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#### Abstract

The Federal Reserve Bulletin is the Board's medium of communication with member banks of the Federal Reserve System and is the only official organ or periodical publication of the Board. It is printed in two editions, of which the first contains the regular official announcements, the national review of business conditions and other general matter, and is distributed without charge to the member banks of the Federal Reserve System. The second edition contains detailed analyses of business conditions, special articles, revjew of foreign banking, and complete statistics showing the condition of Federal Reserve Banks. For this second edition the Board has fixed a subscription price of $\$ 4$ per annum to cover the cost of paper and printing. Single copies will be sold at 40 cents. Foreign postage should be added when it will be required. Remittances should be made to the Federal Reserve Board. Member banks desiring to have the Bulletin supplied to their officers and directors may have it sent to not less than 10 names at a subscription price of $\$ 2$ per annum. No complete sets of the Bullerin for 1915, 1916, or 1917 are available.
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## REVIEW OF THE MONTH.

The month of June has been an important period in the development of Treasury finance. Treasury finance. The interest payments covering the amount due on the bonds of the first Liberty loan and on the Victory notes were made on June 15, while on the same date the second installment of the income and excess-profits taxes for 1920 was paid in to the Government. Preliminary returns show that the total amount thus received from income and excess-profits taxes amounted to $\$ 744,411,000$, while the payments disbursed for interest falling due during the month, including interest on certificates, amounted to $\$ 153,972,000$. During the month the Treasury also took an important step in offering on June 10 two series of Treasury certificates of indebtedness, both dated and bearing interest from June 15, the one payable on January 3, 1921, with interest at $5 \frac{3}{4}$ per cent, the other payable on June 15, 1921, with interest at 6 per cent per annum. This step practically recognized a rate of 6 per cent as the going commercial charge for credit of the character and maturity represented by the obligations of the Government. Taking the month as a whole, the total amount disbursed on account of ordinary expenses has been $\$ 837,463,000$, while ordinary income has amounted to $\$ 1,305,837,000$, leaving a surplus for the month of $\$ 468,374,000$. Early in June the Treasury announced that Treasury certificates to the amount of nearly $\$ 1,000,000,000$ would mature on or before July 15 , and, while the greater part of these were provided for by the income and excess-profits tax receipts for the month of June, the issue of certificates already referred to in an amount of $\$ 419,121,000$ was deemed necessary to provide the means for meeting maturing obligations. The Secretary of the Treasury, in outlining the situation
near the close of the fiscal year, described conditions as follows:

On the basis of Treasury daily statements and excluding transactions in the principal of the public debt, though the first quarter, ended September 30, 1919, of the present fiscal year ending June 30, 1920, was marked by a deficii of about $\$ 770,000,000$, in the second quarter, ended December 31, 1919, there was a surplus of over $\$ 150,000,000$, in the third quarter, ended March 31, 1920, there was a surplus of nearly $\$ 400,000,000$, and the fourth quarter, ending June 30 next, should also show a surplus. The completed fiscal year's operations should show little if any deficit, the Government having about balanced its budget, current receipts against current disbursements, for the first full fiscal year after fighting stopped.

The actual figures for receipts and disbursements have in substance borne out the expectations thus expressed by the Secretary of the Treasury. For the fiscal year as a whole the excess of current ordinary disbursements over ordinary receipts was reported on June 30 as \$71,879,000.

The public debt situation, complicated as it is by the fact that a large floating debt is outstanding, requires some further explanation. In speaking of conditions near the end of the fiscal year, the Secretary of the Treasury in a statement to which reference has already been made, says:

The total gross debt of the United States, which, on June 30 , 1919, on the basis of Treasury daily statements, amounted to nearly $\$ 25,000,000,000$ and on August 31, 1919, to nearly $\$ 26,600,000,000$, had been reduced on May 31, 1920, to less than $\$ 25,000,000,000$. The floating debt outstanding (loan and tax certificates), which on June 30, 1919, amounted to over $\$ 3,250,000,000$, and on August 31, 1919, to nearly $\$ 4,000,000,000$, had been reduced on May 31, 1920, to less than $\$ 2,850,000,000$. The reduced ordinary and public debt disbursements have made possible a very important reduction in the amount of the net balance in the general fund, which has been applied to the reduction of debt.

The final figures for June 30, covering the fiscal year ending on that date, show a total of receipts on account of public debt amounting
to $\$ 15,852,855,000$, while total debt disbursements were $\$ 17,038,040,000$.

A year ago, in the August issue of the A new epoch in
the Federal Rethe Federal serve System.

Federal Reserve Bulletin, tion of the Federal Reserve System for the year ending June 30, 1919. In the present issue this general review is continued and brought down to June 30, 1920. As was pointed out in the former statistical issue, the annual report of the Federal Reserve Board supplies a general description and outline of existing conditions by calendar years. It has been thought well, however, to furnish a critical analysis from the statistical standpoint, covering the intermediate periods ending June 30. In the analysis published a year ago it was sought to discuss the most conspicuous activities of the system. The time was especially opportune since the close of June, 1919, practically marked the formal termination of the war period, coinciding with the end of the fiscal year. Within the past fiscal (public) year ending June 30, 1920, important developments indicating the distinct transition from the close of the war period to a peace footing in banking and finance have occurred, and the figures herewith submitted will show in a general way the character of the situation as affected by reconstruction and financial transition.

It was pointed out in the last annual statistical review that, historically speaking, developments in the Federal Reserve System might be divided into four rather distinct periods: November, 1914, to December, 1916; January, 1917, to April, 1917; May, 1917, to November 11, 1918; November 12, 1918, to June, 1919. There may now be added to these distinct periods in the history of the Federal Reserve System a fifth epoch, extending from the close of June, 1919, to the present time and perhaps longerhow long can not, of course, as yet be precisely stated. During this latter period the characteristic phases have been found in the effort to check the growth of the volume of war paper carried by Federal Reserve Banks, in the successful shifting of the discount rate from the low stabilized level necessitated by war conditions to the higher basis corresponding to commercial
conditions and reflecting the effort of the system to control the expansion of credit, and the corresponding attempt to induce member banks to curtail excessive or unessential advances of funds. During the year in question the earnings of Federal Reserve Banks have continued large and there has been a steady advance in rediscounts paralleled by corresponding decline in the reserve ratio. The volume of notes in circulation has tended upward, although there has from time to time been fluctuation. The resumption of freedom of movement for specie has resulted in a reduction in the country's stock of gold and silver. These conditions and others ancillary to them may now be reviewed at somewhat greater length.

The period immediately following the flotation of the Victory Liberty Advances in loan of the spring of 1919 wit-
esources. nessed a very considerable release of banking resources and industrial effort. Removal of the restraints upon capital investment which had been made by the Capital Issues Committee of the Federal Reserve Board under legislation enacted by Congress opened the way for the development of many enterprises whose efforts had been held in check during the war period. On the other hand, the activity of business and the continued growth of the export trade of the United States, largely for the purpose of meeting the urgent necessities of European nations, led to the continued rise and growth of applications for discount at member banks and from them for rediscount at Federal. Reserve Banks. Coupled with these factors, which would in any case have been very evident, has been the growth of speculative demands for accommodation which have steadily tended to enlarge the volume of loans rendered available by the banking system of the country as a whole. A comparison between conditions existing at the end of June, 1919, and those at the end of June, 1920, shows that capital of the Federal Reserve Banks at the former date was approximately $\$ 83,000,000$ and resources somewhat less than $\$ 5,300,000,000$, whertas at the present date capital may be figured at $\$ 94,500,000$ and total resources at $\$ 6,100,000,000$. The increase in capital has thus been at the rate of approxi-
mately 14 per cent, reckoning the condition at the end of June, 1919, as a base, with the expansion of resources proceeding in approximately the same ratio. The increase in capital during the year 1919-20 has not presented the periods of sporadic and fitful growth which had been observed during the early war period. Advance has been due rather to the steady progress in the enlargement of the capital of member banks, with corresponding increase in the subscriptions to the capital stock of Federal Reserve Banks, and to the slow and steady movement of members into the system. Analyzing these two elements individually it will be found that the total number of new State bank members gained during the year ending June 30, 1920, has been approximately 368 , their contribution to capital stock being $\$ 3,013,000$, to which should be added $\$ 953,000$ subscribed by newly organized national bank members, while on the other hand the increase in capital stock due to enlargement of the capital and surplus of former members may be taken as the balance of the net growth in Federal Reserve Bank capital, or approximately $\$ 7,776,000$. The increase in total resources is seen from the following table, in which are presented for the dates corresponding roughly to the end of the two years in question the changes that have taken place in total reserves, in total earning assets, and in total resources.

| [In thousands ot dollars.] |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June 27, <br> 1919. | June 25, <br> 1920. | Increase or <br> decerease of <br> 1920 over <br> 1919 |  |

From this it will be readily seen that the principal sources of advance during the period in question have been found in the item "Total earning assets," which shows a growth of about $\$ 829,000,000$. Total reserves held, on the other hand, indicate a decline of approximately $\$ 108,000,000$. Reserves of late have shown continued tendency to decline, and this decline has been coincident with an expansion in the total volume of paper under rediscount, which, of course, has added to the total volume of earning assets.

The movement of principal items already referred to is better understood
Change in rein connection with the study of reserve ratios. So important has the movement of this ratio been considered during the past few months that it has been deemed necessary to follow it closely in former issues. Recent events indicate that it has now passed the low point of decline and may be considered on its way upward. Reviewing the year as a whole, it may be said that the reserve ratio has passed through three periods, the first extending from the opening of the fiscal year on July 1, 1919, to approximately November 4, 1919. During this period, mainly as the result of the large volume of discounts of war paper and the loss of gold taken for export, the ratio shows a decline from 50.4 to 46.6 per cent. Beginning with November, 1919, effort was definitely made to control the reserve position through the application of higher rates of discount at Federal Reserve Banks. From that date onward until the last week in May, 1920, may be considered a period of fluctuation and uncertainty, the ratio moving irregularly up or down, but maintaining itself throughout the period practically at a low level or near it. Subsequent to the last week in May successive advances in the ratio, partly due to Government operations, occurred, an increase of onehalf of 1 per cent being shown in the weekly report of condition for June 11, while a further increase of $1 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent was shown in the weekly condition report for the close of business on June 18. For the week ending June 25 a reduction of nine-tenths of 1 per cent occurred. This movement of the ratio may be indicated in the following figures, which represent the average of the monthly ratios for the year in question (taking as the basis of average the percentages announced each week, whether four or five in number):
Average monthly reserve ratios, June 30, 1919, to June 25, 1920.

August.......................... 50.8 February...................... 44. 4
September................. 51. 1 March......................... 42.8 October................. 48.7 April.......................... 43.0 November. .............. 46.6 May............................... 42.6 December. . . . . . . . . . 46.0 June. . ................. . . 43.4

As has been explained on former occasions, the movement of the reserve ratio of a banking system does not necessarily in all cases serve
as a true index of its strength. The ratio may move upward as the result of an increase in actual cash reserve even though the holdings of paper may be nonliquid or "frozen," or the ratio may decline as a result of the liquidation of credit at a time when the portfolio is in a satisfactory state and when the reserve is amply able to care for all probable demands brought to bear by depositors. The movement of the ratio of reserves in the Federal Reserve System during the year 1919-20 has been the outcome of a somewhat complex group of influences. During the year in question an aggregate (net) of about $\$ 316,000,000$ in gold was shipped abroad and was in the main withdrawn from banks of the Federal Reserve System. This total is partly offset, however, by the amount of gold received from the German Government in payment for food supplies. Of the gold thus received about 111 millions is now held by the Bank of England for the account of the Federal Reserve Banks. This net export movement would have tended at least to bring about a lower reserve ratio. Coincident with this movement of gold, however, there set in an increasing demand for larger accommodation at Federal Reserve Banks, partly due to the general economic factors already reviewed, but partly also to the circumstance that business establishments found it necessary to obtain a larger volume of credit at their banks because of the fact that prices were ruling higher and that accordingly a larger amount of credit was necessary to move a given volume of goods at any one time. Coupled with all these factors must be borne in mind the circumstance that a very substantial part of the assets of Federal Reserve Banks have been in a measure unliquid, due to the fact that they so largely represented paper collateraled by Government obligations, and not the result of actual self-liquidating business transactions.

No analysis of reserves would, however, Notes and de- be instructive unless compared posits. with changes in the movement of outstanding Federal Reserve notes and deposit liabilities of Federal Reserve Banks. Comparison between the two dates which are under consideration shows that the movement in the deposit item for the year in question
has been from approximately $\$ 2,437,000,000$ to $\$ 2,473,000,000$, while the note circulation has expanded from approximately $\$ 2,500,000,000$ to approximately $\$ 3,117,000,000$ (Federal Reserve notes only). The growth in deposits is thus seen to be in round numbers $\$ 36,000,000$, while the growth in notes may be taken as approximately $\$ 617,000,000$. This enlargement in the circulation of reserve notes, as compared with the small increase in deposits, after a period of intermediate growth in which the deposit item reached a figure much higher than that for the close of the year, may be best understood by studying the movement of these two items at selected dates.


It will be observed that while both notes and deposits have passed through periods of fluctuation, so that their growth is by no means parallel, the general tendency throughout the country has been that of quite steadily enlarging the circulation of notes. This is partly due to the fact that the note issue has been required in current use because of the great rise in prices and the consequent necessity of carrying a larger supply of money in pocket with which to meet current requirements. It is also in part due to the growing necessity for a larger amount of actual currency for payment over the counter at the several banks of the country in order that current demands of depositors, rendered more intense by the high prices, might be freely met. Notwithstanding that the reports of condition of member banks show only a very moderate amount of vault cash or till money carried by the banks, the average being between 4 and 5 per cent of outstanding demand liabilities, it is nevertheless true that the actual total so carried by the banks has materially increased because of the increasing volume of demand deposits which has amounted to very nearly 10 per cent. The progressive substitution of Federal Reserve
notes for gold and silver has also continued during the year. It should also be remembered, as noted a year ago, that Federal Reserve notes have come to circulate in increasing volume in Mexico, Central America, and West Indian countries, and even in the Orient, and the evidence at hand would indicate that instead of declining in their popularity in these regions the Federal Reserve notes have continued to retain the field which they had occupied during the war.

The year 1919-20 has seen a material im-

Discount of war paper. institutions. In the Federal Reserve System, for example, the total holdings of paper secured by Government war obligations have evidently passed their peak and begun to decline. The Board's statistical review for the year ending June 30, 1919, showed that on June 27 of that year the total volume of paper secured by Government war obligations under discount was $\$ 1,573,500,000$, while at the close of June, 1920 (June 25), the holdings of paper secured by war obligations were approximately $\$ 295,500,000$ less than that figure. Member bank reports to the Federal Reserve Board show that taking the returns from institutions in 100 selected cities there were on June 20, 1919, loans secured by United States bonds and other war obligations ${ }^{1}$ amounting to $\$ 1,412,000,000$, while the total of United States securities owned was $\$ 2,337$,000,000 . The corresponding figures for June 18, $1920,{ }^{1}$ show a very material decrease in the total amount of United States securities owned, while a corresponding decrease in the total volume of loans secured by Government war obligations is likewise reported, the respective figures being $\$ 742,388,000$ of paper and $\$ 1,587,832,000$ of securities owned. Unquestionable progress has been made during the period in reducing the total holdings of war securities, both under the form of ownership and under that of collateraled advances. This progress may be attributed in no small measure to the increasing rates of discount and interest which have tended to make it unprofitable for owners of Government securities to continue carrying them through the medium of advances ob-

[^0]tained from banking institutions. The experience of the year has shown that there is also danger of "inflation" to be seen in the growth of loans secured by other stocks and bonds which represent advances made by the banks to borrowers who desire in many cases to obtain a comparatively long-period accommodation.

The question constantly asked within the past few months has been the
Working of
credit control. trol of credit, the application of higher discount rates, and the actual expansion of operations. On this subject the evidence is still conflicting and lacking in certainty as to details. The general conclusion to be drawn is unmistakably to the effect that the operation of credit control through higher discount rates has had a marked success. It is true that during the earlier period of its application, in the months of November and December, 1919, and January and February, 1920, an absolute check to the growth of rediscounting at Federal Reserve Banks was not afforded. This fact, however, should be interpreted not in the light of absolute figures, but rather in that of relative conditions. There was, in fact, during the months in question an absolute increase in the total amount of rediscounted paper held by Federal Reserve Banks, but the real question at issue is not whether there was an absolute increase, but whether the increase which actually occurred would have been larger had it not been for the application of this method of credit control. On that point there would seem to be no doubt. The advance in the total of earning assets from about the beginning of March, a date roughly corresponding to the opening of the great growth in industrial and speculative operations throughout the country, to the beginning of November, at the time of the first application of the higher rate policy, amounted to the difference between $\$ 2,348,000,000$ on March 7 and $\$ 2,923,000,000$ on November 7, or about $\$ 575,000,000$ in round numbers. Between November 7, 1919, the date last cited, and the close of June, 1920, the increase of total earning assets from the point already mentioned was approximately $\$ 260,000,000$, the growth having thus been "slowed down" by over 50
per cent during a period of roughly the same duration. Recent returns have shown an even more positive effect as the result of credit control and Government operations, the total earning assets having declined from $\$ 3,244,425,000$ on May 28 to $\$ 3,183,275,000$ on June 25-a decrease of $\$ 61,150,000$. The success in thus controlling the growth of credit has been the more noteworthy because of the difficult conditions which have prevailed, chief among which has been the very unsatisfactory transportation situation, which was in part the result of a lack of equipment on the part of the railroads and in part the consequence of the very severe weather of the late winter. These factors working together had the effect of compelling the retention of large quantities of goods at points of production or transshipment, with corresponding necessity of extending the length of the bank credit by which they were sustained, in addition to disorganizing distribution and market conditions at points of delivery.

There has been a decided advance during the

Acceptance development. past year in the expansion of the acceptance market. This has resulted in increasing considerably the holdings of that class of paper by Federal Reservie Banks. According to figures prepared by the Federal Reserve Board, the total amount of bankers' acceptances growing out of both domestic and foreign trade operations held by all Federal Reserve Banks was at the close of June, 1919, about \$315,520,000 . The corresponding figure for June, 1920 , is about $\$ 412,000,000$, while the total of trade acceptances, including both domestic and foreign trade, held by all Federal Reserve Banks about the end of June, 1919, was $\$ 9,389,000$, the corresponding figure for June, 1920, being $\$ 30,000,000 .^{2}$ According to a computation made by the Division of Statistics of the Federal Reserve Board, the total of member banks' acceptance liabilities on March 4, 1919, was approximately $\$ 451,264,000$. A computation completed in June, 1920, shows that for May 4 the total outstanding acceptance liabilities of all accepting member banks were approximately $\$ 678,172,000$. The growth in acceptances during the year has been in part
the natural outcome of the large figures attained by business and industry during that period. It has also been due in part, however, to the fact that there has been a very general search for all possible methods of financing the movement of goods in export and import trade. Credit should also be given to the development of new establishments which have undertaken the work of dealing in acceptances and which have cooperated in the creation of a broader market and a wider demand than had previously existed. Better marketing conditions have naturally tended to promote the use of the acceptance method in the transaction of business, while on the other hand country bankers and other investors have in an increasing degree undertaken the practice of purchasing and holding bankers' acceptances as a form of investment for surplus funds. A special service in connection with the selection and purchase of satisfactory acceptances on behalf of country member banks has been taken up by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York. The experience of the year has, however, been in other ways difficult for the development of the acceptance market because of the high rates of interest which have prevailed and the stringency of money during the latter part of the period which has undoubtedly reduced in no inconsiderable degree the scope of the demand for acceptances as a form of investment. This situation has been more generally applicable to bankers' acceptances, both domestic and foreign, than to trade acceptances. Progress has been made in some directions toward correcting abuses growing out of faulty technique in the use of the acceptance, and the movement for the correction of these errors or defects of practice has been aided by the work of the American Acceptance Council.

The year 1919-20 has witnessed a very great expansion in the volume of $\underset{\text { credit. }}{\text { Prics and bank credit and simultaneously }}$ has also been characterized by considerable growth in prices. Much has been said of the probable connection between the two. Without reference to the bearing of the one upon the other, figures showing the comparative rate of growth of bank credit and of prices are of substantial interest. The following table shows for the close of May, 1919,
and the corresponding date in 1920 compara- standing in the United States, France, and tive index number figures, and figures stating Great Britain, which are taken as representathe total amount of deposits and of notes out- tive countries:

|  | United States. |  |  | France. |  |  | Great Britain. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Index number. ${ }^{1}$ | Total gross deposits of Federal Reserve Banks. | Federal <br> Reserve notes and bank notes in circulation. | Index number. ${ }^{1}$ | Deposits of the Bank of France. | Note circulation. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Index } \\ & \text { num- } \\ & \text { ber. }{ }^{1} \end{aligned}$ | Deposits of the Bank of England. | Note circulation. ${ }^{2}$ |
| End of May, 1919.. | 207 272 | Thousand dollars. <br> 2,465,559 <br> 2,541, 630 | Thousand dollars. <br> 2,687, 719 <br> 3,286, 206 | 325 550 | Thousand francs $3,751,173$ $3,638,987$ | Thousand francs. $34,061,243$ $37,914,835$ | 229 306 | Thousand pounds 142,617 117,784 | Thousand pounds. $\begin{aligned} & 421,374 \\ & 459,780 \end{aligned}$ |

${ }_{2}^{1}$ Index numbers are given as percentages of 1913 and apply to the month of May, 1919 and 1920.
${ }^{2}$ Including currency notes and certificates outstanding.

It will be noted that there are wide variations between the rates of advance of prices, these being undoubtedly due in part to the difference in composition of the several index numbers. In part, however, the differences in price figures are the result of variations in credit and in the development of production.

The principal element in reserves which has
Movement of shown fluctuation during the reserves. year has been gold. In the following table are shown for the close of June, 1919, and for a date about a year later, figures of total gold reserves of Federal Reserve Banks, and of legal-tender notes, silver, etc. It will be noticed that the changes have been found in the first item, gold holdings having been reported at about the close of June, 1919, as in round numbers $\$ 2,150,000,000$, while at the close of June, 1920, the gold holdings were reported as $\$ 1,970$,000,000 . This loss of approximately $\$ 180,000$,000 has been offset in a measure by the increase of legal-tender notes, silver, etc., which amounted to only about $\$ 69,000,000$ a year ago but stands to-day at about $\$ 140,000,000$.

Movement of reserves.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 27, } \\ & \text { 1919. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } 25 \text {, } \\ & 1920 . \end{aligned}$ | Increase or decrease. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total gold reserves. Legal-tender notes, silver etc | $\begin{array}{r} 2,147,784 \\ 68,472 \end{array}$ | 1,069,375 | $\begin{array}{r} -178,409 \\ +70,758 \end{array}$ |

The factors influencing the fluctuation of the gold holdings of Federal Reserve Banks are in part tolerably obvious. The removal of the
gold embargo, which had been applied as a war measure, took effect on June 9, 1919, and almost immediately led to some considerable exportations of the metal to the Far East and to South American countries. These movements of gold appear to have continued somewhat longer than would otherwise have been the case on account of the belief prevalent in some quarters that the free movement of gold could not be maintained or continued. There was no warrant for such supposition, as the outcome has shown, and return movements of the metal currently took place. Early in the year 1920 there came a turn in the trend of our export trade, some countries, notably those in the Far East, which had previously enjoyed a favorable balance of trade with the United States and had been in consequence able to draw upon us for gold, suffering a reaction and finding their trade balance unfavorable instead of favorable, so that they were no longer able to increase their imports of gold from the United States. Changes of internal trade conditions in such countries as Japan and India also tended to accentuate this situation. A third important factor has influenced our gold position. As is well known, the approach of the date for settling the so-called Anglo-French 5 per cent bonds, amounting to some $\$ 500,000,000$, brought about during the late spring of 1920 an inward movement of gold into the United States, aggregating in all about $\$ 75,000,000$. This had been accompanied by shipments of gold amounting to perhaps two-thirds as much to South American countries for British account, but it is probable that in the
absence of the approach of the date of maturity of the Anglo-French bonds no offsetting movement into the United States would have occurred. As it was, the gold which moved to this country was largely the product of the South African mines which, instead of ending its journey in London, continued it to New York. One considerable consignment was, however, imported via the Pacific coast from Hongkong and was presumed to represent Russian specie which had left that country during the war. The movement of silver has been subject to conditions very similar to those affecting gold, save that silver which had shown an unprecedented popularity and price during the war because of the more severe restrictions which surrounded the movement of gold, has naturally been less in demand since the arrival of a time when the use of gold was more freely thrown open to the general trading public. One outcome of this situation has been the decline in silver from a maximum price of about $\$ 1.38 \frac{1}{4}$ to a figure which, at its low point, was about 57 cents below that level. The price of domestic silver has been in a measure restored through the operation of the Pittman Act as interpreted by the rulings of the United States Mint, but the restoration of the older relationship between gold and silver is nevertheless progressing.

In the following table are briefly shown the net exports of gold from and to the United States in trade with other countries,' and in a parallel column the item "Total gold reserves" in Federal Reserve Banks for the beginning and end of the year now under study:


As against a loss in the Federal Reserve Banks' total gold reserves of $\$ 178,409,000$ the excess of gold exports over gold imports for the corresponding fiscal year is shown as
$\$ 316,053,000$. But as pointed out on page 664, gold imports are exclusive of amounts of gold received for food supplies furnished to the German Government. Of the total amount received ( $\$ 173,385,000$ ) about $\$ 111,531,000$, shown under caption "Held with foreign agencies," is at present held by the Bank of England and constitutes part of the gold reserves of the Federal Reserve Banks. In order, therefore, to harmonize the loss in gold reserves with the net exports shown, the amount of gold held abroad for account of the Federal Reserve Banks has been segregated in the above exhibit. When comparison is made between the loss in the Federal Reserve Banks' gold reserves held in the United States and the net gold exports during the corresponding period, it is found that the loss in gold reserves is about 26 millions less than the loss through net exports.

The feature of domestic trade during the year 1919-20 which is generally con-
Development of sidered as being of most importance in its bearing upon the underlying credit situation is the degree of progress made in connection with the production of goods. While it is not possible to afford data on this subject up to the close of June, the following table, which has been prepared on the basis of the Board's own production indexes, shows the situation at the close of the month of May as compared with conditions existing a year ago. It indicates that although production has by no means attained an ideal standard of development, it is nevertheless showing a satisfactory ability to increase.


|  | A pril, 1920. |  | May, 1920. |  | May, 1919. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total. | Relative. | Total. | Relative. | Total. | Rela. tive. |
| Anthracite coalshipments over 9 roads (in thousands of long tons). |  |  |  |  | 5,712 | 100 |
| Crude petroleum production (in thousands of barrels)................. | 36, 201 | 120.7 | 36,859 | 122.9 | 29,985 | 100 |
| Pig iron production (in thousands of long tons). | 2,740 | 130.0 | 2,989 | 141.8 | 2,108 | 100 |
| Steel ingot production (in thousands of long tons)..... | 2,638 | 136.8 | 2,883 | 149.5 | 1,929 | 100 |
| Cottonconsumption (in thousands of bales) $\qquad$ | 568 | 116.4 | 541 | 110.9 | 488 | 100 |
| Wool consumption (in thousands of pounds). $\qquad$ | 57,888 | 128.4 | 50,649 | 112.3 | 45,085 | 100 |

Another test of considerable value and importance of which use has been widely made in recent discussions is that of the ability to export. This test is of comparatively little significance in the case of the United States because of the fact that our export ability is unquestioned and because we have suffered rather from an excess of exports than from lack of goods to ship abroad. Exporting power is, however, of very substantial significance from the point of view of the European countries. In the following table is exhibited the change in the export power of France, Italy, Great Britain, and the United States for the month of May, 1919, as compared with the month of May, 1920, these periods being taken as representative months for comparison. In each case figures are stated in the currency of the country to which they refer, and are, of course, subject to modification, depending upon the variations which must be introduced as a result of changes in the purchasing power of the currency units in terms of which the exports are stated.

| Value of exports. |
| :--- |
|  |

1 Exports and reexports.
In the case of Italy, monthly figures are not a vailable, but the value of exports value of the exports of the first four months of 1919. the value in the former case being 2,182 mullion lire, in the latter 1,097 million lire.

Other criteria indicating the growth of production are furnished by ton-mileage returns. The latest available figures on this subject are those issued by the Interstate Commerce Commission, which show that the traffic for March was 29.2 per cent above that of a year ago, while the traffic for the first three months of the current year was 24.7 above that of a year ago. As to current traffic, the Railway Age of June 11 statos that "in the nine weeks ended May 22, 1919, in spite of the strike the number of carloads handled was about 13 per cent over the previous year; and that, in general, the railroads this year have been doing a record-breaking business." These figures tally with the monthly figures for production for the current year, as already stated, and seem to indicate that the volume of traffic and of production has been rapidly recovering. The English records as to traffic have been interrupted during the war, and according to the London Economist of June 5 have only recently been resumed, no later figures than those for the month of February being available, so that comparisons with previous years are absent. Unofficial statements of a comparative nature show the development of a very heavy volume of tonnage on British railways, with considerable recovery on the Continent, considering the disorganized condition of the transportation system.

The year 1919-20 has been productive of very unusual increases in the Credit and in- volume of new securities issued. Total issues for the year 1919 were estimated at $\$ 3,021,171,000$, while those for the first half of 1920 will probably aggregate about $\$ 1,800,178,000$. A falling off in the rate of issue of new securities during the most recent months is due to the more stringent conditions in the credit market and the high rates for money. New financing during the month of May totaled \$245,000,000 in the New York district, a decline of about 50 per cent from the record of April, although considerably above the output for May in former years. The conditions referred to above have prevented many offerings which would otherwise have been placed upon the market from coming forward, while the inability of the market to absorb securities
with its earlier rapidity has tended to prevent distribution and has kept considerable volumes of securities in the hands of their promoters. This change in the investment situation has been of fundamental importance in its relation to the banking outlook. As investment rates have advanced there has been a natural tendency to rely so far as practicable upon the banks and the commercial paper houses in the effort to carry the current financing of concerns which might otherwise have issued more securities. Due to the lack of purchasing power, conditions during the early part of 1920 were unfavorable to prices, and as a result a record low level of values for old issues was established. During the latter part of the half year, and especially during the month of June, improvement was noted, an average of 40 corporate issues advancing about two points and reaching the highest level for some two months past. Prices, nevertheless, have continued irregular. During the year just closed one of the leading phenomena of the securities market has been the revaluation of Liberty bonds, changes in prices indicating a loss on the average of about eight or nine points from the level of June, 1919. This condition of affairs has been due to wellknown causes connected with the relaxation of interest rate control, the recognition of the commercial worth of money by the Government as a consequence of the termination of the war, and the increasing pressure of demand for available funds.

In no field of banking and financial development has the year 1919-20 been
Foreignex- more productive of alteration change. than in the field of foreign exchange. This subject has been thoroughly covered in former issues, so that it is only necessary to note that the outstanding feature of the year's developments has been the drop in the values of practically all European currencies to a level corresponding to their actual commercial value, as determined free of Government interference and without any sort of "pegging." The following brief tabulation gives comparative figures for some of the principal currencies for June, 1920, as compared with the corresponding date a year earlier:

| Foreign exchange rates (New York Times). |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June 30, 1919. | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } 30, \\ 1920 . \end{gathered}$ |
| London | 4. 591 | $3.94{ }^{\text {3 }}$ |
| Paris | 6. 44 | 12.19 |
| Switzerland | 5.42 | 5.51 |
| Holland | 38.6875 | 353.75 |
| Italy | 7.96 | 16.87 |
| Spain ... | 19.76 | 16.63 |
| Copenhagen | 23.45 | 16.32 |
| Stockholm | 25.45 | 21.90 |
| Christiania | 24.75 | 16.32 |

Although arriving later than the extensive modification of values of European currencies, the revision of rates on oriental countries is of necessarily less importance. This revision did not develop in a marked way until the spring of 1920 was well advanced, at which time a reduction in the value of silver had been brought about as a result of changed trade conditions in the Orient and consequently smaller demand for the metal. These changed factors in demand cut the New York price of silver, which had gone as high as $\$ 1.38 \frac{1}{4}$ an ounce, to about $81 \frac{1}{2}$ cents. The later application for purchases under the provisions of the Pittman Act brought the price of domestic American silver up to about $\$ 1$ an ounce, but the quotation in the London market has continued substantially unaffected by American purchases. Oriental exchanges have, however, reflected the altered conditions which had given rise to these modifications. The following table shows comparative quotations for some of the principal oriental exchanges for June, 1920, as compared with a year earlier.

Week's range.

|  | June 30, | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } 30 . \\ 1920 . \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | High. | High. |
| Hongkong. | 83.00 | 75.50 |
| Peking. | 133.50 | 112.50 |
| Shanghai. | 123.50 | 105.50 |
| Bombav. | 40.00 | 39.50 |
| Calcutta. | 40.00 | 39.50 |

For the month of June foreign exchange has been unwontedly stable and free of disturbing factors, European exchanges on the whole advancing, while oriental exchanges have varied considerably in their quotation. The following table continues the figures furnished in former issues for representative rates:


During the month ending June 10 the net inward movement of gold was $\$ 19,785,000$, as compared with a net outward movement of $\$ 30,657,000$ for the month ending May 10. Net imports of gold since August 1, 1914, were $\$ 697,067,000$, as may be seen from the following exhibit:
[In thousands of dollars.!

|  | Imports. | Exports. | Excess ol jmports over exports. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aug. 1 to Dec. 31, 1914. | 23,253 | 104,972 | 181,719 |
| Jan. 1 to Dec. 31, 1915 | 451,955 | 31,426 | 420,529 |
| Jan. 1 to Dec. 31, 1916 | 685, 745 | 155,793 | 529,952 |
| Jan. 1 to Dec. 31, 1917 | 553,713 | 372, 171 | 181,542 |
| Jan. 1 to Dec. 31, 1918. | 61,959 | 40,848 | 21, 102 |
| Jan. 1 to Dec. 31, 1919. | 76,534 | 368,185 | 291,651 |
| Jan. 1 to June 10. 1920 | 109,603 | 192, 291 | 182,688 |
| Tolal. | 1,962,753 | 1,265,686 | 697,067 |

Of the $\$ 26,542,000$ of gold imported during the monthly period ending June 10 , about 90 per cent, or $\$ 23,616,000$, was shipped from Hongkong for British Government account, the re-
mainder coming principally from the South American and Central American States, France, Mexico, and Canada: Of the gold exports, amounting to $\$ 6,757,000, \$ 3,000,000$ was consigned to the Dutch East Indies, $\$ 1,600,000$ to Mexico, and $\$ 1,500,000$ to Japan, the remainder going principally to Canada and Hongkong. Since the removal of the gold embargo on June 9,1919 , total gold exports have amounted to approximately $\$ 546,000,000$. Of this total, about $\$ 146,555,000$ was consigned to Argentina, $\$ 102,876,000$ to Japan, $\$ 62,988,000$ to Hongkong, $\$ 55,396,000$ to China, $\$ 39,042,000$ to British India, and $\$ 29,778,000$ to Spain, the remainder going principally to Uruguay, Mexico, the Dutch East Indies, the Straits Settlements, and Venezuela.

During the same monthly period the net inward movement of silver was $\$ 1,409,000$, as compared with a net outward movement of $\$ 373,000$ for the month ending May 10. Net exports of silver since August 1, 1914, were $\$ 455,599,000$, as may be seen from the following exhibit:

|  | Imports. | Exports. | Excess of exports over imports. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aug. 1 to Dec. 31, 1914. | 12,129 | 22,182 | 10,053 |
| Jan. 1 to Dec. 31, 1915. | 34,484 | 53,599 | 19,115 |
| Jan. 1 to Dec. 31, 1916. | 32, 263 | 70,595 | 38,332 |
| Jan. 1 to Dec. 31, 1917 | 53,340 | 84, 131 | 30,791 |
| Jan. 1 to Dec. 31, 1918 | 71,376 | 252,846 | 181,470 |
| Jan. 1 to Dee. 31. 1919. | 89,410 | 239,021 | 149,611 |
| Jan. 1 to June 10, 1920. | 52,079 | 78,306 | 26,227 |
| Total | 345,081 | 800, 680 | 455,599 |

Mexico furnished over three-fifths, or $\$ 4,663,000$, of the $\$ 7,343,000$ of silver imported during the monthly period ending June 10 , most of the remainder coming from Peru, Canada, England, and the Dutch East Indies. Of the silver exports, amounting to $\$ 5,934,000$, over one-half, or $\$ 3,036,000$, was consigned to China and $\$ 1,352,000$ to Hongkong, the remainder going principally to England, Mexico, and Canada.

Treasury operations, including the issue on May 17 of over 100 millions of
The banking loan certificates and the whole situation.
series of transactions connected with the collection of the June 15 installment of income and excess-profits taxes, are the principal factors responsible for the changes in con-
dition of 814 reporting member banks between May 14 and June 18. The effect of these operations is seen primarily in a decrease of the banks' United States security holdings from 1,675 to 1,588 million dollars, also in a reduction of loans supported by such securities from 1,089 to 1,026 millions. As against these reductions, the banks report increases of about 9 millions in loans secured by corporate securities and of about 78 millions in other loans and investments, including commercial loans and discounts proper. As a consequence totalloans and investments of the reporting banks on June 18 were about 63 millions less than five weeks before. Total loans and investments of member banks in New York City, because of the much smaller reduction in certificate holdings and the relatively larger increase in ordinary commercial loans and loans secured by corporate securities, show an increase of 32 millions.

Accommodation of reporting member banks as shown on the books of the Federal Reserve Banks decreased during the five weeks from 2,127 to 1,833 millions, or from 12.5 to 10.8 per cent of their total loans and investments. For the New York City banks this ratio shows a decline from 12 to 9.7 per cent.

Principal changes in the condition of the Federal Reserve Banks between May 21 and June 25 include a reduction of 168.8 millions in loans secured by United States war securities as against an increase of over 100 millions in other discounts. Holdings of acceptances declined about 18.2 millions, while the Federal Reserve Banks' holdings of Treasury certificates show an increase of 48.6 millions, this increase representing largely the amount of special certificates taken by the Federal Reserve Banks to cover temporary advances to the Government.

Interbank discounting shows a steady reduction from 146.1 millions on May 21 to 96.1 millions on June 18 and an increase to 125 millions at the close of the subsequent week. No change is shown either in the list of discounting banks or in the list of banks which report liabilities on bills rediscounted. Graduated discount rates are at present applied by the Kansas City, Atlanta, St. Louis, and Dallas banks. The effect of these rates is seen in a
check upon further credit expansion rather than in any decrease of discounts held by or of rediscount liabilities of the four Federal Reserve Banks concerned. Government deposits varied between a maximum of 56.4 millions on June 18 and a minimum of 14.2 a week later. Variations in net deposits reflect in a large measure the fluctuations in discounts. Changes shown for the last two Fridays of the period are traceable in part to the large volume of checks and drafts received by the Government in payment of taxes and credited to its deposit account by the Federal Reserve Banks before actual collection. Federal Reserve note circulation increased 31.5 millions and Federal Reserve Bank note circulation 8.2 millions. The banks' gold reserves, following gold imports from the Orient and Canada, show a gain of nearly 30 millions.

The banks' reserve ratio reached the low level of 42.5 per cent on June 4 . On June 18 , in consequence of the large loan reductions effected by the member banks, the ratio shows a rise to 44.5 per cent. At the close of the period, i. e., on June 25 , the reserve ratio stood at 43.6 per cent, as compared with 42.7 per cent five weeks earlier.

In the interest of conservation of paper, the Board has decided to print the Federal Reserve Bulletin in two editions (a complete
Two editions of Bulletin. edition and an abbreviated edition), beginning with the July number. The abbreviated edition ( 94 pages) contains the review of the month, official announcements, the national review of business conditions, and other matter of a general character, and is distributed without charge to the member banks of the Federal Reserve System. The complete edition (116 pages) contains the review of the month, detailed analyses of business conditions, special articles, review of domestic and foreign banking, complete statistics showing condition of Federal Reserve Banks and member banks, etc., and is distributed at a charge of $\$ 4$ per annum to cover the cost of paper and printing. It is estimated that a saving of practically onehalf in the amount of paper used will be effected in thus distributing the two editions instead of one large edition as heretofore.

## BUSINESS, INDUSTRY, AND FINANCE, JUNE, 1920.

Considerable variation in business conditions, taking the country as a whole, is reported by Federal Reserve agents. In agricultural production there is a perceptible improvement in prospects, due to seasonable weather, good distribution of moisture, and the replanting of crops. In manufacturing conditions are in some districts reported as chaotic, ranging from extreme optimism to equally extreme pessimism. The railroad situation has been somewhat improved, but as yet not sufficiently to warrant a belief that the effects of the breakdown have been overcome. There is still great congestion of goods. In retail trade there is evidence of a decreased volume of demand in many parts of the country, although some of this decline is seasonal. Quite generally there is a tendency to settle down to a readjusted basis and to proceed with business upon the new level of prices and demand. Control of credit and discrimination between nonessential and speculative borrowing is producing some results, although these are reported as developing themselves slowly and gradually. The present price situation is unsettled and is being closely watched. While some price-reporting agencies show a reduction, others, including among them the Bureau of Labor Statistics, show increases in prices, due perhaps to the different character of the commodities used in making up index numbers and the methods of construction rather than in discrepancy in prices themselves. Foodstuffs and essential commodities, except clothing and shoes, show little indication of reduction; luxuries and "unessentials" have been in many lines distinctly cut. Business is passing through a period of readjustment and, in many directions, of depression. There is, however, every indication that this transition period will not last longindeed, that the turn toward new conditions has already been taken.

In district No. 1 (Boston) the outstanding features of the situation have been more spectacular during May than at any time since the armistice, for the forces which have been operating to produce liquidation of commodities have culminated in an unmistakable manner. Cancellation of orders has been an important factor, while price reductions, although not believed permanent, have been important.

In district No. 2 (New York) speculation has been depressed, although the bond market has been more firmly supported than for some months past. Exporters report a softening in
the foreign demand for American products. Immigration is increasing, prices have fallen in some lines quite decidedly, and retail trade has been stimulated by recent reductions. Building continues inadequate.

In district No. 3 (Philadelphia) "the industrial situation is marked by the same adverse tendencies which were factors last month. Decreases in the amount of orders received for iron and steel are held to be due to the greater concentration of attention on orders which have already been placed. A very slight improvement in transportation conditions had been reported during the first few weeks in June, but this improvement was not great enough to be of any real assistance to manufacturers. The past few months have been marked by slowing up of collections in many of the more important lines of business."

In district No. 4 (Cleveland) "conditions are somewhat chaotic in the manufacturing field. Complaint of car shortage is general. Makers of goods who depend for raw materials upon the metal trades report that steel was never so difficult to obtain as now. Throughout almost the entire manufacturing field is found a marked increase in the productiveness of labor." Cancellations of orders are frequent in various lines, particularly those connected with building.

In district No. 5 (Richmond) "the breakdown of the traffic system and the wave of price cutting in retail stores were the outstanding features. The inability of the railroads to furnish cars and the further freezing of credits have been the chief elements in keeping prices high. The widespread protest against high prices has had an unsettling effect in all lines of trade."

In district No. 6 (Atlanta) there is a tendency on the part of the public to refuse to pay exorbitant prices, and "while the volume of retail trade is large, there has been quite a subsiding of the rush caused by the announcement of price reductions. There is on the whole no uneasiness concerning the future of business and industry. It is becoming more and more apparent, however, that the process of deflation has begun."

In district No. 7 (Chicago) discrimination against unessential and speculative borrowing, and reduction in the volume of commodities offered for shipment, are operating to relieve the credit strain. Savings accounts are growing. Much money is still tied up in inven-
tories, but there is some indication of decided improvement in conditions.

In district No. 8 (St. Louis) "business has not slackened its recent pace of tremendous activity. Agricultural prospects have bettered in an astonishing degree. Retail business has been stimulated. Deliveries and shipments have been more efficient although still vastly under normal. Apprehensions felt in financial circles a few weeks back have largely disappeared."

In district No. 9 (Minneapolis) crop progress during the month has been excellent. The feeling among business men generally "is of a steadier and more hopeful nature than 30 days ago. The decline in prices has been sustained in such lines as shoes, silks, and ready-to-wear goods. Traffic conditions, however, show very little improvement. Car shortage has become a serious menace."

In district No. 10 (Kansas City) " the tide of depression existing for a short time recently, seems to have abated to an appreciable extent." However, transportation facilities "are lamentably inadequate" and as a result "there is an added strain to the already greatly expanded credits, a slackening of industrial effort, and a prolongation of inflated prices of foods and commodities." The industrial situation, however, " is somewhat, easier than it has been for several months." Shortage of cars is, however, "still a disturbing factor."

In district No. 11 (Dallas) changes in agricultural and commercial conditions "have not been very noticeable. Agricultural prospects have slightly improved. In mercantile lines there is continued evidence of a decreased volume, both retail and wholesale." In wholesale trade, moreover, "cancellations are quite numerous and buying is upon a very conservative basis." Effort to obtain greater production has had a fair measure of success.

In district No. 12 (San Francisco) the condition of crops is practically unchanged, but range conditions are improved. Shortage of cars is still causing congestion. Retail business has, however, increased and the labor situation has improved somewhat.

Possibly the most encouraging factor reported during the month has been the advance in condition of seasonal crops. From district No. 9 (Minneapolis) it is reported that rains have been widespread, and the dry sections in Montana and western North Dakota have received adequate moisture, while the wheat crop, although with a somewhat reduced acreage, shows an excellent stand. The flax outlook is promising, corn shows a large acreage, there is a satisfactory grass and forage outlook, and the cutting of alfalfa will be heavy. The wheat situation in district No. 10 (Kansas

City) is likewise reported very much better. Winter wheat made remarkable improvement in May, and there is promise of a much larger yield than was predicted at the beginning of the month. In Kansas the wheat crop may be the third best in the history of the State. Spring wheat is also reported as very fine, with a yield about normal, in spite of reduced acreage. Corn is showing encouraging progress, with increased acreage, and small grains are in good condition and with acreage about normal. The Pacific coast crops show excellent promise, production of oats being expected to run higher than in 1919. There has been a falling off in barley in California, but the fruit crop is expected to equal, or perhaps exceed, in most cases the yield of 1919. Thove has been a drop in prunes, cherries, and apples. Range conditions are excellent. The wo st situation is seen in cotton. From district No. 6 (Atlanta) it appears that the poor conditions already reported by the Government have been but little improved, and that not only will the acreage be small but the yield per acre in many sections will be low. There was, however, improvement during the first two weeks in June. In district No. 11 (Dallas) heavy rains have interfered with the planting of cotton in some sections, and the acreage will be smaller than expected. This is partly offset by favorable conditions in New Mexico and Arizona and by replanting in southern Oklahoma. In the Middle West (district No. 7) agricultural conditions are generally considered favorable, and while corn planting was late the plant was growing rapidly and soil conditions are propitious. Large stocks of all agricultural products are still being carried on farms, and some authorities in various parts of the country advise the storing of grain as the new crop is produced.

May witnessed the termination of Government control of wheat, ending the minimum price guarantoe, without causing even a slight flurry at market centers. Speculative trading, however, is held in abeyance until the exchanges have worked out plans for the regulation of futures. The problem of obtaining sufficient cars to handle the unmarketed portion of the 1919 crop in general has been a matter of considerable concern. In the Kansas City district "it is regarded as certain that probably 25 per cent of the last year's crop will still be in the hands of farmers when the new 1920 crop begins to flow to the markets." May wheat receipts in this district, while handicapped by car shortage, were considerably in excess of the low April figures, although corn and oats receipts were small. High April wheat prices in Kansas City, due to demand for good milling wheat, have been sustained,
while oats prices were likewise high. Flour prices at Kansas City advanced during the month of May in sympathy with the rise in wheat. Receipts of wheat at Minneapolis and Duluth for the crop year beginning September 1, 1919, indicate, when compared with the estimates of the United States Department of Agriculture of the total crop for 1919, that 83.2 per cent of the crop had been moved by that date, as compared with 66.5 per cent for the 1918 crop and 45 per cent for the 1917 crop.

The live-stock situation is among the most promising departments of agricultural effort in the country. In district No. 10 (Kansas City) pasture conditions are excellent, although the movement of stock to grazing lands is slow. However, live stock in all States is generally healthy and improving in condition. Receipts of cattle at 15 principal markets during May were 1,209,656 head, as compared with 1,040,903 head during April, and $1,262,065$ during May, 1919, the respective index numbers being 120, 103 and 125. Receipts of hogs amounted to $3,128,249$ head during May, corresponding to an index number of 142 , as compared with $2,150,281$ head during April, and 3,049,223 head during May, 1919, the respective index numbers being 98 and 139. Receipts of sheep for May were 796,160 head, as compared with 928,191 head during April and 934,613 head during May, 1919, the respective index numbers being 58, 68 and 68. During the first two weeks of June strong increases in live-stock prices in face of diminished receipts were recorded. From district No. 11 (Dallas) it is reported that range conditions are good and that the condition of live stock throughout the entire district is "from good to excellent," while live-stock prices have continued to sag toward lower levels, and at Fort Worth and other markets fairly large consignments have been received, a considerable portion of the offerings consisting of choice, heary animals in excellent condition. The number of cattle yarded at Fort Worth in May compares favorably with the corresponding receipts for the past eight years, except in 1917 . In various districts, however, cattle interests have felt restriction of credit due to a lack of demand for cattle paper, and this has rendered the problem of rehabilitating the yards in the breeding sections a serious one. From district No. 7 (Chicago) it is reported that the high cost of money has tended to limit accommodations to the live-stock industry.
Railroad congestion and similar difficulties have continued to make it difficult for iron and steel manufacturers to keep up their production, but energetic effort has already had its effect. From district No. 4 (Cleveland) it is
reported that a "surprisingly good showing" is being made, all things considered. Pig-iron output for May was a little under $3,000,000$ tons, or a gain of about 240,000 tons over April. Operations, however, are being carried on with a narrow margin of safety because of the short car supply and the uncertain receipts of raw materials, including both ore and coal. The railroads are still unable to meet the demands upon them, and the unfavorable coal prospects affecting the late summer and autumn cause anxiety with respect to the future. The most serious aspect of the situation as bearing upon sustained operation is the large amount of rolled steel which continues to be carried in the yards because of inability to ship. In the Pittsburgh district alone this is estimated at 500,000 tons. From district No. 3 (Philadelphia) it is reported that the demand for iron and steel remains quiet, pending the return of better transportation conditions, and while production of pig iron showed a small improvement during May, the change was not material. Iron products have a steady and satisfactory market and consumers have not accumulated any considerable surplus. Costs are so high that there is no prospect of lower prices and the improvement of transportation will result in an immediate development of business. Producers hesitate to accept new orders far in the future because of high production costs. In the Cleveland district, too, it is reported that new business is on a reduced basis, partly due to uncertainty of deliveries and inability to direct future costs. The railroads have not been buying equipment to the extent that was hoped. In the Birmingham district it is reported that a steady demand throughout the remainder of the year for iron and steel is anticipated. While pig-iron manufacturers have fared better as to cars than coal producers, steel mills are active and a slight lull in buying has not checked confidence. The general rate of $\$ 42$ per ton for pig iron has been maintained. Throughout the country fabricating plants are as active as the supply of coal will permit. The unfilled orders of the United States Steel Corporation at the close of May were $10,940,466$ tons, corresponding to an index number of 208, as compared with $10,359,747$ tons at the close of April, corresponding to an index number of 197. Steel ingot production shows an increase from 2,638,305 tons during April to 2,883,164 tons during May, the respective index numbers being 109 and 119.
Continued congestion in coal is closely allied to the decrease produced by the unsatisfactory railroad situation in the steel industry. In Alabama (district No. 6) coal production has been lagging for some time and there is
coal shortage at many points, with a tendency on the part of production to fall off. In Pennsylvania (district No. 3) work at the mines is slack, owing to the poor car supply. On one of the leading railroads conditions have been deplorable, the car supply being sometimes as low as 10 per cent and often not over 30 per cent. The Great Lakes district is in serious danger through the shortage of bituminous coal. The labor situation is unsatisfactory, many foreign workers leaving for their native lands. Demand for coal is far in excess of the supply, and, should present conditions continue, manufacturing will be seriously interfered with. Domestic coal prices are rising slightly. Collections in the coal business are good, but dealers are suffering from the lack of adequate capital. In the Lake region (district No. 4) shipments of ore and coal show a loss as compared with last season and a shortage at both ends of the Lake route is expected at the close of the shipping season. Vessels in the ore trade, owing to the light movement of grain and coal, show a decrease in business. Coal shipments up to June 1 were $3,200,000$ tons less than for the same period last season, although there has been a slight increase since that date. All Lake coal is now being pooled on practically the 1918 basis. Iron, steel, and other plants will be seriously held up and obliged to slow down their production unless a very distinct improvement in transportation speedily occurs. Production of bituminous coal for the country at large during May amounted to $39,057,000$ tons, as compared with $37,966,000$ tons during April and 37,547,000 tons during May, 1919, the respective index numbers being 105, 102, and 101. There has been no increase in labor difficulties in the coal industry, the difficulty of the situation being found in other directions.
As for petroleum, reports from district No. 10 (Kansas City) show a large gain in field operations, while Kansas wells gained 2,051 barrels daily in new production in May. For the district as a whole daily production was 102,000 barrels, as against 67,000 barrels in April and 56,000 barrels in May, 1919. No material changes in the price of crude oil were reported. In the eleventh district (Dallas) the number of oil wells being drilled at the close of May was 2,958, compared with 3,063 at the end of April. Crude-oil prices ranged from $\$ 3.14$ to $\$ 3.50$. Drilling reports showed a substantial improvement for the month of May. The output for May was $11,714,000$ barrels, or an increase of about 690,000 barrels over April.
Metal mining conditions were not quite as favorable during May as they were a little earlier. The fall in the price of silver has elimi-
nated the profits of many silver operators, while in lead and zinc shipments showed a decided reaction for May as compared with April. Zinc and calamine prices were nearly stationary. Lead shipments declined from the preceding month, the average for each week in May in the Missouri-Kansas-Oklahoma district being 1,959 tons, as compared with 2,524 tons during April. The price level showed a material cut, falling from $\$ 110$ to $\$ 100$. The weather conditions were bad during May, while harvest demands have drawn off labor. Considerable unsold ore is on hand in various lines of production.
In the field of general manufacturing conditions have varied very greatly as a result of transportation troubles, credit control, and other factors. In the Philadelphia region (district No. 3) there has been marked depression in shoes and leather. Tanning is inactive, and should the price of raw hides continue as low as to-day next year's shoes will show a material decrease in price.
From district No. 1 (Boston) it is reported that not within the memory of the oldest dealer in leather has the Boston market been so stagnant as during the last two months. A vast amount of shoes has been returned by retailers, jobbers, and wholesalers to the manufacturers. Orders have been canceled to an unprecedented extent. Many tanneries have closed down entirely and their prices are off from former high levels. Fall shoes, however, will be at relatively high levels of price. Public sales have been conducted by manufacturers in order to eliminate surplus stocks. In district No. 5 (Richmond) boot and shoe manufacturers are facing a period of small business, retailers are buying slowly, the demand is less than the manufacturers' capacity, and some trade journals see a period of unemployment. Cancellations are numerous. District No. 8 (St. Louis) reports that old business on hand is in large volume and while there have been cancellations and hesitation in new business there is still plenty of trade. Some price reductions have been made. The paint and varnish industry is prosperous and the demand very great, with transportation the chief obstacle.
In other manufacturing lines there is considerable variation. Men's clothing is perhaps more depressed than any other industry. Buyers are holding off in anticipation of lower prices. From district No. 3 (Philadelphia) it is reported that higher prices are expected, as production costs do not warrant reductions. Operating conditions are fairly satisfactory and the demand of labor for higher wages and shorter hours has abated. In district No. 4 (Cleveland) the clothing trade and the cloak trade have canceled their orders very hearily during the past two months and many have
closed their factories for a week at a time, while most are now operating on a three-day week basis. In drugs and chemicals mach improvement is reported from the Middle West. In a general way, orders for future delivery on manufacturers' books are large and sufficient in most cases to insure capacity operation for several months to come, but new business is coming in more slowly and there is a very general disposition to cancel orders.

The situation in the cotton textile field continues uncertain. The market for cotton yarns is unsettled. In district No. 3 (Philadelphia) surplus stocks are being sold at some sacrifice for practically whatever they will bring. The outlook when trading is resumed on a large scale is problematical, depending largely on the coming cotton crop and on transportation. In cotton goods, the public stand against high prices is having an important influence. Manufacturers of cotton goods are in some cases closing their plants, although many concerns are continuing on old orders which should keep them running until late in the autumn. In knit goods the market is still in a condition of stagnation, while hosiery and underwear manufacturers report a total absence of buying. Labor, nevertheless, continues insistent upon high wages. There has been a slowing down of activity in district No. 1 (Boston). This is reflected in decreasing consumption and spindleage. Consumption has fallen from about 212,000 bales in March to 194,000 bales in May. Consequently mills have not been placing orders for raw cotton, except in sporadic cases. Export trade for the past two or three months has been very poor.

Adjustment of the wool market is now in progress and during the past month there has been complete cessation of buying. No established price for raw wool exists. The situation in the woolen and worsted yarn industry is causing grave apprehension to manufacturers. Lack of confidence is reported throughout the textile industry in district No. 3 (Philadelphia). Collections are poor and transportation is very unsatisfactory. District No. 1 (Boston), after noting that of the $674,000,000$ pounds of wool purchased by the Government at the time we entered the war, there was left at the date of the armistice about $437,000,000$ pounds, goes on to review the existing situation as follows: "All the best grades have been sold, but the Government still has about $61,000,000$ pounds graded from mediums to the low-cross-breds, of which about $40,000,000$ pounds is stored in Boston and vicinity and the balance scattered throughout the country, a considerable amount of this being in New York, Philadelphia, and Chicago. At the last
auction of Government wool, held June 10, when $7,000,000$ pounds were offered, only about 6 per cent was sold at upset prices, which practically amounted to the same prices as at the previous month's sale. The dealers, being well stocked with medium and lower grades, have not been in the market for several months past. At the last sale of British wools, held in Boston the latter part of May, there were practically no bidders and what was sold is said to have been bought at from 15 to 30 per cent less than previous sales, a condition which also prevailed in the London market."

Boston dealers say there is evidence of the existence at this time of great quantities of wool in the world. It is estimated that Great Britain owns $1,500,000$ bales all paid for, and that Australasia, including New Zealand, has a considerably larger amount, including this year's clip. This condition has been brought about by such countries as Germany, Austria, and Russia being out of the market for a long time past, while France and Belgium own no wool, buying as they need it. The mills of the two last mentioned countries are said to be operating at from 75 to 80 per cent of prewar times. It is claimed that Great Britain is the only country extending any large amount of credit to Germany, last month granting at least $\$ 75,000,000$.
Many New England mills are now, and for the past two or three weeks have been, operating three days a week, some are shut down entirely, and it is said that others will close altogether or go on a three-day basis. Nearly all, if not all, the mills have had large cancellations of orders and have not, therefore, been in the market for wool during the past two months, and it may be some time to come before they will place orders. Consequently, the wool dealers are doing no business, and this condition prevails throughout the country.

During the month an important conference was held between the Federal Reserve Board and representatives of woolgrowers. At the close of the conference the Board authorized the following statement with reference to methods to be employed in financing this year's crop:
A woolgrower may ship his wool to one of the usual points of distribution, obtaining from the railroad a bill of lading for the shipment; the grower may then draw a draft against his bank, for such an amount as may be agreed upon by the grower and the bank, secured by the bill of lading. The Federal Reserve Act authorizes any member bank to accept a draft secured in this manner at the time of acceptance, provided that the draft matures in not more than six months from the time of acceptance. After acceptance such a draft bearing the indoresment of a member bank is eligible for rediscount or purchase by a Federal Reserve Bank, provided that it has a maturity of not more than three months from the date of rediscount or purchase.

The volume of retail trade has continued large, due in part to the movement for reduction in retail prices which commenced in May. This movement has been universal, although in certain districts, for example, Dallas, not nearly so general or widespread as in the North and East. While the movement was aided by refusal on the part of the public to pay the high prices prevailing, as well as by difficulties in financing high-priced stocks, a counterbalancing factor has been found in the tendency of wholesale prices in large measure to be maintained at current levels, and the opinion has been expressed that the price reduction sales in many cases involved merely anticipation of the customary midseason clearance sales. Stocks in certain cases are reported to have been considerably reduced, and in the Richmond district it is stated that "quite a number of stores have already stopped the special sales" in consequence. That this has not been the case in all districts, however, is seen from the fact that in New York it is reported that retail trade after the early stimulus of price reductions soon relapsed into the dullness which was manifest in April, the consumer to a large degree resorting to his waiting attitude. It is stated that sales of necessities apart from food have declined even more than the sales of luxuries. Retailers are reported to be conservative in the replenishing of stocks, tending to confine purchases to current needs only.

One of the decisive factors in the business situation for the month has been the falling off in building activity as a result of very high prices of materials. This is all the more noticeable because the present is usually the time of greatest activity. Housing operations have been particularly few in number, especially in some districts in the Middle West. In the eastern districts the falling off has been equally severe. Philadelphia reports a total number of building permits in May, amounting to 2,624, as against 2,999 for April. In the Northwest the reduction has been about parallel to that in other parts of the country. Building permits reported from Minneapolis were 1,764 , as compared with 2,008 in April. The slackening in building activity has had a reflex influence upon lumber, a decline in lumber prices having been in effect since February last. The trend of production in the southern pine district is now downward. Stocks have accumulated on account of inability to move them, and price recessions to the extent of about 15 per cent have been reported. A very similar situation exists practically throughout the country. On the Pacific coast night operations have ceased almost entirely. Unfilled orders have fallen off materially.

Building operations on the Pacific coast were, however, more active during May than during April.

Industrial operations during the month of May and the early part of June have continued to suffer from uncertainty on account of the labor situation. Little relief has been obtained in connection with the labor situation on farms, while unrest in some of the basic industries, notably transportation, has been maintained. Sporadic strikes in many parts of the country, and particularly on the eastern seaboard, have been a serious deterrent to the movement of goods and the continuance of manufacturing. Employers are generally feeling that further advances in wages present great difficulty on account of the resistance of the public to further increases in prices. While there is still complaint of low efficiency of labor per unit output, improvement in this particular is reported from various points, especially from district No. 4 (Cleveland). Many strikes in various parts of the country have concerned themselves with the open-shop question. On the Pacific coast, however, the labor situation has improved during the past month, especially in the Northwest.

Financially, the month of May has been a period of great interest. The advance in the rate on Treasury certificates of indebtedness to a maximum of 6 per cent, and the raising of rates of discount at various Federal Reserve Banks to a 7 per cent maximum have tended to assist materially in the control of credit. The reserve ratio at Federal Reserve Banks improved by about 2 per cent during the month. Conditions in the financial centers have shown comparatively little modification. Call-money rates in New York have gone as high as 11 per cent and have continued at that figure for considerable periods. The importation of gold on a small scale has been resumed and a larger movement from Great Britain is now confidently expected. Commercial paper and time money rates have continued high, with money supply scanty, while the pressure from the interior banks upon their city correspondents for accommodation has become more intense as the cost of funds at local Reserve Banks has increased. The opinion of bankers, not only in New York but in various other financial centers, is to the effect that the money situation has shown a distinct improvement during the month, and this view is borne out by reports of various Federal Reserve agents who state that the Reserve Bank policy, aided by cooperation of member banks, is convincing wouldbe borrowers that there must be a revision of their applications with a view to eliminating all unnecessary or unessential requirements.

## SPECIAL REPORTS ON BUSINESS CONDITIONS.

## CAUSES OF CANCELLATION OF ORDERS.

The outstanding feature of the past month appears to have been the excessive cancellation of orders received by manufacturers representing various lines of industry. It is a situation that might be interpreted as presaging industrial depression were it not for certain special circumstances that go far toward explaining the prevalence of these cancellations. In the first place the transportation tie-up remains, as it has been for several months, the dominant factor to be reckoned with, and the chief cause inhibiting productive activity. Inability to deliver goods or delayed deliveries are sufficient explanation of many cancellations. Fuel shortage, likewise attributable to transportation difficulties, has also led to a reduction of output in certain industries, thereby diminishing the demand for raw materials and semifinished products. These factors also inevitably react upon the buying power of those ultimate consumers whose wages are reduced by a slowing up or cessation of industrial activity.

But, on the whole, the ability of producer and distributor to sell goods appears to have been fairly well sustained, except in the case of clothing and of boots and shoes. In these lines the consumptive buying demand has undeniably fallen off, at least for the time being, but here, too, delays in delivery and unseasonable weather have been important contributory factors. The situation in the clothing industry has been inevitably reflected in textile linesparticularly in the case of the woolen mills which have suffered exceptionally heavy cancellations. The result of this has been that the wool grower is unable to market his product. The hide and leather markets have similarly felt the effects of the cancellations received by the boot and shoe manufacturers.

Credit contraction is sometimes alleged as a prime cause of the reduced volume of business. But the total amount of credit available can not be said to have been restricted. There exists rather an abnormal demand for loans due to freight congestion and inability to make shipments.

It is the generally accepted opinion that if the transportation problem can be solved, present hindrances to productive activity will largely disappear.

## DISTRICT NO. 1 (BOSTON).

"Cancellation of orders by retailers had been reported for some weeks, but this factor had no appreciable reaction in the form of reduced prices to the consumer or lessened earnings on the part of wage earners until it had
had time to reach back to the actual producer of goods. That it had done this by the end of May, however, became apparent with the announcement the first week in June that the largest woolen mill corporation in the country had decided to run its plants at Lawrence and other centers on a four-day a week basis, which was subsequently reduced to three, a program of curtailment quickly followed by numerous other concerns in the textile industry some of which shut down altogether for a fortnight or more. Shoe factories were likewise speedily affected by having sent back to them millions of dollars worth of goods and by cancellation of orders delayed in delivery. The immediate cause of this slowing down of activity was not only cancellation of orders already booked, but the great caution and hesitancy of retail merchants in making commitments for fall goods, coincident with liquidation of current stocks at greatly reduced prices on account of the unseasonable weather, and increasing unwillingness of the public to purchase at former prices. Reductions by retail shoe dealers have been accompanied and stimulated by the disposal of enormous stocks of footwear by the makers at special sales to the consumer direct, one combination of Lynn manufacturers hiring a large vacant store in Boston for this purpose, where thousands of pairs of the finest grades of women's shoes in the latest styles of the season are being disposed of for $\$ 5.50$-the nearest approach to prewar price levels yet reached by any class of merchandise.
"There are about 40 tanneries in eastern Massachusetts, many of which are closed down entirely, while others are working on half or less time, and still others express the intention of closing down entirely until the situation improves.
"The cancellation movement which during the past six weeks has struck the woolen and shoe industry so generally has also reached the New England cotton mills, and where actual cancellation of orders has not occurred there have been, in some cases, requests for delay in making shipments, all this being occasioned principally by curtailed bank credits. The slowing down of activity in the cotton industry is reflected in the decreasing consumption and spindleage of the mills of the district. The consumption in this district for March was 211,986 bales, an increase over February of 24,835 bales; but in April it dropped to 210,510 bales and in May to 193,642 bales. The number of active spindles increased by over 45,000 in March over February, but dropped from 17,800,001 in March to 17,373,100
in April and to $17,081,954$ in May, a decrease of nearly 720,000 in two months. The amount of cotton held in the mills of New England during this period has fluctuated, increasing from 692,370 bales in February to 717,284 in March, 742,543 , in April and decreasing to 728,821 in May."

## DISTRICT NO. 3 (PIIILADELPHIA).

District No. 3 (Philadelphia) reports that "the market for cotton yarns is most unsettled. Some manufacturers in the district who have suffered vast cancellations are disposing of their surplus stocks at whatever they will bring. The manufacturers, however, are quoting no prices for future delivery, feeling inclined to wait until conditions shall become more settled. There are no purchasers in the field, and as a result there is practically no market.
"The transportation situation is perhaps the most serious of the factors affecting the industry from a manufacturing standpoint at the present time. The embargoes have held up shipments, and even when accepted, they have been months in transit. One of the largest cotton-yarn manufacturers in the district cites as an extreme example a shipment which left one of their southern mills on February 12. It was sent by water, the steamer arriving in New York City on March 6, and it was not until early in June that the cargo could be discharged because of the harbor strikes in New York. This, of course, meant the tieing up of funds for several months. It occurred just at a time when the money market was in a stringent state and worked a great hardship on the shippers.
"The situation in the woolen and worsted yarns industry is such as to cause grave apprehension among manufacturers. The general loss of confidence throughout the textile industry, with the resultant absence of buyers from the market, has created a situation where the manufacturer must choose between closing his plant entirely or manufacturing for stock. No orders whatsoever are being placed, and in many instances orders placed months ago are being canceled. In this regard the transportation situation is most detrimental to the industry, purchasers of yarn using the delayed shipping dates as excuses for abrogating their contracts. Yarn spinners are therefore caught with raw materials which they bought in good faith. These are either still in the raw state or in process of manufacture, and there is no outlet for the finished product.
"Collections are extremely poor. The purchasers of woolen yarns who formerly discounted their bills in 10 days are allowing them
to run to maturity dates, and in some cases have even overstepped that limit, due to the fact that they either have no funds or have decided to hold on to those they have, since there is no demand for their product and consequently no prospects of realizing on them.
"The general outlook, however, is not so pessimistic as the foregoing would seem to indicate, as manufacturers realize that the supplies of all finished textiles are being rapidly depleted, and that in a comparatively short time buyers will be forced into the market. When this occurs it is expected that the prices to be established will enable the industry to function again on a firm foundation.
"Little interest was shown during the last month in the broad-silk market. The uncertainty in prices and the lack of confidence tended to keep purchasers out of the market. The cutting-up trade placed but few orders and in many cases canceled those booked months ago. The cancellation problem is most serious in the silk trade, and broad-silk manufacturers contemplate strenuous measures to curtail the practice.
"The prospects for the silk industry as a whole, however, are better than a month ago. With further stabilization of the raw silk market it is expected that confidence will be regained and active trading resumed.
"The knit-goods market continues to be stagnated, hosiery and underwear manufacturers reporting the total absence of buying. The jobbers and retailers are holding off in anticipation of lower prices, which manufacturers say are not in sight. Increasingly great, therefore, is the number of plants which are either curtailing operations or shutting down completely. It is reported that in one week the reduction in the production of hosiery was 25,000 dozen.
"At the present season duplicate orders for fall delivery are usually received, but, instead of this, cancellations of orders placed last October and November are being made. The cancellation problem is most serious in the knitgoods industry, and while some few are being accepted by the manufacturers, the greater proportion are being rejected. Following are some of the reasons advanced by jobbers for the cancellations: 'Weather conditions; the depression of business in general; the fact that huge orders were placed in the belief that the business boom would continue; the drop in the price of raw wool;' and similar other arbitrary excuses. The transportation situation, having delayed deliveries for weeks at a time, has caused many cancellations and in some cases these are being accepted. The others, however, are being refused.
"The uncertainty characteristic of most industries was not especially marked in the men's clothing trade during the early part of June. The demand continued fairly good, most manufacturers reporting that the volume of sales for the season up to that time equaled 1919 and in some cases exceeded it. The latter part of the month, however, witnessed a falling off in sales, the cancellation of many orders previously placed, and the curtailment of operations.
"While the retail reductions have had their effect upon the clothing industry, producers say that they can see no prospects of prices remaining at their present levels, as a radical decline in wages and raw materials is not in sight. Production costs, they say, do not yet warrant lower prices. Prices for fall delivery are therefore quoted in excess of those of last year. If the demand, however, as is now the prospect, shows a decided decline, prices may have to be reduced in spite of production costs. The demand element is one which manufacturers are prone to overlook."

## DISTRICT NO. 4 (CLEVELAND).

District No. 4 (Cleveland) says: "The clothing trade and the cloak trade have canceled their goods very heavily during the past two months, so much so that they have practically wiped out all the orders on the books of the large mills, with a result that many of them have closed their factories for a week at a time, and most of them are now operating on a threeday week basis.
" The garment industry has been very much disturbed by the rather hysterical epidemic of price cutting and forced sales which has spread over the country, with special reference to the large cities. Students of the situation are inclined to believe that the beginning of this was due to the pressure of the banks and creditors in certain conspicuous cases.
"In the case of continued increases in wages and no appreciable decline in the piece-goods market, it is difficult to forecast what the result will be. There has been evidence of rather sharp curtailment of fall orders, with cancellations of high-priced goods in particular. These cancellations have been passed on in turn by the garment manufacturers to the woolen mills, which have pretty generally accepted them, but instead of reducing prices the mills have shut down or are running on a reduced schedule. From the general impression it appears that textiles for fall will not be sharply reduced, though there may be some weakening in some special quarters, and stock goods will undoubtedly be offered at substantial reductions."

## DISTRICT NO. 5 (RICHMOND).

"Following the break in silk prices mentioned last month, cotton-textile manufacturers in the fifth district have experienced a decided falling off in the number of new orders placed. The wave of price cutting in retail establishments made the merchants hesitate in placing future orders and has led them to adopt a marked hand-to-mouth policy. The mills are viewing the situation calmly, however, claiming that the special sales put on by the retailers exhausted stocks and that they will be forced to return to the market to refill their shelves. In the meantime mills are running full time on back orders, of which practically all mills have enough to keep them at capacity output for several months. Some manufacturers feel that the future is not bright for hosiery and yarn mills, but there is little uneasiness in the cloth field. It is not likely that marked reduction in either production or prices will come about as long as cotton and wages remain as high as they now are. It is possible, however, for cotton-textile prices to be materially reduced without requiring any marked reduction in wages or raw-material prices before the mills would approach the point at which further operation would be unprofitable. Textile profits have been very large in spite of advancing wages and dear cotton, and most manufacturers could stand a considerable reduction in prices received for their goods without suffering hardships. It is certain that the consumers believe that prices are too high and are buying far more conservatively than they did two or three months ago. In addition to this psychological factor the recent taste of lower costs will have a tendency to make them still more reluctant to pay exorbitant prices for cloth and clothing."

## DISTRICT NO. 7 (CHICAGO).

"Spinners and weavers of wool yarns and fabrics are operating on half time, hence their wool supplies are double anticipated requirements and the market demand is correspondingly smaller, with heavy declines in consequence. To make matters worse, the present wool clip is accumulating at primary points and can not be marketed at concessions.
"Weavers declare there is no possibility of reducing their productive costs, at least in the labor items. They have gone on a three or four day working week, hoping to prevent an accumulation of goods, which would tend to demoralize the market. With anything like the usual fall demand for clothing there is a possibility of a shortage, which together with the credit situation and curtailed production, may
be reflected in higher prices. Piece goods buyers are using all the tactics of the trade to enforce lower prices, including refusal of deliveries, cancellation of orders and return of shipments to makers whenever that is possible.
"There is a rather mixed state of affairs in the hide and leather business. In some lines advices indicate a very large volume of business, with little evidence of recession in either raw or finished materials, but to offset this optimism, another important leather industry reports that the last month has shown further marked declines in hide and leather prices both in foreign and domestic markets. Shoe manufacturers are getting a large number of cancellations from retailers. Sales are above last year's average except on the highest priced shoes, but the demand is now turning to cheaper shoes. Leather stocks appear to be excessive."

## DISTRICT NO. 8 (ST. LOUIS).

District No. 8 (St. Louis), discussing the situation in the boot and shoe industry, reports that "old business on hand is in large volume, totaling well over the same time last year, but new buying is slower and more cautiously conducted. Some price reductions have been made, and concensus of opinion is that there will be no further advances. There have been some cancellations of orders, in anticipation of lower prices."

## DISTRICT NO. 12 (SAN FRANCISCO).

Finally, district No. 12 (San Francisco) refers to the effect of cancellations upon the market for raw materials as follows: "The wool clip in this district is averaging one-half pound lighter per fleece than in 1918. Before shearing began, some buyers were offering to contract the better grades at from 60 to 63 cents per pound and during May a few sales were made at from 50 to 55 cents for finer grades and 35 cents for coarser grades. Normally, most of the clip would now be under contract, but this year buyers have not entered the market and practically none of the 1920 clip has been sold. Cancellation of orders at eastern mills and transportation difficulties are assigned as reasons for failure to move the clip."

## REDUCTION IN BUILDING ACTIVITY AND LOWER PRICES FOR LUMBER.

From a majority of districts reports emphasize the falling off in building activity and sharp decreases in the prices of lumber used for structural purposes.

DISTRICT NO. 2 (NEW YORK).
"The inadequate amount of residential building continues to be a feature of the building situation in this district. Not only is the number of dwellings being built below the present extraordinary demand but in the borough of Manhattan private dwellings, tenements, and even large hotels have been torn down or reconstructed into business property.
"Contracts awarded for dwelling construction decreased very materially both in number and value during May as compared with April. Materials are very high and hard to get. Supplies are hard to move; instances are cited of brick being shipped to New York by truck from Philadelphia.
"According to the F. W. Dodge Co.'s figures, projects of all kinds contemplated in May in this district amounted to $\$ 106,573,300$ and contracts awarded to $\$ 51,051,500$, as compared with $\$ 104,490,300$ and $\$ 87,741,100$, respectively, in April. Contracts awarded fell off approximately $\$ 37,000,000$ during the month, while contracts for house-building projects dropped from $\$ 37,417,500$ to $\$ 12,064,300$. The number of contracts for house building fell from 563 in April to 443 in May. Figures show that this slowing down in building activity was general throughout the country."

DISTRICT NO. 3 (PHILADELPHIA).
"The inactivity which has characterized building and construction during the past few months is having a pronounced effect upon the industries allied thereto. This marked decline in the number of new operations being started has been brought about by a number of causes, among which are tight money, the transportation tie-up, the lack of efficient help and excessive demands of labor, and the high prices of raw materials. A decrease in the demand for raw materials used in construction has therefore followed, and the lumber industry has perhaps been affected the most.
"Beginning in April of last year the lumber market started on a steady rise, reaching its peak in February, 1920. Since that time a gradual decline has set in, and while many lumber manufacturers feel that the bottom has been reached, it is the opinion of the majority of observers of the market that prices will continue their downward trend.
"The chief structural woods-yellow pine and fir-are selling about $\$ 10$ per thousand under prices of April, 1920, although as one lumber company writes, 'they are still approximately double their level during the extremely low price of 1914. The prices of hardwoods have shaded some, although not to such a great
extent as the softwoods, notwithstanding the fact that hardwoods reached a relatively higher level than softwoods. This is due to the fact that the supply of hardwoods is very much more limited than that of softwoods.'
"Practically the only demand for lumber at the present time is for repair work or the finishing of operations now in process of construction. There is practically no demand for future delivery for now work.
"Lumbermen can see no prospect of an increased demand until the money and transportation and labor situation are relieved."

## DISTRICT NO. 4 (ClEVELAND).

"There is a noticeable decrease in building operations incident to the approach of the summer months. This is all the more noticeable because the present is usually the time of greatest activity. Not only have building permits been greatly reduced for this time of year, but work in prospect has slowed down perceptibly. A feature to be regretted is the small number of housing operations being started, and unless some means shall be found to stimulate this class of building the housing shortage will become even more acute.
"The reason for the diminution of building activity may be found in the high cost of labor and materials and the gradual finishing up of building of pressing necessity."

## DISTRICT NO. 5 (RICHMOND).

"The embargoes at eastern points caused more lumber to become available in the fifth district, with resulting fluctuations in price levels. Cut stone is hard to secure as a result of traffic conditions and strikes at quarries, and crushed stone is scarce and hard to get delivered when found."

## DISTRICT NO. 6 (ATLANTA).

"The unsettled conditions existing in the lumber trade during the past two or three months have continued. Transportation difficulties coupled with the unfavorable weather throughout the spring months have served to check building operations in some parts of the district, although in the larger cities there is still considerable building and construction work going on. Stocks in some instances have accumulated because of the inability to move them, and price recessions to the extent of about 15 per cent have been reported. During the past two or three months, however, there have been a number of instances reported where buildings have been halted in course of construction because of the great and increasing expense. Apartment
houses and residences in numerous cases had been begun, excavations made and foundations laid, and in some instances the basements practically finished, when it was found the expense was to be from 25 to 50 per cent, or even more, in excess of previous estimates.
" The trend of production at the present time is downward, as the number of mills which are curtailing operations, due to the sluggish demand, is gradually becoming larger. The requirements of retailers show no tendency to increase. Railroads are still virtually off the market and are placing orders only in cases of absolute necessity. Estimates of the deficiency of cars in this section range from 25 to 50 per cent."

## DISTRICT NO. 7 (CHICAGO).

"There is a disposition to do everything possible to relieve the shortage of housing facilities at important centers, but this is being impeded by the scarcity of building materials, due to underproduction and transportation delays. There are heard complaints of restrictions of building credits. Lumber is lower in price in consequence of lack of funds and stocks are piling up, while building labor is more plentiful. There has been an improvement in the lumber shipments to the country. Railroad car buildings are holding aloof, but some specifications are being figured on. Hardwood, fir, and hemlock responses indicate an improvement in the freight situation."

## DISTRICT NO. 8 (ST. LOUIS).

"Further declining tendencies are noted, both in prices and activity. Manufacturers have cut down their prices and some mills have endeavored to force business by cutting prices, but without pronounced success. Building operations have been hampered by transportation and labor troubles, and inability to secure other materials. This is reflected directly in the lumber market. Since May 15 ash has declined $\$ 20$, red gum $\$ 10$, plain oak $\$ 25$, and quartered oak $\$ 20$ per 1,000 feet. Pine is off as much as $\$ 50$ per 1,000 feet in the same period. There has been fair buying of car oak, and lumber people are looking for improvement in prices and business in general lines.
"Business in May with leading fire-clay products interests showed a fair gain over April and heavy increases over May, 1919, but the early weeks of June brought a slowing down of orders. Fuel, car, and general material shortages have worked adversely in this industry. Labor is plentiful enough, but shifting and inefficient.


#### Abstract

"Transportation, labor, and materials situation considered, building operations in the district during the past month have been fairly continuous and heavy. In many instances it has been extremely difficult to purchase certain buildings materials, and when purchased still more difficult to get them delivered. Numerous new projects which it had been hoped to get started by now, have been postponed because of the tight money market. Housing requirements of all sorts in both city and country are acute, but according to architects and builders, investment returns even with present high rentals are not such as to attract capital to new construction. Permits issued in leading cities of the district in May show gains over the same month last year in numbers and money involved."


## DISTRICT NO. 9 (MINNEAPOLIS).

"Lumber producers report that their shipments are not as heavy as usual, and give as the chief cause a decrease in home building. Industrial demand for lumber continues strong. Prices dropped below cost in some cases. Lumber production continued unchanged. In manufactured lumber there has been a decrease in the number of new orders received compared with earlier periods, and such manufacturers report that they are working almost entirely on old orders."

## DISTRICT NO. 10 (KANSAS CITY).

"Reports of building inspectors and superintendents for the month of May show for the first time this year a tendency toward a decline of building activity resulting from several unfavorable factors, such as labor troubles, high prices of materials, and transportation difficulties. While the May report for cities of this district as a whole reflects an increase of 30.6 per cent in building activity over that of May, 1919, the showing is the poorest for any month of the present year. There was a decline of 74 permits and $\$ 1,757,770$ estimated cost, or 20.6 per cent, from the record of April of this year. Decreased operations are shown in the reports from such cities as Denver, Oklahoma City, Okmulgee, Wichita, Lincoln, and Kansas City, Kans., which have reported substantial increases over last year in all previous months of 1920."

## - DISTRICT NO. 11 (DALLAS).

."Thirty-two Southern pine mills in the eleventh district reported a combined average weekly production of $11,420,932$ feet for the month of May, which was 72 per cent of their normal output. According to the statistics
of weekly shipments for the month of May and the volume of unfilled orders on hand at the end of the month, these mills are about four months behind with their deliveries, while at the same time the volume of new orders received is keeping pace with their shipments. n fact a singular coincidence with respect to the 32 mills reporting for the month of May is that their orders and shipments for that month were identical in total footage."

## DISTRICT NO. 12 (SAN FRANCISCO).

Shortage of cars has resulted in congestion, which is automatically curtailing lumber output in this district and night operations have ceased in all producing regions. During the four weeks ending June 5 an average of 124 mills in the West Coast Lumbermen's Association reported a cut of $350,275,000$ feet, 4.5 per cent below normal; orders of $231,055,000$ feet, 34.3 per cent below cut; and shipments of $287,345,000$ feet, 18 per cent below cut. Unfilled orders on June 5 aggregated 385,466,000 feet, of which $245,250,000$ feet were rail orders, 65,724,000 feet domestic cargo orders, and $74,492,000$ feet export orders.

San Francisco reports that"'building was generally more active during May than during April. Decreases in building permits of $\$ 711,000$ and of $\$ 1,452,000$ were reported by Los Angeles, Calif., and Portland, Oreg., respectively, in May, as compared with April. In the other larger cities of the district, however, permits issued in May materially exceeded those of the preceding month, the permits in 19 principal cities of the district aggregating $\$ 14,598,075$ in May, 1920, as compared with $\$ 14,728,8.57$ in April, 1920 and $\$ 9,304,030$ in May, 1919."

## WHOLESALE TRADE ACTIVITY.

## DISTRICT NO. 4 (CLEVELAND).

"The price-cutting epidemic has had a somewhat unsettling influence on both wholesale and retail trade, although price reductions have so far been confined largely to the retailers. Cancellations of orders have been made freely, and the slightest pretext has been seized upon as a basis for the return of goods ordered. Collections in the trade are reported as fair to good, for the most part.
"Wholesale dry goods houses report a hesitancy in buying except for immediate requirements. Little or no speculative tendency exists. Good quality merchandise is scarce, and will be until production is increased. Better transportation has improved the situation in permitting a more free movement of goods, both incoming and outgoing.
"Wholesale hardware dealers report a large increase in the value of May sales over those of May of last year, but state that there has been little increase in the physical volume of trade, a large part of the increase having been absorbed in higher costs. Unfilled orders are much larger than a year ago, due to the same causes as similar situations in other lines.
"The tendency in the hardware trades is to stock no goods except for immediate demands. This also applies to the retail dealer.
"The opinion throughout the trade seems to be that the limit of prices has been reached. There are some weak spots in the market today, and some dealers are predicting that 'there are more to follow.'
"There probably is little change in the physical volume of trade in the grocery line, as requirements do not show much variation from year to year. The tendency among retailers is to confine purchases to spot goods, except in the canned goods line. Prices, according to the majority opinion, will hold for 1920, with probable advances in canned goods on account of high labor, high sugar, and the shortage of tin cans.
"Drug houses are purchasing liberally, as the demand continues strong, though a disposition toward more cautious purchasing on the part of the retailer is said to be noted. The belief in the trade is that lower prices are inevitable.
"Below is printed a tabulated report on wholesale trade, showing percentage of increase in sales for the month of May, 1920, over the same month of the previous year, and percentage increase in unfilled orders for the same month.


The above statistics are based upon uease firms; 8 drug; 3 dry goods; 8 hardware.

## DISTRICT NO. 10 (KANSAS CITY).

"Wholesalers, particularly of dry goods, men's and women's wear, and millinery, report a tendency on the part of retailers to buy carefully, with the result that their sales as reported for May were 10 per cent, and in some instances much more than that, below the sales in April, though in wholesale dry goods the May sales were up to 50 per cent larger than in the same month last year, and wholesale millinery sales about 5 per cent larger in volume than in May, 1919.
"Wholesale furniture dealers and manufacturers in this district report sales 20 per
cent better in May than in April and 40 per cent larger than at the same time last year. Stocks are quite low, especially in the smaller towns, and the supply and delivery of manufactured goods has not yet caught up with the demand. Some retailers, however, report slightly decreased sales on account of high prices.
"Drugs sold by wholesale houses in May were about 9 per cent less than in April, but 18 per cent larger than in May of last year, with a prospect of a continuance of the present volume of business at least until fall.
"In the grocery line there is much more activity in trade, May sales running about 11 per cent above those of April and 24 per cent above the sales of a year ago. Although wholesalers report retail dealers buying in accord with their daily requirements, they assert that speculative buying has been practically eliminated and the outlook is good for at least six months."

## district no. 11 (dallas).

"Reports from wholesalers, covering business for May, reflect a further slump in volume for that period. With two exceptions, i. e., automobile supplies and farm implements, a decrease in sales of 4 to 22 per cent is shown. According to our advices, there is a strong tendency on the part of buyers to order conservatively, not to overstock, or buy heavily for future delivery. Spot buying predominates. Prices in the month of May fluctuated but slightly, as compared with April. The principal change was a slight advance.
"Stocks of merchandise on hand at the close of the month are estimated lower than at the end of April. Wholesalers are rather optimistic over the prospects for fall trade, but admit that the situation is not free of uncertainty and pending the improvement in transporta,tion, supply of labor and raw materials, no general decline in prices may be expected any time soon.
"The table which follows gives comparative statistical data on wholesale activities at the close of May.
May, 1920, wholesale trade, compared with April, 1920, and May, 1919.

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \& $\underset{w}{\mathrm{Com}}$ \& \& Sales. \& Selling price. \& Shipments. \& Stocks at end of month. <br>
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Groceries.......} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{April. 1920}} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Per cent.} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Per cent. Samie.} \& Iercent. \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Per cent,
Dec.} <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& Tec. 21 \& <br>
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Furniture......} \& Mar, \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1919} \& Inc. 27 \& Inc. 18 \& Inc. 27 \& Inc. 21 <br>
\hline \& April, \& \& vec. 22 \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Inc. 50} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Dec. 14} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Dec.
Dec.

den} <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Auto supplies ..} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{$\begin{array}{ll}\text { May, } & 1919 \\ \text { April, } & 1920\end{array}$}} \& Dec. 14 \& \& \& <br>

\hline \& \& \& Inc. 8 \& Inc. 5 \& Inc. 18 \& $$
\begin{array}{lr}
\text { Inc. } & 3 \\
\text { Inc. } & 40
\end{array}
$$ <br>

\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Drugs...........} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{April,} \& 1920 \& Sec. 7 \& Inc. 1 \& Iec. 7 \& Same. <br>
\hline \& \& 1919 \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Inc. 37} \& Inc. 6 \& Inc. 37 \& Inc. 15 <br>

\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Dry goods.......} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{April, 1920} \& \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{| Same. |
| :--- |
| Inc. 15 |} \& Fec. 21 \& Inc. 10 <br>

\hline \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{May, 1919} \& Inc. 15 \& \& Inc. 15 \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Inc. 54} <br>

\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Hardware. .....} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{April, 1920} \& Dec. 4 \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Inc. } 15 \\
& \text { Same. }
\end{aligned}
$$ \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Dec. 4} \& <br>

\hline \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { May, } & 1919 \\
\text { April, } & 1920
\end{array}
$$}} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { lee. } 18 \\
& \text { Inc. }
\end{aligned}
$$
\]} \& Ine. 10 \& \& Ine. <br>

\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { liarm imple- } \\
& \text { ments. }
\end{aligned}
$$} \& \& \& \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Inc. } & 10 \\
\text { Inc. } & 12
\end{array}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Inc. } \\
& \text { Dec. } \\
& 15
\end{aligned}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{| 1)ec. 1 II |
| :--- |
| Dec. 29 |} <br>

\hline \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { April, } & 1920 \\
\text { Mar, } & 1919
\end{array}
$$} \& Dec. 15 \& \& \& <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}

DISTRICT NO. 12 (SAN FRANOISCO).
"Approximately 45 per cent of the increase (in wholesale trade) over May, 1919, was due to increases in price. Sales by representative wholesale firms were approximately 7.5 per cent greater, by value, during May than during April, and approximately 37 per cent greater during May, 1920, than during May, 1919. Approximately 40 per cent of the increase over May, 1919, was due to increases in prices, the proportion of increase due to higher prices in each of the various reporting wholesale lines being as follows: Hardware, 35 per cent; groceries, 40 per cent; dry goods, 45 per cent; and shoes, 65 per cent. As compared with April, prices showed practically no change."

Wholesale trade-Increase in sales in May, 1920, over May, 1919.

|  | Hardware. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dry } \\ \text { goods. } \end{gathered}$ | Groceries. | Total. ${ }^{1}$ | Collec tions. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per cent. | Per cent. | Per cent. | Per cent. |  |
| Los Angeles. | 52.1 |  | 38.8 | 44.6 | Good. |
| Sacramento.. | 43.2 |  | 8.9 | 20.3 | Do. |
| San Francisco. | 56.7 | 55.5 | 31.8 | 38.7 | Do. |
| Portland | 45.1 | 6.9 | 48.6 | 40.6 | Do. |
| Salt Lake City |  |  | 18.0 | 18.4 | Do. |
| Seattle... | 17.4 | 45.6 | 7.2 | 20.2 | Do. |
| Spokane. | 54.3 | 34.7 | 20.6 | 24.7 | Do. |
| Tacoma. | 37.1 |  | 19.5 | 25.9 | Do. |
| District. | 41.1 | 46.9 | 29.6 | 34.0 | Good. |

${ }^{1}$ Including reporting drug and boot and shoe firms.
Note.- Percentages for reporting boot and shoe firms-San Francisco,
15.6 per ceat; district, 14.8 per cent. 15.6 per ceat; district, 14.8 per cent.

## Acceptance Liabilities of Member Banks.

Between June 30, 1919, and May 4, 1920, the date of the latest call for condition reports of all member banks, acceptance liabilities of these banks have shown a continuous increase from 466.6 to 673.8 millions. By far the larger share of the total increase is reported for the national banks, which show an increase for the 10 months of 166.4 millions from about 272 to 438.4 millions. For the trust company and State bank members, notwithstanding the larger gain in membership (264 as against an increase of 205 in the number of national banks), the increase in acceptance liabilities for the same period is much smaller, viz, 40.8 millions, or from 194.6 to 235.4 millions. The New York trust companies fail to show any substantial growth in their acceptance business, some of the leading accepting institutions apparently having reached in 1919 the maximum expansion permitted under existing law, while the national banks in New York City show a further increase in their acceptance liabilities of 76 per cent, is against an increase of 61 per cent shown for all national banks. On June 30 of last year aggregate
acceptance liabilities of New York member banks and trust companies constituted 50 per cent of the total acceptance liabilities of all member banks. On May 4 the proportion had declived to 47 per cent, owing largely to the relative larger growth of acceptance liabilities of the banks in Boston, Cleveland, Chicago, St. Louis, New Orleans, and Minneapolis.

On the corresponding dates Federal Reserve Bank holdings of acceptances purchased in open market were as follows:
 May 4, 1920....................................... $400,602,000$
As stated in an earlier Bulletin (Feb., 1920, p. 158), all but a few millions of the Federal Reserve Banks' holdings of purchased acceptances are composed of bankers' acceptances, while of the latter about 70 per cent is made up of member bank acceptances. During the present year the Federal Reserve Bank holdings of acceptances in addition to bank acceptances purchased include also substantial amounts of acceptances discounted largely at preferential rates. On April 30 the Federal Reserve Banks held a to tal of $\$ 407,247,000$ of purchased bills, of which $\$ 396,859,000$ were bank acceptances. Of the latter, $\$ 270,808,000$, or over 68 per cent, were member bank acceptances. In addition the Reserve Banks held on that date $\$ 48,251,000$ of discounted acceptances, largely member bank paper. Of the total member bank acceptances outstanding about that time, less than one-half were accordingly in the portfolios of the Federal Reserve Banks. On June 30, 1919, out of a total of $\$ 466,586,000$ of member banks' acceptances outstanding, Federal Reserve Bank holdings (including a relatively small amount of discounted acceptances) constituted about 234 millions, or nearly 50 per cent. In addition to member bank acceptances the Federal Reserve Banks at the end of April held $\$ 124,759,000$ of bills accepted by State banks, trust companies, private banking houses, and foreign bank branches and agencies. If it be assumed that the Federal Reserve Bank holdings constitute likewise about 50 per cent of the total nonmember bank acceptances outstanding; the volume of bank acceptances outstanding on May 4 may be estimated at about 925 millions, with an ascertained minimum of 800 millions. About 78 per cent of the bankers' acceptances purchased by the Federal Reserve Banks during the first five months of the present year, as against 72.7 per cent during the whole calendar year 1919, were acceptances based upon foreign trade transactions, and of the latter slightly over 50 per cent were acceptances based upon exports.

Acceptance liabilities of national and other member banks．
［In thousands of dollars．］

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 30, } \\ & 1919 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept. 12, } \\ & 1919 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Nov. } 17 \\ 1919 . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec. } 31, \\ 1919 . \end{gathered}$ | Feb．28， 1920. | $\begin{gathered} \text { May 4, } \\ 1920 . \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| National banks： |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New York． | 107，005 | 128，541 | 149，413 | 178，617 | 192，234 | 188，297 |
| Boston． | 49， 429 | 57，653 | 56，449 | 62， 438 | 60，665 | 60，951 |
| Philadelphi | 18，050 | 22，372 | 18，683 | 21，993 | 23，896 | 25，028 |
| Pittsburgh ． | 5，267 | 6，538 | 5，487 | 6，906 | 9， 672 | 8， 836 |
| Cleveland． | 7，279 | 8，682 | 9，193 | 8，622 | 8，673 | 6，975 |
| Detroit | 3，098 | 2，564 | 2，633 | 3，291 | 2，987 | 3，646 |
| Cincinnati | 3，487 | 4，386 | 3，547 | 3，904 | 3，996 | 3，014 |
| Indianapolis | 1，396 | 2，249 | 2，737 | 3，135 | 3，013 | 2，924 |
| Richmond．． | 2，509 | 3，013 | 6，780 | 6，594 | 5，330 | 3，739 |
| Baltimore． | 2，930 | 6，774 | 3，355 | 4，078 | 5，859 | 4，059 |
| Atlanta． | 731 1,841 | 365 1,740 | 995 3,822 | 1,160 3,579 | 1,702 3,389 | 1,200 4,749 |
| Charleston，S．C． | 821 | 1710 | 1，293 | 825 | 670 | 1，233 |
| Chicago．． | 25，283 | 26， 852 | 24，199 | 28，969 | 32，327 | 35，972 |
| St．Louis | 6，889 | 5，471 | 4，709 | 8，092 | 8，082 | 5，825 |
| Minneapoli | 1，763 | 5，623 | 9，849 | 5，949 | 6，234 | 9，354 |
| Dallas．． | 1，760 | 200 | 4，510 | 2，135 | 1，250 | 1，370 |
| San Francisco | 10，613 | 17，283 | 15，820 | 16，873 | 17，654 | 26，744 |
| Portland，Oreg． | 1，710 | 2，069 | 3，950 | 3，996 | 3，659 | 4，177 |
| Seattle．． | 1，582 | 1，586 | 1，584 | 1，501 | 1，440 | 3，013 |
| All other | 18，592 | 18，555 | 30，102 | 34，982 | 31，937 | 37，324 |
| Total | 272，035 | 323， 226 | 359，110 | 407， 639 | 424，669 | 438，430 |
| State bank and trust company members： |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New York．．．．． | 126， 376 |  | 132，563 | 146，226 |  | 130，798 |
| Buffalo | 1，082 |  | 1，110 | 1，187 |  | 2，680 |
| Boston． | 18，919 |  | 14，508 | 20，642 |  | 24， 812 |
| Providence | 244 |  | 1，144 | 1，447 |  | 1，501 |
| Philadelphia | 450 |  | 750 | 500 |  | 1，375 |
| Pittsburgh． | 1，750 |  | 2，266 | 1，525 |  | 2，600 |
| Clevedand | 3，931 |  | 5，265 | 5，156 |  | 6，735 |
| Detroit． | 1，200 |  | 550 | 1，075 |  | 1，525 |
| Memphis． | 1，180 |  | 1，887 | 1，360 |  | 1，269 |
| Richmond |  |  | 220 | 135 |  | 45 |
| Baltimore | 25 |  |  | 75 |  | 439 |
| Savannah | 631 |  | 209 | 233 |  | 158 |
| Atlanta | 100 |  | 675 | 540 |  | 550 |
| New Orleans． | 4，932 |  | 9，617 | 8,055 |  | 6，600 |
| Chicago | 24， 484 |  | 23， 227 | 30，926 |  | 36，686 |
| St．Lotis．．．．． | 5，590 |  | 6， 865 | 8，144 |  | 9，944 |
| San Franciseo．． |  |  | 555 | 1，067 |  | 1，042 |
| Portland，Oreg． | 447 |  | 996 | 783 |  | 546 |
| Seattle．． | ， 451 |  | 175 | 100 |  |  |
| All other | 2，759 |  | 3，485 | 4，203 |  | 6，107 |
| Total | 194， 551 |  | 206， 567 | 1233，379 |  | 235，422 |
| Total national banks $\qquad$ | 272，035 | 323，226 | 359，110 | 407，639 | 424，659 | 438，430 |
| Total State banks and trust com－ panies． $\qquad$ | $194,551$ |  | －206， 567 | 233，379 |  | 23 |
| Grand total． | 466， $5: 6$ |  | 565，677 | 641，018 |  | 673，852 |

During the 12 months ending June，1920，the market rates on bank acceptances have shown an upward course，as may be seen from the sub－ joined exhibit giving monthly ranges of rates on three months＇bills in the New York and Lon－ don markets．It will be noted that whereas last
summer London rates were decidedly lower than in New York，there has been a change in this relation since October of last year，recent New York rates being slightly lower than the London rates on essentially like paper．

| Date． | New York rates for prime eligible bank ac－ ceptances． | London tates for 3 months＇ bills． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| July， 1919. | 43－43 |  |
| August， 1919. | $4_{4}^{4}-4{ }^{\frac{7}{7}}$ | $3^{3} 76316$ |
| September， 1919 | ${ }^{4}$ | $3^{\frac{1}{2}-3 \frac{1}{4}}$ |
| November，199．．． | ${ }_{4}^{4}-{ }^{4}-4{ }^{\text {P }}$ | $5^{3}-6^{4}$ |
| December， 1919. | $4 \frac{4}{8}-5 \frac{1}{\frac{2}{3}}$ | 5 ${ }^{\text {尔－6 }}$ |
| January， 1920 | 5－5童 | $5-5 \frac{3}{}$ |
| February， 1920. | $5 \frac{1}{2}-5 \frac{7}{3}$ | $5 \frac{11}{16} 50 \frac{7}{7}$ |
| March， 1920 | $6-6 \frac{1}{4}$ | 54145 |
| April， 1920. | $5^{\frac{7}{8}-6 \frac{1}{3}}$ | 51－68 |
| May， 1920. | 6 ${ }^{-62}$ | 㜢－6\％ |
| June， 1920 | $6{ }^{1}-6 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6需－6 |

## Foreign Loans Placed in the United States．

The following statement of foreign Govern－ ment，municipal，and corporate loans placed in the United States and outstanding on July 1， 1920，has been compiled by the Guaranty Trust Co．of New York from the most accurate and complete information available and has been furnished to the Board upon request． The statement brings up to date figures previ－ ously compiled by the same agency and pub－ lished in the Federal Reserve Bulletin for January，1919，on pages 33 and following．

It should be noted that the tabulation does not include subscriptions in the United States to foreign internal loans，as the amounts of such subscriptions are not available．As indi－ cated in the footnotes，no figures are shown for the following issues：（1）Mexican loan of $\$ 25,000,000$（U．S．） 4 per cent bonds（of a total issue of $\$ 40,000,000$ ），due in 1954 ；（2）two Cuban Government loans，one of $\$ 35,000,000$ gold 5 per cent bonds dated March，1904，and due March 1，1944，and one of $\$ 16,500,000$ ex－ ternal $4 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent bonds dated August 2,1909 ， and due August 1，1949．These issues were placed partly in the United States and partly abroad，$\urcorner$ ut the amounts placed in this country are not available．

Summary, by countries, of foreign government. State, and municipal and corporation loans floated in United States and outstanding as of July 1, 1920.

| Country. | Government. | State and municipal. | Corporation. |  |  | Total. | Cash <br> advances and other charges againstcredits established by United States (up to May 11, 1920). | Grand total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Railroad. | Public utility. | Industrial. |  |  |  |
| Canada and Newfoundland. | \$152,500,000 | \$130,425,313 | \$121,328,500 | \$80, 095, 000 | \$39, 899,500 | \$524, 248,313 |  | \$524, 448,313 |
| Mexico.... | 500,000 |  | 128,087,765 |  |  | 128,587,765 |  | 128, 587 , 765 |
| Cuba... | 10,000, 000 |  |  |  |  | 10,000,000 | \$10,000,000 | 20,000,000 |
| $\underset{\text { Panama }}{\text { Santo Domingo.................. }}$ | $2,705,000$ $10,806,000$ |  |  |  |  | $2,705,000$ $10,806,000$ |  | $2,705,000$ $10,806,000$ |
| Argentina....... |  |  | 15,090,000 |  |  | 15,000,000 |  | 15,000,000 |
| Bolivia. | 4,048,000 |  |  |  |  | 4, 048,000 |  | 4,048,000 |
| Crazil. |  | $18,500,000$ 431,000 |  |  |  | 18,500,000 |  | 18,500,000 |
| Great Britain | $772,633,000$ |  |  |  | 15,000,000 | 787,633,000 | 4,277,000,000 | 5,064,633,009 |
| France. | 250,000,000 | 95,000,000 |  |  |  | $345,000,900$ | 2,997, 477,000 |  |
| Germany. | 2,000,000 |  | . |  |  | 2,000, 000 |  | 2, 000,000 |
| Russia......................... | $75,000,000$ $75,000,000$ |  |  |  | 1,000,000 | $75,000,000$ $76,000,000$ | $187,729,000$ $338,745,000$ | $262,729,000$ $414,745,000$ |
| Italy.: | 25,000, 000 |  |  |  |  | 25,000,000 | 1,631,338,000 | 1,656, 338,000 |
| Greece. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 48,236,000 | 48, 236,000 |
| Roumani |  |  |  |  |  |  | $25,000,000$ $26,780,009$ | 25,000,000 |
| Norway. | 5,000,000 |  |  |  |  | 5,000,000 | 26,70,000 | 5,000,000 |
| Switzerland. | 30,000,000 |  |  |  |  | 30,000,000 |  | $30,000,000$ |
| Sweden. | 25,000,000 |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{23,} 0000,000$ |  | 25,000,000 |
| Denmark | 13,000,000 | 15,000,000 |  |  |  | $15,000,000$ $13,000,000$ |  | $15,000,000$ $13,000,000$ |
| Japan. | 102, 552,000 | 5,250,000 |  |  |  | 107, 802,000 |  | 107, 802,000 |
| Australia...... |  |  |  | $1,250,000$ $\cdots \cdots$ |  | 1,250,000 |  | 1,250,000 |
| Liberia. |  |  |  |  |  |  | -50,520,000 | -56,000 |
| Total. | 1,555, 744,000 | 264,606,313 | 264,416,265 | 81,343, 000 | 55, 899,500 | 2,222,011,078 | 9,598,855,000 | 11, 820, 866,078 |

Summary of foreign Government loans placed in United States and outstanding as of July 1, 1920.

| Name of issue. | Interest rate (per cent). | Date of issue. | Maturity. | Date offered in United States. | Original amount issued in United States. | Amount out- <br> standing in United States on July 1, 1920. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Government Loans. north america. | 5555$5 \frac{1}{2}$$5 \frac{1}{2}$ |  | - | Mar., 1916 |  |  |
| Canada: 5 -jear gold bonds. |  | Apr. 1, 1916 | Apr. 1,1921 |  |  |  |
| 10-year gold bonds. |  |  | Apr. 1,1926 | .....do...... | 25,000,000 | $\$ 25,000,000$ $25,000,000$ |
| 15-year gold bonds. |  | Aug. ${ }^{\text {a }}$, 1919 | Apr. 1,1931 <br> Aug.  | July, 1919 | $25,000,000$$60,000,000$ | $25,000,000$60000000 |
| 10 -year gold bonds. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 -year gold notes. |  |  | Aug. 1,1921 |  | 15;000,000 | 15,000, 000 |
| Total....... |  |  |  |  |  | 150, 000, 000 |
| Newfoundland: 20 -year gold bonds.... | $5 \frac{5}{2}$55 | June July 1,1919 | July ${ }^{\text {July }}$ 1,1939 |  | 12,500, 5000 | 2,500,000 |
| Mexico: Cuba: External 10-year treasury |  | July  <br> Feb. 1,1913 <br> 1914  | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { July } \\ \text { Feb. } & 1,1923 \\ 1,1949\end{array}$ | June, 1913 | 500,000 $10,000,000$ | 500,900 $10,000,000$ |
| Total Government loans-North America. |  |  |  |  |  | 163,000,000 |
| central america. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\underset{\text { Panama) }}{\text { Secured serial gold bonds }}$ | 5 | Dec. 1,1915 <br> Nov. 2, 1914 | Dec. 1, 1920, 1925. <br> Nov. 1, 1944 | Mar., 1915 | 1,200,000 | 810,000 |
| Sinking fund 30-year gold bond | 5 |  |  | Various. | 2,250,000 | 1,895,000 |
|  | 5 | 1908 | 1918-1958 | Jan., 1908 | 20,000,000 | $\begin{array}{r} 2,705,000 \\ 10,806,000 \end{array}$ |
| Total Government loans-Central America |  |  |  |  |  | 13,511,000 |
| south america. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bolivia: Margan loan of 1909. |  | Apr. ${ }^{1909} 1,1917$ | Oct. 1,1940 | $\begin{gathered} 1909 \\ \text { June, } 1917 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,500,000 \\ & 2,400,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} +1,800,000 \\ 2,248,000 \end{array}$ |
| Gold loan of 1917.. | ${ }_{6}^{6}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Government loans-South America. |  |  |  |  |  | 4,048,000 |
| EUROPE. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Anglo-French 5 -year ( $\frac{1}{2}$ ). | 515151$5 \frac{1}{2}$5$5 \frac{1}{2}$5 | Oct. 15, 1915 | Oct. 15, 1920 | Oct., 1915 | 250,000,000 | 250, 000,000 |
| United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Nov. 1, 1916 | Nov. 1,1921 | Oct., 1916 | 150,000,000 | 129,046,000 |
| 10-year. |  | Feb. 1,1917 Nov. 1,1919 | Feb. 1,1937 | Feb., 1919 | $250,000,000$ | 143,587,000 |
| 3-year.. |  |  | Nov. 1, 1922 |  |  | 250,000,000 |
| Total. |  |  |  |  |  | 772,633,000 |

Summary of foreign Government loans placed in United States and outstanding as of July 1, 1920-..Continued.

| Name of issue. | Interest rate (per cent). | Date of issue. | Maturity. | Date offered in United states. | Original amount issued in United States. | A mount outstanding in United States on July 1 , 1920. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EUROPE-continued. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| France: Anglo-French 5-year (1) | 5 | Oct. 15,1915 | Oct. 15,1920 | Oct., 1915 | \$250,000,000 | \$250,000,000 |
| Belgium: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5-year notes. | 6 | Jan. 1,1920 | Jan. 1,1925 | Jan., 1920 |  |  |
| 1-year notes. | 6 | - ${ }^{\text {Jan }}$ do....... | Jan. 1,1921 | Ja..do.... | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 |
| 25-Fear external sinking fund gold loan | $7 \frac{1}{2}$ | June 1,1920 | June 1,1945 | June, 1920 | 50,000,000 | 50,000,000 |
| Total. |  |  |  |  |  | 75,000,000 |
| Germany: ${ }^{5} 1$-year discount notes. | 6 | Apr. 1,1916 | Apr. 1,1917 | June, 1916 | 10,000,000 | 12,000, 000 |
| Norway: 7-year gold. . . . . . . . . . | 6 | Feb. 1, 1916 | Feb. 1, 1923 | Jan., 1916 | 5,000,000 | 5,000, 000 |
| Sweden: 20 -year gold | 6 | June 15, 1919 | June 15, 1939 | June, 1919 | 25,000, 000 | 25,000,000 |
| Russia: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Imperial Government 3-year credit (in default). | $6 \frac{1}{2}$ | June 18, 1916 | June 18, 1919 | June, 1916 | 50,000,000 | 50,000,000 |
| 5-year gold treasury bonds (interest defaulted Dec. 1, 1919). | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | Dec. 1,1916 | Dec. 1, 1921 | Nov., 1916 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 |
| Total. |  |  |  |  |  | 75,000,000 |
| Italy: Royal treasury 5-year Switzerland: 10 -year gold... | ${ }_{5}^{61}$ | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Feb. } & 1,1920 \\ \text { Aug. } & 1,1919\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Feb. } \\ \text { Aug. } & 1,1925 \\ 1,1929\end{array}$ | Feb., 1920 July, 1919 | $25,000,000$ $30,000,000$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25,000,000 \\ & 30,000,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total Government loans-Europe |  |  |  |  |  | 1,259,633,000 |
| China: ASIA. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chinese Government Hukuang Ry. sinking fund bonds... | 5 | June 15, 1911 | June 15, 1951 | June, 1911 | 7,500,000 | $7,500,000$ |
| 2-year secured gold notes.................................... | 6 | Nov. 1,1919 | Nov. 1,1921 | Oct., 1919 | 5,500,000 | $5,500,000$ |
| Total. |  |  |  |  |  | 13,000,000 |
| Japan: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Japanese Government sterling series | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | Mar. 28, 1905 | Feb. 15, 1925 | Mar., 1905 | 75,000, 000 | ${ }^{6} 50,998,000$ |
| Japanese Government second series. | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | July 8,1905 | July 10,1925 | July, 1905 | $50,000,000$ | ${ }^{6} 35,690,000$ |
| Sterling loan of 1905, second series. | 4 | 1905 | Jan. 1,1931 | Nov., 1905 | 16, 250,000 | ${ }^{6} 15,864,000$ |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | 102,552,000 |
| Total Government loans-Asia |  |  |  |  |  | 115, 552,000 |
| Total all Government loans |  |  |  |  |  | 1,555, 744,000 |
| Municipal Loans. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canada: North america. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Province of Quebec, 5-year. | 6 | 1920 | 1925 | A pr., 1920 | 3,500,000 | 3,500,000 |
| Province of Ontario, 5 -year | 6 | 1920 | 1925 | Apr.do...... | 6, 800,000 | 6, 800, 000 |
| Tamilton, Ontario...... | 5 | 1920 |  | - | 596,768 | 596,763 |
| Province of Ontario, 5-year | 6 | 1920 | 1925 | Mar., 1920 | 5,000,000 | 5,000, 000 |
| Province of Manitoba, 5-year | 6 | 1929 | 1925 | .....do...... | 2,850,000 | 2,850,000 |
| Greater Winnipeg Water Dis., 10-year | 6 | 1920 | 1930 | .....do...... | 2,000,000 | 2,000,000 |
| Greater Vancouver, British Columbia, 3-year im | 5 | 1920 | 1923 | ...do.. | 510,009 | 510,000 |
| Province of Manitoba, 10-year. | 6 | 1923 | 1930 | . do. | 2,498,000 | 2,498,000 |
| Vancouver, British Columbia, treasury note. | 6 | 1919 | 1920-1924 | Jan., 1919 | 2, 6000000 | 2,300,900 |
| Province of Ontario, 3-year. | 5 | 1919 | 1922 |  | 3,000,000 | 3,090,000 |
| Province of Ontario, 3-year | 5 | 1919 | 1922 | June, 1919 | 4,000,000 | 4,000, 000 |
| Province of New Brunswick, 3-year | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 1919 | 1922 | .....do...... | 1,000, 000 | 1,000,000 |
| Calgary, Alberta, treasury notes... | 6 | 1919 | 1920-1924 | A...do..... | 1,500, 000 | 1,350,000 |
| Province of Ontario............ | $5 \frac{2}{2}$ | 1919 | 1924 $1924-1938$ | Aug. 1919 | 3,000, 000 | 3,000,000 |
| Toronto, Ontario Toronto Harbor Commission | 5 4. | 1919 1918 | 1924-1938 1953. | Nov., 1919 <br> Dec., 1918 | $2,632,000$ $1,000,000$ | 2,632,000 1,000000 |
| Greater Winnipeg Water Dis., 5-year | 5 | 1918 | 1923 | Feb., 1918 | 2,000,000 | 2,000,000 |
| Province of Manitoba, 5-year.. | 5 | 1917 | 1922 | A pr., 1917 | 1,500, 000 | 1,500, 000 |
| Province of Saskatchewan, 15 -year | 5 | 1917 | 1932 | Sept., 1917 | 500, 000 | 500, 000 |
| Quebec, Quebec, 10-year . . . . . . | 5 | 1917 | 1927 | Jan., 1917 | 780, 000 | 780,000 |
| North Vanconver, British Columbia, 30-year | 6 | 1917 | 1947 | Mar., 1917 | 47, 440 | 47,440 |
| North Vancouver, British Columbia, 50 -year | 5 | 1917 | 1967 | $\ldots$...do..... | 73,000 $1,500,000$ | $\begin{array}{r}73,000 \\ \hline \text { 1,50, } 000\end{array}$ |
| Toronto Harbor Commission, 40-year | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | 1917 | 1957 | Apr. 1917 | 1, 500, 000 | 1,500, 000 |
| Sault Ste. Marie, Ontario..... | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 1917 | 1932-1967 | -..do..... | 63, 200 | 63,200 80 |
| Trail, British Columbia, 20-year..... | 6 | 1917 | 1937 | June, 1917 | $\begin{array}{r}80,000 \\ \hline 500,000\end{array}$ | 80,000 $1,500,000$ |
| Greater Winnipeg Water Dis., 5 -year . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 5 | 1917 | ${ }_{1922}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}\text { July, } & 1917 \\ \text { Aus., } & 1917\end{array}$ | 1, 500,000 | 1, 900,000 |
| London, Ontario (city), 5-year .... Water and Light, 15- | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 1917 | 1922 | Aug., 1917 | 760,000 | -100,000 |
| year.................................................. | 6 | 1917 | 1932 | Nov. 1917 | 30,000 | 30,000 |
| Province of Nova Scotia, 10 -year debenture. | 5 | 1916 | 1926 | Jan., 1916 | 500,000 | 500,000 |
| Province of British Columbia, 25-year... | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | 1916 | 1941 | Mar, 1916 | 1,000, 000 | 1,000, 000 |
| Province of Saskatchewan, 5 and 10 -vear | 5 | 1915 | 1921-1926 | Apr., 1916 | 1,000, 000 | 1,000,000 |
| Province of Aiberta, 10 -year. | 5 | 1916 | 1926 | Ap..do...... | 1,650, 000 | 1,650,000 |
| Province of Ontario, 10 -year. | 5 | 1916 | 1925 | May, 1916 | 4,000, 000 | 4,000,000 |
| Province of Nova Scotia, 10-year | 5 | 1916 | 1926 | June, 1916 | 1,000, 600 | 1,000,000 |
| Province of Quebec, 10-vear. | 5 | 1915 | 1926 | .....do...... | 4,000,000 | 4,000,000 |
| Province of British Columbia, 10 -year | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | 1916 | 1926 | $\ldots$...do...... | 2,000,000 | 2,000,000 |
| Province of Ontario, 10-year. | 5 | 1916 | 1926 | Dec., 1916 | 2,000,000 | 2,000,000 |
| Province of Saskatchewan, 15-year | 5 | 1916 | ${ }_{1919} 1931$ | - ...do...... | 500,000 | 599,000 |
| London, Ontario, 3-10-year. | 5 6 | 1916 | 1919-1926 | Jan. 1916 | 555,492 $1,348,750$ | 486,006 $1,348,750$ |
| Edmonton, Alberta, 5-year............ | 6 6 | 1916 | 1921 | Fob. 1916 | $1,348,750$ 51,500 | $1,348,750$ 51,500 |
| Revelstoke, British Columbia, 15 -year Revelstoke, British Columbia, 20-year | ${ }_{5}^{6}$ | 1916 1916 | 1931 1936 | Feb. 1916 | 51,500 13,000 | 51,500 13,000 |

Summary of foreign Government loans placed in United States and outstanding as of July 1, 1920-Continued.

| Name of issue. | Interest rate (per cent). | Date of issue. | Maturity. | Date ofered in United States. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Original } \\ & \text { amount is- } \\ & \text { sued in } \\ & \text { United } \\ & \text { States. } \end{aligned}$ | A mount outstanding in United States on July 1. 1920. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Municipal Loans-Continued. <br> north america-continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canada-Continued. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Burnaby, British Columbia, 20-year | 6 | 1916 | 1936 | Feb., 1916 | \$800,000 | \$800,000 |
| Maisonneuve, Quebec, 10-year - . 10.15 | 6 | 1916 | 1926 | Mar., 1916 | 355,000 | 355,000 |
| Prince George, British Columbia, 10-15 yea Medicine Hat, Alberta.................... | ${ }_{5}^{6}$ | 1916 1916 | 1926-1931 | . do.... | 140,000 100,000 | 149,000 100,000 |
| Sault Ste. Marie, Ontario | 51,6 | 1916 | 1921-1946 | Apr., 1916 | 83,306 | 100,000 83,306 |
| Prince Rupert, British Columbia, 30 | , | 1916 | 1946 | .....do...... | 1,600,000 | 1,600,000 |
| Quebec, Quebee, 5 -year. | 5 | 1916 | 1921 | $\ldots \mathrm{M}$. do...in | 475,000 | 475,000 |
| Montreal, Quebec, 20 -year | 5 | 1916 | ${ }_{1919-1948}$ | Mav, 1916 | 1,850,000 | 1,850,000 |
| Toronto, Ontario, serial 1-32 ye | $\stackrel{5}{41}$ | 1916 1916 | ${ }_{1960} 191948$ | Mar., 1916 | $3,669,000$ 107,500 | $3,150,000$ 107,500 |
| Calgary, Alberta, $20-30$ year. | ${ }_{5}$ | 1916 | 1936-1946 | .....do...... | 1,568, 806 | 1,568, 806 |
| Ottawa, Ontario, 20-30 vear | 5 | 1916 | 1936-1946 | do | 1, 032,517 | 1,032,517 |
| Halifax, Nova Scotia, 30-vear | 5 | 1916 | 1946 | $\cdots$ | 460, 420 | 460,420 |
| Irquois Fall, Ontario, 9-15 vear, | ${ }_{5}^{6}$ | 1916 | 1925-1931 | Julv, 1917 | 40, 000 | 40,000 |
| Greater Winnipeg Water Dis., 5-year Saskatchewan Drainings District | 5 | 1916 | 1921 | July, 1916 | 1,500,000 | 1,500, 000 |
| Humboldt, Saskatchewan........ | 6 | 1916 | 1946 | Aug. 1910 | 91,200 67,300 | -97, ${ }_{6} \mathbf{3 0 0}$ |
| Nelson. British Columbia, $20-\mathrm{T}$ | 5 | 1916 | 1936 | Aur | 30, 100 | 30,000 |
| Parish St. Pierre Claver, Manit | 5 | 1916 | 1926 | Sept. 1916 | 10n',000 | 100, 000 |
| Toronto, Ontario |  | 1916 | 19191936 | Nov. 1916 | 2,594,000 | 1,810,000 |
| Toronto Harbor Commission, 40-year | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | 1916 | 1956 | ....do..... | 1,500, 000 | 1,500, 000 |
| Montreal, Quebec, 40-year | 5 5 | 1916 1916 | 1956 1951 |  | 3, 8180,000 | 3, 800, 000 |
| Halifax, Nora Scotia. ${ }_{\text {Alberta }}$ (University of ioyear (principal and interest | 5 | 1916 | 1951 | Dec. 1916 | 26.7,000 | 265,000 |
| guaranteed by Province of Alberta) | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | 1915 | 1925 | Jan. 1915 | 1,000, 000 | 1,000,000 |
| Province of Ontario, 10 -year | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | 1915 | 1925 | May, 1915 | 3,000.000 | $3,000,000$ |
| Province of Alberta, 10-year Province of Saskatchewan, $4-10$ | 5 | 1915 | 1925 $1919-1925$ | Oct. do 1915 | $3.500,000$ 1,200000 | $3,500,000$ 720,000 |
| Province of British Columbia, 10-y | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | 1915 | 1925 | Nov., 1915 | 2,630, 000 | 2,630,000 |
| Province of New Brunswick, 10 -year | 5 | 1915 | 1925 | ....do | 470,000 | 470,000 |
| Province of Saskatchewan, 10-vear. | 5 | 1915 | 1925 |  | 1,000, 000 | 1,000,000 |
| Province of New Brunswick, 10-ye | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | 1915 | 1923 | Dec. 1915 | 1,700, 000 | 1,70n,000 |
| Province of Nova Scotia, 10-year | 5 | 1915 | 1925 | . do | 50n, 000 | 500,000 |
| Regina, Saskatchewan. | 5 | 1915 | 1928-1929 | Jan., 1915 | 340,000 | 340,000 |
| Sault Ste. Marie, 30-year. | 5 | 1915 | 1945 | Feb. 1915 | 500,000 81,000 | 500,000 |
| Toronto, Ontario, 33-year-... Port Coquitan, British Colum | 5 5 | 1915 | 1948 | -....do | $\begin{array}{r}81,000 \\ 100 \% \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 81,000 100,000 |
| Calgary School Board, 40 -vear. | 5 | 1915 | 1955 | Mar. 1915 | 175, 000 | 175,000 |
| Hochelaga School Commission, Qucbec | 5 | 1915 | 1943 | . do | 375,000 | 375, 090 |
| North Vancouver, British Columbia, 10 |  | 1915 | 1925 | Apr. 1915 | 19, 825 | 19,825 |
| Toronto, Ontario | 412 | 1915 | 945-1956 | - | 2,500,000 | 2,500,000 |
| Toronto, Ontario, 33-year | 5 | 1915 | 1948 | July, 1915 | . 40,000 | 40, 000 |
| Toronto, Ontario, 10-year.. | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | 1915 | ${ }_{10295}^{1925}$ | Sept, 1915 | 2,500, 000 | 2,500, 000 |
| Ottawa, Ontario, 10-30-year North Vancouver, British Cotumb | 5 6 | 1915 | ${ }_{1935}^{1925-1945}$ | ....do- | $1,556,342$ 30,000 | $1,556,3+2$ 30,000 |
| Toronto Harbor Commission, 40-year. | $4{ }^{2}$ | 1915 | 1955 | Oct., 195 | 1,000, 0 (10) | 1,000, 000 |
| Victoria, British Columbia, 10-year | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | 1915 | 1925 | . do | 289,000 | 289,000 |
| Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, 10-30 year | 5 | 1915 | 1925-1945 | d | 300,000 | 3000000 |
| Montreal, Quebec, 1-year. | 5 | 1915 | 1930 | Nov., 1915 | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 |
| Montreal Catholic Schools, 30-j | 5 | 1915 | 1945 | Dec., 1915 | 450,000 | 450,000 |
| Province of Alberta, 10 -year | 4 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1914 | 1924 | Feb. 1914 | 2,400,000 | 2, 400, 000 |
| Edmonton, Alberta School Dis., 40-year............ | 5 | 1914 | 1954 |  | -850, 000 |  |
| Edmonton, Alberta, Electric Light \& Power, 40-ye | 5 | 1914 1913 | 1954 1923 | Nov. ${ }^{\text {No. }} 1913$ | 97,000 3,6000000 | 97,000 $3,600,000$ |
| Province of Alberta, 1-year...... | ${ }_{4}^{41}$ | 1913 | 1945 | Nov. 1913 | 3, 2909750 | 3, 2909,750 |
| Toronto, Ontario (Electric Iight \& Power) | 4 | 1913 | 1948 | .....do...... | 4,406,633 | 4, 406,633 |
| Toronto, Ontario, Harbor, 40-year -.... | 42 | 1913 | 1953 | $\cdots$...do.... | 1,500,000 | 1,500, 000 |
| Bassane, Alberta, Water \& Sewer, 30-year | 5 | 1912 | 1942 | Sept., 1912 | 150,000 | 150,000 |
| Total Canadian provincial and municipal loans |  |  |  |  |  | 130,425,313 |
| Other than Canadian: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, ext. gold | 6 | May 1,1919 | 1922-1931 | May, 1919 | 10,000,000 | 10,000,009 |
| City of Sao Paulo, Brazil, ext. gold ...................... | 6 | Nov. 1,1919 | Nov. 1,1943 | Oct., 1919 | 8,500,000 | 8,500,000 |
| Water Co. of Valparaiso (water board loan guaranteed by Republic of Chile) | 6 | Dec. 8,1915 | Aug. 9,1939 |  | 471,000 | 431,000 |
| City of Paris, 5 -year gold | 6 | Oct. 1,1916 | Oct. 15,1921 | ....do. | 50,000,000 | 50,000,000 |
| City of Bordeaux, 15-year g | 6 | Nov. 1,1919 | Nov. 1, 1934 | Nov., 1919 | 15,000,000 | 15,000,000 |
| City of Lyon, 15 -year gold | ${ }_{6}^{6}$ |  | - do . | ...do.... | ${ }^{15,000}, 000$ | 15,000,000 |
| City of Marseille, 15-year gold |  |  |  |  | 15,000,000 | $15,000,000$ |
| City of Copenhagen (Denmark) ext. gold | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | July 1,1919 | July 1,1944 | Aug., 1919 | 15,000,000 | 15,000,000 |
| City of Tokio (Japan) loan of 1912. | 5 | Feb. 22,1912 | Sept. 1,1952 | Feb., 1912 | 10,000,000 | ${ }^{15,250,000}$ |
| Total municipal loans except Canadian |  |  |  |  |  | 134, 181,000 |
| Total, all municipal loans. |  |  |  |  |  | 264,606,313 |
| Rallroad Loans. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canada: north america. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Series G-1. | $4 \frac{4}{2}$ | Mar. 1,1913 | 1915-1923 | May, 1913 | 1,000, 1000 | 3300000 |
| ${ }_{\text {Series }}^{\text {Series K-1 }}$ | 5 | Dec. ${ }_{\text {Oct. }}^{1,1913} 11914$ | 1914-1923 | Dec., 1913 | \% 750,000 | 310,000 |
| Series L-1................................................ | ${ }_{5}$ | Aug. 1,1916 | 1917-1926 | Aug., 1916 | 1,250,000 | 854, 000 |

Summary of foreign Government loans placed in United States and outstanding as of July 1, 1920—Continued.

| Name of issue. | Interest rate (per cent). | Date of issue. | Maturity. | Date o\%ered in United States. | Original amount issued in United states. | Amount outstanding in United States on July 1 , 1920. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Railroad Loans-Continued. north america-continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {ceries }}^{\text {ceries }} \mathrm{A}$. | 6 | Jan. ${ }^{\text {July }}$ 1,1919 | 1919-1929 | Jan., 1919 | 8,500, 000 | $83,500,000$ $6,375,000$ |
| Series C | 6 | May 1,1919 | 1919-1929 | May, 1919 | 7,500,000 | 6, 750,000 |
| Series D | 6 | Dec. 1,1919 | 1920-1929 | Jan., 1920 | 7,500,000 | 7,125,000 |
| Miscellaneous equipments (various) 21 -year notes............... |  |  |  |  |  | 3, 132,500 |
| ${ }^{21}$ 2-year notes.................... | ${ }_{6}^{6}$ | Aug. 1, 1919 | Feb. 1,1922 Aug. 1,1924 | July, 1919 | 10,000, 000 | 10,000,000 |
| 3-year notes. | ${ }_{5}^{6}$ | Dec. 1,1919 | Dug. 11,1922 | Mar., 1920 | 6,000,000 | 6,000,000 |
| 5-year notes. | ${ }^{5}$ | - . do........ | Dec. 1,1924 | …do.... | 6,000,000 | 6,000,000 |
| Canadian National Rys. 15 -year equip |  | May 1,1920 | May 1,1935 | Apr., 1920 | $15,000,000$ | 15,000,000 |
| Grand Trunk Ry. Co. of Canada- |  | May 1,1912 | 1913-1922 | June, 1912 | 3,940,000 | 788,000 |
| Equipment B | $4 \frac{12}{2}$ | Nov. 1,1912 | 1913-1922 | Nov., 1912 | 3,360,000 | 880,000 |
| Equipment C | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | Nov. 1,1913 | 1914-1923 | Nov., 1913 | $\stackrel{2}{2} 250,000$ | 784,000 |
| Equipment D................................... |  | Augr 1, 1917 | 1918-1927 | Aug.: 1917 | 2, 500,000 | 1,875, 000 |
| Edmonton, I)un Cexan \& British Columbia Ry. Co. $30-$ year first mortzage. | 412 | Aug. 11, 1916 | Oct. 22,1944 | July, 1916 | 2, 420,000 | 2,420, 000 |
| Toronto, Hamilton \& Buffalo Ry.- First mortgage....................... |  | June 1,1896 | June 1,1943 | Mar. 1899 | 3,280,000 |  |
| Consolidated. | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | Aug. 1,1916 | Aug. 1,1966 | 1916 | $2,000,000$ | 2,000, 000 |
| Equipment A |  | Feb. 1,1913 | 1914-1923 | Jan., 1913 | 1,500,000 | 2,450, 000 |
| Canadian l'acific Ry. Co. equipment to | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | Jan. 1,1915 | 1915-1928 | Jan., 1915 | 12,690,000 | 7,520, 000 |
| Equipment Trust Certificates, 1920 | 6 | Apr. 1, 1920 | 1920-1932 | Mar., 1920 | 12, 000, 0000 | 12,000,000 |
| Canada southern Ry. Co. Consolidated Mortcage | 5 | Oct. 1,1912 | Oct. 1,1962 | Dec., 1912 | 22,500,000 | 22, 500,000 |
| Total Canadian railroad loan |  |  |  |  |  | 121,328,500 |
| Mexico: ${ }^{7}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yera Cruz \& Pacific R. R. first mortgage | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | July 1,1904 | July 1,1934 | 1904 | 7,000,000 | 7,000,000 |
| Pan-American R. R. first mortuage. National R. R. of Mexico prior lien. | ${ }_{4}{ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ |  | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Jan. } & 1,1931 \\ \text { Oct. } & 1,1926\end{array}$ | 1906 1902 | $1,000,000$ $28,000,000$ | 1,000,000 |
| National Rys. of Mexico- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{2}$-year second notes | ${ }_{4}^{6}$ | June 2, 1913 | June 1,19158 | June, 1913 | $26,730,000$ 50 | 26,730,000 |
| General mortgage | 1 | Oct. 1,1907 | Oct. 1,1977 | 1908 | 50,747,925 | 50,747,925 |
|  |  |  |  | 1908 | 13,750,000 | 13,750, 000 |
|  |  |  |  | 1897 | 4,505,000 | 4,206,000 |
| 3 -year notes. | 6 | Jan. 1,1914 | Jan. 1,1917 ${ }^{8}$ | 1914 | 1, 653, 750 | 1,653,750 |
| Total Mexican railroad loans |  |  |  |  |  | 128,087,765 |
| Total railroad loans of North A merica |  |  |  |  |  | 249,416, 265 |
| south america. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Argentina: Central Argentina Ry. Co. 10-year notes | 6 | Feb. 1,1917 | Feb. 1,1927 | Mar., 1917 | 15,000,000 | 15,000, 000 |
| Total all railroad loans |  |  |  |  |  | 264, 416,265 |
| Industrial loans. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canada: north anerica. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Abitibi Power \& Paper (Ltd.) first mortgage. | 6 | Feh. 1,1914 | 1917-1934 | Various. | 4,754,000 | 4,399,000 |
| Lake Superior Corporation (Inc.) noncumulative. | 5 | Oct. 1,1904 | Oct. 1, 1924 | 1904 | 3,000, 010 | 3,000,000 |
| Sherwin-Williams Co. of Canada (Ltd.) first and | ${ }_{6}^{6}$ | Juty 1,1911 |  | Feb. 1912 | 1, 21000000 | 1,125,000 |
| Canadian Car \& Foundry co. first mortgage | ${ }^{6}$ | Dec. 1, 1909 | Dec. 1, 1939 | Jan., 1910 | 6,100,000 | 5,000,000 |
| Dominion Coal Co. first mortgage................ | 5 | May  <br> Mar. 1,1905 <br> 1917  | $\mathrm{May}_{\text {1919-1927 }}$ | Apr., 1905 Mar.' 1917 | $7,000,000$ 600,000 | $5,700,000$ 470,000 |
| Canada West Coast Navigation Co. first mortgage Wm. A. Rogers (Ltd.) first mortgage.......... | 6 | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Mar. } & 1,1917 \\ \text { Feb. } & 1,1917 \\ \end{array}$ | -1919-1927 | Mar. 1917 | $\begin{aligned} & 600,000 \\ & 600,000 \end{aligned}$ | 470,000 530,000 |
| St. Maurice Paper Co. (Ltd.) first mortgage | 6 | Jan. 1,1916 | Jan. 1,1946 | July, 1917 | 1,250,000 | 1,259, 000 |
| Smart Woods (Ltd.) first mortgage serial. | 6 | Aug. 1,1916 | 1919-1931 | July, 1916 | 1,500,000 | ${ }^{410,000}$ |
| Canadian Northern Coal \& Ore Dock Co. 20-year | 5 | Jan. 1, 1916 | Jan. 1, 1936 |  | 1,375.000 | 1,337,500 |
| Geo. Lane \& Co. (Ltd.) Calgary first mortgage. | 6 | $\text { Nov. }^{1916} 1,1916$ | $\begin{gathered} 1931 \\ 1919-1926 \end{gathered}$ | Oet., 1916 | 300,000 650,000 | 300,000 455,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7 | Nov. 1,1918 | 1919-1921 | Nov. 1918 | -650,000 | -250,000 |
| Nova Scotia Transportation Co. (S. S. Quesnoy) first | 7 | …do......1 | May 1,1921 | ....do . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 650,000 | 250,000 |
| Riordan Pulp \& Paper general mortgage... | 6 | Jan. 1,1919 | Jan. 1,1929 | Jan., 1919 | 4, 000,0009 | 4,000,000 |
| Spanish River Pulp \& Paper Mills notes...... | 6 7 | Sept. Apr. 1, 1,1929 | $\begin{aligned} & 1920-1929 \\ & \text { Apr. } 1,1930 \end{aligned}$ | Sept. 1919 | $3,500,000$ $2,000,000$ | $3,500,000$ $2,000,000$ |
| Canadian Western Steamships (Ltd.) first mortgage marine. | 7 | Jan. 15, 1920 | ${ }_{\text {Apr }}^{\text {1921-1925 }}$ | Apr. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ 1920.... | 2,000,000 | 2,000,000 |
| Total Canadian industriai loans. |  |  |  |  |  | 39,899,500 |
| europe. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Great Britain: Anglo-American Oil Co. (Ltd.) 5-year notes. Belgium: Minerva Motors serial notes. | ${ }_{6}^{7 \frac{1}{2}}$ | Apr. ${ }_{192} 1,1920$ | $\underset{1921-1925}{\text { Apr. }}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { Apr., } & 1920 \\ \text { Mar., } & 1920 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15,000,090 \\ 1,000,00 \mathrm{C} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15,000,000 \\ 1,000,000 \end{array}$ |
| Total European industrial loans. |  |  |  |  |  | 16,000,000 |
| Total all industrial loans. |  |  |  |  |  | 55,899,500 |

Summary of foreign Government loans placed in United States and outstanding as of July 1, 1920-Continued.

| Name of issue. | Interest rate (per cent). | Date of issue. | Maturity. | Date offered in United States. | Original amount issued in United States. | Amount outstanding in United States on July 1, 1920. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Public Utility Loans. north america. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canada: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bell Telephone Co. of Canada 5 -year bonds.............. | 7 | Apr. 1,1920 | Apr. 1,1925 | Mar., 1923 | \$5,500,000 | \$5,500,000 |
| Shawinigan Water \& Power Co. second convertible notes. | $7 \frac{1}{2}$ | July 1, 1920 | July 1, 1926 | June, 1920 | 4,000,000 | 4,000, 000 |
| Toronto RV. 2 -year notes....... | 6 | Dec. 1,1918 | Dec. 1,1929 | Dec., 1918 | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 |
| Montreal Tramways Co . first and refunded | 5 | July 1,1911 | July 1, 1941 | 1911-1913 | 16,335,000 | 16,335,000 |
| Montreal Tramways \& Power Co. secured bonds | $6 \frac{1}{2}$ | Mar. 1,1919 | Mar. 1,1924 | Mar., 1919 | 7,300,000 | 7,300,000 |
| New Brunswick Power first mortgage. |  | Mar. 1, 1917 | Mar. 1,1937 | Mar., 1917 | 1,225,000 | 1,225,000 |
| Nova Scotia Tramways \& Power first mortgag | 5 | Dec. 1,1916 | Dec. 1,1946 | Jan., 1917 | $2,250,000$ | 2,250,000 |
| Cape Breton Electric Co. (Ltd.) first mortgage | 5 | Jan. 1,1902 | Jan. 1,1932 | Jan., 1913 | 1,096,000 | 1,096,000 |
| Toronto Electric Light Co. 3-year notes. | ${ }^{6}$ | July 1, 1919 | July 1.1922 | July, 1919 | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 |
| Dominion Power \& Transmission Co. first mortgage....- | 5 | Apr. 1, 1907 | 1920-1932 |  | 1,650,000 | 1,650,000 |
| Shawinigan Water \& Power Co. first consolidated......... | 5 | Jan. 1,1904 | Jan. 1,1934 | Арг., 1904 | 4,382, 500 | 4,270,000 |
| Winnipeg Electric Ry. |  | Jan. 2,1905 | Jan. 2,1935 |  | 4,000,000 |  |
| 2-year notes.... | 6 | Jan. 15,1919 | Jan. 15, 1921 | Dec., 1918 | 4,750,000 | $4,000,000$ 750,000 |
| Bell Telephone Co. of Canada first mortgage | 5 | Apr. 1,1895 | Apr. 1.1925 | Various. | 7,650,000 | 7,650,000 |
| Ontario Power Co. of Niagara Falls- |  |  |  |  |  | , |
| First mortgage | 5 | Feb. 2,1903 | Feb. 1,1943 | Dec., 1904 | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 |
| Debenture... | 6 | June 30.1906 | July 1,1921 | May, 1911 | 2,300,000 | 2,300,000 |
| Ontario Transmission Co. (Ltd.) first mortgage | 5 | Aug. 16.1905 | May 1,1945 | Nov, 1906 | 1,805,000 | 1,574.000 |
| Montreal Light, Heat \& Power Co. first and coll | $4 \frac{1}{3}$ | Jan. 1.1902 | Jan. 1,1932 | 1902 | 2.500,000 | 2,500.000 |
| Montreal (Lachine Power Co.) sinking fund bonds............. | 5 | Apr. 1,1903 | Apr. 1,1933 | 1903 | 3,572,000 | 3,045.000 |
| Cedar Rapids Manufacturing \& Power Co. 40-year |  | Jan. 17.1903 | Jan. 1,1953 | Feb., 1916 | 850.000 | 850.000 |
| Lawrentide Power Co. 30-year fist mortgage | 5 | Jan. 1.1916 | Jan. -, 1946 | Aug., 1916 | 2.250 .000 | 2,250,000 |
| United Gas \& Fuel Co. 5-year first mortgage. | 6 | July 1,1918 | July 1.1923 | July, 1918 | 1.050,000 | 1.050,000 |
| Total public utlity loans of North Americ |  |  |  |  |  | 80,095,000 |
|  | 6 | Mar. 1.1917 | Mar. 1.1922 | Mar., 1917 | 1,250,000 | 1,250,000 |
| Total all public utility loans. |  |  |  |  |  | 81,345,000 |
| Total all corporate loans |  |  |  |  |  | 401,660, 765 |
| Grand total all loans (Government, municipal, and corporation) |  |  |  |  |  | 2,222,011,078 |

[^1]
## Recent Tax Legislation Affecting Foreign Banks in Spain.

## [From report by Chester L. Jones, commercial attache.]

Recent legislation affecting foreign enterprises in Spain is in line with the widespread enthusiasm for the protection of national industries and the policy of freeing the key industries of the country from foreign influence and control, as shown, for example, in the reports of the Commission for the Protection of National Production. Advocacy of such legislation, therefore, secures a favorable political hearing even in circles not directly connected with banking interests. Strong support is given by practically the entire Spanish banking community.
The provisions of the new law relating to taxation are published in the Gaceta de Madrid,

April 30, 1920, under date of April 29, 1920, pages 384-385. There follows a free translation of the paragraphs of greatest interest to foreign banks:
Provision 9. Subject to taxation under this tariff shall be-
A. In the case of Spanish enterprises and foreign enterprises which have all of their business in the Kingdom, the total of their profits and in appropriate cases of the capital of the enterprises; and
B. In case of foreign enterprises which carry on business in the Kingdom and outside of it, a proportionate part of the profits and in appropriate cases, of the capital, corresponding to the amount assigned to the business of the enterprise in the Kingdom. This amount can not be in any case less than one-tenth, and its determination lies within the competence of the Jurado de Utilidades.
Provision 10. Notwithstanding what is stated in the preceding provision, paragraph B, the minimum payment of the capital of foreign banks established in Spain shall be based on the sum of the following items:
(a) One per thousand of the total capital of the enterprises; and
(b) Two per thousand on the part of said capital assigned to its business in Spain estimated in the form heretofore provided.

The authorization contained in the final paragraph of article 3 of the law of the 29 th of December, 1910, shall remain in force. ${ }^{1}$

Provision 13. The arrangements now in force relative to the obligation to declare the basis for taxation and the amount of turnover and those which regulate the power of the administration to prove the exactness of the declaration shall extend to all the enterprises subject to the obligation of contributing under this tariff.

In case of the nonfulfillment of the obligation to declare and in those where resistance, excuse, or refusal is made to a legitimate demand, by the employees of the administration charged with verifying the amounts, the estimate of the proper basis for taxation shall lie in the competence of the Jurado de Utilidades which may reserve in such cases the grounds of its conclusion. In practice in such estimates there shall be taken into account that the negligence or bad faith of those contributing thereto ought not to prejudice the interests of the treasury.

Article 6. The Jurado de Utilidades shall be constituted in the ministry of the treasury and shall be formed of the chief directors of "Contribuciones y del Timbre del Estado," two bankers, managers, or directors of banks which possess Spanish nationality, designated by the council of ministers on proposal of the treasury department, and two officers of the ministry of the treasury, designated by the minister.

After having made the necessary investigation in each case the Jurado de Utilidades shall render its decisions according to the promptings of conscience and by majority vote. In case of a tie the president shall decide.

The competence of the Jurado de Utilidades outlined in the first provision of article 2 and in the second, fifth, eighth, ninth, and thirteenth provisions of article 3 can not be modified except by law.

The resolutions of the Jurado de Utilidades shall necessitate in order to be put into effect the approval of the minister of the treasury. If he dissents, he shall submit the question at issue within the maximum period of one month to the council of ministers, which shall decide definitely.

The judgments of the council of ministers and those of the Jurado de Utilidades in matters within their power shall not be impugnable by litigation (no son inpugnables en la via contenciosa).

The tax provisions of this law are retroactire to April 1, 1920.

There are provisions in the portion of the law above quoted the meaning of which is questionable and which, if given an adverse interpretation, would greatly increase the difficulties under which foreign banks would operate.

The law in the ninth provision, paragraph B, states that-
The foreign capital corresponding to the amount assigned to the business of the foreign enterprises in the kingdom can not be less than one-tenth

This apparently means that an announcement that less than one-tenth of the capital was employed in Spain would not be accepted by the authorities authorized to verify the statement-the Jurado de Utilidades.

[^2]Spanish attorneys are not unanimous in thinking that this conclusion necessarily follows. It is alleged that the provisions concerning banks are found in a separate paragraph and that they therefore are distinct from the provisions outlined in the ninth provision, paragraph B. It is alleged that these clauses will have to be clarified by decisions in the ministry of the treasury.
It would appear that a decision favorable to the foreign banks on this point would have to be secured against the opposition of the local banks and would in any case be subject to reversal by any subsequent minister of the treasury who might not be well disposed toward foreign banking enterprises.
It is further to be noted also that two members of the future Jurado de Utilidades have already expressed their opinion to the effect that the minimum upon which the 2 per thousand will be levied under the terms of the law is 10 per cent of the total capital of the bank in question.

It does not appear clear whether a bank with several branches in Spain would be considered as one bank or whether each branch would be taxable separately.

The new legislation contains a clause which, it has been suggested, gives to the Government a power to exact payment of taxes from a foreign bank operating in Spain similar to those payable by a Spanish bank operating in the foreign country in question.

What form the application of this provision might take is not clear. Other legislation such as that cited below in article 28 of the Royal Decree of April 25,1911 , shows that the retaliation may take the form of the imposition of taxes for taxes. It might apparently take other forms.

It has been argued that the disadvantages of the Spanish legislation could be avoided by creating a Spanish banking corporation the stock of which would be held at least in majority by the parent institution or held nominally by Spanish citizens but signed over in blank in such a way that the parent institution would have effective control of the local institution.

The second of these alternatives is obviously a subterfuge which would be adopted to make the corporation appear in law what it was not in fact.

In this connection, as indicating the equivocal position in which such an organization appears to stand under either of these two methods of incorporation, the terms of the Royal Decree of April 25, 1911, are pertinent. This decree was issued to allow the Government to arrive at a basis for the taxation of foreign companies.

Article 4 provides-
Those societies shall be considered Spanish for the purpose of this decree which are constituted under the pro-
visions of Spanish legislation and which have taken out their incorporation in Spain.

In spite of what is provided in the preceding paragraph the administration may consider as foreign for the purpose of valuing their capital as a basis of taxation such companies as, although complying with the requirements outlined in the above paragraph, have one of the following characteristics:
(a) When the administrators of the company lack Spanish nationality or, although possessed thereof, are not domiciled in Spain in sufficient number to take decisions concerning the business of the company by themselves.
(b) When the persons legally charged with the administration of the company are dependent upon some foreign entity, whether because of their situation as employees or by contracts or stipulations to that effect.
(c) When, because of the corporate name inscribed in the register or because of any words of which the company may make use in advertisements or documents relating to its mercantile business, it appears that the society in Spain is a dependent of a foreign organization.
(d) When it appears on reliable evidence to the Spanish administration that there exists in the power of some foreign entity enough of the capital stock to allow it to impose its decisions upon the stockholders' meetings and upon the commercial transactions of the company in question.
Article 28 provides that a Spanish corporation which has to pay higher rates than those established in Spain for foreign companies in similar circumstances may make complaint thereof and the Spanish treasury may then impose an equivalent tax on the corporations of the country involved which work in Spain.
It is reported that one of the leading British banks has organized a separate company in France which has opened a branch in Spain. This may enable the British bank to operate in Spain by paying a tax based on the capital of the French company rather than on the much larger capital of the parent institution. It remains to be seen, however, whether such a plan will prove practicable.

## FOREIGN TRADE INDEX.

There is presented below a series of indexes designed to reflect movements in foreign trade of the United States with fluctuations due to price changes eliminated. The commodities chosen for these indexes are those for which prices are compiled by the Federal Reserve Board in the preparation of its international price index. The list includes 14 of the most important imports the value of which in 1913 formed 40.6 per cent of the total import values, and 29 of the most important exports the value of which in 1913 formed 56.3 per cent of the total export values. The commodities are as follows:


Separate indexes are shown both in imports and exports for raw materials, producers' goods, and consumers' goods. These indexes of current imports and exports will be published monthly in the Bulletin in the future.

The method used in the construction of these indexes is as follows: The physical quantities of each of the commodities were valued at their average 1913 prices for each of the months of 1913,1919 , and 1920 to date. The values thus arrived at were added so as to give a total for raw materials, producers' goods, and consumers' goods, both of imports and exports, for each month. The monthly index is then computed on the base of the average of such monthly values for the year 1913. In addition an index for the year 1919 is computed on the basis of the year 1913. The general monthly index, including all commodities, is computed by determining the total value at 1913 prices of all the commodities listed, which value is then converted into an index on the base of average monthly values for the year 1913.

In the table which follows there are a number of items of interest to be noted. With reference to balance of trade as shown by these figures, with the price variations eliminated, there is to be observed a much greater increase in imports than in exports. Analyzing more closely the import figures, we find that the greatest increase is to be seen in pro-
ducers' goods, the figure for 1919 showing an increase of 108 per cent over 1913. Imports in this group for the first four months in 1920 were even heavier than the corresponding months of the previous year. The largest increase among the commodities in this group is to be found in the case of India rubber. The group showing the next largest increase is that of raw materials, the increase for 1919 being 65.5 per cent over the year 1913 . This tendency to increase continued for the first four months of 1920, the imports for each month being in excess of corresponding month in 1919. The most important increases in this group are to be noted in the cases of raw silk and wool. In the case of consumers' goods the increase in 1919 as compared with 1913 was 45.7 per cent. This increase has been maintained for the first four months during 1920 , each of the months thus far being considerably above the corresponding month in 1919 with the exception of March. It is to be remembered, however, that this group consists of only coffee and tea.

In the case of exports the greatest increase occurred in consumers' goods, the increase in 1919 over 1913 being 87.9 per cent. The exports of these materials in 1920, however, have not kept pace with the corresponding months in 1919, decrease in each case being consider-
able. The most important increases over 1913 are seen (in the order named) in refined sugar, hams and shoulders, and boots and shoes. The only commodity in this group which showed a decrease since 1913 is illuminating oil. There was also an increase in the exports of producers' goods to the extent of 54.4 per cent in 1919 over 1913. The volume of exports in this group in 1920 was not as great as in corresponding months of 1919 , with the exception of the month of March. The largest increases in this group occurred in sole leather, steel plates, and copper wire. Several commodities in this group showed a marked decrease, the most important instance being acetate of lime. There was a marked decrease in exports of raw material in 1919 as compared with 1913, the decrease amounting to 11.4 per cent. The most important factor in this decrease is to be found in the falling off of exports of raw cotton. There is, however, great lack of uniformity in movements of the different. commodities. Oats, barley, wheat, and leaf tobacco all show an increase, while the exports of cotton, lumber, refined copper, and corn declined. There is to be noted, however, a marked tendency for the exports of the commodities in this group to increase during 1920 as compared with the corresponding months of 1919.

Value of exports and imports of selected commodities at 1913 prices.
[In thousands of dollars; i. e., 000 omitted.]
[Monthly average values, $1913=100$.]

|  | Exports. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Imports. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Raw materials ( 12 commodities). |  | Producers' goods ( 10 commodities). |  | Consumers' goods ( 7 commodities). |  | Grand total exports ( 29 commodities). |  | Raw materials (6 commodities). |  | Producers' goods (6 commodities). |  | Consumers' goods (2 commodities). |  | Grand total imports (14commodities). |  |
|  | Value. | Index num- ber. | Value. | Index <br> number. | Value. | Index number. | Value. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Index } \\ \text { num- } \\ \text { ber. } \end{gathered}$ | Value. | Index <br> number. | Value. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Index } \\ & \text { num- } \\ & \text { ber. } \end{aligned}$ | Value. | Index numb ber. | Value. | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Index } \\ \text { num- } \\ \text { ber. } \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1913 . \\ \text { January. } \end{array}$ | 100,027 | 116.8 | 11, 262 | 101.4 | 30,715 | 100.9 | 142,504 | 111.6 | 50,336 | 123.8 | 32,545 | 105.0 | 12,080 | 107. 6 | 94, 961 | 114.6 |
| February | 71,074 | 83.0 | 12,266 | 105.8 | 30, 790 | 101.2 | 114, 130 | 89.4 | 45,175 | 111.1 | 34,308 | 110.7 | 11,865 | 105.7 | 91,3:8 | 110.2 |
| March. | 61,681 | 72.0 | 11,836 | 102.1 | 28,698 | 94.3 | 102,215 | 80.1 | 46, 079 | 113.3 | 37, 824 | 122.0 | 10, 145 | 90.4 | 91,018 | 113.5 |
| April. | 71, 446 | 83.0 | 14,128 | . 121.8 | 28, 708 | 94.3 | 114,282 | 89.5 | 42,785 | 105.2 | 36, 216 | 116.9 | 8,983 | 80.0 | 87,984 | 106.2 |
| May. | 6S, 856 | 80.4 | 11,661 | , 100.6 | 29,923 | 98.3 | 110, 440 | 86.5 | 38, 111 | 93.7 | 32, 601 | 105.2 | 6,127 | 54.6 | 76, 839 | 92.7 |
| June | 46,963 | 54.8 | 11, 612 | 100.1 | 28,242 | 92.8 | 86, 817 | 68.0 | 32, 793 | 80.6 | 33, 790 | 109.0 | 6,843 | 60.9 | 73, 426 | S5. 0 |
| July. | 51,325 | 59.9 | 11,109 | 95.8 | 27,686 | 91.0 | 90, 120 | 70.6 | 31,681 | 77.9 | 31, 178 | 100.6 | 7,557 | 67.3 | 70, 716 | 81.9 |
| August | 74, 869 | 87.4 | 11,547 | 99.5 | 29,370 | 96.5 | 115, 786 | 90.7 | 35, 194 | 86.6 | 34, 223 | 110.4 | 9,438 | 84.1 | 78, 8 ¢5 | 95.1 |
| September | 103,614 | 120.9 | 10,622 | 91.6 | 32,190 | 105.8 | 146, 426 | 114.7 | 42, 588 | 104.7 | 35, 137 | 113.4 | 14,068 | 125.3 | 91,793 | 110.8 |
| October. | 137,772 | 160.9 | 12, 608 | 108.7 | 31, 612 | 113.8 | 184,992 | 144.9 | 36,842 | 90.6 | 18,331 | 59.2 | 14,695 | 130.9 | 69, 878 | 84.3 |
| November. | 126,836 113,326 | 148.1 132.3 | 9,987 10,053 | 86.1 86.7 | 31,246 33,089 | 102.7 108.7 | 168,069 156,468 | 131.6 <br> 122.5 | 37,465 48,886 | 92.1 120.2 | 22,353 23,406 | 72.18 | 13,892 19,028 | 123.8 169.5 | 73,710 91,320 | 88.9 110.2 |
| Year. | 1,027, 789 | 100.0 | 139, 191 | 100.0 | 365, 269 | 100.0 | 1,532,249 | 100.0 | 487,935 | 100.0 | 371,912 | 100.0 | 134,721 | 100.0 | 994, 568 | 100.0 |
| January. | 84,039 | 98.1 | 18,444 | 159.0 | 56,748 | 186.4 | 159, 231 | 124.7 | 38,273 | 94.1 | 45, 892 | 148.1 | 12,115 | 107.9 | 96, 280 | 116.2 |
| February | 58, 488 | 68.3 | 14,598 | 125.9 | 53,338 | 175.2 | 126, 424 | 99.0 | 35, 074 | 86.3 | 60,653 | 195.7 | 12,050 | 107. 4 | 107, 783 | 130.0 |
| March. | 57,659 | 67.3 | 16,161 | 139.3 | 61,585 | 202.3 | 135,405 | 106.1 | 39,396 | 96.9 | 78, 7.3 | 254.2 | 21,768 | 193.9 | 139,937 | 168.8 |
| April. | 65, 112 | 76.0 | 19,356 | 166.9 | 80,639 | 264. 9 | 165, 107 | 129.3 | 51,280 | 128.1 | 81, 409 | 262.7 | 13, 222 | 117.8 | 145,911 | 176.0 |
| May. | - 67,673 | 79.0 | 16,007 | 138.0 | 58,932 | 193.7 | 142,612 | 111.7 | 71,831 | 176. 7 | 84, 646 | 273.1 | 15,949 | 142.1 | 172,426 | 208.0 |
| June. | 94,660 | 110.5 | 28,658 | 247.1 | 95, 917 | 315.1 | 219, 235 | 171.7 | 73, 401 | 180.5 | 57, 244 | 184.7 | 12,208 | 108. 7 | 142,853 | 172.4 |
| July... | 71, 918 | 84.0 | 16,943 | 146.1 | 50, 531 | 166. 0 | 139,392 | 109.1 | 72,974 | 179.5 | 72, 172 | 232.9 | 21, 555 | 192.0 | 166, 701 | 201.1 |
| August | 81, 302 | 94.9 | 19,578 | 168.8 | 49,548 | 162.8 | 150, 428 | 117.8 | 77,988 | 191.8 | 36, 460 | 117.6 | 17, 525 | 156.1 | 131, 973 | 159.2 |
| September.. | 70, 209 | 82.0 | 19,365 | 167.0 | 43, 108 | 141.6 | 132, 682 | 103.9 | 109, 761 | 269.9 | 60, 884 | 196.4 | 20,779 | 185.1 | 191, 424 | 231.0 |
| October | 70, 240 | 82.0 | 16,844 | 145.2 | 45,983 | 151. 1 | 133,067 | 104.2 | 88,701 | 218.2 | 64,921 | 209.5 | 17,198 | 153.2 | 170, 820 | 206.1 |
| November. | 99,589 | 116.3 | 15,741 | 135.7 | 46,473 43,563 | 152.7 | 161,803 146,356 | 126.7 | 84, 424 | 207.6 158.0 | 69,554 60,994 | 224.4 196.8 | 17,060 | 152.0 | 171,038 140,077 | 206.4 169.0 |
| December | 89,585 | 104. 6 | 13,208 | 113.9 | 43,563 | 143.1 | 146, 356 | 114.6 | 64, 252 | 158.0 | 60,994 | 196.8 | 14, 831 | 132.1 | 140,077 | 169.0 |
| Yea | 910, 474 | 88.6 | 214,903 | 154.4 | 686,365 | 187.9 | 1,811, 742 | 118.2 | 807,355 | 165.5 | 773, 602 | 208.0 | 196,266 | 145.7 | 1, 777, 223 | 178.7 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1920 . \\ \text { January. } \end{array}$ | 93, 141 | 108.7 | 15,647 | 134.9 | 35,406 | 116.3 | 144, 194 | 112.9 | 90,433 | 222.4 | 82,014 | 264.5 | 17,874 | 159.2 | 190,321 | 229.6 |
| February | 70,130 | 81.9 | 14,198 | 122.4 | 41,645 | 136.8 | 125,973 | 98.7 | 75,597 | 185.9 | 99,502 | 321.0 | 14,241 | 126.9 | 189,340 | ${ }^{228.4}$ |
| March....... | 90, 805 | 108.0 | 17,279 | 149.0 | 56, 428 | 185.4 | 164, 512 | 128.9 | 77,986 | 191.7 | 117,188 | 378. 1 | 19,260 | 171.6 | 214,414 | 258.7 |
| April......... | 68,048 | 79.4 | 17,063 | 147.1 | 51,689 | 169.8 | 136,800 | 107.1 | 77,288 | 190.1 | 89, 684 | 289.4 | 21,347 | 190.1 | 188,319 | 227.2 |

June Crop Report, by Federal Reserve Districts.
Forecasts of crop production issued by the Bureau of Crop Estimates as of June 1 are
shown in the table below by Federal reserve districts. The forecasts are compared with estimates for 1919 and with averages for the five years, 1915-1919.

Production of wheat, oats, and hay, by Federal reserve districts-June 1 forecast of the Bureau of Crop Estimates.
[In thousands of units of measurement.]

| Federal reserve district. | Spring wheat (bushels). |  |  | Winter wheat (bushels). |  |  | Total wheat (bushels). |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Forecast } \\ \text { for } \\ 1920 . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Esti- } \\ \text { mate for } \\ 1919 . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { A verage } \\ \text { for } \\ 1915-1919 . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Forecast } \\ \text { for } \\ 1920 . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Esti- } \\ \text { mate for } \\ 1919 . \end{gathered}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { A verage } \\ \text { for } \\ 1915-1919 . \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Forecast } \\ \text { for } \\ 1920 . \end{gathered}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Esti- } \\ \text { mate fo } \\ 1919 . \end{gathered}\right.$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { A verage } \\ \text { for } \\ 1915-1919 . \end{gathered}$ |
| Boston. | 465 | 480 | 380 |  |  |  | 465 | 480 |  | 380 |
| New York. | 669 | 750 | 350 | 10,754 | 11,743 | 10, 054 | 11,423 | 12,493 |  | 10,404 |
| Philadelphia | 305 | 316 | ${ }^{63}$ |  | 25, 206 | 23, 281 | 24, 165 | 23, 922 |  | 23,344 |
| Cleveland.... | 545 | 1,034 | 271 | -35,388 | 62, 714 | 47,780 | 35,933 | 63,748 |  | 48, 051 |
| Richmond... |  |  |  | 34,392 | 37,094 | 41,952 | 34,392 | 37,094 |  | 41,952 |
| Atlanta.. |  |  |  | 6,377 | 10,326 | 10,830 | 6,377 | 10,326 |  | 10,830 |
| Chicago. | 15,600 | 19,140 | 12.649 | 50, 733 | 93, 062 | 70,743 | 66,333 | 112,202 |  | 83,392 |
| St. Louis. | 624 | 1,001 | 391 | 52,771 | 107, 021 | 77, 937 | 53,395 | 108,022 |  | 78,328 |
| Minneapolis. | 186,408 | 129, 337 | 196,070 | 7,530 | 5,757 | 13,753 | 193,938 | 135,094 |  | 209,823 |
| Kansas City. | 19,663 | 16,463 | 16,026 | 216,399 | 284, 531 | 201, 404 | 236,062 | 300, 994 |  | 217, 430 |
| Dallas... | 748 |  | 543 | 14,492 | 32,707 | 19,228 | 15,240 | 33,605 |  | 19,771 |
| San Francisco | 51,351 | 39, 933 | 36,329 | 51,300 | 61,075 | 52,927 | 102,651 | 101,008 |  | 88,356 |
| Total. | 276,378 | 209,352 | 263,072 | 503,996 | 731,636 | 568, 989 | 780,374 | 940,988 |  | 832,061 |
| Federal reserve district. | Oats (bushels). |  |  |  |  | Hay, tame and wild (oats). |  |  |  |  |
|  | Forecast for 1920. |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Estimate for } \\ 1919 . \end{gathered}$ | Average for 1915-1919. |  | Forecast for 1920. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Estimate for } \\ & 1919 . \end{aligned}$ |  | Average for 1915-1919. |  |
| Boston... | 12,48242,011 |  | 12,123 <br> 31 <br> 856 | 10,95744,130 |  | 4,268 |  |  | 4,882 |  |
| New York. |  |  | 4,9127,073 |  |  | 6, ${ }^{4,886}$ |
| Philadelphia | 23,422 <br> 70,942 <br> 20 |  |  | 23,214 | 25, 518 <br> 84,240 |  | 2,949 <br> 5,654 <br> 1, | 3,1556,089 |  |  | 3,266 |
| Cleveland.. |  |  | 70, 279 | 6,4104 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Richmond. | 25, 500 |  | 26,397 |  |  |  | 25,635 | 4,063 |  | 4,916 |  |  |
| Atlanta. |  |  | 29,008 | 32. 546 |  | 4,31817 | 4,272 |  | 3,35018,563 |  |
| Chicago. | 503,981 |  | 461,082 |  | 72,006 |  |  | 18,629 |  |  |
| St. Louis. | 66,329273,486 |  | 63, 595 | 70, 017 |  | 7,570 | 8,124 |  | 16,46817,969 |  |
| Minneapolis. |  |  | 208, 857 |  | 284, 134 | 20, 276 |  | 17,245 |  |  |
| Kansas City. | 183,14940,216 |  | 182,677 | $\begin{array}{r}185,475 \\ 53,782 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  | 24,0551,647 | 19,907$\mathbf{2 , 3 0 9}$ |  |  |  |
| Danlas........ |  |  | 99,004 40,219 |  |  | 1,56212,452 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total. | 1.315, 476 |  | 1,248,311 | 1,435,456 |  |  | 111,790 | 108,666 |  | 103,300 |  |

Total wheat production is expected to be 780 million bushels, or 160 millions below last year's estimated production, and 52 millions below the average for the five years 19151919. This smaller production of wheat is expected because of a decline in winter wheat production estimated at about 227 million bushels, offset in part by a gain of about 67 million bushels in the forecasted production of spring wheat.

The decline in forecasted winter wheat production is due largely to losses in the

St. Louis and Kansas City districts, where conditions for planting wheat last fall were unfavorable and where large acreages were abandoned this spring because the crop was winter killed.

The forecast for the production of oats is about 67 millions in excess of last year's production, but about 120 millions below the five-year average. Hay production is expected to be about 3 million tons more than last year and about 8 million tons more than the average for the last five years.

## State Banks and Trust Companies.

The following list shows the State banks and trust companies which have been admitted to membership in the Federal Reserve system during the month of June:
One thousand three hundred and seventy-five State institutions are now members of the system, having a total capital of $\$ 487,774.578$, total surplus of $\$ 488,329,970$, and total resources of $\$ 9,774,877,421$.

change of name.
The First Commercial State Bank, Royal Oak, Mich., has changedit; name to First State Bank of Royal Oak.
The American Trust \& Eavings Bank, Albuquerque, N. Mex., has changed its name to the State Trust \& Savings Bank.
The Bank \& Trust Co. of Central California, Fresno, Calif., has changed its name to Fidelity Trust \& Savings Bank.
merger.
The Logan Trust Co., Philadelphia, Pa., has merged into the Fidelity Trust Co., Philadelphia, Pa.
withorawal.
The Bank of Sheboygan, Sheboygan, Wis., has withdrawn from membership.

## Acceptances to 100 Per Cent.

Since the issuance of the June Bulletin the following banks have been authorized by the Federal Reserve Board to accept drafts and bills of exchange up to 100 per cent of their capital and surplus:

The Pacific Bank, New York City.
Farmers \& Mechanics National Bank, Fort Worth, Tex. National Bank of Tacoma, Tacoma, Wash.

## New National Bank Charters.

The Comptroller of the Currency reports the following increases and reductions in the number and capital of national banks during the period from May 29 to June 25, 1920, inclusive:

New charters issued to.

Banks.
.

With capital of . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $82,837,500$
Increase of capital approved for . . . . . . . . . 35
With new capital of .................................................... 3,739,000
Aggregate number of new charters and
banks increasing capital.
With aggregate of new capital authorized. . . . . 6, 576, 500
Number of banks liquidating (other than
those consolidating with other national
banks under the act of June $3,1864 \ldots$.
Gapital of same banks. . ................................1,135,000
Number of banks reducing capital......... 0

Total number of banks going into liquida-
tion or redueing capital (other than those consolidating with other national banks under the act of June 3, 1864)

8
Aggregate capital reduction...................
Consolidation of national banks under the
Consolidation of national banks under the
act of Nov. 7,1918 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 3
Capital. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 15, 850,000
The foregoing statement shows the aggre-
gate of increased capital for the period of
the banks embraced in statement was..... $6,576,500$
Against this there was a reduction of capital owing to liquidation (other than for consolidation with other national banks under the act of June 3,1864 ), and reductions of capital of ................................235,000

Net increases. . .............................. 3,941,500

## Commercial Failures Reported.

The tendency toward increase in number of failures in the United States has recently become more apparent, and the 431 commercial defaults reported to R. G. Dun \& Co. during three weeks of June considerably exceed the 329 insolvencies of the corresponding period of 1919. For
llncludes a reduction in capital of $\$ 1,500,000$ incident to a consolidation under act of Nov, 7,1918 .

May, the latest month for which complete figures are available, the statement also discloses a numerical increase, the 547 business reverses of that month contrasting with 531 in May of last year. With this exception, however, the exhibit is the best on record for the month, and the May liabilities of $\$ 10,826,277$ are less than in any previous May since 1910. Separated according to Federal Reserve districts, the May statistics reveal reductions in number of failures, as compared with those of May, 1919, in 7 of the 12 districts, but these decreases are more than offset by the increases in the other districts, the second district making relatively the poorest showing. As to the indebtedness, the totals are smaller than those of May, last year, in 8 of the 12 districts.

Failures during May.

| Districts. | Number. |  | Liabilities. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1920 | 1919 | 1920 | 1919 |
| First. | 52 | 58 | \$376, 586 | \$1,559,270 |
| Second. | 133 | 93 | 2, 413,591 | 3,194,187 |
| Third | 37 | 30 | 1,085, 182 | 1,096,945 |
| Fourth. | 42 | 57 | 2,544,273 | 1,481,366 |
| Fifth... | 41 | 30 | 1,577,684 | 491,740 |
| Sixth. | 44 | 26 | 715,555 | 246,650 |
| Seventh | 60 | 63 | 692,450 | 1,621,859 |
| Eighth.. | 13 | 18 | 61,243 | 165,445 |
| Ninth. | 18 | 9 | 163,487 | 45,948 |
| Tenth. | 16 | 28 | 179,251 | 703,255 |
| Ele venth. | 15 | 35 | 168, 165 | 304,739 |
| Twellth. | 76 | 84 | 848,810 | 1,045,237 |
| Total | 547 | 531 | 10,826,277 | 11,956,651 |

## Fiduciary Powers Granted to National Banks.

The applications of the following banks for permission to act under section 11-k of the Federal Reserve Act have been approved by the Board during the month of June, 1920:

DISTRICT NO. 2.
Trustee, executor, administrator, registrar of stocks and bonds, guardian of estates, assignee, receiver, and committee of estates of lunatics: The Broad Street National Bank, Red Bank, N. J. National Bank of Auburn, Auburn, N. Y. Citizens National Bank, Fulton, N. Y
Registrar of stocks and bonds, guardian of estates, assignee and receiver
and committee of estates of lunatics:
National American Bank, New York, N. Y.
District No. 3.
Prustee, executor, administrator, registrar of stocks and bonds, guardian of estates, assignee, receiver, and committee of estates of lunatics. Central National Bank, Wilmington, Del.
First National Bank, Glassboro, N. J.
Furlington Coưnty National Bank, Medford, N. J.
First National Bank, Lebanon, Pa.
Quaker City National Bank, Philadelphia, Pa.

## District No. 4.

Trustee, executor, administrator, registrar of stocks and bonds, guardian estates, assignee, receiver, and committee of estates of lunatics: First National Bank, Elm Grove, W. Va.
Union National Bank, New Brighton, Pa
Trustee, executor, administrator, registrar of stocks and bonds, guardian estates, assignee, and receiver.
National Bank of Ashtabula, Ashtabula, Ohio.
The Ohio National Bank, Columbus, Ohio.
Commercial National Bank, Youngstown, Ohio.

## District No. 6.

Trustee, executor, administrator, registrar of stocks and bonds, guardian ofestates, assignee, receirer, and committee of estates of lumatics: Fulton National Bank, Atlanta, Ga.
Trustee, executor, administrator, guardian of estates, assignee, receiver, and committee of estates of lunatics:
Dawson National Bank, Dawson, Ga

## District No. 7.

rustec, executor, administrator, registrar ofstocks and bonds, guardian of estates, assignee, receiver, and committee of estates of lunatics. National City Bank. Ottawa, Il First-Fond du Lac National Bank, Fond du Lac, Wis.
Trustee, executor, administrator, registrar of stocks and bonds, guardian of estates, assignee, and receiver,
Registrar of stocks and bonds, guardian of estates, assignee, receiver, The First Nat estates of lunaties:
Mee, executor, administrator, guardian of estates, assignee, receiver: District No. 8.

Trustee. executor, administrator, registrar of stocks and bonds, guardian of estates, assignee, receiver, and committee of estates of lumatics: irst National Bank, Lake Village, Ark

## District No. 9.

Trustee executor, administrator, registrar of stocks and bonds, guardian of estates, assignee, and receiver:
Union National Bank, Minot, N. Dak.
Trustee, executor, administrator, guardian of estates, assignee, and First National Bank, Argyle, Minn.

District No. 10.
Trustee, executor, administrator, registrar of stocks and bonds, guardian estates, assignee, receiver, and committee of estates of lunatics: Fort Colins National Bank. Fort Collins, Colo. Shawnee National Bank, Shawnee, Okla.
Trustee, executor, administrator, guardian of estates, assignee, and eceiver
First National Bank, McAlester, Okla,
Trustee, executor, adminstrator, and registrar of stocks and bonds:
Registrar of stocks and bonds, glardian of estates, assignee, receiver, and committee of estates of hunaties:
First National Bank, Muskogee, Okla.
Distefet No. 12.
Trustee, executor, administrator, registrar of stocks and bonds, guardian estates, assignee, receiver, and committee of estates of lunatics. First National Bank, Santa Paula, Calif. The Old National Bank, Spokane. Wash.
Trustee, executor, administrator, guardian of estates, assignee, receiver, Boise City National Bank, Boise, Idaho.

## RULINGS OF THE FEDERAL RESERVE BOARD.

Below are published rulings made by the Federal Reserve Board which are believed to be of interest to Federal Reserve Banks and member banks:

## Commercial paper.

Inquiries are frequently received $b v$ the Federal Reserve Board as to the eligibility of paper drawn for the purpose of developing properties or of financing rarious kinds of construction.

The Board is of the opinion generally that the note of the owner of property which is to be developed or built upon, the proceeds of which note have been or are to be used by him to pay for the work of developing or building, is a note "the proceeds of which have been or are to be used for permanent or fixed investments" within the meaning of the Board's regulations, and that, therefore, such a note is not eligible for rediscount by a Federal Reserve Bank under the terms of section 13.

If, however, the note of an owner or producer is given in good faith to a contractor in actual payment of materials and services furnished by him for the owner or producer it may be considered to be technically eligible for rediscount as paper the proceeds of which have been or are to be used for a commercial or industrial purpose. There certainly is no doubt in such a case that the paper in the hands of the contractor is commercial or business paper actually owned by him and as such should be considered eligible for rediscount when presented through a member bank, provided that it complies in other respects with the provisions of the law and the Board's regulations. If this were not true no paper in the hauds of a material man received by him in payment for materials furnished in the building industry could be considered eligible for rediscount, a conclusion which is obviously not consistent with the scope and purposes of section 13.

It must be understood, however, that even though a note may be technically eligible for rediscount a Federal Reserve Bank may, in the exercise of its discretion, decline to effect its rediscount if for any reason it is deemed to be an undesirable investment, and should do so in any case where the ultimate payment of the note is dependent upon the success of the transaction giving rise to the note. A Federal Reserve Bank being familiar with local conditions and the peculiar requirements of its own district is in a position to determine
in each case whether a particular note or a particular class of paper even though technically eligible is a reasonable or desirable investment for it to make.

## Collection of notes and acceptances.

The question has been presented as to whether a member bank may make a charge against a Federal Reserve Bank for the collection and remittance of "notes and acceptances payable at the member bank."

Under the terms of section 13 of the Federal Reserve Act no member bank may make a charge against its Federal Reserve Bank for the collection or payment of "checks and drafts" and remission therefor by exchange or otherwise. In any case, therefore, where a Federal Reserve Bank presents to a member bank for payment a check or draft drawn upon that member bank, no charge may be made against the Federal Reserve Bank for remitting to it by exchange or in any other manner of payment.

Inasmuch as the accentance of a member bank is necessarily a "draft". on that bank no charge may be made against the Federal Reserve Bank for its payment. The Federal Reserve Board, however, has frequently had occasion to rule that the provisions of section 13, discussed above, do not prohibit a member bank from charging the Federal Reserve Bank for the service of collecting maturing notes and bills of exchange drawn upon individuals, firms, or corporations other than banks. The fact that such a note or bill of exchange not drawn on a bank may be made payable at that bank does not bring it within the restrictions of section 13 referred to above and does not preclude the member bank from making a charge against the Federal Reserve Bank for effecting its collection and remitting therefor by exchange or otherwise.

## Administration of trust department of a national bank.

The Federal Reserve Board has received several inquiries with re:erence to Regulation F, series of 1919 .

Section V of this regulation provides that-
Funds received or held in the trust department of a national bank awaiting investment or distribution may be deposited in the commercial department of the bank to the credit of the trust department, provided that the bank first delivers to the trust department, as collateral security, United States bonds, or other readily marketable securities owned by the bank, equal in market value to the amount of the funds so deposited.

Before a national bank deposits any of its trust funds in its commercial department it must deliver to the trust department securities of the kinds and in the amounts specified in this section of the regulation. A debosit of securities with the State banking authorities, as security for court and private trusts generally, is not a compliance with the specific requirement quoted above.

Section VI of the regulation deals with the investment of trust funds and provides that such funds must be invested in strict accordance with the terms of the instrument creating the trust and that where the instrument creating the trust authorizes the bank, its officers or its directors, to exercise their discretion in the matter of investment, trust funds may be invested only in those classes of securities which are approved by the directors of the bank. It was not intended, however, to require that each particular investment of trust funds be specifically approved by the board of directors but merely that the directors define the classes of securities in which trust funds may be invested in cases where the bank is authorized to exercise its discretion.

Section III of the regulation requires that the trust department of a national bank shall
be placed under the management of an officer or officers whose duties shall be prescribed by the board of directors of the bank. Because of the difference in the laws of the various States governing the relation of fiduciary and beneficiary the Federal Reserve Board has not considered it practicable to formulate a uniform set of by-laws for national banks which have been granted authority to exercise trust powers, and has not in any other manner attempted to define the duties and powers of the officer or officers in charge of the trust departments of national banks. The precise duties and powers of these officers is a matter for the determination of the board of directors of the bank.

When national banks about to open trust departments have asked the Federal Reserve Board for information as to the proper manner of conducting those departments, the Board has suggested in each case that the trust department be placed under the management of a competent and experienced trust officer and that competent local counsel be employed to assist in prescribing the duties of that trust officer, and to advise with reference to the exercise of the bank's trust powers.

## LAW DEPARTMENT.

## Exercise of fiduciary powers by national banks located

 in Wisconsin.The following is an opinion recently rendered by the Supreme Court of Wisconsin holding unconstitutional, in so far as it purports to affect national banks, the Wisconsin law prohibiting State courts from appointing in any fiduciary capacity any corporation other than a trust company organized under the laws of Wisconsin. The case arose upon the application of the sole legatee, under a will appointing no executor, for the appointment of the Commercial National Bank of Fond du Lac as administrator.

State of Wisconsin, in Supreme Court.
In re Estate of S. B. Stanchfield, Deceased. Appeal of George H. Stanchfield. Joined by the Commercial National Bank of Fond du Lac.
Siebecker, $J_{.: ~ T h e ~ c o u n t y ~ c o u r t ~ d e n i e d ~ t h e ~ a p p l i c a-~}^{\text {The }}$ tion to appoint the Commercial National Bank of Fond du Lac administrator of decedent's estate upon the ground that the provisions of section $2024-77 \mathrm{r}$, Statutes, 1919 (chapter 180, Laws, 1919), forbids such appointment. This statute provides: "No court of this State shall appoint or name any corporation as trustee, executor, administrator, guardian, assignee, receiver, or in any other fiduciary capacity unless such corporation is organized and existing under the provisions of section 2024-77i to $2024-77 \mathrm{q}$ of the statutes." Of course the Commercial National Bank is not organized or existing under the statutes of this State. The effect of the county court's ruling is that the provisions
of section 2024-77r, Statutes, prohibiting appointment of corporations as fiduciaries are valid and applicable to national banks. In the case of the First National Bank of Bay City $v$. Fellows, Attorney General of the State of Michigan, ex rel. Union Trust Co. (244 U. S., 416), the United States Supreme Court has held that Congress has the power to confer on national banks the right to "act'" as trustees, executors, administrators, and in other fiduciary capacities, and that Congress did, by section 11 ( $k$ ) of the act of Congress approved December 23,1913 , establishing the Federal Reserve Board, properly give such board authority "to grant by special permit to national banks applying therefor, when not in contravention of State or local law, the right to act as trustee, executor, administrator. etc." The grounds of the court's holding are fully expressed in the headnote to the case, as follows: "The circumstance that a function is of a class subject to State regulation does not prevent Congress from authorizing a national bank to exercise it. Nor would it lie in the State power to forbid this. A business not inherently such that Congress may empower national banks to engage in it may nevertheless become appropriate to their function if by State law State banking corporations, trust companies, or other rivals of national banks are permitted to carry it on."
As stated by Chief Justice White in expressing the opinion of the court: "Manifestly this excluded the power of the State in such case, although it might possess in a general sense authority to regulate such busipess to use that authority to prohibit such business from being united by Congress with the banking function, since to do so would be but the exertion of State authority to prohibit Congress from exerting a power which under the Constitution it had a right to exercise."
It is there held that if State banks, trust companies, and other rivals or quasi rivals of national banks are permitted to carry on a business not inherently such as may be conferred by Congress on national banks, such rights
possessed by these rivals may make it appropriate to be conferred on national banks to enable them to perform their functions.
Since this decision by the Federal Supreme Court, Congress, by act of December, 1913, among other things added to the original provisions of section $11(\mathrm{k})$, conferring fiduciary powers, the following: "* * * or in any other fiduciary capacity in which State banks, trust companies, or other corporations which come into competition with national banks are permitted to act under the laws of the State in which the national bank is located. Whenever the laws of such State authorize or permit the exercise of any or all of the foregoing powers by State banks, trust companies, or other corporations which compete with national banks, the granting to and exercise of such powers by national banks shall not be deemed to be in contravention of State or local law within the meaning of this act."

National banks within the legitimate powers conferred on them by Congress can not "be interfered with by State legislation or judicial action except so far as the lawmaking power of the Government may permit." (Van Reed v. People's National Bank of Lebanon, 198 U. S., 554.)

It is clear that the powers conferred on corporations in Wisconsin to act as trustees and other fiduciaries are rivals of the Commercial National Bank of Fond du Lac under the power conferred on it by the Federal Reserve Board and the acts of Congress. Under these circumstances the State must yield to the rights so conferred on national banks.

In re Katherine Hamilton, Supreme Court of Errors, Connecticut. Decided April term, 1920.
Upon the facts of record in this case the petitioner, George H. Stanchfield, was entitled as a matter of right to have the county court pass upon the prayer of his petition for the appointment of the Commercial National Bank of Fond du Lac as administrator of the estate of S. B. Stanchfield, deceased. The order of the county court denying the petition for the appointment of such national bank as administrator, upon the ground that such national bank can not legally act in the capacity of administrator, was erroneously denied, and must be reversed. The case will be remanded to the county court, with direction to pass upon this application of such petitioner, and for further proceedings in such county court according to law.

## Amendment to Mississippi banking laws.

For the information of the Federal Reserve Banks and member banks there is published below the text of an act recently passed by the legislature of Mississippi authorizing guaranteed State banks to accept drafts or bills of exchange:

## House Bill No. 230.

An act to authorize guaranteed State banks to accept drafts or bills of exchange growing out of transactions involving shipment of goods, at the time of acceptance by shipping documents or warehouse receipts for readily marketable staples: limiting the amount of such acceptances permitted.
SECTION 1. Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Mississippi, That any guaranteed State bank doing business in the State of Mississippi, pursuant to the laws of
this State, may accept drafts or bills of exchange drawn upon it having not more than six (6) months' sight to run, exclusive of days of grace, which grow out of transactions involving the shipment of goods, provided shipping documents conveying or securing title are attached as security at the time of acceptance of said drafts and bills of exchange, or which are secured at the time of acceptance by warehouse receipts or other such documents conveying or securing title coyering readily marketable staples not subject to rapid deterioration.
No such bank shall accept for any one person, company, firm, or corporation to an amount equal at any time in the aggregate to more than ten per centum of its paid up and unimpaired capital stock and surplus, unless the bank is secured by such attached documents; and no such bank shall accept such bills to an amount equal at any time in the aggregate to more than one-half of its paid up and unimpaired capital stock and surplus.

Sec. 2. For cause this act shall take effect and be in force from and after the date of its passage.

Approved March 25, 1920.

## PRINCIPAL BOOKS AND ARTICLES RELATING TO BANKING AND CURRENCY RECEIVED AT THE FEDERAL RESERVE BOARD LIBRARY DURING THE MONTH ENDING JUNE 15, 1920.
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Banking laws of the state of Oklahoma, including amendments and extracts from opinions of Supreme court construing the law. Cotnp. by J.
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Verwaltungsbericht der Reichsbank für das Jahr 1919 . . . Berlin Reichsdruekerei, [1920].
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## WHOLESALE PRICES IN THE UNITED <br> STATES.

In continuation of figures shown in the June Bulletin there are presented below monthly index numbers of wholesale prices for the period July, 1919, to May, 1920, compared with like figures for May of previous years; also for July, 1914, the month immediately preceding the outbreak of the Great War. The general index number is that of the United States Bureau of Labor Statistics. In addition there are presented separate numbers for certain particular classes of commodities, in accordance with plans announced in previous issues of the Bulletin.
Quotations for tubs and pails have been omitted. On the other hand, quotations for white pine (No. 2, barn, and No. 2, uppers), spruce, eggs (New Orleans), bananas (Jamaica 8 's), ginghams (Lancaster, 6.50 yards to pound), hosiery (men's seamless cashmere), sheetings (bleached, 10-4 Pepperell, and brown, 4-4 Pepperell), laundry starch and onions (Chicago), which had been dropped temporarily, were obtained for the months of April and May, and the commodities were again included in the calculation of the index numbers for the latter month. Index numbers for May are provisional, due to the fact that certain data were not received in time to render them available for use in the calculations.
A further increase in wholesale prices is noted for the month of May. The general index number of the Bureau of Labor Statistics for the latter month now stands at 272, as compared with 265 for the month of April. All three principal groups of commodities show an increase, although to a varying extent. The index number for the group of producers' goods again shows the greatest increase, namely, 3 per cent, from 263 to 271 . While decreases in price occurred for a more extended list of commodities than did increases, they were not sufficient to offset the increases shown. Among the commodities which decreased in price may be mentioned various classes of leather, worsted yarns, linseed oil and meal, lubricating oil, oleo oil, shingles, turpentine, tallow and silver, and among those which increased in
price sugar, cement, rosin, cast-iron pipe, gasoline, wood pulp, bran and millfeed, glycerin, and soda ash.

The index number for the group of consumers' goods again shows the smallest rate of increase, namely, 1.9 per cent, from 280 to 285. Decrease and increase in price each occurred in the case of an approximately equal number of commodities. Prominent among commodities which showed an increase were sugar, potatoes, beans, corn meal, glucose, coffee, hams and lard, eggs, various classes of fruit, such as bananas, lemons, oranges, prunes, and raisins, carpets and housefurnishings, cottonseed oil and illuminating oil, while among commodities which showed a decrease may be noted butter, apples, onions, fresh beef, veal, mess pork and bacon, lamb and mutton, milk (Chicago quotation), and print cloths.
The index number tor the group of raw materials remains unchanged at 260 . Among the subgroups into which the commodities included under this head are classified, the subgroup of animal products alone shows a decrease, from 196 to 179 , or 8.3 per cent. Decreases in price occurred for an extended list of commodities, among which may be noted cattle, hogs, sheep, and poultry, raw silk and wool, and various classes of hides, with the exception of heary Texas steers, which alone showed increase. With the exception of one of the classes of Douglas fir, which showed a slight decrease, the commodities included under the head of forest products remained unchanged in price, and the index number for this subgroup again stands at 367 . An increase of 4.4 per cent, from 224 to 234 , is noted in the subgroup of mineral products, due to increase in the prices of various classes of anthracite, bituminous and semibituminous coal, coke, and various classes of pig iron which were not offset by decreases in the prices of the various nonferrous metals, among which are copper, lead, zinc, and tin. The index number tor the subgroup of farm products shows an increase of 3.2 per cent, trom 304 to 314 . Decreases in the prices of cotton, tobacco, and flax were more than offset by increases in the prices of various grains, in particular wheat, corn, oats, rye, and barley, hay, both timothy and alfalfa, and hops.

Index numbers of wholesale prices in the United States for principal classes of commodities.
[A verage price for $1913=100$.]

| Year and month. | Raw materials. |  |  |  |  | Producers'goods. | Consumers'goods. goods. | All commodities (Burean of Labor Statistics index number). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{\text { products. }}{\text { Farm }}$ | Animal products. | Forest products. | Mineral products. | Total raw materials. |  |  |  |
| Juty, 1914.. | 102 | 106 | 97 | 91 | 99 | 93 | 103 | 100 |
| May, 1915.. | 119 | 99 | 93 | 94 | 102 | 97 | 102 | 101 |
| May, 1916.. | 115 | 118 | 98 | 117 | 113 | 142 | 114 | 119 |
| May, 1917. | ${ }_{22}^{227}$ | 168 | 108 | 202 | 182 | 187 | 178 | 181 |
| May, 1918.. | 227 | 202 | 138 | 174 | 190 | 192 | 195 | 191 |
| May, 1919.. | 2.5 | 225 | 146 | 170 | 205 | 189 | 219 | 207 |
| July, 1919. | 261 | 233 | 166 | 177 | 214 | 202 | 230 | 219 |
| August, 1919 | 251 | 235 | 193 | 180 | 218 | 212 | 241 | 226 |
| September, 1919 | ${ }^{240}$ | 215 | 227 | 184 | 216 | 212 | 226 | 221 |
| October, 1919 | 2.54 | 212 | 234 | 184 | 220 | 211 | 228 | 222 |
| November, 1919 | 22.6 | 212 | 239 | 183 | 226 | 216 | 236 | 230 |
| December, 1919 | 288 | 209 | 259 | 186 | ${ }_{23} 33$ | 228 | 245 | 238 |
| January, 1920 | 291 | 213 | 273 | 190 | 239 | 245 | 259 | ${ }_{248}^{248}$ |
| February, 1920 | 2.8 | 206 | 315 | 194 | 240 | 246 | 256 | 248 |
| March, 1920.. | 288 | 200 | ${ }^{348}$ | 197 | 247 | 246 | 263 | ${ }^{253}$ |
| April, 1920.... | 304 314 | 196 179 | 367 <br> 367 | ${ }_{234}^{224}$ | 260 260 | 263 271 | ${ }_{285}^{280}$ | ${ }_{272}^{265}$ |
| May, 1920...................... | 314 | 179 | 367 | 234 | 260 | 271 | 285 | 272 |

In order to give a more concrete illustration figures for May of previous years; also for of actual price movements, there are also pre- July, 1914, the month immediately preceding sented in the following table montlly actual and relative figures for certain commodities of a basic character, covering the period July, 1919, to May, 1920, compared with like /United States Bureau of Labor Statistics.

Average monthly wholesale prices of commodities.
[Average price for $1913=100$.]

| ear and month | $\underset{\substack{\text { Cornh } \mathrm{No.} 3, \\ \text { Chicago. }}}{ }$ |  | Cotton, midding,New Orieans. |  |  |  | Wheat, No. 2, <br> red winter, Chicago. |  | Cattle, steers,good to choice, good to choleago. |  | Hides, packers heavy nativesteers, Chicago |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Averape } \\ & \text { prop } \\ & \text { poichen } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \substack{\text { Relea- } \\ \text { price. }} \end{gathered}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Average } \\ \text { pricearer } \\ \text { pound. } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rele } \\ & \text { price } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rele } \\ & \text { per } \\ & \text { pre } \end{aligned}$ | Average price per | $\begin{gathered} \text { Relize } \\ \text { price } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Averge } \\ \text { pricion } \\ \text { poon } \\ \text { pounds. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rell. } \\ & \text { porie. } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Relle } \\ & \text { pirce } \\ & \text { price } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Average monthly wholesale prices of commodities-Continued.
[Average price for $1913=100$.]

| Year and month. | Hogs, light, Chicago. |  | Wool, Ohio, ${ }^{1-\frac{3}{8}}$ grades, scoured. |  | Hemlock, New York. |  | Yellow pine, flooring, New York. |  | Coal, anthracite stove, New York, tidewater. |  | Coal, bituminous, run of mine, Cincinnati. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average price per 100 <br> pounds. | Relative price. | Average price per pound. | Relative price. | A verage price per M feet. | Relative price. | Average price per M feet. | Relative price. | Average price per long ton. | Relative price. | A verage price per short ton. | Relative price. |
| July, 1914. | \$8.7563 | 104 | \$0. 4444 | 94 | \$24.5000 | 101 | \$42.0000 | 94 | \$4.9726 | 98 | \$2. 2000 | 100 |
| May, 1915. | 7.6000 | 90 | . 5714 | 121 | 21. 5000 | 89 | 40.0000 | 90 | 4. 7506 | 94 | 2.2000 | 100 |
| May, 1916. | 9. 7050 | 115 | . 6714 | 143 | 23.7500 | 98 | 40.0000 | 90 | 5. 2740 | 104 | 2.2000 | 100 |
| May, 1917. | 15.5000 | 183 | 1. 0714 | 227 | 26.0000 | 107 |  |  | 5.6826 | 112 | 6.0000 | 273 |
| May, 1918. | 17. 5000 | 207 | 1. 4182 | 301 | 33.5000 | 138 | 60.0900 | 135 | 6.3000 | 124 | 3. 8500 | 175 |
| May, 1919. | 20. 7000 | 245 | 1. 0727 | 228 | 36.0000 | 149 | 65.0000 | 146 | 7.9857 | 158 | 4.0000 | 182 |
| July, 1919. | 22. 3875 | 265 | 1. 2364 | 263 | 41.0000 | 169 | 73. 0000 | 164 | 8.1881 | 162 | 4.0000 | 182 |
| August, 1919 | 21.6125 | 256 | 1. 2364 | 263 |  |  | 78.0000 | 175 | 8.3145 | 164 | 4.0000 | 182 |
| September, 1919 | 18. 2100 | 215 | 1. 2182 | 259 | 43.0000 | 177 | 95.0000 | 213 | 8. 4020 | 166 | 4. 5000 | 205 |
| October, 1919. | 14. 7250 | 174 | 1. 2634 | 288 | 44.0000 | 182 | 100.0000 | 224 | 8.4135 | 166 | 4. 5000 | 205 |
| November, 1919 | 14. 1438 | 167 | 1.2545 | 266 | 44.0000 | 182 | 100.0000 | 224 | 8.4273 | 167 | 4. 1000 | 186 |
| December, 1919 | 13. 6800 | 162 | 1.2545 | 266 | 48.0000 | 198 | 112.0000 | 251 | 8.4098 | 166 | 4. 1000 | 186 |
| January, 1920. | 15.1250 | 179 | 1. 2364 | 263 | 53.0000 | 219 | 112.0000 | 251 | 8.4291 | 167 | 4. 1000 | 186 |
| February, 1920 | 14.9813 15.5000 | 177 | 1.2364 | 263 | 57.0000 57.0000 | 235 | 139.0000 | 312 312 | 8.4118 8.4109 | 166 | 4. 1000 | 186 |
| March, 1920. | 15. 5000 | 183 186 | 1.2364 1.2000 | 263 255 | 57.0000 57.0000 | 235 | 139.0000 160.0000 | 312 <br> 359 | 8.4109 8.4368 | 166 167 | 4. 1000 | 186 250 |
| May, 1920. | 14. 7550 | 175 | 1.1636 | 247 | 57.0000 | 23.5 | 160.0000 | 359 | 8.9964 | 178 | 6.0000 | 273 |
| Year and month. | Coal, Pocahontas, Norfolk. |  | Coke, Connells-ville. |  | Copper, ingot, electrolytic, New York. |  | Lead, pig, desilverized, New York. |  | Petroleum, crude, Pennsylvania, at wells. |  | Pig iron, basic. |  |
|  | A verage price per long ton. | Relative price. | Average price per short ton. | Relative price. | Average price per pound. | Relalive price. | A verage price per pound. | Rolative price. | A verage price per barrel. | Relative price. | A verage price per long ton. | Relative price. |
| July, 1914. | \$3.0000 | 100 | \$1.8750 | 77 | \$0.1340 | 85 | \$0.0390 | 89 | \$1.7500 | 71 | \$13.0000 | 88 |
| May, 1915 | 2.8500 | 95 | 1. 6250 | 67 | . 1863 | 118 | . 0420 | 95 | 1.3500 | 55 | 12.5000 | 85 |
| May, 1916 | 3.0000 | 100 | 2.3750 | 97 | . 2850 | 181 | . 0750 | 170 | 2. 6000 | 106 | 18.0000 | 122 |
| Mav, 1917. | 7.0000 | 233 | 7.0000 | 287 | . 3100 | 197 | . 0988 | 22.5 | 3.1000 | 127 | 41.8000 | 283 |
| May, 1918. | 4.2190 | 141 | 6.0000 | 246 | . 2350 | 149 | . 0591 | 157 | 4.0000 | 103 | 32.0000 | 218 |
| May, 1919. | 4. 9000 | 163 | 3.8437 | 158 | . 1600 | 102 | . 0508 | 115 | 4.0000 | 163 | 25. 7500 | 175 |
| July, 1919. | 5.1400 | 171 | 4.0950 | 168 | . 2150 | 137 | . 0551 | 128 | 4.0000 | 163 | 25.7500 | 175 |
| August, 1919 |  |  | 4.2188 | 173 | . 2281 | 145 | . 0579 | 132 | 4.0000 | 163 | 25.7500 | 175 |
| September, 1919 |  |  | 4.5920 | 188 | . 2220 | 141 | . 0609 | 138 | 4.2500 | 173 | 25.7500 | 175 |
| October, 1919. | 5.1400 | 171 | 4.8250 | 198 | . 2172 | 138 | . 0643 | 146 | 4. 2500 | 173 | 25.7500 | 175 |
| November, 1919 | 4.6320 | 154 | 5.9375 | 243 | . 2038 | 130 | . 0676 | 154 | 4.4375 | 181 | 28.3125 | 193 |
| December, 1919 | 4.6320 | 154 | 6.0500 | 248 | . 1873 | 119 | . 0718 | 163 | 4.6000 | 188 | 34. 6000 | 235 |
| January, 1920. | 4. 6320 | 154 | 6.0000 | 246 | . 1931 | 123 | . 0872 | 198 | 5.0625 | 207 | 37.7500 | 257 |
| February, 1920 | 4.6320 | 154 | 6. 0000 | 246 | . 1906 | 121 | . 0881 | 200 | 5.5125 | 225 | 42.2500 | 287 |
| March, 1920 | 4.6320 | 154 | 6.0000 | 246 | . 1858 | 118 | . 0923 | 210 | 6. 1000 | 249 | 41. 6000 | 283 |
| April, 1920. | 6. 4800 | 216 | 10. 5000 | 430 | - 1919 | 122 | . 0896 | 204 | 6. 1000 | 249 | 42.5000 | 289 |
| May, 1920. | 6. 4800 | 216 | 12.0000 | 492 | . 1906 | 121 | . 0856 | 195 | 6. 1000 | 249 | 43.2500 | 294 |

Average monthly wholesale prices of commodities-Continued.
[A verage price for $1913=100$.]


## RETAIL TRADE.

In the following tables is given a summary of the results obtained during the past few months in districts Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, and 12, on the regular retail trade index form from representative department stores. In districts Nos. 1, 5, and 12 the data were received in (and averages computed from) actual amounts (dollars). In districts Nos. 2, 3, 4, 5, 6,7 , and 10 the material was received in the form of percentages, the averages for the cities and districts computed from such percentages being weighted according to volume of business done during the calendar year 1919. For the month of May, the tables are based on reports from 20 stores in district No. 1, 17 in district No. 2, 15 in district No. 3, 18 in district No. 4, 8 in district No. 5, 7 in district No. 6, 9 in district No. 10, and 31 in district No. 12. For the earlier months the number of stores varied somewhat, due to the inclu-
sion of new stores from time to time in the reporting list.
In district No. 11 inquiries made of several stores in a number of lines brought the following average results:
May, 1920, retail trade, compared with April, 1920, and May, 1919.
[+ Increase; - decrease.]


Condition of retail trade in Federal Reserve districts Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, and 12.
[Percentage of increase.]

| District and city. | Comparison of net sales with those of corresponding period previous year. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sep-tember, 1919. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Octo- } \\ & \text { ber, } \\ & 1919 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { No- } \\ \text { vem- } \\ \text { ber, } \\ 1919 . \end{gathered}$ | De-cem1919. 1919. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Janu- } \\ & \text { ary, } \\ & 1920 . \end{aligned}$ | February, 1920. | March,$1920 .$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { April, } \\ & 1920 \text {, } \end{aligned}$ | May, | July 1, 1919, to close of - |  |  |  | Jan. 1, 1920, to close of- |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sep- } \\ & \text { tem- } \\ & \text { ber, } \\ & \text { l919. } \end{aligned}$ | October, 1919. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No- } \\ & \text { vem- } \\ & \text { ber, } \\ & \text { 1919. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { De- } \\ \text { cem- } \\ \text { ber, } \\ 1919 . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Feb- } \\ \text { ruary, } \\ \text { 1920. } \end{gathered}$ | March, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { April, } \\ & \text { 1920. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May, } \\ & \text { 1920. } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { District No. 1: } \\ \text { Boston...... } \\ \text { Outside..... } \end{gathered}$ | 30.9 | 52.0 |  | 42.3 41.9 | 33.2 43.5 | 15.4 36.1 | 38.9 29.4 | 16.8 26.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 19.4 \\ & 25.5 \end{aligned}$ | 30.8 | 33.0 |  | 36.3 38.5 | 24.9 41.6 | 30.7 36.4 | 26.1 33.1 | 24.7 30.5 |
| District |  |  |  | 42.1 | 34.8 | 18.3 | 37.5 | 18.5 | 20.7 | ..... |  |  | 37.2 | 32.5 | 31.6 | 27.5 | 25.8 |
| District No. 2: New York City and Brooklyn. outside......... | 43.4 |  |  | 49.2 | 54.6 | 29.9 | 66.6 50.4 | 15.0 22.4 | 41.1 <br> 22.8 | 43.6 |  |  | 49.7 | 39.8 | 59.3 38.1 | 35.0 33.6 | 35.3 30.1 |
| District |  |  |  |  |  |  | 64.8 | 15.8 | 3.54 |  |  |  |  |  | 57.0 | 34.9 | 33.7 |
| District No. 3 |  |  |  |  | 22.2 | 17.6 | 37.5 | 12.4 | 50.7 |  |  |  |  | 20.3 | 26.2 | 20.5 | 30.9 |
| District No. 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 28.6 | 45.5 | 18.4 | 31.3 |  |  |  |  | 36.0 | 38.2 | 33.6 | 32.1 |
| District No. 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 9.4 | ${ }_{27}^{23.1}$ | 2.3 23 | 11.3 31.0 |  |  |  |  | 8.6 | 14.6 29 59 | 9.3 29 | 12.4 |
| District No. 6 |  |  |  |  | 48.2 | 51.7 | 27.4 65.2 | 23.4 33.3 | 31.0 |  |  |  |  | 50.7 | 29.9 57.4 | 22.0 43.5 | 28.1 |
| District No. 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24.6 | 19.6 | 10.9 |  |  |  |  |  | 26.9 | 24.9 | 21.2 |
| District No. 12: Los Angeles. | 68.3 | 110.6 | 88.7 | 77.3 | 83.8 | 51.6 | 58.4 | 43.6 | 38.2 | 52.9 | 69.9 | 77.2 | 77.3 | 68.3 | 61.2 | 56.1 | 52.2 |
| San Francisco... | 40.9 | 92.3 | 45.2 | 54.6 | 53.5 | 26.9 | 35.4 | 28.5 | 40.9 | 33.1 | 46.5 | 46.3 | 47.1 | 58.9 | 39.7 | 36.6 | 37.6 |
| Oakland....... | 25.1 | 68.5 | 30.3 | 29.8 | 41.4 | 27.4 | 31.0 | 14.9 | 17.1 | 22.4 | 32.3 | 31.9 | 31.9 | 34.8 | 32.3 | 27.9 | 25.6 |
| Sacramento | 32.5 | 69.2 | $3{ }^{36.6}$ | 50.7 | 54.2 | 22.6 | 65.1 | 33.9 | 34.4 | 22.4 | 35.7 | 35.7 | 39.5 | 36.6 | 60.9 | 47.5 | 39.4 |
| Seattle... | 27.6 | ${ }^{50.6}$ | 21.0 | 28.6 | 23.9 | 22.4 | 19.2 | 4.3 | 6.3 <br> 48 <br> 8 | 28.3 | ${ }^{31.6}$ | 29.5 | ${ }_{73} 2.3$ | 23.0 | 21.8 | 16.2 | 13.9 |
| Salt Lake city... | 33.4 | +14.8 | 46.4 42.7 | ${ }_{32.3} 71$ | 36.2 23.8 | 23.6 11.5 | 19.8 10.5 | 10.9 7.1 | 46.4 26.4 | 25.8 | 99.9 24.8 | 70.4 32.0 | 72.0 29.2 | 30.1 18.1 | 15.1 | 23.1 12.8 | 29.1 16.4 |
| District. | 40.6 | 82.0 | 46.1 | 50.7 | 51.7 | 31.1 | 37.8 | 13.8 | 31.2 | 34.4 | 46.9 | 47.5 | 47.0 | 46.5 | 41.0 | 36.9 | 34.7 |

Condition of retail trade in Federal Reserve districts Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, and 12-Continued.
[Percentage of increase.]


## WHOLESALE PRICES ABROAD.

Tables are presented below showing the monthly index numbers of wholesale prices of some of the leading countries of the world computed on the basis of prices in $1913=100$. In all cases except that of the United States the original basis upon which the index numbers have been computed has been shifted to the 1913 base. The monthly and yearly index numbers are, therefore, only approximate. These index numbers are constructed by the various foreign statistical offices according to methods described in the January Bulletin. The latest figures are subject to correction.
Index numbers of wholesale prices (all commodities).
[1913=100.]

|  | United States; Bureau of Labor Statistics (328 quotations). | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Unit- } \\ \text { ed } \\ \text { King- } \\ \text { dom; } \\ \text { Stat- } \\ \text { ist } \\ \text { (45 } \\ \text { com- } \\ \text { modi- } \\ \text { ties). } \end{array}$ | France; Bulletin de la Statistique Géné rale (45 com-modi- | Italy; Prof. Bachi (40 comties). | Sweden; Svensk Handels tidning. |  | Australia; Com-monwealth Bureau Census and Statistics ( $92 \mathrm{com}-$ modities). | Canada; partment of Labor $(2 \pi 2$ quo-tations). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1913. | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |  | 100 |
| 1914. | 100 | 101 | 102 | 95 | 116 | 96 | ${ }^{1} 100$ | 101 |
| 1915. | 101 | 126 | 140 | 133 | 145 | 97 | 141 | 110 |
| 1916. | 124 | 159 | 187 | 202 | 185 | 117 | 132 | 135 |
| 1917. | 174 | 206 | 262 | 299 | 244 | 149 | ${ }^{2} 155$ | 177 |
| 1918. | 197 | 226 | 339 | 409 | 339 | 197 | 170 | 206 |
| $\begin{gathered} 1919 . \\ \text { Januar..... } \end{gathered}$ | 203 | 224 | 348 | 324 | 369 | 214 | 172 | 212 |
| February - | 197 | 220 | 340 | 320 | 358 | 213 | 168 | 207 |
| March. | 201 | 217 | 337 | 324 | 354 | 206 | 169 | 205 |
| April. | 203 | 217 | 332 | 329 | 339 | 206 | 171 | 206 |
| May. | 207 | 229 | 325 | 336 | 330 | 214 | 172 | 210 |
| June. | 207 | 235 | 329 | 356 | 324 | 228 | 173 | 210 |
| July. | 219 | 243 | 349 | 359 | 320 | 247 | 176 | 218 |
| August.... | 226 | 250 | 347 | 368 | 321 | 251 | 182 | 223 |
| September | 221 | 252 | 360 | 370 | 319 | 257 | 18.5 | 223 |
| October... | 223 | 264 | 382 | 388 | 307 | 271 | 200 | 222 |
| November | 230 | 271 | 405 | 436 | 308 | 280 | 199 | 227 |
| December. | 238 | 276 | 417 | 455 | 317 | 288 | 197 | 240 |
| $\begin{gathered} 1920 . \\ \text { January. } \end{gathered}$ | 248 | 288 | 487 | 504 | 319 | 301 | 203 | 248 |
| February . | 249 | 306 | 522 | 556 | 342 | 313 | 206 | 254 |
| March..... | 253 | 307 | 554 | 619 | 354 | 321 | 209 | 258 |
| April...... | 265 | 313 | 584 | 679 | 354 | 300 | 217 | 261 |
| May....... | 272 | 305 | 550 | 659 | 361 | 272 |  | 263 |

The decline in the Japanese index number, which began during April, continued in May, and was accompanied in the latter month by decreases in the index numbers for England, France, and Italy. The Japanese index number for May registers a decline of 28 points, as compared with 21 points the month previous. In Italy, according to the index number of Prof. Bachi, the general price level tell 20 points during May. This change was caused principally by the sharp break in textile prices; as prices of foodstuffs and minerals and metals in Italy increased slightly during the month. The index number of the Bureau de la Statistique Générale shows a decrease of 34 points during the month. Prices in practically all
the groups which make up the index declined, the greatest change occurring in the textile index, which fell 112 points. The April index number for Australia and the May index number for Sweden show a continued rise in prices. The index number of the Bureau of Labor Statistics for the United States shows a considerable adrance in prices in May, but the index constructed by the Federal Reserve Board for the purpose of international comparison shows an increase of only 1 point.

ENGLAND.
For the first time since March, 1919, the Statist index number of wholesale prices for May showed a decline instead of an advance. This was chiefly due to the heavy fall in the prices of textiles and nonferrous metals. Foodstuffs, other than animal foods, coal, pig iron, and steel products, either advanced during the month or remained unchanged. The decline in prices was apparently caused by the action of the banks in curtailing credit for speculative purposes, and to a less degree by the raising of the discount rate. Whether this decline marks a turn in prices which may be expected to continue depends upon the extent to which stocks of commodities have been accumulated, and how rigorously public and private expenditure is controlled. A serious shortage continues in coal and iron and steel products.

Conditions in England with regard to retail buying of clothing, house furnishings, etc., have been very similar to those in this country. Exorbitant prices have led to a refusal to buy on the part of the public. This has led to retail price cutting accompanied by cancellation of orders and in turn to the reduction of wholesale prices.

| Group index numbers—United Kingdom, Statist.$[1913=100 .]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date. | regetable foods. | Animal foods. | Sucar coffee tea. | Food- | Minerals. | Textiles. | Sun- dries. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mate- } \\ & \text { rials. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1913. | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 1914. | 110 | 100 | 107 | 105 | 90 | 97 | 105 | 98 |
| 1915. | 155 | 125 | 130 | 137 | 109 | 111 | 131 | 119 |
| 1916. | 193 | 152 | 161 | 169 | 140 | 152 | 163 | 153 |
| 1917. | 252 | 192 | 213 | 218 | 152 | 228 | 212 | 198 |
|  | . 248 | 210 | 238 | 229 | 167 | 265 | 243 | 225 |
| 1919. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January. | 249 | 226 | 221 | 234 | 159 | 245 | 246 | 218 |
| February | 250 | 226 | 221 | 235 | 156 | 242 | 235 | 212 |
| March. | 240 | 205 | 23. | 223 | 154 | 235 | 246 | 213 |
| April. | 243 | 206 | 229 | 223 | 154 | 239 | 243 | 213 |
| May. | 245 | 208 | 236 | 226 | 177 | 254 | 258 | 230 |
| June. | 246 | 208 | 244 | 229 | $1 \times 2$ | 258 | 271 | 238 |
| July.... | 244 | 208 | 275 | 231 | 203 | 257 | 283 | 249 |
| August.. | 25.5 | 208 | 320 | 242 | 206 | 273 | 283 | 255 |
| September | 258 | 208 | 328 | 245 | 206 | $2 \times 7$ | 278 | 257 |
| October. | 260 | 226 | 322 | 253 | 222 | 305 | 284 | 270 |
| November | 266 | 226 | 332 | 258 | 226 | 325 | 292 | 280 |
| December... | 270 | 228 | 336 | 260 | 234 | 334 | 296 | 256 |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January. | 274 | 230 | 356 | 265 | 256 | 343 | 312 | 302 |
| February | 297 | 237 | 415 | 286 | 267 | 362 | 329 | 318 |
| Mareh. | 345 | 237 | 393 | 300 | 263 | 360 | 318 | 312 |
| April. | 346 | 265 | 392 | 315 | 263 | 354 | 321 | 311 |
| May.. | 351 | 244 | 473 | 318 | 273 | 308 | 311 | 298 |

Fmployment, as reported by trade-unions, was very good during April. No statistics are available for May or June, but trade papers report that some mills were temporarily closed.

Percentage of trade-union unemployment $(1,560,904$ membership).

|  | 1918 | 1919 | 1920 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January. | 1.0 | 2.4 | 2.9 |
| February. | . 9 | 2.8 | 1.6 |
| March. | 1.2 | 2.9 | 1.1 |
| April. | . 9 | 2.8 | . 9 |
| May. | . 9 | 2.1 |  |

The price cutting which was reported as taking place in textile raw materials last month continued during May and June. Recently yarn and cloth markets in both the cotton and woolen industries have also been affected in some degree.

Production of coal during the month was not up to recent high standards, but that of pig iron and steel ingots was better than at any time since the armistice. The low figure for coal production during the last week of May is due to holidays.

The weekly coal production during April and May was as follows:

| Week ending- | Tons. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Apr. 3. | 3, 979, 747 |
| Apr. 10. | 3, 337, 793 |
| Apr. 17 | 4, 833, 072 |
| Apr. 24. | 4, 989,666 |
| May 1. | 4, 564, 564 |
| May 8 | 4, 674,302 |
| May 15 | 4,860,668 |
| May 22 | 4, 830,707 |
| May 29. | 3, 199, 947 |

The following table gives the monthly average production of pig iron and crude steel for 1913, the first five months of 1919, and the corresponding months of 1920 :


Total exports from the United Kingdom continue to increase. In May exports of foreign
and colonial merchandise were not quite as large as in April, but exports of British merchandise were 12 per cent greater than in the month previous.
Value of exports (including reexports) of the United Kingdom.


## TRANCE.

Aside from the series of strikes in the first half of the month, the most important aspects of the industrial and financial situation in France during May were the increase in the exchange ralue of the franc, the continued shortage of coal, and the sharp decline in textile and nonferrous metal prices.

The change in the foreign exchange situation which took place during May was partly the result of import restrictions imposed by the Government, and of voluntary restriction of foreign purchases, and partly the result of the increased exports from France in May and the three months previous. The prospect of an improvement in the financial situation of the French Government may also have exerted an influence. The following table shows the change which took place in foreign exchange rates during the month:

| Paris on- | Franes to the--- | Par value. | A verage value Apr. 30, 1920. | A verage value May 31, 1920. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| London | Pound. | 25.22 | $64.20 \frac{1}{2}$ | 49.87 |
| New York | Dollar.. | 5.1825 | 16.66! | 12.80 |
| Belgium. | Franc. | 1.00 | 1. $06{ }_{4}^{1}$ | 1. $04 \frac{1}{4}$ |
| Denmark | Crown. | 1.39 | 2. 83 | 2. 18 |
| Spain. | Peseta | 1.00 | 2. $82 \frac{1}{4}$ | 2. 06 |
| Holland | Florin. | 2.09 | 6. $06 \frac{1}{2}$ | 4. 75 |
| Italy.... | Franc.... | 1.09 | . 74.7 | . $76 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| Norway. | Crown.... | 1.39 | 3. $20{ }^{\frac{3}{4}}$ | 2. $36 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| Sweden..... | Crown... | 1.38 1.00 | 3.54 2.96 | 2.80 2.32 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Eource: Les Temps, Paris.
The condition of the Bank of France changed very little from the last of April to the last of May. The gold reserve of the bank rose from $3,609,033,000$ francs to $3,608,776,000$ francs in that period, and its note circulation fluctuated from just below to just above $38,000,000,000$ francs. As in previous months of 1920, Government revenue from taxes and monopolies
exceeded budget estimates. The May receipts were not as large as those for April, however, partly because of the strikes which occurred during the month and partly because the restriction of imports of luxuries reduced customs receipts.
The 1920 budget has not yet become a law. The Senate on June 2 approved a bill which provides an additional $9,500,000,000$ francs a year for Government expenses, a sum larger than that approved by the Chamber of Deputies the 1st of May. The law in its amended form has now been returned to the lower house. If it is approved there, the Government will be provided with enough revenue to meet its current expenses (except the expenses of reconstruction for which Germany is responsible) and will have beside $1,000,000,000$ francs a year to provide a sinking fund for the Government debt.

Group index numbers-France. [Bulletin de la Statistique Générale.]
[1913=100.]

| Date. | Animal food. | Vegetable foods. | Sugar, coffee, and cocoa | Foods (20). | Minerals. | Textiles. | Sundries. | Materials (25). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1913. | 100 | 100 | 109 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 1914. | 103 | 103 | 106 | 104 | 98 | 109 | 99 | 101 |
| 1915. | 126 | 126 | 151 | 131 | 164 | 132 | 145 | 145 |
| 1916. | 162 | 170 | 164 | 167 | 232 | 180 | 199 | 206 |
| 1917. | 215 | 243 | 201 | 225 | 271 | 303 | 302 | 291 |
| 1918. | 286 | 298 | 231 | 281 | 283 | 460 | 420 | 387 |
| 1919. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January. | 337 | 321 | 230 | 313 | 271 | 416 | 427 | 376 |
| February | 343 | 321 | 236 | 316 | 243 | 399 | 420 | 360 |
| March. | 439 | 277 | 236 | 337 | 246 | 322 | 404 | 337 |
| April. | 436 | 277 | 236 | 336 | 243 | 335 | 387 | 330 |
| May.. | 397 | 275 | 237 | 319 | 231 | 346 | 390 | 330 |
| June. | 381 | 268 | 255 | 313 | 236 | 372 | 398 | 344 |
| July......... | 372 | 336 | 257 | 338 | 267 | 406 | 394 | 358 |
| Angust...... | 360 | 309 | 263 | 323 | 273 | 434 | 398 | 367 |
| September.. | 396 | 308 | 264 | 334 | 279 | 476 | 402 | 381 |
| October. | 402 | 337 | 268 | 353 | 295 | 554 | 403 | 405 |
| November. . | 425 | 351 | 270 | 369 | 323 | 620 | 415 | 435 |
| December... | 432 | 380 | 278 | 375 | 357 | 649 | 419 | 458 |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January. | 452 | 432 | 419 | 440 | 413 | 787 | 465 | 525 |
| February | 484 | 474 | 436 | 474 | 434 | 828 | 503 | 561 |
| March. | 500 | 511 | 439 | 498 | 460 | 884 | 548 | 600 |
| April. | 522 | 512 | 429 | 506 | 498 | 953 | 587 | 646 |
| May . | 480 | 480 | 424 | 472 | 459 | 841 | 601 | 614 |

The decline in commodity prices which took place during May was the result of several factors. Lower prices in important foreign markets, agitation for price reductions by the press and the public, and the improvement in exchange rates all contributed to the decreases which occurred in the prices of raw silk, cotton and wool, copper, tin and lead, cacao, lard, and minor food products. Coal and coke prices continued to rise, however, and prices for pig iron and semimanufactured iron and steel products followed in their wake.

The coal shortage and the transportation situation continue to hamper French industry.

The Ministry of Commerce has promised to bring forward a solution for the latter problem within the month, but there seems to be no immediate prospect of increasing coal production. In April coal receipts from all sources totaled only $3,602,567$ metric tons ( 178,987 tons less than the quite insufficient March receipts) and figures for the 1st of May are even more discouraging.

Coal received in France.


In spite of the coal shortage and the difficulties of transportation, French foreign trade figures for the first four months of 1920 show signs of increasing production within the country.
French imports and exports (exclusive of gold and silver).
[Based on 1918 values. Expressed in millions of dollars, gold parity.]


The increase in exports continued to be larger than the increase in imports during April and a preliminary report for May states that the value of French exports during the first five months of 1920 was $\$ 744,000,000$ greater than in the same months of 1919 (the base on which they are calculated being the same), but that imports in this period were only $\$ 371,000,000$ larger than were imports from January through May, 1919. Although French imports are still more than twice as large as French exports, the trend is encouraging. At this time last year the value of imports equaled almost five times the value of exports.

[^3]


INTERNATIONAL PRICE INDEX.
In the following table are presented wholesale price indexes for groups of commodities in

United States markets, computed according to methods described in the May Bulletin. Similar indexes are under way for England, France, Italy, and Japan.

The quotation for worsted yarn, 1-50 domestic fine, French system, could not be included in the computation because of unsettled market conditions. The source for the quotation for fuel oil has been changed, causing changes in certain of the numbers.

The most striking feature to be noted is that for the first time since September of last year the prices of producers' goods has come to a standstill. The increase in the price of raw materials amounted to only 1 point for the month, but that of consumers, goods amounted to 4 points. There has been a slight decline in the price of exported goods and a somewhat larger increase in the price of goods imported.

Index numbers-United States.
[Average prices $1913=100$.]

| Date. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Goods } \\ & \text { pro- } \\ & \text { duced. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Im- } \\ \text { port- } \\ \text { ed. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ex- } \\ & \text { port- } \end{aligned}$ ed. | Consumed. | Raw rials. |  | Consumers' goods. | All. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1913. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January. | 190 | 105 | 100 | 100 | 99 | 105 | 99 | 100 |
| February | 100 | 104 | 100 | 100 | 99 | 105 | 99 | 100 |
| March | 100 | 103 | 99 | 101 | 100 | 105 | 99 | 101 |
| April. | 101 | 101 | 99 | 101 | 101 | 103 | 99 | 101 |
| May. | 100 | 100 | 99 | 100 | 100 | 102 | 99 | 100 |
| June.. | 100 | 99 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 101 | 101 | 100 |
| July.. | 100 | 99 | 99 | 100 | 98 | 101 | 102 | 100 |
| August. | 100 | 101 | 99 | 102 | 101 | 100 | 101 | 101 |
| September | 101 | 100 | 102 | 101 | 102 | 98 | 101 | 101 |
| October... | 101 | 98 | 103 | 99 | 102 | 96 | 101 | 100 |
| November | 99 | 98 | 102 | 98 | 100 | 95 | 101 | 99 |
| December. | 98 | 93 | 99 | 96 | 98 | 91 | 99 | 97 |
| 1919. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January.. | 197 | 168 | 200 | 195 | 195 | 193 | 196 | 195 |
| February | 191 | 168 | 192 | 190 | 190 | 191 | 188 | 189 |
| March. | 193 | 163 | 194 | 191 | 196 | 185 | 188 | 191 |
| April. | 198 | 165 | 194 | 196 | 201 | 181 | 197 | 196 |
| May.. | 204 | 172 | 211 | 201 | 209 | 185 | 202 | 202 |
| June. | 204 | 180 | 214 | 202 | 208 | 192 | 202 | 203 |
| July.. | 214 | 176 | 224 | 211 | 217 | 200 | 211 | 212 |
| August. | 221 | 174 | 219 | 218 | 217 | 206 | 224 | 218 |
| September | 215 | 170 | 212 | 212 | 211 | 203 | 216 | 212 |
| October. | 215 | 174 | 226 | 212 | 213 | 207 | 214 | 212 |
| November | 222 | 179 | 242 | 217 | 220 | 213 | 219 | 219 |
| December. | 225 | 203 | 243 | 225 | 223 | 223 | 225 | 224 |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January.. | 244 | 212 | 250 | 241 | 234 | 236 | 242 | 237 |
| February | 245 | 216 | 246 | 242 | 232 | 247 | 240 | 237 |
| March. | 250 | 218 | 252 | 247 | 235 | 263 | 241 | 243 |
| April. | 265 | 242 | 264 | 263 | 262 | 274 | 257 | 263 |
| May.. | 266 | 246 | 262 | 264 | 263 | 274 | 261 | 264 |

## DISCOUNT AND INTEREST RATES.

In the following table is presented actual discount and During the period under review the upward movement interest rates prevailing during the 30-day period ending of interest rates has continued in a considerable number

June 15, 1920, in the various cities in which the several Federal Reserve Banks and their branches are located. A complete description of the several types of paper for which quotations are given will be found in the September, 1918, and October, 1918, Federal Reserve Bulletins. Quotations for new types of paper will be added from time to time
as deemed of interest.

Discount and interest rates prevailing in rarious centers ciuing so-day period cnding June 15, 1920.

| District. | City. | Prime commercial paper. |  |  |  | Interbankloans. loans. | Bankers accoptances, bo to 90 days. |  | Colateral lians-stock exchangeor other current |  |  | Cattle | $\begin{gathered} \text { Serured by } \\ \text { warehouse } \\ \text { freceipts, } \\ \text { etc. } \end{gathered}$ | Ordinaryloans tocoustomerssecured byLibertyhonds andcertifatesof indebt-edness. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Customers. |  | Open market. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 30 \text { to } 90 \\ \text { days. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \text { to } 6 \\ & \text { months. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30 \text { to } 90 \\ \text { days. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \text { to } 6 \\ & \text { monthe } \end{aligned}$ |  | lndorsed. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 「n- } \\ & \text { indorsed. } \end{aligned}$ | Demand. | 3 monthe. | $\stackrel{3 \text { to } 6}{\text { monthe }}$ monthe. |  |  |  |
| No. $1 . .$. | Boston. New Berk i | $\begin{array}{lll} H & L & C . \\ 8 & 6 & 7 \\ 7 & 6 & 6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll} \text { II. } & \text { L. } & 0 \\ 8 \\ 7 T_{2}^{2} & 6 & 6 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{lll} H & L & C \\ 8 & 7 . & C \\ 8 & 7 \frac{3}{3} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{ccc} H & I & C \\ 8 & 6 & 8 \\ 12 & 6 & 6 \\ 1 & 6 & 6 \end{array}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{ccc} H & L & c \\ 8 & 6 \frac{1}{2} & 7 \frac{1}{2} \\ 7 & 6 & 6 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{array}{lll} \text { Il. } & \text { L. } & C \\ 8 & 6 \cdot \\ 7 & 6 & 6 \\ 7 & 6 \end{array}$ | H. L. C. | H. L. C. | $\begin{array}{lll} H 1 & C & C \\ 7 & 6 & 6 \\ 6 & 5! & 6 \\ 7 & 50 & 6 \end{array}$ |
|  | Buffalo Philadelphia... | $\begin{array}{ccc}7 & 6 & \cdots \\ 6 & 6 & 6\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ccc}7 & 6 & \\ 6 & 6 & \ldots\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cccc}7 & & \\ 7 & 6 & 7 \\ 8 & 71 & 8\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ccc}7 & 6 & 7 \\ 8 & 71 \\ 7 & 7\end{array}$ |  | ${ }_{6} \times 6 . . .$. |  | $\begin{array}{ccc} 16 & 6 & \ldots \\ 6 & 6 & 6 \end{array}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{ccc} 7 & 0 & 0 \\ 6 & 6 & 6 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\left.\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 6 & 0 \\ 6 & 6 & 6 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{lll} 7 & 6 & 6-612 \\ 6 & 5 x \end{array}$ |
| No. 4. | Cleveland. | 7 6 7 | 7 6 7 | ${ }^{8} 87^{1} 8$ | $8{ }^{8} 878$ | $\begin{array}{lll}6 & 6 & 6 \\ 6 & 6 & 6\end{array}$ |  | $6^{1} 6^{61} 61$ | 7 | $\begin{array}{lll}7 & 6 & 7\end{array}$ | 7 6 7 <br>    |  |  |  |
|  | Pittsburgh. Cincinngti. | $\begin{array}{ccc}6 & 6 \\ 71 & 6 \\ 6 & 6-6 \frac{1}{2}\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}6 & 6 & 6 \\ 7 & 6 & 6 \\ 63\end{array}$ | 8   <br> 8 71 $7 \frac{1}{1}$ <br> 7 $6 \frac{1}{2}$  |  | $\begin{array}{llll}6 & 6 & 6 \\ 7 & 6 & 6\end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{lll}6 & 6 \\ 8 & 7 & 6 \\ 8\end{array}$ | 6   <br> $7_{1}^{1}$ 6 6 | $\begin{array}{lll}6 & 6 \\ 7 & \\ 7 & \\ 7\end{array}$ |  |  | \| $\begin{array}{llll}6 & 6 & 6 \\ 63 & 6 & 6\end{array}$ |
| N | Cincinnati. Richmoni. |  |  | ( ${ }^{7}$ | $\begin{array}{ccc}7 & 6 & \\ 6 & 6 & \ldots\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}7 & 6 & 6 \\ 6 & 6 & 6\end{array}$ | $6 \frac{1}{3} 66 \frac{1}{1}$ | $6 \frac{1}{6} 606$ | $\begin{array}{lll}8 & 7 & 7 \\ 6 & 6 & 6\end{array}$ |    <br> 7 7 7 <br> $6^{2}$ 7 7 | $\begin{array}{ccc}7 \frac{1}{4} & 7 & 7 \\ 6 & 6 & 6\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{llll}7 & 6 \frac{1}{2} \\ 6 & 6 & 7\end{array}$ | [ $\begin{array}{lll}61 & 6 \\ 6 & 6 & 6 \\ 6 & 6 & 6\end{array}$ |
|  | Baltimore.. | ${ }^{6} 6^{6} 96$ | $\begin{array}{llll}6 & 6 & 6\end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{llll}6 & 6 & 6\end{array}$ | $\square_{6} 66$ |  | ${ }_{8}^{6} \quad 6 \quad 6$ | $\begin{array}{llll}6 & 6 & 6 \\ 8\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}6 \\ 6 & 6 & 6 \\ 8 & 6 & 6\end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{llll}6 & 6 & 6 \\ 8 & 6 & 6\end{array}$ |
| No. 6.... | Atlanta....... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ( $\begin{array}{ccc}8 & 6 & 6 \\ 8 & 6 & 6-7\end{array}$ |
|  | Birmingham.. Jacksonville.. | $\begin{array}{lll}8 & 6 & 6-7 \\ 8 & 6 & 7\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}8 & 6 & 6-7 \\ 8 & 6 & 7\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{ccc}88 & 6 & \\ 8 & 6 & 7\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ccc}8 & 6 & 6-7 \\ 6 & 6 & 6\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cccc}88 & 6 & 6 \\ 7 & 7 & 7\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}8 & 6 & 6 \\ 7 & 7 & 7\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ccc}8 & 6 & 6-7 \\ 8 & 7 & 7\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ccc}8 & 6 & 6-7 \\ 8 & 7 & 7\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ccc}8 & 6 & 6-7 \\ 8 & 68 & 7\end{array}$ |  | 8 610 | (18cc |
|  | Now Orleans.. | 8 6 $7-7 \frac{1}{2}$ <br> 8   | $8{ }_{8}^{8} 86$ | $8 \quad 618$ | $\begin{array}{llll}8 & 61 & 7\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}8 & 6 & 6-7\end{array}$ | $8 \quad 6{ }^{\frac{1}{2}} 7-7$ | $8 \quad 68378$ | $8 \quad 6{ }^{8}$ 7-71 | $\begin{array}{llll}8 & 6 & 7-7 \\ 8 & 6 & 7\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}8 & 6 \\ 8 & 6 & 7-7 \frac{7}{2}\end{array}$ |  | 88 |  |
| No. 7.... | Nashville. | $\begin{array}{lll}8 & 6 \\ 7 & 6 & 7 \\ 7\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}8 & 6 & 6 \\ 7 & 6 \\ 61 & 7 \\ 8\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}7 & 7\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{ccc}8 & 6 & 6 \\ 7 & 6 \frac{1}{2} & 7\end{array}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{llll}8 & 6 \\ 7 & 7 \\ 7 & 7 \\ 8\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}8 & 6 \\ 7 & 6 & 7 \\ 61\end{array}$ | 7 \% | $\begin{array}{lll}8 & 6 \\ 7 & 7 & 7\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} 6 & 6 & 0 \\ 7 & 6 & \ldots \end{array}$ |
| No. 7.... | Detroit. | $7{ }^{7} 687$ | $\begin{array}{llll}7 & 6 & 6 \\ 7 & 7\end{array}$ | ${ }_{7}^{7} 780$ | 877 | $\begin{array}{llll}7 & 6 & 6 & \\ 7 & 6 \frac{1}{2}\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{llll}6 & 6 & \\ 8\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}7 & 6 & 61\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}7 & 6 & 63 \\ 8 & 6 & 63\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}7 & 6 & 6 \\ 8 & 6\end{array}$ | 7 |  | $\begin{array}{llll}7 & 6 & & 61\end{array}$ |
| No. 9. |  | $\begin{array}{llll}7 & 61 & 7 \\ 6 & 6 & 7 \\ & 6\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}7 & 7 & 7 \\ 6 & 6 & 6\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 7 $6 \frac{1}{4}$ 7 <br> 6 6 7 <br> 8   | $\begin{array}{llll} \\ 8 & 63 \\ 6 & 6 & 7 \\ \\ & 6 & 6\end{array}$ | 8 61 7 <br> 6 6  |  | $6 \quad 6 \quad 6$ | 7 61  <br> 6 6 7 <br>    |
|  | Louisville <br> Memphis. | 66 |  | $8 \quad 7{ }^{7} \quad 7$ | 8 7 ${ }^{1}$ |  | ${ }^{6+}$ | ${ }^{81} 806$ | 6 | 6 6 |  |  | 66 | 6 ¢ |
|  | Little Rock. | $8{ }^{8} 1617$ | $\begin{array}{llll}8 & 7 & 7 \\ 8 & 7 & 72\end{array}$ | $87{ }^{-3}$ | 8 | 7 61  <br> $7-1$ 7 7 <br> 1   |  | $\begin{array}{llll}63 & 6 & 63\end{array}$ | 7 | 71 | 71 |  |  | 7 |
| No. 10... | Minneapolis. | 867 |  | $8 \quad 6 \quad 7 \frac{1}{31}$ | 867 7 | $\begin{array}{lll}8 & 6 & 6\end{array}$ |  |  | 86 | $8{ }^{8}$ | 86 |  | $8^{2} \times 167$ | $\begin{array}{llll}7 & 61 \\ 8 & 6 & 7\end{array}$ |
|  | Omaba.. | $\begin{array}{lll}9 & 7 & 7 \frac{1}{3}\end{array}$ | $10 \quad 63$ | $8{ }^{8} 7^{7}$ |  |  |  |  | 88 | 87 | 87 | 10878 |  | $\begin{array}{llll}8 & 61 & 8 \\ 8 & 6 & \\ 8\end{array}$ |
|  | Denver. | $\begin{array}{llll}8 & 6 & 7 \\ 71 & 6 & 6^{3}\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 6 7 <br> 8 $6 \frac{7}{2}$ 7 <br> 1   |  |  |
| No. 11... | Dallas... |  | $\begin{array}{cccc}7 \frac{1}{2} & 6 & 7 \\ 10 & 6 & 8\end{array}$ |  | $7 \frac{1}{21}$ $6 \frac{1}{2}$  <br> 9 8  <br>  8 8 | 7 6 $\frac{61}{2}$ <br> 8 7 8 | 7 6a |  | $\begin{array}{llll}8 & 61 \\ 88 & 82 & 7 \\ 8 & 8 & 8\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}8 & 61 & 71 \\ 9 & 8 \\ 8 & 8 \\ 8\end{array}$ |  |  | $86 \frac{18}{} 8$ | $\begin{array}{lll} 8 & 6 & 7 \frac{1}{2} \\ 9 & 7 & 8 \end{array}$ |
|  | Houston. | $\begin{array}{lll}8 & 612 \\ 7 & 7\end{array}$ |  | $7^{3} 66^{2} 7$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{llll}8 \\ 8 & 7 & 7\end{array}$ |  | 8 7 7 <br> 18   | 87 |  |
| No. 12... | San Francisco. Portland..... | 7 ${ }^{7} 86$ | $\begin{array}{llll} 7 & 6 \\ 7 \frac{1}{2} & 6 & 61 \\ 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cccc}7^{4} & 61 & 71 \\ 7 & 61 \\ 7 & 6 & 78\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{lll}6 \frac{1}{2} & 6 \\ 7 & 6 \\ 7 & 6 & 6 \\ 6\end{array}$ | (1) ${ }^{6}$ |  | 7 780 | $\begin{array}{ccc}7 & 6 & 61 \\ 8 & 6 & 7^{2}\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll} 7 & 6 & 60 \\ 8 & 6 & 6 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |
|  | Seattle........ | $8{ }^{8} 806$ | $\begin{array}{lll}72 & 6 \\ 8 & 6 & 7\end{array}$ |  | 8 7 72 <br> 8 6 7 | $\begin{array}{lll}7 \\ 7 & 6 & 6 \\ 7 & 6\end{array}$ |  |  | 886 | $8{ }_{8}^{8} 6$ | $\begin{array}{lll}8 \\ 8 & 6 & 7 \\ 8 & 6\end{array}$ |  |  |  |
|  | Spokane | 867 | $8 \quad 157$ |  |  |  |  |  | 8 617 | 86 | $8{ }_{8} 8$ |  |  | 8867 |
|  | Salt Lake City | $8{ }^{8}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}8 & 7 & 8\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}7 \\ 7 & 7\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}8 & 7 & 7\end{array}$ | 886 |  |  | 97 | 8 | 8 |  |  | 878 |
|  | Los Angeles... | $8 \quad 56$ | $8 \quad 67$ | $7{ }^{3} 67$ |  | $\begin{array}{llll}7 & 5 & 6\end{array}$ |  | $7 \frac{1}{2} 66$ 6\% | $6 \quad 6 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6 | $\begin{array}{llll}8 & 6 & 7\end{array}$ |  |  | $8 \quad 57$ |

## PHYSICAL VOLUME OF TRADE.

In continuation of tables in the June Federal Reserve Bulletin there are presented in the following tables certain data relative to the physical volume of trade. The January, 1919, issue contains a description of the methods employed in the compilation of the data and the construction of the accompanying index numbers. Additional material will be presented from time to time as reliable figures are obtained.

The data presented below indicate an improvement in the production and distribution of goods in May, 1920, both as compared with April, 1920, and May, 1919. This improvement is, no doubt, largely a reflection of improved transportation conditions.
Only in the textile group is there any important indication of decline in activity. Cotton consumption shows a moderate decline in May as compared with April of this year. However, considerable improvement is seen in May of this year as compared with May of last year. A similar situation is noted in the case of cotton spindles active during the month, a considerable decline being noted in this case as compared with April of this year but a moderate improvement as compared with May, 1919. The percentage of wool machinery idle likewise shows an increase in May, 1920, as compared with April, 1920, and a decrease as compared with May, 1919. In June there was a considerable increase in wool machinery idle. The imports of raw silk in May, 1920, show a moderate increase over April, but a very large decrease as compared with May, 1919.

Production of bituminous coal shows a moderate increase in May, 1920, as compared with the previous month and corresponding month of the previous year. The production of crude petroleum shows an increase as compared with the previous month and with the same month last year.

In the iron and steel industry production of pig iron and steel ingots shows an increase in May, 1920, as compared with April and a very considerable increase as compared with May, 1919. Unfilled orders of the United States Steel Corporation show a moderate increase in May, 1920, over April, and a large increase as compared with May, 1919. It should be noted in this connection that unfilled orders of the United States Steel Corporation did not decline in April, 1920, but rather showed a considerable increase, due largely, no doubt, to the fact that the railroad strike made it impossible for the corporation to fill the normal number of orders on hand. Moreover in comparing figures of May of this year with those of May last year it should be remembered that at that time the iron and steel industry was in the midst of the post-armistice depression.
The receipts of lumber at Chicago showed a decided increase in May, 1920, as compared with April and with May, 1919. Californiashipments of citrus fruits and live-stock receipts at 15 western markets showed a large increase in May of this year as compared with April, 1920, but some decrease as compared with May, 1919. Cotton sight receipts show a well-defined seasonal increase in May of this year.

The tonnage of vessels cleared was heavier in May, 1920, as compared with April and with May, 1919.

Live-stock movements.
[Bureau of Markets.]

|  | Receipts. |  |  |  |  | Shipments. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cattle and calves, 60 markets. | Hogs, 60 markets. | Sheen, 60 markets. | Horses and mules, 44 markets. | Total, all kinds. | Cattle and calves, 54 markets. | Hogs, 54 markets. | Sheep, 54 markets. | Horses and mules, 44 markets. | Total all kinds. |
| 1919. | Head. | Head. | Head. | Head. | Head. | Head. | Head. | Head. | Head. | Head. |
| May. | 1,007,266 | 3, ${ }^{3,868,8,838}$ | 2, 177, ${ }^{1}, 4242$ | 33,966 48,691 | 7, 7 723, 735 | 706, 843 | 1, ${ }_{963,662}$ | 614,275 | 33,971 43,738 | 2, 711,581 |
| August. | 2,019,139 | 2,103,609 | 3,211, 331 | 81,917 | 7,415,996 | 894, 816 | 690,821 | 2,014,267 | 74,268 | 3,674,172 |
| September. | 2,377,054 | 2,401,677 | 3,810,441 | 140, 848 | 8,730,020 | 1, 150, 183 | 860,614 | 2,466,937 | 135,724 | 4,613,458 |
| October... | 2, 9889,090 | 3,144, 831 | ${ }^{3,605}, 198$ | 124, 497 | ${ }_{9}^{9,883,} 8176$ | 1,532, 297 | 1,103,837 | 2,159,531 | 135,701 | 4,921, 366 |
| Necember. | $2,680,042$ $2,169,631$ | $3,724,859$ 5,020 | 2, 293,431 | 1406,192 8666 | $\xrightarrow{9,374,244} \mathbf{9}$ | 1, 9674,160 | 1,608, 292 | 1,183, 602 | 13,619 86,534 | 4, $4,845,588$ |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| February | 1, 1488,370 | 3, 423, 992 | 1,387, 111 | 108,056 | 6,387, 529 | 591, 691 | 1,287, 169 | 572,634 | 110,827 | 2,562,321 |
| March. | 1, 803,073 | 3, 963,245 | 1,255,490 | 82,584 | 7,104,392 | 570,323 | 1,399, 485 | 483,550 | 87, 896 | 2,541, 254 |
| April. | 1,542,150 | 3,030, 801 | 1,441,072 | 48,036 | 6,062, 059 | 593, 362 | 1,119,205 | 724, 718 | 47,998 | 2,485, 283 |
| May.. | 1,766,394 | 4, 234, 022 | 1,421,009 | 40,901 | 7,462,326 | 771, 865 | 1,374,902 | 769,718 | 40,021 | 2,956,506 |

## Receipts and shipments of live stock at 15 western markets.

[Chicago, Kansas City, Oklahoma City, Omaha, St. Louis, St. Joseph, St. Paul, Sioux City, Cincinnati, Cleveland , Denver, Fort Worth, Indianapolis, Louisville, Wichita.]

RECEIPTS.
[Monthly average, 1911-1913=100.]

|  | Cattle and caives. |  | Hogs. |  | Sheep. |  | Horses and mules. |  | Total, all kinds. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Head. | Relative. | Head. | Relative. | Head. | Relative. | Head. | Relative. | Head. | Relative. |
| May................ | 1,262,065 | 125 | 3,049, 223 | 139 | 934,613 | 68 | 20,665 | 45 |  |  |
| July. | 1,527,881 | 152 | 2,411, 539 | 110 | 1,558, 767 | 114 | 37,646 | 82 | 5, 335,833 | 120 |
| August. | 1,541, 133 | 153 | 1,595, 759 | 73 | 2,220, 229 | 162 | 56,926 | 124 | 5, 414,047 | 117 |
| September | 1,871,042 | 186 | 1, 704, 944 | 78 | ${ }_{2}^{2,890}, 831$ | 212 | 88, 003 | 191 |  | 142 |
| October... | 2,317,487 | ${ }_{203}^{230}$ | ${ }^{2}, 160,1079$ | $\begin{array}{r}98 \\ 124 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 2, 405, 1.111 | 176 128 18 | 78,940 883 | ${ }_{182}^{171}$ | 6,962,017 | 1.51 |
| Necember. | 1,650, 215 | 164 | 3,715,955 3,785 | 124 | 1, $1,589,238$ | 1128 | 83,838 53,173 | 116 | $6,589,646$ $7,078,595$ | 143 |
| 192 ). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January .. | 1,400, 031 | 139 | 3,912,449 | 178 | 1,035, 591 | 76 | 90,662 | 197 | 6, 438, 733 | 139 |
| February. | 1,068,092 | 114 | ${ }^{2}, 440,154$ | 119 | 948,116 | ${ }_{66} 74$ | 76,048 | 168 | 4,532,410 | 105 |
| March.: | $1,203,499$ $1,040,903$ | 119 | $2,910,909$ $2,150,281$ | $\begin{array}{r}132 \\ 98 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 903, 299 928,191 | 66 68 | 57,880 | 126 68 | $5,072,587$ $4,150,610$ | 110 90 |
| May.... | 1,209, 656 | 120 | 3,128, 249 | 152 | 796, 160 | 58 | 25,469 | 55 | 5,159,534 | 112 |

SHIPMENTS.

| May 1919. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May. | 530,153 515,071 | 130 127 | 787,009 691,283 | ${ }_{113}^{162}$ | 290, 604 | $\begin{array}{r}58 \\ 138 \\ \hline 18\end{array}$ | 18,185 32,616 | 46 80 | 1,626,150 | 113 |
| August. | 650, 252 | 160 | 455,705 | 94 | 1,352, 252 | 269 | 49,716 | 122 | 2,507, 925 | 175 |
| Septembe | 872,043 | 2 T | 501,856 | 104 | 1,849,958 | 367 | 82,984 | 203 | 3,306,841 | 230 |
| October.. | 1,154,995 | 284 | 654,755 | 135 | 1,382,419 | 275 | 80, 528 | 197 | 3, 272,697 | 228 |
| November. | 993, 148 | 244 | 788, 107 | 163 | 945,992 | 188 | 78,709 | 192 | 2,805,956 | 195 |
| Decomber. | 686, 325 | 169 | 1,003,682 | 207 | 682, 439 | 136 | 55,551 | 136 | 2, 427,997 | 169 |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tanuary.. | 548,841 | ${ }_{113}^{135}$ | 1,026, 763 | ${ }_{2}^{212}$ |  | 80 |  | ${ }_{207}^{221}$ | 2,069,616 | 144 |
| Ferruary | 427,608 418,310 | 113 103 | 814,253 923,526 | 180 191 | 334,012 298,878 | 71 59 | 79,100 62,625 | ${ }_{153}^{207}$ | $1,654,973$ $1,703,339$ | 1124 |
| A pril. | 414,967 | 102 | 712,087 | 147 | 373,381 | 74 | 31, 348 | 76 | 1,531,783 | 107 |
| May. | 515,062 | 127 | 822,907 | 170 | 317,012 | 63 | 24,617 | 60 | 1, 658,588 | 117 |

Exports of certain meat products.
[Department of Commerce.)
[Monthly average, $1911-1913=100$. ]

|  | Beel, canned. |  | Beef, fresh. |  | Beei, pickled, and other cured. |  | Bacon. |  | Hams and shoulders, cured. |  | Lard. |  | Pickled pork. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pounds. | Relative. | Pounds. | Relative. | Pounds. | Relative. | Pounds. | Relative. | Pounds. | Relative. | Pounds. | Relative. | Pounds. | Relative. |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1919 . \\ \text { мау.... } \end{array}$ | 5,669, 232 | 856 | 14, 872,987 | 1,199 | 2,957, 163 | 111 | 68, 957, 465 | 412 | 49, 707, 874 | 333 | 55, 807, 234 | 127 |  | 47 |
| July... | 5, 392, 104 | 814 | 8,680,524 | 700 | 3,320,564 | 124 | 117, 679,193 | 703 | 47, 452,834 | 318 | 68, 163,734 | 155 | 2,392,515 | 54 |
| August.. | 2,894, 361 | 437 | 8,075,366 | 651 | 2,494,113 | 93 | 84, 150, 778 | 502 | 40, 147, 727 | 269 | 48,968,628 | 111 | 2,117,796 | 48 |
| September | 1,213, 709 | 183 | 7,285,951 | 587 | 3, 523, 887 | 132 | 57, 179, 511 | ${ }_{3}^{341}$ | 18, 209, 239 | 122 | 36,960, 364 | 84 | 2,792,439 | ${ }^{63}$ |
| October... | $1,793,784$ 1,393 1 | ${ }_{210}^{271}$ | - ${ }^{31,178,694,002}$ | 2, 1,265 | $3,402,422$ $2,997,652$ $3,13,68$ | 112 | 56,462,312 $65,288,694$ | 337 390 | $13,090,972$ $16,844,285$ | 113 | ${ }_{42,106,518}^{4189}$ | 93 96 | $3,804,290$ $4,934,695$ | ${ }_{11} 86$ |
| Necember. | $1,886,835$ | 285 | $\stackrel{1}{15,061,769}$ | 1,489 | 3, 135,069 | 117 | 58,982, 754 | 352 | 15,688, 297 | 105 | 63,645, 722 | 145 | 4, 225,550 | 93 |
| $\begin{gathered} 1920 . \\ \text { January.. } \end{gathered}$ | 1,081,643 | 163 | 22,872,223 |  | 1,670,500 | 63 | 77,501,002 | 463 | 13,905,923 | 93 | 38,823,902 | 88 |  |  |
| February.. | 1,735, 132 | 119 | 13,010, 993 | 1,124 | 1,631,457 | 65 | 75, 891,195 | 486 | 24, 217,706 | 174 | 36,644,906 | 89 | 3,710, 308 | 90 |
| March..... | 847, 397 | 128 | 6,036, 166 | 487 | 2,290, 835 | 86 | 75, 002, 410 | 448 | 31,088, 859 | 208 | 69, 429,785 | 158 | 3,160,456 | 71 |
| April.. | 1,606, 737 | 243 | 17, 687, 306 | 1,426 | 2,241,460 | 84 | 24, 355, 349 | 145 | 15, 640, 236 | 105 | 40,758,401 | 93 | 2,784, 535 | 63 |
| May... | 5, 976, 493 | 902 | 4,304, 038 | ${ }^{3} 47$ | 3,056,449 | 114 | 50,412,388 | 301 | 17,896, 764 | 120 | 55,544,483 | 126 | 3,816,157 | 86 |

## Receipts of grain and flour at 17 interior centers.

[Chicago, Cleveland, Detroit, Duluth, Indianapolis, Kansas City, Little Rock, Louisville, Memphis, Milwaukee, Minneapolis, Omaha, Pcoria St, Louis, Spokane, Toledo, Wichita: receipts of flour not available for Cleveland, Detroit, Indianapolis, Louisvile, Omaha, Spokane, Toledo, and Wichita.]
[Compiled from reports of trade organizations at these cities.]
[Monthly average. 1911-1913=100.]

|  | Wheat. |  | Corn. |  | Oats. |  | Rye. |  | Barley. |  | Total grain. |  | Flour. |  | Total grain and flour. ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bushels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Rela- <br> tive. | Bushels. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rela- } \\ & \text { tive. } \end{aligned}$ | Bushels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Relative. | Barrels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Relative. |
| $1919 .$ | 11,625,657 |  | 10,301,000 |  | 19,206,465 |  | 4,280,911 |  | 8,423,141 | 117 | 53, 837, 174 |  | 2,447, 200 | 125 | 64,489,5-4 | 75 |
| July.... | 49,612, 115 |  | 12,549,219 |  | 25, 233,109 |  | 3, 105,486 |  | 8,627,091 | 120 | 99, 127,020 |  | 1,572,420 |  | 106,202,910 | 122 |
| August... | 80, 714,559 |  | 8,503,282 |  | 29,774,582 |  | 3,824, 263 | 345 | 6,638,871 |  | 129, 455, 557 |  | 2,283,145 |  | 131,738, 702 | 152 |
| September | 69,953, 295 |  | 16, 267, 145 |  | 26, 721, 030 |  | 5,446,371 |  | 5, 294, 256 | 74 | 123, 682, 097 |  | 3,073, 034 |  | 137,510, 750 | 159 |
| October... | 51, 006, 164 |  | 12, 490, 107 |  | 24, 323, 974 |  | 4,472,397 | 404 | 4,369,326 | 61 | 96,661,968 |  | 3,468, 787 | 177 | 112,271,510 | 129 |
| November. | 35, 729, 832 |  | 14, 606, 137 |  | 17,699,925 |  | 2,579,579 | 233 | 3,582, 873 | 50 | 74, 198, 346 |  | 2,541,957 | 181 | 90, 137, 153 | 104 |
| December. | 30,582, 779 |  | 23,983,657 |  | 15, 592, 282 |  | 2, 876,636 |  | $3,769,859$ | 53 | 76, 805, 213 | 99 | 2,371,262 | 121 | 87,475, 892 | 101 |
| $1920 .$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 117 |  | 102 |
| February.. | 18,115, 324 |  | 26, 051,855 |  | 20,575, 654 |  | $3,263,686$ | 316 | 2, 470,622 | 37 | 70, 477, 141 |  | 2,059,421 | 113 | 79,744,536 | 99 |
| March.... | 18,007, 798 |  | 24,306, 196 |  | 19, 149, 624 |  | 3,548, 739 | 321 | 2,928, 440 | 41 | 67, 940,797 |  | 1,617,544 | 83 | 75,219,745 | 87 |
| April...... | 15,260, 236 |  | 11,326,509 |  | 12,952,593 |  | 2,914,553 |  | 2,245, 881 | 31 | 44, 699, 772 |  | 888,423 | 45 | 48,697,676 | 56 |
| May....... | 20,510,063 |  | 12,107,950 |  | 16,724,389 |  | 3,758,507 |  | 2,690,076 | 38 | 55,790,985 |  | 1,913,075 | 98 | 64,399,823 | 74 |

Shipments of grain and flour at 14 interior centers.
[Chicago, Cleveland, Detroit, Dulath, Kansas Citv, Little Rock, Louisville, Milwaulee, Minneapolis, Omaha, Peoria, St. Louis. Toledo, Wichita; shipments of flour nst available for Cleveland, Detroit, Louistille, Umaha, Toledo, and Wichita.]

|  | Whoat |  | Corn |  | Oats. |  | Rye. |  | Barley. |  | Total grain. |  | Flour, |  | Total grain and flour. 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bushels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Rolative. | Bushels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Relative. | Barrels. | Rela- tive. | Bushels. | Relative |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1919 . \\ \text { Mav.... } \end{array}$ | 31,901,327 | 207 | 7,78.5,341 |  | 7,072,917 |  | ,525, 819 | 1,063 | ,677,508 | 171 | 70,962,912 |  | 320,591 | 128 | 90,405,572 | 140 |
| July. | 12,423,422 | 81 | 8, 102, 275 |  | 15,628,503 |  | 1,546,100 |  | 9, 133,004 | 234 | 46, 833,304 |  | 2,589,176 | 76 | 58,484,596 | 90 |
| August | 36,986,491 | 240 | 5, 135, 459 |  | 17,919, 623 |  | 1,436,377 |  | 5,028, 674 | 129 | 66, 506,624 |  | 3, 805,273 | 112 | 83, 630, 353 | 129 |
| September | 37, 730,048 | 245 | 6,622, 779 |  | 16,651,580 |  | 2,317,740 |  | 2,943,167 | 75 | 66, 265, 314 | 134 | 4, 787,300 | 141 | 87, 808, 164 | 136 |
| October.. | $25,813,130$ | 167 | 7,116,502 |  | 16, 705,015 |  | 1, 426,528 |  | 3,087,951 | 79 | 54, 149, 126 |  | 5,975,261 | 176 | 81, 037,801. | 125 |
| November. | 20, 877, 718 | 135 | 6,609,629 |  | 15,582,081 |  | $3,110,541$ |  | 2,827,956 | 73 | 49,007,925 | 99 | 5, 604,616 | 165 | 74, 228, 697 | 115 |
| December. | 17,383,075 | 113 | 11, 509, 719 |  | 12, 433, 716 |  | 2, 255, 139 |  | 2,624,376 | 67 | 46, 206,025 | 94 | 4,470,122 | 132 | 66,321,574 | 103 |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January ... | 17,514, 087 | 114 | 12,326,051 |  | 15, 822,099 |  | $3,685,914$ $2,113,505$ |  | 2,007,718 | 51 | 51, 355,869 | 104 92 | 4,140.314 | 122 | $69,987,282$ <br> $56,791,118$ | 108 94 |
| Febrtary.. | $14,114,215$ |  | 11, 977,640 |  | 13, $14,243,089$ |  | $2,113,505$ $3,062,530$ |  | 1,306,340 | 36 40 | $42,584,789$ $41,074,604$ |  | 3,156,962 | 100 | $56,791,118$ $54,395,392$ | 84 |
| March... | 11,0 $11,058,643$ | 72 | 11, $5,371,8811$ |  | 14, $8,691,440$ |  | $3,082,530$ $8,811,500$ | 1,245 | 1,574, 681 | 42 | 41,074, $35,584,903$ |  | 1,702, 132 | 50 | 43, 244,497 | 87 |
| May....... | 20,720,121 | 134 | 5,939,14o | 42 | 20,444,288 |  | 6,977,479 |  | 1,488,387 | 38 | 55, 569, 420 | 112 | 2,877, 122 | 85 | 68,516,469 | 106 |

${ }^{1}$ Flour reduced to its equivalent in wheat on basis of $4 \frac{1}{2}$ bushels to barrel.
Receipts of grain and flour at nine seaboard centers.
[Boston, New York, Philadelphia, Baltimore, New Orleans, San Francisco, Portland (Oreg.), Seattle, Tacoma; receipts of flour not available for Seattle and Tacoma.!
[Compiled from reports of trade organizations at these cities.
[Monthly average, 1911-1913=100.|

|  | Wheat. |  | Corn. |  | Oats. |  | Rye. |  | Barley. |  | Total grain. |  | Flour. |  | Total grain and Hour. ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cusheis. | Relative. | Bushels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Relatire. | Bushels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Relative. | Barrels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Rela. tive. |
| Mar 1919. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May. | 14, 157, 852 |  | 1, 388,571 |  | 6, 0459,186 | 146 | 1,058, 478,551 | 1,041 | 9, 723,452 |  | 24,869,658 |  | 1,514,135 |  | 41, 683,266 | 158 116 |
| July.. | 5, 806, 227 | 214. | 901,842 815,132 |  | $6,959,186$ $5,676,984$ | 146 | $1,478,510$ 61,710 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,041 \\ 43 \end{array}$ | 4, $4,993,395$ |  | 24, 869,658 |  | 1,514, 385 |  | 44, 685, 907 | 116 |
| Septembe | 28,010, 858 | 222 | 512,072 |  | $5,345,464$ | 113 | 534,301 |  | 2,171,521 |  | 36,574,216 |  | 2,306,213 | 221 | 46, 952, 175 | 171 |
| October. | 14, 755, 827 | 117 | 507, 065 |  | 4,335, 038 |  | $1,717,301$ | 1,209 | 796, 839 |  | 22, 112,070 |  | 2, 521, 329 |  | 33, 458, 051 | 122 |
| November. | 9,152, 534 | 73 | 438, 147 |  | 3,998, 525 |  | 1,391,024 |  | 851,651 |  | 15, 831, 881 |  | 1, 552, 796 |  | 22, 819, 463 | 83 |
| December | 5,782, 777 | 46 | 816,630 |  | 2,991, 717 |  | 1,664,755 | 1,172 | 2,309, 085 | 139 | 13, 564,964 |  | 2,149, 458 |  | 23, 237, 525 | 85 |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January. | 5,711,009 |  | 1, 491, 759 |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 2,663, \\ & 9 \end{aligned}\right., 274$ |  | 2,643,611 | 1,861 2,423 | 1,297, 3159 |  | 13, 807, 492 |  | 1,561,693 |  | 20, 835,111 | 76 |
| February | $4,898,690$ $6,486,745$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,244,393 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\mathbf{2}, 331,246$ |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 3,212,668 \\ 4,119,986 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,423 \\ & 2,900 \end{aligned}$ | 1,315, 291 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 13,002,288 \\ & 16,757,978 \end{aligned}$ |  | 1, 102, 606 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 17,964,015 \\ & 24, \end{aligned}$ | 70 90 |
| March. April. | $6,486,745$ $5,441,434$ |  | 1, 203, $1,317,559$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3,646,727 \\ & 1,546,590 \end{aligned}$ |  | 4, 119, 986 | 2, 2000 | $1,300,871$ <br> 685,054 |  | 16, 757, 9788 |  | 1,752,860 |  | $24,645,848$ $16,228,605$ | 90 59 |
| May. | 10,621,723 |  | 767,332 |  | 2,382,271 | 50 | 5, 117, 806 | 3,602 | 556, 764 | 34 | 19, 445,896 |  | 1,301, 211 |  | 25, 301, 346 | 92 |

Flour reduced to its equivalent in wheat on basis of $4 \frac{1}{2}$ bushels to barrel.

Stochs of grain at eight seaboard centers at close of month.
Boston, New York, Philadelphia, Baltimore, New Orleans, Newport News, Galveston, San Francisco
Compiled trom reports of trade organizations at these cities.
Bushels.?

|  |  | Wheat. | Corn. | Oats. | Rye. | Barley. | Total grain. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1919. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May. |  | 7,913, 162 | 448, 020 | 4, 047,059 | 1,690, 860 | 4,263, 510 | 18,362, 611 |
| July... |  | $5,557,644$ $17,396,269$ | 265, 196 | 3,760,063 | 867, 491 | 5,522, 176 | 15,978,570 |
| August.... |  | 17,396, 269 | 155, 491 | 2, 216,989 | 578,250 | 5,414, 183 | 25, 761, 182 |
| September. |  | 21,171,440 | 172, 254 | 1,901, 510 | 516, 142 | 4,061, 830 | 27, 823,176 |
| October... |  | 25, 322, 242 | 82, 240 | 1, 898, 271 | 488,270 | 3,079,360 | 30, 865,383 |
| November. |  | $18,728,730$ $13,053,280$ | 155, 490 | 2, 504, 833 | 1,264,494 | 2,351,012 | 25,004, 559 |
| December |  | 13,053, 280 | 279, 451 | 2,435, 455 | 709,276 | 3,007,379 | 19, 484, 841 |
| January. |  | 8,485,491 | 711,501 | 2, 398,639 | 2,397, 156 | 2,587,543 | 16,580, 330 |
| February |  | 6,634, 682 | 948, 239 | 1,571, 209 | 2,671, 743 | 2,340,787 | 14, 166,660 |
| March... |  | 6, 280,682 | 851, 287 | 1,351, 457 | 2,389,321 | 1,891.862 | 12, 764,609 |
| April |  | 7,704,155 | 967, 475 | 389,958 | 1,944, 350 | 2,034,983 | 13, 040,921 |
| May. |  | 10,781,927 | 437,521 | 819,730 | 1,889,965 | 1,071,920 | 15,001,123 |

Note.-Figures tor San Francisco include also stocks at Port Costa and Stockton.
Cotton.
INew Orleans Cotton Exchange.
Crop years 1911-1913=100.

|  | Sight receipts. |  | Port receipts |  | Overland move-ment. |  | American spinners' takings. |  | Stocks at ports and interior towns at close ot month. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bales. | Relative. | Bales | Relative. | Bales. | Relative. | Bales | Relative. | Bales. | Relative. |
| 1919-20. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| August. | 313, 301 | 25 | 238,271 | 26 | 49,630 | 47 | 302, 238 | 67 | 1,412,048 | 120 |
| September.- | 584,776 | 47 | 260,698 | 28 | 26, 138 | 25 | 300,001 | 66 | 1,501, 805 | 127 |
| October.... | 1,779,927 | 142 | 1,029, 331 | 112 | 110, 202 | 105 | 621,784 | 137 | 2,347, 881 | 199 |
| November | 2,369,177 | 189 | 1,178, 443 | 128 | 245, 237 | 233 | 1,155, 324 | 254 | 2,616,383 | 222 |
| December | 2,147,365 | 171 | 1,069,693 | 116 | 242,940 | 231 | 1, 214,337 | 267 | 2, 765,040 | 235 |
| January. | 1,526,622 | 122 | 982, 030 | 107 | 205, 233 | 195 | 793,453 | 175 | 2,470, 496 | 219 |
| February | 1,003,226 | 86 | 725,515 | 85 | 138,084 | 141 | 374,093 | 88 | 2,510,482 | 213 |
| March... | 1,088,882 | 87 | 621, 808 | 68 | 168, 573 | 103 | 270,269 | 59 | 2, 276,737 | 193 |
| April. | 529,889 | 45 | 499, 187 | 54 | 48,565 | 46 | 276, 805 | 61 | 2, 148, 038 | 182 |
| May. | 316,683 | 25 | 289,809 | 32 | 57,661 | 55 | 214,678 | 47 | 1, 913, 407 | 162 |

California shipments of citrus and deciduous fruits.
|1911-1913=100.

|  |  | Oranges. |  | I emons. |  | Total citrus fruits. |  | Total deciduous fruits. <br> Carloads. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Carloads. | Relative. | Carloads. | Relative. | ( arlcads. | Relative. |  |
| May | 1914. |  | 241 | 1,501 | 371 | 7,389 | 259 | 276 |
| July... |  | 2,5:8 | 105 | 1,038 | 256 | 3,606 | 127 | 4,199 |
| Angust. |  | 1,785 | 73 | 436 | 108 | 2,221 | 78 | 6,601 |
| September |  | 1,840 | 75 | 414 | 102 | 2,254 | 79 | 6,781 |
| October.... |  | 2,70\% | 111 | 572 | 141 | 3,278 | 115 | 5,529 |
| November. |  | 3,277 | 133 | 442 | 109 | 3,699 | 130 | 2,141 |
| December. |  | 3,592 | 147 | 271 | 67 | 3,863 | 136 | 197 |
|  | 102\%, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jantary.. |  | 2,457 | 100 | C30 | - 156 | 3,047 | 108 | 123 |
| February. |  | 2,683 | 118 | 852 | 225 | 3,535 | 133 | 139 |
| March.... |  | 4,715 | 193 | 651 | 161 | 5,366 | 188 | 155 |
| April... |  | 3,720 | 152 | 508 | 125 | 4,228 | 148 | 22 |
| May.... |  | 5,048 | 206 | 1,353 | 334 | 6,401 | 225 | 24 |

Sugar.
Datafor ports of New York, Boston, Philadelphia.)
[Weekly Statistical Sugar Trade Journal.]
[Tons of 2,240 pounds. Monthly average 1911-1913=100.]

|  | Receipts. |  | Meltings. |  | Raw stocks at close of month. |  |  | Receipts. |  | Meltings. |  | Raw stocks at close of manth. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tons. | Relative. | Tons. | Relative. | Tons. | Relative. |  | Tons. | Relative. | Tons. | Relative. | Tons. | Relative. |
| May 1919. |  |  |  | 167 |  | 73 | 1920. January. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July. | 264,782 | 144 | 292,000 | 159 | 57,975 | 34 | February | 316,667 | 184 | 269,000 | 157 | 88, 653 | 50 |
| August. | 246,419 | 134 | 229,000 | 125 | 75,394 | 44 | March.... | 335, 532 | 182 | 333,000 | 182 | 88,185 | 51 |
| September | 262,137 | 142 | 292,000 | 159 | 45, 531 | 26 | April. | 315, 588 | 189 | 307,000 | ${ }_{167}^{167}$ | 91,765 | 53 |
| October... | 233,650 | 127 84 | 216,000 177,000 | 118 96 | 63,181 40,855 | 37 24 | May..... | 254,616 | 138 | 286,000 | 156 | 60,381 | 36 |
| December. | 96,342 | 52 | 126,765 | 69 | 10,432 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Naval stores.
[Data for Savannah, Jacksonville, and Pensacola.]
[In barrels.
[Compiled from reports of trade organizations at these cities.]


Lumber.
[From reports of manufacturers' associations.]
[M feet.)

|  | Southern pine. |  |  | Western pine. |  |  | Douglas fir. |  |  | Eastern white pine. |  |  | North Carolina pine. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\operatorname{Num}_{\text {ber }}$ mills. | Production. | Shipments. | Number of mills. | Production. | Shipments. | Number of mills. | Production. | Shipments. | Number of mills. | Production. | Shipments | Number of mills. | Production. | Shipments. |
| $\text { Mav } 1919 .$ | 205 | 414,899 | 460, 238 |  | 140,037 | 127, 730 |  | 345,984 | 388, 803 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July. | 206 | 401,939 | 466, 786 | 48 | 148, 533 | 140,680 | 114 | 268, 634 | 301,050 | 9 | 27,382 | 22,470 | ${ }_{35}$ | 22,326 | 34, 191 |
| August. | 204 | 417,036 | 423,002 | 48 | 152, 748 | 140,236 | 118 | 416, 422 | 397, 290 | 11 | 20,247 | 26, 839 | 36 | 27, 177 | 30, 159 |
| September | 202 | 416, 640 | 372,727 | 51 | 154, 102 | 138,537 | 126 | 332,905 | 261, 797 | 12 | 16,913 | 22,574 | 35 | 33,146 | 35, 468 |
| October. | 201 | 421,025 | 356, 124 | 52 | 156, 828 | 143,252 | 124 | 419,108 | 339, 321 | 10 | 12,888 | 18,139 | 26 | 24,055 | 22, 079 |
| November. | 202 | 391,347 | 344,717 | 51 | 110,525 | 117,472 | 126 | 324,511 | 241,301 | 11 | 2,786 | 21,596 | 31 | 24,925 | ${ }^{26,926}$ |
| December. | 198 | 353, 923 | 363,176 | 51 | 65,989 | 93,377 | 129 | 227, 331 | 176,935 | 11 | 4,776 | 17,840 | 27 | 19,048 | 26,241 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1920 . \\ \text { January.... } \end{array}$ | 202 | 386,481 | 404,706 | 53 | 69,895 | 144,180 | 128 | 327,568 | 344,568 | 21 | 38,007 | 63,614 | 25 | 24,678 | 26,283 |
| February. | 203 | 383,239 | 369,047 | 51 | 85,583 | 147,180 | 124 | 332,511 | 295, 934 | 21 | 32,551 | 59,687 | 15 | 15,534 | 15, 202 |
| March. | 205 | 436,944 | 424,775 | 50 | 130, 425 | 156,211 | 123 | 342,918 | 329, 012 | 21 | 43,771 | 61,620 | 24 | 29,633 | 29, 896 |
| April. | 205 | 438,056 | 359,461 | 51 | 167, 165 | 133,114 | 126 | 359,651 | 274,597 | 21 | 46,222 | ${ }^{61,757}$ | 13 | 13,659 | 10,613 |
| May. | 205 | 430,271 | 347,404 | 51 | 183,621 | 132, 181 | 124 | 424,687 | 383,346 | 20 | 12,731 | 26,323 | 14 | 15,992 | 18,657 |

Receipts and shipments of lumber at Chicago.
1 Chicago Board of Trade.
[Monthly average, 1911-1913=100.1

|  | Receipts. |  | Shipments. |  |  | Receipts. |  | Shipments. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M feet. | Rela. tive. | M feet. | Relative. |  | M feet. | Relative. | M feet. | Rela. tive. |
| 1919. |  |  |  |  | 1920. |  |  |  |  |
| July | ${ }^{162,365}$ | ${ }_{94}^{77}$ | 66,001 | 118 | January. | 208, 145 | 98 119 | 71,233 | ${ }_{114}$ |
| August.. | 170,385 | 80 | 87,953 | 115 | March..... | 284,146 | 134 | 122,401 | 160 |
| September.. | 205, 909 | 97 | 93, 120 | 121 | April..... | 124,725 | 59 | 51, 995 | 67 |
| October.. | 208,638 | 98 | 95, 674 | 125 | May.. ........ | 187, 931 | 89 | 89, 259 | 116 |
| November.. | 176, 972 | $\begin{array}{r}83 \\ 107 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 70, 175 | 104 |  |  |  |  |  |
| December... | 226, 617 | 107 | 79,553 | 104 |  |  |  |  |  |

Coal and coke.
[Bituminous coal and coke, U. S. Geological Survey; anthracite coal, Anthracite Bureau of Information.]
[Monthly average, 1911-1913=100. 1

|  | Bituminous coal, estimated monthly production. |  | Anthracite coal, shipments over 9 roads. |  | Beehive coke, estimated monthly production. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Short tons. | Relative. | Short tons. | Relative. | Short tons. | Relative. |
| May............................... 1919 | 37,547,000 | 101 | 5,711,915 | 101 | 1, 135,840 |  |
| July... | 42,698,000 | 115 | 6,052, 334 | 108 | 1,503,367 | 57 |
| August. | 42,883,000 | 116 | 6,144, 144 | 109 | 1,733,971 | 66 |
| September. | 47, 402,000 | 128 | 5,687,401 | 101 | 1,790,466 | 68 |
| October. | 56, 243,000 | 152 | 6, 560, 150 | 117 | 1,551,979 | 59 |
| November.. | $18,688,000$ $36,612,000$ | 50 99 | $\stackrel{5}{5}, 971,671$ | 106 109 | 1, $1,680,785$ | 64 |
| December. | 36,612,000 | 99 | 6,138, 460 | 109 | 1,760,800 | 67 |
| 1920 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January... | $48,689,000$ 40 | 131 | $5,713,319$ $4,913,664$ | 102 94 | 1,982,000 | ${ }_{71} 7$ |
| March..... | 46,792,000 | 116 |  | 94 | $1,731,000$ $2,025,000$ | 77 |
| April. | 37,966,000 | 102 |  |  | 1,602, 167 | 61 |
| May.... | 39,059,000 | 105 |  |  | 1, 689,500 | 65 |

Crude petroleurn.
[U. S. Geological Survey.]
[Barrels of 42 gallons each.!

|  | Produced. |  | Stocks at end of month (barrels). |  | Produced. |  | Stocks at end of month (barrels). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Barrels. | Relative. |  |  | Barrels. | Relative. |  |
| 1919. |  |  |  | 1920. |  |  |  |
| May.. | 29,985,000 | 156 | 130, 321,000 | January.... | 33,980,000 | 177 | 127, 164,000 |
| August.. | $33,862,000$ | 177 | 136,467,000 | March.... | $36,461,000$ | 190 | 125,597,000 |
| September. | 33,667,000 | 176 | 137, 131,000 | April. | 36, 201,000 | 189 | 124,991,000 |
| October... | 33, 319,000 | 174 | 135,461,000 | May...... | 36,859,000 | 192 | 124,633,000 |
| November. | 32,114,000 | 168 170 | $131,601,000$ $127,867,000$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | 32,508,000 |  | 127,86,00 |  |  |  |  |

Total output of oil refineries in United States.
[Bureau of Mines.]

|  |  | Crude oil run (barrels). | Gasoline (gallons). | Kerosene (gallons). | Gas and fuel (gallons). | Lubricating <br> (gallons). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1919. |  |  |  |  |  |
| April |  | 27, 775,217 | 319, 807,838 | 183,453,728 | 588, 808, 408 | 70,954, 128 |
| July |  | 31, 202, 522 | 342, 491,757 | 205, 727,289 | $638,185,469$ | 67,037,414 |
| August. |  | 32,362,057 | 326, 846, 167 | 219,502,888 | $685,702,461$ | 72, 920, 214 |
| September |  | 32,601,044 | 339,582, 564 | 199, 244, 293 | $683,409,674$ | 70,236,692 |
| October. |  | 33,682,968 | 363, 456, 747 | 227, 104, 346 | 680, 158, 446 | 78, 658, 410 |
| November. |  | 32,213,754 | 338,667,570 | 214, 829,925 | $663,309,514$ | 75,962,212 |
| December |  | 32,427, 617 | 335, 659, 587 | 229, 476, 468 | 685, 084, 086 | 72,040, 862 |
|  | 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |
| January |  | 30, 815, 160 | 336,719,157 | 195, 956, 392 | 617, 555, 156 | 75, 878, 635 |
| February |  | 29, 208,723 | 322, 588, 697 | 194, 523, 334 | 589,684, 857 | 74, 243, 073 |
| March. |  | 33, 592,004 | 367, 137,678 | 191, 110, 175 | $686,945,963$ | 81, 818,973 |
| April. |  | 32,852,040 | 355, 597, 451 | 184,469,017 | 643,088,785 | 85,568,064 |

STOCKS AT CLOSE OF MONTH.

|  | 1919. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apr. 30. |  | 15, 184, 844 | 593, 616, 170 | 276,356, 837 | 807, 895, 498 | 170, 122, 088 |
| July 31.. |  | 15, 304, 915 | 514,919,358 | 279, 855,061 | 817, 809,519 | 173, 884, 303 |
| Aug. 31. |  | 15, 131, 549 | 434, 531, 446 | 296,065, 646 | 830, 329, 785 | 170, 572, 819 |
| Sept. 30 |  | 13,925,441 | 371, 125, 419 | 311, 843, 057 | 862, 135, 385 | 158, 967, 070 |
| Oct. 31. |  | 14,091, 945 | 354, 160, 071 | 329, 160, 795 | $823,574,452$ | 152, 536, 736 |
| Nov. 30. |  | 13, 983, 716 | 378, 133, 185 | 347, 070,560 | 791, 052, 991 | 149,193, 143 |
| Dec. 31. |  | 13, 143, 285 | 446, 793, 431 | 339,319, 690 | 714, 124, 455 | 137,318,934 |
|  | 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. 31 |  | 13,200, 727 | 515, 934, 364 | 327, 548, 646 | 652,080,901 | 141,690, 177 |
| Feb. 29. |  | 13,500, 599 | 562,996, 489 | : 30, 120, 942 | 590, 322, 125 | 132, 759, 244 |
| Mar. 31. |  | 14,346,458 | 626,393, 046 | 334, 617, 117 | $580,182,858$ | 130, 630,597 |
| Apr. 30 |  | 15,145,691 | 643,552,644 | 376,358, 123 | 590,687,009 | 140,355,972 |

Iron and steel.
Great Lakes iron-ore movements, Marine Review; pig-iron production, Iron Age; steel-ingot production, American Iron and Steel Institute.]
IMonthly average, 1911-1913=100; iron ore, monthly average, May-November, 1911-1913=100.]


Imports of pig tin.
[Department of Commerce.]
|Monthly average, $1911-1913=100$.]

|  | Pounds. | Relative. |  | Pounds. | Relative. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1919. |  |  | 1920. |  |  |
| May...... | 449,270 | 5 | Sanuary.. | 8,772,953 | 97 |
| August... | 9,872, 459 | 109 | March.... | 11,980,019 | 132 |
| September. | 11,087,403 | 122 | April..... | 10,345, 130 | 114 |
| October.. | 16,210,512 | 178 | May ... | 9,102,341 | 100 |
| November..... | 15,233,671 | 168 |  |  |  |
| December..... | 12,940,125 | 1 12 |  |  |  |

[Bureau of Markets.]
[In pieces.]

|  |  | Cattle hides. | Calfskins. | Kipskins. | Geat | Kid. | Cabretta. | Sheep and lamb. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1919 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 31.. |  | 4,551,004 | 2,273,368 | 386,244 | 15, 121, 868 | 1,246,075 | 2, 044,524 | 8,039,531 |
| July 31.. |  | 4,966,081 | 2,389,368 | 554,516 | 15,589,944 | 1,964,828 | 2, 767,694 | 6, 815, 160 |
| Aug. 31. |  | 5,498,844 | 2, 145, 320 | 585, 269 | 18, 263,446 | 880, 276 | 2,348,769 | 7,126,885 |
| Sept. 30. |  | 6,158, 289 | 2,055, 084 | 947,546 | 16, 749,664 | 823,740 | 2, 736,802 | 8,661,215 |
| Oct. 31. |  | 6,436,765 | 2,007,208 | 1,097,039 | 15,302,942 | 2,239,604 | 2,574,499 | 10, 122, 930 |
| Nov. 30. |  | 6,918,534 | 1, 844, 737 | 1,188, 173 | 14,248,671 | 331,389 | 2,684,084 | 9,398,712 |
| Dec. $31 .$. |  | 7,349,146 | 2, 117, 442 | 1,122,156 | 15,984,179 | 752,055 | 2,092,425 | 9,296,812 |
|  | 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. 31. |  | 6,773,360 | 1,920,184 |  | 13,474,529 |  | 1,893,614 |  |
| Feb. 29. |  | $6,559,337$ $6,558,300$ | $1.859,697$ $1,930,218$ | 1,141,620 | $16,481,328$ $15,968,660$ | 665,524 468,188 | 2, 197,683 | $9,460,914$ $9,297,252$ |
| Mar. 31. |  | 6,558,300 | 1,930, 218 | 966,850 | 15,968,660 | 468, 188 | 2,047,519 | 9, 227, 252 |
| Apr. 30. |  | $6,072,895$ $5,831,341$ | $2,281,370$ $2,720,610$ | 834,711 922,682 | $14,666,590$ $14,120,271$ | 156,871 791,150 | $1,947,499$ $2,253,785$ | $8,911,681$ $8,978,852$ |
| May 31.. |  | 5,831,341 | 2,720,610 | 922,682 | 14,120, 71 | 791,150 | 2,253, 785 | 8,978,852 |

Note.-Figures for May 31 are provisional.
Textiles.
[Silk, Department of Commerce; cotton, Bureau of the Census; wool, Bureau of Markets; idle machinery, January-September, 1918, inclusive, National Association of Wool Manufacturers.]
|Cotton, monthly average crop, years 1912-1914=100; silk, monthly average, 1911-1913=100.]

|  | Cotton consumption. |  | Cotton spindles active during month. | Wool consumption (pounds). | Percentage of idle woolen machinery on first of mionth to total reported. |  |  |  |  |  | Imports of raw silk. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Looms. |  | Sets of cards. | Combs. | Spinning spindles. |  |  |  |
|  | Bales. | Relative. |  |  |  |  | Wider than 50inch reed space. | Under 50 -inch reed space. | Woolen. | Worsted. | Pounds. | Relative. |
| 1919 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May.. | 487,934 | 108 | 33,531,313 | 45,084, 834 | 36.6 | 32.9 | 17.1 | 22.5 | 16.8 | 25.8 | 4,878,646 | 238 |
| July. | 510,328 | 113 | 34, 171,690 | 54, 973,093 | 22.0 | 26.0 | 9.7 | 7.6 | 8.9 | 13.5 | 5,202,407 | 254 |
| August | 502,536 | 112 | 34, 187,310 | 48,938, 476 | 22.1 | 24.9 | 9.4 | 6.5 | 8.9 | 10.9 | 3, 802, 500 | 186 |
| September | 491, 313 | 109 | 34, 216, 662 | 52,985,961 | 19.9 | 22.8 | 8.1 | 5.5 | 7.9 | 12.8 | 6,755,271 | 330 |
| October. | 555, 344 | 123 | 34,307,367 | 60,018,415 | 16.0 | 20.7 | 8.2 | 5.9 | 7.7 | 7.2 | 3,955, 845 | 193 |
| November. | 490,698 | 109 | 34,483,775 | 52,42S, $8 \mathbf{4}$ | 14.8 | 18.2 | 7.6 | 5.3 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 4, 841, 407 | 237 |
| December. | 511,585 | 114 | 34,594,214 | 55,566,253 | 13.9 | 19.1 | 10.5 | 5.3 | 8.4 | 6.2 | 3,576,585 | 175 |
| 1920 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January . | 591,725 | 132 | 34,739, 71 | 63, 059, 862 | 14.5 | 18.5 | 8.8 | 7.2 | 9.1 | 10.2 | 4, 855,989 | 237 |
| February | 516,594 | 123 | 34,668, 643 | 55,247,652 | 12.2 | 17.6 | 7.6 | 6.9 | 7.1 | 7.9 | 3,696, 121 | 194 |
| March | 575,704 567,839 | 128 126 | $34,667,747$ $34,346,737$ | $58,344,602$ $57,887,832$ | 14.9 13.1 | 19.8 16.9 | 9.8 9.6 | 7.0 7.1 | 10.3 9.5 | 11.7 7.0 | 2,491,651 | 122 |
| May. | 541,080 | 120 | 34,066, 236 | 50,649,381 | 15.2 | 18.2 | 10.6 | 6.7 | 11.5 | 7.0 | 2,505,798 | 122 |
| June. |  |  |  |  | 26.8 | 22.4 | 21.1 | 15.9 | 23.1 | 14.2 |  |  |

Production of wood pulp and paper.
[Federal Trade Commission.।
Net tons. ${ }^{\text {j }}$

|  | Wood pulp. | Newsprint. | Book. | Paper board. | Wrapping. | Fine. |  | Wood pulp. | Newsprint. | Book. | Paper board. | Wrapping. | Fine. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1919 May...... |  | 105,819 |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1920 . \\ \text { January } \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July.. | 260,685 | 113, 929 | 75,613 | 169, 593 | 63, 669 | 30,036 | February. | 266, 191 | 114, 235 | 85, 532 | 176,855 | 61,574 | 29, 202 |
| August. | 260,987 | 113,413 | 82,737 | 189, 782 | 64, 861 | 33, 122 | March. | 327, 143 | 127, 847 | 95, 851 | 207, 863 | 68,403 | 33,671 |
| September | 266,915 | 112,434 | ${ }^{81,024}$ | 184, 897 | ${ }_{63,353}$ | 31, 923 |  | 350, 194 | 128, 269 | 95, 251 | 199,395 | 75, 347 | 33, 493 |
| October. | 308, 710 | ${ }_{\text {112, }}^{125} \mathbf{2 1 6}$ |  | 202,524 | 67,110 63,394 | 34,808 32 3 | May. | 363,815 | 129, 230 | 92,856 | 213,475 | 70,511 | 31,575 |
| November | 324,488 306,617 | 122,781 | 84,085 88,779 | 182,949 | 63,394 62,288 | $\begin{aligned} & 3,468 \\ & 31,014 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sale of revenue stamps for manufactures of tobacco in the United States (excluding Porto Rico and Philippine Islands).
[Commissioner ol Internal Revenue.

|  | Cigars. |  | Cigarettes. | Manutobacco. |  | Cigars. |  | Cigarettes. | Manu- <br> factured <br> tobacco. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Large. | Small. | Small. |  |  | Large. | Small. | Small. |  |
| May 1919. | Number. | ${ }_{57}^{\text {Number. }}$. | $\stackrel{\text { Number. }}{\text { 2 }}$ | Pounds. | \% 1920. | Number. | Number. | Numbe | ${ }_{\text {Pounds. }}$ |
| July. | 569, 908,339 | 47, 500,287 | 3,585,030, 983 | 33,838,667 | February | 593, ${ }^{\text {a }}$, 212,200 | 43, 358 ' 500 | 4, ${ }^{536}$, 117 ', 847 | ${ }_{31}^{33,531,460}$ |
| August | 533, 227,393 | 54, 953,647 | 3, $918,403,687$ | 35,568,246 | March. | 753,239,958 | 55,052, 100 | 4,373,778,917 | 38,422,481 |
| September | 575, 777, 829 | 53,735,960 | 4,283, 247, 387 | 36,623,005 | April. | 663,577,579 | 56,548,853 | 3,756,989,397 | 34,327,970 |
| October. | 677,622,154 $655,421,893$ | $64,170,793$ $56,080,813$ | 5,028,875,337 | $39,335,546$ 32965,088 | May. | 676,227,828 | 59,943, 280 | 3,953,345,380 | 34,875,839 |
| November. | 662,046,997 | 45,491,540 | 4,578,641,450 | $29,479,443$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Output of locomotives and cars.
[Locomotives, United States Railroad Administration; cars, Railway Car Manufacturer's Association.]


Vessels built in United States, including those for foreign nations, and officially numbered by the Bureau of Navigation.
[Monthly average, 1911-1913=100.]

|  | Number. | Gross tonnage. | Re'ative. |  | Number. | Gross tonnage. | Relative. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 191). |  |  |  | 1920. |  |  |  |
| June | 245 | 422,889 <br> 397 <br> 628 | 1,749 | Fanuary..... | 1150 | ${ }_{267}^{253,680}$ | 1,050 |
| August | 238 | 455,338 | 1,884 | March.... | 170 | 279,709 | 1,157 |
| September | c02 | 378,858 | 1,568 | April. | 164 | 251,442 | 1,040 |
| October. | 210 | 357,519 | 1,479 | May. | 184 | 185, 145. | 766 |
| November.... | 143 | 347,051 294,064 |  | June. | 198 | 257,076 ${ }^{\text {. }}$ | 1,105 |
| December.... | 149 | 294,064 | 1,27 |  |  |  |  |

Tonnage of vessels cleared in the foreign trade.
[Department of Commerce.]
[Monthly average, 1911-1913=100.]

|  | Net tonnage. |  |  |  | Per-centage American to total. | Rela-tive. |  | Net tonnage. |  |  |  | Per-cent$\xrightarrow[\text { age }]{\text { ameri }}$ can to total. | Relative. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | American | Foreign. | Total. | Relative. |  |  |  | American. | Foreign. | Total. | Rela |  |  |
| 1919. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May.... | $\stackrel{\text { 2, }}{2,362,581}$ | 2, $2,920,247$ | $\stackrel{4}{4,294,} 818$ | ${ }_{136}^{126}$ | 44.7 | 177 | February. | 1,702,407 | 1, $1,688,212$ | $3,883,183$ $3,330,619$ | 100 92 | 49.8 <br> 51.1 | ${ }_{202}^{197}$ |
| August | 2,957, 249 | 2,797, 818 | 5,755,067 | 148 | 51.4 | 203 | March... | 1,836,716 | 2,040,538 | 3,877, 254 | 100 | 47.4 | 187 |
| September. | 2,627, 480 | 2, 481,676 | 5,109, 156 | 131 | 51.4 | 203 | April... | 2, 504,038 | 1,960,634 | 4,46.1,672 | 115 | 56.1 | 222 |
| October. |  | $2,073,560$ $1,910,489$ 1,73 | $4,719,338$ $4,162,360$ | ${ }_{107}^{121}$ | 56.1 54.1 | ${ }_{214}^{222}$ | May. | 2,729,790 | 2,436,247 | 5,166,037 | 133 | 52.8 | 209 |
| December. | 2,043, 675 | 1,733,923 | 3,777,598 | 97 | 54.1 | $21 \ddagger$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Net ton-miles, revenue and nonrevenue.
[United States Railroad Administration.]

| 1919. |  | 1919. | $\begin{aligned} & 32,539,248,000 \\ & 33,462,298,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| February. | 25,629, 489,000 | November |  |
| August | 36,361, 653,000 |  |  |
| September. | 38, 860, 311,000 | 1920. |  |
| October. | 40,343, 750,000 | January.. | 34,769,722,000 |
|  |  | February | 32,699, 143,000 |

Commerce of canals at Sault Ste. Marie.
[Monthly average, May-November, 1911-1913=100.]
EASTBOUND.

|  | Grain other than wheat. |  | Wheat. |  | Flour. |  | Iron ore. |  | Total. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bushels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Relative. | Barrels. | Relative. | Short tons. | Relative. | Short tons. | Rela. tive. |
| May............. | 9,370,374 | 105 | 29,096, 116 | 151 | 910,524 | 78 | 6,622,227 | 112 | 7,895,542 | 113 |
| April................ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,008,000 \\ 1,1,904,942 \end{array}$ | 134 | 4, 274,611 $13,497,995$ | 70 | 658,910 | 57 | 162,630 $6,683,820$ | 113 | 454.726 $7,483,836$ | 107 |

WESTBOUND.


FEDERAL RESERVE AND MEMBER BANK DEVELOPMENT DURING YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1920.

Classification of earning assets held by Federal Reserve Banks on the last Friday of each month from July, 1919, to June, 1920. [In thousands of dollars.]

| Date. | Discounts. |  | Total discounts. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bills bought } \\ \text { in opent } \\ \text { market. } \end{gathered}$ | Total bills on hand. | United States securities. | Total earning assets. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Secured by United States war obligations. | All other. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1919. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 25. | 1,616,210 | ${ }_{2051,392}$ | 1,867,602 | 375,556 | 2,243,158 | 239, 400 | 2,482,558 |
| Sept. 26. | 1,609,290 | 205, <br> 3097 | 1, $1,882,282$ | 363,138 342,491 | ${ }_{2}^{2,178,272}$ | 270,705 278,315 | ${ }_{2}^{2,448,977}$ |
| Oct. 31. | 1,681,082 | 447, 465 | 2,128,547 | 394, 355 | 2,522,902 | 301,254 | 2, ${ }^{244,156}$ |
| Nov. 28. | 1,736,033 | 478, 176 | 2,214, 209 | 495, 595 | 2,709,804 | 314,937 | 3,024, 741 |
| Dec. 26.. | 1,510,364 | 684,514 | 2, 194,878 | 585,212 | 2,780,090 | 300, 405 | 3,080,495 |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. 30. | 1,457, 892 | 716, 465 | 2, 174, 357 | 561,313 | 2,735,670 | 303, 521 | 3,039, 191 |
| Far. $27 . .$. | $1,572,980$ $1,441,015$ | 880,531 $1,008,215$ | 2,453, 511 | 531,367 451,879 | $2,984,878$ $2,901,109$ | 294,354 289,922 | 3,279, ${ }^{3,232}$ |
| Apr. 30.. | 1,465, 320 | 1,069,751 | 2,535,071 | 407,247 | 2,942,318 | 293,514 | 3,235, 832 |
| May 28. | 1,447,962 | 1,071, 469 | 2,519,431 | 418,600 | 2,938,031 | 306, 394 | 3,244,425 |
| June 25. | 1,277,980 | 1,153,814 | 2, 431,794 | 399, 185 | 2,830,979 | 352, 296 | 3,183,275 |

Reserves, deposits, and note circulation of Federal Reserve Banks from July, 1919, to June, 1920.
[In thousands of dollars.]


Growth of the Federal Reserve clearing system, by monthly periods, from July, 1919, to June, 1920.

| Month ending - | Number of items handled. ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  | Amount of items handled ${ }^{1}$ (in thousands of dollars). |  |  |  | Number of member banks. |  |  | Total number of non-member banks on par list. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Drawn on- |  |  | Total. | Drawn on- |  |  | Total. | $\mathrm{Na}-$ tional. | State banks and trust companies. | Total. |  |
|  | Banks in Federal Reserve Bank and branch cities. | Banks outside Federal Reserve Bank and branch cities. | Treasurer of United States. |  | Banks in Federal Reserve Bank and branch cities. | Banks outside Federal Reserve Bank and branch cities. | Treasurer of United States. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1919. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11,362,694 |  | 1,057 | 8848 | 12.071 |
| July 15. | 5,079,206 | 18,419,221 | 2,259,726 | 25,75, 153 | 6,222,440 | 3,670, 805 | 1,553,788 | 11,447,033 |  | 1,088 | 8,894 | 12,578 |
| Sept. 15 | 5,014,779 | $17,730,179$$19,530,165$ | 1,927,804 | $\begin{aligned} & 24,672,762 \\ & 27,400,967 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,228,475 \\ & \mathbf{7}, 130,239 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,920,301 \\ & 4,309,693 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,290,535 \\ & 1,132,918 \end{aligned}$ | 11,439,311 | $\begin{aligned} & 7,806 \\ & 7,820 \end{aligned}$ | 1,100 | 8,920 |  |
| Oct. 15 | 5,515,877 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12,572,850 | $\begin{aligned} & 7,820 \\ & 7,839 \end{aligned}$ | 1,116 | 8,955 | 13, 852 |
| Nov. 15. | $\begin{aligned} & 6,196,752 \\ & 5,973,224 \end{aligned}$ | $\left[\begin{array}{l} 22,382,854 \\ 21,913,951 \end{array}\right.$ | $2,822,482$$2,113,845$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31,402,088 \\ & 30,001,020 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7,438,529 \\ & 7,305,298 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 0 0 6 , 0 4 8}$ <br> $4,753,645$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{9 7 3}, 862 \\ & 876,169 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13,418,439 \\ 12,935,112 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7,866 \\ & 7,879 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,141 \\ & 1,167 \end{aligned}$ | 9,007 | 14, 86 T |
| Dec. 15. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9,046 | 15,851 |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. 15. | 6,667,049 | 24,545,481 | 1,990,362 | 33,202, 892 | $8,083,973$$7,210,635$ | 5, 214,411$4,748,036$ | 558,926 | 14,042,205 | 7,897 | 1,192 | 9,140 | 16,98517,429 |
| Feb. 14 | 6,161,522 | 25, 024, 809 | 1,697,090 | 30,862, 271 |  |  |  | 12,517,597 | 7,919 |  |  |  |
| Mar. 15 | 6,971, 752 |  | 1,565,995 | 33,562,556 | 7,509,756 | $\begin{aligned} & 5,135,263 \\ & 5,055,423 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 509,415 \\ & 882,565 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13,154,434 \\ & 14,450,033 \end{aligned}$ | 7,9367,959 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,260 \\ & 1,287 \end{aligned}$ | 9,1969,246 | 18,30818,492 |
| A pr. 15 |  | 28, 224, 783 | 2,192,547 | 38, 399, 976 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 15. | 7, 7 7,010, 780 | 27, 192,494 | $2,689,238$$1,965,436$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37,170,112 \\ & 36,452,808 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7,669,914 \\ & 7,679,173 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,669,179 \\ & 4,652,646 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 479,638 \\ & 509,831 \end{aligned}$ | $12,818,731$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7,982 \\ & 8,009 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,321 \\ & 1,357 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9,303 \\ & 9,366 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18,502 \\ & 18,614 \end{aligned}$ |
| June 15. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Exclusive of duplications on account of items having been handled by more than one Federal Reserve Bank or branch.
Gold settlement fund-Average weekly clearings and transfers through the gold settlement fund, by months, from June, 1919, to July, 1920.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | Monthly period ending - | A verage weekly for period ending middle of each. month. | Average weekly transfers for period ending about the middle of each month. |  | Banks' balance in gold settlemen fund. | Agents' balance fund. | Total balance in banks' funds. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1919. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July 17.. |  | 1,280, 205 | 251,491 | 1,531,696 | 580,403 | 825,330 | 1,405,733 |
| Aug. 14. |  | $1,313,349$ $1,340,148$ | 208,085 | $1,521,434$ $1,510,632$ | 592,168 522,23 | 799,034 849,813 | 1,391,202 |
| Oct. 16. |  | 1,482, 212 | 163,299 | 1,645,511 | 476,514 | 883,806 | 1,340, 320 |
| Nov. 20. |  | 1,607,070 | 86,782 | 1,693,852 | 449,782 | 833,453 | 1,283,235 |
| Dec. 18.. |  | 1,593,954 | 67,310 | 1,661,264 | 382,654 | 861,506 | 1,244,160 |
|  | 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. ${ }^{\text {2 }}$. |  | 1,626,110 | 181,539 | 1,807, 649 | 444,402 | 789,134 | 1,233,536 |
| Feb. ${ }^{\text {Mar. }} 18$. |  | 1,519,994 | 109,797 72,031 | $1,629,791$ $1,756,391$ | 387,618 388,385 | 7941,762 <br> 813 <br> 460 | $1,182,380$ 1,2017845 |
| Apr. 15.. |  | 1,693, 435 | 117,418 | 1, $1,810,853$ | 388,385 359,104 | 813,460 807,399 | 1, $1,166,503$ |
| May 20. |  | 1,649,018 | 142,874 | 1,791,892 | 405,541 | 726,851 | 1,132,392 |
| June 17.. |  | 1,590,632 | 135, 695 | 1,726,327 | 402, 642 | 784, 801 | 1,187,443 |



Earnings and expenses of the Federal Reserve Banks, also fiscal agency department disbursements reimbursable by the United States Treasury, from Jan. 1, 1919, to June 30, 1920.

|  | Jan. 1 to June 30, 1919. | July 1 to <br> Dec. 31, <br> 1919. | Jan. 1 to June 30, 1920. |  | Jan. 1 to June 30, 1919. | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } 1 \text { to } \\ \text { Dec. } 31, \\ 1919 \end{gathered}$ | Jan. 1 to June 30, 1920. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Earnings: |  |  |  | Current expenses: |  |  |  |
| Discounted bills. | \$38, 314, 52 | 842, 453, 622 |  | Coserating of Federal Reserve cur- | 86,782,099 | \$8,657,095 | \$10,713,826 |
| United States securities. | 2,457, 157 | 3,304, 143 | 3,519,091 | reney, including express- |  |  |  |
| Other.................... | 777, 122 | 1,087, 239 | 1,207,747 | age, insurance, etc........... | 1,398,773 | 1,618,050 | 1,285,436 |
| Total. | 46,690, 577 | 55, 690,006 | 81,780,700 |  |  | 1,04, |  |
|  |  |  |  | Total. | 9,061,746 | 11,280,052 | 13,259,652 |
|  |  |  |  | Current net earnings. | 37, 628, 831 | 44, 409, 954 | 68,521,048 |
|  |  |  |  | Dividends paid.................. | 2,453, 626 | 2, 558,206 | 2,734,958 |
|  |  |  |  | Fiscal agency department disbursements reimbursable by United States Treasury.......... | 11,119, 274 | 5,506,742 | 3,436,727 |

Loans, investments, and deposit liabilities of national banks and State bank and trust company members of the Federal Reserve System, 1914-1920.

NATIONAL BANKS.
[In millions of dollars.]

| Date. | Loans and discounts. ${ }^{1}$ | Government securities. | Loans and displus Government securities. | Total loans and ments. ${ }^{2}$ | Individposits subject | Time | Individual deposits subject to check deposits. | Bank deposits. | Individual deposits subject to check plus time and bank deposits. | All other, including Government de- posits. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { groposs } \\ \text { deposits. } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dec.31............ | 6,363 | 795 | 7,158 | 8,469 | 4,200 | 1,171 | 5,371 | 1,870 | 7,241 | 994 | 8,235 |
| 1915. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mar. ${ }^{4}$ | 6,507 6,650 | 781 <br> 784 <br> 8 | 7,288 | 8,507 8,642 | 4,336 4,576 | 1,199 1,254 | 5,535 5,830 | 2,244 | 7,779 8,057 | 814 834 | 8,593 8,891 |
| June 23 | 6,665 | 783 | 7,448 | 8,680 | 4,518 | 1,285 | 5,803 | 2,208 | 8,011 | 810 | 8,821 |
| Sept. 2 | 6,762 | 782 | 7,544 | 8,802 | 4,642 | 1,336 | 5,978 | 2,466 | 8,444 | 785 | 9,229 |
| Nov. 10 | 7,241 | 788 | 8,019 | 9,402 | 5,241 | 1,376 | 6,617 | 2,710 | 9,327 | 830 | 10,157 |
| Dec. 31. | 7,364 | 775 | 8,139 | 9,554 | 5,381 | 1,417 | 6,798 | 2,738 | 9,536 | 866 | 10,402 |
| Mar. $7 \quad 1916$. | 7,496 | 754 | 8,250 | 9,754 | 5,392 | 1,495 | 6,887 | 3,074 | 9,961 | 831 | 10,792 |
| May 1. | 7,613 | 739 | 8,352 | 9,918 | 5,596 | 1,586 | 7,182 | 2,995 | 10,177 | 958 | 11, 135 |
| June 30 | 7,685 | 731 | 8,416 | 9,984 | 5,578 | 1,670 | 7,248 | 2,713 | 9,961 | 916 | 10,877 |
| Sept. 12 | 7,868 | 730 | 8,598 | 10,261 | 5,841 | 1,737 | 7,578 | 2,916 | 10,494 | 869 | 11,363 |
| Dec. 27. | 8,351 | 717 | 9,068 | 10,832 | 6,255 | 1,855 | 8,110 | 3,261 | 11,371 | 895 | 12,266 |
| Mar. 5........... | 8,720 | 715 | 9,435 | 11,244 | 6,368 | 1,985 | 8,353 | 3,683 | 12,036 | 922 | 12,958 |
| May 1. | 8,760 | 768 | 9,528 | 11, 424 | 6,628 | 2,078 | 8,706 | 3,379 | 12,085 | 995 | 13,080 |
| June 20. | 8,828 | 1,076 | 9,904 | 11, 786 | 6,560 | 2,180 | 8,740 | 3,026 | 11,766 | 1,006 | 12,772 |
| Sept.11. | 9,065 | 1,159 | 10,224 | 12, 130 | 6,916 | ${ }^{2,296}$ | 9,212 | 3,045 | 12,257 | 973 | 13,230 |
| Nov. 20. | 9,551 | 2,354 | 11,905 | 13,854 | 7,208 | 2,282 | 9,490 9,796 | 3,103 | 12,593 | 2,201 | 14,794 14,442 |
| Dec.31. | 9,406 | 1,625 | 11,031 | 12,943 | 7,498 | 2,298 | 9,796 | 3,191 | 12,987 | 1,455 | 14,442 |
| Mar. 4........... | 9,153 | 2,127 | 11,280. | 13,128 | 7,282 | 2,371 | 9,653 | 3,298 | 12,951 | 1,484 | 14,435 |
| May 10. | 9,272 | 2,663 | 11,935 | 13,729 | 7,310 | 2,343 | 9,653 | 2,883 | 12,536 | 1,844 | 14,380 |
| June 29 | 9,633 | 2,129 | 11,762 | 13, 331 | 7,161 | 2,344 | 9,505 | 2,797 | 12,302 | 1,714 | 14,016 |
| Aug. 31 | 9,508 | 2,466 | 11,974 | 13,701 | 7,466 | $\stackrel{2}{297}$ | 9,863 | 2,880 2881 | 12,743 | 1,137 | 13,880 |
| Nov. 1. | 10,114 9,931 | 3,166 2,956 | 13,280 12,887 | 14,979 14,612 | 7,803 8,370 | 2,373 2,474 | 10,176 10,844 | $\stackrel{2}{2,891}$ | 13,067 14,010 | 1, 1,404 | 15,414 |
| 1919. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mar. 4. | ${ }_{9}^{9,705}$ | 3,687 | 13,392 | 15, 135 | 7,951 8,508 | 2,653 2,723 | 10,604 11,237 | 3,192 3,085 | 13,796 14322 | 1,496 1,575 | 15,292 |
| May ${ }^{\text {June }} 30$ | 9,917 $\mathbf{1 0 , 5 8 9}$ | 4,033 3,176 |  | 15,740 15,582 | 8,508 8,480 | 2,723 $\mathbf{2}, 785$ | 11,237 | 3,085 2,974 | 14,322 14,239 | 1,675 | 15,914 |
| Sept. 12 | 11,101 | 3,297 | 14,398 | 16, 257 | 9,159 | 2,921 | 12,080 | 3,053 | 15,133 | 1,535 | 16,668 |
| Nov. 17. | 11,583 | 2,882 | 14,465 | 16,387 | 9,683 | 3,054 | 12,737 | 3,356 | 16,093 | 1,360 | 17,453 |
| Dec.31. | 11,803 | 2,723 | 14,526 | 16,450 | 9,677 | 3,140 | 12,817 | 3,337 | 16,154 | 1,701 | 17,855 |
| Feb. 28.... 1920. |  | 2,459 | 14,473 | 16,381 | 9,424 | 3,259 | 12,683 | 3,294 | 15,977 | 974 |  |
| May 4 | 12,305 | 2,376 | 14,681 | 16,565 | 9,507 | 3,410 | 12,917 | 2,940 | 15,857 | 1,067 | 16,924 |

[^4]

Loans, investments, and deposit liabilities of member banks, 1914-1920-Continued.
STATE BANK AND TRUST COMPANY MEMBERS.
[In million, of dollars.]

|  | Loans and discounts. | Government securities. | Loans and discounts plus Government securities. | Total loans and investments. | Demand deposits (exclusive of bank deposits). | Time | Demand plus time deposits. | Bank deposits. | Demand deposits plus time deposits. | All other, including Governposits. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { gross } \\ \text { deposits. } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June 30, 1919 | 4,323 | 862 | 5,185 | 6,317 | 4,092 | 1,559 | 5,651 | 677 | 6,328 | 560 | 6,888 |
| Nov. 17,1919. | 5,053 | 781 | 5,834 | 7,103 | 4,592 | 1,996 | 6,588 | 723 | 7,311 | 394 | 7,705 |
| Dec. 31,1919. | 5,255 | 785 | 6,040 | 7,341 | 4,835 | 2,166 | 7,001 | 755 | 7,756 | 505 | 8,261 |
| May 4, 1920 . | 5,509 | 707 | 6,216 | 7,509 | 4,713 | 2,338 | 7,051 | 604 | 7,655 | 260 | 9,915 |

${ }^{1}$ Exclusive of fixed investments, i. e., banking house, real estate, furniture and fixtures, also stock of Federal Reserve Banks.
Abstract of reports of condition of all member banks of the Federal Reserve System.
[In thousands of dollars.]


[^5]Principal resources and liabilities of member banks in leading cities as at close of business on the last Friday of each month since June, 1919.


Allotments of United States Treasury loan and tax certificates from July 2, 1919, to June 15, 1920, inclusive.

| Federal Reserve Bank. | Loan certificates. |  |  | Certificates issued in anticipation of tax payments due in- |  |  | Total loan and tax certificates. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Series 1920. | Series 1921. | Total. | 1920 | 1921 | Total. |  |
| Boston | 8188, 175, 500 | \$12,470,000 | \$200,645,500 | \$187,623,500 | 828,677,000 | \$216,300,500 | \$416, 946,000 |
| New York. | 959, 162,500 | $81,370,500$ 5 500 30000 | 1,040,533,000 |  | 153,611, 500 | 1,512,177,500 | 2,552,710,500 |
| Cleveland. | 174, 4788 , 500 | 14,624,000 | 189, 102, 500 | 246, 367 7,000 | 42, 553,000 | 288,920,000 | 478,022,500 |
| Richmond. | 48, 864,000 | 2,382,000 | 51,246,000 | 64,260, 500 | 13,045, 500 | 77,306,000 | 128, 552,000 |
| Atlanta. | 72,428,000 | 2,981,500 | 75,409, 500 | 79, 119,500 | 7,080,500 | 86, 200, 000 | 161,609,500 |
| Chicago. | 278,575,000 | 25, 132,000 | 303,707,000 | 395, 437,500 | 49,359,000 | 444, 796,500 | T48,503, 500 |
| st. Louis. | 84, 738,000 | 5,625,000 | 90,363, 000 | 98,585, 000 | 16,599,500 | 115, 184, 500 | 205, 547,500 |
| Minneapolis. | 73,113,000 | 2, 214,500 | 75,327,500 | 63,787,500 | 5,536, 000 | 69,323,500 | 144, 651,000 |
| Kansas City. | 81, 875,500 | 5,187,500 | 87,063,000 | 69, 996,000 | 18,648,000 | 88,644,000 | 175, 707,000 |
| Dallas. | 77,638,000 | 2,567,000 | 80, 205,000 | 88,671,500 | 8,720,000 | 97, 391,500 | 177, 596, 500 |
| San Francis | 168,052,000 | 16,750,000 | 184, 802,000 | 199,031,500 | 31,663,000 | 230,694,500 | 415, 496,500 |
| Total | 2,332,644,500 | 176,604,000 | 2,529,248,500 | 3,043,385,000 | 403, 824, 500 | 3,447, 209, 500 | 5,976, 458,000 |

General stock of money in the United States, money held by the Treasury and by the Federal Reserve System, and all other money in the United States.

| Date. |  | Gold. |  |  | Silver. |  |  | Federal Reserve notes. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | General stock. | In United States Treasury as assets of the Government and in Federal Banks. | Outside <br> United <br> Treasury and Federal Reserve Banks. ${ }^{1}$ | General stock. | In United States Treasury as assets of the Government and in Federal Reserve Banks. ${ }^{2}$ | Outside <br> United <br> States <br> Treasury <br> and <br> Federal <br> Banks. ${ }^{2}$ | General stock. | In United States Treasury as assets of the Government and in Federal Reserve Banks. | Outside United Treasury Federal Reserve Banks. |
| 1919. |  | Thousand dollars 3,095,077 | Thousand dollars. <br> 2,295, 174 | Thousand dollars. | Thousand dollars. | Thousand dollars. | Thousand dollars. | Thousand dollars. | Thousand dollars. | Thousand dollars. |
| Aug. |  | 2,989, 548 | 2,262,946 | 726,602 | 551,855 | 82,933 | 468,922 | 2, 705, 424 | 201, 853 | 2, 503, 571 |
| Sept. 1 |  | 2,94, 727 | 2,227, 597 | 717, 130 | 551,334 | ${ }^{86,156}$ | 465,178 | 2,764, 832 | 203, 129 | 2,561,703 |
| Oct. 1. |  | 2,905, 226 | 2,195,399 | 710, 327 | 551, 525 | 83, 477 | 468,078 | 2, 886, 239 | ${ }^{216,557}$ | 2, 669, 732 |
| Nov. 1. |  | 2,872,525 | 2,177,024 | 695,501 | 553,273 | 85, 717 | 467, 556 | 2,958, 700 | 219,786 | 2,738,914 |
| Dec. 1. |  | 2,833, 221 | 2,117,618 | 715,603 | 554,686 | 80,960 | 473, 726 | 3,063,391 | 223,086 | 2,840,305 |
| 1922. |  | 2,787, 714 | $2,091,054$$2,055,735$ | 696,660707,170 | 537,675518,007 | 67,10972,711 | 470,566445,296 |  |  |  |
| Feb, 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $3,295,789$ $3,125,886$ | 308,564 280,995 | 2,987, 2225 |
| Mar. 1. |  | 2,720, 768 | 2,007,045 | 713,723 | 523, 173 | 75, 443 | 447,730 | 3,255,213 | 255,523 | 2,999, 690 |
| Apr. 1 |  | 2, 662, 285 | 2,000, 056 | ${ }_{665}^{662} 229$ | - 523,243 | 86,188 91,011 | 437,055 433,735 | $3,299,965$ $3,326,188$ 3 | ${ }_{263,664}^{266,63}$ | 3,032, 401 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } 1 . \\ & \text {. } \end{aligned}$ |  | 2,646, 616 $2,633,730$ | $1,981,490$ $1,989,763$ | 665,126 673,967 | 524,746 56,305 | 91,011 96,186 | 433,735 430,119 | $3,325,188$ $3,357,808$ | 265,733 | $3,062,455$ $3,101,432$ |
| Date. | Federal Reserve Bank notes. |  |  | United States notes and nationalbank notes. |  |  | Total, all money. |  |  | Per capita outside <br> United <br> 'States <br> Treasury and <br> Federal <br> Reserve <br> Banks |
|  | General stock. | In United States Treasury as assets of the Government and in Federal Reserve Banks. | Outside <br> States <br> Treasury and Federal Reserve Banks. | General steck. | In United States Treasury as assets of the Government and in Federal Reserve Banks. | Outside <br> United States Treasury and Federal Reserve Banks. | General stock. | In United States Treasury as assets of the Government and in Federal Reserve Banks. | Outside States Treasury and Federal Reserve Banks. |  |
| July $1 . .1919$. | Thousand dollars. 187, 667 | Thousand dollars. | Thousand | Thousand | Thousand | Thousand | Thousond dollars. | Thousand dollars. | Thousand dollars. | Dollars. 45 |
| Aug. 1. | 210,231,267 | 31,538 44,516 | 166, 184 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,065,958 \\ & 1,067,589 \end{aligned}$ | 138,752 | 916, 388 <br> 928,837 | $\begin{aligned} & 7,588,474 \\ & 7,525,116 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{2 , 7 4 6 ,}, 128 \\ & 2,731,000 \end{aligned}$ | $4,794,116$$4,853,059$ | 45.00 45.13 |
| Sept. 1. |  | 55,360 |  | 1,071, 245 | 138, 404 | 932,841 | 7,563, 705 | 2,710,646 |  | 45. 65 |
| Oct. 1. | $\begin{aligned} & 251,191 \\ & 267,987 \end{aligned}$ | 59,787678,39166,59 | $\begin{aligned} & 191,415 \\ & 195,596 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,068,166 \\ & 1,069,076 \end{aligned}$ | 148, 743 | ${ }_{936}^{919}$, 423 | $7,662,897$ 7 7 | 2,703,922 | ${ }^{4}, 958,975$ | ${ }_{47}^{46.61}$ |
| Nec. 1. | 263,022 |  |  |  | 123,198 | $\begin{aligned} & 936,343 \\ & 945,626 \end{aligned}$ | 7,721, 781 | 2,610;921 | 5, 172, 223 | 47.28 48.54 |
| Jan. 192. |  | 66,059 | 196,963 | 1,068, 824 | 114,302 | 956,717 | 7,961,320 |  | 5,312,009 | 49.81 |
| Feb. 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 269,123 \\ & 255,183 \\ & 237,835 \end{aligned}$ | 66,510 | 191,038 | ${ }^{1,0699,323}$ |  | 920,944 | $7,806,312$ | 2,634, 795 | $5,577,861$ | 47.88 |
| Mar. 1 |  | $\begin{array}{r}46,797 \\ 17 \\ \hline 1804 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  |  | 143,643 | 925, 680 |  | 2, 528 , 451 |  | 49.41 |
| Apr. 1. | $\begin{array}{r} 205,934 \\ -188,331 \end{array}$ |  | 188, 130 | 1,070, 619 | 117, 093 | 953, 526 | 7,761,116 | 2, 487, 805 | $5,273,341$ | 49. 33 |
| May ${ }^{\text {June }} 1$. |  | 13,337 15,900 | 174,994 176,840 | $1,070,073$ $1,063,946$ | 115,511 93,158 | 954,562 970,788 | $7,755,954$ $7,804,529$ | 2,451,383 | 5, 353, 146 | 49.45 50 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes gold certificates.
${ }^{2}$ Includes silver certificates and Treasury notes of 1890 .
Paper rediscounted with or sold to other Federal Reserve Banks and outstanding at close of business on the last Friday in each month from June, 1919, to June, 1920.
[In thousands of dollars.)

| Date. | Rediscounted or sold by Federal Reserve Bank of- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Boston. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { New } \\ & \text { Yort:- } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Phila- } \\ & \text { delphia. } \end{aligned}$ | Cleveland. | Rich mond. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Atlan- } \\ & \text { ta. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chi- } \\ & \text { cago. } \end{aligned}$ | St. <br> Louis. | Minmeapolis. | Kansas City. | Dallas. | $\underset{\substack{\text { Sran- } \\ \text { Frisco. }}}{\text { cis- }}$ |  |
| June 27.............. | 5,062 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9,640 |  |  |
| July 25. |  | 48, 579 | 34,900 |  | 50, 000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 133,479 |
| Aug. 29. |  | 39, 432 | 20, 930 |  | 29,725 |  |  |  |  |  | 3,000 |  | 93,087 |
| Sept. 26 |  | 31, 702 | 18, 295 |  | 25,000 | 8,900 |  |  |  |  | 1s,000 |  | 101,897 |
| Oct. 31. |  | 45,864 96,440 | ${ }_{23,500}^{23,448}$ |  |  | 5,500 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 74, 812 |
| Nov. 28. | 40,474 | 96,440 | $\xrightarrow{23,500}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 160, 114 |
| Dec. 26..... | 69, 899 | 58,201 | 27,615 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13,000 |  |  | 168,715 |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. 30. | 4,800 | 75,649 | 32,790 |  | 5,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 118,039 |
| Feb 27. | 15,173 <br> 2,985 | 55, $\begin{aligned} & 5095 \\ & 34,096\end{aligned}$ | 38,925 35,555 |  | 15,000 |  |  | 11, 829 |  |  |  |  | 109,406 |
| Apr. 30. |  |  |  |  | 24, 850 |  | 38,471 | 41,385 | ii, iii | 15,88i | 3,000 | 10,075 | -974,843 |
| May 28. |  | 2,371 |  |  | 25,000 | 8,500 | 18,995 | 49,760 | 19, 132 | 13, 865 | 13,000 | -,05 | 150,623 |
| June 25. |  | 5,394 |  |  | 24,004 | 7,960 | 24,950 | 26,733 | 23, 672 | 12,958 | 5,000 |  | 131, 571 |

Paper discounted for or purchased from other Federal Reserve Banks and held at close of business on the last Friday in each month from June, 1919, to June, 1920.
[In thousands of dollars.]

| Datr. | Discounted or purchased by Federal Reserve Bank of- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Boston. | New York. | Philadelphia | Cleveland. | Richmend. | Atlanta. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chi- } \\ & \text { cago. } \end{aligned}$ | St. Louis. | Minneapolis. | Kansas City. | Dallas. | San Francisco. |  |
| 1919. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 27. |  | 5,062 |  | 23,750 |  |  | 64,122 | 9,735 | 25,000 |  |  | 27,456 | 155,125 |
| July 25. |  |  |  | 10,012 |  |  | 54,900 | 5,000 | 25,000 |  |  | 38,567 | 133,479 |
| Aug. 29. |  |  |  | 419 |  |  | 33,930 | 8,250 | 11,475 | 10,013 |  | 29,000 | 93,087 |
| Sept. 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 60, 195 |  | 10,000 | 14, 725 |  | 16,977 | 101,897 |
| Oct. 31 |  |  |  | 10, 042 |  |  | 48,980 | 5,014 |  |  |  | 10,776 | 74,812 |
| Nov. 28. |  |  |  | 23,269 | 5,080 |  | 67, 871 | 32,564 |  | 10,005 | 10,078 | 11,547 | 160,414 |
| Dec. 26. |  |  |  | 12,265 | 5,080 | 5,065 | 60,090 | 29,022 |  | 9,805 | 32,123 | 15,265 | 168,715 |
| 19.0. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. 30. | 3,579 |  |  | 27,521 | .... | 15,000 | 51,918 | 1,663 |  |  | 14,950 | 3,408 | 118, 039 |
| Feb. 27. | 3,250 |  |  | 15,601 | .... | 16,187 | 33,410 |  | 4,000 | 10,050 | 23,500 | 3,408 | 109, 406 |
| Mar. 26 | 23,399 |  |  | 38,304 | ........ | 3,351 | 7,605 | .-. | 10,029 | 1,871 | 7,825 | 7,081 | 99, 465 |
| Apr. 30. | 22,126 | 95,071 |  | 48,275 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,371 | 167, 843 |
| May 28... | 20,366 | 84,125 |  | 43,761 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,371 | 150, 623 |
| June 25.... | 17,130 | 61,971 |  | 52,078 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 392 | 131,571 |

Currency receipts and shipments from July, 1919, to May, 1920.


Currency receipts and shipments from July, 1919, to May, 1920-Continued.


Rates of earnings from investments of the Federal Reserve Banks from June, 1919, to May, 1920.

| Date. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bills } \\ \text { dis- } \\ \text { counted. } \end{gathered}$ | Bills bought in open market. | United States securities. | Total invest ments. | Date. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bills } \\ \text { dis- } \\ \text { counted. } \end{gathered}$ |  | United States securities. | Total investments. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1919. | Per cent. | Per cent. | Per cent. | Per cent. | 1520. | Per cent. | Per cent. | Per cent. | Per cent. |
| June. | 4. 20 | 4.19 | - 2.33 | 4.01 | January.. | 4.71 | ${ }_{5}^{4.79}$ |  | 4. 48 |
| July Aust | 4. 13 | 4.22 | 2.24 2.21 | ${ }_{3.93}^{3.98}$ | February. | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 5. } \\ \mathbf{5 . 4 9} \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 5.06 <br> 5.47 | 2.18 2.10 | 4. 58 |
| September | 4.17 | 4.27 | 2.17 | 3.91 | April. | 5. 58 | 5.70 | 2.10 | 5.23 |
| October. | 4.15 | 4.22 | 2.18 | 3.95 | May. | 5.66 | 5.77 | 2. 22 | 5. 36 |
| November | 4.40 | 4.33 4.54 | 2. 2.19 | 4. 16 4.29 |  |  |  |  |  |
| December. | 4.55 |  | 2.19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Federal Reserve notes issued and redeemed by each Federal Reserve Agent, by months, from June, 1919, to May, 1920.

| Federal Reserve Agent at- | OutstandingMay 31, 1919. | June, 1919. |  | July. |  | August. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Issued. | Redeemed. | Issued. | Redeemed. | Issued. | Redeemed. |
| Boston.. | \$177, 827, 360 | \$16,500, 000 | \$12,343, 870 | \$19, 220,000 | \$11, 235, 770 | \$28,700,000 | 814,732, 285 |
| New York | 817,659,685 | 61, 8000000 | 64, 629,900 | 63, 0800,000 | 63, 397,700 | 67, 140,000 | 51, 603,700 |
| ${ }_{\text {Pheveland }}$ Criladel |  | $17,200,000$ $5,900,000$ | $\begin{array}{r}19,743,645 \\ 13,876,080 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $17,800,000$ $14,700,000$ | $15,389,785$ <br> 12562 <br> 1806 | $21,900,000$ <br> 30,220 <br> 000 | ${ }_{15}^{17,157,325}$ |
| Richmond | 119,381, 410 | 5,67e,000 | 12,093,170 | 6,440, 000 |  | $36,220,000$ 16,9000 | -15, ${ }^{1519}$, 400 |
| Atlanta. | 116, 954, 680 | 13, 803,000 | 11, 143, 665 | 10,015,000 | 8,802,500 | 7,797,000 | 12,007, 245 |
| Chicago. | 452,099,080 | 25,720,000 | 25, 153,205 | 24,520,000 | 21,385,550 | 33,360,000 | 26,710, 210 |
| St. Louis | 116, 818,130 | 6,240, 000 | 6,747,585 | 12,810,000 | 8,066,045 | 12,800,000 | 8,663,425 |
| Minneapolis. | 85, 181,755 | 2,900,000 | 4,084,510 | 1,480,000 | 3,838,815 | 2,050,000 | 4,050,060 |
| Kansas City | 101,617,470 | 3,080,000 | 5,008,940 | 3,870,000 | 4,570,940 | 5,940,000 | 6,683, 975 |
| Dallas...... | 47, 888,270 | 3,493,000 | 2,555,160 | 2,715,000 | 2,631,495 | 3,815,000 | 2,765, 810 |
| Sau Francis | 215, 335,825 | 10,080,000 | 9,564,630 | 19,460,000 | 17,065, 675 | 17,360,000 | 12,676,660 |
| Total. | 2,701, 119,045 | 172,383,000 | 185, 545,360 | 196,630,000 | 178,766,040 | 247, 992,000 | 188,571,730 |

Federal Reserve notes issued and redeemed by each Federal Reserve Agent, by months, from June, 1919, to May, 1920—Con.

| Federal Rescrve Agent at- | September. |  |  | October. |  |  |  | November. |  |  | December. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Issued. | Redeemed. |  | Issued. |  | Redeemed. |  | Issued. |  | Redeemed. | Issued. | Redecmed. |
| Boston. | \$27, 400, 000 | \$10, 423,930 |  | \$15, 900, 000 |  | \$15,122, 195 |  | \$22,800,000 |  | \$14,152,220 | \$34, 420,000 | \$10,639,120 |
| New York | 19,400,000 <br>  | $50,113,900$$14,884,230$ |  | 22, 2100,000 |  |  |  |  |  | $36,452,800$10,997135 | $121,140,000$$24,640,000$ |  |
| Philadelphia |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cleveland. | 23, 160,000 | 11,578,795 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11, ${ }^{1291}$ |  | 10,574,285 |
| Richmond. | 24,010,000 | 11,753,615 |  | $13,120,000$34,110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Atlanta.. | $20,740,000$$39,240,000$ | 10,268, 070 |  | $32,084,000$$37,600,000$ |  | 13,243, 785 |  |  |  |  | 20, 867, 000 |  | 13,515, 235 | 23,790,000 |
| Chicago. |  | $22,160,650$ <br> $10,36,930$ |  |  |  | $25,923,785$$7,481,860$ |  | $30,440,000$ |  | 21, 858,230 | $55,560,000$ | $16,989,360$ $19,887,220$ |
| St. Louis | $20,000,000$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r}18,530,000 \\ 3,50,000 \\ \hline 1,500\end{array}$ |  | 7,287,985 | $13,200,000$$6,150,000$ | 19, 887, 220 <br> 9, 975,345 |
| Minneapolis | $8,080,000$$9,920,000$ | 3,194, 590 |  | 5,050,000 |  | 3,870, 295 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 9,975,345 \\ & 3,257,730 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Kansas City |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r}5,430,000 \\ 9 \\ 92555 \\ 21,250,0000 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5,487,190 \\ & 1,989,540 \\ & 9,703,450 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | 6,160,310 | 8,020,000 | 4,811, 120 |
| Dallas.. | $9,385,000$$19,800,000$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,73,545 \\ & 9,734,875 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $11,065,000$$28,150,000$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 2,670,815 \\ 10,127,255 \end{array}$ | 8,700,000 | 2,489, 810 |  |  |
| San Francis |  |  |  | 28, 4000,000 | 11, 348,845 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tota | 284, 489, 000 | 163,044, 400 |  |  |  | 263, 759,000 |  | 191, 283, 590 |  | 255, 162,000 |  | 151,471,510 | 377,480,000 | 145, 142, 270 |
| Federal Reserve Agent at - |  |  |  | January, 1920. |  |  |  | February. |  |  | March. |  |
|  |  |  |  | Issued. |  | Redeemed. |  | Issued. |  | Redeemed. | Issued. | Redeemed. |
| Boston |  |  |  | $\$ 8,160,000$ <br> 16,480,000 |  |  |  | \$27, 820,000 |  | 88,791,910 | $\$ 21,600,000$$32,100,000$ | \$10, 040, 255 |
| New York- |  |  |  |  |  | 57, 858, 170 |  | 47,620,000 |  | 23,733,495 |  | $8,592,615$ |
| Cleveland. |  |  |  |  |  | 25,423,120$24,729,215$ |  | $34,320,000$$36,700,000$ |  |  |  |  |
| Richmond |  |  |  | $2,479,000$$8,045,000$ |  | 19,399, 850 |  | $36,710,000$9,910 |  | 10, 276, 390 | 21,560,000 | 10,842,320 |
| Atlanta.. |  |  |  |  |  | 21,804 | , 705 | $15,330,000$$53,120,000$ |  | 9,932,930 |  | $16,312,010$ |
| Chicago. |  |  |  | $23,600,000$ |  | 47,246, 055 |  |  |  | - $8,947,470$ | $15,090,000$ $27,670,000$ | 20,579, 235 |
| St. Louis. |  |  |  |  |  | $15,210,470$$6,317,395$ |  | $11,660,000$$3,200,000$ |  |  | - $\begin{aligned} & 7,020,000 \\ & 3,400,000\end{aligned}$ |  |
| Minneapolis |  |  |  | 1,360,000 |  |  |  | $3,297,800$5,699 | $9,643,005$ $3,581,335$ |  |  |  |
| Kansas City |  |  |  | 3, 730,000 |  | 8,028, 435 |  |  | 7,160,000 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 4,610,000 \\ 5,520,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,113,390 \\ 4,066,335 \\ 10,593,585 \end{array}$ |
| Dallas........ |  |  |  |  |  | $6,364,745$$20,967,275$ |  | $7,710,000$$3,560,000$ |  | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 2, } \\ \text { 11, } 1064,270 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  | 100, 359,000 |  | 270,262,870 |  | 258, 110,000 |  | 128, 782,025 | 168,740,000 | 124,888,375 |
| Federal Reserve Agent at - |  | April. |  |  | May. |  |  |  | Total. |  |  | Outstanding May 31, 1920. |
|  |  | Issued. | Redeemed. |  | Issued. |  | Redeemed. |  | Issued. |  | Redeemed. |  |
| Boston. | 811,10000025,280 |  | $\underset{\substack{\$ 12,593,645 \\ 21,977,265}}{ }$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 818,200,000 \\ 34,160,000 \end{array}$ |  | \$10, 784, 350 |  | $\$ 252,420,000$$637,460,000$ |  | \$147, 772, 985 | \$282,474,375 |
| New York |  |  | 15, $7125,474,240$ |  |  |  | 165,494,665 | 962,988, 595 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Philadelphia |  | 500,000 <br> 650 <br> 000 |  |  |  | 763,110 |  |  |  | $637,480,000$204, |  | $257,776,725$$322,976,800$ |
| Cleveland. | $27,650,000$14,500000 |  | 13, 333, 475 |  |  | , 630,000 |  | 123,570 |  | , 4 639,000 | $158,317,190$ <br> 162,940 <br> 100 |  |
| Atlanta... |  |  |  | 27,095 |  | 70,000 |  | 467, 400 |  | ,698,000 | 162, 714,000 | 148,948,680 |
| Chicaso. |  | 280,000 |  | 804,330 |  | 20,000 |  | 777,250 |  | ,830,000 | 283, 255,840 | 579,673, 240 |
| St. Louis. |  | 540,000 |  | 364,580 |  | 60,000 |  | 436,245 |  | ,610,000 | 112,180,945 | 146,247, 185 |
| Minneapolis |  | 875,000 |  | 563,090 |  | 600,000 |  | 030,695 |  | ,715,000 | 45, 809,465 | 81,087,290 |
| Kansas City. |  | 100,000 |  | 881,650 |  | 360, 000 |  | 550,685 |  | , 960,000 | 67,935,090 | 102, 642,380 |
| Dan Francisco |  | 580,000 |  |  |  | , 990,000 |  | 510,850 765,590 |  | , 5350,000 | $41,573,920$ 142037 | $85,664,350$ $263,228,575$ |
| Total |  | 415,000 | 138, | 291, 855 | 146, | , 10,000 | 115 | 110,345 | 2,63 | 8,232,000 | 1,982, 163,370 |  |

## BANK TRANSACTIONS DURING MAY-JUNE.

In the attached table are shown debits to individual account for the five weeks ending June 23 of the present year and for the corresponding weeks of 1919, as reported to the Federal Reserve Banks by the country's more important clearing houses. A recapitulation by Federal Reserve districts presents a comparison of figures for 153 centers for which reports are available both for the 1920 and the 1919 periods under review.

Aggregate debits to individual account for the 153 centers included in the recapitulation fluctuated between 8,422 millions for the week ending June 2, and 9,727 millions for the week ending June 16. The smaller total for the
week ending June 2 was due to the fact that it included May 30, a legal holiday in many of the districts, and the larger total for the week ending June 16 reflected the payment by corporations and individuals of the June 15 installment of income and excess profits taxes, and also the large financial operations effected by the Government during that week in connection with the issue and redemption of Treasury certificates and the payment of interest on Liberty bonds and Victory notes.

The statement below presents a comparison of debits in New York City and in 152 other centers for each week of the period under review, together with totals for corresponding
weeks in 1919, and percentages showing the excess or deficiency of the 1920 figures as compared with corresponding 1919 figures. Averages for the 25 weeks of each year are also shown.
[In millions of dollars.]

| Week ending- | Debits to individual account. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In New York. |  |  | In 152 other centers. |  |  |
|  | 1920 | 1919 | Excess <br> of 1920 <br> Over <br> 1919. | 1920 | 1919 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tycess } \\ & \text { of 1920 } \\ & \text { pver } \\ & 1917 . \end{aligned}$ |
| May 26, 1920. | 4,670 | 4,600 | Per cent. 1.5 | 4,489 | 3,597 | Per cent. 24.8 |
| May 28, 1919.............. | , 670 | 4,600 |  | 4, 138 | 3,507 | 24.8 |
| June 2, $1920 . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. | 1,181 | 4,983 | $-16.1$ | 4,240 | 3,783 | 12.1 |
| June 9, 1920.............. | 1, 187 | 5,104 | $-12.1$ | 4,461 | 3,883 | 14.9 |
| June 16, 1920. | 4,603 | 5,453 | -13.9 | 5,034 | 4,467 | 12.7 |
| June 18, $1919 \ldots$ |  | 5,453 | -13.9 | 5,034 | 4, 67 | 12.7 |
| June 23, 1920 June 25, 1919 | 4,490 | 4,644 | $-3.3$ | 4,756 | 3,901 | 21.8 |
| Average for 25 weeks of the year. | 4, 809 | 4,206 | 14.3 | 4,621 | 3,668 | 26.0 |

For the 152 cities outside of New York 1920 totals of debits are in each case larger than
corresponding 1919 figures, the percentages of excess varying from 12.1 per cent for the week ending June 2 to 24.8 per cent for the week ending May 26. Variations in the percentages from week to week are due to temporary influences, while the 25 -week average excess of 26 per cent represents substantially the rise in the price level for the year. Debits in New York City, on the other hand, were smaller this year than last for four weeks out of the five under review, owing largely to the reduced volume of transactions on the exchanges. While the average for the 25 weeks for New York City is 14.3 per cent larger in 1920 than in 1919, this excess is considerably below the 26 per cent shown for the other centers. It may be noted that in 1919 debits in New York City alone were larger for each week than combined debits for the other 152 centers; i. e., debits in New York City constituted more than one-half of the aggregate debits of all reporting centers. During the current year, however, New York totals were smaller than combined totals for the other centers for three weeks out of the five of the period under review.

Debits to individual account at clearing-house banks.
[In thousands of dollars.]

| Federal Reservedistrict. | $\stackrel{1920}{\text { Week endıng }}$ |  |  |  |  | 1919 <br> Weak ending- |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | May 26. | June 2. | June 9. | June 16. | June 23. | May 28. | June 4. | June 11. | June 18. | June 25. |
| No. 1-Boston: | 3,530 | 3,298 |  | 3,787 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bangor. | 310,634, | 313,3,1 | 296, 641 | 339,329 | 332, 400 | 257, 589 | 267, ${ }^{242}$ | 28),215 | 321,556 | 324, ${ }^{2}$, 18 |
| Fall River | 11,231 | 9,331 | 9,232 | 11,027 | 17, 458 | 7,768 | 8,672 | 7, 871 | -9,593 | 9,707 |
| Hartford. | 22,547 | 2),880 | 23,859 | 25, 137 | 22,921 | 17, 232 | 29,413 | 19,743 | 21,471 | 2),781 |
| Holyoke. | 4,490 6,248 | 4,173 5114 | 4,369 | 4,155 | 4, 254 | 2,839 | 2,836 | 2,684 | 3,516 | 3,192 |
| Lowell..... | 6,248 4,879 | 5,114 4,812 | 5, <br> 5,394 <br> 189 | $\mathbf{7 , 1 0 8}$ $\mathbf{5 , 3 6 4}$ | 6,879 5,474 | 4,723 | 4,904 | 5,225 | 5,668 | 5,184 |
| New Bedford | 9,211 | 8,083 | 8,632 | 13,757 | 9,54] | 6,148 | 6,035 | 5,781 | 8,036 | 6,469 |
| Ne w Haven . | 18,786 | 22,413 | 19,892 | 19,701 | 19,323 | 14,606 | 16,672 | 14,996 | 16,266 | 14,489 |
| Portland. | 8,003 | 8,002 | 8.562 | 9,675 | 8,531 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Providence. | 4),968 | 39,647 | 38,200 | 43, 352 | 42,991 | 28,580 | 32,297 | 31, 301 | 34,474 | 35,478 |
| Springield. ..... | 17,041 | 12, 633 | 16,561 | 18,719 | 19,445 | 9,439 | 11,674 | 9,552 | 11,744 | 11,939 |
| Waterbury...... | 7,187 18,743 | 6,332 16,440 | 7,733 17,504 | 9,150 $\mathbf{2 1}, 204$ | 5,547 2,969 | 6,125 14,231 | 7,199 18,541 | 7,184 13,376 | 6,288 16,673 | 6,88) |
| No. 2-New York: |  |  |  | 21,204 | 2),969 |  | 18,541 | 13,366 | 16,6.3 | 16,697 |
| Albany... | 24,858 | 19,740 | 26,653 | 21,693 | 33,305 | 20,726 | 23,996 | 16,068 | 19,797 | 22,107 |
| Binghamton.. | 4,836 | 4,282 | 4,722 | 4,913 | 4,997 | 3,079 | 3,095 | 3,468 | 4,020 | 3,065 |
| Buffalo.... | 75, 224 | 61,130 | 65,558 | 75,966 | 68,473 | 56,515 | 71, 258 | 58,184 | 71,071 | 59,702 |
| Ner York Passaic... | $4,670,039$ 5,652 | $4,181,413$ 5,669 | 4,486,5, 802 <br> 1 | 4,632,930 | 4, 490, 831 | $4,690,393$ 3 3 |  | 5,104, 357 | 5,452, 326 | 4,643,899 |
| Rochester.. | 31,969 | 33,883 | ${ }_{36,615}$ | 39,907 | 33, 188 | 23, 368 |  | 29,928 | 32,625 | 27, 111 |
| Syracuse. | 17,718 | 16,487 | 17,093 | 18,911 | 19,183 | 11,707 | 12,856 | 12,415 | 13,882 | 12, 473 |
| No. 3-Philadelphia: | 3,400 | 2,580 | 2,823 | 3,556 | 3,466 | 3,061 | 2,527 | 2,859 | 3,155 |  |
| Cheiter.. | 5,375 | 5,139 | 5,320 | 6,371 | 5,585 | 4,454 | 3,935 | 4,076 | 4,481 | 4,318 |
| Harrisburg. | 3,519 | 3,438 | 3,690 | 4,746 | 4, 133 | 4,478 | 3,780 | 3,739 | 4,489 | 3,750 |
| Johnstown.. | 4,310 | 4,431 | 4,172 | 4,823 | 3,941 | 3,009 | 3,392 | 3,151 | 3,349 | 3,096 |
| Lancaster. | 5,902 | 4,926 | 6,069 | 6,623 | 6,412 | 4,692 | 4,674 | 4,682 | 4,684 | 4,501 |
| Philadelphia.. | 302, 329 | 332, 532 | 347, 442 | 399,673 | 350, 376 | 302,349 | 299, 056 | 305,640 | 378, 823 | 306,970 |
| Reading....... | 4,972 | 3,501 | 5,247 | 6,286 | 5,602 | 3,042 | 2,796 | 3.615 | 4,454 | 3,760 |
| Scranton. | 16.237 | 11,794 | 15,947 | 14. 552 | 16,026 | 12,174 | 10,671 | 12, 298 | 11,213 | 13, 459 |
| Trenton. | 12,695 | 11,318 | 12,762 | 13,000 | 13,587 | 8,872 | 8,597 | 9,702 | 11, 156 | 9, 729 |
| Wilkes-Barre | $\begin{array}{r}8,945 \\ 3 \\ \hline 878\end{array}$ | 7,702 | 8,451 | 9,434 | 8,217 | 6,406 | 6,152 | 6,141 | 7,800 | 6,870 |
| Williamsport... | 3,978 | 3,713 | 4,095 |  |  |  | 2,792 | 3,410 | 3,491 | 3,288 |
| Wilmington... | 8,236 <br> 4,327 | 9,931 4,301 | 7,138 4,864 | 7,340 4,601 | 10,896 5,130 | 7,901 3,168 | 9,743 3,233 | 9,658 $\mathbf{3 , 3 4 1}$ | 13,271 3,511 | 10,911 3,011 |
| No. 4-Cleveland: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cincinnat | 60, 223 | -30,439 | 58,873 | 71,136 | 69, ${ }^{3281}$ | 17,652 | 53,482 | 20,737 51,341 | 24,179 | 23, 81,196 51 |
| Cleveland. | 163,149 | 148,745 | 160,867 | 207, 337 | 187,070 | 126, 875 | 132,067 | 137, 239 | 181,289 | 158,009 |
| Columbus | 26,155 | 26,892 | 29,018 | 35,546 | 33,589 | 25, 295 | 25,083 | 27,722 | 30, 108 | 28,209 |
| Dayton... | 11,852 | 11,973 | 12,111 | 12,346 | 11,985 | 12,011 | 11,322 | 11,768 | 12,116 | 12,397 |
| Erie........ | 7,687 | 6.730 | 8,227 | 8,562 | 8,989 | 5,534 | 5,797 | 5,858 | 6,796 | 6,145 |

Debits to individual account at clearing-house banks-Continued.
[In thousands of dollars.]

| Federal Reservedistrict. | $\begin{gathered} 1920 \\ \text { Week ending- } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{1919}{\text { Week ending- }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | May 26. | June 2. | June 9. | June 16. | June 23. | May 28. | June 4. | June 11. | June 18. | June 25. |
| No. 4-ClevelandContinued: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Greensburg. | 4,635 | 4,559 | 4,066 | 6,229 | 4,991 | 2,654 | 2,380 | 2,309 | 2,956 | 2,487 |
| Lexington. | 4,607 | 4,537 | 5,254 | 4,847 | 4,309 | 4,384 | 3,910 | 4,484 | 4,592 | 4,060 |
| Oil city.. | 2,813 | 2,901 | 3,292 | 3,359 | 3,323 | 2,426 | 3,154 | 3,396 | 3,157 | 3,099 |
| Pittsburgh. | 215,482 | 178, 885 | 184,945 | 226, 564 | 218,000 | 154, 266 | 199, 736 | 164, 761 | 225,511 | 168,890 |
| Springfield. | $\begin{array}{r}3,393 \\ 30,529 \\ \hline 8.3\end{array}$ | 2,845 | 4, ${ }^{4,045}$ | 3,700 | 3, 300 | 3,389 | 2,568 | 3,511 | 4,237 | 2,672 |
| Wheeling. | 30,529 | ${ }^{28,547}$ | ${ }_{10}^{23,675}$ | 35,487 9 | 36,903 9 | ${ }_{7}{ }_{7} 2,161$ | 25,648 6 | 26,189 | $\begin{array}{r}29,815 \\ 10304 \\ \hline 12\end{array}$ | 25,913 |
| Youngstown...... | 13,924 | 12,376 | 12,344 | 14,987 | 14,221 | 11,587 | 11,619 | 21,612 | 10,364 12,869 | 8,504 12,766 |
| No. 5 S-Richmond: ${ }_{\text {Baltimore }}$ |  | 98,961 | 106,658 | 109,626 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charleston. | 102,873 | ${ }_{10}^{98,961}$ | 108,658 | 109,626 | 109, ${ }_{9}$, 41 | 70,202 | 74,784 | 15,765 | 12,397 | 83,498 |
| Charleston. | 9,973 | 10,100 8,155 | ${ }_{7}^{7,419}$ | 10,304 10 | 9,691 | ${ }_{5}^{8}, 2300$ | 7,176 | 7,800 | 8,421 | 8,694 5 5 500 |
| Charlotte. Columbia. | 8,553 | 7,279 | 7,701 | 7,907 | 5,938 | 6,612 | 6,093 | 7,505 | 6,312 | 5,827 |
| Huntington | 5,648 | 5,220 | 5,941 | 5,326 | 595 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Noriolk. | 20,663 | 15,278 | 21, 107 | 20,950 | 20,639 | 18,715 | 20,537 | 21,274 | 23,063 | 18,959 |
| Raleigh. | 3,500 | 3,851 | 4,572 | 4,000 | 4,130 | 3,572 | 4,383 | 3,332 | 3,527 | 2,999 |
| Richmond. | 23,864 | $27,39_{6}$ | 26,604 | 26, 104 | 25,701 | 19,394 | 23,933 | 21,240 | 24,973 | 21,978 |
| No. 6-Atlanta: | 30,569 | 29,043 | 30,660 | 34,969 | 28,635 | 23,221 | 22,944 | 25,837 | 30,832 | 22,554 |
| Augusta.. | 9,936 | 8,794 | 8,727 | 8,989 | 8,506 | 8,179 | 6,608 | 8,043 | 8,340 | 6,420 |
| Birmingham. | 17,836 | 17,479 | 16,341 | 18,276 | 17,332 | 12,115 | 12,963 | 13,306 | 12,467 | 11,404 |
| Chattanooga. | 11,900 | 12,536 | 12,320 | 14,107 | 11,856 | 9,492 | 10,764 | 13,222 | 11,207 | 10,417 |
| Jacksonville. | 14, 175 | 13,935 | 13,580 | 14, 880 | 15,567 | 10,086 | 8,935 | 11,276 | 11,644 | 11,565 |
| Knoxville. | 6,484 | 7,000 | 7,331 | 7,576 | 7,335 | 4,847 | 4,925 | 6,041 | 6,749 | 5,090 |
| Macon.... | 8,283 | 8,589 | 6,563 | 7,047 | 6,453 | 6,745 | 9,124 | 7,094 | 6,744 | 6,627 |
| Mobile.. | 8,866 | 7,319 | 8,944 | 9,045 | 8,405 | 6,574 <br> 838 | 6,660 3 | 7,953 4,879 | 7,391 | ${ }_{4}^{7,864}$ |
| Montgomery. | 5,000 | 4,830 | 5,706 | 5,914 | 5,907 |  | 3,789 | 4,879 | 3,004 | 4,026 |
| Nashville.. | -27,803 | - 23,5350 | 27, 7605 | 27,716 80,952 | 25,429 78,432 | $\begin{array}{r}20,741 \\ 70,091 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 15,295 61 | ${ }_{79}^{20,872}$ | $\begin{array}{r}21,060 \\ 69 \\ \hline 66\end{array}$ | 19,537 64,496 |
| Pensacola... | 2,236 | 2,474 | 2,818 | 2,361 | 2,139 | 1,851 | 2,293 | 2,900 | 2,145 | 1,857 |
| Savamnah.. | 16,368 | 17,063 | 15,589 | 15,956 | 15,665 | 16,312 | 15,987 | 17,388 | 15,095 | 15,249 |
| Tampa... | 5,813 | 6,268 | 6.029 | 5,877 | 5,864 | 4,983 | 4,232 | 5,295 | 4,808 | 4,031 |
| Vickshurg. | 1,496 | 1,371 | 1,972 | 1,656 | 1,521 | 1,883 | 2,035 | 1,962 | 1,496 | 1,655 |
| Bay City.. | 3,529 | 3,569 | 3,186 | 3,107 | 3,665 | 2,585 | 3,458 | 2,386 | 2,586 | 2,736 |
| Bloomington.... | 2,738 | 2,757 | 3,064 | 3,163 | 2,771 | 2,058 | 2,715 | 2, 841 | 2,713 | 2,275 |
| Cedar Rapids.... | 7,639 | 6,322 | 11,900 | 11,797 | 8,839 | 5,836 | 9,758 | 10,532 | 9,913 | 9,144 |
| Chicago.......... | 711,303 | 654,231 | 682, 789 | 792,053 | 753, 134 | 565, 200 | 617, 284 | 622,303 | 729,558 | 633, 277 |
| Davenport | 8,253 | 6,941 | 9,424 | 7,871 | 8,778 | 6,549 | 7,766 | 6,692 | 6,274 | 6,497 |
| Decatur. | 4,103 | 4;103 | 4,372 | 4,628 | 4,458 | 2,903 | 3,349 | 4,297 | 3,560 | 3,030 |
| Des Moines | 19,181 | 17,965 | 24,363 | 21,888 | 22,401 | 16,898 | 15,451 | 17,641 | 17,707 | 15,682 |
| Detroit.... | 136, 128 | 130, 395 | 140,020 | 100,789 | 176, 866 | 110,764 | 115, 830 | 106,913 | 144,198 | 116,804 |
| Dubuque. | 3,358 | 3,558 | 3,921 | 3,929 | 2,694 | 2,005 | 2,263 | 2,317 | 2,188 | 1,652 |
| Fort Wayne | 7,901 | 6,666 | 10,238 8,469 | -9,094 | 14,430 7,901 | 6,588 <br> 5,234 | 6,403 4,816 | 8,291 5,089 | 7,113 6,226 | 5, 143 |
| Grand Rapid. | 22,179 | 21,663 | 20, 352 | 24, 266 | 23,048 | 15,488 | 16,959 | 15,144 | 18,126 | 15,963 |
| Indianapolis.. | 37,241 | 35, 363 | 37,934 | 42,999 | 43,071 | 28,726 | 26, 124 | 31,913 | 34,285 | 28,963 |
| Jackson... | 3,401 | 4,812 | 3,731 | 4,424 | 3,495 | 4, 201 | 3,879 | 4,068 | 4,125 | 4,156 |
| Kalamazoo | 5,153 | 4,603 | 5,305 | 5,242 | 5,473 | 3,002 | 2,887 | 3,067 | 3,618 | 3,526 |
| Lansing... | 6,865 | 6,808 | 7,208 | 7,053 | 7,520 | 4,864 | 4,034 | 4,914 | 5,190 | 5,024 |
| Milwaukee Peoria..... | 63,649 | 57,127 | 62,297 | 71,318 | 73,061 | 46, 279 | 53,916 | 49,733 | 67,743 | 52,833 |
| Peoria..... | 10,685 | 10,426 | 12,901 | 11, 127 | 12,533 | 10,092 | 10,565 | 11, 133 | 10,923 | 11,053 |
| Rocktord. | - $\begin{array}{r}6,242 \\ 16,302\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}5,725 \\ \hline 16805 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 6,874 16,416 | -6,318 | 6,311 18,895 | 4,254 15,491 | $\begin{array}{r}3,241 \\ \hline 15,954\end{array}$ | -4,593 | 4,798 | 4, ${ }^{4}$, 242 |
| South Bend. | 16,302 6,327 | 4,137 | 5,133 | 6,120 | 5,230 | 3,101 | 2, 293 | 3,684 | 6,605 | 4, 809 |
| Springfield. | 4.112 | 6,757 | 4,464 | 5,484 | 5,121 | 4,239 | 5,048 | 5,353 | 5,155 | 4,707 |
| Waterloo... | 3,966 | 4,304 | 4,872 | 4,311 | 4,698 | 2,790 | 2, 732 | 2,813 | 3,586 | 3,238 |
| Evansville. | 5,090 |  | 5,615 | 5,077 | 4,885 | 4,582 | 4,908 |  | 4,468 |  |
| Little Rock. | 7,973 | 8,510 | 9,075 | 9,431 | 8,400 | 6,798 | 6,650 | 6,082 | 6,310. | 5,291 |
| Louisville. | 34,699 | 34,420 | 32,717 | 38,391 | 34,387 | 33,200 | 32,959 | 35,096 | 39,193 | 34,687 |
| Memphis.. | 29,651 | 27,977 | 30,202 | 35,607 | 28,867 | 25,039 | 24,900 | 32,880 | 34,282 | 27,544 |
| No. St. Louis........ | 146, 244 | 151,692 | 141,747 | 159,989 | 151, 141 | 124, 196 | 131,520 | 128, 582 | 176,876 | 133,861 |
| Aberdeen.. | 1,643 |  | 2,044 |  |  | 1,712 |  |  |  |  |
| Billings.. | 2,237 | 2, 274 | 2,443 | 2,101 | 2,011 | 1,925 | 1,911 | 2,451 | 2,114 | 1,932 |
| Duluth. | 22, 758 | 26,155 | 23, 131 | 23,936 | 19,075 | 17,984 | 22,373 | 20,396 | 22,406 | 17,498 |
| Fargo. | 3, 533 | 3,403 | 3,877 | 3,368 | 3,511 | 5,919 | 3,021 | 5,646 | 5,862 | 2,314 |
| Grand Forks. | 1,772 | 1,698 | 1,959 | 1,992 | 1,681 | 1,422 | 1,711 | 1,615 | 1,664 | 1,892 |
| Great Falls. | 2,142 | 1, 871 | 1,975 | 2,001 | 2,312 | 2,297 | 2,196 | 1,798 | 1,428 | 1,796 |
| Helena.. | 2,025 | 2,214 | 2,528 | 2,715 | 2,436 | 1,904 | 1,832 | 2,617 | 2,044 | 2,265 |
| Minneapolis..... | 90, 065 | 73, 699 | 90, 394 | 87, 275 | 82,121 | 66,878 | 66,753 | 72410 | 69,313 | 66,216 |
| St. Paul......... | 33,882 6,488 | 30,319 6,093 | $\begin{array}{r}35,843 \\ 8,042 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 32,508 | 39,049 6635 | 35,682 | 35,454 | 37,720 | 45, 625 | 40,478 |
| Superior. | 2,077 | 2,039 | 2,365 | 1,956 | 6,035 2,060 | 1,216 | 1,334 | 1,150 | 1,320 | 1,397 |
| Winona......... | 1,108 | 1,029 | 1,250 | 1,243 | 1,267 | 939 | 1,082 | 1,070 | 1,537 | 1,168 |
| No. 10-Kansas City: | 558 | 418 | 85 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bartlesvilie. | 4,288 | 4,012 | 3,915 | 3,850 | 3,769 | 2,931 | 2,853 | 2,368 | 2,995 | 2,378 |
| Cheyenne........ | 1,705 | 1,658 | 1,624 | 1,843 | 1,804 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Colorado Springs | 3,053 | 2,750 | 3, 254 | 3,197 | 3,099 | 2,313 | 2,989 | 4,031 | 3,893 | 3,901 |
| Denver.. | 44, 667 | 40,917 | 43,616 | 43,678 | 40, 332 | 31,632 | 44,730 | 37,003 | 28,105 | 24, 320 |
| $\underset{\text { Joplin.......... }{ }_{\text {kansas }} \text { city }}{ }$ | 3,846 3,932 | 3,357 3,199 | 4,430 3,221 | 4,382 2,989 | 3,057 | $\stackrel{2,645}{2,687}$ | 3,051 | 3,107 3,58 | 3,393 3,869 | 2,703 2887 |
| $\underset{\text { Kansas }}{\substack{\text { Kans. }}}$ | 3,932 | 3,199 | 3,221 | 2,989 | 3,495 | 2,687 | 3,661 | 3,589 | 3,869 | 2,877 |

Debits to individual account at clearing-house banks-Continued.
[In thousands of dollars.]

| Federal Reserve district. | Week ending- |  |  |  |  | Week ending- |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | May 26. | June 2. | June 9. | June 16. | June 23. | May 23. | June 4. | June 11. | Junc 18. | June 25. |
| No. $10-\mathrm{K}$ ansas City-Continued. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kansas City, Mo | 91,738 | 80,947 | 86,555 | 91,484 | 101,454 | 83,727 | 83,991 | 83,507 | 95, 059 | 87,475 |
| Muskogee........ | 5,536 | 5,386 | 5,625 | 5,938 | 5,423 | 3,360 | 3,374 | 2,990 | 4,161 | 3 3,726 |
| Oklahoma City.. | 23, 818 | 19,763 | 26,371 | 26,576 | 23, 394 | 12, 112 | 12, 858 | 12,394 | 12,818 | 13,615 |
| Omaha........ | 56,012 | 49,935 | 60,503 | 63, 233 | 62,839 | 62,487 | 55, 293 | 61,159 | 51,849 | 54,551 |
| Pueblo.... | 3,819 20,388 | 17,322 | 20,083 | 21,071 | 4,602 19,452 | 19,235 | 3,992 17,843 | -19,163 | 4,055 | 3,785 16,545 |
| Topeka... | 5,481 | 5,487 | 7,572 | 3,900 | 3,721 | 4,093 | 4,893 | 5,243 | 4,665 | 16,545 4,585 |
| Tulsa.... | 26, 898 | 27,968 | 26,387 | 31,908 | 28,847 | 21,237 | 21, 236 | 22, 105 | 21,766 | 20,762 |
| Wichita. | 11,068 | 12,569 | 13,992 | 14,235 | 13,005 | 10,068 | 9,044 | 9,930 | 10,902 | 11,003 |
| No. 11-Dallas: | 1,654 | 1,998 | 2,191 | 1,957 | 2,263 | 1,382 | 1,628 | 1,709 | 1,599 | 1,572 |
| Austin.......... | 3,079 | 3,564 | 3,854 | 3,108 | 3,874 | 2,770 | 7,614 | 3,453 | 6,690 | 4,233 |
| Beaumont. | 4,467 | 3,135 | 4,782 | 6,047 | 5,113 | 3,497 | 2,969 | 4,210 | 3,666 | 4,010 |
| Dallas.. | 37,704 | 38,678 | 38,107 | 42,639 | 40,837 | 30,980 | 28,255 | 33,764 | 42,829 | 33,188 |
| El Paso.. | 11,915 | 9,435 | 11,042 | 9,164 | 9,351 | 7,432 | 7,953 | 7,482 | 7,411 | 7,637 |
| Fort Worth. | 24,868 | 23,986 | 24,128 | 26,772 | 26,111 | 18,884 | 18,468 | 22,827 | 20,868 | 21, 225 |
| Galveston....... | 8,604 | 8,140 | 6,802 | 8,114 | 8,546 | 5,959 | 7,363 | 6,945 | 7,191 | 6,892 |
| Houston......... | 33,430 | 30,459 | 29,305 | 31,479 | 33,927 | 30,385 | 31,406 | 32,468 | 34,967 | 34,273. |
| San Antonio..... | 8,409 | 7,589 | 10,314 | 8,746 | 7,406 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shreveport......- | 11,755 | 8,637 | 10,715 | 9,936 | 8,755 | 5,677 | 5,632 | 6,431 | 6,295 | 6,104 |
| Texarkana...... | 2,040 | 1,419 | 1,917 | 3,020 | 1,967 | 1,734 | 1,347 | 1,891 | 2,438 | 1,344 |
| Tueson.......... | 1,814 | 846 | 2,159 | 1,972 3,800 | 2,102 | 1,685 | 1,803 | 1,719 | 1,660 | 1,361 |
| No. 12-San Francisco: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Berkeley........ | 2,483 | 3,016 | 3,140 | 3,275 | 2,635 | 5,170 | 2,312 | 2,331 | 2,236 | 1,728 |
| Boise. . | 3,699 | 2,860 | 3,505 | 3,446 | 3,381 | 2,244 | 3,212 | 2,599 | 2,543 | 2,3z2 |
| Fresno. | 12,485 | 10,292 | 10,606 | 11,130 | 9,704 | 4,960 | 6,019 | 6,229 | 6,576 | 6,029 |
| Long Beach..... | 4,015 | 4,699 | 5,340 | 5,271 | 4,6:8 | 2,603 | 2,839 | 3,272 | 3,234 | 2,513 |
| Los Anceles. | 96,272 | 90,426 | 98,774 | 103,406 | 99,652 | 62,310 | 52,064 | 59,899 | 72,464 | 69,222 |
| Oakland......... | 20,477 | 29,771 | 30,620 | 31,827 | 30,573 | 13,131 | 11,231 | 13,393 | 12,369 | 13,790 |
| Ogden............. | 4,411 | 3,639 | 4,102 | 3,554 | 3,921 | 3,303 | 3,243 | 4,367 | 3,529 | 2,839 |
|  | 5,520 41,029 | 4,745 | 5,411 | 5,908 50,664 | 4,999 40,665 | - $\begin{array}{r}\text { 2, } \\ 35 \\ \hline 840 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}3,195 \\ 34,446 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 3,289 40,128 | 4,385 | 4,306 |
| Reno.... | 41, $\mathbf{2}, 467$ | $\begin{array}{r}37,035 \\ 2,473 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 45,378 3,132 | 50,664 3,029 | 40,665 2,941 | 35,840 2,598 | $3+, 446$ 2,413 | 40,128 2,745 | - 21290 | 35,717 2,311 |
| Sacramento. | 11,149 | 11,836 | 13,065 | 13,678 | 13,177 | 10,552 | 11,182 | 11,401 | 13,347 | 10,271 |
| Salt Lake City.. | 17,110 | 15,790 | 17,622 | 17,787 | 15,841 | 13,655 | 14,175 | 14,927 | 15,354 | 15,287 |
| San Diego....... | 9,129 | 6,380 | 8,381 | 8,669 | 7,899 | 4,664 | 4,616 | 5,473 | 5,462 | 4,883 |
| San Francisco... | 216,133 | 202,268 | 203,758 | 248,488 | 236,664 | 146,409 3 | 153,045 | 151,578 | 192,879 | 150,361 3,119 |
| San Jose......... | 5,034 45,855 | 4,679 43,487 | 4,909 45.120 | $\begin{array}{r}5,523 \\ 56,018 \\ \hline 18\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}4,647 \\ 50,036 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 3,299 44,572 | 3,128 40,078 | $\begin{array}{r}3,638 \\ 43,061 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 3,611 | 3,119 49,187 |
| Spakane........... | 12,462 | 12, 044 | 13,608 | 13,849 | 13,249 | 9,310 | 9,718 | 10,194 | 10,255 | 9,558 |
| Stockton......... | 5,202 | 4,978 | 4,760 | 5,946 | 5,100 | 4,287 | 5,218 | 5,148 | 4,774 | 4,321 |
| Tacoma......... | 11,329 3,064 | 10,763 2,743 | 11,544 3,086 | 12,387 3,361 | 10,812 | 11,073 2047 | 8,749 2 | $\stackrel{10,732}{ }$ | 11,893 | ${ }_{10,147}$ |
| Yakima.......... | 3,064 | 2,743 | 3,086 | 3,361 | 3,196 | 2,047 | 2,013 | 2,236 | 2,420 | 2,198 |

Recapitulation, by Federal Reserve districts.
[In thousands of dollars.]

| Federal Reservedistrict. | Number of centers included. | $\stackrel{1920}{\text { Week ending }}$ |  |  |  |  | $\xrightarrow[\text { Week ending- }]{1919}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | May 26. | June 2. | June 9. | June 16. | June 23. | May 28. | June 4. | June 11. | June 18. | June 25. |
| Boston. | 12 | 469,713 | 461,637 | 442,696 | 512,426 | 468,373 | 371,705 | 407, 805 | 400,671 | 458,735 | 457,409 |
| New York. | 1 | 4, 800, 296 | 4, 322, 604 | 4,642,474 | 4, 861,369 | 4, 651,999 | 4, 719, 568 | 5, 131, 754 | 5,228, 139 | 5, 597, 192 | 4,771,787 |
| Philadelphia | 13 | 381, 245 | 405,306 | 427, 930 | 485, 667 | 438, 048 | 367, 390 | 35.2, 348 | 372,312 | 453,877 | 376,762 |
| Cleveland. | 14 | 583,504 | 521,624 | 549, 692 | ${ }^{673,417}$ | 638,704 | 444,226 | 506, 383 | 487,615 | 612,803 | 508,214 |
| Richmond | 7 | 179,012 | 171, 020 | 183, 701 | 189, 143 | 185, 050 | 132,028 | 142,606 | 181, 966 | 181, 698 | 157,455 |
| Atlanta.. | 15 | 248, 581 | 225, 568 | 240, 436 | 255, 421 | 239, 048 | 200, 958 | 187, 915 | 225, 445 | 212,748 | 192,792 |
| Chicago.. | 23 | 1,098, 066 | 1, 024,332 | 1, 088,224 | 1, 263,736 | 1,214,393 | 869, 147 | 935, 725 | 941,720 | 1,111,833 | 959,322 |
| St. Louis. | 5 | 223,657 | 227, 872 | 219, 356 | 248, 495 | 227, 680 | 193,815 | 200, 937 | 206, 732 | 261,129 | 206,494 |
| Minneapolis. | 11 | 163, 242 | 146, 467 | 167, 809 | 160, 866 | 157,262 | 137, 878 | 139, 234 | \$48, 549 | 154,927 | 140, 142 |
| Kansas City. | 14 | 304, 544 | 276,978 | 309, 499 | 321,380 | 316,689 | 262,646 | 269, 808 | 271,463 | 267,524 | 252,226 |
| Dallas. | 12 | 144,980 | 134, 177 | 139,487 | 148,048 | 146, 921 | 113, 690 | 118, 114 | 126,413 | 138,849 | 125,287 |
| San Francisco | 20 | 529, 325 | 503, 924 | 535,861 | 607,216 | 562,750 | 381, 784 | 372, 896 | 396,639 | 465,092 | 400, 108 |
| Total. | 153 | 9,159,165 | 8, 421, 509 | 8,948, 165 | 9, 727, 182 | 9, 246, 915 | 8, 197, 835 | 8,766, 525 | 8,987,664 | 9,919, 407 | 8,517,998 |

[^6] Tex.; Siors Falls, S. Dak.; Huntington, W. Va.

## DISCOUNT AND OPEN-MARKET OPERATIONS OF THE FEDERAL RESERVE BANKS DURING MAY, 1920.

Detailed tables showing the discount and open-market operations of each Federal Reserve Bank during May, 1920, are shown on pages 739 and 740 . Following is a summary, for the system as a whole, of the operations in May and April of the current year with comparative figures for 1919.

Summary of discount and open-market operations of Federal Reserve Banks in May and April, 1920 and 1919.

|  | May. |  | April |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1920 | 1919 | 1920 | 1919 |
| Total discounts and openmarket purchases....... | 6,452,944 | 7,620,107 | 7,474,479 | 6, 125,884 |
| Discounts-Total.......... | 6,135,984 | 7,385, 833 | 6,229,741 | 5,901,402 |
| Secured by Government war obligations | 4, 508, 435 | 7,169,366 | 4, 771, 073 | 5,693,811 |
| Otherwise secured and unsecured-Total... | 1,627,549 | 216,467 | 1,458, 668 | 207,591 |
| Tradeacceptances. | 16,538 | 7,061 | 15,305 | 8,071 |
| Bankers' acceptances. | 15,254 | 1,112 | 28,162 | 420 |
| All other (commercial n. e. s., agricultural, and live stock paper) | 1,595,757 | 208, 294 | 1,415, 201 | 199, 100 |
| Average maturity (in days). | 14.74 | 9.13 | 15.08 | 11.07 |
| Average rate (365-day basis). . . . . . . . per cent. . . | 5.74 | 4.16 | 5.67 | 4. 18 |
| Open-market operations: <br> Bills purchased- |  |  |  |  |
| Total................ | 274,237 | 147,650 | 247,594 | 140,639 |
| Bankers accept-ances-Total.... | 268, 063 | 144,595 | 238,951 | 136,872 |
| In the domestic trade..... | 72,768 | 37, 889 | 56,189 | 47,451 |
| In the foreign trade......... | 195,295 | 106,706 | 182,762 | 89,421 |
| Trade accept-ances-Total.... | 3,739 | 1,896 | 6,890 | 3,361 |
| In the domestic trade..... | 1,015 | 275 | 388 | 247 |
| In the foreign trade......... | 2,724 | 1,621 | 6,502 | 3,114 |
| Dollar exchange... | 2,435 | 1,159 | 1,753 | 406 |
| A verage maturity in days. | 44.22 | 45.80 | 51.59 | 41.96 |
| Average rate (365-day basis)...........per cent.. | 5.96 | 4.24 | 5.82 | 4.24 |
| United States securities purchased: |  |  |  |  |
| Bonds...... |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| Victory notes |  | 86 |  | 1 |
| Certificates of indebtedness. | 42,723 | 86,538 | 997,143 | 83,842 |

During the month of May discount operations of the Federal Reserve Banks were about 94 millions less than during April and about 1,250 millions less than during May, 1919, when, owing to the flotation of the Liberty loan, an exceptionally large volume of discounts was reported. It should be noted that the totals for discounts in the table are exclusive of bills discounted for other Federal Reserve Banks, which were 270 millions during May and 328 millions during April of this year, and 258 millions during May and 253 millions during April of the past year.

While the discounts of the entire system were less in May than in April, the totals for May were larger for the Boston, New York Atlanta, and San Francisco banks, but substantially smaller for the Philadelphia and St . Louis banks.

Of the total bills discounted by the Federal Reserve Banks, the proportion secured by Government war obligations was 73 per cent, compared with 76 per cent the month before and 97 and 96 per cent, respectively, in May and April, 1919. The proportion of this class of paper in the discounts of Federal Reserve Banks thus continues to decline. Member banks' collateral notes, however, are largely secured by Government obligations, while of the customers' paper rediscounted by the member banks with the Federal Reserve Banks only 9 per cent are thus secured, compared with 13 per cent in April of this year and 33 per cent in May of the past year.

Discounts of trade acceptances totaled over a million more in May than in April, and were more than twice as large in volume as a year ago. Discounted bankers' acceptances, on the other hand, were nearly 13 millions less than in April of this year. Discounts of customers' paper secured otherwise than by Government war obligations or unsecured, i. e., largely ordinary commercial paper, were about 183 millions in excess of the April total and nearly 1,400 millions in excess of the May, 1919, total, indicating that the substitution of this class of paper for war paper continues and is the most notable feature of the year's development.

In May, as in the preceding two months, about 88 per cent of the discounts consisted of 15 -day paper, i. e., paper maturing within 15 days after date of discount or rediscount with the Federal Reserve Banks. A year ago this proportion was much higher-97 per cent in April and 98 per cent in May. Six-month bills, composed of agricultural and live-stock paper, totaled about 41 millions as compared with about 30 millions in April, 1920, and only 16 millions in May, 1919. The average maturity of the paper discounted in May was slightly less than the month before, 14.74 days as against 15.08 days, but much longer than the corresponding figures for May, 1919, when the average maturity was only 9.13 days.

A further increase in the average rate of discount, from 5.67 per cent in April to 5.74 per cent in May is shown, the average rates for April and May, 1919, having been 4.18 and 4.16 per cent, respectively. During May the

Kansas City and Dallas banks inaugurated systems of progressive rates for banks whose borrowings exceeded the basic line. The amounts discounted by these Federal Reserve Banks at the super-rates are shown on page 742. It will be noted that over 42 millions of the 133 millions of discounts of the Kansas City bank took super-rates, varying from $\frac{1}{2}$ to $10 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent above normal rates, the bulk of the paper taking rates between 6 and $9 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent. The average rate for the Kansas City bank rose from 6.55 per cent in April to 6.81 per cent in May, while for the Dallas bank, whose total discounts at super-rates were only 2.4 millions out of a total of about 111 millions, the average rate shows but a slight advance from 5.66 to 5.73 per cent.

Total bills purchased in open market in May were about 27 millions more than the month before and about 127 millions more than a year before. The increase for May over April was in bankers' acceptances, as purchases of trade acceptances totaled about 3 millions less during the most recent month. Bankers' acceptances in the domestic trade increased from about 56 millions in April to about 73 millions in May, while in May, 1919, they totaled only about 38 millions; bankers' acceptances in the foreign trade increased from 183 millions in April to about 195 millions in May, as compared with about 107 millions a year earlier. As against a decrease in purchases of foreign trade acceptances from 6.5 millions in April to 2.7 millions in May, purchases of domestic trade acceptances increased from $\$ 388,000$ to $\$ 1,015,000$.

The average maturity of all the paper purchased by the Federal Reserve Banks during May was 44.22 days, compared with 51.59 days the month before and 45.8 days the year before. The longest average maturity, 74.03 days, is shown for the Philadelphia bank, while for the Boston and New York banks the average was only 39.07 and 38.06 days, respectively. The average rate charged on acceptances purchased in May was 5.96 per cent, marking an advance from the April average of 5.82 per cent; while in April and in May, 1919, the rates taken by purchased acceptances averaged only 4.24 per cent.

During the month under review 58 banks were added to the membership of the system, the total number of members increasing from 9,271 to 9,329 , while the number of banks accommodated through discount of paper increased from 4,175 in April to 4,645 in May. Total membership in the system at the end of April and of May and number of banks accommodated during each of the two months are shown, by Federal Reserve districts, in the statement following:


The holdings of discounted and purchased bills, by class of paper, at the end of May and of April, 1920 and 1919, are shown in detail on page 741, and are summarized in the table below:

Summary of discounted and purchased paper held by the Federal Reserve Banks at the end of May and April, 1920 and 1919. ${ }^{1}$
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | End of May- |  | End of April- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1920 | 1919 | 1920 | 1919 |
| Discounted paper-Total. | 2,519,431 | 1,989,392 | 2, 535,071 | 1,950,412 |
| Secured by Govern- |  |  |  |  |
| tions................ | 1,447,962 | 1,802,893 | 1,465,320 | 1,760,672 |
| Otherwise secured and unsecured-Total... | 1,071,469 | 186,499 | 1,069,751 | 189,740 |
| Agricultural paper. | 63,537 | 28,619 | 1,44,389 | 34,088 |
| Live-stock paper.. | 77,154 | 30,372 | 61,993 | 32,793 |
| Trade acceptances. | 21,979 | 7,321 | 23,937 | 8,561 |
| Bankers' accept- ances.............. | 41,841 | 1,122 | 48,251 | 981 |
| Commercial paper, n.e.s........ | 866,958 | 119,065 | 891,181 | 113,317 |
| Purchased paper-Total... | 420, 192 | 185, 556 | 407,247 | 180,319 |
| Bankers' accept-ances-Total | 411, 427 | 183, 563 | 396,859 | 177,756 |
| Member banks.... | 275,369 | 136,741 | 270,808 | 140,034 |
| Nonmember trust companies....... | 2,954 | 2,853 | 1,237 | 2,975 |
| Nonmember State banks. | 56, 187 | 18,729 | 45,055 | 12,321 |
| Private banks..... | 47,448 | 14,628 | 48,549 | 14,196 |
| Foreign bank branches and |  |  |  |  |
| agencies......... | 29,469 | 10,612 | 31,210 | 8,230 |
| Trade acceptances- |  |  |  |  |
| Total................ | 8,765 | 1,993 | 10,388 | 2,563 |
| Domestic.......... | 1,542 | 136 | 600 | 58 |
| Foreign............ | 7,223 | 1,857 | 9,788 | 2,505 |

${ }^{1}$ For discounts the figures are for the last Friday of each month; for purchased paper, for the last day of each month.

Among the principal changes in holdings of discounted paper between April and May of this year the following are to be noted: An increase of about 19 millions in agricultural paper and of about 15 millions in live-stock paper, more than offset by decreases of about 25 millions in customers' papers secured by Government obligations and of about the same amount in customers' paper otherwise secured
or unsecured. Holdings of member banks' collateral notes increased by about 7 millions, while holdings of discounted trade acceptances declined by about 2 millions and of discounted bankers' acceptances by over 6 millions. As compared with the end of May, 1919, all classes of paper, except member banks' collateral notes, show increases, the most notable being the increase from about 109 to about 864 millions in customers' paper other than agricultural and live-stock paper and paper secured by Government war obligations. Member banks' collateral notes on hand declined by about 500 millions, while trade acceptance holdings rose from about 7 to about 22 millions and bankers' acceptances from about 1 to about 42 millions.
Holdings of purchased bank acceptances increased by about 15 millions from the end of April to the end of May and by about 228
millions from the end of May, 1919. The most recent total is distributed by class of accepting institutions as follows: Member banks, 67 per cent; nonmember State banks and trust companies, 15 per cent; private banks, 11 per cent; and foreign bank branches and agencies, 7 per cent. Purchased trade acceptances on hand declined from about 10 to about 9 millions between April 30 and May 31, but the most recent total is about 7 millions in excess of the amount shown for the end of May of last year. An increase from about $\$ 600,000$ at the end of April to about $\$ 1,542,000$ at the end of May is noted in the holdings of domestic trade acceptances. A year earlier the corresponding total was $\$ 136,000$. Holdings of foreign trade acceptances declined by about 3 millions during the month under review, but the total of 7.2 millions on May 31 was about four times as large as the total shown for that date a year earlier.

Total discount and open-market operations of each Federal Reserve Bank during the month of May, 1920.


[^7]Average amount of earning assets held by each Federal Reserve Bank during May, 1920, earnings from each class of earning assets, and annual rate of earnings on basis of May, 1920, returns.

| Federal Reserve Bank. | A verage daily holdings of the several classes of earning assets. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Discounted } \\ & \text { bills. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Purchased } \\ & \text { bills. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { United } \\ \text { States } \\ \text { securities. } \end{gathered}$ | Total. |
| Boston. | \$164,504,777 | * $33,173,734$ | \$22,389,722 | \$220,068, 233 |
| New York. | 834, 779,176 | 167,366, 331 | 74, 766,850 | 1,076, 112,357 |
| Philadelphia | $212,324,751$ $172,013,875$ | 2, 571,884 $60,581,645$ | $32,216,703$ <br> $24,203,134$ | 247, ${ }_{2} \mathbf{2 5 6} 798,654$ |
| Richmond. | 95,947,111 | 10,602,688 | 13,494,600 | 120, 044,399 |
| Atlanta... | 117,322,262 | 6,142,526 | 15,782,615 | 139,247,103 |
| Chicayo. | 419,419,341 | 54,448,268 | 44, 121,487 | 517,989,096 |
| St. Louis. | 113,035,006 | 2, 800, 848 | 18,425, 819 | 134, 261,673 |
| Minneapolis. | 75,560,000 | 4, 827,000 | 8,600,000 | 88,993,000 |
| Kansas City. | 110,515,178 | 648,680 | 21,928,112 | 133, 091, 970 |
| Dallas...... | 74,924, 144 | 1,603,969 | 12,266,000 | 88,794, 113 |
| San Francisco. | 147,205,302 | 71,752,050 | 13,587,805 | 232,545; 157 |
| Total May, 1920. | 2,537, 550,923 | 416,519,623 | 301, 788, 817 | 3,255, 859,393 |
| Total May, 1919. | 1,973,026,081 | 189, 767, 777 | 228, 080, 340 | 2,391, 774, 198 |

Average amount of earning assets held by each Federal Reserve Bank during May, 1920, earnings from each class of earning assets, and annual rate of earnings on basis of May, 1920, returns-Continued.

| Federal Reserve Bank. | Earnings from - |  |  |  | Calculated annual rate of earnings from- |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dis- } \\ & \text { counted } \\ & \text { bills. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pur- } \\ & \text { chased } \\ & \text { bills. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { United } \\ \text { States } \\ \text { securities. } \end{gathered}$ | Total. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dis- } \\ \text { counted } \\ \text { bills. } \end{gathered}$ | Pur- chased bills. | United States securities. | Total. |
| Bostion | 8779,069 |  |  |  | Per cent. | Per cent. | Per cent. | Per cent. |
| New York | 3,887,060 | 822,323 | 168, 79 | 4,877,662 | 5. 50 | 5. 80 | 2.66 | 5.28 5.35 |
| Philadelphia | 982,295 | 12,891 | 58, 134 | 1,053, 320 | 5.46 | 5.92 | 2.13 | 5.03 |
| Cleveland. | 814,416 | 278,930 | 42,288 | 1, 135,634 | 5.59 | 5.44 | 2.06 | 5.22 |
| Richmond. | 459, 683 | 54,396 | 22,999 | 537,078 | 5. 65 | 6.06 | 2.01 | 5.28 |
| Atlanta. | 563,945 | 31,226 | 26,920 | 622,091 | 5.68 | 6.00 | 2.01 | 5.28 |
| Chicago... | 2,094,036 | 268,510 | 78,114 | 2,440,660 | 5. 89 | 5.82 | 2.09 | 5. 56 |
| St. Lonis. | 545,361 | 13,779 | 32,337 | 591,477 | 5.70 | 5.81 | 2.07 | 5.20 |
| Minneapolis. | 376,767 | 21, 047 | 14,737 | 412,551 | 5.89 | 5.15 | 2.02 | 5.47 |
| Kansas City. | 580,639 | 3,159 8,350 | ${ }^{39,} 869$ | 623,659 | 6. 20 5 | 5.75 | 2.15 |  |
| Dallas....... | 369,221 719,798 | 8,350 355,777 | 21,909 23,563 | 399,480 $1,099,138$ | 5.80 5.77 | 6.04 5.85 | 2.10 2.05 | 5.29 5.58 |
| Trial May, 1920. | 12,172, 290 | 2,036,403 |  | 14,776,159 |  | 5.77 | 2.22 | 5.36 |
| Total May, 1919. | 6,958,789 | 2,684,682 | 469,589 | 8,113,060 | 4.15 | 4.25 | 2.42 | 3.99 |

Bills discounted during the month of May, 1920, distributed by classes; also average rates and maturities of bills discounted by each Federal Reserve Bank.

| Federal Reserve Bank. | Customers' papersecured by Government war obligations. | Member banks' collateral notes. |  | Trade acceptances. | Bankers' acceptances. | All other (commercial n.e. s., agricultural, and live stock paper). | Total. | Average maturity in days. | Average rate (365-day basis). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Secured by Government war obligations. | Otherwise secured. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boston. | \$19, 985,599 | \$352,983, 225 |  | \$383,054 | \$1,756,654 | \$46,021, 141 | \$421, 129, 673 | 12.27 | Per cent. 5.60 |
| New York | 75, 868, 608 | 2, 151, 226,576 |  | ${ }^{1} 4,261,235$ | ${ }^{2} 4,967,778$ | 944, 719,622 | 3, 181,043, 819 | 8.66 | 5.56 |
| Philadelphia | $25,339,172$ | 368, 247, 652 |  | 518,032 | 17,936 | 65, 876, 665 | 459, 999,457 | 13.54 | 5.43 |
| Cleveland. | 7,567,160 | 191, 831,430 | \$571,000 | 2,216, 844 | 229,859 | 36, 803, 102 | 239, 219, 395 | 16. 20 | 5.64 |
| Richmond | 5,139,451 | 262,035, 200 | 981, 200 | 682, 256 |  | 23, 082, 335 | 291, 920,442 | 12.40 | 5.58 |
| Atlanta. | 4,211,716 | 122,441, 860 | 535,000 | 1,211, 744 | 545, 204 | 45, 227, 388 | 174, 172,912 | 27.85 | 5.72 |
| Chicago. | 7,537, 245 | 327, 715, 750 | 558,300 | 1,628, 554 | 1, 702,894 | 166, 834, 593 | 505, 977, 336 | 31.23 | 5.85 |
| St. Louis. | 8,153,543 | 107, 916, 173 | 411, 450 | 1,121,598 | 482,077 | 87, 394, 654 | 205,479, 495 | 28.86 | 5.78 |
| Minneapolis. | 1, 321,327 | 44, 502, 354 | 895, 500 | 400, 628 |  | 40, 483, 467 | 87, 603, 276 | 40.95 | 5.89 |
| Kansas City | 3,425,481 | 87, 977, 198 | 75,000 | 825, 104 | 30,000 | 41, 145, 346 | 133,478, 129 | 39.32 | 6.81 |
| Dallas.... | 997, 828 | 90, 462,793 | 903,311 | 139,525 | 135, 000 | 18, 541,092 | 111, 179, 549 | 26.98 | 5.73 |
| San Francisco | 2,959,630 | 238, 588, 085 | 1,200,000 | ${ }^{3} 3,148,830$ | 5,386, 858 | 73, 497, 288 | 324, 780,691 | 17.16 | 5.84 |
| Total, May, 1920. | 162, 506, 760 | 4,345,928, 296 | 6, 130, 761 | 16,537,404 | 15, 254, 260 | 1,589,626,693 | 6, 135, 984, 174 | 14.74 | 5.74 |
| Total, May, 1919. | 106, 177, 836 | 7,063, 188, 715 | 17, 737, 787 | 7,061,335 | 1,111,646 | 190, 555, 737 | 7,385, 833, 056 | 9.13 | 4. 16 |
| 1 Includes $\$ 352,864$ in the foreign trade |  | e. 2 Includes $\$ 83,792$ of dollar exchange bills. |  |  |  | ${ }^{3}$ Includes 8376,534 in the foreign trade. |  |  |  |

Bankers' and trade acceptances in the foreign and domestic trade and dollar exchange bills purchased during the month of May, 1920; also average rates and maturities of total bills purchased by each Federal Reserve Bank.

| Federal ReservoBank. | Bankers' acceptances. |  |  | Trade acceptances. |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I)ollar } \\ & \text { exchange } \\ & \text { bills. } \end{aligned}$ | Total bills purchased. | Average maturity in days. | A verage rate (365-day basis). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In the domestic trade. | In the foreign trade. | Total. | In the domestic trade. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { In the } \\ & \text { foreign } \\ & \text { trade. } \end{aligned}$ | Total. |  |  |  |  |
| Boston | \$12,049, 496 | \$17, 152,275 | \$29, 201, 771 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Per cent. |
| New York | 33, 759, 527 | 121, 568,943 | 155, 328, 470 | -605,781 | \$1,001,929 | \$1,607,710 | 2,172,727 | 159, 108,907 | 38.06 | 6.02 5.92 |
| Philadelphia | -155,305 | 1148, 422 | 503,727 |  |  |  |  | , 503,727 | 74.03 | 6.01 |
| Cleveland.. | 5,780,462 | 21, 152, 555 | 26,873,017 | 141,553 | 5,000 | 126, 553 | 11,800 | 27,011,370 | 58.83 | 6.00 |
| Richmond. | 888,000 2, 439,946 | 4, 0090,049 656,036 | $4,897,049$ $3,005,982$ |  |  | ........... |  | 4,897,049 | 60.04 | ${ }^{6.06}$ |
| Chicago.... | 12, 090,798 | 19,913,377 | 32,001,175 |  |  |  | 150,000 | 32,154,175 | 46. 58.91 | 6.08 6.04 |
| St. Louis. | 1,364,415 | 1,232, 274 | 4,596, 689 |  |  |  | 15, | 2,596,689 | 42.18 | 6.04 6.03 |
| Minneapois. | 1,001, 198 | 1, 3050421 | 2,306, 619 |  |  |  |  | 2,306,619 | 49.34 | 5.07 |
| Kansas City....... | 584,719 | 362,910 | 947,629 |  |  |  |  | 947,629 | 44.59 | 6.08 |
| Daltas............ | 86,635 | 180,000 7,413 | 266,635 |  |  |  |  | 266,635 | 60.11 | 6.25 |
| San Francisco | 2, (227, 819 | 7,413,729 | 10,041,547 | 287,244 | 1,717,353 | 2,004, 597 |  | 12,046, 144 | 57.03 | 6.00 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total, M 9 у, } \\ & 1920 . . \end{aligned}$ | 72,768,319 | 195, 294, 991 | 268,063,310 | 1,014,578 | 2, 724,282 | 3,738, 860 | 2,434, 527 | 274, 235,697 | 44.22 | 5.96 |
| 919 | 37, 889, 464 | 106, 705, 776 | 144, 595, 210 | 275, 169 | 1,621,293 | 1,896,462 | 1,158,490 | 147, 650, 192 | 45.80 | 4.24 |

Discounted bills, including member banks' collateral notes, held by each Federal Reserve Bank on the last Friday in May, 1920, distributed by classes.


Acceptances purchased by each Federal Reserve Bank and held on May 31, 1920, distributed by classes of accepting institutions.
[In thousands of dollars.]

| Federal Reserve Bank. | Bank acceptances. |  |  |  |  |  | Trade acceptances. |  |  | Grand total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Member banks. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Non- } \\ \text { member } \\ \text { trust } \\ \text { com- } \\ \text { panies. } \end{gathered}$ | Non- member State banks. | Private banks. | Foreign bank branches and agencies. | Total. | Domestic. | Foreign. | Total. |  |
| Boston. | 28,762 | 250 | 1,776 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 3,623 | 335 | 34,746 |  |  |  | 34,746 |
| New York. | 96,733 | 2,019 | 34,403 | 22,821 | 18,203 | 174,179 | 1,133 | 4,060 | 5,193 | 179,372 |
| Philadelphia | 1,890 32,484 | 75 75 | 9,969 | 9,292 | 6,153 | 2,070 57,973 |  | 5 |  | 2,070 58,100 |
| Cieveland. | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 32, } \\ \mathbf{9}, 948 \\ \hline 98\end{array}$ |  |  | 9,292 | 6,153 | 94,948 | 122 |  | 127 | 58,100 9,948 |
| Atlanta... | 5,724 |  |  |  |  | 5,724 |  |  |  | 5,724 |
| Chicago... | 53, 454 | 485 | 121 | 1,296 | 26 | 55, 382 | ........ |  |  | 55,382 |
| St. Louis. | ${ }^{3} \mathbf{3} 74$ |  | ${ }_{369}$ | 50 |  | 3,735 |  |  |  | 3,735 |
| Minneapolis | 3,794 1 |  | 369 | 50 | 128 | 4,341 1,309 |  |  |  | 4,341 1,309 |
| Dallas..... | 1,374 |  |  |  |  | 1,374 |  |  |  | 1,374 |
| San Francisco. | 36, 323 | 50 | 9,449 | 10,200 | 4,624 | 60,646 | 287 | 3,158 | 3,445 | 64,091 |
| Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 31, 1920. | 275,369 | 2,954 | 56,187 | 47,448 | 29,469 | ${ }_{30,427}^{411,427}$ | 1,542 | 7,223 | 8,765 | 420,192 |
| Apr. 30, 1922. | 270,808 282,339 | 1,389 | 45, 659 55,390 | 48,549 51,012 | 31,210 23,654 | 311,486 <br> 413,784 | 600 572 | 5,566 | 10,388 6,138 |  |
| Feb. 28, 1920. | 364,940 | 2,100 | 70, 127 | 60, 218 | 33, 440 | 530, 825 | 580 | 4,800 | 5,380 | 536,205 |
| Jan. 31, 1920 | 383, 375 | 6,134 | 68,592 | 61, 218 | 36, ${ }^{303}$ | 555,522 <br> 183 <br> 563 | 1,893 | 4, 4 , 595 | 6,488 | 562,010 |
| May 31, 1919. | 136,741 208,917 | 2, 1,350 1,35 | 18,729 5,168 | 14,628 26,217 | 10,612 8,398 | 183,563 247,030 | 136 | 1,857 8,276 | 1,993 8,276 | 185,556 257,306 |

Bills discounted by each Federal Reserve Bank during the three months ending May 31, 1920, distributed by rates of discount; also average rates and maturities of all bills discounted by each Federal Reserve Bank.

| Federal Reserve Bank. | 43 per cent. |  | 5 per cent. |  |  |  | $5 \frac{1}{4}$ per cent. |  |  | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent. |  | $5{ }^{3}$ per cent. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount. | Discount. | Amou |  | Disco | unt. |  | ount. | Discount. | Amount. D | Discount. | Amount. | Discount. |
| Boston |  |  | \$514, | 4, 825 | \$464 | , 110 |  |  |  | \$554, 679,781 | \$845,363 |  |  |
| New York. |  |  | 5,220, 8 | 8,368 | 2, 832 |  |  |  |  | 2,383, 291, 601 | 6,006,620 |  |  |
| Philadelphia |  |  | 404,5 | 9, 911 |  | , 639 |  | 70,000 | \$8,581 | 901, 095, 798 | 2,009, 815 |  |  |
| Cleveland... |  |  | 161, 6 | 5, 750 |  | , 551 |  | 135, 000 | 2,265 | 423, 313,702 | 873, 705 | \$59,944,912 | \$171,666 |
| Richmond. |  |  | 162, 3 | 0,000 |  | ,583 |  | 823,500 | 1,088 | 672,101,096 | 887, 648 |  |  |
| Atlanta.. |  |  | 113,5 | 4, 705 |  | ,611 |  | 29,500 | 6,206 | 250, 329, 236 | 662,092 |  |  |
| Chicago.. | \$320,000 | \$1,111 | 465, 4 | 6, 073 |  | , 022 |  | 338,105 | 67,968 | 411, 357, 660 | 1,097, 898 |  |  |
| St. Louis. | 12,868, 279 | 22,317 | 126,7 | 89, 450 |  | , 804 |  | 522,000 | 1,114 | 272, 077, 180 | 724, 439 |  |  |
| Minneapolis | 36,284, 600 | 70,524 | 33, 0 | 6, 154 |  | , 813 |  | 183, 200 | 407 | 43, 407, 158 | 144, 617 |  |  |
| Kansas City |  |  | 78,1 115,5 | 6, 677 |  | , 1826 |  |  |  | $188,845,175$ $144,004,271$ | 486,446 337,499 |  |  |
| San Francisco | $36,733,500$ | 29,102 | 246,5 | 5,715 |  | , 419 | -169, | 157,500 | 99,543 | 161, 780,544 | 337,499 387,416 | 67,867,965 | 148,979 |
| Tota | 86, 206,379 | 123,054 | 7,643,228 | 8, 128 | 6,509 | , 818 | 187, | 558, 805 | 187, 175 | 6, 406, 283, 202 14 | 14, 463, 558 | 127, 812,877 | 320,645 |
| Federal Reserve Bank. |  | 6 per cent. |  |  |  | 7 per cent. |  |  | Superrates. ${ }^{3}$ | Total. |  | A.verage maturity in days. | Average rate (365-day basis). |
|  |  | Amount. |  | Discount. |  | Amount. |  | Discount | Discount. | . Amount. | Discount. |  |  |
| Boston. |  | \$159, 246,630 |  | \$1, 313,278 |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,228,581,236 | \$2,622,751 | 13.83 | Per cent. 5. 64 |
| New York |  | $\begin{array}{r} 2,498,671,804 \\ 261,947,396 \end{array}$ |  | 4, 033,728 |  |  |  |  |  | 10, 102, 861, 773 | 12,872, 699 | - 8.42 | 5. 52 |
| Philadelphi |  |  |  | -510,711 |  |  | 000 | \$59 |  | 1,572,041, 105 | 3,266, 808 | - 13.92 | 5. 45 |
| Cleveland |  | 128, 266,753 |  | 768,429 |  |  | 000 | 1,780 |  | 775, 065, 117 | 2,033,396 | -16.98 | 5.64 |
| Richmond |  | 83,318. 103 |  | 620,566 |  |  |  |  |  | 918,572,699 | 1,712,885 | - 12.15 | 5.80 |
| Atlanta. |  | 120, 186, 723 |  | 1,118,792 |  |  | 000 | 14 |  | 486, 825, 164 | 2,026,715 | - 26.69 | 5. 69 |
| Chicago. |  | 786, 480, 346 |  | 6,651, 816 |  |  | ,000 | 102 |  | 1, 672,358, 184 | 8,776,917 | - 33.02 | 5. 80 |
| St. Louis. |  | 325, 183, 872 |  | 1,709,319 |  |  | . 000 | 65 |  | 737,480,781 | 2, 681, 058 | - 23.08 | 5. 75 |
| Minneapolis. |  | 132, 435, 563 |  | 1,263,405 |  |  | 000 | 10 |  | 245, 416, 675 | 1,546,776 | - 39.46 | 5.83 |
| Kansas City |  | 138, 868, 488 |  | 1,684, 428 |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{2}$ 226, 775 | 405, 814,163 | 2,547, 838 | - 35.87 | 6.39 |
| Dallas...... |  | $\begin{array}{r} 52,275,870 \\ 197,086,727 \end{array}$ |  | 644,961$1,485,903$ |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{3} 5,378$ | 311, 866, 818 | 1, 222,564 | - 25.26 | 5. 67 |
| San Francisco. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 879, 171, 951 | 2,333,362 | 216.84 | 5.75 |  |  |
| Total |  | 4, 884, 068, 275 |  |  |  | 21, 805, 336 |  | 898,000 |  | 2,030 | 232,153 | 19,336, 055, 666 | 6 43, 643, 769 | 14.50 | 5.68 |

1 Total amounts of paper discounted and of discount chargeable at normal rates are included in totals shown in preceding columns. ${ }^{2}$ Discount charged at super-rates ranging from $\frac{2}{2}$ to $10 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent on loans in excess of basic discount lines, aggregating $\$ 42,164,648$. 2 per cent on loans in excess of basic discount lines, aggregating $\$ 2,370,941$.
Acceptances purchased by each Federal Reserve Bank during the three months ending May 31, 1920, distributed by rates of discount; also average rates and maturities of acceptances purchased by each Federal Reserve Bank.

| Federal Reserve Bank. | 5 per cent. |  | $5{ }_{4}^{1}$ per cent. |  | $5_{5}^{\text {\% \% }}$ \% per cent. |  | $5^{3}$ per cent. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount. | Discount. | Amount. | Discount. | Amount. | Discount. | Amount. | Discount. |
| Boston. |  |  | \$43,449 | ${ }^{309}$ |  |  | \$70,057 | 8120 |
| New York. |  |  | 143,150,331 | 309,431 |  |  | 74,258 | 472 |
| Cleveland. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9,278 | $8 \stackrel{4}{4}$ |
| Richmond... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Atlanta...... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| St. Louis.. | \$1,546,053 | \$13,463 | 395,000 | 4,603 | 816,080 | \$34 | 425,000 | 2,733 |
| Minneapolis | 7,021,670 | 56,667 |  |  |  |  | 225,000 | 1,881 |
| Kansas City. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| San Francisco. | .... | ...... |  | , | ........ |  | 347,156 | 2,242 |
| Total. | 8,567,723 | 70,130 | 143, 588,780 | 314,040 | 16,080 | 34 | 1,150,749 | 7,532 |
| Federal Reserve Bank. | $5 \frac{7}{18}$ per cent. |  | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent. |  | $5 \frac{9}{16}$ per cent. |  | 5咅 per cent. |  |
|  | Amount. | Discount. | Amount. | Discount. | Amount. | Discount. | Amount. | Discount. |
| Boston. |  |  | 84,288,603 | \$16,264 | \$45,324 | \$102 | \$13,237,267 | \$69,925 |
| Now York... |  |  | 47,249,906 |  |  |  | $36,921,259$ 487,500 | 278,419 4,658 |
| Clieveland. |  |  | $4,018,049$ | 14,836 | 230,6.0. | 615 | 14,879,823 | 116,790 |
| Atlanta.... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chicago.. |  |  | 4,582,554 | 15,242 |  |  | 19,416,969 | 157,320 |
| St. Louis. | \$500,000 | \$4,985 | 1,058,487 | 13,213 |  |  | 323,723 | 2,601 |
| Minneapolis. |  |  | 431,347 | 4,908 |  |  |  |  |
| Dallas....... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| San Francisco. |  |  | 11,518,200 | 45,327 |  |  | 30,279,640 | 244,003 |
| Total. | 500,000 | 4,985 | 73,147,146 | 256,096 | 275,947 | 717 | 115,546, 181 | 873,716 |

Acceptances purchased by each Federal Reserve Bank during the three months ending May 11, 1920, distributed by rates of discount; also average rates and maturities of acceptances purchased by each Federal Reserve Bank-Continued.


## OPERATIONS OF THE FEDERAL RESERVE CLEARING SYSTEM FROM MAY 16 TO JUNE 15, 1920.

[Amounts in thousands of dollars.]

| Federal Reserve Bank or Branch. | Items drawn on banks in own district. |  |  |  | Items drawn on Treasurer of United States. |  | Total. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Located in Federal Reserve Bank andcities. |  | Located outside Federal Reserve Bank and branchcities. |  |  |  | Number. |  | Amount. |  |
|  | Number. | Amount. | Number. | Amount. | Number. | Amount. | 1920 | 1919 | 1920 | 1919 |
| Boston | 605,363 | 684,718 | 2,801,657 | 471,022 | 134,680 | 48,637 | 3,541,700 | 2,895,690 | 1,204,377 | 933,950 |
| New York, | 944, 817 | 2,251, 4385 | 3,676,900 | 1, 360, 319 | ${ }_{11}^{677,699}$ | 142,735 | 5, ${ }^{489}$, 416 | 4,777, 421 | 3,754,489 | 3,954, ${ }^{9142}$ |
| Philadelphia | 1,351,153 | ${ }_{900,040}$ | 1,823,540 | ${ }_{2688}^{5836}$ | 11,438 1493 | 53,353 | 3, 319,632 | 2,406, 135 | 1, 221,429 | 91,833 917,617 |
| Cleveland. | 302,637 | 256, 123 | 1,005,746 | 190,751 | 50,620 | 9,170 | 1,359, 003 | -859,110 | 456,044 | 338,693 |
| Cincinnati. | 140,400 | 153,079 | 726,597 | 94,064 | 42,290 | 4,832 | -909, 287 | 578, 509 | 251,975 | 181,027 |
| Pittsburgh | ${ }^{300,376}$ | 301, 242 | 762,064 | 109, 482 | 30,956 | 6,676 | 1,093, 396 | 658, 678 | 417, 400 | 294,119 |
| Richmond. | 199,723 | 182, 649 | 1,475,074 | 290,758 | 37,920 5,757 | 6,892 | 1,612,717 | 984, 883 | 480, 299 | ${ }_{170}^{362,626}$ |
| Atlanta... | 184,803 92,779 | 179,212 83,449 | 647,345 <br> 3093 <br> 3 | 79,632 | ${ }^{51,075}$ | +13, $\begin{array}{r}1389 \\ 3,683\end{array}$ | -884,905 | 444, ${ }^{4633}$ | ${ }^{272,633}$ | 170,196 146,797 |
| Birmingham | 41,384 | 25, 222 | 134, 104 | 14,328 | 9,415 | 1,305 | 184,903 | 135, 831 | 40, 855 | 26,713 |
| Jacksonvill | 31,877 | 22,503 | 118,815 | 17,250 | 8,490 | 1,278 | 159,182 | 123,397 | 41,031 | 27,387 |
| Nash ville. | 51,078 | 40,189 | 195, 319 | 27,235 | 9,620 | 1,170 | 256,017 |  | 68,594 |  |
| New Orleans | 53,665 | 58,825 | 104,389 | 17,826 | 14,941 | 3,379 | 172,995 | 157,013 | 80,030 | 67, 386 |
| Chicago.. | 689,918 | 767, 153 | 3,111,486 | 429,111 | 223,154 | 24,415 | 4,024, 558 | 2,495,867 | 1,220,679 | 979,806 |
| St. Deuis | 190,606 | $\underset{\substack{168,532 \\ 274 \\ \hline 185}}{ }$ | - $31.16,434$ | $\begin{array}{r}46,270 \\ 108,640 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 33,784 77,316 | 5,522 | 1, 540, ${ }^{1} 234$ | +157,856 | 220,324 <br> 3915 | $\begin{array}{r}88,580 \\ 307 \\ \hline 012\end{array}$ |
| St. Little Rock | - 41,711 | - $\begin{array}{r}\text { 27, } 27,86 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 1,247, 183 | 108,640 22,060 | - | 1,903 | 1, ${ }_{294}$ | 1556,935 116,552 | 391,523 51,829 | -33,567 |
| Lotuisville | 77,332 | 68,337 | 332,760 | 27,073 | 18,041 | 4,150 | 428, 133 | 193,044 | 99,560 | 76,280 |
| Memphis. | 67,041 | 39,162 | 137,083 | 11,791 | 8,193 | 1,464 | 212,317 | 114,138 | 52,417 | 35,771 |
| Minneapolis. | 213,512 | 161,116 | 1,518,283 | 129,289 | 29,703 | 3,954 | 1,761,498 | 796,924 | 294,359 | 190,055 |
| Kansas City | 428,917 | 349,869 | 2,327,813 | 271,420 | 65,419 | 6,352 | 2,822,149 | 1,388,157 | 627,641 | 479,020 |
| Denver | 71,170 | 62, 284 | 304,966 | 24,599 | 15,939 | 2,178 | 392,075 | 250, 435 | 89,061 | 57,306 |
| Omaha | 86,799 | 66,182 | 463,853 | 45,520 | 28,930 | 1,366 | 579,582 | 372,583 | 113,068 | 82,343 |
| Dallas. | 79,054 | 74,574 | 1,486, 259 | 273,511 | 21,720 | 3,278 | 1,587.033 | 595.292 | 351,363 | 268.106 |
| ${ }^{\text {El Paso. }}$ | ${ }^{41,740}$ | 13,515 | 129, 685 | 13,858 | 10,621 | 2,272 | 182,046 | 148,098 | 20,645 | 24,383 |
| San Francisco | 60,602 125,853 | 41,163 114,061 | 307, 719 27396 | 37,651 <br> 28,616 | 52,610 44,393 | - $\begin{array}{r}1,793 \\ 11481\end{array}$ | 420,931 444,042 | 323,062 | $\begin{array}{r}80,607 \\ 257558 \\ \hline 18\end{array}$ | 414,089 |
| Los Angele | $\stackrel{92,137}{ }$ | 75,058 | 368,014 | 36,891 | 19,176 | 10,614 | 479,327 |  | 122,563 | 4,089 |
| Portland. | 50,231 | 37,879 | 122,706 | 10,414 | 18,910 | 7,166 | 191, 847 | 126,037 | 55,459 | 48,032 |
| Salt Lake Cit | 37,729 | 30,726 | 402,599 | 35,654 | 10,048 | 2,144 | 451,276 | 358,225 | 68,524 | 57,454 |
| Seattle. | 66,716 | 45,741 | 173,739 | 17,065 | 25,042 | 9,060 | 265,497 | 182,531 | 71,866 | 64,717 |
| Spokane. | 27,079 | 18,781 | 148,459 | 12,872 | .8,945 | 947 | 184,483 | 144,416 | 32,600 | 22,467 |
| otal: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 16 to June 15 | 7,010,705 | 7,679,173 | 27, 476,667 | 4,652,646 | 1,965,436 | 509, 831 | 36,452,808 | 23, 581,695 | 12,841,650 | 10,732,624 |
| Apr. 16 to May 15 | 7,288,380 | 7,669,914 | 27, 192, 994 | 4,669,179 | 2, 689, 238 | 479,638 | 37, 170,112 | 24, 345,94i | 12,818,731 | 9,7¢9,768 |
| Mar. 16 to Apr. 15 | 7,982,646 | 8,512,045 | 28,224,783 | $5,055,423$ | $2,192,547$ | 882,565 | 38,399,976 | 24, 867, 530 |  |  |
| Feb. 16 to Mar. 15. | 6,971,752 | $7,500,756$ $7,210,635$ | $25,024,809$ $23,003,659$ | $\underset{4,748,036}{5}$ | $\xrightarrow{1,565,995}$ | 509,415 558,926 | 33,562,556 | $\begin{aligned} & 19,869,809 \\ & 21,493,121 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13,154,434 \\ & 12,517,597 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8,770,103 \\ 10,835,515 \end{array}$ |

${ }^{1}$ Includes 5,352 items, amounting to $\$ 7,385,000$, forwarded directly to banks in Baltimore.

Operations of the Federal Reserve clearing system from May 16 to June 15, 1920—Continued.
[Amounts in thousands of dollars.


[^8]| From－ | To－ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { 品 } \\ & \text { 荡 } \end{aligned}\right.$ |  |  |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { 号 } \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}\right.$ | \|ت |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 息 } \\ & \stackrel{a}{4} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ig } \\ & \text { dix } \\ & \text { di } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 淢 } \\ & \text { R } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 总 } \\ & \text { 骨 } \\ & \text { 苞 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|l\|l\|l\|} \text { gig } \\ \text { gin } \end{array}$ | 宮 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}\right. \\ & \hdashline 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}\right.$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { gi } \\ \text { 品 } \\ \text { on } \end{array}$ |  |  |
| Boston． |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 4 |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 6 |
| New York． | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | ．．． | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 |
| Buffalo． | 1 | 1 | ．．．． | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | ， | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 3 |  | 3 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 |
| Philadelphia | 1 | 1 | 1 | ．．．． | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | － 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 |
| Cleveland． | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1. | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 3 | ．．． | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 |
| Cincinnati． | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | $\cdots$ | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 21 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 3 |  | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 |
| Pittsburgh． | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\cdots$ | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 3 | ．． | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 |
| Richmond． | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 12 | 2 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 4 |  | 3 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 |
| Baltimore． | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 12 | 2 | 1 |  |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 |  | 3 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 |
| Atlanta． | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | ．．．． | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 |
| New Orleans | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | ． 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 2 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 |  | 4 |
| Birmingham． | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | ．．．． | ． 2 | 21 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 3 |  | 2 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 5 | ． 5 | 4 | 5 |
| Jacksonville． | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 |  | ． 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 4 |  | 3 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 6 |
| Nashville． | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 21 | 2 | 1 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 3 |  | 3 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |  | 5 |
| Chicago． | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Detroit． | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $1{ }^{2}$ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 3 | ．． | 3 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 |
| St．Louis． |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 | ．． | 2 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 |
| Louisville． | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | －2 | ${ }^{+0} 1$ | 1 | 2 | 1 |  | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 3 |  | 3 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 |
| Memphis．． | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 21 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 3 |  | 2 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 |
| Little Rock | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | $3{ }^{2}$ | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | ． | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 3 | ．．． | 2 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 |
| Minneapolis． | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | $2{ }^{2}$ | 2 | 2 | 23 | $3{ }^{3}$ | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | ．． | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| Helena． | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | $4{ }^{4}$ | 4 | 4 | 45 | 5.4 | 45 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 54 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 |  | 3 | 3 | 2 |  | 4 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| Kansas City | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | $3{ }^{3}$ | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |  | 1 | 2 | ．． | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 |
| Omaha． | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | $3{ }^{3}$ | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 |  | 2 |  | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 |
| Denver． | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4.3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | ．．． | ．．．． | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 4 |
| Oklahoma City． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dallas． | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  | 2 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 |
| El Paso．． | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | $4{ }^{4} 4$ | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 43 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 2 |  | ， |  | 2 | 3 | 5 | － | 5 | 4 | 3 |
| Houston． | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | $3{ }^{4} 4$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3.3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 32 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 3 | ．．． | 1 | 2 | ．．．． | 4 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 4 |
| San Francisco． | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5.5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 35 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | $\ldots$ | 4 | 3 | 4 |  | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Spokane． | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 65 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 3 |  | 5 | 5 | 6 | 3 |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| Portland． | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 65 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | $\ldots$ | 5 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 1 | ．．． | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Seattle． | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 35 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 65 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 |  | 5 | $5$ | 6 | 3 | 1 | 1 |  | 3 | 4 |
| Salt Lake City． | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | $4{ }^{4}$ | $4{ }^{4}$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4.4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |
| Los Angeles． | 6 |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  | 4 | 3 | 4 | 1 |  | 3 | 4 | 2 |  |

[^9] Federal Reserve Bank and branch bank eities．For example，a member bank depositing a check in the Federal Reserve Rank of Cleveland（as shown in vertical column）drawn upon Om

## CHANGES IN CONDITION OF THE FEDERAL RESERVE BANKS.

Loan operations of the Federal Reserve Banks between May 21 and June 11, as measured by the amounts of discounted bills held at the close of each week, followed a slightly upward course, the June 11 total of 2,522.9 millions showing an increase of 22.6 millions over the May 21 total. Changes in the Federal Reserve Banks' loan account indicated by the June 18 statement reflect primarily the week's large fiscal operations, including the redemption of nearly 700 millions of Treasury certificates and the issuance of 419 millions of new loan and tax certificates, the handling of even larger amounts of income and excess profits tax payments and the payment of semiannual interest on first Liberty bonds and on Victory notes. Redemption of Treasury certificates enabled the member banks to reduce their indebtedness to the Reserve Banks to a considerable extent, with the result that the Reserve Bank holdings of paper secured by United States war obligations declined during the week ending June 18 by 209.1 millions and their holdings of other discounted paper by 17.7 millions. Borrowings on a large scale were, however, resumed immediately afterwards, as the member banks' deposit accounts came to be debited with the considerable amounts of checks and drafts issued in payment of taxes, and at the close of the following week Federal Reserve Bank holdings of discounted paper had gone up to $2,431.8$ millions, a total only 68.5 millions less than the total reported five weeks before.

Of the total discounts held on June 25, 1,278 millions, or 52.6 per cent, was paper secured by Government war securities, as against 57.9 per cent five weeks earlier, this reduction being due to the redemption by the Government of Treasury certificates and to the simultaneous paying off by the banks of loans secured by these certificates. Thus, while Federal Reserve Bank holdings of paper secured by Liberty bonds show a reduction during the five weeks of 57.9 millions and holdings of paper secured by Victory notes an increase of about 25 millions, the amount of paper secured by Treasury certificates in the hands of the Federal Reserve Banks shows a reduction during the period of 134.8 millions, the share of paper secured by certificates in the total of war loan paper showing a decline from 34.7 to 28.8 per cent.

A similar change is seen in the amount of 15-day paper (largely member banks' notes secured by Treasury certificates), which shows a decline during the week ending June 18 by 247.4 millions, followed by a gain of about 90 millions during the following week. As against
the very substantial decrease of 15-day paper, holdings of 30 -day and 6 -month paper show gains for the 5 -week period of 55.8 and 28.1 millions, respectively.

Holdings of acceptances declined from 417.4 to 399.2 millions, though the amounts held by the New York bank show some gain during the period. Over 93 per cent of the total holdings are concentrated in the Federal Reserve Banks of Boston, New York, Cleveland, Chicago, and San Francisco. Increases in the totals of Treasury certificates shown for the last two Fridays of the period represent largely amounts of special certificates taken by the banks to cover temporary advances to the Treasury pending receipt of funds from depositary institutions.

Discounted bills held by the several Federal Reserve Banks include amounts held under discount for other Federal Reserve Banks. During the 5 weeks under review the amounts of paper, largely short-term bills, thus held varied between 146.1 millions on May 21 and 96.1 millions on June 18, the Friday following the large redemption operations of the Government, and on June 25 rose again to about 125 millions, distributed among the Boston, New York, and Cleveland banks. No change is shown in the list of rediscounting banks, which on both dates included the Richmond, Atlanta, Chicago, St. Louis, Minneapolis, Kansas City, and Dallas banks. Holdings of acceptances purchased from other Federal Reserve Banks show relatively small changes, the June 25 total of 6.6 millions representing holdings by the Boston, Cleveland, and San Francisco banks of bills purchased from the New York and St. Louis banks. The contingent guarantor's liability of 16.2 millions on bills purchased for account of foreign correspondents, formerly reported exclusively by the New York bank, is now shared by all other Federal Reserve Banks following the allotment to them of proportionate quotas of these bills.

During the period under review graduated discount rates were applied by the Federal Reserve Banks of Atlanta, St. Louis, and Dallas in addition to the Kansas City bank. The effect of this action is seen in a check of further credit expansion rather than in any decrease of the volume of discounts for member banks or of rediscounts with other Federal Reserve Banks.

Government deposits varied between a maximum of 56.4 millions on June 18 and a minimum of 14.2 millions a week later. The much wider variations in net deposits reflect in a large measure the fluctuations in total dis-
counts. Changes shown for the last two Fridays of the period are traceable to the handling by the banks of checks and drafts received by the Government in payment of taxes and credited before actual collection to its deposit account on the books of the Federal Reserve Banks. Federal Reserve note circulation shows a further expansion during the period of 31.5 millions, while the circulation of Federal Reserve Bank notes likewise increased by 8.2 millions. The banks' gold reserves, in consequence of further gold imports,
largely on British Government account, show a further gain of 29.7 millions.

Fluctuations in the reserve percentages prior to June 18 were within the narrow limits of 42.5 on June 4 to 43 per cent on June 11. On the following Friday, in consequence of the considerable loan reductions, the percentage moved up to 44.5 per cent. A week later, in consequence of increased member bank borrowings, the reserve percentage shows a decline to 43.6 per cent, compared with 42.7 per cent, the ratio shown five weeks earlier.

Resources and liabilities of each Federal Reserve Bank at close of business on Fridays, May 28 to June 25, 1920.
RESOURCES.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | Boston. | New York. | Phila-delphia. | Cleveland. | Richmond. | Atlanta. | Chi. cago. | St. Louis. | Minneapolis. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Kansas } \\ \text { City. } \end{gathered}$ | Dallas. | San <br> Francisco. | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gold and gold certificates: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 28................. | 10,990 | 81,918 | 1,072 | 10,186 | 2,381 | 8,244 | 24,278 | 3,097 | 7,258 | 430 | 5,332 | 11,949 | 167,135 |
| June 4 | 11,143 | 79,215 | 1,090 | 10,065 | 2,399 | 8,311 | 24,337 | 2,830 | 7,204 | 442 | 5,284 | 12, 199 | 164,519 |
| June 11 | 11,271 | 82,219 | 1,079 | 10, 106 | 2,416 | 8,390 | 24,339 | 2,606 | 7,253 | 457 | 5,225 | 12,832 | 168,193 |
| June 18 | 11,367 | 77,728 | 1,107 | 10,222 | 2, 426 | 8,298 | 24, 256 | 2,682 | 7,204 | 441 | 5,150 | 11,997 | 162,878 |
| June 25 | 11,453 | 83,530 | 1,082 | 10,252 | 2,440 | 7,915 | 24,276 | 3,583 | 7,223 | 472 | 5,287 | 13,607 | 171,120 |
| Reserve Board: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 28. | 31,300 | 125,826 | 29,869 | 56,836 | 13,269 | 8,163 | 68,134 | 8,954 | 7,938 | 26,985 | 8,224 | 38,954 | 424,452 |
| June 4 | 38,887 | 103,300 | 33,923 | 69,399 | 13,807 | 8,615 | 70,536 | 11,534 | 8,377 | 27,865 | 6,890 | 38,094 | 431,227 |
| June 11 | 32,548 | 106,861 | 25,480 | 66, 379 | 18,456 | 6,578 | 72,888 | 13,885 | 7,754 | 24, 854 | 8,414 | 47,808 | 431,905 |
| June 18 | 37,663 | 110,284 | 28, 860 | 65,809 | 18, 323 | 7,203 | 50,829 | 12,091 | 6,909 | 24,176 | 7,333 | 31,353 | 400, 833 |
| June 25 | 61,648 | 71,628 | 44,326 | 51,444 | 15, 167 | 6,741 | 56,546 | 12,813 | 6,271 | 27,195 | 7,732 | 41,117 | 402,628 |
| Gold with foreign agencies: May 28. | 8,142 | 40,932 | 8,922 | 9,145 | 5,465 | 4,015 | 13,272 | 5,242 | 3,011 | 5,353 | 2,900 | 5,131 | 11,530 |
| June 4 | 8,142 | 40,932 | 8,922 | 9, 146 | 5,465 | 4,015 | 13,272 | 5,242 | 3,011 | 5,353 | 2,900 | 5,131 | 111,531 |
| June 11 | 8,142 | 40,932 | 8,922 | 9,146 | 5,465 | 4,015 | 13,272 | 5,242 | 3,011 | 5,353 | 2,900 | 5,131 | 111,531 |
| June 18 | 8,142 | 40,932 | 8,922 | 9,146 | 5, 465 | 4,015 | 13, 272 | 5,242 | 3,011 | 5,353 | 2,900 | 5,131 | 111,531 |
| June 25 | 8,142 | 40,932 | 8,922 | 9,146 | 5,465 | 4,015 | 13,272 | 5,242 | 3,011 | 5,353 | 2,900 | 5,131 | 111,531 |
| Gold with Federal Reserve agents: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 28. | 118,453 | 285,599 | 87,384 | 146,898 | 36,960 | 50,934 | 169,826 | 44,583 | 32,834 | 34,190 | 26,403 | 77,976 | 1,112,040 |
| June 4 | 115,850 | 285, 182 | 87,705 | 144,059 | 35, 492 | 50,889 | 166,944 | 45,931 | 32,698 | 37,207 | 27, 539 | 81,368 | 1,110,864 |
| June 11 | 112,934 | 284, 532 | 87,749 | 141,485 | 33, 658 | 52,513 | 163, 392 | 45,742 | 32,300 | 36,190 | 26,668 | 86,588 | 1,103,751 |
| June 18 | 119, 692 | 284,137 | 86, 466 | 144, 856 | 42,686 | 50, 603 | 178, 688 | 45,729 | 32,404 | 35, 838 | 25, 663 | 115,022 | 1,161,784 |
| June 25. | 116,509 | 283,547 | 86,652 | 149,321 | 40,797 | 49,034 | 174,208 | 45,416 | 32,329 | 36,265 | 25,186 | 110,911 | 1,150,175 |
| Gold redemption May 28. | 17,171 |  | 13,231 | 2,749 | 10,866 | 7,547 | 23,308 | 7,078 | 275 | 6,074 | 5,982 | 9,679 | 37,946 |
| June 4 | 19,278 | 33,993 | 13,330 | 4,150 | 11, 590 | 7,868 | 25,818 | 5,797 | 165 | 3,693 | 6,431 | 10,599 | 142,712 |
| June 11 | 21,531 | 33,862 | 13,793 | 5,807 | 12,782 | 5,905 | 28,791 | 5,479 | 342 | 4,347 | 6,959 | 10,080 | 149,678 |
| June 18 | 14, 203 | 33,966 | 14,072 | 1,847 | 5,591 | 6,851 | 22,972 | 5,346 | 209 | 4,250 | 7,277 | 8,711 | 125, 295 |
| June 25. | 16,552 | 33,975 | 14,414 | 1,076 | 6,724 | 7,740 | 26, 950 | 5,218 | 8 | 3,460 | 7,812 | 9,992 | 133, 921 |
| Total gold reserves: May 28. | 186,056 | 568, 261 | 140,478 | 225,814 |  | 78,903 | 298,818 |  | 51,316 |  |  | 143,689 | 1,953, 103 |
| June 4 | 193,300 | 542, 622 | 144,970 | 236, 819 | 68,753 | 79,698 | 300, 907 | 71,334 | 51,455 | 74,560 | 49.044 | 147, 391 | 1,960, 853 |
| June 11 | 186,426 | 548,406 | 137,023 | 232,923 | 72, 777 | 77,401 | 302,682 | 72,954 | 50,660 | 71, 201 | 50,166 | 162, 439 | 1,965, 058 |
| June 18. | 191, 067 | 547, 047 | 139, 427 | 231,880 | 74,491 | 76,970 | 290,017 | 71,090 | 49,737 | 70,058 | 48,323 | 172, 214 | 1,962,321 |
| June 25. | 214,304 | 513, 612 | 155, 396 | 221,239 | 70,593 | 75,445 | 295, 252 | 72,272 | 48,842 | 72,745 | 48,917 | 180,758 | 1,969,375 |
| Legal-tender notes, silver, May 28. | 9,535 | 105, 877 | 191 | 1,733 | 39 | 1,333 | 9,982 | 7,170 | 259 | 1,351 | 1,363 | 560 | 139,393 |
| June 4. | 8,472 | 105, 366 | 417 | 1,683 | 193 | 1,369 | 10,032 | 7,064 | 195 | 1,417 | 1,335 | 544 | 138,087 |
| June 11 | 7,908 | 105, 195 | 563 | 1,847 | 117 | 1,380 | 9,615 | 7,195 | 165 | 1,451 | 1,561 | 536 | 137, 533 |
| June 18. | 8,501 | 105, 794 | 255 | 1,699 | 83 | 1,411 | 9,720 | 7,276 | 78 | 1,541 | 1,561 | 660 | 138,579 |
| June 25 | 8,058 | 106,491 | 275 | 1,729 | 123 | 1,682 | 9,217 | 7,359 | 79 | 1,572 | 1,995 | 650 | 139,230 |
| May 28. | 195,591 | 674,138 | 140,669 | 227,547 | 68,980 | 80,236 | 308, 500 | 76, 124 | 51,575 | 74,383 | 50,204 | 144,249 | 2,092,496 |
| June 4. | 201, 772 | 647,988 | 145, 387 | 238,502 | 68,946 | 81, 067 | 310,939 | 78,398 | 51, 650 | 75,977 | 50, 379 | 147, 935 | 2, 095, 940 |
| June 11 | 194,334 | 653. 601 | 137, 586 | 234,770 | 72,894 | 78,781 | 312, 297 | 80, 149 | 50,825 | 72, 652 | 51, 727 | 162,975 | 2, 102, 591 |
| June 18. | 199, 568 | 652,841 | 139, 682 | 233, 579 | 74, 574 | 78,381 | 299, 737 | 78, 366 | 49,815 | 71,599 | 49,884 | 172, 874 | 2, 100,900 |
| June 25.. | 222,362 | 620,103 | 155, 671 | 222,968 | 70,716 | 77, 127 | 304,469 | 79,631 | 48,921 | 74,317 | 50, 912 | 181,408 | 2, 108,605 |
| Bills discounted: 1 <br> Secured by Government war obligations- |  |  |  |  |  | - | -1 |  | - | -317 | 50, | -1, | , 108,605 |
| May 28. | 107,758 | 569,471 | 187, 447 | 113,132 | 59, 837 | 55,525 | 159,382 | 58,459 | 9,126 | 32, 883 | 36,715 | 58, 227 | 1,447,962 |
| June 4 | 100, 331 | 561,146 | 186, 209 | 104,376 | 59, 268 | 55, 351 | 158, 780 | 61,895 | 13, 409 | 31, 646 | 42,801 | 58,203 | 1, 433, 415 |
| June 11 | 108, 244 | 561, 621 | 186, 537 | 114,060 | 53,431 | 57,488 | 164,375 | 58, 220 | 13,456 | 33,191 | 34,541 | 55, 667 | 1,440,931 |
| June 18. | 94,776 | 457,795 | 170, 471 | 100,038 | 50,029 | 51, 557 | 147, 445 | 55, 250 | 3,848 | 32, 809 | 27,655 | 40,168 | 1,231, 841 |
| June 25. | 86, 196 | 518,503 | 161,234 | 119,577 | 48,302 | 48,984 | 130,747 | 5t, 601 | 5,096 | 33, 287 | 29, 228 | 42,225 | 1, 277,980 |
| All otherMay 28. | 62,072 | 235,467 | 26,529 | 55.348 | 39, 619 | 60,797 | 268,158 | 51, 885 | 66,711 | 79,087 | 36,937 | 88, 859 | 1,071,469 |
| June 4. | 68, 035 | 279, 189 | 28,749 | 55,419 | 41,560 | 53. 196 | 279,154 | 49,368 | 62, 940 | 75,091 | 35, 409 | 97, 733 | 1,130, 843 |
| June 11 | 62, 074 | 261, 264 | 29, 675 | 48,292 | 43, 690 | 60, 863 | 261, 835 | 46, 800 | 62, 137 | 74,473 | 38,797 | 92,119 | 1,082,019 |
| June 18. | 65, 092 | 230,791 | 29, 673 | 50.554 | 45,467 | 59, 819 | 266,991 | 49, 424 | 61,099 | 77, 691 | 40, 249 | 87,446 | 1,064,296 |
| June 25. Bills bought in open market: $\mathbf{2}$ | 60,020 | 263,059 | 29,187 | 53, 833 | 50,765 | 65, 074 | 296, 208 | 52,078 | 67,029 | 76,851 | 44,247 | 95,463 | 1,153, 814 |
| Bills bought in open mariket: ${ }^{2}$ May 28. | 34,746 | 179,372 | 2,070 | 58,110 | 9,948 | 5,880 | 55,392 | 3,232 | 4,341 | 1,309 | 1,394 | 62, 806 | 418,600 |
| June 4. | 32,253 | 179,007 | - 2,227 | 57, 304 | 9,619 | 5,739 | 54,943 | 3,122 | 4,266 | 1,396 | 1,025 | 59,787 | 410,688 |
| June 11 | 32,478 | 183,993 | 2,052 | 55, 557 | 8,860 | 4, 816 | 53, 253 | 3,705 | 3, 374 | 1,696 | 990 | 52,622 | 403, 896 |
| June 18 | 30,241 | 186, 811 | 1,926 | 57, 229 | 9,031 | 4,912 | 54, 213 | 4, 283 | 4,030 | 1,989 | 463 | 43,463 | 398,591 |
| June 25. | 33,183 | 189,342 | 2,012 | 53, 822 | 8,171 | 4,621 | 54,648 | 3,657 | 4,205 | 2,102 | 405 | 43, 017 | 399,185 |

Resources and liabilities of each Federal Reserve Bank at close of business on Fridays, May 28 to June 25, 1920—Contd. RESOURCES-Continued.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bos- } \\ & \text { to } \end{aligned}$ | New <br> York. | Phila-delphia. | Cleveland. | Rich- | At- | Chicago. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { St. } \\ & \text { Louis. } \end{aligned}$ | Minneapolis. | Kansas City. | Dallas. | San <br> Francisco. | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States Government bonds: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 28. | 560 | 1,457 | 1,386 | 833 | 1,235 | 113 | 4,477 | 1,153 | 115 | 8,867 | 3,966 | 2,632 | 26,794 |
| June 4 | 560 | 1,457 | 1,386 | 833 | 1,235 | 114 | 4, 477 | 1,153 | 115 | 8,867 | 3,966 | 2,632 | 26,795 |
| June 11 | 560 | 1,457 | 1,386 | 833 | 1,235 | 114 | 4,477 | 1,153 | 116 | 8,867 | ${ }^{3}, 966$ | ${ }_{2}^{2,632}$ | ${ }^{26,796}$ |
| June 18 | 560 | 1,457 | 1,386 | 883 | 1,235 | 114 | 4,477 | 1,153 | 116 | 8,866 | 3,966 | 2,632 | 26,795 |
| June 25. | 560 | 1,457 | 1,386 | 833 | 1,233 | 114 | 4,477 | 1,153 | 116 | 8,866 | 3,966 | 2,632 | 26,793 |
| May 28. | 5 | 50 |  | 10 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 69 |
| June 4. | 5 | 50 |  | 10 |  | 3 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 69 |
| Juno 11 | 5 | 30 |  | 10 |  | 3 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| June 18. | 5 | 50 |  | 10 |  | 3 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | - |
| June 25. | 5 | 50 |  | 10 |  | 3 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 69 |
| United States certificates of indebtedness: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 28. | 21,549 | 77,912 | 30,921 | 23,356 | 12,260 | 15,666 | 39,632 | 17,219 | 8,488 | 12,992 | 8,300 | 11,236 | 279, 531 |
| June 4. | 21, 750 | 72, 876 | 30,829 | 23,356 | 12,240 | 15,666 | 39,635 | 17,150 | 8,488 | 12,980 | 8,300 | 11,526 | 274, 816 |
| June 11 | 21,765 | 76, 726 | 31, 943 | 23,814 | 12,260 | 15,666 | 39,628 | 17,169 | 8,487 | 12,974 | 8,300 | 11,376 | 280, 108 |
| June 18 | 21,932 | 133,030 117,248 | 31,907 36,091 | ${ }_{24,025}^{23,392}$ | 12, ${ }_{12} 260$ | ${ }_{15}^{15,666}$ | 39,625 39,631 | 17,308 | 8,486 8,486 | 13,205 13,079 | 9,300 8,495 | 21,150 11,205 | 347,091 325,434 |
| Total earning assets: | 21,932 |  | 36,091 | 24,025 | 12,260 | 15,666 | 39,631 | 17,316 | 8,486 | 13,079 | 8,495 | 11,205 | 325,434 |
| May 28. | 226,690 | 1,063,729 | 248,353 | 250,789 | 122, 899 | 137,984 | 527,041 | 131,948 | 88,781 | 135, 139 | 87,312 | 223,760 | 3,244,425 |
| June 4. | 222,934 | 1,093,725 | 249,400 | 241,298 | 123, 942 | 135,069 | 536,989 | 132,688 | 89,218 | 129,981 | 91,501 | 229, 881 | 3, 276,626 |
| June 11 | 225,126 | 1,085, 111 | 251, 593 | 242,566 | 119,476 | 138,950 | 523, 568 | 127, 147 | 88,070 | 131, 202 | 86,594 | 214,416 | 3, 233, 819 |
| June 18 | 212,436 | 1, 009,934 | 235, 363 | 232, 056 | 118, 122 | 132,071 | 512, 751 | 127, 418 | 77,579 | 134, 561 | 81,633 | 194, 859 | 3, 068,683 |
| June 25. | 201, 896 | 1,089,659 | 229, 910 | 252, 100 | 120, 731 | 134, 462 | 525,711 | 128,805 | 84,932 | 134, 186 | 86,341 | 194, 542 | 3,183,275 |
| Bank premises: | 78 | 3,285 | 500 | 1,155 | 713 | 575 | 2,116 | 806 | 548 | 619 | 782 |  |  |
| June 4 | 1,293 | 3,500 | 500 | 1,155 | 716 | 575 | 2,116 | 866 | 548 | 660 | 782 | 231 | 12,942 |
| June 11 | 1,365 | 3,501 | 500 | 1,155 | 717 | 584 | 2,116 | 866 | 556 | 658 | 862 | 231 | 13,111 |
| June 18 | 1,376 | 3,596 | 500 | 1,155 | 739 | 589 | 2,116 | 866 | 556 | 658 | 872 | 231 | 13,254 |
| June 25. | 1,388 | 3,657 | 592 | 1,155 | 739 | 589 | 2,116 | 866 | 556 | 730 | 872 | 232 | 13,492 |
| Uncollected items and other deductions from gross deposits: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 28. | 57,650 | 171,630 | 59,686 | 75,153 | 54,304 | 28, 876 | 99,185 | 44,385 | 22,311 | 58,275 | 44,066 | 31,663 | 747, 190 |
| June 4. | 64,885 | 167,015 | 64, 892 | 75, 240 | 61,369 | 23,995 | 112,373 | 47,409 | ${ }^{23,804}$ | 68,451 | 45,466 | 34,717 | 789,616 |
| June 11. | 67,407 | 146, 749 | 64, 374 | 75, 113 | 56,714 | 31,797 | 108,069 | 47,912 | 25,689 | 63,349 | 47,839 | 37,891 | 772,903 |
| June 18. | 78,655 | 193,549 | 78,966 | 100,592 | 70, 151 | 34,013 | 142,085 | 49, 852 | 28,930 | 73, 940 | 53, 058 | 46,186 | 949,977 |
| June 25.... | 64,484 | 155,757 | 63,343 | 79,111 | 58,183 | 28, 865 | 100, 726 | 42, 455 | 21,074 | 57,529 | 38,761 | 40, 198 | 750,486 |
| against Federal Reserve |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank nites: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 28. | 1,191 | 3,077 | 1,300 | 731 | 451 | 521 | 1,581 | 523 | 344 | 916 | 562 | 665 | 11, 862 |
| June 4. | 1,273 | 2,890 | 1,300 | 731 | 451 | 519 | 1,455 | 523 | 460 | 916 | 562 | 665 | 11,745 |
| June 11 | 1,072 | 3,073 | 1,300 | 731 | 151 | 515 | 1,437 | 523 | 549 | 916 | 562 | 665 | 11,794 |
| June 18 | 1,072 | 3,096 | 1,309 | 871 | 451 | 537 | 1,694 | 523 | 443 | 916 | 562 | 605 | 12,110 |
| June 25 | 1,072 | 3,116 | 1,300 | 871 | 451 | 523 | 1,557 | 523 | 558 | 916 | 586 | C65 | 12,148 |
| All other resources: May 28. | 449 | 1,113 | 642 | 351 | 543 | 187 | 833 | 457 | 161 | 282 | 185 | 496 | 5,699 |
| June 4 | 441 | 1,975 | 581 | 390 | 382 | 353 | 759 | 461 | 201 | 287 | 357 | 453 | 5,640 |
| June 11. | 445 | 1,176 | 648 | 412 | 382 | 203 | 801 | 456 | 189 | 305 | 245 | 489 | 5,751 |
| June 18. | 461 | 1,283 | 692 | 447 | ${ }_{263} 26$ | 237 | 2,718 | 634 | 259 | 323 | 257 | 479 | 8,053 |
| June 25. | 429 | 1,473 | 690 | 417 | 274 | 237 | 1,205 | 491 | 471 | 331 | 198 | 374 | 6,590 |
| Total resourc May $28 .$. | 482,855 | 1,916, 972 | 451,150 | 555, 726 | 247,890 | 248,379 | 939, 556 | 254,303 | 163,720 | 269,614 | 183, 111 | 401,064 | 6, 114,340 |
| June 4 | 442, 598 | 1,916, 093 | 462,060 | 557,316 | 255,806 | 241,578 | 964,631 |  | 165, 881 | 276, 272 |  |  | 6, 195, 509 |
| June 11. | 449, 749 | 1, 893, 211 | 456,001 | 554,747 | 250,634 | 250, 830 | 1948,288 | 257,053 | 165, 878 | 269, 082 | 187, 829 | 416, 667 | 6, 139, 969 |
| June 18. | 493,568 | 11,864, 299 | 456, 503 | 568,700 | 261,200 | 245, 808 | \|961, 101 | 257, 659 | 157,582 | 281,997 | [186, 266 | 415,294 | 6,152,977 |
| June 25. | 491,631 | [1,873, 765 | 451,506 | 556, 622 | 251,094 | 241,803 | 1935,784 | 252,771 | 156, 522 | 268,009 | 177,670 | 417, 419 | 6,074,596 |
| ${ }^{1}$ Includes bills discounted for other Federal Reserve Banks: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 28. | 20,366 | 84,125 |  | 40, 193 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 144,684 |
| June 4. | 17, 402 | 78, 544 |  | 36, 875 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 132,821 |
| June 11. | 27, 117 | 59, 740 |  | 40, 186 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 127,043 |
| June 18. | 20,533 | 37,730 |  | 37,797 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 96,060 |
| June 25. | 12,128 | 61,971 |  | 50, 868 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 124,967 |
| 2 Includes bankers' acceptances |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| bought from other Federal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reserve Banks: <br> With their indorsement- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 28. |  |  |  | 3,568 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3,568 |
| June 4. |  |  |  | 2,988 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,988 |
| June 11. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 18. June 25 |  |  |  | 1,900 1,210 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,900 1,210 |
| Without their indorse- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 28. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,371 | 2,371 |
| June 4. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{2}{2}, 371$ | 2,371 |
| June 11 <br> June 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,371 1,355 | 2, 1,371 |
| June 25. | 5,002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,392 | 5, 194 |
| LIABILITIES. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital paid in: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 28. | 7,532 | 24,666 | 8,313 | 10,044 | 4,789 | 3,730 | 13,120 | 4,226 | 3,251 | 4,305 | 3,657 | 6,367 | 94,000 |
| June 4. | 7,532 | 24,668 | 8,313 | $10,068$ | $4,798$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,737 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $18,127$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,240 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,251 \\ & 2,952 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,310 \\ & 4,314 \end{aligned}$ | 3,694 | 6,370 <br> 6 | 94, 108 |
| June 11. | 7,532 7,532 | 24,667 24,669 | 8,313 | 10, 073 | 4,812 <br> 4,806 | -3,773 <br> 3,778 | $\begin{aligned} & 13,217 \\ & 13.281 \end{aligned}$ | 4,247 4,249 | 3,253 3,265 3 | 4,314 4,311 | 3,706 3 3 3 | 6,377 6,392 | 94, ${ }_{94,464}$ |
| June 25....................... | 7,532 | 24,669 | 8,326 | 10, 125 | 4,823 | 3,779 | 13,290 | 4,247 | 3,269 | -4,308 | 3,744 | 6,394 | 94, 506 |

Resources and liabilities of each Federal Reserve Bank at close of business on Fridays, May 28 to June 25, 1920-Contd. LIABILITIES-Continued.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bos- } \\ & \text { ton- } \end{aligned}$ | New | Phila-delphia | Cleveland. | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { Rich- } \\ \text { mond. } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { At- } \\ & \text { lanta. } \end{aligned}$ | Chicago. | $\xrightarrow[\text { Lt. }]{\text { Lt. }}$ | Minneapolis. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Kansas } \\ \text { City. } \end{gathered}$ | Dallas. | San <br> Francisco. | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Surplus fund: | 8,359 | 45,082 | 8,805 | 9,089 | 5,820 | 4,695 | 14,292 | 3,724 |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 4 | 8,359 | 45,082 | 8,805 | 9,089 | 5,820 | 4,695 | 14,292 | 3, ${ }^{3}, 724$ | 3,569 | 6,116 | 3,030 | 7,539 | 120,120 120,120 |
| June 11 | 8,359 | 45,082 | 8,805 | 9,089 | 5,820 | 4,695 | 14,292 | 3,724 | 3,569 | 6,116 | 3,030 | 7,539 | 120,120 |
| June 18 | 8,359 | 45,082 | 8,805 | 9,089 | 5,820 | 4,695 | 14,292 | 3,724 | 3,569 | 6,116 | 3,030 | 7,539 | 120, 120 |
| June 25 | 8,359 | 45,082 | 8,805 | 9,089 | 5,820 | 4,695 | 14,292 | 3,724 | 3,569 | 6,116 | 3,030 | 7,539 | 120,120 |
| June 4 | 3,455 | 9,322 | 3,821 | 2,876 | 1,459 | 1,328 | 3,992 | 2,037 | 1,344 | 1,564 | 1,371 | 3,176 | 36,745 |
| June 11 | 1,114 | 980 | 1,534 | 1,702 | 1,610 | 2,160 | 3,381 | 1,844 | 443 | 2,193 | 2,268 | 2,601 | 21, 830 |
| June 18 | 9,426 | 602 |  | 1,643 | 13,724 | 4,451 | 12,193 | 1,332 | 276 | 7,637 | 555 | 3,823 | 56,356 |
| June 25 | 1,103 | 244 | 1,488 | 274 | 1,768 | 1,029 | 4,025 | 945 | 419 | 623 | 1,029 | 1,242 | 14, 189 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 28. | 119, 811 | 760,577 | 100,955 | 139,535 | 55,586 | 52,148 | 259,943 | 61,746 | 50,647 | 83,041 | 55,588 | 113,339 | 1,852,916 |
| June 4 | 120,187 | 753, 272 | 103, 593 | 141,792 | 59,868 | 53,076 | 263, 033 | 62, 585 | 49,421 | 80,139 | 53, 162 | 118,696 | 1, 858,774 |
| June 11 | 118,962 | 763,525 | 103, 044 | 139, 236 | 58,923 | 55, 270 | 260, 725 | 64, 238 | 49,942 | 81,240 | 55, 146 | 119,989 | 1,870,240 |
| June 18 | 111, 118 | 715,451 745 | 101, 236 | '137,880 | 57, 764 | 49,912 | 261,011 | 64,437 | 43,012 | ${ }_{82}^{82,732}$ | 55, 5170 | 116,303 | 1,80, 017 |
| June 25. | 117,948 | 745,307 | 103,508 | 136,379 | 56,764 | 53,229 | 252,674 | 65,885 | 44,660 | 82,677 | 51,140 | 121,745 | 1,831,916 |
| May 28. | 46,497 | 107,551 | 53,545 | 59,325 | 44, 293 | 24,876 | 66,695 | 38,784 | 15,536 | 54,612 | 26,655 | 22,874 | 561,243 |
| June 4 | 49,977 | 114,945 | 57,602 | 62, 170 | 47,623 | 15,901 | 80,187 | 42,910 | 18,155 | 62,928 | 32, 935 | 24,063 | ${ }^{609}$, 396 |
| June 11 | 51,807 | 105,516 | 53, 258 | 55,338 | 44,001 | 26, 122 | 72,000 | 40,121 | 18,661 | 55,431 | 30, 195 | 27,016 | 579,466 |
| June 18 | 49,648 | 124, 534 | 59,736 | 76,704 | 45,794 | 26,079 | 76,438 | 41,336 | 17,465 | 60,382 | 30,339 | ${ }^{25,268}$ | ${ }^{633}, 723$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 247 |  | 6,067 | 5,773 | 3,382 | 2,597 | 9,109 | 3,510 | 2,066 | 3,421 | 1,905 | 5,832 | 91,038 |
| June ${ }^{\text {June }}$ | 4,965 | 43,503 | 6,240 | ${ }_{4}^{5,516}$ | 3,293 2,933 | 2, ${ }_{2}^{2,494}$ | 8 8,605 | ${ }_{3,076}^{3,430}$ | ${ }_{2}^{2,073}$ | - | 1,878 | ${ }_{6}^{6,592}$ |  |
| June 18 | 4, 4 4,262 | 32,985 | 5,682 | ${ }_{5}^{5,067}$ | $\stackrel{2,831}{2,831}$ | 2,249 | 7,914 | 3,007 | 2,255 | 3 3,398 | 1,742 | 6,092 | 77, 484 |
| June 25 | 3,812 | 31,332 | 4,690 | 4,204 | 2,488 | 1,878 | 7,242 | 2,717 | 1,545 | 2,752 | 1,463 | 5,860 | 101,341 |
| Total gross deposits:.......... ${ }^{\text {a }}$, |  |  | 160,819 | 206, 176 | 105,143 | 82,163 | 337,095 | 105,261 | 69,048 | 143,261 |  |  |  |
| June 4. | 178,584 | 921, 042 | 171,256 | 212, 384 | 112,243 | 73,799 | 355, 817 | 110,962 | ${ }_{71} \mathbf{6 9}, 015$ | 147, 945 | 89,348 | 143, 3992 | 2,596,791 |
| June 11 | 176,319 | 907, 308 | 163, 271 | 201,248 | 107, 467 | 85, 735 | 344,312 | 109, 279 | 71,119 | 141,793 | 89,287 | 155,898 | 2,553,036 |
| June 18 | 178, 939 | 873,572 | 167, 348 | 221,294 | 119, 519 | 82,691 | 357, 556 | 110,112 | 63,008 | 154,149 | 87,906 | 151,486 | 2,567,580 |
| June 25. | 175,673 | 878,179 | 160,703 | 199, 287 | 105,755 | 78, 391 | 331,225 | 106, 413 | 62, 263 | 140,875 | 79, 253 | 154,692 | 2,472,709 |
| Federal Reservenotesinactual <br> circulation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 28.. | 273, 944 | 854,827 | 249,091 | 310,964 | 120,752 | 145,080 | 535,230 | 129,084 | 79,183 | 97,572 | 82,008 | 229, 286 | 3,107,021 |
| June 4 | 278, 353 | 861,807 | 249,225 | 306,057 | 121,247 | 146, 164 | 539, 895 | 127, 301 | 79,165 | 99,089 | 83, 677 | 233,311 | 3,127, 291 |
| June 11 | 277,997 | 851,002 | 250, 899 | 315,201 | 120, 609 | 143,070 | 533,954 | 127,665 | 78, 873 | 97,913 | 82,584 | 232,438 | 3,112,205 |
| June 18 | 279,083 | 854, 823 | 247, 287 | 307,026 | 121, 852 | 140,574 | [531,901 | 127,549 | 78,486 | 93, 364 | 82,454 | 235,406 | 3, 104, 810 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May ${ }^{\text {June }}$ | 14,468 | 37,969 3740 | ${ }_{19}^{18,121}$. | 14,025 | 8,794 | 9,879 10 | 28, 375 | ${ }_{9}^{9,137}$ | 6,454 | 15,088 | 7,313 | 8,692 8,745 | 179,185 181,252 |
| June 11 | 14,428 | 37,812 | 19,235 | 13,318 | ${ }_{9} 9,096$ | 10,543 | 29,884 | 9,059 | 6,661 | 15,432 | 7,191 | 8,723 | 181,382 |
| June 18 | 14,395 | 37,791 | 18,990 | 15,126 | 9,257 | 10,950 | 30,775 | 8,823 | 6,762 | 15, 327 | 7,034 | 8 8,674 | 183,904 |
| June 25. | 14,019 | 37,724 | 19, 143 | 16,096 | 9,489 | 11,024 | 31, 328 | 8,765 | 7,057 | 15,218 | 7,059 | 8,682 | 185, 604 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 4. | 4,960 | 26,054 | 5,340 | 5,655 | 2,718 | 2,926 | 12, 162 | 2,980 | 2,307 | 3,369 | 1,955 | 5,521 | 75, 947 |
| Jume 11 | 5,114 | 27,340 | 5,478 | 5,818 | 2,830 | 3,014 | 12,629 | 3,079 | 2,403 | 3,514 | 2,031 | 5,692 | 78,942 |
| June 18 | 5,260 | 28,357 | 5,756 | 6,038 | 2,946 | 3,120 | 13,296 | 3,202 | 2,492 | 3,730 | 2,107 | 5,797 | 82,101 |
| Jun | 5,431 | 28,879 | 5,744 | 6,236 | 3,098 | 3,322 | 14,200 | 3,333 | 2,636 | 3,870 | 2,233 | 5,957 | 84,939 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 4 | 492,598 | 1,916, 093 | 462,060 | 557, 316 | 255, 806 | 241, 578 | 964, 631 | 260, 345 | 165, 881 | 276, 272 | 189,047 | 413, 882 | 6,195,509 |
| June 11 | 489, 749 | 1,893,211 | 456,001 | 554, 747 | 250, 634 | 250, 830 | 1948,288 | 257,053 | 165,878 | 269,082 | 187, 829 | 416,667 | 6, 139,969 |
| June 18 | 493,568 | 1,864,299 | 456,503 | 568,700 | [264,200 | 245,808 | 961,101 | 257,659 | 157,582 | 281,997 | 186, 266 | 415,294 | 6, 152, 977 |
| June 25 | 491,631 | 1,873,765 | 451,506 | 556,622 | 251, 094 | 241, 803 | 935,784 | 252, 771 | 156,522 | 268,009 | 177, 670 | 417,419 | 6,074,596 |
| Contingent liability as indarser |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| on: <br> Discounted paper rediscounted with other Federal Reserve Banks- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 25,000 | 8,500 | 18,995 | 46,192 | 19,132 | 13,865 | 13,000 |  | 144,684 |
| June 4. |  |  |  |  | 24, 883 | 8,000 | 19,000 | 43,838 | 13,670 | 15,480 | 8,000 |  | 132, 821 |
| June 11 |  |  |  |  | 29,750 | 7,750 | 13,400 | 37,969 | 13,170 | 9,779 | 15,225 |  | 127,043 |
| June 18 |  |  |  |  | 24,184 | 4,616 |  | 29,500 | 22,616 | 11, 144 | 4,000 |  | 96,060 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Banks- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,988 |  |  |  |  | 2,988 |
| June 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,408 |  |  |  |  | 2,408 |
| June 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,900 |  |  |  |  | 1,900 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 28.. |  | 16,213 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16,213 |
| June 4 |  | ${ }^{16,216}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16,216 |
| June 11. |  | 16,217 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16, 217 |
| June 18. | , 168 | 6,089 | 1,280 | 1,312 | 784 | 576 | 1,904 | 752 | 432 | 768 | 416 | 6 | 16, 217 |
| June 25 | 1,168 | 6,089 | 1,280 | 1,312 | 784 | 576 | 1,904 | 752 | 432 | 768 | 416 | 36 | 16,217 |

Maturities of bills discounted and bought, also of Treasury certificates of indebtedness.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | Within days. days. | $\begin{gathered} 16 \text { to } 30 \\ \text { days. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31 \text { to } 60 \\ & \text { days. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 61 \text { to } 90 \\ \text { days. } \end{gathered}$ | Over 90 days. | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bills discounted: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 28. | 1 169, 744 | ${ }_{245}^{259,574}$ | ${ }_{486}^{473,116}$ | 264,006 | 61,991 | 2,519, 431 |
| June 11. | 1, 1 , 440,942 | 246,996 | 508,481 | 257,812 | 68,716 | 2,522,959 |
| June 18 | 1, 193, 472 | 291, 222 | 495,258 | 237,256 | 78,929 | 2,296, 137 |
| June 25 | 1,283, 470 | 335, 105 | 469,460 | 259,993 | 83,766 | 2, 431,794 |
| Bills bought in op |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May ${ }^{\text {Jug }}$ | 117,630 112,306 | 72,806 91779 | 182,153 163,403 | 46,011 43,200 |  | 418,600 410,688 |
| June 11. | 101,902 | 88,285 | 166,942 | 46,767 |  | 403, 896 |
| June 18 | 119,338 | 77,966 | 153,773 | 47,514 |  | 398,591 |
| June 25...... | 120,799 | 83,588 | 152, 918 | 41,880 |  | 399, 185 |
| United States cer May 28 | 18,098 | 3,962 | 13,385 | 13,106 | 230,980 |  |
| June 4 | 8,300 | 4,796 | 11,560 | 35, 869 | 214,291 | 274, 816 |
| June 11. | 18,237 | 6,982 | 13,172 | 24,200 | 217,517 | 280, 108 |
| June 18. | 88, 816 | 8,655 | 8,600 | 27, 918 | 215,602 | 347,091 |
| June 25. | 62,873 | 7,559 | 13, 100 | 29,867 | 212,035 | 325,434 |

## FEDERAL RESERVE NOTES.

Federal Reserve note account of each Federal Reserve Bank at close of business on Fridays, May 28 to June 25, 1920.


Federal Reserve note account of each Federal Reserve agent at close of business on Fridays, May 28 to June 25, 1920.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | Boston. | New York. | Philar delphia | Cleveland. | Richmond. | At- | Chi- | St. | Minneapolis. | Kansas City. | Dallas. | $\begin{gathered} \text { San } \\ \text { Fran- } \\ \text { cisco. } \end{gathered}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Federal Reserve notes: <br> Received from Comptrol-ler- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May $28 .$. | 573,900 | 2,167,640 | 583, 200 | 587,800 | 325,600 | 344,500 | 1,027,520 | 323,720 | 166,580 | 221, 560 | 163,780 | 446,740 | 6,932, 540 |
| June 4. | 576,500 | 2,174,240 | 583, 200 | 599, 000 | 326,600 | 345, 5441 | 1,033,760 | ${ }_{328}^{328,320}$ | 166,580 | 222,520 | 164,780 | 449,400 | 6,962,440 |
| June 11. | 588, 598,300 | $2,175,200$ $2,188,300$ | 595,580 600,080 | 593,600 597,220 | 328,360 330,920 | ${ }_{350,000}^{348,5401}$ | 1,040,280 | ${ }_{331}^{328,820}$ | 166,580 | ${ }_{225,580}^{223,5}$ | 164,780 165,660 | 451,820 455,300 | $7,005,980$ $7,049,580$ |
| June 25......... | 598, 300 | 2,199, 600 | 600,080 | 600, 940 | 332,280 | 350, 980 | 1,056,480 | 332, 860 | 166,580 | 226,180 | 167, 660 | 459,620 | 7,091,560 |
| Returned to Comptroller-- | 243,860 | 1,077,274 | 293,609 | 234, 934 | 168,306 | 132,047 | 394, 399 | 163,433 | 73,740 | 113,634 | 67,157 | 179,320 | 3,141,713 |
| June 4 | 246, 463 | 1,080, 171 | 295, 287 | 237,773 | 169,774 | 133,092 | 397,281 | 165, 384 | 74,376 | 114,616 | 68,021 | 180,929 | 3,163, 167 |
| June 11 | 249, 379 | 1,083,566 | 297, 244 | 240,348 | 171,608 | 134,968 | 400, 833 | 167, 674 | 75, 714 | 115, 633 | 68,893 | 182,708 | 3, 187,928 |
| June 18. | 252,720 | $1,087,726$ | 299,526 | 241,976 | 173,080 | 136,877 | 405,537 | 169,487 |  | 116,985 | 69,898 | 184, 275 | 3,213, 860 |
| June 25. | 255, 804 | 1,090,951 | 301, 311 | 245,512 | 174, 969 | 138, 446 | 410,017 | 171,700 | 76,545 | 117, 558 | 70,875 | 186, 385 | 3, 240, 103 |
| Chargeable to Federal Reserve agent- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 330,040 | 1,090,366 | 289,591 | 352,866 | 157,294 | 212,453 | 633,121 | 160,287 | 92, 840 | 107,926 | 96,623 | 267,420 | 3,790,827 |
| June 4... | 330,037 | 1,094, ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  | 212, 448 |  | 162,936 |  |  |  | 269,471 | $3,799,273$ <br> 3,818 <br> 052 |
| June 11 | 339,521 | $1,091,634$ $1,100,574$ | 298,336 <br> 300,554 | 353, ${ }^{352}$ | 156,752 | ${ }_{213,123}^{213,572}$ | 639,447 634,743 | 161,146 | 91,506 909 | 107, 887 | 95,887 <br> 95 <br> 8.762 | 271, 2025 | 3, 3 318, 852 |
| June 25. | 342, 496 | 1, 108, 649 | 298, 739 | 365, 428 | 157,311 | 212, 534 | 646, 463 | 161,160 | 90,035 | 108, 622 | 96,785 | 273, 235 | 3,851,457 |
| In hands of Federal Feserve agent- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 28. | 48,900 | 127,000 | 31,680 | 29,680 | 32,594 | 63,040 | 53, 160 | 13,840 | 11,725 | 5,190 | 10,575 | 3,950 | 431,334 |
| June ${ }^{\text {June }} 1$ | 42,700 50,900 | 127,000 127 | 25,280 3660 | 37,780 27 | 30, 874 | 65, 670 | 56,860 57,660 | 16,940 16,540 | 11, 175 | 4,490 4 4 | 9,935 9,565 | 3,350 | 422,084 442,024 |
| June 18 | 57,700 | 130, 200 | 41,160 | 29,900 | 31,034 | 68,130 | 53,380 | 17,640 | 10,925 | 4,740 | 10, 235 | 4,850 | 459,894 |
| June 25. | 53,700 | 128, 600 | 38, 260 | 26, 920 | 30, 594 | 67,945 | 62,540 | 16,840 | 10,625 | 5,040 | 10,885 | 3,350 | 455,289 |
| Issued to Federal Reserve Bank, less amount returned to Federal Re-tion- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 28 | 281,140 | 963,366 | 257, 911 | 323,186 | 124,700 | 149,413 | 579,961 | 146,447 | 81,115 | 102,736 | 86,048 | 283,470 | 3, 359,493 |
| June 4 | 287, 337 | 967,069 | ${ }^{262,633}$ | 322,447 | 125,952 | 150,048 | 579,619 | 145,996 | ${ }_{80}^{80} 729$ | 103,414 | 86, 824 | 265, 121 | 3,377,189 |
| June 11. | 288,621 | 964,634 970,374 | ${ }_{259}^{261,6764}$ | 325,372 325 3244 | 125,818 | 144, ${ }^{1402}$ | 581,787 581 563 | 144, 606 | 80,281 7988 | 102,947 | 85, 822 | ${ }_{266}^{265,762}$ | $3,376,028$ 3,3508 |
| June ${ }^{\text {Jis }}$ | 288,796 | 980,044 <br> 98 | 260, 479 | 328,508 | 126, 717 | 144, 589 | ${ }_{583}{ }^{581}, 363$ | 144,320 | 79,410 | 103, 582 | 85,520 85 | 269, 885 | 3, 3 396,168 |
| Collateral held as security for outstanding notes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 4 | 900 | 195,734 |  | 32,025 |  | 2,500 |  | 4,010 | 13,052 |  | 10,331 |  | 258, 552 |
| June 11. | 900 | 195,734 |  | 32,025 |  | 2,500 |  | 4,010 | 13,052 |  | 10,331 |  | 258,552 |
| June 18.. | 990 | 196,608 |  | 32, 025 |  | 2,500 |  | 3,810 | 13,053 |  | 10,331 | 2,000 | 261,227 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 4 | 17,950 | 16, 448 | 15, 816 | 17,034 | 2,992 | 2,389 | 8 8,799 | 2,191 | 1,046 | 2, 347 | 5,974 | 15, 712 | 108,698 |
| June 11 | 15, 034 | 15,798 | 18, 860 | 14,460 | 4,158 | 3,013 | 9,247 | 3,901 | 1,648 | 3,330 | 5,103 | 14,345 | 108,897 |
| June 18. | 16,792 | 14,529 | 16,577 | 17,831 | 2,686 | 3,103 | 9,543 |  | 1,751 | 1,978 | 6,098 | 21,011 | 113,987 |
| June 25. | 18,609 | 13,939 | 14, 763 | 17,296 | 3,797 | 4,034 | 9,063 | 1,875 | 1,677 | 3,405 | 5,121 | 19,502 | 113,081 |
| Gold settlement fund, Federal Reserve Board - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 28. | 102,000 | 73,000 | 74,889 | 95,000 | 35,500 | 45,000 | 161,145 | 38,631 | 18,600 | 30,860 | 11,234 | 61,154 | 747,013 |
| June 4. | 97,000 | 73,000 | 71, 889 | 95, 000 | 32,500 | 46,000 | 158, 145 | 39,730 | 18,600 | 34,860 | 11,234 | 65, 556 | 743,614 |
| June 18. | 102,000 | 73,000 | 69, 889 | 95,000 | 29,500 40,000 | ${ }^{45,} \mathbf{4}, 000$ | 169, 145 | 39, 831 | 17,600 17 | 32,860 33,860 | ${ }_{9}, 234$ | - ${ }^{72,011}$ | -786,570 |
| June 25. | 97,000 | 73,000 | 71,889 | 100,000 | 37,000 | 42,500 | 165,145 | 39,731 | 17,600 | 32, 860 | 9,734 | 91, 409 | 777,868 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 28. | 162,687 | ${ }^{677,767}$ | 170, 527 | 176,288 | 87, 740 | 98,479 | 410,135 | 101, 864 | 48, 281 | 68,546 | 59,645 | 185,494 | 2,247,453 |
| June 4. | 171,487 | 681, 887 | 174,928 | 178,388 | 90, 460 | 99, 159 | 412,675 | 100,065 | 48,031 | 66,207 | 59,285 | 183, 753 | 2,266,325 |
| June 11. | 175,687 | 680, 102 | 173, 927 | 183,887 | 92, 160 | 95, 689 | 418,395 | 98, 864 | 47,981 | 66,757 | 59,654 | 179,174 | 2, 272, 277 |
| June ${ }^{\text {Jun }}$ | 172,287 | 686,237 696,502 | 173,827 | 179, 187 | 85,920 | 94, <br> 95 <br> 55 | 402,675 409,715 | 98,904 <br> 889 | 47, 4781 | 67,917 67,317 | 59,884 60,724 | 158,974 | $\stackrel{2}{2,214,042}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ For actual amounts see "Paper delivered to Federal Reserve agent," on page 751.

## CONDITION OF MEMBER BANKS IN LEADING CITIES.

Treasury operations, including the issuance on May 17 of about 103 millions of 6 -month loan certificates and the whole series of Goverument transactions connected with the collection of the June 15 installment of income and excess profits taxes, affected to a large extent the conditions of the 814 "reporting" member banks during the 5 -week period between May 14 and June 18. Treasury borrowings and certificate flotations account largely for the changes shown in the United States security and Government deposit accounts, also in the loan accounts of the member banks with the Federal Reserve Banks, while the large June 15 tax payments resulted in temporary reduction of the banks' demand deposits and in increasing the eustomers' loans and discounts carried by the reporting banks.

During the period under review the reporting banks, largely in New York City, increased their holdings of United States bonds by nearly 4 millions, while reducing their Victory note holdings by a slightly smaller amount. Treasury certificate holdings on May 21, following the issue of the May 17 series of 102 million, show an increase of 37 millions, but declined gradually during the following 3 weeks. The combined effect of the redemption on June 15 of nearly 700 millions of tax certificates and the issue on the same date of over 419 of new certificates bearing higher interest rates is seen in a further drop of over 70 millions in these holdings. This is a decrease of about 88 millions since May 14 and of 347 millions since the beginning of the year. Developments in New York City were somewhat different, the member banks there reporting increases in certificate holdings both after the May 17 and June 15 issues, the higher interest rates ( $5 \frac{3}{4}$ and 6 per cent) apparently inviting larger than ordinary investments by the New York banks in the newly issued securities. Loans secured by Government war obligations, on the whole, followed a downward course during the 5 weeks under review, the June 18 total of 1,026 millions being 63 millions below the May 14 total. For the New York banks a corresponding decrease from 512 to 470 millions may be noted. Loans secured by stocks and corporate bonds held on June 18 are given as 3,113 millions, or about 9 millions above the total shown five weeks earlier. For the New York City banks an increase in this item from 1,154 to 1,175 millions is shown.

Since the beginning of the year aggregate holdings of United States securities by the reporting institutions have decreased 413 millions, while their loans upon such securities
(including amounts rediscounted with the Federal Reserve Banks) have decreased about 263 millions. There is also shown for the same period a reduction of 293 millions in loans secured by corporate securities. As against these decreases, other loans and investments, including commercial loans and discounts proper, show an increase of 1,337 millions, the net result being an increase since the beginning of the year of 368 millions in the total loans and investments of the reporting institutions.

From about 18 per cent at the beginning of the year, the ratio of combined holdings of United States war securities and loans supported by such securities to total loans and investments of reporting banks has gone down to less than 14 per cent. In New York City, because of the much smallor increase in unspecified loans and investments, the total loans and investments since the beginning of the year show a reduction of 157 millions, while the ratio of war securities and loans based thereon to total loans and investments declined from 21 to about 17 per cent.

Since May 14 accommodation of reporting banks at the Federal Reserve Banks (as shown by the latter's books) has been reduced by about 294 millions, from 2,127 to 1,833 millions, about three-fourths of the decrease taking place during the last week under review. On June 18 the Federal Reserve borrowings of the reporting institutions were composed of 956 millions of paper secured by United States Government obligations, compared with 1,236 millions five weeks earlier, and of 877 millions of ordinary commercial paper, as against 892 millions of such paper shown at the earlier date. Most of the decrease is shown in the amount of the banks' own notes secured by Treasury certificates.

The ratio of total accommodation at the Federal Reserve Banks to the total loans and investments of the reporting banks decreased from 12.5 to 10.8 per cent. For the New York City banks this ratio shows a decline for the five weeks from 12 to 9.7 per cent.

Following the issuance of the May 17 series of certificates and the collection of the June 15 tax installment, Government deposits show notable increases, though the maximum shown for the five-week period, 268 millions, is considerably below the average held by the Treasury with reporting banks in the beginning of the year. Other demand deposits (net) show relatively small fluctuations, the June 18 total of 11,513 millions being about 49 millions below the May 14 total. Time deposits after slight declines during the first two weeks assumed an upward course, the June 18
total, 2,684 millions, being about 29 millions larger than the total reported five weeks earlier. Reserve balances with the Federal Reserve Banks until the latest week likewise moved within narrow limits. The reduction in this item by about 60 millions indicated on June 18 follows the considerable reduction of borrow-
ings from the Reserve Banks. Cash in vault, largely Federal Reserve notes, varied between 385 millions on June 4 and 363 millions on May 28. On June 18 the total was about 366 millions, or about 5 millions below the total held five weeks before.

Principal resources and liabilities of member banks in leading cities, including member banks located in Federal Reserve Bank cities and in Federal Reserve Branch cities as at close of business on Fridays, from May 21 to June 18, 1920.

1. ALL REPORTING MEMBER BANKS.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | Boston. | New York. | Philadelphia. | Cleveland. | Richmond. | Atlanta. | Chicago. | St. Louis. | Minneapolis. | Kansas City. | Dallas. | San Francisco. | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of reporting banks: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 21 | 46 | 117 | 57 | 92 | 82 | 47 | 107 | 35 | 35 | 83 | 46 | 67 | 814 |
| May 28. | 46 | 117 | 57 | 92 | 82 | 47 | 107 | 35 | 35 | 83 | 46 | 67 | 814 |
| June 4. | 46 | 115 | 57 | 92 | 82 | 47 | 107 | 35 | 35 | 83 | 46 | 67 | 812 |
| June 11 | 46 | 115 | 57 | 92 | 82 | 47 | 107 | 35 | 35 | 83 | 47 | 67 | 813 |
| June 18. | 46 | 115 | 57 | 92 | 82 | 47 | 107 | 35 | 35 | 83 | 48 | 67 | 814 |
| United States bonds to secure circulation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 13,011 | 47,448 | 11,347 | 41,875 | 27, 625 | 14, 015 | 21,450 | 16,926 | 7,271 | 15, 286 | 19,573 | 34,466 | 270,293 |
| May 28. | 12,961 | 47,398 | 11,340 | 41,872 | 27, 729 | 14,015 | 21, 449 | 16,925 | 7,271 | 15, 286 | 19,573 | 34,485 | 270, 304 |
| June 4. | 12,311 | 46,753 | 11,347 | 41,874 | 27,979 | 14,015 | 21, 526 | 16,925 | 7,271 | 15,311 | 19,573 | 34,485 | 269,370 |
| June 11 | 12,311 | 46,658 | 11,347 | 41,874 | 28,061 | 14,120 | 21,549 | 16,924 | 7,321 | 15,311 | 19, 573 | 34) 485 | 269, 534 |
| June 18. | 12,311 | 46,658 | 11,347 | 41,874 | 28, 211 | 14,135 | 21, 549 | 16,925 | 7,321 | 15,316 | 19,573 | 34,485 | 269,705 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 21. | 13,795 | 246, 674 | 29,762 | 60,200 | 34,037 | 28,334 | 65, 731 | 12,860 | 9,784 | 23,448 | 18,080 | 61,499 | 604,204 |
| May 28. | 14,103 | 249,186 | 28,929 | 59,646 | 33,609 | 28,418 | 62,404 | 12,487 | 9,754 | 23,957 | 18,268 | 61,223 | 601,984 |
| June 4. | 14,029 | 249, 733 | 29,633 | 60,002 | 34, 227 | 28,312 | 61, 711 | 12,445 | 9,815 | 23, 882 | 19, 161 | 61,659 | 604,609 |
| June 11. | 13,971 | 251, 274 | 28,926 | 61,630 | 34, 158 | 28,509 | 61, 475 | 12,808 | 9,846 | 22, 403 | 19,168 | 60,999 | 605,167 |
| June 18............ | 13,979 | 250,203 | 30,335 | 60,700 | 33, 991 | 28,306 | 64, 140 | 12,835 | 9,928 | 22, 126 | 19,292 | 61, 892 | 607,727 |
| United States Victory notes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 21. | 7,169 | 87,975 | 9, 460 | 19,946 | 8,237 | 4,522 | 40,253 | 2,804 | 1,532 | 4,312 | 3,608 | 12,719 | 202,537 |
| May 28. | 7,069 | 89,633 | 9,330 | 19,650 | 7,996 | 4,171 | 40,058 | 2,715 | 1,505 | 4,368 | 3,448 | 12,947 | 202, 890 |
| June 4. | 7,143 | 89,436 | 9,374 | 19,591 | 7,980 | 4,263 | 40,155 | 2,706 | 1,519 | 4,380 | 3,446 | 12,741 | 202, 734 |
| June 11 | 7,084 | 88, 721 | 9,317 | 19,978 | 7,896 | 4,193 | 40, 187 | 2,873 | 1,537 | 4,598 | 3,477 | 12,561 | 202,422 |
| June 18. | 7,086 | 86,907 | 9,250 | 19,592 | 7,885 | 4,167 | 40, 253 | 2,679 | 1,384 | 4,588 | 3,432 | 12,684 | 199,907 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 21... | 37,678 | 291, 568 | 54,990 | 35,797 | 19, 121 | 19,536 | 82, 168 | 14,118 | 9,281 | 11,542 | 19,758 | 39,713 | 635,270 |
| May 28. | 36,322 | 274, 404 | 53, 683 | 35,522 | 18, 636 | 19,270 | 82, 129 | 10,069 | 9,283 | 11, 004 | 20,105 | 38,759 | 609, 186 |
| June 4 | 38,005 | 264, 082 | 53, 422 | 36,072 | 18,252 | 19,134 | 82,798 | 10,014 | 8,657 | 10,540 | 22,690 | 39,775 | 603,441 |
| June 11. | 34,972 | 247, 422 | 52,526 | 36, 400 | 17, 703 | 19,143 | 82, 725 | 8,622 | 9,314 | 10, 155 | 22,976 | 39, 231 | 581, 189 |
| June 18............. | 29,332 | 251, 266 | 44,649 | 34,995 | 13,735 | 10,484 | 67, 216 | 7,452 | 7,030 | 10,444 | 6,624 | 27,266 | 510,493 |
| Total United States securities owned: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 21............. | 71,653 | 673, 665 | 105,559 | 157, 818 | 89,020 | 66,407 | 209, 602 | 46,708 | 27, 868 | 54, 588 | 61, 019 | 148,397 | 1,712,304 |
| May 28. | 70, 455 | 660, 621 | 103, 282 | 156,690 | 87, 970 | 65, 874 | 206, 040 | 42, 196 | 27, 813 | 54, 615 | 61, 394 | 147,414 | 1,684,364 |
| June 4. | 71,488 | 650,004 | 103, 776 | 157, 539 | 88,438 | 65,724 | 206, 190 | 42,090 | 27, 262 | 54,113 | 64,870 | 148, 660 | 1,680,154 |
| June 11. | 68,338 | 634, 075 | 102, 116 | 159,882 | 87, 818 | 65,965 | 205, 936 | 41, 227 | 28, 018 | 52,467 | 65, 194 | 147, 276 | 1,658,312 |
| June 18. | 62, 708 | 635, 034 | 95,581 | 157, 161 | 83, 822 | 57,092 | 193, 158 | 39,891 | 25,683 | 52, 474 | 48, 921 | 136,327 | 1,587, 832 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 21............. | 57,236 | 522, 118 | 98, 422 | 78,340 | 35,532 | 26, 565 | 112,681 | 38,775 | 18,906 | 23,695 | 10,909 | 33,117 | 1,056,296 |
| May 28. | 55,674 | 525, 703 | 99,743 | 80,532 | 35, 885 | 26,725 | 111,516 | 38, 647 | 18,211 | 24,409 | 11,317 | 33,228 | 1,061,590 |
| June 4. | 57, 815 | 510, 816 | 95, 455 | 80,160 | 35, 217 | 27,581 | 109,905 | 39, 102 | 18,005 | 24,969 | 11,729 | 33, 330 | 1,044,084 |
| June 11. | 54,006 | 512, 828 | 94,776 | 79,097 | 34,648 | 28,302 | 108,349 | 38, 177 | 18, 170 | 26, 849 | 12,043 | 33,016 | 1,040,261 |
| June 18............ | 53,924 | 499; 436 | 96,693 | 78,584 | 33,751 | 28, 296 | 106,539 | 38,907 | 18,392 | 27,009 | 11,930 | 32,764 | 1,026,225 |
| Loanssecured bystocks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| and bonds, other than United States |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 453, 896 | 130,944 | 31,919 | 79,264 | 36,894 |  |  |
| May 28... | 191, 405 | 1, 330,079 | 198,846 | 344,209 | 108,023 | 61,876 | 461,776 | 131, 335 | 31,497 | 79,581 | 35, 236 | 147, 591 | 3,115,454 |
| June 4 | 190,780 | 1, 337, 055 | 196, 694 | 338,229 | 107, 041 | 59,216 | 456, 897 | 129, 653 | 31, 831 | 79, 185 | 36,081 | 148,376 | 3,111,038 |
| June 11. | 188, 028 | 1, 320, 236 | 194, 723 | 344,403 | 107, 016 | 62,960 | 459, 161 | 128, 331 | 31, 032 | 78, 878 | 35, 802 | 144, 932 | 3,095,502 |
| June 18............. | 192, 578 | 1, 330, 462 | 197,879 | 341,616 | 106, 668 | 58,365 | 467,395 | 126, 515 | 30,744 | 79,215 | 36,703 | 145, 001 | 3, 113, 14 k |
| All other loans and investments, including eral Reserve Bank: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 21............. | 786,496 | 3, 832, 795 | 557, 637 | 897,272 | 384,077 | 411,870 | 1,756,621 | 429, 106 | 302,086 | 527, 849 | 253, 520 | 948,377 | 11,087,706 |
| May 28.............. | 790,859 | 3, 874, 808 | 565, 052 | 905,336 | 383,330 | 411, 196 | 1,757,232 | 425,232 | 296, 143 | 517, 575 | 250, 837 | 951,962 | 11, 129, 562 |
| June 4. | 786, 875 | 3, 883,635 | 568, 069 | 895,969 | 388, 481 | 411, 195 | 1,759,044 | 415, 707 | 296,860 | 517,961 | 241, 453 | 968, 958 | 11, 134, 247 |
| June 11............. | 795, 755 | 3, 910, 315 | 573, 566 | 904, 890 | 389,018 | 410,210 | 1,746, 675 | 409,374 | 291, 646 | 513,890 | 243,381 | 984, 942 | 11, 173, 662 |
| June 18.............. | 797, 232 | 3, 954,696 | 573,551 | 912,184 | 387, 778 | 414,674 | 1,759,370 | 414,327 | 1287,738 | 513,615 | 238,681 | 977,395 | 11,231, 241 |

Principal resources and liabilities of member banks in leading cities, including member banks located in Federal Reserve Bank cities and in Federal Reserve Branch cities as at close of business on Fridays, from May 21 to June 18, 1920-Con.

1. ALL REPORTING MEMBER BANKS-Continued.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | Boston. | New <br> York. | Philadelphia. | Cleveland. | Richmond. | Atlanta. | Chicago. | St . Louis. | Minneapolis. | Kansas City. | Dallas. | $\underset{\text { cisco. }}{\text { San Fran }}$ | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total loans and invest- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ments, including re- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| discounts with Fed- <br> eral Reserve Bank: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 21........... | 1,109,460 | 6,361, 087 | 960,972 | 1,477,780 | 618,217 | 567,792 | 2,532,800 | 645,533 | 380,779 | 685,396 | 362,342 | 1,277,662 | 16, 979, 820 |
| May 28. | 1,108,393 | 6,391, 211 | 966,923 | 1,478,767 | 615, 208 | 565, 671 | 2,536, 564 | 637, 410 | 375, 664 | 676, 180 | 358,784 | 1, 280, 195 | 16, 990, 970 |
| June 4 | 1,106,958 | 6, 381, 510 | 963,994 | 1,471,897 | 619, 177 | 563,716 | 2, 532, 036 | 626, 552 | 373,958 | 676, 228 | 354, 133 | 1,299, 364 | 16, 969,523 |
| June 11 | 1,106, 127 | 6,377, 454 | 965, 181 | 1, 488, 272 | 618, 500 | 567,437 | 2, 520, 121 | 617, 109 | 368, 866 | 672, 084 | 356, 420 | 1, 310, 166 | 16, 967, 737 |
| June 18. | 1, 106, 442 | 6, 419, 788 | 963, 704 | 1,489,545 | 612,019 | 558, 427 | 2, 526, 462 | 619,640 | 362,537 | 672,313 | 336,235 | 1,291, 487 | 16, 958, 599 |
| Reserve balances with Federal Reserve |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 21. | 99, 897 | 670,434 | 67, 404 | 98,251 | 37,240 | 33,743 33 | 191,543 | 40,435 38 | 21, 550 | 45,925 | 25,287 | 79,052 | 1,410,761 |
| May 28. | 83,362 84,982 | 702,281 | 64,547 65,387 | 96,859 | 34,178 38,482 | 33,400 33,117 | 189,345 191,569 | 38,747 40,432 | 21,971 | 46,675 42,628 | 25,805 23,483 | 78,227 80,445 | $1,415,397$ $1,419,343$ |
| June ${ }^{\text {June } 11}$ | 84,982 82,586 | 696,505 706,378 | 65,387 65,047 | 98,334 97 | 38,482 38,200 | 33,117 35,543 | 191,569 190,707 | 40,432 40,782 | 23,979 22,611 | 42,628 43,758 | 23,483 25,314 | 80,445 79,424 | 1, 419,343 |
| June 18 | 78,683 | 663,581 | 62, 679 | 97, 159 | 38,285 | 30,069 | 190,596 | 40,701 | 21,059 | 43,090 | 24, 160 | 78,189 | 1,368, 251 |
| Cash in vault: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 21. | 25,465 | 124, 207 | 16, 179 | 33,045 | 18, 108 | 13, 382 | 65,329 | 10,635 | 8,910 | 14, 840 | 10,824 | 27,408 | 368,332 |
| May 28. | 24,415 | 121,003 | 15, 775 | 31, 438 | 18,436 | 13,798 | 65,003 | 10, 347 | 9,048 | 14,767 | 10,860 | 27, 859 | 362,749 |
| Jume 4 | 25,948 | 127, 578 | 18,203 | 35, 446 | 19,141 | 14,445 | 69,975 | 10,511 | 9,122 | 15, 463 | 11,969 | 27,093 | 384, 894 |
| June 11. | 25,356 | 123, 655 | 17,481 | 34,366 | 18,251 | 13,656 | 69,123 | 9,912 | 8,551 | 16,179 | 10, 960 | 26,051 | 373,541 |
| Net June 18. ${ }^{\text {dend }}$ deposits | 24,236 | 120,323 | 17, 261 | 35,045 | 17,623 | 13,302 | 67,828 | 9,738 | 8,656 | 14,927 | 10, 145 | 27,007 | 366,091 |
| Net demand deposits on which reserve is |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| computed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 21.. | 824, 447 | $5,283,951$ $5,360,664$ | 676,929 673,682 | 874,823 873,743 | 350,225 342,064 | 280,439 280,902 | 1, 408, 394 | 319, 670 | 214, 274 | 426,894 | 232, 2445 | 610,942 605,500 | 11, $11.503,533$ |
| June 4. | 823, 148 | 5,306,276 | 669,937 | 889, 214 | 348,383 | 287, 194 | 1, 404, 705 | 307, 947 | 223, 450 | 419,752 | 232,098 | 622, 917 | 11, $11,535,021$ |
| June 11. | 835, 635 | 5,297,186 | 674,876 | 898, 291 | 346,644 | 278, 283 | 1, 428, 010 | 320,347 | 227, 901 | 427, 052 | 234,015 | 627, 465 | 11, 595,705 |
| June 18.... | 812,790 | 5,286,562 | 662,395 | 895, 416 | 341,865 | 271, 410 | 1, 434, 444 | 320,350 | 215,756 | 420,258 | 229,070 | 622, 479 | 11,512, 804 |
| Time deposits: | 138, 012 | 408,107 | 32,822 | 364, 395 | 104, 786 | 151,004 | 619,584 | 123,794 | 63,468 | 97, 231 | 48,318 | 491, 821 | 2, 643,342 |
| May 28. | 138, 163 | 408,318 | 32,798 | 363, 999 | 104,923 | 151, 421 | 620,162 | 123, 717 | 63,312 | 97, 787 | 48,258 | 492, 847 | 2, 645, 705 |
| June 4. | 137, 613 | 409, 483 | 32, 774 | 365, 427 | 103,978 | 151, 783 | 622, 936 | 124, 138 | 63, 658 | 98,936 | 49,192 | 508, 059 | 2,667,977 |
| June 11. | 137, 956 | 409,322 | 32,769 | 364,467 | 104,047 | 151, 772 | 625,719 | 123, 986 | 63,521 | 98, 610 | 49,036 | 509,503 | 2, 670,708 |
| June 18............ | 144,782 | 419, 159 | 32,903 | 363, 096 | 103, 810 | 151,544 | 621, 492 | 124, 461 | 64,266 | 97,681 | 49,332 | 511,371 | 2, 684,497 |
| May 21........... | 8,155 | 60,465 | 7,012 | 9,348 | 2,291 | 2,452 | 10, 449 | 3,485 | 532 | 2,487 | 1,494 | 6,943 | 115,113 |
| May 28. | 5, 011 | 35, 348 | 4,875 | 7,668 | 1,646 | 1, 532 | 8,880 | 2,621 | 251 | 2,153 | 897 | 4,717 | 75,599 |
| June 4 | 3, 402 | 23,264 | 3,514 | 5,676 | 1,182 | 764 | 7,072 | 1,924 | 160 | 1,652 | 700 | 3,272 | 52,582 |
| June 11. | 3,402 | 23,262 | 3,514 | 5,732 | 1,104 | 647 | 6,772 | 1,904 | 160 | 1,652 | 600 | 3,272 | 52,021 |
| June 18........... | 20,015 | 129,632 | 18,165 | 22,760 | 3,508 | 4,101 | 36, 624 | 7,514 | 907 | 8,669 | 3,123 | 12,595 | 267,613 |
| Bills payable with Federal Reserve Bank: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secured by United States war obliga-tions- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 21.......... | 39,383 | 333,325 | 90,424 | 52,809 | 47,476 | 41,220 | 121, 379 | 32,589 | 12,967 | 29,190 | 31,905 | 38,326 | 870,993 |
| May 28.. | 41,615 | 334, 894 | 87, 678 | 48,609 | 49,574 | 39, 877 | 121, 962 | 31,229 | 12,796 | 28, 394 | 31, 105 | 38,778 | 866,511 |
| June 4. | 38,314 | 346,512 | 87,381 | 43,576 | 48,553 | 39,287 | 122, 106 | 28,806 | 11, 647 | 27,821 | 32,807 | 38, 298 | 865, 108 |
| June 11..... | 36,727 | 356,267 | 87,350 | 51, 658 | 48,578 | 38,243 | 120, 792 | 25,695 | 11,094 | 22,574 | 29,373 | 36, 332 | 864,383 |
| All June 18.... | 30,098 | 278,004 | 72,128 | 41, 124 | 41,346 | 30,592 | 88,282 | 22,740 | 9,604 | 23,929 | 13,208 | 21, 443 | 672,498 |
| May 21. |  |  |  |  |  | 45 |  |  | 350 | 60 | 215 | 385 | 1,055 |
| May 28 |  |  |  |  | 223 | 70 |  |  | 350 | 60 | 200 | 535 | 1,438 |
| June 4 |  |  |  | 36 | 673 | 348 |  |  | 250 | 60 |  | 385 | 1,752 |
| June 11......... |  |  |  |  | 573 | 429 |  |  | 350 | 60 |  | 485 | 1,897 |
| June 18........ |  |  |  |  | 100 | 254 |  |  | 450 | 85 |  | 285 | 1,174 |
| Bills rediscounted with |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal Reserve Bank: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secured by United |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| States war obliga- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tions- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 21. | 31,164 | 145, 390 | 55,471 | 13,298 | 6,976 | 5,834 | 12,380 | 12,750 | 4,296 | 4,987 | 899 | 2,437 | 295,882 |
| May 28. | 31,049 | 139,386 | 56,097 | 16,082 | 7,687 | 6,324 | 10, 831 | 12,948 | 3,792 | 5,177 | 1,066 | 2,486 | 292,925 |
| June 4. | 28,172 | 131,999 | 55,790 | 15,855 | 7,325 | 5,934 | 12,875 | 13,567 | 3,464 | 5, 420 | 1,184 | 2,384 | 283,989 |
| June 11. | 25,956 | 139, 562 | 56,463 | 14,077 | 6,945 | 7,468 | 14,184 | 12,800 | 3,507 | 6,433 | 1,613 | 2,311 | 291,319 |
| All June 18......... | 25,280 | 131, 034 | 58,796 | 14,361 | 6,343 | 7,822 | 15,416 | 11,610 | 3,626 | 6,285 | 1,287 | 1,977 | 283,837 |
| All other- May 21. | 51,787 | 184,375 | 21,038 | 43,323 | 26,264 | 46,697 | 234,336 | 77,445 | 53,461 | 64,257 | 18,598 | 69,702 | 891,283 |
| May 28.......... | 48,910 | 197, 429 | 23, 126 | 41,950 | 28,500 | 47, 828 | 231,946 | 77, 126 | 53, 574 | 64,668 | 18,970 | 65,237 | 899,264 |
| June 4.......... | 56,917 | 237,863 | 25,274 | 41,655 | 29,608 | 45, 142 | 242,815 | 77, 538 | 48,656 | 59,913 | 17,368 | 58,255 | 941,004 |
| June 11......... | 52,832 | 221, 963 | 26,413 | 35,965 | 30,500 | 48,251 | 225,619 | 66, 702 | 46,081 | 58,103 | 17,176 | 66,449 | 896,054 |
| June 18.. | 57,028 | 199, 157 | 26,209 | 38,114 | 31, 454 | 46,233 | 230,885 | 65,397 | 45,664 | 60,676 | 15,489 | 59,455 | 875,761 |

Principal resources and liabilities of member banks in leading cities, including member banks located in Federal Reserve Bank cities and in Federal Reserve Branch cities as at close of business on Fridays, from May 21 to June 18, 1920-Con.
2. MEMBER BANKS IN FEDERAL RESERVE BANK CITIES.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | Boston. | New York. | Philadelphia. | Cleveland. | Richmond. | Atlanta. | Chicago. | St. Louis. | Minneapolis. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Kansas } \\ & \text { City. } \end{aligned}$ | Dallas. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { San Fran- } \\ & \text { cisco. } \end{aligned}$ | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| banks: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 21. | 22 | 74 | 42 | 12 | 9 | 8 | 50 | 13 | 9 | 20 | 5 | 15 | 279 |
| May 28. | 22 | 74 | 42 | 12 | 9 | 8 | 50 | 13 | 9 | 20 | 5 | 15 | 279 |
| June 4.. | 22 | 73 | 42 | 12 | 9 | 8 | 50 | 13 | 9 | 20 | 5 | 15 | 278 |
|  | 22 | 73 | 42 | 12 | 9 | 8 | 50 | 13 | 9 | 20 | 6 | 15 | 279 |
| June 18.............. | 22 | 73 | 42 | 12 | 9 | 8 | 50 | 13 | 9 | 20 | 7 | 15 | 280 |
| United States bonds to secure circulation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 21... | 2,981 | 37,751 | 7,337 | 3,664 | 2,782 | 3,100 | 1,438 | 10,296 | 2,791 | 4,946 | 4,560 | 18,481 | 100,127 |
| May 28. | 2,931 | 37,701 | 7,337 | 3,664 | 2,782 | 3,100 | 1,438 | 10,295 | 2,791 | 4,946 | 4,560 | 18,500 | 100,045 |
| June 4. | 2,281 | 37,056 | 7,337 | 3,664 | -2,782 | 3,100 | 1,438 | 10,295 | 2,791 | 4,946 | 4,560 | 18,500 | 98, 750 |
| June 11. | 2,281 | 36,961 | 7,337 | 3,664 | 2,782 | 3, 100 | 1,438 | 10,294 | 2,791 | 4,946 | 4,560 | 18,500 | 98,654 |
| June 18.... | 2,281 | 36,961 | 7,337 | 3,664 | 2,782 | 3,100 | 1,438 | 10,295 | 2,791 | 4,946 | 4,560 | 18,500 | 98,655 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 21............. | 4,929 | 213,511 | 22,569 | 7,289 | 4,824 | 1,868 | 28,610 | 4,645 | 1,848 | 7,963 | 3,110 | 36,760 | 337,926 |
| May 28. | 5,245 | 216, 232 | 21, 633 | 7,289 | 4,825 | 1,867 | 26,360 | 4,338 | 1,839 | 8,344 | 3,139 | 36,659 | 337, 770 |
| June 4. | 5, 172 | 217,380 | 22,352 | 7, 294 | 4,823 | 1,868 | 25,457 | 4,370 | 1,883 | 8,475 | 4,178 | 36,880 | 340, 132 |
| June 11. | 5,105 | 218,734 | 21,655 | 7, 7 273 | 4,800 | 1,852 | 24,328 | 4,610 | 1,931 | 7,154 | 4,109 | 36,447 | 337,998 |
| June 18............. | 5,121 | 217,384 | 23,126 | 7,294 | 4,800 | 1,851 | 26,787 | 4,667 | 1,940 | 7,161 | 4,351 | 36,281 | 340,757 |
| United States Victory notes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 21. | 369 | 77, 511 | 6,793 | 2,310 | 256 | 228 | 12,549 | 701 | 183 | 2,061 | 901 | 3,900 | 107,762 |
| May 28. | 271 | 79, 188 | 6,671 | 2,309 | 187 | 222 | 12,414 | 615 | 183 | 2,185 | 889 | 3,987 | 109, 121 |
| June 4... | 346 | 79,066 | 6,727 | 2,309 | 181 | 318 | 12,567 | 638 | 207 | 2,142 | 899 | 4,098 | 109,498 |
| June 11... | 342 | 78,634 | 6,674 | 2,275 | 183 | 313 | 12,407 | 707 | 206 | 2,477 | 899 | 4,068 | 109,185 |
| June 18............... | 340 | 77, 176 | 6,616 | 2,275 | 165 | 239 | 12,540 | 610 | 206 | 2,454 | 906 | 4,164 | 107,691 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 21. | 27,385 | 273, 081 | 51,954 | 6,558 | 1,434 | 2,093 | 34, 110 | 12,106 | 4,204 | 4,434 | 11,867 | 20,983 | 450,209 |
| May 28. | 26,377 | 256,043 | 50,650 | 6, 804 | 1,361 | 2,111 | 33, 822 | 8,030 | 4,319 | 3,876 | 12, 104 | 20, 216 | 425,713 |
| June 4. | 27, 990 | 246,174 | 50,391 | 6,730 | 1,331 | 2,014 | 33, 113 | 7,941 | 3,713 | 3,400 | 14,677 | 19, 644 | 417, 118 |
| June 11. | 25, 275 | 229, 475 | 49,475 | 6,286 | 1,331 | 2,032 | 33,079 | 6,496 | 3,859 | 3,008 | 14,705 | 19,793 | 394, 814 |
| June 18.............. | 19, 272 | 233,005 | 42,019 | 6,324 | 1,466 | 1,226 | 25,465 | 5,636 | 2,775 | 5,203 | 1,886 | 13,029 | 357,306 |
| Total United States <br> securities owned:      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 21. | 35,664 | 601, 854 | 88,653 | 19,821 | 9, 296 | 7,289 | 76,707 | 27,748 | 9,026 | 19,404 | 20,438 | 80, 124 | 996,024 |
| May 28. | 34, 824 | 589, 164 | 86, 291 | 20,066 | 9,155 | 7,300 | 74,034 | 23, 278 | 9,132 | 19,351 | 20,692 | 79,362 | 972,649 |
| June 4. | 35,789 | 579,676 | 86,807 | 19,997 | 9,117 | 7.300 | 72,575 | 23, 244 | 8,594 | 18,963 | 24,314 | 79,122 | 965, 498 |
| June 11. | 33,003 | 563, 804 | 85,141 | 19,498 | 9,096 | 7,297 | 71,252 | 22, 107 | 8,787 | 17,585 | 24, 273 | 78,808 | 940,651 |
| June 18.............. | 27,014 | 564, 526 | 79,092 | 19,557 | 9,213 | 6,416 | 66,230 | 21, 208 | 7,712 | 19,764 | 11,703 | 71,974 | 904,409 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 21. | 47,369 | 492,392 | 93,362 | 21,908 | 9,281 | 4,267 | 78,088 | 25, 801 | 8,946 | 9,354 | 4,585 | 15, 179 | 810,532 |
| May 28. | 45,849 | 494,941 | 95, 108 | 21,936 | 9,466 | 4,291 | 77,089 | 25, 384 | 8,623 | 9,387 | 4,863 | 15, 064 | 812,001 |
| June 4. | 48,057 | 481, 274 | 90, 798 | 21,850 | 9,199 | 4,359 | 75,442 | 26,013 | 8,656 | 9,417 | 5,159 | 16, 269 | 796, 493 |
| June 11. | 45,077 | 483,410 | 90, 020 | 21,748 | 9,344 | 4,517 | 74, 766 | 25, 130 | 8,666 | 11,357 | 5,185 | 16,128 | 795, 348 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11,585 | 5,266 | 15,730 | 783,450 |
| than United States |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 21. | 147, 605 | 1, 175, 786 | 179,564 | 116,766 | 15,227 | 8,438 | 334,230 | 92,279 | 14,601 | 34,879 | 10,006 | 68, 177 | 2,197, 558 |
| May 28. | 145,130 | 1, 174, 846 | 179, 106 | 116, 674 | 14,918 | 7,580 | 340,553 | 92, 286 | 14,378 | 34,672 | 9,327 | 68,340 | 2,197, 810 |
| June 4. | 145,098 | 1, 181, 173 | 177, 169 | 117,093 | 14,959 | 7,964 | 337, 573 | 90,388 | 14, 234 | 34, 177 | 9,558 | 70, 545 | 2, 199,931 |
| June 11. | 142, 631 | 1, 167,308 | 175, 116 | 118, 560 | 14,945 | 7,405 | 338,537 | 89,057 | 13, 981 | 34, 168 | 9,561 | 68, 063 | 2,179,332 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 21. | 565, 953 | 3,365,018 | 490,484 | 278,639 | 72,294 | 63, 875 | 1,047,322 | 287, 408 | 145, 157 | 188,313 | 61,000 | 453, 612 | 7,019,075 |
| May 28. | 571, 448 | 3, 403,498 | 496,494 | 276, 681 | 70, 945 | 62, 907 | 1, 048, 603 | 283, 505 | 144, 564 | 182,064 | 58, 240 | 450, 005 | 7,048,954 |
| June 4 | 566,406 | 3, 409,577 | 500,662 | 276, 385 | 72,148 | 65,090 | 1,047,615 | 279,104 | 142, 996 | 184, 166 | 52, 288 | 468, 422 | 7,064,859 |
| June 11. | 575, 865 | 3,435,658 | 504, 621 | 279,896 | 73,324 | 68, 108 | 1,035,541 | 274,083 | 142, 032 | 179,742 | 55, 114 | 483, 144 | 7,107,128 |
| June 18............. | 577,418 | 3,482,429 | 504,309 | 278,986 | 73,994 | 70,785 | 1,051,879 | 279,566 | 136, 815 | 179,608 | 53, 760 | 484, 423 | 7,173,971 |
| Total loans and in- <br> vestments, including <br> rediscounts with Fed- <br> eral Reserve Bank: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 21. | 796, 591 | 5,635,050 | 852,063 | 437, 134 | 106,098 | 83, 869 | 1, 536, 347 | 433, 236 | 177, 730 | 251, 950 | 96,029 | 617, 092 | 11,023,189 |
| May 28. | 797, 251 | 5,662,449 | 856, 999 | 435, 357 | 104, 484 | 82, 078 | 1,540,279 | 424, 453 | 176,697 | 245, 474 | 93, 122 | 612, 771 | 11,031,414 |
| June 4. | 795, 350 | 5,651,700 | 855,436 | 435, 325 | 105, 423 | 84, 713 | 1,533, 205 | 418, 749 | 174, 480 | 246, 723 | 91, 319 | 634, 358 | 11,026,781 |
| June 11. | 796,576 | 5, 650,180 | 854,898 | 439, 702 | 106,709 | 87, 327 | 1,520,096 | 410,377 | 173, 466 | 242, 852 | 94, 133 | 646, 143 | 11,022, 459 |
| June 18............. | 795, 480 | 5,692,607 | 853,977 | 437, 957 | 107,547 | 89,252 | 1, 539, 123 | 414,222 | 167, 452 | 245,119 | 80,997 | 640,312 | 11,064.045 |
| Reserve balances with |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 21. | 84, 471 | 626,834 | 60,231 | 25,570 | 5,924 | 5,726 | 136, 113 | 30,430 | 9,394 | 14,068 | 6,557 | 34,616 | 1,039,934 |
| May 28. | 66,424 | 657, 189 | 57, 143 | 27, 330 | 5,337 | 6,512 | 132,423 | 28,692 | 10, 071 | 12,544 | 6,941 | 34,599 | 1,045, 205 |
| June 4. . . . . . . . . . . | 68, 669 | 649,228 | 58, 266 | 26,245 | 7, 209 | 5,446 | 135,009 | 30,534 | 11,765 | 11,958 | 5,608 | 36,747 | 1,046, 684 |
| June 11.............. | 65, 362 | 661,989 | 57,958 | 27,089 | 5,965 | 7,074 | 134, 168 | 30,605 | 10, 104 | 12, 975 | 6,078 | 35, 360 | 1, 054, 727 |
| June 18............. | 61,234 | 617,682 | 55,856 | 26,059 | 5,637 | 5,965 | 131,679 | 30,755 | 9,293 | 12,666 | 5,001 | 34,598 | 996, 425 |

Principal resources and liabilities of member banks in leading cities, including member banks located in Federal Reserve Bank vities and in Federal Reserve Branch cities as at close of business on Fridays, from May 21 to June 18, 1920-Con.
?. MEMBER BANKS IN FEDERAL RESERVE BANK CITIES-Continued.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | Boston. | New York. | Philadelphia | Cleveland. | Richmond. | At- | Chicago. | St. Louis. | Minne. apolis. | Kansas City. | Dallas. | San Francisco. | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash in vault: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 21. | 14,573 | 109, 423 | 12,861 | 8,141 | 1,838 | 2,283 | 36,897 | 5,676 | 2,696 | 3,718 | 1,937 | 9,697 | 209,740 |
| May 28. | 14, 178 | 107, 294 | 12,483 | 7,965 | 1,849 | 2,406 | 36,143 | 5, 403 | ${ }_{2}^{2,775}$ | 3,566 | 1,899 | 9,483 | 205, 444 |
| June ${ }^{\text {Jun }}$ | 15,493 14,835 | 111,955 108,692 | 13,885 | 9,123 9,587 | 1,791 | 2,600 2,392 | 3 $\mathbf{3 8}, 655$ 38,615 | 5,525 | 2,746 2,368 | 3,929 | 1, 1,964 | $\begin{array}{r}10,716 \\ \mathbf{1 0 , 2 9 4} \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 218, ${ }_{213}$ |
| June 18. | 14,602 | 106, 832 | 13,123 | 9,114 | 1,621 | 2,260 | 36,950 | 5,392 | 2,635 | 3,925 | 1,644 | 10,204 | 208, 302 |
| Net demand deposits <br> on Which reserve is <br> computed:          |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May $21 .$. | 635,988 | 4,753,783 | 587, 301 | 210,885 | 53, 941 | 45,303 | 966,213 | 224,837 | 91,668 | 140,598 | 64,505 | 269, 621 | 8,044,643 |
| May 28. | 630,001 | 4,836,774 | 583, 211 | 218,248 | 52,398 | 45,155 | 973,969 |  |  |  |  | 268, 182 | 8,114,779 |
| June 4 | 635,551 | 4,772,021 | 580, 017 | 222,220 | 53,023 | 46, 879 | ${ }_{9671}^{961,590}$ | 213,706 | ${ }_{9}^{93}$, 323 | 136,794 | 62,051 | 285, 2488 | $8,042,423$ |
| June 11. | 644,302 624,537 | 4, 7770,222 $4,760,834$ | [582, 8781 | 226,134 218,594 | 51,611 | 46,717 <br> 43 <br> 14 | 967,084 978,282 | 225,985 | 93,929 90 | 138,480 | 65, 6731 | 287,535 | 8, 102,441 |
| Timene deposits: | 624,537 | 4,760,834 | 572,861 | 218,594 | 52,139 | 43,941 | 978,282 | 227,401 |  | 139,578 | 64,535 | 289,083 | 8,062,298 |
| May 21. | 43,722 | 301, 136 | 23,150 | 170,749 | 20,871 | 22,128 | 276, 888 | 73,988 | ${ }^{23,262}$ | 11,836 | 4,397 | 219,664 | 1,191,791 |
| May 28. | 43,836 | 300,016 | 23,123 | 171,006 | 21, 66 | 22,179 | 277,558 | 74,024 | 23,133 | 12,291 | 4,372 | 220, 274 | 1,192,978 |
| June ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 43,095 | 299, 814 | ${ }^{23,127}$ | 172, 765 | 21, 226 | 22,325 | 278, 860 | 74,326 | ${ }^{23}$, 006 | 12,375 | 4,778 | ${ }^{234,305}$ | 1,210,062 |
| June 11. | 43,214 | 298,716 | 23,149 | 172, 153 | ${ }^{21,240}$ | 22,448 | 280,840 280,494 | 74,265 | 23,002 | 12,347 | 4,967 |  |  |
| June 18............ | 49,887 | 306, 259 | 23,284 | 171,532 | 21,217 | 22,320 | 280,494 | 74,300 | 23, 162 | 12,059 | 4,804 | 237, 295 | 1,226,613 |
| Government deposits: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,039 | 5,647 |  |
| May 28 | 3,086 | 34,212 | 4,719 | 764 | 319 | 99 | 4,742 | 2,264 | 52 | 866 | 704 | 3,603 | 55,430 |
| June 4 | 1,974 | ${ }_{22,480}$ | 3,394 | 491 | 225 | 78 | 3,876 | 1,653 | 40 | 643 | 570 | 2,366 | 37,790 |
| June 11 | 1,974 | 22,478 | 3,394 | 491 | 145 | 68 | 3,629 | 1,646 | 40 | 643 | 496 | 2,366 | 37,370 |
| June 18. | 14,362 | 126,069 | 16,837 | 4,964 | 469 | 345 | 18,045 | 6,861 | 650 | 7,556 | 2,695 | 10,068 | 208,021 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secured by United States war obli-gations- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 21 | 36,830 | 295,840 | 86, 023 | 13,476 | 8,233 | 2,272 | 54,179 | 22,698 | 4,285 | 17,639 | 15,585 | 20,505 | 577, 565 |
| May 28. | 37,186 | 296,553 | 88,545 | 12, 1481 | 7,711 |  | 54,094 |  | 4,066 | 15,702 | 15,885 | 20, 039 | 570,741 |
| June 4.. | 34,398 32091 | 310,928 | 83,045 82805 88 | 9, 9,831 | 析,993 | $\xrightarrow{2,597}$ | 51,109 51 | 20,398 | 2,898 | 15,530 | 16,060 | 19,761 | 573,548 |
| June 11. | 32,091 27 | 319,325 241,827 | 82,805 | 11,478 10 | 8,073 | 2,507 | 51,078 3689 | 16,643 |  | 12,237 | 14,878 | 19,027 | 573,300 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 6,927 | 1,607 | 36,899 | 15,487 | 2,229 | 14,673 | 103 | 9,350 | 435,342 |
| Bills rediscounted with Federal Reserve Bank: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secured by United States war obli-gations- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 21. | 28,911 | 141,946 | 54,735 | 3,798 | 1,598 | 363 | 5,788 | 7,503 | 1,684 | 2,814 | 288 | 817 | 250, 245 |
| May 28. | 28,916 | 135,715 | 55,361 | 3,760 | 1,745 | 359 | 4,480 | 7,410 | 1,600 | 2,721 | 270 | 811 | 243, 148 |
| June 4. | 26,338 | 128, 289 | 55,054 | 3,900 | 1,420 | 301 | ${ }^{6}, 267$ | 8,057 | 1,472 | 2,721 | 446 | 996 | 235, 261 |
| June 11. | 24,418 | 135,939 | 55,715 | 3,177 | 1,355 | 380 | 7,794 | 7,285 | 1,500 | 3,721 | 540 | 1,061 | 242, 885 |
| June 18. <br> All other- | 23,752 | 127,630 | 58,048 | 3,614 | 1,352 | 410 | 8,698 | 6,282 | 1,770 | 3,761 | 521 | 1729 | 236, 567 |
| May 21. | 50,151 | 168,758 | 20,619 | 29,577 | 5,509 | 3,927 | 174,957 | 55,014 | 40,811 | 32,482 | 5,731 | 41,878 | 629,413 |
| Мау 28. | 47,220 | 177, 322 | 23,042 | 26,763 | 5,665 | 4,061 | 165,912 | 54,568 | 42,346 | 32,866 | 6,170 | 36,367 | 622,302 |
| June 4......... | 55,049 49,366 | ${ }_{206}^{219}$,657 | 25, ${ }^{25}$,740 |  | 7,113 | 3,672 | - 176,651 | 57, 137 | $\begin{aligned} & 37,40 \\ & 36,481 \\ & 36 \end{aligned}$ | 30,944 |  | 28,720 | 671,531 |
| June 11........ | 49, 54,881 | 2060 180,738 | 25,619 | -26,801 | 7,14 8,144 | 7,061 7,331 | 163,348 168,011 | 46,570 | 36,781 | 28,832 27,527 | 4,567 3,018 | 37,222 29,706 | 636,030 609,997 |

Principalresources and liabilities of member banks in leading cities, including member banks located in Federal Reserve Bank cities and in Federal Reserve Branch cities as at close of business on Fridays, from May 21 to June 18, 1920-Con.
3. Member banks in federal reserve branch cities.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | New York district. ${ }^{1}$ | Cleveland district.2 | Richmond district. | Atlanta district. ${ }^{4}$ | Chicago district. ${ }^{5}$ | St. Louis district. ${ }^{6}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Kansas } \\ \text { City } \\ \text { district. } \end{gathered}$ | Dallas district. 8 | $\begin{gathered} \text { San } \\ \text { Francisco } \\ \text { district. } \end{gathered}$ | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of reporting banks: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May $21 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. | 10 | 40 | 19 | 24 | 12 | 18 | 19 | 12 | 44 | 198 |
| May 28. | 10 | 40 | 19 | 24 | 12 | 18 | 19 | 12 | 44 | 198 |
| June 4. | 10 | 40 | 19 | 24 | 12 | 18 | 19 | 12 | 44 | 198 |
| June 11. | 10 | 40 | 19 | 24 | 12 | 18 | 19 | 12 | 44 | 198 |
| June 18. | 10 | 40 | 19 | 24 | 12 | 18 | 19 | 12 | 44 | 198 |
| United States bonds to secure circulation May 21 | 1,599 | 24,896 | 5,608 | 6,915 | 1,905 | 5,280 | 4,387 | 7,108 | 13,185 | 70,883 |
| May 28 | 1,599 | 24,896 | 5,608 | 6,915 | 1,905 | 5,280 | 4,387 | 7,108 | 13,185 | 70, 883 |
| June 4. | 1,599 | 24,896 | 5,608 | 6,915 | 1,905 | 5,280 | 4,387 | 7,108 | 13,185 | 70,883 |
| June 11 | 1,599 | 24, 996 | 5,608 | 6,915 | 1,905 | 5,280 | 4,387 | 7,108 | 13,185 | 70,883 |
| June 18. | 1,599 | 24,896 | 5,608 | 6,930 | 1,905 | 5,280 | 4,387 | 7,108 | 13,185 | 70,898 |
| Other United States bonds, including Liberty bonds: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 28. | 11,463 | 41,452 | 9,138 | 22,747 | 17,461 | 7,427 | 6,023 | 7,241 | 21,344 | 144,296 |
| June 4 | 10,845 | 41,442 | 9,228 | 22,504 | 17,570 | 7,396 | 5,914 | 7,274 | 21,575 | 143,748 |
| June 11 | 11, 140 | 42,881 | 9,219 | 22,669 | 17,645 | 7,564 | 5,650 | 7,272 | 21,298 | 145,338 |
| June 18 | 11,427 | 41,657 | 9,150 | 22,571 | 17,605 | 7,545 | 5,680 | 7,266 | 21,285 | 144,186 |
| United States Victory notes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 28 | 2,619 | 13,978 | 2,887 | 2,913 | 18,557 | 1,985 | 634 | 1,233 | 8,211 | 53, 017 |
| June 4. | 2,562 | 14,039 | 2,887 | 2,907 | 18,555 | 1,952 | 642 | 1,229 | 7,895 | 52,668 |
| June 11. | 2,476 | 14,620 | 2,883 | 2,845 | 18,549 | 2,052 | 639 | 1,229 | 7,745 | 53,038 |
| June 18. | 2,112 | 14,222 | 2,873 | 2,896 | 18,550 | 1,956 | 642 | 1,206 | 7,779 | 52,236 |
| United States certificates of indebtedness: 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 28 | 11,968 | 21,847 | 6, 155 | 14,361 | 35,649 | 1,864 | 4,308 | 4,446 | 15,910 | 116,508 |
| June 4. | 11,558 | 22,513 | 6, 153 | 14,310 | 37.437 | 1,898 | 4,317 | 4,458 | 17,112 | 119,756 |
| June 11. | 11,597 | 23,539 | 5,975 | 14,378 | 37,553 | 1,951 | 4,279 | 4,826 | 16,291 | 120,389 |
| June 18 | 12,474 | 20,922 | 3,201 | 8,156 | 31,530 | 1,661 | 3,419 | 2,821 | 12,227 | 96,411 |
| Total United States securities owned: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 28 | 27,649 | 102, 173 | 23, 788 | 46,936 | 73,572 | 16,556 | 15,352 | 20,028 | 58,650 | 384, 704 |
| June 4. | 26,564 | 102,890 | 23,876 | 46,636 | 75,467 | 16,526 | 15,260 | 20,069 | 59,767 | 387,055 |
| June 11 | 26,812 | 105,986 | 23,685 | 46,807 | 75,652 | 16,847 | 14,955 | 20, 435 | 58,519 | 389,648 |
| June 18. | 27,612 | 101,697 | 20,832 | 40,553 | 69,590 | 16,442 | 14,128 | 18,401 | 54,476 | 363,731 |
| Loans secured by Government war obligations, including rediscounts with Federal Reserve Bank: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 21................................ | 9,990 | 45,325 | 10,985 | 16,694 | 14,370 | 11,519 | 9, 183 | 2,140 | 17,095 | 137,301 |
| May 28. | 10,325 | 47,332 | 11, 170 | 16,762 | 14,573 | 11,808 | 9,799 | 2,326 | 17,327 | 141,422 |
| June 4. | 9,719 | 47,044 | 10,994 | 17,404 | 14,846 | 11,638 | 10,073 | 2,419 | 16,215 | 140, 352 |
| June 11. | 9,764 | 46,246 | 10,654 | 18,079 | 14,288 | 11,599 | 9,866 | 2, 432 | 16,001 | 138,929 |
| June 18............................. | 9,705 | 45,491 | 10,116 | 17,762 | 14,127 | 11,588 | 9,873 | 2,485 | 16, 174 | 137,321 |
| Loans secured by stocks and bonds, other than United States securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 21. | 52,964 | 166,337 | 33,016 | 41,301 | 60,628 | 35,725 | 21,712 | 15,317 | 73,359 | 500, 359 |
| May 28. | 52, 360 | 158, 943 | 33, 095 | 41, 067 | 60,926 | 36,109 | 21,749 | 15,450 | 73,004 | 492, 703 |
| June 4. | 52,323 | 161, 421 | 32,651 | 38,415 | 59,781 | 36,324 | 21,791 | 15,447 | 71,622 | 489, 775 |
| June 11. | 52, 196 | 166, 300 | 32,434 | 43, 224 | 60,916 | 36,328 ${ }^{2}$ | 21,774 | 15, 344 | 70,951 | 499, 467 |
| June 18. | 52,687 | 162,853 | 32,454 | 38,857 | 59,831 | 35,991 | 21,792 | 15,492 | 70,849 | 490,806 |
| All other loans and investments, includ- <br> ing rediscounts with Federal Reserve <br> Bank:       |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 21. | 180, 844 | 451, 812 | 118,676 | 262, 756 | 329, 474 | 125, 322 | 161, 768 | 81,424 | 458, 605 | 2,170,681 |
| May 28. | 178, 830 | 460, 572 | 118, 034 | 263, 687 | 330, 051 | 125,090 | 158, 760 | 80, 810 | 465, 019 | 2,180,853 |
| June 4. | 178, 855 | 450, 376 | 119, 801 | 260, 711 | 331, 919 | 120, 310 | 158, 144 | 78,502 | 463, 700 | 2,162,318 |
| June 11. | 184,413 | 456, 082 | 120, 491 | 253, 190 | 335, 198 | 118,906 | 158, 620 | 78, 115 | 464, 905 | 2,169,920 |
| June 18.............................. | 180, 009 | 463,319 | 118, 580 | 256, 620 | 336, 298 | 118,544 | 160,185 | 77, 458 | 456, 359 | 2,167, 372 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 21. | 271, 332 | 767, 121 | 186, 853 | 368, 177 | 478, 163 | 189, 161 | 208,091 | 118,864 | 607, 949 | 3, 195, 711 |
| May 28. | 269, 164 | 769, 020 | 186,087 | 388, 452 | 479,122 | 189, ${ }^{\text {of63 }}$ | 205,660 | 118,614 | 614,000 | 3,199,682 |
| June 4. | 267, 461 | 761, 731 | 187, 322 | 363, 166 | 482, 013 | 184,798 | 205,268 | 116,437 | 611, 304 | 3, 179, 500 |
| June 11. | 273, 185 | 774, 564 | 187, 264 | 361, 300 | 486, 054 | 183, 680 | 205, 215 | 116, 326 | 610,376 | 3,197, 964 |
| June $18 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 270,013 | 773, 360 | 181, 982 | 353, 792 | 479,846 | 182, 565 | 205,978 | 113, 836 | 597, 858 | 3,159, 230 |
| Reserve balances with Federal Reserve |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 21. | 18,375 | 54, 887 | 12,300 | 21,555 | 27, 248 | 9,216 | 14,749 | 8,414 | 41,098 | 207, 842 |
| May 28. | 18,322 | 52,339 | 11, 292 | 19,986 | 28,365 | 9,209 | 16,282 | 8,436 | 40,133 | 204, 364 |
| June 4. | 18,984 | 54,755 | 13,851 | 21,050 | 28, 436 | 8,881 | 13, 641 | 8,200 | 40,389 | 208, 187 |
| June 11. | 18,399 | 53, 171 | 14,038 | 21, 885 | 29,379 | 9,117 | 14,321 | 8,730 | 40, 643 | 209, 683 |
| June 18. | 18,870 | 53,031 | 14,479 | 17,790 | 30, 495 | 8,979 | 14,058 | 8,266 | 40,346 | 206, 314 |
| Cash in vault: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 21. | 3,574 3,473 | 15,137 14,018 | 5,859 6,501 | 7,289 7,034 | 13,021 13,186 | 4,067 4,176 | 5,606 5,410 | 2,801 2,797 | 16,087 16,418 | 73,441 73,013 |
| May 28. | 3,473 <br> 3,875 | 14,018 15,929 | 6,501 5,814 | 7,034 | 13,186 14,818 | 4,176 4,147 | 5,410 5,604 | 2,797 3,653 | 16,418 14,626 | 73,013 76,393 |
| June 4.0 | 3,875 3,495 | 15,929 14,165 | 5,814 <br> 5,724 | 7,927 | 14,818 14,014 | 4,147 <br> 3,867 | 5,604 5,855 | 3,653 2,953 | 14,626 13,922 | 76,393 $\mathbf{7 1 , 3 1 4}$ |
| June 11. | 3,495 3,397 | 14,165 15,427 | 5,724 5,589 | 7,319 7,503 | 14,014 15,082 | 3, 867 3,473 | 5,855 5,322 | 2,953 2,687 | 13,922 15,240 | 71,314 73,720 |

Principal resources and liabilities of member banks in leading cities, including member banks located in Federal Reserve Bank cities and in Federal Reserve Branch cities as at close of business on Fridaysfrom May 21 to June 18, 1920-Con.
3. MEMBER BANKS IN FEDERAL RESERVE BRANCH CITIES-Continued.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | $\underset{\substack{\text { York } \\ \text { district. }}}{\text { Now }}$ | Cleveland district. ${ }^{2}$ | Rich- mond district. ${ }^{\text {mon }}$ | Atlanta district. 4 | Chicago district. ${ }^{5}$ | St. Louis district. 6 | $\underset{\text { City }}{\text { Kansas }}$ district. ${ }^{7}$ | Dallas district. ${ }^{8}$ | San <br> Francisco district. ${ }^{9}$ | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net demand deposits on which reserve is computed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 185, 329 | 493,035 | 111,738 | 180,649 | 199,905 | 85,083 | 128,329 | 68,641 | 309,651 | 1,762,360 |
| May 28 | 181,396 | 485,015 | 110,043 | 181,116 | 204, 951 | 85,498 | 127,872 | 67,548 | 305, 917 | 1,749,356 |
| June 4 | 182,309 | 495,057 | 113,214 | 186,344 | 203, 335 | 84, 176 | 127,016 | 67,341 | 306, 852 | 1,765,644 |
| June 11. | 182,602 | 498,217 | 115, 685 | 178,309 | 216,551 | 84,255 | 129,823 | ${ }^{67}, 267$ | 309, 323 | 1,782,032 |
| Time deposits: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 28. | 44, 125 | 118,553 | 20,191 | 89,168 | 225,003 | 40,511 | 49,769 | 21,987 | 259,833 | 869,140 |
| June 4. | 44, 555 | 118,011 | 18,947 | 89,645 | 226,015 | 40,573 | 50,599 | 22,185 | 261, 070 | 871,600 |
| June 11. | 44, 982 | 117,174 | 19,001 | 89,387 | 226, 823 | 40,476 | 50, 282 | 21, 936 | 260, 988 | 871,059 |
| June $18 . . . . . . . . ~$ | 45, 406 | 116,992 | 19,071 | 89, 140 | 223,124 | 40,930 | 49,821 | 22,304 | 261,717 | 868,505 |
| Government deposits: | 461 | 7,386 | 229 | 2,122 | 1,874 | 461 | 1,188 | 447 | 766 | 14,934 |
| May 28. | 171 | 6,382 | 153 | 1,333 | 1,573 | 357 | 1,134 | 192 | 585 | 11,880 |
| June 4 | 80 | 4,825 | 109 | 598 | 1,200 | 271 | 895 | 130 | 483 | 8,591 |
| June 11. | 80 | 4,905 | 109 | 508 | 1,200 | 258 | 895 | 104 | 483 | 8,542 |
| June 18. | 1,652 | 14, 865 | 400 | 3,027 | 11,800 | 653 | 572 | 381 | 1,990 | 35,340 |
| Bills payabie with Federal Reserve <br> Bank: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secured by United States war obli-gations- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 21. | 21,687 | 34,199 | 16,536 | 31,945 | 40,369 | 9,301 | 6,373 | 8,824 | 15,888 | 185,122 |
| May 28 | 21,483 | 31,104 | 18,318 | 30, 724 | 40,458 | 8,527 | 6,424 | 7,799 | 16,806 | 181, 643 |
| June 4. | 20,016 | 28,725 | 18,297 | 30, 134 | 42,967 | 7,968 | 5,821 | 9,041 | 16,230 | 179, 199 |
| June 11. | ${ }^{21,224}$ | 35,070 | 17,355 | 29,307 | 42,084 | 8,702 | 4,114 | 6,689 | 14, 626 | 179, 171 |
| June 18. | 22,964 | 26,419 | 13,743 | 24,471 | 26,954 | 6,818 | 4,540 | 6,724 | 10,788 | 143, 421 |
| All other- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 21. |  |  |  | ${ }_{70} 7$ |  |  | ${ }_{60}^{60}$ |  | 385 <br> 535 | 490 665 |
| June 4 |  |  | 100 | 348 |  |  | 60 |  | ${ }_{385}$ | ${ }_{893}^{603}$ |
| June 11 |  |  |  | 429 |  |  | 60 |  | 485 | 974 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank: <br> Secured by United States war obli- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May $21 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. | 1,619 | 8,646 | 3,386 | 4,911 | 2,509 | 4,799 | 1,114 | 211 | 1,514 | 28, 709 |
| May 28 | 1,592 | 11,112 | 3,914 | 5,216 | 2,519 | 5,103 | 1,371 | 382 | 1,575 | 32,784 |
| June 4. | 1,631 | 10,625 | 3,755 | 5,013 | 2,627 | 5,074 | 1,613 | 346 | 1,257 | 31, 941 |
| June 11. | 1,550 | 9,484 | 3,435 | 6, 320 | 2,598 | 5,079 | 1,517 | 394 | 1,092 | 31, 469 |
| All other- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 21. | 8,047 | 8,258 | 11,983 | 37,129 | 8,826 | 21,235 | 19,579 | 6,442 | 23,649 | 145,148 |
| May 28 | 9,779 | 9,992 | 12, 396 | 37,589 | 11,667 | 21,442 | 18,783 | 6, 659 | 23,971 | 152,278 |
| June 4 | 9,249 | 9,743 | 12,003 | 34, 541 | 12, 237 | 19,283 | 16, 424 | 6, 841 | 24, 294 | 144,615 |
| June 11. | 7,468 | 7,936 | 11,022 | 33,568 | 11,205 | 19, 115 | 16,384 | 6,700 | ${ }^{23,639}$ | 137,037 |
| June 18. | 9,487 | 6,583 | 11,261 | 31,222 | 13,064 | 18,813 | 20,185 | 6,494 | 24,507 | 141,616 |

${ }^{1}$ Buffalo
2 Pittsburgh and Cincinnati.
${ }^{3}$ Baltimore.
$\pm$ New Orleans, Birmingham, Jacksonville, and Nashville.
${ }^{5}$ Detroit.
${ }_{7}^{8}$ Louisville, Memphis, and Little Rock.
${ }_{8}{ }^{7}$ Omaha and Denver.
${ }_{9}$ Spokane, Portland, Cilt Lake City, Los Angeles, and Seattle.

## IMPORTS AND EXPORTS OF GOLD AND SILVER.

Gold imports into and exports from the United States, distributed by countries.


[^10]Silver imports into and exports from the United States, distributed by countries.

|  | Imports. |  |  |  |  |  | Exports. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | During <br> 10 days <br> May 20, <br> 1920. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { During } \\ & \text { 11 days } \\ & \text { ending } \\ & \text { May 31, } \\ & \text { 1920. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { During } \\ & \text { month } \\ & \text { of May, } \\ & \text { 1920. } \end{aligned}$ | During 10 days ending June 10, 1920. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { From } \\ & \text { Jan. } 1 \text { to } \\ & \text { June 10, } \\ & 1920 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { From } \\ \text { Jan. } 1 \text { to } \\ \text { June } 10, \\ 1919 . \end{array}$ | During <br> 10 days <br> May 20 , <br> 1920. | During <br> 11 days <br> May 31, <br> 1920. | During month of May, 1920 | During 10 days June 10, 1920. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { From } \\ & \text { Jan. } 1 \text { to } \\ & \text { June 10, } \\ & 1920 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { From } \\ \text { Jan. } 1 \text { to } \\ \text { June 10, } \\ 1919 . \end{gathered}$ |
| Denmark |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$578,728 |
| France... |  | \$1, <br> 30 <br> 151 <br> 169 | \$7,952 | \$8,000 | \$84,960 | 88, 327 | 817,920 |  | \$17,980 |  | \$17,920 | 5,333,475 |
| Netheriay |  |  | 14,260 |  | 14, 453 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,086,406 |
| Portugal |  |  | 396 | 2,690 | 7,978 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sweden. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 42,260 | 87,256 |
| Switzerland.... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 55, 666 |
| United Kingdom- | \$223,474 | 213 | 229,452 |  | 743,446 | 18,434 | 577,535 | \$76,710 | 768,295 |  | 2,092,551 | 10,046,382 |
| Total Europe | 223,474 | 31,533 | 282,211 | 10,690 | 861,357 | 26,761 | 595,455 | 76,710 | 786,215 |  | 2,152,731 | 17, 187,913 |
| British Hondu |  |  |  |  | 39, 170 | 218,382 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canada.... | -193,445 | 56, 3508 | 316,619 22 291 | 123,510 | $1,962,155$ 39,534 | $\begin{gathered} 3,877,232 \\ 24,504 \end{gathered}$ | 103,227 | 57,995 | 243,787 | \$42,423 | 5,205,010 | 1,141,847 |
| Guatemala. |  |  |  |  | 20,005 |  |  | 1,500 | 1,500 |  | 2,000 | 2,400 |
| Honduras. |  | 1,400 | 293,900 | 127,532 | 1,426,562 | 1,258,668 | 2,305 | 2,400 | 4,705 | 10,000 | 366,505 | 52,550 |
| Nicaragua. | 96,540 | $\begin{array}{r}23,399 \\ \hline 5\end{array}$ | 120,429 | 52,823 2,162 | 452,490 30,449 | 440,012 76,349 | 85,000 | 25,000 | 110,000 |  |  |  |
| Salvador | 9,292 | 17,957 | 52,260 | 30,251 | 3,528, 560 | 220, 187 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mexico. | 1,107,715 | 1,806, 065 | 4,608,697 | 1,749, 241 | 32, 234, 116 | 25,886,626 | 127,475 | 116,032 | 291,007 | 86,215 | 2,028,747 | 686,275 |
| Newfoundland. ${ }_{\text {British West }}$ |  |  | 31 |  | 6,949 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8,044 |
| Cuba. |  |  | 272 |  | 40, 194 | 52, 189 |  |  | 38,277 | 149,782 | 919,749 |  |
| Virgin Islands of U. S.. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25,000 |  |
| Dominican Republic.. |  | 200 | 200 |  | 84,800 1,200 |  | 25,000 | 40,000 | 69,000 |  | 197,000 | 30,000 |
| French West Indies.... |  |  |  |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Haiti................... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4,000 |  |
| Total North America. | 1,425,151 | $\xrightarrow{1,906,016}$ | 5,414,734 | $\xrightarrow{2,086,083}$ | $\xrightarrow{39,866,204}$ | $\xrightarrow{32,046,456}$ | $\xrightarrow{343,007}$ | $\stackrel{\text { 242,927 }}{ }$ | $\xrightarrow{758,276}$ | 288,420 | ${ }^{\text {9,306,266 }}$ | 2,040,366 |
| Argentina | 182 |  | 1,568 |  | 10,509 |  |  |  |  |  | 1,701 |  |
| Bolivia. |  | 8,026 | 14,034 | 12,119 | 675,448 | 105,968 |  |  |  |  |  | 171 |
| ${ }_{\text {Chile }}$ Brazil | 85,687 | 10,105 | 128,784 | 119,147 | 1,754, 611 | 655,859 |  |  |  |  | 2,333 | 17 |
| Colombia. | 16,875 | 51,420 | 107,288 | 4,354 | 172,933 | 79, 251 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.000 |
| Ecuador....... | 3,887 | 4,689 | 16, 886 |  | 29,430 | 7,907 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pera. | 269,359 | 469,556 | i, 774, 174 | 329,495 | 6,662,804 | 4,742,047 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Venezaela |  | 33 |  |  | 33 | 280 |  |  |  |  | 10,000 |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Total South } \\ \text { America......... } \end{gathered}$ | 375,990 | 543,829 | 2,042,790 | 465,115 | 9,312,441 | 5,591,345 |  |  | 378 |  | 15,036 | 7,517 |
| China. |  |  |  |  | 11,974 |  |  | 3,035,752 | 3,035,752 |  | $6,342,883$ | $2,459,792$ |
| Britich India... |  | 218,048 | 218,048 |  | 1,882, 749 | 540,327 |  |  |  |  | 223, 211 | 107,348,592 |
| French East Indies |  |  | 218,048 |  | 1,882, 449 | 540,32 |  |  | 266,93i |  | 4,0588, 773 |  |
| Hangkong. |  |  |  |  | 1,650 |  |  | 1,039, 920 | 2,013,548 | 312,156 | 16, 201,620 | 2,665,561 |
| Russia in Äsia |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 970 |  | 970 | 1i,660 |
| Turkey in Asia | 35,511 |  | 38,517 |  | 38,511 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Asia | 38,511 | 218,048 | 256,559 |  | 1, 934,884 | 540,327 |  | 4,075,718 | 5,317,261 | 312,156 | 66,827,097 | 112,485,605 |
| Neir Zealand. |  | 201 |  |  | 3,664 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Philippine Islands...... | 1,250 |  | 1,250 |  | 8,039 | 5,498 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| British South Africa... | 6,097 |  | 6,097 |  | 6,097 | 76,822 |  |  |  |  | 5,480 |  |
| Portuguese Africa.. | 5,177 | 5,545 | 79,053 |  | 86,657 | 28,288 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total, all countries.. | 2,075,650 | 2,705,172 | 8,082, 895 | 2,561,888 | $152,079,343$ | 38,315,497 | 938,462 | 4,395,355 | 6,862,130 | 600,576 | $278,306,610$ | 131,721,401 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes: Ore and base bullion, $\$ 40,829,000$; United States mint or assay office bars, $\$ 3,000$; bullion refined, $\$ 4,241,000$; United States coin, $\$ 256,000$; toreign coin, $\$ 6,750,000$.
${ }^{2}$ Includes: Domestic exports-ore and base bullion, $\$ 5,000$; United States mint or assay office bars, $\$ 3,411,000$; bullion refined, $\$ 45,493,000$; coin, $\$ 13,470,000$. Foreign exports-ore and base bullion, $\$ 1,000$; bullion refined, $\$ 12,516,000$; coin, $\$ 3,410,000$.

Excess of silver exports over imports since Jan. 1, 1920, $\$ 26,227,000$. Excess of silver exports over imports since Aug. 1, 1914, $\$ 455,599,000$.

General stock of money in the United States, money held by the Treasury and by the Federal Reserve System, and all other money in the United States, June 1, 1920.

|  | General stock. | Held in the <br> United States Treasury as assets of the Government. ${ }^{1}$ | Held by or for Federal Reserve agks and agents. | Held outside United States Treasury and Federal Reserve System. | Amount per capita outside United States Treasury and Federal Reserve System. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gold coin (including bullion in Treasury) ${ }^{2}$ | \$2,663, 730, 358 | \$391,225, 276 | \$1, 287, 833, 008 | \$408, 319, 283 |  |
| Standard silver doilars | 268,798,602 | 7,473,119 | $310,74,940$ $360,199,036$ | $265,647,851$ $74,175,582$ |  |
| Silver certificates.. |  |  | 20,460,577 | 104, 829,460 |  |
| Subsidiary silver | 257,506, 146 | 8, 052, 481 |  | 249, 453, 685 |  |
| Treasury notes of 1890 |  |  |  | 1,660,828 |  |
| United States notes... | 346, 681,016 | 9, 490, 672 | 459, 281,009 | 277, 909,335 |  |
| Federal Reserve notes....... | $\begin{array}{r}3,357,807,675 \\ 192 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 22, 274,609 | 234, 101, 115 | 3,101,431, 951 |  |
| National bank notes........ | 717, 264,887 | 22, 281, 476 | $13,9012,269$ $2,102,269$ | 692,878, 142 |  |
| Total: |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 1, 1920. | 7, 804, 528,384 | 462, 799, 326 | 1,988,583,225 | 5,353,145,833 | \$50.00 |
| May 1, 1920 | 7,755,953, 906 | 488, 928 , 232 | 1,976,153,519 | $5,290,872,155$ | 49.45 |
| Apr. 1, 1920. | 7,761,146,018 | 503, 309,638 | 1, 984, 495,464 | $5,273,340,916$ | 49. 33 |
| Feb. 1, 1920. | 7,744,769,263 | $546,960,744$ $625,142,749$ | 1, $2,0091,4950,058$ | $5,277,861,078$ $5,109,974,528$ | 49.41 47.88 |
| Jan. 1, 1920 | 7,961,320, 139 | 604, 888,833 | 2, $044,422,303$ | 5,312, 009,003 | 49.81 |
| July 1, 1919 | 7,588,473,771 | 578, 848,043 | 2,167, 280,313 | 4, 842, 345, 415 | 45.00 |
| Juan l 1, 1919. | $7,780,793,606$ $6,742,225,784$ | 454, 948, 160 | 2,220,705,787 | $5,105,139,679$ <br> 4,367 |  |
| Jan. 1, 1918. | $6,256,198,271$ | 277,043, 358 | 1,723,570,291 | $4,255,584,622$ | 40.53 |
| July 1, 1917. | $5,480,009,884$ | 253, 671, 614 | 1,280, 880, 714 | 3,945,457, 556 | 37.88 |

[^11]FEDERAL RESERVE BANK DISCOUNT RATES.
Rates on paper discounted for member banks approved by the Federal Reserve Board up to July 1, 1920.

| Federal Reserve Bank. | Discounted bills maturing within 90 days (including member banks' 15-day collateral notes) secured by- |  | Bankers' acceptances maturing 3 months. | Trade acceptances maturing 90 days. | Discounted bills secured otherwise than by Government war obligations, also unsecured, maturing within- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Treasury certificates of indebtedness. | Liberty bonds and Victory notes. |  |  | 90 days (in cluding member 15-day collateral notes) notes). | $\begin{gathered} 91 \text { to } 180 \\ \text { days (agri- } \\ \text { cultural and } \\ \text { livestockek } \\ \text { paper). } \end{gathered}$ |
| Boston | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6 |  | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| New York | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Philadelphia. | ${ }^{1} 6$ | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Cleveland... | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | $5 \frac{3}{4}$ | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | $5 \frac{3}{4}$ | 6 | 6 |
| Richmond. | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Atlanta... | ${ }^{1} 6$ | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Chicago.. | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| St. Louis. | ${ }^{2} 5$ | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Minneapolis. | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6 | 6 | $6 \frac{1}{2}$ | 7 | 7 |
| Kansas City. | 5 | 51 | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Dallas...... | ${ }^{2} 5 \frac{1}{2}$ | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| San Francisco. | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6 | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6 | 6 | 6 |

${ }^{1}$ Discount rate corresponds to interest rate borne by certificates pledged as collateral, with minimum of 5 per cent.
$2 \frac{1}{4}$ per cent on paper secured by $5 \frac{1}{4}$ per cent certificates, and 5 per cent on paper secured by $4 \frac{3}{4}$ and 5 per cent certificates.
Note.-Rates shown for Atlanta, St. Louis, Kansas City, and Dallas are normalrates, applying to discounts not in excess of basic lines fixed for each member bank by the Federal Reserve Bank. Rates on discounts in excess of the basic line are subject to a $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent progressive increasefor each 25 per cent by which the amount of accommodation extended exceeds the basic line.

## FOREIGN EXCHANGE RATES.

Monthly ranges of exchange rates on leading foreign centers, quoted in New York during the three months ending June, 1920.

|  | Exchange at par. | April. |  | May. |  | June. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Low. | High. | Low. | High. | Low. | High. |
| London:Demand bills................................................Cable transters.......... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4. 8665 | 3.8075 | 4.0175 | 3. 8075 | 3. 9150 | 3.8950 | 3. 9875 |
|  | 4.8665 | 3.8150 | 4.0250 | 3.8150 | 3.9225 | 3.9025 | 3.995 |
| Paris: ${ }_{\text {Demand bills.................dollars for } 100 \text { irancs. } . ~}^{\text {de }}$ | 19.30 | 5.8582 | 6.9348 | 5. 9808 | 7.950 | 7.570 | 8.390 |
| Cable transfers..................................do. | 19.30 | 5.8651 | 6.9444 | 5.988 | 7.960 | 7.580 | 8.40 |
| Berlin............................dollars for 100 marks.. | 23.82 | 1.42 | 1.95 | 1.74 | 2.94 | 2.23 | 2.75 |
| Milan: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 19.30 19.30 | 3.7425 3.7453 | 4.9140 4.9382 | 4.58 4.5875 | 6.04 6.05 | 5.40 | 6.21 |
| Prague.............................doliars for 100 kronen $\mathrm{i}_{.}$. | 20.26 | 1.35 | 1.71 | 1.58 | 2.81 | 2.17 | 2.50 |
| Vienna.............................................do. | 20.26 | . 42 | . 55 | . 46 | . 78 | . 67 | . 80 |
| Jugo-Slavia....................................... do.... | 20.26 | . 63 | . 80 | . 60 | . 75 | . 80 | 1.60 |
| Warsaw.......................... dollars for 100 marks $1 .$. | 23.82 | ${ }_{6} .50$ | ${ }^{.70}$ | . 46 | ${ }^{.55}$ | - 52 | ${ }^{6} .65$ |
| Brussels . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . dollars for 100 francs.. | 19.30 | 6. 2617 | 7.3855 | 6.3775 | 8.17 | 7. 93 | 8.74 |
| Madrid ........................ dollars for 100 pesetas.. | 19.30 | 16.95 | 17.90 | 16.40 | 16.97 | 16.25 | 16.70 |
| Helsingfors......................d.dilars for 100 markka ${ }^{\text {2 }}$. | 19.30 | 5.30 | 5.71 | 4.75 | 5.65 | 4.50 | 5.25 |
| Athens.........................dollars for 100 drachma.. | 19.30 | 10.95 | 11.3750 | 11.45 | 11. 65 | 11.45 | 12.90 |
| Sofia............................dollars for $100 \mathrm{lev}{ }^{1} .$. | 19.30 | 1.50 | 2.25 | 1.45 | 1.75 | 1.55 | 2. 10 |
| Bucharest................................ililars for dor 100 dinar $1 .$. | 19.30 19.30 | 1. 2.12 | 1.90 3.30 | 1.61 2.20 | 2.76 3.20 | 2. 10 | 2.50 6.45 |
| Amsterdam.........................dollars for 100 florins. | 40.20 | 36.25 | 37.50 | 36.25 | 36.70 | 35.45 | - $\begin{array}{r}\text { 6.45 } \\ 36.625\end{array}$ |
| Stockholm ......................... dollars for 100 kronor. | 26.80 | 21.05 | 22.20 | 20.75 | 21.65 | 21.45 | 22.00 |
| Copenhagen. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . dollars for 100 kroner. | 26.80 | 16. 92 | 18.80 | 16.27 | 17.05 | 16.33 | 17.15 |
| Christianıa............................................. | 26.80 | 19.10 | 20.40 | 17.92 | 19.20 | 16. 27 | 17.95 |
| Zurich ...........................didallars for 100 francs.. | 19.30 | 17. 6366 | 18.1159 | 17.52 | 17.90 | 17.95 | 18.25 |
| Canada ............... dollars for 100 Canadian dollars ${ }^{2} .$. | 100.00 | 90.00 | 92.25 | 89.00 | 91.625 | 87.125 | 89.125 |
| Mexico City ................... dollars for 100 pesos ${ }^{1}$. | 49.85 | 47.875 | 51.50 | 48.00 | 50.50 | 49.25 | 50.25 |
|  | 97.33 | 105.00 | 107.00 | 104.50 | 106. 50 | 106.50 | 109. 50 |
| Montevideo.......................centavos to dollar ${ }^{2}$.. | 96. 69 | 102.20 97 | ${ }_{98}^{99.30}$ | 102.50 | ${ }^{98.50}$ | 108.00 | 100.50 |
| Buenos Aires.................. dollars for 100 gold pesos.. | 96.48 | 97.39 | 98.30 | 96.48 | 97.16 | ${ }^{94.70}$ | 100.12 |
| Rio de Janeiro..............dollars for 100 paper milreis ${ }^{3} .$. | 54. 62 | 26.3750 | 27.25 | 26.00 | ${ }^{26.375}$ | ${ }^{23.625}$ | 26.00 |
| Valparaiso................. dollars for 100 paper pesos 4. . | 36. 50 | 19.75 | 22.00 | 18.875 | 20.25 | 17.85 | 19.625 |
| Yokohama.........................doliars for 100 yen .. | 49.85 | 47.50 | ${ }^{49.75}$ | 49.75 | 52.00 | 49.50 | 51.30 |
| Hongkong.............doilars for 100 Hongkong dollars.. |  | 92.00 125.00 | 97.50 148.00 | 80.00. 113.50 | 94.00 130.00 | 72.75 98.00 | 84.00 |
| Singapore.................dollars for 100 Singapore dollars.. | 56.78 | 125.00 46.00 | 148.00 49.00 | 113.50 46.00 | 130.00 46.50 | 98.00 46.50 | 116.00 47.50 |
| Calcutta.........................dollars for 100 rupees.. | 32.44 | 45.75 | 47.25 | 41.25 | 45.75 | 38.25 | 43.50 |
| $\$ 4.8665$ (dollars per fine ounce). <br> New York average price of silver (dollars per fine ounce).. |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.50994 \\ & 1.20576 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.31721 \\ & 1.03495 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
|  | ...... |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1.11934 \\ .92789 \end{array}$ |

## CONDITION OF MEMBER BANKS.

Abstract of condition reports of State bank and trust company members in each Federal Reserve district on May 4, 1920.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { District } \\ \text { No. } \\ (36 \\ \text { banks). } \end{array}\right\|$ | District No. (131 banks). | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { District } \\ N 0.3 \\ (39 \\ \text { banks). } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { District } \\ \text { No. } \\ \text { (0. } 10 . \\ \text { banks). } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { District } \\ \text { No. } 5 \\ \text { banks). } \\ \text { bais } \end{gathered}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { District } \\ \text { No. } 6 \\ (70 \\ \text { banks }) \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { District } \\ \text { No.7 } \\ \text { (335. } \\ \text { banks). } \end{gathered}$ | $\left[\begin{array}{c} \text { District } \\ \text { No. } \\ \text { (81 } \\ \text { banks). } \end{array}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { District } \\ \text { No. } \\ (95 \\ \text { banks). } \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { District } \\ \text { N. } 10 \\ \text { (56 } \\ \text { banks). } \end{gathered}\right.$ | District No. 11 (133 banks). | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { District } \\ \text { No. } 12 \\ \text { (174 } \\ \text { banks). } \end{gathered}\right.$ | Total <br> United States (1,306 banks). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| resources. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans and discounts | 431,977 | $\mid 2,113,319$ | $\begin{array}{r} 172,761 \\ 115 \end{array}$ | $\left.\|451,147\| \begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 487 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{gathered} 107,722 \\ 138 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 217,628 \\ 920 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 1,090,496 | $\begin{array}{r} 247,095 \\ 415 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 80,855 \\ 216 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 73,106 \\ 242 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 71,517 \\ 458 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 451,370 \\ 871 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,508,993 \\ 5,702 \end{array}$ |
| Overdrafts. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Customers' liability on account of letters of credit. |  | $1,617$ | 5 | 3 |  | ....... | 13 |  |  |  |  | 85 | 1,723 |
| Customers' liability on account of acceptances. | $\begin{aligned} & 24,923 \\ & 13,430 \end{aligned}$ | $131,845$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,375 \\ 14,067 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9,814 \\ 30,175 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,148 \\ 5,391 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7,105 \\ 14,035 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 11,354 \\ & 10,903 \end{aligned}$ | ...... | $137$ | 124 | $2,248$ | 230,015 |
| Liberty bonds (exclusive of Liberty bonds borrowed |  | 151,409 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3,360 |  | 4,210 |  | 339,777 |
| Other United States bonds (exclusive of United States bonds borrowed) | 119 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 80 | 11 |  |  |  |
| United States Victory notes | 6, 668 | $\begin{array}{r} 263 \\ 36,607 \end{array}$ | $3,879$ | $\begin{array}{r} 142 \\ 8,943 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20 \\ 1,464 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 121 \\ 2,370 \end{array}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{r} 9,564 \\ 30,331 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22 \\ 2,151 \end{array}$ | 514 | 1,399 | - ${ }^{26} 43$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,056 \\ & 8,696 \end{aligned}$ | 13,455 |
| United States certificates of indebtedness. | 18,917 | 115,324 | 16, |  | 972 | 5 | 42,724 | 7,806 | 1,775 | 1,313 | 3,322 | 19,512 | 249,296 |
| War savings and thrift stamps, and Treasury savings certitieates actually owned. |  |  |  | 38 |  | 69 |  |  | 52 | 4 | 108 |  |  |
| Stock of Federal Reserve Bank. | 1,969 | 10,522 | 2,131 | 3,161 | $\stackrel{31}{608}$ | 1,116 | 4,782 | 1,380 | 348 | 274 | 363 | 1,628 | 1,217 28,282 |
| Other bonds, stocks, etc. (exelusive of securities borrowed). | 96,150 | $\begin{gathered} 486,426 \\ 54,495 \end{gathered}$ | 99, 148 | $173,286$ | 13, 051 | $\begin{array}{r} 26,503 \\ 7,947 \end{array}$ | 234, 335 |  |  | 10,415 |  | 103,002 1, 292, 924 |  |
| Banking house................ | 9, 698 |  | 7, 644 | 1,330 | $\begin{array}{r} 2,558 \\ 258 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | 19, 693 | 6,748 | 1,524 | -749 | $1,271$ | 12,005 | 142,296 |
| Furniture and fixtures | 758 | 1,198 | ${ }_{5} 547$ |  |  | , 720 | 2,927 | 1,063 | 450 | 342 |  | 2,614 | 12,845 |
| Other real estate owned. | 850 |  | 2,30421,249 | 6,763 | 530 | 2,767 | 1,800 | 1,194 | 451 | 110 | 406 | 3,236 | 26,859 |
| Lawful reserve with Fede serve Bank | 42, 210 | 304, 628 |  | $\left.\begin{gathered} 37,836 \\ \hline \end{gathered} 181 \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,745 \\ & 93 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $10,267$ | $\begin{gathered} 95,449 \\ 2,719 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23,170 \\ \hline 358 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,734 \\ & 4, \\ & 168 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6,353 \\ \mathbf{3} 56 \end{gathered}$ | $5,809$ | $31,979$ | $\begin{array}{r} 599,429 \\ 17,426 \end{array}$ |
| Gold coin and certificat | 1,81 | 9, 05 | 4,801 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All other cash in vault. | 15,333 | 46,537 |  | 14,040 | 3,171 | 6,622 | 36,200 | 5,274 | 2,070 | 1,664 | 2,298 | 10,030 | 148,040 |
| Items with Federal Reserve |  | 54,341 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 125,337 |
| Dank in process of collection.- | 13, 954 |  | 5,28814,184 | $\begin{array}{r} 6,066 \\ 32,568 \end{array}$ | 2,189 | 7,458 | 17,33581,743 | $25,290$ | 49810,063 | 2,712 | ${ }^{682}$ | $4,404$ |  |
| trust companies. | 26, 185 | 120,217 |  |  | 14, 640 | 30, 531 |  |  |  | 14, 263 | 12,587 |  | 436, 855 |
| Exchanges for clearing house, also checks on other banks in | 12.307 |  |  | $32,568$ |  |  | 43 | , | 641 | 14, 20 |  | , |  |
| Outside cheeks and other cas |  | 170,316 | $2,672$ | $6,839$ | $1,129$ | 7,235 | 29,342 | 4,768 |  | 2,166 | 626 | 8,471 | 246,512 |
| items .................. | 1,990 | $\begin{array}{r} 8,990 \\ 12,043 \\ 102,551 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|r\|r} 313 \\ 3 \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{r} 720 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,449 \\ 799 \\ 3,050 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 277 \\ 77 \\ 280 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 562 \\ & 731 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 501 \\ & 143 \\ & 118 \end{aligned}$ |  | 4,451 | 37,916 |
| Approximate interest earn but not collected |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,518 | 20,406 |
| Other assets.... | 4,398 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,384 | 129, 439 |
| Total. | 724,452 | 3, 339,465 | 372, 287 | 819, 237 | 163, 821 | 372,497 | 1,818,279 | 404,602 | 116, 698 | 122, 805 | 107, 320 | 756, 874 | 9,718,337 |
| liabilities. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital stock pai | 31,856 | 166,447 | 23,775 | 39,380 | 13,034 | 23,572 | 91,434 | 26,235 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surplus fund. | 35,917 | 185, 085 | 47,321 | 67,622 | 9,044 | 14,990 | 78,476 | 20, 491 | 2,974 | 2,809 | 3,942 | 18, 169 | 486, 840 |
| Undivided profits, less expense and taxes paid | 12,59 | 62,46 | 9,025 | 15,801 | 3,217 | 3,86 | 23,100 | 6,021 | 404 | 274 | 1,699 | 10,61 | 151,142 |
| Approximate interest and dis- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| count collected but not earned. | 1,744 | 88 |  | 872 | 338 | 876 | 2,703 | 1,131 |  | 62 | 143 | 1,546 | 17,746 |
| accrued...................... | 1,229 | 10,113 | 615 | 1,421 | 176 | 693 | 3, 273 | 612 | 97 | 51 | 50 | 433 | 18,863 |
| Amount reserved for interest accrued. |  | 9,830 | 654 | 012 | 372 | 646. | 3,226 | 472 | 116 | 186 | 41 | 073 |  |
| Due to Federal Reserve Bank. | 1865 | 143 | 35 | ${ }^{1,537}$ | 234 | 103 | ${ }^{427}$ |  |  |  | 1 |  | 2,508 |
| Due to banks, bankers, and trust companies. | 21,579 | 321, 397 |  | 19,362 | 14,268 | 43, 27 | 86, 15 | 33, | 11,411 | 15,127 | 7,47 | 21,904 | 604,365 |
| Certified and cashiers' or treasurers' checks outstanding |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Demand deposits...... | 445,982 | 2, 340,055 | 211, 322 | 296, $83{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 63,569 | 159, 212 | 659, 272 | 169, 221 | 35, 542 | 59,181 | 65,957 | 207, 310 | 4,713,460 |
| Time deposits | 121, 162 | 349, 528 | 38, 624 | 337,624 | 43,900 | 90, 347 | 747, 276 | 102,541 | 47, 524 | 26,066 | 12,428 | 420, 615 | 2,337, 635 |
| United States deposits | 4,064 | 58,189 | 2,638 | 2,379 | ${ }^{463}$ | 2,647 | 3,4 | 1,1 | 促 | 150 | 440 | 920 | 76,521 |
| Bills payable with Federal serve Bank. | 10,005 | 114, 271 | 25,395 | 17,027 | 7,370 | 18,000 | 59, 352 | 16,45 | 1,862 | 5,918 | 3,03 | 15, 407 | 294,097 |
| Bills payable other than with Federal Reserve Bank | 38. | 3,42 | 707 | 1,134 | 292 | 2,987 | 2,43 | 6,920 | 701 | 461 | 718 | 4,049 | 35,216 |
| Cash, letters of credit. and travelers' checks eutstanding |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 28 | 1,78 | 165 |  |
| Acceptances | 26,428 | 135,847 | 1,375 | 9,813 | 2,148 | 7,338 | 38,64 | 11,329 |  | 137 | 124 | 2,240 | 235, 422 |
| Other liabilit |  | 37,510 |  | 2,260 | 22 | 426 | 2,08 | 5,308 | . 246 | 5 | - | I, 924 | 51,284 |
| Total | 724, 45 | 3, 339,465 | 372, 28: | 819, 237 | 163, 821 | 372,497 | 1,818, 279 | 404,602 | 116,698 | 122,805 | 107, 320 | 756,874 | 9,718,337 |
| Liability for rediscounts with Federal Reserve Bank. | 33,88! |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5,259 |  |  |  |
| Liability for rediscounts with other banks. |  |  |  | 158 | 636 | 1,407 | 1,574 | 2,669 | 348 | 861 | 271 | 2,782 | 10,765 |

Abstract of condition reports of State bank and trust company members of the Federal Reserve system on May 4, 1920, arranged by classes.
[In thousands of dollars.]

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} \& \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Central reserve city banks.} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Other reserve city (177 banks).} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Country } \\
\text { banks } \\
\text { (1,069 } \\
\text { banks). }
\end{gathered}
\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Total \\
United \\
States \\
(1,306 \\
banks) \\
\(\mathrm{May}_{1920}{ }^{4}\)
\end{tabular}} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Total } \\
\text { United } \\
\text { States } \\
\text { (1,181 } \\
\text { banks) } \\
\text { Dec. 31, } \\
\text { 1919. }
\end{gathered}
\]} \\
\hline \& New York \& Chicago (13 banks) \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { St. Louis } \\
\& \text { (15 banks). }
\end{aligned}
\] \& Total ( 60 banks) \& \& \& \& \\
\hline sources. \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline Loans and discounts. \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\(1,660,615\)
670
180} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{420,917} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{124,796} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{2,215, 328} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\(1,933,455\)
2,542} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
1,360,210 \\
2,176
\end{array}
\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\(5,508,993\)
5,702} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{5,249, 833} \\
\hline Overdrafts. \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline Customers' liability on account of letters of credit \& \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{36,971} \& \& 1,629 \& \& \& 1,723 \& 1,016 \\
\hline Customers' liability on account of acceptances....... \& 126,796 \& \& 9,964
4,108 \& 173,731 \& 50,121 \& 6,163 \& 230,015 \& 231,019
335,752 \\
\hline Liberty bonds (exclusive of liberty bonds borrowed).-
Other United States bonds (exclusive of U. S. bonds \& 113,722 \& \& 4,108 \& 124;432 \& 116,094 \& 99,251 \& 339,777 \& 335,752 \\
\hline borrowed). \& 151 \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\(\stackrel{9,242}{4,442}\)} \& \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{9,359
28,197} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
3.522 \\
43,292
\end{array}
\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{[ \(\begin{array}{r}\text { 574 } \\ 32,174\end{array}\)} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{13,455
103,663} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{9,851
131,057} \\
\hline United States Victory not \& 23,387 \& \& 388 \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline United States certificates of indebtedness. \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{95,819
42
8,231} \& 5,092 \& 6,054 \& 106,965 \& 106,241 \& 36,020 \& 249,226 \& 307,026 \\
\hline War savings and thrift stamps and Treasury savings certificates actually owned.. \& \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{28
2,303} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{3
820} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
73 \\
11,354
\end{array}
\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
386 \\
10,614
\end{array}
\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
758 \\
6,314
\end{array}
\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1,217
28,282} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1,387
\(\mathbf{2 5 , 8 5 0}\)} \\
\hline Stock of Federal Reserve Bank...... \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline Other banks, stocks, etc. (exclusive of securities borrowed) \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
304,711 \\
40,543
\end{array}
\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{76,190
2,799} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{29,110
2,554} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{410,011} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{557,361
59,100
5} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{325,552
37,300} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1,292,924} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1,300,952} \\
\hline Banking house \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline Furniture and fixtures \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{4,
4,136
4.130} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\(\begin{array}{r}104 \\ 91 \\ \hline 1\end{array}\)} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
2,004 \\
642 \\
186
\end{array}
\]} \& 45,882 \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
5,140 \\
5,140 \\
16,758
\end{array}
\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
6,823 \\
5 \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{12,845
26,859} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{11,164
25,748} \\
\hline Other real estate owned \& \& \& \& 4,407 \& \& \& \& \\
\hline Lawful reserve with Federa \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
25 ; 956 \\
8,224
\end{array}
\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{47,969} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{14,591} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\(\begin{array}{r}319,516 \\ 9,931 \\ \hline 9.6\end{array}\)} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
185,982 \\
3,422
\end{array}
\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
93,91 \\
4,073
\end{gathered}
\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
599,429 \\
17,426
\end{array}
\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{591,702
17,692} \\
\hline Gold coin and certificates \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline All other cash in vault.. \& 31,429 \& 9,954 \& 2,269 \& 43,652. \& 55,533 \& 48,855 \& 148,040 \& 165,756 \\
\hline Items with Federal Reserve Bank in process of collection. \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{38,179
89,927} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
9,657 \\
26,192
\end{array}
\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
5,493 \\
-6,706 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
53,329 \\
122,825
\end{array}
\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
56,683 \\
181,316
\end{array}
\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
15,325 \\
132,714
\end{array}
\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
125,337 \\
436,855
\end{array}
\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
\& 122,640 \\
\& 676,704
\end{aligned}
\]} \\
\hline Duefrom banks, bankers, and trust companies. \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline Exchanges for clearing house, also checks on other banks in same place. \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
162,013 \\
7,020 \\
9,686 \\
100,158
\end{array}
\]} \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
15,439 \\
8,721 \\
9,675 \\
9,685
\end{array}
\]} \& \multirow[b]{4}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
2,706 \\
614 \\
463 \\
\hline 171
\end{array}
\]} \& \multirow[b]{4}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
180,158 \\
16,355 \\
11,126 \\
110,014
\end{array}
\]} \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
53,023 \\
14,390 \\
5,907 \\
13,108
\end{gathered}
\]} \& \multirow[b]{4}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
\mathbf{1 3 , 3 3 1}, \\
7,171 \\
3,373 \\
6,317
\end{array}
\]} \& \multirow[b]{4}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
246,512 \\
37,916 \\
20,406 \\
129,439
\end{array}
\]} \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
446,317 \\
64,653 \\
24,257 \\
35,595
\end{array}
\]} \\
\hline Outside checks and other cash items. \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline Approximate interest earned \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline Other assets \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline Total. \& 3,084, 162 \& 704,211 \& 211,781 \& 4,000,154 \& 3,474,075 \& 2,244,108 \& 9,718,337 \& 9,913,707 \\
\hline liabluties. \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline Capital stock paid in. \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
121,720 \\
154,109 \\
47,202
\end{array}
\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{35,250
42,000} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{12,850
14,555} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{169,820
210,664} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{173,315
195,535} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{138,401
80,623} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{481,536
486,840} \& \\
\hline Surplus fund. \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
\& 430,024 \\
\& 454,877 \\
\& 115,300
\end{aligned}
\]} \\
\hline Undivided profits, less expenses and taxes paid \& \& 9,295 \& 3,454 \& 59,951 \& 52,241 \& 38,950 \& 151,142 \& \\
\hline Approximate interest and discount collected b earned. \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
\& 6,321 \\
\& 8,964 \\
\& 7,020
\end{aligned}
\]} \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
\& 1,949 \\
\& 2,905 \\
\& 1,359 \\
\& 413
\end{aligned}
\]} \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{742
325
285
3} \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
9,012 \\
11,54 \\
8,664 \\
416
\end{array}
\]} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
\& 6,204 \\
\& 5,177 \\
\& 6,349
\end{aligned}
\]} \& \& \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{15,803
15,681} \\
\hline Amount reserved for taxes accrued \& \& \& \& \& \& 2,530
2,092 \& 17,786
18,863 \& \\
\hline Amount reserved for interest a \& \& \& \& \& \& 4,630 \& \& 10,592 \\
\hline Due to Federal Reserve Bank \& \& \& \& \& 522 \& 1,570 \& 2,508 \& 1,324 \\
\hline Due to banks, bankers, and trust companies.........- \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
292,485
\]} \& 49,340 \& 9,884 \& 351,709 \& 182,413 \& 70, 243 \& 604, 365 \& 755,303 \\
\hline Certified and cashiers' or treasurers' checks outstanding \& \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\(\begin{array}{r}7,683 \\ 309,729 \\ \hline\end{array}\)} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1,176

93,231
54,27} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{2, 271,8175} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{35,517
$1,436,089$
1} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{$\begin{array}{r}15,353 \\ 1,005,896 \\ \hline\end{array}$} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{182,685
$4,713,460$} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{$\begin{array}{r}303,064 \\ 4,834,830 \\ \hline\end{array}$} <br>
\hline Demand deposits.. \& 1,868, $\begin{array}{r}12256 \\ \hline 145 \\ \hline\end{array}$ \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>

\hline Time deposits...... \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{$$
\begin{array}{r}
143,094 \\
55,694 \\
79,852
\end{array}
$$} \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 191,842 \\
& 775
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 54,527 \\
& 10,697 \\
& 10,53
\end{aligned}
$$

\]} \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
389,463 \\
57,166
\end{array}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
1,156,058 \\
13,783
\end{array}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
792,114 \\
5,572
\end{array}
$$

\] \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
2,331,635 \\
76,521
\end{array}
$$

\]} \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
2,165,786 \\
201,710
\end{array}
$$
\] <br>

\hline Bills payable with Federal Reserve Bank \& \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{14,120} \& \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{$$
\begin{array}{r}
103,975 \\
300
\end{array}
$$} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{$\begin{array}{r}135,779 \\ 11,756 \\ \hline 1\end{array}$} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{54,

23,160
16} \& \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{309,197
14,311
8,087} <br>
\hline Bills payable other than with Federal Reserve Bank \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} \& \& \& \& \& \& $\begin{array}{r}294,097 \\ 35 \\ \hline\end{array}$ \& <br>
\hline Cash letters of credit and travelers' checks outstanding. \& \& 73 \& \& 8,988 \& \& \& \& <br>

\hline Acceptances. \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{$$
\begin{array}{r}
130,798 \\
36,227
\end{array}
$$} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
36,696 \\
1,382
\end{array}
$$
\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{9,944

95} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{$$
\begin{array}{r}
177,438 \\
37,704
\end{array}
$$} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 51,853 \\
& 11,094 \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 6,131 \\
& 2,486
\end{aligned}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
235,422 \\
51,284
\end{array}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{gathered}
23,379 \\
38,139
\end{gathered}
$$
\]} <br>

\hline Other liabilities \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline Total \& 3,084,162 \& 704,211 \& 211,781 \& 4,000,154 \& 3,474,075 \& 2,244,108 \& 9,718, 337 \& 9,913,707 <br>
\hline Liability for rediscounts with Federal Reserve Bank.. \& 83,088 \& 58,005 \& 12,395 \& 153, 488 \& 136,739
4,150 \& ${ }^{35,881}$ \& 326, 108 \& 318,261 <br>

\hline | Liability for rediscounts with other banks. |
| :--- |
| Ratio of reserve with Federal Reserve Bank to net deposit liability (per cent) | \& 12.5 \& 12.9 \& 13.7 \& 12.6 \& 4,150

10.3 \& 6,615 \& 10,765 \& 8,028 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

Classification of loans and discounts of State bank and trust company members of the Federal Reserve System, as shown oy their condition reports for May 4, 1920.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | District No. 1 (36 banks). | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { District } \\ \text { No.2 } \\ \text { (131 } \\ \text { banks). } \end{gathered}\right.$ |  | District No.4 (105 banks). | District <br> No. 5 <br> (51 <br> banks) | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { District } \\ \text { Na. } 6 \\ \text { (70 } \\ \text { banks). } \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { District } \\ \text { No. } \\ (335 \\ \text { banks }) . \end{gathered}$ | District No. 8 (81 banks) |  | District <br> No. 10 <br> (56 <br> banks) | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { District } \\ \text { No. } 11 \\ \text { (133 } \\ \text { banks). } \end{gathered}\right.$ |  | Total <br> United States (1,306 banks). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| On demand: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not secured by collateral.... | 26, 835 | 56,511 | 5,571 | 21,807 | 2,756 | 8,219 | 32, 875 | 10, 708 | 4,247 | 3,602 | 3,926 | 17,896 | 194, 953 |
| Secured by Government |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secured by other collateral.. | 2,214 70,610 | 593, 132 | 5,249 82,436 | 94,984 | 14, 888 | 50,368 | 153,574 | 2,419, | 314 3,779 | 7,440 | 908 7,092 | 21,987 | 70,399 138,483 |
| On time: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not secured by collateral.... | 227, 030 | 908, 454 | 41, 177 | 134, 433 | 51,956 | 98, 563 | 500,918 | 111, 021 | 35,692 | 30, 406 | 20, 212 | 157, 419 | 2, 317, 281 |
| Secured by Government war obligations. | 29,363 | 201,592 | 23,478 | 21,047 | 5,624 | 8,548 | 34,517 | 8,857 | 1,235 | 1,971 | 948 | 8,658 | 345,838 |
| Secured by other collateral.. | 62,040 | 363,367 | 23,172 | 72,453 | 28,665 | 70,358 | 203, 562 | 77, 199 | 22,599 | 26, 815 . | 34, 892 | 66, 241 | 1,051,303 |
| Secured by real estate mortgages or other real estate liens or deeds. | 43, 601 | 54,647 | 7,036 | 109, 713 | 7,696 | 12,106 | 210,575 | 30,888 | 16,421 | 8,844 | 4,309 | 180,949 | 686,785 |
| Acceptances of other banks discounted | 43, |  | 7,030 500 | 109,7 1,58 | 7,056 176 | 12,106 | 210,57 23,359 | 3,888 3,977 | 16,421 196 | 8,844 | 4,309 151 | 11,423 | 686,785 123,746 |
| Acceptances of this bank purchased or discounted. | 2,674 | 15,085 | 658 | 1,149 | 297 | 1,891 | 1,116 | 513 |  |  |  | 1,271 | 24, 654 |
| Loans and discounts not classified. |  |  |  |  | 46 | 24 | 12,861 |  |  |  | 1,775 |  | 14,706 |
| Total loans and discounts. | 468, 552 | 2,306, 893 | 189, 277 | 464, 272 | 112,566 | 253, 621 | 1,184, 169 | 284, 601 | 84,383 | 79, 226 | 74, 213 | 466, 435 | 5,968, 208 |

Abstract of condition reports of all member banks in each Federal Reserve district on May 4, 1920 (including 7,985 national banks and 1,306 State banks and trust companies).
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | District No.1 (431 banks). | $\begin{gathered} \text { District } \\ \text { No.2 } \\ \text { (769 } \\ \text { banks). } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { District } \\ \text { No. }{ }^{3}(687 \\ \text { banks). } \end{gathered}$ | District No. 4 $(857$ banks). | District No. 5 (594 banks). | $\begin{gathered} \text { District } \\ \text { No. } 6 \\ \text { banks } 4 . \end{gathered}$ | District No. $(1,38$ banks). and | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { District } \\ \text { No. } \\ (557 \\ \text { banks). } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { District } \\ \text { No. } 9 \\ \text { (9anks). } \\ \text { banks } \end{gathered}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { District } \\ \text { No. } 10 \\ \text { (1,057 } \\ \text { banks). } \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { District } \\ \text { No. } 11 \\ \text { ( } 181 \\ \text { banks). } \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { District } \\ & \text { No. } 12 \\ & \text { (774 } \\ & \text { banks). } \end{aligned}$ | Total <br> States (9,291 banks) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| resources. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| oans and disco | 1,270,347 | 5,236,058 | 1,017,055 | 1,509,605 | 837, 221 | 678,966 | 2,769,423 | 740,197 | 733,936 | 958,710 | 666, 140 | ,376,50 | 164 |
| Overdrafts.: |  | 1,682 | 319 | 1,296 | 1,235 | 1,799 | .3,135 | 1,400 | 1,581 | 3,188 | 2,486 | 3,390 | 22,080 |
| Customers' liability on account of letters of credit | 74 | $6,097$ | 28 |  | 32 |  |  |  |  | 123 | 10 | 188 | 7,482 |
| Customers' liability on account acceptances. $\qquad$ | 90,32 | 317,257 | 25, | 30,18 | 4 | 13,998 | 83,736 | 19,497 | 10,258 | 20 | 6,707 | 37,814 | 5 |
| United States Government securities owned 1 . | 173,213 | 844, | 272,122 | 298,051 | 174,842 | 142, 33 | 422,767 |  | 96, | 138,671 | 140,349 | 253,028 | 56 |
| Stock of Federal Reserve B | 7,289 | 24,506 | 8,201 | 9,944 | 4,768 | 3,697 | 12,750 | 4,158 | 3,243 | 4,223 | 3,626 | 6,030 | 92,435 |
| Other bonds, stocks, and securities ${ }^{2}$. | 246, 127 | 1,00 | 392,425 | 448,510 | 94,710 | 64,640 | 421,497 | 110,227 | 67,8 | 82,151 | 21,644 | 216, 412 | 3,175, 951 |
| Banking hou |  | 101, | 33,361 | 59,160 | 28,328 | 21,082 | 58, 206 | 19,030 |  |  | 20, 588 | 39,375 | 453,922 |
| Furniture and | 2, 551 | 4,963 | 3,864 | 4,917 | ${ }^{3,307}$ | 3,488 | 8,049 | 3,097 | 3,70 | 4,544 | 4, 549 | 8,777 | 55, 808 |
| Other real estate owned | 2,735 | 10,365 | 5,476 | 13,246 | 3,170 | 5,271 | 6,456 | 3,863 | 4,125 | 2,811 | 3,774 | 9,527 | 70,819 |
| serve Bank... | 120, | 726,5 | 105,637 | 142,329 | 64,400 | 59,629 | 264,709 | 71,609 | 50,734 | 83,293 | 57,861 | 118,802 | 1,865,638 |
| Cash in vault. | 52,563 | 154,665 | 45,020 | 65,850 | 34,362 | 24,593 | 106,662 | 21,395 | 20,639 | 29,397 | 23,357 | 42,394 | 620,897 |
| Items with Federal Reserve Bank in process of collection. | 47,507 | 151, | 56 | 95 | 32,7 | 20, | 67, | 41, | 892 | 46,550 | 30,626 | 22,495 | 580,063 |
| Due from banks, bankers, and trust companjes. | 101,312 | 219,072 | 89,411 | 154, 259 | $\cdot 102,801$ | 116,577 | 325, 384 | 89,258 | 106,865 | 226,415 | 122,447 | 220,372 | 1,874,173 |
| Exchanges for clearing house, also checks on other banks in same place | 35,302 | 546,629 | 39,621 | 28,045 | 16,8 | 15,814 | 80,149 | 14, | 12,707 | 26, | 253 | 42,714 | 67,427 |
| Ontside checks and other cash items....................... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Due from United States Treasurer | 2,711 | 5,742 | 3,138 | 4,802 | 2,964 | 2,000 | 4,731 | 2,0 | , | 2,481 | 2,480 | 3,273 |  |
| Approximate interest earn |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other asset | 15,564 | 246, 464 | 9,452 | 4,681 | 846 | 1,116 | 22,695 | 1,223 | 1,296 | 394 | 922 | 19,246 | 323,899 |
| Total | 2,210,484 | 9,652,781 | 2,113,863 | 2,838,380 | 1,425,701 | 1,182,799 | 4,690,306 | 1,273,348 | 1,155,296 | 1,643,823 | 1,124,552 | 2,437,240 | 31,748,572 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital stock p | 133,617 | 382, 907 | 108,913 | 165, 205 | 95, 213 | 75,568 | 256,699 | 90,546 | 71,627 | 93,414 | 78, 131 | 143,719 | 1,699,555 |
| Surplus fund......... | 119,758 | 435, 263 | 167,9 | 169, 752 | 66,572 | 50, 205 | 183,672 | 51,083 | 37,760 | 49,963 | 44,774 | 70,170 | 1,446,915 |
| Undivided profits, less expenses and taxes paid. | 50,725 | 192, 918 | 43,006 | 64,159 | 24,599 | 318 | 67,925 | ,323 | 18,672 | 24,62 | 22,327 | 41,097 | 588,697 |
| Approximate interest and discount collected but not earned. |  |  |  |  | 5,221 |  |  |  | 2,926 |  | 3,977 | 3,838 | 88,786 |
| Amount reserved for taxes ac- |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8,423 |  |  |  | 1,428 | 1,843 |  |
| Amount reserved for interest |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Due to Federal Reserve Bank |  |  | ,280 | 16 |  | 1,530 |  | 1,101 | 1,493 | 95 |  | 755 |  |
| Due to Federal Reserve Bank | 2,576 | 5,151 | 1,032 | 1,882 | 4,944 | 1,431 | 1,898 |  |  |  | 2,205 |  | 21, |
| Due to banks, bankers, and trust companies............... | 14 | 877 | 17 | 229,692 | 135, 447 | 119, | 543 | 157,743 | 131, 283 | 281, 917 | 114,911 | 205,164 | 3, 524,359 |
| Certified and cashiers urers' checks outstan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23,046 | 13, |  | 518,517 |
| Demand deposi | ,207,9 | 4,944,769 | 979,5141 | 1,235,587 | 573, 915 | 528, 355 | 1,922,979 | 560, 29 | 418,0 | 790, 449 | 632,43 |  | 14, 833, 215 |
| Time deposits. | 314,209 | 861, 105 | 366, 208 | 719, 234 | 324, 227 | 248, 251 | ,267,391 | 233,774 | 373, 348 | 243,85 | 92,688 | 703,244 | $5,747,532$ |
| United States deposits. | 16,649 | 99, 821 | 9,583 | 8,795 | 7,864 | 6,420 | 11,097 | 4,989 | 3,996 | 5,712 | 4,618 | 10,624 | 190, 168 |
| serve Bank. | 39,649 | 503,688 | 144, 208 | 61,868 | 72,915 | 55,423 | 166, 174 | 49,018 | 20,239 | 38,347 | 44, 451 | 50,741 | 1,246,721 |
| Bills payable other than Federal Reserve Bank. | 3,691 |  | 337 | 7,5 | 15, 410 | 7,888 |  | ,233 | ,79 | 22,345 | 9,664 | 14, 250 | 497 |
| Cash letters of credit and tr ers' checks outstanding... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acceptance | 93,289 | 328, 6 | 26,546 | 30, 352 | 17,832 | 14,381 | 83,8 | 19,517 | 10,303 | 2,825 | ${ }_{6,920}$ | 39,345 | 673, 852 |
| National bank notes outstanding. | 48, 073 | 85, 554 | 54, 87 | 89,512 | 56,045 | 39, 262 | 82, 276 | 41,497 | 31, 283 | 49,307 | 45,465 | 64,780 | 687,931 |
| United States bonds borrowed.. | 3,996 | 35, 464 | 11,741 | 19,217 | 8,117 | 3,173 | 13,006 | 10, 196 | 2,819 | , 168 | 4,231 | 3,015 | 123, 143 |
| rowed. Other liabilities. | $\begin{aligned} & 178 \\ & 932 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5,462 \\ 50,785 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \\ 2,096 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 522 \\ 3,392 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35 \\ 3,935 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 223 \\ & 959 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 279 \\ 6,923 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 137 \\ \mathbf{6}, 423 \end{array}$ | 447 | 850 708 | $\begin{array}{r} 471 \\ 1,653 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 944 \\ 5,692 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,119 \\ 83,945 \end{array}$ |
| Total | 2,210,484 | 9,652,780 | 2,113,863 | 2,833,380 | 1,425,701 | 1,182,7 | 4,690,306 | 1,273,34 | ,155,2961, | 1,643,823 | 1,124,552 | 2,437,240 | 748,5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ing those with Federal Reserve Bank ${ }^{3}$. | 115,567 | 386,769 | 111,684 | 76,576 | 59,158 | 65,990 | 306, 928 | 114, 663 | 75,974. | 99, 923 | 37,717 | 100,098 | 1,551,047 |

${ }_{2}$ Includes United States Government securities borrowed by national banks.
2 Includes other bonds and securities borrowed by national banks.
Includes acceptances of other banks and foreign bills of exchange sold with indorsement or other guaranty by national banks.

Abstract of condition reports of all member banks of the Federal Reserve System on May 4, 1920, arranged by classes (including 7,985 national banks and 1,306 State banks and trust companies).
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

1 Includes United States Government securities borrowed by national banks.
${ }^{2}$ IIncludes other bonds and securities borrowed by national banks.
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The branches at Helena, Mont., and Oklahoma City, Okla., have been authorized by the Federal Reserve Board but are not yet open for business.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Exclusive of rediscounts with Federal Reserve Banks.

[^1]:    Approximate.
    ${ }^{2}$ There was also $\$ 25,000,000$ (of a total issue of $\$ 40,000,000$ ) United States of Mexico 4 per cent bonds due 1054 , offered for subscription in 1904 . No figures showing amount placed here are available.
    ${ }^{3}$ There ware two other Cuban Government loans- $\$ 35,000,000$ gold fives, dated March, 1904, and due Mar. 1, 1944, and $\$ 16,500,000$ external gold four-and-a-halfs, dated Aug. 2, 1909, and due Aug. 1, 1949-placed partly in this country and partly abroad, but the amounts placed here are unavailable.

    4 Estimated.
    5 Through the efforts of Chandler \& Co. the majority of these notes were extended to Apr. 1, 1918, the balance being paid off at Central Trust Co. of New York. Approximately $\$ 2,000,000$ of those extended are still outstanding in the United States, according to Chandler \& Co.
    ${ }^{6}$ Latest figures available on bonds originally placed here. A considerable amount of the portion of these securities originally sold abroad has been brought into our markets recently, but exact figures are unobtainable.

    7 All interest in default since 1914.
    ${ }^{8}$ Principal in default.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ This article reads: "The Government is authorized to enforce the taxation laws on foreign conterns operating in Spain in those cases where the countries of origin of such concerns burden Spanish companies with branches in their respective countries in an unfavorable manner with respect to that which has been established in this article."

[^3]:    Erratum--On page sot of the May issue of the Federal Reserve BULLETIN, the statement that "Improvement to the extent of $17,000,000$ francs was likewise made in the gold reserve between the 1st of January and the middle of April" should read "Improvement to the extent o 7,000,000 francs.'

[^4]:    Beginning with Sept. 12, 1916, notes and bills rediscounted are not included among loans and discounts, as was the previous practice.
    ${ }_{2}$ Exelusive of fixed instruments, i.e., banking house, real estate, furniture and fixtures, also stock of Federal Reserve Banks.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes U. S. Government securities horrowed by national hanks.
    2 Includes other bonds and securities borrowed by national banks.

[^6]:    Note.-Figures for the following centers, while shown in the body of tho statement, are not included in the recapitulation, complete data for these centers not being available for each week under review: Manchester, N. H.; Portland, Me.; Atchison, Kans.; Cheyenne, Wyo.; San Antonio,

[^7]:    ${ }^{\prime}$ Includes $\$ 1,000$ municipal warrants

[^8]:    ${ }_{2}$ Includes 6,662 items, amounting to $\$ 2,021,000$ forwarded direct to member banks in other Federal Reserve districts. ${ }^{2}$ Includes 5,926 items, amounting to $\$ 1,741,000$ forwarded direct to member banks in other Federal Reserve districts.
    ${ }_{4}$ Includes 6,475 items, amounting to $\$ 1,869,000$ forwarded direct to member banks in other Federal Reserve districts.
    ${ }_{5}^{4}$ Includes 5,695 items, amounting to $\$ 1,826,000$ forwarded direct to member banks in other Federal Reserve districts.
    6 Includes 4,264 items, amounting to $\$ 3,747,000$ forwarded direct to member banks in orther Federal Reserve districts.

[^9]:    

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes: Ore and base bullion, $\$ 9,265,000$; United States mint or assay office bars, $\$ 1,000$; bullion refined, $\$ 58,563,000$; United States coin, $\$ 1,836,000$, forelgn coin, $\$ 39,938,000$.
    ${ }^{2}$ Includes: Domestic exports-ore and bas
    Excess of gold exports over imports since Jan. 1, $\mathbf{1 9 2 0} \mathbf{, ~ \$ 8 2 , 6 8 8 , 0 0 0 . ~ E x c e s s ~ o f ~ g o l d ~ i m p o r t s ~ o v e r ~ e x p o r t s , ~ s i n c e ~ A u g . ~ 1 , ~ 1 9 1 4 , ~} \$ 697,067,000$. Excese of gold exports over imports since June $10,1919, \$ 403,952,000$.

[^11]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes reserve funds held against issues of United States notes and Treasury notes of 1890 and redemption funds held against issues of national bank notes, Federal Reserve notes, and Federal Reserve Bank notes, but excludes gold and silver coin and bullion held in trust for the redemption of outstanding gold and silver certificates and Treasury notes of 1890 .
    ${ }^{2}$ Includes balances in gold settlement fund standing to the credit of the Federal Reserve Banks and agents.

    - Includes Treasury notes of 1890 .

