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## REVIEW OF THE MONTH.

Relatively slight activity in the money market has been necessary on
Treasury the part of the Government minance. during the month of July. Redemption of certificates of indebtedness falling due up to July 15 has continued, but the fiscal operations of the month have been really little more than a continuation of those of the last month of the past fiscal year. On July 21 Secretary Houston announced that subscriptions for the $5 \frac{3}{4}$ per cent Treasury certificates of indebtedness dated July 15, 1920, which had previously been announced, and maturing January 15, 1921, as well as the issue dated July 15, 1920, and maturing March 15, 1921, had been closed on Tuesday, July 20. Reports received from the 12 Federal Reserve Banks showed that the combined issue, which was for $\$ 200,000,000$, had been oversubscribed to the extent of $\$ 1,061,500$, allotments being as follows:

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total subscrip- } \\ & \text { tions allotted. } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| New York | \$90, 391, 500 |
| San Francisco | 15, 000, 000 |
| Philadelphia. | 14, 250, 000 |
| Kansas City.. | 8,005,500 |
| Cleveland | 14, 154, 500 |
| St. Louis. | 6, 002, 500 |
| Boston. | 12, 638, 500 |
| Chicago. | 18, 221, 500 |
| Atlanta. | 3, 211, 000 |
| Richmond | 3, 745, 000 |
| Dallas. | 1, 687, 500 |
| Minneapolis. | 1, 754, 000 |
| Treasury | 12,000,000 |
| Total. | 201, 061, 500 |

July receipts on ordinary account amounted to $\$ 230,366,525$, while disbursements on ordinary account were $\$ 306,501,839$, a difference of $\$ 76,135,314$. Inasmuch as Government withdrawals from banks during the month have been relatively light as compared with June, the effect of Treasury operations upon the
banking situation has been distinctly less influential than during the recent past.

The Secretary of the Treasury in a statement issued July 26 reviews the developments in the Treasury situation which have taken place during the past year. In sketching the outcome of the 12 months' operations he says:
"On the basis of daily Treasury statements, the total ordinary receipts for the fiscal year ended June 30 amounted to $\$ 6,694,565,388.88$, and current ordinary disbursements amounted to $\$ 6,766,444,461.09$, leaving a net current deficit of only $\$ 71,879,072.21$ for the fiscal year 1920, the first full fiscal year after hostilities ceased. After taking into account the special deposit of the War Finance Corporation, resulting from its redemption of United States securities, the net ordinary disbursements for the fiscal year 1920 were $\$ 6,403,343,841.21$, leaving an adjusted surplus of $\$ 291,221,547.67$ for the fiscal year.
"The operations incident to the handling of the maturities of Treasury certificates from June 15 to July 15 have now been completed and have resulted in further reductions in both the gross debt and the floating debt of the United States. The gross debt on June 30, on the basis of daily Treasury statements, amounted to $\$ 24,299,321,467.07$, as against $\$ 25,484,506,160.05$ at the end of the previous fiscal year on June 30, 1919, and $\$ 26,596,701,-$ 648.01 on August 31, 1919, when the gross debt was at its peak. In other words, the gross debt on June 30, 1920, had been reduced by $\$ 2,297,380,180.94$ from its peak on August 31, 1919, and by $\$ 1,185,184,692.98$ from the figure on June 30, 1919. On July 20, 1920, on the basis of daily Treasury statements, the gross debt amounted to $\$ 24,264,309,321.54$, showing a further reduction of about $\$ 35,000,-$ 000 after taking into account the $\$ 201,061,500$ face amount of Treasury certificates issued under date of July 15 . The floating debt on June 30 amounted to $\$ 2,485,552,500$, as against $\$ 3,267,878,500$ on June 30,1919 , and $\$ 3,938$,225,000 on August 31, 1919. On July 20, 1920, the loan and tax certificates outstanding amounted to $\$ 2,453,946,500$, showing a further
reduction of about $\$ 31,000,000$ as the result of the redemption of loan certificates since the close of the fiscal year 1920 in the amount of some $\$ 232,000,000$ and the issue of loan and tax certificates dated July 15 in the amount of some $\$ 201,000,000$.
"Further issues of Treasury certificates will be offered as necessary from time to time to provide for the current requirements of the Government and to meet maturities of Treasury certificates now outstanding. The amounts of these issues will depend in large measure upon the extent of the burden imposed by the transportation act of 1920, in connection with the return of the railroads to private control, including particularly the liability on the guaranty, which is as yet unascertainable."

In speaking of the furtherance of the effort to secure a wide distribution and retention of Liberty bonds by private investors, Secretary Houston on July 21 made acknowledgment of action taken by the Montreal convention of the American Federation of Labor. At that meeting it had been urged that members of labor organizations continue holders of bonds at present prices by way of sustaining the thrift and savings campaign of the Government.

In the letter of July 21 already referred to Secretary Houston said:
"Nowhere are Liberty bonds more widely distributed than among the ranks of organized labor. Both the Treasury Department and the American Federation of Labor are desirous of protecting the holders of these Government obligations. They can protect those who hold their bonds and buy more, but they can not protect those who sell at present prices. But If the principles adopted by your organization are followed out by the Nation, all holders of bonds will be protected, for the basic, intrinsic value of the securities backed by the good faith and total resources of the Nation is unchanged and will remain unchanged."

The month of July has been, unmistakably, a period of business and credit
Business and credit outlook. transition. This situation is emphasized by the reports of
Federal Reserve agents on business conditions, of which a condensed summary is given in this issue. The transition has been marked and characteristic not only as regards industrial developments themselves, but also as regards credit. It has been particularly exemplified
in the relationship between business and lending conditions. Notable among the month's developments has been a tendency on the part of commercial rates of interest to go to slightly higher figures, notwithstanding the maintenance of the same rates of rediscount at Federal Reserve institutions; the further development, although still upon a very moderate scale, of unemployment in some lines of business due to cancellation of orders and poor transportation of fuel and materials; the liquidation of stocks of commodities which had been held upon a speculative basis; and the gradual elimination from banks of paper collateraled by such goods. In connection with the last of these developments should be noted a sustained tendency toward the payment and cancellation of paper collateraled by Government war obligations, as well as by corporate stocks and bonds. The pronounced checking of speculation and the restriction of operations in securities and stocks to a very low level has been a decisive element in the financial centers and particularly in New York. Coupled with these conditions has come, according to general agreement, a definite if slight increase in general efficiency and an equally positive, though limited, curtailment of extravagant expenditure and unnecessary borrowing. These factors, if maintained as an influence in the general business and credit situation, will mark the turn from the period of "peak" inflation to a period of restoration of sounder conditions. The difficulty in both the industrial and credit situation is still found in the lack of available means of transportation which will permit of the regular and steady movement of goods to market and of fuel and materials to points of manufacture and consumption. According not only to reports made by Federal Reserve agents but also those of other observers, there has been some improvement in transportation during the month and some relief of the congestion which had been a notable feature at the outset of the month, but this improvement has been upon a relatively small scale. Fuel reserves continue to be of the most limited character and it is evident that
much more energetic action will have to be taken if industrial conditions are to be made safe for the coming winter. This condition of affairs has a very important bearing upon the credit outlook because of the fact that it still remains true that large accumulations of goods at producing or distributing points must in great measure be carried by advances made by banks. The distinct improvement in agricultural conditions which now promises a very large return in many lines, tends to emphasize this state of affairs because of the fact that considerable proportions of last year's staple crops are still held over at points of production and distribution. A further accumulation of goods, such as is feared in some Federal Reserve districts, would result not only in taxing the carrying capacity of the warehouses and other places of storage, but would also impose a further strain of serious character upon the credit resources of Federal Reserve Banks.

The months of June and July have been a
Changes of prices. period of important changes in prices as well as of modification of industrial conditions. These price changes may be summed up as declines in basic materials, notably wool, leather, silk, and a variety of materials, accompanied at the same time by advances in foodstuffs. In the field of retail prices there have been downward tendencies in such articles as clothing, shoes, and other consumable staples, although few or none in foods. The index number of wholesale prices constructed for the Board shows a decline for the month of June of 6 points, now standing at 258. This tendency has been paralleled by a similar trend in British prices. Sauerbeck's index number, made public on July 20, shows a decline of 4.3 points from the preceding month, although even with this moderate reduction the index number in question is more than 57 points ahead of the peak reached during the war period. While there are some differences between the Sauerbeck number and that of the Economistathe general tendency of the two is parallel, both indicating a downward drift. The main difference between the two is
found in the field of textiles, where there has been a greater decrease in some lines represented in the Economist number than in those embodied in Sauerbeck's.
An idea of the extent to which staple raw materials have fallen in the United States during the past month is furnished by the following compilation, in which some representative prices are presented for purposes of comparison:

|  | April. | May. | June. | July. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Raw silk, Japanese, Filatures Shinshu, No. 1, 13-15 (per pound). | \$13.60 | \$11.35 | \$8.35 | \$7.40 |
| Raw wool, ohio, fine unwashed, delaine (per pound) | . 95 | . 80 | . 70 | . 68 |
| Print cloths, 39 inches, 80 by 80 , 4 yards per pound (per yard). | . 3300 | . 3100 | . 2950 | . 2587 |
| Calfskins, $7-9$ pounds (per skin to tanners). | 6. 4375 | .3100 5.7500 | 4.0625 | 3.6812 |
| Goatskins, Rio Hache (per pound). | . 7375 | . 6650 | . 4750 | . 3917 |

The downward tendency of prices thus referred to is not to be explained by reference to any one cause or set of causes, but is the outcome of a complex of factors. In wool the termination of the period of Government control in various parts of the world and the steady marketing of the raw supply held by the Government of the United States as the result of war purchases has had an important effect. Large stocks of leather and hides which had accumulated during preceding months, coupled with a falling off in consumption demand for leather products directly resulting from the very high retail prices which were being charged, are currently regarded as primarily responsible for the shrinkage of leather values. In silk, as is well known, there had been extensive speculation, and large stocks of the staple had been carried by oriental interests, with the result that consumption both in the United States and abroad was being quite materially curtailed. The financial difficulties in Japan which developed during the spring of 1920 were in part the outcome of heary operations in raw silk, and the cutting of silk prices to a point 50 per cent below the values of last winter is to be ascribed to the collapse of these speculative operations, as well as to the influence of the
withdrawal of bank credits in oriental countries and to the decline of demand for silk by consumers both here and elsewhere.

It remains true that there is at the present time a wide gap between the new level of raw material values and the prevailing level of retail prices. During the month it has been pointed out by industrial leaders that the high price of goods has been due only in part to high costs of material and has in very large degree been the outcome of high capital charges and very great advances in the wages of labor. For these reasons consumers have been discouraged from taking the view that shrinkage in retail values was immediately to be expected as a result of reductions in the wholesale field. It is true, however, that the saving afforded by manufacturers through lessened cost of materials and increased efficiency of labor must eventually be reflected in a downward movement of retail prices. For the coming autumn and winter season it is likely, however, that this downward movement will be evident in comparatively small degree. Indeed, in many lines higher retail prices are predicted, due to the fact that purchases for the autumn and winter trade had already been contracted for at the old level of values, the changes in the price of materials at the present time showing their effect not earlier than the spring of 1921.

As had been noted in former issues, the general manufacturing organization of the country had succeeded in enlarging its eff-

Course of production. ciency and its percentage of output in an unexpected way during the early spring of this year. The following condensed table recapitulates some essential elements in the industrial situation as shown by the Board's index numbers representing the physical volume of trade for June:

|  | May, 1920. |  | June, 1920. |  | June, 1919. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total. | Relative. | Total. | Relative. | Total. | Relative. |
| Receipts of live stock at | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| thousands of head).... | 5,160 | 96.8 | 5,064 | 95.0 | 5,329 | 100 |
| Receipts of grain at 17 interior centers (in thousands of bushels) | 64,400 | 82.6 | 80,058. | 102.7 | 5,30 77,996 | 100 |
| Shipment of lumber reported by 3 associations (in millions of feet). | 64,400 863 | 82.6 96.7 | 80,058 685 | 102.7 76.7 | 7,396 893 | 100 100 |
| Bituminouscoal production (in thousands of short tons) | 39,059 | 101.3 | 44,463 | 115.3 | 38,547 | 100 |
| Crude petroleum production (in thousands of barrels). | 36,859 | 116.5 | 37,219 | 117.6 | 31,644 | 100 |
| Pig iron production (in thousands of long tons) | 2,986 | 141. 1 | 3,044 | 143.9 | 2,115 | 100 |
| Steel ingot production (in thousands of long tons). | 2,883 | 129.9 | 2,981 | 134.3 | 2,219 | 100 |
| Cotton consumption (in thousands of bales).... | 541 | 114.1 | 556 | 117.3 | 474 | 100 |
| Wool consumption (in thousands of pounds). | 50,649 | 103.6 | 40,679 | 83.3 | 48,850 | 100 |

In reviewing the industrial situation at present, however, special attention should be given to the position of certain important staple industries. In iron and steel, in spite of the presence of a large volume of unfilled orders on the books of some concerns, shortage of fuel and materials has tended to prevent a maximum of output from being maintained. In the woolen industry during the past month temporary suspension of operation has been announced, due to the fact that cancellations of orders and uncertainty in the industrial outlook made it undesirable to proceed, pending a further development of the readjustment process. There is difference of opinion about the actual coal situation, but general agreement as to the fact that supplies of coal at many important distributive points have been very low. Important manufacturing establishments and public utilities have had difficulty in obtaining their current supplies in some instances due to the fact that they were working under low-price contracts of long standing. The situation is in part attributed
to the increasing demand for coal, not only for domestic use but also for export. The large number of new mines that have been opened within the recent past has necessarily required an increased number of coal cars, while the fact that production of such cars has not been maintained during the past two or three years has made the available supply less adequate for all working mines. Activity of production necessarily makes correspondingly heavy demands upon the available coal supply and tends to create shortage. Up to the 1st of July the output of bituminous coal for the year had been $257,095,000$ tons, as compared with 214,959,000 tons during the corresponding period in 1919, while anthracite had been produced to the extent of $42,777,000$ tons, as compared with $39,392,000$ tons for the first half of 1919. Efforts to improve the efficiency of transportation have been vigorously in progress during the past month and some success has been obtained, but according to current reports the movement of freight on many lines is still much below normal. What amounts to a practical embargo upon export coal has also been established as a result of priority and other orders which have given the advantage to domestic consumers and have made it difficult to obtain coal for foreign shipment. The result of these measures should in the near future be to restore the activity of manufacturing at those points where it has been restricted in consequence of insufficient fuel supply. Attainment of this result should be further assisted in so far as transportation improvement results in a better distribution of raw materials.

Exactly how far the transportation situation has changed may be better

## Transportation

 situation. understood from a comparison of ton-mileage figures for past months. Preliminary estimates indicate smaller activities in June than in May, butgreater activities in both months than in the corresponding period of 1919 .

How far the lowered efficiency of transportation has been due to an unsatisfactory labor situation can not be precisely stated. The action of the Railway Labor Board in handing down on July 20 a decision in the pending wage adjustment cases may, it is hoped, bring about a better condition of affairs. The substance of the award is to grant an increase in wages which for the United States as a whole is estimated at about $\$ 600,000,000$ and which amounts to an average advance of about 21 per cent upon the scale of wages previously existing. This award represents, roughly, 55 to 60 per cent of the maximum amounts which had been recommended by organizations of the railway employees. If it should result in improving the operating efficiency of the lines, its effect will naturally be that of relieving congestion and facilitating the more rapid movement of freight to destination. Results in this direction can not, however, be expected before the lapse of perhaps another month.

Meanwhile there is undoubtedly a continuation of difficulty at shipping points which calls for energetic action. According to Federal Reserve agents, the quantity of grain which is being carried at primary markets is much in excess of that which was in stock at the corresponding period a year ago. The great improvement in agricultural prospects for the present summer and autumn is an important factor in the maintenance of the country's prosperity, but tends to render the transportation problem even more difficult than before.

The extent of the existing transportation congestion is difficult to measure statistically. Data showing the acceleration or the retardation of specific commodity movements from month to month do not adequately reflect the seriousness of the situation because they often fail to take account of
normal seasonal changes in receipts and shipments, as in the case of grain. Moreover, no allowance can be made for goods that would be in transit if there were any prospect of moving them. This is especially true, for example, in the lumbering regions of the Pacific coast and the Northwest. Even though new orders have been received, stocks are augmented, while shipments fall to still lower percentages of output. In the Dallas district production figures of the Southern Pine Association show a marked curtailment in output, which is 65 per cent of normal for the month of June, while shipments are only 80 per cent of cut. Similarly, in the San Francisco district, 122 mills of the West Coast Lumbermen's Association have shipments 18.6 per cent below the cut, which in its turn is 7.5 per cent less than normal.

When coal, lead, and zinc mines, for example, are working at only 50 per cent capacity, or are even closed entirely because of inability to move their output, it throws no light on the existing congestion to show that current ore movements have slightly or even greatly increased in amount.
The policy of Federal Reserve Banks in seeking to shift applications for ac-

Business and credit control. commodation from unessential to essential branches of business has been further prosecuted during the past month, but has been applied with a maximum of consideration for the maintenance of productive activity in all parts of the country. Governor Harding, in reply to an inquiry, has made the following statement of the Board's purposes in its application of the present rate policy:
"The Federal Reserve Board," he says, "deemed it its duty to exert its power in the direction of curbing the expansion of credit used for speculative or nonessential purposes without interfering with maximum production along essential lines. In the adjustment of trade and industry to the new basis of essential production suspension of operations and some hardship from unemployment may result, but in view of the serious shortage of goods in all lines and the pressing demands of consumers the
world over a readjustment should be effected without undue delay and with a minimum of friction and unrest."
Summarizing the outcome to the present time, Governor Harding expresses the opinion that as a result of the measures thus far adopted "further expansion of bank credits has apparently been checked, though the volume of loans and disegunts of neither member banks nor of Federal Reserve Banks has been materially reduced."
The opinion that a turning of the tide of expansion has occurred has likewise been expressed by Hon. A. B. Hepburn, of the Federal Advisory Council, who, in a statement to the press on July 16, indicated his belief that there had been a decided shifting of credit from unessential to essential lines and a more favorable banking position. "I do not look," said Mr. Hepburn, "for any acute money stringency. in the fall. People are now looking to the future, taking an account of stock, curtailing their commitments, and exercising a more wholesome, conservative judgment."

This analysis of the case is corroborated by the views of others. The result in question is being attained only in part through the direct influence of higher rates of interest and discount and in part through the application of judicious methods of limiting unessential or unnecessary credits. In speaking of this phase of the matter Governor Harding, in the communication already referred to, says that "the Board has taken the position that it is not sufficiently close to the actual day-to-day requirements of business to undertake to lay down rules as to what loans are for essential purposes and what loans are not. The Federal Reserve Banks in their dealings with member banks are better situated in this respect, but ultimately the main responsibility of such decisions must rest with the commercial banks themselves, which in their dealings with customers are in a position to ascertain the purpose of each loan and to decide whether this purpose is essential or not." This is the position which has been uniformly adhered to by the Federal Reserve Board ever since the
development of a necessity of restricting credit during the war. At that time such restriction of credit was accompanied by limitations upon transportation and use of fuel and materials which were determined upon a basis of war necessity. The use of this method of selection or discrimination has long since passed by with the closing of the war, and at present the standard of essentiality is necessarily different from that which existed during the time when war demands were at their height. As pointed out in the letter already referred to, the problem is one which can best be dealt with by bankers who are themselves close to the actual requirements of their customers.

Development of bank portfolios, both at Federal Reserve Banks and
Situation of bank credit. member banks during the month of July, has on the whole indi. cated the application at least of a check to expansion, although not a definite decline in accommodation. Two criteria may be cited as indicative of the general drift in this direction, the first that of reserve ratios at the several Federal Reserve Banks, the second that of investment conditions at member banks. The following brief compilation reviews the course of the reserve ratio during the month of July:

Ratio of total reserves to net deposit and Federal Reserve note liabilities in 12 Federal Reserve Banks.
July 2. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 42.8
July 9..................................................................... 43.1

July 23.......................................................... 44.4
July 30.......................................................... . . . 44. 2
This change in ratios should be studied in connection with the course of development during the past month in the portfolios of member banks. The following table which contains data furnished in former issues provides an indication of the trend of the banking situation for the country as a whole:

| [In thousands of dollars.] |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of banks } \\ \text { reporting. } \end{gathered}$ | Loans (including rediscounts) and nvestments (including United States securities). | Rediscounts and bills payable with Federal Reserve Banks. | Net demand deposits. |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  |
| July 2... | 814 | 16,929 | 2,056 | 11,486 |
| July 9. | 814 | 16,901 | 2,051 | 11,461 |
| July Ju..... | 814 814 81 | 16,934 $\mathbf{1 6 , 9 0 3}$ | 1,990 | 11, 11.5 |
| July 30.... | 814 | 16,884 | 1,972 | 11,388 |

The figures thus furnished show a distinct upward movement in the reserve ratio at Federal Reserve Banks, which has been progressively higher with each succeeding week in the month, excepting for a minor reaction at the close. By the side of this development should be placed the fact indicated in the table relating to member bank condition that there has been a steady, although moderate, decline in the rediscounts and bills payable with Federal Reserve Banks. Together with advance in the reserve ratio there may be noted a gradual decline in the gross amount of obligations payable to Federal Reserve Banks, and while the reduction has been moderate, it nevertheless seems to indicate a turning of the tide away from inflated conditions. The situation is somewhat different when attention is given to the item of loans and investments carried by member banks on behalf of their customers. This figure shows that the total amount of advances made by the member banks in selected cities is about holding its own, while the same view of the situation is confirmed by a study of the figures showing net demand deposits.

Export and import figures for the month of June, made available by the
Foreign trade Department of Commerce on July 24, show a reaction in export trade of a kind which has long been predicted. Whereas our "favorable balance of
trade" for the month of May amounted to approximately $\$ 315,000,000$, this balance for the month of June fell to $\$ 78,000,000$, while a favorable balance for the 12 months ending June, 1919, of about $\$ 4,136,000,000$, was reduced for the 12 months ending June, 1920, to $\$ 2,872,000,000$. In considering the latter figures it should be borne in mind that the 12 months ending June, 1920, include a part of the time during which the effects of Government advances to foreign countries were still being felt. The substance of the figures may be presented in compact form in the following brief table:


There are numerous factors which confirm the belief that our excessive export balance is now in process of being still further curtailed. The very high prices which have prevailed in the United States for many months and which until recently have shown indication of advancing to still higher levels have undoubtedly tended to discourage a good many foreign buyers who would otherwise have sought to supply themselves in this market but who have
found it impossible to contend against the combined handicap furnished by the high domestic prices and the increment resulting from unfavorable exchange conditions. Shipping concerns testify that shortage of freight for export is more pronounced than it has been for some time past, and while this is in part due to embargoes or what amounts to such upon coal and other heavy freight, as the result of the transportation "tie-up," there is every reason to ascribe it, in part at least, to the general competitive conditions already referred to. In this same connection, mention should be made of the fact that, as money stringency has become more pronounced and rates higher, there has been an increasing indisposition on the part of commercial banks to furnish financial facilities designed to take care of the movement of goods abroad. More conservative banking institutions engaged in the export trade have taken pains to cover their foreign exchange commitments as rapidly as they were incurred, and even in the face of the rather more stable conditions of exchange that prevailed during the latter part of June and the first weeks in July, they were disinclined to carry very large balances in foreign currency. Perhaps this indisposition to incur obligations on foreign exhange account has been as pronounced during the recent past as at any time heretofore, if not more so. On the other hand, banking institutions expressly devoting themselves to foreign trade have found it difficult to continue the extension of accommodation under as favorable conditions as heretofore. The sale of their acceptances-a resource upon which they have necessarily relied in large part to carry on their operations-has naturally been hampered by the high rates for money, while they have found in some cases the effort to offer foreign balances as security unsatisfactory to local buyers of their paper. Such attempts as have been made on a small scale to place foreign securities in the United States have been successful only when a very high rate of interest was offered. Of this situation a notable example has been afforded in the case of the Swiss loan which
was placed during the early part of July at a market rate of 8 per cent.

Under the terms of the Phelan Act adopted
by Congress on April 13, 1920,
Application of progressive rates.
provision was made for the application of graduated rates of rediscount, rising from a base rate to be established at the option of the board of directors of a Federal Reserve Bank, according as the applications for rediscount filed by member banks exceeded a specified or base line to which the normal or basic discount rate was applicable. Since the adoption of the Phelan Act the new plan has been put into effect by four Federal Reserve Banks. The basic line which has been adopted by the Atlanta, St. Louis, and Kansas City banks is two and onehalf times a sum equal to 65 per cent of the member bank's average reserve balance plus its paid-in subscription to the capital stock of the Federal Reserve Bank both calculated over a fixed period either preceding or identical with the period to which the basic line applies. For the Dallas district, however, a basic discount line was adopted equal to the paid-in capital and surplus of the member bank. Atlanta and St. Louis apply the normal rate, i. e., the generally effective rate to all offerings for rediscount and apply a progressive "superrate" at the end of the reserve computation period to the average borrowings in excess of the basic line, while Kansas City and Dallas impose the "super-rate" upon such part of the current offering as may, together with outstanding borrowings, be in excess of the basic line. As a scale of rates, all four banks have adopted an increase of one-half of 1 per cent for anything up to 25 per cent in excess of the basic line, with 1 per cent for the second 25 per cent excess, and so on upward. Exceptions to this progressive rate plan were generally made in case of member-bank collateral notes secured by Government obligations, although some variation in method of making exceptions has been introduced in the four banks where the plan has been in effect. As illustrative of the working of the plan a concrete example may be
cited. A bank with a normal line of $\$ 100,000$ and borrowings of $\$ 200,000$ would be charged an excess or super-rate of one-half of 1 per cent on $\$ 25,000,1$ per cent on an equal amount, $1 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent on a like amount, and 2 per cent on the final $\$ 25,000$. All paper under discount on the date the progressive rates became effective was exempted from the application of the super-rate, although counted as part of the general credit structure in determining the scale of super-rates applicable to new loans or to renewals. The working of the plan is of considerable interest because of the fact that it has not been applied in all districts, while there has been difference of opinion as to the theoretical advantages of it. The following table affords a general view of the operation of this system for the past two months:

Discounted and purchased bills held by groups of Federal Reserve Banks.

|  | Group 1. |  | Group 2. |  | Group 3. |  | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | A | B | A | B |  |
| May 28. | 1,752 | 1,686 | 424 | 510 | ${ }_{762}$ | 742 | 2,938 |
| June 4.. | 1,794 | 1,732 | 420 | 499 | 760 | 743 | 2,974 |
| June 11. | 1,769 | 1,706 | 415 | 488 | 742 | 732 | 2,926 |
| June 18. | 1,603 | 1,564 | 405 | 456 | ${ }^{686}$ | 674 | 2,694 |
| June 25. | 1,708 | 1,682 | 415 | 468 | 707 | 680 | 2,830 |
| July 2. | 1,793 | 1,785 | 421 | 475 | ${ }_{7} 72$ | 675 | 2,935 |
| July 9.. | 1,777 | 1,782 | 421 | 462 | ${ }^{736}$ | 690 | 2,934 |
| July 16. | 1,779 | 1,705 | 413 | 484 | ${ }^{705}$ | 658 | 2,847 |
| July 23. | 1,709 | 1,685 | 414 | 501 | 700 | 637 |  |
| July 30 | 1,708 | 1,677 | 413 | 515 | 716 | 645 | 2,837 |

Group 1 shows totals for the Boston, New York, Chicago, and Minneapolis Federal Reserve Banks, all of which have raised their commercial discount rate to 7 per cent
Group 2 shows totals for the Atlanta, St. Louis, Kansas City, and Dallas Federal Reserve Banks, all of which have adopted a system of progressive discount rates.
Group 3 shows totals for the remaining four banks, i. e., the Federal Reserve Banks of Philadelphia, Cleveland, Richmond, and San Fran cisco, which have neither raised their discount rates during the more recent period nor adopted a system of progressive discount rates.

Column A shows actual totals of discounted and purchased billis held i. e., exclusive of bills discounted for or bought from other Federal Reserve Banks, and including bills discounted for or bought from other Federal Reserve Banks.

A noteworthy development of the past few months whose results are only
American invest-just coming definitely to light ment abroad. is found in the rapid increase of American investment abroad. This investment has been of special interest in connection with the taking over of shares in German enterprises by American concerns. Notable
among such undertakings is the arrangement concluded between New York steamship interests and the former Hamburg-American line, purchases of a large block of stock in the Vienna Kreditanstalt, such shares being held by two well-known New York banking houses, the establishment of a close working relationship between the electrical industry of the United States and that of Germany, and the introduction of somewhat similar arrangements based upon mutual control between the tire manufacturers of the United States and the German rubber and tire-producing factories. In a number of other lines, less significant, although important, alliances have been concluded. Under these arrangements American concerns supply capital which will be used for the reorganization and rejuvenation of the foreign enterprises, depleted as these have been in their resources and opportunities as a result of the war. Such arrangements have an important effect financially, inasmuch as they undoubtedly result in transferring to the United States a considerable portion of foreign financing. Had not such relations been established, the foreign enterprises which had become affiliated to American concerns would have had to supply themselves with raw material and current capital and would have been obliged to finance such shipments. As things stand, the obligations representing the financing may more easily be placed through the American concerns which have assumed this responsibility for, or control over, foreign houses. This in a sense will convert a large block of foreign financing into domestic financing. To operations of this kind special weight must be given in explaining the recent unexpected stability of German currency.

There is, however, an easily reached limit in the development of operations of this description. Such a limit is found in the fact that in all foreign countries there is a disposition to
avoid a situation in which control of an extensive kind will be exercised by outside concerns. There is no European country, least of all Germany, in which an extensive invasion on the part of American capital is likely to be looked upon with favor. How far the present movement of international purchase of securities and international control of industrial enterprises is likely to go thus becomes distinctly conjectural, with some well-defined limits clearly in sight. Before the present movement toward the establishment of closer relationships with Germany is completed, it is, however, quite possible that steps will have been taken for the purpose of reorganizing German currency and banking relationships in a way that will lay the foundation for a more stable and satisfactory state of things.

During the month of July the foreign exchange market has been comJuly exchanges. paratively inactive, the feature of the first half of the month being a slightly stronger movement in most of the European currencies, while during the second half of the period reaction was noted. The outstanding event of the month in connection with foreign exchange was the conference at Spa in which the allied premiers placed before representatives of the German Government various demands with respect to the enforcement of the Treaty of Versailles. In oriental exchange the chief interest has centered about the movement of the price of silver, which early in July trended downward and later moved in the opposite direction, eventually reaching a maximum for the month of 95 cents. In the South American field the principal event of importance has been the break in Argentine exchange resulting from the action of the Government of that country in prohibiting the exportation of gold and terminating the arrangement whereby payments were made in the United States through the embassy of Argentina. The following compila-
tion continues similar figures for preceding months furnished in former issues:

Foreign exchange rates.

|  | June 26. |  | July 3. |  | July 10. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | High. | Low. | High. | Low. | . High. | Low. |
| Fncland | 3. 991 | 3.957 | 3.963 | $3.94 \frac{1}{3}$ | $4{ }^{3} 3.95$ | 3. ${ }^{\text {931 }}$ |
| France | 8.61 | 7.99 |  |  |  |  |
| Staly. | - ${ }_{16.21}$ | G. 05 16.64 | 6.18 16.68 | 5.89 16.40 | 6.18 16.40 | 6.03 16.20 |
| Argentina. | ${ }_{42.10}^{16.5}$ | 16.64 41.50 | 41.90 | 41.50 | ${ }_{41.75}$ | 41.25 |
| China (Hongkong).. | 75.75 | 72.75 | 75.50 | 73.75 | 74.00 | 72.00 |
| China (Shanghai) | 105.00 | 99.00 | 105.50 | 103.00 | 104.00 | 103.00 |
| Japan (Yokohama). | 51.25 | 51.25 | 51.25 | 51.25 | 51.375 | 51.25 |
| frermany | 2.74 | 2.65 | 2.65 | 2.59 | 2.66 | 2.62 |
| Switzerland. | 18.21 | 18.15 | 18.15 | 18.08 | 18.02 | 17.95 |
| Sweden (Stockholm) | 21.93 | 21.80 | 22.08 | 21.90 | 22.15 | 22.00 |
| Holland.. | 35.937 | 35.625 | 35.50 | 35.25 | 35.375 | 35.25 |
| Belgium. | 8.73 | 8.33 | 8.87 | 8.63 | 9.03 | 8.91 |
|  |  | July 17. |  |  | July 24. |  |
|  |  | High. | Low. |  | High. | Low. |
| England..................... |  | 3.94 E | $3.86 \frac{7}{3}$8.22 |  | 3.843 | 3.751 |
|  |  | 8.44 |  |  | 8.285 | 7.47 |
|  |  | 6.02 | 5.88 |  | 5.81 | 5.30 |
| Spain.......................... |  | 16.13 | 16.0441.125 |  | 15.88 | 15.6819.1039, |
|  |  | 41. 375 |  |  |  |  |
| China (Hongkong) |  | 74.50 | 72.75 |  | 74.00 | 72.00 |
| China (Shanghai. |  | 104.50 | 102.00 |  | 104.00 | 100.50 |
|  |  | 51.50 | ${ }^{51.375}$ |  |  | 51.3752.3217.24 |
| Japan (Yokohama) |  | 2.63 |  |  | 51.375 2.56 17.51 |  |
| Switzerland |  | ${ }_{2} 17.95$ | 17.67 |  |  | 17.24 |
| Sweden (Stoc |  | 35.25 | ${ }_{34}^{22.00}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 22.00 \\ & 34.875 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }^{\text {24. }}$ - 7125 |
| Belgium........................ |  | 9.03 | 8.81 |  | 8.715 | 7.98 |

Contrary to what had been expected, there has been but little further movement of gold into the United States. Some small shipments received in the course of ordinary exchange operations and aggregating only about $\$ 10,018,000$ for the month ending July 20 have represented the net inward movement. It had been predicted in not a few quarters that the exchange situation would require large shipments to the United States for the purpose of providing a means of liquidating the maturities of the early autumn in connection with the AngloFrench loan obligations. These expectations have been disappointed, largely, it is stated, because of the success that has been had in arranging for the adjustment of the loan obligations by other methods. There has been no official announcement on the subject.

In connection with silver, continued purchases have been in progress under the terms of the Pittman Act, the aggregate up to the close of July amounting to $9,639,000$ ounces.

Apparently the effect of these purchases has been to help the general market price of silver by relieving the world market of some portion of the supply which would otherwise have had to be taken care of, and to this situation may possibly be attributed the advance of about 5 cents in the price of silver per ounce which has occurred during the month. On the strength of these Treasury purchases the prediction is now made in many quarters that a greater degree of stability will be assured. Assuming that the Treasury Department is under obligation to purchase in all some $270,000,000$ ounces of silver in order to make up the amount provided under the terms of the Pittman Act during the war, and assuming further that the annual production in the United States is about $60,000,000$ ounces, it would appear that the average annual production of domestic silver mines for the coming five years would find a market at the rate of $\$ 1$ per ounce. This condition of affairs is by some regarded as likely effectually to "stabilize" the silver-mining industry and to some extent the price of silver over the period in question.

On July 5 there convened at Spa, Belgium, a conference between the preThe Spa confer- miers of the allied nations op-
ence. posed to Germany during the recent war and representatives of the German Government for the purpose of discussing the conditions of enforcement of the peace treaty. As in the case of the former conference at Hythe, England, at which, however, only the allied premiers were present, no definite official announcement was made. The outcome at Spa has apparently not as yethad much direct influence on the course of exchange, although this may be due to the fact that arrangements are still necessarily in a tentative condition. Rumors of unpromising conditions on the Polish frontier tended to weaken exchange values.

Meantime the German fiscal and currency situation continues difficult, and the industrial conditions in Germany have scarcely improved. The total annual budget of Germany
for the current year is $52,500,000,000$ marks, of which only $25,000,000,000$ marks are expected to be provided by taxation. It would seem that $10,000,000,000$ marks were made available from the preceding financial year to meet part of the extraordinary expenditures. On the other hand, the issues of the Reichsbank have shown a steady tendency to increase on account of the enormous additions to the floating debt which are being made in order to provide for the current needs of the Government. These Reichsbankissues were on June 15 officially stated at $50,809,000,000$ marks, an increase since the 15 th of January last of not less than $15,125,000,000$ marks. The value of the mark in the United States has continued to hold its own with an unexpected degree of firmness and stability during the past few weeks, being quoted during the month of July most of the time above 2.30 cents per mark. This, however, is in no small measure due to investment and speculative conditions which have resulted in the transfer of large quantities of American capital to Germany. It can not be taken as having more than an indirect relationship to the general exchange situation. The low value of the mark and the increasing difficulty in equalizing the German budget and in obtaining a more satisfactory condition of affairs in the currency circulation raises a serious problem with respect to the circumstances under which the indebtedness to the Allies is actually to be paid, since, as now appears clear, such payment must in the last resort take the form of shipments of goods. It is with these conditions in mind that some eminent foreign authorities have lately proposed plans contemplating the institution of an elaborate and semipermanent system of barter designed to serve the purpose of carrying on the trade between Germany and the foreign countries.

During the month ending July 10 the net
Gold and silver movements. inward movement of gold was $\$ 11,517,000$, as compared with a net inward movement of $\$ 19,785,000$ for the month ending June 10. Net imports of gold since August 1, 1914, were $\$ 708,584,000$, as may be seen from the following exhibit:


Canada furnished $\$ 8,219,000$, or about onethird, and England and Hongkong each $\$ 6,600,000$, of the $\$ 24,483,000$ of gold imported during the monthly period ending July 10 ; Colombia, New Zealand, France, Mexico, and Peru furnishing most of the remainder. Of the gold exports, amounting to $\$ 12,966,000$, about three-fifths, or $\$ 7,568,000$, was consigned to Japan, $\$ 2,223,000$ to Hongkong, and $\$ 1,200,000$ to the Dutch East Indies, most of the remainder going to Mexico, British India, and Canada. Since the removal of the gold embargo on June 9, 1919, total gold exports have amounted to approximately $\$ 559,000,000$. Of this total, $\$ 146,555,000$ was consigned to Argentina, $\$ 110,445,000$ to Japan, $\$ 65,211,000$ to Hongkong, $\$ 55,396,000$ to China, $\$ 39,661,000$ to British India, $\$ 29,778,000$ to Spain, and the remainder principally to Uruguay; Mexico, the Dutch East Indies, the Straits Settlements, Canada, and Venezuela.

During the same monthly period the net inward movement of silver was $\$ 2,235,000$, as compared with a net inward movement of $\$ 1,409,000$ for the month ending June 10. Net exports of silver since August 1, 1914, were $\$ 453,364,000$, as may be seen from the following exhibit:
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | Imports. | Exports. | Excess of exports over imports. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aug. 1 to Dec. 31, 1914 | 12,129 | 22, 182 | 10,053 |
| Jan. 1 to Dec. 31, 1915. | 34,484 | 53,599 | 19,115 |
| Jan. 1 to Dee. 31, 1916 | 32, 263 | 70, 595 | 38, 332 |
| Jan. 1 to Dee. 31, 1917. | 53,340 | 84, 131 | 30,791 |
| Jan. 1 to Dec. 31, 1918. | ${ }^{71,376}$ | 252, 846 | 181, 470 |
| Jan. 1 to Dec. 31, 1919. | 89,410 | 239, 021 | 149,611 |
| Jan. 1 to July 10, 1920. | 58,517 | 82,509 | 23,992 |
| Total. | 351,519 | 504, 883 | 453,364 |

Mexico furnished $\$ 4,002,000$, or over threefifths, and Peru $\$ 1,071,000$, of the $\$ 6,438,000$ of silver imported during the monthly period ending July 10 , most of the remainder coming from China, the Dutch East Indies, Chile, and Canada. Of the silver exports, amounting to $\$ 4,203,000$, about three-fifths, or $\$ 2,507,000$, was consigned to China, and the remainder principally to Hongkong, Canada, and Mexico.

Changes in the principal loan and investment accounts of 814 reporting memThe banking sit- ber banks between June 18 and uation. July 16 reflect largely the character and volume of Treasury operations, including the issue on July 15 of over 200 millions of loan and tax certificates, the redemption on July 1 and 15 of the outstanding balances of over 284 millions of loan certificates issued three months before, besides the current withdrawals of funds from depositary institutions during the period under review. The effect of these operations is seen in a reduction from 1,588 to 1,544 millions in the banks' United States security holdings (most of the decrease being shown under the head of Treasury certificates) and in a decrease from 1,026 to about 1,000 millions in loans secured by United States war obligations. The banks were also able to reduce by about 66 millions their loans secured by stocks and bonds. On the other hand, all other loans and investments, including commercial loans and discounts proper, show a further expansion of 116 millions. Total loans and investments, accordingly, show a decrease for the period of 20 millions. Accommodation of reporting member banks, as shown on the books of the Federal Reserve Banks, increased during the period from 1,833 to 1,990 millions, or from 10.8 to 11.7 per cent of the reporting banks' total loans and investments. For the New York City banks an increase in this ratio from 9.7 to 12 per cent may be noted.

Principal changes in the condition of the Federal Reserve Banks between June 25 and July 23, include a reduction of 30.6 millions in loans secured by United States war obligations, as against an increase of 68.7 millions in other
discounts. Holdings of purchased acceptances, owing to the growing investment demand for this class of paper by country banks, savings banks, and other corporations, declined 45.6 millions, while Treasury certificates on hand fell off 37.5 millions, this reduction representing in part the net amount of special temporary certificates redeemed by the Treasury during the period.

Interbank discounting shows but little change in total volume during the period, the total of discounts held on July 23 by the Boston, New York, and Cleveland banks, 123.2 millions, being slightly less than the June 25 total and 7.5 millions below the high figure reported on July 2. The Chicago bank, which on June 25 reported an indorser's liability on rediscounted paper of about 25 millions, no longer figures among the list of rediscounting banks, which on July 23 included the Federal Reserve Banks of Richmond, Atlanta, St. Louis, Minneapolis, Kansas City, and Dallas. It may also be noted that four of these banks, viz, those at Atlanta, St. Louis, Kansas City, and Dallas, which during the entire period have been applying progressive discount rates, show an increase of 36.5 millions in their rediscounts with other Federal Reserve Banks, this increase more than offsetting the increase in the discounts for their member banks. During the period the New York and Chicago banks sold considerable amounts of acceptances out of their own portfolios, and on July 23 acceptance holdings of the Boston, Philadelphia, Cleveland, and San Francisco banks include 42.5 millions of bank acceptances bought from the above two banks.

Government deposits at the Federal Reserve Banks varied between 21.7 millions on July 2 and 11.7 millions on July 16, while net deposits fluctuated between $1,754.9$ on July 2 and $1,685.6$ millions on July 23, the latter figure being 36.6 millions below the June 25 total. An expansion by 64.2 millions in Federal Reserve note circulation during the first two weeks was followed by a considerable return flow of notes during the latter two weeks, so that the net increase in Federal Reserve note circulation for the four weeks amounts to only
1.5 millions. The banks' gold reserves, in consequence of further gold imports, show a - gain of 13.9 millions, while total cash reserves, owing mainly to further silver deposits by the Government, increased by 25.4 millions. After reaching the low level of 42.8 per cent on July 2, the banks' reserve ratio assumed an upward course, and on July 23 stood at 44.4 per cent, a gain of 0.8 per cent over the June 25 level.

Under orders executed by the President, dated July 23, 1920, Hon.
Personnel W. P. G. Harding was redesigchanges. nated as Governor of the Federal Reserve Board for the period ending August 10, 1922, and Hon. Edmund Platt was designated as Vice Governor of the Board for
the period July 23, 1920, to August 10, 1922. Mr. Walter S. Logan has been appointed General Counsel of the Federal Reserve Board, succeeding Mr. George L. Harrison, who resigned to become Deputy Governor of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York.

The Oklahoma City branch of the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City Oklahoma City was opened for business on August 2, with Mr. C. E. Daniel as manager. This branch was authorized by the Federal Reserve Board in January of this year. The directors are as follows: Mr. William Mee, Mr. E. K. Thurmond, Mr. C. E. Daniel, Mr. Dorset Carter, and Mr. P. C. Dings.

## BUSINESS, INDUSTRY, AND FINANCE, JULY, 1920.

Uncertainty has been characteristic of the month of July in the business field. Some districts report that the price-cutting movement begun in May and continued through June is slowing down, while in other districts reduction of prices is reported still in progress. Industrial activity in many lines has been shortened in consequence of lowered demand, cancellation of orders, and general readjustment. In some districts, however, production continues upon old orders which are still on the books despite the fact that new business has fallen off. In the agricultural regions improved crop conditions and the development of a more confident tone in business are reported to have brought about a turn distinctly for the better. Speculation in commodities is, in many parts of the country, reported to have been greatly reduced, and in some practically eliminated. There is a general feeling that extravagant buying is at least less extreme and dangerous than it was some time ago, while labor in many parts of the country is reported as increasing in efficiency and a better spirit of cooperation exists between employer and employee. From the credit standpoint there is general expression of the belief that unessential demands have been reduced, and that even where there has been no lessening in the volume of loans the advances that are being currently made are in a much greater proportion to those which grow out of bona fide commercial and agricultural necessities than at any time for many months past. Transportation continues to be, perhaps, the least satisfactory phase of the month's development, and while there has been some improvement in certain sections of the country it is still true that there is great congestion, and that in consequence of it an undue and unnecessarily severe strain has been brought to bear upon credit for the purpose of making possible the carrying of goods which would otherwise have gone to market and would have been liquidated.
Probably the most hopeful element in the outlook during the month has been the continued improvement in crop prospects in general, and the special improvement seen in certain important agricultural lines. District No. 9 (Minneapolis) reports both winter and spring wheat in a healthy condition, with the
prospect of a yield considerably above normal and an indicated yield of $186,000,000$ bushels of spring wheat, $7,500,000$ bushels of winter wheat, and $273,500,000$ bushels of oats. District No. 10 (Kansas City) estimates a combined crop of winter and spring wheat of $260,000,000$ bushels, with oats at $185,000,000$ bushels and corn at $400,000,000$ bushels. In the Middle West generally the crop output is improving and in many sections corn "never looked better." Wheat and oats also hold out excellent prospects. District No. 8 (St. Louis) reports wheat low in quantity but high in quality, corn in favorable condition, oats bearing out optimistic estimates, and hay the most satisfactory ever raised in the region. Cotton is improving and labor conditions better than expected. The combined condition of all crops in the district as reported by the Department of Agriculture is 95.8 per cent. On the Pacific coast spring wheat is now estimated at about $50,000,000$ bushels, or $10,000,000$ bushels more than 1919. The special local crops, such as beans, sugar beets, and others, are particularly promising, but the rice crop has received serious injury. Favorable weather during the past month has considerably improved the condition of deciduous fruits in the Northwest. Oranges will be considerably lower than last year; lemons about the same. The price of vegetable oils has declined considerably.

In live stock, conditions appear to be exceptionally good the country over. District No. 10 (Kansas City) reports excellent pasturing conditions, but states that prospects for any material increase in the supply of animals during the autumn and winter are not encouraging because of the length of time required to build up the industry after the inroads suffered during the war. District No. 11 (Dallas) reports excellent range conditions, with stock making satisfactory progress practically throughout the district and prices materially higher at the close of June. In other parts of the country the live-stock situation is also encouraging. Receipts of cattle at 15 primary markets during June were $1,290,265$ head, corresponding to an index number of 128 , as compared with $1,209,656$ head during May and $1,122,782$ head during June, 1919, the respective index numbers being 120 and 111. Receipts of hogs amounted to $2,746,390$ head
during June, corresponding to an index number of 125 , as compared with $3,128,249$ head during May and 3,061,838 head during June, 1919, the respective index numbers being 142 and 139. Receipts of sheep for June were $1,006,528$ head, as compared with 796,160 head during May and 1,116,003 head during June, 1919, the respective index numbers being 74, 58, and 82. In district No. 10 (Kansas City) the movement of live stock to the markets in June was less than in May and less than in June of last year, but trade in cattle was more encouraging to shippers than in any previous month of this year. Purchases of cattle by packers during June were smaller than in May or in June of last year, but prices have improved in most branches of the business, except sheep. In district No. 11 (Dallas) there was a heavy movement of cattle and hogs to market during June, figures in some lines reaching record proportions. Prices at Fort Worth registered a sharp recovery during June and this tended to enlarge subsequent shipments, the influx of animals weakening the market so that by the opening of July much of the gain recorded had been lost. The hog market, however, reached the highest point since last November. Gross receipts both of cattle and calves were larger than in May or than in June, 1919, wnile hogs and sheep fell off as compared with both preceding periods.

The movement of grain has been retarded by the car-shortage situation. In district No. . 9 (Minneapolis), however, there was an improvement of about one-fourth in the number of cars for grain and flour forwarded as compared with the month of May, and the number of cars received was about the same. The very moderate improvement over May was the result of strenuous efforts to secure empty grain cars by virtue of the priority orders. Wheat receipts at Minneapolis during June show a falling off of 3 per cent from the May figures, and receipts of corn show an increase of 119 per cent. The movement of wheat and corn during the present crop year commencing September 1, 1919, has been substantially the same as during the preceding crop year, but the movement of the other grains is about 43 per cent of the movement of the previous year. Price declines were noted in Minneapolis during June for grain and flour, with the exception of oats and barley, which showed slight increases.

The wool and woolen outlook has been of special importance during the past month. In raw wool the inactivity which has been characteristic of the past six weeks con-
tinues and the market has become entirely a buyers' markét. The raw wools are in numerous instances being quoted at 30 per cent below the level of last January in district No. 3 (Philadelphia). In district No. 1 (Boston) dealers in raw materials are placing practically no orders and such wool as is going into the market is being received on a consignment basis. "It is still felt that with more wool in the world than was ever before known prices have not yet reached bottom." Goods returned to the mills together with cancellations are estimated at $\$ 100,000,000$. An encouraging feature in the situation is the willingness of wool dealers to extend longer time and to accept cancellations of orders. In the woolen and worsted goods industry yarn spinners are having but few inquiries for their product, while for finished textiles conditions are equally discouraging. In district No. 3 (Philadelphia) " there is no demand for the product and the closing of plants is general; while some manufacturers feel that activity will be resumed in the early fall, others have no hope for a decided improvement in conditions until after the presidential election." Nevertheless, it is believed that "when business is resumed, manufacturing conditions should be much more favorable than those prevailing during the first six months of the year. The raw material supply is plentiful and lower in price, and labor conditions show signs of real improvement." Collections in the industry generally are slowing up. The strain, however, has on the whole been well borne by the industry.

In cotton goods there has been a reduction of activity. In the Massachusetts cottonmill region demand continues light and many mills report supplies of raw cotton sufficient to last until next year. The better crop prospects in the South have reduced any anxiety that was felt concerning prospective scarcity. Prices are about 50 per cent more than in 1914 for the best grades. In district No. 3 (Philadelphia) little or no interest is shown by cotton yarn interests in the raw staple, while manufacturers are placing few orders for yarns. Curtailment of production is prevalent. Prices for cotton products have been steadily declining and are now on a price level equal to that of last autumn. In New Bedford (Mass.) mills have on hand sufficient cotton to run until the latter part of August. There has been a softening in the yarn market, and in Fall River orders are not coming in freely. In Lowell mills are still running on full time. Some houses in different parts of
the country have made commitments for fall goods at prices higher than prevailed in the autumn of 1919 , while others are refusing to place orders freely. The chances seem to favor a still further reduction of activity in the industry. In small wares conditions have not much changed during the past month; prices are about the same, collections are slower, and volume of buying is slowing up. Predictions are made that prices of cotton small wares will be higher this fall than last and higher this coming spring than the spring just past. Some concerns, however, have begun cutting prices. According to reports received from 29 mills belonging to the Association of Knit Goods Manufacturers, cancellations during the month have exceeded new orders for the same period, the former amounting to 25,599 dozen, against 18,609 dozen. Production during the month was 590,664 dozen, and shipments 175,682 dozen.

The past month has seen but little improvement in the depressed situation existing in leather and shoes a month earlier. Shoe manufacturers are not placing many orders with leather dealers. July is usually a dull period in any case, but the dullness began earlier and is lasting longer this year. A great curtailment of operations both in the manufacture of leather and in that of shoes is noted in New England. Manufacturers, however, on the whole, anticipate a brisk autumn business due to belated orders, and they also look for a greater demand for shoes of grades other than the finest. Export shipments are believed likely to fall off. In district No. 3 (Philadelphia) curtailment which exists in the Massachusetts region likewise prevails. There is conflicting opinion throughout the trade as to the outlook, some believing that a sudden autumn demand will spring up, others that retailers are sufficiently well stocked to "carry on" for a good while to come. Manufacturing conditions in the shoe industry are fairly satisfactory. In the Middle West "shoe manufacturers have been marking time," and output is only 30 per cent to 40 per cent of that of a year ago, but conditions are considered better than in the East. Retailers' stocks are depleted. In the Virginia shoe-producing district manufacturers are not getting their usual orders. Cancellations are still an important factor. In district No. 8 (St. Louis) the shoe industry is now, however, swinging to a more certain basis. Manufacturers and distributers are showing increase in volume and value of business.

Cancellations have decreased, raw materials are more abundant, and labor is ample. Collections vary a good deal.

The serious condition in which the iron and steel industry is now placed as the result of railroad congestion and shortage of raw material and fuel is illustrated by the statement made by the Cleveland district that "traffic conditions $i$ y the iron and steel industry during the past rwonth have reached a more acute stage and have become a matter of constant struggle to keep plants in operation and finished material moving." The piling up of unshipped product in mill and furnace yards has continued, and there is also a large tonnage of semifinished material which is stacked up in work yards. It is estimated that $2,000,000$ tons of iron and steel are tied up in the hands of the producers throughout the country. In district No. 4 (Cleveland) there have been few cancellations of unshipped products, but many mills are regulating their operations entirely in accordance with the ability of the buyer to furnish transportation. Thousands of motor trucks have been put into service hauling material from mills to points where cars are available or even to consumers' plants. Crude material production in that district has kept up quite well, the restriction of output applying most severely to the finishing operations. In district No. 3 (Philadelphia) the transportation situation has so thoroughly tied up the iron and steel industry that the entire situation centers on the question of getting movement of products. While many plants are running at 100 per cent of capacity, a continued lack of cars will force a reduction, possibly by two-thirds at the end of another six weeks. Pig iron continues in active demand, but it is impossible to procure coke in any quantity. If demand were to be taken as a criterion of the outlook, manufacturers would think it excellent, but their stocks of material and fuel have been so seriously depleted that the shipping prospect is very questionable. In the Birmingham district (district No. 6, Atlanta) transportation difficulties are slightly improved, but apprehension still exists as to the supply of raw material. The greater number of sales are in small lots and domestic business is being given practically sole attention. Consumption of pig iron is again showing improvement. Confidence is expressed that the pigiron market will be firm for several years to come and that export business will be substantial. Production during June was $3,043,540$
tons, as compared with $2,985,682$ tons during May and 2,114,863 tons during June, 1919, the respective index numbers being 131, 129, and 91. The unfilled orders of the United States Steel Corporation at the close of June were $10,978,817$ tons, as compared with $10,940,466$ tons at the close of May, the index number for both months being 208.

The coal outlook is closely connected with the entire manufacturing situation, but particularly with iron and steel prospects. In district No. 3 (Philadelphia) the car supply is still inadequate, the general situation is discouraging, and both domestic consumers and plants face a serious situation. The output in Pennsylvania and West Virginia is 50 per cent of normal, while in Ohio, Indiana, and Illinois it is still smaller. Exports of coal which have been mentioned as one cause of the present difficulty are an inadequate explanation, the real source of trouble being insufficient production in relation to demand. Our output for the nation at large is now about $9,000,000$ tons of coal per week, but current demands show a need for $11,000,000$ tons. Prices have been advanced. In the Birmingham district (district No. 6, Atlanta) coal production is still lagging, strikes at domestic mines being partly responsible. There is also an undercurrent of unrest among the coal miners in Pennsylvania, with local labor troubles. In district No. 4 (Cleveland) the movement of coal is unsatisfactory, shipments to the Northwest up to July 1 being more than $5,000,000$ tons below the corresponding figure a year ago, and there has been little increase during July. Coke shortage is widespread, and the high price of coke has been an important factor affecting the activities of many iron and steel plants. Spot coke has been selling from $\$ 18$ to $\$ 20$ at the ovens. Production of bituminous coal during June was $44,462,500$ tons, corresponding to an index number of 120 , as compared with $39,059,000$ tons during May and $38,547,000$ tons during. June, 1919 , the respective index numbers being 105 and 104. The production of anthracite coal for June amounted to $7,754,000$ tons, compared with $7,639,000$ tons during May and $7,251,000$ tons during June, 1919.

More active petroleum exploitation is gradually bringing up the production of crude oil. Pipe-line runs in Oklahoma and Kansas are now beginning to exceed shipments, and reserve stocks are getting back to something like normal. This may be partly due to inability of refiners to get tank cars, but the output
itself is increasing. June was the best month of the year in crude-oil production. In district No. 10 (Kansas City) the output for that month was worth over $\$ 40,000,000$, and new wells completed numbered 1,136. In district No. 11 (Dallas) June operations, however, showed a "slump." There were 169 less completions than in May, but the total production, about $11,500,000$ barrels, was only about 250,000 below May. In June 650 wells were completed in the eleventh district. In district No. 12 (San Francisco) the June production was slightly less than in May, being 273,000 barrels per day, while shipments exceeded production, stored stocks declining 120,000 barrels. There is a shortage of gasoline, and buyers have raised prices for crude oil.

Metal mining conditions during the month have not been uniform. In district No. 10 (Kansas City) the half-year period ending June 30 shows an increase in the value of lead and zinc shipments over 1919, in spite of adverse productive conditions. Shipments of zinc ores declined during June, and there was a tendency to decline both in price and volume of shipments of zinc. Metal mining in Colorado has suffered from a shortage of labor. Little new prospecting is in progress.

One notable feature of the business situation during the month has been a change in labor conditions. An important factor in this connection has been the development of unemployment in various parts of the country. This unemployment has been apparently chiefly due to three factors. Where poor transportation prevented deliveries of fuel and raw materials some plants have been obliged to curtail operations and thereby reduce opportunities for employment pending better conditions; in other manufacturing districts the shutting down of mills as a result of cancellation of orders and lack of demand has also thrown considerable forces of men out of work; elsewhere, inability to obtain capital for construction and consequent abandonment or suspension of undertakings that had been contemplated have produced a certain amount of unemployment, with some shifting of workers from one occupation to another. An effect of the changed labor situation which has been the subject of quite general comment in the various Federal Reserve districts is an increase in the efficiency of labor. One of the largest producing companies in district No. 4 (Cleveland) reports the "greatest four months in our history of pounds produced per man.". In district No. 1 (Boston) "labor is less insistent in its demands,"
and during the first half of July only ten new strikes were reported to the Massachusetts department of labor, but two of these involving any considerable number of men. During the latter part of June and the first two weeks of July there was a marked decrease in the demand for factory help. The Boston Public Employment Office reports a surplus of machinists, mechanics, and general factory workers. Retrenchment in Government manufacturing operations has released some labor. Unskilled labor is also more plentiful than heretofore. District No. 6 (Atlanta) reports that all parts of the district are seriously short of farm hands, but for the country as a whole the farm labor supply is reported as more adequate. In district No. 5 (Richmond) there is considerable sporadic unemployment, but this is believed to be due largely to irregular transportation. In the extreme southern part of the country a better supply of agricultural labor has resulted from the slackening of industrial production. In New York there has been a distinct, though not large, decrease in employment, and this is more noticeable than usual at this season in the clothing trades. The labor difficulties at the port of New York have been reduced. Generally speaking, conditions are more stable than they were several months ago. Local shortage of unskilled labor due to the scarcity of immigrant hands is observable. There is less complaint than usual of labor conditions on the Pacific coast, district No. 12 (San Francisco) reporting "no strikes or labor disturbances of any importance in this district." A notable event of the month has been the decision of the Railway Wage Adjustment Board, which has resulted in awarding a wage increase to railway workers estimated to aggregate $\$ 600,000,000$, and presumed to represent an average increase of 21 per cent or over for ralway employees as a whole, although the increase granted has been greatest in the lower paid grades of employment.

There appears to be no difference of opinion concerning the causes that are responsible for the difficulties that hamper building operations. The hindrances are summed up under the all-inclusive heads of high prices of structural materials and heavy labor costs; transportation troubles that make the securing of supplies problematical; and inability to obtain funds for financing contemplated projects, especially residential structures. It is true that, although all these factors are operative, reports from certain districts are inclined to stress some one factor while minimizing the importance of others. The situation in the

West and Southwest appears to be much more favorable than in other parts of the country, districts No. 11 (Dallas) and No. 12 (San Francisco) both reporting increased activity in the month of June as compared with May. District No. 1 (Boston) emphasizes the shortage of lumber and other structural materials resulting from congested traffic conditions, and predicts that prices will remain high, probably into the spring of 1921. However, the total value of building permits in 13 principal cities outside Boston showed an increase of 47.1 per cent in amount for the first six months of 1920, as compared with the same period in 1919, although the actual number of permits declined from 3,614 to 3,440 . District No. 2 (New York) thinks that the principal deterrent to the execution of housing programs is scarcity of mortgage money, the New York situation being made worse by the fact that industrial projects have secured the limited amount of labor and materials available. District No. 4 (Cleveland), although stating that there has been some improvement in securing raw materials, especially cement, during the last two weeks, through the use of lake vessels, says that building operations are very low for the season and the outlook for the fall is uncertain. In district No. 5 (Richmond) no improvement is noted-a decrease in value of permits issued as compared with May is recorded. As for building materials, it becomes increasingly difficult to secure them, and a number of lumber mills have shut down because of inability to make deliveries, while cement, crushed stone, steel, brick, etc., are practically impossible of acquisition. Cessation of construction in Richmond is threatened unless the local situation is relieved. In district No. 6 (Atlanta), on the other hand, there has been an increase in the value of permits in some of the large cities such as Atlanta, Augusta, Savannah, and Mobile. A marked drop in the total volume of building permits in New Orleans was no doubt the result of the local carpenters' strike, of which mention has been made elsewhere. In district No. 8 (St. Louis), as a result of better weather and improvement in transportation, work already begun has been resumed or continued, but new projects are few. There is no improvement in the housing situation. Labor troubles have also been experienced. Both in the Minneapolis and the Kansas City districts the June reports show a reduction in building permits by number and value as compared with May. In district No. 11 (Dallas), on the other hand, improvement has occurred in June, an increase
of 15 per cent in total valuations over the month of May being noted, although the total is 16 per cent below the record for June a year ago. For the first six months of the year the 1920 valuations exceeded those of 1919 by 147 per cent. Similarly in district No. 12 (San Francisco) building is active, permits issued in 19 cities showing valuation increases of 7.7 per cent as compared with May and 63.3 per cent as compared with June a year ago. For the six-month period an increase of 107.17 per cent was recorded.

Financially, the developments of the month show improvement. District No. 2 (New York) reports that "the tremendous expansion in loans and discounts which took place last year has been very largely checked" and that in the New York district it "has been wholly checked." Reports of the Comptroller of the Currency show that up to May 4 some increase in loans was still occurring in the country banks, but at a lessened rate than previously. Speculative activity has also subsided. The number of shares sold on the New York Stock Exchange has declined in the past few months to not much more than a quarter of the average activity of last autumn, while bank clearings in New York City have declined about 25 per cent in district No. 7 (Chicago), while commercial paper commands the highest rate in years, collections are generally good, and speculation not only in stocks and commodities but also in farm lands has been checked, at least temporarily. The activity in banking turnovers is on the decline as compared with June, although larger than in 1919. Borrowing at the banks
continues in large proportions and there is no prospect of relief from high rates. In the South there has been some success in eliminating loans on United States obligations, while other loans and investments show a slight increase up to July 23, and this tendency is apparently continuing. Money rates continue very high. In the principal manufacturing districts there has been no marked change in the credit situation, and any surplus credit at one point is immediately absorbed by demand at some other point. Large stocks in the hands of merchants and manufacturers have combined with the difficult money market to force many realizing sales. Collections are still good. In New England loans secured by stocks and bonds have decreased materially, about one-half of the decrease being in paper secured by corporate bonds and stocks. Borrowings from the Federal Reserve Banks show a decline. The total turnover as shown by charges against deposit accounts is about the same as during the preceding month. Investment securities show a fairly consistent level, though perhaps with a slightly downward tendency. The bill market in New York and other financial centers has been quite active, with good demand for prime bills. Rates have changed but little in commercial paper, but have had a somewhat stronger tendency. Call money rates have been tolerably stable around 8 per cent. The reserve ratio of the Federal Reserve Banks has tended on the whole to reach a more satisfactory figure, the average for the four report periods ending with July 23 being 43.6 per cent.

## SPECIAL REPORTS ON BUSINESS CONDITIONS.

## THE COAL SHORTAGE.

Statements concerning the seriousness of the coal shortage are somewhat conflicting. Reports from district No. 2 (New York) and district No. 4 (Cleveland) are rather optimistic, both stating that there has been an accelerated carrying movement. But it is evident that production as well as distribution falls far short of needs, and in nonproducing regions, such as district No. 1 (Boston) and district No. 9 (Minneapolis), prospects for speedy betterment are poor.

The chief hindrance to increased output has obviously been lack of coal cars, although a few strikes of slight importance have been noted in the reports as slowing down production. The strikes which are just assuming serious importance in district No. 7 (Chicago) can hardly have made their influence felt as yet.

District No. 1 (Boston) emphasizes the persistence of transportation troubles, which have resulted not only in a shortage of coal but of other raw materials upon which the industries of New England depend for their existence.

District No. 2 (New York) stresses the fact that the movement of coal has been greater during the first half of this year than it was during the same period a year ago. This fact does not, however, mitigate the seriousness of the actual shortage. It merely shows that abnormally heavy consumptive demands have been the cause of the existing fuel crisis.

The New York report says: "Freight movement on the leading railroads in this district has steadily improved during the past month and most of the roads report increases from week to week in the loaded freight-car movement on their various lines. The freight congestion which continued for a number of weeks after the April strike had lost its force has been entirely cleared away on all but one road. Nevertheless, every road now is handling its freight on the license system, because the volume of freight offered has often been beyond the physical capacity of the roads.
"Particularly noteworthy is the increase this year in the movement of coal. All the larger carriers of coal report an increased movement of coal from the mines this year and there has been a gradual weekly increase in the past two months in the volume of coal carried. One
large carrier of anthracite, for instance, moved 5 per cent more coal in the first two weeks of July than during the same period last year. Two leading carriers of bituminous report increases for June of this year over June of 1919 of 7.2 per cent and 17.8 per cent, respectively. These indications are confirmed by the statistics of the United States Geological Survey, which show an increase of 19.6 per cent in the amount of coal produced and moved in the first six months of this year as compared with the corresponding period a year ago."

Nevertheless, in view of depleted stocks and present unsatisfactory conditions at the mines, the outlook is an anxious one, as the report from district No. 3 (Philadelphia) indicates.
"The output in Pennsylvania and West Virginia is 50 per cent of normal, and in Ohio, Indiana, and Illinois it is still lower. Retailers are reported to be limiting their buying to supplies shipped on contract, with the result that low stocks are general and the possibility of a domestic fuel shortage increases. The tonnage going to the Northwest is in unsatisfactory volume, and it is a question whether enough will be shipped to that region to supply the domestic consumers and the industrial needs of the district.
"The matter of distribution of the coal mined will assume a greater importance as the summer months go by, for it is generally conceded now that even with the best efforts in effecting good car supply all serious danger facing next winter has not been averted and a proper allotment of such coal as will be available is of the utmost importance. Producers are not now free to ship their product to places where, in some cases, it is most needed, as they are bound by contract and must ship pro rata on each contract, irrespective of the public advantage to be gained by supplying certain consumers.
"Three of the larger and well-known coal companies have advanced their prices for stove and chestnut, mine basis, and are charging $\$ 7.65$ to $\$ 7.90$, while some of the independent concerns average about 75 cents higher. The market holds strong for steam sizes of anthracite, influenced by the bituminous scarcity, and is expected to remain so through the fall.
"With reference to labor, there is still an undercurrent of unrest among the coal miners of Pennsylvania. It is reported that 10 col-
lieries in this district, having a yearly output of 200,000 tons of coal and employing 8,500 men and boys, are idle as the result of a strike inaugurated by the miners because the company refused to abolish the contract system by which contractors, or 'pushers,' are given all the richest places to work and that the ordinary miners get what is left, many of them being unable to earn fair wages. Although one of the miners' demands now being considered by the Anthracite Mine Commission is the abolition of the contract system, the strikers refuse to lay their case before that body.
"If some radical change for the better does not soon take place, the coal situation for next winter looks very grave. It is hoped that the railroads will be able to devise some means whereby the channels of transportation can be cleared in order to be able to move coal more quickly:"

District No. 4 (Cleveland) notes an improvement in the coal situation, although conceding the probability that the shortage in the Northwest can not be made good.
"The Interstate Commerce Commission's priority order governing the use of coal cars for use other than for coal purposes has resulted in a distinct improvement in the coal situation, although complete mine operation is not yet possible. Manufacturers are making every effort to accumulate coal for next winter's operations, as the spring of 1920 found reserve stocks of coal almost depleted by reason of transportation difficulties and the miners' strike during the past winter. While the original order was effective for 30 days, it has been extended for a similar period, which should be reflected in a still further improvement.
"Coke production is being stimulated by the record high prices obtaining-quotations being as high as $\$ 18.50$ for Connellsville foundry coke.
"That there will be a shortage of coal in the Northwest is pretty certain, as the movement up to July 1 was over $5,000,000$ tons less than it was last year for the same period, and the loss will be greater on August 1, as there was not much increase in shipments in July. That means that the movement from now on will have to be more than doubled in order to reach last season's total, and even then the supply would be short, as the requirements will be greater than they were in 1919. It is up to the mine operators and the railroads to get the coal forward, as there is plenty of dock and vessel capacity to handle the business."

The report from district No. 5 (Richmond) is by no means optimistic.
"The coal situation is causing much worry throughout the entire country. It would seem from all information coming to us that it is a transportation problem almost entirely, and that there will be little improvement until traffic conditions are bettered. Car shortage, railroad strikes, embargoes-all these operate to keep down production. We have a report from a mine capable of producing more than 1,200 tons a day, but during June this mine was able to work less than 10 days and in May only $10 \frac{3}{8}$ days. Another mine belonging to the same company and capable of producing approximately 800 tons per working day was able to work only 69 hours in June. The mines can not operate unless they can secure cars to haul away their product, both because they do not have storage space for the coal and because they can not finance their operations without prompt collections."

District No. 6 (Atlanta) says that "the coke output is a little better the second week of July than previously; the coal shortage is noted at one or two places where coke ovens are supplied. The coal production in Atlanta is still lagging, strikes at domestic mines and two or three commercial iron company mines playing havoc."

In district No. 7 (Chicago) " the fuel problem is causing considerable apprehension. While heretofore manufacturing plants and consumers of steam coal have been able to obtain sufficient coal from time to time to cover their requirements, the situation has changed, and there now is active competition between manufacturers for the coal available, with a resultant upward tendency in prices. Few, if any, plants have been able to secure a sufficient surplus of coal to protect them against delays due to interruptions of transportation during the winter months. None of the railroads has been able to accumulate the usual amount of storage coal, and most of them have no storage coal whatever.
"Unless this situation is relieved before cold weather sets in, the fuel shortage is likely to prove a serious handicap to manufacturing. The coal production last year, it will be recalled, was the smallest of any year since 1915, and the present year started with the storage bins empty and about one-half of the usual amount of coal on the docks on Lake Superior and Lake Michigan. These dock supplies were exhausted before navigation opened. The movement of coal on the Lakes to Superior and Michigan
docks up to the end of June was $3,620,000$ tons, compared with $8,800,000$ tons for the same period in 1919 and $7,270,000$ tons in 1918.
"The scarcity of low sulphur coal, usually obtained from eastern Kentucky, western Pennsylvania, and West Virginia, is retarding the manufacture of steel in this district. The movement of this coal has been hindered by the car situation. Inadequate transportation, whether due to car shortage, insufficient motive power, or labor shortage, is still playing an important part in the general situation and has an adverse influence at a time when the country is woefully underproduced in many lines of manufacture. There is still a shortage of raw materials, which seriously delays the finished product."
The Minneapolis report brings additional evidence to support the view that the extreme shortage in the Northwest is likely to persist.
"The problem of securing adequate fuel supplies for the northwestern States is more dependent upon the condition of lake transportation than ever before, owing to difficulties in rail transportation. The receipts of coal at Duluth and Superior Harbor during the month of June, 1920, were 911,211 short tons, as compared with 455,806 short tons in May, 1920, or an increase over the month of May of practically 100 per cent. The total coal receipts between the opening of navigation and the end of June, 1920 , were $1,388,017$ tons, as compared with $3,781,772$ tons on the same date in 1919. The receipts for the current year are therefore 36.7 per cent of one year ago.
"A closer analysis of the total receipts for the current year to date as compared with one year ago shows that the receipts of soft coal have been 29.1 per cent and hard coal 92.2 per cent. If the improvement shown in June over May continues, there will probably be no difficulty in securing enough anthracite coal for residence heating purposes, but the problem of securing bituminous coal for industrial usage is serious. It must be borne in mind that the docks were clean at the opening of this navigation year, whereas a year ago substantial stocks were on hand. The monthly improvement will need, therefore, to be constantly accelerated, and steps are being taken to secure an improvement in the delivery of coal to the ports on Lake Erie, the lack of which has been the chief cause for the small receipts of this year compared with last."

District No. 10 (Kansas City) unequivocally states that "coal production seems from all
reports to have hit a snag, or at least the output shows no perceptible sign of improvement. The biggest factor, it is shown by reports from all fields, is the transportation disability. At the mines of this district there was some slight improvement in the supply of cars, but as a matter of fact the car situation is acute in Missouri, Kansas, and Oklahoma and is proving a serious hindrance to the production movement of coal. Loss on account of labor disturbances is now very low, though there are some scattered strikes here and there. And with the shortage of production and distribution there is no prospect of an easement of prices."

## EMPLOYMENT CONDITIONS.

As was to be expected, following the extensive shutdowns and curtailment of operations in the New England district, unemployment among certain classes of labor is now reported from that section. In other districts also unemployment has developed here and there in those industries, primarily textiles and boots and shoes, which have felt the effect of cancellations. As a result of special circumstances, such as labor troubles or, more usually, traffic congestion, particularly severe in district No. 4 (Cleveland), some surplus of labor is also reported. The enforced unemployment in mining regions, likewise a result of the transportation problem, has been referred to elsewhere.
It is significant that the lack of farm labor is not so pressing a problem in all parts of the country as it was feared it would be, although district No. 6 (Atlanta) and disirict No. 7 (Chicago) report a persistent shortage, while district No. 12 (San Francisco) notes the importation of Mexican laborers to take care of the cotton crop.

District No. 1 (Boston) reports as follows:
"During the first fortnight of July only 10 new strikes were reported to the Massachusetts department of labor, but two of which involved any considerable number of workers, indicating that conditions are more nearly normal in this respect than they have been for many months. During the latter part of June and the first two weeks of July a very marked decrease in the demand for factory help has occurred, and while such a lull is normally expected at this season, it is more pronounced at the present time than during the past three or four years; the Boston public employment office reports a surplus of machin-
ists, mechanics, clerks, and general factory workers looking for employment.
"At the end of the Government fiscal year, June 30, Congress having determined to retrench in certain lines of war expenditures as a part of the program to get the country back upon a peace basis, the Watertown Arsenal was forced to release over 2,000 men, a large number of them skilled machinists; there has continued, however, an active demand for shipyard workers at the Fore River (Quincy, Mass.) plant of the Bethlehem Ship Building Co., and the current business of the machine shops, tool and hardware making industries of Massachusetts and Connecticut continues on such scales of activity that they have been able to absorb a good part of the supply of skilled labor released from Government work. There has been only nominal closing of factories and mills in the Bridgeport section, and where there has been short time or complete shutdowns it has been for the purpose of taking inventory or because of unsatisfactory shipping conditions. In New Britain, manufacturing largely builders' hardware, factories are running full time, with some shortage of labor, and labor conditions generally satisfactory, with no strikes and none threatened. The situation in Hartford is also reported as satisfactory, except for a shortage of unskilled labor and unwillingness of some workmen to turn out a full week's work, piecework earnings being high. In New Hampshire numerous woolen, worsted, and shoe factories havebeen on short-time schedules, and some which have remained on full time will be obliged to curtail unless new ordors are received soon. Unskilled labor is more plentiful in Rhode Island.
"Difficulties in procuring cans and tin plate, due to transportation delays, have caused a shutdown of American sardine canneries along the Maine coast, affecting, it is said, 10,000 men and women employed in these plants. In the textile and shoe and leather centers factories have been altogether closed down or are running on short time, with thousands of operatives out of employment or living on reduced earnings even if at higher wage rates; purchasing power in these communities is therefore beginning to show signs of diminishing, though no serious inroads have yet apparently been made on savings deposits nor are Liberty bonds being generally sacrificed by small holders."

In district No. 2 (New York) "there was a distinct though not large decrease in employ-
ment during the past month. The closing of some mills of a large woolen company threw a number out of employment, and the dullness in textile and leather industries resulted in other factories reducing their working forces. Unemployment in the clothing trades is still much greater than is usual at this season of the year, and the hope of a settlement of the strike of fur makers in New. York City has not been realized. The shoe industry showed an increase of employment during June, but this was due to the settlement of a strike at Rochester. The Pennsylvania Railroad's announcement on July 19 that it would lay off about 12,000 of its employees in the eastern region will apply to several thousand in this district.
"A strike of 1,000 longshoremen engaged in the deep-sea trade lasted only one day, but the strike of longshoremen and lightermen in the coastwise trade continues. There have been several minor labor disturbances, but generally conditions are more stable than they were several months ago. There are frequent reports that labor is more efficient, that the increase in unemployment has made some think greater efforts were necessary to hold their jobs.
"There is a surplus of untrained male office help, due in part to the number of students seeking employment during vacation; but there are still local shortages of unskilled hands, caused to some extent by the scarcity of immigrant labor, and opportunity for employment for 4,000 or more is reported in the Syracuse district.
"In spite of the prevailing conditions, the average weekly earnings during June of factory workers in this State increased. The June average, as reported to the New York State Industrial Commission, was \$28.77, an increase of 32 cents over May. During the past year the average increase was 28 per cent, ranging from 21 to 36 per cent, while in the six years from June, 1914, to June, 1920, the average increase was 127 per cent, ranging from 96 per cent to 153 per cent.
"Few large changes in weekly earnings occurred from May to June this year, but numerous slight increases were reported. The largest gain was $\$ 3.27$ in the cotton-goods industry, due to a general increase of 15 per cent in wage rates, and earnings in the iron and steel industry reached the record average of $\$ 43.12$. There was a decrease of $\$ 3.15$ in the earnings of fur workers, and slight decreases in other industries where increased activity resulted in the hiring of a number of lower-paid workers."

In district No. 3 (Philadelphia) there is considerable unemployment in the knit-goods industry, while strikes have closed down some carpet and rug mills. On the other hand, there is a shortage of unskilled labor in certain lines, such as pottery.

In district No. 4 (Cleveland) "unemployment is becoming more common, and a marked increase in the efficiency of labor is observed. One of the largest producing companies in this district reports that 'we have had the greatest four months in our history of pounds produced per man.' In the city of Akron alone from 12,000 to 15,000 men have been laid off. Akron warehouses are full of automobile tubes and casings which can not reach the markets."

District No. 5 (Richmond) states that "glass manufacturers report difficulty in securing sufficient laborers, and a large manufacturer of steel products complains that present-day labor is too much inclined to float from job to job. Inability of coal-mining companies to run full time, due to car shortage, appears to be causing considerable unrest among the miners; the men employed in the few mines which are able to run more nearly at capacity seem to be much better contented than those working in mines which can market only enough coal to keep running a few days each month. It would seem from numerous reports received that many laborers are now being, or soon will be, laid off or forced to work only three or four days each week because of inability of manufacturers to secure raw materials or to get finished products to market. Several letters state that if the transportation system of the country be made to function properly there will be full-time work for everyone, and the increased production will reduce general prices sufficiently to remove most of labor's complaints."

In district No. 6 (Atlanta) the labor shortage continues in the rural districts, while extensive strikes are reported from New Orleans. "There appears," it is said, "to be no relief from the shortage of farm labor which has existed from the beginning of the war. Reports continue to indicate that all parts of the district are seriously short of farm hands, and this will result in smaller crops than would otherwise be produced. Abandonment of some cotton acreage is predicted, and the shortage seems to be general throughout the district.
"One Mississippi city reports public improvements are being held up indefinitely because of inability to obtain the necessary labor.
"After a month's duration the carpenters' strike in New Orleans was ended the last of June, and building has been resumed with renewed zest in an effort to make up for the time lost. The first of July, however, brought a strike of street-car men for an 83 per cent increase and a signed contract. This demand has receded to a 54 per cent increase, which has not been granted. Cars are being operated with considerable regularity under the existing conditions by forces recruited from other cities and some local men.
"The metal workers' strike at New Orleans since May 18 continues with renewed force and some violence. The Metal Trade Association has offered 90 cents an hour, but workers will accept nothing less than their original demand of \$1. Several machinery companies have been obliged to sublet contracts to other cities, especially orders for sugar machinery in Cuba, where it must be installed and tested in time for the beginning of the sugar season in December.
"The coastwise longshoremen's strike, also in New Orleans, continues, but is not so severely felt owing to the declaration of open shop by some steamship companies, and in this way a comparatively full working force has been recruited."

District No. 7 (Chicago) likewise emphasizes the lack of farm labor.
"The absorption of labor by the automobile industry and by other lines of endeavor offering abnormally profitable and excessively high wages has seriously hampered the ability of producers of other commodities to profitably maintain their production schedules. Thousands of farms over the Middle West are idle for this reason."

In district No. 8 (St. Louis), on the contrary, "so far as can be learned there is no lack of labor, skilled or unskilled. In certain instances plant operation has been curtailed and there have been temporary shutdowns, the latter being due, however, to inability to obtain raw materials or fuel. This district has had no indefinite suspensions, as in the case of textile plants in the East.
"The predicted record shortage of labor for harvest and general agricultural operations this season has not been verified in anything like the magnitude suggested. On the contrary, where wheat has been harvested and other crops garnered there has in general been ample hands for handling the work. In only a relatively few localities have farmers suffered from lack of help. In industrial and general
lines labor is also reported more plentiful. Actual unemployment in this district is negligible, Federal and State commissioners reporting that idleness coming under their observation thus far is voluntary or due to strikes. Closing down of or curtailment at eastern plants has resulted in a drift of surplus workers in this direction which largely relieved existing deficiencies. Wages remain aboutstationary as compared with a month ago, except in segregated instances where advances are reported. There have been minor strikes in the district, the most serious of which embrace the building trades in St. Louis. Officials of labor unions report a growing tendency toward thrift and saving among their members. To the south agricultural labor is less abundant than elsewhere in the district. Employment agencies find no improvement in the supply of domestic help, and lack of this variety of labor is acute in the smaller towns and rural districts."

District No. 10 (Kansas City) reports "cessation of operations in the zinc and lead mining regions, although some of the released labor apparently has found occupation elsewhere. The last week of the month was marked by a complete closing down of the entire mining district, only two mines operating in the entire Oklahoma field. This action was brought about by the realization of the inability to ship ores, the difficulty of obtaining labor, which was rapidly deserting the mines for the harvest fields, the desire to curtail production in order to stabilize the market and eliminate the huge stock of surplus ores existing in the fields. The shutdown is scheduled for two weeks, no mines intending starting up earlier than the 12 th of July. This is the most complete shutdown in the history of the industry, and coming coincident with the holiday season of Independence Day it makes it less harmful for the unemployed than would otherwise have resulted.
"The metal-mining conditions in Colorado have been marked during the past month by a considerable shortage of labor in practically all the mining districts of the State. It is particularly acute in Cripple Creek, and in connection with the other difficulties of the gold producers will probably result in a very much decreased production of gold for the year. A few new operations are reported from various parts of the State, but the larger mines are finding it difficult to keep up production on account of the impossibility of obtaining a
sufficient number of men. There is very little prospecting going on, and consequently not much chance of anything new being found this season.
"The reports all tend to show a marked improvement in the harvest labor supply this season as compared with the difficulties experienced last year. This is said to be due to many reasons. One of the most important was increased efficiency of Federal, State, and city employment agencies and of civic bodies in sending able-bodied men to the harvest fields. In Oklahoma a 'Save the grain committee,' with N. R. Graham as chairman, did very effective work toward solving the harvest labor problem by enlisting for this purpose the cooperation of former Liberty loan chairmen and workers in every county in that State. Hundreds of men employed in the mines in Missouri, Oklahoma, and Kansas helped in the wheat harvest. No trouble was experienced in Kansas on account of shortage of harvest hands, except in the northwestern part of the State; but the release of men from the harvest in the southern and central sections of the State early in July eased up the situation. Another big factor in the solution of the harvest labor problem was a closer cooperation of the country town people and the farmers by which other activities were suspended temporarily in many communities in order that the wheat might be taken care of."

District No. 11 (Dallas) reports an increase in the labor supply and says: "Labor conditions have been gradually improving, according to reports received. The slackening in construction work and various other industrial activities has had a tendency to stimulate competition for employment, resulting in a greater efficiency as well as supply of labor."

In district No. 12 (San Francisco) "there are at the moment no strikes or labor disturbances of any importance, although in some sections it is reported that there is a tendency for radical propaganda to increase.
"With the exception of the cotton-growing regions in southern California and Arizona, the supply of labor about equals the demand. Cotton growers are importing Mexican labor for use during the picking season, which will be returned to Mexico at the close of the season. This procedure will apparently insure sufficient labor to take care of the crop as it matures."

CONDITION OF WHOLESALE TRADE IN JUNE．
Percentage of increase（or decrease）in net sales in June，1920， as compared with the preceding month．

| Distriet． |  | 硡 |  | 號 | 安 | ¢ ${ }_{\text {¢ }}^{\text {¢ }}$ | 曾 | 宮 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | P．ct． |  | P．ct． |  | P．ct． |  | P．ct． |  |
| No． 6. | 4.2 | 8 | －4．7 | 6 | －7． | 7 | －5．i | 5 |
| No． 10 | ＋21．2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No． 11. | ${ }_{-22.1}^{+4.0}$ | 5 26 | －14．0 | 12 | -6.0 -0.8 | 20 | －10．8 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District． |  |  | 等 | 硡 |  |  | 宮 | 硡 |
|  | P．ct． |  | P．ct． |  | P．ct． |  | P．ct． |  |
| No．${ }^{\text {Na．．．}}$ |  |  |  |  |  | ． |  |  |
| No． 10. | －9．0 | 2 | －3．8 | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| No． $11 .$. | ＋1．0 | 4 | －16．0 | 3 | ＋25．0 | 5 |  |  |
| o． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Percentage of increase（or decrease）in net sales in June，1920， as compared with June， 1919.

| District． |  |  |  |  |  |  | 宮 | ㅎ <br>  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No． 4. | P．ct． +47.8 |  | $\xrightarrow{P . c t .}$ | 3 | P．ct． +37.2 | 5 |  |  |
| No． 6 | ＋27．4 | 8 | －18．7 | 6 | ＋42．8 | 7 | $\dddot{+10.2}$ | 5 |
| No． 7 | +35.1 +48.0 | 29 | ＋31．4 | 11 |  |  | －3．0 | 5 |
| No． 11. | ＋4．0 | 5 | －32．0 |  | －16．0 |  |  |  |
| No． 12. | ＋ 0.0 | 26 | ＋22．3 | 11 | ＋31．9 | 20 | 5．9 | 14 |
| District． | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 券 } \\ & \stackrel{y y y y}{*} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 흐 } \\ & \text { 嵩品 } \\ & \text { 号品 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 芴 |  |
| No． 4 | $\xrightarrow[+53.4]{\text { P．ct．}}$ | 6 | P．ct． |  | P．ct． |  | P．ct． |  |
| No． 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No． 10 | ＋31．0 | 2 | $+6.3$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| No． 11 | ＋52．0 | 4 | － 2.0 | 3 | ＋50．0 | 5 |  |  |
| No． 12. |  |  | ＋ 8.7 | 9 |  |  | ＋27．1 | 13 |

DISTRICT NO． 4 （OLEVELAND）．
Wholesale trade continues to show substan－ tial gains over the volume of trade during the early months last year，as indicated by reports from dealers．

Dry goods dealers predict lower prices except in such merchandise as has been oversold and in which production is backward．

Wholesale hardware dealers report that pur－ chases are confined largely to goods needed for
immediate wants，and that while prices are not now advancing generally there is no par－ ticular point of weakness．The weight of opinion is that prices will decline rather than advance．
Grocery dealers report nearly 50 per cent increase in net sales，a considerable part of which is said by some to have been represented by large sales of sugar．The tendency is toward cautious purchasing on the part of both wholesalers and retailers．Buying of canned goods，notwithstanding high prices，is said to average up to that of former years． Dealers are practically a unit in declaring that price concessions can not be made in their line． Collections are reported as especially good．
Sales of drugs show a substantial increase， and the tendency seems to be to lower prices．

## DISTRICT NO． 6 （ATLANTA）．

There appears to have been a strengthening of the determination on the part of the public generally to delay buying until further de－ clines in prices take place．This is reflected in the fact that the volume of sales by whole－ sale firms during the month of June is appre－ ciably below the figures for May，and in some instances even below the sales for June of last year．

Wholesale grocery firms report their custo－ mers are buying cautiously for immediate delivery，with the expectation of lower prices at an early date．There is fear that canned goods will be scarce and high，but the trend of prices generally is downward．

Wholesale dry goods merchants are of the opinion that manufacturers are making ab－ normally large profits，that prices are too high to allow retailers any profit，and many are making only necessary purchases，believing that merchandise will decline in price before the fall months．There was a general decrease in volume of sales by wholesale hardware firms． The opinion appears to be that although prices have reached the peak，there is no immediate prospect of decline in any appreciable amount． Wholesale shoe companies report that as high as 25 per cent of orders for fall delivery have been canceled on account of some decline in prices of leather and because of some unfav－ orable local crop reports．Retailers expect declines in prices，but wholesale firms appear to doubt any early reductions．

## DISTRICT NO． 10 （KANSAS CITY）．

While the wholesale trade in dry goods is quiet，the June reports show an increase of
advance orders for fall shipments. One house puts the increase at 100 per cent over June, 1919, but mentions as a fact that the amount of advance orders increased mainly on account of advanced prices. Production is reported as increasing, with a downward trend of prices. Both wholesalers and retailers are buying stocks cautiously.

In the wholesale furniture trade the combined reports for four leading houses in this district show June sales averaged 3.8 per cent less than in May and 6.3 per cent more than in June of last year. Goods are coming in more freely at some centers, but are still scarce at others. While it is felt that prices have reached their highest peak, there are no declines in sight.

Drug wholesalers are reported to be buying heavily on account of slow freight deliveries, while retailers are buying liberally. At present prices are advancing more than they are declining.

The three wholesale grocery firms that reported said that retailers were buying cautiously on account of a downward tendency of prices. Unfilled orders were not large.

```
DISTRICT NO. 11 (DALLAS).
```

Wholesalers in this district report that retail merchants continued their previous policy of cautious buying during the month of June. Immediate needs of the trade seemed to dominate most transactions, although in some lines renewed interest in "futures" began to be manifested toward the end of the month. Net sales for the month of June, as compared with the preceding month, showed losses in various lines ranging from 6 per cent to 24 per cent, although gains were reported by dealers in groceries and automobile supplies.

As compared with orders booked in June, 1919, the past month's reports show an increase in all lines except furniture and dry goods. The higher price levels of the present, however, must be considered in measuring the physical turnover in June, 1919, and June, 1920, by the dollar volume of sales.

A feature of interest in the June wholesale reports is the evidence they afford of heary accumulations of stocks. Both as compared
with stocks a year ago and those on hand at the end of May, 1920, a marked increase is shown. This situation seems to reflect a conviction on the part of the wholesalers, based largely on the favorable crop outlook in this district, that despite financial restrictions and price resistance there will be a brisk trade this fall in all lines of business. In fact, many of them are confidently predicting this in their reports to the monthly review.

Many complaints are being voiced by wholesale houses on the subject of the transportation service. The slowness and uncertainty of deliveries greatly handicapped operations in June, according to advices from reporting firms. With the approach of the crop-moving season it is feared that the situation will become still more acute, and retailors are being advised to anticipate, as far as possible, their merchandise needs for the period in which the roads will be heavily engaged in moving the products of the farm.

## DISTRICT NO. 12 (SAN FRANCISCO).

Both retail and wholesale trade activity as reported by representative stores in this district was smaller during June than during May, although larger than during June, 1919. Sales by 71 representative wholesale firms were 15.6 per cent smaller by value during June than during May, and 11.7 per cent greater during June, 1920, than during June, 1919. Approximately 37 per cent of the increases over June of 1919 were due to price increases. Total sales of reporting wholesale firms averaged by value 38.1 per cent greater during the first six months of 1920 than during the first six months of 1919.

The decrease in wholesale trade as compared with May was greatest in dry goods and grocery lines, the respective percentage decreases being 14 per cent and 22.1 per cent. As compared with May, the general level of wholesale and retail prices has been practically stationary; although the wholesale silk market is still very weak, wholesale sugar and shoe prices have shown a slightly downward tendency and wholesale stationers report that prices are tending slightly upward. The detailed table, giving percentage changes by cities, follows.

Condition of Wholesale Trade During June, 1920, in Federal Reserve District No. 12.
(1a) Percentage of increase or decrease in net sales for June, 1920, over June, 1919.

(1b) Percentage of increase in net sales January 1-June 30, 1920, over same period 1919.

|  | Hardware. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dry } \\ \text { goods. } \end{gathered}$ | Groceries. | Shoes. | Sta-tionery. | Furniture. | Total. ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Los Angeles. | 65.5 |  | 38.5 | 40.8 |  | 54.7 | 47.8 |
| Sacramento.. | 53.2 |  | 20.9 |  |  |  | 30.3 |
| San Francisco | 56.7 | 55.6 | 34.8 | 29.4 |  |  | 42.3 |
| Portland. | 36.4 | 27.7 | 32.6 | 21.9 |  | 78.5 | 33.3 |
| Salt Lake City |  |  | 23.4 |  |  |  | 26.2 |
| Seattle.. | 28.6 | 75.5 | 24.3 |  | 23.5 |  | 33.7 |
| Spokane. | 89.2 | 53.3 | 32.2 |  | 40.9 |  | 38.0 |
| Tacoma | 24.2 |  | 21.9 |  |  | 94.6 | 28.8 |
| District. | 46.3 | 54.0 | 31.6 | 22.1 | 36.9 | 58.8 | 38.1 |

(1c) Percentage of increase or decrease in net sales for June, 1920, over May, 1920.

|  | Hardware. | Dry goods. | Groceries. | Shoes. | Total. 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Los Angeles. | 22.7 |  | 228.3 |  | 219.0 |
| Sacramento. | 7.3 |  | 1.0 |  | 4.0 |
| San Francisco. | 1.5 | 224.8 | ${ }^{2} 14.5$ | 27.2 | 214.2 |
| Portland. | 29.2 | 24.6 | 241.7 | ${ }^{2} 15.7$ | 228.1 |
| Salt Lake City |  |  | ${ }^{2} 11.0$ |  | 29.5 |
| Seattle. | 6.1 | 7.4 | 217.4 |  | 1.0 |
| Spokane. | 0.9 | 1.0 | ${ }^{2} 18.5$ |  | ${ }^{2} 16.0$ |
| Tacoma. | 23.8 |  | 25.8 |  | 27.9 |
| District. | 20.8 | ${ }^{2} 14.0$ | 222.1 | ${ }^{2} 10.8$ | ${ }^{2} 15.6$ |

Drugs: District, 3.8 per cent.
${ }^{1}$ Includes reporting drug firms. $\quad 2$ Decrease.
(2) Character of buying demand.

All firms report customers buying for current use and the increase or decrease in sales occurring mostly in essentials. Several firms report a noticeable decrease in the sale of luxuries. Conservative buying by customers is also noted.
(3) Comment on price changes.

Hardware.-Forty-three per cent of reporting firms report an upward movement in srices, characterized as "slight" by nearly one-half of these firms. Twenty-five per cent report prices generally firm with some increases, and 32
per cent report prices firm with no changes of importance. No declines are reported.
Dry goods.--Twenty-eight per cent report an upward movement in some lines; 36 per cent report prices firm; 36 per cent report a decline in some lines, particularly silks. Cotton goods are reported as firm or advancing, while woolen goods show a weak tendency.
Groceries.-Fifty per cent report an upward tendency; 29 per cent report prices firm; 21 per cent show a downward tendency Many firms note especially the decline in sugar prices, and several report a falling off in sugar sales.

Drugs.-Seventy-two per cent report prices firm, while 28 per cent report a few reductions.
Shoes.-Eighteen per cent report prices firm; 55 per cent report a downward tendency in some lines; 27 per cent report prices generally declined. Several firms reported a falling off in sales in high-priced goods and price reductions in these goods.
Stationery.-Eighty-one per cent report advances or an upward tendency, while 19 per cent report prices firm. An advance in paper, due partly to a shortage of pulp, is reported. No declines are noted.
Furniture.--Fifty per cent report advances; 10 per cent report prices firm but with an upward tendency; 40 per cent report prices firm with practically no changes. Some firms state that prices will probably increase further owing to the increase in the cost of lumber and wages of labor, while others report a tendency for prices to settle down, with a falling off in the buying demand.
(4) Collections.

|  | Excellent. | Good. | Fair. | Poor. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hardware. | Per cent. | Per cent. | Per cent. | Per cent. |
| Dry goods. |  | 55 | 45 |  |
| Groceries. | 10 | 62 | 28 |  |
| Drugs..... | 14 | 57 | 29 |  |
| Stationery | 17 | 54 54 5 | ${ }_{29}^{46}$ |  |
| Furniture. |  | 77 | 23 |  |

## TERMS OF SALE.

The following is the fifth of a series of articles giving data as to current practice and recent history of terms of sale in the principal industries. Acknowledgment is due the many business houses, individuals, trade periodicals, and trade associations who have courteously furnished the information.

## WHOLESALE DRY GOODS.

Dry goods jobbing is exceedingly complex. Many different classes of goods are handled, and the business of individual jobbers differs somewhat. Houses are of several types. ${ }^{1}$ First are the large nation-wide general dry goods jobbers, located in the larger markets, in particular in Chicago and St. Louis and in the Mississippi

[^0]Valley, who cater to buyers throughout the entire country. Larger stocks are carried, with greater range in quality and selection, and the volume of business done enables each to conduct practically a specialty business in each department, while a large mill shipment business is also done, shipments being direct from mill to retailer. Second are local general jobbers, located in important railroad centers, and covering a more limited territory, being found in the upper Mississippi Valley, the central South, and on the Pacific coast, though rarely in.the territory accessible to New York. Third are smaller local jobbers, covering a more restricted territory, and found to a considerable extent in the South. The differences between the three types are largely in the extent of territory covered. In the second and third, however, certain differences may also appear according to the territory in which the house is located, and a corresponding difference in the character of goods handled. Thus heavier goods, such as blankets, flannels, and woolen underwear play a larger rôle in the North and Northwest, and these items carry a later dating than do the regular items. Likewise, it has been suggested that eastern houses have a larger percentage of their business in finer and more expensive goods in which the style factor plays a larger role than is the case in other sections of the country. Most eastern jobbers cover limited territories, and their customers are in close proximity to the market, so that most of their buying is done in the market and from open stock, whereas in the West sales for future delivery play a larger rôle.

In order to clarify the discussion the various items which are handled may be classified as follows: Piece goods, notions, white goods and linens, ladies' ready to wear, men's furnishings, hosiery and underwear, and floor coverings. Of each of these there may be several subdivisions. Leading houses will have departments organized along these or other general lines, although the plan of departmentalization may vary greatly from house to house, and the notion department in certain houses, for example, may include many other items such as jewelry, laces, and embroideries, veilings, dress trimmings, buttons, umbrellas, etc., as well as those recognized as regular notion items. Following are the classifications of departments in two leading houses:

[^1]

For the present purpose, another significant classification which should be noted is that into staple and fancy items, cotton piece goods, thus for example, being of both descriptions. It may be remarked, however, that the volume of piece goods handled has decreased greatly over a period of years. In addition to the regular distributors mentioned above, during the past two years, "loft" or "secondary" distributors have grown up who find an outlet for their merchandise through the channels of regular jobbers and also to manufacturers of garments who could not secure sufficient quantities of merchandise through the regular mill channels.

As in other leading jobbing lines, great interest has been displayed in the terms upon which merchandise is purchased, and both of the leading associations have considered the matter, though from somewhat different points of view. The National Wholesale Dry Goods Association has considered primarily the adequacy of the cash discount or cash premium allowed on separate articles. Its several divisions, in particular the Jobbers Association of Notion Buyers, have regularly communicated in the past with manufacturers whose discounts, both cash and trade, were unsatisfactory, or who announced a decrease in or elimination of the same. The Southern Wholesale Dry Goods Association has considered rather the question of a uniform set of terms to apply to all purchases. The committee appointed to deal with the matter, which existed for several years, recommended uniform terms of 2 per cent 10 days, 60 days extra, instead of the variety of terms actually in use. In 1919 the report of the steering committee to deal with factors, commission merchants, and manufacturers, and appointed for the purpose of improving relations between the two groups, again recommended, among other things, " a minimum cash discount of 2 per cent, with minimum dating of 60 days on all commodities." Since the opening of the war period the problem has assumed new importance as a result of the curtailment of terms and decrease of discounts by houses selling the jobber. Thus, it is stated
that 10-day terms have frequently been quoted or, where 60 days was still given, such high rate of anticipation attached as practically to force payment within 10 days. Coupled with this has been the demand that the wholesaler take goods far in advance of the season for immediate payment. While this has been due in part to the efforts of purchasers to obtain advance deliveries for fear of later shortage, it has been pointed out that the effect has been to force wholesalers in many cases to finance several seasons' goods at the same time, thus financing two-thirds of their business in two months' time. The situation has been aggravated by the billing of goods by mills prior to delivery to the transportation company, in the event of embargoes or refusal of the carrier to receive the goods. He himself has found it necessary to continue to carry the retailer, and his regular terms on the whole have shown relatively little change. "Summed up briefly," then, it has been stated that " the wholesale dry goods house is to-day bearing both the burdens of the manufacturer and of the retailer."

The Southern Wholesale Dry Goods Association alone has taken formal action in adopting a set of maximum terms upon which it is recommended that goods be sold. After discussion at each of its previous conventions, in 1915 terms were adopted at Nashville of 2 per cent 10 days, net 30 days, on season shipments, with dating of October 1 and April 1 for shipments after June 1 and January 1, respectively. Intermediate shipments carried 60 days extra, terms on them being 2 per cent 10 days, 60 days extra, net 90 days. In 1916 and 1917 these terms were reaffirmed, and in the latter year an interpretation was added, stating that June to July and January to February shipments carried the season datings, while shipments during August to December and during March to June carried the terms for intermediate shipments. At these conventions the members practically universally expressed satisfaction with the terms, and in a considerable number of cases favored the adoption of even shorter terms. It has been stated that more than 90 per cent of the membership were making terms less than the maximum outlined in the Nashville resolution. Thus, instances of the omission of net 30 days on season terms were reported, as well as the use of 2 per cent 10 days, 30 days extra. In 1917 some houses had eliminated the 10 days on season shipments, making terms October 1 and April 1 with 2 per cent discount, as well as on intermediate shipments, 2 per cent 60 days thus
being given. Several instances of longer terms were reported, such as 2 per cent 10 days, net 60 days, on shipments bearing season dating, as well as 3 per cent 10 days October 1. Some houses, in particular in Tennessee and at New Orleans, reported difficulty in enforcing the terms, due to competitive conditions.

The feeling in favor of shorter terms resulted in a revision of the terms in 1918 at the New Orleans convention. The 10 days on season shipments were omitted, making terms on season bills 2 per cent October 1 and April 1, and due net November 1 and May 1. Intermediate shipments carried terms of 2 per cent 10 days net 60 days but exception was made of department stores, which were to be granted 60 days extra on such shipments. The latter concession, which was intended to be used merely where competition forced the naming of such terms, however, seemed to have been "misunderstood, misinterpreted, and generally has caused confusion and dissatisfaction," to quote the report of the committee on terms at the 1919 convention. Accordingly the committee, while recommending the same season terms, favored 2 per cent 60 days on intermediate shipments, but strongly recommended that bale goods and all intermediate shipments of other goods as far as possible be billed on terms of 2 per cent 10 days, net 60 days. The longer terms on intermediate shipments were specified in view of the fact that certain of the members had previously employed them, and they also were felt to be necessary to enable those coming in contact with the larger markets to meet these terms. An unsuccessful effort was made by certain members so situated to reinstate the 10 days which the New Orleans terms had withdrawn. It is understood that there has been no subsequent change in the formal terms.

The several territorial divisions of the association have also interested themselves in the subject and have passed resolutions indorsing the recommended terms, as well as made recommendations to the association's committee on terms. In 1919 a large majority of eastern Tennessee houses were reported to have terms of 2 per cent 30 days, net 60 days, and on sales to department stores 2 per cent 60 days, net 90 days. West Virginia houses, which had first adopted terms of 30 days extra, 2 per cent 10 days, net 60 days, in consequence of subsequent adoption of 2 per cent 10 days, 60 days extra, net 90 days, by outside jobbers, recommended the adoption of such terms. Terms have also been adopted locally in cer-
tain cases, Cincinnati houses, through their association in 1918, adopting terms similar to those of the Southern Dry Goods Association.

The matter of terms of sale has been discussed at many of the conventions of the National Wholesale Dry Goods Association. Complaint has been made at various times of the tendency of purchasers to deduct discounts when running somewhat beyond the discount period, as well as to endeavor to deduct discounts and add interest instead when taking longer time, such as, for example, with terms of four months or with note settlements. In 1913 it was suggested by several members that formal action be taken, but nothing was done. In 1914 the necessity of curtailing season datings in order to afford an increased margin of profit was emphasized. The old datings were largely continued by jobbers, although they had been eliminated by manufacturers. Jobbers' cash discounts were stated not to differ much from manufacturers' although some jobbers had eliminated the old regular terms and employed net terms instead. With the pronounced shortening of terms by manufacturers during the war, increased stress was placed upon the necessity of a corresponding shortening in jobbers' terms. Additional emphasis was lent by the steadily rising cost of doing business. The adjustment of terms on each line exactly to correspond with manufacturers is not, however, possible in all cases, inasmuch as jobbers' terms are in many cases the same for all kinds of a general type of goods. At the 1918 meeting various houses cited instances of shortening of terms, such as moving the season dating forward one month from May 1 and November 1 to April and October 1, elimination of 60 days extra, and of 10 days time on season terms, and use of net 10 days in place of 2 per cent 10 days.

General agreement, however, existed as to the undersirability of concerted action, and this was reiterated at the meeting held in July, 1918, the "consensus of opinion being that a nationwide uniform set of terms would not be possible for all sellers of dry goods, underwear, hosiery, notions, and kindred goods." At the meeting earlier in the year, the secretary had been instructed to collect the terms of members, which was done. While great variety appeared, the compilation showed a decrease in the time given and a tendency to closer terms. It was stated to be "a proven fact that the 'terms situation' was in better shape than at any previous period," and that "the improvement, might reasonably be expected to continue."

While there was an effort at further shortening, terms at present, however, are stated to be substantially on the same basis as indicated in the survey.

We may proceed to examine in greater detail the terms of the 135 houses which are given in this survey. The general terms are 2 per cent 10 days, 60 days extra, for many years recognized as the regular dry goods terms. While in many cases no terms beyond the 70-day period are formally quoted, and bills are due net after 70 days, in other cases net 90 days or net 4 months is frequently specified, though there has been a tendency toward the firstnamed net terms. Anticipation at the rate of 6 per cent per annum is generally permitted, which gives a cash discount of 3 per cent 10 days, which, in fact, is quoted by some houses, as well as in some cases, 1 per cent 10 days, net 30 days. Season datings most frequently specified are April 1 and October 1, in general for shipments made prior to two months before the dating, thus being February 1 and August 1 for the datings given, after which time the regular 60 days' extra terms are given. Certain houses, however, employ other season datings, in particular May 1 and November 1, for the general line, while several instances of earlier datings, such as February 1 and March 1 and August 1 and September 1, were also noted. Orders bearing the season dating in general carry no further dating, although in certain cases 60 days extra was also given, mainly by houses having the earlier season datings and practically nullifying the same. In all sections houses are found which do not employ the regular terms or which have no season datings. In part this is the result of a shortening of terms in recent years, and one house states that there has been a decided tendency to eliminate season datings during the last two years, while in part it is a reflection of the character of business done. Some houses noted that they had recently revised their terms, while others either were contemplating or favored revision. Thus, in some cases, 30 days extra in place of 60 days was given to new accounts, and in St. Louis several leading houses had eliminated the 10 days of grace on season datings, bills then being subject to 2 per cent discount for payment on October 1, while in certain cases, for example, in Los Angeles, houses had eliminated these 10 days in connection with the regular terms. Some houses then sold on terms of 2 per cent 10 days or 2 per cent 30 days net 60 days, while terms of 2 per cent 10 days, 1 per cent' 30 days net 60 days,
were also found, and an effort was being made in northern New York to obtain the adoption of such terms by jobbers. Jobbers handling primarily special lines such as hosiery and underwear, or men's furnishings, also depart in some cases from the regular terms, following the manufacturer's terms on these items, which will be indicated below. Certain markets, such as St. Louis and Ballimore, have been known in the past for their liberality in the matter of terms, but the former has advanced the customary dating from May 1 and November 1 to April 1 and October 1 during the last few years. Jobbers located at smaller centers in various sections in a number of cases instance the competition of a larger neighboring market as forcing the granting of 60 days extra, a November 1 season dating, etc.

The extent to which houses classify their business and extend different terms on each class would appear to vary roughly to some extent with the size of the market. Houses located in the smaller centers in many cases have but one set of terms to apply to their entire business. In the larger markets, in particular those of the Middle West, distinction in general is made between spring goods and fall goods, certain of which in each case bear a dating one month later, factory or manufactured goods produced by the house itself, and mill shipments, while staples in certain cases are also distinguished. In between these two extremes there is wide variety, many houses having a lesser number of types, and in certain sections, such as in the East, the entire range of types is frequently not found. Classification presents a twofold aspect, certain goods having both different discounts and net terms, while with others the difference is merely in the season dating. Mill shipments in general bear terms of net 30 days, although somo houses give net 60 days or net 60 days on certain items only, such as towels and white goods, while giving net 30 days on other items. Little uniformity appears in the terms on overalls, work shirts, and similar items, instances noted being net 30 days or 60 days, in some cases with a discount of 1 per cent 10 days, 2 per cent 10 days, and 2 per cent 10 days 60 days extra, but no season dating in general is given on these lines. Terms on yarns, spool cotton, and thread also vary somewhat, instances of 1 per cent and 2 per cent 10 days net 30 days and 60 days being reported, without season dating. Terms on floor coverings are as a rule 4 per cent 10 days, 60 days extra.

In part, classification results from an effort to shorten terms or reduce discounts to correspond to manufacturers' changes in terms with respect to certain items. Thus, certain houses give no season dating on some items like prints, domestics, percales, ginghams, and sheetings, in some cases only on specified brands. Some houses, in addition, have eliminated the cash discount, and bill these and similar items on terms of net 60 days, while others have advanced the season dating one month, from April 1 and October 1 to March 1 and September 1. This tendency is also seen in connection with certain items such as hosiery and knit underwear, which, while frequently continuing to bear a season dating, in the case of many other houses are sold without such dating, or bear merely terms such as net 10 days or 1 per cent 10 days, 30 days or 60 days extra, similar discounts being applied also by certain houses in connection with the season dating. Certain items, however, frequently carry the later season datings of May 1 and November 1. Among these may be noted laces and embroideries, white goods, cloaks, and furs (which in some cases carry December 1 dating), blankets, underwear (when a dating is given), sweater coats, and fancy knit goods. These items are of a twofold character, being either heavier goods, which will be wanted for later fall use, or style items. Some eastern houses report a later shipment date in lieu of season datings, while some houses extend additional time on shipments to more distant territories, one house, for example, extending one month's extra dating on its Montana business.

Collections naturally vary with the different seasons of the year, payments being concentrated largely in the spring and fall. As fall sales are heavier than spring sales, they are heavier in the fall, this being noted alike for each of the various parts of the country. In certain agricultural sections, such as the Dakotas and Montana, this will be accentuated by the fact that accounts are carried to some extent until the fall, and certain houses selling such sections report a larger proportion of accounts in the summer which do not take the discount. The movement of merchandise with the majority of wholesale dry goods houses is about 40 per cent in the first six months of the year and 60 per cent in the last six months.

The following figures show the proportion of their total annual receipts received by three houses during each month of the year. It should be noted, however, that the data are
not strictly comparable, inasmuch as the terms of the houses differ somewhat:

|  | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May. | June. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England 1. | 7.0 | 5.6 | 6.5 | 7.4 | 8.3 | 8.3 |
| Northwest. | 5. 6 | 5.0 | 5.4 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 5.7 |
| North Pacific coast | 6.0 | 6.1 | 5.1 | 6.1 | 6.6 | 6.6 |
|  | July. | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. |
| New England 1. | 7.7 | 7.5 | 8.4 | 9.5 | 11.5 | 12.3 |
| Northwest. | 6.6 | 7.0 | 8.7 | 12.0 | 18.0 | 12.0 |
| Noith Pacific coast | 7.8 | 7.9 | 10.8 | 13.8 | 11.8 | 11.5 |

1 Another New Fngland house also notes that pat
about Jan. 15 to Mar. 15 and from July 1 to Sept. 1.
A leading authority states that on an average about 50 per cent of the accounts of retailers with wholesalers are discounted, and about 20 per cent are anticipated at the usual anticipation rate of 6 per cent, dependent upon locality and trade conditions. Several New England houses report that from 25 to 30 per cent of the number of accounts anticipate, 60 to 65 per cent pay at the due date (i. e., in 70 days), and 10 per cent run beyond. Several northwestern houses agree that 40 to 45 per cent of their accounts discount, and one states that 30 per cent pay at maturity, while the balance require more or less banking accommodation. One southwestern house has 66.75 per cent of its accounts anticipated and discounted, and 33.25 per cent paid at the net period, while another has 10 per cent of its accounts paid in 10 days, 40 per cent in up to 30 days, and 20 per cent in 31 to 60 days, and the balance in 61 days to 4 months.

Interest has been displayed in the trade acceptance by both trade associations. The national association has sent out considerable descriptive literature, while the 1918 convention of the southern association adopted a resolution favoring it, and several members who were employing it reported themselves well pleased with it. At the 1919 convention 20 members present at one of the meetings stated that they used acceptances. On the whole, however, as in other jobbing lines, the instrument is not used by the majority of houses.

## MEN'S WEAR WOOLEN AND WORSTED JOBBING.

As is the case with goods for women's wear, woolens and worsteds for men's wear find their way into consumption via one of two chan-nels--the jobber who sells to the small tailor and the ready-made clothing manufacturer.

The latter industry developed earlier than did the women's ready-made industry, which consequently has drawn most of its forms and methods of operations from it, and a greater portion of men's clothing is factory made. In 1900 the output of men's clothing as a factory product was already valued at twice the custom product. The number of factory-made garments would be even greater, inasmuch as the relatively higher priced garments are made by the tailor.

The jobbers of men's wear woolens and worsteds and tailors' trimmings are of two principal kinds. Due to the scarcity existing in the cloth markets during the last few years, and the great number of resales, the class of traders existing alongside of the so-called "oldline" jobber has assumed particular importance in this branch of the textile industry also. The principal markets in which trading occurs are New York, which is by far the largest, Boston, Philadelphia, and Chicago. With such concerns terms vary greatly, and the question is largely a price problem. A large percentage, however, is stated to sell on terms of net 30 days, although spot cash or net 10 days, net 60 days, and net 4 months are also given. Some houses of this description note a decrease in the length of terms during the past few years, instances of change from 4 months to 60 days and from 60 days to 30 days being reported.
The old-line jobbers, through their association, in January, 1918, adopted a resolution effective March 1, 1918, in favor of terms of 7 per cent 10 days, 6 per cent 30 days, 5 per cent 60 days. Invoices were to be dated ahead about two months, December deliveries thus bearing February 1 dating, with the exception that January-February deliveries bear April 1 and July-August deliveries bear October 1 dating, no goods being dated March 1 or September 1. Bills are due net in four months after the dating, and are subject to an interest charge of 6 per cent per annum thereafter. The same rate of interest is allowed for anticipation. On goods sold at net prices, no longer dating and no longer time is given. Goods shipped to Pacific coast territory, however, may bear longer dating, April 1 on December shipments, October 1 on June shipments, and 30 days extra on shipments during the other months. Although the matter of terms had been frequently discussed, no action had been taken prior to 1918, and no regular terms existed, although the terms which were adopted at that time, namely 7 per cent 10 days, 6 per
cent 30 days, and 5 per cent 60 days, had been previously in general employed.

In addition there are book houses who put up sample books of the fabrics which they have purchased from the mills. The tailor displays the book to his customer, who selects the style he desires, and the tailor then orders a suit length of the style from the book house. There is stated to be little difference in the relative strength of the book house and the regular jobber as a link in the distributive chain in the various sections of the country. Certain book houses combine jobbing with their regular business to a greater or lesser extent. The customer of the book house requires little credit, due to the fact that he shifts to it the burden of stocking the goods. In consequence, a large portion send cash with order or accept C. O. D. shipments, while some remit on receipt of goods or when sending the next order, and others receive 10 days, end of month terms or 30 days. The same principle underlies the granting of time as in the case of proximo terms, namely to group invoices in the case of frequent shipments. The annoyance incident to C. O. D. shipments is also avoided. Orders for large quantities of material bear 30 or 60 days, and only rarely are longer terms extended, such as 90 days in the case of orders for stock. For years a discount of 7 per cent has been granted, but this was abolished in certain cases last year.

## MEN'S CLOTHING.

Manufacturers of men's ready-to-wear clothing may be divided into several classes. The first distinction is between makers of trademarked clothing and makers of clothing unidentified by either trade-mark or label. The former will feel to a greater extent the desirability of greater concentration of work under their direct supervision, and the large inside factory is in fact on the increase everywhere but in New York. On the other hand, particularly in that center, the system of contracting is still largely employed. Between the two, the medium-sized house, it is felt in some quarters, is being driven out, due to the disadvantages inherent in its competition with both the small manufacturer on low grade and the large manufacturer on better grade garments. The relative capital of the typical cutting house is small compared with its turnover and in consequence "the whole structure rests on the ready saleability of the cutter's product," ${ }^{1}$ the chain extending from retailer through cutter to manufacturer of cloth.
${ }^{1}$ Cherington, The Wool Industry, p. 204.

As is well known, the industry is distinctly seasonal, although during the past two years activities have continued to a greater extent over the entire 12 months. The duration of the spring season is from about November 15 to May 15, the cloth being bought during the previous June, July, and August, and the salesmen soliciting orders during September, October, and November. Deliveries are generally made after January 1, being heaviest in February and March, and reorders follow in the spring. The cloth for the fall season is bought in December, January, and February, orders are received during March, April, and May, and deliveries are heaviest in August and September. Little, in particular in the higherpriced lines, is made for stock.
There is no standardization of terms in the industry. It has been the practice for the manufacturer to date shipments ahead, so as to permit the retailer to dispose of part of his purchases before being required to pay the manufacturer. Thus up to recent years terms were mostly 9 per cent for cash within 10 days, or 7 per cent 10 days, with December 1 dating on fall goods and June 1 dating on spring goods. In certain cases, however, the dating was November 1 or November 15 on fall goods and May 1 or May 15 on spring goods. Some houses have distinguished further between different classes of goods, suits, for example, being dated November 1 and overcoats December 1, or May 1 being specified on spring goods and June 1 on distinctly summer goods. Late shipments, for example, after April 1 or April 10 on spring and October 1 or October 10 on fall goods, in many cases bore terms of 7 per cent 10 days, 60 days extra, or 7 per cent 60 days. In certain cases 7 per cent e. o. m. has been given instead. Tradition in the industry sanctioned terms of 6 per cent 30 days, 5 per cent 60 days, and net 4 months. In some cases, however, 7 per cent 10 days, 5 per cent 30 days, and 4 per cent 60 days was given. Some manufacturers have considered accounts as due net at the close of 90 days. Other manufacturers, although permitting 30 days or longer settlements with correspondingly reduced discounts, have given formal terms of only 7 per cent 10 days, and have thus been able to insist upon payment of accounts of financially involved customers at any time after the expiration of the initial 10-day period. Anticipation has been generally permitted at the rate of 6 per cent per annum.

During the last few years many manufacturers have shortened terms, although the larger number continue to employ the regular
terms. Some have eliminated the dating entirely, while others have granted datings that would not be so far advanced in the season. Some manufacturers give no terms longer than 5 per cent 30 days, or 5 per cent 60 days without dating. There has also been a tendency away from the high discounts which were formerly almost universal. Some manufacturers, while retaining season dating terms, give only 8 per cent for immediate payment, others 7 per cent 10 days, and 5 per cent for payment on dating dates, such as June 1 and December 1 , or specify that accounts, while bearing the customary 7 per cent discount at the dating period, are due net in 30 days thereafter. The principal controversy, however, concerns the use of so-called "net terms." By the phrase is meant merely terms where the discounts are small, and correspond to the cash discounts generally in vogue in other lines. An instance is afforded by the terms of 2 per cent 10 days, net 60 days, without season dating, now employed by certain manufacturers. Other houses employing these terms give datings, such as April 1. The use of such terms at times when making quantity sales to large dealers is also noted. A study made several years ago states that some high-grade clothing is sold on net 10 day terms, ${ }^{1}$ and some manufacturers give terms of net 10 days with July 1 dating on summer clothing. Several houses which had adopted shorter terms are reported to have gone back to the longer terms in 1919. ${ }^{2}$

The subject of standardization of terms has been discussed for some time by committees of manufacturers and retailers. The latter prefer standardization in the regular or old way, and have objected strongly to the introduction of net terms, which are favored by some wholesalers. Other wholesalers, however, believe that the higher discount terms have tended to accelerate collections. In consequence, no definite arrangement has been consummated. The opinion has been expressed that the many changes just noted in terms in the industry during recent years do not represent any real standardization, but have been made from the point of view of the individual house.
Lack of rigid adherence to terms in the past was noted. ${ }^{3}$ Retailers, it has been said, often
${ }^{1}$ Bureau of Foreign and Domestic Commerce, Miscellaneous Series No. 34.
${ }^{2}$ It is stated that in view of the present high money requirements, recent developments in the industry have not operated appreciably to change terms. There undoubtedly, however, have been many "closeout" sales, in particular by sm
${ }^{3}$ The material in this paragraph relative to conditions in the past has been taken from a paper on Datings and Discounts, read by Mr. Ira D. Kingsbury before the convention of the National Association of Clothiers June, 1914. The paper is reproduced in the Bureau of Foreign and Do-
mestic Commerce,
bought on one basis and wished to settle on another. The liberal credit policy followed, due in some measure to keen competition, encouraged merchants who were inexperienced and possessed inadequate capital to engage in the retailing of clothing, and who then required the manufacturer's aid in carrying the merchandise. The long terms forced the manufacturer to carry the retailer, although a goodly percentage of the latter were in a position to take the best discounts. On the other hand, because of the high discounts given, wrongful deduction of discounts was frequent. Thus some retailers expected to give notes bearing interest at 6 per cent per annum, while obtaining the full cash discount, and succeeded in obtaining such concessions from manufacturers. During the last two years, however, and in particular during the past year, collections have improved greatly and there have been very few failures among retailers. The retailer has done a large volume of business at high prices, while payments by him have also been stimulated through a desire to obtain his full allotment of merchandise. The question has been raised as to what extent the merchants who would have failed in the absence of the unusual trade activity of the last few years will remain on a permanently improved basis when conditions become more normal, or whether they will again slip back.

The manufacture of trousers may be considered as a separate branch, although the number of houses making trousers exclusively has declined during the past two decades. Advantages accrue from the addition of other lines, such as summer clothing and overcoats, and these combinations are found as well as combination with the manufacture of work clothing. Regular clothing manufacturers also make trousers to a greater or lesser extent. Trousers bear either terms of 7 per cent 10 days, 6 per cent 30 days, and 5 per cent 60 days, which are stated to have been largely initiated by clothing manufacturers, or terms of net 60 days, with a discount of 2 per cent 10 days in some cases, or in other cases 1 per cent 10 days, 30 days extra. Some manufacturers give only net 30 days. Inasmuch as trousers are also distinctly seasonal, a spring dating of April 1 or May 1 and a fall dating of September 1, October 1, or November 1 are generally given for January to February and June to July or August shipments, respectively.

Terms for work clothing range from net 30 days to 2 per cent 10 days, net 60 days. In general, however, terms are stated to be 1 per cent 10 days, 30 days extra, net 60 days. Some
years ago 1 per cent 10 days, 60 days extra was also given. One house selling on terms of net 60 days gives 30 days extra on a trade acceptance settlement. The same datings as in the case of trousers are given to some extent, and in both branches anticipation at the rate of 6 per cent per annum is permitted in certain cases.

In recent years the tailor to the trade, who in a central factory makes clothes to measure, which are ordered through retailers or agents in the various sections of the country, has been an increasingly important factor in the industry. In addition to his regular business, he is of ten employed by large retailers to make up clothes after their own styling, just as is the regular manufacturer who does not feature his own name. Although found in all sections of the country, the tailor to the trade branch is stated to be considerably larger in the Southwest than either the ready-made or merchant tailoring branches. As is to be expected, it is relatively stronger in the smaller than in the larger centers.

Distinction in terms is made by the tailor to the trade according to the credit rating of the customer. Those with good rating in general receive net 30 -day terms, monthly settlement, for example, by the 10 th , being permitted in certain cases. During the war one of the leading houses lengthened the 30 days to 60 days. Some houses provide 10-day terms for purchasers of lesser rating. A deposit, such as $\$ 5$ per suit and $\$ 1$ per pair of single trousers, when placing the order, and C. O. D. terms are generally required in the case of those who do not have a rating sufficient to entitle them to credit on open account. While the larger houses do the majority of their business upon 30-day terms, certain houses are known in the trade as C. O. D. houses and deal almost entirely with unrated merchants. In certain cases cash in adrance is required, or else a guaranty, the regular monthly settlement being permitted in the latter case. Some houses allow a cash discount, such as 2 per cent 10 days or 3 per cent cash in advance. Regular ready-made clothing manufacturers in certain cases sell also made-tomeasure garments, terms being net 30 days or in some instances net cash.

Jobbing in men's ready-made clothing is very small. In the study above referred to, data obtained from 64 manufacturers showed that 98.21 per cent of the output was sold to retailers and only 1.29 per cent to jobbers. The latter are stated to be largely disappearing, except where they have goods made up for themselves to be sold under their own labels. The cheaper goods are mainly handled, the manufacturers of
trade-marked clothing selling thoir product direct to the retailer, in general granting the latter exclusive agencies. Even in small-town and country trade, which is now their chief field of activity, their work is confined mainly to the sale of working clothes. A few jobbers also exist who dispose of slow lines for manufacturers on commission, or else purchase the same outright. Terms of jobbers are reported to vary greatly, and no definite statement can be made.

## WOMEN'S OUTER GARMENTS.

There are several distinct branches in the women's garment industry. Cloak and suit manufacturers generally do not make skirts, although there is a distinct tendency for them to do so. The same manufacturer at times makes both skirts or suits and dresses, although the large majority of manufacturers confine their attention to either article. It is estimated that 95 per cent of ladies' waist manufacturers specialize in this product. There are thus the cloak and suit, skirt, dress, and waist branches, each of which has its distinct identity. In addition to the manufacturers, there are so-called "jobbers" or stock houses, who however practically create their own styles, furnish their own materials, and have their garments made up by submanufacturers and contractors. Contracting in the industry, while it figures largely, is however less important than for men's wear, due to the greater number of small cutting concerns.

Jobbers are found in all the larger centers where the manufacturers are located. New York is the largest center in the cloak and suit industry, its output being estimated in the census of 1910 at approximately 70 per cent of the total output of the industry, and it produces finer goods than other centers. Cleveland is noted for the production of staple articles, and Philadelphia, Boston, and Chicago are also large centers. St. Louis is the second largest center in the skirt industry. There are many jobbers, but no stock houses, and there are relatively few small concerns, as is the case in New York and elsewhere. The principal dress centers include New York, Chicago, Philadelphia, St. Louis, Cleveland, Cincinnati, Boston, and Los Angeles.

The industry differs in some important particulars from the men's clothing industry. There are fewer trade-marked lines, and the agency and branch store are not employed. The larger New York stores are stated to seek the smaller manufacturers rather than the larger factories for the greater part of their
stock, and have a large part in the creation of their styles. The time between orders by the store and delivery by the cutter is very short, and cutters endeavor to keep goods in process of manufacture as small as possible, seldom getting far ahead of orders actually in hand.

Sales are made to department stores, specialty shops, and catalogue houses. Seasons differ somewhat. Cloak and suit orders in New York are placed from July 15 to October 15 and from January 15 to about two weeks before Easter, shipments occurring respectively in August, September, and October and February and March. The dullest months are December and June. In Cleveland, however, orders are stated to be taken further in advance of the season and deliveries made earlier, beginning about July 1 and January 1, and being heaviest from July 15 to September 15 and in February and March respectively. In the skirt industry heaviest sales are made in July and August and in January and February, heaviest deliveries being approximately one month later. These seasons must be further subdivided in view of the change in the separate skirt business from a staple character to the manufacture of novelties for sport wear, etc., which has made it necessary to carry a far larger stock. St. Louis has selling seasons running from June 15 to August 1 and from December 1 through January and in some years through the early days of February, heariest deliveries being from August 1 to September 15 and in February respectively for fall and spring seasons. October, November, and December are the dull months in the skirt industry.

In the dress industry there are four seasons. These extend roughly from the middle of June to the middle of August or September, from the middle of September to the 1st of November, from December until the middle of March, and from the middle of March until about the 1st of June, deliveries being made approximately one month later. The dull months are June and November. Seasons, of course, differ according to the character of the garment and the material employed. Thus winter-resort fabrics will precede summer cotton garments and sport wear, while midwinter wear and fur-trimmed garments are somewhat earlier than garments for formal functions and evening wear. It is generally believed that there has been a tendency during the last two years for seasons to become interlocking. Selling for the fall waist season occurs in July and August and for spring in

January and February, heaviest deliveries being in August and September and in March, April, and May, respectively, although the business is practically continuous.

In New York City, the Garment Conference Council of Wholesalers and Retailers adopted a resolution in July, 1917, fixing maximum terms, which was later confirmed by the respective local associations of cloak and suit manufacturers, dress and waist manufacturers, and garment "jobbers," and concurred in by various associations of retailers. Terms had previously been very mixed, ranging from net up to discounts as high as 16 per cent, and the abuse prevailed of deduction of excess discounts by purchasers. In the dress and waist industries 10 per cent was called regular. The maximum terms adopted were 6 per cent 10 days, 7 per cent 30 days, 8 per cent 60 days, or so-called "net" terms, namely, 2 per cent 10 days, 1 per cent 30 days, net 60 days, the price being advanced correspondingly in the former case to compensate for the difference in discount. A strictly net basis is also permitted, as are e. o. m. 10 day terms. The endeavor was first made to offer merely a 2 per cent discount, but in consequence of the opposition of the retailers, who favor a high discount (as also in men's clothing), a compromise was effected after about a month whereby the two optional sets of terms were specified. It has been stated that the majority of cloak and suit and skirt manufacturers selling lowpriced garments offer only the low discount and short dating. Few dress and waist houses in the association employ the "net" or strictly net terms. The length of time given will vary with the individual credit risk, and thus some buyers receive only 30 days, etc.

Cloak and suit manufacturers in the Cleveland market, however, have adopted no uniform terms, although "the consensus of opinion has been to sell as nearly as possible on a net basis with 60 to 90 days dating," while at the same time offering a reasonable cash discount. Up to about 10 years ago the majority of houses sold on terms of from 7 to 10 per cent 10 days, with proportional discounts for payments within 60 days and 90 days. Terms now range from 2 per cent 10 days, net 30 days, to 5 per cent 10 days, 2 per cent 10 days, 60 days extra, but the majority give terms of 4 per cent 10 days or 2 per cent 10 days, 60 days extra. Many houses give season datings of March 1 and September 1, the dating on suits in some cases being one month earlier than on coats. The difference in practice be-
tween New York and Cleveland with respect to dating corresponds to the difference in practice noted above with respect to orders and deliveries, there being no heavy purchasing in advance in New York, and goods being ordered for delivery when needed.

It is stated that skirt manufacturers in New York who are not members of the manufacturers' association in general adhere to the terms adopted by the garment conference, although they are reported often to give extra terms. Prevailing terms among St. Louis houses are fairly uniformly 3 per cent 10 days, 2 per cent 30 days, although special terms of 8 per cent 10 days to 10 per cent 10 days are allowed to firms of exceptional credit. Jobbers' terms in the main are 2 per cent 10 days, net 60 days. While some members of the trade claim that terms were formerly flat 3 per cent, but that about 4 years ago eastern competition forced concessions during severaj seasons, terms on the whole show no great changes during the past decade. The city trade, which amounts to but a small portion of the total, receives e. o. m. 10 day terms. In other markets it is reported that 10 per cent 10 days is largely given.

The standard maximum terms were not accepted by all New York City dress houses which belonged to the dress and waist association. Prior to that time discounts ranged from 3 per cent up to as much as 16 per cent in some cases, while 10 per cent was called regular, as was noted above. Terms of houses in New York which are not members of the local association, as well as of houses located in other markets, vary greatly, and instances are found of net terms of 10,30 , and 40 days, while discounts range from 2 per cent to 8 per cent, e. o. m. terms or 30 days extra being given in some cases, as well as graded discounts (in general not over 2) such as 2 per cent 10 days, 1 per cent 30 days, net 60 days, or 3 per cent 10 days, 2 per cent 30 days, and special terms according to account. While many state that differences in terms are primarily due to the policy of the individual house, others distinguish between cheaper dresses, which are stated to be generally sold on shorter terms and lesser discounts, and medium and fine dresses. Thus one authority states the former are sold more largely on terms of net 10 days or 2 per cent 10 days, the latter on terms of 8 per cent 10 days, in some cases with e. o. m. terms or 30 days extra, and some extremely high-priced dresses on terms of 8 per cent 10 days extra or 7 per
cent 10 days 60 days extra. It is also agreed that the last few years in general have witnessed a shortening of terms and an abolition of the old extremely high discounts.

Waists are generally sold on terms of 8 per cent 10 days, in some cases with e. o. m . terms for the better grade and 2 per cent 10 days for the cheaper grade. It is stated that there is a general tendency to eliminate the 60 -day clause. Collections on the whole are reported fairly prompt, payment on the average being made within 30 days from receipt of goods.

## FUR MANUFACTURING.

Raw and dressed furs are purchased by manufacturers from importers and dealers. At times manufacturers import their raw materials extensively, but the great bulk of the business is done through dealers. Both manufacturers and dealers have their raw furs dressed by "dressers and dyers," which is a separate branch of the industry. The business in the past has been a one-season business, but in recent years the fashion for summer furs has given the industry two seasons.

The matter of standardizing terms in the industry has been discussed for eight years or more, but no formal action has ever been taken, and it is very generally conceded that the establishment of fixed rules in regard to the matter would be extremely difficult if not entirely impracticable.

The prevailing terms are 2 per cent 10 days or 7 per cent 10 days December 1 , and 2 per cent 10 days January 1, on merchandise shipped after July 1, and 7 per cent 10 days July 1 on merchandise shipped prior to that date. Houses making fine goods usually give 7 per cent 10 days with both datings, while houses making cheap goods give 2 per cent 10 days December 1 and 7 per cent 10 days July 1. As there are more firms making cheap goods than fine, more goods with the December dating bear 2 per cent than a 7 per cent discount. It has been suggested that the existence of a 7 per cent discount with the July 1 dating may be due to the fact that when the fur trade was a one-season business special inducements were necessary to stimulate early orders, and these persisted even after the industry had assumed a two-season character.

Variations from these terms are, however, frequent. The customer with a poor credit rating may have to take a lower discount, although this is not generally practiced. Ex-
ceptionally large discounts, such as $6,8,10$, 12, and up to 16 per cent, are given in certain cases where desired by large retailers. During the year much larger use of the trade acceptance by manufacturers is reported, although it is not by any means a general trade practice. Large use is made of it in the purchase of skins from importers or dealers.

Prior to 1912 over 50 per cent of the total product was shipped on memorandum or consignment. Serious abuses, however, resulted, and in that year a rule was adopted in the trade of prohibiting the practice. Shipment of goods on approval, to remain not longer than three days in the customer's hands, is, however, permitted. it is estimated that not over 10 per cent of the product at present is shipped on memorandum, the greater part of which is on three days' approval.

## MILLINERY.

The organization of the millinery industry is complex. There are four principal branches. Of these the millinery jobbers are the chief, but the term is somewhat inaccurate, for many of them make their own hats, in large part import their specialties, and sell feathers, etc., direct to the retail trade. There are also hat manufacturers who make untrimmed and banded hats, which are made by machine and not by hand, and who sell almost exclusively to large jobbers, or in a very few cases to large retailers. The trimmed-hat houses manufacture trimmed hats and sell almost exclusively to retail dealers. In addition, there are specialty houses handling flowers, feathers, etc.

The hat manufacturers who sell to the jobbers have a seasonable business lasting from three to four and one-half months each season. The trimmed-hat manufacturers have a longer season, owing to the scarcity of trimmed hats, their season lasting about 10 months each year. At the present time there is an active and well defined movement on foot, sponsored by the Millinery Chamber of Commerce of the United States, looking toward the establishment of a 12 months' business for all branches of the millinery industry, with a resultant sale of seasonable millinery for each season of the year. It is stated that this movement is meeting with great success.

Terms of millinery jobbers are now fairly standardized. First among their organizations to adopt terms was the Millinery Jobbers' Association in 1900, which now covers the territory between Columbus and Denver,
and St. Paul and Dallas. The terms as revised in 1910 called for a maximum dating of April 15 and October 15 on goods shipped prior to February 15 and August 15, respectively. On goods shipped subsequent to these dates it was optional with members to allow 60 days dating, the discounts being 6 per cent 10 days, 5 per cent 30 days, and 4 per cent 60 days from value date. Anticipation at the rate of 6 per cent per annum was permitted.

Beginning with the spring season, 1918, datings were fixed at April 1 and October 1 for shipments prior to February 1 and August 1 , respectively, while the clause relating to goods shipped subsequent to these dates remained unchanged. The terms of 4 per cent 60 days were, however, eliminated, and a clause instead substituted providing that no discount was to be allowed after 30 days.

One of the principal purposes in the formation of the National Millinery Association in the East, covering the Atlantic seaboard from Boston to Atlanta, in the winter of 1917, was to improve credit conditions, in particular in view of the high percentage of bad-debt losses. Prior to that time terms varied greatly, but most houses are stated to have given terms of 7 per cent 10 days, 6 per cent 30 days, with May 1 and November 1 datings. From Boston it is stated that terms had been usually 7 per cent 10 days, 5 per cent 30 days, with datings of April 15 and October 15, and a flat 60-day dating to all large accounts. In Baltimore, in addition to 7 per cent 10 days and 5 per cent 30 days, 4 per cent 60 days, 2 per cent 90 days, and net 4 months were given, with 60 days dating, as well as net terms of 2 per cent 10 days, 1 per cent 30 days, net 60 days, strictly net 30-day terms, and without discount privilege according to price quoted. In Atlanta terms were 7 per cent 10 days, 5 per cent 30 days, with April 1 and October 1 datings on shipments prior to February 1 and August 1, respectively, and 60 days extra on subsequent shipments.

The datings and shipment dates fixed by the National Millinery Association are identical with those of the Millinery Jobbers' Association. Terms of 4 per cent 60 days were, however, permitted, no discount being allowed after 60 days, and terms of 7 per cent 10 days e. 0 . m. were permitted. At the same time, a similar change was made by houses on the Pacific Coast, the datings being changed from April 15 and October 15 to April 1 and October 1 , and te:ms being specified as 6 per cent 10 days, with anticipation at the rate of 6 per
cent per annum, or 7 per cent 10 days quoted. Sixty days extra has been given on other than early shipments.

The hat manufacturers have terms, which have been in effect for many years, of 6 per cent 10 days, 5 per cent 30 days, with datings at March 1 and September 1, and no datings thereafter, other than e. o. m. terms in some cases.

Trimned-hat houses on July 1, 1917, through their association adopted terms of 6 per cent 10 days, 60 days extra, or 7 per cent 10 days. Terms previously in use were 7 per cent 10 days, 60 days extra, or 8 per cent 10 days, and many houses are still employing these terms. In 1919 several millinery jobbers reported the use of net terms on trimmed hats, and a committee on discounts was accordingly appointed by the Millinery Jobbers' Association, but at the recent convention it was decided not to sell them net.

Among the specialty items, flowers and feathers are sold on terms of 7 per cent 10 days, with May 1 and November 1 dating, or 10 per cent 10 days e. o. m.

The trade acceptance is little used in the industry. It was adopted in June, 1919, by the Raw Ostrich Feather Importers' Association, for use where requested by the seller on all accounts not liquidated by the 10th of the month following purchase, terms being 10 per cent 10 days (e. o. m. in some cases), 9 per cent 30 days, 8 per cent 60 days, $7 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent 90 days, 7 per cent 4 months. This association urged the use of trade acceptances in a letter in 1919 to the Millinery Jobbers' Association, but the latter did not deem them practical for the millinery business at that time. Millinery braids were sold to millinery jobbers and hat manufacturers upon terms of 6 per cent 10 days, with datings of April 1 and October 1, but practice as to payments is stated to be very lax. On March 1, 1920, purchasers were advised that the season dating would be eliminated, and terms would be 8 per cent 10 th e. o. m. or 6 per cent 10 th e. o. m., 60 days extra, but the effect is stated to have been nullified through instructions given by customers to ship goods on January 1, making due dates and discounts 8 per cent February 10, or 6 per cent April 10.

MEN'S HATS.
The principal branches of the men's hat industry, aside from the preparation of the raw material, are the manufacture of felt hats, straw hats, Panama and fiber body hats,
and cloth headwear. The interests of these branches are closely interwoven. Manufacturers sell to jobbers and to retailers direct, a few, but usually of large producing capacity, selling to jobbers almost entirely, while others sell only to retailers and others have their accounts almost equally divided. Of an estimated production of finished hats amounting to 10,000 dozen per day, about 40 per cent is stated to go to the jobbing trade, and the remaining 60 per cent to the retail trade, ${ }^{1}$ one-third of this 60 per cent being the output of manufacturers who buy the bodies. In addition, there is an estimated production of 800 dozen bodies per day sold to small and medium sized factories throughout the country for finishing and trimming. The quality of products sold to jobbers is reported much lower on the average than of that sold to the retailer direct, but it has been stated that with the constantly rising prices the proportion of better grade hats being sold to jobbers has materially increased. Retailers sold direct will naturally be located more largely in the larger centers.
Turning to the several branches, the manufacture of felt hats is divided into several distinct branches, in the manner just indicated. Certain manufacturers manufacture or purchase hatter's fur, making their own hat bodies and finishing and trimming the hats complete ready for sale, but others manufacture or purchase hatter's fur and manufacture only the hat bodies in the rough, which are sold to and become the raw material for the third class, known in the trade as dry shops, who finish and trim the hats complete ready for sale.

Considerable variation in terms is found as between different firms, although in each branch of the industry certain terms are recognized as regular. Standard terms of manufacturers of hat bodies in the rough are net 30 days, while it is stated that formerly up to net 90 days was given.

There is no general difference in the terms on which manufacturers who engage in the entire process and the dry shops sell. Standard terms to jobbers are 10 per cent 10 days, e. o. m., with sliding scale of reduced discounts for various periods of deferred payments. In years of business depression the discounts have been known to be increased to $12 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent, and in exceptional instances to 15 and even to 17 per cent. For many years standard terms

1 One authority, however, places the percentages for felt hats at 20 per cent and 80 per cent, respectively.
to retailers were 7 per cent 10 days, 6 per cent 30 days, with a loss of 1 per cent per month for further deferred payments, and these terms are still most generally observed. In recent years a number of manufacturers changed to terms of 2 per cent 10 days, net 30 days, and various authorities report either a general decrease in the discount or shortening of terms, one manufacturer selling to retailers thus reporting elimination of the 60 days extra formerly given on all shipments. There is also a considerable number of manufacturers who transact their business on terms of 6 per cent 10 days, 5 per cent 30 days, and net thereafter, while others employ terms such as net 10 days and net 30 days. It has been stated that manufacturers of the medium and cheaper grades have granted larger discounts than manufacturers of the finer grades. It is reported that eastern manufacturers generally grant an additional time allowance, such as 30 days extra, to Pacific coast purchasers, while to accounts located in the territory west of the Mississippi River and east of the Rocky Mountains certain manufacturers grant 20 days additional.

Silk hats are reported to be generally sold on net terms.

The straw-braid hat industry before the war was distinctive in that the manufacturers booked the majority of orders from the early part of July to the early part of October, for the entire season's business, running from July to July. Shipments were made at the discretion of the purchaser, and for many years terms were 7 per cent 10 days May 1 to the jobber, and 6 per cent 10 days, 5 per cent 30 days, June 1, to the retailer. The bulk of shipments were made during March and April, necessitating storage by the manufacturer until that time. In 1917 the three larger Baltimore manufacturers found great difficulty in making their shipments at the customary time, due to transportation conditions. In consequence, for the year commencing July, 1918, straw-hat manufacturers with few exceptions decided upon revision of their methods, requiring the purchaser to take the goods as they came from the factory. In order to encourage early purchasing, shipment, and payment, terms were changed to 10 per cent for payments on or before October 10, 1918, with a decrease in discount of 1 per cent per month for later payments, thus making the lowest discount 2 per cent for payments after May 10 and before June 10. Manufacturers, in addition, were enabled at their option, in case of
financial stringency, to bring their bills due on any date upon demand. For the season commencing July 1, 1918, a decision, however, was reached to return to the old terms but to allow also an additional 2 per cent to purchasers taking goods as they came from the factory.

Terms of manufacturers of panama and fiber body hats, while not strictly uniform, in general are 2 per cent 10 days, net 30 days May 1, although in some instances May 1 net is specified. Some manufacturers producing straw hats also grant the same terms on panama and fiber body hats as on straw-braid hats, which have been noted above.

The trade acceptance is reported to be little used by manufacturers of men's hats, and is employed by perhaps less than 5 per cent of the number of manufacturers, although some firms employ it with very great success. As to collections, it is estimated very roughly that 75 per cent of buyers take the highest discount and 20 per cent pay on a 30 -day basis, although another estimate places the figure for those taking the highest discount at 50 to 60 per cent for the felt-hat industry. Individual manufacturers in this industry report individual percentages ranging from 65 to 75 , and over 80 per cent taking the highest discount, 30 per cent and 15 per cent taking the second discount, and 2.2 per cent and 5 per cent taking over 30 days. Additional time taken beyond 30 days will almost always affect credit standing adversely.
Jobbers' terms are largely 2 per cent 10 days, 30 days extra, but deduction of the discount by purchasers is permitted even if payment is made at the expiration of 90 days. Some houses grant Pacific coast customers 60 days extra in place of 30 days. It is stated that prior to about four years ago high discounts prevailed, such as 6 per cent or 7 per cent 10 days, 60 days extra.

## men's furnishings.

Collars and shirts.-A study made several years ago ${ }^{1}$ showed that of the output of 42 reporting establishments, 59 per cent was sold direct to retailers, as against 39 per cent to jobbers. The practice varied with the individual firms, 17 selling their entire product to retailers, as against 4 to jobbers, while 11 other establishments also sold part of their output to jobbers. By far the greater proportion of high-grade lines of shirts is sold direct to the

[^2]retailer, the portion sold to jobbers consisting very largely of work shirts and low-priced lines. It has been estimated that from 80 to 85 per cent of the output of collars is sold direct to the retail trade. Jobbers are stated to have been formerly of much greater importance in the industry than at present. Manufacturers of shirts frequently also make other products, such as pajamas, men's muslin underwear, boys' blouses, and overalls.

Terms of sale of collars are quite uniformly 6 per cent 10 days, 5 per cent 30 days, while in the case of shirts considerable variety is found, the study quoted above reporting terms to jobbers as usually 2 per cent 10 days, 60 days extra, and to retailers, by the greater number of manufacturers, as 2 per cent 10 days or 6 per cent 10 days, both with 60 days extra, ${ }^{1}$ but ranging from net 10 days to 8 per cent 10 days, 60 days extra. Certain of the manufacturers of finer grade goods selling direct to the retail trade report elimination during the last decade of the 60 or 90 days extra dating on collars which prevailed to some extent prior to the war. This dating is now given by certain of these manufacturers only on season orders. Some manufacturers of this class of goods report a change in their terms on shirts from 6 per cent 10 days, 5 per cent 30 days to 2 per cent 10 days, 60 days extra. The above study states that terms on shirts in considerable measure depend upon the quality of merchandise and the importance of the customer, concessions being made on new accounts or important sales, while demands for extra discount and dating were frequent. The trade acceptance is not employed by the great majority of manufacturers. A high percentage of discounters is noted. It is reported that collections in the industry are generally prompt, though varying of course with general business conditions. A leading manufacturer estimates that 40 per cent pay within the 10 or 30 day discount period, 20 per cent pay within 60 days, 20 per cent within 90 days, and the balance in one or two months thereafter, while another reports his receivables as averaging 45 to 50 days' sales.

Men's neckwear. - It has been estimated that by far the larger proportion of men's neckwear, at least 80 per cent, is sold direct to retailers by manufacturers. Certain of the firms selling the jobber also ;ell the large retailers, in particular department stores. As a general rule, neckwear sold to the jobber is of a lower

[^3]grade. A number of manufacturers produce also allied lines, such as handkerchiefs, mufflers, etc.
Prevailing terms among the larger manufacturers are stated to be 6 per cent 10 days or 5 per cent 10 days, 60 days extra, a considerable number of manufacturers giving 7 per cent 10 days, 60 days extra (or 8 per cent 10 days) up to the middle of the war period, when they reduced the discount to the former figure. It is reported, however, that a large amount of neckwear $s$ sold upon terms of 6 per cent 10 days, 60 days extra, 7 per cent 10 days also being granted in such cases. Some manufacturers employing these terms reduced the discount to 2 per cent or 1 per cent several years ago, in order, it is stated, to enable the sale of goods by jobbers at accustomed prices per dozen. In certain cases e. o. m. terms are given, and some manufacturers quote only terms of 10 days. The trade acceptance is infrequently employed. In most cases the related lines which are manufactured are sold on the same terms as the neckwear.
Suspenders, belts, and garters.-It has been estimated that of the cheaper grades of suspenders and belts 70 per cent is sold by manufacturers to jobbers, while of the better grades 90 per cent is sold direct to the retailer. For garters 70 per cent or more is estimated to be sold to jobbers, in particular of the better grades while low-priced garters are stated to be sold primarily direct to chain stores handling low-priced merchandise.
Terms are repo ted to vary from 1 per cent 10 days without further dating to 7 per cent, 60 days extra, the majority of manufacturers selling on terms of 2 per cent 10 days, 60 days extra. E. o. m. terms are given in certain cases. It is stated that up to three or cour years ago certain manufacturers who sold the retail trade employed terms of 6 per cent 10 days or 7 per cent 10 days, 60 days extra, but that most of them have since changed to 2 per cent 10 days, 60 days extra. Little use is made of the trade acceptance. Several leading manufacturers granting 60 days extra report that from 80 to 90 per cent of accounts are paid within 70 days.

## CORSETS.

Corsets, corset waists, and brassières are sold by the manufacturer almost entirely direct to the retailer. Trade-marked goods are not sold to the jobber. The amount handled by the latter is confined to the lower grades and does
not, it is stated, exceed at the most 5 per cent of the output.

Terms to retailers are largely 6 per cent 10 days, 60 days extra, although a few manufacturers allow a discount of 7 per cent instead, and a very small number, who usually do only a local business, have terms of 2 per cent 10 days, net 30 days. On the Pacific coast goods shipped from manufacturer's Pacific coast offices carry terms of 2 per cent 10 days, 60 days extra, inasmuch as merchandise is shipped f. o. b. point of purchase, and the 4 per cent differential covers the difference in freight charges to the manufacturer. There has been no change in the above terms for many years. Terms to jobbers, however, vary with the individual manufacturer from 1 per cent 10 days, 60 days extra, up to 7 per cent 10 days, 60 days extra.

CLOTH UNDERWEAR.
The larger manufacturers of cloth underwear deal very largely through the jobber, while there is a tendency for the smaller manufacturers to sell directly to the retailer. While a little higher class of garment is possibly sold direct to the retailer, of whom there are a large number, smaller manufacturers tend to make more or less staple articles, as the manufacture of fancy goods requires more capital. It has been estimated roughly that about half of the total output of cloth underwear is sold to jobbers and half to retailers. There may be a tendency to more direct selling by manufacturers of women's garments, some estimates placing the proportion thereof sold to retailers at about two-thirds.

Manufacturers' terms of sale for cloth underwear to the jobber are relatively standardized at either 2 per cent 10 days, 60 days extra, or net 10 days, 60 days extra. While some of the largest manufacturers employ the net terms, it has been estimated that as much as 90 per cent of the total business is done on the former terms. Aside from poor risks not entitled to credit and one manufacturer (also making nightwear), who quotes 2 per cent 10 days, net 60 days, the only exception reported to the above terms was for some manufacturers of women's and children's underwear, whose terms instead are similar to the regular dry goods terms (with season dating), as will be noted below.

Terms to the retailer in general are even more largely 2 per cent 10 days, 60 days extra. Exceptions noted (employed by manufacturers also making nightwear) include 30 days extra instead of 60 days and $2 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent or 3 per cent discount in place of the 2 per cent, with net
terms of 60 days. The only marked change in terms reported during the last 25 years has been the elimination of the season datings of May 1 and October 1, with terms of 2 per cent 10 days, by the larger manufacturers.

Some manufacturers of women's cotton cloth and muslin underwear sell on terms of 8 per cent 10 days, 7 per cent 10 days, 60 days extra, to both jobbers and retailers, while others have regular terms of 3 per cent 10 days, 2 per cent 10 days, 60 days extra, but quote jobbers who so desire 8 per cent 10 days, adjusting the price accordingly. One manufacturer grants jobbers January 1 dating on merchandise manufactured during the summer months for January delivery, while in some cases the use of the regular dry goods season datings of April 1 and October 1 are reported by houses employing terms of net 10 days, 60 days extra.
Nightwear terms are similar. The former terms of 6 per cent 10 days, 60 days extra, are stated to have been changed to 3 per cent 10 days, 2 per cent 10 days, 60 days extra, in addition to which terms of net 10 days, 60 dayextra, are also in use. In addition to the exy ceptions remarked above, the employment of terms of 5 per cent 10 days is reported. Vers little use of the trade acceptance is reported in the cloth underwear and nightwear industry.

## gloves.

Distributive methods in the glove industry show little change during the last 25 years. Several estimates place the proportion of the output sold by manufacturers to retailers at 50 per cent or more, figured on the basis of dozens rather than dollars, and of this total 20 per cent are men's gloves. It has been estimated that 75 per cent of the output of work gloves and heary gloves is sold to jobbers, while of the better grade of gloves 75 per cent is sold to retailers. In general, manufacturers of high-grade leather gloves sell exclusively to retailers and employ their own salesmen rather than work through selling agents. A larger jobbing business is stated to be done in the West than in the East.

Prior to about 1915 manufacturers' terms of sale were largely 6 per cent 10 days, 60 days extra to retailers, and 6 per cent 10 days, 5 per cent 30 days, with season datings of May 1 and November 1 to jobbers, with 30 days extra between seasons. Some manufacturers employed terms of 2 per cent 10 days, 60 days extra, or 7 per cent 10 days, which in certain cases have been retained. During the war, however, terms were largely changed to net

10 days, but have since been generally lengthened to net 30 days or in some cases net 60 days, with the exception of the poorer credit risks, who largely are still quoted terms of net 10 days. The larger manufacturers make no distinction in terms according to locality, but it is reported that in some instances eastern buyers may be quoted net 10 days, whereas western buyers of equal credit standing may obtain net 30 days from the same manufacturer, and that some other manufacturers do not vary their terms but make the adjustment by changing the shipping dates. It is estimated that today 75 per cent of the business is done on net terms. In general buyers taking additional time are charged interest at the rate of 6 per cent per annum. Some manufacturers, however, have retained terms of 6 per cent 10 days, 5 per cent 30 days, 30 days extra, while terms of several manufacturers were given as 7 per cent 10 days, 6 per cent 30 days e. o. m., or as 2 per cent 10 days, net 60 days. The season dating has largely disappeared in the leather-glove industry, and is only found occasionally when a manufacturer wishes to ship before the regular season for deliveries. The trade acceptance is little used in the industry, although one manufacturer stated that he employed it in connection with 25 per cent of his business.

## Amendment to Clayton Antitrust Act.

House bill 13138, amending section 8 of an act entitled "An act to supplement existing laws against unlawful restraints and monopolies, and for other purposes," known as the Clayton Antitrust Act, was approved by the President May 26, 1920. The act follows.

## [Public-No. 225-66TH Congress (II. R. 13138).]

AN ACT To amend section 8 of an act entitled "An act to supplement
existing laws against unlawful restraints and monopolies, and for other purposes," approved October 15, 1914, as amended May 15,1916 .

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That section 8 of an Act entitled "An Act to supplement existing laws against unlawful restraints and monopolies, and for other purposes," approved October 15, 1914, as amended by the Act of May 15, 1916, be further amended by inserting in the proviso at the end of the second clause of said section after the word "prohibit" the words "any private banker or," so that the proviso as amended shall read:
"And provided further, That nothing in this Act shall prohibit any private banker or any officer, director, or employee of any member bank or class A director of a Federal reserve bank, who shall first procure the consent of the Federal Reserve Board, which board is hereby
authorized, at its discretion, to grant, withhold, or revoke such consent, from being an officer, director, or employee of not more than two other banks, banking associations, or trust companies, whether organized under the laws of the United States or any State, if such other bank, banking association, or trust company is not in substantial competition with such banker or member bank.
"The consent of the Federal Reserve Board may be procured before the person applying therefor has been elected as a class A director of a Federal reserve bank or as a director of any member bank."

Approved, May 26, 1920.

## ECONOMIC AND FINANCIAL CONDITIONS IN BRAZIL.

Brazil has an area of over 3.3 million square miles, and occupies nearly one-half of the South American Continent. Her territory equals that of the United States, including all the outlying possessions, excepting only Alaska. Her population, however, numbers only about 24 million people; that is, about 7.4 per square mile as compared with 30.9 for the United States. Large portions of the tropical and mountainous territory included in the boundaries of Brazil are very thinly populated, the greater part of the population being concentrated near the seaboard, except in the States of Minas Gereas, São Paulo, and Rio Grande do Sul.
In prewar years Brazil's chief place in the markets of the world was as a producer of coffee and rubber, the former constituting about 63 per cent of her exports, and the latter about 20 per cent more. This dependence of Brazil's foreign commerce on two articles of export resulted in a serious dislocation of her business and financial conditions when, at the outbreak of the war, the demand for coffee declined, and the problem of securing shipping space for exports was at times fraught with difficulties. Overproduction of coffee, followed by a drop in price, together with the injury to the rubber industry caused by oriental competition, had created an unfavorable position in Brazil during the years preceding the war, and the sudden interruption of her normal business increased still further the difficulties of the Republic. But Brazil is endowed with vast natural resources, and the shock produced by the war has had the result of encouraging Brazil to diversify her agricultural production. Stock raising, wool and hide production, the cultivation of rice, corn, beans, cassava meal, cocoa, tobacco, and yerba maté received a powerful impetus from the decline in the
demand for coffee, together with the difficulty of importing from abroad the food required for domestic consumption. As a consequence, Brazil emerges from the world conflict not only as a practically self-supporting country in so far as food is concerned-wheat being the only article of food of which Brazil does not produce a sufficient quantity to meet her domestic requirements-but also as an exporter of meat, sugar, cocoa, beans, and other food products. The production of minerals, especially manganese, has also greatly increased since prewar days. At the same time the difficulty of importing manufactured articles from Europe during the war has resulted in the development of domestic industries in Brazil, such as meat packing, cotton mills, shoe factories, and lumber mills.

## MONETARY SYSTEM.

Brazil's monetary system is based on a gold milreis of 0.82207 grams of fine gold, with a par value of $\$ 0.5462$, or 27 pence in British money. The gold milreis, however, does not circulate, and only small quantities of coins of 5,10 , and 20 milreis are in existence. The principal currency of the country is the paper milreis, which is issued by the Government and is inconvertible. Against this paper money the treasury has been accumulating a guaranty and retirement fund at the Caixa de Amortização, which is discussed below.

The desire on the part of the Government to stabilize the price of coffee, as well as to prevent excessive fluctuations in exchange, resulted in the creation on December 6, 1906, of a conversion office (Caixa de Conversão). This office began operation on the basis of a loan of 15 million pounds from England, its functions being to receive gold and issue convertible notes at the rate of 1 milreis for 15 pence in gold (raised in 1910 to 16 pence). These notes are legal tender and were redeemable on demand at the conversion office in gold, which must not be used for any other purpose. The notes were used in part for the purchase of coffee, thus maintaining its price. While the convertible notes were made legal tender, old contracts made on the basis of a milreis worth 27 pence continued to be payable on that basis.

The original limit of the amount of gold that the conversion office was authorized to receive was 20 million pounds sterling, or 320 million milreis ( 320,000 conto of reis) at the rate of 16
milreis per pound sterling. After this amount was reached the rate of exchange could be raised by law. As a matter of fact, this rate was raised to 16 pence per milreis on December, 31, 1910, and the limit of gold deposits in the office was raised to 60 million pounds, corresponding to 900 million milreis in convertible notes at the rate of 15 milreis per pound sterling, or 16 pence per milreis. This change in the conversion rate resulted in a debit of the Government to the conversion office amounting to about 19 million milreis, with the consequence that the gold in the conversion office fell short by that amount of covering the outstanding convertible notes.

In addition to affording a means for the stabilization of coffee prices (valorization) the conversion office during the prewar period served as an agency for the conversion of international currency-gold-into Brazilian paper currency, or vice versa, and tended to regulate fluctuations in exchange rates. When the exchange value of the paper milreis would fall below the fixed amount of 16 pence, the holders of convertible notes would find it profitable to exchange them at the conversion office for the guaranteed amount of gold. The gold thus removed from the conversion office would be used for international payments, and this would tend to raise the exchange rate. On the other hand, when the value of the paper milreis rose above 16 pence, it would become more valuable than gold, and the public would take gold to the conversion office to exchange it for notes. This withdrawal of gold from circulation would in turn have a tendency to lower exchange rates. In this manner the conversion office exercised a steadying influence on the exchange value of Brazilian currency.

At the outbreak of the war, however, when exchange rates were steadily falling, the run on the conversion office became serious, and the Government was forced to suspend conversion operations and to close the office. This suspension is still in force. At the same time the Treasury itself purchased convertible notes in the market with inconvertible notes at a premium, and released equivalent amounts of gold from the conversion office, some of this gold being used for export and to support exchange, while large amounts were transferred to the guarantee fund for inconvertible notes.

The amounts of convertible and of inconvertible notes at the end of each year, from 1911-1919, is given in the table following.

| Note circulation of Brazil. <br> [In 1,000 paper milreis.] |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | December 31- | Convertible. | Inconvertible. | Total. |
| 1911. |  | 378, 843 | 612,519 | 991,002 |
| 1912. |  | 406, 036 | 607,025 | 1,013,061 |
| 1913. |  | 295, 347 | 601, 488 | 896,835 |
| 1914. |  | 157,787 | 822, 496 | 980,283 |
| 1915. |  | 94,560 | 982,090 | 1,076,650 |
| 1916. |  | 94, 560 | 1,122, 560 | 1, 217,120 |
| 1917. |  | 94,560 | 1,389, 415 | 1,483,975 |
| 1918. |  | 20,912 | 1, 679,176 | 1,700,088 |
| 1919. |  | 20,912 | 1, 729,002 | 1,749,974 |

The maximum amount of convertible notes outstanding at the end of any year was 406 millions at the end of 1912. At the end of 1913, it was 295 millions; at the end of 1914, 157 millions. Large scale redemption in 1915 reduced the amount to 95 millions, at which figure it remained stationary until the end of 1917, to fall to 21 millions in the following year, which is the amount outstanding at the present time.

The gold in the conversion office decreased proportionately, the difference between the gold and the notes on each date being 19 millions, representing the above-mentioned debit of the treasury to the office. At the end of 1918 and 1919 the total amount of gold was only 1.6 millions against 21 millions of outstanding notes.

Gold exports from Brazil amounted to about 126 million milreis in 1914, and to about 98 millions in 1915, but since that time the exports have been insignificant, the gold withdrawn from the conversion fund being for the most part transferred to the guaranty fund. Nearly all the convertible notes have thus been withdrawn from circulation and their gold cover has been in part exported and in part transferred to the general guaranty fund for inconvertible paper currency.

By a law of April, 1918, the treasury was authorized to issue against the gold transferred from the conversion office five times its value in inconvertible notes. Thus, when 60 millions of convertible notes were withdrawn from circulation on October 2, 1918, a corresponding amount of gold was released at the conversion office. This gold, however, amounting to 60 million milreis on the basis of 16 pence per milreis, was worth only 35.6 millions on the basis of 27 pence per milreis, the original gold parity of the milreis at which rate the transfer was made. The 35.6 millions in the guaranty fund enabled the Government to issue 177.8 millions in inconvertible notes, of which 60
millions took the place of the retired convertible notes and 117.8 millions was new circulation. As a consequence of this procedure and of the issuance of much larger additional amounts of uncovered notes for various purposes on the authority of different decrees, the amount of inconvertible paper currency increased from 600 millions in 1913 to 822 millions in 1914, 982 in 1915, 1,679 millions at the end of 1918, and 1,729 millions at the end of 1919, at which figure approximately it stands at the present time.
Gold holdings of the Government in the guaranty fund amounted to about 48 million milreis at the end of 1919 and constitute about 3 per cent of the paner money in circulation. Around this nucleus the Government hopes ultimately to build up a sufficient gold reserve to insure the redemption of all the outstanding inconvertible notes.

## FOREIGN TRADE AND FOREIGN EXCHANGE.

Brazil, like other South American countries, had a favorable merchandise trade balance in normal times, offset by interest payments on its foreign debt and on investments by foreign countries in Brazil. The years preceding the outbreak of the war were years of very large production of coffee, accompanied by a drop in price, and were also marked by demoralization of the rubber market. This resulted in a decline in the value of exports which totaled 973 million milreis in 1913, as against 1,007 millions of imports, the merchandise trade balance thus being unfavorable to Brazil during that year.


Exports declined still further when the war broke out and were only 751 millions in 1914, but imports were reduced even more, because European countries could not spare goods for Brazil, so that the trade balance was favorable
to that country by 189 million milreis in 1914. It is notable that, while the value of exports in 1914 was decidedly below the 1913 figure, the quantity of exports did not materially decline, the principal reason for the decrease in value being the drop in the price of coffee from $6 \frac{1}{4}$ milreis for 10 kilos ( 22.4 pounds) in 1913 to 43 $\frac{3}{4}$ milreis in 1914. During 1914 Brazil exported about 114 million milreis in gold, and the gold holdings of the conversion office dropped from 276 million to 138 million.

The demand for coffee was decidedly reduced by the war, first, because Germany, which had been a large importer of Brazilian coffee, was altogether eliminated as a customer, and, secondly, because allied belligerents in Europe reduced their consumption of coffee as a nonessential.

Rubber exports also suffered a decline because of the difficulty of securing transportation and of the growing competition of oriental rubber. Brazilian rubber is considered the best in the world, but its production is still in the elementary stage of pure exploitation and has not been organized as a systematic, selfperpetuating industry. In spite of the decline in rubber and in coffee exports, the value of exports from Brazil increased in 1915 and continued to grow throughout the period under discussion, the exports for each of the war years, 1915-1918, being over 1 billion milreis, while in 1919 they amounted to considerably over 2 billion milreis. Imports also increased in value after 1915, but not as rapidly as exports, and Brazil had a favorable merchandise balance every year from 1914 to 1919. The increase of exports was due to the growth in the production and exports of foodstuffs other than coffee. Thus the export of frozen beef, which had been insignificant before the war, amounted to over 9 thousand tons in 1915, 35 thousand tons in 1917,. 71 thousand tons in 1918, and 73 thousand tons during the first 10 months of 1919. Meat was shipped largely to Italy, France, and the United Kingdom. Considerable quantities of sugar, beans, cassava meal, and yerba maté were also exported, the latter largely to other South American countries. On December 3, 1917, a convention was entered into between the Brazilian and the French Governments by which the latter took over 30 of the German ships seized in Brazilian waters. France agreed to have these ships make at least 40 trips from Brazil to Europe and at least 35 from Europe to Brazil during the period December 3, 1918, to March 3, 1919. A cash payment of 110 million francs was also
to be made by the French Government, and in addition the French Government agreed to purchase 2 million bags of coffee for 100 million francs. This agreement, besides bringing funds to Brazil, helped to overcome the difficulties in the way of exporting Brazilian products, and also had a favorable effect on the coffee market.

On the basis of merchandise exports alone Brazil's trade balance was f9vorable throughout the war period, but the war had brought to a stop the constant flow of foreign capital to Brazil, which had helped to develop the country, and, while creating an increasing amount of obligations for interest and dividend payments, acted as a temporary offset against imports of manufactured goods. The total amount of foreign capital invested in Brazil is estimated at 2 billion dollars. A set of figures, published in the Gazeta da Bolsa, shows the estimated amounts of foreign capital invested in Brazil during each year from 1908-1918. These amounts were 28 million pounds in 1908, 20 millions in 1909, 33 millions in 1910, 38 millions in 1911, 25 millions in 1912, and 41 millions in 1913, but only 6 millions in 1914, and about 1 million in the three years 19151918 combined. With this stream of new capital cut off, Brazil found it difficult to meet the interest payments on her foreign debt and arranged for a funding loan, as will be explained later. At the same time foreign investments in Brazilian industries continued to earn returns which called for settlement, and Brazil, in spite of a favorable merchandise balance, had a decidedly unfavorable balance of international payments. Hence the drop in exchange rates. In January, 1914, sight drafts on London were quoted at $15 \frac{59}{64}$ pence per milreis. In July of the same year the quotation was only slightly below that amount. In August it dropped to $14 \frac{1}{2}$, in September to 13 , and in October to $10 \frac{21}{32}$, the lowest level recorded during the period under review. A gradual rise brought the milreis up to $13 \frac{3}{64}$ pence in March, 1919. It should be noted that this decline of the milreis in terms of British currency was in the face of an increasing depreciation of sterling itself. The value of the milreis declined also in terms of French francs, but in the case of Italy the depreciation of the lira considerably more than offset the decrease in the value of the milreis. Owing to the fact that dollar and sterling exchanges were linked in New York through the pegging arrangement, their course was parallel as long as this arrangement lasted. When in March, 1919, the European exchanges in

New York were "unpegged," the value of the milreis in terms of European currencies immediately began to rise, as these currencies, deprived of official support in New York, sought the lower levels in harmony with the adverse trade balances and currency inflation in the respective countries. In terms of pence the milreis rose from $13 \frac{3}{64}$ in March to $17 \frac{23}{32}$ in December, 1919, and to $18 \frac{11}{64}$ in March, 1920. The French franc, which was worth 715 reis in March, 1919, was only worth 276 reis in March, 1920. The decline of the Italian lira was even greater; from 613 reis per lira in March, 1919, exchange had declined to 216 reis per lira in March of this year. Dollar exchange was quoted in Brazil throughout the more recent period under review at a figure above the 3.083 milreis, which is the equivalent of 16 pence in British currency.
In spite of the fact that Brazil's exports to the United States each year from 1914 to 1919 were far in excess of her imports from this country, as America was a heavy importer of Brazilian coffee and rubber, the value of the dollar in the Brazilian market rose from 3.180 milreis in July, 1914, just before the outbreak of the war, to 4.328 milreis in April, 1917, when the United States became a beligerent. From that time until February, 1918, the rate declined to 3.765 milreis, in sympathy with the decline in the rates on other allied countries. During the summer of 1918 rates on all allied countries, including the United States, had an upward tendency, as the fortunes of war had turned in their favor. Since the "unpegging" of the exchanges in New York in March, 1919, dollar exchange has fluctuated in Brazil but has not shown a pronounced upward or downward tendency. The fact that the United States has maintained the gold standard and is the only important free gold market, has had a tendency to overcome the traditional dependence of Brazil on British currency as a standard of exchange. Some Brazilian contracts have recently been made with reference to dollar exchange, and the loan to Italy mentioned below is made on a dollar basis and is to be handled through the Guaranty Trust Co. In December, 1919, the Brazilian Government issued an order to the effect that customs duties, which previously had been calculated in terms of sterling, should henceforth be figured on the basis of dollars, since sterling had ceased to represent gold value.

The general favorable developments in Brazilian exchange during the last year are due in part to the great increase in her exports,
after the cessation of hostilities made more ships available, but also to the increase in the price of coffee caused by the decrease in production following the heary frost of 1918 which killed nearly one-half of the coffee-bearing trees. Prior to that time Brazil suffered from overproduction and was unable to dispose of the large stocks of coffee accumulated under the valorization plan. Reduced coffee planting, improved prices, and diversification of agricultural production are reacting favorably on Brazil's economic conditions and on the exchange value of her currency.

## BANKS.

The leading bank in Brazil is the semiofficial Banco do Brasil, organized in 1905 . A bank under the same name was chartered as early as 1809 , was frequently reorganized under different names, and immediately before the 1905 reorganization was known as the Banco da Republica. The paid-in capital of the Bank of Brazil is 45 million milreis, owned in equal shares by the Government and by the stockholders of the old Banco da Republica. Additional stock of 25 millions to be sold to the public is authorized but has not been issued. There is a reserve fund of 8 million milreis. The bank acts as fiscal agent of the Government. It also conducts a regular commercial business and engages in foreign exchange transactions. The bank has a branch in London and has a loan of 1 million pounds sterling from the Brazilian Government for the purpose of exchange operations in the London market. As holder of half of the bank's stock, the Government receives half of the profits, and uses them for the redemption of paper money. The business of the bank has expanded decidedly during the war period. Its discounts increased from about 51 million milreis in 1913 to 114 millions in 1919. Its loans on current account increased from 31 millions to 123 millions, and the amounts due from its agents in Brazil and in Europe increased from 67 millions to 125 millions. At the same time its demand deposits increased from 66 millions to 143 millions. The bank's profits have for some years been between 8 and 10 per cent. While the Bank of Brazil has not the privilege of issuing notes, there is a clause in its charter providing that in case any bank is granted that privilege the Bank of Brazil is to have the monopoly of note issue. A reorganization of the bank as a bank of issue and rediscount, modeled after the pattern of European central
banks and of our own Federal Reserve Banks, is now under discussion. The present minister of finance, Señor Homero Baptista, was formerly president of the Bank of Brazil, and is favorable to this reorganization. Recent reports from Brazil indicate that such a plan was discussed and unanimously approved by the directors of the bank at a meeting on April 30. Outside the Bank of Brazil there are many large domestic banks, of which the Banco da Provincia do Rio Grande do Sul, the Banco Commercial da Provincia do Rio Grande do Sul, the Banco do Commercio e Industrias de São Paulo, and the Banco Mercantil do Rio de Janeiro are the most important.

Banks controlled by foreign capital are also prominent in Brazil, as in other South American countries. These banks engage in general commercial business, deal extensively in foreign exchange, and act as agents for the interests of their nationals in Brazil.

Banks in Brazil are chartered under a general statute applying to all joint stock companies, and, outside of the requirement of publication monthly of a statement of condition, are not subject to governmental supervision. During the war daily reports of foreign exchange transactions were required. Branches of foreign banks must have a specified portion of the home institution's capital assigned as capital to the Brazilian branch. Foreign banks are subject to the same taxes as domestic banks.

Of the foreign banks, the three controlled by British capital, the London and Brazilian Bank, the London and River Plate Bank, and the British Bank for South America, which has recently been amalgamated with the AngloSouth American Bank, are the oldest and most influential.

Brazil's financial relations to England are very close, most of the foreign in vestments in Brazil are British, and the external national debt has been floated and is held to a large extent in England. The predominance of British influence in Brazil's finances is indicated by the fact that its official foreign exchange rates are in terms of British currency and that the conversion office redeems notes at a fixed rate in sterling. A tendency to break away from sterling as a standard of value has, however, recently become manifest, as mentioned above.

German capital was represented in Brazil by three important banks affiliated with the three great German commercial banks, the Deutsche Bank, the Dresdner Bank, and the Discontogesellschaft. The banks were actively interested
in the development of electric industries in Brazil. As Brazil was at war with Germany, these banks were first placed under governmental control and later liquidated after 1917, but they have now resumed operations. Important banking concerns, representing French and Dutch capital, are also in operation in Brazil, the French banks being particularly interested in railroad development. The principal French bank is the Banque Francaise et Italienne, while the important Banco Nacional Ultramarino represents Portuguese capital.
There were eight new foreign banks and branches opened during the period under discussion, besides one domestic bank; three American banks, namely, the National City Bank, the Americaa Mercantile Bank, and the American Foreign Banking Cornoration; one Dutch bank, one Portuguese bank, a branch of the Japanese Yokohama Specie Bank, one Scandinavian bank, and a branch of the Royal Bank of Canada.
A table is attached showing the assets and liabilities of the principal banks in the Rio de Janeiro on December 31, 1919. It should be noted that for banks whose head offices are not in the capital figures for the Rio office alone are included, so that the table is indicative of the relative importance of the banks in that city, but not of their aggregate resources in Brazil. Another table showing assets and liabilities of the banks in São Paulo is included for comparison; also two tables showing aggregate assets and liabilities of domestic and foreign banks, respectively, on December 31, 1913 to 1918.
The banks in Brazil hold a large proportion of cash reserves to demand deposits, chiefly because in the absence of a central bank of issue and rediscount each bank must carry sufficient reserves in its own vaults for all contingencies. Taking all the banks combined, their ratio of cash to deposits was 45.1 per cent in 1913 and 45.7 per cent in 1919. It is of interest to note, however, that the percentage for the domestic banks increased from 34.3 per cent to 43.4 per cent, while that of the foreign banks declined from 65.3 per cent to 48.5 per cent. Among the foreign banks it appears that the more newly established institutions carry much smaller percentages of reserve. The average for five new banks is 34.3 in 1919 as against 50.7 per cent for the other bank. The Portuguese bank's ratio of reserves is the lowest, amounting to only 14.77 per cent.

## PUBLIC FINANCE.

Like many new countries, Brazil has for many years past annually exceeded its receipts by its expenditures, with the consequence that the national debt has been steadily increasing. On December 31, 1918, Brazil's foreign debt amounted to 116 million pounds sterling, while its domestic debt, issued in the form of shortterm bonds (apolices), amounted to over a billion milreis, with an additional 356 millions of floating indebtedness.

The State governments of Brazil also had very considerable debts, amounting in the aggregate to about $1,200,000,000$ milreis.
The principal sources of revenue of the Na tional Government are import duties, while the principal sources of revenue of the States are export duties. In 1914 Brazil arranged tor a funding loan of 15 million pounds, which relieved it from the necessity of paying interest on its foreign debt until the end of 1917, and of amortizing any portion of this debt until 1927. This loan is secured by a second lien on the customs receipts at Rio de Janeiro, the first claim on these receipts being represented by the funding loan of 1898, of which about 8 million pounds are still outstanding. In case the receipts at the port of Rio de Janeiro are insuffcient, receipts at other ports are also pledged.

Furthermore, Brazil, like Argentina, is confronted by the fact that while European countries have almost unlimited need of Brazil's products they are not in a position to pay cash, and Brazil, if she wishes to sell her products, will have to make arrangements for granting
credits to the purchasers. An agreement has already been reported by which the Brazilian Government extends a 6 -months' credit of 100 million milreis to Italy for the purpose of enabling that country to purchase goods in Brazil.

As a consequence of the war, Brazil is thus beginning to figure as an international creditor, while she still continues to be a debtor on a large scale. With a large domestic and foreign debt, and a circulation of 1.8 billions of paper milreis, against which less than 3 per cent gold cover is at present available, Brazil faces the necessity of a radical reorganization of its fiscal policy. This is fully realized by the present administration, and plans for a revised system of taxation are being developed.
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Exchange rates on foreign centers at Rio de Janeiro, 1914-1919.

|  | London. |  | Paris. | Italy. | New York. | Buenos Aires. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1914. | d. per milreis. | Rs. per $£$. | Rs. perfr. | Rs. per lira | Rs. per dollar. | Rs. per paper peso. |
| January....................................... | 15 ${ }^{\frac{5}{4} \text { i }}$ | 15,050 | - 601 | 600 | 3,121 |  |
| February. | 152, | 15,025 | ${ }_{601}^{601}$ | 597 | 3,120 |  |
| March... |  | 15,025 15,166 | 601 608 | 602 | ${ }_{3,162}$ |  |
| мay.. | ${ }_{15} 5$ | 15, 100 | 608 | 610 | 3,162 |  |
| June. | $15 \frac{8}{6}$ | 15,087 | 602 | 600 | 3,100 |  |
| July... | $15 \frac{3}{2}$ | 15,075 | ${ }_{601}^{601}$ | 600 | 3,180 |  |
| August.... | ${ }_{13}^{14 \frac{1}{2}}$ | 16,565 | 661 747 | 651 | 3,105 |  |
| November. | 134 | 18,100 | 731 | 718 | 4,610 3,747 |  |
| December. | $13 \frac{38}{6}$ | 17,662 | 728 | 702 | 3,713 |  |
| 1915. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January. | 1314 | 16,850 | 700 | 684 | 3,603 |  |
| February. | ${ }_{123}^{134}$ | 17,400 18,300 | 715 | 702 | 3,730 4,030 |  |
| April.... | 129 | 18,425 | 746 | 691 | 3,932 |  |
| May... | 121年 | 19,150 | 764 | 709 | 4,043 | 1,782 |
| June... | $113 \frac{13}{2}$ | 20,300 | 793 | 730 | 4,286 | 1,786 |
| July.. | $12{ }^{12}$ | 19,350 | 729 | 667 | 4,033 | 1,696 |
| August... | ${ }_{12}^{124}$ | ${ }^{19,050}$ | 715 | 644 693 | 4,050 | 1,679 |
| September. | ${ }_{11}^{11 \frac{3}{4}}$ | 21,500 20,383 | 745 738 | 693 687 | 4,501 4,279 | 1,795 1,776 |
| November. | $12^{\frac{6}{2}}$ | 20,350 | 724 | 670 | 4,285 | 1,740 |
| December.. | $12 \frac{1}{16}$ | 20,350 | 727 | 662 | 4,278 | 1,758 |

Exchange rates on foreign centers at Rio de Janeiro, 1911-1919-Continued.

|  | London. |  | Paris. | Italy. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { New } \\ & \text { York. } \end{aligned}$ | Buenes Aires. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1916. | d. per milreis. | Rs.per 2. | Rs.per fr. | Rs. per lira. | Rs. per dollar. | Rs.per paper peso. |
| January................................... | $11 \frac{3}{2}$ | 20,350 | 733 | 655 | 4,298 | 1,776 |
| February | ${ }^{113}$ | 21, 250 | 759 | 671 | 4,473 | 1, 855 |
| April. | $131{ }^{1}$ | 21,950 | 733 736 | 646 669 | 1,310 4,393 | 1,805 1,835 |
| May. | 114. | 20,775 | 738 | 699 | 4,372 | 1,825 |
| June. | $12 \frac{1}{4}$ | 19,800 | 702 | 656 | 4,164 | 1,740 |
| July .. | ${ }^{12 \frac{1}{2}}$ | 19,866 | 702 | 655 | 4,155 | 1,734 |
| August. | 124. | 19,950 | 700 | 639 | 4, 120 | 1,709 |
| September |  | 19,800 | 702 | ${ }_{645}^{644}$ | 4,141 | 1,722 |
| Octaber. | $12{ }^{124}$ | 19,750 | 719 | 655 | 4,188 | 1.744 |
| November. | $12 \frac{1}{312}$ | 20,450 | 725 | 649 | 4,215 | 1,762 |
| December. | $11{ }^{\frac{3}{3}}$ | 21,212 | 742 | 654 | 4,325 | 1,800 |
| 1917. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January... | 1129 | 21,100 | 733 | 629 | 4,263 | 1,781 |
| February. | $112{ }^{128}$ | 20, 800 | 732 | ${ }_{691}^{613}$ | 4,259 | 1,900 |
| April... | $11 \frac{2}{23}$ | 21,300 | 742 | 575 | 4,329 | 1,900 |
| May. | 126: ${ }^{\frac{5}{4}}$ | 19,300 | 706 | 588 | 4,031 | 1,756 |
| June. | $13 \frac{1}{2}$ | 19,400 | 666 | 546 | 3,800 | 1,740 |
| July.. | 135 | 19,750 | $6 \overline{3}$ | 521 | 3,766 | 1,712 |
| August | $12 \frac{1}{2}$ | 20, 150 | 684 | 549 | 3,918 | 1,756 |
| September. | 12:3 ${ }^{\frac{3}{4}}$ | 20, 300 | 688 | 540 | ?,957 | 1,745 |
| October. | $12 \frac{1}{2}$ | 20,000 | 684 | 526 | 3,945 | 1,730 |
| November. | 122 ${ }^{2}$ | 20, 350 | 686 | 508 | 3,925 | 1,810 |
| December. | 133 ${ }^{\frac{2}{2}}$ | 20,950 | 661 | 482 | 3,804 | 1,836 |
| 191S. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January. | 13 ${ }^{\frac{2}{2}}$ | 21,000 | 654 | 454 | 3,726 | 1,765 |
| February. | ${ }^{133}$ | 20,700 | 660 671 | 449 | - ${ }_{3}^{3,765}$ | 1,693 1,690 |
| April.. | 13 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 21,300 | 676 | 450 | 3,860 | 1,733 |
| May... | 126 ${ }^{6}$, | 22,000 | 691 | 444 | 3,935 | 1,770 |
| June. |  | 22,100 | 685 | 435 | 3,907 | 1,780 |
| July. | $12 \frac{1}{2}$ | 24,650 | 706 | 442 | 4,021 | 1, 813 |
| August... | $12 \frac{12}{1}$ | 24, 050 | 728 | 471 | 4,171 | 1,870 |
| September | 122 | 24,700 | 759 | 688 | 4,188 | 1,890 |
| November | 128 | 24, 950 | 747 751 | 642 641 | 4,066 4,040 | 1,832 |
| December. | $13^{\frac{8}{8}}$ | 20,950 | 689 | 597 | 3,752 | 1,705 |
| 1919. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January. | 13 3, | 21,250 | 699 | 602 | 3,797 | 1,735 |
| February | ${ }^{123 \frac{31}{3}}$ | ${ }_{22}^{22,700}$ | 718 | ${ }_{6}^{616}$ | 3,910 | 1,760 |
| April. | $13{ }^{4}$ | 23,050 | 679 | 574 | 4,016 | 1,750 |
| May. | 1315 | 22,650 | 615 | 497 | 3,680 | 1,646 |
| June.. | $14 \frac{2}{67}$ | 22,850 | 578 | 472 | 3,645 | 1,615 |
| July. | $14 \frac{1}{2}$ | 20,700 | 567 | 463 | 3,683 | 1,580 |
| August. | 146 ${ }^{\frac{1}{4}}$ | 21,000 | 505 | 437 | 4,073 | 1,772 |
| September | $14 \frac{9}{6}$ | 21,050 | 506 | 429 | 4,038 | 1,715 |
| October- | $14 \frac{3}{2}$ | 20,300 | 475 | ${ }_{312}$ | 3,965 | 1,675 |
| November | $14 \frac{3}{4}$ | 20, 200 | 444 | 372 | 3,904 | 1,677 |
| December. | 173娄 | 20,000 | 333 | 293 | 3,706 | 1,615 |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January. | $17{ }^{17}$ | 20,050 | 333 | 275 | 3,656 | 1,600 |
| February. | - | 20,550 <br> 20 | ${ }_{276}^{278}$ | ${ }_{216}^{222}$ | 3,909 |  |
| March... | 184 | 20,700 | 276 | 216 | 3,915 | 1,732 |

Assets and liabilities of the Banco do Brasil on Dec. 31, 1913, Nov. 30, 1914, and Dec. 31, 1915-1919.
[ln 1,000 paper milreis.]

|  | 1913 | 1914 | 1915 | 1916 | 1917 | 1918 | 1919 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| assets. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government securities in guaranty or reserve fund. | 13,631 | 12,647 | 4,086 | 5,073 | 5,933 | 8,978 | 8,266 |
| Loans in current accounts. | 30, 648 | 36,440 | 33,703 | 41, 973 | 51,946 | 110,551 | 123,146 |
| Bills discounted. | 50, 875 | 36,108 | 16,330 | 39,209 | 46,165 | 169,520 | 113,779 |
| Bills receivable | 4,597 | 4,152 | 5,504 | 17,968 | 21,074 | ${ }^{88,159}$ | 96,550 |
| Foreign and domestic credits. ${ }^{\text {Due }}$ (rom agents in Brazil and in Europ | 66,975 | 130,301 | 62,830 | 72,868 | 97,044 | 89,321 191,467 | 86,701 125,446 |
| Bank stock. |  |  | 10,410 | 10,490 | 10,490 | 10, 490 | 10, 490 |
| Other stock |  |  | 12,083 | 12,663 | 11,677 | 4,425 | 2,704 |
| Stock in liquidation. | 4,923 | 5,220 | 4,191 | 3,628 | 3,438 | 2,492 | 5,463 |
| Bank buildings and furnishings | 1,430 | 1,430 | 1,430 | 1,435 | 1,435 | 1,663 | 2,307 |
| Various accounts......... | 13,668 | 12,890 | 8,468 | 23,276 | 33,765 | 110, 123 | 182,526 |
| Cash in the vault. | 40,668 | 29,075 | 29,780 | 40,639 | 31,409 | 76,482 | 69,152 |
| Total. | 227, 415 | 268,261 | 189,495 | 269,222 | 314,376 | 858, 671 | 826, 530 |
| Capital paid in........................... | 45,000 | 45,000 | 45,000 | 45,000 | 45,000 | 45,000 |  |
| Surplus........ | 3,925 | 4,291 | 4,902 | 5,509 | 6,139 | 7,386 | 8,865 |
| Deposits, not interest bearing | 8,280 | 54,410 | , 69 | 36, 884 | 19,217 | 52,701 | 23,788 |
| Deposits, interest bearing. | 57,834 | 53,548 | 57,789 | 53,511 | 60,706 | 119,376 | 119,222 |
| Deposits, time . . . | 74,997 | 6,022 | 696 | 1,237 | 1,389 | 12,395 | 17,922 |
| Current account | 122 | 281 | 197 | 1,128 | 1,459 | 1,664 | 1,519 |
| Due to agents in Brazil and in Europe | 6,499 | 80,394 | 2,061 | 1,320 | 10,835 | 72,994 | 38,420 |
| Bills payable, interest bearing. |  |  | 4,607 | 8,277 | 7,563 | 13,193 | 18,115 |
| Government deposits, judicial. | 1,201 | 5,359 | 1,350 | 1,207 | 1,308 | 2,471 | 1,513 |
| Government exchange account | 8,889 | 8,889 | 8,889 | 8,889 | 8,889 | 8,889 | 8,889 |
| Other Government deposits.. | 8, 688 | 54 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dividends, pavable, accumulate | 2,786 | 596 | $\begin{array}{r}604 \\ 1800 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | + $\begin{array}{r}651 \\ 1800\end{array}$ | ${ }_{8}^{686}$ | 1749 | ${ }_{250}^{796}$ |
| Various accounts... | 5,443 | 5,339 | 57,983 | 100,331 | 144, 791 | 508,933 | 523,823 |
| Pension fund. |  |  |  |  |  | 4,766 | 8,427 |
| Profit and loss. | 3,491 | 4,029 | 3,548 | 3,478 | 4,594 | 6,354 | 7,980 |
| Total. | 227,415 | 268, 261 | 189, 495 | 269, 222 | 314,376 | 858, 671 | 826, 531 |

Principal assets and liabilities of the banks in Rio de Janeiro on Dec. 31, 1919.
[In 1,000 paper milreis.]
ASSETS.

|  | Bills dis counted. | Loansin accounts. | Bills receivable. | Securities held in trust. | Securities deposited. | Due from head office and branches. | Securities owned by the bank. | Mortgages on real estate. estate. | Cash. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Various } \\ & \text { ac- } \\ & \text { counts. } \end{aligned}$ | Total assets. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| London and Brazilian Bank (Ltd) | 1,636 | 12,763 | 21, 467 | 18, 586 | 115,917 | 18,751 |  |  | 11,832 | 907 | 199,859 |
| London and River Plate Bank. | 2,911 | 10,777 | 21, 198 | 4,584 | 89,987 | 7 7,640 |  |  | 6, 482 | 689 | 144,268 |
| British Bank of South America. | 5,392 | 17,703 | 20,829 | 56,647 |  | 12,452 |  |  | 12,119 | 4,917 | 130,089 |
| Brasilianische Bank für Deutschland. | 3,813 | 4,122 19 19 |  | 2,852 | $\begin{array}{r}9,788 \\ 99 \\ 98 \\ \hline 888\end{array}$ | 21,246 |  |  |  |  | -53,148 |
| Banco Hollandes da America do Sul.. | $\begin{array}{r}1,845 \\ \hline 889\end{array}$ | 19,596 2,831 | 28,325 | 574 | $\begin{array}{r}99,278 \\ 8,381 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | -36,564 |  |  | 4,262 <br> 3,12 | $\mathbf{2 , 0 9 5}$ 4,522 | 191,965 29,410 |
| Banco Nacional Ultramarino. | 8,214 | 53,180 | 27,639 |  | 66,598 | 23,073 |  |  | 11,933 | 145, 051 | 335,688 |
| Banco Germanico. | 1,239 | 5,280 | 5,480 |  | 4,823 | 7,101 |  |  | 2,001 | 2,523 | 28,447 |
| National City Bank of New York | 9,821 | 44,376 | 34,680 |  | 82,187 | 131,767 |  |  | 19,765 | 5,784 | 328,380 |
| Banco Español del Rio de la Plat | 1,914 | 1,879 | 2,796 | 1,795 | 917 | 10,516 |  |  | 11,728 | 773 | 32,318 |
| Bance Portugues do Brasil. | 7,178 | 46,061 | 24, 226 | 135, 540 | 60 | 15,442 | 1,205 |  | 13,683 | 52,069 | 295,464 |
| American Foreign Banking Corporation | 1,814 | 7,717 | 3,763 |  | 3,311 | 14,722 |  |  | 4,193 | 1,146 | 36, 666 |
| Yokohama Specie Bank | 3,030 | ${ }_{3} 107$ | - 445 | 68 |  |  |  |  | 2,167 | 29 | 6,141 |
| Royal Bank of Canada | 113,779 | 123,146 | 3,320 96,550 | 196,721 | $\begin{array}{r} 97 \\ 97,435 \end{array}$ | 4,353 212,147 |  |  | 4,025 69,152 | 187,988 | $\stackrel{\text { 21,088 }}{1,120,686}$ |
| Banco do Commercio. | 5,127 | 2,941 | 1,657 | 5,255 | 59,934 | 1,854 | 4,720 | 190 | 4,139 | 187482 | 1,120,686 |
| Banco da Lavoura e do Commercio do Brasil. | 9,316 | 2,004 | 580 | 878 | 27,594 |  | 1,545 | 110 | 1,666 | 7,399 |  |
| Banco Credito Rurai. |  | 322 |  | 90 | 265 |  | 1,397 |  | 50 | 93 | 2,240 |
| Banco Commercial do Rio de Janeiro.. | 6,578 | 7,808 | 4,405 | 23,521 | 52,999 |  | 5,743 | 796 | 5,572 | 2,765 | 110,187 |
| Banco Mercantil. | 35,896 | 14,982 | 3,527 | 39,122 | 67,764 | 6,285 |  |  | 26,316 | 7,037 | 200,929 |
| Banco da Provincia do Rio Grande do | 18,413 | 5,719 | 2,497 |  | 15,921 | 15,312 |  |  | 11,645 | 1,559 |  |
| Banco Nacional Brasiliero | 6,191 | 4,615 | 3,250 | 8,492 | 32,990 | 218 | 498 |  | 1,634 | 549 | 58,437 |
| Banco Pelotanse. | 5,404 | 4,284 | 8,887 | 8,290 | 1,453 | 2,817 |  |  | 2,225 | 406 | 33,766 |
| Total foreign banks. | 51,714 | 229,446 | 199,736 | 218,646 | 481,344 | 312,094 | 1,205 |  |  |  | 1, 832, 931 |
| Total domestic bank | 200,727 | 165, 821 | 121,353 | 282,369 | 356,355 | 238,633 | 37,671 | ${ }^{09}{ }^{-1}$ | 122,398 | 208, 278 | 1, 734,701 |
| Total domestic and foreign banks. | 252,44I | 395, 267 | 321,089 | 501,015 | 837, 699 | 550, 727 | 38,876 | 1,096 | 233,357 | 436,065 | 3,567,632 |

Principal assets and liabilities of the banks in Rio de Janeiro on Dec. 31, 1919—Continued.
LIABILITIES.

|  | Capital paid in. | Surplus. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sight } \\ \text { deposits. } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\text { deposits. }}{\text { Time }}$ | Securities deposited in guaranty. | Due to head office and branche: | Various accounts. | $\underset{\text { liabilities }}{\text { Total }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| London \& Brazilian Bank (Ltd) | 13,334 |  | 15,345 | 11,099 | 132,502 | 3,553 | 24,026 | 199, 859 |
| London \& River Plate Bank | 1,500 |  | 14,825 | 5,104 | 94,571 | 5,915 | 22,353 | 144,768 |
| British Bank of South America | 8,889 |  | 14,422 | 19,702 | 78, 774 | 7,890 | 612 | 130,089 |
| Brasilianische Bank für Deutschland | 15,000 |  | 4,488 | 2,120 | 17.279 | 4,341 | 9,920 | 53,148 |
| Ranco Hollandes da America do Sul |  |  | 27,179 | 2,343 | 125,828 | 31,623 | 2,993 | 191,965 |
| Banco Allemão-Transatlantico | 3,675 |  | 2,666 | 1,325 | 9,883 | 7,633 | 4,228 | 29,410 |
| Banco Nacional Ultramarino | 3,000 |  | 26, 290 | 30,089 | 66,598 | 42,531 | 167,180 | 335,688 |
| Banco Germanico | 2,205 |  | 6,501 |  | 10,303 | 7,993 | 1,445 | 28,447 |
| National City Bank of New York | 3,082 |  | 50,499 | 14,271 | 116,887 | 140,914 | 2,747 | 328,380 |
| Banco Español del Rio de la Plata |  |  |  | 2,691 | 5,508 | 22,884 | 435 | 32,318 |
| Banco Portugues do Brasil | 25,000 | 3,015 | 39,200 | 18,597 | 159,826 | 2,241 | 47,585 | 295,464 |
| American Foreign Banking Corporation | 4,613 |  | 2, 824 | 313 | 7,074 | 20,739 | 1,103 | 36,666 |
| Yokohama Specie Bank | 1,000 |  | 1,170 | 679 | 121 | 3,016 | 155 | 6,141 |
| Royal Bank of Canada | 3,933 |  | 5,945 | 702 | 3,417 | 3,002 | 4,089 | 21,038 |
| Banco do Brasil. | 45,000 | 8,864 | 144,529 | 36,037 | 304.557 | 38,420 | 543,279 | 1, 120,686 |
| Banco do Commercio | 7,000 | ${ }_{5}^{285}$ | 9,266 | 1,346 | ${ }^{64,983}$ | 1,656 | 1,763 | 86,299 |
| Banco da Lavoura e do Commercio do | 5,000 | 521 | 3,143 |  | 28,557 |  | 13, 871 | 51,092 |
| Banco Credito Rural | 1,322 | 248 | 98 |  | 265 |  | 307 | 2,240 |
| Banco Commercial do Rio de Janeiro | 9,000 | 141 | 14,241 | 857 | 81,713 |  | 4,235 | 110, 187 |
| Banco Mercantil. | 4,990 | 736 | 40,740 | 35,907 | 116,607 |  | 1,949 | 200,929 |
| Banco da Provincia do Rio Grand |  |  | 10,655 | 18,698 | 27,552 | 11,420 | 2,740 | 71,065 |
| Banco Nacional Brasiliero | 2,000 | 344 | 10,215 |  | 44,519 | 32 | 1,327 | 58,437 |
| Banco Pelotanse |  |  |  | 8,011 | 9,743 | 6,643 | 9,369 | 33, 666 |
| Total foreign banks | 88,031 | 3,015 | 211,354 | 109,035 | 828,351 | 304,275 | 288,870 | 1,832,931 |
| Total domestic bank | 74,312 | 11,139 | 232, 887 | 100,856 | 678,496 | 58, 171 | 578,840 | 1,734,701 |
| Total foreign and domestic banks . | 162,343 | 14,154 | 444,241 | 209,891 | 1,506,847 | 362,446 | 867,710 | 3,567,632 |

Principal assets and liabilities of the banks in São Paulo on June s0, 1919.
[In 1,000 paper pesos.]
ASSETS.

|  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Bills } \\ \text { dis- } \\ \text { counted. } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bills } \\ & \text { receiv- } \\ & \text { able. } \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Bills } \\ \text { guar- } \\ \text { anteed. } \end{gathered}\right.$ | Current accounts, secure other. | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Due } \\ \text { from } \\ \text { domese- } \\ \text { toic } \\ \text { corre-- } \\ \text { spond- } \\ \text { ents. } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Due } \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { forelgn } \\ & \text { corre- } \\ & \text { spond- } \\ & \text { ents. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Securi- } \\ \text { ties } \\ \text { held } \\ \text { in } \\ \text { trust. } \end{gathered}$ | Cash. | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Col- } \\ \text { lateral } \\ \text { held } \\ \text { against } \\ \text { ad- } \\ \text { vances. } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Due } \\ \text { from } \\ \text { head } \\ \text { offce, } \\ \text { branches, } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { agen- } \\ \text { cies. } \end{gathered}$ | Other assets. | Total assets. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Banca Francewe Italiana per l'America del Sud. |  |  | 21,206 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13,416 |
| Banco Commercial do Estado de Saxo |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paulo ${ }_{\text {Panco de }}$ | 24,988 | 22, 317 | 40,943 | 37,530 | 3,080 | 7,446 | 27,964 | 29,997 |  | 17,890 | 1,693 | 214, 848 |
| cola do Estado de Sāa Paulo ....... | 9,168 | 619 | 40 | 38,300 | 8,409 | 1,683 |  |  | 88.321 |  | 109 | 153,898 |
| Banco de São Paulo............... | 11,964 | 8,390 | 10,670 | 7,983 | 457 |  |  | 3,992 |  |  | 2,111 | 45,567 |
| São Paulo................. | 56,823 | 7,358 | 82,160 | 45,760 |  | 5,732 | 33,279 | 39,502 |  |  | 5,681 | 276, 295 |
| Banco Nacional da Cidade de Nova York. | 7,657 | 21,816 | 19,012 | 15,883 | 429 |  | 40,193 | 7,726 |  | 3,305 |  |  |
| Banco Nacional Uiltramarino. | 23,064 | 44, 052 | 55,908 | 57,861 | 2,606 | 15,948 | 21,740 | 15, 224 |  | 18,284 | 104, 218 | 358,905 |
| Banque Française pour le Brésil | 1,518 | 3,563 | 5,338 | 10,371 |  |  | 2,712 | 2,125 | 10,349 | 1,212 | 991 | 38, 179 |
| Banque Italo-Belge. | 6,015 | 14, 203 | 26,823 | 18,877 | 12,719 | 1,197 | 6,329 | 12, 964 |  | 26,343 | 2,651 | 128, 121 |
| Brasilianische Bank fir Deutsehland.: |  |  | 4,423 | 2,503 | 65 | 900 | 14, 150 | 5,825 |  | 1,900 | 1,665 | 33,116 |
| British Bank of South America (Ltd.). | 6,886 16,075 | -9,065 | -6,002 | 8,239 | 304 | 5 | 14, 414 | 9,122 | 11,211 | 1,707 | $\begin{array}{r}610 \\ 1,084 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 67, ${ }^{665}$ |
| London and River Plate Bank (Ltd.) | 16,067 2,667 | 15, 135 | 31,482 6,452 | 20,209 3,554 |  |  | 31,024 | 14, $\mathbf{2}, 780$ | 1,716 | 1,367 | $\begin{array}{r}1,084 \\ \hline 181\end{array}$ | 159,125 64,876 |
| Total | 207,063 | 205, 233 | 310,464 | 303, 811 | 81,057 | 75,591 | 446, 445 | 183,741 | 111,597 | 81,208 | 173,639 | 2,180, 839 |

Principal assets and liabilities of the banks in São Paulo on June 30, 1919—Continued.
LIABILITIES.

|  | Paid in capital. | Demand deposits. | Time deposits deposits payable upon notice. | Due to head office, branche and agencies. | $\text { Bills } \text { payable. }$ |  | Guarantees. | Deferred credits. | Other liabilities. | Total liabilities. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Banca Francese e Italiana per l'America del Sud. | 7,500 | 149,549 | 22,186 | 2,438 |  | 2,496 | 204,750 | 63,978 | 60,519 | 513,416 |
| Banco Commercial do Estado de são |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paulo.......................... | 12,000 | 68,880 | 4,628 | 19,171 |  | 1,272 | 68,908 | 22,317 | 17,672 | 214,848 |
| Banco de Credito Hypotecatio e Agricola do Estado de Sex̃o Paulo. |  | 33,029 | 17 |  |  |  | 88,361 | 619 | 28,684 | 153,898 |
| Banco de Sáo Paulo...................... | 5,000 | 14,231 | 3,105 |  |  | 1,043 | 19,047 |  | 3,141 | 45,567 |
| Banco do Commercioe Industria de Sấo Paulo | 20,000 | 102, 170 | 12,213 |  |  | 909 | 82, 160 | 40,636 | 18,207 | 276,295 |
| Banco Nacional da Cidade de Nova |  | 15,389 |  | 13,783 | 2 |  | 59, 204 | 21,816 | 3,248 | 126,938 |
| Banco Nacional Ültramarino | 3,000 | 49,834 | 42,285 | 16, 158 | 398 | 21,055 | 77, 648 |  | 148,227 | 358, 905 |
| Banque Française pour le Brésil.......... | 2,000 | 4, 822 | 1,422 |  |  |  | 18,399 |  | ${ }^{860}$ | 38,179 |
| Banque Italo-Belge. <br> Brasilianische Bank für Deutschiand | 5,527 | 24,690 1,650 | 2,786 1,494 | 34,450 893 |  | 3,006 6,441 | 37,983 18,573 | 10,667 988 | 9,012 3,077 | 128,121 33,116 |
| British Bank of South America (Ltd.).. |  | 12,092 | 1,676 | 11,034 | 38 | ,457 | 31, 627 | 7,826 | ${ }^{815}$ | 67,565 |
| London and Brazilian Bank (Ltd.) |  | 35,047 | 11,220 | 7,149 | 55 |  | 81, 377 |  | 24,277 | 159,125 |
| London and River Plate Bank (Ltd.)... | 500 | 5,613 | 80 | 4,060 | 33 |  | 39, 191 |  | 15,389 | 64,860 |
| Total. | 58,715 | 516,996 | 107, 145 | 116,548 | 526 | 48,142 | 827, 228 | 172, 410 | 333, 128 | 2, 180,839 |

Assets and liabilities of domestic banks in Brazil on Dec. 31, 1913-1918.
[In 1,000 paper milreis.]

|  | 1913 | 1914 | 1915 | 1916 | 1917 | 1918 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AS3ET*. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bills discounted. | 180,286 | 195,081 | 168,990 | 234,016 | 284,224 | 391,918 |
| Loans in current accounts | 236,571 | 214,579 | 214,547 | 272, 158 | 334, 103 | 442,868 |
| Bills receivable | 63,237 | 82,214 | 75,592 | 109,652 | 132,702 | 187,112 |
| Securities held in trust. | 264,549 | 408,235 | 353,020 | 406, 090 | 407,992 | 438,745 |
| Securities deposited. | 282,939 | 310,145 | 334, 505 | 386,104 | 495,892 | 537,403 |
| Due from branches and correspondents. | 169,215 | 140,082 | 348,283 | 183,360 | 260, 217 | 438, 777 |
| Securities owned. | 66, 850 | 68,056 | 82,801 | 85, 132 | 89,744 | 108,878 |
| Mortgages ou real estate | 97,768 | 107,719 | 110,914 | 120,523 | 132,395 | 128, 050 |
| Cash. | 106,060 | 138, 258 | 156, 819 | 175, 971 | 203, 852 | 215, 147 |
| Various asset | 56,328 | 45, 887 | 49,058 | 66,248 | 77,345 | 95,752 |
| Total assets | 1,543,982 | 1,711,156 | 1,514,329 | 2,038,443 | 2,418,466 | 2,984, 650 |
| habilities. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital paid in. | 165, 164 | 174,507 | 173,898 | 177,002 | 190,590 | 227,128 |
| Surplus. | 39, 136 | 40,507 | 43,925 | 49,405 | 54, 412 | 67, 150 |
| Demand deposit. | 310,205 | 214,499 | 191,576 | 306,792 | 422, 199 | 578,925 |
| Time deposits. | 99, 619 | 151,597 | 144,580 | 231,913 | c10,817 | 294, 132 |
| Securities in trust deposit. | 653,193 | 895, 145 | 827,873 | 956,092 | 1,075, 728 | 1,155,127 |
| Due to branches and correspon | 131,554 | 153,497 | 128,216 | 78, 486 | 154,384 | 297,472 |
| Mortgages on real estate | 24,630 | 4,634 | 4, 469 | 4,269 | 9,189 | 3,555 |
| Varions liati.ities. | 120,603 | 114, 892 | 179,792 | 234,484 | 301, 144 | 362, 261 |
| Total liabilities. | 1,543,982 | 1,711,156 | 1,514,329 | 2,038,443 | 2,418, 466 | 2,984,630 |

Assets and liabilities of foreign banks in Brazil on Dec. 31, 1913-1918.
[In 1,000 paper milreis.]

|  | 1913 | 1914 | 1915 | 1916 | 1917 | 1918 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSETS. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bills discounted. | 114, 164 | 67,058 | 74,231 | 97,602 | 134,312 | 173,912 |
| Loans in current account | 203, 741 | 177,250 | 174, 613 | 190,226 | 218,463 | 358,767 |
| Bills receivabie. | 269,945 | 214,021 | 208, 793 | 241, 835 | 242,153 | 370, 655 |
| Securities held in trust | 322,037 | 299,346 | 291,477 | 296, 417 | 297,676 | 380,061 |
| Securities depositer. | 414, 837 | 469,297 | 591,448 | 616,365 | 631, 075 | 798,704 |
| Due from branches and correspondents | 157, 136 | 154, 881 | 214,541 | 212,541 | 228,919 | 323,324 |
| Securities owned.......... |  |  |  |  | 1,335 | 1,435 |
| Cash.... | 108,212 | 173,253 | 184, 564 | 164,793 | 183,352 | 277, 193 |
| Various assets. | 20,284 | 50,901 | 92, 817 | 90, 311 | 79,017 | 130,358 |
| Total assets. | 1,589,177 | 1,606,007 | 1,832,484 | 1,279,996 | 2,014,302 | 2,814,409 |
| Capital paid in. | 60,439 | 61,892 | 66, 664 | 72,874 | 76,439 | 86,734 |
| Surplus........ |  |  |  |  | 412 | 654 |
| Demand deposits | 164,932 | 174,732 | 248,307 | 268,755 | 290, 141 | 480, 428 |
| Time deposits... | 153, 679 | 109, 145 | 128,780 | 117,799 | 147,791 | 205,825 |
| Securities in trust deposits. | 855, 823 | 842,293 | 990, 883 | 1,029,950 | . 1, 054,720 | 1,346,204 |
| Due to branches and correspondents | 162,952 | 196, 815 | 168,740 | 182,265 | -232,602 | 346,190 |
| Various liabilities............ | 181,230 | 173,008 | 229,110 | 238, 433 | 212,197 | 348,374 |
| Total liabilities. | 1,589,177 | 1,606,007 | 1,832,484 | 1,279,996 | 2,014,302 | 2,814,409 |

GENERAL STOCK OF MONEY IN THE UNITED STATES.

Developments in the money situation in the United States since 1917 are shown in the subjoined tables and charts. The amount and distribution by classes of the general stock of money is shown by five bars in the chart for five significant dates in connection with the war, namely, July 1, 1914, before the outbreak of the war; April 1, 1917, about the time of the entry of the United States into the war; April 1, 1918, about the time of the adoption of the so-called Pittman Act, under whose provisions large amounts of silver were exported to the Orient; June 1, 1919, immediately before the removal of the gold embargo; and July 1, 1920.

The changes in our stock of money caused by the war and by the inauguration of the Federal Reserve System are clearly shown in the bars. Between the outbreak of the war and April, 1917, our stock of gold increased by 1.2 billions as the result of favorable trade balances and payments in gold by foreign governments for munitions and supplies purchased in America. Between April, 1917, and June, 1919, comparatively little change in the stock of gold is shown, because the entry of the United States into the war stopped the inflow of gold from the Allies, since the United States supplied them with goods on credit, and also stopped its outflow, as in the autumn of 1917 an embrago was placed on gold exports. When this embargo was removed on June 9, 1919, considerable amounts of gold began to leave
the country in settlement of trade balances with the Orient and South America, and the stock of gold on July 1, 1920, was 2,688 millions or 404 millions less than before the removal of the embargo.

The stock of silver, which remained steady at between 560 and 570 millions until the Pittman Act was passed, shows à decline of about 260 millions in the following year and a further decline of about 40 millions since June 1, 1919, the stock on July 1 of this year being about 269 millions. Subsidiary silver shows a steady but comparatively moderate increase throughout the period.

United States notes remained constant at 347 millions, while national-bank notes fluctuated slightly and aggregated on July 1, 1920, about 32 millions less than the 1914 total.

Two kinds of Federal Reserve currency, Federal Reserve notes and Federal Reserve Bank notes, make their appearance first in the bar for July 1, 1917, and show a constant and rapid growth from that time to the present, when the total stock of the former is about 3,406 millions and that of the latter about 201 millions

To sum up, between 1914 and 1920 the total stock of money in the United States increased from 3,736 to 7,887 millions. This increase of 4,151 millions is the result of a net addition of 897 millions to the stock of gold, of 76 millions to the stock of subsidiary silver, and of the introduction of 3,607 millions of Federal Reserve currency, offset by losses of about 297 millions in the stock of silver and of about 32 millions in the stock of national-bank notes.

Another table with illustrative chart shows data for the first of each month from April, 1917, to June, 1920, regarding the amounts of money held by the Treasury and by the Federal Reserve Banks and the amounts held outside, i. e., in the tills of the banks and of industrial and commercial establishments, also in the pockets of the people. The latter amounts are shown above the zero line on the chart, and the amounts held by the Treasury and the Federal Reserve Banks are shown below that line, the total shaded area thus representing the entire stock of money in the country. The money outside the Treasury and the Federal Reserve Banks is divided into two classes-Federal Reserve notes and all other money. It will be noted that during the 40 months covered by the chart the money outside of the Treasury and the Federal Reserve Banks increased, with seasonal fluctuations, from 4,101 millions to 5,381 millions, or by about 1,280 millions. During the same period Federal Reserve note circulation increased from 357 to 3,121 millions, an increase of 2,764 millions, while all other money in circulation declined from 3,744 to 2,260 millions, a
decrease of 1,484 millions. It is apparent, therefore, that of the 2,764 millions of new Federal Reserve notes more than one-half, 1,484 millions, took the place of other money in circulation, while less than one-half, 1,280 millions, represents the net addition to the circulating medium. Of the money displaced from actual circulation gold (including gold certificates) and silver (including silver certificates) constitute the major portion.

It will be noted below the zero line that gold held by the Treasury and by the Federal Reserve Banks increased from 1,099 millions on April 1, 1917, to 2,012 millions on July 1, 1920, an increase of 913 millions, while other money so held increased from 113 millions to 494 millions, an increase of 381 millions. It appears, therefore, that of the total increase in the stock of money from April 1, 1917, to July 1, 1920, amounting to 2,575 millions, slightly more than one-half $(1,295$ millions) represents additions to the money held by the Treasury and by the Federal Reserve Banks, while slightly less than onehalf ( 1,280 millions) represents additions to actual circulation.

General stock of money in the United Slates.
[In thousands of dollars.]


Money held in Treasury and Federal Reserve Banks and outside.

|  | In thousands | dollars.] |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date. <br> (First of the month figures.) | Money held in United States Treasury as assets of the Government and in Federal Reserve Banks. |  |  | Money held outside United States Treasury and Federal Reserve Banks. |  |  |
|  | Gold and gold certificates. | All other money. | Total. | Federal Reserve notes. | All other money. | Total. |
| 1917. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| April. | 1,098, 953 | 112,180 | 1,211, 133 | 357,239 | 3,743, 737 | 4,100,976 |
| May.. | 1,173,455 | ${ }_{122,072}^{124,}$ | $1,297,746$ $1,272,587$ | 421,175 463,509 | $3,696,040$ $3,689,322$ | 4,117,215 |
| July | 1,403,734 | 130,818 | 1,534,552 | 508,077 | 3,437,381 | 3,945,458 |
| August | 1,475,903 | 168,348 | 1,644,251 | 534,833 | 3,334,209 | 3,869,042 |
| September | 1,453,383 | 160,258 | 1,613,641 | 595,658 | 3,344,362 | 3,940,020 |
| October. | 1,522, 517 | 150,335 | 1,671, 892 | 701,093 | 3,269, 280 | 3,970, 373 |
| November. | 1,625,647 | 162, 743 | 1,788, 390 | 858,297 | 3,178, 167 | 4,035,464 |
| December. | 1,715,509 | 179,432 | 1,894,941 | 1,043, 483 | 3,087,704 | 4, 131,187 |
| 1918. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January.. | 1,770,348 | 230,266 | 2,000,614 | 1,227,553 | 3,028,031 | 4,255,584 |
| March... | $1,854,734$ $1,884,613$ | - 311,945 | 2, 166,679 | $1,210,964$ $1,320,432$ | $2,893,960$ $2,873,063$ | $4,104,924$ $4,193,495$ |
| April | 1,929,750 | 283,631 | 2, 213,381 | 1,431, 856 | 2,834,945 | 4,266,801 |
| May... | 1,970, 827 | 259,960 | 2,230,787 | 1,525,355 | 2,784,813 | 4,310,168 |
| June. | 2,030, 285 | 301,834 | 2,332,119 | 1,577,437 | 2,705,452 | 4,282,889 |
| July. | 2,085,071 | 289,416 | 2,374, 487 | 1,711,509 | 2,656,230 | 4,367,739 |
| August... | 2, 133, 808 | 311,446 | 2,445, 254 | 1,855,351 | 2,594,485 | 4,449,836 |
| September | 2. 1760,018 | 274, 291 | 2, 44, 309 | 2,090,806 | 2,561, 840 | 4,652,646 |
| November. | ${ }_{2,205,477}$ | -319,043 | 2,524, 520 | 2, $2,313,813$ | $\stackrel{2}{2,533,8840}$ | 5,065,653 |
| December. | 2,218,799 | 320,793 | 2, 539,592 | 2,607,438 | 2,522,547 | 5,129,985 |
| 1919. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January. | 2, 207, 895 | 467,759 | 2,675,654 | 2,631,977 | 2, 473,163 | 5,105,140 |
| February | 2,264, 778 | 477,711 | 2, 742,589 | 2, 443,357 | 2,425,683 | 4, 869,040 |
| March | 2, 277,797 | 437,083 | 2,714,880 | 2,475, 284 | 2,376, 136 | 4, 851, 420 |
| April. | 2, 314,540 | $\begin{array}{r}431,240 \\ 445 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 2, 475, 780 | 2,502, 622 | 2,338,351 | 4,840, 973 |
| Maye.. | 2,323, 406 $\mathbf{2 , 3 5 2 , 8 9 3}$ | 445,752 430,273 | 2, ${ }_{2}, 763,1696$ | $2,521,144$ $2,506,177$ | $2,324,447$ $2,302,736$ | $4,845,591$ $4,808,913$ |
| July.. | 2,295,174 | 450,954 | 2,746, 128 | 2,494, 051 | 2,348, 295 | 4,842, 346 |
| August | 2.262,946 | 468,054 | 2,731.000 | 2,503,571 | 2,290,545 | 4,794,116 |
| September | 2,227, 597 | 483, 049 | 2,710,646 | 2,561, 703 | 2,291, 356 | 4, 853,059 |
| October. | 2,195,399 | 508,523 | 2,703,922 | 2,669, 732 | 2,289,243 | 4,958,975 |
| November. | 2, 177,024 | 510,627 | 2,657,651 | 2,738,914 | 2,294,996 | 5,033,910 |
| December. | 2,117,618 | 493,303 | 2,610,921 | 2,840,305 | 2,331,918 | 5,172,223 |
| 192 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January. | 2,091,054 | 559,257 | 2,649,311 | 2,987,225 | 2,324,734 | 5,312,009 |
| March... | 2,055, 735 | 579,060 521,400 | - $2,634,795$ | 2,844,891 | $2,265,083$ $2,278,171$ | $5,119,974$ $5,277.861$ |
| April | 2,000,056 | 487,749 | 2,487,805 | 3,032,401 | 2,240,940 | 5,273,341 |
| May. | 1,981, 490 | ${ }^{483} \mathbf{4 8 1 . 5 9 2}$ | ${ }_{2}^{2,465.082}$ | 3.062, 455 | 2, $2,225,417$ | $5,290,872$ <br> 5,353 |
| July.. | 2,011,967 | 494,362 | 2,506,329 | 3,121, 242 | 2,259,611 | $5,380,853$ |




## EARNINGS AND EXPENSES OF FEDERAL RESERVE BANKS.

Total earnings of the Federal Reserve Banks for the six months ending June 30, 1920, were $\$ 81,778,352$, compared with $\$ 46,690,577$ for the corresponding period in 1919, while total current expenses for the first six months of the present year were $\$ 13,195,241$, compared with $\$ 9,061,746$ in 1919. Current expenses for the six-month period under review include $\$ 10,714,-$ 924 , expenses of operation proper; $\$ 1,285,438$, the cost including expressage, insurance, and other expenses incident to the issue and redemption of Federal Reserve currency; $\$ 473,678$, taxes on Federal Reserve Bank note circulation; $\$ 680,281$, the cost of furniture and equipment bought during the period, and $\$ 40,920$, miscellaneous minor expenses. Current expenses shown are exclusive of the expenses of the fiscal agency departments, which are treated separately, being reimbursable by the Government.

During the first six months of the present year the Federal Reserve Banks, assisting the Government in its fiscal operations, expended a total of $\$ 3,397,148$, compared with $\$ 11,119,-$ 274 during the corresponding period in 1919. There was also due to the banks from the Treasury at the beginning of the year a total of $\$ 3,587,167$, disbursed by the banks during the past calendar year. Reimbursements received by the banks since January 1, 1920, totaled $\$ 5,568,914$, leaving thus a reimbursable balance on June 30 of $\$ 1,415,401$.

Current net earnings of the banks, i. e., the excess of earnings over current expenses, totaled $\$ 68,583,111$, or at the yearly rate of 151.2 per cent on an average paid-in capital of $\$ 91,165,-$ 000, compared with 92 per cent for the corresponding period in 1919 , and 55.9 per cent in 1918. After crediting $\$ 343,667$ direct to profit and loss the banks had available for dividends, surplus, and franchise taxes a total of $\$ 68,926$,778. Out of this total came dividends at the annual rate of 6 per cent for the six months, $\$ 2,734,959$. Of the remainder, $\$ 6,603,738$ was carried to profit and loss, and $\$ 44,625,563$ to surplus; moreover, the New York bank, whose surplus is in excess of 100 per cent of its subscribed capital, in accordance with amended section 7 of the Federal Reserve Act, reserved $\$ 14,962,518$ as a franchise tax to the Government. For each of the Federal Reserve Banks
and the system as a whole the ratios of surplus to subscribed capital stand as follows:

|  | Per cent. |  | Per cen |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Boston. | 82.0 | St. Louis. | . 69. |
| New York | 104.0 | Minneapolis | 79.2 |
| Philadelphi | 78.5 | Kansas City. | 97.4 |
| Cleveland | 67.7 | Dallas. | 55. |
| Richmond | 83.6 | San Francisco | 90.8 |
| Atlanta. | 93.3 |  |  |
| Chicago. | 90.0 | System. | 87.1 |

Of the total earnings for the six months of the present year about 78.7 per cent, as against 82.1 in 1919, came from discounts, largely war paper; bills purchased in open market, largely bankers' acceptances, contributed 15.6 per cent of the total earnings, as against 11 per cent in 1919; United States securities, largely Treasury certificates, 4.3 per cent, as against 5 per cent for the first half of 1919. Combined earnings from these three sources account for about 99 per cent of the six-months' earnings of the banks, the balance being made up of net earnings from bank transfers, penalties and interest on deficient reserves, collection charges, profits on sales of foreign coin, and sundry minor profits.

Of the total expenses of operation of the banks proper, exclusive of their fiscal agency departments, $\$ 5,455,812$, or nearly 51 per cent, as against 45 per cent in 1919, went as salaries to the clerical staff. This amount is exclusive of $\$ 313,777$, composed chiefly of the amount of extra pay for overtime work and $\$ 60,211$, the premium paid by the banks for life insurance of the employees. Salaries of bank officers totaled $\$ 881,364$, or 8.2 per cent of the banks' operating expenses for the six months of the present year, as against 9.8 per cent in 1919 . Printing and stationery account for 5.7 per cent of the total expense of operation, and postage and expressage for 4.6 per cent. Contributions of the banks for the support of the Federal Reserve Board totaled $\$ 519,257$, or 4.8 per cent of the operating expenses, compared with 4.5 per cent in 1919.

Rent paid for the first six months of the present year totaled $\$ 361,385$, or about onethird more than for the first six months in 1919. In addition, the banks show an increase for the present year of $\$ 3,269,063$ in their investments in bank premises. All of them own either all or a part of the premises occupied by them as banking quarters, or else have purchased ground on which they propose to erect permanent bank buildings for their own use,

Earnings and expenses of each Federal Reserve Bank for the six months ending June 30, 1920.

| Earnings. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Boston. | New York. | Philadelphia. | Cleveland. | Richmond. | Atlanta. | Chicago. | St. Louis. | Minne- apolis. | Kansas City. | Dallas. | $\underset{\text { Francisco. }}{\text { San }}$ | Total. |
| Discounted bills. | \$4, 466, 423 | \$21, 120, 722 | \$5, 417,649 | \$4, 367,998 | \$2,691, 248 | \$2, 864,646 | \$10, 556, 549 | \$2,821,065 | \$1,958, 250 | \$2,946, 393 | \$1, 817,658 | \$3, 300, 769 | \$64, 329,370 |
| Purchased bills.... | 758,291 | 5,097, 152 | 119, 937 |  | 288, 451 | 253, 363 | 1,754, 8688 | 212, 929 | 137,747 | 123, 235 | 133,802 | 2,229, 691 | 12, 724,492 |
| United States securities | 237, 877 | 981,798 | 351, 268 | 277, 359 | 139, 122 | 159,367 | 503, 943 | 194,951 | - 94.6 | 273, ${ }^{1}$ | 140, 092 | 164, 815 | 3, 514, 091 |
| Transfers, net earnings ......... |  |  |  | 39,912 |  |  | 161,468 |  | 30,523 | ${ }^{11,750}$ | 132,056 | 85,616 | 447,825 |
| ing interest) <br> Sundry profits. | 21,618 4,677 | $\begin{aligned} & 78,174 \\ & 58,92 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34,066 \\ 13,235 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 48,881 \\ 606 \end{array}$ | 100, 054 | $\begin{gathered} 44,109 \\ 13,188 \end{gathered}$ | 71,549 3,552 | $\begin{aligned} & 45,065 \\ & 11,748 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | 25,756 7,265 | 85, 202 | 35,276 165 | 53,646 39 | $\begin{aligned} & 643,396 \\ & 114,178 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total earnings.. | 5,488, 886 | 27,336,778 | 5,936, 155 | 6, 429,589 | 3,219,518 | 3,334,673 | 13,051,929 | 3,285,758 | 2,254,172 | 3, 427, 266 | 2, 179,049 | 5,834, 579 | 81,778,352 |



Earnings and expenses of each Federal Reserve Bank for the six months ending June s0, 1920—Continued.
current expenses-Continued.

${ }^{1}$ Net debit.
FISCAL AGENCY DEPARTMENT DISBURSEMENTS OF EACH FEDERAL RESERVE BANK AND AMOUNTS REIMBURSED DURING THE 6 MONTHS ENDING

|  | Boston. | NewYork. | Philadelphia. | Cleveland. | Richmond. | Atlanta. | Chicago. | St. Louis. | Minneapolis. | Kansas City. | Dallas. | $\underset{\text { cisco. }}{\text { San Fran- }}$ | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total disbursements during the six months ending June 30, 1920. Amounts reimbursable Jan. 1, 1920.... | $\begin{gathered} \$ 234,925 \\ 185,777 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 867,101 \\ 977,835 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 206,683 \\ 117,817 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 228,884 \\ 330,386 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 262,453 \\ 252,823 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 131,741 \\ 121,787 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 565,173 \\ 532,481 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 151,267 \\ 106,457 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 121,595 \\ 129,285 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 203,653 \\ 407,176 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 128,550 \\ 213,594 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 295,123 \\ 211,749 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 3,397,148 \\ 3,587,167 \end{array}$ |
| Total <br> Reimbursements received since Jan. 1, 1920 . | 420,702 335,307 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1,844,936 \\ & 1,553,129 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 324,500 \\ & 277,605 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 559,270 \\ & 436,305 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 515,276 \\ & 486,985 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 253,528 \\ & 195,175 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,097,654 \\ 832,594 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 257,724 \\ & 203,866 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 250,880 \\ & 200,237 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 610,829 \\ 465,741 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 342,144 \\ & 308,256 \end{aligned}$ | 506,872 273, 714 | $\begin{aligned} & 6,984,315 \\ & 5,568,914 \end{aligned}$ |
| Balance reimbursable July 1, $1920 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 85,395 | 291,807 | 46,895 | 122,965 | 28,291 | 58,353 | 265,060 | 53,858 | 50,643 | 145,088 | 33,888 | 233,158 | 1,415,401 |

E'arnings and current expenses of each Federal Reserve Bank, by months, from January to June, 1920.
EARNINGS.

|  | Boston. | New York. | Philadelphia. | Cleveland. | Richmond. | Atlanta. | Chicago. | St. Louis. | Minneapolis. | Kansas City. | Dallas. | San Francisco. | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January | 8783,325 | \$3,999,906 | \$894,031 | \$912,595 | \$403, 729 | \$463,201 | \$1,607,501 | \$465,699 | *339,915 | 3515,580 | \$314,948 | \$879,796 | 11,670,166 |
| Februa | 885,0k3 | 4, 266,985 | ${ }_{1}^{332,022}$ | 1,003,000 | 503, 739 | 496,878 | 1,804,334 | ${ }^{493}$, 848 | 320, 334 | 489,680 | ${ }_{349}^{296,338}$ | ${ }^{883,027}$ | 12, 378,494 |
| April. | ${ }^{9637} \mathbf{6} 818$ | 4,791,127 | 1,031,375 | 1,151,285 | ${ }^{576}$,205 | 582,599 | 2,251,411 | 586,861 | 352,205 | 569,608 | 349,842 | 928 | 14,134, 762 |
| мay. | 922, 19 | 4, | 1,01, 745 | 1,126,698 | 535,380 | ${ }^{533,332}$ | 2,381, 210 | ${ }_{603} 51925$ | ${ }^{381,158}$ | -9,980 | 3,8,544 | 1, 124,71 | 13,922,124 |
| tine | 1,026,665 | 4,986,885 | 1,010,555 | 1,034,439 | 555, 272 | 603,773 | 2,527,330 | 555,500 | 433,187 | 639,643 616,775 | 433, 140 | 1,988,020 | 14,831,541 |
| Total | 5,488,886 | 27,336,778 | 5,936, 155 | 6,429,589 | 3,219,518 | 3,334,673 | 13,051,929 | 3,235,758 | 2,254,172 | 3,427,266 | 2,179, 049 | 5,834, 579 | 81, 778,352 |

CURRENT EXPENSES.

| January | \$115,388 | \$439,637 | \$117,685 | \$105, 973 | \$67,618 | \$72,436 | \$265, 718 | \$110, 124 | \$50,784 | \$101,222 | 897, 822 | \$112,650 | \$1,657,057 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Februa | 123,185 | 445, 486 | 133,845 | 134,500 | 78,743 | 92,044 | 260,031 | 111, 134 | 56, 116 | 110,495 | 102,600 | 115,914 | 1,764,094 |
| Marc | 159,170 | 721,094 | 137, 748 | 214,047 | 110,233 | 125, 740 | 381,891 | 125,331 | 60,173 | 144,918 | 132, 612 |  | 2,468,803 |
| April | 137,591 | 492,397 | 119,258 | 148, 765 | 94,458 | 87,465 | 300, 494 | 121,742 | 54,653 | 145, 338 | ,664 | 138,922 | 949,747 |
| May | 149,965 | 482,593 | 141,449 | 155,356 | 91,604 | 85,633 | 351, 337 | 117,661 | 56, 178 | 118, 192 | 118,754 | 138,825 | 2,007,547 |
| June | 203,719 | 668,505 | 350,847 | 267,897 | 166,982 | 166, 125 | 480, 742 | 194,907 | 109,388 | 150, 022 | 147,482 | 441,377 | 3, 347 ,993 |
| Total. | 889, 018 | 3,249,712 | 1,000,832 | 1,026,538 | 609,638 | 629,443 | 2, 040, 213 | 780,899 | 387,292 | 770, 187 | 707, 934 | 1,103,535 | 13, 195,241 |

1 Increases shown for the month of March are due to the payment of additional compensation to employes, and for the month of June to special charges on account of cost of Fed-
eral Reserve currency and furniture and equipment, also to additional compensation paid to employes.

## July Crop Report by Federal Reserve Districts.

Forecasts of crop production issued by the Bureau of Crop Estimates, United States Department of Agriculture, as of July 1, are
shown in the table below, together with estimates of production in 1919 and 5 -year averages for 1915-1919.

Production of corn, wheat, cotton, oats, and hay, by Federal Reserve districts-July 1, 1920, forecast of the Bureau of Crop Estimates.
[In thousands of units of measurement.]


1 In addition, the following amounts were estimated grown in Lower California (Mexico): 1920, 91,000 bales; 1919, 52,000 bales.
2 Cotton grown outside of cotton belt included as follows: $1920,11,000$ bales; 1919, 7,000 bales; 1915-1919 average, 8,000 bales.

Forecasts of corn production show an aggregate for this year of 2,779 million bushels, or 138 millions less than in 1919, a reduction of 4.7 per cent from last year's production and of 1.1 per cent from the five-year average. The wheat crop is expected to amount to about 810 million bushels, an improvement of 30 million bushels over the June estimate, due to the better prospects both for winter wheat and spring wheat, the forecast, however, being still 131 millions, or 13.9 per cent, below last year's estimate, but only 22 millions below the five-year average.

Cotton prospects are good, the forecast of $11,566,000$ bales being over one-half million bales above last year's estimate and 163,000 bales above the average for the five years 1915-1919. The crop of oats is expected to be better than last year by 74 million bushels, but still below the five-year average by 113 million bushels, while the forecast of the hay crop places it at 85 million tons, 24 millions below the 1919 production and 18 millions below the average for the past five years.

## State Banks and Trust Companies Admitted.

The following list shows the State banks and trust corupanies which have been admitted to membership ili the Federal Reserve system during the month of July. One thousand four hundred and one State institutions are now members of the system, having a total capital of $\$ 494,909,578$. total surplus of $\$ 491,135,450$, and total resources of $\$ 9,840,912,065$.

|  | Capital. | Surplus. | Total resources. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District No. ${ }^{\text {S }}$ |  |  |  |
| Northeastern Trust Co., Reading, Pa... | \$250,000 | \$25,000 | 8688,937 |
| District No. 6. |  |  |  |
| United Loan \& Trust Co., Lynchburg, Va. | 300,000 | 206,000 | 1,655,840 |
| Petersburg Savings \& Trust Co., Petersburg, Va. | 1,000,000 | 100,000 | 6,290,654 |
| District No. 6. |  |  |  |
| The Bank of Pittsview, Pittsview, Ala.. | 25,000 | 2,500 | 139,966 |
| The Peoples Bank, Carrollton, Ga...... | 60,000 | 27,000 | 594,065 |
| Wartrace Bank \& Trust Co., Wartrace, Tenn. | 25.000 |  | 47,949 |
| District No. 7. |  |  |  |
| First State Savings Bank, Mount Carroll, In. | 50,000 | 50,000 | 636,997 |
| District No. 8. |  |  |  |
| Monroe County Bank, Brinklev, Ark... | 50,000 | 5,000 | 322, 210 |
| Bank of Russellville, Russellville, Ark.. | 75,000 | 37,750 | 585, 607 |
| Cass Avenue Bank, St. Louis, Mo...... | 200,000 | 50,000 | 3, 368, 836 |
| District No. 9. |  |  |  |
| Farmers \& Merchants State Bank, Eureka, Mont | 25,000 | 13,000 | 451,388 |
| District No. 10. |  |  |  |
| The Stockgrowers Bank, Evanston, Wyo. | 50,000 | 35,000 | 714,265 |
| Uinta County State Bank, Mountain View, Wyo. | 40,000 | 4,000 | 146, 717 |
| District No. 11. |  |  |  |
| The Celina State Bank, Celina, Tex.... | 35,000 | 7,500 | , 248,333 |
| Dallas Connty State Bank, Dallas, Tex.. | 250,000 | 105, 000 | 1,613,411 |
| State Bank \& Trust Co., Houston, Tex. | 200,000 |  | 2, 495, 286 |
| First State Bank, Leakey, Tex.......... | 25,000 |  | 71, 809 |
| First State Bank, Matador, Tex........ | 25,000 25,000 | 12,500 15,000 | 403,610 241,642 |
| Bank of Commerce \& Trust Co., Mercedes, Tex. | 25,000 50,000 | 15,000 5,000 | 241,642 187,045 |
| Farmers Guaranty State Bank, North Zulch, Tex. | 25,000 |  | 158,657 |
| Merchants State Bank, Port Arthur, Tex. | 100,000 | 40,000 | 1,705,708 |
| First State Bank, Roaring Springs Tex. | 25,000 | 15,000 | 189, 192 |
| Central Trust Co., San Antonio, Tex... | 1,000,000 | 150,000 | 9,880,315 |
| Guaranty State Bank \& Trust Co., Waxahachie, Tex. | 200,000 | 15,000 | 1,640,670 |
| Farmers State Bank, Georgetown, Tex. | 50,000 | 50, 000 | '666,658 |
| District No. 12. |  |  |  |
| Farmers State Bank Tetonia, Idaho.. | 25,000 | 2,500 | 114,875 |
| The Rideout Bank, Marysville, Calif. | 250,000 | 308,930 | $5.843,632$ |
| Security Trust Co., Bakersfield, Calif... | 500,000 | 220, 000 | 7,129,622 |
| Mercantile Trust Co., San Francisco, Calif. | 4,000,000 | $3,000,000$ | 70,758, 510 |

## WITHDRAWAL.

The Lincoln County Bank, Merrill, Wis., has withdrawn from membership.

CONVERSION.
The Northern Savings Bank, Fargo, N. Dak., into the Northern NaCONSOLIDATION.

The Savings Union Bank \& Trust Co., a member bank, the Mercantile Trust Co. a nonmember, and the Mercantile National Bank, San Francisco, Calif. have consolidated under a new charter as the Mercantile Trust Co., San Francisco, which company has been admitted to mem. bership.

```
vOLUNTARY LIqUIDATION.
```

Scandinavian American Bank, Astoria, Oreg.

## Acceptances to 100 Per Cent.

Since the issuance of the July Bulletin the following banks have been authorized by the Federal Reserve Board to accept drafts and bills of exchange up to 100 per cent of their capital and surplus:
Citizens National Bank, Baltimore, Md
Maryland Trust Company, Baltimore, Md.
Commercial National Bank, Sherman, Tex.
Lincoln Trust Co., New York City.

## New National Bank Charters.

The Comptroller of the Currency reports the following increases and reductions in the number and capital of national/banks during the period from June 26 to July 30, 1920, inclusive:
New charters issued to...................... 34
With capital of
fital approved for
.. 34
Increase of capital approved for . ............ 91
With new capital of............................... $14,345,000$
Aggregate number of new charters and
banks increasing capital................... 125
With aggregate of new capital authorized..... 16, 535, 000
Number of banks liquidating (other than
those consolidating with other national
banks under the act of June 3, 1864).... 7
Capital of same banks.
Number of banks reducing capital................. 0
Reduction of capital..............................
Total number of banks going into liquida-
tion or reducing capital (other than those consolidating with other national banks under the act of June 3, 1864)..........
Aggregate capital reduction.......................
7
Aggregate capital reduction banks under the act of Nov. 7, 1918.
Capital.
The foregoing statement shows the aggre
gate of increased capital for the period of
the banks embraced in statement was.
Against this there was a reduction of capital owing to liquidation (other than for consolidation with other national banks under the act of June 3, 1864), and reductions of capital of.

2,380,000
Net increases....................................14, 155, 000

## Commercial Failures Reported.

The tendency toward increase in the country's business mortality has recently become more marked, and the 384 commercial failures reported to R. G. Dun \& Co. in three weeks of July considerably exceed the 287 defaults of the
same period of 1919. During June, the latest month for which complete statistics are available, there were 674 insolvencies for $\$ 32,990,965$ of liabilities, whereas the 485 reverses of June, last year, represented a low month record up to that time, and the indebtedness involved by these failures was less than $\$ 9,500,000$. Separated according to Federal Reserve districts, the June returns disclose more insolvencies than in that month of 1919 in 10 of the 12 districts, the exceptions being the first and third districts, and in the latter district a difference of only one failure appears. The statement of liabilities, moreover, reveals smaller amounts than in June, 1919, only in the third and fifth districts, a number of defaults of unusual size accounting for large increases in several instances.

Failures during June.

| District. | Number. |  | Liabilities. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1920 | 1919 | 1920 | 1919 |
| First. | 55 | 61 | \$1,783,684 | 8765,929 |
| Second | 164 | 104 | 16,218,230 | 4,040,301 |
| Third. | 26 | 27 | 219,092 | 295,163 |
| Fourth. | 65 | 44 | 975,973 | 597, 244 |
| Fifth. | 37 | 30 | 314,156 | 1,001,355 |
| Sixth. | 30 | 20 | 459,562 | 302,869 |
| Seventh. | 69 | 56 | 2,742,755 | 933,669 |
| Eighth. | 34 | 15 | 2,283,002 | 351,947 |
| Ninth.. | 21 | 10 | 306,250 | 67,969 |
| Tenth. | 22 | 9 | 281,255 | 31,832 |
| Eleventh | 35 | 31 | 278,668 | 210,444 |
| Twelfth. | 116 | 78 | 7,128,338 | 883,999 |
| Total | 674 | 485 | 32,990,965 | 9,482,721 |

## Fiduciary Powers Granted to National Banks.

The applications of the following banks for permission to act under section 11-k of the Federal Reserve Act have been approved by the Board during the month of July, 1920:

District No. 1.
Trustee, executor, administrator, registrar of stocks and bonds, guardian of estates, assignee, receiver, and committee of estates of lunatics: First National Bank, Bennington, Vt.

## District No. 2.

Trustee, executor, administrator, registrar of stocks and bonds, guardian of estates, assignee, receiver, and committee of estates of lunatics: The Black River National Bank, Lowville, N. Y.
rustee, executor, administrator, guardian of estates, assignee, receiver, committee of estates of lunatics: New York State National Bank, Albany, N. Y.

District No. 4.
Trustee, executor, administrator, registrar of stocks and bonds, guardian of estates, assignee, receiver, and committee of estates of lunatics Citizens National Bank, Piqua, Ohio.

DISTRICT No. 5.
Executor, administrat or, registrar of stocks and bonds, guardian of estates, assignee, receiver, and committee of estates of lunatics: Commercial National Bank, Charleston, S. C.

## District No. 6

Trustee, executor, administrator, registrar of stocks and bonds, guardian of estates, assignee, receiver, and committee of estates of lunatics First National Bank, Carrollton, Ga.

## District No. 7.

Trustee, executor, administrator, registrar of stocks and bonds, guardian estates, assignee, receiver, and committee of estates of lunatics First National Bank, Elmhurst, 111. The National Bank of Pontiac, Mich First National Bank, Antigo, Wis. National Bank of Manitowoc, Wis. Marine National Bank, hilwankee. Wis. Namerican Nationge Bank ol Mipaukee, Wis.

Trustee, executor, administrator, registrar of stocks and bonds, guardian of estates, assignee, and receiver:
Executor, administrator, guardian of estates, assignee, and receiver First National Bank, Bancroft, Iowa.

District No. 10.
Trustee, executor, administrator, registrar of stocks and bonds, guardian of estates, assignee, receiver, and committee of estates of lunatics: Commercial National Bant \& Trust First National Bant Lawton Orla Co., Emporia, Kans.

District No. 12.
Trustee, executor, administrator, registrar of stocks and bonds, guardian oftates, assignee, receiver, and committee of estates of lunatics. First National Bank, Pasoo, Wash. National Bank of Tacoma, Wash.

## PRINCIPAL BOOKS AND ARTICLES RELATING TO BANKING AND CURRENCY RECEIVED AT THE FEDERAL RESERVE BOARD LIBRARY DURING THE MONTH ENDING JULY 15, 1920.

Alabama. Laws, statutes, ete
Combined banking laws of the State of Alabama. In effect Jan. 1, 1920. 1919. 41 p

Arizona. Laws, statutes etc
Banking laws of the State of Arizona. July 1, 1919. 18 p .
Arnold, Julean.
Brad.. Salient facts about China. 1920. 8 p.
Digest of the Banking law journal . . . a classified digest of legal decisions published in the Banking law journal, from the time ol its foundation in 1889 to June, 1919. 1919. 408 p.
Caries, Frederick
Essentials of investment; the analysis of a bond circular. 1919. 84 p Chamberlain, Lawrence.
Principles of bond investment. 1911. 551 p .
Conyngton, Thomas, and Louis Bergh.
Friedman, Elisha M.
International commerce and reconstruction. 1920. 432 p.
Taxation in the new state. 1920. 254 p.
Parker, William.
The Paris bourse and French finance; with reference to organized speculation in New York. (Columbia University studies in history, economics and public law, $v, 89$, no. 3.) 1920.116 p.
Reichsbank. Statistische A bteilung. Berlin.
Die deutschen A brechnungsstellen im Jahre 1919, auf Veranlassung der Berliner Abrechnungsstelle, bearbeitet in der Statistischen Abteilung der Reichsbank. 1920. 55 p.
Spalding, Willam $F$
A primer of foreign exchange. 1919. 107 p . serials.
Jabrbuch für Gesetzgebung, Verwaltung und Volkswirtschaft. Ed. by Schmoller. Jahrgang 40-42 complete.
Mary land. Bank commissioner
$\ldots$ Annual report ... showing the eondition of the State banks Frust companies, and savings institutions for the period ending ebruary 1,1920.

BANK REPORTS, 1919
Bank of Chosen, Seoul.
. . Semi-annual report. . . 1919, 2d half.
Baric de France, Paris.
. Compte rendu au nom du conseil général de la banque et rapport
Commonwealth bank of Australia, Sydney
Nationalbankeni Kjøbenhavn.
Nationalbankens regnskab for . . . 1918-19.
Nederlandsche bank, Amsterdam.
Norges bank, Christiania.
Regnskap . . 1919
Other bank reports.-Anglo-South American bank, limited, London Bank of Liverpool and Martins, limited, Liverpool. Bank of Montreal The Canadian bank of commerce, Toronto. Chartered bastl of India, Australia, and China, London. Comptoir national d'escompte de corporation Hongkong. Ionian bank, limited London banking havns handelsbank i Kjøbenhavn. London and River Plate bank, havns handelsbank London joint city and midland bank, limited London. Manchester and Liverpool district banking company, limited, Manchester. National bank of India, limited, London. The State savings bank of Victoria, Melbourne.

NEW PERIODICALS.
Board of trade journal, London. Frankfurter zeitung, Frankfurt Living age. Bostoe. Plutus, Berlin.

## RULINGS OF THE FEDERAL RESERVE BOARD.

Acceptance of drafts drawn for the purpose of furnishing dollar exchange.
Under the provisions of Regulation C, Series of 1917, subdivision (B), it is provided that any member bank desiring to accept drafts drawn by banks or bankers in foreign countries for the purpose of furnishing dollar exchange shall first make application to the Federal Reserve Board setting forth the usages of trade in the respective countries in which the drawer banks or bankers are located, and under the terms of the law no member bank may accept such drafts unless it is required by the usages of trade in the country in which the drawer bank is located.

If a national bank wishes to make such an application with respect to a country as to which no previous application has been granted, it should submit to the Board evidence that the usages of trade in that country are such as to
require the drawing of drafts of this character. The Board has heretofore ruled that there is. nothing in the provisions of section 13 of the Federal Reserve Act which can be construed to permit the acceptance by member banks of drafts drawn merely for the purpose of correcting adverse exchange conditions. An application can not be granted, therefore, if it appears that the drafts are to be drawn not because the usages of trade so require but merely because dollar exchange is at a premium in the country where the drafts are to be drawn. This ruling, of course, has no bearing upon the question of whether particular dralts are eligible for acceptance by member banks under those provisions of section 13 of the Federal Reserve Act which relate to the acceptance of drafts which grow out of transactions involving the importation or exportation of goods.

## LAW DEPARTMENT.

## Agencies of national banks for purpose of accepting drafts.

The following is a ruling made by the Comptroller of the Currency under date of July 16, 1920, in response to a request from the Governor of the Federal Reserve Board for an opinion as to the right of a national bank located in California to appoint an agent in New York to accept, in behalf of the bank, drafts drawn on it payable in New York and to pay such drafts out of the funds deposited in New York under the control of the agent.

Receipt is acknowledged of your letter of July 16, which raises the question of the right of a national bank located in California to appoint an agent in New York to accept in behalf of the bank drafts drawn on it payable in New York and to pay such drafts out of the funds deposited in New York under the control of the agent.

You state as this is a matter within the jurisdiction of my office you would like to be advised as to the answer which shall be made.
Frequent requests have been received from national banking associations to establish agencies for the transaction of a part of their business at points other than the banking house, and it has been the uniform practice of the office to decline approving such requests.

In December, 1919, a national bank in New York requested to be authorized to establish an agency in that city to receive deposits from its custonners and accom-
panied the request with a brief of counsel insisting that the establishment of such an agency would not be in violation of the National Bank Act.

Notwithstanding previous rulings of the office, in view of the urgent request of the bank and of its counsel, the matter was referred to the Solicitor of the Treasury, and under date of December 9, 1919, an opinion was received from him stating that such action would be in violation of the National Bank Act, and that the request of the bank could not be approved without legislative sanction.

Section 5190 , U. S. R. S., provides in part that "the usual business of each national banking association shall be transacted at an office or banking house located in the place specified in its organization certificate." This has been construed by the Attorney General and by the Solicitor of the Treasury to mean one place or house.

It would perhaps not be questioned that accepting and paying drafts is a part of the usual business of a national bank, and it has been held in the case of Armstrong $v$. Second National Bank (38 Fed., 883) that an arrangement by the bank to provide for the cashing of checks drawn upon it at any other place than its office or banking house would be in violation of section 5190 .

The same rule would seem to apply to the payment of drafts as to the payment of checks.

It is also my opinion that it would be bad policy on the part of a bank to authorize the accepting of drafte drawn upon it by an agent authorized by power of attorney who is not an officer of the bank.

For these reasons I am of opinion that the request of the California bank should not be approved, and if approved would be in violation of section 5190, U. S. R. S.

## WHOLESALE PRICES IN THE UNITED STATES.

In continuation of figures shown in the July Bulletin, there are presented below monthly index numbers of wholesale prices for the period July, 1919, to June, 1920, compared with like figures for June of previous years; also for July, 1914, the month immediately preceding the outbreak of the great war. The general index number is that of the United States Bureau of Labor Statistics. In addition there are presented separate numbers for certain particular classes of commodities, in accordance with plans announced in previous issues of the Bulletin.
In the construction of the index number for June, the only change in the list of commodities has been the omission of the quotations for pails and tubs. Index numbers for June are provisional, due to the fact that certain data were not received in time to render them available for use in the ealculatiens.
For the first month since September, 1919, wholesale prices show a lecrease from the previous month. The general index number o the Bureau of Labor Statistics stands at 269 for the month of June, as compared with 272 for the month of May. While the index numbers for the other two principal groups of commodities decreased, the index number for the group of raw materials remains unchanged at 260 , showing an increase amounting to only 0.1 per cent. Diversity, however, is exhibited in the changes in the numbers for the subgroups included under this head. Increase is shown in the case of animal products and mineral products from 179 to 186 and from 234 to 244 , or 3.6 per cent and 3.9 per cent, respectively, the latter being a new record figure. Among the commodities included in the former subgroup, decreases in the prices of various classes of sheep and poultry, hides and wool were more than offset by increases in the prices of cattle, hogs, and silk. The increase in the index number for the mineral products subgroup was due to increases
in the prices of various classes of bituminous coal and coke, pig iron, and phosphate rock, which more than offset decreases in the prices of pig tin and zinc and sulphur. The number for the subgroup of farm products decreased 4.1 per cent, from the record figure of 314 to 301 , due to decreases in the prices of various grades of spring and winter wheat, corn and barley, hay, flaxseed, and tobacco, which were not offset by increases in the prices of oats, rye, and bluestem wheat. An increase in the price of yellow poplar was more than counterbalanced by decreases in the prices of Douglas fir and plain white oak, the index number for June standing at 363, which is 3 points, or 1 per cent, less than the May record figure.
The index number for the group of producers' goods has decreased from the record figure of 271 to 265 , or 2 per cent. Among the commodities included in the group, increases in price occurred in the case of wood alcohol, alum and glycerin, brick and lime, cottonseed meal, lubricating oil and gasoline, and wood pulp, while decreases were noted for a more numerous list of commodities, including cotton and worsted yarns, chrome calf leather, sugar, bran, oleo oil, rubber, hemp and jute, steel plates, lath and shingles, linseed oil, rosin and turpentine, and soda ash.
An approximately equal decrease, namely, 2.1 per cent, is noted in the index number for the group of consumers' goods, which now stands at 279 , as compared with the record figure of 285 for the month of May. Decrease in price occurred for an extended list of commodities, among which may be mentioned various meats, such as bacon and mess pork, lamb and mutton and poultry, granulated sugar, wheat flour, butter, cheese and eggs, onions and potatoes, oleomargarine and peanuts, cottonseed oil, boots and shoes, print cloths, sheetings and shirtings, trouserings and carpets. Increases in price occurred chiefly in the case of foodstuffs, and among such commodities were inchuried fresh beef and hams, beans, corn meal, glucose, fresh milk at New York, canned corn, bananas, lemons, prunes and raisins, and starch.

Index numbers of wholesale prices in the Unitet States for principal classes of commodites.

| [Average price for 1913=100.] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year and month. | Raw materials. |  |  |  |  | Producers' goods. | Constumers goods. | All commodities (Bureau of Labor Statisticsindex number). |
|  | $\underset{\text { products. }}{\text { Farm }}$ | Animal products. | Forest products. | Mineral products. | Total raw materials. |  |  |  |
| July, 1914.. | 102 | ${ }^{106}$ | 97 | 91 | 99 | 93 | 103 | 100 |
| June, 1915.......... | 107 | 102 | 93 | 96 | 100 | 98 | 100 | 100 |
| June, 1916..... | 111 | 122 | 97 | 117 | 113 | 142 | 117 | 119 |
| June, 1917.... | 229 | 166 | 120 | 212 | 187 | 196 | 178 | 185 |
| June, 1918............ | 234 | 203 | 138 | 173 | 192 | 195 | 199 | 193 |
| June, 1919... | 250 | 227 | 156 | 173 | 203 | 196 | 217 | 207 |
| Juis, 1919 | ${ }_{2} 261$ | ${ }^{233}$ | 166 | 177 | 214 | 202 | 230 | 219 |
| August, 1919 | 251 | 235 | 193 | 180 | 218 | 212 | 241 | 222 |
| September, 1919 | 240 | 215 | 227 | 184 | 216 | 212 | 226 | 221 |
| October, 1919. | 254 | ${ }_{212}^{212}$ | 234 | 184 | 220 | 211 | 228 | 222 |
| November, 1919. | ${ }_{2}^{276}$ | $\stackrel{212}{2}$ | ${ }_{2} 239$ | 183 | 226 | 216 | 239 | 230 |
| December, 1919. | ${ }_{291}^{288}$ | ${ }_{213}^{209}$ | ${ }_{273}^{259}$ | 186 | 233 | ${ }_{228} 22$ | 242 | 238 |
| February 1920. | 278 | 206 206 | ${ }_{315}^{273}$ | 190 | 239 | 245 | 259 | 248 |
| March, 1920.... | 288 | 200 | 348 | 197 | 247 | $\stackrel{246}{246}$ | 2563 | ${ }_{253}^{248}$ |
| April, 1920.. | 304 | 196 | 367 | 224 | 260 | 263 | 280 | 266 |
| May, 1920.. | 314 | 179 | 367 | 234 | 260 | 271 | 285 | 272 |
| June, 1920..... | 301 | 186 | 363 | 244 | 260 | 265 | 280 | 269 |

In order to give a more concrete illustration of actual price movements, there are also presented in the following table monthly actual and relative figures for certain commodities of a basic character, covering the period July, 1919, to June, 1920, compared with like figures for June
of previous years; also for July, 1914, the month immediately preceding the outbreak of the great war. The actual average monthly prices shown in the table have been abstracted from the records of the United States Bureau of Labor Statistics.

Average monthly wholesale prices of commodities.
[Average price for $1913=100$. ]

| Year and month. | Corn, No. 3, Chicago. |  | Cotton, middling, New Orleans. |  | Wheat, No. 1, northern spring, Minneapolis. |  | Wheat, No. 2, red winter, Chicago. |  | Cattle, steers, good to cholce, Chicago. |  | Hides, packers, heavy native steers, Chicago. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average price per bushel. | Relative price. | Average price per pound. | Relative price. | Average price per bushe. | Relaprice. | Average price per bushel. | Relative price. | Average price per 100 pounds | Relative price. | Average price per pound. | Relative price price. |
| July, 1914 | \$0.7044 | 114 | 30.1331 | 105 | \$0. 8971 | 103 | \$0. 8210 | 83 | \$9.2188 | 108 | \$0.1938 | 105 |
| June, 1915 | . 7355 | 119 | . 0911 | 72 | 1. 2869 | 147 | 1.2265 | 124 | 8.9563 | 105 | . 2325 | 125 |
| June, 1916. | . 7341 | 119 | . 1280 | 101 | 1. 1143 | 128 | 1.0413 | 106 | 10.2625 | 121 | . 2675 | 115 |
| June, 1917 | 1.7119 | 278 | . 2421 | 191 | ${ }_{2}^{2.6935}$ | 308 | ${ }^{2} .6388$ | 268 | 12.5500 | 148 | . 3300 | 179 |
| June, 1918 | 1.5125 | 246 | . 3066 | 241 | 2. 1700 | 248 | 2. 1700 | 220 | 17.1750 | 202 | . 3300 | 179 |
| June, 1919 | 1.7563 | 285 | . 3185 | 251 | 2.4575 | 281 | ${ }^{2.3613}$ | 239 | 15. 4600 | 182 | . 4075 | 222 |
| July, 1919 | 1.9075 | 310 | . 3377 | 266 | 2. 6800 | 307 | 2. 2580 | 229 | 16.8688 | 198 | . 4860 | 264 |
| August, 1919 | 1.9213 | 312 | . 3125 | 246 | 2.5250 | 289 | 2.2394 | 227 | 17.6375 | 207 | . 5200 | 233 |
| September, 19 | 1.5410 | 250 | . 3078 | 242 | ${ }^{2} .5350$ | 290 | 2.2385 | 227 | 16.8050 | 198 | . 4638 | 252 |
| Octoter, 1919. | 1.3888 | ${ }_{22}^{226}$ | . 3963 | ${ }_{312}^{279}$ | 2. 22050 | ${ }_{323} 3$ | 2.2394 | ${ }_{232}^{227}$ | ${ }^{17} 5$ | 207 | . 4820 | 262 |
| December, 1919 | 1.4485 | 235 | . 3990 | 314 | 3.0300 | ${ }_{347}$ | 2.4490 | 248 | 17.0750 | 201 | .4100 | 223 |
| January, 1920 | 1.4750 | 240 | . 4035 | 318 | 2.9313 | 336 | 2.6338 | 267 | 15.9375 | 187 | . 4000 | 218 |
| February, 1920 | 1.4125 | 229 | . 3944 | 311 | 2.6875 | 308 | 2. 4900 | 252 | 14.9688 | 176 | . 4025 | 219 |
| March, 1920. | 1.5515 | 252 | . 4060 | 320 | 2.7550 | 315 | 2. 5000 | 253 | 14.4000 | 169 | . 3640 | 198 |
| April, 1920 | 1.6913 | 275 | . 4144 | 325 | 3.0063 | 344 | 2.7725 | 281 | 13.9063 | 163 | . 3613 | 196 |
| May, 1920 | 1.9825 | 322 | . 4038 | 318 | 3.0750 | 352 | 2.9750 | 302 | 12.6000 | 148 | . 3538 | 192 |
| June, 1920 | 1.8390 | 299 | 4030 | 317 | 2.9000 | 332 | 2.9950 | 294 | 15.0313 | 177 | . 3410 | 185 |
| Year and month. | Hogs, light, Chicago. |  | Wool, Ohio, $\frac{1}{4}-\frac{3}{3}$ grades, scoured. |  | Hemlock, NewYork. |  | Yellow pine, fiooring, New York. |  | Cosl, anthracite, stove, New York, tidewater. |  | Coal, hituminous, run of mine, Cincinnati. |  |
|  | Average price per poinds. | Relative price. | Averape price per pound. | Relative price. | Average price per M feet. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rela- } \\ & \text { tive } \\ & \text { price. } \end{aligned}$ | Average price per M feet. | Relative price. | Average price per long ton. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rela- } \\ & \text { tive } \\ & \text { price. } \end{aligned}$ | Average price per short ton. | Relative price. |
| Julv, 1914. | \$8.7563 | 104 | \$0. 4444 | 94 | \$24.5000 | 101 | \$42.0000 | ${ }_{90}^{94}$ | \$4.9726 | 98 | 32.2000 | 100 |
| June, 1915 |  | ${ }^{\text {cio }}$ |  | 118 |  |  |  | ${ }_{87}^{90}$ | 4.8300 5.3713 | 95 106 |  |  |
| June, 1917 | 15.2125 | 150 | 1.1000 | 234 | 28.0000 | 107 | 50.0000 | 112 | 5.7884 | 114 | 8.0000 | 273 |
| June, 1918 | 15.5250 | 184 | 1. 4182 | 301 | 34.5000 | 142 |  |  | 6.3212 | 125 | 3.7500 | 170 |
| June, 1919 | 20.78009 | 246 | 1. 1818 | 251 | 36.0000 | 149 | 68.0000 | 152 | 8.1174 | 160 | 4.0000 | 182 |
| July, 1919 | 22.3875 | 265 | 1.2364 | 263 | 41.0000 | 169 | 73.0000 | 164 | 8.1881 | 162 | 4.0000 | 182 |
| Augast, 1919 | 21.6125 | 256 | 1. 2364 | 263 |  |  | 78.0000 | 175 | 8.3145 | 164 | 4.0000 | 182 |
| September, 19 | 18. 2100 | 215 | 1.2182 | 259 | 43.0000 | 177 | 95.0000 | 213 | 8.4020 | 166 | 4. 5000 | 205 |
| October, 1919. | 14.7250 | 174 | 1. 2361 | 263 |  | 182 |  | ${ }_{224}^{224}$ |  | 166 |  | 205 |
| November, 1919 | 14.1438 13.6800 | 167 162 | 1.2545 | 266 | 44.0000 48.0000 | 198 | 100.0000 112.0000 | 224 | 8. 1273 8.4098 | 167 166 | 4. 10000 | 186 186 |
| January, 1920 | 15.1250 | 179 | 1.2304 | 263 | 53.0000 | 219 | 112.0000 | 251 | 8.4291 | 167 | 4.1000 | 186 |
| February, 1920 | 14.9813 | 177 | 1. 2364 | 263 | 57.0000 | 235 | 139.0000 | 312 | 8.4118 | 166 | 4. 1000 | 186 |
| March, 1920 | 15. 5000 | 183 | 1. 2364 | 263 | 57.0000 | 235 | 139.0000 | 312 | 8. 4109 | 166 | 4.1000 | 186 |
| April, 1920 | 15. 7125 | 186 | 1.2000 | 255 | 57.0000 | 235 | ${ }^{160.0000}$ | 359 | 8. 4368 | 167 | 5. 5000 | 250 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 273 |

Average monthly wholesale prices of commodities-Continued.
[Average price for $1913=100$.]

| Year and morth. | Coal, Pocahontas, Norfolk. |  | Coke, Connells-ville. |  | Copper, ingot, electrolytic, New York. |  | Lead, pig, desilverized, New York. |  | Petroleum, crude, Pennsylvania, at wells. |  | Pig iron, basic. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A verage price per long ton. | Relative price. | Average price per short ton. | Relative price. | A verage price per pound. | Relative price. | Average price per pound. | Relative price. | A verage price per barrel. | Relative price. | Average price per long ton | Relative price. |
| July, 1914 | \$3.0000 | 100 | \$1.8750 | 77 | \$0.1340 | 85 | \$0.0390 | 89 | \$1. 7500 | 71 | \$13.0000 | 88 |
| June, 1915 |  |  | 2.6250 | 108 | .1875 .2800 | 119 <br> 178 | . 04970 | 111 |  |  | ${ }_{18}^{12.5900}$ | 86 |
| June, 1917 | 7.0000 | 233 | 9.5000 | 389 | . 3250 | 207 | . 1150 | 261 | 3.1000 | 127 | 48.7500 | 332 |
| June, 1918. | 4.2320 | 141 | 6.0000 | 246 | . 2350 | 149 | . 0728 | 185 | 4.0000 | 183 | 32.0000 | 218 |
| June, 1919 | 5. 1400 | 171 | 4.0000 | 164 | . 1750 | 112 | . 0533 | 120 | 4.0000 | 183 | 25.7500 | 175 |
| July, 1919 | 5.1400 | 171 | 4.0050 | 168 | . 2150 | 137 | . 0561 | 128 | 4.0000 | 163 | 25.7500 | 175 |
| August, 1919 |  |  | 4.2188 | 173 | . 22281 | 145 | . 0579 | 132 | 4.0000 | 163 | 25.7500 | 175 |
| September, 19 |  |  | 4.5920 | 188 | . 22220 | 141 138 | . 06609 | 138 146 | 4.2500 4.2500 | 173 | 257503 | 175 175 |
| October, 1919 | 5. 14600 | 171 | 4.8250 | 198 | ${ }^{2} 2172$ | 1138 | .0676 | 156 | 4.4375 | 173 <br> 181 <br> 18 | 25.7500 28.3125 | 175 379 |
| December, 1919 | 4.6320 | 154 | 6.0500 | 248 | . 1873 | 119 | . 0718 | 163 | 4.6000 | 188 | 34.6000 | 213 |
| January, 1920. | 4. 6320 | 154 | 6.0000 | 246 | . 1931 | 123 | . 0872 | 198 | 5.0625 | 207 | 37.7500 | 255 |
| February, 1920 | 4.6320 | 154 | 6.0000 | 246 | . 1906 | 121 | . 0881 | 200 | 5.5125 | 225 | 42.2500 | ${ }^{237}$ |
| March, 1920. | 4.6520 | 154 | 6.0000 | 246 | . 1858 | 118 | . 0923 | 210 | 6.1000 | 249 | ${ }^{41.6000}$ | 283 |
| April, 1920. | 6.4800 | 216 | 10.5000 | 430 | . 1919 | 122 | . 0896 | 204 | 6.1000 | 249 | 42.5000 | 289 |
| May, 1920 | 6.4800 | 216 | 12.0000 | 492 586 | . 19006 | ${ }_{121}^{121}$ | .0856 | 195 193 | 6.1000 6.1000 | 249 249 | 43.2500 44.6000 | 294 |
| June, 1920 | 6.4800 | 216 | 14.3000 | 586 |  | 121 |  |  | 6.1000 | 249 | 44.0000 | 299 |
| Year and month. | Cotton yarns, northern cones, 10/1. |  | Leather, sole, hemlock No. 1. |  | Steel billets, Bessemer, Pittsburgh. |  | Steel plates, tank, Pittsburgh. |  | Steel rails, open hearth, Pittsburgh. |  | Worsted yarns, 2-32's crossbred. |  |
|  | Average price per pound. | Relative price. | Average price per pound. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rela- } \\ & \text { tive } \\ & \text { price. } \end{aligned}$ | Average price per long ton. | Relative price. | Average price fer pound. | Relative price. | A verage brice per long ton. | Relative price. | Average price per pound. | Relative price. |
| July, 1914 | \$0.2150 | 97 | \$0.3050 | 108 | \$19.0000 | 74 | \$0.0113 | 78 | \$30.0000 | 100 | \$0.6500 | 84 |
| June, 1915 | . 1650 | 75 | . 2950 | 1105 | 20.5000 | 79 | . 0115 | 78 | , |  | ${ }^{8200}$ | 106 |
| June, 1916 | - 2500 | 113 | . 37800 | ${ }_{206}^{131}$ | 43.5000 98.7500 | 169 383 | . 03400 | 473 | 35.0000 | 117 | 1.1000 | 112 200 |
| June, 1918 | .6437 | 291 | . 4900 | 174 | 47.5000 | 184 | . 0325 | 220 | 57.0000 | 190 | 2.1500 | 277 |
| June, 1919 | . 5608 | 253 | . 5300 | 188 | 38.5000 | 149 | . 0265 | 179 | 47.0000 | 157 | 1. 6000 | 206 |
| July, 1919 | . 5912 | 267 | . 5300 | 188 | 38.5000 | 149 | . 0265 | 179 | 47.0000 | 157 | 1.6000 | ${ }^{206}$ |
| August, 1919 | . 6130 | 277 | . 5700 | 202 | 38.5000 | 149 | . 0265 | 179 | 47.0000 | 157 | 1. 6242 | 209 |
| September, 191 | . 5903 | 267 | . 5700 | 202 | 38. 5000 | 149 | . 0253 | 171 | 47.0000 | 157 | 1.7500 | 225 |
| October, 1919. | . 6111 | 276 300 | . 5700 | 202 | 38.5000 41.3750 | 149 160 | . 02265 | 176 179 | 47.0000 47.0000 | 107 <br> 157 | 1.7500 | ${ }_{28}^{225}$ |
| December, 191 | . 6986 | 316 | . 5780 | 202 | 46.4000 | 180 | . 0265 | 179 | 47.0000 | 157 | 2.2000 | 283 |
| January, 1920 | . 7271 | 329 | . 5600 | 199 | ${ }^{48.0000}$ | 186 | . 0274 | 185 | 50.7500 | 169 | 2.2500 | 290 |
| Fekrtary, 1920 | . 7465 | 337 | . 5700 | 202 | 55.2500 | 214 | . 0350 | 236 | 54.5000 | 182 | 2.2500 | 290 |
| March, 1020 | . 7549 | 341 | . 5700 | 202 | 60.0000 | 233 | . 0365 | 247 | 54.5000 | 182 | 2.2000 | 283 |
| April, 1920 | . 7784 | 352 | . 5700 | 202 | ${ }^{60.0000}$ | 233 | . 0375 | 253 | ${ }^{54.5000}$ | 182 | 2.2000 | 283 |
| May, 1920 | . 7672 | 347 | . 5700 | 202 | 60.0000 | 233 | . 0375 | 253 | 54.5000 | 182 | 2.0000 | 258 |
| June, 1920. | . 7299 | 330 | . 5700 | 202 | 60.0000 | 233 | . 0355 | 240 | 54.5000 | 182 | 2.0000 | 258 |
| Year and month. | Beef, carcass, good native steers, Chicago. |  | Coffee, Rio, No. 7. |  | Flour, wheat, standard patents (1918, standard war), Minneapolis. |  | Hams, smoked, Chicago. |  | Illuminating oil, $150^{\circ}$ firc test, New York. |  | Sugar, granulated, New York. |  |
|  | $\Delta$ verage price per pound. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rela- } \\ \text { tive } \\ \text { price. } \end{gathered}$ | A verage price per pound. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rela- } \\ & \text { tive } \\ & \text { price. } \end{aligned}$ | Average price per barrel. | Rela- tive price. | Average price per pound. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rela- } \\ & \text { tive } \\ & \text { price. } \end{aligned}$ | A verage price per gallon. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rela- } \\ & \text { tive } \\ & \text { price. } \end{aligned}$ | Average price per pound. | Relative price. |
| July, 1914. | \$0.1350 | 104 | \$0.0882 | 79 | 84. 5938 | 100 | \$0.1769 | 106 | \$0.1200 | 97 | \%0.0420 | 98 |
| June, 1915 | . 1253 | 97 | . 0700 | 63 | 6. 5950 | 144 | . 1585 | 95 | . 1200 | 97 | . 0588 | 138 |
| June, 1916 | . 1415 | 109 | . 0988 | 89 | 5.7625 | 126 | . 1850 | 111 | . 1200 | 97 | . 0736 | 172 |
| June, 1917. | .1615 | 125 | . 1038 | 93 | 13.8938 | 303 | . 2594 | 156 | . 1200 | 97 | . 0754 | 177 |
| June, 1918 | . 2338 | 181 | . 0841 | 76 | 9. 8250 | 214 | . 2994 | 180 | . 1710 | 139 | . 0731 | 171 |
| June, 1919 | 2025 | 156 | . 2114 | 190 | 12.0125 | 262 | . 3804 | 229 | . 2000 | 162 | . 0882 | 207 |
| July, 1810. | . 2075 | 160 | . 2303 | 207 | 12.1550 | 265 | . 3835 | 231 | . 2050 | 166 | . 0882 | 207 |
| August, 1910 | . 2350 | 181 | . 2150 | 193 | 12.0063 | 262 | . 3838 | 231 | . 2180 | 177 | .0882 | 207 |
| September, 1010 | . 22275 | 176 | .1659 | 149 | 11.6200 | 254 | . 3480 | 209 | .2200 | 178 | . 0882 | 207 |
| October, 1919 | . 2290 | 177 | . 16850 | 148 | 12.0313 | 26.2 | . 2900 | 174 | . 2200 | 178 | . 0882 | 207 |
| November, 1919 | . 2350 | 181 | . 1697 | 152 | 12.9500 | 233 | . 2559 | 172 | . 2200 | 178 | . 0882 | 207 |
| December, 1019 | . 23350 | 181 179 | . 161628 | 136 146 | 14.0250 <br> 14.4438 | 306 <br> 315 | . 22844 | 174 177 | . 22240 | 178 182 18 | . 1085 | $\stackrel{254}{360}$ |
| February, 1920 | . 2125 | 164 | . 1478 | 133 | 13.5375 | 295 | . 3056 | 184 | . 2400 | 195 | . 1495 | 350 |
| March, 1920. | . 2050 | 158 | .1500 | 135 | 13.1650 | 287 | . 3155 | 190 | . 2500 | 203 | . 1372 | 321 |
| April, 1920. | .2090 | ${ }_{1}^{161}$ | . 1514 | 136 | 14. 2813 | 312 | . 3313 | 198 | . 2600 | 211 | . 1919 | 449 |
| May, 1920. | . 1950 | 151 | .1559 | 140 | 15.0313 | 328 | . 35550 | 224 | . 2600 | 211 | . 2247 | 526 |
| June, 1920.. | . 2225 | 172 | . 1498 | 135 | 14. 1600 | 309 | . 3650 | 220 | . 2600 | 211 | . 2120 | 497 |

## RETAIL TRADE.

In the following tables is given a summary of the results obtained during the past few months in districts Nos. $1,2,3,4,5,6,7,10$, and 12, on the regular retail trade index form from representative department stores. In districts Nos. 1, 5, and 12 the data were received in (and averages computed from) actual amounts (dollars). In districts Nos. 2, 3, 4, $5,6,7$, and 10 the material was received in the form of percentages, the averages for the cities and districts computed from such percentages being weighted according to volume of business done during the calendar year 1919. For the month of June, the tables are based on reports from 23 stores in district No. 1, 18 in district No. 2, 14 in district No. 3,16 in district No. 4, 9 in district No. 5, 11 in district No. 6, 7 in district No. 7,11 in district No. 10, and 32 in district No. 12. For the earlier months the number of stores varied somewhat, due to the
inclusion of new stores from time to time in the reporting list.

In district No. 11 inquiries made of several stores in a number of lines brought the following average results:

June, 1920, retail trade, compared with May, 1920, and June, 1919.
[+increase; -decrease.]


Condition of retail trade in Federal Reserve districts Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, and 12.
[Percentage of increase.]

| District and city. | Comparison of net sales with those of corresponding period previous year. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | October, 1919. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No- } \\ & \text { vem- } \\ & \text { ber, } \\ & 1919 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { De- } \\ & \text { cem- } \\ & \text { ber, } \\ & 1919 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Janu- } \\ \text { ary, } \\ 1920 . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Feb- } \\ & \text { ruary, } \\ & 1920 . \end{aligned}$ | March, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { April, } \\ & 1920 . \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{may}_{10 \mathrm{y}}$$1920 \text {. }$ | June, 1920. | July 1, 1919 , to close |  |  | . Jan. 1, 1920, to close of- |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | October, 1919. | No- vem- ber, 1919. | De-cem1919. | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Feb- } \\ \text { ruary, } \\ 1920 . \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { March, } \\ & 1920 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { April, } \\ & 1920 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May, } \\ & 920 . \end{aligned}$ | June, |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { District No. 1: } \\ \text { Boston...... } \\ \text { Outside..... } \end{gathered}$ | 52.0 |  | 42.3 41.9 | 33.2 43.5 | 15.4 36.1 | 38.9 29.4 | 16.8 26.0 | 19.4 25.5 | 27.8 28.5 | 33.0 |  | 36.3 38.5 | 24.9 41.6 | 30.7 36.4 | 26.1 33.1 | 24.7 30.5 | 25.3 30.0 |
| District |  |  | 42.1 | 34.8 | 18.3 | 37.5 | 18.5 | 20.7 | 28.0 |  | ..... | 37.2 | 32.5 | 31.6 | 27.5 | 25.8 | 26.2 |
| District No. 2: New Yoris City and Brooklyn Outside |  |  | 49.2 | 54.6 | 29.9 | 66.6 50.4 | 15.0 <br> 22.4 | 41.1 22.8 | 26.4 32.3 |  |  | 49.7 | 39.8 | 59.3 38.1 | $\begin{aligned} & 35.0 \\ & 33.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35.3 \\ & 30.1 \end{aligned}$ | 32.7 <br> 31.7 |
| District. |  |  |  |  |  | 64.8 | 15.8 | 35.4 | 28.4 |  |  |  |  | 57.0 | 34.9 | 33.7 | 33.8 |
| District No. 3 |  |  |  | 22.2 | 17.6 | 37.5 | 12.4 | 50.7 | 34.3 |  |  |  | 20.3 | 26.2 | 20.5 | 30.9 | 31.0 |
| District No. |  |  |  |  | 28.6 14.2 |  | 18.4 | 31.3 | 31.5 |  |  |  | 36.0 5.3 | 38.2 12.1 | ${ }_{3}^{33.6}$ | ${ }_{9}^{32.1}$ | 34.6 |
| District No. 5 |  |  |  |  | 14.2 |  | 3.9 <br> 3 | $\xrightarrow{11.0}$ | 21.4 |  |  |  |  | 12.1 29 | 8.88 | ${ }_{28}^{98}$ | 11.4 |
| District No. 6 |  |  |  | 48.2 | 51.7 | 27.4 65.2 | 23.4 33.3 | 31.0 49.7 | 24.3 59.6 |  |  |  | 50.7 | 29.9 57.4 | 22.0 43.5 | ${ }_{49.6}^{28.1}$ | 29.3 58.7 |
| District No. 10 |  |  |  |  |  | 24.6 | 19.6 | 10.9 | 12.9 |  |  |  |  | 26.9 | 24.9 | 21.2 | 17.9 |
| District No. 12: Los Angeles. | 110.6 | 88.7 | 77.3 | 83.8 |  | 58.4 |  |  |  | 69.9 | 77.2 |  | 68.3 |  | 56.1 | 52.2 |  |
| San Francisco. | 92.3 | 45.2 | 54.6 | 53.5 | 26.9 | 35.4 | 28.5 | 40.9 | 23.6 | 46.5 | 46.3 | 47.1 | 58.9 | 39.7 | 36.6 | 37.6 | 35.3 |
| Oakland. | 68.5 | 30.3 | 29.8 | 41.4 | 27.4 | 31.0 | 14.9 | 17.1 | 15.2 | 32.3 | 31.9 | 31.9 | 34.8 | 32.3 | 27.9 | ${ }^{25.6}$ | 23.2 |
| Sacrament | ${ }_{5.2}^{69.2}$ | ${ }^{36.6}$ | 50.7 | ${ }_{54}^{54.2}$ | 22.6 | 65.1 | 33.9 | 34.4 | 32.1 |  | ${ }^{35.7}$ | 39.5 | ${ }_{23}^{36.6}$ | 60.9 | 47.5 | +1394 | 38.3 |
| Seattle... | 50.6 | 21.0 | 28.6 77 | 23.9 36.2 | 22.4 23.6 | 19.2 19.8 | 4.3 10.9 | 6.3 48.8 | 111.1 | 31.6 99.9 | 70.5 | 29.3 | 23.0 30.1 | 21.8 26.8 | $\stackrel{16.2}{23.1}$ | ${ }_{29}^{13.9}$ | 13.4 35.7 |
| Spokane. salt Lake City | $\begin{array}{r} 176.0 \\ 44.8 \end{array}$ | 46.4 42.7 | 77.1 32.3 | 36.2 23.8 | 23.6 11.5 | 19.8 10.5 | 10.9 7.1 | 48.8 26.4 | 62.8 <br> 18.3 <br> 2.8 | 99.9 24.8 | 70.4 32.0 | 72.0 <br> 29.2 | 30.1 18.1 | 26.8 15.1 | 23.1 <br> 12.8 | 29.1 .16 .4 | $\begin{array}{r}35.7 \\ 14.7 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| District. | 82.0 | 46.1 | 50.7 | 51.7 | 31.1 | 37.8 | 13.8 | 31.2 | 27.8 | 46.9 | 47.5 | 47.0 | 46.5 | 41.0 | 36.9 | 34.7 | 33.2 |

Condition of retail trade in Federal Reserve districts Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, and 12-Continued.
[Percentage of increase.]


1 Decrease.

## FOREIGN TRADE INDEX.

There is presented below a series of indexes designed to reflect movements in foreign trade of the United States, with fluctuations due to price changes eliminated. The commodities chosen for these indexes are those for which prices are compiled by the Federal Reserve Board in the preparation of its international price index. The list includes 14 of the most important imports the value of which in 1913 formed 40.6 per cent of the total import values and 29 of the most important exports the value of which in 1913 formed 56.3 per cent of the total export values. The list of the commodities is given in the July Bulletin. The month of May was marked by a decline in the exports of raw materials. However, the in-
crease in the exports of producers' and consumers' goods more than compensated for the decrease in raw materials. The index of goods imported shows a very marked decline for the month of May. While all classes of goods show a decrease, the largest decrease is seen in consumers' goods.

The month of June, 1920, was marked by a large increase in the imports of all classes of goods and a concomitant decrease in the exports of all classes of goods. The increase in imports was most marked in consumers' goods, although the increase in imports of producers' goods and raw materials was considerable. The decline of all classes of exports was shown, but the most marked decrease was in consumers' goods.

Value of exports and imports of selected commodities at 1913 prices.
[In thousands of dollars; i. e., 000 omitted.]
[Monthly average values, $1913=100$.]

|  | Exports. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Imports. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Raw materials ( 12 commodities). |  | Producers' goods ( 10 commodities). |  | Consumers' goods ( 7 com modities). |  | Grand total exports (29 commodities). |  | Raw materials ( 6 commodities). |  | Producers' goods (6 commodities). |  | Consumers' goods (2 commodities). |  | Grand total imports ( 14 commodities). |  |
|  | Value. | Index number. | Value. | Index number. | Value. | Index number. | Value. | Index number. | Value. | Index number. | Value. | Index number. | Value. | Index number. | Value. | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Index } \\ \text { num. } \\ \text { ber. } \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1913 . \\ \text { January } \end{array}$ | 100,027 | 116.8 | 11,762 | 101.4 | 30,715 | 100.9 | 142,504 | 111.6 | 50,336 | 123.8 | 32,545 | 105.0 | 12,080 | 107.6 | 94,961 | 114.6 |
| February | 71,074 | 83.0 | 12, 266 | 1058 | 30,790 | 101.2 | 114, 130 | 89.4 | 45,175 | 111.1 | 34, 308 | 110.7 | 11,865 | 105.7 | 91,348 | 110.2 |
| March. | 61,681 | 72.0 | 11,836 | 102.1 | 28,698 | 94.3 | 102, 215 | 80.1 | 46,079 | 113.3 | 37, 824 | 122.0 | 10,145 | 90.4 | 94,048 | 113.5 |
| April. | 71, 446 | 83.0 | 14, 128 | 121.8 | 28,708 | 94.3 | 114,282 | 89.5 | 42,785 | 105. 2 | 36,216 | 116.9 | 8,983 | 80.0 | 87, 984 | 106. 2 |
| May.......... | 68, 856 | 80.4 | 11,661 | 100.6 | 29,923 | 98.3 | 110,440 | 86.5 | 38, 111 | 93.7 | 32,601 | 1052 | 6,127 | 54.6 | 76,839 | 92.7 |
| June.......... | 46, 963 | 54.8 | 11,612 | 100.1 | 28, 242 | 92.8 | 86, 817 | 68.0 | 32,793 | 80.6 | 33,790 | 109.0 | 6,843 | 60.9 | 73, 426 | 88.6 |
| July... | 51,325 | 59.9 | 11, 109 | 95.8 | 27, 686 | 91.0 | 80, 120 | 70.6 | 31,681 | 77.9 | 31,178 | 100.6 | 7,557 | 67.3 | 70, 416 | 85.0 |
| August. | 74,869 | 87.4 | 11,547 | 99.5 | 29, 370 | ${ }^{96.5}$ | 115, 786 | 90.7 | 35, 194 | 86.6 | 34, 223 | 110.4 | 9,438 | 84.1 | 78, 855 | ${ }^{95.1}$ |
| September. | 103, 614 | 120.9 | 10,622 | 91.6 | 32,190 | 105.8 | 146, 426 | 114.7 | 42, 588 | 104.7 | 35, 137 | 113.4 | 14,068 | 125.3 | 91, 793 | 110.8 |
| October... | 137,772 126,836 | 160.9 148.1 | 12,608 9,987 | 108.7 86.1 | 34,612 31,246 | 113.8 102.7 | 184, 992 168,069 | 144.9 | 36,842 37,465 | 90.6 | 18,331 | 59.2 72.1 | 14, 698 | 130.9 <br> 123.8 | 69,868 73,710 | 84.3 88.9 |
| December. | 113, 326 | 132.3 | 10,053 | 86.7 | 33,089 | 108.7 | 156,468 | 122.5 | 48, 886 | 120.2 | 23, 406 | 75.5 | 19,028 | 169.5 | 91, 320 | 110.2 |
| Year. | 1,027, 789 | 100.0 | 139, 191 | 100.0 | 365, 269 | 100.0 | 1, 532,249 | 100.0 | 487,935 | 100.0 | 371,912 | 100.0 | 134,721 | 100.0 | 994,568 | 100.0 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1919 . \\ \text { January. } \end{array}$ | 84,066 | 98.2 | 18, 444 | 159.0 | 56,748 | 186.4 | 159, 258 | 124.7 | 38, 273 | 94.1 | 45,892 | 148.1 | 12,115 | 107.9 | 96,280 | 116.2 |
| February | 58, 488 | 68.3 | 14, 598 | 125.9 | 53, 338 | 175.2 | 126, 424 | 99.0 | 35, 074 | 86.3 | 60,653 | 195.7 | 12,056 | 107.4 | 107, 783 | 130.0 |
| March.. | 57, 659 | 67.3 | 16,161 | 139.3 | 61,585 | 202.3 | 135, 405 | 106.1 | 39,396 | 96.9 | 78,773 | 254.2 | 21,768 | 193.9 | 139, 937 | 168.8 |
| April. | 65, 112 | 76.0 | 19,356 | 166.9 | 80,639 | 264.9 | 165, 107 | 129.3 | 51, 280 | 126.1 | 81, 409 | 262.7 | 13,222 | 117.8 | 145, 911 | 176.0 |
| May.. | 67,595 | 78.9 | 15,972 | 1377 | 58,731 | 192.9 | 142,298 | 111.4 | 71, 831 | 176.7 | 84, 538 | 272.8 | 15, 949 | 142.1 | 172,318 | 208.0 |
| June. | 94,600 | 110.5 | 28,658 | 247.1 | 95,917 | 315.1 | 219, 235 | 171.7 | 73,401 | 180.5 | 57, 244 | 184.7 | 12, 208 | 108.7 | 142,853 | 172.4 |
| July. | 71,918 | 84.0 | 16,943 | 146.1 | 50, 531 | 166.0 | 139, 392 | 109.1 | 72,974 | 179.5 | 72, 172 | 232.9 | 21,555 | 192.0 | 166,701 | 201.1 |
| August. | 81, 302 | 94.9 | 19,578 | 168.8 | 49,548 | 162.8 | 150, 428 | 117.8 | 77, 988 | 191.8 | 36, 460 | 117.6 | 17,525 | 156.1 | 131, 973 | 159.2 |
| September... | 70,209 | 82.0 | 19,365 | 167.0 | 43, 108 | 141.6 | 132, 682 | 103.9 | 109,761 | 269.9 | 60, 884 | 196.4 | 20,779 | 185.1 | 191, 424 | 231.0 |
| October... | 70, 240 | 82.0 | 16, 844 | 145.2 | 45,983 | 151.1 | 133,067 | 104.2 | 88,701 | 218.2 | 64, 921 | 209.5 | 17, 198 | 153.2 | 170,820 | 206.1 |
| November. | 99,589 | 116.3 | 15, 741 | 135.7 | 46, 473 | 152.7 | 161, 803 | 126.7 | 84, 424 | 207.6 | 69,554 | 224.4 | 17,060 | 152.0 | 171,038 | 206.4 |
| December | 89, 585 | 104.6 | 13,208 | 113.9 | 43,563 | 143.1 | 146, 356 | 114.6 | 64,252 | 158.0 | 60,994 | 196.8 | 14, 831 | 132.1 | 140,077 | 169.0 |
| Year.. | 910, 423 | 88.6 | 214, 868 | 154.4 | 686, 164 | 187.9 | 1,811,455 | 118.2 | 807,355 | 165.5 | 773,494 | 208.0 | 196, 266 | 145.7 | 1,777,115 | 178.7 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1920 . \\ \text { January. } \end{array}$ | 93,141 | 108.7 | 15, 647 | 134.9 | 35, 406 | 116.3 | 144, 194 | 112.9 | 90, 433 | 222.4 | 82,014 | 264.6 | 17,874 | 159.2 | 190,321 | 229.6 |
| February... | 70, 130 | 81.9 | 14, 198 | 122.4 | 41,645 | 136.8 | 125, 973 | 98.7 | 75,597 | 185.9 | 99,502 | 321.0 | 14,241 | 126.9 | 189, 340 | 228.4 |
| March..... | 90,805 | 106.0 | 17,279 | 149.0 | 56, 428 | 185.4 | 164,512 | 128.9 | 77,966 | 191.7 | 117, 188 | 378.1 | 19,260 | 171.6 | 214, 414 | 258.7 |
| April......... | 68,048 | 79.4 | 17,063 | 147.1 | 51, 689 | 169.8 | 136, 800 | 107.1 | 77, 288 | 190.1 | 89,684 | 289.4 | 21,347 | 190.1 | 188,319 | 227.2 |
| May......... | 63,650 | 74.3 | 17, 546 | 151.3 | 62, 457 | 205.2 | 143, 653 | 112.5 | 45,967 | 113.0 | 74, 811 | 241.4 | 11, 140 | 98.5 | 131, 918 | 159.2 |
| June........... | 55,446 | 64.7 | 14,663 | 126.4 | 46,117 | 151.5 | 116,226 | 91.1 | 55,965 | 137.6 | 87,205 | 281.4 | 18,437 | 163.0 | 161,607 | 195.0 |

## WHOLESALE PRICES ABROAD. ${ }^{1}$

Wholesale prices declined in the leading industrial countries of the world during June. The revision occurred apparently without much regard to the currency or fiscal conditions of the countries concerned. Beginning with the fall in the prices of nonferrous metals, silk, and wool, the movement extended to other lines as well. Foods and fuel have in general remained unaffected. The cause for the movement is to be found partly in the restriction of credit for speculative purposes and partly in conditions peculiar to the different lines.

Index numbers of wholesale prices (all commodities).

| $[1913=100$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | United <br> States; <br> Purean of Lahor Statistirs $(328$ quotations). | $\begin{gathered} \text { Tnit- } \\ \text { ed } \\ \text { King- } \\ \text { dom; } \\ \text { Stat- } \\ \text { ist } \\ \text { (45 } \\ \text { com- } \\ \text { modi- } \\ \text { ties). } \end{gathered}$ | France Bulletin de la Statistique Générale (45 modiiies). | Italy; Prof Bachi (40 com- modi- ties). | Swe- den; Svensk Handels tidning. | Ja- pan; Bank of Jar- pan for To- kyo (56 com- modi- ties). | Anstra- lia; Com- mon- wealth Bureau Census and Statis- tics (92 com- modi- ties). | Canada; De-partment of Labor (272 quo-tations) | Cal- cutta <br> India; <br> D8- <br> part- <br> ment of Sta- <br> tistics (75 <br> com- <br> modi- <br> tios). |
| 1913. | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |  | 100 |  |
| 1914.. | 100 | 101 | 101 | 95 | 116 | 96 | 1100 | 101 | 3100 |
| 1915. . | 101 | 126 | 137 | 133 | 145 | 97 | 141 | 11.0 |  |
| 1916. . | 124 | 159 | 197 | 202 | 185 | 117 | 132 | 135 |  |
| 1917.. | 174 | 206 | 262 | 299 | 244 | 149 | 2155 | 177 |  |
| 1918.. | 197 | 226 | 339 | 409 | 339 | 197 | 170 | 206 | ...... |
| 1919. | 203 | 224 | 318 | 324 | 369 | 214 | 172 | 212 |  |
| Feb. | 197 | 220 | 340 | 320 | 3.58 | 213 | 178 | 207 |  |
| Mar. | 201 | 217 | 337 | 324 | 354 | 200 | 109 | 205 |  |
| Apr. | 203 | 217 | 332 | 329 | 339 | 206 | 171 | 206 |  |
| May.. | 207 | 229 | 325 | 336 | 330 | 214 | 172 | 210 |  |
| June.. | 207 | 235 | 329 | 356 | 324 | 228 | 173 | 210 |  |
| July.. | 218 | 243 | 349 | 359 | 320 | 247 | 175 | 218 |  |
| Aug. | 226 | 250 | 347 | 368 | 321 | 251 | 182 | 223 | 204 |
| Sept.. | 221 | 252 | 360 | 370 | 319 | $2 \cdot 5$ | 185 | 223 | 200 |
| Oet... | 223 | 264 | 392 | 388 | 307 | 271 | 200 | 222 |  |
| Nov.. | 230 | 271 | 405 | 436 | 308 | 280 | 199 | 227 |  |
| Dec.. | 238 | 27 C | 423 | 455 | 317 | 288 | 197 | 240 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1920 . \\ & \text { Jan... } \end{aligned}$ | 248 | 288 | 487 | 504 | 319 | 301 | 203 | 248 | 218 |
| Feb. | 249 | 306 | 522 | 556 | 342 | 313 | 206 | 254 | 209 |
| Mar. | 253 | 307 | 555 | 619 | 354 | 321 | 209 | 259 | 198 |
| Apr. | 265 | 313 | 584 | 679 | 354 | 300 | 217 | 261 | 200 |
| May.. | 272 | 305 | 550 | 659 | 361 | 272 | 225 | 283 | 210 |
| June. | 299 | 300 | 493 | 614 | 366 | 248 | 233 | 258 | 206 |
|  | 1 Jul | \%, 1914 | $=\begin{aligned} & =100 . \\ & 3 \mathrm{End} \end{aligned}$ | $\text { of } \mathrm{Jnly}^{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Last six } \\ & Y, 1914=1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & x \text { montl } \\ & 100 \text {. } \end{aligned}$ | hs of 1917 |  |  |

ENGLAND.
The Statist index number for England shows a 5-point price decrease for June as compared with an 8-point decrease in May. The Econo-

[^4]mist index number also shows a decrease for the two months, but differs from the Statist in that it shows a considerably greater decrease for June than for May. The Economist number shows a heavy decline in textiles which may account for the difference. Clearly the upward trend of prices which began in April of last year has definitely come to an end, and prices are slowly descending.

June 30 brought the first quarter of the British fiscal year to an end and showed an expenditure of $£ 284,000,000$ for the period and an income of $£ 315,000,000$. This means a reduction of $£ 116,000,000$ from the expenditures for the same period in 1919 and an increase of $£ 129,000,000$ in the revenue. During the quarter the floating debt was reduced by $£ 18,000,000$, from $£ 1,312,000,000$ to $£ 1,294,-$ 000,000.

Early in the month of June, the Government was forced to make heavy drafts on the Bank of England to meet the interest falling due on the 5 per cent war loan. As the month progressed, temporary advances declined until June 30, when they again reached a high point. Treasury bills were not in active demand because of the pressure for money at the end of the half year, but after July 1 larger sales were anticipated.
[In millions of pounds sterling.]

The slight interest shown in the 5-15 year Treasury bonds, subscriptions for which amounted to only $£ 7,660,000$ on June 26 , has been discouraging to those looking for floating debt reduction from this source. Nor is the levy on increases in capital during the war to be introduced by the Government, because, according to the Chancellor of the Exchequer, this form of taxation will not yield enough to justify it as a method of floating debt reduction. The increase to 60 per cent in the excess profits duty will be in effect for at least one year.
With an addition of $£ 5,427,000$ of coin and bullion to the holdings of the Bank of England and an increase of only $£ 13,084,000$ in currency and bank note circulation between

May 26 and June 30, a further rise in the official discount rate is apparently not considered likely. The London Economist is of the opinion that practically the entire stock of gold in the country has now been concentrated in the Bank of England. With currency note circulation at $£ 357,356,000$ on June 30 , a margin of only about $£ 5,000,000$ remains between the maximum fiduciary issue (fixed at $£ 320,600,000$ for 1920 ) and the currency note circulation (subtracting from the latter $£ 28,500,000$ of gold and $£ 13,400,000$ bank notes in the redemption account).
[In thousands of pounds sterling.]

|  | Currency дotes. | Bank of England notes. ${ }^{1}$ | Total notes. | Coin and bullion in Bank of England. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  |
| May 26...... | 348,316 | 103, 614 | 451,930 | 112,455 |
| June 2. | 351, 051 | 104, 957 | 456, 008 | 114,459 |
| 9. | 353, 496 | 104,317 | 457, 813 | 116,707 |
| 16. | 354,096 | 103, 766 | 457, 862 | 117,690 |
| 23. | 354, 012 | 104, 840 | 458, 852 | 117,815 |
| 30. | 357,356 | 106,658 | 464, 014 | 117,882 |

${ }^{1}$ Less notes in currency notes account.
The investment situation has not changed strikingly during June. Gilt edged securities were declining but not so much as more speculative industrial issues. It was estimated that at the end of May something like $£ 20,000,000$ had been invested in municipal, county, and other housing loans. Apparently with a view to increasing subscriptions, the Chancellor of the Exchequer announced that he would propose legislation to allow him to turn over savings certificate loans to housing purposes.
Never has there been a period equal to the first six months of this year as regards capital issues. The London Joint City and Midland report for June gives a total of $£ 241,000,000$ in capital issues for the period. Of this amount only 11 per cent was for foreign countries, a situation in striking contrast to that of 1913 when nearly 85 per cent of the issues went abroad.

Improvement in sterling exchange on New York continued through June. One encouraging feature of the recent situation is the much narrower range of the fluctuations.

British foreign exchange.


Averago of weekly quotations from London Times.
Although improvement is shown in francs and lire during the last three months, the quotations for June are considerably less favorable to these countries than those of January last. German exchange, on the other hand, has shown very remarkable improvement during each successive month. Important factors leading to the improvement in sterling in New York, South America, and the Far East were mentioned in a recent speech of the Chancellor of the Exchequer before Parliament:
(1) The payment of the English share of the Anglo-French loan.
(2) The redemption of half the $\$ 100,000,000$ Argentine loan.
(3) The prospective payment of $20,000,000$ yen to Japan.
The trade figures for June were not so favorable as those of May. The second quarter of the year, however, shows a decrease in imports as compared with the first quarter of 1920, and an increase in exports combined with reexports. Invisible exports may, in fact, compensate for the adverse balances of May and June.


Of great ultimate importance as regards the future trend of prices and trade is the decision of the Government to open up trade with Russia as soon as satisfactory arrangements can be made. Trade returns for May show a certain quantity of hides as coming from there. Imports from Germany likewise point to a resumption of trading with the Central Empires, a fact which will eventually have a salutary effect upon English and continental prices.

Railway and terminal congestion have played an important part in slowing down activity. The restrictions on the export of coal, combined with the glut of imports, are given as the main cause of dock congestion by the Ministry of Transport. Weekly coal production has not been up to the standards of the first quarter of the year, except during the week of June 19.

| Weekly production of coal. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Tons. |
| June 5. |  | 4, 718,000 |
| June 12 |  | 4,770,000 |
| June 19 |  | 4, 874,000 |
| June 26 |  | 4, 686,000 |
| July 3. |  | 4, 735,000 |
| July 10. |  | 4, 676,000 |

Pig iron and ingot production for June were likewise below the May averages. In the case of pig iron the decrease is not very great and the actual quantity produced is more than during any other month of the year except May. Ingot production, on the other hand, is less than during any of the earlier months, a fact which bears out trade reports to the effect that finished steel is beginning to feel the break in demand which occurred earlier in textiles, leather, etc.

Production of pig iron and steel ingots.
[Thousands of metric tons.]

|  | Pig iron. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jngots } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { castings. } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1913 (monthly average).. | 869 | ${ }^{1} 6.49$ |
| 1919. |  |  |
| January... | 672 | 7730 |
| March..... | 636 <br> 702 | 776 |
| April... | 658 | 600 |
| May..... | 682 | 767 |
| June................. | 669 | 611 |
| 1920. |  |  |
| January............... |  |  |
| February....... | 656 710 | 884 |
| April.... | 655 | 779 |
| May.. | 738 | 848 |
| June.. | 726 | 745 |

British shipping tonnage under construction on June 30 was appreciably greater than on March 31, but reports from official labor bureaus were to the effect that lack of raw materials had caused considerable idleness in the shipyards.

## Tonnage under construction.



Employment of skilled workers continued very good in May, according to returns made to the Ministry of Labor by trade unions.

Percentage of trade-union unemployment ( $1,579,085$ membership).

| End of- | 1918 | 1919 | 1920 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January.. | 1.0 | 2.4 | 2.9 |
| March...... | 1.9 | 2.8 2.9 | 1.6 |
| April. | +.9 | 2.8 | 1. |
| May. | . 9 | 2.1 | 1.1 |

Considering the price situation in greater detail, the salient facts to be noted are (1) a slight fall in average wholesale prices in May and June, accompanied by a continued rise in the cost of living; (2) the fall in wholesale prices in both months was mainly due to the fall in prices of textiles and nonferrous metals, the prices of foodstuffs continuing to advance.

| Date. | Vegefoods. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ani- } \\ \text { mal } \\ \text { foods. } \end{gathered}$ | Sugar, tea. | Foodstutlis. | Minerals. | Textiles. | Sundries. | Materials. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1913. | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 1914. | 110 | 100 | 107 | 105 | 90 | 97 | 105 | 98 |
| 1915. | 155 | 125 | 130 | 137 | 109 | 111 | 131 | 119 |
| 1916 | 193 | 152 | 161 | 169 | 140 | 152 | 163 | 153 |
| 1917.. | 252 | 192 | ${ }_{23}^{213}$ | 218 | 152 | 228 | 212 | 198 |
| 1918. | 248 | 210 | 238 | 229 | 167 | 265 | 243 | 225 |
| $\begin{gathered} 1919 . \\ \text { January.. } \end{gathered}$ | 249 | 226 | 221 | 234 | 159 | 245 | 246 | 218 |
| February. | 250 | 226 | 221 | 235 | 156 | 242 | 235 | 212 |
| March. | 240 | 205 | 238 | 223 | 154 | 235 | 246 | 213 |
| April. | 243 | 206 | 229 | 223 | 154 | 239 | 243 | 213 |
| May. | 245 | 208 | 236 | 226 | 177 | 254 | 258 | 230 |
| June. | 246 | 208 | 244 | 229 | 182 | 258 | $2: 1$ | 238 |
| July... | 244 | 208 | 275 | 231 | 203 | 257 | 283 | 249 |
| August... | 255 | 208 | 320 | 242 | 206 | 273 | 283 | 255 |
| September | 258 | 208 | 328 | 245 | 206 | 287 | 278 | 257 |
| October... | 260 | 226 | 322 | 253 | 222 | 305 | 284 | 270 |
| November.. | ${ }_{2}^{266}$ | 228 | ${ }_{332}^{332}$ | 258 | ${ }^{226}$ | 335 | 292 | 280 |
| December... | 270 | 228 | 336 | 260 | 234 | 334 | 296 | 286 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1920 . \\ \text { January.. } \end{array}$ | 274 | 230 | 356 | 265 | 256 | 343 | 312 | 302 |
| February... | 297 | 237 | 415 | 286 | 267 | 362 | 329 | 318 |
| March... | 345 | 237 | 393 | 300 | 263 | 360 | 318 | 312 |
| April....... | 346 | 265 | 392 | 315 | 263 | 354 | 321 | 311 |
| May........ | ${ }_{359}^{351}$ | 244 | 473 | 318 | 273 | 308 | 311 | 298 |
| June........ | 359 | 244 | 436 | 325 | 269 | 308 | 282 | 235 |

Index number of the cost of living.
[Food, rent, clothing, fuel, and light, etc.] [Base, July, 1914.]

| Date. | 1918 | 1919 | 1920 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January. | 85-90 | 120 | 125 |
| February. | 90 | 120 | 130 |
| March... | 90 | 115 | 130 |
| April. | 90-95 | 110 | 132 |
| May. | 95-100 | 105 | 141 |
| June.. | 100 | 105 | 150 |

Most recent trade reports seem to indicate that the May and June depression in trade and prices of cotton, wool, and hides is beginning to give way, and that buying on a limited scale at approximately June prices has commenced. Tron and steel prices, which were unaffected in May and June, seem to be less strong in July, quotations for Cleveland pig iron being the same as in June, and hard steel billets slightly below those of the preceding month. Among leading foods, ham and butter seem to be the only ones which have declined in price, while prices of such commodities as sugar and coffee are considerably higher.

Control of domestic meat prices was lifted in June and the industry freed from a large measure of Government supervision. The Food Ministry still holds considerable stocks of imported meats, which are being sold at fixed wholesale and retail prices. Imported mutton has been reduced in price, but advances are anticipated in the home-grown meats.

The relationship of Government to industry has been very much under discussion during the month because of the introduction in Parliament of a bill for the management of the coal industry and the publication of a white paper by the Ministry of Transport giving the Government's plan for the reorganization of the railway system. The introduction of the coal bill was preceded by the removal of central control of domestic distribution of coal and of prices at wholesale and retail. Pithead prices continue fixed, and the quantity allowed for export is limited.

The new bill for the management of the industry contains the following provisions:
(1) $\hat{A}$ ministry of mines is created under the board of trade.
(2) Export of coal and the pithead price for consumption in the British Isles is to be controlled for one year after August 31, 1920.
(3) Pit committees are created, representing the owners, managers, and workers in the mines (workers to form at least one-half the
membership) to handle local questions such as increase in output, welfare, disputes, etc.
(4) District committees are created with equal representation for management and workers to handle similar questions for the district.
(5) Area boards are created with equal representation for management and workers to decide questions of remuneration of workers in the area, having regard to the profits of the industry within the area.
(6) A national board is created with equal representation for management and workers to decide questions of policy.

Neither owners nor workers approve of the bill. Complaints against it are mainly to the effect that the bill provides for nationalization but does not state how capital is to be obtained; that the arrangement for adjustment of wages by areas is unfair; and that the industry will be disintegrated thereby instead of coordinated.

Following are some of the recommendations regarding the unification of the railway system:
(1) The railway companies of England and Wales to be divided into six groups, with a seventh for Scotland.
(2) Each group railway will have a board of management upon which the shareholders will form the majority. The rest of the board will consist of employees, one-third of whom will be administrative officers and two-thirds workers.
(3) Government guaranty to cease, rates and fares to be fixed to produce a net revenue substantially equivalent, on a prewar basis, to the net income of all the companies absorbed.
(4) Surplus revenue to be taken by the State and used for light railway development.
(5) Machinery to be set up for fixing rates and charges, wages, and working conditions.

## FRANCE.

Changes in the financial and industrial condition of France during June were not as striking as those which occurred during May. Foreign exchange rates improved slightly and prices continued to decline. In general the condition of the country was encouraging. The shortage of coal continued, but crops were reported excellent, with reconstruction in the devastated regions progressing rapidly. The financial situation of the Government was greatly strengthened at the end of the month through the passage by both the Chamber of Deputies and the Senate of new taxes which will balance the 1920 budget. M. Francois Marsal, French Minister of Finance, announces that he
has arranged for a systematic organization of the tax collecting so that all persons with an income of more than 5,000 francs a year will contribute to the support of the Government. The new taxes went into effect immediately.
The Bank of France made slight gains during June. The gold reserve of the bank increased 816,000 francs between May 27 and June 24, and note circulation decreased 370,930,000 francs in the same period. The Government received $907,000,000$ francs from indirect taxes and monopolies during June, an amount $277,000,000$ francs greater than budget estimates. Tax receipts for the first six months of 1920 have been $1,915,000,000$ francs greater than receipts for the same period in 1919. With the enactment of the new taxes, receipts for July should be even larger.

| Group index numbers-France. <br> [Bulletin de la Statistique Générale.] $[1913=100 .]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date. | Animal food. | Vegetable foods. | Sugar, cofiee, and cocca. | Foods (2n). | Minerals. | Textiles. | Sundries. | Mate rials (25). |
| 1913. | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 1914. | 103 | 103 | 106 | 104 | 98 | 109 | 99 | 101 |
| 1915.. | 126 | 126 | 151 | 131 | 164 | 132 | 145 | 145 |
| 1916. | 162 | 170 | 164 | 167 | 232 | 180 | 199 | 206 |
| 1917. | 215 | 243 | 201 | 225 | 271 | 303 | 302 | 291 |
| 1918.. | 286 | 298 | 231 | 281 | 283 | 460 | 420 | 387 |
| $\begin{gathered} 1919 . \\ \text { January.. } \end{gathered}$ | 337 | 321 | 231 | 313 | 271 | 416 | 428 | 376 |
| February | 343 | 321 | 236 | 316 | 243 | 399 | 420 | 360 |
| March... | 438 | 277 | 236 | 337 | 246 | 322 | 404 | 337 |
| April. | 436 | 277 | 236 | 336 | 243 | 335 | 387 | 330 |
| May... | 397 | 275 | 238 | 319 | 231 | 346 | 390 | 330 |
| June. | 381 | 268 | 255 | 313 | 236 | 372 | 398 | 344 |
| July.. | 372 | 336 | 257 | 338 | 267 | 406 | 395 | 358 |
| Aupust | 360 | 309 | 263 | 323 | 273 | 434 | 398 | 367 |
| September | 387 | 308 | 264 | 334 | 279 | 476 | 402 | 381 |
| October... | 402 | 337 | 268 | 353 | 295 | 554 | 403 | 405 |
| November | 424 | 351 | 271 | 369 | 323 | 620 | 415 | 435 |
| December | 432 | 380 | 278 | 375 | 357 | 649 | 419 | 454 |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January .-. | 452 | 432 | 419 | 440 | 413 | 787 | 465 | 525 |
| February. | 484 | 474 | 436 | 474 | 444 | 828 | 503 | 561 |
| March..... | 500 | 516 | 439 | 498 | 460 | 884 | 548 | 600 |
| April.. | 522 | 511 | 429 | 506 | 498 | 453 | 587 | 646 |
| May... | 480 | 480 | 124 | 472 | 459 | 841 | 601 | 614 |
| June. | 482 | 400 | 392 | 434 | 428 | 734 | 517 | 540 |

The French public continues to restrict consumption in the hope of lower prices. Cotton and silk, cacao and coffee, oats and barley, lead, zinc, tin, and copper all declined in price during June, but in most cases the decrease was not as great as during May. The shortage of fuel prevented a pronounced decline in the price of
articles manufactured with the aid of large amounts of coal.
The provision of the country with fuel continues to be one of its most serious problems. However, the Spa conference should result in increased deliveries of coal from Germany, and it is thought that labor difficulties in the French mines have been settled, so that the amount of coal available in France should be much larger by fall. The following figures show the progressive decline of coal receipts in 1920:
Coal available in France in 1920-Production and imports.

| January | Metric tons. ${ }^{1} 3,991,486$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| February | ${ }^{1} 3,954,301$ |
| March | ${ }^{1} 3,781,544$ |
| April. | 3, 603, 602 |
| May. | 3, 352, 177 |

French coal production was 620,000 tons less, in May than in April as a result of the miners' strike from May 1 to May 20. The total receipts of coal in that month were divided as follows:

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| French coal | Metric tons. |
| Sarre coal. | 587, 180 |
| English coal | 1, 154, 530 |
| Belgian coal.. | 68, 405 |
| German coal. | 551, 812 |
| American coal | 183, 965 |
| Total. | 3, 352, 177 |

The French wheat crop for 1920 is estimated as 50 per cent larger than the 1919 crop. Barley and oats are in excellent condition, and the flax crop is reported as equaling that of 1914. The 1920 crop of silk cocoons is said to be of exceptional quality; no figures as to the quantity of the crop are as yet available.
France's foreign trade balance continues to improve, partly because of the great increase in exports of raw materials and manufactured articles, and also because of the restriction of the imports of luxuries by the French Government.

June figures show that imports for the first six months of the year were only 1,908 million francs greater than for the same period last year, whereas the value of exports was 5,096 million francs greater than during the corresponding period of 1919.

Figures for the first six months of 1919 and 1920 are as follows:


GERMANY.
No index numbers showing the trend of wholesale prices in Germany are published, but it is apparent from quotations taken from the Frankfurter Zeitung that the decline which has been going on for the past two or three months in other European countries has also occurred in Germany. It would seem that the drop in prices, at least in certain lines, had been more extreme there than in western Europe. The result of this sudden fall in prices, following immediately upon the very recent rise in prices there to an international level, is expected to have a disastrous effect upon production.

The same commodities have been reduced in Germany as elsewhere, namely, nonferrous metals, hides and their products, cotton and cotton goods, and certain vegetables, the price drop occurring in March and April. The fall in prices of iron, steel, and chemicals came a month or so later. The dates given below are only approximate. Quotations are in terms of marks, but the unit is not always known.



Improvement in the value of the mark in London and New York is very striking, but in the absence of foreign trade statistics it is impossible to say to what extent it is due to an increase in bona fide trading, and how much to speculation. Foreign speculation is generally given, however, as the cause for the improvement. Whether the fall in prices in Germany came as a result of the fact that they were too high to tempt foreign purchasers to buy there, or was caused by the fall in prices elsewhere is likewise uncertain. Commodities most strikingly affected are goods entering international trade.

A striking thing to note is that, although prices have fallen in many important lines, the expansion of the currency continues. The note circulation of the Reichsbank, as well as the darlehenskassenscheine, have almost doubled within the year. Gold holdings of the bank have fallen from 1,302 million marks on June 9,1919 , to 1,092 million on June 15, 1920. Deposits have increased 60 per cent during the same period.

Reichsbank.
[In millions of marks.]


ITALY.
The decline in Italian wholesale prices which was first noted in May continued in June. Metals, minerals, and textiles are the commodities responsible for the decline. Foodstuffs continued to rise.

No recent statistics are available showing the condition of the currency, but the fiscal situation is reported as encouraging in that revenues for the first 11 months of the tiscal year ending June 1 show very material increases over the preceding year. Savings deposits are also stated to have shown a very large increase in the course of the first 4 months of the year.

Group index numbers-Italy.
Prof. Bachi. [1913=100.]

|  | Cereals and meats. | Other foodstuffs. | Textiles. | Minerals and metals | Other goods. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1913. | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 1914... | 102 | 84 | 96 | 100 | 96 |
|  | 132 | 93 | 113 | ${ }_{280}^{207}$ | 133 |
| 1916. | 156 | 135 | 184 | 380 | 197 |
| 1917. | 215 | 171 | 326 | 596 | 266 |
| 1918...... | 315 | 229 | 475 | 750 | 391 |
| 1919. |  |  |  |  |  |
| January.... | 304 | 300 | 330 | 295 | 422 |
| February... | 305 | 308 | 328 | 295 | 384 |
| March. | 293 | 312 | ${ }^{331}$ | 346 | 361 |
| April...... | 294 | 330 | 333 | 354 | 349 |
| May........ | 293 | 336 | 375 | 360 | 340 |
| June.. | 320 | 343 | 378 | 419 | 336 |
| July... | 334 | 332 | 401 | 423 | 342 |
| August... | 332 | 351 | 423 | 424 | 341 |
| September. | 319 | 357 | 429 | 442 | 342 |
| October.... | 326 | 366 | 499 | 459 | 341 |
| November.. | 328 | 371 | 633 | 568 | 351 |
| December.. | 338 | 373 | 658 | 584 | 405 |
| ${ }_{\text {Janwary }} 1920 .$ | 363 | 396 | 777 |  |  |
| February. | 365 | 399 | 840 | 857 | 443 |
| March.... | 381 | 418 | 962 | 996 | 489 |
| April... | 395 | 494 | 1,064 | 1,076 | 535 |
| May... | 441 | 499 | 840 | 1,088 | 525 |
| June....... | 445 | 507 | 742 | 917 | 534 |

Exports from January 1 to April 30, expressed in terms of value, amounted to almost twice those of the same period of 1919. As prices did not rise anything like 100 per cent in the same period, it is safe to say that Italian exports have shown a material increase.

The new Giolitti Cabinet, which has been brought in expressly to improve internal economic conditions, has announced the following proposals for the strengthening of the budget and the more equable distribution of taxation:
(1) Law for the conversion of bearer bonds (issued by the Government, the Provinces, the communes, stock companies, or any other institution) into registered bonds.
(2) Law for the taxation in toto of war profits obtained during the period from August 1. 1914, to December 31, 1919.
(3) Law to increase tax on inheritances and donations.
(4) Law to increase taxes on automobiles, motor cycles, and motor boats.

JAPAN.
The index number of the Bank of Japan for June indicates that wholesale prices fell at about the same rate during June as during April and May. The number stood at 248 in June, taking 1913 prices equal to 100 . Speculative commodities show extensive reductions from the high points of earlier months. Rice was quoted at 31 yen per koku the middle of June as compared with 51 in early January; Osaka cotton yarn futures were quoted at 350 yen in June, which is about 300 points below the maximum quotation of May; and Yokohama raw silk futures were at 150 yen per 10 kin as compared with 385 yen at the beginning of the year.

Fluctuations have not been as great in other commodities, as shown by the following percentages.

Index numbers of wholesale prices of principal commodities. [Average price for Cetober, $1900=100$.]

| Months. | Rice. | Raw silk. | Cotton yarns. | Steel. | Copper. | Paper. | Timber. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1919. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May..... | - 353 | 233 | 475 | 272 | 144 | 488 | 199 |
| June........ | 375 | 274 | 544 | 281 | 153 | 488 | 205 |
| July........ | 405 | 300 | 623 | 292 | 178 | 488 | 235 |
| August..... | 422 | 288 | 625 | 283 | 178 | 488 | 272 |
| September.. | 434 | 296 | 648 | 267 | 163 | 512 | 330 |
| Cetober.... | 434 | 336 | 678 | 272 | 173 | 512 | 330 |
| November.. | 442 | 378 | 747 | 258 | 166 | 512 | 330 |
| December.. | 462 | 423 | 706 | 261 | 165 | 515 | 342 |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January.... | 461 | 520 | 684 | 283 | 164 | 548 | 424 |
| February... | 458 | 487 | 730 | 346 | 166 | 590 | 435 |
| March....... | 460 | 450 | 744 | 394 | 157 | 625 | 451 |
| April....... | 434 | 353 | 589 | 315 | 152 | 625 | 440 |
| May........ | 424 | 243 | 410 | 262 | 142 | 542 | 420 |

The very unfavorable balance of trade during the first six months of the yoar will, it is hoped, be wiped out in part by the increase in exports which is anticipated for the second half of the year. Except for the war years, when Japan's favorable balance of trade continued throughout the year, it is characteristic for her to have an excess of imports in the first half of the year, which is balanced by her excess of exports in the second half. The excess of imports over exports during 1920 has been abnormally large, however, amounting to 473,405,000 yen, or $251,000,000$ yen greater than during the same period in 1919. The monthly figures are as follows:

| ［Unit，1，000 yen．］ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month． | Exports． | Imports． | Excess of imports． |
| 1900. |  |  |  |
| January．． | 176，347 | 204， 701 | 28，351 |
| Mebruary． | 174， 288 | 270，630 | 96，342 |
| April． | 193， 734 | 328，500 | 135，360 |
| May．． | 218，792 | 296， 520 | 77，728 |
| May． | 192，918 | 293， 533 | 100，615 |
| June． | 183，000 | 218， 000 | 35， 000 |
| Total． | 1，138，479 | 1，611，884 | 473，405 |

In view of the fact that domestic prices have been reduced and that imports of raw materials to be manufactured for export have been large，experts believe that the unfavorable balance of the first half of the year will be de－ creased by 50 per cent at least by the end of the year．As Japan has annual credits amount－ ing to 300 to 350 million yen from sources other than merchandise，her international trade position is not considered unfavorable．

Group index numbers－Australian Commonwealth－Bureau of Census and Statistics．
［July，1914＝100．］

| Date． |  |  |  |  |  | ＋ |  | 㥻 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| July， 1914. | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 1915. | 117 | 93 | 202 | 127 | 110 | 150 | 116 | 149 |
| 1916. | 154 | 131 | 113 | 124 | 127 | 155 | 136 | 172 |
| 1917. | 213 | 207 | 110 | 116 | 131 | 155 | 194 | 243 |
| 1918. | 220 | 232 | 135 | 121 | 138 | 147 | 245 | 315 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1919 . \\ \text { January..... } \end{array}$ | 218 | 194 | 165 | 126 | 142 | 137 | 265 | 31.3 |
| February | 204 | 184 | 166 | 129 | 141 | 132 | 264 | 314 |
| March．．．． | 200 | 185 | 161 | 136 | 142 | 147 | 261 | 309 |
| April． | 195 | 197 | 162 | 136 | 142 | 156 | 248 | 290 |
| May． | 195 | 200 | 162 | 140 | 143 | 148 | 257 | 268 |
| June． | 194 | 214 | 160 | 140 | 144 | 150 | 250 | 273 |
| July． | 186 | 226 | 168 | 141 | 148 | 148 | 243 | 277 |
| August | 182 | 229 | 190 | 135 | 150 | 145 | 249 | 277 |
| September | 182 | 225 | 200 | 138 | 149 | 152 | 259 | 263 |
| October． | 186 | 243 | 236 | 141 | 152 | 154 | 271 | 272 |
| November． | 184 | 254 | 238 | 142 | 151 | 132 | 278 | 267 |
| December． | 186 | 259 | 224 | 142 | 156 | 132 | 281 | 266 |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January．．． | 189 | ${ }_{2} 73$ | 227 | 143 | 156 | 147 | 282 | 268 |
| February． | 192 | 283 | 226 | 149 | 161 | 149 | 287 | 272 |
| March．．．． | 205 | 281 | 226 | 162 | 160 | 126 | 298 | 280 |
| April． | 205 | 277 | 234 | 169 | 192 | 160 | 298 | 280 |
| May | 214 | 265 | 252 | 177 | 197 | 170 | 307 | 297 |
| June． | 214 | 260 | 261 | 187 | 195 | 208 | 307 | 297 |

Group index numbers－Sweden，Svensk Handelstidning． $\{1913=100$.

| Date． | Vegetable food. |  |  | 然 | 等 |  |  | Hides and leather． | 安 | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1913－14． | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100. | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 1914 | 136 | 101 | 114 | 123 | 109 | 104 |  | 118 | 103 | 111 |
| 1915. | 151 | 140 | 161 | 177 | 166 | 118 | 116 | 158 | 116 | 120 |
| 1916. | 152 | 182 | 180 | 266 | 272 | 165 | 233 | 229 | 166 | 149 |
| 1917. | 181 | 205 | 198 | 551 | 405 | 215 | 267. | 206 | 247 | 212 |
| 1918. | 221 | 419 | 304 | 856 | 398 | 275 | 300 | 195 |  |  |
| Janu | 276 | 483 | 356 | 810 | 373 | 293 | 323 | 208 |  |  |
| February | 276 | 448 | 356 | 784 | 341 | 293 | 323. | 208 |  |  |
| Murch． | 276 | 438 | 356 | 814 | 317 | 288 | 323 | 174 |  |  |
| April． | 276 | 423 | 367 | 769 | 287 | 288 | 323 | 172 |  |  |
| May． | 276 | 417 | 364 | 733 | 246 | 285 | 299 | 172 |  |  |
| June． | 276 | 424 | 328 | 746 | 212 | 284 | 286 | 162 |  |  |
| July． | 260 | 412 | 334 | 732 | 213 | 284 | 285 | 243 |  |  |
| August． | 260 | 396 | 334 | 795 | 214 | 280 | 292. | 247 |  |  |
| Se tember | 555 | 386 | 323 | 893 | 213 | 282 | 289 | 235 |  |  |
| October． | 230 | 360 | 323 | 893 | 213 | 281 | 292 | 223 | 308 | 170 |
| Norembe | 230 | 361 | 317 | 840 | 225 | 280 | 316 | 228 | 328 | 204 |
| December | 241 | 362 | 319 | 840 | 237 | 294 | 343 | 258 | 350 | 204 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1920 . \\ \text { January... } \end{array}$ | 248 | 328 | 317 | 864 | 248 | 295 | 388 | 258. | 353 | 204 |
| February | 273 | 305 | 319 | 936 | 259 | 371 | 476. | 269 | 380 | 226 |
| March． | 270 | 304 | 318 | 960 | 291 | 367 | 682 | 268 | 390 | 275 |
| April． | 265 | 284 | 320 | 1，008 | 283 | 367 | 767 | 263 | 368 | 275 |
| May． | 269 | 283 | 320 | 1，069 | 324 | 367 | 783 | 252 | 374 | 275 |
| June． | 250 | 273 | 311 | 1，252 | 318 | 381 | 778 | 212 | 368 | 303 |

Group index numbers－Canadian Department of Labor．${ }^{1}$


| Group index numbers－Canadian Department of Labor－Con． ［1913 $=100$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date． | Hides， leather， etc． | Metals． | Imple－ ments． | Build－ <br> ing ma－ terials， lumber． | Fuel and light－ ing． | Drugs and chemi－ cals． |
| 1913. | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 1914. | 105 | 96 | 101 | 100 | 94 | 106 |
| 1915. | 110 | 128 | 106 | 97 | 92 | 160 |
| 1916. | 143 | 167 | 128 | 100 | 113 | 222 |
| 1917. | 168 | 217 | 174 | 118 | 163 | 236 |
| 1918．．． | 169 | 229 | 213 | 147 | 188 | 250 |
| 1919. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | 171 | 204 | 229 | 154 | 209 | 240 |
| February．．．．．．．．．．．． | 161 | 190 | 229 | 155 | 202 | 233 |
| March．．． | 161 | 172 | 229 | 156 | 199 | 212 |
| April． | 166 | 162 | 223 | 153 | 206 | 210 |
| May． | 202 | 162 | 223 | 153 | 192 | 208 |
| June． | 211 | 161 | 226 | 158 | 194 | 197 |
| July． | 235 | 166 | 226 | 168 | 194 | 195 |
| August． | 260 | 171 | 228 | 170 | 199 | 196 |
| September | 256 | 171 | 231 | 183 | 200 | 197 |
| October． | 252 | 165 | 225 | 188 | 201 | 198 |
| November | 252 | 171 | 232 | 194 | 201 | 181 |
| December． | 231 | 181 | 232 | 224 | 209 | 189 |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | 237 | 191 | 235 | 232 | 212 | 190 |
| February ．．．．．．．．．．． | 245 222 | 199 | 231 | 268 | 215 215 | 189 |
| April． | 239 | 214 | 237 | 268 | 245 | 201 |
| May．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | 215 | 213 | 237 | 295 | 257 | 203 |
| June．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | 186 | 207 | 238 | 295 | 279 | 206 |

Group index numbers－Onited States，Bureau of Labor Statistics．
［1913＝100．］

| Date． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1913. | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 1914 | 103 | 102 | 98 | 96 | 88 | 98 | 101 | 99 | 98 |
| 1915. | 106 | 105 | 99 | 92 | 94 | 94 | 109 | 99 | 99 |
| 1916 | 119 | 124 | 123 | 114 | 142 | 100 | 157 | 115 | 117 |
| 1917. | 189 | 178 | 181 | 175 | 208 | 124 | 198 | 145 | 153 |
| 1918. | 219 | 191 | 240 | 163 | 181 | 152 | 221 | 195 | 192 |
| January 1919. | 222 | 209 | 234 | 170 | 172 | 161 | 191 | 218 | 212 |
| February | 218 | 197 | 223 | 169 | 168 | 163 | 185 | 218 | 208 |
| March． | 228 | 205 | 216 | 168 | 162 | 165 | 183 | 218 | 217 |
| April． | 235 | 212 | 217 | 167 | 152 | 162 | 178 | 217 | ${ }^{216}$ |
| May． | 240 | 216 | ${ }^{227}$ | 167 | 152 | 164 | 179 | 217 | ${ }_{21}^{213}$ |
| June． | 231 | 206 | 258 | 170 | 154 | 175 | 174 | 233 | 212 |
| July． | 246 | 218 | 282 | 171 | 158 | 186 | 171 | 245 | 221 |
| August． | 243 | 228 | 303 | 175 | 161 | 209 | 172 | 259 | 225 |
| September． | 226 | 212 | 306 | 181 | 160 | 229 | 173 | 262 | 217 |
| October． | 230 | 211 | 313 | 181 | 161 | 231 | 174 | 264 | 220 |
| November． | 240 | 219 | 325 | 179 | 164 | 236 | 176 | 299 | 220 |
| December | 244 | 234 | 335 | 181 | 169 | 253 | 179 | 303 | 220 |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January． | 236 | 253 244 | 350 356 | 184 187 | 177 | 268 | 189 197 | 329 | 227 |
| February | 239 | $\stackrel{246}{24}$ | ${ }_{35}^{356}$ | 192 | 192 | 325 | 205 | 329 | ${ }_{230}^{227}$ |
| April． | 246 | 270 | 353 | 213 | 195 | 341 | 212 | 331 | 238 |
| May． | 244 | 287 | 347 | 235 | 193 | 341 | 215 | 339 | 246 |
| June．．．． | 243 | 279 | 335 | 246 | 190 | 337 | 218 | 362 | 247 |

Group index numbers－Calcutta，India，Department of Statistics．
［End of July，1914 $=100$ ．］

| Date． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| End of July，1914．．． | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| August，1918．．． |  |  | 317 | 83 |  | 240 | 328 | 0 |
| September， 1918 |  |  | 314 | 75 |  | 217 | 331 | 7 |
| August 1919. |  |  | 224 | 193 |  | 179 | 225 | 17 |
| September， 1919. |  |  | 214 | 156 |  | 215 | 199 | 215 |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January．． | 118 | 225 | 226 | 253 | 356 | 214 | 181 | 153 |
| February | 118 | 217 | 215 | 233 | 364 | 185 | 164 | 158 |
| March | 127 | 218 | 222 | 211 | 351 | 179 | 150 | 159 |
| April | 114 | 201 | 219 | 209 | 357 | 158 | 170 | 161 |
| May． | 128 | 215 | 248 | 160 | 365 | 135 | 142 | 164 |
| June．． | 131 | 233 | 244 | 116 | 364 | 144 | 147 | 164 |
| Date． | 曾 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 离 } \\ & \text { 邑 } \\ & \text { 邑 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{y} \\ & \text { む } \\ & \ddot{0} \\ & \ddot{0} \end{aligned}$ | ه் |  |  |  | $0$ |
| End of July，1914．．． | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| August，1918．．．．．． |  | 89 | 96 |  | 95 | 179 |  | 119 |
| September， 1918. |  | 105 | 116 |  | 93 | 196 |  | 134 |
| August，1919．．． |  | 143 | 235 |  | 106 | 271 |  | 179 |
| September， 1919. |  | 131 | 188 | $\cdots$ | 109 | 292 |  | 177 |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January．．． | 159 | 125 | 200 | 96 | 377 | 207 | 167 | 204 |
| February | 155 | 123 | 190 | 92 | 363 | 191 | 158 | 199 |
| March． | 135 | 118 | 166 | 87 | 321 | 160 | 151 | 192 |
| April | 116 | 119 | 163 | 90 | 377 | 159 | 156 | 185 |
| May． | 123 | 120 | 169 | 90 | 511 | 150 | 157 | ${ }^{183}$ |
| June．． | 119 | 83 | 171 | 73 | 482 | 149 | 156 | 180 |

1 Includes pulses．

## INTERNATIONAL PRICE INDEX．

In the following table are presented whole－ sale price indexes for groups of commodities in United States markets，computed according to methods described in the May Bulletin． Similar indexes are under way for England， France，Italy，and Japan．

Index numbers－United States．


| Index numbers-United States-Continued. <br> [A verage prices $1913=100$. ] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date. | Goods produced, 72 quo-tations | Im-ported, 18 quo-tations. | Ex-ported, 39 quo-tations. | Consumed, 86 quotations. | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Raw } \\ \text { mate- } \\ \text { rials, } \\ 39 \\ \text { quo- } \\ \text { ta- } \\ \text { tions. } \end{gathered}\right.$ | Producers' goods, 31 quotations. | Consumers' goods, 20 quotations. |  |
| $1913 .$ <br> August.. | 100 | 101 | 99 | 102 | 101 | 100 | 101 | 101 |
| September. | 101 | 100 | 102 | 101 | 102 | 98 | 101 | 101 |
| October.... | 101 | 98 | 103 | 99 | 102 | 96 | 101 | 100 |
| November.. | 99 | 98 | 102 | 98 | 100 | 95 | 101 | 99 |
| December. - | 98 | 93 | 99 | 96 | 98 | 91 | 99 | 97 |
| $1919 .$ <br> January | 197 | 168 | 200 | 195 | 195 | 193 | 196 | 195 |
| February... | 191 | 168 | 192 | 190 | 190 | 191 | 188 | 189 |
| March...... | 193 | 163 | 194 | 191 | 196 | 185 | 188 | 191 |
| April....... | 198 | 165 | 194 | 196 | 201 | 181 | 197 | 196 |
| May......... | 204 | 172 | 211 | 201 | 209 | 185 | 202 | 202 |
| June........ | 204 | 180 | 214 | 202 | 208 | 192 | 202 | 203 |
| July......... | 214 | 176 | 224 | 211 | 217 | 200 | 211 | 212 |
| August..... | 221 | 174 | 219 | 218 | 217 | 206 | 224 | 218 |
| September | 215 | 170 | 212 | 212 | 211 | 203 | 216 | 212 |
| October.... | 215 | 174 | 226 | 212 | 213 | 207 | 214 | 212 |
| November. | 222 | 179 | 242 | 217 | 220 | 213 | 219 | 219 |
| December.. | 225 | 203 | 243 | 225 | 223 | 223 | 225 | 224 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1920 . \\ \text { January. } \end{array}$ | 244 | 212 | 254 | 241 | 245 | 236 | 242 |  |
| February... | 244 | 216 | 250 | 242 | 243 | 247 | 240 | 243 |
| March...... | 250 | 218 | 256 | 247 | 246 | 263 | 241 | 248 |
| April....... | 265 | 242 | 204 | 263 | 263 | 274 | 257 | 263 |
| May........ | 266 | 246 | 262 | 264 | 263 | 274 | 261 | 264 |
| June........ | 261 | 226 | 256 | 258 | 258 | 265 | 256 | 258 |

Declining prices had become general in June, all groups showing a decrease from the prices of May. In one group only, however, was the decline considerable. Import prices fell from an average of 246 in May (expressed in terms of 1913 prices $=100$ ) to 226 in June. This was the first break in the prices of this group of commodities, as a whote, since September, 1919. Silk and wool had been declining for several months but the adrance in sugar had been so excessive that it wiped out
the effects of the decline in other commodities on the average for the group. In June, sugar declined in accord with other leading commodities in the group.
Prices of exports have shown no consistent trend during the past 6 months. They declined in February, advanced again in March and April, and have been gradually falling since then but are still above the January level. The decrease in this group as in domestic goods as a whole during the month of June was slight, and prices remain 256 per cent of prices in 1913.
Producers' goods, which were impervious to the influence of the price decline in raw materials and consumers' goods in February, fell more considerably in June than the other two groups. The advance in prices of this group of goods was continuous and very rapid from September, 1919, to May, 1920, the index number standing at 274 in May as compared with 203 in September. At the beginning of the year consumers' goods were relatively higher than producers' ; but in May the former number stood at 261 as compared with 274 for producers' goods and 263 for raw materials. The comparative stability of the raw-material index is due to the importance of cereals and live stock in the group. These commodities have been considerably less affected by recent disturbances than industrial goods.

All commodities have declined 6 points during the month, the most considerable decrease since February, 1919. Even with the decline, the number stands at a much higher level than in March, 1920, and 36 per cent higher than in February, 1919. It registers 258 , or 11 points lower than the Bureau of Labor Statistics index number.

## DISCOUNT AND INTEREST RATES.

In the following table are presented actual discount and interest rates prevailing during the 30 -day period ending July 15, 1920, in the various cities in which the several Federal Reserve Banks and their branches are located. A complete description of the several types of paper for which quotations are given will be found in the September, 1918, and October, will be added from time to time as deemed of interest.
Will be added from time to time as deemed of interest.
Discount and interest rates prevailing in various centers during 30-day period ending July 15, 1920.

| District. | City. | Prime commercial paper. |  |  |  | Interbankloans. | Bankers' acceptances, 60 to 90 days. |  | Collateral loans-stock exchange or other current. |  |  | Cattle loans. | Secured by warchouse receipts, etc. | Ordinary loans to customers secured by bonds and certificates edness. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Customers'. |  | Open market. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $30 \text { to } 90$ days. | $\stackrel{4 \text { to } 6}{ }$ | $\begin{gathered} 30 \text { to } 90 \\ \text { days. } \end{gathered}$ | 4 to 6 months |  | Indorsed. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Un- } \\ & \text { indorsed. } \end{aligned}$ | Demand. | 3 months. | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \text { to } 6 \\ & \text { months. } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
|  | Boston. <br> New Yorki.. <br> Buftol | $\left.\begin{array}{lll}  & H & L \end{array}\right) C .$ | $\begin{array}{lll} H . & L . & C . \\ 8 & 64 & 7 \\ 8 & 6 & 6-7 \\ 7 & 6 & 6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} H & L & C . \\ 8 & L \frac{3}{4} & 8 \\ 8 & 6 & \div \\ 7 & 6 & 7 \\ 8 & 8 & 8 \end{array}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{ccc} H & L & C . \\ 8 \frac{1}{4} & 7 & 8 \\ 8 & 6 & 8 \\ 7 & 6 & 7 \\ 8 & 8 & 8 \end{array}\right\|$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{ccc} H & L & L . \\ 9 & C . \\ 15 & 8 & 8 \\ 15 & 4 & 6 \\ 7 & 6 \\ 6 & 6 & 6 \\ 6 & 6 & 6 \\ 7 & 6 & 7 \\ 8 & 6 & 6 \\ 6 & 7 & 7 \\ 6 & 6 & 6 \\ 6 & 6 & 6 \\ 8 & 6 & 7 \\ 8 & 6 & 7 \\ 88 & 6 & 7 \\ 8 & 6 & 7-8 \end{array}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{lll} H . & L & C \\ 8 & 71 & C \\ 7 & 6 & 7 \frac{1}{2} \\ 7 & 6 & 6 \\ 7 & 6 & \cdots \\ 7 & 6 & 6 \\ 6 & 6 & 7 \\ 6 & 6 & 6 \\ 7 \frac{1}{6} & 7 & 7 \\ 6 & 6 & 6 \\ 6 & 6 & 6 \\ 8 & 6 & 7 \\ 8 & 6 & 7 \\ 8 \frac{1}{2} & 6 & 7 \\ 8 & 6 & 7-8 \\ 8 & 6 & 7 \\ 7 & 6 \frac{1}{2} & 7 \\ 7 & 62 & 7 \\ 7 & 6 \frac{1}{2} & 7 \\ 6 & 6 & 6 \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{\|lll} \text { H. } & L . & C . \\ 8 & 7 \frac{1}{2} & 8 \\ 7 & 6 & 6 \\ 7 & 6 & 6 \\ 6 & 6 & \boxed{6} \\ 7 & 6 & 7 \\ 6 & 6 & 6 \\ 7 & 6 & 6 \\ 6 & 7 & 7 \\ 6 & 6 & 6 \\ 8 & 6 & 6 \\ 8 & 6 & 7 \\ 8 \frac{1}{2} & 6 & 7 \\ 8 & 6 & 7 \\ 8 & 6 & 7 \\ 7 & 6 \frac{1}{2} & 7 \\ 7 & 6 a & 7 \\ 7 & 6 i & 7 \\ 6 & 6 & 6 \end{array}$ | H. L. C. | H. L. C. |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. } 3 . . . . \\ & \text { No. 4.... } \end{aligned}$ | Buffalo. <br> Philadelphia. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cleveland....Pittsburgh... |  | $\begin{array}{lll}7 & 6 & 7 \\ 6 & 6 & 6\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  | $6^{6 \frac{1}{3}} 6{ }^{\text {62 }}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 7 6 6 |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{ll}6 & 6 \\ 6 & 6 \frac{1}{2}\end{array}$ | (1) | (1) ${ }^{8}$ |  | $\begin{array}{llll}6 \frac{1}{2} & 61 & 6 \frac{3}{2} \\ 6 \frac{1}{2} & 6 & 6 \frac{1}{2}\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{llll}6 & 6 & \\ 6 & 6 \\ 6 \frac{1}{2} & 6\end{array}$ |
|  | Cincinnati.... <br> Richmond... |  | $\begin{array}{lll}6 & 6 & 6 \\ 6\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $6^{6} 6^{2}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}6{ }^{2} & 6 & 6\end{array}$ |
|  | Raltimore..... |  | 6 6 6 <br> 8 6 7 <br> 8   | -.......... |  |  | (1) $\begin{array}{lll}6 & 6 & 6 \\ 8 & 6 & 7\end{array}$ | $\cdots{ }_{8} \ldots \ldots \ldots$ |  |  |  |  | 6 6 6 <br> 8 6 7 <br> 8  7 | 6 6 6 <br> 8 5  <br> 8 7  |
| No.6.... |  |  | $\begin{array}{lll}8 & 6 & 7 \\ 8 & 6 & 7\end{array}$ | 888 | 888 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{llll}8 & 6 & 7 \\ 8 & 6 & 7 \\ 8 & 7 & 7\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}8 \\ 8 & 63 \\ 8 & 6 & 6-7\end{array}$ |
|  | Birmingham... Jacksonville... New Orleans.. |  | $\begin{array}{cccc}8 & 6 & 8 \\ 8 & 6 & 7-8\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}8 & 6 \\ 8 & 8 \\ 8\end{array}$ | 8 6 8 <br> 8 8 8 |  | 7 6 7 <br> 8 6 63 <br> 1   | $\begin{array}{lll}7 & 6 & 7 \\ 8 & 6 & 7\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{lll}8 & 7 & 7 \\ 8 & 6 & 7+8\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ccc}88 & 6 & 7 \\ 8 & 6 & 6-7\end{array}$ |
|  | New Orieans.. |  | 8 6 7 <br> 8 7  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 6 <br> 8 6 <br> 1 7 | $\begin{array}{lll}8 & 6 & 6-7 \\ 7 & 6 & 6\end{array}$ |
| No. 7.... | Chicazo....... |  | 7 61 7 <br> 7 61  | (1) | $\begin{array}{llll}7 & 7 & 7 \\ 8 & 73\end{array}$ |  |  | 6妾 516 | $\begin{array}{llll}7 & 61 & 7 \\ 7 & 62 \\ 7\end{array}$ |  |  |  | 7 | $7{ }_{7}^{76}$ |
| No.8.... | Detroit........ |  | 7 61 <br> 6  <br> 6  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{lll}72 \\ 7 & 6 \frac{2}{2} & 7\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Lotuisville..... Memphis ${ }^{2}$ |  | 66 | 888 | 888 |  | 66 | 61.64 | 66 |  |  |  | $6 \quad 6$ | $6{ }^{6}$ |
|  | Memphis ${ }^{2}$..... <br> Little Rock. <br> Minneapolis. |  | - ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ 7....7 |  |  |  | ……...... |  |  | $\because 8$ 7 7 <br> 8 8 8 <br> 8 6 7 <br> $8 \frac{1}{2}$ 7 8 <br> 8 6 7 <br> 7 7 7 <br> 9 8 8 <br> 8 7 7 <br> 7 6 $6 \frac{1}{2}$ <br> 8 6 7 <br> 8 6 7 <br> 8 6 8 <br> 8 7 8 <br> 8 6 7 | $\dddot{2}$ $\cdots$ $\cdots$ <br> 8 8 8 <br> 8 6 7 <br> 8 7 7 <br> 8 7 8 <br> 7 7 7 <br> 10 8 7 <br>   8 | $\begin{array}{llll} 8 & 6 & 7-8 \\ 10 & 8 & 8 \frac{1}{8} \\ 91 & 6 & 7 \\ 8 & 6 \frac{1}{2} & 7 \\ 10 & 6 & 8 \end{array}$ |  |    <br> 8 7 7 <br> 7 7 7 <br> 8 6 7 <br> 8 7 8 <br> 8 6 7 <br> 8 6 $6 \frac{3}{2}$ <br> 10 7 8 <br> 8 6 $6 \frac{1}{2}$ <br> 61 6 $6 \frac{1}{2}$ <br> 8 6 7 <br> 8 6 7 <br> 8 6 7 <br> 8 7 8 <br> 8 6 7 |
| No.9.... |  | $\begin{array}{llll}7 & 7 & 7\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}7 \frac{7}{7} & 7 & 7^{2} \\ 8 & 6 & 7\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Minneapolis... Kansas City... | 886 |  |  |  |  | ……....... | 63 63 $6 \frac{1}{8}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. 11... | Denver........ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | El Paso....... |  | $\begin{array}{lll}10 & 6 & 8 \\ 8 & 6 & 7\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7......... |  |
| No. 12... | San Francisco.Portland......Seatlie.....Spokane.Salt Lake ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (Los Angeles... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{cc}63 & 55^{3} \\ 7 & 6\end{array}$ |  |  |  | $6 \frac{1}{} 7$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{llll}8 & 7 & 8 \\ 8 & \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{ll}8 & 6 \\ & 7\end{array}$ | 777 |  |  | $\begin{array}{llll}7 & 7 & 7\end{array}$ | $7{ }^{7}$ 6t 64 |  |  | $\begin{array}{lll}7 & 6 & 7\end{array}$ |  |  |  |

[^5]increases, in particular for the low and custom ther types nore frequint paper which are shown more frequent than are the decreases shown for the same types of paper in other cities. In many centers rates remain unchanged. Present rates of 1919.

## PHYSICAL VOLUME OF TRADE.

In continuation of tables in the July Federal Reserve Bulletin there are presented in the following tables certain data relative to the physical volume of trade. The January, 1919, issue contains a description of the methods employed in the compilation of the data and the construction of the accompanying index numbers. Additional material will be presented from time to time as reliable figures are obtained.

In the textile group there continues some indication of decline in activity. Wool consumption for June, 1920, was materially below that for May, 1920, and for June, 1919, and the percentage of wool machinery idle is considerably larger in June, 1920, as compared with the previous month ago or a year ago. In July, the percentage of wool machinery idle increased. Consumption of cotton, however, in June, 1920, is appreciably greater than that in May, 1920, and very much larger than in June, 1919. Cotton spindles active during the month are also more numerous in June, 1920, than in May, 1920, or June 1919. Imports of raw silk in June, 1920, were considerably in excess of such imports for May, 1920 , but less than for June, 1919.

The production of bituminous coal shows considerable increase both as compared with May, 1920, and June, 1919, while the production of crude petroleum likewise shows an increase as compared both with that of a month ago
and the same period last year. In the iron and steel industry the production of pig iron and steel ingots both show some increase in June, 1920, as compared with the previous month and a considerable increase when compared with June, 1919. The unfilled orders of the United States Steel Corporation at the close of June 1920, are approximately the same as at the close of May, 1920, but very much greater than at close of June, 1919. In comparing these figures relative to the iron and steel industries of June of this year with those of June of last year, it should be remembered that at that time the industry was in the midst of the postarmistice depression.
The receipts of lumber at Chicago show a large increase in June, 1920, when compared with May of this year and June of the previous year. California shipments of citrus fruits show a considerable decrease in June, 1920, when compared with May, 1920, and a moderate decrease when compared with June, 1919. Receipts of live stock at 15 western markets show some decrease in June, 1920, when compared with May, 1920, and with June, 1919. The receipts of grain and flour at 17 interior centers for June, 1920, show a marked increase when compared with May, 1920, and some increase when compared with June, 1919.
The tonnage of vessels cleared was considerably greater in June, 1920, than in May, 1920, or June, 1919.

Live-stock movements.
[Bureau of Markets.]

|  | Receipts. |  |  |  |  | Shipments. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cattle and calves, 60 markets. | Hogs, 60 markets. | Sheep, 60 markets. | Horses and mules, 44 markets. | Total, all kinds. | Cattle and calves, 54 markets. | Hogs, 54 markets. | Sheep, 54 markets. | Horses and mules, 44 markets. | Total, all kinds |
| June........ | Head. <br> 1,580,256 | Head. <br> 3,812, 466 | Head. $\mathbf{1 , 6 8 5 , 2 3 6}$ | Head. $41,839$ | Head. <br> 7, 119, 797 | Head. 618,105 | Head. <br> 1,146,594 | Head. 734,861 | HLad. 38,787 | $\xrightarrow{\text { Hfad. }}$ |
| July.. | 2,007, 266 | 2,998,836 | 2,177,942 | 48,691 | 7, 232, 735 | 706, 843 | 1,963,662 | 997,338 | 43,738 | 2,711,581 |
| August. | 2,019,139 | 2,103,609 | 3,211, 331 | 81,917 | 7,415,996 | 894, 816 | 690, 821 | 2,014,267 | 74,268 | 3,674.172 |
| September | 2,377,054 | 2,401,677 | 3,810, 441 | 140,848 | 8,730,020 | 1, 150, 183 | 860, 614 | 2,466, 937 | 135, 724 | 4,613,458 |
| October. | 2, 988, 090 | 3,141, 831 | 3,605,198 | 124,497 | 0,863,616 | 1, 532, 297 | 1,103, 837 | 2,159,531 | 125, 701 | 4,921,366 |
| November | 2,680,042 | 3,775,589 | 2,751,421 | 140, 192 | 9,347, 244 | 1,374,452 | 1,308, 095 | 1,597,007 | 134,679 | 4,414,233 |
| December. | 2, 169,631 | 5,024,650 | 2,393,632 | 86,666 | 9,674,579 | 967, 10 0 | 1,608, 292 | 1,183,602 | 86,534 | 3,845,588 |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January. | 1,868,723 | 5, 275,412 | 1,560, 051 | 138,541 | 8,842,727 | 752,605 | 1,665, 274 | 669, 458 | 138,145 | 3,225,482 |
| February | 1, 468, 370 | 2,423, 992 | 1,387, 111 | 108, 056 | 6,387,529 | 591, 691 | 1, 287, 169 | 5772,634 | 110,827 | 2, 562,321 |
| Maprii.. | 1, $1,842,150$ | - | 1, $1,412,072$ | 82,584 <br> 48,036 | 7,104, 392 | 5770,323 593,362 | 1,399,485 | 483,550 724,718 | 87,896 47,998 | 2, 2 , 4851,283 |
| May. | 1,766,394 | 4,234,022 | 1,421,009 | 40,901 | 7,462,326 | 77i, 865 | 1,374,902 | 769,718 | 40,021 | 2,956,506 |
| June. | 1,870,121 | 3,741, 202 | 1,592,450 | 33,205 | 7,236,978 | 789,982 | 1,295,973 | 768, 172 | 34,545 | 2,888,672 |

Receipts and shipments of live stock at 15 western markets.
JChicago, Kansas City, Oklahoma City, Omaha, St. Louls, St. Joseph, St. Paul, Sioux City, Cincinnati, Cleveland, Denver, Fort Worth, Indianapolis, Louisville, Wichita.]

RECEIPTS.
[Monthly average, 1911-1913=100.]

|  | Cattle and calves. |  | Hogs. |  | Sheep. |  | Horses and mules. |  | Total, all kinds. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Head. | Relative. | Head. | Relative. | Head. | Relative. | Head. | Relative. | Head. | Relative. |
| June............. | 1,122,782 |  | 3,061, 838 | 139 | 1,116,003 | 82 |  |  |  |  |
| July.... | 1,527, 881 | 152 | 3, ${ }^{\text {, }}$, 111,539 | 110 | 1,558,767 | 114 | -37,646 | 82 | 5, 5355.833 | 1120 |
| August | 1,541, 133 | 153 | 1,595,759 | 73 | 2,220, 229 | 162 | 56,926 | 124 | 5,414,047 | 117 |
| September. | 1,871,042 | 186 | 1,704, 944 | 78 | 2,830, 831 | 212 | 88,003 | 191 | 6,554,820 | 142 |
| Octoker.. | 2,317,487 | 230 | 2,160,079 | 98 | 2,405, 511 | 176 | 78,940 | 171 | 6,962,017 | 151 |
| Novemter. |  |  | $\underset{3,785,870}{ }$ | 172 | $1,743,189$ 1,589 | 1128 | 83,838 53,173 | 182 |  | 143 153 |
| December. | 1,650,315 | 164 | 3,785,870 | 172 | 1,589, 237 | 116 | 53,173 | 116 | 7,078,595 | 153 |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January... | $1,400,031$ $1,068,092$ | 139 114 | $3,912,449$ <br> $2,440,154$ | 178 119 | $\begin{array}{r}1,035,591 \\ \hline 948,116\end{array}$ | 76 74 | 90,662 76,048 | 197 | 6,438, 733 $4,532,410$ | 139 105 |
| March.... | 1,203,499 | 119 | 2,910, 909 | 132 | 900, 299 | 66 | 57, 850 | 126 | 5,072, 587 | 110 |
| Aprit... | 1,040,903 | 103 | 2,150, 281 | 988 | 928, 191 | ${ }_{58}^{68}$ | 31, 235 | 68 | 4, 150, 610 | 90 |
| May... | $1,209,656$ $1,290,265$ | 120 | 3,128, ${ }_{2,746} \mathbf{2 4 9}$ | 152 | 796,160 $1,006,528$ | 58 74 | 25,469 21,316 | ${ }_{46}^{55}$ | $5,159,534$ $5,064,499$ | 112 |
| June... | 1,290,265 | 128 | 2,746, 390 | 125 | 1,006,528 | 74 | 21,316 | 46 | 5,064, 499 | 110 |

SHIPMENTS.

| June.............. | 503, 354 | 124 | 1,005,505 | 203 | 465,776 | 93 | 24,962 | 61 | 1,999,597 | 139 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| July. | 515,071 | 127 | 691,283 | 143 | 694,942 | 138 | 32,616 | 80 | 1,933, 912 | 135 |
| August | 650,252 | 160 | 455,705 | 94 | 1,352, 252 | 269 | 49,716 | 122 | 2,507, 925 | 175 |
| September | 872,043 | 214 | 501,856 | 104 | 1,849,958 | 357 | 82, 884 | 203 | 3,306, 841 | 230 |
| October... | 1,154, 995 | 284 | 654,755 | 135 | 1, 382,419 | 275 | 80, 528 | 197 | 3,272, 697 | 228 |
| November. | 993,148 | 244 | 788, 107 | 163 | 945,992 | 188 | 78, 709 | 192 | 2, 005,956 | 195 |
| December. | 686, 325 | 169 | 1,003,682 | 207 | 682, 439 | 136 | 55,551 | 136 | 2,427,997 | 169 |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January. | 548,841 | 135 | 1,026,763 | 212 | 403,382 | 80 | 90, 630 | 221 | 2,069, 616 | 144 |
| February | 427,608 | 113 | 814,253 | 180 | 334,012 | 71 | 79, 100 | 207 | 1,654, 973 | 124 |
| March. | 418, 310 | 103 | 923,526 | 191 | 299, 878 | 59 | 62,625 | 153 | 1,703, 339 | 119 |
| April. | 414,967 | 102 | 712,087 | 147 | 373, 381 | 74 | 31,348 | 76 | 1,531, 783 | 107 |
| May. | 515,062 | 127 | 822,907 | 170 | 316,002 | 63 | 24,617 | 60 | 1,678, 588 | 117 |
| June. | 528,273 | 130 | 797,946 | 165 | 399,613 | 79 | 22,623 | 55 | 1,748,455 | 122 |

Exports of certain meat products.
[Department of Commerce.]
[Monthly average, 1911-1913=100.]

|  | Beef, canned. |  | Beef, fresh. |  | Beef, pickled, and other cured. |  | Bacon. |  | Hams and shoulders, cured. |  | Lard. |  | Pickled pork. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pounds. | Rela tive. | Pounds. | Relative. | Pounds. | Relative. | Pounds. | Relative. | Pounds. | Relative. | Pounds. | Relative. | Pounds. | Rela tive. |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1919 . \\ \text { June..... } \end{array}$ | 6,574,766 | 992 | 15,212,094 | 1,226 | 4,768, 308 | 178 | 172,441,100 | 1,030 | 96, 854, 552 | 649 | 114, 328, 804 | 260 | 3,131,639 | 71 |
| July.... | 5,392, 104 | 814 | 8,680, 524 | ${ }^{7} 700$ | 3,320, 564 | 124 | 117,679,193 | 703 | 47, 452, 834 | 318 | 68, 163,734 | 155 | 2, 392,515 | 54 |
| August | 2, 894, 361 | 437 | 8, 075,366 | 651 | 2,494,113 | 93 | 84, 150,778 | 502 | 40, 147, 727 | 269 | 48,968,628 | 111 | 2,117, 796 | 48 |
| September | 1, 213,709 | ${ }_{271} 18$ |  | $\stackrel{587}{58}$ | $\underset{3,523,887}{3,402,422}$ | 132 | 57, 179, 511 | 341 337 | $18,209,239$ <br> 13.090 <br> 12 | ${ }^{122}$ | -31,960, 364 | ${ }_{83}^{84}$ | 2,792,439 | 63 86 |
| October...... | 1, $1,733,238$ | 210 | 151, 694,002 | 1,265 | 3,997, 552 | 112 | - $65,488,288,694$ | 337 390 | 16,844,285 | 113 | 42,106, 339 | ${ }_{96}^{93}$ | 4, $4,934,696$ | 86 111 |
| December... | 1,886,835 | 285 | 6,061,769 | 489 | 3,135, 069 | 117 | 58,982, 754 | 352 | 15,688, 297 | 105 | 63,645, 722 | 145 | 4, 125,550 | 93 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1920 . \\ \text { January. } \end{array}$ | 1,081,643 | 163 | 22,872, 223 |  | 1,670,500 | 63 | 77, 501,002 | 463 | 13,905,923 | 93 |  |  |  |  |
| February.... | ${ }^{735,132}$ | 119 | 13,010, 793 | 1,124 | 1,631, 457 | 65 | 75,891, 195 | 486 | 24,217, 706 | 174 | 36, 644,906 | 89 | 3,710,308 | 90 |
| March... | 847, 397 | 128 | 6,036, 166 |  | 2, 290,835 | 86 | 75, 002, 410 | 448 | 31,088, 859 | 208 | 69, 429,785 | 158 | 3, 160,456 | 71 |
| April.. | $1,606,737$ 5,976 b | 243 902 | $17,687,306$ $4,304,038$ | 1,426 | 2,241,460 | 114 | $24,356,349$ $50,412,388$ | 145 | $15,640,236$ $17,896,764$ | 1105 | -40,758, 401 | ${ }^{93} 12$ | ${ }_{3}^{2,784,535}$ | 63 86 |
| June. | 6,787,622 | 1,025 | 12,526,669 | 1,010 | 2,563, 702 | 95 | 60,730,935 | 363 | 21,277,089 | 143 | 45,069,517 | 102 | 3,982,649 | 90 |

Receipts of grain and flour at 17 interior centers.
[Chicago, Cleveland, Detroit, Duluth, Inđianapolis, Kansas City, Little Rock, Louisville, Memphis, Milwaukee, Minneapolis, Omaha, Peoria, St, Louis. Spokane, Toledo, Wichita; receipts of flour not available for Cleveland, Detroit, Indianapolis, Louisville, Omaha, Spokane,
Toledo, and Wichita.]
[Compiled from reports of trade organizations at these cities.]
[Monthly average, 1911-1913=100.]

|  | Wheat. |  | Corn. |  | Oats. |  | Rye. |  | Barley. |  | Total grain. |  | Flour. |  | Total grain and flour. ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bushels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Relative. | Barrels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Relative. |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1919 . \\ \text { June... } \end{array}$ | 8,125, 034 |  | 1,098, 146 | 94 24, 576, 968 |  | 122 [2, 791, 618 |  | 252 | 12,878,517 | 180 | 69,470,283 |  | 1,894,599 |  | 97 77, 995,979 |  |
| July... | 49, 612, 115 |  | 12,549, 219 | $5625,233,109$ |  | 125:3, 105, 486 |  | 281 8, 627, 091 |  |  | 99, 127, 020 | $1271,572,420$ |  | $80.106,202,910$ |  | 90 |
| August.... | 80, 714, 559 |  | 8,503,282 | $\begin{gathered} 38 \\ 7296,774,582 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | 14713, 824, 263 |  | $345[6,638,871$ |  |  | 129, 455, 557 | 166'2, 283, 145 |  | 117131, 738, 702 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 122 \\ & 152 \end{aligned}$ |
| September | 69, 953, 295 |  | 16, 267, 145 |  |  |  | 5, 446, 371 |  | 5, 294, 256 |  | 123, 682, 097 |  | 3, 073, 034 | 157 | 137, 510, 750 | $152$ |
| October... | 51, 006, 164 |  | 12, 490, 107 | 56.24, 323, 974 |  | 1204, 472, 397 |  | 404 4, 369, 326 |  | 61 | 96, 661, 968 | 124 3, 468, 787 |  | 177 | 112,271, 510 | 129 |
| November. | 35, 729, 832 |  | 14, 606, 137 | 65 | 17, 699, 925 |  | 2, 579, 579 |  | 3, 582, 873 | 50 | 74, 198, 346 |  | 3, 541,957 | 181 | $90,137,153$ |  |
| December. | 30, 582, 779 |  | 23, 983, 657 | 107 | 15, 592, 282 | 77 | 2, 876, 636 | 260 | 3,769,859 | 53 | 76, 805, 213 |  | 2,371, 262 | 121 | 87, 475, 892 | 101 |
| $\stackrel{1920}{ }$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 108 \mid 20,925,941 \\ & 124 \mid 20,575,654 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1002,298,692 \\ 97.2,059.421 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| February. | 18, 115, 324 |  | 26, 051, 855 |  |  | $\begin{array}{l\|l} 104 & 4,378,610 \\ 109 & 3,263,686 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{l\|l\|} 3396,298,544 \\ 316 & 2,470,622 \end{array}$ |  | 46 37 | $77,816,813$ $70,477,141$ |  |  |  |  | 117 | 79, 744, 536 | 102 99 |
| March..... | 18,007, 798 |  | 24, 306, 196 | 108 | 19, 149, 624 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0,54,700 \\ & \mathbf{3}, 94,739 \\ & \mathbf{2}, 914,553 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 321 \\ & 263 \\ & 26 \end{aligned}$ | 2, 928,440 | 41 | 67, 940,797 | $8771,617,544$ |  | 83 | 75, 219, 745 | 8756 |
| April...... | 15, 260,236 |  | 11,326, 509 |  | 12, 952, 593 |  |  |  | 2,245, 881 | 31 | 44, 6999,772 |  | $888,423$ | 45 | 48, 697, 676 |  |
| May....... | 20,510,063 |  | 12,107, 950 | 121 | 16, 724, 389 |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 3,758,507 \\ & 3,177,770\end{aligned}\right.$ | 340287 | 2, $2,720,076$ | 38 | $55,790,985$$\mathbf{6 8 , 4 3 0 , 9 9 6}$ |  | 1,913, 075 | 98 | 64,399, 823 | 74 |
| Jume..... | 21,020,640 |  | 27,251, 166 |  | 14,260,053 | 71 |  |  |  | 38 |  | 88, $2,113,979$ |  | 108 | 80,057, 876 | 92 |

${ }^{1}$ Flour reduced to its equivalent in wheat on basis of $4 \frac{1}{3}$ bushels to barrel.
Shipments of grain and flour at 14 interior centers.
[Chicago, Cleveland, Detroit, Duluth, Kansas City, Little Rock, Louisville, Milwaukee, Minneapolis, Omaha, Peoria, St. Louis, Toledo, Wichita; shipments of flour not available for Cleveland, Detroit, Louisville, Omaha, Toledo, and Wichita.]

|  | Wheat. |  | Corn. |  | Oats. |  | Rye. |  | Barley. |  | Total grain. |  | Flour. |  | Total grain and flour. 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bushels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Rela tive. | Bushels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Relative. | Barrels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Relative. |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1919 . \\ \text { June... } \end{array}$ | 8, 151,872 | 53 | 8,629,052 |  | 38,317 |  | 740, 593 |  | 588, 195 | 246 | 44, 748, 029 |  | 3, 130,826 | 92 | 58, 836, 746 | 91 |
| July. | 12, 423, 422 | 81 | 8, 102, 275 |  | 15, 628, 503 |  | 1,546, 100 |  | $9,133,004$ | 234 | 46,833, 304 |  | 2, 589, 176 | 76 | 58, 484, 596 | 90 |
| August .... | 36, 986, 491 | 240 | 5, 135, 459 |  | 17, 919, 623 |  | 1,436, 377 |  | 5, 028, 674 | 129 | 66, 506, 624 |  | 3, 805, 273 | 112 | 83, 630,353 | 129 |
| September | 37, 730, 048 | 245 | 6, 622, 779 |  | 16, 651, 580 |  | 2, 317, 740 |  | 2, 943, 167 | 75 | 66, 265, 314 |  | 4,787, 300 | 141 | 87, 808, 164 | 136 |
| October... | 25, 813,130 | 167 | 7, 116, 502 |  | 16, 705,015 |  | 1, 426, 528 |  | 3, 087, 951 | 79 | 54, 149, 126 |  | 5, 975, 261 | 176 | 81, 037, 801 | 125 |
| November. | 20, 877, 718 | 135 | 6, 609,629 |  | 15, 582, 081 |  | 3, 110, 541 |  | 2, 827, 956 | 73 | 49, 007, 925 |  | 5, 604, 616 | 165 | 74, 228, 697 | 115 |
| December. | 17, 383, 075 | 113 | 11, 509, 719 | 81 | 12, 433, 716 |  | 2, 255, 139 |  | 2, 624,376 | 67 | 46, 206, 025 |  | 4, 470, 122 | 132 | 66, 321, 574 | 103 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1920 . \\ \text { January } \end{array}$ | 17, 514,087 |  | 12,326,051 |  | 15, 822,099 |  | 3, 685, 914 |  | 2,007, 718 | 51 | 51, 355, 869 |  | 4, 140, 314 | 122 | 69, 987, 282 | 108 |
| February.. | 14, 114, 215 |  | $11,977,640$ | 91 | $13,073,089$ |  | 2, 113, 505 |  | 1, 306, 340 | 36 | 42, 584, 789 |  | 3, 156, 962 | 100 | 56, 791, 118 | 94 |
| March..... | $11,027,336$ |  | \|1, $165,894 \mid$ |  | 14, 243, 957 |  | 3, 062,530 |  | 1, 574, 887 | 40 | 41, 074, 604 |  | 2,960,175 | 87 | 54, 395, 392 | 84 |
| April...... | 11, 058, 643 |  | 5, 371, 811 | 38 | 8, 691, 440 |  | 8, 811,500 | 1,245 | 1, 651, 509 | 42 | 35,584, 903 |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 1,702 \\ 1,132 \end{array}\right\|$ | 50 | $43,244,497$ | 67 106 |
| May........ | 20, 720, 121 | 134 | 5, 939, 145 | 42 | 20, 444, 288 |  | 6, 977, 479 |  | 1, 488, 387 | 38 | $55,569,420$ $50,469,450$ |  | $2,877,122$ | 85 91 | $68,516,469$ $67,362,535$ | 106 104 |
| June....... | 20,242,046 | 131 | 10,088,237 |  | 12,805, 056 |  | 5,428,886 |  | 1,905,225 | 49 | 50,469,450 |  | 3,071,470 | 91 | 67,362,535 | 104 |

${ }^{1}$ Flour reduced to its equivalent in wheat on basis of $4 \frac{1}{2}$ bushels to barrel.

Receipts of grain and flour at nine seaboard centers.
[Boston, New York, Philadelphia, Baltimore, New Orleans, San Francisco, Portland (Oreg.), Seattle, Tacoma; receipts of four not avalable for Seattle and Tacoma.]
[Compiled from reports of trade organizations at these cities.] [Monthly average, 1911-1913 $=100$.

|  | Wheat. |  | Corn. |  | Oats. |  | Rye. |  | Barley. |  | Total grain. |  | Flour. |  | Total grain and Hour. ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bushels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Rela- | Bushels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Relative. | Barrels. | Rela- | Bushels. | Rela- |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1919 . \\ \text { June... } \end{array}$ | 10,280,075 | 81 | 1,051,177 |  | $10,249,644$ |  | 3,660, 255 |  | 6,564,620 | 396 | 31,785,771 |  | 2,340,158 | 224 | 42,316,482 | 154 |
| July ... | 5, 806, 227 | 46 | , 901, 842 | 25 | 6,959, 186 |  | 1, 478,551 | 1,041 | 9, 723, 852 | 586 | 24, 869, 658 |  | 1,514, 135 | 145 | 31, 683, 266 | 116 |
| August | 26, 002, 757 | 214 | 815, 132 | 23 | 5, 676, 984 | 119 | 61, 710 |  | 4, 903,395 | 301 | 38, 449, 978 |  | $1,385,762$ | 133 | 44, 685,907 | 163 |
| September | 28,010, 858 | ${ }_{117}^{222}$ | ${ }_{512,072}$ | 14 | 5,345, 464 | 113 |  |  |  | 131 |  |  |  | 221 |  | 171 |
| October.. |  | 117 73 | 507,065 438,147 | 12 | 4,335, ${ }^{4}, 988,525$ | ${ }_{84}^{91}$ | 1,717,301 | 1,209 ${ }_{979}$ | 796,839 851,651 | 48 51 | 22, $112,831,881$ |  | 2, 521,329 | 241 | $33,458,051$ $22,819,463$ | 122 83 |
| December. | 5, 782,777 | 46 | 816, 630 | 23 | 2,991, 717 |  | 1, 664 , 755 | 1,172 | 2,309, 085 | 139 | 13, 564, 964 |  | 2, 149, 458 | 206 | 23, 237, 525 | 85 |
| 1920. | 1,009 |  |  |  | 663, 274 |  |  |  |  | 78 |  |  |  | 50 |  | 76 |
| February. | 4, 898, 690 | 42 | 1, 244, 393 | 38 | 2,331, 246 |  | $3,212,668$ | 2,423 | $1,315,291$ | 85 | 13,002,288 | 61 | 1, 102, 606 | 113 | 17,964, 015 | 70 |
| March.... | 6, 486, 745 | 51 | 1, 203,649 | 34 | 3,646, 727 |  | 4,119, 986 | 2,900 | 1,300, 871 | 78 | 16, 757, 978 |  | $1,752.860$ | 168 | 24, 645, 848 | 90 |
| April. | 5, 441, 434 | 43 | 1,317,555 | 37 | 1,546, 590 |  | 3, 440, 350 | 2, 421 | 685,054 | 41 | 12, 430, 983 |  | 843, 46 | 81 | 16, 228, 605 | 59 |
| May | 10,621, 7231 | 84 | 1 767, 332 | 22 | 2, 382,271 |  | 5, 117, 806 |  | -556,764 | 34 |  |  | 1, 30i, 211 | 125 | 25, 301,346 | 92 |
| June.. | 13,374,721 | 106 | 1,878, 284 | 53 | 3,194,897 |  | 6, 506,053 | 4,579 | 1,191,767 | 72 | 26,145,722 |  | 1,486,365 | 142 | 32, 834,365 | 120 |

${ }^{1}$ Flour reduced to its equivalent in wheat on basis of $4 \frac{1}{2}$ bushels to barrel.
Stocks of grain at eight seaboard centers at close of month.
[Boston, New York, Philadelphia, Baltimore, New Orleans, Newport News, Galveston, San Francisco.] [Compiled from reports of trade organizations at these cities.]
[Bushels.]

|  | Wheat. | Corn. | Oats. | Rye. | Barley. | Totalgrain. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1919. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June. | 4,180, 160 | 214,079 | 5,475, 856 | 514,252 | 6,783,798 | 17, 168, 145 |
| July. | 5, 557,644 | 265, 196 | 3,760,063 | 867, 491 | 5,528, 176 | 15, 978, 570 |
| August. | 17,396,269 | 155, 491 | 2, 216, 989 | 578,250 | 5, 414, 183 | 25,761, 182 |
| September | 21, 171, 440 | 172, 254 | 1,901, 510 | 516, 142 | 4, 061,830 | ${ }^{27,823,176}$ |
| October. | 25,322, 242 | 82,240 | 1,898, 771 | 483, 270 | 3, 079, 360 | 30, 885, 383 |
| November. | 18,728,730 | 155,490 | 2,504, 833 | 1,264, 494 | 2,351,012 | 25,004, 559 |
| December | 13,053, 280 | 279,451 | 2,435, 455 | 709,276 | 3,007,379 | 19,484, 841 |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January. | 8,485, 491 | 711,501 | 2,398,639 | 2,397, 156 | 2,587,543 | 16,580, 330 |
| Mebruary | 6, 634,688 | 941, 239 | 1,571, 209 | 2, 671, 743 | 2,340,787 | 14, 166, 660 |
| March. | - $7,704,155$ | ${ }_{987,475}^{851,287}$ | $\begin{array}{r}1,351,457 \\ 38985 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  | 1, ${ }_{2}^{291,862}$ | 12,764, 809 |
| May. | 10,781,927 | 437, 521 | 819,790 | 1,889, 965 | 1,071,920 | 13,040, ${ }^{121}$ |
| June. | 8,492,819 | 459,568 | 901,756 | 2,035,334 | 1, 193,082 | 13,082,559 |

Note,-Figures for San Francisco include also stocks at Port Costa and Stockton.
Cotton.
[New Orleans Cotton Exchange.]
[Crop years 1911-1913=100.]

| [Crop years 1911-1913=100.] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sight receipts. |  | Port receipts. |  | Overland movement. |  | American spinners' takings. |  | Stocks at ports and interior towns at close of month. |  |
|  | Bales. | Relative. | Bales. | Relative. | Bales. | Rela- <br> tive. | Bales. | Relative. | Bales. | Relative. |
| 1919-20. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| August.... | ${ }_{581}^{313,301}$ | 25 | 238, 271 | ${ }_{28}^{20}$ | 49,630 | 47 | 302,238 | 67 | 1,412, 048 | 120 |
| October.. | 1,779,927 | 142 | 1,029,331 | 112 | 110, 202 | 105 | 621, 784 | 137 | 1,501, 808 | 127 |
| November. | 2, 369, 177 | 189 | 1, 178, 443 | 128 | 245, 237 | 233 | 1, 155,324 | 254 | 2, 616,383 | 222 |
| December. | 2, 147,365 | 171 | 1,069,693 | 116 | 242, 940 | 231 | 1,214,337 | 267 | 2, 765, 040 | 235 |
| January.. | 1,526,622 | 122 | 982,030 | 107 | 205, 233 | 195 | 793,453 | 175 | 2,470,496 | 210 |
| February.. | $1,003,226$ $1,088,882$ | 888 | 725,515 621,808 | ${ }_{68}^{85}$ | 138,084 | 141 | 374,093 <br> 270 | ${ }_{59}^{88}$ | 2,510, 482 | 213 |
| Mapril. | 1,529,880 | 45 | 499, 187 | 54 | 48,565 | 46 | 270, 269 | 61 | 2, 2148,038 | 193 |
| мау........ | 316, 633 | 25 | 289, 809 | 32 | 57,061 | 55 | 214, 678 | 47 | 1, 113,407 | 162 |

California shipments of citrus and deciduous fruits.
[1911-1913=100.]

|  | Oranges. |  | Lemons. |  | Total citrus fruits. |  | Total <br> deciduous <br> fruits. <br> Carloads. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Carloads. | Relative. | Carloads. | Relative. | Carloads. | Relative. |  |
| 1919. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July. | 2,568 | 105 | 1,038 | 256 | 3,606 | 127 | 4,199 |
| August... | 1,785 | 73 | 436 | 108 | 2,221 | 78 | 6,601 |
| September. | 1,840 | 75 | 414 | 102 | 2,254 | 79 | 6,781 |
| October.... | 2,706 | 111 | 572 | 141 | 3,278 | 115 | 5,529 |
| November. | 3,257 | 133 | 442 | 109 | 3,699 | 130 | 2,141 |
| December. | 3,592 | 147 | 271 | 67 | 3,863 | 136 | 197 |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January.... | 2,457 | 100 | 630 | 156 | 3,087 | 108 | 123 |
| February.. | 2,683 <br> 4,715 | 118 193 | ${ }_{651}^{852}$ | 225 | 3,535 5,366 | 133 188 | 139 155 |
| April..... | 3,720 | 152 | $\stackrel{5}{5}$ | 125 | 4,228 | 148 | 15 |
| May... | 5,048 | 206 | 1,353 | 334 | 6.401 | 225 | 24 |
| June... | 3,294 | 132 | 1,576 | 389 | 4,870 | 171 | 1,263 |

Sugar.
[Data for ports of New York, Boston, Philadelphia.]
[Weekly Statistical Sugar Trade Journal.]
[Tons of 2,240 pounds. Monthly average 1911-1913=100.]

|  | Receipts. |  | Meltings. |  | Raw stocks at close of month. |  |  | Receipts. |  | Meltings. |  | Raw stocks at close of month. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tons. | Relative. | Tons. | Relative. | Tons. | Relative. |  | Tons. | Relative. | Tons. | Relative. | Tons. | Relative. |
| Jume...... |  | 148 | 313,000 | 171 | 85, 193 | 49 | ( $\begin{array}{r}1920 . \\ \text { January. }\end{array}$ | 208,554 | 113 |  | 99 | 37,986 |  |
| July | 204, 782 | 144 | 292,000 | 159 | 57,975 | 34 | February | 316,667 | 184 | 269,000 | 157 | 85,653 | 50 |
| August.... | 246,419 | 134 | 229,000 | 125 | 75,394 | 44 | March... | 335,532 | 182 | 333,000 | 182 | 88,185 | 51 |
| September | ${ }^{262,137}$ | 142 | 292,000 | 159 | 45,531 | 26 | April. | 310,580 | 1109 | 307,000 286000 | ${ }_{156}^{167}$ | -91,765 <br> 日, <br> 881 | 53 |
| October... | ${ }^{233}$ 154,874 | 84 | 177,000 | ${ }_{96}$ | -40,855 | 24 | June.. | 301,318 | 164 | 319,000 | 174 | 50,666 | $\stackrel{35}{29}$ |
| December. | 96,342 | 52 | 126,765 | 69 | 10,432 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Naval stores.
[Data for Savannah, Jacksonville, and Pensacola.]
[In barrels.]
[Compiled from reports of trade organizations at these cities.]

|  | Spirits of turpentine. |  | Rosin. |  |  | Spirits of turpentine. |  | Rosin. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Receipts. | Stocks at close of month. | Receipts. | Stocks at elose of month. |  | Receipts. | Stocks at close of month. | Receipts. | Stocks at close of month. |
| 1919. |  |  |  |  | 1920. |  |  |  |  |
| June.... | 22, 2 , 5988 | - $\begin{array}{r}33,73 \\ 30,656 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 62,955 76,561 | 235, 2107 | January... | 8,300 3,762 | 24,910 17,900 | 47,874 29,303 | 165,927 $\mathbf{1 4 0}, 559$ |
| August.. | 21,013 | ${ }^{24,756}$ | 73,402 | 203, 812 | Mareh. | 1,876 | 4,819 | 14,660 | 103,443 |
| September. | 21,574 | 27,021 | 72,616 | 190, 580 | April. | 7,644 | 3,996 | 27,029 | 98,517 |
| Octnber. | 19,367 18,757 | -27,389 | 67,080 77,125 | 186,231 204,281 | May.. June. | 23,473 33,522 | - $\begin{array}{r}\text { 6, } 174 \\ 19654\end{array}$ | -68,163 | 78, 113 |
| December | 17,109 | 30,924 | 76,792 | 200,333 |  |  | 18,654 | 94,904 | 108,658 |

Lumber.
[From reports of manufacturers' associations.]
[ M feet.]

|  | Southern pine. |  |  | Western pine. |  |  | Douglas fir. |  |  | Eastern white pine. |  |  | North Carolina pine. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of mills. | Production. | Shipments. | Num ber of mills. | Produc- | Shipments. | Number of mills. | Production. | Shipments. | Number of mills. | Production. | Shipments. | Number of mills. | Production. | Shipments. |
| June. 1919. |  | 360,084 | 426,193 |  | 156,561 | 139,923 | 115 | 300,410 | 327,364 |  | 29,741 |  |  |  |  |
| July.. | 206 | 401,939 | 466, 786 | 48 | 148,533 | 140,680 | 114 | 268,634 | 301,050 | 9 | 27, 382 | 22, 470 | 35 | 22, 326 | 34, 191 |
| August | 204 | 417,036 | 423,002 | 48 | 152,748 | 140, 236 | 118 | 416,422 | 397, 290 | 11 | 20,247 | 26,839 | 36 | 27,177 | 30,159 |
| September | 202 | 416, 640 | 372,727 | 51 | 154, 102 | 138,537 | 126 | 332, 905 | 261,797 | 12 | 16,913 | 22,574 | 35 | 33,146 | 35,468 |
| October. | 201 | 421,025 | 356, 124 | 52 | 156,828 | 143,252 | 124 | ${ }^{419}, 108$ | 339,321 | 10 | 12,888 | 18,139 | ${ }^{26}$ | 24,055 | 22,079 |
| November. | 202 | 391,347 | 344,717 | 51 | 110,525 | 117,472 | 126 | 324, 511 | 241,301 | 11 | 2,786 | ${ }^{21,596}$ | 31 | 24,925 | 26,928 26,241 |
| December. | 198 | 353,923 | 363, 176 | 51 | 65,989 | 93,377 | 129 | 227,331 | 176,935 | 11 | 4,776 | 17,840 | 27 | 19,048 | 26,241 |
| January..... | 202 | 386,481 | 404,706 | 53 |  | 144, 180 | 128 | 327, 568 | 344,568 | 21 | 38,007 | 63,614 | 25 | 24,678 | 26,283 |
| February | 203 | 383,239 | 369,047 | 51 | 85, 583 | 147,180 | 124 | 322,511 | 295,934 | 21 | 32,551 | 59,687 | 15 | 15,534 | 15, 202 |
| March. | 205 | 436,944 | 424,775 | 50 | 130, 425 | 156, 211 | 123 | 342,948 | 329,012 | 21 | 43,771 | 61,620 | 24 | 29,633 | 29, 896 |
| April | 20.5 | 438,056 | 359, 461 | 51 | 167, 185 | 133, 114 | 128 | 359, 651 | 274,597 | 21 | 46, 222 | 61,757 | 13 | 13,659 | 10,613 |
| May. | 205 | ${ }^{430}, 271$ | 347,404 | 51 | 183,621 | 132,181 | 124 | 424,687 | ${ }^{383}, 346$ | 20 | ${ }^{12,731}$ | 26,323 | 14 | 15,992 | 18,657 |
| June. | 204 | 385,293 | 287,487 | 48 | 197,461 | 125,770 | 127 | 343,801 | 271,815 | 20 | 25,771 | 41,557 | 12 | 14, 259 | 10,481 |

Receipts and shipments of lumber at Chicago.
[Chicago Board of Trade.]
[Monthly average, 1911-1913=100.]

|  | Recerpts. |  | Shipments. |  |  | Receipts. |  | Shipments. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M feet. | Relative. | M feet. | Relative. |  | M feet. | Relative. | M feet. | Relative. |
| 1919. |  |  |  |  | 1920. |  |  |  |  |
| July.. | 200, 148 | 94 | 90, 134 | 118 | February.... | 235,423 | 119 | 81,561 | 114 |
| August... | 170, 385 | 80 | 87,953 | 115 | March...... | 284, 146 | 134 | 122,401 | 160 |
| September. | 205,909 | 97 | 93, 120 | 121 | April... | 124,725 | 59 | 51,495 |  |
| October... | 208,688 176,972 | 98 | $\mathbf{9 5 ,}$ <br> 70.175 | ${ }_{92}^{125}$ | May..... | 187,931 | 89 111 | 89,259 90,503 | 116 118 |
| December. | 226,617 | 107 | 79, $5 \times 3$ | 10.4 | Јипе....... | 234,562 |  | 90,503 |  |

Coal and coke.
[Bituminous coal and coke, U. S. Geological Survey; anthracite coal, Anthracite Bureau of Information.]
[Monthly average, 1911-1913=100.]

|  | Bituminous coal, estimated monthly production. |  | Anthracite coal, shipments over 9 roads. |  | Beehive coke, estimated monthly production. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Short tons. | Relative. | Long tons. | Relative. | Short tons. | Relative. |
| June........................... 1919. | 38,547,000 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| July.. | 42,698,000 | 115 | 6,052,334 | 108 | 1,503,367 | 55 |
| August. | 42,883,000 | 116 | 6,144,144 | 109 | 1, 733,971 | ${ }_{66}$ |
| September. | 47,402,000 | 128 | 5,687, 401 | 117 | 1,790,466 | 68 |
| October.... | 56,243,000 | 152 | 6,560, 150 | 117 | 1,551,979 | 59 |
| November. | $18,688,000$ $36,612,000$ | ${ }_{99}^{50}$ | $5,971,671$ $6,138,460$ | 106 109 | $1,680,775$ $1,760,800$ | 64 67 |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January. | 48,689,000 | 131 | 5,713,319 | 102 | 1,982,000 | 76 |
| February. | $40,127,000$ $46,792,000$ | 116 | 4,913,664 | 94 | 1,731,000 | 71 |
| March..... | 467,966,000 | 126 102 |  |  | $2,025,000$ $1,602,167$ | 77 |
| May... | 39,059,000 | 105 |  |  | 1,689,500 | ${ }_{65}^{61}$ |
| June. | 44,462,500 | 120 |  |  | 1,710,333 | 65 |

Crude petroleum.
[U. S. Geological Survey.]
[Barrels of 42 gallons each.]

|  | Produced. |  | Stocks at end of month (barrels). |  | Produced. |  | Stocks at end of month (barrels). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Barrels. | Relative. |  |  | Barrels. | Relative. |  |
| 1919. |  |  |  | 1920. |  |  |  |
| June. | 31, 644,000 | 165 | 133,995,000 | January.... | 33,980,000 | 177 | 127, 164.000 |
| July.. | 33, 894,000 | 177 | 140,093,000 | February | 33,212,000 | 186 | 126,339,000 |
| August.. | 33, 862,000 | 177 | 136,467,000 | March.... | 36,461,000 | 190 | 125,597,000 |
| September | 33, 667,000 | 176 | 137, 131,000 | April.... | 36, 201,000 | 189 | 124,991,000 |
| October... | 33, 319,000 | 174 | 135,461,000 | May.... | 36, 859,000 | 192 | 124,633,000 |
| November. | 32, 114,000 | 168 | 131,601,000 | June. | 37,219,000 | 194 | 126,674,000 |
| December. | 32,508,000 | 170 | 127, 867,000 |  |  |  |  |

Total output of oil refineries in United States.
[Burean of Mines.]

|  | Crude oil run (barrels). | Gasoline (gallons). | Kerosene (gallons). | Gas and fuel (gallons). | Lubricating (gallons). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1919. |  |  |  |  |  |
| June | 28, 920,764 | 338, 336, 985 | 178, 974, 224 | 632, 205, 805 | 64, ¢36,153 |
| July Aust | $31,202,522$ $32,362,057$ | 312, 3 346, 167 | - $219,502,888$ | $638,185,469$ $685,702,461$ | 67,037,414 $72,920,214$ |
| September | 32,601,044 | 339,582, 564 | 199, 244, 293 | 683,409,674 | 70, 230, 692 |
| October. | 33,682,968 | 363, 456, 747 | 227,101, 346 | 680, 158,446 | 78,658,410 |
| November. | 32,213, 754 | 338,667, 570 | 214, 829,925 | 663,309, 514 | 75,'962, 212 |
| December. | 32,427,617 | 335,659, 587 | 229,476, 468 | 685,084,086 | 72,040, 862 |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |
| January | 30,815,160 | 336, 719,157 | 195, 956, 392 | 617, 555,156 | 75,878,635 |
| February. | 29, $20.208,723$ | 323, 588, 697 | 199, 513,334 | 589, 681,857 | 74,243,073 |
| March. | ${ }_{32,852,040}$ | 355, 597 , 451 | 1884, 489,017 | 686,949, | 81, $818,568,064$ |
| May. | 34,578, 282 | 381, 679,291 | 180, 877,089 | 707, 198, 355 | 89, 252, 410 |

STOCKS AT ClOSE OF MONTH.

|  | 1919. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June 30. |  | 16,775, 723 | 593,896, 610 | 252, 542,434 | 811,790, 637 | 175, 384,775 |
| Aug 31 |  | 15, ${ }^{1504,915}$ | 514, 919,388 | 2996; 0655,646 | 830, 8299,785 | $173,884,303$ <br> 170 <br> 12 <br> 1819 |
| Sept. 30 |  | 13,925,441 | 371, 125 , 419 | 311, 843 , 057 | 862, 135,385 | 158,967, 970 |
| Oct. 31 |  | 14,091,945 | 354, 160,071 | 329, 160, 795 | 828, 574,452 | 152, 536,736 |
| Nov. 30 |  | 13,983,716 | 378, 133, 185 | 347, 000, 560 | 791, 052,991 | 149, 193, 143 |
| Dec. 31 |  | 13,143,285 | 446, 793, 431 | 339, 319,690 | 714, 124, 455 | 137,318, 934 |
|  | 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. 31. |  | 13,200, 727 | 515, 934, 364 | 327,518,646 | 652, 080, 901 | 141, 690, 177 |
| Feb. 29 |  | 13,500,599 | 562, 996,489 | 330, 120, 942 | 590, 322, 125 | 132, 7599,244 |
|  |  | 14, ${ }^{1546,458}$ | -626,393, 046 | 331,617,117 | ${ }_{590}^{580,182,858}$ | $130,630,597$ $140,355,972$ |
| May 31. |  | 15,331,375 | 577, 771,795 | 419,077, 605 | 618, 939,135 | 135, 882,485 |

Iron and steel.
[Great Lakes iron-ore movements, Marine Review; pig-iron production, Iron Age; steel-mgot production, American Iron and Steel Institute.]
[Monthly average, 1911-1913=100; iron ore, monthly average, May-Norember, 1911-1918=100.]

|  | Iron-ore shipments from the upper Lakes. |  | Pig-iron production. |  | Steel-ingot production. |  | Unfilled orders U. S. Steel Corporation at close of month. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Gross tons. | Relative. | Gross tons. | Relative. | Gross tons. | Relative. | Oross tons. | Relative. |
| June . . . . 1019. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July. | 9, $9,173,429$ | 151 | 2, $2,428,511$ | 105 | 2,219, $2,509,170$ | $\begin{array}{r}92 \\ 104 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 4, 892,855 | 106 |
| August. | 4, 423,133 | 73 | 2,743,388 | 118 | 2,746,081 | 114 | 6,109, 103 | 116 |
| September | 8,178, 483 | 135 | 2,487,075 | 107 |  |  | 6,284, 638 | 119 |
| October. | 6, 201, 883 | 102 | 1, 863,558 | \$0 |  |  | 6,472, 668 | 123 |
| November. | 3,152,319 | 52 |  | 103 |  |  | 7, 128, 330 | 1135 |
| December. |  |  | 2,733,268 | 114 |  |  | 8,265, 366 | 157 |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January |  |  | 3,015,181 | 130 | 2,968, 102 | 123 | 9, 255, 441 | 176 |
| February. |  |  | $2,978,879$ $3,375,907$ | 138 | 2,865, 1.24 | 127 | $9,502,081$ $9,892,075$ | 180 |
| April. | 230, 854 |  | 2, 739,797 | 118 | 2, $23 \times 3$, 305 | 109 | 10,359,747 | 197 |
| May.. | 6,976,085 | 115 | 2,985, 682 | 129 | 2,883, 184 | 119 | 10,940,466 | 208 |
| June. | 9,233,566 | 136 | 3,043,540 | 131 | 2,980, 690 | 123 | 10,978, 817 | 208 |

Imports of pig tin.
[Department of Commerce.]
[Monthly average, 1911-1913=100.]

|  | Pounds. | Relative. |  | Pounds. | Relative. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1919. |  |  | 1920. |  |  |
| June... | 112,000 | 1 | January.... | 8,772,933 | 97 |
| July Aust. | 118,120 $9,872,459$ | 1 109 | February... | 13,925,843 | 164 |
| September. | 11,087, 403 | 122 | April.. | 10,345, 130 | 114 |
| October. | 16, 210,512 | 178 | May. | 9,102,341 | 100 |
| November.. | 15, 233, 671 | $1 \mathrm{P8}$ | June. | 11,232,325 | 124 |
| Decamber. | 12,940, 125 | 142 |  |  |  |

Raw stocks of hides and skins.
[Bureau of Markets; July, 1920, on, Bureau of the Census.]
[In pieces.]

|  | Cattle hides. | Calfskins. | Kipskins. | Goat. | Kid. | Cabretta. | Sheep and lamb. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June 301919. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 31. | 4,696,332 | $2,285,015$ $2,389,368$ | ${ }^{558,033}$ | 16,991, 195 | 2,521,016 | 1,697,754 | 8,118,702 |
| Aug. 31.. | 5, ${ }^{498}$, 844 | 2,145,320 | 585, 269 | 18,263,446 | -880, 276 | 2,348,769 | 7,126, 885 |
| Sept. 30 | 6,158,289 | 2,055 084 | 947,546 | 15.749, 664 | 823, 740 | 2,736, 802 | 8,661,215 |
| Oct. 31. | 6,436, 765 | 2, 007, 208 | 1,097, 039 | 15,302, 912 | 2,239, 604 | 2,574,499 | 10,122,930 |
| Nov. 30. | 6,918,534 | 1, 844,737 | 1,188, 173 | 14, 248, 671 | 331,389 | 2, 284,084 | 9,398, 712 |
| Dec. 31. | 7,349,146 | 2,117,442 | 1,122,156 | 15, 984, 179 | 752,055 | 2,092,425 | 9,296,812 |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. 31. | 6,773,360 | 1,920,184 | 1,036,372 | 13,474, 529 | 927, 436 | 1,893,614 | 8,902,067 |
| Mar. 31. | 6,559, ${ }^{6,583}$, 300 | 1, $1,8030,218$ | $\begin{array}{r}1,141,620 \\ 98685 \\ \hline 8\end{array}$ | 16,481, 328 | 645,524 468,188 | $\xrightarrow{2,197,683}$ |  |
| Apr. 30 | 6, 072,895 | 2, 281,370 | 834, 711 | 14, 666,590 | 156,871 | 1,947, 499 |  |
| May 31 | 5,831,341 | 2, 720,610 | 922, 682 | 14, 120, 171 | 791,150 | ${ }^{2}, 253,785$ | 8,978, 852 |
| June 30.. | 6,212,946 | 3,107,393 | 915,499 | 14,562,713 | 60,999 | 2,070,471 | 10,993,228 |

Note.-Figures for Jume 30 are provisional.

Textiles.
[Silk, Department of Commerce; cotton and idle wool machinery, Bureau of the Census; wool consumption, Bureau of Markets.]
[Cotton, monthly average crop, years 1012-1914=100; silk, monthly average, 1911-1913=100.]

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow{2}{*}{Cotton consumption.}} \& \multirow{3}{*}{Cotton spindles active
during month.} \& \multirow{3}{*}{Wool consumption (pounds).} \& \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Percentage of idle woolen machinery on first of month to total reported.} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{Imports of raw silk.}} <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Looms.} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Sets of cards.} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Combs.} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Spinning spindies.} \& \& <br>
\hline \& Bales. \& Relative. \& \& \& Wider
than $50-$ inch reed space. \& Under 50 -inch reed
space. \& \& \& Woolen. \& Worsted. \& Pounds. \& Relative. <br>
\hline June....... \& \& 105 \& 33,950,358 \& 48,849,892 \& \& 26.6 \& \& \& 15.2 \& 21.1 \& 3,848,354 \& 188 <br>
\hline July. \& 510,328 \& 113 \& 34, 171, 690 \& 54,973,093 \& 22.0 \& 26.0 \& 9.7 \& 7.6 \& 8.9 \& 13.5 \& 5,202,407 \& 254 <br>
\hline August. \& .203, 536 \& 112 \& 34, 127, 310 \& 48,938, 476 \& 22.1 \& 24.9 \& 9.4 \& 6.5 \& 8.9 \& 10.9 \& 3,802,500 \& 186 <br>
\hline September \& -91, 313 \& 109 \& $31,216,662$
31,307

3, \& \& 19.9 \& 22.8 \& \& \& \& 12.8 \& \& 330 <br>
\hline October.... \& 535,344
450,698 \& 123
109 \& $34,307,367$
$3 i, 483,775$ \& $60,018,415$
$52,428,854$ \& 16.0
14.8 \& 20.7

18.2 \& 8.2 \& | 5.9 |
| :--- |
| 5.3 | \& 7.7 \& 7.2

6.7 \& | $3,955,845$ |
| :--- |
| $4,841,407$ |
| , 51 | \& ${ }_{237}^{193}$ <br>

\hline jecember. \& 511,585 \& 114 \& 34,594,214 \& 55,566,253 \& 13.9 \& 19.1 \& 10.5 \& 5.3 \& 8.4 \& 6.2 \& 3,576,585 \& 175 <br>
\hline 1920. \& \& \& \& \& \& 18.5 \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline enamary... \& 516,594 \& 132 \& 34, 6688,643 \& ${ }_{55,247} \mathbf{3}, 652$ \& 12.2 \& 17.6 \& 7.6 \& 7.2 \& 7.1 \& 7.9 \& 3,696, 121 \& 194 <br>
\hline March..... \& 575, 704 \& 128 \& 34,667, 747 \& ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~S}, 344,602$ \& 14.9 \& 19.8 \& 9.8 \& 7.0 \& 10.3 \& 11.7 \& 2,491,651 \& 122 <br>
\hline April. \& 567, <39 \& 126 \& 34,346, 737 \& 57, 887, 832 \& 13.1 \& 16.9 \& 9.6 \& 7.1 \& 9.5 \& 7.0 \& 2,227, 857 \& 109 <br>
\hline May.. \& 541,080 \& 120 \& 34,066, 236 \& 50, 649,381 \& 15.2 \& 18.2 \& 10.6 \& 6.7 \& 11.5 \& 7.0 \& 2,505,798 \& 122 <br>
\hline June. \& 555, 521 \& $12+$ \& 34, 503, 754 \& 40,679,920 \& 26.8
42.5 \& 22.4 \& $\stackrel{21.1}{28}$ \& 15.9 \& 23.1 \& 14.2 \& 3,221,177 \& 157 <br>
\hline suly. \& \& \& \& \& 42.5 \& 32.3 \& 38.0 \& 35.0 \& \& 32.7 \& \& <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

Production of wood pulp and paper.
[Federal Trade Commission.]
[Net tons.]

|  | Wood pulp. | Newsprint. | Book. | Paper board. | Wrapping. | Fine. |  | Wood pulp. | Newsprint. | Book. | Paper board. | Wrapping. | Fine. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June..... |  |  |  | 152,957 |  |  | 1920. January... |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June... | 2:0,685 | 113, ${ }^{1299}$ | 75,613 | 169,593 | 63,769 | 30,036 | February. | 266, 191 | 129,633 | 85, 532 | 176,855 | 61, 574 | 32, ${ }_{202}$ |
| August. | 2.0,987 | 113, +13 | 82,737 | 189, 782 | 64, 861 | 33, 122 | March... | 327, 143 | 12i, 847 | ${ }^{95}$, 851 | 207, 813 | 68, 403 | 33, 671 |
| Septembe | 2isi, 915 | 111, 431 | ${ }^{81,024}$ | 184, 897 |  | 31,923 | April. | 350, 191 |  | 95, 251 |  | 75,347 | 33, 493 |
| Octaber. | 30, 710 | 125,216 | ${ }^{89,440}$ | 202,524 | 67,110 | 34, 808 | May. |  | 129,230 | 92, 556 | 213, ${ }^{475}$ | 70,511 | 31,575 |
| November. | 324,488 | ${ }_{122}^{116,003}$ | 84,085 88,779 | ${ }_{174,940}^{182,940}$ | 63,394 62,288 | 32,168 31 | June.... | 337,115 | 130,380 | 94,957 | 215, 131 | 72,987 | 34,121 |
| December. | 306,617 | 122,781 | 88, 779 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sale of revenue stamps for manufactures of tobacco in the United States (excluding Porto Rico and Philippine Islands).
[Commissioner of Internal Revenue.]

|  | Cigars. |  | Cigarettes. | Manutobaceo. |  | Cigars. |  | Cigarettes. | Manutobacco. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Large. | Small. | Small. |  |  | Large. | Small. | Small. |  |
| June. 1919. | Number. 576,976,572 | Number. <br> 48,855, 070 | Number. <br> 3,140, 393,217 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pounds. } \\ & 31,312,150 \end{aligned}$ | Janu <br> January | Number. 663,634,243 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number. } \\ 58,837,900 \end{gathered}$ | Number. <br> 4, 528, 760,833 | Pounds. <br> 33,608,313 |
| July. | Fi9, 908, 339 | 47,500, 287 | 3,585, 030,983 | 33, 838,667 | February . | 593,832, 200 | 43,358, 500 | 3,536, 117, 847 | 31, 531,460 |
| August... | 33, 227, 393 |  |  | 35,568,246 |  | 738, 239,958 |  | 4,373,778,917 | 38,422,481 |
| September | 75,777, 829 | ${ }^{53,735,960}$ | 4,283,247, 387 | 36, 223,005 | April | 1,3,577, 579 | 56, 548,853 | 3,756, 989,397 | 34,327, 970 |
| October.... | $77,622,154$ $\mathbf{3 5 , 4 2 1 , 8 9 3}$ | $64,170,793$ $56,080,813$ | $5,028,875,337$ $4,768,598,203$ | $39,335,546$ $32,965,088$ | May | (.76, 227, 828 | 59, 943,280 | 3, 953, 345, 380 | 34,875,839 |
| December.. | -62,046,997 | 45,491,540 | $4,578,641,450$ | 29,409,443 |  |  |  |  |  |

Output of locomotives and cars.
[Locomotives, United States Railroad Administration; cars, Railway Car Manufacturer's Association.]

|  | Locomotives. |  | Output of cars. |  |  |  | Locomotives. |  | Output of cars. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Domes- } \\ & \text { tic } \\ & \text { shipped. } \end{aligned}$ | Foreign completed. | Domestic. | Foreign. | Total. |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Domes- } \\ \text { tic } \\ \text { shipped. } \end{array}$ | Foreign completed. | $\underset{\text { Dic }}{\text { Domes- }}$ | Foreign. | Total. |
| June. 1919. | Number. | Number. | Number. 1,785 | Number. $5,307$ | Number. 7,092 | January... ${ }_{\text {r }}$ | Number. 48 | Number. | Number. 4,650 | Number. | Number. $6,564$ |
| July. | 121 | 73 | 2,777 | 6,936 | 9,713 | February. |  |  | 3,960 | 1,066 | 5,026 |
| August | 160 | 173 | 18,509 | 5,015 | 23, 524 | March. |  |  | 3,053 | 2,040 | 5,093 |
| September. | 111 | 51 | 19,980 | 4,302 | 24,282 | April. |  |  | 2,313 | 1,934 | 4,247 |
| October. | 89 | 55 | 10,445 | 3,715 | 14, 160 | May. |  |  | 2,792 | 1,402 | 4,194 |
| November. | 39 | 23 | 8,967 | 2,622 | 11, 589 | June. |  |  | 2,780 | 731 | 3,511 |
| December. | 103 | 42 | 4,506 | 2,428 | 6,934 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Vessels built in United States, including those for foreign nations, and officilly numbered by the Bureau of Navigation.
[Monthly average, 1911-1913=100.]

|  | Number. | Gross tonnage. | Relative. |  | Number. | Gross tonnage. | Relative. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1919. |  |  |  | 1920. |  |  |  |
| June. | 272 | 422, 889 | 1,750 | January... | 115 | 253, 680 | 1,050 |
| July. | 248 | 397, 628 | 1,645 | February. | 140 | 267, 231 | 1,185 |
| August | 238 | 455, 338 | 1,884 | March. | 170 | 279, 709 | 1,157 |
| September | 202 | 378,858 357,519 | 1,568 1,479 | April. | 164 | 251, 442 | 1,040 |
| November. | 143 | 347,051 | 1,436 | June. | 198 | 267,076 | 1,105 |
| December. | 149 | 294,064 | 1,217 |  |  |  |  |

Tonnage of vessels cleared in the foreign trade.
[Department of Commerce.]
[Monthly average, 1911-1913=100.]

|  | Net tonnage. |  |  |  | Per-centage Amercan to total. | Relative. |  | Net tonnage. |  |  |  | Per-centage American to total. | Relative. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | American. | Foreign. | Total. | Relative. |  |  |  | American. | Foreign. | Total. | Relative. |  |  |
| 1919. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June. | 2,339,320 | 2,511,501 | 4,850,821 | 125 | 48.2 | 191 | January... | 1,933,385 | 1,949,798 | 3, 883,183 | 100 | 49.8 | 197 |
| July.. | 2, 362, 571 | 2,920,247 | $5,282,818$ | 136 | 44.7 | 177 | February. | 1,702,407 | 1, 628,212 | 3,330, 619 | 92 | 51.1 | 202 |
| August. | 2,957, 249 | 2,797,818 | 5,755,067 | 148 | 51.4 | 203 | March... | 1, 836,716 | 2,040,538 | 3,877, 254 | 100 | 47.4 | 187 |
| September. | 2,627, 480 | 2,481, 676 | 5, 109, 156 | 131 | 51.4 | 203 | April. | 2, 504,038 | 1,960,634 | 4,464,672 | 115 | 56.1 | 222 |
| October. | 2,645,778 | 2,073, 560 | 4, 719,338 | 121 | 56.1 | 222 | May. | 2, 729,790 | 2, 436, 247 | 5,166,037 | 133 | 52.8 | 209 |
| November. | 2,251, 871 | 1,910, 489 | 4, 162,360 | 107 97 | 54.1 | 214 | June. | 3,199,274 | 3,141,913 | 6,341,187 | 163 | 50.5 | 200 |
| December. | 2,043,675 | 1,733,923 | 3, 777, 598 | 97 | 54.1 | 214 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Net ton-miles, revenue and nonrevenne.
[United States Railroad Administration.]

| 1919. |  | 1919. | $32,539,248,000$$33,462,298,000$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| February. | 25,629, 489,000 | November. |  |
| July... | 34,914, 294,000 | December.......................January ........................ |  |
| August ${ }_{\text {September }}$ | $36,361,653,000$ $38,860,311,000$ |  |  |
| October. | 40,343, 750,000 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 34,769,722,000 \\ & 32,699,143,000 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | February |  |

Commerce of canals at Sault Ste. Marie.
[Monthly average, May-November, 1911-1913=100.]
EASTBOUND.

|  | Grain other than wheat. |  | Wheat. |  | Flour. |  | Iron ore. |  | Total. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bushels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Relative. | Barrels. | Relative. | Short tons. | Relative. | Short tons. | Relative. |
| June . . . 19. | 6,694,901 | 75 | 6,402,051 | 33 | 1,031,630 | 89 | 8,004,897 | 135 | 8,554,979 | 122 |
| April............ | 6,008,000 |  | 4,274,611 |  |  |  | 162.630 |  | 454,726 |  |
| May..... | 11,904,942 | 134 33 | 13,497, 295 | 70 | 658.910 | 57 | 6,683,820 | 113 | 7,483, 836 | 107 |
|  | 3,076, |  | 5, 6, | 31 | 1,082,521 | 4 | $8,76,350$ | 105 | 9,153, 884 | 131 |

WESTBOUND.

|  | Hard coal. |  | Soft coal. |  | Total. |  | Total freight. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Short tons. | Relative. | Short tons. | Relative. | Short tons. | Relative. | Short tons. | Relative. |
| June. ........................ | 227, 200 | 73 | 2, 266,984 | 118 | 2,664,437 | 107 | 11,219,416 | 118 |
| April....................... | 10,000 |  | 50,831 |  | 82,483 |  | 537,209 |  |
|  |  | 65 87 | 531,375 966,382 | 28 50 | 937,374 $1,493,935$ | 38 55 | $8,421,210$ $10,647,819$ | 112 |

## BANK TRANSACTIONS DURING JUNE-JULY.

In the attached tables are shown debits to individual account for the four weeks ending July 23 of the present year and for the corresponding weeks of 1919, as reported to the Federal Reserve Banks by the country's more important clearing houses. A recapitulation by Federal Reserve districts presents a comparison of figures for 154 centers for which reports are available both for the 1920 and the 1919 periods under review.

Aggregate debits to individual account for the 154 centers included in the recapitulation fluctuated between 8,589 millions for the fiveday week ending July 7 and 9,377 millions for the following week. Except for the smaller total shown for the week ending July 7, which included July 5, a legal holiday in all the districts, the variations in aggregate debits during the period under review were comparatively small, the difference between the largest and the smallest total being only 328 millions, or about 3.5 per cent of the smaller figure.

The statement below presents a comparison of debits in New York City and in 153 other centers for each week of the period under review, together with totals for corresponding weeks in 1919, and percentages showing the
excess or deficiency of 1920 figures as compared with corresponding 1919 amounts.
[In millions of dollars.|


For the 153 centers outside of New York City, debits to individual account are considerably larger for each week of 1920 than for the corresponding week of 1919, the largest difference, amounting to more than a billion dollars, being shown for the weeks ending July 7, 1920, and July 9,1919 . Owing to the continued dullness of the stock exchange, total debits in New

York City are considerably lower for each week of this year compared with the corresponding week of last year. Average figures for the 29 weeks of each year for New York City were 4,748 millions in 1920 , as compared with 4,324 millions in 1919, the 1920 amount being 9.8 per
cent above the 1919 figure; while for 147 other centers the 29 -week average for 1920 was 4,627 millions, an increase of 23.6 per cent over the corresponding average in 1919 (3,743 millions), the increase representing largely the rise in the general price level.

Debits to individual account at clearing-house banks.
[In thousands of dollars.]

| Federal Reserve district. | 1920. <br> Week ending- |  |  |  | 1919. <br> Week ending- |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June 30. | July 7. | July 14. | July 21. | July 2. | July 9. | July 16. | July 23. |
| No. 1-Boston: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bangor.. | 3,555 | 3,909 | 3,790 | 3,847 | 2,983 | 2,715 | 3,693 | 2,848 |
| Boston. | 289,517 | 295,064 | 322,284 | 333, 990 | 370, 280 | 249,359 | 354, 871 | 319,780 |
| Fall River | 9,785 | 9,528 | 9,837 | 8,926 | 9,194 | 6,675 | 9,300 | 8,207 |
| Hartford. | 22,121 | 28,528 | 27,206 | 25,863 | 25,314 | 19,364 | 23,601 | 24,672 |
| Holyoke. | 4,351 | 4,045 | 4,631 | 4,567 | 4,042 | 2,926 | 3,832 | 3,657 |
| Lowell. | 5,612 | 5,778 | 6,530 | 6,273 | 5,378 | 4,273 | 6,149 | 4.765 |
| Manchester | 5,233 | 5,904 | 6,284 | 5,940 |  |  |  |  |
| New Bedford | 7,054 | 7,498 | 8,668 | 8,978 | 6,814 | 5,323 | 7,374 | 6,927 |
| New Haven | 19,137 | 21, 130 | 22, 611 | 21,795 | 16,866 | 14,151 | 17,759 | 18,071 |
| Portland. | 8,463 | 8,358 | 7,738 | 9,675 |  |  |  |  |
| Providence | 38,655 | 39,964 | 39,287 | 42,243 | 34,881 | 25,772 | 37,063 | 35,752 |
| Springfield | 17,258 | 17,363 | 18,471 | 19,046 | 11,775 | 10,200 | 15,089 | 15,369 |
| Waterbury | 7,286 | 7,814 | 7,978 | 7,463 | 7,456 | 5,998 | 7,457 | 7,596 |
| Worcester- | 18,761 | 18,304 | 21,554 | 21,098 | 20,238 | 14,290 | 19,515 | 14, 167 |
| No. 2-New York: Albany. | 19,843 | 22,324 | 24,936 | 25,176 | 30,511 | 38,155 | 11,245 | 16,553 |
| Binghamto | 4,598 | 4,799 | 4,789 | 4,984 | 3,765 | 3,265 | 4,188 | 3,203 |
| Buffalo.. | 68,380 | 78, 900 | 78,553 | 79,395 | 76,372 | 56,162 | 64, 110 | 61, 589 |
| New York | 4,463,000 | 4,060, 377 | 4, 556, 620 | 4,382, 556 | 5,068,924 | 4, 172,793 | 5, 579,559 | 5, 433.175 |
| Passaic.. | 5,124 | 5,952 | 5, 5 , 676 | - 5,841 | 3,985 | 3,495 | 3, 421 | 3, 847 |
| Rochester | 32,169 | 34,920 | 30,911 | 33,412 | 38, 115 | 23, 837 | 29,317 | 31, 437 |
| Syracuse.. | 18,328 | 21,454 | 19,589 | 20, 293 | 16,617 | 12,968 | 14,249 | 14,078 |
| No. 3-Philadelphia: | 3,528 | 2,919 | 2,314 | 3,330 | 3,400 | 2,910 | 3,697 | 2,148 |
| Chester. | 5,088 | 5,567 | 5,789 | 5,904 | 4,742 | 3,783 | 4,434 | 4,058 |
| Harrisburg | 4,517 | 2,470 | 3,468 | 3, 572 | 3,700 | 3,906 | 4,077 | 3,800 |
| Johnstown. | 5,108 | 4, 142 | 4,998 | 4,174 | 3,697 | 2,485 | 3,641 | 2,919 |
| Lancaster. | 5,496 | 5,437 | 6,274 | 6,563 | 4,670 | 3,602 | 4,788 | 4,649 |
| Philadelphia | 372,189 | 359,956 | 347,789 | 349, 136 | 367,886 | 276,611 | 362,219 | 358,851 |
| Reading. | 4,060 | 5,043 | 5,894 | 5,718 | 4,083 | 3,158 | 4,457 | 3,734 |
| Scranton | 15,486 | 15, 148 | 17,074 | 13,863 | 13,521 | 11,245 | 12,977 | 11,861 |
| Trenton | 12,000 | 11,793 | 11,620 | 13,396 | 9,618 | 8,272 | 9.622 | 9,853 |
| Wilkes-Barre | 9,609 | 8,801 | 10,013 | 9,483 | 7.522 | 5,603 | 7,360 | 6,617 |
| Williamsport | 3,949 | 4,405 | 5,480 | 4,595 | 3,374 | 3,060 | 3,647 | 3,691 |
| Wilmington. | 9,818 | 8,230 | 7,732 | 8,072 | 11,030 | 9,604 | 10,654 | 11,024 |
| York ........ | , 4,675 | 5,117 | 4,638 | 4,210 | 3,444 | 2,560 | 3,360 | 3,580 |
| No. 4-Cleveland: | 30,579 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cincinnati | 68,122 | 67,698 | 65,712 | 71,929 | 62, 006 | 54,136 | 60,657 | 58,062 |
| Cleveland. | 171, 634 | 182,243 | 201,537 | 205,989 | 194, 161 | 142,914 | 200,883 | 162,210 |
| Columbus | 30, 863 | 28,333 | 31, 474 | 31,666 | 29,978 | 24,249 | 32,476 | 32,884 |
| Dayton. | 11,998 | 13,152 | 13,124 | 12,375 | 13,728 | 12,255 | 12,122 | 13, 102 |
| Erie.. | 8,355 | 7,435 | 8,372 | 8, 324 | 6,642 | 5,836 | 6,876 | 6,540 |
| Greensburg. | 6,915 | 5,211 | 5,923 | 8,263 | 3,247 | 3,459 | 4,042 | 2,842 |
| Lexington | 4,950 | 5,246 | 5,224 | 5,241 | 5,369 | 3,893 | 3,858 | 3,901 |
| Oil City. | 3,409 | 2,833 | 3,901 | 3,330 | 2,762 | 2,233 | 3,038 | 2,740 |
| Pittsburgh. | 232,481 | 191,768 | 202,371 | 209, 546 | 220,161 | 143,073 | 180, 861 | 196,839 |
| Springfield | 3,235 | 4,257 | 4,361 | 3,703 | 3,486 | 2,954 | 3,487 | 3,291 |
| Toledo... | 33,732 | 30,784 | 34,719 | 35, 175 | 25,939 | 23,155 | 30, 277 | 28,353 |
| Wheeling.... | 9,045 | 8,051 | 9,509 | 9,798 | 10,778 | 8, 188 | 9,589 | 8,747 |
| Youngstown. | 15,621 | 17,539 | 19,070 | 14,819 | 15,988 | 14,577 | 19,431 | 14,186 |
| Baltimore.. | 111,778 | 108,543 | 112, 150 | 106,374 | 121,076 | 93,966 | 117,865 | 112,315 |
| Charleston. | 9,400 | 9,875 | 9, 400 | 8,933 | 10,217 | 7,102 | 8,987 | 6, 969 |
| Charlotte. | 7,872 | 7,340 | 8,867 | 8,856 | 4,100 | 4,900 | 6, 200 | 5,500 |
| Columbia. | 5,577 | 5, 42 | 5,989 | 5,771 | 7,966 | 6,464 | 7,170 | 5,527 |
| Huntington | 5,563 | 5, 175 | 6,183 | 5. 708 |  |  |  |  |
| Norfolk.. | 20,356 | 19,797 | 21,853 | 23,371 | 20,922 | 17,028 | 22,451 | 19,100 |
| Raleigh.... | 4,070 | 3,900 | 4, 258 | 4,000 | 3,600 | 3,500 | 3,999 | 3,500 |
| Richmond. | 31, 170 | 29,361 | 24,834 | 27,676 | 22,007 | 19,831 | 25,040 | 24,918 |
| No. 6-Atlanta: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Atlanta. | 31,579 | 28,668 | 30,995 | 31, 896 | 27,440 | 23,981 | 29,637 | 26,386 |
| Augusta. | 7,925 | 8,282 | 7, 539 | 7,669 | 6,712 | 7,755 | 8,175 | 6,946 |
| Birmingham | 16, 88 | 17,234 | 16,845 | 18,321 | 14,596 | 11,372 | 12,335 | 12,460 |
| Chattanooga. | 10,330 | 12,605 | 12,319 | 12,906 | 11,292 | 9,939 | 12,535 | 11,632 |
| Jacksonville. | 12,629 | 13,936 | 14, 837 | 14, 278 | 10,718 | 9,906 | 10,654 | 11,015 |
| Knoxville. | 5,803 | 6,923 | 7,422 | 7,717 | 5,359 | 5,536 | 6, 122 | 5,919 |
| Macon. . | 5,831 | 6,390 | 6,726 | 8,053 | 4,261 | 4,766 | 8,792 | 5,715 |
| Mobile. | 7,817 | 8,013 | 8,203 | 8,902 | 7,599 | 6,580 | 7,188 | 7,310 |
| Montgomery. | 5.590 | 4,636 | 5,364 | 4,880 | 4,571 | 4,878 | 3,700 | 4,038 |

Debits to individual account at clearing-house banks-Continued.
[In thousands of dollars.]

| Federal Reserve district | 1920. <br> Week ending- |  |  |  | 1919. <br> Week ending- |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June 30. | July 7. | July 14. | July 21. | July 2. | July 9. | July 16. | July 23. |
| No. 6-Atlanta-Continued. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nashville. | 24,183 | 22,374 | 27,354 | 24,329 | 20,587 | 21,018 | 21,577 | 22,68! |
| New Orleans. | 74, 284 | 79, 279 | 74,362 | 75,856 | 81, 812 | 60,501 | 64,376 | 67, 129 |
| Pensacola. | -2,044 | 2,150 15,903 | -15,184 | 2,535 15,948 | 18,192 $\mathbf{1 8} 251$ | 1,646 14,270 | 2,114 $\mathbf{1 6}, 851$ | 2,142 17,541 |
| Tampa. | 5,317 | 6,097 | 6,210 | 6,553 | 4,230 | 4,234 | 4, 162 | 3,982 |
| Vicksburg. | 1,383 | 1,792 | 1,910 | 1,763 | 1,542 | 1,551 | 1,550 | 1,254 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bay City.. | 3,265 | 3,342 | 3,321 | 3,302 | 2,600 | 2,553 | 3,567 | 2,622 |
| Bloomington | 2.785 | 2,845 | 2,854 | -2,844 | -3,162 | 2,559 | ${ }_{9}^{2,785}$ | 2,415 |
| Cedar Rapids |  | $\begin{array}{r}8,600 \\ 690 \\ \hline 699\end{array}$ | 13,975 $\mathbf{7 6 9 , 0 6 7}$ | 11,621 816,123 | 9,774 734,388 | 9,061 533,401 | 9,583 790,416 | 694, ${ }^{791}$ |
| Davenport | 7,577 | 9,789 | 8,355 | 7,482 | 7,472 | 3,870 | 6,543 | 6,153 |
| Decatur. | 4,337 | 3,905 | 4,210 | 4,167 | 3,079 | 2,922 | 3,700 | 3,497 |
| Des Moine | 21, 409 | 18,670 | 25,039 | 20,884 | 16,780 | 17,948 | 19, 267 | 18,020 |
| Detroit. | 152, 731 | 141, 300 | 159,382 | 179,234 | 134,321 | 98,630 | 152, 327 | 129,364 |
| Dubuque. | 2,726 | 4,193 | 4,153 | 2,712 | 2,500 | 2,560 | $\stackrel{2}{2} 500$ | 2,223 |
| Flint.... | 10,007 | 17,762 | 11,231 | 9,816 | 8,247 | 8,573 | 7,471 | 9,044 |
| Fort Wayne. | 7,860 | 7,733 20,523 | 8,688 | 8,104 | 6,141 | $\begin{array}{r}5,974 \\ 18,481 \\ \hline 18\end{array}$ |  | 5,139 |
| Grand Rapids | 20,830 | 20, 523 | 21,601 | 26,158 | 15,126 | 18,481 | 17,231 47,359 | 17, 5152 |
| Indianapolis. | 41,031 3,522 | 40,896 4,829 | 42,046 $\mathbf{2 , 4 9 6}$ | 43,180 4,625 | $\begin{array}{r}33,985 \\ 4,043 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 28, } \\ 3,483 \\ \hline 185\end{array}$ | 47,359 3,565 | 35,516 7,073 |
| Kalamazoo | 5,474 | 5,461 | 5,120 | 5,609 | 3,837 | 3,036 | 3,591 | 3,491 |
| Lansing. | 7,133 | 7,562 | 6,402 | 6,879 | 5,112 | 4,184 | 4,777 | 5,162 |
| Milwauke | 65,662 | 65,633 | 71,692 | 77,722 | 66,268 | 46,731 | 72,612 | 41,327 |
| Peoria. | 12,359 | 11,961 | 11,930 | 12,235 | 13,192 | 9,502 | 12,470 | 11,238 |
| Rock ford. | 6,315 | 7,277 | 6,882 | 6,311 | 5,410 | 4,549 13820 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 4, } \\ 17 \\ 17 \\ \hline 101 \\ \hline 180\end{array}$ | 4,450 |
| Sioux City | 16,020 | 14, 166 | 16,036 | 18,611 | 17,399 | 13,820 | 17,730 | 14,695 |
| couth Bend | 5,879 | 5,361 | 5,139 | 5,937 | 2,152 | ${ }_{4}^{2,666}$ | ${ }_{3}^{4,301}$ | 4, ${ }^{4} \mathbf{1 5 1}$ |
| Wpringield | 5,362 5,258 | 6,569 4,052 | 4,959 4,544 | 4,421 4,673 | 3,731 | 4,472 <br> 3 | 3,941 3,750 | 3,974 3,552 |
| No. 8-St. Louis: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Evansville. | 5,466 | 6,003 | 5,603 | 4,919 | 5,524 | 3,934 | 3,980 | 4,018 6,417 |
| Little Rock | 8,673 | 8,647 | 12,118 | 10,295 | 6,616 85,884 | 6,418 35,330 | 9,270 37 |  |
| Louisville | 37,125 | 33,429 26.882 | 37,164 29 864 | 32,548 | 35,884 29,609 | 35,330 26,531 | 37,606 32,133 | 38,7091 |
| Memphis. | 144,978 | 26,882 135,606 | -153,320 | 10,020 | 160,725 | 104,527 | 214, 693 | 151, 400 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A berdeen. | 1,552 | 1,808 | 1,840 | ${ }^{806}$ | 1,530 | 1,587 |  | 2, 498 |
| Dillings. | r $\begin{array}{r}1,765 \\ 18,818 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 1,991 16,351 | 2,037 | 2,135 $\mathbf{2 3 , 8 0 6}$ | 1,847 27,193 | 2, 183 18,626 | 2,079 $\mathbf{2 8 , 5 7 3}$ | 2,114 21,015 |
| Fargo. | $\underset{3,220}{ }$ | 4,023 | 3,892 | 3,407 | 2,477 | 2,641 | 3,168 | 5,673 |
| Grand Forks | 1,395 | 1,971 | 1,845 | 1,602 | 1,655 | 1,503 | 1,716 | 1,628 |
| Great Falls | 2,042 | 2,293 | 1,982 | 1,822 | 1,574 | 1,774 | 1,448 | 1,621 |
| Helena. | 2,081 | 2,553 | 2,369 | 2,368 | 2,264 | 2,495 | 2,457 | 2,052 |
| Minneapoli | 81,711 | 74,967 | 97,397 | 87,490 | 83,663 | 60,421 | 83,553 | 69, 200 |
| St. Panl.. | 35,606 | 34, 336 | 38,648 | 35, 996 | 31,887 | 29,979 | 40,804 | 39,772 |
| Sioux Falls | 5,734 | 5,571 | 6,126 | 6,057 |  |  |  |  |
| Superior. | 1,987 | 2,036 | 2,036 | 2,180 | 1,244 | 1,115 | 1,146 | 1,256 |
|  | 1,003 | 1,409 | 1,507 | 1,409 | 1,400 | 1,440 | 1,173 | 1,069 |
| No. 10-Kansas City: 489 519 762 675 |  |  | 762 | 675 |  |  |  |  |
| Bartlesville. | 4,686 | 3,792 | 3,462 | 3,403 | 3,595 | 2,404 | 3,007 | 2,247 |
| Cheyenne | 1,915 | 1,882 | 1,664 | 1,958 |  |  |  |  |
| Colorado Spring | 3,215 | 2,795 | 3,474 | 3,543 | 4,101 | 3,634 | 4,323 | 4,638 |
| Denver. | 39,077 | 41,667 | 43,370 | 40, 855 | 35, 877 | 24,688 | 27,689 |  |
| Joplin. | 3,155 | 3,086 | $\stackrel{3,837}{ }$ | 3,249 | 2,821 | 2, 811 | 3,603 | 2,758 |
| Kansas City, Kan | 4,121 | 4,507 | 3, ${ }^{3} 275$ | 4,099 | 3,687 |  | - ${ }^{2,654}$ | 114,643 |
| Kansas City, M | 82,353 5,919 | 79,030 5,868 | $\begin{array}{r}92,198 \\ 5,005 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 75,889 5,297 | 97,116 3,950 | $\begin{array}{r}79,623 \\ 3,958 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 104,687 4,721 | 114,643 5,380 |
| Oklahoma City | 22,560 | 22,759 | 23,672 | 24, 222 | 15,063 | 18,409 | 22,712 | ${ }^{24,275}$ |
| Omaha. | 58,174 | 53, 353 | 58,004 | 57, 186 | 59, 898 | 49,756 | 59,333 | 61,971 |
| Pueblo. | 5,404 | 5,897 | 4,815 | 4,375 | 6, 109 | 5,636 | 4,415 | 4,030 |
| St. Joseph | 16,635 | 16,906 | 12,842 | 14,056 | 18,088 | 12,646 | 16,485 | 18, 112 |
| Topeka. | 3,441 | 3,671 | 4,485 | 4,189 | 4,827 | 4,949 | 5,002 | 5,281 |
| Tulsa... | 32,287 | 25, 115 | 31, 477 | 29, 449 | 25, 212 | 27,582 | 26,203 | 21,728 |
|  |  |  | 15,508 | 18,713 | 11,385 | 13,932 | 14,457 | 14,224 |
|  |  |  |  | 1,977 |  |  |  |  |
| Austin... | 2,732 | 3,098 | 3,285 | 2,342 | 6,304 | 4,992 | 3,311 | 2,722 |
| Beaumont | 3,821 | 4,509 | 5,060 | 4,779 | 3,493 | 3,445 | 3,945 | 4,450 |
| Dallas. | 33,587 | 37, 843 | 34,420 | 36,652 | 33, 268 | 29,951 | 38,308 | 34, 134 |
| El Paso. | 8,617 | 8,279 | 8,700 | 8,592 | 6,931 | 6,299 | 6,641 | 6,354 |
| Fort Worth | 23,569 | 24,869 | 24,897 | 25, 841 | 20, 368 | 17,883 | 21,757 | 24,860 |
| Galveston. | 7,888 | 10, 104 | 8,945 41989 | 8. 650 | 8,623 | 7,713 | 8,375 | 8,830 |
| Houston... | 31,960 6,185 | 39,870 6,841 | 44,849 7,677 | 33,136 7,520 | 33,149 4,689 | 29,935 3,144 | 36.781 3 3 | 36,171 3,576 |
| Shreveport | 8,107 | 5,678 | 8,130 | 8,508 | 6,224 | 5,186 | 7,938 | 6,288 |
| Texarkana. | 1,615 | 1,411 | 2,093 | 1,422 | 1,551 | 1,532 | 2,581 | 1,67 |
| Tucson | 1,782 3,860 | 1, 1,888 | 1,910 4,240 | 745 3,850 | 1,480 2,943 | 1,530 | 1,402 3,415 | 1,52 |

Debits to individual accounts at clearing-house banks-Continued.
[In thousands of dollars.]

| Federal Reserve district. | 1920. <br> Week ending- |  |  |  | 1919. <br> Week ending- |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June 30. | July 7. | July 14. | July 21. | July 2. | July 9. | July 16. | July 23. |
| No. 12-San Francisco: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Berkeley ......... | 2,134 | 2,822 | 3,023 | 2,731 | 1,682 | 2,078 | 2,431 | 2,253 |
| Fresno... | 3,380 8,605 | 2,873 10,832 | $\begin{array}{r}3,445 \\ 10,738 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 3,578 10,019 | 2,978 5,044 | 2,763 8,255 | 8, 943 <br> 803 | - 7,393 |
| Long Beach. | 4,317 | 6,861 | 5,498 | 4,942 | 1,881 | 4,372 | 3,480 | 3,28 |
| Los Angeles.. | 98,750 | 105,010 | 107, 112 | 106, 850 | 78,665 | 61,420 | 79, 369 | 85,630 |
| Oakland. | 32, 386 | 36,388 | 38,578 | 37, 271 | 12,402 | 13,951 | 14,298 | 13,417 |
| Ogden. | 3,771 | 4,474 | 3.984 | 3,844 | 2,484 | 3,430 | 3,523 | 2,756 |
| Pasadena. | 4,954 | 4, 823 | 5,506 | 5,361 | 5,561 | 4,092 | 4.167 | 3,915 |
| Portland. | 41,327 | 45, 411 | 49,499 | 46, 538 | 45, 138 | 30, 279 | 43,691 | 33,448 |
| Reno....... | 3,309 | 3,366 | 2,992 | 3,228 | 1,336 | 1,980 | 2,433 | 2, 436 |
| Sacramento | 13,342 | 15,977 | 14,770 | 15, 112 | 10,295 | 11, 190 | 12,273 | 10,487 |
| Salt Lake City | 17,788 7,392 | 18,362 8,795 |  |  |  | 13,540 4,844 | 19,163 | 16,058 6,726 |
| San Diego-... | 7,392 232,759 | 8,795 215,598 | 9,843 $\mathbf{2 9 9}, 798$ | 8,593 239,562 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 5, } \\ \text { 173, } \\ \hline 867\end{array}$ | 4,844 157,477 | 6,106 210,320 |  |
| San Jose.... | 4,905 | 7,695 | 6,785 | 5,856 | 3,745 | 5,752 | 4,167 | 4, 195 |
| Seattle. | 44,302 | 41,046 | 47,840 | 47,428 | 51,561 | 37,750 | 54,031 | 44,912 |
| Spokane. | 11,073 | 12,174 | 13,332 | 12, 527 | 10,903 | 10, 223 | 11,339 | 10,970 |
| Stockton. | 5, 180 | 7,000 | 6, 100 | 6,559 | 5,980 1094 | 6,361 | 6,453 | 6,015 |
| Tacoma. | 9,338 2,518 | 10,828 2,959 | 12,473 3,280 | 12,441 3,044 | 10,943 2,572 | 8,132 1,900 | 11,941 2,514 | $\underset{2,061}{11,341}$ |
| Yakima | 2,518 | 2,959 | 3,280 | 3,044 | 2,572 | 1,900 | 2,514 | 2,061 |

Recapitulation, by Federal Reserve districts.
[In thousands of dollars.]

| Federal Reserve districts. | Number of centers included. | $\stackrel{1920}{ } \text { Week ending- }$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1919 \\ & \text { Week ending- } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | June 30. | Juiy 7. | July 14. | July 21. | July 2. | July 9. | July 16. | July 23. |
| Boston. | 12 | 444,002 | 458,925 | 492,847 | 504,089 | 515,221 | 361,046 | 505,703 | 461,811 |
| New York. | 7 | 4,611, 442 | 4,228,726 | 4,721,074 | 4, 551, 657 | 5,238, 289 | 4,310, 675 | 5,706,089 | 5,563, 882 |
| Philadelphia | 13 | ${ }^{455,523}$ | 439,028 | 433,083 | 432,016 | 440,783 | 356, 799 | ${ }^{434,933}$ | 426,785 |
| Cleveland.. | 14 | 630,939 | 590,155 | 625,987 | 647, 127 | 615,964 | 459, 894 | 590, 527 | 557,320 |
| Richmond | 7 | 190,223 | 184, 558 | 187,351 | 185,981 | 189,888 | 152,791 | 191, 712 | 177, 829 |
| Atlanta. | 15 | 225,665 | 234,282 | ${ }^{237,762}$ | 241,636 | 221, 192 | 187, 133 | 209,768 | 206, 153 |
| Chicago. | 23 | 1,136,087 | 1, 102,927 | 1,209,122 | 1,282, 650 | 1,102,930 | 830,679 | 1,200, 863 | 1,032,080 |
| St. Louis. | 5 | 225, 060 | 210, 567 | ${ }^{238} 81069$ | 238,764 | 238,358 | 176,740 | 297,682 | 227,634 |
| Minneapolis | 11 | 151,180 | 144,038 | 177,419 | 163,021 | 156,734 | 123, 714 | 168, 173 | 147, 898 |
| Kansas City. | 14 | 293,099 | 282,657 | 305,424 | 288,325 | 291, 729 | 253,217 | 299, 291 | 310, 213 |
| Dallas. | 13 | 135, 246 | 149,964 | 156, 178 | 144,014 | 130, 826 | 118, 530 | 140, 252 | 136, 108 |
| San Francisco | 20 | 551,530 | 563, 294 | 593, 175 | 593,793 | 450, 273 | 389, 789 | 505, 245 | 458, 978 |
| Total. | 154 | 9,049,996 | 8,589, 121 | 9,377, 491' | 9,273,073 | 9,592, 187 | 7,699,007 | 10,250, 238 | 9,706, 691 |

Note.-Figures for the following centers, while shown in the body of the statement, are not included in the recapitulation, complete data for these centers not being available for each week under review: Manchester, N. H.; Portland, Me.; Atchison, Kans.; Cheyenne, Wyo.; Sioux Falls, S. Dak.; Huntington, W. Va.

## DISCOUNT AND OPEN-MARKET OPERATIONS OF THE FEDERAL RESERVE BANKS DURING JUNE, 1920.

Detailed tables showing the discount and open-market operations of each Federal Reserve Bank during June, 1920, are shown on pages 869 to 871 . Following is a summary, for the system as a whole, of the operations in June and May of the current year with comparative figures for 1919:

Summary of discount and open-market operations of Federal Reserve Banks in June and May, 1920 and 1919.
[In thousands of dollars.]


During the month of June the total of discount operations of the Federal Reserve Banks was about 200 millions larger than during May, and about 8 millions larger than during June, 1919. It should be noted that the totals for discounts in the table are exclusive of bills discounted for other Federal Reserve Banks, which totaled 273 millions during June and 270 millions during May of this year, and 355 millions during June and 258 millions during May of the past year.
While the discounts for the system as a whole were larger in June than in May, the totals for June were smaller for the Boston, Cleveland, Richmond, Atlanta, St. Louis, and San Francisco banks, the decrease for the lastnamed bank being particularly notable.

Of the total bills discounted by the Federal Reserve Banks the proportion secured by Government war obligations was 72 per cent, compared with 73 per cent the month before and 95 and 97 per cent for June and May, 1919. Discounts of trade acceptances totaled about 2.6 millions less in June than in May of this year, but were about 6 millions above the amount shown for June of last year. Discounted bankers' acceptances also show a decline in June, the total being about 6 millions less than in May, but still about 9 millions more than in June, 1919, when the aggregate of this class of paper discounted was less than one-half million. Discounts of commercial paper proper and of agricultural and livestock paper totaled 172 millions more in June than in May of this year, the amount for June being 1,484 millions above the corresponding amount for June, 1919.

In June, as in the preceding three months, about 88 per cent of the discounts consisted of 15 -day paper, i. e., paper maturing within 15 days after date of discount or rediscount with the Federal Reserve Banks. A year ago this proportion was much higher, viz. 97 per cent in June and 98 per cent in May. Sixmonth bills, composed of agricultural and livestock paper, totaled 53 millions in June, as compared with 41 millions the month before and 17 millions during June, 1919. The average maturity of the bills discounted in June figures out at 14.48 days, as compared with 14.74 days in May and 9.79 days in June of last year.

A further increase in the average rate of discount, from 5.74 per cent in May to 6.2 per cent in June, is noted, the average rate for the corresponding months in 1919 being 4.16 and 4.19 per cent. Four of the Federal Reserve Banks adopted before June systems of progressive rates, namely, the Atlanta, St. Louis, Kansas City, and Dallas banks. The average rates of discount were higher in June than in May for each of the Federal Reserve Banks, with the exception of the Kansas City bank, where a system of progressive rates has been in operation since the latter part of April.

Total bills purchased in open market in June were about 12 millions more than the month before, but about 6 millions less than a year before. The increase in June over May of this year was in trade acceptances,
which rose from about 4 to about 24 millions, while bankers' acceptances purchased totaled about 12 millions less in June than in May. Bankers' acceptances in the domestic trade show a decline for the most recent month of about 10 millions, and bankers' acceptances in the foreign trade a decline of about 2 millions, while trade acceptances in the domestic trade increased by about one-half million and trade acceptances in the foreign trade by about 20 millions.

The average maturity of all paper purchased by the Federal Reserve Banks during June was 45.68 days, compared with 44.22 days the month before and with 45.6 days the year before. The average rate charged on acceptances purchased in June was 6.07 per cent, marking an advance from the May average of 5.96 per cent, while for June and for May, 1919, the corresponding rate was 4.24 per cent.

During the month under review 66 banks were added to the membership of the system, the total number of members increasing from 9,329 to 9,395 , while the number of banks accommodated through discount of paper increased from 4,645 in May to 4,948 in June. The number of members in each district at the end of June and of May and the number accommodated during each month is shown in the following statement:

| Federal Reserve Bank. | Number of member banks in district. |  | Number of mem ber banks accom. modated. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June 30. | May 31. | June. | May. |
| Boston. | 432 | 432 | 246 | 252 |
| New Yor. | 772 | 771 | 365 |  |
| Philadelphia | 688 | 687 | 378 | 374 |
| Cleveland... | 861 | 859 | 296 | 307 |
| Richmond. | 601 | 598 | 377 | 356 |
| Atlanta.. | 438 | 437 | 267 | 254 |
| Chicago.. | 1,394 | 1,389 | 739 | 735 |
| St. Louis. | 562 | 561 | 297 | 301 |
| Minneapolis. | 972 | 961 | 495 | 441 |
| Kansas (ity. | 1,062 | 1,059 | 494 | 471 |
| Dallas.... | 812 | 794 | 610 | 404 |
| San Francisco | 801 | 781 | 384 | 361 |
| Total. | 9,395 | 9,329 | 4,948 | 4,645 |

Federal Reserve Bank holdings of discounted and purchased bills, by classes of paper, at the end of June and of May, 1920 and 1919, are shown in detail on pages 872 and 873 , and are summarized in the table below:

Summary of discounted and purchased paper held by the Federal Reserve Banks at the end of June and of May, 1920 and 1919. ${ }^{1}$
[In thousands of dollars.]

' For discounts the figures are for the last Friday of each month; lor purchased paper, for the last day of each month.

Among the principal changes between May and June in holdings of discounted paper the following are to be noted: A decrease of 170 millions in paper secured by Government war obligations, partly offset by an increase of 82 millions in paper not so secured. Holdings of agricultural paper were larger by 20 millions at the end of June than at the end of May of this year, while the most recent total of 83 millions was 52 millions in excess of the corresponding amount a year earlier. Live-stock paper shows an increase of 8 millions for the month of June and an increase of 47 millions for the year, while bankers' and trade acceptance holdings were smaller at the end of June of this year than at the end of May, but considerably larger than at the end of June, 1919, the increase for bankers' accentances being from 7 to 20 millions, and for trade accentances from about 1 to 25 millions. Holdings of commercial paper not otherwise specified show a further increase, from 867 millions in May to 941 millions in June, the corresponding figures in 1919 being 119 and 167 millions, respectively. While commercial paper constituted only 9.2 per cent of all discounts held at the end of June, 1919, this proportion rose to 34.3 per cent at the end of May and to 38.7 per cent at the end of June of this year.

Holdings of purchased acceptances at the end of June were about 385 millions, marking a decrease of about 35 millions from the end of May of this year but an increase of about 70 millions since June, 1919. While bankers' acceptances held show a decrease of about 39 millions from the end of May to the end of June, trade acceptances increased by about 3 millions. As compared with June, 1919, bankers' acceptances held on the most recent date show an increase of about 58 millions and trade acceptances an increase of over 10 mil-
lions, the holdings of the latter at the end of June of last year having been less than 2 millions. Of the bankers' acceptances held at the end of June 68.6 per cent were acceptances by member banks, 15.1 per cent by nonmember State banks and trust companies, 10.4 per cent by private banks, and 5.9 per cent by foreign bank branches and agencies. Of the trade acceptances held, about 10 millions were based on transactions in the domestic trade and about 2 millions on transactions in the foreign trade.

Total discount and open-market operations of each Federal Reserve Bank during the month of June, 1980.

| Federal Reserve Bank. | Bills discounted for member banks. | Bills bought in open market. | United States securities purchased. |  |  | Total. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Bonds. | Victory notes. | Certif cates of indehtedness. | June, 1920. | June, 1919. |
| Boston. | \$416, 684, 913 | \$23,960, 722 |  |  | \$53, 475, 500 | \$494, 121, 135 | \$375, 725,026 |
| New York | 3, 425, 122, 642 | 160,559,278 |  |  | 762, 769,500 | 4, 348,461,420 | 3,354,611,216 |
| Philadelphia | 496,288,767 | 1, 033, $53^{\circ}$ |  |  | 28,510, 000 | $525,832,297$ | 1, 088, 293, 030 |
| Cleveland. | 224, 297, 738 | 29, 244,978 |  |  | 84, 527, 500 | 338,070, 216 | 252, 156,990 |
| Richmond | 287, 363, 052 | 3, 501, 948 |  |  |  | 290, 865,000 | 406, 366,036 |
| Atlanta. | 162,751,021 | 2,979,12' |  |  |  | 165, 730,145 | 168,556,993 |
| Chicago. | 560, 925,938 | 35,419,808 |  |  | 34, 437,500 | 630,783,246 | 423,769, 919 |
| St. Louis | 178,176, 535 | 3,215,491 |  |  | 27, 168,000 | 208,560, 026 | 184, 850, 182 |
| Minneapolis | 94, 757, 699 | 1,424, 843 |  |  | 25,321,000 | 121, 503,542 | 55, 528, 177 |
| Kansas City | 142,708, 174 | 1,757,449 |  |  | 9.226, 000 | 153,691,623 | 142,111,061 |
| Dallas........ | 117,290, 25.3 | $\begin{array}{r}60,000 \\ \hline 205\end{array}$ |  |  | 31,370, 000 | 148,720, 253 | 114,043, 122 |
| San Francisco | 230, 275, 223 | 22,585,448 |  |  | 118,639, 500 | 371, 500, 171 | 185, 901, 324 |
| Total. June, 1920. | 6,336,641,955 | 285,752,619 |  |  | 1,175, 444, 500 | 7,797,839,071 |  |
| Total, June, 1919.................... | 6, 328,911,530 | 291,915,446 | \$50 | \$277, 550 | 150, 808, 500 |  | 6,771,913,076 |
| $1920$ | 38, 431, 408, 230 | 1,713,703, 385 | 223,050 | 5,050 | 4,658, 359,000 | 44, 803, 708,715 |  |
| Total, 6 months ending June 30, 1919. | 33, 065, 028, 179 | 1,072,767, 802 | 1,327,775 | 363,450 | $1,564,655,500$ |  | ${ }^{1} 38,704,143.806$ |
| ${ }^{1}$ Includes $\$ 1,000$ municipal warrants. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Average amount of earning assets held by each Federal Reserve Bank during June, 1920, earnings from each class of earning assets, and annual rates of earnings on basis of June, 1920, returns.

|  | Average daily holdings of the several classes of earning assets. |  |  |  | Earnings from- |  |  |  | Calculated annual rate of earnings trom- |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Discounted bills. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Purchased } \\ \text { bills. } \end{gathered}$ | United States securities. | Total. | Discounted bills. | Purchased bills. | United States securities. | Total. | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Dis- } \\ \text { counted } \\ \text { bills. } \end{array}\right\|$ | Purchased bills. | United States securities. | Total. |
| Boston | \$158, 915, 248 | \$31, 899, 755 | \$24,062, 292 | \$214, 877, 295 | \$758, 836 | \$157, 872 | \$40, 871 | 8957, 579 | $\begin{array}{r} P e r c t . \\ 5.83 \end{array}$ | Perct. | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Per ct. } \\ 2.07 \end{array}$ | Perct. 5. 44 |
| New York | 788, 272,697 | 183, 358,598 | 104, 413, 843 | 1,076, 045,138 | 3, 543, 939 | 900, 441 | 216,567 | 4,960,947 | 5.95 | 5.98 | 2.53 | 5.62 |
| Philadelph | 205, 214, 643 | 1,965,078 | 34, 525, 450 | 241, 705, 171 | 924, 905 | 9,731 | 64, 634 | -999, 270 | 5.50 | 6. 04 | 2.28 | 5.04 |
| Cleveland | 161, 770, 746 | 55, 712, 608 | 27, 222, 283 | 244, 705, 637 | 754, 719 | 274, 056 | 46, 556. | 1,075, 331 | 5.69 | 6.00 | 2.09 | 5.35 |
| Richmond | 97, 383, 865 | $8,86 \overline{3}, 330$ | 13, 494, 210 | 119,743, 405 | 468,981 | 44,636 | 22, 254 | 535, 871 | 5.88 | 6.14 | 2.01 | 5.46 |
| Atlanta. | 114,709, 207 | 4,981,629 | 15, 882,483 | 135, 573, 319 | 541, 130 | 24,908 | 26, 215 | 592, 253 | 5.76 | 6.10 | 2.01 | 5.33 |
| Chicago. | 427,021, 228 | 54,609, 762 | 45, 217, 484 | $526,848,474$ | 2,128, 317 | 208,019 | 77, 733 | 2.474,069 | 6.08 | 5.99 | 2.10 | 5.73 |
| St. Louis. | 106,641, 474 | 3,637, 191 | 19, 212,567 | 129,491, 232 | 490,315 | 17, 778 | 32,974 | 541, 067 | 5.61 | 5.96 | 2.09 | 5.10 |
| Minneapolis | 73,248, 000 | 4, 039,000 | 9, 457,000 | 86, 744, 000 | 378, 309 | 17, 242 | 15, 720 | 411, 271 | 6. 30 | 5.21 | 2.03 | 5.78 |
| Kansas City | 109,515, 689 | 1,773, 343 | 22, 247,400 | 133, 536, 432 | 554, 548 | 8,623 | 38,986 | 602, 157 | 6.18 | 5.93 | 2.14 | 5.50 |
| Dallas.... | 73, 806, 819 | 708,007 | 13,420, 300 | 87, 935, 126 | 345, 373 | 3,599 | 22,929 | 371,901 | 5.69 | 6. 10 | 2.07 | 5. 14 |
| San Francisco | 144, 521, 967 | 49,633,508 | 18, 289, 78.3 | 212, 445, 258 | 698,423 | 239,885 | 31, 741 | 970,049 | 5.90 | 5.90 | 2.12 | 5.57 |
| Total, June, 1920 | 2,461,021,583 | 401,183, 809 | 347,445,095 | 3,209,650,487 | 1,887,795 | 1,966,790 | 637,180 | 4,491,765 | 5.89 | 5.98 | 2.24 | 5.51 |
| Total, June. 1919. | \|1, 842, 111, 95! | 246, 157, 789 | 235, 722, 33, | 2, 323,992,075 | 6, 361, 387 | 848,092 | 451, 324 | 7,660,803 | 4.20 | 4.19 | 2.33 | 4.01 |

Bills discounted during the month of June, 1920, distributed by classes; also average rates and maturities of bills discounted by each Federal Reserve Bank.

| Federal Reserve Bank. | Customers' <br> paper secured by Government war obligations. | Member banks' collateral notes. |  | Trade acceptances. | Bankers' acceptances. | All other (commercial n.e.s., agricultural and live-stock paper). | Total. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } \\ \text { maturity } \\ \text { in } \\ \text { days. } \end{gathered}$ | Average rate (365-day basis). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Secured by Government war obligations. | Otherwise secured. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Per cent. |
| Boston. | \$12.289,026 | \$337, 099, 120 |  | \$414, 809 | \$489,639 | \$66, 392,319 | \$416,684, 913 | 12.99 | 6.24 |
| New York | 64, 056, 828 | 2,355,679,526 |  | 12,142,998 | 22,065,055 | 1,001,178, 225 | 3,425,122, 642 | 7.10 | 6.19 |
| Philadelphia | 16,013,900 | 359, 097, 886 |  | 354,533 | 291,550 | 120,530, 898 | 496,288, 767 | 12.32 | 5.51 |
| Cleveland. | 7,421,322 | 179,480,080 | 8767,000 | 1,217,192 | 177,528 | 35,234, 616 | 224,297,738 | 17.31 | 5.75 |
| Richmond | 3,399,082 | 239,109, 667 | 1, 141, 300 | 1,803, 878 |  | 41,908,925 | 287,363, 052 | 15.74 | 5. 95 |
| Atlanta. | 4,406,562 | 113,706, 135 | 490,000 | 1,924, 958 | 253, 444 | 41,969, 922 | 162,751, 021 | 25.59 | 5.82 |
| Chicago. | 16, 198, $\times 18$ | 329, 900, 321 | 2,021,900 | 1,222,262 | 460, 999 | 211, 121, 638 | 560, 925, 938 | 35.75 | 6. 64 |
| St. Louis. | 7, 606,355 | 102, 899, 084 | , 290,600 | 743,548 | 726,900 | 65,910, 048 | 178, 176,535 | 26.38 | 5.94 |
| Minneapolis | 1,189,056 | 45, 514, 640 | 1,510,000 | 374,736 |  | 46, 169,267 | 94, 757,699 | 43. 62 | 6.78 |
| Kansas City | 4,499,367 | 98, 860,223 | 511, 800 | 1,001,913 | 30, 021 | 37, 804, 850 | 142,708, 174 | 35.02 | 6.72 |
| Dallas... | 1,579,826 | 89,923,728 | 459,000 | 729,460 | 153,074 | 24, 445, 165 | 117,290,253 | 29.11 | 5. 95 |
| San Francisco | 1,866, 123 | 153,450,985 | 1,029,800 | ${ }^{3} 1,990,016$ | 4,783,302 | 67, 154,997 | 230,275,223 | 26.22 | 5.93 |
| Total, June, 1920 | 140, 526, 265 | 4,404, 721, 395 | 8,221, 600 | 13,920, 303 | 9, 431, 522 | 1,759, 820, 870 | 6,336,641, 955 | 14. 48 | 6.20 |
| Total, June, 1919 | 134, 483, 163 | 5, 901, 794, 546 | 20,272,961 | 7, 948, 697 | 496,352 | 263, 915, 811 | 6,328,911,530 | 9.79 | 4.19 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes $\$ 88,000$ in the foreign trade. ${ }^{2}$ Includes $\$ 65,000$ of dollar exchange bills. $\quad{ }^{8}$ Includes $\$ 42,750$ in the foreign trade.
Bankers' and trade acceptances in the foreign and domestic trade and dollar exchange bills purchased during the month of June, 1920; also average rates and maturities of bills purchased by each Federal Reserve Bank.

| Federal Reserve Bank. | Bankers' acceptances. |  |  | Trade acceptances. |  |  | Dollar exchange bills. | Total bills purchased. | Average maturity in days. | Average rate (365-day basis). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In the domestic trade. | In the foreign trade. | Total. | In the domestic trade. | In the foreign trade. | Total. |  |  |  |  |
| ston | \$11, 467, 425 | \$12,488,297 | \$23,955, 722 |  |  |  | \$5,000 | 223,960,722 | 32.91 | Per cent. |
| New York | 27, 477, 744 | 105,244, 645 | 132,722,389 | \$1,484, 767 | \$22,055,797 | \$23,540,564 | 4,306,325 | 160,569,278 | 39.49 | 6.06 |
| Philadelphia | 321, 900 | 711,630 | 1,033, 530 |  |  |  |  | 1,033,530 | 50.27 | 5.99 |
| Cleveland.. | 6,743, 442 | 22,371,536 | 29, 114,978 |  |  |  | 130,000 | 29,244, 978 | 56.02 | 6.03 |
| Richmond. | 684, 000 | 2, 817,948 | 3, 501, 948 |  |  |  |  | 3,501, 948 | 47.72 | 6.08 |
| Atlanta. | 2,268,117 | 711,007 | 2, 979, 124 |  |  |  |  | 2,979, 124 | 66.83 | 6.08 |
| Chicago. | 7, 474, 571 | 27, 311,857 | 34, 786, 428 |  |  |  | 633,380 | 35,419,808 | 64.58 | 6.12 |
| St. Louis. | 2, 795, 491 | 420,000 | 3,215, 491 |  |  |  |  | 3,215, 491 | 37.21 | 6.20 |
| Minneapolis | 95,000 | 1,329,843 | 1,424, 843 |  |  |  |  | 1,424, 843 | 57.32 | 6.06 |
| Kansas City |  | 1,757,449 | 1,757,449 |  |  |  |  | 1,757,449 | 66.43 | 6.08 |
| Dallas.. | 60,000 |  | 60,000 |  |  |  |  | 60,000 | 38.00 | 6.08 |
| San Francisco | 3,422, 845 | 18,208,765 | 21,631,610 | 62,307 | 816,531 | 878,838 | 75,000 | 22,585, 448 | 56.33 | 6.00 |
| Total, June, 1920. | 62,810,535 | 193,372,977 | 256, 183,512 | 1,547,074 | 22,872,328 | 24, 419,402 | 5, 149, 705 | 285, 752, 619 | 45.72 | 6.07 |
| Total, June, 1919. | 62,218,856 | 227,983, 824 | 290, 202, 680 | 661,269 | 988,940 | 1,645,209 | 5, 67,557 | 291, 915,446 | 45.60 | 4.24 |

Amount of bills discounted and acceptances bought by each Federal Reserve Bank during April, May, and June, 1920 and 1919, distributed by maturities.

| Federal Reserve Bank. | 15-day maturities. |  |  | 30-day maturities. |  |  | 60 -day matırities. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Discounts. | Acceptances. | Total. | Discounts. | Acceptances. | Total. | Discounts. | Acceptances. | Total. |
| Boston. | \$1,001, 301.420 | \$32, 318, 195 | \$1, 033, 619,615 | \$38,674, 783 | \$10, 303,757 | \$48, 978, 540 | \$64, 590, 550 | \$28,005, 688 | \$92, 596, 238 |
| New York | 9,401,501, 512 | 151, 769,926 | 9,553, 271, 438 | 38, 299, 109 | 67,651,212 | 105,950, 321 | 77,301, 220 | 88, 684, 335 | 165,985,555 |
| Philadelphia | 1, 409, 690, 230 | 112,500 | 1, 409, 802, 730 | 16, 720,865 | 410,950 | 17,131, 815 | 16, 484, 528 | 6144,991 | 17, 129,519 |
| Cleveland. | 630, 151, 397 | 6, 095, 740 | 636, 247, 137 | 15, 968, 689 | 7,772,574 | 23, 741, 263 | 33, 610, 137 | 31, 656, 504 | 65, 266, 641 |
| Richmond | 779, 749, 494 | 1, 090, 984 | 780, 840, 478 | 18,720, 926 | $1,442,153$ | 20, 163,079 | 39, 476, 840 | 6, 560, 602 | 46, 037, 442 |
| Atlanta. | 378, 725, 564 | 708, 050 | 379, 433, 614 | 16, 153, 384 | 1, 747,600 | 17,900,984 | 32, 293, 745 | 3,948, 687 | 36, 242, 432 |
| Chicago. | 1,029, 558, 847 | 16,647,693 | 1,046,206,540 | 66, 908, 458 | 4, 763,392 | 71, 671, 8.50 | 156,723, 963 | 38,600, 484 | 195, 324, 417 |
| St. Louis. | 442, 675, 777 | 4,411,035 | 447, 086, 812 | 23, 998, 468 | 70,662 | 24, 069, 130 | 100, 031,451 | 1,325, 669 | 101, 357, 120 |
| Minneapolis. | 144, 891, 914 | 96,919 | 144,988, 833 | 20, 853, 141 | 781, 402 | 21, 634,543 | 26, 319, 759 | 2,184, 350 | 28,504, 109 |
| Kansas City | 282, 146, 761 |  | 282, 146, 761 | 13, 385. 293 | 232,329 | 13, 617,621 | 32, 611, 329 | 1,678,406 | 34, 289, 735 |
| Dallas... | 270, 378, 131 |  | 270, 378, 131 | 4, 938, 323 | 109, 635 | 5, 047,958 | 17, 985, 391 | 1,193, 675 | 19, 179, 066 |
| San Francisco | 708,200,994 | 2,559,962 | 710,760, 956 | 19, 743, 108 | 12,262,977 | 32,006, 085 | 45, 813,713 | 44, 640,662 | 90,454,375 |
| Total three months ending: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 30, 1920.. June 30, 1919. . | $16,478,972,041$ $19,090,756,531$ | 215, 811, 004 $142,253,112$ | $16,694,783,045$ $19,233,009,643$ | $294,364,547$ $.53,349,156$ | $107,548,642$ $\mathbf{9 9}, 603,995$ | 401, 913,189 $152,953,151$ | $643,242,626$ $118,415,536$ | 249, 124, 053 | $\begin{aligned} & 892,366,679 \\ & 307,776,356 \end{aligned}$ |

Amount of bills discounted and acceptances bought by each Federal Reserve Bank during April, May, and June, 1920 and 1919, distributed by maturities-Continued.

| Federal Reserve Bank. | 90-day maturities. |  |  | Over 90-day maturities. |  |  | Total. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Discounts. | Acceptances. | Total. | Discounts. | Acceptances. | Total. | Discounts. | Acceptances. | Total. |
| Boston. | \$79, 258,769 | \$11, 791, 160 | \$91, 049,929 | \$27, 978 |  | \$27,978 | \$1, 183, 853,500 | \$82, 418,800 | \$1, 206, 272, 300 |
| New York | 254, 830,731 | 105, 316, 354 | 360, 147, 085 | 92,214 |  | 92, 214 | 9, 772, 024, 786 | 413, 421, 827 | 10, 185, 446, 613 |
| Philadelphia | 82, 575,965 | 1,360, 892 | 83,936, 857 | 15,339 |  | 15, 339 | 1, 525, 486, 927 | 2,529, 333 | 1,528, 016,260 |
| Cleveland | 46,787,786 | 33, 938,387 | 80,726, 173 | 242, 137 |  | 242,137 | 726, 760, 146 | 79, 463, 205 | $806,223,351$ |
| Riehmond | 33, 436,604 | 4, 790,622 | 38,227, 226 | 2,976,534 |  | 2, 976, 334 | 874, 360,398 | 13,884,361 | 888, 244, 759 |
| Atlanta.. | 59, 702,407 | 3, 880, 173 | 63,582,580 | 6,684,623 |  | 6, 684, 623 | 493, 559,723 | 10, 284.510 | 503, 844,233 |
| Chicago. | 323, 518,218 | 30, 828,050 | 354,346, 268 | 20,188, 393 |  | 20, 188, 393 | 1, 596, 897,879 | $90,839,619$ | 1,687,737.498 |
| St. Louis. | 75, 704,434 | 4,163, 865 | 79, 868,299 | 3,850, 876 |  | 3,850, 876 | 646, 261,006 | 9, 971, 231 | 656,232, 237 |
| Minneapolis | 62, 396, 838 | 3,120, 260 | 65,517.098 | 17, 251, 419 |  | 17, 251,419 | 271, 713,071 | 6, 182,931 | 277, 896, 002 |
| Kansas City | 52, 896, 800 | 925, 691 | $53,822,491$ | 37, 219, 5576 |  | 37, 219, 556 | 418,259,739 | 2, 836, 425 | 421, 096,164 |
| Dallas.. | 26, 945, 306 | 780, 000 | 27, 725,306 | 15,417,977 |  | 15,417,977 | 335,665, 128 | 2,083,310 | 337, 748, 438 |
| San Francisco | 64, 254,463 | 34, 204, 546 | 98,459,009 | 19, 512,063 |  | 19,512,063 | 857, 524, 341 | 93,668, 147 | 951, 192,488 |
| Total threemonths ending: <br> June 30,1920 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 30, 1919.. | $1,307,526,069$ | 148,986,620 | $\begin{aligned} & 397,408,321 \\ & 456,502,689 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23,49,109 \\ 46,098,934 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 23,49,109 \\ 46,098,934 \end{array}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 19,702,366,644 \\ & 19,616,146,226 \end{aligned}\right.$ | 580,204, 547 | $\begin{array}{r} 19,509,950,343 \\ 20,196,350,773 \end{array}$ |

Rediscounts and sales of discounted and purchased paper between Federal Reserve Banks from Apr. 1 to June 30, 1920.
[In thousands of dollars.]

| Discounted or purchased by FederalReserve Bank of-- | Rediscounted or sold by Federal Reserve Bank of- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | New York. |  | Philatelphia. |  | Richmond. |  |  | AtJanta. |  | Chicago. |  |  |
|  | April. | June. | April. | May. | April. | May. | June. | May. | June. | April. | May. | June. |
| Boston. |  | 5,003 | 29,500 |  |  | 30,000 | 30,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| New York. |  |  | 27,500 5,000 | 3,500 | 15,000 40,000 | 45,000 |  | 10,802 3,000 | 14,002 6,850 | 50,500 23,000 | 38,000 15,000 | 28,011 18,000 |
| Minneapolis. |  |  | 5,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dallas....... |  |  |  |  | 20,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| San Francisco.. | 2,371 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total. | 2,371 | 5,003 | 67,000 | 3,500 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 90,000 | 13,802 | 20,852 | 73,500 | 53,000 | 46,011 |
| Purchased bills Discounted bills. | 2,371 | 5,003 | 67,000 | 3,500 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 90,000 | 13,802 | 20,852 | 73,500 | 53,000 | 11 46,000 |


| Discounted or purchased by Federal | Rediscounted or sold by Federal Reserve Bank of- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | St. Louis. |  |  | Minneapolis. |  |  | Kansas City. |  |  | Dallas. |  |  | San <br> Francisco. |
|  | April. | May. | June. | April. | May. | June. | April. | May. | June. | April. | May. | June. | April. |
| Boston. | 30,000 | 5,000 | 3,000 |  |  | 1,500 | 6,000 | 8,000 | 13,000 |  |  | 9,000 |  |
| New York... | 15,000 | 25,000 5,000 | 5,000 | 13,500 5,000 | 27,500 | 16,000 26,500 | 16,000 | 24,000 | 23,000 6,000 | 3,000 | 18,000 | 10,000 3,000 | 10,058 |
| Minneapolis.. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dallas.......... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| San Francisco.. | 7,500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,000 |  |  |  |
| Total. | 73,500 | 35,000 | 8,000 | 18,500 | 40,000 | 44,000 | 22,000 | 32,000 | 42,000 | 4,000 | 18,000 | 22,000 | 10,058 |
| Purchased bills.... Discounted bills... | $\begin{array}{r} 6,000 \\ 67500 \end{array}$ | 35,000 | 8,000 | 18,500 | 40,000 | 44,000 | 22,000 | 32,000 | 42,000 | 4,000 | 18,000 | 22,000 | 10,058 |

Rediscounts and sales of discounted and purchased paper between Federal Reserve Banksfrom Apr. 1 to June 30, 1920-.Contd.

| Discounted or purchased by Federal Reserve Bank of- | Rediscounted or sold by all Federal Reserre Banks. |  |  |  |  |  | Rediscounted or sold by all Federal Reserve Banks since Jan. 1, 1920. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | April. | May. | June. | Total. | Purchased bills. | Discounted bills. | Total. | Purchased bills. | Discounted bills. |
| Boston. | 57,500 | 43,000 | 61,503 | 162,003 | 5,003 | 157,000 | 232,582 | 8,582 | 224,000 |
| New York. | 158,558 | 131, 812 | 96, 13 | 386, 373 | 10,069 | 376, 304 | 392, 271 | 10,967 | 381,304 |
| Cleveland. | 94, ¢ 0 | 95,550 | 12,33 | 39,85] | C,0.0 | 303,850 | 428,607 | 29,657 | 398,950 |
| Atlanta... |  |  |  |  |  |  | 57,087 | 5,087 | 52,000 |
| Chicago... |  |  |  |  |  |  | 173,590 | 5,090 | 168,500 |
| St. Louis. ${ }^{\text {Minneapolis. }}$ | 5,000 |  |  | 5,000 |  | 5,000 | 13,000 40,029 |  |  |
| Kansas City. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25,049 | 5,049 | 20,000 |
| Dallas........ | 20,000 |  |  | 20,000 <br> 10 <br> 871 |  | 20,000 8,500 | 143,000 29 |  | 143,000 |
| San Francisco. | 10,871 |  |  | 10,871 | 2,371 | 8,500 | 29, 279 | 5,779 | 23, 500 |
| Total. | 345, 929 | 270, 302 | 277, 866 | 894,097 |  |  | 1,534,494 |  |  |
| Purchased bills..... Discounted bills.... | $\begin{array}{r} 18,429 \\ 327,500 \end{array}$ | 270,302 | r $\begin{array}{r}5,014 \\ 272,852\end{array}$ |  | 23,443 | 870,654 |  | 70,211 | , 464, 283 |

Discounted bills, including member banks' collateral notes, held by each Federal Reserve Bank, on the last Friday in June, 1920, distributed by classes.
[In thoasands of doliars.]

| Federal Reserve Bank. | Agricultural paper. | Live-stock paper. | Customers' paper secured by Government war obligations. | Member banks' collateral notes. |  | Trade acceptances. | Bankers' acceptances. | All other (commercial pafer n. e. s.). | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Secured by Government war obligations. | Otherwise secured. |  |  |  |  |
| Boston. |  |  | 30,880 | 55,316 |  | 358 | 769 |  |  |
| New York. | 624 |  | 140,043 | 378, 460 |  | 3,739 | 12,523 | 246,173 | 781,562 |
| Philadelphia | 278 | 3 | 62,871 | 98,363 |  | ${ }^{816}$ | 1271 | 27,719 | 190, 421 |
| Cleveland. | 140 | 269 | 17,077 | 102,500 | 151 | 1,657 | 325 | 51, 291 | 173,410 |
| Richmond. | 4,439 | ${ }_{1} 142$ | 8,991 | 39, 311 | 768 | 1,506 |  | 43, 910 | ${ }^{99} 0067$ |
| Atlanta.. | 5,4]2 | 1,718 | 7,695 | 41, 289 | 165 | 2,059 | 1,959 | 53,761 | 114,058 |
| Chicago. | 27,706 |  | 18,413 | 112,334 3989 | 272 | 3,491 | 2,970 | 261, 769 | 426,955 |
| St. Louis.... | 2,297 9,663 | 1,958 20 207 | $\begin{array}{r}14,772 \\ 2 \\ \hline 191\end{array}$ | 39,8-9 | 147 732 | 1,137 | 993 | 45,546 35,507 | 106,079 |
| Minneanolis. | -9,663 | - 35,243 | 7, ${ }^{2} \mathbf{2 9 1}$ | 26,053 | ${ }_{287}^{732}$ | ${ }_{919}^{420}$ | 66 | 32,942 | $\begin{array}{r}72,125 \\ 110 \\ \hline 138\end{array}$ |
| Dallas...... | 11,236 | 10,862 | 2,122 | 27,106 | 269 | 681 | 243 | 20,956 | 73,475 |
| San Francisco. | 13,931 | 13,943 | 3,246 | 38,979 | 192 | 3,251 | 4,955 | 59,191 | 137,688 |
| Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June, 1920............. | 83,193 | 84, 845 | 315, 835 | 962,145 | 2,923 | ${ }_{20}^{20,034}$ | 25,174 | 937,645 | 2,431.794 |
| May, 1920............. | 63,537 <br> 30,836 | 77,154 37 | 326,473 232,818 | $1,121,489$ $1,340,665$ | $\begin{array}{r}3,154 \\ 13,983 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 21,979 7,803 | 41,841 1,113 | 863,804 153,402 | 2,519,431 |
| May, 1919. | 28,619 | 30,372 | 187,683 | 1,615,210 | 9,628 | 7,321 | 1,122 | 109,437 | 1,989,392 |
| Percentage distribution by class of paper: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June, 1920............ | 3.4 | 3.5 | 13.0 | 39.6 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 38.6 | 100 |
| May, ${ }^{\text {June, }} 1920$. | 2.5 1.7 | 3.1 21 | 12.8 | 44.5 73.7 | ${ }_{0}^{0.1}$ | 0.9 0.4 | 1.7 | 34.3 8.4 | 100 |
| May, 1919................. | 1.4 | 1.5 | 9.4 | 81.2 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 5.5. | 100 |

Acceptances purchased by each Federal Reserve Bank and held on June 30, 1920, distributed by class of accepting institutions.
[In thousands of dollars.]

| Federal Reserve Bank. | Bankers' acceptances. |  |  |  |  |  | Trade acceptances. |  |  | Grand total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Member banks. |  | $\underset{\text { Non- }}{\text { Nember }}$ State banks. | Private banks. | Foreign banks, branches, and agencies. | Total. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Domes- } \\ & \text { tic. } \end{aligned}$ | Foreign. | Total. |  |
| Boston. | 26,967 | 146 | 1,814 | 3,862 | 273 | 33,062 |  |  |  | 33,062 |
| New York. | 104,337 | 1,730 | 37,954 | 23,641 | 14,214 | 181,876 | 1,692 | 8,557 | 10,249 | 192,125 |
| Philadelphia | 28,240 |  | 10,288 | 8,263 | 4,618 | 51,409 | 96 |  | 96 | 1,425 |
| Richmond. | 7,056 |  |  |  |  | 7,056 |  |  |  | 7,056 |
| Atlanta.. | 4,080 |  |  |  |  | 4,080 |  |  |  | 4,080 |
| Chicago. | 51,887 |  | 342 | 1,109 | 187 | 53,525 |  |  |  | 53,525 |
| St. Louis. | 3,302 |  | 100 |  |  | 3,402 |  |  |  | 3,402 |
| Minneapolis. | 1.663 |  | 269 |  | 302 | 2,234 |  |  |  | 2,234 |
| Kansas City. | 2,161 |  |  |  |  | 2,161 |  |  |  | 2,161 |
| Dallas....... | 24.406 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 405 33.571 |
| San Francisco | 24,186 |  | 3,713 | 1,745 | 2,262 | 31,900 | 151 | 1.514 | 1,665 | 33,571 |
| Total: ${ }^{\text {a }}$, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 30, May 31, 1920. | 255,564 275,369 | 1,876 $\mathbf{2 , 9 5 4}$ | 54,598 56,187 | 38,647 47,448 | 21,856 29 | 372,541 411,427 | 1,939 $\mathbf{1}, 542$ | 10,071 | 12,010 8,765 | 384,551 |
| Apr. 30, 1920. | 270, 808 | 1,237 | 45,055 | 48,549 | 31,210 | 396,859 | ${ }^{600}$ | 9,788 | 10,388 | 407,247 |
| Mar. 31, 1920 | 282,339 | 1,389 | 55,390 | 51,012 | ${ }_{3}^{23,654}$ | 413,784 | 572 | 5,566 | 6,138 | 419,922 |
| Feb. 29, 1920 | 364,940 | 2,100 | 70,127 | 60,218 | 33,440 | 530,825 | 580 | 4,800 | 5,380 | 536,205 |
| Jan. 31, 1920. | 383,375 | 6,134 | 68,592 | 61,218 | 36,203 | 556,522 | 1,893 | 4,595 | 6,488 | 562,010 |
| June 30, 1919 | 233,519 | 9,225 | 29,361 | 29,648 | 12,654 | 314,407 | 382 | $\xrightarrow{1,204}$ | 1,586 | 315,993 |
| June 30, 1918. | 173,698 | 1,992 | 459 | 21,478 | 12,315 | 209,942 |  | 7,418 | 7,418 | 217,360 |

## OPERATIONS OF THE FEDERAL RESERVE CLEARING SYSTEM FROM JUNE 16 TO JULY 15, 1920.

[A mounts in thousands of dollars.]

| Federal Reserve Bank or branch. | Items drawn on banks in own district. |  |  |  | Items drawn on <br> Treasurer of United States. |  | Total. |  | Items forwarded to other Federal <br> Reserve Banks and their branches. |  | Items forwarded to parent bank or to branch in same district. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Located in Federal Reserve Bank and branch cities. |  | Located outside <br> Federal Reserve Bank and branch cities. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Number. | Amount. | Number. | Amount. | Number. | Amount. | Number. | Amount. | Number. | Amount. | Number. | A mount. |
| Boston | 658,820 | 727,643 | 2,834,264 | 493,911 | 147, 895 | 17,093, | 3,640,979 | 1,238,647 | 107,624 | 85,191 |  |  |
| New Yor | 1, 013, 337 | 2,256, 547 | 3,845,365 | 1,321,947 | 906,231 | 479,176 | $5,764,933$ | 4,057,670 | 906,975 | 622,736 | 24,612 | 10,448 |
| Buffalo. | 146,283 | 113,783 | -337, 490 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 62, } \\ \hline 2768 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 15,727 | 1,214 | 499,500 | 177, 835 | 142,129 | 34,840 | 31, 173 | 21,303 |
| Philadelphi | 1,336,484 | 921,511 | 1,893, 325 | 276, 381 | 161,936 | 53,604 | 3,391,745 | 1,251, 496 | 713,524 | 233,906 |  |  |
| Cleveland.... | 313,109 | 304,946 | 1,078,507 | 209,543 | 52,693 | 6,766 | 1,444,309 | 521, 254 | 21, 276 | 39,964 | 45, 015 | 17,110 |
| Cincinnati | 153,567 | 165,784 | 745,167 | 99,091 | 43,047 | 3,978 | ,941,781 | 268, 853 | 14, 074 | 14, 115 | 9,346 | 6,782 |
| Pittsburgh........ | 291, 158 | 345, 865 | 781,999 | 119,165 | 47,206 | 7,627 | 1, 120,363 | 472,657 | 61,536 | 46,172 | 31,977 | 9,135 |
| Richmond............. | 96,020 | 163,738 | 1,405,822 | 299, 261 | 45,577 | 8,334 | 1,547,419 | 471, 333 | 115,166 | 81,071 | 9,421 | 9,308 |
| Baltimore........ | 186, 441 | 190, 744 | 660,290 | 84, 928 | 50,556 | 13,889 | 897, 287 | 289,561 | 147,035 | 122,734 | 9,245 | 10,429 |
| Atlanta. | 95,722 | 81,648 | 299, 453 | 66,546 | 23,513 | 6,102 | 418,688 | 154,296 | 19,251 | 18,190 | 54,576 | 12,293 |
| Birmingham | 42,898 | 23,588 | 133,294 | 12,752 | 10,821 | 1,268 | 187,013 | 37,608 | 15, 463 | 11, 743 | 25, 196 | 39,959 |
| Jacksonville. | 26,493 | 20,694 | 113, 161 | 15,618 | 9,501 | 1,474 | 149,155 | 37,786 | 27,474 | 8,161 | 7,422 | 2,551 |
| Nashville. | 46, 843 | 44,724 | 183,536 | 24,676 | 11,198 | 1,662 | 241,577 | 71,062 | 26,690 | 4,939 | 11,465 | 2,155 |
| New Orlean | 52,568 | 61,328 | 97,979 | 16,716 | 16,552 | 4,078 | 167,099 | 82,122 | 42,541 | 13,494 | 7,218 | 1,513 |
| Chicago. | 767,350 | 842,303 | 3,247,246 | 451,500 | 333,032 | 30,831 | 4,347,628 | 1,324,634 | 287,142 | 49,732 | 12,358 | 10,256 |
| Detroit | 201,826 | 214,231 | 343,206 | 52,755 | 22,558 | 13,500 | 577,590 | 280,486 | 8,872 | 8,857 | 6,767 | 3,361 |
| St. Louis. | 207,984 | 261, 168 | 1,226,671 | 105,607 | 106,325 | 8,896 | 1,540,980 | 375, 671 | 23,552 | 8,711 | 24,484 | 3,145 |
| Little Roc | 42,332 | 24, 851 | 243,230 | 19,354 | 6,324 | 1,816 | 291,886 | 46,021 | 8,136 | 2,198 | 31,938 | 4,332 |
| Louisville | 84,373 | 66,751 | 331,244 | 26,307 | 22,230 | 4,739 | 437, 847 | 97,797 | 12,141 | 2,368 | 4,260 | 677 |
| Memphis | 65,601 | 34,210 | 139,442 | 11,490 | 8,684 | 1,422 | 213,727 | 47,122 | 2,053 | 963 | 3,122 | 1,182 |
| Minneapolis | 209,673 | 155,791 | 1,431,435 | 121,371 | 38,395 | 4,309 | 1,679,503 | 281,471 | 85,162 | 38,057 |  |  |
| Kansas City | 332,417 | 370,458 | 2,363,162 | 289,149 | 87,982 | 6,363 | 2,783,561 | 665,970 | 252, 241 | 83,618 | 64,313 | 14,623 |
| Denver. | 70,375 | 64,271 | 301,283 | 23,009 | 18,288 | 2,702 | 389,946 | 89,982 | 85,923 | 20,253 | 49,315 | 17,416 |
| Omah | 94,423 | 68,724 | 479,805 | 43,528 | 41,669 | 3,378 | 615,897 | 115,630 | 35, 259 | 9,202 | 20,341 | 8,002 |
| Dallas | 74,954 | 72,495 | 1,469,335 | 251,978 | 24,637 | 2,773 | 1,568,926 | 327, 246 | 86,415 | 38,913 | 56,789 | 9,651 |
| El Paso. | 27,547 | 12,381 | 125,612 | 14,631 | 12,155 | 2,280 | 165, 314 | 29, 292 | 21,314 | 8,782 | 14, 801 | 3,271 |
| Houston. | 66, 198 | 48,372 | 325,987 | 35,895 | 14,842 | 2,005 | 407,027 | 86, 272 | 12,633 | 3,645 | 9,418 | 2,830 |
| San Francisco | 113,618 | 108,962 | 270,382 | 29,979 | 44,582 | 202,465 | 428,582 | 341,406 | 24, 33f. | 4,654 | 61,995 | 13,075 |
| Los Angele | 109, 611 | 84, 059 | 444, 654 | 46, 342 | 19,897 | 10,889 | $574,1 \subset 2$ | 141,290 | 52,482 | 7, 874 | 25, 910 | 5,568 |
| Portland. | 48,947 | 38, 198 | 165, 515 | 12,273 | 17,973 | 7,457 | 232, 435 | 57,929 | 2,586 | 1,914 | 25, 606 | 4,597 |
| Salt Lake City | 42,907 | 30,220 | 364, 222 | 33, 579 | 12, 201 | 2,712 | 419, 330 | 66,511 | 4,887 | 15, 613 | 6,980 | 9,360 |
| Seattle | 63,075 | 50,217 | 186,092 | 16,527 | 25,338 | 11,412 | 274, 505 | 78,156 | 16,535 | 6,152 | 33,798 | 5,969 |
| Spokane | 26,386 | 18,062 | 149,542 | 12,657 | 9,417 | 1,007 | 185, 345 | 31,726 | 5,879 | 2,728 | 17,139 | 5,106 |
| June 16 to July 15, 1920. | 7, 109,340 | 7,988, 267 | 28,017, 717 | 4,701, 304 | 2,418,982 | 927, 221 |  | 13,616, 792 | 13, 388, 306 | ,651, 490 | 736,000 | 265,407 |
| May 16 to Junels, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1920........... | 7,010,705 | 7,679,173 | 27, 476,667 | 4,652,646 | 1,965,436 | 509, 831 | 36,452,808 | 12,841,650 | 23, 429, 896 | ,645,107 | 708,352 | 253,058 |
| 1919................ | 4,960,503 | 6,361, 8321 | 17,028,180 | 3,776,434 | 2,380,545 | 1,224,428 | 24,369, 228 | 11,362,694 | 32,364,338 | 1,417,259 | 472, 146 | 258,846 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes 7,313 items amounting to $\$ 2,073,000$, forwarded direct to member banks in other Federal Reserve districts.
${ }^{3}$ Includes 4,405 items, amounting to $\$ 2,846,000$, forwarded direct to member banks in other Federal Reserve districts.
Note.-Number of business days in period 25, except for Baltimore and Minneapolis, which had 24.

| Federal Reserve district. | Number of member banks in distriet July 15. |  | Number of nonmember banks on par list July 15. |  | Number of incorporated banks other than mutual savings banks not on par list July 15. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1920 | 1919 | 1920 | 1919 | 1920 | 1919 |
| Boston. | 432 | 429 | 256 | 241 |  |  |
| New Y ork... | 774 | 735 | 324 | 319 |  | -•• |
| Philadelphia. | 688 | 669 | 427 | 406 |  | . |
| Cleveland... | 860 | 826 | 1,077 | 911 |  | 154 |
| Richmond. | 606 | 574 | 762 | 349 | 781 | 1,081 |
| Atlanta... | 440 | 424 | 433 | 333 | 1,181 | 1,241 |
| Chicago. | 1,395 | 1,356 | 4,238 | 3.067 |  | 1,098 |
| St. Louis. | 564 | 519 | 2,517 | 1,606 | 174 | 1,055 |
| Minneapolis. | ${ }^{976}$ | ${ }_{8}^{887}$ | 2,903 | 1,318 | ............... | 1,548 |
| Kansas City . | 1,065 | 1,007 | 3,388 | 2,290 | .... | ${ }^{953}$ |
| Dallas....... | 822 | 746 | 1,238 | 321 | - | 883 |
| San Francisco. | 801 | 676 | 1,042 | 910 |  | 154 |
| Total. | 9,423 | 8,848 | 18,605 | 12,071 | 2,136 | 8,167 |

## CHANGES IN CONDITION OF FEDERAL RESERVE BANKS.

Loan operations of the Federal Reserve Banks during the four-week period between June 25 and July 23, as measured by the amounts of discounted bills held at the close of each week, moved within relatively moderate limits, following an upward course during the first two weeks and a downward course during the last two weeks under review. As a consequence, the July 23 total of 2,469.9 millions of discounted bills held by the Federal Reserve Banks shows an increase of 38.1 millions over the corresponding June 25 total. Weekly changes in these totals reflect largely the character and volume of the Treasury's operations, including the issue and redemption of certificates and the deposits of funds with and withdrawals from Government depositaries. Redemption operations included the redemption on July 1 of the balance of about 200 millions of 3 -months' loan certificates; also the redemption two weeks later of about 84 millions of loan certificates also issued three months before. On the latter date the Government issued slightly over 200 millions of loan and tax certificates, the net result of these operations being a substantial reduction in the amount of certificates held by the member banks and incidentally a moderate decrease of loans secured by such certificates carried by the Federal Reserve Banks for their members. July 23 holdings of bills secured by United States war obligations, 1,247.4 millions, were 30.6 millions less than the June 25 holdings, while the holdings of other discounted bills show an increase during the four weeks of 68.7 millions.

From 52.6 per cent on June 25, the share of war paper in the total discounts held by the Federal Reserve Banks declined to slightly over 50 per cent on July 23 . All classes of war paper held on the latest date were below the corresponding totals shown four weeks earlier. Thus, loans secured by Liberty bonds declined from 611.8 to 600.5 millions, loans secured by Victory notes from 298.3 to 297.2 millions, and loans secured by Treasury certificates from 367.9 to 349.7 millions.

For the first two weeks of the period an increase of about 154 millions is seen in the amount of 15 -day paper, accompanied by a reduction of about 50 millions in the holdings of 30-day paper and smaller changes in the holdings of paper of longer maturities. For the last two weeks bills of all maturities, except 90 -day paper, have shown substantial reduc-
tions. Of the June 25 total of $2,431.8$ millions, 15-day paper constituted 52.8 per cent, while of the total discounts held four weeks later, the share of 15 -day paper had gone up to 57.6 per cent.

Holdings of purchased acceptances, apparently because of the growing investment demand for prime bills by country banks, savings banks, insurance companies, and industrial corporations, show a continuous decrease from 399.2 to 353.5 millions. Almost 90 per cent of these bills are in the portfolios of the Boston, New York, Cleveland, Chicago, and San Francisco banks, the New York bank acting as agent of the other banks in purchasing and distributing the bulk of this class of paper. Changes in the totals of Treasury certificate holdings represent largely amounts of special certificates redeemed or given to the Federal Reserve Banks to cover temporary advances by the latter to the Treasury pending receipt of funds from depositary institutions.

Discounted bills held by the several Federal Reserve Banks include amounts held under discount for other Federal Reserve Banks. During the four weeks under review the amounts of paper, largely 15-day bills, thus held varied between a maximum of 130.9 millions on July 2, and a minimum of 123.2 millions on July 23, the latter being 1.7 millions below the June 25 total. No change is shown in the number of discounting banks, which includes those at Boston, New York, and Cleveland. The number of rediscounting Federal Reserve Banks declined from seven to six. The Chicago bank, which on June 25 had about 25 millions of rediscounted paper outstanding, no longer figures among the list of rediscounting banks, which on July 23 included the Reserve Banks of Richmond, Atlanta, St. Louis, Minneapolis, Kansas City, and Dallas. It may be noted in this connection that four of these banks, viz, those at Atlanta, St. Louis, Kansas City, and Dallas, which have been applying progressive discount rates during the period under review, show an increase for the period in their rediscounts with other Federal Reserve Banks from 51.4 to 87.9 millions. This somewhat more than offsets the increase in discounts granted to member banks by these four Reserve Banks.
Holdings of acceptances purchased from other Federal Reserve Banks show an increase from 6.6 to 42.5 millions, the latter amount representing acceptances sold by the Now

York and Chicago banks without indorsement from their own portfolios to the Boston, Philadelphia, Cleveland, and San Francisco banks. No change is shown by the Federal Reserve Banks in the amount of guarantor's liability of 16.2 millions on bills purchased for account of foreign correspondents.

Government deposits varied between 21.7 millions on July 2, and 11.7 millions on July 16, members' reserve deposits show a variation between $1,874.2$ and $1,825.6$ millions on July 2 and 23 , respectively, while other deposits, largely because of the partial withdrawal of the deposit standing to the credit of the Argentine Government, show a steady reduction from 70 millions on June 25 to about 49 millions on July 23. Net deposits, after reaching a high level of $1,754.9$ millions on July 2, declined steadily to $1,685.6$ millions on July 23, or 36.6 millions below the total shown four weeks earlier. Federal Reserve note circulation shows an ex-
pansion of 64.2 millions during the first two weeks and reached a maximum for the year of $3,180.9$ millions on July 9 , as a consequence of the heavy demand for currency incident to holiday travel. The return flow of these notes during the following two weeks was almost equal in volume to the increase in circulation for the two previous weeks, and the volume of circulation reported on July 23, 3,118.2 millions, was only 1.5 millions in excess of the total shown four weeks before. The banks' gold reserves, in consequence of further gold imports, show a gain of 13.9 millions, while total cash reserves, owing mainly to substantial silver deposits by the Government, show a gain of 25.4 millions. The banks' reserve ratio, after a decline from 43.6 to 42.8 per cent on July 2, shows a steady rise, reaching on July 23 the high level of 44.4 per cent, a gain of 0.8 per cent as compared with the June 25 reserve percentage.

Resources and liabilities of each Federal Reserve Bank at close of business on Fridays, July 2 to July 23, 1920.
RESOURCES.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | Boston. | Now York | Phila-delphia. | Cleveland. | Richmond. | $\underset{\text { lanta. }}{\text { At- }}$ | Chicago. | St. Louis. | Minneapolis. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Kan- } \\ & \text { sas } \\ & \text { City. } \end{aligned}$ | Dallas. | San Francisco. | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gold and gold certificates: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 11,540 | 83,504 | 1,123 | 10, | 2,380 2,398 | 7,971 | 24,142 24,250 | 3,454 | 7,237 | 1 | 5,275 | 13,995 | 171,176 |
| July 16. | 11,679 | 82,078 | 1,151 | 10,195 | 2,425 | 8,153 | 24,249 | $\stackrel{3}{3,310}$ | 7,231 | 512 | , 318 |  | 168,929 |
| July 23. | 11,760 | 89,113 | 1,166 | 10,227 | 2,454 | 7,669 | 24,396 | 3,301 | 7,238 | 533 | 5,472 | 17,200 | 180,529 |
| Gold settlement fun1, Federal Reserve Board: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 2...................... | 22,458 | 103,090 | 50,519 | 51,706 | 20,015 | 10,443 | 60,735 | 8,394 | 6,660 | 26,561 | 10,705 | 31,474 | 402,760 |
| July 9. | 36,716 | 83,980 | 45,320 | 60,057 | 19,940 | 4,220 | 62,924 | 8,951 | 4,812 | 25,832 | 5,971 | 44,037 | 402, 760 |
| July 16 | 44,759 | 83,143 | 46,933 | 66,563 | 15,456 | 5, 104 | 49,719 | 5,808 | 8,758 | 26,301 | 6,072 | 35, 289 | 393,905 |
|  | 49,651 | 50,381 | 36,612 | 73,395 | 17,301 | 5,808 | 68, 271 | 12,476 | 5,956 | 23,811 | 7,317 | 36,366 | 387,345 |
| July 2. | 8,142 | 40,932 | 8,922 | 9,146 | 5,465 | 4,015 | 13,272 | 5,242 | 3,011 | 5,353 | 2,900 | 5,131 | 111,531 |
| July 9 | 8,142 | 40,932 | 8,922 | ${ }_{0}^{9,146}$ | 5,465 | 4,015 | 13,272 | 5,242 | 3,011 | 5,353 | 2,900 | 5,131 | 111,531 |
| July ${ }^{18}$ | 8,142 | 40,932 | 8,922 | 9,146 9,146 | $\underset{5}{5,465}$ | 4,015 | 13,272 | 5,242 | 3,011 | 5,353 | 2,900 | 5,131 | 111, 531 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Juiy 2. | 122, | 282,362 | 88, 294 | 149,233 | 38,908 | 48, 466 | 169,381 | 45, 873 | 31,806 | 36,913 | 24,477 | 108,746 | 1,146,944 |
| July 9 | 119, 620 | 281,827 | 91,790 | 149, 550 | 43,029 | 50, 566 | 167,547 | 46,374 | 32, 278 | 36,795 | 25, 555 | 100, 171 | 1,145,102 |
| July ${ }^{\text {duly }}$ | ${ }_{128,670}^{121}$ | 280,907 | - 89,605 | 149,207 | 41,107 39,215 | 50,182 48,261 | 164,447 160,670 | 45, 4 4,744 | 32,077 31,691 | 36,599 36,694 | 29,431 28,488 | 112,803 | $1,152,875$ $1,160,215$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 2 | 14,861 | 33,014 | 14,981 | 3,006 | 7,960 | 8,020 | 31, 177 | 5,043 | 18 | 3,430 | 8,039 | 8,856 | 139,285 |
| July | 17,285 | 35,979 | 15, 452 | 1,921 | 5,963 | 6,278 | 32,629 | 5,217 | 22 | 4,248 | 8,589 | 9,411 | 142,994 |
| July 16 | 15,016 | $\stackrel{35,917}{ }$ | 15,946 | 3,729 | 7,006 | 6,675 | 35,262 | 5,304 | 195 | 4,106 | 4,278 | 10,909 | 144,343 |
| July 23......... | 16,9 | 35,971 | 10,331 | 2,877 | 8,131 | 7,824 | 38,608 | 5,565 | 75 | 3,573 | 3,755 | 9,993 | 143,651 |
| July 2 | 179,486 | 543, 802 | 163,819 | 223, 165 | 74,728 | 78,915 | 298,707 | 68,006 | 48,732 | 72,738 | 51,396 | 168,202 | 1,971,696 |
| July 9 | 193,363 | 524,935 | 162,640 | 230, 791 | 76,795 | 73, 146 | 300,622 | 69, 272 | 47, 330 | 72,726 | 48, 326 | 171, 370 | 1,971, 316 |
| July 16. | 200,748 | 522,977 | 162,557 | 238,840 | 71,459 | 74,129 | 286, 949 | 65,052 | 51,272 | 72,871 | 48, 169 | 176, 398 | 1,971, 421 |
| July 23. | 215, 171 | 496,619 | 164, 703 | 246, 184 | 72,566 | 73,577 | 305, 217 | 71,328 | 47,971 | 69,964 | 47,932 | 172,039 | 1,983, 271 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 9. | 6,900 | 106, 846 | 222 | 1,580 | 101 | 1,366 | 8 8,632 | 7,331 | 170 | 1,630 | 1,342 | 757 | 136,877 |
| July 16. | 7,150 | 116,388 | 270 | 1,758 | 138 | 1,743 | 8,871 | 7,351 | 106 | 1,651 | 1,384 | 816 | 147, 626 |
| July Total r | 7,364 | 119,015 | 256 | 1,857 | 69 | 1,839 | 8,693 | 7,444 | 172 | 1,833 | 1,241 | 958 | 150, 741 |
| July 2. | 186, 876 | 651,002 | 164,203 | 224,786 | 74,818 | 80,433 | 306, 929 | 75,522 | 48,790 | 74,340 | 52,862 |  |  |
| July 9. | 200, 263 | ${ }_{631} 6381$ | 162,862 | 232,371 | 76, 898 | 74,512 | 309, 254 | 76, 603 | 47,500 | 74,356 | 49, 668 | 172, 127 | 2,108, 193 |
| July ${ }^{\text {July }}$ | 227, 898 | ${ }_{615,634}^{639}$ | 162,827 | 240, 298 | 71,597 | 75,872 | ${ }^{295}$ 29, 820 | 72, 783 | 51,378 | 74,522 | 49, 553 | 177,214 | 2,119,047 |
| July 23. | 222, 335 | 615,634 | 164,959 | [248,041 | 72,635 | 75,416 | 313,910 | 78,772 | 48,143 | 71,797 | 49,173 | 172,997 | 2,134,012 |

Resources and liabilities of each Federal Reserve Bank at close of business on Fridays, July 2 to July 23, 1920-Contd. RESOURCES-Continued.

| [In thousands of dollars.] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Boston. | New York. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Phila- } \\ & \text { del. } \\ & \text { phia. } \end{aligned}$ | Cleveland. | Richmond. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { At- } \\ & \text { lanta. } \end{aligned}$ | Chi- cago. | St. | Minneapolis. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Kan- } \\ & \text { sas } \\ & \text { City. } \end{aligned}$ | Dallas. | San <br> Fran- <br> cisco. | Total. |
| Bills discounted: ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secured by Government war obligations- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| war obligationsJuly 2 | 11,298 | 531,782 | 151,609 |  |  |  | 114,970 | 48,982 | 8,520 |  |  |  |  |
| July 9........... | 93,045 | 544,229 | 146, 759 | 114,910 | 45,488 | 52,959 | 118,917 | 49,243 | 8,031 | 47,092 | 26,110 | 49,567 | 1, 296, 350 |
| July 16. | 81,699 | 542,559 | 140, 499 | 100, 171 | 40, 225 | 52,768 | 133,749 | 49,002 | 7,488 | 45,077 | 18,829 | 44, 192 | 1,256,258 |
| July 23. | 78,845 | 529,833 | 139,845 | 85, 234 | 41,427 | 53,742 | 159, 949 | 40,998 | 10,727 | 44,861 | 15,905 | 46,005 | 1,247,371 |
| All other- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Juiy 9. | 73,123 | 303,454 | 39,662 | 63,432 | 58,344 | 61,611 | 315,265 | 69,053 | 68, 6818 | 62,590 | 50,763 | 103,290 | 1,265, 243 |
| July 18 | 70,944 | 287,814 | 41,128 | 69,034 | 58,735 | 61,053 | 301,638 | 60,672 | 67,636 | 61,307 | 53,555 | 100, 374 | 1,233, 890 |
| July 23. | 65́, 883 | 297, 544 | 35, 200 | 71,079 | 60,282 | 60,933 | 282, 914 | 66,959 | 67,375 | 63,817 | 56,112 | 94, 438 | 1,222,536 |
| Bills bought in open market: ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 9.. | 35, 910 | 154, 181 | 11,429 | 50, 800 | 6,220 | 4,297 | 54, 457 | 3,268 | 2,612 | 2,616 | 655 | 46, 146 | 372, 591 |
| July 16. | 33,738 | 147,375 | 11,085 | 49,336 | 5,300 | 3,865 | 51,104 | 3,071 | 4,056 | 2,736 | 680 | 44,125 | 356, 471 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 9 | 560 | 1,457 | 1,386 | 833 | 1,233 | 114 | 4,477 | 1,153 | 116 | 8,866 | 3,966 | 2,632 | 26,793 |
| July 16. | 559 | 1,456 | 1,386 | 833 | 1,233 | 114 | 4,477 | 1,153 | 116 | 8,866 | 3,966 | 2,632 | 26,791 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 2.............. | 5 | 50 |  | 10 |  | 3 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 69 |
| July 9. | 5 | 50 |  | 10 |  | 3 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| July 16 | 5 | 50 |  | 10 |  | 3 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 69 |
| July 23. | 5 | 50 |  | 10 |  | 2 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 68 |
| United States certificates of indebtedness: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 2.. | 21,712 | 101,291 | 36,903 | 23,346 | 12,260 | 15,665 | 39,782 | 17,321 | 8,498 | 12,846 | 8,342 | 11,413 | 309,379 |
| July 9 | 21,695 | 79, 214 | 31,776 | 23,322 | 12,260 | 15,664 | 39,642 | 17,239 | 8,492 | 12,940 | 8,365 | 11,333 | 281,942 |
| July 16. | 23,679 | 78,738 | 31,684 | 23,322 | 14,260 | 16,665 | 42,743 | 17,228 | 8,489 | 12,880 | 9,308 | 15, 186 | 294,182 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 15,665 | 39,717 | 17,372 | 8,484 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 134,214 | 529,244 | 135, 163 | 88,401 | 134,507 | 85,752 | 208,101 | 3,271,519 |
| July 9 | 224,338 | 1,082,585 | 231,012 | 253,307 | 123,545 | 134,648 | 536,996 | 129,956 | 89,669 | 134, 105 | 89, 859 | 212,968 | 3,242,988 |
| July 16. | 210,624 | 1,057,992 | 225,782 | 242,706 | 119, 753 | 134, 468 | 533,711 | 131, 126 | 87,785 | 130,867 | 86,338 | 206,509 | 3, 167,661 |
| July 23 | 203,059 | 1,054, 199 | 223,243 | 237,078 | 120, 422 | 134, 158 | 527,839 | 129, 282 | 90,963 | 133,500 | 84,906 | 208, 569 | 3, 138,218 |
| Bank premises: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { July } 2 \ldots . . . \\ & \text { July } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1,401 | $\begin{array}{r}3,763 \\ 3 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 592 | 1,155 | ${ }_{752}^{752}$ |  |  | 866 866 | 556 556 | 730 | ${ }_{906}^{906}$ | 232 | 13,658 |
| July 9. | 1,476 | 3,763 <br> 3,767 | 5 | 1,155 | $\begin{array}{r}752 \\ \mathbf{1 , 0 4 0} \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 590 590 | $\xrightarrow{2,116}$ | 866 866 | 556 556 5 | 730 762 | ${ }_{907}^{906}$ | ${ }_{232}^{232}$ | 13,734 <br> 14,084 <br> 1 |
| July 23 | 1,516 | 3,783 | 619 | 1,156 | 1,052 | 601 | 2,118 | 866 | 566 | 762 | 972 | 232 | 14,243 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 64,670 | 167,603 | 66,265 | 81,821 | 57,427 | 27, 209 | 109,021 | 43,490 | 22,364 | 63,364 | 41,322 | 40,503 | 785,059 |
| July 9. | 68,718 | 161,762 | 64,658 | 81,273 | 60, 554 | 29,481 | 113,467 | 46, 256 | 24,402 | 61,825 | 44, 650 | 40,301 | 797,347 |
| Juy 16.. | 76,601 | 187,211 | 77,362 | 89,015 | 63,345 | 32,104 | 123, 160 | 49,505 | 24, 408 | 70,092 | 46,309 | 51, 442 | 890,554 |
|  | 61,767 | 161, 585 | 69, 113 | 79,952 | 60,066 | 26,907 | 100,614 | 39,832 | 23,416 | 68,084 | 41,487 | 39,510 | 772,333 |
| 5 per cent redemption fund |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank notes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1,072 | 3 3,100 | 1,300 | 871 | 451 | ${ }_{573} 5$ | 1,908 | ${ }_{523} 52$ | 491 | ${ }_{916}^{916}$ | 586 | 665 | 12,424 |
| July 16. | 1,072 | 3,085 | ${ }_{1}^{1,300}$ | 871 | 451 | 531 | 1,951 | 523 525 | 447 | ${ }_{916}^{916}$ | 586 586 58 | 665 665 | 12,293 12,400 |
| July 23. | 1,072 | 3,085 | 1,300 | 871 | 451 | 488 | 2,240 | 523 | 545 | 916 | 586 | 665 | 12,742 |
| All other resources: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 2. | 234 | 523 | 226 | 322 | a 938 | 104 | 575 | 258 | 146 | 209 | 200 | ${ }^{\text {b } 1,456}$ |  |
| July 9. | 282 | 1,422 | 194 | 145 | 187 | 100 | 461 | 282 | 51 | 201 | 171 | ${ }^{326}$ | 3,822 |
| July 16.... | 611 | ${ }_{563}^{663}$ | 311 | 187 | 840 | 121 | 526 | 285 | 78 | 221 | 100 | c 328 | 4,271 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Iotaly ${ }^{\text {July } 2 . . . . .}$ | 432,795 | 1,940,851 | 460,357 | 563,990 | 254,328 | 243,077 | 949,793 | 255,822 | 160,748 | 274,066 | 181,628 | 419,897 | 6, 197,352 |
| July 9 | 496, 149 | 1,884, 413 | 460,618 | 569, 122 | 262,385 | 239,904 | 964,114 | 254,486 | 162,594 | 272,133 | 185, 840 | 426,619 | 6,178,377 |
| Juty 16 | 498, 303 | 1, 892,083 | 468, 177 | 574,533 | 257,026 | 243,685 | 957,284 | 254, 710 | 164,652 | 277,380 | 183, 793 | 436,390 | 6,208,017 |
| ${ }^{1}$ Includes bills discounted forother Federal Reserve Banks:, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other July 2.................... | 34,461 | 35,354 |  | 61,097 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 130,912 |
| July 9. | 35, 994 | 36,096 |  | 54,494 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 126,584 |
| July 16. | 34,280 | 40,183 |  | 51,979 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 126,442 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| hought from other Federal Reserve Banks, without their indorsement: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 2....... | 4,918 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,008 | 14,926 |
| July 9 -. | 4.918 |  | 10.014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,0,008 | 24,940 |
| July 16. | 4,918 |  | 10.014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10.008 | 24,940 |
| July 23. | 4.351 |  | 10.014 | 10,001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18.086 | 42,452 |
| $a$ Includes Government overdraft of $\$ 142,000$ $c$ Includ <br> $b$ Includes Government overdraft of $\$ 595,000$. $d$ Includ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Resources and liabilities of each Federal Reserve Bank at close of business on Fridays，July 2 to July 29，1920－－Contd． LIAHIITTIES
［In thoissands of dollars．］

|  | isoston． | New York． | Phila－ del－ <br> 1／hic． | （1ers－ i：uld． | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jich. } \\ & \text { mona. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \therefore \mathrm{t}- \\ & 1 ., \mu t . . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { hi- } \\ & \text { con ob. } \end{aligned}$ | b－t． <br> T．otis． | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Minse } \\ & \text { inforis. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Kinh } \\ & \text { S.s. } \\ & \text { City. } \end{aligned}$ | Dallas． | $\begin{aligned} & \text { G:12 } \\ & \text { fran- } \\ & \text { cisco. } \end{aligned}$ | Total． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capitaipaidin： |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| july 2．．．．．． | 7 \％ | 24， 672 | 8，324 | 18， 163 | 4， 25 | ：3， 3 ， 6 | 13，2 0 | 4.23 | ＜，279 | 4， 5 | $3,74.7$ | 6， 421 | 94，594 |
| Jul？ 9 | －，53！ | 21， 6173 | A， 3 | 11.181 | $4, \cdots$ | 3 2ni | 1．，2， | 4.25 | $\therefore, 27.3$ | 1，12 | ， 3,7 | 6， $2 \times 14$ | 4， 4,639 |
| Juki 10 | $\cdots$ | 21，676 | s， | 11，1\％ | $1, \square$ | $3, \times 64$ | $1 \because \cdot 1$ | i， $2, i$ | $\because 276$ | $\cdots$ | 3，$\times$ ） 3 | 6，3y | 34， 330 |
| Jut 3i， | ＇，以2 | 21，677 | 8，\％M | （10），102 |  | 3， 311 | 13，i，${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 4， 2,3 | 1，24 | i，：i | $\because 21$ | 0，395 |  |
| Surpuly 2. | 12，331 | 51，308 | 13， 613 | 13，712 | S， 6.17 | 7， 0 年 0 | 2， 317 | 3， 8 B t | $\therefore 178$ | $8,8.6$ | 4，152 | 11，6，92 | 164， 745 |
| July 9. | 12， 2,1 | 51，隹 | 13， 13,1 | 13， 112 | S， 1.17 | 7， 0 ， 5 | 2；917 |  | － 178 | 4， 3 ： 48 | 4，1，52 | 11， 6,5 | 164， 16 |
| Juty 16 | 12， 1 | 51，31\％ | 13， 120 | 13，712 | x，inet | 7， 0,01 | 2， 2,917 | 5，$x$ ris | 2， 178 | － 3,3 | 4， 12 | 11，mid | 161，743 |
| Juir 23 | 12，301 | 51，308 | 13， 10.5 | 13，712 | r，003 | 7 ，the | 2．， 917 | 5，594 | 5， 178 | Y，， 0 | 4， 3.2 | 11， 602 | 164.745 |
| Goverrment deposis： Jul： 2. | 69 | 242 | 1，310 | 3． 112 |  | 1，413 | 3，mis | 2， $2 \cdot 41$ | 52 4 | 5， 2 ， | 2， 222 |  | 21，704 |
| July 9. | 32 | 612 | 3，621 | 2， | 3， 404 | － | － | 1， 111 | 410 | 1， $2 \cdot 1$ | 1，0\％ | 2， 17 | 15， 919 |
| Juty 16 | 610 | 77 | 2，＞16 | 43.3 | $\times 3$ | 1，479 | is | 1， 3 | $2, \mathrm{c}$ | 7， 15 | Tij |  | 11， 700 |
| Jul： 2 ？ | 576 | 665 | 1，220 |  | 1，220 | 42. | 1，776 | 1，149 | \％t | 1， 344 | 840 | 2,136 | 11，972 |
| Due to inmmars－reserve ac－ count： |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 2．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | 117， 499 | 779， 520 | 106，624 | 110,317 | 55，306 | 52，607 | 274，336 | 62， 903 | 47，427 | －3， 389 | 54，664 | 115， 429 | 1，874，161 |
| Juiy 9. | 114，1．66 | 733， 232 | 10n， 197 | 1 $31,11 \pm$ | 59， 8.6 | 10， 937 | 201， 344 | 6．3，59\％ | 46,169 | $\cdots 2$ | 57,346 | 1，．， | 1， 5 ， 104 |
| July 16 | 121， 142 | 737， 420 | 14， 1 戈2 | 112， 140 | 57， 51.5 | 21，40， | 2－2，406 | 62,27 | 46， 713 | ¢i， | 53，15t | 1－3， | 1， 12,428 |
| July 23 | 122， 23 | 713，737 | 10i，33． | 14．5； 763 | 59,00 | 53， | 2－31 | 6i，，0ip | 40， $4+2$ | \％，क | 31，412 | 11，\％3 | 1， 2,564 |
| Deferred availabilityitems： July $2 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 51， 2 ふ | 113， 751 | 54，103 | 131， 578 | 4S， 100 | 23， 975 | 68，987 | 32，91 | 13，21．7 | 51，039 | 21，979 | 10， 3 | 572，105 |
| July 9 | 52，3， | 113， 220 | 62，614 | 云1，ats | 47，219 | 23,364 | 73，M63 | ： 10 |  | $\therefore 11:$ | $22^{\prime}, 9 \times 1$ | 2.10 | 63 ${ }^{2}, 434$ |
| Julv 16 | 51，315 | 12，24， | \％， 6 （030 |  | 45,166 | ${ }^{2}=173$ | K1，int | －11，－xs | （2）， 11 | $\because \sim 1$ | 2,450 | \％，${ }^{2}$ | 5t 45,782 |
| Jui 23．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | 14，20 | 101， 457 | 33,409 | 61，un： | $4 \pm 000$ | 23， $0: 50$ | 63， 277 | 30,100 | $15,103 \%$ | 20， 030 | 21，5li | 2， 210 | 5－2， 109 |
| Other ceposits，melunhy dol－ cign Government creais： |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Juiy 2. | ： $1: 3$ | 27，720 | 4，072 | 3， $4 \% 3$ | 2，214 | 1， 646 | $\cdots$ | 2，307 | 1，76 | 2,104 | 1，83 | 15． 7.71 | 71，980 |
| July 9. | 2，min | 23，97i | 3， 4.64 | 2， 214 | 1，ti． | 1，205 | － 3 ， 3 ？ | 1，411 | ］， 212 | 2， 20 | 1，1：2 | b，＂＊ | ［5， 159 |
| July 16 | 2，121 | $2 \cdot 2,4 \pm 4$ | 3，104 | 2，630 | 1，4．．： | 1，1， 1 | 4， 5 ： | 1，ine | 1，3\％ | 2，119 | －11 | －，，\％ | －1， 1,385 |
| July 23 | $3,12,4$ | 21，316 | 2，650 | 2，324 | 1，377 | 1，03 | t，i 41 | 1，359 | 84 | 1，un： | 959 | 7，in： | 12，332 |
| Total grozs dep | 13 | 021，239 | 167，111 | ［209，159 | 105， 121 | 79，－2 |  |  | 6．5， 006 | 11：，in 1 | 32， 93 | 11.127 | 2，－9，950 |
| July 9 | 17e， 4.40 | Site， 019 ！ | 16．i， | 2， $5, \therefore \cdots$ | $112,1: 2$ | 75， 5 ， | －11， | ［1．1， $1 \times \cdots$ | ［is， 3 ， | 11：， 10 | （ri，$: 21$ | ｜1，$\therefore$ 1－t | 2，54， 216 |
| July 16. | 15，心1 | 98， 961 | （170，i11t | 21＋ツ1 | 11i， 236 | B1，22； | B4， 0 ？ | 1 1， 3 | At，mem | 15 | c，i，Eu！ | $11: 10$ | 2，3， 1,495 |
| July 23. | 172，312 | 845,205 | 163，位 |  | 126， 2 20 | 7－3，ti； | ， 3,27 | 110，$\cdots \pm$ | $\therefore 36$ | 1：．，2，22 | 81，002 | 163， 24.9 | 2，427， 977 |
| Federal Reservonotesinauturi circulation： |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jutr 2 | 1－1，＋90 | 882,504 | 251， 25 | 312， 5 | 125，20 | 19， 19 | 512，981 | 122,904 | 72， 963 | $\cdots{ }^{3}, 192$ | －2，－2 | $\because 1.41$ | 3，108， 914 |
| Julv 9 | －3，35 | 8i，197 | 252015 | 32，3－1 | 120，${ }^{12}$ | 1－1，36， 2 | 1．3i， 117 |  | 7！，114 | 吅，挍： | 曲，＂1 | 20，${ }^{1}$ | 3，13， 948 |
| Jutr 13 | 2 $\because 1$ | － 6 | 2， 3 \％31 | 131．011： | 112．M M ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | ［11，13 | $\therefore \therefore$ ，$\because$ | 12．， 12 $^{1}$ | $\cdots$ | $\therefore i$ | ， $1,1 \times$ |  | 3，12，＋4， 3 |
| Julv 23．．．．． | 22，264 | 346， 836 | 233，106 | 316，121 | 121，130 | 104,611 |  | 12， 12 | 73,268 | 13，62i | 73， 801 | $2 \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{xs}$ | 3，118， 205 |
| Federal kierve Bank notesin circtia＇ion－nel tiability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jui 2 ．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | 13， 887 | 38， 43 | 13，366 | 16，813 | 9，761 | 11，225 | 31， 72 | 8， 8.2 | 7，12： | 15111 | 6，9\％ | $\bigcirc .617$ | 18． 232 |
| Juk： | 1，\％ 121 | $3 \mathrm{3i}, 158$ | 19，4．9 | 1i，119 | 10， 107 | 11， | 2，1231 | 3， 4.24 | $\because, 212$ | $1 \cdot \frac{1}{1}, \cdots$ | －1，1 | 1，1， 3 ， 1 | 13\％， 288 |
| Jul 16 | 13， 31 | 3i， 3 －19 | 14， 174 |  | 13， 146 | 11， 615 | 3 | \％， | ？ 3 ， 19 | 1．．． | 2，13， | 1＋1， 1 is | $1 \because, 336$ |
| All Juther 23.10 inilia． | 13， 60. | $3: 3,443$ | 1：1，212 | 15，m； | 10， 212 | 11，3：2 | 2， 3 | r， 301 |  | 15 | 7，05． | 1i）， 134 | 103， 0667 |
| All other liabilities： Jus 2. | 1，．11！ | 2， 73 | 1， 23 | 1， 31 | 71.3 | in： |  |  | 1，027 |  |  |  |  |
| Jul 9 | 1，6\％4 | 2；3， 2 | 1，42s | 1，：1， | ！ 14 | 1， | －，2， | 3， 23 | 1，11：1 | $1, \ldots$ | 1，215 | 2，hil | 12， 542 |
| Jule 18 | 1，, $3^{3}$ | $21.3 \%$ | 1， 21.5 | 2，1＋12 | ¢－1 | 1．1． 1 | －3，717 | 1， 1,33 | 1，217 | 1.10 | 1，2\％ | 2.1 .3 | 45， 779 |
| July 23. | 2， 03 | 2．7，75 | 2，042 | 2，301 | 1，172 | 1，327 | ©，014 | 1，＋it | 1，346 | i， 315 | 1，303 | 2，341 | 49， 122 |
| Toldialilites： |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Julv 2. | 40， $1+5$ | （1，940， $8: 1$ | 460,387 4601 4 | \％ 6,12 | 2， |  | $1+19$, 118 114.114 | 23：3， 120 | 160,746 112.591 | 4， 180 2,103 | 181， 6 （2） | ＋1， 97 | $6,197,352$ $6,178,377$ |
| Jul＝ 16 | 405， 36 | 1， 1202,1183 | 44S， $17{ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | 25， 12.3 | －13， 6 ¢6 | 157，${ }^{\text {a }}$ ， | （3） \％$^{11}$ | 164， 652 | ［2， 20 | $1 \times 8,24$ | ：\％\％ | 6，208，017 |
| July 23. | 1911，229 | 1，323， 848 | 439，544 | （15．0．026 | － 5 | 233,681 | 947，244 | 2：3，cont | 163， 730 | ［3．， 304 | 17i，280 | ［124，331 | 6，073，124 |
| memoranda． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contingent liability as indorser on discounted maper redis－ counted with other Federal Reserve Ranks： |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 2. |  |  |  |  | 24， $0 \div 0$ | 4，735 | 24，238 | 24，62： | 16，932 | 15，9？6 | 9，451 |  | 130，912 |
| July 9 |  |  |  |  | 24，972 | 5，5it | 31， 672 | 25，013 | 15，6ı3 | $1 \because 567$ | 11，000 |  | 126，584 |
| July 16. |  |  |  |  | 23，109 | 10，923 | 16，923 | 24，221 | 13,292 | 13．${ }^{1}$ | 16， 990 |  | 126，442 |
| Juiy 23. |  |  |  |  | 22，7， 0 | 15，630 |  | 31，173 | 12，499 | 15， 0.01 | 22，250 |  | 123，213 |
| Contingent liability on bills purchased for foreign corres－ pondents： |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 2. | 1，168 | 6， 089 | 1，200 | 1，212 | 784 | 576 | 1，904 | 752 | 432 | 768 | 416 | 736 | 16，217 |
| July 9. | 1，165 | 6，049 | 1，240 | 1，212 | 78.4 | 576 | 1，${ }^{1} 104$ | 752 | 432 | 768 | 416 | 736 | 16，217 |
| July 16. | 1，168 | 6，089 | 1，200 | 1，312 | 784 | 5.6 | 1，404 | 752 | 432 | 768 | 416 | 736 | 16， 217 |
| July 23. | 1， 168 | 6，089 | 1，280 | 1，312 | 784 | 576 | 1，904 | 752 | 432 | 768 | 416 | 736 | 16，217 |

Maturities of bills discounted and bought, also of Treasury certificates of indebtedness.
[In thousands of dollars.]


## FEDERAL RESERVE NOTES.

Federal Reserve agents' accounts at close of business on Fridays, July 2 to 23, 1920.

|  | Boston. | New York. | Philadelphia | Cleveland. | Richmond. | $\begin{gathered} \text { At- } \\ \text { lanta. } \end{gathered}$ | Chicago. | $\underset{\text { Louis. }}{\text { St. }}$ | Minneapolis. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Kansas } \\ & \text { City. } \end{aligned}$ | Dallas. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { San } \\ & \text { Fran- } \\ & \text { cisco. } \end{aligned}$ | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Resotreces. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federalhand: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {hand }}$ July 2. | 44, 100 | 128,600 | 33,880 | 28,920 | 28,194 | 68,625 | 66,380 | 16,480 | 10,205 | 4,330 | 10, 555 | 1,100 |  |
| July 9 . | 51, 200 | 135, 000 | 34, 680 | 24, 150 | 28, 453 | 66,975 | 74, 540 | ${ }^{15}, 680$ | 8,235 | 4,470 | 9,030 | 1,100 | 453,513 |
| Jnly 1 | 49,700 | 135,000 | 32, 280 | 32, 750 | 28,253 | 68,425 | 74,060 | 13,080 | 7,915 | 5,070 | 11, 730 | 3,100 | 461, 363 |
| Federal Reserve notes outstanding: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 294, 372 | 987, 714 | 262,001 | 327,020 | 129,687 | 144, 881 | 590,016 | 144, 197 | 79,057 | 103,340 | 86, 022 | 271,150 | 3,419,457 |
| July 9. | 297,607 | 993, 186 | 265,498 | 332,587 | 132,009 | 145, 770 | 594,622 | 146, 458 | 80,249 | 103,682 | 86, 125 | 276, ${ }^{\text {c95 }}$ | 3, 454,488 |
| July 16. | 295,839 294,857 | ${ }_{985,870}^{992,085}$ | 265,712 267,280 | 331,544 332,177 | $\xrightarrow{131,087}$ | ${ }_{143,376}^{144,596}$ | 593,442 589,865 | $\stackrel{146,642}{144,528}$ | 79,943 78,617 | 105,486 105,501 | 84,661 | ${ }_{277}^{279,927}$ |  |
| Collateralicourity for Federal <br> Reserve notes outstanding: <br> Gold and gold certifi- <br> cates- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 2. | 900 | 196,608 |  | 32,025 |  | 2,500 |  | 3,810 | 13, 052 |  | 10,331 |  | 259,226 |
| July 9. | 900 | 196,608 |  | 32,025 |  | 2,500 |  | 3,810 | 13,052 |  | 10,331 |  | 259, 226 |
|  | 900 900 | - ${ }_{196,608}^{1968}$ |  | 32,025 32,025 |  | 2,500 2,500 |  | 3,810 | 13,052 |  | 10,331 |  | ${ }^{259}$, 226 |
| Jold redemption fund $\quad$ - | 900 | 196,608 |  | 32,025 |  | 2,500 |  | 3,810 | 13,052 |  | 10,331 |  | 259, 226 |
| July 2.. | 19,585 | 12,754 | 16,905 | 17,208 | 1,908 | 2,966 | 9,236 | 3,832 | 1,654 | 2,053 | 4,412 | 18,124 | 110,637 |
| July 9. | 26,720 | 12, 219 | 15,401 | 17, 525 | 3,529 | 3,166 | 8,403 | 1, 833 | 1,626 | 2, 935 | 5,490 | 17,438 | 116,285 |
| July 16. | 23,252 20 | 11, 299 | $\xrightarrow[16,283]{18,216}$ | 17, 1814 | $\stackrel{1}{2,607}$ | 3,182 2,761 | 8,303 8,526 | 3,417 | 1,425 | 3,739 2,83 | 4,366 | 15, 7187 | 111, 695 |
| (July 23............... | 20,770 | 10,614 | 16,283 | 18,514 | 2,715 | 2,761 | 8,526 | 3,303 | 1,539 | 2,834 | 5,423 | 14,418 | 107, 700 |
| Gold settlement fuind,Federal Reserve Board- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 2. | 102,000 | 73,000 | 71,389 | 100,000 | 37,000 | 43,000 | 160, 145 | 38, 231 | 17,100 | 34, 860 | 9,734 | 90,622 | 777, 081 |
| July 9 | 92,000 97 | 73,000 73,000 | 76,389 71,389 | 100,000 | 39,500 39 500 | 44,900 44,500 | 159,144 <br> 156,144 | 40,731 | 17,600 | 33,860 <br> 32 <br> 60 | 9,734 | 82,733 | 769, 591 |
| July 23 | 107, 000 | 73, 000 | 91,389 | 100,000 | 36, 500 | 43,000 | 152,144 | 37,631 | 17, 100 | 33,860 | 14,734 | -87, ${ }^{\text {881 }}$ | 781,954 793,289 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A mount required- July $2 \ldots . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 171,887 | 705,352 | 173,707 | 177,787 | 90,779 | 96,415 | 420,635 | 98,324 | 47, 251 | 66,427 | 61,545 |  | 2, 272,513 |
| July 9 | 177,987 | 711,359 | 173, 708 | 183,037 | 88, 980 | 95, 204 | 427, 075 | 100,084 | 47,971 | 66,887 | 60,570 | 176,524 | 2,309,386 |
| July 16 | 174,687 | 711, 178 | 176, 107 | ${ }_{181}^{182,337}$ | ${ }^{89} 98980$ | ${ }^{94,414}$ | 428, 995 | 101, 284 | 47, 866 | 68, 887 | 55, 230 | 167, 124 | 2,298,089 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 2. | 44,378 | 304,749 | 1,659 | 50,767 | 11,018 | 22,018 | 64,096 | 18,306 | 23,470 | 46,341 | 11,899 | 13,076 | 611,777 |
| July | 24,091 | 257,336 | 3,479 | 44, 228 | 18,941 | 23, 660 | 65, 469 | 11, 461 | 23, 205 | 45, 272 | 16,958 | 12, 106 | 546, 206 |
| July 16 | 11, 694 | 234,032 | 3,117 | 33, 937 | 8, 182 | 23, 260 | 57,368 | 11,307 | 17,927 | 40,233 | 17,834 | 8, 713 | 467,604 |
| July 23 | 10,162 | 228, 566 | 906 | 29, 203 | 12,685 | 23, 256 | 54,372 | 10,926 | 24,585 | 42, 914 | 17,068 | 8,396 | 463, 039 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 9. | 670,5 | 2,378,708 | 569, 155 | 733, 552 | 311, 412 | 382, 175 | 1, 129,253 | 320,057 | 191, 938 | 257, 106 | 198, 238 | 566,596 | 7,908,695 |
| July 16. | 653, 072 | 2,353, 202 | 566, 821 | 729, 775 | 298, 609 | 380,877 | 1,318,312 | 317, 671 | 185,728 | 256, 275 | 198, 886 | 571,667 | 7, 430,895 |
| July 23. | 648, 076 | 2,335, 306 | 564, 246 | 722,007 | 302, 718 | 377, 733 | 1,309, 882 | 313, 062 | 190,074 | 260, 566 | 197, 532 | 566, 442 | 7,787, 644 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal Reserve notes received from Comptrollergross: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 2. | 598, 300 | 2, 212,700 | 600,080 | 605,540 | 334, 740 | 353,020 | 1,071, 240 | 334,420 | 166, 580 | 226, 580 | 167,660 | 460,800 | 7,131,660 |
| July ${ }^{\text {July }} 16$ | 611,500 611 | 2, 227,700 | -605, 880 | 609,020 | ${ }_{339}^{338,700}$ | - 354,060 | 1, $11.085,840$ | ${ }^{337,880}$ | 166,580 | ${ }_{231}^{228,180}$ | 176, 660 | 467,920 | 7, 200,920 |
| July 23 | 611,700 | 2, 233,480 | - 6005,880 | 618,920 | 341,500 | ${ }_{355,420}^{302}$ | 1, $1,089,200$ | 337,880 | 166,580 | 234, 280 | - $\begin{aligned} & 170,020 \\ & 170,980\end{aligned}$ | 475, 520 | 7,231,560 |
| Less amounts returned fordestruction: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 2. | 253, 828 | 1,096,386 | 304, 199 | 249,600 | 176, 859 | 139,514 | 414, 844 | 173,743 | 77,318 | 118, 910 | 71,583 | 188,550 | 3,271,334 |
| July 9. | ${ }_{266}^{262,693}$ | 1, 099, 514 | 305,702 <br> 307 <br> 888 | ${ }^{252}$ 25, 283 |  | 141,315 | 416, 678 | 175, 742 | 78, 096 | 120,028 | 72,505 | 190, 125 | 3, 392,919 |
| Jny ${ }^{\text {July }} \mathbf{1 6 .}$ | ${ }_{268,643}^{266,161}$ | $1,103,995$ $1,112,610$ | 307,888 309,820 | ${ }^{254,626}$ | 180,160 182,052 | $\underset{144,319}{142,39}$ | 419,778 423,555 | 178,158 180 | 78, 722 | 121, 224 | 73,629 | 192,493 | 3,319, 233 |
| Net amount of Federal Re- <br> serve notes received from <br> Crvent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ComptrolleroftheCurrency: July 2. | 338,4 | 1,110 |  | 355, 940 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 9. | 348, 807 | 1, 128, 186 | 300, 178 | ${ }_{356,737}$ | 160, 462 | 212, 745 | 669, 62 | 162, 1738 | 89, 8184 | 107,670 | 96,077 | 272, 250 | $3,860,326$ $3,908,001$ |
| July 16. | 345,539 | 1, 127,085 | 297, 992 | 364, 294 | 159,340 | 213,021 | 667, 502 | 159,722 | 87, 858 | 110,556 | ${ }_{96}{ }_{991}$ | 283,027 | 3,912, 327 |
| July 23................ | 343, 057 | 1,120, 870 | 296,060 | 360, 627 | 159, 448 | 211, 101 | 665, 645 | 157, 608 | 86, 872 | 112, 151 | 96,407 | 280, 573 | 3,890,419 |
| Collateralreceived from Fed- <br> eral Reserve Bank: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\text { JuIy } 2 .$ | 122,485 | 282,362 | 88, 294 | 149,233 | 38,908 | 48,466 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 9. | 119,620 | 281, 827 | 91, 790 | 149, 550 | 43,029 | 50, 566 | 167, 547 | 46,374 | 32,278 | 36,795 | 25, 555 | 100, 171 | 1,146,944 |
| July 16. | !121, 152 | 280,907 | 89,605 | 149, 207 | 41, 107 | 50, 182 | 164, 447 | 45,358 | 32,077 | 36,599 | 29, 431 | 112,803 | 1,152,875 |
| July 23. | 128,670 | 280, 222 | 107,672 | 150,539 | 39, 215 | 48, 261 | 160,670 | 44, 744 | 31,691 | 36,694 | 28,488 | 103,349 | 1,160, 215 |
| July 2. | 216, 265 | 1, 010, 101 | 175,366 | 228,554 | 101,797 | 118,433 | 484, 731 | 116, 630 | 70,721 | 112,768 | 73,444 | 175, 480 | 2, 884, 290 |
| July ${ }^{\text {July }} 16$ | ${ }^{202}{ }^{189}$, 381 |  | ${ }_{179}^{177}$, 182 | ${ }_{216}^{227}$,265 | 107, ${ }^{1821}$ | 1118, 864 | 492,544 | 111, 545 | 71, 176 | 112,159 | 77,528 | 188, 630 | 2,855, 592 |
| ${ }^{\text {July }}$ July 23 | $\xrightarrow{1865,381}$ | 945,210 934,214 | 179,224 160,514 | [16, $\begin{aligned} & 216,274 \\ & 210\end{aligned}$ | -98,162 | 117,674 118,371 | 486,363 483,567 | 112, 591 | 65,793 71,511 | 111, 129 | 73, 783 | $\underset{182,520}{175}$ | 2,765,693 |
| talliabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 182, 320 | 2,737,010 |
| July ${ }^{\text {July }}$ | 677, 622 | 2, $2,378,708$ | 569, 5154 | 733, 727 | 298,586 | 382, 1705 | 1, 310, 1,308 | 323,180 320,057 | 191, 789 | ${ }_{257}^{257,351}$ | 193,998 | 556, 476 | 7,891,560 |
| July 16. | 653,072 | 2,353, 202 | 566, 821 | 729, 775 | 298, 609 | 380, 877 | 1, $1,318,312$ | 317,671 | 185, 728 | 256,275 | 198, 238 | 566, 596 571,667 | $7,908,695$ $7,830,895$ |
| July 23 | 648,076 | 2,335,306 | 564, 246 | 722,007 | 302,718 | 377, 733 | 1,309, 882 | 313,062 | 190,074 | 260,566 | 197, 532 | 566, 442 | 7,787,644 |

Amounts of Federal Reserve notes received from and returned to other Federal Reserve Banks for redemption or credit during the period Jan. 1 to June 30, 1920.

| Federal Rererve Bank. | Boston. |  |  |  | New York. |  | Philadelphia. |  |  |  |  | Cleveland. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Received |  | Retu | arned. | Received. | Returned |  | Recei | ved. | Ret | turned. | Received. | Returned. |
| Boston <br> New York <br> Philailelphia <br> Cleveland. <br> Richmond.. <br> Atlanta. <br> Chicago. <br> St. Louis. <br> Minneapolis <br> Kansas City <br> Dallas. <br> San Francisco. | \$28,672,050 |  | \$26,124,000 |  | \$25, 844,000 | \$20, 773,250 |  | $\$ 2,76 f, 600$ 32,160,350 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 2,880,750 \\ & 28,567,850 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\$ 1,532,900$ 20,960, 000 | $\$ 963,000$ |
|  | 2,683,500 |  | $2,880,400$$1,558,100$ |  | 26,581,000 | 23,090,100 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 10,716,500 | $12,699,400$$8,474,600$ |  |  |  |  | 6,088,750 |  |  |
|  | 1,851,000 |  | 1,382,600 |  | 9,057, 750 |  |  | ${ }_{5}^{6}, 755,250$ |  | $5,134,750$ |  | 4,535,250 | 2,344,000 |
|  | 2,478, 2000 |  | 1, 2687,600 |  | 7,379,050 | $5,549,200$$17,893,650$ |  | $1,587,100$$2,704,500$ |  | $1,973,000$$3,604,250$ |  | 3,237, 800 | 1,676,000 |
|  |  |  | 13, 650, 000 | $10,573,500$$4,140,675$ | $9,018,000$$1,162,500$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r}507 \\ \hline 425,000 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  | 4,632,075 | 2, 474, 700 |  | -993,995 |  | 784, 005 |  |
|  |  |  | 1,260,000 | 232,000435,700 |  | 495,1,0001,07950 |  |  | 605,000923,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 370,300 |  | 537, 300 |  | 2,580, 100 |  | $1,726,550$ $2,179,650$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - $\begin{array}{r}246,150 \\ 929,930\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 448,000 \\ & 559,100 \end{aligned}$ |  | 2,157,950 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{1}, 879,050 \\ & \mathbf{6}, 386,700 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 361,600 \\ 1,002,710 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 560,250 \\ & 691,640 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 647,750 \\ 1,493,265 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 566,590 \\ & 486,000 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | 6,063, 875 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total: | 40,498,600 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 51,321,245 |  | $\begin{array}{lll} 54,328,090 \\ 46 \\ \hline 20 \end{array}$ |  |
| Jan. 1 to June 30, 1919. |  |  | $109,922,300$ $112,395,210$ | 103,127,150 |  |  |  |  | 54,181,105 |  | 32,190,500 34, 306, 400 |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. 1 to June 30, 1918. | $26,682,025$$8,896,980$ |  | 44,681,350 |  | 48, 728,590 | 137,657,450 |  | 18,376,580 |  | 23,414,160 |  | 15,933,030 | 7, 261,200 |  |
| Federal Reserve Bank. | Richmond. |  |  |  | Atlanta. |  |  | Chicago. |  |  |  | St. Louis. |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Received |  | Returned. |  |  |  | Received. | Returned |  | Recei | ved. | Ret | turned. | Received. | Returned. |
| Boston.... | $\begin{array}{r} 81,402,550 \\ 14,237, \\ 4,800,000 \\ 2,828,800 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 81,769,750 \\ 8,777,000 \\ 5,882,500 \\ 4,364,250 \end{array}$ |  | $\$ 1,262,100$$8,558,000$1 |  |  | 82, 911,000 |  | 82,526,000 |  | $\$ 509,900$ | $\$ 707,230.00$ |  |
| New York |  |  | 7,349,950 | 23,740, 200 |  | 13,790, 000 |  | $3,659,500$ 675,250 | $\begin{aligned} & 4,737,300.00 \\ & 1,088,290.00 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cleveland. |  |  | $1,781,500$$6,442,000$ | $3,156,950$$4,37,200$ |  | 10,333, 900 |  | 10, 862,50 |  | 1,425,300 | 4,161, 240.01 |  |  |  |  |
| Richmond |  |  | $4,066,250$$4,977,100$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2,03,500 \\ & 3,145,000 \end{aligned}$ |  | 5,176,705.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Atlanta. | $4,570,400$$2,056,500$1, |  |  |  |  |  | 6,175,000 |  |  | 4,317,200 |  | 6,970,300 |  |  |
| Chicago. |  |  |  | ,848,750 | 3,083,000 |  |  | $4,548,450$ <br> $7,209,550$ <br> 881 |  | 17,041,750 |  | 7,128,500 |  | 7,079,500 | 17,164, 850.00 |
| st. Louis. | 1,164,905 |  | 1,387, 750 |  | 5,203,950 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minneapolis |  |  | $1,166,50$$1,152,500$ |  | 226,500 | $1,039,600$2 |  | $7,624,000$$6,877,300$ |  | $10,914,000$$5,941,500$ |  | 4,117,6500 | 68,645.00 |  |  |
| Kansas City | $\begin{aligned} & 167,000 \\ & 564,000 \\ & 384,350 \\ & \hline 81,000 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 904,250 |  |  | 5,398, 230.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dallas.... |  |  | 2,336,100 | $1,913,250$$6,626,265$ |  |  |  | $1,697,500$$4,856,000$ |  | 2,406,850 | 4,376,097.59 |  |  |  |  |
| San Francisco | $81,380$ |  |  |  |  |  | 305,000 |  | 1,394,730 | $\begin{array}{r} 2.811,350 \\ \quad 638,100 \end{array}$ |  | 1,322,475 | 1,430,790.00 |  |  |
| Total: <br> Jan. 1 to June 30, 1920 <br> Jan. 1 to June 30, 1919 <br> Jan. 1 to June 30, 1918 | $\begin{aligned} & 32,999,585 \\ & 38,251,890 \\ & 12,049,500 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 35,259,750 \\ & 42,483,000 \\ & 10,910,50 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 33,019,380 \\ & 28,815,230 \\ & 10,211,880 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34,331,450 \\ & 28,619,355 \\ & 11,392,750 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 89, 413, 765 19,390,780 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 65,907,000 \\ & 61,755,000 \\ & 21,924,100 \end{aligned}$ |  | $30,033,225 \quad 46,365,642.50$ <br> $24,751,040 \mid 37,368,050.00$ <br> $6,404,560,16,473,030.00$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal Reserve Bank. | Minneapolis. |  |  | Kansas City. |  | Dallas. |  |  | San Francisco. |  |  | Total. |  |  |  |  |
|  | Received. Returned. |  |  | Received. Returned. |  | Received. | Returned. |  | Received. |  | Returned. | Received. | Returned. |  |  |  |
| Boston. | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 423,000 \\ 2,825,550 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 218,500 \\ 1,288,500 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 544,100 \\ 3,429,350 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 356,800 \\ 2,587,600 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 449,960.00 \\ 2,656,200.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 259,300 \\ 2,066,550 \end{array}$ |  | \$654, 800 \$1,138,975 |  |  | 38,300,910. 00 \$32, 754, 755.00 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $9,359,500$621,500$1,5823,335$$1,120,450$ |  |  |  |  | 50, 257, 800.00111, $335,085.00$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Philadelphia | $2,34,500$724,000 | 243,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  | - 658,750 | 2, 477,200 <br> 1,2695 | $522,500.00$549500 | - $\begin{array}{r}357,450 \\ 595 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Cleveland. |  | 171,500 |  | 1,199,250 |  |  | 1,613,430 | 37, 330, 700.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 46, 626,070.00 |
| Richmond. | 433,750472,550 |  |  | 1, 439,300 | 1,144,000.00 |  | 64, 500 |  |  | 855,065 | 36,162, 500.00 | 26,672,280. 00 |  |  |  |  |
| Atlanta. |  |  |  | 1,071,350 | 916,250 | 2,707, 450.00 |  | 299, 200 |  |  | 1,466,420 | 34, $821,350.00$ | 29, 807,675.00 |  |  |  |
| Chicago. | 10,904, 500 | 7,70 | ,500 |  | 5,894,000 | 6, 877,300 | 1,669,000.00 |  | 26,500 | 4,796 |  | 6,973, 310 | 64, $889,200.00$ | $82,242,260.00$ |  |
| St. Louis. | 963,145 |  | , 000 |  |  |  |  |  | 1,459 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minneapolis. | 1,562,550 | 1,10 |  | 1,063,500 | 1,549,350 | 3,339,550.00 |  | 287, 5120 | 1,8485 |  | 2,624, 585 | $13,742,000.00$ $24,434,000,00$ | 20, $275,983,685.00$ |  |  |  |
| Dallas. | 1, 299,300 |  | ,850 | - $2,663,450$ | 3,425,450 | 3, 3 , |  |  | 2,072 |  | 1,876,735 | 15, 481, 200.00 | 18,995,282. 50 |  |  |  |
| San Franc | 2,602, 620 | 1,69 | ,000 | 3,498,015 | 2,655,150 | 1,811,435.00 | 1,61 | 19,550 |  |  | 1,86, | 27, 562, 200.00 | 21,319,030.00 |  |  |  |
| Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. 1 to June 30, 1920. | 21,552,465 | 13,78 | , 850 | 26,437,000 | 24,425,000 | 19, 228,787. 50 | 4,2 | 220, 850 | 4,955 | 0302 | 29,226, 870 | 36, $569,332.50$ | 488, 739, 507.50 |  |  |  |
| Jan. 1 to June 30, 1919. | 19,570,570 | 14,07 | ,500 | 27,024,840 | 15, 372, 900 | 12, 397, 460. 00 |  | 51,500 | 19,793 | 6701 | 16,206,695 | 63, 453, 395. 00 | 487, 292, 750.00 |  |  |  |
| Jan. 1 to June 30, 1918. | 7,192,465 | 1,80 | ,000 | 19,485, 725 | 3,299,905 | 5,971,680.00 |  | 22, 25. | 6,278 |  | 3,301,610 | 78, 920, 770.00 | 166, 582, 755. 00 |  |  |  |

## CONDITION OF MEMBER BANKS IN LEADING CITIES.

A summary of changes during the four weeks ending July 16 in the principal resources and liabilities of 814 member banks in leading cities is given below:

Resources and liabilities of 814 member banks in leading cities, June 18 to July 16, 1920.
[In millions of dollars.]

|  | June 18. | June $25 .$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ 2 . \end{gathered}$ | July | July 16. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States bonds | 877 | 880 | 879 | 879 | 877 |
| United States Victory notes | 200 | 198 | 198 | 196 | 194 |
| United States certificates of indebtedness. | 511 | 483 | 420 | 399 | 473 |
| Total United States securities owned. | 1,588 | 1,561 | 1,497 | 1,474 | 1,544 |
| Loans secured by Government war obligalions (including rediscounts with Federal Reserve |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank)........................... | 1,026 | 1,026 | 1,023 | 1,012 | 1,000 |
| Loans secured by corporate stocks and bonds. | 3,113 | 3,107 | 3,119 | 3,080 | 3,047 |
| All other loans and investments (including rediscounts with Federal Reserve Bank). | 11,227 | 11,272 | 11, 285 | 11,335 | 43 |
| Total loans and investments (including rediscounts with Federal Reserve Bank) | 16,954 | 11,272 16,966 | 11,285 16,925 | 16,901 | 16,934 |
| Reserve balances with Federal |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reserve Ban Cash in vault. | 1,368 366 | 1,394 | 1, 446 | $\begin{array}{r}1,403 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 1, 422 |
| Net demand depos | 11, ¢13 | 11,344 | 11, 486 | 11,461 | 11,552 |
| Time deposits... | 2,684 | 2,692 | 2, 700 | 2,699 | 2,698 |
| Government deposits. | '268 | 263 | 108 | 44 | 122 |
| Bills discounted and rediscounted with Federal Reserve Banks: Total. | 1,833 | 1,946 | 2,056 | 2,051 | 1,990 |
| Secured by Government war obligations. <br> All other. | 956 877 | 996 950 | 1,020 | 1,011 | 984 1,006 |

Moderate liquidation of loans secured by Government war obligations and by corporate stocks and bonds, accompanied by a steady and considerable growth of other loans and investments, including commercial and industrial loans, characterizes the period under review. The banks' borrowings from the Federal Reserve Banks, after showing an increase of 223 millions during the two weeks immediately following June 18, declined by 66 millions in the subsequent two weeks, and stood on July 16 at 1,990 millions, as compared with 1,833 millions four weeks earlier.

Holdings of Treasury certificates show a decline for each of the first three weeks of the period under review, the largest diminution being reported for the week ending July 2, during which the Treasury redeemed about 154 millions of certificates. The last week included in the table saw an increase of 74 millions in Treasury certificate holdings caused by the issue on July 15 of over 200 millions of loan and tax certificates. On the same date the Treasury redeemed about 75 millions of loan
certificates. On July 16 the amount of Treasury certificates held "as 473 millions, comparable with 511 millions on June 18.

Holdings of paper secured by United States war obligations underv ent little change for the first two weeks under review, but show a decline of about 23 millions for the last two weeks, while loans secured by corporate stocks and bonds, owing largely to the dullness of the stock market and the consequent diminution of demand for speculative loans, show a reduction from 3,113 millions at the beginning to 3,047 millions at the end of the four weeks. Other loans and investments, including commercial and industrial loans proper, on the other hand, show a constant expansion, and stood at 11,343 millions on July 16, an increase of about 116 millions during the four-week period. The net result of these changes in the different classes of earning assets is a decrease of total loans and investments of all reporting banks by 20 millions. As compared with the total of 16,589 millions reported under this head at the beginning of the year, the amount on the most recent Friday was 16,934 millions, indicating an increase of 345 millions for a period somewhat over one-half of the current calendar year. For the same period the reporting member banks in New York City show a decrease in loans and investments of about 165 millions, or from 5,849 to 5,684 millions, this decrease being the result of a decline of 126 millions in Government securities held, of 145 millions in loans secured by United States war obligations, and of 284 millions in loans secured by corporate stocks and bonds, partly offset by an expansion of 390 millions in the item "all other loans and investments," which includes commercial loans and discounts proper.

Government deposits show little change for the first week of the period, but a sharp decline for the second and third weeks, apparently in connection with the redemption of Treasury certificates, while the credit given to the Government on the books of member banks for the certificates issued on July 15 accounts for the considerable increase in Government deposits shown for the week ending July 16. Other demand deposits (net) show fluctuations between 11,344 millions on June 25 and 11,552 millions on July 16, the amount on that date being about 39 millions larger than four weeks earlier, but 57 millions less than the total shown for the beginning of the year. Similar fluctuations are shown in the net demand deposits of the New York City banks, their total on July 16 being 35 millions lower than the June

18 totnl, and 39 millions lower than at the berinuing of the verr. Time deposits show comparatively slioht chanoes for the four works, the total at the end of the poriod, 2,698 millions, being about 14 millions above the June 18 amount.

Total accommodation of reporting member banks at Fader 1 Reserve Banks, as shown on the books of the litter, was lower on June 18, as the result of June 15 tax payments and Treasury operations in connection with the issue and redemption of loan and tax certificates, than at any date since January 23. Two weeks later the amount was 223 millions larger than on June 18, but the last two weoks of the review petiod saw a reduction of 66 millions in borrowings by member banks, the amount on July 16 being 1,990 millions, or 157 millions more than four weeks earlier. The borrowings of reporting banks in New York City show a net increase of 136 millions for the four weeks, the
aggrerate on July 16-686 millions-being ainout 13 millions less than the corresponding totnl at the becinning of the year. Paper secured by Gove:nment war obligations, which constituted about 52 per cent of the discounts by Fodoral Reserve Banks for all roporting member banks on June 18, formed about 49 per cent of the total on ${ }^{\text {tu }}$ uly 16 , as compared with 65 per cent on January 2 . This ratio for the New York City banks was 67 per cent at the beginning of the year, as well as on June 18, and 65 per cent on the latest report date.

Reserve balances of the reporting banks at the Federal Resorve Banks aggregated 1,422 millions on July 16, showing an increase of 54 millions for the four-woek period, while cash in vault, after considerable fluctuations, totaled 368 millions on the most recent date, showing only a slight increase of 2 millions as compared with the total reported four weeks earlier.

Principal resource and liability items of member banks in leading cities, including member banks located in Federal Reserve Bank cities and in Federal Reserve branch cities, as at close of business on Fridays from June 25 to July 16, 1920.

1. ALL REPORTING MEMBER BANKS.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | Boston. | New York. | Phila-delphia. | Cleveland. | Richmond. | Atlanta. | Chicago. | St. Louis. | Minne apolis. | Kansas City. | Dallas. | San <br> Fran- <br> cisco. | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of reporting banks: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 25............ | 46 | 114 | 57 | 92 | 82 | 47 | 107 | 35 | 35 | 83 | 48 | 68 | 814 |
| July 2. | 46 | 114 | 57 | 92 | 82 | 47 | 107 | 35 | 35 | 83 | 48 | 68 | 814 |
| July 9............... | 46 46 | 114 | 57 | 92 | 82 | 47 | 107 | 35 | 35 | 83 | 48 | 68 | 814 |
| July 16............ | 46 | 114 | 56 | 92 | 82 | 47 | 107 | 35 | 35 | 83 | 49 | 68 | 814 |
| United States bonds to secure circulation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 25............ | 12,311 | 46,658 | 11,347 | 41,874 | 28, 232 | 14, 185 | 21,550 | 16,924 | 7,321 | 15,316 | 19,573 | 34,492 | 269,783 |
| July 2. | 12,311 | 46, 658 | 11,347 | 41, 874 | 28, 282 | 14,185 | 21,549 | 16,924 | 7,321 | 15,358 | 19,573 | 34,485 | 269, 867 |
| Tuly 9. | 12,311 | 46,658 | 11,347 | 42,842 | 28, 302 | 14, 185 | 21,549 | 16, 896 | 7,271 | 15,358 | 19,574 | 34,485 | 270, 778 |
| July 16. | 12,311 | 46,658 | 11,347 | 42,413 | 28, 300 | 14,235 | 21,550 | 16,925 | 7,321 | 15,358 | 19,573 | 32,535 | 268,526 |
| Other United states bonds, including Liberty bonds: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 25............. | 13,994 | 253, 839 | 30,387 | 60,050 | 33,058 | 28, 168 | 62,706 | 12,796 | 9,830 | 21,972 | 20, 811 | 61,377 | 609,897 |
| July 2. | 13,946 | 253,813 | 29,634 | 59,9] 1 | 33,93 | 28, 097 | 60,887 | 12,763 | 9,728 | 24, 467 | 20, 741 | 61,526 | 609, 409 |
| July 9............. | 13, 445 | 253, 495 | 29,550 | 60, 086 | 34, 106 | 28, 224 | 59,414 | 12,779 | 8, 900 | 23,346 | 21, 188 | 63,487 | 608,520 |
| July 16............ | 13,347 | 251, 802 | 29,359 | 60,210 | 33,998 | 28,309 | 60,899 | 13,250 | 9,480 | 23, 267 | 21,248 | 63,215 | 608,383 |
| notes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 25. | 7,087 | 85,384 | 9,200 | 19,515 | 7,957 | 4,126 | 40,058 | 2,835 | 1,335 | 4,520 | 3,374 | 12,734 | 199, 125 |
| July $2 .$. | 6,993 | 86,583 | 9,059 | 19,383 | 7,730 | 4,093 | 39,901 | 2,816 | 1,048 | 4,918 | 3,239 | 12,567 | 198,310 |
| July 9.............. | 7,554 | 83, 013 | 8,982 | 19,344 | 7,881 | 3,975 | $3{ }^{3}, 834$ | 2,704 | 1,733 | 4,464 | 3,326 | 13,087 | 195,897 |
| July 16.............. | 7,360 | 82,778 | 8,863 | 19,326 | 7,666 | 4,228 | 39,754 | 2,781 | 1,351 | 4,521 | 3,537 | 12,201 | 194,366 |
| United States certiticates of indebtedness: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 25... | 29,037 | 241,022 | 35,730 | 33,568 | 13,732 | 9,86ค | 63,978 | 5,775 | 6,540 | 8,356 | 6,535 | 28,985 | 483, 124 |
| July 2. | 23, 249 | 220, 124 | 24, 021 | 24, 743 | 12,991 | 9,017 | 56, 197 | 4, 043 | 5,004 | 7,649 | 5,950 | 26,966 | 419,954 |
| July 9. | 21,078 | 205,847 | 21,711 | 33,355 | 12,751 | 9,202 | 56, 248 | 3,656 | 4,459 | 9,211 | 5, 836 | 25, 594 | 398, 951 |
| July 16............. | 25,420 | 266, 738 | 27,613 | 25, 270 | 12,763 | 8,915 | 59,753 | 6, 137 | 3,321 | 10,456 | 4,824 | 27,505 | 472,805 |
| Total I'nited States securities owned: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jume 25............. | 62,429 | 626,903 | 86, 864 | 155, 01f | 83,879 | 56,345 | 188, 292 | 38,330 | 25,026 | 50, 164 | 50, 293 | 137,588 | 1,560,929 |
| Jaly 2. | 56, 499 | 607, 178 | 74, 061 | 145,891 | 82,906 | 55,392 | 178,527 | 36,546 | 23, 101 | 52,392 | 49,503 | 135, 544 | 1,497,540 |
| July 9. | 54,888 | 589,013 | 71,590 | 145, 630 | 83,040 | 55, 586 | 177,045 | 36, 035 | 22,363 | 52,379 | 49,924 | 136,653 | 1,474,146 |
| July 16.............. | 58,438 | 641,976 | 77, 182 | 147, 219 | 82, 727 | 55, 687 | 181, 955 | 39,093 | 21,473 | 53,602 | 49,182 | 135,546 | 1,544,050 |
| Loans secured by Government war obligations, includine rediscounts with Federal Reserce Bank: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June $25 . . . . . . . . . . .$. | 53, 733 | 503,367 | 96, 856 | 76,718 | 33, 032 | 28,495 | 105, 697 | 38,359 | 18, 144 | 27,463 | 9,629 | 33, 898 | 1,026. 291 |
| July 2. | 55,521 | 495,996 | 96, 204 | 78,783 | 32,805 | 28, 135 | 111,289 | 37, 112 | 17,3.29 | 27,340 | 10, 222 | 32,699 | 1,023,447 |
| July 9. | 54,088 | 497,060 | 92,267 | 79, 844 | 33,312 | 27,292 | 104, 790 | 36,482 | 16, 893 | 27,041 | 10,217 | 33,015 | 1,012,301 |
| July 16............. | 52,541 | 486,312 | 89,518 | 77,559 | 33,269 | 27,581 | 111,210 | 35, 564 | 16,569 | 26,523 | 9,892 | 33,791 | 1,000,329 |

Principal resource and liability items of member banks in leading cities, including member banks located in Federal Reserve Bank cities and in Federal Reserve branch cities, as at close of bu usiness on Fridays from June 25 to July 16, 1920-Con.

1. ALL REPORTING MEMBER BANKS-Continued.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | Boston. | New York. | Phila-delphia. | Cleveland. | Rich- | At- | Chicago. | St. Louis. | Minneapolis. | Kansas | Dallas. | San Francisco. | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loans secured by stocks and bonds other than United States securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 25...... | 188 | 1,329,176 | 205,278 | ${ }^{328}$,967 | 107,392 | 61,634 | 463,580 | 128,462 | 31, 550 | 79,591 | 36,887 | 146,068 | 3,107,025 |
| July 9 | 187,534 | 1, 309,634 | 201,053 | ${ }_{333,589}$ | 105, 420 | 59,682 | 462,217 | 127, 107 | 30,371 |  | 36,801 | 145, 706 |  |
| July 16. | 185, 601 | 1, 290,333 | [199,987 | 330, 156 | 105,682 | 60, 736 | 458,707 | 123, 542 | 30,820 | 80,202 | 37, 360 | 143,668 | 3,046, 794 |
| All other loans and investments, inchuding rediscounts with Federal Reserve Bank: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 25. | 800, 795 | 3,954, 150 | 563, 868 | 928, 282 | 390,521 | 413,946 | 1,781,390 | 411,943 | 292,037 | 508.253 | 241,540 | 985,000 | 11,271,735 |
| July 2. | 791,645 | 3, 958, 477 | 580, 865 | 920,352 | 388,750 | 410, 267 | 1,789,753 | 409, 808 | 288, 833 | 512,986 | 24, 711 | 988, 207 | 11, 284,609 |
| July July 16. | 799, 718 |  | -581,494 | ${ }_{929,864}^{932,767}$ | 388,919 386,794 | 413, 214 | 1,788, 159 | 4109, 420 | 290, 889 | 510,312 513,606 | 244, 21616 | 989,046 989,159 | 11, 342,996 |
| Total loans and investments, including rediscounts with Federal Reserve Bank |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 25. | 1,105,397 | 6,413,606 | 952,666 | 1,488,983 | 615, 724 | 560, 420 | 2,538, 959 | 617,094 | 366,757 | 665,471 | 338, 349 | 1,302,554 | 16,965,980 |
| July 2 | 1, 095,714 | 6,403,648 | 950, 802 | 1,482,617 | 609,175 | 553, 469 | 2,543,978 | 611,401 | 359, 861 | 673,345 | 341,013 | 1,300,639 | 16,925,062 |
| July 9. | 1,091, 737 | 6,380,183 | 949, 128 | 1,491, 830 | 610,691 | 559,060 | 2,532, 211 | 610,528 | 359,382 | 670,411 | 341,658 | 1,304, 420 | 16,901, 239 |
| July 16. | 1,096,298 | 6, 408,787 | 948, 181 | 1,484, 798 | 608,472 | 557, 218 | 2,536,518 | 607,621 | 359,451 | 673,933 | 350, 758 | 1,302, 164 | 16, 934,199 |
| Reserve balances with Federal Reserve Bank |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 25. | 81,676 | 685,972 | 66,207 | 94,443 | 35, 123 | 33,579 | 184, 537 | 42,358 | 19,449 | 44,498 | 23, 968 | 82,899 | 1,393,709 |
| July 2. | 81, 899 | 719,059 | 69, 101 | 100,509 | 35, 731 | 33,359 | 188, 879 | 40,340 | 21, 781 | 49,293 | 24,520 | 81,242 | 1,445,713 |
| July 9 | 83,432 | 676,758 | 68,696 | 98,949 | 37, 805 | 31,119 | 192, 160 | 40,064 | 20, 198 | 45,040 | 25, 397 | 83,082 | 1,402,700 |
| July 19. | 83,351 | 693,380 | 67,502 | 98,466 | 35,955 | 31, 107 | 191, 704 | 39,630 | 21,099 | 46,147 | 25,983 | 87,582 | 1,421,906 |
| Cash in vault: | 24, | 120, 1 | 16,2 | 31,5 | 16,946 | 12,9 | 63, | 9,470 | 9,206 | 14,885 | 10,910 |  | 358,050 |
| July 2. | 23,691 | 118,993 | 15, 862 | 33,416 | 18, 832 | 13,664 | 65,779 | 9,811 | 8,710 | 13,855 | 17, 736 | 26,903 | 358,252 |
| July 9. | 25,408 | 132, 454 | 18,256 | 34,313 | 19, 164 | 13, 997 | 71, 016 | 10,565 | 10, 131 | 15,320 | 11,683 | 31, 751 | 394,048 |
| July 16. | 24, 827 | 122,016 | 15,914 | 34, 103 | 17,061 | 12, 701 | 67,204 | 9,456 | 9,975 | 13,815 | 11,598 | 29, 183 | 367, 853 |
| Net demand deposits on which reserve is computed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 25. | 818,241 | 5, 219,623 | 662,888 | 867, 816 | 335, 181 | 269, 859 | 1,378,932 | 320,436 | 209, 673 | 410,020 | 223, 285 | 828, 174 | 11,344,128 |
| July 2 | 821,565 | 5,292,471 | 676,852 | 893, 911 | 336,088 | 272, 145 | $1,397,171$ | 312,892 | 213,863 | 426, 662 | 223,497 | 619,074 | 11,486, 191 |
| July 9 | 825.480 | 5,239,382 | 670, 841 | 895,997 | 340,211 | 271,367 | 1, 396, 286 | 321,099 | 213,430 | 428, 702 | 229, 869 | 630,775 | 11, 461,439 |
| July 16. | 839,594 | 5,247,798 | 672,455 | 909,495 | 342,856 | 270,605 | 1,430,410 | 321,327 | 215,496 | 425, 677 | 233,502 | 642,600 | 11, 551,815 |
| June 25. | 140,780 | 417,491 | 32,981 | 365, 990 | 104,986 | 152, 651 | 624, 181 | 124, 484 | 64,327 |  | 49,279 |  | 2,691, 880 |
| July 2. | 139, 219 | 418, 817 | 33, 178 | 366, 872 | 105, 149 | 152,614 | 620,094 | 126,446 | 64,044 | 97, 828 | 49,452 | 520,658 | 2,700,371 |
| July 9. | 138,737 | 419, 864 | ${ }_{33,181}$ | 364,725 | 105, 125 | 151, 106 | 627,033 | 125,623 | 63, 806 | 97, 100 | 49,498 | 523, 171 | 2,698,969 |
| July 16... Government | 139, 048 | 422,049 | 33,332 | 365, 339 | 105,244 | 151,184 | 625,544 | 124, 596 | 63, 274 | 96, 674 | 54,313 | 517, 882 | 2,69*, 479 |
| June 25. | 19,631 | 129,675 | 17,918 | 22,760 | 3,480 | 3,955 | 30, 299 | 7,544 | 907 | 9,243 | 2,891 | 14,558 | 262,861 |
| July 2 | 7,934 | 52,515 | 7,246 | 9,483 | 1,397 | 2,503 | 12,455 | 3,031 | 365 | 3,751 | 1,173 | 5,937 | 107,790 |
| July 9. | 3,175 | 21, 139 |  | 4,481 |  |  |  | 1,204 | 138 | 1,508 | 453 | 2,344 | 44, 104 |
| July 16. | 7,958 | 79, 121 | 6,551 | 7,197 | 1,006 | 1,827 | 4,719 | 3,308 | 138 | 5,813 | 508 | 3,415 | 121,561 |
| Bills payable with Federal Reserve Bank Secured by United States war obliga-tions- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 25. | 30,342 | 309, 369 | 62,458 | 46,701 | 40, 306 | 30,826 | 94,568 | 21,779 | 8,781 | 20, 188 | 14, 190 | 21, 705 | 707,213 |
| July 2 | 31, 751 | 349, 412 | 54,978 | 45,941 | 35, 358 | 32,396 | 90, 567 | 21,433 | 6,972 | 27,475 | 14, 545 | 29, 744 | 740,572 |
| July 9 | 23, 546 | 352, 280 | 54,406 | 43, 109 | 40, 640 | 31,837 | 91,593 | 20, 168 | 5,430 | 26,759 | 15,730 | 28,347 | 733, 845 |
| July 16. | 20,057 | 356, 962 | 54,918 | 38,574 | 36, 194 | 32, 115 | 91, 830 | 21,035 | 3,278 | 26, 873 | 14,863 | 23, 049 | 719, 748 |
| All ${ }_{\text {Other }}$ June 25 |  |  |  |  | 100 | 441 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 2 |  |  |  | iii | 1,154 | 386 |  |  | 350 | 60 |  | ${ }_{285}^{135}$ | 2,346 |
| July 9. |  |  |  | 111 | ${ }^{690}$ | 336 | 600 | 174 | 450 |  |  | 85 |  |
| July 16. |  |  |  | 36 | 100 | 729 |  | 174 | 450 | 25 |  | 85 | 1,599 |
| Billsrediscounted with |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal Reserve Bank: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secured by United States war obli-gations- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 25.. | 23,563 | 134,451 | 59,506 | 14,827 | 6,897 | 7,672 | 16,343 | 12,835 | 3,169 | 6,311 | 1,238 | 2,322 | 289, 134 |
| July 2. | 24, 653 | 129, 822 | 58,076 | 14,684 | 5,913 | ${ }^{6,063}$ | 15,050 | 12,619 | ${ }_{2}^{2,426}$ | 6,419 | 1,874 | 2,292 | 279, 891 |
| July 9.... | 23,683 20,149 | 129,875 | 48,769 | 15,892 14,862 | 5,291 8,230 | 5,669 6,036 | 14, 1503 | 11, 368 | $\stackrel{\text { 2,494 }}{2,256}$ | 6,037 | 1,862 1,721 | 2,471 | 276,787 264,329 |
| All other- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 264,329 |
| June 25. | 52, 813 | 230, 324 | 25,468 |  | 35, 143 |  | 257, 725 | 62,715 | 50,942 |  | 16,329 | 65, 683 | 948, 519 |
| July 2. July 9. | 60,168 58,629 | 271, 248 | ${ }^{32,408}$ | 44,564 44,581 | ${ }_{38,079}^{37}$ | 45, 4006 | -274,887 | 69,063 67,316 | 51,437 | 59,830 | 18,201 | 67,607 70,959 | 1,033,621 |
| July 16... | 54, 255 | 258, 574 | 37,661 | 42,332 | 38,082 | 50, 333 | 262, 367 | 67,247 | 49,104 | 57,870 | 19,792 | 66,570 | 1, $1,004,187$ |

Principal resource and liability items of member banks in leading cities, including member banks located in Federal Reserve Bank cities and in Federal Reserve branch cities, as at close of business on Fridays from June 25 to July 16, 1920-Con. 2. MEMBER BANKS IN FEDERAL RESERVE BANK CITIES.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | Boston. | New York. | Philadel. phia. | Cleveland. | Richmond. | Atlanta. | Chicago. | St. Louis. | Minneapolis. | Kansas City. | Dallas. | San <br> Francisco. | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of reporting banks: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 25. | 22 | 72 | 42 | 12 | 9 | 8 | 50 | 13 | 9 | 20 | 7 | 16 | 280 |
| July 2. | 22 | 72 | 42 | 12 | 9 | 8 | 50 | 13 | 9 | 20 | 7 | 16 | 280 |
| July 9. | 22 | 72 | 42 | 12 | 9 | 8 | 50 | 13 | 9 | 20 | 7 | 15 | 279 |
| July 16. | 22 | 72 | 41 | 12 | 9 | 8 | 50 | 13 | 9 | 20 | 7 | 15 | 278 |
| United States bonds to secure circulation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 25. | 2,281 | 36,961 | 7,337 | 3,664 | 2,782 | 3,100 | 1,438 | 10, 294 | 2,791 | 4,946 | 4,560 | 18,500 | 98, 654 |
| July 2. | 2,281 | 36,961 | 7,337 | 3,664 | 2,782 | 3,100 | 1,438 | 10,294 | 2,791 | 4,958 | 4,560 | 18,500 | 98,666 |
| July 9. | 2,281 | 36,961 | 7,337 | 3,664 | 2,782 | 3,100 | 1,438 | 10,266 | 2,791 | 4,958 | 4,560 | 18,500 | 98, 638 |
| July 16. | 2,281 | 36,961 | 7,337 | 3,664 | 2,782 | 3,100 | 1,438 | 10,295 | 2,791 | 4,958 | 4,560 | 16,550 | 96,717 |
| Other United States bonds, including Liberty bonds: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 25............ | 5,181 | 221,092 | 23,099 | 7,385 | 4,767 | 1,738 | 25,199 | 4,678 | 1,831 | 7,153 | 5,813 | 36,961 | 344, 897 |
| July 2. | 5,140 | 220,560 | 22,289 | 7,472 | 4,706 | 1,841 | 24,690 | 4,657 | 1,869 | 9, 103 | 5,830 | 37,133 | 345, 290 |
| July 9. | 5,153 | 220, 629 | 22,240 | 7,466 | 4,706 | 1,837 | 22,923 | 4,708 | 1, 818 | 7,799 | 5,946 | 39,363 | 344,588 |
| July 16. | 4,545 | 218,825 | 22,049 | 7,470 | 4,706 | 1,837 | 23,838 | 5, 139 | 1,544 | 7,935 | 5,809 | 38,390 | 342,087 |
| United States Victory notes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 25. | 342 | 75,638 | 6,562 | 2,179 | 199 | 217 | 12,388 | 622 | 181 | 2,455 | 904 | 4,325 | 106, 012 |
| July 2. | 346 | 77,000 | 6,441 | 2,252 | 198 | 136 | 12,226 | 624 | 181 | 2, 826 | 803 | 4,191 | 107, 224 |
| July 9. | 823 | 73, 533 | 6, 440 | 2,246 | 198 | 128 | 12,292 | 535 | 181 | 2, 401 | 809 | 4,221 | 103, 807 |
| July 16. | 623 | 73,310 | 6,323 | 2,241 | 195 | 128 | 12,366 | 613 | 507 | 2,465 | 946 | 4,152 | 108, 869 |
| United States certificates of indebtedness: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 25............ | 19,435 | 223,003 | 33,176 | 5,965 | 1,446 | 1,226 | 24,048 | 3,976 | 2,173 | 3,179 | 1,834 | 14,549 | 334, 010 |
| July 2. | 14,182 | 203, 782 | 21, 606 | 4,740 | 1,190 | 1,221 | 21,023 | 2, 701 | 1,489 | 2,551 | 1,457 | 13, 885 | 289,837 |
| July 9............... | 12,227 | 189,597 | 19,546 | 4,750 | 1,183 | 1,221 | 19,777 | 2,449 | 1,347 | 4,142 | 1,343 | 12,873 | 270,455 |
| July 16......... | 15,811 | 243,490 | 25,433 | 4,665 | 1,286 | 1,216 | 22,034 | 4,807 | 703 | 5,085 | 1,166 | 13,858 | 339,554 |
| Total United States securities owned: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 25. | 27,239 | 556,694 | 70,174 | 19, 193 | 9,194 | 6,281 | 63,073 | 19,570 | 6,976 | 17,733 | 13, 111 | 74,335 | 883, 573 |
| July 2. | 21,949 | 538,303 | 57,673 | 18, 128 | 8,876 | 6,298 | 59,377 | 18,276 | 6,340 | 19,438 | 12,650 | 73, 709 | 841,017 |
| July 9. | 20,484 | 520,720 | 55, 563 | 18,120 | 8,869 | 6, 286 | 56,430 | 17,958 | 6,137 | 19,300 | 12, 658 | 74,957 | 817.488 |
| July 16.............. | 23,260 | 572,586 | 61,142 | 18,040 | 8,969 | 6, 281 | 59,676 | 20, 854 | 5,545 | 20,443 | 12,481 | 72,950 | 882, 227 |
| Loans secured by Government war obligations, including rediscounts with Federal Reserve Bank: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 25. | 44,907 | 474,175 | 92, 123 | 19,069 | 9,367 | 5,555 | 71,556 | 25,502 | 9, 170 | 11,620 | 2,749 | 16, 574 | 782,367 |
| July 2. | 46, 226 | 466, 884 | 91,539 | 20, 910 | 9,428 | 5,781 | 78, 150 | 23,945 | 9, 117 | 11, 421 | 2,673 | 15, 846 | 781,920 |
| July 9. | 45, 210 | 466, 685 | 87,609 | 21, 149 | 10,013 | 5, 671 | 73, 125 | 23,019 | 9, 184 | 11, 690 | 2,682 | 16, 149 | 772,186 |
| July 16... ${ }^{\text {a }}$. | 43,999 | 454,427 | 84, 881 | 21,075 | 10,052 | 5,564 | 75, 885 | 21, 826 | 9, 094 | 11, 576 | 2,570 | 16, 804 | 757, 753 |
| Loans secured by stocks and bonds, other than United States securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 25. | 146, 139 | 1,175, 820 | 185,597 | 115, 499 | 15,058 | 7,709 | 344,547 | 89,561 | 13, 856 | 34, 152 | 10,338 | 69,517 | 2,207,793 |
| July 2. | 146, 834 | 1,186, 362 | 180, 346 | 116,388 | 15, 027 | 6,768 | 345, 691 | 88,998 | 13, 607 | 34, 712 | 9,692 | 68,716 | 2,213, 141 |
| July 9. | 141, 819 | 1, 151, 534 | 181, 695 | 119, 136 | 14, 795 | 6, 804 | 344, 589 | 88, 787 | 13, 337 | 34,983 | 9,647 | 70, 975 | 2,178, 101 |
| July 16.............. | 140, 499 | 1, 134, 789 | 180,992 | 116, 625 | 14,246 | 6,935 | 341, 253 | 85, 223 | 13,294 | 34,604 | 9,918 | 68,705 | 2,147,083 |
| All other loans and investments, including rediscounts with Federal Reserve Bank: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 25............. | 576,725 | 3,486,948 | 494, 013 | 289,585 | 74,334 | 70,789 | 1,069,918 | 278, 522 | 141,673 | 178, 209 | 55, 102 | 484,550 | 7,200,368 |
| July 2. | 573, 597 | 3, 491, 814 | 509, 883 | 286, 586 | 74, 567 | 72, 032 | 1, 070, 359 | 277, 998 | 140, 836 | 179, 011 | 57, 230 | 487, 130 | 7,221,043 |
| July 9............... | 575, 949 | 3, 524, 610 | 513, 381 | 291,385 | 73, 604 | 71,307 | 1, 076, 885 | 279, 484 | 142, 104 | 179, 569 | 57, 634 | 485, 583 | 7,271,495 |
| July 16............ | 579, 449 | 3,522, 254 | 516, 260 | 295,752 | 75, 159 | 73, 424 | 1,068, 504 | 279, 403 | 141,576 | 182,869 | 57,783 | 486, 701 | 7,279, 134 |
| Total loans and investments, including rediscounts with Federal Reserve Bank: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 25.............. | 795, 010 | 5, 693, 637 | 841,907 | 443, 346 | 107,953 | 90,334 | 1,549, 094 | 413, 155 | 171, 675 | 241, 714 | 81, 300 | 644,976 | 11,074, 101 |
| July 2. | 788, 606 | 5, 683, 363 | 839, 441 | 442, 012 | 107,898 | 90,879 | 1,553,577 | 409,217 | 169,900 | 244,582 | 82,245 | 645,401 | 11, 057, 121 |
| July 9.............. | 783, 462 | 5, 663,549 | 838, 248 | 449,796 | 107,281 | 90,068 | 1,551, 029 | 409,248 | 170,762 | [245,542 | 82, 621 | 647, 664 | 11, 039, 270 |
| July 16............- | 787, 207 | 5, 684, 056 | 843,275 | 451, 492 | 108, 426 | 92, 204 | 1,545,318 | 407,306 | 169,509 | 249, 492 | 82,752 | 645, 160 | 11, 066, 197 |
| Reserve balances with Federal Reserve Bank: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 25. | 65, 808 | 642,952 | 59,671 | 25,435 | 4,780 | 5,488 | 133, 084 | 31,650 | 7,045 | 13,777 | 6,241 | 39,190 | 1,035, 121 |
| July 2. | 66, 001 | 674, 113 | 62, 056 | 29,315 | 5,702 | 5,278 | 135, 246 | 30,360 | 10,064 | 17,997 | 5,407 | 37,181 | 1,078,720 |
| July 9. | 67, 253 | 632, 818 | 62, 602 | 25, 015 | 6,322 | 4,990 | 137, 938 | 29,987 | 9, 145 | 14, 842 | 7,255 | 38,604 | 1,036. 771 |
| July 16............. | 66,580 | 646, 808 | 61, 276 | 26, 212 | 5,618 | 5,642 | 132, 675 | 29, 879 | 9, 181 | 14,457 | 6,512 | 41, 464 | 1,046,304 |
| Cash in vaxll: June 25. | 14,657 | 106,679 | 12, 855 | 8,652 | 1,729 | 2,212 | 35, 163 | 5,064 | 2,841 | 3,840 | 1,953 | 10, 365 | 206,210 |
| July 2. | 14,071 | 102, 029 | 12, 277 | 9,551 | 1,596 | 2,211 | 36,363 | 5,097 | 2,493 | 3,575 | 1,780 | 10,590 | 201,633 |
| July 9. | 14,988 | 116, 414 | 14,341 | 9,684 | 1,907 | 2,268 | 39,868 | 5,677 | 3,241 | 3,926 | 2,033 | 12, 127 | 226,474 |
| July 16.............. | 14, 200 | 107, 471 | 12,386 | 9,109 | 1,774 | 2,086 | 36,391 | 4,903 | 3,352 | 3,472 | 1,780 | 10,907 | 207,831 |

'rincipal resource and liability items of member banks in leading cities, including member banks located in Federal Reserve Bank cities and in Federal Reserve branch cities, as at close of business on Fridays from June 25 to July 16, 1920-Con.
2. MEMBER BANKS IN FEDERAL RESELVE BANK CITIES-Continued.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | Boston. | New York. | Phila-delpha. | Clevelant. | Richmond. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { At- } \\ & \text { lanta. } \end{aligned}$ | Chicago. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { St. } \\ & \text { Louis. } \end{aligned}$ | Minne apolis. | Kansas City. | Dallas. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { San } \\ & \text { Fran- } \\ & \text { cisco. } \end{aligned}$ | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net demand deposits or which rescrve is computed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 633, 149 | 4,705,010 | 575,476 | 213,026 | 47,980 | 43, 124 | 951, 116 | 226,349 | 88, 681 | 133,700 | 62,434 | 294,464 | 7,974,509 |
| July ${ }^{\text {July }}$ | ${ }^{6}$ | 4, 769,981 | 587, 312 | 225,216 24,932 | 53, 51,278 | 42, 4 4, 128 | 942, 9587 | 219,817 | 90,592 89,908 | 146, 1426 | 64,141 63,962 | 290,418 <br> 298 <br> 126 | $8,083,643$ $8,043,150$ |
| July ${ }^{\text {Jup }} 16$ |  | 4,718, 4,7263 | 588, 58.271 | 224,932 227,862 | 51, 51.994 | 44,588 44,589 | ${ }_{971}^{961,643}$ | 2.5,348 | ${ }_{91}{ }^{89}, 90811$ | 144, ${ }^{14693}$ | ${ }_{65,}^{63,92}$ | 299, ${ }^{299}$, 462 | $8,043,150$ $8,082,309$ |
| Timedemsta |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June | 15, 69 | 303,559 | 23,320 | 172, 631 | 21, 275 | 22, 381 | 281, 660 | 74,347 | 23, 108 | 12,040 | 5,014 | 241,445 | 1,226,403 |
| 3uy | 43, 21 | 3142,1692 | \%, 311 | 17, 3 , 3.3 | 21, 3 \% | 22, 219 | 283, 930 | 70, 604 | ${ }_{2}^{23,10}$ |  | 5,008 |  | 1,231,263 |
| jaly |  | 30, 2908 | 2, 3,24 | 172,88 $172,8: 3$ | 21,243 21,292 | 21,747 21,418 | 284,413 252,900 | 年, 74,368 | 22, 26.157 | 11, 11.668 | 5,021 5,024 | 247,064 242,755 | 1,229, 1,260 |
| Govermumt dipuris: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June $35 . . . . . . . . .$. | 14, 293 | 126, 176 | 16,590 | 4,934 | 479 | 345 | 14, 863 | 6,887 | $\stackrel{650}{ }$ | 7,173 | 2, 455 | 11,966 | 206, 841 |
| July ${ }^{\text {Jut }}$ | 5, 8101 | 51, ${ }^{50,47}$ | 6,711 | 2,2,1 | 195 75 | 138 51 51 | 6,061 2,590 | 2, 764 |  | 2,912 | 994 389 | 4,910 1,952 | ${ }_{3}^{84,095}$ |
| July 16 | 6,012 | 77, 605 | 6,338 | 1,634 | 365 | 36 | 2,788 | 3,106 | 97 | 5,070 | 348 | 3,120 | 105,519 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 25...... | 27,696 | 271,197 | 58,343 | 10,921 | 7,978 | 1,664 | 38,097 | 13, 376 | 1,906 | 15,951 | 103 | 9,642 | 456,874 |
| July 2. | 29, 114 | 311, 834 | 50,522 | 10,104 | 4,158 | 1,680 |  | 13,965 | 1,299 | 16,280 | 203 | 14, 373 | 486, 529 |
| July 9. | 19, 274 | 320, 205 | 49,965 | 9,000 | 7,216 5,796 | 1,382 1,597 | 32,911 33,095 | 13,395 14,139 | 414 | 16,073 | 2,203 1,953 | 14,733 11,476 | 486,771 481,316 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 2. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 600 |  |  |  |  |  | 600 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bills rediscounted with |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank:Secured by United |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| States war obliga-tions- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July $2 .$. | 23,625 | 126,331 | 57,334 | 3,509 | 1,183 | 1,167 | 8,567 | 7,136 | 1,514 | 3,684 | 481 | 1, 870 | 235,386 |
| July 9. | 22, 821 | 126,927 | 53,873 | 3,892 | 1,137 | 1,180 | 9,340 | 6,524 | 1,516 | 3,512 | 473 | 954 | 232,149 |
| July 16. | 19,512 | 124, 102 | 48,051 | 4,073 | 713 | 1,140 | 9,127 | 5,838 | 1,535 | 3,617 | 432 | 1,401 | 219,541 |
| All other- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 669,780 |
| July 2. | 58,933 | 250, 499 | 30,840 | 31, 457 | 10,480 | 8,917 | 204,020 | 50, 718 | 36, 479 | 27,732 | 3,369 | 36,967 | 750,411 |
| July 9... | 57, 423 | 258, 2898 |  | 31,358 33,143 | 9,961 | 7,721 9 | 206,785 | 49,802 49,872 | 36,874 <br> 34 | ${ }_{29}^{27,598}$ | 4,387 4,271 | 36,612 34,930 | 761,443 |
| July 16........... | 53, 182 | 241.973 | 36,537 | 33, 143 | 10,597 | 9,930 | 194,695 | 49,872 | 34,238 | 29,746 | 4,271 | 34,930 | 733,114 |

3. MEMBER BANKS IN FEDERAL RESERVE BRANCH CITIES.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { New } \\ \text { York } \\ \text { district. }{ }^{1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cleve- } \\ \text { land } \\ \text { district. }{ }^{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rich- } \\ \text { district. } \end{gathered}$ | Atlanta district. 4 | Chicago | St. Louis district 6 | Kansas City district. ${ }^{7}$ | Dallas district. 8 | San Francisco district. ${ }^{9}$ | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of reporting banks: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 25. | 10 | 40 | 19 | 24 | 12 | 18 | 19 | 12 | 44 | 198 |
| July 2. | 10 | 40 | 19 | ${ }_{24}^{24}$ | 12 | 18 | 19 | 12 | 44 | 198 |
| July 9. | 10 | 40 | 19 | ${ }_{24}^{24}$ | 12 | 18 | 19 | 12 | 44 | 198 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 25 | 1,599 | 24,896 | 5,608 | 6,930 | 1,905 | 5,280 | 4,387 | 7,108 | 13,192 | 70,905 |
| July 2. | 1,599 | 24, 896 | 5,608 | 6,930 | 1,955 | 5,280 | 4,387 | 7,108 | 13,185 | 70, 898 |
| July 9. | 1,599 | -25,864 | 5,608 5 5 | 6,930 | 1,965 |  | 4,3×7 | 7,108 | 13,185 | 71,866 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 25. | 11,352 | 41,181 | 9,168 | 22,549 | 17,626 | 7,600 | 5,579 | 7,240 | 21,214 | 143,509 |
| July 2 | 11,457 | 41,296 | 9,149 | ${ }_{22,358}^{22,}$ | 17,643 |  | 5,668 | 7,232 | 21,189 | 143, 535 |
| July 9. | 11,444 | ${ }_{4}^{41,2} 40$ | 9,271 | 22,479 22,350 | 17, 415 | 7,551 | 5,633 5,731 | 7,233 | 20,731 | 143,097 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 25. | 2,109 | 14,244 | 2,876 | 2, 897 | 18,553 | 2, 105 | 644 | 1,197 | 7,668 | 52,293 |
| July 2. | 1,995 | 14,029 | $\stackrel{2,874}{ }$ | 2,988 | 18,669 | 2, 0193 | ${ }_{511} 1$ | 1,204 | 7,635 | 51,988 |
| July ${ }^{\text {July }}$. | 1, 127 | 14,011 | 2, $2 \times 72$ | 2, ${ }_{2}$ | 18, 518 | 2,068 | 59. | 1,227 | 8,088 | 52,224 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 25 | 12,313 | 19,819 | 3,181 | 7,548 | 29,984 | 1,644 | 3,395 | 2,824 | 12,373 | 93,081 |
|  | 10,777 10 10 | 14,364 | 3,081 3,081 | 6, 754 6,899 | 27,187 | 1,212 | 3,349 | $\stackrel{2}{2,614}$ | 11,553 | 80, 891 |
| July 9. | $\begin{aligned} & 10,769 \\ & 11,492 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13,520 \\ & 15,991 \end{aligned}$ | 3,081 2,780 | $6, \times 89$ 6,627 | 28,394 28,251 | 1,077 | 3,338 3,676 | $\stackrel{2}{2,614}$ | 11, 198 | 80,890 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Principal resourre anf hability items of member banks in leading cities, including momber banks located in Federal Reserve Bank cities and in Fulval hescrue branch cities, as al close of basiness on Fridays from June 25 to July 16, 1920-Con. 3. MEMBER BANKS IN FEDERAL RESERVE BRANCH CITIES-Continued.

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nex } \\ & \text { York } \\ & \text { Yisurit. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cleve- } \\ \text { land } \\ \text { district. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { [imh- } \\ & \text { won? } \\ & \text { fisiriet } \end{aligned}$ | ‘tlania <br> district. | Clicago distriet | St. Touis district. | Kansas City disirict. | Dallas district. | San Francisco district. | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total United States sectrities ownel: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 25. | 27,373 | 100, 140 | 20, 883 | 39,924 | 68,068 | 16,629 | 14, 005 | 18,369 | 54,447 | 359,788 |
| July 2 | 25, $\times 28$ | 94, 85 | 20, 712 | 3x,900 | 65, 304 | 16,168 | 14,015 | 18,158 | 53,562 | 347, 312 |
| July 9 | 25,739 | 94,535 | 20, $\times 22$ | 39, 170 | 66, 492 | 15,976 | 13,949 | 18,182 | 53,202 | 348,077 |
| July 16............................. | 26,45x | 96,751 | 20,453 | 38,829 | 66,264 | 16,092 | 14,387 | 17,230 | 54, 074 | 350, 538 |
| Loans secured hy Goverythe: :"a: <br>  Federal Reserve Darks: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9,85 | 46,144 | 9,582 | 17,158 | 14,708 | 11,530 | 10,103 | 2,678 | 16,486 | 138,241 |
| July 2 | 9, 7 \% | t6. 510 | 9,439 | 16,796 | 13, 575 | 11,571 | 9,909 | 2,853 | 15,909 | 136,415 |
| July 9 | 10,23 | 17, 1028 | 9,575 | 15, 613 | :3, 4.54 | 21,483 | 9,268 | 2,909 | 15,903 | 136,622 |
| July lif............. | 12,410 | 44, 452 | 9,10א | 16,712 | 17.356 | 12,315 | 9,059 | 2,909 | 16,131 | 140,852 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 25................ . . . . . . . . . . | 52, 272 | 153,642 | 32, 170 | 41, 636 | 59, 588 | 35, 999 | 21,757 | 15,594 | 70, 554 | 483, 110 |
| July 2. | 59,197 | 159, \% $\% 1$ | 31, 770 | $4^{\prime \prime}, 145$ | 91008 | 35, 458 | 21,756 | 15, 442 | 69, 541 | 484, 957 |
| July 9 | 52, 21 | 154, 407 | 37,326 | 40, ifit | \%9, 60 | 35,302 | 22,118 | 15, 451 | 69, 454 | 480, 011 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 25. | 18n, 823 | 467, 142 | 121, 242 | 2.55, 962 | 339,206 | 117,045 | 158, 086 | 77,796 | 464, 097 | 2,181,400 |
| Juy 2. | 179,710 | 463.647 | 119, 266 | 252,295 | 340,04 | 115, 663 | 159, 223 | 79,016 | 46.5, 145 | 2, 174,844 |
| Jiva | 1-2, 697 | 469, 716 | 119,812 | 25, 96 | 340,111 | 114, 947 | 155,020 | 79,44x | 194, 112 | 2, 174,878 |
| $J_{1,1} \times 17$ | 180,508 | 464,362 | 120,256 | 254,04, | 343, 4,54 | 113,403 | 159, 522 | 82,231 | 404,515 | 2,188,700 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ban': |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ture 25. | 270, 320 | 767, 169 | 183, 827 | 354, 680 | 481, 568 | 181,703 | 203,951 | 114,437 | 605, 584 | 3, 162, 539 |
| July 2. | 267, 458 | 7.14, 633 | 180,587 | 348,091 | 40,876 | 179,354 | 204,903 | 115,469 | 604, 217 | 3, 143, 28 |
| July 9. | 262, 1010 | 7far, 696 | 181,54.5 | 350, 292 | -9, 971 | 178, 10 S | 209,355 | 115,990 | 6, 22,731 | 2, 139,548 |
| July 10,.............................. | 272,280 | 759, 656 | 182, 380 | 350,078 | 486,500 | $177,0 \times 4$ | 205, 146 | 117,657 | 603, 649 | 3, 154, 430 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| lume 25 | 17,479 | 61,920 | 12,968 | 21,937 | 26.271 | 9,523 | 13,958 | 8,388 | 40,384 | 202,828 |
| Juy 2 | 14, 138 | 52,970 | 12,593 | 21, 610 | 27, 262 | 8,850 | 14,718 | 8,328 | 40, 890 | 205, 754 |
| Juiv? | 17,229 | 56,098 | 13, 147 | 20, 134 | 26, 935 | 0,014 | 13,154 | 7,808 | 41,210 | 205, 323 |
| Muly 16. | 16,994 | 54, 183 | 12,717 | 19,8.82 | 30, 444 | 8,865 | 14,706 | 7,814 | 42,551 | 208, 106 |
| dume 2\%. | 2,994 | 12,972 | 5, 288 | 6,818 | 18, 191 | 3,738 | 5,279 | 2,889 | 15,320 | 69,489 |
| July 2. | 4,112 | 14, 5117 | 4,877 | 7,428 | 13, 690 | 3,791 | 5,070 | 3,231 | 14,595 | 71,301 |
| July ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3,921 | 14, 122 | 5,904 | 7,621 | 14,467 | 4,078 | 5,402 | 3,512 | 17,626 | 76, 704 |
|  | 3,601 | 14,232 | 5,596 | 7,012 | 14, 1998 | 3,676 | 4,985 | 3,304 | 16, 501 | 73,005 |
| Net demand deposit: wis wher iecerv: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 25. | 176,915 | 483, 479 | 113,432 | 174, 275 | 197, 179 | 83, 852 | 124,267 | 66, 201 | 304, 023 | 1,723,623 |
| July 2. | 176, 654 | 494,442 | 107, 846 | 178, 898 | 2(9), $0 \times 4$ | 82, 813 | 124,850 | 65, 083 | 299,4.57 | 1,730,127 |
| July 9 | 17\%, 67 | 495, 864 | 108,921 | 175, 339 | 209, 638 | 85,490 | 125, 568 | 68,231 | 301, 137 | 1,738,155 |
| Tuly ${ }^{\text {Timata }}$ | 173,515 | 502, 747 | 110,780 | 174,022 | 22!,488 | 81,583 | 126,708 | 67, 877 | 317, 105 | 1,769,825 |
| Timedmosits: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Suy 8 | 40.51 | 116, 984 | 18,948 | 90, 231 | 223,626 | 41,308 | 50, 444 | 22,007 | 923, 384 | -25,388 |
| July 9. | 5, 64 | 116, 438 | 18, $9 \times 2$ | 901, 211 | 224, 070 | 40,829 | 50, 158 | 21,907 | 26, 6 , 6 ¢ | 876,247 |
|  | 53,276 | 116,473 | 19, 64.3 | 90, 785 | 224,359 | 40,787 | 49,791 | 21, 862 | 261,73 | 878, 849 |
| Qovernurnt deprosits: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 2. | 643 | 6,225 | 160 | 2,074 | 4,392 | 267 | 597 | 155 | 801 | 15, 314 |
| Juy 9. | 379 | 2, 864 | 60 | , 835 | 1,817 | 90 | 240 | 58 | 302 | 6,645 |
| July th. | 510 | 5,754 | 290 | 1,763 | 402 | 202 | 621 | 150 | 275 | 9,967 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 25............................. | 23,441 | 31,903 | 12,505 | 24,513 | 32,479 | 8,088 | 4,945 | 7,594 | 10, 800 | 156,364 |
| July 2 | 22, 440 | 32,670 | 10,981 | 26,037 | 34, 750 | 7,063 | 5,773 | 7,694 | 14, 15.6 | 161,564 |
| July 9 | 15,074 | 31,077 | 13,687 | 25,376 | 36,226 | 6,458 | 5,259 | 7,529 | 12,320 | 153,012 |
| July 16. | 19, 756 | 26,735 | 10,940 | 25,469 | 36, 169 | 6,586 | 6,232 | 6,829 | 10,335 | 149,051 |
| All otherJime 25 |  |  | 100 | 441 |  |  | 85 |  | 135 | 761 |
| $\mathrm{r}_{1} \mathrm{l} \mathrm{y}^{2} 2$. |  |  |  | 386 |  |  | 60 |  | 285 | 731 |
| $x_{1}+\mathrm{y} 9$. |  |  |  | 336 |  |  | 85 |  | 85 | 506 |
| July 16. |  |  |  | 729 |  |  | 25 |  | 85 | 839 |
| Bills rediscounted with Federal Reserve Ban:- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secured by United States war obli-gations- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 25. | 1,282 | 9,875 | 2,849 | 5,680 | 3,585 | 4,786 | 1,509 | 378 | 1,072 | 31,016 |
| July 2 | 1,354 | 9,903 | 2,534 | 3,911 | 2,590 | 4, 948 | 1,497 | 544 | 1,284 | 28, 565 |
| July 9. | 2,431 | 10, 713 | 2,842 | 3,405 | 2, 274 | 4,734 | 1,292 | 585 | 1,376 | 29,652 |
| All Juther- | 3,579 | 9,480 | 2,411 | 3,951 | 2,817 | 5,191 | 1,313 | - 583 | 1,384 | 30,709 |
| All otherJune 25 | 10,249 | 7,531 | 12,029 | 33,874 | 11,857 | 17,581 | 18,961 | 6,364 | 25,745 | 144, 191 |
| July 2 | 11,336 | 7,783 | 13,489 | 29,657 | 14,459 | 17,576 | 19,739 | 8,100 | 25,331 | 147, 520 |
| July 9 | 1,601 | 7,321 | 14, 706 | 29,508 | 16, 723 | 16,5;8 | 16, 199 | 7, 862 | 28, 808 | 139,306 |
| Juy 16............................... | 8,090 | 3,615 | 14,531 | 32,128 | 13,369 | 16,494 | 16,465 | 8,145 | 26,537 | 130,374 |

## IMPORTS AND EXPORTS OF GOLD AND SILVER.

Gold imports into and exports from the United States, distributed by countries.

|  | Imports. |  |  |  |  |  | Exports. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | During 10 days ending June 20, 1920. | During <br> 10 days onding June 30, 1920. | $\begin{gathered} \text { During } \\ \text { month of } \\ \text { June, } \\ 1920 . \end{gathered}$ | During <br> 10 dars ending July 10, 1920. | From Jan. 1 to July $10,1920$. | From Jan. 1 to July 10, 1919. | During 10 days ending Jane 20, 1920 | During 10 days ending June 30, 1920. | During month of June, 1920. | During 10 days ending July 10, 1920. | From Jan. 1 to July 10,1920 . | From Jan. 1 to July 10, 1919. |
| Belgium |  |  |  |  | 8335,906 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| France. |  | \$336,500 | \$336,500 | \$16,585 | 710,940 |  |  |  |  |  | ,000 | \$387,193 |
| Greece. |  | 40,000 | 40,000 |  | 110,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Iceland |  | 4,00 | 40,00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 912 |  |
| Italy... |  | 107 | 107 |  | 40,1971 |  | \$39,924 |  | \$39,924 |  | 241, 263 | 60,000 |
| Netherlands |  |  |  |  | 1, 161, 428 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Norway |  |  |  |  | 3, 324 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Portugal |  |  | 11,771 |  | 25,364 |  |  |  |  |  |  | ,850,000 |
| Sweden. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 661 |
| Switzerland |  |  | 4,937 |  | 4,937 |  |  |  |  |  | 1,100 |  |
| United Kingdom- England | \$1,087 | 4, 294, 740 | 4,296,840 | 2,301,700 | 62, 700, 068 | \$10, 853 |  |  |  |  | 13,235 | 589,294 |
| Total Europe. | 1,087 | 4,671,347 | 4,690,155 | 2,318,285 | 65,092,074 | 10,853 | 39,924 |  | 39, 924 |  | 266, 510 | 26,887, 148 |
| British Honduras. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canada Costa Rica | 4,056,596 | 4,126,228 | 8,379,589 | 36, 938 | $27,458,298$ | $32,278,667$ | 71,6i0 | \$128,033 | 255, 196 | \$87,672 | 2,830, 397 | 543,893 |
| Costa Rica | 51,334 |  | 54,763 5,519 | 40,519 9,353 | $\begin{array}{r}377,554 \\ 14,872 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Honduras. | 3,828 |  | 17, 967 |  | 131,829 | 182,509 |  |  |  |  | 19,000 | 21,000 |
| Nicaragua.................. | 26, 860 | 45,310 | 135,032 | 31,609 | 699,510 | 780,671 |  |  |  |  | 10,00 | , 1 |
| Panama................... Salvador............ | 1,338 |  | 1,338 12,009 | $\cdots 7896$ | 41,308 | 1,771 419,184 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mexico.. | 53,072 | 92,923 | 246,524 | 162,558 | 2,335,678 | 2, 741, 529 | 253,570 | 462,975 | , 295, 130 | 238,7i0 | 15,398,041 | 5,004,362 |
| Newfoun | 327 |  | 327 |  |  | 61 3,100 |  | 50,000 | 50,000 |  | 125,000 |  |
| British West Indies.... |  | 9,500 | 9,500 |  | 87,249 | 18,838 |  | 5,09 | 5,00 |  | $\cdots$ | 7,940 |
| Virgin Islands of U. S. . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10,000 |  |
| Dominican Republic... |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13,000 |  | 13,000 | 10,000 | 39,000 |  |
| Dutch West Indies. | 16,990 | 16,050 | 33,040 |  | 129,040 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| otal North | 4,210,345 | 4,290,011 | 8,805,608 | 289,468 | 31,428,672 | 36,815,947 | 338, 180 | 641,008 | 1,613,326 | 336, 382 | 18,441,438 | 5,578,195 |
| Argentina | 15,259 | 1,020 | 16,279 | 11,099 | 57, 718 | 68,144 |  |  |  |  | 89,995,000 | 32,460,000 |
| Brazil. |  |  |  | 630 | 6,172 24,585 | 1,069 18,363 |  |  |  |  |  | 1,500,000 |
| Chile. | 2,214 | 59,303 | 99,243 |  | 325, 280 | 146,116 |  |  |  |  | 400,000 | 100,000 |
| Colombia | 37,520 | 826,392 | 865,088 | 69,201 | 1, 485, 312 | 532, 254 |  |  |  |  | 700,000 | 4,332,295 |
| Ecuador........ |  |  | $\cdots$ | 45, 440 | 400, 632 | 334, 764 |  |  |  |  | 130,000 |  |
| British Guiana |  | 8,800 | 8,800 | 4,331 | 71,940 | 79,694 15,272 |  |  |  |  |  | 5,005 19,795 |
| Peru. . | 107,470. | 17,644 | 145,423 | 12,433 | 515,366 | 550,273 |  |  |  |  |  | 1,918,067 |
| Uruguay. | 107, | 17, | 14, |  | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  | 12,850,000 | $7,405,000$ |
| Venezuela |  | 42,174 | 42,174 | 1,03i | 269, 796 | 123,193 |  |  |  |  | 334,000 | 7,949, 720 |
| Total South America. | 182,463 | 955,333 | 1,197,007 | 144, 165 | 3,156,801 | 1,869,142 |  |  |  |  | 104,689,000 | 55, 739, 882 |
| China. |  |  |  |  | 1,260 |  |  |  |  |  | 16, 286, 750 | 830, 167 |
| British India |  |  |  |  |  |  | 108,325 | 510,000 | 618,325 |  | 5, 360, 088 | 1,249,822 |
| Dutch East Indies. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6,683,454 |  |
| Hongkong. |  |  |  | 6,576,087 | 30,191,910 | 10,000,000 | 23,390 | 763,810 | 1,848,300 | 1,435, 820 | 25,125,502 | 1,886,920 |
| Japan. ... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 500,000 | 7,568,471 | 16, 330,658 | 17,024,393 |
| Total Asia. |  |  |  | 6,674,455 | 32,678, 317 | 11, 651,003 | 131,715 | 1,973,810 | 3,666,625 | 9,504,291 | 81,831,557 | 24,638, 302 |
| New Zealand. | 386,451 | 48,464 | 434,915 | 107,440 | 1,036,454 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Philippine Islands... | 48,939 | 53,341 | 102, 280 | 100, 642 | 486,217 | 256,527 8,150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| British South A frica. British West Africa.. |  |  |  |  |  | 8,150 |  |  |  |  | , 038 |  |
| Portuguese Africa. |  |  |  |  | 206, 107 | 3772,010 |  |  |  |  | 28,038 |  |
| Total, all countries | 4,829, 2851 | 0,018, 496 | 15, 319,965 9 | 9,634,455 | 134,085,642 | 50, 983, 632 | 509,819 | 2,614, 818 | 5,319,875 | 9,840,673 | 205,256,543 | 112, 843, 527 |

[^6]Silver imports into and exports from the United States, distributed by countries.

|  | Imports. |  |  |  |  |  | Exports. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | During 10 days ending June 20, 1920. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { During } \\ & \text { Dodays } \\ & \text { onding } \\ & \text { June } 30, \\ & 1920 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { During } \\ \text { month of } \\ \text { June, } \\ 1920 \text {. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { During } \\ \text { 10 davs } \\ \text { ending } \\ \text { July 10, } \\ 1920 \text {, } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { From Jan. } \\ & \frac{1}{\text { to July }} \\ & 10,1920 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { From } \\ \text { Jan. } 1 \text { to } \\ \text { Juli } 10, \\ 1919 . \end{gathered}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { During } \\ \text { lodars } \\ \text { ondine } \\ \text { } \\ 1920 . \end{array}\right\|$ | During 10 days ending lune 10. 1920. | During month ${ }_{1920}$ 1920 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { During } \\ & \text { 10 days } \\ & \text { endini } \\ & \text { July 10, } \\ & 1929 \text {. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { From Jan. } \\ & \text { I to July } \\ & 10,1920 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { From Jan. } \\ & \text { I to July } \\ & 10,1919 . \end{aligned}$ |
| Denmark |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$587,897 |
| France. |  | \$24,026 | ${ }_{24}^{88,009}$ | \$12,531 | \$77,491 | \$11, 100 |  | \$15,000 | \$15,000 |  | \$32,920 | 5,704,066 |
| Netherlands | \$300. | \$24,026 | 24,036 300 |  | 24,086 30,820 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Norway. |  |  |  |  | 14,453 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,086,406 |
| Portugal |  |  | 2,699 |  | 7,978 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,950 |
| Spain... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2, 20 | \% 2280 |
| Switzerland |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 93,870 \\ 172,203 \end{array}$ |
| United Kingdom-England. | 6,000 | 36,593 | 42,593 |  | 786,039 | 21,934 |  |  |  | \$216,380 | 2,308.931 | 12,264,298 |
| Total Europe. | 6,300 | 60,619 | 77,609 | 12,531 | 940, 807 | 33,034 |  | 15,000 | 15,000 | $\underline{ }$ 216,380 | 2,384,111 | 19,910,918 |
| British Honduras. |  | 24, 197 | 24, 197 |  | 63,367 | 218, 382 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Conada-........ | 44,439 4,278 | 61,875 | 229,824 4,817 | $\begin{array}{r} 26,807 \\ 3,382 \end{array}$ | $2,095,276$ 47,194 | $\begin{array}{r} 4,448,546 \\ 31,503 \end{array}$ | \$89,609 | 285,251 | 417,283 | 44,343 | 5,624,213 | 1,773,221 |
| Guatemala |  |  | 25 |  | 20,036 |  |  | 1,500 | 1,500 |  | 3.500 | 2,400 |
| Honduras. | 100,852 |  | 228, 384 | 12,717 | 1,540, 131 | 1,334,659 |  | 5,000 | 15,000 |  | 371,505 | 67,050 |
| Nicaragua. | 7,906 |  | 60,729 3,628 | 18,425 | 478,821 31,915 | 510,284 76,349 | 1,000 |  | 1,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 542,000 | 84,2 0 |
| Sal vador. | 14,000 |  | 44,251 | 22,567 | 3,565,127 | 220, 187 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mexico. | 1,026,360 | 1,509,799 | 4,285, 4001 | 1,465,943 | 36, 236, 218 | 30,209, 154 | 141,950 | 168,741 | 396,906 | 94,998 | 2,434,436 | 853,660 |
| British West Indies |  |  |  |  | 6,949 | 2,293 |  |  |  |  | 17,680 | 10,328 |
|  | 5,477 |  | 5,47 |  | 45,671 | 52,189 |  |  | 149, 782 | 19,387 | 939,136 |  |
| Virgin Islands of U.S.. |  |  |  |  | 84,800 |  |  |  |  |  | 25,000 |  |
| Dutch West Indies. |  |  |  |  | 1,200 |  |  |  |  |  | - | 0 |
| French West Indies. |  |  |  |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Haiti. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4,000 |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Total North } \\ \text { America......... } \end{gathered}$ | 1,204,778 | 1,595,871 | 4,886,732 | 1,549,872 | 44,216,725 | 37, 103,560 | 232,984 | 460,492 | 981,896 | 161.728 | 10,161,470 | 2,995,909 |
| Argentina | 8,811 | 330 | 9,141 | 1,226 | 20,876 | 41,203 |  | 604 | 604 | 9,187 | 11,492 | 743 |
| Bolivia Brazil. | 1,700 |  | 13,819 | 62,216 | 739, 364 | $\begin{array}{r} 105,968 \\ 1,527 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 85 |
| Chile. | 17,22i | 205,586 | 341,954 | 274 | 1,977,692 | 1,071,277 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Colombi | 54,309 |  | 58,663 | 27,364 | 254,606 | 115,292 |  |  |  |  |  | 2,000 |
| Ecuador...... | 11,442 |  | 11,442 | 4,554 | 45,426 | 11,163 33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Duteh Guiana |  |  |  |  | B,380 |  |  |  |  |  | 1,002 | 4,440 |
| Peru.. | 519,785 | 303, 357 | 1,152,637 | 253, 719 | 7,739,665 | 5, 194,088 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Venezuela |  | 35 | 35 |  | 68 | 280 |  |  |  |  | 10,000 |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Total South } \\ \text { America.......... } \end{gathered}$ | 613,268 | 509,308 | 1,587,691 | 349,353 | 10.784,370 | 6,540,831 |  | 604 | 604 | 9,187 | 24, 827 | 10,528 |
| China. |  |  |  | 278,000 | 289,974 |  |  | 2,507,311 | 2,507,311 |  | 48,850, 194 | $8,863,146$ |
| Sritish India...... |  |  |  | 248,126 | 2,130,875 | 642,248 |  |  |  |  | 223,211 | 108, 273, 177 |
| French East Indies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4,058,373 |  |
| Hongkong. |  |  |  |  | 1,650 |  |  | 598.647 | 910,803 |  | 16,800,267 | 2,665,561 |
| Japan. ${ }^{\text {Russia in }}$ Asia |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 52,759 |
| Turkey in Asia. |  |  |  |  | 38,5ii |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Asia |  |  |  | 526,126 | 2,461,010 | 642,248 |  | 3,105,958 | 3,418,114 |  | 69,933,055 | 119,854,643 |
| New Zealand. | 7,483 | 69 | 7,552 |  | 11,216 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Philippine Islands. British South Africa | 1,113 | 874 | 1,987 |  | 10,026 | 5,498 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| British West A frica. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5,480 |  |
| Portuguese Africa.. |  |  |  |  | 86,657 | 37,736 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total, all councountries. | 1,832,942 | 2,166,741 | 6,561,5712 | 2,437,882 | ${ }^{1}$ 58,516,908 | $1^{44,439,729}$ | 232,984 | \|3,582,054 | ${ }^{4,415,614}$ | 387, 295 | 2 82,508,943 | 142, 771, 998 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes: Ore and base bullion, $\$ 46,443,000$; United States mint or assay office bars, $\$ 3,000$; bullion refined, $\$ 4,583,000$; United States coin, $\$ 603,000$; foresign coin, $\$ 6,885,000$.

2 Includes: Domests ' exports-Ore and base bullion, $\$ 6,000$ : United States mint or assay office bars, $\$ 3,444,000$; bullion refined, $\$ 47,686,000$; coin, $\$ 13,874,000$. Foreign exports--Sre and base bullion, $\$ 1,000$; bullion refined, $\$ 13,995,000$; coin, $\$ 3,503,000$.

Excess of silver exports over imports since Jan. 1, 1920, $\$ 23,992,000$. Excess of silver exports over imports since Aug. 1, 1914, $\$ 453,364,000$.

General stock of money in the United States, monoy holl hy the Treasury and the Federal Reserve System, and alt other money in the" Latil: States, July 1, 1930.

|  | General stock. | Gield in the Unitedistates Tresury as assets of the (iosermuent. | Held by or for Federal Reserve Banks and arents. | Theld outside binied states Timentry ame formi Reserie system. | Amount reer canita outside Unitedstales Treusury and Federal Reperve Sysipur |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gold coir includine bulion in Treasur ${ }^{\text {² }}$. | 92,657,512,862 | S401. 308,003 | 81, 286,314,977 | \$415, 407, 76: |  |
| Gold certifeates..................... |  |  | 324,443.510 | 260, 137, 613 |  |
| Stundard siler doular | $265,798,640$ | 14,641,76 | ${ }^{3} 60,644,295$ | 73, $331,3 \times 1$ |  |
| Silver certificetas... |  |  | 20, 172, 4 \% | $98,369,336$ |  |
| Subsidiary sil er. | 25, 15- , 105 | 6,944,072 |  | 251, 104,384 |  |
| Treasury note; a) 1800 |  |  |  | 1,656,355 |  |
| United States $n$ ites. |  | 9. 84.1 .23 | $457.612,317$ | 279,697,476 |  |
| Federal Reserse notes | $3, \square$ | $27.6 \times 2$ | $256,186.4 \times 0$ | 3,121.241,717 |  |
| Federal Reserve lank note | 911, 天i, | 2, 140,609 | 12,050,544 | 186,654,617 |  |
| National Bank notes. | 719,037, 334 | $28,691,846$ | 3,077,053 | $603,268,7 \times 1$ |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 1, 1920. |  |  |  |  | $\$ 5019$ |
| June 1, 1920 | $7,00.58,344$ | 462,799,326 | 1,088, 583,225 | $5,353,145,833$ | 50.00 |
| May 1, 1920. | 7. $525,853,906$ | 488, 928,232 | 1,976, 153, 519 | $5,240,870,155$ | 49.45 |
| Apr. 1, 1920 | 7,751, 146,018 | 503, 309, 638 | 1, $08.4,495,464$ | 5, 273, 340,916 | 49.33 |
| Mar. 1, 1920. | 7, 406,361, 580 | $546,960.744$ | 1,981,490,059 | 5,277,861,078 | 49.41 |
| Feb. 1, 1920. | 7,74,769,63 | $625,142,749$ | 2,009,651,088 | $5,109,974,526$ | 47.88 |
| Jan. 1, 1920. | $7,961,3=0,139$ | $604,888,333$ | 2,044,422,303 | 5,312,009,003 | 49.81 |
| July 1, 1919 | 7,54, 173.71 | 575,848, 013 | 2,167,280, 313 | 4, $842,345,415$ | 45.00 |
| Jan. 1, 1919 | 7,780,793.606 | 454,046, 160 | 2,220,705,767 | $5,105,139,679$ | 47.83 |
| July 1, 1918. | 6, $242,225,744$ | 355, 124, 550 | 2,018,3m1, 5 | $4,367,739,209$ | 41.31 |
| Jan. 1, 1918. | $6,256,108,271$ | 277,043, 558 | 1,723,570, 291 | $4,255,544,622$ | 40.53 |
| July 1, 1917. | $5,460,009,884$ | 25:3, 671,614 | 1,280,880, 714 | 3, $945,457,556$ | 37.88 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes reserve funds held against issues of United States notes and Treasury notes of 1890 and redemption funds held against issues of national bank notes, Federal Reserve notes, and Federal Reser e Bank notes, but excludes gold and silver coin and bullion held in trust for the redemption of outstanding wold and silver certificates and Treasury notes of 1800 .
${ }_{2}$ Includes balances in rold settlement fund standing to the credit of the Federal Reserve Banks and agents.
${ }^{3}$ Includes subsidiary silver.

## FEDERAL RESERVE BANK DISCOUNT RATES.

Rates on paper discounted for member banks approved by the Federal Reserve Board up to July 31, 1920.

| Federal Reserve Bank. | Discounted hills maturing within 90 days (including member banls' 15-day collateral notes) secured by- |  | Bankers' acceptances maturing within 3 months. | Trade acceptances maturing within 90 days. | Discounted bills secured otherwise than hy rovernment war obligations, also unsecured, maturng within- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Treasury cer tificates of indebtedness. | Liberty bonds and inctory notes. |  |  | 90 days (including member 15-day collateral notes). | $\begin{gathered} \text { o1 to } 180 \\ \text { dave } 2 . \% 1 \\ \text { cultura. and } \\ \text { ive-stok } \\ \text { paper). } \end{gathered}$ |
| Boston. | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6 |  | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| New York | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Philadelphin. | ${ }^{1} 6$ | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Cleveland. . | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | $5 \frac{3}{4}$ | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | $5 \frac{3}{4}$ | 6 | 6 |
| Richmond. | ${ }^{1} 6$ | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Atlanta. | ${ }^{1} 6$ | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Chicago.. | ${ }^{1} 6$ | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| St. Louis. | $25 \frac{1}{2}$ | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Minneapolis. | , $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6 | 6 | $6 \frac{1}{2}$ | 7 | 7 |
| Kansas City. | ${ }^{1} 6$ | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Dallas..... | ${ }^{1} 6$ | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| San Francisco.. | ${ }^{1} 6$ | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |

[^7]RESOURCES OF STATE BANKING INSTITUTIONS.
Statement showing principal resources and liabilities, also aggregate resources, of all banling institutions under State control.
[Compiled from statements furnished by heads of State banking departments by R. N. Sims, secretary-treasurer National Association of Sumervisors of Slate Tranks.]

| State. | Date ofreport. | Num- ber of insti-insti- tutions $\qquad$ | Capital. | Surplus. | Undivided profits. | Canital.surplus, and undivided profts. | Deposits. | Loans and dis- counts. | Bo:ds, stocks, se urities, ete. | Total resources. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama | Feb. 27, 1920 | 248 | \$11,576, 879.41 | \$5, 420, 947.23 | 32,985,678.49 | \$19,983, 505. 13 | \$115, 599, 829.12 | 857, 271,762 29 | 812,974, 876.71 | 8132, 35.294 .78 |
| Arizona | Feb. 28, 1920 | 66 404 4 | $3,683,967.00$ <br> 15,542 | 2, $103,2585.54$ | 1,242,052.90 | 7,029, 278.44 | 58,737,571.15 | 39, 510, 01.35 | - 94.338 .0 | 67, 61,69848 |
| Arkansa |  | 404 | 15, 542, 250.00 | 5,923, 569.05 | 3, 188, 345.83 | 24,632, 184.89 | 143, 698,220. 18 | 12, m2, 3.34 | 15, 04.45 | 10904,0999 |
| Californi | Mar. 31, 1920 | ${ }_{2}^{425}$ | 80,947,720.00 | 37, 549,164.92 | 15,799, 238.00 | 134, 29, 122.92 | 1,181,073,066.75 | 78, 3x, 4923 |  | ,3+7, 29:, 633.72 |
| Colorado. | May 4, 1920 | 258 | 8,756,500.00 | 3,659,939.11 | 1,328,316.02 | 13, $744,755.13$ | 104, 681, 190.32 | 75, 198, 292.40 |  | 120, $700,025.91$ |
| Connecticut Savings banks Other banks. | Mar. 31, 1920 <br> Feb. 28, 1920 | 250 | 11,581, 137.50 | 29, 976, 780.84 | 4,140,442.61 | 45, $698,360.95$ | 562,651,991.45 | $297,435,24.63$ | $2 ¢ 20,10,319.10$ | 621,902,595. 19 |
| Delaware | May 4,1920 | 27 | 4, 144,700.00 | 5,058,589.70 | 2,076, 228.09 | 11,200, 017.79 | 65, $576,499.16$ | 2¢, 10.403 | 35.908 | $81,827,183.53$ |
| Florida. | Dec. 31, 1919 | 204 | $8,485,500.00$ | 2,374, 476.09 | 798, 137.61 | 11,55, 113.70 | 86,033,597.02 | $50,34,6.6$ | 19, 5 | 109, $2 \mathbf{2 5}, 943.92$ |
| Georgia | Dec. 2,1919 | 662 | 31,009, 070.00 | ${ }^{1} 25,775,846.76$ |  | $56,74,916.76$ | 261, $633,393.84$ | 21-2, 2 . 98.5 | 20.46 | 84, 219,233. 20 |
| Idaho | May 4, ${ }^{\text {, }} 9202$ | 139 | 4,990, 210.68 | 1.433, 382.29 | 525.419 .93 | 6,94.012.20 | 52, 034, 714.45 | $4 \times 109.648$ | ,9124 ${ }^{2}$ | 48, tze, 03.46 |
| Illina | Feb. 28,1920 | 988 | 112, 304,605.00 | 72,902,672.54 | 23,285,147.08 | 208, 485, 424. 62 | 1,462, 700, 803.69 | 1.13.39em 12 | 2-9, ${ }^{2}+66$ | 1,919,215, -43. 22 |
| Indian | Dee. 31, 1919 | 792 | 37, 798, 367. 50 | 14, 663, 408.42 | ${ }^{5,057,316.95}$ | 57,519, 02.87 | 398, $200,110.88$ | 245, -3, 3 5. 4 | 2-6, 3.3 | \%ox, $800,3 \times 2.34$ |
| Iowa. |  | 1,341 | $52,361,450.00$ | 22,668, 899.98 | 13, 031, 821. 44 | 88, 062, 231. 42 | 705, $487,819.166$ | $66^{6}, 50,6$, 17 | 5, 4, $2 \times 808$ | 83, 413, 141.85 |
| Kansas | Feb. 14,1910 | 1,098 | 27, 249,000. 00 | 13, 885, 585.70 | 3, 659, 387.62 | 44, $783,973.32$ | 313, $0 \times 6,453.3$ | 2.7.15.41, 4 | 25, 2,0433 | 3)12.599,992.59 |
| Fentuck | Apr. 12, 1920 | 450 | 20,458, 191.50 | 10, 655, 253.35 | 3, 39, ${ }^{296.14}$ | 34, 417, 170. 99 | 184, 828,727.23 | 151, 33,67, 05 | 82.8585 | 234.315, 547.14 |
| Iouisian | Mar. 17, 1920 | 224 | 19,544,190.00 | 10, 293, 712.76 | 4,771,679.79 | 34,609, 542.55 | 284,509, 270.10 | 217,041,29.0\% | 5 $3.131,573.11$ | 354, 224, 279.85 |
| Maine... | Sept. 27, 1919 | 97 | 4, 623,000.00 | 9,303, 969.43 | 6,167, 64S. 24 | 20, 091, 617.67 | 204, 234, 719.10 | 71,174, 4 4 ¢ 16 | 135, 3), 146.33 | 232, $063,212.44$ |
| Maryland: Savings bank Other banks. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } 31,1919\} \\ & \text { May } 4,1920\} \end{aligned}$ | 187 | 18,264, 007.12 | 28, 264, 750.44 | 5,292, 135.69 | 51, 821, 794.25 | 322, $2666,913.21$ | 151, $833,782.31$ | 174, 45, 573.53 | 397, 236, 931.85 |
| Massuchusetts | Oct. 31,1919 | 496 | 40, 057, 991. 57 | 125, 523, 109.65 | 47, 904, 276. 73 | 213,485, 377.95 | 2,333, 459, 247.96 | 1,459, 863, 357.70 | 779,228,492.44 | $2,189,239,517.01$ |
| Michigan. | May 4,1929 | 535 | $52,381,820.09$ | 34, 892, 420.43 | 14, 718, 432.52 | 101,992, 672.93 | 878, 670, 113.44 | $412,913,244.47$ | 476, 331.333 .13 | 1. $575,153,037.79$ |
| Minnesata | Feb. 2S, 1920 | 1,177 |  | 13, $842,400.89$ | 4, 300, 274.69 | 51,50, 799.74 | 465, 318, 091.98 | 315,201,525 25 | 86, 34, 34, 78 | 528,465,860.05 |
| Mississipp |  |  | 11, $729,250.00$ | 7,973,350. 23 | 2,224, 808.86 | 21,927, 409.09 | 77,516,322. 22 | 134, 598,691 02 | 25, 724, 217.35 | 217.020,995.90 |
| Missouri. | Dec. 30,1919 | 1,484 | 59,62.5,609.00 | 37,554, 183.19 | 14,691, 979.04 | 111, $771,762.23$ | 679, 154, 635. 41 | 531, 61, , 3! 3! | 115, 299, 373.42 | 850, $315,999.45$ |
| Montan | Feb. 28,1920 | 286 | 11,990, 000.00 | 3,848, 714.61 | 1,461,212.02 | 17,392,926.63 | 100, $692,903.72$ | 87, 472,336 51 | 11, 666.059 .83 | 122, 213, 395. 33 |
| Nebras | Feb. 14, 1920 | 1,018 | 25, 527, 000.00 | 7,874,698.79 | 4, $745,460.10$ | 38, 147, 858.89 | 298, 465, 167.46 |  | 13.29.153.0\% | 34., 131, -90. 53 |
| Nevad | May 4,1920 | 23 | 1,678,500.00 | 442,434.16 | 467, ¢37.92 | 2,588, 772.08 | 20, 547 , 888. 92 | 15, 214, 689.64 | 2,41.41. 3 | 23, 642, 246.89 |
| New Hamp | June 30, 1919 | 70 | 805, 000.00 | ${ }^{1} 12,523,119.65$ |  | 13,322, 119.65 | 133,652, 617.90 |  | $7 \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~m}$ 16.56 | 194.41.024.93 |
| New Jersey. | May 24, 1920 | ${ }^{276}$ | 29, 700, 281.00 | 35, 126, 849.71 | 11,251,705.28 | 76, 073, 835.99 | 643,037,533.28 | 310,679, ¢5\%. 52 | 440,119, 163 | 790, $934,374.68$ |
| New Mexico | Feb. 28, 1920 | 71 | 2,885, 500.00 | 910, 130.00 | 201, 311.45 | 3,995,941.45 | $20,831,108.92$ | 18,216,36.91 | 1,4+1, 224.07 | 25, 005, 708.95 |
| Savings banks Other banks. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pee. } 31,1919\} \\ & \text { Feh. } 28,1920\} \end{aligned}$ | 561 | 194, 654, 300.00 | 1 474, 194, 500.78 |  | 668,848,800.78 | 6,063,307, $817 . \mathrm{c} 7$ | 2, 579,307, A, 01 | 3, 189,320, 359.57 | 7,299, 129,400.20 |
| North Carolina. |  | 513 | 18, 202, 977. 23 | 7,903, 849.38 | 5,081, 686.13 | 31,189, 512.74 | 237,697,450.42 | 205, 996 0a: 3 ; | $18,94.4588$ | 283,94, 783.56 |
| North Dako |  | 718 | 12,383, 000.00 | 4,205, 000.00 | 666, 474.42 | 17,34, 474.42 | 127, 515,642.07 | 116,544, 94. 0f | 1.09 .275 | 153.40, G18. 12 |
| Ohio | May 4, 1920 | 776 | 65, 816, 652. 00 | $50,962,171.09$ | 18, 590. 702.00 | $135,369,525.00$ | 1,133, 172, 111.00 | 80a $94 / 5$ mon |  | 1,322 49, 48, 29 |
| Okiahom |  | 610 | 14, 633, 6590. 09 | 2, 900, 356.35 | 2.490 .149 .82 | 20, 123, 17.5. 17 | 1®3, 34, 978.68 | 139.345 .545 | 21.408 .14 | 33.80 30.74 |
| Oregon | Feb. 28, 1920 | 274 | 23,344,000.00 | 8, 503, 238.98 | 3,631,665.49 | 32,778, 904.47 | 278, 523, 124.05 | 202,72,111.1 | $55,552,684.95$ | $33,507,694.38$ |
| Pennsylvania Rhode Island: | May 4,1920 | 580 | 134,010, 507. 27 | 200,994, 542.17 | 46, 134,084.07 | 381, 139, 133. 51 | 1,614, 428,973.61 | 552, 8 ( $), 769$ | 811, 457, [513. 38 | 2,093, $273,456.21$ |
| Savings hank | Dec. 31, | 47 | 9,049,600.00 | 16,839, 158.49 | 7,352,323.19 | 33, 241,081.68 | 284,034, 982.03 | 141, 21 ', 623 at | 142,667, 276. 58 | 321, $619,171.83$ |
| South Carolina. | May 4,1920 | 389 | 16,770,564.41 | 7, 297, 633.44 | 5, 258,489.59 | 29, 326, 687.44 | 157,643, 590.38 | 150,013,697\% | 16,557, 943.37 | 202, $585,029.47$ |
| South Dakota. | Feb. 28, 1920 | 552 | 12, 32f, 477.00 | 4,002, 689.18 | 2,211, 114.22 | 18,540, 280.40 | 195, 951, 450.48 | 157, $213,057.68$ | T, | 30, 99, -2.27 |
| Tennessee. |  | 440 | 19, 102, 781. 95 | ${ }^{1} 11,2025,364.86$ |  | 30, 368, 146. 81 | 199, 141, 937.14 | 165, $713,234.418$ | 24, 85, 94, 3 | 20, ,3, 0.71 |
| Tex | May 4,1920 | 979 | 45, 154, 800.00 | ${ }^{1} 21,973,646.11$ |  | 67, 128, 446. 11 | 323, 250, 283. 30 | 260, $73,465.15$ | 30, 197, -12 41 | $415.23,45.83$ |
| U | Mar. 23, 1920 | 104 | 7,543, 554.08 | 3,180, 184.23 | 1,440,067.84 | 12, 163, 806.15 | 75, 302,033.06 | 70, 5 $6,823.51$ | 14,312, 46 | $103,51,14.15$ |
| mont | May 4,1920 | 59 | 2, 109, 600.00 | 6, 534, 199.52 | 2, 350, 267.48 | 10, 994, 017.00 | 119, 944, 370. 98 | 93, $417,832.93$ | 30,3, ${ }^{\text {a }}$, 10 | 134. 920.932 .47 |
| Virginia | Feb. 28, 1920 | 316 | 21,577, 3605.50 | 11, 818,912.76 | ${ }^{3,440,894.19}$ | 36, 837, 172.45 | 143, $710,445.11$ | 145, $\mathrm{C} 18,652.48$ | 25,574, ¢19 71 | 2nt, 4.9815 |
| Washington | May 4,1920 | 304 | 17, 129,200. 00 | 5, 505, 558.90 | 2, 243, 893.13 | 24, 878, 652.03 | 212, 838, 107.78 | 142, 11, 185.93 | 46, $332,909.11$ | 24.5, $540,076.21$ |
| Weit virgin | $\dddot{\text { Feb }}$ 28, 1900 | 217 <br> 814 | $15,895,023.00$ $29,665,550.09$ | 9,756,537.55 | 4, $739,144.66$ <br> 58893 | $30,390,705.21$ $46,522,323.94$ 4 | ${ }^{154,089,088.11}$ | 122, $329,13,5898.54$ | 85.4408 | 102. 00.4029 |
| Wyoming. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Feb. } 28,1920 \\ \text { …............ } \end{gathered}$ | 814 110 | $29,660,5000$ $2,960,000$ | 10, 1177 , 306.89 | $5,893,109.32$ $581,531.12$ | $\begin{array}{r} 46,522,323.94 \\ 4,658,838.01 \end{array}$ | 453, $29,120,478.39$ | 329, $313,743.77$ | 2,44. 4.4 | $34,4^{4-}, 793.41$ |
| Tota |  | 21,961 | $1,402,365,014.88$ | $1,442,841,633.68$ | $\begin{aligned} & 310,816,778.01 \\ & \text { neludes undivi } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,156,023,426.57 \\ & \text { led profits. } \end{aligned}$ | $24,189,608,390.21$ | $14,690,1: 1,234.3 i$ | $8,425,222,2 \times 042$ | $29,024,95,835.83$ |

Statement showing aggregate resources, etc., of all banking institutions under State control-Continued.

| State. | Date of report. | Number of tutions | Capital. | Surplus. | Undivided profits. | Capital, surplus, and undivided profits. | Deposits. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Loans and dis- } \\ & \text { counts. } \end{aligned}$ | Bonds, stocks, securities, cte. | Total resources. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Statement of Comptroller of the CurCurrency gives totals for allnationalbanks on Feb. 28, 1920..... |  | 7,933 | 81,182,082,000,00 | \$944, 126,000.00 | \$404, 443, 000.00 | \$2,530,651,000.00 | 16,965,122,000.00 | \$11,994,523,000.00 | 84,430,258,000.00 | \$21,862, 540,000.00 |
| Excess of State banking institutions...... |  | 14,028 | 220, 283,014.88 | 498, 715, 633.68 | 193, 626, 221.99 | 625,372,426.57 | 7,224, 486, 399.21 | 2, 696, 210, 234.30 | 3,994, 954, 280.42 | 7,161,555, 838.83 |
| Increase shown by State banking institutions over statement compiled in January, 1920, from able at that time.. |  | 933 | 94,476, 426.88 | 109, 950, 184.82 | 51, 934, 137.14 | 256,360, 748.84 | 2,556,786, 387.40 | 2,433,598,707.90 | ${ }^{2} 72,300,731.04$ | 3,058, 420,002.53 |
| ncrease shown by all nationa lbanks over statement by Comptraller of the Currency for June 30, $1919 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. |  | 148 | $29,419,000.00$ | $71,900,000.00$ | 31,794,000.00 | $133,113,000.00$ |  | $909,061,000.00$ | $2617,253,000.00$ | $1,062,990,000.00$ |
| Excess increase of State banking institutions. ........... |  | 785 | 65,057,426.88 | 38,050, 184.82 | 20, 140, 137.14 | 123,247, 748.84 | 1,516,529, 387.40 | 1,524,537,707.90 | 1544, 952, 268.96 | 1,995, 430,002.53 |

## CONDITION OF LEADING FOREIGN BANKS OF ISSUE, ABOUT END OF JUNE, 1913-1920.

bank of england.
[Combined data for issue and banking departments.]
[From the London Economist and weekly statements of the Bank of England.]
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 25, } \\ & \text { 1913. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 24, } \\ & 1914 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } 30, \\ 1915 . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 29, } \\ & 1916 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 27, } \\ & \text { 1917. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 26, } \\ & 1918 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } 25, \\ & 1919 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 30, } \\ & 1920 \text {, } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AsSETS. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gold and silver. | 186, 956 | 194, 310 | 253, 506 | 298,706 | 279, 989 | 317,432 | 427,332 | 573, 673 |
| Government securities: <br> Held by the issue department. | 89,787 | 89,787 | 89,787 | 89,787 | 89,787 | 89,787 | 89,787 |  |
| Held by the banking department | 62,087 | 53,755 | 248, 401 | 205,303 | 220,306 | 251,365 | 325, 384 | -438,370 |
| Other securities................ | 176, 124 | 194,636 | 744, 160 | 424,909 | 487, 750 | 490,543 | 393, 228 | 502,164 |
| Total. | 514,954 | 532,488 | 1,335,854 | 1,018, 705 | 1,077,832 | 1,149, 127 | 1,235, 531 | 1,603,994 |
| liabilities. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proprietors' capital. | 70,822 | 70, 822 | 70,822 | 70, 822 | 70, 822 | 70,822 | 70,822 | 70,822 |
| Rest (surplus). | 15,806 | 15,378 | 15,023 | 15,573 | 15,626 | 15,884 | 15,714 | 15,865 |
| Public deposits. | 87,753 | 87,958 | 396, 693 | 239, 816 | 190,587 | 174, 119 | 97, 544 | 76,638 |
| Other deposits. | 201,006 | 218,584 | 684, 492 | 517,655 | 608, 931 | 627,044 | 670, 336 | 856, 344 |
| Seven-day and other bills. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notes in circulation.... | 139, 440 | 139,683 | 168,556 | 174, 703 | 191,735 | 261, 209 | 381, 052 | 584, 262 |
| Total | 514,954 | 532, 488 | 1,335, 854 | 1,018, 705 | 1,077, 832 | 1,149, 127 | 1,235,521 | 1,603, 994 |
| Ratio of metallic reserve to deposit and note liabilities combined-per cent................. | 43.7 | 43.5 | 20.3 | 32.0 | 28.2 | 29.9 | 37.2 | 37.8 |

bank of france.
[From L'Economiste Français and weekly statements of the Bank of France.]
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 26, } \\ & \text { 1913. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 25, } \\ & 1914 . \end{aligned}$ | June 24, 1915. | June 29, 1916. | June 28, 1917. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } 27, \\ & 1918 . \end{aligned}$ | June 26, 1919. | $\begin{gathered} \text { June 24, } \\ 1920 . \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSETS. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gold in vault..... Other metallic reser | $\begin{aligned} & 640,181 \\ & 120,364 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 78,309 \\ & 123,200 \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 757,944 \\ 71.947 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 866,995 \\ 66,383 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 627,877 \\ 50,157 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 648,816 \\ 50,050 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 689,516 \\ 55.607 \end{gathered}$ | 696,651 |
| Total metallic vault reser | 760, 545 | 890, 509 | 829, 891 | 933,378 | 678, 034 | 698,8 | 748, 123 | 743,137 |
| Gold held abroad. |  |  |  | 52,314 | 392,712 | 397,987 | 381, 808 | 381, 808 |
| Foreign credits. |  |  | 149,005 | 136,920 | 139,860 | 267, 234 | 327, 723 | 165,915 |
| Government securities: |  |  |  | 57,900 | 57,900 |  |  |  |
| Permanent investments................ | 27,900 | 57,900 | 57,90 | 57,900 | 57,900 | 57,900 | 57,900 | 57,900 |
| break of war... |  |  | 1,158,000 | 1,524,700 | 2,045,800 | 3,560, 850 | 4,487, 250 | 5,018,000 |
| Treasury bills discounted (advances to foreign governments.). |  |  | 44,390 | 220,020 | 503,730 | 662,955 | 702,520 |  |
| Other Government securitie | 22,732 | 22,687 | 22,369 | 21, 571 | 21, 645 | 21,767 | 21,558 | 19, 535 |
| Leans and discounts. | 319,645 | 311: 075 | 50, 063 | 86,557 | ${ }^{107,766}$ | 262,995 | 168, 858 | 344, 876 |
| Bills matured and extended......i...... |  |  | 438, 677 | 283,482 | 230,529 | 207,746 | 155,950 | 100, 917 |
| Advances on bullion, specie, securities, etc | 144,634 | 143, 437 | 120, 564 | 232, 764 | 218,522 | 183,269 | 246,842 | 359, 193 |
| Bank premises. | 8,108 83 | 9,220 | 9, 587 | 8, 848 | 110,918 | 8,951 | 8,990 | 8,957 |
| Sundry assets. | 83,761 | 57,844 | 55,742 | 67,149 | 110,447 | 187,851 | 296, 912 | 399, 328 |
| Total. | 1,367,325 | 1,492,672 | 2,936, 188 | 3,625,603 | 4,515, 863 | 6,518,371 | 7,604, 434 | 8,339,511 |
| c |  | 35.223 |  |  | ${ }^{35} 223$ |  | 35,223 |  |
| Surplus, including special reserv | 8,206 | 8,206 | 8,292 | 8,292 | 8,292 | 8,292 | 8,294 | 8,294 |
| Dividends unpaid | 3,796 | 289 | 572 | 4,815 | 4,922 | 5,051 | 5,248 | 862 |
| Government deposi | 86,925 | 56, 805 | 10,485 | 7,387 | 6,467 | 7,164 | 9,519 | 14,484 |
| Other deposits.. | 141,256 | 196,226 | 439,061 | 438,620 | 527, 559 | 775,716 | 648, 872 | 690, 557 |
| Bank notesin circula | 1,041, 991 | 1,129,493 | 2,336, 201 | 3,050, 492 | 3,825, 859 | 5, 510,232 | 6,647, 306 | 7,245, 074 |
| Sundry liabilities. | 49,898 | 66, 430 | 106, 354 | 80,774 | 107, 541 | 176, 693 | 249,972 | 344,117 |
| Total | 1,367,325 | 1,492, 672 | 2,936, 188 | 3,625,603 | 4,515,863 | 6,518,371 | 7,604,434 | 8,339,511 |
| Ratio of metalic reserve to deposit and note liabilities combined-per cent. | 59.9 | 64.4 | 29.8 | 28. | 15.6 | 11.1 | 10. | 9.3 |

Condition of leading foreign banks of issue, about end of June, 1913-1920-Continued. GERMAN REICHSBANK.
[From Die Bank, the Deutscher Oekonomist, and the Berliner Börsen-Courier.]
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 30, } \\ & 1913 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 30, } \\ & \text { 1914. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 30, } \\ & 1915 \text {. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } 29, \\ & 1916 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 30, } \\ & \text { 1917. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } 29, \\ & 1918 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 30, } \\ & \text { 1919. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 30, } \\ & 1920 . \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSETS. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gold... | 257,472 | 311, 137 | 568,737 | 587,330 | 585,329 | 558,866 | 265,927 | 260,047 |
| Other metallic reserve | 67,268 | 77, 272 | 11,124 | 7,408 | 15,205 | 28,771 | 4,751 | ${ }^{778}$ |
| Total metallic vault reserve. | 324,740 | 388,409 | 579,861 | 594, 738 | ${ }^{600}, 534$ | 587,637 | 270,678 | 260, 825 |
| Imperial Treasury and Loan Bank certificates. |  |  | 120,989 | 149,899 | 107,261 | 425,332 | 2,157,726 | ,109,334 |
| Notes of other banks. | 3,277 | 2,303 | 1,554 | 1,096 | 476 |  | 772 | 478 |
| Bills, ehecks, and discounted treasury bills. | 358,577 | 288,876 | 1,171,409 | 1,574,550 | 2,611, 268 | 3,971,016 | 7,930,363 | 12,137,269 |
| Advances on collateral. | 30,466 | 17,063 | 3,730 | 2,691 | 2,096 | 1,359 | 1,493 | 1,923 |
| Securities. | 24,236 | 87,423 | 4,845 | 11,505 | 25,059 | 25,512 | 29,781 | 81,817 |
| Sundry assets | 45,517 | 51,977 | 46,477 | 91,326 | 291,652 | 443,709 | 625, 762 | 2,786,968 |
| Total. | 790,141 | 847,927 | 1,928,865 | 2,425,805 | 3,638,346 | 5,454,809 | 11,016,575 | 19,378,614 |
| Labilities. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital paid in. | 42,876 | 42,876 | 42,876 | 42,876 | 42,876 | 42,876 | 42,876 | 42,876 |
| Surplus.... | 16,685 | 17,741 | 19,187 | 20,366 | 21,471 | 22,588 | 23, 700 | 24,834 |
| Notes in circulation. | 551,854 | 573,247 | 1,391, 168 | 1.724,687 | 2,072,030 | 2,979,987 | 7,138,470 | 12,856.874 |
| Other liabilities payable on demand | 165, 882 | 204,446 | 428,592 | 564, 701 | 1,356,001 | 2,186,982 | 3,270,400 | 5,577,204 |
| Sundry liabilities.... | 12,844 | 9,617 | 47,042 | 73,175 | 145,968 | 222,396 | 541, 129 | 876,826 |
| Total | 790, 141 | 847,927 | 1,928,865 | 2,425,805 | 3,638,346 | 5,454,809 | 11,016,575 | 19,378,614 |
| liabilities combined (per cent) | 45.2 | 49.9 | 31.9 | 26.0 | 17.5 | 11.4 | 2.6. | 1.4 |

BANK OF THE NETHERLANDS.
[From the Amsterdam Algemeen Handelsblad, and weekly statements of the Bank of the Netheriands.]
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } 28 \text {, } \\ & 19138 \text {, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } 27, \\ & 1914 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 26, } \\ & 1915 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } 24, \\ & 1916 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 30, } \\ & \text { 1917. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 29, } \\ & \text { 1918. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } 28, \\ & 1919 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 28, } \\ & 1920 . \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSETS. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gold coin and bullion. | 58,405 3,592 | 64,572 3,762 | 139,549 | 221,914 3,380 | 251,945 2,997 | 288,459 3,092 | $264,404$ | $255,794$ |
| Total metallic vault reserve. | ${ }_{61,997}$ | 68,334 | 140,505 | 225,294 | 254,942 | 291,551 | 267, 706 | 261,380 |
| Loans and discounts... | 31,223 | 26,709 | 29,553 | 17,010 | 21, 163 | 17,614 | 43,794 | 47,135 |
| Foreign bills... | 6,638 | 8,009 | 928 |  | 3,138 | 3,117 | 10,269 |  |
| Advances..... | 32,967 | 23,719 | 47, 856 | 32,198 | 31,817 | 49,079 | 90,525 | 119,385 |
| Government secur | 4,036 | 5,256 | 1,372 |  | 1,844 | 1,836 |  | 5,486 |
| Other securities | 3,609 | 3,616 | 3,614 | 3,680 | 3,670 | 3,640 | 3,440 | 3,043 |
| Bank premises. | 804 | 723 | 643 |  | 589 | 712 | 1,332 | 1,445 |
| Sundry assets. | 413 | 345 | 3,735 | 20,176 | 26,609 | 36,284 | 33,171 | 16,768 |
| Total | 142,287 | 136,711 | 228,206 | 302, 171 | 343,772 | 403,833 | 450,237 | 474,621 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital.. | 8,040 | 8,040 | 8,040 | 8,040 | 8,040 | 8,040 | 8,040 | 8,040 |
| Surplus. in circulation | 2,010 125,876 | ${ }_{123,073}^{2,011}$ | 2,010 194,507 |  | 2,104 307,218 | 2,042 372,789 | 2,010 409 | 2,010 |
| Interest-bearing certificates | 933 | 870 | 621 | 1,004 | 1,503 | ${ }^{777}$ | 1,430 | -775 |
| Government deposits. |  |  |  | 7,964 |  |  | 6,087 |  |
| Other deposits.: | 4,649 | 2,046 | 22,073 | 28,776 | 24,094 | 19,543 | 22,003 |  |
| Sundry liabilities. | 779 | , 671 | ${ }_{955}$ | ${ }^{690}$ | 813 | , 642 | 1,400 | 2,089 |
| Total. | 142, 287 | 136,711 | 228,206 | 302, 171 | 343,772 | 403,833 | 450,237 | 474,621 |
| liabilities combined (per cent)....... | 47.5 | 54.6 | 64.9 | 77.6 | 76.9 | 74.3 | 61.2 | 56.6 |

Condition of leading foreign banks of issue, about end of June 1913-1920—Continued.
BANK OF SPAIN.
[From weekly statements of the Bank of Spain, and España Económica y Financiera.]
[In thousands of dollars.]
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The branch at Helena, Mont., has been authorized by the Federal Reserve Board, but is not yet open for business.


[^0]:    1 This classification is substantially similar to that of Cherington, The Wool Industry, pp. 142 fi.

[^1]:    1. Domestics.
    2. Silks and velvets.
    3. Upholstery.
    4. Cabinet hardware
    5. Blankets, flannels, linings.
    6. Domestics.
    Woolen goods, including blankets and flannels.
    7. Short length cotton piece goods.
    8. Curtains and draperies.
    9. Dress goods.
[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ U. S. Bureau of Foreign and Domestic Commerce, Miscellaneous Series, No. 36.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Certain manufacturers from whom data were obtained in the present
    tudy renort, however, thet 90 days extra is also given study report, however, that 90 days extra is also given.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ The index numbers printed in this article are constructed by the various foreign statistical offices according to methods described in the Bulestin for January, 1920. In all cases except that of the United States the original basis upon which the index numbers have been computed has been shifted to the 1913 base. The monthly and yearly index numbers are therefore only approximate. The latest figures are received by cable and are subject to correction.

[^5]:    Rates for demand paper secured by prime bankers' acceptances, high 7, low 6, customary 6 .
    No report.

[^6]:    1 Includes: Ore and base bullion, $\$ 10,706,000$; United States mint or assay office bars, $\$ 3,846,000$; bullion refined, $\$ 62,151,000$; United $S$ states coin, $\$ 10,868,000$; foreign coin, $\$ 46,515,000$.
    $\$ 189,627,000$. Foreign exports-coin, $\$ 347,000$
    Excess of gold exports over imports since Jan. 1,1920, $\$ 71,171,000$. Excess of gold imports over exports since Aug. 1, 1914, $\$ 708,584,000$. Excess of gold exports over imports since June $10,1919, \$ 392,435,000$.

[^7]:    1 Discount rate corresponds to interest rate borne by certificates pledged as cotateral, with minimum of 5 per cent in the case or Philadelphia anta, Kansas City, and Dallas, and 5t per cent me the of Richmond, Chicago. and san Francisco.
    ${ }^{2} 5 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent on paper secured by $5!$ per cent certificates, and 5 per cent on paper secured by $4_{4}^{3}$ and 5 per cent certificates.
    Note.-Rates shown for Atlanta, St. Louis, Kansas City, and Dallas are normal rates, applying to discounts not in excess of hasic lines fixed for each member bank by the Federal Reserve Rank. Rates on discounts in excess of the basic line are subject to a $\frac{2}{2}$ per cent progressive increase for each 25 per cent by which the amount of accommodation extended exceeds the basic line.

