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## REVIEW OF THE MONTH.

New factors in the process of economic readjustment have made their New factors in appearance during the past readjustment. month. Some have a favorable bearing upon the business situation, while in the case of others results are doubtful and must be awaited before positive conclusions are reached. Especially likely to contribute to the furtherance of progress toward normal business couditions are the current wage readjustments, both actual and prospective. Notable among such adjustments may be mentioned the 20 per cent reduction which has occurred in the wages of employees of the United States Steel Corporation (following close upon an earlier revision of the prices charged by that concern), and the semiofficial announcement that the Railway Labor Board is prepared to put into effect a revised scale of transportation wages. There has been evident in a variety of industries a marked tendency toward friendly rearrangement of wage relationships, sometimes preceding and sometimes following price revisions. Prices themselves have tended to settle to a somewhat lower basis and the various index numbers have tended to move rather more closely in harmony, thus indicating that the readjustment period is nearer completion. Unfavorable factors tending to retard the restoration of settled conditions have been seen in the decline of export trade and the apparent loss of ground in some competitive markets, or in markets which have been unfavorably affected by our failure to furnish adequate financial facilities. The inability to bring about faster movement of agricultural products from farm to market, and especially to foreign markets, has also continued to work against improvement of conditions in the farming States, where, however, prospects for the current crop season are gen-
erally favorable. Banking advances inevitably continue in those regions to be "frozen." Taking the banking situation as a whole, however, there has been a decided progress toward more liquid conditions, illustrated by the growth of the ratio of Federal Reserve Banks themselves to a figure of 57.6 per cent on the final report date of the month (May 25).

It is a notable fact that while foreign prospects are unsatisfactory, dumestic factors in the present situation have shown at all events a capacity for improvement. This situation is apparently misunderstood by some who are disposed to believe that economic conditions at home can be restored to a satisfactory basis even though the forcign situation continues unsettled. The fact in the case is that our foreign trade is now also a domestic trade factor of primary importance. Due to the great expansion of our manufacturing power during the war it is essential to control a reasonable amount of foreign trade if we expect to keep our present agricultural lands, or our manufacturing investment, employed. This is equivalent to saying that we can not reasonably expect a complete recovery in domestic trade without having a somewhat corresponding advance in foreign business. While it is true that our home trade is both larger in volume and in value than the foreign business in most commodities, it is true that a small shortage or surplus of a given kind of goods produces an important and far more than proportional effect on prices. Foreign trade is thus needed as a stabilizer of values, beside being essential as a means of insuring full demand for goods and complete activity of productive energies.

With the importance of our foreign trade
A turning point thus recognized, and with its in foreign trade. direct bearing upon the domestic economic outlook thus fully admitted,
current figures showing the actual movement of goods to other countries assume new significance. April returns show continuation of the movement toward a more eren balance between exports and imports. Exports were reported as $\$ 340,000,000$ for the month and imports as $\$ 255,000,000$. The excess of exports over imports, that was developing during last December and January at the rate of some $\$ 450,000,000$ monthly, has been cut down each month since then, until for April our balance on merchandise account was only $\$ 85,000,000$. Imports of gold, on the other hand, amounted to about $\$ 82,000,000$ in April. This change in the balance of trade has been brought about, not so much through increase in the value of imports, but rather by decline in the value of exports. In fact, the value of imports, although showing some increase over the very low figures of January of this year, is still in value only half the figure for April, 1020. Price declines have naturally been responsible to a considerable extent for the reduction in values during recent months. For this reason the foreign trade index of the Federal Reserve Board is particularly significant in interpreting the volume of trade as compared with previous months. This shows that while the volume of exports has been shrinking steadily since the latter part of 1920 , the physical volume of imports has been increasing much more rapidly than the value figures indicate, owing to reductions in prices. To the extent that this index is representative of our foreign trade as a whole, the conclusion is justified that exports in April had declined to about the same volume as in April, 1913, while imports were reaching this country in nearly double the quantity of the corresponding prewar month. So far as individual commodities are concerned, the increased volume of imports is well distributed among the several classes of goods. Among exports, corn and wheat continue to move in quantities several times the volume of a year ago, while cotton shows a decided falling off.

A striking feature of the foreign-trade situation has been the inward gold movement. The net importations of gold for the first four months of the current year now amount to $\$ 241,000,000$, while for the fiscal year (10
months) they are $\$ 407,000,000$. Resumption of heary gold shipments to the United States has an important correlation with the coincident decline in our foreign trade in goods. It was a notable factor in the business depression of last autumn that our banks practically ceased financing the foreign trade in a variety of directions, with the result that these branches of business or the geographical divisions of the trade thus dealt with were deprived of credit facilities, and so were practically obliged to fall back upon cash payments, except in so far as the only partly developed import trade was able to afford means of paying for export shipments from this country. In the acute stages of a commercial crisis or depression foreign trade is likely to decline to a point where it is definitely upon a cash basis, and this is probably the situation which has been developing in our own foreign commerce. It is a state of things which obviously can not long continue.
The import movement of gold, as just Financing ex- noted, is to be attributed in ports. large measure to the lack of ordinary methods of settling for our exports through extensions of credit if impossible to do so through the inward shipment of foreign merchandise. It has no permanent significance as a financial factor. There has been little or no reduction in the urgent nature of the problem of financing our foreign trade and particularly the export movement. Efforts to further the organization of special financing corporations created for the purpose of granting long-term accommodation have met with limited success, the reason for delay being found largely in the indisposition of banking institutions to subscribe for stock in them. That there is a large and important field of credit effort to be filled by such institutions is beyond question. The problem in the case appears to be partly that of accurately ascertaining the credit of the foreign borrower so as to be sure of the soundness of the loan, and partly that of assuring a sufficiently broad interest among American investors. Meantime the experience of the various foreign banking corporations, both those organized under the Edge Act and those previously established under older State laws, is proving of considerable interest in connec-
tion with the general problem of method in foreign financing. It has been noted with interest that thus far the greater number of the foreign trade organizations have become practically acceptance enterprises, far the larger proportion of the accommodation extended by them assuming that form. One reason for this trend of development has doubtless been the greater ease experienced in getting funds from the acceptance than from the investment market, while another has doubtless been found in the comparative simplicity of obtaining cooperation and assistance along credit lines from foreign finiancial institutions. Accurate reports regarding the credit underlying ordinary business on long term are by no means easy to get, or, in the present condition of European business, very reliable, in all cases. Difficulty in getting the cooperation or joint guaranty of foreign institutions and a belief that if full responsibility must be carried for all credits advanced, it should be accompanied by rapid turnover with corresponding profit, is assigned by some as the reason for the development of foreign financing corporations along short-term lines.

What has actually been done toward developing a system of foreign-trade financing corporations may be seen from the following compilation, which affords data regarding the chief institutions of the sort that are now in existence, taken from their most recent statements:

| Name of bank. | Capital, surplus, and undivided profits. | Assets. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| American Foreign Banking Corporation. | \$6,504,635 | \$64,325,033 |
| Asia Banking Corporation | 6,109,675 | 41,496,414 |
| French-American Banking Corporat | 2,803,807 | 22,978, 190 |
| International Banking Corporation | 14,015,146 | 131,327,344 |
| Mercantile Bank of Americas. | 10,802,063 | 95, 967,550 |
| Park Union Foreign Banking Corporation | 4,621,004 | 22,455,456 |
| Shawmut Corporation. | 2,265, 845 | 13,873,541 |
| Discount Corporation of New York | 6,697,132 | 73, 804, 724 |
| First Federal Foreign Banking Association | 2,311, 160 | 9,239,897 |
| Federal International Corporation. | 7,000,000 |  |
| International Acceptance Bank. | 15,250,000 | ............. |

The Foreign Financing Corporation, projected with a capital of $\$ 100,000,000$, is still in process of organization.

The facts thus furnished show clearly the comparatively limited character of the financial facilities which have been provided for the
financing of our foreign trade upon a special basis distinct and apart from that which is found in the foreign departments of our banks. Conditions relative to financing should, moreover, be studied in the light thrown on the subject by the character and seriousness of the foreign trade problem in the large. As things stand, complete or full recovery in our domestic trade will not be insured without at least reasonable restoration of our foreign commerce. The latter object is, however, in no small measure dependent upon the development of an adequate financial basis for the business.

Effort is being made by the War Finance The War Fi- Corporation to facilitate the nance Corpora- movement of goods to foreign tion. points and, with that object in mind, the corporation has issued Circular No. 1 (obtainable from Federal Reserve Banks), containing information for the use of prospective applicants for advances. The circular in question sets forth clearly the terms upon which such advances will be favorably considered. It is made plain that the export credits provided and authorized under the act will be made only (1) to American citizens (2) who evidence their indebtedness by a direct and unconditional obligation signed by a person, partnership, or corporate enterprise subject to American law, and (3) who show (in the case of individuals) that they have not been able to get support through ordinary banking channels or (in the case of banks) that the loans are to be made for exportation and are not over the amount advanced to and unpaid by an exporter. In these circumstances the responsibility for the credit rests with the exporter who receives the advances, he being then under the necessity of ascertaining the character of the credit standing of the foreign buyer, and of collecting from him at maturity. Local bankers have been unwilling to retain the liability for advances in support of export trade incurred through indorsement. Not a few adhere to the view that such retained or continued liability places the bank in a dangerous position, especially when steadily increased through repeated rediscounting or borrowing. The rate of interest to the individual exporter which, as announced in the
circular, is by law required to be at least 1 per cent higher than the 90-day rate of the Federal Reserve Bank of the district in which the advance is made, is now, by the action of Federal Reserve Banks in cutting their rates to $6-6 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent, fixed at a level of $7-7 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent. During the past month conferences held with cotton interests have resulted in facilitating shipments financed by the corporation to various foreign markets.

In reviewing the export finance situation Acceptances special attention should be and letters of given to two new rulings made credit.
public by the Federal Reserve
Board during the past month. Of these probably the more important is designed to lengthen the period of maturity of eligible bankers' acceptances to six months. From the inauguration of the Federal Reserve Banks the acceptance has been treated as a commercial bill and hence subject to the statutory limitation of 90 days applicable to such paper. This, as is well known, did not necessarily bar paper of longer maturity from becoming eligible for purchase or discount, but merely prevented Reserve Banks from buying or discounting it until it was within 90 days of its maturity date. The new regulation doubles this maturity period and so opens to technical eligibility for open market purchase of paper which would otherwise have been admissible into Federal Reserve Bank portfolios only after the lapse of 90 days. Commercial conditions since the close of the war have unavoidably tended to increase the necessary period of ordinary credit, so that an acceptance of six months' maturity may not be longer when its general relation to the average duration of commercial transactions is concerned than was a like document of 90 days' maturity prior to the war. The real test of the new provision will be afforded when it is seen how far, if at all, the average life of the acceptances currently held in Reserve Bank portfolios has been increased by reason of the action. In the opinion of some Reserve Bank officers such increase will certainly be very limited. Experience over a series of months can alone demonstrate the effects of the ruling upon the maturity of Reserve Bank portfolios,

In outlining the considerations which have given rise to this ruling, and in cautioning the banks with regard to operations under it, the Board said:
"Two considerations have led the Board to take this action: (1) The desire to widen the acceptance market by meeting the wants of savings banks and similar purchasers of bankers' acceptances who are now deterred from investing in acceptances of longer than three months' maturity, because of the lack of authority of Federal Reserve Banks to purchase longer maturities up to six months; (2) to provide more ample facilities for financing import and export trade with countries where either normal conditions or present abnormal conditions indicate the desirability of rendering assistance by making acceptances of maturities not exceeding six months eligible for purchase by Federal Reserve Banks. While the Federal Reserve Banks would, under ordinary conditions, prefer to confine their investments to paper of short maturity, that is, not exceeding three months, it is believed that the present emergency in the foreign trade situation would be relieved by a more liberal practice. Vigilant care, however, should be exercised by Federal Reserve Banks in purchasing acceptances of long maturities, in order that the liquidity of the aggregate investment in acceptancesheld by them should not be affected. In amending its regulation in the manner described, the Board looks to the good banking judgment and discretion of the accepting banks and of the Federal Reserve Banks to avoid any untoward results. To avoid misunderstanding the Board desires to add that the results of this widening of the investment powers of the Federal Reserve Banks will be followed closely, with a view to such modification of its rules or amendment of its regulations as future developments may indicate to be necessary."

The problem of facilitating foreign trade on the long-term basis is essentially one for the investment market, but the Board's action, as just seen, in lengthening the acceptance period to six months, is due to a desire to do what can be done in an emergency calling for prompt relief.

The second ruling of the Board relates to the practice heretofore widely employed by member banks in obtaining the services of another institution as an opener of letters of credit in their behalf. The Board now, acting in accord with the Comptroller of the Currency, finds that this practice has no legal warrant and,
while recognizing that it is not, on the other hand, positively illegal, nevertheless cautions national banks not to resort to it further. As a substitute for the older relationship there is suggested an agency contract under which the bank issuing in its own name a letter of credit would be definitely recognized as acting for another which had requested such service. In any case, however, the acceptances issued or created by such an agent bank must be reported and shown on its statement as constituting part of its authorized or legal line of liabilities, while the principal bank must do likewise.

It must be frankly admitted that the problems of our foreign trade are
New hazards of trade. not exclusively financial but that they involve others of a broader nature. Were it true that the European economic situation had been reduced to a basis of ordinary credit analysis, very much more progress could undoubtedly have been made within the past two years in securing a restoration of industrial activity, while European obligations, both private and public, would have found a broader market here than has been the case. It has been the feeling of not a few American business and financial interests that while they might fairly be expected to carry all ordinary risk growing out of investments that they might be asked to make in European industry or out of the purely financial problems and difficulties of European Governments (in those cases where offerings of securities consisted of public bonds), it was too much to ask that private citizens or banking establishments should incur hazards due to political uncertainty or instability. It is in this connection, no doubt, more than in any other that the German reparations discussion has been of importance. So long as the reparations controversy rested upon a political rather than an economic basis and involved the direct use of military authority as well as the control of import and export trade by foreign nations, the factors involved in any form of German financing presented unusual difficulty and uncertainty. The same has been true, even in much higher degree, of many of the obligations which have been offered from time to time to

American business men by interests and even by Governments which were likely to be endangered by political changes. Exporters have reported that they could do a large trade in various of the European countries if they were willing to accept high interestbearing Government bonds which were offered to them at a very substantial discount, but that they were unable to consider the business thus suggested to them because of the difficulty of disposing of the securities under existing conditions. The political risks involved in financing industrial transactions in some of the foreign countries have undoubtedly tended very greatly to hinder export financing in this country and have given rise in various quarters to the suggestion that if the Government were willing to guarantee financiers or exporters against hazards growing out of political change, it would be much less difficult to secure the funds necessary to furnish the required basis for business when only commercial and industrial hazard had to be considered. The settlement of the German reparations discussion, to which reference will presently be made, should constitute a long step in the direction of eliminating this kind of obstacle from the path of legitimate export trade, and must therefore be regarded as of first rate importance to business in the United States.

The same problems which confront American exporters in connection with political trade risks have likewise been recognized by British trade authorities, as may be seen from the plan put into effect at the end of last year whereby in the case of exports to certain countries such as Finland, Latvia, Esthonia, etc., the Government advances up to 100 per cent of the value of the goods and guarantees 80 per cent. Early in March last the president of the Board of Trade proposed a somewhat different scheme, namely, to guarantee up to 85 per cent of the selling price of the goods, and to require from the importer securities to the value of only 50 per cent instead of the previous 100 per cent. This new proposal has apparently not been put into effect and the transactions under the older scheme are of a very minor character. Between January 1
and March 31, 1921, advances had been made by the Board of Trade for only $£ 321,000$.

Outside the field of domestic financial problems and the technique of their

The reparations settlement. solution, there have been observed during the past month some occurrences of large importance in their bearing upon international trade and the general prospects of its development. Chief among these is undoubtedly the German reparations plan, as made known on May 5 in official form, and as interpreted by Mr . Lloyd-George in his address in the House of Commons on the same date. The final agreement upon terms in the reparations controversy must without doubt be regarded as the triumph of economic over political considerations. It thus marks the return of a greater degree of sanity in European affairs and opens a more promising outlook accordingly for future economic readjustment there. This in itself would mean the attainment of a basis of understanding of first class economic significance as a general factor in reconstruction. It has, however, a special bearing upon the position of the United States. This is found in the fact that the adjustment unquestionably eliminates the most serious element of uncertainty that has affected international trade since the war. So long as there was hesitation regarding the final adjustment, trade between Germany and all other nations, including the United States, was necessarily more or less interrupted. Not only was this true, but the considerable investment of American capital in German enterprises which had begun about a year ago could not safely be continued and was necessarily suspended. Sale of German Government obligations in this country, whether with or without Allied indorsement, was equally unlikely to be suc-cessful-indeed, ever since the appearance of serious friction regarding the settlement there has been a practical cessation of European offerings of most kinds. This situation fortunately has already become much less acute and conditions are approaching a rather more normal position. That our market will be called upon to carry a substantial share of the necessary financing growing out of the German adjustment will naturally be unavoid-
able. The Cabinet, after considering at its meeting of May 20 the question of foreign loans, announced however that the proceeds of such as are made should be used for the purchase of goods for export, or in other words, that such advances as we make ought to be taken in goods.

In its actual terms the German settlement,

Terms of the settlement. though nominally simple, offers numerous complexities. The official text of the protocol made public by the French Government fixes the total payable under articles 231,232 , and 233 of the Treaty of Versailles at " $132,000,000,000$ gold marks, less (a) the amount already paid on account of reparation; (b) sums which may from time to time be credited to Germany in respect of state properties in ceded territory, etc., and (c) any sums received from other enemy or ex-enemy powers in respect of which the commission may decide that credit should be given to Germany, plus the amount of the Belgian debt to the Allies, the amounts of these deductions and additions to be determined later by the commission." This total sum is to be represented by (a) bonds amounting to $12,000,000,000$ marks delivered by July 1, 1921, bearing interest at 5 per cent, and with an annual sinking fund of 1 per cent; (b) bonds amounting to $38,000,000,000$ marks deliverable November 1, 1921, and bearing interest and sinking fund as in the case of the first series; (c) bonds amounting to $82,000,000,000$ marks, with interest and sinking fund provided for as before. As deduction from the amount of the (c) bonds, however, there will be reckoned the allowances already specified above. These three classes of bonds become successive liens on incomes which are described as follows: " (a) The proceeds of all German maritime and land customs and duties, and in particular the proceeds of all import and export duties; (b) the proceeds of a levy of 25 per cent on the value of all exports from Germany, except those exports upon which a levy of not less than 25 per cent is applied under legislation referred to in article $9 ;(c)$ the proceeds of such direct or indirect taxes or any other funds as may be proposed by the German Government and accepted by the committee on guarantees in
addition to, or in substitution for, the funds specified in (a) or (b) above."

Out of the revenues thus set apart (or under certain conditions from others) Germany is required to pay " (1) the sum of $2,000,000,000$ gold marks; (2) (a) a sum equivalent to 25 per cent of the value of her exports in each period of 12 months, starting from May 1, 1921, as determined by the commission, or (b), alternatively, an equivalent amount as fixed in accordance with any other index proposed by Germany and accepted by the commission; (3) a further sum equivalent to 1 per cent of the value of her exports, as above defined, or, alternatively, an equivalent amount fixed as provided in paragraph (b) above." A feature of doubt with reference to the operation of these provisions is afforded by the ambiguous provision which follows immediately the language already quoted with respect to the sums to be used in making the reparations payments: "Provided always that when Germany shall have discharged. her obligations under this schedule, other than her liability in respect of outstanding bonds, the amount to be paid in each year under this paragraph shall be reduced to the amount required in that year to meet the interest and sinking fund on the bonds then outstanding." Interpreting this provision, however, Mr. Lloyd-George in his address in the House of Commons on May 5, used the following language:
"* * * It is clear that at first there will be not enough to pay interest, and you can hardly expect to receive enough money to pay interest upon the whole of the amount due, which is $£ 6,600,000,000$, and 6 per cent upon that would be $£ 400,000,000$ sterling. Then comes the question what is to be done with the interest in respect of the unissued bonds. Under the treaty, Germany was debited with interest at 5 per cent upon the whole of the debt due from her, with certain powers left to the reparations commission to vary the amount. What is proposed to be done now is that 25 per cent on the exports is to be devoted, with the fixed annual sum, to the payment of the bonds which will be issued. If there is a balance over and above that for any given year, it is to be devoted to the payment of interest upon the unissued bonds, which represents the uncovered capital of the debt, together with a sum equal to 1 per cent of her exports. Beyond that the interest will be wiped out. It will not accumulate against
her, and that is a very important concession, and I hope it will have important effects."

Not the least interesting clause in the reparations protocol is found in paragraph 5 of that document, which requires that "Germany shall pay within 25 days from this notification $1,000,000,000$ gold marks in gold or approved foreign currencies or approved foreign bills or in drafts at three months on the German Treasury, indorsed by approved German banks and payable in pounds sterling in London, in francs in Paris, in dollars in New York, or any currency in any other place designated by the commission. These payments will be treated as the two first quarterly installments of payments provided for in article 4, paragraph 1." The provision of article 4, paragraph 1, referred to, is the language already quoted above as regards a lump-sum payment of $2,000,000,000$ marks and an additional amount equal to 25 per cent of her exports.

Within the past month a readjustment of rates on 90 -day paper has been made by several Federal Reserve Banks, with the result Discount and interest rates. that the entire system is now practically upon a 6-61 $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent interest footing. This downward movement reflects and parallels the stronger and more liquid condition of the Reserve Banks themselves. As compared with a year earlier, gold holdings at the close of May show an increase of some $\$ 448,000,000$, while bills held reflect a decline of $\$ 972,000,000$. A falling off of notes in circulation by about $\$ 376,000,000$ still further emphasizes the extent of the liquidation that has occurred. Improvement in condition has been by no means even or uniform throughout the system, banks located in the agricultural regions finding it necessary to finance the "carry-over" of products from last season, whereas liquidation has been carried to an advanced point in the more highly developed manufacturing and commercial parts of the country. Liquidation in Reserve Banks, moreover, parallels the change of condition in member banks of the Federal Reserve System.

It is still too early to state the results to be expected from changes in Reserve Bank rates upon commercial and market interest charges. While an easing of the direct rate to bank
customers is reported in some quarters, call charges have maintained themselves at a level fully as high as that of recent months. Time money, too, has been as scanty and expensive as in the past, while reductions in openmarket rates on commercial paper have been very limited at best.

Inasmuch as the Bank of England had reduced its 7 per cent rate on 90 -day paper to $6 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent prior to the date when general reductions were undertaken by the Federal Reserve Banks, while a cut in the British treasury bill rate to $5 \frac{3}{4}$ per cent had also taken place, it will be seen that Government and bank obligations both in Great Britain and in the United States are upon a basis of substantial similarity. Reductions of rates to $6 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent have also been made by some of the Scandinavian banks as well as by Swiss, Belgian, and some other central banks. It may therefore be said that there has been a general downward movement of central bank charges.

The level of prices has continued to be of

> Prices and wages. primary importance as a business factor-far more so than has been true of the discount and interest situation. Recent index numbers show that the decline of prices throughout the world instead of ceasing has continued although, as remarked on former occasions, at a somewhat slower rate. The following brief table of index numbers for the principal countries, using for the United States the price index of the Federal Reserve Board, compiled for international comparisons, shows how the change in prices, to which reference has just been made, is progressing.

Wholesale prices in leading countries.
[A verage prices in 1913=100.]

| [Average prices in 1913=100.] |
| :--- |

${ }^{1}$ Base period, middle of 1974.
${ }^{2}$ March, 1921.

Data received since the publication of these official index numbers by private price reporting agencies show that a further reduction of prices has occurred during recent weeks. The latest number furnished by Bradstreet's for June 1, for example, shows a falling off during May of 1.9 per cent. It is now estimated that an actual decline in cost of living from the peak point, amounting to approximately 20 per cent, has taken place. This figure must, of course, be received with caution because of the lack of uniformity in the data upon which it is based. The continued fall of price indexes has been a source of surprise to some observers who had been of the opinion that the limits of the movement had been reached some time ago. The change, however, is primarily due to a readjustment of price relationships among themselves, some commodities which had failed to share in the general downward movement yielding atlast to the influences which had tended to lower the prices of other commodities. This general settling of the price level does not, it may be supposed, point to any further sharp general reduction but may perhaps be considered as the final step of price readjustment. Undoubtedly it is so regarded by many business men, as is shown by some slight increase in their disposition to make new commitments based upon quotations and values existing at the present time in the various branches of industry. Hesitation, due to the fact that some are still uncertain whether their principal commodities will or will not recede still further, has tended to prevent any considerable accumulation of advance orders, so that various industries which report themselves in decidedly improved condition so far as the volume of production is concerned nevertheless report that their advance "bookings" are still upon an abnormally narrow basis, numerous buyers working from hand to mouth because of the belief that they may be able to take advantage of later changes in prices. This hesitation is said to be more widely observable among the larger retail distributors than those among the smaller operators.
The readjustments in wages which have gone on during the month have been facilitated
by the fact that the cost of living had unmistakably fallen, and that a given wage return to labor necessarily implied a considerably greater purchasing power than in the past. Both the settling down of the price level upon a more nearly final basis and the establishment of closer correspondence between living cost on the new scale and wages have strongly helped to lay the foundation for improvement in business which can now be seen in various directions.

Without waiting for improvement in the export and general foreign
Domestic business conditions. trade situation, domestic business has begun to show a turn for the better in several lines. Reference has already been made in summary form at the opening of this review to sundry of the main factors which have proven of notable interest from the standpoint of domestic business recovery during the past month. The indexes of production, movement, and use of goods compiled by the Board are not as fully representative of existing conditions to the present date as are the more general indications already referred to, but they afford the results of statistical inquiry into the situation up to a date about 30 days ago.

|  | March, 1921. |  | April, 1921. |  | April, 1920. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total. | Relative. | Total. | Relative. | Total. | Relative. |
| Receipts of live stock at 15 western markets (in thousands of head) | 4,700 | 114.5 | 4,367 | 106.4 | 4, 106 | 100 |
| Receipts of grain at 17 interior centers (in thousands of bushels) | 78,899 | 176.6 | 51,900 | 116.1 | 44,686 | 100 |
| sight reccipts of cotton (in thousands of bales) | 554 | 100.2 | 505 | 102.2 | 553 | 100 |
| Shipments of lumber reported by 3 associations (in millions of feet) | 664 | 86.6 | 713 | 92.9 | 767 | 100 |
| Bituminous coal production (in thousands of short tons). | 30,392 | 80.1 | 27, 553 | 72.6 | 37,939 | 100 |
| Anthracite coal production (in thousands of short tons)............ | 7,406 | 119.2 | 7,703 | 124.0 | 6,214 | 100 |
| Crude petroleum production (in thousands of barrels)...... | 40,965 | 115.1 | 40,039 | 113.5 | 35, 583 | 100 |
| Pig iron production (in thousands of long tons). | 1,596 | 58.2 | 1, 193 | 43.5 | 2,740 | 100 |
| Steel ingot production (in thousands of long tons). | 1,571 | 59.6 | 1,214 | 46.0 | 2,638 | 100 |
| Cotton consumption (in thousands of bales) | 438 | 77.3 | 409 | 72.1 | 567 | 100 |
| Wool consumption (in thousands of pounds) | 47,181 | 70.4 | 53,071 | 79.2 | 66,935 | 100 |

Although, as noted a month ago, the fiscal condition of the Government

Treasury finance. has ceased to be as great and immediate a factor in private business relationships as was true during the years immediately after the armistice, Treasury operations continue to furnish a factor of large significance in current affairs. During the past month the department has placed upon the market an issue of certificates of indebtedness amounting to $\$ 200,000,000$, for which, however, a total of subscriptions aggregating $\$ 532,000,000$ was received, while allotments amounting to $\$ 256,000,000$ were made. Ten of the Federal Reserve districts oversubscribed their quotas, those which did not do so being the southwestern districts where very strong local demand for funds has continued to be felt. In the ordinary financial operations of the department there has been an outlay for the month of May aggregating $\$ 368,450,545$ and an income of $\$ 223,706,399$, the transactions thus showing a deficit on ordinary account of $\$ 144,744,146$. Withdrawals from the banks in the New York district for the purpose of meeting the various recurrent obligations of the Governmentinterest on Liberty bonds due May 15 and others maturing during the month-have tended to cause temporary unsettlement of financial conditions, with possibly less liberality on the part of commercial banking institutions in making advances. This influence, however, while of the same general description as has been witnessed at the same season in former years, has this year been of materially less direct influence upon ordinary banking and money prospects. During the month the Secretary of the Treasury has recommended to Congress careful consideration of a program of revenue revision which would provide for the repeal of the excess-profits tax and for the broad reconstruction of the income tax in certain important particulars, with the possible addition of a tax similar in nature to the tax upon undistributed profits of corporations, suggested by former Secretary Houston. Extension of the consumption taxes and revision in other directions have likewise been called
to the attention of the legislative body. The President, in an address at New York City on May 23, has foreshadowed an important change in the treatment of the Government's holdings of Allied obligations, indicating that within a reasonable time it may be expected that provision may be made for placing these obligations in the hands of the people.

During the month ending May 10 the net inward movement of gold was
Gold and silver imports and exports. $\$ 88,646,000$, as compared with a net inward movement of $\$ 77,611,000$ for the month ending April 10. Net imports of gold since August 1, 1914, were $\$ 1,141,393,000$, as may be seen from the following exhibit:

|  | Imports. | Exports. | Excess of imports. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aug. 1 to Dec. 31, 1914 | 23, 253 | 104,972 | 181,719 |
| Jan. 1 to Dec. 31, 1915. | 451,955 | 31, 426 | 420,529 |
| Jan. 1 to Dec. 31, 1916. | 685, 745 | 155, 793 | 529,952 |
| Jan. 1 to Dec. 31, 1917. | 553,713 | 372,171 | 181,542 |
| Jan. 1 to Dec. 31, 1918. | 61,950 | 40,848 | 21,102 |
| Jan. 1 to Dec. 31, 1919. | 76,534 | 368,185 | 1291,651 |
| Jan. 1 to Dec. 31, 1920. | 417,181 | 322,091 | 95,090 |
| Jan. 1 to May 10, 1921.. | 271,611 | 5,063 | 266,548 |
| Total. | 2,541,942 | 1,400,549 | 1,141,393 |

1 Excess of exports.
Sweden furnished \$26,488,000, England $\$ 22,030,000$, France $\$ 10,248,000$, the Netherlands $\$ 7,093,000$, and the French East Indies $\$ 6,006,000$ of the $\$ 89,189,000$ of gold imported during the monthly period ending May 10, other countries of Europe and the Orient furnishing most of the remainder. Of the gold exports, amounting to $\$ 543,000$, about twofifths, or $\$ 223,000$, was consigned to Canada and the remainder to Hongkong, Mexico, and Cuba.

Since the removal of the gold embargo on June 7, 1919, total gold imports and exports have amounted to approximately $\$ 721,324,000$ and $\$ 680,950,000$, respectively, the net gain being approximately $\$ 40,374,000$. Of the total exports, $\$ 195,414,000$ was consigned to Japan, $\$ 146,555,000$ to Argentina, $\$ 72,199,000$ to Hongkong, \$67,396,000 to China, $\$ 41,052,000$ to British India, $\$ 29,778,000$ to Spain, and the remainder principally to Mexico, Uruguay, the Dutch East Indies, Canada, the Straits Settlements, and Venezuela.

During the same monthly period the net inward movement of silver was $\$ 2,644,000$, as compared with a net inward movement of $\$ 860,000$ for the month ending April 10. Net exports of silver since August 1, 1914, were $\$ 452,956,000$, as may be seen from the following exhibit:
[In thousands of dollars.]


Mexico furnished over 53 per cent, or $\$ 2,840,000$, of the $\$ 5,333,000$ of silver imported during the monthly period ending May 10 , the remainder coming principally from England, Peru, Honduras, and Canada. Silver exports, amounting to $\$ 2,689,000$, were consigned principally to England, Hongkong, Mexico, and Canada.

Continued loan liquidation, though at a slackened rate, is shown by The banking reporting member banks for
situation. the period between April 22 and May 18, the total amounting to about $\$ 180,000,000$, compared with $\$ 318,000,000$ for the preceding four weeks. A larger amount of liquidation is shown for commercial paper proper; bills secured by Government obligations show a moderate reduction, while paper secured by corporate stocks and bonds shows an increase of about $\$ 46,000,000$, apparently as the result of member bank financing of recent large stock and bond flotations. While the reporting banks reduced their loans and discounts by $\$ 180,000,000$, they were able to curtail their own borrowings from the Federal Reserve Banks by $\$ 269,000,000$. Rate reductions adopted by six Federal Reserve Banks in April and during the early part of May apparently have had but little effect in checking the liquidation movement. It is noteworthy that the decrease in the accommodation obtained
from Federal Reserve Banks by the 821 reporting banks in leading cities is practically equivalent to the reduction shown for the same period in total discounts held by the Federal Reserve Banks. A significant inference is that credit liquidation has been confined mainly to the large cities, while country banks have not materially reduced loans to their customers and, consequently, have not been able to reduce the amount of accommodation obtained from the Federal Reserve Banks. Recent liquidation has been largely of commercial and industrial paper, and not of agricultural paper.

In the following table are shown figures of principal items in the weekly statement of reporting member banks:

${ }^{1}$ Including rediscounts with Federal Reserve Banks.
Developments in the Federal Reserve banking field are chiefly a further gain of $\$ 95,000,000$ of gold, offset in part by a loss of $\$ 30,000,000$ in other cash reserves, and a continued reduction in Federal Reserve note circulation, which on May 25 stood at $\$ 2,735,000,000$, compared with $\$ 2,857,000,000$ five weeks earlier. The volume of Federal Reserve notes in circulation on the latest report date is the lowest recorded since October 3, 1919, the reduction from the peak reached on December 23, 1920, amounting to $\$ 670,000,000$, or 20 per cent, and from the amount on May 28, 1920, to $\$ 372,000,000$, or 12 per cent. The increase in cash reserves and the reduction in note circulation, together with a decline of $\$ 43,000,000$ in deposits, has resulted in a further advance of the reserve ratio from 54.1 per cent on April 22 to 57.6 per cent on May 25, the larger share of this advance being due to the gain in gold.

Some of the principal changes in the status of the Federal Reserve Banks are brought out in the following exhibit:

| Date. | Federal Reserve Banks. <br> [In millions of dollars.] |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bills discounted. |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { deposits. } \end{gathered}$ | Federal Reserve notes in actual circulation. | Reserve ratio. |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { other. } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| Apr. 22. | 943 | 1,171 | 1,749 | 2,857 | 54.1 |
| Apr. 27 | 921 | 1,143 | 1,726 | 2,830 | 55.0 |
| May 4 | 892 | 1,174 | 1,729 | 2,829 | 55.3 |
| May 11. | 918 775 | ${ }_{1}^{1,118}$ | 1,733 1,717 | 2,805 2,767 | 55.9 |
| May 25.. | 794 | 1,076 | 1,706 | 2,735 | 57.6 |

Mr. John R. Mitchell, who was nominated by the President as member of
Personnel and meetings. the Federal Reserve Board, and confirmed by the Senate on April 29, assumed office on May 12.
Mr. F. A. Delano, former member of the Federal Reserve Board, has been appointed a Class C director of the Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond for the term expiring December 31, 1921.

The usual quarterly session of the Federal Advisory Council took place at Washington on May 16.

## Index-Digest of the Federal Reserve Bulletin.

The index-digest of the Federal Reserve Bulletin for the years 1914 to 1920, inclusive, will be ready for final printing within a very short time. As the edition is to be a limited one and the Federal Reserve Board desires to be in position to supply all banks and others desiring it with copies, it is requested that all institutions wishing to obtain copies send in their orders at once, so that the Board may accurately gauge the number of copies to have printed.
The index-digest of the Federal Reserve Bulletin was compiled by Mr. C. S. Hamlin, primarily for the use of the Federal Reserve Board and of the Federal Reserve Banks, and contains an abstract of all published decisions and rulings of the Federal Reserve Board and of the other matter contained in the BuLL in.
The price will be $\$ 2$ per volume, bound in cloth in the same manner as the Bulletin. Subscriptions should be sent to the Federal Reserve Board, Washington, or to the respective Federal Reserve Banks.

## BUSINESS, INDUSTRY, AND FINANCE, MAY, 1921.

Slow and greatly retarded recovery in production and distribution has been in progress during May. What appears to be a definite turn for the better has been taken by some branches of domestic industry, but foreign trade is still arrested or depressed. The more hopeful attitude which showed itself among business men during April has continued, and in some industries is preparing the way for active development. Gains made during the preceding month or two have been generally retained, reaction being only sporadic.

Foreign trade continues its movement toward a more nearly equal balance of imports and exports. There has been a further falling off in our foreign shipments and some increase in receipts from abroad, the figures being especially noteworthy when stated as physical volumes of goods. Failure of normal industrial conditions in foreign countries to recover and special causes of trade impairment, such as the British coal strike, have hampered progress, while disturbed exchange and inadequate financial facilities have made resumption of activity a matter of special difficulty in some directions.

Readjustment in wages, which was given special consideration a month ago, has proceeded but has been slow, while adjustment between wages and prices (especially retail prices) is exhibiting special difficulties.

Irregularity and lack of uniformity still exist in marked degree over large sections of the retail price field, and qualified observers forecast serious obstacles to the final adjustment of wages to permanent levels, unless retail prices move much faster to their final basis. Settling of prices has proceeded in various wholesale lines to an extent that is reflected in less noteworthy average changes; but this process is apparently more truly an "evening up" or adjustment to a level already reached than it is a new dip or downward movement toward generally lower values. High costs of transportation, to which reference was made a month ago as one of the elements retarding readjustment, continue to produce a restrictive influence and are the subject of active investigation and discussion.

The uneven character of the business readjustment of the month is illustrated by conditions in the various individual industries. In some of the latter an approach to stabilization has been made. This condition notably exists in the textile trade and in the boot and shoe industry. Contrasted with industries of this type are several that are either at low level or moving further downward. In iron and steel reaction is still progressing. Buying is confined largely to immediate needs and as a consequence both $u$. illed orders and current production show declines. In wholesale and retail trade there has t en some recession, although such recession has been much more pronounced in the wholesale than in the retail field. The anticipated improvement in the labor market due to the opening of spring agricultural activities has not proved sufficient to absorb the surplus resulting from the reduced employment attendant upon present industrial conditions.

Agricultural developments of the month are of a somewhat uncertain character. Whereas climatic and soil conditions had previously been favorable, the wet cold weather of May interfered with crop development, and as a matter of fact great damage has been done in certain sections of the country. The fruit crop over wide areas has suffered severely.

Financially, May has been a month of increasing strength and of more prosperous outlook. There has been, in various sections, an easing of the demand for funds which has resulted in part from the acceptance of lower price levels and in part from the adjustment of business to new conditions.

The business outlook for the season thus continues on the whole more favorable, but with little prospect of immediate sharp improvement of conditions.

## AGRICULTURE.

The agricultural situation during the month may be characterized as unfavorable and backward. Although the unusually mild winter was exceptionally favorable for the preparation of the ground for the 1921 crops and permitted a rapid growth of all the grains, the unseasonable spring weather has been injurious to all crops. The weather has had an ill effect upon the maturing of winter wheat, while it has retarded the growth of spring wheat. District No. 8 (St. Louis) reports that "condition of the growing winter wheat crop in this district is still favorable, despite the fact that the growth has been checked somewhat by the recent cold, wet weather." Although the condition of winter wheat is reported to be fair in Arizona and New Mexico, the Texas crop has shown a considerable deterioration, which is attributed to drought, high winds, and the inroads of green bugs and rust. In the central and western spring wheat belts showers have occurred and the temperature has been generally favorable, aiding the growth of wheat; the seeded grains are up to a satisfactory stand. Although district No. 9 (Minneapolis) reports that "plowing and seeding of corn is progressing nicely in Minnesota and South Dakota," in most sections the plant has been retarded. Thus, district No. 8 (St. Louis) states that "corn planting in the north has been retarded by excessive moisture and, due to the same cause, considerable replanting has been required in the south." In district No. 11 (Dallas), likewise "not only has the weather been too cool for the best growth of cotton, corn, and small grains, but these crops have been adversely affected by the extremely uneven rainfall throughout the district." The cold weather has not only retarded the growth but has prevented germination of the seed. In many instances replanting of the various crops has been necessary, while in other sections, where replanting was avoided, a poor stand has been the result.

## COTTON.

The development of the cotton crop throughout the southern area has been retarded by unfavorable weather conditions. Thus, in district No. 11 (Dallas), "the effect of the cold wave occurring in April and May was to check the growth of cotton where the plant had germinated and to retard its germination elsewhere." In west Texas plowing and planting have been delayed by drought, while in many sections the cold, wet ground has delayed the necessary replanting. District No. 8 (St. Louis) reports that "planting, cultivation, and
replanting of cotton has been seriously delayed by the overabundant precipitation." Information received from all districts indicates that the acreage planted in cotton has been considerably reduced. The results of a recent survey in 150 counties of Texas made by the Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas show that the reduction in that area is about 30 per cent. District No. 8 (St. Louis) reports that "the Arkansas Cotton Trade Association estimates that the acreage reduction in that State will amount to 35.3 per cent."

## TOBACCO.

The new tobacco crop has been transplanted in South Carolina, and in district No. 8 (St. Louis) "tobacco beds are reported in good condition, awaiting favorable weather for transplanting." In this district "the acreage to be planted is generally reported short," and reports from district No. 5 (Richmond) indicate reductions in both North Carolina and Virginia, but the acreage in South Carolina has been slightly increased. The leaf tobacco situation has been very quiet. District No. 8 (St. Louis) reports that the "tobacco markets are practically all closed for the season, leaving a large amount of the leaf in farmers' hands, most of which is of inferior quality." It is reported from district No. 3 (Philadelphia) that manufacturers are not buying the new tobacco on any large scale. Thus it is stated that "purchasing by manufacturers has not been increased within the past month, and the price trend continues to be lower." The cigar business appears to be in a rather satisfactory condition. Although the demand is small and for the cheaper grade of cigars, district No. 3 (Philadelphia) states that "in most cases operations and sales have about reached a point of adjustment which prevents overstocking and at the same time furnishes a supply of cigars sufficient to meet ordinary needs."

## FROTT.

Although every fruit section has suffered from cold weather and frost, the extent of the damage varies with the different sections. District No. 11 (Dallas) reports that "fruit prospects indicate a larger and more satisfactory yield than that of 1920." On the other hand, district No. 8 (St. Louis) states that "prospects for fruit in all States of the district are probably the poorest on record." In district No. 12 (San Francisco) deciduous fruit crops have all suffered from frost damage but "a greater new acreage coming into bearing this year and an exceptionally heary set of fruit have offset the effect of frost damage,
and the reduction in total yield this year as compared with 1920 will not be so marked as was expected from earlier reports." The report further says that "in the Pacific Northwest present indications are for the largest, apple crop in the history of that section." However, "the stone fruits suffered approximately 30 per cent damage."

## GRAIN MOVEMENTS.

The movement of grain to market during April has reflected a seasonal decline. The receipts of wheat, however, have been an exception. At Minneapolis and Duluth wheat receipts were 21.7 per cent larger than last month and 31.1 per cent larger than receipts during April, 1920. The receipts at the four principal markets in district No. 10 (Kansas City) were unusually heavy for the season of the year, being $6,307,300$ bushels or 136.4 per cent larger than receipts during April, 1920. Minneapolis and Duluth receipts of corn, oats, and barley have all shown very large decreases from last month, namely, 64.4 per cent for corn, 67.2 per cent for oats, and 39.9 per cent for barley. Decreases in the receipts of these grains were also noted at the four principal markets of district No. 10 (Kansas City). This district reports that "the farmers are apparently holding much of their corn until a good crop is assured for this year." On the other hand, April shipments of grains from Minneapolis and Duluth were 35.9 per cent larger than last month, but were 16.8 per cent smaller than shipments during April, 1920. Stocks of all grains at the close of April in the terminal elevators at these cities were 15.3 per cent smaller than at the close of March, but were 14.8 per cent larger than at the close of April, 1920. The price of grains during April generally ruled lower than during March, the April median price of cash wheat No. 1 Dark Northern at Minneapolis being $\$ 1.57$ per bushel, as against $\$ 1.72$ during March. Prices have taken an upturn during May as a result of continued reports of crop deterioration.

## FLOUR.

Somewhat greater demand for flour is reported in certain sections. In district No. 8 (St. Louis) an increase in domestic sales is ascribed to "low stocks in the hands of retailers and consumers generally," although trade as a whole is far below normal. In district No. 10 (Kansas City) there is a slight improvement in the bakery demand, although jobbers are buying flour sparingly. Export demand has been sustained in the latter district, while in district No. 8 (St. Louis) it has evidenced
decided symptoms of improvement. Production during April showed some increase over March. Although average April production in the United States for the past six years has decreased about 4 per cent from the March figure, output of mills manufacturing 75 per cent of the flour production in district No. 9 (Minneapolis) during the five weeks ending April 30 increased 4 per cent over the output during the five weeks ending March 26 (from 2,220,685 barrels to $2,312,385$ barrels). This was 28 per cent greater than the output of 1,814,180 barrels during the corresponding period of 1920. These mills were operating at about 43 per cent of capacity during April, 1921. Output of reporting mills in district No. 10 (Kansas City) increased 19 per cent in April, 1921, over the April, 1920, figure (from 998,981 barrels to 1,193,081 barrels), and the mills operated at 54 per cent of capacity. Although the output fell off during the first week of May, it was again higher during the second week than during the corresponding week last year. Mill operation in district No. 8 (St. Louis) during the 30-day period ending May 15 was at from 40 to 50 per cent of capacity. On the other hand, millers in the Pacific Northwest, due to the lack of demand for flour, have been more active during the past few months in exporting wheat than in manufacturing flour. None of the mills in that section are heavily stocked with wheat, and the reports of 13 representative firms show 610,502 bushels on hand May 1, as compared with 1,024,522 bushels on April 1 and 1,039,605 bushels on May 1, 1920. Output decreased from 629,417 barrels reported by 76 mills in March to 563,166 barrels reported by 75 mills in April, and the mills operated at 34.5 per cent of capacity during April as compared with 45 per cent during March and 69.8 per cent during April, 1920. The price of flour showed a downward tendency during April, but since the opening of May has again increased with the increase in the price of wheat.

## LIVE STOCK.

As a result of the cold weather, both ranges and stock have undergone some deterioration in various sections, but the adverse effect in general has not been pronounced because of the fact that stock had been in good condition as a result of the mild winter and plentiful supply of feed. There has, however, been a serious shortage of moisture in the Texas Panhandle, eastern New Mexico, and Arizona. Stock in that section is reported in poor condition and there has been considerable feeding in New Mexico. Cattle in large
numbers have been moved from the drought stricken areas into Texas pastures that have been recently vacated in "what is said to be the heaviest grass cattle movement in many years." Receipts of each of the three principal classes of live stock during April show a falling off from the March figures, but in the case of cattle and calves alone are they less than for the same period last year. Receipts of cattle and calves at 15 western markets during April were 994,916 head, as compared with 1,119,548 head during March and 1,037,350 head during April, 1920. The respective index numbers are 99, 111, and 103. Receipts of hogs decreased from 2,390,480 head during March, corresponding to an index number of 109, to $2,279,495$ head during April, corresponding to an index number of 104 , as compared with 2,109,195 head during April, 1920, corresponding to an index number of 96 . April receipts of sheep were $1,077,806$ head, as compared with $1,161,549$ head in March and 927,800 head in April, 1920. The respective index numbers are 79,85 , and 68 . In district No. 12 (San Francisco) there has been an increase in shipments of grass-fed steers and spring lambs to eastern markets, while in district No. 11 (Dallas) the spring market movement "has been slow to materialize." The average price of cattle and hogs during April was decidedly lower than in March. The most recent quotations appear to show prices at approximately the same levels. Sheep prices during April and May showed little change. Production of packing-house products continues on a greatly curtailed scale, but some improvement was noted in April business. There were signs of a revival of buying for export account.

## PETROLEUM.

Petroleum production continued to increase during April and the early part of May, despite the fact that the March output was larger than that recorded in any previous month. The Kansas-Oklahoma field, which showed the most important increase in production, had an average daily production of 386,000 barrels during the four weeks ending May 13, as compared with an average daily production of 370,500 barrels for the four weeks ending April 18, and an average daily production of 356,000 barrels for the corresponding period in 1920. The average daily output of California was 338,981 barrels during April, as compared with 337,683 barrels in March. In contrast to these increases the average daily production of the oil fields in district No. 11 (Dallas) continued to decline
from 403,243 barrels in February and 394,174 barrels in March to 386,249 barrels in April. Drilling operations decreased during April, although there is normally a considerable increase at this season of the year. District No. 11 (Dallas) led in this decline with an initial average daily production of 97,176 barrels in April, as compared with 139,413 barrels in March. The number of wells completed in that district dropped from 395 to 359. However, an entirely new field was opened by the discovery of a 5,000 -barrel well near Haynesville, La. The number of wells completed in district No. 10 (Kansas City) declined from 768 in March to 588 during April, but the average daily initial production only declined from 71,460 barrels to 65,147 barrels. Fifty-eight new wells, with an initial daily output of 18,470 barrels, were opened during April in California. Pipe-line companies during April announced a cut of 25 cents in the price of crude oil in Texas. This reduced the price to $\$ 1.50$ in north Texas fields and to $\$ 1$ in the coastal section. The same reduction was made in prices of all grades of crude oil in certain of the California fields, but there was an increase in the price of Pennsylvania crude oil. The prices of most of the leading petroleum products declined during April, but the declines were more marked in the case of those products which are used purely for industrial purposes than in the case of those required by automobiles.

## coal.

Demand for bituminous coal is still very slack in all sections of the country. However, production has run considerably below consumption, as is evidenced by a decline in stocks from about $45,000,000$ tons on January 1 to $37,000,000$ tons on April 1. Production for April amounted to $27,875,000$ tons, as compared with $30,328,000$ tons during March and $37,939,000$ tons during April, 1920. The respective index numbers are 75, 82 , and 102. Many mines in Alabama and Tennessee are shut down for lack of orders, and others are operated only two or three days a week. District No. 10 (Kansas City) notes an improvement in production, but reports a great lack of market demand, even in the case of railroad fuel. Production of anthracite coal increased somewhat during April and amounted to $7,914,000$ tons, corresponding to an index number of 107 , as compared with $7,603,000$ tons during March, corresponding to an index number of 103 , and $6,225,000$ tons during April, 1920, corresponding to an index number of 84. Reports from district No. 3 (Phila-
delphia) show an increase of nearly 50 per cent in the stocks of retailers between January 1 and April 1 and a considerable decline in consumers' supplies. Leading retailers in that district announced advances of 25 cents per ton for domestic sizes during the first two weeks of May, but this action has failed to stimulate the demand. Business in steam sizes continues to be very stagnant, as a result of curtailment in industrial operations and severe competition from bituminous sources. In district No. 2 (New York) demand for egg and stove sizes of anthracite is reported fair, but other sizes have almost no market. Beehive coke production is still very low, and ovens in the Connellsville district are operating at less than one-fifth of capacity. By-product coke production is at a higher rate, but this is due, in part, to the demand for the by-products. Accumulation of stocks of coke has resulted in strenuous price cutting.

## IRON AND STEEL.

The iron and steel industry continues in an unsettled condition. The volume of new business is small and largely for immediate needs, in spite of the price reductions announced some time ago. In fact, it is stated that when there have been larger tonnages to distribute buyers are usually shopping extensively, with the result that some concessions in prices have developed, for example, on wire nails. Export trade, it is stated, continues dull. Seasonal increases in purchasing, however, are shown by the automobile and oil industries. These have given rise on the one hand to some demand for sheets, bars, and strip steel, as well as to the release of orders on which deliveries had been suspended, and on the other hand to some demand for tubular goods. Automobile manufacturers, it is stated from district No. 3 (Philadelphia), are, however, apparently drawing heavily on their accumulated stocks, and the demand in both industries is reported to be far from normal. There has been some increase in demand for fabricated steel for structural work. Thus the report of the Bridge Builders and Structural Society shows a further increase in orders placed with its membership from 29 per cent of capacity in March to $32 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent in April. The unfilled orders of the United States Steel Corporation, however, show a further falling off, reflecting the conditions indicated above. At the close of April they were $5,845,224$ tons, as compared with $6,284,765$ tons at the close of March. The respective index numbers are 111 and 116. It is estimated that in general the industry is now operating at from 35 to 40 per cent of capacity.

The number of furnaces in blast further decreased during April from 103 at the opening of the month to 96 at the close. Pig-iron production during April was 1,193,041 tons, corresponding to an index number of 51 , as compared with $1,595,522$ tons during March, corresponding to an index number of 69. Nevertheless, it is stated from district No. 3 (Philadelphia) that stocks of pig iron are undoubtedly accumulating. Many blast furnaces in that district are being operated merely to utilize the coke output of by-product ovens, which have been kept in operation as a result of the demand for the by-products and because of the danger of ovens deteriorating if closed. Steelingot production has likewise decreased from $1,570,978$ tons in March to $1,213,958$ tons in April. The respective index numbers are 67 and 52. In district No. 6 (Atlanta) alone steel mill operations are reported to show improvement.

## NONFERROUS METALS.

Copper production declined very considerably during April, as a result of the closing of most of the large copper mines. Production of reporting companies in northern Michigan amounted to only 4,282,414 pounds in April, as compared with $11,201,915$ pounds in March and 11,907,128 pounds in April, 1920. District No. 12 (San Francisco) reports that for those mines which are still operating, copper production is approximately 47 per cent of capacity. As a result of the curtailment of mining operations the price of copper (New York, net refinery) rose from 12.375 cents to 12.75 cents during May, but volume of demand has not shown any noteworthy improvement. The price of zinc increased slightly during the latter part of April, but receded during May to a level only slightly higher than that recorded in the middle of April. Zinc production during April amounted to 16,550 tons, as compared with 15,741 tons in March. Stocks of zinc on April 30 totaled 79,581 tons, as compared with 80,990 tons on April 1. Lead prices continued to advance during April and May, both for ore at the mine and for refined lead at New York and St. Louis. District No. 10 (Kansas City) reports that, as a result of the increase of lead ore prices, additional properties are opening each week and a considerable portion of the labor surplus is being absorbed.

## COTTON TEXTILES.

Prices of raw cotton advanced somewhat during April and quotations manifested less instability from day to day. Consumption during the month amounted to 408,882 bales,
or 29,000 bales less than in March. A drop in consumption is, however, usual at this season of the year. There has been an increase in activity of the cotton yarn mills in districts No. 1 (Boston) and No. 3 (Philadelphia). The Philadelphia report states that the greatest part of the business comes from the hosiery and light-weight underwear trade. Orders still remain small and there is little inclination to place them far ahead. More mills resumed operations in April, and production varied from about 50 per cent to 75 per cent of capacity. The situation in district No. 6 (Atlanta), so far as indicated by returns made by 10 representative yarn mills, showed contrary tendencies. These mills reported a decrease of 13.4 per cent during April in the quantity of their output as compared with March, while production was 38.8 per cent below that of April, 1920. Shipments fell off 33.5 per cent from the preceding month, while orders on hand at the end of April were 12.3 per cent below those for the end of March. On the other hand, 14 cloth mills in district No. 6 (Atlanta) had a yardage 0.8 per cent in excess of that for March and orders on hand at the end of the month showed an increase of 8 per cent. District No. 1 (Boston) states that buying is so close and such little margin is left for securing profits that manufacturers are unwilling to accept orders far in advance, so that in print cloths, more particularly, contracts of longer duration than July are the exception. In the case of ginghams and sheetings, which were early subjected to price revisions, sales have been well sustained, and in some instances the gingham output has been taken for a four months' period. Sales of print cloth at Fall River amounted to about 550,000 pieces for the four weeks ended May 14-an increase of 28 per cent over the preceding period of four weeks.

## FINISHING OF COTTON FABRICS.

Thirty-four of the 58 members of the National Association of Finishers of Cotton Fabrics reported total finished yards billed during the month at $86,311,438$ yards, as compared with $86,732,621$ yards in March. The total average percentage of capacity operated was 66 per cent for all reporting districts, as compared with 67 per cent during the preceding month. The total gray yardage of finishing orders received amounted to $92,920,824$, as compared with $88,342,599$ in March. The total average work ahead at the end of the month amounted to 10 days for all reporting districts, as compared with 8.4 days for the preceding month.

## HOSIERY.

The continuance of the strike in Philadelphia full-fashioned hosiery mills resulted in insistent demands upon other centers. But most mills, having booked orders for three to four months in advance, were unable to accept new business. As a result seamless and mock-fashioned silk lines have been doing unusually well, and some mills have been using night shifts. The demand for seamless cotton hosiery is not so great as for other lines; in fact in some instances business is dull. Twenty-three firms selling to the wholesale trade, which regularly report to the Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia, reported an increase of 13.3 per cent in the value of the product manufactured during April as compared with March. Orders booked during April declined, however, 28.3 per cent, while unfilled orders at the end of April registered a fractional increase of 0.3 per cent. The eight firms selling to the retail trade had increased the value of their output 43.3 per cent during the month. Orders booked during the month were 0.7 per cent larger than those of March, and unfilled orders on hand at the end of the month were 15.7 per cent in excess of those on hand at the end of March.

## UNDERWEAR.

District No. 3 (Philadelphia) reports that although orders for fall are being placed with the underwear mills, they are so small that they barely amount to 20 per cent of what is normal for this season of the year. The cool and wet weather of early May caused an immediate falling off in orders for current delivery-good evidence of the uncertain state of the market. "In the main, however, the market displays considerable activity, and manufacturers in many lines are unable to meet the present demand for short-time deliveries." The 21 firms which make monthly reports had an average output 5.7 per cent less in April than during the preceding month. Orders booked during April fell 20.7 per cent as compared with March, and unfilled orders on hand April 30 were 5.3 per cent less than at the end of the previous month.
The 61 mills making reports to the Knit Goods Manufacturers' Association of America had an output in April of 109,937 dozens of winter underwear, which was 35.6 per cent of normal. The production of summer underwear amounted to 292,001 dozens, or 58.3 per cent of normal. Thirty-two representative mills which furnished data for both March and April had a production of 275,382 dozens during the latter month, as compared with 285,515 dozens in March. Unfilled orders on the 1st
of April rose from 361,076 to 423,727 dozens. New orders received during the month of April rose from 354,959 dozens to 363,543 dozens. There was a slight drop in cancellations, which fell from 4,937 dozens to 4,279 dozens.

## WOOLEN TEXTILES.

The new wool clip is now being gathered, and sales in district No. 12 (San Francisco) are reported at prices of 10 to 16 cents per pound in the grease as compared with 50 cents per pound last year. The district clip will probably be somewhat below that of the preceding year. On the basis of estimates given by representative wool growers and warehouse companies, it is thought that the 1921 clip will be anywhere from 75 per cent of the 1920 clip in the States of Arizona and Washington to 100 per cent in Oregon and Utah. Estimates for California and Idaho are put at 90 per cent and at 80 per cent for Nevada. Unsold holdings in all sections of the country are thought to approximate 65 per cent to 75 per cent of the 1920 clip. Western holdings have been moving eastward by water in considerable volume for the past two months. DistrictNo. 1 (Boston) reports that the wool market is even more of a buyers' market than it was in March, as the large stocks of raw wool have been augmented by further importations of wool and tops, which have depressed prices. The finer counts of woolen and worsted yarns are in demand in district No. 3 (Philadelphia), but no substantial price changes have occurred since April, although some buyers have asked concessions from the contract prices of March in order to meet current quotations. The cloth mills in all sections are exhibiting a fairly high degree of activity, although there appear to have been no developments of particular interest during the past month.

## MEN'S CLOTHING.

District No. 7 (Chicago) has secured returns from five representative clothing manufacturers and nine tailors to the trade, and these statistics are sufficiently comprehensive to give a fairly complete picture of the clothing industry for the city of Chicago. Orders for fall suits received by the clothing manufacturers to date were 27.5 per cent less in terms of suit units than those for the same season during the previous year, while the number of suits made in April was 29.9 per cent less than during the same month a year ago. The tailors to the trade reported 42 per cent fewer orders (expressed in suit units) in April than during April, 1920, and 23.8 per cent less than during

March of this year. Suits made in April were 40.8 per cent below the numbers for the same month a year ago and 20.2 per cent below the totals for March.

## SILK TEXTTLES.

Districts No. 2 (New York) and No. 3 (Philadelphia) both mention the fact that the silk industry was less active during the last week in April and at the beginning of May. The drop, however, is thought to mark the transition from the spring to the fall season. Orders for fall delivery are coming in slowly, in sufficient quantity to maintain operations at about 60 per cent of capacity. Reports from Paterson and vicinity indicate a slight advance in May operations over the 60 per cent reported for April. Imports of raw silk into New York during April amounted to 35,886 bales, as compared with 14,043 bales in March. Stocks in New York warehouses at the end of April rose to 20,038 bales from 16,386 bales.

## SHOES AND LEATHER.

Prices of hides and skins have advanced rather sharply during May from the abnormally low levels prevailing in April. Calfskin prices registered advances of approximately 50 per cent by about May 20, while cow and steer hide prices increased between 20 and 30 per cent. The number of skins purchased advanced coincidently with the rise in prices. The demand for calfskins, especially in the light weights, and for colored glazed kid has increased steadily in volume, until there has arisen considerable difficulty in obtaining these varieties of leather. During the past month demand has spread from these lines to more staple leathers. Sole leather has advanced about 2 cents per pound and considerable demand for black glazed kid hasdeveloped. The demand for belting leather, on the other hand, has shown some slackening during May. Retail shoe sales have continued on a very satisfactory scale during April and May. Demand for women's shoes is still considerably in excess of demand for men's shoes. Shoe factories in district No. 1 (Boston) continued to operate in April at about 50 per cent of capacity, as in February and March. Shoe stocks of seven Boston department stores were 32 per cent less on May 1 than on the corresponding date in 1920 . The sales of shoes of these stores during the first four months of 1921, measured in dollars, were less than 1 per cent below sales in the corresponding period of 1920. Ten New England stores outside of Boston showed shoe sales 17.5 per cent less
during the first four months of 1921 than during the corresponding period of 1920 . District No. 3 (Philadelphia) reports that unfilled contracts for summer delivery are sufficient to occupy most plants at capacity until July 15. Many orders for fall delivery have been placed, which indicates an increased confidence in the present level of prices. Plant operations in district No. 8 (St. Louis) are being maintained at from 90 to 100 per cent of capacity. The demand centers in cheaper shoes and specialty goods. Prices in district No. 8 (St. Louis) declined between 5 and 10 per cent during the past month. During May two of the largest shoe manufacturing concerns in the United States and a large tanning company combined to form a new corporation which will manufacture and distribute shoes on a large scale in both the eastern and western States.

## LUMBER.

Demand for lumber continued to improve during April as a result of an increase in building operations and a further recession in prices. District No. 12 (San Francisco) reports that the present market for lumber is showing activity and stability. "The demand is increasing from week to week, and movement to local and eastern consuming centers is growing." Orders received during the four weeks ending April 30 by the four lumber manufacturers' associations of district No. 12 (San Francisco) showed an increase of 54 per cent over those for the four weeks ending March 26. Production during the same period increased 47.4 per cent and shipments 58.4 per cent. Shipments were 11.7 per cent above production, resulting in a further reduction of stocks. One hundred and fifteen mills belonging to the West Coast Lumbermen's Association reported for the four weeks ending March 26 a cut of $220,262,000$ board feet, shipments of $253,427,000$ feet, and orders of $263,666,000$ feet. Corresponding figures for the four weeks ending March 26, with 118 mills reporting, were as follows: $187,917,000$ feet, $209,970,000$ feet, and $213,431,000$ feet. The logging industry has not improved in unison with the mill industry, and, although the present visible supply of logs is limited, many logging companies show no tendency to resume operations. Increased demand for southern pine was evidenced in district No. 6 (Atlanta) during April. In the week ending April 29 orders received by 134 mills of the Southern Pine Association were only 7.8 per cent below normal production, whereas actual production was 26.8 per cent and shipments 14.9 per cent below normal production. In district No. 11 (Dallas)
the production of 29 southern pine mills amounted to 61 per cent of normal. Orders booked by the 29 mills were equivalent to 72 per cent of their normal production, whereas the same number of mills only booked orders equal to 61 per cent of their normal production in March. On April 29 the volume of unfilled orders on the books of these 29 reporting mills was $37,699,200$ feet, in comparison with orders totaling $30,265,302$ feet reported by a like number of mills on April 1. District No. 8 (St. Louis) reports that there was a heavy volume of orders for yellow pine and Douglas fir in the latter part of April, which has been followed by a lull in demand. "The tendency of prices of high-grade hardwoods is decidedly upward, lower grades continuing weak and unsteady." Reports of both manufacturers and retailers of lumber in district No. 9 (Minneapolis) indicate a considerable increase of activity. The April cut of 15 manufacturers was 23.2 per cent greater than in March, and shipments increased 48.2 per cent. Stocks at the end of the month were 1.1 per cent higher than at the close of March. Comparison with April, 1920, however, shows cut 37.1 per cent less, shipments 47.1 per cent less, and stocks 46.5 per cent greater. District No. 5 (Richmond) states that lumber prices have fallen from 10 to 15 per cent in the past month. An increased demand for southern pine and Douglas fir is reported from district No. 3 (Philadelphia), but "sales do not average more than 60 per cent of last spring's business." Prices in that district have declined since January 1, and quotations for both hardwood and softwood are now about 50 per cent below the high levels of 1920.

## BUILDING OPERATIONS.

Building operations showed a marked increase of activity during April. This activity is evidenced alike by statistics of the value of contracts awarded, the number of building permits issued, and the value of building permits. Whereas the increase of building operations in February was confined to States west of the Mississippi River, an advance in April was reported from almost all sections of the country. This upward movement was most general in the case of residential building, but considerable increases in other classes of building occurred in some of the districts. Contracts awarded in district No. 1 (Boston) amounted to about $\$ 16,700,000$ in April, as compared with $\$ 12,200,000$ in March, an increase of 37 per cent. About $\$ 6,500,000$ of the April total was for residential purposes, as compared with $\$ 4,300,000$ in March. In dis-
trict No. 2 (New York) contracts awarded during April had a total value of about $\$ 52,100,000$, as compared with a value of $\$ 30,900,000$ for March, an increase of 69 per cent. Residential building amounted to $\$ 18,-$ 100,000 in March and $\$ 28,600,000$ in April. Contracts totaling $\$ 15,100,000$, of which $\$ 6,300,000$ were for residential purposes, were awarded in district No. 3 (Philadelphia) during April, in comparison with March awards of $\$ 14,100,000$, of which $\$ 6,000,000$ were for residential purposes. In district No. 4 (Cleveland) contracts awarded during April totaled about $\$ 34,800,000$, as compared with awards amounting to $\$ 32,700,000$ in March. Of the April total, only $\$ 9,100,000$ was for residential purposes, as compared with $\$ 11,400,000$ in March.

Permits for new buildings in 23 cities of district No. 5 (Richmond) totaled 1,688 in April, as compared with 1,718 in March. The value of this new construction amounted to $\$ 13,594,575$, the highest monthly figure ever recorded for this group of cities. This was due to the inclusion of one industrial project which will cost $\$ 6,000,000$. "The housing situation in all cities in the district continues critical." A considerable increase in building activity is reported from district No. 6 (Atlanta), but this is more noticeable in the number than in the value of building permits. Contracts awarded in district No. 7 (Chicago) during April totaled $\$ 53,700,000$, of which $\$ 13,000,000$ were for residences, as compared with a total of $\$ 37,400,000$ during March, of which $\$ 9,300$,000 were for residences. In five reporting cities of district No. 8 (St. Louis) there was an increase in number of permits during April, but their total value was less than in March. Nine cities of district No. 9 (Minneapolis) issued 2,868 permits, valued at $\$ 5,606,011$ during April, as compared with 1,639 permits, valued at $\$ 2,621,591$, during March. This increase in building activity is accounted for almost entirely by an increase in the permits issued for the construction of small buildings. Reports from 17 cities in district No. 10 (Kansas City) show a total of 2,668 permits issued in April, as compared with 2,778 in March. Nine cities in district No. 11 (Dallas) report 2,588 permits, valued at $\$ 5,633,649$, issued in April, as compared with 2,331 permits, valued at $\$ 5,190,191$, issued in March. The value of building permits for 20 cities of district No. 12 (San Francisco) amounted to $\$ 19,907,621$ in April, in comparison with $\$ 18,542,835$ in March. "In southern California activity , approaching a building boom is in progress."

## EMPLOYMENT.

The employment situation has shown no signs of improvement during the past month. On the contrary, all available evidence points to a slight increase in numbers unemployed despite the absorption of labor by farming districts and industries which have experienced a limited revival of active operations. In New England the situation remained virtually unchanged during the month. There was a slightly increased demand for textile operatives, especially weavers, and for mechanics in the building trades. There was no revival in the metal trades, however. The Boston Public Employment Office reported a fair demand for common labor at 50 cents per hour. The Springfield office stated that the demand for farm hands was less than usual, and there was no difficulty in securing men at wages 20 per cent to 25 per cent below those prevailing a year ago. During May several rather extensive strikes added to the number of unemployed -notably those affecting the garment and paper making industries and the building trades. In district No. 2 (New York) there was probably a slight decrease in numbers employed during the month. The New York State Bureau of Labor estimated that about 500,000 persons were idle, 150,000 of whom were unemployed because of labor disputes. Among the latter were included marine workers, traction and building workers in various cities, and employees of printing and publishing establishments. The industrial commission reports a 2 per cent reduction in numbers employed in factories of New York State during April. Wage reductions, which affected about one-half the firms reporting to the Federal Reserve Bank of New York in April, have since become more widespread, and it is estimated that about three-fourths of the workers in the district have been affected by reductions either achieved or prospective. Employment conditions have undergone little change in district No. 3 (Philadelphia). As a result of attempts to reduce wages, strikes are in progress in Philadelphia affecting the fullfashioned hosiery workers, the printers, and carpet weavers. There are also strikes among the building-trade workers of Philadelphia and other large cities.

In district No. 5 (Richmond) unemployment is particularly noticeable "among middleaged and older women, who have been released from factories in considerable numbers." Farm labor is in excess of demand and wages have been greatly reduced. In district No. 6 (Atlanta) there was an increase in April of 8.8
per cent in numbers employed in Atlanta, according to the United States Department of Labor. On the other hand, decreases of 13.2 per cent and 8.9 per cent, respectively, were reported from New Orleans and Chattanooga. A strike of metal-trade workers in New Orleans, which had lasted two months, was finally settled by an agreement to accept wage reductions of 10 cents per hour. The New Orleans printers were on strike at date of writing, asking a 44-hour week instead of the prevailing 48 -hour week. The Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago received reports directly from 178 concerns, at present employing 115,000 workers, giving data as to the numbers employed and the amount of pay roll in April as compared with the preceding month. There had been a decrease of 3.9 per cent in numbers as compared with March returns for Chicago and 1.9 per cent for the district as a whole. Pay-roll totals had fallen 6.3 per cent in Chicago and 4.2 per cent in the district at large. The Free Employment Offices of Illinois showed a fall during April from 275 to 232 in the number of applications for each 100 places open. In Detroit, however, at date of writing 118,497 men were reported unemployed as compared with 100,347 on April 12. In district No. 8 (St. Louis) no particular change in conditions is noted. The trend in wages continues sharply downward, and further cuts have occurred within the past 30 days in lumber, flour milling, cooperage, plumbers' supplies, farm implements, stoves, automobile bodies, candy, and in some cases in the building trades. Unemployment is especially pronounced among common labor and railroad workers. In district No. 9 (Minneapolis), reports from 15 lumber manufacturers who employed 1,984 men in March showed an average decrease of 5 per cent in number of employees in April, while 29 ironmining companies employing 6,309 men in March had reduced their forces about 6 per cent during the month. Michigan copper mining companies showed a reduction of 43 per cent in numbers in April, as a result of rather extensive closing. In the farming districts, however, there is little surplus labor.

The United States Department of Labor reported decreases in numbers employed at the end of April as compared with the end of March for both Minneapolis and St. Paul, amounting to one-tenth of 1 per cent and 13.7 per cent, respectively. These figures, however, apply only to large establishments employing over 500 men. District No. 11 (Dallas) says "an unusually large surplus of farm labor is reported from the rural districts, due largely to the growing tendency on the part
of the farmers to cultivate only such acreage as they are able to take care of without hired help." Largely as a result of strikes in the building trades and among marine workers, unemployment increased in district No. 12 (San Francisco) where 15,000 men were out of work in May, but elsewhere in the district decreases were the rule. Although improved conditions in the lumber industry together with demands for agricultural labor caused a decrease in the surplus labor in the cities, the reduction has not been as material as was anticipated, because demand, as elsewhere, was restricted by a disposition on the part of farmers to do more work unassisted.

## wholesale trade.

The seasonal increases in the sales of reporting wholesale firms in the four leading lines of hardware, groceries, dry goods, and boots and shoes, which were quite pronounced and general throughout the country during the month of March, were followed by equally marked recessions in May sales for most reporting lines. The returns from 25 wholesale hardware firms located in district No. 3 (Philadelphia) were an exception to the general rule, as net sales increased 11.4 per cent during April as compared with March, although sales were 18.7 per cent below those for April, 1920. The increase is attributed to a gain in building activity, as the demand for mill supplies and general hardware was said to be the poorest that has existed in recent months. Reports from 51 wholesale grocery firms in that district showed declines of 14.9 per cent from March totals and 38.4 per cent from the sales of April, 1920. Although sharp price declines explain some part of the difference in total sales values as between this year and last, they do not account for the whole of that difference. Returns from district No. 4 (Cleveland) present comparisons only with April, 1920, and declines for the eight hardware firms were 21.9 per cent, slightly greater than in district No. 3 (Philadelphia), while sales of 14 grocery houses were 37.7 per cent less, and sales of five dry goods concerns 4.2 per cent lower. In hardware, groceries, dry goods, and boots and shoes, sales in district No. 5 (Richmond) fell off from the March total by amounts ranging from 1.7 per cent in the case of hardware to 27.2 per cent in dry goods. The declines as compared with April, 1920, varied from 22.7 per cent in hardware to 39.1 per cent in dry goods. Orders in all lines except dry goods are for small lots for immediate shipment. Declines in April sales as compared with March were more pronounced in general in district No. 6 (Atlanta) than in
district No. 5 (Richmond). The 15 reporting dry goods firms, however, showed a reduction of 25.5 per cent, which was not quite so large as in the Richmond section. The 13 hardware firms reported sales 10.6 per cent below March; in the case of 20 grocery firms, the drop was 16.2 per cent, and for seven shoe houses, 33.4 per cent. Declines as compared with a year ago averaged 39.7 per cent for dry goods, the minimum figure, and 47.5 per cent for groceries, the maximum.

In district No. 7 (Chicago) grocery sales of 26 firms suffered an almost equally heavy decline of 42.8 per cent, dry goods sales ( 12 firms) were 35.3 per cent lower, and shoe sales ( 10 firms ) 24.8 per cent less than during April, 1920. In district No. 10 (Kansas City) the sales of three reporting grocery firms were 32.2 per cent below those of April, 1920. April sales were also 6.7 per cent below March sales, although weather and road conditions were assigned as the cause of this fact. The wholesale hardware sales of three reporting firms are likewise 40 per cent below the totals of a year ago and 18.1 per cent less than in March. Contrary to the general tendency, the wholesale trade returns for district No. 11 (Dallas) had shown pronounced reductions in March sales of hardware as compared with February, and the report for April states that reductions not only continued but were slightly greater than in March. Seventeen grocery firms had sales 12.4 per cent below March totals, which in turn were slightly below February figures; sales of five dry goods houses were 25.8 por cent lower; and sales of two hardware firms, 9.7 per cent less. As compared with April, 1920, decreases ranged from 30 per cent for hardware to 48 per cent for groceries. District No. 12 (San Francisco) says that the volume of orders for summer delivery placed by retailers is not only much below last year, but no improvement in this respect was witnessed in April. Buying continues to be in limited quantities for immediate need. The reductions in sales, however, as compared with the proceding month are on the whole not by any means as striking as in other districts. Sales of the 24 reporting hardware firms dropped only 1.7 per cent; grocery sales ( 30 firms) fell 13.8 per cent; shoe sales ( 18 firms) 6.4 per cent, and dry goods sales ( 12 firms) recorded a slight advance of 1.4 per cent. As compared with a year ago, declines ran from 15.2 per cent for dry goods to 36.2 per cent for groceries.

## RETAIL TRADE

Retail trade throughout the country during April reflected a seasonal decline. In practi-
cally every district the net sales showed a decrease both when compared with last month and also with the same month a year ago. However, when the price changes are considered, it would seem that the actual volume of units sold during April, 1921, was greater than that sold during the same month a year ago. The unseasonable weather conditions during April, and the fact that Easter fell in March this year instead of in April were, of course, large factors in the decrease of sales. The reports from representative department, stores show a decrease in net sales from the same month a year ago of 1.9 per cent in district No. 1 (Boston), 0.5 per cent in district No. 2 (New York), 0.4 per cent in district No. 3 (Philadelphia), 0.7 per cent in district No. 5 (Richmond), 17.7 per cent in district No. 11 (Dallas), and 9.3 per cent in district No. 12 (San Francisco). In every district stocks on hand at the end of the month again showed a large decrease from stocks on hand at the end of April, 1920, the decrease in most cases being larger than the comparative figures of a month ago. Most districts showed a slight increase in stocks at the close of April as compared with stocks at the close of March. The rapidity of the turnover of stocks has been generally slower than last month. The percentage of outstanding orders at the end of April to total purchases during the calendar year 1920 has been generally decreasing, which affords evidence that the merchants have been confining their purchases to meet immediate requirements and have not been placing any great amount of orders for future delivery. However, reports from various districts indicate that some retailers are now beginning to anticipate their requirements in that they are placing some orders for fall delivery.

## PRICES.

As regards prices there seems to be increasing realization of the fact that a relatively stable wholesale price level will not be obtained until liquidation has occurred in all lines in which production, consumption, or prices are out of harmony one with another. Liquidation of a pronounced sort has occurred during the course of the past year in raw materials such as grain and live stock, cotton, wool, hides, copper and other nonferrous metals, with the result that raw materials in these lines are now being sold at approximately prewar prices. In the case of cotton, hides, and nonferrous metals conditions in April and early May were such as to indicate that a fair degree of stability had been obtained, and prices showed slight increases over March levels. Wool prices, however, continued to
decline because of the abnormally heavy importations which are being made in anticipation of the new tariff measure.
It is impossible to say whether this relative stability in the textile and agricultural lines will be permanent or not. In the case of cotton, wool, and grains, stocks which are being held at present are considerably larger than were customary before the war. Commodities in which the carry-over stocks from the period of abnormal Government demand were relatively small, did not feel the effect of liquidation as early as other commodities. When buying was restricted and production curtailed in textiles and other lines, however, the effect of this was passed on to such commodities as coal, petroleum, iron and steel, and other building materials. As a result, prices in these lines have been reduced, but not as much as in the cases mentioned above, since stocks had not accumulated to the same extent. Decline in spot prices of bituminous coal has been very spectacular, but the present level is still as high as in 1919 and the first months of 1920, and at present the tendency of these prices seems to be toward higher levels. Contract prices for the coming year have apparently not yet crystallized. Pig iron, petroleum, and leading building materials are still being reduced in price, with present levels ranging up to and above twice the prewar level. As conditions improve in the manufacturing lines, demand will doubtless increase for these commodities, but it is impossible to say whether these factors will be stronger than those making for lower levels.
An interesting factor of recent weeks is the readjustment of prices within industries in such a way as to bring about a more normal relationship of the various grades or classes of manufactured goods to one another. This has been especially noticeable in the case of cotton cloth. Profits in certain lines have been narrowed down to such a point that readjustment of this sort is essential to future business.
Index numbers of wholesale prices show that the decline in April was somewhat greater than in March. The index number of the Bureau of Labor Statistics shows a decline of 5 per cent, compared with 3 per cent in March, while the index number of the Federal Reserve Board, constructed primarily with the view to international comparisons, also shows a decline of 5 per cent. Retail prices of food were likewise reduced at a somewhat more rapid rate in April than in March. According to the index of the Bureau of Labor Statistics, based upon prices of 43 articles of food in a large number of cities, the reduction amounted to 1.3 per cent in March and 2.5 per cent in April. No statistics
are available to show the shift in the retail prices of other commodities.

## SHIPPING.

The shipping situation showed some improvement during May, the most encouraging feature being a sudden demand in the early part of the month for the charter of coal-carrying ships for May loading. The demand was chiefly for United Kingdom destination, as a result of the continuance of the British coal strike, but rates all around stiffened in consequence. Whereas ships had been chartered to carry coal from North Atlantic ports to the Continent for as low as $\$ 3.75$ per ton in March and April, the quotation in the early part of May was $\$ 5.25$ and higher. While these increased rates have not been sufficient to attract many ships that had definitely been laid up, they put a stop, for the time being at least, to further plans of owners for placing additional vessels in the hands of caretakers. The conditions underlying the steamship business, namely, high operating costs, diminished cargoes, and low rates, are, however, not substantially changed, and it is generally agreed that an increase of production and of the demand for goods throughout the world must be realized before the present situation can be much improved.

As for the marine strike in American ports, the effect has been less serious than was anticipated, although some outgoing steamers have been delayed. In a statement issued toward the end of May, Secretary of Commerce Hoover gave some figures showing the effect of the marine strike in the various United States ports from May 1 to 22, inclusive. The figures show that 190 American steamers were detained by the strike, while 936 American steamers sailed from American ports during that time. The Pacific coast ports have felt the strike most severely, the Gulf ports somewhat less, and the Atlantic ports only to a comparatively small extent.

## The Acceptance Market.

The Federal Reserve Banks report the condition of the acceptance market in their respective districts as follows:

## district no. 1-boston.

During the latter part of April there was very little demand for bills, with the result that dealers' portfolios increased considerably. There were some sales made through that period, and the corporations were perhaps the best buyers. Short-term bills were a drug on
the market. Toward the end of the month there was a better demand consequent upon the rates being changed from $5 \frac{5}{8}$ to $5 \frac{3}{4}$ for nineties. The demand continued until about the middle of the month on 90 -day bills. About the middle of the month a slackening up in demand occurred, and at the close of the period the bill market was very inactive, a few sales being made, but not many being offered. The 120 -day bills have become more general and quite a few are offered in this market at rates of $5 \frac{7}{8}$ and 6 . The buying rates of the Federal Reserve Bank of Boston were above the outside market most of the month, but on May 12 the pressure on 30 -day bills was felt to be so great that relief was needed, and this bank accordingly reduced its rate for one day to $5 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent, and consequently purchased approximately $\$ 5,000,000$ worth of bills. Toward the close of the month the carrying rate of the Boston bank for bills held for dealers on shortterm agreements was reduced to $5 \frac{5}{8}$, as some of the dealers complained that the market was pegged at $5 \frac{3}{4}$. The change did not result in undue pressure for accommodation, because it was possible to control the amount of bills offered us by suggestion and by indicating a disinclination to allow dealers to unload their portfolios on this bank too heavily. Most bills were made during the month on sugar, but there was a fair supply of cotton, dollar exchange, wool, and coffee. The Boston Reserve Bank's portfolio has increased about $\$ 7,000,000$, approximately all of these bills being due within 30 days. The current buying rate of the Federal Reserve Bank of Boston for prime 90 -day bills is $5 \frac{3}{3}$, the same for sixties, and $5 \frac{5}{8}$ for thirties, with a corresponding increase of $\frac{1}{8}$ for less known names. No 6 -month bills have been offered this bank, though bills at 6 per cent indorsed for 90 days would probably be bought unless the volume offered was excessive. No discrimination in the carrying rate on account of maturity is being made, but 6 -month bills when eligible are being taken.

## DISTRICT NO. 2-NEW YORK.

During the past four weeks the rate on prime 90 -day bills fluctuated between $5 \frac{5}{8}$ and $5 \frac{3}{4}$. Dealers found it difficult to maintain sales at the lower rate, and the period closed with $5 \frac{3}{3}$ as the ruling rate. The volume of sales fluctuated with changes in rates. In the first week in May, when the $5 \frac{3}{4}$ rate was maintained, sales by principal dealers were larger than in any previous week for nearly three months. Total sales for the month, however, were approximately equal to the monthly average for the first quarter.

About one-third of the bills coming into the market during the past four weeks were drawn for the purpose of furnishing dollar exchange. Another third was composed of bills covering packers' products, grain, and sugar, in about equal amounts. In the second week of May the aggregate of cotton bills increased somewhat, though these were still in smaller volume than other bills.
On May 16 the Federal Reserve Bank of New York announced that in accordance with Regulation B, series of 1921, of the Federal.Reserve Board, bills of maturity up to six months, issued in import and export transactions, might be offered to the Federal Reserve Banks for purchase. Heretofore three months has been the maximum maturity of acceptances eligible for purchase.
The considerations which led the Board to make eligible bills of longer maturity are stated by Gov. Harding as follows: "(1) The desire to widen the acceptance market by meeting the wants of sarings banks and similar purchasers of bankers' acceptances who are now deterred from investing in acceptances of longer than three months' maturity, because of the lack of authority of Federal Reserve Banks to purchase longer maturities up to six months; (2) to provide more ample facilities for financing import and export trade with countries where either normal conditions or present abnormal conditions indicate the desirability of rendering assistance by making acceptances of maturities not exceeding six months eligible for purchase by Federal Reserve Banks."
The minimum buying rate of this bank has remained unchanged at $5 \frac{3}{4}$ to 6 per cent for indorsed prime bills ranging up to 90 days' maturity.

## DISTRIOT NO. 3-PHILADELPHIA.

Five dealers in bankers' acceptances report that sales in this district during April fell off 4 per cent from those in March. The month, however, shows a very large increase over the corresponding period in 1920. Three of the five dealers were selling acceptances in April, 1920, and sales in April, 1921, were five or six times as large as at that time.
Reports of 11 accepting Philadelphia banks may be summarized as follows:

|  | Executed during preceding month | Outstanding on date given. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mar. 10.................. | \$5, 321, 000 | \$14, 095, 000 |
| Apr. 10. | 4, 561, 000 | 13, 150,000 |
| May 10.......... | 5,596, 000 | 12, 844,000 |

As noted in our last review, decreasing foreign trade has had a noticeable effect in reducing the volume of bankers' acceptances executed. Conflicting views are given whether or not the supply of acceptances is equal to the demand. City banks have not displayed any particular interest in the market and the bulk of the sales are to banks in the smaller centers.

An interesting estimate of the types of transactions covered by acceptances executed during April is given by one of the large acceptance firms. Their letter states that imports accounted for 65 per cent of the total, exports 10 per cent, domestic shipments and warehousing 10 per cent, and dollar exchange 15 per cent. Bills to finance sugar importations composed a large part of the bills, and wool, cotton, silk, hides, leathers, grain, oil, tea, and coffee were also important. Three of the five dealers hold that bills to create dollar exchange are of small consequence thus far, whereas another states that he is handling a larger number than ever before.

Rates quoted in the first two or three weeks of May are generally about the same as in April, but there have been many fluctuations and variations of one-eighth of 1 per cent are common. Quotations as of the middle of May were as follows:

|  | 30 days. | 60 days. | 90 days. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eligible members' bills. | $5 \frac{1}{2}-55$ | 55 $-5 \frac{3}{4}$ | 5焉-57 |
| Eligible nonmembers' bills. | $5 \frac{5}{8}-5 \frac{7}{8}$ | 5 $\frac{3}{4}-6$ | 5\%-6 |

## DISTRICT NO. 4-CLEVELAND.

During the month the market for prime bankers' acceptances was sporadic, with a considerable falling off in the demand from the banks of this district. The supply of paper has continued to increase, as in the previous month.

Brokers were offering a good variety of bills, but with little material effect on demand. The rates have not followed the basic principle of supply and demand, but remained on a level proportionately lower than other forms of commercial paper. The trend of the market throughout the month has shown that there is no hesitancy in purchasing this form of paper because of the rate, but more so because there seems to be but little idle money.

During the month much interest was shown in the announcement of the Federal Reserve Board that six months' bankers' acceptances, issued on import and export transactions, had now become eligible for open-market purchase by the Federal Reserve Banks. This will provide a discount market for a class of paper that previously found little or no demand, and will
more effectively enable our discount market to aid overseas commerce.
Prime 90 -day bills are quoted at $5 \frac{3}{4}$ to $5 \frac{7}{8}$; prime 60 -day bills at $5 \frac{5}{8}$ to $5 \frac{3}{4}$; prime 30 -day bills at $5 \frac{1}{2}$ to $5 \frac{5}{8}$.

## DISTRICT NO. 6--ATLANTA.

The amount of domestic acceptances executed by 15 member banks in this district was reported to be approximately 15 per cent greater than during the month of March, although less than one-half of the amount executed by the same banks during April, 1920. Foreign acceptances were executed during April in amounts approximately 11 per cent less than during March and 19 per cent less than during April last year. The prevailing rate of discount is reported at from $6 \frac{1}{4}$ per cent to 8 per cent. The reports received indicate that a relatively small number of banks are executing or dealing in acceptances.
The amount of acceptances rediscounted by the Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta during April was larger than for March or February, but little more than 25 per cent of the amount held during April of last year.

Shipments of cotton at New Orleans during April were less than those during March. At Savannah cotton shipments for export increased over March, and there was also some foreign movement of naval stores. There is a close relation between the movement of cotton and naval stores at the ports of the district and activity in acceptance transactions.

DISTRICT NO. $7-$ CHICAGO.
Some individual houses report increased demand, especially by correspondent banks, others by corporations and individuals who have funds for short-time investments.
Reports received from three dealers and twenty-nine banks, including practically all accepting banks in the district, show activities in bankers' acceptances for April, as follows:


About 60 per cent of the purchases were of 90-day maturity, and the balance equally divided between 30 and 60 days. About twothirds of the purchases were based on transac-
tions involving importation or exportation of goods, according to reports of banks making such classification. Mentioned as predominating in the commodities against which bills were drawn are: Meats, grain, canned goods, coffee, sugar, crude oil, and agricultural implements. Compared with March, banks show a fractional per cent decrease in bills bought, a decrease of 28 per cent in bills held at the close of month, a decrease of 18 per cent in bills sold, and an increase of 21 per cent in the amount of bills accepted. The three dealers who also reported for March show an increase of 16 per cent in bills bought, a decrease of 32 per cent in bills sold, and an increase of 2 per cent in bills held at the close of the month.

Operations of the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago reflect the general acceptance situation, as is shown by the following figures:

|  | April. | March. | Percentage change in Aprilover March. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| During month: |  |  |  |
| Bankers' acceptances rediscounted. | \$111,500 | \$283,309 | -61 |
| Bills bought 1 . | 11, 423,244 | 15,022,539 | -24 |
| Bills sold from holdings. | 3,624,680 | 2,052,150 | $+76$ |
| Held at the close of month: |  |  |  |
| Bankers' acceptances rediscounted. | 81,500 | 180,507 | -55 |
|  | 7,500,910 | 11,113,776 | -32 |

${ }^{1}$ Included in bills bought but not in bills sold are those bought with the agreement by the seller to repurchase within 15 days.

## DISTRICT NO. 8-ST. LOUIS.

The market for bankers' acceptances in the past month has been more sustained than for some time. This is due in part to the better position of the larger banks and to a more active demand for such bills from private investors and corporations having surplus funds to invest. Formerly private investors and corporations demanded indorsed bank bills, but as they become more familiar with such investments they buy unindorsed bills. Prime names are selling an eighth to a quarter off prices of a month ago, thus reflecting easier money conditions.

Between April 15 and May 15 the high, low, and customary interest rates prevailing in St. Louis and Louisville, as reported by banks in those cities, were as follows:

|  | St. Louis. |  |  | Louis ville. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bankers' acceptances of 60 to 90 days: | H. | $L$. | c. | H. | $L$. | c. |
| Indorsed............................. | 6 | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | $5{ }^{5}$ | $5{ }^{3}$ | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | $5{ }^{\frac{3}{4}}$ |
| Unindorsed.... | 6 | $5 \frac{3}{2}$ | 6 | 6 | 53 | $5{ }^{\frac{3}{4}}$ |

## DISTRICT NO. 9-MINNEAPOLIS.

Loans of representative city banks in this district exhibit a reduction of about 20 per cent when compared with April a year ago. The percentages of reduction were largest in the holdings of bankers' acceptances, cattle loans, notes of grain, milling, automobile, and lumber companies, and in personal loans to bankers and mercantile firms.

## DISTRICT NO. I1-DALLAS.

Our holding of bankers' acceptances purchased in the open market aggregated only $\$ 24,822.60$ at the close of April. Less than $\$ 4,000$ of these bills were acquired by this bank during that month, and only a nominal volume seems to have passed through member banks since our last report. The predominating type of acceptance executed in this district consists of bills of exchange used to finance the intrastate movement of cotton. The current rate on this class of paper at the present time is 8 per cent, which is the rate quoted by banks at Dallas, El Paso, and Waco, no quotations being made at Houston, San Antonio, or Fort Worth.

## DISTIICT NO. 12-SAN FRANCISCO.

During the past month a broad demand for bankers' acceptances has existed in Oregon, Washington, and the southern part of California. In the central and northern parts of the latter State the demand has been scattered and of small volume.
The rate for prime nineties has varied between $5 \frac{3}{2}$ per cent and $5 \frac{5}{8}$ and is at the present writing $5 \frac{3}{3}$ per cent.

The fluctuation in rates has been due in a measure to the easing of money rates in New York, but more particularly to the scarcity of prime bankers' , ${ }^{2}$ ceptances. The demand for paper of this character is constantly growing, while the supply, due to general business conditions, has decreased somewhat.

## Acceptances to $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ Per Cent.

Since the issuance of the May Bulletin the following banks have been authorized by the Federal Reserve Board to accept drafts and bills of exchange up to 100 per
cent of their capital and surplus: cent of their capital and surplus:

First National Bank, Bridgeport, Conn.
Commerce Guardian Trust \& Savings Bank, Toledo,

## SUMMARY OF GOVERNOR HARDING'S SPEECH. ${ }^{1}$

## RECENT ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENTS.

An examination of the chart on page 672 throws light on the course of events in the reserve banking field in 1919, 1920, and the first four months of 1921 in relation to other economic phenomena. The last three months of 1919 witnessed a very rapid expansion of credit, accompanied by a decline in the cash reserves of the Federal Reserve Banks, due largely to the export of gold in settlement of balances accumulated during the war by South America and the Orient. As early as the spring of 1919 the Federal Reserve Board had sounded a warning against undue expansion of bank credit, much of which was used for speculative purposes, and in November, 1919, a first step in the direction of advancing discount rates was made. But the expansion continued. In January, 1920, another advance in rates was announced, and in May the rate on commercial paper was advanced to 7 per cent at four Reserve Banks, while four others adopted systems of progressive rates.

These measures of the reserve authorities succeeded in checking the rate of credit expansion, in spite of the fact that the direction of the gold movement had been reversed and gold began to come into this country as the result of efforts of European countries to maintain their credit in America and of the high premium commanded by the dollar in the money markets of the world.

In May, 1920, prices had reached the high level indicated by the index number of wholesale prices of 272 , on the basis of 1913 as 100. The post-war rise in prices was due largely to speculation, extravagant expenditures, and rapid expansion of bank credits. Early in 1920 the collapse of the Japanese silk market presaged the coming of a turn in events. The public was no longer willing or able to pay the high prices demanded, and a decline in prices set in, at first gradual, later precipitate, affecting more particularly raw materials and farm products. The drop in prices, the higher discount rates, the passing of the crop-moving season, and the sobering effect of a world-wide depression on our own business community are the main causes of the decline in the earning assets of the Reserve Banks since October of last year. To these causes there was added in 1921 the decline in the value of our foreign trade, especially of the excess of exports over

[^0]imports, which from $\$ 454,000,000$ in December dropped to $\$ 85,000,000$ in April.

The Federal Reserve System during the period of expansion and speculation, followed by contraction and depression, acted as a moderator and shock absorber, checking the rate of expansion in 1920, yet continuing to issue notes and to grant credits required by the business of the country, and later preventing the occurrence of an acute crisis by making gradual liquidation possible.

The danger of economic collapse has now definitely passed. The earning assets of the Reserve Banks and Federal Reserve note circulation are back to the level of 1919, while gold reserves have risen to the highest point on record. It is to be expected that after the stress and strife of the past two years an era of growing business and increasing prosperity is not far distant.

The experience of the last two years has clearly demonstrated that the Federal Reserve System can stand up under a terrific strain, that it can moderate the impact of economic forces and make possible a transition from a period of reckless expansion to one of liquidation without a disastrous crash. At the same time recent events emphasize the fact that the Federal Reserve System can neither produce nor neutralize economic forces, but can only endeavor to moderate their ruthless effects on the economic fabric of the country.

## EARNINGS OF THE FEDERAL RESERVE BANKA.

Earnings of Federal Reserve Banks depend largely on the volume of their discounts for member banks and on the discount rates charged. The discount rates are determined by the banks, with the approval of the Federal Reserve Board, in accordance with prevailing credit conditions and the consequent credit policy, while the volume of operations of the Federal Reserve Banks depends on the magnitude of the demand for accommodation by the member banks and the amount of credit available to satisfy this demand. The amounts earned by the Reserve Banks are thus dependent primarily on member-bank requirements and, to a less degree, on Federal Reserve Board policy. There is, therefore, clearly no justification for discussing the earnings of Federal Reserve Banks in comparison with the earnings of institutions serving private interests. These earnings should be considered in relation to the available funds at the disposal of the Federal Reserve Banks. The chart on page 673 shows net earnings of the banks in 1920 related to daily average paid-in capital,

surplus, members' reserve deposits, and Federal Reserve note circulation.

On the basis of capital alone the earnings were 158.4 per cent; on the basis of capital and surplus, 62.9 per cent. But these rates of return are practically meaningless, for the Reserve Banks have at their disposal much larger funds on which they pay no interest and which practically can not be withdrawn, namely, the reserve deposits of the member banks. These deposits, amounting on the average to $\$ 1,835,000,000$ in 1920 , represent the lawful reserves of the member banks and may not be reduced, except as the member banks' own deposits decline. When these
the note issues. As related to the notes, net earnings of the Reserve Banks in 1920 constituted 4.7 per cent. When all the banks' loanable funds-capital, surplus, reserve deposits, and notes-are added, it is seen that their earnings constitute but $2: 9$ per cent of the total.
Recognizing the public character of the Federal Reserve Banks, Congress inserted in the Federal Reserve Act a provision by which net earnings of the Reserve Banks in excess of 6 per cent are turned over to the Government as a franchise tax. Since Reserve Bank earnings are augmented by an increase in discount rates, and since these rates are determined by public policy, it is but fitting that

deposits are added to the capital and surplus, the rate of return of the Reserve Banks on their operating funds is but 7 per cent.

But Federal Reserve Banks have another source of loanable funds, namely, Federal Reserve notes. which are obligations of the United States Government, and are issued to the Federal Reserve Banks against gold or eligible commercial paper, with the limitation that the Reserve Banks must keep 40 per cent in gold against their notes in circulation. The franchise tax paid by the banks may be considered as a return to the Government for lending its credit to the banks by guaranteeing
the earnings above a moderate return on the capital invested be turned over to the Government, and that is what is done. In 1920 $\$ 60,000,000$ were paid by the Reserve Banks to the Treasury as franchise tax. The banks are permitted by law to accumulate a surplus equal to their subscribed capital, and after that is done to set aside 10 per cent of their earnings above 6 per cent as a further surplus, but the entire surplus in the final analysis belongs to the Government, as in case of liquidation member banks may receive only the amount of their contribution to the capital stock of the Reserve Bank and accrued dividends.

## GERMAN REPARATIONS.

Below is given the text of the protocol of the Reparations Commission, stating the terms of the reparations settlement between Germany and the Allied Governments. The protocol is published in the form in which it was furnished to the Federal Reserve Board by the French High Commission.

## PROTOCOL OF THE REPARATIONS COMMISSION.

The Reparations Commission has, in accordance with article 232 of the Treaty of Versailles, proceeded to define the time and manner for securing and discharging the entire obligation of Germany for reparation under articles 231, 232 , and 233 of the treaty, as follows:

This determination is without prejudice to the duty of Germany to make restitution under article 238 or to other obligations under the treaty.
(1) Germany will perform in the manner laid down in this schedule her obligation to pay the total fixed in accordance with articles 231, 232, and 233 of the Treaty of Versailles by the commission, viz, " $132,000,000,000$ gold marks, less (a) the amount already paid on account of reparation; (b) sums which may from time to time be credited to Germany in respect of State properties in ceded territory, etc.; and (c) any sums received from other enemy or ex-enemy powers in respect of which the commission may decide that credit should be given to Germany, plus the amount of the Belgian debt to the Allies, the amounts of these deductions and additions to be determined later by the commission."
(2) Germany shall create and deliver to the commission in substitution for bonds already delivered or deliverable under paragraph 12c of Annex 2, Part VIII, Treaty of Versailles, bonds hereafter described.
(a) Bonds for the amount of $12,000,000,000$ gold marks. These bonds shall be created and delivered at the latest on July 1, 1921. There shall be an annual payment from funds to be provided by Germany as prescribed in this schedule in each year from May 1, 1921, equal in amount to 6 per cent of the nominal value of the issued bonds, out of which there shall be paid interest at 5 per cent per annum payable half yearly on the bonds outstanding at any time, and the balance to a sinking fund for redemption of bonds by annual drawings at par. These bonds are hereinafter referred to as bonds of Series A.
(b) Bonds for a further amount of 38,000 ,000,000 gold marks. These bonds shall be
created and delivered at the latest on November 1, 1921. There shall be an annual payment from funds to be provided by Germany as prescribed in this schedule in each year from November 1, 1921, equal in amount to 6 per cent of the nominal value of the issued bonds, out of which there shall be paid interest at 5 per cent per annum, payable half yearly, on the bonds outstanding at any time and the balance to a sinking fund for the redemption of the bonds by annual drawings at par. These bonds are hereinafter referred to as bonds of Series B.
(c) Bonds for $82,000,000,000$ gold marks, subject to such subsequent adjustment by creation or cancellation of bonds as may be required under the first paragraph. These bonds shall be created and delivered to the Reparations Commission without coupons attached at the latest on November 1, 1921. They shall be issued by the commission as and when it is satisfied that the payments which Germany is required to make in pursuance of this schedule are sufficient to provide for the payment of interest and sinking fund on such bonds. There shall be an annual payment from funds to be provided by Germany as prescribed in this schedule in each year from the date of issue by the Reparations Commission equal in amount to 6 per cent of the nominal value of the issued bonds, out of which shall be paid interest at 5 per cent per annum payable half yearly on the bonds outstanding at any time and the balance to a sinking fund for redemption of the bonds by annual drawings at par. The German Government shall supply to the commission coupon sheets for such bonds as and when issued by the commission. These bonds are hereinafter referred to as bonds of Series C.
(3) The bonds provided for in article 2 shall be signed by the German Government as bearer bonds in such form and in such denominations as the commission shall prescribe for the purpose of making them marketable, and shall be free of all German taxes and charges of every description, present or future.

Subject to the provisions of articles 248 and 251, Treaty of Versailles, these bonds shall be secured on the whole assets and revenues of the German Empire and the German States, and in particular on the assets and revenues specified in article 7 of this schedule. The service of bonds $A, B$, and $C$ shall be a first, second, and third charge, respectively, on said assets and revenues, and shall be met by payments to be made by Germany under this schedule.
(4) Germany shall pay in each year until the redemption of bonds provided for in article 2 by means of a sinking fund attached thereto: "(1) The sum of $2,000,000,000$ gold marks;
(2) (a) a sum equivalent to 25 per cent of the value of her exports in each period of twelve months, starting from May 1, 1921, as determined by the commission; or (b) alternatively, an equivalent amount as fixed in accordance with any other index proposed byGermany and accepted by the commission; (3) a further sum equivalent to 1 per cent of the value of her exports, as above defined, or, alternatively, an equivalent amount fixed as provided in paragraph $b$ above: Provided always, That when Germany shall have discharged her obligations under this schedule, other than her liability in respect of outstanding bonds, the amount to be paid in each year under this paragraph shall be reduced to the amount required in that year to meet the interest and sinking fund on the bonds then outstanding."

Subject to the provisions of article 5, the payments to be made in respect of paragraph 1 above shall be made quarterly on or before January 15, April 15, July 15, and October 15, each year, and payments in respect of paragraphs 2 and 3 above shall be made quarterly on or before February 15, May 15, August 15, and November 15 and calculated on the basis of exports in the last quarter but one preceding that quarter, the first payment to be made on or before November 15, 1921, to be calculated on the basis of exports in the three months ending July $31,1921$.
(5) Germany shall pay within twenty-five days from this notification $1,000,000,000$ gold marks in gold or approved foreign currencies or approved foreign bills or in drafts at three months on the German Treasury, indorsed by approved German banks and payable in pounds sterling in London, in francs in Paris, in dollars in New York, or any currency in any other place designated by the commission. These payments will be treated as the two first quarterly instalments of payments provided for in article 4, paragraph 1.
(6) The commission will within twenty-five days from this notification, in accordance with paragraph 12A, Annex 2, of the treaty as amended, establish a special subcommission to be called the Committee on Guarantees. The Committee on Guarantees will consist of representatives of the Allied Powers now represented on the Reparations Commission, including a representative of the United States in the event of that Government desiring to make an appointment. The committee shall comprise not more than three representatives of nationals of other Powers whenever it shall appear to the commission that a sufficient portion of the bonds to be issued under this schedule is held by nationals of such Powers to
justify their representation on the Committee on Guarantees.
(7) The Committee on Guarantees is charged with the duty of securing the application of articles 241 and 248 of the Treaty of Versailles.
It shall supervise the application to the service of the bonds provided for in article 2 of the funds assigned as security for the payments to be made by Germany under paragraph 4. The funds to be assigned shall be: "(a) The proceeds of all German maritime and land customs and duties, and in particular the proceeds of all import and export duties; (b) proceeds of a levy of 25 per cent on the value of all exports from Germany except those exports upon which a levy of not less than 25 per cent is applied under legislation referred to in article 9; (c) the proceeds of such direct or indirect taxes or any other funds as may be proposed by the German Government and accepted by the Committee on Guarantees in addition to, or in substitution for, the funds specified in $a$ or $b$ above."

The assigned funds shall be paid to the accounts to be opened in the name of the committee and supervised by it in gold or in foreign currencies approved by the committee. The equivalent of the 25 per cent levy referred to in paragraph $b$ shall be paid in German currency by the German Government to the exporter.
The German Government shall notily to the Committee on Guarantees any proposed action which may tend to diminish the proceeds of any of the assigned funds and shall, if the committee demands it, substitute some other approved funds.

The Committee on Guarantees shall be charged further with the duty of conducting on behalf of the commission the examination provided for in paragraph $12 b$ of Annex 2 to Part VIII, of the Treaty of Versailles, and of verifying on behalf of the commission and, if necessary, of correcting the amount declared by the German Government as the value of German exports for the purpose of calculation of the sum payable in each year or quarter under Article IV, paragraph 2, and the amounts of the funds assigned under this article to the service of the bonds. The committee shall be entitled to take such measures as it may deen necessary for the proper discharge of its duties

The Committee on Guarantees is not author ized to interfere in the German administration
(8) In accordance with paragraph 19, clausi 2 of Annex 2, as amended, Germany shall or demand, subject to prior approval of the commission, provide such material and labor as any of the Allied Powers may require toward restoration of the devastated areas of that

Power, or enable any Allied Power to proceed with the restoration or the development of its industrial or economic life. The value of such material and labor shall be determined in each case by a valuer appointed by Germany and an agreement, by a referee nominated by the commission. This provision as to valuation does not apply to deliveries under Annexes 3, 4, 5, and 6, Part VIII, of the treaty.
(9) Germany shall take every necessary measure of legislative and administrative action to facilitate the operation of the German Reparation (Recovery) Act of 1921 in force in the United Kingdom and of any similar legislation enacted by any Allied Power so long as such legislation remains in force.

The payments effected by the operation of such legislation shall be credited to Germany on account of payments to be made by her under Article IV, clause 2. The equivalent in German currency shall be paid by the German Government to the exporter.
(10) Payments for all services rendered, all deliveries in kind, and all receipts under article 9 shall be made to the Reparations Commission by the Allied Power receiving the same in cash or current coupons within one month of the receipt thereof and shall be credited to Germany on account of payments to be made by her under article 4.
(11) The sums payable under article 4, clause 3 , and any surplus of receipts by the commission under article 4, clauses 1 and 2, in each year not required for payment of interest and sinking fund on bonds outstanding in that year, shall be accumulated and applied so far as they will extend, at such times as the commission may think fit, by the commission in paying simple interest not exceeding $2 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent per annum from May 1, 1921, to May 1, 1926, and thereafter at a rate not exceeding 5 per cent on the balance of the debt not covered by bonds then issued. The interest on such balance of the debt shall not be cumulative. No interest therefor shall be payable otherwise than as provided in this paragraph.
(12) The present schedule does not modify the provisions for securing the execution of the Treaty of Versailles which are applicable to the stipulations of the present schedule.

## THE GOLD SITUATION.

Gold holdings and gold movements are of particular interest at the present time in view of the large importation of gold into this country and the changes in the main currents of the international gold movements. The following discussion deals primarily with the most important developments since the time of the armistice.

## GOLD RESERVES.

Distribution of gold reserves prior to the war, at the time of the armistice, and at the present time is shown in the table below. The figures refer to the gold holdings of the central banks and of various Government agencies. Figures showing percentage distribution are also given:

Gold Reserves of the Princtpal Countries Before the War, at the Time of the Armistice, and at the Latest Available Date.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | Gold holdings. |  |  | Percentage distribution. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1913 | 1918 | 1921 | 1913 | 1918 | 1921 |
| United States. | 691,514 | 2, 245, 220 | 2,529, 571 | 21.74 | 37.74 | 37.00 |
| United Kingdom | 170,245 | 523,632 | 763, 350 | 5.35 | 8.80 | 11.16 |
| France. | 678,856 | 664, 017 | 688,309 | 21.34 | 11.16 | 10.07 |
| Italy. | 288, 103 | 243, 566 | 236, 526 | 9.06 | 4.09 | 3.46 |
| Belgium. | 278, 687 | 538,861 | - 260,447 | 1.86 8.76 | 9.06 | . 3.85 |
| Austria-Hungary | 251,421 | 53,074 | 200, 13 | 7.90 |  |  |
| Sweden ....... | 27,372 | 76,532 | 75,533 | $\stackrel{.86}{ }$ | 1.29 | i.10 |
| Norway | 12,846 | 32,691 | 39,474 | . 40 | . 55 | . 57 |
| Denmark | 19,666 | 52,159 | 60, 989 | . 61 | . 88 | . 89 |
| Netherlands | 60, 898 | 277, 155 | 245, 612 | 1.91 | 4. 66 | 3.59 |
| Spain.. | 92,490 32,801 | $\begin{array}{r}430,072 \\ 80 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 479, 198 | 2.91 | 7.24 | 7. 1.53 |
| Cwitzer | 32,801 115,375 | 80,041 121,261 | 104,895 83,381 | 1.03 3.63 | 1.34 2.04 | 1.53 |
| Argentina. | 224,989 | 269,628 | 450, 057 | 7.07 | 4.53 | 6.59 |
| Japan. | 64,963 | 225, 821 | 558, 819 | 2.04 | 3.79 | 8.17 |
| India.. | 72,780 | 63,842 | 117,575 | 2.29 | 1.07 | 1.72 |
| Java. | 10,027 | 51,600 | 90,483 | . 32 | . 87 | 1.32 |
| Rumani | 29,242 |  | 329 | . 92 |  | 5 |
| Total | 3,181,406 | 5, 949, 674 | 6,835,580 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |

The first thing to be noted is the great increase in the gold reserves between 1913 and 1918, and the further increase since the armistice. These increases represent to a large extent the concentration and impounding of gold by central banks and Governments after the outbreak of the war for the purpose
of supporting the credit of the Governments and of making international payments in gold when deemed advisable.
Between 1913 and 1918 increases in the central gold reserves are shown for all countries, except for Austria-Hungary, a large part of whose gold was transferred to Germany; for France and Italy, both of which transferred portions of their gold abroad for the establishment of foreign credits in connection with the purchase of war supplies; for Rumania, nearly all of whose gold reserve was transferred for safe-keeping to Russia, ${ }^{1}$ and for British India. Belgium's gold reserve in 1918
in the main gold previously held in private hands and later concentrated in central reserve institutions, where it is at the disposal of the Government. In the case of the United States the increase of about $\$ 1,500,000,000$ in gold reserves represents in part the concentration in the Federal Reserve Banks of gold formerly held by commercial banks and by the public and in part additions to the gold reserves of imported gold. In 1913 the gold reserves of the United States constituted about 22 per cent of the total for the countries included in the tabulation. (See chart below.) In 1918 it was 38 per cent, and in 1921

## CENTRAL GOLD RESERVES OF PRINCIPAL COUNTRIES (PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION)


is not shown for the reason that it had just been restored and the figures were not immediately made public.

The largest increases are shown for the United States, United Kingdom, Germany, Netherlands, Spain, and Japan. The Scandinavian countries also show large relative increases, although the absolute amounts are not so great as those for the countries just mentioned. In the case of Japan, of Spain, and of the Scandinavian countries the increases in gold reserves represent payments by belligerents for necessary war supplies. For the United Kingdom and for Germany the large increases shown in the reserves represent

1 gee Federal Reserve Bullitin for December, 1920, p. 1296.

37 per cent. The point of immediate interest is that the increase of gold reserves in the United States since the armistice was about $\$ 280,000,000$. In spite of the fact that it has been practically the only country from which and into which gold has moved without restriction, the United States has about held its own in its proportion of the world's gold reserves. Absolute increases in gold reserves since the armistice are shown also by the United Kingdom, France, Norway, Spain, Switzerland, Argentina, Japan, India, and Java.

The large increasessince 1918 in the total gold reserves of the countries included are due in part to the additions of new gold produced; in part to gold that had been previously kept in

Indian hoards, but mainly to the absorption by these countries of a large part of the Russian State Bank gold reserve, which in 1913 amounted to $\$ 787,000,000$, but has since dwindled to an unknown but certainly much smaller amount. Further reference to the Russian gold will be made in discussing the gold movement. ${ }^{1}$

## GOLD PRODUCTION.

Gold production by countries for each year from 1910 to 1920 is shown in the following table. 1920 figures are estimates made by the London Statist; figures for the earlier years are estimates of the United States mint:
reason gold can not command a premium in this country, nor can it command a premium anywhere in the world much greater or much less than would correspond to the degree of depreciation of the respective currencies in terms of dollars. The Americanindexnumber of wholesale prices in so far as it is an accurate index of the general price level is, therefore, a measure of the depreciation of gold, and to this depreciation is largely due the decrease in gold production.

In this country gold production fell off from $\$ 101,000,000$ in 1915 to $\$ 51,000,000$ in 1920 ; in the Transvaal it fell off from $\$ 192,000,000$ in 1916 to $\$ 169,000,000$ in 1920; in Western Aus-

GOLD PRODUCTION OF THE WORLD.
[In thousands of dollars.]

| Country. | 1910 | 1911 | 1912 | 1913 | 1914 | 1915 | 1916 | 1917 | 1918 | 1919 | $1920{ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States | 96, 269 | 96,890 | 93,451. | 88, 884 | 94, 532 | 101,036 | 92,590 | 83, 751 | 68,647 | 60, 333 | 51,098 |
| Canada. | 10,206 | 9,762 | 12,649 | 16,599 | 15, 983 | 18,937 | 19,235 | 15,200 | 14,688 | 15, 859 | 16,011 |
| Russia. | 35, 580 | 32,152 | 22,199 | 26,508 | 28, 586 | 28,586 | 22, 500 | 18,000 | 12,000 | 12, 000 | 4,867 |
| South Africa: Transvaal | 175,190 | 191 539 | ( 188,293 | 181,885 | 173,560 | 188,033 | 192,183 | 186,503 | 174, 023 | 172, 231 | 168, 638 |
| Rhodesia. | (175, 190 | 191, 539 | \{ 14,227 | 14, 275 | 17,664 | 18,915 | 19,232 | 17,245 | 13,051 | 12, 267 | 11, 427 |
| Australasia: <br> Western Austral |  |  | f 26,515 | 27,166 | 25, 488 | 25,015 | 21,941 | 20,131 | 18,119 | 14,967 | 12,531 |
| Other Australia. | 65, 471 | 60, 184 | $\{27,994$ | 25,947 | 22, 081 | 24, 383 | 18,535 | 15, 814 | 11,150 | 11, 145 | 11, 870 |
| British India.. | 10,718 | 11,054 | 11,056 | 12,178 | 11, 378 | 11, 523 | 11, 209 | 10,757 | 10,028 | 10, 486 | 9, 194 |
| All other. | 61, 826 | 60, 359 | 69,752 | 66,499 | 49,806 | 54,038 | 56,752 | 56,189 | 59,219 | 55, 878 | 52,315 |
| Total | 455, 260 | 461, 940 | 466, 136 | 459,941 | 439, 078 | 470, 466 | 454, 177 | 423, 590 | 380,925 | 365, 166 | 337, 951 |

${ }^{1}$ Estimates of the London Statist, Feb. 19, 1921, p. 305.

Since 1915, when gold production amounted to $\$ 470,000,000$, it has been steadily decreasing, and in 1920 was estimated at only $\$ 338,000,000$. This diminution is due partly to disorganized conditions in Russia, but chiefly to the fact that, while costs of production have been soaring in harmony with the general price level, the price of gold has remained fixed by mint acts. It is true that in London, the principal gold market of the world, gold is not sold at the mint price of about 85 shillings per ounce fine, but at a figure reflecting the rate of exchange on New York; namely, about 105 or more shillings per ounce during the latter part of last year. The price of gold in the London market has thus been adjusted to the depreciation of British currency, but only to the extent that British currency has lost a larger proportion of its purchasing power than has American currency. The dollar has remained at par with gold, and is interchangeable with it; for this

[^1]tralia, from $\$ 27,000,000$ in 1913 to $\$ 13,000,000$ in 1920; in other portions of Australia, from $\$ 26,000,000$ in 1913 to $\$ 12,000,000$ in 1920. It has been stated that production of gold in recent years is not much in excess of the needs of industry. In the United States alone some $\$ 75,000,000$ are consumed by the jewelry and other trades; probably the rest of the world absorbs most of the remainder.

## GOLD MOVEMENT.

A table presented below shows the imports and exports of gold since the end of November, 1918, in two periods-first from November, 1918, through August, 1920, the period when gold was moving largely from the United States, although from April to August, 1920, the movement was sorewhat irregular; and, secondly, from September, 1920, to April, 1921, the period of the most recent gold movement to the United States. A chart on page 680 shows the movement graphically.

GOLD IMPORTS INTO AND EXPORTS FROM THE UNITED STATES.
[In thousands of dollars.]

| Country. | Total, Nov. 1, 1918-Apr. 30, 1921. |  |  |  | Nov. 1, 1918-Aug. 31, 1920. |  |  |  | Sept. 1, 1920-Apr. 30, 1921. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Imports. | Exports. | Excess of- |  | Imports. | Exports. | Excess of- |  | Imports. | Exports. | Excess of- |  |
|  |  |  | Imports. | Exports. |  |  | Imports. | Exports. |  |  | Imports. | Exports. |
| France .... | 87,436 | 4,153 | 83,283 |  | 1,744 | 4,153 |  | 2,409 | 85,692 |  | 85,692 |  |
| Netherlands....... | 14,708 | -15 | 14,693 |  | 1,162 | 29, 778 | 1,147 |  | 13,546 |  | 13,546 |  |
| Spain.. | 3,457 31,516 | 29,778 | 31,515 | 26,321 |  | 29,778 |  | 29,778 | 3,457 31,516 |  | 3,457 31,516 |  |
| England | 350, 414 | 2,190 | 348, 224 |  | 84, 630 | 2,105 | 82,525 | 1 | 265,784 | 86 | 265,698 |  |
| Canada. | 100,652 | 12,165 | 88,487 |  | 76, 158 | 9,483 | 66,675 |  | 24,493 | 2,681 | 21,812 |  |
| Nicaragua | 2,888 | 16 | 2,872 |  | 2,260 | , 17 | 2,243 |  | . 628 |  | 628 |  |
| Salvador. | 2,905 | 3,144 |  | 239 | 1,495 | 3,144 |  | 1,649 | 1,410 |  | 1,410 |  |
| Mexico. | 11,945 | 33,250 |  | 21,305 | 8,328 | -28,127 |  | 19,799 | 3,617 | 5,124 |  | 1,507 |
| Argentina | 2,427 | 146,555 |  | 144, 128 | 180 | 146, 355 |  | 146,375 | 2,248 |  | 2,248 |  |
| Colombia. | 14,749 | 5,968 | 8,781 |  | 3,623 | 5,969 |  | 2,346 | 11,126 |  | 11,126 |  |
| Uruguay | 2,745 | 22,055 |  | 19,310 |  | 22,055 |  | 22,055 | 2,745 |  | 2,745 |  |
| Venezuela | 1,292 | 12,351 |  | 11,059 | 718 | 12,350 |  | 11,632 | 573 |  | 573 |  |
| China. | 12,043 | 67,397 |  | 55,354 | 1 | 59,396 |  | 59,395 | 12,042 | 8,000 | 4,042 |  |
| British India....... | 11,294 | 41,053 |  | 29,759 |  | 40,804 |  | 40,804 | 11,294 | 249 | 11,045 |  |
| Straits Settlements. | 11,201 | 10, 993 |  | 10,893 |  | 10,893 |  | 10,893 |  |  |  |  |
| Duteh East Indies.. | 7,011 | 19,510 |  | 12,499 | 6,440 | 19,430 |  | 12,990 | 571 | 80 | 491 |  |
| Hongkong. | 44,656 | 72, 104 |  | 27, 448 | 40,210 | 67,030 |  | 26,820 | 4,446 | 5,074 |  | 628 |
| Japan....... | 2,208 | 195, 414 |  | 193, 206 |  | 132,856 |  | 132, 856 | 2,208 | 62,557 |  | 60,349 |
| New Zealand....... | 4,292 |  | 4,292 |  | 2,751 |  | 2,751 |  | 1,542 |  | 1,542 |  |
| All other commtries.. | 34,883 | 21,747 | 13,136 |  | 10,167 | 20,755 |  | 10,588 | 24,717 | 992 | 23,725 |  |
| Total.-. | 743,522 | 699,759 | 595,284 43,763 | 551, 521 | 239, 867 | 614,916 | 155,341 | 530,390 | 503,655 | 84,843 | 481, 296 | 62,484 |
| Excess imports |  |  | 43,763 |  |  |  |  | 375, 049 |  |  | 418,812 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 315,04, |  |  |  |  |

For the period as a whole, the United States imported $\$ 744,000,000$ of gold and exported $\$ 700,000,000$, so that the net addition to the country's stock of gold is $\$ 44,000,000$. It should be noted that this is a comparatively small amount; that the gains in gold since the recent gold movement set in have not much more than offset the losses of gold between the removal of the gold embargo in June, 1919, and September, 1920. It should further be noted that imports of gold have come from one group of countries, while exports have gone to another group. From the armistice to August, $1920, \$ 375,000,000$ of gold (net) left the United States, going largely to Japan, Argentina, China, British India, Hongkong, Spain and Mexico. From September, 1920 , to the present time, $\$ 419,000,000$ (net) was imported, largely from England, France, Sweden and Canada.

In many respects, the two movements are of a different character. The outflow of gold during the first period was in payment of accumulated balances to South America and the Orient, which owing to the embargo on gold could not be paid during the war. Much of this outflow did not represent a change in the ownership of gold after the armistice, because most of the gold was held by foreign interests in America awaiting the removal of the embargo. Thus, Argentina had a credit of about $\$ 80,000,000$ in this country against which notes had been issued in Argentina and which was used after the war to adjust trade
balances. Japan had large gold credits in this country, and the Netherlands had "earmarked" gold which was shipped out after the movement of gold was reestablished. The gold movement from the United States after the removal of the embargo was thus the cumulative result of adverse trade balances incurred during the war, or somewhat in the nature of the withdrawal of deposits.

The more recent movement of gold to the United States is different in character. It is the beginning of an effort on the part of European countries to reduce their indebtedness to the United States. This indebtedness is the equivalent of commodities imported from America during and since the war, but only the balances built up since the armistice are an active factor in the present situation, inasmuch as wartime supplies were purchased almost entirely on Government account and financed by the Treasury of the United States. The repayment last fall by England and France of the $\$ 500,000,000$ loan of 1915 is the one important settlement of obligations incurred prior to 1918.
Of gold coming to the United States in recent months, a part is new gold produced in South Africa and sold in the London market, an almost equal part is gold coming from India via London, and another part is gold exported from Russia to France and Sweden in payment for goods or for the establishment of credits, the latter countries shipping equivalent amounts to theUnitedStates in part payment for their own purchases.


Since the spring of 1919 the British Government, in order to maintain London's position as the principal gold market of the world, has permitted the reexport of new gold sold in London. What actually takes place is that the South African producers send smelted gold to London, this gold being only about 60 per cent fine. In London, the agents of the South African producers have an establishment where they refine the gold to 999/1000 of purity, whereupon it is placed on the auction block and is bid in by the agents at the dollar exchange rate, with allowance for expenses of shipment and commissions. The gold then goes to New York, where local agents of the producers dispose of the gold through a member bank to the Federal Reserve Bank. The final result of these transactions is that the South African producers receive dollar exchange to the amount of $\$ 20.67$ for every ounce of pure gold shipped, less the freight from South Africa to London, the London commission, the expenses of shipment from London to New York, and the New York commission. If the trade in London requires gold, or if any other country wishes to purchase gold, it must bid above the level of the New York exchange. As a consequence, there have recently been almost no other bids, except for small amounts for use in the arts, because no country can afford to offer more for gold than its price at the dollar rate. The daily quotation of gold in London is in fact practically determined by the dollar exchange rate. A comparison of the two rates shows that frequently there is a slight margin of less than one-half per cent between the premium on the dollar and the premium on goild. This margin is sufficient to pay the cost of transportation, insurance, and commissions from London to New York. These charges, not allowing for interest or commissions, are estimated at approximately two-tenths of 1 per cent.

It is evident, therefore, that the shipment of South African gold through London to the United States is due largely to the fact that the United States is the only important free gold market in the world, and also to the other fact, closely related to the first, that dollar exchange is everywhere eagerly desired. The South African producers, in obtaining dollar exchange for their gold, receive the best international currency in existence at the present time, because they can dispose of this exchange with ease either in London or in South Africa or in any other money market.

The gold coming from France and Sweden is now known to be in large part gold which originated in Russia. According to the Mon-
tagu Foreign Exchange Review for May 5, 1921, Mr. Wollroth, the director of the Swedish mint, has stated that "since last January the Swedish mint has received and smelted about 70 tons of Russian gold, providing it with a regulation stamp of purity after manipulation. Most of this gold was in bars which had been already partly smelted in Russia, but remained of imperfect alloy. The Swedish mint stamp after resmelting gave it its market value in America, whither most of it was reexported. Seventy tons of gold, if 900 fine, represents $2,057,530$ ounces, or about $£ 10,000,000$ at 104 shillings per ounce." This accounts for about $\$ 40,000,000$ of gold received indirectly from Russia, and there is little doubt that not less than $\$ 60,000,000$ of other gold from Russia has found its way to the United States through Francee, Switzerland, and other countries.

Whether the present gold movement may be expected to continue is a question of great importance. In so far as the Russian gold is concerned, the supply may be nearly at an end, and no great additions to the American stock of the precious metal may be expected from that source. Neither is it likely that much of the gold held as central reserves by European countries will be released for export to America. On the other hand, so long as present exchange conditions prevail, and that means so long as the balances of international payments continue to be favorable to America, there is every reason to believe that most of the new gold produced in the world will find its way to the United States.

## PRACTICE UNDER COMMERCIAL LETTERS OF CREDIT. ${ }^{1}$

## Introduction.

The following study is the third of a series dealing with the methods of financing foreign trade. Previous articles, appearing in the Federal Reserve Bulletin for February and April, 1921, have discussed such phases of the commercial letter of credit as its legal principles, its use by American banks, and also the various forms it assumes. These studies have analyzed the subject from the standpoint of the banker, while the following article is an exposition of commercial credits from the view of the American exporter and importer. The purpose is to present typical opinions on unsettled questions relating to commercial credit practice, in order to develop certain
${ }^{1}$ Prepared under the direction of George W. Edwards, Division of Analysis and Research.
principles which may find general acceptance among parties interested in the financing of foreign trade. The material has been gathered partly by direct personal interview, but mainly through questionnaires addressed to leading commercial houses. In this task, the Division of Analysis and Research secured the cooperation of such organizations as the National Foreign Trade Council, New York Merchants Association, National Association of Manufacturers, Philadelphia Commercial Museum, Chamber of Commerce of the State of New York, and the United States Chamber of Commerce. The questionnaire has sought to educe replies on such subjects as the meaning of the letter of credit, the use of the document by commercial houses, and the general policy to be followed in further developing American credits. The data thus assembled are presented in the following general form: (1) Statement of the question; (2) tabulation of the replies wherever possible; (3) excerpts from answers presenting typical viewpoints; (4) interpretation of these answers.

## I. MEANING OF LETTER OF CREDIT.

## 1.

Question. Do you draw a distinction between a "confirmed" and "irrevocable" and an "unconfirmed" and "revocable" letter of credit?

Answers. No distinction drawn.-(a)"We have found that these terms work out to be practically interchangeable. Perhaps the British banks use confirmed and unconfirmed by preference, whereas it would appear that the American banks generally designate their credits as revocable and irrevocable."
(b) "We have not found it necessary to differentiate between a confirmed and irrevocable letter of credit. It is questionable whether there is actually much distinction between the two terms. A confirmed letter of credit may be canceled only by consent of the vendor. When a confirmed letter of credit has been established, the exporter is assured that funds are available to him, provided he complies with the stipulations of the credit and provided, of course, he presents documents before date of expiration. As a further safeguard, however, the irrevocable letter of credit was devised during the war, and while it met with considerable popularity by manufacturers who were just. extending their activities into the export field, it is doubtful whether it has really proved more effective."

Distinction drawn.-(c) "An irrevocable credit may not have been confirmed by the bank here, but is valid to secure payment by the bank authorizing the credit. If the bank here has not confirmed it, it is optional with them whether they would discount drafts drawn under this credit. We consider an unconfirmed credit to be simply a letter of advice, or a notice from the correspondent bank here that such a credit has been issued, but as they do not confirm it, they do not therefore guarantee its payment."

Revocable, unconfirmed form not letter of credit.-(d) "Inasmuch as a letter of credit is an unqualified obligation of the issuer to make payment under certain terms, provided they are fulfilled within a definite period of time, every letter of credit must be a confirmed and an irrevocable credit. Any document which does not meet both of these
conditions can not be a letter of credit, no matter what may be printed as its name on the instrument itself. There is no such thing as an unconfirmed and/or revocable letter of credit. If the bank wished to issue a document which may be described as an 'Authority to purchase,' it should not name it a letter of credit, because an "Authority to purchase' can by no stretch of the imagination be expanded into a letter of credit."

The purpose underlying question I was to secure an expression of opinion on the correct classification of letters of credit. This topic has not a mere academic interest, but possesses an important legal significance, for it affects directly the liability of the various parties to a letter of credit. Answers (a) and (b) both hold the view that the terms confirmed and irrevocable are synonymous, and that no distinction exists between these two types of credits. Letters of credit would thus be grouped as (1) confirmed or irrevocable, (2) unconfirmed or revocable. On the other hand, answer (c) discriminates between the words confirmed and irrevocable, and this view results in the threefold classification of (1) confirmed-irrevocable, (2) unconfirmed-irrevocable, and (3) unconfirmed-revocable. The opinions of American banks were sought in a previous questionnaire relative to this subject, but a presentation of their replies has been deferred until this issue of the Bulletin, in order to permit a more detailed treatment. The results were as follows:

Question: Do you issue to a beneficiary an export letter of credit which is irrevocable by the foreign bank but still unconfirmed by you?

Answers by banks:
(a) "We issue to beneficiaries of export letters of credit a letter of advice, stating that the foreign bank has established its irrevocable letter of credit and that we are prepared to negotiate thereunder, but that we, ourselves, attach no responsibility in doing so."
(b) "An irrevocable export letter of credit established with us by a foreign bank would simply be transmitted by us to the beneficiary, unless our correspondent particularly instructed us to 'confirm' the credit."
(c) "Our procedure in connection with export letters of credit advised to us is merely to pass the advice or actual incoming letter of credit on to the exporter. We would not confirm a letter of credit revocable by a foreign bank."
(d) "We do issue export letters of credit which are irrevocable by the foreign bank, but unconfirmed by us. We simply advise the beneficiary of the terms of the credit as issued, expressing our willingness to negotiate drafts within the terms."
(e) "Such credits are usually issued by the foreign bank and only advised by us."

Question: When you are requested to notify a beneficiary that a foreign bank has opened an irrevocable letter of credit, is it also regarded as irrevocable by your bank, or must you add your confirmation to the letter of credit?

Answers of banks:
(a) "Such transactions are not our export credits; as the credit is issued by the foreign bank, we can not confirm it to the beneficiary, but we do not commit ourselves to do so."
(b) "In the ordinary course we would simply notify the beneficiary that an irrevocable credit has been opened in
his favor. This, however, would carry no engagement so far as we are concerned."
(c) "We only confirm letters of credit opened by a foreign bank upon request by said bank, otherwise, when transmitting to the beneficiary the information relative to the credit, we invariably disclaim any liability thereunder, and emphasize the fact that we merely act as intermediaries."
(d) "When we are notified by a foreign correspondent that they have opened an irrevocable letter of credit, we, of course, regard it as such, being not subject to cancellation, but we do not add our confirmation unless especially requested to do so."
(e) "Unless we are especially requested to confirm such a credit, we merely pass on the information received from the foreign bank, adding a clause that it is without a confirmation on our part.'

It is therefore clear that a distinction must be drawn between an irrevocable and a confirmed letter of credit. The irrevocable letter of credit is a document in which a foreign bank promises to honor the drafts of the beneficiary, provided he complies with certain conditions stated in the letter, and it is an obligation absolutely binding upon the issuing institution. This credit may be sent directly by mail to the exporter, or it may be transmitted by cable to a correspondent bank, which in turn informs the favored party of the credit. This report is conveyed without the assumption of any liability by the informing bank. However, if the notifier, at the request of the issuer, adds its guarantee or confirmation to the advice addressed to the beneficiary, it then becomes an engagement binding upon both banks. In other words, one credit is irrevocable by the issuer but unconfirmed by the notifier, and the other is both irrevocable by the issuer and further confirmed by the notifier. (Federal Reserve Bulletin, February, 1921, p. 158.)

There remains the third form which is revocable by the issuer and unconfirmed by the notifier. Regarding this form, answer (d) is quite correct in contending that such notice does not constitute a true letter of credit, for the document is the obligation neither of the issuing nor of the notifying bank, and hence can not be described as a "credit." (Federal Reserve Bulletin, April, 1921, pp. 413-414.) This document should be termed rather a "letter of advice." It serves a definite trade purpose especially in financing shipments from agents, affiliated concerns or firms which of course, would not cancel their obligations. Most banks do not issue these revocable letters of advice. (Federal Reserve Bulletin, February, 1921, p. 164.)

## 2.

Question: In the case of an unconfirmed credit stating on its face, "subject to cancellation," issued in your
favor, up to what time is it your understanding that the issuing bank has the right to cancel?

Answers:
Bank has right to cancel at any time- 16 .
Bank has right to cancel only before presentation of docu-ments-4.
(a) "Nothing, we judge, would hinder the issuing bank from effecting immediate cancellation if occasion called for it."
(b) "It is our opinion that the bank may cancel a revocable letter of credit any time prior to the presentation of the documents. It must accept the documents if cancellation notice has not reached the shipper prior to the presentation of same."
(c) "Until canceled the American bank can not evade its responsibility and must make payment upon presentation of proper documents. There is, of course, a further question of justice and equity. The supplier of the goods, in good faith and on the strength of the instrument, may have prepared merchandise which is unsuitable for his regular trade, although reasonably current for the market of destination. Until recently some of the more experienced American banks have taken the equity of the supplier into account and have made payments where goods have been prepared and were in existence at the time of the cancellation of the 'so-called' unconfirmed credit. Latterly, however, this rule of reason has ceased to be applied and the supplier of goods apparently has no redress against any one, although he has practically been led into a trap by the American bank on the strength of the weak document which it issued."

From the above analysis it is apparent that a bank has the right to cancel a revocable letter of credit, but the exact time within which this privilege may be exercised remains undefined. As indicated in the Federal Reserve Bulletin for February, 1921, page 170, the cancellation order from bank to exporter may possibly be made effective before any one of the following successive stages in the financing of a shipment: (1) Completion of manufacture of the goods; (2) delivery of goods to a carrier as evidenced by railroad or ocean bills of lading; (3) presentation of these documents at the office of the bank which has informed the beneficiary of the credit; (4) negotiation of the beneficiary's drafts by this bank.
Although the majority of the replies concede that banks have the right to cancel a letter of advice at any time, the more discerning exporters assert that such action may not be taken after the presentation of shipping documents at the counter of the bank negotiating their drafts. Bankers, on the other hand, generally insist that they may cancel an advice of a credit at any time before they have actually negotiated the drafts of the beneficiary. The equitable view between these divergent contentions recognizes that the exporter has definitely fixed the liability of the issuing bank if his shipping documents, complying with the terms of the advice, are tendered to the notifying bank before the latter has given him either an oral or written notice of the cancellation.

## 3.

Question: What in general has been your experience with unconfirmed revocable credits? Answers:
Do not use unconfirmed revocable credits. .......... 1
Experience with unconfirmed revocable credits sat-
isfactory
Experience with unconfirmed revocable credits
unsatisfactory..
(a) "We he........................................ 1 credits. Our suppliers invariably insist upon a confirmed credit."
(b) "We will not accept unconfirmed letters of credit unless the concerns for whom they are issued are of the highest credit standing.'
(c) "We do not accept such documents unless we are absolutely sure of the knowledge and comprehension of the bank and of the character and solvency of the buyer of the goods. They are not letters of credit, but only a limitation on the amount a bank may be called upon to negotiate."
(d) "We never had a letter of credit canceled."
(e) "They have, so far as we are concerned, worked precisely as though they were confirmed or irrevocable."
(f) "Good. In one or two cases credits have been revoked on account of our not being able to make delivery, but that happened during the war, so that as the buyer needed the material we were able to prevail upon them to reopen the credit."
(g) "We refuse to accept unconfirmed or revocable credits, except where investigation shows the concern or individual to be of high standing and when credit is voluntarily established by the purchaser. Under such conditions it would hardly be considered good policy to ask for a confirmation of the credit. When we, ourselves, ask for the establishment of a letter of credit we always stipulate that such credits shall be confirmed."
(h) "Only once have our customers ever taken advantage of an unconfirmed credit. About a year ago we received an order for a carload of goods from an English house. After shipment arrived at the Atlantic seaboard the paying bank advised that the credit had been withdrawn, and we were thus obliged to dispose of the shipment to another client."
From the above replies it is apparent that a large proportion of commercial houses do not use unconfirmed credits because of their uncertain nature as described under question 2 . On the other hand, it seems that the experience of firms which do avail themselves of this form of credit has been quite satisfactory, due probably to the fact that such letters are accepted only from banks and customers of recognized standing.

## 4.

Question: In selling drafts drawn against a letter of credit, do you consider that the buying bank has recourse to you?
(a) On a confirmed credit.
(b) On an unconfirmed credit.
(c) On an irrevocable credit.
(d) On a revocable credit.

Answers:
(a) On a confirmed credit-no, 16; yes, 3.
(b) On an unconfirmed credit-no, 7; yes, 12.
(c) On an irrevocable credit-no, 16; yes, 3.
(d) On a revocable credit-no, 7; yes, 12.
(a) "On credits opened in New York, we feel that the bank discounting our draft has no recourse against us, whatever form of credit has been opened."
(b) (a) "On a confirmed credit we would make no draft without stating on its face 'without recourse""; (b) "we would endeavor to avoid making any draft but would insist on payment if the documents were presented before cancellation"; (c) same as answer to ( $a$ ); ( $d$ ) "we can not reconcile the word 'revocable' with the term 'letter of credit,' but any draft drawn against an instrument which was not a letter of credit would undoubtedly carry recourse to the drawer."
(c) (a) "No. The confirmation of a credit is like the certification of a check"; (b) "yes. As they have not confirmed the credit, they have no responsibility'; (c) "yes. As the credit is only irrevocable and not confirmed, in case of failure of the issuing bank to pay, recourse would be on us"; (d) "yes. No bank would purchase drafts drawn on a revocable credit without recourse on the drawer."

In addition to the question of cancellation of commercial credits, another mooted problem between exporters and bankers is the right of recourse to the party who has drawn a draft upon the authority of a commercial letter of credit. The Law of Negotiable Instruments recognizes fully the right of the drawer of a draft to place after his name the phrase "without recourse," which relieves him of the liabilities usually attaching to the drawer of the bill. The exporter who has presented for payment a draft bearing this expression insists that the entire business transaction so far as he is concerned is closed, and that the negotiating banker may not in the future turn to him for reimbursement. It is therefore quite obvious that exporters regard with greater favor the drawing of drafts without recourse than with recourse to themselves. The question then arises, What is the recourse to the drawer of drafts under the various classes of letters of credit described above? One view is expressed in answer ( $a$ ), which holds that the bank has absolutely no recourse against the drawer of the drafts, whether the credit be irrevocable or revocable, confirmed or unconfirmed. Answer (b) applies the principle of "without recourse" to the confirmed and to the irrevocable letters of credit, but not to the unconfirmed, revocable form. Answer (c) confines the right to draw a draft without recourse solely to the recipient of a confirmed and irrevocable letter of credit. According to the numerical tabulation presented above, the exporter believes that confirmed and irrevocable letters of credit permit the beneficiary to draw his drafts without recourse to himself, but that unconfirmed revocable credits admit of recourse by the bank to the drawer. This middle view is not generally followed by the banks which, in their replies to the same question, contend that the drawer of a bill of exchange is not
released from his liability. In conclusion, under the Law of Negotiable Instruments, any bona fide holder has full recourse upon the drawer of a draft under a letter of credit if the drawee bank dishonors the bill. Considering the question not from the strictly legal standpoint but from commercial usage, the drawer of drafts under a confirmed irrevocable letter of credit issued by a reputable bank may safely regard the transaction as closed upon acceptance by the drawee bank and he would be liable only in the extreme event of failure of the accepting bank. (See address of Wilbert Ward at Eighth National Foreign Trade Convention, May, 1921.)

## 5.

Question: Have you drawn under "Letters of authorization" (authorities to purchase) and if so, what has been your experience in connection with their use?

Answers: Have used authorities to purchase-10; have not used authorities to purchase- 16 .
(a) "Such drafts have usually been bought by the issuing bank or its agent and have run on a straight interestcarrying basis."
(b) 'Letters of authorization are not credits. They give no security to the drawer of a draft; merely facilitate the operation of drawing. We use them only when we know drafts will be paid.'
(c) "Satisfactory only if we would be willing to negotiate similar drafts without a credit; i. e., the $A / P$ is acceptable only if customer's financial standing is satisfactory.

A shipment of goods in foreign trade may be financed by the importer either through a letter of credit or an "authority to purchase." The former document, as was noted above, vests the exporter with the right to draw drafts upon a bank. On the other hand, the authority to purchase instructs the shipper to draw his bill upon the importer directly, but assures him that the draft will be purchased by the notifying bank. From the above replies it may be observed that the authority to purchase is not widely used by American merchants. A forthcoming article in the Federal Reserve Bulletin will present a detailed analysis of this document, which is not well understood by American banks and commercial houses.

```
II. USE OF THE LETTER OF CREDIT.
```


## 6.

Question: For what classes of transactions have you used letters of credit?
(a) Please indicate as many classes of import transactions as possible.
(b) Please indicate as many classes of export transactions as possible. In each kind of business indicate all of the variations occurring; i.e., f.a.s., f.o.b., c. and f., c.i.f., also whether the credits have to be available before ocean documents are obtainable and, if so whether against warehouse receipts or on a clean basis, or, whatever
the terms may be. In case of any unusual transactions, please illustrate how they were handled.

Answers:
(a) Have used letters of credit in import transac-tions-10; Have not used letters of credit in import trans-actions- 18 .
(b) Have used letters of credit in export transactions to cover:

Free alongside steamer (f. a. s.)-5.
Free on board (f. o. b.)-8.
Cost and freight (c. and f.)-6.
Cost insurance freight (c. i. f.) - 10 .
Import transactions.-(a) "Import transactions are financed by letters of credit furnished by buyers, etc. to cover first-cost purchases, as well as c.i. f. and c. and ti. transactions. Very seldom is it possible for such credits to be available in the Far East before documents are obtainable."

Export transactions; credit established, before sending order to mill.--(b) "Usually we require letters of credit to be established before sending of orders to our factories, because if the goods are once made they are of doubtful use to others."

Upon delivery of warehouse receipt-(c) "Invariably f.a.s. New York. In event of abnormal conditions in shipping circles, payment against warehouse receipt."
(d) "During the war in one case the bank made payments under instructions against warehouse receipt but only because the steamer space was unavailable when merchandise was ready."
(e) "We have required in the past letters of credit to be opened against warehouse receipts, especially during unsettled, congested, or embargoed shipping conditions."

Presentation of shipping documents.-(f) "Letters of credit have been used by us on export transactions most frequently on the basis of f. o. b and c. i. f. shipments, and we require advice of the opening of letter of credit in our favor before delivery of goods to steamer. However, our requirement of a letter of credit before delivery of merchandise to the steamer is subject to the moral risk and past experience with the particular consignee, and at times when we are satisfied that a credit will be opened, due to our receiving cable advices to that effect, goods are delivered to the steamship companies in order to catch a particular steamer previous to receipt of advice of opening of letter of credit in our favor."
(g) 'On f. a, s. and f.o.b. and c. and f. and c.i.f. basis in the case of export transactions, it is not absolutely necessary to have the relative credits available before ocean bills of lading and insurance certificates are obtainable, provided we, as shippers, are informed that the credit in question will be opened. It is of course much safer to have the actual credit in hand before purchasing merchandise, or engaging freight and insurance, but this largely depends upon the circumstances of the entire transaction."

The letter of credit is not extensively used to finance imports, but when so applied the terms are mainly f. o. b. and c.i.f. When a letter of credit has been issued in favor of the seller, payment may be made available at the several successive stages in the completion of the transaction. As indicated in answer (b), the beneficiary may receive his credit even before he has sent his orders to the factory or mill for executing the terms of the sales contract. The next step would be to mora the finished goods to the seaboard, where they any be placed in a warehouse. Upon the surrender of warehouse
receipts the shipper may at times receive payment (see answers to (c), (d), and (e)). However, this practice obtains only under abnormal conditions such as embargoes, freight congestion, or a state of war. It is more usual for the negotiating bank to effect payment only upon receiving documents evidencing the actual placing of goods on the carrier $(f, g)$. In general, a choice among these three points depends almost entirely upon the credit standing of the importer.

## 7.

Question: Have you used acceptance credits opened for your account in your own favor for-
(a) Transactions involving the importation of merchandise.
(b) Transactions involving the exportation of merchandise.
(c) Transactions involving domestic shipments.
(d) Transactions involving merchandise in warehouse? If so, did such credits meet the requirements of your business and give you the credit facilities desired; or, if not, explain in what respect they were found to be not available.
Answers. Have used acceptance credits- 9 ; have not used acceptance credits- 18 .
Acceptance credits unsatisfactory.-(a) "They do not apply to the operations of established merchants, having independence of action."
Acceptance credits satisfactory.-(b) "Such credits fully covered the requirements of the particular transactions in hand."
(c) "We have used acceptance credits to cover transactions enumerated under $(a),(b),(c),(d)$. All these credits met the requirements of our business."

Acceptance credits are not widely used by American merchants. However, those firms which have availed themselves of this kind of credit ordinarily report satisfactory experience ( $b$ and $c$ ).

## 8.

Question: Have you found letters of credit useful in local or domestic transactions; and, if so, how have they been employed?

Answers:
(a) ${ }^{6}$ While never having made use of letters of credit in local transactions ourselves, we have been in numerous instances the beneficiary of such letters of credit by our customers and have reason to know that they have been found most useful where so employed."
(b) "Occasionally when financial position or character of buyer requires such insurance."

Letters of credit may be used in domestic transactions in two ways. The American exporter as recipient of a letter of credit may request his bank to issue an ancillary letter in favor of a domestic manufacturer, who in turn supplies the necessary goods. A letter of credit may also be used to finance a purely domestic transaction, and this practice is finding favor among American sellers who thus seek to avoid cancellation of Tuture contracts. (See Federal Reserve Bulletin, February, 1921, p. 165.)

## 9.

Question: In case you open letters of credit, would you as a practice be willing to have the beneficiary assign them so that they would be available by a party unknown to you?

The replies were unanimous in stating that American importers do not permit foreign beneficiaries to assign credits opened in their behalf to other unknown parties.

## 10.

Question: Have you obtained loans or cash advances from your bank on the faith of letters of credit issued by that bank or another bank in your favor?
(a) Were such credits deposited with the bank and accepted by them as collateral?
(b) Did your bank merely require that they be exhibited to prove their existence?
Answers: Have received loans from banks on letters of credit-3; have not received loans from banks on letters of credit- 23 .
"We have obtained loans from our bank in the faith of letters of credit issued by another bank in our favor. Our bank merely required that such letters of credit were legitimate."

It is not a practice of American exporters to use letters of credit opened in their favor as a form of collateral for receiving advances from banks. (See also Federal Reserve Bulletin, February, 1921, p. 170, replies to question 25.)

## III. POLICY.

## 11.

Question: What in general has been your experience with dollar credits opened by banks in this country as compared with your experience with sterling credits issued by London banks?
Prefer dollar exchange-legal advantage.-(a) "We see no difference between a dollar credit and sterling credit as such; in fact, a credit in any currency, aside from the slight fluctuations in exchange during its pendency, has little or no bearing on business experience. It is our custom to study each instrument that is furnished us, irrespective of the bank or country of origin, and it is only by experience that one learns what is safe and what is unsafe. A credit issued by a bank in the United States is, of course, preferable to one issued abroad, but the only advantage that it affords is the ease of securing legal service in the event of default, and in a matter of credit this advantage should be negligible, as a bank, no matter where located, should meet its credit obligations without the necessity for law suits. Our own experience is that vigilance and care in scrutinizing the form of instrument furnished and insistence on the elimination of improper or irregular stipulations is the only way of avoiding subsequent misunderstandings and repudiations."
Prefer sterling exchange-more liberal charges.--(b) "It is observable that American banks are less liberal in their concessions of both interest and commission charge when drafts under their credits are taken up under rebate."

Wider experience.-(c) "Although the increasing use of dollar credits is making such foreign trade financing more satisfactory, and most American banks are now in position to issue both dollar and sterling credits, the wider experience and more intimate knowledge of foreign trade on the
part of London banks, almost invariably produces more satisfactory banking service when use is made of sterling credits issued by English banks, and the same can be said of the dollar credits now frequently issued by English banks."
(d) "Our experience with dollar credits, in fact with all the credits applied for, has been perfectly satisfactory from every standpoint, but we can conscientiously say we consider that the foreign or what we might term the English banks seem to handle and understand the letter of credit business perhaps more fully than the American banks. The American banks, in our opinion, during the war had a great opportunity to build up the letter of credit business. but we consider that they have at times given credit where it was not advisable and, for this reason, perhaps, they have at times overextended themselves."
Easier conversion.-(e) "The only objection to the use of dollar credits is that they have not the universal facility of exchange and conversion that a sterling has. The process of cover of exchange by banks requires a freedom of movement through cross currents that our restricted export trade does not permit."

The purpose of the remaining questions was to secure constructive criticism for the development of American credits. The majority of the answers express satisfaction with dollar credits, and in fact some replies indicate a preference for dollar over sterling credits. One reason, as stated in answer (a), is the advantage of being able to bring suit against the issuing bank in the event of default on its obligation. On the other hand, several responses compare sterling and dollar credits to the disadvantage of the latter as shown in answers (b), (c), (d), and (e). The criticisms levied against the practice of American banks can be summarized as follows: (1) Higher interest and commission charges; (2) inexperience in handling credits; (3) unwise extension of credit; (4) restricted exchange market. The causes of these defects are apparent. The United States has entered only recently into the field of financing foreign trade and therefore commercial education is limited, credit information is lacking, and our acceptance market is still narrow.

## 12

Question: What suggested changes as to practice have you had from your correspondents abroad in connection with letters of credit issued by banks in this country? Have they made any comparison of methods here with English methods?

## 13

Question: What in your opinion should banks in this country do:
(a) To make dollar credits more effective?
(b) To hold and develop the letter of credit business here?
Answers:
Draw bills of longer maturity.-(a) "Banks in this country appear unwilling to issue credits providing for drafts of a usuance for six or even four months' sight. Six months' drafts have long been common in the China trade and no discrimination thereagainst, we believe, has
been made by the British banks. Whether the real obstruction lies in the Far East or in the discount market here, we are not decided."

Broaden discount market.-(b) "They should seek to broaden the discount market for long bills. The position of the American banks operating abroad needs to be more firmly established and such banks should be prepared to study more closely the particular requirements of traders in their various localities."
Open foreign branches.-(c) "Open branches in foreign countries."

Assume greater responsibility.-(d) "In our opinion American banks should accept the same responsibility in opening credits as English banks do. This would give more protection to the merchants, as the bank would undertake to make payment to the beneficiary of the credit only on the exact terms of the purchase contract. As matters stand at present we have had the sad experience that some of our shippers have shipped goods out of time; also invoiced these goods at the wrong price, and invoiced the wrong weight. The result has been that we have lost a considerable amount of money."
(e) "English banks accept more responsibility in connection with the issuance of letters of credit than A merican banks. When opening letters of credit through English banks, it is customary to state exactly the contract terms, such as quality of the article, shipment, port of shipment, price of the goods bought, and the bank is responsible to us that the goods are shipped strictly in accordance with particulars given. American banks are in the habit of opening credits only stipulating the article and the value of the goods. They do not undertake to see that they are invoiced at the correct price, or that the bills of lading are in accordance with the purchase."
$(f)$ "We thinkitadvisable where a foreign creditisopened in future by shippers that the terms and conditions of application be adhered to strictly by the accepting bank. In other words, we think the bill of lading should be in exact conformity with the credit application; also, if possible, the price and the amount, etc. We find this a safeguard against irresponsible shippers as unless they live up to conditions of the credit the accepting bank should refuse to accept. Of course, the accepting bank would not know if the quality, etc., was all right, but we feel under the existing low values where an advance might come about that the issuer of the $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{c}$ should have all protection possible."

Compare documents and credits with more liberality.-(g) "We have found, from time to time, difficulties with the banks here who have interjected stipulations of their own which were never intended by the banks to their New York correspondent, and the instrument issued by the New York bank differed very materially from the letter of instructions from the foreign bank. This practice, which has gradually come more and more into vogue, and to a certain extent is the result of unified action among the American banks, causes needless irritation between supplier and foreign buyer and should be eliminated in the interest of American commerce. The only comparison of methods between American and English banks might be expressed in this way. We have always found that the manager of an English bank had personal experience to guide him in connection with the adjustment of any difficulties arising under the terms stipulated in a credit, but that in American banks there was either the lack of experience or the lack of authority and that many matters which would be amicably and readily adjusted with the manager of an English bank, through his experience, would be practically impossible of adjustment with the manager of the foreign or the credit department of an American bank and oftentimes result in the reference of the principle to the bank's legal
department who are in no way qualified by experience or by legal precedent to give a proper reply."
( $h$ ) "Letters of credit should state very plainly" all the conditions under which the purchase or sale was made and what, if any, latitude is to be allowed regarding the quantity and time of shipment. A case was recently called to our attention in which a bank here refused to honor a credit opened against a lot of 2,000 bags of a certain commodity for the reason that the documents called for 1,995 bags. A certain latitude, usually 5 per cent, is considered permissible in the quantity shipped, but in view of the many difficulties which have arisen lately, it would be well to have this point clearly elucidated in the letter of credit."
Standardize documents.-(i) "They should have a standardized form that is written as plain as can be written, just what is to be expected from the letter of credit. It should state clearly on its face whether it is revocable or irrevocable; whether it is confirmed or unconfirmed. It should carry on the reverse side definitions of what is to be considered the standard practice under letters of credit, viz, whether or not partial shipments will be paid for; whether a 'proper' bill of lading is to be accepted, or whether an 'on board' bill of lading. If no expiry date is given on the face of the credit, it will lapse one year from date drawn, and such other definitions as the practice of those interested in foreign trade have found expedient to have clarified."
(j) "The adoption of a standard form of letter of credit and the elimination of technicalities in connection with establishing these credits, and making payments against same."
(k) "Changes in practice we think should originate in issuing credits in the United States, as there is little or no uniformity in the forms of advice now employed by United States' banks."
As both questions solicit expressions of opinion from American commercial houses and foreign correspondents on the one question of the relative value of American credits, the results can be summarized best by combining all replies. The first three answers offer suggestions as to the general policy of American banks. The recommendation contained in (a) has already been carried into effect by the Board's recent ruling permitting Federal Reserve Banks to purchase in the open market bills of exchange with a maturity of 6 months. It is urged that the discount market be broadened, and the number of branches in foreign countries extended ( $b$ and $c$ ). Answers ( $d$ ) and (e) advise American banks to assume greater responsibility in their handling of commercial credits. These institutions are also asked to adopt a more liberal policy in applying and comparing the documents pre-
sented by the exporters with the terms stated in the credits ( $g$ and $h$ ). Mercantile houses are strong in support of the movement for attaining standardization in commercial credit forms and uniformity in practice ( $i$, $j$, and $k$ ). Along these lines satisfactory progress has already been effected by committees representing the interests of banks and merchants.

## Fiduciary Powers Granted to National Banks.

The applications of the following banks for permission to act under section $11(k)$ of the Federal Reserve Act have been approved by the Board during the month of May, 1921:

District No. 2.
Trustee, executor, administrator, registrar of stocks and bonds, guardian of estates, assignee, receiver, and committee of estates of lunatics:
The First National Bank, Roselle , N. J.
The First National Bank, Roselle, N.J.
District No. 3.
Guardian of estates, assignee, receiver, and committee of estates of lunatics:

The Eighth National Bank, Philadelphia, Pa.
District No. 4.
Trustee, executor, administrator, registrar of stocks and bonds, guardian of estates, assignee, and receiver:
The Troy National Bank, Troy, Ohio.
Trustee, executor, administrator, registrar of stocks and bonds, guardian of estates, assignee, receiver, and committee of estates of lunatics: The First National Bank, Erie, Pa.

District No. 5.
Trustee, executor, administrator, registrar of stocks and bonds, guardian f estates, assignee, receiver, and committee of estates of lunatics:
The First National Bank, Bluefield, W. Va.

$$
\text { District No. } 6 .
$$

Trustee, executor, administrator, registrar of stocks and bonds, guardian of estates, assignee, receiver, and committee of estates of lunatics: Florida National Bank, Jacksonville, Fla.

District No. 7.
Registrar ofstocks and bonds, guardian of estates, assignee, receiver, and committee of estates of lunatics:
The Home National Bank, Thorntown, Ind.
Trustee, executor, administrator, registrar of stocks and bonds, guardian of estates, assignee, receiver, and committee of estates of lunatics: The Keokuk National Bank, Keokuk, Iowa.

DIStRICT No. 8 .
Trustee, executor, administrator, registrar of stocks and bonds, guardian of estates, assignee, receiver, and committee of estates of lunatics: The Carlinville National Bank, Carlinville, Ill.
Guardian of estates, assignee, receiver, and committeo of estates of natics:
The First National Bank, Mount Vernon, Ind.
District No. 10.
Trustee, executor, administrator, guardian of estates, assignee, receiver, and committee of estates of lunatics: The First National Bank, Hominy, Okla.

## bUSINESS AND FINANCIAL CONDITIONS ABROAD.

## ENGLAND.

Very few tangible results have been obtained by either side in the controversy which still goes on in England between coal miners and operators. No coal has been produced for nine weeks. During the course of the struggle, however, very material concessions have been made by all parties to the controversy, and as a matter of fact original principles have been forced into the background by the severity of the strike.
Toward the end of April, following a proposal of the mine owners that wages be reduced uniformly within different "areas" for a period of three months and if necessary be subsidized by the Government, the miners offered to take a national average wage reduction of 2 s . per shift, which according to their calculations would be a 30 per cent reduction from their 1914 wage rate or an 18 per cent reduction from their present rate. This, they contended, would be in accord with the recent reduction in the cost of living and would leave them with approximately the same standard of living as in 1914. March accounts showed, however, that the deficit in the industry for that month taken independently would require a reduction in the average national wage of 5 s . $4 \frac{3}{4} \mathrm{~d}$. per shift, if allowance were made for minimum profits, or if not, of $4 \mathrm{~s} .1 \frac{3}{4} \mathrm{~d}$. The miners, as stated above, were willing to take a reduction of 2 s . per shift, but were firmly opposed to any larger reduction. They estimated that a temporary subsidy of $£ 30,000,000$ on an annual basis would meet their demands if operators were willing to forego profits during the transition stage.

On condition that a permanent settlement be reached in the industry, the Government, in reply to this offer, made a counter offer of $£ 10,000,000$ for subsidizing wages during a transition period of four months. It was suggested that the sum be distributed in such a way that wages in May, taken on a national basis, would be reduced 3 s. a shift, in June 3 s .6 d. , and that the balance of the $£ 10,000,000$ would be used in July and August to further temper the drop from the March scale of wages to that offered by the operators. It was also implied that the Government would not object to the creation of a national wages board to work on wage schedules for the different districts.
This offer was rejected by the miners, and it is only recently that negotiations have again
been resumed. It would seem, however, that the emphasis had shifted from a national pool of profits to a wage adjustment on a national basis. The theory of a national wage adjustment appears to have been accepted by the Government in view of the offer of $£ 10,000,000$, while the offer of the miners, as indicated above, seems to show that they would accept at least a temporary adjustment on the basis of the changes in the cost of living, a method of adjustment which has become very common in England in recent years.

None of these proposed temporary arrangements provide an incentive for increased production, either to the operator or the miner. The fundamental difficulty is the decreased output per man. This is said to have fallen from 260 tons per annum per miner in 1913 to 190 tons on the same basis in 1920. Following the adjustment of last autumn, when wages were regulated by output, production increased remarkably, clearly demonstrating the fact that output was below normal.

It can not be stated, however, that there have been no positive gains as a result of the negotiations, since both miners and owners apparently agree that when the adjustment is made wages are to be the first charge upon the industry, that they are to bear a definite ratio to profits, and that surplus profits are to be divided in a fixed ratio between miners and owners. The owners have also relinquished the idea of district wage rates and outlined a group of six "areas" to form the basis for wage adjustments.

Meanwhile the railway and transport workers have refused to handle imported coal and it has become necessary for the Government to provide public utilities, institutions, etc., with coal. The Government is not, however, furnishing coal to commercial establishments.

It is supposed that the coal industry was decontrolled on March 31 instead of in August, as the law originally provided, partly because of the fact that the railroads are to be released from Government management in August. A bill has recently been introduced into Parliament providing for the reorganization of the railroads at the time of decontrol along the following lines. All railroads in Great Britain are to be combined into six groups, a southern, a western, a northwestern and midland, a northeastern and eastern, a west Scottish, and an east Scottish group. Amalgamations are to be put into operation on January 1, 1923. A permanent rates tribunal is to be set up to
pass on rates in the various districts, the basis to be used being that of revenue in 1913. If revenue exceeds this figure, the roads will be entitled to retain 20 per cent of the surplus, while rates and fares will be adjusted to absorb the other 80 per cent. All questions regarding wages are to be handled by central wages boards, or, on appeal, by a national wages board consisting of representatives of workers and owners. Each railway company is to have something in the nature of a Whitley council giving representation to workers and management. It is understood that the railway workers have already agreed to the proposals.
The financial responsibility of the Government during the period of control is to be ended with the payment of $£ 60,000,000$ to the roads, one-half of this sum to be paid at the end of 1921 and one-half at the end of 1922.

The effects of the readjustment to peace conditions are also apparent in the budget for 1921-22, which was brought before Parliament on April 25. This budget is divided into an ordinary or permanent budget and an extraordinary or nonrecurrent one. Ordinary receipts are estimated at $£ 1,058,000,000$, while ordinary expenditures are estimated at $£ 974,000,000$. The extraordinary budget includes estimated receipts from war assets of $£ 158,500,000$ and war liquidation charges of $£ 66,000,000$. This makes a total estimated income of $£ 1,217,000,000$, and an estimated expenditure of $£ 1,040,000,000$, leaving a surplus of $£ 177,000,000$. From this surplus, however, must come additional expenses connected with the decontrol of the coal and railway industries, and with domestic and foreign debt. These have been estimated as follows by the London Joint City and Midland Bank (Limited) :
Estimated expenditure in respect of coal,
railways, etc...................................................................................
£97,000,000
Revenue payments in scrip.................. $32,000,000$
Revenue payments in scrip............................ $85,000,000$
Maturing external debt........
Total.............................. 274,000, 000
From this can be deducted $£ 15,000,000$, proceeds from the civil contingencies fund, but even so the surplus of $£ 177,000,000$ is changed to a deficit of $£ 82,000,000$.

Detailed study of the budget shows that no important change in taxation has occurred except that the excess profits tax has been removed. The yield from the income tax is estimated at 43 per cent of the total tax revenue. On the expenditure side considerable reductions have been made in the large
items, such as army, navy, and civil services. The national debt service accounts for approximately one-third of total expenditure with no provision made for interest payment on the American debt.

During the past month private business has continued to suffer severe depression. This has been much accentuated by the coal strike. The iron and steel, tinplate, and pottery industries have had to curtail production more than others on this account, with the result that the figures for output of pig iron and steel ingots show very great reductions in April as compared with March, although March production was low.

|  | Production (metric tons). |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ship } \\ \text { tonnage } \\ \text { under } \\ \text { construc- } \\ \text { tion (gross } \\ \text { tons). } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Coal. | Pig iron. | Steel ingots and castings. ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| Monthly average, 1913.. | $\begin{gathered} 000 ’ s . \\ 24,336 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 000 ' s . \\ & 869 \end{aligned}$ | 000 's. 649 | 22,002,699 |
| April............ | 17, 131 | 655 |  |  |
| May.... | 322,131 | 738 | 846 |  |
| June.. | 89,048 | 726 | 845 | 3,578,000 |
| July... | ${ }^{8} 22,926$ | 750 | 790 |  |
| August... | 16,970 | 752 | 709 |  |
| September | ${ }^{18} 88885$ | ${ }_{593}^{741}$ | 885 | 3,731,000 |
| October. | ${ }^{3} 14,044$ | 533 | 544 |  |
| November | 15, 920 | 404 | 505 |  |
| December. | 20,230 | 675 | 747 | 3,709,000 |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |
| January... | ${ }^{8} 21,805$ | 642 | 493 |  |
| March... | 17,369 16,437 | 464 386 | 484 359 | 43,799, 000 |
| April.. | (5) | 60 | 68 |  |

1 Revised figures.
${ }_{3}$ A verage of 4 quarterly estimates.
${ }^{3} 5$ Weeks.
${ }^{5}$ Publicationded on all but 2,952,000 tons.
${ }^{5}$ Publication of statistics suspended during strike of miners.
A quarterly survey of conditions in the cotton industry as presented in Tattersall's Cotton Trade Circular shows that shipments of yarn in the first quarter of 1921 amounted to 64 per cent of shipments in the same period last year. Shipments of cloth showed approximately the same ratio between the two periods, which would seem to indicate that unless stocks have been accumulating the rate of production during the first quarter of this year was about two-thirds that of the same time last year. Production during April and May have probably been further curtailed.

Unemployment increased seriously during April and to even larger proportions in May. Excluding coal miners, 17.6 per cent of trade union members were unemployed at the end of April as compared with 10 per cent at the end of March. Of the $12,000,000$ workers eligible for unemployment insurance, 15 per cent were
receiving it at the end of April, while 1,854,000 persons were registered at employment exchanges on that date. During May something like 500,000 cotton textile operatives went on strike because of wage reductions.
Thus far commodity prices have continued to decline, although it would seem possible that with production in many lines curtailed as a result of the strike there might be a reaction upward. The Statist index shows a decline of 4 per cent during April as compared with 3 per cent during March, while the Board of Trade index shows a decline of 3 per cent in April as compared with a 7 per cent decline in March. The greatest stability is found in the textile group, the Statist index registering a slight increase for all textiles and the Board of Trade registering an increase for cotton.

A study of individual commodity prices shows that the situation in England during April was closely similar to that in the United States. The basis for our study are the eighty odd average price quotations which are furnished to the Federal Reserve Board each month by the American consul general in England. During April there was an advance in the price of American and Egyptian raw cotton, cotton yarns, the leading nonferrous metals, and domestic hides, while wool and wool products, iron and steel, copper wire, tin plate, leather, and shoes, as well as many other important commodities, were reduced. The increase which occurred in raw cotton and yarns was not reflected in cloth prices, which continued to decline. Prices continued to decline in all lines of the wool industry until the time of the May auctions, when values for the raw material were somewhat increased. Slight increases in the prices of hides were not reflected in quotations for leather or shoes.

In the iron and steel industry prices declined more considerably than in other lines. In spite of the recovery in the prices of nonferrous metals, copper wire and tin plate were lowered. Coal was quoted on a nominal basis, except during the first week of April.

As regards the relationship between prices and currency, it would seem that so far the reduction in prices and in the volume of business had had comparatively little effect upon the quantity of currency outstanding. Although wholesale prices are approximately 37 per cent below the level of a year ago, bank and currency notes outstanding have been slightly increased. Deposit accounts with the nine London clearing banks, however, declined 6 per cent between January and April. Along
with the decline in private deposits there has been a very large decrease in bills discounted but an increase in advances.

Export credit schemes continue to be under discussion, but so far there appears to have been no change from the plan put into effect at the end of last year whereby in the case of exports to certain countries, such as Finland, Latria, Esthonia, etc., the Government advances up to 100 per cent of the value of the goods and guarantees 80 per cent. Early in March the president of the Board of Trade proposed a somewhat different scheme, namely, to guarantee up to 85 per cent of the selling price of the goods and to require from the importer securities to the value of only 50 per cent instead of the previous 100 per cent. This new proposal has apparently not been put into effect and the transactions under the older scheme are of a very minor character. Between January 1 and March 31, 1921, advances had been made by the Board of Trade for only $£ 321,000$.

## FRANCE.

Plans for financing the current needs of the French Government in meeting its maturing obligations and in buying food and raw materials abroad have developed rapidly in the last few weeks. On May 15 it was announced that the Government would issue a new series of two-year Treasury bills. Subscriptions will be received from May 23 to June 25. Interest is to be paid in advance at the rate of 6 per cent and the bills will be tax exempt in France. On May 25 subscriptions to a new $\$ 100,000,000$ loan in the United States were opened. The bonds of this external loan will run for 20 years, and pay interest at the rate of $7 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent. They will be marketed at 95 . These bonds are not to be redeemed before they mature, but the French Government has arranged for a sinking fund which will provide $\$ 750,000$ monthly for the retirement of bonds bought in the open market. The conditions of this bond issue are slightly more advantageous to the French Government than were those of the issue floated last fall.

France's foreign debt at the end of April, 1921, stood at not quite $33,000,000,000$ gold francs, as compared with more than $35,000,000,000$ gold francs on September 30, 1920. The progress of debt reduction is shown by the table following, which is furnished by the French Financial Agency in the United States.

External Debt of France.
[In millions of gold franes.]

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept. 30, } \\ & 1920 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov. } 30, \\ & 1920 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan. 31, } \\ & \text { 1921. } \end{aligned}$ | Apr. 30, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States Government. | 16,500 | 16,500 | 16,500 | 16,500 |
| British Government.. | 13, 195 | 11,980 | 11,980 | 11,980 |
| United States. | 2,108 | 1,342 | 1,194 |  |
| Great Britain. | 2,020 | 2,019 | 1,915 | 1,894 |
| Japan..... | 336 | 336 | 344 | 344 |
| Argentina. | 145 | 145 | 110 | 93 |
| Holland. | 115 | 115 | 115 | 115 |
| Norway. | 59 | 45 | 45 |  |
| Spain.. | 564 | 554 | 513 | 502 |
| Sweden. | 70 | 70 | 70 |  |
| Switzerland. | 136 | 117 | 111 | 56 |
| Uruguay. | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 |
| Total. | 35,328 | 33,303 | 32,977 | 32,523 |

Meanwhile the Senate and the Chamber of Deputies have postponed final consideration of the 1921 budget. When they adjourned for recess on April 30, the ordinary and extraordinary budgets had been agreed upon, but the amounts to be expended upon the "recoverable budget" (that is, upon reconstruction and upon pensions) had not yet been finally decided. In estimating the receipts to be counted upon for ordinary Government expenditures, the probable monthly receipts from the tax on total business turnover were placed at $241,666,599$ francs instead of the 415,666,500 francs expected when this tax first became a law. In the month of April total receipts from indirect taxes and Government monopolies were larger by about $300,000,000$ francs than in the two months previous, but they were still smaller than budget estimates even though the latter have been revised.

Although the Parliament has not reached a final decision on this year's budget for reconstruction, work in the devastated regions is proceeding rapidly. An interesting development in this connection is the result of the laws passed on July 31 and December 31, 1920, by which the central government guarantees the principal and interest of loans raised by industries or districts, for reconstruction purposes. The first city to take advantage of this opportunity is Albert, an industrial and commercial center on the Ancre River. It is floating a 6 per cent 30 -year loan for $25,000,000$ francs. The bonds are dated May 15, 1921, and are in 500 -franc denominations, to be marketed at 477.50 . Before the war Albert specialized in sugar refining and in iron and steel manufactures, and it is undertaking reconstruction in an energetic fashion. An even more important outgrowth of the laws by which the state guarantees loans for the devastated regions is the loan requested by
the new association of coal producers of the north and east, which goes under the name of the Groupement des Houillères du Nord et du Pas-de-Calais. This association, which in cludes 17 important coal mining companies, asks for a loan of at least $800,000,000$ francs to use in repairing the damage done to its properties during the war. The 500 -franc bonds of this loan will pay 6 per cent interest and will be marketed at 480 . They are repayable in 30 years, by annual drawings which will begin April 1, 1922.

The iron and steel producers of the north and east have also organized a similar association, which is called "Groupement de la Grosse Metallurgie (Hauts fourneaux, aciéries, forges, et mines de fers),' but the character of the loan they propose to raise for the reconstruction of the steel industry in the devastated regions has not yet been announced.

French foreign trade figures for the month of April seem to indicate a revival of activity in foreign buying in France. For the second time this year there is a surplus of exports for the month, and the value of the export trade in April was greater than during any other month since October, 1920. The import trade for April was slightly larger than for the two months previous, but it is valued at only a little more than half the import trade of the same month last year.

Foreign exchange rates in Paris reflect the improvement in the French balance of trade. The fluctuations of the value of the franc in the important foreign currencies were almost steadily upward during April, except in the case of the lire. During May it was reported that the appreciation of the value of the franc had somewhat checked foreign buying, but exact data on the subject are not yet obtainable.

The following table shows the change which has taken place in French foreign exchange since the beginning of the year.

|  | Paris Exchange on- |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | New York. | London. | Rome. | Ant- | Berlin. |
| Francs to the...... | Dollar. | Pound sterling. | Lira. | Franc. | Mark. |
| Par............... | 5. 18 | 25. 22 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.25 |
| 1920 average 1921 end of. | 14.58 | 53.10 | . 69 | 1.05 | . 25 |
| January........ | 13.93 | 54.03 | . 52 | 1.05 | . 25 |
| February...... | 13.95 | 54.03 | . 51 | 1.05 | . 23 |
| March........... | 14.24 | 55. 89 | . 58 | 1.04 | . 23 |
| April............ | 13.21 | 52.22 | . 64 | 1.00 | . 20 |

${ }^{1}$ Average monthly quotations.
9 Last Wednesday in the month.

The revision of the French general tariff, which had been in progress for some months, was completed early in April. The object of this revision, which increases rates in some cases more than 200 per cent, is to prevent unfair competition in French markets by manufacturers from countries with a greatly depreciated exchange. Products of the United States of America are specifically exempted from the new rates, and they do not apply to countries which enjoy minimum tariff rates when importing goods into France.
Wholesale prices in France continued to decline during April. The wholesale price index number of the General Statistical Office registered a deciline of 3.6 per cent for the month, as compared with a decline of 4.5 per cent the month previous. Among the more important price reductions are those of iron and steel products, which are responding to the lower price of coal, and of raw cotton and raw wool. The increase in the price of vegetable foods which is recorded by the Statistical Office's index is accounted for by the backward condition of the crops, which have suffered from lack of rain this spring.

Although the newspapers continue to complain of continued high prices in retail stores, the retail index of the General Statistical Office shows a decline of 8 per cent in April as compared with a drop of not quite 4 per cent in its wholesale price index.
The condition of the Bank of France during April shows comparatively little change except in its gold reserve, which increased $10,000,000$ francs during the month. The amount of the advances of the bank to the Government fluctuated as usual during April, closing the month at $26,000,000,000$ francs.

It is difficult to judge accurately of the unemployment situation in France. French tradeunions ceased to publish statistics giving the proportion of their membership out of work during the war, and the only figures now obtainable on the subject of unemployment are the numbers of unemployed in receipt of relief from municipal and departmental unemployment funds. The number of these funds is not very large, although it has increased during the last six months. At the end of October, 1920, there were only 2 departmental and 30 municipal unemployment funds. By February 18, 1921, there were 7 departmental and 65 municipal funds. In April, 1919, the number of unemployed receiving aid from the departments and municipalities rose to 116,000 . By January 1, 1920, it had fallen to 13,507 . Throughout the year 1920 unemployment increased in France with some regularity. On

April 4, 1921, the Minister of Labor, speaking before the Senate, said: "There are at present 72,000 receiving relief from departmental or municipal funds, and adding to this number the unemployed who are not receiving relief, we may estimate the total number of unemployed at about 180,000." After April 4, however, unemployment increased rapidly. The number of those receiving public aid rose to 84,309 on April 22, although it declined in the next week to 83,256 . The French Central Government has been requested by both employers and employees to take steps to increase Government aid in dealing with unemployment.

## ITALY.

Italian Government finances continue to show the improvement first noted at the end of last year. The latest figures showing Government revenues from taxation and monopolies from July 1, 1920, to March 31, 1921, totaled $7,589,000,000$ lire, which is more than the total anticipated for the entire fiscal year $1920-21$. The excess over the original estimates was particularly large in the case of direct taxes, which yielded in the nine months a total of $2,371,000,000$ lire, while the estimated total for the fiscal year had been $1,856,000,000$ lire.
The following table shows the yield, in millions of lire, from the several classes of taxes in the first nine months of the current fiscal year, as compared with the same period in the preceding year:
[Millions of lire.[


Beginning on February 16, a new type of treasury bond ( 5 per cent maturing in seven years) began to be offered for sale through a consortium headed by the Banca d'Italia. Until August 14, 1921, the rate of issue will be 91.50, plus the accrued interest from February 15 to the date of sale. After August 14 the rate will be advanced. For those who purchase the bonds before August 15, the actual rate of interest will be 6.20 per cent and an additional 0.25 per cent will be granted those who choose to register their bonds. In addition, lottery drawings will take place twice a
year, and a total of $2,545,000,000$ lire will be paid annually in 1,200 premiums ranging from 1,000 to $1,000,000$ lire. The bonds are exempt from all present and future state taxes. The issue is intended primarily for the reconstruction of the provinces which were occupied by the enemy during the war and for the economic development of the annexed territories.

In accordance with its declared policy of a gradual restoration of free trade, the Government has lately enacted a number of measures abolishing or restricting Government control of the distribution and price of several classes of goods. Thus, in the course of the months of February and March, exports of olive oil from one province to another were again permitted, the prefects, however, retaining the right to requisition the amounts required for the needs of the province if necessary. Export abroad of a limited amount of olive oil was also permitted. In the same way domestic and foreign trade in grains for animal feed, barley, and oats were freed from a large measure of control. The commissioner of food supply has also been authorized to discontinue Government control of the distribution of maize beginning with the next crop, and to restore partial free trade in bran. Flour prices will continue under control. Two consortiums which were organized to regulate the importation and distribution of commodities will also be discontinued during the year. The codfish consortium ceased functioning on April 30, and the rice consortium is to end on September 15.

In 1919, Government monopolies were created for the sale of coffee and coffee substitutes, and certain other less important commodities. During the fiscal year 1919-20 the yield from these sources amounted to 422,000,000 lire. It has now been decided to abolish the Government monopolies in these lines, and the coffee trade will be taken over by a consortium of merchants who will control it until April, 1922, when free trade will be restored.

During the first months of this year the note circulation of the banks of issue has been gradually decreasing. On December 31, 1920, the total note issue amounted to about 19,700,000,000 lire. By March 20 it had been reduced to $18,600,000,000$ lire.

One of the evidences of inflation in 1920 was the unprecedented growth of corporate capital in that year. The total net increase, including both the capital subscribed to newly created corporations and the increased capitalization of old ones, amounted to $4,835,000,000$ lire. In the preceding years, the net increase was as follows: 1915, 79,000,000 lire; 1916, 236,000,000
lire; 1917, 1,288,000,000 lire; 1918, 2,950,000,000 lire; 1919, 2,779,000,000 lire. The increase in 1920 was thus nearly 74 per cent larger than in 1919, and larger than the combined increase for the four years 1915-1918. The largest increase ( $99,0000,000$ lire) was shown by banking corporations.

## GERMANY.

The industrial and financial situation in Germany was complicated during April and early May by events not primarily economic. The communist uprising in central Germany, the proposal to occupy the Ruhr, the 50 per cent tax on imports from Germany imposed by England, France, and Belgium, the extension of the Rhine customs boundary farther into Germany, the uncertainty as to the outcome of the reparations settlement, and the dissension in Upper Silesia all contributed to make the business situation uncertain.

The reparations settlement has now been achieved and Dr. Wirth, the new chancellor, who was finance minister in the last cabinet, is reported to be doing everything in his power to put its terms into effect. The Upper Silesian question remains.

One effect of the political uncertainty seems to have been the partial relaxation of Government control of industry. The regulation of newsprint paper was done away with early in April; control of export prices for several important commodities has been relaxed; control of the grain market has been revised and decentralized, although a certain amount of regulation is to be retained until August, 1922, and the critical situation in the export market has led the Eisenwirtschaftsbund to relax control of semifinished iron products.

The last-named action had the effect of lowering quotations for iron products from 13 to 30 per cent, according to the RheinishWestphälische Zeitung, and the Eisenwirtschaftsbund announced decreases in the prices of the various kinds of pig iron ranging from 5 to 10 per cent, which became effective May 1.

Aside from these price changes there seems to have been no very definite movement in the German price level during April. Prices of lime nitrogen, manure salts, kainite, straw and hay, dyes, paper, and silk increased somewhat during the month, but the net effect of the changes which took place was such that the wholesale price index number of the Frankfurter Zeitung remained unchanged.

The evidence available on the subject continues to show that the cost of living in Germany is declining, even though slowly. The following table of living costs in Greater

| Berlin has been furnished the Federal Reserve |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Board by Dr. R. R. Kuczynski, statistician for Berlin-Schöneberg: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Weekly Cost of Living in Greater Berlin for a } \\ \text { Family of Four. } \\ \text { [In marks.] } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Food. | Rent. | Heat and light. | Clothing. | Sundries. | Total. |
| August, 1913, to July, 1914 | 9.80 | 5.50 | 1.90 | 5.85 | 5. 75 | 28.80 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1920 . \\ \text { January..... } \end{array}$ | 86.00 | 8.00 | 12. 00 | 70.00 | 44.00 | 220.00 |
| February | 100.00 | 8.00 | 13.00 | 82.00 | 51.00 | 254.00 |
| March..- | $\underline{125.00}$ | 8.00 | 20.00 | 105.00 | 64.00 | 322.00 |
| April. | 157.00 | 8.00 | 22.00 | 112.00 | 75.00 | 375.00 |
| May. | 163.00 | 9.00 | 22.00 | 98.00 | 73.00 | 365.00 |
| June. | 128.00 | 9.90 | 22.00 | 84.00 | 61.00 | 304.00 |
| July... | 135.00 | 9.00 | 22.00 | 77.00 | 81.00 | 324.00 |
| August............... | 131.00 | 9.00 | 21.00 | 70.00 | 77.00 | 308.00 |
| September.......... | 123.00 | 9.00 | 22.00 | 70.00 | 75.00 | 299.00 |
| October.............. | 138.00 | 9.00 | 22.00 | 70.00 | 79.00 | 318.00 |
| November. ......... | 136.00 | 9.00 | 22.00 | 70.00 | 79.00 | 316.00 |
| December............ | 144.00 | 9.00 | 22.00 | 70.00 | 82.00 | 327.00 |
| January...... | 139.00 | 9.00 | 22.00 | 70.00 | 80.00 | 320.00 |
| February. | 133.00 | 9.00 | 23.00 | 70.00 | 78.00 | 313.00 |
| March............... | 129.00 | 9.00 | 23.00 | 63.09 | 74.00 | 298.00 |
| April.................. | 121.00 | 9.00 | 23.00 | 63.00 | 65. 00 | 281.00 |

Dr. Elsas's index number of living costs in Frankfurt-am-Main gives further evidence in this same direction. Using the cost of living on April 1, 1919, as 100, his index reaches 26.5 for January 1, 1914, 297 for March 1, 1921, and 280 for May 1, 1921.
The fluctuations of prices on the German stock exchanges during this period indicate the changes in the sentiment of the community in regard to its economic future. The Frankfurter Zeitung's index number of securities prices (which is based upon the prices of 10 bonds and 25 stocks, with prices on Jan. 1, 1920, as a base) fell from 166 on April 9, to 151 on April 23, and returned to 159 the last. day of the month.
In Dr. Havenstein's review of the operations of the Reichsbank during 1920, it is pointed out that the note circulation of the bank almost doubled in the course of the year. In the first weeks of 1921 this increase in the note circulation was arrested. The end of March bank statement established a new high level, however, which has been again exceeded by the note circulation at the end of April. Meanwhile the number of Darlehnskassenscheine in circulation has been decreasing. The following table shows the developments of the month:

${ }^{1}$ The proportion of Reichskassenscheine included in these figures is very small.

On April 8 the Reichsrat approved a law suspending for three years section 17 of the German bank act. This section provided that the Reichsbank keep, as a reserve against its notes in circulation, one-third their value in coin, bullion, or Government notes. It was virtually set aside in August, 1914, by a ruling which legalized the use of the newly instituted loan bank notes (Darlehnskassenscheine) as a substitute for gold in the Reichsbank reserve. The new law will, therefore, be of little more than technical importance. The reason for its proposal at this time seems to be the fact that the war loans which secured the Darlehnskassenscheine are gradually being paid off. For some time, as the following table (taken from the Frankfurter Zeitung) indicates, the Reichsbank has been withdrawing these notes from circulation.

Darlehnskassenscheine (Loan Bank Notes).

|  | Per cent in the Reichsbank. | ```Per cent in circula- tion.``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| End of 1916. | 12 | 88 |
| 1917. | 18 | 82 |
| 1918. | 36 | 64 |
| 1919. | 45 | 55 |
| 1920. | 66 | 34 |
| March, 1921. | 68 | 32 |

Presumably as the business of the loan banks diminishes, these notes will be canceled, and it is to provide for this contingency that the new law is brought up. As some of the Darlehnskassenscheine are used by the public as a substitute for the Reichsbank notes, and some of them are held as cover for the Reichsbank notes, their cancellation will mean increasing the number of Reichsbank notes in circulation and also providing some new form of cover for these notes.

In March the movement toward increasing the capital of German corporations seemed to be arrested. Increases in capital during that month amounted to not quite $531,000,000$ marks, as compared with $1,092,000,000$ marks in January, and $1,373,000,000$ marks in February. In April, however, the number of companies increasing their capital was larger again, and the value of the stock they offered to the public (according to the compilations of the Frankfurter Zeitung) amounted to almost $1,687,000,000$ marks. Offers of stock by new concerns and bond offerings also increased, and the total value of stocks and bonds placed upon the German market during the month is placed at $2,559,000,000$ marks. The most important of the companies to increase their capital is the group of chemical manufacturers known as the Aniline Concern, which offer $800,000,000$ marks worth of new stock for sale.

There seems to have been a slight improvement in employment conditions in Germany during March (the most recent period for which accurate information is available). The percentage of trade-union members unemployed decreased 1 per cent during the month. At the end of February 4.7 per cent of the $6,397,092$ trade-union members then enrolled were out of work. During the last week in March 3.6 per cent of $6,242,000$ members were unemployed. The public employment agencies report a similar improvement. In March there were only 188 applicants for every 100 positions open, as compared with 206 in February. The ratio of employees desiring work to positions open showed a greater proportion of unemployment in the textile and metal and machine trades than in any others. The number of those receiving unemployment support from the State also decreased during the month, declining from 428,665 on March 1 to 416,940 on April 1. Newspaper dispatches in regard to unemployment indicate that the situation during April did not continue to improve.

## SWEDEN.

A somewhat retarded downward movement in wholesale prices, further slackening of foreign trade, and continued depression in industry and the labor market are the outstanding facts in current reports from Sweden.

The wholesale price index of the Svensk Handelstidning registered 229 in April, a decline of slightly more than 3 per cent during the month. The total fall from the peak in June, 1920, is somewhat less than 40 per cent. A notable feature in April was the upward price
movement noticeable in two important groups, namely, animal foods and coal. The latter rose from 279 to 291 , a gain of 4 per cent. The wood pulp index remained unchanged, prices continuing at almost five times their prewar level. Quotations for hides and leather, on the other hand, were about 15 per cent lower than before the war. A significant decline occurred during April in building materials, the index shifting from 298 to 236. Other commodity groups showed a less decided and, in general, a slower rate of decline.

The industrial depression continues seriously to affect the unemployment problem in Sweden. The number of applicants per 100 occupational openings declined from 301 to 240 in March, apparently indicating that the peak in unemployment has been reached. However, in spite of the improvement evidenced by these figures, the Swedish Social Board reports no general betterment in labor demand except in seasonal trades and agriculture. The reduction in the number of applicants is attributed to the fact that the enumeration of vacancies includes not only those in established industries, but also provided temporary employment by the State and local communities. The figures for unemployment are presented in the table below:

Number of Applicants per 100 Vacanoies.

|  | 1913 | 1920 | 1921 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January | 153 | 125 | 246 |
| February | 133 | 112 | 301 |
| March | 110 | 91 | 240 |
| April. | 97 | 80 |  |
| May. | 99 | 84 |  |
| June. | 112 | 92 |  |
| July. | 107 | 94 |  |
| August | 96 | 95 |  |
| September | 89 | 98 |  |
| October.... | 98 | 110 |  |
| November. | 129 | 155 |  |
| December. | 117 | 153 |  |

No improvement was apparent during April in the paper and pulp industry. The Swedish pulp mills are feeling the effects of the demoralization in the English paper industry which followed as a result of the importation into England of German paper products. It is expected that the import tax of 50 per cent levied by Great Britain on German products will stimulate the activity of the English paper mills and create new demands for pulp in the Swedish market. Manufacturers in Sweden are handicapped also by the low rates of exchange ruling for Finnish marks and Norwegian kroner. Radical price re-
ductions in the Swedish pulp market are beginning to be made in an effort to meet the competition of the pulp industries of Norway and Finland.

Exports of the principal commodities during March continued to show a decline in quantity considerably below the normal prewar figures for the corresponding month in 1913. The export of newsprint paper which greatly exceeded peace time figures throughout 1920 , fell in March to 96 per cent of the quantity exported in March, 1913. Iron ore exports which have shown a steady increase during the first three months of this year were 13 per cent greater than the prewar March figure-a notable exception as compared with the other leading Swedish industrial products. The following table, excerpted from Affärsvärlden, shows the relation of the monthly exports of the principal commodities in 1920 and the first quarter of 1921 to the corresponding periods in 1913:
Ratio of Quantitative Monthly Exports of Princtpal Commodities in 1920 and 1921 to Exports in Corresponding Months in 1913.


A bill is now before the Riksdag for a provisional increase in the import duties on some

508 different kinds of goods. The legislation is of an emergency character, providing temporary protection for the home market against foreign competition. According to the terms of the bill it is to be effective for one year, July, 1921, to July, 1922.

It is proposed to make a 100 per cent increase in duties on commodities which are taxed according to weight, such as furniture, wall paper, cotton and wool textiles, hosiery, drapery, china, glassware, pottery, and metals, including iron and steel. The bill provides a 30 per cent increase on goods taxed on an ad valorem basis. This group includes different kinds of machines and vehicles, internal-combustion engines, locomotives, motor cars, etc. It is calculated that these additional duties will yield $20,000,000$ kronor to the treasury.
A reduction of the Riksbank's discount rate from $7 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent to 7 per cent was made on April 26, followed by a second lowering of the rate to $6 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent on May 4.
The note circulation of the Riksbank in April dropped from $716,900,000$ kronor to $680,500,000$ kronor. This is a decrease of about 13 per cent from the total note circulation in September, when the peak was reached.
The following business failure and protest statistics are presented as an indication of the effects of the economic depression:

Protested Bills.


## State Banks and Trust Companies Admitted.

The following list shows the State banks and trust companies which have been admitted to membership in the Federal Reserve System during the month of May, 1921.

One thousand five hundred eighty-four State institutions are now members of the system, having a total capital of $\$ 552,809,045$, total surplus of $\$ 526,827,174$, and total resources of $\$ 10,216,727,588$.


## withdrawal.

Bank of Amityville, Amityville, N. Y.
voluntary liquidation.
First State Bank, Colorado, Tex.

## consolidation.

The Oakland County Savings Bank, Pontiac, Mich., has consolidated with the Pontiac Commercial \& Savings Bank, Pontiac, Mich.

## New National Bank Charters.

The Comptroller of the Currency reports the following increases and reductions in the number and capital of national banks during the period from April 30 to May 27, 1921, inclusive:


## Commercial Failures Reported.

While a tendency toward some reduction in the country's business mortality has recently developed, the 960 failures reported to R. G. Dun \& Co. during three weeks of May largely exceeded the 377 insolvencies of the corresponding period of last year. The returns for April, the latest month for which complete statistics are available, disclose 1,487 commercial defaults for $\$ 38,567,769$ of liabilities, an increase of 151 in number, in comparison with the 1,336 failures of March of this year, but a reduction of nearly $\$ 29,000,000$ from the high-record indebtedness of that month. Aside from March, the April insolvencies are the smallest in number of any month since last November, while the liabilities are the lightest of the present year. Comparing with the low mortality of April of 1920, however, sharp increases appear in both respects, and the failures are larger in number in all of the twelve Federal Reserve districts. With two exceptions-the seventh and ninth districts-the indebtedness is also heavier in every case.

Failures during Aprii.

| District. | Number. |  | Liabilities. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1921 | 1920 | 1921 | 1920 |
| First. | 145 | 51 | \$1,746,699 | \$982,320 |
| Second. | 229 | 117 | 10,471,232 | 2,865,153 |
| Third | 104 | 24 | 2,227, 631 | 278, 334 |
| Fourth.. | 118 | 36 | 4,366,788 | 352,946 |
| Fiith.. | 154 | 14 | 3,334,591 | 88,450 |
| Sixth... | 136 | 36 | 1,997,350 | 361,833 |
| Seventh | 178 | 39 | 3,949,115 | 4,551,640 |
| Eighth. | 115 | 14 | 2,427, 872 | 200,207 |
| Ninth. | 39 | 16 | 593,718 | 681,330 |
| Tenth.... | 50 | 32 | 1,966,778 | 628,450 |
| Eleventh. | 98 | 16 | 2,905,847 | 100,582 |
| Twelfth. | 121 | 109 | 2,580,148 | 2,132,890 |
| Total | 1,487 | 504 | 38,567,769 | 13,224,135 |

## RULINGS OF THE FEDERAL RESERVE BOARD.

Purchase of bankers' acceptances direct from accepting banks.
Federal Reserve Banks may, under the terms of the first paragraph of section 14, purchase bankers' acceptances in the open market "with or without the indorsement of a member bank," and such purchases may be made from any individuals, corporations, or banks. It is clear that under the terms of this paragraph a Federal Reserve Bank technically has authority to purchase from the drawer, or even from the accepting bank, a bankers' acceptance which bears no indorsement other than that of the accepting bank.

The normal and desirable practice, however, is for the drawer to discount acceptances with some bank other than the accepting bank, rather than for the accepting bank to discount the acceptances. In view, however, of the fact that the acceptance business is comparatively new in this country, and in view of the consequent lack of an adequate open market for bankers' acceptances in some districts, it has seemed best for some of the Federal Reserve Banks to purchase acceptances direct from the accepting banks, in the hope that the proper use of, and an active market for, bankers acceptances may thereby be encouraged.

It is, nevertheless, apparent that the indorsement of the accepting bank adds no strength to the instrument, since the accepting bank is already liable, primarily, as acceptor, and the Federal Reserve Board in February, 1920, instructed Federal Reserve Banks that all purchases direct from the accepting bank of bankers' acceptances bearing no member bank indorsement other than that of the accepting bank should be made at the prevailing rate for commercial paper rather than at the preferential rate applicable to bankers' acceptances as such.

The third paragraph of section 13 of the Federal Reserve Act provides that no Federal Reserve Bank shall discount for any member bank an aggregate of notes, drafts, and bills bearing the signature or indorsement of any one borrower in excess of 10 per cent of the member bank's capital and surplus, this restriction not applying to the discount of bills of exchange drawn in good faith against actually existing values. This limitation does not apply to open-market purchases made under authority of section 14, so that it does not prevent a Federal Reserve Bank from purchasing an unindorsed banker's acceptance from the accepting member bank, even though the Federal Reserve Bank already holds under
discount from that member bank paper representing loans in an amount equal to 10 per cent of the member bank's capital and surplus made by the member bank to the drawer of the acceptance.

It should be remembered, however, that there is no obligation upon a Federal Reserve Bank to purchase paper offered to it, even though the paper is technically eligible as a matter of law. The Federal Reserve Banks have discretionary power, just like any other bank, to decline to purchase paper whenever for any reason that course seems advisable. In view of the fact that an acceptance indorsed only by the accepting bank is supported by the credit of only two parties, and in this respect is like a customer's note indorsed by the bank, the Federal Reserve Board feels that a Federal Reserve Bank is justified in limiting its open-market purchases of bankers' acceptances of this character, so that it will at no time hold under rediscount or purchase from one member bank an aggregate amount in excess of 10 per cent of the member bank's capital and surplus of (1) notes, drafts, and bills bearing the signature or indorsement of any one borrower, and (2) bankers' acceptances made by the member bank for the same borrower, but bearing no indorsement other than that of the accepting bank. The Board has, however, issued no ruling upon this point, and for the time being at least is willing to leave the matter to the sound banking discretion of the officers of the Federal Reserve Banks.

## Renewal acceptances in import transactions.

In a letter recently received by the Federal Reserve Board it was stated that-

A national bank makes acceptances covering the importation of automobile parts from France, with a maturity of 90 days, which is supposed to be sufficient to cover payment for the merchandise in France, its transit to New York, its warehousing, and subsequent sale from warehouse. It is found, however, that before the transaction has been completed by the sale of the merchandise, that the 90 -day period has expired.

The letter inquired whether it would be proper under these circumstances for the national bank, at the maturity of the original acceptance to make renewal acceptances to finance the subsequent storage and resale of the automobile parts. It appeared from the letter that the importer was drawer of the original drafts and was to be the drawer of the renewal drafts and that at the maturity of the original Tacceptances the parts had already arrived in the United States and were stored in warehouses pending resale by the importer.

Section 13 of the Federal Reserve Act does not confer authority upon national banks to accept drafts growing out of the storage of goods other than "readily marketable staples," and automobile parts can not be regarded as readily marketable staples within the meaning of this section. Consequently, if the drafts are to be secured by warehouse receipts covering the automobile parts, that fact would not of itself make the drafts eligible for acceptance by national banks. The drafts are eligible for acceptance only if and upon the ground that they can be said to grow out of the importation of the automobile parts within the intent of section 13.

As stated in the Board's regulations, no renewal draft can be eligible for rediscount if at
the time of its acceptance the period required for the conclusion of the transaction out of which the original draft was drawn shall have elapsed, and the question of the eligibility of renewal drafts, therefore, must necessarily depend upon the stage of the transaction at the time the renewal drafts are drawn. The Board is of the opinion that where the goods have come into the possession of the importer in the United States, who is the taker of the credit, the transaction involving the importation of those goods must be considered so far concluded as to preclude the issuance of a renewal draft based upon that transaction. The Board is of the opinion, therefore, that upon the facts stated the renewal drafts would be ineligible for acceptance by national banks.

## LAW DEPARTMENT.

Jurisdiction of Federal courts in suits against Federal Reserve Banks.
On May 16, 1921, the Supreme Court of the United States rendered a decision in the case of American Bank \& Trust Company et al., v. the Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta et al., upholding the Federal Reserve Bank's contention that the district court of the United States had jurisdiction of the case, but reversing the decrees of the lower courts which had granted the Federal Reserve Bank's motion to dismiss the plaintiffs' complaint. The suit was brought in the superior court of Fulton County, Ga., by a group of nonmember country banks to enjoin the Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta from collecting checks drawn on those country banks, the complaint attempting to allege that the Federal Reserve Bank intended to undertake the collection of such checks by personal presentation and demand of payment in cash for the purpose of injuring the banks on which the checks were drawn. Upon the defendant's petition the case was removed to the district court of the United States for the northern district of Georgia and the plaintiffs moved to remand the case to the State court upon the ground that the Federal court had no jurisdiction. The Supreme Court holds that since the Federal Reserve Banks are organized under the Federal Reserve Act any suit brought by or against a Federal Reserve Bank arises out of the laws of the United States within the meaning of section 24 of the Judicial Code. The decision, therefore, establishes that the Federal courts have jurisdiction over any such suit, provided it involves the necessary jurisdictional amount.

At the time the plaintiffs filed their motion tor remand the case to the State court, the defendants filed a motion to dismiss the com-
plaint for lack of equity. This latter motion was in the nature of a demurrer and the issue before the Supreme Court upon this motion was merely whether, as a matter of pleading, the plaintiffs' bill of complaint stated a cause of action. The decision of the Supreme Court is not, therefore, a determination of the merits of the litigation and the case will now go back to the district court of the United States for the northern district of Georgia for trial upon the merits. In its opinion the Supreme Court holds merely that nonmember banks may be entitled to some relief if they can prove that the Federal Reserve Bank malevolently intends to accumulate checks and to make presentation thereof in an oppressive manner for the purpose of injuring the banks upon which the checks are drawn. The decision will not interfere with the present check clearing functions of the Federal Reserve Banks and those banks will continue, as heretofore, to collect at par checks drawn upon those banks which are listed upon the par lists.

The text of the opinion is given below:
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES.
No. 679, October Term, 1920.

American Bank and Trust Company Appeal from the et al., appellants,

United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit.
Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta, Georgia, et al.
(May 16, 1921.)
Mr. Justice Holmes delivered the opinion of the court.
This is a bill in equity brought by country banks incorporated by the State of Georgia against the Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta, incorporated under the laws of the United States, and its officers. It was brought in a

State court but removed to the district court of the United States on the petition of the defendants. A motion to remand was made by the plaintiffs but was overruled. The allegations of the bill may be summed up in comparatively few words. The plaintiffs are not members of the Federal Reserve System and many of them have too small a capital to permit their joining it-a capital that could not be increased to the required amount in the thinly populated sections of the country where they operate. An important part of the income of these small institutions is a charge for the services rendered by them in paying checks drawn upon them at a distance and forwarded, generally by other banks, through the mail. The charge covers the expense incurred by the paying bank and a small profit. The banks in the Federal Reserve System are forbidden to make such charges to other banks in the system. (Federal Reserve Act of Dec. 23,1913 , ch. 6, sec. 13; 38 Stat., 263 ; amended Mar. 3, 1915, ch. 93; 38 Stat., 958; Sept. 7, 1916, ch. 461; 39 Stat., 752; and June 21, 1917, ch. 32, secs. 4, 5; 40 Stat., 234, 235.) It is alleged that in pursuance of a policy accepted by the Federal Reserve Board the defendant bank has determined to use its power to compel the plaintiffs and others in like situation to become members of the defendant, or at least to open a nonmember clearing account with defendant, and thereby under the defendant's requirements, to make it necessary for the plaintiffs to maintain a much larger reserve than in their present condition they need. This diminution of their lending power, coupled with the loss of the profit caused by the above mentioned clearing of bank checks and drafts at par, will drive some of the plaintiffs out of business and diminish the income of all. To accomplish the defendant's wish they intend to accumulate checks upon the country banks until they reach a large amount and then to cause them to be presented for payment over the counter or by other devices detailed to require payment in cash in such wise as to compel the plaintiffs to maintain so much cash in their vaults as to drive them out of business or force them, if able, to submit to the defendant's scheme. It is alleged that the proposed conduct will deprive the plaintiffs of their property without due process of law contrary to the fifth amendment of the Constitution and that it is ultra vires. The bill seeks an injunction against the defendants collecting checks except in the usual way. The District Court dismissed the bill for want of equity and its decree was affirmed by the Circuit Court of Appeals (Nov. 19, 1920). The plaintiffs appealed, setting up want of jurisdiction in the District Court and error in the final decree.

We agree with the court below that the removal was proper. The principal defendant was incorporated under the laws of the United States and that has been established as a ground of jurisdiction since Osborne $v$. Bank of the United States (9 Wheat., 738; Pacific Railroad Removal Cases, 115 U. S., 1. Matter of Dunn, 212 U. S., 374). We shall say but a word in answer to the appellants' argument that a suit against such a corporation is not a suit arising under those laws within section 24 of the Judicial Code of March 3, 1911 (c. 231; 36 Stat., 1087). The contrary is established and the accepted doctrine is intelligible at least since it is part of the plaintiffs' case that the defendant bank existed and exists as an entity capable of committing the wrong alleged and of being sued. These facts depend upon the laws of the United States. (Bankers Trust Co. v. Texas \& Pacific Ry. Co., 241 U. S., 295, 306, 307; Texas \& Pacific Ry. Co. v. Cody, 166 U. S., 606 . See further Smith v. Kansas City Title \& Trust Co., Feb. 28, 1921.) A more plausible objection is that by the Judicial Code, section 24 , sixteenth, except as therein excepted, national banking associations for the purposes of suits against them are to be deemed citizens of the States in which they are respectively located. But we agree with the court below that the reasons for localizing ordinary commercial banks do not apply to the Federal Reserve Banks created after the Judicial Code was enacted and that the phrase "national banking associations" does
not reach forward and include them. That phrase is used to describe the ordinary commercial banks, whereas the others are systematically called "Federal Reserve Banks." We see no sufficient ground for supposing that Congress meant to open the questions that the other construction would raise.

On the merits we are of opinion that the courts below went too far. The question at this stage is not what the plaintiffs may be able to prove, or what may be the reasonable interpretation of the defendants' acts, but whether the plaintiffs have shown a ground for relief if they can prove what they allege. We lay on one side as not necessary to our decision the question of the defendants' powers, and assuming that they act within them consider only whether the use that according to the bill they intend to make of them will infringe the plaintiffs' rights. The defendants say that the holder of a check has a right to present it to the bank upon which it was drawn for payment over the counter, and that however many checks he may hold he has the same right as to all of them and may present them all at once, whatever his motive or intent. They ask whether a mortgagee would be prevented from foreclosing because he acted from disinterested malevolence and not from a desire to get his money. But the word "right" is one of the most deceptive of pitfalls; it is so easy to slip from a qualified meaning in the premise to an unqualified one in the conclusion. Most rights are qualified. A man has at least as absolute a right to give his own money as he has to demand money from a party that has made no promise to him; yet if he gives it to induce another to steal or murder the purpose of the act makes it a crime.

A bank that receives deposits to be drawn upon by check of course authorizes its depositors to draw checks against their accounts and holders of such checks to present them for payment. When we think of the ordinary case the right of the holder is so unimpeded that it seems to us absolute. But looked at from either side it can not be so. The interests of business also are recognized as rights, protected against injury to a greater or less extent, and in case of conflict between the claims of business on the one side and of third persons on the other, lines have to be drawn that limit both. A man has a right to give advice, but advice given for the sole purpose of injuring another's business and effective on a large scale might create a cause of action. Banks, as we know them, could not exist if they could not rely upon averages and lend a large part of the money that they receive from their depositors on the assumption that not more than a certain fraction of it will be demanded on any one day. If without a word of falsehood, but acting from what we have called disinterested malevolence, a man by persuasion should organize and carry into effect a run upon a bank and ruin it, we can not doubt that an action would lie. A similar result, even if less complete in its effect, is to be expected from the course that the defendants are alleged to intend, and to determine whether they are authorized to follow that course it is not enough to refer to the general right of a holder of checks to present them, but it is necessary to consider whether the collection of checks and presenting them in a body for the purpose of breaking down the petitioner's business as now conducted is justified by the ulterior purpose in view.

If this were a case of competition in private business, it would be hard to admit the justification of self-interest considering the now current opinion as to public policy expressed in statutes and decisions. But this is not private business. The policy of the Federal Reserve Banks is governed by the policy of the United States with regard to them and to these relatively feeble competitors. We do not need aid from the debates upon the statute under which the Reserve Banks exist to assume that the United States did not intend by that statute to sanction this sort of warfare upon legitimate creations of the States.

Decree reversed.

## PRICE MOVEMENT AND VOLUME OF TRADE. WHOLESALE PRICES IN THE UNITED STATES.

In the United States wholesale prices in general declined 5 per cent in April as compared with 3 per cent in March, according to the index number of the Bureau of Labor Statistics, based upon approximately 315 different price quotations, and that of the Federal Reserve Board, based upon 90 quotations. The grouping of the Federal Reserve Board index shows that raw materials are lower than manufactured goods (when compared with the prewar level), that goods which we import are almost down to 1913 values, and that goods which we export have been considerably more reduced than domestic commodities as a whole. The regrouping of the index of the Bureau of Labor Statistics shows that within the raw materials group animal products (including hides, wool, and silk as well as live stock) are only slightly above prewar prices, that agricultural commodities, such as grains, cotton, and tobacco, are about 25 per cent above prewar values, while forest and mineral products are at 207 and 190, respectively, as compared with a 1913 level of $100 .^{1}$
He The second table below shows the index number of the Bureau of Labor Statistics, which is based upon approximately 315 quotations, as reclassified by the Federal Reserve Board. The raw materials group consists of approximately 76 quotations, the producers' goods of about 80 , and the consumers' goods of 158. Raw materials have been subclassified into agricultural products (mainly grains, cotton, and tobacco), based upon 19 quotations, animal products based upon the same number, forest products based upon 11 quotations, and mineral products based upon 27 quotations. The Federal Reserve Bulletin for October, 1918, contains a list of the commodities in each group. The weights are the same as those used by the Bureau of Labor Statistics.

During the past month several changes have been made in commodities and weights used in the construction of the Federal Reserve Board index. These changes were made in order to obtain more representative quotations or better balance between different commodity lines. Most important among them is the change from contract prices of bituminous and semibituminous coal to spot prices. Although the majority of sales of coal are made on the contract basis, this type of quotation is not satisfactory for a current wholesale price index, because the contracts are usually made in April for the following year and all further trading is done on a spot basis. In normal times the spot price may be expected to be lower than the contract price in the summer and higher in the winter. The price which is being used in the index number is an average of the spot prices of 18 different kinds of bituminous and semibituminous coals in 12 markets. It is compiled weekly by the Coal Age and can be obtained on a comparable basis from 1913 to date (see War Industries Board Price Bulletin No. 35). It is being substituted in the index for bituminous, run of mine, Pittsburgh, and semibituminous, Pocahontas. The other price changes have been made with a view to giving representation to lines not satisfactorily represented before. For that reason a quotation for export tobacco, fired dark, new crop at Louisville has been added, also a low-priced grade of men's shoes, tan side, dress welt, at Boston, and a cheap grade of woolen cloth, serge, $9 \frac{1}{2}$-oz., A 2091,$~ 55 / 57$, New York.

[^2]In the case of three commodities it has seemed advisable to increase the production "weights" applied to them in the construction of the index number, in order to give larger representation to these industries than was the case before. The new weight for lumber (see Federal Reserve Bulletin, May, 1920, p. 500), in the classification of raw materials produced, is $84,000,000 \mathrm{M}$ feet; for tobacco, in the classification of consumers' goods produced, is $1,043,000,000$ pounds; for cotton cloth, in the classification of consumers' goods produced, is $11,300,000,000$ yards.

It has been found that one of the subgroups in the Federal Reserve Board index-namely, that showing the prices of goods consumed here-moves in substantially the same way as the all-commodities index. The difference between the two numbers is merely that resulting from a difference in weighting. For that reason it has been decided to eliminate this group.

INDEX NUMBERS OF WHOLESALE PRICES IN UNITED STATES-CONSTRUCTED BY THE FEDERAL RESERVE BOARD FOR THE PURPOSE OF INTERNATIONAL COMPARISON.
[Average price for 1913=100.]

| Date. | Goods produced. | Goods imported. | Goods exported. | Raw <br> materials. | Producers' goods. | Consumers' goods. | All commodities. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average for the year... | 236 | 191 | 227 | 235 | 237 | 229 | 233 |
| April....... | 265 | 242 | 264 | 263 | 274 | 257 | 263 |
| May.... | 266 | 246 | 262 | 263 | 274 | 261 | 264 |
| June. | 260 | 226 | 256 | 258 | 265 | 255 | 258 |
| July.. | 253 | 208 | 248 | 249 | 251 | 250 | 250 |
| August. | 238 | 182 | 229 | 237 | 235 | 229 | 234 |
| September. | 231 | 164 | 211 | 233 | 225 | 218 | 226 |
| October. | 213 | 142 | 181 | 211 | 209 | 203 | 208 |
| November | 195 | 127 | 163 | 192 | 190 | 187 | 190 |
| December. | 178 | 112 | 146 | 176 | 171 | 171 | 173 |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January.. | 166 | 114 | 142 | 164 | 166 | 159 | 163 |
| February | 156 | 113 | 135 | 152 | 158 | 152 | 154 |
| March.... | 152 | 114 | 125 | 146 | 153 | 151 | 150 |
| April............................... | 145 | 109 | 121 | 136 | 148 | 147 | 143 |

INDEX NUMBERS OFWHOLESALE PRICES IN THE UHITED STATES, 1919-192I. AVERAGE PRICE LEVEL OF 19/3=100.


INDEX NUMBERS OF WHOLESALE PRICES IN THE UNITED STATES FOR PRINCIPAL CLASSES OF COMMODITIESBUREAU OF LABOR STATISTICS.
[Average price for $1913=100$.]

| Year and month. | Raw materials. |  |  |  |  | Producers' goods. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Consumers' } \\ \text { goods. } \end{gathered}$ | All commodities (Bureau of Labor Statisticsindex number). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Agricul- } \\ \text { tural } \\ \text { products. } \end{gathered}$ | Animal products. | Forest products. | Mineral products. | Total raw materials. |  |  |  |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May...... | 314 | 179 | 367 | 234 | 260 | 271 | 285 | ${ }_{272} 20$ |
| June....... | 301 | 186 | 363 | 245 | 261 | 262 | 279 | 269 |
| July...... | 287 | 184 | 359 | 256 | 258 | 251 | 272 | 262 |
| August. | 259 | 181 | 351 | 265 | 251 | 238 | 250 | 250 |
| September. | 232 | 186 | 344 | 277 | 248 | 224 | 240 | 242 |
| October... | 191 | 172 | 339 | 272 | 230 | 209 | 224 | 225 |
| November.. | 170 | 159 | 289 | 246 | 205 | 193 | 214 | 207 |
| December... | 155 | 132 | 278 | 224 | 186 | 175 | 196 | 189 |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January..... | 155 | 119 | 245 | 220 | 175 | 169 | 182 | 178 |
| February.. | 145 | 114 | 227 | 207 | 165 | 161 | 171 | 167 |
| April........... | 136 126 | 116 | 212 207 | 197 | 158 | 149 | 167 159 | 162 154 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 154 |

In order to give a more concrete illustration of actual price movements, there are also presented in the following table monthly actual and relative figures for certain commodities of a basic character. The prices shown in the
table have been obtained from the records of the United States Bureau of Labor Statistics, except in the case of bituminous coal, prices for which have been furnished by the Coal Age.

AVERAGE MONTHLY WHOLESALE PRICES OF COMMODITIES.
[A verage price for $1913=100$.]

| Year and month. | Corn, No. 3, Chicago. |  | Cotton, middling, New Orleans. |  | Wheat, No. 1, northern spring, Minneapolis. |  | Wheat, No. 2, red winter, Chicago. |  | Cattle, steers, good to choice, Chicago. |  | Hides, packers, heavy native steers, Chicago. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average price per bushel. | Relative price. | Average price per pound. | Relaprice. | Average price per bushel. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rela- } \\ & \text { tive } \\ & \text { price. } \end{aligned}$ | Average price per bushel. | Relaprice. | Average price per 100 pound | Relaprice. | Average price per pound. | Relative price. |
| 1913 | \$0.6135 | ${ }_{257}^{100}$ | \$0.1270 | 100 | \$0. 8735 | 100 | \$0.9863 | 100 | \$8.5072 | 100 | \$0.1839 | 100 |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average for the year. | 1. 3968 | 227 | . 3301 | 260 | 2.5581 | 293 | 2.5225 | 256 | 14.4856 | 170 | . 3122 | 170 |
| April. | 1. 6913 | 275 | . 4144 | 326 | 3. 0063 | 344 | 2.7725 | 281 | 13.9063 | 163 | . 3613 | 196 |
| Jay. | 1.9825 | 322 | . 4038 | 318 | 3.0750 | 352 | 2. 9750 | 302 | 12.6000 | 148 | . 3538 | 192 |
| June.. | 1. 8390 | 299 | . 4030 | 317 | 2. 9000 | 332 | 2.8950 | 294 | 15.0313 | 177 | . 3410 | 185 |
| July... | 1.5388 | 250 | . 3950 | 311 | ${ }_{2}^{2.8313}$ | 324 | 2. 8050 | 284 | 15. 3813 | 181 | . 2944 | 160 |
| Septembe | 1.5398 <br> 1.2938 | $\stackrel{210}{219}$ | . 2706 | 266 213 | 2.5500 2.4903 | 295 | 2.4735 2.4919 | 251 | 15.3500 15.2500 | 180 179 | . 2850 | 155 |
| October. | . 8778 | 143 | . 2088 | 164 | 2. 1063 | 241 | 2. 2047 | 224 | 14.6875 | 173 | .2550 | 139 |
| November. | . 8003 | 130 | . 1780 | 140 | 1.7528 | 201 | 2.0570 | 209 | 14.5750 | 171 | . 2325 | 126 |
| December. | . 7341 | 119 | . 1444 | 114 | 1. 6809 | 192 | 2.0125 | 204 | 12.0938 | 142 | . 1900 | 103 |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Japuary. | .6553 | 106 | . 1450 | 114 | 1.7884 | 205 | 1. 9613 | 199 | 9.8400 | 116 | . 1675 | 91 |
| February | . 6350 | 103 | . 1322 | 104 | 1.6713 | 191 | 1.9194 | 195 | 9.3125 | 109 | . 1363 | 74 |
| March. | . 6180 | 100 | . 1105 | 87 | 1.6135 | 185 | 1. 6798 | 170 | 9. 5625 | 112 | . 1150 | 63 |
| April. | . 5547 | 90 | . 1116 | 88 | 1. 4059 | 161 | 1.3869 | 141 | 8.7188 | 102 | .1013 | 55 |

average monthly wholesale prices of commodities-Continued.
[Average price for $1913=100$.]

${ }^{1}$ On Toledo market, average for last six months of 1913.

AVERAGE MONTHLY WHOLESALE PRICES OF COMMODITIES-Continued.
[Average price for $1913=100$.]

| Yearand month. | Beef, carcass, good native steers, Chicago. |  | Coffee, Rio, No. 7, New York. |  | Flour, wheat, standard patents (1918, standard war), Minneapolis. |  | Hams, smoked, Chicago. |  | Illuminating oil, $150^{\circ}$ fire test, New York. |  | Sugar, granulated, New York. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average price per pound. | Relative price. | Average price per pound. | Relative price. | Average price per barrel. | Relative price. | Average price per pound. | Relative price. | Average price per gallon. | Rela. tive price. | Average price per pound. | Relative price. |
| 1913. | \$0. 1295 | 100 | \$0. 1113 | 100 | \$4.5837 | 100 | \$0.1662 | 100 | \$0.1233 | 100 | \$0.0427 | 100 |
| 1919. | . 2333 | 180 | . 1785 | 160 | 11.9982 | 262 | . 3433 | 207 | . 2004 | 163 | . 0894 | 209 |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average for the year. | . 2304 | 178 | . 1198 | 108 | 12.6750 | 277 | . 3340 | 201 | . 2629 | 213 | .1267 | 297 |
| April................. | . 2090 | 161 | . 1514 | 136 | 14.2813 | 312 | . 3313 | 199 | . 2600 | 211 | . 1919 | 449 |
| May.. | . 1950 | 151 | . 1559 | 140 | 15.0313 | 328 | . 3556 | 214 | . 2600 | 211 | . 2247 | 526 |
| June. | . 2225 | 172 | . 1498 | 135 | 14. 1600 | 309 | . 3650 | 220 | . 26600 | 211 | .2120 | 497 |
| July.. | . 2550 | 197 | . 1306 | 117 | 13.6688 | 298 | . 3769 | 227 | . 2600 | 211 | . 1910 | 447 |
| August | . 2550 | 197 | . 0936 | 84 | 12. 2350 | 267 | . 3725 | 224 | . 2600 | 211 | . 1490 | 349 |
| September. | . 2600 | 201 | . 0819 | 74 | 12.5938 | 275 | . 3634 | 219 | . 2750 | 223 | . 1426 | 344 |
| Oetober. | . 2520 | 195 | . 0759 | 68 | 11. 2063 | 244 | . 3575 | 215 | . 2900 | 235 | . 1078 | 252 |
| November. | . 2400 | 185 | . 0746 | 67 | 9.2950 | 203 | . 3065 | 184 | . 2900 | 235 | . 0962 | 225 |
| December. | . 2220 | 171 | . 0656 | 59 | 8.9438 | 195 | . 2575 | 15.5 | . 2900 | 235 | . 0809 | 189 |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January. | . 1738 | 134 | . 0669 | 60 | 9.6250 | 210 | . 2488 | 150 | . 2900 | 235 | . 0757 | 177 |
| February | . 1600 | 124 | . 0672 | 60 | 9. 1813 | 200 | . 2600 | 156 | . 2750 | 223 | . 0709 | 166 |
| March. | . 1625 | 125 | . 0639 | 57 | 8.7300 | 190 | . 2725 | 164 | . 2625 | 213 | . 0784 | 184 |
| April... | . 1650 | 127 | . 0600 | 54 | 7. 9500 | 173 | . 2763 | 166 | . 2540 | 206 | . 0725 | 170 |

## FOREIGN TRADE INDEX.

There is presented below a series of indexes designed to reflect movements in foreign trade of the United States, with fluctuations due to price changes eliminated. The commodities chosen for these indexes are those for which prices are compiled by the Federal Reserve Board in the preparation of its international price index. The list includes 25 of the most important imports, the value of which in 1913 formed 47.7 per cent of the total import values, and 29 of the most important exports, the value of which in 1913 formed 56.3 per cent of the total export values. The classification of the original list of commodities used was given in the July, 1920, Bulletin. A classification of the 11 additional commodities of imports was given in the April, 1921, Bulletis.

Total exports registered a decline during April for the fourth successive month, but this decline was less precipitate than in the three preceding months. Raw materials continued
to be the leading factor in this decline, while cotton was the most important commodity affected. Exports of raw cotton in April were little more than 50 per cent of the exports of January, while exports of cottonseed oil, a producer's product, were only about 30 per cent as large in April as in January. Total exports of consumers' goods showed little change in April, although there was a marked decline in the exports of lard.

The upward movement in imports which has been noticeable in recent months was checked during April. There was a decrease in the imports of raw materials, due primarily to a considerable decline in wool imports from the abnormally high level reached in March. This was partly counterbalanced by a sharp increase in the imports of raw silk. Imports of consumers' goods showed a slight decline during April, although imports of coffee continued to show an increase for the fourth successive month.

VALUE OF EXPORTS AND IMPORTS OF SELECTED COMMODITIES AT 1913 PRICES.
[In thousands of dollars; i. e., 000 omitted.]
[Monthly average values, $1913=100$.]

|  | Exports. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Imports. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Raw materials ( 12 commodities). |  | Producers' goods ( 10 commodities). |  | Consumers' goods ( 7 com modities). |  | Total (29 commodities). |  | Raw materials ( 10 commodities). |  | Producers' goods (12 commodities). |  | Consumers' goods (3 commodities). |  | Total (25 commodities). |  |
|  | Value. | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Index } \\ \text { numb. } \\ \text { ber. } \end{array}$ | Value. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Index } \\ & \text { num- } \\ & \text { ber. } \end{aligned}$ | Value. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Index } \\ \text { num- } \\ \text { ber. } \end{gathered}$ | Value. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Index } \\ & \text { num- } \\ & \text { ber. } \end{aligned}$ | Value. | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Index } \\ \text { num- } \\ \text { ber. } \end{array}$ | Value. | Index number. | Value. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Index } \\ & \text { num- } \\ & \text { ber. } \end{aligned}$ | Value. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Index } \\ & \text { num- } \\ & \text { ber. } \end{aligned}$ |
| $1913 .$ January. | 100,027 | 116.8 | 11,762 | 101.4 | 30,715 | 100.9 | 142,504 | 111.6 | 61,347 | 121.9 | 40,107 | 108.3 | 14,219 | 108.0 | 115,673 |  |
| February | 71,074 | 83.0 | 12, 266 | 105.8 | 30,790 | 101.2 | 114, 130 | 89.4 | 55,332 | 110.0 | 41, 060 | 110.9 | 14,335 | 108.9 | 110,727 | 110.1 |
| March. | 61,681 | 72.0 | 11, 836 | 102.1 | 28,698 | 94.3 | 102, 215 | 80.1 | 55, 555 | 110.4 | 45, 753 | 123.6 | 13,378 | 101.6 | 114,686 | 114.1 |
| April. | 71,446 | 83.0 | 14, 128 | 121.8 | 28,708 | 94.3 | 114,282 | 89.5 | 52, 271 | 103.9 | 42,346 | 114.4 | 10, 896 | 82.7 | 105,513 | 104.9 |
| May. | 68,856 | 80.4 | 11, 661 | 100.6 | 29,923 | 98.3 | 110,440 | 86.5 | 50, 089 | 99.5 | 38,409 | 103.7 | 7,718 | 58.6 | 96, 216 | 95.7 |
| June. | 46,963 | 54.8 | 11, 612 | 100.1 | 28, 242 | 92.8 | 86, 817 | 68.0 | 40, 822 | 81.1 | 38,606 | 104.3 | 8,382 | 63.7 | 87,810 | 87.3 |
| July.. | 51,325 | 59.9 | 11, 109 | 95.8 | 27,686 | 91.0 | 90, 120 | 70.6 | 40, 298 | 80.1 | 35,990 | 97.2 | 9,698 | 73.6 | 85,986 | 85.4 |
| August | 74, 869 | 87.4 | 11,547 | 99.5 | 29,370 | 96.5 | 115,786 | 90.7 | 42,470 | 84.4 | 37, 385 | 101.0 | 11,078 | 84.1 | 90,933 | 90.4 |
| September | 103, 614 | 120.9 | 10,622 | 91.6 | 32, 190 | 1105.8 | 146, 426 | 114.7 | 52,659 | 104.6 | 41, 184 | 111.2 | 15,883 | 120.6 | 109, 726 | 109.2 |
| October. | 137, 772 | 160.9 | 12,608 | 108.7 | 34,612 | 113.8 | 184,992 | 144.9 | 44, 407 | 88.2 | 22,721 | ${ }^{61.4}$ | 15,929 | 121.0 | 83,057 | 82.6 |
| November. | 126,836 113,326 | 148.1 | 9,987 10,053 | 86.1 86.7 | 31,246 33,089 | 102.7 | 168,069 156,468 | 131.6 122.5 | 48,107 60,904 | 95.6 121.0 | 28,788 31 | 77.8 86.2 | 15,059 | 114.4 | 91,954 114,279 | 91.5 113.7 |
| Year. | 1,027,789 | 100.0 | 139,191 | 100.0 | 365,269 | 100.0 | 1,532,249 | 100.0 | 604,261 | 100.0 | 444,278 | 100.0 | 158,021 | 100.0 | 1, 206, 560 | 100.0 |
| 1919. January. | 84,066 | 98.2 | 18,444 | 159.0 | 56,748 | 186.4 | 159,258 | 124.7 | 44,552 | 88.5 | 53,071 | 143.3 | 14,434 | 108.1 | 112,057 | 111.4 |
| February | 58,483 | 68.3 | 14, 598 | 125.9 | 53,338 | 175.2 | 126,424 | 99.0 | 47,774 | 94.9 | 66,708 | 180.2 | 14, 230 | 109.6 | 128,712 | 128.0 |
| March | 57,659 | 67.3 | 16,161 | 139.3 | 61,585 | 202.3 | 135,405 | 106.1 | 54,947 | 109.2 | 82,546 | 223.0 | 25,223 | 191.6 | 162,716 | 161.8 |
| April. | 65,112 | 76.0 | 19,356 | 166. 9 | 80,639 | 264.9 | 165,107 | 129.3 | 63,385 | 125.9 | 88,017 | 237.7 | 18, 869 | 143.3 | 170,271 | 169.3 |
| May. | 67,595 | 78.9 | 15,972 | 137.7 | 58,731 | 192.9 | 142,298 | 111.4 | 81, 274 | 161.4 | 89, 890 | 242.8 | 24, 861 | 188.8 | 196,025 | 195.0 |
| June. | 98, 335 | 114.8 | 28,618 | 247.1 | 96,088 | 315. 1 | 223, 041 | 174.7 | 86, 256 | 171.4 | 61,886 | 167.2 | 18,512 | 140.6 | 166,654 | 165.7 |
| July. | 71,917 | 84.0 | 17,150 | 147.9 | 52, 553 | 172.7 | 141,620 | 110.9 | 86, 443 | 171.7 | 77,401 | 209.1 | 29,492 | 224.0 | 193,336 | 192.3 |
| August | 81,250 | 94.9 | 19,574 | 168.8 | 49, 194 | 161.6 | 150,018 | 117.5 | 85,571 | 169.9 | 42, 132 | 113.8 | 20,953 | 159.1 | 148,656 | 147.8 |
| September. | 70, 285 | 82.1 | 19,359 | 166.9 | 43,342 | 142.4 | 132,986 | 104.1 | 123,524 | 245.3 | 70,033 | 189.2 | 25, 240 | 191.7 | 218,797 | 217.6 |
| October. | 70, 322 | 82.1 | 17, 182 | 148. 1 | 45, 814 | 150.6 | 133,348 | 104.4 | 99, 114 | 196.8 | 74,736 | 201.9 | 20,386 | 154.8 | 194, 236 | 193.2 |
| November.. | 99, 552 | 116.2 | 15,735 | 135.7 | 46,729 | 153.5 | 162,016 | 126.9 | 98,690 | 196.1 | 79, 198 | 213.9 | 21, 254 | 161.4 | 199, 142 | 198.1 |
| December.. | 89, 584 | 104.6 | 13,208 | 113.9 | 43,571 | 143.1 | 146, 363 | 114.6 | 79,965 | 158.9 | 71,886 | 194.2 | 21, 521 | 163.4 | 173,372 | 172.4 |
| Year. | 914,165 | 88.9 | 215,357 | 154.7 | 688,362 | 188.5 | 1,817,884 | 118.6 | 951,495 | 157.5 | 857,504 | 193.0 | 254,975 | 161.4 | 2,063,974 | 71.1 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1920 . \\ \text { January. } \end{array}$ | 93,142 | 108.7 | 15,647 | 134.9 | 35, 377 | 116.2 | 144,166 | 112.9 | 103,782 | 206.1 | 90,633 | 244.8 | 24,062 | 182.7 | 218,477 | 217.3 |
| February | 70, 150 | 81.9 | 14,201 | 122.4 | 41, 645 | 136.8 | 125,996 | 98.7 | 87, 210 | 173.2 | 107, 162 | 289.5 | 19,936 | 151.4 | 214,308 | 213.1 |
| March. | 90,779 | 106.0 | 17,259 | 148.8 | 56,612 | 186.0 | 164,650 | 128.9 | 97,011 | 192.7 | 125,409 | 339.0 | 25,999 | 197.4 | 248,419 | 247.1 |
| April. | 68,039 | 79.4 | 17,109 | 147.1 | 51,486 | 169.1 | 136, 634 | 107.0 | 87,617 | 173.9 | 97, 187 | 262.5 | 29,076 | 220.8 | 213, 863 | 212.7 |
| may.. | 63,650 | 74.3 | 17,546 | 151.3 | 62,457 | 205.2 | 143,653 | 112.5 | 64, 177 | 127.5 | 84, 134 | 227.2 | 14,887 | 113.1 | 163,198 | 162.3 |
| June. | 55, 200 | 64.5 | 14, 663 | 126.4 | 46, 113 | 151.5 | 115,976 | 90.8 | 75,225 | 149.5 | 95, 699 | 258.5 | 21, 463 | 163.0 | 192,387 | 191.8 |
| July.. | 66,924 | 78.1 | 19,138 | 165.0 | 43, 325 | 142.4 | 129,387 | 101.3 | 60, 942 | 121.0 | 93,910 | 253.7 | 24, 562 | 186.5 | 179, 414 | 178.1 |
| August. | 67,225 | 78.5 | 15, 788 | 135.4 | 28,594 | 94.0 | 111, 527 | 87.3 | 61,321 | 121.8 | 94, 866 | 256.2 | 22,624 | 171.8 | 178,811 | 177.6 |
| September | 70, 699 | 82.5 | 13,883 | 119.7 | 28,599 | 94.0 | 113, 181 | 88.6 | 51,388 | 102.1 | 61, 163 | 165.2 | 17, 226 | 130.8 | 129,777 | 129.0 |
| October. | 101,708 | 118.7 | 17,649 | 152.2 | 37, 859 | 124.4 | 157,216 | 123.1 | 44, 866 | 89.1 | 48,683 | 131.5 | 17,613 | 133.8 | 111, 162 | 110.0 |
| November. | 95,148 104, 828 | 111.1 | 14,123 | 121.8 186.0 | 33,996 | 111.7 123.3 | $\begin{aligned} & 143,267 \\ & 163,941 \end{aligned}$ | 112.2 128.4 | 43,436 39,963 | 86.3 79.4 | 61,590 49,239 | 166.4 133.0 | 14,610 13,401 | 111.0 101.8 | 119,636 102,603 | 119.0 102.0 |
| Year. | 947, 501 | 92.2 | 198,457 | 142.6 | 503,802 | 137.9 | 1,649,760 | 107.7 | 816,909 | 135.2 | 1,009,762 | 227.3 | 245,459 | 155.3 | 2,072, 130 | 171.7 |
| $\begin{gathered} 1921 . \\ \text { January. } \end{gathered}$ |  | 105.2 | 21,797 | 187.9 | 38,356 | 120.0 | 150,216 | 117.6 | 37,523 | 74.5 |  | 130.8 |  | 146.5 | 105, 253 |  |
| February.. | 77,922 | 91.0 | 16,349 | 141.0 | 35,433 | 116.4 | 129, 704 | 101.6 | 59, 514 | 118.2 | 53,111 | 143.5 | 11, 179 | 160.8 | 133, 804 | 133.1 |
| March. | 66,934 | 78.2 | 12,111 | 104.4 | 37,243 | 122.4 | 116,288 | 91.1 | 30,926 | 160.7 | 65,681 | 177.4 | 27,560 | 209.3 | 174,167 | 173.2 |
| April. | 65,569 | 76.6 | 11,914 | 102.7 | 37,273 | 122.5 | 114,756 | 89.9 | 77, 294 | 153.4 | 65,777 | 177.7 | 27,162 | 206.3 | 170,233 | 169.3 |

## PHYSICAL VOLUME OF TRADE.

In continuation of tables in the May, 1921, Federal Reserve Bulletin, there are presented in the following tables certain data relative to the physical volume of trade. The January, 1919, issue contains a description of the methods employed in the compilation of the data and the construction of the accompanying index numbers. Additional material will be presented from time to time as reliable figures are obtained.

The textile industry, as a whole, showed some further gain during April. Cotton consump-
tion decreased slightly, but there was an increase in the number of spindles active during the month. There was a further considerable decline in the percentage of idle wool machinery. Imports of raw silk were over twice as large as in March and much larger than in April, 1920.

Production of bituminous coal declined during April for the fourth consecutive month, whereas anthracite coal production showed a slight increase. The output of beehive coke continues to decrease and is now at a very



low level. Total April production of crude petroleum showed a slight decline, but the average daily production reached a new high level. Pig-iron production in May was only slightly higher than in April, and the output of steel ingots continued on a very restricted basis.

Both receipts and shipments of live stock at 15 western markets continued to decline during April. Stocker and feeder shipments from 34 markets were slightly smaller than in March and were very much less than the shipments in April, 1920. There was an increase over the previous month in the shipments of cattle and calves and sheep, but there was a large decrease in shipments of hogs. The number of animals slaughtered under Federal inspection was slightly less in April than in March, but was considerably greater than in April, 1920. Receipts of grain and flour at 17 interior centers were much smaller during April than in the previous month, but were larger than in April, 1920. There was a noticeable decline in stocks of grain at 11 interior centers during April, although these stocks were
still greater than at the corresponding date in 1920. There was a temporary check to the seasonal declines in cotton sight receipts and port receipts during April, but American spinners' takings continued to decline. Wheat flour production was greater than in March or in April a year ago. Shipments of citrus fruits in April were the largest recorded in any month during the past three years.
Production of lumber by five reporting associations showed an increase during April, although there were slight decreases in production of southern pine and eastern white pine. Production was considerably lower than in April, 1920, except in the case of North Carolina pine. All five associations reported increases in shipments during April, as compared with the previous month. Receipts of raw sugar at North Atlantic ports increased during April, but meltings showed a marked decrease. Raw stocks at the close of April were over 100 per cent greater than at the close of March.
The tonnage of vessels cleared during April was larger than in March, or in April, 1920.

LIVE-STOCK MOVEMENTS.
[Bureau of Markets.]

|  | Receipts. |  |  |  |  | Shipments. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cattle and calves, 59 markets. | Hogs, 59 markets. | Sheep, 59 markets. | Horses and mules, 43 markets. | Total, all kinds. | Cattle and calves, 54 markets. | Hogs, 54 markets. | Sheep, 54 markets. | Horses and mules, 43 markets. | Total, all kinds. |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1920 . \\ \text { April......... } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Head. } \\ \mathbf{1 , 5 3 8 , 5 6 7} \end{gathered}$ | Head. $2,989,715$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Head. } \\ 1,440,654 \end{gathered}$ | Head. 48, 036 | Head. $6,016,972$ | Head. 593, 438 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Head. } \\ 1,119,205 \end{gathered}$ | Head. 728,718 | Head. 48, 004 | Head. $2,489,365$ |
| July.. | 1,657,743 | 2, 837,685 | 2,000,758 | 35,668 | 6,531, 854 | 721, 328 | 1,095,470 | 1,015,612 | 37,152 | 2, 869,562 |
| August. | 1,952, 086 | 2, 516,240 | 2,561,661 | 73, 423 | 7,103, 410 | 869, 849 | 953,088 | 1,459, 150 | 69,971 | 3, 352, 058 |
| Septembe | ${ }_{2}^{2,279,345}$ | ${ }_{2}^{2,435,589}$ | ${ }_{2}^{2,826,693}$ | 57,468 | 7,599, 095 | 1, 079, 170 | ${ }_{1}^{931,261}$ | 1,581,680 | 60,414 | 3, 652, 525 |
| November | $\stackrel{\text { 2, }}{2}$, 403,990 | ${ }_{3}^{2}, 862,243$ | 2, 419, 596 | -32, ${ }^{387}$ | 8,708, 306 | 1, 1484,861 | 1, $1,394,347$ | 1,474, 299 | -22,993 | 4, $4,040,470$ |
| December | 1,382, 995 | 4,186, 261 | 1, 546,876 | 16, 118 | 7,132, 250 | 647, 801 | 1,516, 893 | 704, 760 | 17,030 | 2,886, 484 |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January. | 1,629,994 | 4,654,560 | 1,769, 155 | 34,712 | 8,088, 421 | 602,320 | 1,637,902 | 681, 987 | 34,572 | 2,956,781 |
| February | 1,174,611 | 3,951,971 | 1, 501, 902 | 41,212 | 6,669, 696 | 456, 471 | 1, 346, 092 | 590, 487 | 40, 611 | 2, 433,661 |
| March.. | $1,548,061$ $1,477,720$ | 3, 338,483 $\mathbf{3 , 2 0 3 , 0 1 6}$ | $1,731,653$ $1,648,950$ | 41,951 23,980 | $6,660,148$ $6,353,666$ | 587,898 600,996 | $1,229,405$ $1,116,890$ | 688,072 696,229 | 42,602 22,533 | 2,547,977 |
| April. | 1,477, 720 |  | 1,648,950 | 23,980 |  | 60,996 |  |  |  | 2,436,648 |

RECEIPTS AND SHIPMENTS OF LIVE STOCK AT 15 WESTERN MARKETS.
[Chicago, Kansas City, Oklahoma City, Omaha, East St. Louis, St. Joseph, St. Paul, Sioux City, Cincinnati, Cleveland, Denver, Fort Worth, Indianapolis, Louisville, Wichita. Monthly average, 1911-1913=100.]

RECEIPTS.

|  | Cattle and calves. |  | Hogs. |  | Sheep. |  | Horses and mules. |  | Total, all kinds. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Head. | Relative. | Head. | Relative. | Head. | Relative. | Head. | Relative. | Hoad. | Relative. |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1920 . \\ \text { April......... } \end{array}$ | 1,037,350 | 103 | 2,109, 195 | 96 | 927, 800 | 68 | 31,235 | 68 | 4, 105, 580 | 89 |
| July.. | 1,188, 019 | 118 | 2,115,639 | 96 | 1,301,458 | 95 | 26,257 | 57 | 4,631,373 | 100 |
| August..... | 1,459,565 | 145 | 1,818,245 | 83 | 1,688,719 | 124 | 55,371 | 120 | 5, 021, 900 | 109 |
| September... | 1,736, 009 | 172 | 1,597,622 | 73 | 1, 893, 312 | 139 | 38,950 | 85 | $5,265,883$ | 114 |
| October...... | 1,628, 564 | 162 | 1,836, 748 | 84 | 1, 865,330 | 136 | 24, 716 | 54 | 5, 355, 358 | 116 |
| November. | 1,781, 261 | 177 | 2,624,185 | 119 | 1,542,477 | 113 | 12,149 | 26 | 5,960, 072 | 129 |
| December.... | 1,784, 309 | 98 | 2,932,052 | 133 | -942,858 | 69 | 9,290 | 20 | 4, 868, 509 | 105 |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January... | 1, 191, 81514 | 118 | $3,339,419$ $2,902,107$ | 152 141 | 1,112,024 |  | 24, ${ }_{27} \mathbf{1} 58$ | ${ }_{6}^{52}$ | $5,667,415$ $4,737,551$ | 110 |
| March..... | 1, 835,686 | 118 111 | $2,902,107$ $2,390,480$ | 109 | 1 $1,1672,549$ | 76 85 | 28,437 | 62 | $4,700,014$ $4,307,48$ | 102 |
| April.. | 1,994,916 | 99 | 2,279, 495 | 104 | 1,077, 806 | 79 | 15,221 | 33 | 4,367, 438 | 95 |

RECEIPTS AND SHIPMENTS OF LIVE STOCK AT 15 WESTERN MARKETS-Continued.
SHIPMENTS.

|  | Cattle and calves. |  | Hogs. |  | Sheep. |  | Horses and mules. |  | Total, all kinds. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Head. | Relative. | Head. | Relative. | Head. | Relative. | Head. | Relative. | Head. | Reletive. |
| April........ | 414,967 | 102 | 712,087 | 147 | 373,381 | 74 | 31,348 | 76 | 1,531,783 | 107 |
| July.... | 508,199 <br> 640 <br> 195 | 125 | 737,923 627,670 | 152 | 644,557 899,342 | 128 179 | 27,728 52,163 | 68 127 | $1,918,407$ $2,219,470$ | 134 |
| September | 819,371 | 202 | 540,812 | 112 | 1,027, 510 | 204 | 40, 890 | 100 | 2, 428,583 | 169 |
| October. | 866,327 | 213 | 584, 742 | 121 | 1,192,912 | 237 | 24, 051 | 59 | 2,668,032 | 186 |
| November. | 810,284 | 199 | 784, 468 | 162 | 952,159 | 189 | 12,782 | 31 | 2,550,693 | 178 |
| December.. | 472,748 | 116 | 943, 515 | 195 | 384,646 | 76 | 10,201 | 25 | 1, 811, 110 | 126 |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January. | 426,887 | 105 | 1,078, 679 | 223 | 316,068 | 63 | 24,463 | 60 | 1,846,097 | 129 |
| February | 334, 113 | 88 | 869,718 | 192 | 324, 311 | $\stackrel{69}{81}$ | 26, 495 | 69 | 1,554, 637 | 116 |
| March. | 447,682 | 110 | 825, 944 | 170 | 406, 705 | 81 | 28,765 | 70 | 1,709, 096 | 119 |
| April.. | 415,153 | 102 | 694,067 | 143 | 392,061 | 78 | 14,396 | 35 | 1,515,677 | 106 |

SHIPMENTS OF STOCKERS AND FEEDERS FROM 34 MARKETS.

|  | Cattle and calves. | Hogs. | Sheep. | Total, all kinds. |  | Cattle and calves. | Hogs. | Sheep. | Total, all kinds. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| April........ | Head. <br> 241, 511 | Head. 67,995 | Head. <br> 267,657 | Head. <br> 577, 162 | November. 1920. <br> November | Head. <br> 545, 802 <br> 277,053 | Head. 52, 689 36 | Head. 855, 545 258,599 | Head. <br> 1,454,064 |
| July.... | 209,563 273,512 | 25,711 34,415 | 322,867 567,429 | 558,141 875,356 | December.... 1921. | 277,053 |  |  |  |
| September | 473, 652 | 44, 340 | 789, 387 | 1,307,379 | January...... | 202,926 | 41,892 | 88,089 | 332,907 |
| October.. | 571,025 | 59, 123 | 1,055, 237 | 1,685, 385 | February. | 164, 504 | 49, 229 | 61, 508 | 275, 241 |
|  |  |  |  |  | March. | 233, 477 | 72, 336 | 88,292 | 394, 305 |
|  |  |  |  |  | April. |  | 50,320 | 106,476 | 391, 127 |

ANIMALS SLAUGHTERED UNDER FEDERAL INSPECTION.
[Bureau of Animal Industry. Monthly average, 1911-1913=100.]

|  | Cattle. |  | Calves. |  | Hogs. |  | Sheep. |  | Total. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Head. | Relative. | Head. | Relative. | Head. | Relative. | Head. | Relative. | Head. | Relative. |
| April.................. | 637,575 | 105 | 382, 420 | 216 | 2,590, 208 | 92 | 713,796 | 59 | 4,323, 999 | 90 |
| July. | 661, 172 | 109 | 342, 765 | 194 | 2,643,772 | 94 | 1,048, 428 | 87 | 4,696, 137 | 98 |
| August | 685, 763 | 113 | 332,349 | 188 | 2,176,010 | 77 | 1, 041, 580 | 86 | 4, 235, 702 | 88 |
| September | 825, 484 | 136 | 347,578 | 197 | 1,978,602 | 70 | 1,150,776 | 95 | 4, 302, 440 | 90 |
| October. | 843, 136 | 139 | 314,789 | 178 | 2,486, 940 | 88 | 1,067, 821 | 89 | 4,712,686 | 98 |
| November. | 858,946 | 142 | 315,971 | 179 | 3,328,633 | 118 | 968, 235 | 80 | 5, 471, 785 | 114 |
| December. | 667,344 | 110 | 244,573 | 138 | 3, 985, 125 | 142 | 932,417 | 77 | 5,829, 459 | 121 |
| January............... | 689,506 | 114 | 282,043 | 160 |  | 154 |  | 89 |  | 133 |
| February | 522,718 | 92 | 252,369 | 153 | 3, 770,974 | 143 | 1,957,751 | 85 | 5,503, 812 | 123 |
| March | 624, 395 | 103 | 361,733 | 205 | 3,075, 137 | 109 | 1, 075, 481 | 89 | 5,136, 746 | 107 |
| April. | 590,943 | 97 | 365,541 | 207 | 3,003, 290 | 107 | 1,040,628 | 86 | 5,000,402 | 104 |

EXPORTS OF CERTAIN MEAT PRODUCTS.
[Department of Commerce. Monthly average, 1911-1913=100.]

|  | Beef, canned. |  | Beef, fresh. |  | Beef, pickled, and other cured. |  | Bacon. |  | F Hams and shoulders, cured. |  | Lard. |  | Pickled pork. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pounds. | Relative. | Pounds. | Relative. | Pounds. | Rela tive. | Pounds. | Relative. | Pounds. | Rela tive. | Pounds. | Rela tive. | Pounds. | Relative. |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1920 . \\ \text { April...... } \end{array}$ | 1,606,613 | 242 | 17, 687, 306 | 1,426 | 2, 241, 460 | 84 | 24, 356, 170 | 145 | 15, 640, 236 | 105 | 40, 758, 401 | 93 | 2, 734, 535 | 62 |
| July. | 5, 217, 838 | 788 | 5, 506, 812 | 444 | 1,973, 004 | 74 | 31, 562, 761 | 188 | 8, 385, 089 | 56 | 47, 061,422 | 107 | 2, 926,247 | 66 |
| August | 1, 231, 070 | 186 37 | + 343,352 | 28 | 2, 152, 982 | 81 | 23,333, 156 | 139 | 9, 360,469 | 63 | 31,020, 802 | 71 | 2, 257, 511 | 51 |
| October. | 244,261 207,503 | 31 | $1,964,543$ 522,251 | 158 | 1,613,657 | 6 | 41, 371, 5381 | 247 <br> 298 | $8,997,124$ $8,787,853$ | 60 59 | -46, 326,353 | 105 | 3, 279,902 | 74 80 |
| November. | 282, 761 | 43 | 3,091, 895 | 249 | 1, 678, 091 | 63 | 57, 934, 259 | 346 | 11, 197, 880 | 75 | 57, 316, 309 | 130 | 2, 605,431 | 59 |
| December. | 399,916 | 60 | 1,583, 434 | 128 | 3, 053, 993 | 114 | 68,784, 322 | 411 | 14, 491, 763 | 97 | 90,080, 092 | 205 | 2, 691, 452 | 61 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1921 . \\ \text { January... } \end{array}$ | 548,227 | 83 | 6,078,550 | 490 | 1,725,625 | 65 | 43,202,486 | 258 | 16, 869,841 | 113 | 76, 185, 237 | 173 |  |  |
| February. | 1,733,678 | 280 | -979, 081 | 85 | 1,750,756 | 70 | 31,612, 140 | 202 | 15,847,799 | 114 | 91, 840, 951 | ${ }_{224}^{173}$ | 3, 3 , 150,452 | 76 |
| March. | 504, 356 | 76 | 508, 230 | 41 | 2, 246, 547 | 84 | 35, 350,774 | 211 | 19, 102, 633 | 128 | 82, 616, 583 | 188 | 2, 324,334 | 46 |
| April. | 366, 682 | 55 | 214, 193 | 17 | 1,364,593 | 51 | 32, 851,837 | 196 | 24, 925,807 | 167 | 53, 275, 457 | 121 | 2,005, 851 | 45 |

RECEIPTS OF GRAIN AND FLOUR AT 17 INTERIOR CENTERS.
[Chicago, Cleveland, Detroit, Duluth, Indianapolis, Kansas City, Little Rock, Louisville, Memphis, Milwaukee, Minneapolis, Omaha, Peoria, St. Louis, Spokane, Toledo, Wichita; receipts of flour not available for Cleveland, Detroit, Indianapolis, Louisville, Omaha, Spokane, Toledo, and Wichita. Compiled from reports of trade organizations at these cities. Monthly average, 1911-1913=100.]

${ }^{1}$ Flour reduced to its equivalent in wheat on basis of $4 \frac{1}{2}$ bushels to barrel.
SHIPMENTS OF GRAIN AND FLOUR AT 14 INTERIOR CENTERS.
[Chicago, Cleveland, Detroit, Duluth, Kansas City, Little Rock, Louisville, Milwaukee, Minneapolis, Omaha, Peoria, St. Louis, Toledo, Wichita; shipments of flour not available for Cleveland, Detroit, Louisville, Omaha, Toledo, and Wichita.]

|  | Wheat. |  | Corn. |  | Oats. |  | Rye. |  | Barley. |  | Total grain. |  | Flour. |  | Total grain and Hour. ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bushels. | Rela- <br> tive. | Bushels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Rela tive. | Bushels. | Relative. | Bushels. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rela- } \\ & \text { tive. } \end{aligned}$ | Bushels. | Relative. | Barrels. | Rela tive. | Bushels. | Rela tive. |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1920 . \\ \text { April.... } \end{array}$ | 11, 058, 643 | 71 | 5,371,811 | 38 | 8,691,440 |  | 8,811,500 | 1,244 | 1,637, 509 |  |  | 72, 1, 702, 132 |  | 50 | 43,230,497 | 67 |
| July. | 19, 002,099 | 122 | 9,100,527 |  |  | $\begin{array}{c\|c} 75 & 4,476,238 \\ \hline 89 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{l\|l\|l\|} 632 & 2,086,672 \\ 407 & 2,231,851 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | 53 46, 010,965 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 93 \mid 3,767,678 \\ & 999,3,65,, 05 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $111$ | 62,965, 516 | 97101 |
| August | 24,934, 816 | 160 | 6, 260,144 | $\begin{aligned} & 44 \\ & 44 \\ & \hline 12,690,866 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 65, 343, 854 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| September. | 28,700,593 | 184 | 6,234, 075 |  |  | $8834,339,057$ |  |  |  | $6134,556,180$ |  | $9155,570,771$ |  | $1123,187,454$ |  | 104 | 69,914,314 | 108 |
| October. | 26, 258,795 | 169 | 10,336, 378 | $72.10,601,178$ |  | 704, 742, 380 |  | 116 | 50, 816,794 |  |  | $1143,758,735$$1023,949,699$ |  | 117 | $73,382,130$ <br> $68,590,440$ | 106 |
| November. | 24, 950, 771 | 160 | 7,890, 500 | 55 | $5{ }^{0,964,743}$ | 73\| 66 3, 998, 171,616 |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{l\|l} 670 & 4,529,091 \\ 423 & 4,247,954 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| December. | 22, 253, 030 | 143 | 7, 898, 979 |  |  |  |  | 448 | 3, 082, 249 |  | 46, 370, 617 | 933, 141, 524 |  | 93 | 60, 507, 475 | 93 |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $3362,874,359$ |  | 74 54, 254, 686 |  | $10922,678,257$ |  |  |  |  |
| January |  | 130 | 17,288 | $\begin{array}{r} 121 \\ 97 \end{array}$ |  | $76.2,380,797$ |  |  |  | 7985 | $\begin{aligned} & 66,306,843 \\ & 52,129,443 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 1028610498 |  |  |
| February. | 15, 134, 115 | 104 | 12, 891, 895 |  | ${ }_{12}^{9,299,842}$ |  | 1, 1111,424 |  | 1,626,913 |  |  |  | 39, 994, 189 |  |  |  |
| March. | 21, ${ }^{17,415,283}$ |  | 20, $723,793,564$ |  | 12, ${ }^{1286,573}$ |  | 1, 116,943 |  | 1,685,989 |  | [ $49,110,876$ |  |  |  | $\stackrel{92}{94}$ | $67,580,710$ $63,412,959$ |
| April | 21,482,283 |  | 13,793,563 |  | 10,286,573 |  | 1,518,559 |  | 2,029,838 |  | 49, 110,816 |  | 3,178,254 |  | 94 | 63,412,959 |

${ }^{1}$ Flour reduced to its equivalent in wheat on basis of $4 \frac{1}{2}$ bushels to barrel.
STOCKS OF GRAIN AT 11 INTERIOR CENTERS AT CLOSE OF MONTH.
[Chicago, Detroit, Duluth, Indianapolis, Kansas City, Milwaukee, Minneapolis, Omaha, Peoria, St. Louis, and Toledo.]


RECEIPTS OF GRAIN AND FLOUR AT NINE SEABOARD CENTERS.
[Boston, New York, Philadelphia, Baltimore, New Orleans, San Francisco, Portland (Oreg.), Seattle, Tacoma; receipts of flour not available for Seattle and Tacoma. Compiled from reports of trade organizations at these cities. Monthly average, 1911-1913=100.]

${ }^{1}$ Flour reduced to its equivalent in wheat on basis of $4 \frac{1}{2}$ bushels per barrel.
STOCKS OF GRAIN AT EIGHT SEABOARD CENTERS AT CLOSE OF MONTH.
[Boston, New York, Philadelphia, Baltimore, New Orleans, Newport News, Galveston, San Francisco. Compiled from reports of trade organizations at these cities.]

|  | Wheat. | Corn. | Oats. | Rye. | Barley. | Total grain. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| April......................... | Bushels. $7,704,155$ | Bushels. 967,475 | Bushels. 389, 958 | Bushels. $1,944,350$ | Bushels. $2,034,983$ | Bushels. <br> $13,040,921$ |
| July... | 11,923,745 | 744, 167 | 1,323,940 | 1,275,554 | 3,187,611 | 18,455,017 |
| August. | 13,915,892 | 1,097,945 | 1,533, 272 | 777,445 | 4,052,189 | 21,375, 743 |
| Septembe | $15,517,070$ $17,277,003$ | l, $1,246,514$ | $2,398,157$ $2,521,049$ | $2,414,910$ $1,742,178$ | 4, ${ }_{3}^{4}, 577,158$ | ${ }_{26}^{25,586,809}$ |
| November. | 17,794,605 | 1,371,013 | 2,327,249 | 1,906,527 | 3, 3 , 097,922 | 26, 297 , 316 |
| December. | 18,263,476 | 510,142 | 2,205,936 | 2,196,380 | 3,322,050 | 26,497,984 |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January. | 15,060, 423 | 2, 524, 700 | 1,980, 265 | 1,602,358 | 2,105, 450 | ${ }^{23,273,196}$ |
| February. | 12,032,772 | $3,982,316$ <br> $6,353,250$ | 1,775,563 | 1, $1,063,441$ | 1,909,706 | ${ }_{16,945,876}$ |
| March. | 6,782, $\mathbf{5 , 6 5 1}, 320$ | $6,353,250$ $1,022,990$ | $1,286,275$ $1,316,157$ | $1,069,220$ 550,011 | $1,454,547$ $1,326,460$ | $16,945,876$ $10,666,938$ |
| Apri. |  |  |  |  |  | 10,666,938 |

Note.-Figures for San Francisco include also stocks at Port Costa and Stockton.
WHEAT FLOUR PRODUCTION.
[January, 1918, to June, 1920, U. S. Grain Corporation; July, 1920, on, estimated by Russell's Commercial News (Inc.), New York.]

| April............................ | Barrels. <br> 7,375,000 | November. | 1920. | Barrels. <br> 9,500,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| July... | 8,200,000 | December. |  | 9,600, 000 |
| August. | 10,200,000 |  | 1921. |  |
| September | 9, 450,000 | January.. |  | 8,924,000 |
| October. | 9,650,000 | February. |  | 7,066,000 |
|  |  | March.... |  | 9,100,000 |
|  |  | April... |  | 9,368,000 |

COTTON.
[New Orleans Cotton Exchange. Monthly average crop years, 1911-1913=100.]

|  | Sight receipts. |  | Port receipts. |  | Overland movement. |  | American spinners' takings. |  | Stocks at ports and interior towns at close of month. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bales. | Relative. | Bales. | Relative. | Bales. | Relative. | Bales. | Relative. | Bales. | Relative. |
| August.................. | 308,262 | 25 |  | 17 |  | 24 | 251,841 | 55 |  | 116 |
| September | 771,590 | 62 | 443, 149 | 48 | 17,324 | 16 | 254,460 | 56 | 1,607, 602 | 136 |
| October. | 1, 466, 874 | 117 | 971,334 | 106 | 87,215 | 83 | 395, 165 | 87 | 2,101, 839 | 178 |
| November | 1, 804, 135 | 144 | 1,075, 803 | 117 | 117,139 | 111 | 425, 089 | 94 | 2,597, 820 | 220 |
| December. | 1, 579, 751 | 126 | 797, 350 | 87 | 134,455 | 128 | 672, 477 | 148 | 2,815, 934 | 239 |
| January.. | 1,153, 825 | 92 | 636, 260 | 69 | 157,012 | 149 | 526,718 | 116 | 2,863, 377 | 243 |
| February. | 744,682 55318 | 64 | 446, 399 | 52 | 206, 554 | 210 | 576, 260 | 136 | 2, 220,403 | 239 |
|  | 553,518 564,521 | 44 45 | 401,464 477,672 | 44 52 | 134,085 101,906 | 127 97 | ${ }_{211}^{253,368}$ | 56 47 | $\stackrel{2,757,715}{2,75,391}$ | ${ }_{236}^{234}$ |
| Aprio. | 564, 521 | 45 | 477,672 | 52 | 101,906 | 97 | 211,415 | 47 | 2,775,391 | 236 |



SHIPMENTS OF CITRUS AND DECIDUOUS FRUITS FROM CALIFORNIA.
[March, 1921, on, Bureau of Markets and California Fruit News. ${ }^{1}$ Monthly average, 1911-1913=100.]

|  | Oranges. |  | Lemons. |  | Total citrus fruits. |  | Total deciduous fruits. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Carloads. | Relative. | Carloads. | Relative. | Carloads. | Relative. | Carloads. |
| April........................... | 3,720 | 152 | 508 | 125 | 4,228 | 148 | 22 |
| July. | 2,822 | 115 | 664 | 164 | 3,486 | 122 | 3,179 |
| August.... | 1,707 | 70 | 751 | 185 | 2,458 | 86 | 7,239 |
| October... | 1,752 | 31 | 925 | 228 | 1,677 | 59 | 9,021 |
| November. | 1,602 | 66 | 377 | 93 | 1,979 | 69 | 2,792 |
| December.. | 3,774 | 154 | 368 | 91 | 24,167 | 146 | ${ }^{268}$ |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| February. | 3,484 | 153 | ${ }_{610}^{627}$ | 161 | 24,077 24,123 | 143 | ${ }_{81}^{98}$ |
| March.... | 4,955 | 203 | 936 | 231 | 5,891 | 207 | 81 |
| April... | 6,335 | 259 | 1,171 | 289 | 7,506 | 263 | 82 |

${ }^{1}$ For previous sources, see April, 1921, Bulletin.
${ }^{2}$ Includes graperruit.
SUGAR.
[Data for ports of New York, Boston, Philadelphia. Weekly Statistical Sugar Trade Journal. Tons of 2,240 pounds. Monthly average, 1911 -

|  | Receipts. |  | Meltings. |  | Raw stocks at close of month. |  |  | Receipts. |  | Meltings. |  | Raw stocks at close of month. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tons. | Relative. | Tons. | Relative. | Tons. | Relative. |  | Tons. | Relative. | Tons. | Relative. | Tons. | Relative. |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1920 . \\ \text { April....... } \end{array}$ | 310, 580 | 169 | 307, 000 | 167 | 91,765 | 53 | 1920. <br> December. | 148, 464 | 81 | 154,000 | 84 | 63, 715 | 37 |
| July.... | 386,328 <br> 308,313 | 210 168 | 325,000 287,000 1 | 177 156 | 104,027 125,340 | 60 73 | 1921. |  | 50 |  | 51 |  |  |
| September. | 109, 302 | 169 |  | ${ }_{89}$ |  | 41 |  | 228,952 | 133 | 193,000 | 113 | 98, 165 | 57 |
| October... | 109, 335 | 59 | 118,000 | 64 | 61,977 | 36 | March.... | 306, 914 | 167 | 310,000 | 169 | 87,466 | 51 |
| November. | 186, 274 | 101 | 179,000 | 98 | 69,251 | 40 | April.... | 345,654 | 188 | 232,000 | 126 | 187, 796 | 109 |

SALE OF REVENUE STAMPS FOR MANUFACTURES OF TOBACCO IN THE UNITED STATES (EXCLUDING PORTO RICO AND PHILIPPINE ISLANDS).
[Commissioner of Internal Revenue.]

|  | Cigars. |  | Cigarettes. | Manufactured tobacco. |  | Cigars. |  | Cigarettes. | Manufactured tobacco. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Large. | Small. | Small. |  |  | Large. | Small. | Small. |  |
| $\text { April. } 1920 .$ | Number. 663,577,579 | Number. $56,548,853$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number. } \\ 3,756,989,397 \end{gathered}$ | Pounds. <br> 34,327,970 | $\begin{array}{r} 1920 . \\ \text { December.. } \end{array}$ | Number. $506,126,135$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number. } \\ 47,380,000 \end{gathered}$ | Number. $2,816,818,050$ | Pounds. <br> 15,452,701 |
| July.... | 678,751,956 | $51,766,100$ | $\mathbf{3 , 0 5 3 , 3 3 6 , 5 6 3}$ |  | $1921 .$ |  |  |  |  |
| August... | 672, $678,640,116$ | $\begin{aligned} & 48,171,240 \\ & 50,175,580 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,569,397,443 \\ & 3,557,482,503 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32,138,941 \\ & 32,094,569 \end{aligned}$ | January. February | $462,798,039$ $496,724,482$ | $64,661,867$ $64,461,733$ | 3, 901, 560, 330 $4,119,376,533$ | ${ }_{27}^{24,750,296,592}$ |
| October... | 704, 799, 089 | $60,882,760$ | 3, 840, 334,806 | 27, 123,774 | March. | 561, 343,699 | 70,245,500 | $4,470,292,160$ | 32, 209, 842 |
| November. | 668,060, 015 | 57,026, 500 | 3, $229,200,006$ | 18,513,654 | April. | 548, 103, 503 | 56,425,666 | 3,801,672,057 | 28, 399,953 |

NAVAL STORES.
[Data for Savannah, Jacksonville, and Pensacola. Compiled from reports of trade organizations at these cities.]

|  | Spirits of turpentine. |  | Rosin. |  |  | Spirits of turpentine. |  | Rosin. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Receipts. | Stocks at close of month. | Receipts. | Stocks at close of month. |  | Receipts. | Stocks at close of month. | Receipts. | stocks at close of month. |
| April. ........ | Barrels. $7,644$ | Barrels. $3,996$ | Barrels. 27, 029 | Barrels. 98,517 | $\begin{array}{r} 1920 . \\ \text { December.... } \end{array}$ | Barrels. 21, 174 | Barrels. 53,356 | Barrels. 76,848 | Barrels. 300,315 |
| July . | 39,158 | 30,906 | 117,088 | 135,979 | 1921. |  |  |  |  |
| August. | 33,997 | 27,963 | 111,497 | 144, 109 | January .. | 9,419 | 51,563 | 36,333 | 310,905 |
| September | 32,162 30 | 44,396 49,885 | 97,797 88,766 | 176,612 195,837 | February | 7,404 | 41,755 | 26,736 18,906 | 316,440 319,347 |
| November. | 23,893 | 49,209 | 83, 177 | 247, 253 | April. | 15,857 | 28,690 | 30,478 | 304,959 |

LUMBER.
[From reports of manufacturers' associations.]

|  | Southern pine. |  |  | Western pine. |  |  | Douglas fir. |  |  | Eastern white pine. |  |  | North Carolina pine. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Num ber of mills | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pro- } \\ & \text { duc- } \\ & \text { tion. } \end{aligned}$ | Shipments. | Number of mills | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pro- } \\ & \text { duc- } \\ & \text { tion. } \end{aligned}$ | Shipments. | Num <br> ber of <br> mills | Pro-duction. | Shipments. | Num ber of mills. | Pro-duction. | Shipments. | Number of mills. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pro- } \\ & \text { duc- } \end{aligned}$ tion. | Shipments. |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1920 . \\ \text { April....... } \end{array}$ | 205 | $\begin{gathered} M \text { feet. } \\ 438,056 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { M feet. } \\ 359,461 \end{gathered}$ | 51 | $\begin{gathered} M \text { feet. } \\ 167,165 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { M feet. } \\ 133,114 \end{gathered}$ | 126 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M feet. } \\ & 359,651 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} M \text { feet. } \\ 274,597 \end{gathered}$ | 21 | $\underset{46,222}{M}$ | $\frac{M \text { feet. }}{61,757}$ | 13 | $\begin{gathered} M \text { feet. } \\ 13,659 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} M \text { feet. } \\ 10,613 \end{gathered}$ |
| July. | 207 | 385, 842 | 331, 273 | 49 | 177, 262 | 103,500 | 127 | 242,612 | 225,666 | 20 | 37,459 | 49,668 | 20 | 20,756 | 15,217 |
| August. | 204 | 383, 540 | 337,677 | 50 | ${ }_{1641} 1743$ | 123,344 | 123 | 366,433 | 322,908 | 20 | 46, 149 | 55,991 | 19 | 19,511 | 14,130 |
| September | 204 | 376, 566 | ${ }_{329,751}^{378}$ | 49 |  | 98,808 69,936 | 127 | 299, 277 | ${ }_{299}^{238,965}$ | 120 | 48,962 40,724 | 45,445 <br> 30,928 | 20 | 21,887 | 16, 043 |
| October... | ${ }_{203}^{206}$ |  | ${ }_{320,756}^{329}$ | 52 | 107, 846 | 60, 259 | 123 | 263,452 | 212,226 | 19 | - 20,294 | 30,928 19,51 | 24 | 14,617 | 14,877 12,929 |
| December | 199 | 264,504 | 281, 326 | 53 | 45,578 | 46,112 | 119 | 188, 905 | 187, 874 | 19 | 19,056 | 10,587 | 21 | 8,091 | 14,716 |
| 1921. | 193 | 289, 824 | 311,977 | 54 | 24,698 | 42,793 | 116 | 153,157 | 170,821 | 19 | 24,319 | 10,602 | 16 | 7,123 |  |
| February | 189 | 330,680 | 335, 876 | 53 | 22,128 | 48,270 | 114 | 159,646 | 153,649 | 19 | 23,722 | 13,615 | 18 | 10,673 | 10,045 |
| March. | 195 | 387,959 | 390,300 | 55 | 35,983 | 63,126 | 118 | 192,188 | 210,842 | 19 | 26,396 | 15,298 | 15 | 12,778 | 8,915 |
| April. | 194 | 370,321 | 405,317 | 55 | 93,665 | 75,433 | 114 | 204,698 | 232,351 | 19 | 25,748 | 21,099 | 20 | 14,871 | 12,953 |

RECEIPTS AND SHIPMENTS OF LUMBER AT CHICAGO AND ST. LOUIS.
[Chicago Board of Trade and Merchants' Exchange of St. Louis. Monthly average, 1911-1913=100.]

|  | Receipts. |  | Shipments. |  |  | Receipts. |  | Shipments. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M feet. | Relative. | M feet. | Relative. |  | M feet. | Relative. | M feet. | Relative. |
| May........... | 313,447 | 67 | 195, 965 | 77 | $\begin{array}{r} 1920 . \\ \text { December..... } \end{array}$ | 351,695 | 76 | 192,072 | 76 |
| July.... | 399,615 <br> 370,352 <br> 1505 | 86 80 | 184,767 220,368 | 73 87 | January...... |  | 57 |  |  |
| August.... | 375,456 | 81 | 242, 857 | 96 | February | 269, 632 | 62 | 169,843 | 65 72 |
| Octoker.. | 398, 333 | 86 | 220, 116 | 87 | March.... | 349, 426 | 75 | 215, 760 | 85 |
| November.. | 342,971 | 74 | 190, 282 | 75 | April.................... | 345,798 | 74 | 213, 359 | 84 |
|  |  |  |  |  | \| May....................... | 354,992 | 76 | 242,452 | 95 |

COAL AND COKE.
[U. S. Geological Survey. Monthly average, 1911-1913=100.]

|  | Bituminous coal, estimated monthly production. | Anthracite coal, estimated monthly production. |  | Beehive coke, estimated monthly production. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Relative. | Short tons. | Relative. | Short tons. | Relative. |
| April............................ | 102 | 6,214,000 | 84 | 1,602, 167 | 61 |
| July . | 121 | 8,247,000 | 111 | 1,693,000 | 65 |
| August. | 132 | $8,013,000$ | 108 | 1,776,000 | 68 |
| September | 133 | 4,638,000 | 63 | 1,757,667 | 67 |
| October. | 141 | $8,056,000$ | 109 | 1,742, 333 | 67 |
| November. | 139 | 7,441, 000 | 111 | 1, 622, 000 | 62 |
| December. | 141 | 8, 454,000 | 114 | 1,515,000 | 58 |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |
| January... | 109 | 7,410,000 | 100 | 1,074, 833 | 41 |
| March.... | 89 89 | 7,701,000 | 106 100 | 863,834 587,333 | ${ }_{22}$ |
| April. | 74 | 7,703,000 | 104 | 325,000 | 12 |

CRUDE PETROLEUM.
[Production and stocks, U. S. Geological Survey; wells completed, Oil and Gas Journal and Standard Oil Bulletin (California). Barrels of 42 gallons each. Monthly average, 1911-1913=100.]

|  | Production. |  | Stocks at close of (barrels). | Producing oil wells completed. |  | Production. |  | Stocks at close of month (barrels). | Producing oil wells completed. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Barrels. | Relative. |  |  |  | Barrels. | Relative. |  |  |
| 1920. | 35, 583,000 | 186 |  |  | 1921. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | February. | 35, 348, 000 | 198 | 135,092, 000 | 1,564 |
| July... | 38,203,000 | 199 |  | 1,910 | March.... | 40,965,000 | 214 | 142, 093,000 | 1,450 |
| August.... | $39,055,000$ $37,532,000$ | 204 | 124, 449, 000 | 2,456 2,185 | April.. | 40,039,000 | 209 | 147, 898,060 | 1,224 |
| October. | 39, 592, 000 | 207 | 123, 198,000 | 2,086 |  |  |  |  |  |
| November | 38,699,000 | 202 | 125, 853,000 | 2,136 |  |  |  |  |  |
| December. | 38,961,000 | 203 | 128,028,000 | 1,945 |  |  |  |  |  |

TOTAL OUTPUT OF OIL REFINERIES AND STOCKS OF OIL.
[Bureau of Mines.]
OUTPUT, BY MONTHS.

|  | Crude oil run (barrels). | Gasoline (gallons). | Kerosene. (gallons). | Gas and fuel (gallons). | Lubricating (gallons). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1920. | 33592,004 | 367, 137,678 |  |  |  |
|  | 33,692,04 | 367, 137,678 | 191, 110, 175 | 686, 945, 963 | 81, 818,973 |
| July.. | 37,024,052 | 423, 419,770 | 172,213,511 | 751, 193, 898 | 92, 369, 504 |
| August.... | 39,757, 770 |  | 189, 010, 459 |  | 91, 778,569 |
| September | 40,549, 316 | 453, 881,096 | 199, 140, 024 | 836,700,086 | 86, 230,371 |
| October. | 40, 687, 250 | 465, 787, 745 | 213, 742, 156 | 823, 114, 603 | 93, 229,723 |
| November | 39, 458, 945 | 452, 642, 125 | 214, 804, 177 | $822,638,305$ | 91, 180,007 |
| December. | 40, 485, 409 | 464, 393, 356 | 210,668, 109 | 859, 131, 359 | 90, 894, 798 |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |
| January. | 39,637, 382 | 460, 432,439 | 205, 374,611 | 836,684,040 | 85, 908, 641 |
| February | 34, 588,096 | 388, 188, 252 | 163, 081,918 | 732, 542, 415 | 72, 432,219 |
| March.... | 35,509, 115 | 419, 795, 390 | 169,247,894 | 758, 334,681 | 73,003,304 |


| STOCKS AT CLOSE OF MONTH. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mar. 31. |  | 14, 346, 458 | 626, 393, 046 | 334,617,117 | 580, 182, 858 | 130,630,597 |
| July 31. |  | 17,086, 253 | 413, 279,319 | 410, 853, 047 | 655,152, 293 | 131, 866, 455 |
| Aug. 31. |  | 17,960,558 | 323, 239, ${ }^{291}$ | 378, 3488,791 | 708, 608,472 | 130,797, 810 |
| Sept. 30 |  | 18,830,079 | 288, 195, 394 | 379, 300, 705 | 771, 126, 965 | 130, 449, 829 |
| Oet. 31. |  | 19, 237,730 | 301, 283,731 | 383, 828,239 | 799, 024,084 | 136, 194, 914 |
| Nov. 30. |  | 21,373, 945 | 354, 8351784 | 398,991, 592 | 808, 802, 516 | 142, 180, 775 |
| Dec. 31 |  | 21, 260, 580 | 462, 381, 837 | 393, 070, 923 | 837, 404, 414 | 160, 522, 477 |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. 31. |  | 21,064, 124 | 571, 983, 793 | 418, 747, 781 | 921, 028, 127 | 183, 813,205 |
| Feb. 28. |  | 22, 411, 819 | 680, 540, 351 | 430, 045, 193 | 993, 127, 328 | 201, 627,558 |
| Mar. 31. |  | 21,147, 257 | 713, 043, 480 | 446, 367, 188 | 1,005,317,939 | 223, 414,093 |

IRON AND STEEL.
[Pig-iron production, Iron Age; steer-ingot production, American Iron and Steel Institute. Monthly average, 1911-1913-100.]

|  | Iron-ore shipments from the upper Lakes. |  | Pig-iron production. |  | Steel-ingot produc-tion. |  | Unfilled orders U. S. Steel Corporation at close of month. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Gross tons. | Relative. | Gross tons. | Relative. | Gross tons. | Relative. | Gross tons. | Relative. |
| May......................... | 6,976,085 | 115 | 2,985, 682 | 129 | 2,883, 164 | 123 | 10,940, 466 | 208 |
| July. | 9,638,606 | 159 | 3,067,043 | 132 | 2,802, 818 | 120 | 11, 118,468 | 211 |
| August... | 9, ${ }^{9,270,763}$ | 153 | 退, 147,402 | 136 | 3, ${ }_{2,099,432}$ | 128 | 10, 1805,038 | 1205 |
| October... | 8 8,848, 986 | 146 | 3, 292, 597 | 142 | 3,015,982 | 129 | 9, 836, 852 | 187 |
| November. | 5,30., 738 | 88 | 2,934,908 | 127 | 2,638,670 | 113 | $9,021,481$ | 171 |
| December. | 99, 146 |  | 2,703, 855 | 117 | 2,340,365 | 100 | $8,148,122$ | 155 |
| January...................... |  |  | 2, 416, 292 | 104 | 2, 203, 186 | 94 | 7,573, 164 | 144 |
| February. |  |  | 1,937,257 | 90 | 1, 749, 477 | 80 | 6, 933,867 | 132 |
| March... |  |  | 1,595, 522 | 69 | 1,570,978 | 67 | 6,284,765 | 119 |
| April. | 176,211 |  | 1,193, 041 | 51 | 1, 213,958 | 52 | $5,845,224$ | 111 |
| мау.. |  |  | 1,221,221 | 53 | 1,265,850 | 54 | 5,482,487 | 104 |

## STRUCTURAL-STEEL ORDERS AND SHIPMENTS.

[Bridge Builders and Structural Society.]

|  | Fabricated structural steel contracted for throughout country. |  | Structural-steel orders and shipments of the membership of Bridge Builders and Structural Society. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tonnage. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per cent } \\ & \text { shop } \\ & \text { capacity. } \end{aligned}$ | Orders. |  | Shipments. |  |
|  |  |  | Tonnage. | Per cent shop. capacity | Tonnage. | Per cent shop capacity. |
| April..................................... | 122, 250 | 68.0 | 49,411 | 67.0 | 43,836 | 60.0 |
| July. | 90, 400 | 50.0 | 33,213 | 47.0 | 49,096 | 69.0 |
| August.... | 72,000 | 40.0 | 36, 843 | 50.0 | 51,381 | 70.0 |
| October... | 45, 600 | 25.5 | 14, 161 | 20.0 | 47, 200 | 66.0 |
| November. | 49, 200 | 27.5 | 11, 531 | 16.0 | 41, 268 | 57.5 |
| December.. | 47, 000 | 26.0 | 14, 521 | 20.0 | 42,767 | 60.0 |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January.. | 32,000 25,600 | 18.0 14.0 | 12,194 | 18.0 | 32,964 25,776 | 48.5 40.0 |
| March.... | 52, 300 | 29.0 | 26, 398 | 38.0 | 30, 011 | 43.0 |
| April........ | 55,800 | 31.0 | 22,694 | 32.5 | 29,550 | 42.5 |

ZINC.
[American Zinc Institute.]

|  | Produced. | Stocks at end of month. |  | Produced. | Stocks at end of month. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1920. | Short tons. | Short tons. | 1920. | Short tons. | Short tons. |
| January. | 43,441 | 40,311 | November.. | 33,318 28,459 | $\mathbf{c}_{1,34}^{390}$ |
| March.... | 48,256 | 31,019 | Decmbe.. |  |  |
| April.. | 45,399 | 32,510 | 1921. |  |  |
| May... | 45,415 | 29,335 | January.... | 25,916 | 75,953 |
| June.. | 41,009 | 26,854 | February | 17,769 | 78,020 |
| July.... | 40,194 |  |  |  |  |
| August... | 38,226 36,819 | 29,578 42,900 | ${ }_{\text {Aprin. }}$ | 16,550 18,026 | 79,581 83,721 |
| October... | 35,335 | 51,230 |  |  |  |

IMPORTS OF PIG TIN.
[Department of Commerce. Monthly average, 1911-1913=100.]

|  | Pounds. | Relative. |  | Pounds. | Relative. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1920. |  |  | 1920. |  |  |
| April. | 10, 345, 130 | 114 | November.... | - ${ }^{\mathbf{5}, 5850,535}$ | 105 |
| July... | 17,584,167 | 193 | 1921. |  |  |
| September. | 9,596, 819 | 106 | January................... | 2,584,347 | 28 |
| October.... | 6,741, 331 | 74 | February . | 5,269,969 | 62 |
|  |  |  | March. | 3,028,356 | $\stackrel{33}{ }$ |
|  |  |  | April | 2,483,655 | 27 |

RAW STOCKS OF HIDES AND SKINS. 1
[Bureau of Markets; July, 1920, on, Bureau of the Census.]

|  |  | Cattle hides. | Calfskins. | Kipskins. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Goat and } \\ & \text { kid. } \end{aligned}$ | Cabretta. | Sheep and lamb. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. 30. |  | 6,072,895 | ${ }_{3,542,388}^{2,281}$ | 834,711 $1,083,193$ | 14,823,461 $13,408,277$ | 1,947,499 | 8,911,681 |
| Oct. 31 |  | 6,770,509 | 3, 850,183 | 1, 377, 998 | 12, 147, 070 | 2,104,133 | 13, 626,406 |
| Nov. 30. |  | 7,158, 751 | 3,492, 653 | 1, 422, 608 | 11, 231,086 | 2, 234,027 | 12,705,767 |
| Dec. 31 |  | 7,793,762 | 3,271, 905 | 1,305, 776 | 11, 721, 505 | 2,685,670 | 13, 773,089 |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. 31. |  | 7,899, 138 | 3,086, 862 | 1,381, 748 | 10, 870, 210 | 2,155, 200 | 13,184,052 |
| Feb. 28 |  | 7,940, 359 | $3,157,723$ <br> 3,060 | $1,375,110$ $1,241,984$ | 9, 798,311 $8,652,171$ 7,781 | 1, $1,541,832$ | $12,489,855$ 12970,857 |
| Apr. 30. |  | 7,407, 862 | 3,454,470 | 1, 107,310 | 7,740,147 | 1,277, 321 | 12,992,299 |

[^3]TEXTILES-COTTON AND SILK.
[Cotton, Bureau of the Census; silk, Department of Commerce. Cotton, monthly average, crop years 1912-1914=100; silk, monthly average,

|  | Cotton consumption. |  | Cotton spindles active during month. | Imports of raw silk. |  |  | Cotton consumption. |  | Cotton spindles active during month. | Imports of raw silk. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bales. | Relative |  | Pounds. | Relative. |  | Bales. | Relative. |  | Pounds. | Relative. |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1920 . \\ \text { April...... } \end{array}$ | 566, 914 | 126 | 34, 358, 668 | 2,227,857 | 109 | 1920. <br> November. <br> December | 332,057 294,851 | 74 66 | $\begin{aligned} & 31,654,126 \\ & 29,879,402 \end{aligned}$ | $1,319,995$ 972,011 | 65 48 |
| July.... | 585,489 483,193 | 117 | $34,666,794$ $34,471,515$ | $2,581,920$ $2,690,690$ 1 | 126 | 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |
| September | 457, 647 | 102 | $34,040,806$ | 1,968, 801 | 96 | January... | 366, 270 | 81 | 31, 509, 021 | ${ }^{708} 8979$ | 35 |
| October.. | 399, 837 | 89 | 33,669,804 | 1,531,850 | 75 | February... | 395,563 437,933 | ${ }_{97}^{94}$ | $32,458,528$ $32,104,946$ | $2,327,949$ $\mathbf{2}, 201,633$ | 122 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | March...... | 437,933 408 | 91 |  | 2, $2,857,160$ | 108 237 |

TEXTILES-WOOL.
[Wool consumption, Bureau of Markets; idle wool machinery, Bureau of the Census.]

${ }^{1}$ Converted to grease equivalent basis.
PRODUCTION OF WOOD PULP AND PAPER.
[Federal Trade Commission.]

|  | Wood pulp. | Newsprint. | Book. | Paper board. | Wrapping. | Fine. |  | Wood pulp. | Newsprint. | Book. | Paper board. | Wrapping. | Fine. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { April....... } \\ \hline 1920 . \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Net } \\ \text { tons. } \\ 350,194 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Net } \\ \text { tons. } \\ 128,269 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Net } \\ \text { tons. } \\ 95,251 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Net } \\ \text { tons. } \\ 199,395 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Net } \\ \text { tons. } \\ 75,34 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Net } \\ \text { tons. } \\ 33,493 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1920 . \\ \text { December.. } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Net } \\ \text { tons. } \\ 302,527 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Net } \\ \text { tons. } \\ 124,857 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Net } \\ \text { tons. } \\ 76,093 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Net } \\ \text { tons. } \\ 105,227 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Net } \\ \text { tons. } \\ 54,300 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Net } \\ \text { tons. } \\ 27,233 \end{gathered}$ |
| July. | 312,334 | 129,853 | 95,526 | 218,771 | 73,487 | 34,078 | 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| August | 305,965 | 128, 818 | 94, 424 | 215,633 | 75, 226 | 33, 122 | January.... | 275, 353 | 123, 830 | 64,382 | 105, 806 | 44,620 | 22,756 |
| September |  |  |  |  |  |  | February |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| October..... November. | 319,877 | 124, 818 122,993 | 93,849 89,564 | 196,604 133,818 | 73,100 65,920 | 34,226 31,208 | March.. | 262,332 268,806 | 107, 532 | 59,832 51,380 | 139,723 128,186 | 49,879 51,713 | 15, ${ }^{19}$, 631 |
| November... | 32,041 | 122,993 | 89,504 | 133,818 | 65, 920 | 31,208 | Ap | 268,806 | 115, 408 | 51,380 | 128,186 | 51,713 | 15,631 |

OUTPUT OF LOCOMOTIVES AND CARS.
[Locomotives, reports from individual producers; cars, Railway Car Manufacturers' Association.]

|  | Locomotives. |  | Output of cars. |  |  |  | Locomotives. |  | Output of cars. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Domestic shipped. | Foreign completed. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Domes- } \\ & \text { tic. } \end{aligned}$ | Foreign. | Total. |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Domes- } \\ & \text { tic } \\ & \text { sipped. } \end{aligned}$ | Foreign completed. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Domes- } \\ & \text { tic. } \end{aligned}$ | Foreign. | Total. |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1920 . \\ \text { April....... } \end{array}$ | Number. 36 | Number. 96 | $\underset{2,313}{N u m b e r .}$ | Number. 1,934 | Number. $4,247$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1920 . \\ \text { December. } \end{array}$ | Number. 198 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Number. } \end{array}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { Number. } \\ 7,551}}{ }$ | Number. 1,420 | Number. 8,971 |
| July. | 122 | 54 | 2,731 | 434 | 3,165 | 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |
| August... | 114 | 129 | 3,409 | 1,210 |  | January ..... | 154 | 71 | 7,169 | 843 |  |
| September | 126 | 69 106 | 3,955 6,309 | 1,103 | 5,058 $\mathbf{6 , 9 9 3}$ | February. | 112 | 70 49 | 6,482 | 518 705 | 7,000 6,746 |
| November | 204 | 73 | 6,243 | 985 | 7, 228 | April. | 138 | 44 | 4,652 | 874 | 5,526 |

vessels built in united states, including those for foreign nations, and officially numbered by the bureau of navigation.
[Monthly average, 1911-1913=100.]

|  | Number. | Gross tonnage. | Relative. |  | Number. | Gross tonnage. | Relative. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1920. |  |  |  | 1920. |  |  |  |
| May | 184 | 185,145 | 766 | December | 87 | 176,903 | 732 |
| July... | 173 | ${ }_{27,}^{217} 2310$ | 899 | 1921. |  |  |  |
| August.... | ${ }_{135}^{178}$ | 269,210 2612 | 1,073 1,084 | January............. | 94 95 | 216, 280 | 895 538 |
| September | 135 120 | $\stackrel{261,962}{277}$ | 1,084 | February....... | 95 86 | 121,404 154,864 | 538 |
| November... | 119 | 213,966 | 885 | April. | 99 | 120,762 | 500 |
|  |  |  |  | May.. | 116 | 132,622 | 549 |

TONNAGE OF VESSELS CLEARED IN THE FOREIGN TRADE
[Department of Commerce. Monthly average, 1911-1913=100.]


NET TON-MILES, REVENUE AND NONREVENUE.
[United States Railroad Administration; March, 1920, on Interstate Commerce Commission.]

| March ......................... |  | 1920. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 37,991, 269, 000 | December . |  | 34,722, 365, 000 |
| July. | 40,450,094, 000 |  | 1921. |  |
| August... | $42,706,838,000$ 40 | January.. |  | 29, 817,000, 000 |
| Oetober... | 42,562,687,000 | March... |  |  |
| November. | 37,458,630,000 | March.. |  | 26,831,000,000 |

COMMERCE OF CANALS AT SAULT STE. MARIE.
[Monthly average, May-November, 1911-1913=100.]
EASTBOUND.

|  | Grain other than wheat. |  | Wheat. |  | Flour. |  | Iron ore. |  | Total. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bushels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Relative. | Barrels. | Relative. | Short tons. | Relative. | Short tons. | Relative. |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1920 . \\ \text { May ................. } \end{array}$ | 11,904,942 | 134 | 13,497,995 | 70 | 658,910 | 57 | 6,683, 820 | 113 | 7,483,836 | 107 |
| 1921. April..................................... | $\begin{array}{r} 7,418,708 \\ 12,431,592 \end{array}$ | 140 | $\begin{array}{r} 8,592,826 \\ 12,609,469 \end{array}$ | 66 | $\begin{array}{r} 54,540 \\ 890,330 \end{array}$ | 77 | $\begin{array}{r} 95,328 \\ 2,652,033 \end{array}$ | $\cdots$ | 518,458 3,407, 827 | 9 |

WESTBOUND.

|  | Hard coal. |  | Soft coal. |  | Total. |  | Total freight. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Short tons. | Relative. | Short tons. | Relative. | Short tons. | Relative. | Short tons. | Relative. |
| May.......................... | 202,000 | 65 | 531,375 | 28 | 937,374 | 38 | 8,421,210 | 89 |
| April........................ | 109,719 |  | 259, 288 |  |  |  | 957, 131 |  |
| May.. | 214,776 | 69 | 2,303,369 | 120 | 2,747, 236 | 110 | 6,155,063 | 65 |

PRODUCTION AND SHIPMENTS OF FINISHED COTTON FABRICS. ${ }^{1}$


1 The National Association of Finishers of Cotton Fabrics, at the request of the Federal Reserve Board, have arranged for a monthly survey within the industry. The results of the inquiries are herewith presented in tabular form. The secretary of the association makes the following statement concerning the tabulation:
statement concerning the tabulation: The accompanying figures are compiled from statistics furnished by 34 out of 58 member firms of this association. It is probably fair to state That in the absence of having specific detail at hand, but according to our best estimate, it is probably well within the fact that the figures given that in the absence of having specific cetail at hand, but according to our best estimate, ithe is probably well within the fact would cover, approximately, the following percentages of the entire industry: White goods, 72 per cent; dyed goods, 62 per cent; printed goods, 30 per cent. The figures given represent reports from exactly the same finishers for the two months, both for the totals 62 per cent; printed goods, 30 per cent. The figures given repres

Note.-Many plants were unable to give details under the respective headings of white goods, dyed goods, and printed goods, and reported their totals only, therefore the column headed "Total" does not always represent the total of the subdivisions but is a correct total for the district.

## REPORT OF KNIT-GOODS MANUFACTURES.

The total production of winter and summer underwear for the 6 months ending April 30 was as follows:

|  | Number of mills reporting. | Actual produc- tion (dozens). | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per cent } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { normal. } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1920. | 63 |  |  |
| November. |  | $\begin{array}{r} 191,831 \\ 98,671 \end{array}$ | 23.2 11.0 |
| 1921. |  |  |  |
| January. | $\begin{aligned} & 61 \\ & 63 \end{aligned}$ | 148, 023 | 16.4 |
| February. |  | 248,431 | 28.0 |
| March.. | 62 | 421, 140 | 50.1 |
| April......................... | 61 |  |  |
| Winter underwear (April) Summer underwear (April). |  | 109,937 292,001 | 35.6 59.3 |

Order and production report for month ending April 30, 1921, follows. The number of mills reporting was 39 .

|  |  |  | Dozens. | Per cent of normal produetion. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unfilled orders 1st of month. New orders received during month |  |  | 437,775 | …....... |
|  |  |  | 375,948 |  |
| New orders received during month. Total (A). |  |  | 813,723 |  |
| Shipments during monthCancellations during mon |  |  | 219, 270 | 34.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total (B). |  |  | 223,645 |  |
| Balance orders on hand May 1 (A minus B). Production |  |  | $590,078$ $299.183$ | 47 |
| Thirty-two representative mills which reported for March and April furnish the data for the following table: <br> [In dozens.] |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | March ( 32 mills). | $\begin{gathered} \text { April } \\ (32 \text { mills }) . \end{gathered}$ | Gam. | Loss. |
| Unfilled orders first of month. <br> New orders <br> Shipments. <br> Cancellations. <br> Production. | 361,076 | 423,727 | 62,651 |  |
|  | 354, 959 | 363, 543 | 8,584 |  |
|  | 261, 4,937 | 194,130 4,279 |  | 67,763 658 |
|  | 285,515 | 275,382 |  | 10,133 |

## RETAIL TRADE.

In the following tables is given a summary of the data obtained from representative department stores in each Federal Reserve district, showing the activity of retail trade during the past several months. In district Nos. 1, 2, 5, 6, 9, 11, and 12 the data were received in (and averages computed from) actual amounts (dollars). In districts Nos. $3,4,7,8$, and 10 the material was received in the form of percentages, the 1921 averages for the cities and districts computed from such percentages being weighted according to volume of business done during the calendar
year 1920, and the averages for the severa months in 1920 by similar figures for the calendar year 1919. For the month of April the tables are based on reports from 24 stores in district No. 1, 42 in district No. 2, 47 in district No. 3, 15 in district No. 4, 25 in district No. 5, 19 in district No. 6, 20 in district No. 7,11 in district No. 8, 17 in district No. 9, 15 in district No. 10, 19 in district No. 11, and 29 in district No. 12. The number of stores varies somewhat, due to the inclusion of new stores from time to time in the reporting list.

CONDITION OF RETAIL TRADE IN THE FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICTS.
(Minus sign denotes decrease.)

| District and city. | Percentage of increase in net sales as compared with corresponding period previous year. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oct., 1920. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov., } \\ & 1920 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec., } \\ & 1920 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan., } \\ & \text { 1921. } \end{aligned}$ | Feb. 1921. | Mar., 1921. | Apr., 1921. | July 1, 1920, to close of- |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan. 1, 1921, to } \\ & \text { close of- } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct. } \\ & 1920 . \end{aligned}$ | Nov., 1920. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec., } \\ & 1920 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan., } \\ & 1221 \text {, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Feb., } \\ & \text { 1921. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar. } \\ & 1921 . \end{aligned}$ | Apr., |
| District No. 1: Boston. Outside... | 0.1 2.2 | 15.0 1.7 | $\begin{array}{r}1.4 \\ -6.4 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 5.4 -10.1 | 10.8 -6.0 | 2.1 $-\quad .9$ |  <br> -1.3 <br> -3.8 | 9.7 11.1 | 11.0 9.0 | 8.5 5.0 | 5.4 -10.1 | $\begin{array}{r}7.7 \\ -9.1 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 5.5 -6.2 | $\begin{array}{r}3.6 \\ -\quad 5.5 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| District. | . 6 | 11.4 | - . 4 | 1.5 | 6.5 | 1.5 | -1.9 | 10.1 | 10.4 | 7.7 | 1.5 | 3.5 | 2.7 | 1.4 |
| District No. 2 : <br> New York City and Brooklyn. <br> Outside. | 1.7 15.4 | 7.6 18.9 | -3.6 5.9 | -6.5 -1.5 | - 1.1 | - 5.8 | 2.8 <br> 5.8 | 5.2 19.6 | 3.0 22.8 | 3.2 14.3 | -6.5 -1.5 | 4.6 -1.6 | -4.5 .7 | - 3.8 |
| District | 6.2 | 11.6 | - 1.6 | - 5.3 | 1.0 | - 4.6 | $-.5$ | 10.0 | 10.0 | 5.5 | $-5.3$ | -3.5 | -3.4 | $-2.1$ |
| District No. 3: Philadelphia. Outside...... |  | 8.5 8.5 | 2.9 10.8 | 2.9 3.3 | 3.4 4.7 | .9 4.6 |  <br> -2.5 <br> 5.8 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 12.0 \\ & 18.5 \end{aligned}$ | 9.1 21.6 | 2.9 3.3 | 4.4 1.7 | 2.0 | 1.8 3.7 |
| District. | 15.8 | 8.5 | 6.1 | 3.1 | 3.8 | 1.8 | $-.4$ | 17.3 | 14.6 | 14.1 | 3.1 | 3.6 | 2.0 | 2.3 |
| District No.4... | 20.8 | 26.1 | 14.7 | 3.6 | 6.4 | -. 4 | . 8 | 23.9 | 24.8 | 22.0 | 3.6 |  | 4.3 | 2.3 |

CONDITION OF RETAIL TRADE IN THE FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICTS-Continued.
(Minus sign denotes decrease.)


CONDITION OF RETAIL TRADE IN THE FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICTS-Continued.
(Minus sign denotes decrease.)

| District and city. | Ratio of average stocks at close of each month to average monthly sales for same period (per cent). |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ratio of outstanding ordersat close of month to total purchases during previous clendar year (per cent). |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | July 1, 1920, to close |  |  | Jan. 1, 1921, to close of- |  |  |  | Oct.,$1920 .$ | Nov., 1920. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec., } \\ & 1920 \text {. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan., } \\ & 1921 . \end{aligned}$ | Feb., 1921. | Mar., 1921. | Apr., 1921. |
|  | Oct., 1920. | Nov., 1920. | Dec., 1920. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan., } \\ & \text { 1921. } \end{aligned}$ | Feb., <br> 1921. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar., } \\ & \text { 1921. } \end{aligned}$ | $\overline{\text { Apr. }}$ $1921 \text {. }$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District No. 1: Boston. | 388.4 | 368.4 | 329.9 | 270.2 | 325.3 | 300.7 | 295.5 | 7.5 | 5. 4 | 3.6 | 5.1 | 7.4 | 5.7 | 5.2 |
| Outside. | 482.6 | 456.6 | 452.0 | 392.9 | 473.7 | 445.8 | 426.8 | 10.8 | 10.4 |  | 4.5 | 8.6 | 11.2 | 9.6 |
| District. | 415.6 | 398.6 | 357.9 | 297.2 | 358.1 | 332.5 | 324.7 | 9.1 | 7.7 | .... | 4.8 | 8.1 | 6.4 | 5.8 |
| District No. 2: <br> New York City and Brooklyn. | 479.4 | 464.1 | 361.1 | 315.8 | 323.3 | 310.1 | 322.5 | 9.2 | 6.9 | 5.3 | 5.5 | 7.3 | 7.1 | 6.7 |
| Outside......................... | 441.3 | 430.6 | 347.7 | 351.3 | 350.6 | 407.7 | 394.4 | 6.6 | 4.1 | 3.1 | 4.1 | 7.2 | 5.7 | 10.0 |
| District. | 466.9 | 452.3 | 358.0 | 325.0 | 328.7 | 330.6 | 342.5 | 8.1 | 5.5 | 4.5 | 5.1 | 7.3 | 6.7 | 6.5 |
| District No. $3:$ Philadelphia |  | 360.4 | 322.6 | 281.9 | 314.3 | 330.5 | 313.5 |  | 4.7 | 4.9 | 4.4 | 7.9 | 7.6 | 8.6 |
| Outisde... |  | 520.5 | 458.6 | 479.3 | 508.7 | 465.8 | 426.4 |  | 2.9 | 4.1 | 4.8 | 6.2 | 6.1 | 4.9 |
| District. | 437.2 | 418.0 | 371.1 | 357.6 | 365.6 | 361.9 | 340.4 | 5.9 | 4.0 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 7.5 | 7.3 | 7.8 |
| District No. 4. | 466.8 | 452.1 | 398.5 | 306.4 | 353.6 | 345.9 | 327.4 | 7.6 | 5.9 | 4.7 | 7.1 | 7.0 | 7.7 | 5.3 |
| District No. 5: Baltimore |  |  |  | 311.8 | 341.6 | 324.5 | 327.1 |  |  |  | 7.2 | 7.9 | 6.5 | U. 1 |
| Richmond. |  |  |  | 403.5 | 403.1 | 354.4 | 355.9 |  |  |  | 5.4 | 6.1 | 5.5 | 4. 6 |
| Washington |  |  |  | 351.2 | 370.9 | 341.3 | 344.1 |  |  |  | 3.9 | 4.8 | 4.2 | 4.8 |
| Other cities. |  |  |  | 407.1 | 434.3 | 402.2 | 400.5 |  |  |  | 5.4 | 6.9 | 4.4 | 5.1 |
| District. | 489.0 | 454.5 | 386.6 | 344.5 | 368.7 | 343.2 | 345.3 | 7.1 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 6.0 | 6.6 | 5.4 | 5.4 |
| Distriet No. 6. | 403.9 | 381.6 | 307.8 | 549.1 | 405.3 | 387.3 | 428.7 | 6.9 | 5.8 | 4.9 | 3.1 | 6.1 | 6.4 | 5.1 |
| District No. 7. |  |  | ${ }_{2451.0}^{391.0}$ | 544.3 336.5 | 404.4 407.9 | $\begin{aligned} & 360.2 \\ & 388.6 \end{aligned}$ |  | ${ }_{6}^{5.1}$ | 6.5 3.9 | 5.6 <br> 3.8 <br> 1 | 10.8 3.4 | 11.0 8.9 | 12.7 4.1 | 5.8 7.1 |
| Distriet No.9. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12.4 | 11.9 | 18.7 | 4.2 | 5.5 | 5.2 | 3.5 |
| District No. 10. | 343.3 | 526.8 | 465.3 | 480.8 | 450.3 | 359.2 | 346.9 | 3.1 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 22.1 | 11.8 | 6.4 | 4.8 |
| District No. 11. | 454.0 | 416.7 | 383.7 | 357.6 | 395.7 | 363.6 | 369.6 | 4.1 | 3.2 | 2.7 | 7.0 | 6.5 | 5.1 | 4.6 |
| District No. 12: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Los Angles ... | 462.5 511.9 | 478.0 515.8 | 432.5 434.3 | 354.1 424.2 | 355.6 444.6 | 353.2 401.5 | 367.9 402.6 | 9.3 13.6 | 7.6 9.6 | 10.6 4.3 | 6.9 4.4 | 11.5 8.2 | 10.2 6.9 | 9.8 7.5 |
| Oakiand. | 732.5 | 567.4 |  | 427.2 | 467.1 | 455.3 | 454.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sacramento | 387.4 |  | 490.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seattle... | 502.5 | 454.5 | 413.7 | 463.0 | 468.1 | 438.0 | 399.1 | 6.4 | 7.0 | 1.6 | 2.7 | 6.0 | 5.6 | 6.7 |
| Spokane. | 579.0 | 544.3 | 458.5 | 620.5 | 610.9 | 621. 6 | 617.8 |  |  | 2.1 | .... | 5.2 | 6.3 | 7.9 4.9 |
| District. | 467.3 | 488.5 | 490.7 | 502.4 | 448.2 | 404.7 | 477.9 | 9.8 | 7.0 | 5.8 | 5.4 | 8.6 | 7.8 | 8.7 |

## WHOLESALE TRADE.

PERCENTAGE OF INCREASE (OR DECREASE) IN NET SALES IN APRIL, 1921, AS COMPARED WITH THE PRECEDING MONTH (MARCH, 1921).

| District. | Groceries. |  | Dry goods. |  | Hardware. |  | Boots and shoes. |  | Furniture. |  | Drugs. |  | Stationery. |  | Farm implements. |  | Auto tires. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { cent. } \end{aligned}$ | Number of firms. | Per cent. | Number of firms. | Per cent. | Number of firms. | Per cent. | Number of firms. | Per cent. | Number of firms. | Per cent. | Number of firms. | Per cent. | Number of firms. | Per cent. | Number of firms. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per } \\ \text { cent. } \end{gathered}$ | Number of firms. |
| No. 3 | -14.9 | 51 |  |  | +11.4 | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. 5 | -6.4 | 9 | $-27.2$ | 9 | $-1.7$ | 9 | -21.4 |  | +54.8 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. 6. | $-16.2$ | 20 | -25.5 | 15 | $-10.6$ | 13 | -33.4 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. 10 | -6.7 | 3 |  |  | -18.1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. 11 | -12.4 | 17 | -25.8 | 5 | 18.7 -9.7 | r 2 |  |  | -20.9 | 3 | $-19.6$ | $6$ |  |  | -2.6 | 2 |  |  |
| No. 12. | -13.8 | 30 | $+1.4$ | 12 | $-1.7$ | 24 | $-6.4$ | 18 | -1.2 | 21 | $-1.1$ | 11 | $-4.0$ | 27 |  |  | $-0.9$ | 14 |

PERCENTAGE OF INCREASE (OR DECREASE) IN NET SALES IN APRIL, 1921, AS COMPARED WITH APRIL, 1920.

| District. | Groceries. |  | Dry goods. |  | Hardware. |  | Boots and shoes. |  | Furniture. |  | Drugs. |  | Stationery. |  | Farm implements |  | Auto tires. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per cent. | Number of firms. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { cent. } \end{aligned}$ | Number of firms. | Per cent. | Number of firms. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per } \\ \text { cent. } \end{gathered}$ | Number of firms. | Per cent. | Number of firms. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { cent. } \end{aligned}$ | Number of firms. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { cent. } \end{aligned}$ | Number of firms. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { cent. } \end{aligned}$ | Num- ber of firms. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { cent. } \end{aligned}$ | Number of firms. |
| No. 3 | -38.4 | 51 |  |  | -18.7 | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. 4 | -37.7 | 14 | -4.2 | 5 | -21.9 |  |  |  |  |  | -23.4 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. 5 |  | 9 9 | -39.1 | - 9 | -22.7 | ${ }^{9}$ | -38.7. 4 |  | - $-1.1{ }^{-1}$ | 3 | -20.4 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. 6 | -47.5 <br> -42.8 | 20 | -39.7 <br> -35.3 | 15 | -44.0 | 13 | -43.1 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. 10 | - ${ }^{-42.8}$ | $\stackrel{20}{3}$ |  | 12 | -40.0 |  |  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. 11 | -48.0 | 17 | -40.7 | 5 | -30.0 | 2 |  |  | -48.1 |  | -29.3 | 6 |  |  | -83.1 | $\ddot{2}$ |  |  |
| No. 12 | -36.2 | 30 | $-15.2$ | 12 | -27.5 | 24 | - 8.3 | 18 | $-28.6$ | 21 | $-10.3$ | 11 | $-7.8$ | 27 |  |  | 8.0 | 14 |

## COMPARATIVE WHOLESALE PRICE LEVELS IN PRINCIPAL COUNTRIES.

The foreign index numbers published below are constructed by various statistical offices according to methods described in most cases in the Bulletin for January, 1920. A description of the method used in the construction of the Swedish index number appeared in the Bulletin for February, 1921, for the new Italian index number in the April, 1921, issue of the Bulletin, and the method used by the Frankfurter Zeitung in the case of the German index number was described in the Bulletins for February and March, 1921. Complete information regarding the computation of the United States Bureau of Labor Statistics appears in the publications of that bureau, and a description of the index number of the Federal Reserve Board may be found in the Bulletin for May, 1920. Details as to the method used by the Okonomisk Revue in constructing its index of wholesale prices in Christiania are not available in this country. The Danish index has been constructed only recently, is based upon the prices of 33 commodities, and is roughly weighted according to consumption.

The new British index number, compiled by the Board of Trade, will be found on p. 724 of this issue of the Bulletin. It is not included in the table below as it can not be referred back to a definite prewar base. In the case of the two American index numbers, 1913 is used as the basis in the original computations. In the other cases in which 1913 appears as the basis for the computation the index numbers have been shifted from their original bases. The computations in these cases are, therefore, only approximately correct. In a few cases July, 1914, or the year immediately preceding that, is used as the base. The figures are for the most part received by cable, and the latest ones are subject to revision. In cases where the index numbers were available they have been published for the war years in various issues of the Bulletiv in 1920.
In the following table the all-commodities index numbers for the whole series of countries appear together to facilitate the study of comparative price levels.
index numbers of wholesale prices (all commodities).

|  | United <br> States; <br> Federal <br> Reserve <br> Board <br> ( 90 quo- <br> tations). ${ }^{2}$ | United States; Bureau of Labor Statistics (315 quotations). ${ }^{2}$ | United Kingdom; Statist ( 45 com -modities). ${ }^{3}$ | France; Bulletin de la Statistique Générale ( $45 \mathrm{com}-$ modities). ${ }^{3}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Italy; } \\ \text { Prof. } \\ \text { Bachi ( } 38 \\ \text { commodi- } \\ \text { ties until } \\ 1920,76 \\ \text { there- } \\ \text { after) }{ }^{3} \end{gathered}$ | Germany; <br> Frankfurter Zeitung (77 com-modities). ${ }^{4}$ | Sweden; Svensk Handelstidning (47 quotations). ${ }^{5}$ | Christiania, Norway; Dkonomisk Revue ( $93 \mathrm{com}-$ modities). ${ }^{6}$ | Denmark; Finanstidende (33 com-modities). ${ }^{7}$ | Japan; <br> Bank of Japan for Tokyo (56 commodities). ${ }^{3}$ | Australia; Commonwealth Bureau Census and Statistics (92 commodities). ${ }^{2}$ | Canada; Department of Labor (272 quotations). 5 | Calcutta, India; Department of Statistics ( $75 \mathrm{com}-$ modities). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1913. | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |  | 8100 |  |  | 100 |  | 100 |  |
| 1914. |  | 100 | 101 | 101 | 95 | - 100 | 116 | 10115 | 11100 | 96 | 12100 | 101 | 18100 |
| 1915. |  | 101 | 126 | 137 | 133 |  | 145 | 159 | 138 | 97 | 141 | 110 |  |
| 1916. |  | 124 | 159 | 187 | 202 | .......... | 185 | 233 | 164 | 117 | 132 | 135 | .......... |
| 1917. |  | 176 | 206 | 262 | 299 |  | 244 | 341 | 228 | 147 | 14155 | 177 |  |
| 1918. |  | 196 | 226 | 339 | 409 |  | 339 | 345 | 293 | 192 | 170 | 206 |  |
| 1919. | 206 | 212 | 242 | 357 | 364 |  | 330 | 322 | 294 | 236 | 180 | 217 |  |
| 1920. | 233 | 243 | 291 | 510 | 624 | 1,544 | 347 |  | 362 | 259 | 218 | 246 | 204 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1920 . \\ \text { April.... } \end{array}$ | 263 | 265 | 313 | 588 | 664 | 1,604 | 354 | 354 |  | 300 | 217 | 261 | 200 |
| May..... | 264 | 272 | 305 | 550 | 660 | 1,714 | 361 | 368 |  | 272 | 225 | 263 | 210 |
| Jume. | 258 | 269 | 300 | 493 | 632 | 1,473 | 366 | 382 |  | 248 | 233 | 258 | 206 |
| July........ | 250 | 262 | 299 | 496 | 604 | 1,495 | 363 | 409 | 383 | 239 | 234 | 256 | 209 |
| August.... | 234 | 250 | 298 | 501 | 625 | 1, 549 | 365 | 417 | 385 | 235 | 236 | 244 | 209 |
| September. | 226 | 242 | 292 | 526 | 655 | 1,582 | 362 | 425 | 394 | 230 | 230 | 241 | 208 |
| October.... | 208 | 225 | 282 | 502 | 659 | 1,604 | 346 | 419 | 398 | 226 | 215 | 234 | 206 |
| November . | 190 | 207 | 263 | 461 | 670 | 1,670 | 331 | 403 | 403 | 221 | 208 | 225 | 194 |
| December. . | 173 | 189 | 243 | 435 | 655 | 1,681 | 299 | 377 | 374 | 206 | 197 | 214 | 180 |
| $\begin{gathered} 1921 . \\ \text { January.... } \end{gathered}$ | 163 | 178 | 232 | 407 | 642 | 1,626 | 267 | 344 | 341 | 201 | 196 | 208 | 178 |
| February.. | 154 | 167 | 215 | 377 | 613 | 1,495 | 250 | 319 | 290 | 195 | 192 | 199 | 174 |
| March..... | 150 | 162 | 208 | 360 | 604 | 1,440 | 237 | 312 | 280 | 191 | 181 | 194 | 183 |
| April....... | 143 | 154 | 199 | 347 | 584 | 1,429 | 229 | 297 | 270 | 190 | 171 | 187 | . |
| May......... | 142 | 151 | 191 | 328 | 547 | 1,429 | 218 |  |  | 191 |  | 183 | .... |

${ }^{1}$ Index numbers for 1920 and thereafter based upon prices of 76 commodities. Computations arrived at by the method described on p . 465 of the Bulletin for April, 1921.

2 Average for the month
End of month.
${ }^{4}$ Beginning of month but not always the first.
Midde of monand
End of year and end of month.
3 First of month.
July 1, 1913, to June 30, 1914=100.
9 Middle of $1914=100$.
Dec. 31, 1913-June 30, 1914=100.
July 1, 1912-June 30, 1914=100.
1s July, $1914=100$.
18 End of July, $1914=100$.
it Last six months of 1917.

In the following tables the index numbers for individual groups of commodities are given for each country separately. Reference should be made to the preceding table for the "all commodities" indexes. In the case of the Italian group index numbers, Prof. Bachi's new computations only are given. These can not be
referred back to the 1913 base and it is therefore impossible to compare present levels with prewar levels in these cases. No group index numbers are compiled by the Bank of Japan and only four foods and materials in the case of the Danish index number of the Finanstidende.

GROUP INDEX NUMBERS-UNITED STATES, BUREAU OF LABOR STATISTICS.

| [1913=100.] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date. | Farm products. | Food, etc. | Cloths and clothing. | Fuel and lighting. | Metals and metal products. | Lumber and building material. | Chemicals and drugs. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { House } \\ & \text { furnishing } \\ & \text { goods. } \end{aligned}$ | Miscellaneous. |
| 1913. | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 1914. | 103 | 103 | 98 | 96 | 87 | 97 | 101 | 99 | 99 |
| 1915. | 105 | 104 | 100 | 93 | 97 | 94 | 114 | 99 | 99 |
| 1916. | 122 | 120 | 128 | 119 | 148 | 101 | 159 | 115 | 120 |
| 1917. | 189 | 176 | 181 | 175 | 208 | 124 | 198 | 144 | 155 |
| 1918.. | 220 | 189 | 239 | 163 | 181 | 151 | 221 | 196 | 193 |
| 1919.. | 234 | 210 | 261 | 173 | 161 | 192 | 179 | 236 | 217 |
| 1920...... | 218 | 239 | 302 | 238 | 185 | 308 | 210 | 366 | 236 |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| April. | 246 | 270 | 353 | 213 | 195 | 341 | 212 | 331 | 238 |
| May....... | 244 | 287 | 347 | 235 | 193 | 341 | 215 | 339 | 246 |
| June..... | 243 | 279 | 335 | 246 | 190 | 337 | 218 | 362 | 247 |
| July... | 236 | 268 | 317 | 252 | 191 | 333 | 217 | 362 | 243 |
| August... | 222 | 235 | 299 | 268 | 193 | 328 | 216 | 363 | 240 |
| September. | 210 | 22.3 | 278 | 284 | 192 | 318 | 222 | 371 | 239 |
| October.. | 182 | 204 | 257 | 282 | 184 | 313 | 216 | 371 | 229 |
| November. | 165 | 195 | 234 | 258 | 170 | 274 | 207 | 369 | 220 |
| December.... | 144 | 172 | 220 | 226 | 157 | 266 | 188 | 346 | 205 |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January.. | 136 | 162 | 205 | 234 | 152 | 239 | 182 | 283 | 190 |
| February .. | 129 | 150 | 198 | 218 | 146 | 222 | 178 | 277 | 180 |
| March..... | 125 | 150 | 192 | 206 | 139 | 208 | 171 | 275 | 167 |
| April... | 115 | ${ }_{121}^{141}$ | 186 | 199 | 138 | 203 | 168 | 274 | 154 |
| May... | 117 | 133 | 181 | 194 | 138 | 202 | 166 | 262 | 151 |

all COMMODITIES AND GROUP INDEX NUMBERS-UNITED KINGDOM, BOARD OF TRADE.
[ $1920=100$.

|  | Cereals. | Meat and fish. | Other foods. | Total food. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tron } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { steel. } \end{aligned}$ | Other metals and minerals. | Cotton. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Other } \\ & \text { tex- } \\ & \text { tiles. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Other } \\ & \text { arti- } \\ & \text { cles. } \end{aligned}$ | Total. not <br> food. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { arti- } \\ & \text { cles. } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Japuary 1921. | 90.5 | 108.0 | 88.0 |  | . 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| February. | 78.4 | 100.8 | 81.6 | 88.2 | 89.0 | 80.7 | 40.6 | 51.1 | 80.4 78.6 | 73.1 67.3 | 80.1 73.4 |
| March.... | 75.5 | 95.5 | 82.0 | 83.8 | 69.5 | 77.2 | 36.1 | 49.3 | 74.7 | 61.4 | 68.4 |
| April. | 73.9 | 92.7 | 80.2 | 81.8 | 63.6 | ${ }^{1} 70.1$ | 37.8 | 47.2 | 71.4 | ${ }^{1} 59.3$ | ${ }^{166.5}$ |

${ }^{1}$ Based on the assumption that prices of coal and coke were the saine as in March, 1921.
GROUP INDEX NUMBERS-UNITED KINGDOM, STATIST.


GROUP INDEX NUMBERS-FRANCE, GENERAL STATISTICAL BUREAU.
[1913-100.]

| Date. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ani- } \\ \text { mal } \\ \text { foods. } \end{gathered}$ | Vege table foods. | Sugar, coffee, and cocoa. $\qquad$ | Foods (20). | Min- erals. | Tex- | Sun- | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Raw } \\ & \text { mate- } \\ & \text { rials. } \\ & \text { (25). } \end{aligned}$ | Date. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ani- } \\ \text { mal } \\ \text { foods } \end{gathered}$ | Vegetable foods | Sugar, coffee, and cocoa. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Foods } \\ & (20) . \end{aligned}$ | Minerals. | Textiles. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sun- } \\ & \text { Sries } \end{aligned}$ | Raw mate rials (25). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1913. | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 191. | 103 | 103 | 106 | 104 | 98 | 109 | 99 | 101 | August. | 515 | 359 | 399 | 432 | 475 | 737 | 524 | 558 |
| 1915 | 126 | 126 | 151 | 131 | 164 | 132 | 145 | 145 | September. | 531 | 412 | 544 | 487 | 468 | 715 | 540 | 558 |
| 1916 | 162 | 170 | 164 | 167 | 232 | 180 | 199 | 206 | October. | 533 | 421 | 422 | 469 | 453 | 637 | 527 | 528 |
| 1917. | 215 | 243 | 201 | 225 | 271 | 303 | 302 | 291 | November | 519 | 390 | 377 | 442 | 424 | 510 | 498 | 476 |
| 1918. | 286 | 298 | 231 | 281 | 233 | 460 | 420 | 387 | December | 515 | 360 | 355 | 424 | 385 | 475 | 471 | 444 |
| 1919. | 392 | 313 | 253 | 336 | 272 | 444 | 405 | 373 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1920. | 503 | 427 | 422 | 459 | 449 | 737 | 524 | 550 | 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | January.... | 483 | 334 | 337 | 397 | 341 | 460 | 445 | 415 |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | February | 452 | 317 | 338 | 378 | 300 | 398 | 422 | 378 |
| May... | 480 482 | 480 400 | 424 | 472 | 459 | 841 | 601 | 514 | March | 406 | 332 | 367 350 | 366 | 289 | 375 | 392 | 355 |
|  | 482 501 | 400 370 | 392 405 | 434 432 | 428 | 734 | 517 500 | 540 | April | 396 | 348 | 359 317 | 372 | 281 | 314 | 371 | 328 |
|  |  |  |  | 432 |  | 746 | 500 | 548 | May |  | 346 | 317 | 353 | 266 | 282 | 355 | 309 |

GROUP INDEX NUMBERS-ITALY, RICCARDO BACHI.
$[1920=100$.

|  | Veqetable foods. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ani- } \\ \text { mal } \\ \text { foods. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chem- } \\ & \text { icals. } \end{aligned}$ | Textiles. | Minerals and metals. | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Build- } \\ \text { ing } \\ \text { mate- } \\ \text { rials. } \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Other } \\ & \text { vere- } \\ & \text { table } \\ & \text { prod- } \\ & \text { ucts. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sun- } \\ & \text { dries. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { com- } \\ & \text { mod. } \\ & \text { ities. } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| April... | 102.7 | 83.6 | 110.4 | 130.8 | 115.8 | 96.4 | 99.8 | 104.2 | 106. 30 |
| May. | 102.2 | 92.8 | 122.6 | 104.9 | 113.2 | 101.8 | 97.2 |  | 105.73 |
| June. | 100.2 | 101.1 | 112.8 | 90.8 | 99.1 | 106.3 | 95.9 | 105.7 | 101.18 |
| July. | 96.8 | 100.3 | 100.0 | 88.3 | 95.5 | 108.4 | 90.2 | 104.9 | 97.77 |
| August | 100.7 | 103.4 | 102.3 | 94.9 | 96.6 | 109.9 | 91.9 | 101.4 | 100.13 |
| September. | 104.8 | 108.8 | 100.1 | ${ }^{99.6}$ | 103.7 | 109.9 | 97.5 | 102.1 | 104.98 |
| October. | 107.1 | 108.0 | 103.4 | 97.9 | 106.5 | 112.8 | 100.5 | 105.4 | 105.47 |
| November. | 107.7 | 124.1 | 107.7 | 94.0 | 101.4 | 112.6 | 108.7 | 105.2 | 107.33 |
| December. | 106.9 | 126.4 | 102.8 | 81.9 | 92.7 | 112.6 | 121.8 | 106.8 | 104.97 |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January... | 106.7 | 120.7 | 98.1 | 77.4 | 88.2 | 113.3 | 123.4 | 107.1 | 102.89 |
| February.. | 103.4 | 119.5 | 89.2 | 65.4 | 79.5 | 117.0 | 127.3 | 106. 7 | 98.23 |
| March.... | 1078 | 117.4 | 86.9 | ${ }_{56.5}^{63.5}$ | 72.0 | 112.9 | 123.2 | 103.9 | ${ }_{93.51}^{96.66}$ |
| April........ | 101.5 | 112.1 | 76.2 | 46.6 | 62.3 | 106.8 | 110.0 | 95.4 | 87.59 |

GROUP INDEX NUMBERS-GEIMANY, FRANKFURTER
[Middle of 1914=100.]


GROUP INDEX NUMBERS-SWEDEN, SVENSK HANDELSTIDNING.
[July 1, 1913-June 30, 1914=100.]

| Date. | Vegetable food. | Animal food. | Raw materials for agriculture. | Coal. | Metals. | Building material. | Wood pulp. | Hides and leather. | Textiles. | Oils. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1913-14. | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 19141.. | 136 | 101 | 114 | 123 | 109 | 104 |  | 118 | 103 | 111 |
| 1915. | 152 | 140 | 161 180 | 177 | ${ }_{272}^{166}$ | 118 | 116 | 158 229 | 116 | 120 |
| 1917. | 181 | 205 | 198 | 551 | 405 | 215 | 267 | 206 | 247 | 212 |
| 1918. | 221 | 419 | 30.4 | 856 | 398 | 275 | 300 | 195 |  | ... |
| 1919. | 261 | 409 | 340 | 804 | 258 | 286 | 308 | 211 |  |  |
| 1920... | 262 | 296 | 312 | 1,007 | 278 | 371 | 675 | 215 | 324 | 294 |
|  | 265 | 284 | 320 | 1,008 | 283 | 367 | 767 | 263 | 368 | 275 |
| May.... | 269 | 283 | 320 | 1,069 | 324 | 367 | 788 | 252 | 374 | 275 |
| June... | 250 | 273 | 311 | 1,252 | 318 | 381 | 778 | 212 | 368 | 303 |
| July.. | 252 | 277 | 312 | 1,252 | 293 | 388 | 767 | 202 | 336 | 303 |
| August | 271 | 307 | 310 | 1,117. | 286 | 388 | 756 | 191 | 328 | 322 |
| September. | 273 | 312 | 308 | 1,085 | 273 | 388 | 753 | 180 | 310 | 340 |
| October... | 258 | 306 | 309 | 1,026 | 256 | 390 | 740 | 166 | 250 | 340 |
| November. | 264 | 290 | 303 | 910 | ${ }_{247}^{253}$ | 387 | 609 | 161 | 233 | 332 |
| December.... | 217 | 283 | 301 | 602 | 247 | 362 | 598 | 156 | 206 | 328 |
| ${ }^{1921 .}$ | 244 | 266 | 281 | 371 | 230 | 320 | 520 | 131 | 169 | 328 |
| January...... | 231 | 241 | 248 | 362 | 204 | 319 | 511 | 108 | 147 | 318 |
| March.. | 238 | 229 | 240 | 279 | 185 | 298 | 510 | 85 | 134 | 268 |
| April. | 232 | 231 | 236 | 291 | 178 | 236 | 510 | 84 | 125 | 264 |
| May.. | 221 | 217 | 245 | 269. | 153 | 237 | 286 | 106 | 132 | 238 |

${ }^{1}$ Average for 6 months ending Dec. 31, 1914.

GROUP INDEX NUMBERS-CHRISTIANIA, NORWAY, ØKONOMISK REVUE.
[Dec. 31, 1913-June 30, 191t=100.]

| Date. | Animal food. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Vegetable } \\ \text { food. } \end{gathered}$ | [Dec. 31, 1913-June 30, 191 $=100$ ] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Foodstuffs and fertilizers. | Fuel. |  | Tron. | Metals. | Building materials. | Textiles. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Hides } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { leainer. } \end{gathered}$ | Pulp wood. | Paper. |
|  |  |  |  | Coal and coke. | Petroleum and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| End of- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1915. | 149 | 150 | 150 | ${ }_{224}^{151}$ | 132 | 158 | ${ }_{239} 12$ | 131 | 105 | 193 | 124 | 101 |
| 1916. | 193 | 198 | 195 | 355 | 170 | 435 | 401 | 213 | 178 | 251 | 171 | 190 |
| 1917. | 260 | 292 | 231 | 1161 | 231 | 720 | 503 | 326 | 264 | 296 | 217 | 263 |
| 1918. | 324 | 277 | 294 | 514 | 217 | 573 | 503 | 359 | 302 | 286 | 283 | 313 |
| 1919.. | 329 | 281 | 277 | 767 | 162 | 442 | 187 | 358 | 356 | 284 | 277 | 322 |
| April........ ${ }^{1920}$ | 299 | 301 | 239 | 871 | 196 | 603 | 232 | 356 | 396 | 279 | 295 | 365 |
| May... | 293 | 317 | 292 | 969 | 225 | 621 | 229 | 410 | 396 | 274 | 295 | 425 |
| June.... | 300 | 324 | 306 | 986 | 257 | 696 | 232 | 429 | 406 | 274 | 323 | 425 |
| July... | 337 | 376 | 328 | 1050 | 274 | 724 | 237 | 455 | 413 | 269 | 413 | 453 |
| August.... | 317 | 388 | 341 | 1050 | 328 | 703 | 240 | 468 | 418 | 271 | 444 | 453 |
| September. | 360 | 392 | $3 \pm 1$ | 1190 | 369 | 682 | 240 | 470 | 418 | 271 | 446 | 482 |
| October... | 353 | 390 389 | ${ }_{343}^{343}$ | 1190 | 369 | 581 | 232 | 460 | 431 | 271 | 446 | 482 |
| November. | 372 | 389 385 | 343 340 | 1067 | 369 | 538 | 213 | 442 | 412 | 259 | 383 | 482 |
| December.. | 352 | 385 | 340 | 647 | 407 | 482 | 200 | 416 | 402 | 255 | 321 | 472 |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January.... | 307 | 370 | 317 | 512 | 384 | 394 | 165 | 378 | 374 | 217 | 321 | 472 |
| February. | 294 | 324 | 292 | 358 | 384 | 340 | 167 | 362 | 352 | 212 | 289 | 472 |
| March. | 307 | 315 | 287 | 289 | 357 | 328 | 169 | 339 | 350 | 203 | 289 | 472 |
| April. | 283 | 304 | 273 | 317 | 343 | 295 | 166 | 329 | 329 | 199 | 289 | 413 |

GROUP INDEX NUMBERS-AUSTRALIAN COMMONWEALTH, BUREAU OF CENSUS AND STATISTICS.
[July, 1914 $=100$.]

| Date. | Metals and coal. | Textiles, leather, etc. | Agricultural products. | Dairy products. | Groceries and tobacco. | Meat. | Building materials. | Chemicals. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| July, 1914... | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 117 | 93 | 202 | 127 | 110 | 150 | 116 | 149 |
| 1916. | 154 | 131 | 113 | 124 | 127 | 155 | 136 | 172 |
| 1918... | 220 | 232 | 110 | 116 | 131 <br> 138 | 155 | 194 | 243 |
| 1919.. | 193 | 217 | 186 | 137 | 147 | 145 | 261 | 382 |
| 1920..... | 209 | 243 | 229 | 184 | 186 | 201 | 295 | 277 |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| April.. | 205 | 277 | 234 | 169 | 192 | 160 | 298 | 280 |
| May...... | 214 | 265 | 252 | 177 | 197 | 170 | 307 | 297 |
| June... | ${ }_{214}$ | 260 | 261 | 187 | 195 | 208 | 307 | 297 |
| July.... | 211 | 252 | 244 | 188 | 193 | 261 | 307 | 283 |
| August.... | 209 | 251 | 238 | 189 | 193 | 284 | 312 | 282 |
| September. | 211 | 222 | ${ }_{2} 231$ | 209 | 196 | 273 | 295 | 276 |
| October.... | 222 | 220 | 208 | 214 | 196 | 226 | 289 | 276 |
| December. | 216 | 156 | 193 | 210 | 198 | 193 | 271 | 252 |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January.. | ${ }_{215}$ | 145 | 197 | 208 | 197 | 191 | 279 | 244 |
| February. | 214 | 132 | 192 | 206 | 197 | 184 | 303 | 242 |
| March....... | $\stackrel{203}{202}$ | 107 | 176 164 | ${ }_{178}^{207}$ | 198 | 178 144 | 303 284 | ${ }_{231}^{234}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 231 |


| Date. | GROUP INDEX NUMBERS-CANADA, DEPARTMENT OF LABOR.$[1913=100 .]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grains and fodder. | Animals nad meats. | Dairy products. | Fruits and vegetables. | Other foods. | Textiles. | Fides, leather, etc. | Metals. | Implements. | Building materials, lumber. | Fuel and lighting. | Drugs and chemicals. |
| 1913. | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 1914. | 114 | 107 | 100 | 99 | 104 | 102 | 105 | 96 | 101 | 100 | 94 | 106 |
| 1915. | 136 | 104 | 105 | 93 | 121 | 114 | 110 | 128 | 106 | 97 | 92 | 160 |
| 1916. | 142 | 121 | 119 | 130 | 136 | 148 | 143 | 167 | 128 | 100 | 113 | 222 |
| 1917. | 206 | 161 | 149 | 233 | 180 | 201 | 168 | 217 | 174 | 118 | 163 | 236 |
| 1918. | 231 | 197 | 168 | 214 | 213 | 273 | 169 | 229 | 213 | 147 | 188 | 250 |
| 1919. | 227 | 199 | 192 | 206 | 222 | 285 | 213 | 173 | 228 | 171 | 201 | 205 |
| 1920... | 263 | 198 | 204 | 261 | 258 | 303 | 191 | 203 | 245 | 268 | 255 | 204 |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| April...... | 291 | 200 | 196 | 316 | 264 | 328 | 239 | 214 | 237 | 268 | 245 | 201 |
| May.... | 301 | 207 | 189 | 358 | 275 | 323 | 210 | 213 | 237 | 294 | 257 | 203 |
| June... | 302 | 211 | 183 | 338 | 274 | 314 | 182 | 207 | 238 | 294 | 279 | 206 |
| July... | 292 | 211 | 194 | 295 | 283 | 305 | 178 | 209 | 242 | 282 | 294 | 218 |
| August.. | 271 | 204 | 198 | 216 | 277 | 300 | 173 | 209 | 243 | 285 | 298 | 218 |
| September. | 254 | 202 | 202 | 190 | 261 | 296 | 161 | 207 | 259 | 273 | 296 | 217 |
| October. | 229 | 194 | 207 | 177 | 249 | 292 | 156 | 203 | 259 | 265 | 295 | 211 |
| November. | 201 | 184 | 209 | 203 | 236 | 273 | 153 | 195 | 259 | 265 | 270 | 205 |
| December.. | 190 | 179 | 221 | 189 | 223 | 251 | 141 | 178 | 259 | 252 | 269 | 201 |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January.... | 186 | 175 | 216 | 184 | 212 | 228 | 131 | 174 | 257 | 248 | 247 | 195 |
| February.. | 171 | 171 | 185 | 163 | 205 | 204 | 126 | 167 | 257 | 239 | 234 | 188 |
| March.... | 168 | 171 | 174 | 175 | 203 | 193 | 118 | 158 | 243 | 231 | 233 | 181 |
| April.. | 154 | 169 | 161 | 162 | 189 | 191 | 115 | 145 | 241 | 229 | 226 | 180 |
| May..... | 150 | 158 | 120 | 162 | 189 | 187 | 114 | 147 | 235 | 224 | 216 | 178 |

1 Unimportant groups omitted.
GROUP INDEX NUMBERS-CALCUTTA, INDIA, DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS.
[Find of July, 1914=100.]

| Date. | Building materia's. | Mantr factured articles. | Metals. | Hides and skins. | Cotton manu-factures. | Raw cotton. | Jute manu-factures. | Other textiles. | Oils, mustard. | Raw jute. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oil } \\ \text { seeds. } \end{gathered}$ | 'Tea. | Sugar | Pulses. | Cereals. | Other foods. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| End of July, 1914... | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average for the year. | 138 | 231 | 238 219 | 147 | 354 | 153 158 | 149 | 162 | 1128 | 119 | 173 | 780 | 407 377 | 166 | 154 | 184 |
| Aprit..................... | 128 | 215 | 248 | 160 | 365 | 135 | 142 | 164 | 123 | 120 | 169 | 90 | 511 | 150 | 157 | 183 |
| June. | 131 | 233 | 244 | 116 | 364 | 144 | 147 | 164 | 119 | 83 | 171 | 73 | 482 | 149 | 156 | 180 |
| July.. | 139 | 235 | 249 | 100 | 364 | 132 | 151 | 168 | 119 | 89 | - 169 | 74 | 503 | 159 | 151 | 188 |
| August.............. | 142 | 235 | 257 | 99 | 360 | 139 | 163 | 168 | 115 | 91 | 167 | 72 | 477 | 160 | 154 | 185 |
| September........... | 158 | 237 | 245 | 105 | 347 | 154 | 163 | 164 | 115 | 105 | 179 | 65 | 456 | 170 | 154 | 186 |
| October............ | 154 | 282 | 245 | 96 | 343 | 142 | 136 | 164 | 132 | 104 | 184 | 64 | 392 | 169 | 155 | 178 |
| November.......... | 161 | 246 | 243 | 89 | 341 | 133 | 118 | 164 | 118 | 90 | 163 | 62 | 348 | 168 | 150 | 178 |
| December.......... | 161 | 229 | 242 | 90 | 333 | 116 | 107 | 156 | 124 | 83 | 152 | 69 | 273 | 149 | 139 | 160 |
| 1921. January.... | 158 | 238 | 247 | 81 | 324 | 107 | 104 | 149 | 116 | 85 | 130 | 77 | 314 | 135 | 139 | 139 |
| February........... | 147 | 226 | 243 | 80 | 306 | 104 | 101 | 149 | 97 | 80 | 124 | 70 | 352 | 119 | 129 | 148 |
| Mareh............... | 147 | 242 | 255 | 97 | 311 | 119 | 97 | 123 | 110 | 85 | 131 | 76 | 359 | 147 | 141 | 150 |

## COMPARATIVE RETAIL PRICES IN PRINCIPAL COUNTRIES.

In the following table are presented statistics showing the trend of retail prices and the cost of living in the United States and important European countries. Three of these index numbers-those for the United Kingdom, Paris, and Sweden-are constructed on the basis of prices in July, $1914=100$. In the case of the United States, the original base, that of the year 1913, has been shifted to the July, 1914, base. The German index uses the year ending July, 1914 as a base.

The American index number, constructed by the Bureau of Labor Statistics, was based upon the retail prices of 22 articles of food, weighted
according to family consumption, untilJanuary, 1921, when it was increased to 43 articles reported by dealers in 51 important cities. The method of weighting continues the same, although the actual "weights" applied have been changed.

The British index number of the cost of living constructed by the Ministry of Labor consists of the retail prices not only of foodstuffs but of other articles as well. Retail clothing prices, rents, and the cost of fuel, lighting, and miscellaneous household items are also taken into consideration. The index number is weighted according to the importance of the items in the budgets of working-class families.

The retail price index for Paris, compiled by the French General Statistical Office, consists of retail prices of 13 different commodities, weighted according to the average annual consumption of a working man's family of four persons. Eleven of the commodities included in this index are foods, and the other two are kerosene and alcohol.

The Swedish index number consists of the retail prices of foodstuffs, fuel, and lighting and is based upon the prices of 51 articles in 44 towns (in 1920, 50 articles in 49 towns), weighted according to the budget of a working man's family which before the war had a yearly income of 2,000 kronor.

The German index expresses upon a percentage basis figures compiled by Dr. R. R. Kuczynski of the Statistical Office at BerlinSchöneberg. Dr. Kuczynski bases his calculations upon the cost of living per week of a family of four in Greater Berlin.

RetailPricesinthe United States, Paris, andSweden; Cost of Living in United Kingdom and Berlin.
[July, 1914=100.]

|  | United States, retail prices. ${ }^{1}$ | United Kingdom, cost of living. ${ }^{2}$ | Paris, retail prices. ${ }^{1}$ | Sweden, retail prices. | Berlin, cost of living. ${ }^{8}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1919. | 182 | 216 | 260 | 321 |  |
| 1920.. | 199 | 249 | 371 | 298 | 1,080 |
| April 1920 | 207 | 232 | 358 | 297 | 1,302 |
| May.... | 211 | 241 | 378 | 294 | 1,267 |
| June. | 215 | 250 | 369 | 294 | 1,056 |
| July. | 215 | 252 | 373 | 297 | 1,125 |
| August. | 203 | 255 | 373 | 308 | 1,069 |
| September | 199 | 261 | 407 | 307 | 1,038 |
| October. | 194 | 264 | 420 | 306 | 1,104 |
| November. | 189 | 276 | 426 | 303 | 1,097 |
| December. | 175 | 269 | 424 | 294 | 1,135 |
| 1921 |  |  |  |  |  |
| January . | 169 | 265 | 410 | 283 | 1,111 |
| February. | 155 | 251 | 382 | 262 | 1,087 |
| March... | 153 | 241 | 358 | 253 | 1,035 |
| April. | 149 | 233 | 328 | 248 | 976 |
| May. | 142 | 228 | 317 | 237 |  |
| ${ }^{1}$ Average for the month. $\quad{ }^{2}$ Beginning of month. <br> ${ }^{3}$ August, 1913-July, $1914=100$. |  |  |  |  |  |

## FOREIGN TRADE-UNITED KINGDOM, FRANCE, ITALY, SWEDEN, AND JAPAN.

In the following table are presented figures showing the monthly value of the foreign trade of a group of important European countries and Japan. Similar statistics for Germany are not available. Currencies have not been converted to a common unit, nor are methods of valuation the same in all countries. In England, imports are given current c.i. f. values in England; exports and reexports, current f. o. b. values. The same method is followed in Japan and Sweden. In France and Italy, on the other hand, the value of foreign trade is estimated not in terms of current prices but in terms of those
of some carlier date, usually the preceding year.
None of the figures presented below include the import or export of gold and silver. In the case of England and France, group figures are given as well as total values, while in the case of the other countries, total values only are presented. This does not mean that group figures are not obtainable, merely that they are either delayed in publication or appear not to be of such general interest as the French and English material.
Japanese figures for recent months are received by cable and subject to revision.

FOREIGN TRADE OF UNITED KINGDOM.
[In thousands of pounds sterling.]

${ }^{1}$ Subject to revision.

Foreign trade of france. 1
[In thousands of franes.]


1 Not including gold, silver, or the reexport trade.
2 Calculated in 1913 value units.
3 Calculated in 1919 value units.
4 August, 1920 , through April, 1921 , figures calculated in 1919 value units. French foreign trade figures are originally recorded in quantity units ouly, and the value of the trade is calculated by applying ohicial value units to the quantities imported and exporled. Normally the monthly statements of trade appear computed at the rates of the year provious, and only at the end of the year is the trade evaluated at the prices prevailing during that yoar. Because of the disturbed priceconditions in France last year, however, it was not until July that the 1919 price units were decided upon and applied.

5 Subject to revision.

## FOREIGN TRADE OF ITALY, SWEDEN, AND JAPAN.

|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Italy. } \\ & \text { (In millions of } \\ & \text { lire. }{ }^{1} \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ |  | Sweden. (In millions of kronor.) |  | Japan. <br> (In millions of yen.) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Imports. | Exports. | Imports. | Exports. | Imports. | Exports. |
| 1913, monthly average. |  | 304 | 210 | 71 | 68 | 61 | 53 |
| 1919, monthly average. |  | 1,385 | 506 | 211 | 131 | 181 | 175 |
| 1920, monthly average. |  | 1,322 | 650 | 281 | 191 | 195 | 162 |
|  | 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| February |  | 1,141 | 616 | 282 | 106 | 271 | 174 |
| March.... |  | 1,431 | 683 | 301 | 151 | 329 | 194 |
| April..... |  | 1,363 | 679 | 267 | 164 | 297 | 217 |
| May........ |  | 1,401 | 662 | 314 | 227 | 296 | 193 |
| June........ |  | 2,076 | 752 | 283 | 224 | 220 | 184 |
| July....... |  | 1,040 | 521 | 331 | 268 | 157 | 154 |
| August.... |  | 1,249 | 532 | 308 | 230 | 123 | 175 |
| September.. |  | 1,202 | 570 | 325 | 233 | 118 | 154 |
| October.... |  | 1,126 | 707 | 299 | 218 | 108 | 134 |
| November. |  | 1,240 | 731 | 228 | 177 | 108 | 1.5 |
|  |  | 1,591 | 853 | 197 | 171 | 105 | 87 |
|  | 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January |  |  |  | 122 | 91 | 105 | 75 |
| February. |  |  |  | 116 | 89 | 119 | 77 |
| March... |  |  |  | 299 | 275 | ${ }^{2} 137$ | 294 |
| April... |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{2} 139$ | 2115 |

+1320 igentes hase 1 on 1919 prices.
2 Prorisional.

## DISCOUNT AND OPEN-MARKET OPERATIONS AND CLASSIFIED HOLDINGS OF THE FEDERAL RESERVE BANKS.

## DISCOUNTS.

Discount operations of the Federal Reserve Banks during March and April, 1921 and 1920, are shown in summary form for the entire system in the table below. Detailed figures for each Federal Reserve Bank for the most recent month are given on pages 732 to 733 .

Volume of Discount Operations.

| [In thousands of dollars.] |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1921 |  | 1920 |  |
|  | April. | March. | April. | March. |
| Total. | 4,912,652 | 7,368, 268 | 6, 229, 741 | 6,970, 331 |
| Secured by Government obligations | 3,265, 617 | 3, 549, 797 | 4,771,072 | 5,298, 884 |
| Otherwise secured and un-secured-Total | 1,647, 035 | 3,818, 471 | 1,458, 669 | 1,671,447 |
| Commercial paper, $n$. <br> e. s. | 1,556,368 | 3,709,669 | 1,415,201 | 1,613, 530 |
| Agricultural paper.... | 50,803 | 59,129 |  |  |
| Live-stock paper...... | 21, 600 | 26, 451 |  |  |
| Trade acceptances- |  |  |  |  |
| Total. | 10,860 | 11,709 | 15, 296 | 23,383 |
| Foreign | 10, 815 | 89 620 | + 222 | 2,215 |
| Domerstic.......... | 10,815 | 11,620 | 15, 074 | 21, 168 |
| Total............. | 7, 404 | 11, 513 | 28,172 | 34, 534 |
| Foreign . . . . . . . . . | 4,982 | 6,704 |  |  |
| Domestic......... | 2, 172 | 4,809 |  |  |
| Dollar exchange... | 250 |  |  |  |
| Average maturity (in days) | 15.66 | 12.38 | 15.08 | 13.77 |
| A verage rate (365-day basis), per cent............ | 6.32 | 6.43 | 5.67 | 5.64 |

Discount operations for the month of April show a very marked decrease in volume as compared with March, the total for March being $\$ 7,368,000,000$ and for April $\$ 4,913$,000,000 , a decrease of $\$ 2,455,000,000$, or about 33 per cent. April figures were smaller than March figures in 1920 as well, but the decrease at that time amounted to only about 11 per cent. The following table shows the volume of discounts reduced to a daily basis according to the method explained in the March Bulletin, page 350:

Volume of Discounts Reduced to Daily Basis.

| Federal Reserve Bank. | Volume of discounts (in millions). |  | Average maturity (in days). |  | Volume on daily basis (in millions). |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | April. | March. | April. | March. | April. | March. |
| All banks. | \$4,913 | \$7,368 | 15.66 | 12.38 | \$2,565 | \$2,943 |
| Boston. | 367 | 732 | 8.78 | 8.86 | 107 | 209 |
| New York | 2,470 | 4,272 | 9.59 | 6.41 | 789 | 883 |
| Philadelphia.... | 360 | 399 | 15.48 | 12.56 | 186 | 162 |
| Cleveland... | 253 | 279 | 20.72 | 14. 74 | 175 | 133 |
| Richmond. | 292 | 299 | 13.93 | 12.89 | 136 | 124 |
| Atlanta. | 151 | 164 | 26.55 | 26.50 | 133 | 140 |
| Chicago. | 374 | 519 | 35.07 | 41.13 | 437 | 689 |
| St. Louis. | 135 | 154 | 27.44 | 24.33 | 123 | 121 |
| Minneapolis. | 65 | 66 | 43.10 | 36.55 | 94 | 78 |
| Kansas City. | 91 | 111 | 34. 90 | 32.86 | 106 | 118 |
| Dallas...... | 65 | 74 | 36.05 | 32.86 | 78 | 78 |
| San Francisco | 290 | 299 | 20.74 | 21.55 | 201 | 208 |

While the total volume of discounts was 33 per cent less in April than in March, the average maturity for the most recent month was 15.66 days compared with 12.38 days the month before, an increase of over 26 per cent. Consequently, the volume of discounts when reduced to a daily basis does not show a reduction corresponding to that shown for total discount operations. The average daily volume of discounts declined from $\$ 2,943,000,000$ in March to $\$ 2,565,000,000$ in April, a decrease of 13 per cent, compared with the abovementioned decrease of 33 per cent in the total volume. Longer average maturities are shown for all the Federal Reserve Banks, with the exception of those at Boston, Chicago, and San Francisco, but the largest increases are noted for the New York, Philadelphia and Cleveland Reserve Banks. For the New York bank, the average maturity for March was 6.41 days and for April 9.59 days. As a consequence of this increase of nearly 50 per cent in maturity, the reduction by 42 per cent in the total volume of discounts for that bank corresponds to a reduction of average daily volume by less than 11 per cent. Longer average maturities for April than for March appear to be an annual occurrence, although this year's increase is greater than that observed in previous years. The reason for this seasonal tendency may be that many member banks during this part of the year are called upon to supply funds for agricultural purposes and that, when they apply for accommodation to the Federal Reserve Banks, the customers' paper offered is likely to have a longer maturity than is the case earlier in the year. This year, the seasonal tendency appears to be accentuated by the large volume of liquidation of short-term commercial and industrial paper in the New York district.
A noteworthy feature in the reduction of the volume of operations is that discounted paper secured by Government obligations declined only from $\$ 3,550,000,000$ to $\$ 3,266$,000,000 , while other discounts declined from $\$ 3,818,000,000$ to $\$ 1,647,000,000$, the decline in commercial paper, not otherwise specified, accounting for most of the decrease. The volume of this class of paper discounted in March was $\$ 3,710,000,000$ and in April $\$ 1,556$,000,000 , a decrease of $\$ 2,154,000,000$, or 58 per cent. For the New York bank alone, the volume of this class of paper discounted declined from $\$ 2,702,000,000$ to $\$ 870,000,000$, a decrease of $\$ 1,832,000,000$, or of 68 per cent. This decrease in the New York bank consti-
tuted over 85 per cent of the entire decrease in the volume of discounts of this class of paper. The volume of agricultural paper discounted during the month of April was $\$ 51,000,000$, compared with $\$ 59,000,000$ the month before, the decrease being about 14 per cent, compared with 58 per cent shown for commercial paper proper. The volume of live-stock paper discounted in April was $\$ 22,000,000$, compared with $\$ 26,000,000$ in March; the volume of trade acceptances $\$ 11,000,000$, as against $\$ 12,000,000$, and the volume of discounted bankers' acceptances $\$ 7,000,000$, as against $\$ 12,000,000$.

Only a slight change is noted in the average rate of discount, which was 6.32 per cent in April, as compared with 6.43 per cent in March of this year, and 5.67 per cent in April, 1920.

Following is a summary of holdings of discounted bills at the end of April and of March, 1921 and 1920.

Holdings of Discounted Bills.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | 1921 |  | 1920 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Apr. 30. | Mar. 31. | Apr. 30. | Mar. 26. |
| Total. | 2,076,569 | 2,233,104 | 2,535,071 | 2, 449,230 |
| Secured by United States Government obligations. | $\begin{array}{r} 937,652 \\ \cdot 1,138,917 \end{array}$ | $970,961$ | $1,465,320$ | $1,441,015$ |
| Otherwise secured and unse-cured-Total. |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and industrial paper, n.e.s. |  | 1, 010, 891 | 891, 181 | 861,848 |
| Agricultural paper............. | 149, 223 | 140,987 | 44,389 | 29, 321 |
| Live-stock paper............. | 81, 187 | 81,693 | ${ }^{61,993}$ | 45, 344 |
| Trade acceptances-Total.... | 16, 451 | 15, 256 | 23,937 | 20,813 |
| Domestic trade. | 16, 334 | 15,264 |  |  |
| Bankers' acceptances-Total. | 10,186 | 13, 052 | 48,251 | 50, 889 |
| Foreign trade. | 7,665 | 8,787 |  |  |
| Domestic trade. | 2,471 | 4,183 |  |  |
| Dollar exchange |  |  |  |  |

## banks accommodated.

During the month of April 24 banks were added to the membership of the system, the total number of banks increasing from 9,715 to 9,739 . The number of banks accommodated in April was 5,559 and in March 5,332, so that the percentage of banks accommodated increased from 54.9 to 57.1 per cent. Increases in the proportion of banks accommodated are noted in the Philadelphia, Cleveland, Richmond, Chicago, St. Louis, Minneapolis, Kansas City, and San Francisco districts, while the proportion in the New York district shows but a nominal change, and the proportions in the Boston, Atlanta, and Dallas districts are smaller than the month before.

| Federal Reserve Bank. | Member banks in district. |  | Member banks accommodated. |  | Percentage accommodated. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Apr. 30. | Mar. 31. | April. | March. | April. | March. |
| All banks. | 9,739 | 9,715 | 5,559 | 5,332 | 57.1 | 54.9 |
| Boston. | 436 | 436 | 241 | 257 | 55.3 | 58.9 |
| New York. | 790 | 788 | 351 | 349 | 44.4 | 44.3 |
| Philadelphia.. | 700 | 701 | 369 | 330 | 52.7 | 47.1 |
| Cleveland..... | 878 | 875 | 308 | 261 | 35. 1 | 29.8 |
| Richmond.... | 614 <br> 488 | 612 484 | 373 340 | 347 <br> 355 | 60.7 69.7 | 56.7 73.3 |
| Chicago. | 1,431 | 1,425 | 1,027 | 922 | 71.8 | 64.7 |
| st. Louis...... | 579 | 578 | 315 | 306 | 54.4 | 52.9 |
| Minneapolis... | 1,011 | 1,011. | 608 | 589 | 60.1 | 58.3 |
| Kansas City... | 1,093 | 1,094 | 605 | 585 | 55.4 | 53.5 |
| Dailas........ | 860 80 | ${ }_{8} 855$ | 545 | 570 | 63.4 | 66.7 |
| San Francisco. | 859 | 856 | 477 | 461 | 55.5 | 53.9 |

## ACCEPTANCES.

A summary of the open-market operations of Federal Reserve Banks in April and March, 1921 and 1920 , is shown in the following table. Detailed statistics for each Federal Reserve Bank are shown on page 733.

Volume of Open-Market Purchases of Acceptances.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | 1921 |  | 1920 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | April. | March. | April. | March. |
| Total | 123,511 | 149, 255 | 247, 584 | 303, 360 |
| Bankers'acceptances-Total. | 121, 412 | 148,698 | 240, 704 | 294,301 |
| In the domestic trade | 28,335 | 31, 362 | 56, 189 | 57,350 |
| In the foreign trade. | 75, 560 | 100, 598 | 182, 762 | 236,951 |
| Dollar exchange... | 17, 517 | 16,738 | 1,753 | 4,158 |
| Trade acceptances--Total | 2,099 | 557 | 6, 890 | 4,901 |
| In the domestic trade. |  |  | 388 | 812 |
| In the foreign trade. | 2,099 | 557 | 6,502 | 4,089 |
| Average maturity (in days)....... | 26.60 | 33.99 | 51.59 | 49.33 |
| Average rate (365-day basis), per cent. | 5.94 | 6.01 | 5. 82 | 5. 80 |

Open-market purchases of acceptances in April were $\$ 124,000,000$, compared with $\$ 149$,000,000 in March, the largest decreases being shown for bankers' acceptances, which aggregated $\$ 121,000,000$ in April, compared with $\$ 149,000,000$ the month before. Bankers' acceptances in the domestic trade declined by about $\$ 3,000,000$, and dollar exchange bills purchased increased by about $\$ 1,000,000$. The decrease in the total of bankers' acceptances purchased is largely due to a reduced volume of acceptances in the foreign trade, which aggregated $\$ 76,000,000$ in April as compared with $\$ 101,000,000$ in March.
Following is a table showing the volume of open-market purchases in April and March reduced to a daily basis:

Volume of Open-Market Purchases Reduced to Daily Basis.

| Federal ReserveBank. | Volume of purchases (in millicns). |  | Average maturity (in days). |  | Volume on daily basis (in millions). |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | April. | March. | April. | March. | April. | March |
| All banks. | 124 | 149 | 26.60 | 33.99 | 110 | 164 |
| Boston. | 13 | 24 | 14.60 | 19.57 | 6 | 15 |
| New York | 72 | 78 | 19.61 | 29.89 | 47 | 75 |
| Philadelphia | 10 | 9 | 46.64 | 40.13 | 16 | 12 |
| Cleveland. | 8 | 11 | 30.52 | 44.65 | 8 | 16 |
| Riehmend. | 1 | 3 | 62.36 | 39.78 | 2 |  |
| Atlanta. | 1 | (1) | 66.57 | 70.05 | 2 |  |
| Chicago. | 12 |  | 53.52 | 57.27 | 22 | 28 |
| St. Louis... | ${ }^{2}$ |  | 15.05 | $\begin{array}{r}21.27 \\ 39 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 1 | 1 |
| Minneapolis |  |  | 88.20 | 39.29 |  |  |
| Dallas....... | (1) |  | 26.05 | 88.90 |  |  |
| San Francis | 5 | 7 | 37.10 | 50.60 | 6 | 12 |

It will be noted that the average maturity of purchased bills in April was 26.6 days, compared with 33.99 days in March. This reduction of 22 per cent in maturity, together with a reduction of 17 per cent in total volume of purchases, has resulted in a decline of 33 per cent in the volume of purchases reduced to a daily basis. For the New York Reserve Bank the volume of paper purchased in April was $\$ 72,000,000$, compared with $\$ 78,000,000$ the
month before, and the average maturity was 19.61 days, as against 29.89 days. As a consequence, the average daily volume of purchases for that bank shows a decrease from $\$ 75,000,000$ in March to $\$ 47,000,000$ in April.

Following is a summary of the holdings of purchased and discounted acceptances at the end of March and of April, 1921:

Holdings of Purchased and Discounted Acceptances.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | End of- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | April. | March. |
| All classes. | 136,402 | 147, 913 |
| Purchased in open market | 109, 763 | 119,341 |
| Discounted for member banks.............. | 26,639 | 28, 572 |
| Bankers'acceptances--Total. | 119,330 | 132,106 |
| Foreign.. | 84,378 | 93,782 |
| Domestic. | 21, 110 | 28,224 |
| Dollar exchange. | 13,242 | 10, 100 |
| Trade acceptances-Total. | 17,072 | 15, 807 |
| Foreign-: | 738 | 543 |
| Domestic.. | 16,334 | 15,264 |
| Bankers' aceeptances, distributed by classes of accepting institutions: |  |  |
| Member banks- |  |  |
| National.. | 37,635 | 46, ${ }^{\text { }} 4$ |
| Nonnational............................. | 31,0\% | 28, 745 |
| Nonmember banks and banking corporations. | 21, 14 | 23, 736 |
| Pravehes and agencies of foreign be nks......... | 15, 151 | 16,015 $17,3 \div 6$ |

## VOLUME OF OPERATIONS.

VOLUME OF DISCOUNT AND OPEN-MARKET OPERATIONS DURING APRIL, 1921.

| Federal Reserve Bank. | Bills discountedfor memberbanks. | Bills bought in open market. | United States securities purchased. |  | Total. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bonds } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { Victory } \\ & \text { notes. } \end{aligned}$ | Certificates of indebtedness. | April, 1921. | April, 1920. |
| Boston. | \$367,020, 034 | \$13, 278, 272 |  | \$3,737,500 | \$384, 035, 806 | \$458,459, 221 |
| New York. | 2,469,906, 248 | 71, 580,181 |  | 26,751,000 | 2,568,237, 429 | 4,013, 611,967 |
| Cleveland.. | - $3592,871,628$ | 9, ${ }_{8,046,761}$ |  | 10,160, 235,500 | 379, ${ }_{261,150,041}$ | $596,705,179$ <br> 333,465 <br> 80 |
| Richmond. | 292, 130, 222 | 1,227,900 |  | 2,000, 000 | 295, 358, 122 | 327, 362,268 |
| Atlanta | 150, 877, 136 | 1,117, 213 | \$520,000 |  | 152, 514,349 | 160, 846, 194 |
| Chicago.- | 374, 274, 131 | 11, 423,244 |  | 2,091,500 | 387,788, 875 | 553, 986, 741 |
| St. Louis.... | $134,975,986$ $65,171,993$ | 1, 813,067 |  | 1, 018,500 | 137, 867, 553 | 277, 722, 527 |
| Manneapolis. | ${ }_{90}^{65,747, ~} 993$ |  |  | 187, 500 | 65, 359,493 | 110, 890, 565 |
| Dallas.... | 64,551,083 | 23, 3,916 |  | 405, 000 | $91,177,721$ $64,554,999$ | 145, 170, 283 |
| San Francisco. | 290, 265,152 | 5,135,679 |  | 1,319,000 | 296, 719,831 | 378, 105,972 |
| Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| April, 1921. | 4,912,651, 847 | 123,510,771 | 520,000 | 47,965,500 | 5,084,648,118 |  |
| ${ }_{4}$ April, 1920 ................ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,299,740,505 \\ 28 \end{array}$ | $247,594,383$ |  | $997,143,000$ |  | 7,474,478,788 |
| 4 months ending Apr. 30,1920 | $28,699,530,775$ <br> $25,958,782,091$ | 564,490,578 1, $153,714,069$ | 531,800 245,350 | $789,5 \times 4,057$ $3,440,202,000$ | 30, 014, 057, 210 | $30,502,943,510$ |

VOLUME OF BILLS DISCOUNTED DURING APRIL, 1921, BY ClASSES OF PAPER; ALSO average rates and maturities.


VOLUME OF BANKERS' AND TRADE ACCEPTANCES PURCHASED DURING APRIL, 1921, BY CLASSES OF PAPER; ALSO AVERAGE rates and maturities.

| Federal Reserve Bank. | Bankers' acceptances. |  |  |  | Trade acceptances. |  |  | Total bills purchased. | Average maturity. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Average } \\ & \text { rate } \\ & \text { (365-day } \\ & \text { basis). } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Foreign. | Domestic. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dollar } \\ & \text { exchange } \\ & \text { bills. } \end{aligned}$ | Total. | Forcign. | Domestic | Total. |  |  |  |
| Boston. | \$8,184,393 | \$4, 128, 778 | 8935, 101 | \$13, 278, 272 |  |  |  | 813, 278, 272 | Days. | Per cent. $5.84$ |
| New York. | 42,634, 880 | 12,611,945 | 14, 308, 280 | 69, 535, 105 | \$2, 025, 076 |  | 2, 025, 076 | 71, ${ }^{\text {a }} 880,181$ | ${ }_{46}^{19.61}$ | 5. 91 |
| Philadelphia | $6,675,864$ $5,251,845$ | $\xrightarrow{1,883,664} \mathbf{2 , 6 7 6 , 9 2 6}$ | $1,300,000$ 118,000 | 9,809, ${ }^{\text {8,046 }} 71$ |  |  |  | 8, $\mathbf{8 4 6 , 7 7 1}$ | 46.54 30.52 | 5. 94 |
| Richmond. | ${ }^{5}, 330,000$ | 2,897,900 |  | 1,227, 900 |  |  |  | 1, 227,900 | 62.36 | 6.08 |
| Atlanta.. | 343,713 | 773,500 |  | 1, 117,213 |  |  |  | 1, 117,213 | 66.57 | 7.10 |
| Chicago. | 7, 203, 362 | 3,684, 882 | 535,000 | 11, 423, 244 |  |  |  | 11,423,244 | 53.52 | 5. 93 |
| St. Louis. | 1,061,969 | 616,098 | 135,000 | 1,813,067 |  |  |  | 1, 813,067 | 15.05 | 6.06 |
| ${ }_{\text {M }}$ Manneapais. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 86.20 |  |
| Kausas City |  |  |  | 20,916 |  |  |  | 2,916 | 20.05 | 7.10 6.03 |
| San Frane | 3,874,004 | 1,032, 575 | 155,000 | 5,061,573 | 74,100 |  | 74,100 | 5,135,679 | 37.10 | 6. 02 |
| Total: April 1921 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 26.60 | 5. 91 |
| March, 1921. | 100,598,349 | 31, 361,941 | 16, 738, 157 | 145, 6988,138 | 2, 5559,885 |  | -556,855 | 149, 255, 323 | 33.99 | 6.01 |
| April, 1920. | 1\$2,762, 225 | 56, 189, 170 | 1,752, 678 | 240, 704, 073 | 6,501,967 | \$338,343 | 6, 890, 310 | 247, 594, $3 \times 3$ | 51.59 | 5. 82 |
| March, 1920. | 236, 951,034 | 57, 350, 003 | 4, 157,622 | 298, 455, 689 | 4,089, 335 | 811,510 | 4, 900, 845 | 303, 359, 534 | 49.33 | 5. 80 |

HOLDINGS, BY CLASSES.
average daily holdings of each class of earning assets, earnings thereon, and annual rates of EARNINGS, DURING APRIL, 1921.

| Federal Reserve Bank. | Average daily holdings of - |  |  |  | Earnings on- |  |  |  | Annual rate of earnings on- |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All classes of earning assets. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Discounted } \\ & \text { bills. } \end{aligned}$ | Purchased bills. | United States securities. | All classes of earning assets. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dis- } \\ \text { counted } \\ \text { bills. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pur- } \\ & \text { chased } \\ & \text { bills. } \end{aligned}$ | United $\begin{aligned} & \text { States } \\ & \text { securi- }\end{aligned}$ ties. | $\begin{array}{c\|} \text { Al } \\ \text { classes } \\ \text { of earn-e } \\ \text { ing } \\ \text { assets. } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diss } \\ \text { counted } \\ \text { bills. } \end{gathered}$ | Purchased bills. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { United } \\ & \text { States } \\ & \text { securi- } \\ & \text { ties. } \end{aligned}$ |
| Boston. | \$145, 245,732 | 8116, 669, 663 | \$7, 223, 669 | \$21, 352, 400 | 8696,519 | \$624, 744 | \$35,076 | \$36,699 | $\left.\begin{array}{r} \text { Per ct. } \\ 5.8 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{gathered} P e r c t . \\ 6.52 \end{gathered}$ | Perct. 5.91 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per ct. } \\ & 2.09 \end{aligned}$ |
| New York. | 742, 018,166 | 638, 327, 614 | 42, 951, 430 | 60, 739,122 | 3, 703, 096 | 3, 382,303 | 208, 520 | 112, 273 | ${ }^{6.07}$ | 6.45 | 5.91 | ${ }_{2} 2.25$ |
| ${ }_{\text {Philadelph }}$ | 183, 183, 155 | $140,125,226$ <br> 143 <br> 0028 | $14,637,746$ <br> 18,455 <br> 185 | $31,420,183$ <br> 24 <br> 103 <br> 1800 | 779,364 <br> 850 <br> 239 | 649, 812 <br> 71688 | 71,088 <br> 91 <br> 1090 | 58,464 <br> 41 <br> 961 | 5. 59 | 5. 64 | 5.90 | ${ }_{2} 2.27$ |
| Richmond | 130, 474,121 | 114, 809, 418 | 2,037, 220 | 13, 627,483 | 593, 218 | 560,297 | 10, 165 | 22,756 | 5.53 | 5. 94 | 6.07 | 2.03 |
| Atlanta. | 134, 834, 800 | 117, 425,208 | 795, 514 | 16,614,078 | 642, 168 | 609,608 | 4,640 | 27,920 | 5.80 | 6.32 | 7.10 | 2.05 |
| Chicago. | 440, 476, 480 | 387, 686, 884 | 9, 993, 529 | 42, 796,067 | 2, 251, 211 | 2, 126, 972 | 49, 686 | 74, 533 | 6. 22 | 6.68 | 6.05 | 2.12 |
| St. Louis. | 97, 578, 750 | 81, 896, 834 | 1, 236, 083 | 14, 445, 833 | 439, 271 | 407,722 | 6, 133 | 25, 416 | 5. 48 | 6. 06 | 6.04 | 2. 14 |
| Minneapolis | 81, 383,700 | 72, 768, 700 |  | 8, 615,000 | 415, 604 | 401, 257 |  | 14,347 | 6.21 | 6.71 |  | 203 |
| Kansas Cit | 116,599, 741 | 97, 274, 041 | 100,700 | 19, 225,000 | 528, 374 | 494, 040 | 587 | 33,747 | 5. 51 | 6.18 | 7.10 | 2.14 |
| Dallas.. | 75, 117,502 | 64, 137,387 | 114,198 | 10, 865,917 | 361, 843 | 342, 235 | 584 | 19,024 | 5. 86 | 6.49 | 6.13 | 2.13 |
| San Francis | 190, 279,069 | 164,957,964 | 12, 826, 805 | 12,494, 300 | 893, 880 | 808,608 | 63,916 | 21,356 | 5.72 | 5.96 | 6.06 | 2.08 |
| Total: $\text { April, } 1921 .$ | 2, 527, 253, 406 | 2, 139, 981, 742 | 110, 372, 481 | 276, 899, 183 | 12, 154, 787 | 11, 124, 586 | 541,685 | 488, 516 | 5.85 | 6.32 | 5.97 | 2.15 |
| March, 1921. | 2, 735, 784, 111 | 2, 301, 628,559 | 138, 397, 250 | 295, 758, 302 | 13,697, 626 | 12, 428, 781 | 706, 155 | 562,690 | 5.90 | 6.36 | 6.01 | 2.24 |
| April, 192 | 3, 191, 945, 384 | 2, 440, 375, 416 | $419,745,831$ | 331, 824, 137 |  |  | 1,962,064 | 571,910 | 5. 23 | 5.58 | 5.70 | 2. 10 |
| March, 1920. | 3, 211, 935, 980 | 2, 386, 536, 669 | 481, 238, 308 | 344, 161, 003 | 13, 906, 325 | 11, 065, 472 | 2, 231, 078 | 609,775 | 5.11 | 5.47 | 5.47 | 2.09 |

holdings of discounted bills, by classes.
[End of April figures. In thousands of dollars.]

| Federal Reserve Bank. | Total. | Cus- <br> paper secured by Gov-obligations. | Member banks' collateral notes. |  | Compaper n.e.s. | Agri-culturalpaper. | Livestock paper. | Trade - acceptances. |  | Bankers' acceptances. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Secured by Government obligations. | Otherwise se cured. |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { For- } \\ & \text { eign. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Do- } \\ \text { mestic. } \end{gathered}$ | For- | $\xrightarrow[\text { Destic }]{\text { Do }}$ | Dollar |
| Boston. | 104,369 | 14,330 | 30,325 |  | 59,349 | 210 | 8 |  | 147 |  |  |  |
| New York. | 613,263 | 101,982 | 272,553 |  | 228,586 | 645 |  |  | 1,765 | 6,509 | 1,173 | 50 |
| Philadelphia | 148,202 142,174 | 35,004 7,744 r | 74,853 <br> 49,273 | 35 <br> 112 | 37,513 81,313 | 566 673 | 255 |  | 2, 2226 | 247 | 134 |  |
| Richmond. | 109,599 | 4,700 | 31,938 | 335 | 60, 872 | 9, 726 | 75 |  | 1,953 |  |  |  |
| Atlanta. | 115,590 | 9,924 | 42,357 | 283 | 48, 296 | 11, 319 | 2,075 |  | 1,078 | 208 | 50 |  |
| Chicago.. | 364, 596 | 19,741 | 101, 422 | ${ }_{6}^{635}$ | 181,350 | 58, 103 |  |  | 3,263 | 82 |  |  |
| St. Louis. | 81, 237 | 5,397 | 30,504 | 209 | 35,621 | 7,560 | 778 |  | 490 | 25 | 653 |  |
| Minneapolis | 71,681 | 993 | 11,667 |  |  | 20,724 | 16,961 |  | 358 | 324 | 7 |  |
| Kansas City | 95,759 64,387 | 4,221 1,167 | 28,456 8,783 8, | 1,439 | 26, 299 16,699 | 8,797 <br> 16,674 | 26, 725 18,717 |  | 1,223 |  | 33 54 |  |
| San Francisco | 165,712 | 5,903 | 44,415 | 5,598 | 76,674 | 14,226 | 15,588 | 117 | 2,554 | 270 | 367 |  |
| Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. 30, 1921. | 2,076,569 | 211, 106 | 726,546 | 12,137 | 869, 733 | 149,223 | 81,187 | 117 | 16,334 | 7,665 | 2,471 |  |
| Mar. 31, 1921. | 2, 233, 104 | 204, 569 | 766, 392 | 15,789 | 995, 102 | 140,987 | 81, 693 | 256 | 15, 264 | 8,787 | 4,183 | 82 |
| Apr. 30, Mar. $26,1920$. | 2,535,071 | 351,845 359,106 | $1,113,475$ $1,081,909$ | 4,130 | $\begin{aligned} & 887,051 \\ & 855,600 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 44,389 \\ 29,321 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 61,993 \\ & 45,344 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 937 \\ & 813 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 48,251 \\ & 50,889 \end{aligned}$ |  |

## holdings of bankers' and trade acceptances purchased or discounted, by classes of acceptances.

[End of A pril figures. In thousands of dollars.]

| Federal Reserve Bank. | All classes. |  |  | Bankers' acceptances. |  |  |  | Trade acceptances. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total. | Purin open market. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dis- } \\ \text { counted } \\ \text { for } \\ \text { member } \\ \text { banks. } \end{gathered}$ | Total. | Foreign. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Do- } \\ \text { mestic. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Dollar } \\ \text { exchange } \\ \text { bills. } \end{array}$ | Total. | Foreign. | $\underset{\text { mostic. }}{\text { Do- }}$ |
| Boston. | 7,950 | 7,803 | 147 | 7,803 | 4,360 | 2,548 | 895 | 147 |  | 147 |
| New York. | 65, 184 | 55,687 | 9,497 | 62,994 | 44, 021 | 8,518 | 10,455 | 2, 190 | 425 | 1,765 |
| Philadelphia | 11, 976 | 11,750 | 226 | 11,750 | 10, 199 | 1,276 | 275 | 226 |  | 226 |
| Cleveland. | 16,025 | 13,221 | 2,804 | 13, 602 | 10,654 | 2,254 | 694 | 2,423 |  | 2,423 |
| Richmond. | 3,686 | 1,733 | 1,953 | 1,733 | 586 | 1,147 |  | 1,953 |  | 1,953 |
| Atlanta. | 2,493 | 1,157 | 1,336 | 1,415 | ${ }_{5}^{437}$ |  |  | 1,078 |  | 1,078 |
| Chicago.. | 10, 846 | 7, 501 | 3,345 | 7,583 | 5,941 | 1,317 | 325 110 | 3, 263 | ........ | 3, 283 |
| St. Louis. | 1,937 | 769 | 1,168 | 1,447 | 3357 | $\stackrel{980}{88}$ | 110 | 490 |  | 490 358 |
| Mansas City | 1,281 | 25 | 1,256 | 58 | 25 | 33 |  | 1,223 |  | 1,223 |
| Dallas... | ,933 | 25 | ${ }^{1} 908$ | 79 | 21 | 58 |  | 854 |  | , 854 |
| San Francisc | 13,401 | 10,092 | 3,309 | 10,534 | 7,453 | 2, 593 | 488 | 2,867 | 313 | 2,554 |
| Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. 30, 1921. | 136, 402 | 109,763 | 26,639 | 119,330 | 84,378 | 21,710 | 13,242 | 17,072 | 738 | 16,334 |
| Mar. 31, 1921. | 147,913 | 119,341 | 28,572 | 132, 106 | 93,782 | 28, 224 | 10, 100 | 15,807 | 543 | 15,264 |
| Purchased in open market: <br> Apr. 30, 1921. |  | 109,763 |  |  |  | 19,238 |  | 621 | 621 |  |
| Mar. 31, 1921. |  | 119,341 |  | 119,054 | 84,995 | 24,041 | 10,018 | 287 | 287 |  |
| Discounted for member banks: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. 30, 1921. |  |  | 26,639 | 10, 188 | 7,666 | 2,472 | 50 | 16,451 | 117 | 16,334 |
| Mar. 31, 1921. |  |  | 28,572 | 13,052 | 8,787 | 4,183 | 82 | 15, 520 | 256 | 15,264 |

HOLDINGS OF BANKERS' ACCEPTANCES PURCHASED OR DISCOUNTED, BY CLASSES OF ACCEPTING INSTITUTIONS.
[End of April figures. In thousands of dollars.]

| Federal Reserve Bank. | Total. | Member banks. |  | Nonmember banks and banking corporations. | Private bankers. | Branches and agencies of foreign banks. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | National. | Nonnational. |  |  |  |
| Boston. | 7,803 | 5,066 | 2,277 | 416 | 44 |  |
| New York | 62,994 | 16, 301 | 17,181 | 11,550 | 9,436 | 8,526 |
| Philadelphia. | 11, 750 | 4,166 | $\stackrel{2}{2}, 722$ | $\begin{array}{r}2,337 \\ \hline 2 \\ \hline 145\end{array}$ | 2960 | 1,565 |
| Cleveland... | 13, 602 | 3,046 | 2,457 | 2,745 | 2, 106 | 3,248 |
| Richmond.. | 1,733 | 1,703 | 973 |  |  |  |
| Chicago.... | 7,583 | 3,224 | 3,655 | 557 | 125 | 22 |
| St. Louis. | 1,447 | 534 | 768 | 45 |  | 100 |
| Minneapolis.. | 332 | 76 | 56 | 200 |  |  |
| Kansas City. | 58 79 | 58 |  |  |  |  |
| San Francisco | 10, 534 | 2,961 | 941 | 3,312 | 1,418 | 1,902 |
| Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. 30, 1921. | 119,330 | 37,635 | 31,060 | 21, 162 |  |  |
| Mar. 31, 1921. | 132, 106 | 46, 304 | 28,745 | 23,736 | 16,015 | 17,306 |
| Purchased in open market: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mar. 31, 1921.. | 119, 054 | 40, 404 | 25, 464 | 20,653 | 15, 878 | 16, 1455 |
| Discounted for member banks: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. 30, 1921.... | 10,188 | 3,654 | 2,689 | 2,851 | 186 | 808 |
| Mar. 31, 1921. | 13,052 | 5,900 | 3,281 | 3,083 | 137 | 651 |

## CONDITION OF FEDERAL RESERVE BANKS.

Discount operations of the Federal Reserve Banks during the five weeks between April 22 and May 25, as measured by the amounts of discounted bills held at the close of each report week, show substantial reduction, the May 25 total of $\$ 1,870,300,000$ being $\$ 243,600,000$ below the total shown at the earlier date. Of the total reduction for the period, $\$ 148,700,000$ represents the curtailment of loans secured by United States Government obligations, largely paper secured by Liberty bonds and Victory notes, and $\$ 94,900,000$ the curtailment of loans otherwise secured and unsecured, i. e., commercial loans proper. Accordingly, the share of Government paper in the total discounts held by the Reserve Banks shows a decline for the period from over 44 to less than 43 per cent, as against over 57 per cent about the end of May of last year. Rate reductions adopted by six Reserve Banks in April and during the early part of May apparently as yet have had but little effect in checking the liquidation movement. As a matter of fact, loan liquidation of the Boston, New York, Atlanta, Chicago, Minneapolis, and Dallas Reserve Banks for the period under review totaled $\$ 212,800,000$, or 15.4 per cent, compared with $\$ 30,800,000$, or 4.2 per cent, shown for the other six banks which made no recent rate changes. Inasmuch as the effect of the new rates is to eliminate to some extent the preferential rates on

Government paper, it is to be expected that Federal Reserve Bank holdings of this class of paper will continue to decline with the reduction in the total volume outstanding and the gradual absorption of these securities by the investing public. In this connection, it may be noted that the substantial increase in member banks' holdings of Treasury certificates shown in the May 18 member bank statement was followed but by a slight increase in the Federal Reserve Bank holdings of paper secured by such certificates. This, apparently for the reason that present Reserve Bank rates on paper secured by certificates, in most cases, are in excess of the coupon rates, and therefore no longer act as an inducement for discounting with the Reserve Banks. As a matter of fact, the banks' May 25 holdings of paper secured by certificates totaled only $\$ 53,400,000$, compared with $\$ 78,200,000$ five weeks earlier, and $\$ 47,000,000$ on the previous Wednesday, following the issuance of about $\$ 256,000,000$ of new loan certificates, an amount largely in excess of the $\$ 200,000,000$ or thereabouts of certificates redeemed on and after May 16.

In the following exhibit there is given a summary of the weekly changes in the principal asset and liability items of the Federal Reserve Banks for the five weeks under review:

MOVEMENT OF PRINCIPAL ASSETS AND LIABILITIES OF THE TWELVE FEDERAL RESERVE BANES COMBINED.


Distribution of discounted paper by maturities shows but little change. Fifteen-day paper continues to form over 59 per cent of the total discounts held; 60-day paper, the next largest group, shows the greatest relative decline, while holdings of 6 -month agricultural and live-stock paper show a gain of $\$ 22,400,000$.

A further decline from $\$ 104,500,000$ to $\$ 87,100,000$ is shown in the holdings of acceptances purchased in open market, this decline reflecting both the lessened supply of these bills in consequence of the considerable slump in our foreign trade, also the relatively high rates charged by the Federal Reserve Banks.


Further reduction from $\$ 240,900,000$ to $\$ 233,400,000$ in the total of "Pittman" certificates held with the United States Treasury to secure Federal Reserve Bank note circulation, is due to the redemption by the Government of certificates, upon deposit with the Treasury by the Boston, Philadelphia, Cleveland, Richmond, and Chicago banks of equivalent funds to cover withdrawals from circulation of Federal Reserve Bank notes. Changes in other Treasury certificates represent partly amounts of special certificates held by the Reserve Banks to cover overdrafts by the Government, partly also differences in the amounts of loan and tax certificates held under repurchase agreements. In consequence of the changes shown, total earning assets of the Reserve Banks show a continuous decline for the five weeks of $\$ 227,600,000$ and on May 25 stood at $\$ 2,263,100,000$, or about 33 per cent below the peak figure reported on October 15 of last year.
Rediscounting operations are reported by the Richmond, Minneapolis, and Dallas banks. On May 25 the New York Reserve Bank held under discount for the Richmond and Minneapolis banks bills totaling $\$ 25,300,000$, compared with $\$ 10,000,000$ of bills carried for the Richmond bank five weeks before, while Boston and Cleveland show a combined total of $\$ 4,600,000$ of like accommodation extended to the Dallas bank, compared with $\$ 7,400,000$ at the earlier date. Aggregate contingent liabilities of the Federal Reserve Banks on bills purchased for foreign correspondents show but a slight change, and at the close of the period stood at $\$ 32,300,000$.

Deposits, because of the declining amounts of Government balances, show a reduction of $\$ 43,400,000$ for the period. The "float" carried by the Reserve Banks, representing the difference between asset item "uncollected items"
cash reserves, total deposits, federal reserve note circulation, and reserve percentages for april AND MAY, 1921.
[Daily averages. Amounts in thousands of dollars.]


1 Calculated on the basis of net deposits and Federal Reserve notes in circulation.
resources and liabilities of each federal reserve bank on wednesdays, apr. 27 TO may 25, 1921. RESOURCES.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | Total. | Boston. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { New } \\ & \text { York. } \end{aligned}$ | Philadelphia. | Cleveland. | Richmond. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { At- } \\ & \text { lanta. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chi- } \\ & \text { cago. } \end{aligned}$ | St. Louis. | Minneapolis. | Kansas City. | Dallas. | San <br> Francisco. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gold and gold certificates: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. 27 | 347,946 | 7,704 | 261, 554 | 2,801 | 6,384 | 3,231 | 5,335 | 21,045 | 3,212 | 8,323 | 2,562 | 7,349 | 18,446 |
| May 4 | 364,244 377610 | 7,499 7750 | 280,197 298,382 | 2,849 1,896 | 5,989 5,485 | 2,954 | ${ }_{4}^{5,110}$ | 20,041 19,402 | $\stackrel{2,942}{2,669}$ | 8,190 8,028 | 2,154 | 7,707 7928 | 18,612 |
| May 18 | 3725, 391 | 7,660 | 2844, 853 | 1,913 | ${ }_{5}^{5,482}$ | 2,691 | 4, 4 | 19,421 | 2,672 | 8 8,063 | 1,948 | 8,390 | 17,655 |
| May 25. | 279, 261 | 7,749 | 197,228 | 1,843 | 5,869 | 2,624 | 4,286 | 19,609 | 2,703 | 8, 260 | 1,951 | 8,721 | 18, 118 |
| Gold settlement fundFederal Reserve Board: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. $27 .$. | 488, 219 | 55, 400 | 72,083 | 46,144 | 73,561 | 20,925 | 17,146 | 98,520 | 18,846 | 9,615 | 29,035 | 3,729 | 43,149 |
| May 4 | 482,200 | 44,508 | 64,768 | 51, 862 | 66,070 | 28,497 | 17,339 | 94,899 | 21,901 | 10,803 | 33,032 | 3,987 | 44,534 |
| May 11 | 450, 584 | 44, 314 | 25,374 | 54, 513 | 62,977 | ${ }^{23} 3837$ | 18,134 | 102,347 | 23,847 | 10,516 | 36,980 | 3,429 | 44, 721 |
| May 18 | 454, 105 | 34, 700 | 41,013 | 43,119 | 69,188 | 23, 831 | 14,930 | 114,948 | 20,583 | 9,114 | 36,523 | 4,859 | 41, 297 |
| May 25.. | 474,952 | 38,085 | 65, 109 | 51,907 | 83,904 | 22, 110 | 10,865 | 114, 711 | 14,056 | 8,000 | 32,389 | 2,022 | 31,794 |
| Agents: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. 27 | 1,317, 860 | 170,221 | 297,965 | 123,983 | 194, 810 | 42,094 | 56,907 | 174, 824 | 62,987 | 22,268 | 31,603 | 15,609 | 124,589 |
| May 4. | 1,326,087 | 175, 435 | 297, 514 | 123,921 | 195, 474 | 38, 149 | 58,774 | 191,241 | 62,574 | 20, 896 | 30,833 | 14,001 | 117,275 |
| May 11 | 1,374, 138 | 168,540 | 297, 074 | 126,020 | 194, 536 | 43, 323 | 68, 055 | 216,994 | 66,083 | ${ }^{21,662}$ | 34, 836 | 11, 487 | 125,528 |
| May 18. | 1, 458, 619 | 183, 271 | 361, 578 | 120,941 | 195, 109 | 38, 23 | 75,398 | 228, 288 | 67, 471 | 20, 714 | 34, 31 | 10,291 | 123,504 |
| Guld redemp | 1,505, 229 | 177, 759 | 411, 284 | 112,314 | 194,599 | 34,981 | 72,328 | 233,908 | 67, 198 | 21,802 | 33, 215 | 13,978 | 131,863 |
| Apr. 27. | 163,544 | 22, 463 | 36,000 | 9,485 | 6,697 | 9, 664 | 7,346 | 42,936 | 4,077 | 3,003 | 4,601 | 6,955 | 10,317 |
| May 4 | 170, | 26,252 | 36,009 | 8,426 | 5,343 | 12, 117 | 6,874 | 41,029 | 4,272 | 4,351 | 6,052 | 8,357 | 11,754 |
| May 11 | 161,221 | 31, 716 | 36,000 | 12,030 | 5,945 | 5,880 | 5,918 | 34,948 | 3,534 | 3,431 | 3,611 | 9,642 | , 566 |
| May 18 | 140, 791 | 16,812 | 36,000 | 10,581 | 5,147 | 9,731 | 4,971 | 23,059 | 3,921 | 4,162 | 4,077 | 10,637 | 11,693 |
| May 25. | 133,505 | 22, 242 | 36,000 | 7,576 | 5,447 | 11,974 | 5,122 | 16,575 | 3,977 | 3,069 | 4,584 | 5,790 | 11,149 |
| Apr. 27. | 2,317,569 | 255, 854 | 667,602 | 182,413 | 281,452 | 75,914 | 86,734 | 337,325 | 89,122 | 43,209 | 67, 801 | 33,642 | 196,501 |
| May 4. | 2,343,358 | 253,694 | 678, 479 | 187,058 | 272, 876 | 81, 717 | 88,097 | 347, 210 | 91,689 | 44,240 | 72,071 | 34,052 | 192,175 |
| May 11 | 2,363,553 | 252, 130 | 656, 830 | 194,519 | 268,943 | 75, 229 | 96,391 | 373,691 | 96,133 | 43,637 | 77, 264 | 32,486 | 196,300 |
| May 18 | 2,378,906 | ${ }^{242}$, 443 | 683, 444 | 176,554 | 275, 246 | 74, 276 | 99, 622 | 385, 716 | 94,647 | 42,053 | 76,579 | 34, 177 | 194,149 |
| May 25. | 2,392,947 | 245, 835 | 709, 621 | 173, 640 | 289, 819 | 71,689 | 92,601 | 384, 803 | 87,934 | 41,131 | 72, 139 | 30,511 | 193,224 |
| Legal tender notes, silver, etc.: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. 27. | 187,194 | 15,092 | 117,433 | 3,786 | 4,304 | 4,139 | 5,596 | 12,649 | 11,256 | 814 | 3,504 | 6,052 | 2,569 |
| May 4 | 176,540 | 15, 463 | 106, 974 | 3,753 | 4,098 | 4,337 | 5,718 | 12,118 | 11,342 | 774 | 3,551 | 5,921 | 2,491 |
| May 11 | 174,220 | 16, 029 | 102, 282 | 3,907 | 4, 869 | 3, 710 | 5,268 | 13,586 | 11, 392 | 844 | 3,651 | 6,169 | ${ }_{2}^{2,513}$ |
| May 18 | 170, 228 | 16, 898 | 96, 808 | 4,310 | 4,566 | 4, 265 | 5,970 | 13, 120 | 11, 224 | 639 | 3,638 | 6,270 | 2,522 |
| May 25 | 165,285 | 17,555 | 90, 923 | 3,755 | 4,650 | 4,302 | 6,198 | 13, 802 | 11, 298 | 791 | 3,127 | 6,399 | 2,485 |
| Apr. 27. | 2,504,7 | 270,946 | 785,03 | 186,199 | 285,756 | 80,053 | 92,330 | 349, 974 | 100, 378 | 44,023 | 71,305 | 39,694 | 199,070 |
| May 4. | 2,519,898 | 269,157 | 785, 453 | 190,811 | 276,974 | 86,054 | 93,815 | 359,328 | 103,031 | 45,014 | 75,622 | 39,973 | 194,666 |
| May 11 | 2,537, 773 | 268, 159 | 759, 112 | 198,426 | 273,812 | 78,939 | 101,659 | 387,277 | 107, 525 | 44, 481 | 80,915 | 38,655 | 198,813 |
| May 18 | 2,549, 134 | 259,341 | 780, 250 | 180,864 | 279,812 | 78,541 | 105,592 | 398,836 | 105,871 | 42,692 | 80,217 | 40,447 | 196,671 |
| May 25. | 2,558, 232 | 263,390 | 800,544 | 177,395 | 294,469 | 75, 991 | 98,799 | 398, 605 | 99, 232 | 41,922 | 75, 266 | 36,910 | 195, 709 |
| Bills discounted: ${ }^{1}$ <br> Secured by U. S. Government obligations- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. 27......... | 920,537 | 43,434 | 353,659 | 110,140 | 54,949 | 43, 823 | 51,784 | 120,356 | 34, 564 | 14,067 | 32,344 | 11,191 | 50, 2226 |
| May 4 | 892,366 | 37,533 | 338,758 | 107,329 | 62, 807 | 35, 328 | 50,019 | 120,923 | 34,225 | 13,980 | 30,457 | 10,985 | 50,022 |
| May 11 | 917,697 | 39, 881 | 374, 871 | 102, 154 | 62,917 | 40,905 | 47, 800 | 117, 775 | 31,091 | 13, 510 | 24, 955 | 12,280 | 49,558 |
| May 18 | 774, 869 | 38,920 | 255,926 | 109, 324 | 41,553 | 42,020 | 47,397 | 112,594 | 32, 040 | 8,205 | 24,311 | 10,919 | 51, 660 |
| May 25 | 793,951 | 42,020 | 268, 370 | 110,731 | 47,015 | 39,080 | 47,685 | 112,004 | 31,266 | 6,058 | 24,979 | 11,296 | 53,447 |
| Apr. 27 | 1,143,202 | 55,993 | 255, 742 | 34, 886 | 86,543 | 71,463 | 61, 856 | 244, 751 | 46, 107 | 58, 248 | 62,099 | 54,969 | 110,54í |
| May ${ }^{4}$ | 1,173,879 | 62,652 | 275, 783 | 34, 275 | 90, 906 | 72, 539 | 62,838 | 242, 461 | 44, 324 | 58,513 | 59,585 | 53,098 | 116,90 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| May 11 | 1,117,660 | 59,987 | 265,364 | 34, 227 | 91, 267 | 68, 763 | 57, 194 | 215, 580 | 40, 104 | 56,719 | 57,915 | 54,070 | 116,47C |
| May 18 | 1,067,684 | 53,918 | 240,008 | 37,641 | 82,178 | 68, 097 | 59, 393 | 199,616 | 42, 516 | 63,918 | 56,971 | 53,329 | 110,099 |
|  | 1,076, 305 | 53,804 | 249, 132 | 34, 245 | 79,213 | 69,397 | 60, 570 | 199,803 | 44, 721 | 61,879 | 57, 919 | 53,408 | 112,214 |
| Bills bought in open market:2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. 27. | 103,609 | 7,113 | 46,829 | 12,387 | 14,802 | 1,801 | 1,260 | 8,037 | 764 |  | 25 | 25 | 10,566 |
| May 4. | 94, 302 | 7,130 | 43, 140 | 11, 443 | 11, 110 | 1,703 | 1,108 | 7,373 | 575 |  | 25 | 25 | 10, 270 |
| May 11 <br> May 18 | 76,637 | 5,994 12,798 | 37,205 39 3001 | 9,411 8,336 | 9,910 8,691 | 1,661 1,959 | 1,191 | 2,977 | 570 |  | 25 | 25 | 7,738 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } 18 . \\ & \text { May } 25 . \end{aligned}$ | 81,667 87,138 | 12,595 | 39,601 44,848 | 8,336 8,908 | 8,691 | 1,959 1,989 | 1,000 | $\stackrel{\text { 2,686 }}{3,996}$ | 435 1,515 |  | $\stackrel{25}{25}$ | 171 | 5,965 5,440 |
| U. S. Govern |  |  | , 81 | , | 6,75s |  |  | 3,9.0 | 1,5 |  | 25 | 17 |  |
| Apr. 27. | 25,690 | 550 | 1,005 | 1,434 | 834 | 1,233 | 621 | 4,490 | 1,153 | 116 | 8,867 | 3,979 | 1,408 |
| May 41. | 25,689 | 550 550 | 1,005 | 1,434 | 833 | 1,233 | 621 | 4,490 | 1,153 | 116 | 8,867 | 3,979 | 1,408 |
| May 11. | 25,685 25,924 20 | 550 550 | 1,005 1,005 | 1,434 11434 | 8838 | 1,233 1,233 1,23 | 621 911 | 4,490 4,490 | 1,153 | 116 | 8,867 |  | 1,408 |
| U. S. Vietory notes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. 27. | 19 | 5 |  |  | 10 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 4. | 19 | 5 |  |  | 10 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 11. | 23 | 5 |  |  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  | , |  |  |
| May 18. | 23 | 5 |  |  | 10 |  | 3 |  |  |  | 1 | 4 |  |
| U. S. certificates of indebi- | 23 | 5 |  |  | 10 |  | 3 |  |  |  | 1 | 4 |  |
| edness: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One-year certificates (Pittman Act)- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. 27. | 239, 375 | ${ }^{20,436}$ | 55, 276 | 28, 280 | 23,799 | 12,260 | 15,564 | 30,612 | 13,068 | 8,480 | 10,320 | 4,400 | 10,880 |
| May 4 | 239,375 | 20,436 | 55, 276 | 28,280 | 23, 799 | 12,260 | 15,564 | 36,612 | 13,068 | 8,480 | 10,320 | 4,400 | 10,880 |
| May 11 | 237, 875 | 20,436 | 55,276 | 28, 280 | 22, 799 | 12, 260 | 15, 564 | 36, 112 | 13,008 | 8,480 | 10,320 | 4,400 | 10, 880 |
| May 25. | 234,875 233,375 | 18,936 18,936 | 55,276 55,276 | 27,780 26,780 | 21,799 21,799 | 12,260 11,760 | 15,564 | 36,112 36,112 | 13,068 13,068 | 8,480 8,480 | 10,320 10,320 | 4,400 4,400 | 10,880 10,850 |

RESOURCES AND LIABILITIES OF EACH FEDERAL RESERVE BANK ON WEDNESDAYS, APR. 27 TO MAY 25, 1921-Contd.
RESOURCES-Continued.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | Total. | Boston. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { New } \\ & \text { York. } \end{aligned}$ | Philadelphia. | Cleveland. | Rich- | $\begin{gathered} \text { At- } \\ \text { lanta. } \end{gathered}$ | Chi- cago. | $\begin{gathered} \text { St. } \\ \text { Louis. } \end{gathered}$ | Minneapolis. | Kansas | Dallas. | San <br> Francisco. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U. S. certificates of indebt-edness-Continued. All other- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2,708 1,009 | 135 |  | $2,102$ | 20 | 1 | 1 | 348 30 | 6 | ${ }_{2}^{18}$ |  |  | 103 73 |
| May 11. | 3,558 | 225 | 2,578 | 399 | 26 | 1 | 1 | 97 | 16 | 132 | 10 |  | 73 |
| May 18. | 128,936 | 11,218 | 82,855 | 6,849 | 21,016 | 1 | 1 | 6,198 | 40 | 115 | 14 |  | 629 |
| May 25. | 46,754 | 614 | 44, 568 | 1,151 | 30 | 1 | 1 | 145 | 13 | 77 | 133 |  | 21 |
| Total earning assets: | 2, 435, 140 | 127,666 | 712,511 | 189, 229 | 180, 937 | 130,581 | 131,089 | 414,594 | 05,656 | 80,929 | 113,656 | 74,564 | 183,728 |
| May 4. | 2, 426,639 | 128, 493 | 713, 962 | 183,412 | 189, 885 | 123,064 | 130, 154 | 411,889 | 93, 351 | 81,091 | 109, 293 | 72, 487 | 189,558 |
| May 11. | 2, 379, 135 | 127, 008 | 736, 299 | 175,905 | 187,762 | 124, 823 | 122,374 | 377,031 | 86,002 | 78, 957 | 102,093 | 74,754 | 186, 127 |
| May 18. | 2, 313, 978 | 136, 345 | 674,671 663,199 | 191,364 | 176,081 | 125,570 | 124, 269 | 361,696 356,50 | 89,252 91,736 | 80, 833 | 100, 508 | 72, 798 | 180,591 |
| May 25. | 2, 263, 120 | 128, 484 | 663, 199 | 183,249 | 155,655 | 123, 460 | 125,670 | 356, 550 | 91,736 | 76,610 | 102,243 | 73, 254 | 183, 010 |
| Bank apr. $27 . .$. | 21,832 | 3,316 | 4,913 | 520 | 1,831 | 1,773 | 730 | 3,106 | 626 | 599 | 2,052 | 1,824 | 542 |
| May 4 | 21,908 | 3, 340 | 4,918 | 520 | 1,869 | 1,775 | 730 | 3,107 | 626 | 599 | 2,052 | 1, 324 | 548 |
| May 11 | 23,007 | 3,396 | 4,918 | 527 | 1,951 | 1,901 | 730 | 3,537 | ${ }_{6}^{626}$ | 599 599 | ${ }_{2}^{2,445}$ | 1,829 | 548 |
| May 18. | 23,192 23,396 | 3,424 3,46 | 4,918 5,089 | 527 | 2,039 2,043 | 1,901 1,903 | 738 | 3,586 3,586 | 6627 | 599 599 | $\xrightarrow{2,445}$ | 1,838 1,839 | 550 549 |
| Five per cent redemption fund against Federal Reserve Bank notes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. $27 . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. | 11,339 | 1,022 | 1,734 | 1,300 | 1,239 | 601 | 628 | 1,868 | 523 | 603 | 916 | 361 | 4 |
| May 4. | 10, 886 | 1,022 | 1,679 | 1,300 | 1,239 | 601 | 540 | 1,749 | 523 | 412 | 916 | 361 | 544 |
| May 11. | 11,374 | 1,022 | 1,929 | 1,300 | 1,239 | 601 | 658 | 1,871 | 523 | 410 | 916 | 361 | 544 |
| May 18. | 11,476 | 1,022 | 1,980 | 1,300 | 1,240 | 601 | 669 | 1,897 | 523 | 423 | 916 | 361 | 544 |
| May 25-....... | 11,174 | 772 | 2,059 | 1,300 | 1,239 | 601 | 621 | 1,960 | 523 | 278 | 916 | 361 | 544 |
| Apr. 27... | 519,828 | 43,955 | 114,375 | 46,612 | 47,384 | 40, 037 | 20,090 | 64,119 | 29,459 | 14,765 | 40,293 | 25,718 | 33, 021 |
| May 4. | 524, 651 | 46,715 | 112, 636 | 47,970 | 50, 719 | 41, 538 | 20,041 | 65,326 | 30, 169 | 14, 115 | 38,602 | 24, 108 | 32,712 |
| May 11. | 532,776 | 46,647 | 116, 510 | 45, 269 | 48,459 | 40,593 | 22,030 | 64,975 | 32,684 | 15, 274 | 40,934 | 24, 345 | 35, 056 |
| May 18. | 580, 270 | 50, 475 | 126, 734 | 53, 888 | 56,885 | 44, 825 | 22,432 | 71,648 | 32, 833 | 15,669 | 42,343 | 23,789 | 38, 749 |
| May 25. <br> All other res | 510, 175 | 42,664 | 115,346 | 45,565 | 48,435 | 40,991 | 18,690 | 61,134 | 27, 631 | 14, 298 | 38, 883 | 22, 164 | 34, 374 |
| Apr. 27. | 11,578 | 544 | 3,287 | 623 | 848 | 639 | 614 | 2,248 | 610 | 146 | 585 | 941 | 493 |
| May 4 | 12, 720 | 569 | 3,455 | 622 | 864 | 455 | 582 | 2,279 | 611 | 156 | 604 | 1,614 | 909 |
| May 11 | 11,886 | 583 | 3,403 | 588 | 812 | 418 | 612 | 2,154 | 596 | 161 | 624 | 1,398 | 537 |
| May 18. | 12,430 | 548 | 3,891 | 662 | 827 | 431 | 647 | 2, 270 | ${ }_{622}$ | 169 | 518 | 1,222 | 623 |
| May 25. | 13,663 | 568 | 3,448 | 621 | 827 | 492 | 664 | 2,298 | 638 | 208 | 533 | 1,162 | 2,204 |
| Apr. 27. | 5, 504, 480 | 447, 449 | 1,621, 855 | 424,483 | 517,995 | 253,684 | 245,481 | 835,909 | 227, 252 | 141,065 | 228,807 | 143, 102 | 417,398 |
| May 4 | 5,516,702 | 449, 296 | 1,622, 103 | 424,635 | 521,550 | 253,487 | 245, 862 | 843,678 | 228,311 | 141,387 | 227,089 | 140, 367 | 418,937 |
| May 11 | 5,495, 951 | 446, 815 | 1, 622, 171 | 422,015 | 514,035 | 247, 275 | 248,063 | 836, 845 | 227,956 | 139,882 | 227, 327 | 141, 342 | 421, 625 |
| May 18 | 5,490,480 | 451, 155 | 1,592,444 | 428,605 | 516, 884 | 251, 869 | 254, 347 | 839,933 | 229,728 | 149, 385 | 226, 947 | 140,455 | 417,728 |
| ${ }_{1}$ May 25. | 5, 379, 760 | 439, 324 | 1,589,685 | 408,657 | 502, 668 | 243, 438 | 245, 186 | 824,133 | 220, 387 | 133,915 | 220, 287 | 135, 690 | 416, 390 |
| for other Federal Reserve Banks: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. 27. | 18,600 | 2,600 | 12,000 |  | 4,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 4. | 21, 739 | 2,910 | 14, 857 |  | 3,972 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 18 | - 23,941 | 4,906 | 17, 10 |  | 1, 1225 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 25. | 29,964 | 3,542 | 25,347 |  | 1,075 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{2}$ Includes bankers' acceptFederal Reserve Banks without their indorsement: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. 27. |  | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 4. | 25 |  | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 11 | 25 |  | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LIABILITIES. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 41. | 101,857 | 7,849 7893 | 26,889 | 8,585 8,593 | 10,955 | 5,391 5 | 4, 071 4,071 | 14,226 | 4,447 4,447 | 3,524 3 3 531 | 4,474 4,481 | 4,193 4 4 1202 | 7,253 |
| May 18 | 102, 116 | 7, 894 | 26,887 | 8,615 | 11, 025 | 5,404 | 4,074 | 14,231 | 4,475 | $\stackrel{3}{3}, 533$ | 4, 493 | 4, 4,205 | 7,280 |
| May 25 | 102,173 | 7,894 | 26,887 | 8,615 | 11,046 | 5,395 | 4,082 | 14,229 | 4,499 | 3,531 | 4,497 | 4,211 | 7,287 |
| Surplus: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 4. | 202,036 | 15,711 | 56,414 | 17,010 | 20,305 | 10,561 | 8,343 | 28,980 | 8,346 | 6,980 | 9,159 | 6,033 | 14, 194 |
| May 11. | 202, 036 | 15,711 | 56, 414 | 17,010 | 20, 305 | 10,561 | 8,343 | 28,980 | 8,346 | 6,980 | 9,159 | 6,033 | 14, 194 |
| May 18. | 202,036 | 15,711 | 56, 114 | 17,010 | 20,305 | 10,561 | 8,343 | 28,980 | 8,346 | 6,980 | 9,159 | 6,033 | 14,194 |
| May 25 | 202,036 | 15,711 | 56,414 | 17,010 | 20,305 | 10,561 | 8,343 | 28,980 | 8,346 | 6,980 | 9,159 | 6,033 | 14, 194 |
| Reserved for Government franchise tax: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 11. | 32,528 | 1,786 | 13,932 | 2,009 | 887 | 1,159 | 2,070 | 6,180 | ${ }_{5} 612$ | 1,055 | 1,241 |  | 1,597 |
| May 18. | 34, 014 | 1,870 | 14,630 | 2,081 | 974 | 1,235 | 2,155 | 6,431 | 594 | 1,116 | 1,270 |  | 1,658 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. 27. | 35,872 23,418 | -2,190 | 10,788 | ${ }_{685}^{932}$ | 2,438 | 4,343 <br> 1,045 | 2,299 734 | 2,632 | 2,148 | 1, 1,581 | 2,074 | 1,237 | 4,843 |
| May 11. | 13,799 | 541 | 2,701 | ${ }_{6}^{618}$ | 1,555 | $\stackrel{1}{1,307}$ | 159 | 715 | 920 | 1,062 | 1,129 | 1,557 | 1,535 |
| May 18. | 15,632 | 802 | 471 | 1,063 | 2555 | 1,168 | 2,071 | $\begin{array}{r}430 \\ 1 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 2,290 | 2,084 | 3,054 | 1,288 | 356 |
| May 25............. | 17,323 | 1,195 | 471 | 635 | 2,064 | 642 | 1,567 | 1,323 | 1,848 | 1,594 | 1,653 | 1,209 | 3,122 |

RESOURCES AND LIABILITIES OF EACH FEDERAL RESERVE BANK ON WEDNESDAYS, APR. 27 TO MAY 25, 1921-Contd.
LIABILITIES-Continued
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | Total. | Boston. | New York. | Philadelphia. | Cleveland. | Richmond. | Atlanta. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chi- } \\ & \text { cago. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { St. } \\ & \text { Louis. } \end{aligned}$ | Minneapolis. | Kansas City. | Dallas. | San Francisco. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Deposits--Continued. Member bank-reserve account- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. $27 . . . . . . . .$. | 1,656,718 | 108,096 | 647,896 | 101,302 | 138, 128 | 53,331 | 42,765 | 234, 362 | 64,088 | 41,487 | 69,376 | 45, 846 | 110,041 |
| May 4. | 1,671, 385 | 107, 476 | 651, 039 | 101, 404 | 144, 852 | 54, 010 | 46,075 | 240,795 | 63, 883 | 41,722 | 69, 851 | 43, 309 | 106,969 |
| May 11 | 1,687, 985 | 108,762 | 666, 330 | 102,208 | 137, 020 | 53,573 | 45,682 | 241,518 | 63,872 | 41,187 | 71,741 | 43,932 | 112,160 |
| May 18. | 1,665, 517 | 109, 423 | 633,657 | 104, 342 | 143,638 | 54, 505 | 46,087 | 243, 988 | 64,078 | 42,097 | 68,472 | 45,049 | 110,181 |
| May 25. | 1,655,609 | 107, 442 | 653,641 | 95,388 | 135,579 | 54,226 | 44, 230 | 239,407 | 62,538 | 38,808 | 70,403 | 45, 339 | 108,608 |
| All otherApr. 27. | 33,309 | 878 | 15,533 | 1,095 | 771 | 524 | 326 | 2,766 | 663 | 497 | 562 | 422 | 9,272 |
| May $4 .$. | 34, 428 | 821 | 17,593 | 1,120 | 759 | 493 | 353 | 2,680 | 710 | 469 | 517 | 404 | 8,509 |
| May 11 | 31, 660 | 866 | 15,598 | 1,053 | 856 | 490 | 338 | 2,992 | 750 | 556 | 488 | 402 | 7,271 |
| May 18 | 35, 493 | 868 | 15, 804 | 1,444 | 974 | 995 | 521 | 3,969 | 1,277 | 549 | 798 | 498 | 7,796 |
| May 25. | 33,024 | 773 | 16,303 | 1,069 | 769 | 474 | 341 | 2,324 | 694 | 520 | 564 | 426 | 8,767 |
| Total deposits: Apr. 27 | 1,725,899 | 110,990 | 674, 227 | 103,329 | 139,853 | 58,198 | 45,390 | 239,266 | 66, 862 | 43,923 | 71,490 | 48,870 | 123,501 |
| May 4. | 1,729, 231 | 109, 487 | 675, 443 | 103, 209 | 148,049 | 55, 548 | 47, 162 | 245, 107 | 66, 741 | 43,772 | 72, 442 | 44,950 | 117, 321 |
| May 11 | 1,733, 444 | 110,169 | 684, 629 | 103, 879 | 139, 431 | 55, 370 | 46, 179 | 245, 225 | 65, 542 | 42, 805 | 73, 358 | 45,891 | 120,966 |
| May 18. | 1, 716, 642 | 111,093 | 649,932 | 106, 849 | 145, 167 | 56,668 | 48,679 | 248,387 | 67,645 | 44, 730 | 72,324 | 46,835 | 118, 333 |
| May 25 | 1,705, 950 | 109, 410 | 670,415 | 97, 092 | 138,412 | 55,342 | 46,138 | 243,054 | 65, 080 | 40,922 | 72,620 | 46,974 | 120,497 |
| Federal Reserve notes in actual circulation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. 27................. | 2, 830,118 | 258,946 | 741, 460 | 234, 527 | 280, 320 | 136,559 | 155,530 | 470, 823 | 109, 808 | 65, 544 | 89, 286 | 55,095 | 232, 220 |
| May 4. | 2, 328,586 | 259, 260 | 739,004 | 233,280 | 276,548 | 125, 733 | 155,982 | 469,877 | 110, 973 | 65, 480 | 88,527 | 54,615 | 239,307 |
| May 11. | 2,804, 933 | 257.752 | 725, 430 | 234,291 | 277,093 | 139,129 | 159,026 <br> 159 <br> 88 | 465,267 | 109, 146 | 64, 215 | 86,784 | 54, 127 | 238,673 |
| May 18. | 2, 737, $2,734,804$ | 256,040 254,485 | 718,909 | 229,259 228,229 | 269,829 266,951 | 129, 734 | 159,882 157,783 | 458,934 456,793 | 108, 105,80 | 63,065 61,769 | 85,368 83,447 | 51,734 50,144 | $236,061$ |
| Federal Reserve Bank | 2,734,804 | 254, 485 | 707,350 | 228,229 | 266,951 | 127, 109 | 157,783 | 456,793 | 105,849 | 61,769 | 83,447 | 50,144 | 234,895 |
| Federal Reserve Bank notes in circulation-net |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| liability: |  |  |  | 15,293 | 20,728 | 8,251 | 12,881 | 23.397 | 7,086 | 6, 885 | 11,023 | 5,562 |  |
| Apr. 27. | 156, 249 | 15,006 | 21,313 | 15,293 14,847 | 20,424 | 8,005 | 12,881 | 23, 3971 | 7,179 | 6,776 | 11,228 | 5,606 | 8,122 |
| May 4. | 153,859 | 14,644 13,846 | 21,313 21,489 | 14,847 14,160 | 20,424 20,449 | 8,005 7,695 | 12,570 | 23, 2761 | 7,179 7,091 | 6,776 6,627 | 11, 1128 | 5,606 <br> 5,464 | 8,096 8,124 |
| May 18. | 147,766 | 13, 795 | 22,707 | 13,075 | 18, 366 | 7, 439 | 11,980 | 22,116 | 6,982 | 6,451 | 11,809 | 5,219 | 7,821 |
| May 25. | 144, 834 | 12,289 | 24,301 | 11,970 | 18,334 | 6,644 | 11, 782 | 21,469 | 6,897 | (6, 310 | 12,084 | 5,079 | 7,675 |
| Deferred a vailability items: Apr. 27 | 430,700 | 35,808 | 81,135 | 42,315 | 42,154 | 32,439 | 16,507 | 49,135 | 28,672 | 12,148 | 40,615 | 21,540 | 28,232 |
| May 4. | 441, 069 | 39, 102 | 82, 536 | 44,118 | 41,434 | 35, 839 | 14, 828 | 51,944 | 28,532 | 12,618 | 38,383 | 23,051 | 28,684 |
| May 11. | 441,950 | 38, 107 | 86, 163 | 40,414 | 41,746 | 32, 659 | 16,518 | 50,058 | 31,222 | 13,425 | 39,831 | 23, 633 | 28, 174 |
| May 18. | 491,004 | 43, 168 | 95,687 | 49,981 | 48, 167 | 39, 459 | 18, 264 | 56,568 | 31, 446 | 13,171 | 40,987 | 24,382 | 29,724 |
| May 25. | 424,929 | 35,976 | 82, 163 | 41,795 | 43,524 | 35,690 | 13, 858 | 48,536 | 27, 411 | 11,903 | 35,593 | 21,160 | 27,320 |
| All other liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. 27. | 58,243 60,064 | 3,150 3,243 | 20,196 20,504 | 3,424 3,586 | 3,680 3,835 | 2,289 2,410 | 2,763 2,906 | 10,105 10,373 | 2,035 2,093 | 2,082 2,237 | 2,759 2,876 | 1,866 1,919 | 3,894 4,082 |
| May 4. | 60,064 29,133 | 3,243 1,551 | 20,504 | 3,586 1,659 | 3,835 <br> 3,101 | 2,410 1,299 | 2,906 922 | 10,373 4.343 | 2,093 1,550 | 2, 237 | 2,876 1,619 | 1,919 | 4,089 2,625 |
| May 11. |  | 1,551 | 7,228 | 1,659 | 3,101 3,051 | 1,299 1,369 | 924 | 4.343 4,286 | 1,550 | 1, 1,349 | 1,619 | 1,992 | 2,625 2,657 |
| May 18. | 29,487 29,757 | 1,584 1,621 | 7,278 | 1,735 | 3,051 | 1,369 | 964 979 | 4,286 4,316 | 1,640 1,696 | 1, 1,339 | 1,537 | 2,047 2,089 | 2,657 2,717 |
| Total liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. 27. | 5,504, 480 | 447, 419 | 1,621,855 | 424,483 424,635 | 517,995 | 253,684 253,487 | 245, 481 | 835,909 843,678 | 227, 252 | 141,065 | 228,807 | 143,102 <br> 140 <br> 1 | 417,398 418,937 |
| May 4. | 5, 516,702 | 449, 296 | 1,622,103 | 424,635 422,015 | 521, 550 514,035 | 253,487 247,275 | 245, 862 248,063 | 843,678 836,845 | 228, 311 | 141, 387 | 227,089 | 140, 367 | 418,937 421,625 |
| May 11. | 5,495,951 | 446,815 451,155 | 1, 622, 171 | 422,015 428,605 | 514,035 516,884 | 247, 275 | 248, 2543 | 836,845 839,933 | $\begin{aligned} & 227,956 \\ & 229,728 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 139,882 \\ & 140,385 \end{aligned}$ | 227,927 | 141, 342 | 421,625 417,728 |
| May 18. | 5, 490, 480 | 451,155 439,324 | 1, 592, 444 | 428,605 408,657 | 516,884 502,668 | 251, 869 | 254,347 245,186 | 839,933 324,13 | 229,728 220,387 | 140,385 133,915 | 226,947 220,287 | 140,455 135,590 | 417,728 416,390 |
| May 25. | $5,379,760$ | 439,324 | 1, 589,685 | 408,657 | 502,668 | 243,438 | 245,186 | 324, 133 | 220,387 | 133,915 | 220,287 | 135, 690 | 416,390 |
| memoranda. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ratio of total reserves to deposit and Federal Reserve note liabilities combined, per cent: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. 27................... | 55.0 55.3 | 73.2 73.0 | 55.5 55.5 | 55.1 56.7 | 68.0 65.2 | 41.1 | 46.0 46.2 | 49.3 50.3 | 56.8 58.0 | 40.2 41.2 | 44.4 47.0 | 38.2 40.1 | 56.0 54.6 |
| May 4. | 55.3 55.9 | 73.0 | 55.5 53.8 | 56.7 58.7 | 65.7 | 45.0 41.9 | $4 \mathrm{49.2}$ | 54.5 | 58.6 61.6 | 41.2 41.6 | 45.0 50.5 | 48.1 38.6 | 54.6 55.3 |
| May 18. | 56.8 | 70.6 | 57.0 | 53.8 | 67.4 | 42.1 | 50.6 | 56.4 | 60.1 | 39.6 | 50.9 | 41.0 | 55.5 |
| May 25.................. | 57.6 | 72.4 | 58.1 | 54.5 | 72.6 | 41.7 | 48.4 | 57.0 | 58.1 | 40.8 | 48.2 | 38.0 | 55.1 |
| Contingent liability as endorsed on discounted paper rediscounted with other Federal Reserve Banks: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. 27.................. | 18,600 |  |  |  |  | 10,000 |  |  |  | 2,000 |  | 6,600 |  |
| May 4.................... | 21,739 |  |  |  |  | 14,857 |  |  |  |  |  | 6,882 |  |
| May 11................... | 14,950 |  |  |  |  | 10,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 4,950 |  |
| May 18. | 23,941 |  |  |  |  | 9,885 |  |  |  | 7,925 |  | 6,131 |  |
| May 25................... | 29,964 |  |  |  |  | 15,000 |  |  |  | 10,347 |  | 4,617 |  |
| Bankers' acceptances sold to other Federal Reserve Banks without indorsement: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. 27. | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25 |
| May 4. | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25 |
| May 11 | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25 |
| Contingent liability on bills purchased for foreign correspondents: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. 27................ | 32,376 | 2,336 | 12,120 | 2,560 | 2,624 | 1, 568 | 1,152 | 3,808 | 1,504 | 864 | 1,536 | 832 | 1,472 |
| May 4. | 32,386 | 2,336 | 12, 130 | 2,560 | 2,624 | 1,568 | 1,152 | 3,808 | 1,504 | 864 | 1,536 | 832 | 1,472 |
| May 11. | 32,372 | 2,336 | 12,116 | 2,560 | 2,624 | 1,568 | 1, 152 | 3,808 | 1,504 | 864 | 1,536 | 832 | 1,472 |
| May 18. | 32,350 | 2,336 | 12,094 | 2,560 | 2,624 | 1,568 | 1,152 | 3, 808 | 1,504 1,504 | 864 | 1,536 | 832 | 1,472 |
| May 25. | 32, 333 | 2,336 | 12,077 | 2,560 | 2,624 | 1,568 | 1,152 | 3,808 | 1,504 | 864 | 1,536 | 832 | 1,472 |

MATURITY DISTRIBUTION OF BILLS AND CERTIFICATES OF INDEBTEDNESS HELD BY ALL FEDERAL RESERVE BANKS COMBINED.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | Total. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Within } 15 \\ \text { days. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16 \text { to } 30 \\ \text { days. } \end{gathered}$ | 31 to 60 days. | 61 to 90 days. | Over 90 days. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bills discounted: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. 27...... | 2,063,739 | 1,229,368 | 201,058 | 364,964 | 218, 399 | 49,950 |
| May 4. | 2,066, 245 | 1,226, 865 | 210, 847 | 357, 156 | 215,658 | 55,719 |
| May 18 | 1,842,553 | 1, $1,090,790$ | 193, 790 | 316, 268 | 178, 111 | 63, 994 |
| May 25 | 1,870, 256 | 1, 108,808 | 188,845 | 322, 907 | 179, 564 | 70, 132 |
| Bills bought in op |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. 27. | 103,609 94,302 | 58,175 54,067 | 21,429 19,359 | 18, 15 , 873 | 5,945 <br> 5,003 |  |
| May 11 | 76,637 | 48,746 | 14,652 | 9,982 | 3,257 |  |
| May 18. | 81,667 | 51,006 | 18,057 | 10,014 | 2,590 |  |
| May $25 . .$. | 87, 138 | 56,289 | 16,408 | 12,148 | 2,293 |  |
|  | 242,083 | 4,000 | 2,165 | 7,040 | 7,605 | 221,273 |
| May 4.. | 240, 384 | 1,020 | 3,568 | 18,940 | 12,674 | 204,182 |
| May 11. | 241, 433 | 4,098 | 2,108 | 9,926 | 13,857 | 211, 444 |
| May 18. | 363,811 280,129 | 86,32 36,607 | 15,206 4,769 | 10,320 9,227 | 25,640 26,197 | 226,313 203,329 |
| May 25. | 280,129 | 36,607 |  | 9,227 | 26,197 | 203, 329 |

FEDERAL RESERVE NOTES.
FEDERAL RESERVE AGENTS' ACCOUNTS ON WEDNESDAYS, APR. 27 TO MAY 25, 1921.
[In thousands of dollars.]

| RESOURCES. | Total. | Boston. | New <br> York. | Philadelphia. | Cleveland. | Rich- | $\begin{gathered} \text { At- } \\ \text { lanta. } \end{gathered}$ | Chicago. | Lolis. | Minneapolis. | Kansas City. | Dallas. | San <br> Fran- <br> riseo. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Federal Reserve notes on hand: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr.27............ | 813, 269 | 105,090 | 268,000 | 21,420 | 37,970 | 25, 809 | 80,790 | 159,560 | 27,520 | 11,580 | 4,600 | 28,730 | 42, 200 |
| May 4. | 806, 5 | 99,570 | 268,000 | 21,420 | 43,370 | 23,489 | 77,440 | 161,240 | 26, 720 | 11,380 | 4,100 | ${ }_{27}^{29,221}$ | 40,600 |
| May 18 | 791,481 | 93,930 | 268,000 | 21, 420 | 42,620 | 22,408 | 70, 447 | 162,960 | 27,920 | 12,180 | 4,100 | 27,996 | 37, 500 |
| May 25. | 794,718 | 93,130 | 268,000 | 21,420 | 41,560 | 23,909 | 71, 137 | 165,700 | 29,480 | 12,360 | 4,780 | 28,702 | 34,540 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr.27............. | 3, 177,004 | 271, 118 | 885, 505 | 255,650 | 308, 357 | 141,978 | 163,736 | 510,620 | 133,491 | 68,179 | 98,520 | 59,337 | 280, 513 |
| May 4 | 3,158,636 | 271,852 | 880, 939 | 253,788 | 300, 521 | 140, 954 | 163,954 | 507, 875 | 131,978 | 67,687 | 97,250 | 57,239 | 284,599 |
| May 11 | 3,147,304 | 268,797 | 869,589 | 253, 888 | 299,683 | 140,628 | 166,578 | 508,790 | 130,888 | 66, 563 | 95,453 | 57,596 | 288, 851 |
| May 18 | 3,112,067 | 272,328 | 861,232 | 24, ${ }_{24,182}$ | ${ }_{2}^{295,106}$ | 136, 268 | 169,571 | 502,563 | 131,775 | 65,385 | 94,048 | 55,755 | ${ }_{290}^{282,228}$ |
| May 25. | 3, 091, 119 | 270,616 | 855,937 | 244, 182 | 292,336 | 133,226 | 165,811 | 497, 243 | 129, 601 | 64, 293 | 93, 552 | 53,735 | 290,587 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. $27 .$. | 233, 852 | 5,600 | 176,924 |  | 23,775 |  | 3,500 |  | 6,110 | 13,052 |  | 4,891 |  |
| May 4 | 233,852 | 5,600 | 176,924 |  | 23,775 |  | 3,500 |  | 6, 110 | 13,052 |  | 4,891 |  |
| May 11 | 233,853 | 5,600 | 176,925 |  | 23,775 |  | 3,500 |  | 6,110 | 13,052 |  | 4,891 |  |
| May 18 | -343,853 | 5,600 5,600 | 236,924 286,925 |  | 23,775 23,775 |  | 3,500 3,500 |  | 6,110 6,110 | 13,052 13,052 |  | 4,891 |  |
| Gold redemption |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. $27 .$. | 119, 167 | 24,621 | 15,041 | 11,594 | 16,035 | 3,594 | 3,407 | 15,180 | 4,346 | 3,016 | 3,243 | 3,483 | 15,607 |
| May | 119,127 | 19,83 | 14,590 | 13,532 | 16,699 | 2,649 | 5,274 | 15,596 | 3,533 | 1,644 | 3,473 | 3,875 | 18,427 |
| May 11 | 117,383 | 22,940 | 14,149 | 13,631 | 15,761 | 2,823 | 5,555 | 15,350 | 3,643 | 2,410 | 1,476 | 4,362 | 15,283 |
| May 18. | 112,347 | 17,671 | 13,654 | 10,552 | 16,334 | 3,523 | 4,898 | 15,644 | 3,030 | 1,462 | 3,671 | 3,166 | 18,742 |
| May 25. | 127,424 | 22,159 | 23,359 | 13,925 | 15,824 | 3,481 | 4,828 | 16,264 | 2,857 | 2,550 | 2,855 | 3,853 | 15,469 |
| Gold settlement fund-Federal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reserve Board- | 964 | 140 | 106,000 | 112,389 | 155,000 | 38,500 | 50,000 | 159,644 | 52,531 | 6,200 | 28,360 |  | 108,982 |
| May 4. | 973, 108 | 150,000 | 106,000 | 110,389 | 155,000 | 35,500 | 50,000 | 175,645 | 52,931 | 6,200 | 27,360 | 5,235 | 98, 848 |
| May 11. | 1,022,902 | 140,000 | 106,000 | 112,389 | 155,000 | 40,500 | 59,000 | 201,644 | 56, 330 | 6,200 | 33, 360 | 2,234 | 110,245 |
| May 18 | 1, $1,032,420$ | 160,000 | 111,000 | 110, 389 | 155,009 | 34, 300 | 67,000 | 212,644 | 58,331 | 6,200 | 30,360 | 2,234 | 104,7f2 |
| May $25 . . . . .$. | 1,033, 952 | 150,000 | 101,000 | 98,389 | 155,000 | 31,500 | 64,000 | 217,644 | 58, 231 | 6,200 | 30,360 | 5,234 | 116,394 |
| Eligible paper- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| quired- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. 27.... | 1, 859, 144 | 100, 897 | 587,540 | 131,667 | 113,547 | 99,884 | 106, 829 | ${ }^{335}, 796$ | 70,504 | 45, 911 | 66, 917 | 43,728 | 155, 924 |
| May 4. | 1, 832, 549 | 96,417 | 583,425 | 129, 867 | 105, 047 | 102, 805 | 105, 180 | 316, 634 | 69,404 | 46,791 | 66,417 | 43, 238 | 167,324 |
| May 11. | 1, 773, 166 | 100, 257 | 572,515 | 127, 868 | 105, 147 | 97, 305 | 98, 523 | 291,796 | 64, 805 | 44,901 | 60,617 | 46,109 | 163, 323 |
| May 18. | 1,653,448 | 89, 057 | 499,654 | 124, 867 | 99, 997 | 98, 245 | 94, 173 | 274, 275 | 64, 304 | 44,671 | 60,017 | 45, 464 | 158, 724 |
|  | 1,585,890 | 92, 857 | 444, 653 | 131,868 | 97, 737 | 98, 245 | 93,483 | 263, 335 | 62,403 | 42, 491 | 60, 337 | 39,757 | 158,724 |
| Excessamount held- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. 27..... | 247,558 | 5,643 | 36, 651 | 4,140 | 42, 036 | 14,066 | 8,033 | 37, 261 | 10, 883 | 25,378 | 27,527 | 21, 800 | 14, 140 |
| May ${ }^{\text {May }} 1$ | 276,521 286,093 | 10,898 5,535 | 74, 723 | 9,040 | 59, 788 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 3,794 } \\ 12,393 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  | 53,991 44,460 | 9,702 6,918 | 24,629 24,080 | 23,595 | 20,692 19,976 | 8,258 8,679 |
| May 18 | 221, 370 | 16, 579 | 3,298 | 20,178 | 32, 078 | 10,641 | 13,599 | 40,622 | 10,588 | 26, 337 | 21, 251 | 18,560 | 7, 439 |
| May $25 .$. | 312,906 | 15,522 | 84,966 | 2,302 | 34,794 | 9,827 | 15,684 | 52,425 | 14,991 | 24,356 | 22,536 | 24,770 | 10,733 |

FEDERAL RESERVE AGENTS' ACCOUNTS ON WEDNESDAYS, APR. 27 TO MAY 25, 1921-Continued.
[In thousands of dollars.]

| RESOURCES. | Total. | Boston. | New York. | Philadelphia. | Cleveland. | Richmond. | Atlanta. | Chicago. | St. Louis. | Minneapolis. | Kansas City. | Dallas. | San Francisco. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total resources: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. 27. | 7, 414, 835 | 652,969 | 2, 075, 661 | 536, 860 | 696,720 | 323, 831 | 416,295 | 1,218, 061 | 305, 385 | 173,316 | 229, 167 | 169, 204 | 617,366 |
| May 4. | 7, 400, 343 | 654, 172 | 2,073,306 | 538,036 | 704, 190 | 309, 191 | 414, 064 | 1,230.981 | 300, 378 | 171,383 | 222, 195 | 164, 391 | 618,056 |
| May 11. | 7,364,988 | 641, 859 | 2,081, 701 | 529, 988 | 698,891 | 315, 638 | 412, 891 | 1, 220,480 | 297,014 | 168, 476 | 217,650 | 162, 519 | 617,881 |
| May 18. | 7,236,985 | 655, 165 | 1,993, 762 | 533, 214 | 664,910 | 305, 58.5 | 423, 188 | 1, 208,708 | 302,058 | 169,487 | 213, 447 | 158,066 | 609,395 |
| May 25. | 7,289, 862 | 649, 884 | 2, 064, 840 | 512, 086 | 661, 026 | 300, 188 | 418,443 | 1,212,611 | [303, 673 | 165,302 | 214, 420 | 160,942 | 626,447 |
| Llabilities. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net amount of Federal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reserve notes received from Comp- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| troller of the Currency: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 4 | 3,965, 186 | 371, 422 | 1, 148, 939 | 275, 208 | 344, 327 | 167, 787 | 244, 24.394 | 670,180 669,115 | 161,011 | 79,769 79,067 | 103, 120 | 88,067 | 322,713 325,199 |
| May 11 | 3,931,591 | 367, 527 | 1,137, 589 | 275,308 | 340, 353 | 162,617 | 238,675 | 667, 230 | 159,208 | 77, 833 | 99, 953 | 84,947 | 320, 351 |
| May 18. | 3, 903, 548 | 366, 258 | 1, 129, 232 | 267, 228 | 337, 726 | 158,676 | 240,018 | 665, 523 | 159,695 | 77, 565 | 98, 148 | 83,751 | 319,728 |
| May 25............ | 3,885, 837 | 363, 746 | 1,123,937 | 265,602 | 333, 896 | 157, 135 | 236,948 | 662,943 | 159, 081 | 76,653 | 98, 332 | 82, 437 | 325,127 |
| Collateral received from |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal Reserve Banks: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gold- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. 27 | 1,317, 860 | 170, 221 | 297,965 | 123,983 | 194, 810 | 42,094 | 56,907 | 174, 824 | 62, 987 | 22,268 | 31,603 | 15,609 | 124,589 |
| May 4. | 1,326, 087 | 175, 435 | 297, 514 | 123,921 | 195, 474 | 38, 149 | 58, 774 | 191, 241 | 62,574 | 20, 896 | 30,833 | 14,001 | 117, 275 |
| May 11. | 1,374, 138 | 168,540 | 297, 074 | 126, 020 | 194, 536 | 43, 323 | 68,055 | 216, 994 | 66, 083 | 21, 662 | 34,836 | 11,487 | 125,528 |
| May 18. | 1,458, 619 | 183, 271 | 361, 578 | 120,941 | 195, 109 | 38,023 | 75, 398 | 228, 288 | 67, 471 | 20,714 | 34, 031 | 10, 2971 | 123, 504 |
| May 25. | 1,505, 229 | 177, 759 | 411,284 | 112,314 | 194, 599 | 34, 981 | 72,328 | 233,908 | 67,198 | 21,802 | 33,215 | 13,978 | 131.863 |
| Eligible paper Apr. 27... | 2,106,702 | 106, 540 | 624, 191 | 135, 807 | 155, 583 | 113, 950 | 114, 862 | 373, 057 | 81, 387 | 71, 289 | 94, 444 | 65,528 | 170, 064 |
| May 4. | 2, 109, 070 | 107,315 | 626, 853 | 138,907 | 164, 825 | 106, 599 | 113, 896 | 370, 625 | 79, 106 | 71, 420 | 90, 012 | 63,930 | 175, 582 |
| May 11. | 2,059,259 | 105,792 | 647,038 | 128,660 | 164, 002 | 109,698 | 106, 161 | 336, 256 | 71,723 | 68,981 | 82, 861 | 66,085 | 172,002 |
| May 18. | 1, 874, 818 | 105, 636 | 502, 952 | 145, 045 | 132, 075 | 108, 886 | 107, 772 | 314, 897 | 74, 892 | 71, 208 | 81, 268 | 64, 024 | 166, 163 |
| May 25. | 1,898,796 | 108, 379 | 529,619 | 134, 170 | 132, 531 | 108, 072 | 109, 167 | 315, 760 | 77,394 | 66,847 | 82, 873 | 64,527 | 169,457 |
| Total liabilities: Apr. 27. | 7, 414, 835 | 652,969 | 2,075,661 | 536,860 | 696,720 | 323, 831 | 416,295 | 1,218,061 | 305, 385 | 173,316 | 229, 167 | 169,204 | 617, 366 |
| May 4. | 7,400, 343 | 654, 172 | 2,073,306 | 538, 036 | 704, 190 | 309, 191 | 414, 064 | 1,230, 981 | [300, 378 | 171, 383 | 222, 195 | 164, 391 | 618,056 |
| May 11. | 7,364,988 | 641, 859 | 2,081, 701 | 529,988 | 698, 891 | -315, 638 | 412, 891 | 1,220, 480 | 297,014 | 168, 476 | 217, 650 | 162, 519 | 617,881 |
| May 18. | 7,236,985 | 655,165 649,884 | 1,993, 762 | 533, 214 | 664, 910 | 305, 585 | 423, 188 | 1,208,708 | 302, 058 | 169,487 | 213, 447 | 158, 066 | 609,395 |
| May 25.............. | 7,289, 862 | 649, 884 | 2,064, 840 | 512, 086 | 661, 026 | 300, 188 | 418, 443 | 1,212,611 | [303, 673 | 165, 302 | 214, 420 | 160,942 | 626,447 |

## CONDITION OF MEMBER BANKS IN LEADING CITIES.

For the five weeks ending May 18 member banks in leading cities show a total liquidation of $\$ 260,000,000$ of loans and discounts, or at the rate of $\$ 52,000,000$ a week, compared with an average reduction in loans of $\$ 68,000,000$ a week for the four weeks immediately preceding. During the same period the banks reduced their borrowings from the Federal Reserve Banks by $\$ 267,000,000$, or slightly more than the reduction in their own loans.

Following is a chart showing changes in the principal assets and liabilities of member banks for 1920 and 1921 to date; also a tabular summary for the most recent six weeks:
MOVEMENT OF PRINCIPAL ASSETS AND LIABILITIES OF REPORTING MEMBER BANKS.
[In millions of dollars.]

|  | Apr. | Apr. 22. | Apr. 27. | $\underset{4}{\operatorname{May}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \operatorname{May} \\ 11 . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { May } \\ 18 . \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of reporting banks. | 820 | 821 | 821 | 821 | 821 | 821 |
| Loans and discounts: ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secured by United States Government obligations.. | 740 | 738 | 739 | 744 | 736 | 715 |
| Secured by stocks and bonds (other than United States |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government obligations)... | 2,961 | 2,957 | 2,968 | 2,985 | 2,982 | 3,003 |
| All other..................... | 8,656 | 8, 586 | 8,542 | 8,524 | 8, 478 | 8,379 |
| Total loans and discounts ${ }^{2}$. | 12,357 | 12,281 | 12,249 | 12,253 | 12,196 | 12,097 |

MOVEMEN'T OF PRINCIPAL ASSETS AND LIABILITIES OF REPORTING MEMBER BANKS-Continued.
[In millions of dollars.]


Liquidation for the five-week period under review was heaviest for commercial loans proper, which were reduced by $\$ 277,000,000$. Loans secured by Gorernment obligations also

show a decrease, but of $\$ 25,000,000$ only, while loans secured by stocks and bonds increased by $\$ 42,000,000$, apparently in connection with the financing by member banks of recent large flotations of stocks and bonds. Among the banks' investments, no material changes are shown in the holdings of the United States bonds and Victory notes, while holdings of Treasury certificates show a decrease of $\$ 51,-$ 000,000 , largely owing to the fact that April 15, the beginning of the review period, was the date of a new issue of certificates, whereas May 18, the end of the period, was
three days after a certificate issue. Member bank holdings of corporate and other securities show a slight increase for the five weeks. As a consequence of these changes, total loans and investments of the reporting banks declined from $\$ 15,757,000,000$ on April 15 , to $\$ 15,447,000,000$ on May 18, a decrease of $\$ 309$,000,000 , or about 2 per cent.

Accommodation of member banks at the Federal Reserve Banks shows a decline for the period from $\$ 1,581,000,000$ to $\$ 1,314,000,000$, the ratio of accommodation decreasing from 10 to 8.5 per cent. The decrease of $\$ 267,000,000$
in accommodation extended by the Federal Reserve Banks to the 820 reporting member banks is to be compared with the reduction by $\$ 262,000,000$ in total discounts held by Federal Reserve Banks, indicating that the recent liquidation has been mainly confined to the larger cities, while country banks have slightly increased their borrowings from the Reserve Banks.

Government deposits with the reporting members show a constant decrease from April 15 to May 11, but an increase for the most recent week, during which a new issue of Treasury certificates was allotted. Other demand deposits (net) have shown considerable fluctuations, resulting in a decrease of $\$ 107,000,000$ for the period. Time deposits showed a gradual increase for the four weeks, followed by a large advance for the most recent week, and stood
on May 18 at $\$ 3,045,000,000$, compared with $\$ 2,924,000,000$ five weeks earlier. The increase in time deposits for the most recent week amounted to $\$ 98,000,000$, of which $\$ 93,000,000$ represented the share of the members in New York City, where deposits were apparently being built up with a view to their utilization in June in connection with the redemption of maturing corporate obligations.

Reserve deposits with the Federal Reserve Banks fluctuated within a narrow range during the five weeks and stood at the end of the period about $\$ 16,000,000$ lower than on April 15. Cash in vault, after showing an increase of $\$ 32,000,000$ for the first four weeks, shows a decline of $\$ 14,000,000$ for the most recent week, and stood at the end of the period at $\$ 326,000,000$, as compared with $\$ 308,000,000$ five weeks earlier.

PRINCIPAL RESOURCES AND LIABILITIES OF MEMBER BANKS IN LEADING CITIES ON FRIDAY, APR. 22, AND ON WEDNESDAYS FROM APR. 27 TO MAY 18, 1921.

1. ALL REPORTING MEMBER BANKS IN EACH FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICT.
[In thousands of dollars.]

| - | Total. | Boston. | New York. | Phila-delphia. | Cleveland. | Richmond. | Atlanta. | Chicago. | $\begin{gathered} \text { St. } \\ \text { Louis. } \end{gathered}$ | Minneapolis. | Kansas City. | Dallas. | San Francisco. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of reporting banks: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. 22............ | 821 | 49 | 113 | 58 | 88 | 83 | 43 | 113 | 37 | 35 | 81 | 52 | 69 |
| Apr. 27. | 821 | 49 | 113 | 58 | 88 | 83 | 43 | 113 | 37 | 35 | 81 | 52 | 69 |
| May 4.. | 821 | 49 | 113 | 58 | 88 | 83 | 43 | 113 | 37 | 35 | 81 | 52 | 69 |
| May 11. | 821 | 49 | 113 | 58 | 88 | 83 | 43 | 113 | 37 | 35 | 81 | 52 | 69 |
| May 18............. | 821 | 49 | 113 | 58 | 88 | 83 | 43 | 113 | 37 | 35 | 81 | 52 | 69 |
| Loans and discounts, including bills rediscounted with Federal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reserve Bank: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secured by United |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| States Govern- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ment obliga. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tions- $\text { Apr. } 22 .$ |  | 39,754 | 328,503 | 71,402 | 63,653 | 27, 274 | 22,751 |  | 23, 285 | 13,486 |  | 7,352 |  |
| Apr. 27 | 738, 864 | 39,218 | 332, 895 | 72,696 | 63,680 | 26,554 | 21,354 | 87, 293 | 22, 632 | 12,677 | 21, 476 | 7,270 | 31, 119 |
| May 4. | 743, 686 | 37,910 | 341,928 | 71,709 | 62,034 | 26, 214 | 21, 549 | 88, 185 | 21,998 | 12,855 | 22,081 | 7,210 | 30, 013 |
| May 11......... | 736,339 | 39,070 | 335, 069 | 72,246 | 63,169 | 26,124 | 22,690 | 85, 860 | 20, 570 | 13, 054 | 21, 564 | 7,296 | 29,627 |
| May 18........... | 714, 852 | 37, 386 | 320, 084 | 72,984 | 62,507 | 26,040 | 21, 113 | 84, 454 | 20, 195 | 13,149 | 20,740 | 7,448 | 28,752 |
| Secured by stocks and bonds (other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| than United |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| States Govern- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ment obliga- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tions)- | 2 | 184,783 | 1,218,362 | 202,680 | 349,745 | 112,342 |  | 426,885 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. 27. | 2,968, 385 | 185, 129 | 1,223, 664 | 203, 474 | 348,508 | 111, 809 | 55, 426 | 432,349 | 119, 131 | 30, 009 | 71,361 | 36,660 | 150, 865 |
| May 4. | 2,985, 391 | 190, 856 | 1,231, 617 | 198,984 | 348, 077 | 111, 224 | 54,557 | 442, 793 | 118, 563 | 30,397 | 72, 189 | 36,213 | 149,921 |
| May 11 | 2,981, 643 | 195, 619 | 1, 226, 183 | 194, 817 | 340, 012 | 113, 497 | 54,371 | 449, 673 | 118,708 | 30, 160 | 72,329 | 36,688 | 149,586 |
| May 18. | 3,002, 893 | 195, 489 | 1, 246, 642 | 195, 245 | 338,268 | 113, 796 | 55, 812 | 447,201 | 118, 180 | 31,012 | 73,419 | 37,057 | 150,77: |
| All other- ${ }_{\text {Apr }} 22 \ldots . .$. |  |  |  |  | 684, 266 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. Apr. 27. | 8,541, 545 | 631, 810 | 2,940,583 | 389, 738 | 684,206 679 | 336, 368 | 315, 640 | $1,303,614$ $1,308,970$ | 322,179 | 233,585 | 393, 358 | 222, 772 | 773,960 |
| May 4. | 8,523,913 | 627, 821 | 2,928, 445 | 391, 742 | 682, 297 | 335, 779 | 314, 434 | 1,311,390 | \|322, 26 | 228,261 | 387, 002 | 222, 404 | 771, 612 |
| May 11. | 8,478,014 | 623, 273 | 2,910,034 | 392, 621 | 684, 223 | 332,026 | 308, 037 | 1,300, 583 | 320,095 | 227,961 | 385,581 | 223,135 | 770, 445 |
| May 18.......... | 8,379, 584 | 623,085 | 2,864, 663 | 350,687 | 679,823 | 330,100 | 307, 136 | 1,265,963 | 320,600 | 228, 101 | 386,024 | 218,746 | 764,656 |
| Total loans and dis- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| counts, including bills rediscounted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| with Federal Re- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| serve Bank: <br> Apr. 22. | 12,281, 259 | 857, 437 | 4,524, 241 | 668,360 | 1,097,664 | 476,384 | 394, 442 | 1,820,028 | 464,095 |  | 486,785 |  |  |
| Apr. 27. | 12, 248,794 | 850,157 | 4,497, 142 | 665,908 | 1,091, 690 | 474,503 | 1387, 831 | 1,828,612 | 465, 839 | 273,965 | 486,395 | 265, 705 | 955,047 |
| May 4. | 12, 252,940 | 856, 587 | 4,501,990 | 662,435 | 1,052, 408 | 473,217 | 380, 540 | 1,842,368 | 463, 287 | 271, 513 | 481,272 | 265, 827 | 951,548 |
| May 11 | 12, 195,996 | 857,962 | 4,471, 286 | 659,684 | 1,087, 404 | 471,647 | 385,098 | 1,836, 116 | 459,373 | 271, 175 | -479,474 | 267, 119 | 949, 65\% |
| May 18. | 12,097, 329 | 855, 960 | 4,431,389 | 658,916 | 1,080,598 | 469,936 | 384,061 | 1, 797, 618 | 458,975 | 272, 262 | 480,183 | 263, 251 | 944, 180 |
| United States bonds: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. Apr. 22......... | 880, 337 | 33,159 | 304, 109 | 44,499 44,47 | 97,685 98,012 | 60,780 60,790 | 38,923 39,872 | 77,772 74,928 | 27, 702 | 16,507 16,547 | 33,940 33,672 | 34,319 34,074 | 98,981 103,004 |
| Apr. ${ }^{\text {May }}$ 4. | 860,337 866,633 | 33, 3299 | 304, 554 | 44,450 44,450 | 98,012 | 60,790 60,272 | 39,872 39,859 | 74,928 72,487 | 27,700 27,882 | 16,547 16,196 | 33,672 32,282 | 34, 33,448 | 103, 004 |
| May 11. | 871, 790 | 33,344 | 307, 878 | 45,245 | 99, 583 | 60, 800 | 39, 653 | 72,850 | 27,706 | 16,116 | 32, 684 | 33, 240 | 102, 691 |
| May 18.............. | 870,775 | 33,497 | 307, 993 | 45, 509 | 99,729 | 60,119 | 39,738 | 72,823 | 27,676 | 16,281 | 32,544 | 32,966 | 102,100 |

PRINCIPAL RESOURCES AND LIABILITIES OF MEMBER BANKS IN LEADING CITIES ON FRIDAY, APR. 22, AND ON

1. ALL REPORTING MEMBER BANKS IN EACH FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICT—Continued.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | Total. | Boston. | New York. | Phila-delphia. | Cleveland. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rich- } \\ & \text { mond. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { At- } \\ & \text { lanta. } \end{aligned}$ | Chicago. | St. <br> Louis. | Minne apolis. | Kansas City. | Dallas. | San <br> Francisco. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States Victory notes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. 22. | 191,082 | 6,034 | 82,676 | 10,101 | 20,342 | 7,164 | 3,143 | 34,636 | 1,987 | 1,205 | 3,241 | 1,723 | 18,830 |
| Apr. ${ }^{27}$ | 192,006 |  | 84, 255 | 10,070 | 20,490 | 7,153 | 3,054 |  | 2,143 | 1,221 | 2,765 | 1,140 | 19,102 |
| May 11. | 188,752 | 6,051 | -83,538 | ${ }_{9} 9,323$ | 20,757 | 6,684 | -3,087 | 33,699 33,684 | $\stackrel{2}{2,148}$ | 1,215 | 2,808 | 1,141 |  |
| May 18. | 188,387 | 6,057 | 83,675 | 8,573 | 21, 217 | 6,662 | 3,057 | 34,066 | 2,120 | 1,372 | 3,120 | 1,137 |  |
| United States certiá cates of indebtedness: | 18,38 |  |  |  | 21,217 |  | 3,057 | 31, |  | 1,35 |  |  |  |
| Apr. 22. | 239, 169 | 11, 304 | 122,740 | 18,546 | 17,908 | 6,230 | 2,284 | 28,574 | 2,002 | 3,615 | 5,707 | 1,998 | 18,261 |
| Apr. 27. | 227, 739 | 9,669 | 116, 623 | 17,844 | 16, 808 | 5,558 | 2,260 | 27, 701 | 2,456 | ${ }^{2,866}$ | 5,613 | 1,872 | 18,466 |
| May 4 | 205, 461 | 8,058 | 108,830 | 15, 832 | 14,584 | 4, 802 | 2, 136 | 25,529 | 1,365 | 1,012 | 5,660 | 1,894 | 16,759 |
| May 11 | 196, 639 | 7,491 | 103, 870 | 14,373 | 12,365 | 3,865 | 2, 014 | 25,035 | 1,077 | 535 | 5,476 | 1,798 | 18,740 |
| May 18. | 236, 297 | 12,905 | 102,876 | 21,596 | 17, 329 | 6,811 | 2,406 | 34,724 | 3,346 | 3,636 | 7,612 | 2,813 | 20,243 |
| Other bonds, stocks, and securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. 22.... | 2,048, 099 | 126,487 | 736,148 | 156,254 | 280, 850 | 49,158 | 37,073 | 347, 130 | 67,373 | 19,325 | 46,475 | 9, ${ }^{\text {9, }} 670$ | 172,156 |
| Apr. 27. | 2,064, 512 | 127, 198 | 752,195 | 156,103 | 281,792 | 49,955 | 36,292 | 347, 669 | 67,382 | 19, 278 | 46,360 | 9,566 | 170,722 |
| May 4. | 2,066,793 | 128, 504 | 748,240 | 156,137 | 284,716 | 49,135 | 37,049 | 345, 888 | 66,452 | 19,760 | 46.723 | 10,094 | 174,095 |
| May 11. | 2,036,092 | 126, 460 | 726,492 | 155,691 | 282, 663 | 49, 133 | 36, 279 | 344, 078 | 66,331 | 19,487 | 46,660 | 9,862 | 172,956 |
| Mav 18... | 2,054,609 | 128, 370 | 728, 887 | 156, 934 | 286, 309 | 49, 862 | 36,551 | 351, 895 | 66, 172 | 20, 201 | 47, 650 | 10,107 | 171, 671 |
| Total loans and discounts, and investments, including bills rediscounted with Federal Reserve Bank: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. 22. | 15,629, 294 | 1,034,334 | 5,771,377 | 897, 760 | 1,514,449 | 599,716 | 475, 865 | 2, 308, 140 | 563,086 | 317,531 | 576, 148 | 312,960 | 1,257, 928 |
| Apr. 2 | 15, 603, 388 | 1,032, 134 | 5, 754, 327 | 894,395 | 1, 508, 792 | 597,959 | 469, 309 | 2, 313,572 | 565,520 | 313,877 | 574, 895 | 312, 357 | 1,266,341 |
| May 4 | 15, 582, 308 | 1,032, 180 | 5,747,152 | 888, 469 | 1, 510, 823 | 594, 614 | 472, 639 | 2,319,881 | 561,134 | 309,696 | 568,795 | 312,424 | 1,264,501 |
| May 11 | 15, 489, 269 | 1,031,308 | 5,693,075 | 884,316 | 1,502, 772 | 592, 129 | 466, 131 | 2,311, 763 | 556,629 | 308,696 | 567,324 | 313, 156 | $1,261,970$ |
| May 18. | 15,447,397 | 1,036,789 | 5,654,820 | 891,328 | 1,505,182 | 593,390 | 465, 813 | 2,291, 126 | 558,289 | 313,752 | 571, 109 | 310, 274 | 1,255,525 |
| Reserve with Federal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\text { Apr. } 22 \text {. }$ | 1,240, | 73,641 | 586,691 | 63,219 | 93,033 | 31,245 | 27,458 | 174, 249 | 39,584 | 18,549 | 42,488 | 20,180 | 70,007 |
| Apr. 27 | 1, 245, 389 | 73,301 | 592,707 | 62,618 | 92,648 | 31,460 | 26, 118 | 172, 623 | 42, 124 | 16,921 | 38,783 | 21,998 | 74,082 |
| May 4 | 1,260, 955 | 73,408 | 597, 203 | 63, 019 | 96,969 | 31,567 | 29,168 | 179, 412 | 41,926 | 17,595 | 40,020 | 20,315 | 70,353 |
| May 11. | 1,257,684 | 74,837 | 592, 594 | 63,408 | 91, 172 | 31,940 | 28, 213 | 179, 869 | 41, 111 | 16,891 | 41, 837 | 20,303 | 75,449 |
| May 18. | 1, 254,432 | 76, 166 | 578, 048 | 64, 865 | 97,062 | 32,077 | 28,952 | 182, 976 | 41,938 | 17, 897 | 38,828 | 22, 102 | 73,521 |
| Cash in vanit: | 312,642 | 22,445 | 104,734 | 16, 123 | 29,263 | 14,048 | 10,783 | 53,077 | 8,687 | 7,011 | 12,532 | 10,351 | 23,558 |
| Apr. 27. | 330,015 | 23,046 | 113,215 | 19,723 | 30,128 | 15,570 | 11,346 | 55, 347 | 7,617 | 7,280 | 12, 899 | 9,859 | 23.984 |
| May 4 | 327, 314 | 22,152 | 111,346 | 18,616 | 30,883 | 15,433 | 10,093 | 55, 888 | 7,830 | 6,928 | 12, 879 | 9,833 | 24,430 |
| May 11 | 339, 783 | 23, 290 | 113, 148 | 19, 170 | 33, 068 | 18,287 | 10,423 | 56,578 | 7,629 | 7,498 | 13,455 | 12,497 | 24,740 |
| May 18 | 325,740 | 22,2 | 108,917 | 19, 141 | 32,552 | 16,637 | 9,657 | 54,579 | 7,733 | 6,770 | 12,967 | 10,227 | 24,315 |
| Apr. $22 .$. | 10,127,155 | 719,808 | 4,541,588 | 632,345 | 828, 570 | 1306,999 | 225,547 | 1,250,722 | 307, 1.52 | 175, 419 | 374,962 | 198,456 | 565, 557 |
| Apr. 27. | 10, 138, 258 | 719,774 | 4,556,482 | 630,885 | 820, 858 | 306,752 | 224,323 | 1, 264,907 | 309, 099 | 169,907 | 373,081 | 197, 846 | 564,344 |
| May 4 | 10, 214, 260 | 730,028 | 4, 622,093 | 632,711 | 829, 590 | 307, 685 | 221, 969 | 1, 270, 111 | 308,623 | 165,974 | 374, 876 | 196, 710 | 553,890 |
| May 11 | 10, 252,005 | 733,059 | 4, 593,595 | 640,016 | 819,480 | 308, 343 | 225, 688 | 1,309, 176 | 310,899 | 169,921 | 380, 298 | 199, 433 | 562, 111 |
| May 18. | 10, 155,685 | 735, 800 | 4, 532, 891 | 627,490 | 840, 839 | 306,746 | 222, 232 | 1,292,017 | 303, 156 | 163, 061 | 371, 127 | 197,060 | 563, 266 |
| Time deposi Apr. 22. | 2,937,916 | 172,371. | 460, 382 | 41,052 | 427, 989 | 119,220 | 143,444 | 654, 546 | 143, 161 | 69,735 | 104,513 | 59,841 | 541,652 |
| Apr. 27 | 2,938,468 | 170, 945 | 462,513 | 41,265 | 428,750 | 118,824 | 142, 870 | 653, 791 | 142, 839 | 69,716 | 104,955 | 60, 577 | 541,423 |
| May 4 | 2, 941, 238 | 173,807 | 455,096 | 41,210 | 427,291 | 120,238 | 145,573 | 655,463 | 143, 144 | 71,924 | 10.4, 560 | 60, 474 | 542,478 |
| May 11 | 2,947,242 | 177, 923 | 455, 073 | 41,450 | 427,705 | 120, 716 | 140, 623 | 654,611 | 143,636 | 72,450 | 105,043 | 60, 199 | 541,813 |
| May 18. | 3,044,910 | 177, 460 | 548,296 | 41,105 | 431,018 | 121, 141 | 146,807 | 657, 571 | 143,417 | 71,843 | 104, 179 | 61, 434 | 540,639 |
| Government deposits: <br> Apr. 22. | 253,674 | 19,932 | 123,609 | 27,207 | ${ }^{23,171}$ | 6,550 | 2,323 | 23,543 | 6,370 | 5,876 | 3,019 | 2,096 | 9,978 |
| Apr. 27 | ${ }_{2}^{251,073}$ | 19,757 | 123,609 | 25,386 | 23,246 | 6,535 | 2,254 | 23,543 | 6,311 | 5,876 | 3,019 | 1,633 | 9,904 |
| May 4 | 210, 336 | 16,615 | 102, 869 | 18,994 | 19,442 | 5,475. | 2,017 | 20,591 | 5,597 | 5,400 | 3,538 | 1,532 | 8,316 |
| May 11. | 168, 360 | 13,204 | 78,555 | 17,708 | 15,221 | 4,275 | 1,677 | 17,190 | 4,707 | 4,851 | 3,041 | 1,230 | 6,701 |
| May 18. | 247,736 | 20,999 | 112,348 | 25,437 | 19,752 | 6,715 | 2,217 | 27,374 | 8,037 | 7,654 | 5,637 | 2,387 | 9,159 |
| Bills payable with Feaeral Reserve Bank: Secured by United States Government obliga-tions- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. 22. | 516,754 | 14,765 | 232,639 | 42, 144 | 35,643 | 28, 902 | 25,118 | 66,371 | 16,144 | 6,249 | 16,237 | 4,992 | 26, 550 |
| Apr. 27. | 488, 834 | 13, 873 |  | 42, 154 | - ${ }_{40}^{33,403}$ | 28, 182 | 25, 2228 | 61,081 <br> 60 <br> 887 | 13,762 | 5,422 | 16,666 | 4,747 <br> 4,348 | 27, ${ }^{2827}$ |
| May ${ }^{4} 1$ | 469,628 509,351 5 | 12,987 12 | 199,056 248,431 | 38,241 | 40, <br> 48 <br> 43 <br> 185 | 25, ${ }^{2588}$ | 23,214 | 60,787 59,294 | 15,490 | 5,033 4,242 | 15,232 | 4,348 4,049 | 28,501 |
| May 18. | 372,565 | 12, 320 | 126, 509 | 41,487 | 19,913 | 27, 132 | 23,256 | 56, 186 | 15,989 | 4,888 | 9,784 | 4,539 | 30, 563 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { All other- } \\ & \text { Apr. } 22 . \end{aligned}$ | 1,525 |  |  |  |  | 183 | 135 | 130 |  | 357 |  | 100 | 620 |
| Apr. 27. | 1,503 |  |  |  | 35 | 325 | 232 | 130 |  | 61 |  | 100 | 620 |
| May 4. | 1,245 |  |  |  | 35 |  |  | 139 |  | 350 |  | 880 | 620 |
| May 11 | 1,987 |  |  |  | 35 | 350 | 45 | 120 95 |  | 292 | 3 | 885 1,075 | 620 560 |

PRINCIPAL RESOURCES AND LIABILITIES OF MEMBER BANKS IN LEADING CITIES ON FRIDAY，APR．22，AND ON WEDNESDAYS FROM APR． 27 TO MAY 18， 1921 －Continued．
1．ALL REPORTING MEMBER BANKS IN EACH FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICT．
［In thousands of dollars．］

|  | Total． | Boston． | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Now } \\ & \text { York. } \end{aligned}$ | Phila del－ phia． | Cleve－ land． | Rich－ mond． | $\begin{aligned} & \text { At- } \\ & \text { lanta. } \end{aligned}$ | Chicago． | St． | Minne－ apolis． | Kanssas City． | Dallas． | San Fran－ cisco． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal Reserve Bank； |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Serured by United |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| States Govern－ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ment obliga－ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tions－ Apr 22. | 190， 217 |  |  | 33，718 |  |  |  | 16，960 | 4，411 | 1，157 | 4，076 | 659 |  |
| Apr． 27. | 188， 323 | 9,978 | 98， 823 | 34，647 | 6，787 | 2，874 | 6，332 | 15，687 | 4， 134 | ${ }^{1} 505$ | 3，450 | 700 | 4，406 |
| May $4 .$. | 186，175 | 7，646 | 97， 479 | 35，938 | 6，548 | 2，939 | 6，359 | 16， 214 | 4， 106 | 716 | 3，086 | 612 | 4，533 |
| May 11. | 177，498 | 9， 858 | 90， 809 | 33， 759 | 6，620 | 2，499 | 6，283 | 15，731 | 2，777 | 702 | 3，022 | 717 | 4，321 |
| May 18. | 171，412 | 8，126 | 87，903 | 34， 142 | 6，568 | 2，695 | 5，488 | 14，932 | 2，816 | 913 | 3.082 | 602 | 4，142 |
| All other－． |  | 55， 804 | 263，789 | 31，617 | 76，449 | 45， 132 | 31，435 | 186，122 | 31，390 | 27，818 | 41，092 | 16，354 |  |
| Apr． 27. | 844,315 | 50，296 | 242，142 | 29，968 | 75， 930 | 46， 922 | 29，671 | 184， 885 | 32，967 | 28， 779 | 38，898 | 16，938 | 67，721 |
| May 4 | 875,979 | 55，508 | 264，328 | 29， 192 | 80，994 | 48， 499 | 30，477 | 182， 499 | 30，947 | 26， 152 | 37，207 | 17， 406 | 72，770 |
| $\text { May } 11 .$ | 817， 931 | 52,241 46,324 |  |  | 81,157 74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 71， 660 |
| May 18. | 767，708 | 46，324 | 226，853 | 32，661 | 74，790 | 43，518 | 26，702 | 141，664 | 20，205 | 32，811 | 31，553 | 13，978 | 64，649 |

2．MEMBER BANKS IN FEDERAL RESERVE BANK CITIES．

| Number of reporting banks： |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Apr．22．．．．．．．．．．．．． | 284 |
| Apr． 27 | 284 |
| May 4. | 284 |
| May 11 | 284 |
| May 18. | 284 |
| Loans and discounts， including bills redis－ counted with Federal |  |
|  |  |
| Secured by United |  |
| States Govern－ ment obliga． |  |
| tions－ |  |
| Apr． 22 | 539， 586 |
| Apr． 27. | 344， 474 |
| May 4 | 551，485 |
| May 11 | 543,086 |
| May 18．．．．．．．．． | 525，638 |
| Secured by stocks and bonds（other |  |
| than United |  |
| States Govern－ |  |
| ment obliga－ |  |
| tions）－ |  |
| Apr． 22. | 2，046，709 |
| Apr． 27. | 2，055， 876 |
| May 4. | 2，082， 032 |
| May 11 | 2， 73,721 |
| May 18. | 2，085， 550 |
| All other－ | 5，632，177 |
| Apr． 27. | 5，632，177 |
| May 4. | 5，588， 640 |
| May 11. | 5，553， 405 |
| May 18. | 5，474，425 |
| Total loans and dis－ |  |
| counts，including bills |  |
| rediscounted with |  |
| Federal Roserve |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| Apr． 27 | $8,199,384$ |
| May 4. | 8，222， 157 |
| May 11. | 8，170， 212 |
| May 18．．．．．．．．．．．． | 8，085，613 |
| United States bonds： |  |
| Apr． 22 | 437，506 |
| Apr． 27. | 439， 938 |
| May 4．． | 438，243 |
| May 11．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | 443，229 |
| United States Victory |  |
|  |  |
| Apr． 22. | 107， 163 |
| Apr．27．．．．．．．．．．．．． | 108， 830 |
| May 4 | 107，118 |
| May 11. | 106， 382 |
| May 18．．．．．．．．．．．．． | 105， 865 |
| United States certifi－ cates of indebtedness： |  |
| Apr．22．．．．．．．．．．．．． | 163，810 |
| Apr． 27. | 154，647 |
| May 4. | 141， 327 |
| May 11. | 135， 121 |
| May 18. | 159， 848 |


|  |  | 『す0000 <br>  |  연 |  <br>  |  |  |  \＆\＆idisind | N心N్రీ\％ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ¢0\％ | 島島或N |  |  | Kiogiodeie | Tisioueit |  | N00．0we |  |
| 80\％ | ¢0\％\％ |  |  |  |  |  | －Ety | マママゴコン |
|  | crogesos |  | ¢ |  | だす\％\％ | － | \％ |  |
|  | Weeme |  | 8，${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | CNOMN | $0,0, \infty \infty 0 \infty$ Bisw |  <br>  | NMEN： <br>  |  む్N心 | からすがす <br>  | 00000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | NWN | 890： <br>  | s． <br>  |  <br>  | asonsen <br>  | 厄゙రびす |
|  |  | 出出山出 <br>  | Piseseg <br>  |  ※ | 0000050 <br>  | Nojocosio <br>  | $\infty \infty \infty$ |
| 馬ずすご <br>  | 恣余甜家 | 管㤍然窓品 |  |  <br>  | Mw wew <br>  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  | \％osgege | Nただい |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \％ix | 忒发岕出苟 |  | ¢\％\％\％ |  |  | O\％940 | らめらいい |


|  |  | － |  | 效気氝氝 |  | $\bigcirc \times \cos$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |




PRINCIPAL RESOURCES AND LIABILITIES OF MEMBER BANKS IN LEADING CITIES ON FRIDAY, APR. 22, AND ON WEDVESDAYS FROM APR. 27 TO MAY 18, 1921-Continued.

## 2. MEMBER BANKS IN FEDERAL RESERVE BANK CITIES-Continued.

[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | Total. | Boston. | New | Phila-delphia. | Cleveland. | Richmond. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { At- } \\ & \text { lanta. } \end{aligned}$ | Chicago. | St. Louis. | Minne apolis. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Kansas } \\ & \text { City. } \end{aligned}$ | Dallas. | San <br> Francisco. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other bonds, stocks, and securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. $22 . . . . . . . . . .$. | 1,122, 263 | 51,940 | 563, 479 | 126,281 | 67,339 | 4,317 | 4,457 | 144,233 | 42,588 | 8,365 | 10,975 | 3, 164 | 95,125 |
| Apr. 27. | 1,135, 955 | 52, 338 | 579, 355 | 123, 209 | 67,670 | 4,317 | 3,245 | 144,914 <br> 141 <br> 1800 | 42, 473 | 8,444 | 10, 922 | 2, 866 | 93,002 |
| May 11 | 1,106,836 | 51, 563 | -575, 500 | ${ }_{125,857}^{126,026}$ | 66,971 | 4,256 4,261 | 3,237 | 1410,993 | 41,500 | ${ }_{8}^{8} 836$ | 10,812 | 3,103 | 96,675 95,884 |
| May 18... | 1,123,415 | 53, 104 | 555,272 | 127,339 | 71, 907 | 4,259 | 3,215 | 149, 420 | 41, 440 | 8,617 | 11,341 | 3,121 | 94, 380 |
| Total loans and discounts, and investments, including bills rediscounted with Federal Reserve Bank: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. 22. | 10,049, 214 | 734, 293 | 5, 040,546 | 792,985 | 505, 851 | 106, 530 | 76,437 | 1,388,596 | 360, 308 | 147, 982 | 194,770 | 80,039 | 620,877 |
| Apr. 27 | 10,038, 748 | 732,834 | 5, 019,574 | 790, 010 | 504, 273 | 104, 198 | 74, 132 | 1, 400, 807 | 361, 869 | 147, 510 | 195, 199 | 80, 513 | 627, 829 |
| May 4 | 10,042, 054 | 733,695 | 5, 024,028 | 784, 289 | 506, 546 | 104,738 | 74, 416 | 1, 410, 145 | 359, 243 | 145, 745 | ${ }_{191}^{193,195}$ | 80,382 | 625,632 |
| May 11 | 9,961,780 | 729, 290 | 4, 965, 516 | 779,991 | 506, 128 | 103,339 | 74, 542 | 1,407,769 | 354,973 | 144, 532 | 191,951 | 80, 606 | 623,143 |
| Reserve with Federal Reserve Bank: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. 22. | 905, 134 | 58,899 | 540, 503 | 57,565 | 26, 805 | 4,898 | 4, 871 | 124,756 | 29,014 | 9,209 | 15,149 | 4,848 | 28,617 |
| Apr. 27. | 913,417 | 58,643 | 547,220 | 57, 405 | 27, 486 | 5,227 | 3,494 | 124,506 | 30, 205 | 7,748 | 13, 733 | 6,233 | 31,516 |
| May 4 | 924, 246 | 58, 882 | 551, 734 | 53, 601 | 28, 384 | 4, 602 | 5,005 | 129,648 | 31, 120 | 8,254 | 14,409 | 4,786 | 30, 821 |
| May 11. | 922, 269 | 59,749 | 546, 508 | 57,978 | 26, 143 | 5,544 | 4, 863 | 130, 012 | 28,911 | 7,984 | 14, 954 | 4,557 | 35, 066 |
| May 18.... | 905, 157 | 61,265 | 530, 124 | 58,743 | 27, 438 | 4,759 | 4,457 | 128, 010 | 29, 993 | 8,270 | 13, 119 | 5,877 | 33, 102 |
| Cash in vault: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. 27. | 193, 510 | 14, 107 | 99,959 | 15, 879 | 7,491 | 1,013 | 1,881 | 33, 231 | 3,751 | 2,284 | 3, 206 | 1,606 | 9,102 |
| May 4. | 188, 524 | 13,255 | 97,665 | 14,669 | 7,760 | 1,088 | 1,577 | 32, 395 | 3,729 | 2,201 | 3,221 | 1,879 | 9,085 |
| May 11. | 195, 848 | 13, 837 | 99,487 | 15, 182 | 9,438 | 1,075 | 1,740 | 32, 743 | 3,602 | 2,454 | 3,410 | 3,410 | 9,470 |
| May 18. | 187,050 | 13,035 | 95, 394 | 15, 195 | 8,508 | I, 101 | 1,664 | 31,691 | 3,836 | 2,112 | 3,343 | 1,811 | 9,360 |
| Apr. 22. | 7,068,069 | 559, 143 | 4, 055,860 | 551, 366 | 197, 568 | 45, 106 | 36,061 | 883,069 | 212, 266 | 80,988 | 136, 352 | 54, 291 | 255,999 |
| Apr. 37. | 7,080, 147 | 559, 854 | 4, $4,62,354$ | 549, 608 | 199, 351 | 43,918 | 35, 665 | 891, 116 | 214,797 | 78, 884 | 135, 034 | 53, 521 | 256,045 |
| May 4 | 7, 166,087 | 567,786 | 4,134,245 | 550,395 | 204, 195 | 45, 431 | 35,974 | 894, 971 | 213,487 | 78, 591 | 137,472 | 53, 504 | 250,036 |
| May 11. | 7,175,423 | 568,482 | 4, 102,083 | 558,383 | 200, 873 | 45, 856 | 36,911 | 920, 049 | 213,935 | 79,524 | 137,904 | 55,521 | 255,902 |
| May 18. | 7, 068, 513 | 573, 308 | 4, 027, 569 | 546, 849 | 206. 794 | 45,554 | 35, 265 | 904, 946 | 207, 654 | 74, 377 | 135, 099 | 54,353 | 256, 745 |
| Apr. 22. | 1,373,663 | 67, 849 | 296,768 | 28, 872 | 229,518 | 23,643 | 21,920 | 314,710 | 82,212 | 27,442 | 15,017 | 7,645 | 258,067 |
| Apr. 27 | 1, 377,061 | 67,028 | 300, 387 | 29,015 | 229,943 | 23,641 | 21, 878 | 314, 374 | 82,076 | 27, 523 | 14,968 | 7,630 | 258, 598 |
| May 4. | 1,371, 986 | 68,788 | 293,261 | 28,936 | 228, 401 | 23, 623 | 21,969 | 314, 312 | 82,452 | 28, 291 | 14, 894 | 7,632 | 259,427 |
| May 11. | 1,372,941 | 70, 000 | 293,060 | 29, 147 | 228, 543 | 23, 590 | 22, 031 | 313, 586 | 82,444 | 28, 711 | 14,965 | 7,240 | 259,618 |
| May 18............ | 1, 472, 662 | 70, 058 | 386, 326 | 28,724 | 233, 795 | 23,545 | 22, 073 | 315,706 | 82,318 | 28, 510 | 14, 908 | 7,243 | 259, 456 |
| Government deposits: <br> Apr. 22. | 198, 860 | 16, 050 | 119, 375 | 26,386 | 3,337 | 874 | 275 | 13,606 | 4,899 | 2,810 | 2,434 | 1,601 | 7,213 |
| Apr. 27 | 196, 471 | 16, 000 | 119,375 | 24, 624 | 3,337 | 874 | 270 | 13, 606 | 4,789 | 2, 810 | 2,434 | 1,211 | 7,141 |
| May 4. | 162, 768 | 13, 571 | 99,285 | 18,437 | 2,670 | 691 | 234 | 12,075 | 4,220 | 2,564 | 2,067 | 1,136 | 5,812 |
| May 11. | 129,399 | 10,748 | 75,758 | 17, 193 | 1,885 | 501 | 205 | 10, 216 | 3,569 | 2,285 | 1,640 | 895 | 4, 504 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. 22.......... | 333, 294 | 11,725 | 208,043 | 39,178 | 6,625 | 7,242 | 705 | 20,905 | 9,824 | 2,132 | 8, 828 |  | 18,087 |
| Apr. 27. | 318,631 <br> 298 <br> 800 | 10, ${ }^{1} 28$ | 195,826 | 39,460 | 5,743 | 6,160 | 705 | 18,945 | 9,496 | 2,182 | 9,546 |  | 20,040 |
| May 11 | 292, 333,736 | $\stackrel{9}{9,096}$ | 226,987 | 32, 223 | 5,525 | 6, ${ }_{628}^{4,188}$ | 705 | 19, 101 | 9,822 |  | 7, ${ }^{7} 841$ |  | 19,325 |
| May 18. | 221, 604 | 9,016 | 105, 219 | 38,225 | 4, 273 | 6,599 | 705 | 22, 384 | 10, 139 | 994 | 3,667 |  | 20,383 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { All other- } \\ & \text { Apr. } 22 \end{aligned}$ | 130 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 130 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. 27. | 130 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 130 |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 4 | 130 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 130 |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 11. | 805 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 120 |  |  |  | 885 |  |
| May 18. | 890 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 95 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bills rediscounted withFederal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State3 Government obliga- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr, 22.......... | 157,883 | 11,003 | 96,400 | 33,588 | 855 |  | 673 | 9,405 | 2,838 | 481 | 1,265 | 82 | 1,293 |
| Apr. 27. | 158, 612 | 9,612 | 97, 107 | 34,517 | 838 |  | 661 | 8,448 | 2,560 | 487 | 1,197 | 64 | 3,121 |
| May | 156, 732 | 7,280 | 95,786 | 35, 808 | 570 |  | 553 | 9,068 | 2,621 | 670 | 1,141 | 68 | 3,167 |
| May 11. | 148, 176 | 9,417 | 89, 109 | 33,629 | 527 |  | 381 | 8,790 | 1,451 | 638 | 1,064 | 50 | 3,120 |
| May 18. | 145, 163 | 7,769 | 86, 324 | 34, 041 | 600 |  | 239 | 9,853 | 1,578 | 660 | 1,115 | 44 | 2,940 |
| All other- 22 | 610,025 | 55, 129 | 237,976 | 30, 014 | 56, 435 | 10,545 | 5,579 | 108, 207 | 17,931 | 20,290 | 19,334 | 5,498 |  |
| Apr. 27. | 580, 807 | 49, 316 | 214,907 | 28, 550 | 55, 488 | 10, 891 | 4,089 | 111,525 | 17, 494 | 20, 105 | 18, 221 | 6,641 | 42,980 |
| May 4 | 620, 022 | 54,513 | 239, 337 | 28,071 | 62, 461 | 12,002 | 5,022 | 110,961 | 16,385 | 18,900 | 18, 124 | 6,702 | 47,544 |
| May 11......... | 580, 430 | 51, 071 | 231, 351 | 27, 876 | 62,989 | 10,663 | 4,170 | 91, 058 | 13,044 | 17,731 | 18,098 | 6,437 | 45,942 |
| May 15.......... | 532,634 | 44, 750 | 202, 491 | 31, 840 | 53, 588 | 9,349 | 3,676 | 83, 362 | 16, 004 | 23,561 | 17,748 | 4,461 | 41, 804 |

PRINCIPAL RESOURCES AND LYABILITIES OF MEMBER BANKS IN LEADING CITIES ON FRIDAY, APR. 22, AND ON WEDNESDAYS FROM APR. 27 TO MAY 18, 1921-Continued.
3. MEmber banks in federal Reserve branch cities.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Foot notes on page 750.

PRINCIPAL RESOURCES AND LIABILITIES OF MEMBER BANKS IN LEADING CITIES ON FRIDAY, APR. 22, AND ON
3. MEMBER BANKS IN FEDERAL RESERVE BRANCH CITIES-Continued.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | Total. | ${ }_{\text {New }}^{\text {Nork }}$ (istrict. ${ }^{\text {N }}$ | Clevedistrict. ${ }^{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rich- } \\ \text { mond } \\ \text { district. } \end{gathered}$ | Atlanta district. ${ }^{4}$ | Chicago district. 5 | St. Louis district. ${ }^{6}$ | Minnedistrict.? | $\begin{gathered} \text { Kansas } \\ \text { Cistrict. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dallas } \\ & \text { dis- } \\ & \text { trict. } \end{aligned}$ | San <br> Francisco district. 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net demand deposits: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. $22 . .$. | 1, 594, 953 | 153,380 | 466,920 461,016 | 98, 812 | 150,031 | 155, 884 | 85, 397 | 5,058 | 140, 252 | 60, 207 | 279,012 |
| May 4. | 1,591,947 | 155,844 | 463,653 | 100, 73 | 147, 322 | 161,060 | -85,844 | 4, 827 | 140,124 | 60,050 | 272, 850 |
| May 11. | 1,602, 759 | 156,388 | 456,740 | 99, 306 | 149, 347 | 170,751 | 87,498 | 4, 826 | 140, 958 | 59,967 | 276, 978 |
| May 18. | 1,604,564 | 155, 428 | 467, 139 | 99,387 | 147, 520 | 171,573 | 85, 824 | 4, 808 | 138,045 | 58,918 | 275, 922 |
| Time deposits: | 913, 299 | 69,786 | 117,482 | 21,381 | 83, 841 | 210,778 | 51,200 | 3, 161 | 62,032 | 23,446 | 270, 192 |
| Apr. 27. | 911, 842 | 68, 540 | 117,910 | 21,344 | 83,356 | 210,331 | 51,072 | 3,163 | 62,440 | 24,287 | 269, 399 |
| May 4. | 915, 840 | 67,535 | 118, 072 | 21,412 | 85,919 | 212,331 | 50, 988 | 3,176 | 62,266 | 24,399 | 269, 742 |
| May 11 | 917, 401 | 67,480 | 118, 687 | 21,592 | 87, 518 | 211,901 | 51, 502 | 3, 174 | 62,246 | 24,549 | 268,752 |
| $\xrightarrow[\text { May } 18 .]{\text { Government d }}$ | 916, 749 | 67,369 | 117,739 | 21,600 | 87,532 | 212, 223 | 51, 404 | 3,173 | 62, 439 | 25,614 | 267, 656 |
| Apr. $22 .$. | 33,323 | 2,499 | 18,418 | 3,396 | 1,723 | 3,201 | 1,471 |  | 157 | 405 | 2,053 |
| Apr. 27 | 33, 316 | 2, 499 | 18,493 | 3,391 | 1,669 | 3,201 | 1, 522 |  | 157 | 332 | 2,052 |
| May 4 | 29,693 | 2,137 | 15,710 | 3,052 | 1,511 | 2,620 | 1,347 |  | 1,143 | 311 | 1,862 |
| May 11. | 24,477 | 1,689 | 12,729 | 2,693 | 1,270 | 1,938 | 1,138 |  | 1, 126 | 258 | 1,636 |
| May $18 .$. | 35, 560 | 1,922 | 14,771 | 4,487 | 1,733 | 9, 080 | 983 |  | 1,374 | 349 | 861 |
| Bills payable with Federal Reserve Bank: Secured by United States Government obligations- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. 22 | 127, 298 | 15, 573 | 27, 519 | 10,395 | 22,605 | 32,194 | 5,807 | 72 | 4,396 | 1,816 | 6,921 |
| Apr. 27 | 118, 184 | 14, 167 | 26, 005 | 9,835 | 21,715 | 30, 811 | 3,753 | 122 | 4, 107 | 1,709 | 5,980 |
| May ${ }^{4}$ | 125, 207 | 14, 422 | 32,565 | 8,996 | 19,890 | 30, 556 | 4, 819 | 122 | 4, 876 | 1,080 | 7,881 |
| May 11. May 18. | 123,845 100,213 | 14,956 15,297 | 35,577 13,692 | 7,836 8,797 | 18,850 | 29,736 23,856 | 4,574 5,465 | 122 | 2,982 2,588 | +930 | 8, 8182 |
| All May 18. | 100,213 | 15,297 | 13,692 | 8,797 | 19,858 | 23, 856 | 5,465 | 122 | 2,588 | 1,390 | 9,148 |
| Apr. 22. | 1,093 |  |  | 183 | 135 |  |  | 75 |  | 100 | 600 |
| Apr. 27 | 1,257 |  |  | 325 | 232 |  |  |  |  | 100 | 600 |
| May 4 | 778 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 78 |  | 100 | 600 |
| May 11 | 845 |  |  | 350 | 45 |  |  |  |  | 200 | 600 |
| ills rediscounted with Federal Reserve | 1,143 |  |  | 350 |  |  |  |  | 53 | 200 | 540 |
| Bank: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secured by United States Government obligations- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr.22......... | 22, 535 | 931 | 5,563 | 1,906 | 4,691 | 5,113 | 1,573 |  | 1,270 | 259 | 1,229 |
| Apr. 27. | 21,151 | 931 | 5,545 | 2,007 | 3,814 | 5,016 | 1,574 |  | 801 | 259 | 1,204 |
| May 4. | 20,528 | 915 | 5,585 | 1,768 | 3, 844 | 4, 821 | 1,485 |  | 678 | 182 | 1,250 |
| May 11. | 20,223 17,752 | 922 <br> 858 | 5,632 <br> 5,617 | 1,442 1,792 | 4, 111 | +4,831 | 1, 1,238 |  | ${ }_{6}^{693}$ | 182 170 | 1,084 1,085 |
| All other- |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,238 |  |  |  | 1,085 |
| Apr. 22. | 137,677 | 16,727 | 12,823 | 15, 220 | 14,292 | 25, 846 | 12, 860 | 470 | 12,942 | 5,239 | 21,258 |
| Apr. 27. | 134, 125 | 16,878 | 12, 1212 | 16, 066 | 14, 212 | 20, 868 | 14,691 | 656 | 11, 499 | 4,421 | 22, 022 |
| May 11. | 129,737 116,336 | $\xrightarrow{15,470} \mathbf{1 4 , 2 4 4}$ | 10,747 <br> 10,200 | -16,707 | 13,966 <br> 9,964 | - | 13,820 <br> 13,039 | 663 655 | $\begin{array}{r}10,585 \\ 9,425 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 4, 474 4,071 | - 22,271 |
| May 18. | 112,952 | 16,069 | 13, 744 | 15,740 | 12,292 | 8,450 | 12, 791 | 745 | 9,353 | 3,791 | 19,977 |

1 Buffalo.
${ }_{8}^{2}$ Pittsburgh and Cincinnati.
${ }^{8}$ Baltimore.
4 New Orleans, Jacksonville, Birmingham, and Nashville,

- Detroit.


## BANK DEBITS.

Debits to individual accounts are indicators of the volume of the Nation's business and are subject to fairly regular fluctuations on alternate weeks, as the result of the larger volume of transactions on weeks containing the last day and the middle day of each month. For this reason, general upward and downward tendencies are not easily apparent. During the present year the first two months showed an unmistakable seasonal downward trend, reflecting the reaction after the increased volume of business caused by the Christmas trade and end-of-year settlements. For the week ending February 23 the low
${ }^{6}$ Louisville, Memphis, and Little Rock.
${ }^{7}$ Helena.
8 Helena. Denver, and Oklahoma City.
9
${ }_{10}$ Spokane, Portland, Seattle, Salt Lake City, and Los Angeles.
figure of $\$ 6,481,000,000$ is reported. The month of March shows rather larger than average fluctuations, apparently as a consequence of exceptionally heavy Government operations in connection with the March 15 installment of income and excess profits taxes. The last week in March saw the lowest volume of debits for the year- $\$ 6,393,000,000$. Since that time the weekly alternations have continued, but each successive low has been somewhat higher than its predecessor. Thus, the low for April 27 was $\$ 6,910,000,000$, while the low for May 25 was $\$ 6,992,000,000$. It appears, therefore, that there is a slight but unmistakable upward tendency in volume of debits for the last two months.


Comparison of the movement of debits for the five weeks under review with that for the corresponding period of 1920 shows that the general fluctuations for the two years have been substantially parallel, although this year's fluctuations were considerably more pronounced than last year's. The average volume of debits for the most recent five weeks was about 19 per cent below the average
amount for the corresponding period in 1920. This lag compares favorably with the 25 per cent difference reported for the previous four weeks. For New York City, which showed a reduction in the volume of debits earlier last year than did the rest of the country, this year's weekly figures are only 16 per cent below those of last year.

DEBITS TO INDIVIDUAL ACCOUNTS AT CLEARING-HOUSE BANKS.
SUMmARY BY FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICTS.
[In thousands of dollars.]

| Federal Reserve District. | $\xrightarrow[\text { Week ending- }]{1921}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{1920}{\text { Week ending- }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of centers included | Apr. 27. | May 4. | May 11. | May 18. | May 25. | Apr. 28. | May 5. | May 12. | May 19. | May 26. |
| Boston. | 14 | 337, 968 | 381,326 | 371,723 | 423,147 | 350,134 | 472,584 | 480,497 | 501, 830 | 510,452 | 482, 595 |
| New York | 7 | 3,633,454 | 4,383, 619 | 3,951,005 | 4, 769,214 | 3, 728,729 | 5, 153,062 | 4, 999, 839 | 4,821,757 | 4,675, 732 | 4,830,296 |
| ${ }^{\text {Philadelphi }}$ | 10 | 341,057 406,658 | 367,029 <br> 427,353 | 325,921 <br> 402,237 | 385,837 <br> 446,548 | 357,572 <br> 382,354 | +416,566 | 432,265 501,336 | 446, 447 501268 | 445,657 <br> 527,157 | 371,444 <br> 523 |
| Richmond | ${ }_{1} 7$ | 132, 372 | -162,862 | 142, 062 | 143, 400 | 143,785 | 179, 923 | - 2077 | 176, 976 | -198, 090 | ${ }_{179}$ |
| Atlanta. | 15 | 159,207 | 197, 860 | 171, 501 | 176,474 | 152, 659 | 246,772 | 268, 163 | 247, 556 | 261, 786 | 248, 581 |
| Chicago. | 22 | 844, 806 | 999,977 | 831,632 | 964,083 | 841,068 | 1,046,472 | 1,241,943 | 1,083, 794 | 1,195, 120 | 1,093,954 |
| St. Louis | 5 | 152, 462 | 175,512 | 166, 109 | 194,058 | 103, 684 | 215,947 | 237, 628 | 227, 757 | 253, 885 | 223, 657 |
| Minneapolis | 12 | 115, 839 | 132,247 | 126,236 | 134, 627 | 115, 594 | 160, 176 | 175, 529 | 166, 734 | 174, 542 | 169, 730 |
| Kansas City | 15 | 219,511 | 244,968 | 228, 331 | 237, 441 | 211, 975 | 299, 232 | 323, 271 | 321, 630 | 332, 797 | 306, 249 |
| Dallas.. | 13 | 114, 531 | 127,931 | 132, 142 | 134, 802 | 125, 420 | 155, 499 | 148,501 | 153,410 | 164,738 | 153,389 |
| San Francisco | 20 | 451,774 | 475,472 | 433,364 | 477,283 | 419,245 | 523, 460 | 519,663 | 568, 450 | 553,546 | 529,325 |
| Total. | 153 | 6,909,633 | 8,076, 156 | 7, 287, 313 | 8,496, 914 | 6,992, 219 | 9,381, 426 | 9,535,677 | 9,217,609 | 9,293, 502 | 9,111,513 |

Note.- Figures for the following centers, while shown in the body of the statement, are not included in the summary, complete data for these centersnot bemg available for each week under review: Harrisburg, Pa.; Johnstown, Pa.; Reading, Pa.; Cincinnati, Ohio; Greenville, S. C.; Huntington, W. Va.; Washington, D. C.; Wilmington, N. C.; Moline, IM.; Springfield, III.; East St. Louis and National Stock Yards, Ill.; Quincy, Inl.; Springfield, Mo.; Atchison, Kans.

DATA FOR EACH REPORTING CENTER.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | Week ending- |  |  |  |  | 1920 <br> Week ending- |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Apr. 27. | May 4. | May 11. | May 18. | May 25. | Apr. 28. | May 5. | May 12. | May 19. | May 26. |
| Distriet No. 1-Boston: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bangor........... | 3,433 1210,361 | ${ }_{1}^{441,160}$ | 3,668 1238,590 | 1277,142 | 1221,989 | 5,310 302,232 | 3,617 297,204 | 3,591 320,228 | 3,837 318,010 | 3,530 310,634 |
| Boston. | 278, 715 | 314, 500 | 309, 765 | 350, 881 | 285, 907 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fall River | 5,654 | 5,582 | 5,464 | 5,411 | 5,367 | 9,315 | 11,633 | 10,298 | 10,064 | 11,23i |
| Hartford. | 18,167 | 22, 128 | 19,289 | 22, 818 | 18, 820 | 22,628 | 27, 125 | 29,768 | 24, 509 |  |
| Holyoke. | 2,933 4 4 | 2,897 | 2,847 4 4 | ${ }_{2}^{2,803}$ | 2,780 | 4,786 | 4,358 | 4, 113 | 4,835 | 4,490 |
| Lowell... | 4,366 4,283 | 4, ${ }^{4,978}$ | 4,336 4,465 | 5,380 4,842 | 4,227 3,918 | 6,217 4,677 | 5, 896 5,008 | 6,297 5,055 | 7,022 | 6,248 4,879 |
| New Bedford | 5,156 | 5,395 | 5,327 | 6,686 | 5,179 | 9,108 | 8,416 | 9,710 | 11, 430 | 9,211 |
| New Haven. | 14,356 | 18,411 | 16,544 | 17, 332 | 15, 547 | 17,506 | 20,916 | 21,121 | 20, 337 | 18,786 |
| Portland. | 7,171 | 7,824 | 7,140 | 7,720 | 6,297 | 9, 588 | 8,833 | 8,411 | 8,694 | 8,003 |
| Providence | 29, 285 | 31,937 | 31, 181 | 34, 486 | 29,040 | 38, 163 | 43, 224 | 40, 429 | 45, 762 | 40,068 |
| Springfield | 12,821 | 12,835 | 12,769 | 13,656 | 11,949 | 16, 871 | 17,266 | 15,397 | 19,966 | 17, 041 |
| Waterbury | 5,478 14,524 | 6,447 14,341 | 5,430 14,373 | 5,550 15,700 | 5,858 15,942 | 6,907 19,276 | 7,327 19,674 | 7,203 20,209 | 8,771 21,991 | 7,187 18,740 |
| District No. 2 - N ew Y O | 14,54 |  |  |  |  |  | 19,674 | 20,209 | 21,991 | 18,740 |
| Albany.. | 33, 128 | 38,997 | 30, 081 | 48,339 | 33, 116 | 25,561 | 36,897 | 28,623 | 22,058 | 24, 858 |
| Binghamton. | 3,427 | 3,871 | 3,691 | 4, 292 | 3,723 | 4, 143 | 4,613 | 4, 884 | 4,908 | 4,836 |
| Butfalo.. | $\begin{array}{r}52,261 \\ 3,499,03 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | - 60, 007 | 53, 446 | 61,621 | 54, 741 | 61, 624 | 69,781 | 68,499 | 72, 054 | 75, 224 |
| New York | 3, 499,083 | 4, 230, 772 | 3, 819, 830 | 4,605, 548 | 3,591, 329 | 5,008, 128 | 4, 830, 094 | 4, 666, 599 | 4,515, 498 | 4, 670,039 |
| Passaic. | 4, 390 29,148 | 5,009 30,146 | 5,023 25,025 | $\begin{array}{r}5,479 \\ 29,392 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}4,619 \\ 27,138 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}5,934 \\ 30,788 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 4,940 34,662 | 51, 583 | -6,207 | 5, 652 |
| Syracuse. | 12,017 | 14,817 | 13, 909 | 14, 533 | 14,063 | 16,684 | 18,852 | 16,348 | 19,709 | 17,718 |
| District No. 3-Philadel Altoona. | 3,111 | 3,325 | 2,896 | 3,524 |  |  |  |  | 3,485 |  |
| Chester.. | 3,927 | 4,734 | 4, 398 | 4, 792 | 3,812 | 5,303 | 5,708 | 5,705 | 5,688 | 5, 375 |
| Harrisburg | 5,945 | 6,763 | 5,817 | 6,545 | 6, 174 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Johnstown. | 5,095 | 5,781 | 5,160 | 4,649 | 4, 811 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lancaster. | 4,634 | 5,314 | 4, 579 | 5,071 | 4, 233 | 5,381 | 5,840 | 5,488 | 5,952 | 5,902 |
| Philadelphia. | 280,751 656 | 304, 528 | 263, 674 | 320, 858 | 297, 731 | 350, 597 | 365,058 | 377,033 | 378, 693 | 302, 329 |
| Reading. |  |  | 8,920 | 8,089 | 9,214 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scranton. | 16,663 10,203 | 11,590 | 17,267 10,234 | 13,613 11,590 | 17,134 9,531 | 15,645 | 12,992 | 16,362 12,269 | 12,514 14,176 | 16,237 12,695 |
| Wilkes-Barre | 8,309 | -9,322 | 8,001 | 9, 352 | 7, 830 | 8,054 | 9,393 | 8,311 | 8,140 | -8,945 |
| Williamsport | 3,649 | 4,130 | 4,053 | 4, 148 | 3,988 | 3,938 | 4,105 | 4,352 | 3,609 | 3,978 |
| Wilmington. | $\stackrel{6,006}{ }$ | 7,512 | 7,264 | 8,378 | 6,314 | 7,888 | 8,328 | 8,489 | 8,474 | 8,256 |
|  | 3,804 | 4,072 | 3,555 | 4,601 | 3,899 | 4,724 | 4,247 | 4,746 | 4,926 | 4, 327 |
| Akron... | 12,390 | 16,879 | 16, 534 | 16,389 | 13,140 | 23, 127 | 23, 161 | 29,968 | 32,752 | 30, 717 |
| Cincinnati | 53, 093 | 56, 600 | 56, 392 | 66, 560 | 54,937 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cleveland. | 117,046 | 133,788 | 125, 837 | 137, 134 | 108,757 | 150,646 | 164,926 | 159,309 | 176, 820 | 163,149 |
| Columbus. | 28, 172 | 27,315 | 25,409 | 27, 803 | 26, 264 | 27, 732 | 26, 737 | 26,722 | 29,388 | 26, 155 |
| Dayton. | 11, 843 | 12,837 | 11,955 | 11,979 | 11, 524 | 11,672 | 12,425 | 12,286 | 12, 135 | 11,852 |
| Erie.. | 5,926 | 6,429 | 6,035 | 6,459 | 6, 207 | 7,137 | 9, 232 | 7,732 | 8,004 | 7,687 |
| Greensburg | 3,664 | 4,085 | 3,316 | 4,407 | 3,732 | 5,195 | 4,503 | 3,710 | 5,317 | 4,635 |
| Lexington. | 3,614 | 4,228 | 3,884 | 3,768 | 3,093 | 5,423 | 5,511 | 5,496 | 5,209 | 4,607 |

1 Debits of banks which submitted reports in 1920.

DEBITS TO INDIVIDUAL ACCOUNTS AT CLEARING-HOUSE BANKS-Continued.
dATA FOR EACH REPORTING CENTER-Continued.
[In thousands of dollars.]

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} \& \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Week ending-} \& \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{$$
\begin{gathered}
1920 \\
\text { Week ending- }
\end{gathered}
$$} <br>
\hline \& Apr. 27. \& May 4. \& May 11. \& May 18. \& May 25. \& Apr. 28. \& May 5. \& May 12. \& May 19. \& May 26. <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{District No. 4-Cleveland-Con. Oil City Pittsburgh} \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline \& 177, 273 \& 170, 456 \& 158,785 \& 186, 620 \& 163, 116 \& 217,975 \& 199,905 \& 193, 5 50 \& 186, 193 \& 215, 2 , 818 <br>
\hline Springfield \& 3,588 \& 3,832 \& 3,939 \& 3,566 \& 4,051 \& 3,561 \& 14,059 \& -3,645 \& 3, 207 \& - 3, 393 <br>
\hline Toledo.. \& 22,451 \& 27, 269 \& 26, 813 \& 25,764 \& 23,510 \& 31, 769 \& 30,926 \& 33, 264 \& 44, 777 \& 30, 529 <br>
\hline Wheeling \& 7,399 \& 8,015 \& 6,957 \& 8,567 \& 6,963 \& 10,339 \& 8,852 \& 8,839 \& 7,982 \& 8,338 <br>
\hline Yistrict No.5-Richmond: \& 10,508 \& 9,676 \& 10,395 \& 11, 492 \& 8,989 \& 14, 232 \& 13,465 \& 13,524 \& 12,046 \& 13,924 <br>
\hline Baltimore............. \& 78,818 \& 99,716 \& 86,387 \& 95, 458 \& 90,333 \& 101, 380 \& 115,737 \& 102,794 \& 113,920 \& 102,873 <br>
\hline Charleston. \& 6,784 \& 7,120 \& 5,468 \& 5,151 \& 5,243 \& 10,755 \& 11,706 \& 11,300 \& 11,980 \& 9,975 <br>
\hline Charlotte \& 4,991 \& 6,664 \& 5,067 \& 5,851 \& 5,227 \& 9,225 \& 8, 513 \& 9,050 \& 10, 510 \& 9, 584 <br>
\hline Columbia. \& 5,319 \& 5,551 \& 4,979 \& 5, 361 \& 4,893 \& 6,338 \& 8,248 \& 7,520 \& 8,832 \& 8,553 <br>
\hline Greenville, S. C \& 2, 614 \& 3,171 \& 3,049 \& 3,363 \& 2,881 \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline Huntington. \& 4,386 \& 5,234 \& 5,315 \& 5,042 \& 4,750 \& \& \& \& \& 5,648 <br>
\hline Norfolk.. \& 11,684 \& 13,199 \& 12,190 \& 13,148 \& 12,723 \& 13, 565 \& 22,134 \& 18,113 \& 20, 091 \& 20, 663 <br>
\hline Raleigh... \& 3,800
20,976 \& 4,300
26,312 \& 4,400
23,571 \& 4,
24, 181 \& 3,950
21,416 \& 4,430
34,230 \& 3,800
36,904 \& 4,700
23,499 \& 4,800
27,957 \& 3,500
23,864 <br>
\hline Wasbington \& 33, 258 \& 37,918 \& 36,781 \& 37, 704 \& 34,492 \& \& \& \& \& 23,864 <br>
\hline Wilmington. \& 3,975 \& 6,704 \& 4,855 \& 4,646 \& 4,184 \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline District No.6-Atlanta: \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline Atlanta............. \& 19,232 \& 25,531 \& 22,864 \& 26,069 \& 21,246 \& 29, 190 \& 33, 249 \& 32,912 \& 37, 323 \& 30, 569 <br>
\hline Augusta \& 4,464 \& 6,186 \& 4,045 \& 4,961 \& 4,457 \& 9,399 \& 10,873 \& 9,115 \& \& <br>
\hline Birmingham \& 12,214 \& 15,858 \& 12,717 \& 15,313 \& 12,135 \& 116,699 \& 19,833 \& 17,273 \& 17,468 \& 17,836 <br>
\hline Chattanooga \& ${ }_{9}^{6,884}$ \& - ${ }^{8,791}$ \& 10,942 \& 11,230 \& 8,906 \& 11,193 \& 15,653 \& 12,374
14 \& 14, ${ }^{15} 53$ \& 11,900 <br>
\hline Knoxville. \& 5,560 \& 6,595 \& 6,276 \& 5,729 \& 4,614 \& 6,687 \& 7,421 \& 6,893 \& 7,462 \& 6,484 <br>
\hline Macon. \& 3,374 \& 4,419 \& 3,902 \& 3,776 \& 3,569 \& 6,625 \& 8,542 \& 7,149 \& 8,633 \& 8,283 <br>
\hline Mobile. \& 5,202 \& 6,706 \& 5,972 \& 5,785 \& 5,388 \& 8,153 \& 10, 104 \& 9,305 \& 9,577 \& 8,866 <br>
\hline Montgomer \& 2,888 \& 3,706 \& 5,442 \& 3,464 \& 2, 952 \& 4,084 \& 5,223 \& 5,023 \& 5,285 \& 5,000 <br>
\hline Nashville. \& 18,588 \& 20,306 \& 20, 552 \& 19,335 \& 18,558 \& 26,528 \& 26, 248 \& 28,684 \& 27,556 \& 27, 803 <br>
\hline New Orlea \& 55,430 \& 67,436 \& 51,531 \& 53,637 \& 48, 608 \& 89,098 \& 85, 934 \& 74, 003 \& 80, 378 \& 81, 816 <br>
\hline Pensacola. \& 1,203 \& 1,540 \& 1,446 \& 1,976 \& 1,331 \& 1,945 \& 2,707 \& 2,280 \& 2,780 \& 2, 236 <br>
\hline Savannah. \& 8,503 \& 11, 334 \& 10,005 \& 9,851 \& 8,538 \& 16,731 \& 19,464 \& 18,490 \& 17,641 \& 16,368
5,813 <br>
\hline Tampa.. \& 5,176
1,114 \& 6,346
1,384 \& 6,676
1,360 \& 5,736
1,222 \& 4,474 \& 5, 222
1,958 \& 7,596
2,308 \& 6,991
2,320 \& 7,061
2,060 \& 5,813
1,496 <br>
\hline District No. 7-Chicago: \& 114 \& 1,384 \& 1,360 \& 1,222 \& \& \& \& 2, 320 \& \& 1,496 <br>
\hline Bay City........... \& 2,332 \& 2,600 \& 2,216 \& 2,475 \& 2,139 \& 3,173 \& 3,066 \& 3, 181 \& 3,447 \& 3,529 <br>
\hline Bloomington \& 2,014 \& 2,529 \& 2,031 \& 2,002 \& 1,913 \& 2,762 \& 3,143 \& 2,620 \& 2,800 \& 2,738 <br>
\hline Cedar Rapids \& 9,075 \& 10,248 \& -9,532 \& 10,396
5036 \& 7,797
547 \& 8,638 \& 7,584
81699 \& 8,114
685 \& 7 7 \& 7,639

r <br>
\hline Chicago... \& 554, 101 \& 676,265 \& 549,396 \& 593, 368 \& 547, 973 \& 664, 651 \& 816, 999 \& 685,225 \& 745,406 \& 711,303 <br>
\hline Davenport \& 7,163 \& 7,634 \& 7,286 \& 5,903 \& 6, 551 \& 8,504 \& 9,974 \& 7,154 \& 8,473 \& 8,253 <br>
\hline Decatur. \&  \& 3,382 \& 2,970 \& 2,983
16,727 \& 3,009
14,018 \& $\begin{array}{r}3,446 \\ 21,832 \\ \hline\end{array}$ \& 4,594
21,866 \& 3,861 \& 4,121
22,776 \& 4, 103 <br>
\hline Des Moin \& 16,595
103,464 \& 16,613
115,769 \& ${ }^{18,773}$ \& 16,727
163,448 \& 14,018
108,291 \& 21,
136,372 \& 156,036 \& r $\begin{array}{r}23,688 \\ 134,229\end{array}$ \& 22,776
169,916 \& 136,126 <br>
\hline Dubuque \& 103, 2 769 \& - \& $\begin{array}{r}\text { 82, } \\ \mathbf{2 , 9 1 5} \\ \hline\end{array}$ \& 2, 225 \& 4,299 \& 4,313 \& 3,890 \& 3,936 \& 3,897 \& 3,358 <br>
\hline Flint. \& 4,294 \& 5,425 \& 4,359 \& 5,170 \& 5,326 \& 10,126 \& 10,140 \& 9,322 \& 12,171 \& 7,901 <br>
\hline Fort Wayne \& 6,186 \& 6, 874 \& 6,657 \& 7,154 \& 6,494 \& 7,537 \& 8,724 \& 8,039 \& 8,612 \& 7,813 <br>
\hline Grand Rapid \& 17,798 \& 18,655 \& 16,672 \& 18,653 \& 19, 068 \& 23, 141 \& 23,570 \& 21,910 \& 23,581 \& 22,179 <br>
\hline Indianapolis \& 29, 016 \& 31, 545 \& 33,483 \& 32, 488 \& 28,970 \& 33,971 \& 35,687 \& 41,920 \& 44, 253 \& 37,241 <br>
\hline Jackson... \& 3,451 \& 3,892 \& 3,064 \& 3,347 \& 3,943 \& 5,000 \& 4, 800 \& 4,720 \& 5, 813 \& 3,401 <br>
\hline Kalamazoo \& 3,476 \& 4,333 \& 3,801 \& 4,482 \& 4,054 \& 5,087 \& 5,346 \& 5,017 \& 5,197 \& 5,153 <br>
\hline Lansing. \& 5,832 \& 5,213 \& 5,018 \& 4, 876 \& 4, 540 \& 5,682 \& 6,338 \& 6,506 \& 7,298 \& 6,865 <br>
\hline Milwauke \& 46,192 \& 53,122 \& 49,517 \& 56,304 \& 46, 294 \& 60, 007 \& 72, 409 \& 67,352 \& 74,400 \& 63,649 <br>
\hline Moline. \& 2,018 \& 2,303 \& 2,052 \& 2,278 \& 1,803 \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline Peoria. \& 7,159 \& 9,790 \& 8,488 \& 8,515 \& 7,084 \& 9,847 \& 11,653 \& 11,337 \& 11,324 \& 10,685 <br>
\hline Rockford. \& 4,077 \& ${ }_{9,161}^{5,113}$ \& \& 4,946
7,943 \& \& \& 7,281
19,082 \& 6,
18,92
2,961 \& \& <br>
\hline Sioux City. \& 8,617

5,299 \& | 9,161 |
| :--- |
| 5,946 | \& 8,234

6,676 \& 7,943
7,287 \& 7,635
5
5 \& 17,866
3,888 \& 19,082
4,782 \& 18,961
5,528 \& 17,698
5,739 \& 16,302
6,327 <br>
\hline Springfield, \& 5,206 \& 5,805 \& 5,249 \& 5,367 \& 5,311 \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline Waterloo.. \& 3,236 \& 2,841 \& 2,451 \& 2,791 \& 1,834 \& 4, 160 \& 4,979 \& 4,512 \& 4,371 \& 3,966 <br>

\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{| District No. \&-Cit. Louis: East St. Louis and National Stock Yards |
| :--- |
| Evansville |} \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>

\hline \& 8,201 \& 9,060 \& 8,740 \& 9,425 \& 7,462 \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline \& 4,335 \& 4, 550 \& 4,764 \& 5,525 \& 4, 854 \& 5,712 \& 5,568 \& 6,055 \& 5,616 \& <br>
\hline Little Rock \& 7,907
120,413 \& $\begin{array}{r}8,688 \\ 122,277 \\ \hline 28\end{array}$ \& $\begin{array}{r}\text { 9, } \\ 1922,785 \\ \hline\end{array}$ \&  \& 7,863
122,562 \& 8,614
31,756 \& 10,902
36,560 \& 10,274
36,321 \& 10,447
36,465 \& 7,973
34,699 <br>
\hline Louisville. \& 26, 538 \& 28,582 \& 29,752 \& -33,646 \& 29,200 \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline Momphis \& 17,113 \& 22,168 \& 21,497 \& 21,964 \& 17,535 \& 31,988 \& 30,983 \& 33,070 \& 34,504 \& 29,651 <br>
\hline Quincy. \& 1,878
102,694 \& 2,627
1117,829 \& 2,523
1107,509 \& r $\begin{array}{r}2,153 \\ 131,415\end{array}$ \& 2,065
1110,870 \& 137,877 \& 153,615 \& 142,037 \& 166,853 \& 244 <br>
\hline St. Louis \& 115,386 \& 131,280 \& 120,871 \& 145,768 \& 122,728 \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline Springfield, Mo \& 2,238 \& 2,670 \& 2,525 \& 2,756 \& 2,539 \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline District No. 9--Mimeapolis: \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline Aberdeen. \& 1,237
1,508 \& 1,312
1,823 \& 1,376
1,788 \& 1,454 \& ${ }_{1,722}^{1,327}$ \& 1,709
2,486 \& 2,040
2,624 \& 2,415 \& 1,904
2,192 \& 1,643 <br>
\hline Duluth. \& 16,873 \& 16,480 \& 14,574 \& 15,754 \& 14,466 \& 24,681 \& 24, 499 \& 23,038 \& 22, 824 \& 22,758 <br>
\hline Fargo. \& 2,437 \& 2,606 \& 2,837 \& 2,824 \& 2,267 \& 3,321 \& 3,541 \& 2,992 \& 3,619 \& 3,533 <br>
\hline Grand Forks \& 989 \& 1,280 \& 1,146 \& 1,055 \& 1979 \& 1,756 \& 2,066 \& 1,971 \& 1, 1,826 \& ${ }_{2}^{1,772}$ <br>
\hline Great Falls \& 1,627 \& $\stackrel{1,613}{2,072}$ \& 1,646
2,051 \& 1,753
2,018 \& 1,545 \& 1,948
2,376 \& 1,897
2,681 \& 1,693 \& 1,909 \& 2,142
2,025 <br>
\hline Minneapo \& 58,256 \& 68, 130 \& 63,700 \& 71,881 \& 60,568 \& 77, 253 \& 91,039 \& 87,177 \& 92,625 \& 90,065 <br>
\hline St. Paul \& 24,699 \& 29,448 \& 30,054 \& 29,113 \& 24, 408 \& 35,572 \& 34,244 \& 32,824 \& 33,946 \& 33,882 <br>
\hline Sioux Fal \& 3,672 \& 4,615 \& 3,900 \& 4,200 \& 3,745 \& 5,692 \& 7,475 \& 6,813 \& 7,961 \& $\stackrel{6,488}{ }$ <br>
\hline Superior. \& 1,973 \& 1,829
1,033 \& 2,130
1,034 \& 2,056 \& 1,837
1,172 \& 2,036
1,346 \& 2,135
1,288 \& 1,990 \& 2,015
1,194 \& 2,077
1,108 <br>
\hline Winona. \& 967 \& 1,033 \& \& \& 1,172 \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

${ }^{1}$ Debits of banks which submitted reports in 1920.

DEBITS TO INDIVIDUAL ACCOUNTS AT CLEARING-HOUSE BANKS-Continued. DATA FOR EACH REPORTING CENTER-Continued.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | $\begin{gathered} 1921 \\ \text { Week ending }-~ \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{1920}{\text { Week ending }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Apr. 27. | May 4. | May 11. | May 18. | May 25. | Apr. 28. | May 5. | May 12. | May 19. | May 26. |
| District No. 10-Kansas City: | 900 | 1003 | 1177 | 1133 | 1,060 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bartlesvilie. | 2,160 | 2,384 | 2,060 | 2,467 | 1,963 | 3,644 | E,171 | 4,950 | 3,096 | 4,288 |
| Cheyenne. | 1,307 | 2,048 | 2,004 | 1,634 | 1,397 | 1,327 | 1,961 | 1,852 | 1,815 | 1,705 |
| Colorado Springs | 2,164 | 2,695 | 2,447 | 2,274 | 2,513 | 3,192 | 3,344 | 3,684 | 3,114 | 3,053 |
| Denver. | 34,695 | 36,375 | 29,942 | 31,700 | 31,602 | 43,707 | 49,616 | 45,882 | 43,433 | 44,667 |
| Joplin. | 1,831 | 1,962 | 1,999 | 2,083 | 1,964 | 3,433 | 3,934 | 4,165 | 3,942 |  |
| Kansas City, K | 3,066 | 3,417 | 3,387 | 3,433 | 3,230 | 3,297 | 3,993 | 3,543 | 3,369 | 3,932 |
| Kansas City, Mo. | 64, 476 | 74,953 | 66,827 | 73,636 | 66,345 | 79,627 | 88,865 | 89, 362 | 97, 843 | 91, 738 |
| Muskogee. | 3,801 | 3,671 | 4,109 | 4,501 | 3,836 | 5,137 | 5,494 | 4, 599 | 5,009 | 5,536 |
| Oklahoma City | 18,246 | 19,933 | 21,156 | 19,197 | 17,228 | 19,720 | 22,435 | 26,265 | 23,598 | 23,818 |
| Omaha. | 37,739 | 39,866 | 42,221 | 42,81. | 37, 331 | 57,293 | 61,381 | 60, 218 | 75,067 | 56,012 |
| Pueblo. | 3,786 | 4, 105 | 4,239 | 3,969 | 2,712 | 4,065 | 4,075 | 4, 501 | - 4,969 | $\begin{array}{r}3,819 \\ \hline 388\end{array}$ |
| St. Joseph | $\begin{array}{r}14,608 \\ 3 \\ \hline 602\end{array}$ | 18,389 | 14,630 | 14,976 | 13, 263 | 23,037 | 25,869 | 23, 885 | 18,546 | 20,388 |
| Topeka | 3,602 19,008 | $\begin{array}{r}3,887 \\ 20,925 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 3,802 20,549 | -2,794 | 2,613 16,473 | $\begin{array}{r}6,487 \\ 32,006 \\ \hline 2,\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 6,644 } \\ \hline 26,540\end{array}$ | 5,723 28,575 | $\begin{array}{r}6,087 \\ 28,215 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 5,481 20,898 |
| Wichita. | 9,022 | 10,358 | 8,959 | 9,791 | 9,503 | 13,260 | 13,949 | 14,426 | 14, 994 | 11,068 |
| District No. 11-Dallas: Albuquerque | 990 | 979 | 874 | 1,619 | 1,505 | 1,650 | 2,082 | 1,821 | 2,020 | 1,654 |
| Austin... | 2,007 | 2,742 | 3,491 | 2,759 | 2,969 | 3,617 | 3,796 | 3,941 | 3,441 | 3,079 |
| Beaumont | 2,690 | 2,784 | 3,415 | 3,125 | 2,841 | 5,314 | 4,556 | 4,914 | 5,066 | 4,467 |
| Dallas. | 29,576 | 33, 243 | 32,027 | 35,394 | 29,726 | 34,296 | 39,596 | 37,287 | 42,081 | 37, 704 |
| El Paso. | 6,708 | 8,175 | 7,622 | 7,926 | 8,644 | 9,115 | 11,085 | 11, 127 | 11, 111 | 11, 915 |
| Fort Worth | 15, 530 | 19,220 | 17, 650 | 18,410 | 18,940 | 26,602 | 25,054 | 22,357 | 24, 983 | 24, 868 |
| Galveston | 17,892 | 16, 853 | 22,757 | 18,732 | 17, 776 | 9,100 | 8,170 | 7,988 | 9, 266 | 8,604 |
| Houston.. | 22, 109 | 25,305 | 23,931 | 24,795 | 23, 248 | 40, 000 | 32,022 | 33, 879 | 39,149 | 33, 430 |
| San Antonio | 5,792 | 6,088 | 6,583 | 7,031 | 6,515 | 8,878 | 8 8,284 | 8,437 | 8,450 | 8,409 |
| Shreveport. | 5,902 | 7,062 | 7,146 | 7,827 | 6,875 | 9,202 | 5,557 | 13,214 | 10,151 | 11,755 |
| Texarkana, ${ }_{\text {Theson }}$ | 1,125 | 1,366 | 1,504 | 2,003 | 1,281 | 2,153 | 2,509 | $\stackrel{2,279}{ }$ | 2, 525 | 2,040 |
| Tueson. | 1,167 3,043 | 1,246 $\mathbf{2}, 868$ | 1,935 3,207 | 1,907 3,274 | 1,916 3,183 | 1,682 3,890 | 1,975 3,815 | 2,008 4,158 | 2,130 <br> 4,365 | 1,814 3,650 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Berkeley. | 2,478 | 3,529 | 3,707 | 3,177 | 2,519 | 2, 408 | 2,601 | 3,556 | 2,892 | 2, 483 |
| Fresno. | $\stackrel{8}{8,559}$ | 2,493 8,670 | 2,638 9,851 | $\mathbf{2 , 4 1 0}$ 9 | -1,970 | 2,474 8,816 | 3,079 | 4,093 10,307 | 3,249 12,359 | 12,489 |
| Losng Beach | 5,404 | 5,767 | 5, 267 | 5,640 | 4,631 | 5,287 | 5,223 | 6,226 | - 5 5,395 | 12,485 4,015 |
| Los Angeles | 101,086 | 101,590 | 95, 721 | 99,070 | 90,619 | 98,079 | 90,611 | 102,490 | 86,256 | 96, 272 |
| Oakland. | 17,672 | 18,651 | 22,171 | 19,766 | 18, 108 | 20,549 | 19,454 | 23, 091 | 23,386 | 20,477 |
| Ogden... | 2, 872 | 3,580 | 2, 854 | 2,810 | 3, 076 | 3,482 | 4, 120 | ${ }^{4}, 193$ | ${ }^{2}, 791$ | 4, 411 |
| Pasadena | 5,251 | 5,405 | 5,524 | 5,510 | 4,713 | 5,572 | 5,283 | 6,517 | 6,415 | 5,520 |
| Portland | 37, 761 | 35, 392 | 32, 739 | 34,783 | 30, 819 | 42,943 | 44,771 | 50,524 | 47,162 | 41,029 |
| Reno. | 2,200 | 2,323 | 2,528 | 2,694 | 2,507 | 2,839 | 2,517 | 2,953 | 2,863 | 2,467 |
| Sacramento | 10,993 | 18,952 | 12,621 | 10,950 | 10,245 | 13,038 | 12,176 | 15,118 | 12,077 | 11,149 |
| Salt Lake City | 15,571 | 13, 828 | 12,876 | 12,843 | 9,573 | 17,453 | 19,142 | 17,468 | 16,998 | 17, 110 |
| San Diego.... | 7,383 17231 | 7,818 | 8,207 | 8 8,639 | 6,641 | 7,110 | 7,867 | 9,521 | 8,504 | 9,129 |
| San Franci | 172, 231 | 186, 017 | 161, 859 | 195, 622 | 167,834 | 214, 729 | 207, 869 | 223, 072 | 228, 314 | 216, 133 |
| San Jose. | 6,620 | 4,700 | 4,178 | 4,352 | 3,905 | 4,422 | 4, 810 | 5,593 | 5,784 | 5, 34 |
| Seattle.. | 28,848 | 31,910 | 30, 775 | 32, 447 | 28,777 | 42, 680 | 49,354 | 48,407 | 52,707 | 45,855 |
| Spokane. | 8,982 4,293 | 9,846 4,601 | 9,669 4,635 | 11,181 | 9,973 4,153 | 12,523 3,593 | 13,669 4 4 186 | 12,860 6,240 | 14,217 5,719 | 12,462 |
| Tacoma. | 9,326 | 8,133 | 8,502 | 8,902 | 8,544 | 11,807 | 12,371 | 12,443 | 13,047 | 11,329 |
| Yakima | 2,184 | 2,267 | 2,042 | 2,218 | 1,889 | 3,656 | 3,462 | 3,778 | 3,411 | 3,064 |

## FEDERAL RESERVE CLEARING SYSTEM.

OPERATIONS FROM APR. 16 TO MAY 15, 1921.
[All figures shown in thousands.]

| Federal Reserve Bank or branch. | Items drawn on banks located in own district. |  |  |  | Items drawn on Treasurer of United States. |  | Total items handled, exclusive of duplications. |  | Items forwarded to other Federal Reserve Banks and their branches. |  | Items forwarded to parent bank or to branch in same district. |  | Total items handled, including duplications. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In Federal Reserve Bank or branch city. |  | Outside Federal Reserve Bank or branch city. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Number. | Amount. | Number. | Amount. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Num- } \\ & \text { ber. } \end{aligned}$ | Amount. | Num. ber. | Amount. | Number. | Amount. | Num. ber. | Amount. | Num- <br> ber. | Amount. |
| Boston. | 652 | 505, 811 | 3,108 | 350, 289 | 150 | 19,629 | 3,910 | 875,729 | 143 | 44,590 |  |  | 4,053 | 920, 319 |
| New York. | 1,256 | 1,567,404 | 4, 148 | 905, 413 | 1,092 | 170, 947 | 6,496 | 2, 643,764 | 1,015 | 455, 720 | 21 | 6,320 | 7,532 | 3, 105, 804 |
| Philadelphia | 1,533 | 670,067 | 1,958 | 211, 262 | 235 | 53,070 | 3,726 | 124,793 934,399 | 667 | 150, 544 | 37 | 18,671 | 750 4,393 | 1,084,943 |
| Cleveland.. | ${ }^{1}$ | 179, 292 | 1,034 | 130, 054 | 86 | 6, 304 | 1,446 | 315,650 | 32 | 17,527 | 27 | 9,554 | 1,505 | 342,731 |
| Cincinnati | 172 | 110,594 | 727 | 67,102 | 77 | 7,890 | 1983 | ${ }^{1} 186,897$ | 11 | 12,622 | 10 | 3,446 | 1,004 | 202,965 |
| Pittsburgh | 413 | 234, 870 | 778 | 87,696 | 57 | 12,571 | 1,248 | 335, 137 | 62 | 35,956 | 27 | 4,687 | 1,337 | 375, 780 |
| Richmond.. | 104 | 106, 249 | 1,720 | 223, 893 | 60 | 8,147 | 1,884 | 338, 289 | 135 | 48,505 | 44 | 9,726 | 2,063 | 396, 520 |
| Atlanta.... | $\stackrel{221}{95}$ | 138, 130 | ${ }_{208}^{635}$ | ${ }^{66,991}$ | 65 | 8,874 | ${ }_{921}$ | 213, 995 | 50 | 22, 887 | 69 | 8,160 | 1,040 | 245, 042 |
| Atlanta...... | 95 | 49,753 | 298 | 37,441 | 36 | 4,243 | 429 | 91, 437 | 28 | 13,185 | 35 | 5,101 | 492 | 109, 723 |
| Birmingham | 258 | 33, 372 | 124 | 6,973 | 13 | 1,196 | 395 | 41, 541 | 14 | 7,436 | 26 | 14,776 | 435 | 63,753 |
| Jacksonville | 52 | 19,466 | 149 | 16,778 | 11 | 1,196 | 212 | 37,440 | 23 | 8,728 | 6 | 1,948 | 241 | 48,116 |
| Nashville. | 49 | 26, 724 | 181 | 19, 115 | 20 | 1,752 | 250 | 47, 591 | 30 | 3,985 | 9 | ${ }^{1} 918$ | 289 . | 52,494 |
| ${ }^{\text {New Orlea }}$ | 57 | 42, 425 | 99 | 11, 002 | 38 | 6,829 | 194 | 60, 256 | 37 | 10,646 | 5 | 561 | 236 | 71,463 |
| Chicago.... | 726 | 518, 407 | 3, 234 | 291,835 | 486 | 58,528 | 4, 446 | 868, 770 | 268 | 28,431 | 7 | ${ }^{1,620}$ | 4,721 | 898,821 |
| St. Deuis. | 234 | 130, 740 | 384 | 37,937 | 38 | 4,454 | 656 | 173, 131 | 7 | 3,708 | 4 | 1,158 | 667 | 177, 997 |
| St. Louis- | 223 | 172, 350 | 1,202 | 75,600 | 173 | 11,613 | 1,598 | 259, 563 | 24 | 4, 212 | 8 | 685 | 1,630 | 264, 460 |
| Louisville | 40 86 | 19, 76 | 381 | 15,302 21 21 | 9 | ${ }_{3}^{1,184}$ | 300 | 36, 202 | ${ }^{6}$ | 1,107 | 21 | 2,1783 | ${ }_{523}^{327}$ | 39, 486 |
| Memphis | 61 | 21, 795 | 142 | 8,122 | 11 | 1, 301 | ${ }_{214}$ | 31, 218 | $\stackrel{8}{2}$ | , $5_{51}$ | ${ }_{2}$ | 263 | 218 | 79,750 32,032 |
| Minneapolis. | 241 | 103, 037 | 1,278 | 73, 868 | 55 | 4,621 | 1,574 | 181, 526 | 173 | 31,225 | 5 | 305 | 1,752 | 213,056 |
| Helena. | 32 |  | 180 | 11,393 | 6 | 717 | 218 | 19, 973 | 5 | 1,851 | 3 | 1,878 | 226 | 23,702 |
| Kansas City | 209 | 225,862 | 2,170 | 90,041 | ${ }^{96}$ | 7,209 | 2,475 | 323, 112 | 236 | 35,947 | 70 | 12,217 | 2,781 | 371,276 |
| Denver.. | 73 | 38,844 | 291 | 16,128 | 23 | 4,009 | 387 | 58,981 | 68 | 12,918 | 40 | 12,049 | 495 | 83,948 |
| Oklahoma | 56 | 49,922 | 835 | 69,430 | 9 | 1,294 | 900 | 120,646 | 40 | 7,900 | 13 | 7,710 | 953 | 136,256 |
| Dallas. | $\begin{array}{r}87 \\ 134 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 46, 211 | ${ }_{1}^{506}$ | 32, 316 | 54 | 2,562 | 1648 | ${ }^{181,473}$ | 39 | 5,350 | 17 | 4,569 | 704 | 91, 392 |
| Dallas....... | 134 | 50,616 | 1,438 | 162,789 | 29 | 3,310 | 1,601 | 216,715, | 70 | 13,550 | 41 | 4,389 | 1,712 | 234,654 |
| El Paso. | 35 | 8,688 | 117 | 9,401 | 19 | 2,167 | 171 | 20, 256 | 10 | 3,465 | 4 | 681 | 185 | 24, 022 |
| San Francisco | 54 | 33, 439 | 286 | 29, 195 | 56 | 2,102 | 396 | 64, 736 | 20 | 4,017 | 6 | 1,462 | 422 | 70,215 |
| San Francisco | 182 | 93,752 | 456 | 30,976 | ${ }_{36}$ | 51,653 | ${ }^{713}$ | 176,381 | ${ }^{26}$ | 3,619 | 39 | 5,090 | 778 | 185, 090 |
| Portland. | 288 | 111,022 | 804 | 65, 553 | ${ }^{33}$ | 9,338 | 1,125 | 185, 893 | 86 | 13,089 | 47 | 10,752 | 1,258 | 209, 734 |
| Portland. | ${ }_{43}^{54}$ | 28, 120 | 182 | 10,749 | 19 | 4, ${ }^{184}$ | 255 | 42,943 | 4. | 1,451 | 28 | 3,655 | 287 | 48,049 |
| Seattle. | 120 | 32, 833 | 207 | 15, 142 | 23 | 6,822 | 350 | 54, 797 | 16. | 4, 819 | 30 | 4,528 | 396 | 64,144 |
| Spokane............ | 34 | 16,361 | 155 | 9,182 | 10 | 1,756 | 199 | 27, 299 | , | 1,551 | 15 | 4,515 | 析 | 33,365 |
| Total: <br> Apr. 16 to May 15, <br> 1921. | 8,378 | 5, 545, 255 |  |  |  |  |  | 19,319,057 | 3,5241 |  | 729 | 170, 857 | 46,05 | 10,538,482 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar. } 16 \text { to Apr } 15 \text {, } \\ & 1921 . . . . . . . . . \end{aligned}$ | 9,967 | $6,382,199$ |  | $3,746,158$ |  | $600,167$ | $\mid 146,751$ | $110,724,392$ |  | $1,247,842$ | 898 | 229, 941 | 51,59 | 12,202, 175 |
| Apr. 16 to May 15, $1920 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 7,288 | 7,669, 914 | 27, 193 | 4, 669, 179 | 2,689 | 479,638 | ${ }^{137,176}$ | 14, 559, 731 | 3,252 | 742,960 | 717 | 234, 308 | 41, 145 | 15, 536, 999 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes items drawn on banks in other Federal Reserve districts forwarded direct to drawee bank.
Note.-Number of business days in period for Boston, Birmingham, Atlanta, Omaha, Dallas, El Paso, and Houston was 24, for other Federal Reserve Bank and branch cities, 25 days.

NUMBER OF MEMBER AND NONMEMBER BANKS IN EACH FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICT, MAY 15, 1921 AND 1920.

| Federal Reserve district. | Member banks. |  | Nonmember banks. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1921 | 1920 | On par list. |  | Not on par list. ${ }^{1}$ |  |
|  |  |  | 1921 | 1920 | 1921 | 1920 |
| Boston. | 437 | 432 | 255 | 254 |  |  |
| New York.... | 790 | 772 | 329 | 321 |  |  |
| Philadelphia.. | 700 | 887 | ${ }_{1}^{455}$ | 422 |  |  |
| Richmond..... | 617 | 598 | 1,038 | , 766 | -55i | 770 |
| Atlanta.... | 489 | 435 | 407 | 444 | 1,163 | 1,135 |
| Chicago....... | 1,430 | 1,386 | 4,263 |  |  |  |
| St. Louis..... | 1,580 1,013 | 559 954 | 2,516 2,794 | 2,513 2,913 | 168 55 | 173 |
| Kansas City... | 1,092 | 1,057 | 3,411 | 3,374 |  |  |
| Dallas......... | ${ }^{1} 861$ | 7886 | 1,236 | 1,241 |  |  |
| San Francisco... | 861 | 779 | 994 | 941 |  | 102 |
| Total. | 9,747 | 9,303 | 18,781 | 18,502 | 1,937 | 2,180 |

[^4]
## GOLD SETTLEMENT FUND.

Continued reduction in the volume of clearings and transfers through the gold fund is noted for the three months ending May 19, 1921. Figures of daily clearings for the period under review aggregated $\$ 16,353,275,732$, compared with $\$ 18,134,804,741$ for the previous quarter, and were the smallest for any threemonth period since that ending in May, 1919. The decrease of nearly 10 per cent from figures shown for the immediately preceding quarter, compared with an increase of about 6 per cent in the corresponding period for a year ago, is doubtless a reflection of the lower price level upon which the business of the country is being conducted and in some measure of a reduction in the volume of business.

Interbank transfers declined relatively much more heavily, from $\$ 1,418,949,549$ to $\$ 512$,012,038 , or by 64 per cent, the current figures of transfers being the smallest recorded in any quarter since that ending in May, 1917. This reduction is due primarily to the continued improvement in the reserve position of the various Federal Reserve Banks, resulting in a marked reduction in the amount of interbank discounting.
The Federal Reserve Banks deposited $\$ 300$,102,000 net of gold in the fund between February 18 and May 19, and during the same time made net transfers of $\$ 349,036,000$ to the Federal Reserve agents' fund, the banks' fund thus showing a decrease of approximately $\$ 50,000,000$, or from $\$ 505,901,000$ to $\$ 456$,967,000 . The balance in the agents' fund increased by over $\$ 145,000,000$, from $\$ 904,-$ 682,000 to $\$ 1,050,618,000$, net transfers from the bank of $\$ 349,036,000$ being partially offset by net withdrawals of $\$ 203,100,000$ from this fund. At the close of business on May 19 the combined balances in the two funds stood at $\$ 1,507,585,000$, the net addition to the funds
during the three-month period amounting to $\$ 97,002,000$.

The Federal Reserve Bank of New York gained $\$ 153,024,000$ through interbank transfers and lost $\$ 171,274,000$ through settlements, the result being a net loss during the threemonth period of $\$ 18,250,000$. The Federal Reserve Banks of Philadelphia, Richmond, St. Louis, Dallas, and San Francisco also show losses during the period under review, while the remaining six banks show gains.

Following are figures showing operations through the two funds from February 18 to May 19, inclusive:

Clearings and Transfers Through the Gold Settlement Fund, from Feb. 18 to May 19, 1921, Inclusive.

|  | Clearings. | Transfers. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Settlements of - |  |  |
| Feb. 18-24. | \$1, 161, 428, 346.93 | \$25, 806, 278.33 |
| Feb. 25-Mar. 3 | 1, 335, 484, 442.55 | 34,788, 193.31 |
| Mar. 4-10 | $1,257,027,764.98$ | 35, 436, 882.19 |
| Mar. 11-17 | 1,385, 235,075.65 | 15, 559, 326.20 |
| Mar. 18-24 | $1,337,704,056.37$ | 103, 332, 579.51 |
| Mar. 25-31 | 1,156,322, 184.89 | 54, 868, 086.12 |
| Apr. 1-7 | 1,227, $664,758.47$ | 31,248, 874.59 |
| Apr. 8-14. | 1,215,077, 581.76 | 29,792, 760.79 |
| Apr. $15-21$ | 1,323,229, 247.34 | 38, $837,067.72$ |
| Apr. 22-28.. | 1, $231,352,365.47$ | ${ }_{41}^{33,707,831.22}$ |
| Apr. ${ }^{\text {May }} 6-12 \ldots$ |  | $41,834,307.17$ $41,806,073.46$ |
| May 13-19. | 1,320, $200,498.48$ | 24,993, 776.98 |
| Total Previously reported for 102 | $\begin{array}{r} 16,353,275,732.36 \\ 8,951,655,082.50 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 512,012,037.59 \\ 481,151,239.34 \end{array}$ |
| Total since Jan. 1, 1921 | 25, 304, 930, 814.86 | 993,163, 276.93 |
| Total for 1920. | 85, 074, 217, 886.97 | 7,551,584, 236.15 |
| Total for 1919 | 66, 053, 394, 214.47 | 7,930, 857, 773.95 |
| Total for 1918 | 45, 439, 487, 000.00 | 4, $812,105,000.00$ |
| Total for 1917 | 24,319, 200, 000.00 | 2, 835, 504,000. 00 |

Clearings and Transfers Combined.


INTER-FEDERAL RESERVE BANK CHANGES IN OWNERSHIP OF GOLD.

| Federal Reserve Bank of - | Total to Feb. 18, 1921. |  | From Feb. 18 to May 19, 1921, inclusive. |  |  |  | Total changes from May 20, 1915, to May 20, 1921. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Decrease. | Increase. | Balance to credit, Feb. 17, 1921, plus net deposits of gold since that date. | Balance, May 19, 1921. | Decrease. | Increase. | Decrease. | Increase. |
| Boston. |  | \$126,431, 113.65 | \$11, 848,655.60 | \$35, 588, 111.04 |  | \$23, 739, 455.44 |  | \$150, 170, 569.09 |
| New York | \$1, 275, 878, 783.82 | 86, 306, 548. 83 | $64,652,990.25$ <br> 52,829 | $46,403,220.23$ $41,694,496.75$ | \$18, $249,770.02$ $11,135,163.46$ |  | \$1,294, 128, 553. 84 | 75, 171,385. 37 |
| Cleveland. |  | 328, $106,929.09$ | 68, $478,404.01$ | 76, 318, 809. 53 | 11,130,16.4 | $7,840,405.52$ |  | 335, 947, 334.61 |
| Richmond |  | 19,5911,758.50 | 53, $589,230.59$ | 21, 182, 176. 52 | 32,677,054.07 |  | 13,085, 295. 57 |  |
| ${ }_{\text {Chicago.. }}$ |  | $45,790,964.25$ $92,878,079.80$ | $17,474,831.54$ $82,138,432.51$ | $\begin{array}{r}15,196,750.34 \\ 112,296226.48 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  | 22,671, 581. 88 |  | 68,462,546.13 |
| St. Louis. |  | 104, 040, 443.39 | 22,208,969.02 | 16,545, 157.84 | 5,663,811.18 | 0,15, 10.9 |  | 98,376,632.21 |
| Minneapolis | 9,375,848. 13 |  | 4, $887,112.96$ | 10, 025, 928. 50 |  | 5, 138,815. 54 | 4,237,032. 59 |  |
| Kansas City. |  | 43,258,952 81 | 31,999, 671. 23 | 34, 338, 606. 29 |  | 2,383, 935.06 |  | 45, 592, 887. 87 |
| Dan Francisco... |  | $19,247,392.01$ $419,602,449.62$ | $14,037,799.37$ $57,501,020.95$ | $5,181,958.69$ $42,200,672.95$ | $8,855,840.68$ $15,300,348.00$ |  |  | $10,391,551.33$ $404,302,101.62$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ios Total. | 1,285, 254,631.95 | 1,285, 254,631. 95 | 456, 967, 115.16 | 456,967, 115. 16 | 91, 881,987.41 | 91, 881,987. 41 | 1,311,450,882.00 | 1,311, 450, 882.00 |

${ }^{1}$ Excess of withdrawals over balance Feb. 17, 1921, and deposits since that date.
GOLD WITHDRAWALS AND DEPOSITS, ALSO TRANSFERS AND CLEARINGS, FROM FEB. 18 TO MAY 19, 1921, INCLUSIVE.
FEDERAL RESERVE BANKS' FUND.

| Federal $\underset{\substack{\text { Reserve Bank } \\ \text { of }}}{\text { - }}$ | Balance last statement Feb. 17, 1921. | Gold <br> withdrawals. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gold } \\ & \text { deposits.. } \end{aligned}$ | Aggregate <br> withdrawals and transfers to agent's fund. | Aggregate deposits and transfers from agent's fund. | Interbank transfers. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Debits. | Credits. |
| Boston. | \$49, 460, 108. 70 | 88,824,253. 10 | 81, 212, 800.00 | \$48, 824, 253.10 | \$11, 212, 800.00 | \$77,500,000. 00 | \$9,241, 080. 24 |
| New York | 59,926, 456. 93 | 39,431, 023.36 | 139, 157, 556.68 | 189, 431, 023.36 | 194, 157, 556. 68 | 65,000, 937. 50 | 218,024,929.30 |
| Philadelphia | 42,294, 402.97 | 11,750, 392.76 | 81, 285, 650.00 | 115,750, 392.76 | 126, $285,650.00$ | 20,000, 000.00 | 14, 500,937. 50 |
| Cleveland. | 96,814,574. 89 | 22,940,348.32 | 9,604, 177. 44 | 37, 940,348. 32 | 9,604, 177.44 | 123,000,000. 00 | 78,745,090. 55 |
| Richmond. | 27,238,600. 52 | 11,654,259. 93 | 40, 774, 890.00 | 14, 154,259.93 | 40,774, 890.00 | 38, 114, 319. 74 | 60,000,000.00 |
| Atlanta. | 9, 196,706. 80 | 8,676, 133. 34 | 43, 904,595. 00 | 62,076,133. 34 | 45, 404, 595. 00 | 6, 500, 000.00 | 4,500,000.00 |
| Chicago | 116, 398, 668.65 | 13,797, 568.34 | 44, 537,332. 20 | 78,797, 568.34 | 44, 537, 332.20 | 57, 500, 000. 00 | $7,000,000.00$ |
| St. Louis. | 23, 798, 829.39 | 7,600, 523.53 | 12, 010, 663. 16 | 23, $600,523.53$ | 22, $010,663.16$ | 12, $500,000.00$ | 5,500,000.00 |
| Minneapolis | 7,648,852. 45 | 8,268, 239.49 | 1,506, 500.00 | 8, 268, 239.49 | 5, 506, 500.00 | 12,410,609. 56 | 11,000,000.00 |
| Kansas City | 33,325,966. 94 | 8,836, 552.38 | 11,510,256. 67 | 14,836,552.38 | 13,510, 256.67 | 15, $000,000.00$ | 6,000,000.00 |
| Dallas.. | 7,735,220. 43 | 12,595,621.06 | 16,898,200.00 | 12,595,621.06 | 18, 898, 200.00 | 81, 486, 170.79 | 83,500,000.00 |
| San Francis | 32,062,462.07 | 11,946,951. 29 | 64, 021,010. 17 | 69,946,951. 29 | 95,385,510. 17 |  | 14,000, 000.00 |
| Tota | 505,900, 850. 74 | 166,321, 866.90 | 466, 423,631. 32 | 676,221, 866.90 | 627, 288, 131. 32 | 512,012,037. 59 | 512,012,037. 59 |
| Federal Reserve Bank of- |  |  | Settlements from Feb. 18, 1921, to May 19, 1921, inclusive. |  |  |  | Balance in fund at close of business May 19, 1921. |
|  |  |  | Net debits. | Total debits. | Total credits. | Net credits. |  |
| Boston. |  |  |  | 81,173, 056,725. 20 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,265,055,100.40 \\ & 4,444,298,538.80 \end{aligned}$ | \$91,998, 375.20 | \$35,588,111.04 |
| New York |  |  |  | $4,615,572,300.62$ <br> $1,657,743,002.06$ |  |  | 46, 403, 220. 23 |
| Philadelph |  |  |  |  | 1,652, 106,901. 10 <br> 1,400,934, 364. 57 |  | 41,694,496. 75 |
| Cleveland. |  |  | 54,562,734.33 | $1,348,839,049.60$ $1,452,019,519.83$ | 1,397, 456, 785. 50 | $52,095,314.97$ | $\begin{aligned} & 76,318,809.53 \\ & 21,182,176.52 \end{aligned}$ |
| Atlanta. |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text {, } 517,699,924.90 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 24,671,581.88 \\ & 80,657,793.97 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{15}^{21,182,176, ~} 750.34$ |
| Chicago. |  |  | ................. |  | $\begin{aligned} & 541,771,506.78 \\ & 2,172,265,420.72 \end{aligned}$ |  | $112,296,226.48$$16,545,157.84$ |
| St. Louis. |  |  |  | $2,091,607,626.75$ $1,134,757,263.07$ | $2,172,265,420.72$ $1,136,093,451.89$ | 1,336, 188.82 |  |
| Minneapolis. |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r}331,917,253.60 \\ 916,155,519.74 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 6,549,425.10 \\ 11,333,935.06 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10,025,928.50 \\ 34,333,606.29 \\ 5,181,958.69 \end{array}$ |
| Kansas City |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dallas. |  |  | 369,669. 89 | 508,970, 779.04 | 501,101, 109. 15 |  |  |
| San Franc |  |  | 300, 348.00 | 605, $336,767.95$ | 576, 236, 419.95 |  | 42,200,672.95 |
| Total. |  |  | 268,642,615.00 | 16,353,275,732. 36 | 16,353, 275, 732.36 | 268,642,615.00 | 456,967, 115. 16 |

GOLD WITHDRAWALS AND DEPOSITS, ALSO TRANSFERS AND CLEARINGS, FROM FEB, 18 TO MAY 19, 1921, INCLUSIVEContinued.
FEDERAL RESERVE AGENTS' FUND.

| Federal Reserve agent, at- | $\begin{gathered} \text { Balance } \\ \text { last } \\ \text { statement, } \\ \text { Feb. 17, } 1921 . \end{gathered}$ | Gold withdrawals. | Gold deposits. | Gold transfers to bank. | Gold transfers from bank. | Total withdrawals, including transfers to bank. | Total deposits, in cluding transfers from bank. | Balance at close of business, May 19, 1921. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Boston. | \$115, 000, 000 | \$50,000,000 | \$65,000,000 | \$10,000,000 | \$40,000,000 | \$60,000,000 | \$105, 000,000 | \$160,000,000 |
| New York | 26,000,000 | 10,000,000 |  | 55,000,000 | 150,000,000 | 65,000,000 | 150,000,000 | 111,000,000 |
| Philadelphia | 112,389, 260 | 61,000,000 |  | 45,000,000 | 104,000,000 | 106,000,000 | 104,000, 000 | 110,389, 260 |
| Cleveland | 140,000,000 |  |  |  | 15,000,000 |  | 15,000,000 | 155,000,000 |
| Richmond | 50,000,000 | 48,000,000 | 30,000,000 |  | 2,500,000 | 48,000,000 | 32,500,000 | 34,500,000 |
| Atlanta | 54,000,000 | 38,900,000 |  | 1,500,000 | 53, 400,000 | 40,400,090 | 53,400,000 | 67,000,000 |
| Chicago. | 188, 144, 500 | 105,500, 000 | 65,000,000 |  | 65,000,000 | 105,500,000 | 130,000,000 | 212,644,500 |
| St. Louis | 53, 530,600 | 28,000,000 | 26, 800,000 | 10,000,000 | 16,000,000 | 38,000,000 | 42, 800,000 | 58,330,600 |
| Minneapolis | 10, 200,000 |  |  | 4,000,000 |  | 4,000,000 |  | 6,200,000 |
| Kansas City | 33,360,000 | 22,000,000 | 15,000,000 | 2,000,000 | 6,000,000 | $24,000,000$ | 21,000,000 | 30,360,000 |
| Dallas. | 9,234,000 | 16,000,000 | 10,000,000 | 2,000,000 |  | 18,000,000 | 10,000,000 | 1,234, 000 |
| San Francisco | 112, 824,000 | 35,500,000 |  | 31,364,500 | 58,000,000 | 66, 864,500 | 58,000,000 | 103,959,500 |
| Total. | 904,682,360 | 414,900,000 | 211,800,000 | 160,864,500 | 509,900,000 | 575, 764,500 | 721,700,000 | 1,050,617,860 |

INTER FEDERAL RESERVE BANK TRANSACRIONS FROM APR. 22 TO MAY 10, 1921, INCLUSIVE.
[In thousands of dollars.]

| Federal Reserve Bank. | Transfers. |  | Daily settlements. |  | Changes in ownership of gold through transfers and settlements. |  | Balance in bank's fund at end of period. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Debit. | Credit. | Total debits. | Total credits. | Decrease. | Increase. |  |
| Boston. | 26,000 | 6,742 | 369,022 | 386,306 | 1,974 |  | 35, 588 |
| New York. | 40,000 | 62,025 | 1,406, 577 | 1,351,777 | 32,775 |  | 46,403 |
| Philadelphia | 4,000 | 2,500 | 499, 893 | 496,759 | 4,634 |  | 41,694 |
| Cleveland. | 13,500 | 11,075 | 399, 587 | 407, 392 |  | 5,380 | 76,319 |
| Richmond | 31, 114 | 30,000 | 433,852 | 421, 297 | 13,669 |  | 21, 182 |
| Atlanta. | +500 | 1,500 | 150,240 | 158, 115 |  | 8,875 | 15, 197 |
| Chicago... | 4,500 |  | 641, 276 | 693, 309 |  | 47,533 | 112,296 |
| St. Louis... | 1,500 | 1500 | 335, 248 | 332,680 | 3,568 |  | 16,545 |
| Minneapolis. | 4,911 | 10,000 | 102, 205 | 100, 130 |  | 3,014 | 10, 026 |
| Kansas City. |  | 2,000 | 278, 053 | 282, 415 |  | 6,362 | 34, 334 |
| Dallas......... | 16,317 | 14,000 2,000 | 156,886 181,263 | 156,511 167,411 | $\begin{array}{r} 2,692 \\ 11,852 \end{array}$ |  | 5,182 42,201 |
| Total, four weeks ending- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May 19, 1921........... | 142,342 | 142,342 | 4,954, 102 | 4,954,102 | 71,164 | 71,164 | 456,967 |
| Apr. 21, 1921 | 154, 747 | 154, 747 | 4,922,294 | $4,922,294$ |  |  | 469, 148 |
| May 20, 1920. | 522,776 | 522,776 | 6, 434, 602 | 6, 434, 602 |  |  | 405, 541 |
| Apr. 22, 1920. | 503, 354 | 503, 354 | 6,795, 464 | 6,795,464 |  |  | 366,504 |

GOLD AND SILVER IMPORTS AND EXPORTS.
GOLD IMPORIS INTO AND EXPORTS FROM THE UNITED STATES, DISTRIBUTED BY COUNTRIES.

| Country. | imports. |  |  |  |  |  | Exports. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | During 10 days ending Apr. 20 1921. | $\begin{gathered} \text { During } \\ \text { 10 days } \\ \text { ending } \\ \text { Apr.30, } \\ \text { 1921. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { During } \\ \text { montth } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { April, } \\ \mathbf{1 9 2 1 .} \end{gathered}$ | During 10 days ending May 10, 1921. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { From } \\ & \text { Jan. } 1 \text { to } \\ & \text { May 10, } \\ & \text { 1921. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { From } \\ \text { Jan. } 1 \text { to } \\ \text { May 10, } \\ 1920 . \end{gathered}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { During } \\ \text { 10 days } \\ \text { ending } \\ \text { Apr. } 20 \\ 1921 . \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { During } \\ \text { 10 days } \\ \text { ending } \\ \text { Apr. 30, } \\ \text { 1921. } \end{gathered}$ | During month of April 1921. | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { During } \\ \text { 10 days } \\ \text { ending } \\ \text { May } 10, \\ 1921 . \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|c} \text { From } \\ \text { Jan. } \\ \text { to May } \\ \text { 10,1921. } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { From } \\ \text { Jan. } 1 \text { to } \\ \text { May 10, } \\ 1920 . \end{gathered}$ |
| Austria. |  |  |  |  | \$9,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Belgium. |  |  |  |  | 38,391 | \$335, 906 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denmark | 81,182,261 |  | \$1,182, 261 |  | 1,272,183 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| France. | 7,201, 057 | \$277, 365 | 10,895,170 | $\$ 2,769,593$ <br> 3,686 | 58,899,961 | 27,187 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Greece. | 9,534 |  | 9,534 |  | 965, 745 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0,000 |
| Iceland. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 912 |
| Italy...i. |  | 91,020 | -91,020 |  | 102,285, | $\stackrel{40,000}{ }$ |  |  |  |  |  | 201,339 |
| Netherla | 2,777,080 | 4, 109,747 | $11,052,004$ <br> 628,297 | 205, 932 | $12,815,065$ 628,297 | 1,161,428 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Portugai. | 4,807 | 8,394 | 13,201 |  | 20,892 | 13,593 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Russia in Europe |  |  |  |  | 85,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spain... | 41,432 | 2,511,448 | 2,552, 880 | 36,893 | 3,003, 688 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sweden..... | 11,560,594 | 8,502, 200 | 24,800,604 | $6,424,787$ <br> 2,944 | 35,904, 407 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,100 |
| Turkey in Europe. |  |  |  |  | 238, 488 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| United Kingdom-England | 7,118,280 | 2,283,576 | 13, 770, 896 | 12,628, 549 | 77, 562, 753 | 56,026, 757 |  |  |  |  |  | 13,235 |
| Total Europe | 29,895,045 | 18,412,048 | 64,995,927 | $22,072,384$ | 191, 556, 378 | 57,608,195 |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { 226,586 }}{ }$ |
| Bermuda |  |  |  |  | 600 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| British Hond |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Costa Rica | 82,090 27,860 | 25,130 2,343 | $\begin{array}{r} 158,303 \\ 3,245 \\ 3 \end{array}$ | 80,916 | $\begin{gathered} 20,79,604 \\ 202,463 \end{gathered}$ | $18,909,820$ 116,501 | \$44, 341 | \$115,113 | \$177, 595 | \$63, 651 | 8876,594 | 2,223,783 |
| Guatemala | 4,000 | 58,000 | 83, 000 | 5,000 | 225, 106 | 110, 01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Honduras. | 17,418 | 9, 189 | 36,984 | 15, 230 | 173, 031 | 111,547 |  |  |  |  |  | 19,000 |
| Panama.. | 163,271 | 3,582 99881 | 16,324 283,697 | 56,179 253,046 | 1,039,585 | 352,172 30,160 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salvador | 29, 880 | 10,000 | 68,680 | 54,545 | 1, 377,601 | 117,586 |  |  |  |  |  | 20,000 |
| Mexico Cuba | 151,767 | 92,973 | 354,932 2,794 | 243,861 | $2,045,028$ 9,746 | $\begin{array}{r}1,698,651 \\ 4,500 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 34,500 50,000 | 24,950 | 87,852 50,000 | 48,515 494 | 3, $234,350,494$ | $12,828,676$ 25,000 |
| British West Ind | 8,800 | 14,507 | 23,907 | 30,727 | 219,742 | 18,128 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Virgin Islands of United States. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10,000 |
| Dominican Republic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16,000 |
| Dutch West Indies. | 226, 540 | 218, 927 | 694, 858 | 356, 607 | 2, 827, 207 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total North America. $\qquad$ | 711,437 | 534,425 | 1,755,774 | 1,096, 111 | $\underline{28,175,473}$ | $\xrightarrow{21,359,085}$ | $\underline{\text { 128, } 841}$ | 140,063 | 315,447 | 112,660 | 4,361,440 | 15,142,459 |
| Argentina |  | 322,190 | 387, 056 | 14, 175 | 630,768 | 25,693 5 54 |  |  |  |  |  | 89,995,000 |
| Brazil. |  | 6,231 | 231 | 372 | 14,783 | - 4,585 |  |  |  |  | 24,300 | 250,000 |
| Chile. | 12,373 | 2,358 | 14,731 |  | 67,566 | 197, 467 |  |  |  |  |  | 400,000 |
| Colombia | 316,470 | 163,538 | 750,843 | 485,549 | 5,036, 135 | 253,710 |  |  |  |  |  | 700,000 |
| Ecuador. |  |  | 67, 204 | 54,382 | 264, 848 | 253,412 |  |  |  |  |  | 130,000 |
| British Guiana |  |  |  |  |  | 22,060 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dutch Guiana. | $\begin{gathered} 4,0,04 \\ 48, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,236 \\ 76,667 \\ 76 \end{array}$ | 5,278 128,611 | 88 | 26,382 395,301 | 329, 177 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Uruguay | -48,840 | 76,667 848,712 | 1,505,845 |  | 2,745, 009 | 329, $\mathbf{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 12,850,000 |
| Venezuela | 1,829 | 12,412 | 17,068 | 920 | 317, 490 | 57,136 |  |  |  |  |  | 184,000 |
| Total South America. | 424,396 | 1,414,708 | 2,886, 257 | 555, 477 | 9,510,618 | 1,149,182 |  |  |  |  | 24,300 | 104,509,000 |
| China....... | 1,093,132 |  | $2,380,941$ | $123,310$ |  | 1,260 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| British India...... | 871,748 | $2,148,538$ | $3,214,415 \mid$ | 75 | $11,294,623 .$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 4,702,013 \\ 6,683,454 \end{array}$ |
| Dutch East Indies. |  |  | 46,758 |  | 204,063 | 2,268, 802 |  |  |  |  | 60,000 | 7,845,105 |
| French East Indies | 4,160, 000 |  | 4,160, 1 , 600 | 1,845,892 | 6, 005,892 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hongkong Japan. | 1,600,851 |  | 1,600,851 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4,446,396 \\ & 2,208,234 \end{aligned} .$ |  | 47,400 | 18,540 | 68,340 | 95, 450 | 616,510 | $\begin{array}{r} 22,676,362 \\ 7,262,067 \end{array}$ |
| Turkey in Asia. | 195,604 | 37,175 | 237,990 | 299, 633 | 2883,296 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Asia. | 7,921,335 | 3,473,522 | 11,640,964 | 2,268,910 | 37, 007, 474 | 2,270,062 | 47, 400 | 18,540 | 68,340 | 95,450 | 676,510 | $\underline{ }$ |
| Australia. |  |  |  |  | 3,900,287 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Zealand |  | 217,000 | 237,900 | 10,746 | 756, 926 | 346,398 |  |  |  |  | 300 |  |
| Philippine I | 60,491 | 49,300 | 132,791 | 57,12i | 449,908 | 220,370 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Abyssinia -....... | 1,240 |  | 2,040 |  | 21,685 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 28,038 |
| British West Africa.. British south Africa. |  | 13,250 | 13,250 |  | 13, 51,823 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 28,038 |
| Portuguese Africa. |  |  |  |  | 166,328 | 107,439 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total, allcountries. | 39,013,944 | 24, 114, 253 | 81,664,903 | 26,060, 749 | 1271,610,130 | 83,060, 731 | 176,241 | 158,603 | 383,787 | 208, 110 | 25,062,550 | 185,361, 834 |
| Excess imports or exports | 38,837, 703 | 23,955,650 | 81,281, 116 | 25, 852, 639 | 266,547,580 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 102,301, 103 |

1 Includes: Ore and base bullion, $\$ 18,734,000$; United States mint or assay office bars, $\$ 428,000$; other refined bullion, $\$ 190,462,000$; United States coin, $\$ 13,862,000$; foreign coin, $\$ 48,125,000$.
${ }^{2}$ Includes: Domestic exports-Ore and base bullion, $\$ 27,000$; United States mint or assay offlce bars, $\$ 390,000$; other refined bullion, $\$ 58,000$; coin $\$ 4,254,000$. Foreign exports-Ore and base bullion, $\$ 1,000 ;$ coin, $\$ 335,000$.

SILVER IMPORTS INTO AND EXPORTS FROM THE UNITED STATES, DISTRIBUTED BY COUNTRIES.

| Country. | Imports. |  |  |  |  |  | Exports. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { During } \\ \text { 10 days } \\ \text { ending } \\ \text { Apr. 20, } \\ \text { 1921. } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { During } \\ & \text { 10 days } \\ & \text { ending } \\ & \text { Apr. } 30 \\ & \text { 1921. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { During } \\ \text { month } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { April, } \\ \text { 1921. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { During } \\ \text { 10 days } \\ \text { ending } \\ \text { May } 10, \\ 1921 . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { From } \\ \text { Jan. } 1 \\ \text { may } 10, \\ \text { 1921. } \end{gathered}$ | From <br> Jan. 1 <br> to <br> May 10 <br> 1920. | During 10 days Apr. 20 1921. | During 10 days Apr. 30, 1921. | During month April, 1921. | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { During } \\ 10 \text { days } \\ \text { onding } \\ \text { May } 10, \\ 1921 . \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { From } \\ \text { Jan. } 1 \\ \text { to } \\ \text { May 10, } \\ \text { 1921. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { From } \\ \text { Jan. } 1 \\ \text { to } \\ \text { May 10, } \\ 1920 . \end{gathered}$ |
| France. | \$2,000 | \$435 | \$2,537 | \$1,325 | \$78,124 | \$55,791 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Germany | 20,266 | 21,219 | 41,485 | 48, 281 | 128, 838 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Greece. |  |  |  |  | 209,799 805 | 369 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Norway. |  |  |  |  |  | 14,453 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Portugai | 887 | 600 | 1,487 |  | 11,317 | 5,288 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spain.. |  |  |  | 160 | 15,430 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| United Kingdom, England. | 1,294 | 53 | 2,283 | 1,103,870 | 1,130, 143 | 519,759 | 897,993 | \$210,795 | \$1,008,788 | \$278,293 | \$5,029, 109 | 1,438, 306 |
| Total Europ | 24,447 | 22,307 | 47,792 | 1,153,636 | 1,074,856 | 595,660 | 797,993 | 210,795 | 1,008,788 | 278,293 | 5,029, 109 | 1,480,566 |
| Bermuda. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 200 |  | 200 |  | 200 |  |
| ${ }_{\text {Brandish }}$ Canada.... | 127,622 | 96,711 | 261,562 | 65,454 | 1,631,512 | - 3958,170 | 63,383 | 123,723 | 266, 193 | 40,160 | 1,031,212 |  |
| Costa Rica | 1,271 | 320 | 1,764 |  | 7,954 | 20, 236 |  |  |  |  | 1,3,212 | 5,001,365 |
| Guatemala |  |  |  |  |  | 19,980 |  |  |  |  | 500 |  |
| Honduras. | 99, 525 | 68,081 19 | 246,552 17,897 | 160,477 10,000 | 711,563 93,442 | $\begin{array}{r}1,297,630 \\ 279 \\ \hline 1828\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  | 800 | 351,800 |
| Panama.. | 2,215 |  | 2,263 | 61,175 | 68,510 | 28, 252 |  |  |  |  | 226,000 | 431,000 |
| Salvador. | ,229 | 2,300 665,256 | 2, 2,300 | 1,380,052 | 12,407,144 | $3,471,050$ $27,571,095$ |  |  |  |  | 50,000 $1,141,473$ |  |
| Mexico | 793,229 | 1,971 | 2,02,054 | 1,380,052 | 12,407,144 ${ }^{9} 2$ | 27,50, 40194 | - $\begin{array}{r}313,526 \\ 2,526\end{array}$ | 1, 1,756 | 4, 41,282 | $\begin{array}{r}32,185 \\ 2,572 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $1,141,473$ 305,625 | 769,025 |
| British West Indies. |  | 1 |  | 1,598 | 1,744 | 6,941 |  | 5,552 | 5,942 |  | -94,392 | 17,255 |
| Virgin Islands of United states. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dominican Republic |  |  | 154 | 350 |  | 84,800 1,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 132,000 |
| French West Indies. |  |  |  | 350 | 1,00 | 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Haiti. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4,000 |
| Total North America. | 1,023,862 | 835,682 | 2,558,317 | 1,679,106 | 14,940, 736 | 34,448,954 | 379, 663 | 141, 979 | 638,497 | 80,923 | 2,850,202 | 8,431,912 |
| Argenti |  |  | 955 | 4,600 | 11,926 | 10,327 |  |  |  | 450 | 900 | 1,701 |
| Bolivia |  | 8,000 | 8,000 238 | 21,130 | 154,572 40,509 | 655,303 293 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chile. | 126,367 | 18,885 | 145, 252 |  | 890, 644 | 1,539,672 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Colombia | 2,108 | 1,626 | 9,742 | 2,392 | 65, 665 | 100,284 |  |  |  |  | 239,500 |  |
| Ecuador....... |  |  | 3,204 4 | 2,785 | 18,185 | 20,854 |  |  |  |  | 23, |  |
| Duteh Guiana. |  |  |  |  |  | $\cdots \cdots, 380$ |  |  |  |  |  | ,002 |
| $\underset{\text { Peru... }}{ }$ | 142,633 | 243, 497 | 451, 396 | 14,844 | 1, 803, 132 | 5, 594, 394 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vene |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10,000 |
| Total South America. | 271,112 | 272,258 | 618,855 | 45,751 | 2,985,091 | 7,927,507 |  |  |  | 450 | 240, 400 | 15,036 |
| China.... | 274 | 446 | 720 | 359 53 | 4,768 | 11,974 | 63,376 |  | 63,376 100, |  | 2,415,219 | 43,307, 131 |
| Driteh East Indies |  |  |  |  |  | i,664,701 |  |  |  |  |  | 223,211 |
| Hangkong |  |  |  |  | 192 | 1,650 | $\left.\begin{array}{r} 116,819 \\ 61,027 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | 307,434 | $\begin{array}{r} 424,253 \\ 83,809 \end{array}$ | 150,309 | $3,988,532$ $1,635,504$ | 14, 849,538 |
| Russia in Asia. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkey in Asia |  |  |  | 315 | 315 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Asia. | 274 | 446 | 69,596 | 727 | 217,650 | 1,678,325 | 341,222 | 307,434 | 671,538 | 150,309 | 9,655,240 | 62,439,223 |
| Australia.... |  |  |  |  | 1,860 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Zealand Philippine Isl | 353 |  | 33 3,378 | $\begin{array}{r}67 \\ 454 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}1,231 \\ 8,283 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 3,463 6,789 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Abyssinia.... | 303 | 2,525 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| British South Africa |  |  |  |  | 3,760 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| British West Africa. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5,480 |
| Portuguese Africa. |  | , | . | ......... | 14,251 | 75,935 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total, all countries. | 1,320,048 | 1,133,218 | 3,297,971 | 2,879,741 | 119,746,755 | 44,736,633 | 1,518,878 | 660, 208 | 2,318,823 | 509,975 | 217,774,951 | $72,372,217$ |
| Excess of imports or exports\| |  | 473,010 | 979, 148 | $2,369,766$ | 1,971,804 |  | 198, 830 |  |  |  |  | 27,635,584 |

1 Includes: Ore and base bullion, $\$ 15,293,000$; other refined bullion, $\$ 2,263,000$; United States coin, $\$ 451,000$; foreign coin, $\$ 1,740,000$.
2 Includes: Domestic exports-Ore and base bulion, $\$ 2,000$; United states mint or assay office bars, $\$ 152,000$; other refined bullion, $\mathbf{8 9 , 7 8 4 , 0 0 0 ;}$ coin, $\$ 807,000$. Foreign exports-Ore and base bullion, $\$ 2,000$; bullion refined, $\$ 5,106,000 ;$ coin, $\$ 1,922,000$.

## DISCOUNT AND INTEREST RATES.

In the following table are presented actual discount and interest rates prevailing during the 30 -day period ending May 15 , 1921, in the various cities in which the several Federal Reserve Banks and their branches are located. A complete description of the several types of paper for which quotations are given will be found in the September, 1918, and October, 1918, Federal Reserve Bulletins. Quotations for new types of paper will be added from time to time as deemed of interest.

Rates for all classes of paper, except paper secured by Liberty bonds, on the whole tended to decline from the levels prevailing in the period ending April 15, 1921. These declines have been most general in the case of prime commercial paper purchased in the open market, in which case they affect a considerable number of centers. Present rates for all classes of paper, except bankers' acceptances, are higher in most reporting centers of paper, except bankers acceptances, are
than rates during the same period of 1920 .
discount and interest rates prevailing in various centers during 30-day period ending may $15,1921$.

${ }^{1}$ Rates for demand paper secured by prime bankers' acceptances, high, 6 ; low, $5 \frac{1}{2}$; customary, 6 .
${ }^{2}$ No report.

## MONEY HELD OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES TREASURY AND THE FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM, MAY 1, 1921.


${ }^{1}$ Includes reserve funds held against issues of United States notes and Treasury notes of 1890 and redemption funds hold against issues of national-bank notes, Federal Reserve notes, and Federal Reserve Bank notes, but excludes gold and silver coin and bullion held in trust for the redemption of outstanding gold and silver ceriticates and Treasury notes of 1890 .
${ }^{2}$ Exclusive of $\$ 288,017,808$ held with United States Treasurer in gold redemption fund against Federal Reserve notes, but inclusive of balances in gold settlement fund standing to the credit of the Federal Reserve Banks and agents.
${ }_{8}$ Includes subsidiary silver.

* Includes Treasury notes of 1890.


## FEDERAL RESERVE BANK DISCOUNT RATES.

RATES ON PAPER DISCOUNTED FOR MEMBER BANKS IN EFFECT JUNE 1, 1921.

| Federal Reserve Bank. | Paper maturing within 90 days. |  |  |  | Bankers' acceptances maturing within 3 months. | Agricultural and live-stock paper maturing after 90 days, but within 6 months. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Secured by- |  | Trade acceptances. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Commercial } \\ & \text { paper } \\ & \text { n. e. s. } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  | Treasury certificates of indebtedness. | Liberty bonds and Victory notes. |  |  |  |  |
| Boston. | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |  | 6 |
| New York. . . | 6 | 6 | $6 \frac{1}{2}$ | 61 | 6 | 61 |
| Philadelphia. | ${ }^{1} 6$ | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Cleveland.... | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Richmond. | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Atlanta. . | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Ohicago.. | 6 | 6 | $6 \frac{1}{2}$ | $6 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6 | 61 |
| St. Louis. | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6 |
| Minneapolis. | 6 | 6 | $6 \frac{1}{2}$ | 67 | 6 | $6 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| Kansas City.. | ${ }^{1} 6$ | 6 | 6 | 6 | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6 |
| Dallas...... | 6 | 6 | $6 \frac{1}{2}$ | $6 \frac{1}{2}$ | $6 \frac{1}{2}$ | $6 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| San Francisco. | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |

[^5]EARNINGS AND DIVIDENDS OF STATE BANK AND TRUST COMPANY MEMBERS.
ABSTRACT OF REPORTS OF EARNINGS AND DIVIDENDS OF STATE BANE AND TRUST COMPANY MEMBERS OF THE FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM FOR THE LAST SIX MONTHS OF 1920, ARRANGED BY FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICTS.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { District } \\ \text { No. } 1 \\ \text { (39 } \\ \text { banks). } \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { District } \\ \text { No.2 } \\ \text { (1344 } \\ \text { banks). } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { District } \\ \text { No. } \\ \text { (46 } \\ \text { banks). } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { District } \\ & \text { No. } 4 \\ & \text { (110 } \\ & \text { banks). } \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { District } \\ & \text { No. } 5 \\ & \text { (55 } \\ & \text { banks). } \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { District } \\ \text { No. } 6 \\ \text { (84 } \\ \text { banks). } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { District } \\ \text { N. } \\ \text { ( } 358 \\ \text { banks). } \end{gathered}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { District } \\ \text { No. } \\ \text { } 91 \\ \text { banks } . \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { District } \\ & \text { No. } 9 \\ & \text { (120 } \\ & \text { (anks). } \end{aligned}$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{c} \text { District } \\ \text { No. } 10 \\ \text { (61 } \\ \text { banks). } \end{array}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { District } \\ \text { No. 11 } \\ \text { (183 } \\ \text { banks). } \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\left[\begin{array}{c} \text { District } \\ \text { No. } 12 \\ \text { (196 } \\ \text { banks). } \end{array}\right.$ | Total United States (1,477 banks). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capital stock pa Surplus......... | $\begin{aligned} & 35,261 \\ & 38,437 \end{aligned}$ | 175,384 187,600 | 24,935 48,250 | 40, 834 | 14,733 9,704 | 25, 050 | 98,554 <br> 83,983 | 27,675 21,595 | 10,147 <br> 3,620 | 8,460 3,345 | 15,071 6,032 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} 50,402 \\ 22,819 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 526,506 \\ & 510,609 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total capital and surplus | 73,698 | 362,984 | 73,185 | 109,558 | 24,437 | 41, 550 | 182, 537 | 49, 270 | 13,767 | 11,805 | 21, 103 | 73, 221 | 1,037,115 |
| Gross earnings: <br> Interest and discount. <br> Exchange and collection charges <br> Commissions. <br> Other earnings........................ | $\begin{array}{r} 20,060 \\ 52 \\ 452 \\ 4,103 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 92,921 \\ 347 \\ 3,773 \\ 21,164 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10,088 \\ 83 \\ 152 \\ 2,256 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20,328 \\ 62 \\ 353 \\ 5,159 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,456 \\ 91 \\ 75 \\ 602 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11,924 \\ 736 \\ 319 \\ 675 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 49,657 \\ 757 \\ 1,061 \\ 6,782 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11,601 \\ 392 \\ 265 \\ 1,060 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,396 \\ 85 \\ 81 \\ 210 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,696 \\ 48 \\ 39 \\ 646 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}4,804 \\ \text { 202 } \\ 53 \\ 188 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23,861 \\ 564 \\ 315 \\ 1,962 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}257,792 \\ 3,619 \\ 6,238 \\ 42,807 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| Total gross earning | 22,667 | 117, 705 | 12, 579 | 25,902 | 5,224 | 13,654 | 58,257 | 13,318 | 4,772 | 4, 429 | 5,247 | 26,702 | 310, 456 |
| Expenses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest and discount on bor- |  |  |  |  | 870 |  |  |  | 894 | 857 |  |  |  |
| rowed money. | 1,218 | 8,188 | 891 | 753 | 796 | 2, 469 | 4,363 | 2,146 | 482 | 629 | 630 | 1,360 | 23,925 |
| Interest on deposit | 7, 511 | 34, 159 | 3,114 | 9,028 | 1,374 | 2,438 | 16,485 | 2,967 | 1,474 | 1,050 | 733 | 9,209 | 89, 542 |
| Taxes.. |  | 6 | 713 | 1,137 | 396 | 1,062 |  | 822 | 271 | 259 | 343 | 1,113 | 18,756 |
| Other expense | 2,244 | 12, 123 | 1,292 | 3,300 | 712 | 1,566 | 5,829 | 1,699 | 582 | 857 | 897 | 3,103 | 34, 204 |
| Total expenses | 16,063 | 80,675 | 7,981 | 18,703 | 4,148 | 9, 786 | 40,970 | 10,008 | 3,703 | 3,652 | 3,864 | 19,748 | 219,301 |
| Net earnings since last report... Recoveries on charged-off assets | $\begin{gathered} \hline 6,604 \\ 169 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 37,030 \\ 1,136 \end{array}$ | 4,598 36 | 7,199 222 | 1,076 47 | 3,868 110 | $17,287$ | $\begin{array}{\|} \hline 3,310 \\ 96 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,069 \\ 45 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 777 \\ 24 \end{array}$ | 1,383 55 | $6,954$ | $\begin{array}{r} 91,155 \\ 3,245 \end{array}$ |
| Total net earnings and recoveries. | 6,773 | 38, 166 | 4,634 | 7,421 | 1,123 | 3, 978 | 18, 156 | 3,406 | 1,114 | 801 | 1,438 | 7,390 | 94, 400 |
| Losses charged off: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| on loans and discoun | 1,174 | 5,336 8,186 8,18 | $\begin{array}{r}23 \\ 836 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 1,192 | 130 219 | 1,052 | 1,295 | 793 <br> 761 | 78 | 158 | 999 | 1,659 1,100 | 13, 18,371 |
| Other losse | 105 | 1,461 | 126 | , 366 | 1 | 168 | 1, 141 | 327 | 61 | 21 | 212 | -658 | 4,677 |
| Total losses charged | 2,614 | 14, 983 | 985 | 1,690 | 380 | 2,697 | 5,439 | 1,881 | 511 | 324 | 1,170 | 3,417 | 36,091 |
| Net addition to profits | 4,159 | 23,183 | 3,649 | 5,731 | 743 | 1,281 | 12,717 | 1,525 | 603 | 477 | 268 | 3, 973 | 58,309 |
| Dividends declared | 2, 504 | 16, 308 | 2,450 | 3,917 | 859 | 1,564 | 6,147 | 1,659 | 396 | 441 | 73 | 3,618 | 40,600 |
| Ratio of dividends declared to capital stock (annual basis), per cent. Ratio of dividends declared to capi- | 14.2 | 18.6 | 19.7 | 19.2 | 11.7 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 12.0 | 7.8 | 10.4 | 9.8 | 14.4 | 5. |
| Ratio ofdivid tal and surplus (annual basis), per cent. | 6.8 | 9.0 | 6.7 | 7.2 | 7.0 | 7.5 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 5.8 | 7.5 | 7.0 | 9.9 | 7.8 |
| Ratio of net profits to capital and surplus (annual basis), per cent. | 11.3 | 12.8 | 10.0 | 10.5 | 6.1 | 6.2 | 13.9 | 6.2 | 8.8 | 8.1 | 2.5 | 10.9 | 11. |

FINANCIAL STATISTICS FOR ENGLAND, FRANCE, ITALY, GERMANY, SWEDEN, AND JAPAN.

A summary of banking and financial conditions abroad is presented statistically in the accompanying tables. Similar material will be published regularly each month in the Bulletin.

BRITISH FINANCIAL SITUATION.
[Amounts in millions of pounds sterling.]

|  | Deposit and note accounts, Bank of England and Treasury. |  |  |  | Government floating debt. |  |  | Nine Londen clearing banks. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  | Discount rates. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bank notes. 1 |  | Deposits, public and other. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Coin } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { bullion. } 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Treas- } \\ \text { ury } \\ \text { bills. } \end{gathered}$ | Temporary advances. | Total floating debt. | Money at call and short notice. | $\underset{\text { Dis- }}{\text { counts }}$ and advances. | Invest ments. | $\begin{gathered} \text { De- } \\ \text { posits. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 3 \text { months' } \\ \text { bank } \\ \text { bills. } \end{array}$ | 6 months trade bills. |
| 1913, average of end of month flgures.. | 29 |  | 57 | 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Per cent. $4 \frac{1}{3}$ | Per cent. $4 \frac{24}{26}$ |
| 1920, end of- | 99 |  | 137 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| April..... | 191 | ${ }_{337}$ | 140 | 141 | 1,048 | 249 | 1, 297 |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{6}^{64}$ | ${ }_{7}^{69}$ |
| May. | 104 | 348 | 118 | 141 | 1,062 | 221 | 1,283 |  |  |  |  | $6 \frac{18}{2}$ | $7 \frac{1}{3}$ |
| June............... | 107 | 357 | 192 | 146 | 1,050 | 244 | 1,294 |  |  |  |  | $5{ }^{6}$ | $7{ }^{\text {7 }}$ |
| July.............. | 107 | 362 | 134 | 152 | 1,058 | 204 | 1,262 |  |  |  |  |  | 71 |
| Angust.......... | 106 109 | 356 <br> 354 | 116 127 | 152 | 1,067 1,139 | 183 <br> 143 <br> 1 | 1,250 1,282 |  |  |  |  |  | $7{ }^{71}$ |
| October.......... | 109 | 356 | 137 | 152 | 1,028 | 241 | 1,269 |  |  |  |  | $6{ }^{64}$ | $7{ }^{7}$ |
| November....... | 109 | 349 | 123 | 153 | 1, 097 | 231 | 1, 328 |  |  |  |  | $6 \frac{3}{2}$ | $7 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| December....... | 113 | 368 | 190 | 157 | 1,102 | 306 | 1,408 |  |  |  |  | $6{ }^{\circ}$ | $7 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| 1921: ${ }_{\text {january }}$ | 109 | 342 | 129 | 157 | 1,145 | 242 | 1,387 | 99 | 1,207 | 341 | 1,810 |  |  |
| February....... | 1.08 | 336 | 127 | 157 | 1,110 | 189 | 1,293 | 88 | 1,172 | 340 | 1,754 | ${ }_{6} 68$ | 71 |
| March............ | 110 | 344 | 138 | 157 | 1,121 | 155 | 1,275 | 83 | 1,145 | 336 | 1,715 | $6{ }^{6}$ | 71 |
| April.............. | 109 | 338 | 141 | 157 | 1,100 | 190 | 1,290 | 92 | 1,127 | 334 | 1,710 | 5 | 7 |
| May............ | 108 | 333 | 128 | 157 | 1,152 | 163 | 1,315 |  |  |  |  | 518 | 7 |

${ }^{1}$ Less notes in currency notes account. ${ }^{2}$ Held by the Bank of England and by the Treasury as note reserve. s Average weekly figures. FRENCH FINANCIAL SITUATION.
[Amounts in millions of franes.]


[^6]
## ITALIAN FINANCIAL SITUATION.

[In millions of lire.]

|  | Leading private banks. ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | Banks of issue. |  |  |  |  |  | Government finances. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cash. | Loans, discounts, and corre-spondents' debts. | Deposits and corre-spondents' credits. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Loans } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { dis- } \\ \text { counts. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gold } \\ \text { re- } \\ \text { serve. } \end{gathered}$ | Total reserve. | Deposits and demand liabilities. | Com-mercial circulation. | Circulation for account of the state. | State currency notes. | Treasury metallie reserve. | Short- term treas- ury bills. | Total public debt. | Principal revenues from taxation and mo-nopolies during month. 9 |
| End of December, 1913. | 129 | 2,007 | 1,674 | 857 | 1,375 | 1,661 | 318 | 2,284 |  | 499 | 117 |  |  |  |
| January....... | 825 | 13,054 | 12,094 | 4,173 | 1,038 | 2,021 | 2,376 | 4,920 | 10,714 | 2,345 | 338 |  |  |  |
| February. | 749 | 13,571 | 12,629 | 4,671 | 1,038 | 2,047 | 2,224 | 4,848 | 10,599 |  |  |  |  |  |
| March. | 818 | 14,421 | 13,397 | 5,322 | 1,028 | 2,053 | 2,296 | 5,478 | 10, 454 |  |  |  |  |  |
| April. | 850 | 14,884 | 14,045 | 5,804 | 1,038 | 2,035 | 2,377 | 6,029 | 10,401 |  |  |  |  |  |
| May. | 813 | 15,240 | 14, 044 | 5,782 | 1,038 | 2,065 | 2,264 | 6,459 | 10,402 |  |  |  |  |  |
| June....................... | 874 | 14,996 | 14,060 | 6,784 | 1,039 | 2,110 | 2,379 | 7,484 | 10,333 | 2,538 | 343 | 9,300 | 95,000 | 1 |
| July...................... | 864 | 14,924 | 14, 131 | 6,576 | 1, 039 | 2,113 | 2,196 | 7,615 | 10,284 |  |  | 9,800 |  | 561 |
| August................ | 872 | 15,177 | 14, 457 | 6,233 | 1,039 | 2,172 | 2,276 | 7,413 | 10,524 | 2,546 | 349 | 10,200 |  | 878 |
| September............ | 942 | 15,653 | 14,824 | 6,628 | 1,039 | 2,217 | 2, 494 | 8,231 | 10,682 |  |  | 10,300 |  | 461 |
| October................. | 1,035 | 15, 700 | 14,909 | 7,083 | 1,058 | 2,082 | 2,337 | 8,361 | 10,940 | 2,546 |  | 10,700 | 99,000 | 1,268 |
| November............. | 1,097 | 16,003 | 15,315 | 6,397 | 1,058 | 2,069 | 2,589 | 8,577 | 10,899 |  |  | 11,700 |  | 563 |
| December. | 1,297 | 16,538 | 15,801 | 7,074 | 1,058 | 2,077 | 2,559 | 8,988 | 10,743 |  |  | 13,200 | 101,000 | 1,222 |
| January....... | 1,184 | 17,113 | 16,392 | 6,931 | 1,058 | 2,045 | 2,635 | 8,658 | 10,606 |  |  |  |  | 822 |
| February............... | 1,012 | 16,842 | 15,961 | 7,158 | 1,059 |  | 2,221 | 8,618 | 10,308 |  |  |  |  | 1,210 |
| March.................. | 1,061 | 17,096 | 16,425 | 7,144 | 1,062 | 2,043 | 2,461 | 9,234 | 9,531 |  |  |  |  | 606 |

${ }^{1}$ Banca Commerciale Italiana, Banca Italiana di Sconto, Credito Italiano, Banco di Roma.
${ }^{2}$ Revenues from state railways; from post, telegraph, and telephones; from state domain; from import duties on grain; and from Government sales of sugar are not included.

GERMAN FINANCIAL SITUATION.
[Amounts in millions of marks.]

${ }^{1}$ Quotations of the Berlin Bourse
2 Caiculated by the Frankfurter Zeitung with the prices of 10 bonds and 25 stocks. Prices as of Jan. 1, $1920=100$.
${ }^{2}$ Compilation of the Frankfurter Zeitung.
4 As of May 3.
As of June 1
TAs of Aug. 2 .
${ }_{8}$ As of Aug. 2 .

- Does not include postal and telegraph receipts, which averaged $361,000,000$ marks during the first 10 months of the fiscal year.

SWEDISH FINANCIAL SITUATION.
[In millions of kronor.]

|  | Riksbank. |  | Joint-stock banks. |  |  | Riksbank. |  | Joint-stock banks. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gold coin } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { bullion. } \end{gathered}$ | Note circulation. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bills } \\ \text { dis- } \\ \text { counted } \\ \text { with the } \\ \text { Riks- } \\ \text { bank. } \end{gathered}$ | Loans and discounts. |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gold coin } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { bullion. } \end{aligned}$ | Note circula tion. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bills } \\ \text { dis- } \\ \text { counted } \\ \text { with the } \\ \text { Riks- } \\ \text { bank. } \end{gathered}$ | Loans and discounts. |
| 1913, end of December. | 102.1 | 234.5 | 155.2 | 2,286.9 | 1920, end of--Continued: |  |  |  |  |
| 1920, end of: |  |  |  |  | October-...... | 282.4 282.4 | 772.8 752.8 | 501.5 446.0 | 6,079.0 |
| April.. | 261.0 | 718.6 718.3 | 503.5 493.8 | 5,876.4 5 , 269.4 | November.... | 283.4 281.8 | 752.8 759.9 | 446.0 450.3 | $6,117.8$ $6,211.3$ |
| May. | 261.0 | 708.3 | 470.4 | 5,998.6 | 1921-January. | 281.9 | 672.5 | 429.2 | 6,172.6 |
| June. | 261.1 | 736.5 | 527.6 | 5,982.9 | February. | 281.8 | 687.6 | 451.3 | 6,119.2 |
| July | 261.3 | 724.8 | 511.8 | 6,028.9 | March. | 281.7 | 716.9 | 442.2 | 6,093.6 |
| August. | 261.4 279.8 | 742.2 779.8 | 5666.0 | 6,007. 4 | April. $^{\text {May }}$ | 281.8 | 680.5 | 400.5 | 6,065. 3 |
| September..... | 279.8 | 779.8 | 544.7 | 6,068.7 | May ${ }^{1} . .$. | 281.6 | 651.1 |  | 5,982.7 |

${ }^{1}$ Provisional.
JAPANESE FINANCIAL SITUATION.
[Amounts in millions of yen.]

|  | Bank of Japan. |  |  |  | Tokyo banks. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Private and Government deposits. | Loans and discounts. | Note circulation. | Specie reserve. ${ }^{2}$ | Tokyo associated banks, total loans. | Tokyo bank clearings (total within the month). | Average discount rate (Toky0 market). |
| 1920, end of-1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Per cent. |
|  | 1,181 | 364 <br> 432 | 1,368 | ${ }_{917}^{921}$ | 1,982 | 4,135 | 10.15 |
| May... | 1,209 | 445 | 1, 328 | 930 | 2,089 | 2,922 | 10.95 |
| June.. | 1,165 | 381 | I, 349 | 979 | 2,036 | 2,524 | 10.99 |
| July . | 1,120 | 273 | 1,202 | 1,011 | 2,029 | 2,109 | 10.95 |
| August. | 1,202 | 278 | 1,217 | 1,040 | 2,014 | 2,139 | 10. 80 |
| September | 1,079 | 180 | 1,170 | 1,078 | ${ }_{2}^{2,076}$ | 2,032 | 10. 59 |
| November. | 1,048 | 128 | 1,180 | 1,152 | 2,133 2,134 | 2,302 | 10.48 |
| December. | 1,040 | 158 | 1,439 | 1,247 | 2,137 | 2, 841 | 10. 26 |
| 1921, end of- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January.. | 1,071 | 115 | 1,235 | 1,235 | 2,171 | 2,013 | 10.33 |
| February. | 1,126 1,190 |  | 1,141 1,178 | 1,141 1,178 | 2, 188 | 2,143 | 9.71 |
| April.... | 1,190 |  | 1,178 | 1,178 | 1,219 1,848 | 2, 2,442 | 9.23 |

[^7]
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Delivered during his recent tour of the Central and Middie Western States.

[^1]:    1 See also Federal Reserve Bulletin for December, 1920, p. 1296.

[^2]:    1 The index number of the Federal Reserve Board has been constructed primarily with a view to international comparisons of wholesale prices. Due to the difficulties connected with the collection of foreign prices, the foreign index numbers are still incomplete, but in spite of this it has seemed advisable to publish the American mumber, since it contains certain classifications of commodities not otherwise available, namely, the prices of the important goods imported into this country, and of goods largely exported, and compares them with the general price level in the
    United States. The number has been published monthly during a period of one year but is computed for the years 1913 , 1919 , and the first of 1920 as well.

    For detailed information regarding the makeup of the number, reference may be made to the Federal Reserve Bulletin for May, 1920 , pages 499-503. The commodities included in the different groups are listed there with exact specifications and markets indicated. The "weights" assigned to the different commodities in constructing the index numbers are also given in detail. Revisions in prices or weights appear in Bulletins for June, 1920, and June, 1921.

    The index of "goods produced" consists of 74 quotations ( 30 raw materials, 24 producers' and 20 consumers' goods). These include agricultural products (such as grains, live stock, and textiles), minerals, and lumber, among the raw materials; yarns, leather, semifinished steel products, refined oils, chemicals, building materials, etc,, among the producers' goods; and potatoes, meats, flour, rice, dairy products, cotton and woolen cloth, boots and shoes, and kerosene among the consumers' goods.

    The index of "goods imported" consists of 18 quotations ( 9 raw materials, 7 producers' and 2 consumers' goods). It includes Egyptian cotton, Australian and South American raw wool, Japanese and Chinese silk, South American hides, Straits tin, and Canadian lumber among the raw materials; plantation and Para rubber, Chilean nitrate, cane sugar, burlap, sisal, etc., among producers' goods; and tea and coffee for consumers' goods.

    Leading American exports are included in the index of prices of "goods exported," which is made up of 40 quotations ( 17 raw materials, 12 producers' and 11 consumers' goods). Grains, tobacco, cotton, copper, coal, pig iron, petroleum, and lumber make up the list of raw materials; vegetable oils, leather, semifinished metal products, refined oils, and chemicals the producers' goods; and wheat flour, refined sugar, pork products, coffee, cotton cloth, boots and shoes, and kerosene the consumers' goods.

    The index numbers of "raw materials," "producers' goods," and "consumers' goods" consist of the commodities mentioned above which fall into these classes, whether they are of domestic or foreign origin. The raw materials group includes 39 quotations, the producers ${ }^{\prime}$ goods 29 , and the consumers' goods 22 .

    The "all commodities" index is obtained by combining the group indexes of domestic and foreign goods. It consists of 90 different quotations. The quotations are obtained from representative trade journals and private frms. About hal of them are the same that are used by the Bureau of Labor Statistics in its larger compilation of prices and are furnished to the Board by that bureau.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes hides and skins in transit. The number of firms reporting increased in the autumn of 1920 as follows: September, 1,307; October, 1,015; November, 2,027; December, 2,059.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Incorporated banks other than mutual savings banks.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ Discount rate corresponds to interest rate borne by certificates pledged as collateral.
    Note.-Rates shown for St. Louis and Kansas City are normal rates, applying to discounts not in excess of a basic line fixed for each member bank by the Federal Reserve Bank. In the case of St. Louis average borrowings in excess of the basic line are subject to an additional charge of 1 per cent; while in the case of Kansas City the rates on discounts in excess of the basic line are subject to a $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent progressive increase for each 25 per cent by which the amount of accommodation extended exceeds the basic line, with a maximum rate of 12 per cent.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes Treasury and individual deposits.
    ${ }^{2}$ Under the laws of Aug. 5 and Dec. 26, 1914, July 10, 1915, and Feb. 16, 1917.

    - From indirect taxation and Government monopoiies.
    ompanies not included.
    SNot including about 1,978 million francs held abroad.
    - Foreign debt calculated at the exchange rates of Sept. 30,1920
    T Nor including about 1,948 million franes held abroad.
    * Foreign debt calculated at the exchange rates of Feb. 28, 1921.

[^7]:    1 In case of Tokyo banks, and note circulation and specie reserve of Bank of Japan, last day of month ${ }^{2}$ It is generally understood that in recent years a certain portion of the reserve has been held abroad. Specie reserve figures do not include
    bank's own notes held in the bank.

