value of the dollar in Borlin, and wholesale prices in Germany at the opening of each month in the current year. The figures for January, 1922, are put equal to 100 .

| Month. | Notes in. circulation. | Value of the dollar in Berlin. | Wholesale prices in Germany. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1922. |  |  |  |
| January.. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| March... | 103.6 | 109.5 | 1136.7 |
| April. | 116.5 | 155.3 | 171.2 |
| May. | 125.9 | 153.7 | 184.4 |
| June. | 136.9 | 167.4 | 191.0 |

[^5]No reliable comparative figures are available for wages, but it is a known fact that they also have increased between 30 and 50 times over the pre-war level. In so far as the increase in wages and salaries has followed closely the rise in the cost of living, the purchasing power of the population was not impaired.
The steady rise in prices created a desire to exchange paper notes for tangible commodities, which resulted in a great activity in production and in imports and exports. The volume of imports into Germany decreased in January and February but increased during the following four months, and their value, due to the depreciation of the mark, rose rapidly. The quantity of imports showed an upward movement, with a monthly average so far this year amounting to $2,895,000,000$ metric tons, as compared with $2,194,000,000$ metric tons for 1921 and $6,073,000,000$ metric tons for 1913. The exports of the country show the same upward tendency and indicate an improvement over 1921. However, they were unable to offset the balance of imports and thus caused a further decline in the value of the mark. The monthly average quantity of exports was $2,013,000,000$ metric tons in the first half of 1922, as compared with $1,715,000,000$ metric tons in the year 1921 and $6,141,000,000$ metric tons in 1913.

The capital market throughout the six months was active, but very irregular. The total amount of securities issued was 24,253 ,000,000 marks and exceeded by $12,654,000,000$ marks the issues of the same period in 1921. Prices of bonds, domestic as well as foreign, increased considerably. An interesting feature in the bond market was the rise in the price of Government bonds. Their average monthly quotation for 1922 showed an increase of 49 points over 1921. Prices of stocks, on the other hand, showed no important movement, and were influenced to a very large extent by the money situation prevailing in Germany. The monthly ayerage index number of the Frankfurter Zeitung, based on 25 stocks, stood at 222 in January, 263 in March, 238 in May, and 229 in June. These figures show an upward movement at the beginning of the year and a downward tendency at the end. They further indicate that stocks were more or less independent of the valuation of the mark.

The shortage of money, which appeared at the end of 1921, became more acute during 1922. The increase in the amount of paper notes, from $113,000,000,000$ marks in January to $169,000,000,000$ marks on June 30, did not offset the more rapid increase in prices and wages. The money stringency was further
aggravated because a number of banks were loaded with a considerable amount of new securities which they could not dispose of in the open market. Interest rates for commercial and stock exchange purposes increased in some instancos from 4 per cent to 9 per cent. The forced loan, combined with heavy taxation and the hoarding of money abroad, tend further to curtail the supply of money available for industrial and business purposes.

The figures of the Reichsbank, issued from week to week, reflect clearly the prevailing situation. The amount of discounted treasury bills has risen constantly, increasing during the past six months by $68,278,000,000$ marks. The shortage of money caused a further increase in the amount of discounted commercial paper, which increased from $1,746,000,000$ marks at the beginning of the year to $4,752,000,000$ marks at the end of June.

## GIRRMAN REPARATIONS.

Recent developments in the reparations situation may briefly be reviewed as follows: At Cannes the Allied Supreme Council fixed the reparations payments for 1922 at $720,000,000$ gold marks in cash and $1,450,000,000$ marks in kind. In accordance with the Cannes agreement, Germany paid $31,000,000$ gold marks every 10 days from January 16 to April 15. For the rest of the year she was to pay $50,000,000$ gold marks at the 15th of each month up to November, and $60,000,000$ gold marks monthly in November and December. Germany paid the installments duc in May and June, but on July 12 she presonted to the Reparations Commission a formal request for complete relief from the remaining cash payments during 1922, including the reduced installment of $32,000,000$ gold marks due July 15 . Her representatives further asked "that the moratorium be extended for the cash payments provided for 1923 and 1924 under the schedule of May 5, 1921." In its reply to the German Government the Reparations Commission insisted that the July installment be paid, but promised to consider the rest of the German request after the guaranties committee had made its report. Upon receipt of the latter's report the Reparations Commission issued a memorandum on July 22 outlining the conditions under which it would be willing to consider a moratorium. The memorandum gives the guaranties committee full supervision over Germany's revenues and expenditures and is divided into
two parts. The first part explains the manner in which the control over Germany's finances will be exercised by the guaranties committee. This committee will have full access to all records connected with the Government's finances and will have two members accredited to the Reich's Finance Ministry. The second part of the memorandum deals with the exportation of capital. In addition, Germany is to furnish the committee at short intervals with full statements regarding revenues, expenditures, and floating debt. The control is to be effective November 1, 1922. The German Government, it was announced, has accepted the terms of the memorandum.

## GERMAN BANKING DURING 1921.

The financial statements of the largest German banking institutions, made public at the end of June, together with the annual report of the Reichsbank, show to what extent Germany's economic situation has differed from that in other countries. While the rest of the world went through a period of readjustment and deflation, which caused heary losses to both banks and mercantile institutions, Germany witnessed one of the greatest boom periods since the "Gründerjahre." The flood of new paper notes, closely followed by a rapid rise in prices, increased the business activities of the banks, with the result that items such as deposits and loans advanced into billions of marks and the turnover into trillions. The issue of 32 billion new securities further increased the business of the banks.

The increase in the amount of the various items in the case of certain banks is partly due to the concentration of banking in fewer hands, a movement which continued throughout the year. The larger banking institutions absorbed a number of smaller banks and replaced them by their own branches. The most important merger which occurred during the year is the fusion of the Bank für Handel und Industrie (Darmstädter Bank) with the National Bank für Deutschland. The final fusion took place in November, 1921. The merged institutions have a combined capital and surplus of $1,050,000,000$ marks and operate under the name of "Darmstädter and National Bank."
The principal items of the combined statement of the largest German banking institutions, presented below, depict very well the unsound and "feverish" economic situation prevailing in Germany throughout the entire year.

Financlal Statements of Principal German Banks, December 31, 1921.
[In millions of marks.]


The capital and surplus of all banks, although largely increased, were entirely out of proportion to deposits. This situation impaired to a large extent the liquidity of practically all banks. The percentage of quick assets to quick liabilities decreased and reached a dangerously low point in some institutions. This decrease in the liquidity is the more remarkable, as it occurred for the first time in many years.

The incroase in deposits, coupled with a great demand for capital, increased the earnings and expenditures of all banks. The bulk of the income was derived from interest and commissions charged to the customers, who at the prevailing stage of business activity could easily bear the burden. The ever increasing expenditures of the banks must ultimately prove fatal when the income decroases more rapidly than expenditures can be reduced. German banks were perfectly aware of the unsound conditions upon which their earnings are based, and made ample provisions for the coming storm. Their dividends, although high, were small if compared with the huge sums set aside for reserves and the large depreciation charges made during the year.

A more detailed analysis of the assets of the banks shows one wholesome fact, namely, the increasing tendency toward private as against government financing. Government paper held by banks decreased steadily and released
funds for private business transactions. The large banks were unwilling to discount treasury bills of the State to the same extent as in former years, which resulted in ever increasing holdings of treasury notes by the Reichsbank.

Another item of interest is the rapid increase of deposits carried with other banks. Such deposits undoubtedly consist to a large extent of funds deposited with foreign banks by customers who prefer to hold them abroad. From the available data, however, it is impossible to determine the amount of funds kept abroad, since both domestic and foreign deposits are combined in one item. A separation of these two classes of funds would be very desirable, inasmuch as the foreign deposits are not so liquid as the domestic, which can be withdrawn upon demand.

The resumption of business relations with foreign countries continued steadily during the year. New affiliations were made abroad, especially in Holland. The branches in London and in other former enemy countries were not reopened, so that a large percentage of Germany's foreign trade which before the war would have been financed through London was financed through Amsterdam. The foreign business of the banks consisted largely in providing foreign credits to German importers and in the buying and selling of forcign exchange. The latter was carried on very extensively and
caused the failure of at least one important banking institution, the Pfälzische Bank.

## ANNUAI REPORT OF THE REICHSBANK.

The report of the Reichsbank for the past year reflects the same situation as do the statements of the large commercial banks. The steady decline in the value of the mark both at home and abroad, coupled with the huge deficits of the Government and the increasing unfavorable balance of trade, created requirements which the Reichsbank met by the issue of paper notes. During the year the number of notes in circulation rose rapidly and increased by $44,834,000,000$ marks. The tremendous inflation and the depreciation of the currency also accounted for the huge turnover figures of the Reichsbank, which during 1921 reached 20 trillion marks. The increase of notes outstanding and the turnover for the last four years can be seen from the following figures:


Except for the great increase in the amounts of the various items, especially in the number of discounted treasury bills and in the volume of income and expenditures, no important changes took place.

The metallic rescrves fluctuated only slightly and stood at a monthly average of $1,073,345,000$ gold marks, as against $1,104,037,000$ in 1920. The gold reserves, however, docreased from $1,091,600,000$ in December, 1920, to 995,400 ,000 in December, 1921, or by $96,200,000$ gold marks. This decline was largely due to the use of $68,000,000$ gold marks for reparations payments.

Discounted treasury notes held by the bank rose from $57,626,000,000$ gold marks at the beginning of the year to $132,330,000,000$ at the end. This upward movement runs parallel with changes in the floating debt of the Reich, which increased from $152,000,000,000$ marks at the beginning of 1921 to $247,000,000,000$ in December of the same year. The increase in the holdings of treasury bills by the Reichsbank is due to the inability of the public or banks further to absorb treasury bills. At the beginning of 1921, 62 per cent of the total floating debt was held by the public, whereas at the end of the year only 46 per cent was so held.

Gross profits of the Reichsbank for the year were $9,800,000,000$ marks, as against 7,800,-

000,000 marks in 1920 and 4,500,000,000 marks in 1919. The sources of these huge earnings were the large amount of discounted treasury bills held in the portfolios of the bank, the profits from foreign exchange transactions, and the sale of 24,000 kilograms of gold to the Reich. The expenditures, including losses of $8,100,000,000$ marks incurred in guaranteeing foreign currency credits for the Reich, amounted to $9,712,921,629$ marks. The net profits for the year were $64,806,169$ marks.

## SWEDEN.

Current reports from Sweden refloct a noticeable improvement in the industrial outlook and gradual progress toward the completion of business readjustment. In general, wage deflation, the settlement of important labor conflicts, an increase of employment, the reduction of freight rates and taxation, and the stabilization of commodity prices are some of the outstanding developments in the first half of 1922.

## TIIE PULP AND PAPER INDUSTRIES.

Evidence of a distinct revival of productive activity is apparent in several of the basic industries. The betterment is particularly noticeable in the chemical pulp industry, which came almost to a complete standstill in the early part of last year and has been laboring under the greatest difficulties in its history. In the first half of 1921 the demand for sulphite cellulose, which is the particular specialty of the Swedish pulp industry, was adversely affected by the general curtailment of output in the paper mills throughout the world. For this reason large stocks of sulphite pulp were necessarily on hand in the paper mills, and no further orders were placed in the open market. A large part of such orders as were placed, moreover, went to Germany, Norway, and Finland on account of the foreign exchange advantage afforded by their depreciated currencies. But for the fact that the market in Sweden was sustained by the domand from the United States, the industry would have been in a disastrous position. Not only was it affected by the depressed conditions in the paper market, but it also was handicapped by prolonged labor conflicts, a combination of circumstances which curtailed production of sulphite during 1921 to an amount representing about two-thirds of the normal annual output. The production in the first quarter of the present year, notwithstanding the continuance of the exchange advantages of the competitive pulp-producing nations, has increased to a point which indicates that the industry is
approaching the period of recovery. The manufacture of sulphite pulp in the first quarter of the present year amounted to 151,000 tons, or 70 per cent of the factory capacity, in contrast to about 126,000 tons, representing the quarterly a verage of production in 1921.

A similar revival has taken place in the manufacture of sulphate pulp, the production of which normally amounts to somewhat less than one-fourth of the output of sulphite. Production of sulphate in 1921 amounted to only about 43 per cent of the productive facilities of the plants, but according to the statistics for the first quarter of the present year there is every indication that the total output will approximate three-fourths of the mill capacity. Not only do production figures give prospects of better conditions in the pulp industry, but also the foreign trade returns warrant the same conclusion. In the first four months of 1921 exports of paper pulp, including mechanical and the two kinds of chomical pulp, amounted to 24,900 tons, while for the corresponding months of the present year exports totaled about 95,000 tons, an increase of 171 per cent.

The same influence of rencwed demand is being felt in mechanical wood-pulp production. This branch of the industry, it should be noted, was at no time during 1921 quite so seriously affected as were the chemical pulp lines. This is attributable to the fact that the newsprint paper market, the outlet for ground pulp, was better able to sustain itself than the market for the more specialized grades of paper upon which the chemical pulp industry depends. This circumstance and the general absence of labor troubles, except in a few local and sporadic instances, combined to keep the mechanical pulp industry from approaching collapse. The productive output was, nevertholess, greatly curtailed, amounting to only about 48 per cent of normal capacity. During the first quarter of 1922 production had incroased to 70 per cent of the facilities of the plants.

In the paper industry, which is closely allied with pulp production, a similar improvement in production may bo noted. In the case of wrapping and newsprint paper, the output in the middle of April, 1922, amounted to 90 per cent of the normal capacity of the factories. Production of other varieties of paper increased to a point twice as great in April, 1922, as in the summer of the preceding year. As in the case of pulp, the oxports of paperyin the first four months of this year also showed a small but nevertheless perceptible increase as compared with corresponding periods of last year.

## EXPORTS OF IUMMBER.

The lumber industry, which is the most important in the national economy of Sweden, has likewise experienced a decided stimulus during the first quarter of the current year. In 1921 the industry suffered from the effects of the overproduction of the first half of the preceding year. Foreign trade in 1921 reflects particularly the difficult position in which the industry was placed. The quantity of lumber exported in 1921 amounted to only a little over one-half of the quantity normally exported, namely, 544,000 standards, which is the
lowest figure reported since lumber production became a key industry. The present year, on the other hand, has seen a marked improvement in foreign trade in Swedish lumber products. About 54,000 standards were exported during the first three months of the present year, as compared with about 31,000 in the corresponding period of the previous year. Altogether the wood shipments for the first four months represent about 22 per cent of the calculated shipments of the present year, while in 1921 only 4 per cent of tho year's shipments had left the export centers in the same period. The foreign trade figures for 1922 thus far published, however, do not give a true indication of the extent of the revival in the industry, since lumber shipments do not gain momentum until May, with the opening of navigation to the northern Baltic ports. An acceleration of export activity, already well begun, may therefore reasonably be expected within the present summer. Considerable demand for Swedish lumber products is being manifested in France, due to the progress of reconstruction work in the devastated regions. England also has been buying recently in larger tolume, while Spain, heretofore chiefly a customer of Finland, has lately been placing her orders with Sweden as a result of her tariff controversy with the former country.

## IRON AND STEEL PRODUCTION.

The iron and steel industry was characterized by an unprecedented period of depression in 1921. Pig-iron production amounted to but 313,000 metric tons, the lowest figure in half a century. Only 68 blast furnaces, or about half of the total number available, were in operation during 1920. Production of pig iron in March, 1922 , still continued on a small scale, but showed an improvement over the output in any of the eight preceding months, thus indicating a slight upward trend in the industry. Evidences of revival are more dis-
tinctly apparent in the March production figures for steel manufacture, which show an output of 24,000 tons, the highest yield since December, 1920, but still only a little more than half of the monthly arerage in 1913. In contrast to low production in the iron and steel industry, 1921 witnessod a considerable increase in the amount of iron ore mined. The quantity of iron ore produced was no less than 43 per cent greater than in the preceding year, and came close to the volume produced in 1913 and the three subsequent years. This increase was mainly to be noted in the mines operating for the production of export ore of high phosphoretic content, for which there was a considerable foreign demand during 1921. In the iron industry the number of persons employed may be taken as accurately reflecting the position of the industry. The number of workers in the iron mines operating chiefly for the export trade had increased to 76 per cent of the normal number on May 1, 1922, as compared with 59 per cent on September 1, 1921. The mines operating mainly for domestic consumption, on the other hand, showed a decrease from 37 per cent of normal employment on January 1, 1921, to 33 per cent on May 1 of the present year. In the iron works an increase of 12 per cent had occurred in the period between September 1, 1921, and the beginning of May. Not only did the iron-mining industry show a very striking increase in production during 1921 but also a noteworthy expansion in the volume of foreign shipments.

A total of $4,333,000$ metric tons of ore was exported in 1921, as compared with $3,736,000$ tons in 1920.

## CONDITION OF OTHER INDUSTRIES.

Many of the manufacturing industries, on the other hand, are still in an uncertain position. On April 1, 1922, the labor personnel in this group of industries had fallen to 25,200 , which represents a decrease of 58 per cent from the number employed on September 1, 1920. In.certain lines, especially those filling orders for Soviet Russia, there is a considerable concentration of employment, but the manufacturing industries as a whole continue in a slack condition. During 1921 the competition from German sources was relatively keen, but this has not been aggravated on any large scale during the present year. Difficulties relating to German export trade control, in addition to delays in production, render the delivery of German goods less satisfactory, thereby counterbalancing to a certain extent the competitive exchange advantage which the German goods enjoy. Moreover, the differential between the prices of German goods and

Swedish goods has recently been considerably reduced.
The textile manufactures of Sweden are experiencing a decided quickening of activity. This industry, in fact, is one of the few which has recently shown a steady increase in labor personnel. A rapid reduction in the number of unemployed textile workers began as early as the middle of 1921, and during the last half of the year unemployment figures fell from 40 per cent to about 8 per cent at the close of December. This ratio was still further reduced on the 1st of April of this year. The revival of productive activity is, however, more or less uneven within the textile industry as a whole, particular branches such as woolen manufacturing still being obliged to face serious difficulties. The significance of the general increase in textile manufactures is all the more noteworthy when considered in conjunction with the fact that imports of textile goods in 1921 and the first quarter of 1922 have been. very heavy. This indicates that the Swedish market is at present able to assimilate large quantities of foreign textiles and clothing in addition to the normal domestic output.

All of the more important industries of Sweden have already made drastic wage reductions in order to bring expenses of production down to a more reasonable basis. Up to May, 1922, new wage agreements have affected about 200,000 workers. The following table indicates the wages within a number of the more important industries expressed as an index with the wages of January, 1914, as a base:

| INDEX OF | Mourly Wages in Industries. <br> [January, 1914=100.] | Represen | ATIVE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Industries. |  | Peak wages. | I atest wage agreements. |
| Textile: |  |  |  |
| Women. |  | 415 | 2.0 |
| Tanning: Men. |  | 348 | 258 |
| Boots and shoes: |  |  |  |
| Wen..... |  | 325 | 938 |
| Sugar: 200 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Women. |  | 374-439 | 20\% 7243 |
| Building trades: 20. |  |  |  |
| Masons..... |  | 358 | 239 |
| Carpentors. |  | 387 ' | 258 |
| Laborers. |  | 440 : | 290 |
| Tinners.. |  | 369 | 246 |
| Painters |  | 320 | 220 |
| Coal mining. . | .-....... | 383 ! | 217 |

Distribution as well as production has begun to show evidences of the beginning of trade revival. The total volume of goods carried
on the State railroads in March amounted to 730,000 tons, which is the highest figure recorded since the end of 1920. Freight tariffs have already been subjected to a series of downward revisions, but railway rates are still maintained at a height which seems out of relation to the reduced level of wholesale prices. According to an official report of the Swedish Tariff and Treaty Commission dated March 2, 1922, index numbers for different freight tariffs on the State railways vary from 204 to 458 , using 1913 rates as the base. The average freight rate for carload lots in comparison with pro-war figures stands at 253 , while the rate on smallor shipments is represented by the index 327 . As a result of its findings, the commission has officially recommended extensive and carly reductions in freight rates by both State and private railways in order to hasten the revival of agricultural and industrial activity.

## ARGENTINA.

## MEAT AND WOOL INDUSTRIES.

A general feeling of depression still seems to prevail in the meat producing circles throughout the Argentine Republic. Cattle prices have not materially increased. Estancia owners, not having bcen successful in finding sufficient purchasers, have had to keep part of their herds and flocks over the winter, involving heary additional expenses. Prices for cattle prevailing in Argentina at present are not exceedingly low if compared with prices in pre-war years. The considerable difference between prices for meat and its by-products in producing centers and those in consuming centers is mostly due to the excessive cost of distribution and transportation, a condition which would be improved, in the opinion of Argentine financial authorities, if the cattle industry in that country were organized upon a basis of more extended cooperation. Cost of production is higher than in pre-war years, thus increasing the financial difficulties of cattle raisers. Exports of frozen and chilled meat showed a general decrease during 1921, although an approciable increase in exports of frozen beef took place in the last quarter of the year, as shown in the following table:
Exports of Frozen and Chilled Meat from Argentina During 1921.

|  | Frosen lamb <br> (carcasses) | Frozen beef <br> (quarters). | Chilled beef |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| (quarters). |  |  |  |

The Banco de la Nación Argentina has adopted resolutions providing for substantial assistance to cattle raisers in the way of longterm credits not to exceed five years and for amounts not over 50,000 pesos (paper) in each case.

The wool industry, on the other hand, has shown remarkable improvement lately, due to the reopening of the German and Belgian markets. Exports of wool amounted to 378,465 bales during 1921, against 232,691 bales in the previous year and 349,200 during 1919. Heavy stocks of this commodity that were held over since 1919 have been disposed of. This fact, coupled with decreased production as a result of the commercial depression of 1920, leads to the belief that supply will not exceed demand in the coming years and the wool industry will be active and prosperous.

## FINANCIAL AND BANKING CONDITIONS.

According to authoritative reports from Buenos Aires, the Federal Government is in negotiation with London bankers for a loan of $£ 10,000,000$, one-half of which will be used in the extension of State railroads and the other half to cancel certain Government short-term obligations. The floating debt at the beginning of the current year has been reported at $650,417,478$ paper pesos, about $\$ 232,947,000$ at prevailing exchange rates, as follows:
Advances to the Government by the Banco Paper pesos. de la Nación Argentina on current account. 110, 644, 928
Other advances of the same institution....... 71, 999, 663
Loan of $\$ 50,000,000$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 117, 772, 728
Treasury bills.......... . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 12, 630, 272
Short-term loans. . ......................................... . . . $275,769,887$
External debt service. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 7,600,000
Balance from previous years.................... . 19, 000, 000
Other credits........................................... 3 . 3 ,000,000
Total.......................................... 650,417,478
Argentina paid off $2,500,000$ paper pesos in reimbursement of short-term loans maturing on May 31, and renewed $15,000,000$ pesos for six months, with interest at the rate of $5 \frac{3}{x}$ per cent.

The floating debt of the Province of Buenos Aires is estimated at about $80,000,000$ paper pesos, and the exigencies of the situation seem to indicate the advisability of contracting a new loan to consolidate such indebtedness. It has been reported that this Province will cancel within six months its outstanding foreign loan of 1913 for $£ 421,890$, which originally amounted to $11,100,000$ francs and was floated through the Crédit Mobilier Français, and that an internal loan for $50,000,000$ pesos has been recommended by the governor.

The floating debt of the Province of Santa Fe amounts to $17,000,000$ paper pesos, accord-
ing to the message delivered by the governor to the provincial legislature, and it is very likely that provincial loans for that amount will be floated in the near future.

The Banco de la Nación Argentina has been successful in meeting the difficulties created by the severe financial depression during the last year. Reference has already been made to the financial assistance rendered by this institution to cattle raisers, who have profited by the provisions of Law No. 9644 on rural property guaranties, and have obtained long-term loans amounting to $132,867,723$ pesos on December 31, 1921.

Other industries also received substantial help from the Banco de la Nación. During 1921 loans were granted by this institution to finance production and marketing of staples to the following extent:


The movement of loans and discounts, deposits, and cash on hand of the Banco de la Nación for the years 1905, 1913, and 1919 to 1921 is shown below:


The following statement shows the condition of the Banco de la Nación Argentina at the close of business on May 31, 1922:

|  | Gold. | Legal currency. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSETS. |  |  |
| Due from foreign correspondents. | 6,725,316.89 |  |
| Adrances on current accounts. | 330.83 | 326,699, 293.02 |
| Bills receivable. |  | 2,560, 614.36 |
| Accounts for collection guaranteed. |  | 15, 638, 218.60 |
| Bills discounted and rediscounted. | 39,712.00 | 562, 183,382. 14 |
| Rediscounts (law 9479 and 9577). |  | 17,560,757.68 |
| Doubtiul debts. |  | $14.328,963.39$ |
| Real estate. |  | 23,709, 403.33 |
| National public funds............... | 9,802,701.05 | 21,740,389.15 |
| Mobilization of convorsion fund, laws 9479 and 10251 |  |  |
| Furniture and fixtures. |  | 988,166.70 |
| Due from the Treasury, law 10251 |  | 71,999,663.25 |
| Conversion account. |  | 81,574,507.48 |
| Due, according to the agreement with England and France, law |  |  |
| 10350.............................. | 33, 839,115. 29 |  |
| Cash on hand | 23,257,116.75 | 386, 179,182. 40 |
| Other assets. | 536,670.00 ! | 7,902, 185. 10 |
| Total. | 94,200,962.81 | 1, $233,154,726.60$ |


|  | Gold. | Legal currency. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LIABILITIES. |  |  |
| Capital. |  | 150,038,942. 14 |
| Surplus. | 24,262,541.67 |  |
| Conversion fund, law 3871 | 30,000,000.00 |  |
| Conversion account. | 35, 892, 783.59 |  |
| Mobilization of the conversion fund, laws 9479 and 10251 |  | 45, 454,545.45 |
| Deposits, time and demand. | 3,197,210.05 | 1,294, 143,388.97 |
| Discounts, interest unearned but collected. | 848,371.54 | 29,839,720.27 |
| Due to branches. | 25.00 | 13,150,369.47 |
| Other liabilities | 30.96 | 327,760.30 |
| Total. | 94,200,962.81 | 1,533, 154,726.60 |

According to statements of the Treasury Department of Argentina, the total custom receipts during 1921 amounted to $243,458,791$ paper pesos, as compared with $297,685,843$ pesos in 1920. This decrease has been explained by a reduction in the returns from duties on exports, which only amounted to about 50 per cent of the estimates. Internal revenue during the month of March amounted to $8,525,268$ paper pesos, as compared with $7,644,409$ pesos for the same period in 1921, or a difference in favor of the former of 880,858 pesos. On the other hand, the Buenos Aires customhouse collected to April 20, 57,550,610 paper pesos as against $65,514,676$ pesos for the same period last year, or a decrease of $7,964,066$ pesos.

Liabilities of commercial failures during the month of May are placed at $12,592,032$ pesos, against assets of $11,182,169$ pesos. A schedule indicating liabilities of commercial failures since January, 1922, was published in the July issue of this Bulletin.

## BRAZIL.

The general situation in Brazil appears to be easier than at the beginning of the ycar, and, according to local opinion, the worst is over. Recent political developments in Brazil have contributed to hinder the process of financial readjustment, but with the help of the Government confidence has been restored and a general improvement is expected shortly.

## FOREIGN TRADE.

Although it is true that Brazilian markets have reached a point where depletion of stocks would make new purchases necessary, the figures of Brazil's foreign trade during the first four months of 1922 do not show an appreciable improvement in the import situation. Foreign exchange is undoubtedly the basic factor operating against buying on a large scale, importers purchasing only in amounts sufficient to meet current demands. Although in terms of volume imports into Brazil during the period above referred to indicated a substantial increase over the same period in 1921, in terms
of value a decrease of $£ 14,817,000$ was regis- ume during the same period, but increased in tered, or a reduction of over 50 per cent. value, as may be seen in the following lcomExports, on the other hand, decreased in vol- parative table:

## Foreign Trade of Brazif.

metric tons (gross weight).

| , | Imports. |  |  |  |  | Exports. |  |  |  |  | Balance in favor of or against exports. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1913 | 1919 | 1920 | 1921 | 1922 | 1913 | 1919 | 1920 | 1921 | 1922 | 1921 | 1922 |
| January.. | 456,754 | 218,520 |  | 268, 033 | 187,592 | 124, 292 | 193,704 | 147,483 | 180,022 | 162,344 | -88,011 | -25,249 |
| February. | 452,035 | 194, 802 | 246, 811 | 236, 581 | 213,038 | 93, 899 | 177, 273 | 117, 800 | 149, 147 | 142,733 | -87, 434 | $-70,305$ |
| March. | 518,298 | 223,011 | 259,569 | 205,262 | 305,995 | 85,556 | 179,256 | 178,336 | 175, 549 | 150,516 | -29,713 | -155,480 |
| April. | 521,344 | 216,659 | 248,084 | 190,938 | 265,456 | 76,205 | 157,649 | 162,653 | 148, 428 | 186,166 | -42,510 | -79,290 |
| Total.. | 1,948,431 | 852,992 | 918,199 | 900,814 | 972,081 | 379,952 | 707,882 | 606,272 | 653, 146 | 641,759 | -217,668 | -330,324 |

VALUE IN POUNDS STERLING (000 OMITTND).

| January | 6,236 | 6,000 | 6,520 | 10,451 | 2,833 | 7,829 | 8,814 | 12,272 | 4,949 | 6,168 | $-5,502$ | $+3$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| February | 5,354 | 6,757 | 8,641 | 6,990 | 3,152 | 5,561 | 10,859 | 10,930 | 4, 591 | 5,022 | -2,399 | +1,870 |
| March. | 6,187 | 6,559 | 7,645 | 6,732 | 4,197 | 4,403 | 10,923 | 13,854 | 8,111 | -5,511 | -1,621 | +1,314 |
| April | 5,849 | 6,204 | 8,278 | 4,759 | 3,933 | 3,515 | 10,296 | 10,621 | 4,501 | 5,915 | -258 | +1,98\% |
| Total | 23,626 | 25,520 | 31,084 | 28,932 | 14,115 | 21,308 | 40, 892 | 47,677 | 19,152 | 22,616 | $-9,780$ | +8,501 |

The total import trade during the first four months of 1922 increased in volume over the same period in 1921 by 71,267 tons; the unfavorable balance of trade, consequently, turned from 247,668 tons in 1921 to 330,324 tons for the same period of four months in 1922. In terms of value, however, the figures
show a balance favorable to Brazil of $8,501,000$ pounds sterling as compared with a debit balance of $9,780,000$ at the end of April, 1921. A final table is presented showing exports of Brazilian products by groups of commodities during the periods of January 1 to March 31 in the years 1913 and 1919 to 1922.


[^6]
## PERMANENT VALORIZATION PLAN.

The Brazilian National Congress has passed a bill providing for permanent protection of prices of coffee and other produce. This plan authorizes the Government to buy coffee up to a maximum of 300,000 contos paper for valorization purposes. A translation of the bill in question follows:

Chapter II, article 6, of the project for permanent protection of national agricultural and pastoral products provides for the establishment of an institution which will be administered by a commission composed of the Secretary of Finance as president, the Secretary of Agriculture as vice president, and five other members of recognized important financial and commercial standing, to be appointed by the President of Brazil.
I. The Institution for the Permanent Protection of Coffee will be domiciled in the Federal Capital. Branches and agencies may be opened in such important towns as considered necessary by the Government.
II. (Omitted in source.)
III. The functions of the Institution for the Permanent Protection of Colfee will be as follows:
(a) To grant loans, at moderate rates of interest and for periods to be determined by the commission, against coffee stored in reliable warehouses or establishments owned by the Brazilian Union or the States.
(b) To purchase coffee and withhold it from the market at any time the commission may deem necessary for purposes of price stabilization.
(c) To inaugurate an information and propaganda bureau, with a view to increasing consumption and suppressing substitutes.
IV. The capital of the Institution for the Permanent Protection of Coffee will be $300,000,000$ milreis paper.
V. This amount will be obtained from the following sources:
(a) Profits derived from sale of stock already held by the Government.
(b) Net benefits from other coffee operations.
(c) Contributions by the States.
(d) Internal or external loans, provided the Executive is able to obtain suitable torms as to time and interest; and, should it be considered necessary,-
(e) To issue paper currency in amounts sufficient to complete the amount required as capital. The Government is hereby authorized to issue the necessary amounts.
VI. Emissions will be guaranteed by that part of the gold reserves tendered as guaranty for paper currency, not referred to as guaranty under decree No. 3546 of October 22, 1916, as well as by 80 per cent of the coffee purchased by the commission, or by warrants in favor of other parties.
VII. As operations are concluded, notes corresponding to the emissions will be burnt monthly.
VIII. Should it be considered necessary to obtain warrants against coffee purchased by the commission in order to raise funds, such warrants shall be on a basis of 50 per cent of the current prices of coffee.

Vehement criticism has been expressed by some of the most conservative financial authorities of Brazil with regard to the advisability of adopting such a project. They seem to object strongly to the issuing of new Government paper currency, which would only aggravate the already serious exchange situation. Furthermore, although the planter would undoubtedly be benefited by the proposed plan, consumption would decline and the economic
structure of the country would suffer in consequence of a decrease in exports and the resulting adverse effect upon the balance of trade.

## EXCHANGE SITUATION.

In spite of the increased gold reserve, the exchange situation has not shown improvement. Local financial authorities contend that so long as banks and exchange are under official restrictions, those factors which under normal conditions would favorably affect the exchange situation will lose most of their force.

In the June issue of the Federal Reserve Bulletin a table was published showing the monthly range of Brazilian exchange rates in New York from January, 1916, to March, 1922. The following table indicates the changes which occurred during the three subsequent months, and serves as a supplement to the table referred to.


The total amount of paper currency in circulation at the close of 1921 has been placed at $1,900,000$ contos. The following indicates the gradual increase in paper currency since 1913:

|  | Milreis. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1913.. | 601, 488:304 |
| 1914 | 822, 496:019 |
| 1915.. | 982, 089 :528 |
| 1916.. | 1, 122, $559: 762$ |
| 1917 | 1,389, 414:967 |
| 1918.. | 1,679, 176:059 |
| 1919 | 1, 729, $061: 523$ |
| 1920.. | 1,730,000:000 |
| 192 | 1,900, 000:000 |

The gold reserves of Brazil have increased since the beginning of the present year. On May 31, the total gold reserves in the Caixa de Conversão and the Treasury was 85,203 contos, against 83,766 contos at the close of 1921. Of that amount, 1,011 contos, the equivalent of $£ 113,710$, are in the hands of the agents of the Brazilian Treasury in London. This represents profits accrued from purchases of Brazilian Government securities in that market to the amount of $£ 1,500,000$. The ratio of gold reserve to total circulation is at present 4.4 per cent.

## ESTIMATED BUDGET FOR 1923.

The estimates for expenditures for 1923 have been sent to the National Congress for discussion. Reducing all amounts to paper
currency, the 1923 budget shows a net deficit of $171,252: 000$ paper milreis, as follows:

|  | Gold contos. | Paper contos. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenue. | 95, 136 | 652,755 |
| Expenditure. | 87, 191 | 847,902 |
| Deficit |  | 195, 147 |
| Surplus........................................ | 7,965 |  |
| Premium on gold, 200 per cent, at 9 d . ex- change.............................................. | 15,930 | 23,895 |
| Net deficit. |  | 171, 252 |

The budget estimate for 1923 is based on figures for the 1922 budget, vetoed by the President, and still under discussion in Congress. It is to be expected that substantial changes will be made to reduce the deficit for 1923 to a considerable extent. The proposed expenditures by the different ministries for 1923 have been as follows:

| Ministry | In contos of reis. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Gold. | Paper. |
| Justice and Interior. | 3,240 | 94, 338 |
| Foreign Atfairs.. | 5,398 | 2,467 |
| Narine... | 4,100 | 84, 074 |
| War | 1,700 | 128, 150 |
| Public Works. | 474 | 288,946 |
| Agriculture... | 363 | 47, 710 |
| Finance. | 61,916 | 202, 117 |
| Total. | 77, 191 | 847,902 |

A comparison of estimated budget figures for 1923 can not be made with those for 1922 , since the latter are still under discussion by the National Congress, but the revenue for 1922, which is not expected to undergo material change, will compare with that of 1923 , as follows:


## CHILE.

## FOREIGN TRADE.

The annual message of the President of Chile was read at the formal opening of the National Congress on June 1. , ccording to his exposition of the country's economic and financial developments during 1921, the foreign commerce of Chile with the entire world in that year was laced at $825,055,642$ gold pesos (of 18d.), of which $381,302,506$ pesos represent imports and

443,753,136 pesos represent exports. These figures are $73,776,428$ pesos and $347,768,236$ pesos, respectively, below those of 1920 . This decrease is distributed as follows:

| Imports. | Pesos. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Products of extractive industries. | 27, 021, 662 |
| Manufactured products. | 45, 711, 892 |
| Coin and precious metals. | 1, 04.2, 874 |
| Total. | 73, 776, 428 |
| Exports. |  |
| Products of extractive industries. | 342, 788, 168 |
| Manufactured products. | 4, 378, 315 |
| Coin and precious metals. | 601, 753 |
| Total. | 347, 768, 236 |

The general reduction in the foreign trade of Chile is almost entirely due to the precarious situation of the nitrate industry. Chile's mainstay is nitrate, and until the heary stocks still held in Europe and in the "oficinas" in Chile are completely disposed of, no material improvement may be expected. Out of the total decrease of 347,768,236 pesos in exports for the year 1921 the sum of $342,788,168$ pesos corresponds to extractive industries. Of this figure nitrate showed a reduction of $253,033,602$ pesos from the total of the previous year, copper followed with a decrease of $56,610,903$ pesos, and wool with a decrease of $22,242,341$ pesos. The purchasing power of the country has been, consequently, substantially reduced. Stocks of nearly all kinds of manufactured articles are still heavy, and in a considerable number of commodities sales have been made at a loss in order to create ready cash. The following table gives the figures of Chile's trade with several important countries in 1921. The increasing volume of trade between Germany and Chile during that year is worth noticing.
[In gold pesos of 18d.]

| Countries. | Imports. | Exports. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States. | 105,096, 104 | 74,462,652 |
| Great Britain. | 102,771,205 | 67,524,549 |
| Germany | 38,034,092 | 15,051,267 |
| France. | 22,341,017 | 21,867,342 |
| Belgium | 10,432,403 | 3,307, 798 |
| Italy. | 7,092,220 | 9,030,186 |
| Spain | 6,920,936 | 2,558,673 |
| Holland. | 3,256,304 | 15,722, 138 |
| Sweden. | 2,846,224 | 779,934 |
| Norway | 2, 442, 696 | 686,794 |
| Japan. | 1,998,231 | 5,332,612 |

These figures do not include nitrate exports. Exportation of that commodity to all countries totaled 182,758,629 pesos during 1921.

The following is a comparative table showing the figures of Chile's foreign trade from 1911 to 1920 in terms of United States currency, converting the Chilean gold peso at the par rate
of 36.5 cents United States currency (18d. sterling).

| Year. | Imports. | Exports. | Difference in favor of (+) or against exports ( - ). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1913. | \$120,274,001 | 8144, (653, 312 | +\$24,379,31. |
| 1914. | 98,461, 195 | 109, 381, 334 | +10,920,339 |
| 1915. | ај, 922,218 | 119,529, 892 | +63,607,674 |
| 1916. | 81, 220, 102 | 187, 458, 432 | +106,238,330 |
| 1917. | 129, 603, 115 | 259,985, 495 | +130,382,380 |
| 1918 | 159, 167, 034 | 291,883, 277 | +132,696,243 |
| 1919. | 146, 483, 331 | 115, 696, 658 | -30, 786, 673 |
| 1920. | 166, 103, 811 | 288,905,301 | $+122,801,490$ |

Due to the complete closing of the German and central European markets, the foreign trade of Chile during 1914 showed a considerable reduction. The favorable balance of trade of the country was reduced by over $\$ 13,000,000$ and the purchasing power of Chile was thus considorably impaired. The effects of this situation were reflected in the following yoar, when imports fell by over $\$ 42,000,000$, while exports, on the other hand, showed substantial increases. The result was that the favorable balance increased from $\$ 10,920,339$ in 1914 to $\$ 63,607,674$ in 1915 . Purchasing power was greater, and consequently imports showed considerable increase during the next year. Exports also increased due to the growing demand by the belligerent nationsfor Chilean nitrates for the manufacture of war matorials, and at the end of 1916 the favorable balance increased to $\$ 106,238,330$. The year 1917 marked the beginning of a period of overtrading and expansion. Nitrate was exported in unprecedented quantities at high prices. Corresponding war wages were paid to the workers who spent freely, and purchases abroad were made in great quantities. Imports at the end of the year reached the figure of $\$ 129,603,115$ : This abnormal situation continued until 1919, when the demand for nitrate became far more limited and a period of liquidation and readjustment was inaugurated. The balance of trade for this year underwent a very severe change. As compared with a favorable balance of $\$ 130,382,380$ in 1918, the foreign trade figures shifted to an adverse balance of $\$ 30,786,673$ for 1919. Exports of nitrates in 1920 are placed at 535,602,880 pesos against 127,077,042 in 1919.
The following table presents imports to and exports from Chile for the years 1916 to 1920, by countries of origin and destination:

Imports into Chle
[In thousands of dollars.]

| Country of origin. | 1916 | 1917 | 1918 | 1919 | 1920 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States. | 34,459 | 63,535 | 74, 260 | 70,027 | 51,199 |
| United Kingdom | 20,050 | 23, 566 | 29, 728 | 28,423 | 42, 401 |
|  | 5,596 | 10,176 | 11, 588 | 11,788 | 12,398 |
| France | 3,462 | 5,152 | 5,037 | 6,277 | 10,320 |
| India.. | 4,551 | 5,341 | 6, 829 | 9,183 | 8,814 |
| Germany | 453 | 68 | 11 | $2 \overline{5}$ | 7,692 |
| Argentina | 2,513 | 7,682 | 11,307 | 3,853 | 6,224 |
| Spain.. | 2,033 | 3,251 | 4,421 | 4,488 | 3,353 |
| Nexico | 477 | 2,454 | 2,666 | 1,687 | 3,230 |
| Brazil. | 1,134 | 863 | 789 | 1,437 | 3,208 |
| Sweden. | 717 | 800 | 1,173 | 789 | 3,102 |
| Belgium. | 67 | , | 4 | 9 | 2,500 |
| Norway | 541 | 295 | 212 | 232 | 2,062 |
| Italy. | 1,752 | 1,652 | 1,302 | 1,303 | 2,061 |
| Japan. | 590 | 1,193 | 4,293 | 2,941 | 1, 538 |
| Bolivia. | 199 | 659 | 1,327 | 1,355 | 1,070 |
| Netherland | 351 | 116 | 256 | 66 | 1,007 |
| All other | 2,274 | 2,795 | 3,965 | 2,367 | .3,923 |
| Total. | 81, 220 | 129, 603 | 159, 167 | 146,483 | 166, 104 |

- Exports from Chile.
[In thousands of dollars.]

| Country of destination. | 1916 | 1917 | 1918 | 1919 | 1920 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States. | 92, 03.1 | 154,943 | 178,483 | 47,623 | 125,737 |
| United Kingdom. | 48,147 | 55, 269 | 66,476 | 25,984 | 59, 823 |
| France........... | 14,088 | 11,643 | 1,548 | 5,758 | 11,543 |
| Netherl | 2,41.5 | 2,764 |  | 3,391 | 7,602 |
| Spain. | 1,567 | 1,570 | 771 | 3,123 | 6,151 |
| Japan. | 1,409 | 3,639 | 3,019 | 3,090 | 5,461 |
| Argentina | 4, 492 | 7,577 | 9,616 | 5, 003 | 5,207 |
| Peru. | 942 | 1,266 | 6,632 | 5, 218 | 4,780 |
| Egymt. | 330 | 1,231 | 962 | 356 509 | 3,968 |
| Italy.. |  |  |  | 509 1,064 | 3,540 |
| Italy.. | 1,886 | 4,795 | 475 | 1,064 37 | 3, 473 |
| Hawail | 1,393 | 1,697 | 869 | 944 | 2,587 |
| Denmar | 1,419 | 660 |  | 606 | 2,291 |
| Bolivia | 757 | 1,453 | 2,905 | 2,922 | 2,183 |
| Australia | 1,178 | 1,333 | 654 | 195 | 1,604 |
| All others. | 8,565 | 5,054 | 6,032 | 4, 1.52 | 6,150 |
| Foreign merchandise exported. | 2,782 | 3,192 | 13,141 | 5,664 | 4,612 |
| On orders. | 4,055 | 1,897 | 258 | 167 | 29,520 |
| Total. | 187, 458 | 259,985 | 291,863 | 115, 697 | 288,905 |

PUBLIO FINANOE.
The Chilean National Congress has still under consideration the budget for the current year: According to a statement of the Minister of Finance before Congress, the total expenditures for 1922, excepting those which will be met with special funds, will amount to approximately $322,714,849$ paper pesos and $55,747,976$ gold pesos. The balances resulting from special laws enacted in 1921, creating obligations for 1922, amounting to $6,596,474$ pesos, should be added to the probable total expenditures. Those for 1922, representing expenditures of 1,173,537 pesos, should also be added. Un-
foreseen and probable special expenditures have been placed at $10,000,000$ pesos. On the other hand, the revenues for the current year have been estimated at $59,832,000$ gold pesos, which would create a surplus of $4,084,024$ gold pesos. The receipts in paper currency are placed at $206,806,115$ pesos, to which amount there must be added the surplus in gold revenue converted into paper pesos, which makes a total paper revenue of $219,058,187$ pesos. A deficit may thus be expected of about 121,426,673 paper pesos. This deficit will have to be made up, according to the Secretary of Finance, by the floating of a loan.

## ECONOMIC AND FINANCIAL CONDITIONS IN CUBA.

In the July issue of the Bulcetin (p. 825), the commercial position, foreign trade, and banking conditions of Cuba were discussed. The following article continues the consideration of economic and financial conditions in Cuba, with a discussion of public finance and imports and exports of foreign currencies.

## BUDGET AND FOREIGN DEBT.

A financial mission was appointed by the President of Cuba in August, 1921, for the purpose of approaching the American Government and financial authorities. Its object was to bring about a mutual understanding between both countries, in an endeavor to solve the present difficult economic problems of the island. The sugar tariff and readjustment of Cuba's financial affairs were the two most important matters considered.

Although no definite understanding or arrangement was concluded, the visit of the mission to the United States made possible an
interchange of views as well as the reaching of a better understanding of the position of the two Governments. This will undoubtedly prove beneficial in the consideration of financial assistance which may be extended to Cuba when matters such as the budget are adjusted. The question of a loan by the United States to Cuba for $\$ 50,000,000$, applied for by the Government of that country, is still in suspense. It is believed that a study of recent Cuban budgets will throw light upon the question whether a loan is really needed or to what extent Cuba is entitled to financial assistance.

The following table indicates the figures for budget and revenue of the Government of Cuba since the fiscal year of 1910-11:

${ }^{1}$ No budget for the year was approved by Congress; amounts represent figures submitted to Congress by the President.
According to this table, the revenues obtained by the Government have always exceeded expenditures, with exception of the year 1914-15. It must be borne in mind, however, that the budget provides for regular expenses, but presidential decrees and laws passed by Congress during the fiscal years provide for additional appropriations, so that expenditures at the end of any given year are far in excess of the budget appropriations.
The following table indicates the percentage distribution of expenditures for the budgets of 1914-15 to 1922-23:

| Items of expenditure. | Budget, 1914-15. | Project, 1915-16. | Project, 1916-17. | Budget, 1917-18. | Budget, 1918-19. | Project, 1919-20. | Project, 1920-21. | Budget, 1921-22. | Project, 1922-23. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Public debt. | 11.07 | 15.73 | 14.47 | 9.74 | 9.38 | 15. 40 | 14.18 | 14.36 | 13.39 |
| Congress.... | 2.21 | 2.20 | 2.17 | 2.77 | 2.39 | 2.45 | 3.00 | 3. 63 | 3. 38 |
| Judiciary. | 4.58 | 4. 59 | 4.33 | 4.24 | 3.80 | 3.70 | 5.32 | 5.69 | 5.33 |
| Total. | 17.86 | 22.52 | 20.97 | 16. 75 | 15. 57 | 21.55 | 22.50 | 23.68 | 22.10 |
| Execative: | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Presidency. | $\stackrel{42}{ }$ | ${ }^{-42}$ | - 39 | $\stackrel{.38}{ }{ }_{2}$ | .$^{.33}$ | ${ }^{.33}$ | 0.35 | ${ }^{.33}$ | ${ }_{2} .31$ |
| Justice. | $\begin{array}{r}2.26 \\ .57 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 2. 57 | 2.24 | 2.28 .52 | 1.96 .41 | $\stackrel{2.42}{ }$ | 2.41 | 2.12 | 2.44 |
| Interior. | 9.61 | 9.35 | 9.77 | 9.57 | 9.72 | 9.01 | 9.16 | 11.05 | 11.78 |
| Treasury. | 7.51 | 6.32 | 6. 34 | 6.36 | 6. 23 | 6.15 | 5. 77 | 5.37 | 5.70 |
| Treasury, additonal | 1.04 | 1.19 | 1.13 | 1.09 | 3. 52 | 1. 83 | 2.00 | 2.93 | 2.74 |
| Public instruction. | 12.91 | 13. 00 | 13. 05 | 13.96 | 16.15 | 14.75 | 13.65 | 15.87 | 14.79 |
| Public works.. | 12.67 | 12.10 | 12.63 | 14.04 | 10.95 | 10.25 | 11.81 | 6.76 | 7.47 |
| Public health and charities. | 10.59 | 9.93 | 9.72 |  |  |  |  | 8.92 | 8.93 |
| Agriculture, commerce, and labor | 2.62 18.46 | 2.65 19.55 | 2.44 20.78 | 2.68 20.19 | 23.17 23.44 | 2.07 22.66 | 2.00 21.83 | 1.86 20.44 | 1.58 22.03 |
| War and navy......... | 18.46 | 19.55 | 20.78 | 20.19 | 23.44 | 22.66 | 21.83 | 20.44 | 22.03 |
| Total. <br> Reserve fund | $\begin{array}{r} 78.66 \\ 3.48 \end{array}$ | 77.48 | 79.03 | 83.25 | 84. 43 | 78.45 | 77. 50 | 76.32 | 77.90 |
| Toial. | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |

The figures for the budget of 1921-22 are represented, as readjusted by the President on January 9, 1922, and those for the budget of 1922-23 are estimates submitted to Congress on November 14, 1921. The Cuban budget for the current year has been balanced at $\$ 54,800$,000 and represents a cut of $\$ 9,622,665$ from the original project.
$\AA$ comparison of the percentages for the 1919-20 budget and those for 1921-22 reveals that the appropriations for nearly every division were increased at the expense of provisions for public debt, agriculture, public
health, and public works. Provision for the reserve fund was made only for tho year 1914-15. The following table indicates the changes made in the budget during the war priod. The first column shows the budget figures for the first year of war when conditions in Cuba were normal. The second column presents figures for the year 1918-19, when Cuba was enjoying a period of wide prosperity and inflated trade. The fiscal year 1921-22 was a period of liquidation and adjustment. The budget figures for the year 1921-22 are shown in the third column of this table:

| Items of expenditure. | Budget. |  |  | Percentages. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1914-15 | 1918-19 | 1921-22 ${ }^{1}$ | 191 $1-15$ | 1918-19 | 1921-22 |
| Public debt. | \$4, 457, 963 | 86, 044, 210 | \$8,623,651 | 11.07 | 9.38 | 14. 36 |
| Congress. | 889,620 | 1, 314,433 | 2,178,060 | 2.21 | 2.39 | 3.63 5.69 |
| Judiciary. | 1,813,480 | 2, 450, 261 | 3,421,672 | 4.58 | 3.80 | 5.69 |
| Total. | 7,191,063 | 10, 035, 009 | 11, 223,383 | 17.86 | 15.57 | 23.68 |
| Execntive: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Presideney | 168,080 | 209,620 $1.261,804$ | 200,620 $1,2 \% 204$ | - $\cdot 42$ | .33 1.96 | 2. 33 |
| State...... | 911, 079 229,000 | 1,261, 204 | $1,276,204$ 403,100 | $\begin{array}{r}2.26 \\ .57 \\ \hline 8\end{array}$ | 1.96 | 2.12 .67 |
| Interior. | 3,870,960 | 6, 261,586 | 6,639,624 | 9.61 | 9.72 | 11.05 |
| Treasury. | 3,022,093 | 4, 018, 728 | 3, 225, 101 | 7.51 | 6.23 | 5. 37 |
| Treasury, addiciona | -419,299 | 2, 263, 204 | 1., 758, 701 | 1.04 | 3.52 | 2. 93 |
| Public instruction. | 5, 190, 42.1 | 10, 100, 127 | 9, 228,317 | 12.91 | 16. 15 | 15. 87 |
| Public works....-....... | $5,101,668$ $4,204,388$ | $7,1026,140$ $6,1: 2,3+4$ | $4,059,225$ $5,354,207$ | 12.67 <br> 10.59 | 10.05 | 6.76 |
| Agriculture, commerce, and labor | 1, 056,260 | 1, 400,880 | 1, 1.16, 450 | 2.62 | 2.17 | 8.92 1. 80 |
| War and nary..................... | 7,431,992 | 15, 090, 17 l | 12,273, 316 | 18.46 | 23.44 | 20.44 |
| Total. | 31, 671, 843 | 34, 394, 86-1 | 45,833, 895 | 78.66 | 84.43 | 76.32 |
| Reserve fund. | 1, 100,000 |  |  | 3.48 |  |  |
| Grand total. | 40,262, 9006 | 0.4,430,773 | 60,057,278 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |

## 1 Projected.

The budget for 1922-23, according to the project presented to the Congress of Cuba, made provisions for expenses totaling $\$ 64,422,665$. As revenues were placed at $\$ 54,000,000$, there was a deficit of over $\$ 10,000,000$. The Government of Cuba, taking into account this fact and also that actual yearly expenditures always exceed those provided for in the budget, started negotiations for a loan in the United States, with which to
provide for current expenditures and also for the payment of the floating debt. No satisfactory solution has as yet been reached in regard to this loan, but the budget has been finally balanced at $\$ 54,800,000$. The following table serves to compare the budget figures for 1921-22 with the projectod budget for 1922-23 and with a suggosted budget presented by a high official of the United States Government for the same period:

| Items of expenditure. | Budget of 1921-22 as readjusted by decree No. 19. | Project, 1922-23. | Sugrested budget for 1922-23. | Per cent for- |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 13udget, 1921-22. | Project, <br> 1922-23. | Project, 1922-23. |
| Public debt. | 88,623,651 | 88,623,651 | \$8,623,651 | 14.36 | 13.39 | 15.97 |
| Congress.. | 2,178,060 | 2,178,060 | 1, 700,000 | 3.63 | 3.38 | 3.14 |
| Judiciary | 3,421,672 | 3,435, 671 | 2,600,000 | 5.69 | 5.33 | 4.82 |
| Total. | 14,223,383 | 14,237,382 | 12,923,651 | 23.68 | 22.10 | 23.93 |
| Executire: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Presidency. | 200,620 | 200,620 | 200,000 | . 33 | . 31 | . 37 |
| State................... | 1. $\begin{aligned} & 275,204 \\ & 403,100\end{aligned}$ | 1,371, 604 | 1,000,000 | 2.12 | 2.13 | 1.85 |
| Justice (including census) | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 4 } \\ 603,100 \\ \hline 639\end{array}$ | 7 286,100 | -390, 000 | . 67 | . 44 | . 72 |
| Treasury. | 6, 639,624 $3,225,101$ | 7,389, 440 | 5, 400,000 $2,900,000$ | 11.05 | 11.78 | 10. 00 |
| Treasury, additional | 1, 758, 701 | 1,767, 701 | 1,500, 000 | 2.93 | 2.74 | 2.79 |
| Public Instruction. | 9, 528, 317 | 9, 329,717 | $8,600,000$ | 15.87 | 14.79 | 15.92 |
| Public works. | 4,059, 225 | 4, 813,840 | 4, 800, 000 | 6.76 | 7.47 | 8.89 |
| Health and charities. | 5, 351, 207 | 2, 752, 042 | 4, 800,000 | 8.92 | 8.93 | 8.89 |
| Agriculture, etc. | 1, 116,450 | 14,013,900 | 1,000, 000 | 1.86 | 1.58 | 1. 85 |
| War and nary. | 12,273,346 | 14, 189, 442 | 8,300, 000 | 20.44 | 22.03 | 15. 74 |
| Total. | 45,833,895 | 50, 185, 283 | 39, 090, 000 | 76.32 | 77.90 | 72.39 |
| Reserve or surplus.. |  | .... | 1,086,3.39 |  |  | 3.68 |
| Total. | 60,057,278 | 64, 422,665 | 54,000,000 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |

The imperative need of limiting expenditures for the current year is all too obvious. In the proposed budget, high salaries paid to Government officials and employees during the war, when living expenses in Cuba were exorbitant, were not reduced. The provision for army and navy was increased by almost $\$ 5,000,000$ over the previous year, which amount alone exceeds the total sums allotted to a number of important departments of the Cuban Government. $\Lambda$ ppropriations for the departments of interior and state were also considerably increased. It is not only in the matter of salaries, which amountod to 61 per cent of the total budget for 1921-22, but in the proper control of Government finances with a view to keeping within the limits prescribed by the budget figures, that immediate consideration will have to be given by the Cuban Government. Heretofore the President of the Republic has had the power to create special appropriations outside of budget figures, there being no reserve fund with which to meet such expenditures.

The indebtedness of the Cuban Government on' July 1, 1922, the beginning of a new fiscal year, were considerable. It remains to be seen whether the revenue collected during the fiscal year of 1921-22 has been sufficient to meet expenditures, which, according to the budget provisions alone, will amount to $\$ 60,057,278$. The following table indicates the revenue obtained by the Government during the first nine months of the fiscal year 1921-22:

1921:
July........... \$5, 518, 055
August...... 5, 361., 653
September... 4, 630, 486
October. . .... 4, 239, 669
November.... 4, 350, 931
December.... 4, 133, 004
Placing the average monthly receipts at $\$ 4,800,000$, by the end of the year the total revenue would amount to $\$ 57,137,849$, which will not be sufficiont to cover the expenditures provided for by the budget as readjusted by decree No. 19.

The table in the next column indicates the present status of the Cuban debt.

1922:
January...... \$4, 334, 506
February..... 4, 570,067
March....... 5, 599, 478
Total.... 42, 737, 849

| Currency of- | Gold. |  | Silver. |  |  | Paper currency. |  |  | Total in U.S. currency. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ```Amounts in respective foreign units.``` | Equivalent <br> in U.S. currency. | ```Amounts in respectivo foreign units.``` | Rate of exchange. | Equivalent in U.S. currency. | Amounts in <br> respective foreign units. | Rate of exchange. | Fquivalent in U.S. currency. |  |
| United States | 255,000 57,580 | $\$ 255,000$ 10,940 |  |  |  | 90, 701,213 |  | 300, 701, 213 | 890, 950, 213 |
| Spain...... | 57,580 | 10,940 | 92,222 | \$0.145 | \$13, 372 | 4,711,615 | \$0.1525 | 718,521 | 742,833 |
| Mrance. | 114, 260 | 21,881 68819 |  |  |  | 399,617 | . 091 | 3,637 | 25,518 |
| England. | 940 10 | 6,819 | 12100 | +40 | 84 | $\begin{array}{r}182 \quad \begin{array}{r}5,500 \\ 10\end{array} \\ \hline\end{array}$ | + ${ }_{4} \mathbf{1 8 2 5}$ | 1,004 802 | 7,910 |
| Other countries |  | 1,264 |  |  |  |  |  | 1,084 | 2, 2,348 |
| Total. |  | 300,456 |  |  | 13, 513 |  |  | 91, 426, 261 | 91, 740, 230 |

## PRICE MOVEMENT AND VOLUME OF TRADE.

## INTERNATIONAL WHOLESALE PRICE INDEXES-UNITED STATES, ENGLAND, AND CANADA.

In June our index number of wholesale prices in the United States stood at 162, which was an increase of 4 points over the May number. Prices in England moved in the opposite direction, our index number showing a decrease of 2 points, while prices in Canada romained nearly the same, falling 1 point.

In the group index numbers it is to be noted that raw materials declined 2 points in both England and Canada, and rose 5 points in the United States. Producers' goods, on the other hand, rose in all three countries, while consumers' goods followed closely the trend of the allcommodities index numbers.

In the United States prices advanced for wool and cotton, coal and coke, and also for lumber, cattle, and pig iron. In England prices of raw materials showed an increase in some of the metals, but wool decreased and lumber remained the same. In Canada the only increases are found in furs, lumber, cattle, copper, and lead.

Among producers' goods there is a rise in leather, yarns, paper, cement, and sugar in the United States and Canada, but in Ingland only cotton yarn and jute show an increase.

In the group of consumers' goods, the general trend of prices in the three countries is entirely dissimilar. The United States index for this group was influenced principally by increases in the prices of fruits, cotton cloth, sugar and butter.


INDEX NUMBER OF WHOLESALE PRICES IN THE UNITED STATES-CONSTRUCTED BY THE FEDERAL RESERVE BOARD FOR THE PURPOSE OF INTERNATIONAL COMPARISONS.
[Avorage prices in $1913=100$.]

|  | Year and month. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Goods } \\ \text { produced. it } \end{gathered}$ | Goods imported. | Goods exportod. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Raw } \\ \text { materials. } \end{gathered}$ | Producors' goods. | Consumers' goods. | All commodilies. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1919, average. |  | 214 | 174 | 221 | 209 | 198 | 221 | 211 |
| 1920, average. |  | 242 | 191 | 235 | 235 | 237 | 244 | 239 |
| 1921, average. |  | 148 | 108 | 136 | $14]$ | 142 | 160 | 148 |
|  | 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June. |  | 140 | 102 | 126 | 13\% | 140 | 154 | 142 |
| July.... |  | 143 | 109 | 126 127 | 134 133 183 | 136 | 162 | 145 |
| September. |  | 144 | 103 | 149 | 139 | 133 | 162 | 116 |
| October... |  | 143 | 107 | 146 | 140 | 132 | 158 | 145 |
| November. |  | 142 | 108 | 143 | 141. | 128 | 157 | 145 |
| December.. |  | 140 | 1.11 | 141 | 140 | 127 | 153 | 142 |
|  | 1922. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Janmary.. |  | 139 | 110 | 139 | 141 | 127 | - 100 | 142 |
| February.. |  | 143 | 110 | 142 | 145 | 127 | 158 | 146 |
| March..... |  | 144 | 111 | 144 | 147 | 126 | 157 | 147 |
| April.. |  | 146 | 115 | 144 | 150 | 129 | 105 | 149 |
| Maye... |  | 155 | 119 | 155 | 164 | 137 | 160 | 158 |
|  |  | 159 | 123 | 105 | 169 | 14. | 164 | 1.62 |

[^7] The number has been published monthly since May, 1920 , but is computed for the yoars 1913,1919 , and the first of 1920 as well.

For detailed information regarding the make-up of the number, reference may be made to the Federal. Reserye Buliftin for May, 1920 pages $499-503$. The commodities included in the different groups are listed there with exact specifications and markets indicated. The "weights" assigned to the different cormmodities in constructing the index numbers are also given in detail. Revisions in prices or weights appear in Bulierins for June, 1920, June, 1921, and May, 1922.

The index of 'goods produced"' consists of 85 ' quolations ( 30 raw materials, 24 producers' and 31 consumers' goods). These include agricultural products (such as graits, live stock, and textiles), minerals, and lumber, among the raw materials; yarns, leather, semifinished steel products, refined oils, chemicals, building materials, etc., among the producers' goods; and potatoes, meats, four, rice, eggs, dairy products, fruits, cotton and woolen cloths, underwear and hosicry, boots and shoes, and kerosene among the consumers' goods.

The index of "goods imported" consists of 19 quotations ( 10 raw materials, 7 producers' and 2 consumers' goods). It includes Egyptian cotton, Australian and South American raw wool, Japanese and Chincse silk, South American hides, Straits tin, and Canadian lumber among the raw material
Leading American exports are included in the index of prices of "goods exported," which is made up of 39 quotations ( 17 rav materials, 11 producers' and 11 consumers' goods). Grains, tobacco, cotton, copper, coal, pig iron, petroleum, and lumber make itp the list of raw materials; vegetable oils, leather, semifinished metal products, refined oils, and chemicals the producers' goods; and wheat flour, refined sugar, pork products, offee, cotton cloth, boots and shoes, and Eerosone the consumers' goods.

The index numbers of "raw materials," "producers" goods," and "consumers' goods," cunsist of the commodities mentioned above which fall into these classes, whether they are of domostic or foreign origin. The raw materials group include 40 quotations, the producers' goods 31 , and the consumers' goods 33 .
The quotations are obtained from representative trade journals and private firms. About half of them are the same that are used by the Burean of Labor Statistics in its larger compilation of prices and are furnished to the Board by that burean.

## INDEX NUMBERS OF WHOLESALE PRICES IN THE UNITED STATES

 1920-1922CONSTRUCTED BY THE FEDERAL RESERVE BOARD FOR THE PURPOSE OF INTERNATIONAL COMPARISON


INDEX NUMBERS OF WHOLESALE PRICES IN GIEEAT BRITAIN-CONSTRUCTED BY THE FEDERAL RESERVE BOARD FOR THE PURPOSE OF INTERNATIONAL COMPARISONS. ${ }^{1}$

${ }^{1}$ The British index number is the second of the series of wholesale price indexes to be completed by the Federal Reserve Board for the purpose of International comparisons. It was published for the first time in the Federal Reserve Bulletin for February, 1922, but is also computed for the years 1913, 1919, 1920, and 192 L.
Detailed information regarding the mako-up of the number may be found in the Federal. Reserve Bulletin for February, 1922, pages 147-153. The entire list of commodities included in the different groups is furnished there with exact specifications and markets indicated. The "weights" assigned to the different commodities in constructing the index numbers are also given in detail.
The commodities which form the basis for the index number are for the most part the same as those used in the Federal Reserve Board index of American prices.

The index of "goods produced" consists of 55 quotations ( 16 raw materials, 26 producers' and 13 consumers' goods). These include agricultural products (grains, live stock, wool, and hides), pig iron, coal and coke among the raw materials; cotton yarns, woolen yarns and wool tops, semifinished iron and steel products, building materials, chemicals, leather, etc., among the producers' goods; cotton cloth, boots and shoes, food products, and tobaceo among the consumers' goods.

The index of "goods imporied" consists of 43 quotations ( 23 raw materials, 9 producers' and 11 consumers' goods). These include American and Australian wheat, American tobacco, American and Egyptian cotton, Australian wool, Straits tin, American copper, Swedish lumber, etc., among raw materials; Wost Indian sugar, India jule, Para and plantation rubber, American petroleum products, etc., among the producers' goods; and Argentine bect, American pork products, coffee, tea, kerosene, etc., among the consumers' goods.
and he index of 'goods exported or reexported" includes cotton, wool, coal, pig iron, etc., among raw materials; cotton and woolon yarns, iron
The index numbers of "raw materials," "producers" goods," and "consumers' goods" consist of the and tobacco among the consumers goods. into these classes, whether they are of domestic or foreign origin. The raw materials group includes 39 quolations, the producers' goods 35 , and the consumers' goods 24.

The "all commodities" index is obtained by combining the group indexes of domestic and foreign goods. It consists of 98 different quotations. As a general rule quotations hare been obiained from trade journals which have well organized price collecting services, or from individual firms. The American consul in London supervises the collection of the prices each month and cables them to the Enired States abont the 7 th of the following month. The index number is constructed here and is ordinarily ready for publication by the 10 th or lath of each month.


INDEX NUMBERS OF WHOLESALE PRICES IN CANADA-CONSTRUCTED BY THE FEDERAL RESERVE BOARD FOR THE PURPOSE OF INTERNATIONAL COMPARISONS. 1
[Average prices in 1913=100.]

|  | Year and month. | Goods produced. | Goods imported. | Goods exported. | $\underset{\text { Raterials. }}{\text { Rady }}$ | Producers' goods. | Consumers goods | All commodities. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1919, arerage |  | 224 | 204 | 220 | 197 | 188 | 227 | 207 |
| 1920, average |  | 249 | 253 | 268 | 235 | 255 | 270 | 250 |
| 1921, avcrage. |  | 168 | 164 | 181 | 155 | 174 | 183 | 167 |
| June. | 1921. | 166 | 160 | 190 | 157 | 168 | 176 | 165 |
| July.. |  | 164 | 158 | 185 | 153 | 164 | 177 | 163 |
| August. |  | 167 | 158 | 188 | $15 \overline{3}$ | 162 | 182 | 166 |
| September. |  | 158 | 155 | 175 | 147 | 159 | 174 | 158 |
| October... |  | 148 | 153 | 149 | 136 | 153 | 166 | 149 |
| November. |  | 144 | 15. | 138 | 13.1 | 152 | 164 | 145 |
| December. |  | 144 | 151 | 137 | 131. | 149 | 164 | 145 |
|  | 1922. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January... |  | 143 | 151 | 139 | 132 | 147 | 161 | 144 |
| March.... |  | 148 150 | 150 | 15 | 138 <br> 140 | 147 | 164 166 | 149 150 |
| April... |  | 152 | 151 | 153 | 142 | 146 | 169 | 152 |
| May... |  | 153 | 157 | 154 | 140 | 147 | 168 | 154 |
| June. |  | 151 | 162 | 149 | 143 | 150 | 168 | 153 |

${ }^{1}$ A complete description of the Canadian index may be found in the July, 1922, BuLLETIN, pp. 801-806.
INDEX NUMBERS OF WHOLESALE PRICES IN THE UNITED STATES FOR PRINCIPAL CLASSES OF COMMODITIES--BUREAU OF LABOR STATISTICS-REGROUPED BY FEDERAL RESERVE BOARD. 1
[Average prices in 1913 $=100$.]


[^8]
## COMPARATIVE WHOLESALE PRICE LEVELS IN PRINCIPAL COUNTRIES.

## ALI_COMMODITTES INDEX NUMBERS. ${ }^{1}$


${ }^{1}$ The number of commodities or quotations used in the computation of each index is indicated by figures in parenthesis at head of each column.
${ }^{3}$ A yerage of last hall of month.
${ }^{3}$ First of month.
4 End of month.
5 Beyinning of month-not always the 1 st.
Averagefor the month.
7 lased upon price of 52 commodities during 1920; 53 during 1921.
838 commodities prior to $1920 ; 76$ commodities during 1921. Average for the month.
9 Tind of year and end of month.
10 . 15 th of the month.
a Middle of month.
${ }_{12}$ Prices as of the 1st of month.

13 Juls 1, 1913 , to June $30,1914=100$.
14 April, $191 \mathrm{I}=100$.
15 July 1, 1912, to June $30,1914=100$.
16 Middle of $1914=100$.
${ }_{17}^{17}$ Doc. 31 , 1913, to June 30, 1914=100.
18 January, $1914=100$.
${ }^{19}$ Decomber figure.
${ }^{21}$ Arerage for month until Sepiember, 1921; thercafier prices as of 151 h of month.
${ }_{22}^{22}$ As of last Wednesday in monih.
${ }^{23}$ February, $1913=100$.
${ }^{24}$ July, $1914=100$.
${ }^{25}$ As of Jan. 1.
${ }^{26}$ End of July, 1914=100.
${ }_{27}$ Jan. 1, 1913, to July 31, 1914-100.

## INTERNATIONAL PRICE INDEX NUMBERS-FEDERAL RESERVE BOARD.

| Year and month. |  | In terms of local currencies. |  |  | Converted to gold basis. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Canada. | France. | England. | States. | ugland. | France. | Canada. |
| 1913. |  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100. | 100 |
| 1919 |  | ${ }^{207}{ }^{207}$ | 478 | 241 | ${ }_{239} 211$ | 221 | 18. | 199 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July.. |  | 163 | 312 | 196 : | 145 | 116 | 126 | 144 |
| August. |  | 166 | 302 | 195 | $1.16{ }^{\prime}$ | 146 | 121 | 150 |
| September. |  | 158 | 301 | 194 ! | 146 , | 148 | 113 | 141 |
| October... |  | 1.19 | 295 | 187 ! | 145 | $1+9$ | 111 | 136 |
| Notember. |  | 145 | 292 | 177 | 1.15 ; | 1-4 | 109 | 133 |
| December.. |  | 145 | 287 | 172 | 142 ; | 1.17 | 117 | 135 |
| 1922. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January... |  | 144 | 286 | 170. | 1.12 | 148 | 121 | 137 |
| February.. |  | 149 | 283 | 167 i | 1.16 i | 150 | 128 | 145 |
| Match.... |  | 1.50 | 287 | 168 | $14^{\prime}$ | 1.91 | 1.34 | 14.5 |
| April. |  | 152 ! | 299 | 167 | 149 | 151 | 143 | 148 |
| May... |  | 154 , | 302 | 171 | 178 | 156 | 143 | 1.32 |
| June. |  | 153 | .......... | 169 | 162 , | 154 |  |  |

The forcign index numbers published on the preceding page are constructed by various foreign statistical offices, and are sent to the Federal Reserve Board by cable. The Buldemin for January, 1920, contains a description of the French, Australian, Japanese, and Canadian indexes. A description of the method used in the construction of the Swedish index number appeared in the Buleetin for February, 1921; the new Italian index number was discussed in the April, 1921, issue of the Braterin; and the method used by the Frankfurter Zeitung in the case of the German index number was described in the Bulustins of February and March, 1921. The newly revised index of the United States Bureau of Labor Statistice appears in the July, 1922, Bulietin. The Danish index is weighted roughly according to consumption. The British index number, compiled by the Board of Trade, was described in the March, 1921, Builetin. The December, 1921, issue contains a description of the index published by the Federal Statistical Bureau for Germany, and the indexes for Switzerland, Holland, Norway, Bulgaria, Cairo, the Union of South Lfrica, the Dominion oi New Zealand, and Peru. The index numbers for the Dutch East Indies and Belgium were
described in the Bullifin for March, 1922, while a description of the Polish index may be found in the July, 1922, Builfitis. Lack of space prevents the publication of group index numbers for these countries, but they can be obtained at any time upon request. In the case of the two American index numbers, 1913 is used as the basis in the original computations. In most other cases, in which 1913 appears as the basis for the computation, the index numbers have been shifted from their original bascs. The computations in these cases are therefore only approximately correct. In certain cases July, 1914, or the year immediately preceding that, is used as the base. Fince the figures are for the most part reccived by cable, the latest are subject to revision.
A description of the international price index numbers of the Federal Reserve Board for the United States, Eagland, and Canada may be found in the Bulletins for May, 1920, February, 1922, and July, 1922, respectively. The board's index for France is fully described on pages 922-929 of this issue.

Index numbers showing the price levels of separate groups of commoditics in some of the more important countries are presented below.

REYISED GROUP INDEX NUMBERS-UNITED STATES-BUREAU OF LABOR STATISTICS.

| Year and month. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Trarm } \\ & \text { prod- } \\ & \text { uels. } \end{aligned}$ | Foods. | Cloths and clothing. | Fucl and lighting. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Melals } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { metal } \\ & \text { products. } \end{aligned}$ | 33uilding materials. | Chemicals and drugs. | Trouse furnish$\underset{\text { ing }}{\text { goods. }}$ | Miscellancous. | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { commod- } \\ \text { ities. } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1913. | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 1920 | 218 | 220 | 29.5 | 241 | 192 | 264 | 200 | 254 | 196 | 226 |
| 192 L . | 124 | 144 | 180 | 199 | 129 | 165 | 136 | 195 | 128 | 147 |
| Junc.................. | 114 | 137 | 172 | 191 | 133 | 163 | 133 | 196 | 125 | 142 |
| July... | 119 | 141 | 172 | 186 | 124 | 160 | 129 | 180 | 123 | 141 |
| Augusi. | 123 | 1.16 | 171 | 184 | 117 | 136 | 129 | 179 | 119 | 142 |
| September. | 124 | - 142 | 178 | 181 | 116 | 156 | 131 | 179 | 118 | 141 |
| October. | 124 | 1.10 | 180 | 189 | 116 | 159 | 131 | 181 | 118 | 142 |
| November. | 121 | 139 | 180 | 197 | 11. | 163 | 129 | 178 | - 119 | 141 |
| December. | 129 | 136 | 180 | 199 | 113 | 158 | 127 | . 178 | 121 | 140 |
| 1922. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January . | 122 | 131 | 176 | 195 | 112 | 157 | 124 | 178 | 117 | 138 |
| February. | 131 | 135 | 174 | 191 | 110 | 156 | 123 | 177 | 117 | 141 |
| March. | 130 | 137 | 172 | 191. | 109 | 155 | 125 | 175 | 117 | 142 |
| April. | 129 | 137 | 171 | 194 | 113 | 156 | 124 | 175 | 116 | 143 |
| May. | 132 | 138 | 175 | 21.6 | 119 | 160 | 122 | 176 | 116 | 148 |
| Junc. | 136 | 140 | 179 | 225 | 120) | 167 | 122 | 176 | 114 | 150 |

GROUP INDEX NUMBERS-UNITED KINGDOM-BOARD OF TRADE.
$[1913=100$.

| Year and month. | Cereals. | Meat and fish. | Other foods. | Total food. | Iron and steel. | Other metals and minerals. | Cotton. | Other textiles. | Other articles. | Total not food. | All commodities. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1913 average. | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 1920 average. | 273 | 263 | 278 | 272 | 406 | 252 | - 480 | 362 | 274 | 340 | 314 |
| 1921 average.. | 195 | 222 | 214 | 210 | 243 | 180 | 193 | 174 | 197 | 198 | 202 |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June | 200 | 2.18 | 220 | 213 | 240 | 189 | 180 | 162 | 199 | 196 | 202 |
| July.. | 202 | 213 | - 215 ! | 210 | 228 | 185 | 180 | 161 | 184 | 192 | 198 |
| August. | 20.5 | 216 | 210 | 210 | 222 | 172 | 176 | 159 | 189 | 186 | 194 |
| September | 195 | 200 | 201 | 199 | 207 | 161 | 213 | 163 | 190 | 187 | 191 |
| October.. | 170 | 184 | 103 ; | 183 | 194 | 158 | 225 | 170 | 190 | 186 | 185 |
| November | 157 | 178 | 195 | 177 | 181 | 153 | 199 | 169 | 183 | 176 | 176 |
| December. | 153 | 181 | 186 ; | 173 | 172 | 152 | 188 | 167 | 178 | 171 | 171 |
| 1922. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January.. | 149 | 176 | 182 | 169 | 166 | 149 | 180 | 169 | 174 | 167 | 168 |
| February | 155 | 178 | 187 | 174 | 162 | 145 | 168 | 164 | 169 | 161 | 16.5 |
| March... | 159 | 166 | 186 | 171 | 159 | 143 | 172 | 159 | 167 | 159 | 163 |
| April.. | 150 | 171 | 186 | 172 | 158 | 143 | 173 | 160 | 104 | 159 | 163 |
| May | 159 | 174 | 181 | 172 | 158 | 142 | 179 | 166 | 163 | 160 | 164 |
| June | 1.74 | 173 | 179 | 169 | 157 | 139 | 187 | 167 | 163 | 160 | 163 |

GROUP INDEX NUMBERS-FRANCE-GENERAL STATISTICAL BUREAU.
[1913=100.]

| Year and month. | Animal foods. | Vegctable foods. | Sugar, coffee, and cocoa. | Foods (20). ${ }^{\text {. }}$ | Minerals. | Textiles. | Sundries. | Raw materials (25). | All commoditics. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1913 average. | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 1920 averagc.. | 503 | 427 | 422 | 459 | ${ }^{479}$ | 737 | 52. | 550 | 510 |
| 1921 average............ | 380 | 330 | 343 | 355 | 275 | 355 | 37. | 338 | 345 |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July. | 353 | 364 | 393 | 360 | 2.53 | 290 | 3.3 | 301 | 330 |
| August.... | 371 | 337 | 352 | 355 | 245 | 321 | 356 | 312 | 331 |
| Soptember. | 373 | 311 | $3 \times 9$ | 332 | 203 | 388 | 370 | 338 | 3.4 |
| October.... | 315 | 305 | 305 | 323 | 262 | 391 | 365 | 338 | 331 |
| Novomber. | 331 | 306 | 324 | 321 | 277 | 388 | 362 | 3.4 | 332 |
| December. | 324 | 303 | 300 | 313 | 269 | 370 | 361 | 337 | 326 |
| 1922. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January.. | 309 | 289 | 300 | 302 | 258 | 363 | 350 | 324 | 314 |
| February. | 303 | 288 | 318 | 301 | 242 | 345 | 341 | 311 | 300 |
| March... | 341 | 285 | 326 | 317 | 242 | 326 | 328 | 300 | ${ }^{307}$ |
| April... | 302 | 310 | 320 | 335 | 215 | 319 | 324 | 297 | 31. |
| May... | 362 | 310 | 319 | 334 | 249 | 338 | 323 | 303 | 317 |
| Jume... | 363 | 318 | 334 | 341 | 255 | 372 | 322 | 313 | 325 |
| July ............. | 359 | 293 | 339 | 330 | 205 | 392 | 326 | 322 | 325 |

GROUP INDEX NUMBERS-ITALY-RICCARDO BACHI.
[1921-100.]

| Year and month. | Vegetable foods. | Animal foods. | Chemicals. | Textiles. | Minerals and metals. | Building materials. | Other vegelable products. | Sundries. | All commodities. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1921. | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| January........... | 112 | 114 | 73 | 72 | 64 | 92 | 112 | 94 | 82 |
| Pebruary | 109 | 112 | 73 | 68 | 62 | 91 | 111 | 92 | 90 |
| March... | 107 | 97 | 72 | 65 | 59 | 80 | 103 ' | 87 | 85 |
| April.. | 105 | 143 | 70 | 63 | 58 | 82 | 92 | 88 | 84 |
| May... | 106 | 94 | 67 | 66 | 58 | 79 | 96 | 88 | 84 |
| June... | 109 | 97 | 76 | 74 | 57 | 76 | 105 | 87 | 86 |

GROUP INDEX NUMBERS-GERMANY-FRANKFURTER ZEITUNG.
[Middle of $1914=100$.]

| Year and month. | A gricul- <br> tural <br> prod- <br> ucts. | Textiles, leather. | Minerals. | Miscellancous. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { com- } \\ & \text { modi- } \\ & \text { ties. } \end{aligned}$ | Year and month. | Agricul- <br> tural <br> prod- <br> ucts. | Textiles, leather. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Miner- } \\ & \text { als. } \end{aligned}$ | Miscel! laneous. ! | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { com- } \\ & \text { modi- } \\ & \text { ties. } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| July, 1914.. | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 1922. | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 1920 average. | 1,223 | 3,107 | 1,925 | 1,417 | 1,580 | Beginning of- |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1921 average. | 1,678 | 2,880 | 2,268 | 1,672 | 1,862 | January.. | 3,351 | 6, 427 | 4,025 | 2,984 | 3,562 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | February | 3,551 | 6,827 | 4,520 | 3,393 | $3,955$ |
| Beginning of- |  |  |  |  |  | March. | 4,712 | 7,200 | 5,650 | 4,016 | 4,876 |
| Beginning of- |  |  |  |  |  | April. | 5,794 | 9,147 | 7,028 | 4,983 | 6,101 |
| August.... | 1,867 | 2,240 | 1,811 | 1, 525 | 1,798 | May. | 5,829 | 10,053 | 7,709 | 5,934 | 6,573 |
| September. | 1,841 | 2,560 | 1,935 | 1,582 | 1,843 | June. | 6.073 ) | 10,347 | 7,771 |  | 6,800 |
| October.... | 1,981 | 3,200 4.613 | 2,337 3,251 | 1,705 | 2,067 2,798 | July. | 7,260 | 11,920 | 10,124 | 6,639 | 7,978 |
| November. | 2,766 3,159 | 4.613 6,427 | 3,251 5,635 | 2,057 $\mathbf{2 , 4 1 8}$ | 2,798 3,348 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

GROUP INDEX NUMBERS - GERMANY-FEDERAL STATISTICAL BUREAU.
$[1913=100$.

| Year and month. | Goods produced ( 16 commodities). | Goods imported ( 22 commoditios). | All commodities ( 38 com modities). | Year and month. | Goods produced (16 commodities). | Goods imported ( $22 \mathrm{com}-$ modities) | All commodities ( 38 commodities). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1913 average. | 100 | 100 | 100 | 1922. |  |  |  |
| 1920 average. | 1,253 | 2,652 | 1,486 | January.. | 3,383 | 5,075 | 3,665 |
| 1921 average.. | 1,786 | 2,533 | 1,911 | February. | 3,763 | 5, 800 | 4, 103 |
| 1921. |  |  |  | March. | $\stackrel{5,027}{5,985}$ | 7,463 | 5,433 |
| July. | 1,369 | 1,721 | 1,428 | May... | 6,026 | 8,617 | 6,458 |
| August. | 1,913 | 1,935 | 1,917 | June.. | 6, 540 | 9,479 | 7,030 |
| Scptembor | 1,952 | 2,643 | 2,067 |  |  |  |  |
| Octobor. | 2, 235 | 3,285 | 2,460 |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |
| November. | 2,967 3,170 | 5,662 | 3,416 3,487 |  |  |  |  |
| Decomber. | 3,170 | 5,071 | 3,487 |  |  |  |  |

GROUP INDEX NUMBERS-SWEDEN-SVENSK HANDELSTIDNING.
[July 1, 1913-Junc 30, 1914=100.]

| Date. | Vogotable foods. | Animal foods. | Raw ma torials for agriculture. | Coal. | Metals. | Building materials. | wood. pulp. | $\begin{gathered} \text { litides } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { leather. } \end{gathered}$ | Textiles. | Oils. | All commodities. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1913-14. | 100 : | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 1920.. | 212 | 296 | 312 | 1,007 | 278 | 371 | 675 | 215 | 334 | 294 | 347 |
| 1921. | 210 | 220 | 227 | 285 | 159 | 243 | 310 | 107 | 144 | 228 | 211 |
| June.............. | 237 | 203 | 236 | 371 | 149 | 224 | 286 | 108 | 129 | 207 | 218 |
| July | 236 | 227 | 216 | 315 | 149 | 199 | 197 | 112 | 133 | 191 | 211. |
| August... | 217 \} | 230 | 214 | 250 | 130 | 198 | 183 | 107 | 132 | 191 | 198 |
| Sopiember | 183 | 208 | 207 | 223 | 130 | 191 | 178 | 108 | 166 | 191 | 182 |
| October... | 1167 | 198 | 200 | 202 | 130 | 211 | 169 | 119 | 1.61 | 187 | 175 |
| November. | 16.1 | 196 | 197 | 194 | 133 | 239 | 181 | 108 | 149 | 179 | 174 |
| December. | 153 | 186 | 202 | 1.97 | 134 | 243 | 189 | 11.0 | 146 | 179 | 172 |
| 1922. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January.... | 168 ; | 173 | 202 170 | 179 | 131 |  |  |  |  | 179 179 | 170 |
| February.. |  | 159 | 170 <br> $J 68$ <br> 1 | 186 170 | 130 | 2225 | 178 | 97 <br> 91 <br> 98 | 138 | 179 179 | 166 164 |
| March..... | 1781 | 15 | 169 | 177 | 128 | 229 | 159 | 90 | 140 | 162 | 165 |
| Nay. | 176 | 154 | 170 | 179 | 124 | 212 | 147 | 86 | 1.56 | 1.54 | 164 |
| June. . | 17. | $16 \%$ | 170 | 155 | 121 | 209 | 144 | 87 | 172 | 1.54 | 164 |

GROUP INDEX NUMBERS-CANADA-DEPARTMENT OF LABOR. ${ }^{1}$
[1913=100.]

| Year and month. |  | Animals and meats. | Dairy products. | Fruits and vegetables. | Other foods. | Textiles. | Mides, leather, etc. | Metals. | Implements. | Building materials, lumber. | Fuel and lighting. | Drugs and chemieals. | All com-modi- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1913. | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 1920............ | 263 | 198 | 204 | 261 | 258 | 303 | 192 | 203 | 245 | 268 | 255 | 204 | 246 |
| 1921..... | 150 | 149 | 157 ; | 172 | 181 | 189 | 110 | 1.50 | 240 | 211 | 218 | 177 | 182 |
| 1921. |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July.. | 146 | 143 | 133 ' | 157 | 174 | 179 | 106 | 147 | 236 | 217 | 207 | 175 | 176 |
| August. | 152 | 143 | 142 | 182 | 173 | 181 | 101 | 145 | 237 | 192 | 206 | 176 | 174 |
| September | 144 | 133 | 141 ; | 170 | 170 | 183 | 100 | 143 | 235 | 189 | 206 | 171 | 172 |
| October. | 127 | 134 | 149 : | 171 | 162 | 185 | 100 | 143 | 234 | 190 | 210 | 169 | 169 |
| November. | 125 | 113 | 158 | 176 | 158 | 179 | 100 | 140 | 232 | 180 | 211 ! | 105 | 168 |
| December. | 131 | 122 | 170 | 188 | 159 | 176 | 100 | 141 | 232 | 180 | 211 \| | 166 | 170 |
| 1922. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January.... | 133 | 129 | 149 | 186 | 155 | 176 | 99 | 142 | 231 | 180 | 206 | 163 | 168 |
| February | 145 | 140 | 141 | 204 | 155 | 17 t | 97 | 141 | 216 | 179 | 204 | 164 | 169 |
| March. | 149 | 138 | 122 | 204 | 158 | 174 | 96 | 137 | 213 | 174 | 206 | 166 | 166 |
| April. | 152 | 143 | 127 | 203 | 157 | 174 | 95 | 135 | 213 | 174 | 206 | 165 | 166 |
| May. | 153 | 144 | 116 | 202 | 154 | 175 | 95 | 136 | 213 | 173 | 221 | 166 | 167 |
| June.. | 143 | 114 | 117 | 180 | 153 | ; 180 | 99 | 137 | 213 | 173 | 221 | 162 | 165 |

1 Unimportant groups, omitted.

## COMPARATIVE RETAIL PRICES IN PRINCIPAL COUNTRIES.

In the following table are presented statistics showing the trend of retail prices and the cost of living in the United States and important European countries:

INDEX NUMBERS OF RETAIL PRICES AND COST OF LIVING.

| [July, $1914=100$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Year } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { month. } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1920. | 199 | $249{ }^{1}$ | 371 | 298 | ¢ \$13 | 4 |
| 1921. | 150 | 226 ; | 337 | 237 | 1,047 | 518 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July.....-i | 145 | 219 ! | 306 | 232 | 963 | 501 |
| Angust.... | 1.52 | 222: | 317 | 234 | 1,04: | 534 |
| Soptember | $150 \cdot$ | 220 ! | 329 | 228 | 1,062 | 542 |
| October... | 150 | 210 | 331 | 218 | ], 146 | 581 |
| November: | 149 | 2031 | 326 | 211 | 1,397 | $5 \times 3$ |
| December. | 147 | 190 | 323 | 202 | 1, $\overline{5} \overline{50}$ | 585 |
| 1922. ! |  | ! ! |  |  |  |  |
| Jatuary..- | 139 | 192: | 319 | 190 | 1,640 | 576 |
| February -i | 139 | 188 | 307 | 189 | 1,989 | 599 |
| March..... | 136 | 186 | 294 | 185 | 2,302 | 546 |
| April...... | 1.36 | 1821 | 304 | 182 | 3,175 |  |
| May........i | 136 | 181 ! | 317 | 178 | 3,462 |  |
| June....... |  | 180 | 307 | 179 | 3,779 |  |
| July.. |  | 184, |  |  |  |  |
| - |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Average for the month. ${ }^{2}$ Beginning of monih. ${ }^{3} 1913-4-100$.
: $1913=100$. © Average for 11 months.
Three of these index numbers--those for the United Kingdom, France, and Sweden-are constructed on the basis of prices in July, 1914 $=100$. In the case of the United States, the original base, that of the year 1913, has
been shified to the July, 1914, base. The German index uses 1913-1914, as a base.

The American index number, constructed by the Bureau of Labor Statistics, was based upon the retail prices of 22 articles of food, weighted according to family consumption, until January, 1921, when it was increased to 43 articles reported by dealers in 5 l important cities. The method of weighting continues the same, although the actual "weight" applied has been changed.
The Brilish index number of the cost of living constructed by the Ministry of Labor consists of the retail prices not only of foodstufis but of other articles as well. Retail clothing prices, rents, and the cost of fuel, lighting, and miscellaneous household items are also taken into consideration. The index number is weighted according to the importance of the items in the budgets of workingclass families.
The retail price index for Paris, compiled by the French General Statistical Office, consists of retail prices of 13 different commodities, weighted according to the average annual consumption of a workingman's family of four persons. Eleven of the commodities included in this index are foods, and the other two are kerosene and alcohol.

The Swedish index number consists of the retail prices of foodstuffs, fuel, and lighting and is based upon the prices of $\overline{3}$ articles in 44 towns (in 1920, 50 articles in 49 towns), weighted according to the budget of a workingman's family which before the war had a yearly income of 2,000 kronor.
The German cost of living index furnished by the Federal Statistical Bureau is based upon the average for 46 cities and includes food, fuel, light, and rent.
The Italian retail price index for the most important cities, computed by the Italian Ministry of labor, consists of retail prices of 21 commodities. Of the commodities included, 20 are foods and the other commodity is charcoal,

## FOREIGN TRADE-UNITED KINGDOM, FRANCE, ITALY, SWEDEN, NORWAY, JAPAN, AND GERMANY.

In the following table are presented figures : current f. . . b. values. The same method is followed in Japan and showing the monthly value of the foreign trade : Swedin. In Prance and italy the value of forefpn trade is sestimated of a group of important European countries usnally the proceding year. and Japan. ${ }^{1}$

-     -         - are given as well as total values, while in the case of the other countries 1 Currencies have not beon converted to a common unit, nor are i are not obtainable, merely that they are cither delayed in publication methods of valuation the same in all countries. In England imports, or appear not to be of such general interest as the French and English are given current c. i. f. values in England; exports and reexports, ! material.

FOREIGN TRADE OF THE UNITED KINGDOM.
[In thousands of pounds sterling.]


## FOREIGN TRADE OF FRANCE. 1



[^9]FOREIGN TRADE OF ITALY, SWEDEN, NORWAY, AND JAPAN. ${ }^{1}$


FOREIGN TRADE OF GERMANY. 1


## INDEXES OF INDUSTRIAL ACTIVITY.

| Year and month. | Production (long tons, 000 omitted). |  |  |  | Raw cotton visible supply sands of bales). ${ }^{4}$ | Ship tonnage under construction (gross tons). | Railways net ton miles (000,000 onitted). |  | Exports. |  | Per centof un-employedamongapproxi-mately$12,000,000$insuredpersons. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Coal. | Pig iron. | Steel <br> ingots and castings. | Finished steel. |  |  |  | Tron and steel manufactures (long tons, 000 omitted). | Cotton manufactures (sq. vds., 000,000 omitted). | Coal (long tons, 000 omitted) |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1913............ | $23,95.3$ 19,108 | 855 670 | ${ }_{6}^{639}$ | 646 | 1,397 | $12,002,699$ $13,603,131$ | 1,546 | 414 | ${ }^{6} 596$ | 6,117 2,078 |  |
| 1921.......... | 13,696 | 218 | 302 | 238 | 1,234 | $13,312,983$ | 1,108 | 142 | 244 | 2,055 |  |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Junc. |  | 1 | 3 | 185 | 1,379 | 3,530,047 | 520 | 65 | 151 | 8 | 17.8 |
| July. | 215,215 | 10 | 117 | 137 , | 1,310 |  | 1,088 | 64 | 179 | 816 | 14.8 |
| August.. | 16,589 | 94 | 434 | 321 | 1,194 |  | 1,311 | 77 | 214 | 3,103 | 13.2 |
| September. | 16,517 | 1.58 | 429 | 322 | 1,060 | 3 3,282,972 | 1,297 | 133 | 268 | 3,407 | 12.2 |
| October. | ${ }^{2} 21,090$ | 236 | 405 | 304. | 1,123 |  | 1,325 | 156 | 345 | 3, 466 | 12.8 |
| November. | 17,875 | 272 | 444 | 330 : | 1,216 |  | 1,368 | 194 | 366 | 3, 294 | 15.7 |
| December | 222,594 | 275 | 381 | 292 | 1,271 | 5 2,640, 319 | 1,306 | 205 | 333 | 4,309 | 16.2 |
| 1922. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January. | 17,693 | 288 | 328 | 271 | 1,298 |  |  | 253 | 342 | 4,021 | 16.9 |
| $1 \mathrm{ebruary}$. | 19,764 | 300 | 417 | 321 | 1,240 |  |  | 224 | 254 | 4,014 | 15.2 |
| March..... | 19,921 | 390 | 549 | 369 | 1,112 | 72,235,998 |  | 293 | $30^{5}$ | 5,201 | 14.6 |
| April. | ${ }^{2}$ 22,875 | 394 | 404 | 292 | 1,181 |  |  | 258 | 305 | 4,097 | 14.4 |
| May..... June... | 19,146 | 408 | 462 |  | 1., 113 |  |  | 272 | 345 | 5,057 | 13.5 12.7 |
| June........... | 15,827 | 369 | 400 |  |  | 1,919,304 |  |  |  |  | 12.7 |

[^10]5 Work suspended on all but $1,918,310$ tons.
6 Yards.
6 Yards.
7 Work suspended on all but 1,619,000 tons.
FRANCE. ${ }^{1}$

| Year and month. | Pig <br> iron <br> pro-duction. <br> Thousa | Crude steel pro-duetion. $\qquad$ <br> ds of me | Coal imported for consumption. <br> ic tons. | Raw cotton imported for con-sumption. <br> Metric tons. | Cotton stocks at Havre. ${ }^{2}$ <br> Thousands of bales of 50 kilograms. | Raw silk imported for con-sumption. <br> Metric tons. | Total imports. <br> Thousands of metric tons. | Total exports. <br> Thousands of metric tons. | Receipts <br> of the <br> principal <br> French <br> railways. <br> $\ldots$ <br> Thou- <br> sands of <br> franes. | Number of unomployed recciving municipal aid in Paris. ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monthly average: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1913......... | 1434 | 4391 | 1,558 | 27,428 | 274 | 629 | 3,685 | 1,840 | ${ }^{5} 165,892$ |  |
| 1920. | 286 | 254 | 2,005 | 19,577 | 22.5 | 390 | 4,211 | 1,071 | 479,894 | 3,022 |
| 1921. | 280 | 255 | 1,472 | 16, 666 | 169 | 206 | 3,165 | 1,333 | 516,397 | 20,671 |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June. | 285 | 245 | 608 | 14,013 | 136 | 174 | 2,047 | 1,105 |  |  |
| July | 267 | 223 | 660 | 6,539 | 131 | 51 | 2,164 | 1,194 |  | 10,616 |
| August | $2 \overline{5}$ | 232 | 1,065 | 10,700 | 132 | 202 | 2,593 | 1,035 |  | 9,706 |
| Sepiember | 2.4 | 236 | 1,874 | 11,769 | 131 | 261 | 3,993 | 1,172 |  | 7,486 |
| October. | 256 | 260 | 1,301 | 25,757 | 181 | 385 | 2,809 | 1,252 |  | 5,348 |
| November. | 295 | 277 | 3,291 | 29,059 | 192 | 277 | 5,161 | 1,515 | 483,216 | 3,730 |
| December. | 301 | 302 | 2,895 | 30,835 | 208 | 382 | 5,197 | 2,507 | 641, 887 | 4,175 |
| 1922. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January | 312 | 315 | 1,676 | 14.870 | 188 | 502 | 3,396 | 1,554 | 454,323 | 4,658 |
| February. | 323 | 317 | 2,153 | 14, 714 | 163 | 467 | 4,126 | 1,520 | 468,175 | 4,385 |
| March... | 386 | 367 | 2,081 | 20,978 | 127 | 408 | 4,43 | 1,570 | 472,779 | 3,546 |
| April. | 383 | 324 | 1, 038 | 17,391 | 138 | 207 | 3,787 | 1,794 | 608,764 | 2,447 |
| May... | 442 | 364 | 2,058 | 18,090 | 169 | 40) 4 | 4,396 | 1,538 | 472,607 | 1,636 |
| June....... |  |  |  |  | 145 |  |  |  |  | 958 |

${ }^{1}$ Latest figures subject to revision.
Railways included are: State railways, Paris-Lyon-Mediterranee, Nord, Orleans, Est, Midi, Alsace-Lorraine, and Guillaume-Luxembourg Railways.

- Excludes the Alsace-Lorraine and Guillaume-Luxembourg Railways.

GERMANY.

| Year and month. | Production (000's of metric tons). |  | Imports (metric tons). ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  | Exports (metric tons). ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  | Ship arrivals in Hamburg. |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Unomploy- } \\ & \text { ment. } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Num- | Num- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ton- | ber of | ber of |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Iron |  |  |  |  | nage | appli- | unem- |
|  |  |  |  | $\underset{\text { manu- }}{\text { Lain }}$ |  |  | and | Machin- | Dyes |  |  | (net | cants | ployed |
|  |  | Lig- | Raw | manu- | Iron ore. ${ }^{2}$ | Cot- | iron | eryand |  | Coal. 4 |  |  |  | persons |
|  | coke. | nite. | wool. | fac- | Iron ore.2 | ton. ${ }^{3}$ | manu- |  | dye | Coal. | ber of ships. | tered | ${ }^{\text {cvery }} 100$ | receiving |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | factures. | plics. | stuffis. |  |  | (000 | avail- | State |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | omit- | able | aid (000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ted). | posi- | $\begin{aligned} & \text { omit- } \\ & \text { ted). } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Monthly average: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1913......... | 17,003 | 7,266 | 16,608 | 920 | 1, 224,951 |  | 511,439 | 60,919 | 21, 812 | 2, 881, 126 | 1,256 | 1,182 |  |  |
| 1920. | 13,043 | 9,303 | -4,025 | 5232 | -537, 585 | 12,490 | 145, 883 | ${ }^{5} 46,772$ | 8,462 | 6008,749 | 401 | , 371 | 169 | 360 |
| 1921 | 13,601 | 10,241 | 11, 860 | 393 | 619, 194 | 30, 894 | 203, 681 | 39,037 | 8,230 | 518,937 | 700 | 794 | 165 | 310 |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tune. | 12,518 | 10,055 | 8,529 | 288 | 462,741 | 25,625 | 162, 297 | 43, 455 | 6,877 | 355,582 | 612 | 588 | 169 | 357 |
| July. | 12,949 | 10,065 | 10,815 | 354 | 493, 434 | 3ī, 176 | 177,773 | 51,416 | 7,353 | 453, 173 | 809 | 888 | 151 | 314 |
| August | 13,974 | 10, 006 | 14,725 | 328 | 350,397 | 52, 433 | 240,071 | 37,456 | 9,618 | 613, 739 | 942 | 955 | 142 | 267 |
| Seplember | 13,883 | 10, 359 | 17,688 | 447 | 564, 827 | 28,766 | 225, 331 | 34,615 | 10, 156 | 649,158 | 957 | 1,018 | 132 | 232 |
| October | 14,373 | 10,567 | 12,506 | 774 | 919,822 | 29,739 | 246, 115 | 33,067 | 10,255 | 576,048 | 915 | 1,047 | 128 | 186 |
| November | 14,052 | 10,479 | 12,628 | 346 | 937,268 | 27, 242 | 233,204 | 35,697 | 9,953 | 569,657 | 838 | 881 | 136 | 150 |
| Decomber. | 14,343 | 11,029 | 10,984 | 388 | 790, 811 | 28, 313 | 214,812 | 46,397 | 9,212 | 610,877 | 503 | 873 | 148 | 149 |
| 1922. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January... | 14,640 | 10,978 | 10, 400 | 317 | 911,972 | 23,426 | 221,743 | 39, 470 | 9,552 | 722, 310 | 745 | 875 | 150 | 165 |
| February | 13,655 | 10, 091 | 26, 202 | 383 | 492, 705 | 17, 915 | 172, 709 | 45, 6889 | 10, 332 | 669, 433 | 461 | 716 | 145 | 200 |
| March. | 1.5, 931 | 12,260 | 26,988 | 440 | 809, 722 | 26, 130 | 211,979 | 48,813 | 12, 299 | 795,200 | 89. | 969 | 113 | 209 |
| April. | 13,800) | 10,631 | 24,091 | 462 | - 865,778 | 24,070 | 200,677 | 46, 112 | 11,095 | 795, 940 |  |  |  |  |
| Мау.................. | 14, 666 | 11, 440 | 25, 619 | 486 | 1,519,365 | 26,112 | 209,432 | 47, 3 à | 12,629 | 701,941 |  |  |  |  |
| Junc. ................ 69,037 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,092 | 1,287 |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Import and export figures for first 4 months of 1921 not available; 1921 averages based on 8 months.
2 Includes manganese ore.
${ }_{3}$ Includes intiters.
${ }_{5}^{4}$ Not inciuding coal for reparations account.
${ }_{6}^{5}$ Average base on 6 months.
${ }^{6}$ Coal, excluding coke.
SWEDEN.

| Year and month. | Exports. |  | lmports, coal (000's of metric tons). | Shipping. |  | Railway <br> traffic, <br> volume <br> of freight <br> carried <br> railways <br> (000's <br> metric <br> tons). | Production ( 000 's of metric tons). |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Blast } \\ & \text { furnaces } \\ & \text { in } \\ & \text { operation } \\ & \text { (per cent } \\ & \text { of total). } \end{aligned}$ | Unem- plog- ment, number <br> workmen <br> per 100 <br> vacan- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Unplaned boards ( 000 's of cubic meters). | Paper pulp (000's of metric tons). |  | Net ton loaded v foreign t omit <br> Entered. | nage of ssels in ade (000 ed). <br> Cleared. |  | Pig iron. | Iron and steel ingots. |  |  |
| Monthly average: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 328 | 71 | 408 | 1,147 | 1,147 | 830 | 61 | 49 |  | 112 |
| 1920. | 306 162 | 73 40 | ${ }^{234} 12$ | 677 519 | 692 482 | 991 589 | 39 26 | 37 |  | 107 |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 276 |
| May.................... | 44 | 18 | 6 | 463 | 431 | 423 |  | 17 |  |  |
| July... | 119 | 41 | 20 | 455 | 487 | 503 | 19 | 16 | 14.9 | 261 |
| August... | 205 : | 66 | 148 | 592 | 536 | 575 | 17 | 15 | 15.7 | 235 |
| September | 325 | 49 | $\stackrel{279}{ }$ | 609 | ${ }_{595}$ | 566 | 16 | 16 | 16.4 | 227 |
| October... | ${ }_{361}{ }^{\text {a }}$ : | 54 <br> 99 | 219 192 | 670 | 595 578 | ${ }_{721}^{691}$ | 16 18 | 16 | 15.7 | 263 384 |
| November............. | 361 356 | -99 | 192 246 | 601 575 | 578 582 | 721 558 | 18 | 22 17 | $\cdots \cdots$ | 384 473 |
| 1922. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January ... | 87 | 28 | 114 | 442 | 409 | $48 \overline{0}$ | 18 | 13 |  | 482 |
| February.. | 25 63 | 11 | -62 | ${ }_{617} 8$ | 250 | 630 730 | 17 22 | 17 | ............. | 479 381 |
|  | 66 | 21 | 206 | 524 | 485 | 622 |  |  |  | 381 |
| May ..... | 99 | 76 | 230 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## FOREIGN TRADE INDEX.

There are presented below the usual indexes designed to reflect the movements in foreign trade of the United States, with the fluctuations due to price changes eliminated. The commodities chosen for these indexes are those for which prices are compiled by the Federal Reserve Board in the preparation of its international price index. ${ }^{1}$

## INDEX OF VALUE OF FOREIGN TRADE IN SELECTED COMMODITIES AT 1913 PIRICES.

[Monthly average values, $1913=100$.]


The volume of exports during June not only recovered the loss sustained last month but reached the highest point since October. The largest relative increase was in consumers' goods, exports of which were greater than during any month since May, 1920. With the exception of wheat flour and boots and shoes, every commodity in this group showed inoreased exports as compared with last month. Exports of hams and shoulders were the largest since August, 1919, with the exception of August, 1921, and sugar exports were the largest on record. Exports of raw materials, which registered an increase of 10.3 per cent over last month, are still below the figure for May. The

[^11]most important increase was in exports of wheat, which were the largest on record. Exports of other grains, cotton, copper, and bituminous coal also showed increases as compared with last month, and exports of leaf tobacco suffered the most important decline. There was a slight decrease in exports of producers' goods, resulting from decreases in exports of sole leather, structural iron and steol, stecl plates, cottonseed oil, fuel and gas, oil and gasoline. Exports of steel rails registered the only important increase in the group.
The volume of imports continued to increase during June, and with the exception of last April reached the highest point since June, 1920. Substantial gains were recorded in imports of raw materials and producers' goods, but consumers' goods, the least important group, showed a marked declinc. The increase in imports of raw materials resulted from increases in imports of copper, tin, hides and skins, silk, and lumber, in the face of very substantial decreases in imports of tobacco and wool. Imports of hides and skins and lumber were the largest since July and August, 1920, respoctively. In the group of producers' goods, India rubber, cane sugar, and burlap, the most important items, showod the largest gains. The only losses were in the imports of quebracho, nitrate of soda, and manila hemp. The decrease in imports of consumers' goods was due to losses in four of the five cominodities included, ranging from 4.7 per cont in tea imports to 33.7 per cent in imports of cocoa. Imports of bananas alone showed an increase.

## SAVINGS DEPOSITS.

Savings deposits of 852 banks on July 1, 1922, are compared with deposits for the same banks on June 1, 1922, and July 1, 1921, in the following table. The figures for districts No. 1 and No. 2 are exclusively those of large mutual savings banks, but in all other districts reports of other banks are included to make the figures thoroughly representative. In all districts where reporting commercial banks subdivide their time deposits, statistics of savings deposits subject to notice (excluding time certificates of deposit) are used. This is in accordance with the definition given in the board's regulation D, series of 1920 .
During June the volume of savings deposits increased in all Federal reserve districts. The most important increases during the month were 2.9 per cent in district No. 12 (San Francisco) and 3.0 per cent in district No. 5 (Richmond).

| District. | Number of banks. | July 1, 1922. | June 1, 1922. | July 1, 1921. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. 1. | 64 | \$1, 097, 919, 000 | \$1,091, 620,000 | \$1, 067,743, 000 |
| No. 2 |  | 1,738, 814,000 | 1,701, 562,000 | 1,672, 087,000 |
| No. 3 | 80 | 424, 063, 000 | 423, 882,000 | 414,354, 000 |
| No. 4 | 18 | 381,994, 000 | 377, 299,000 | 392,492,000 |
| No. 5 | 93 | 276, 648,000 | 268, 659,000 | 243, 289,000 |
| No. 6 | 78 | 154,099,000 | 150,698,000 | 150, 251, 000 |
| No. 7 | 1189 | 751,070,000 | 737, 848,000 | 753, 315, 000 |
| No. 8 | 35 | 115, 556,000 | 114, 341,000 | 106, 343,000 |
| No. 9 | 14 | 71,300,000 | 70, 825,000 | 69,880, 000 |
| No. 10 | 60 | 81,769,000 | $80,379,000$ | 75, 614,000 |
| No. 11. | 116 | 72,576,000 | 70, 946,000 | 66,619,000 |
| No. 12. | 75 | 768,704,000 | 747, 296,000 | 726, 318,000 |
| Total. | 852 | 5, 934,512,000 | 5,835, 055, 000 | 5,738, 305,000 |

${ }^{1}$ Tro banks previously included have been merged into one.

## INDEX OF OCEAN FREIGHT RATES.

The accompanying table shows the monthly fluctuations in ocean freight rates prevailing between United States Atlantic ports and the principal European trade regions. The figures are derived from the actual rates quoted on the following commodities: Grain, provisions, cotton, cottonseed oil, and sack flour. For the methods used in construction the index see the August, 1921, Bulletin, pages 931-934.

Relative Ocean Freight Rapes in United States and Europe Trade.
[January, 1920, rates=100.]

| Month. | United States Atlantic ports to- |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | United <br> Kingdom. | Fronch Atlantic. | Netherlands <br> Belgium. | Scandinavia. | Modi-terranoan. | $\underset{\text { Europe. }}{\text { All }}$ |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January.. | 60.7 | 30.2 ? | 34.1 | 42.9 | 43.2 | 43.3 |
| February | 54.7 | 27.7 | 29.2 | 30.9 | 43.8 | 38.5 |
| March.. | 49.3 | 24.6 | 28.3 | 30.8 | 42.2 | 35.9 |
| April.. | 50.1 | 32.6 | 36.6 | 29.4 | 35.7 | 39.0 |
| May. | 50.6 | 35.0 | 38.2 | 31.3 | 34.6 | 40.1 |
| June.. | 42.7 | 34.7 | 38.3 | 31.3 | 34.0 | 37.6 |
| July.... | 42.5 | 33.2 | 37.0 | 29.0 | 34.7 | 36.8 |
| August.... | 42.9 | 33. 4 | 36.7 | 28.4 | 34.3 | 36.7 |
| September | 41.8 37.0 | 32.7 28.5 | 35.8 30.7 | 28.2 26.7 | 33.6 33.3 |  |
| October... | 37.0 33.5 | 28.5 25.0 | 30.7 25.2 | 26.7 24.0 | 33.3 32.9 | 32.3 28.8 |
| December.. | 32.4 | 22.7 | 22.9 | 23.3 | 32.3 | 27.2 |
| 1922. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January.. | 31.7 | 22.7 | 23.3 | 23.4 | 32.2 | 27.1 |
| February | 34.7 | 25.7 | 25.2 | 23.3 | 31.8 | 29.1 |
| March. | 33. 1 | 26.5 | 24.9 | 23.4 | 30.1 | 28.3 |
| April. | 27.3 | 24.8 | 22.7 | 24.0 | 27.1 | 25.4 |
| May.. | 27.9 | 25.5 | 22.8 | 23.4 | 27.4 | 25.7 |
| June. | 27.5 | 26.1 ! | 23.0 | 23.4 | 27.4 | 25.7 |
| July..... | 28.8 | 25.9 | 22.6 | 23.0 | 26.4 | 25.9 |

As the foregoing table indicates, changes in ocean freight rates during July were comparatively small. In most trades the tendency was toward slightly lower levels, but grain rates from American ports to the United Kingdom advanced somewhat toward the end of July, counterbalancing the declines registered
in other trades and leaving the all-Curope index practically unchanged from the level of the previous month. Pronounced activity was displayed in the charter market for steamers to carry coal from British ports to the United States. $\Lambda$ very considerable number-of vessels were chartered for such business, and charter rates on coal advanced materially as a result of the active demand for shipping space.

## REPORT OF KNIT GOODS MANUFACTURERS OF AMERICA.

The total production of winter and summer underwear for the six months ended June 30 was as follows:

|  | Number of mills reporting. | Actual production (dozens). | Per cent of normal. . |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| December. 1921. | 49 | 519,231 | 67.0 |
| 1922. |  |  |  |
| January. | 55 | 642,490 | 68.4 |
| Felbruary. | 53 | 663,346 | 72.0 |
| March .......................................... | 54 | 756,249 | 74.3 |
| April. | 53 | 522,035 | 72.0 |
| May. | 47 | 518,100 | 74.2 |
| June....................... | 47 | 564,893 | 72.2 |
| Winter underwear (June).................. | 38 | 345, 605 | 72.4 |
| Summer undorwear (June)............... | 25 | 219,288 | 71.9 |

Order and production report for month ended June 30, 1922, follows. The number of mills producing was 38 .

|  | Dozens. | Per cent of norinal. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Undilled orders first of month. | 808, 500 |  |
| New orders received during month. | 413,204 | 77.9 |
| Total (A) | 1,221,754 |  |
| Shipments during month... | 352,694 | 66.5 |
| Cancellations during month | 10,218 | 1.9 |
| Total (B) | 362,912 |  |
| Balance orders on hand July 1 (A minus B)... | 858,842 |  |
| Production.. | 426,845 | 80.5 |

Twenty-six representative mills which reported for May and June, 1922, furnish the data for the following table:
[In dozens.]


## PRODUCTION AND SHIPMENTS OF FINISHED COTTON FABRICS. ${ }^{1}$

|  | May, 1922. |  |  |  | June, 1922. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | White goods. | Dyed goods. | Printed goods. | Total. | White goods. | Dyed goods. | Printed goods. | Total. |
| Total finished yards billed during month: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 12, 198,986 | 22, 141,927 | 9,098,392 | 47, 999,916 | 13,937,588 | 22,599,446 | 10,260,643 | 53, 727, 846 |
| 2. | 5, 551, 175 | 2,236,646 | 3,523,557 | 18, $13,349,771$ | 4,999,045 | 2,344,550 | 4,197, 156 | 19, 835, 144 |
| 5. | 7,336, 431 | -89, 157 |  | 7, 425, 588 | 8, 239,917 | -64,820 |  | 14,304, 737 |
| 6. | 1, 992,083 | 1,753,654 |  | 3, 245, 737 | 788, 244 | 1, 128, 238 |  | 1,916, 482 |
|  |  |  |  | 1, 421,932 |  |  |  | 1,768,298 |
| Total. | 34, 037,083 | 32, 074,905 | 12,621,949 | 92, 254,873 | 35, 758, 346 | 32,665,088 | 14,457,799 | 99, 874, 093 |
| Total average per cent of capacity operated: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. | 63 | 52 | 47 | 68 | 48 | 62 | 56 | 60 |
| 5. | 75 | 7 |  | 75 | 68 |  |  | 84 |
| 6. | 96 |  |  | 111 | 0 |  |  | 45 |
|  |  |  |  | 62 |  |  |  | 75 |
| A verage for all districts. | 70 | 68 | 51 | 67 | 66 | 75 | 61 | 67 |
| Total gray yardage of finishing orders received: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District 1............................... | 14, 290, 521 | 22,585,536 | 9,346, 662 | 51,696,875 | 15,667,611 | 23,036,942 | 11,016, 873 | 57,381, 660 |
|  | 7,071,043 | 4,943,311 | 5,724,508 | - 22, 466, 873 | 6,910, 760 | 3, 592,237 | 5,073,582 | 19,026, 664 |
| 3. | 7,998,093 | 5, 840,979 |  | 13, 839, 072 | $8,078,178$ | 6,895, 391 |  | 14,973, 569 |
| 5 | 9, 240, 976 | 1 153, 383 |  | 9, 394,359 | 6, 9165,446 | 58,076 |  | $6,963,522$ |
|  | 172, 890 | 1,550, 497 |  | 1, 723,387 $1,721,442$ | 116,646 | 1, 493, 820 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,610,466 \\ & 2,811,679 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total.. | 38,773, 523 | 35, 073, 706 | 15, 071, 170 | 100, 842, 008 | 37,678,641 | 35,076,466 | 16,090, 455 | 102,767,560 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| to customers (case equals approximately 3,000 yards): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3,00 District 1.................................. | 6,032 | 6,617 | 2,703 | 27, 126 | 6,277 | 7,347 | 2,972 | 28,162 |
| 2 | 3,090 | 598 |  | 11, 416 | 2,691 | 501 |  | 8,820 |
| 3. | 4,594 | 2,216 |  | 6,810 | 4,664 | 2,219 |  | 6,873 |
|  | 1,967 | ........... |  | 4,215 000 | 1,976 |  |  | 4,306 |
|  |  |  |  | 000 292 | 000 |  |  | 000 326 |
| Total. | 15,683 | 9,431 | 2,703 | 49,859 | 15,608 | 10,067 | 2,972 | 48,487 |
| Numbor of cases of finished goods held in storage at end of month: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District 1........................................ | 4,100 | 6,047 | 1,953 | 12,615 | 4,735 | 1,051 | 2,790 | 11,409 |
| 3. | , 360 | , 393 |  | 5,940 | 243 | , 402 |  |  |
| 5. | 263 |  |  | 1,750 | 316 |  |  | 1,857 |
|  | 000 |  |  | 900 168 | 000 |  |  | 315 206 |
| Total. | 10,296 | 7,461 | 1,953 | 45,815 | 10,062 | 7,220 | 2,790 | 44,792 |
| Total average work ahead at end of month (expressed in days): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District 1............................. | 4.0 | 10.0 | 13.0 | 8.3 | 4.8 | 11.0 | 14.0 | 9.2 |
|  | 11.0 | 10.0 | 11.0 | 8.1 | 17.0 | 5.5 | 8.3 | 8.4 |
| 3. | 10.0 | 7.9 |  | 9.1 | 11.0 | 11.0 |  | 11.0 |
| 5. | 16.0 |  |  | 10.0 | 6.8 .0 |  |  | 6.8 26.0 |
| 8. |  |  |  | 4.4 |  |  |  | 12.0 |
| Average for all districts... | 8.6 | 9.8 | 12.0 | 9.1 | 8.1 | 10.0 | 13.0 | 9.4 |

[^12]
## PHYSICAL VOLUME OF TRADE.

The business indexes for June showed little change. The comparative stability was due to a balancing of increased demand by increased impediments to production, which resulted from a shortage of fuel and transportation facilities.

With the exception of corn, the receipts of all grains at 17 interior markets experienced a drop in June as compared with the unusually high figures for May. Receipts of flour for June were only slightly different from the May figure, but showed a total which was 152 per cent in excess of the receipts for June, 1921. Receipts of live stock at 59 markets and shipments at 54 markets showed a small decrease as compared to last month's figure, but were higher than for June, 1921. Receipts of butter and cheese at five principal markets showed higher figures than in any month for several years, and amounted to $93,139,000$ pounds of butter and $22,756,000$ pounds of checse.
The anthracite production for June was 84,000 tons, which was more than double the May output but only about 1 per cent of the usual production. Output of bituminous coal for June, while $2,000,000$ tons above the May figure, was only 65 per cent of the production
for June of last year. Beehive coke production increased moderately during June compared with the May figure, and showed an output twice as large as that for June, 1921. Total crude petroleum production showed little change, but 151 more producing wells were completed than in May.
Production of pig iron showed a small increase in spite of fuel difficulties, reaching a total of $2,361,028$ tons, which was larger than any month since January, 1921; 2,634,477 tons of steel ingots were produced in June, a figure somewhat less than that for May, but larger than for any other month since October, 1920. Unfilled orders of the United States Steel Corporation were approximately 300,000 tons in excess of the May figure and larger than in any month since April, 1920. Allnonferrous metals showed further increases in production and zinc was at its highest point since the close of 1920. The production of both passenger automobiles and trucks was greater in June than in May. A total of 114 locomotives were completed in June as compared to 80 for May. Cotton consumption increased during June and reached a higher total than in any month this year except April.

Shipments of ore again this month were responsible for practically the whole increase in car loading figures.


Indexes of Domestic Business.
[Monthly average of 1919=100] agricultural movements.

| Date. | Total agriculture. ${ }^{1}$ | Total animals. | Total grains. | Cotton. | Fruit. | Leaf tobacco. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January... | 105.0 | 96.5 | 97.9 | 110.8 | 94.6 | 195.7 |
| February | 81.1 | 73.9 | 66.7 | 77.1 | 95.5 | 297.4 |
| March. | 79.4 | 76.4 | 77.5 | 57.8 | 137.4 | 181.1 |
| April. | 66.3 | 74.2 | 60.5 | 51.3 | 175.1 | 24.1 |
| May. | 73.6 | 77.3 | 71.7 | 67.4 | 139.0 | 8.9 |
| June. | 82.2 | 81.9 | 96.0 | 57.8 | 183.3 | 4.1 |
| July.. | 93.4 | 68.1 | 151.9 | 52.7 | 123.8 | 12.1 |
| August. | 116.7 | 85.4 | 195.5 | 56.0 | 86.4 | 54.7 |
| Septemb | 115.3 | 85.9 | 151.6 | 114.7 | 79.9 | 79.3 |
| October. | 130.9 | 107.0 | 121.3 | 195.3 | 69.9 | 107.6 |
| November. | 104.6 | 99.2 | 65.3 | 163.2 | 34.7 | 188.5 |
| December | 93.9 | 82.0 | 79.0 | 133.4 | 83.6 | 117.0 |
| 1922. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January. | 88.9 | 91.8 | 83.8 | 76.8 | 96.1 | 113.2 |
| February | 77.7 | 76.5 | 92.3 | 43.3 | 55.5 | 101.2 |
| March. | 70.7 | 79.2 | 73.0 | 42.8 | 130.4 | 27.5 |
| April. | 57.4 | 71.8 | 49.6 | 37.0 | 103.0 | 5.5 |
| May. | 82.6 | 90.2 | 92.5 | 50.1 | 105.7 | 3.9 |
| June. | 75.1 | 88.7 | 77.1 | 43.0 | 93.8 | 1.5 |

${ }^{1}$ Combination of 14 independent series.
mineral products.

| Date. | Total <br> min- <br> eral <br> prod- <br> ucts. 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Bi}- \\ & \text { tumi- } \\ & \text { nous } \\ & \text { coal. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { An- } \\ & \text { thra- } \\ & \text { cite } \\ & \text { coal. } \end{aligned}$ | Crude petroleam | Iron. | Copper. | Zinc. | Lead. ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January.... | 102.8 | 105.5 | 100.8 | 120.3 | 94.8 | 80.0 | 65.9 | 109.1 |
| February.. | 87.5 | 80.8 | 104.8 | 111.2 | 76.0 | 71.2 | 45.2 | 94.3 |
| March. | 86.8 | 79.6 | 100.8 | 130.2 | 62.6 | 83.0 | 40.0 | 86.1 |
| April. | 78.7 | 72.2 | 104.8 | 127.3 | 46.8 | 47.6 | 42.1 | 77.8 |
| May.. | 84.7 | 87.3 | 102.0 | 133.6 | 47.9 | 22.6 | 45.9 | 78.0 |
| June. | 83.9 | 88.7 | 105.9 | 128.4 | 41.8 | 18.1 | 49.5 | 74.6 |
| July. | 76.7 | 79.6 | 95.9 | 128.1 | 33.9 | 16.6 | 39.4 | 72.4 |
| August. | 82.8 | 90.5 | 97.9 | 130.2 | 37.4 | 19.9 | 37.2 | 87.8 |
| September. | 81.6 | 91.9 | 96.9 | 116.3 | 38.7 | 19.5 | 36.6 | 79.7 |
| October.. | 93.9 | 114.6 | 103.1 | 113.2 | 48.9 | 22.9 | 37.0 | 100.4 |
| November . | 86.0 | 94.2 | 93.3 | 120.0 | 55.5 | 20.8 | 53.8 | 103.3 |
| December.. | 82.0 | 81.1 | 81.4 | 133.3 | 64.7 | 17.3 | 56.0 | 103.2 |
| 1922. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January.... | 90.0 | 98.5 | 85.1 | 137.1 | 64.3 | 24.1 | 60.3 | 101.0 |
| February.. | 94.9 | 107.3 | 92.0 | 129.7 | 63.9 | 34.8 | 57.3 | 93.4 |
| March... | 117.1 | 131.5 | 119.1 | 149.1 | 79.9 | 57.6 | 67.5 | 93.0 |
| April. | 58.6 | 41.3 | . 3 | 141.9 | 81.3 | 71.3 | 65.6 | 88.8 |
| May. | 67.9 | 53.1 | .$^{6}$ | 147.7 | 90.5 | 82.6 | 69.8 | 89.0 |
| June. | 70.6 | 58.4 | 1.1 | 143.8 | 92.6 | 92.7 | 72.6 | 90.4 |

${ }^{1}$ Combination of 7 independent series.
${ }^{2}$ Revised figures.
PRODUCTION OF MANUTACTURED GOODS.

| Date. | Total man-ulactare | Steel. | Lum- | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Pa-} \\ & \text { per. } \end{aligned}$ | Petro- | Textiles. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Leath- } \\ & \text { er. } \end{aligned}$ | Food. | Tobaceo |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January. | 84.5 | 87.6 | 67.7 | 87.8 | 127.7 | 72.0 | 63.5 | 88.2 | 87.8 |
| February. | 77.4 | 69.6 | 75.7 | 79.5 | 113.8 | 73.1 | 62.8 | 77.4 | 94.1 |
| March. | 87.8 | 62.5 | 89.3 | 84.4 | 113.0 | 90.8 | 72.0 | 88.1 | 106. 1 |
| April. | 83.1 | 48.3 | 87.5 | 81.7 | 113.8 | 91.5 | 75.8 | 84.0 | ${ }_{95}^{95.5}$ |
| May. | 84.4 | 50.3 | 100.4 | 72.4 | 114.7 | 95.0 | 83.2 | 82.2 | 99.3 |
| June. | 87.1 | 39.9 | 89.6 | 70.2 | 110.1 | 101.9 | 81.1 | 85.1 | 106.8 |
| July. | 80.1 | 31.9 | 85.3 | ${ }^{65.6}$ | 108.3 | 94.5 | 76.3 | 85.5 | 100.6 |
| August | 90 | 45.3 | ${ }_{92} 9.7$ | ${ }_{78.6} 6$ | 110.6 | 103. ${ }^{105}$ | 85.7 80.3 | 98.8 | 17.2 |
| Oeptober. | 94. 6 | 64.3 | 103.1 | 90.8 | 119.7 | 104.8 | 86.2 | 99.8 | 111.6 |
| November. | 89.5 | 66.0 | 100.6 | 95.8 | 117.1 | 100.4 | 90.9 | 89. | 102.9 |
| December:- | 81.3 | 56.8 | 92.2 | 92.7 | 119.6 | 99.7 | 93.0 | 85.2 | 76. 8 |
| 1922. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January. | 87.0 | 63.4 | 100.7 | 95.0 | 119.0 | 112.2 | 88.2 | 91.3 | 90.6 |
| February. | 80.2 | 69.3 | 95. 4 | 90.0 | 108.6 | 96.8 | 78.1 | 88.5 | 83.8 |
| March.... | 90.9 | 94.3 | 102. 5 | 108. 4 | 123.9 | 107.6 | 78.5 | 96.5 | 98.4 |
| Apri........ | 84.7 98.1 | 97.0 | ${ }_{121.1}^{98.1}$ | 112.3 | 124. ${ }^{12}$ | 91.3 108.9 | 70.7 70.4 | 84.9 96.8 | 89.6 108.1 |
| June. |  | 104.8 | 104. 4 | 110.6 |  | 107.4 | 72.4 | 98.9 |  |

${ }^{1}$ Combination of 94 independent series.

Commodity Movements.

|  | June, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May, } \\ & 1922 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Junc, } \\ & \text { 1921. } \end{aligned}$ | Relatire index. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { June, } \\ 19222 . \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May, } \\ & 1922 . \end{aligned}$ | June, $1921 .$ |
| gran and rlour. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Receipts at 17 intorior centers ( 000 omitted): |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 21,093 | 30, 126 | 30,342 | 106. 4 | 156.8 | 153.0 |
| Corn (bu.)......... | 32,694 | 27,364 | 35, 817 | 116.3 | 189.4 | 127.7 |
| Oats (bu.). | 19,139 | 21, 471 | 21,922 | 94. ${ }^{\text {5 }}$ | 125. 2 | 108.2 |
| Rye (bu). - | 1, 824 | 4, 561 | 1, 4685 | 73.6 | 145.5 | 59.1 |
| Barley (bu.) | 3,269 | 2,983 | 3,859 | 50.4 | 6.4. 6 | 59.5 |
| Total graiu (bu.). | 78,019 | $8 \times 6$ | 93,405 | 101.2 | 147.8 | 121.2 |
| Flour (bbls.). | 2, 198 | 2,20.1 | 865 | 135.0 | 112.9 | 53.1 |
| Total grain and flour (bu.)...... | 83,411 | 96,377 | 97,299 | 98.8 | 143.2 | 115.2 |
| Shipments at 14interiot conters (000) omited): |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wheat (bu.)... | 22,502 | 26,208 | 21, 505 | 135.5 | 110. 5 | 129.4 |
| Corn (bu.) | 17, 048 | 21,988 | 21,381 | 127.5 | 223.8 | 159.9 |
| Oats (bu.) | 21,716 | $22,838$. | 11, 656 | 162.4 | 137. 1 | 87.2 |
| Rye (bu.).......... Barley (bu.)..... | 2,986 | 7,783: | 1,428 | 93.3 49.6 | 147 <br> 85.5 <br> 8. | 44.6 +18.6 |
| Total grain (bu.).' | 66, 589 | 81,677 | 58,240 | 130.1 | 138.9 | 113.8 |
| Flour (bils.) | 3,551 | 3,624. | 2,114 | 118.3 | 111.7 | 70.4 |
| Total grain and flour (bu.)....... | 82,384 | 97,984 | 67,752 | 127.7 | 133.5 | 104.7 |
| Stocks at 11 interior centers at close of |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wheat (bu.)........ | 10,487 | 17,563 | 3,853 | 180.2 | 156.9 | 66.2 |
| Corn (bu.) | 21,356 | 15, 819 | 17,914 | 260.9 | 325.8 | 219.3 |
| Oats (bui) | 34, 857 | 40,369 | 29, 274 | 212.5 | 320.2 | 203.7 |
| Ryc (bu.). | 643 | 1,747 | 240 | 1.7 .1. | 39.4 | 6.4 |
| Barley (bu. | $800^{3}$ | 6.11 | 1, 407 | 31.9 | 24.8 | 52.4 |
| Total grain (bu.). | 68, 199 | 76,139; | 52,718 | 197.7 | 213.4 | 152.8 |
| Total visible supply at |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| close of month (000 omitted): |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wheat (bu.). . . . . . | 48,816 | 67,883 | 21,027 | 175.0 | 155. | 75.4 |
| Corn (bu.). | 33,068 | 31, 170 | 27,363 | 288.8 | 361.1 | 239.0 |
| Oats (bu.) | 53, 365 | $62,+24$ |  |  |  |  |
| Reccipts at 9 scaboard ! |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| centers (00) omitted): |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wheat (bu.) | 13,391 | 12,703 | 16,629 | 99.8 | 95.7 | 123.9 |
| Corn (bu.) | 5,264 | 6,223! | 5,386 | 189.9 | 260.6 | 19.3 |
| Oats (bu.) | 7,280 | 8,731 | 1,904 | 142.3 | 283.3 | 37.2 |
| Rye (but) | 4,278 | 3,771 | 1,180 | 113.1 | ${ }^{82.7}$ | 31.2 |
| Barley (bu.) | 1,494 | 2,063 | 658 | 53.3 | 111.7 | 23.5 |
| Total grain (bu.) | 31,707 | 33,491 | 25,707 | 113.7 | 133.1 | 92.3 |
| Flour (bhls.)... | 1,579 | 1,870 | 1,715 | 85.5 | 101.8 | 92.8 |
| Total grain and flour (bu.). | 38,814 | 41,907 | 33,474 | 107.2 | 126.3 | 92.4 |
| Stocks at 8 seaboard |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| centers at close of |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| month (000 omitted): |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wheat (bu.). | \%,919 | \%,020 | 3,480 | 109.9 | 67.0, | 61.7 |
| Corn (bu.). | 3,403 | 5,907 | 2,136 | 363.3 | 855.6 | 228.1 |
| Oats (bu.) | 3,199 | 2,745 | 1,059 | 129.1 | 137.8 | 42.7 |
| Rye (bu). | 1,224 | 761 1,382 | 157 1,665 | 40.3 38.1 | 55. 5 | 17.4 31.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total grain (bu.) | 14,109; | 15,815 | 8, 303 | 109.2 | 114.9 | 6 6 .8 |
| (bbls.) | 8,136 | 8,073 | 8,087 | 109.5 | S9.31 | 108.8 |
| live stock. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Receiptsat 59 principal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| markets ${ }_{\text {omiticd) }}$ (head, 000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Catile and calves. | 1,750 | 1,867. | 1,573 | 104.6 | 109.4 | 94.0 |
| Hogs................ | 3,763 $1,67{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3,720 1,646 | 3, 1,812 | ${ }^{102.6}$ | 98. 104 | 90.3 06.7 |
| Sheep. <br> Horses and mules (43 markets) | $1,676^{\prime}$ 14 | 1,646 | 1,812 13 | 98.7 49.8 | 101.2 62.8 | 06.7 47.0 |
| Total | 7,203 | 7,258 | 6,895 | 101.9 | 102.0 | 97.5 |

Commodity Movemfints-Continued.


Commodity Movements-Continued.


Commodity Movements-Continued.

${ }^{1}$ Figures for July, 1922, June, 1922, July, 1921.

Commodity Movements-Continued.

|  | June, 1922. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May, } \\ & 1922 . \end{aligned}$ | June, 1921. | Relative index. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | June, 1922. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May, } \\ & 1922 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tune, } \\ & 192 \mathrm{I} \text {. } \end{aligned}$ |
| metals-continued. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Copper production(lbs., |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One omitted.......... 93,740 88,714 19,434 121.5 114.8 <br> Zine(Ibs.,000 omitted): 25.2     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tin (lbs., 000 omitted): |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| textiles. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cotton (bales, 000 omitted): |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5071 | 594 | 660 | 95.4 | 103.9 | 124.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overland move- ment............ | 82 | 67 | 113 |  | 83.9 |  |
| $\Lambda$ merican spinners |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stocks at ports and <br> interior points.... $1,187:$ 1,503 $2,660 \ldots . .$. 62.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spindles active during month........ | 31,877 | 31,653 | 32,761 | 94.5 | 94.5 | 97.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage of idle |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| machinery on first of month to |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| total reported- 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Looms wider |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sets of cards.... | 14.2 | 13.9 | 21.3 | 61.7 | 71.7 | 92.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spinning spin- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| hours on first of month to total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| reported- <br> Looms wider |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Looms 50-inch |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| less........... | 40.11 | 44.8 | 26.3 |  |  |  |
| Sets of cards.... | 9.0 | 10.3 | 17.9 |  |  |  |
| Combs......... | 18.8 | 20.8 | 4.1 |  |  |  |
| Spinning spindles, woolen. | 10.1 | 11.4 | 18.2 |  |  |  |
| Spinning spin- |  | 11.4 | 18.2 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Raw silk: } \\ & \text { Imports (lbs., } 000 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumption(bales) | 29,529 | 33,284 | 31, 192 |  |  |  |
| Stocks at close of month | 26,895 | 20,826 | 15, 521 |  |  |  |
| HIDES AND LEATHER. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sales of raw hides and |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| skins during month (number, 000 omit- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ted): |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cattle hides........ | 77,370 | 769,971 | 724, 543 |  |  |  |
| Calfskins........... | 1,019,279: | 1,001,155 | 960, 363 |  |  |  |
| Kip skins. | 182, 634 | 151, 743 | 206, 709 |  |  |  |
| Goat and kid... | 1,234, 299i1 | 1,069,211 | 909,064 |  |  |  |
| Cabretta. | 27,650 | 61,125 | 38,334 |  |  |  |
| Sheep and lamb | 2,737,603,2 | 2,134,002 | 978, 536 |  |  |  |

Commodity Movements-Continued.


Commodity Movements-Continued.


Commonity Movements-Continued.

|  | June, 1922. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May, } \\ & \hline 92 \end{aligned}$ | June, 1921. | Relative index. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June, } \\ & \text { 1922. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May, June, } \\ & 1922 . \text {, } 1921 . \end{aligned}$ |
| TRANSPORTATION-COL. <br> Freight car surplus: Total. $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 239, 225 | 305, 198 | 373,791 | 108.5 | 119.9169 .5 |
| Box. | 60, 101 | 69, 714 | 146, 298 | 64.4 | 67.7. 156.7 |
| Freight car shortage: <br> Total. | 147,5088 | 195, 439 | 162, 537 | 170.2 | 184.5 187.4 |
|  | 4,808 | 1,714 | 663 | 11. 5 | 4.8 $8^{\prime} 1.6$ |
| Box. | 1,397 | 1, 094 | 187 | 6.4 | 5.61 .9 |
| Coal. | 3,148 | 423 | 310 | 24.5 | 3.92 .4 |
| Vessels cleared in foreign trade (net tons): American. $\qquad$ | 340,822 | 327, 70.4 | 341, 337 | 144.8 | 159.9145 .0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | ! |
|  | 2,625,434 | 2, 621, 206 | 3,058,679 | 91.6 | 108.1 106.7 |
| Foreign. . . . | 3,028, 052 | 2; 553, 505 | 3, $094,4 \bar{j} 2$ | 103.8 | 98.0, 106.1 |
| Total.............. Percent of Amorican to total | 5,653,486 | $5,174,711$ | $6,153,131$ | 97.8 | 102.9 106.4 |
|  | 46.4 | 50.7 | 49.7 | 93.8 | 105. $3_{1} 100.5$ |
| Panama Canal traffic (tons 000 omitted): 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total cargo traffic.. | 1,159 | 1,046 | 793 | 144.3 | 137.8198 .8 |
| American vesscls... | 609 | 499 | 416 |  |  |
| British vessels...... | 319 | 297 | 230 |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Figures for May, 1922, April, 1922, May, 1921.

Commodity Movements-Continued.

|  |  |  |  | Relat | tive in | dex. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1922. | May, | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Tune, } \\ \text { 1921. } \end{array}$ | June, | May, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June, } \\ & \mathbf{1 9 2 1 .} \end{aligned}$ |
| transportation-con. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commerce of carals at |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sault Ste. Marie (000 omitted): 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastbound- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grain other |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (but)......... | 6,987 | 12,832 | 10, 839 |  |  |  |
| Wheat (but)... | 17,209 | 12, 438 | 7,879 |  |  |  |
| Mour (bbls.)... | 986 | 924 | 1,119 |  |  |  |
| Iron ore (short tons). | 8,943 | 6,618 | 4,357 |  |  |  |
| Total (short | 8,3\% | 0,618 |  |  |  |  |
| Westons)....... | 9,827 | 7,597 | 5,012 |  |  |  |
| Westbound- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hard coal (short |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tons)........ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tons)......... | 172 | 248 | 2,487 |  |  |  |
| Total (short |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tons)...... | 408 | 468 |  |  |  |  |
| (short tons). | 10,235 | 8,066 | 8,139 |  |  |  |

## AUGUST CROP REPORT, BY FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICTS.

Forecasts of crop production, issued by the United States Department of Agriculture as of August 1, 1922, are shown in the table below, together with estimates of production in 1921.

The forecast of corn production is considerably better than was expected a month ago, and the present forecast falls only about $63,000,000$ bushels below the 1921 estimate. For the Chicago, St. Louis, and Kansas City districts, in which the larger part of the corn crop is raised, the August forecasts are considerably better than were expected in July, The wheat forecast, on the other hand, is not so good as that of a month ago and only slightly
in excess of last year's estimated production. While the forecast of spring wheat production in the Minneapolis district was considerably larger than was expected a month ago, there is a material decline in winter wheat crop expectations in the Kansas City district. The cotton forecast for the year is $11,449,000$ bales, which is about 400,000 bales better than was expected a month ago and about $3,500,000$ bales more than last year's production. Both the oats and the hay crops are expected to be better than last year, the latest forecast being even higher than July expectations.

PRODUCTION OF CORN, WHEAT, COTTON, OATS, AND HAY, BY FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICTS-FORECASTS OF THE DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AS OF AUGUST 1, 1922, COMPARED WITH ESTIMATED PRODUCTION FOR 1921.
[In thousands of units of measurement.]

| Federal reserve district. | Corn (bushels). |  | Total wheat (bushels). |  | Winter wheat (bushels). |  | Spring wheat (bushels). |  | Cotton (bales). |  | Oats (bushels). |  | Hay, tame and wild (tons). |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1922 | 1921 | 1922 | 1921 | 1922 | 1921 | 1922 | 1921 | 1922 | 1921 | 1922 | 1921 | 1922 | 1921 |
| Boston | 12,842 | 14,447 | 492 | 313 |  |  | 492 | 313 |  |  | 9,569 | 8,247 | 4,940 | 3,372 |
| New York | 34, 809 | 42, 872 | 10,006 | 9,778 | 9,605 | 9,416 | 401 | 362 |  |  | 39,301 | 26,500 | 7,937 | 5,295 |
| Philadelph | $\begin{array}{r}64,495 \\ \hline 1195\end{array}$ | 69, 416 | 23, 228 | 21,126 | 23, 021 | 20,944 | 207 | 182 |  |  | 26, 302 | 22, 216 | 3, 319 | 2,643 |
| Richmond | 211,957 | 209,377 | - ${ }_{28,}^{42}$, 932 | 35, 254 | 28, 922 | 34,786 <br> 24 | 500 | 468 |  |  | 66,606 | 53,402 19 | 7,111 | 5,787 |
| Atlanta. | 220, 712 | 250, 255 | 5,716 | 5,677 | 5,716 | 5,677 |  |  | 2,330 | 1,693 | 19,025 | 21,987 | 3,154 | 3,190 |
| Chicago. | 952,502 | 967,277 | 72, 468 | 62,922 | 66, 913 | 58, 102 | 5,555 | 4,820 |  |  | 480, 822 | 385, 091 | 19,762 | 16,034 |
| St. Louis. | 429,388 | 416, 543 | 72, 448 | 66,442 | 72, 140 | 66,110 | 308 | 332 | 2,241 | 1,662 | 40, 538 | 59,090 | 7, 861 | 6,934 |
| Minneapolis | 285, 464 | 302, 344 | 215, 438 | 153,483 | 9,180 | 7,208 | 206,258 | 146, 275 |  |  | 336, 291 | 229, 772 | 20,055 | 16,877 |
| Kansas City | 468,418 | 442, 158 | 226,636 | 271,130 | 212, 482 | 258,286 | 14, 154 | 12, 844 | 870 | 469 | 140,060 | 163, 401 | 16,934 | 15,589 |
| Dallas....... | 142,252 11,548 | 180,803 11,193 | 8,891 98,421 | 21,986 121,919 | 8,649 63,149 | 21,664 79,976 |  | 41,943 | 4,190 199 | 2,499 | 41,442 | 36, 838 | 13,504 | 1,641 |
| San Francisc | 11,548 | 11,193 | 98, 421 | 121,919 | 63,149 | 79, 976 | 35, 272 | 41,943 | ${ }^{1} 99$ | ${ }^{167}$ | 29, 209 | 34, 343 | 13, 946 | 16, 022 |
| Total | 3,016,950 | ,080,372 | 805, 201 | 794, 893 | 541, 809 | 587, 032 | 263,392 | 207, 861 | 211,449 | 27,946 | 1,251,156 | 1, 060, 737 | 110,368 | 96, 802 |

[^13]
## BUILDING STATISTICS.

building permits in 166 SELECTED CITIES.
[Collected by the 12 Federal Reserve Banks.] NUMBER OF PERMITS ISSUED.


Value of building contracts awarded, by federal reserve districts.
[F. W. Dodge Co.]
VALUE OF CONTRACTS FOR ALL CLASSES OF BUILDINGS.

|  | District <br> No. 1. | District <br> No. 2. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { District } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { District } \\ & \text { No. } 4 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { District } \\ & \text { No. } 5 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { District } \\ & \text { No.7. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { District } \\ & \text { No. 9. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { (7istricts). } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| July............... | \$19, 298, 334 | \$54, 500, 566 | \$13, 563, 100 | 835, 660, 377 | \$16, 026, 969 | 841, 119, 866 | \$12, 651, 007 | \$192, 829, 219 |
| January........... | 15, 302, 453 | 54, 962, 847 | 12,128,900 | 21,068, 282 | 14,002,399 | 29, 182,324 | 3,613,148 | 150, 258,353 |
| February | 14, 799, 476 | 60, 152,424 | 11, 828,700 | 20,602, 823 | 16,518,079 | 32,344, 424 | 5,192,824 | 161, 438,750 |
| March | 26, 212,330 | 90,088,870 | 24, 5588,100 | 29,661, 058 | 24,116, 011 | 58,081, 526 | 11,933,270 | 264, 651,165 |
| April. | 42,196, 915 | 117, 814, 585 | 24,795, 800 | 38, 089, 754 | 25, 618,120 | 64,236,566 | 9,878,501 | 322,630, 241 |
| May. | 31,589, 783 | 91, 441, 141 | 25,739, 294 | 58, 432,714 | 32, 268,767 | 71,117,055 | 12,455,410 | 323,044, 164 |
| June | 36,259,420 | 81, 614, 205 | 26,630,900 | 30, 668, 191 | 46, 801, 800 | 77,560,940 | 12,153,061 | 311, 688, 517 |
| July | 24,910,926 | 79,819,084 | 28,768, 377 | 25,362, 187 | 52, 224, 001 | 83, 159, 795 | 9, 304, 325 | 303,548,695 |
| VALUE OF CON'TRACTS FOR RESIDENTIAL BUILDINGS. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July | \$6,672, 758 | \$22, 546, 142 | \$2,971,900 | \$8,319, 248 | 85, 335, 545 | \$7,382,427 | \$3, 758, 504 | \$56, 986, 524 |
| 1922. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January . | 4,767,597 | 35,652, 203 | 6, 280, 200 | 6,279,459 | 6,597, 861 | 10,601, 761 | 1,049,594 | 71,228,675 |
| February. | 4,179,944 | 38,657, 156 | 5,647, 700 | 5,545, 073 | 7, 299, 608 | 9,388,615 | 1962,757 | 71, 680,853 |
| March.... | 11, 897, 086 | 51, 111, 514 | 9,552,500 | 10,641, 177 | 0, 796, 405 | 17,225, 204 | 2,348, 511 | 112, 577, 397 |
| April. | 13, 524,827 | 53, 677, 473 | 10,408,700 | 16, 127, 627 | 10, 297, 280 | 17,661,586 | 4, 175, 963 | 125, 873,456 |
| May... | $14,018,303$ $12,518,840$ | $39,943,547$ $40,483,063$ | 11, 188, 8688 | 19,121, 798 | 13, 009, 760 | 24, 574,835 | 4, 240,047 | 126,077, 158 |
| July. | 12,644,574 | 33, 364,787 | 7,826,581 | 8,074, 163 | 15,406,301 | 20, 233,345 | $2,671,184$ $2,415,438$ | 128,632, 327 |

1 Montana not included.

## RETAIL TRADE.

The following tables are a summary of the data obtained from 463 representative department stores in the 12 Federal reserve districts. In districts Nos. 1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 9, 11, and 12 the data wore roceived in (and averages computed from) actual dollar amounts. In districts Nos. $3,4,8$, and 10 most of the material was received in the form of percentages, and the averages for the cities and districts computed from such percentages were weighted according to volume of business done during the calondar year 1921. The changes in retail trade for the United States as a wholo are obtained by combining the district percentages after multiplying them by a system of weights based partly on population and partly on banking resources. The tables for the month of June are based on reports from 25 stores in district No. 1 (Boston), 64 storos in district No. 2 (New York), 104 stores in district No. 3 (Philadolphia), 33 stores in district No. 4 (Cleveland), 25 stores in district No. 5 (Richmond), 37 stores in district No. 6 ( $\Lambda$ tlanta), 63 stores in district No. 7 (Chicago), 20 stores in district No. 8 (St. Louis), 21 stores in district No. 9 (Minneapolis), 17 stores in district No. 10 (Kansas City), 22 stores in district No. 11 (Dallas), and 32 stores in district No. 12 (San Francisco). Figures for Reading in district No. 3 (Philadelphia) are shown separately for the first time this month.

A comparison of monthly changes in activity of different typos of retail business since Janu-
ary, 1919, is shown in the second of the following tables. The 159 department stores are located in districts Nos. 1, 2, 5, 6, 9, 11, and 12, while the mail-order houses do business in all parts of the United States. Chain-store figures are based upon the total sales of the same reporting chains for each month, but the actual number of stores in these chains varies slightly.

There was a pronounced improvement in mail-ordor business during June, which is quite unusual at this season of the year. Cigar businoss showed a rather marked reduction and was smaller than in any month since September, 1919. Comparison with June, 1921, shows an increase in business of all types of reporting stores, except cigar and shoe stores.

The course of business of grocery chains, 5 and 10 cent chains, and shoe chains is shown on the accompanying chart by months since January, 1919. Allowance should be made for the fact that many new stores have been added by reporting chains in making comparisons between the level of the curves in different years, but the seasonal trends indicated within any single year are nevertheless highly significant. It will be noted that Christmas buying causes a very sharp peak in the sales of 5 and 10 cent stores each December, while shoe chains have seasons of heavy selling every spring and autumn. Grocery business, on the other hand, has no marked seasonal tendencies.


## CONDITION OF RETAIL TRADE IN THE FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICTS.

[Minus sign ( - ) denotes decrease.]

| District and city. | Percentage of increase in net sales as compared with corresponding poriod previous year. |  |  |  | Percentage of increase in stocks at close of month compared with- |  |  |  | Percentage of average stocks at close of each month to average monthly sales for same period. |  | Percentage of outstanding orders at close of month to total purchases during previous calendar year. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May, } \\ & 1022 . \end{aligned}$ | June, 1922. | Jan. 1, 1922, to close of- |  | Same month previous year. |  | Previous month. |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan. } 1,1922, \text { to } \\ & \text { close of - } \end{aligned}$ |  | May, 1922. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June, } \\ & \text { 1922. } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  | May, 1922. | June, 1922. | May, 1922. | June, 1922. | May, 1922. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June, } \\ & 1922 . \end{aligned}$ | May, 1922. | June, 1922. |  |  |
| District No. 1: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boston. | 5.5 | 1.0 | -0.8 | -0.4 | 4.9 |  | -1.2 | -4.2 | 294.5 | 288.4 | 5.7 | B. 6 |
| Outside. | -2.5 | $-.7$ | -7.1 | -6.0 | -. 4 | 1.3 | $-.8$ | -3.8 | 453.2 | 440.4 | 5.7 | 6.5 |
| District | 3.7 | -. 6 | -2.2 | -1.7 | 3.2 | 3.1 | -1.1 | -4.1 | 329.9 | 322.4 | 5.7 | 6.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New York and Brooklyn | 5.3 1.6 | -1.7 | -10.6 | -1.0 -9.1 | 1.6 -4.0 | -2.1 | -4.7 -3.2 | -4.9 -8.9 | 347.9 430.8 | 341.8 404.4 | 4.6 4.4 | 4.9 7.1 |
| Newark | -. 6 | -3.8 | -1.3 | -1.7 | -1.1 | -. 2 | -2.9 | -8.1 | 350.9 | 342.0 | 3.6 | 5.6 |
| Rochester | -1.7 | -4.6 | -6.6 | -6.3 | $-1.4$ | -2.1 | -. 8 | $-2.3$ | 365.1 | 346.1 | 3.6 | 3.7 |
| Syracuse. | -2.8 | -1.6 | -7.7 | -6.7 | -16.3 | -15.1 | -4.6 | . 6 | 395.2 | 388.3 | 3.9 | 3.8 |
| Bridgepor | -6.4 | $-6.7$ | -11.0 | -10.3 |  |  | 1.6 | .3 | 445.3 | 444.5 | 5.6 | 8.1 |
| Oulside. | 2.6 | $\stackrel{7}{7}$ | $-1.0$ | $-.7$ | -. 7 | -1.5 | -3.0 | -2.5 | 575.3 | 564.5 | 6.9 | 3.8 |
| Distriet. | 3.6 | 1.0 | -2.7 | -2.1 |  |  | $-4.2$ | -5.2 | 351.4 | 344.6 | 5.0 | 5.2 |
| District No. 3 : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trenton.... | 1.7 | 1.6 | -3.0 | -2.4 | 2.5 | -. 4.8 | $-2.0$ | -3.4 | 454.2 | 439.8 |  | 7. |
| Wilmingto | 9.2 | 1.9 | $-7.4$ | -5.4 | -19.0 | -17.3 | . 8 | . 3 | 691.4 | 664.0 | 3.2 | 4.3 |
| Chester... | -6.8 | -12.7 | $-24.7$ | -22.7 | -1.0 |  | $-.5$ |  | 1,339.5 |  |  |  |
| Harrisburg | $-{ }^{-6}$ | -1.1 | - 7.9 | $-1.5$ | -6.0 |  | 1.7 | $-2.8$ | 411.2 | 445.9 |  |  |
| Lancaster Reading. | -6.8 | -9.1 1.0 | -10.7 | -10.0 -3.8 | -3.1 | -4.9 4.7 | -. 5 | -3.6 | 471.0 | 481.3 | 10.8 | 12.9 |
| Reading. Outside. | $-4.8$ | -12.2 |  | -3.8 | $\cdots{ }^{-1.7}$ | 4.7 2.3 | -4.0 | -1.4 | 501.5 | 592.2 473.2 | 5.3 | 8.2 5.3 |
| Outside. District | -4.8 | -1.9 | -5.7 | --5.8 | $-1.5$ | -4.2 | $-2.7$ | -5.4 | 392.3 | 483.6 | 6.1 | 5.3 7.3 |
| District No. 4: Cleveland | 6.7 |  |  | -4.6 | 7.6 | 5.4 | -2.5 | -2.9 | 368.3 | 369.1 | 5.6 | 2 |
| Pittsburgh | -3.5 | -4.0 | -15.8 | -13.9 | $-7.5$ | -8.2 | -3.9 | -3.5 | 352.1 | 357.6 | 5.2 | 8.7 |
| Cincinnati. | . 7 | $-4.3$ | -6.9 | -6.6 | -. 4 | 2.4 | -5.9 | -8.9 | 522.0 | 512.0 | 7.7 | 12.4 |
| Toledo. | 2.5 | 2.9 | -5.3 | -2.8 | -1.8 | -1.7 | -4.6 | -4.8 | 455.4 | 444.1 | 4.3 | 4.9 |
| Outside. | 6.3 | 3.0 | -7.0 | -8.9 | -. 2 | -1.7 | $-1.2$ | -2.1 | 467.4 | 428.7 | 8.9 | 12.3 |
| District. | 1.0 | .4 | $-10.3$ | -9.0 | -1.3 | -1.9 | -3.7 | -4.0 | 396.3 | 393.7 | 5.7 | 7.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Raltimore. | -3.5 | $-5.1$ | $-10.7$ | -9.1 | . 2 | $-.3$ | -4.9 | $-6.2$ | 392.9 | 385.4 | 6.0 | 6.7 |
| Richmond Washingto | 4.0 -1.6 | -3.4 -7.6 -1.4 | -6.9 -8.9 | -6.3 | -6. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | -1.8 | -3.1 | -3.2 | 392.2 416.4 | 376.8 407.6 | 4.8 | 4.4 |
| Outside.. | -5.6 | $-14.5$ | -15.8 | -15.8 | 6.2 2.8 | 5.9 | -1.8 | -2.4 | $\stackrel{4}{43} 3.1$ | $\stackrel{401.3}{ }$ | 5.7 | 8.2 |
| District. | $-2.5$ | -1.1. | $-10.5$ | $-9.6$ | 2.5 | 2.8 | $-3.5$ | $-3.8$ | 419.5 | 408.0 | 5.4 | 8.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Atlanta... | $-2.7$ | -5.9 | -13.4 | -11.8 | 16.6 | 7.5 | -1.1 | -7.3 | 570.4 | 375.3 | 3.5 | 1.8 |
| Birmingh | -4.1 | -8.1 | $-23.4$ | -20.4 | -5.5 | $-4.1$ | $-5.6$ | $-.8$ | 560.1 | 646.0 | 5.8 | 6.2 |
| Nashville. | -4.0 | -1.1 | $-9.5$ | $-8.2$ | -2. 1 | -1.8 | -1. 1 | -2.6 | 491.0 | 428.7 | 5.1 | 6.3 |
| Now Orlea | -7.8 | $-10.6$ | $-11.4$ | $-11.3$ | $-102$ | -1.2 | $-4.7$ | -8.4 | 488.2 | 479.7 | 3.2 | 7.5 |
| Savannah | -14.5 | -15.9 | $-21.8$ | $-20.9$ | -10.7 | -12.6 | $-1.3$ | -3.8 | 607.8 | 598.2 | 5.9 | 4.8 |
| Outside.... District. | -1.8 -10.5 -7.2 | -9.0 | -12.0 -13.8 | -11.7 <br> -12.6 | -4.2 | -5.3 | -4.8 -3.9 | -0.5 | 611.8 527.8 | 545.7 514.1 | 2.0 | 4.5 |
| District No. $\begin{aligned} & \text { District......................... }\end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chicago... | $-7.3$ | 4.5 | -15.9 | $-.3$ | 1.2 | 4.9 | -1.4 | -7.9 | 426.7 | 293.4 |  |  |
| Detroit | 13.3 | 14.8 |  | 1.5 | -2.5 | -4. 2 | $-4.0$ | -5.3 | 322.1 | 320.0 | 10.0 | 13.1 |
| Milwaukee | -6.5 |  | -11.6 |  | $-5.6$ |  | 1.1 |  | 611.4 |  | 3.8 |  |
| Indianapolis | 6.2 |  | -3.2 |  | 10.7 |  | -1.8 |  | 325.7 |  | 5.9 |  |
| Des Moines |  | -7.3 |  | -11.5 | $\cdots$ |  |  | -5.7 |  | 308.6 |  | 7.5 |
| Outside.... | -8.0 | $-6.4$ | -15.0 | -13.1 | -1.5 | -6.3 | $-3.0$ | -4.0 | 584.9 | 506.9 | 4.0 | 5.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| St. Louis. | -2.3 | -2.9 | $-11.1$ | -9.4 | -3.3 | -5.8 | -2.6 | -1.5 | 361.9 | 355.0 | 5.8 | 6.3 |
| Louisville | -2.7 | -6.7 | -7.9 | -7.6 | -11.4 | -16.1 | -5. 1 | $-9.3$ | 588.6 | 585.6 | 1.9 | 2.8 |
| Memphis. | -4.1 | 14.2 | -11.2 | $-7.4$ | $\overline{-7} 7$ | -15.5 | -. 7 | -19.9 | 553.3 | 517.0 | 9.6 | 5.5 |
| Little Rock | -17.7 | -13.4 | -18.9 | $-18.2$ | 15.5 | 10.4 | -4.1 | -5.7 | 427.3 | 391.7 | 3.0 | 3.9 |
| Outside... | -7.1 | . 2 | -12.8 | -12.6 | -4.8 | -3.6 | -2.7 | -2.8 | 507.2 | 539.1 | 3.0 | 3.5 |
| District.. | -4.1 | -1.4 | -11.4 | $-9.6$ | -2.5 | $-7.2$ | -2.8 | -5.8 | 430.2 | 417.7 | 5.3 | 5.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kansas City | -6. 1 | $-6.1$ | -12.6 | $-11.1$ | $-9.9$ | ${ }_{3} .8$ | -9.6 | -16.5 | 490.3 | 470.1 | 1.2 | 6. 6 |
| Denver.. | -1.4 | 1.6 -1.8 | -8.2 | -6.2 | 4.5 5.9 | 3.4 5.2 | -1.8 | -5.2 | 663.3 558.8 | 526.7 561.3 | 8.3 7.5 | 13.0 7.9 |
| Outside... | -5.3 | $-1.8$ | -11.7 | -8.4 | 5.9 .4 | 5.2 3.2 | -2.5 | -4.7 | 558.8 567.6 | 561.3 518.9 | 7.5 5.4 | 7.9 8.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dallas.. | -7.0 | -8.2 | -14.1 | $-13.2$ | 1.0 | -8.6 | . 3 | -7.3 | 462.4 | 461.2 | 7.2 | 6.5 |
| Fort Worth | -4.8 | $-9.0$ | -16.4 | $-14.9$ | -4. 1 | -6.5 | -4.9 | -5.0 | 526.3 | 513.3 | 3.6 | 7.9 |
| Houston. | -1.5 | $-7.1$ | -7.8 | $-7.8$ | -5.2 | -2.8 | $-.6$ | -14.0 | 474.3 | 448.0 | 1.0 | 4.1 |
| Outside.... | -10.3 | -15.4 | -18.1 | -17.7 | -10.5 | -16.1 | -9.1 | -9.5 | 430.3 | 429.6 | 4.5 | 4.2 |
| District..............................District No. 12: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Los Angeles. | 24.0 | 7.1 | 4.9 | 5.2 | 1.1 | -5.5 | -9.9 | -4.8 | 443.9 | 425.1 | 9.0 | 12.1 |
| San Francisco | 19.5 | 4.4 | . 3 |  | 4.8 | 1.8 | -8.7 | -7.6 | 450.4 | 450.6 | 10.0 | 12.1 |
| Oakland. | 3.1 | -4.8 | $-12.5$ | -11.1 | 3.7 | . 7 | . 1 | -7.0 | 602.8 | 576.9 |  |  |
| Seatle... | 9.4 | 5.7 | $-3.8$ | -2.1 | -7.5 | $-4$ | -. 4 | -2.9 | 435.1 | 419.8 | 11.4 | 10.5 |
| Spokane | -8.1. | $-4.3$ | $-11.9$ | $-11.5$ | 1.8 | 2.6 | -3.5 | -6.3 | 694.2 | 667.2 | 6.9 | 10.6 |
| Salt Lake City | $-3.3$ | -5.5 | -14.2 | $-12.6$ | 2.2 | 4.1 | -3.4 | -5.7 | 542.9 | 526.9 |  | 7.3 |
| United District..... | 15.5 |  | -1.2 | -. 7 | -9.0 | $-1.9$ | -6.3 | -6.0 | 462.7 | 463.0 | 9.1 | 11.3 |
| United States. | . 8 | $-1.3$ | $-7.5$ | -6.5 | $-.7$ | -1.4 | -3.3 | -5.3 | 426.8 | 405.1 | 5.8 | 7.2 |

## aVERAGE MONTHLY VALUE OF RETAIL TRADE,

[Average month, $1919=100$.]

|  | Mail-order houses (4 houses) | Chain stores. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Grocery <br> (16-chains), | Five and ten (4 chains). | Drug <br> (7 chains). | Cigar <br> (3 chains). | Shoe (5 chains). | Music (4 chains). |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January ............ | 69.1 | 124.6 | 86.1 | 117.3 | 119.9 | 85.8 | 79.0 |
| February. | 64.8 | 118.4 | 92.9 | 110.7 | 116.5 | 82.5 | 78.3 |
| March. | 95.1 | 128.7 | 121.1 | 123.6 | 131.8 | 141.0 | 81.9 |
| April. | 77.5 | 121.7 | 111.9 | 121.8 | 134.7 | 139.7 | 75.1 |
| May... | 60.2 | 118.8 | 112.2 | 119.2 | 129.5 | 136.5 | 65.1 |
| June... | 62.1 | 116.0 | 109.7 | 120.6 | 127.8 | 127.6 | 59.9 |
| July... | 49.3 | 115.1 | 108.0 | 122.1 | 128.5 | 100.9 | 55.6 |
| August | 56.4 | 121.4 | 116.0 | 119.8 | 127.6 | 86.6 | 71.6 |
| September | 72.7 | 118.3 | 113.4 | 119.4 | 128.0 | 103.1 | 82.3 |
| October... | 88.6 | 135. 2 | 141.9 | 124.2 | 138.0 | 135.4 | 99.2 |
| November. | 83.3 | 133.5 | 134.1 | 115.2 | 124.8 | 119.1 | 107.0 |
| December. | 80.3 | 144.5 | 241.6 | 146.1 | 172.7 | 149.6 | 172.6 |
| 1922. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January.. | 65.3 | 135.8 | 94.6 | 117.0 | 111.0 | 80.0 | 71.7 |
| February. | 59.4 | 127.6 | 100.8 | 114.5 | 109.3 | 80.7 | 75.0 |
| March... | 83.5 | 145.4 | 118.4 | 123.2 | 124.3 | 102.0 | 80.6 |
| April. | 77.0 | 137.4 | 134.9 | 120.3 | 124.5 | 156.3 | 78.9 |
| May. | ${ }_{1}^{169.4}$ | 136.5 | 129.6 | 122.9 | 128.8 | 127.1 | 80.9 |
| June....... | 191.7 | 133.2 | 124.9 | 123.5 | 105.8 | ${ }^{1} 123.1$ | 81.3 |

1 Partly estimated.
CONDITION OF WHOLESALE TRADE.
PERCENTAGE OF INCREASE (OR DECREASE) IN NET SALES IN JUNE, 1922, AS COMPARED WITH THE PRECEDING MONTH (MAY, 1922).


PERCENTAGE OF INCREASE (OR DECREASE) IN NET SALES IN JUNE, 1922, AS COMPARED WITH THE SAME MONTH LAST YEAR (JUNE, 1921).


## DISCOUNT AND OPEN-MARKET OPERATIONS OF FEDERAL RESERVE BANKS.

Following is a set of tables showing the volume of bills discounted and of acceptances and Government securities purchased by the Federal rescrve banks during June, 1922:

VOLUME OF OPERATIONS DURING JUNE, 1922.

| Federalreserve bank. | Bills discounted for member banks. | Bills bought in open market. | United States securities purchased. |  | Municipal warrants purchased. | Total. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bonds and } \\ \text { notes. } \end{gathered}$ | Certificates of indebtedness. |  | June, 1922. | June, 1921. |
| Boston | \$82, 738, 512 | \$30, 022, 774 | \$1, 108, 100 | \$75, 939, 500 |  | \$189, 808, 886 | \$333, 568, 864 |
| New York | 345, 164, 080 | $66,858,834$ | 95, 193,000 | 464, 429, 500 |  | 971, 945, 414 | 2,066, 886,849 |
| Philadelphi | 186, 639, 2-16 | 14,167, 210 | 10,626,350 | 10,062,000 |  | 221, 492, 806 | 431, 834,973 |
| Cleveland. | 121,959,918 | 5, 072,614 | 500,000 | 35, 605, 500 |  | 163, 138, 032 | 372, 482, 872 |
| Richmond | 71, 671, 628 | 513, 200 |  |  |  | 72, 184, 828 | 247, 186, 656 |
| Atlanta. | 21, 845, 215 | 2,581,474 | 1,352, 500 | 2,997,000 |  | 28,776, 189 | $132,344, \text {, } 65$ |
| Chicago. | $93,238,347$ $90,922,695$ | 35, 663, 234 | $15,729,700$ $3,256,250$ | $38,146,500$ $31,454,500$ |  | $182,777,781$ $126,243,381$ | $455,443,258$ $177,168,747$ |
| Minneapolis | 21, 288,029 | -1, | 4,413, 150 | 3,758,000 |  | 29,459,179 | $177,168,747$ $68,661,719$ |
| Kansas City | 14, 393, 268 |  | 11,919,250 | 6,307,000 |  | 32,619,518 | 77,4i0, 034 |
| Dallas.... San Franc | $16,825,424$ $92,632,354$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,910,971 \\ 18,095,779 \end{array}$ | 2,500,000 | $2,075,000$ $8,689,500$ |  | 20, 811,395 | $67,654,755$ $368,886,171$ |
| Total, June, 1922 | 1, 159, 318,7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total, June, 1921 | 3,674,977,034 |  | 146, 898,300 | 641, 619,500 |  | 2, 161, 175, 042 |  |
| - 6 months ending |  |  |  |  |  |  | 799, 533, 563 |
| June 30, 1922... | 9,760, 140,334 | 809, 108, 076 | 675, 509, 100 | 1, 761, 258, 500 | \$111,029 | 13, 006, 127,039 |  |
| June 30, 1921... | 36, 588, 772, 400 | 767,364,052 | 24, 158, 550 | 2, 475, 074, 527 |  |  | 39, 855, 369, 559 |

VOLUME OF BILLS DISCOUNTED DURING JUNE, 1922, BY CLASSES OF PAPER; ALSO NUMBER OF MEMBER BANKS ACCOMMODATED.

| Federal reserve bank. | Customers' <br> paper <br> secured by Government obligations. | Membor bank not | s' collatoral | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Commercial } \\ & \text { paper, } \\ & \text { n.e.s. } \end{aligned}$ | Agricultural paper. | Livestock paper | Bankers' acceptances. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Secured by Gorermment obligations. | Otherwise sccured. |  |  |  | Foreign. | Domeslic. | Dollar exchange. |
| Bostor. | \$301,910 | \$388,765,900 |  | \$43,112,712 | S380,927 | \$106,381 |  |  |  |
| New York. | 1,220, 180 | 266,934, 53.5 |  | 74,927, 337 | 970,907 | 13,117 |  |  |  |
| Philadelphia | 326,590 | 138,927, 560 |  | 47,042,171 | 302,373 |  |  |  |  |
| Cleveland. | 310,576 | 93,407, 890 | \$129,173 | 26,856,717 | 256,083 | 84,471 |  |  |  |
| Richmond | 272,021 | $54,804,150$ | 520,000 | 12,263,663 | 3,420,949 | 38,153 |  |  |  |
| Atlanta. | 84, 418 | 7,395,050 | 283,300 | 9,676,606 | 3,625,760 ; | 543,766 |  |  |  |
| Chicago. | 1,496, 562 | 52,667,536 | 590,000 | 30,767,306 | 7,635, 816 !.. |  |  |  |  |
| St. Louis. | 140, 456 | $81,916,361$ | 6, 600 | $5.897,904$ | 2, 602, 034 | 135, 323 |  | \$25, 000 |  |
| Minneapolis. | 16,672 | 11,356, 210 | 813,102 | 3,967,092 | 3, 193,578 | 1,895, 514 |  | , |  |
| Kansas City. | 76,055 | 6,173,130 | 16,000 | 3,958,907 | 1,446,062 | 2,723,114 |  |  |  |
| Dallas..... | 21, 899 | 4,398,844 | 7688,540 | 4, 028,960 | 4,485, 0889 | 3, 103,391 |  |  |  |
| San Francisco | 159,187 | 36,749,742 | 22, 853,412 | 27, 559,332 | 3,856,085 ! | 1,141,022 | \$89,974 |  |  |
| Total: June, 1922. | 4,426,532 | $793,496,941$ $732,368,832$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26,010,057 \\ & 26,65,058 \end{aligned}$ | $290,058,907$ $301,251,671$ | $\begin{array}{r} 32,156,163 \\ 35,599,567 \end{array} \begin{array}{r} 9,784,462 \\ 10,398,643 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 89,974 \\ 44,987 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25,000 \\ 112,520 \end{array}$ |  |
| June, 1921. Mar', 1921. | $\begin{array}{r} 96,160,831 \\ 129,890,303 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,961,999,015 \\ & 2,578,728,882 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29,108,262 \\ & 23,152,075 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,490,444,999 \\ 1,427,211,911 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 56,233,595 \\ 50,82 \pi, 201 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{26,926,398}{27,794,905} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 3,790,373 \\ 6,562,956 \end{array}$ |  |  |
| Federal reserve bank. |  | Tradeacceptances. |  | Total all classes. | Total reduced to a common maturity basis. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Member banks. |  |  |
|  |  | Foreign. | Domestic. |  | Amount. | Per cent of total. | Number district. | Accommodated. |  |
|  |  | Number. |  |  |  |  |  | Per cent. |
| Boston. |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 870,682 \\ 1,097,798 \end{array}$ |  | $\text { 882, 738, } 512$$345,164,080$ | $\$ 63,397,823$$167,652,073$ | 5.514.4 | 433 | 205328328 | 47.340.8 |
| New York |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Philadelphia |  | 10, 40.552 |  | 186,639,246 | 106,270, 285 | 1.4 9.2 | 711 | 347 | 48.837.4 |  |
| Clercland.. |  |  |  | 121,959,918 | 92, 559,279 | 8.0 | 884 | 331 |  |  |
| Richmond. |  |  |  | $71,671,628$$21,845,215$ | $\begin{array}{r}81,058,354 \\ 68,936,346 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 7.0 <br> 5 | 630 | $\begin{aligned} & 356 \\ & 300 \\ & 300 \end{aligned}$ | 37.4 56.5 |  |
| Atlanta.. |  | 236,305 |  |  |  | 5.9 | 532 |  | 56.55.45.2 |  |
| Chicago. |  |  | 81,127 | 93,238,347 | $\begin{array}{r} 00,900,040 \\ 189,94,099 \\ 56,733,035 \end{array}$ | 16.4 | 1,444 | 754 |  |  |
| St. Louis... |  | 198,914 |  | 90, 922, 695 |  | 4.96.0 | $\begin{array}{r} 605 \\ 1,023 \end{array}$ | 249415 | 52.2 41.2 |  |
| Minneapolis |  |  |  | $21,288,029$$14,393,268$ | $\begin{aligned} & 56,733,350 \\ & 70,134,762 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 41.633.1 |  |
| Kansas City |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 49,526,554 \\ 76,137,698 \\ 136,971,183 \end{array}$ | 6.86.6118 | 1,152 | 381 |  |  |
| Dallas....... |  | 18,201 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 16,825,424 \\ & 92,632,354 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 866 \\ & 849 \end{aligned}$ | 431339 | 50.0$\mathbf{5 9 . 9}$ |  |
| San Francisco |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total: |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3,250,680 \\ & 3,556,680 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,159,318,716 \\ & 1,113,931,285 \end{aligned}$ | 1,159,318,716 | 100.0 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{9 , 9 2 8} \\ & \mathbf{9 , 9 0 9} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,436 \\ & 4,636 \end{aligned}$ | 44.746.8 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \$ 10,013,265 \\ 9,693,998 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3,674,977,034 \\ & 4,253,863,731 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 9,770 \\ & 9,770 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,745 \\ & 5,632 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 58.8 \\ & 57.6 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^14]VOLUME OF BILLS DISCOUNTED DURING JUNE, 1922, BY RATES OF DISCOUNT CHARGED; ALSO AVERAGE RATES AND maturities.

| Federal reserve bank. | 4 per cent. | 43 per cent. | 5 per cent. | Total. | Average rate (365-day basis) | Average maturity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Per cent. | Days. |
| Boston.. | \$30, 810,653 | \$51, 927, 859 | ............. | \$82, 738, 512 | 4.32 | 11.96 |
| New York. | 135, 266, 909 | 209, 897, 171 |  | 345, 164, 080 | 4. 24 4.50 | 7.58 8.89 |
| Cleveland... |  | $186,639,246$ <br> 121,959 |  | $\begin{array}{r}186,639,246 \\ 121,959 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 4.50 4.50 | 11.89 |
| Richmond. |  | 71,671,628 | ...... | 71, 671,628 | 4.50 | 17.66 |
| Atlanta.. |  | 21, 845,215 |  | 21,845, 215 | 4.50 | 49.27 |
| Chicago.. |  | 93, 238, 347 |  | 93, 238, 347 | 4.50 | 31. 81 |
| St. Louis. |  | 90,922, 695 |  | 90, 922,695 | 4. 50 | 9.74 |
| Minneapolis |  |  | \$21, 288,029 | 21, 288, 029 | 5.00 | 51.44 |
| Kansas City |  |  | 14, 393, 268 | 14,393, 268 | 5.00 | 53.73 |
| Dallas.. |  |  | 16,825, 424 | 16, 825, 424 | 5.00 | 70.66 |
| San Francisco |  | 92, 632,354 |  | 92, 632,354 | 4.50 | 23.09 |
| Total: June, 1922 | 166, 077, 562 | 940, 734, 433 | 52, 506, 721 | 1,159,318,716 |  | 15. 61 |
| May, 1922. |  | 1,058,472, 669 | 55, 458, 616 | 1,113, 931, 285 | 4.59 | 17.44 |

VOLUME OF BANKERS' aND TRADE ACCEPTANCES PURCHASED DURING JUNE, 1922, BY CLASSES.

| Federal reserve bank. | Bankers' acceptances. |  |  |  | Trade acceptances. |  |  | Total. bills purchased. | Total reduced to a com. mon maturity basis. ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Foreign. | Domestic. | Dollar exchange. | Total. | Foreign. | Domestic. | Total. |  | Amount. | Per cent of total. |
| Boston. | \$20, 460, 106 | \$8,102,668 | \$1,460,000 | \$30,022,774 |  |  |  | \$30, 022, 774 | \$31, 247, 778 | 17.8 |
| New York. | 52, 462,557 | 11, 739, 359 | 1,879,560 | 66,081, 476 | \$777,358 |  | \$777,358 | 66, 858, 834 | 39, 811,882 | 22.7 |
| Philadelphia | $10,694,686$ $3,459,316$ | $2,932,868$ $1,408,298$ | 505,000 205,000 | 14, 132,554 | 32,656 |  | 32,656 | 14, 165, 210 | 17,319,513 | 9.9 |
| Richmond | -165, 450 | 1, 347,750 | 205,00 | - 513, 200 |  |  |  | 5,513,200 | $4,912,888$ 501889 | 2.8 .3 |
| Atlanta... | 2,309,974 | 271, 300 |  | 2, 581, 474 |  |  |  | 2,581, 474. | 1, 886, 760 | 1.1 |
| Chicago. | 26,761,196 | 8,360, 814 | 541, 224 | 35,663,234 |  |  |  | 35, 663, 234 | 39, 718,544 | 34.0 |
| St. Louis. | 574,936 | 35,000 |  | 609,936 |  |  |  | 609,936 | 1,714,660 | 1.0 |
| Minneapolis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kansas City |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| San Francis | 14,007, 824 | $\begin{array}{r} 673,021 \\ 3,962,955 \end{array}$ | 225,000 125,000 | 18,095,779 |  |  |  | 18,095,779 | 16,329, 121 | 1.15 |
| Total: June, 1922.. | 131, 908, 995 | 37,834,233 | 4,940,784 | 174,684, 012 | 810,014 |  | 810,014 | 175,494,026 | 175, 494,026 | 100.0 |
| May, 1922.. | 114, 746, 968 | 32,239,091 | 3,620,502 | 150,606, 561 |  |  |  | 150, 806,561 |  |  |
| June, 1921.. | 43,000,328 | 17,263,676 | 4,334,512 | 64,598,516 | 74,613 |  | 74,613 | 64,673, 129 |  |  |
| May; 1921.. | 85, 207, 323 | 27,224,489 | 25,547, 853 | 137, 979, 665 | 621,540 |  | 621, 540 | 138,601,205 |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Total purchases multiplied by ratio of average maturity of bills purchased by each bank to average maturity (37.57) for system.
VOLUME OF ACCEPTANCES PURCHASED DURING JUNE, 1922, BY RATES OF DISCOUNT CHARGED; ALSO AVERAGE RATES and Maturities.

| Federal reserve bank. | 3 per cent. | 38 per cent. | $3 \frac{1}{3}$ per cent. | $3{ }^{3}$ per cent. | 31 per cent. | 38 per cent. | 33 per cent. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Boston. | \$4, 334,006 | \$20,383, 391 | \$5, 020, 816 | \$114,408 | \$84, 267 | \$55, 000 |  |
| New York | 80,429 | 16,720, 022 | 1,825, 256 | 373, 141 | 47, 567, 861 | 220, 000 | \$72,125 |
| Philadilphia | 3, 575, 281 | $8,898,116$ $4,608,355$ | $1,659,176$ 87,440 | 19,490 19,355 | 13,167 188,210 | 7,457 | 144,347 |
| Richmond. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Atlanta. |  | 1,298,375 | 314,451 |  | 123, 500 |  |  |
| Chicago. | 21,464,655 | 10, 461, 129 | 1,670,450 | 2,067,000 |  |  |  |
| St. Louis. |  | 609,936 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dallas. |  | 1,910,971 |  |  |  |  |  |
| San Francisco | 1,240,586 | 12, 1313,051 | 1, 893,093 | 1,700, 668 | 191,501 | 256,880 |  |
| Total: June, 1922. | 30,694, 937 | 77, 703, 346 | 12, 470,682 | 4,294, 062 | 48, 168, 506 |  |  |
| May, 1922. | 9, 749, 970 | 43, 238, 498 | 37, 481, 554 | 8,029, 787 | 50, 378, 729 | 294, 434 | 201,419 |

VOLUME OF ACCEPTANCES PURCHASED DURING JUNE, 1922, BY RATES OF DISCOUNT CHARGED; ALSO AVERAGE RATES AND MATURITIES-Continued.

| Federal reserve bank. | 37 per cent. | 4 per cent. | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent. | Total. |  | Average maturity. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Boston. | \$30, 883 |  |  | \$30,022,774 | Per cent. 3.19 | Days. <br> 39. 10 |
| New York. |  |  |  | $66,858,834$ | 3.37 | 22.39 |
| Philadelphia. |  |  |  | 14, 165,210 | 3.19 | 45.94 |
| Cleveland. |  | \$17,450 |  | 5, 072, 614 | 3.19 | 36.39 |
| Richmond.. |  |  | \$513, 200 | 513, 200 | 4.56 | 36.74 |
| Atlanta.. |  |  | 845, 148 | 2,581, 474 | 3.97 | 27.50 |
| Chicago... |  |  |  | 350, 663,234 | 3. 12 | 62.91 |
| St. Louis. |  |  |  | 609,936 | 3.17 | 10.56 |
| Minneapolis.. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kansas City.. |  |  |  |  | 3.17 | 39.73 |
| San Francisco. |  |  |  | 18,095, 779 | 3.19 | 33.90 |
| Total: June, 1922. | 30, 886 | 17,450 | 1,358,348 | 1755, 494, 026 | 3.22 | 37.57 |
| May, 1922. | 7,686 | 71, 149 | 871,043 | 1150, 605, 561 | 3.28 | 37.01 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes $\$ 263,292$ and $\$ 19,000$ of acceptances purchased at $4 \frac{1}{2}$ and 5 per cent, respectively.
Note.-All Federal reserve banks use 360 days to the year in calculating interest on bills bought in open market.

## HOLDINGS OF EARNING ASSETS, BY CLASSES.

Following is a set of tables giving a detailed analysis of the different classes of earning assets held by the Federal reserve banks during June, 1922.

AVERAGE DAILY HOLDINGS OF EACH CLASS OF EARNING ASSETS, RARNINGS THEREON, AND ANNUAL RATES OF EARNINGS DURING JUNE, 1922.

| Federal reserve bank. | Average daily holdings of- |  |  |  | Earnings on- |  |  |  | Annual rate of earnings on- |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All classes of earning assets. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Discounted } \\ \text { bills. } \end{gathered}$ | Purchased - bills. | $\begin{array}{\|} \text { United } \\ \text { States } \\ \text { Securities. } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { classes } \\ \text { of earn- } \\ \text { ing } \\ \text { assets. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dis- } \\ & \text { counted } \\ & \text { bills. } \end{aligned}$ | Purchased | United states securitios. | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { classes } \\ \text { of earn- } \\ \text { ing } \\ \text { assets. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dis- } \\ \text { counted } \\ \text { bills. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pur- } \\ & \text { chased } \\ & \text { bills. } \end{aligned}$ | United States sceuri- tics. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Per cent | Per cent | Per cent | Per cent |
| Boston. | \$93, 826,065 | \$21, 798, 524 | \$20,006,769 | \$52,020,772. | \$287, 886 | \$80,089 | \$52, 576 | \$155, 221 | 3. 73 | 4. 47 | 3. 20 | 3. 63 |
| New York. | $278,125,909$ $96,251,724$ | $51,099,960$ $46,228,790$ | $35,996,026$ $18,827,697$ | $191,029,923$ <br> $31,195,237$ | 845,736 <br> 321,328 <br> 1 | 184,128 170,650 | 101,200 51,084 | 560,338 <br> 99 <br> 984 | 3.70 4.06 | 4.38 4.50 | 3.42 3.30 3. | 3.57 3.88 3.88 |
| Cleveland. | 127,636,038 | 39, 169, 163' | 13, 754, 312 | 74, 712, 563 | 414, 807 | 144,911 | 36, 287 | 233, 609 | 3.95 | 4.50 | 3. 20 | 3.80 |
| Richmond | 47,943, 896 | 42,234, 323 | 916, 173 | 4,793, 400 | 170,990 | 159,424 | 3,563 | 8,003 | 4.34 | 4. 59 | 4.73 | 2.03 |
| Atlanta. | 39, 276, 645 | 30, 910,932 | 913,617 | 7,452, $096{ }^{\circ}$ | 131,577 | 115, 160 | 3, 2351 | 13, 182 | 4.08 | 4.53 | 4.31 | 2.15 |
| Chicago. | 159, 856, 554 | 61,019, 738 | 18, 516, 716 | 80, 320, 100 | 525,518 | 230,433 | 48, 441 : | 246, 644 | 4.00 | 4. 59 | 3. 18 | 3. 74 |
| St. Louis | 57,440,526 | 20, $831,8.44$ | 8, 332, 955 | 28, 275, 727 | 189, $85{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 77,770 | 21, 847 | 90, 240 | 4.02 | 4. 54 | 3.19 | 3.88 |
| Minneapoli | 40, 106,000 | 26, 169,600 |  |  | 144,335 | 107, 885 |  | 36, 450 | 4.38 | 5.01 |  | 3.18 |
| Kansas City | $65,046,104$ | 22,050,616 | 5,000 | 42,490, 488 | 222, 002 | 92,351 | 21. | 129,630 | 4.15 | 5.00 | 5.04 | 3.71 |
| Dallas.... | 39, 432,738 | 31, 918, 125 | 2, 084,113 | 5, 430,500 | 149, 907 | 133, 015 | 5,479 | 11, 413 | 4.63 | 5.07 | 3.20 | 2. 56 |
| San Fra | 121,674, 301 | 44, 856,800 | $15,827,475$ | 60,930,029 | 413,181 | 166,186 | 41,485 | 205,500 | 4.13 | 4.51 | 3.19 | 4.10 |
| Total: June, 1922..1, 166, 616, 503 , |  | 438, 788, 415135, 180, 853 592,647, 235 3, 817, 124 |  |  |  | 1,662,012 | $365,2681,789,844$ |  | 3.98 | 4.61 | 3.28 | 3.67 |
|  |  | 481, 627, 272 | 103, 073, 301 | 604, 148, 744 | 4,119,881 | 1, 912, 902 |  |  | 4.08 | 8 | 3.50 | 3.70 |
| June, 1921... 2, 175, 175, 179 May, 1921. .2, 353, 794, 360 |  | 1,817, 748, 880 |  |  |  | 9,265, 160 |  | 597, 798 | 5.67 | 6. 20 | 5.97 | 2.40 |
|  |  | 1,966, 646,008 | 84, 394, 913 | 302, 753, 439 | 1, 371,365 | 10,502, 633 | 428, 792 | 639, 940 | 5.79 | 6. 29 | 5.98 | 2. 49 |

## HOLDINGS OF DISCOUNTED BILLS, BY CLASSES.

3
[End of June figares. In thousands of dollars.]

| Federal reserve bank. | Total. |  | Momber banks' collateral notes. |  | Compaper i.e.s. | Agricultural paper. | Live stock paper. | Bankers' acceptances. |  |  | 'Trade acceptances. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Secured <br> by Government obligations. | Other- wise secured. |  |  |  | Foreign. | Domestic. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dollar } \\ \text { ex- } \\ \text { change. } \end{gathered}$ | Foreign. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Domes- } \\ & \text { tic. } \end{aligned}$ |
| Boston. | 30,796 | 748 | 9,993 |  | 19,353 | 529 | 106 |  |  |  |  | 67 |
| New York. | 66, 289 | 677 | 46,400 |  | 17,635 | 1,118 |  |  |  |  |  | 459 |
| Philadelphia | 48,24] | 416 | 32,813 |  | 14,155 | 712 |  |  |  |  |  | 145 |
| Cleveland.. | 37,301 | 634 | 22,803 | 30 | 11,462 | 915 | 404 |  |  |  |  | 1,053 |
| Richmond. | 41,216 | 454 | 12, 800 | 244 | 17,955 | 9,043 | 63 |  |  |  |  | 657 |
| Atlanta.. | 29,110 | 147 | 2,217 | 100 | 14,793 | 9,761 | 1,800 |  |  |  |  | 292 |
| Chicago. | 63,130 | 191 | 16,052 | 291 | 20,812 | 25,437 |  |  |  |  |  | 347 |
| St. Louis. | 18,529 | 173 | 6,988 | 3 | 4,115 | 6,514 | 471 |  | 81 |  |  | 184 |
| Minneapolis | 27,850 | 22 | 3,277 | 398 | 4,028 | 12,751 | 7,181 |  |  |  |  | 193 |
| Kansas City | 20,841 | 221 | 2,572 | 16 | 2,815 | 5,103 | 10, 114 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dallas. | 32,264 | 34 | 1,088 | 368 | 4,880 | 14,743 | 11,113 |  |  |  |  | 38 |
| San Francisco | 45,851 | 266 | 6,25.5 | 11,140 | 15,120 | 9,156 | 3, 592 |  |  |  |  | 322 |
| Total: June 30, 1922. | 461,418 | 3,983 | 163,258 | 12,590 | 147,123 | 95,782 | 34,844 |  | 81 |  |  | 3,757 |
| May 31, 1922.. | 471,490 | 5,009 | 166,097 | 12,228 | 150,224 | 98,620 | 33,974 |  | 103 |  |  | 5,235 |
| June 30, 1921. | 1,751,350 | 113,803 | 523,787 | 15,226 | 842,096 | 157,871 | 76,258 | 7,779 | 677 |  | 80 | 1.3,773 |
| May 31, 1921. | 1,907,913 | 159,563 | 627,681 | 12,006 | 855,608 | 1.52,749 | 76,718 | 7,804 | 1,638 | 30 | 134 | 13,982 |

HOLDINGS OF BANKERS' AND TRADE ACCEPTANCES PURCHASED OR DISCOUNTED, BY CLASSES OF ACCEPTANCES
[End of June figures. In thousands of dollars.]

| Federal reserve bank. | All classes. |  |  | Bankers' acceptances. |  |  |  | Trade acceptances. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total. | Pur- <br> chased in. open market. | Discounted for member banks. | Total. | Forcign. | Domestic. | Dollar exchange | Total. | Forcign. | Domestic |
| Boston. | 25,150 | 25,083 | 67 | 25,083 | 16,713 | 6,827 | 1,543 | 67 |  | 67 |
| New York | 46,995 | 46,536 | 459 | 45, 866 | 36,962 | 7,750 | 1,154 | 1,129 | 670 | 459 |
| Philadelphia | 19,148 | 19,003 | 145 | 18, 893 | 14; 333 | 3,980 | 580 | , 255 | 110 | 145 |
| Cleveland.. | 17,769 | 16,716 | 1,053 | 16,716 | 14, 244 | 2,167 | 305 | 1,053 |  | 1,053 |
| Richmond. | 1,368 | 711 | 657 | 711 |  | 485 |  | 657 |  | 657 |
| Atlanta.. | 937 | 645 | 292 | 645 | 389 | 256 |  | 292 |  | 292 |
| Chicago. | 21, 822 | 21,475 | 347 | 21,475 | 15,135 | 5,916 | 424 | 347 |  | 347 |
| St. Louis | 11, 804 | 11,539 | ${ }^{265}$ | 11,620 | 10, 291 | 965 | 364 | 184 | ......... | 184 |
| Minneapolis. |  |  | 193 |  |  |  |  | 193 |  | 193 |
| KansasCity. | 5 |  |  | ${ }^{5}$ |  | ${ }^{5}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Dallas.... | 2,914 | 2, 876 | 38 | 2, 876 | 2,127 | 724 | 25 | 38 |  | 38 |
| San Frauciseo | 16,845 | 16, 223 | 322 | 16,523 | 13,722 | 2,661 | 140 | 322 |  | 322 |
| Total Junc 30, 1922 | 164, 950 |  |  | 160,413 | 124, 142 | 31,736 | 4,535 | 4,537 | 780 | 3,757 |
| May 31, 1922. | 123, 520 |  |  | 118, 204 | 88,00t | 26, 599 | 3,601 | 5,316 | 81 | 5,235 |
| June 30, 1921. | 62, 532 |  |  | 48, 586 | 36, 249 | 9,169 | 3, 168 | 13,946 | 173 | 13,773 |
| Purchased in open market: June 30, 1922 |  | 161,112 |  | 160, 332 | 124, 142 | 31,655 | 4,535 | 780 | 780 |  |
| May 31, 1922. |  | 118, 182 |  | 118, 101 | 88, 004 | 26, 496 | 3,601 | 81 | 81 |  |
| June 30, 1921. |  | 40,223 |  | 40,130 | 28,470 | 8,492 | 3,168 | 93 | 93 |  |
| Discountod for member banks: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 30, 1922. |  |  |  | 81 |  | 81 |  |  |  |  |
| May 31, June $30,1922$. |  |  | 5,338 22,309 | \% <br> 803 <br> 8,456 | 7,779 | 103 677 |  | 5,235 13,853 | 80. | 5,235 13,773 |
| June 3 , 1921 |  |  | 22,305 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

HOLDINGS OF BANKERS' ACCEPTANCES PURCHASED OR DISCOUNTED, BY CLASSES OF ACCEPTING INSTITUTIONS.
[End of June figures. In thousands o[ dollars.]


## BANKING CONDITIONS BY FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICTS.

Developments in Federal reserve and member bank conditions for the country as a whole are discussed in the paragraphs on the banking situation in the review of the month, page 907 , and graphically shown in the chart on page 908. The text tables here presented show changes in condition of individual Federal reserve banks and of reporting member banks in each reserve district.

As is stated in the review of the month, the principal change in the condition of member banks for the five-week period ending July 19 was a decrease of $\$ 136,000,000$ in loans and discounts and an increase of $\$ 110,000,000$ in Gorernment securities held. Liquidation of loans is shown for reporting member banks in all the districts except Cleveland, Atlanta, and San

Francisco, but the bulk of it, amounting to $\$ 104,000,000$, is reported for New York City banks, the decrease for the Boston district being $\$ 22,000,000$ and for the Chicago district $\$ 14,-$ 000,000 . Demand deposits of reporting member banks declined by $\$ 97,000,000$ during the period under review, a much larger decline in New York City being partially offset by increases elsewhere. Time deposits, on the other hand, increased by $\$ 206,000,000$, of which $\$ 160,000,000$ represented the share of New York City banks.

I table comparing total loans and discounts of reporting member banks on January 7, 1921, the earliest date for which separate figures for loans and discounts are available, and on July, 1922, with demand deposits for the same dates is given below:

TOTAL LOANS AND DISCOUNTS, NET DEMAND DEPOSITS, AND RATIO OF DEPOSITS TO LOANS OF REPORTING MEMBER BANKS IN LEADING CITIES.
[In millions of dollars.]

| Federal reserve district. | Total loans and discounts. |  |  |  | Net demand deposits. |  |  |  | Ratio of deposits to loansper cent. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan. } 7, \\ & 1921 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July 19, } \\ & \text { 1922. } \end{aligned}$ | Decrease. |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan. } 7, \\ & \text { 1921. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July 19, } \\ & \text { 1922. } \end{aligned}$ | Increase. |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan. } 7, \\ & 1921 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Sept. 21, } \\ 1921 . \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July } 19, \\ & 1922 . \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  | Amount. | Per cent. |  |  | Amount. | Per cent. |  |  |  |
| Boston. | 934 | 783 | 151 | 16.2 | 784 | 799 | 15 | 1.9 | 83.9 | 84.9 | 102.0 |
| New York. ..... | 4,987 | 3,954 | 1,033 | 20.7 | 4,954 | 4,971 | 17 | . 3 | 99.3 | 106.2 | 125.7 |
| New York City | 4,488 | 3,492 | ${ }^{996}$ | 22.2 | 4,453 | 4,470 | 17 | $\stackrel{4}{9}$ | 99.2 | 107.6 | 128.0 |
| Philadelphia. | , 682 | 558 | 124 | 18.2 | ${ }^{673}$ | ${ }^{686}$ | 13 | 1.9 | 98.7 | 98.7 | 122.9 |
| Cleveland... | 1,111 | 996 | 115 | 10.4 | 898 | 852 | ${ }^{1} 46$ | 15.2 | 80.8 | 74.5 | 85.5 |
| Richmond | 488 | 424 | 64 | 13.1 | 340 | 331 | 19 | 12.6 | 69.7 | 61.1 | 78.1 |
| Atlanta. | 453 | 356 | 97 | 21.4 | 238 | 246 | 8 | 3.4 | 52.5 | 53.8 | 69.1 |
| Chicago. | 1,932 | 1,565 | 367 | 19.0 | 1,322 | 1,448 | 126 | 9. 5 | 68.4 | 74.3 | 92.5 |
| City of Chicago | 1,269 | 1,052 | 217 | 17.1 | 1925 | 1983 | 58 | 6.3 | 72.9 | 77.8 | 93.4 |
| St. Louis... | ${ }^{507}$ | 413 | 94 | 18.5 | 325 | 312 | ${ }^{1} 13$ | ${ }^{1} 4.0$ | 64.1 | 62.1 | 75.5 |
| Minneapolis. | 308 | 234 | 74 | 24.0 | 187 | 190 | 3 | 1.6 | 60.7 | 60.7 | 81.2 |
| Kansas City | 536 | 427 | 109 | 20.3 | 399 | 436 | 37 | 9.3 | 74.4 | 80.0 | 102.1 |
| Dallas... | 288 | 234 | 54 | 18.8 | 212 | 207 | 15 | 12.4 | 73.6 | 73.9 | 88.5 |
| San Trancisco. | 993 | 864 | 129 | 13.0 | 606 | 623 | 17 | 2.8 | 61.0 | 62.7 | 72.1 |
| Total. | 13,219 | 10, 808 | 2,411 | 18.2 | 10,838 | 11, 101 | 163 | 1.5 | 82.7 | 85.2 | 102.7 |

1 Decrease.

Loans and discounts of reporting member banks declined by $\$ 2,411,000,000$, or 18.2 per cent, between January 7, 1921, which was not far from the peak date, and July 19, 1922. Declines are shown for all of the reserve districts, but are less pronounced in the Cleveland, Richmond, and San Francisco districts than in the rest of the country. While loans were declining throughout this period, demand deposits of reporting banks reached their lowest level in September, 1921, and increased in most of the districts since that time. Since January, 1921, deposits show an increase of $\$ 163,000,000$, or 1.5 per cent, declines being shown only for the Cleveland, Richmond, St. Louis, and Dallas districts.

The increase in deposits, which is shown for the latter part of 1921 and for 1922 in the face of loan liquidation, rellects three major features of recent financial developments: (1) An increase in the banks' investment in Government and other securities. Between January 7, 1921, and July 19, 1922, the investment account of the reporting banks increased by $\$ 1,048,000,000$, of which $\$ 795,000,000$ represents the increase in holdings of United States obligations. Since a substitution of investments for loans in a bank's portfolio does not affect the deposit account, this growth of investments explains over \$1,000,000,000 of the difference between the changes shown in loans and in deposits. (2) Contraction of the currency, chiefly the reduction of Federal reserve note circulation, which declined by $\$ 1,137,000,000$ between January 7 , 1921, and July 19, 1922. Currency contraction represents the excess of cash deposits received by the banks over cash withdrawals.
(3) The importation of gold from abroad. Net imports of gold to the United States were $\$ 780,000,000$ between the beginning of 1921 and the end of June, 1922. Another way of stating this is to say that deposits can increase either through loans granted by the banks to their customers and credited to their accounts; through the purchase of securities by the banks and the crediting of the sellers' accounts with the proceeds, or through the deposit of cash. Cash deposits in turn can consist either of currency withdrawn from domestic circulation, or of gold imported from abroad. During the period under discussion the liquidation of loans exerted an influence toward the reduction of deposits, but this was somewhat more than offset by the increase in investments and the deposit of domestic currency and of foreign gold.

The economic causes back of these developments are worthy of consideration. Deposits generally tend to increase in relation to loans in times of depression. This is due partly to the gradual reduction of inventories by merchants, who pursue a hand-to-mouth policy and build up their deposit accounts in preparation for the revival of business. It is due also in part to the gradual liquidation of frozen domestic and foreign loans, especially as the upward swing of business begins to manifest itself. This liquidation of loans, to which no deposits correspond on the books of the banks, as these deposits have been previously drawn out, tends to increase the ratio of deposits to loans. In addition, in a depression there is less strain on the resources of business, with a consequent slowing down in the turnover of deposits" and an increase
in the length of time that deposits remain in the banks. This also results in a relative increase of deposits as compared with loans. During the past two years the decline in prices reduced the public demand for currency and correspondingly reduced withdrawals of deposits in currency from the banks by business concerns and private individuals, who needed less cash to transact their business.

All these causes have operated to increase the ratio of deposits to loans, which was 82.7 per cent on January 7, 1921, rose to 85.2 per cent on September 21, 1921, when deposits began to increase, and advanced further to 102.7 per cent on the latest report date. It is interesting to compare this ratio for different sections of the country. On July 19, 1922, deposits in New York City banks constituted 128 per cent of loans and in the entire district of New York 125.7 per cent; in the Philadelphia district the ratio was
122.9 per cent, in the Boston district 102 per cent, and in the Kansas City district 102.1 per cent. None of the other districts show deposits in excess of loans. In the Atlanta district they constituted only 69.1 per cent of loans, the ratio being relatively low in all the southern districts and in the middle and far west, although in Chicago the ratio was 92.5 per cent. In general, the table shows that, while there has been nearly as much loan liquidation in the interior of the country as in the industrial centers on the coast, the relative increase in deposits has been more pronounced in the latter. An explanation of this difference may be found in part in the fact that the liquidation of foreign loans was largely confined to the industrial centers, and that this liquidation of foreign obligations by the shipment of gold was an important factor in the reduction of loans out of proportion to deposits.

CHANGES IN PRINCIPAL RESOURCES AND LIABILITIES OF REPORTING MEMBER BANKS IN EACH FEDERAL RESEIBVE DISTRICT BETWEAN JUNE 14 AND JULY 19, 1922.
[In millions of dollars.]

| Federal reservedistrict. | Loans and discounts. |  | United States Government securities. |  | Other bonds. stocks, and securities. |  | Demand deposits. |  | 'Time deposits. |  | Accommodation at Federal reserve banks. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Increase. | Decrease. | Increase. | Decrease. | Increase. | Decrease. | Increase. ${ }^{\text {I }}$ | Decrease. | Increase. | Decrease. | Increase. | Decrease |
| Boston. |  | 22 | 7 |  |  | 1 |  | 9 | 10 |  |  |  |
| New York. |  | 102 | 69 |  |  | 7 |  | 191 | 168 |  | 56 |  |
| New York City. |  | 104 | 64 |  |  | 5 |  | 203 | 160 |  | 62 |  |
| Philadelphia..... |  |  | ${ }_{6}^{6}$ |  | 7 |  | 18 |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| Cleveland........... | 9 |  | 9 |  |  | 1 | 34 |  | 8 |  |  |  |
| Richmond |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |  | 15 |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| Chicago.............. |  | 14 | 12 |  | 3 |  | $20^{-}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| City of Chicago.. |  | 20 | 8 |  |  | 4 | 17 |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| St. Louis... |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |
| Minneapolis. |  | 8 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Kansas City |  | $\stackrel{2}{2}$ | 9 |  | 3 |  | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dallas <br> San Francisco | 5 | 1 |  |  | 5 |  | $\because \ddot{i 0}$ | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |
| Total. |  | 136 | 110 |  | 10 |  |  | 97 | 206 |  | 42 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Liquidation of loans and discounts, amounting to $\$ 41,600,000$, for the 12 Federal reserve banks combined during the five-week period ending July 26 was reported, the Boston and Dallas banks being the only ones reporting increases. The heaviest decline, amounting to $\$ 17,100,000$, was reported by the Cleveland bank. Government security holdings show a decrease of
$\$ 14,700,000$, the largest decrease amounting to $\$ 38,800,000$ being reported for the Chicago bank, while the St. Louis, Minneapolis, and San Francisco banks showed smaller reductions. For the other eight reserve banks increased totals of Government securities are shown, the principal increase, amounting to $\$ 15,900,000$, being shown for the New York bank.

CHANGES IN PRINCIPAL RESOURCES AND LIABILITIES OF EACH FEDERAL RESERVE BANK BETWEEN JUNE 21 AND
[Amounts in millions of dollars.]


No substantial change in Federal reserve note circulation is shown, increases shown for the Boston, Chicago, and Kansas City banks being practically offset by declines reported for the other banks. The reserve ratio, which advanced from 79.1 to 79.2 per cent for the system as a whole, shows varying changes for the different districts. For the New York bank a decline from 87.9 to 85.6 per cent is shown as a result of a decrease in
reserves and an increase in deposit liabilities. Other banks for which reduced reserve ratios are shown are the Philadelphia, Atlanta, St. Louis, and Dallas banks. The Boston bank's reserves remained unchanged at 72.2 per cent. The largest increase in reserve ratio, from 81.3 to 89 per cent, is shown for the Chicago bank, reflecting chiefly the increase of $\$ 60,500,000$ in the bank's cash reserves.

CASH RESERVES, TOTAL DEPOSITS, FEDERAC RESERVE NOTE CIRCULATION, AND RESRRVE PERCENTAGES FOR JULY AND JUNE, 1922.


[^15]
## CONDITION OF FEDERAL RESERVE BANKS.

resolirces and liabilities of each federal reserve bank on wednesdays, june 28 to july $26,1922$.
RESOURCLES.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | Total. | Boston. | Now York. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Phila- } \\ & \text { delphia. } \end{aligned}$ | Clevoland. | Richmond. | Atanta. | Chicago. | St. Louis. | Minneapolis. | Kansas City. | Dallas. | San Franlcisco. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gold and gold certiflcates: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 2s. | 316,937 | 13, 220 | 207,847 | 5,600 | 13,368 | 3,229 | 3, 639 | 24, 099 | 4,265 | 7,189 | 2, 325 | 9,733 | 19,683 |
| Jnly 5 | 317,010 | 13, 671 | 207, $97 \overline{7}$ | 5, 171 | 13,313 | 3,259 | 3, 670 | 24, 136 | 4,276 | 7,174 | 2,541 | 10,023 | 19,527 |
| July 12 | 317,832 | 13,874 | 207,669 | 3, 472 | 13,378 | 3,318 | - ${ }^{2}$, 621 | 24, 314 | -1,324 | 7,211 | 2,550 | 10,309 | 19,792 |
| July 19 | 317,980 | 13,376 | 207, 273 | 5, 499 | 13,225 | 3,286 | 5,645 | 24, 482 | 4, 438 | 7,231 | 2,577 | 10,467 | 19,881 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 28............ | 532, 351 | 31, 125 | 156, 274 | 42,764 | 45, 120 | 39,655 | 21,492 | 80,223 | 16, 145 | 23,404 | 27, 306 | 8,205 | 31,438 |
| July 5. | 518,679 | 39, 2113 | 70, 207 | 51,526 | 44,518 | 37, 375 | 27,94, | 137,088 | 18,096 | 27,885 | 30, 548 | 7,431 | 26,417 |
| July 12 | 54, 590 | 36,003 | 88, 734 | 44, 1.49 | 43,891. | 34, 231 | 22, 846 | 150, (155 | 6,033 | 28,661. | 26, 733 | 6,089 | 27,075 |
| July 19 | 490, 620 | 33,002 | 33,470 | (10, 144 | 45,910 | 35, 313 | 21, 424 | 1.18,817 | 8,681 | 29,438 | 32, 223 | ., 1.166 | 31, 232 |
| Gold with F . M . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 28 | 2, 123,373 | 129, 470 | 804, 156 | 1.33, 31.5 | 170,010 | 54, 546 | 101,027 | 364,604 | 57, 570 | 30,452 | 30,937 | 19,221 | 188, 063 |
| July 5. | 2,123, 816 | 126, 13 | 803, 946 | 156,301 | 170,026 | 53,614 | 100,518 | 360, 267 | 57, 422 | 29,932 | 50, 162 | 19,849 | 195, 066 |
| July 12 | 2, 161, 360 | 140, 089 | 803, 71 | 159,382 | 172,612 | 57, 749 | 99, 730 | 366, 844 | 60,030 | 29,675 | 53,456 | 19,545 | 198,677 |
| July 19 | 2, 195, 062 | 146,264 | ¢33,587 | 161, 032 | 171,05t | 36, 811 | 98,912 | 368, 892 | 57,646 | 29, 194 | 52, 705 | 20,216 | 198, 789 |
| July 26. | 2,197,645 | 148,315 | 833, 440 | 161,960 | 169,699 | 25, 838 | 98, 418 | 375, 899 | 56,081 | 29,609 | 54, 021 | 19,803 | 194, 562 |
| Gold redemption fund: | 48, 207 | 8,725 | 5,113 | 7,996 | 2,182 | 3,973 | 1,119 | 10, 290 | 1,437 | 1,823 | 1,479 | 726 | , 344 |
| July 5. | 61., 142 | 10, 833 | 9,066 | 8,913 | 2,914 | 3,926 | 1,086 | 13,673 | ].,999 | 2,130 | 1,770 | 921 | 3,857 |
| 541512 | 41.85 | 6, 112 | 7,880 | 2,674 | 3,193 | 3,879 | 1,398 | 6, 223 | 1,844 | 2,070 | 1, 994 | 994 | 2,990 |
| July 19 | 41,673 | 9,422 | ¢6, 789 | 3,787 | 3,495 | 3,793 | 1,579 | 3,156 | 1,729 | 2,278 | 2,231 | 742 | 2,672 |
| July 26. | 41.118 | 6,318 | 5, $7 \times 2$ | 5,054 | 3,683 | 3, $85 \mathrm{5l}$. | 1,027 | 5,155 | 1,683 | 1,630 | 2,377 | 589 | 3,459 |
| June 28......... | 3,020, 868 | 183, 140 | 1, 173,390 | 209, 675 | 230,680 | 101,403 | 129, 297 | 488, 166 | 79,367 | 62, 868 | 82,447 | 37,905 | 242,530 |
| July 3. | 3,020,677 | 190, 6330 | 1,091, 196 | 222, 241 | 230, 831 | 98,308 | 137,219 | 535, 164 | 81,793 | 67, 121 | 85, 021 | 38, 226 | 244, 867 |
| July 12 | 3,03ā, 833 | 196, 768 | 1, 108,054 | 211, 777 | 233, 07.4 | 99, 177 | 129,595 | 547, 436 | 72,231 | 67,617 | 84, 733 | 36,937 | 248,531 |
| July 19 | 3, 04.7, 33 s | 202,664 | 11, 101, 119 | 216, 462 | 233, 681 | 99, 203 | 127, 360 | 545,307 | 72, 494 | 68, 141 | 90, 236 | 35, 891 | 252, 574 |
| July 26 | 3,054, 531 | 199, 82.4 | 1, 138,978 | 202, 562 | 237,361 | 101, 793 | 124, 796 | 510,097 | 73, 24 ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | 66,510 | 87, 913 | 35, 365 | 246,085 |
| Legal tender notes,silver, elc.: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jume 28.. | 127, 198 | 11, 991 | 30, 43 | 7,484 | 10, 1+2 | 7, 298 | 6,362 | 20, 233 | 15, 6.51 | 709 | 5,041 | 6,042 | 6, 100 |
| Julv ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 113, 252 | 8,706 | 27, 184 | 7.105 | 8, 813 | 6,539 | 6,390 | 17,872 | 13,636 | $60 \pm$ | 4, 775 | 6,306 | 5,305 |
| Julv 12 | 121, 207 | 8,950 | 31, 336 | 7, 233 | 9,472 | 6, 686 | 6,243 | 20, 676 | 13, 410 | 836 | 4,708 | 6,086 | 5,231 |
| Juty 19 | 123, 988 | 8, 337 | 33,611 | 8, 104 | 9,159 | 6,718 | 6,952 | 21, 117 | 12, 1.75 | 601 | 4,890 | 6,427 | 5,396 |
| July 20. | 126,967 | 8,685 | 34, 2m | 8,992 | 9, 693 | 7, 496 | 7, 421 | 21,018 | 11,982 | 64 | 4,581 | 6,503 | 5,694 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 5. | 3, 133,929 | 199, 336 | 1, 118,380 | 229, 316 | 239, 644 | 104, 92.1 | 141,609 | 553,036 | 95,429 | 67, 725 | 89, 796 | 44, 532 | 250, 172 |
| July 12 | 3, 157,040 | 205, 228 | 1, 139, 990 | 219, 210 | 242,246 | 105, 86.3 | 135, 838 | 568, 112 | 85, 771 | 68,153 | 89,441 | 43, 023 | 253,765 |
| Ju\|s 19 | 3, 169,322 | 211, 201 | 1, 134, 730 | 224, 866 | 242,843 | 105,921 | 134, 512 | 566,424 | 84, 669 | 68,712 | 9i), 126 | 42,318 | 257,970 |
| Bills discounted: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sceured by E. S. Government ob- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ligations- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 28. | 189,909 | 12, 127 | 70, 233 | 32, 660 | 23,640 | 12, 544 | 2,456 | 14,769 | 7,576 | 2,716 | 2,889 | 1,220 | 6,7.19 |
| July | 185, 390 | 10. 11.4 | 68,293 | 30, 366 | 23,795 | L2, 009 | 2, 20.1 | 17, 298 | 6,658 | 1,978 | 2,373 | 1,378 | 8,923 |
| July 12 | 157,503 176,263 | 8,201 6,523 6,510 | - 88, | 29,628 30,021 | 15,339 <br> 22,400 | 12,864 11,760 | 2,031 $\mathbf{2 , 4 8 8}$ | 13,815 14,198 | 5, ${ }^{\text {5, }} 8988$ | 1,625 1,666 | 1,613 1,513 | 1,028 1,183 | 7,183 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tune 28 | 279, 192 | 14,79 | 17,607 | 12,055 | 13,310 | 27,700 | 27,629 | 42,090 | 12,011 | 23,945 | 18,225 | 31,536 | 8,289 |
| July 5. | 313,482 | 23, 387 | 40, 200 | 13,453 | 1.7,597 | 26,610 | 26,939 | 42,850 | 11, 562 | 23,994 | 17,687 | 30, 74.5 | 38,258 |
| Juty 12 | 272, 387 | 15,505 | 15, 182 | 9, 859 | 19,318 | 26,369 | 26,761 | 39,362 | 11, 211 | 23,514 | 16,937 | 31,562 | 36, 807 |
| July 19. | 267, 205 | 12,930 | 24, 231 | 8,128 | 13,553 | 26, 257 | 28,574 | 37, 473 | 11,203 | 23, 168 | 16,863 | 32,002 | 32,823 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Junc 28. | 151,060 | 21, 200 | 46,226 | 18,201 | 16,200 | 870 | 667 | 16,318 | 11, 338 |  | 5 | 2,876 | 16,953 |
| July ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 155, 069 | 23, 220 | 16,924 | 17,901 | 16,062 | 710 | 1,306 | 19, 167 | 11, 511 |  | 5 | 2,498 | 15, 461 |
| July 12 | 157,675 | 22, 171 | 16,363 | 19, 711 | 16,988 | 496 | 746 | 19, 368 | 13, 856 |  |  | 2,512 | 15, 264 |
| July 19. | 148,970 | 19,035 | 40, 399 | 21,013 | 1.5, 316 | 423 | 670 | 20, 106 | 14, 415 |  |  | 2,917 | 14,656 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 5. | 216, 237 | 4, 268 | 36, 3 , 5.4 | 23, 581 | 26, 392 | 1,233 | 208 | 8,642 | 11,410 | 4,702 | 2x, 302 | 2,816 | 47,810 |
| July 12 | 20\%, 42.1 | 1, 368 | 51, 956 | 23, 881 | 26,893 | 1,233 | 241 | 7,182 | 11,453 | 4,738 | 28,454 | 2,816 | 45, 310 |
| July 19 | 201,901 | 4, 5666 | 49,358 | 23,581 | 26, 89.3 | 1,233 | 26. | 6,254 | 11,767 | +,590 | 28, 378 | 3,316 | 41, 700 |
| U July 26 ............ | 201,621 | +,567 | 19,358 | 23,580 | 26, 893 | 1,233 | J. 47 | 6,219 | 11,916 | 4,343 | 28,370 | 3,316 | 41,682 |
| U. S. eertificates of |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Onc-year certificates (Pittman |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 28 | 7-1,000 | 5, 450 | 19,500 | 5,500 | 5,509 | 3, 560 | 6,699 | 8,667 | 3,571 | 4,000 | +,321 | 1,900 | 5,332 |
| July 5 | 74, 000 | ¢, 5 40 | 19,500 | -, 500 | 5, 5000 | 3,260 | 6, 699 | 8,667 | 3,571 | 4,000 | 4,321 | 1,900 | 5,332 |
| July 12 | 74, 000 | 5, 5150 | 19, 300 | [, 500 | 5,500 | 3,560 | 6,699 | 8,667 | 3,571 | 4,000 | 4,321 | 1,900 | 5,332 |
| July 196 | 74, 000 | 5, 5 | 19,500 | - 3.500 | 5,500 | 3, 360 | 6,699 | 8,667 | 3,571 | 4,000 | 4,321 | 1,900 | 5,332 |
| July 26 | 72,000 | 5, 50 | 18,200 | 5, 300 | 5, 500 | 3,560 | 6,199 | 8,667 | 3,571 | 4,000 | 4,321 | 1,900 | 4,832 |

RESOURCES AND LIABILITIES OF EACH FEDERAL RESERVE BANK ON WEDNESDAYS, JUNE 28 TO JULY 26, 1922-Contd.
RESOURCES-Continucd.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | Total. | Boston. | Now | Philadelphia. | Cleveland. | Richmond. | Atlanta. | Chicago. | St. <br> Louis. | Minneapolis. | Kansas City. | Dallas. | San Francisco. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U. S. certificates of indebtedness-Con. Other certficates- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 28... | 257,969 | 38,501 | 92,730 | 3,805 | 43, 809 |  | 2,031 | 41, 132 | 10,207 | 4, 524 | 12,993 | 775 | 7,462 |
| July $5 .$. | 260, 271 | 36,939 | 94, 605 | 5, 405 | 43, 935 |  | 2,031 | 38, 302 | 10, 551 | 4,783 | 12,993 | 1,765 | 8, 962 |
| July 12. July 19. | 274,349 265,948 | 35,929 38,397 | 127,769 118,624 | 5,410 5,410 | 43,945 |  | 2,031 2,031 | 17,991 17,645 | 10,715 10,726 | 4,339 3,439 | 12,993 | 1,765 | 11, 11,462 |
| July 26.... | 267, 175 | 38,575 | 119, 274 | 5, 410 | 43, 955 |  | 2,031 | 17,959 | 10,752 | 3,489 | 13,003 | 1,265 | 11, 462 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 5.............. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 12 | ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 19. | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 |  |  |  |
| Total earning assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 28........... | 1, 179, 768 | 99,946 | 312,546 | 95, 785 | 128, 851 | 45,907 | 39,670 | 130,331 | 56,313 | 39,966 | 66,735 | 41,123 | 122,595 |
| July 5. | 1, 204, 445 | 104, 177 | 326,098 | 96, 205 | 133, 281 | 44, 122 | 39,387 | 134,926 | 55, 563 | 39,457 | 65,681 | 41,102 | 124, 746 |
| July 12. | 1, 144, 394 | 91, 823 | 319,276 | 93,689 | 127, 983 | 44, 522 | 38, 509 | 106, 585 | 56, 528 | 38, 220 | 64, 318 | 41,583 | 121,358 |
| July 19 | 1, 134, 296 | 86,921 | 321,607 | -93,653 | 127,607 | 43, 233 | 40,727 | 104,343 | 57,580 | 36, 872 | 64, 078 | 42,583 | 115,092 |
| July $26 . . . .$. | 1,076,927 | 93,777 | 255,314 | 100,502 | 123, 053 | 41,011 | 39,249 | 100,984 | 57, 610 | 37,775 | 63,899 | 43,246 | 120,507 |
| June 28. | 41,568 | 5,248 | 8,743 | 600 | 5,332 | 2,571 | 1,424 | 7,552 | 928 | 914 | 4,976 | 2,093 | 1,187 |
| July 5. | 41,642 | 5,249 | 8,745 | 600 | 5,370 | 2,571 | 1,424 | 7,552 | 936 | 914 | 4,976 | 2,093 | 1,212 |
| July 12 | 41,985 | 5,249 | 8,750 | 601 | 5,587 | 2,571 | 1,499 | 7,580 | 936 | 917 | 4,976 | 2,093 | 1, 226 |
| July 26. | 42,417 42,494 | 5,249 $\mathbf{5}, 249$ | 8,956 8,993 | 601 601 | 5,670 | 2,571 | 1,546 | 7,632 | 936 944 | 920 | 4,986 4,986 | 2,093 2,093 | 1, 1,279 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 28............ | 7,587 | 422 | 899 | 700 | 539 | 188 | 468 | 753 | 2,023 | 254 | 916 | 146 | 279 |
| July 5 | 7,496 | 422 | 899 | 700 | 539 | 188 | 468 | 719 | 2,023 | 197 | 916 | 146 | 279 |
| July 12. | 7,551 | 422 | 899 | 700 | 539 | 188 | 468 | 715 | 2,023 | 256 | 916 | 146 | 279 |
| July 19. | 7,496 | 422 | 899 | 700 | $\stackrel{539}{599}$ | 188 | 468 | 726 | $\stackrel{2}{2,023}$ | 190 | 916 | 146 | 279 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 28........ | 511,571 | 48,919 | 104,799 | 45,114 | 51,126 | 43, 360 | 20,734 | 65,507 | 28,091 | 13,375 | 35, 126 | 18,403 | 37, 017 |
| July 5. | 514,725 | 47, 269 | 119, 444 | 41,338 | 52, 132 | 42,774 | 18, 802 | 61, 698 | 25, 393 | 13, 947 | 34, 663 |  | 37, 427 |
| July 12. | ${ }_{5}^{611,733}$ | 63,210 58,518 | 141, 071 | 50,123 | 55,409 58 58 | 49,017 | ${ }_{23}^{23,154}$ | 74, 727 | 32,556 | 16,131 | 40,319 | 22, 713 | 43,303 |
| July 19. | 592,345 537,883 | 58,518 49,388 | 134,269 119,069 | 47,720 44,568 | 58,079 52,474 | 47,611 43,146 | 23,440 22,039 | 76,199 65,298 | 32,842 30,372 | 15,001 | 38,475 36,728 | 21,184 24,055 | 39,007 37,294 |
| All other resources: | 337, 883 | 49,388 |  |  | 52,474 |  | 22,039 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 28. | 15,988 | 636 | 2,968 | 507 | 1,072 | 358 | 166 | 865 | 562 | 1,371 | 757 | 1,838 | 4,888 |
| July ${ }^{\text {July }} 12$. | 16, 206 | 631 | 2,701 | 496 | 1,078 | 604 298 | 110 | 831 | 498 | 1,350 | 717 | 1,851 | 5,339 |
| July 12. July 19. | 16,069 16,186 | 657 693 | 3,130 3,105 | 534 541 | 1,159 | 238 | 117 | 714 | 505 524 | 1,353 1,357 | 729 763 | 1,841 | 5,032 4,981 |
| July 26. | 16,805 | 722 | 3, 401 | 596 | 1,283 | 340 | 121 | 768 | 545 | 1,376 | 795 | 1,830 | 5,028 |
| Total resourcos: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July t . | 14,918,413 | 357,084 | 1, $1,76,267$ | 368,685 | 432,044 | 195, 183 | 201,800 | 758, 762 | 179,542 | 123,590 | 196, 749 | 109, 562 | 419, 175 |
| Jaly 12. | 4,978, 772 | 367,089 | 1, 612,716 | 364,857 | 433, 223 | 202,459 | 190, 585 | 758, 433 | 178, 319 | 125,030 | 200, 699 | 111,399 | 424, 963 |
| July 19 | 4, 962,062 | 363,004 | 1,603,566 | 368,081 | 435,951 | 199,839 | 200, 814 | 756, 060 | 178,574 | 123,082 | 204, 344 | 110, 151 | 418,596 |
| July 26. | 4, 863,134 | 358,067 | 1,560,859 | 358,521 | 430,074 | 196,547 | 195,647 | 730,517 | 176,721 | 120,956 | 199,821 | 113,238 | 416, 166 |

LTABILITIES.


RESOURCES AND LIABILITIES OF EACH FEDERAL RESERVE BANK ON WEDNESDAYS, JUNE 28 TO JULY 26, 1922--Contd.
LIABILITIES-Continued.
[In thousands of dollars.]

| - | Total. | B oston. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { New } \\ & \text { York. } \end{aligned}$ | Philadelphia. | Cleveland. | Richmond. | Atlanta. | Chicago. | St. <br> Lonis. | Minneapolis. | Kansas City. | Dallas. | San Francisco. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total deposits: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 28.... | 11, 939, 276 | 123, 194 | 826, 330 | 107, 930 | 144, 843 | 60,328 | 51, 188 | 245,441 | 69,361 | 43, 511 | 79,988 | 47, 346 | 139, 816 |
| July 5 | 1, 884, 702 | 123,072 | 744, 156 | 112, 405 | 145, 906 | 55,933 | 54, 592 | 276, 135 | 67, 254 | 47, 550 | 77,764 | 45, 709 | 134, 226 |
| July 12 | 924, 937 | 123,228 <br> 126 <br> 189 | 787, 493 | 1109, 100 ? | 145, 334 | 58, 548 | 50,500 | 274,795 273 | 61, 235 | 45,677 | 79, 395 | 47,510 | 142, 422 |
| July 19. | 1,942, 1 , 114 | 125,276 | 786,473 | 113,773 | 151,009 | 59,326 60,342 | 51,868 50,681 | 273, 260 | 62,561 63,461 | 44,648 44,621 | 84,729 81,409 | 47,288 47,453 | 141, 260 |
| F. R. notos in actual circulation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 28. | 2,124, 422 | 157, 164 | 618,785 | 177, 870 | 197, 279 | 81, 896 | 113, 336 | 362, 162 | 67,307 | 48,628 | 57, 267 | 25,624 | 217,104 |
| July 5 | 2, 194, 323 | 182, 748 | 642, 355 | 183, 751 | 200, 004 | 82, 780 | 114, 573 | 376, 213 | 68,787 | 50, 547 | 59, 143 | 27,250 | 226, 172 |
| July 12. | 2, 1158, 122 | 161,875 | 622, 754 | 180, 087 | 201, 269 | 80,970 | 113, 478 | 373, 185 | 67, 717 | 49, 927 | 58,958 | 25, 963 | 218,939 |
| July 19. | 2,132,848 | 161, 816 | 616, 469 | 178, 133 | 195, 387 | 79,791 | 112, 696 | 369, 039 | 66,907 | 49, 482 | 58,975 | 26, 283 | 217, 870 |
| F July $26 . . . .$. | [2,126,809 | 163, 466 | 616, 779 | 177, 105 | 195, 555 | 78,436 | .111, 403 | 367, 079 | 66, 458 | 49, 051 | 58,807 | 26, 022 | 216,648 |
| F. R. bank notes in |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| liability: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 28. | 67,259 68,146 | 5,192 5,180 | 15,719 16,387 | 5,133 <br> 5,138 | 4,921 4,932 | 2,756 2 2 | 4, 743 4,898 | 7,820 8,018 | 3,352 <br> 3,289 | 3,182 3,111 | 8,510 | 2,712 | 3,219 |
| July 12. | 67,380 | 5,182 | 15,935 | 5, 138 | 4,977 | 2, 758 | 4, 848 | 7,746 | 3,343 | 3, 071 | 8,549 | 2,689 | 3,144 |
| July 19. | 66,053 | 4,928 | 15, 540 | 5, 138 | 4,991 | 2,779 | 4,758 | 7,543 | 3,291 | 3, 041 | 8,371 | 2, 593 | 3, 080 |
| July 26. | 63, 622 | 4, 714 | 14, 244 | 5,078 | 4,888 | 2, 747 | 4,259 | 7,879 | 3,312 | 2,995 | 8,367 | 2,605 | 2,534 |
| Deferred availability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 28. | 430,314 | 38,786 | 80, 361 | 40, 582 | 44,799 | 38, 246 | 14,203 | 50,673 | 27,788 | 11,652 | 34,796 | 18,499 |  |
| July 5. | 430,161 | 40,312 | 81, 649 | 39, 229 | 45, 635 | 35,947 | 13,215 | 51, 057 | 25, 265 | 9,964 | 36,026 | 20,711 | 31, 151 |
| July 12. | 486,360 | 48,071 | 94, 636 | 42,329 | 46, 012 | 42, 732 | 1.6, 240 | 54,941 | 31, 036 | 13, 942 | 38,478 | 21, 952 | 35, 991 |
| July 19. | 479, 274 | 44, 453 | 93, 197 | 42, 808 | 48,939 | 40, 166 | 16,964 | 58,795 | 30, 825 | 13, 494 | 36,989 | 20,714 | 31, 930 |
| July 26. | 442, 713 | 38, 837 | 83,994 | 39,117 | 45, 047 | 37, 262 | 14, 759 | 50, 851 | 28, 463 . | 11, 837 | 35,983 | 23, 861 | 32, 702 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 5. | 20, 496 | 1, 308 | 3,951 | 1, 214 | 1,455 | 1,146 | 1,129 | 3,694 | 792 | 1,379 | 1,023 | 1,654 | 1,751 |
| July 12 | 21,351 | 1, 270 | 4,129 | 1,255 | 1,519 | 1,129 | 1,1.25 | 4,122 | 831 | 1,374 | 1,050 | 1, 697 | 1, 850 |
| July 19. | 20,719 : | 1,304 | 4,118 | 1,281 | 1,513 | 1,155 | 1,133 | 3,477 | 833 | 1,376 | 1,015 | 1, 678 | 1, 836 |
| July 26 | 21, 280 | 1,310 | 4,234 | 1,381 | 1,612 | 1,139 | 1,150 | 3,503 | 869 | 1, 410 | 1,060 | 1,704 | 1, 908 |
| Total liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 414, 596 |
| July 5. | 4,918, 443 | 357, 084 | 1,576, 207 | 368,685 | 432, 044 | 195, 183 | 201, 800 | 758,762 | 179, 542 | 123, 590 | 196, 749 | 109, 562 | 419, 175 |
| July 12. | 4,978,772 | 367,089 | 1,612,716 | 364, 857 | 433, 223 | 202,459 | 199,585 | 758,433 | 178,319 | 125, 030 | 200,699 | 111,399 | 424,963 |
| July 19. | 14, 962, 062 | 363,004 | 1, 603, 566 | 368,081 | 435, 951 | 199, 839 | 200, 814 | 756, 060 | 178, 574 | 123, 082 | 204, 344 | 110, 151 | 418, 596 |
| July 26. | 4, 863, 134 | 358,067 | 1,560,859 | 358, 521 | 430, 074 | 196, 547 | 195, 647 | 736, 517 | 176, 721 | 120, 956 | 199, 821 | 113, 238 | 416, 166 |
| MEMORANDA. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ratio of total reserves |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| to deposit and F. R. note liabilities com- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| bined--per cent: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 28..... | 77.5 | 69.6 | 83.3 | 76.0 | 70.4 | 76.4 | 82.5 | 83.7 | 69.5 | 69.0 | 63.7 | 60.2 | 69.7 |
| July 5. | 76.8 | 69.7 | 80.7 | 77.4 | 69.3 | 75.6 | 83.7 | 84.8 | 70.1 | 69.0 | 65.6 | 61.0 | 69.4 |
| July 12. | 77.3 | 71.4 | 80.8 | 75.8 | 70.0 | 76. 0 | 82.8 ! | 87.7 | 66.5 | 71.3 | 64.6 | 58.6 | 70.2 |
| July 19. | 77.8 | 73.4 | 80.9 | 77.0 | 70.1 | 76.1 | 81.7 | 88.1 | 65.4 | 73.0 | 66.2 | 57.5 | 71.8 |
| July 26........... | 79.2 | 72.2 | 85.6 | 74.0 | 71.7 | 78.8 | 81.6 | 89.0 | 65.6 | 71.7 | 66.0 | 57.0 | 70.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| for foreign corre- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| spondents: | 34,586 | 2,514 | 12,783 | 2,756 | 2, 824 | 1,688 | 1,240 | 4,099 | 1,619 | 930 | 1,653 | 896 |  |
| July 5. | 34, 592 | 2,514 | 12, 789 | 2,756 | 2, 824 | 1,688 | 1,240 | 4,099 | 1,619 | 930 | 1,653 | 896 | 1, 584 |
| July 12. | 26,378 | 1,932 | 9, 621 | 2,118 | 2,171 | 1,297 | 953 | 3,150 | 1,244 | 715 | 1,271 | 688 | 1,218 |
| July 19.. | 25,993 25,867 | 1,900 | 9,513 9,540 | 2,082 2,060 | 2,134 2,112 | 1,275 | 953 953 | 3,097 3,065 | 1,223 | 703 695 | 1,249 | 677 | 1,187 |
| July 26............. | 25, 867 | 1,880 | 9,540 | 2,060 | 2,112 | 1,262 | 953 | 3,065 | 1,210 | 695 | 1,235 | 670 | 1,185 |

MATURITY DISTRIBUTION OF BILLS, CERTIFICATES OF INDEBTEDNESS, AND MUNICIPAL WARRANTS HELD BY THE 12 Federai reserve banks Combined.
[In thousands of dollars.]


## FEDERAL RESERVE NOTES.

FEDERAL RESERVE AGENTS' ACCOUNTS ON WEDNESDAYS, JUNE 28 TO JULY 26, 1922.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | Total. | Boston. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Now } \\ & \text { York. } \end{aligned}$ | Philadelphia. | Clevoland. | Richmond. | At- | Chicago. | St. Louis. | Minneapolis. | Kansas City. | Dallas. | San Francisco. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net amount of F. R. notes receired from Comptroller of Currency: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tune 28. | 3, 294,062 | 261,557 | 1,131,490, | 247,982 | 245, 708 | 121,305 | 185, 946 | 490,819 | 106,025 | 62,683 | 87,074 | 48, 124 | 305,349 |
| July 5. | 3,301, 379 | 264, 800 | 1, 141, 332 | 245,969 | 246,304 | 120,373 | 184, 938 | 491,981 | 104, 877 | 62,443 | 86, 299 | 47, 753 | 304,310 |
| July 12 | 3,341,921 | 263,176 | 1,149,418 | 244,050 | 250,369 | 120,008 | 190, 149 | 498, 299 | 110,884 | 62, 866 | 87, 293 | 50,369 | 315, 040 |
| July 19 | 3,350, 935 | 271,351 | 1,149,583 | 247, 700 | 249,412 | 119,070 | 189,332 | 501,167 | 111,000 | 62,945 | 86,542 | 50,040 | 312,793 |
| F July 26... | 3,338,365 | 268, 402 | 1,152,345 | 251, 127 | 247, 057 | ${ }^{118,097}$ | 188,337 | 495,214 | 111,035 | 62,360 | 85, $8: 58$ | 49,627 | 308, 906 |
| F. I. notes on hand: June 28. | 756,577 | 91,650 | 271,150 | 45, 120 | 33,405 | 31,520 | 67,049 | 86,120 | 22,450 | 11,650 | 17,900 | 19,633 | 58,930 |
| July 5. | 739,542 | 90,850 | 271, 250 | 42,920 | 32, 140 | 31, 520 | 66,914 | 84, 460 | 22,450 | 9,695 | 16,800 | 18,413 | 52, 130 |
| July 12 | 752,412 | 81, 650 | 277,650 | 34,920 | 33,620 | 31,470 | 70, 994 | 88,900 | 27,200 | 9,695 | 16,800 | 21, 333 | 58,130 |
| July 19. | 767,067 | 91, 250 | 277,650 | 34,920 | 35, 120 | 30,910 | 72,404 | 88,900 | 27,250 | 10,500 | 16,400 | 20, 833 | 60, 930 |
| Tuly 26. | 766, 402 | 88, 250 | 277,650 | 40,920 | 36, 720 | 30,610 | 71,724 | 88, 180 | 27,150 | 10,035 | 16,400 | 20,433 | 58, 330 |
| F. R. notes outstandins: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 28.......... | 2, 537,485 | 169,907 | 860,340 | 202,862 | 212,303 | 89,785 | 118,897 | 404,699 | 83,575 | 51,033 | 69,174 | 28,491 | 246,419 |
| July 5. | 2, 561, 837 | 173,950 | 870, 082 | 203,049 | 214, 164 | 88, 853 | 118,024 | 407, 221 | 82,427 | 52, 448 | 69,499 | 29,340 | 252, 180 |
| July 12 | 2,589, 309 | 181,526 | 811, 768 | 209, 130 | 216, 749 | 88,538 | 119, 155 | 409,399 | 83,634 | 53,171 | 70,493 | 29,036 | 256,910 |
| July 19 | 2,583,868 | 180, 101 | 871,933 | 212,780 | 214, 292 | 88, 160 | 116,928 | 412,267 | 83,750 | 52,443 | 70, 142 | 29, 207 | 251, 863 |
| Collateral security for | 2,571,963 | 180, 152 | 874,695 | 210, 207 | 210,337 | 87,487 | 116,613 | 407,034 | 83, 885 | 52,325 | 69,488 | 29,194 | 250,576 |
| Collateral security for F. R. notes out- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| standing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gold and gold cer- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 28. | 416,123 | 5,300 | 363,184 |  | 13,275 |  | 2,400 |  | 11,210 | 13,052 |  | 7,702 |  |
| July 5. | 416, 122 | 5,300 | 363, 184 |  | 13,275 |  | 2,400 |  | 11, 210 | 13,052 |  | \%, 701 |  |
| July 12 | 416, 122 | 5,300 | 363,184 |  | 13,275 |  | 2,400 |  | 11,210 | 13,052 |  | 7, 701 |  |
| July 19. | 416, 122 | 5,300 | 363, 184 |  | 13,275 |  | 2,400 |  | 11,210 | 13,052 |  | \%,701 |  |
| July 26....... | 416,522 | 5,300 | 363,184 |  | 13,275 |  | 2,400 |  | 11,610 | 13,052 |  | 7,701 |  |
| Gold redemption fund- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | , |  |
| June 28. | 127, 309 | 16,170 | 39,972 | 14,926 | 11,735 | 1,751 | 4,127 | 14,959 | 3,560 | 1,400 | 2,577 | 1,519 | 14,613 |
| July 5. | 124,985 | 13, 413 | 39,762 | 12,912 | 11,751 | 3,819 | 3, 118 | 15, 622 | 4,412 | 1,880 | 3,802 | 2,148 | 13, 346 |
| July 12. | 126,010 | 11,789 | 39,587 | 10,993 | 14,387 | 2,954 | 2,330 | 15, 200 | 4,020 | 1, 623 | 3,096 | 1,844 | 18, 237 |
| July 19. | 127,651 124,016 | \% $\begin{array}{r}7,964 \\ 15,015\end{array}$ | 39,403 39,250 | 13,643 11,071 | 12, 779 | 5,016 4,043 | 4,512 3,518 | 16,207 | 3,136 4,671 | 1, 142 | 4,345 3,661 | 2,515 2,102 | 16,989 14,443 |
| Gold fund-F. ${ }^{\text {d }}$. | 124,016 | 15,015 | 39, 256 | 11,071 | 11, 424 | 4,043 | 3,518 | 13,250 | 4, $6 \overline{7} 1$ | 1, $3 \overline{3}$ | 3,661 | 2,102 | 14,443 |
| Board- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 28. | 1,579,941 | 108,000 | 401,000 | 138, 389 | 145,000 | 52, 795 | 94,500 | 349,645 | 42,800 | 16,000 | 48,360 | 10,000 | 173,452 |
| July 5 | 1,582, 709 | 108,000 | 401,000 | 143,389 | 145,000 | 49, 795 | 95,000 | 344,645 | 41, 800 | 16,000 | 46,360 | 10,000 | 181, 220 |
| July 12 | 1, 619, 428 | 123,000 | 401,000 | 148, 389 | 145, 000 | 5.4,795 | 95,000 | 351, 644 | 44, 800 | 15, 000 | 50,360 | 10,000 | 180, 440 |
| July 19. | $1,651,289$ | 133,000 | 431,000 | 147,389 | 145,000 | 51,793 | 92,000 | 352,645 | 43,300 | 15,000 | 48,360 | 10,000 | 181,800 |
| $\xrightarrow{\text { Julr }} 26 . . . . .$. | 1,657, 107 | 128,040 | 431,000. | 150, 889 | 145,000 | 51,793 | 92,500 | 362,644 | 39, 800 | 15,000 | 50,360 | 10,000 | 180, 119 |
| Eligible paperAmount re-quired- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 28. | 414,112 | 40,437 | 56, 184 | 49, 547 | 42,293 | 35,239 | 37,870 | 40,095 | 26,005 | 20, 381 | 18,237 | 9,270 | 58,354 |
| July 5. | 438,021 | 47,237 | 66, 136 | 46, 748 | 44,138 | 35,239 | 17,506 | 47,254 | 25,005 | 22,816 | 19,337 | 9,491 | 5\%, 114 |
| July 12. | 427,949 | 41,437 | 67,997 | 49,748 | 41, 137 | 30, 789 | 19,425 | 42, 555 | 23,604 | 23,496 | 17,037 | 9, 191 | 58, 233 |
| July 19.. | 388, 806 | 33, 837 | 38,346 | 51,748 | 43,238 | 31,349 | 18,016 | 43,415 | 26,104 | 23, 251 | 17,437 | 8,991 | 53,074 |
| July 26...i | 374, 318 | 31,837 | 41,255 | 48, 247 | 40, 838 | 31,649 | 18, 195 | 31, 135 | 27, 804 | 22, 716 | 15,437 | 9,391 | 56,014 |
| Excess amount |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 28 | 192,536 | 10,991 | 73,481 | 3,468 | 9,806 | 5,507 | 12, 704 | 33,041 | 5,110 | 5,798 | 2,879 | 26,248 | 3,203 |
| July 5. | 197, 610 | 9,984 | 84,320 | 3,931 | 13, 230 | 3,060 | 12, 283 | 31,912 | 4,690 | 2,680 | 698 | 24,997 | 5,325 |
| July 12.... | 148,684 | 4,440 | 50,165 | 3,030 | 6,093 | 8,502 | 9,954 | 30, 190 | 7,163 | 1,386 | 1,480 | 25,518 | 76 |
| July 19.... | 196,436 | 4,671 13 | 93, 959 | 3,165 | 7,892 |  | 13,541 | 28,347 |  | 1,329 | 939 | 27,045 | 3,412 |
| July 26.... | 149,486 | 13,348 | 24,439 | 9,776 | 5,006 | 4,414 | 12,516 | 37,001 | 3,561 | 2,987 | 2,768 | 25,331 | 6,339 |

## CONDITION OF MEMBER BANKS IN LEADING CITIES.

PRINCIPAL RESOURCES AND LIABILITIES OF MEMBER BANKS IN LEADING CITIES ON WEDNESDAYS, FROM JUNE 21 TO JULY 19, 1922.
ALL REPORTING MEMBER BAN゙KS IN FACH FEDERAL RESERVE DISTMICT.
[Amounts in thousands of dollars.]

|  | Total. | Boston. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { New } \\ & \text { York. } \end{aligned}$ | Philadelphia. | Cleveland. | Richmond. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { At- } \\ & \text { lanta. } \end{aligned}$ | Chicago. | St. | Minneapolis. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Kansas } \\ \text { City. } \end{gathered}$ | Dallas. | San <br> Fran- <br> cisco. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N umber of reporting banks: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 21. | 799 | 49 | 107 | 57 | 84 | 80 | 42 | 1.09 | 37 | 35 | 79 | 52 | 68 |
| June 28. | 799 ? | 49 | -108 | 57 | 84 | 80 | 42 | 109 | 37 | 35 | 78 | 52 | 68 |
| July 5. | 796 | 49 | 107 | 56 | 84 | 79 | 42 | 109 | 37 | 35 | 78 | 52 | 68 |
| July 12 | 795 | $49^{1}$ | 106 | 56 | 84 | ${ }^{79}$ | 42 | 109 | 37 | 35. | 78 | 52 | 68 |
| July 19. | 795 | 49 | 106 | 56 |  | 79 | 42 | 109 . | 37 | 35 | 78 | 52 | 68 |
| Loans and discounts, includ- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ing bills rediscounted with |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secured by U. S. Govern- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ment obliga |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 21. | 289,312 | 37,074 | 93, 038 | 18,398 | 31,974 | 12,145 | 8,212 | 54, 011 | 16,127 | 8,554 | 10,073 | 4,633 | 15,073 |
| June 28 | 280, 373 | 16,239 | 90, 371 | 19,128 | 31,690 | 12,865 | 8,451 | 50,885 | 16,200: | 9,749 | 10, 182 | 4,872 | 14,941 |
| July 5. | 286, 238 | 16, 621. | 90, 192 | 17,943 | 30, 858 | 12,144i | 7,940 | 46, 356 | 15,904 | 10,299 | 10, 456 | 4,704 | 23,121 |
| July 12 | 270, 9931 | 15,529 | 86,034 | 16,032 | 30,650 | 12,021 | 7,783 | 46, 215 | 15, 673 | 10, 213 | 10,271 | 4,595, | 15,977 |
| Julv 19.......... | 262, 671 | 14, 616 | 79, 956 | 1.5, 401 | 34,348: | 11,570 | 7,738 | 42,810 | 15, 509 j | 9, 165 | 10,250 | 4,540 | 16,768 |
| Secured by stocks and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| bonds (omer than obliga- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tions)- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 21. | 3,512,046 | 194,13811, | ,714,413 | 216, 803 | 330,605 | 115,347 | 55,106 | 477, 849 | 119,451 | 35,784 | 62,887 | 43,184\| | 146,479 |
| June 28 | 3, 465,247 | 215, 7201 | , 637,775 | 219,878 | 332,731 | 115,969 | 54, 525 | 484, 141 | 120,437 | 30,754 | 63,365 | 43, 154 | 146,798 |
| July 5. | 3, 551,078 | 224, 33911 | , 714,935 | 219, 294 | 331, 408 | 118,240 | 59,154 | 476, 726 | 123, $055^{\circ}$ | 31, 746 | 64, 467 | 42, 746 | 144,963 |
| July 12. | 3, 336,754 | 224, 6241 | ,678,007 | 225,121 | 330, 162 | 113,444 | 58,883 | 495,640 | 122,988' | 32,029 | 65,900 | 41,979: | 147,977 |
| Tuly 19. | 3,51.9, 263 | 210,027 1 | ,651,086 | 230,004 | 332,446 | 117, 116 | 58,133 | 507, 633 | 123,429 | 31,770 | 65, 299 | 42,645 | 149,675 |
| All other-- | 7,083,429 | 575, 5202 | ,266,995 |  | 624,456 | 300,988. | 289,811 1 |  | 272,017 | 191,558 | 352,410 | 188, 325 ; |  |
| June 28. | 7,032, 501 | 556, 4042 | ,232, 730 | 316,690 | 623, 357 | 301,369 | 299, 150 | 1, 015,593 | 267, 991 | 191,997 | 351, 514 | 188, 85 | 694, 853 |
| July 5. | 7,(0)!, 732 | 556, 238 | ,214,817 | 317,321 | 629,727 | 294, 726 | 283, 4181 | 1,018,279 | 269, 752 | 191,916 | 349, 775. | 186,574! | 689,189 |
| July 12 | 7,031, 414 | 555,995 2 | 2,221, 121: | 315, 246 ! | 634,283 | 300,567 | 284, 8741 | 1, 021,304 | 272,545j | 190, 748 | 348, 424 | 186, 813 ; | 699,494 |
| July 19. | 7,025, 595 | 558, 02ら̈ 2 | 2,222,963 | 312,415 | 629,588 | 295, 445 | 290, 234 | 1,014,128 | 273, 547: | 193,424 | 351, 705 | 186,737 | 697, 384 |
| Total loans and discounts, including bills rediscounted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| with $\bar{F}$. R. banks: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 21. | 10,884,787 | 786,732 4, | ,074, 446 | 549, 870 | 987,035 | 428,480 | 353, 129 | 1,542,424 | 407, 595 | 235, 896 | 425, 370 | 236,142 | 857,608 |
| June 28. | 10,783, 121 | 788,3633, | ,960, 876 | [55j, 696 | 987, 778 | 430,203 | 354, $126^{1}$ | 1, 550, 619 | 404, 628 | 232,500 | 425, 061 | 236,679: | 856,592 |
| July 5. | 10,839,3:38 | 797, 198:4 | , 019,944 |  | 991,993 | 422, 110 | 350,512 | 1,541,361 | 408,711 | 233,961] | 424, 698 | 234, 024; | 857,278 |
| July 12 | 10.839, 161 | 796, 1483 | ,985, 162 | 556,3991 | 995, 095 | 426, 032 | 351, 040 | $1,563,159$ | 411,206 | 232,960: | 424,593 | 233,387 | 863,448 |
| U July 19. | 10, 807, 529 | 782,6683, | ,954,005. | 557, 820 | 996,382 | 424,131 | 356,105 | L, 564, 571 | 412,485 | 234,359 | 427,254 | 233, 922 | 863,827 |
| C. S. bonds: June 21. | 1,247,689 | 83,822 | 517, 390 | 54, 324 | 14J,621 | 36,972 | 26,646 | 119, 340 | 28,722: | 24,097 | 52,472 | 33,063; | 108,820 |
| June 28 | 1, 264,277 | 86,643: | 522, 752 | 54, 888 | 143, 720 | 57,650 | 27, $135^{\circ}$ | 118, 872 | 29,152: | 25, 523 | 53, 521 | 34, 023 | 110,298 |
| July 5. | 1,269,883 | 88, 129 | 524,160 | 54, 463; | 146, 187 | 57,059 | 27, 6.46 | 118,801 | 28, 552 | 25, 479 | 53,010 | 34,730 | 111,577 |
| July 12 | 1,276,041 | 90,552. | 526, 376 | 54, 467 | 145, 323 | 55,956 | 27, 481 | 118, 472 | 28,718 | 26,317 | 55, 125 | 34, 848 ; | 112,406 |
| U July 19......... | 1,286, 484 | 88,835 | 532,837 | 55, 340 | 148,292 | 55,423 | 25,636: | 119,543 | 30, 5 52: | 25,642 | 56, 22 t | 34, 927 | 112,936 |
| U. S. Victory notes: June 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,389 ${ }^{\text { }}$ |  | $1,786$ | 244 | 1,929 | 2,771 |  |
| June 28. | 40,526 | 1,627 | 9,620 | 7,456 | 1,561 | 597 | 1,820 | 3,956 | 2,340 | 235 | 1,055 | 2,892 | 8,367 |
| July 5 | 37,386 | 1,649 | 9,652 | 7,449 | 1,517 | 771 | 708 | 3,396 | 2,032 | 235 | 1,383 | 567 | 8,027 |
| July 12. | 39,096 | 1,630 | 9,803 | 8,523 | 1,684 | 703. | 726 | 3,955 | 2,233 | 2561 | 1,329 | 600 | 7,654 |
| July 19.. | 42,981 | 2,017 | 11,753 | 9,419 | 1,732 | 721 | 1,643 | 3,746 | 1,939 | 281 | 1,172 | 570 | 7,988 |
| U. Sume 21. | 505,427 | 19,734 | 329,565 | 20,164 | 27,462 | 3,250 | 1,710 | 48,091 | 10,939 | 7,237 | 12,938 |  |  |
| June 28 | 534, 974 | 19,731 | 344,350 | 19,429 | 28,444 | 3,020 | 1,716: | 60, 066 | 10,661 | 7,157 | 13, 161 | 8,455 | 18,784 |
| July 5. | 534,356 | 19,756 | 343, 925 | 19, 663 | 28,150 | 4,212 | 1,722 | 59,738 | 10,456 | 8,157 | 12,916 | 6,872 | 18,789 |
| July 12. | 515, 647 | 19,769 | 349,610: | 19,708 | 27,487 | 4,229 | 1,722 | 49,451 | 9,765: | 7,157 | 6,012 | 6,872 | 13,865 |
| July 19.................. | 531,674 | 19,793 | 352,008 | 20,148 | 31,393 | 3,794 | 1,711 | 57,477 | 7,378 | 7,157 | 5,002 | 6,953 | 18,860 |
| U. S. certificates of indobtedness: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 21. | 272,042 | 12,167 | 121,590 | 13,310 | 18,038 | 5,298 | 8,633 | 26,797 | 11,012 | 11,702 | 9,618 | 7,004 | 26,873 |
| June 28 | 260,796 | 7,982 | 127,464 | 12,767 | 11,299: | 3,874 | 7,798. | 29,143 | 10,480 | 9,583 | 10,292 | 6,432 | 23,682 |
| July 5. | 248, 102 | 8,900 | 126,602 | 10,820 | 9,453 | 3,597\| | 7,784! | 28, 301 | 9,444 | 9,503 | 9,928 | 6.043 | 17,727 |
| July 12..................... | 250, 129 | 9, 290 ; | 117, 664 | 10,796 | 8,857 | 3,256 | 6,789; | 29, 536 | 9,366 | 8,003 | 18,432 | 6,024 | 22,116 |
| July 19..................... | 246,536 | 6,908 | 129,536 | 8,835 | 9,824 | 3,208 | 6,024 | 23,446 | 11, 392 | 7,213 | 16,941 | 5,703 | 17,306 |
| Other bonds, stocks, and securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 21. | 2,298, 804 | 171, 747 | 836,643! | 183,175 | 277,609 | 53,531 | 33,015 | 409, 108 | 79,928 | 24, 057 | 56, 707 | 7,956 | 165,328 |
| June 28 | 2,304, 747 | 170, 936 | 842,185 | 185, 034 | 282, 812 | 54,765 | 33,166 | 401, 332 | 80,387 | 24,164 | 56, 244 | 8,112 | 165,410 |
| July 5.. | 2,310, 430 | 170,347 | 837, 422 ! | 185.236 | 282,340 | 54, 232 | 33, 133 | 406,584 | 81, 149 | 23,461 | 58,573. | 8, 1.63 | 169,790 |
| July 12. | 2, 290, 911 | 168,274 | 835.295 | 182,953 | 278,182 | 54, 718 | 33,298; | 401,294 | 81,491 | 23, 108 | 57, 232 | 8,158 | 166,908 |
| July 19.................... | 2, 282,214 | 168,756. | 827, 458 | 184,998, | 275, 222 | 54,588 | 33,830 | 397, 815 | 81,528 | 23,729 | 58,669 | 7,211 | 168,410 |
| Total loans and discounts and ! |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| investments, including bills rediscounted with F. R. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| banks: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 21 | 15,263, 6511 | 1,075,8105 | , 899,363 | 828,540 | 454,070 | 548, 214 | 424,522, | 2,151,985 | 539,982 | 303,233 | 559,034. | 292,665 | 1,186,033 |
| June 28 | 15,188, 4411 | 1,075,282 5 | 5; 807, 247 | 835. 27001 | 1, 4 55, 614 | 550, 109 | 425, 761 | 2, 164,188 | 537, 648 | 299,162 | 560, 434 | 294,593 | 1,183,133 |
| July 5........................ 1 | 15.239,505 | 1,085,979 | , 861.705 | 832,189! | , 459,640 | 544,981 | 421, 505 i 2 | 2, 158,271 | 540,344 | 300,796 | 560,508 | 290,399 | 1,183,188 |
|  | 15, 210, 985 | 1,085,6633,5 | ,823,910 | 832, 84661 | , 456, 628. | 544, 894 | 421, 556 | 2, 165, 867 | 542,779 | 297, 831 | 562, 725 | 289,889 | $1,186,397$ |
| July 19...................... 1 | 15, 197, 418 | 1,068,977, 5 | , 807, 597 | 836,560,1 | , 462,845, | 541,865 | 424,94912 | 2, 166,598, | 545, 474 | 298,381] | 565,559, | 289, 286\| | 1,189,327 |

PRINCIPAL RESOURCES AND LIABILITIES OF MEMBER BANKS IN LEADING CITIES ON WEDNESDAYS, FROM JUNE 21 TO JULY 19, 1922-Continued.

ALL REPORTING MEMBER BANKS IN EACH FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICT-Continued.
[Amounts in thousands of dollars]

|  | Total. | Boston. | New | Philadelphia. | Cleveland. | Richmond. | At- | Chicago. | St. Lonis. | Minneapolis. | Kansa City. | Jallas. | San <br> Francisco. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reserve with F. R. banks: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 21 | 1,385,971 | 88, 343 | 658,682 | 69,403 | 99, 185 | 33,524 | 31,578 | 182, 264 | 45,833 | 21, 224 ; | 50, 2001 | 22,305 | 82,730 |
| June 28 | 1,440,290 | 82, 822 | 743,876 | 64, 051 | 99,068 | 33,516 | 29, 821 | 177,046 | 41,770 | 18,073 | 46,686 | 23,072 | 80,489 |
| July 5 | 1,400,669 | 89,080 | 663,417 | 69,604 | 99, 895 ! | 34,296 | 33,766i | 200, 430 | 40, 141 | 21, 894 | 45, 147! | 22,287 | 80,412 |
| July 12 | 1,438, 146 | 83,614 | 707,154 | 65,787 | 99, 215 | 35, 129 | 30,057 | 206,338 | 34,922 | 20,310, | 45, 705 : | 23,684 | 86, 142 |
| Cash in vault: |  |  |  | 68,567 | 102,761 | 35,903 |  | 200,961 | 36,626 | 19,359 | 46,906 |  |  |
| June 21. . | 276, 845 | 17,364 | 87,529 | 14,861 | 28,573 | 13,999 | 9,005 | 52,801 | 6,603 | 5, 860 | 11, 871 | 8,675 | 19,704 |
| June 28 | 278, 457 | 18,064 | 88,8571 | 14,863 | 28,324 | 14, 137 | 9, 190 | 50, 953 | 6,555 | 6,291 | 12, 215 | 8,655 | 20, 353 |
| July 5. | 296, 258 | 18,116 | 94,471 ${ }^{\text {j }}$ | 15, 172 | 28,278 | 13,736 | 9,794 | 56, 170 | 7, 820 | 5,903 | 11,909: | 9, 725 | 25, 104 |
| July 12 | 298, 115 | 20,056 | 96,669 | 15,687. | 29,803 | 14,084 | 10,010 | 54,943 | 6,841 | 6,589, | 12,558 | 9,258 | 21,617 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 21 | 11, 192,404 | 791, 933 ${ }^{1}$ | 187,646 | 665, 015 | 831,752 | 320,904 | 244, 5001 | 1,387,760 | 318,786 | 189,114 | 434, 399 | 207, 855 | 612,740 |
| June 28. | 11, 123,877 | 786, 6099 | , 107, 474 ! | 667,252 | 844, 801. | 327,080 | 244,8191 | ,400,916 | 307, 995 | 186,643 | 433, 281 ! | 208, 737 | 608, 270 |
| July 5 | 11, 087,684 | 802,06714 | , 999,952 | 675, 705 | 840, 633 . | 329, 278 | 250, 953 | ,421,586 | 320,758 | 190,343' | 436, 586 | 206,697 | 613, 126 |
| July 12 | 11, 199, 139 | 808, 316 a | ,037,857 | 684, 436 | 849, 135 | 330,408 | 248, 298 | , 459,849 | 319, 500 | 192, 234. | 442,617! | 209,523 | 616,966 |
| July 19 | 11, 101, 473 | 799, 125'4 | ,971,466 | 686, 153 | 852,096 | 330, 940 | 245, 717 | , 447,486 | 311, 926 | 190, 226 | 436,060 | 206,983 | 623, 295 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 28. | 3,380,434 | 231,482. | 665, 806 | 50, 838 | 486, 157 | 1.19, 473 | 150, 442 | 681, 109 | 159, 965 | 77,417 | 115, 956 | 64,964 | 546, 845 |
| July 5 | 3,470, 561 | 233, 252 | 749,856 | 50,684 | 493, 406 | 146, 329 | 149,967 | 680,179 | 163,037 | 77, 282 | 115,138 | 65,544 | 545, 887 |
| July 12 | 3,482,541 | 233,239 | 762,387 | 51,086 | 490,648 | 144, 961 | 150,796 | 679, 276 | 162,397 | 77, 307. | 114,916 | 65, 525 | 550,003 |
| July 19. | 3,487,015 | 229, 391; | 769, 398 | 51, 232 | 490,342 | 144, 750 | 151,872 | 679, 718 | 163,156 | 77, 278 | 115,777 | 65, 419 | 548,682 |
| Government deposits: |  |  |  |  |  | 5,404 | 5,562 | 20,586 | 6,765 | 4,763 | 7,139 | 4,683 | 14,857 |
| June 28. | 123,674 | 11, 208 | 41,452: | 9,478 | 13, 262 | 3,460 | 3, 760 | 14, 165 | 4,610 | 4,207 | 4,889 | 3,159 | 10,024 |
| July 5 | 121,428 | 11,208 | 41, 452: | 8,527 | 11, 830 | 3,629 | 3,755 | 14,057 | 4, 610 | 4,288 | 4,889 | 3,159 | 10,024 |
| July 12 | 110, 070 | 10,089 | 37, 300: | 7,675 | 11, 543 | 3,315 | 3,372 | 12,546 | 4,131 | 3,844: | 4,397 | 2,843 | 9,015 |
| Bills payable with F.R. banks: Secured by U. S. Government obligations- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| . June 21................ | 50, 230 | 1,310 | 6, 495 | 9,165 | 10,471 | 4,577 | 653 | 6, 298 | 2,918 | 2,225 | 644 | 900 | 4,574 |
| June 28 | 96, 334 | 3,325 | 54, 835 | 10,727 | 11,579 | 4,312' | 153 | 3,854 | 3,768 | 963 | 744 |  | 2,274 |
| July 5 | 94, 862 | 1,605 | 55, 145 | 8,949 | 11,699 | 3,127 | 145 | ¢5, 0 ¢8 | 3,925 | 148 | 522 | 298 | 4,240 |
| July 12. | 73, 752 | 1,284 | 46,705 | 8,658 | 3,724 | 5,095: | 145 | 2,519 | 2,034 | 38 | 72 |  | 3,178 |
| All July 19................. | 96,049 | 980 | 59,745 | 9,257 | 10,386 | 4,187, | 745 | 3,454 | 2,025 | 338 | 22 | 60 | 4,850 |
| June 21 | 205 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 205 |
| June 28 | 204 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 204 |
| July 5 | 184 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 184 |
| July 12 | 365 |  |  |  |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 165 |
| Juily 19. | 182 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 182 |
| Bills rediscounted with F. R. banks: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secured by U. S. Government obligations- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 28 | 967 | 71 | 359 | 225 | 20 | 91 | 2 |  | 31 |  | 96 | 10 | 62 |
| July 5 | 1,183 | 89 | 506 | 225 | 55 | 102 |  |  | 31 |  | 102 | 10 | 63 |
| July 12.................. | 979 | 89 | 528 | 55 | 11 | 971 | 3 |  | 7 |  | 82 | 10 | 97 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All other- |  |  | 8,270 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 28.................. | 66, 868 | 9,327 | 9,814 | 6,808 | 5,916 | 6,695 | 3,003 | 6,166 | 2,028 | 1,887 | 2,317 | 3, 389 | 9,318 |
| July 5 | 110, 164 | 19,073 | 32,909 | 8,669 | 11, 222 | 6,035 | 3,628 | 6, 872 | 2,060 | 1,823 | 2,608 | 3,477 | 11,788 |
| July 12................ | 66,786 60,339 | 10,705 5,918 | 8,355 18,648 | 4,729 2,794 | 12,797 7,879 | 5,496 5,506 | 3,053 4,516 | 4,044 $\mathbf{2 , 7 0 5}$ | 1, 1,485 | 1,660 1,190 | 2,099 2,328 | 3,684 3,222 | 8,609 $\mathbf{4 , 1 5 1}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4,151 |

MEMBER BANKS IN FEDERAL RESERVE BANK CITIES.
[Amounts in thousands of dollars.]

| Number of reporting banks: <br> June 21 | 273 | 26 | 66 | 43 | 8 | 10 | 8 | 50 | 13 | 10 | 16 | 8 | 15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June 28. | 274 | 26 | 67 | 43 | 8 | 10 | 8 | 50 | 13 | 10 | 16 | 8 | 15 |
| July 5. | 273 | 26 | 66 | 43 | 8 | 10 | 8 | 50 | 13 | 10 | 16 | 8 | 15 |
| July 12. | 272 | 26 | 65 | 43 | 8 | 10 | 8 | 50 | 13 | 10 | 16 | 8 | 15 |
| July 19.................... | 272 | 26 | 65 | 43 | 8 | 10. | 8 | 50 | 13 | 10 | 16 | 8 | 15 |
| Loans and discounts, including bills rediscounted with |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secured by U. S. Government obligations- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jume 21................ | 194,984 | 13, 857 | 82,403 ${ }^{\text {j }}$ | 16,046 | 8,101 ${ }^{\prime}$ | 2, 186 | 1,644 | 43, 810 | 12,498 | 5,608 | 2,424 | 1,480 | 4,927 |
| June 28. | 190, 542 | 13, 125 | 79,590 | 16, 989 | 7,958: | 2, 134 | 1,926 | 40, 592 | 12,511 | 6,807 | 2,416 | 1,610 | 4,884 |
| July 5................... | 183, 632 | 13, 646 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,575 | 4,836 |
| July 12.................. | 176, 539 | 12, 657 | 75,941 | 14, 091 | 7, 137, | 2,308 | 1,575 | 36, 032 | 12, 222 | 5,652 | $\stackrel{2}{2,329}$ | 1,545 | 5,050 |
| July 19.. | 165, 467 | 11, 744 | .69,780 | 13, 470 | 6,918, | 2,328 | 1,599 | 32,926 | 12,006 | 5,768 | 2,416; | 1,477 | 5,035 |

PRINCIPAL RESOURCES AND LIABILITIES OF MEMBER BANE IN LEADING CITIES ON WEDNESDAYS FROM JUNE 21 TO JULY 19, 1922-Continued.

MEMBER BANKS IN FEDERAL RESERVE BANK CITIES-Continued.
[Amounts in thousands of dollars.]

|  | Total. | Boston. | New York | Philadelphia. | Cleveland. | Richmond. | $\stackrel{\text { At- }}{\text { lanta. }}$ | Chicago | St. Louis. | Minneapolis. | Kansas City. | Dallas. | San Francisco. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loans and discounts, etc- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Continued. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| bonds (other than U. S. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tions)- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Junc 28 | 2,552, 291 | 166, 998 | 1, 471, 921 | 200, 881 | 135,416 | 15,042: | 10,057 | 360, 484 | 90, 587 | 11, 163 | 17,912 | 9, 714 | 62,416 |
| July 5 | 2,628, 079 | 174, 551 | I',540,776 | 197, 896 | 134, 538 | 21,793: | 10,698 | 352, 293 | 93, 311 | 12, 326 | 18, 138 | 9, 458 | 62,301 |
| July 12 | 2,612, 511 | 175, 079 | 1, 506,097 | 204, 157 | 134, 032 | 15,367i | 10,958 | 369, 877 | 93,742 | 12,776 | 18, 261 | 9, 275 | 62, 890 |
| July 19. | 2,586,941 | 160, 399 | 1,477,786 | 208, 663 | 133, 574 | 15,559 | 11,578 | 380, 383 | 94,480 | 12,676 | 18,286 | 9,293 | 64,264 |
| Oune 21. | 4,445 | 436, 2841 | 1,986, 351 | 282,93 | 281, 092 | 59,731 | 48,731 | 644,882 | 163, 671 | 89,505 | 126,950 | 46,887 | 278,217 |
| June 28. | 4, 395, 845 | 418, 458 | $1,951,414$ | 284, 824 | 278,370 | 61, 544 | 49,997 | 647, 924 | 160, 751 | 90, 737 | 125, 572 | 48,373 | 277, 881 |
| July 5. | 4,382, 253 | 420, 165 | 1, 936, 949 | 287, 481 | 282, 053 | 57, 746: | 49, 343 | 647, 069 | 163, 079 | 90, 395 | 124, 577 | 47,941: | 275, 455 |
| July 12 | 4,398, 048 | 419, 253 | 1, 940, 920 | 285, 765 | 284, 891 | 62, 894 | 48, 541 | 647, 241 | 165, 232 | 91, 025 | 123, 205 | 47, 573 | 281, 506 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| with F. R. banks: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 28. | 7, |  | 3,502,925 |  | 421, 744 | 720 | 61,980 | $1,049,000$ | 263, 849 | 108, 707 | 14, |  |  |
| July 5 | 7, 193, 964 | 608, 362 | 3, 5577,416 | 501, 400 | 423, 741 | 81, 694 | 61, 663 | $1,035,541$ | 268, 822 | 108, 633 | 145, 126 | 58, 974 | 342, 592 |
| July 12 | 7,187, 098 | 606,9913 | 3, 522,958 | 504,013 | 426,060 | 80, 569 | 61,074 | 1, 053, 150 | 271, 196 | 109,453 | 143, 795 | 58, 393 | 349,446 |
| July 19.................U, $7,150,036 \mid$U. bonds: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 21. | 724,7 | 38,485 |  | 41,930 | 16,516 | 5,017 | 5,550 | 52,415 | 13, $116{ }^{\text {' }}$ | 7,154 | 19,678 | 9, 138 | 55,198 |
| June 28 | 729, 226 | 39,585 | 462,357 | 42, 160 | 17, 457 | 4,923 | 5,455 | 51, 465 | 13,391, | 7,325 | 19,954 | 9,169 | 55, 985 |
| July 5. | 731, 541 | 39, 653 | 463, 315 | 41, 491 | 19,641 | 4, 526 | 5, 455 | 50,657 | 12, 992 | 7,221 | 20,626 | 9, 266 | 56,692 |
| July 12 | 733, 991 | 39,934 | 464, 486 | 41, 378 | 19,687 | 4, 526 | 5, 301 | 49, 408 | 13,226 | 8,070 | 21, 197 | 9,261 | 57,517 |
| Suly 19 | 741,215 | 37,663 | 471,010 | 42,135 | 20,302 | 4,544 | 3,701 | 50, 240 | 14,902; | 7,463 | 22, 557 | 9,261 | 57,437 |
| June 21 | 37,988 | 189 | 16,525 | 7,416 | 101 | 6 | 2 | 4,775 | 1,538 |  | 663 | 2,112 | 4,632 |
| June 28 | 26, 232 | 202 | 7,990 | 7,419 | 108 |  | 1 | 3,379 | 2,090 | 29 | 787 |  | 4,225 |
| July 5. | 24,998 | 224 | 8,079 | 7,403 | 111 |  | 1 | 2,861 | 1,819 | 29 | 265 | 2 | 4,204 |
| July 12. | 27, 281 | 201 | 8,288 | 8,468 | 114 |  | 1 | 3,466 | 2,005: | 30 | 371 | 32 | 4,305 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 21.. | 408, 438 | 17,532 | 316, 061 | 18,134 | 1,655 | 100 |  | 30,575 | 4,165 | 100 | 9,609 | 3,999 | 6,508 |
| June 28 | 434, 816 | 17,531 | 332, 145 | 17, 441 | 827 | 100 |  | 41,649, | 4,480, |  | 9,836 | 4,626 | 6,181 |
| July | 433,069 | 17,532 | 331, 726 | 17, 514 | 927 | 100 |  | 41,204 | 4,5311. |  | ${ }^{9,886}$ | 3139 | 6,510 |
| July 10 | 421,273 433,749 | 17,545 | 336,821 | 17.50 | 1,228 | 100 |  | 30,888 38,918 | 4, 5171 |  | 2,936 | 3,139. | 6, 8.592 |
| U. S. certificate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ness: June 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3,799 | 41 |
| June 28. | 189, 1853 | 7,295 | 120,668 | 11, 515 | 2,957 | 871 | 4,106 | 16,241 | 7,772 | 5,296 | , | 3,852 | 7,158 |
| July 5 | 185, 260 | 8,194 | 120, 396 | 9,977 | 2,557 | 771 | 4, 106 | 15,895 | 6,947 | 5,296 | 1,934 | 3,453: | 5,734 |
| July 12 | 184, 205 | 8,686 | 112, 513 | 9,953 | 2,015 | 771 | 3,895 | 16,650 | 6,929 | 3,796 | 9,683 | 3,434 | 5,880 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 21. | 1,282,208 | 77,498 | 642, 179 | 148, 198 | 58,551 | 4,600 | 3,125 | 191, 709 | 50,484 | 9,160. | 12,189 | 792 | 83,723 |
| June 28 | 1,280,684! | 78,553 | 647,033 | 149,361 | 59, 292 ! | 4,769 | 3,224 | 181, 261 | 51,152 | 9,337 | 12, 426 | 792 | 83, 484 |
| July ${ }^{\text {July }}$ | 1,283, 152 | 77, 809 | 643, 154 | 149,992 | 58, 570 | 5, 840 | 3, 112, | 186, 714 | 51, ${ }_{5}$ 137 | 9,045 | 12,723 | 758 | 83, 199 |
| July ${ }^{\text {July }}$ | 1, 273, 913 | 77,910 | 644, 546 | 147, 811 | 59,795 | 6, 130 | 3,308 | 179, 369 | ${ }_{5}^{52,137}$ | 8,954 | 12,195 | 742 | 81, 18 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | rediscounted with F. R. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 21 |  | 740,43 | 5, 170, 881 | 723, 822 | 503, 600 | 87,790 | 73,739 | 1,338, 318 | 343, 653 | 134,454 | 191,292 | 77, 769 | 504, 826 |
| June 28 | $9,799,289$ | 741, 747) | 5, 073,118 | 730,290 | 502, 385 | 89,383 | 74,766 | $1,342,995$ | 342,734 | 130,694 | 190, 825 | 78, 138 | 502, 214 |
| July 5. | 9,851,984 | 751, 780 | 5, 124,086 | 727,777 729 | 505, 847] | 92, 931 | 74, 3371 | 1,332, 872 | 347,047 <br> 350 <br> 022 | 130, 224 | 190, 560 | 75,592 | 498,931 |
| July 12 | 9, 827, 761 | 731, 2075 | 5, 089, 612 | ${ }^{729} 180$ | 508, 899 | 92, 096 | 73, 753 | $1,332,931$ | ${ }_{353} 350,522$ | 130,099 | 190, 317 | 74,780 | 504, 507,247 |
| Reserve with F . R. banks: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 21 | 1,020, 658 | 71, 505 | 613,541 | 63, 683 ; | 32,384 | 5,265! | 6,263 | 127, 916 | 33, 583 | 10,927 | 18,891 | 5, 836 | 30, 864 |
| June ${ }^{\text {July }} 5$ | 1,079, 950 | 66, 440 | 699, 823 | 57, 464 | 32,757; | 4, 825 : | 4,568 | 123, 664 | 30, 314 | 7,817 | 16,516 | 6,582 | 29, 180 |
| July ${ }^{\text {July }} 12$ | 1,026, 872 | 71, 617 | 616, 194: | 64,044 60,393 | 33, 921 | 5, 4830 | 5,6 | 140, 248 | 28, 2895 | 10, 40.5 | 15, ${ }^{15}$, | 6, 403 | -28, 248 |
| July 19 | 053, 781 | 69, 036 | 647,397 | (62, 539 | 30, 703 : | $\stackrel{5}{5}, 691$ | 6,105 | 145, 529 | 24,372 | 8,820 | 15, 824 | 6,280 | 31,485 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 21. | 149, 2666 | 7,669 | 74,710 | 12, 12,435 | 7,529 7 7 | 936 | 1,681 | 29,460 $-28,236$ | 3,211 3,193 | 2,073 2,017 | 2,587 | 1, 1094 |  |
| June | 150,906 162,421 | 8,228 | 76,012 79 | 12, 1235 | 7,936 8,364 | 870 869 | 1, 651 | $\cdot 28,236$ <br> 31,287 | 3,193 | 2,017 | 2,646 2,726 | 1,524 | 6,108 9,486 |
| July 12 | 161, 913 | 9, 09.1 | -82, 331 | 12,748 | 8,528 | 1,009] | 1,907 | 30,050 | 3,207 | 2,223 | 2, 568 | 1,632 | ${ }_{5}^{6,416}$ |
| Juty ly $19 . . . . . . .$. | 149, $42 \overline{5}$ | 8,224 | 74, 340 | 12,445 | 8, 117 | 1,955 | 2,040 | 28,680 | 3,141 | 1,838 | 2,294 | 1,644 | 5,698 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June ${ }^{\text {Jun }}$ | 7, 7122,033 | 612, 026 | 1, 623,864 | 587,009 | 224, 729 | 47,347 | 40, 518 | 959, 519 | 211, 509 | 85, 691 | 161, 143 | 61, 541 | 227, 637 |
| Junly ${ }^{\text {jo }}$ | 7,763, 630 | 622, 1933 | 1,502, 739 | 595,051 | 221, 527 : | 49, 579 | 41, 817 | 970,643 | 223, 566 | 88, 237 | 159, 823 , | 60, 154 | 228, 241 |
| July 9 | 7,833,402 | ${ }_{62} 626,112$ | 4,539,501 | 604,351 607,155 | 224,088 229,260 | 49,674 48,538 | 42,175 43,072 | 988,484 983,039 | ${ }_{216}^{221,651}$ | 88, 88,936 | 160,692 160,749 | 59,916 | 238, 195 |
| July 1 | 7, | 3,9 | 4,470, | 6, | 22, 26 |  |  |  | 216, 29 | 86,933 | 10, |  | 2381 |

PRINCIPAL RESOURCES AND LIABILITIES OF MEMBER BANKS IN LEADING CITIES ON WEDNESDAYS, FROM JUNE 21 TO JULY 19, 1922-Continued.

MEMBER BANKS IN FEDERAL RESERVE BANK CITIES-Continued.
[Amounts in thousands of dollars.]

| Number of reporting banks. | Total. | Boston. | New <br> York. | Philadolphia. | Cleveland. | Richmond. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { At- } \\ & \text { lanta. } \end{aligned}$ | Chicago. | St. Louis. | Minneapolis. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Kansas } \\ \text { City. } \end{gathered}$ | Dallas. | San Francisco. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time deposits: ${ }^{\text {a }}$, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 21.... | 1,583,779 | 103,689 | 419,781 | 34,333 | 284, 5056 | 23, 376 | 23,415 | 322,590 | 91,605 | 28,449 | 11, 358 | 8,562 | 232,067 |
| June 28. | 1, 624,965 | 102, 762 | 465, 108 | 34,402 | 281, 899 | 23, 417 | 23, 481 | 324, 492 | 80, 279 | 27, 638 | 11, 353 | 8,597 | 232,047 |
| July ${ }^{\text {July }} 12$. | 1, $710{ }^{\text {a }}$, 143 | 103, 454 | 547,052. | 34,078 | 289, 734 | 24,04.9 | 23, 272 | 322,481 | 91, 838 | 27, 430 | 11, 319 | 8,698 | 231,738 |
| July 12. | 1, 727, 635 | 103, 876. | 055, 821. | 34,513 | 288,625 | 24,086 | 23, 142 | 321, 025 | 91,493 | 27, 469 | 11,337 | 8,914 | 237,384 |
| Suly $19 . . . . . . . . .$. | 1, 726,364 | 98,950 | -60, 705 | 34, 732 | 288, 267 | 23,869 | 23, 134 | 320, 648 | 91, 857 | 27, 232 | 11,297 | 8,963 | 236,430 |
| Government deposits: | 128, 418 | .13, 713 | 57, 35\% | 12,885 | 2,735 | 2,045 | 1,674. | 14,200 | 4,330 | 2,138 | 5,610 | 3,552 | 8,181 |
| Tune 28. | 89, 161 | 9,9.12 | 38,695 | 8,685 | 2,879 | 1,382 | 1,130 | 0,884 | 2,965 | 1,926 | 3,787 | 2,397 | 5,519 |
| July ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 87, 887 | 9,942 | 38,695 | 7,814 | 2,583 | 1, 382 | 1, 132 | 9,746 | 2,965 | 1,925 | 3, 787 | 2,39i | 5,519 |
| July 12. | 79,213 | 8,918 | 34,818: | 7,032 | 2, 583 | 1, 243 | 1,013 | 8,682 | 2, 6 \%3 | 1,716 | 3,407 | 2,157 | 4,961 |
| July 19..................... | 72, 052 | 8,053 | 31,336. | 7,032 | 2,329 | 1. 120 | 913 | 7,892 | 2, 400 | 1,500 | 3,068 | 1,9.41 | 4,468 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 21................. | 25,901. | 200 | 4,520 | 8, 135, | 1,039 | 493 |  | 4,985 | $69{ }^{\circ}$ | 1,000 | 34 | 500 | 4,300 |
| June 28. | 67, 238 | 1,715 | -18,720 | 9,504 | 1, 124; | 678 |  | 2,910 | 565 | , 38 | 34 |  | 1,900 |
| July 5. | 70,024 | 1,195 | a0, 110 | 8,071 | 200 | 238 |  | 4,240 | 1,750 | 148 | 19 | 258 | 3,800 |
| July 12. | 57,488. | 1, 1995 | 43, 330 | 7,930 | 624 | 171. |  | 1,565 | ${ }^{1} 196$ | 38 | $10^{\circ}$ |  | 2,520 |
| All July 19. | 73,438 | 580 | 57,520; | 8,529 | 350 | 687 |  | 1,625 | 140 | 38 | $19^{\prime}$ |  | 3,950 |
| All other- <br> June 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 28. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 5. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 12. | 200 |  |  |  |  | 200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 19. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bills rediscounted with $\mathbf{F}, \underline{R}$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| banks: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |
| Securod by U.S. Govern- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ment obligations- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 21. | 409 | 78. |  | 225 |  |  |  |  | 23. |  | $83:$ |  |  |
| June 28. | 745 | 71 | 333 | 225 |  |  |  |  | 23. |  | 73 |  |  |
| July 5. | 919 | 89 | 499 | 225. |  |  |  |  | 23. |  | 83 |  |  |
| July 12. | 729 | 89 | 522 | $5 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 63 |  |  |
| July 19. | 20.1 | 87 |  | 55 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 59 |  |  |
| All other- $\quad$, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 21.................. | 40,685 | 10,227 | 2, 774 | 6,617! | 5,823 | 1,569 | 75 | 3,293 | 1,088 | 150 | 1,488 | 35 | 7,246 |
| June 28................. | 35,917 | 8,063 | 3,506 | 6, 806 | 1,986 | 1,890 | 11.1 | 3,069 | ${ }^{9} 912$ | 773 | 1,336 | 85 | 7,380 |
| July ${ }^{\text {and. }}$ | 74,686 | 17,846 | 26,782 | 8,669 | 3, 719 | 1,787 | 261 | +, 824 | 801 | 750 | 1, 168 |  | 7,779 |
| July 12. | 33,265 | 9,972 | 3,006 | 4,729 | 3,052 | 1,568 | 326 | 2,236. | 653 | 638 | 1,386 |  | 5,199 |
| July 19................. | 29,790 | 5, 414. | 14,021 | 2,738 | 1,453; | 1,525 | 361 | 1,21] | 669 | 50 | 1,298 |  | 1,080 |

## BANK DEBITS.

Volume of business for the fire weeks ending July 26 was on a level about 3 per cent lower than that maintained for the preceding four weeks. The 3 per cent decline reported last month was thus repeated, the downward trend boing attributable largely to the mid-summer dullness of business. For Now York City the decline was 4 per cent, compared with 6 per cent shown last month.
That this reduction in the volume of business is largely scasonal rather than cyclical is indicated by the fact that the figures for the system as a whole are 16 per cent higher and for New York City 24 per cent higher than corresponding figures for 1921. The spread between the two yoars shown last month was 12 per cent for the system and 18 per cont for Now York City alone, so that when related to last year's trond the most recent five weeks show an increase in business activity as compared with the immediately preceding weeks.
The volume of business is measured by debits to individual accounts as reported to the Federal Reserve Board for banks in leading centers.


## DEBITS TO INDIVDDUL ACCOUNTS BY BANKS IN REPORTING CENTERS

SUMMARY BY FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICTS.
[ In thousands of dollars.]

|  | Number of conters included. | 1922 <br> Week ending- |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 1921 \\ \text { Week onđing } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | June 28. | July 5. | July 12. | July 19. | July 26. | June 29. | July 6. | July 13. | July 20. | July 27. |
| 13oston | 14 | 412,576 | 481,991 | 449,698 | 493,701 | 482,210 | 401,918 | 432,780 | 431,939 | 467,988 | 374,231 |
| New York |  | 4,940,708 | 4,816, 262 | 4,906, 346 | 4,649,606 | 4,582,601 | 3.742,943 | 4,217.547 | 3,874,472 | 3,946,421 | 3,592,910 |
| Philadelphi | 12 | 389,293 | 359,056 | 398,819 | 468,148 | 374,513 | 369.089 | 383. 51031 | 364,908 | 386,721 | 328,947 |
| Cloveland. | 13 | 469,940 | 506,901 | 471.379 | 475, 626 | 454, 369 | 391.946 | 423, 415 | 418.283 | 414,091 | 384,273 |
| Richmond | 11 | 194,677 | 201,984 | 217,824 | 204,928 | 184, 597 | 201,660 | 215.763 | 211.296 | 200,962 | 176,911 |
| Atlanta. | 15 | 167,986 | 186, 111 | 180,553 | 175,252 | 16L, 662 | 155.526 | 180,510 | 167.774 | 181,486 | 156,621 |
| Chicago. | 24 | 904,466 | 927, 844 | 958,780 | 980, 563 | 907,821 | 802,780 | 855.469 | 913,871 | 920,427 | 844,077 |
| St. Louis. | 8 | 206,613 | 239.598 | 231,925 | 228.729 | 193.933 | 185,158 | 203.360 ${ }^{\prime}$ | 207.199 | 213,175 | 183,548 |
| Minneapolis | 12 | 121,419 | 122,986 | 137,609 | 129,340 | 125,165 | 118,491 | 120,782 | 129.609 | 125,172 | 119,536 |
| Kansas City | 16 | 241, 452 | 244,476 | 264, 186 | 254, 828 | 234.350 | 209, 658 | 221,590 | 235.860 | 241,590 | 232,189 |
| Dallas. | 13 | 113,987 | 123,770 | 128,985 | 127,226 | 114,064 | 121,191 | 119,686 | 128,771 | 131,267 | 112,234 |
| San Francisco | 20 | 442,287 | 443,916 | 500,640 | 483,612 | 427,455 | 406. 109 । | 433,595 | 460,642 | 398,969 | 398,092 |
| Total. | 165 | 8,605,404 | 8,655,495 | 8,847,744 | 8,671,559 | 8,242,940 | 7, 106,469 | 7,808,030 | 7,544,624 | 7,628,269 | 6,903,569 |

DATA FOR EACH REPORTLNG CENTER.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | $1922$ |  |  |  |  | 1921 <br> Week ending- |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June 28. | July ${ }^{\text {a }}$. | July 12. | July 19. | July 26. | une 29. | July 6. | July 13. | July 20. | July 27. |
| District No. 1-Boston: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Baцgor, Me......... | 2,774 | 3,408 | 3,367 | 3,235 | 2,931 | 3,242 | 3,314 | 4,093 | 3,348 | 3,184 |
| Boston, Mass. | 284, 194 | 330,678 | 297,377 | 344, 497 | $\begin{aligned} & 352,606 \\ & 3,041 \end{aligned}$ | 281, 563 | 288,633 | 295, 258 | 330,913 | 257,183 |
| Brockton, Mass.. | 3,787 | 4,212 | 4,465 | 4,633 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fall River, Mass | 6,417 | 6,833 | 7,470 | 6,681 | 5,926 | 5,017 | 4,661 | 5,542 | 5,923 | 5,729 |
| Hartford, Conn. | 20,511 | 25,559 | 22,775 | 22,787 | 21, 069 | 18,417 | 27,791 | 22,276 | 20, 863 | 18,221 |
| Holyoke, Mass. | 2,628 | 3,440 | 3,301 | 3, 173 | 2,968 | 2,819 | 2,887 | 2,931 | 2,956 | 2,507 |
| L yowell, Mass | 3,383 5,479 | 4,346 | 5, 5,2601 | ${ }_{5}^{4}, 718$ | 4,150 | 3,846 | 3,853 | 4,496 | 4,743 | 4,001 |
| Manchester, N . il | 5,479 | 5,456 | 3,901 | 5,745 | - ${ }^{5,606}$ | 3,873 | 4,105 | 4,559 | 4,382 | 12 |
| New Bediord, Mas | 5,663 | 6,679 | 6,436 | 6,902 | -, 920 | 4,947 | 5,085 | 5,987 | 6,594 | 138 |
| New Haven, Conn | 13,656 | 18,102 | 20,401 | 23, 105 | 18, 106 | 13,727 | 18,231 | 17, 198 | 18,178 | 15,094 |
| Portland, Me. | 7,259 | 9,069 | 8,697 | 7,822 | 7,451 | 5,239 | 5, 501 | 7,309 | 6,499 | 6,792 |
| Providence, R. | 29,699 | 31,948 | 33, 163 | 31,075 | 25, 237 | 29,238 | 33,319 | 30,043 | 31,515 | 25, 664 |
| Springfield, Mass | 13, 548 | 15, 890 | 17,209 | 14, 170 | 14,082 | 11,624 | 13,851 | 12, 800 | 11., 590 | 10, 222 |
| Waterbury, Conn | 5,093 | 6,209 | 6,258 | 6,456 | 5,925 | -, 239 ! | 6,313 | 5, 188 | 5, 639 | 4, 366 |
| Worcester, Mass ...... | 14,471 | 15, 842 | 14,145 | 15,297 | 12, 226 | 13, 127 | 14,836 | 14,259 | 14, 845 | 11,618 |
| Albany, N. Y. | 20,955 | 31,051 | 21,287 | 34,767 | 20,729 | 31,373 | 37,006 | 23,673 | 18, 263 |  |
| Binghanton, N | 3,635 | 4,111 | 4,418 | 4,154 | 3,624 | 3,361 | 4,274 | 23,977 | 3,747 | 3,447 |
| Butfalo, N. | 54, 207 | 76,799 | 67,292 | 63,180 | 59,287 | 49, 498 | 69,064 | 61,146 | 56,115 | 49,708 |
| Elmira, N. Y................ | 3, 601 | 3,464 | 3,624 | 3,609 | 3,048 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jamestown, N, Y............ | 3,082' | 3,542 | 3,749 | 3,817 | 3,645 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | -2,241 | 2,304 | 2, 681 | 2,351 | 2,199 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Newark, N. J............... | - $\begin{array}{r}50,784 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 59,792 | 54, 864 | 56,209 | 51, 272 |  |  |  |  |  |
| New York, N. Y..........- | 4, 815,943 | 4,647,704 | 4,763,690 | 4, 496, 357. | 4,454,686 | 3,617, 372 | ,050, 119 | 3,737, 133 | 3, 821, 102 | 3, 483, 112 |
| ing House Association.... | 33,073 | 36,740 3 3 | 35,677 | 35,218 | 38,041 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Passaic, N. J. ${ }_{\text {Rochester, }}$ Y. Y ................ | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 5, } \\ \text { 28, } \\ 281 \\ \hline 181\end{array}$ | 3,747 36,740 | -5,551 |  | 5, 26, 245 | 4,616 24,599 | 5, 35,901 | 5,694 28,580 | 5,968 27,700 | 4, 9314 |
| Stamiord, Conn. | 28,442 | 2,731 | 2,835 | 32, 2, 218 | 26,304 |  |  |  |  | 23,050 |
| Syracuse, N . Y Y | 11,817 | 16,110 | 16,029 | 12,935 | 12,753 | 12,124 | 16,023 | 14, 269 | 13,226 | 10,815 |
| District No. 3--Philadelphia: Allentow, Pa........... | 5,779 | 6,802 | 6,638 | 5,932 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Altoona, Pa | 3,042 | 2,630 | 3,347 | 3,116 | 2,997 | 3,187 | -2,759 | 3,209 | 3,116 | 2,895 |
| Camdon, N. | 9,046 | 8,344 | 9,932 | 10,670 | 9,180 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chester, Pa. | 3,813 | 4,024 | 4,693 | 4,796 | 3,788 | 3,881 | 3,800 | 4,078 | 4,753 | 3,914 |
| Harrisburg, P | 6,560 | 7,299 | 7,763 | 8,657 | 6,795 | 6,541 | 6,835 | 6, 8 ¢3 | 7,115 | 5,919 |
| Hazleton, Pa | 1,829 | 2,389 4,471 | 2,325 4,791 | 2,255 | 1,716 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lancaster, Pa | 5,110 | 5,040 | 5, 268 | -5,306 | 4,409 | 4, 4,277 | 4, 7691 | 4,962 4,390 | 4,913 4,217 | 4,068 3,966 |
| Lebanon, Pa | 1,288 | 1,351 | 1,358 | 1, 180 | 1, 127 |  |  | 4,300 | 4,217 | 3, 060 |
| Norristown, P | 851 | 755 | 835 | J, 873 | , 772 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Philadelphia, | 321,312 | 288,133 | 324, 151 | 393, 117 | 307,090 | 295,195 | 311, 122 | 289,668 | 312, 007 | 259,427 |
| Reading, Pa. | 7,354 | 7,330 | 7,998 | 7,824 | 7, 185 | 8,486 | 8,630 | , | 8, 1.34 | 6,147 |
| Scranton, Pa | 11, 244 | 12, 133 | 12,920 | 10,614 | 10,662 | 16,096 | 14, 213 | 17,474 | 11, 959 | 17,145 |
| Trenton, N. J'. | 11, 103 | 11, 887 | 11,992 | 12,099 | 11,383 | 10,013 | 9,793 | 10,552 | 14,521 | 10, 254 |
| Wilkes-Barre, Pa | 7,493 | 8,148 | 7,046 | 6,802 | 5,842 | 8,135 | 7,000 | 8,578 | 8,178 | 8,182 |
|  | 4,447 | 4,094 | 4,380 | 4,321 | 3, 164 | 3,529 | 4,089 | 4,295 | 3,925 | 3,388 |
| Wilmington, Del | 6,700 | 8,189 | 8, 098 | 8,062 | 9,649 | 9,651 | 11,019 | 7,132 | 7,378 | 6,362 |
| District No. 4 - Cleveland: | 3,936 | 3,608 | 4,368 | 4,295 | 4,093 | 3,479 | 3,717 | 3,717 | 4,139 | 3,427 |
| Akron, Ohio.. | 14, 717 | 12,278 | 15,932 | 13,294 | 14,673 | 12,970 | 14,700 | 13,662 | 15,216 | 13,683 |
| Butler, Pa.. | 2,249 | 2,710 | 2,812 | 2,186 | 2,093 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canton, Ohio | 7,313 | 8,134 | 9,424 | -9,34+ | 9,062 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cincinnati, Ohio............. | 73, 770 | 65, 820 | 67,345 | 72,222 | 61,711 | 56,149; | 58, 132 | 59,87i | 63, 294 | 55,021 |

DEBITS TO INDIVIDUAL ACCOUNTS BY BANKS IN REPORTING CENTERS-Continued.
DATA FOR EACH REPORTING CENTER-Continued.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | $\stackrel{1922}{\text { Week ending }}$ |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{1921}{\text { Week ending- }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June 28. | July 5. | July 12. | July 19. | July 26. | June 29. | July 6. | July 13. | July 20. | July 27. |
| District No.4-Cleveland-Con. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cleveland, Ohio. | 124,263 35,403 | 148,367 28,877 | 131,172 32,546 | 141,308 31,977 | ${ }_{1}^{129,732}$ | ${ }^{102,2813}$ | 117,554 27,242 | $\begin{gathered} 122,935 \\ 29,242 \\ \hline 25 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 112,857 \\ 31,350 \end{array}$ | 104,438 26,401 |
| Connellssille, Pa | 1,308 | ${ }_{906}$ | 1,121 | 1,178 | 1,202 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dayton, Ohio | 12,082 | 16,354 | 15,932 | 14,071 | 13,035 | 11, 1949 | 13,568 | 12,952 | 13,137 | 12,839 |
| Greesnburg, | 3,726 | $\stackrel{3,721}{6,7}$ | 3,981 | 4,485 | 4, 4,155 | 3,881 | 3,882 | 4,924 | 5,109 | 3,919 |
| Homestead, P |  | 1,044 |  | 797 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lexington, Ky | 3,780 3,006 | $\underset{\substack{5,476 \\ 3,251}}{1}$ | 5, ${ }_{3,680}$ | ${ }_{3,567}^{4,457}$ | 3,689 3,022 | 3,143 | 4,166 | 3,697 | 3,59 | 3,134 |
| Lorain, Ohio. | 1,233 | 1,410 | 1,195 | 1,223 | 1,080 |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Brighton, | ${ }^{1,982}$ | 2,704 | ${ }_{3}^{2,354}$ | 2,196 2,800 | ${ }_{3}^{2,028}$ |  |  | 1,992 | 1934 |  |
| Oil City, ${ }_{\text {Pa }}$ Pa. | 3,071 169,242 | 3,525 177,738 | 3,086 162,563 | 2,800 158,401 | $\begin{array}{r}37 \\ 1675 \\ \hline 15\end{array}$ | 145,776 | 152, ,230 | 139, ${ }^{1} 906$ | 141, 226 | 136, 825 |
| Springfield, Ohi | 3,585 | 5,372 | 4,957 | 4,776 | 4,614 | 3,377 | 4,007 | 4,400 | 4,171 | 3,344 |
| Toledo, Ohio. | $\stackrel{32,026}{3,}$ | $\stackrel{33,926}{278}$ | 39,804 | - 43,869 | 36, 275 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wheeling, W. V | $\stackrel{1}{9,221}$ | $\stackrel{\text { 9, }}{\text { 9, }}$ | $\stackrel{2,412}{8,724}$ | $\stackrel{9}{3,512}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ren }}{ }$ | 7,544 | 7,273 | 7,300 | 6,52i |  |
| Youngstown, ob | 11,292 | 24,081 | 13,817 | 12,302 | 13,955 | 10,670 | 12,423 | 11,492 | 9,845 | 9,816 |
| District No. ${ }^{5}$ - Richmond: |  |  | 2,724 |  | 2,4*3 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Baltimore, Md. | 88,225 4,330 | 80,876 6,199 | 92,514 |  | 76,267 6,111 | 93899 | $\begin{gathered} 99,260 \\ 7,019 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 101,330 \\ 6,742 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 94,092 \\ 5,220 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 87,814 \\ 5,149 \end{array}$ |
| Charleston, $\mathbf{W}$. . | ${ }_{6}^{6}, 809$ | 6,550 | 7,308 | 8,014 | 7,266 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charlotte, N. C. | 6, 267 | 7,877 | 7,281 | 6,880 | 8,387 | 5,7000 | 5,865 | 4,994 | 4, ${ }^{\text {a }} 5$ | 4,433 |
| Columbia, S. C. | +1, ${ }^{4,155}$ | 5,151 <br> 2,156 | 4,100 <br> 217 | 4,073 2 | 3, | 5,630 | 4,300 | 4,985 | 4,633 | 3,713 |
| Danville, Va. | 1,615 | 1,492 | 2,192 | 1,719 | 1,498 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Durham, N. C | 4,211 | ${ }_{3}^{3,921}$ | ${ }_{3}^{4,526}$ | 4,176 | 5,741 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grecnsboro, N. | $\xrightarrow{3,242} \begin{aligned} & 2,906\end{aligned}$ | - | 3,714 3,790 | ${ }_{3,317}^{4,293}$ | 3, ${ }_{3}^{3,104}$ | 2,543 | 3,640 | 2,574 | 2,472 | 2,390 |
| Hagerstown, Md. | 1,829 | 2,326 | 2,360 | 2,008 | 1,710 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Huntington, W. Va | 4,842 | ${ }^{4,903}$ | 4,742 | 4,661 | ${ }_{3}^{4,711}$ | 4,353 | 4,540 | 4,960 | 4,995 | 4,229 |
| Lynchburt News, | 3,796 1,820 | - ${ }_{2,024}$ | $\stackrel{5}{2,183}$ | ${ }_{1}^{4,596}$ | ${ }_{1} 14045$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Norfolk, Va. | 15,230 | 15, 294 | 16, (i42 | 17,096 | 12,774 | i2, i3i | 13,888 | 10̆,147 | 13,799 | \% 2 |
| Raleigh, N. O | 3,900 | 3,700 | 3,800 | 8,000 | 4,100 | 4,400 | 4,350 | 4,100 |  |  |
| Richmond, Va | 20,848 | 27, 044 | 25, 318 | $\begin{array}{r}24,809 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 23,24 4 4 4 | 21,766 | 26,505 | 23,087 | 24, 259 | 19,036 |
| Spartanburg, S . C . | 2,009 | 2,232 | 2,082 | 2,066 | 1,781 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Washington, D. C. | 40,021 | 42,927 | 47, 434 | 43, 480 | 37,909 | 41,548 | 41,357 | 37,830 | 37,621 | 30, 443 |
| Wilmington, N. C. | 3,033 5,080 | 3,899 6,386 | 5,248 5,245 | - ${ }_{5}^{4,164}$ | $\stackrel{4}{4,471}$ | 4,640 |  | 5,547 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Atlanta, Ga. | 21,627 4789 | $\begin{array}{r}27,927 \\ 4,438 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 55, 5394 | 20, 5 587 | 21,538 4,840 | 4, 4 ,007 | ${ }_{\text {2 }}^{24,308}$ | ${ }_{5}^{23,326}$ | ${ }_{\text {2 }}{ }^{23,116}$ | 20, 4,408 |
| Birringham, ${ }^{\text {Bra }}$ | 17,093 | 18, 1800 | 17,520 | 16, ${ }_{540}$ | 15, 599 | 11,991 | 14,552 | 10,696 | 12,025 | 10,595 |
| Brunswick, ${ }_{\text {Chattanoga, }}$ | 6,471 | 6,864 | 6,754 | 6,483 | 6,174 | 6,839 | 7,906 | 8,22 | 8,20 | 6,460 |
| Columbus, Ga | 1,861 | 2,405 | 2,684 | 2, 148 | 2, 026 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cordele, Ga... | 154 <br> 366 | 149 502 | $\begin{array}{r}216 \\ -\quad 450 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 198 506 | 146 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elberton, Ga. | ${ }_{121}$ | 183 | - ${ }_{212}$ | ${ }_{136}$ | 155 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jackson, Miss | 2,172 | 2,171 | 2,967 | 2,331 | 1,635 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jacksonville, Fla | 9,510 | 10, 861 | 10,022 | 10,713 | 8,905 | 8,804 | 9,788 | 9,965 | 9,954 | 7,887 |
| Knoxville, Tce | 6,910 <br> 3,910 | $\xrightarrow{6,925} 4$ | 5,928 <br> 4,634 |  | - ${ }_{4}^{4,505}$ | ${ }_{3,731}^{4,495}$ | ${ }_{4,166}^{6,197}$ | 3,997 | $\stackrel{6}{6,729}$ | - ${ }_{\text {3, }}^{\text {3, }} \mathbf{i 1 9}$ |
| Meridian, Mis | 1,580 | 1,695 | 1,918 | 1,788 | 1,655 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobile, Ala. | 5,265 | 6,187 | 5,677 | 5,601 | 5,349 | 5,097 | 5,709 | 5,820 | ${ }^{5,733}$ | 4,702 |
| Mastgomery, | 3,081 14,170 | 3,185 16,572 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 3, } \\ \mathbf{1 5 , 8 4 3} \\ \hline 184\end{array}$ | 3,299 15,465 | 2,844 13,096 | 2, 62 23,844 | 2,490 24,992 |  | -2,547 | 26, 221 |
| Newnan, Ga. |  | ${ }^{706}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Orleans, | 60,549 | ${ }^{62,376}$ | ${ }^{62,021}$ | 59, 883 | ${ }^{56,219}$, | 48, ${ }^{2} 24$ | 56, |  |  | $\xrightarrow{30,027} 1$ |
| Pousacola, tha. | 8, ${ }_{8,604}$ | 9,996 | ${ }_{9}^{1,758}$ | 7,943 | ${ }_{8,222}^{1,268}$ | 8,172 | $\begin{array}{r}10,429 \\ \hline 10\end{array}$ | 8,761 | 9,186 | 8,453 |
| Tampa, Fl | 4,400 | 5,186 | 5,222 | 5,174 | 6, 687 | 4,237 | 4,908 | 4,976 | 5,110 | 4,238 |
| Valdosta, Ga | 827 1,069 | 1, 917 | 1,239 | 1,163 | 1,272 | 1,046 | 1,310 | 1,233 | 1,188 | 939 |
| District No. 7 -Chicag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adrian, Mich. | ${ }_{2}{ }_{629} 59$ | $\begin{array}{r}746 \\ 2555 \\ \hline 25\end{array}$ | 750 2992 | $\begin{array}{r}2,605 \\ \hline 20\end{array}$ | ${ }_{2,531}^{531}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bay City, Mich | 2,098 | 2,231 | 2,495 | 2,166 | 2,162 | 2,027 | 2,224 | 2,624 | 2,292 | 2,044 |
| Bloomington, III. | [1,916 | 2,210 5,780 | 8,285 | 2,484 |  |  | 9,613 | 2,074 10,347 | ${ }_{9}^{2,465}$ | ${ }_{8,253}^{2,054}$ |
| Cedar Rapids, Iowa | - 583,940 | 575, ${ }^{\text {, }}$, 110 |  | 611,936 | 55j, 001 ! | 523,419 | 544, 121 | 548,414 | 586,027 | 546,417 |
| Danville, 111 | 2,400 | 3,100 | 3,300 | 2,900 | ${ }_{8}^{2,100}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Davenport, ${ }_{\text {Decatur }}$ | $\xrightarrow{2,996}$ | ${ }_{3} 9,080$ | 3,498 | ${ }_{3}^{8,301}$ | 3,380 : | 2,550 | 2,673 | 3,035 | 3,996 | 3,436 |
| Des Moines, Io | 15,694 | 15,328 | 17,362 | 13, ${ }^{1391}$ | 14,675 | 14,396 | 13,391 | 15,386 | 15,787 | 14, 237 |
| Detroit, Mich. | 116,981 | 127,100 | 130,381 | 139, 130 | 141, 881 | - | 10, ${ }^{1} 800$ | 160, 515 | 122,774 |  |
| Dubuque, Iowa | 5,914 | 5,302 | 5 5,16 | 5,575 | 5,040 | 4, ${ }^{2} 876$ | 5,639 | 5,074 | 7,465 | 4,994 |

## DEBITS TO INDIVIDUAL ACCOUNTS BY BANKS IN REPORTING CENTERS-Continued.

DATA FOR EACH REPORTING CENTER-Continued.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | 1922Week ending- |  |  |  |  | $\frac{1921}{\text { Week ending- }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June 28. | July 5. | July 12. | July 19. | July 26. | June 29. | July 6. | July 13. | July 20. | July 27. |
| District No. 7-Chicago-Con. Fort Wayne, Ind | 6,829 |  |  | 7,151 |  | 6,159 | 7,279 |  |  | 5,839 |
| Gary, Ind....... | 2,916 | 3,218 | 2,743 | 3,308 | 2,479 | 6,159 | 7,279 | 6,800 | 6,567 | 5,839 |
| Grand Rapids, M | 13,406 | 12,682 | 14,372 | 15,133 | 13,479 | 17,063 | 17,300 | 14,868 | 17,273 | 17,310 |
| Hammond, Ind |  | 3,278 32,922 | 3, ${ }^{\text {3, }} \mathbf{3}$ | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 3,160 } \\ 36,379 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 31,100 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Indianapolis, In | 32,876 | 32,922 4,120 | 35, 863 | 36,379 4,323 | 31, 336 | $\begin{array}{r}31,676 \\ 4 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 32,244 | 34,221 | 43,381 | 31,544 4,250 |
| Kalamazoo, Mic | 4,270 | 3,888 | 4,203 | 4,416 | 3, 876 | 3,279 | 3, 807 | 3,650 | 3,929 | 3,471 |
| Lansing, Mich. | 6, 524 | 6,735 | 6,507 | 6,264 | 5,695 | 4,580 | 6, 100 | 4,509 | 5,079 | 4,567 |
| Mason City, Iow | 2,256 | 2,139 | 2,329 | 2,023 | 1,864 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Milwaukee, Wis | 49,329 | 59, 326 | 57,927 | 56,546 | 57,040 | 45,307 | 50,956 | 50,688 | 54,421 | 46,834 |
| Moline, Ill. | 1, 387 | 1,969 | 3,129 | 2,569 | 1,680 | 1,405 | 1,948 | 1,673 | 1,938 | 1,462 |
| Muscatine, Iowa |  | 1,108 | 1,386 | 1, 170 | 1,018 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oshkrosh, Wis Peoria, Ill | 2,100 | 2, 300 | 1,900 | 2,500 | 1,900 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peoria, Ill | 7,864 | 8,175 | 8,770 $\mathbf{5}, 190$ | 8,655 | 7,994 | 7,143 | 7,948 | 7,969 4,994 | 8, ${ }^{8}, 103$ | 6,670 4,520 |
| Sioux City, Low | 15,383 | 13,762 | 16,612 | 16, 433 | 14, 549 | 9,384 | 8,162 | 9,062 | 8,053 | 7,820 |
| South Bend, In | 6,264 | 8,216 | 8, 802 | 8,055 | 6,093 | 5,705 | 5,372 | 6,707 | 5,131 | 5,288 |
| Springfield, Ill . | 4,639 | 7,415 | 6,317 | 5, 761 | 5,054 | 4,697 | 6,957 | 6,193 | 6,071 | 5,779 |
| Waterloo, Lowa. | 3,142 | 3,734 | 3,573 | 3,535 | 2,947 | 2,749 | 2,769 | 3,156 | 2,729 | 2,348 |
| District No. 8-St. Louis: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| East St. Louis and National Stock Yards, Ill. | 9,648 | 8,349 | 10,704 | 8,896 | 8,094 | 8,356 | 6,971 | 8,816 | 8,462 | 8,248 |
| Evansville, Ind............... | 7,398 | 9,100 | 8,542 | 7,221 | 5,541 | 4,811 | 5,694 | 6,832 | 5,037 | 4,885 |
| Fort Smith, Ark | 2,473 | 2,351 | 2,302 | 2,124 | 2,248 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Greenville, Miss. | ${ }_{705}^{601}$ | 674 | 1,226 | 699 | 490 |  |  |  |  |  |
| IIelena, Ark. | 795 | 878 | 939 | 1,040 | 1,008 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Little Rock, Ar | 8,475 | 8,048 | 8,251 | 8,416 | 7,129 | 8,259 | 7,337 | 9,194 | 8,063 | 7,710 |
| Louisville, Ky. | 32,394 | 34, 511 | 33,543 | 32,101 | 28,697 | 28, 821 | 29,307 | 31,397 | 28,698 | 25, 330 |
| Memphis, 'Tenn | 22,044 | 24,295 | 23, 860 | 23,057 | 19,603 | 17,401 | 19,887 | 16,915 | 22,471 | 16, 158 |
| Owensboro, Ky Quincy, Ill | 991 | 1,097 | 1,633 | 1,244 | 1,069 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quiney, Il. St. | 1,984 | 2,030 | 2, ${ }^{2}$, 323 | $\stackrel{2}{2,220}$ | 1,906 | 1,789 | 2,093 | 2,286 | 2,628 | 2, 016 |
| St. Louis, Mo- Springield, Mo. | 122,239 2,431 | 150,414 2,851 | 141,666 3,036 | 143,884 2,934 | 120,426 2,537 | 13,397 2,324 | 129,196 2,875 | 129,121 2,638 | 135,318 2,498 | 16,855 2,346 |
| District No. 9 Minneapolis: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aberdeen, S. Dak.......... | 1,087 | 1,229 | 1,537 | 1,339 | 1,265 | 1,229 | 1,167 | 1,536 | 1,397 | 1,024 |
| Billings, Mont. ${ }_{\text {Dickinson }}$ | 1,802 | 1,767 | 1,973 | 1.824 | 1,383 | 1,486 | 1,667 | 1,854 | 1,574 | 1,573 |
| Dickinson, N. Dak.......... | 14, 193 | 15, ${ }^{288}$ | 15,977 | 15,756 | 14,537 | 16,301 | 15,49x | 17, 559 | 15,381 | 13,780 |
| Fargo N. Dak.............. | 1,993 | 2,861 | 2,930 | 3,029 | 2,291 | 2,133 | 2,654 | 3, 041 | 2,466 | 2,387 |
| Grand Forks, N. D | 948 | 1,397 | 1,392 | 1,303 | 1,153 | 905 | 1,146 | 1,216 | 1,219 | 1,034 |
| Great Falls, Mont | 1,554 | 1,487 | 1,498 | 1,346 | 1,314 | 1,514 | 1,352 | 1,526 | 1,636 | 1,568 |
| Helena, Mont. | 1,903 | 2,002 | 2,601 | 2,088 | 2,093 | 2,136 | 2,657 | 2,481 | 2,287 | 1,845 |
| Jamestown, N. Dak......... | 690 | -372 | 537 | 418 | 410 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mewistown, Mont............ | 60,012 | r 62,664 | 69,430 | 64,188 | 62,616 | 58,837 | 60,946 | 65,153 | 64,686 | 58,582 |
| Mrinot, N. Dak................ | -594 | ${ }^{9} 988$ | -829 | 858 | 816 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Redwing, Minn............... | 486 | $60 \overline{7}$ | 557 | 492 | 354 |  |  |  |  |  |
| St. Paul, Minn. .............. | 37,555 | 33,275 | 39,968 | 37,677 | 37,623 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Do.: | i 32, 330 | ${ }^{1} 27,366$ | ${ }^{1} 33,254$ | ${ }^{1} 31,691$ | 132,860 | 26,693 | 20,069 | 27,682 | 27,533 | 31,227 |
| Sioux Flalls, S. Da | 2,502 | 3,750 | 4,082 |  | 3,340 | 4,587 | 4,602 |  |  | 3,728 |
| Superior, Wis................ | 1,641 | 1,702 | 1,638 | 1,851 | 1,406 | 1,794 | 1,797 | 2,007 | 1,863 | 1,996 |
| Winona, Minn.............. | 804 | 1,324 | 1,297 | 955 | 906 | 876 | 1,227 | 1,405 | 1,173 | 792 |
| Atchison, Kans............. | 1,121 | 1,116 | 1,216 | 1,279 | 1,047 | 1,104 | 970 | 1,204 | 1,558 | 1,126 |
| 13artlesville, Okla............. | 3,007 | 3,072 | 2,587 | 2,519 | 2,186 | 1,965 | 2,219 | 2,073 | 2,645 | 1,812 |
| Casper, Wyo. | 3,428 | 3,413 | 3,150 | 2,915 | 3,222 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cheyenne, Wyo...... | 1,973 | 1,721 | 2, 007 | 2,288 | 2,230: | 1,920 |  | 2,132 | 1,746 | 1,382 |
| Colorado Springs, Colo | 2, 279 33,324 | 2,922 34,676 | 3,307 38,608 3, | 2,713 34,229 | 2,622 | 2,269 32,657 | 2,316 <br> 32,115 | 2,719 31,020 | 1,768 30,838 | 2,645 30,216 |
| Enid, Ókla.. | 2,420 | 34,676 2,986 | 3,115 | $\stackrel{34,421}{ }$ | 34,016 <br> 3 |  |  |  | 30,838 | 30,216 |
| Fremont, Nebr. | 621 | 772 | 843 | 715 | 739 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grand Island, Nebr......... | 1,199 | 1, 1.52 | 1,216 | 1,264 i | 1,118 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grand Junction, Colo. | 511 | 377 | ${ }_{603}^{653}$ | 462 | 263 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Guthrie, Okla. | 579 | 565 | 607 | 612 | 471 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hutchinson, Kans... | 2,610 | 2,674 | 3,804 | 3,364 | 3,716 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Independence, ત゙ans. | 1,803 | 2,439 | 1,913 | 2,437 | 1,708 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Joplin, Mo.......... | 2,734 <br> 4,826 | 2,636 | -2,844 | $\stackrel{2,477}{5,128}$ | 2, 248 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,750 \\ & 3,582 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,673 \\ & 3,339 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,042 \\ & 3,012 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,028 \\ & 3,719 \end{aligned}$ | 1,681 2,896 |
| Eansas City Mo.. | 71,9.18 | 71,149 | 70,297 | 71,501 | 66,630 | 60,689 | 63,567 | 72,010 | 76,533 | 74,037 |
| Lawrence, Kans. | 1,016 | 1,001 | 1,043 | 847 | 818 |  |  |  |  |  |
| McAlester, Okla. | , 699 | 803 | 921 | 769 | 683 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Muskogee, Okla. | 5,947 | 4,815 | 5,964 | 6,160 | 6,275 | 3,050 | 3,825 | 3,202 | 2,859 | 2,823 |
| Oklahoma City, Okla | 16,086 | 20,533 | 21,149 | 20,938 | 18,097 | 16,465 | 21,058 | 20,570 | 19,466 | 17,763 |
| Omaha, Nebr. | 44,302 | 43, 183 | 48,152 | 46,503 | 43,520 | 38,0995 | 38,052 | 41,316 | 44,663 | 45,073 |
| Parsons, | 860 | 1916 | 1,001 | 880 | 968 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pittsburg, Kans............. | 1,014 | 1,049 | 1,211 | 1,081 | 971 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pueblo, colo................. | $\stackrel{3,417}{9,931}$ | - 13,107 | -4,512 | 4,201 | 3,602 12,401 | 2,788 | $\begin{array}{r} 4,482 \\ 13,501 \end{array}$ | -16,492 | 3,790 16,971 | 17,372 |

[^16]DEBITS TO INDIVIDUAL ACCOUNTS BY BANKS IN REPORTING CENTERS-Continued.
DATA FOR EACH REPORTING CENTER-Continued.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | $\begin{gathered} 1922 \\ \text { Weck ending- } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1921 \\ & \text { Week ending- } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June 28. | Juiy 5. | July 12. | July 19. | July 26. | June 29. | July 6. | July 13. | July 20. | July 27. |
| District No. 10-Kansas CityContinued. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Topeka, Kons. | 2,849 | 3,249 | 4,163 | 3,381 | 3,046 | 2,620 | 3,985 | 4,495 | 3,409 | 2,773 |
| Tulsa, Okla | 26,531 | 22,591 | 27,602 | 24,831 | 22,394 | [8,669 | 17,378 | 16,954 | 15,134 | 14,954 |
| Wichita, Xans. | 10,277 | 12,736 | 12,426 | 11,804 | 10,114 | 8,648 | 10, 222 | 12,466 | 13,535 | 11,713 |
| District No.11-Dallas: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Austin, Tex.......... | 2,472 | 2,997 | 3,485 | 2,407 | 2,326 | 2,268 | 2,541 | 3,265 | 2,271 | 2,163 |
| Beaumont, Tex | 2,612 | 3,110 | 3,610 | 3,363 | 2,907 | 2,649 | 2,908 | 3,350 | 3,133 | 2,482 |
| Corsicana, Tex | 762 | 856 | 1,016 | 773 | 575 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dallas, Tex. | 29, 243 | 30,017 | 31,258 | 32,104 | 27,391 | 27,799 | 27, 119 | 27,607 | 33,960 | 25, 022 |
| Fil Paso, Tex | 6, 528 | 7,768 | 8,313 | 6,871 | 6,863 | 7,405 | 7,750 | 7,093 | 6,343 | 5, 695 |
| Fort Worth, Te | 21,486 | 20,970 | 2L, 876 | 19,795 | 21,170 | 19,941 | 18,420 | 18,920 | 20,110 | 21,420 |
| Galveston, Tex | 11,991 | 14,251 | 14,542 | 17,574 | 12,896 | 15,980 | 16,344 | 19,222 | 20, 963 | 17,474 |
| Houston, Tex | 19, 848 | 23,450 | 21, 458 | 21,872 | 20,998 | 25,170 | 24, 342 | 28,045 | 21,258 | 20,500 |
| Rosewell, N. Mex | 465 |  | ${ }^{385}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| San Antonio, Tex | 4,901 | 4,801 | 6,930 | 7,008 | 5,430 | 7,306 | 5,646 | 6, 875 | 6,401 | 5,559 |
| Shreveport, La. | 7,205 | 7,420 | 7,261 | 7,652 | 6,722 | - ${ }^{\text {a }}$, 684 | 6,034 | 5,957 | -5,53 | -3,299 |
| Texarkana, Tez | 1,469 | 1,571 | 1,742 | 1,811 | 1,508 | 1,140 | 1. 580 | 1,182 | 1,515 | 975 |
| Tueson, Ariz | 1,767 | 1,767 | 1,824 | ],705 | 1,649 | 1,782 | 1,089 | 2,038 | 1,852 | 1,462 |
| Waco, 'Tex. | 2,701 | 3,368 | 3,960 | 2,752 | 2,437 | 2,704 | 3,322 | 3,093 | 3,160 | 2,785 |
| District No. 12-San Trancisco: Bakersfield Calir | 2,974 | 2,493 | 2,602 | 2,408 | 2,245 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bellingham, Wash............ | 1,631 | 1,603 | 1,497 | 1,848 | 1,587 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Berkeler, Calif. | 3,033 | 3,581 | 4,186 | 3,918 | 2,793 | 2,325 | 2,774 | 3,234 | 2,970 | 2,391 |
| Boise, Idaho... | 3,267 | 3,034 | 3,229 | 2,731 | 2,526 | 2,675 | 2,388 | 3,207 | 3,034 | 2,705 |
| Eugene, Oreg | 1,786 | 1,518 | 2,181 | 2,141 | 1,862 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fresno, Calif. | 8,156 | 9,200 | 10,057 | 9,915 | $8,58.4$ | 6,865 | 7,971 | 9,170 | 8,300 | 7, 599 |
| Loug Beach, Cal | 6, 363 | 8,537 | 9,151 | 9,70.4 | 8,254 | 4,924 | 6,441 | 6,042 | 5, 866 | 5, 137 |
| Los Angeles, Cal | 115, 046 | 106, 408 | 123,252 | 120,451 | 108, 231 | 92,355 | 98, 610 | 104,876 | 99,727 | 93,122 |
| Oakland, Calif. | 17,218 | 18,955 | 23,093 | 19,703 | 17,146 | 16,629 | 19,942 | 18,682 | 18,109 | 16,111 |
| Orden, Utah | 4, 168 | 3,501 | 4,348 | 3,973 | 3,270 | 2,731 | 2,908 | 3,208 | 3,088 | 1.938 |
| Pasadena, Calid | 3,206 | 5, 616 | 6,983 | 6,019 | $5,0 \overline{3}$ | 4,390 | 5,337 | 5,303 | 5,195 | 3,965 |
| Phoenix, Ariz. | 4,34.4 | 4,513 | 3,884 | 4, 142 | 3,564 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Portland, Oreg. | 30,501 2,446 | 32,495 2,991 | 32,969 2,713 | 32,171 2,625 | 28,010 2,569 | 29,523 2,718 | 30,702 3,517 | 31,650 3,084 | 29,508 2,170 | 28,179 2,323 |
| Reno, Ner Ritzrille, Wash | 2,446 | 2,991 | 2,713 | 2,625 | 2,569 | 2,718 | 3,517 | 3,084 | 2,170 | 2,323 |
| Sacramento, Calif | 12,327 | 12,611 | 15, 739 | 15,266 | 12,790 | i1, 231 | i1,275 | 13,705 | 12,789 | 11,810 |
| Salt Lake City, Utah | 12,224 | 13, 443 | 13,728 | 13,489 | 11., 305 | 11,468 | 13, 514 | 13, 937 | 13,181 | 11,357 |
| San Bernardino, Calif | 1,400 | 1,275 | 1,914 | 1,528 | 1,334 |  |  |  |  |  |
| San Diego, Calif. | 9,340 | 9,804 | 10,680 | 9, 390 | 7,970 | 7,271 | 8,490 | 9,203 | 7,841 | 6,636 |
| San Francisco, Calif | 153,783 | 158,885 | 171,043 | 170, 191 | 148,682 | 138,310 | 158,645 | 169,216 | 126,400 | 152,789 |
| San Jose, Calif. | 4,016 | 5,093 | 5, 966 | 4,610 | 3,922 | 3,517 | 5, 012 | 5,039 | 4, 169 | 3,910 |
| Scatile, Wash. | 31, 818 | 25,688 | 35, 145 | 31, 229 | 32,937 | 27,989 | 20, 335 | 33,727 | 31, 577 | 26,763 |
| Spokane, Wash | 9,210 | 8,967 | 9,968 | 11, 116 | 8,999 | 8,98.1 | 10,961 | 11,259 | 10,357 | 9,000 |
| Stockton, Calif. | 4,321 | 4,539 | 5, 870 | 5,149 | 4,150 | 3,642 | 4,405 | 4, 890 | 4,156 | 3,965 |
| Tacoma, Wash | 7,841. | 8,269 2,169 | 10,079 2,461 | 8,995 2,467 | 8,257 2,007 | 6,611 1,951 | $\mathbf{9}, 373$ 1,995 | 8,744 2,166 | 8,637 1,920 | 6,719 1,643 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## GOLD SETTLEMENT FUND.

INTERBANK TRANSACTIONS FROM JUNE 23, 1922, TO JULY 27, 1922, INCLUSIVE.
[In thousands of dollars.]

| Federal reserve bank. | Translers. |  | Daily settlements. |  | Changes in ownership of gold through transfers and settlements. |  | Balance : in fund at close ${ }^{2}$ period. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Debits. | Credits. | Debits. | Credits. | Decrease. | Increase. |  |
| Boston. | 5,000 | 2,000 | 530,313 | 544,042 |  | 10,729 | 32,629 |
| New York. | 7,000 | 10,000 | 2,113,326 | 2,084,473 | 25,803 |  | 86,324 |
| Philadelphia | 8,000 | ........ | 660,541 581,060 | -657, 257 | 11,284 | 2-202 | 34,056 47,965 |
| Richmond. | 2,000 | 3,000 | 432, 048 | 436, 246 |  | 5,198 | 40, 475 |
| Atlanta. |  |  | 208, 207 | 207,026 | 1,181 |  | 20,923 |
| Chicago.. | 5,000 | 6,000 | 1,108, 768 | 1, 143, 975 |  | 36,207 | 134,632 |
| St. Louis.-. |  | 6,000 | 484,871 <br> 146 | 163,785 148,789 | 15,086 |  | 11,443 |
| Kansas City | 4,000 | 2,000 | 380, 501 | 386, 735 |  | 4,234 | 28,665 31,948 |
| Dallas.... |  |  | 181,611 | 175, 296 | 6,315 |  | 31,948 4,51 |
| San Francisco |  | 6,000 | 285, 121 | 277,993 | 1,128 |  | 30,676 |
| Total five weeks endingJuly 27, 1922. | 35,000 | 35, 000 | 7,112,039 | 7,112,939 | 60,847 | 60,847 | 504,307 |
| June 22, 1922. | 136,000 | 136,000 | 7,296,400 | 7,296,400 |  |  | 529,732 |
| July 28, 1921. | 380, 084 | 380, 084 | 5,765,340 | 5,765,340 |  |  | 421, 776 |
| June 23, 1921 | 413,598 | 413,598 | 6,005,904 | 6, 005,904 |  |  | 403, 621 |

## GOLD AND SILVER IMPORTS AND EXPORTS.

IMPORTS INTO AND EXPORTS FROM THE UNITED STATES, DISTRIBUTED BY COUNTRIES.


# FEDERAL RESERVE CLEARING SYSTEM. 

OPERATIONS DURING JUNE, 1922.
[Numbers in thousands. Amounts in thousands of dollars.]


## MONEY IN CIRCULATION JULY 1, 1922.

The table printed below showing moncy in circulation presents figures comparable with those previously printed under the title "Money held outside the United States Treasury and the Federal reserve system." This change in title is occasioned by the fact that the United States Treasury revised its circulation statement beginning with the issuc for July 1, 1922. In commenting on this change the Treasury says:
On the new form of statement only money outside of the Treasury and the Federal reserve banks is included in circulation. In previous circulation statements money
held by the Federal reserve banks (other than money held by or for Federal reserve agents, and Federal reserve notes held by the issuing banks in their own vaults) was included in the amount in circulation. Under that method of computing money in circulation transfers of gold between a Federal reserve bank and a Federal reserve agent resulted in an apparent change in the amount of money in circulation, and there are instances where the circulation statement owing to such transfers showed a decline in money in circulation when, as a matter of fact, there had been an actual increase. and an increase when in lact there had been a decrease. The new form shows a per capita circulation on July 1, 1922, of $\$ 39.87$, whercas under the form of statement heretofore used, it would have been \$49.17.
[Source: United States Treasury Department circulation statement.]


1 Does not include gold bullion or foreign coin ontside of vaults of the Treasury, Foderal reserve banks, and Federal reserve agents.
2 These amonnts are not included in the total, since tho monoy held in trust against gold and silver certificates and 'reasury notes of 1800 is included under gold coin and bullion and standard silver dollars, respeciively.

3 Includes gold held in trust against gold certificates and standard silver dollars held in trust against silver certificates and frea sury notes of 1890, the aggregate of which should be deducted from the sum of money held by the linited states Treasury and the Federal ieserve system and money in cirenlation to arrive at the stock of money in the Cnited states. The amomets of such gold and silver held in trust as of the date of this statement are shown in parentheses in the first colimm.

## DISCOUNT RATES OF FEDERAL RESERVE BANKS IN EFFECT AUGUST 1, 1922.

| Federal reserve bank. | Paper maturing within 90 days. |  |  |  | Bankers' acceptances maturing within 3 months. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Secured by- |  | Trade acceptances. | Commercial, agricultural, and live-stock paper, n.e.s. |  | ! Agricultural paner |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Treasury notes } \\ \text { certind } \\ \text { indebtednes ofs. } \end{array}$ | Liberty bonds and Victory notes. |  |  |  | after 90 days, but within 6 months. |
| Boston. | 4 | 4 | 4. | 4 |  | 4 |
| New York | 4 | 4 ! | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Philadelphia. | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| Cleveland. | 42 | - $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| Richmond. | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ : | 41 | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| Atlanta... | 41 | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| Chicago. | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ : | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| St. Louis. | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| Minneapolis. | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Kansas City. | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Dallas.... | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | 41 |
| San Francisco. | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Earnings and expenses of each federal reserve bank for the six months ending june 30, 1922.
EARNINGS.


CURRENT EXPENSES.

| Salaries: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clerical staff | 7,337,209 | 474, 131 | 1, 836,419 | 409, 162 | [449,871 | 385,305 | 254,897 | 987, 784 | 436, 180 | 236,977 | 514,089 | 350,772 | 811, 622 |
| All other | 1,039,478 | 68,306 | 224, 907 | 69, 177 | 104, 658 | 60, 092 | 34, 1:3 | 178,728 | 32, 100 | 19,803 | 79,805 | 68, 554 | 99, 155 |
| Governors' conferen | 2,647 | 173 | 222 | ${ }^{6}$ | 216 | 44 | 209 | 70 | 159 | 202 | 302 | 261 | 728 |
| Federal reserve agents' con | 717 | 197 |  | 40 | 88 |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 308 |
| Federal Advisory Council. | 5,012 | 250 | 250 | 374 | 516 | 444 | 380 | 623 | 542 | 710 | 390 | 250 | 283 |
| Directors' meetings. | 76, 583 | 3,648 | 11,465 | 3,074 | 4,511 | 2,941 | 11,215 | 4,341 | 6,289 | 6,043 | 14,046 | 3,419 | 5,391 |
| Traveling expenses | 153, 517 | 3,356 | 14,909 | 9,249 | 10,625 | 12,992 | 10,549 | 22,479 | 11,073 | 14,982 | 9,066 | 14, 597 | 17,610 |
| Assessment for Federal Reserve Board expenses. | 331, 471 | 25,395 | 90, 803 | 27,749 | 80, 119 | 17,117 | 13,835 | 45,066 | 14, 531 | 11, 479 | 14,819 | 12,061 | 23,477 |
| Legal fees. | 40, 185 | 766 | 3,482 |  |  | 11,900 | 8,150 | 1,365 | 2,816 | 6,002 | 2,486 | 512 | 1,805 |
| Insurance (life, fidelity, casualiy, workmen's compensation and general liabiliíy). | 265, 588 | 16,791 | 42,772 | 37,616 | 8,902 | 15,986 | 16,094 | 49,406 | 24,037 | 12,053 | 14,966 | 11,236 | 15,729 |
| Banking house: Taxes. . |  |  | 14,592 | 10,729 | 17,44i |  | 5,400 |  | 1,222 | 810 | 7,279 | 15,979 |  |
| Light, heat, and power | 91, 842 | 13,957 | 10,184 | 18,061 | 4,235 | 6,815 | 5,353 |  | 1,671 | 575 | 15,960 | 11,590 | 3,441 |
| Repairs and alterations (not of permanent character) | 48,420 | 22 | 732 | 11,139 | 3,609 | 11,265 | 200 |  | 14 | 1,237 | 18,099 | 1,428 | 685 |
| All other. | 17,273 | 360 | 2,265 |  | 0,938 | 727 | 761 |  | 102 | 10 | 3,110 |  |  |
| Rent........ | 589, 106 | 37,995 | 180,319 | 749 | 83, 254 | 2,496 | 12, 336 | 150,117 | 33,920 | 21,509 | 8, 868 | 3,003 | 47,340 |
| Fire insurance-furniture and equipment | 5,784 |  | 173 | 17 | 482 | 241 | 149 | 1,791. | 481 | ${ }^{23}$ | 735 | 410 | 897 |
| Office and other supplies............... | 230, 300 | 17,111 | 27,505 | 18,458 | 21,126 | 17,060 | 8,262 | 42,39.1 | 10,296 | 12,595 | 19,403 | 17,148 | 24,945 |
| Printing and stationery | 413, 132 | 44,390 | 44,932 | 29,904 | 34,611 | 24, 601 | 17, 747 | 65,545 | 19,385 | 23, 829 | 28,898 | 28,360 | 52,780 |
| Telephone | 106, 471 | 11, 127 | 25,009 | 11,778 | ¢,327 | 1,058 | 2, 521 | 16,352 | 4, 217 | 3,994 | 5,329 | 6,255 | 8,444 |
| Telegraph................ | 298, 228 | 4,567 | 34, 657 | 8,886 | 19,519 | 16,101 | 31, 264 | 31,538 | 21,744 | 11,205 | 38, 549 | 32,418 | 47,780 |
| Postage (other than on money and security shipraents) | 535,031 | 39,082 | 61,627 | 37,411 | 30,112 | 43,652 | 28,053 | 68,465 | 45, 151 | 38,539 | 59,221 | 34,716 | 40,002 |
| Expressage (other than on money and security shipments) | 23,639 | 1,743 | 2,141 | 1,979 | 1,326 | 1,418 | 973 | 1,824 | 1,497 | 425 | 2,026 | 1,844 | 5,843 |
| Security shipments | 74,087 | 6,737 | 20, 875 | 3,633 | 8,853 | 3,949 | 1,816 | 3,853 | 4,368 | 2, 393 | 7,089 | 2,249 | 8,270 |
| Curreney and coin shipment | 463, 896 | 59,801 | 97, 162 | 59, 406 | 44, 499 | 24, 627 | 25,736 | 55, 757 | 18,414 | 9,841 | 17,381 | 24,986 | 26, 286 |
| Federal Reserve currency: <br> Original cost, including shipping charges.... Cosit of redemption, including shipping | 803,347 | 6,228 | 325,379 | 76,894 | 77,099 | 37, 162 | 43,111 | 59,604 | 4,400 | 26,363 | 35, 443 | 3,664 | 108,000 |
| charges................................ | 267,243 | 30,465 | 52,654 | 36,259 | 28,955 | 16,385 | 19,953 | 9,239 | 5,331 | 13,349 | 22, 190 | 10, 1979 | 22, 266 |
| Taxes on rederal reserve bank note circulation.. <br> All other expenses. | 190, 3830 | 17,723 | 45, 623 | 22,278 | 13,971 | 17,854 | 14,807 14,915 | 19,704 63,663 | -9, 100 | -9,190 | 22, 238 |  | 67, 57, 860 |
| Total current expenses. | 15, 178, 317 | 1017,463 | 3,484, 667 | 1,077,426 | 1, 277,467 | 830, 239 | 662,700 | 2,069,438 | 807, 124 | 557,633 | 1,072,768 | 755,699 | 1, 559,793 |

${ }^{1}$ Other than those connected with governors' and agents' conferences and meetings of directors and of the advisory council.

## recapitulation

| Earnings | \$22, 638, 275 | \$1,742,531 | \$5,644,037 | \$2, 168,214 | \$2,443, 866 | \$1,780,07a | ' $81,304,322$ | \$4,168, 411 | 8i, 177, 389 | \$1,077,227 | \$1,636,350 | \$1,099,013 | \$2, 306, 640 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current oxpe | 15, 178, 317 | 1,017,463 | -3,484,567 | ! 1,077,426 | 1,277, 467 | 8 836,239 | (662, 700 | 2,060, 438 | - 807, 124 | 557, 033 | 1,072, 768 | 755, 699 | 1, $1,599,703$ |
| Current net earning Dividends paid......... | $11,459,958$ $3,120,797$ | 725,068 239,020 | 2, 159,470 | $1,090,788$ 265,940 | $1,166,399$ 342,172 | 043, 836 164,971 | 731,622 187,095 | $2,098,973$ 434,511 | 370,465 139,494 | 519,594 107,016 | 263,582 | $\begin{aligned} & 343,314 \\ & 125,918 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 746,847 \\ & 221,349 \end{aligned}$ |

REIMBURSABLE EXPENDITURES OF FISCAL AGENCY DEPARTMENT.

| Total expenses Jan. 1 to June 30, 1922 Amounts reimbursable Jan. 1, 1922. | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 429,364 \\ 63,113 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 19,780 \\ 2,216 \end{array}$ | $\$ 53,159$ 3,910 | $\$ 19,305$ 2,101 | 333,319 7,148 | 819,580 1,299 | $\begin{array}{r} 520,474 \\ 3,485 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 551,166 \\ 11,488 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 28,873 \\ 5,280 \\ 280 \end{array}$ | S08, 107 8,737 | $\begin{array}{r} 549,1.37 \\ 10,319 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 26,636 \\ 3,113 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 39,818 \\ 4,017 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 492,47 \overline{7} \\ & 362,284 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21,996 \\ & 18,996 \end{aligned}$ | 57,069 19,798 | 21,406 19,059 | 40,467 33,483 | 20,879 16,465 | 23,959 16,323 | 62,654 53,440 | 34, 3173 | 76,894 $6: 3,763$ | 39,456 46,371 | 29,749 18,424 | $4,3,835$ 28,347 |
| Balances reimbursable July 1, 1.922 | 130, 193 | 3,000 | 37, 271 | 2,347 | 6,984 | 4,414 | 7,636 | 9,214 | 6,298 | 13, 131 | 13,085 | 11,325 | 15,488 |

## DISCOUNT AND INTEREST RATES.

In the following table are presented actual discount and interest rates prevailing during the 30 -day period ending July 10 , 1922 , in the various cities in which the several Federal reserve banks and their branches are located. A complete description of the several types of paper for which quotations are given will be found in the September, 1918 , and October, 1918, Feperas Reserve IBujaetins.

A comparison between rates prevailing during the 30 -day period ending July 15 and the 30 -day period ending June 15 shows that relatively little change has occurred. İates for indorsed bankers' acceptances were generally lower. Prime commercial paper in the open market, which has shown the most marked decline during the past year, averaged al;out the same as last month. Compared with the corresponding period last year, all the rates are lower.
discount and interest rates prevailing in various centers during 30-day period ending july $15,1922$.

${ }^{1}$ Rates for demand paper secured by prime bankers' acceptances, 41, 24, 3 32.

## FOREIGN EXCHANGE.

Foreign ex ${ }^{2}$ change rates remained steady in July, the further drop of the German mark being the only notable feature. Sterling rates, which showed a moderate upward trend toward the end of June, continued to advance slowly in July, reaching a peak of $\$ 4.4605$ on the 24th but receding to $\$ 4.4447$ by the end of the month. The French frane, quoted at 9.10 cents at the opening of June, slowly lost ground, with some fluctuations, throughout the months of June and July and on the 31 st stood at 8.11 cents. The progressive demoralization of the German mark, which was accelcrated by Rathenau's assassination toward the end of June, continued
to be increasingly mamifest in July as the hopes of an agreement on a feasible settlement of Germany's international financial obligations become more remote. Short-lived rallies in the markrate, caused chicfly by speculative interests are to be observed in July. It the end of the month the mark was quoted at 15.27 cents per 100, or at about two-thirds of 1 per cont of par. Ali the other leading European currencies, with the exception of the Dutch florin, the Swodish krona, and the Swiss franc, showed sympathetic declines during the month. Only slight changes are shown in the values of Canadian, South American, and Oriontal currencios.

The gencral forcign exchange index declined from 56 in June to 54 in July.


## FOREIGN EXCHANGE RATES

[Gencral index for July, 1922, 54; for June, 1922, 56; for July, 1921, 59. Rates in cents per unit of foreign currency.] COUNTRIES INCLUDED IN COMPUTATION OF INDEX.

oTHER COUNTRIES.


[^17]21913 average.
Average price of silver per fine ounce: In London (converted at average rate of exchange), 80.71311 ; in New York, $\$ 0.70693$.

## FINANCIAL STATISTICS FOR ENGLAND, FRANCE, ITALY, GERMANY, SWEDEN, NORWAY, AND JAPAN.

A summary of banking and financial conditions abroad is presented statistically in the accompanying tables.

BRITISH FINANCIAL SITUATION.
[Amounts in millions of pounds sterling.]


1 Less notes in currency notes account
${ }^{2}$ Held by the Bank of England and by the Treasury as note reserve.
${ }^{2}$ Average weekly figures.
4 Compilation of London. Joint City and Midland Bank, British Government loans for national purposes excluded.
Compilation of London Economist. Ratio of net profts to ordinary and preferred capital of industrial companies, exclusive of railways, mines, insurance companies, and banks. Applies to earnings disclosed during the quarter and has therefore a probable lag of six months.

FRENCH FINANCIAL SITUATION.
[Amounts in millions of francs.]

| Ycar and month. |  |  | k of Fran | Circulation. | Advances: to the Govern-1 ment for purposes i of the war. ${ }^{3}$ | Situa $-\quad-\quad i$ <br> Government revenuc. ${ }^{4}$ | ation of th <br> Internal debt. | Cot | ent. <br> Price of 3 per cent porpetual | Value of! new stock and bond issues placed upon the French market. | Savings banks, excess of deposits( + ) or with-drawals (-). | Averago daily clearings of the Paris banks. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1913, average | 3,343 | 629 | 830 | 5,565 |  | 320 | 35,000 |  | 86.77 |  | -65 | 5 |
| 1920, average. | ${ }^{8} 3,586$ | 253 | 3,527 | 38, 066 | 26,000 | 1,005 |  |  | 57.34 | 4,654 | + 48 | 10554 |
| 1921, average. | : 3,568 | 274 | 2,927 | 37, 404 | 25,300 | 1,103 |  |  | 56.56 | 1,100 | + 67 | 550 |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| July. | -3,573 | 275 | 3,252 | 36,941 | 25, 100 | 1,242 | 229,055 | 35,286 | 56.35 | 2,345 | +52 | 438 |
| August | -3,574 | 277 | 2,749 | 38,783 | 24,900 | 1,016 |  |  | 56.50 | 152 | + 72 | 467 |
| September | - 3, 575 | 277 | 2,509 | 37,129 | 24,900 | 1,011 |  |  | 56.20 | 234 | +68 | 55 |
| October. | '3,575 | 278 | 2,563 | 37,154 | 25,100 | 1,305 |  |  | 54.30 | 3,355 | +33 | 463 |
| November. | -3,576 | 279 | 2,563 | 36,336 | 24,500 | 1,051 |  |  | 54.90 | 434 | - 0.5 | 503 |
| December | - 3,576 | 280 | 2,743 | 36,487 | 24,600 | 1,228 | 242,758 |  | 54.75 | 8 ¢3 | +38 | 52 |
| 1922. January.... | '3,576 | 280 | 2,392 | 36,433 | 23,000 | 1,323 |  |  | 56.55 | 759 | + 41 | 489 |
| February | -3,577 | 281 | 2,429 | 36,151 | 22,500 | 1,014 |  |  | 59.55 | 5,062 | $+100$ | 489 |
| March. | - 3,578 | 282 | 2,236 | 35,528 | 21,500 | 1,154 | 243, 877 | 35,716 | 58.50 | 377 | + 49 | 455 |
| April. | $\bigcirc 3,579$ | 283 | 2,412 | 35,787 : | 22, 100 | 1,381 |  |  | 57.60 | 459 | +58 | 411 |
| May. | ${ }^{9} 3,579$ | 284 | 2,303, | 35,982 ; | ${ }_{23,100}$ | 1,176 |  |  | 57.70 | 644 | +50 | . 454 |
| June | 3,580 | 285 | 2,448 | 36,039 | 23,300 |  |  |  | 57.95 |  | +53 |  |

1 End of month figures.
2 Includes Treasury and individual deposits. $\quad 5$ From indirect taxation and Governmen
${ }^{2}$ Under the laws of Aug. 5 and Dec. 26, 1914, July 10, 1915, and Feb. 16, 1917. 5 Last Wednesday in the month.
${ }^{7}$ Figures of the "Association Nationale des Portears Francais do Valeurs Mobilières." Bonds issued by the Government and the railroad sompanies not included.
ot including 1,978,000,000 francs held abroad from January through August and 1,948,000,000 francs from September through December. - Not including about $1,942,000,000$ francs held abroad. $\quad 20$ Average for 11 months. 11 Estimate in the French Senate.

ITALIAN FINANCIAL SITUATION.a
[Amounts in millions of Mre.]

$a$ Latest figures subj ct to revision.

- Banca Commerciale Italiania, Banca Italiana di Sconto, Credito Italiano, Banco di Roma
? Revenues from state railways; from post, telegraph, and telephones; from state domain; from import duties on grain; and from Government sales of sugar are not included.

F Excluding Banca Italiana di Sconto.
${ }_{5}$ Revised.
GERMAN FINANCIAL SITUATION.a
(Amounts in millions of marks.]

a Latest figures subject to revision.
1 Fnd of month.
${ }^{2}$ Calculated by the Frankfurter Zeitung with prices of 25 stocks, 10 domestic and 5 foreign bonds (prices as of Jan. 1,1921 - 100 ). These Agures, recently revised, now include subscription privileges which were heretofore omitted. Figures are as of beginning of month,

End of March, 1913.
As of Nor. 10, 1921.
As of Dec. $30,1921$.

SWEDISH FINANCIAL SITUATION.
[Amounts in millions of kroner.]

${ }^{1}$ Source: Kommersiella Meddelanden.
NORWEGIAN FINANCIAL SITUATION.
[A mounts in millions of kroner.]

|  |  |  | Bank |  |  | Private commercial lanks (103). |  |  | Bankruptcles. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year and month. | Gold holdings. | ation. |  | Loans and discounts. | Cleatings at Christiania. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Loans } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { discounts. } \end{aligned}$ | Deposits. | Total aggregate resources. |  |
| 1914, end of July. | 184 | 123 : | 14 | 88 |  |  |  |  | Number. |
| 1920, ${ }^{\text {average..... }}$ | 147 | 451 | 102 | 419 | 652 | 3,921 | 3,382 |  | 32 |
| 1921, average.. | 147 | 417 | 111 | 443 | 537 | 3,840 | 3,338 |  | 86 |
| 1921, end of - | 147 |  | 107 | 140 | 808 | 3,883 | 3,303 |  | 116 |
| July...... | 147 | 428 ! | 100 | 452 | 541 | 3,95s | 3,343 | 5,249 | 96 |
| August. | 147 | 421 | 110 | 45.5 | 580 | 3,951 | 3,340 | 5,256 | 101 |
| September | 147 | 416 | $8{ }^{8}$ | 426 | 637 | 3,844 | 3.319 | 5,224 | 102 |
| October- | 147 | 411 ! | 113 | 453 | 589 | 3.742 | 3,275 | 5, 196 | 78 |
| November. | 147 | 395. | 121 | 439 | 538 | 3,677 | 3,231 | 5, 113 | 89 |
| December. | 147 | 410 | 141 | 476 | 551 | 3,503 | 3,305 | 4,944 | 81 |
| 1922 end offanuary. | 147 | 378 ! | 131 | 433 | 524 | 3,413 | 3,202 | 4,805 | 88 |
| February. | 147 | 376 | 141 | 428 | 494 | 3,346 | 3,172 | 4,754 | 76 |
| March. | 147 | 385 | 151 | 449 | 628 | 3.250 | 3.124 | 4.699 | 108 |
| April. | 147 | 386 | 143 | 447 | 516 | 3,302 | 3,118 | 4,755 |  |
| May.. | 147 | 375 ; | 152 | 446 | ... | 3,347 | 3,086 |  |  |

## JAPANESE FINANCIAL SITUATION. 1

[Amounts in millions of yen.]

| Year and month. | Bank of Japan. |  |  |  |  |  | Tokyo banks. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Note circulation. | Specie reserve for notes. ${ }^{2}$ | Ordinary loans and discounts. | Advances on foreign bills. | Current Government deposits in Japan. | Private deposits in Japan. | Total <br> loans l'okyo associated bank. | Tokyo bank clearings, total in the month. | Average discount rate (Tokyo market). |
| 1913, average.... | ${ }_{363}$ | ${ }^{216}$ | 47 ! | 33 | ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 7 | ${ }^{333}$ | 364 | 8.38 |
| 1921, average...... | 1,226 | 1,200 | 107 | 39 | 297 | 50 | 1,932 | 2,572 | 9.00 |
| End of- 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July.. | 1,172 | 1,172 | 43 | 23 | 330 | 76 | 1,987 | 2,341 | 8.36 |
| August. | 1,192; | 1,192 | 107 | 31 | 345 | 351 | 1,951 | 2,816 | 8.43 |
| Septomber. | 1,232 | 1,232 | $101{ }^{\prime}$ | 32 | 293 | 30 | 1,953 | 2,627; | 8.28 |
| October. | 1,255 | 1,255 | 157 | 30 | 309 | 31 | 1,993 | 2,679 | 8.50 |
| November. | 1,283 | 1,264 | 197 | 30 | 325 | 37. | 1,989 | 2,783 | 8.79 |
| jecember. | 1,546 | 1,246 | 298 | 26 | 203 | 351 | 2,000 | 3,340 | 9. 20 |
| End of- 1922. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January.... | 1,377 | 1,241 | 224 | 56 | 277 | 35. | 1,984 | 2,246 | 9. 02 |
| February .. | 1,246 | 1,223 | 172 | 26 | 328 | 27 ; | 1,950 | - 2,438 | 9. 02 |
| March. | 1,289 | 1,289 | 248 | 58 | 422 | 29 | 1,963 | 3,099 | 9. 09 |
| April. | 1,226 | 1,263 | 267 | 61 | 520 | 30 | 1,980 | 2,809 | 9.34 |
| May. | 1,203 | 1,203 | 178 | 50 | 469 | 33 | 1,973 | 3,143 | 9.42 |
| June..... | 1,344 | 1,223 | 179 | 98 | 377 | 43 : | 1,998 | 3,178 | ............ |
| July. | 1,224 ! | 1,220 | 133 | 82 | 427 | 35 |  |  | ............ |

1 Figures apply to last day of month in case of Bank of Japan, to last Saturday of the month in the case of the other items.
2 This inchudes the specie segregated against notes only. It includes gold credits abroad as well as bullion and coin at home.
${ }^{3}$ During January, February, April, October, November, and December, 1913, Government deposits averaged $4,193,000$ jen. During the remainder of the year there was an average monthly overdrafic of $8,942,000$ yen.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Excess imports.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Propared under the direction of F. M. Williams, of the Division of Analysis and Research, with the assistance of R., P. Cornwall.
    ${ }_{2}$ See Federal Reserve Bulistin, May, 1920; June, 1920; June, 1921; February, 1922; May, 1922; and July, 1922.

[^2]:    1 See the graph on p. 928

[^3]:    10 f this amount, loans with private banks and the United States Treasury were: (1) Five-year $5 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent gold Treasury bonds, $\$ 25,000$, 000; (2) internal 5麦per cent ruble bonds of 1916; (3) threc-ycar 6\% per cent credit, $\$ 50,000,000$.

[^4]:    1 As Italian industry changed so radically during the war, there is no advantage in making comparisons with 1913 figures in this connection.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ Monthly average.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ Coffee excepted.

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ The index number of the Federal Reserve Board has been constructed primarily with a view to international comparisons of wholosale prices.

[^8]:    1 As the old index number of the luareau of Labor Statistics (based upon 315 quotations) has been reclassified by the Federal Reserve Board, the raw materials group consists of approximately 76 quotations, the producers' goods of about 80 , and the consumers' goods of 158 . Raw materials have beon subclassified into agricultural products (mainly grains, cotton, and tobacco), based upon 19 quotations, animal products based upon the same number, forest products based upon 11 quotalions, and mineral products based upon 27 quotations. The Federat Reservei 3 elidetin for October, 1918, contains a list of the commodities in cach group. The weights are the same as those used by the Bureat of Labor Statistics. 2 These reclassificd averages, with the exception of those for the "all commodities," are based on the 12 monthly, not the weck)y, figures for inc year, as are the original averages compiled by the Bureau of Labor Stalistics.
    ${ }^{3}$ On account of the revision of the Bureau of Labor Statistics index, the old "all commodities" series was discontiuned with the A pril number. The revised indes of the Bureat of Labor Statistics is being regrouped by the Federal Reserve Board in the same manner as the old index presented in this table. The board's now regrouping will appear in the next issue of the Bullotin and regularly thereafter:

[^9]:    1 Not including gold, silver, or the reexport trade. Latest figures subject to revision.
    ${ }_{3}$ Calculated in 1913 value tinits.
    ${ }^{3}$ Calculated in 1919 value units. French foreign trade figures are originally recorded in quantity units, and the value of the trade is calculated by applying official value units to the quantities imported and exported. Normally the monthy statements of trade appear computed at the rates of the year provious, and only at the end of the year is the trade evaluated at the prices prevailing during that year. Because of the disturbed price conditions in France during the past two years, 1919 price units are being applicd to the 1921 trade.
    ${ }_{5}^{4}$ Calculated in 1920 value units.

[^10]:    1 Average of 4 quarterly estimatos.
    2 Fire weeks.
    ${ }^{3}$ Work suspended ou all but $2,094,000$ tons.

    - End of month.

[^11]:    1 The list includes 27 of the most important imports the value of which in 1913 formed 49.3 per cent of the total import values, and 29 of the most important exports the value of which in 1913 formed 56.3 per cent of the total export values. The classification of the original list of commoditiss used was giveri in the July, 1920, BuldeTIN. The classification of 11 additional commodities of imports was given in the April, 1921, BuLLeTIN, and 2 additic $\%$ commodities in the November, 1921, BULLETIN Exports of gasoline . Wve been altered to include naphtha.

[^12]:    ${ }^{1}$ The National Association of Finishers of Cotton Fabrics at the request of the Federal Reserve Board have arranged for a monthly survey within the industry. The results of the inquiries are herewith presented in tabular form. The secretary of the association makes the following statement concerning the tabulation:

    The accompanying figures are compiled from statistics furnished by 35 out of 58 member firms of this association. It is probably fair to state that in the absence of having specific detail at hand, but according to our best estimate it is probably well within the fact that the figures given for the various classes of work would cover, approximately, the following percentages of the entire industry: White goods, 72 per cent; dyed goods, 62 per cent; printed goods, 30 per cent. The figures given represent reports from exactly the same finishers for the two months, both for the totals 62 per cent; printid yoods, 30 per cornt. are strictly comparable.

    NoTe.-Many plants were unable to give details under the respective headings of white goods, dyed goods, and printed goods, and reported their totals only; therefore the column headed "Total" does not always represent the total of the subdivisions, but is a correct total for the district.

[^13]:    ${ }_{2}$ In addition, the following amounts were estimated grown in Lower California (Mexico): 1921, 50,000 bales; 1922, 79,000 bales.
    2 Cotton grown outside of cotton belt included as follows: 1921, 9,000 bales; 1922, 20,000 bales.

[^14]:    1 Total discounts multiplied by ratio of average maturity of bills discounted by cach bank to average maturity (15.61) for system.

[^15]:    ${ }^{1}$ Calculated on basis of net deposits and Federal reserve notes in circulation.

[^16]:    ${ }^{1}$ Debits of banks which submitted reports in 1921.

[^17]:    ${ }^{1}$ Based on average.

