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## REVIEW OF THE MONTH.

During the recent period of economic readjustment the important rela-
Readjustment tionships existing between pubsituation. lic finance and banking have been apparent, as well as between business and banking. The former phase of the subject has now become of distinctly less significance than for some time past. Business readjustment, on the other hand, has continued to absorb a greater proportion of public attention. It had been supposed that before this time very decided progress toward the completion of such readjustment would have been attained. The facts which have come to light during the past month or more have, however, made it apparent that the process will be slower than had been expected. The attention of the public and of the banking community particularly has therefore been closely concentrated upon the elements or factors which are of chief importance in the situation with a view to determining which of them are distinctly responsible for the slowing down of progress toward normal conditions. A review of the existing situation shows that the outstanding features of the present problem include wages, prices, transportation rates, and costs of raw materials, as well as the relationship of banking and credit to each of these fields of economic organization. Business conditions during the month of April reflect the varying changes in these different elements, and suggest that the significant feature in the present outlook is the unevenness with which relationships between the chief factors have been adapted to one another. Recovery in business, when viewed at any particular moment, is in fact distinctly irregular and uneven.

This state of things is of fundamental importance to banking and credit
Relation to prospects because of the fact credit prospects. that complete restoration of soundness of banking institutions can occur only in proportion as a wellrounded and well-planned system of credit is again brought into existence. Failure to restore such a condition in credit necessarily implies the existence of discrepancies in business progress as between different centers, while it also involves the continued existence of "frozen" credit in those lines in which readjustment has been slow and halting or in which the absence of demand from other quarters, due to incomplete readjustment and reorganization, has sufficed to hold back complete adaptation to new conditions. While it has been customary in many quarters to regard the slowness of economic readjustment as in large measure due to the curtailment of export demand, the fact remains that a situation very closely parallel to our own exists in numerous foreign countries. In fact, the conditions in some of these countries are more pronounced and more seriously retarded than they are in the United States. While due weight must, of course, be given to the destruction of wealth resulting from the war, the continued and burdensome tax systems which prevail in almost all countries, and to the general disorganization and uncèrtainty resulting in part from disturbed currency and exchange conditions, it remains true that these factors taken by themselves do not fully explain the state of things in any one country. If all have been similarly affected, it is evidently unsatisfactory to attribute the condition of any one of them to reduction of export demand. The retardation of the readjustment process and the fact that it has
proceeded more rapidly in some fields than in others evidently calls for additional analysis and explanation. The importance of such considerations from the credit standpoint has already been made evident. It would seem to be true that in nearly all countries failure to bring about a steady and moderate return to normal conditions has been in part due to the fact that the receding power both of capital and labor, and, in fact, of all elements of cost, has been different in different industries and to some extent in different portions of the same industry, or in different parts of the country. Irregularity and uncertainty in the process of readjustment inflicts serious hardship upon those who find their output falling in price while their expenses are but little, or not at all, changed. Particularly is the hardship of falling return and fixed cost felt by the wage earner who is either partly or wholly unemployed or who finds his income reduced at the same time that he is able to lower but little his actual cost of living.

From some standpoints the fundamental factor, perhaps the foremost

Uneven price reductions. cause of this unevenness in readjustment, is seen in the irregular movement of prices of commodities that normally move in concert. Such lack of adjustment is seen at practically every stage of the productive process, in that a fall in the price of raw materials is not adequately reflected in the price of the manufactured article, nor do reductions in retail prices correspond with those in wholesale. When the decline of wholesale prices began, several factors operated to retard the parallel reduction of charges made by retailers. One of these was the indisposition on the part of retailers to sell below their original cost, an attitude in which they were supported by many wholesalers and manufacturers who recognized that the collection of the amounts owing to them would depend upon recovery by the retailer from the public of at least a substantial percentage of the original cost to him of his goods. Another element in the situation was undoubtedly the influence of
associations of middlemen and of retailers who by agreement among themselves succeeded in sustaining prices, not perhaps at their original level but at a level considerably above that which was warranted by changes in wholesale prices. A third element of considerable importance is seen in the fact that certain elements of cost, such as wages, had become thoroughly stereotyped as the result of law or agreement or by decisions of semigovernmental bodies. Perhaps the best example of conditions of this kind is offered by the situation on our railways and by conditions in some basic lines of industry where positive contracts or agreements extending over a series of years had been entered into, as, for example, coal mining. The effect of the various retarding factors, at any rate, has been that of preventing at all times a close correspondence between changes in wholesale and changes in retail prices. The progress of the situation may be best illustrated by comparative index numbers reflecting wholesale and retail prices during the past year or more.
These in lex numbers differ materially among themselves. Wholesale price
Showing of in- indexes are far more sensitive dex numbers. and respond far more quickly to changes in costs or conditions of production than do retail price indexes. The field covered by wholesale price indexes has also been much more carefully studied than has that of retail prices. Retail prices vary considerably as between different localities and respond to differences in buying power among consumers, differences in cost of distribution, and other elements. Satisfactory retail price indexes are in fact not available. The Bureau of Labor Statistics publishes such an index including only the prices of foodstuffs, but no index numbers are available to show the movement of prices of clothing and other important elements in the retail price field. As things stand, the purchaser of commodities at retail is in a peculiarly difficult situation, because of his lack of a standard by which to judge the fairness of the price which he is expected to pay for the necessaries of life. He can not be expected to be closely acquainted with
changes in wholesale prices from day to day, nor can he in any adequate way allow for the various factors which prevent such changes from being immediately reflected in the charges which are made to him by dealers in consumable commodities. The following table, however, exhibits the movement of prices as shown by the wholesale price indexes of the Federal Reserve Board and the Bureau of Labor Statistics and compares with them the index of the retail prices of foods as published by the Bureau of Labor Statistics.

Prices in the United States.

| [Average price for 1913=100.] |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Wholesale price index, Federal Reserve Board. | Wholesale price index, Burean of Labor Statisties. | Retail prices of food, Bureau of Labor Statistics. |
| 1920. |  |  |  |
| January... | 242 | 248 | 201 |
| February. | 242 | 249 | 200 |
| March... | 248 | 253 | 200 |
| April. | 263 | 265 | 211 |
| May... | 264 | 272 | 215 |
| June. | 258 | 269 | 219 |
| July. | 250 | 262 | 219 |
| August. | 234 | 250 | 207 |
| September | 226 | 242 | 203 |
| October. | 208 | 225 | 198 |
| November. | 190 | 207 | 193 |
| Decomber. | 173 | 189 | 178 |
| Average price for the year... | 233 | 243 | 203 |
| 1921. |  |  |  |
| January.. | 163 | 178 | 172 |
| February. | 154 | 167 | 158 |
| March..... | 150 | 162 | 156 |

Special interest attaches to the irregularity and unevenness with which changes in prices have progressed in varying groups of commodities since the peak of the price movement was reached. The figures regularly published by the Federal Reserve Board for this class of goods exhibit this situation clearly in contrast with the figures for the price level of 1913 taken as a base. Such a comparative showing is furnished in the following brief tabulation:
Index numbers of wholesale prices in the United States for principal classes of commodities-Bureau of Labor Sta-tistics-regrouped by Federal Reserve Board.
[A verage price for $1913=100$. ]

| Year and month. | Raw materials. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Farm products. | Animal ucts. | Forest products. | Minera products. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { raw mal } \\ & \text { terials. } \end{aligned}$ |
| January, 1921. | 155 | 119 | 245 | 220 | 175 |
| February, 1921 | 145 | 114 | 227 | 207 | 165 |
| March, 1021. | 136 | 116 | 213 | 197 | 158 |

The effect of this price irregularity has been to inflict serious hardship upon
Effect of price irregularity. some classes in the community. Such hardship is especially felt by the farming class, since the decline in prices of agricultural products has proceeded much more rapidly than the fall in prices of those articles which the farmer needs in the course of his operations. Whereas certain grains and cotton have gone back to prewar figures, the prices of fertilizer, agricultural machinery, wire, tools, and others have undergone no such reduction, or in other cases have been maintained at or near their high levels of cost. This situation has necessarily tended to bring about an artificial distribution of the load of readjustment among different classes of the community. Those classes which are not organized for the purpose of keeping up charges to the other members of the community and which, therefore, are obliged to absorb their losses must carry the largest proportion of the load. In thus specializing and localizing the effects of readjustment a number of factors have cooperated. Among them are the wage agreements to which reference has already been made and which have resulted in putting some classes of workers upon an entirely different basis than others; also associations of business men whose object is that of maintaining prices by tacit agreement or perhaps of raising them. Finally may be cited the cases where certain classes of payments are practically fixed in amount, as, for example, railroad rates. Suffering resulting from this unevenness in the movement of prices both in different parts of the country, as between different products, and in the retail as compared with the wholesale field, has been the moving factor in the demand which has made itself felt in various quarters for more extensive credit for the purpose of carrying commodities pending the establishment of the market upon a firmer basis. It has also been responsible for much of the complaint about excessive railroad rates and for a large part of the demand for the immediate enactment of tariff duties designed to protect specified industries against the undue competition of foreign products in those lines in which prices have most sharply fallen. So important is the influence of this price irregularity as to demand
careful discrimination and analysis of the factors which have tended to promote it.

During the past month the Federal Reserve Board has instituted a survey of the labor field for the purpose of ascertaining to what
The wage situation. extent the readjustment process has affected wages. The results of this inquiry are reviewed elsewhere in this issue, but it may be stated here that a general survey of plants which a year ago employed over $1,700,000$ workers shows a general decline in the numbers employed of 25 per cent; the reduction in the amount of the weekly pay roll has, however, been even greater, i. e., 29.8 per cent, indicating that wage rates have on the average been somewhat cut. It should be said, however, that as many of these plants were working a greater number of hours per week, often overtime, a year ago, some part of the pay-roll reduction is undoubtedly to be attributed to this fact and may have taken place without reductions in wage rates. But supplementary information indicates that the actual rates paid have been reduced, this being especially true in the case of unskilled labor and to a certain extent in the case of unorganized skilled labor. In some instances, especially in the southeastern portion of the United States, ordinary unskilled labor has sustained reductions of fully 50 per cent. The great group of textile workers has been affected also by wage reductions, as have iron and steel workers in the independent mills.
According to information published by the Bureau of Labor Statistics, the increase in weekly full-time rates of wages of workers in certain well-organized groups, such as the building, printing, trucking, and metal trades, was 89 per cent between 1913 and May, 1920. Such statistics of course do not take account either of increases in earnings due to overtime or reductions in earnings due to unemployment However it seems likely that during the past year, i. e., since May, 1920, weekly rates of wages of these particular classes of workers have not been materially changed, although earnings have been very generally reduced as a result of lack of employment. Railroad workers and coal miners and other
highly organized groups in standard basic industries, however, have not as yet experienced the general reductions that have occurred in other lines. A wage cut announced by the United States Steel Corporation on May 4, represents a step toward adjustment.
This condition of things naturally suggests a close connection between high
Effect of high charges. also aso throws a bright light upon the effect of such high wages and high prices as one element in retarding completion of the process of adapting the relationship between the industries in which they exist and the rank and file of other industries. Such retardation takes place through the lessening of demand in other industries for the products or services turned out by the high-price industries, or may result from the fact that in those cases where the products of high-price industries are ranked as "necessities" they exact from the other industries which consume their output an undue share of the product of the latter. The President in his opening message to Congress on April 12 referred to this situation as reported to him by the Federal Trade Commission, and said:
"One condition in the business world may well receive your inquiry. Deflation has been in progress but has failed to reach the mark where it can be proclaimed to the great mass of consumers. Reduced cost of basic production has been recorded, but high cost of living has not yielded in like proportion. For example, the prices on grains and live stock have been deflated, but the cost of bread and meats is not adequately reflected therein. It is to be expected that nonperishable staples will be slow in yielding to lowered prices, but the maintained retail costs in perishable foods can not be justified.
"I have asked the Federal Trade Commission for a report of its observations, and it attributes, in the main, the failure to adjust consumers' costs to basic production costs to the exchange of information by 'open-price associations,' which operate, evidently, within the law, to the very great advantage of their members and equal disadvantage to the consuming public. Without the spirit of hostility or haste in accusation of profiteering, some suitable inquiry by Congress might speed the price readjustment to normal relationship,
with helpfulness to both producer and consumer. A measuring rod of fair prices will satisfy the country and give us a business revival to end all depression and unemployment."

The report from which the President quoted in this message, after reviewing the situation and discussing conditions in the price field, stated the following view with respect to the question of readjustment as such:
"It should be said in conclusion that following the disordered condition of the world's affairs, a shrinkage in values is inevitable and that normal conditions will be the more quickly restored if the producer, the laborer, the manufacturer, the jobber, and the retailer will each share at once in the unavoidable loss, and further that any effort by any element to place its share of the common loss on the shoulders of others, and particularly of the consumer, can but result in a continuation of the conditions under which the country is now suffering."

A phase of the readjustment situation which will necessarily receive very

The railroad rate problem. careful attention as an element in the restoration of business to a satisfactory condition is the problem presented by railroad rates. The advance of prices and costs during the war necessitated an increase of rates during the period when the roads were under Government control, which amounted to between 25 and 40 per cent of their previous level. This amount did not prove sufficient to furnish the roads with a revenue adequate to their expenses. Railroad wages were several times advanced during the war, the aggregate increase amounting to 150 per cent of prewar wages. The increase in wages and in the number of men employed is estimated to have added probably about $\$ 2,400,000,000$ to the gross wage bill of the roads as compared with the amount disbursed on that account in 1914. The fact that rates, even with the heavy traffic which developed during the war, were not sufficient to meet expenses is shown by the necessity the Government was under of appropriating from March 21, 1918, when the "revolving fund" was created, down to and through the Transportation Act, about $\$ 2,682,000,000$ for the purpose of making up to the roads the amounts which were necessary to enable them to meet
operating expenses and provide for capital expenditures. Provision was thus made to pay their security holders the same dividends and interest which had been disbursed to the latter during the period before the war and for continuing guaranties for a six months' period after the close of Government operation. When the reaction in business set in there was a large curtailment of the volume of traffic, with the result that although railroads were able to reduce their wage bills by reducing their staffs of employees the loss of operating revenue went so far as to wipe out in many cases their net earnings and in many cases a deficit resulted. Rates had again been raised to the extent of $25-40$ per cent of their then existing level by the action of the Interstate Commerce Commission in August, 1920, but these advances, like those which were made under Government control during the war, were not adjusted to the necessities of the different kinds of traffic, with the result that some classes of rates were raised to a point that was unproductive of additional revenue. Since that time considerable reductions have been made in selling prices, while freight rates have remained the same. The most general way of measuring changes in freight rates is by calculating the revenue per ton per mile. Such calculations show that before the increase in rates which occurred last summer prewar rates had been advanced by approximately 35 per cent. Since then there has been on the average for the country as a whole the further increase of 25 to 40 per cent. While in the eastern district rates have been increased by 40 per cent, in the southern and western districts the increases have been less. On the average, present freight rates are approximately 72 per cent above prewar. The view is frequently expressed that a readjustment of rates will probably result beneficially both to the roads and to shippers. As things stand, the effect of the present level of rates is undoubtedly that of tending to interfere with the normal movement of products and the well-developed relationship of markets and industries. The Secretary of Commerce in some recent remarks. spoke of present rates on agricultural products as being so high that their continued maintenance would necessitate a rewriting of the
commercial geography of the country, meaning thereby that with rates at their present level or basis of adjustment various classes of producers were unable to put their products successfully into competitive markets. In this state of affairs it would seem that the result of existing conditions in the railroad rate structure is twofold, (1) railroad charges and costs are at present retained upon a basis which has undergone no readjustment such as has occurred in other branches of industry, so that they exact too large a proportion of the selling price of commodities, while (2) the lack of satisfactory adaptation of the rates to the various types of freight has resulted in preventing the movement of some classes of commodities to competitive markets. Moreover, increased expenses of delivery render it difficult or impossible for consumers to purchase freely so long as they are not able to get higher prices for their own products than are now prevalent.

The condition of business is illustrated by
Domesticbusi- the index numbers of producness indexes. tion and trade regularly computed by the Board, notwithstanding that they are, as heretofore remarked, necessarily about 30 days behind the date of publication. The latest figures for these indexes are, however, presented herewith:
[000 omitted.]

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { February, } \\ 1921 . \end{gathered}$ |  | March, 1921. |  | March, 1920. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total. | Relative. | Total. | Relative. | Total. | Relative. |
| Receipts of live stock at 15 western markets (head).... | 4,738 | 94.7 | 4,700 | 93.9 | 5,004 | 100 |
| Receipts of grain at 17 interior centers (bushels) | 66,515 | 97.9 | 78,899 | 116.1 | 67,920 | 100 |
| Sight receipts of cotton (bales) | 745 | 93.5 | 554 | 69.5 | 797 | 100 |
| Shipments of lumber reported by three associations (million feet) . . . . . . . . | 538 | 59.1 | 664 | 72.9 | 910 | 100 |
| Bituminous coal production (short tons). | 30, 851 | 65.9 | 30,328 | 64.8 | 46,832 | 100 |
| Anthracite coal production (short tons) | 7,845 | 99.8 | 7,603 | 96.8 | 7,857 | 100 |
| Crude petroleum production (barrels) | 35,348 | 98.6 | 40, 802 | 113.8 | 35,831 | 100 |
| Pig-iron production (long tons) | 1,937 | 57.4 | 1,596 | 47.3 | 3,376 | 100 |
| Steel-ingot production (long tons) | 1, 749 | 53.0 | 1,571 | 47.6 | 3,299 | 100 |
| Cotton consumption (bales).. | 1, 396 | 68.7 | 1, 438 | 76.0 | ${ }^{3} 576$ | 100 |

As shown by an analysis of these figures, but little reduction in volume or activity is revealed in some classes of business, while in others the reaction has been very pronounced.

The business situation thus parallels quite closely the status of things in regard to wages as already illustrated by the Board's wage investigation. In some branches of industry and business the volume of production remains nearly normal and the flow of commodities from producer to consumer at least reasonably so, while in others the reduction has been marked and decisive, just as in some branches of employment considerable decline in wages is noted notwithstanding that in others the level of pay remains practically unaffected. Altogether, therefore, business restoration may be said to be progressing as fast as the conditions of readjustment, the modification of rates, wages, profits, and the like, and the economic pressure of organizations of producers and employees will permit.

The conditions which have tended to retard readjustment in the United

Foreign business position. States have in nearly all cases operated in a similar way in foreign countries. There has been the same difficulty in bringing about a parallel change in retail and wholesale prices abroad that has been experienced in the United States, while the difficulties growing out of the fact that some industries adapted themselves to the new conditions much more rapidly than others have given rise to the same one-sidedness in the new development that has been witnessed here. Added to these general-not to say universalobstacles to the restoration of a harmonious price and cost situation has been the difficulty of foreign trade growing out of fluctuations in exchange. The past few weeks have seen but little improvement in this regard and the general outlook has been if anything impaired as a result of the delay in effecting a satisfactory settlement of the German reparations question. Lack of such settlement has seriously interrupted trade between the central empires and other countries, while it has left producers and exporters throughout the allied nations in a condition of doubt with reference to the date at which the return of less uncertain conditions might be expected. Labor controversies in some of the allied countries also have tended to check, or even have stopped, the production of essential commodities such as coal and have thereby limited the scope of actual foreign trade.

The movement of exchange rates has been as follows:

Foreign exchange rates.

|  | Week ended- |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Apr. 2. |  | Apr. 9. |  |
|  | High. | Low. | High. | Low. |
| England. | 3.9350 | 3.9038 | 3.9288 | 3.8788 |
| France... | . 0705 | . 0691 | . 0715 | . 0698 |
| Italy. | . 0419 | . 0400 | . 0442 | . 0413 |
| Spain. | . 1405 | . 1395 | . 1400 | . 1382 |
| Germany | . 0161 | . 0158 | . 0164 | . 0161 |
| Switzerland. | . 1743 | . 1722 | . 1734 | . 1722 |
| Sweden (Stockholm) | . 2370 | . 2315 | . 2370 | . 2335 |
| Holland............. | . 3463 | . 3435 | . 3470 | . 3442 |
| Belgium. | . 0736 | . 0722 | . 0745 | . 0727 |
| Argentina. | . 3369 | . 3333 | . 3321 | . 3188 |
| China (Hongkong) | . 4888 | . 4788 | . 4938 | . 4788 |
| China (Shanghai). | . 6513 | . 6288 | . 6563 | . 6338 |
| Japan (Yokohama) | . 4825 | . 4825 | . 4825 | . 4825 |
| Canads................. | . 8875 | . 8813 | . 8950 | . 8863 |
| Bar silver in New York. | . 5825 | . 5638 | . 5900 | . 5725 |
|  | Week ended- |  |  |  |
|  | Apr. 16. |  | Apr. 23. |  |
|  | High. | Low. | High. | Low. |
| England. | 3.9250 | 3.8863 | 3.9438 | 3.9200 |
| France.. | . 0715 | . 0707 | . 0736 | . 0712 |
| Italy. | . 0494 | . 0444 | . 0488 | . 0465 |
| Spain. | .1401 | . 1380 | . 1390 | . 1381 |
| Germany | . 0163 | . 0157 | . 0161 | . 0138 |
| Switzerland. | . 1736 | . 1727 | . 1733 | . 1729 |
| Sweden (Stockholm) | . 2385 | . 2355 | . 2380 | . 2359 |
| Holland............ | . 3468 | . 3450 | . 3484 | . 3460 |
| Belgium.. | . 0745 | . 0734 | . 0755 | . 0731 |
| Argentina......... | . 3259 | .3177 | . 3179 | . 3154 |
| China (Hongkong). | . 5138 | . 4938 | . 5188 | . 5038 |
| China (Shanghai). | . 6688 | . 6538 | . 6738 | . 6588 |
| Japan (Yokohama). | . 4825 | . 4825 | . 4825 | . 4825 |
| Canada.............. | . 8925 | . 8825 | . 8925 | . 8838 |
| Bar silver in New York. | . 6375 | . 5863 | . 6200 | . 5988 |

In so far as relates to our own trade with foreign countries, the tendencies that were noted a month

## Foreign trade.

 ago have become increasingly plain with the publication of the official figures for March. The value of exports declined to $\$ 387,000,000$, as compared with $\$ 489,000,000$ in February and $\$ 820,000,000$ for March, 1920. Imports, on the other hand, which are reported at $\$ 252,000,000$, show somewhat of an increase over the low figures recorded in January and February, although remaining at only about one-half the level of imports in March of last year. The drop in exports is due partly to price declines, but the fact remains that exports of many lines of goods, more especially manufactures, are at a standstill. Among raw materials the most noticeable reduction in the quantities exported occurs in the case of cotton, the exports of which in March were $195,000,000$ pounds as against$407,000,000$ pounds a year ago. Exports of wheat, corn, and barley, however, continue to move in larger volume than in the same month of 1920. In the nine months ending March, 1921, wheat was exported to the amount of $224,000,000$ bushels, compared with $95,000,000$ bushels in the similar period of 1919-20. These official trade statistics indicate that our foreign trade is at last returning to a more normal balance between exports and imports, and if there is anything unexpected in the latest returns it is that the readjustment has been so long delayed. The unfortunate feature of the present trade situation is in the inability of many foreign countries to meet their obligations, with an accompanying curtailment of export credits extended by us in this country. Under these circumstances the improvement of our export trade on a scale at all commensurate with our agricultural and industrial capacity therefore presents an important commercial and financial problem. Figures for European countries, while not yet available in detail, are likewise reported to show a very material slowing down in the movement toward recovery, due to the difficulties which have already been sketched and which have been generally reflected in a decline of buying power in most of the countries of the world. In those countries, like some of the South American States where moratoria have been declared, further importation, particularly from the United States, has been brought almost to a standstill because of the difficulty of absorbing and paying for goods which were already on hand.

Reflection of the unevenness of readjustment can be seen in the bank-
Banking results of uneven readjustment. ing situation in various parts of the country. It is illustrated by the rapid growth of reserves and lending power in some of the reserve districts as compared with a much slower development under these heads in other districts. As has been shown in an earlier number of the Bulletin, it has been true throughout the readjustment process that certain of the Federal Reserve Banks were continuous and steady lenders while other Federal Reserve Banks were and have since continued to be during much of the past winter and
spring borrowers or rediscounters at other Federal Reserve Banks. The growth of reserve resources in some of the Federal Reserve Banks which have been steady lenders to others has resulted in some unusually high reserve percentages within the past few weeks. At the Federal Reserve Bank of Boston, for example, there has been a steady accumulation of reserve funds which has brought the cash reserve of the bank as high as about 74 per cent of its outstanding liabilities. On April 15 the Federal Reserve Bank of Boston accordingly announced a 6 per cent rate on 90 -day commercial paper, at the same time, however, raising its rate on notes secured by Government obligations from $5 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent to 6 per cent, and thus putting into effect a uniform 6 per cent rate applicable to all classes of paper offered to it for rediscount by its member banks. A reduction to $6 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent on all classes of commercial paper was announced by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York on May 4. No other changes were announced prior to that time.
During the autumn and winter of 1920-1921, the development of interreserve bank accommodation reached a high point. But in recent weeks there has been a steady reduction in the amount of such interbank indebtedness produced by the gradual liquidation of interbank accommodations. The amount thus advanced had been cut to $\$ 17,437,000$ by April 22 . The following table shows the reserve position of the several Federal Reserve Banks, both with and without the adjustment which is due to consideration of the advances made to them by others.

Reserve ratio of 12 Federal Reserve districts, Apr. 22, 1921.

| District. | Actual. | Adjusted. 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. 1 (Boston). | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per cent. } \\ & 71.9 \end{aligned}$ | Per cent. <br> 72.4 |
| No. 2 (New Yoris). | 53.9 | 54.6 |
| No. 3 (Philadelphis) | 54.8 | 54.8 |
| No. 4 (Cleveland). | 67.0 4.7 | 68.3 |
| No. 5 (Richmond) | 42.7 | 37.6 |
| No. 6 ( C (tlanta) | 45.7 | 45.7 |
| No. 8 (St. Louis) | 57.0 | 57.0 |
| No. 9 (Minneapolis) | 39.8 | 39.8 |
| No. 10 (Kansas City) | 42.3 | 42.3 |
| No. 11 (Dallas).. | 40.6 | 33.5 |
| No. 12 (San Francisco) | 56.2 | 56.2 |
| System. | 54.1 | 54.1 |

[^0]Parallel information is obtained when attention is given to the position of the member banks in the several districts. Figures on that subject show that the extent of accommodation required by member banks in some parts of the country and the duration of the period for which they require funds is very much greater relatively speaking than elsewhere.

One of the best indications of the character of the business situation is afforded by the debits to individual deposit account which the Board has gathered on a weekly basis for nearly three years past. Reference has already been made on a former occasion to the showing afforded by these indexes. In recent months the credit activity index points to a very sharp reduction in the use of bank deposit accounts. The latest figure computed upon a monthly basis is $\$ 34,160,000,000$ for March, 1921, while the general course of events in connection with these indexes may be observed in the following table:


As shown by these figures, a falling off in the activity of credit during recent months is observable, although an upward movement in March is noted. With this should be compared the situation revealed in the recent report of the Comptroller of the Currency, which shows a decline of about $\$ 1,500,000,000$ in the total deposit accounts of all national banks since a date approximately a year ago. This falling off of about 10 per cent in the gross outstanding amount of national-bank deposits is the result of the lessened activity of credit. Borrowers who find the activity of their credit reduced naturally endeavor to cur-
tail the amount of balances upon which they are paying interest at the banks, so that a decline in the total volume of credit outstanding may be expected to follow a corresponding decline in the activity of credit itself. A survey of the returns from the several districts shows a considerable amount of variation in the relative degrees of credit activity, the falling off seeming most noteworthy in those regions where the reduction of industrial operations has resulted in a smaller turnover for wages and being less marked in those portions of the country where large disbursements are steadily made for consumptive purposes, as in centers of population, where distributive industry has been less sharply affected than manufacturing.

Treasury finance operations during the past month have included further is-
Treasury finance. sues of certificates and the settlement of interest due on the fourth Liberty loan. Total ordinary receipts for the month have been $\$ 296,170,666$, and ordinary disbursements $\$ 494,091,190$, resulting in a deficit on ordinary account of $\$ 197,920,524$. The March 31 daily statement of the United States Treasury shows that the total receipts from income and excess-profits taxes had amounted to about $\$ 727,000,000$ as compared with approximately $\$ 918,000,000$ on the corresponding date a year ago. This shows a decline of less than $\$ 200,000,000$ in the total receipts from income and excess-profits taxes and is a much more favorable outcome than had been expected. Most predictions had placed the income and excess-profits taxes returned at a decidedly lower level. The total of Treasury certificates offered during the month was $\$ 150,000,000$ and the amount allotted $\$ 190,511,500$. Transactions have proceeded with comparatively little disturbance to banking and financial conditions during the month, the withdrawals from banks being well distributed and at no time of sufficient amount to cause serious disturbance. In consequence there has been neither stringency nor plethora in the financial markets which could be directly traced to Government operations whether in connection with taxation, sale of certificates, or disbursement of interest.

During the month ending April 10 the net inward movement of gold was $\$ 91,895,000$, as compared with a net inward movement of $\$ 61,768,000$ for the month ending March 10. Net imports of gold since August 1, 1914, were $\$ 1,067,032,000$, as may be seen from the following exhibit:

| [In thousands of dollars.] |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Imports. | Exports. | Excess of imports over exports. |
| Aug. 1 to Dec. 31, 191 | 23,253 | 104,972 | 181,719 |
| Jan. 1 to Dec. 31, 1915. | 451,955 | 31, 426 | 420, 529 |
| Jan. 1 to Dec. 31, 1916 | 685,745 | 155,793 | 529,952 |
| Jan. 1 to Dec. 31, 1917. | 553,713 | 372, 171 | 181,542 |
| Jan. 1 to Dec. 31, 1918. | 61,950 | 40, 848 | 21, 102 |
| Jan. 1 to Dec. 31, 1919. | 76,534 | 368, 185 | 1291,651 |
| Jan. 1 to Dec. 31, 1920.. | 417,181 | 322,091 | 95,090 |
| Jan. 1 to Apr. 10, 1921.. | 196,707 | 4,520 | 192,187 |
| Total. | 2,467,038 | 1,400, 006 | 1,067, 032 |

${ }^{1}$ Excess of exports over imports.
France furnished $\$ 44,652,000$ and England $\$ 18,471,000$, or about 48 and 20 per cent, respectively, of the $\$ 92,403,000$ of gold imported during the monthly period ending April 10, Canada, Sweden, Netherlands, China, British India, and South American countries furnishing most of the remainder. Of the gold exports, amounting to $\$ 508,000$, over two-fifths, or $\$ 244,000$, was consigned to Mexico and the remainder to Canada and Hongkong. Since the removal of the gold embargo, on June 7, 1919, total gold exports have amounted to approximately $\$ 680,407,000$, the net exports amounting to $\$ 29,422,000$. Of the total exports, $\$ 195,414,000$ was consigned to Japan, $\$ 146,555,000$ to Argentina, $\$ 72,038$,000 to Hongkong, $\$ 67,396,000$ to China, $\$ 41,052,000$ to British India, $\$ 29,778,000$ to Spain, and the remainder principally to Mexico, Uruguay, the Dutch East Indies, Canada, the Straits Settlements, and Venezuela.

During the same monthly period the net inward movement of silver was $\$ 860,000$, as compared with a net inward movement of $\$ 1,275,000$ for the month ending March 10. Net exports of silver since August 1, 1914, were $\$ 455,600,000$, as may be seen from the following exhibit.


Mexico furnished over 58 per cent, or $\$ 2,035,000$, of the $\$ 3,509,000$ of silver imported during the monthly period ending April 10, the remainder coming principally from Peru, Canada, Honduras, and Chile. Silver exports, amounting to $\$ 2,649,000$, were consigned principally to England, British India, Japan, China, Hongkong, and Canada.
Loan liquidation by member banks continued during the month in
The banking situation. moderate volume and is reflected in reduction of deposits and of borrowings from the Federal Reserve Banks. Government operations were not sufficiently heary to neutralize the effect of commercial loan liquidation, with the consequence that the reserve ratio of the Federal Reserve Banks shows a rise of more than 3 per cent during the four weeks between March 25 and April 22. During this period total loans and discounts of reporting member banks show a continuous decline by about $\$ 354$,000,000 , all classes of loans sharing in the general decline-loans secured by Government obligations to the extent of $\$ 22,000,000$; loans secured by corporate obligations to the extent of $\$ 54,000,000$, and other loans and discounts, composed largely of commercial loans proper, to the extent of $\$ 233,000,000$. Changes in the investment block were less uniform. Thus the banks report an increase of about $\$ 7,000,000$ in their holdings of United States bonds and notes, and liquidation of about $\$ 36,000,000$ of Treasury certificates, notwithstanding an increase in holdings of $\$ 69,000,000$ reported on April 15, in consequence of the allotment on that date of $\$ 190,511,500$ of loan certificates. Considerable fluctuations are shown in net demand deposits, though the total
at the close of the period shows a decline of only one-half per cent from the March 25 total.

A larger relative reduction is seen in the total figures of accommodation of the reporting member banks at the Federal Reserve Banks. Total borrowings from the Reserve Banks declined almost steadily from $\$ 1,764,000,000$ to $\$ 1,583,000,000$, or from 11 to about 10.1 per cent of the banks' aggregate loans and investments. For the member banks in New York City, a reduction of accommodation at the local Reserve Bank from $\$ 605,000,000$ to $\$ 542$,000,000 , and a decline in the ratio of accommodation from 11.7 to 10.8 per cent, are noted.

In the following table are shown figures of principal items in the weekly statement of reporting member banks:


1 Including rediscounts with Federal Reserve Banks.
For the four weeks between March 25 and April 22, the Federal Reserve Banks report further liquidation of $\$ 172,800,000$ of discounted bills, of $\$ 18,600,000$ of purchased acceptances, and of $\$ 10,200,000$ of Treasury certificates, largely "Pittman" certificates held on deposit with the Treasurer of the United States to secure Federal Reserve Bank note circulation. On March 31 and April 15 the Government redeemed $\$ 3,500,000$ of these certificates from the New York and Chicago banks upon deposit by these banks of equivalent amounts of lawful money to reduce their liabilities upon Federal Reserve Bank notes, while on March 29 and April 19 it redeemed $\$ 10,000,000$ of Pittman certificates held as excess collateral by the Boston, New York, Philadelphia, Atlanta, Chicago, and Dallas banks. An increase of $\$ 3,300,000$ is
shown in the holdings of other certificates, composed largely of certificates taken over from nonmember banks under short-term repurchase agreements. In consequence of the above changes, total earning assets of the Federal Reserve Banks show a continuous decline for the four weeks of $\$ 201,700,000$, and on April 22 stood at $\$ 2,490,700,000$, or 27 per cent below the figure reported on October 15, 1920, and about 22 per cent below the total shown on the corresponding date last year.

Rediscounting operations are reported by the Richmond and Dallas Reserve Banks. On April 22 these two banks had outstanding with the Boston, New York, and Cleveland banks a total of $\$ 17,400,000$, of which $\$ 10,000,000$ represented the amount rediscounted by the Richmond bank with the Federal Reserve Bank of New York.

Total deposits show a decline for the period of $\$ 91,500,000$, all classes of deposits, but largely those on Government account, sharing in the decline. Federal Reserve note circulation continued its decline from $\$ 2,930,700,000$ to $\$ 2,856,700,000$, or at an average weekly rate of $\$ 18,500,000$. Between December 23, 1920, and April 22 of the present year the decline in Federal Reserve note circulation amounts to $\$ 548,200,000$, or to 16 per cent, while as compared with the total reported on the corresponding Friday last year, the decline is $\$ 211,600,000$, or 7 per cent. There is also noted a reduction during the four weeks under review of $\$ 15,900,000$ in the Reserve Banks' net liabilities on Federal Reserve Bank notes, as against a reduction of $\$ 13,500,000$ in the amount of Pittman certificates held by the banks.

A further gain for the period of $\$ 87,300,000$ is shown in gold reserves, as against a loss of $\$ 16,500,000$ in other reserves. Since the beginning of the year gold holdings of the Federal Reserve Banks, largely through purchase of imported gold, have increased by $\$ 235,300,000$. During the four weeks the banks' reserve ratio, owing to the substantial reductions in note and deposit liabilities and the simultaneous gains in cash reserves, shows a steady rise from 50.8 to 54.1 per cent.

Some of the principal changes in the status of the Federal Reserve Banks are brought out in the following exhibit:


The Federal Reserve Board on April 13-15 held conferences with the gov-
Meetings and personnel. ernors and certain of the directors of the several Reserve Banks in Washington. A portion of the session was devoted to a general review of credit conditions throughout the country and to discussion of current rates of interest and discount. The situation of the member banks and the extent to which they are required to get additional accommodation was also taken under careful advisement.

## Index-Digest of Federal Reserve Bulletin.

The Federal Reserve Board will publish shortly, primarily for its use and that of Federal Reserve Banks, an index-digest of the Federal Reserve Bulletin, prepared by Mr. C. S. Hamlin, one of its members. The digest covers the first six volumes of the Bulletin, from the years 1914 to 1920, inclusive, and contains an abstract of all published decisions and rulings of the Federal Reserve Board and of the other matter contained in the Bulletin.

The Board will print a sufficient number of copies to supply the demand of banks and others who may desire to purchase it.

The price will be $\$ 2$ per volume, bound in cloth in the same manner as the Bulletin. Subscriptions should be addressed to the Federal Reserve Board, Washington. As the edition is to be a limited one, those desiring copies should send in their orders promptly.

## BUSINESS, INDUSTRY, AND FINANCE, APRIL, 1921.

Complete business recovery is proving to be slower than was predicted by many observers at the close of the year 1920. The expectations of many that the spring of 1921 would see economic and business readjustment fairly completed have not been realized. The month of April has, however, given evidence of the development of an improved feeling in many sections of the country with regard to the business situation and outlook. While there is still much uncertainty as to when the readjustment now in process may be expected to reach its end, and while the business situation in some sections of the country and in some fields of industry is still beset with difficulties, some of the recent factors of uncertainty are either being eliminated or are of diminishing importance. Moreover, increasing appreciation of the nature of the readjustment process in business circles and in the community at large is focusing attention upon the factors that are delaying business recovery and is promoting discussion with a view to removing obstacles and expediting the return to normal conditions.

The point upon which the business situation has pivoted since the recession movement began last autumn has been prices. The fall of wholesale prices, which has been continuous and at times precipitate in recent months (especially for the agricultural raw materials group), appears to be in process of arrest. At any rate, many wholesale prices have shown a greater degree of stability during the month of April. Prices of many basic commodities have shown, both by general index numbers and by reports from the several Federal Reserve districts, less sensitiveness than during the preceding month. This fact is being recognized by buyers, who are showing an increasing disposition to regard present price levels as a satisfactory basis for dealings.

Among the factors that are retarding readjustment are retail prices, high transportation charges, wages, and the relatively high prices of such highly important requisites of production as coal and steel. The most important immediate point at which the readjustment process appears to be "sticking" is the retail price situation.

Wage and employment conditions were recently made the subject of a special inquiry by the Federal Reserve Board, the results of which are given in this issue of the Bulletin. While the inquiry shows that labor is participating in the process of readjustment, the participation has been uneven as between different sections of the country, as between different lines of industry, and as between different groups of labor. Wage readjustment has been greater among unskilled workers and those not possessed of a strong trade organization, and especially in sections of the country whose industries have felt in a peculiarly high degree the effects of readjustment.

So far as the various industries themselves are concerned, the outlook continues good in nearly all branches of agriculture, with favorable crop prospects and a substantial acreage. Conditions in the iron and steel industry, despite the fact that the United States Steel Corporation has made a moderate reduction in prices, show little change. No improvement in condition has been noted in connection with the nonferrous metals, and most of the principal copper mines have suspended mining operations. The textile industry has continued to show a seasonal increase in productive activity and this has extended to the knit goods and other allied branches of the trade. In the retail field demand has been well sustained in the eastern sections of the country. Wholesale trade has shown an upward tendency, due, no doubt, to the depletion of the stocks of retail dealers which were already low and have been further reduced by the seasonal spring demand. Financially the month has been quiet, with indications of betterment in condition. There still remain large unliquidated loans in certain parts of the country representing commodities which are being carried over from last year and which the banks have had to provide for. In some parts of the country building activity has shown distinct improvement. Export demand continues to be light and declining, while the increase in idle tonnage is marked. About 46 per cent of the vessels owned by the United States Shipping Board are now laid up.

## AGRICULTURE.

The agricultural situation during the month may be characterized as generally favorable. The unseasonable cold wave which swept over the country about April 1 did some injury to the spring wheat, but had very little effect upon the winter wheat. Thus, in district No. 11 (Dallas) it is stated that "reports from the wheat belt are generally satisfactory, and indicate that the crop is in excellent condition," and in district No. 8 (St. Louis) "little damage was done to winter wheat by the recent low temperature." However, the cold wave checked the ravages from the pests which had been prominent because of the especially mild winter and very little further trouble is contemplated. The condition of winter wheat on April 1, as reported by the Bureau of Crop Estimates of the United States Department of Agriculture, was 91 per cent, as compared with 75.6 per cent on the same date of 1920 . On the basis of this report, it is estimated that there will be a very large production, nearing a banner year in winter wheat. The estimated production is $621,000,000$ bushels as of April 1, 1921, against $577,763,000$ bushels on April 1, 1920, while the acreage for 1921 is $40,605,000$ acres, as against 41,757,000 acres on April 1, 1920. The seeding of spring oats has been practically completed in most sections and a considerable amount is already up and in good condition. Corn planting has made good progress, especially in some of the southern sections, although it has been delayed in others by the wet and cold weather. In most sections the germination of the seed has been retarded either by the cold weather or lack of moisture.

## FRUIT.

The fruit throughout the fruit-growing sections suffered from the cold wave which was followed by frost. District No. 12 (San Francisco) states that "some damage is reported from practically every fruit and grape growing area but reports indicate no serious reduction of prospective crops from this cause," and district No. 10 (Kansas City) reports that "all fruits were injured more or less by the belated cold weather." The injury appears more serious in district No. 11 (Dallas), where "it is believed fruit has been damaged at least 25 per cent and in some sections even more."

## COTTON.

Good progress has been made in the planting of cotton and in South Carolina, Georgia, Alabama, and Mississippi a considerable amount is up to a good stand. While the
earlier reports indicated a very large reduction in acreage, it is now generally understood that the reduction will not be as great as was previously indicated. In district No. 11 (Dallas) it is reported that the decrease will be at least 25 per cent and as much as 50 per cent in some sections. District No. 12 (San Francisco) states that "the acreage this season will be reduced approximately, 50 per cent, and much of it will be 'volunteer' cotton grown from last year's plantings." However, district No. 6 (Atlanta) reports that "conservative estimates place the reduction in acreage compared with tast year at from 10 to 20 per cent." The use of fertilizer has been considerably less than during previous years, being estimated at about one-fourth to one-third the amount used a year ago.

## TOBACCO.

Although the leaf tobacco market has been dull throughout the past month, the warehouse sales have been in a farger volume than is usual at this season of the year. This was probably due to the fact that sales during the previous months have not been as large as in normal times. However, the demand has been for better grade tobacco rather than for the cheaper grades. District No. 8 (St. Louis) reports that "receipts of tobacco have been fairly heavy and prices for the better grades well maintained." District No. 3 (Philadelphia) states that "manufacturers are purchasing only such stocks as they actually need, and most of them have sufficient supplies on hand for present purposes." The demand for high-grade cigars has been in limited proportions, while the demand for cheaper cigars of a reasonably good quality has been more pronounced. Reports from this district indicate that although the industry as a whole is still operating considerably below normal, "firms which are manufacturing the cheaper products have increased production materially and are preparing to operate on a full-time basis."

## GRAIN MOVEMENTS.

The March movement of grains has on the whole been in larger amounts than last month and the same month a year ago. Receipts of all grains at Minneapolis were 9 per cent greater than February receipts and 18.5 per cent greater than for March, 1920. While the March receipts at Duluth were less than February receipts by 10.6 per cent, they were greater than receipts of March a year ago by 59.9 per cent. The receipts for the two centers combined were 4.9 per cent greater than for February and 24.8 per cent greater than for

March, 1920. Combined receipts of wheat at Minneapolis and Duluth were 5.6 per cent smaller than February and 17.6 per cent greater than March, 1920. The same tendency is noted in the case of the four principal markets of district No. 10 (Kansas City), where receipts of wheat were 2.9 per cent less than for February and 18.4 per cent greater than for March, 1920. Receipts of corn at Minneapolis, Duluth, and the four principal markets of district No. 10 (Kansas City) showed increases over the previous month and the same month a year ago. Stocks of grain at the Minneapolis and Duluth terminals at the close of March were 2 per cent greater than at the close of February and 8.9 per cent greater than a year ago. Prices of grains during the month of March exhibited mixed tendencies, but there were more decreases than advances. The median price of cash wheat No. 1 Dark Northern at Minneapolis was $\$ 1.72$ as against $\$ 1.75 \frac{3}{4}$ for February.

FLOUR.
Little new domestic demand for flour is reported, and buyers have shown hesitancy as a result of the continued fall in the price of wheat. Flour prices in general have declined similarly, although it is stated from district No. 12 (San Francisco) that millers who have stocks of wheat bought at higher prices and who hold flour milled from this higher priced wheat have shown reluctance to revise flour prices to correspond with present wheat prices. Some export demand is indicated. The export trade in district No. 10 (Kansas City) "is reported fairly satisfactory, with the demand becoming more general." Domestic trade in that district in the first week of April, however, was less encouraging, and mills which had been working on contracts had about caught up with the business on hand. Export trade in district No. 12 (San Francisco) has increased slightly, but the domestic demand on the whole has remained stationary, although improvements are noted in some local areas. Millers in district No. 8 (St. Louis) report some export demand, centering principally upon clears. Production of mills representing about 75 per cent of the total output in district No. 9 (Minneapolis) was $1,793,505$ barrels during the 4 weeks ending March 26, a decrease of 3.1 per cent from the figure of $1,854,209$ barrels during the 4 weeks ending February 26, but an increase of 19.9 per cent over the figure of $1,497,060$ barrels during the 4 weeks ending March 27, 1920. March shipments of flour from Minneapolis and Duluth combined were 8 per cent larger than in February and 15 per cent larger than in March, 1920. March production of Kansas City mills
was 30.4 per cent greater than in March, 1920, but figures for all reporting mills in the district showed an increase of only 1.3 per cent, from $1,203,651$ barrels in March, 1920 , to $1,220,039$ barrels in March, 1921, due to the falling off in the output of the 82 interior mills. No appreciable increase in activity is reported in district No. 12 (San Francisco), and mills continue to operate at approximately 45 per cent of capacity, as compared with 80 per cent during March, 1920. Output of 76 mills during March, 1921, was 627,417 barrels, as compared with 573,420 barrels during February reported by 80 mills. Plant operations in district No. 8 (St. Louis) have been at from 40 to 50 per cent of capacity during the 30 -day period ending April 15.

## LIVE STOCK.

The condition of live stock on farms and ranges continues excellent, although in certain sections, such as Arizona, rainfall has been deficient and stockmen have suffered heary losses. The stocker and feeder movement of cattle and calves at 34 markets was 233,477 head during March, as compared with 164,504 head during February and 239,363 head during March, 1920. The large surplus of corn, it is stated, has given a new impetus to the swine industry in Kansas and Nebraska. The demand for stockers in March at Fort Worth, however, was "quite light, and few shipments were secured for return to the country." Reports from the principal markets in district No. 12 (San Francisco) state that "inquiries are few and stockmen are not buying to increase their herds." The season has been favorable for lambing in New Mexico and the "crop of lambs in that section and in the Panhandle is estimated at 85 per cent." A good lamb crop is reported to be in prospect in district No. 10 (Kansas City). Movement to market of the various classes of live stock differs somewhat. Receipts of cattle and calves at 15 western markets during March were 1,119,548 head, as compared with 835,686 head during February and 1,195,622 head during March, 1920. The respective index numbers were 111, 89 , and 119. Receipts of hogs, however, showed a decrease from $2,902,107$ head during February, corresponding to an index number of 141 , to $2,390,480$ head during March, corresponding to an index number of 109, as compared with 2,852,171 head during March, 1920, corresponding to an index number of 130 . Receipts of sheep were greater during March than receipts for either February, 1921, or March, 1920. The March, 1921, figure was $1,161,549$ head, the February figure was 972,647 head, and the March, 1920, figure was 899,760 head, while
the respective index numbers were 85,76 , and 66. On the Fort Worth market "the heary increase in receipts of hogs was easily the most outstanding feature," but nevertheless there was a keen demand and the market was well maintained. The supply of meat animals at the six markets of district No. 10 (Kansas City) in the first three months of 1921 is stated to have been fully up to meat consumption requirements. March is said to have been one of the poorest months in the history of the industry in that district. The abundant supplies of beef in packers' coolers, it is reported from district No. 8 (St. Louis), has a tendency to hold down prices. Hog prices have continued to decline steadily and this is ascribed chiefly to diminished consumption of pork products, due to adverse industrial conditions. The fresh-pork market, however, remains exceptionally active in the Pacific Northwest and heavy shipments continue to be received from Middle Western points.

## NONFERROUS METALS.

On March 29 seven of the largest copper companies of the United States ceased their mining operations. Several other companies stopped producing during April, and it is estimated that the production of the mines which continue to operate is less than 30 per cent of the normal total American production of copper. The cessation of operations by most of the larger producers was due to the large stocks of copper in the country and the present unremunerative copper prices. As a result of the announcement of this curtailment of mining operations the price of copper (New York, net refinery) rose from 12 cents to 12.50 cents in the latter part of March, but there has been some shading of the latter price in sales made since April 15. There was a slight increase in the price of zinc during April, which presumably resulted from the severe restriction in output. March production of zinc amounted to 15,741 tons, as compared with 17,769 tons produced in February. Lead production is also at a low level, although stocks of lead in the United States are believed to be much less in proportion to consumption than is the case for either copper or zinc. There has been little change in the price of lead since the increase to 4.25 cents (New York and St. Louis bases) on March 31, but demand continues slack. District No. 10 (Kansas City) reports that there are only 36 lead and zinc mines working at present out of a total of 208 mines.

## COAL.

Little demand for bituminous coal is reported. Consumers are stated to be buying
only for immediate needs, while industrial demand is retarded by the general business situation. From several districts it is reported that there is no desire to contract for deliveries for next fall at present prices. Little change in prices, however, is reported, although in some districts it is stated that there is a slight downward trend. Railroads in district No. 10 (Kansas City) are relying on storage piles for a good part of their present requirements, and are buying little coal in the market. One of the principal producers in district No. 4 (Cleveland), however, states that more inquiries were received during the first half of April than during the first three months of the present year. Production shows some falling off, from $30,851,000$ tons during February to $30,328,000$ tons during March, as compared with $46,832,000$ tons during March, 1920. The respective index numbers are 89, 82, and 126. Production of anthracite coal likewise declined in March, being $7,603,000$ tons, corresponding to an index number of 103, as compared with $7,845,000$ tons during February, corresponding to an index number of 114, and $7,857,000$ tons during March, 1920, corresponding to an index number of 106 . The customary spring reductions of 50 cents per ton on prepared sizes have been announced by all railroad coal companies but one, and retail prices in district No. 3 (Philadelphia) are from 75 cents to $\$ 1.50$ lower than winter prices. Some quickening of demand is reported from that district as a result of the slight reductions in retail prices effective April 1, but "on the whole the result has been disappointing to the dealers." Probably the larger part of March deliveries are stated to remain stored in the yards of dealers. The lack of retail demand is ascribed in part to the fact that the remarkably mild winter has left many consumers with a large part of last winter's supply on hand, as well as to the expectation of further decreases before fall, in view of the initial price reductions. Demand is least for the steam sizes. Further price declines are reported in coke, together with a decrease in production. It is stated from district No. 3 (Philadelphia) that "it is doubtful if more than one-fifth of the Nation's productive capacity is being utilized."

## PETROLEUM.

Production of petroleum showed a slight gain during March. There has been a continuous increase in the production of the Kan-sas-Oklahoma fields since January 1, 1921, and the average daily production for four weeks ending April 18 was 370,500 barrels, as compared with an average daily production of

361,250 barrels for the four weeks ending March 11. In California the average daily output during March was 337,683 barrels, as compared with 327,864 barrels in February. These increases were partly offset by a decrease in average daily production of district No. 11 (Dallas) from 403,243 barrels in February to 394,174 barrels in March. There was a further decline in drilling operations during March. Most of this decrease occurred in district No. 11 (Dallas), where there were only 395 new wells completed in March, as compared with 491 wells in February. In district No. 10 (Kansas City) only 768 new wells were completed in March as compared with 771 in February, but new production amounted to 71,460 barrels daily as compared with 65,664 barrels in February. Sixty-six new wells were completed in the California fields during March, as compared with 60 in February. There was a distinct slowing down in the price recessions of petroleum products during March, and this was reflected by the maintenance throughout the month of a crude oil price of $\$ 1.75$ per barrel in most of the Texas fields. Refinery runs in Kansas and Oklahoma were materially increased on April 1 by the resumption of 13 refineries which were not operated in March.

## IRON AND STEEL.

The outstanding event during the month in the iron and steel industry was the reduction by the United States Steel Corporation on April 12 of its schedule of prices on various standard products to figures 6 to 15 per cent below the prices of the Industrial Board. Prior to the cut, several of the larger independent producers announced advances of $\$ 2$ per ton on bars, plates, and structural steel shapes, while subsequently further reductions were announced by steel corporation mills. Prices of the two groups of producers are now, in general, at the same levels. The exact influence which these price changes will exert is as yet uncertain. It is stated from district No. 4 that "a dragging market in general has continued." Some betterment in that district, however, has been reported with respect to the automobile and building industries. "Suspensions of orders for steel with the mills have been lifted in an increasing way" by the former industry, and "some new buying has also resulted." The demand is stated from district No. 3 (Philadelphia), however, to have been far below expectations, and the total consumption of automobile and truck manufacturers is given as only 5 per cent of the total product of the iron and steel industry. This district also reports little demand from the building industry. It is
stated in the various reports that buyers, in general, apparently lack confidence in the present situation. These conditions are reflected in the statistics showing the scale of operations in the industry. At the close of March only 103 blast furnaces in the country were active, a decline of 52 during the month, as compared with 317 active at the close of September, the peak for last year. Pig-iron production during March was $1,595,522$ tons, as compared with $1,937,257$ tons during February. The respective index numbers were 69 and 90 . Production of steel ingots declined from 1,749,477 tons during February to $1,570,978$ tons during March. The respective index numbers were 80 and 67. A further decrease in the unfilled orders of the United States Steel Corporation is reported, from $6,933,867$ tons at the close of February, corresponding to an index number of 132 , to $6,284,765$ tons at the close of March, corresponding to an index number of 119 . Reports in district No. 3 (Philadelphia) indicate that production is less than 30 per cent of capacity, and, even with this output, stocks are accumulating in the hands of producers. Some resumption of activity in pig-iron production is reported in district No. 6 (Atlanta) since the beginning of April. Owing to large stocks of ore on dock and in furnace yards, there is a slow opening of the shipping season on the Great Lakes.

## automobiles.

A considerable increase in the demand for standard makes of automobiles is reported from district No. 7 (Chicago). The more conservative dealers in district No. 3 (Philade]phia), however, feel that the natural seasonal improvement appears larger than the facts warrant, as conditions in the trade were exceptionally dull last winter. A trend toward lower prices was reported in district No. 7 (Chicago), while in district No. 3 (Philadelphia) there was rather a tendency on the part of the dealer to give extra parts or accessories in place of reducing the price on standard models. Stocks of cars in the hands of retailers have been reduced below January figures. It is noted from district No. 7 (Chicago), however, that caution prevails and that there is still some disposition on the part of buyers to wait for lower prices, while other factors, which retard buying, are the lack of capital to finance large operations and the curtailed buying power of the agricultural sections. Carload shipments of manufacturers producing twothirds of the country's output are reported by district No. 7 (Chicago) to have been 16,500 during March as compared with 9,920 during

February and 29,326 during March, 1920, while 10,000 machines were driven away under their own power in March, 1921, as compared with 7,491 during February and 57,273 during March, 1920. In some instances manufacturers show a marked increase in operations. From district No. 3 (Philadelphia) it is stated that operations in the fall and winter were not over 25 per cent of normal, many plants being entirely closed, while operations in general are now at about 60 per cent of normal. The improvement in business commencing in March reported by dealers in district No. 8 (St. Louis) has continued but "is fitful and irregular," and is found rather in the large cities than in the country.

## COTTON TEXTILES.

Cotton consumption during March for the country as a whole amounted to 437,933 bales, which was 25 per centbelow the totals for March of the preceding year. There was greater stabilization in the price of raw cotton during the month, and the price of gray goods, after declining to $6 \frac{1}{4}$ cents a yard for the standard $38 \frac{1}{2}$-inch width, advanced slightly. Nevertheless, district No. 1 (Boston) reports that at present prices the spread between a pound of cloth and a pound of raw cotton is only $22 \frac{1}{2}$ cents, whereas a year ago it was approximately \$1. Fall River sales of print cloths are of moderate proportions. Brown sheetings and standard brown drills can be purchased about one-half cent a yard below last month's levels. The demand for pillow tubings is such that three well-known brands, sold ahead for months, are withdrawn from the market. The demand for ginghams has been good and some mills have sold their entire output from May to August. There seem to be no pronounced general tendencies, as the activity of certain mills manufacturing fabrics that happen to be in demand at the moment is offset by the relative inactivity in the gray goods mills. District No. 3 (Philadelphia) reports that the demand for heavy cotton fabrics such as are used in the manufacture of tires is slight, and mills manufacturing goods of this sort have largely curtailed operations. There has been little change in yarn prices during the month. Sales of low-priced cotton goods and low-priced hosiery were reported to have improved somewhat, but the demand has been largely met from stocks, as yarn mills have recently been curtailing their operations. In the South textile mills are reported to be running approximately full time in district No. 5 (Richmond). Some orders are being received for goods used for print cloth, and orders for
future delivery are also being taken by knitting mills in the district. Wage cuts in the southern mills have been more drastic than in other sections, and it is said that "many people in the trade claim that the reductions have been in keeping with the lowered prices for raw material." In district No. 6 (Atlanta) a number of reporting mills show an increase in yardage of 4.5 per cent during March as compared with February, although there was a decrease of 22.1 per cent as compared with a year ago. The increase in orders on hand during the month was negligible, but much greater than a year ago when new orders were not acceptable because of the press of work. It is said that few mills are as yet working at full capacity, although a number indicate orders on hand which will require full running time for several weeks for their completion. The increase in yarn output (by pounds) of reporting yarn mills increased 8 per cent during the month, although totals were 26.2 per cent below figures for March, 1920. There was a slight decrease of 1.1 per cent in orders on hand as compared with February, and the time required running full to complete orders on hand averages about one month for all reporting mills. There has been a recent increase in export sales of cotton goods, amounting to between 10,000 to 12,000 bales and consisting principally of drills and sheetings, to China, India, and the Levant.

## FINISHING OF COTTON FABRICS.

Thirty-four of the 58 members of the National Association of Finishers of Cotton Fabrics reported total finished yards billed during the month at $86,732,621$ yards, as compared with 55,436,871 yards in February. The total average percentage of capacity operated was 67 per cent for all reporting districts, as compared with 51 per cent during the preceding month. The total gray yardage of finishing orders received amounted to $88,342,599$, as compared with $76,201,806$ in February. The total average work ahead at the end of the month amounted to 8.4 days for all reporting districts, as compared with 8.5 days during the preceding month.

## woolen textiles.

The Boston wool market has experienced little change during the past month. Not much wool is being sold but prices have nevertheless strengthened somewhat. A lot of the South American medium grade wool has been taken from the market. Receipts of foreign wool are considerably larger than a year ago,
it is stated, and competent wool men estimate that there has already been more wool imported into this country since the beginning of 1921 than has been consumed by our mills in that period. In all districts woolen and worsted mills are showing greater activity, and in district No. 1 (Boston) several mills are reported to have booked as many orders as they care to accept at the present time. Top manufacturers are well booked up and production is not far from capacity. In district No. 3 (Philadelphia) many cloth mills are operating at capacity, while others are running from 50 per cent to 75 per cent. In the Philadelphia market the increased activity is not reflected in the demand for yarn. Some yarn manufacturers are running at full capacity, but orders are being placed for current use almost entirely. Manufacturers of medium and lower counts of yarn have not done as active business as producers of the fine counts. The operations of the former are reported to be from one-third to two-thirds of normal. The latest figures available prepared by the Department of Commerce giving percentages of idle hours to the total reported on March 1, showed that 37.9 per cent of the worsted spindles and 50.5 per cent of the woolen spindles were idle, whereas on April 1 the percentages had fallen to 25.7 per cent and 34.1 per cent, respectively.

## SILK TEXTILES.

There has been a continued increase in the manufacturing activity of the silk mills during the month, and one encouraging factor tending. to sustain the improvement that has already taken place is the relative stability of the prices of raw silk. Demand for the raw material for immediate delivery is active but as yet confidence as to market developments is not great enough to have resulted in the placing of large orders for future delivery. The silk warehoused in New York at the end of the month amounted to 16,386 bales, as compared with 27,928 bales in February, while 14,043 bales were imported in March, as compared with 14,361 bales in February. The mills in Paterson and near-by towns are now reported to be operating at about 60 per cent of maximum capacity as compared with 49 per cent during the preceding month.

## HOSIERY.

It is stated in the report from district No. 3 (Philadelphia) that there has been a general improvement in the hosiery industry during the past month, and that the demand for silk
lines has been especially marked. A strike is still in progress in the majority of the Philadelphia full-fashioned hosiery mills, and the result has been that mills in the Reading district have had more orders than they could accept, although the demand is for immediate delivery. The inability to secure deliveries on full-fashioned hosiery has increased the call for other lines, and mills are now working on orders. The demand for mercerized and lisle hosiery has shown improvement during the month, but it is estimated that it is only about 50 per cent that of a normal year. Twentyfive firms selling to the wholesale trade report an increase of 15.8 per cent in the product manufactured during March as compared with February, 1921. Orders booked during March showed a decrease of 30.6 per cent, but unfilled orders at the end of the month increased 17.4 per cent. Eight firms selling to the retail trade had a product 66.5 per cent in excess of February; orders booked during March were 5.5 per cent greater than in February, while unfilled orders on hand at the end of the month were 48.1 per cent in excess of the preceding month. The output as compared with a year ago was nevertheless negligible, being 93.3 per cent below those totals.

## UNDERWEAR.

The majority of underwear mills in district No. 3 (Philadelphia) are booked to capacity until the end of the light-weight season. Normally orders are placed and largely made up for shipment by the middle of January, but this year buying was late and in limited quantities. Duplicate orders, placed in March as a result of the unexpectedly heavy public demand, have increased the volume of business beyond the present capacity of the industry. On the other hand, forward orders for heavyweight underwear have been few, although some mills have booked sufficient orders to maintain total capacity until the end of August. The uncertainty regarding price trends and the lack of definite information as to stocks carried over from last winter make buyers hesitant to adopt a policy for the future. Twenty firms in district No. 3 (Philadelphia) which make monthly reports to the Federal Reserve Bank had an increase of 85.8 per cent in their output in March as compared with February, although it was still 29.5 per cent below the totals for the same month of the preceding year. Orders booked were 13.5 per cent below those for February and unfilled orders on hand at the end of the month were 10 per cent greater than at the end of February.

The 62 mills which make reports to the Knit Goods Manufacturers' Association of America had an output in March of 102,415 dozens of winter underwear, which was 31 per cent of normal. The production of summer underwear amounted to 318,725 dozens, or 62.5 per cent of normal. Thirty-eight mills which furnish data for both February and March had a production of 261,934 dozens during the latter month as compared with 147,822 dozens in February. Unfilled orders on the 1st of March dropped, however, from 588,127 dozens to 269,104 dozens. New orders received during the month of March rose from 205,260 dozens in February to 284,712 dozens in March. There was a slight increase in cancellations, which rose from 1,619 dozens to 5,173 dozens.

## SHOES AND LEATHER.

Prices for hides and skins showed little change during March and the volume of trading has not increased perceptibly. Demand for sole leather and staple grades of upper leather continues to be slack, but demand for colored glazed kid, suede calf, ooze, and certain other kinds of calf leather continues to exceed the arailable supply. There was some increase in the demand for belting leather, harness leather, and upholstery leather during March. The volume of leather exports continues to be very small. District No. 1 (Boston) reports that "prices on the whole are showing a slight strengthening, but the very large supplies of leathers in the country act as a deadening influence on any general upward movement." There has been some slackening in the demand for women's shoes since Easter, but this has been largely counterbalanced by an improvernent in demand for men's shoes. Both retail and wholesale sales of shoes are reported to be exceeding production at the present time. The net result of March operations in district No. 1 (Boston) was a reduction in the size of stocks of shoes on hand in factories and factory warehouses. Shipments of shoes from the factories of Now England were considerably larger in March than in February, yet orders on hand April 1 showed an increase over those on the books March 1. "Production was apparently at a rate slightly below 50 per cent of capacity." In contrast to this, district No. 3 (Philadelphia) reports "that the shoe manufacturing industry at the present time is operating close to capacity and that business for the spring and summer seasons is approaching normal." However, buyers in that district still refrain from ordering for future delivery and the business in the hands of the manufacturers is practically entirely for im-
mediate shipment. District No. 8 (St. Louis) reports that shoe factory operation is larger than at any time this year, averaging from 80 to 90 per cent of capacity. Many plants turning out women's and children's footwear are operating at full time. "Prices show a further decline, except on goods in seasonal demand and the fancy grades of women's wear." Orders for summer goods are being obtained in some quantity by salesmen in district No. 7 (Chicago). Good qualities are wanted and the demand for novelty lines is stronger than for staple goods. "The retailers have brought prices down recently until they are more in line with replacement cost, but these prices have not kept pace with wholesale reductions."

## LUMBER.

Demand for lumber increased somewhat during March, but only as a result of a further reduction in prices. District No. 12 (San Francisco) reports that "the volume of buying is increasing, although it is still conservative and purchases are only to meet current needs." Orders received during the four weeks ending March 26 by the four lumber manufacturers' associations of that district showed an increase of 30.2 per cent over the preceding four weeks. Production during the same period increased 17.1 per cent and shipments 68.1 per cent. Uncertainty as to the volume of this season's operations in the canned-fruit and salmon-packing industries has resulted in a curtailment of operations of box shook mills to 50 per cent of capacity. One hundred and eighteen mills belonging to the West Coast Lumbermen's Association reported for the four weeks ending March 26 a cut of 187,917,000 board feet, shipments of 209,970,000 feet, and orders of 213,431,000 feet. Corresponding figures for the preceding four weeks, with the same number of mills reporting, were as follows: $162,648,000$ feet, 157,970,000 feet, and $167,483,000$ feet. In district No. 11 (Dallas) prices were practically stationary throughout March. Production of 29 southern pine mills during March was equal to 60 per cent of normal. Orders booked by these 29 mills were equivalent to 61 per cent of their normal production, whereas the 30 mills which reported during February booked orders equivalent to 56 per cent of their normal production. Prices of pine have sagged slightly in district No. 6 (Atlanta). Production of 134 mills belonging to the Southern Pine Association was 29.5 per cent below normal during the week ending April 1, while shipments were 25.8 per cent below normal production. Orders received during that week were larger thar is any week since January, but
were 23.9 per cent below normal production. Production in the Tennessee hardwood mills for the first three months of 1921 is reported to be 75 per cent lower than in the same period of 1920, and many of the mills are being closed. District No. 8 (St. Louis) reports that industrial buying has increased somewhat in both softwoods and hardwoods. "Railroads are virtually out of the market, and in consequence the prices of heavy timber, crossties, and car stock have declined more heavily than in any recent month." Price reductions were made during March by a majority of the retailers and about half the manufacturers reporting in district No. 9 (Minneapolis). The March cut of 12 manufacturers was 7 per cent greater than in February, and shipments were 20 per cent greater, while stocks at the close of the month had increased 5 per cent. As compared with March, 1920, cut was 26 per cent less, shipments 66 per cent less, and stocks at the close of the month 51 per cent greater. The demand for lumber has improved somewhat in district No. 3 (Philadelphia), but the price trend has continued downward and 80 per cent of the orders are being filled from stock on hand.

## BUILDING OPERATIONS.

Building operations showed increased activity during March, which is a normal condition for this season of the year. Number of building permits, value of building permits, and value of contracts awarded all registered marked increases as compared with February. This increase is particularly large in the case of number of permits, as a result of the continued increase in the building of residences. Contracts awarded in the New England States amounted to $\$ 13,262,000$ during March, an increase of 84 per cent over February. District No. 2 (New York) reports contracts amounting to $\$ 29,846,000$, an increase of 40 per cent over the February figure. Of this total 59 per cent were for residential buildings as compared with 48 per cent in February and 40 per cent in January. "The increase in residential construction has been confined almost entirely to the least expensive apartments and small homes." In district No. 3 (Philadelphia) there has been a large increase in the value of building permits issued during March in comparison with the February figures. Funds for mortgages have been difficult to obtain, but there has been a steady increase in number of houses bought through the building and loan associations. District No. 4 (Cleveland) shows an increase in value of building permits issued during March, but there still seems to be a tendency to wait for lower costs before
commencing construction. Reports from 23 cities in district No. 5 (Richmond) show 1,718 permits issued for new construction during March, in comparison with 894 permits issued in February. This number was greater than that for any month since February, 1920. Value of building permits in district No. 6 (Atlanta) increased about 50 per cent for March in comparison with February figures. Noteworthy increases in activity occurred in Atlanta, Birmingham, and Tampa. In district No. 7 (Chicago) there was an increase in value both for building permits and contracts awarded during March, as compared with February figures. District No. 8 (St. Louis) shows an increase in value of building permits for three leading cities in March, as compared with February, but these figures are very much below those for March, 1920. In district No. 9 (Minneapolis) 1,847 permits, valued at $\$ 2,647,666$, were issued in the reporting centers in March, as compared with 783 permits, valued at $\$ 2,179,784$, in February. This increase is due to a substantial gain in the number of permits issued for repairs and alterations. Seventeen cities in district No. 10 (Kansas City) issued 2,778 permits in March, an increase of about 100 per cent over the February figure and an increase of about 18 per cent over the total of March, 1920. District No. 11 (Dallas) reports that both the number and value of building permits issued in March was the largest monthly total since October, 1920. The value of building permits for 20 cities of district No. 12 (San Francisco) amounted to $\$ 18,542,835$, an increase of 57 per cent over February, 1921, and 27 per cent over March, 1920. Number of permits in those cities increased 47 per cent over February, 1921, and 38 per cent over March, 1920. "Declining prices of building materials and some reduction in labor costs have reduced the number of factors which have been retarding building operations."

## EMPLOYMENT.

The Federal Reserve Banks have just completed a special inquiry into changes in employment conditions and in rates of wages occurring during the year ending April 1. The results of this inquiry are presented in considerable detail elsewhere in this issue of the Bulletin and need not therefore be summarized again. In addition to presenting facts concerning wages and employment as compared with a year ago, however, several districts present comparative data for the months of February and March. In district No. 3 (Philadelphia), for example, the estimates of the local officers
of the Pennsylvania Bureau of Employment indicated that on April 15 unemployment was still increasing in the cities of Philadelphia, Altoona, Harrisburg, Johnstown, and Scranton taken as a group, since the total number of unemployed was reported at 177,645 on April 15, as compared with 147,115 on March 15. In district No. 7 (Chicago) questionnaires are sent regularly to representative manufacturing concerns and for the month of March returns were received from 61 firms then employing 41,000 persons, and statistics showed a reduction of 6.5 per cent in numbers employed as compared with February. The greatest reductions in volume of employment were in the metal and machinery trades and among the workers in railroad equipment shops. On the other hand, the automotive industry has shown a steady increase since December, on the basis of returns made by 79 firms to the Employers' Association of Detroit. In December only 14 per cent as many men were employed as in September, the time of greatest activity, when 176,000 were on the pay rolls. On April 12 the number had risen to 100,347 from the December minimum, or to 57 per cent of the September total. From district No. 8 (St. Louis) it is reported that "Federal and State labor commissioners and employment agencies show a further increase in unemployment, * * * with most acute conditions in the metal industries and transportation and common labor most affected." In textiles, boots and shoes, clothing, and furniture, however, the number of unemployed was considerably decreased during the month of March by resumption of plant operations.

It was likewise true that unemployment increased in district No. 9 (Minneapolis) during March. According to reports from the Federal employment agencies in Minneapolis, St. Paul, and Duluth, requests for help wanted were 73.4 per cent of those for February in the case of men while 83.5 per cent as many men were placed in March as in February. For women, however, there was an 8.4 per cent increase in requests for help wanted and a 5.2 per cent increase in numbers placed as compared with February. Reports of mining companies indicated no substantial change in numbers employed in March. As a matter of fact, the largest Montana and Michigan mines were closed on April 1. Lumber companies employing 1,762 men in March had reduced their forces 17 per cent as compared with the preceding month. District No. 12 (San Francisco) stated that unemployment in that territory was less than a month ago, excepting in the sections of Arizona and Utah in which the large copper mines, now closed, are located,

Outside of mining, the lumber industry reported the greatest amount of unemployment, but work was in process of resumption. Portland reported a decided decrease in unemployment as did Seattle, while conditions in Spokane were practically unchanged. Industrial concerns in California were employing more men than they were a month ago.

Although a large amount of surplus labor in the industrial centers has been absorbed by the seasonal increase in demand for farm labor, it is very generally commented upon that farmers are endeavoring to economize by doing more work themselves and depending less upon hired help. Consequently, the relief to the unemployed with the opening up of spring farming activities is not likely to be so great as was anticipated. In view of the unusual supply of farm labor and the restricted demand, it is inevitable that wages should show a sharp decline. District No. 4 (Cleveland) reports that in the State of Obio wages for farm hands now average $\$ 40$ a month with board, as compared with $\$ 52$ last year. In district No. 6 (Atlanta) it is said that many farmers are without money to hire labor and are cultivating only so much land as can be managed with the help of their families. In district No. 9 (Minneapolis) economies in expenditures for hired help are likewise being practised. Farm laborers in consequence are receiving from $\$ 35$ to $\$ 45$ per month with board, as compared with $\$ 70$ to $\$ 80$ a year ago. A similar situation prevails in district No. 10 (Kansas City) and it is said that laborers are reluctant to work at the reduced rates of wages now prevalent.

## WHOLESALE TRADE.

Although the sales of reporting wholesale firms are much below the totals given for a year ago, as would be expected in view of the heary declines that have taken place in wholesale prices, a number of districts which present month to month comparisons for leading lines, such as groceries, dry goods, boots and shoes, and hardware, report decided increases inMarch sales as compared with February. In district No. 3 (Philadelphia) the hardware sales of 25 reporting firms increased 30.2 per cent during the month, although the volume of business was still 19.2 per cent below the totals for last year. It was stated that current sales largely represent small orders of goods wanted for immediate use, the result of a seasonal demand for such articles as farm implements, garden tools, wire fencing, and netting. In the wholesale grocery trade increased sales had also occurred and could be partially attributed
to a seasonal increase in demand. The net sales of 50 reporting stores were 18.6 per cent larger in March than in February, but 27.9 per cent below the figures for March, 1920. Purchases were said to be for immediate needs and business confined largely to staples. In district No. 4 (Cleveland) the net sales of 14 reporting grocery firms and 7 hardware firms showed declines as compared with a year ago, somewhat analogous to those of district No. 3 (Philadelphia), being 16.3 per cent lower for hardware and 33.1 per cent lower for groceries. Dry goods sales ( 6 firms reporting) were 14.9 per cent below last year. In district No. 5 (Richmond) sales of groceries ( 9 firms reporting), dry goods ( 8 firms ), hardware ( 8 firms ) and boots and shoes ( 8 firms) show increases over February ranging from 8.6 per cent in the case of groceries to 53.4 per cent in the case of boots and shoes. The Easter demand probably explains the heavy increase in March sales of boots and shoes. Decreases for these four lines as compared with March, 1920, ranged from 23.9 per cent in the case of groceries to 38.3 per cent in the case of dry goods. In all these cases the drop in the value of sales is probably entirely accounted for by lower prices and in some cases the amount of sales, if measured in physical units, would undeniably be greater. In district No. 6 (Atlanta) the four reporting lines, groceries (10 firms), dry goods ( 13 firms), hardware ( 8 firms), and boots and shoes ( 7 firms), all reported increases in March sales as compared with February ranging from 6 per cent in hardware to 72.6 per cent in the case of boots and shoes. The last named heavy increase was no doubt in great part seasonal. Decreases in sales as compared with a year ago varied from 33.6 per cent in the case of groceries to 45.6 per cent in the case of hardware. Wholesalers in district No. 7 (Chicago) report very cautious buying. Grocery sales were 25 per cent below the level of a year ago, with 22 firms reporting, a drop very close to the percentage reduction in sales of the 50 concerns reporting in district No. 3 (Philadelphia). The dry goods trade (13 firms) reported a decrease of 35.9 per cent for March as compared with a year ago, while the sales of 10 shoe wholesalers were 31.6 per cent below March, 1920 , but 20 per cent above sales for the preceding month. Grocery sales in district No. 10 (Kansas City), with 4 firms reporting, increased 19.5 per cent during the month, while they were 22.7 per cent below the totals of a year ago. The very heavy increase in hardware sales of 65.5 per cent ( 3 firms) as compared with February is no doubt attributable to the seasonal demand of a largely agricultural district. Sales were 34.3 per cent
below those of March, 1920. District No. 11 (Dallas), in contradistinction to other sections, does not show the same tendency toward a revival of wholesale trade. Returns from 2 concerns selling hardware and 2 selling farm implements record declines of 29.3 per cent and 18.4 per cent, respectively, in sales as compared with the preceding month. Grocery sales (4 firms) which elsewhere show a decided increase are 0.7 per cent below February volume and dry goods sales ( 4 firms ), although 16.1 per cent greater in March than in February, have not advanced as greatly as in most of the other districts. All dealers, it is said, report that the buying demand is light, conservatism is the outstanding feature with the trade, and dealers are reluctant to place orders in very large amounts for future delivery. In district No. 12 (San Francisco) all reporting lines have increased sales as compared with the month of February. As in other districts, the increase in shoe sales has been very heavy, averaging 68.4 per cent for 15 firms. Grocery sales ( 30 firms), dry goods ( 11 firms), and hardware ( 23 firms) increased 20.9 per cent, 28.5 per cent, and 33.9 per cent, respectively, over the preceding month. The declines as compared with a year ago were 16 per cent for shoes, 7.3 per cent for groceries, 29.1 per cent for dry goods, and 33.6 per cent for hardware. Given the decreases in wholesale prices, the declines probably do not indicate any shrinkage in the physical volume of trade in any of these reporting lines.

## RETAIL TRADE.

The irregularity which has been noted in the retail trade situation for the past few months is still evident. Discrimination in favor of better quality of goods characterizes the attitude of the buying public. A representative view of the situation is stated by district No. 3 (Philadelphia): "Purchasers are exceptionally careful in their shopping and retailers have found that sales to a certain class of trade can be effected more easily by stressing the quality factor rather than the prices." There has been a seasonal increase in sales, due to the opening of spring and the pre-Easter shopping, but the increase has not been greater than a year ago. This is illustrated by the fact that the increase in net sales when compared with a year ago was less in March than in February. Thus in district No. 1 (Boston) the increase in net sales over the same month a year ago was 1.5 per cent, in district No. 3 (Philadelphia) 1.8 per cent, and in district No. 5 (Richmond) 2.7 per cent. In the middle western districts decreases in
net sales were prominent. In district No. 8 (St. Louis) there was a decrease of 0.7 per cent, in district No. 9 (Minneapolis) 11.2 per cent, and in district No. 11 (Dallas) 16 per cent. There was the usual seasonal increase in stocks but the amount on hand was considerably smaller in every district than a year ago. The rapidity of stock turnover has been increasing. The outstanding orders at the close of March remained practically constant, which indicated that the merchants are not placing orders to any great extent. District No. 3 (Philadelphia) states that "retail store managers continue to keep close watch of their buyers, limiting them to practically hand to mouth purchases."

## PRICES.

Extreme unevenness as regards price reductions is one of the striking features of the present industrial situation. Prewar prices or something approaching them exist in many important lines of wholesale trade, while at the same time in other lines commodities are being sold at twice or even more than twice 1913 values. The same unevenness exists in some cases between the prices of raw materials and finished goods in the same industry. In the case of raw cotton, for instance, both Egyptian and American, the present level is lower than the average for the year 1913. Although certain grades of South American wool are below the 1913 average, wool prices as a whole appear to be still about a third higher than before the war. Finished materials in these two lines, however, have not been reduced as much as the raw materials. An average of three leading grades of cotton goods shows present prices to be at least 20 per cent higher than before the war, and a woolen cloth of a standard type is now selling at approximately twice as much as in 1913. The discrepancy between the prices of raw and manufactured goods in the hide and leather industry is even more extreme than in the cotton and wool industries. An average of leading grades of domestic and foreign skins shows the present level of prices to be approximately one-third under the prewar level. Shoe prices, on the other hand, would seem to be about twice as high as in 1913.

Except in the case of wheat and rye, prices of leading cereals closely approach prewar levels. In spite of this, however, the trend of the market has recently been continually downward. Prices of live stock and meats, on the other hand, although at a level at least 10 per cent above
prewar prices (and in some cases more), have been showing considerable strength during recentmonths. There has, however, recently been a downward movementin some of the meat products. Another group of commodities which are at or below prewar price levels are the leading nonferrous metals, such as copper, zinc, lead, and tin. In 1913 electrolytic copper sold at an average of $15 \frac{1}{2}$ cents a pound. Recent quotations are at 12 to $12 \frac{1}{2}$ cents a pound. Zinc and tin are also below the prewar price, and lead is at approximately the same point as in 1913. Nevertheless, this group of industries is in a highly disorganized condition and production has been heavily curtailed.
In a large group of important industries, on the other hand, prices are still from 50 to 100 per cent above prewar levels. This is true in spite of the fact that in a considerable number of cases price reductions have been made which appear sufficient to make a possible basis for trading. Most noteworthy in this group are the fuels and building materials. Bituminous coal in spite of considerable reductions now averages around 100 per cent above the prewar figure, and anthracite is at a similar ratio as compared with the 1913 level. Coke also appears to be about twice as high as before the war, while iron and steel prices (taking into consideration the United States Steel Corporation reductions) are between 50 and 75 per cent above the 1913 average. Crude petroleum and petroleum products are still more than twice the prewar price in spite of recent drastic reductions in the mid-continent fields.
In the same way lumber, brick, and cement are far above prewar levels. An average of three leading grades of lumber shows present prices at least 50 per cent higher than before the war, while brick and cement are between two and three times the 1913 level.
Although a study of the component parts of the price structure is essential to an understanding of the difficulties of the present situation, the movement of prices in general is of value also. The wide variations in the different parts of the system are thus eliminated in the average for prices as a whole. It should be remembered, however, where use is made of such an average, that it consists of widely diverse elements.

The all commodities index number, constructed by the board, shows a reduction of 3 per cent during March, while the rate of decline in January and February was 6 per cent, and that of December 9 per cent. During recent months the raw materials index number has
declined more rapidly than that for manufactured goods, the reduction since January amounting to 11 per cent in raw materials as compared with 5 per cent in consumers' goods. The all commodities index number now stands at 50 per cent above the 1913 average.

Retail prices of 43 articles of food were reduced only 1 per cent during March according to the index number of the Bureau of Labor Statistics. No statistics are available showing the reduction in the price of clothing or miscellaneous articles at retail.

SHIPPING.
More optimism regarding the outlook prevailed in shipping circles than for some months past. This was due more to the expectations aroused by a number of developments than to any change in the underlyingsituation. Among the events of the month was the continuance of the British coal strike, with a moderate expansion of the demand for charters of American coal-carrying ships for prompt delivery. Another encouraging feature of the freight market was the firmer tendency of rates in certain directions. The settlement of the rate war between the North Atlantic-United Kingdom Conference and the French Line, which had been waged since last fall, helped to give tone to the ocean freight market. The decision of the Shipping Board to charter its vessels in future on the bare-boat plan was regarded by operators as opening up possibilities for cheaper operation of vessels. The wage situation remains unsettled, the calling of a strike by the marine engineers at New York for the 1st of May being the outstanding development toward the end of April. The Division of Operations of the Shipping Board stated that, on April 5, 653 steel ships of $4,279,581$ deadweight tons were either already idle or had been ordered withdrawn from service until conditions improve. That number constitutes 46 per cent of the Government-owned steel merchant ships. The shipbuilding situation remains unchanged, with yards merely completing orders already on hand, no new tonnage of any size having been booked by American yards so far this year. The recent cut in steel prices has not been sufficient to affect shipbuilding favorably. Of the Shipping Board's building program, 44 steel vessels of 489,150 deadweight tons remain to be delivered, all of which are now under construction. According to present plans, the last of these will be completed and delivered about April, 1922.

## CHANGES IN WAGES.

The Federal Reserve Board, in connection with its usual monthly business survey, has undertaken to secure information throwing light upon wage conditions. With a view to ascertaining the extent to which the fall in general prices has been accompanied by reductions in rates of wages, the 12 Federal Reserve Banks at the request of the Board addressed inquiries to representative employers engaged in typical industries within the several districts, asking for data concerning changes in wages and employment. ${ }^{1}$ Returns have been secured relating to the number of workers and the amounts of the weekly pay rolls on dates nearest April 1, 1920, and April 1, 1921, respectively. An endeavor has also been made to obtain reliable estimates of average percentage reductions in wage rates by industries, to find out whether such reductions have been made uniformly applicable to all employees of reporting establishments, and if not, what have been the variations in the percentages of reduction and how different groups or classes of workers have been affected. The fact that the inquiry has been conducted by each Federal Reserve Bank for its own district will also throw light on the varying extent to which workers in different sections of the country have been affected by the reductions that have been instituted.

It should be noted that the results presented in this survey are not such as can be subjected to detailed analysis or precise comparisons. It is difficult and often impossible to secure a grouping or classification of industries that will serve for all districts. In some parts of the country it is practicable and desirable to present returns by highly specialized sub-divisions of an industry, while in other sections more comprehensive groupings are necessary. Moreover, the replies concerning the amount and the extent of wage decreases do not lend themselves readily to a systematic summary, and any attempt to differentiate between skilled and unskilled labor or to define the terms in a way that would be acceptable for all industries would be a fruitless task leading to endless controversial discussion. The terms have therefore been used uncritically on the assumption that they have a fairly definite connotation when applied to specific industries. Despite these strictures, it is believed that the

[^1]scope of the inquiry and the amount of the detailed information obtained from the questionnaires sent out present abundant evidence to support the generalizations which follow.
The inquiry covers establishments reporting $1,303,792$ employees on the pay roll on date nearest April 1, 1921, with a total weekly pay roll of $\$ 36,726,380$.

The table given below shows for all reporting establishments, by districts, the number of employees covered by the inquiry and the total amounts of the weekly payroll for specified dates in 1920 and 1921, respectively. In every district the reporting establishments record decreases in numbers employed as compared with the preceding year, ranging from 6.2 per cent in district No. 12 (San Francisco) to 52.5 per cent in district No. 10 (Kansas

City). In all but three districts the shrinkage in the amount of the pay roll has been percentually even greater than the reduction in numbers employed. In district No. 12 (San Francisco), however, the amount of the pay roll increased 5.3 per cent and in districts No. 2 (New York) and No. 11 (Dallas) the decreases have been less than the percentage drop in numbers of employees. The percentage reduction in numbers employed for the country as a whole was 25 per cent and the reduction in the amounts of the weekly pay roll was 29.8 per cent. It is fairly apparent, therefore, that very general reductions in rates of wages have occurred, although the sharper percentual drop in the amount of the pay roll would be partially accounted for by the fact that some establishments have curtailed working hours.

Number of Employees and Amount of Pay Roll for Representative Establishments in 1920 and 1921.

| Federal Reserve district. | Number of employees on pay roll, date nearest Apr. 1, 1920. | Number of omployees on pay roll, date nearest Apr. 1, 1921. | Per cent of decrease in number of employees reported. | Amount of pay roll for week ending on date nearest Apr. 1, 1920. | Amount of pay roll for week ending on date nearest Apr. 1, 1921. | Per cent of decrease in total amount of pay roll. | Per cent of decrease in average pay per employee. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. 1.-Boston. | 386, 850 | 318,973 | 17.5 | \$11,037, 818 | \$8, 539,997 | 22.6 | 6.2 |
| No. 2.-New York. | 372, 416 | 305, 152 | 18.1 | 10, 824,000 | 8,912,400 | 17.7 | 10.5 |
| No. 3.-Philadelphia | 140, 101 | 107,625 | 23.2 | 4, 069, 574 | 2, 675, 494 | 34.3 | 14.4 |
| No. 4.-Cleveland. | 203, 061 | 114,078 | 43.8 | 6,994, 400 | 3, 213,877 | 54.1 | 18.2 |
| No. 5.-Richmon | 61,284 | 47,784 | 22.0 | 1, 5888,993 | 1, 019,434 | 35.8 | 17.7 |
| No. ${ }^{\text {Nom }}$--Chicago. | 343,544 | 230,681 | 32.9 | 11, 558, 620 | 7,339, 275 | 36.5 | 17.5 5.5 |
| No. 8.-St. Louis. | 47, 563 | 37, 140 | 21.9 | 1,049,195 | 675,142 | 35.6 | 17.6 |
| No. 9,-Minneapolis | 33,917 | 23, 846 | 29.7 | 976, 971 | 646,981 | 33.8 | 5.8 |
| No. 10.-Kansas City | 31, 347 | 14, 887 | 52.5 | 641, 565 | 279, 243 | 56.5 | 8.4 |
| No. 11.-Dallas...... | 7,582 89,977 | 6,307 84,412 | 16.8 6.2 | 170,839 $2,877,016$ | 151,210 $3,030,414$ | 11.5 | 16.4 112.3 |
| Total. | 1,737,717 | 1, 303, 782 | 25.0 | 52, 286, 463 | 36,726, 380 | 29.8 | 6.4 |

## 1 Increase.

NoTE.-The totals given in the table will not in all cases correspond with those published for the several Federal Reserve districts, as in some cases supplementary returns were received too late to be incorporated in the general report. In one instance, returns received directly have been added to totals obtained from the district. A certain amount of reclassification of industrial groups has also been undertaken for the purpose of securing greater uniformity in the general report.

The last column in the table indicates the percentage reduction in average weekly pay per employee for the establishments included in the study. It will be seen that in districts No. 11 (Dallas) and No. 12 (San Francisco) there has been an increase in the average compensation of employees-in the former of 6.4 per cent and in the latter of 12.3 per cent. Such increases are not inconsistent with the decreases in employment of 16.8 and 6.2 per cent, respectively, even though no increases in rates of pay may have occurred. They may
merely represent the higher average earning capacity of those retained in service. In district No. 2 (New York) also there was a slight increase in the average pay per employee. In all other districts material reductions are noted, ranging from 5.5 per cent in district No. 7 (Chicago) to 24.1 per cent in district No. 6 (Atlanta).
Another table showing the changes in employment and in amount of pay roll, by the principal industries, follows.

Number of Employees and Amount of Pay Roll in Representative Establishments, by Industries, in April, 1920 and 1921.

| Industry. | Number of employees on pay roll. |  |  | Amount of pay roll. |  |  | Per cent of decrease in average pay per employee. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1920 | 1921 | Per cent of decrease. | 1920 | 1921 | Per cent of decrease. |  |
| Cotton textiles. | 108,770 | 88,884 | 18.2 | \$2, 699,798 | \$1, 819,749 | 32.6 | 17.5 |
| Woolen textiles | 26,727 | 23,784 | 11.0 | 772,745 | 609,972 | 21.1 | 11.3 |
| Silk textiles. | 13,026 | 11,683 | 10.4 | 317,697 | 268,117 | 15.6 | 5.9 |
| Clothing. | 43, 208 | 33,417 | 22.6 | 1,462,219 | 975,287 | 33.4 | 13.7 |
| Boots and shoes. | 59,103 | 45,950 | 22.3 | 1,644,093 | 1,248,072 | 24.1 | 2.4 |
| Lumber... | 20,870 | 15,063 | 27.8 | 638,977 | 371, 381 | 41.9 | 19.5 |
| Building materials. | 21,052 | 14,028 | 33.4 | 697,185 | 416,665 | 40.2 | 10.3 |
| Building construction | 21, 305 | 8,456 | 60.4 | 471,246 | 211, 481 | 55.1 | 113.1 |
| Paper....... | 26,889 | 24,261 | 9.8 | 705, 259 | 550,120 | 22.0 | 13.5 |
| Meat packing | 83, 166 | 64,532 | 22.4 | 2,280, 350 | 1,774,035 | 22.2 | 10.3 |
| Iron and steel | 168,751 | 98,785 | 41.5 | 6,019, 819 | 2,714,773 | 54.9 | 23.0 |
| Automobiles. | 84, 845 | 38,027 | 55.2 | 3,085,754 | 1,135, 616 | 63.2 | 17.9 |
| Farm machinery. | 21,586 | 13,555 | 37.2 | 858,958 | 477,969 | 44.4 | 11.4 |
| Electrical goods.. | 50, 588 | 37, 164 | 26.5 | 1,557,510 | 1,062,521 | 31.7 | 7.1 |
| Copper....... | 26,980 | 16,640 | 38.3 | 888, 378 | 453,047 | 49.0 | 17.3 |
| Oil..... | 22,643 | 23,331 | 13.0 | 803,384 | 975,518 | 121.5 | 117.8 |
| Rubber | 57,711 | 20,798 | 64.0 | 1, 646, 346 | 355,982 | 78.4 | 40.0 |
| Printing. | 14,202 | 12,590 | 11.4 | 479,738 | 441,762 | 7.9 | 13.8 |
| Chemicals. | 12,813 | 9,200 | 28.2 | 465,055 | 238,081 | 48.8 | 28.7 |
| Shipbuilding. | 32,453 | 28,564 | 12.0 | 1,005,161 | 1,038,729 | 13.3 | 117.4 |
| Tobacco manufacture | 29,849 | 24,282 | 18.6 | -531,629 | 313,789 | 41.0 | 27.5 |
| Public utilities. | 320,820 | 300,360 | 6.4 | 10,551,038 | 10,646, 294 | 10.9 | 17.8 |

1 Increase.

Reductions in the number on the pay roll were made in all the industries included in the tabulation, except oil, the reduction being smallest ( 6.4 per cent) for public utilities and largest ( 64 per cent) for the rubber industry. Among the highest percentages of reduction in employment shown are those for the automobile industry (55.2 per cent), for building construction (60.4 per cent), and for iron and steel (41.5 per cent.) Even larger reductions are shown in the aggregate amount of pay roll for all industries, with the exception of building. construction, printing, shipbuilding, meat packing, and public utilities. The percentage of decrease in average earnings per employee varies from 2.4 per cent in the boot and shoe industry to 40 per cent in the rubber industry. Iron and steel, with a 23 per cent reduction, tobacco manufacturing with a 27.5 per cent reduction, and chemicals with a 28.7 per cent reduction, are among the industries showing the largest cuts in average weekly earnings. Six industries, on the other hand, show increases in average earnings per employee, which varies from 0.3 per cent for meat packing to 17.8 per cent for oil.

The general tables given above throw no light on the extent of the rate reductions that have occurred, nor on variations in the percentages of those reductions. For this reason a more detailed presentation of the returns for certain principal industries within the 12 Federal Reserve districts is offered below. This review does not, however, cover all the material available, which will be presented with a greater
degree of elaboration in the reports of the individual Federal Reserve Banks.

DISTRICT NO. 1-BOSTON.
Data for important industries in district No. 1 (Boston) follow:

| Industry. | Number of employees. |  | Weekly pay roll. |  | Percentage of decrease in numployed. | Percentage of decreasein pay roll. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Apr. } 1, \\ 1920 . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. 1, } \\ & \text { 1921. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. } 1, \\ & 1920 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. 1, } \\ & \text { 1921. } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Cotton textiles | 90, 041 | 76,649 | \$2,292,648 | 31,541,457 | 14.9 | 32.8 |
| Textiles: Miscella |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| neous... | 10,940 | 8,208 | 256, 018 | 139,909 | 25.0 | 45. 4 |
| Finishing. | 10,578 | 11,470 | 244, 793 | 224, 333 | ${ }^{18.4}$ | 8.4 |
| Woolen goods. | 12,707 | 11, 857 | 422,647 | 324, 715 | 6.7 | 23.2 |
| shoes........ | 23,093 | 15, 128 | 634, 715 | 445,620 | 34.5 | 29.8 |
| Electrical goods...... | 19,883 | 15,177 | 579,5 | 384, 4 | 23.7 | . 7 |
| Machines and |  |  | 57, | 384, 430 | 23.7 | . 7 |
| tools........ | 18, 581 | 10,979 | 567,686 | 284, 125 | 40.9 | 53.5 |
| Pulp and paper. |  | 14,519 | 395,924 | 305,744 | 8.7 | 22.8 |
| Public utilities | 119, 305 | 107, 253 | 3,935, 824 | 3,829, 763 | 10.1 | 2.7 |

${ }^{1}$ Increase; strike conditions prevailed a year ago.
In the textile industry there has been a practically uniform reduction of 22.5 per cent in wages within recent months, but in some cases this decrease had been preceded by an increase of about 15 per cent granted last June, so that for some establishments the net reduction for the year is slightly less than 11 per cent. In the boot and shoe industry there has been no change in rates of wages during the year.

With the exception of the cases already noted, it is difficult to make any generalizations concerning the character of the wage cuts which have been put into effect by the various reporting industries. There has been very little uniformity in these reductions, but it is safe to say that with few exceptions it is generally true throughout the country that the heaviest decreases in wage rates have been experienced by unskilled or "common" laborers.

DISTRICT NO. 2-NEW YORE.
In district No. 2 (New York) the following reporting industries have been selected for especial comment:

| Industry. | Number of employees. |  | Weekly pay roll. |  | Percentage of dein number em-ployed. ployed | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per- } \\ \text { centage } \\ \text { of de- } \\ \text { crease } \\ \text { in pay } \\ \text { roll. } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. 1, } \\ & \text { 1920. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Apr. 1, } \\ 1921 . \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Apr. } 1, \\ 1920 . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. } 1, \\ & \text { 1921. } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Clothing. | 11,926 | 10,154 | \$289,700 | \$248,700 | 14.9 | 14.1 |
| Silk. | 8,906 | 7,989 | 212,600 | 176,200 | 10.3 | 17.1 |
| Automobiles.... | 11, ${ }^{11} 819$ | 26, 7105 | 326,100 864,800 | 248,700 678,300 | 33.2 | 23.7 |
| Printing and publishing.. | 6,592 | 26,997 | 241,000 | 232,800 | 15.5 9.0 | 31.6 |
| Miscellaneous metal manufac turers. $\qquad$ | 67,560 | 64,200 | 2,091,200 | 2,053,000 | 5.0 | 1.8 |
| Shipbuildingand |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public utilities... | 108,934 | 6,645 <br> 99,160 | 3,565,000 | [ $\begin{array}{r}220,700 \\ 3,447,000\end{array}$ | 48.8 8.4 | 46.5 3.3 |

Returns were received from 137 establishments, and of this number 55 per cent had made reductions in wages since April 1, 1920, and nearly 80 per cent of those reporting said that reductions had been made on a uniform basis. When this was not the case, unskilled workers had generally been the group subjected to the greatest decrease. As in district No. 1 (Boston) no reductions have been made in the wages of the boot and shoe operatives employed by the nine reporting manufacturers. Four railroad and transportation companies similarly report no reduction in wage rates and only one of seven other public utility corporations reported reductions. In the lastnamed case the average decrease was about 9 per cent. But two of the 11 reporting clothing manufacturers stated that wage rates had been reduced, the average decrease being about 9 per cent. As employees within the groups just mentioned have scarcely been affected by wage. reductions (while increases have occurred in wages of railway and transport workers) and as these groups constitute a very large percentage of the total number of workers covered by the inquiry in district No. 2 (New York), it is not surprising that the percentage of reduction in weekly pay rells as
shown in the general table does not decline as sharply as numbers employed.

Thirty-seven of the 48 miscellaneous metal manufacturing concerns stated that there had been reductions in wage rates and in 22 cases these reductions were uniform. The average amount of the cut was 13 per cent for all 37 concerns. Two of the four automobile concerns reported a uniform reduction in wage rates averaging 11 per cent. Three of the seven reporting silk manufacturers had also made uniform reductions amounting to 12 per cent in rates of wages. Four of the six concerns in the group shipbuilding and repairs said that there had been uniform reductions averaging 11 per cent. In only one of the six printing and publishing firms had there been a reduction averaging about 12 per cent. In general the decreases reported appear not to have been so extreme as in other sections of the country.

DISTRICT NO. 3-PHILADELPHIA.
In district No. 3 (Philadelphia) returns have been tabulated for the following important industries:

| Industry. | Number of employees. |  | Weekly pay roll. |  | Per centage of decrease in numbers employed. | Percentage of decrease in pay roll. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Apr. } \\ 1920 . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Apr. } 1, \\ 1921 . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Apr. } 1, \\ 1920 . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Apr. 1, } \\ \text { 1921. } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| Iron and steel | 58,531 | 39,558 | \$1, 798, 999 | \$924, 581 | 32.4 | 48.6 |
| Cotton textiles. | 5,613 | 5,170 | 141,063 | 126,545 | 7.9 | 10.3 |
| Woolen textiles | 6, 310 | 5,386 | 153,604 | 127, 372 | 14.6 | 17.1 |
| Silk textiles. | 4, 120 | 3,694 | 105,097 | 91,917 | 10.3 | 12.5 |
| Knit goods......... | 1,858 | 1,067 | 47,703 | 22,506 | 42.6 | 52.8 |
| Leather and leather goods ... | 7,409 | 4,285 | 208, 876 | 101,690 | 42.2 | 51.3 |
| Boots and shoes... | 3, 785 | 3,533 | 92, 123 | 84, 595 | 6.7 | 8.2 |
| Printing and publishing........... | 6,329 | 5,401 | 179,705 | 150, 776 | 14,7 | 16.1 |
| Public utilities.... | 10, 576 | 10,708 | 383, 284 | 370, 851 | 11.2 | 3.2 |

## I Increase.

Of the 96 reporting iron and steel companies, 91 stated that there had been reductions in rates of wages. The average reduction both for skilled and unskilled workers ranged from about 5 to 25 or 26 per cent. In cotton textiles the wage reductions have affected skilled and unskilled workers in about the same degree, the per cent of reductions ranging from about 16 to 21 per cent. This was true in the case of woolen textiles also, but the reductions were somewhat less in the case of skilled workers. In silk textiles the reduction ranged from about 12 to 15 per cent and there has been slight differentiation between the treatment of the skilled and unskilled workers. Six out of eight reporting knit goods establishments
stated that there had been reductions of 15 per cent applicable to both skilled and unskilled workers. Only four of the 23 reporting shoe firms had put into effect reduced rates for unskilled workers ranging from 9 to 11 per cent. Three of these had reduced the wages of skilled workers 14 to 16 per cent. Of the 22 leather firms five reported no reduction in the wages of unskilled workers and six reported no reduction in the wages of skilled workers. In the other cases average reductions for both skilled and unskilled workers ranged from 10 to 25 per cent. The 21 printing and publishing establishments without exception reported that there had been no change in wage rates to date. Ten of the 36 reporting public utility companies had reduced the wages of unskilled labor about 18 per cent, but only three companies announced reductions in the rates paid to skilled workers.

## district no. 4-Cleveland.

For district No. 4 (Cleveland) the following are the principal industries for which reports have been received:

| Industry. | Number of employees. |  | Weekly pay roll. |  |  | Percontage of decrease in pay roll. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Apr. 1, 1920. | Apr. 1, | $\begin{gathered} \text { Apr. } 1, \\ 1920 . \end{gathered}$ | Apr. 1921. |  |  |
| Coal and coke. | 14,071 | 16,391 | \$436, 796 | \$366,015 | 116.4 | 16.2 |
| Yron and steel...... | 31, 557 | 13,614 | 1, 568,007 | 523,773 | 56.9 | 66.6 |
| Electrical machinery. $\qquad$ |  |  | 868,321 | 647, 991 | 24.8 | 25.3 |
| Rubber ............. | 47, 245 | 13, 542 | 1,362, 264 | 293, 268 | 71.3 | 78.5 |
| Automobiles....... | 25, 323 | 9,085 | 861, 385 | 201, 632 | 64.1 | 76.3 |
| Pottery............ | 5,532 | 5, 399 | 148, 850 | 161,947 | 2.4 | 18.8 |
| Public utilities..... | 12,652 | 13,043 | 362, 000 | 391, 876 | 13.1 | 18.3 |

There was a very general reduction in wage rates made by independent steel mills during February and March, which amounted to about 20 per cent and affected all classes of workers. The Steel Corporation, however, made no changes in wage scales in its plants. The report from district No. 4 (Cleveland) states that, among the lines covered by the survey, pay rolls in four reporting linesnamely, manufacturers of women's clothing, pottery, public utilities and construction com-panies-show increases in rates of pay. This is due to the fact that these industries are working under wage agreements negotiated during the spring and summer months and generally covering a period of a year. Otherwise decreases in rates of wages have been quite general, although there has been no uniformity either as regards the nature of the
business, the classes of workers affected, or localities. The only generalization that can safely be made is that "common" labor has as elsewhere had its wages reduced in greater degree than skilled labor. In the case of six rubber companies, whose records show a drop of 71.3 per cent, in number employed, general wage reductions have taken place in practically all shops, running from 7.5 to 50 per cent and averaging around 24 to 26 per cent. Practice has been by no means uniform, however, in the case of the five reporting automobile factories. Reductions of wage schedules ranging from 10 to 30 per cent have occurred in some factories, while in other cases no changes have been made. Contrary to the usual policy, the least reduction had been made in the pay of "common" labor.

The reductions in wages paid by reporting establishments in the southern districts have been decidedly more far-reaching than in other parts of the country, and the cuts in the rates paid to "common" or unskilled labor have been especially severe.

## DISTRICT NO. 5-RICHMOND.

The industries selected for detailed examination in district No. 5 (Richmond) are given below:


The fall in rates paid by four reporting cotton mills has been general and has averaged about 37.4 per cent, rising to 45 per cent for some workers in one establishment. All but one of eight building and construction companies reported decreases ranging from 10 to 50 per cent. In two cases extreme cuts of 50 per cent were stated to apply to "common labor." The returns from the seven fertilizer manufacturers show drastic cuts in the wages of the unskilled workers who form the bulk of the employees. The reductions were approximately 41 per cent, while the wages of skilled
employees had not been reduced more than 16 to 20 per cent. Five lumber manufacturers reported that the rates of wages paid to skilled workers had been reduced 10 to 15 per cent, while the unskilled workers had dropped about 40 per cent and in extreme cases 50 per cent. Of the six glass factories three reported no reduction; one a 10 per cent reduction for unskilled labor; another, 15 per cent for young people; another, 20 per cent for unskilled and 10 per cent for skilled workers. No reductions are reported by three coal companies, and two boot and shoe manufacturers have likewise maintained the same scale of wages.

DISTRICT NO. 6-ATLANTA.
The selected list of reporting industries for district No. 6 (Atlanta) follows:

| Industry. | Number of employees. |  | Weekly pay roll. |  | Pcrcentage of decrease in num-beremployed. | Percentageof de-creaseinpayroll. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\underset{1920 .}{ }$ | Apr. 1, | Apr. 1, | $\text { Apr. } 1$ $1921 .$ |  |  |
| Cotton textiles. | 4,110 | 3,491 | \$76,971 | \$46,014 | 15.0 | 40.2 |
| Lumber. | 1,197 | 1,279 | 21,026 | 15,544 | 16.9 | 26.1 |
| Public utilities | 3,622 | 3,535 | 94,877 | 97,920 | 2.4 | 13.2 |

1 Increase.
As in district No. 5 (Richmond), reductions in wage rates by cotton mills have been extensive, amounting in one case to 34 per cent as a result of three recent reductions. In another instance, a uniform reduction of 37.5 per cent has been put into effect; in two other cases reductions of 20 per cent have been made; and in a third instance one of 25 per cent. These changes applied to all classes of help except in one mill, which stated that skilled hands had been reduced only 10 per cent. New wage schedules recently instituted by reporting lumber companies provide for reductions of 30 to 40 per cent for unskilled labor. Six street railway and electrical companies stated that there had been some reduction made in wages of trackmen and unskilled labor.

## DISTRICT NO. 7-CHICAGO.

A compilation of the data relating to some of the more important industries covered by
the report from district No. 7 (Chicago) is included in the following table:

| Industry. | Number of employees. |  | Weekly pay roll. |  | Per-centageof decreasein num-ber em-ployed. | Percentage of decrease in pay roll. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Apr. 1, 1920. | Apr.1, | $\begin{gathered} \text { Apr. } 1, \\ 1920 . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. } 1, \\ & 1921 . \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Automobiles and accessories | 48,003 | 21,248 |  |  | 55.7 | 64.1 |
| Chemicals, |  |  | \$1, 898, 269 | \$682,284 | 55.7 | 64.1 |
| paints, and soap | 9,934 | 5,499 | 299,095 | 142,855 | 44.6 | 52.2 |
| Clothing.......... | 21, 251 | 15,781 | 795, 059 | 540,673 | 25.7 | 32.0 |
| Coal mining. | 4,388 | 3,918 | 202, 484 | 160,706 | 10.7 | 20.6 |
| Contractors and builders $\qquad$ | 11,962 | 3,580 | 261, 354 | 96,691 | 70.1 | 63.0 |
| Iron, steel, and brass. | 18,202 | 9,882 | 722,572 | 355, 775 | 45.7 | 50.8 |
| Lumber and mili |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| workers . . . . . . | 10,117 | 7,396 | 328, 036 | 196,922 | 20.9 | 40.0 |
| Farm implements |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| and equipment. | 19,872 | 12,222 | $\begin{array}{r} 814,701 \\ 2.172,257 \end{array}$ | 1, 449,3980 | 38.5 22.0 | 44.8 21.5 |
| Packers .-.il..... | 78,874 30,651 | 61,516 29,201 | 2,172, 2.57 $1,082,340$ | 1,706, 298 | 22.0 4.7 | 21.5 116.4 |
| Public unily .... |  | 2, | 1,082, 3 | 1,201, 16 |  | 16.4 |

${ }^{1}$ Increase.
In the case of the concerns manufacturing automobiles and accessories a majority of the establishments report that all grades of workers have been equally affected by percentage reductions, which range from 7 to 25 per cent, according to establishments. Sixteen of the 19 clothing concerns making reports state that there has been no decrease in the rates of wages paid. In a limited number of other instances decreases have ranged from 5 to 20 per cent. Of the 61 concerns manufacturing iron and steel and brass, 19 report no decrease in wage rates. The others record decreases ranging from 5 to 20 per cent. No generalization regarding the class of workers affected is possible, as in some cases reductions have been confined to the skilled and in other instances applied to the unskilled. Manufacturers of farm implements and equipment report no decrease in wage rates in 16 out of 84 cases. In other instances decreases range from 5 to 25 per cent. As a rule reductions have been uniform within establishments. Where differences exist, however, the reduction in the rates paid to common laborers has been greater. Three of the 17 reporting packers state that there have been no decreases in wage rates. In the other cases reductions have varied from 8 to 15 per cent. Contractors and builders report decreases for 21 out of 31 reporting firms, the decreases varying from 10 to 25 per cent. Sixteen of the 26 reporting public utility companies state that
there has been no reduction in wage rates. In the other instances decreases have varied from 17 to 30 per cent. Reductions have been largely confined to trackmen and "common" laborers.

DISTRICT NO. 8-ST. LOUIS.
A table showing changes in numbers employed and in pay roll for specified industries in district No. 8 (St. Louis) follows:

| Industry. | Number of employees. |  | Weekly pay roll. |  | Percentage of decrease in numployed. | Percontage of decrease in pay roll. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Apr. 1, 1920. | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Apr. 1, } \\ \text { 1921. } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Apr. } 1, \\ 1920 . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Apr. } 1, \\ \text { 1921. } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| Wholesalehardware | 3,094 | 2,553 | \$117,051 | \$111, 229 | 17.5 | 5.0 |
| Stovemanufacturing | 2,712 | 1,211 | 88,322 | 24, 589 | 55.3 | 72.2 |
| Building materials.. | 2,350 | 1,208 | ${ }^{63}, 421$ | 28,886 | 48.6 | 54.5 |
| Chemicals,paints,etc | 1,695 | 1, 154 | 36, 293 | 27, 522 | 31.9 | 24.2 |
| Clothing and dry goods. | 4, 084 | 3,320 | 94,447 | 81,013 | 18.7 | 14.2 |
| Printing............... | 1,524 | 1,181 | 50, 338 | 39,422 | 22.5 | 21.7 |
| Iron and steel prod- | 5,971 | 4,295 | 150,726 | 94, 070 | 28.1 | 37.6 |
| Public utilities. | 7,417 | 7,590 | 261, 611 | 296,222 | 12.3 | ${ }^{1} 13.2$ |

4 Increase.
On the whole, reductions in wages have not been so numerous nor so great in district No. 8 (St. Louis) as in the sections to the south and east, and where reductions have occurred the skilled labor has not been affected in very many instances. Only "common" labor was affected by reduction in wage rates made by six of the seven reporting concerns engaged in the production of building materials. Cuts varied from 10 to 50 per cent, covering all workers. Only one of six reporting stove manufacturing concerns reported a reduction in rates. For wholesale hardware establishments no reduction in wage rates are recorded, although one is in prospect. Of the 14 establishments engaged in the manufacture of iron and steel products nine report no changes in wage rates, while, in the case of the others, decreases range from 8 to 25 per cent. About two-thirds of the workers covered by reports from clothing and dry goods houses had had their rates of wages decreased 10 per cent. In the other cases no change had been made. Manufacturers of chemicals and paint announce no reduction except in one instance, where a 10 per cent drop was made.

## DISTRICT NO. 9-MINNEAPOLIS.

Details for a group of the leading reporting industries in district No. 9 (Minneapolis) are given in the accompanying table:

| Industry. | Number of employees. |  | Weekly pay roll. |  | Per-centageof de-creasein num-ber em-ployed. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per- } \\ \text { contage } \\ \text { of de- } \\ \text { crease } \\ \text { in pay } \\ \text { roll. } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Apr. 1, | Apr. 1921. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Apr. } \\ \text { 1920. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. 1, } \\ & 1921 . \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Knit goods... | 3,520 | 3,229 | \$59,285 | 854, 104 | 8.3 | 8.7 |
| Mining......... | 14, 828 | 8, 8607 | 501, 632 | 229, 299 | 41.9 | 54.3 |
| Public utility. | 6,058 | 5,864 | 202,115 | 220, 198 | 3.2 | 19.0 |

1 Increase.
The greatest reduction in wage rates occurred in the case of construction companies, which showed reductions of 20 to 30 per cent. Reductions varying from 15 to 20 per cent were made by mining and milling companies. Two out of three public utility companies reported increases in wage rates, in one case amounting to 10 per cent.

## DISTRICT NO. 10-KANSAS CITY.

The table which follows gives returns in some detail for the principal mining industries of district No. 10 (Kansas City) and for the packing industry:


The decreases in rates of wages paid to lead and zinc miners have been especially severe, being approximately $33 \frac{1}{3}$ per cent to date. Wage reductions have been uniform, but where outside labor enters, as carpenters, bricklayers, and machinists, the extent of the reduction is not shown in the reports. In the Colorado metal mines, for which more specific data are not obtainable, it is estimated that only about $4,000 \mathrm{mcn}$ are employed, as compared with a normal number of 10,000 . No exact figures as to the number of men employed in the smelters are available at this time, but it is known that the smelters are running at a very much reduced capacity and a number are shut down entirely. The minimum wage per day at the mines is now as
follows: Leadville, $\$ 4$; Cripple Creek, $\$ 3.50$; Silverton, \$4.25; Teluride, \$4.

The wages for more skilled men run up to $\$ 1$ and $\$ 1.50$ more than the minimum. These figures represent a flat 50 -cent reduction since the first of the year. In the smelters the minimum wage is $\$ 3$ and the maximum $\$ 5.55$. It represents a cut of 10 to 15 per cent since the first of the year. It is stated that efforts to readjust the situation in meat-packing houses at packing centers of this district have resulted in a reduction of approximately 30 per cent in the number of employees at these plants within the year. There are probably now about 35,000 employees at the larger plants in the six packing cities of Kansas City, Oklahoma City, Omaha, St. Joseph, Denver, and Wichita, compared with approximately 50,000 employees on April 1, 1920. On March 14 of this year there was inaugurated a reduction of 8 cents per hour for all hourly workers and $12 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent on piece work. The scale is now in force, although the employees have made an appeal to the United States Labor Department. In the bituminous coal field there has been no reduction of wages, as the contract between the United Mine Workers' Organization and the Southwest Interstate Coal Operators' Association does not expire until April 1, 1921. On April 1, 1920, the number of men employed in the four States was approximately 32,000 , and it is assumed that the number on the pay rolls on April 1, 1921, closely approximates this number, although the mines are being operated at less than one-half capacity this year, whereas a year ago they were operated at more than three-fourths full capacity.

## DISTRICT NO. 11-DALLAS.

Twenty-eight replies to questionnaires sent to different counties in the State of Texas making inquiry concerning changes which had been made in the wages of farm labor were received. Of these, 17 report reductions of 50 per cent in rates; 7 report a 35 per cent reduction, and 4 a reduction of 25 per cent. Twelve building contractors reported that there had been a reduction in the wages of nonunion skilled mechanics of about 10 per cent, while the wages of unskilled workers had fallen about 25 per cent. There had been no reduction in the scale of wages paid to union labor.

DISTRICT NO. 12-SAN FRANCISCO.
Returns from district No. 12 (San Francisco) are as follows for leading lines:

${ }^{1}$ Increase.
In district No. 12 (San Francisco) the situation is different from that prevailing in other parts of the country. Reporting establishments were employing 6.2 per cent fewer men than a year ago, as the number on the pay roll dropped from 89,977 to 84,412 , but pay roll totals increased 5.3 per cent. The public utility companies report no reduction in the wages of regular employees. On the contrary, increases in rates have occurred during the year. Two companies state that lower rates are being paid for common labor. Similarly, steamship companies state that there has been no decrease in rates of wages paid to any class or grade of employees, and one firm employing 3,800 men had made an increase of $\$ 2.50$ per man per week due to premium rates. There was likewise no reduction in rates of wages paid by oil producers and refiners. As these groups of establishments represent a very large percentage of total returns, it is not surprisinn that the total weekly pay rolls should show an increase.

The lumber companies have all reduced wages in varying degrees, and as a rule the cuts have been considerable, rising to 35 or 40 per cent in some cases. Seven milling concerns also report reductions effective since January varying from 10 to 12.5 per cent and applicable to all employees. A number of miscellaneous unclassified establishments also stated that there had been reductions. Reports on wage schedules for ten copper mines show decreases of from 14.8 per cent to 22.1 per cent for miners, of from 8.3 per cent to 23.1 per cent for mechanics, and of from 10.5 per cent to

27 per cent for muckers (common labor). There have been decreases in all mines and for all classes of labor, but for common labor there have been greater percentage reductions in most cases than for skilled labor.

## Acceptance Market.

The Federal Reserve Banks have furnished the following reports on the condition of the acceptance market in their respective districts for the period prior to April 23:

District No. 2 (New York).-Ninety-day bills of the large New York banks held firmly at $5 \frac{7}{8}$ to 6 per cent during the last 10 days of March and until after the completion of April 1 payments. At that time there was a further easing of call money rates, which was reflected in the increased demand for bills. Some dealers reduced their offering rate for the best 90 day bills to $5 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent, while others quoted $5 \frac{5}{8}$ or $5 \frac{3}{4}$. During the first three weeks of April the ruling rate fluctuated between these figures, with a tendency toward the end of the period to center on $5 \frac{5}{8}$.

Fluctuations in the volume of sales followed in the main the movements of money rates. During the second week of April, when rates were lower and there was a particularly active demand, dealers' portfolios were reduced sharply. But in later weeks sales were somewhat smaller and portfolios were built up to about the same amount as at the beginning of the month. Evidence of the further broadening of this market is found in a report by two dealers of the addition of 45 new buyers of bankers' acceptances to their lists within three weeks. This bank continues to add two or three members each week to the list of member banks for whom bills are purchased.

While bills drawn for the purpose of furnishing dollar exchange continue to predominate in the local market, there has been an increased proportion of both metal, grain, and packers' bills recently. The volume of sugar bills offered has fluctuated rather widely, but these, also, are in heavier volume now than a month ago.

The minimum buying rate of the Federal Reserve Bank has remained unchanged at $5 \frac{3}{4}$ to 6 per cent, according to maturity, for indorsed prime bills.

District No. 3 (Philadelphia).-Sales of bankers' acceptances in the Third Federal Reserve District during the first few weeks of April did not keep pace with the earlier months of the year. This is due in part to the fact that many institutions preferred to invest in United

States certificates of indebtedness. As compared to last year, sales have been much larger.

The supply of bills has decreased, but is ample for the requirements of the market. Factors in reducing the supply are the disposition of business firms to liquidate acceptances covering goods in warehouses and the lessening in import and export business. Latest reports of the Department of Commerce show that March exports totaled $\$ 387,000,000$ as compared to $\$ 820,000,000$ in the previous year, and imports totaled $\$ 252,000,000$ against $\$ 524,000,000$ last year.

Commercial banking institutions are the largest purchasers of acceptances, but it is stated that industrial and business corporations have purchased considerable amounts with the funds released by curtailment of their operations. Acceptance dealers complain of the State laws restricting investments of savings banks and insurance companies, as they feel that this class of paper furnishes an investment combining security and liquidity in a high degree. The purchases of the Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia have averaged $\$ 2,741,000$ weekly thus far in 1921, as compared to a weekly average of $\$ 1,469,000$ in 1920 and $\$ 270,000$ in 1919.

Inquiries among accepting banking institutions in Philadelphia show that during the month ending April 10, 11 of these institutions accepted bills aggregating $\$ 4,561,000$ as compared to $\$ 5,321,000$ in the previous month, a decline of 14 per cent. The total amount of their acceptances outstanding on April 10 was $\$ 13,150,000$; on March 10 the amount was $\$ 14,095,000$. Cotton, wool, silk, leather, and oil figure largely among the commodities covered by these acceptances.

Selling rates quoted by dealers operating in the district are given below, with comparative rates a month ago and a year ago:

| Maturity. | Eligible members' bills. |  |  | Eligible nonmembers'bills. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { April, } \\ 1921 . \end{gathered}$ | March, 1921. | $\underset{1920 .}{ }{ }_{c}^{\text {April, }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { April, } \\ & \text { 1921. } \end{aligned}$ | March, 1921. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { April, } \\ & 1920 . \end{aligned}$ |
| 30 days. | $5_{2}{ }^{2}-58$ | 55-53 | 57-53 | 585-53 | $5 \frac{3}{4} 6$ | $5 \frac{3}{3}-5 \frac{7}{8}$ |
| ${ }_{90}^{60}$ days. | 51-53 | 53 ${ }^{\frac{3}{2}-5}$ |  | 53-57 |  | ${ }^{57}{ }^{6} 6$ |

District No. 4 (Cleveland). -The acceptance market for the month was spotty and the rates fluctuating. Brokers were unable to maintain uniformity in rates and purchasers shopped wide for prime bills. The easing off and wide range of call money rates in New

York and the zealousness of certain brokers in the open market to permanently force acceptance rates under the 6 per cent mark brought about a variance in selling rates of prime paper. Brokers differed in opinion as to whether the acceptance market should change with each indication of better money rates and conditions throughout the country and offered their bills accordingly.

A good variety of prime paper was offered in the market, in addition to the usual amount of corporation bills and other less-known paper, but was not absorbed as readily as last month. Many purchasers took less-known names as the rates for prime paper declined. The demand for corporation bills continued sluggish. Bills created against foreign transactions fell off, but there was a corresponding increase in domestic paper, due to seasonal demands. The banks remained the principal purchasers but there was also an increased demand by corporations and individuals. There was considerable increase in the turnover of paper over the previous month. The present rates for prime 90 -day bills vary between $5 \frac{3}{4}$ per cent and $5 \frac{7}{8}$ per cent.

District No. 6 (Atlanta). -The acceptance market in the Sixth Federal Reserve District has been very inactive during the early months of this year. This is attributed to the inactive movement of commodities, particularly foreign shipments of cotton. Nowhere in the district have acceptances been executed to any great extent, except at New Orleans, which is the principal export city of the district, and there each succeeding month since December, 1920, has shown a decline.

The amount of acceptances rediscounted by the Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta for its member banks during March was slightly less than the amount for the month of February, and only approximately 30 per cent of the sum discounted during the month of January of this year. The total amount of acceptances discounted by the Federal Reserve Bank for its member banks during the first three months of 1921 was approximately 11 per cent of the amount rediscounted during the same months of 1920 .

Export movements of cotton financed by the War Finance Corporation and the Federal International Banking Co. should materially strengthen the acceptance market in this district when cotton commences to move from interior points to port cities.

Acceptances have never been used in this Federal Reserve district to any very great extent, although there is a growing tendency for their use during periods of crop movement.

District No. 7 (Chicago).-Improvement in the demand for acceptances is noted. Statistics for March over February showed increased sales. Most of this demand has been from the surburban and country banks. One of the largest dealers reported 80 per cent of the bills sold to be of 90 -day maturity and the remainder divided between 30 and 60 day maturities. The acceptances sold by one bank showed 25 per cent of the acceptances drawn against grain, 25 per cent drawn against sugar, and the remainder against oil, machinery, tobacco, coffee, and cotton.
The March returns from three banks and one dealer show increase over February as follows: 26 per cent in amount of sales, 2 per cent in amount of purchases, and 9 per cent in amount held at the close of month. The figures for these precentages are given below:


The selling rates show a slight increase for March over February.
District No. 8 (St. Louis).-The demand for bankers' acceptances was more active in this district during the period from March 15 to April 15 than for some previous time. This demand came in the main from large mercantile interests who found themselves with surplus funds for investment. There has also been a spotty demand for bankers' acceptances from several of the larger banks in the principal cities of the district. Rates during this time have been more or less unsettled, and recently brokers were offering unindorsed prime bills at a flat rate of $5 \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}}$ per cent for all maturities. From reports it does not appear that this offering has been either attractive or a true measure of the market for such investments. There is evidence that some of the smaller banks in the district are using bankers' acceptances as a secondary reserve.

The high, low, and customary rates prevailing in St. Louis and Louisville, as reported by
banks in those cities, were as follows between March 15 and April 15:

|  | Bankers' acceptances (unindorsed), 60 to 90 days. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | High. | Low. | Customary. |
| St. Louis. Louisville | ${ }_{6}^{68}$ | 5\% | ${ }_{6}^{6}$ |

District No. 9 (Minneapolis).-Interest rates in the Minneapolis money market on April 15 as compared with March 15 were unchanged at 7 per cent for customers' loans at banks and 8 per cent for commercial paper. There were recessions, however, of five-eighths to threefourths per cent in bankers' acceptances, and over one-half per cent on collateral-secured loans and cattle paper. The prevailing rate on April 15 was $5 \frac{3}{4}$ per cent on bankers' acceptances and 8 per cent on collateral notes and cattle loans.

District No. 10 (Kansas City).-Bankers are devoting their resources and energies to the situation at home and for the present are putting little of their surplus into acceptances or other forms of investment.

District No. 11 (Dallas).-The local acceptance market has been very dull during the past 30 days, and offerings have been in limited amounts only. On March 31 this bank held acceptances aggregating $\$ 20,906$, as compared with $\$ 1,186,210$ on March 31, 1920.

A recent inquiry of 64 banks engaged in extending acceptance credits in this district elicited replies from 10 , showing that those institutions had acceptances outstanding aggregating $\$ 1,745,000$, of which $\$ 706,000$ was based on import and export transactions, and $\$ 939,000$ on domestic shipments, or commodities in storage. The average maturity of the acceptances outstanding was 90 days.

No activity is anticipated in the acceptance market in this district until the financial situation materially improves. In past seasons, especially in the fall when the banks of the district were in surplus funds, acceptances and commercial paper have been sought as an investment, and have proven an attractive outlet for idle funds. For the past several months, however, there has been little demand for acceptances, and purchases by the banks in this district have been negligible. There have been no new accepting banks within the month. The attractiveness of acceptance credits as a method of finance is fully appreciated, and with the large agricultural interests of the Eleventh District a large increase in acceptance transac-
tions will result, although their general use may be slow of accomplishment.

District No. 12 (San Francisco).-Bankers' acceptances purchased in the open market declined by $\$ 16,200,000$ during the last two weeks of March and on April 8 stood at $\$ 14,030,000$, compared with a maximum holding of this class of paper of $\$ 119,000,000$ on January $30,1920$. During this same period bills discounted for member banks increased $\$ 81,000,000$, indicating that funds previously invested in outside paper were diverted to meeting the demands of member banks for funds to lend their customers in this district.

## Methods Followed by City Banks in Granting Accommodation to Correspondents.

This is the third and final article giving the results of a study made by the Division of Analysis and Research of the Federal Reserve Board of the methods pursued by reserve city banks in granting accommodation to their correspondents. ${ }^{1}$ In the two preceding articles were considered data from New York and from the West and Southwest. The present article is based upon information obtained from banks in Boston, Philadelphia, Richmond, Baltimore, and Atlanta. For comparative purposes, reference will be made at certain points to the practices relative to specific points adopted in centers previously considered, and these will be contrasted with practices in the centers to which major consideration is given herein.
To indicate more clearly the relative importance with respect to this type of business of the centers included in the present article, the following table has been prepared, showing loans, both direct and indirect, made by national banks in these cities to other banks and trust companies.

| [In thousands of dollars.] |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |

The figures are taken from the annual report of the Comptroller of the Currency for 1919,

[^2]volume I, pages 78-81, and relate to December 31, 1918.

The following figures, taken from pages 46 and 47, show loans by national banks in these cities to other banks and trust companies in the form of bills payable and rediscounts separate from loans on certificates of deposit, as of March 4, 1919:

|  | Bills payable and redis- | Certifcates of deposit. | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Boston. | 12,693 | 1,502 | 14, 195 |
| Philadelphia | 25, 115 | 20 | 25, 135 |
| Richmond. | 6, 326 |  | 6,326 |
| Baltimore. | 2, 155 | 26 | 2,155 6,880 |
| Total. | 53,143 |  |  |
| Total for all central reserve and reserve cities................ |  |  |  |
|  | 234, 050 | 17,310 | 251,360 |

In the report of the Comptroller of the Currency for 1920, Volume I, pages 210-213, a somewhat different classification is followed. The figures for January 31, 1920, as given there, are as follows:

| [In thousands of dollars.] |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of banks. | Direct and indirect loans made to banks. | Rediscounts made to banks | Bills receivable purchased from or discounted for banks. |
| Boston. | 12 | 10,225 | 12,460 | 358 |
| Philadelphia | 32 | 22,715 | 2,340 | 241 |
| Richmond. | 7 | 3, 201 | 1,310 | 625 |
| Baltimore.. | 13 | 5, 101 | 721 |  |
| Atlanta. | 4 | 858 | 471 |  |
| Total ...... |  | 42, 100 | 17,302 | 1,224 |
| Total for all cities having a popu- |  |  |  |  |
| lation of over |  |  |  |  |
| 50,000........ | 595 | 268, 201 | 147,450 | 164,310 |
| Total, Dec. 31, | 600 | 232,455 | 115,015 | 126, 924 |

## I. Sources of Information. <br> CONTENTS OF THE FILE.

The banks in the centers included in the present survey differ greatly with respect to the elaborateness of the files which they maintain. As indicated previously, practice varies with the relative importance placed upon maintaining the impressions on the subject bank in the mind, instead of having them recorded in writing and filed away, as well as with the size of the institution and the number of borrowing accounts. No pronounced tendencies are found in any one center. Two of the Boston banks have no special records
at all for their credit information, but, on the other hand, several banks in that center have files which are among the most highly developed of any reported by the banks included in the present survey. One bank divides its file into six sections, as follows:
(1) General information regarding institutions, memoranda on line of credit granted and terms, and memoranda on collection arrangements and terms.
(2) Correspondence.
(3) Inquiries.
(4) Interviews.
(5) Miscellaneous data.
(6) Record of banks to whom credit information was furnished on this subject.

The information from statements is recorded on cards by this bank and statements are filed elsewhere. On the other hand, some institutions merely have two sections to the folder, one for correspondence and one for statements.

Annual revision of credit information is the general policy. That is to say, there is then a complete rechecking of information, and not mere addition of data that comes to attention from time to time, and is placed in the file as it appears. The Richmond and Atlanta banks make revision at least once a year, with the exception of one Richmond bank which makes revision every six months or oftener at times, and another which makes a revision when application for loans is made, and one Atlanta bank which does it "as frequently as necessary." Three Boston banks have no regular time of revision, but, on the other hand, two other Boston banks revise at least annually. Several banks in the other centers also have no regular time of revision, but the majority of banks revise their data annually or at least annually.

## EXPERIENCE OF OTHER INSTITUTIONS.

In all the centers included in the present survey, considerable stress appears to be placed upon the experience of other institutions with the subject. One Philadelphia institution states that "information as to standing of banks is derived chiefly from checkings received from other institutions." This parallels the practice of New York City banks, rather than that of the western institutions included in the previous article. Certain Boston banks, however, obtain no data of this description, and one does this only in the case of new banks, or banks hard pressed for funds. One Richmond bank states that when extending credit to a "small bank" it writes to "banks and business men in the vicinity in which the bank is located," while where an "old bank" is under consideration it
"checks it up with its correspondents and with banks and business men in its vicinity." A Boston bank distinguishes between banks located in and outside New England. In the former case, communication is generally had with mutual correspondents, while in the case of New England member banks the Federal Reserve Bank furnishes the best source of information, supplemented by reports from New York banks dealing with the subject. Occasional use is made of the Federal Reserve Bank by one Atlanta bank, which also frequently consults the State banking department. The latter is the only case reported in the entire survey of such consultation, with the exception of one New York bank. As indicated above, mutual correspondents are supplemented in certain cases by banks or more rarely individuals in the vicinity, perhaps in the community where the subject bank is located. One bank mquires at the time the account is opened of all correspondents of the subject bank which are listed in one of the standard directories. The correspondents communicated with are frequently varied from time to time. One Philadelphia institution states that telephonic inquiry to other correspondents is frequently made.

The information requested may be summarized under the heads of (1) integrity of management; (2) condition of the bank; (3) borrowing aspects; (4) past relations, including size of account and whether or not it was profitable; and (5) whether any adverse information has come to their attention. The extent to which detailed data under the third and fourth heads in particular are requested varies considerably.

STATEMENTS OF THE SUBJECT BANK.
There appears to be a more general tendency among the centers considered in the present survey to require detailed statements of the subject than was found among banks covered in either of the two preceding articles. While the majority of banks in the centers considered in the present article also regard the brief statement as satisfactory, a considerable number of institutions require detailed statements. One institution in Atlanta reports that it "files regular published statements of its banks, and from those to whom it extends credit asks for detailed statements annually."

Comparative statement forms are employed by a considerable number of the banks. The data included by certain institutions are given in the following table. Form 5 is in process of revision. This is the only one which is arranged horizontally, the remainder being arranged vertically. Forms 4 and 5 are contained on cards, while the others are on sheets.

| 1. | Cash. 2. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Bills discounted, not due. | Due from banks. |
| Time loans against collateral. | Due from Treas. U. S. and 5 per |
| Demand loans against collateral. | cent redem. fund. |
| Overdrafts, secured and unsecured. | Total cash due from banks and Treas. U.S. |
| U. S. bonds to secure circulation (par value), - per cent. | and Treas. U.S. <br> Loans and discounts. |
| U.S. bonds to secure U. S. depos- | Overdraits. |
| its (par value), - per cent. | Stocks and bonds. |
| Other (T. S. bonds (par value), per cent. | Banking house, furniture, and flxtures. |
| Premiums on U. S. bonds. | Other real estate. |
| Stocks, securities, ete. | Customers' liability a/c accept- |
| Banking house, furniture, and fixtures. | ances. <br> Interest earned but not collected. |
| Other teal estate owned. | Other assets. |
| Mortgages owned. | Miscellaneous resources. |
| Due from banks and bankers. |  |
| Due from approved reserve agents. | Total assets. |
| Exchanges for clearing house. |  |
| Cheeks and other cash items. | Capital. |
| Cash on hand. | Surplus and undivided profits. |
| Five per cent redemption fund, | Unearned discount. |
| Due from Treasurer U.S. | Reserved for interest and taxes. Circulation. |
| Total assets. | Bank deposits. |
|  | Other deposits. |
| Capital stock. | Total deposits. |
| Surplus fund. | Bond account. |
| Undivided profits, less current ex- | Acceptances. |
| penses and taxes paid. | Bills payable and rediscounts. |
| Dividends unpaid. | Other liabilities. |
| Due to banks and bankers (not approved reserve agents). | Total liabilities. |
| Due to approved reserve agents. |  |
| Ind. deposits subject to check. | Correspondents. |
| Time certificates of deposit. |  |
| Demand certificates of deposit. <br> Cashier's checks outstanding. Certified checks. | 3. |

Loans and discounts. Overdrafts.
U.S.bonds-Cir., U.S. dep., postal savings.
Stocks, bonds, securities, etc. Banking house and furniture.
Other real estate.
Due from other banks.
Due from reserve banks.
Checks, cash items, exch. for clearance.
Cash in vault and with Federal Reserve Bank.
Red. fund and due from U. S. Treas.
Customers' liability under L/C and accept.
Interest earned but not collected.
Other assets.

## Total assets.

Capital stock.
Surplus.
National bank notes
Due to banks.
Individual deposits.
Bank deposits.
Savings and time deposits.
U.S. bonds borrowed.

Bills payable.
Taxes.
L/C and acceptances. Miscellaneous liabilities.

Total liabilities.
Sales of stock.
5.

Capital.
Surplus and profits.
Bank deposits.
Individual deposits.
Rediscounts and bills payable.
Bonds borrowed.
Loans.
Overdrafts.
Stocks and bonds.
Federal reserve stock.

Various special records analyzing the condition of the account are also kept. Among these may be mentioned an analysis card showing high, low, and average loans each month; amount of net balance and book balance and per cent of net balance to loans; income on the net balance, transit overhead cost, and net profit after deducting such cost. Another bank records on one side of a card average book balance and average amount outstanding, amount of exchange (cost and collected), amount of interest (cost and allowance), and net profit or loss; as well as volume, items handled, and their exchange, and on the other side monthly discounts in hundreds, showing maximum and minimum amounts and rate, divided into maker, indorser, United States securities, and interest. One bank keeps a book record of lines, existing loans, and collection arrangements on the loan officer's desk.

## REPRESENTATIVES' VISITS AND AGENCY REPORTS.

Practice differs greatly as to the maintenance of men in the field to visit correspondents, but the use of such representatives is the exception, and most of the banks instead have correspondents visited by an officer, generally once a year. One Baltimore bank experimented several years ago with a representative, but "thought that it did not yield results," while another has had but one occasion in recent years to have a representative make a report on conditions, where a correspondent had failed to make the proper curtailment in its indebtedness. Philadelphia banks reporting have no regular representatives, while in Atlanta use is made of them by several banks, as is also the case with one bank in Richmond and one bank in Boston, the latter having several men who travel a greater portion of the time. The Richmond bank states:
Such a representative makes a detailed report covering his observations as to the character and caliber of the men running the bank, appearance of the bank, class of customers, and conversations of the officers; also impressions gained by him from inquiries made in the bank's town and neighboring towns.

Less use is apparently made of the mercantile agency reports in the centers under consideration than in either New York or in the western centers included in the previous article. None of the reporting Philadelphia banks employs them, while the same is true in Boston, with the exception of one bank which "seldom ever" does, and in Richmond, with the exception of one bank which frequently uses them. One Baltimore bank uses them; another, however, only rarely; while
a third has no occasion, as data obtained from other sources are sufficient. Two Atlanta banks obtain reports, another only on small country banks, while another seldom uses them, a fifth has found them "inadequate," and valuable only in so far as light is occasionally thrown on the connections of the officers, and a sixth does not use them.

## II. Relation of Amount of Accommodation to Balange Maintained--"Clean-Up" Requirements.

## BALANCES.

A considerable number of institutions in the centers under consideration require a minimum average balance. In general this is 20 per cent, although in certain cases from 10 to 20 per cent, or from 15 to 20 per cent, may be specified instead. One Richmond institution has more fully explained its requirements as follows:
Our general policy is to extend credit to banks on a basis of five times their average balance for the previous six months, and under present conditions we expect them to maintain an average of not less than 20 per cent of the loan. All of our correspondents are not complying with this requirement at this time, but it will have a considerable bearing on future credit extended.

Similarly, one Atlanta bank states that after accommodation is extended, "we expect collected balances of at least 20 per cent of the loan to be maintained." Several other banks in that center apparently have similar requirements, but one bank states that it has waived its requirements during the past six months owing to the unusual conditions prevailing, and another specifically offsets balances during the off season against those during borrowing periods. A Philadelphia bank which usually grants accommodation to five times the average daily balance generally restricts credit on later applications if inadequate balances are maintained, but states that many institutions first use up their balance and then borrow to restore it. A Baltimore bank has made exception in the past in the case of institutions with which they have arrangements whereby the other banks "collected certain items free of exchange, charged direct to their accounts, with the result that the actual balances as shown on its books were considerably less than would otherwise have been the case, in addition to a saving in exchange." This then provided a satisfactory profit on the account, but the condition has been materially affected by the exchange facilities of the Federal Reserve System. A Richmond bank states that it
endeavors to make a net profit of - per cent or better on the account, and on this basis figures the average balance necessary to justify the loan. A Philadelphia institution states in this connection that "the value of proportionate balances makes itself felt more keenly at a time like the present when it is necessary to apportion accommodation according to balances. We also give consideration to collections and other services rendered us." One Richmond institution which does not require a minimum balance states that although under present conditions average balances will exceed 10 per cent, there are quite a number of bank borrowers who maintain balances of 10 per cent or less.
No minimum dollar amounts are required in any case, although one bank states that it pays no interest on average available balances less than $\$ 1,000$. Smaller banks are reported by a number of institutions to maintain proportionately smaller balances, while one Atlanta bank observes that "balances have usually been lean with country banks for the last few months." A Baltimore institution has "found the tendency to run the line of credit out of proportion to the compensating balance, to apply in the case of points where there is but a single small bank or two rather than in the case of larger banks in larger towns."

## CHARACTER OF BORROWING.

The great majority of loans are for seasonal needs. Two Philadelphia banks, however, make mention that Government financing is increasing loans for other than seasonal needs. One Boston bank, however, makes seasonal loans only in the Connecticut Valley on account of the tobacco crop, while another has about one-third of its loans for nonseasonal needs. One Baltimore bank does not "encourage loans of this character" (other than for seasonal needs), as it feels that "it breeds expansion beyond the safety point." Very little borrowing of a nonseasonal character is in general reported in the southern centers.

In spite of these differences the general practice is to expect a periodical clean up, if not to require it, as the large majority of banks do. Clean up annually is the general practice. One Richmond institution states that, "as a general proposition, in this section it is not necessary to require a periodical clean up, as this is done automatically from the marketing of agricultural products." Another, however, has had to extend a great many of its loans this year, and the same is reported by several other banks, due naturally to the conditions prevailing in the cotton and tobacco sections. Most of the
borrowing banks of an Atlanta institution "are off the books longer periods than they are on, generally speaking." Speaking of the adaptation of loans to time of liquidation of commodities which the borrowing bank chiefly finances, a Philadelphia institution instances that cotton loans should liquidate not later than spring, and wool loans during the fall. Similarly, another Philadelphia bank reports that its southern accounts usually borrow in the fall and early winter and its Pennsylvania and New Jersey accounts in the early spring. In the event that conditions are not propitious for complete liquidation, reasonable curtailment is generally expected, as is noted by a Baltimore institution.

If the clean up does not occur, and it is believed that it should reasonably be made, it is in general directly requested by personal visit or by letter. The clean up may be made a condition of the loan; or the credit extended to banks that show by chronic or continuous borrowing a tendency to expansion even in normal times, may be restricted; or the plea of conservative banking may be made. A Boston bank states that "wherever we believe it is possible for a bank to reduce its loans by disposing of investments at not too great a sacrifice, we insist that this be done. Furthermore, we have had occasion to insist that the bank force its customers to reduce loans through sale of commodities." A Baltimore bank in certain cases slightly raises the rate, say, one-half per cent, on unscheduled renewals.

## III. Forms of Accommodation.

## SECURITY OF THE LOAN.

As in the case of all the other centers included in the two previous surveys, the great majority of loans are secured. In fact, in all centers except Boston every reporting bank states that it either has no unsecured loans, or that the proportion is very small. In Boston, however, one bank reports that 50 per cent, another 40 per cent, and a third 20 per cent of its accommodation is unsecured. Another Boston bank, on the other hand, has only one unsecured loan at present, while a second states that "only a small portion of loans to correspondents are made on an unsecured basis, and in such cases only to banks who have been for a long time on our books, with whose affairs we are quite conversant, and in whose officials we have entire confidence."

The relative proportions of bills receivable and of securities employed as collateral vary considerably from bank to bank. Certain significant differences, however, are found be-
tween the several centers. In both Richmond and Atlanta the great majority of loans are against bills receivable. The great majority of other securities offered consist of Liberty bonds. In the case of three Richmond banks giving estimated percentages, the figure for Liberty bonds ranged from 20 to 25 per cent, as against 80 to 75 per cent, respectively, for bills receivable. While some banks in Atlanta report only a small use of Liberty bonds (one bank reporting 90 per cent and another 89 per cent on bills receivable), several report extensive use of Liberty bonds by country banks in the past few years, and one notes that many such loans have been liquidated in the past six months. Several Baltimore banks report percentages of securities ranging from 25 per cent to 50 per cent and 67 per cent. Several banks report a large percentage of Liberty bonds, but in the case of the others it is relatively small. The five reporting Philadelphia banks are sharply divided. Two show percentages of 90 to 95 per cent against bills receivable, while the other three show 60 per cent, 75 per cent, and 75 per cent against bonds. One of the latter banks states that "Liberty bonds are not used as collateral by banks that are members of the Federal Reserve System, and only to a limited extent by banks that are not members," while one of the banks showing a high percentage of loans on bills receivable states that they are usually only used "in cases where such loans have been carried since the time of original subscription." Reference to the statements of the several Federal Reserve Banks clearly bears out the tendency in Philadelphia to rely more largely on the Federal Reserve Bank for accommodation against Government obligations. Thus, in the statement as of April 1 the Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia shows over 60 per cent of its total bills on hand secured by United States Government obligations. Only one other bank, namely, New York, shows over half its total bills on hand secured in this manner, and in its case the figure is slightly over 50 per cent. Practice in Boston differs somewhat among the various banks. One institution states:

Our preference for bills receivable or securities as collateral depends considerably on the individual bank. We have found that oftentimes loans in the form of rediscounts and notes secured by receivables are paid more promptly than loans collateraled by other securities where the borrowing banks are inclined to be dilatory in paying loans. Generally speaking, the larger and stronger banks borrow on bonds as collateral and retire their obligations promptly.

This bank states that Liberty bonds, although used extensively in 1918 and 1919, are now employed to a limited extent, and that
it "has even brought pressure to bear to have bonds sold where there was no prospect of the note being otherwise paid."

Certain banks prefer to lend against bills receivable; others, however, prefer securities. Liberty bonds when offered, however, in general receive preference. The latter is often coupled with a secondary preference for bills receivable. One Richmond bank remarks that it shows its preference only when the bank's assets indicate the possession of Liberty bonds. As indicated in previous articles, when there is preference for listed securities this is ascribed to greater ease in handling (as checkings and substitutions are avoided), and the possibility of liquidating more promptly and to better advantage. Hence one Baltimore bank states that "in ordinary times we would be glad to reflect our preference in a slightly lowered rate on the loan."
Considerable difference is found as to margins between Boston, Philadelphia, and Baltimore on the one hand and Richmond and Atlanta on the other hand. In particular this is true of loans with bills receivable as collateral. In the first two centers 20 per cent is most frequently specified. In some cases this applies on all classes, while in other cases 10 per cent only will be specified on Liberty bonds. One Philadelphia bank states that a margin of 20 per cent has been customary, but that recently there has been a tendency to increase it to 30 per cent, although loans secured by Liberty bonds or basic commodities carry margins smaller than 20 per cent. Margins in Baltimore vary from 15 to 30 per cent, several banks distinguishing between bills receivable and securities, and requiring in the latter case 10 or 15 to 25 per cent, as against 15 or 20 to 25 per cent on bills receivable. The majority of the Richmond and Atlanta banks refer to the maximum requirements which they make on bills receivable. While one Boston bank stated that many times it had collateral much in excess of its usual 20 per cent margin, in Richmond the ranges given are from 20 to 25 or 30 per cent to 100 per cent, with the exception of one bank, which merely gives 25 per cent as its usual margin requirement. In Atlanta one bank with little business of this character specifies 25 per cent; another up to 25 per cent; a third 20 to 100 per cent; and a fourth in normal times 25 per cent, and under present conditions 50 to 100 per cent. The latter is also specified by two other banks, one of which in recent months has increased the margin from 50 per cent to 100 per cent. Several banks in these two centers refer to lower margins on securities. One Richmond
bank requires 10 to 15 per cent of the market value against Liberty bonds and Victory notes, while an Atlanta bank requires 20 per cent, but in certain cases notes that it has varied this.

## USE OF BILLS RECEIVABLE.

In scattered instances fairly high percentages of rediscounts to total accommodation extended are reported. Thus 35 per cent is reported by one Richmond bank, 30 per cent by one institution in Philadelphia, and by one in Baltimore. The percentages given in the other cases range from 8 to 15 per cent, but the majority of banks, however, merely specify that the proportion is very small. The Comptroller's figures for Boston national banks as of January 31, 1920, indicate an amount of rediscounts in excess of the amount of direct and indirect loans made, but in all the other centers the proportion is much smaller. The general practice is to rediscount only well-rated names and for strong institutions. Thus, one bank in Richmond states that it does so only "for banks in which we have the utmost confidence as to their integrity, management, and ability, and then only on paper which would stand on its own merits, in so far as collection is concerned, without the indorsement of the banks." The margin which loans against the bills receivable as collateral carry, and the fact that in event of failure the balance in the de posit account can be offset against the loan, account for the preference for collateral loans instead of rediscounts. A Baltimore bank states that it will rediscount "where the correspondents have paper of a grade equal to collateral securities," while an Atlanta bank "requests paper that is eligible for rediscount with the Federal Reserve Bank. One Philadelphia bank, however, which has by far the greater part of its loans collateraled by bonds, states that it "would prefer rediscounting wellrated commercial paper for corresponding banks instead of making loans on collateral, as same is invariably paid when due." This view is similar to that of the Boston bank noted above in considering the security of the loan.

The majority of banks, on the whole, have noted no change since 1914 in the methods whereby accommodation is extended. Certain suggestions, however, have been made in the various centers. One Boston bank states that "since 1914 borrowing has been done in the shape of time loans to a greater extent than formerly, when the usual form of borrowing on the part of New England banks was against
certificates of deposit, usually secured by bonds and occasionally by bills receivable." (This method is discussed at greater length below.) Another bank in that center also reports an increase in collateral loans. Philadelphia banks refer to changes brought about by Government financing, and one states that "borrowings have been much less since the Federal Reserve System started, practically all the banks in this district securing their accommodation from that source." A Baltimore institution states that "prior to two years ago practically all loans were made in the form of a collateral note secured by either bills receivable or securities, the rediscount custom having been to a great extent copied from the Federal Reserve Banks."

## MATURITY OF LOANS.

The centers included in the present survey fall naturally into two classes with respect to the maturity of their loans to correspondents. In Richmond and Atlanta, on the one hand, loans are largely made on time for fixed periods. Thus one Richmond bank states that "it is contrary to our policy to make demand loans to banks. This is done only in the rarest cases and only when the bank assures us the money will be needed for just a few days. We endeavor to make only such loans to banks as their seasonal needs require." Another bank in that center, which, however, has practically all its loans on time, notes that it endeavors to put its demand loans on a time basis if they run longer than six months, while a third Richmond bank usually makes its loans for about 90 days, but at present is making no loans for a longer period than 30 days. In Atlanta exceptions are reported only in infrequent cases, one bank stating that they usually represent commodity loans. In the other three centers a larger proportion of demand loans is reported. One Philadelphia and one Baltimore bank state that loans on bonds are generally on demand, while loans on bills receivable are usually on time. Several Baltimore banks report that their loans are largely for fixed periods, while others report loans of both kinds. Philadelphia banks report both kinds of loans, and likewise in Boston, where one bank, however, reports that its loans are generally on demand, and another has about one-third of its loans on demand. Another Boston institution states that local or suburban banks usually borrow on demand, but loans against Liberty bonds usually run for fixed periods.

## HOLDING OF COLLATERAL.

Very little holding of collateral by banks other than the lending institution is reported. One Boston bank reports an occasional exception in the case of western banks. Several institutions in Philadelphia and Richmond report an exception in a few cases for cotton paper, in order to facilitate substitution or permit removal and sale. In such cases, the paper will be returned to the borrowing bank under trust receipt, in general shortly prior to maturity. Substitution of other paper is generally permitted. This depends of course upon the acceptability of the new paper offered, and thus one bank notes that inquiry would be made if the same names were continually offered. Some banks state that they expect liquidation at harvest time, and others endeavor to investigate the necessity of the substitutions proposed.

## SPECIAL FORMS OF ACCOMMODATION.

In all the centers, with the single exception of Boston, very little use of certificate of deposit borrowing is reported, the great majority of banks stating that they do not make such loans, and the others that they are "not customary", or occur "very seldom." Although several Boston institutions report little use of the method, one bank states that "New England banks are accustomed to borrow on a certificate of deposit secured by bonds when borrowing temporarily," and, as noted above, that there has been a decrease in such borrowing since 1914. That this method is still employed to some extent is indicated by the figures given at the opening of this article, showing the amount of loans in the form of bills payable and rediscounts, as compared with the amount of loans on certificates of deposit, on March 4, 1919. Boston is the only center included in the present survey which shows a substantial amount of this special form of loan, although the proportion (somewhat over one-tenth) is smaller than in either Dallas, Kansas City, Minneapolis, or San Francisco, in the last two of which the proportion for national banks was somewhat less than half the total accommodation granted.

Very few of the banks from whom data were obtained report the use of other special forms of accommodation. Securities are stated by several banks to be sometimes taken from correspondents under a repurchase agreement.

## IV. Spectal Parts of the Analysis.

## ANALYSIS OF BILLS RECEIVABLE OFFERED AS COLLATERAL.

Practice differs considerably as to the extent to which scrutiny is made of the receivables offered as collateral for loans. Several Boston banks report that no analysis is made. In general, however, certain features are desired. Aside from the diversification of the paper, these are indicated by one Atlanta bank in the following words: "Bills receivable are generally analyzed as to denomination of the various notes, sections in which makers are located, and a scrutiny made for notes of officers and directors, and a general examination (although no special individual outside investigation) is made." If the names of makers are unfamiliar, one Boston bank endeavors to obtain statements. A Philadelphia institution states that it analyzes "bills receivable as carefully as possible, but in many cases, where dealing with comparatively small institutions, we have to depend to some extent (as to a general assortment of names, many of which are not rated) upon the financial strength of the institution under consideration." Another Philadelphia bank obtains from the borrowing institution data relative to the financial responsibility of makers and indorsers in case the ratings are not satisfactory. Several Richmond and Atlanta banks usually accept the expression of opinion by the borrowing banks as to the net worth of makers and indorsers. One institution in the latter center advises that it "finds it useful" to have corresponding banks send in the estimated net worth of the makers "as it makes the officer making the report in a certain sense morally responsible for the rating given." The information obtained and the analysis made depends, it is stated by certain banks, upon the size of the notes. One Richmond bank thus states that while it scrutinizes the bills receivable as to technicalities and requires the borrowing bank to give it as full information as it can on each note, "on all notes of any size we make independent checking through banks and business men in the same town or vicinity of the bank, and also secure mercantile agency reports." One Philadelphia bank, similarly, while accepting agency reports on small receivables, obtains checkings on receivables in larger amounts and in most such cases requires statements. Practice also naturally differs
according to the familiarity of the officers of the lending bank with the management and status of the borrowing institution. Several institutions check names with local friends where the borrowing bank is not well known. A Baltimore bank states in this connection that if the "report in our credit file indicates that the ability and integrity of the officers in the case of a given bank are of the highest order and statements indicate good conservative management, a passing examination of the receivables offered would serve our purposes, as in such a case the direct note of the institution could be handled with a very small risk. However, in the case of banks not showing such strength we would require well-rated paper such as we handle for depositors."

## THE BORROWING BANK'S STATEMENT.

The extent to which the statement of the borrowing institution is analyzed also differs considerably between the various banks. A considerable number of institutions rely more largely upon their general knowledge of the borrowing bank rather than upon statement analysis. Other institutions consider the statement merely in a general way. One Boston institution states that it only analyzes the statement in detail when the bank is in difficulty. Certain banks, however, have indicated in some detail the particular points which are ascertained in their scrutiny. In a general way the points which will be considered may be summarized as follows: (1) Relation of the deposits to the capital investment. In this connection, one Baltimore and one Atlanta bank consider the proper ratio between five and ten times, the bank otherwise being over or under capitalized; (2) growth of deposits, which will afford an indication of increase in earning power; (3) relation of surplus to capital; (4) relation of loans to deposits; (5) investment in building; (6) investment in stocks and bonds. In this connection, one Boston institution cites "the heavy depreciation of bonds during the last few years" as the reason why it does not like to have heavy bond investment; (7) relation of borrowings to deposits or to loans. In addition, one Richmond and one Atlanta bank refer to seasonal fluctuations in loans, deposits, and borrowings. An Atlanta institution also refers to excessive loans to officers, nature of security and proportion of "frozen" loans to capital, excessive loans to customers (in particular on real estate), and slow or long outstanding loans. Another Atlanta institution reports that it requires a capitalization of $\$ 25,000$ on the part of its borrowing banks.

Certain institutions limit borrowings to the capital investment, which is qualified in certain cases by the statement that this prevails under ordinary circumstances. But few institutions, however, have such a limit. The great majority have no formal rule. Similarly, almost all institutions consider each application separately, and do not attempt to fix a line of credit in advance. Thus in Boston only one institution attempts to fix a line, and this is done only on such of its borrowing banks as are located in crop sections, in particular cotton, while the New England banks' applications are considered separately. Similarly, only one Philadelphia bank reports that it fixes a definite line, and this it does only in a minority of cases. All reporting Richmond banks consider each application separately, although one institution states that it is planning in future to fix definite lines. Several of the Baltimore banks report that they fix a definite line, and one Atlanta bank usually does so, while another institution in the latter center in some instances fixes the line at the opening of the season.

The consideration of "charge-offs" requires intimate knowledge of the affairs of the borrowing institution. Thus the great majority of institutions from whom data were obtained made no comment on this point. One Boston institution, however, states that charge-offs frequently are discussed in person with officers of the borrowing banks when the latter visit it. Several institutions consider charge-offs in connection with dividends, in an endeavor to ascertain the extent to which losses are written off and the amount of net earnings which then remain for surplus or for dividends. One Philadelphia institution states that if the profits do not show a normal increase it inquires as to the proportion of earnings to dividends and charge-offs. One Baltimore bank observes that "under ordinary circumstances we are of the opinion that a bank with conservative management should earn not less than 6 per cent of its invested capital with a proper ratio of deposits, this allowing for average loss but not including the dividends."

## EXPERIENCE OF OTHER INSTITUTIONS.

Several institutions included in the present survey merely have country bank accounts and borrowers therefore do not obtain accommodation from other sources. The total loans from all sources, of course, are considered in determining what the individual institution will loan. This will naturally include as far as possible consideration of the conditions under which these borrowings are made, including amount, rate, maturity, and nature of the security pledged.

# RULINGS OF THE FEDERAL RESERVE BOARD. 

## Amendment to Regulation $B$ authorizing open-market purchases of six months acceptances growing out of foreign transactions.

On May 6, 1921, the Federal Reserve Board transmitted to Federal Reserve Banks its Regulation B, Series of 1921, superseding Regulation B, Series of 1920. The Board's letter of transmittal and the amended regulation are set forth below:

Washington, May 6, 1921.
The Federal Reserve Board transmits herewith its Regulation B, Series of 1921, superseding Regulation B, Series of 1920, relating to open-market purchases by Federal Reserve Banks of bills of exchange, trade acceptances, and bankers' acceptances under section 14 of the Federal Reserve Act. The new regulation is issued primarily for the purpose of permitting Federal Reserve Banks, until further notice to purchase in the open market bankers' acceptances with maturities not in excess of six months, which grow out of transactions involving the importation or exportation of goods. Heretofore three months has been the maximum maturity of acceptances eligible for purchase by the Federal Reserve Banks. This amendment to the Board's regulation was recommended by the Federal Advisory Council at its conference in February, 1921, and by the Governors of the Federal Reserve Banks at their conference in April, 1921.

Two considerations have led the Board to take this action: (1) The desire to widen the acceptance market by meeting the wants of savings banks and similar purchasers of bankers' acceptances who are now deterred from investing in acceptances of longer than three months' maturity, because of the lack of authority of Federal Reserve Banks to purchase longer maturities up to six months; (2) to provide more ample facilities for financing import and export trade with countries where either normal conditions or present abnormal conditions indicate the desirability of rendering assistance by making acceptances of maturities not exceeding six months eligible for purchase by Federal Reserve Banks. While the Federal Reserve Banks would, under ordinary conditions, prefer to confine their investments to paper of short maturity, that is, not exceeding three months, it is believed that the present emergency in the foreign trade situation would be relieved by a more liberal practice. Vigilant care, however, should be exercised by Federal Reserve Banks in purchasing acceptances of long maturities, in order that the liquidity of the aggregate investment in acceptances held by them should not be affected. In amending its regulation in the manner described, the Board looks to the good banking judgment and discretion of the accepting banks and of the Federal Reserve Banks to avoid any untoward results. To avoid misunderstanding, the Board desires to add that the results of this widening of the investment powers of the Federal Reserve Banks will be followed closely, with a view to such modification of its rules or amendment of its regulations as future developments may indicate to be necessary.

The Board has also taken this occasion to make another slight amendment to Regulation $B$ so that its terms will more clearly indicate the Board's purpose in permitting Federal Reserve Banks to purchase in the open market bankers' acceptances growing out of the domestic storage of goods other than readily marketable staples.

## REGULATION B, SERIES OF 1921.

(Superseding Regulation B of 1920.)
open market purchases of bllis of exchange, trade ACCEPTANCES, AND BANKERS' ACCEPTANCES, UNDER SECTION 14.

## I. General statutory provisions.

Section 14 of the Federal Reserve Act provides that Federal Reserve Banks under rules and regulations to be prescribed by the Federal Reserve Board may purchase and sell in the open market, at home or abroad, from or to domestic or foreign banks, firms, corporations, or individuals, bankers' acceptances, and bills of exchange of the kinds and maturities made eligible by the act for rediscount, with or without the indorsement of a member bank.

## II. General character of bills and acceptances eligible.

The Federal Reserve Board, exercising its statutory right to regulate the purchase of bills of exchange and acceptances, has determined that a bill of exchange or acceptance, to be eligible for purchase by Federal Reserve Banks under this provision of section 14, must have been accepted by the drawee prior to such purchase unless it is either accompanied or secured by shipping documents or by warehouse, terminal, or other similar receipt conveying security title or bears a satisfactory banking indorsement, and must conform to the relative requirements of Regulation A, except that-
(a) A banker's acceptance growing out of a transaction involving the importation or exportation of goods may be purchased if it has a maturity not in excess of six months, exclusive of days of grace, provided that it conforms in other respects to the relative requirements of Regulation A, and
(b) A banker's acceptance growing out of a transaction involving the storage within the United States of goods actually under contract for sale and not yet delivered or paid for may be purchased, provided that the acceptor is secured by the pledge of such goods; and provided further that the acceptance conforms in other respects to the relative requirements of Regulation A.

## III. Statements.

A bill of exchange, unless indorsed by a member bank, is not eligible for purchase until a satisfactory statement has been furnished of the financial condition of one or more of the parties thereto.
A banker's acceptance, unless accepted or indorsed by a member bank, is not eligible for purchase until the acceptor has furnished a satisfactory statement of its financial condition in form to be approved by the Federal Reserve Bank and has agreed in writing with a Federal Reserve Bank to inform it upon request concerning the transaction underlying the acceptance.

## National banks as transfer agents.

The Board has received a number of inquiries as to whether national banks may act as transfer agents under the provisions of section 11 (k) of the Federal Reserve Act. After
giving the matter careful consideration, the Board is of the opinion that the capacity of transfer agent is a fiduciary capacity within the meaning of section $11(\mathrm{k})$, and that, therefore, any national bank which has obtained permission from the Federal Reserve Board to act "in any other fiduciary capacity in which State banks, trust companies, or other corporations which come into competition with national banks are permitted to act under the laws of the State in which the national bank is located" may act as transfer agent, provided that competing State corporations are permitted to act in that capacity under the laws of the State in which the national bank is located.

## Demand loans on real estate.

The Federal Reserve Board has received a number of inquiries as to whether national banks may make loans on real estate payable on demand under the provisions of section 24 of the Federal Reserve Act.

Section 24 provides, in part, that-

*     *         * no loan made upon the security of such farm land shall be made for a longer time than five years, and no loan made upon the security of such real estate as distinguished from farm land shall be made for a longer time than one year.

It is believed that the purpose of this provision is to prohibit a national bank from tying up its funds for a longer period than five years in the case of loans upon the security of farm lands, or one year in the case of loans secured by real estate other than farm lands. Inasmuch as the bona fide holder of a demand note secured by real estate has the right at any time to demand immediate payment and to proceed against the property if such payment is not made, the Federal Reserve Board is of the opinion that under the terms of the law national banks may make demand loans secured by real estate, provided, of course, that the loans comply in other respects with the provisions of the law.

It is well to point out, however, that under some circumstances the discount or purchase of demand notes may be subject to certain practical objections. For one thing, if a demand note is indorsed, the indorser may be relieved of his secondary liability if payment is not made within a reasonable time. Furthermore, section 53 of the uniform negotiable instruments law provides that "where an instrument payable on demand is negotiated an unreasonable length of time after its issue, the holder is not deemed a holder in due course." Under this section a bank purchasing a demand note from a former holder an un-
reasonable length of time after its issue would be subject to any defenses which the maker had against the former holder.

There may be other practical considerations of importance in determining whether a demand note is a proper instrument under the circumstances of the particular case. Such practical considerations do not, however, affect the general proposition of law that a national bank may under the terms of section 24 of the Federal Reserve Act, and subject to the conditions, restrictions, and limitations therein prescribed, make real estate loans by taking direct from their customers demand notes executed by those customers, when the notes are properly secured by mortgages covering real estate and comply in other respects with the terms of the law.

Ratio of quick assets to current liabilities as bearing upon eligibility and acceptability.
The Federal Reserve Board has been asked whether its ruling, published on page 73 of the Federal Reserve Bulletin for June, 1915, is still in effect or has been modified or reversed. The ruling referred to is to the effect that a note made by a cotton mill may be rediscounted by a Federal Reserve Bank although the statement of the mill fails to show an excess of quick assets over current liabilities, provided that the general financial condition of the mill is satisfactory and the statement of the mill shows that the plant is not mortgaged and that the deficiency between capital and plant account does not amount to more than $\$ 5$ per spindle.
It is not the present policy of the Federal Reserve Board to lay down definite tests for determining whether paper which is eligible for rediscount by Federal Reserve Banks as a matter of law should be considered acceptable for rediscount from a credit standpoint. The test prescribed in the ruling for determining the acceptability of paper of the character referred to should not, therefore, be regarded as binding upon Federal Reserve Banks.

It can not really be said, however, that the ruling has been reversed, for under the terms of the law and the regulations of the Federal Reserve Board a Federal Reserve Bank may, if it so desires, rediscount a note made by a borrower whose statement fails to show an excess of quick assets over current liabilities. Regulation A of the Board's Regulations, Series of 1920 , provides that one of the prerequisites of the eligibility of a promissory note is that "It must not be a note * * * the proceeds of which have been used or are to be used for
permanent or fixed investments of any kind, such as land, buildings, or machinery, or for any other capital purpose," and the regulation further provides that compliance with this prerequisite "may be evidenced by a statement of the borrower showing a reasonable excess of quick assets over current liabilities." Such a statement is not the only evidence by which a Federal Reserve Bank may satisfy itself that the proceeds of a note have not been used and are not to be used for permanent or fixed investments. The Board has ruled that
it is a question of policy to be determined by the Federal Reserve Bank in each case whether that bank will rediscount a particular note offered to it in spite of the fact that the borrower's statement fails to show a reasonable excess of quick assets over current liabilities. Undoubtedly, as a general rule, sound banking policy requires that the borrower's statement shall show such an excess, but it is for the Federal Reserve Bank to determine whether, and under what circumstances, exceptions may properly be made to this general rule.

## LAW DEPARTMENT.

Authority of national bank to guarantee letters of credit and acceptances, and to appoint correspondent bank as agent to issue letters of credit and acceptances.
It recently has been brought to the attention of the Federal Reserve Board that national banks for some time have been accustomed to guarantee letters of credit issued at their request by correspondent banks in large centers on behalf of the national bank's customers. For instance, it appears that where the customer of an interior national bank desires to obtain a letter of credit in connection with his foreign business, the national bank, instead of issuing the letter itself, will get one of its large city correspondents to issue a letter for the customer's account, which the national bank guarantees; that is, the national bank agrees that in the event the customer for whose account the letter is issued fails to put the issuing bank in funds to meet the acceptances, the guaranteeing bank will do so. The transaction does not always involve the issuance of a letter of credit, for the correspondent bank sometimes simply accepts a draft drawn upon it by the national bank's customer, and the national bank, in a collateral agreement with the correspondent bank, guarantees the customer's obligation to put the correspondent bank in funds to meet the acceptance. Under the latter arrangement, the national bank's liability is the same as the ultimate liability which arises out of guaranteeing a letter of credit, so that the two transactions will be considered as one and the same for the purposes of this discussion. It also appears that some national banks, in consideration of a fee or commission, are accustomed to indorse acceptances for the accommodation of their customers or bill brokers. In connection with such practices, the question has arisen as to whether a national bank has authority to make such guaranties or accommodation indorsements, or whether such acts are beyond the powers which national banks lawfully may exercise.

Whether or not a national bank has authority to guarantee a letter of credit or to indorse an acceptance for accommodation is a question of law which in the last analysis must be determined by the courts. So far as the Board is aware, there are no decisions directly involving this point, and in view of the importance of the matters involved, it seems advisable for the Board to state in some detail its views as to the legal aspects of the situation.

There is no express authority of law which authorizes a national bank to lend its credit by indorsing an acceptance or by guaranteeing or acting as surety on a letter of credit. The national bank act authorizes national banks to discount and negotiate notes, drafts, and bills of exchange, and to make loans on personal security, while section 13 of the Federal Reserve Act more recently has conferred upon national banks the power to accept drafts growing out of certain specified transactions. It is settled, however, that a national bank's power to discount negotiable paper and to loan money does not carry with it the power to guarantee, or act as surety upon, the obligation of another, nor is such a power incidental to the business of banking. (Commercial National Bank $v$. Pirie, 82 Fed., 799; Bowen $v$. Needles National Bank, 94 Fed., 925 (cited with approval in Sponge Exchange Bank $v$. Commercial Co., 263 Fed., 20, 26); Bank of Valdosta v. Baird, 160 Fed., 642. )
In Bowen $v$. Needles National Bank, supra, p. 927, the court said in part:
"It may be stated in general that no banking corporation has the power to become a guarantor of the obligation of another, or to lend its credit to any person or corporation, unless its charter or governing statute expressly permits it. (Farmers \& Mechanics Bank v. Butchers \& Drovers Bank, 16 N. Y., 125 ; Morford 7 . Bank, 26 Barb., 568; Thomp, Corp., sec. 5721.) Under section 5136 of the Revised Statutes, national banking associations are given the power to 'make contracts' and 'to exercise by its board of directors, or duly authorized officers or agents, subject to law, all such incidental powers as shall be necessary to carry on the business of banking; by discounting and negotiating
promissory notes, drafts, bills of exchange, and other evidences of debt; by receiving deposits; by buying and selling exchange, coin, and bullion; by loaning money on personal security; and by obtaining, issuing, and circulating notes according to the provisions of this title.' There is in these provisions no grant of power to guaranty the debt of another, nor can such guaranty be said to be incidental to the business of banking. It has been so held in Seligman v. Bank, 3 Hughes, 647; Fed. Cas., No. 12642; Norton $v$. Bank, 61 N. 11.589 ; and Bank $v$. Pirie, 27 C. C. A. 171; 82 Fed. 799."

Similarly, although the power conferred upon national banks to accept drafts carries with it the power to issue letters of credit as incidental thereto, it would seem that such powers do not carry with them the power to guarantee, or act as surety upon, acceptances or letters of credit issued by other banks.

In view of these considerations, the Federal Reserve Board is of the opinion that a national bank has no authority to guarantee or act as surety upon a letter of credit, or to indorse an acceptance for accommodation; that such acts are ultra vires; and that if the directors of a national bank enter into such contracts of guaranty or suretyship, they assume in their personal capacities the risk of any loss that may occur.

However, while a national bank can not guarantee an acceptance which it does not own, a national bank may purchase an acceptance and immediately resell it with its indorsement, since the power to indorse acceptances is incidental to the power to negotiate acceptances. (Bowen $v$. Needles National Bank, supra; Bank of Valdosta v. Baird, supra.) There appears to be no authority of law, however, which permits a national bank to lend its credit by indorsing an acceptance where the transaction does not involve an actual transfer of title to and from the national bank.

As to the practice of guaranteeing letters of credit, it is contended that if, as stated above, a national bank has no authority to guarantee or act as surety upon such letters, many national banks will be compelled to forego a business which is very desirable both from the standpoint of the banks themselves and from the standpoint of their customers. The result will be, it is alleged, that the customer himself must necessarily go to a large city bank and, as his financial standing perhaps is not generally known, his request for credit in many instances will be refused and he will be compelled to seek other and less desirable means for financing his business.

Realizing the practical force of these considerations, the Board desires to suggest an alternative method of financing the business heretofore financed by means of letters of credit guaranteed by the national banks at whose request the letters are issued. The

Board is of the opinion that this course, if adopted, will enable a national bank, with only slight modifications as to the manner of handling the business, to continue to carry it on without entering into an ultra vires transaction. Take the case of a national bank in an interior community, whose customer wishes to obtain a letter of credit which will be satisfactory to his foreign dealer. The national bank, having no international standing, or being without any department capable of handling foreign business, does not wish to issue the letter itself but is willing to extend its credit to its customer. Under these circumstances, it enters into an arrangement with, say, its New York correspondent, whereby the New. York correspondent agrees as agent of the interior bank to issue a letter of credit for the account of the interior bank's customer, the letter to be issued in the name of the New York correspondent, but in issuing the letter the New York correspondent is to act as agent for an undisclosed principal, namely, the interior bank. The interior bank's name will not appear on the letter of credit, but its New York correspondent may look to it for reimbursement under the collateral agency agreement, not conditionally upon the failure of the customer to put the issuing bank in funds but directly and unconditionally as the real issuer of the letter. The beneficiary of the letter and the holders of the acceptances drawn thereunder will look to and rely on the credit of the New York bank, for its name alone will appear on the letter and the acceptances, but the interior bank will in fact be the real acceptor and the customer will be under obligation to put the interior bank, not the New York bank, in funds to meet the acceptances as they mature. The only change necessary in the present method is that the interior bank, instead of guaranteeing the letter of credit, will execute a separate contract appointing its New York correspondent its agent, and agreeing unconditionally to reimburse the agent as such for any moneys paid out, or, if desired, to put the agent in funds to meet the acceptances as they mature. It would seem that this procedure will meet the practical requirements of the situation and at the same time avoid the necessity of any contract of guaranty.
After careful consideration, the Board considers that national banks may properly finance the business in question in the manner suggested without exceeding their statutory powers. A national bank unquestionably may legitimately finance its customer's business by issuing a letter of credit in its own name. If a national bank may issue a letter itself, it
would seem that a national bank may issue a letter through an agent, provided that the national bank has authority to appoint an agent for that purpose. It is true that, in a ruling appearing on page 835 of the 1920 Bulletin, the Comptroller of the Currency ruled that a national bank can not appoint an agent at a place other than its place of business to accept drafts in the name of his principal bank and to pay such drafts as they mature. This ruling was based on the construction of section 5190 of the Revised Statutes which provides in part:
The usual business of each national banking association shall be transacted at an office or banking house located in the place specified in its organization certificate.

The Comptroller said that the acceptance and payment of drafts is part of the usual business of a national bank within the meaning of this section, and therefore ruled generally that such business may be transacted only at the national bank's principal office or banking house and not in another place through an agent.

The Board is of the opinion, however, that the provisions of section 5190 do not necessarily prevent a national bank from appointing another bank or banker as its agent to issue a letter of credit in the agent's name. It is well recognized that, while a national bank may, not transact any part of its "usual business" at another place through an agent, nevertheless itmay appoint an agent for specific purposes or to transact particular kinds of business. Under these circumstances, it would seem that a national bank, for the purpose of financing its customer's business in the manner herein suggested, may appoint a domestic or foreign bank or banker as its agent to issue in the agent's own name a letter of credit and to accept drafts drawn thereunder, provided, that the authority conferred is specifically limited to the particular transaction involved and that a definite limitation is imposed upon the amount of each letter of credit.

In case the course suggested should be adopted, the agent bank, which issues the letter and which is primarily and unconditionally liable upon the acceptances made thereunder, must include the liability on such acceptances, as and when incurred, among its general acceptance liabilities subject to the limitations on the acceptance power prescribed by law; and, inasmuch as the interior bank is by hypothesis the real acceptor and is directly and unconditionally liable to the agent bank for any monies paid out to meet the acceptances as they mature or to put the accepting bank in funds to meet such acceptances, the principal bank also must include the amount of the
acceptances, as and when made, amonglits general acceptance liabilities subject to the limitations of law.

It should be remembered that the foregoing merely represents the Board's opinion as to the legality of the proposed plan, and as to the requirements which must be complied with if national banks see fit to adopt the plan. In the last analysis, the question whether a national bank legally may appoint a correspondent as its agent in particular transactions to issue a letter of credit and to accept drafts drawn thereunder, and whether in other respects a national bank legally may transact the business in the manner suggested, is a question for the determination of the courts. It seems advisable, however, for the Board to set forth its views with regard to the matter under discussion in order that its position may be clearly understood.

This opinion has been submitted to the Comptroller of the Currency and he concurs in the views expressed therein.

## Qualifications of directors of national banks.

On March 1, 1921, House bill 11307, in relation to the qualifications of directors of national banks, became a law through the signature of the President. The text of the new measure is as follows:
[Publuc-No. 349-66th Conaress.]
[ $\mathrm{H} . \mathrm{R} .11307$.
AN ACT To amend section 5146 of the Revised Statutes of the United States in relation to the qualifications of directors of the National Bank-
ing Association. ing Association.
Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That section 5146 of the Revised Statutes of the United States be so amended as to read as follows:
"SEC. 5146. Every director must, during his whole term of service, be a citizen of the United States, and at least three-fourths of the directors must have resided in the State, Territory, or District in which the association is located, or within fifty miles of the location of the office of the association, for at least one year immediately preceding their election, and must be residents of such State or within a fifty-mile territory of the location of the association during their continuance in office. Every director must own in his own right at least ten shares of the capital stock of the association of which he is a director, unless the capital of the bank shall not exceed $\$ 25,000$, in which case he must own in his own right at least five shares of such capital stock. Any director who ceases to be the owner of the required number of shares of the stock, or who becomes in any other manner disqualified, shall thereby vacate his place."

Approved, March 1, 1921.

## Amendments to State banking laws.

The following recent enactments by State logislatures, which amend the State banking
laws, are published for the information of the Federal Reserve Banks and member banks:

## OKLAHOMA.

House bill No. 393, recently enacted by the Oklahoma Legislature, is substantially the same as the act recommended by the Federal Reserve Board and the American Bankers' Association to bring about greater coordination in the powers of State and national banks and to promote uniformity in State and Federal banking laws. The Oklahoma act reads as follows:
Be it enacted by the people of the State of Oklahoma:
Secrion 1. The words 'Federal Reserve Act" herein used shall be held to mean and to include the act of Congress of the United States approved December 23, 1913, as heretofore and hereafter amended.

The words "Federal Reserve Board"' shall be held to mean the Federal Reserve Board created and described in the Federal Reserve Act.

The words "Federal Reserve Bank"' shall be held to mean the Federal Reserve Banks created and organized under authority of the Federal Reserve Act.

The words " member bank"' shall be held to mean any national bank, State bank, or banking and trust company which has become or which becomes a member of one of the Federal Reserve Banks created by the Federal Reserve Act.

Sec. 2. That any bank or trust company incorporated under the laws of this State shall have the power to subscribe to the capital stock and become a member of a Federal Reserve Bank.

Sec. 3. Any bank or trust company incorporated under the laws of the State of Oklahoma which is, or which becomes a member of a Federal Reserve Bank, is by this act vested with all powers conferred upon member banks of the Federal Reserve Banks by the terms of the Federal Reserve Act as fully and completely as if such powers were specifically enumerated and described herein, and all such powers shall be exercised subject to all restrictions and limitations imposed by the Federal Reserve Act, or by regulations of the Federal Reserve Board made pursuant thereto: Provided, however, That this section shall not limit the duties and powers of the bank commissioner and the banking board to supervise, regulate, administer all State banks and trust companies nor limit the power of the bank commissioner to declare such bank or trust company to be an insolvent institution, and to take charge thereof for the purpose of winding up its affairs as may now or hereafter be provided by law.

Sec. 4. A compliance on the part of any such bank or trust company with the reserve requirements of the Federal Reserve Act shall be held to be a full compliance with those provisions of the laws of this State which require banks or trust companies to carry or maintain reserve other than such as is required under the terms of the Federal Reserve Act.

Sec. 5. Any such bank or trust company shall be subject to the examinations required under the terms of the Federal Reserve Act, and the authorities of this State having supervision over such bank may, in their discretion, accept such examination in lieu of the examination required under the laws of this State. Such authorities, their agents and employees, may furnish to the Federal Reserve Board, the Federal Reserve Banks, or to examiners duly appointed by the Federal Reserve Board, or the Federal Reserve Banks, copies of all examinations made, and may disclose to such Federal Reserve Board,

Federal Reserve Banks, or examiner, any information with reference to the condition or affairs of State banks or trust companies organized under the laws of this State which become members of a Federal Reserve Bank, or which apply for membership in a Federal Reserve Bank.

Sec. 6. Any bank or trust company incorporated under the laws of this State which is or which becomes a member of the Federal Reserve Bank shall not be limited in its borrowing or rediscounting with the Federal Reserve Bank of which it is a member.
SEC. 7. All acts and the parts of acts inconsistent herewith are hereby repealed.

## INDIANA.

House bill No. 40, recently enacted by the Indiana Legislature, amended the laws of that State relating to loan, trust, and safe deposit companies so as expressly to authorize such companies-
to purchase and hold, for the purpose of becoming a member of a Federal Reserve Bank, so much of the capital stock thereof as will qualify it for membership in such reserve bank, pursuant to an act of Congress approved December 23, 1913, entitled the "Federal Reserve Act;'" to become a member of such Federal Reserve Bank, and to have and exercise all powers, not in conflict with the laws of this State, which are conferred upon any such member by the Federal Reserve Act.

## Commercial Failures Reported.

The wide margin of increase in commercial failures in the United States, as compared with the exceptionally low mortality of 1920 , continues in evidence, 1,043 defaults being reported to $R$. $G$. Dun \& Co. during three weeks of A pril, as against only 337 in the corresponding period of last year. For the month of March, the latest month for which complete statistics are available, the returns disclose 1,336 insolvencies for $\$ 67,408,909$ of liabilities. These figures are not only greatly in excess of the 566 defaults for $\$ 12,699,325$ in March, 1920 , but the number is the largest for that particular month since 1916, while the indebtedness is the heaviest on record for any one month whatever. Separated according to Federal Reserve districts, the March statement discloses numerical increases in all instances in comparison with that of March of last year, the differences being marked in practically all cases. The liabilities are, moreover, larger in every district, this exhibit being especially unfavorable.

Failures during March.

| District. | Number. |  | Liabilities. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1921 | 1920 | 1921 | 1920 |
| First. | 88 | 54 | \$8, 259, 999 | \$866,304 |
| Second. | 248 | 139 | 30,836,832 | 6,213,228 |
| Fourth | 63 88 | ${ }_{63} 63$ | 1,082,419 | 644,375 |
| Fifth. | 123 | 36 | 2,918,460 | 464,017 |
| Sixth. | 152 | 34 | 2,397, 390 | 382,988 |
| Seventh | 138 | 64 | 3, 438, 805 | 1,420,313 |
| Eighth. | 108 | 31 | 5,273, 193 | 524, 242 |
| Ninth. | 27 | 18 | 703,571 | 209, 558 |
| Tenth... | 66 | 11 | 4,189, 798 |  |
| Twelfth. | 98 137 | 19 64 | $2,702,583$ $2,838,475$ | 203, $\mathbf{1 , 1 7 5}, 215$ |
| Total. | 1,336 | 566 | 67, 408, 909 | 12,699,325 |

## State Banks and Trust Companies Admitted.

The following list shows the State banks and trust companies which have been admitted to membership in the Federal Reserve System during the month of April, 1921.
One thousand five hundred and fifty-three State institutions are now members of the system, having a total capital of $\$ 550,847,840$, total surplus of $\$ 526,228,748$, and total resources of $\$ 10,201,999,550$.

| District No. 4. |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |

WITHDRAWALS.
Kilgore State Bank, Kilgore, Nebr
The Farmers State Bank, Allen, Nebr.
LIQUIDATIONS.
Union Trust \& Savings Bank, Sionx City, Iowa.
Farmers Guaranty State Bank, Jacksonville, T'ex
First State Bank of Henderson, Henderson, Cex.
Mountainair State Bank, Mountainair, N. Mex.
Marine Bank of Norfolk, Norfolk, Va

## CONVERSION.

The Commercial Exchange Bank, New York, N. Y., has converted into the Commercial Exchange Nationel Bank.

## Change of name.

Long Beach Savings Bank \& Trust Co., Long Beach, Calif., to Long Beach Trust \& Savings Bank.

## Fiduciary Powers Granted to National Banks.

The applications of the following banks for permission to act under section 11(k) of the Federal Reserve Act have been approved by the Board during the month of April, 1921:

District No. 2.
Trustee, executor, administrator, registrar of stocks and bonds, guardian of estates, assignee, receiver, and committee of estates of lunatics:
The National Bank of Cohoes, Cohoes, N. Y.

District No. 4.
Trustee, executor, administrator, registrar of stocks and bonds, guardian of estates, assignee, receiver, and committee of estates of lunatics: First National Bank of New Kensington, New Kensington, Pa.

District No. 5.
Trustee, executor, administrator, registrar of stocks and bonds, guardian of estates, assignee, receiver, and committee of estates of lunatics:
The Planters National Bank of Fredericksburg, Va.
District No. 7.
Trustee, executor, administrator, registrar of stocks and bonds, guardian of estates, assignee, receiver, and committee of estates of lunatics. Merchants \& Illinois National Bank of Peoria, Ill.

District No. 9.
Trustee, executor, administrator, guardian of estates, assignee, receiver, nd committee of estates of lunatics.
Trustee, executor, administrator, registrar of stocks and bonds, guardian oftates, assignee, receiver, and committee of estates of lunatics: The Northern National Bank of Duluth, Duluth, Minn.

## Acceptances to $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ Per Cent.

Since the issuance of the April Bulletin the following banks have been authorized by the Federal Reserve Board to accept drafts and bills of exchange up to 100 per cent of their capital and surplus:

The Riddell National Bank of Brazil, Ind.
The First National Bank of Ittabena, Ittabena, Miss.

## New National Bank Charters.

The Comptroller of the Currency reports the following increases and reductions in the number and capital of national banks during the period from March 26 to April 29, 1921, inclusive:

|  | Banks. | Amount. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New charters issued to | 18 |  |
| With capital of. |  | \$1,650,000 |
| Increase of capital approved for | 27 |  |
| With new capital of................................... |  | 5, 700,000 |
| A.ggregate number of new charters and banks increasing capital. | 45 |  |
| With aggregate of new capital authorized............. |  | 7,350,000 |
| Number of banks liquidating. | 14 |  |
| Capital of same banks. |  | 960,000 |
| Number of banks reducing capital. | 0 |  |
| Reduction of capital. |  | 0 |
| Total number of banks going into voluntary or involuntary liquidation or reducing capital. | 14 |  |
| Aggregate capital reduction |  | 960,000 |
| Consolidation of national banks under the act of Nov. 7, 1918. | 1 |  |
| Capital...................................................... |  | 100,000 |
| The foregoing statement shows the aggregate of increased canital for the period of the banks embraced in statement. |  | 7,350,000 |
| Against this there was a reduction of capital owing to liquidations, etc. |  | 960,000 |
| Net increase. |  | 6,300,000 |

# BUSINESS AND FINANCIAL CONDITIONS ABROAD. 

## ENGLAND. ${ }^{1}$

The strike of something like a million miners in England on April 1 has been the fact of outstanding importance there during the month. This strike did not come as an unexpected or unnatural development, but rather is the latest incident in a long series of unfortunate circumstances which have disorganized the industry during recent years. In the last issue of the Bulletin reference was made to the present condition of the industry and a brief description given of recent developments such as the abnormal profits obtained from export coal during the first part of 1920 , the application of these profits to the subsidizing of the domestic price, the decline in export demand with the resultant deficit in industrial revenues in the last quarter of 1920 , and finally decontrol or the removal of Government support from the industry on April 1. According to the coal mines emergency act, decontrol was not to occur until August 31, 1921; the Government, therefore, in removing its support of the industry on April 1, or five months earlier than the date set, laid itself open to attack from both miners and owners, since the latter groups were guaranteed a fixed rate of wages and profits under Government control. This precipitated, fixed the date, and furnished one of the ostensible causes for the strike, although the underlying reasons for it must be sought elsewhere.

The conditions of coal mining in England are such that the rate of return both as to production and profits varies greatly from district to district. The high-cost mines, however, have been in operation during the war and during the period of heavy foreign post-war demand. The miners in demanding a national wage agreement and a national pool of profits to make possible the payment of uniform wages, raised the question whether these high-cost mines are to continue in operation, and if so, whether wages paid for work in them are to be subsidized from surplus returns from the more valuable mines, from Government subsidy, or by some other means. Fundamental economic conditions in England make the question of operation of high-cost mines a more pertinent one than it would be in a country like the United States. In the first place, coal is a so-called key or indispensable industry. By its export England provides cargo for outgoing vessels which otherwise

[^3]would have to charge excessive freight rates on incoming foodstuffs and raw materials. In addition, a country engaged in industry on the scale that Great Britain is needs large quantities of fuel easily available. The contention of the miners is that these high-cost mines should not be operated at the expense of labor but that instead some method should be found for equalizing wage rates throughout the industry.
Before the strike was called the miners, mine owners, and Government had been in consultation over the question of the readjustment that was to occur in the industry with the removal of control. The owners had made the following offer:
(1) That the wages prevailing in July, 1914, in each colliery be the point below which wages should not be automatically reduced; that wages be computed on the basis of percentage increases, not flat rate advances from the base wage.
(2) That owners' profit in each district be 17 per cent of the aggregate wages paid.
(3) That in case of surplus profits, the workmen receive 80 per cent and the operators 20 per cent.
These propositions obviously were not in harmony with the proposals of the miners for a national wage agreement, providing as they do for a solution of the problem along district lines. They were, however, referred by the executives of the Miners' Federation to the different producing districts for a ballot; with a result entirely unfavorable to the proposals. The reason for the unwillingness of the miners to meet the owners on these or later proposals was apparently due in the main to a determination on the part of the miners to obtain a permanent settlement of the coal-mining problem without further delay. The result was a strike order, effective April 1, followed about a week later by the threat of a sympathetic strike on the part of the other members of the triple alliance, namely, the railway men and the transport workers.

During the course of April and early May negotiations have continued between the miners, owners, and the Government, with the result that concessions have been made on all sides. Although at the time of writing no definite agreement has been reached, the Government has offered a temporary subsidy to prevent wages from declining below a certain point, and the mine owners have suggested that wage agreements be made on the basis of
the "area"-a larger unit than the so-called "district," but not on a national basis.
Meanwhile the statistics which have been published in the course of the negotiations further emphasize the difficulties in the way of obtaining a satisfactory adjustment of the industry along conventional lines. The wages offered by the mine owners on April 1 show extreme variations from district to district for the same work, the reductions amounting to from 40 to 50 per cent in the case of the South Wales district and to not much more than 15 per cent in Yorkshire. The reductions would seem, however, to average closer to 30 than to 20 per cent. With the decrease in the cost of living not more than 20 per cent, according to official figures, it is not surprising that a strong organization like the Miners' Federation will not submit to wage reductions of these dimensions. On the other hand, the latest figures showing the deficits in the finances of the coal industry make clear the problem of the owners and the Government. The deficit for January and February amounted to $£ 9,426,000$, or about $£ 1,178,000$ a week, while the deficit for March was $£ 5,259,000$. These deficits are not entirely due to the decline in the price of coal but are also due in part to a diminished output per man.
The problem presented by the present condition of the coal industry is somewhat more extreme than that existing in most industries, but in essentials it is not different from one of the most pressing general economic problems of the present time-namely, the adjustment of wages to the downward trend of prices. In England, a fairly large number of wage agreements are based upon the adjustment of wages to the cost of living. In these cases reductions have already been made in accordance with the lower level of retail prices. Among the largest groups to be affected in this fashion are railway workers, woolen and worsted operatives and workers in the hosiery trades. Others who have suffered reductions either under sliding scale agreements or outright are certain classes of workers in the iron and steel industry and silk operatives. In May, 500,000 cotton operatives will be affected by revisions in wages in that industry.
Meanwhile wholesale and retail prices have continued to decline, although at a somewhat slower rate than earlier in the year. The Statist index shows a reduction of 3 per cent in the price level as a whole for the month of March while the Board of Trade shows a reduction of 7 per cent. The greatest reductions occurred in the mineral and textile groups according to both index numbers. In spite of
a reduction of 33 per cent in the Statist index since last April when it reached its peak, prices are still approximately 108 per cent above prewar. The index number of the cost of living constructed by the Ministry of Labor shows a reduction of 6 per cent during March.

Unemployment has continued to increase during the month. The figures published by the Ministry of Labor show 10 per cent of trade-union members unemployed at the end of March, while those out of work who are insured against unemployment reached the high total of $1,355,206$.

Per cent of trade-union members unemployed (membership 1,528,001 at end of March). ${ }^{\text {. }}$

|  | 1920. |
| :---: | :---: |
| End of- |  |
|  | 1.1 |
| April. | . 9 |
| May. | 1.1 |
| June. | 1.2 |
| July. | 1.4 |
| August. | 1. 6 |
| September. | 2.2 |
| October. | 5.3 |
| November. | 3.7 |
| December.. | 6.0 |
|  | 1921. |
| End of- |  |
| January.. <br> February | .... ${ }_{\text {. }}^{8.9} 8.5$ |
| March... | 10.0 |

${ }^{1}$ Short time and broken time are not reflected in the figures. In the mining and textile industries a contraction in the demand for labor is generally met by short-time working.

A study of the movement of prices of individual commodities shows that in England, as in the United States, the reductions in commodity prices have been very uneven, both comparing them from industry to industry and from one branch of a given industry to another. On the whole the prices of the group of commodities which feed into the clothing trades and nonferrous metals appear to be nearer prewar levels than any other groups. For instance, certain grades of wool and hides are below prewar levels, while cotton is only slightly above it. Typical grades of worsted yarn and finished shoes, on the other hand, are still 100 per cent above the 1913 level, and cotton yarn and cloth are at least 50 per cent higher than before the war. At the same time coal prices-both bituminous and anthracitein March were twice as high as in 1913, and typical grades of pig iron and finished and semifinished steel products about three times the prewar level. In the same way cereals and meats are still far higher than before the war. It is such maladjustments as these, as well as the maladjustment between prices at various stages of the distributive process, that is retarding business operations at the present time.

The following figures show the changes which have occurred during recent months in the volume of production in certain leading industries:

|  | Production (metric tons). |  |  | Ship tomnage under construction (gross tons). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Coal. | Pigiron. | Steel ingots and castings. |  |
| Monthly average, 1913. | 000 's. $24,336$ | 060's. 869 | 000's. 649 | 22,002,699 |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  |
| March. | 19,505 | 710 | 840 | 3,394,425 |
| April. | 17,131 | 655 | 794 |  |
| May... | 322,131 | 738 | 846 |  |
| June.. | 19,048 | 726 | 845 | 3,578,000 |
| July...... | 322,926 | 750 | 790 |  |
| August..... | 16,970 | 752 | 709 |  |
| September | 18,885 | 741 | 885 | 3, 731,000 |
| October... | ${ }^{3} 14,044$ | 533 | 544 |  |
| November. | 15,920 | 404 | 505 |  |
| December. | 20,230 | 675 | 747 | 3,709,000 |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |
| January. | 321,805 | 642 | 493 |  |
| February. | 17, 369 | 463 | 484 |  |
| March.... | 16,437 | 4386 | 4358 | 53,799,000 |

${ }^{1}$ Revised figures.
2 Average of 4 quarterly estimates.
35 weeks.
4 Provisional.
${ }^{5}$ Work suspended on all but 2,952,000 tons.
Announcement was made on April 28 of a reduction in the discount rate at the Bank of England from 7 to $6 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent. This is the first change in the rate since April, 1920, the 7 per cent rate having been effective for the unprecedentedly long period of a year and two weeks. Prior to this change in the rate at the Bank of England, the rate of interest on treasury bills was reduced for the second time within a period of six weeks. Treasury bills had been offered at $6 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent since April, 1920, when the rate was reduced to 6 per cent on March 11. On April 27 the rate was further reduced to $5 \frac{3}{4}$ per cent. Meanwhile on April 11 the chancellor of the exchequer announced that the method of selling treasury bills would be changed from the continuous day to day "over the counter" system to the method of sale by tender, which was customary before the war. Government control has been exercised over the money market by the continuous unlimited sale of treasury bills, with only temporary interruptions, since April, 1915. With the return to the prewar method of sale of a fixed quantity of bills at a specified date, this continuous control is lifted.

Receipts and expenditures for the fiscal year closing March 31, balanced remarkably well so far as the national accounts were concerned. The net surplus for the year 1920-21 had been estimated at $£ 234,000,000$, and the actual surplus reached $£ 230,000,000$, or only $£ 4,000,000$
less than the estimate. The new budget for the year 1921-22 was introduced on April 25, and provides for expenditures of $£ 1,040,000,000$ and revenue of $£ 1,216,500,000$. As had been announced at an earlier date, the excess profits duty was not retained in the budget and no substitute for it was suggested. Certain indirect taxes were likewise reduced. At the same time that the budget was introduced the chancellor of the exchequer proposed a new scheme for handling the floating and semifloating debt. Holders of 5 per cent national war bonds maturing before 1925 are to be offered the opportunity to convert their holdings into $3 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent bonds maturing in 1961. This offer is apparently preliminary to some further funding operations in connection with the floating debt.

Foreign trade during the month of March showed a further decline in value. Imports were valued at $£ 93,742,000$, as compared with $£ 96,974,000$ in February, exports at $£ 66,809,000$, as compared with $£ 68,222,000$ and reexports at $£ 8,888,000$, as compared with $£ 8,004,000$. The commodities in the export group which suffered the greatest decline were iron and steel manufactures, cotton yarns and cloth, and wearing apparel. Imports of foodstuffs remained approximately the same as last month, but imports of raw materials, such as cotton, wool, and nonferrous metals, were considerably reduced.

Two bills came up for consideration during the month which may have a material effect upon England's import trade. The German reparations recovery act, which was made effective March 31, provides that importers of German goods are to pay to the customs officials up to 50 per cent of the total value of the goods imported. The bill also provides that goods partially manufactured outside of Germany but 75 per cent of whose value is due to German production are likewise subject to tax. This measure is a device for obtaining reparations payments from Germany, and it is possible that if reparations are otherwise provided for the bill may be repealed. Its execution will undoubtedly hamper free movement of commodities, not only between Germany and Great Britain, but also between Great Britain and other European countries. The second measure referred to above is the socalled antidumping bill, providing for the protection of certain key industries and for the imposition of a duty of $33 \frac{1}{3}$ per cent on any articles which are being offered for sale in England under the following conditions:
(a) At prices below the cost of production thereof; or (b) at prices which, by reason of depreciation in the value in relation to sterling of the currency of the country in which the goods are manufactured, are below the prices
at which similar goods can be profitably manufactured in the United Kingdom; and that by reason thereof employment in any industry in the United Kingdom is being or is likely to be seriously affected * * *

If this bill is actually applied, it will serve as a very material barrier against foreign importations.

Developments in the foreign exchange market during the month have been largely dependent upon the labor situation. Sterling exchange on the New York market advanced until the end of March, reaching at that time the highest point since last July. At the commencement of the coal strike there was a reaction which was not again overcome until the strike order of the triple alliance was rescinded. The New York rate stood at $\$ 3.935$ on April 23.

## FRANCE. ${ }^{1}$

On March 19 M. Henry Cheron, the new reporter general of the French Senate's commission on finance, presented his report on the budget for 1921. In the course of his review of French finances, M. Cheron emphasized the necessity for reducing the Government's floating debt, and particularly that part of the debt which consists of advances from the Bank of France. France's total debt as of March 1, 1921, is, according to this latest report, made up of the following items:

French Public Debt, Mar. 1, 1921.
[In millions of francs.]
Interior debt, perpetual and term................. . . 133,000
Floating debt............................................ 60,890
Advances of the Bank of France...................... $\quad 25,600$
Foreign debt ${ }^{1}$.............................................. 83,245
Foreign debt ${ }^{1}$.............................................. $\quad \begin{array}{r}83,245 \\ 302,735\end{array}$
Total............................................ . . 302, 735
${ }^{1}$ Calculated at the exchange rates of Feb. 28, 1921.
M. Cheron added that claims for war pensions, soon to be presented, will necessitate a great increase in the debt.

Despite the request of the finance minister and the pressure of public opinion, the Senate's examination of the budget was not concluded by the end of the month, and it was necessary to pass a provisional credit for April. When the 1921 budget was presented to the Chamber of Deputies last October it was generally considered so much like the budget for 1920 as to insure its quick enactment into law. The Chamber was desirous, however, of making current receipts balance all current expenditures, except those for which Germany is eventually responsible. A great deal of time was consumed in attempting to reduce the estimates for ex-
${ }^{1}$ French price, trade, and financial statistics will be found on pp. $601,603,605,607,608$ of this issue of the BuLLETIN.
penditure submitted by the various departments, and the Chamber did not finish its work on the budget until well into 1921. By that time it had become evident that the new taxes enacted last June were not producing as much revenue as had been expected of them. Receipts from the tax on total business turnover, in particular, have been disappointing, having fallen $1,906,000,000$ francs below budget estimates. The following table shows receipts from this tax by months since its enactment, as compared with the receipts expected:

Recertes From the Tax on Total Businesg Turnover Since its Enactment.
[In thousands of franes.]

|  | Receipts. | Compared with budget estimates. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1920. |  |  |
| July. | 2,608 | $+1,608$ |
| Aligust. | 3, 687 | + 2,687 |
| September | 292, 792 | -407, 209 |
| October. | 234,434 | -225,566 |
| November | 205, 492 | -254,508 |
| December. | 203, 175 | -259, 158 |
| 1921. |  |  |
| January.. | 183, 683 | -231,984 |
| February | 151,571 | -264, 096 |
| March. | 147,628 | -268, 039 |
| Total. | 1,425,070 | -1,906,265 |

This disappointing result is evidently due to the fact that the revenue from the tax was estimated on the basis of the high price level which prevailed in France last spring (1920), no allowance being made for the decline in prices which has occurred since that time. In April, 1920, the wholesale price index of the Bureau de la Statistique Générale stood at 588 (using 1913 prices as 100), while in March, 1921, it had fallen to 356 , or almost 40 per cent.

In 1920 some of the other indirect taxes yielded more revenue than had been expected of them, so that total receipts from indirect taxes and Government monopolies for the year exceeded budget estimates. In the first three months of 1921 , however, there has been a deficit not only in receipts from the total business turnover tax but also in total receipts from indirect taxes and Government monopolies, which amounts to about $671,000,000$ francs. In March the difference was the result of deficits in the customs, post-office, and sugar tax receipts, as well as in the tax on business turnover.

The great change which has been taking place in French industrial life during the last six months is illustrated by foreign-trade figures recently published for the first quarter of 1921.

Instead of the usual excess of imports (an excess which amounted to $35,799,000,000$ francs in 1919 and $12,970,000,000$ francs in 1920), there was an excess of exports for the first quarter of 1921 which amounted to $129,000,000$ francs. However, as the following table indicates, this surplus was all achieved in one month of the quarter, and during the other two months (January and March) there was a small excess of imports.

| French Foreign Trade. ${ }^{1}$ |
| :--- |
| In millions of francs.! |

- Calculated in 1919 values.

The surplus of exports during February and the very small surplus of imports during January and March is due as much to the decline of imports of food and raw materials into France as to the increase in French exports. Exports for the first quarter of 1921 are larger than those for the same period in 1920 by about $1,000,000,000$ francs, but exports for March, 1921, are smaller than those for August, 1920 (when last year's peak was reached according to all the figures now available), by about $700,000,000$ francs. The decline in imports is even more marked. Total imports for March, 1921, are valued at $1,988,000,000$ francs less than imports for March, 1920, which marked the high point in the import trade of last year.

This decline in imports is partly due to the fact that France is now more nearly able to support herself than she was during and immediately after the war, but it is also due to the slackening of industrial production which has taken place in France as in the rest of the world in the last six or eight months.

Before this slowing down began, however, France had given convincing evidence of the extent to which her industries had readjusted themselves to peace-time conditions. An examination of detailed foreign trade figures for 1920 shows how prosperous the export trade in certain commodities became during that year. The amount of wines, semifinished iron and
steel products, broad silks, clothes of all kinds, leather and leather manufactures, machinery and machines, other metal manufactures, automobiles, and rubber manufactures, exported during 1920, exceeded, in some cases, by a wide margin, the amount exported in 1913. The following table gives a brief survey of the quantity and value of France's chief exports in 1920:

Exports from France, Calendar Year 1920.

|  | In thousands of quintals. |  |  | In millions of francs. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1913 | 1919 | 1920 | 1913 | 1919 | 19201 |
| Wines. | 1,908 | 1,357 | 2,136 | 203 | 365 | 531 |
| Raw wool. . | 807 | 109 | 418 | 310 | 241 | 964 |
| Pig iron, rron, and steel.... | 10,083 | 3,666 | 13,594 | 87 | 181 | 710 |
| Chemical products (except nitrate of soda) | 11,126 | 4,019 | 9,193 | 211 | 326 | 1,119 |
| Yarns. . . . . . . . | - 505 | 148 | , 246 | 212 | 269 | 1, 559 |
| Cotton cloth. | 554 | 350 | 469 | 385 | 782 | 1,262 |
| Woolen cloth | 234 | 55 | 143 | 320 | 249 | 629 |
| Broad silk........ | 67 | 62 | 77 | 429 | 1,472 | 1,867 |
| Clothes of all kinds. | 78 | 32 | 100 | 253 | 809 | 1,657 |
| Leather.......... | 154 | 101 | 188 | 145 | 323 | 517 |
| Leather manufactures...... | 46 | 36 | 101 | 89 | 304 | 662 |
| Machinery and machines... | 823 | 541 | $\bigcirc 05$ | 123 | 270 | 529 |
| Metal manufactures........ | 1,492 | 356 | 1,527 | 137 | 153 | 548 |
| Automobiles................ | 258 | 59 | 502 | 227 | 125 | 1,188 |
| Rubber manufactures...... | 69 | 112 | 188 | 100 | 274 | 462 |

2 Expressed in 1919 value units.
The distribution of France's foreign trade during the year 1920 furnishes an interesting commentary on the condition of her exchanges. The countries from which she imported most are the United States, England, Germany, Belgium, and Argentina. (Imports from Germany include imports of coal and coke, valued at $1,365,000,000$ francs, and of other materials imported on reparations account, which have, of course, no effect on the exchange situation.) France exported most during 1920 to Belgium, England, Algeria, the United States, Switzerland, and Germany. The excess of her imports over her exports (although it was smaller than it had been since before the war) amounted to $12,970,000,000$ francs, of which $5,291,000,000$ francs represent the excess of imports from the United States over exports to the United States. England, Belgium, and Argentina are the other countries with which the trade figures show this same inequality, and they partly explain the unfavorable state of French exchanges during the year.
The following table sets forth French foreign trade by countries in 1920 as it has been recently published by the ministry of finance:

${ }^{1}$ Expressed in 1919 value units.
There have been several slight changes in the situation of the Bank of France in March. The increase in the amount of gold reserve held in France amounted to about $1,000,000$ francs during the month and the increase in the silver reserve to about $3,000,000$ francs. The note circulation also increased, the difference between the notes in circulation in the last week of February and in the last week in March being about $627,000,000$ francs. Deposits, however, declined to the extent of about $189,000,000$ francs.
The wholesale price index of the Bureau de la Statistique Générale declined 20 points, or 5 per cent, during March, as compared with a decline of 31 points, or almost 8 per cent, in February. All the group indexes except those for vegetable foods and for sugar, coffee, and cocoa declined, the greatest decrease occurring in the indexes for animal foods and for sundries.
The nonferrous metal market displayed greater firmness during. March than in the month previous. Aluminum prices declined, but lead and zinc rose slightly, and tin prices fluctuated, closing the month not far from their end of February level.

The price of metallurgical coke (which is still regulated by the Government) was again reduced and fixed at 125 francs as of March 20, 1921. The effect of this decrease upon steel prices is not yet clear, as other factors have
also contributed to the continued decline of prices in that industry.

Textile prices were irregular during March. Japanese raw silk rose in price because of a shortage at the end of month; Canton, Italian, and French raw silk prices remained practically unchanged. Contract prices for cotton at Havre were also firmer, but Buenos Aires fine wool in the same market fell sharply.

French retail prices also declined in March. According to the Paris index number of the Bureau de la Statistique Générale the decline amounted to about 6 per cent. As a result of the decline in retail prices the mechanical industries of the Paris region have decreased the cost of living bonuses which they have been granting to their employees.

The Bureau de la Statistique Générale has recently made public its retail price indexes for the various regions of France. These figures show that at present, as before the war, retail prices in the west are lower than in any other part of France, while prices in the north are higher than in any other section. The following table summarizes the figures given out on this subject:

Retail Prices in France ${ }^{1}$ by Districts.

|  | France. | North. | East. | Southeast. | Center. | West. | Alsace- <br> Lorraine. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1913, 1st quarter. | 1,020 | 1,043 | 989 | 1,036 | 1,038 | 985 |  |
| 1914, 3d quarter... | 1,004 | 1,080 | 989 | 1,018 | 988 | 942 | 1,084 |
| 1920: 1 st quarter. | 3,204 | 3,299 | 3,155 | 3,228 | 3,223 | 3,085 | 3,092 |
| 2d quarter... | 3,802 | 3,959 | 3,800 | 3, 876 | 3,744 | 3,533 | 3, 853 |
| 3 quarter... | 3,898 | 4,117 | 3,859 | 3, 884 | 3,777 | 3,648 | 3,992 |
| 4th quarter... | 4,519 | 4,693 | 4,456 | 4, 522 | 4,423 | 4,345 | 4,580 |
| 1921, lst quarter.. | 4,303 | 4,450 | 4,218 | 4,266 | 4,203 | 4,180 | 4,424 |
| ${ }^{1}$ Based on the prices of eleven foods, kerosone, and alcohol. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Italian foreign trade figures for the entire year 1920 are now available, valued on the basis of 1919 prices. Comparison can therefore be made of the volume of trade in the two years. The table below contains the figures showing the situation in 1919 and 1920 on a monthly basis.

[^4]Italy's Foreign Trade in 1919 and in 1920.
〔Precious metals not included. In millions of lire, based on 1919 value units.]

|  | Imports. |  |  | Exports. |  |  | Excess of imports over exports at end of month-i. e., cumulative. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1919 | 1980 | Difference. | 1919 | 1920 | Difference. | 1919 | 1920 | Difference. |
| January. | ], 061 | 1,002 | -59 | 260 | 497 | +237 | 801 | 505 | -296 |
| February | 1,368 | 1, 141 | -227 | 301 | 616 | $+315$ | 1, 858 | 1,030 | -838 |
| March. | 1,656 | 1,431. | -225 | 367 | 683 | $+316$ | 3, 157 | 1,778 | -1,379 |
| April. | 1,651 | 1,353 | -288 | 350 | 679 | +329 | 4, 458 | 2,462 | -1, 996 |
| May.. | 1, 364 | 1, 401 | $+37$ | 358 | 663 | +304 | 5,464 | 3,201 | -2,263 |
| June. | 1,431 | 2,076 | +645 | 484 | 752 | $+268$ | 6, 411 | 4,525 | -1,886 |
| July. | 1,555 | 1,040 | $-515$ | 432 | 521 | +89 | 7,534 | 5,044 | -2,490 |
| Alugust. | . 872 | 1,249 | +377 | 548 | 532 | -16 | 7,858 | 5, 761 | -2,097 |
| September | 1,529 | 1,202 | -327 | 682 | 571 | -92 | 8,725 | 6,392 | $-2,333$ |
| October... | 1,190 | 1,126 | -65 | 755 | 707 | -48 | 9,160 | 6, 811 | -2,349 |
| November | 1,283 | 1,240 | -43 | 717 | 731 | +14 | 9,726 | 7,320 | -2,406 |
| December. | 1,663 | 1,591 | -72 | 832 | 853 | $+21$ | 10,557 | 8,058 | -2, 499 |
| Total. | 16,623 | 15, 862 | $-761$ | 6,066 | 7, 804 | +1,738 | 10,557 | 8,058 | -2, 499 |

Italian imports during 1920, as shown by customshouse statistics, had a value of 15,862 ,000,000 lire, as compared with 1919 imports valued at $16,623,000,000$ lire, thus showing a decrease of $761,000,000$ lire for the year. This shows a decrease in actual volume of imports, since in both cases the figures are based upon 1919 prices. Exports in 1920, valued on the same basis, totaled $7,804,000,000$ lire, exceeding those of 1919 by $1,738,000,000$ lire. Although the excess of imports over exports remains very large, aggregating $8,058,000,000$ lire, it is considerably lower than the excess of imports in 1919 , which was valued at 10,557 ,000,000 lire. This improvement of nearly $2,500,000,000$ lire shows the relative increase in the physical volume of aggregate exports as compared with aggregate imports during the year. In the following table the ratios of exports to imports in recent years are presented:
Ratio of the Value of Exports to Imports, 1900-1920.
Average 1900-1913................................. 72.8

1916..................................................................................................... 21.6
1918. 20.9
1919............................................................ . . . . . . 36.5
1920.
49.2

It is apparent from the first table above that neither the decrease of imports nor the increase of exports were uniform throughout the year. During the first four months of 1920 the imports showed a decrease of 799,000,000 lire as compared with the same period in 1919. This decrease is greater than the total for the entire year. In May and June, however, an increase of imports set in, which was mainly due to the heavy demand for foreign grains, cotton, woolens, alcohols, and
oils. In August there was another large increase in imports, which may be accounted for by the heavy importation of metals and metal goods.
Exports during the first six months of 1920 , on the other hand, exceeded those of the corresponding period of 1919 by $1,769,000,000$ lire, which again is more than the total increase in exports for the year. In the second half of the year the export trade slackened as a result of industrial depression in foreign countries, and figures for August, September, and October are lower than those for the corresponding months in 1919. The export trade in cotton goods and silk were particularly seriously affected. In fact, 1920 exports of silk up to the end of November were valued at $90,000,000$ lire less than those of a similar period in the preceding year, while at the end of June they had exceeded those of the first six months of 1919 by $459,000,000$ lire.

It would seem that the reduction in imports occurred in those commodities which are usually imported in the greatest quantity; in other words, raw food materials and metals. Thus during the first 11 months of 1920 , the latest period for which detailed figures are available, imports of animals and animal products amounted to $780,000,000$ lire as compared with $1,855,000,000$ lire in the same period of 1919; imports of cereals, fruits, and vegetables showed for the same period a decrease of about $360,000,000$ lire; while imports of metals and minerals and their products decreased similarly. These and other decreases were compensated to a certain extent by the increase in imports of other classes of goods of which cotton, wool, bristles and furs and their products, silk, timber, alcohol and oils, paints and dyes are the most important.

The commodities in which the greatest improvement was shown in the export trade between the years 1919 and 1920 are chemical goods, including medical and toilet supplies, hemp, flax and their products, cotton and cotton goods, vehicles, and vegetable foods. The export trade in silk decreased during the year.

The chief source from which Italy draws her imports is the United States. During 1920 she imported cotton to the value of $1,097,000,000$ lire, grain to the value of $981,000,000$ lire, mineral oils valued at $345,000,000$ lire, and coal at $307,000,000$ lire. Great Britain ranks second as the source from which she obtains her imports. Coal constitutes almost one-third of the value of her total imports from there. Argentina, France, and Germany rank next in order of importance as sources of Italian importation.

France, Switzerland, Great Britain, and United States are the chief countries to which Italy sends her commodities. In the case of France and Switzerland silk constitutes the largest proportion of the exports.

## GERMANY. ${ }^{1}$

Because of the Government's decision to allow an increase in coal and coke prices in Germany, the downward movement of the wholesale price index of the Frankfurter Zeitung was arrested during March. The "all commodities index," published by that newspaper, which had declined 14 per cent from December 1,1920, to March 5, 1921, decreased only eight-tenths of 1 per ce from March 5 to April 2. Although the increase in coal prices was the determining factor in retarding the decline of the index number, the prices of cement, zinc, lead, nickel, and hides also increased during the month. Among the foodstuffs, the price of milk increased greatly in March, but other important foods, including eggs, corn, condensed milk, rice, wine, cocoa, coffee, peas, and beans, declined in price. There were also sharp price decreases among the textiles, but raw cotton rose slightly.

As the wholesale price index number of the Frankfurter Zeitung now stands, it contains the prices of 77 important commodities. The original computation is based upon prices in January, 1920, equal to 100, and on this basis 9.10 is the index number for the middle of 1914 and 130 for April 2, 1921. It is evident that if the index is recomputed, using 1914 as 100, the index number for January 1, 1920, will be 1,099 and for April 2, 1921, 1,429.

It seems clear from the various indexes prepared on the subject of retail prices in Germany

[^5]that there has been, since the first of the year, a slight decline in the prices paid by the consumer. Beside its general wholesale price index, the Frankfurter Zeitung compiles a wholesale and a retail price index for 10 foods in Frankfurt, using January, 1920, as 100. On March 1 the wholesale price index registered 105 and the retail price index 122. During the month both indexes declined, the decrease being almost 8 per cent in the case of the wholesale prices and almost 6 per cent in the case of retail prices. This index does not include any meat prices, however.
The most recent figures on the cost of subsistence prepared by the Berlin statistical office also show a slight decline in retail prices. The minimum cost of food for a growing person was estimated by that office to be 58.09 marks in February as compared with 61.23 marks in January, a decline of about 5 per cent.
The index number of total living costs in Germany, prepared by Dr. Elsas and published at intervals in the Frankfurter Zeitung, uses April, 1919, as 100, and stands at 297 . on the first of March, 1921, a decline of 14 points, or 4.5 per cent, from the January 1 figure. On this basis the cost of living index on January 1,1914 , was 26.5 . The following table shows the distribution of the different elements which go to make up Dr. Elsas's index:

Cost of Living in Frankfurt am Main.

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Apr. } \\ 1919 . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov. 1, } \\ & 1920 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan. 1, } \\ & \text { 1921. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mar. } \\ 1921 . \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food. | 60 | 230.33 | 225.65 | 212.92 |
| Clothing. | 17 | 27.97 | 26.21 | 24.59 |
| Rent...... | 8 | 9.60 | 9.60 | 10.00 |
| Heat and light | 5 | 17.95 | 18.10 | 18.10 |
| Miscellaneous. | 10 | 29.86 | 31.35 | 31.35 |
| Total. | 100 | 315.71 | 310.91 | 296.96 |

The comparative stability of rent costs shown in the above table is due to the fact that rents are regulated by the Government. The increase recorded from January 1 to March 1 is the result of a new regulation which requires tenants to make all necessary repairs.

Along with the decline in wholesale prices in Germany since December there has been an increase in the number of bankruptcies. During the war period, when the Government so largely controlled industry and prices were continually rising, the number of commercial failures was reduced almost to a minimum. During 1920 there was a slight increase in their number, but the increase in the first quarter of 1921 is much more marked, although it is still far below the prewar average. The number of bankruptcies from January to March, 1921,
was 728 , as compared with 207 in the first quarter of 1920 , and 2,428 in the first quarter of 1914.

What seems to be another consequence of the shift in the trend of business conditions is the comparatively small number of corporations which increased their capital stock during March. During 1920 and January and February, 1921, there was a general movement among German corporations to increase the amount of their capital issues in order to bring them more into accord with the present price level. However, the amount of the capital issues placed upon the German market in March was much smaller than in the first two months of the year. The increases in capital during the month amounted to only $531,000,000$ marks, as compared with increases of $1,373,000,000$ marks in February and 1,092,000,000 marks in January.

There seems to have been little change in the labor situation in Germany from February 1 to March 1 (the latest date for which figures are available). The German trade-unions report that of their membership of over $6,000,000$ on the last of February, 4.7 per cent were out of employment as compared with 4.5 per cent the last of January and 4.1 per cent the last of December. On the other hand, the Government announces that the number of people receiving State aid on account of unemployment fell from 433,204 on February 1 to 428,033 on March 1, a decrease of a little more than 1 per cent, and the public employment agencies note a similar improvement. During January there were 210 applicants for every 100 positions available at these agencies, while during February there were only 206 applicants for every 100 positions open.

The public employment agencies tabulate applicants for positions and positions available by industries and thus furnish an interesting index as to the activity of the various industries in the country. During February there were more applicants for positions in relation to positions open in the textile industry than in any other, but the leather industry, the metal and machine trades, commerce, and the building trades also furnished a great many unemployed. It is interesting to note that the number of women applicants for every 100 positions open amounted to only 133 during February, while the number of men for every 100 positions reached 251. In the case of a few industries, inquiries for workers exceeded applicants for positions. In mining there were only 92 men applying for each 100 positions; in agriculture only 46 women applying for each 100 openings; and in domestic service only 83 women responded to each 100 inquiries. The

States where the greatest amount of unemployment occurred during February were Saxony, Hamburg, and Lubeck, although unemployment was also very great in Prussia. The following table gives a survey of the work of the public employment agencies during February:

Activity of Public Employment Agencies in Germany, February, 1921.

| By industries. | Men. |  |  | Women. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number seeking work. | Number of positions | Num. ber of applicants for each 100 positions open. | Number seeking work. | Number of posiopen. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Num } \\ & \text { ber of } \\ & \text { appli } \\ & \text { cants } \\ & \text { for } \\ & \text { each } \\ & \text { pos } \\ & \text { tions } \\ & \text { open. } \end{aligned}$ |
| Agriculture. | 47,713 | 30,594 | 156 | 8,843 | 19, 164 | 46 |
| Mining, etc....... | 15, 084 | 16,344 | 92 | 110 |  |  |
| Stone and earth in- dustry. | 6,960 | 3,273 | 213 |  |  |  |
| Metal and machine industry |  | 28,491 | 357 |  | 4,239 | 307 |
| Chemical industry... | 1,255 | 1,184 | 190 | 1,111 | 4,475. | 234 |
| Textile industry. | 10,758 | 2,138 | 503 | 18,466 | 4,958 | 372 |
| Paper industry.. | 3,168 | 1950 | 333 | 3,921 | 2,192 | 179 |
| Leather industry.. | 8,189 | 1,685 | 486 | 1,141 | 598 | 191 |
| Woodworking. | 22, 274 | 7,929 | 281 | 1,122 | 506 | 222 |
| Food industries..... | 27,842 | 8,366 | 333 | 5, 846 | 3, 537 | 165 |
| Clothing and cleaning trades. | 22,385 | 8,671 | 258 | 18,101 | 9,621 | 188 |
| Building........ | 64, 849 | 19,105 | 339 |  |  |  |
| Transiormation industries. | 9,224 | 4,512 | 204 | 1,732 | 1,481 | 117 |
| Industries involving artistic work | 1,446 | 192 |  |  |  |  |
| Machinists, etc., industries not speci- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| fied.............. | 65,655 | 18,855 | 348 | 46,939 | 9,991 | 470 |
| Commerce....... | 24, 195 | 6,148 | 394 | 12,660 | 5,625 | ${ }_{101}^{225}$ |
| Entertainment.. | 39,314 | 28,042 | 140 | 16,660 | 16, 420 | 101 |
| Miscellaneous wage work and household service | 237, 018 | 79,868 | 297 | 87,669 | 105, 471 | 83 |
| Casual labor......... | 41,507 | 26, 558 | 156 | 4,748 | 2,431 | 195 |
| Apprentices in all industries. | 20,627 | 14,597 | 141 | 11,092 | 4,271 | 260 |
| Without professional groupings. | 824 | 31 |  | 1,171 | 502 |  |
| Total. | 772,936 | 307, 533 | 251 | 254, 303 | [191, 306 | 133 |
| Men and women together. | 1,027, 239 | 499, 039 | 206 |  |  |  |

It is quite generally admitted that one reason unemployment in Germany is not more widespread at the present time is that the Government continues to carry so large a number of employees on its pay rolls. The Reichstag commissioner, who was appointed to eliminate extravagance in Government departments, has resigned his position, and a new method for reducing the number of people employed by the Government has now been inaugurated. However, it remains to be seen how the new scheme will work, and the new budget contemplates an increase rather than a decrease in so-called "ordinary expenditure."

The budget for the year ending March 31, 1922, is now in the hands of the Reichstag. It compares as follows with the estimated
expenditures for the year ending March 31, 1921:

Estimated German Government Expenditures.
[In millions of marks.]

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fiscal } \\ \text { year } 1920 . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fiscal } \\ \text { year } 1921 . \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ordinary budget. | 41,600 | 47,000 |
| Extraordinary budget | 62,300 | 40,500 |
| Railway deficit | 19,200 | 11,700 2,000 |
| Postal dekit. |  |  |
| Total. | 123, 000 | 101, 200 |

It is expected that taxes already in force will produce $43,800,000,000$ marks this year and that new taxes will be enacted and old taxes increased to meet the remaining 3,200 ,000,000 marks of the ordinary budget. Theoretically the budget will balance before the year is over. Of the $40,500,000,000$ marks to be spent on the extraordinary budget 10,600 ,000,000 marks will be met by revenue (including $7,800,000,000$ marks from the Reichsnotopfer, which was originally designed to reduce the outstanding debt) and $29,900,000,000$ marks by loans. Assuming that the "ordinary budget" receipts and expenditures will balance before the year is over, the estimated deficit for the fiscal year 1921 amounts to 43,600 ,000,000 marks, which is smaller than the deficit for the fiscal year 1920 by about $38,000,000,000$ marks.

The Leipzig spring fair opened on MarchT 6 , and by the 7 th the attendance was placed at 90,000 , including buyers from England, America, Sweden, Czecho-Slovakia, Bulgaria, and Roumania. The textile display and the exhibits of mechanical apparatus and electrical machines attracted the greatest number of visitors. In general, however, German foreign trade was in a choatic condition during March. The imposition of the 50 per cent import duty on German goods by England, France, and Belgium caused the cancellation of contracts with individuals in those countries by German merchants and further contracts were withheld until the reparations question should be settled.

It is impossible to form any very definite ideas in regard to German foreign trade in 1920. A recent number of the Frankfurter Zeitung quotes figures of the German Government's statistical office on the total value of German exports, January through September, 1920. This total value amounts to 47,170 ,000,000 marks, of which 20.9 per cent went to the Netherlands, 9 per cent to Switzerland, 5.7 per cent to Great Britian, 3.1 per
cent to France, 3.1 per cent to Belgium, and 2.9 per cent to Italy. The article in question does not quote figures on imports for this same period, nor does it state whether exports shipped on reparations account are included in the export figures just quoted. For this reason it is impossible to draw any conclusion as to Germany's balance of trade from this statement.

The March 31 statement of the Reichsbank shows an increase of $1,990,000,000$ marks in the note circulation of the bank as compared with the end of February, and a decrease of $587,000,000$ marks in the Darlehnskassenscheine in circulation. There was practically no change in the gold reserve held by the bank.

## SWEDEN. ${ }^{1}$

Reports from Sweden indicate that depression in industry there is as serious as in other countries whose development is more exclusively industrial. Production of manufactured commodities in all lines has been hearily reduced, unemployment is growing, prices are on the decline, and the export trade has fallen to one-third of its value six months ago.

Although there was a slight slackening of the rapidity of recession, wholesale prices continued to decline in March. The all commodities index number of the Svensk Handelstidning, computed on the basis of prices in 1913 = 100, dropped from 250 in February to 237 in March, a decrease of 5 per cent as compared with a decrease of 6 per cent in the preceding month. Practically no change occurred in the wood-pulp index, which stands at the high figure of 510 and still far exceeds the index numbers for other commodity groups. Appreciable declines were registered in the index numbers for building materials, coal, and oils. A notable feature was the drop in the price of hides and leather to 15 per cent below the prewar level. The retail price index, computed on the basis of prices in July, $1914=$ 100, declined from 262 in February to 253 in March, a fall of approximately 3 per cent.
The paper-pulp industry, second only to lumber in importance in Sweden's national economy, is affected by the worst depression in its history. In February only 6,300 dryweight tons of pulp were exported as compared with 210,000 tons in 1913. The pulp market continues absolutely stagnant. To prevent accumulation of stocks, the Norwegian $\mathrm{Me}-$ chanical Wood Pulp Association approached the Swedish producers with a proposal for a joint stoppage of all mills for a period of five
${ }^{1}$ Swedish price, trade, and financial statistics will be found on pp. 601, 603, 605, 607, 610 of this issue of the Bulletin.
weeks, to be followed by a 50 per cent curtailment in production, until the paper factories again enter the market. Although the Norwegian grinding mills have already decided upon a complete shutdown from Ápril 9 to May 15, no similar action has yet been taken by the Swedish producers.
The strike in the chemical wood-pulp industry, which began early in February, was officially settled at the end of March by the workers accepting a 15 per cent reduction in wages. It is estimated by Affärsuällden that the reduction in pulp output up to April 6, on account of the strike and the voluntary closing of seven other plants lacking orders, amounted to 77,000 tons of sulphite and 23,000 tons of sulphate pulp, or together 100,000 tons.

A survey of 79 representative industrial enterprises just completed by the Skandinaviska Kreditaktiebolaget discloses the fact that only about one-fourth of the reporting establishments are operating at full capacity or at a capacity reduced by 25 per cent or less. Among those continuing full operations are special enterprises whose products are protected entirely or in part by State monopoly. One-fourth of the total returns show a reduction in operations of between 25 and 50 per cent. Of the reporting mills, 28 per cent have retrenched between 50 and 75 per cent of normal capacity, while the operations of the remaining 24 per cent of the mills have been reduced more than 75 per cent. In addition there is a general paucity of orders among the reporting industries. Only about 23 per cent have their order lists filled for three months in advance, while 40 per cent are on a hand-to-mouth basis of operation, with orders booked ahead for a maximum of only two weeks. Moreover, 40 per cent of the mills covered in the inquiry report a reduction of working schedule to sixhour shifts and less.

According to the labor department the Orebro shoe factories are running only 30 to 35 hours a week, while the mechanical and electrical shops have shortened their schedules 12 per cent.

Unemployment, as a result of the unprecedented industrial depression, has become critical. An official labor census taken in February by the Swedish labor exchange registers between 55,000 and 60,000 unemployed. For labor as a whole there were 301 applications per 100 vacancies, as compared with 133 in February, 1913. The increase over the number for January of this year was approximately 22 per cent. Only in domestic service, agriculture, and the special municipal and State enterprises of an emergency nature was the labor demand greater or nearly equal
to the supply. In industrial lines the depression was greatest in the metal and mechanical trades, which had no less than 6,998 applicants for every 100 occupational openings. In the garment trades the unemployed numbered 1,426 per 100 vacancies. The building trade was the only industrial line showing any appreciable labor demand, the ratio being about 250 applicants per 100 openings.

Among the organized trade-unions reporting a total enrollment of 154,707 members, 20.2 per cent were unemployed on January 31, 1921.
The gravity of the unemployment problem led the Government labor commission to submit a bill to the Riksdag in March for an additional grant of $11,000,000$ kronor, to be increased eventually to $28,000,000$ kronor. The object of this subvention is to provide special emergency employment on State railroads and public works and to alleviate suffering by means of rent allowances and per diem subsidies to the unemployed. It is expected that 10,000 of the unemployed will be engaged for work on State and municipal enterprises from April 1 to the end of the year.
The depression is reflected in a falling off of both railroad and maritime freight traffic. According to a recent report of the State railways, freight traffic in January declined to 608,000 tons, which compares with a monthly freight average in 1913 of 830,000 tons. Idle ocean tonnage increased over 178 per cent in January. On December 31, 1920, there were 63 idle Swedish vessels, aggregating a total of 56,000 gross tons. At the end of January 151 vessels, with a combined gross tonnage of 156,000 tons. were laid up.
Exports in February were valued at $88,700,000$ kronor and imports at 116,200,000 kronor, a decline from the preceding month of about 3 and 5 per cent, respectively. Compared with the precipitous fall in January of 47 per cent for exports and 38 per cent for imports, the February percentage of decline shows a slowing up in the rate of recession.
The volume of wood-pulp exports declined in February almost 50 per cent and that of unplaned boards, planks, etc., about 37 per cent. Iron ore showed a quantitative gain of about 18 per cent.
Imports of coal, mineral oils, cotton, and wheat still continue far below the amount imported in the corresponding month in 1913.
In March, the note circulation of the Riksbank reached approximately $717,000,000$ kronor, an increase of $29,300,000$ kronor, or slightly more than 4 per cent compared with the February figure. Gold holdings amounted to $281,700,000$ kronor, showing only a nominal decline from the figures for the two preceding
months. As the special parliamentary enactment allowing the Riksbank a temporary suspension of its gold redeeming obligation expired on March 31, a new proposition was introduced into the Riksdag for a continuation of the measure until March 1, 1922. The bill proposed (1) a suspension for a fixed period of the Riksbank's obligation to purchase gold in bulk; (2) a temporary discontinuation of the obligation of the mint to pay gold coin for gold bars tendered; (3) formal authorization for the Riksbank to issue notes to an amount of $125,000,-$ 000 kronor above the amount stipulated by the State banking laws.

## BRITISH FINANCE DURING THE WAR. ${ }^{1}$

In the following article an effort has been made to present statistically some of the salient facts regarding British war finance; the methods used by the treasury in raising money; the effect of these methods upon the Bank of England and the joint-stock banks; and the present financial situation of the Government. The basis for the study is the statistics published by the treasury each quarter regarding Government receipts and expenditures, those of the Bank of England published each week showing the condition of the bank, and similar statements of the jointstock banks published semiannually. The figures compiled by the Economist each week showing the outstanding debt have also been used. The literature on the subject has been covered, but the conclusions reached are based in the main upon the statistical showing of the different items.

## PRESENT CONDITIONS.

Before tracing in some detail financial conditions in England during the war, it may be worth while to give a brief summary of present conditions as regards public debt. By the end of 1920, England had made very considerable strides away from war-time conditions. The foreign debt had been reduced by $£ 193,000,000$, leaving, however, $£ 1,164,000,000$ in foreign obligations still outstanding. These obligations are held for the most part in the United States, approximately 75 per cent by the United States Government. Of importance in this same connection is the fact that British foreign trade position has improved in a remarkable fashion, the board of trade estimating the favorable balance (taking into account invisible exports) as $£ 165,000,000$ for the year

[^6]1920 as compared with an unfavorable balance of $£ 149,000,000$ during the preceding year.

With regard to short-term indebtedness, on the other hand, conditions appear to be less favorable than a year ago or two years ago. Treasury bills outstanding at the end of 1920 were slightly less than at the end of 1919, but the total floating debt was some $£ 59,000,000$ greater. This increase is in the form of temporary advances from the Bank of England and from public departments, and as these advances result in an increase in the balances of the joint stock banks at the Bank of England, they may be used as the basis for further credit expansion on the part of the private banks. Comparing the situation at the end of 1920 and the end of 1919, statistically, we find that the foreign debt has been decreased $£ 193,000,000$, treasury bills outstanding $£ 5,-$ 000,000 , and the total debt $£ 247,000,000$. Temporary advances have increased $£ 63$,000,000.
Most of this article is concerned with the borrowing methods of the British treasury, and the effect of these measures upon the Bank of England and the discount market. The taxation policy of the Government is of primary importance in this connection not only as forming the basis upon which estimates of borrowing are made, but also because receipts from revenue are an important item in moneymarket considerations, influencing treasury-bill sales especially. For that reason a brief résumé is given of the more important taxation provisions of the war period and since.

## REVENUE FROM TAXATION.

Revenue from taxation has been constantly increasing since the beginning of the war, partly because of increasingly heavy rates in the case of both direct and indirect taxes and partly because of increases in the size of the taxable bases. In the fall of 1914 income tax rates were increased and in 1915 practically doubled. At the same time the duty on excess-profits was imposed at the rate of 50 per cent. In 1916 both of these taxes were again increased and indirect tax rates also became much heavier. In 1917 the income tax was not changed, but the duty on excess war profits became 80 per cent. No further increase was made in the excess-profits duty during the war, and in 1919 it was cut to 40 per cent. In 1920 it was again increased, however, this time to 60 per cent, but it is to be entirely eliminated in the next fiscal year. Revenue from these two types of taxes are presented in the following table in their ratio to total revenue.

Revenue from property and income tax and from excess profits duty.


## FINANCING IMPORTS.

Between July, 1914, and the spring of 1917, when the United States entered the war, the two leading problems in British finance were (1) the financing of imports and (2) the raising of domestic funds in the form of long and short term obligations. As is well known, a large proportion of war supplies, munitions, food, and clothing were procured from the United States and other foreign countries. The problem of arranging for the payment of these goods was, therefore, a matter of primary importance, especially in the case of England because upon her devolved the responsibility of providing credits for the other Allies as well. Payment theoretically could be effected by means of four main channels-(1) export of goods, (2) export of gold, (3) sale of foreign securities, (4) foreign loans-and as a matter of fact all four of these channels were used. During this period the British domestic industrial plant was so completely occupied with war orders that there was very little capacity for production of goods for export. For this reason exports were materially reduced from prewar levels, and this method of paying for imports had to be very largely supplemented by other means. The shipment of gold was not feasible as an exclusive method of supplementing exports of goods, partly because the reserve held in England would have been insufficient for this purpose, partly because it was needed as a banking reserve, and also because of the dangers of transportation arising from submarine attacks. It was therefore necessary to make payments also by the sale abroad of foreign securities or properties held by British investors, and by direct loans from foreigners, collateraled by foreign securities or else uncollateraled.

It was not necessary to resort to the sale of foreign securities immediately upon the dec-
laration of war, as unusually large balances were held abroad by British houses at that time. In fact, throughout the latter half of 1914 the American exchange was favorable to England, and it was not until 1915 that sterling began seriously to depreciate. Payments were then made in the United States by the transfer of gold held in Canada (approximately $£ 18$,000,000 were paid to the United States by England during 1915), but purchases were of such magnitude that this source soon became insufficient, and by the end of 1915 it became necessary for the British treasury definitely to "peg" the rate of exchange. As early as July, 1915, the Bank of England had begun to purchase American securities and sell them in New York with a view to supporting the exchanges, and in December the treasury began to purchase and borrow securities for the same purpose. In the meantime the AngloFrench loan had been floated in the fall of 1915 with a view to providing funds in the United States for American purchases and transfers of gold continued to be made. Throughout the first half of 1916 the sterling rate was kept very steady at about $\$ 4.76$ by the sale of securities, but by the middle of the year the supply of foreign securities held by the treasury had been heavily drawn upon. In order, therefore, to force all those who held American securities to place them at the disposal of the treasury, a special tax was placed on such securities, with theresult that a considerable number of them which formerly had been withheld came into the possession of the Government. By the end of 1916 foreign securities to the value of $£ 466,-$ 000,000 had been obtained by the British treasury, $£ 118,000,000$ by purchase and $£ 348,000$,000 on deposit. In order that payments might be made in foreign countries other than the United States, similar operations were undertaken with other foreign securities, but not on the same scale as in the case of the United States. The latter half of 1916 was a period of distinct strain, the effort to keep the pound at approximately $\$ 4.76$ necessitating direct loans in the United States uncollateraled by American securities as well as collateraled loans. With the entrance of the United States into the war this phase of the problem was somewhat relieved, since direct loans were made by the United States Treasury to the British Government.
With the end of the war Government purchases abroad ceased for the most part, and consequently support of the exchanges was discontinued in March, 1919. By this time holdings of American securities by private investors had been heavily depleted (the treasury had purchased securities to the value of $£ 178,-$

000,000 for re-sale in New York); gold was needed for the domestic reserve and its export therefore prohibited; exports of merchandise were still far below normal; and American shipping had curtailed the demand here for British tonnage. As a natural result of these factors, with the removal of the support furnished the exchanges by Government loans, sterling depreciated heavily in the New York market. Although the rate of exchange on New York is far more favorable to England at the present time than it has been during a large part of 1920, it still shows (in April, 1921) a depreciation of about 20 per cent from par.

As has been pointed out already, after the entry of the United States into the war, purchases here of munitions and other supplies were paid for by advances of the United States Government to the various foreign Governments. In the case of England receipts from foreign loans reached very large totals, especially in 1917, 1918, and 1919. Receipts from "other debt," the item in the treasury statement referring to foreign loans, amounted to the following between 1916 and 1920. Expenditures for the repayment of "other debt" are also included.
"Other" or foreign debt.

| Fiscal year ending- | Created during year. | Reduced during year. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mar. 31: |  |  |
| 1916. | £9,000.000 |  |
| 1917. | 328, 000,000 | £21,000,000 |
| 1918. | 709,000,000 | 82,000,000 |
| 1919. | 539,000,000 | 242,000,000 |
| 1920. | 196.000,000 | 256, 000, 000 |

Source: Finance Accounts-Great Britain.
During the same time, as was mentioned above, England was making large advances both to her allies and to the dominions. By 1918 the dominions had begun to pay these back, but in the case of the Allies the amount repaid up to the present time is practically negligible.

Advances to allies and dominions.


1 Repayments.

## LONG-TERM BORROWING.

Prior to the war Government funds had been borrowed by three methods-the funded debt, the fairly short-term exchequer bond, and the treasury bill. The funded debt carried with it no obligation for repayment-was in fact a permanent annuity; the exchequer bond was usually of 5 years' duration, and treasury bills ran from 3 to 12 months and were used to provide for temporary Government deficiencies. Since 1914 the first form of borrowing mentioned above has been entirely eliminated; the exchequer bond (both 3 and 5 year) has been used and supplemented by the national war bond of 5,7 , and 10 years' duration. Treasury bills have assumed a rôle of enormous importance, those outstanding at one time frequently exceeding the billion pound mark, while before the war they seldom exceeded $£ 20,000,000$.
Prior to the entrance of the United States into the war three large war loans were placed, the $3 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent loan of November, 1914, the $4 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent of June, 1915, and the 4 per cent and 5 per cent of January, 1917. The last three of these were long-term bonds running up to 25 and 30 years, the first ran from 11 to 14 years. In the intervals between the placing of these long-term loans, relatively short-term exchequer bonds were offered to the public first in March, 1915, with the offering of 3 per cent bonds maturing in 1920, then in December of the same year when they were put on continuous day-to-day sale until December, 1916, when they were withdrawn to make room for the placing of the big loan of January, 1917. After this drive was over exchequer bonds were again placed on the market but were soon susperseded by the national war bond.
After the entrance of the United States into the war, and since then, the British Government has continued to place its long-term war bonds in the hands of private investors; but returns to the Government from this source have been in a diminishing proportion to total receipts. At the same time the raising of funds by the sale of the short-term treasury bills and by advances on ways and means has increased markedly. In October, 1917, a new form of borrowing was introduced with the day-to-day unlimited sale of the first series of national war bonds. Four series of these were placed between October, 1917, and June, 1919, running for 5, 7, and 10 years. Great care was taken to make these bonds attractive to the investor; they might be used in payment for excess profits duties, death duties, etc.: and provisions were made

for their conversion into earlier issues. These bonds were on sale continuously from day to day and altogether brought into the treasury $£ 1,669,000,000$. In June, 1919, seven months after the war was over, the funding and victory loans were offered to the public on very attractive terms. The returns from these loans were disappointing, however, totaling - only $£ 476,000,000$.

Receipts from the sale of long-term, exchequer, and national war bonds during the entire war period, and since, are summarized in the following table, according to issues:

Receipts from loans. ${ }^{1}$

| Issue. | Date of issue. | Cash credited to the exchequer. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $3 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent war loan, 1925-1928. | Nov., 1914. | £332,000,009 |
| 3 per cent exchequer bonds, 1920. | Mar., 1915. ............. | 48,000,000 |
| $4 \frac{1}{1}$ per cent war loan, 1925-1945... | June-July, 1915. ....... | 592,000,000 |
| 5 per cent exchequer bonds, 1920. | Dec., 1915-June, 1916.. | 238,000, 000 |
| 5 per cent exchequer bonds, 1919. | June, 1916-Sept., 1916. | 34,000,000 |
| 5 per cent exchequer bonds, 1921. | June, 1916-Oct., 1916.. | 62,000,000 |
| 6 per cent exchequer bonds, 1920. | Oct., 1916-Dec, 1916. | 161,000,000 |
| 53 per cent exchequer bonds, 1925 | Mar., 1920 | 67,000,000 |
| 5 per cent war loan, 1929-1947.... <br> 4 per cent war ioan, 1929-1942 | Jan.-Feb., 1917 | 941,000,000 |
| 4 per cent war loan, 1929-1942.... 5 per cent exchequer bonds, 1922. | A | 82,000,000 |
| National war bonds: |  | 82,000,00 |
| First series | Oct., 1917-Mar., 1918.. | 616,000,000 |
| Second serics | Apr.--Sept., 1918. ${ }^{\text {c.... }}$ | 483,000,000 |
| Third series. | Oct., 1918-Jan., 1919. | 494,000,000 |
| Fourth series | Feb.-May, 1919. | 76,000,000 |
| 4 per cent funding loan, 1960-1990 | June-July, 1919 | 231,000,000 |
| 4 per cent victory bonds. | do. | 245,000,000 |
| 5 per cent treasury bonds, 1935.. | June, 1920............... | 18,000,000 |

## SHORT-TERM BORROWING.

Temporary borrowing was of some importance in the early years of the war, but was not utilized on anything like the same scale at that time as in more recent years. The total funds obtained from long-term borrowing prior to the spring of 1917 were only a little less than those obtained since. The reverse is the case with the short-term Government paper, of which there was issued between four and five times as much after the entry of the United States into the war as before. Short-term borrowing in England takes two forms-(1) the sale of treasury bills and (2) direct advances to the treasury on so-called "ways and means." Advances are made either by the Bank of England or by other departments. These latter advances are reported unofficially as probably drawn largely from the currency notes fund. Treasury bills are mainly of 3 months' duration, although they have been issued for 6,9 , and 12 months also. During the greater part of the war they were on continuous sale "over the counter;" prior to April, 1915, and for brief

[^7] 1919, and quarterly treasury statements since then.
periods later they were sold in fixed amounts at specified dates.

An examination of the charts will make clear the function of the treasury bill in war-time finance. When funds were being used for the payment of taxes or in the purchase of longterm bonds, sales of treasury bills were apt to fall off. If temporary funds were needed at such periods in addition to those received from taxes and bonds the Government was forced to borrow from the bank or other departments. Thus in the first quarter of 1917 $£ 782,000,000$ were received by the treasury by the sale of war bonds. At this time a large portion of the treasury bills outstanding were converted into long-term bonds, and the day-to-day sale of the bills was suspended, resulting in a great reduction in this item. In order to obtain temporary funds it was necessary, therefore, to borrow heavily on ways and means. This in spite of the fact that revenue from taxes was coming in more rapidly than at any other time of the year.

Due to the fact that the funding and victory loans of June, 1919, provided only $£ 476,000,000$ cash and that no alternative funding operation has been put forward by the Government, the quantity of treasury bills outstanding has not been materially reduced at any time during the last 18 months. ${ }^{2}$

## DISCOUNT RATES.

The placing of the various types of long and short term loans had a very important effect upon discount rates and banking conditions in general. In fact, the rate on treasury bills may be said to have been the controlling factor in the money market after April, 1915. The Bank of England rate was kept in harmony with the treasury bill rate.

The first long-term loan was placed in November, 1914, at $3 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent (issue price 95 ), when the plethora of money resulting from the rediscounting of premoratorium bills at the Bank of England began to be felt. The Bank of England discount rate at that time was 5 per cent, but for loans collateraled by these war bonds it was 1 per cent lower. Banks are reported to have subscribed for approximately $£ 100,000,000$ of the bonds. The second big loan, that of June, 1915, was placed at par, interest $4 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent, subject to tax. This loan was placed just after a period of very low rates, when effort was being made to obtain control of the market by the fixing of the treasury bill rate at a high enough point to attract funds; $4 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent furnished, therefore, a very good offer.

[^8]Bank of England rate for loans collateraled by this issue was one-half per cent below the official rate. Of the $£ 592,000,000$ raised by this loan $£ 150,000,000-£ 200,000,000$ are reported to have been taken by the banks. At about the time when the third big loan was placed in January, 1917, the bank rate was lowered from 6 to $5 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent and the joint stock banks made loans to subscribers at 5 per cent.

There were five changes in the rate of discount at the Bank of England in the course of a few weeks at the time of the outbreak of war, three changes between that time and the entrance of the United States into the war, but no changes whatever between April, 1917, and November 1919. The traditional policy of

August 4, and in September was authorized to provide funds for acceptors to meet their bills when they fell due. This privilege of rediscount was very generally utilized by the accepting houses, but the funds so obtained were not reinvested in bills. At the same time the immediate effect of the outbreak of war was to slacken trade, and payment for transactions between the Allies did not take the form of commercial bills. Taken together, these factors made for a surplus of funds and a dearth of bills, with the result that rates on 3 months' bank bills were as low as $1 \frac{3}{8}$ per cent in February, 1915, while the rate at the Bank of England, as mentioned above, was 5 per cent. In March,

the Bank of England of raising discount rates to attract foreign funds was followed in the earlier years of the war. For the same purpose, beginning in late 1917, a higher rate was paid by the Bank of England for foreign balances than for domestic. During July, 1914, the bank rate rose from 3 to 4 to 8 per cent. On August 1 it was raised to 10 per cent, but was quickly reduced to 6 and then to 5 per cent, at which point it remained until July, 1916. Especially during the early months of 1915 this rate was entirely ineffective for the control of domestic credits and it was not until treasury bills were sold day to day that the period of abnormal ease was terminated. In August, 1914, the Bank of England had been authorized to discount all domestic and foreign bills accepted before

1915, in an effort to correct this condition, the Bank of England began borrowing funds from the joint-stock banks (thus limiting their supply for other use) and the treasury issued more bills than it actually needed (in order to furnish a form of investment for surplus funds).

Thus, rates were again pretty well under control. The next change in the bank's discount rate was in July, 1916, when the rate was raised to 6 per cent. At the same time rates on treasury bills were advanced and the Bank of England offered 5 per cent to the clearing banks for their surplus balances. The latter policy is of importance as it marks a departure from custom, and by this means large additional funds were obtained for the use of the Government. The rate at the Bank of

England was then lowered to $5 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent, coincident with the placing of the third big war loan (and the sale of treasury bills was suspended), and upon the entry of the United States into the war was again lowered to 5 per cent, at which point it remained until November, 1919. During this period every effort was made to keep rates low in order that domestic funds might be raised as cheaply as possible. Treasury bills were placed at $4 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent during part of this period and later at $3 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent, and the rate on bank deposits was lowered to 3 per cent. Meanwhile (end of 1917) a preferential rate had been placed on foreign balances deposited with the Bank of England.

In November, 1919 , following an increase in the rate on treasury bills from $3 \frac{1}{2}$ to $4 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent in the preceding month, the bank rate was raised to 6 per cent and treasury bills to $5 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent. At about the same time the preferential rate on foreign balances was removed. This may be said to mark the close of the period of preferential rates to the Government. Since then bank rate has been raised once and the treasury bill rate twice, so that they now stand at 7 per cent and $6 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent, respectively. ${ }^{1}$

## EFFECT OF WAR FINANCE ON THE BANK OF ENGLAND.

Discussion up to the present point has been for the most part concerned with the operations of the treasury. These operations are, however, inextricably bound up with the operations of the Bank of England, which in turn are closely related to those of the jointstock banks. Since the policy of the treasury was, however, the decisive factor in the situation during the war, and in a large measure continues to be so, there is logic in giving a fairly complete résumé of treasury activities before approaching those of the bank.

In ordinary times the direct concern of the Bank of England is the protection of its gold reserve, since this fund serves as a reserve for the entire English banking system. In 1914 it was not difficult to attract gold from abroad, because England was still the creditor of most of the nations of the world, but in 1915 the problem of protecting the gold reserve became more and more difficult because of the depreciation in exchange which accompanied the large purchases of war materials in foreign countries. In the one year the Bank of England lost $£ 18,000,000$ in coin and bullion. During 1916 and 1917 the movement

[^9]of gold was not considerable, and in the middle of 1918, the bank began to accumulate gold, first, from the Cape, later from the jointstock banks and the Scottish banks and recently in small measure from abroad.
In spite of the fact that the present gold reserve of the bank is over three times as large as that of July, 1914, the ratio of reserves to liabilities is far less. This is due to the very great expansion which has occurred in the private deposits with the bank. The chart on page 570 shows in some detail the movement of "other deposits" and "other securities" of the Bank of England since July, 1914, as well as the fluctuations in "Government securities" and "public deposits."
"Other deposits" are perhaps the best indication of the size of the balances carried by the joint-stock banks at the Bank of England. In normal times an increase in this item indicates ease in the money market, but in times of crisis it is more apt to mean that the jointstock banks have called in their outside loans and thus built up their balances at the Bank of England. The item "other securities" should move in more or less the same fashion as "other deposits." The regular periodic fluctuations which are to be expected in these items are in the main an increase at the end of each quarter when Government dividends are disbursed, a decrease during the first three months of the year when Government receipts are heaviest, an increase at the end of the year and the half-year providing for settlements at these dates.
During the war these normal fluctuations were to some extent concealed by other more potent factors, but as a rule their influence can be traced. In general, also, the two items ("other deposits" and "other securities") fluctuate similarly, although the reverse was strikingly the case in March, 1915, and again in January, 1917. The abnormal amount of "other securities" in 1914 and the first half of 1915 is accounted for by the fact, already mentioned, that the Bank of England was forced to absorb enormous quantities of bills which could not otherwise be liquidated immediately following the outbreak, of war. At the same time "other deposits" were being lowered from the high level of the second half of 1914 as the financial strain of the period was relieved and ordinary business requirements were being met. During the second half of 1915 the abnormal supply of bills was eliminated; and, judging from the chart, approximately normal war conditions obtained by the first of 1916. In January, 1917, however, all financial arrangements were again set askew by the floating of a great war loan. Sales of

treasury bills were suspended at that time, thus releasing the funds normally invested there for investment in the war loan and commercial bills. Deposits of the jeint-stock banks at the Bank of England were greatly increased but "other securities" were reduced. ${ }^{1}$ Between 1917 and 1919 there were no extreme fluctuations in these items, but in 1920 the month-to-month changes have been very large, end-of-year and half-year payments apparently causing more than usual dislocation.
"Public securities" presumably include the bank's holdings of Government bonds, treasury bills, and advances on ways and means, while the "public deposits" account, as the name implies, is the current account of the treasury. In normal times the latter account may be expected to fluctuate inversely to the private deposit account, since when funds are disbursed by the Government they eventually reach the banks and are thus reflected in the private deposit account. During the war, however, this relationship is not always apparent. The increase in "Government securities" held by the bank in 1915 was due apparently to the placing of treasury bills there. These must have been repaid by the fall of the year and since then, it would seem, have not been placed there in large blocks. The phenomenal increase in this item in January, 1917, was probably due to temporary borrowing by the treasury on ways and means. As was the case with "other securities," between that time and until well into 1919 there were no excessive fluctuations in this item. In the last 18 months, however, the fluctuations have been very great, probably because of temporary borrowing on ways and means. During 1915 the Government had large surplus funds on deposit at the bank, but since that time the deposits have been steadily on the decline and at present are not much larger than before the war.

Information regarding the operations of the joint-stock banks is too seanty to furnish a basis for a thoroughgoing study of the effect of war finance upon them. It is clear, however, from the semiannual statements issued by them, that their holdings of Government securities increased far more rapidly between 1914 and 1916 and again in 1919 and 1920 than in the intervening years. During the early years of the war the banks apparently took large blocks of long-term war bonds, while

[^10]lately their Government holdings seem to have been mainly in the form of treasury bills. In the intervening years because of the interest paid on deposits the banks were encourged to place their surplus balances with the Bank of England and to give considerable aid to private investors rather than to subscribe themselves to large blocks of Government securities.

The deposit accounts of the joint-stock banks increased most between 1916 and 1919; the rate of increase during the earlier years of the war and in 1920 was far less rapid.

Five great joint-stock banks.
[In thousands of pounds sterling.]

| End of- | Cash in hand and with the Bank of England. | British Government securities. | Current, deposit, and other accounts. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1914. | 137, 750 | 52,544 | 626, 378 |
| 1915. | 136, 049 | 184, 475 | 686, 473 |
| 1916. | 195, 484 | 198,376 | 819,883 |
| 1917. | 183, 159 | 216, 606 | 967, 981 |
| 1918. | 237, 500 | 236, 042 | 1,304, 811 |
| 1919. | 285, 481 | 291, 669 | 1,548, 813 |
| 1920. | 274, 137 | 261, 732 | 1,628,375 |

Note.-For tahleshowing all items, see Federal Reserve Bulletin, March, 1921, p. 295.

## INCREASE IN NOTE CTRCULATION DURING AND SINGE THE WAR.

The increase in the note circulation which war conditions demanded was provided for in a unique fashion in England. Instead of increasing the circulation of bank notes, as was done in most countries, a new form of currency was issued by the treasury. These are known as currency notes, or more popularly as "Bradbury's," and were authorized by an act of August, 1914, to provide for the exceptional demands occasioned by the outbreak of the war. According to the regulations under the act, they were not to exceed in the case of any bank 20 per cent of its deposit and current accounts. As the war progressed and deposits of the jointstock banks were rapidly enlarged, the issue of currency notes likewise increased. According to the report of the Cunliffe Committee the banks originally received the notes as advances from the Government on which they paid interest, but later they obtained them by transferring portions of their balances at the Bank of England to the currency notes account. These balances were then in turn borrowed by the Government and Government securities substituted for them.

This method was used to provide the necessary additional currency in preference to the
amendment of the bank act of 1844, which provided that notes issued by the Bank of England must be guaranteed pound for pound by gold. With the increase in the gold holdings of the bank, it has been possible to enlarge the bank-note issue appreciably, but not at the rate at which currency notes have been increased. With the close of the war it was generally conceded that the currency note issue should be reduced as rapidly as conditions would allow. Accordingly, the committee on currency and the foreign exchanges in its interim report, published toward the end of 1918, recommended that during the years following the war the maximum fiduciary issue of currency notes in any year should become the legal maximum
issue for the following year. This recommendation was not put into effect as regards the issue of 1919 , but was applied in 1920, the maximum issue of 1919 of $£ 320,600,000$ becoming the legal maximum for 1920 . During the latter year the maximum issue reached $£ 317,600,000$, which thus became the legal maximum for 1921.

At the end of December, 1920, the currency notes redemption account consisted of $£ 28$,500,000 gold, $£ 19,450,000$ bank notes, and the rest, $£ 356,504,000$, Government securities. During 1920 there haş been a uniform policy of transferring bank notes to the currency notes account when the latter were approaching dangerously close to the legal limit.

## RECENT ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENTS IN SOUTH AMERICA.

## price declines.

South America suffered severely in 1920 from the decline in the prices of raw materials and from the decreased demand for these materials. As has been explained in special studies of economic and financial conditions in the leading South American countries, each one of them is dependent to a large extent on the export of a few commodities to the production of which the country is particularly well adapted. ${ }^{1}$ These countries are in the stage of economic development when they depend on imports of foreign capital for the expansion of domestic industry, and on the excess of exports over imports for interest and amortization payments on these foreign investments.
${ }^{2}$ For Argentina, see Federal Reserve Bulletin, 1920, pp. 592-600; for Brazil, pp. 813-824; for Chile, pp. 1052-1061.

It is therefore highly important for these countries to have a favorable balance of merchandise trade. When the war broke out, the inflow of foreign capital ceased, and the South American countries were obliged to adjust their economic life to this new condition. This adjustment was made easier by the fact that the demand for their leading products after the first shock of war became unprecedentedly large and prices, except for coffee, were high. It was possible, therefore, for these countries to have large favorable trade balances and to take steps in the direction of building up more diversified industries and more modern systems of taxation. These developments suffered a severe setback during the past year. A table inserted at this point shows prices of leading commodities exported from each of the three principal South American countries in 1919 and 1920:

Wholesale prices in the united states of principal commodities prominent in the export trade of ARGENTINA, BRAZIL AND CHILE, 1919-1920.1

|  | Argentina. |  |  |  |  | Brazil. |  | Chile |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Corn, No. 3, Chicago. | Wheat, No. 2, red winter, Chicago. | Wool, Ohio, $\frac{1}{4}-\frac{3}{8}$ grades, scoured, in eastern markets. | Beef, carcass, good native steers, Chicago. | Hides, packers', heavy native steers, Chicago. | Coffee, Rio, No. 7, New York. | Rubber, Para Island, fine. | Nitrates. |  | Copper, ingot, electrolytic, New York. |
| 1919. | \$1 3750 | \$2 3788 | $\$ 1.1200$ |  |  |  | 80.5250 | Low. | High. |  |
| February.. | $\$ 1.3750$ 1.2763 | $\$ 2.3788$ $\mathbf{2 . 3 4 5 0}$ | 1.1200 1.0909 | $\$ 0.2450$ .2450 | 80.2800 .2800 | $\$ 0.1547$ .1544 | $\$ 0.5250$ .4913 | +4.425 4.425 | 84.45 4.45 | $\$ 0.2038$ .1731 |
| March.... | 1.4588 | 2.3575 | 1.2000 | . 2450 | . 2763 | . 1602 | . 4819 | 4.075 | 4.45 | . 1509 |
| April. | 1. 5955 | 2. 6300 | 1.0909 | 2450 | . 2950 | . 1695 | . 4775 | 4.075 | 4.075 | .1530 |
| May. | 1. 7613 | 2. 7800 | 1.0727 | . 2430 | . 3513 | . 1931 | . 4738 | 4.075 | 4.075 | . 1600 |
| June. | 1. 7563 | 2.3613 | 1.1818 | . 2025 | . 4075 | . 2114 | . 4744 | 4.075 | 4.075 | .1756 |
| July. | 1. 9075 | 2.2580 | 1. 2364 | . 2075 | . 4860 | . 2303 | . 4750 | 2. 90 | 4.075 | . 2150 |
| August. | 1. 9213 | 2.2394 | 1. 2364 | . 2350 | . 5200 | . 2150 | . 4750 | 2.90 | 2.90 | . 2281 |
| September | 1.5410 | 2.2385 | 1.2182 | . 2275 | . 4638 | . 1663 | .4795 | 2.90 | 2. 90 | . 2220 |
| October. | 1.3888 | 2.2394 | 1. 2634 | . 2290 | . 4820 | . 1650 | . 4825 | 2.90 | 2.90 | . 2172 |
| November. | 1. 4875 | 2.2881 | 1. 2545 | . 2350 | . 4688 | . 1697 | . 4825 | 2.90 | 2.90 | . 2038 |
| December. | 1. 4485 | 2. 4490 | 1.2545 | . 2350 | . 4100 | . 1518 | . 4785 | 2.90 | 3.00 | . 1873 |
| January 1920. | 1. 4750 | 2.6338 | 1. 2364 | . 2320 | . 4000 | . 1628 | . 4625 | 3.00 | 3.05 | 1931 |
| February.. | 1. 4125 | 2. 4900 | 1.2364 | . 2125 | . 4025 | . 1478 | . 4322 | 3.40 | 3.70 | . 1906 |
| March... | 1. 5515 | 2. 5000 | 1. 2364 | . 2050 | . 3640 | .1500 | . 4120 | 3.70 | 3.85 | . 1858 |
| April. | 1. 6913 | 2.7725 | 1.2000 | . 2090 | . 3613 | . 1514 | . 4113 | 3.85 | 3.85 | . 1919 |
| May... | 1. 9825 | 2. 9750 | 1. 1636 | . 1950 | . 3538 | . 1559 | . 4038 | 3.85 | 3.85 | . 1906 |
| June. | 1. 8390 | 2. 8950 | 1.0000 | . 2225 | . 3410 | . 1498 | . 3850 | 3.85 | 3.85 | . 1900 |
| July... | 1. 5388 | 2.8050 | . 9091 | . 2550 | . 2944 | . 1306 | . 3534 | 3.85 | 3.85 | . 1900 |
| August | 1.5310 | 2. 4735 | . 8727 | . 2550 | . 2850 | . 0936 | . 3030 | 3.85 | 3.85 | . 1900 |
| September | 1. 2938 | 2. 4919 | . 8364 | . 2600 | . 2840 | . 0819 | . 2531 | 3.65 | 3.85 | . 1869 |
| October.. | . 8778 | 2. 2047 | . 7273 | . 2520 | . 2550 | . 0759 | . 2169 | 3.15 | 3.26 | . 1675 |
| November. | . 8003 | 2.0570 | . 6909 | . 2400 | . 2325 | . 0746 | .1920 | 2.90 | 3.26 | . 1455 |
| December. | . 7341 | 2.0125 | . 5455 | . 2220 | . 1900 | . 0656 | . 1800 | 2.75 | 3.00 | . 1369 |
| January...... | . 6553 | 1. 9613 | . 5455 | . 1738 | . 1675 | . 0669 | . 1731 | 2.70 | 2.85 | . 1288 |
| February. | . 6350 | 1.9194 | . 5455 | . 1600 | . 1362 | . 0672 | .1681 | 2.80 | 2.85 | . 1288 |
| March.... | . 6180 | 1.6798 | . 5273 | . 1625 | . 1150 | . 0639 | . 1800 | 2. 60 | 2.85 | . 1223 |

1 The figures in the above table for all commodities except nitrates are furnished by the Bureau of Labor Statistics and are average prices for the month. The nitrate figures were furnished by the Chilean Nitrate Commission.

For the commodities constituting the bulk of Argentina's exports, the following price reductions may be noted. Wheat fell from a maximum price of $\$ 2.975$ per bushel in May, 1920, to $\$ 1.6798$ in March, 1921 ; wool from a maximum of $\$ 1.2634$ per pound in October, 1919, to $\$ 0.5273$ in March, 1921. For Brazil, a similar trend of prices may be seen. Coffee reached its maximum of $\$ 0.2303$ in July, 1919, and its minimum of $\$ 0.0639$ in March, 1921. Rubber fell from $\$ 0.525$ in January, 1919, to $\$ 0.1681$ in February, 1921. In the case of Chile, nitrates held a maximum of $\$ 4.45$ during the first three months of 1919, and a minimum of $\$ 2.60$ in March, 1921.

## FOREIGN TRADE AND FOREIGN EXCHANGE.

Not only did the prices of these articles suffer severe drops, but it became difficult to dispose of many of them at any price. The purchasing power of European countries, which had been the principal takers of South American exports, was low, and in many cases goods that had formerly gone to the European markets were sent to the United States, where a large accumulation of raw materials and a consequent demoralization of the markets occurred. A table is here introduced showing the imports into and exports from Argentina, Brazil, and Chile for the years 1913 to 1920.

TOTAL IMPORTS AND EXPORTS OF ARGENTINA, BRAZIL, AND CHILE FOR THE YEARS $1913-1920$.

|  | Argentina. |  |  | Brazil. |  |  | Chile. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Imports. | Exports. | Balance of exports over imports. | Imports. | Exports. | Balance of exports over imports. | Imports. | Exports. | Balance of exports over imports. |
|  | In thousands of gold pesos. |  |  | In thousands of paper milreis. |  |  | In thousands of gold pesos. |  |  |
| 1913. | 496, 227 | 519, 156 | 22,929 | 1,007, 495 | 972, 731 | - 34, 764 | 329,518 | 396,310 | 66,792 |
| 1914. | 322, 530 | 403, 132 | 80, 602 | 561,853 | 750,980 | 189, 127 | 269,757 | 299,675 | 29,918 |
| 1915 | 305, 489 | 582, 179 | 276,690 | 582,996 | 1,022,634 | 439,633 | 153,212 | 327, 479 | 174,267 |
| 1916. | 366, 131 | 573, 000 | 206, 869 | 810, 759 | 1, 136, 888 | 326, 129 | 222, 521 | 513,585 | 291, 064 |
| 1917. | 380, 321 | 550, 170 | 169, 849 | 837,738 | 1, 192, 175 | 354, 437 | 355, 077 | 712, 289 | 357, 212 |
| 1918. | 500, 603 | 801,466 | 300, 863 | 989,405 | 1, 137, 100 | 147,695 | 436, 074 | 799, 625 | 363,551 |
| 1919. | 655, 772 | 1,030,965 | 375, 193 | 1,334,258 | 2, 178,719 | 844, 461 | 401, 324 | 316,977 | -84,347 |
| 1920. | 854,100 | 1,006,800 | 152, 700 | 2,078,046 | 1,752,247 | -325,799 | 350,000 | 765,000 | 415,000 |
| January. | 64, 600 | 90,500 | 26, 000 | 89,338 | 167, 706 | 78, 368 |  |  |  |
| February | 53, 400 | 96, 500 | 43, 100 | 111, 087 | 145,353 | 27, 266 |  |  |  |
| March. | 73, 200 | 121, 100 | 47,900 | 101, 671 | 191, 703 | 90,032 |  |  |  |
| April | 73, 500 | 109,700 | 36, 200 | 114, 550 | 157, 615 | 43, 065 |  |  |  |
| May. | 63, 600 | 99, 000 | 35,400 | 162,963 | 146,978 | - 15,985 |  |  |  |
| June. | 72, 200 | 82, 100 | 9,900 | 141, 733 | 146, 468 | 4,735 |  |  |  |
| July. | 80,900 | 100,500 | 19,600 | 173,815 | 121, 673 | - 52,142 |  |  |  |
| August | 77,000 | 78,400 | 1,400 | 220, 408 | 133, 679 | - 86, 729 |  |  |  |
| Septomber | 88, 100 | 56,900 | -31,200 | 223, 746 | 140, 173 | - 83,573 |  |  |  |
| October- | 67, 000 | 63, 600 | - 3,400 | 273, 497 | 149,051 | -124, 446 |  |  |  |
| November | 70, 400 | 48,600 | -21,800 | 227, 214 | 136,515 | -- 90, 699 |  |  |  |
| December. | 70, 200 | 59,800 | $-10,400$ | 231, 024 | 115, 333 | -1.15,691 |  |  |  |

It will be noted that the favorable balance for Argentina was much smaller in 1920 than in 1919, and that the last four months of 1920 showed excess imports; for Brazil a substantial excess of imports for the entire year is reported, while in the case of Chile the figures for the year show a comparatively large favorable balance. This is due partly to the
decrease in Chile's imports, and still more to the fact that the value of the exports of nitrate for the entire year 1920 was practically double that for 1919. Our trade with South and Central America is shown by months in the table below. It brings out the fact that these countries have all materially increased their imports from the United States.

UNITED STATES IMPORTS FROM AND EXPORTS TO PRINCIPAL SOUTH AMERICAN COUNTRIES.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | Argentine. |  | Brazil. |  | Chile. |  | All other countries of South America. |  | Total South America. |  | Central American countries. |  | Total Central and South American countries. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Imports. | Exports. | $\text { Im- }_{\text {ports. }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ex- } \\ \text { ports. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Im- } \\ & \text { ports. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ex- } \\ \text { ports. } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\text { ports. }}{\mathrm{Im}-}$ | Exports. | Imports. | Exports. | $\underset{\text { ports. }}{\text { Im- }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ex- } \\ \text { ports. } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\text { ports. }}{\mathbf{I m}-}$ | Exports. |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1919 . \\ \text { January......... } \end{array}$ | 8,772 | 22,253 | 6,699 | 11,798 | 10,491 | 5,680 | 10,057 | 12,296 | 36, 019 | 52, 027 | 3,417 | 4,085 |  | 56, 112 |
| February | 6, 398 | 11,367 | 8, 059 | 14, 439 | 5,942 | 6, 817 | 12, 263 | 9,861 | 32, 662 | 42, 484 | 4,416 | 4,989 | 37,078 | 47, 473 |
| March | 4, 448 | 11, 837 | 23, 198 | 9,919 | 12,518 | 4, 173 | 14, 033 | 8,051 | 54, 197 | 33, 980 | 4, 498 | 3,429 | 58,695 | 37, 409 |
| April. | 2,163 | 5,286 | 17,598 | -9,254 | 4,263 4 4 7 | 7,972 4 416 | 15,566 | 9,437 | 39,590 | 31,949 32,437 | 3,338 | 4,996 4 4 | 42, 928 | 36, 945 |
| June. | 19,779 | 20, 430 | 10,426 | 8,967 | 4, 542 | 4, 877 | 17,415 | 9,522 | 52, 162 | 43,796 | 4,413 | 4,973 | 56, 575 | 36,740 48,769 |
| July. | 12,271 | 9,907 | 23, 346 | 7,055 | 4,388 | 2,335 | 16, 298 | 7,562 | 56,303 | 26, 859 | 5,681 | 3, 720 | 61,984 | 30, 579 |
| August | 22, 861 | 17,283 | 23, 190 | 9,995 | 4,336 | 4, 066 | 14, 823 | 10, 460 | 65, 210 | 41, 804 | 3,319 | 3,835 | 68,529 | 45,639 |
| Septembe | 38,479 | 13,715 | 31, 676 | 5,572 | 7,729 | 3, 166 | 16, 202 | 9,712 | 94, 086 | 32, 165 | 2,937 | 5,663 | 97,023 | 37, 828 |
| October. | 23,773 | 13, 874 | 24, 172 | 12,279 | 5,794 | 3,449 | 14, 524 | 9,685 | 68, 263 | 39,287 | 3,093 | 4,624 | 71,356 | 43,911 |
| November | 26, 189 | 13,281 | 30, 706 | 7,499 | 10,549 | 3,351 | 14, 472 | 9,928 | 81,916 | 34, 059 | 1,627 | 4, 808 | 83, 543 | 38,867 |
| December | 17,014 | 10,993 | 17,911 | 5,780 | 7,202 | 3,071 | 13,807 | 11,308 | 55, 934 | 31, 152 | 2,194 | 6,316 | 58, 128 | 37, 468 |
| Total. | 199, 159 | 155, 900 | -233, 571 | 114,697 | 82,468 | 53,373 | 172, 328 | 118, 029 | 687, 526 | 441,999 | 43,150 | 55,741 | 730,676 | 497,740 |
| January | 21,344 | 14,008 | 25,069 | 10, 583 | 6,705 | 2,610 | 24, 821 | 12,426 | 77,939 | 39,627 | 4,029 | 7,310 | 81,968 |  |
| February | 15, 104 | 11,612 | 17,328 | 10, 462 | 12,678 | 3,708 | 22,797 | 14, 678 | 67, 907 | 40, 460 | 4, 771 | 6,809 | 72,678 | 47,269 |
| March | 19, 190 | 16, 927 | 22, 778 | 12,506 | 11,530 | 4, 725 | 19,512 | 19, 836 | 73, 010 | 53,994 | 8,891 | 7,421 | 81,901 | 61,415 |
| April. | 21, 581 | 14,869 | 28, 857 | 10, 080 | 7,983 | 4, 832 | 17,593 | 17,282 | 76, 014 | 47,063 | 7,891 | 7,816 | 83, 905 | 54, 879 |
| May. | 23, 104 | 17,094 | 10,490 | 13,545 | 14,860 | 4, 240 | 14,950 | 23, 341 | 63, 404 | 58,220 | 7,310 | 7,395 | 70, 714 | 65,615 |
| June | 16, 853 | 13,587 | 25, 719 | 9,683 | 19,083 | 4,774 | 19,512 | 18,261 | 81, 167 | 46,305 | 7,449 | 7, 504 | 88,616 | 53, 809 |
| July. | 18,502 | 15,923 | ${ }_{2}^{23,123}$ | 8,741 | 7,524 |  |  |  | 72, 721 | 44, 869 | 8,769 | 6, 403 | 81, 490 | 51,272 |
| August | 16,734 | 16,817 | 22,013 | 14, 520 | 8,430 9 | 3,305 3878 | 16, 124 | 12, 001 | 63,301 <br> 62 <br> 1 | 46,643 <br> 54 <br> 4.447 | 7,766 3 | 6,042 | 71, 067 | 52,685 |
| Septemb | 22,883 | 21,782 | 15,637 | 15, 017 | 9,405 7,807 | 3,878 4,868 | 14,575 10,093 | 13, 770 17 | 62,500 47,369 | 54,447 59,828 | 3,368 <br> 2,443 | 7,237 | 65,868 49,812 | 61,684 |
| Novemb | 8,098 | 26,045 | 12,673 | 17,278 | 6,777 | 5, 808 | 12,558 | 16, 155 | 40, 106 | 65, 286 | $\xrightarrow{2}, 442$ | 7,805 | 42,548 | 73,091 |
| Decembe | 9,454 | 23, 207 | 9, 539 | 19,233 | 7,830 | 7,363 | 9,116 | 17, 492 | 35, 939 | 67, 295 | 1,810 | 7,775 | 37, 749 | 75,070 |
| Total. | 207, 818 | 213,729 | 227,724 | 156,792 | 120,612 | 55,346 | 205,223 | 198, 170 | 761,377 | 624,037 | 66,939 | 86,491 | 828,316 | 710,528 |
| Jauary......... | 5,730 | 24, 231 | 9,713 | 14,128 | 8,042 | 6,885 | 7,263 | 16, 190 | 30,748 | 61, 434 | 1,667 | 8,733 | 32,415 | 70,167 |
| Februa | 5,316 | 16,441 | 9,289 | 6,240 | 4,903 | 4, 352 | 7,001 | 11, 571 | 26, 509 | 38, 804 | 2,353 | 6,927 | 28, 862 | 45,731 |
| March | 8,413 | 12,168 | 9,463 | 6,133 | 3,739 | 2,954 | 11,070 | 7,368 | 32,685 | 28,623 | 3,679 | 4,644 | 36, 364 | 33, 267 |

The United States dollar, which in Argentina was worth only 1.016 pesos as late as February, 1920, rose to 1.305 pesos in March, 1921, as against 1.0365 par value. (See table below.) At the beginning of 1920 the Argentine Government had with the Federal Reserve Banks a credit of about $79,000,000$ pesos, established during the war when the gold embargo prevented gold exports from the United States, and for a considerable time this credit was used to pay for Argentina's obligations in the United States. The method of operation was that Argentine importers who wished to pay for goods in New York, deposited gold or its equivalent with the conversion office in Buenos Aires, and equivalent amounts were released for payment to American creditors in New York. So long as this fund held out, Argen-
tine exchange suffered no great decline, but when the fund was exhausted the peso began to drop very rapidly in New York, and the dollar rose correspondingly in Buenos Aires. Thus, during the first five months of 1920, while the fund was in use, the dollar rose only from 1.018 pesos to 1.038 pesos. Between May 31 and October 31, however, the gold in the fund held with the Federal Reserve Banks was used up. Thereupon the dollar rose rapidly to the end of the year, when it stood at 1.305 pesos. the highest point being reached on January 3, 1921, when it stood at 1.32 pesos. At the same time, the stock of gold held by the banks in Buenos Aires was reduced from $\$ 114,014,000$ to $\$ 46,179,000$, while the gold in the Caja de Conversion increased correspondingly from $\$ 399,421,000$ to $\$ 466,477,000$.

MONTHLY RANGE OF EXCHANGE RATES ON ENGLAND AND THE UNITED STATES AT BUENOS AIRES, RIO DE JANEIRO AND VALPARAISO

|  | Buenos Aires. |  |  |  | Rio de Janeiro. |  |  |  | Valparaiso. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | England. ${ }^{1}$ |  | United States. ${ }^{2}$ |  | England. |  | United States. |  | England. |  | United States. |  |
|  | High. | Low. | Ifigh. | Low. | High. | Low. | High. | Low. | High. | Low. | High. | Low. |
| 1920. | Pence for 1 peso. |  | Pesosfor 1 dollar. |  | Pence for 1 milreis. |  | Reis for 1 dollar. |  | Pence for 1 peso. |  | Chilean pesos for 1 dollar. |  |
| January . | 627 | $65 \frac{1}{2}$ | 1.018 1.016 |  | 17 ${ }^{\frac{5}{3 / 2}}$ \| 1737 |  | $3.780 \mid 3.637$ |  | $12 \frac{5}{32}$ | $14 \frac{14}{35}$ | 5.27, |  |
| February. | 695 | 704 | 1.016 | 1.009 | $17 \frac{18}{64}$ | 1887 | 3.915 | 3.909 | $14 \frac{128}{38}$ |  | $4.94{ }^{2}$ 4.73 |  |
| Mareh... | $\begin{aligned} & 61.00 \\ & 58 \frac{5}{8} \end{aligned}$ | 691 | 1.017 | 1. 014 | $16^{\frac{8}{87} \text { \% }}$ | $18 \frac{1}{4}$ |  | 3.740 |  | $14 \frac{3}{3} \frac{3}{2}$ | 4. $94 \frac{1}{2}$ | 4. 668 |
| Apríl. |  | 603604 | 1.035 | 1. 015 | 16.16 | ${ }^{161} 180$ | 3.9004.000 | 3.790 |  | $13{ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ |  | 4. 631 |
| May. | $58_{8}^{28}$ |  | 1.038 | 1. 036 |  |  |  | 3.860 | $111^{\frac{2}{2}}$ 11 | $11 \frac{1}{15}$ | 5. $5.50 \frac{1}{4}$ |  |
| June. | 56 | 59.00 | 1.052 | 1.039 | $14 \frac{5}{5}$ | 15.9 | 4. 300 | 4.030 | ${ }^{11} 10^{\frac{3}{3}}$ | $12 \frac{5}{32}$ | 5.563 ${ }^{\frac{3}{4}}$ | 4. 993 |
| July.. |  | $57 \frac{1}{4}$ | 1.130 | 1.0521.1301.150 | 1311 |  | 4.680 | 4. 3434.610 |  | $12 \cdot \frac{9}{16}$ | 5. 94 | 4. 893 |
| August. | 57.00 | 578 | 1.175 |  | $13 \frac{5}{5}$ |  | 5.073 |  | 11.00 | $12 \frac{7^{\frac{7}{1}}}{} 17^{2}$ | $5.88 \frac{1}{4}$ | 5. 63. |
| September. | 57.0054.71 | 58.00 | 1. 180 | 1.155 | ${ }_{12} 12 \frac{7}{64}{ }^{\frac{8}{64}}$ |  | 5.7256.040 | 5. 160 |  | 117 | 6. 42 | 5.731$6.55 \%$ |
| October... |  | 57.00 | 1. 265 | 1. 185 |  | $13 \frac{1}{4}$ |  | 5. 016 | $10 \frac{3}{4}$ | $10 \frac{23}{3}$ | 6. $76 \frac{3}{3}$ |  |
| November. | $51.72$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55.31 \\ & 55.13 \end{aligned}$ | 1.305 | 1.283 | $\underset{\substack{10 \frac{1}{6} \\ \hline 76}}{ }$ | ${ }_{12}^{12} 12$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.504 \\ & 7.140 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.829 \\ 6.360 \end{array}$ |  | $\underset{\substack{10 \frac{1}{6} \\ 9_{4}^{3}}}{ }$ | 7.3717.531 | 7.127.12 |
| December |  |  |  | 1.245 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January 1921. | $\begin{aligned} & 50.00 \\ & 49 . \\ & 47.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 51 \frac{1}{4} \\ & 51 \frac{7}{8} \\ & 48 \frac{5}{8} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.320 \\ & 1.260 \\ & 1.305 \end{aligned}$ | 1. 246 <br> 1.195 <br> 1. 260 | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \mathrm{I} \\ & 8 \frac{1}{18} \\ & 818 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 93 \\ 10 \frac{1}{11} \\ 10 \frac{14}{18} \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | 7.200 <br> 6.780 <br> 6.900 | 6.688 <br> 6. 300 <br> 6.386 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \frac{29}{32} \\ & 8 \frac{28}{32} \\ & 9.00 \end{aligned}$ | 7.44 <br> $7.44{ }^{3}$ <br> 7.05 | 7. 163 <br> G. $82 \frac{3}{2}$ |
| February. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 85888888 |  |  |  |
| March.... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{1}$ Exchange at par, 47.58. |  |  |  | ${ }^{2}$ Exchange at par, 103.648. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The table above shows fluctuations of exchange rates on England and on the United States for the three South American countries.

In Brazil, as a consequence of the unfavorable trade balance, the dollar rose from a low of 3.637 milreis in January, 1920, to a high of 6.9 milreis in March, 1921. In Chile the dollar rose from $4.63 \frac{1}{4}$ pesos in April, 1920, to $7.53 \frac{1}{2}$ pesos in December of the same year. In Chile the exchange situation is peculiar. There is a large gold reserve with which to redeem the notes in circulation, but the date for thus redeeming them has been again and again postponed. ${ }^{2}$ Thus they are in theory redeemable in gold; in fact, irredeemable. Because

[^11]of this, the currency is subject to violent fluctuations, which may vary 2 or 3 per cent from day to day and from 5 to 15 per cent in the course of a single month. These fluctuations in value give rise to extensive speculation, which in turn tends to aggravate still further the exchange situation. The nitrate situation, which is the principal factor in Chile's foreign trade and in the exchange market, looked very bright during the first half of 1920 , before the world-wide depression began. It seemed probable that after five years of reduced food production all countries, especially those of Europe, would demand large quantities of fertilizer. The nitrate consumption bade fair to equal that of 1913; large quantities were being sold forward, and the best nitrate author-
ities were urging increased production. In August, however, came the reaction, due chiefly to the fact that Europe was having ever greater difficulty in paying for her imports. Also the decrease in the demand for nitrates in the manufacture of ammunition, together with the development of the production of ammonia from the air by Germany, continued, as in 1919, to exert a further depressing influence on the nitrate trade. The nitrate market declined rapidly; the price fell below the level at which the Nitrate Association found it profitable to sell; production fell off, resulting in widespread unemployment, and toward the end of the year the country was in a grave financial crisis.

Fluctuations in the value of the pound sterling in the South American countries were similar to those in the dollar, though owing to the depreciation of the pound its rise in terms of South American currency was not so great as the rise of the dollar. Other exchange quotations reflect the movement of these two leading currencies, the variations being due to causes on which South American conditions exerted but little influence.

A serious phase of the situation was that large orders for imports of manufactured goods, mostly from the United States, were placed by South American concerns when times were prosperous and before the decline in prices occurred. Some of these goods were late in delivery, because of the insufficient output and the scarcity of shipping space, and thus arrived after the selling price had fallen below the contract buying price. There were some farsighted merchants who deferred placing their orders until after the fall in prices and yet received their goods as soon as, or even sooner than, did the merchants who had placed their contracts at the higher prices. The latter, realizing that their sales would thus result in great loss, canceled their orders, refused to receive the goods, or asked for repeated extensions of time on their payments. As a result of this, goods accumulated at all the ports of entry. In some cases the merchandise was piled up on docks, piers, or in sheds, municipal theaters, and other public buildings. Thus the delays in delivery, the fall in prices, the increasingly unfavorable rate of exchange, and the competition of those merchants who contracted at lower prices created great overstocking of goods and financial difficulties. Widespread discussion of moratoria resulted from these conditions, and in some of the smaller countries legal or extralegal moratoria were actually declared, although no such action was taken in Argentina, Brazil, or Chile.

## CREDITS GRANTED AND RECEIVED.

In order to encourage the export trade South American countries removed many of the restrictions on exports which had been imposed at the time when excessive exports of raw materials resulted in undue advances in prices in the home markets. Furthermore, several of the countries arranged to grant credits to some of the European countries for the purpose of encouraging exports. Thus Italy was granted a credit of $100,000,000$ pesos by Argentina, while Belgium was granted a credit of $25,000,000$ milreis by Brazil and one of $25,000,000$ pesos by Chile. All of these credits were to be utilized for payment of goods purchased in the creditor countries.

On the other hand, some of the South American countries obtained foreign credits for the purpose of improving their exchange position. Brazil, or rather the State of Sao Paulo, succeeded in floating a $£ 5,000,000$ loan, of which $£ 2,000,000$ were taken up in New York, $£ 2,000,000$ in London, and $£ 1,000,000$ in the Netherlands. The London bond issue matures in 30 years, the New York and Amsterdam issues in 15 years. The bonds are secured by the proceeds of a special surtax on the export of coffee grown or produced in the State of Sao Paulo. A $\$ 24,000,000$ loan to Chile was recently (Feb. 16) floated in New York, and has had a steadying effect on Chilean exchange. Argentina had a $\$ 50,000,000$ loan maturing in New York last fall, and not being able to renew it there on satisfactory terms, made arrangements by which England paid the American loan and received credit for it on her $100,000,000$-peso loan contracted in 1918 and due on January 15 of this year. This British loan has since been liquidated.

## REMEDIAL MEASURES.

Various methods of remedying the situation by legislative or administrative enactment were undertaken by the South American countries. In Argentina the establishment of a central bank of issue and rediscount has been advocated. No final action, however, has been taken up to date.

The question of releasing from the Argentine conversion office the gold which has been impounded there since the beginning of the war, was seriously agitated, the argument being that it would improve the exchange rate and that the flow of gold would discontinue as soon as the exchange rate was rectified, while so long as the gold embargo continued the peso was bound to remain at a discount. The

Argentine authorities, however, were fearful that the exports of gold would result in a complete exhaustion of the gold reserves and a consequent more serious depreciation of the currency. Their argument was that, so long as they were not able to receive cash payment for their exports to Europe, they would not be able to use European credits for payment of American obligations and the unfavorable balance as regards the United States would result in serious inroads on their gold. Up to the present time the gold embargo in Argentina has been maintained, although recent dispatches indicate that efforts to release $100,000,000$ gold pesos to stabilize exchange are being made.

Ernesto Tornquist, the leading private banker of Argentina, whose father was instrumental in the establishment of the conversion office and in the stabilization of the peso at 44 centavos gold, in a recent interview discussed the Argentine situation and proposed certain remedies. He believes that the financial system of Argentina, as much as world conditions, is responsible for the prevailing business depression. He suggests that the Banco de la Nacion shall reduce its interest rate on time deposits, limit its foreign exchange activities, and reorganize its discount business somewhat on the model of the Federal Reserve System in the United States. He further recommends the establishment of a central bank of issue and rediscount, and the gradual conversion of the Banco de la Nacion into an agricultural and live-stock bank to extend long-term loans to these industries. He would have the Government extend loans to foreign buyers of national products like wool, hides, meats, and cereals. He also advises authorizing the withdrawal of gold from the Caja de Conversion to a limited amount, settled in advance, and its export, this exported gold to be used for the payment of interest and amortization of the foreign debt and for settling unfavorable merchandise trade balances. As another remedial measure he proposes to have the Banco de la Nacion undertake rediscounting, especially for seasonal needs, such as crop moving or other emergencies. Unless some such measures are taken, he fears that Argentina may lose the commercial gains which she has made during the past five years.

In Brazil a bill for the establishment of a bank of issue and rediscount was introduced, and in the meantime a division of the Bank of

Brazil was established by executive decree, with authority to rediscount commercial bills and to issue Government paper money secured by such bills. The main provisions of the decree may be briefly summarized. The new department is to be in charge of a director nominated by the President of the Republic. Its operation will be limited to $100,000,000$ milreis, which can be exceeded only under special conditions by order of the President of the Republic. The department will discount bills of exchange and drafts drawn in terms of Brazilian currency, guaranteed by at least two commercial banks in good standing. The banks with which this department will do business must be registered with it, and for such registration a minimum paid-in capital of $5,000,000$ milreis is required. The period of rediscount will be four months at most; the paper accepted will be agricultural or live-stock paper. Against this paper the Bank of Brazil will issue Government notes, strictly limited to the amount of the rediscount operations.
Brazil also inaugurated a governmental control of foreign exchanges by regulating and supervising all banks and banking houses to prevent their speculating in exchange. The Government is also authorized to establish a special fund in New York and London, of which it may apply $50,000,000$ milreis to buying and selling bills of exchange, both to assist legitimate commerce and to stabilize exchange.
In Chile, although the plan for the establishment of a central bank of issue and rediscount continues to be agitated, no definite action has yet been taken. The nitrate producers of the country have strengthened their organization so that they control about 98 per cent of the industry and are in a position to refuse to sell at prices below a figure which they consider profitable. Practically no sales have taken place in the spring of 1921, and are not expected before June. It is thought, however, that with the wider use of nitrogen for agricultural purposes in America and with the improvement of the exchange situation in Germany, the nitrate market will improve, as under normal exchange conditions the sale price of natural nitrates in Germany would probably be lower than the cost of production of artificial nitrates.
Tables showing the condition of the Banco de la Nacion, the Banco do Brazil, and of the Banco de Chile, at the close of the last two years, follow.

ASSETS AND LIABILITIES OF THE BANCO DE LA NACION, ARGENTINA, ON DEC. 31, 1919 AND 1920.
[In thousands of pesos.]

|  | 1919 | 1920 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSETS. |  |  |
| Due from foreign correspondents. . . . . . . . . . . | 25,286 | 4,194 |
| Advances in current accounts and on securities. | 314,905 | 312,680 |
| Bills receivable. | 2,139 | 2, 107 |
| Accounts for collection | 22, 026 | 15, 241 |
| Bills discounted and rediscounted | 361,099 | 491, 167 |
| Doubtful debts. | 2,055 | 2,388 |
| Real estate. | 25, 586 | 23,213 |
| National bonds, series A | 43, 296 | 42,738 |
| Other Federal securities. |  |  |
| Mobilization of conversion fund, law 9479. | 46, 144 | 45,455 |
| Furniture and stationery....... |  | 468 |
| Interest earned but not received. |  |  |
| Due from the treasury, law 10251 | 72,000 | 72,000 |
| Conversion account. | 342,970 | 265, 538 |
| Due from branches. |  |  |
| Cash on hand.. -........................... | 356,506 | 461,926 |
| Due, according to the agreement with England and France. | 289,496 | 266, 436 |
| Total. | 1,903,508 | 2,005,551 |
| LIABILITIES. |  |  |
| Capital. | 138,551 | 144,925 |
| Surplus. | 43, 652 | 50,028 |
| Conversion fund, law 3871 | 68, 182 | 68,182 |
| Conversion account . . . . . . . . . . . . | 342,970 | $265,538$ |
| Mobilization of the conversion fund | -45,455 | $45,45 .$ |
| Deposits, time and demand ................... | 1,249,730 | 1,412,351 |
| Discounts, interests unearned but collected.... | 4,118 | 7,149 |
| Due to branches | 10,850 | 11,923 |
| Total | 1,903,508 | 2,005,551 |

ASSETS AND LIABILITIES OF THE BANCO DE CHILE ON DEC. 31, 1919, AND 1920.
[In thousands of pesos.]

|  | 1919 |  | 1920 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Currency. | Gold. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cur- } \\ & \text { rency. } \end{aligned}$ | Gold. |
| Assets. |  |  |  |  |
| Cash | 32, 521 | 12,913 | 48,844 | 9,469 |
| Due from banks and bankers | 20, 490 | 3,334 | 11,019 | 1,593 |
| Short-term bills, foreign. |  | 3,000 |  |  |
| Securities owned........ | 9,912 | 5,581 | 9,233 | 5,465 |
| Real estate. | 12, 049 | 1,200 | 12,214 |  |
| Furniture and furnishing | 1,082 |  | 1,246 |  |
| Loans and advances...... | 300,982 | 32, 546 | 353,398 | 30, 445 |
| Treasury certificates | 16,580 |  | 10,000 |  |
| Due from agencies.. | 3,293 |  | 9,164 | 5,750 |
| Interest and discounts | 1,648 | 126 | 2,878 | 5, |
| Other assets.. | 12,280 | 18,744 | 3,725 | 18,863 |
| Total. | 411,287 | 77,446 | 461,721 | 71,585 |
| liabilities. |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits in current accounts: Demand and time | 253, 840 |  |  |  |
| In London.................... | 253,840 | 31,364 10,360 | 240,536 | 32,467 |
| Due to banks and bankers | 2, 178 | 10, 90 | 2,515 | 170 |
| Savings deposits..... | 1,857 |  | 716 |  |
| Interest and discounts. |  |  |  | 39 |
| Capital paid in. | 60,000 |  | 100,000 |  |
| Surplus ............ | 34, 000 | 5,000 | 58,000 | 13,333 |
| Due to stockholders. | 3,111 |  | 3,606 |  |
| Dividends. | , 138 |  | , 179 |  |
| Profit and loss. | 5, 478 |  | 9, 002 |  |
| Other liabilities. | 50,685 | 30,632 | 47, 167 | 25,576 |
| Total. | 411,287 | 77, 446 | 461,721 | 71, 585 |

ASSETS AND LIABILITIES OF THE BANCO DO BRAZIL ON DEC. 31, 1919 AND 1920.
[In thousands of milreis.]

|  | 1919 | 1920 |  | 1919 | 1920 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSETS. |  |  | liabilities. |  |  |
| Government securities in guaranty of reserve fund. | 8,266 | 9,694 | Capital paidin. | 45,000 | 45,000 |
| Loans in current accounts........................... | 123, 146 | 138,375 | Surplus... | 8,865 | 10,632 |
| Bills discounted. | 113, 779 | 139,158 | Deposits, not interest bearing | 23,788 | 121, 553 |
| Bills receivable.. | 96, 550 | 281, 371 | Deposits, interest bearing. | 119,222 | 127, 146 |
| Foreign and domestic credits | 86,701 |  | Deposits, time. | 17,922 | 36,156 |
| Due from agents in Brazil and in Europe | 125, 446 | 155, 608 | Current accounts............. | 1,519 |  |
| Bank stock. | 10, 490 | 11,888 | Due to agents in Brazil and in Europe. | 38,420 | 21,114 |
| Other stock. | 2,704 | 10,346 | Bills payable, interest bearing. | 18, 115 |  |
| Stock in liquidation | 5,463 | 2,505 | Government deposits, judicial. | 1,513 | 3,843 |
| Various accounts.. | 182, 526 | 223, 129 | Government exchange account. | 8,889 | 8,889 |
| Cash in vault..... | 69, 152 | 106, 526 | Dividends payable.... | 796 | 850 |
| Bank buildings and furnishings | 2,307 | 2,872 | Dividends, undivided. | 2,250 | 2,250 |
| Total. |  |  | Various accounts. | 523, 823 | 686,121 |
| Total. | 826, 530 | 1,081, 472 | Pension fund. | 8,427 | 9,627 |
|  |  |  | Profit and loss. | 7,980 | 8,291 |
|  |  |  | Total. | 826,530 | 1,081,472 |

## PRICE MOVEMENT AND VOLUME OF TRADE.

## WHOLESALE PRICES IN THE UNITED STATES.

Wholesale prices declined 3 per cent in March as compared with 6 per cent in February and 9 per cent in December, according to the index numbers compiled by the Federal Reserve Board and the Bureau of Labor Statistics. Although both indexes agree as to the monthly rate of decline, they differ somewhat as to the present level of prices as compared with the prewar level. According to the compilation of the Federal Reserve Board (based upon 88 commodities), wholesale prices in March were 50 per cent above the prewar level, while according to that of the Bureau of Labor Statistics (based upon approximately 325 commodities) they were 62 per cent higher. In other words, the value of the dollar at wholesale might be estimated at 67 cents according to the Federal Reserve Board index and at 62 cents according to that of the Bureau of LaborStatistics.

The more rapid decline in the prices of raw materials than of manufactured goods, which has been characteristic of the price situation in recent months, is clearly indicated by the change in the Federal Reserve Board index numbers for raw materials and producers' and consumers' goods. The two latter groups consist of commodities in a manufactured or semimanufactured condition, while the first one consists exclusively of raw materials. In January the index of raw materials stood at 164 , in March at 146, a drop of 11 per cent. The index of consumers' goods, on the other hand, has declined only 5 per cent during the same period and producers' goods 8 per cent. The reclassification of the index number of the Bureau of Labor Statistics to show the relative fluctuations in raw materials and manufactured goods gives similar results, showing a decline in the prices of raw materials between January and March of 10 per cent; in producers' and consumers' goods 8 per cent.

The unusual stability which was noted last month in the prices of goods imported continued in March. This index number is nearer the prewar level than any other computed by the Board, showing a 54 per cent fall from the peak of last May and now standing at 114 as compared with 100 in 1913 . Prices of exports continued to decline in March, the index shifting from 135 in February to 125 in March.

For detailed information regarding the makeup of the Federal Reserve Board index num-
ber, reference may be made to the Federal Reserve Bulletin for May, 1920, pages 499503. The commodities included in the different groups are listed there with exact specifications and markets indicated. The "weights" assigned to the different commodities in constructing the index numbers are also given in detail.

The index of "goods produced" consists of average prices of 72 commodities ( 30 raw materials, 24 producers' and 18 consumers' goods). These include agricultural products (such as grains, live stock, and textiles), minerals, and lumber, among the raw materials; yarns, leather, semifinished steel products, refined oils, chemicals, building materials, etc., among the producers' goods; and vegetables, meats, flour, dairy products, cotton and woolen cloth, boots and shoes, and kerosene among the consumers' goods.

The index of "goods imported" consists of the prices of 18 commodities ( 9 raw materials, 7 producers' and 2 consumers' goods). It includes Egyptian cotton, Australian and South American raw wool, Japanese and Chinese silk, South American hides, Straits tin, and Canadian lumber among the raw materials; plantation and Para rubber, Chilean nitrate, cane sugar, burlap, sisal, etc., among producers' goods; and tea and coffee for consumers' goods.

Leading American exports are included in the index of prices of goods exported, which is made up of 39 commodity prices ( 17 raw materials, 12 producers', and 10 consumers' goods). Grains, tobacco, cotton, copper, coal, pig iron, petroleum, and lumber make up the hist of raw materials; vegetable oils, leather, semifinished metal products, refined oils, and chemicals the producers' goods; and wheat flour, refined sugar, pork products, coffee, cotton cloth, boots and shoes and kerosene the consumers' goods.

The index numbers of "raw materials," "producers' goods" and "consumers' goods" consist of the commodities mentioned above which fall into these classes, whether they are of domestic or foreign origin. The raw materials group includes 39 commodities, the producers' goods 29 commodities, and consumers' goods 20 commodities.

The "all commodities" index is obtained by combining the group indexes of domestic and foreign goods. It consists of 88 different commodities.

INDEX NUMBERS OF WHOLESALE PRICES IN UNITED STATES-CONSTRUCTED BY THE FEDERAL RESERVE BOARD FOR THE PURPOSE OF INTERNATIONAL COMPARISON.
[Average price for $1913=100$.]

| Date. | Goods produced. | Goods imported. | Gonds exported. | Goods consumed | Raw materials. | Producers' goods. | Consumers' goods. | All commodities. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1913 .$ <br> Average for the year | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Average for the year...... | 209 | 174 | 214 | 206 | 209 | 198 | 207 | 206 |
| A verage for the year...... | 236 | 191 | 227 | 234 | 235 | 237 | 229 | 233 |
| March............... | 250 | 218 | 256 | 247 | 246 | 262 | 241 | 248 |
| April... | 265 | 242 | 264 | 263 | 253 | 274 | 257 | 263 |
| May.. | 266 | 246 | 262 | 264 | 263 | 274 | 251 | 264 |
| June.... | 260 | 226 | 256 | 257 | 258 | 265 | 255 | 258 |
| July... | 253 | 208 | 248 | 249 | 249 | 251 | 250 | 250 |
| August.. | 238 | 182 | 229 | 234 | 237 | 235 | 299 | 234 |
| September | 231 | 164 | 211 | 227 | 233 | 225 | 219 | 226 |
| October.. | 213 | 142 | 181 | 211 | 211 | 209 | 203 | 208 |
| November. | 195 | 127 | 163 | 193 | 192 | 190 | 187 | 190 |
| December. | 178 | 112 | 146 | 176 | 176 | 171 | 171 | 173 |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January... | 166 | 114 | 1.42 | 165 | 164 | 166 | 159 | 163 |
| February. | 1156 | 113 | 135 | 155 | ${ }_{146}^{152}$ | 158 | 151 | 154 |
| March.... | 152 | 114 | 125 | 145 | 146 | 153 | 151 | 150 |



INDEX NUMBERS OF WHOLESALE PRICES IN THE UNITED STATES FOR PRINCIPAL CLASSES OF COMMODITIESBUREAU OF LABOR STATISTICS.


In order to give a more concrete illustration table have been obtained from the records of of actual price movements, there are also the United States Bureau of Labor Statistics, presented in the following table monthly actual except in the case of bituminous coal, prices and relative figures for certain commodities of for which have been obtained from the Coal a basic character. The prices shown in the Age.

AVERAGE MONTHLY WHOLESALE PRICES OF COMMODITIES.
[Average price for $1913=100$.]

| Year and month. | Corn, No. 3, Chicago. |  | Cotton, middlings New Orleans. |  | Wheat, No. I, northern spring, Minneapolis. |  | Wheat, No. 2, red winter, Chicago. |  | Cattle, steers, good to choice, Chicago. |  | Hides, packers, heavy native steers, Chicago. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average price per bushel. | Relative price. | Average price per pound. | Relative price. | Average price per bushel. | Relative price. | Average price per bushel. | Relative price. | Average price per 100 pounds. | Relative price. | Average price per pound. | Relative price. |
| 1913. | \$0.6155 | 100 | \$0.1270 | 100 | \$0. 8735 | 100 | \$0.9863 | 100 | \$8. 5072 | 100 | \$0.1839 | 100 |
| 1919. | 1.5800 | 257 | . 3185 | 251. | 2.5660 | 294 | 2.5370 | 239 | 17.4957 | 206 | . 3931 | 214 |
| March............ | 1. 5515 | 252 | . 4060 | 320 | 2.7550 | 315 | 2.5000 | 253 | 14. 4000 | 169 | . 3640 | 198 |
| April. | 1.6913 | 275 | . 4144 | 326 | 3.0063 | 344 | 2.7725 | 281 | 13. 9063 | 163 | . 3613 | 196 |
| May.. | 1. 9825 | 322 | . 4038 | 318 | 3.0750 | 352 | 2.9750 | 302 | 12. 6000 | 148 | . 3538 | 192 |
| June. | 1. 8390 | 299 | . 4030 | 317 | 2.9000 | 332 | 2.8950 | 294 | 15.0313 | 177 | . 3410 | 185 |
| July. | 1. 5388 | 250 | . 3950 | 311 | 2.8313 | 324 | 2.8050 | 284 | 15. 3813 | 181 | . 2944 | 160 |
| August. | 1. 5310 | 249 | . 3380 | 266 | 2. 5500 | 292 | 2. 4735 | 251 | 15.3500 | 180 | . 2850 | 155 |
| September | 1. 2938 | 210 | . 2706 | 213 | 2.4903 | 285 | 2.4919 | 253 | 15.2500 | 179 | . 2840 | 154 |
| October. | . 8778 | 143 | . 2088 | 164 | 2. 1063 | 241 | 2.2047 | 224 | 14.6875 | 173 | . 2550 | 139 |
| November | . 8003 | 130 | . 1780 | 140 | 1. 7528 | 201 | 2,0570 | 209 | 14.5750 | 171 | . 2325 | 126 |
| December. | . 7341 | 119 | . 1444 | 114 | 1.6809 | 192 | 2.0125 | 204 | 12.0938 | 142 | . 1900 | 103 |
| 1921 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January. | . 6553 | 106 | . 1450 | 114 | 1.7884 | 205 | 1.9613 | 199 | 9.8400 | 116 | . 1675 | 91 |
| February | . 6350 | 103 | . 1322 | 104 | 1. 6713 | 191 | 1.9194 | 195 | 9.3125 | 109 | . 1363 | 74 |
| March . . | . 6180 | 100 | . 1105 | 87 | 1. 6135 | 185 | 1.6798 | 170 | 9.5625 | 112 | . 1150 | 63 |

average monthly wholesale prices of commodities-Continued.

| Year and month. | Hogs, light, Chicago. |  | Wool, Ohio, $\frac{1}{4-\frac{3}{8}}$ grades, scoured. |  | Hemlock, NewYork. |  | Yellow pine, flooring, New York. |  | Coal, bituminous, run of mine, f. o. b. spot at mines, Pittsburgh. |  | Coal, bituminous, Pocahontas, f.o.b. spot at mines, Columbus. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average price per pounds. | Relative price. | Average price per pound. | Relative price. | Average price per M feet. | Relaprice. | Average price per M feet. | Relaprice. | Average price per short ton. | Relaprice. | Average price per short ton. | Relative price. |
| 1913. | \$8.4541 | 100 | \$0. 4710 | 100 | \$24.2273 | 100 | \$44. 5909 | 100 | \$1.3200 | 100 | 181.5710 | 100 |
| 1919 | 18.3260 | 217 | 1. 1894 | 248 | 39.7500 | 164 | 78.8333 | 177 |  |  |  |  |
| March.............. | 15.5000 | 183 | 1. 2364 | 263 | 57.0000 | 235 | 139.0000 | 312 | 2. 3500 | 178 | 2. 3500 | 150 |
| April.. | 15.7125 | 186 | 1.2000 | 255 | 57.0000 | 235 | 160.0000 | 359 | 3. 5900 | 272 | 4.0700 | 259 |
| May. | 14.7550 | 175 | 1.1636 | 247 | 57.0000 | 235 | 160.0000 | 359 | 4. 4200 | 335 | 6. 4700 | 412 |
|  | 15. 3500 | 182 | 1. 0000 | 212 | 57.0000 | 235 | 160.0000 | 359 | 8.6700 | 657 | 7.0000 | 446 |
| July | 15. 8875 | 188 | . 9091 | 193 | 57.0000 | 235 | 160.0000 | 359 | 10.0000 | 758 | 7.9000 | 503 |
| August. | 15.7350 | 186 | . 8727 | 185 | 57.0000 | 235 | 157.0000 | 352 | 10.6300 | 805 | 8.6300 | 549 |
| September | 17.0688 | 202 | . 8364 | 178 | 57. 0000 | 235 | 157.0000 | 352 | 10. 4700 | 793 | 8. 6600 | 551 |
| October. | 14.7875 | 175 | . 7273 | 154 | 57.0000 | 235 | 152.0000 | 341 | 8. 7500 | 663 | 8.3100 | 529 |
| November | 12.1400 | 144 | . 6909 | 147 | 57.0000 | 235 | 124.5000 | 279 | 5. 1900 | 393 | 7.3800 | 470 |
| December. | 9.6625 | 114 | . 5455 | 116 | 57.0000 | $23 \overline{5}$ | 124.5000 | 279 | 3.7500 | 284 | 5. 2000 | 331 |
| 1921 | 9.6700 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fennuary | 9.6700 | 114 | . 5455 | 116 | 48.0000 | 198 | ${ }_{95.0000}$ | ${ }_{213}^{247}$ | 2. 5300 | 192 | 4. 2500 | ${ }^{271}$ |
| February | 9.7063 10,3063 | 115 | . 54273 | 116 | 48.0000 48.0000 | 198 | 95.0000 95.0000 | 213 | 2.4200 2.2900 | 183 | 3.7300 3. 4000 | 231 210 |
| Year and month. | Coal, anthracite, stove, New York, tidewater. |  | Coke, Connellsville. |  | Copper, ingot, electrolytic, New York. |  | Lead, pig, desliverized, New York. |  | Petroleum, crude, Pennsylvania, at wells. |  | Pig iron, basic. |  |
|  | Average price per long ton. | Relative price. | A verage price per short ton | Relative price. | A verage price per pound. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rela- } \\ & \text { tive } \\ & \text { price. } \end{aligned}$ | Average price per pound. | Relative price. | A verage price per barrel. | Relative price. | A verage price per long ton. | Relative price. |
| 1913. | $\$ 5.0613$8.1639 | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 161 \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 2.4396$4.7375 | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 194 \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 0.1573$.1911 | 100122 | $\$ 0.0440$.0578 | 100131 | \$2.4500 | 100 | $\$ 14.7038$ <br> 27.6971 | 100 |
| 1919. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 188 |
| 1920.18109 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| April | 8. 4368 | 167 | 10. 50000 | 430 | . 18198 | 122 | .0896 | 204 | 6. 1000 | 249 | ${ }_{42.5000}$ | 283289294 |
| May. | 8.99649.3672 | 178 | 12.0000 | 492 | $\begin{array}{r} 1906 \\ .1900 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 121 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | . 0856 | $\begin{aligned} & 195 \\ & 193 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.1000 \\ & \text { 6. } 1000 \end{aligned}$ | 249 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 43. } 2500 \\ & 44.0000 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| June. |  | 185 | 14.3000  <br> 14.3750 586 <br> 14.398  <br> 15.310  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 294299311 |
| July. | 9. 98.6880 | 187 |  |  |  | . 1900 | $\begin{aligned} & 121 \\ & 121 \end{aligned}$ | . 0860 | 195 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6. } 1000 \\ & 6.1000 \end{aligned}$ | 249 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 44.0000 \\ & 45.7500 \end{aligned}$ |
| August |  | 190 | 15. 5500 | 628 | .1900.1869 | . 08988 |  | 204 | 6.10006.1000 | $\stackrel{249}{ } 24$ | 48.100048.5000 | 311 327 |
| September | $\begin{aligned} & 10.4363 \\ & 10.4732 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 206 \\ & 207 \end{aligned}$ | 15.3125 |  |  |  | 119 |  |  |  |  | 332390298 |
| October. |  |  | 14.3125 | 587 | . 1675 | 106 | . 0731 | 166 | 6. 1000 | 249 | 43.7500 |  |
| November | 10.5479 | 208208 | $\begin{aligned} & 8.8500 \\ & 6.2375 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 363 \\ & 256 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .1455 \\ .1369 \end{array}$ | 9287 | . 06478 | $\begin{aligned} & 143 \\ & 109 \end{aligned}$ | 6. 10006.1000 | 249 | 36.500033.0000 | ${ }_{224}^{248}$ |
| December |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| February | $\begin{aligned} & 10.6373 \\ & 10.6382 \\ & 10.6382 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 210 \\ & 210 \\ & 210 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.5313 \\ & 5.1875 \\ & 5.0000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 227 \\ & 213 \\ & 205 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .1288 \\ & .1288 \\ & .1223 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 82 \\ & 82 \\ & 78 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .0497 \\ .0468 \\ .0405 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 113 \\ 106 \\ 92 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.7750 \\ & 4.1875 \\ & 3.0600 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 236 \\ & 171 \\ & 122 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30.0000 \\ & 27.5000 \\ & 24.2000 \end{aligned}$ | 204187165 |
| March.. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ On Toledo market, average for last six months of 1913.
average monthly wholesale prices of commodities-continued.


## FOREIGN TRADE INDEX.

There is presented below a series of indexes designed to reflect movements in foreign trade of the United States, with fluctuations due to price changes eliminated. The commodities chosen for these indexes are those for which prices are compiled by the Federal Reserve Board in the preparation of its international price index. The list includes 25 of the most important imports, the value of which in 1913 formed 47.7 per cent of the total import values, and 29 of the most important exports, the value of which in 1913 formed 56.3 per cent of the total export values. The classification of the original list of commodities
used was given in the July, 1920, Bulletin. A classification of the 11 additional commodities of imports was given in the October, 1920, Bulletin.

Total exports continued their decline during March, while imports again showed a considerable increase. The decline in exports took place in both raw materials and producers' goods, but there was a slight increase in the exports of consumers' goods. The decrease in the exports of raw materials was most noticeable in the case of wheat, cotton, and refined copper, while in the case of producers' goods a decline was noted in the export of
every commodity. The most noticeable in- in the case of wool, and in producers' goods it crease in the exports of consumers' goods was was most noticeable in the case of cane sugar in the case of wheat flour. There was a considerable increase in every class of imports. and India rubber, while in consumers' goods The outstanding increase in raw materials was every commodity.

VALUE OF EXPORTS AND IMPORTS OF SELECTED COMMODITIES AT 1913 PRICES.
[In thousands of dollars; i. e., 000 omitted.]
[Monthly average values, $1913=100$.]

|  | Exports. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Imports. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Raw materials ( 12 commodities). |  | Producers' goods (10 commodities). |  | Consumers' goods ( 7 commodities). |  | Total (29 commodities). |  | Raw materials ( 10 commodities). |  | Producers' goods (12 commodities). |  | Consumers' goods (3 commodities). |  | Total (25 com:modities). |  |
|  | Value. | $\begin{array}{\|} \text { Index } \\ \text { num- } \\ \text { ber. } \end{array}$ | Value. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Index } \\ & \text { num- } \\ & \text { ber. } \end{aligned}$ | Value. | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Index } \\ \text { nume- } \\ \text { ber. } \end{array}$ | Value. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Index } \\ & \text { num- } \\ & \text { ber. } \end{aligned}$ | Value. | Index number. | Value. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Index } \\ & \text { num- } \\ & \text { ber. } \end{aligned}$ | Value. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Index } \\ & \text { num- } \\ & \text { ber. } \end{aligned}$ | Value. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Index } \\ & \text { num- } \\ & \text { nuer. } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} 1913 . \\ \text { January. } \end{gathered}$ | 100,027 | 116.8 | 11,762 | 101. 4 | 30,715 | 100.9 | 142,504 | 111.6 | 61,347 | 121.9 | 40,107 | 108.3 | 14,219 | 108.0 | 115,673 | 115.1 |
| February. | 71,074 | 83.0 | 12,266 | 105. 8 | 30,790 | 101.2 | 114,130 | 89.4 | 55,332 | 110.0 | 41,060 | 110.9 | 14,335 | 108.9 | 110,727 | 110.1 |
| March.... | 61,681 | 72.0 | 11,836 | 102.1 | 28,698 | 94.3 | 102,215 | 80.1 | 55,555 | 110.4 | 45,753 | 123.6 | 13,378 | 101.6 | 114,685 | 114.1 |
| April.. | 71,446 | 83.0 | 14,128 | 121.8 | 28,708 | 94.3 | 114,282 | 89.5 | 52,271 | 103.9 | 42,346 | 114. 4 | 10, 896 | 82.7 | 105,513 | 104.9 |
| May.. | 68,856 | 80.4 | 11,661 | 100.6 | 29,923 | 98.3 | 110,440 86 817 | 86.5 | 50,089 | 99.5 | 38,409 | 103.7 | 7,718 | 58.6 | 96, 916 | 95.7 |
| June. | 46,963 | 54.8 | 11, 612 | 100. 1 | 28,242 | 92.8 | 86,817 | 68.0 70.6 | -40,822 | 81.1 | 38,606 <br> 35 <br> 189 | 1104.3 | 8,382 | 63.7 | 87,810 | 87.3 |
| July.. | 51,325 | 59.9 | 11, 109 | 95.8 | 27,686 | 91.0 | 90,120 115,786 | 70.6 90.7 | 40,298 <br> 42 <br> 170 | 880.1 | 35,999 | 97.2 101.0 | ${ }^{9} 11,698$ | 73.6 | 85,986 | 85.4 |
| August. | 74,869 | 87.4 | 11,547 | 99.5 | 29,370 | ${ }^{96.5}$ | 115,786 146,426 | 114.7 | 52,659 | 84.4 104.6 | 37,385 <br> 41,184 | 111.0 | 11, 15.888 | 84.1 | ${ }^{90,933}$ | 90.4 |
| September | 103,614 | 120.9 | 10,622 | 191.6 | 32,190 34,612 | 105.8 | 146,426 184,992 | 114.7 | 52,659 44,407 | 104.6 <br> 88.2 | 41,184 22,721 | 111.2 | 15,883 15,929 | 120.6 | 109,726 83,057 | 109.2 |
| October... | 126,836 | ${ }_{148.1}^{160.9}$ | 12,698 9 1097 | 108.7 | 31,246 | 1102.7 | 168,069 | 131.6 | 48,107 | 95.6 | 28,788 | 77.8 | 15,059 | 114.4 | 83,057 91,954 | 82.6 91.5 |
| December.. | 113,326 | 132.3 | 10,053 | 86.7 | 33,089 | 108.7 | 156,468 | 122.5 | 60,904 | 121.0 | 31,929 | 86.2 | 21,446 | 162.9 | 114,279 | 113.7 |
| Year. | 1,027,789 | 100.0 | 139,191 | 100.0 | 365,269 | 100.0 | 1,532,249 | 100.0 | 604,261 | 100.0 | 444,278 | 100.0 | 158,021 | 100.0 | 1,206,560 | 100.0 |
| 1919. January.. | 81,066 | 98.2 | 18,444 | 159.0 | 56,748 | 186.4 | 159,258 | 124.7 | 44,552 | 88.5 | 53,071 | 143.3 | 14,434 | 108.1 | 112,057 | 111.4 |
| February. | 58,488 | 68.3 | 14,598 | 125.9 | 53,338 | 175.2 | 126,424 | 99.0 | 47,774 | 94.9 | 60,708 | 180.2 | 14,230 | 109.6 | 128,712 | 128.0 |
| March. | 57, 659 | 67.3 | 16,161 | 139.3 | 61,585 | 202.3 | 135,405 | 106. 1 | 54,947 | 109.2 | 82,546 | 223.0 | 25,223 | 191.6 | 162,716 | 161.8 |
| April.. | 65,112 | 76.0 | 19,356 | 166.9 | 80,639 | 264.9 | 165, 107 | 129.3 | 63,385 | 125.9 | 88,017 | 237.7 | 18,869 | 143.3 | 170,271 | 169.3 |
| May.. | 67,595 | 78.9 | 15,972 | 137.7 | 58,731 | 192.9 | 142,298 | 111.4 | 81,274 | 161.4 | 89,890 | 242.8 | 24,861 | 188.8 | 196,025 | 195.0 |
| June. | 98,335 | 114.8 | 28,618 | 247.1 | 96,088 | 315.1 | 223,041 | 174.7 | 85,256 | 171.4 | 61,885 | 167.2 | 18,512 | 140.6 | 166,654 | 165.7 |
| July. | 71,917 | 81.0 | 17,150 | 147.9 | 52,553 | 172.7 | 141,620 | 110.9 | 86,443 | 171.7 | 77,401 | 209.1 | 29,492 | 224.0 | 193,336 | 192.3 |
| August | 81,250 | 94.9 | 19,574 | 168.8 | 49,194 | 161.6 | 150,018 | 117.5 | 85,571 | 169.9 | 42, 132 | 113.8 | 20,953 | 159.1 | 148,656 | 147.8 |
| September.. | 70,285 | 82.1 | 19,359 | 166.9 | 43,342 | 142.4 | 132,986 | 104.1 | 123,524 | 245.3 | 70,033 | 189.2 | 25,240 | 191.7 | 218,797 | 217.6 |
| October.... | 70, 322 | 82.1 | 17,182 | 148.1 | 45, 844 | 150.6 | 133,348 | 104.4 | 99, 114 | 196.8 | 74,736 | 201.9 | 20,385 | 154.8 | 194, 236 | 193.2 |
| November.. | 99,552 | 116.2 | 15,735 | 135.7 | 46,729 | 153.5 | 162,016 | 126.9 | 98,690 | 196. 1 | 79,198 | 213.9 | 21,254 | 161. 4 | 199,142 | 198.1 |
| Decemb | 89,584 | 104.6 | 13,208 | 113.9 | 43,571 | 143.1 | 146,363 | 114.6 | 79,965 | 158.9 | 71,886 | 194.2 | 21,521 | 163.4 | 173,372 | 172.4 |
| Year. | 914,165 | 88.9 | 215,357 | 154.7 | 688,362 | 188.5 | 1,817,884 | 118.6 | 951,495 | 157.5 | 857,504 | 193.0 | 254,975 | 61.4 | 2,063,974 | 71.1 |
| $\begin{gathered} 1920 . \\ \text { January.... } \end{gathered}$ | 93,142 | 108.7 | 15,647 | 134.9 | 35,377 | 116.2 | 144,166 | 112.9 | 103,782 | 206.1 | 90,633 | 244.8 | 24,052 | 182.7 | 218,477 |  |
| February... | 70, 150 | 81.9 | 14,201 | 122.4 | 41,645 | 136.8 | 125,996 | 98.7 | 87,210 | 173.2 | 107,162 | 289.5 | 19,936 | 151.4 | 214,308 | 213.1 |
| March. | 90,779 | 106.0 | 17,259 | 148.8 | 56,612 | 186.0 | 164,513 | 128.9 | 97,011 | 192.7 | 125, 496 | 339.0 | 25,999 | 197.4 | 248,506 | 247.2 |
| April. | 68,048 | 79.4 | 17,063 | 147.1 | 51,689 | 169. 8 | 136,800 | 107. 1 | 87,588 | 174.0 | 97,187 | 262.5 | 29,076 | 220.8 | 213,851 | 212.7 |
| May.. | 63,650 | 74.3 | 17,546 | 151.3 | 62,457 | 205.2 | 143,653 | 112.5 | 64,177 | 127.5 | 81, 134 | 227.2 | 14,887 | 113.1 | 163, 198 | 162.3 |
| Jane.. | 55,200 | 64.5 | 14,663 | 126.4 | 45,113 | 151.5 | 115,976 | 90.8 | 75,225 | 149.5 | 95, 699 | 258.5 | 21, 463 | 163.0 | 192,387 | 191.8 |
| July. | 66,924 | 78.1 | 19,138 | 165.0 | 43,325 | 142.4 | 129,387 | 101.3 | 60,912 | 121.0 | 93, 910 | 253.7 | -4,562 | 183.5 | 179,414 | 178.1 |
| August | 67,225 | 78.5 | 15,708 | 135. 4 | 28,594 | 94.0 | 111,527 | 87.3 | 61,321 | 121.8 | 91, 836 | 256.2 | 22,624 | 171.8 | 178,811 | 177.6 |
| September.. | 70,699 | 82.5 | 13,883 | 119.7 | 28,599 | 94.0 | 113,181 | 88.6 | 51,388 | 102.1 | 61,163 | 165. 2 | 17,226 | 130.8 | 129,777 | 129.0 |
| October... | 101,708 | 118.7 | 17,649 | 152.2 | 37,859 | 124.4 | 157,216 | 123.1 | 44866 | 89.1 | 48,683 | 131.5 | 17,613 | 133.8 | 111, 162 | 110.0 |
| November.. | 95, 148 | 112.1 | 14,123 | 121.8 | 33,996 | 112.7 | 143,267 | 112.2 | 43,436 | 86.3 | 61,590 | 166.4 | 14,610 | 111.0 | 119,636 | 119.0 |
| December | 101,828 | 122.4 | 21,577 | 186.0 | 37,536 | 123.3 | 163,941 | 128.4 | 39,963 | 79.4 | 49,239 | 133.0 | 13,401 | 101.8 | 102,603 | 102.0 |
| Year.. | 947,501 | 92.2 | 198,457 | 142.6 | 503,802 | 137.9 | 1,649,760 | 107.7 | 816,909 | 135.2 | 1,000,762 | 227.3 | 245,459 | 155.3 | 2,072,130 | 171.7 |
| 1921. January | ,063 | 105.2 | 21,797 | 187.9 | 38,356 | 126.0 | 150,216 | 117.6 | 37,523 | 74.5 | 48,442 | 130.8 | 19,288 | 146.5 | 105,253 |  |
| February... | 77,922 | 91.0 | 16,349 | 141.0 | 35,433 | 116.4 | 129, 704 | 101.6 | 59,514 | 118.2 | 53,111 | 143.5 | 21, 179 | 160.8 | 133,804 | 133.1 |
| March...... | 65,680 | 177.4 | 12,111 | 104.4 | 37,243 | 122.4 | 116, 126 | 91.1 | 80,926 | 160.7 | 65,698 | 177.5 | 27, 560 | 209.3 | 174, 166 | 173.2 |

## PHYSICAL VOLUME OF TRADE.

In continuation of tables in the April, 1921, Federal Reserve Bulletin there are presented in the following tables certain data relative to the physical volume of trade. The January, 1919, issue contains a description of the methods employed in the compilation of the data and the construction of the accompanying index numbers. Additional material will be presented from time to time as reliable figures are obtained.

The textile industry has continued its recovery and has shown the usual seasonal productive activity. Cotton consumption during March increased slightly, but was considerably lower than the consumption during March, 1920. However, the number of cotton spindles active during the month decreased slightly from last month. The percentage of idle wool machinery during the month of March to the total reported continued to decline. The imports of raw silk, after a very large increase last month, decreased slightly during March.

The production of bituminous coal during March continued its decline and was considerably less than the production during March, 1920. The production of anthracite coal also continued to show a downward trend, being slightly less than last month and somewhat less than the same month a year ago. March crude petroleum production showed a considerable increase over the production of last month, as well as over the production for March, 1920. Pig-iron production during April made another record drop, reaching almost the low production of June, 1908. The average daily production during April was 39,768 tons, as compared with 91,327 tons during April, 1920 , and 51,468 tons during March, 1921. Steel-ingot production likewise showed another considerable drop, being considerably below the production of last month and the same month a year ago. The unfilled orders of the United States Steel Corporation at the close of April also continued to decline, reaching a new low point.

Receipts of live stock at 15 western markets showed a further decrease during March and were still below the figure for March, 1920. There was an increase over the previous month in the receipts of cattle and calves and sheep, but a large drop occurred in the case of hogs. Total shipments increased slightly over lebruary, but were practically the same
as during March a year ago. Stocker and feeder shipments from 34 markets showed a very large seasonal gain over February, but were still smaller than shipments during March, 1920. The number of animals slaughtered under Federal inspection during March reflected the seasonal decrease and was considerably lower than during March, 1920. Receipts of grain and flour at 17 interior centers during March were in larger volume than either last month or the same month a year ago. Stocks of grain at 11 interior centers at the close of March were much larger than in February, 1921, or March a year ago. Wheat flour production during March showed a marked increase over February and was somewhat larger then during March, 1920. Cotton sight receipts continued their seasonal decrease, and the American spinners' takings decreased to about one-half of last month's takings.

Receipts and shipments of lumber at Chicago and St. Louis during April showed a slight decrease from the March figure, but were still considerably larger than receipts and shipments during April, 1920. The production of lumber during March has shown a considerable revival in each of the five associations, namely, southern pine, western pine, Douglas fir, eastern white pine, and North Carolina pine. The production increased considerably over February, but was still considerably less than the production during March, 1920. Receipts and meltings of raw sugar at the North Atlantic ports showed a considerable increase over February, but in both cases were smaller than during March, 1920. The raw stocks at the close of March at these ports decreased from the February figure, and were practically the same as raw stocks at.the close of March, 1920. California shipments of citrus fruits during March increased both when compared with last month and the same month a year ago. Shipments of deciduous fruits were the same as last month and considerably smaller than during March, 1920.

Tonnage of vessels cleared during March was larger than in February, or in March a year ago. The January railway net ton mileage dropped to a very low figure, and was the smallest figure since April, 1920, at which time the railroad outlaw strike occurred.




## LIVE-STOCK MOVEMENTS.

[Bureau of Markets.]

|  | Receipts. |  |  |  |  | Shipments. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cattle and calves, 59 markets. | Fogs, 59 markets. | Sheep, 59 markets. | Horses and mnles, 43 markets. | Total, all kinds. | Cattle and calves, 54 markets. | Hogs, 54 markets. | Sheep, 54 markets. | Horses and mules, 43 markets. | Total, all kinds. |
| March . $\begin{array}{r}1920 .\end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Head. } \\ 1,643,816 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Head. } \\ 3,903,804 \end{gathered}$ | Head. 1,254,951 | Head. 82,584 | Head. 6,885,155 | Head. 571, 123 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Head. } \\ 1,399,485 \end{gathered}$ | Head. 483,550 | Head. 87,896 | Head. $2,542,054$ |
| Trily. | 1,657,743 | 2, 537,585 | 2,000,758 | 35,668 | 6,531,854 | 721,328 | 1,095,470 | 1,015,612 | 37, 152 | 2, 869, 562 |
| August | 1,952,086 | 2,516,240 | 2,561,661 | 73,423 | 7,103,410 | 869,849 | 953,083 | 1,459,150 | 69971 | 3,352,058 |
| September | 2, 279,345 <br> $\mathbf{2}$ <br> 1969 |  | ${ }_{2}^{2,826,693}$ |  | 7,599,095 | $1,079,170$ <br> 1,159 <br> 159 | ${ }_{1}^{931,261}$ |  | 60,414 | 3. ${ }_{4} \mathbf{6 5 2}$, 525 |
| October. | 2, 196, 2,403 1,490 | $\stackrel{-}{2,826,277}$ | $2,945,709$ $2,419,596$ | 38,657 22,477 | 8,007,582 $8,708,306$ | $1,159,459$ $1,148,861$ | $1,064,175$ $1,394,347$ | $1,932,083$ $1,474,299$ | 37,994 22,963 | $4,193,711$ $4,040,470$ |
| December | 1,382,995 | 4,186,261 | 1,546,876 | 16,118 | 7,132,250 | 647,801 | 1,516,893 | 704,760 | 17,030 | 2,886,484 |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January. | 1,629,994 | 4,654,560 | 1,769,155 | 34,712 | 8,088,421 | 602,320 | 1,637,902 | 681,987 | 34,572 | 2,956,781 |
| Fobruary | $1,174,611$ $1,548,061$ | $3,951,971$ $3,338,483$ | 1,501,902 | 41,212 41,951 | $\mathbf{6}, 669,696$ $6,660,148$ | 456,471 587,898 | $1,346,092$ $1,229,105$ | 590,487 688,072 | 40,611 42,602 | 2, ${ }^{233}$, 54781 |
|  | 1,04, | $3,380,483$ | 1,731,653 | 41,951 | 6, 00,148 | 587,88 | 1,22, 105 | 688, 67 | 42,002 | 2,547,977 |

RECEIPTS AND SHIPMENTS OF LIVE STOCK AT 15 WESTERN MARKETS.
[Chicago, Kansas City, Oklahoma City, Omaha, East 今t. Louis, St. Joseph, St. Paul, Sioux City, Cincinnati, Cleveland, Denver, Fort Worth Indianapolis, Louisville, Wichita. Monthly average, 1911-1913=100.]

RECEIPTS.

|  | Cattle and calres. |  | Hogs. |  | Sheep. |  | Horses and mules. |  | Total, all kinds. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Head. | Relative. | Head. | Relative. | Head. | Pelative. | Head. | Relative. | Head. | Relative. |
| March.. | 1,195, 622 | 119 | 2,852,171 | 130 | 899,760 | 66 | 56,880 | 124 | 5, 004,433 | 108 |
| July... | 1,188, 019 | 118 | 2,115,639 | 96 | 1,301,458 | 95 | 26,257 | 57 | 4,631, 373 | 100 |
| Angust.... | 1,459,565 | 145 | 1,818,245 | 83 | 1,688,719 | 124 | 55,371 | 120 | 5,021,900 | 109 |
| Septsmber. | 1,736, 009 | 172 162 | 1, $1,8976,748$ | 83 | $\frac{1,893,312}{1,865,330}$ | 139 136 | 38,950 24,716 | 85 54 | $5,265,893$ $5,355,358$ | 114 116 |
| November. | 1,781, 261 | 177 | 2,624,185 | 119 | 1,542, 177 | 113 | 12,149 | 26 | 5,960,072 | 129 |
| December. | 984,309 | 98 | 2,932,052 | 133 | . 942,858 | 69 | 9,290 | 20 | 4,868,509 | 105 |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January ..... | 1,191, 124 | 118 | 3 339,419 | 152 | 1,112,024 | 81 | 24,158 | 52 | 5,667,415 | 123 |
| Febrnary | 1, 825, ${ }^{886}$ | 89 111 | 2, $2,302,107$ $2,390,480$ | 141 109 | 1972,647 $1,161,549$ | 76 85 | 27,111 | 63 62 | $4,737,551$ $4,700,014$ | 110 102 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

SHIPMENTS.

| March....... | 418,310 | 103 | 923,526 | 191 | 298, 378 | 59 | 61,625 | 150 | 1,702,339 | 119 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| July | 50¢, 199 | 125 | 737,923 | 152 | 644, 5.57 | 128 | 27.728 | 68 | 1,918,407 | 134 |
| August | 649.275 | 157 | 627,670 | 130 | 899,342 | 179 | 52,183 | 127 | 2,219, 470 | 155 |
| September | 819,371 | 202 | 540, 812 | 112 | 1,027,510 | 204 | 40,800 | 100 | 2, 428,583 | 169 |
| October. | 866,327 | 213 | 584,742 | 121 | 1,192,912 | 237 | 24,051 | 59 | 2,668, 032 | 186 |
| November. | 810,284 | 199 | 784,468 | 162 | 952,159 | 189 | 12,782 | 31 | 2,559,693 | 178 |
| December | 472,748 | 116 | 943,515 | 195 | 354,646 | 76 | 10,201 | 25 | 1,811,110 | 126 |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January.. | 426, 887 | 105 | 1,978,679 | 223 | 316,068 | 63 | 24,463 | 60 | 1,846,097 | 129 |
| February. | 334,113 | 88 | 869,718 | 192 | 324, 311 | 69 | 28,495 | 69 | 1,554,637 | 116 |
| March.. | 447,682 | 110 | 825,944 | 170 | 406,705 | 81 | 28,765 | 70 | 1,709,096 | 119 |

SHIPMENTS OF STOCKERS AND FEEDERS FROM 34 MARKETS.

|  | Cattle and calves. | Hogs. | Sheep. | Total, all kinds. |  | Cattle and calves. | Hogs. | Sheep. | Total, all kinils. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| March .......... | $\begin{gathered} \text { Head. } \\ 239,363 \end{gathered}$ | Head. 101, 173 | Head. <br> 135, 244 | Head. <br> 475,780 |  | Head. <br> 545, 802 <br> 277,05 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Head. } \\ & 52,699 \\ & 36,827 \end{aligned}$ | Tread. <br> 855,545 <br> 258, 599 | Head. <br> 1,454,046 572,479 |
| July..... | 209,563 273,512 | 25,711 34,415 | $\begin{array}{r}322 \\ 5667 \\ 56789 \\ \hline 129\end{array}$ | 558,141 875.356 | 1921. |  |  |  |  |
| Sentember Ontober... | 473862 571,025 | 44, 340 59,123 | 789, 387 $1,055,237$ | $1,307,379$ $1,685,385$ | Fenuary.. | 202,926 164,504 | 41,892 49,229 | 88,089 61,508 | 332,907 |
|  |  |  |  |  | March... | 233,477 | 72,536 | 88, 292 | 394,?05 |

ANIMALS SLAUGHTERED UNDER FEDERAL INSPECTION.
[Pי̣reau of Animal Induntry. Wonthly average, 1911-1913=10n.]

|  | Cattle. |  | Calves. |  | Hogs. |  | Sheep. |  | Total. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Head. | Relative. | Head. | Relative. | Head. | Relative. | ILead. | Rclative. | Head. | Relative. |
| March.................. | 683,139 | 113 | 390,053 | 221 | 3,481,680 | 124 | 787,867 | 65 | 5,342,739 | 111 |
| July. | 661,172 | 109 | 342,765 | 194 | 2,643,772 | 94 | 1,048,428 | 87 | 4,695, 137 | 98 |
| September | 685, 768 | 113 | 332,349 <br> 347,578 | 188 | $2,176,010$ $1,978,602$ | 77 | $1,041,580$ $1,150,776$ | 86 95 | 4, 235,702 $4,302,440$ | 89 90 |
| netober... | 843,136 | 139 | 314,789 | 178 | 1, 2 485,940 | S8 | 1, 067,821 | 89 | $4,32,42,686$ | 98 |
| Novemker. | 858,046 | 142 | 315,971 | 179 | 3,328,533 | 118 | 968,235 | 80 | 5,471,785 | 114 |
| December | 697,34 | 110 | 244,573 | 138 | 3,985, 125 | 142 | 932, 117 | 77 | 5,829,459 | 121 |
| Janwary............... | 689,506 | 114 | 292,043 | 160 | 4,347,306 | 154 | 1,068,346 | 89 | 6,387, 201 | 133 |
| Febriary. | 522,719 | 92 | 252, 369 | 153 | 3,770,974 | 143 | 1,957,751 | 85 | 5,503,812 | 123 |
| March... | 624,395 | 103 | 361,733 | 205 | 3,075,137 | 109 | 1,075,481 | 89 | 5,136,746 | 107 |

EXPORTS OF CERTAIN MEAT PRODUCTS.
[Department of Commerce. Monthly average, 1911-1913=100.]

|  | Beef, canned. |  | Beef, fresh. |  | Beef, pickled, and other cured. |  | Bacon. |  | Hams and shoulders, cured. |  | Lard. |  | Pickled pork. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pounds. | Rela tive. | Pounds. | Rela tive. | Pounds. | Relative. | Pounds. | Relative. | Pounds. | Relative. | Pounds. | Relative. | Pounds. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rela } \\ & \text { tive. } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1920 . \\ & \text { March..... } \end{aligned}$ | 847,397 | 128 | 6,036, 166 | 487 | 2,290,835 | 86 | 75,002,410 | 448 | 31,088,859 | 208 | 69,429,785 | 158 | 3,160,456 | 71 |
| July . | 5,217,838 | 788 | 5,509, 812 | 444 | 1,973,004 | 74 | 31,562, 761 | 188 | 8,385,089 | 56 |  | 107 | 2,926, 247 | 66 |
| August. | 1, 2344,2670 | 186 37 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { r } \\ \text { 1,964, } 443 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 158 | 2,152,982 | 81 60 | $23,333,156$ $41,371,561$ | 139 247 | 9,360,469 $8,997,124$ 8,782 | 63 | $31,020,802$ $46,326,353$ | 71 105 | 2, 3 377, 2111 | 51 74 |
| October. | 207, 503 | 31 | - 522,251 | 42 | 1,995,039 | 75 | 49, 838,768 | 298 | 8,787, 853 | 59 | 54,173,979 | 123 | 3, 449,456 | 80 |
| November | 282,761 | 43 | 3,091,895 | 249 | 1,678,091 | 63 | 57,934,259 | 346 | 11,197,880 | 75 | 57, 316, 309 | 130 | 2,605,431 | 59 |
| Decem | 399,916 | 60 | 1,583, 434 | 128 | 3,053, 993 | 114 | 68,784,322 | 411 | 14, 491, 763 | 97 | 90,080,092 | 205 | 2,691,452 | 61 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1921 . \\ \text { January... } \end{array}$ | 548,227 | 83 | 6,078,550 | 490 | 1,725,625 | 65 | 43,202,486 | 258 | 16,869,841 | 113 | 76, 185, 237 | 173 | 3,089,094 | 70 |
| February. | 1,733, 678 | 280 | 979,081 | 85 | 1,750,756 | 70 | 31,612, 140 | 202 | 15, 847, 799 | 114 | 91,840,951 | 224 | 3,150,452 | 76 |
| March.. | 504,356 | 76 | 508,230 | 41 | 2,246,547 | 84 | 35, 350,774 | 211 | 19, 102, 633 | 128 | 82, 616, 583 | 188 | 2,024,334 | 46 |

RECEIPTS OF GRAIN AND FLOUR AT 17 INTERIOR CENTERS.
Chicago, Cleveland, Detroit, Duluth, Indianapolis, Kansas City, Little Fock, Louisville, Memphis, Milwaukee, Minneapolis, Omaha, Peorie, St. Jouis, Spokane, Toledo, Wichita; receipts of flour not available for Cleveland, Detroit, Indianapolis, Louisville, Omaha, Spokane, Toledo, and Wichita. Compiled from reports of trade organizations at these cities. Monthly average, 1911-1913=100.]

|  | Wheat. |  | Corn. |  | Oats. |  | Rye. |  | Barley. |  | Total grain. |  | Flour. |  | Total grain and flour. 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bushels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Relative. | Bushels | Relative. | Bushels. | Rela* tive. | Bushels. | Relative. | Barrels. | Relative. | Bushels. | Relative. |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1920 . \\ \text { March. } \end{array}$ | 18 | 66 | 24,304,946 |  | , 149,624 |  | ,549,739 |  | ,908,440 | 41 | 7 | 87 | 9 | 83 | 75,203,883 | 87 |
| July | 29, 714, 399 | 110 | 20, 824, 268 |  | 18, 734, 180 |  | 3,096, 026 | 281 | 2, 633,921 |  | 75, 022, 794 |  | 2,052,110 | 105 | 84, 257, 289 | 97 |
| August | 43, 039, 021 | 159 | 9,840, 320 |  | 30, 728, 748 |  | $3,191,103$ | 289 | 3,007,508 |  | 89, 806, 700 | 115 | 1,949, 339 | 100 | 98,578, 726 | 114 |
| September. | 46, 181, 275 | 170 | 20, 696, 955 |  | 31, 031, 560 |  | 5, 571, 428 |  | 6,630,056 |  | 110,111,283 | 141 | 1, 843, 954 |  | 118,409, 076 | 137 |
| October... | 45, 403, 825 | 167 | 19,064,508 |  | 21, 235, 162 |  | 4,455, 979 | 404 | 5, 795,028 |  | 95,954, 502 | 123 | $2,137,639$ |  | 105, 573, 878 | 122 |
| November. | 39, 272, 827 | 145 | 11, 407, 224 |  | 15, 282, 651 |  | 3, 706, 653 |  | 6,616,362 |  | 76, 285, 717 |  | 2,054, 262 | 105 | 85,529, 896 | 9 |
| December. - | 32, 758, 773 | 121 | 19,390,714 |  | 13, 777, 300 |  | 3,482,685 |  | 5, 057, 808 |  | 74, 467, 280 | 96 | 1,570, 822 | 80 | 81, 535, 979 | 94 |
| $1921 .$ <br> January. | 32,229,218 | 119 | 42, 036, 812 |  | 18,508,986 |  | 2,202,705 |  | 3,753,837 |  | 98,731,558 | 127 | 1,430,904 |  | 105,170,626 | 121 |
| February... | 22, 922, 667 |  | 27,565, 779 |  | 12,553, 913 |  | 1, 397,832 |  | 2,074, 908 |  | 66,515,099 | 91 | 1, 659,009 | 91 | 73,980, 640 | 91 |
| March..... | 22, 848,939 |  | 34, 165, 324 |  | 17,432,655 |  | 1,34, 498 |  | 3,111, 127 |  | 78, 898, 543 |  | 2,096, $63 ;$ | 107 | 88,33), 678 | 102 |

${ }^{1}$ Flour reduced to its equivalent in wheat on basis of $4 \frac{3}{2}$ bushels to barrel.

## SHIPMENTS OF GRAIN AND FLOUR AT 14 INTERIOR CENTERS.

[Chicago, Cleveland, Detroit, Duluth, Kansas City, Little Rock, Louisville, Milwaukee, Minneapolis, Omaha, Peoria, St. Louis, Toledo, Wichita; shipments of flour not available for Cleveland, Detroit, Louisville, Omaha, Toledo, and Wichita.]

|  | Wheat. |  | Corn. |  | Oats. |  | Rye. |  | Barley. |  | Total grain. |  | Flour. |  | Total grain and flour. ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bushels. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rela- } \\ & \text { tive. } \end{aligned}$ | Bushels. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rela- } \\ & \text { tive- } \end{aligned}$ | Bushels. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rela- } \\ & \text { tive. } \end{aligned}$ | Bushels. | Rela <br> tive. | Bushels. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rela- } \\ & \text { tive. } \end{aligned}$ | Bushels. | Rela- <br> tive. | Barrels. | Rela <br> tive. | Bushels. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rela- } \\ & \text { tive. } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1920 . \\ \text { March.. } \end{array}$ | 11, 027,336 | , |  | 78 | 14,243, 957 | $943,063,530$ |  | 433 | 1, 572, 887 | 40 | 41,073,604 |  | 2,960,175 | 87 | 54, 394, 392 | 84 |
| July . | 19,002,099 | 122 | 9, 100, 527 | $\begin{array}{l\|l} 64 \\ 44 \\ 412,814,35,429 \\ 182 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 754,476,238 \\ & 842,880,003 \end{aligned}$ |  | 632 2, 086, 672 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 53 \\ & 57 \end{aligned}$ |  | $9333,767,678$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 111 \\ & 106 \end{aligned}$ | 62,965,516 | 97101 |
| August.... | 24,934, 816 | 160 | 6,260, 144 |  |  | 4072, $2,231,851$ |  | $\begin{array}{r}993,605,105 \\ 1123,187,454 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  |  |  | $65,343,854$ |  |  |
| September.. | - $\begin{aligned} & 28,700,593 \\ & 26,258,705\end{aligned}$ |  | $6,284,075$ $10,336,378$ | $4412,690,866$ |  |  |  | 83 4, 339, 057 |  | 91 |  |  | 3, 187, 454 | 111 | $69,914,314$ $73,382,130$ | 101 |
| November.. | 24,950,771 | 160 | 7,890,500 |  | 55 10, 729,045 | 71 $72,998,524$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 670 \mid 4,529,091 \\ & 423 \\ & 4,247,954 \end{aligned}$ |  | 109 | 50, 816, 794 | 1023 3,949, 699 |  | 117 | 68,590,440 | 113 |
| December.. | 22, 253,030 | 143 | $7,898,979$ |  | 9,964,743 | $663,171,616$ |  |  | 3,082, 249 | 79 | $46,370,617$ | 933, $3,141,524$ |  | 93 | 60,507,475 | 93 |
| January. | 20, 187,379 |  |  |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 11,523,642 \\ 9,299,842 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left.\begin{aligned} & 76 \\ & 66 \\ & 66 \end{aligned} \right\rvert\,$ |  |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 2,874,359 \\ 1,626,913 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & 74 \\ & 45 \\ & 49,254,686 \\ & 39,994,189 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\left.\begin{array}{r} 109 \mid 2,678,257 \\ 86 \\ \hline \end{array}, 696,723\right]$ |  | 85 | $66,306,843$$52,129,443$ | 10286 |
| February | 15, 134, 115 |  | 12, 891, 895 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| March.. | 17,415,266 |  | 20,723,904 | $145,12,435,262$ |  | $82\|1,116,943\|$ |  | $158\|1,685,989\|$ |  | 43 | $53,377,364$ | $107\|3,156,299\|$ |  | 93 | $67,580,710$ |  |

${ }^{1}$ Flour reduced to its equivalent in wheat on basis of $4 \frac{1}{2}$ bushels to barrel.
Stocks of grain at 11 interior centers at close of month.
[Chicago, Detroit Duluth, Indianapolis, Kansas City, Milwaukee, Minneapolis, Omaha, Peoria, St. Louis, and Toledo. .

|  | Wheat. | Corn. | Oats. | Rye. | Earley. | Total grain. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| March ......................... | Bushels. 32,743,697 | Bushets. 4, 423,249 | Bushels. <br> 7,525,112 | Bushcls. <br> 14,391,595 | Bushels. 2,016,046 | Bushels. $61,099,699$ |
| July . | 5,492, 026 | 4,959,314 | 2, 059, 842 | ${ }^{670,563}$ | 1,336,553 | 14,518,298 |
| August... | $5,460,879$ $9,134,621$ | $1,414,708$ $5,669,580$ | $7,447,762$ $\mathbf{2 3 , 3 2 2 , 9 1 0}$ | 338,600 $1,303,475$ | - 709,469 | $15,371,418$ $41,544,955$ |
| October. | 14,627,524 | 7, 823,807 | 28,941,148 | 1,668,084 | 2,096,517 | 54, 157,080 |
| November. | 16,058, 407 | 3,461,911 | 28,697,974 | 1,082,195 | 1,874,366 | 51, 174, 853 |
| December. | 15, 525,114 | 4, 793, 299 | 27, 358,948 | 1,007,591 | 2,378,548 | 51,063,500 |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January. | 14,414, 231 | 11,596,518 | $29,435,153$ <br> 30 <br> 039 | 478,125 600 585 | 2,057,434 | 57,981,461 |
| March.... | 11,277,724 | 24,465,117 | 31,570,022 | 562,754 | 1,673,037 | $62,618,259$ $69,548,654$ |

receipts of grain and flour at nine seaboard centers.
[Boston, New York, Philadelphia, Baltimore, New Orleans, San Francisco, Portland (Oreg.), Seattle, Tacoma; receipts of flour not available fo Seattle and Tacoma. Compiled from reports of trade organizations at these cities. Monthly average, 1911-1913=100.]

${ }^{1}$ Flour reduced to its equivalent in wheat on basis of $4 \frac{1}{2}$ bushels to barrel.

## StOCks of grain at eight seaboard centers at close of month.

[Boston, New York, Philadelphia, Baltimore, New Orleans, Newport News, Galveston, San Francisco. Compiled from reports of trade organizations at these cities.]


Note.-Figures for San Francisco include also stocks at Port Costa and Stockton.
Wheat flour production.
[January, 1918, to June, 1920, U.S. Grain Corporation; July, 1920, on, estimated by Russell's Commercial News (Inc.), New York.]


COTTON.
[New Orleans Cotton Exchange. Monthly average crop years, 1911-1913=100.]

|  | Sight receipts. |  | Port receipts. |  | Overland movement. |  | American spinners' takings. |  | Stocks at ports and interior towns at close of month. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bales. | Relative. | Bales. | Rela- <br> tive. | Bales. | Relative. | Bales. | Relative. | Bales. | Relative. |
| August................. | 308, 262 |  |  | 17 |  | 24 |  |  |  |  |
| September. | 771,590 | 62 | 443, 149 | 48 | 17,324 | 16 | 254,460 | 56 | 1,607,602 | 136 |
| October. | 1,466, 874 | 117 | 971, 334 | 106 | 87,215 | 83 | 395, 165 | 87 | 2, 101, 839 | 178 |
| November. | 1, 804, 135 | 144 | 1, 075, 803 | 117 | 117,139 | 111 | 425,089 | 94 | 2,597,820 | 220 |
| December. | 1,579, 751 | 126 | 797, 350 | 87 | - 134,455 | 128 | 672, 477 | 148 | 2,815,934 | 239 |
| January. | 1,153, 825 | 92 | 636, 260 | 69 | 157, 012 | 149 | 526,718 | 116 | 2, 863, 377 | 243 |
| February. March. | 744,682 553,518 | 64 44 | 446,399 401,464 | 52 44 | 206,554 134,085 | 210 127 |  | 136 56 | 2, 8220,403 | ${ }_{234}^{239}$ |
| March... | 553, 518 | 44 | 401,464 | 44 | 134, 085 | 127 | 253, 368 | 56 | 2, 757, 715 | 234 |

COTTON SEED.
[Bureau of the Census.]

|  | Received at mills. | Crushed. | On hand at mills (close of month). |  | Received at mills. | Crushed. | On hand at mills (close of month). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| March.............. | Tons. 178, 145 | Tons. 316, 393 | Tons. <br> 215, 872 | November. $19 . . . . .$. | Tons. 829, 282 | Tons. 719,455 | Tons. <br> 581, 806 |
| July. | 7,259 | 13,219 | 30,084 | December. | 557,787 | 546, 086 | 593, 507 |
| August.. | 24, 979 | 20,317 | 36,760 | 1921. |  |  |  |
| September | 244, 382 | 145,519 | 135, 623 | Jamuary ............ | 418, 846 | 527, 521 | 484, $83:$ |
| October... | 945,998 | 607, 628 | 471, 979 | February. | 431,539 336,226 | $\begin{aligned} & 499,851 \\ & 452,770 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 416,520 \\ & 499,971 . \end{aligned}$ |


| SHIPMENTS OF CITRUS AND DECIDUOUS FRUITS FROM CALIFORNIA. [March, 1921, on, Bureau of Markets and California Fruit News. ${ }^{2}$ Monthly average, 1911-1913=100.] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Oranges. |  | Lemons. |  | Total citrus fruits. |  | Total deciduous fruits. |
|  |  | Carloads. | Relative. | Carloads. | Relative. | Carloads. | Relative. | Carloads. |
| March. | 1920. | 4,715 | 193 | 651 | 161 | 5,366 | 188 | 155 |
| July.... |  | 2,822 | 115 | 664 | 164 | 3,486 | 122 | 3,179 |
| August.... |  | 1,707 | 70 | 751 | 185 | 2,458 | 86 | 7,239 |
| September |  | 1,409 | 58 | 464 | 115 | 1,873 | 66 59 | -9,021 |
| Oetober... |  | $\begin{array}{r}1752 \\ 1,602 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 31 66 | 925 377 | 228 93 | 1,677 1,979 | 59 69 | 11,880 2,792 |
| December. |  | 1, ${ }^{1} 774$ | 154 | 368 | 91 | 14,167 | 146 | ${ }^{2} \mathbf{3 6 8}$ |
|  | 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January ... |  | 3,429 | 140 | 627 | 155 | 14,077 | 143 | 98 |
| February March |  | 3,484 4,955 | ${ }_{203}^{153}$ | 610 936 | ${ }_{2}^{161}$ | 14,123 5,891 | 155 | 81 |
| March .... |  | 4,955 | 203 | 936 | 231 | 5,891 | 207 | 81 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes grapefruit.
${ }^{2}$ For previous sources, see April, 1921, Bulletin.
SUGAR.
[Data for ports of New York, Boston, Philadelphia. Weekly Statistical Sugar Trade Journal. Tons of 2,240 pounds. Monthly average, 1911$1913=100$.]

|  | Receipts. |  | Meltings. |  | Raw stocks at close of month. |  |  | Receipts. |  | Meltings. |  | Raw stocks at close of month. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tons. | Relative. | Tons. | Relative. | Tons. | Relative. |  | Tons. | Relative. | Tons. | Relative. | Tons. | Relative. |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1920 . \\ \text { March.... } \end{array}$ | 335,532 | 182 | 333,000 | 182 | 88,185 | 51 | $\stackrel{1920 .}{\text { December...... }}$ | 148,464 | 81 | 154,000 | 84 | 63,715 | 37 |
| July.. | 386, 328 | 210 | 325,000 | 177 | 104,027 | 60 | 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| August. | 308, 313 | 168 | 287,000 | 156 | 125,340 | 73 | January....... | 92,498 | 50 133 | $\begin{array}{r}94,000 \\ \hline 93\end{array}$ | ${ }_{51}^{51}$ | 62,113 | 36 |
| September | 109,302 109,335 | 59 59 | 164,000 118,000 | 89 64 | 70,642 | $\stackrel{41}{36}$ | February ..... | $\xrightarrow{228,952}$ | 133 167 | 193,000 310,000 | 113 169 | 98,165 87,466 | 57 51 |
| November. | 186,274 | 101 | 179, 000 | 98 | 69, 251 | 40 |  | 306, 14 | 16 | 310,00 | 169 | 87,466 |  |

SALE OF REVENUE STAMPS FOR MANUFACTURES OF TOBACCO IN THE UNITED STATES (EXCLUDING PORTO RICO AND PHILIPPINE ISLANDS).
[Commissioner of Internal Revenue.]

|  | Cigars. |  | Cigarettes. | Manufactured tobacco. |  | Cigars. |  | Cigarettes. | Manufactured tobaeco. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Large. | Small. | Small. |  |  | Large. | Small. | Small. |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1920 . \\ \text { March..... } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Number. } \\ 753,239,958 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number. } \\ \mathbf{5 5 , 0 5 2 , 1 0 0} \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\text { Number. }}{\mathbf{4}, 373,778,917}$ | Pounds. 38,422, 481 | $\begin{array}{r} 1920 . \\ \text { December.. } \end{array}$ | Number. $506,126,135$ | Number. $47,380,000$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number. } \\ 2,816,818,050 \end{gathered}$ | Pounds. $15,452,701$ |
| July ... | 678, 751, 956 $672,020,289$ | $51,766,100$ $48,171,240$ | $3,053,336,563$ $3,569,397,443$ | $30,988,646$ $32,138,941$ | January 1921. |  |  |  |  |
| September | 678, 640, 116 | 50, 175, 580 | 3,557,482,503 | 32,094,569 | February | 496, 724,482 | 64, 6461,733 | 4,119, 376,533 | $24,750,290$ $27,096,592$ |
| October. | $704,799,089$ $668,060,015$ | $60,882,760$ $57,026,500$ | $3,840,334,806$ $3,529,200,006$ | - ${ }_{18,513,123,774}$ | March. | 561,343,699 | 70,245,500 | 4,470, 292,160 | 32,209, 842 |
|  | 68,00, | 57,02,50 | 3,52, 20,00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

NAVAL STORES.
[Data for Savannah, Jacksonville, and Pensacola. Compiled from reports of trade organizations at these cities.]

|  | Spirits of turpentine. |  | Rosin. |  |  | Spirits of turpentine. |  | Rosin. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Receipts. | Stocks at close of month. | Receipts. | Stocks at close of month. |  | Receipts. | Stocks at close of month. | Receipts. | Stocks at close of month. |
| March ......... | Barrels. $1,876$ | Barrels. $4,819$ | Barrels. 14,660 | Barrels. 103, 443 | 1920. November... December... | Barrels. $\begin{aligned} & 23,893 \\ & 21,174 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Barrels. } \\ 49,209 \\ 53,356 \end{gathered}$ | Barrels. 83,177 76,848 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Barrels. } \\ & 247,253 \\ & 300,315 \end{aligned}$ |
| July.... | 39,158 33,997 | 30,906 27,963 | 117,088 | 135,979 144,109 | - 1921. |  |  |  |  |
| September | 32,162 | 44,386 | 97,797 | 176,612 | January | 9,419 | 51,563 | 36,333 | 310,905 |
| October... | 30,260 | 49,885 | 88,766 | 195,837 | February. | 7,404 | 41, 755 28,838 | 26,736 18,906 | $\begin{aligned} & 316,440 \\ & 319,347 \end{aligned}$ |

LUMBER.
[From reports of manufacturers' associations.]

|  | Southern pine. |  |  | Western pine. |  |  | Douglas fir. |  |  | Eastern white pine. |  |  | North Carolina pine. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Num- } \\ & \text { ber of } \\ & \text { mills. } \end{aligned}$ | Pro-duction. | Shipments. | Number of mills. | Pro-duction. | Shipments. | Num. ber of mills. | Pro-duction. | Shipments. | Number of mills | Pro-duction. | Shipments. | Num. ber or mills. | Pro-duction. | Shipments. |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1920 . \\ \text { March..... } \end{array}$ | 205 | $\underset{436,944}{M}$ | $\underset{424,775}{M \text { feet }}$ | 50 | $\begin{gathered} \text { M feet. } \\ 130,425 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} M \text { feet. } \\ 156,211 \end{gathered}$ | 123 | $\begin{gathered} \text { M feet. } \\ 342,948 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} M \text { feet. } \\ 329,012 \end{gathered}$ | 21 | $\underset{43,771}{M} \text { feet. }$ | $\underset{61,620}{M \text { feet. }}$ | 24 | $\underset{29,633}{M \text { feet. }}$ | $\begin{gathered} M \text { feel } \\ 29,896 \end{gathered}$ |
| July. | 207 | 385,842 | 331,273 | 49 | 177,262 | 103,500 | 127 | 242,612 | 225,666 | 20 | 37,459 | 49,668 | 20 | 20,756 | 15,217 |
| August | 204 | 383, 540 | 337, 677 | 50 | 171,143 | 123, 344 | 123 | 366, 433 | 322,908 | 20 | 46, 149 | 55,991 | 19 | 19,511 | 14,130 |
| Septemb | 204 | 376, 566 | 378, 195 | 49 | 164,312 | 98,808 | 127 | 299, 277 | ${ }^{238}$,965 | 20 | 48,962 | 45,445 | 20 | 21, 887 | 16,043 |
| October. | 206 | 344, 427 | 329,751 | 49 | 146,424 | 69, ${ }^{636}$ | 120 | 355, 614 | 299, 704 | 19 | 40,724 | 30,928 | 24 | 19,487 | 14, 877 |
| November | 193 | 315, 343 | ${ }_{281}^{320,756}$ | 53 | 107,846 45,578 | 60,259 46,112 | 123 | 263,452 | 212,226 | 19 | 20,294 | 19,751 | ${ }_{21}^{24}$ | 14,617 8,091 | 12,929 14,716 |
| December | 199 | 264, 504 | 281,326 | 53 | 45,578 | 46,112 | 119 | 188,905 | 187,874 | 19 | 19,056 | 10,587 | 21 | 8,091 | 14,716 |
| 1921. January | 193 | 289,824 | 311,977 | 54 | 24,698 | 42,793 | 116 | 153,157 | 170,821 | 19 | 24,319 | 10,602 | 16 | 7,123 | 7,880 |
| February | 189 | 330,680 | 335,876 | 53 | 22,128 | 48,270 | 114 | 159,646 | 153,649 | 19 | 23,722 | 13,615 | 18 | 10,673 | 10,045 |
| March. . | 195 | 387,959 | 390,300 | 55 | 35,983 | 63,126 | 118 | 192,188 | 210,842 | 19 | 26,396 | 15,298 | 15 | 12,778 | 8,915 |

RECEIPTS AND SHIPMENTS OF LUMBER AT CHICAGO AND ST. LOUIS.
[Chicago Board of Trade and Merchants' Exchange of St. Louis. Monthly average, 1911-1913=100.]

|  | Receipts. |  | Shipments. |  |  | Receipts. |  | Shipments. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M feet. | Relative. | M feet. | Realtive. |  | M feet. | Relative. | M feet. | Relative. |
| April............ | 236,975 | 51 | 131,933 | 52 | ( $\begin{array}{r}1920 .\end{array}$ | 351,695 | 76 | 192,072 | 76 |
| July... | 399,615 | 86 | 184,767 | 73 | 1921. |  |  |  |  |
| August |  | 80 81 | 220, 24288 | 87 96 | Fanuary... | 263,001 26932 | ${ }_{62} 67$ | 165,308 169,843 | ${ }_{72}^{65}$ |
| October... | 398, 333 | 86 | 220, 116 | 87 | March... | 349, 426 | 75 | 215, 760 | 85 |
| November. | 342,971 | 74 | 190,282 | 75 | April... | 345,798 | 74 | 213, 359 | 84 |

COAL AND COKE.
[U. S. Geological Survey. Monthly average, 1911-1913=100.]

|  | Bituminous coal, estimated monthly production. |  | Anthracite coal, estimated monthly production. |  | Beehive coke, estimated monthly production. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Short tons. | Relative. | Short tons. | Relative. | Short tons. | Relative. |
| March............................. | 46,832,000 | 126 | 7,857,000 | 105 | 2,025,000 | 77 |
| Juyy... | 45, 009,000 | 121 | $8,261,000$ | 112 | 1,693,000 | 65 |
| September. | 48,910,000 | 132 | 8,025,000 | 108 | 1,776,000 | 68 |
| October... | 52,144,000 | 141 | $\stackrel{4,6469}{8,000}$ | 109 | 1,742,333 | 67 67 |
| November. | 51,457,000 | 139 | 7,453,000 | 101 | 1,622,000 | 62 |
| December. | 52,123, 000 | 141 | 8,321,000 | 112 | 1,515,000 | 58 |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January.. | 40,270,000 | 109 | 9, 419,000 | 127 | 1,074, 833 |  |
| March..... | $30,851,000$ $30,328,000$ | 88 | 7, $7,603,000$ | 114 103 | 863,834 587,333 | 35 22 |

CRUDE PETROLEUM.
[U. S. Geological Survey. Barrels of 42 gallons each. Monthly average, 1911-1913=100.]

|  | Production. |  | Stocks at close of month (barrels). |  |  | Production. |  | Stocks at close of month (barrels). |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Barrels. | Relative. | East of California. | California. |  | Barrels. | Relative. | East of California. | California. |
| March ......... | $\begin{aligned} & 35,831,000 \\ & 38,203,000 \\ & 39,055,000 \\ & 37,532,000 \\ & 39,592,000 \end{aligned}$ | 187 |  |  | 1920.November....December.... | $\begin{aligned} & 38,699,000 \\ & 38,961,000 \end{aligned}$ | 202 | $\begin{aligned} & 92,015,000 \\ & 94,919,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21,272,000 \\ 20,930,000 \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Juyg.... |  | $\begin{gathered} 204 \\ 196 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 89,350,000 \\ & 88,155,000 \\ & 89,584,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22,149,000 \\ & 21,874,000 \\ & 21,265,000 \end{aligned}$ | 1921.January......February.....March........ | $37,883,000$$35,348,000$$40,802,000$ | $\begin{aligned} & 197 \\ & 198 \\ & 213 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 95,838,000 \\ 100,147,000 \\ 105,797,00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21,261,000 \\ & 21,566,000 \\ & 22,896,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| September |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| October... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

total output of oil refineries and stocks of oil.
[Bureau of Mines.]
OUTPUT, BY MONTHS.


STOCKS AT CLOSE OF MONTH.


IRON AND STEEL.
[Pig-iron production, Iron Age; steel-ingot production, American Iron and Steel Institute. Monthly average, 1911-1913=100.]


## STRUCTURAL-STEEL ORDERS AND SHIPMENTS.

[Bridge Builders and Structural Society.]


IMPORTS OF PIG TIN.
[Department of Commerce. Monthly average, 1911-1913=100.]

|  | Pounds. | Relative. |  | Pounds. | Relative. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1920. |  |  | 1920. |  |  |
| March. | 11,980, 019 | 132 | November... <br> December... | $\begin{aligned} & 9,550,535 \\ & 5,893,627 \end{aligned}$ | 105 65 |
| July.... | 17,584, 167 | 193 | Derner............. |  |  |
| September | 9,596,819 | 106 | January.................. | 2, 584,347 | 28 |
| October.... | 6,741,331 | 74 | February. | $\begin{aligned} & 5,269,969 \\ & 3,028,356 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{3}^{62}$ |

RAW STOCKS OF HIDES AND SKINS. ${ }^{1}$
[Bureau of Markets; July, 1920, on, Bureau of the Census.]

|  | Cattle hides. | Calfskins. | Kipskins. | Goat and kid. | Cabretta. | Sheep and lamb. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mar. 31.............................. | Pieces. $6,558,300$ | Pieces. <br> 1,930,218 | Pieces. <br> 966, 850 | Pieces. $16,436,848$ | Pieces. $2,047,519$ | Pieces. <br> 9, 227, 252 |
| Sept. 30. | 5,926,708 | 3, 542, 388 | 1,083,193 | 13,408,277 | 2, 197, 149 | 11, 235,417 |
| Oct. 31. | 6,770,509 | 3, 850,183 | 1,377,998 |  |  |  |
| Nov. 30. Dec. 31. | 7,158,751 | $3,492,653$ $3,271,905$ | $1,422,608$ $1,305,776$ | $11,231,086$ $11,721,505$ | $2,234,027$ $2,685,670$ | $12,705,767$ $\mathbf{1 3}, 773,089$ |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. 31. | 7,899,138 | 3,086,862 | 1,381,748 | 10,870,210 | 2,155, 200 | 13, 184,052 |
| Feb. 28. | 7,940,359 | 3, 157,723 | 1,375,110 | 9,798,311 | 1,941,832 | 12,489,855 |
| Mar. 31. | 7,806,867 | 3, 060, 144 | 1,241,984 | 8,652, 171 | 1,579,457 | 12,970,857 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes hides and skins in transit. The number of firms reporting increased in the autumn of 1920 as follows: Sept., 1,307; Oct., 1,915; Nov., 2,027; Dec., 2,059.

TEXTILES-COTTON AND SILK.
[Cotton, Bureau of the Census; silk, Department of Commerce. Cotton, monthly average, crop years $1912-1914=100$; silk, monthly average,

|  | Cotton consumption. |  | Cotton <br> spindles active during month. | Imports of raw silk. |  |  | Cotton consumption. |  | Cotton <br> spindles active during month. | Imports of raw silk. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bales. | Relative. |  | Pounds. | Relative. |  | Bales. | Relative. |  | Pounds. | Relative. |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1920 . \\ \text { March..... } \end{array}$ | 575,789 | 128 | 34, 697,812 | 2,491,651 | 122 | $\begin{gathered} 1920 . \\ \text { November. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 332,057 \\ & 294,851 \end{aligned}$ | 7466 | $\begin{aligned} & 31,654,126 \\ & 29,879,402 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,319,995 \\ 972,011 \end{array}$ | 6548 |
| July. | $\begin{aligned} & 525,489 \\ & 483,193 \\ & 457,647 \\ & 399,837 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 117 \\ & 107 \\ & 102 \\ & 89 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34,666,794 \\ & 34,471,515 \\ & 34,040,806 \\ & 33,669,804 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} 2,581,920 \\ 2,690,690 \\ , 968,80 \\ 1,531,850 \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 126 \\ 132 \\ 96 \\ 75 \end{array}$ | December.... |  |  |  |  |  |
| August... |  |  |  |  |  | 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |
| September. |  |  |  |  |  | January....... | 366, 270 | 81 | 31, 509, 021 | 708,897 | 35 |
| October.... |  |  |  |  |  | February.... | 3955,563 437,933 | 94 |  | 2,377,949 | 122 108 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | March......... | 437,933 | 97 | 32, 104, 946 | 2, 201,633 |  |

TEXTILES-WOOL.
[Wool consumption, Bureau of Markets; idle wool machmery, Bureau of the Census.]

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Consump- } \\ & \text { tion } \\ & \text { (pounds). }{ }^{1} \end{aligned}$ | Percentage of idle machinery on first of month to total reported. |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage of idle hours on first of month to total reported. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Looms. |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sets } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { cards. } \end{gathered}$ | Combs. | Spinning spindles. |  | Looms. |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sets } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { cards. } \end{gathered}$ | Combs. | Spinning spindles. |  |
|  |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Wider } \\ \text { than } 50- \\ \text { inch } \\ \text { reed } \\ \text { space. } \end{array}\right\|$ | 50-inch reed space or less. |  |  | Woolen. | Worsted. | Wider <br> than $50-$ inch reed space. | 50-inch reed space or less |  |  | Woolen. | Worsted. |
| April.......... | 66,935,318 | 13.1 | 16.9 | 9.6 | 7.1 | 9.5 | 7.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July.. | 37,097,077 | 42.5 | 32.3 | 38.0 | 35.0 | 42.0 | 32.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| August. | 38,054,708 | 49.5 | 29.9 | 39.6 | 33.4 | 45.5 | 37.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| September | 36, 297, 221 | 51.8 | 34.8 <br> 34 | 39.6 38 | 37.3 | 44.6 | 38.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| October... November | $38,443,688$ $28,096,047$ | 49.0 46.9 | 34.9 37.7 | 38.3 39.5 5 | 26.3 <br> 32.8 | 43.2 <br> 42.8 | 26.0 34.8 | 54.1 | 47.7 | 45.9 | 38.4 | 46.7 | 38.5 |
| December. |  | 51.2 | 44.8 | 50.3 | 41.4 | 51.7 | 42.7 | 59.7 | 60.4 | 57.1 | 51.0 | 53.9 | 53.4 |
| January....... |  | 57.0 | 49.2 | 58.1 | 52.9 | 59.4 | 50.8 | 66.7 | 71.2 | 66.1 | 629 | 68.4 | 65.2 |
| February |  | 53.9 | 48.7 | 56.5 | 43.8 | 58.9 | 43.0 | 60.0 | 66.7 | 64.3 | 51.0 | 64.5 | 55.3 |
| March. |  | 43.1 | 41.7 | 46.2 | 28.3 | 47.2 | 33.0 | 45.3 | 57.1 | 50.6 | 26.2 | 50.5 | 37.9 |
| April... |  | 36.1 | 34.4 | 33.0 | 18.7 | 32.3 | 21.8 | 38.3 | 47.3 | 35.8 | 11.3 | 34.1 | 25.7 |

${ }^{1}$ Converted to grease equivalent basis.
PRODUCTION OF WOOD PULP AND PAPER.
[Federal Trade Commission.]

|  | Wood pulp. | Newsprint. | Book. | Paper board. | Wrapping. | Fine. |  | Wood pulp. | Newsprint. | Book. | Paper board. | Wrapping. | Fine. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| March....... | $\begin{gathered} \text { Net } \\ \text { tons. } \\ 327,143 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Net } \\ \text { tons. } \\ 127,847 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Net } \\ \text { tons. } \\ 95,851 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Net } \\ \text { tons. } \\ 207,863 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Net } \\ \text { tons. } \\ 68,403 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Net } \\ \text { tons. } \\ 33,671 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1920 . \\ \text { December... } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Net } \\ \text { tons. } \\ 302,527 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Net } \\ \text { tons. } \\ 124,857 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Net } \\ \text { tons. } \\ 76,093 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Net } \\ \text { tons. } \\ 105,227 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Net } \\ \text { tons. } \\ 54,308 \end{gathered}$ | Net tons. 27,233 |
| July. | 312,334 | 129, 853 | 95, 526 | 218, 771 | 73, 487 | 34, 078 | 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| August | 305,965 | 128, 818 | 94, 424 | 215, 633 | 75, 222 | 33, 122 | January. | 275, 353 | 123,830 | 64, 382 | 105, 806 | 44, 620 | 22,756 |
| September | 293,913 | 121, 005 | 94,142 93,849 | 218, 743 | 70, 717 | 34,207 34,526 | February | 243,797 | 103,040 | 56,687 59,832 | 123, 832 | 46, 352 | 19,242 |
| Novemb | 326,041 | 122, 993 | 89, 564 | 133, 818 | 65,920 | 31, 208 |  | 202,332 | 107,032 | 59,832 | 139,723 | 49,879 | 19,058 |

OUTPUT OF LOCOMOTIVES AND CARS.
[Locomotives, reports from individual producers; cars, Railway Car Manufacturers' Association.]

|  | Locomotives. |  | Output of cars. |  |  |  | Locomotives. |  | Output of cars. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Domes- } \\ & \text { tic } \\ & \text { shipped. } \end{aligned}$ | Foreign completed | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Domes- } \\ & \text { tic. } \end{aligned}$ | Foreign. | Total. |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Domes- } \\ \text { tic } \\ \text { shipped. } \end{gathered}$ | Foreign completed | Domes- tic. | Foreign. | Total. |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1920 . \\ \text { March ...... } \end{array}$ | Number. 45 | Number. 59 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Number. } \\ 3,053 \end{array}$ | Number. 2,040 | Number. 5, 093 | 1920. <br> Dember. | $\left.\begin{array}{r} \text { Number. } \\ 198 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | Number. 93 | Number. 7,551 | Number. 1, 420 | Number. 8,971 |
| July... | 122 | 54 | 2,731 | 434 | 3,165 | 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |
| August.... | 114 | 125 69 | 3,409 | 1,210 | 4,619 | January.... | 154 | 71 | 7, 169 | 843 | 8,012 |
| September. | 128 | 69 106 | 6, 609 | 1,103 | 6,098 | February. | 1108 | 70 49 | 6,482 | 718 | 7,000 6,746 |
| November. | 204 | 73 | 6,243 | 985 | 7,228 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

VESSELS BUILT IN UNITED STATES, INCLUDING THOSE FOR FOREIGN NATIONS, AND OFFICIALLY NUMBERED BX THE BUREAU OF NAVIGATION.
[Monthly average, 1911-1913=100.]

|  | Number. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gross } \\ \text { tonnage. } \end{gathered}$ | Relative. |  | Number. | Gross tonnage. | Relative. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| March . ............... | 170 | 279,709 | 1,157 | December. 1920. | 87 | 176, 903 | 732 |
| July. | 173 | 217, 239 | 899 | 1921. |  |  |  |
| August..... | 178 | 259,210 261,962 | 1,073 | January.: | 94 | 216, 280 | 895 538 |
| October... | 120 | 227, 162 | 940 | March.... | 86 | 154,864 | 641 |
| November. | 119 | 213,966 | 885 |  |  |  |  |

TONNAGE OF VESSELS CLEARED IN THE FOREIGN TRADE.
[Department of Commerce. Monthly average, 1911-1913=100.]

|  | Net tonnage. |  |  | Relative. | Per-cent-American to total. | Relative. |  | Net tonnage. |  |  | Relative. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per- } \\ \text { cent- } \\ \text { age } \\ \text { Ameri- } \\ \text { can to } \\ \text { total. } \end{gathered}$ | Relative. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | American. | Foreign. | Total. |  |  |  |  | American. | Foreign. | Total. |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1920 . \\ \text { Mareh..... } \end{array}$ | 2, 040, 031 | 2, 040,538 | 4,080, 569 | 100 | 50.0 | 189 | $\begin{aligned} & 1920 . \\ & \text { December.. } \end{aligned}$ | 2,785, 615 | 2, 949, 416 | 5,735, 031 | 140 | 48.6 | 183 |
| July. | 3, 302, 538 | 3,616,052 | 6,918,590 | 169 | 47.7 | 180 | 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| September. | $3,616,267$ $3,421,531$ | 3, 929,602 | 7,545, 869 | 170 | 47.9 49.3 | 181 186 | January... | 2,191, 201 | 2, 454, 617 | ${ }_{4}^{4,645,818}$ | 114 | 47.2 48.4 | ${ }_{183}^{178}$ |
| October... | 3,500, 312 | 3, 756, 512 | 7, 256,824 | 177 | 48.2 | 182 | March.... | 2, 097, 843 | 2, 396,309 | 4, 494, 152 | 110 | 46.7 | 176 |
| November. | 3, 302, 367 | 2, 868, 294 | 6, 170,661 | 151 | 53.5 | 202 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

NET TON-MLLES, REVENUE ANB NONREVENUE.
[United States Railroad Administration; March, 1920, on Interstate Commerce Commission.]

| February | 1920. | 32,958, 000,000 | November 1920.1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | December. |  | 34, 722, 365,000 |
| July. |  | 40,450, 094, 000 |  |  |  |
| August... |  | 42, 706, 838,000 |  | 1921. |  |
| September |  | 40, 999, 843, 000 | January.. |  | 29,817,000,000 |
| jetober.. |  | 42, 562, 687, 000 | February. |  | 24,915,000,000 |

## REPORT OF KNIT-GOODS MANUFACTURES.

The total production of winter and summer underwear for the 6 months ending March 31 was as follows:

|  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Number } \\ \text { of mills } \\ \text { reporting. } \end{array}\right\|$ | Actual production <br> (dozens) | Per cent of normal. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1920. |  |  |  |
| October. | 61 | 393,422 | 50.4 |
| November.. | 63 | 191, 831 | 23.2 |
| December. | 61 | 98,671 | 11.0 |
| 1921. |  |  |  |
| January. | 61 | 148, 023 | 16.4 |
|  | 63 62 | 248,431 421,140 | 28.0 50.1 |
| Winter underwear (March) |  | 102, 415 | 31.0 |
| Summer underwear (March) |  | 318, 725 | 62.5 |

Order and production report for month ending March 31, 1921, follows. The number of mills reporting was 46 .

|  | Dozens. | Per cent of normal production. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unfilled orders 1st of month.. | 273, 962 |  |
| New orders received during month. | 371,657 | 61.5 |
| Total (A) | 645, 619 |  |
| Shipments during month. | 285, 537 | 47.3 |
| Cancellations during month | 5,389 | 0.89 |
| Total (B). | 290, 926 |  |
| Balance orders on hand Mar. 1 (A minus B).. | 354,693 |  |
| Production.................................. | 315, 159 | 52.1 |

Thirty-eight representative mills which reported for February and March furnish the data for the following tables:

| [In dozens.] |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | February (38 mills) | March $(38$ mills $)$. | Gain. | Loss. |
| Unfilled orders 1st of month. | 588, 127 | 269, 104 |  | 319,023 |
| New orders.......... | 205, 260 | 284, 712 | 79,452 |  |
| Shipments... | 175, 226 | 266, 823 | 91, 597 |  |
| Cancellations. | 147,822 | 5, ${ }^{5173}$ | 3, 314 |  |
| Production... | 147, 822 | 261,934 | 114, 112 |  |

FINISHED COTTON FABRICS.
The National Association of Finishers of Cotton Fabrics, at the request of the Federal Reserve Board, have arranged for a monthly survey within the industry. The results of the inquiries are herewith presented in tabular form. The secretary of the association makes the following statement concerning the tabulation:

The accompanying figures are compiled from statistics furnished by 34 out of 58 member firms of this association. It is probably fair to state that in the absence of having specific detail at hand, but according to our best estimate, it is probably well within the fact that the figures given for the various classes of work would cover approximately the following percentages of the entire industry: White goods, 72 per cent; dyed goods, 62 per cent; printed goods, 32 per cent. The figures given represent reports from exactly the same finishers for the two months, both for the totals and for the subdivisions and, therefore, are strictly comparable.
Note.-Many plants were unable to give details under the respective headings of white goods, dyed goods, and printed goods, and reported their totals only; therefore the column headed "Total" does not always represent the total of the subdivisions, but is a correct total for the district.

PRODUCTION AND SHIPMENTS OF FINISHED COTTON FABRICS.


## RETAIL TRADE.

In the following tables is given a summary of the data obtained from representative department stores in each Federal Reserve district, showing the activity of retail trade during the past several months. In district Nos. 1, 5, $6,7,9,11$, and 12 the data were received in (and averages computed from) actual amounts (dollars). In districts Nos. 2, 3, 4, 8, and 10 the material was received in the form of percentages, the 1921 averages for the cities and districts computed from such percentages being
weighted according to volume of business done during the calendar year 1920, and the averages for the several months in 1920 by similar figures for the calendar year 1919. For the month of March the tables are based on reports from 24 stores in district No. 1, 37 in district No. 2, 47 in district No. 3, 15 in district No. 4, 25 in district No. 5, 11 in district No. 6, 19 in district No. 7, 11 in district No. 8, 19 in district No. 9, 16 in district No. 10, 18 in district No. 11, and 28 in district No. 12. The number of stores varies somewhat, due to the inclusion of newstores from time to time in the reporting list.

CONDITION OF RETAIL TRADE IN THE 12 FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICTS.

| District and city. | Percentage of increase in net sales as compared with corresponding period previous year. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July, } \\ & 1920 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug. } \\ & 1920 . \end{aligned}$ | Sept. 1920. | Oct., <br> 1920. | Nov., 1920. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec., } \\ & 1920 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan., } \\ & \text { 1921. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Feb., } \\ & 1921 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { M } \\ 1921 . \end{array}$ | July 1, 1920, to close of- |  |  |  |  |  | Jan. 1, 1921, to close of - |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July, } \\ & 1920 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug. } \\ & 1920 . \end{aligned}$ | Sept., 1920. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct., } \\ & 1920 . \end{aligned}$ | Nov., | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dee., } \\ & 1920 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \mathrm{Jan} ., \\ 1921 . \end{array}$ | Feb., 1921. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar., } \\ & \text { 1921. } \end{aligned}$ |
| District No. 1: Boston.. | 16.3 | 11.6 | 16.0 | 0.1 | 15.0 | 1.4 | 5.4 | 10.8 | 2.1 | 16.3 | 24.1 | 15.9 | 9.7 | 11.0 | 8.5 | 5.4 | 7.7 | 5.5 |
| Outside. | 27.2 | 9.1 | 14.7 | 2.2 | 1.7 | 16.4 | 10.1 | 16.0 | 1.9 | 27.2 | 18.1 | 16.9 | 11.1 | 9.0 | 8.0 5.0 | ${ }_{1} 10.1$ | 19.1 | 5.5 16.2 |
| District. | 19.9 | 10.9 | 15.4 | . 6 | 11.4 | ${ }^{1} .4$ | 1.5 | 6.5 | 1.5 | 19.9 | 15.1 | 15.2 | 10.1 | 10.4 | 7.7 | 1.5 | 3.5 | 2.7 |
| District No. 2: <br> N. Y.City and Brooklyn. <br> Outside. | $\begin{aligned} & 22.4 \\ & 26.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.9 \\ & 26.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.2 \\ & 16.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.7 \\ 15.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7.6 \\ 18.9 \end{array}$ | 13.6 5.9 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1.5 \\ & 1.5 \end{aligned}$ | 11.1 9.5 | 15.8 <br> 1.3 | 22.4 26.9 | $\begin{aligned} & 13.0 \\ & 27.1 \end{aligned}$ | 33.6 23.4 | 5.2 19.6 | 3.0 22.8 | 3.2 14.3 | 16.5 11.5 | 14.6 1.6 | 14.5 .7 |
| District | 24.4 | 15.9 | 3.6 | 6.2 | 11.6 | 11.6 | 15.3 | 1.0 | 14.6 | 24.4 | 17.5 | 10.2 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 5.5 | 15.3 | 13.5 | ${ }^{13.4}$ |
| District No. 3: Philadelphia. |  |  |  |  | 8.5 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 3.4 | . 9 |  |  |  |  | 12.0 | 9.1 | 2.9 | 4.4 | 2.0 |
| Outside. |  |  |  |  | 8.5 | 10.8 | 3.3 | 4.7 | 4.6 |  |  |  |  | 18.5 | 21.6 | 3.3 | 1.7 | 2.1 |
| District. | 23.8 | 22.6 | 15.2 | 15.8 | 8.5 | 6.1 | 3.1 | 3.8 | 1.8 | 23.8 | 24.9 | 19.6 | 17.3 | 14.6 | 14.1 | 3.1 | 3.6 | 2.0 |
| District No. 4. | 29.9 | 25.7 | 24.6 | 20.8 | 26.1 | 14.7 | 3.6 | 6.4 | 1.4 | 29.9 | 27.3 | 25.9 | 23.9 | 24.8 | 22.0 | 3.6 |  | 4.3 |
| District No. 5: Baltimore. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5.9 | 5.3 | 12.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5.9 | 4.6 | 1.9 |
| Richmond. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14.2 | 14.2 | 6.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14.2 | 4.2 | 5.6 |
| Washington |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9.3 | 8.4 | 5.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9.3 | 8.8 | 7.4 |
| Other cities |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.0 | 15.9 | 9.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.0 | 9.4 | 10.8 |
| District | 15.7 | 20.9 | 7.5 | 12.4 | 13.1 | 4.9 | 5.4 | 8.6 | 2.7 | 15.7 | 21.1 | 14.1 | 13.6 | 13.5 | 10.4 | 5.4 | 6.4 | 5.2 |
| District No. 6. | 11.6 | 27.6 | 12.6 | 25.1 | 14.6 | 3.0 | 19.0 | 1.4 | 15.6 | 11.6 | 19.6 | 16.9 | 19.7 | 18.8 | 15.3 | 19.0 | ${ }^{1} 5.4$ | ${ }^{1} 4.7$ |
| District No. 7 | 41.2 | 33.2 | 28.6 | 8.3 | 17.6 | 9.6 | ${ }^{1} 10.5$ | ${ }_{1}^{1} 5.4$ | ${ }^{1} 3.2$ | 41.2 | 29.6 | 35.5 | 32.6 | 24.2 | 18.1 | ${ }^{1} 10.5$ | $1{ }^{1} 4.7$ | 13.3 |
| District No. 8 | 11.6 | 20.8 | 11.8 | 10.5 | 10.8 | 5.3 | 13.8 12.3 | ${ }_{1}^{1} 3.1$ | 1.7 211.2 | 11.6 | 18.0 | 16.8 | 16.5 | ${ }_{1}^{13.8}$ | 13.3 7.6 | $1 \begin{aligned} & 13.8 \\ & 112.3\end{aligned}$ | 13.7 | ${ }_{1}^{11.7}$ |
| District No. 10. | 14.1 | 8.9 | 7.8 | 11.9 | 9.2 | 15.1 | 111.6 |  | 16.1 | 14.1 | 14.2 | 11.1 | 5.2 | 12.9 | . . | 111.6 | 11.8 | 11.8 13.9 |
| District No. 11. | 25.9 | 25.6 | 12.4 | 16.0 | 12.7 | 12.9 | 18.9 | 14.6 | 116.0 | 25.9 | 25.2 | 20.9 | 21.6 | 17.9 | 10.5 | 18.9 | 18.4 | 111.4 |
| District No. 12: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| San Francisco | ${ }_{21.0}^{35.1}$ | 18.6 | 10.4 | 11.5 | ${ }_{91.2}$ | 13.8 | 112.1 | 14.6 | ${ }_{13.9} 1$ | 35.1 21.0 | 19.6 | 17.3 | 33.9 15.4 | 11.7 | 28.5 8.7 | ${ }_{1}^{12.1} 1$ | 13.3 16.5 | 11.9 |
| Oakland..... | 16.9 | 15.3 |  | 22.0 | 7.2 |  | 110.4 | 8.2 | ${ }^{1} .9$ | 16.9 | 14.7 |  | 21.3 | 18.2 |  | 110.4 | 12.2 | 9.8 |
| Sacramento. | 20.1 | 3.1 |  | 9.9 |  | 2.3 | 116.8 |  | 16.2 | 20.1 | 13.3 |  | 16.1 |  | 7.0 | 16.8 |  | 14.2 |
| Seattle.. | . 2 | 18.0 | 14.5 | 114.6 | 14.6 | 118.7 | 123.4 | 12.4 | 14.6 |  | 14.4 | 14.2 | 17.3 | 19.2 | ${ }^{2} 11.1$ | 123.4 | 19.3 | ${ }^{1} 15.6$ |
| Spokane. | 22.7 | 12.7 |  | $4.6$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.6 \\ 147 \end{array}$ | 11.5 | 10.1 | 12.2 | 4.6 | 22.7 | 16.8 |  | 9.4 14.0 | 8. ${ }^{8}$ | 8.6 | ${ }^{1} 10.1$ |  | 1.3 |
| Salt Lake City | 20.6 | 11.6 |  | 8.9 | $14.7$ | 9.1 | 1.6 | 15.2 | 1.7 | 20.6 | 16.1 |  | 14.0 | 12.2 | 14.6 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 6.0 |
| District. | 21.2 | 21.7 | 14.5 | 8.2 | 11.3 | 14.3 | 14.3 | 12.4 | . 6 | 21.2 | 21.4 | 19.8 | 16.8 | 14.8 | 5.0 | 14.3 | 15.9 | 1.6 |

1 Decrease.

CONDITION OF RETAIL TRADE IN THE 12 FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICTS-Continued.


1 Decrease.

CONDITION OF RETAIL TRADE IN THE 12 FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICTS-Continued.


## WHOLESALE TRADE.

PERCENTAGE OF INCREASE (OR DECREASE) IN NET SALES IN MARCH, 1921, AS COMPARED WITH THE PRECEDING MONTH (FEBRUARY, 1921).

| District. | Groceries. |  | Dry goods. |  | Hardware. |  | Boots and shoes. |  | Furniture. |  | Drugs. |  | Stationery. |  | Farm implements. |  | Auto tires. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per cent. | Number of firms. | Por cent. | Number of firms. | Per cent. | Number of firms. | Per cent. | Number of firms. | Per cent. | Number of firms. | Per cent. | Number of firms. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per } \\ \text { cent. } \end{gathered}$ | Number of firms | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { cent. } \end{aligned}$ | Number of flrms. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per } \\ \text { cent. } \end{gathered}$ | Num. ber of firms. |
| No. 3...... | +18.6 | 50 |  |  | +30.2 | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No.5...... | +8.6 | 9 | $+14.7$ | 8 | +35.2 | 8 | +53.4 | 8 | -22.4 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No.6...... | +7.2 | 10 | +35.6 | 13 | +6.0 | 8 | +72.6 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. 10..... | $+19.5$ | 4 |  |  | $+65.5$ | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No.11.... | $-0.7$ | 4 | $+16.1$ | 4 | $-29.3$ | 2 |  |  |  |  | + 7.3 | 4 |  |  | -18.4 | 2 |  |  |
| No.12..... | $+20.9$ | 30 | +28.5 | 11 | $+33.9$ | 23 | +68.4 | 15 | $+19.7$ |  | +14.3 | 12 | $+1.3$ | 20 |  |  | $+46.7$ | 14 |

PERCENTAGE OF INCREASE (OR DECREASE) IN NET SALES IN MARCH, 1921, AS COMPARED WITH MARCH, 1920.

| District. | Groceries. |  | Dry goods. |  | Hardware. |  | Shoes. |  | Furniture. |  | Drugs. |  | Stationery. |  | $\underset{\text { ments. }}{\text { Farm imple- }}$ |  | Auto tires. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per cent. | Number of firms. | Per cent. | Numfirms. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per } \\ \text { cent. } \end{gathered}$ | Number of firms. | Per cent. | Number of firms. | Per cent. | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Num- } \\ \text { ber of } \\ \text { firms. } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { cent. } \end{aligned}$ | Number of firms. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { cent. } \end{aligned}$ | Number of firms. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per } \\ \text { cent. } \end{gathered}$ | Number of firms | Per | Number of firms. |
| No. 3 | $-27.9$ | 50 |  |  | -19.2 | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. 4 | -33.1 | 14 | $-14.9$ | 8 | $-16.3$ | 7 |  |  |  |  | -29.2 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. 5 | -23.9 <br> -33.6 | 10 | -38.3 -41.7 | 8 | - 24.1 | 8 | -25.4 |  | -66.5 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\stackrel{\text { No. }}{ } \mathbf{N}$ | -33.6 -25.0 | 12 | -41.7 | 13 13 | -45.6 | 8 | -37.6 <br> -31.6 | 7 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. 10 | -22.7 | 4 |  | 13 | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. 11 | -42.1 | 4 | -34.0 | 4 | -37.7 | 2 |  |  |  |  | -29.1 | 4 |  |  | -88.0 | 2 |  |  |
| No. 12. | - 7.3 | 30 | -29.1 | 11 | -33.6 | 23 | $-16.0$ | 16 | -30.6 |  | -10.4 | 12 | $-9.7$ | 24 |  |  | -47.4 | 15 |

## COMPARATIVE WHOLESALE PRICE LEVELS IN PRINCIPAL COUNTRIES.

The foreign index numbers published below are constructed by various statistical offices according to methods described in most cases in the Bulletin for January, 1920. A description of the method used in the construction of the Swedish index number appeared in the Bulletin for February, 1921, for the new Italian index number in the April, 1921, issue of the Bulletin, and the method used by the Frankfurter Zeitung in the case of the German index number was described in the Bulletins for February and March, 1921. Complete information regarding the computation of the United States Bureau of Labor Statistics appears in the publications of that bureau, and a description of the index number of the Federal Reserve Board may be found in the Bulletin for May, 1920. The new British index number, compiled by the Board of Trade, will be found on p. 602 of this issue of the Bulletin. It is
not included in the table below as it can not be referred back to a definite prewar base. In the case of the two American index numbers, 1913 is used as the basis in the original computations. In the other cases in which 1913 appears as the basis for the computation the index numbers have been shifted from their original bases. The computations in these cases are, therefore, only approximately correct. In a few cases July, 1914, or the year immediately preceding that, is used as the base. The figures are for the most part received by cable, and the latest ones are subject to revision. In cases where the index numbers were available they have been published for the war years in various issues of the Bulletin in 1920.

In the following table the all-commodities index numbers for the whole series of countries appear together to facilitate the study of comparative price levels.

INDEX NUMBERS OF WHOLESALE PRICES (ALL COMMODITIES).

$a$ A verage for the month. $\quad b$ End of month. $\quad c$ Middle of month. $\quad a$ Beginning of month but not always the first.
1 Index numbers for 1920 and thereafter based upon prices of 76 commodities. Computations arrived at by the method described on p. 465 of
$\underset{2}{ } \quad$ July 1,1913 , to June $30,1914=100 . \quad 3$ July, $1914=100 . \quad 4$ End of July, $1914=100 . \quad 6$ Middle of $1914=100 . \quad 6$ Last six months of 1917.

In the following tables the index numbers for individual groups of commodities are given for each country separately. Reference should be made to the preceding table for the "all commodities" indexes. In the case of the Italian group index numbers, Prof. Bachi's
new computations only are given. These can not be referred back to the 1913 base and it is therefore impossible to compare present levels with prewar levels in these cases. No group index numbers are compiled by the Bank of Japan.

GROUP INDEX NUMBERS-UNITED STATES, BUREAU OF LABOR STATISTICS.
[1913=100.]

| Date. | Farm products. | Food, etc. | Cloths and clothing. | Fuel and lighting. | Metals and metal products. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Lumber } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { building } \\ \text { materiai. } \end{gathered}$ | Chemicals and drugs. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { House } \\ & \text { furnishing } \\ & \text { goods. } \end{aligned}$ | Miscellaneous. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1913. | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 1914. | 103 | 103 | 98 | 96 | 87 | 97 | 101 | 99 | 99 |
| 1915. | 105 | 104 | 100 | 93 | 97 | 94 | 114 | 99 | 99 |
| 1916. | 122 | 126 | 128 | 119 | 148 | 101 | 159 | 115 | 120 |
| 1917. | 189 | 176 | 181 | 175 | 208 | 124 | 198 | 144 | 155 |
| 1918. | - 220 | 189 | 239 | 163 | 181 | 151 | 221 | 196 | 193 |
| 1919. | 234 | 210 | 261 | 173 | 161 | 192 | 179 | 236 | 217 |
| 1920. | 218 | 239 | 302 | 238 | 186 | 308 | 210 | 366 | 236 |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| March. | 239 | 246 | 356 | 192 | 192 | 325 | 205 | 329 | 230 |
| April.. | 246 | 270 | 353 | 213 | 195 | 341 | 212 | 331 | 238 |
| May... | 244 | 287 | 347 | 235 | 193 | 341 | 215 | 339 | 246 |
| June. | 243 | 279 | 335 | 246 | 190 | 337 | 218 | 362 | 247 |
| July... | 236 | 268 | 317 | 252 | 191 | 333 | 217 | 362 | 243 |
| August..... | 222 | 235 | 299 | 268 | 193 | 328 | 216 | 363 | 240 |
| September.. | 210 | 223 | 278 | 284 | 192 | 318 | 222 | 371 | 239 |
| October.... | 182 | 204 | 257 | 282 | 184 | 313 | 216 | 371 | 229 |
| November. | 165 | 195 | 234 | 258 | 170 | 274 | 207 | 369 | 220 |
| December... | 144 | 172 | 220 | 236 | 157 | 266 | 188 | 346 | 205 |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January.... | 136 | 162 | 205 | 234 | 152 | 239 | 182 | 283 | 190 |
| February.. | 129 | 150 | 198 | 218 | 146 | 222 | 178 | 277 | 180 |
| March..... | 125 | 150 | 192 | 207 | 139 | 208 | 171 | 275 | 167 |
| April... | 115 | 141 | 186 | 199 | 138 | 203 | 168 | 274 | 154 |

ALL COMMODITIES AND GROUP INDEX NUMBERS-UNITED KINGDOM, BOARD OF TRADE.


GROUP INDEX NUMBERS-UNITED KINGDOM, STATIST.
[1913=100.]

| Date. | Vegetable foods. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ani- } \\ \text { mal } \\ \text { foods. } \end{gathered}$ | Sugar coffee, tea. | Foodstuffs. | Min- | Tex- | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sun- } \\ & \text { dries. } \end{aligned}$ | Mate- rials. | Date. | Vegetable foods. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ani- } \\ \text { mal } \\ \text { foods. } \end{gathered}$ | Sugar, coffee, tea. | Foodstufis. | Minerals. | $\underset{\text { Tex- }}{\text { tiles }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sun- } \\ & \text { dried. } \end{aligned}$ | Mate rials. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1913 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1914 | 110 | 100 | 107 | 105 | 90 | 97 | 105 |  | July. | 343 | 278 | 425 | 325 | 276 | 298 | 277 | 283 |
| 1915 | 155 | 125 | 130 | 137 | 109 | 111 | 131 | 119 | August | 317 | 295 | 404 | 319 | 281 | 298 | 278 | 285 |
| 1916 | 193 | 152 | 161 | 169 | 140 | 152 | 163 | 153 | September | 319 | 291 | 334 | 308 | 283 | 286 | 279 | 282 |
| 1917 | 252 | 192 | 213 | 218 | 152 | 228 | 212 | 198 | October. | 334 | 290 | 257 | 302 | 276 | 261 | 268 | 266 |
| 1918 | 248 | 210 | 238 | 229 | 167 | 265 | 243 | 225 | November | 308 | 293 | 238 | 291 | 265 | 212 | 253 | 245 |
| 1919. | 252 | 215 | 275 | 238 | 190 | 271 | 268 | 243 | December. | 257 | 262 | 212 | 253 | 254 | 205 | 248 | 237 |
| 1920......... | 321 | 264 | 366 | 301 | 269 | 299 | 290 | 285 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1920 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | January. | 234 | 283 | 192 | 251 | 225 | 198 | 230 | 219 |
| March. | 342 | 237 | 393 | 300 | 263 | 360 | 318 | 312 | February | 206 | 270 | 187 | 234 | 200 | 179 | 224 | 203 |
| April | 346 | 265 | 392 | 315 | 263 | 354 | 321 | 311 | March | 214 | 262 | 182 | 232 | 179 | 171 | 221 | 193 |
| May. | 351 359 | 244 | 4 | 318 | $\stackrel{273}{269}$ | 308 308 | 311 282 | ${ }_{285}^{298}$ | April.... | 212 | 249 | 180 | 225 | 176 | 172 | 199 | 184 |
| June | 359 | 244 | 496 | 325 | 269 | 308 | 282 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

GROUP INDEX NUMBERS-FRANCE, GENERAL STATISTICAL BUREAU.
$[1913=100$.

| Date. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ani- } \\ \text { mal } \\ \text { foods. } \end{gathered}$ | Vegetable foods. | Sugar, coffee, and cocoa. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Foods } \\ (20) . \end{gathered}$ | Min- | Textiles. | Sun- | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Raw } \\ & \text { mate- } \\ & \text { rials } \\ & \text { (25). } \end{aligned}$ | Date. | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Ani- } \\ \text { mal } \\ \text { foods. } \end{array}\right\|$ | Vegetable foods. | Sugar coffee, and cocoa. | Foods $(20)$ | Minerals. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tex- } \\ & \text { tile } \end{aligned}$ | Sun- dries | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Raw } \\ & \text { mate- } \\ & \text { rials } \\ & (25) . \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1913 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1914. | 103 | 103 | 106 | 104 | 98 | 109 | 99 | 101 | July. | 501 | 370 | 405 | 432 | 469 | 746 | 500 | 548 |
| 1915 | 126 | 126 | 151 | 131 | 164 | 132 | 145 | 145 | August | 515 | 359 | 399 | 432 | 475 | 737 | 524 | 558 |
| 1916. | 162 | 170 | 164 | 167 | 232 | 180 | 199 | 206 | September | 531 | 412 | 544 | 487 | 468 | 715 | 540 | 558 |
| 1917 | 215 | 243 | 201 | 225 | 271 | 303 | 302 | 291 | October. | 533 | 421 | 422 | 469 | 453 | 637 | 527 | 528 |
| 1918 | 286 | 298 | 231 | 281 | 283 | 460 | 420 | 387 | November | 519 | 390 | 377 | 442 | 424 | 510 | 498 | 476 |
| 1919. | 392 | 313 | 253 | 336 | 272 | 444 | 405 | 373 | December | 515 | 360 | 355 | 424 | 385 | 475 | 471 | 444 |
| 1920 | 503 | 427 | 422 | 459 | 449 | 737 | 524 | 550 | 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | January.. | 483 | 334 | 337 | 397 | 341 | 460 | 445 | 415 |
| March | 500 | 516 | 439 | 498 | 460 | 884 | 548 | 600 | February. | 452 | 317 | 338 | 378 | 300 | 398 | 422 | 378 |
| April | 522 | 511 480 | 447 424 | 506 472 | 507 459 | ${ }_{8}^{953} 8$ | 508 601 | 653 | March | 406 389 | 322 <br> 337 | 367 359 | ${ }_{364}^{366}$ | 289 281 | 375 314 | 382 371 | 355 328 |
| June. | 482 | 400 | 392 | 434 | 428 | 734 | 517 | 540 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



GROUP INDEX NUMBERS-SWEDEN, SVENSK HANDELSTIDNING.
[Julv 1, 1913-June 30, 1914=100.]

| Date. | Vegetable food. | Animal food. | Ravr mate rials for agriculture | Coal. | Metals. | Building material. | Wood pulp. | Hides and leathrr. | Textiles. | Oils. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1913-14. | 100 | 100 | 100 | 1 CO | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| $1914{ }^{1}$. | 136 | 191 | 114 | 123 | 109 | 101 |  | 118 | 103 | 111 |
| 1915. | 151 | 140 | 161 | 177 | 166 | 118 | 116 | 158 | 116 | 120 |
| 1916. | 152 | 182 | 180 | 266 | 272 | 165 | 233 | 229 | 166 | 149 |
| 1917. | 181 | 205 | 198 | 551 | 405 | 215 | 267 | 206 | 247 | 212 |
| 1918.. | 221 | 419 | 304 | 856 | 398 | 275 | 300 | 195 |  |  |
| 1919.. | 261 | 109 | 340 | 804 | 258 | 286 | 308 | 211 |  | ... |
| 1920... | 262 | 296 | 312 | 1,007 | 278 | 371 | 675 | 215 | 324 | 294 |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| March.. | 270 | 304 | 318 | 960 | 291 | 367 | 682 | ${ }^{268}$ | 380 | 275 |
| April.. | 265 | 284 | 320 | 1,008 | 283 | 367 | 767 | 263 | 368 | 275 |
| May.. | 269 | 283 | 320 | 1,069 | 324 | 367 | 788 | 252 | 374 | 275 |
| June. | 250 | 273 | 311 | 1,252 | 318 | 381 | 778 | 212 | 368 | 303 |
| July... | 252 | 277 | 312 | 1,252 | ${ }_{298}^{293}$ | 388 | 767 | ${ }_{191} 2$ | 336 | 303 |
| August....... | 271 | 307 | 319 | 1,117 | 286 <br> 73 | 388 | 756 | 191 | 328 | 322 |
| September.. | ${ }_{258}^{273}$ | 312 | 308 | 1,085 | ${ }_{25}^{273}$ | 388 | 753 740 | 180 | 310 | 349 |
| October..... | 258 | 306 | 309 | 1,026 | ${ }_{2}^{256}$ | 380 | 740 609 | 166 161 | 250 | 340 |
| November.... | 244 | ${ }_{293}^{290}$ | 303 301 | 910 602 | $\stackrel{253}{247}$ | 338 362 | 609 598 | 161 156 | 206 206 | ${ }_{328}^{332}$ |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January.. | 244 | 265 | 281 | 371 | 230 | 320 | 520 | 131 | 169 | 328 |
| February. | 231 | 241 | 248 | 362 | 204 | 319 | 511 | 108 | 147 | 318 |
| March.... | 238 | 2229 | 240 236 | 279 291 | 185 178 | 298 236 | 510 | 85 84 | 134 125 | ${ }_{264}^{268}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 264 |

1 Averago for 6 months ending Dec. 31, 1914,


GROUP INDEX NUMBERS-CANADA, DEPARTMENT OF LABOR.

| Date. | Grains and fodder. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Animals } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { meats. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dairy } \\ \text { products. } \end{gathered}$ | Fruits and vege- tables. | Other foods. | Textiles. | Hides, leather, etc. | Metals. | Implements. | Building materials, lumber. | Fuel and lighting. | Drugs and cals. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1913. | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 114 | 107 | 100 |  | 104 | 102 | 105 | 96 | 101 | 100 |  | 106 |
| 1915. | 136 | 104 | 105 | 93 | 121 | 114 | 110 | 128 | 106 | 97 | 92 | 160 |
| 1916.. | 142 | 121 | 119 | 130 | 136 | 148 | 143 | 167 | 128 | 100 | 113 | 222 |
| 1917.. | 206 | 161 | 149 | 233 | 180 | 201 | 168 | 217 | 174 | 118 | 163 | 236 |
| 1918... | 231 | 197 | 168 | 214 | 213 | 273 | 169 | 229 | 213 | 147 | 188 | 250 |
| 1919.. | 227 | 199 | 192 | 206 | 222 | 285 | 213 | 173 | 228 | 171 | 201 | 205 |
| 1920.......... | 263 | 198 | 204 | 261 | 258 | 303 | 191 | 203 | 245 | 268 | 255 | 204 |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| March. | 280 | 198 | 206 | 295 | 254 | 322 | 222 | 210 | 237 | 268 | 215 | 194 |
| April.. | 291 | 200 | 196 | 316 | 264 | 328 | 239 | 214 | 237 | 268 | 245 | 201 |
| May... | 301 | 207 | 189 | ${ }_{338}$ | 275 | 332 | 210 | ${ }_{207}^{213}$ | $\begin{array}{r}237 \\ 238 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 294 | 279 | 206 |
| Junly... | 302 | 211 | 194 | 395 298 | 283 | 305 | 178 | 209 | 242 | 282 | 294 | 218 |
| August.. | 271 | 204 | 198 | 216 | 277 | 300 | 173 | 209 | 243 | 285 | 298 | 218 |
| September. | 254 | 202 | 202 | 190 | 261 | 296 | 161 | 207 | 259 | 273 | 296 | 217 |
| October.... | 229 | 194 | 207 | 177 | 249 | 292 | 156 | 203 | 259 | 265 | 295 | 211 |
| November. | 201 190 | 179 | 221 | 203 189 | ${ }_{223}^{236}$ | 251 | 153 141 | 178 | 259 259 | ${ }_{252}^{265}$ | 269 | 201 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January..... | 186 | 175 | 216 | 184 | 212 | 228 | 131 | 174 | 257 | 248 | 247 | 196 |
| February...... | 171 | 171 | 185 | 163 | 205 | 204 | 126 | 167 | 257 | 239 | 234 | 188 |
| March...... | 168 | 171 | 174 | 175 | 203 | 198 | 112 | 158 | 243 | 231 | 233 | 181 |

1 Unimportant groups omitted.
GROUP INDEX NUMBERS-CALCUTTA, INDIA, DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS.
[End of July, 1914=100.

| Date. |  |  | Metals. | Hides and skins. | Cotton manutures. | Raw cotton. |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Other } \\ & \text { tex- } \\ & \text { tiles. } \end{aligned}$ | Oils, mustard. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Raw } \\ & \text { jute. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oil } \\ \text { seeds. } \end{gathered}$ | Tea. | Sugar. | Pulses. | Cereals. | Other foods. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| End of July, 1914.. | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| $1920 .$ <br> A verage for the year. | 138 | 231 | 238 | 147 | 354 | 153 | 149 | 162 | 128 | 104 | 173 | 78 | 407 | 166 | 154 | 184 |
| March.............. | 127 | 218 | 222 | 211 | 351 | 179 | 150 | 159 | 135 | 118 | 166 | 87 | 321 | 160 | 151 | 192 |
| April. | 114 | 201 | 219 | 209 | 357 | 158 | 170 | 161 | 116 | 119 | 163 | 90 | 377 | 159 | 156 | 185 |
| May. | 128 | 215 | 248 | 160 | 365 | 135 | 142 | 164 | 123 | 120 | 169 | 90 | 511 | 150 | 157 | 183 |
| June.. | 131 | 233 | 244 | 116 | 364 | 144 | 147 | 164 | 119 | 83 | 171 | 73 | 482 | 149 | 156 | 180 |
| July.. | 139 | 235 | 249 | 100 | 364 | 132 | 151 | 168 | 119 | 89 | 169 | 74 | 503 | 159 | 151 | 188 |
| August. | 142 | 235 | 257 | 99 | 360 | 139 | 163 | 168 | 115 | 91 | 167 | 72 | 477 | 160 | 154 | 185 |
| September. | 158 | 237 | 245 | 105 | 347 | 154 | 163 | 164 | 115 | 105 | 179 | 65 | 456 | 170 | 154 | 186 |
| October. | 154 | 282 | 245 | 96 | 343 | 142 | 136 | 164 | 132 | 104 | 184 | 64 | 392 | 169 | 155 | 178 |
| November. | 161 | 246 | 243 | 89 | 341 | 133 | 118 | 164 | 118 | 90 | 163 | 62 | 348 | 168 | 150 | 173 |
| December.. | 161 | 229 | 242 | 90 | 333 | 116 | 107 | 156 | 124 | 83 | 152 | 69 | 273 | 149 | 139 | 160 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1921 . \\ \text { January..... } \end{array}$ | 158 | 238 | 247 |  |  | 107 | 104 | 149 |  | 85 | 130 | 77 |  | 135 |  |  |
| February.. | 147 | 226 | 243 | 80 | 306 | 104 | 101 | 149 | 97 | 80 | 124 | 70 | 352 | 119 | 129 | 148 |
| March.... | 147 | 242 | 255 | 97 | 311 | 119 | 97 | 123 | 110 | 85 | 131 | 76 | 354 | 147 | 141 | 150 |

## COMPARATIVE RETAIL PRICES IN PRINCIPAL COUNTRIES.

In the following table are presented statistics showing the trend of retail prices and the cost of living in the United States and important European countries. Three of these index numbers-those for the United Kingdom, Paris, and Sweden-are constructed on the basis of prices in July, $1914=100$. In the case of the United States, the original base, that of the year 1913, has been shifted to the July, 1914 base. The German index has not been computed for the prewar period, the base being July 15 to August 15, 1919.
The American index number, constructed by the Bureau of Labor Statistics, was based upon the retail prices of 22 articles of food, weighted according to family consumption, until January, 1921, when it was increased to 43 articles reported by dealers in 51 important cities. The method of weighting continues the same for the larger number of commodities, although the actual "weights" applied have been changed.
The British index number of the cost of living constructed by the Ministry of Labor consists of the retail prices of not only foodstuffs but of other articles as well. Retail clothing prices, rents, and the cost of fuel, lighting, and miscellaneous household items are also taken into consideration. The index number is weighted according to the importance of the items in the budgets of working-class families.
The retail price index for Paris, compiled by the French General Statistical Office, consists of retail prices of 13 different commodities, weighted according to the average annual consumption of a working man's family of four persons. Eleven of the commodities included in this index are foods, and the other two are kerosene and alcohol.
The Swedish index number consists of the retail prices of foodstuffs, fuel, and lighting and is based upon the prices of 51 articles in 44 towns (in 1920, 50 articles in 49 towns), weighted according to the budget of a working
man's family which before the war had a yearly income of 2,000 kroner.

The German index is that prepared by Dr. Silbergleit, of the Berlin Statistical Office, and is based upon the number of marks required to purchase the minimum amount of food needed by one growing person weekly.

RETAIL PRICES IN THE UNITED STATES, PARIS, AND SWEDEN; COST OF LIVING IN UNITED KINGDOM.
[July, 1914=100.]

| , | United States, retail prices. 1 | United Kingdom, cost of living. ${ }^{2}$ | Paris, retail prices. ${ }^{1}$ | Sweden, retail prices. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1919. | 182 | 216 | 260 | 321 |
| 1920. | 199 | 249 | 371 | 298 |
| 1920 |  |  |  |  |
| March . | 196 | 230 | 339 | 291 |
| April. | 207 | 232 | 358 | 297 |
| May.. | 211 | 241 | 378 | 294 |
| June. | 215 | 250 | 369 | 294 |
| July. | 215 | 252 | 373 | 297 |
| August | 203 | 255 | 373 | 308 |
| September | 199 | 261 | 407 | 307 |
| October... | 194 | 264 | 420 | 306 |
| November | 189 | 276 | 426 | 303 |
| December. | 175 | 269 | 424 | 294 |
| 1921 |  |  |  |  |
| January.... | 169 | 265 | 410 | 283 |
| February. | 155 | 251 | 382 | 262 |
| March... | 1.53 | 241 | 358 | 253 |
| April... |  | 233 |  | ......... |

${ }^{1}$ Average for the month. Beginning of month.
WEEKLY COST OF FOOD PER PERSON IN BERLIN.
[July 15-Aug. $15,1919=100$.]
1920 (average) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 239

March. ...................................................... . . . . . 255
April.............................................................. . . . . . . . . . 269

June......................................................... . . . . 255
July.............................................................. . . . . . . 235

September................................................ . . . 217
October.............. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 226
November......... . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 227
December.................................................... . . . . 232
1921:
January.................... . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 231
February....................................................... . . . . 219

## FOREIGN TRADE-UNITED KINGDOM, FRANCE, ITALY, SWEDEN, AND JAPAN.

In the following table are presented figures showing the monthly value of the foreign trade of a group of important European countries and Japan. Similar statistics for Germany are not available. Currencies have not been converted to a common unit, nor are methods of valuation the same in all countries. In England, imports are given current c. i. f. values in England; exports and reexports, current f. o. b. values. The same method is followed in Japan and Sweden. In France and Italy, on the other hand, the value of foreign trade is estimated not in terms of current prices but in terms of those of some earlier date, usually the preceding year.

None of the figures presented below include the import or export of gold and silver. In the case of England and France, group figures are given as well as total values, while in the case of the other countries, total values only are presented. This does not mean that group figures are not obtainable, merely that they are either delayed in publication or appear not to be of such general interest as the French and English material.

Japanese figures for recent months are received by cable and subject to revision.

FOREIGN TRADE OF UNITED KINGDOM.
[In thousands of pounds sterling.]

|  | Imports. |  |  |  |  | Exports. |  |  |  |  | $\underset{\text { exports. }}{\underset{\mathrm{Re}}{\mathrm{Re}}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Food, } \\ \text { drink, } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { tobacco. } \end{gathered}$ | Raw materials and articles mainly unmanu- factured. | Articles wholly or mainly manufactured. | Miscellaneous, including parcel post. | Total. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Food, } \\ & \text { drink, } \\ & \text { andd } \\ & \text { tobacco. } \end{aligned}$ | Raw materials and articles mainly unmanu- factured. | Articles wholly or mainly manufactured. | Miscellaneous, including parcel | Total. |  |
| 1913 monthly average. | 24,184 | 23,485 | 16,134 | 259 | 64,061 | 2,716 | 5,825 | 34,281 | 949 | 43,770 | 9,131 |
| 1919 monthly average. | 59,927 | 50,565 | 24,663 | 358 | 135, 513 | 2,814 | 9,274 | 53,457 | 1,008 | 66,553 | 13,729 |
| 1920 monthly average. | 63,948 | 59, 292 | 37,902 | 254 | 161,395 | 4,241 | 12,138 | 93,394 | 1,528 | 111,297 | 18,701 |
| March............... | 61, 808 | 76,540 | 38,156 | 144 | 176,648 | 4,280 | 14,482 | 83,287 | 1,551 | 103,699 | 27,031 |
| April. | 57,387 | 71,587 | 38,050 | 131 | 167, 154 | 3,959 | 12, 194 | 88,689 | 1,410 | 106,252 | 20,407 |
| мау. | 64,993 | 60,509 | 40, 580 | 252 | 166, 334 | 4,020 | 13,211 | 100,727 | 1,361 | 119,319 | 20,260 |
| June. | 67, 566 | 57,919 | 44,681 | 325 | 170,491 | 4,313 | 11, 447 | 99,081 | 1,512 | 116,352 | 20, 124 |
| July. | 69,571 | 51,899 | 41,923 | 450 | 163,842 | 4,515 | 12,551 | 118,954 | 1,432 | 137, 452 | 17,848 |
| August. | 61,785 | 51,268 | 40, 016 | 185 | 153, 255 | 3,503 | 10,467 | 99,645 | 1,288 | 114,903 | 13,368 |
| September | 67,269 | 44, 557 | 40, 573 | 293 | 152,692 | 4,311 | 9,515 | 102,216 | 1,415 | 117,456 | 13,351 |
| October. | 69,168 | 44, 299 | 36,267 | 156 | 149,889 | 4,678 | 9,632 | 95, 701 | 2,285 | 112, 295 | 16,134 |
| November. | 61,499 59 | 46,560 | 35, 955 | 246 | 144, 260 | 4,723 | 9,399 | 103,694 | 1,548 | 119, 365 | 13,115 |
| December. | 59,378 | 48,613 | 34,553 | 241 | 142,785 | 3,842 | 12,277 | 78,819 | 1,694 | 96, 631 | 12,699 |
| January 1921. |  |  |  | 420 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| February | 47,750 | - 25,504 | 23, 394 | ${ }_{326}$ | -96,974 | 3,075 | 5,881 | 58, 5177 | 1,089 | 68,222 | 8,004 |
| March... | 50,888 | 17, 739 | 24,930 | 184 | 93, 742 | 2,897 | 5,832 | 56,969 | 1,111 | 66,809 | 88888 |
| April ${ }^{\text {- }}$ |  |  |  |  | 89,996 |  |  |  |  | 59,868 | 8,524 |

${ }^{1}$ These figures are provisional.

## FOREIGN TRADE OF FRANCE. 1

[In thousands of francs.]

|  | Imports. |  |  |  | Exports. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Food. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Raw } \\ \text { materials. } \end{gathered}$ | Manufactured articles. | Total. | Food. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Raw } \\ \text { materials. } \end{gathered}$ | Manufactured articles. | Parcel post. | Total. |
| 1913 monthly average ${ }^{2}$. | 151,465 | $\begin{array}{r} 412,144 \\ 1,229,434 \\ 1,400.046 \end{array}$ | 138,169861,797 | 701,778$2,983,272$ | 69,90899,201 | 154,841203,691 | 301,420615,630 |  | 573,351 |
| 1919 monthly average ${ }^{8}$. | 892,$\mathbf{7 1 8}, 179$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 77, 4184 | 573, 989,966 |
| 1920 monthly average ${ }^{\text {s }}$. |  |  | 832,187 | 2,950, 413 | 184,277 | 397,677 | 1,187, 742 | 99, 867 | 1,869,563 |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| April... | $\begin{aligned} & 871,857 \\ & 675,799 \\ & 547,825 \\ & 55,951 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,478,987 \\ & 1,398,592 \\ & 1,193,960 \\ & 1,302,867 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 772,007 \\ & 813,216 \\ & 644,911 \\ & 726,856 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} 3,122,851 \\ 2,88,607 \\ 2,386,696 \\ 2,588,674 \end{array} \\ & 2,58 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 114,223 \\ & 125,678 \\ & 103,355 \\ & 216,849 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 353,344 \\ & 348,341 \\ & 341,361 \\ & 421,735 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 834,031 \\ 844,901 \\ 726,654 \\ 1,100,931 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 39,884 \\ & 52,987 \\ & 39,658 \\ & 69,862 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,37,36990 \\ & 1,31,910 \\ & 1,20,80,38 \\ & 1,809 \end{aligned}$ |
| мау.. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Aust. | $\begin{aligned} & 723,749 \\ & 608,822 \\ & 667,709 \\ & 549,834 \\ & 672,861 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,171,091 \\ & 1,294,160 \\ & 1,243,294 \\ & 1,389,928 \\ & 1,548,681 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 705,613 \\ & 724,894 \\ & 684,442 \\ & 732,416 \\ & 726,715 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,800,453 \\ & 2,627,876 \\ & 2,595,445 \\ & 2,672,178 \\ & 2,948,257 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 210,888 \\ & 229,892 \\ & 262,808 \\ & 200,308 \\ & 218,626 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 440,482 \\ & 446,131 \\ & 337,464 \\ & 405,858 \\ & 366,981 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,631,883 \\ 1,363,469 \\ 1,597,808 \\ 1,136,356 \\ 929,222 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 116,255 \\ & 112,081 \\ & 134,472 \\ & 140,996 \\ & 146,067 \end{aligned}$ | 2,399,508 <br> 2,151,573 <br> 1, 883,598 <br> 1, 660,896 |
| September |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| October. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| November |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| December. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January.. | 346,703 | 1, 101, 267 | $\begin{array}{r} 534,498 \\ 424,531 \\ 401,011 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,982,468 \\ & 1,613,931 \\ & 1,742,908 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 172,992 \\ & 162,901 \end{aligned}$ | 478, 661379,281 | $1,142,398$$1,1625,817$1,036 | 115,605108,074108,418 | $1,882,618$$1,899,444$$1,686,426$ |
| February. | 386,169 <br> 455,545 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^12]FOREIGN TRADE OF ITALY, SWEDEN, AND JAPAN.

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Italy. } \\ & \text { (In millions of } \\ & \text { lire.l) } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sweden. } \\ & \text { (In millions of } \\ & \text { kroner.) } \end{aligned}$ |  | Japan.(In millions ofyen.) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Imports. | Exports. | Imports. | Exports. | Imports. | Exports. |
| 1913, monthly average.. | 304 | 210 | 71 | 68 | 61 | 53 |
| 1919, monthly average.. | 1,385 1,322 | 506 650 | 211 281 |  | 181 | 175 |
| 132, monthly average. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January ................................... | 1,002 | 497 | 238 | 127 | 205 | 176 |
| February.... | 1,141 | 616 | 282 | 106 | 271 | 174 |
| March.... | 1,431 | 683 | 301 | 151 | 329 | 194 |
| April..... | 1,363 | 679 | ${ }_{2} 267$ | 164 | 297 | 217 |
| May.... | 1,401 | 662 | 314 | 227 | 296 | 193 |
| June... | 2,076 | 752 | ${ }_{331}^{283}$ | 224 | 220 | 184 |
| July........ | 1,040 | 521 | 331 <br> 308 | ${ }_{230}^{268}$ | 157 | 154 |
| August...... | 1,249 1,202 | 532 570 | 308 325 | 230 <br> 238 | 123 | 175 |
| October.... | 1,126 | 707 | 299 | 218 | 108 | 134 |
| November.. | 1,240 | 731 | 228 | 177 | 108 | 105 |
| December.. | 1,591 | 853 | 197 | 171 | 105 | 87 |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January................ |  |  | - ${ }_{2}^{122}$ | $\begin{array}{r}91 \\ 298 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 2105 2119 |  |
| February........................ |  |  | ${ }^{2} 116$ | 289 | 2119 8137 | $\begin{array}{r}376 \\ \\ \\ \hline 94\end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

11920 figures based on 1919 prices.
2 Provisional.

## FINANCIAL STATISTICS FOR ENGLAND, FRANCE, ITALY, GERMANY, SWEDEN, AND JAPAN.

A summary of banking and financial conditions abroad is presented statistically in the accompanying tables. Similar material will be published regularly each month in the Bulletin.

BRITISH FINANCIAL SITUATION.
[Amounts in millions of pounds sterling.]

|  | Deposit and note accounts, Bank of England and Treasury. |  |  |  | Government floating debt. |  |  | Nine London clearing banks. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  | Discount rates. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bank notes. ${ }^{1}$ |  | Deposits, public and other. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Coin } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { bullion. } 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Treas- } \\ \text { ury } \\ \text { bills. } \end{gathered}$ | Temporary advances. | Total floating debt. | Money at call and short notice. | Disand advances. | Investments. | $\underset{\text { Desits. }}{\text { De- }}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} 3 \\ \begin{array}{c} \text { months } \\ \text { bank } \\ \text { bills. } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \text { months' } \\ & \text { trade } \\ & \text { bills. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1913, average of end of month figures. | 29 |  | 57 | 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Per cent. <br> 43 | Per cent. <br> $4 \frac{4}{4}$ |
| 1920, end of- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| April.... | 101 | 335 <br> 337 | 140 | 141 | 1,048 | 249 | 1,297 |  |  |  |  | 541 | $7{ }^{64}$ |
| May............. | 104 | 348 | 118 | 141 | 1,062 | 221 | 1,283 |  |  |  |  | $6 \frac{3}{2}$ | 71 |
| June............. | 107 | 357 | 192 | 146 | 1,050 | 244 | 1, 294 |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{6}$ | $7{ }^{7}$ |
| July.............. | 107 | $\begin{array}{r}362 \\ 356 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 134 116 118 | 152 <br> 152 <br> 1 | 1,058 1,067 | 204 | 1,262 |  |  |  |  | 64t | $7 \frac{1}{1}$ |
| September....... | 109 | 354 | 127 | 152 | 1,139 | 143 | 1,282 |  |  |  |  | $6{ }^{6}$ | $7 \frac{1}{7}$ |
| October.......... | 109 | 356 | 137 | 152 | 1,028 | 241 | 1,269 |  |  |  |  | $6 \frac{4}{4}$ | $7 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| November...... | 109 113 | 349 368 | 123 | 153 | 1,097 1,102 | ${ }_{306}^{231}$ | 1,328 1,408 |  |  |  |  | 6\% ${ }^{6}$ | $7{ }^{7}$ |
| 1921: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January ......... | 109 | 342 | 129 | 157 | 1,145 | 242 | 1,387 | 99 | 1,207 | 317 | 1, 810 | $6{ }^{6}$ |  |
| February........ | 1108 | 336 <br> 344 | 127 | 157 <br> 157 | 1,110 1,121 | 185 |  | 88 |  | 340 336 | 1,754 | 648 | 78 78 7 |
| April.............. | 109 | 338 | 141 | 157 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{5} 9$ | 2 |

${ }^{1}$ Less notes in curreney note account. ${ }^{2}$ Held by the Bank of England and by the treasury as note reserve. ${ }^{8}$ Average weekly figures.
FRENCH FINANCIAL SITUATION.
[Amounts in millions of francs.]

|  | Bank of France. |  |  |  |  | Situation of the Government. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Gold. reserves. | Silver reserves. | Deposits. ${ }^{1}$ | Circulation. | Advances to the Government for purposes of the war. ${ }^{2}$ | Government ${ }^{8}$ revenue. | Public debt. | Price of 3 per cent perpetual rente. |
| 1913, average | 3,343 | 629 | 830 | 5,565 | ......... | 320 | 35,000 | 86.77 |
| 1920, end of-- | 4 3,606 | 247 | 4,039 |  | 26, 300 | 859 |  | $58.8{ }^{-}$ |
| April. | 43,608 | 244 | 3,469 | 37,688 | 25, 300 | 1,057 | ...... | 57,40 |
| May. | 4 3,609 | 240 | 3,751 | 37,915 | 26,050 | , 857 | …....... | 59.35 |
| June. | ${ }^{1} 3,610$ | 241 | 3,653 | 37,544 | 26,000 | 908 | ....... | 57.25 |
| July.... | 13,611 4 4 | 248 |  |  | 25, 550 | 1,109 |  | 58.90 |
| August. | 43,612 <br> 6 <br> 3,531 | 255 | 3,267 3,307 | 37,905 <br> 39,208 | 25,800 26,600 | 1,182 | ${ }_{5} 885.836$ | 56.30 |
| October. | ${ }_{6} \mathbf{3}, 537$ | 264 | 3,474 | 39,084 | 26,600 | 1,332 | -285, 836 | 54.15 56.20 |
| November | ${ }^{6} 3,543$ | 265 | 3,927 | 38,807 | 26,600 | 1,088 |  | 55. 40 |
| ${ }^{\text {D }}$ December | ${ }^{6} 3,552$ | 266 | 3,575 | 37,902 | 26,600 | 1,168 |  | 5795 |
| 1921: ${ }_{\text {January }}$ | ${ }^{6} 3,553$ | 268 | 3,429 | 37,913 | 25,600 | 1,204 |  | 59.16 |
| February | ${ }^{6} 3,555$ | 264 | 3,293 | 37,808 | 25,600 | ${ }^{1} 921$ | 7302,735 | 58.15 |
| March. | 6 <br>  <br> 6 <br> 63,556 | 267 271 | 3,103 3,018 | 38,435 38,211 | 26,200 | 972 |  | 58.17 |
|  | -3,560 | 27 | 3,018 | 38,211 | 20,005 |  |  | 56,92 |

[^13]${ }_{6}^{5}$ Foreign debt calculated at the exchange rates of Sept. 30, 1920. 6 Not including about 1,948 million francs held abroad.

ITALIAN FINANCLAL SITUATION.
[In millions of lire.]

|  | Leading private banks. ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | Banks of issue. |  |  |  |  |  | Government finances. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cash. | Loans, counts, and corre-sponddebts. | Deposits and spondents ${ }^{\prime}$ credits | Loans and $\underset{\text { dis- }}{\text { counts }}$ | Gold reserve. | Total reserve. | Deposits and demand ties. | Com-mercial circu- | Circulation for account of the state. | State rency notes. | Treasury metalserve. | Shortterm treasbills. bills. | Total public debt. | Principal nues from tion and nopo- lies during month. |
| End of December, 1913 | 129 | 2,007 | 1,674 | 857 | 1,375 | 1,661 | 318 | 2,284 |  | 499 | 117 |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1920 . \end{array}$ | 825 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| February..... | 749 | 13,571 | 12,629 | 4,671 | 1,038 | 2,047 | 2,224 | 4,848 | 10,599 | 2,345 | 338 |  |  |  |
| March.... | 818 | 14, 421 | 13,397 | 5,322 | 1,028 | 2,053 | 2,296 | 5,478 | 10,454 |  |  |  |  |  |
| April... | 850 | 14,884 | 14,045 | 5,804 | 1,038 | 2,035 | 2,377 | 6,029 | 10,401 |  |  |  |  |  |
| May.. | 813 | 15,240 | 14, 044 | 5,782 | 1,038 | 2,065 | 2,264 | 6,459 | 10,402 |  |  |  |  |  |
| June. | 874 804 | 14,996 14,924 | 14,060 14,131 | 6,784 6,576 | 1,039 1,039 | 2,110 | 2,379 $\mathbf{2}, 196$ | 7,484 | 10,333 10,284 | 2,538 | 343 | 9,300 9,800 | 95,000 |  |
| August. | 872 | 15,177 | 14,457 | 6,233 | 1,039 | 2,172 | 2,276 | 7,413 | 10,524 | 2,546 | 349 | 10,200 |  | 878 |
| September. |  | 15,653 | 14, 824 | 6,628 | 1,039 | 2,217 | 2,494 | 8,231 | 10,682 |  |  | 10,300 |  | 461 |
| October... | 1,035 | 15,700 16,003 | 14,909 15,315 | 7,083 6,397 | 1,058 | 2,082 2,069 | 2,337 2,589 | 8,361 | 10,940 10,899 | 2,546 | ..... | 10,700 11,700 | 99,000 | 1,268 |
| December. | 1,297 | 16,538 | 15,801 | 7,074 | 1,058 | 2,077 | 2,559 | 8,988 | 10,743 |  |  | 13,200 | 101,000 | 1,222 |
| January............ | 1,184 | 17, 113 | 16,392 | 6,931 | 1,058 |  | 2,635 2,221 | (4) | ${ }_{(4)}^{(4)}$ |  |  |  |  | + 822 |
| February ............. | 1,012 | 16,842 | 15,961 | 7,158 | 1,059 |  | 2,221 | (4) | (4) |  |  |  |  | 1,210 |

${ }^{1}$ Banca Commerciale Italiana, Banca Italiana di Sconto, Credito Italiano, Banco di Roma.
${ }^{2}$ Revenues from state railways; from post, telegraph, and telephones; from state domain; from import duties on grain; and from Government sales of sugar are not included.

- Combined circulation, 18,926 .

GERMAN FINANCIAL SITUATION.
[Amounts in millions of marks.]

|  | Reichsbank statistics. |  |  |  | Darlehns-kassenscheine in circulation. | Situation of the Government. |  |  |  | Indexnumber of securities prices. ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reserve. |  | Note circulalation. | Deposits. |  | Receiptsfromtaxes andGovern-mentmonop-olies. | Floating debt. | 3 per cent imperial loan. ${ }^{1}$ | 5 per cent war loan. ${ }^{1}$ |  |
|  | Gold. | Reichs und Darlohns- kassen- scheine. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1913 average. | 1,068 | 32 | 1,958 | 668 |  | 265 |  | 75.90 |  |  |
| 1920, end or- | 1,091 | 13,972 | 45,170 | 18,498 | 13,731 |  |  | 77.00 |  | ${ }^{3} 139$ |
| April. | 1,092 | 15, 193 | 47,940 | 16, 499 | 13,776 | 2,072 |  | 74. 50 | 98. 75 | 4133 |
| May.. | 1,092 | 15,907 | 50,017 | 17,024 | 13, 567 | 2,599 |  | 67.50 | 98.70 | ${ }_{5} 109$ |
| June.. | 1,092 | 17,252 | 53,975 |  | 13,633 | 3, 227 | 141,987 | 62.90 | 98.30 | ${ }^{6} 117$ |
| July... | 1,092 1,092 | 17,874 18,686 | 55,969 58,401 | 17,282 | 13,328 <br> 13,266 | 3,739 <br> 3,635 | 148, 750 | 60.64 60.80 | 98.50 98.70 | 7140 148 |
| September | 1, 092 | 19, 861 | 61, 735 | 20,054 | 13,348 | 4,126 | 156, 825 | 62.25 | 99.90 | 161 |
| October. | 1,092 | 21, 341 | 63, 596 | 17,945 | 13,024 | 5,121 | 161,920 | 66.25 | 99.00 | 172 |
| November. | 1,092 | 20, 363 | 64, 284 | 17,340 | 12,370 | 6,130 | 165, 918 | 68.60 | 98.75 | 179 |
| December. | 1,092 | 23, 417 | 68, 806 | 22, 327 | 12,033 | 9,103 |  | 65.75 | 98.90 | 189 |
| 1921, end of January. |  |  |  |  | 11,341 | 8,721 |  | 67.00 | 99.50 | 179 |
| February | 1,092 | 21, 982 | 67, 427 | 17,357 | 10,755 |  |  | 67.25 | 99.75 | 155 |
| March... | 1,092 | 23,836 | 69,417 | 28,043 | 10,168 |  |  | 67.60 | 99.70 | 8161 |

${ }^{1}$ Quotations of the Berlin Bourse.
${ }^{2}$ Calculated by the Frankfurter Zeitung with the prices of 10 bonds and 25 stocks. Prices as of Jan. 1, 1920=100.
${ }^{3}$ As of Apr. 5.

- As of May 3 .
- As of June 1 .
© As of Jug. 1.
7
8
8
As of Apr Apr. 2.

SWEDISH FINANCIAL SITUATION.
[In millions of kronor.]

|  | Riksbank. |  | Joint stock banks. |  |  | Riksbank. |  | Joint stock banks. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Gold coin and bullion. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Note } \\ & \text { circula- } \\ & \text { tion. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bills } \\ \text { dis- } \\ \text { counted } \\ \text { with the } \\ \text { Riks. } \\ \text { bank. } \end{gathered}$ | Loans and discounts. |  | Gold coin and bullion. | Note circulation. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bills } \\ \text { dis- } \\ \text { counted } \\ \text { with the } \\ \text { Riks- } \\ \text { bank. } \end{gathered}$ | Loans and discounts. |
| 1913, end of December. 1920, end of: | 102.1 | 234.5 | 155.2 | 2,286.9 | 1920, end of-Continued: October................ | 282.4 | 772.8 | 503.4 | 6,079.0 |
| March. | 261.1 | 741.6 | 507.4 | 5,877.4 | November. | 282.4 | 752.8 | 447.2 | 6,117.8 |
| April. | 261.0 | 718.3 | 497.7 | 5,969.4 | December.. | 281.8 | 759.9 | 451.3 | 6,211.2 |
| May... | 261.0 261.1 | 708.3 736.5 | 473.5 531.1 | $5,998.6$ $5,982.9$ | 1921-January.. | 281.9 281.8 | 672.5 687.6 | 431.2 452.7 | $6,172.6$ $6,119.2$ |
| June... | 261.3 261.3 | 736.5 <br> 724.8 <br>  <br> 18.8 | 531.1 514.2 | 5, 9828.9 $6,028.9$ | February... | 281.8 281.7 | ${ }^{687.6}$ | ${ }_{4}^{452.7} 7$ | $6,119.2$ $6,093.6$ |
| August | 261.4 | 742.2 | 567.8 | 6,007.4 | April 1............... | 281.8 | 680.5 | 442.2 | 6,065. 3 |
| September......... | 279.8 | 779.8 | 547.0 | 6,068.7 |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Provisional.

## Japanese financial situation.

[Amounts in millions of yen.]

|  | Bank of Japan. |  |  |  | Tokyo banks. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Private and Government deposits. | Loans and discounts. | Note circulation. | Specie reserve. ${ }^{2}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Tokyo } \\ \text { associated } \\ \text { baiks, } \\ \text { total } \\ \text { loans. } \end{array}$ | Tokyo bank clearings (total within the month). | Average discount rate (Tokyo market). |
| End of-1 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  | Per cent. |
| January.. | 1,167 | 305 | 1,375 | 944 | 1,929 | 3,063 | 9.38 |
| February | 1,146 | 336 | 1,360 | 937 | 2,022 | 3,532 | 9.67 |
| March... | 1,181 | 364 | 1,368 | ${ }_{917}^{921}$ | 1,982 | 4,135 | 10.15 |
| May.. | 1,209 | 445 | 1,328 | 930 | 2,089 | ${ }_{2} 1628$ | ${ }_{10.92}^{10.92}$ |
| June.. | 1,165 | 381 | 1,349 | 979 | 2,036 | 2,524 | 10.99 |
| July... | 1,120 | 273 | 1,202 | 1,011 | 2,029 | 2,109 | 10.95 |
| August .... | 1,202 | 278 | 1,217 | 1,040 |  | 2,139 | 10.80 |
| September. October | 1,079 1,048 | 180 164 | 1,170 1,192 | 1,078 1,117 | 2,076 2,133 | $\stackrel{2}{2}, 032$ | 10.59 |
| October.... | 1,048 1,137 | 164 128 | 1,192 1,180 | 1,117 1,152 | 2,133 2,134 | 1,922 | 10.48 10.44 |
| December. | 1,040 | 158 | 1,439 | 1,247 | 2,137 | 2,841 | 10.44 10.26 |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January... | 1,071 | 115 | 1,235 | 1,235 | 2,171 2,188 | 2,013 | 10.33 |
| March.... | - $1,1,159$ | 103 892 | ${ }^{8} 1,1,056$ | 1,141 | - $\begin{array}{r}2,188 \\ 1,852\end{array}$ | 2,143 2,502 | 9.71 |

[^14]
## CONDITION OF PRINCIPAL EUROPEAN BANKS OF ISSUE.

BANK OF ITALY.
[From annual reports and weekly statements of the Bank of Italy.]
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. 31, } \\ & \text { 1914., } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec. 31, } \\ 1915 . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec. 31, } \\ 1916 . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec. 31, } \\ \text { 1917. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. 31, } \\ & 1918 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec. 31, } \\ 1919 . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec. 31, } \\ 1920 . \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gold. | $\begin{array}{r} 215,810 \\ 20,823 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 207,931 \\ 20,227 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 173,639 \\ 14,023 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 161,320 \\ 16,870 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 157,827 \\ 14,890 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 155,331 \\ 14,494 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 158,128 \\ 14,472 \end{array}$ |
| Total metallic reserve | 236,633 | 228, 158 | 187,662 | 178, 190 | 172,717 | 169,825 | 172,600 |
| Notes of Italian Government and of other, including foreign, banks of issue | 11,150 | 20,112 | 27,504 | 34,591 | 65,322 | 103,150 | 85,218 |
| Other cash and cash equivalents................................. | 3,287 | 2,724 | 4,971 | 6,173 | 8,201 | ${ }^{103} 773$ | 8,547 |
| Bills payable in Italy... | 136,227 | 91,430 | 104,187 | 146, 127 | 171,159 | 261,692 | 609,652 |
| Bills payable in foreign countries, including foreign treasury bills. | 16, 804 | 4,034 | 4,016 | 4,270 | 60,871 | ${ }^{4,163}$ | 3,992 |
| Bills payable, received for collection............................ | 4,648 | 4, 893 | 3,946 | 480 | 2,106 | 1,730 | 2,290 |
| Advances, ordinary..................... | 29,181 | 37, 168 | 59,254 | 84, 222 | 147,202 | 263,507 | 442,773 |
|  | 100,131 | 310,447 | 353,335 | 835,136 | 1,012,260 | 1,499,991 | 1,551,087 |
| Securities................................................................ | 39,486 | 39,549 | 42,414 | 43,063 | 1,012,2043 | 1,41,045 | 1,41,336 |
| Debtors in current account: <br> In Italy | 7,725 | 2,118 | 2,139 | 2, 404 | 73,682 | 71,320 | 218,165 |
| In foreign countries. | 8,195 | 28,652 | 74, 592 | 90,309 | 149,645 | 148,987 | 150,045 |
| Bank premises.... | 5,364 | 5,500 | 5,647 | 5,462 | 5,643 | 5,755 | 6,760 |
| In fiscal account with the Government and provincial administration Sundry assets. | 988 33,871 | 1,703 82,446 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,399 \\ 141,661 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18,384 \\ 774,237 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 48,705 \\ 452,513 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100,191 \\ & 512,651 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 67,266 \\ 324,649 \end{array}$ |
| Total. | 633,690 | 858,934 | 1,012,727 | 2,223,248 | 2,409,569 | 3,184,780 | 3,676,380 |
| Liabilities. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital.. | 34,740 | 34,740 | 34,740 | 34,740 | 34,740 | 34,740 | 34,740 |
| Surplus. | 9,264 | 9,264 | ${ }^{9,264}$ | 9,264 | 9,264 | 9,264 | 9,264 |
| Extraordinary and special reserv | 2,321 | 2,321 | 3,382 | 5,587 | 8,998 | 13,243 | 15,349 |
| Circulation: <br> For account of commerce. | 417,353 | 276,299 | 394,877 | 426,929 | 767,848 | 949,522 | 1,428,238 |
| For account of Governmen |  | 310,460 | 353,335 | 835, 136 | 1,012, 260 | 1,499,991 | 1,551,087 |
| Demand and time deposits. | 106,135 | 143,956 | 167,125 | 279,672 | 308,155 | 402,376 | -401,743 |
| In fiscal account with the Government and provincial administration.. | 40,320 | 21,660 | 16,648 | 56,033 | 51,329 | 8,651 | 68,484 |
| Sundry liabilities. | 23,557 | 60,234 | 33,356 | 575,887 | 216,975 | 266,993 | 167,475 |
| Total. | 633,690 | 858,934 | 1,012,727 | 2,223,248 | 2,409,569 | 3,184,780 | 3,676,380 |
| Ratio of metalic reserve to deposit and note liabilities combined, per cent. |  | 31.22 | 20.50 | 11.56 | 8.27 | 5.95 | 5.10 |

BANK OF NORWAY.
[From the annual reports and monthly statements of the Bank of Norway.]
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. 31, } \\ & 1914 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. 31, } \\ & 1915 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. 31, } \\ & 1916 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } 31, \\ & 1917 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. 31, } \\ & \text { 1918. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. 31, } \\ & 1919 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } 31, \\ & 1920 . \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSETS. <br> Gold in vault | 10,290 | 13,837 | 33,027 | 31,193 | 32,691 | 39,590 | 39,472 |
| Total metallic reserve. | 10,290 | 13, 837 | 33,027 | 31, 193 | 32,691 | 39,590 | 39,472 |
| Held with Scandinavian banks |  | $\begin{array}{r}4,191 \\ 16,948 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 21,228 | 20,615 | 18,703 | 19,279 | 15,623 |
| Loans and discounts, domestic. | 32,066 | 23,140 | 42, 802 | 109,822 | 116, 269 | 106, 686 | 130,003 |
| Foreign bills. | 216 | 83 | -148 | ${ }^{178}$ | 64 | 31 | 11 |
| Real estate loans. | 404 | 371 | 337 | 296 | 267 | 244 | 219 |
| Securities. | 2,402 | 3,590 | 3,602 | 3,508 | 3,543 | 2,831 | 2,433 |
| Sundry assets. | 1,753 | 651 | 2,006 | 225 | 288 | 3,693 | 11,120 |
| Total. | 55, 496 | 62,811 | 103, 977 | 166, 739 | 172,691 | 173,494 | 198,881 |
| liabilities. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital. | 6,700 | 6,700 | 6,700 | 9,380 | 9,380 | 9,380 | 9,380 |
| Surplus. N (etes in circulation. | 3,581 35,961 | 3,201 43,473 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 3, } \\ \text { 69, } \\ \mathbf{6 9} \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 4,654 87 8754 | 4,569 116,905 | 4,120 121,747 | 3,752 133,272 |
| Deposits. | 5,666 | 7, 309 | 22,326 | 60,689 | 34, 178 | 29,411 | 35, 721 |
| Sundry liabilitie | 3,588 | 2,127 | 2,777 | 4, 562 | 7,659 | 8, 836 | 16,756 |
| Total. | 55, 496 | 62,811 | 103, 977 | 166,739 | 172, 691 | 173, 494 | 198,881 |
| combined-per cent. | 24.72 | 27.25 | 36.12 | 21.06 | 21.64 | 26.19 | 23.36 |

## BANK OF COPENHAGEN (DENMARK).

[From monthly statements of the Bank of Denmark.]
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | Dec. 31, 1914. | Dec. 31, 1915. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. 31, } \\ & 1916 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. 31, } \\ & 1917 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. 31, } \\ & 1918 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dee. 31, } \\ & 1919 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. 31, } \\ & 1920 . \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gold coin and bullion ${ }_{\text {Silver }}$ | 24,506 908 | 29,833 829 | 42, 8448 | 46,611 660 | 52,159 | 60, 8887 | 60,992 |
| Total metallic reserve. | 25,414 | 30,662 | 43,295 | 47,271 | 52,813 | 61,694 | 61,690 |
| Foreign credits... |  |  | 11,245 | 12,038 | 24,003 | 7,912 | 2,520 |
| Danish Government securities. |  |  | 8,492 | 7,204 | 4,463 | 11,318 | 9,752 |
| Other Danish securities. |  |  | 1,641 | 1,720 | 2,602 | 3,094 | 2,872 |
| Foreign Government securities. |  |  | 2,449 | 2,311 | 1,071 | 1,141 | 1,095 |
| Notes of and credits with other banks of issue |  |  | 13 |  | 7,933 | 6,839 | 8,608 |
| Domestic bills. |  |  | 15,335 | 9,000 | 15,013 | 47,411 | 50,317 |
| Foreign bills... |  |  | 2,790 | 2,655 | 2,518 | 4,082 | ,971 |
| Loans and discounts. |  |  | 6,246 | 5,864 | 6,566 | 14,352 | 14.155 |
| Real estate... |  |  | 684 | +819 | ${ }^{792}$ | 4814 | -828 |
| Other assets. | ....... |  | 20,874 | 41,119 | 47,016 | 43,781 | 62,179 |
| Total. |  |  | 113,044 | 130,009 | 164,790 | 202,438 | 214,987 |
| linbilimes. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital. |  |  | 7,236 | 7,236 | 7,236 | 7,236 | 7,236 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 5,293 | 7,301 | 8,575 |
| Notes in circulation.. | 55,376 | 59,081 | 76,344 | 90,547 | 120,609 | 131, 145 | 149, 197 |
| Government deposits. |  |  | 918 | 889 |  |  | 795 |
| Current account deposits. |  |  | 16,313 | 14,734 | 26,619 | 19,275 | 17,476 |
| Other deposits.. |  |  | 7,657 | 11,671 | 3,928 | 36,279 | 31,452 |
| Due to foreign central banks of issue |  |  | 1,532 | 771 |  |  |  |
| Profit and loss. |  |  | 134 | 134 | 134 | 134 | 134 |
| Other liabilities.. |  |  | 178 | 26 | 112 | 241 | 122 |
| Total. |  |  | 113,044 | 130, 009 | 164,790 | 202,438 | 214,987 |
| Ratio of metallic reserve to deposit and note liabilities combined-percent. |  |  | 42.77 | 40.11 | 34.74 | 32.90 | 31.01 |

BANK OF SWEDEN.
[From the Bank of Sweden's year book and weekly statements.]
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

## DISCOUNT AND OPEN-MARKET OPERATIONS OF FEDERAL RESERVE BANKS.

## discounts.

Discount operations of the Federal Reserve Banks during March and February, 1921 and 1920, are shown in summary form for the entire system in the table below. Detailed figures for each Federal Reserve Bank for the most recent month are given on pages 615 to 617 .

Volume of Discount Operations.
[ In thousands of dollars.]

|  | 1921 |  | 1920 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | March. | February. | March. | February. |
| Total | 7.368,268 | 8,120,849 | 6,970,331 | 6,517,439 |
| Secured by Government obligations. | 3,549,797 | 3,856,306 | 5,298,884 | 5, 544,279 |
| C therwise secured and un-secured-Total | 3, 818,471 | 4,264,543 | 1,671,447 | 973,160 |
| Commercial paper, n. e.s Agricultural paper.... | $3,709,669$ 59,129 | $1,187,059$ 46,263 | 1,613,530 | 933, 557 |
| Agricultural paper.... | 59,129 26,451 | 16,263 11,806 |  |  |
| Trade acceptancesTotal | 11,709 | 13,256 | 23,383 | 10,992 |
| Domestic.......... | 11, 620 | 12,799 |  |  |
| Foreign............ | -89 | 457 |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Bankers accept- } \\ \text { ances-Total......... } \end{gathered}$ | 11,513 | 6,159 | 34,534 | 28,611 |
| Domestic. | 4,809 | 2,907 |  |  |
| Foreign. | 6,704 | 3,752 |  |  |
| Average maturity (in days) . ....................... | 12.38 | 9.53 | 13.77 | 12.26 |
| Average rate (365-day basis), per cent | 6.43 | 6.41 | 5.64 | 5.52 |

Discount operations during March aggregated $\$ 7,368,000,000$, a decrease of $\$ 753,000$,000 from the total of $\$ 8,121,000,000$ reported for February, but an increase of $\$ 398,000,000$ over the amount shown for March, 1920. Volume of discounts reduced to a daily basis by the method explained in the Bulletin for April, 1921, page 466, together with average maturity, is shown for each Federal Reserve district for March and for February in the table below:

| Federal Reserve Bank. | Volume of discounts (in millions). |  | Average maturity (in days). |  | Volume on daily basis (in millions). |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | March. | February. | March. | February. | March. | February. |
| All banks. | 7,368 | 8,121 | 12.38 | 9.53 | 2,943 | 2,765 |
| Boston. | 732 | 524 | 8.86 | 7.89 | 209 | 148 |
| New York | 4,272 | 5,631 | 6.41 | 5.51 | 883 | 1,109 |
| Philadelphi | 399 | 310 | 12.56 | 12.70 | 162 | 140 |
| Cleveland. | 279 | 381 | 14.74 | 9.40 | 133 | 128 |
| Richmond. | 299 | 225 | 12.89 | 12.99 | 124 | 105 |
| Atlanta.. | 164 | 150 | 26.50 | 25.81 | 140 | 138 |
| Chicago. | 519 | 387 | 41.13 | 33.50 | 689 | 463 |
| St. Louis | 154 | 122 | 24.33 | 26.43 | 121 | 115 |
| Minneapolis. | 66 | 69 | 36.55 | 32.66 | 78 | 81 |
| Kansas City. | 111 | 91 | 32.86 | 30.79 | 118 | 100 |
| Dallas.. | 74 | 67 | 32.86 | ${ }^{31} 62$ | 78 | 76 |
| San Francisco | 299 | 164 | 21.55 | 27.77 | 208 | 162 |

It will be noted that, as a result of a large increase in average maturity, namely, from 9.53 to 12.38 days, volume of discounts on the daily basis shows an increase of 6 per cent, in spite of a decrease in the total volume of business of 10 per cent. The greatest increases in average maturity are shown for the Cleveland and Chicago banks, the average for the former having increased from 9.4 days in February to 14.74 days in March, and for the latter from 33.5 days to 41.13 days. Longer average maturities of discounted paper are shown for all the districts, except the Richmond, St. Louis, and San Francisco districts.

Decreases between February and March are noted in the volume of discounts secured by United States obligations, as well as in all other discounts. Of this latter class of paper, commercial paper, n. e. s., shows a decline from $\$ 4,187,000,000$ to $\$ 3,710,000,000$, while agricultural paper increased from $\$ 46,000,000$ to $\$ 59,000,000$, and live-stock paper from $\$ 12,000,-$ 000 to $\$ 26,000,000$. Discounted trade acceptances show a decline from $\$ 13,000,000$ to $\$ 12,000,000$, while discounted bankers' acceptances increased from $\$ 6,000,000$ to $\$ 12,000,000$. The rate of discount charged in March was slightly higher on the average than that for February- 6.43 per cent as compared with 6.41 per cent.

Following is a summary of holdings of discounted bills at the end of March and February, 1921 and 1920. Detailed figures for each Federal Reserve Bank are shown on page 618.

Holdings of Disoounted Bills.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | 1921 |  | 1920 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mar. 31. | Feb. 28. | Mar. 26. | Feb. 27. |
| Total. | 2,233, 054 | 2,389,510 | 2,449,230 | 2,453,511 |
| Secured by United States Governmentobligations. | 970,911 | 997,968 | 1,441,015 | 1, 572,980 |
| Otherwisesecured and un-secured-Total........... | 1,262, 143 | 1,391,542 | 1,008,215 | 880,531 |
| Commercial and industrial paper, n.e.s. | 1,010,891 | 1,140,826 | 861,848 | 755, 750 |
| Agricultural paper.... | 140,987 | 136,679 | 29, 321 | 30, 125 |
| Live-stock paper...... | 81,693 | 83,654 | 45,344 | 37,070 |
| Trade acceptances. Total..............$~$ | 15, 520 | 16,962 | 20,813 | 18,508 |
| Foreign trade. . . . | 256 | 540 |  |  |
| Domestic trade.... | 15,264 | 16,422 |  |  |
| Bankers'acceptancesTotal. | 13, 052 | 13,421 | 50,889 | 39,078 |
| Foreign trade..... | 8,787 | 10,335 |  |  |
| Domestic trade.... | 4,183 | 3,086 |  |  |
| Dollar exchange.. | 82 |  |  |  |

## BANKS ACCOMMODATED.

During the month of March 34 banks were added to the membership of the system, the total number of member banks increasing from 9,681 to 9,715 . The number of banks accommodated in February was 5,107 and in March 5,332, so that the percentage of banks accommodated was 54.9 per cent in March, as against 52.8 per cent in February, the increases being general throughout the system. The number of member banks in each district at the end of March and of February, and the number and percentage accommodated during each of the two months are shown in the following statement:

| Federal ReserveBank. | Member banks in district. |  | Member banks accommodated. |  | Percentage accommodated. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mar. 31. | Feb. 28. | March. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Feb- } \\ & \text { ruary. } \end{aligned}$ | March. | February. |
| Boston. | 436 | 439 | 257 | 225 | 58.9 | 51.3 |
| New York | 788 | 788 | 349 | 316 | 44.3 | 40.6 |
| Philadelphia | 701 | 701 | 330 | 318 | 47.1 | 45.4 |
| Cleveland. | 875 | 874 | 261 | 237 | 29.8 | 27.1 |
| Richmond. | 612 | 611 | 347 | 335 | 56.7 | 54.8 |
| Atlanta. | 484 | 472 | 355 | 340 | 73.3 | 72.0 |
| Chicago. | 1,425 | 1,425 | 922 | 908 | 64.7 | 63.7 |
| St. Louis. | 578 | 575 | 306 | 299 | 52.9 | 52.0 |
| Minneapolis. | 1,011 | 1,005 | 589 | 589 | 58.3 | 58.6 |
| Kansas City | 1,094 | 1,093 | 585 | 561 | 53.5 | 51.4 |
| Dallas.. | 855 | 851 | 570 | 550 | 66.7 | 64.6 |
| San Francisco | 856 | 847 | 461 | 429 | 53.9 | 50.6 |
| Total | 9, 715 | 9,681 | 5,332 | 5, 107 | 54.9 | 52.8 |

ACCEPTANCES.
A summary of open-market operations of the Federal Reserve Banks in March and in February, 1921 and 1920, is shown in the following table:

Open-Market Operations.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | 1921 |  | 1920 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | March. | Feb- ruary. ruary | March. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Feb- } \\ & \text { ruary. } \end{aligned}$ |
| Total. | 149,255 | 169,456 | 303, 360 | 300, 308 |
| Bankers' acceptances-Total. . | 148,698 | 167, 362 | 294, 301 | 296,959 |
|  | 31,362 | 37,074 | 57,350 | 65, 918 |
| In the foreign trade. ...... | 100,598 | 115,638 | 236, 951 | 228,091 |
| Dollar exchange.......... Trade acceptances-Total.... | 16, 738 | 14,650 2,094 | 4,158 4,901 | 2,950 3,349 |
| Trade acceptances-10tal..... | 557 | 2,04 | -4,901 | 3,349 29 |
| In the foreign trade... | 557 | 2,079 | 4,089 | 3,320 |
| Average maturity (in days)... | 33.99 | 36.98 | 49.33 | 50.50 |
| Average rate (365-day basis), per cent. | 6.01 | 6.01 | 5. 80 | 5.53 |
| United States securities purchased: |  |  |  |  |
| Certificates of indebio........ |  |  | 22 | 5 |
| ness........................ | 448,487 | 18,879 | 1,496, 388 | 304, 296 |

Bills purchased in March totaled $\$ 149,000,-$ 000 , as against $\$ 169,000,000$ the month before and $\$ 303,000,000$ in March, 1920. Decreased
totals are shown for all classes of bills purchased, bankers' acceptances declining from $\$ 167,000,000$ to $\$ 149,000,000$ and trade acceptances from $\$ 2,000,000$ to a little over $\$ 500,000$. Of the bankers' acceptances purchased in March about two-thirds and of the trade acceptances the entire amount were bills drawn in the foreign trade. The average maturity of acceptances declined from 36.98 days in February to 33.99 days in March, while the average rate of interest remained unchanged at 6.01 per cent.

The following table shows the volume of acceptances purchased by each of the Federal Reserve Banks, reduced to a daily basis:

| Volume of O | $\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{M}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ZET } \\ & \text { LY B } \end{aligned}$ | Purch asis. | ASES | EDU | D TO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Federal ReserveBank. | Volume of purchases (in millions). |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Average ma- } \\ & \text { turity } \\ & \text { (in days). } \end{aligned}$ |  | Volume on daily basis (in millions). |  |
|  | Mar. | Feb. | Mar. | Feb. | Mar. | Feb. |
| All banks. | 149 | 169 | 33.99 | 36.98 | 164 | 224 |
| Boston. | 24 | 23 | 19.57 | 19.46 | 15 | 16 |
| New York. | 78 | 58 | 29.89 | ${ }^{25.31}$ | 75 | 52 |
| Philadelphia | 9 11 | 11 | 40.13 44.65 | 49.80 49.50 | 12 | 20 49 |
| Richmond.... |  | 2 | 39.78 | 37.88 | 4 | 2 |
| Atlanta... | (1) | 1 | 70.05 | 47.14 | 1 | 1 |
| Chicago. | 15 | 16 | 57.27 | 58.01 | 28 | 34 |
| St. Louis. | ${ }^{2}$ | 1 | 21.27 | 32.28 | 1 | 2 |
| Minneapolis. <br> Kansas City. | (1) |  | 39.29 |  |  |  |
| Dallas..... |  |  | 82.90 |  |  |  |
| San Fran | 7 | 30 | 50.60 | 45.54 | 12 | 48 |

${ }^{1}$ Less than $\$ 500,000$.
Following is a summary of the holdings of purchased and discounted acceptances at the end of March and of February, 1921:

Holdings of Purchased and Discounted AcceptANCES.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | End of- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | March. | February. |
| All classes. | 147, 913 | 199, 804 |
| Purchased in open market. | 119,341 | 169,420 |
| Discounted for member banks.............. | 28,572 | 30,384 |
| Bankers' acceptances-Total. | 132, 106 | 182,469 |
| Foreign.- | 93,782 | 134,885 |
| Domestic....... | 28, 224 | 37,072 |
| Dollar exchange......................... | 10,100 | 10,512 |
| Trade acceptances-Total..................................................... | 15, 807 | 17,335 1,222 |
| Domestic.... | 15,264 | 16,113 |
| Bankers' acceptances, distributed by classes of accepting institutions: Member banks- | 15,204 |  |
| National. | 46,304 | 64,652 |
| Nonnational. | 28,745 | 40,330 |
| Nonmember banks and banking corporations. . | 23,736 | 37,561 |
| Private banks................................ | 16,015 17,306 | 18,729 $\mathbf{2 1 , 1 9 7}$ |
|  | 17,300 | 21,197 |

It will be noted that the total holdings of acceptances declined from about $\$ 200,000,000$ to $\$ 148,000,000$ during the month. Of the $\$ 148,000,000$ held at the end of March, $\$ 119$,000,000 were purchased in open market and $\$ 29,000,000$ were discounted for member banks. Of the total of $\$ 148,000,000$ of acceptances held, $\$ 132,000,000$ were bankers' ${ }^{\text {Gacceptances }}$ and $\$ 16,000,000$ were trade acceptances. Of the bankers' acceptances, about 71 per cent
were drawn in the foreign trade, while of the trade acceptances all but $\$ 2,000,000$ were drawn in the domestic trade. Of the total of $\$ 132,000,000$ of bankers' acceptances held, 35 per cent were acceptances of national banks, 21.8 per cent of nonnational member banks, 18 per cent of nonmember banks and banking corporations, 12.1 per cent of private banks, and 13.1 per cent of branches and agencies of foreign banks.

VOLUME OF OPERATIONS.
VOLUME OF DISCOUNT AND OPEN-MARKET OPERATIONS DURING MARCH, 1921.

| Federal Reserve Bank. | Bills discounted for member banks. | Bills bought in open market. | United States securities purchased. |  | Total. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Bonds and Victory notes. | Certificates of indebtedness. | March, 1921. | March, 1920. |
| Boston. | \$731, 710, 033 | \$23,787,794 |  | \$17,384,500 | \$772,882,327 | \$493,475, 209 |
| New York | 4,271, 627,879 | 78,089, 389 |  | 281,578,557 | 4,631, 295,825 | 4,574,324,471 |
| Philadelphi | 399, 243,410 | 9, 9152,991 |  | 26,939,000 | 435, 205,401 | 544, 618,766 |
| Cleveland. | 279, 380,406 | 11, 155,289 |  | 71,030,500 | 361, 566,195 | 484, 519, 424 |
| Atlanta.... | 163,603, 783 | 2, 470,519 |  | 6,000,000 | $307,639,194$ $164,074,302$ | $351,353,026$ <br> 163,917 <br> 886 |
| Chicago.. | 519, 190, 203 | 15,022, 539 |  | 22,698,500 | 556, 911,242 | 911, 114,648 |
| St. Louis. | 154, 417, 963 | 1,599,984 |  | 844,000 | 156, 861, 947 | 288, 699, 660 |
| Minneapolis. | 66,495, 192 | 38,988 |  | 16,500 | 66,550, 680 | 145, 259,885 |
| Kansas City. | 110,900,132 |  |  | 1,214,000 | 112, 114, 132 | 178,502,098 |
| Dallas...... | 73, 451, 683 | 20,907 |  | 100,000 | 73,572, 590 | 210, 922,153 |
| San Francisco | 299, 273,577 | 7,381,522 |  | 20,681,500 | 327, 336, 599 | 423, 386,935 |
| Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| March, 1921.. | 7,368, 268, ${ }^{\text {6, }}$, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 149, 255,323 |  | $448,487,057$ 1,496 | 7,966,010,434 |  |
| March, 3 months ending Mar. 31.10 .1921 | 23,746, 778,928 | 440, 979,807 | 11,800 | 1, 741, 538,557 | 24, 929, 409, 092 | ,770,100,061 |
| 3/months ending Mar. 31, 1920 | 19, 729, 041, 586 | 906, 119, 686 | 244, 450 | 2, 443,059, 000 |  | $23,078,464,722$ |

volume of bills discounted during march, 1921, by Classes of paper; also average rates and maturities.

| Federal Reserve Bank. | Customer's paper secured by Government obligations. | Member $\begin{gathered}\text { banks' } \\ \text { notes. }\end{gathered}$ collateral |  | Commercial paper, n.e.s. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Agricultural } \\ & \text { paper. } \end{aligned}$ | Live-stock paper. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Secured by Government obligations. | Otherwise secured. |  |  |  |
| Boston. | \$16, 470, 870 | \$417, 741, 200 |  | \$297, 030, 160 | \$97, 703 | \$8,500 |
| Now York. | 48,046, 031 | 1,514, 553, 505 |  | 2, 702, 476, 882 |  |  |
| Philadelphia | $17,767,127$ $1,468,625$ | 218, 2343,307 | \$187, 000 | 146,910,516 | 240,814 225,380 | 1,500 77,555 |
| Richmond. | 2, 1311,130 | 254, 805, 468 | 539,000 | 35,204, 221 | 4, 881,691 |  |
| Atlanta. | 5,387, 167 | 98, 368,950 | 845, 300 | 52, 389, 759 | 5, 438,061 | 564,896 |
| Chicago. | 16,512, 028 | 243, 338,073 | 6, 404, 200 | 226, 902, 647 | 21,518,787 | 3,138,211 |
| St. Louis... | 4, 604,685 | 84, 453, 877 | 128,887 | 59, 609,246 | 4, 064, 234 | 284, 112 |
| Minneapolis. | 469,755 | 30,685, 083 | 9, 737, 992 | 17,796,710 | 5,147, 620 | 2,218, 348 |
| Kansas City. | 2, 909, 603 | 73, 893, 339 | 58,607 | 15,005, 622 | 6, 840, 246 |  |
| Dallas........ | 847, 3,43, 248 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 49, } \\ 209,542,677 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $2,420,900$ $9,409,933$ | $10,871,662$ $64,073,047$ | 4, 666,356 $5,427,138$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,557,552 \\ & 4,243,026 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total: March, $1921 . .$. | $\begin{aligned} & 120,347,662 \\ & 128,787,544 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,429,449,782 \\ & 3,727,518,681 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29,730,819 \\ & 22,571,562 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,679,937,806 \\ & 4,164,487,425 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 59,129,386 \\ & 46,262,837 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26,451,094 \\ & 11,806,039 \end{aligned}$ |
| March, 1920. | 189, 512, 507 | 5, 109, 371, 143 | 11, 451, 320 |  | 602,079, 269 |  |
| February, 1920 | 199, 299, 992 | 5,344, 979,056 | 7,148, 484 |  | 926, 408, 815 |  |

VOLUME OF BILLS DISCOUNTED DURING MARCH, 1921, BY CLASSES OF PAPER; ALSO AVERAGE RATES AND MATURI-TIES--Continued

| Federal Reserve Bank. | Trade acceptances. |  | Bankers' acceptances. |  | Totalall classes. | Average maturity. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Average } \\ & \text { rate } \\ & \text { (365-day } \\ & \text { basis). } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Domestic. | Foreign. | Domestic. | Foreign. |  |  |  |
| Boston. | \$361,600 |  |  |  | \$731, 710,033 | Days. 8. 86 | Per cent. <br> 6.57 |
| New York | 3,079,961 |  | \$881,250 | \$2,008, 894 | 4,271, 627,879 | 6.41 | 6.52 |
| Philadelphia | 176, 051 |  | 127,000 |  | 399,243, 410 | 12.56 | 5. 67 |
| Cleveland. | 1,388, 818 |  | 2, 162,928 | 3, 749, 259 | 279, 380, 403 | 14.74 | 6. 00 |
| Richmond. | 1,113, 483 |  |  |  | 298, 973, 793 | 12.89 | 6.00 |
| Atlanta. | 560,650 |  | 49,000 |  | 163,603,783 | 26.50 | 6.39 |
| Chicago. | 1,052, 948 |  | 323, 309 |  | 519, 190, 203 | 41.13 | 6.72 |
| St. Louis. | 252, 702 | 872,805 | 587,415 | 360, 000 | 154, 417, 963 | 24.33 | 5. 90 |
| Minneapolis. | 428, 184 |  | 11, 500 |  | 66, 495, 192 | 36. 5.5 | 6.78 |
| Kansas City. | 802, 307 |  | 33, 014 |  | 110, 900, 132 | 32.86 | 6.50 |
| Dallas.. | 440, 032 |  | 54,211 |  | 73, 451,683 | 32.86 | 6.68 |
| San Francisc | 1,963, 515 | 16,100 | 578, 898 | 585, 671 | 299, 273, 577 | 21.55 | 6.00 |
| Total: March, 1921. | 11,620, 251 | 88,905 | 4, 808, 525 | 6, 703, 824 | 7,368, 268,054 | 12.38 | 6. 43 |
| February, 1921 | 12,798, 707 | 456,920 | 2,406,877 | 3,752,037 | 8, 120, 848,629 | 9.53 | 6.41 |
| March, 1920. | $\begin{aligned} & 23,382,954 \\ & 10,991,506 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 34,533,784 \\ & 28,611,229 \end{aligned}$ |  | 6, 970, 330,977 | 13.77 | 5.64 |
| February, 1920 |  |  | 6, $517,439,082$ | 12.26 | 5.52 |  |  |

VOLUME OF BANKERS' AND TRADE ACCEPTANCES PURCHASED DURING MARCH, 1921, bY CLASSES OF PAPER; ALSO average rates and maturities.

| Federal ReserveBank. | Bankers' acceptances. |  |  |  | Trade acceptances. |  |  | Total bills purchased. | A verage maturity. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A verage } \\ & \text { rate } \\ & (365-\text { day } \\ & \text { basis). } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Foreign. | Domestic. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dollar } \\ & \text { exchange } \\ & \text { bills. } \end{aligned}$ | Total. | Foreign. | Domestic. | Total. |  |  |  |
|  | \$17, 291, 762 | \$4, 526,032 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Days. | Percent. |
| New York | -53,242,351 | 13,852,620 | 10, 558,910 | 77,653, 881 | \$435,508 |  | \$435,508 | 78,089,389 | 29.89 | 5.98 |
| Philadelph | 5,605, 398 | 1,092, 593 | 2, 3255,000 | 9, 022,991 |  |  |  | 9, 022,991 | 40.13 | 5.99 |
| Cleveland. | 6,939, 851 | 3, 689, 674 | 525, 764 | 11, 155, 289 |  |  |  | 11, 155, 289 | 44.65 | 6.01 |
| Richmond | 2, 214, 501 | 450,900 |  | 2, 655, 401 |  |  |  | 2, 665, 401 | 39.78 | 6.08 |
| Atlanta. | 194, 919 | 275,600 |  | 470,519 |  |  |  | -470, 519 | 70. 05 | 7.10 |
| Chicago | 9, 473, 473 | 4, 754, 066 | 795, 000 | 15, 022,539 |  |  |  | 15, 022,539 | 57.27 | 6. 08 |
| St. Louis | 568, 207 | 1,031,777 |  | 1,599,984 |  |  |  | 1,599, 984 | 21.27 | 6.09 |
| Minneapolis | 38, 988 |  |  | 38,988 |  |  |  | 38,988 | 39.29 | 5.96 |
| Kansas City |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.10 |
| San Francisco | 5,007,983 | 1,688,679 | 563, 513 | 7,260, 175 | 121,347 |  | i2i,3477 | 7,381, 522 | 50.60 | 6.04 |
| Total: Mareh, 1921 | 100, 598, 310 | 31, 361, 941 | 16, 738, 187 | 148, 698, 468 | 556, 855 |  | 556,855 | 149, 255, 323 | 33.99 | 6.01 |
| February, 1921. | 115,637, 555 | 37,074, 502 | 14,650,397 | 167, 362,454 | 2,078,542 | \$15,000 | 2,093,542 | 169, 455, 996 | 36.98 | 6.01 |
| March, 1920...- | 236, 951, ${ }_{2}^{284}$ | $57,350,003$ $65,917,632$ | $4,157,622$ $2,950,000$ | $\xrightarrow{298,458,} 9588,889$ | $4,089,335$ $3,319,569$ | 811,510 29312 | 4, 900, 845 | 303, 359, 534 | 49.33 | 5.80 |
| February, 192. | 228,01,250 | 65, 917,632 | 2, |  | 3,319,569 | 29,312 | 3, 348,881 | 300, 307, 768 | 50.50 | 5.53 |

VOLUME OF PAPER DISCOUNTED AND PURCHASED DURING THREE MONTHS ENDING MARCH 31, 1921, BY MATURITIES.

| Federal ReserveBank. | 15-day maturities. |  |  | 30-day maturities. |  |  | 60-day maturities. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Discounts. | Acceptances. | Total. | Discounts. | Acceptances. | Total. | Discounts. | Acceptances. | Total. |
| Boston. | 81, 593, 139,556 | \$43,320,245 | \$1,636,459, 801 | \$26,712,057 | \$7,621, 412 | \$34, 333, 469 | \$74, 600, 347 | \$5,469,656 | \$80,070,003 |
| New York | 15, 116, 124, 528 | 112, 856, 895 | 15, 228, 981, 423 | 71,673, 683 | 32, 858,523 | 104, 532,206 | 69, 257,069 | 30,780, 028 | 100, 037, 127 |
| Philadelphi | $982,330,713$ $944,948,301$ | $3,231,727$ $7,897,423$ | 985, ${ }^{9522,845,740}$ | $15,071,092$ $15,282,526$ | $6,284,239$ <br> 8,791 | $21,355,331$ $24,073,731$ | 16, ${ }_{28,488,633}$ | $\begin{array}{r}5,436,448 \\ 20,292 \\ \hline 1\end{array}$ | $22,325,081$ <br> 48,780 |
| Riehmond | 952, 9344,594 | 7,597, 575 | 654, 254, 169 | 19,239,926 | $8,7917,370$ 2,715 | 21, 2557,296 | 28,488,192 $38,661,650$ | 20,292, 1 1 | $48,780,726$ $40,316,851$ |
| Atlanta. | 377,778, 004 | 1, 53,600 | 377, 831, 604 | 17,059,708 | ${ }^{2}$ 751, 100 | 17, 810,808 | 35, 989,555 | 1,847,314 | 36, 836, 869 |
| Chicago. | 725, 812, 811 | 18,797,223 | 744, 610,034 | 84, 233, 672 | 6,012,345 | 90, 216,017 | 221, 241,041 | 16,810, 362 | 238,051, 403 |
| St. Louis | 283,745, 571 | 3,645,729 | 287, 391, 300 | 33, 160, 225 |  | 33, 160,225 | 53,949,649 | 43, 871 | 53,993, 520 |
| Minneapolis | 140, 213,092 |  | 140, 213, 092 | $8,175,961$ $9,059,155$ | 25,000 143,125 | $8,200,961$ 9,202280 | $22,236,372$ $25,795,192$ | 13,988 788,723 | 22, ${ }_{26}$, 583,360 |
| Kansas City <br> Dallas. | 211, ${ }^{1643}, 726,683$ |  | $211,243,683$ $166,726,201$ | $9,059,155$ $5,064,630$ | 143,125 | 9, 202, 280 $5,064,630$ | $25,795,192$ $17,090,232$ | 788, 723 | $26,583,915$ $17,090,232$ |
| San Francis | 506,256, 772 | 3,543,002 | 509, 799, 774 | 17, 186,417 | 13,654, 238 | 30, 840,655 | 44, 769, 264 | 17,014,010 | 61,783, 274 |
| Tot | 21,701, 053, 826 | 194, 865, 419 | 21, 895, 919, 245 | 321, 919,052 | 78,858, 557 | 400,777,609 | 648, 967, 196 | 99,152,165 | 748, 119, 361 |

VOLUME OF PAPER DISCOUNTED AND PURCHASED DURING THREE MONTHS ENDING MARCH 31, 1921, BY MATURI-

| Federal Reserve Bank. | 90-day maturities. |  |  | Over 90-day maturities. |  |  | Total. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Discounts. | Acceptances. | Total. | Discounts. | Acceptances. | Total. | Discounts. | Acceptances. | Total. |
| Boston. | \$56, 898,055 | \$1,664,439 | \$588, 562,494 | \$25,716 |  | \$25,716 | \$1,751,375, 731 | \$58, 075, 752 | \$1, 809, 451, 483 |
| New York | $211,027,297$ $44,428,773$ | 19,762,380 | $230,789,677$ 56 5172, | 87,746 |  | 87,746 | 15, $468,170,323$ | ${ }_{26} 96,696,894$ | 15,664,428, 179 |
| Pleveland. | -44,428,773 | $11,743,980$ $12,307,205$ | $56,172,753$ $75,228,632$ | 37,141 282,649 |  | 37,141 282,649 | 1, $1,051,923,095$ | 26, 996,394 $49,288,367$ | 1, $1,101,211,462$ |
| Richmond | 41,126, 458 | 1, 400,900 | 42, 527, 358 | 1,307,104 |  | 1,307, 104 | 753, 069,732 | 7,293,046 | 760, 362,778 |
| Atlanta. | 58,946,780 | 921,204 | 59, 867,984 | 4,015, 524 |  | 4,015,524 | 493,789,571 | 2,573,218 | 496, 362,789 |
| Chicago. | 275, 740,169 | 7,258, 813 | 282, 998, 982 | 22,088, 518 |  | 22,088, 518 | 1,329, 116,211 | 48, 878,742 | 1,377, 994,953 |
| St. Louis. | 46, 640,796 | 791, 126 | 47,431,922 | 2, 812, 178 |  | 2, 812, 178 | 420, 308, 419 | 4,480,727 | 424, 789, 146 |
| Minneapolis | 38,441,286 | 400, 860 | 38,842, 146 | 8,308, 314 |  | 8, 308, 314 | 217, 375, 025 | -439,848 | 217, 814, 873 |
| Kansas Cíty | 39,477, 793 | 222,500 | 39, 700, 293 | 15, 854, 782 |  | 15, 854,782 | 301, 430, 605 | 1,154, 348 | 302, 584, 953 |
| Danllas....... | $28,721,666$ $90,040,745$ | 20, 907 $11,609,352$ | 28,742,573 $101,650,097$ | 14, 966,628 |  | 14,966,628 | $232,569,357$ $668,994,507$ | 20, 907 $45,820,602$ | $232,590,264$ $714,815,109$ |
| Total | 994, 411,245 | 68, 103, 666 | 1,062, 514,911 | 80, 527, 609 |  | 80, 527,609 | 23, 746, 878, 928 | 440, 979, 807 | 24,187, 858, 735 |

VOLUME OF REDISCOUNTS AND SALES OF DISCOUNTED AND PURCHASED PAPER BETWEEN FEDERAL RESERVE BANKS FROM JAN. 1 TO MAR. 31, 1921.
[In thousands of dollars.]

| Discounted or purchased by Federal Resorve Bank of- | Rediscounted or sold by Federal Reserve Bank of- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | New York. |  | Richmond. | Atlanta. |  | Chicago. |  | Minneapolis. |  | Kansas City. |
|  | January. | February. | January. | January. | February. | January. | February. | January. | February. | January. |
| Boston. | 10,671 |  | 10,000 | 6, 550 | 2,000 |  |  | 3,500 |  |  |
| New York.... |  | 6,823 |  | 4,000 |  | 315 |  | 1,000 |  |  |
| Cleveland. | 25,094 |  |  | 11, 400 |  |  |  | 4,000 | 3,000 | 9,008 |
| St. Loulis.i.... | 15,058 |  |  |  |  |  | 1,000 |  |  |  |
| Total. | 50,823 | 6,823 | 10,000 | 21, 950 | 2,000 | 315 | 1,000 | 8,500 | 3,000 | 9,008 |
| Purchased bills. Discounted bills | 50,823 | 6,823 | 10,000 | 21,950 | 2,000 | 315 | 1,000 | 8,500 | 3,000 | 9,008 |
| Discounted or purchased by Federal Reserve Bank of- | Rediscounted or sold by Federal Reserve Bank of- |  |  |  | Rediscounted or sold by all Federal Reserve Banks during- |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Dallas. |  |  | San Francisco. | January. | February. | March. | Total. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pur- } \\ \text { chased } \\ \text { bills. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dis- } \\ \text { counted } \\ \text { bills. } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | January. | February. | March. | February. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boston. |  |  |  |  | 30,721 | 2,000 |  | 32,721 | 10,671 | 22,050 |
| New York. |  |  |  | 25 |  | 6, 823 |  | r 11.323 182 | 6, 314 $\mathbf{6 , 8 2 3}$ | 5,000 |
| Cleveland. | 49,000 | 34, 500 | 33,000 |  | 98,502 | 37,500 | 33,000 | 169, 002 | 25, 094 | 143, 908 |
| St. Louis..... |  |  |  |  |  | 1,000 |  | 1,000 | 1,000 |  |
| San Francisco. |  |  |  |  | 15,058 |  |  | 15,058 | 15, 058 |  |
| Total. | 49,000 | 34, 500 | 33,000 | 25 | 149,596 | 47,348 | 33,000 | 229,944 | ... | ......... |
| Purchased bills. |  |  |  | 25 |  | 7,848 |  |  | 58, 986 |  |
| Discounted bills | 49,000 | 34, 500 | 33,000 |  | 98, 458 | 39,500 | 33,000 |  |  | 170,958 |

## HOLDINGS, BY CLASSES.

AVERAGE DAILY HOLDINGS OF EACH CLASS OF EARNING ASSETS, EARNINGS THEREON, AND ANNUAL RATES OF EARNINGS, DURING MARCH, 1921.

| Federal Reserve Bank. | A verage daily holdings of- |  |  |  | Earnings on- |  |  |  | Annual rate of earnings on- |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All classes of earning assets. | Discounted bills. | Pur. chased bills. | United States securities. | All classes of earning assets. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dis- } \\ & \text { counted } \\ & \text { bills. } \end{aligned}$ | Purchased bills. | United States securities. | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { classes } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { earning } \\ \text { assets. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dis- } \\ \text { counted } \\ \text { bills. } \end{gathered}$ | Purchased hills. | United States securities. |
| Boston | \$177, 753, 391 | \$142,902, 696 | \$12.424, 595 | \$22.426, 100 | \$890, 123 | \$788, 398 | \$62, 214 | \$39,511 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Per ct. } \\ 5.90 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per ct. } \\ 6.50 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Per ct. } \\ 5.90 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Per ct. } \\ 2.07 \end{array}$ |
| New York | 884, 540,680 | 777, 122, 543 | 37, 721, 615 | 69, 696, 522 | 4, 675, 636 | 4, 332, 350 | 190,854 | 152, 432 | 6. 22 | 6. 56 | 5. 96 | 2.58 |
| Philadelphi | $200,396,883$ | 152, 192, 403 | 14, 963, 745 | 33, 240, 735 | 871, 143 | 731, 885 | 76, 122 | 63, 186 | 5.11 | 5. 66 | 5.98 | 2.23 |
| Cleveland.. | 186, 339, 615 | 129, 674, 508 | 29, 720, 876 | 25, 944, 231 | 872,955 | 670, 434 | 151, 721 | 50, 800 | 5.52 | 6. 08 | 6. 01 | 2.22 |
| Richmond | 127, 059, 014 | 110, 150, 103 | 3, 219, 963 | 13, 688, 948 | 601, 695 | 561,319 | 16, 629 | 23, 747 | 5. 58 | 6.00 | 6.08 | 2.04 |
| Atlanta. | 140, 156, 266 | 122,357, 549 | 1,016.571 | 16, 782, 146 | 688,636 | 653, 828 | 6, 121 | 28, 687 | 5.79 | 6.29 | 7.09 | 2. 01 |
| Chicago.. | 442, 293, 989 | 387, 171, 774 | 10, 357, 260 | 44, 764, 955 | 2, 326,280 | 2,192,179 | 53, 387 | 80, 714 | 6.19 | 6.67 | 6.07 | 2. 12 |
| St. Louls. | 105, 686, 223 | 88, 872, 655 | 2, 185, 878 | 14, 627, 690 | 483, $5 \mathrm{\%}$ | 445, 301 | 11, 170 | 27, 109 | 5.39 | 5. 90 | 6. 02 | 2.18 |
| Minneapolis | 78, 614, 500 | 70, 016, 000 | 2,500 | 8,596, 000 | 412, 247 | 397, 518 | 13 | 14, 716 | 6.17 | 6.68 | 5.92 | 2.02 |
| Kansas Clity | 118,919, 247 | 99, 265, 519 | 311,969 | 19, 341, 759 | 556, 476 | 519, 289 | 1, 880 | 35, 307 | 5.51 | 6.16 | 7.10 | 2.15 |
| Dallas.. | 77, 910.037 | 65, 610, 217 | 4,441 | 12, 295, 379 | 365, 624 | 34., 439 | 23 | 22, 163 | 5. 53 | 6.16 | 6.24 | 2. 12 |
| San Francisco | 196, 114, 266 | 156, 292, 592 | 26, 467, 837 | 13, 353, 837 | 953, 231 | 792, 892 | 136,021 | 24, 318 | 5.72 | 5.97 | 6.05 | 2.15 |
| Total, March, $1921 .$. | 2, 735, 784, 111 | 2, 301, 628,559 | 138, 307, 250 | 295, 758, 302 | 13, 697, 626 | 12,428, 781 | 706, 155 | 562, 690 | 5.90 | 6.36 | 6.01 | 2. 24 |
| February, 1921 | 2, 869, 233, 489 | 2, 408, 791, 923 | 173, 082, 386 | 287, 359, 180 | 13, 022, 158 | 11, 762, 106 | 795,795 | 464,257 | 5. 92 | 6.37 | 5.99 | 2.11 |
| March, 1920... | 3, 211, 935, 980 | 2, 386, 536, 659 | 481, 238,308 | 344, 161, 003 | 13,906, 325 | 11, 065, 472 | 2, 231,078 | 609, 775 | 5.11 | 5.47 | 5. 47 | 2.09 |
| February, 1920 | 3, 154, 053, 873 | 2, 298, 976, 613 | 546, 457, 974 | 308,619, 286 | 12,210, 019 | 9, 487, 201 | 2, 191, 536 | 531, 282 | 4.88 | 5.20 | 5.06 | 2.17 |

HOLDINGS ON MAR. 31, 1921, OF DISCOUNTED BILLS, BY CLASSES.
[In thousands of dollars.]

| Federal ReservoBank. | Total. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Custom- } \\ & \text { ers } \\ & \text { paper } \\ & \text { secured } \\ & \text { by oov- } \\ & \text { ernment } \\ & \text { obliga- } \\ & \text { tions. } \end{aligned}$ | Member banks' col. lateral notes. |  | Commercial paper n.e.s. | Agri-culturalpaper. | Live stock paper. | Trade acceptances. |  | Bankers' acceptances. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Secured by Gorernment obligations. | Other- wise secured. |  |  |  | Foreign. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Do- } \\ \text { mestic. } \end{gathered}$ | Foreign. | $\underset{\text { mestic. }}{\text { Do- }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dollar } \\ & \text { exchange. } \end{aligned}$ |
| Boston. | 149,334 | 22,306 | 42,548 |  | 83, 953 | 169 | 9 |  | 349 |  |  |  |
| New York | 642, 011 | 86, 219 | 268,440 | 20 | $\begin{array}{r}276,713 \\ 47 \\ 47 \\ \hline 108\end{array}$ | 492 | 5 |  | 1, 813 | 6,938 | 1,334 |  |
| ${ }_{\text {Cleveland. }}$ | 186, 536 | 35,650 5,549 | 72, 741 | 100 | 47, 485 | 480 | 199 |  | 1,760 | 988 | 933 |  |
| Richmond. | 114,364 | 5,282 | 45, 267 | 383 | 52, 744 | 8,838 | 25 |  | 1, 825 | 98 |  |  |
| Atlanta... | 122, 890 | 11, 104 | 45, 777 | 372 | 50,657 | 11,902 | 1,678 | 120 | 1,091 | 89 | 100 |  |
| Chicago. | 427, 569 | 21,632 | 107, 036 | 3,941 | 238, 510 | 53, 375 |  |  | 2,895 |  | 180 |  |
| St. Louis. ${ }_{\text {Minneapolis }}$ | 89,021 70 | 5, <br> 1,248 <br> , 297 | 30,214 11,988 | +114 | 43,630 16,815 | 7,033 19 | 15, 661 | 10 | 449 449 | 200 | 926 |  |
| Kansas City | 100,095 | 4, 320 | 30,680 |  | 21, 774 | 19,914 | 29,190 |  | 1,170 |  |  |  |
| nallas.... | 63, 578 | ${ }^{920}$ | 11,534 | 1,600 | 13,646 | 16, 221 | 18,936 |  | 667 |  | 54 |  |
| San Francisco. | 162, 580 | 4,432 | 46,585 | 4,357 | 75, 357 | 12, 402 | 15,683 | 26 | 2, 541 | 482 | 623 | 82 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total Mar. } \\ & 31,1921 \ldots . \end{aligned}$ | 2, 233, 104 | 204, 569 | 766, 392 | 15,789 | 995, 102 | 140,987 | 81,693 | 256 | 15,264 | 8,787 | 4,183 | 82 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { eo. } \\ & \text { 1921... } \end{aligned}$ | 2, 388, 510 | 224, 607 | 773, 361 | 13, 031 | 1,127,795 | 136,679 | 83, 654 | 540 | 16, 422 | 10,335 | 3, 086 |  |
| March, 1920. Feb., 1920. | $\begin{aligned} & 2,449,230 \\ & 2,453,511 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 359,106 \\ & 353,504 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,081,909 \\ & 1,219,476 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,248 \\ & 3,744 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 855,600 \\ & 752,006 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29,321 \\ & 30,125 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45,344 \\ & 37,070 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 50,889 \\ & 39,078 \end{aligned}$ |  |

HOLDINGS ON MAR. 31, 1921, OF BANKERS' AND AND TRADE ACCEPTANCES PURCHASED OR DISCOUNTED, BY CLASSES OF ACCEPTANCES.
[In thousands of dollars.]

| Federal Reserve Bank. | All classes. |  |  | Bankers' acceptances. |  |  |  | Trade acceptances. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total. | Pur- chased in open open market. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dis- } \\ \text { counted } \\ \text { for mem- } \\ \text { ber } \\ \text { banks. } \end{gathered}$ | Total. | Foreign. | Domestic | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Dollar } \\ \text { exchange } \\ \text { bills. } \end{array}$ | Total. | Foreign. | Domestic |
| Boston. | 10,033 | 9,684 | 349 | 9,684 | 6,750 | 2,324 | 610 | 349 |  | 349 |
| New York. | 50,430 | 40,303 | 10,127 | 48,542 | 35,609 | 8,140 | 4,793 | 1,888 | 33 | 1,855 |
| Philadelphia | 14,080 | 13,867 | ${ }^{213}$ | 13,867 | 10,158 | 1,484 | 2,225 | 213 |  | ${ }^{213}$ |
| Cleveland. | 25,590 | 21,910 | 3,680 | 23,788 | 18,015 | 4,291 | 1,482 | 1,802 | 42 | 1,780 |
| Richmond. | 4,602 | 2,777 | 1,825 | 2,777 | 1,752 | 1,025 |  | 1,825 |  | 1,825 |
| Atlanta. | 2,111 | 711 | 1,400 | ${ }^{900}$ | 328 | 572 |  | 1,211 | 120 | 1,091 |
| Chicago.. | 14,190 | 11,114 | 3,076 | 11,295 | 6,436 | 4,539 | 320 | 2,895 |  | 2,895 |
| St. Louis. | 3,800 | 2,225 | 1,575 | 3,241 | 1,225 | 2,016 |  | ${ }^{5} 59$ | 110 | ${ }^{449}$ |
| Minneapolis. | 649 |  | 649 | 200 | 200 |  |  | 449 |  | 449 |
| Kansas City | 1,388 | 185 | 1,203 | 218 | 180 | 38 |  | 1,170 |  | 1,170 |
| Dallas.. |  |  | 721 | 75 |  | 54 |  | 667 |  | 667 |
| San Francisco | 20,298 | 16,544 | 3,754 | 17,519 | 13,108 | 3,741 | $670^{\circ}$ | 2,779 | 238 | 2,541 |
| Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mar. 31, 1921. | 147,913 | 119,341 | 28,572 | 132,106 | 93,782 | 28,224 | 10,100 | 15,807 | 543 | 15,264 |
| Feb. 28, 1921. | 199,804 | 169, 420 | 30,384 | 182,469 | 134,885 | 37,072 | 10,512 | 17,335 | 1,222 | 16,113 |
| Purchased in open market: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mar. 31, 1921.......... |  | ${ }_{169} 119,341$ |  | 119,054 | 84,995 | 24,041 | 10,018 | 287 | 287 |  |
| Feb. 28, 1921................ |  | 169, 420 |  | 169,048 | 124,550 | 33,986 | 10,512 | 372 | 347 | 25 |
| Mar. 31, $1921 . .$. |  |  | 28,572 | 13,052 | 8,787 | 4,183 | 82 | 15,520 | 256 | 15,264 |
| Feb. 28, 1921 |  |  | 30,384 | 13, 421 | 10,335 | 3,086 |  | 16,963 | 875 | 16,088 |

HOLDINGS ON MAR. 31, 1921, OF BANKERS' ACCEPTANCES PURCHASED OR DISCOUNTED, BY CLASSES OF ACCEPTING INSTITUTIONS.
[In thousands of dollars.]

| Federal Reserve Bank. | Total. | Member banks. |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Non- } \\ & \text { member } \\ & \text { banks } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { banking } \\ & \text { corpora- } \\ & \text { tions. } \end{aligned}$ | Private bankers. | Branches and agencies of foreign banks. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | National. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Non- } \\ \text { national. } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| Boston. | 9,684 | 7,114 | 2,022 | 367 | 69 | 112 |
| New York. | 48,542 | 12,123 | 10,339 | 10,886 2 2,739 | 6,619 | 8,575 |
| Philadelphia | 13,867 23,788 | - ${ }_{6,522}$ | 5,001 | 2,916 3,91 |  |  |
| Richmond. | 2,777 | 2,742 | 35 |  |  |  |
| Atlanta...... | 900 | 496 | 404 |  |  |  |
| Chicago.. | 11,295 | 6,828 | 3,747 | 428 | 268 | 21 |
| St. Louis. | 3,241 | 1,135 | 1,565 | 320 | 10 | 211 |
| Minneapolis. | 200 | 200 |  |  |  |  |
| Kansas city. | ${ }^{218}$ | ${ }_{54}^{218}$ |  |  |  |  |
| San Francisco. | 17,519 | 5,390 | 1,782 | 5,082 | 2,626 | 2,639 |
| Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mar. 31, 1921. | 132,106 | 46,304 | 28,745 | 23,736 | 16,015 | 17,306 |
| Feb. 28, 1921 | 182,469 | 64,652 | 40,330 | 37,561 | 18,729 | 21,197 |
| Purchased in open market: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mar. 31, 1921..... | 119,054 | 40,404 59 | 25,464 37,055 | 20,653 33,768 | 15,878 18,458 | 16,655 20,509 |
| Feb. 28, 1921............... | 169,048 | 59,258 | 37,055 | 33,768 | 18,458 | 20,509 |
| Mar. 31, 1921.......... | 13,052 | 5,900 | 3,281 | 3,083 | 137 | 651 |
| Feb. 28, 1921... | 13,421 | 5,394 | 3,275 | 3,793 | 271 | 688 |

## CHANGES IN CONDITION OF FEDERAL RESERVE BANKS.

Between March 25 and April 22 the Federal Reserve Banks reduced their holdings of discounted paper from $\$ 2,286,700,000$ to $\$ 2,113$,900,000 , all the banks, except those of Cleveland, Richmond, and Minneapolis, reporting smaller discount holdings at the close of the period than at its beginning. Decreases are shown in practically equal proportions for bills secured by United States Government obligations as well as for other discounted bills, with the result that the proportion of "Government paper" to total discounts held shows but slight fluctuations between 43 per cent and a little over 44 per cent, compared with about 60 per cent the year before. Government credit operations during the period included the purchase in open market before maturity of moderate amounts of Liberty bonds and Treasury certificates, also the redemption on April 15 of about $\$ 100,000,000$ of loan certificates, falling due on that date, and the issuance on the same date of about $\$ 190,000,000$ of loan certificates. These operations had but little effiect on the volume of member bank borrowings from the Federal Reserve Banks, partly for the reason that Reserve Bank rates on paper secured by Treasury certificates in most cases
are at present in excess of the coupon rates of the certificates, and thus no longer act as inducement for the discounting with the Reserve Bank of this class of paper. To judge from the volume of subscriptions received on the more recent offerings and from the gradual decline in the member bank holdings of certificates, the investment demand for these securities was sufficient to absorb the new issues with a minimum amount of temporary assistance of the Federal Reserve Banks. As a matter of fact, Reserve Bank holdings of paper secured by Treasury certificates fluctuated between $\$ 94,500,000$ on March 25 and $\$ 68,000,000$ on April 15, and following the most recent certificate issue, show an increase of but $\$ 10,300,000$ for the last week under review. A reduction for the four weeks of $\$ 51,400,000$ in the holdings of paper secured by United States bonds and notes is due largely to Government purchases of Liberty bonds in connection with sinking fund operations.
In the following exhibit, there is given a summary of the weekly changes in the principal asset and liability items of the Federal Reserve Banks for the four weeks under review:

Movement of Principal Assets and Liabilities of the Tqelve Federal Reserve Banks Combined.
[In millions of dollars.]

|  | Mar. 25. | Apr. 1. | Apr. 8. | Apr. 15. | Apr. 22. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reserves: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total.... | $2,422.0$ $2,210.8$ | 2,461.2 | 2,481.8 | 2,485.1 | 2,492.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bills discounted: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total.......................................... | 2,286.7 | 2,214. 6 | 2,154.7 | 2,104. 6 | 2,113.9 |
| Secured by United States Government obligations. | 1,010. 4 | 950.7 | 936.0 | 929.2 | 942.7 |
| All other............. | 1,276.3 | 1,263.9 | 1,218.7 | 1,175.4 | 1,171.2 |
| Bills bought in open market. | 123.1 | 122.5 | 103.6 | 119.6 | 104.5 |
| Certificates of indebtedness. <br> Total earning assets.. | 256.9 | 250.4 | 253.7 | 253.7 | 246.7 |
|  | 2,692. 4 | 2,613.2 | 2,537.6 | 2,503.8 | 2,490. 7 |
|  | 114.7 | 82.1 | 48.1 | 31.1 | 67.5 |
|  | 1,674.5 | 1,672.4 | 1,661.9 | 1,685.5 | 1,648.9 |
| Total deposits................ | 1,840.9 | 1,789.2 | 1,745.3 | 1,754.9 | 1,749.4 |
| Federal Reserve notes in circulation....................... | 2,930. 7 | 2,908.2 | 2,894.0 | 2,868.5 | 2,856. 7 |
| Federal Reserve Bank notes in circulation-net liability | 175.5 | 169.7 | , 167.2 | 163.2 | 159.6 |
| Reserve percentage.............................................. | 50.8 | 52.4 | 53.5 | 53.7 | 54.1 |

Some changes are shown in the distribution of discounted bills by maturities. Thus, 15 day paper at the beginning of April declined by over $\$ 75,000,000$, or by slightly more than the total decline in discounts shown on that date, though the relative share of shortest-term paper in the total discounts held shows but a slight change from 60 to 59 per cent. A relatively large reduction is shown in the holdings of 90 -day paper, while the decline in 30 -day paper was merely proportionate to the total
decline in discounts. Only holdings of 60 -day paper show bota an absolute and relative gain, the share of this class of paper increasing from 16 to almost 20 per cent of the total discounts held. Six-month paper increased from about $\$ 42,000,000$ to $\$ 47,700,000$.
A further decline from $\$ 123,000,000$ to $\$ 104,500,000$ is shown in the holdings of acceptances purchased in open market. With the lessened supply of these bills, the market, apparently, was able to absorb the new offer-
ings without enlisting the assistance of the Federal Reserve Banks. Reduction from $\$ 254,400,000$ to $\$ 240,900,000$ in the total of socalled "Pittman" certificates held by the banks with the Treasury of the United States to secure Federal Reserve Bank note circulation is due in part to the redemption by the Government of $\$ 10,000,000$ of these certificates held as excess collateral by the Boston, New York, Philadelphia, Atlanta, Chicago, and Dallas banks. A further reduction of $\$ 3,500,000$ was made in connection with the issuance of silver certificates and the deposit with the Treasury by the New York and Chicago banks of equivalent funds to cover the withdrawals of Federal Reserve Bank note circulation. An increase of $\$ 3,300,000$ in other Treasury certificates represents largely the increase in the amount held under repurchase agreements, largely by the New York and Philadelphia banks. As a consequence of the changes shown, total earning assets show a decline of $\$ 201,700,000$ for the four weeks under review, and on April 22 stood at $\$ 2,490,700,000$, or $\$ 931,200,000$ below the peak figure reported on October 15 of last year.

Rediscounting operations are reported by the Richmond and Dallas Federal Reserve Banks. On April 22 the Dallas bank reported $\$ 7,400,000$ of bills held under rediscount with the Boston and Cleveland banks, compared with $\$ 14,700,000$ held under rediscount with the Cleveland bank four weeks earlier. During the last week under review the Richmond bank rediscounted $\$ 10,000,000$ of bills with the New York bank, which no longer appears among the borrowing Federal Reserve Banks. Aggregate contingent liabilities of the Federal

Reserve Banks on bills purchased for foreign correspondents continued unchanged at $\$ 32,400,000$.

Total deposits show a decline for the period of $\$ 91,500,000$, all classes of deposits, but largely those on Government account, sharing in the decline. Federal Reserve note circulation continued its decline from $\$ 2,930,700,000$ to $\$ 2,856,700,000$, or at an average weekly rate of $\$ 18,500,000$. Between December 23, 1920, and April 22 of the present year, the reduction in Federal Reserve note circulation amounted to $\$ 548,200,000$, or to 16 per cent, while as compared with the circulation figures for the corresponding Friday in 1920, a decline of $\$ 211$,600,000 , or of 7 per cent, is shown. There is also noted a reduction during the period of $\$ 15,900,000$ in the Federal Reserve Banks' net liabilities on Federal Reserve Bank notes, corresponding to a reduction of $\$ 13,500,000$ in the amount of Pittman certificates held by the banks as cover for these notes.

Owing to the continued imports of gold from Europe and the purchase of this gold by the Federal Reserve Banks, gold reserves show a further gain for the period of $\$ 87,300,000$. This gain is partially offset by a loss of $\$ 16,500,000$ in other reserves, i. e., silver and legals. Since the beginning of the year gold holdings of the Federal Reserve Banks,largely through purchase of imported gold, show a gain of $\$ 235,300,000$, while total cash reserves increased during the same period by $\$ 239,100,000$. The banks' reserve ratio, owing to the substantial reduction in note and deposit liabilities and the simultaneous gain in cash reserves, shows a steady rise during the review period from 50.8 to 54.1 per cent.

CASH RESERVES, TOTAL DEPOSITS, FEDERAL RESERVE NOTE CIRCULATION, AND RESERVE PERCENTAGES FOR MARCH AND APRIL, 1921.
[Daily averages. Amounts in thousands of dollars.]

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{2}{*}{Federal Reserve Bank.} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Total cash reserves.} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Total deposits.} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Federal Reserve notes in circulation.} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Reserve percentage.} \\
\hline \& April. \& March. \& April. \& March. \& April. \& March. \& April. \& March. \\
\hline Boston. \& 257,249 \& 228, 977 \& 112,520 \& 114, 174 \& 257,916 \& 263,028 \& 69.4 \& 60.7 \\
\hline New York. \& 778, 936 \& 661,950 \& \({ }^{671}, 799\) \& \({ }^{678,428}\) \& 762,884 \& \& 54.3 \& 45. 1 \\
\hline Philadelphia \& 192,534 \& 192,265 \& 106,546 \& 109,383 \& 235, 260 \& \({ }_{296}^{247,776}\) \& 56.3 \& 53.8 \\
\hline Cleveland. \& 286,271 \& 308,282 \& 143,834 \& 153,948 \& \({ }_{139} 283,200\) \& 296,596 \& \({ }^{67.0}\) \& 68.4 \\
\hline Richmond \& \begin{tabular}{l}
82,542 \\
\hline 98
\end{tabular} \& -95,992 \& 58,054 \& 54,161 \& 155,675 \& 159,336 \& 44.4 \& 42.1 \\
\hline Chicago.. \& 337, 832 \& 351,637 \& 245,052 \& 258, 126 \& 474,999 \& 487, 105 \& 46.9 \& 47.2 \\
\hline St. Lonis \& 102,009 \& 101, 513 \& 67, 133 \& 69,555 \& 113,397 \& 119,181 \& 56.5 \& 53.8 \\
\hline Minneapolis. \& 48,367 \& 55, 826 \& 46,050 \& 48, 234 \& 66,748 \& 70,073 \& 42.9 \& 47.2 \\
\hline Kansas City. \& 73,171 \& 82, 306 \& 76,644 \& 83, 599 \& 91,155 \& 97,446 \& 43.6 \& 45.5 \\
\hline Dallas.. \& 41,448 \& 44,141 \& 48,681 \& 53,998 \& 57,512 \& 62,931 \& 39.0 \& 37.8 \\
\hline San Francisco \& 194,547 \& 190,734 \& 124,619 \& 122,919 \& 232,045 \& 237, 522 \& 54.5 \& 52.9 \\
\hline Total, 1921. \& 2,485,079 \& 2,403,470 \& 1,749, 568 \& \& \& \& 53.8 \& 50.2 \\
\hline 1920. \& 2,084,077 \& \(2,058,293\)
2 \& \& \(2,832,787\)
1 \& \(\xrightarrow{3,071,754}\) \& \(3,040,440\)
\(2,503,350\) \& 153.0

152.0 \& <br>
\hline \& 2,224,948 \& 2, 202,368 \& 1,878,879 \& 1,951,752 \& 2,547,535 \& 2,503,350 \& 152.0 \& ${ }^{1} 51.6$ <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

[^15]

RESOURCES AND LIABILITIES OF EACH FEDERAL RESERVE BANK ON FRIDAYS, APR. 1 TO 22, 1921.
RESOURCES.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | Total. | Boston. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { New } \\ & \text { York. } \end{aligned}$ | Philadelphia. | Cleveland. | Richmond. | Atlanta. | Chicago. | St. Louis. | Minneapolis. | Kansas City. | Dallas. | San <br> Francisco. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gold and gold certifcates: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr.1........... | 299,485 | 7,428 | 214, 187 | 3,21e | 6,628 | 3,422 | 4,900 | 21,300 | 3,213 | 8,319 | 2,605 | 6,701 | 17,566 |
| Apr. 8. | 313,322 | 7,506 | 226, 697 | 3,275 | 6,710 | 3,405 | 5,435 | 21,073 | 3,315 | 8,351 | 2,623 | 7,040 | 17, 892 |
| Apr. 15. | 327,637 339,432 | 7,598 | 240,265 251,345 | 3,294 | $\mathbf{6 , 7 5 9}$ $\mathbf{6 , 6 4 6}$ | 3,419 $\mathbf{3 , 4 0 3}$ | 5,508 5 | -21,155 | 3,351 <br> 3,369 | $\stackrel{8,377}{8,413}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 8 3 6}$ $\mathbf{2 , 7 2 4}$ | 7,223 7,255 | 18,052 18,566 |
| Gold settiement fund-Federal Reserve Board: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. 1............ | 497,790 | 36,499 | 131, 932 | 59,039 | 73,442 | 20,192 | 12, 856 | 62, 478 | 20,857 | 17,015 | 27,161 | 7,210 | 29,109 |
| Apr. 8 | 501,061 | 52,963 | 56,688 | 55,231 | 84,000 | 20,228 | 13,687 | 105,539 | 27, 185 | 13,756 | 28,521 | 6,287 | 39,996 |
| Apr. 15. | 468,241 | 53,218 | 61, 704 | 41, 478 | 66, 957 | 18,608 | 13,656 | 107,792 | 20, 365 | 10,348 | 28, 849 | 4,036 | 39,230 |
| Gold ${ }^{\text {Apr }}$ with Federai | 477,229 | 53,467 | 59, 899 | 48, 813 | 72, 105 | 22,982 | 14,348 | 103,988 | 19,822 | 9.977 | 28,004 | 6,506 | 37,318 |
| Gold with Federal Reserve Agents: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. 1. | 1,300,345 | 135,988 | 300, 115 | 120,395 | 196,558 | 50,377 | 55,401 | 175, 766 | 57,916 | 25, 410 | 35,847 | ${ }^{15,826}$ | 129,746 |
| Apr. 8. | $1,306,949$ | 157,459 | 299, 597 | 128,495 | 196,347 | 45,777 | 54, 892 | 165,299 | 57,504 | 26,095 | 35, 161 | 19, 108 | 121,218 |
| ${ }_{\text {Apr. }}$ Apr. | 1,346,558 | 164,784 | 299,177 298,524 | 129,555 | 194,920 194,639 | 42,537 46,297 | 64,213 60,057 | 177,730 170,430 | 67,400 63,265 | 26, 26 | 34,520 33,089 | 18,158 16,485 | 127, 398 |
| Gold redemption |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 128,782 |
| $\text { Apr. } 1 .$ | 148,819 | 29, 279 | 36,000 | 8,451 | 6,024 | 6,194 | 7,026 | 24,948 | 5,134 | 2,819 | 4,901 | 7,697 | 10,343 |
| Apr. 8. | 139,678 | 17,176 | 36,000 | 11,837 | 5,360 | 9,754 | 6,897 | 25,161 | 5,242 | 3,908 | 4,174 | 4,121 | 10,018 |
| Apr. 15 | 146,443 | 19,260 | 36,000 | 10,397 | 5,823 | 11,897 | 6,769 | 31,303 | 4,081 | 3,330 | 4,315 | 4,768 | 8,520 |
| Apr. 22. | 159,594 | 21,211 | 36,000 | 15,150 | 7,425 | 7,034 | 7,823 | 37,983 | 3,958 | 3,097 | 3,346 | 6,203 | 10,364 |
| otal gold reserves: Apr. 1 | 2,246, 439 | 209,194 | 682,231 | 191,104 | 282,652 | 80,185 | 80,183 | 284,492 | 87, 120 | 53,563 | 71,514 | 37,434 | 186,764 |
| Apr. 8. | 2,264,010 | 235,104 | 618,979 | 198,838 | 292. 117 | 79, 164 | 80,891 | 317,072 | 93, 246 | 52,110 | 70,479 | 36,556 | 189,154 |
| Apr. 15 | 2,286,879 | 244,850 | 637,046 | 184,724 | 274,459 | 76,461 | 90, 146 | 337,980 | 95, 177 | 48,321 | 70,320 | 34,185 | 193,200 |
| Apr. 22 | 2,298,071 | 254,499 | 645,768 | 182,879 | 280,815 | 79, 716 | 87,674 | 333,693 | 90,414 | 43,971 | 67,163 | 36,449 | 195.030 |
| Legal tender notes, silver, etc.: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. 1... | 214,792 | 14,790 | 151,207 | 3,106 | 3,319 | 4,810 | 4,593 | 11,976 | 9,392 | 532 | 3,242 | 5,240 | 2,585 |
| Apr. 8. | 217, 824 | 15,038 | 151,272 | 3,027 | 3,447 | 4,516 | 5,129 | 13,103 | 10,248 | 633 | 3,399 | 5,329 | 2,683 |
| Apr. 15. | 198, 198 | 15,328 | 130, 428 | 3,428 | 3,824 | 4,231 | 5,098 | 13,532 | 10,276 | 590 | 3,366 | 5,421 | 2,676 |
| 1 pr. 22. | 191,733 | 15,907 | 122, 972 | 4,083 | 4,080 | 4,415 | 5,484 | 13,964 | 11,158 | 554 | 3,449 | 6,009 | 2,658 |
| Apr. 1. | 2,461,231 | 223, 984 | 833,441 | 194, 210 | 285, 971 | 84, 995 | 84,776 | 296,468 | 96,512 | 54, 095 | 74,756 | 42,674 | 189,349 |
| Apr. 8. | 2,481, 834 | 250, 142 | 770,251 | 201, 865 | 295, 864 | 83,680 | 86, 020 | 330,175 | 103,494 | 52, 743 | 73, 878 | 41, 885 | 191, 837 |
| Apr. 15 | 2,485, 077 | 260, 188 | 767, 474 | 188, 152 | 278, 283 | 80,692 | 95, 244 | 351,512 | 105,453 | 48,911 | 73, 686 | 39,606 | 195, 876 |
| Apr. 22. | 2, 492, 804 | 270, 406 | 768,740 | 186,962 | 284, 895 | 84, 131 | 93, 158 | 347, 657 | 101, 572 | 44, 525 | 70,612 | 42, 458 | 197,688 |
| ills discounted: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Socured by |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| United States Government |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| obligations- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. 1. | 950, 688 | 63, 338 | 335, 628 | 106,646 | 62, 194 | 52, 877 | 56,571 | 128, 391 | 36,665 | 13, 118 | 34, 147 | 12,774 | 48,339 |
| Apr. 8 | 936, 021 | 54, 361 | 342,653 349207 | 102, 335 | ${ }^{53,991}$ | 49,688 <br> 48,148 | 54, 353 | 127, 644 | 35, 993 | 13, 648 | 34, 738 | 11, 365 | 49, 250 |
| Apr. 15. | 929,186 942,665 | 46,770 45,161 | $\xrightarrow[367,624]{349}$ | 104,274 108,198 | $\xrightarrow{66,888} \mathbf{5}$ | 48, 4848 | 52,433 52,893 | 126, 1214 | 35,225 34,584 | 13,142 15,368 | 33,450 32,804 | 9, 243 12,645 | 50,158 46,045 |
| All Apr. $22 . . . .$. | 942,665 | 45, 161 | 367,624 | 108, 198 | 56,889 | 43, 640 | 52,893 | 126, 814 | 34, 584 | 15, 368 | 32,804 | 12,645 | 46,045 |
| Apr. 1 | 1, 263, 907 | 84, 291 | 288, 430 | 45,987 | 79, 852 | 64, 428 | 67, 162 | 299, 050 | 51, 020 | 56, 718 | 64, 253 | 49,366 | 113, 350 |
| Apr. 8 | 1, 218, 731 | 69,371 | 304, 324 | 29,698 | 77,751 | 65, 454 | 64, 053 | 270, 348 | 48, 513 | 58, 548 | 64, 895 | 51, 887 | 113, 889 |
| Apr. 15 | 1, 175, 368 | 60, 754 | 291, 576 | 40, 222 |  |  |  |  | 43, 494 | 58, 516 | 62,439 | 52,537 | 109, 308 |
| Apr. 22 | 1, 171, 191 | 61, 425 | 275, 035 | 36, 035 | 88,372 | 68,940 | 63,402 | 247, 123 | 45, 903 | 59, 166 | 64,302 | 52, 187 | 109, 301 |
| Bills bought in open market:' |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. 1. | 122, 491 | 9,431 | 42,185 | 14, 57 | 21, 596 | 2,798 | 711 | 12,049 | 2,225 |  | 185 | 21 | 16, 718 |
| Apr. 8. | 103, 607 | 6,405 | 34,492 | 15, ¢ ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 19,161 |  | 727 | 9,298 | 1,380 |  | 170 | 21 | 14,030 |
| Apr. 15.. | 119, 582 | 7,233 | 50, 849 | 16,856 | 19,184 | 1,724 1,825 | 742 | 9,950 | 1,453 |  | 69 | 25 | 11, 497 |
| Apr. $22 . . . . . . .$. | 104, 452 | 6, 866 | 42,085 | 14, 018 | 17,520 | 1,825 | 718 | 8,991 | 784 |  | 25 | 25 | 11, 595 |
| United States Government bonds: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. 1... | 25,720 | 550 | 1,255 | 1,434 |  |  | 113 | 4,490 | 1,153 | 116 | 8,867 | 3,979 | 1,697 |
| Apr. 8. | 25,547 | 550 | 1,255 | $\begin{array}{r}1,434 \\ 1,434 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 883 | 1,233 1,233 | 114 | 4,489 | 1,153 | 116 | 8,867 | 3,979 | 1, 523 |
| ${ }_{\text {Apr. }}{ }^{\text {Apr. } 22 .}$ | 25,914 25,691 | 550 550 | 1,255 | 1,434 | 8834 | 1,233 1,233 | ${ }_{621}^{481}$ | 4,490 4,490 | 1,153 1,153 | 116 | 8,867 8,867 | 3,979 3,979 | 1,523 |
| United States Vic- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3,970 | 1,409 |
| tory notes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. 1. | 19 | 5 |  |  | 10 |  | 3 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Apr. $8 .$. | 19 | 5 |  |  | 10 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. 22. | 19 | 5 |  |  | 10 |  | 3 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| United States certificates of indebted- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ness: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One year certificates (Pittman |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\text { Act)- } 1$ |  |  | 56,276 | 28,280 | 23,799 | 12,260 | 16,664 | 38,612 | 13,068 | 8,480 |  |  |  |
| Apr. $8 .$. | 247,375 | 20, 436 | 56,276 | 28,280 | 23,799 | 12,260 | 16,664 | 38,612 | 13,068 | 8,480 | 10,320 | 88,300 | 10,880 |
| Apr. 15. | 245,875 | 20, 436 | 55, 276 | 28, 280 | 23,799 | 12, 260 | 16, 664 | 38,112 | 13,068 | 8,480 | 10,320 | 8,300 | 10,880 |
| Apr. 22. | 240,875 | 20,436 | 55,276 | 28, 280 | 23,799 | 12,260 | 15,564 | 38,112 | 13,068 | 8,480 | 10,320 | 4,400 | 10,880 |
| All other-1 | 2,983 | 174 | 1,424 | 926 | 21 | 2 | 1 | 77 | 256 |  |  | 100 | 2 |
| Apr. $8 . . . . . .$. | 6,303 | 352 479 | 5,000 5,934 | ${ }_{874} 7$ |  | 1 | 1 | 19 45 | 30 45 |  | 100 |  | 165 |
| Apr. 15....... | 5,827 | $\stackrel{4}{49}$ | 1,860 | 2,626 | 125 | 1 | 1 | 108 | 628 | 31 | 100 |  | 190 |

RESOURCES AND LIABLITTIES OF EACH FEDERAL RESERVE BANK ON FRIDAYS，APR． 1 TO 22，1921－Continued．
RESOURCES－Continued．
［In thousands of dollars．］

|  | Total． | Boston． | New York． | Phila－ delphia． | Cleve－ land． | Rich－ mond． | Atlanta． | Chicago． | St． Louis． | Minne－ apolis． | Kansas City． | Dallas． | San <br> Fran－ cisco． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total earning assets： |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr． $1 . . . . . .$. | $2,613,183$ $2,537,603$ | 178，225 | 725,198 744,000 | 197,845 178,162 | ${ }_{181}^{188,305}$ | 133,598 130,879 | 141，225 | 482,669 450,410 | 104,387 100 137 | 78,432 80,792 | 117，773 | 74,540 75,552 | 180,986 189,737 |
| Apr． 15. | 2，503，768 | 136，227 | 754， 397 | 191，940 | 198，854 | 127， 539 | 130， 167 | 422，036 | 94，438 | 80，284 | 115，246 | 74，084 | 183，556 |
| Apr． 22. | 2，490，720 | 134，748 | 742，885 | 190，591 | 187，549 | 127， 899 | 133， 202 | 425， 638 | 96， 120 | 83，161 | 116， 319 | 73，236 | 179，372 |
| Bank premises： Apr． 1. | 20，651 | 3，232 | 4，708 | 506 | 1，716 | 1，635 | 729 | 2，828 | 626 | 599 | 1，741 | 1，802 | 529 |
| Apr． 8. | 21，002 | 3，269 | 4，708 | 501 | 1，733 | 1，640 | 730 | 2，828 | 626 | 599 | 2，032 | 1，807 | 529 |
| Apr． 15. | 21，514 | 3，290 | 4，708 | 508 | 1，802 | 1，752 | 730 | 3，106 | 626 | 599 | 2，032 | 1，820 | 541 |
| Apr． $22 .$. | 21，782 | 3，307 | 4，910 | 520 | 1，802 | 1，768 | 730 | 3，106 | 626 | 599 | 2，052 | 1，821 | 541 |
| 5 per cent redemption fundagainst Federal ReserveBanknotes： |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr． $1 .$. | 11，856 | 1，072 | 1，916 | 1，300 | 1，239 | 602 | 664 | 2，006 | 523 | 367 | 916 | 586 | 665 |
| Apr． 8. | 11， 647 | 1，072 | 1，840 | 1，300 | 1，239 | 602 | 566 | 1，930 | 523 | 408 | 916 | 586 | 665 |
| Apr． 15 | 12，166 | 1，072 | 1，835 | 1，300 | 1，239 | 602 | 744 | 2，085 | 523 | 599 | 916 | 586 | 665 |
| Apr． $22 . . . . . .$. | 11，562 | 1，072 | 1，812 | 1，300 | 1，239 | 601 | 653 | 1，899 | 523 | 417 | 916 | 586 | 544 |
| Apr． | 554，315 | 41，898 | 115，590 | 49，254 | 53，860 | 44，417 | 23，565 | 76，282 | 29，603 | 15，907 | 39，503 | 26，736 | 37，700 |
| Apr． 8. | 544，255 | 38，255 | 111， 609 | 50，323 | 47，851 | 44，118 | 22，934 | 69，829 | 36，592 | 15，374 | 42，039 | 27，099 | 38， 232 |
| Apr． 15 | 618，107 | 47， 872 | 135， 855 | 57，072 | 60,080 | 48，905 | 23，562 | 78，118 | 34， 228 | 16，005 | 44，002 | 27， 288 | 45， 120 |
| ${ }^{\text {Apr }}$ A2． | 550，950 | 46，390 | 114，524 | 49，148 | 51，194 | 43，406 | 21，615 | 73，552 | 29，855 | 16， 180 | 40，190 | 27，171 | 37，725 |
| Al Apr．1．．．．．．．．．． | 11，200 | 519 | 3，062 | 572 | 607 | 493 | 683 | 1，706 | 567 | 148 | 578 | 1，763 | 502 |
| Apr．8．．．．．．．．．．．． | 11，454 | 505 | 3，252 | 539 | 655 | 709 | 527 | 1，782 | 558 | 147 | 582 | 1，691 | 507 |
| Apr． 15. | 11，892 | 534 | 3，259 | 592 | 707 | 1，206 | 622 | 2，213 | 579 | 169 | 608 | 919 | 484 |
| Apr． $22 . . . . . . . . . .$. | 12，310 | 614 | 3，359 | 601 | 754 | 678 | 572 | 2，233 | 588 | 187 | 582 | 1，716 | 426 |
| Total resources： <br> Apr． | 5，672，436 | 448，930 | 1，683，915 | 443，687 | 531，698 | 265，740 | 251，642 | 861，959 | 232，218 | 149，548 | 235， 267 |  |  |
| Apr． 8. | 5，607， 795 | 444， 723 | $1,635,660$ | 432， 690 | 528，888 | 261，628 | 246，694 | 856，954 | 241，930 | 150，063 | 238， 438 | 148，620 | 421，507 |
| Apr． 15. | 5， 5552,524 | 449， 183 | 1， $1,667,528$ | 439， 564 | 535， 965 | 260，696 | 251，069 | 859，070 | 235， 847 | 146， 567 | 236， 490 | 144， 303 | 426，242 |
| Apr．22．．．．．．．．．．．． | 5，580，128 | 456，537 | 1，636， 230 | 429， 122 | 527，433 | 258， 483 | 249， 930 | 854， 085 | 229，284 | 145，069 | 230， 671 | 146， 988 | 416，＇296 |
| ${ }^{1}$ Includes bills dis－ counted for other Federal Reserve Banks： |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr．1．．．．．．．．．．．． | 14，764 |  |  |  | 14，764 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr．8．．．．．．．．．．． | 12，405 |  |  |  | 12，405 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr． $15 . . . . . . . .$. | 12，169 | 1，500 |  |  | 10，669 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr． 22. | 17，437 | 2，000 | 10，000 | ．．．．．．． | 5，437 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{2}$ Includes bankers acceptances bought from other Federal Reserve Banks without their in－ dorsement： |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr．1．．．．．．． | 1，562 |  | 25 |  | 521 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr． $8 . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. | 262 |  | 25 |  | 171 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16 |
|  | 203 25 | ．．．．．．．． | ${ }_{25}^{25}$ |  | 162 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16 |
| Apr． $22 . .$. ．．．．．．．． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



|  | \%ise |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =\boxed{4}=7 \\ & \text { 응응ㅇㅇㅇ } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\stackrel{-}{ }$ | 馬気柲忥 | い0や嵒 | S8888 | 它它家 |
|  | 承家发发 |  |  |  | ¢\％\％ |


| 5，331 | 4，047 | 14，172 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5，328 | 4，049 | 14，172 |
| 5，372 | 4，059 | 14，172 |
| 5，386 | 4，067 | 14，202 |
| 10，561 | 8，343 | 28，980 |
| 10，561 | 8，343 | 28，980 |
| 10，561 | 8，343 | 28，980 |
| 10，561 | 8，343 | 28，980 |
| 7，793 | 7，557 | 13，756 |
| 2，046 | 5，131 | 6，047 |
| 1，513 | 1，594 | 3，648 |
| 4，729 | 1，430 | 9，97！ |
| 55，110 | 44，598 | 231，558 |
| 56，442 | 43，222 | 237，127 |
| 54，095 | 43， 867 | 240，594 |
| 53，048 | 44，632 | 235，794 |
| 455 | 390 | 2，467 |
| 457 | 369 | 2，315 |
| 505 | 389 | 2，699 |
| 539 | 371 | 3，485 |
| 63，358 | 52，545 | 247，781 |
| 58，945 | 48，722 | 24，489 |
| 56，113 | 45，850 | 216，851 |
| 58，316 | 46，433 | 249，253 |


| 9099938 |  | 98890 | cnem | ${ }^{\infty} \times \infty$ | させが |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 或页矣年 |  |  |



| 4，488 | 4，132 | 7，225 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4，488 | 4，132 | 7，231 |
| 4，475 | 4，132 | 7，238 |
| 4，475 | 4，133 | 7，233 |
| 9，159 | 6，033 | 14， 194 |
| 9,159 | 6，033 | 14， 191 |
| 9，159 | 6，033 | 14， 194 |
| 9,159 | 6，033 | 14， 194 |
| 5，970 | 6，637 | 6，232 |
| 2，446 | 3，707 | 4， 519 |
| 5，156 | 1，231 | 6，498 |
| 3，022 | 3.006 | 3，907 |
| 70，459 | 44，535 | 108， 118 |
| 77，076 | 45，756 | 112，221 |
| 71，862 | 45，115 | 111，781 |
| 72，817 | 4．1，298 | 107， 122 |
| 519 | 441 | 10． 532 |
| 493 | $40 \pm$ | 10，177 |
| 790 | 555 | 10，026 |
| 970 | 516 | 9，205 |
| 76， 978 | 51，613 | 121，882 |
| 80,015 | 49，867 | 126，917 |
| 77， 808 | 46，901 | 128，308 |
| 76，809 | 47，760 | 120，234 |



MATURITY DISTRIBUTION OF BILLS AND CERTIFICATES OF INDEBTEDNESS HELD BY ALL FEDERAL RESERVE BANKS COMBINED.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | Total. | Within 15 days. | $\begin{gathered} 16 \text { to } 30 \\ \text { days. } \end{gathered}$ | 31 to 60 days. | 61 to 90 days. | Over 90 days. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bills discounted: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. 1. | 2, 214, 595 | 1,287, 221 | 224,009 | 393,659 | 269,649 | ${ }^{40,057}$ |
| Apr. 8. | 2,154.752 | 1, 246, 667 | 217,566 | 402, 366 | 248,446 | 39,707 |
| Apr. 15. | 2, 104,554 | 1, 231, 807 | 208, 163 | ${ }_{410,801}^{4102}$ | 207, 684 | 46,099 |
| Bills bought in open market: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. 8. | 103, 607 | 35,245 | 28,108 | 31, 135 | 9,119 |  |
| Apr. 15. | 119,582 | 57,335 | 24, 860 | 28,626 | 8,761 |  |
| Apr. $22 . .$. | 104, 451 | 50,389 | 22,921 | 24,743 | 6,398 |  |
| United States certificates of indebtedness: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. 8. | 253,678 | 6,425 | 4,500 | 4,563 | 8,945 | 229,245 |
| Apr. 15. | 253, 699 | 14,758 | 2,052 | 10,625 | 9,125 | 217, 139 |
| Apr. 22. | 246, 702 | 6,860 | 1,772 | 7,097 | 7,604 | 223,369 |

## FEDERAL RESERVE NOTES.

federal reserve agents' accounts on fridays, apr. 1 TO 22, 1921.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | Total. | Boston. | New York. | Philar delphia. | Cleve land. | Richmond. | Atlanta. | Chicago. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { St. } \\ & \text { Louis. } \end{aligned}$ | Minneapolis. | Kansas City | Dallas. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { San } \\ & \text { Fran- } \\ & \text { cisco. } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Resources. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal Reservenotes on hand: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. 1.......... | 821,271 | 106,410 | 268, 001 | 28,540 | 43,430 | 28,229 | 79,476 | 148, 441 | 26,840 | 12,765 | 4,400 | 29,439 | 45,300 |
| Apr. 8. | 814, 484 | 104,010 | 268, 000 | 28,540 | 42, 270 | 25,689 | 75, 805 | 153, 200 | 28,320 | 11, 890 | 4,600 | 28, 889 | 45, 301 |
| Apr. ${ }^{\text {Apr }}$ | 802,823 806,642 | ${ }^{104,210}$ | 268,000 288,000 | 28,540 24,420 | 41,630 $\mathbf{3 8}, 770$ | 24,089 25,108 | 63,565 78,815 | 154,540 155,060 | 28,320 27,520 | 12,130 11,700 | 3,740 4,600 | 28,759 28,339 | 45,300 43,700 |
| Federal Reservenotes outstanding: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. 1. | 3, 263,111 | 271,565 | 918, 095 | 263, 943 | 315,146 | 149,221 | 159,045 | 520,640 | 139,099 | 70,336 | 102,204 | 63,847 | 289,970 |
| Apr. 8 | 3,246, 061 | 270,436 | 920,431 | 261,043 | 313, 895 | 147, 162 | 160,206 | 514, 014 | 138, 509 | 69,896 | 101,318 | 62,709 | 286,442 |
| Apr. 15 | 3, 224, 111 | 270,561 | 901,637 | 259, 103 | 310,508 | 146, 242 | 169, 767 | 513,745 | 136,904 | 68, 827 | 100, 536 | 61, 859 | 284,422 |
| Apr. 22 | 3, 198,002 | 273,049 | 887,757 | 257, 259 | 309, 287 | 145,982 | 166,861 | 511,845 | 134,669 | 68,275 | 100,006 | 60,606 | 282,406 |
| Federal Reserve |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| aotes outstanding: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gold and gold |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. 1... | 233, 853 | 5,600 | 176,925 |  | 23,775 |  | 3,500 |  | 6,110 | 13,052 |  | 4,891 |  |
| Apr. 8. | 233, 852 | 5,600 | 176,924 |  | 23,775 |  | 3,500 |  | 6, 110 | 13,052 |  | 4,891 |  |
| Apr. 15. | 233, 852 | 5,600 | 176,924 |  | 23,775 |  | 3,500 |  | 6, 110 | 13,052 |  | 4,891 |  |
| Apld redemption | 233, 853 | 5,600 | 176,925 |  | 23,775 |  | 3,500 |  | 6,110 | 13,052 |  | 4,891 |  |
| fund- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. 1. | 106, 157 | 15,388 | 7,190 | 13,006 | 17,783 | 3,877 | 2,401 | 15, 121 | 2,475 | 2,158 | 3,487 | 4,701 | 18,570 |
| Apr. 8. | 120, 988 | 21,859 | 16,670 | 13, 106 | 17, 572 | 2,277 | 3, 392 | 14,655 | 4,164 | 2,843 | 3,801 | 4,983 | 15,666 |
| Apr. 15.... | 111, 570 | 19,184 | 16,153 | 12, 166 | 16,145 15,864 | 2,037 $\mathbf{2 , 7 9 7}$ | 4,713 | 14, 148 | 4,559 | 3,014 | 2,160 2 | 4,033 | 13, 321 |
| Gold Apr. 22....... | 104, 409 | 16,572 | 15,599 | 11,203 | 15, 864 | 1,797 | 3,557 | 14,786 | 3,524 | 3,232 | 2,729 | 4,360 | 11, 186 |
| Gold settlement fund-Federal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reserve Board- | 960,335 | 115,000 | 116,000 | 107, 389 | 155,00 | 46,500 | 49,500 | 160,645 | 49,331 | 10,200 | 33,360 | , 234 |  |
| Apr. 8. | 952, 109 | 130,000 | 106, 000 | 115, 389 | 155,000 | 43, 500 | 48,000 | 150,644 | 47, 230 | 10, 200 | 31,360 | 9,234 | 105, 552 |
| Apr. 15 | 1,001, 136 | 140,000 | 106,000 | 117,389 | 155,000 | 40,500 | 56,000 | 163,645 | 56,731 | 10, 200 | 32, 360 | 9,234 | 114,077 |
| Apr. $22 . . . .$. | 983,554 | 150,000 | 106,000 | 104, 389 | 155,000 | 44,500 | 53,000 | 155,644 | 53,631 | 6,200 | 30, 360 | 7,234 | 117, 596 |
| Eligible paperAmount re- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | , |  | 11, |
| Apr. | 1,962,766 | 135,577 | 617,980 | 143, 548 | 118,588 | 98,844 | 103,644 | 344, 874 | 81,183 | 44,926 | 65,357 | 48,021 | 160,224 |
| Apr. 8. | 1, 939, 112 | 112,977 | 620, 837 | 132, 548 | 117,548 | 101,385 | 105,314 | 348,715 | 81,005 | 43,801 | 66, 157 | 43, 601 | 165, 224 |
| Apr. 15... | 1, 877, 5183 | 105,777 | 602,560 589 | 129,548 | 111, 5888 | 103,705 | 105,554 | 336, 015 | 60, 504 | 42,561 | 66; 017 | 43, 711 | 157,024 |
| Apr. $22 .$. <br> Excessamount | 1, 876, 186 | 100,877 | 589, 233 | 141, 667 | 114,648 | 99,685 | 106, 804 | 341, 415 | 71, 404 | 45,791 | 66,917 | 44, 121 | 153, 624 |
| held- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. 1 | 315,6 | 21,483 | 18,953 | 2,324 | 44,448 | 17,037 | 20,790 | 94,323 | 8,666 | 23,727 | 33,187 | 13,741 | 17,012 |
| Apr. 8 | 277,42 | 17,160 | 32,948 | 5,040 19 | ${ }_{59}^{39,012}$ | 15,149 | 13,805 | 58,569 | 4, 869 | 27,467 | 33,571 | 19, 169 | 10,668 |
| Apr. 22. | 289, 299 | 8,980 12,575 | 61,933 | 19,322 4,296 | ${ }^{\text {47,745 }}$ | 11,065 | 10,495 | 41,372 | 10,600 9,817 | 27,167 27,810 | 29,926 30 | $\stackrel{17,611}{ }$ | 12,023 |
| Total resources: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {Apr. }} 1$ | 7,663,189 | 671,023 | 2, 123, 144 | 558,750 | 718, 170 | 343,708 | 418,356 | 1,284, 049 | 313,704 | 177, 164 | 241,995 | 170, 874 | 642,252 |
| Apr. ${ }^{\text {Apr. }} 15$ | 7,544, 7 793 | 662,042 | 2, 141, 810 | - 553,666 | 709, 072 | 335,162 324,482 | 410,022 410,554 | 1, 239,797 | 310,207 312 388 | 179,149 177,951 | 240,807 <br> 234 <br> 184 | 173,446 170 | 628,853 626,539 |
| Apr. $22 . . . . . . . . . . .$. | 7, 491, 945 | 659,283 | 2, 105, 447 | 543, 234 | 705,089 | 328, 137 | 422,733 | $1,220,122$ | 306,675 | 176,060 | 234,762 | 169, ${ }^{168}$ | 6260,535 620 |
| habilities. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net amount of Federal Reserve notes received from Comptroller of the Currency: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. 1. | 4, 084, 382 | 377,975 | 1,186,096 | 292,483 | 358,576 | 177,450 | 238, 521 | 669,081 | 165,939 | 83, 101 | 106,604 | 93,286 |  |
| Apr. 8 | 4,060, 545 | 374,446 | 1, 188,431 | 287, 583 | 356, 165 | 172, 851 | 236, 011 | 667, 214 | 166, 829 | 81, 786 | 105,918 | 91, 568 | 331,743 |
| Apr. 15. | 4,026,934 | 374,771 | 1, 169, 637 | 287,643 | 352, 138 | 170,331 | 233, 332 | 668,285 | 165, 224 | 80, 957 | 104, 276 | 90,618 | 329, 722 |
| Apr. 22. | 4, 004,644 | 373,659 | 1,155,757 | 281,679 | 348,057 | 171, 090 | 245, 676 | 666,905 | 162, 189 | 79,975 | 104,606 | 88,945 | 326, 106 |
| Collateral received from Federal Re |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| serve Bank: <br> Gold- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. 1. | 1,300,345 | 135,988 | 300, 115 | 120,395 | 196, 558 | 50, 377 | 55, 401 | 175,766 | 57,916 | 25, 410 | 36,847 | 15,826 | 129,746 |
| Apr. 8. | 1, 300,949 | 157,459 | 299, 594 | 128, 495 | 196, 347 | 45, 777 | 54, 892 | 165, 299 | 57,504 |  |  | 19, 108 | 121, 218 |
| ${ }_{\text {Apr. }}^{\text {Apr. }} 22$ | 1, 346, 558 | 164,784 172,172 | 299, 077 | 129, 555 | 194,920 | 42, 437 | 64,213 | 177, 730 | 67,400 | 26, 266 | 34,520 | 18, 158 | 127, 398 |
| Eligible pap | 1,321, 816 | 172, 172 | 298, 524 | 115,592 | 194,639 | 46, 297 | 60,057 | 170,430 | 63,265 | 22, 484 | 33,089 | 16,485 | 128,782 |
| Apr. 1. | 2,278,462 | 157,060 | 636,933 | 145,872 | 163,036 | 115, 881 | 124,434 | 439,202 | 89, 849 | 68,653 | 98,544 | 61,762 | 177, 236 |
| Apr. 8. | 2, 216, 539 | 130, 137 | 653, 785 | 137,588 | 156, 560 | 116,534 | 119, 119 | 407,284 | 85, 874 | 71, 268 | 99,728 | 62,770 | 175, 892 |
| Apr. 15 | 2, 174, 005 | 114,757 | 660, 352 | 148, 880 | 168, 579 | 111, 614 | 113,009 | 379, 249 | 80, 164 | 70, 728 | 95,942 | 61,312 | 169, 419 |
| Total liabilities: | 2, 165, 485 | 113,452 | 651, 166 | 145,963 | 162,393 | 110,750 | 117,000 | 382,787 | 81,221 | 73, 601 | 97,067 | 64, 438 | 165,647 |
| Apr. 1. | 7,663,189 | 671,023 | 2,123, 144 | 558,750 | 718, 170 | 343, 708 | 418,356 | 1,284, 049 | 313,704 | 177, 164 | 241,995 | 170, 874 |  |
| ${ }_{\text {Apr. }} 8$. | 7,584,033 | 662,042 | 2, 141, 810 | 553,666 | 709,072 | 335, 162 | 410, 022 | 1, 239,797 | 310, 207 | 179, 149 | 240, 807 | 173, 446 | 628, 853 |
| ${ }_{\text {Apr. }}^{\text {Apr. }} 22$. | 7,547, 497 | 654, 312 659,283 | $2,129,066$ $2,105,447$ | 566,078 543,234 | 715,637 705,089 | 324,482 328,137 | 410,554 422,733 | $1,225,264$ $1,220,122$ | ${ }^{312,788}$ | 177,951 | $\xrightarrow{234,738}$ | 170,088 | 626,539 |
| Apr. 22 | 7,491,945 | 659,283 | 2,105, 447 | 543, 234 | 705,089 | 328, 137 | 422, 733 | 1,220,122 | 306, 675 | 176, 060 | 234, 762 | 169,868 | 620,535 |

INTERDISTRICT MOVEMENT OF FEDERAL RESERVE NOTES DURING THREE MONTHS ENDING MAR. 31, 1921.
[In thousands of dollars.]


## CONDITION OF MEMBER BANKS IN LEADING CITIES.

Credit liquidation as indicated by reductions in loans and discounts of the member banks in leading cities reporting weekly to the Federal Reserve Board, continued uninterruptedly for the four weeks ending April 15. Total loans of these banks were $\$ 12,365,000,000$ on that date, compared with $\$ 12,630,000,000$ four weeks earlier, indicating a reduction in loans
of $\$ 265,000,000$ for the period. Commensurate decreases in deposit liabilities and in accommodation at the Federal Reserve Banks are noted.

Following is a chart showing changes in the principal assets and liabilities of member banks for 1920 and 1921 to date. Also a tabular summary for the most recent five weeks.


## Movement of Principal Assets and Liabilities of Reporting Member Banks.

[In millions of dollars.]

${ }^{1}$ Including bills rediscounted with Federal Reserve Bank.

Liquidation is shown for all classes of loansthose secured by United States Government obligations declined from $\$ 761,000,000$ on March 18 to $\$ 740,000,000$ on April 15; those secured by stocks and bonds declined from $\$ 3,015,000,000$ to $\$ 2,961,000,000$, and all other loans, representing largely commercial paper, show a reduction from $\$ 8,854,000,000$ to $\$ 8,664,000,000$. This reduction of $\$ 190,000,000$ in commercial loans constitutes over 70 per cent of the total loan liquidation for the four weeks.

Member bank holdings of United States bonds fluctuated somewhat from week to week and stood at $\$ 874,000,000$ on April 15, compared with $\$ 866,000,000$ four weeks earlier. Holdings of Victory notes remained practically constant throughout the period, while Treasury certificates on hand followed the usual course by showing reductions for the three weeks after the allotment of the March 15 issue and an increase on April 15 when a new issue was floated. For the period as a whole, Treasury certificates show a reduction of $\$ 52,000,000$. Comparatively slight fluctuations in holdings of other bonds, stocks, and securities resulted in a reduction of $\$ 10,000,000$ for the four weeks under review. As a consequence of all these changes, total loans and investments of all reporting banks show an uninterrupted decline for each week of the period under review and stood on April 15 at $\$ 15,756,000,000$, or $\$ 320,000,000$ below the March 18 amount. For the six months period since October 15, when reporting member bank loans and investments stood at the peak figures of $\$ 17,284,000,000$, the ag-
gregate reduction under this head amounts to $\$ 1,528,000,000$, or approximately at the rate of $\$ 60,000,000$ per week.
Accommodation of member banks at the Federal Reserve Banks shows a decline for the four-week period from $\$ 1,719,000,000$ to $\$ 1,581,000,000$, and the ratio of accommodation declined from 10.7 to 10.0 per cent. For the member banks in New York City a total reduction of $\$ 155,000,000$ in loans and investments is shown between March 18 and April 15. At the same time their accommodation at Federal Reserve Banks declined from $\$ 651,000,000$ to $\$ 552,000,000$, and the ratio of accommodation declined from 12.4 to 10.8 per cent.
Government deposits, which amounted to $\$ 348,000,000$ on March 18, the first Friday after the Treasury transactions in connection with the March 15 income-tax payments, declined to $\$ 304,000,000$ on April 8 , but increased to $\$ 329,000,000$ by April 15 , largely as a result of the allotment on that date of a new issue of Treasury certificates. Other demand deposits (net) showed considerable fluctuations for the period, but stood on April 15 about $\$ 113,000,000$ below the March 18 amount. A decrease of $\$ 2,000,000$ in time deposits is noted for the period. Reserve balances of member banks with the Federal Reserve Banks showed comparatively slight changes, the April 15 amount being $\$ 18,000,000$ in excess of the figure for March 18. Cash in vault declined from $\$ 321,000,000$ at the beginning to $\$ 308,000,000$ at the end of the review period.

PRINCIPAL RESOURCES AND LIABILITIES OF MEMBER BANKS IN LEADING CITIES, ON FRIDAYS FROM MAR. 25 TO APR. 15, 1921.

1. ALL REPORTING MEMBER BANES IN EACH FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICT.
[In thousands of dollars.]


PRINCIPAL RESOURCES AND LIABILITIES OF MEMBER BANKS IN LEADING CITIES, ON FRIDAYS FROM MAR. 25 TO

1. ALL REPORTING MEMBER BANKS IN EACH FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICT-Continued.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | Total. | Boston. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { New } \\ & \text { York. } \end{aligned}$ | Phila-delphia. | Cleveland. | Richmond. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Atlan- } \\ & \text { ta. } \end{aligned}$ | Chica- go. | St. <br> Louis. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Min- } \\ & \text { neapo- } \\ & \text { lis. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Kan- } \\ & \text { sas } \\ & \text { City. } \end{aligned}$ | Dallas. | San Francisco. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Government deposits: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mar. 25. | 328,613 | 24,750 | 170,639 | 36,560 | 29,851 | 8,214 | 2,323 | 26,125 | 6,437 | 4,156 | 4,091 | 1,835 | 13,632 |
| Apr. 1. | 325,765 | ${ }_{28}^{24,749}$ | 170,639 | 35,935 | 29,938 | 8,147 | 2,060 | 25,010 | 6,527 | ${ }_{4}^{4,156}$ | 4,091 | 1,835 | 12,678 |
| Apr. 8. | 304,287 329,192 | 26, 242 | 167,211 | ${ }_{36,725}^{34,13}$ | 28,030 | 8,343 | 2,943 | 25,635 | 8,080 | 7,086 | 4,088 | 2,464 | 12,145 |
| Bills payable with Federal Reserve Bank: <br> Secured by United States Government obliga-tions- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mar. 25. | 573,124 | 30,289 | 256,003 | 45,662 | 33,473 | 25,531 | 27,110 | 69,098 | 19,226 | 5,180 | 19,552 | 9,537 | 32,463 |
| Apr. 1. | 526,021 | 25,026 | 224,015 | 44,101 | 35,121 | 28,014 | 26,628 | 66, 523 | 17,165 | 4,551 | 17,993 | 9,288 | 27,596 |
| Apr. 8 | 499,317 | 20,898 | 213, 357 | 40,440 | 33,538 | 24,566 | 24, 831 | 66, 124 | 16,557 | 4,563 | 18,515 | 8,182 | ${ }_{2}^{27,746}$ |
| ${ }_{\text {All }}^{\text {Apr. }}$ ( 15. | 503, 256 | 14, 173 | 223,120 | 42,207 | 37,062 | 24,736 | 25,346 | 63,556 | 16,079 | 4,248 | 16,880 | 6,119 | 29,730 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { other- } \\ & \text { Mar. } 25 . \end{aligned}$ | 3,993 |  |  |  | 36 | 130 | 90 | 2,800 | 190 | 367 |  | 100 | 280 |
| Apr. 1. | 4,668 |  |  |  | 36 |  | 95 | 3,500 |  | 357 |  | 100 | 580 |
| Apr. 8. | 6,195 |  |  |  | 36 |  | 95 | 650 | 4,137 | 357 |  | 100 | 820 |
| Apr. 15. | 1,685 |  |  |  |  |  | 278 | 130 |  | 357 |  | 100 | 820 |
| Bills rediscounted with Federal Reserve Bank: <br> Secured by United States Government obliga-tions- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mar. 25. | 198,649 | 18,294 | 94,710 | 35,334 | 7,189 | 3,268 | 8,558 | 18,852 | 3,742 | 781 | 4,001 | 586 | 3,334 |
| Apr. 1. | 183,338 | 17,253 | 84,128 102 | 31, 216 | 7,038 | 3,562 | 8,228 | 19,181 | 5,026 | 547 | 3,469 | 755 <br> 889 | 2,935 |
| Apr. 8. | 197,061 | 14,632 | 102,338 | 30,476 | 6,592 | 3,775 | 7,895 | 17,991 | 4,675 |  | 3,625 | 889 | 3,646 3,372 |
| Apr. 15. | 190,802 | 12,265 | 100,311 | 30,573 | 6,758 | 2,832 | 7,140 | 17, 208 | 4,856 | 531 | 4,093 | 863 | 3,372 |
| All other- | 988,420 | 75,477 | 307,428 | 42,399 | 65, 854 | 40,008 | 33,614 | 223,306 | 42,513 | 25,944 | 44,276 | 17,348 | 70,153 |
| Apr. 1. | 971,286 | 79,238 | 277,098 | 41,716 | 66,809 | 41,679 | 33,688 | 235,425 | 37,666 | 27,028 | 40,990 | 17,293 | 72,656 |
| Apr. 8. | 928,003 | 64,611 | 292, 931 | ${ }^{25,474}$ | 66,422 | 42,338 | 31,257 | 209, 606 | 35, 776 | 28,920 | 40,824 | 16,911 | 72,933 |
| Apr. 15. | 885,169 | 55, 804 | 280,510 | 35,968 | 75,410 | 41, 021 | 27,350 | 185,550 | 30,508 | 27, 849 | 39,689 | 17,498 | 68,012 |

2. MEMBER BANKS IN FEDERAL RESERVE BANK CITIES.
[In thousands of dollars.]



PRINCIPAL RESOURCES AND LIABILITIES OF MEMBER BANKS IN LEADING CITIES, ON FRIDAYS FROM MAR. 25 TO APR. 15, 1921-Continued.
2. MEMBER BANKS IN FEDERAL RESERVE BANK CITIES-Continued.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | Total. | Boston. | New York. | Phila-delphia. | Cleveland. | Richmond. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Atlan- } \\ \text { ta. } \end{gathered}$ | Chica- <br> go. | st. Louis. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Min- } \\ \text { neapo- } \\ \text { lis. } \end{gathered}$ | Kansas City. | Dallas. | San <br> Francisco. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States Victory Notes: | 106 | 533 | 73,172 | 6,816 | 2 | 181 | 65 |  | 431 | 461 | 195 | 31 |  |
| Apr. 1. | 105, 742 | 532 | 72,957 | 6,784 | 2,194 | 181 | 65 | 12,219 | 416 | 473 | 1, 750 | 31. | 9,140 |
| Apr. 8 | 106,533 | 536 | 72, 349 | 6,838 | 2,128 | 181 | 65 | 13,010 | 413 | 473 | 668 | 31. | 9,841 |
| Apr. 15. | 106,778 | 536 | 72,579 | 6,743 | 2,028 | 181 | 65 | 12,909 | 499 | 473 | 534 | 31 | 10,200 |
| United States certificates of indebtedness: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mar. 25.................... | 197, 238 | 8,573 | 136,580 | 21,587 | 1,492 | 288 | 225 | 12,182 | 2, 106 | 1,805 | 1,506 | 1,170 | 9,724 |
| Apr. 1. | 162,694 | 2,823 | 125, 810 | 12,991 | 967 | 287 | 225 | 9,121 | 758 |  | 768 | 1,005 | 7,441 |
| Apr. 8 | 154, 289 | 3,289, | 116, 412 | 14, 188 | 802 | 287 | 225 | 9,551 | 390 | 482 | 634 |  | 7,034 |
| Apri. $15 .$. | 198, 487 | 9,369 | 136, 537 | 19,773 | 2,800 | 413 | 225 | 14,911 | 913 | 2,339 | 1,141 | 1,361 | 8,705 |
| securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mar. $2 \mathbf{5 .}$ | 1,121, 154 | 47,910 | 559,099 | 125,895 | 67,956 | 4,101 | 3,196 | 146,613 | 41, 845 | 8,368 | 18,414 | 4,232 | 93,525 |
| Apr. 1. | 1,127, 186 | 49,437 | 564, 161 | 126, 014 | 67,163 | 4,022 | 3,220 | 146, 622 | 41, 799 | 8,452 | 17, 895 | 4,429 | 93,972 |
| Apr. 8... | 1,110, 003 | 49,453 50 | 555, 517 | 125, 1818 | -67, 385 | - 4,114 | 3,221 3,226 | 143, 963 | 41,432 42,069 | 8,299 | 16,968 | 4,447 2,405 | 89, 648 <br> 94 <br> 157 |
| Total loans and discounts, andinvestments, including Federal Reserve Bank: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mar. 25. | 10,327,633 | 766,585 5 | 5,176,441 | 812,616 | 511,948 | 103, 284 | 78,517 | 1,436,905 | 371,224 | 152,243 | 207, 889 | 83, 296 | 626,685 |
| Apr. 1. | 10, 273, 829 | 761,861 | 5, 156, 269 | 802, 421 | 511, 937 | 103, 470 | 74, 912 | $1,427,869$ | 366, 608 | 150, 151 | 207, 539 | 83, 201 | 627,591 |
| Apr. 8 | 10, 183, 005 | 749,027 5 | 5, 118,798 | 792,088 | 507,208 | 103, 607 | 74,938 | 1, 409, 130 | 364, 574 | 148, 937 | 207, 171 | 83, 209 | 624,318 |
| Apr. $15 . .$. | 10,156, 098 | 744, 492 | 5, 105,064 | 800, 962 | 508, 038 | 103, 927 | 74,970 | 1, 404, 227 | 361,350 | 150, 902 | 195,792 | 79,135 | 627, 239 |
| Reserve with Federal Reserve Bank: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mar. 25. | 915, 112 | 58,756 | 539,619 | 57,824 | 26,842 | 5,672 | 3,633 | 126,402 | 30,038 | 8,584 | 15,189. | 5,668 | 36,885 |
| Apr. 1. | 930, 502 | 57,032 | 563,146 | ${ }^{62,601}$ | 28,591 | 5,737 | 3,945 | 121,119 | 29, 189 | 8,917 | 14, 206 | 5,363 | 30,656 |
| Apr. 8. | 910, 951 | 58,589 | 533, 576 | 59,670 | 26,737 | 5,824 | 4,025 | 125, 199 | 30,616 | 10,733 | 18,583 | 5,420 | 31,999 |
| Apr. 15. | 929, 071 | 58, 523 | 558, 668 | 59, 110 | 26,192 | 5,995 | 3,699 | 127,796 | 30,029 | 8,820 | 13,587 | 5,039 | 31,613 |
| Mar. 25. | 181, 919 | 13,321 | 92, 258 | 13,690 | 6,909 | 1,196 | 1,909 | 31,306 | 4,077 | 2,441 | 3,402 | 1,908 | 9,492 |
| Apr. 1. | 172, 604 | 12,771 | 86, 837 | 13,084 | 7,153 | 1,160 | 1,975 | 29,649 | 3,866 | 2,012 | 3,144 | 1,795 | 9,158 |
| Apr. 8. | 181,457 | 13, 187 | 92,715 | 12, 952 | 7,301 | 1,189 | 1,939 | 31,263 | 4,137 | 2,417 | 3,201 | 1,819 | 9,334 |
| Apr. 15 | 178, 875 | 12,761 | 92,869 | 13, 147 | 7,405 | 1,093 | 1,868 | 29,895 | 3,864 | 2,133 | 3,058 | 1,840 | 8.942 |
| Mar. 25 | 7,082,263 | 547, 168 | 4,063,690 | 541,548 | 205,377 | 50,130 | 35, 477 | 885,903 | 213, 362 | 85, 234 | 139,732 | 55, 424 | 259, 218 |
| Apr. 1 | 7,176,034 | 550, 835 | 4,178,969 | 549, 097 | 208, 241 | 48, 416 | 36, 258 | 855, 044 | 215,530 | 84, 491 | 138, 265 | 54, 27i | 256, 312 |
| Apr. 8 | 7,115, 514 | 553,615 4 | 4,085,548 | 562, 126 | 208, 105 | 48,0.06 | 35,452 | 87i, 117 | 215, 982 | 84,739 | 1:77,905 | 54,625 | 253, 214 |
| Apr. 15.... | 7,164,112 | 566, 194, | 4, 118, 470 | 551,368 | 201, 823 | 49,116 | 37,255 | 894, 000 | 216,603 | 84, 429 | 136,329 | 55, 029 | 253,496 |
| Time deposi | 1,365, |  | 297,158 | 27,067 | 232,612 | 23,252 | 21,667 | 313,991 | 82,451 | 27, 498 |  | 7,629 | 256,779 |
| Apr. 1. | 1,360, 168 | 64,027 | 289, 931 | 27,666 | 233,648 | 23,319 | 21, 688 | 314,611 | 82,445 | 27,210 | 11,603 | 7,699 | 256, 321 |
| Apr. 8 | 1, 358, 670 | 63, 707 | 297, 871 | 27, 433 | 225, 201 | 23,321 | 21, 377 | 314, 370 | 82, 528 | 27,074 | 11,628 | 7,555 | 255, 605 |
| Apr. $15 .$. | 1,361, 704 | 63, 343 | 289, 681 | 28,809 | 227, 239 | 23, 217 | 21, 829 | 313,150 | 82, 282 | 27,055 | 15,038 | 7,600 | 262, 461 |
| Government deposit <br> Mar. $2 \overline{3}$ | 264, 4 | 19,8 | 165, | 35,402 | 5,168 | 1,297 | 251 | 14,157 | 5,002 | 2,139 |  | ,459 |  |
| Apr. 1 | 262, 24.3 | 19, 898 | 165, 208 | 34, 777 | 5,207 | 1,227 | 240 | 13,098 | 5,002 | 2, 139 | , | 1, 459 | 10, 636 |
| Apr. 8 | 249, 091 | 18,904 | 156,940 | 33,024 | 4,968 | 1,227 | 2.1 | 12,445 | 4,751 | 2, 0,33 | 3,041 | 1,427 | 10, 100 |
| Apr. 15. | 264, 442 | 21, 288 | 162,875 | 35,600 | 3, 043 | 930 | 247 | 16,357 | 6,424 | 3,450 | 3,303 | 1,923 | 8,502 |
| Bills payable with Federal Reserve Bank: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secured by United States Government obliga- tions- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mar. 25 | 382,197 | 26,226 | 233,609 | 41,571 | 2,749 | 5,433 | 705 | 21,618 | 12,493 | 2,030 | 11,005 | 1,600 | 23,158 |
| A; r .1 | 336, 073 | 21,911. | 201,745 | 40,954 | 2,600 | 6,512 | 705 | 20,856 | 10,004 |  | 9,357 | 1,250 | 19, 180 |
| Apr. | 321, 090 | 18,283 | 191, 100 | 37, 394 | 5,100 | 6,201 | 855 | 19,952 | 10, 148 | 999 | 10,227 | 1,350 | 19,481 |
| Apr. 15 | 324, 538 | 11,233 | 199, 601 | 39,561 | 5,300 | 5,473 | 705 | 21,596 | 9,597 | 87 | 8,818 | 980 | 20,787 |
| All other- | 2,800 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,800 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. 1 | 3,500 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3,500 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. 8. | 4,787 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 650 | 4,137 |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. 15 | 130 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 130 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bills rediscounted with Fed- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| eral Reserve Bank: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| secured by United States Government obliga-tions- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mar. 25. | 164, 272 | 17,773 | 92, 678 | 35, 165 | 1,368 |  | 798 | 11, 135 | 1,770 | 489 | 1,113 | 93 | 1,890 |
| Apr. 1 | 149, 497 | 16,882 | 82, 163 | 31,047 | 1,189 |  | 745 | 11,087 | 3,380 | 493 | 1,212 | 105 | 1,194 |
| Apr. 8 | 163, 864 | 14,261 | 100,386 | 30,307 | 657 |  | 723 | 10,314 | 3,161 | 478 | 1,335 | 112 | 2,130 |
| ${ }_{\text {All }}^{\text {Apr. }} 15$ | 158, 504 | 11,894 | 98, 427 | 30,404 | 854 |  | 602 | 9,393 | 3,176 | 488 | 1,149 | 105 | 2,012 |
| Mar. 25 | 721,541 | 75,117 | 279, 103 | 40, 246 | 52,271 | 8,361 | 4,597 | 146, 447 | 26,618 | 19,044 | 20, 528 | 5,298 | 43,911 |
| Apr. | 703,275 | 78, 843 | 249,089 | 39,350 | 53, 002 | 8,524 | 5,242 | 158, 487 | 21, 520 | 18,991 | 19,721 | 5,336 | 45, 170 |
|  | 662,975 | 64, 430 | 268, 175 | 23,510 | 49, 849 | 9,520 | 5,231 | 1:50, 203 | 20, 856 | 21, 090 | 18,622 | 5,651 | 45,778 |
| Apr. 15.............. | 629,993 | 55, 519 | 253, 857 | 34, 555 | 55, 917 | 9,085 | 4,338 | 113, 847 | 16,460 | 20,213 | 18, 422 | 5,830 | 41,950 |

PRINCIPAL RESOURCES AND LIABILITIES OF MEMBER BANKS IN LEADING CITIES, ON FRIDAYS FROM MAR. 25 TO APR. 15, 1921-Continued.
3. MEMBER BANKS IN FEDERAL RESERVE BRANCH CITIES.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

PRINCIPAL RESOURCES AND LIABILITIES OF MEMBER BANKS IN LEADING CITIES, ON FRIDAYS FROM MAR. 25 TO APR. 15, 1921-Continued.
3. MEMBER BANKS IN FEDERAL RESERVE BRANCH CITIES-Continued.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | Total. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { New } \\ & \text { York. } 1 \end{aligned}$ | Cleveland. ${ }^{2}$ | Richmond. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Atlan- } \\ & \text { ta } 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Chica- } \\ \text { go. }{ }^{5} \end{gathered}$ | - St. <br> Louis. ${ }^{6}$ | Minneapolis. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Kansas } \\ & \text { City. } \end{aligned}$ | Dallas. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | San Francisco. ${ }^{10}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bills payable with Federal Reserve Bank: <br> Secured by United States Government obligations- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mar. 25. | 129,564 | 15,421 | 29,018 | 8,093 | 22,974 | 31,959 | 6,170 | 72 | 5,126 | 3,240 | 7,491 |
| Apr. 1.. | 128,340 | 13, 1310 | 30, 484 | 9,573 | 22,692 | 30,396 |  | 122 |  | 2,995 | 6,601 |
| A.pr. 8. | 120,226 | 13, 869 | 26,485 | 7,174 | 21, 218 | 31, 870 | 5,821 | 72 |  | 2,170 | 6,510 |
| ${ }^{\text {Apr }} 15$. | 123,087 | 14,691 | 30,316 | 8,407 | 21,898 | 28,178 | 5,969 | 72 | 4,821 | 1,395 | 7,340 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { All other- } \\ & \text { Mar. } 25 . \end{aligned}$ | 845 |  |  | 130 | 90 |  | 190 | 75 |  | 100 | 260 |
| Apr. 1. | 830 |  |  |  | 95 |  |  | 75 |  | 100 | 560 |
| Apr. 8. | 1,070 |  |  |  | 95 |  |  | 75 |  | 100 | 800 |
| Apr. $15.10 \cdot$. | 1,253 |  |  |  | - 278 |  |  | 75 |  | 100 | 800 |
| Bills rediscounted with Federal Reserve |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secured by United States Government obligations- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mar. 25......................... | 24,833 | 1,143 | 5,509 | 2,124 | 5,623 | 5,502 | 1,972 |  | 1,350 | 313 | 1,297 |
| Apr. 1. | 23, 991 | 1,112 | 5,508 | 1,935 | 5,463 | 5,613 | 1,646 |  | 777 | 337 | 1,600 |
| Apr. 8. | 23,366 | 1,096 | 5,630 | 2, 206 | 5,160 | 5,360 | 1,514 |  | 767 | 289 | 1,344 |
| Apr. 15. | 23,079 | 1,053 | 5,625 | 1,791 | 4,683 | 5,475 | 1,680 |  | 1,239 | 299 | 1,234 |
| All other- Mar. 25. | 137,990 | 15,591 |  |  |  | 21,782 | 15,178 | 482 |  |  |  |
| Apr. 1. | 137,007 | 14, 556 | 10, 077 | 15,675 | 17,424 | 21,325 | 15,677 | 440 | 11, 721 | 6,267 | 23, 845 |
| Apr. 8 | 134, 665 | 12,709 | 11, 791 | 14, 498 | 14, 674 | 24, 805 | 14, 196 | 475 | 12, 036 | 5,863 | 23,618 |
| Apr. 15. | 128,253 | 15,826 | 13, 129 | 13,948 | 12,631 | 18,765 | 13,408 | 475 | 11,550 | 5,728 | 22,793 |

1 Buffalo.
Cincinnati and Pittsburgh.
${ }^{2}$ Baltimore.
New Orleans, Jacksonville, Nashville, and Birmingham. ${ }^{5}$ Detroit.

## BANK DEBITS.

Debits to individual accounts, as indicators of the volume of the Nation's business, show an upward tendency for the four-week period ending April 20. The first of the four weeks saw a material reduction in debits, namely, from $\$ 7,538,000,000$ to $\$ 6,393,000,000$, but this reduction was due in part to the observance of Good Friday on March 25 as a holiday in some of the Federal Reserve districts. The debits rose for the following week to $\$ 7,696,000,000$, apparently as the consequence of end-of-month and end-of-quarter payments. After a drop to $\$ 7,106,000,000$ for the week ending April 13, debits rose again to $\$ 7,648,000,000$ for the last week of the period. Fluctuations of debits in New York City were parallel to those in all reporting centers, a 10 per cent increase for the most recent week being attributable in part to

- Louisville, Memphis, and Little Rock.
${ }^{7}$ Helena.
${ }^{8}$ Omaha, Denver, and Oklahoma City.
${ }^{9}$ El Paso and Houston.
10 Spokane, Portland, Seattle, Salt Lake City, and Los Angeles.
the larger volume of stock exchange transactions during that week.

Comparison of the movement of debits for the four weeks under review with that for the corresponding period of 1920 is vitiated by the fact that March 31, with its heavy end-of-month and end-of-quarter payments, was included in 1920 in the first week of the period, while in the current year it is included in the second week. Outside of this fortuitous difference, the general trend for the period is similar for the two years. It is noteworthy, however, that for the last four weeks this year's totals were below last year's by 25 per cent, on the average, while for the immediately preceding four weeks the difference between the two years was 18 per cent, so that the indications are that the relative volume of business this year, as compared with last year, is smaller in the more recent period than four weeks earlier.


## DEBITS TO INDIVIDUAL ACCOUNTS AT CLEARING-HOUSE BANKS.

## SUMMARY BY FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICTS.

[In thousands of dollars.]


Note.-Figures for the following centers, while shown in the body of the statement, are not included in the summary, complete data for these centers not heing available for each week under review: Harrisburg Pa.; Johnstown, Pa.; Reading, Pa.; Cincinnati, Ohio; Greenville, S. C.; Huntington, W. Va.; Washington, D.C.; Wilmington, N. C.; Moline, Ill.; East St. Louis and National Stock Yards, Ill.; Springfield, IM.; Quincy,

DATA FOR EACH REPORTING CENTER.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | 1921. <br> Week ending- |  |  |  | 1920. <br> Week ending- |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mar. 30. | Apr. 6. | Apr. 13. | Apr. 20. | Mar. 31. | Apr. 7. | Apr. 14. | Apr. 21. |
| District No. 1-Boston: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bangor....... | 1816827 | - $\begin{array}{r}3,772 \\ \hline 1724 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | - 3,488 | 3,514 | 3,611 | 3,637 | 3,941 | 3,363 |
| Boston... | 1216,886 278,073 | $\begin{array}{r}1247,472 \\ 322,534 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | ${ }^{1} 2227,689$ | ${ }^{1} 2336,767$ | 305, 868 | 268, 705 | 366, 899 | 351,982 |
| Fall River | 278,073 5,036 | 322, 6341 | 297,681 5,194 | 309,106 5,419 | 9,778 |  |  |  |
| Hartford. | 16,623 | 27,209 | 20, 216 | 24,622 | 22,173 | 17, 891 | 24, 084 | 29,655 |
| Holyoke. | 2,709 | 3,347 | 2,775 | 2,843 | 3,625 | 4,020 | 3,770 | 3,941 |
| Lowell.. | 3,989 | 4, 525 | 4,482 | 4,158 | 5,429 | 5,899 | 6,061 | 5,693 |
| Manchester. | 4,006 | $5,18{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 4,178 | 4,290 | 5, 123 | 5,854 | 4,947 | 5,918 |
| New Bedford | $\begin{array}{r}4,740 \\ 13 \\ 13 \\ \hline 1027\end{array}$ | 5,338 18,345 | 5,355 | 5,319 | $\stackrel{8}{8}, 883$ | 9,283 | 10,672 | 10,763 |
| Portland... | 6,929 | - 0,099 | 15,903 | 17, ${ }_{6} \mathbf{4 2}$ 22 | $\begin{array}{r}18,245 \\ 77520 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 20, 881 | $\begin{array}{r}19,401 \\ 7 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 20,763 |
| Providence | 27, 831 | 31,595 | 28,458 | 31, 816 | 37,815 | 38,576 | 41,185 |  |
| Sprincfield | 11, 263 | 14.712 | 12,448 | 11, 398 | 14, 606 | 18,022 | 15,814 | 17,799 |
| Waternury | 4,314 | 6,715 | 5,127 | 5, 311 | 6,732 | 8,316 | 7,602 | 7,080 |
| District Norcester. 2 - Ne ew Yor | 13,192 | 16,488 | 14,895 | 13,982 | 17,979 | 19,854 | 19,671 | 18,754 |
| Alibany... | 24, 058 | 28, 106 | 22, 879 | 21,397 | 18,309 | 22, 647 | 25,511 | 26,943 |
| Bunghamt | 3,198 | 4,287 | 3,979 | 4,131 | 4,211 | - ${ }^{4,831}$ | 4,840 | 4, 813 |
| Nuffalo. | 48, 997 | 62,730 | 56, 856 | 60,527 | 64, 718 | 72,388 | 64,994 | 64,474 |
| Passaic. | 3, 133,4090 | $3,967,755$ 4,511 | $3,527,728$ 4,592 | 3, 9000,096 | 5, $\begin{array}{r}\text { 6 } \\ 5,2313 \\ 5,233\end{array}$ | 4, $\begin{array}{r}\text { 470, } 408 \\ 5,739\end{array}$ | 4, 885, 5 5,741 | 5,445, 815 |
| Rochester | 24,774 | 33, 121 | 28,104 | 31,971 | 28, 165 | 36,648 | 33,752 | 33,517 |
| Syracuse...- | 12, 127 | 15,517 | 13,594 | 14, 490 | 15, 660 | 22, 325 | 16, 322 | 18,932 |
| District No. 3-Philadely |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chester. | ${ }^{3,187}$ | 2,8t2 | 3,124 | 3.442 | 3,701 | 2,888 | 3,413 | 3,399 |
| Harrisburg | 5,750 | 7,931 | ${ }_{6}^{4,220}$ | 4,924 5 5 | 5,076 | 5,348 | 5,213 | 5, 303 |
| Johnstown | 5, 047 | 5,254 | 5,049 | 5,042 |  |  |  |  |
| Lancaster | 5,790 | 16, 677 | 5,946 | 5, 819 | 8,672 | 15,202 | 7,553 | 8,030 |
| Philadiclinh | 258, 7679 | 324,790 | 290, 116 | 304, 044 | 350, 158 | 342, 739 | 363, 227 | 384, 934 |
| Scranton. | 5,979 14,823 | 14,376 | 8,755 16,310 | 12,184 |  |  |  |  |
| Trenton. | 9,753 | 11, 290 | 10,555 | 11,512 | 11,313 | 13, 450 | 11,385 | 12,000 |
| Wilkes-13arre | 8,721 | 8,702 | 8,070 | 8,958 | 9,125 | 8,257 | 8,002 | 8,494 |
| Williamsport | 3,719 | ${ }_{4}^{4}, 611$ | 4,369 | 3,763 | 4, 059 | 4,752 | 4,837 | 4,268 |
| Wilmington | 6,705 4,102 | 8,921 6,495 | 7,235 4,525 | 7,615 | 8, 829 | 9,605 | 8,240 | 8, 987 |
| District No. 4 - ${ }^{\text {coleveian }}$ |  | 6,495 | 4, 525 | 4,096 | 4,725 | 6,747 | 5,181 | 5,222 |
| Akron...t | 12, 365 | 16,379 70 | 15,008 | 14,734 | 19,386 | 23,018 | 33,735 | 34, 136 |
| Cleveland. | 54,758 120,419 | 70,138 138,965 | 72,842 146,850 | 165,451 133,617 | 173, 856 | 187, 312 | 220, 707 |  |
| Columbus | 28,427 | 28, 785 | 35, 874 | 131,635 | 16,370 | 29,311 | 35,181 | 29,426 |
| Dayton. | 11, 879 | 13, 532 | 13,128 | 12,358 | 12, 112 | 13,582 | 12,863 | 12, 148 |
| Erie. | 5,898 | 6,752 | 6,267 | 6,256 | 7,151 | 6,596 | 7,795 | 8,575 |
| Lexington. | 3,949 5,101 | ${ }_{5}^{3.821}$ | 3, ${ }^{4} 865$ | 4,007 4,492 | 4, <br> 5 <br> 5,653 <br> 18 | 3,643 | 4,624 | 4,843 |
| Oil city. | 1,995 | 2,604 | 2, 357 | 4, 422 | $\stackrel{5}{2,728}$ | 6,164 3,467 | 5, $\mathbf{2}, 880$ | 5, 3,582 |

1 Debits of banks which submitted reports in 1920.

DEBITS TO INDIVIDUAL ACCOUNTS AT CLEARING-HOUSE BANKS-Continued.
DATA FOR EACH REPORTING CENTER-Continued.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | 1921. <br> Week ending- |  |  |  | 1920. <br> Week ending - |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mar. 30. | Apr. 6. | Apr. 13. | Apr. 20. | Mar. 31. | Apr. 7. | Apr. 14. | Apr. 21. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pittsburgh. | 158,945 | 161,736 | 159, 922 | 162, 134 | 190, 172 | 179, 150 | 189, 366 | 206, 669 |
| Springfield | 3,960 | 4,857 | 4,693 | 4,101 | 3,162 | 3,185 | 4,158 | 3,450 |
| Wheeling | 20, 8140 | 25, 9, 901 | 26,273 8,150 | 25,160 $\varepsilon, 696$ | 10,114 | 34,010 9,724 | 37, 1194 | 37,027 10,511 |
| Youncstown. | 10,731 | 14,514 | 14,217 | 9,885 | 17,029 | 16,847 | 20,383 | 13,520 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Baltimore. | 85,685 | 99, 691 | 90, 569 | 93,404 | 98,509 | 109,341 | 107, 842 | 109,649 |
| Charleston. | 4,723 | 7,263 | 5,571 | 6,387 | 11, 290 | 11, 475 | 9,332 |  |
| Charlotte.. | 4,974 <br> 4,374 | 5,756 4,949 | 5,048 4,490 | 6,006 4,378 | 8,776 7,469 | 8,737 6,883 | 9,560 7,760 | 10,844 7,665 |
| Columbia..... | 4,374 2,283 | 4,949 3,177 | 4,490 3,981 | 4,378 3,069 | 7,469 | 6,883 | 7,760 | 7,665 |
| Huntington. | 5,130 | 5,413 | 4,908 | 5,461 |  |  |  |  |
| Norfolk. | 12,607 | 14,152 | 11,058 | 12,751 | 18,413 | 18,458 | 19,037 | 20,516 |
| Raleigh... | 4,200 | 4,000 | 4,100 | 3,800 | 3,900 | 4, 900 | 5, 800 | 6,120 |
| Richmond.. | ${ }_{21}^{21,384}$ | 24, 628 | 21, 871 | 28,746 | 24, 226 | 25,780 | 27,031 | 30,529 |
| W ashington. | 29,572 | 40, 155 | 36,319 | 37,649 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Atlanta.... | 20,055 | 25,174 | 22,544 | 25,588 | 33,040 | 34,742 | 34, 894 | 38,472 |
| Augusta. | 4,079 | 5,507 | 4,947 | 5,032 | 12,388 | 12,704 | 12,921 | 10,670 |
| Birmingham. | 12,535 | 15, 641 | 12,640 | 15,756 | 15,773 | 17,033 | 15,722 | 17,680 |
| Chattanooga | 7,508 | 8,411 | 8,952 | 10,146 | -9,893 | 12, 116 | 11,920 | 13, 432 |
| Jacksonville. | 10, 189 | 14,159 | 12,293 | 12,863 | 13,966 | 16,131 | 14, 808 | 15, 826 |
| Knoxville | 5,559 | 6,816 | 5,416 | 6,421 | 6,620 | 7,445 | 7,371 | 7,691 |
| Macon. | 3,486 | 4,491 | 3,417 | 4,022 | 7,961 | 8,237 | 8,144 | 8,113 |
| Mobile...... | 5,918 3,004 | 6,256 3,612 | 4,669 2,886 | 6,519 4,216 | 10,292 5,223 | 9,996 5,776 | 9,036 5,364 | 10,096 6,144 |
| Montgomery | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 3, } \\ 17 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 3,612 20,390 | $\begin{array}{r}2,886 \\ 19,484 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 4,216 20,354 | 5,223 25,804 | 5,776 27,129 | 28,775 | -6,144 |
| New Orleans | 48,220 | 63,158 | 51,623 | 52,614 | 83,684 | 82,610 | 93,192 | 76,939 |
| Pensacola. | 1,120 | 1,607 | 1,466 | 1,644 | 2,241 | 2,204 | 2,577 | 2,563 |
| Savannah. | 8,498 | 11,619 | 8,781 | 10,099 | 22,718 | 19,471 | 20,387 | 20,631 |
| Tampa.. | 5,635 | 6,868 | 6,272 | 6,192 | 6,648 | 8,114 | 6,847 | 7,106 |
| Vicksburg. | 1,132 | 1,266 | 1,306 | 1,300 | 1,787 | 1,849 | 2,096 | 1,916 |
| Bay City.......... | 2,588 | 2,781 | 2,328 | 2,308 | 3,707 | 4,094 | 4,020 | 3,839 |
| Bloomington | 2,481 | 2,983 | 3,203 | 2,564 | 3,775 | 4,253 | 3,681 | 2,922 |
| Cedar Rapids | 10, 561 | 13,965 | 11,034 | 10,520 | 9,580 | 12,141 | 9,683 | 10,553 |
| Chicago. | 521,575 | 514,728 | 553,907 | 593,607 | 766, 881 | 720,501 | 679, 290 | 737,506 |
| Davenport. | 6,211 | 8,712 | 6,665 | 6,215 | 8,339 | 12,157 | 8,779 | 8,887 |
| Decatur. | 3,158 | 4,451 | 3,043 | 3,388 | 5,094 | 4,693 | 3,990 | 4,322 |
| Des Moine | 16,766 | 17,887 | 19,173 | 19,753 | 24,039 | 28,402 | 27,495 | 25,772 |
| Detroit.. | 86, 123 | 101,273 | 103,257 | 128,265 | 146, 054 | 141,422 | 149,304 | 206,456 |
| Dubuque. | 2,883 | 3,410 | 2,826 | 3,163 | 3,146 | 3,015 | 4,152 | 3,595 |
| Flint | 3,623 | 4, 210 | 4,509 | 5,426 | 10, 476 | 14, 198 | 7,719 | 12, 868 |
|  | -5,970 | 7,273 15,346 | $\begin{array}{r}7,206 \\ 18,252 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}7,699 \\ 18,756 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 7,516 18,867 | 25,553 | 8,866 19,617 | -84, 218 |
| Indianapolis. | 26, 495 | 30, 632 | 30, 905 | 31,708 | 36,414 | 36,529 | 40,488 | 39,653 |
| Jackson. | 3,243 | 3,125 | 3,195 | 3,549 | 2,707 | 3,231 | 4,138 | 5,579 |
| Kalamazoo. | 3,630 | 4,354 | 4,094 | 4,289 | 5,627 | 5,427 | 5,454 | 5,730 |
| Mansing. | 4,003 | 4, 801 | 5,236 | 5,415 | 6,982 59,882 | 5,678 69,387 | 7,518 70,630 | 6,937 74,045 |
| Moline... | 41,578 | 51, 2,54 | 50, ${ }_{2}$ | $\stackrel{56,205}{2}$ | 59,852 |  |  | 7,015 |
| Peoria. | 7,173 | 8,676 | 7,982 | 8,477 | 11,683 | 11,432 | 10,773 | 10,805 |
| Rockford.. | 4,652 | 6, 034 | 5,468 | 5,124 | 6,817 | 7,522 | 6,667 | 6,639 |
| Sioux City. | 11, 139 | 13,249 | 11,332 | 9,586 | 17,696 | 19,163 | 19,702 | 16,181 |
| South Bend | 5,118 | 6,481 | 5,880 | 6,155 | 2,984 | 3,129 | 3,739 | 3,987 |
| Springfield, II. | 5,960 2,526 | 7,108 | 5,737 3,401 | 5,867 2,796 |  | 5,400 | 5,063 | 4,936 |
| District No. 8 - St. Louis: | 2,526 | 3,186 | 3,401 | 2,796 | 4,372 | 5,400 | 5,063 | 1,930 |
| DisEast St.Evansvileuis and National Stock Yards.E. | 7,418 | 8,030 | 8,535 | 8,914 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4,407 | 4,344 | 5,243 | 5,343 | 5,596 | 5,316 | 6,023 | 5,536 |
| Little Rock. | 8,622 | 9,015 | 9,545 | 10,489 | 10,031 |  |  |  |
| Louisville. | ${ }^{1} 19,690$ | ${ }^{1} 23,513$ | ${ }^{1} 21,718$ | ${ }^{1} 24,472$ | 32, 234 | 35,809 | 37,596 | 38,792 |
| Do. | 25,419 | 31, 039 |  |  |  |  | 37,263 | 38,771 |
| Memphis | 17,110 2,443 | 16,247 3,546 | 20,808 2,474 | 20,858 2,447 | 34,988 | 38,042 | 37,263 | 38,71 |
| St. Louis | ${ }^{1} 102,342$ | ${ }_{1} 118,422$ | 1119, 796 | ${ }^{1} 126,285$ | 144,4i1 | 163,0̈4 | 155,850 | 1670.406 |
| Do. | 113,525 | 131,389 | 134,832 | 140,306 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Billings. | 1,400 | 1,965 | 1,632 | 1,884 | 2,442 | 2, 221 | 2,515 | 2,710 |
| Duluth | 11, 109 | 14, 453 | 15,266 | 15,159 | 16,423 | 15,120 | 20,346 | 20,371 |
| Fargo. | 2,042 | 2,788 | 2,511 | 2, 405 | 2, 866 | 3,391 | 4,103 | 3,477 |
| Grand Forks. | 1997 | 1,269 | 1,236 | 1,071 | 1,583 | 2,109 | 2,113 | 1,987 |
| Great Falls. | 1,457 | 1,723 | 1,647 | 1,752 2 201 | 2,297 2,081 | ${ }_{2}^{1,741}$ | $\stackrel{2,017}{2,44}$ | 2,317 |
| Helena | 1,467 54,499 | 2,483 72,067 | 68, 832 | 2, 67,118 | 76,032 | 81, 474 | 94,960 | 85, 287 |
| St. Paul. | 20,453 | 30, 115 | 26, 903 | 30, 160 | 38, 339 | 37,995 | 36,627 | 41,084 |
| Sioux Falls | 3,719 | 4,817 | 3,961 | 3, 991 | 7,412 | 7,616 | 6,808 | 7,462 |
| Superior................................... | 1,458 1,020 | 1,997 | 1,913 | 2,088 | 2,072 1,326 | 2,135 1,540 | 2,425 | 2,024 |
| Winona................................... | 1,020 | 1,272 | 1,079 | 794 | 1,326 | 1,540 | 1,373 | 1,332 |

${ }^{1}$ Debits of banks which submitted reports in 1920.

DEBITS TO INDIVIDUAL ACCOUNTS AT CLEARING-HOUSE BANKS-Continued.
DATA FOR EACH REPORTING CENTER-Continued.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | Week ending- |  |  |  | Week ending- |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mar. 30. | Apr. 6. | Apr. 13. | Apr. 20. | Mar. 31. | Apr. 7. | Apr. 14. | Apr. 21. |
| District No. 10-Kansas City: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aartlosvilie................... | 2,021 | 2,589 | 2,774 | 2,480 | 4,011 | 3,541 | 3,953 | 3,370 |
| Cheyenne. | 1, 686 | 1,821 | 1,912 | 1,727 | 2,430 | 2,433 | 1,672 | 1,720 |
| Colorado Springs. | 2,131 | 2,580 | 2,552 | 2,182 | 3,191 | 3, 570 | 3,775 | 3, 378 |
| Denver..... | 28,413 | 36,000 | 29, 100 | 31,073 | 51,021 | 47, 583 | 44, 005 | 38,461 |
| Joplin............. | 1,824 | 2, 259 | 2, 198 | 2,173 | 4,037 | 3,719 3 3 | 4,846 4 4 | 4,478 |
| Kansas City, Kans. | 3,325 59,107 5 | 3,137 75,666 | 3,357 67,872 | 3,223 69,148 | 4,537 85,050 | 3,907 86,146 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 4,436 } \\ 73,934 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 3,866 71,394 |
| Muskogee.. | 3,892 | 4,402 | 3,599 | 3,486 | 6,013 | 6,336 | 5, 861 | 5,655 |
| Oklahoma City | 17,621 | 22,060 | 19,989 | 20,365 | 19,769 | 21,718 | 21, 322 | 23,048 |
| Omaha. | 38, 877 | 42, 867 | 40,395 | 44,029 | 66,459 | 57, 801 | 59,116 | 66, 248 |
| Pueblo. | 4,335 | 5,651 | 4,812 | 4, 356 | 4, 823 | 5,055 | 5,771 | 3,213 |
| St. Joseph | 14,486 | 15,350 | 15,631 | 13,990 | 23,088 | 21, 815 | 21,674 | 24, 679 |
| Topeka. | 2,537 19 19 | 4,639 $\mathbf{4 1 , 1 3 4}$ | 2,983 21 21 | 4,098 22 238 | -5,571 | 6,499 | 6,918 | 6,021 |
| Wichita. | 7,868 | 9,551 | 10,235 | 10, 282 | 11,910 | 14,304 | 14,452 | 29,434 14,847 |
| District No.11-Dallas: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Albuquerque. | 804 | 1,788 | 1,599 | 1,145 | 1,763 | 1,968 | 1,752 | 2,359 |
| Austin.. | 2,465 | 2,900 | 3,039 | 2,480 | 2,815 | 3,387 | 4,060 | 3,077 |
| Beaumont | 2,848 | 3,322 | 2,350 | 3,600 | 4,339 | 5,859 | 5,478 | 4,594 |
| Dailas.. | 29,621 | 32, 838 | 29, 936 | 33,779 | 39,172 | 42, 146 | 42,887 | 41,337 |
| El Paso... | 7,405 | 7, 444 | 7,785 | 7,534 | 9,467 | 9, 538 | 9,660 | 9,584 |
| Fort Worth | 20,410 | 19,120 | 21,800 | 19,400 | 23,198 | 24,422 | 25, 004 | 22,533 |
| Galveston | 17,674 | 21,570 | 17,090 | 16,451 | 7,935 | 9,546 | 8,413 | 7,785 |
| Houston. | 26, 822 | 26, 065 | 22,620 | 28,566 | 38,819 | 33,441 | 32,563 | 32,600 |
| San Antonio | 5,910 | 6,879 | 7,144 | 7,007 | 7,779 | 8,875 | 8,397 | 7,152 |
| Shreveport. | 5, 840 | 7,270 | 5,940 | 6, 275 | 9,874 | 10,951 | 9,612 | 8,981 |
| Texarkana, | 1,023 | 1,490 | 1,839 | 1,652 | 1,818 | 1,942 | 3,090 | 3,062 |
| Tucson. | 1,269 | 1,302 | 1,471 | 1,385 | 1,596 | 1,563 | 1,773 | 1,684 |
| Waco................... | 2,872 | 3,666 | 3,015 | 3,195 | 4,125 | 4,470 | 3,900 | 4,351 |
| District No. 12-San Francisco Berkeley | 2,252 |  | 2,750 | 2, 838 | 2,194 | 2,727 | 2,591 |  |
| Boise.... | 1,862 | 2,610 | 3,195 | 2,644 | 2,975 | 3,365 | 3,260 | 2,240 |
| Fresno. | 8,738 | 9,739 | 9,401 | 9,838 | 9,205 | 8,752 | 10,519 | 8,833 |
| Long Beach. | 5,204 | 6,321 | 5,389 | 6,088 | 5,167 | 5,883 | 5,619 | 6,167 |
| Los Angeles. | 92, 979 | 100,682 | 94,970 | 103,232 | 89,409 | 101,971 | 96, 025 | 99, 255 |
| Oakland. | 18, 293 | 18,412 | 18,069 | 18,643 | 19,510 | 19,709 | 20, 423 | 19,523 |
| Ogden... | 3,011 | 3,620 | 3,076 | 2, 995 | 4,043 | 1,948 | 4,324 | 3,344 |
| Pasadena. | 5,001 | 6,781 | 5,942 | 5,727 | 5,605 | 5,897 | 5,975 | 6,988 |
| Portland. | 30, 882 | 39, 382 | 43, 505 | 37, 283 | 43,778 | 50,636 | 51, 539 | 52,561 |
| Reno...... | 2, 180 | 2,656 | 2, 566 | 2,745 | 2, 821 | 2,922 | 3,124 | 2, 001 |
| Sacramento. | 10,524 | 11,493 | 11, 826 | 12,681 | 12,183 | 13, 420 | 14,105 | 14,723 |
| Salt Lake City. | 14, 895 | 15, 624 | 18,059 | 16, 513 | 18,914 | 20, 192 | 16,464 | 19,429 |
| San Diego.... | 171, ${ }_{\text {7, }} \mathbf{3 0 9}$ | $\begin{array}{r}8,329 \\ 175,258 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}88 \\ \hline 87,911 \\ \hline 823\end{array}$ | 8,726 198,664 | $\begin{array}{r}8, \\ \text { 8, } \\ 19811 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}\text { \%, } \\ \text {, } 484 \\ 196 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 8,076 208,344 | 9, 23, 241 |
| San Jose..... | 17,591 | 17,304 | 16,533 | 198,180 | 198,526 | 196, ${ }^{\text {5,374 }}$ | 208, 4,943 | 233,6418 |
| Seattle. | 29, 402 | 30,720 | 33, 520 | 33, 407 | 47,318 | 49, 205 | 61, 888 | 50,480 |
| Spokane. | 9,234 | 10,341 | 12,497 | 10,856 | 11,661 | 13, 229 | 14, 254 | 14,758 |
| Stockton. | ${ }^{3,742}$ | ${ }_{4}^{4,753}$ | 6,434 | 4,629 | 4,973 | 6,526 | 5,700 | 4,588 |
| Tacoma. | 8,248 2,101 | 9,657 2,293 | 8,773 1,991 | 8,182 2,216 | 11,325 3,306 | 11,587 4,076 | 12,529 3,412 | 13,807 3,479 |
| Xakima. | 2,101 | 2,293 | 1,991 | 2,216 | 3,306 | 4,076 | 3,412 | 3,479 |

FEDERAL RESERVE CLEARING SYSTEM. OPERATIONS FROM MAR. 16 TO APR. 15, 1921.
[All figures shown in thousands.]

| Federal Reserve Banly or branch. | Items drawn on banks located in own district. |  |  |  | Items drawn on Treasurer of United States. |  | Total items handied, exclusive of duplications. |  | Items forwarded to other Federal Reserve Banks and their branches. |  | Items forwarded to parent bank or to branch in same district. |  | Total items handled, including duplications. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In Federal Reserve Bank or branch city. |  | Outside Federal Reserve Bank or branch city. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Num- } \\ & \text { ber. } \end{aligned}$ | Amount. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Num- } \\ \text { ber. } \end{gathered}$ | Amount. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Num- } \\ & \text { ber. } \end{aligned}$ | Amount. | Number. | Amount. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Num- } \\ \text { ber. } \end{gathered}$ | Amount. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Num- } \\ & \text { ber. } \end{aligned}$ | Amount. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Num- } \\ & \text { ber. } \end{aligned}$ | Amount. |
| Boston. | 751 | 537, 526 | 3,300 | 380, 428 | 144 | 28,838 | 4, 195 | 946, 792 | 161 | 55,327 |  |  | 4,356 | 1, 002,119 |
| New York. | 1, 531 | 1,750, 426 | 4, 4151 | 966, 784 | 1,105 | 190, 588 | 7,087 | 2, 907,790 | 1,019 | 507, 711 | 24 | 6, 320 | 8, 130 | 3, 419, 821 |
| Bufalo. | 1, 204 |  | 2, 163 | 50,829 246,240 | 15 211 | 2,846 43,125 | 632 4.166 | 1, 142, 412 | 159 762 | 28,398 184,793 | 45 | 22,311 | + 836 | 1, ${ }_{241,013}$ |
| Cleveland. | ${ }^{1} 413$ | 217, 342 | 1,243 | 163, 448 | 80 | -7,330 | 1,736 | 1, 388,120 | 45 | 29,009 | 38 | 12,991 | 1, 819 | 1, ${ }_{430,120}$ |
| Cincinnati. | 201 | 134, 316 | 818 | 84, 064 | 60 | 7, 829 | ${ }^{11}$, 086 | 1227.582 | 12 | 23, 434 | 12 | 3,711 | 1, 110 | 254, 727 |
| Pittsburgh. | 496 | 292, 669 | 911 | 101, 282 | 53 | 0, 426 | 1,460 | 406, 377 | 71 | 39, 532 | 29 | 6, 364 | 1,560 | 452, 273 |
| Richmond..... | 118 | 118,918 | 1,911 | 260, 502 | 57 | 8,382 | 2,086 | 387, 802 | 157 | 58,708 | 58 | 11, 503 | 2,301 | 458, 013 |
| Baltimore | 250 | 157, 245 | 675 | 77, 899 | 62 | 17,274 | 987 | 252, 418 | 79 | 29, 892 | 73 | 8,223 | 1, 133 | 290, 533 |
| Atlanta. | 119 | 56,097 | 335 | 43, 338 | 34 | 6,333 | 489 | 105,768 | 47 | 16, 499 | 42 | 6,405 |  | 128,672 |
| Birmingham.. | $1 \pm 2$ | ${ }^{26,990}$ | 143 | 8,393 | 12 | 1,296 | 297 | 36,685 | 18 | 10, 122 | 31. | 31,384 | 346 | 78, 191 |
| Jacksenville.- | 58 | 23, 051 | 168 | 18,384 | 9 | 1,638 | 233 | 43, 073 | 2 | 716 | 36 | 13, 022 | ${ }_{32}^{271}$ | 56,511 |
| Nashville.... | 53 | 29,625 | 198 | 23,021 | 17 | 2, 053 | 268 | 54, 699 | 43 | 7,450 | 11 | 1,541 | 322 | 63,690 |
| New Orleans.. | 1, ${ }^{681}$ | 47, 182 642,427 | 3,722 | $13,721$. 352,689 | $\begin{array}{r}36 \\ 451 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 7,420 65,557 | 5, 215 | 68,323 $1,060,673$ | 49 339 | 12,986 34,266 | 6 8 8 | 762 2,085 | 5, $\mathrm{F}_{270}^{270}$ | 1, 897,024 |
| Detroit | , 302 | 149, 337 | ${ }^{4} 108$ | 44, 827 | 45 | 4,375 | 5, 745 | 1, 197, 539 | 8 | 7,699 | 5 | 2,301 | 5 759 | 1,207, 539 |
| St. Louis. | 304 | 228, 547 | 1,383 | 89,645 | 133 | 15,039 | 1,825 | 333, 211 | 26 | 4, 260 | 8 | 1,150 | 1,859 | 338, 641 |
| Little Rock | 48 | 23, 889 | 287 | 17,098 | 8 | 1,153 | 343 | 42, 140 |  | 1,706 | 24 | 3,125 | 376 | 46,971 |
| Louisville. | 102 | 69, 364 | 429 | 25, 534 | 31 | 3,057 | 562 | 88,955 | 13 | 1,872 | 3 | 285 | 578 | 91, 112 |
| Memphis | 71 | 25, 674 | 165 | 9,482 | 10 | $\stackrel{2}{5} 1030$ | 246 | 37, 259 |  | ${ }_{682}^{68}$ | 4 | 442 | 252 |  |
| Minneapolis | 252 41 | 125,220 9,430 | 1,451 | 88,629 | $\stackrel{50}{3}$ | 5, 930 | $\begin{array}{r}1,753 \\ \hline 232\end{array}$ | 219,779 21,429 | 190 7 | 36,679 2,041 | 6 2 2 | 2,025 | 1, 9449 | 256, ${ }^{25} \mathbf{4 9 5}$ |
| Kansas City | 251 | 261,535 | 2,495 | 111,915 | 166 | 9, 983 | 2,852 | 383, 433 | 269 | 51,329 | 77 | 13,456 | 3, 198 | 448, 218 |
| Denver. | 76 | 45,510 | 341 | 19,085 | 21 | 3, 037 | 438 | 68, 532 | 75 | 18,310 | 52 | 12,611 | 565 | 99, 453 |
| OklahomaCity | 68 | 55,429 | 947 | 77, 08. | 7 | 1, 022 | 11, 022 | 133, 535 | 44 | 8,263 | 15 | 8,895 | 1,081 | 150,693 |
|  | 109 | -57,477 | 609 1.57 | $\begin{array}{r}41,825 \\ 19.5 \\ \hline 192\end{array}$ | 44 30 | 3,158 3,900 | 1763 <br> 1.748 <br> 18 | $\begin{array}{r}1102,955 \\ 260 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 41 | -6,281 | 19 64 |  | 1,823 | 115, 119 |
| El Paso | 45 | 10,373 | 1, 135 | 199, 11.030 | 18 | 3,950 | 1,748 +198 | 24,057 24 | 14 | $\begin{array}{r}12,525 \\ 6,148 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 4 | ${ }^{7} 733$ | 216 | 30, 93.8 |
| Houston. | 75 | 40,370 | 325 | 35, 009 | 55 | 3,052 | 45 t | 78,430. | 21 | 3,214 | 6 | 1,359 | 482 | 82, 994 |
| San Francisco | 194 | 115, 750 | 528 | 35,225 | 77 | 89, 104 | 799 | 240, 079 | 28 | 4,499 | 43 | 6,846 | 870 | 251,424 |
| Los Angeles | 354 | 114,785 | 903 | 72,540 | 33 | 19,380 | 1,290 | 206,705 | 111 | 17,569 | 56 | 13,456 | 1,457 | 237, 730 |
| Portland | 66 | 33,559 | 217 | 12, 001 | 18 | 8,273 | 301 | 54,433 | 5 | 1,653 | 29 | 3,880 | 335 | 59, 966 |
| Salt LakeCity. | 45 | 22, 730 | 366 | 28,138 | 12 | 3,318 | 423 | 54, 186 | 12 | 11,586 | 13 | 7,342 | 448 | 73, 114 |
| Seattle.. | 102 | 35,670 | 216 | 17,562 | 24 | 11,081 | 342 | 64,313 | 16 | 4,889 | 39 | 5,720 | 397 | 74,922 |
| Spokanc. | 39 | 17,100 | 175 | 10,158 | 9 | 994 | 223 | 28, 252 | 10 | 2,094 | 16 | 6,404 | 249 | 36,750 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total: } \\ & \text { Mar. } 16 \text { to } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Apr. 15, } \\ 1921 . . \end{gathered}$ | 9,967 | 6, 382,199 | 33,701 | 3, 746, 158 | 3,085 | 600,167 | 146,751 | 110,724,392 | 3,941 | 1,247,842 | 898 | 229, 941 | 51,590 | 12, 202, 175 |
| Feb. 16 to Mar. 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8, 049 | 5, 576, 684 | 28, 493 | 3,310,584 | 1,937 | 528, 946 | 138,486 | 19,417,648 | 3, 528 | 1, 880,836 | 789 | 200,431 | 42,803 | 10,698,915 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Mar. } 16 \text { to } \\ \text { Apr. } 15 \text {, } \\ 1920 . . . \end{gathered}$ | 7,982 | 8, 512,045 | 28, 22, | 5, 055, 423 | 2,193 | 882,375 | 138,407 | 14,451,712 | 3,810 | 1,818,617 | 856 | 318,048 | 43,073 | 16, 588, 377 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes itoms drawn in banks in other Federal Reserve districts forwarded direct to drawee bank.
Note.-Number of business days in period for Philadelphia, Pittsburgh, Baltimore, Jacksonville, Nashville, New Orleans, Detroit, St. Louis, Little Rock, Louisville, Memphis, Minneapolis, and Salt Lake City was 26, for other Federal Reserve bank and branch cities, 27 days.

NUMBER OF MEMBER AND NONMEMBER BANKS IN EACH FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICT, APR. 15, 1921 AND 1920.

| Federal Reserve district. | Member banks** |  | Nonmember banks. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | On par list. |  | Not on par list. ${ }^{1}$ |  |
|  | 1921 | 1920 | 1921 | 1920 | 1921 | 1920 |
| Boston. | 436 | 432 | 256 | 253 |  |  |
| Newr York... | 788 | 770 | 327 | 320 | ....... |  |
| Philadelphia... | 700 | 684 | ${ }_{1}^{447}$ | + 419 |  |  |
| Cleveland..... | 877 | 856 | 1,082 | 1,079 |  |  |
| Richmond... | 615 486 | 595 426 | 1,057 | 763 445 | 542 1,167 | 749 1,130 |
| Chicago.... | 1,430 | 1,385 | 4, 261 | 4, 231 |  |  |
| St. Louis. | ${ }^{1} 577$ | ${ }^{5} 55$ | 2,515 | 2,514 | 170 | 174 |
| Minneapolis. | 1,012 | 943 | 2,797 | 2,913 | 53 | . |
| Kansas City. | 1,093 | 1,055 | 3,393 | 3,368 |  |  |
| Dan Francisco. | 856 856 | 773 | 1,247 999 | 1, 248 |  |  |
| San Francisco. | 856 | 772 | 999 | 939 |  | 104 |
| Total. | 9,726 | 9,246 | 18,792 | 18,492 | 1,932 | 2,157 |

${ }^{1}$ Incorporated banks other than mutual savings banks.

## GOLD SETTLEMENT FUND.

INTER FEDERAL RESERVE BANK TRANSACTIONS FROM MAR. 18 TO APR. 21, 1921.
[In thousands of dollars.]

| Federal Reserve Bank. | Transfers. |  | Daily settlements. |  | Changes in ownership of gold through transfersand settlements. |  | Balance in banks' fund atend of period. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Debit. | Credit. | Total debits. | Total credits. | Decrease. | Increase. |  |
| Boston. | 34, 500 | 2,499 | 430,656 | 502,374 |  | 39,717 | 50, 104 |
| New York. | 23,001 | 125,000 | 1,756, 242 | 1,695, 534 |  | 41,291 | 62,739 |
| Philadelphia | 11,000 | 4,001 | 650,438 | 639,871 | 17,566 |  | 46, 909 |
| Cleveland.. | 70, 300 | 36,079 | 527, 263 | 537,021 | 24,663 |  | 72, 715 |
| Richmond. | 7,000 6,000 | 24,000 3,000 | 567,328 201,834 | ${ }_{213}^{541,600}$ | 8,728 | 8,737 | 22, 614 |
| Chicago... | 48,000 | 7,000 | 781,201 | 819,188 | 3,013 | 8,73 | 15,221 107,506 |
| St. Louis. | 6,000 | 3,000 | 439, 121 | 438,837 | 3,284 |  | 18,971 |
| Minneapolis. | 5,500 | 1,000 | 130, 130 | 119, 813 | 14,817 |  | 7,738 |
| Kansas City. | 10, 000 | 2,000 | 352, 241 | 349, 939 | 10, 302 |  | 23, 663 |
| Dallas.. | 36, 578 | 40, 500 | 189,096 | 186, 138 |  | 964 | 5, 101 |
| San Francisco |  | 10,000 | 234, 448 | 216, 112 | 8,336 |  | 35,867 |
| Total, five weeks ending- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| April 21, 1921. | 258, 079 | 258,079 | 6, 259, 998 | 6,259,998 | 90,709 | 90, 709 | 469, 148 |
| Mar. <br> Apr. $22,1920$. | 148,380 661,270 | 148,380 661,270 | $6,323,085$ $8,584,231$ | 6,323, 8885 |  |  | 516,972 366,504 |
| Mar. 18, 1920 | 416,266 | 416, 266 | 8,503, 649 | 8, 503, 649 |  |  | 388, 384 |

GOLD AND SILVER IMPORTS AND EXPORTS.
GOLD IMPORTS INTO AND EXPORTS FROM THE UNITED STATES, DISTRIBUTED BY COUNTRIES.


[^16]SILVER IMPORTS INTO AND EXPORTS FROM THE UNITED STATES, DISTRIBUTED BY COUNTRIES.

${ }^{1}$ Includes: Ore and base bullion, $\$ 12,108,000$; refined bullion, $\$ 1,636,000$; United States coin, $\$ 252,000$; foreign coin, $\$ 418,000$.
${ }_{2}$ Includes: Domestic exports-Ore and base bullion, $\$ 1,000$; United States Mint or Assay Office bars, $\$ 152,000$; other refined bullion, $\$ 8,522,000$; coin, $\$ 754,000$. Foreign exports-Ore and base bullion, $\$ 2,000$; refined bullion, $\$ 4,166,000$; coin, $\$ 1,489,000$.

## DISCOUNT AND INTEREST RATES.

In the following table are presented actual discount and interest rates prevailing during the 30-day period ending April 15, 1921, in the various cities in which the several Federal Reserve Banks and their branches are located. A complete description of the several types of paper for which 1918, Federal Reserve Bulietins. Quotations for new types of paper will be added from time to time as deemed of interest.

Comparison with rates prevailing during the period ending March 15, 1921, shows no marked changes in rates. There is a tendency to decrease in the rates for bankers' acceptances, both indorsed and unindorsed, but for other mately of paper such increases and decreases as have occurred are approxipresent rates as a whole continue higher for all types of paper than those prevailing during the same period of 1920 .
discount and interest rates prevailing in various centers during 30-Day period ending apr. $15,1921$.


[^17]
## MONEY HELD OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES TREASURY AND THE FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM, APR. 1, 1921.


${ }^{1}$ Includes reserve funds held against issues of United States notes and Treasury notes of 1890 and redemption funds held against issues of national-bank notes, Federal Reserve notes, and Federal Reserve Bank notes, but excludes gold and silver coin and bullion held in trust for the redemption of outstanding gold and silver certifcates and Treasury notes of 1890.
${ }_{2}$ Exclusive of amounts held with United States Treasurer in gold redemption fund against Federal Reserve notes, and of gold held with foreign agencies but inclusive of balances in gold settlement fund standing to the credit of the Federal Reserve Banks and agents.
${ }^{8}$ Includes subsidiary silver.
4 Includes Treasury notes of 1890 .

## DISCOUNT RATES OF THE FEDERAL RESERVE BANKS.

DISCOUNT RATES APPROVED BY THE FEDERAL RESERVE BOARD UP TO MAY 1, 1921.

| Federal Reserve Bank. | Paper maturing within 90 days. |  |  |  | Bankers' acceptances maturing within 3 months. | Agricultural and live-stock paper maturing after 90 days, but within 6 months. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Secured by- |  | Trade acceptances. | Commercial paper n.e.s. |  |  |
|  | Treasury certificates of indebtedness. | Liberty bonds and Victory notes. |  |  |  |  |
| Boston. | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |  | 6 |
| New York. | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 7 |
| Philadelphia. | ${ }^{1} 6$ | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Cleveland.... | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Richmond. | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Atlanta. | 6 | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 7 | 7 | 6 | 7 |
| Chicago. | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 7 |
| St. Louis. | 6 | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6 | 6 | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6 |
| Minneapolis. | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6 | $6 \frac{1}{2}$ | 7 | 6 | 7 |
| Kansas City | ${ }^{1} 6$ | 6 | 6 | 6 | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6 |
| Dallas...... | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 7 |
| San Francisco.. | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |

${ }^{1}$ Discount rate corresponds to interest rate borne by certificates pledged as collateral.
Note.-Rates shown for St. Louis and Kansas City are normal rates, applying to discounts not in excess of a basic line fixed for each member bank by the Federal Reserve Bank. Rates on discounts in excess of the basic line are subject to a $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent progressive increase for each 25 per cent by which the amount of accommodation extended exceeds the basic line, except that the maximum rate charged by the Kansas City bank does not exceed 12 per cent.




[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Adjusted to eliminate the effect of rediscount operations.

[^1]:    It should be noted that the data which have been assembled show
    only changes in employment in establishments which have reported; they should not be taken as indicating the general state of unemploy-

[^2]:    1 The two former articles appeared respectively in the Federal Reserverbulletin, June, 1920 issue, pp. $583-592$, and in the January, 1921 issue, pp:33-41.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ British price, trade, and financial statistics will be found on pp. 601, 602, 605, 606, 608 of this issue of the Bulleidin

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Italian price, trade, and financial statistics will be found on pp. 601 ,
    $03,607,609$ of this issue of the Bulletin. 603, 607, 609 of this issue of the Bulletin.

[^5]:    1 German price, trade, and financial statistics will be found on pp . 601, $603,605,609$ of this issue of the BulleTIN.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ Prepared under the direction of K. H. Snodgrass, Division of Analysis and Research.

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ Based on report of chief cashier's office, Bank of England, Dec. 15,

[^8]:    ${ }^{2}$ See p. 554 for Gevernment's offer of Apr. 25, for funding national war bonds.

[^9]:    1 On March 11 the rate on treasury bills was lowered to 6 per cent and on April 27 to 53 per cent. The discount rate at the Bank of England was lowered from 7 to $6 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent on April 28.

[^10]:    1 The London Economist comments on this divergent movement of "other deposits" and "other securities" in the following fashion "* * * it may be possible to trace in this movement the effect of the Bank of England's borrowing from other bankers, though it was more usual in old times for the bank to pledge Government securities when it borrowed.'

[^11]:    2 For a discussion of this matter see Federal Reserve Bulsetin, October, 1920, p. 1053.

[^12]:    ${ }^{1}$ Not including gold, silver, or the reexport trade.
    Calculated in 1913 value units.
    Calculated in 1919 value units.
    ${ }^{4}$ February-June, 1920, figures are calculated in 1918 value units. French foreign trade figures are originally recorded in quantity units only, and the value of the trade is calculated by applying official value units to the quantities imported and exported. Normally the monthly statements of trade appear computed at the rates of the year previous, and only at the end of the year is the trade evaluated at the prices prevailing during that year. Because of the disturbed price conditions in France last year, however, it was not until July that the 1919 price units were decided upon and applied.

    5 Monthly French foreign trade figures are published only in cumulative form, and as the value rates used were changed in July it is impossible to give separate figures for that month.
    ${ }_{6}$ August, 1920, through March, 1921, figures calculated in 1919 value units.

[^13]:    1 Includes Treasury and individual deposits.
    Under the laws of Aug. 5 and Dec. 26, 1914, July 10, 1915, and Feb. 16, 1917.
    From indirect taxation and Government monopolies.
    Not including about 1,978 million francs held abroad.

[^14]:    1 In case of Tokyo banks, and note circulation and specie reserve of Bank of Japan, last day of month
    bank's own netes held in thod that in recent years a certain portion of the reserve has been held abroad. Specie reserve figures do not include ${ }_{3}$ 's own notes held in the bank.
    4 Mar. 26.

[^15]:    ${ }^{1}$ Calculated on basis of net deposits and Federal Reserve notes in circulation.

[^16]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes: Ore and base bullion, $\$ 15,325,000$; United States mint or assay office bars, $\$ 428,000$; other refined bullion, $\$ 154,470,000$; United States coin, $\$ 5,836,000$; foreign coin, $\$ 20,648,000$
    'Includes: Domestic exports-Ore and base bullion, $\$ 23,000$; United States mint or \& ssay office bars, $\$ 295,000$; other refined bullion, $\$ 35,000$;
    coin, $\$ 3,888,000$. Foreign exports-Coin, $\$ 279,000$.

[^17]:    Rates for demand paper secured by prime bankers' acceptances, high 7, low $5 \frac{1}{2}$.

