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## REVIEW OF THE MONTH.

During recent months the reserves of the Federal reserve banks, in consequence of continued gold imports, have reached the highest figure since
Gold and credit. the establishment of the system. Memberbank reserves at the Federal reserve banks are also the highest on record. Reserve banks and member banks, however, have utilized these reserves during the past year in altogether different degrees. Measured by total loans and investments the volume of reserve-bank credit is smaller than a year ago, while mem-ber-bank credit has increased more than $\$ 2,000,000,000$. In fact, the aggregate amount of member-bank credit now in use is approximately as large as at the peak of credit expansion in 1920. Member banks have extended this credit without obtaining from the reserve banks an amount of accommodation even approaching the volume of their borrowings in 1920. This large volume of mem-ber-bank credit is the result of increased credit demands which began early in 1922 and became more marked during the second half of that year. This expansion in member-bank credit without a corresponding growth in Federal reserve bank accommodation has been made possible by a continued flow of gold from abroad. This gold was deposited by member banks with the reserve banks and was thus the basis of additional credit extended to borrowers. The inflow of gold of over $\$ 200,000,000$ during 1922 corresponds roughly to the increase in the reserves of the reserve banks and also in the reserve balances of member banks. During this period the total of demand and time deposits of members increased $\$ 2,800,000,000$. It is impossible to determine the extent to which the influx of gold has stimulated the extension of credit by
member banks. From the point of view of the Federal reserve banks, however, it is not so important to know whether bank credit would have expanded to the same extent had there been no gold imports, as it is to realize clearly that, if member banks had not received a steady stream of gold from abroad, they could not have extended the additional credit granted by them during 1922 without applying for a larger amount of accommodation at the Federal reserve banks.
The growth of deposit liabilities of member banks at a rate approximately tenfold the increase in their reserve balances is in accordance with experience which shows that their actual reserves never greatly exceed the legally required minimum. This is in striking contrast to the Federal reserve banks which for more than a year have maintained a reserve ratio of over 75 per cent to deposit and note liabilities combined. Thus the inflow of gold in 1922 has maintained the ratio of reserve bank reserves to their increasing liabilities at a relatively constant and high level, and the utilization of the reserve balances arising out of this gold has enabled member banks to extend their loans and to maintain their reserve requirements without additional borrowing from the reserve banks.

Figures which have recently become available showing the condition of all member banks of the reserve system at the end of December, 1922, show that total loans and investments of these banks at the end of 1922 were $\$ 25$,$700,000,000$, indicating but little reduction from the total of $\$ 26,100,000,000$, shown at the peak of expansion in November, 1920. During the same period, total earning assets of the reserve banks, which measure the total volume of reserve-bank credit in use, declined from $\$ 3,400,000,000$ to $\$ 1,300,000,000$, and constituted at the end of 1922,5 per cent of
member-bank credit, compared with 13 per cent in 1920. This change in the proportion of reserve-bank credit to the total volume of bank credit reflects the effect of the billion dollars of gold imported since the autumn of 1920.

The use made by member banks of gold Gold imports received from abroad has deand the credit pended upon the character of situation. the business and credit situation in the United States at the time. Thus the effect upon credit of the present gold movement which began in September, 1920, may be marked off into two distinct periods. During the first period, which continued until the close of 1921 , gold was chiefly used to liquidate borrowings by member banks at Federal re-


Bars above the zero line at the right of the chart indicate imports and bars below represent exports. The solid black portions of the bars represent net imports or exports. The black line shows changes in the reserves of the twelve Federal reserve banks combined.
serve banks, while in the second period, with the revival of business activity and the increased demand for credit, gold was used as a basis of additional lending by member banks. The bars on the chart show imports and exports of gold since the beginning of 1919 and the line
indicates changes in the reserves of Federal reserve banks. During the 15 months preceding the present gold inflow the United States lost over $\$ 400,000,000$ in gold to South American and oriental countries which had accumulated balances during the war and withdrew them after the removal of the embargo. This outflow is reflected in the decline of the reserves. The chart clearly brings out the fact that the heavy gold imports, which began in the last quarter of 1920 and continued through 1921, raised thereserves of the reserve banks to a high level. The gold reaching this country from abroad was taken to the reserve banks and there liquidated a corresponding amount of memberbank indebtedness. During 1922, however, when member banks were receiving little accommodation from the reserve banks, gold imports, which continued, though in reduced volume, were used largely as a basis for the extension of new credit.

During the first period of the present gold movement, when the gold inflow amounted to $\$ 845,000,000$, liquidation of credit in the United States was under way, the demand for credit was relatively small, prices were declining, business activity was at low ebb, and the member banks utilized the gold obtained from abroad to reduce their indebtedness at the reserve banks and thus to strengthen their own position. This use of the foreign gold representing the liquidation of debts owed to America by foreigners accelerated the reduction of bank loans which was proceeding independently as the result of domestic conditions. The reduction in Federal reserve bank assets from their peak in October, 1920, to their lowest point in the summer of 1922, was due approximately to the same extent to the liquidation of domestic and of foreign loans. The former is roughly measured by the reduction in Federal reserve note circulation and the latter by the net imports of gold.

The second period in the gold movement, during which net imports totaled $\$ 269,000,000$, began about the opening of 1922, but particularly after the first quarter of that year. A large number of member banks, especially in
the financial East, had paid off their entire indebtedness to the reserve banks and the gold imported during this period, when deposited with the reserve banks, constituted a basis for extension of credit by the member banks. Thus, gold imports during the first period facilitated liquidation of credit while during the second period they were used as reserves against new credit. Furthermore, during liquidation the imported gold canceled a corresponding amount of indebtedness, dollar for dollar, while during the more recent inflow it supported an approximately tenfold increase in the deposit liabilities of member banks. This increase was in response to the domestic credit demand, but in the absence of gold imports member banks would necessarily have borrowed from the reserve banks to meet their larger reserve requirements.

The consequences of any addition to reserve balances, whether they arise from gold imports

> Reserve balances and growth of credit. or from reserve-bank accommodation, are important because of the relation they bear to the lending power of member banks and the growth of credit. The volume of member-bank reserve deposits at Federal reserve banks remains fairly steady, but small changes in these reserves are significant because of their relation to member-bank deposit liabilities. Indeed, over longer periods of time the level of reserve deposits at reserve banks changes less than that of any other bigitem in the statement. Federal reserve notes, for instance, dropped from a peak of $\$ 3,400,000,000$ at the end of 1920 to a low point of $\$ 2,100,000,000$ in the middle of 1922. During the same period the deposits of Federal reserve banks rose from $\$ 1,800,000,000$ to $\$ 1,900,000,000$. The difference in the range of fluctuations between the reserve deposits of member banks and Federal reserve note circulation is a reflection of the difference between member-bank and Federal reserve bank credit. Member-bank credit represents a large part of the Nation's volume of credit, and a relatively small percentage of change in that volume may reflect
the difference between a period of industrial inactivity and of industrial revival. Reservebank credit, on the other hand, is resorted to at times when the ordinary credit facilities are inadequate. When increased credit demands can be met only by recourse to the Federal reserve banks, the volume of reserve-bank lending is a sensitive indicator of credit conditions. But when, as has been the case during the last year, the growing demand for credit has been supported by gold imports, the volume of reserve-bank credit is not an adequate indicator of credit trends. This is evident from the fact that at the present time the volume of reserve-bank credit is at about the same level as a year ago, while the loans and investments of member banks have expanded over $\$ 2,000,000,000$.
The increase in the volume of member-bank loans and investments has fur-
Federal reserve nished the business community notes and business activity. with the credit needed to finance the recent increase in production and trade. Increases in the volume of credit, however, are invariably followed by a larger demand for currency. During the past 12 months a part of this added demand was met by the direct use of gold, as indicated by the fact that the volume of gold in circulation increased by $\$ 130,000,000$. But this growth of gold circulation was not sufficient to meet enlarged currency requirements and additional supplies of currency could be secured by member banks only through borrowing at Federal reserve banks. It is in this way that the increased demand for credit has led to a demand for additional accommodation at reserve banks, even though the reserves against additional credit have been supplied by the receipt of gold from abroad. It is noteworthy that the increase in the earning assets of the Federal reserve banks since the end of July, 1922, when the demand for bank credit became more pronounced, is closely paralleled by the increase in Federal reserve note circulation. The increased demand for hand-to-hand cur-
rency which goes with increased employment, production, and credit caused the banks to borrow from the reserve banks in order to secure Federal reserve notes. Furthermore, when the borrowing is for the purpose of meeting reserve requirements the volume of accommodation needed is on the average only one-tenth as large as the increased deposit liabilities of member banks, but when the borrowing is for the purpose of obtaining currency it equals the amount of currency needed, dollar for dollar. At the present time the relation between the growth of credit and the demand for currency is such that even if gold imports continue at a considerable rate the need for additional currency will keep the reserve banks closely in touch with the credit situation.

Business and credit developments in the United States at a time when other leading commercial countries are not on | Absence of in. |
| :--- |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { ternational } \\ \text { standard. }\end{array}$ |
| gold | ffive an effective international gold standard. Under existing world conditions of depreciated currencies and gold embargoes, differences in the levels of money rates and prices prevail in various countries without giving rise to corrective gold movements. In pre-war times an unusual degree of loan activity, accompanied by a rise in prices more rapid in this country than abroad, resulted in unfavorable exchange rates and a consequent outflow of gold. Such an outflow tended to check the increase in the volume of bank credit and to bring international price levels and money rates into line. While the United States now has larger gold holdings than ever in its history and its currency is freely convertible into gold for export, this does not constitute an effective gold standard in a regulatory sense. Such a standard presupposes not merely that domestic currency is on a parity with gold but that gold can and does move freely into and out of the country in response to business and credit conditions here and abroad. But present world financial conditions act as an effective check upon our gold

exports. The volume of our international balance of payments, Government control of gold movements in most foreign countries, and the depreciation of foreign currencies prevent the outflow of gold. Since the beginning of 1921 there have been almost no gold exports from the United States. The largest exports for any month during the period occurred during October, 1922, when the Canadian dollar was at a slight premium and Canadian banks withdrew balances from New York. A relatively small gold movement corrected the exchange rate and the outflow ceased. More recently gold exports on a small scale have been made to British India, which has had favorable trade conditions during the past year and has also purchased gold in the London market. While moderate outward movements of gold, possibly on a somewhat larger scale, may occur during the present year, net imports will probably continue. Under such conditions there is little immediate prospect of international gold movements exercising a corrective influence on our domestic credit developments.

Large gold reserves, the result of an unprecedented inflow of gold due chiefly to conditions abroad, have increased
Reserve

| as crito |
| :--- |
| as credit |

cator. the lending power of Federal reserve banks far beyond the present domestic credit needs. Consequently the relation of these reserves to the deposit and note liabilities of the reserve banks as expressed in the reserve ratio is not now a satisfactory indicator of changes in credit conditions. In fact, since the beginning of 1922 the reserve ratio has remained relatively constant around 75 per cent, largely as the result of offsetting changes in gold reserves and in reserve balances of member banks. Gold reserves are now approximately $\$ 200,000,000$ above what they were 15 months ago, and during this same period the deposits of rescrve banks have increased also by about $\$ 200,000,000$. Consequently since the opening of 1922 the effect on the reserve ratio of the growth in liabilities has been largely offset by the increase in gold holdings. Thus the gold received from abroad in liquidation of foreign
indebtedness has balanced in the reserve ratio the enlarged volume of deposits which have increased in response to the domestic business situation. Under these circumstances, changes in the reserve ratio, since they are the result of diverse and unrelated influences, do not reflect the developments in the domestic credit and business situation.

## TREASURY FINANCE.

Fiscal operations during March centered as usual about the 15 th of the month, when the first installment of income and profits taxes became payable. On the same date semiannual interest on the third Liberty loan fell due, which, with other interest payments, involved the disbursement of about $\$ 135,000,000$. There also became payable on the same date about $\$ 366,000,000$ of Treasury tax certificates, issued on March 15 and on December 15 of last year.

To meet these disbursements and to cover its other current requirements the Treasury expected to raise about $\$ 400,000,000$, the estimated proceeds of the income and profits taxes collectible on March 15, and another $\$ 400,000$,000 or thereabouts from the issue on March 15 of two series of Treasury tax certificates, one bearing $4 \frac{1}{4}$ per cent interest and maturing in six months and the other bearing $4 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent interest and maturing in one year. In announcing these two issues on March 8 the Secretary of the Treasury discussed the situation as follows:

The present offering of certificates is intended, with the balances already on hand, to provide for the payments coming due in March over and above tax receipts, and at the same time to cover the Treasury's further cash requirements between now and the middle of May, when the uncalled Victory notes mature. There will have to be further financing at that time, of course, to refund maturing notes, but the amount involved has already been reduced to manageable proportions, and it will be still further reduced between now and then by exchanges and advance redemptions out of the proceeds of the current offering. This offering of certificates, therefore, will contribute materially to the successful refinancing of the Victory Liberty loan, and when the March operations are completed will still leave outstanding but little more than $\$ 1,000$,000,000 of Treasury certificates-a remarkably low total for this stage of the Government's postwar financing.

Reports received from Federal reserve banks give the total amount of subscriptions received on the two new issues as $\$ 538,859,000$. The total amount of subscriptions allotted was $\$ 475,451,000$, of which $\$ 36,272,650$ represent allotments on subscriptions for which Victory notes, Treasury certificates maturing March 15, or 1918 war savings certificates were tendered in payment. These exchange subscriptions were allotted in full. Allotments on other subscriptions, aggregating $\$ 439,178,350$, were made on a graduated scale as follows: All subscriptions up to amounts not exceeding $\$ 100,000$ for any one subscriber were allotted in full; subscriptions over $\$ 100,000$ but not exceeding $\$ 500,000$ were allotted 80 per cent, but not less than $\$ 100,000$ on any one subscription; subscriptions over $\$ 500,000$ but not exceeding $\$ 1,000,000$ were allotted 75 per cent, but not less than $\$ 400$,000 on any one subscription; subscriptions over $\$ 1,000,000$ were allotted 60 per cent but not less than $\$ 750,000$ on any one subscription.

The distribution of amounts subscribed and allotted, by Federal reserve districts, is shown in the following table:

| Federal reserve district. |  | Treasury certificates, series TS21923. | Treasury certificates, series TM1924. | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Boston |  | \$11, 951, 500 | \$20, 119, 000 | \$32,070, 500 |
| New York |  | 80, 992,500 | 123,319, 500 | 204,312,000 |
| Philadelphi |  | 9,548,500 | 35, 160, 500 | 44,709,000 |
| Cleveland. |  | 14, 807,500 | 30,927,000 | 45,734,500 |
| Richmond |  | 4,745,500 | 11,639,500 | 16,385,000 |
| Atlanta. |  | 7,240,500 | 12,500,500 | 19,741,000 |
| Chicago. |  | $14,862,000$ $4,794,000$ | 37,632,500 | 52,494,500 |
| St. Louis. |  | $4,794,000$ $4,893,000$ | $16,502,500$ $8,496,500$ | $21,296,500$ $13,389,500$ |
| Kansas City |  | 6, 608,500 | 14, 104,500 | 20,713,000 |
| Dallas. |  | 9,337, 500 | 17,148,000 | 26, 485, 500 |
| San Franci |  | 18,162,000 | 23,366,000 | 41,528,000 |
| Total.................. |  | 187,943, 000 | 350, 916, 000 | 538,859,000 |
| Subscriptions Allotted. |  |  |  |  |
| Federal reserve district. | Treasury certificates, series TS21923. | Treasury certificates, series TM1924. | Total. | Exchanges (both series included in total allotments). |
| Boston. | \$10, 366,000 | \$18, 042, 000 | \$28, 408, 000 | \$1,584,500 |
| New York. | 62,789, 000 | 118,685, 500 | 181, 474, 500 | 15,265, 000 |
| Philadelpha.... | $8,001,000$ | 30,284,500 | 38,285, 500 | , 788,550 |
| Cleveland...... | 10, 817, 500 | 26, 687, 000 | 37, 504,500 | 2,622,500 |
| Richmond..... | 4,495,500 | 11, 269, 500 | 15, 765,000 | 715,000 |
| Atlanta. | 6,390,500 | 11, 395,500 | 17, 786, 000 | 265,000 |
| Chicago.. | 14,005,000 | 34, 777, 500 | 48,782, 500 | 5, 486,250 |
| St. Louis..... | 4, 049,000 | 14,999,500 | 19, 048, 500 | 1, 465, 200 |
| Minneapolis... | 4, 893,000 | 8, 496, 500 | 13,389,500 | 1, 419,500 |
| Kansas City.... | $5,683,500$ | 12, 497, 500 | 18, 181,000 | 2, 742,000 |
| Dallas.......... | 8,187,000 | 14,353,500 | 22,540,500 | 1,357,150 |
| San Francisco.. | 14,575,000 | 19, 710, 500 | 34, 285,500 | 2,562,000 |
| Tota | 154, 252,000 | 321, 199, 000 | 475, 451,000 | 36,272,650 |

## NOTES.

## Death of Mr. Campbell.

Mr. Milo D. Campbell, of Michigan, member of the FederallReserve Board since March 13, 1923, died suddenly on March 22.

## Governors' conference.

On March 26-29 was held the semiannual conference of the governors of the 12 Federal reserve banks. In addition to meetings of the governors among themselves, conferences were held with members of the Federal Reserve Board and with members of the Farm Loan Board. During this conference the Federal Reserve Board discussed with the governors many matters of operation, including the amendments to the Federal reserve act contained in the agricultural credits act, changes in the board's regulations made necessary by these amendments, the open-market operations of the system, and many matters of a routine nature. The board also discussed with the governors general economic and financial conditions, the conditions of the reserves, openmarket rates for various classes of paper, the demand for credit and the volume of credit in use, and gold movements, present and prospective.

Commission to investigate gold and silver situation.
The Senate prior to adjournment adopted a resolution creating a commission of five Senators to study the causes for the continued decrease in the production of gold and silver and of the depressed condition of the gold and silver industry in the United States. This commission is to report to the Senate on January 1, 1924. Following are the members appointed to serve on the commission: Senators Nicholson of Colorado, Oddie of Nevada, Gooding of Idaho, Walsh of Montana, and Pittman of Nevada. The recent death of Senator Nicholson, who had been designated as chairman, leaves a vacancy on the commission.

## State bank membership in reserve system.

The agricultural credits act provides for the creation of a joint congressional committee to investigate the reasons why eligible State banks and trust companies fail to join the reserve system and the effect of this failure upon financial conditions in the agricultural sections of the United States. The membership of the committee is as follows: Senators Glass, Weller, and McLean; Representatives McFadden, Dale, Strong, Wingo, and Steagall.

## BUSINESS CONDITIONS IN THE UNITED STATES.

Continued active business is indicated by the maintenance of a high rate of industrial production, increases in freight traffic and employment, and a large volume of retail and wholesale trade.

Production.--The Federal Reserve Board's index of production in basic industries for February was at the same high level as in January. The index number for these industries is now approximately equal to the highest point reached in the past. Since the low point in July, 1921, there has been an increase of 63 per cent. The volume of new building projected in February was exceptionally large for the season, particularly in western districts. Railroad freight shipments have been increasing, and the shortage of box cars, which was somewhat relieved in December and January, became more marked in recent weeks.

A continued increase in industrial employment has been accompanied by further advances in wage rates in a number of industries. Many New England woolen mills announced a wage increase of $12 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent, effective April 30. A shortage ${ }^{2}$ of women workers has been reported in the textile, rubber, and garment industries, and there is a shortage of unskilled labor in many industrial centers.

Trade. -Wholesale and retail distribution of goods continued at a high level during February. Sales of both wholesale and retail concerns reporting to the Federal reserve banks were well above those of a year ago, but the increase was relatively more pronounced in wholesale trade. Mail-order and chain-store business was almost as large in February as in January, despite the shorter month, and sales of ' 5 -and-10-cent stores were actually larger than in January.




Wholesale prices.-The Bureau of Labor Statistics index of wholesale prices advanced slightly during February. Prices of metals, building materials, and clothing increased, while prices of fuels and farm products declined. Building materials and metals during the past year have advanced more than any other groups of commodities and are now about 25 per cent higher than in March, 1922.

Bank credit.--Recent increases in industrial and commercial activity have been reflected in a larger volume of loans by member banks for commercial purposes, especially in the New York, Chicago, and San Francisco districts. Loans of this character by reporting member banks are now approximately $\$ 500,000,000$ larger than at the end of December. This increase has been accompanied by a reduction in holdings of_investments; so that there has been only a moderate net increase in total loans and investments.

The larger demand for funds has not led to any increase during the past month in the total volume of credit extended by the reserve banks. Total earning assets and loans to member banks on March 21 were approximately the same as four weeks earlier. Borrowings by member banks in the interior increased, particularly in the Chicago district, but borrowings byimember banks in the New York district decreased. Since the end of February there has been a small decline in the volume of Federal reserve note circulation, ${ }_{4}$ which is now at approximately the same level as six months ago. Other forms of currency in circulation, however, have recently increased.

The market rates on commercial paper advanced further to a range of 5 to $5 \frac{1}{4}$ per cent, and the rate on bankers' acceptances remained steady at about 4 per cent. There has been a slight increase in the yield of short-term Treasury certificates as well as of Government and other high-grade bonds.

## AGRICULTURE.

Although the mild weather which persisted generally in the agricultural regions during the early winter months was broken by cold waves in)February, the early weeks of March were accompanied by higher temperatures and general precipitation, both of which were favorable to the agricultural and live-stock sections of the country. Throughout all districts the crop outlook has greatly improved, and rapid progress is being made in the preparation for spring planting. In the Chicago, St. Louis, Kansas City, Dallas, and San Francisco districts the condition of the fall and winter sown grains showed continued improvement, and is reported to have come through the winter in much better condition than was anticipated. The growth of winter grains was retarded by freezes in Indiana and by cold weather and continued droughts in California. In the districts of Richmond, Atlanta, Dallas, and St. Louis the agricultural conditions are generally good. Tobacco lands are in good shape; beds have been prepared and many planted. Preparation of the fields for corn planting is making rapid progress, and planting has made considerable advancement in Louisiana, Florida, southern Georgia, Mississippi, and Alabama. The cold weather near the middle of March was a retarding factor to farm work in these States, as well as doing some damage to the fruit and truck crops of Georgia and Texas.

With the recent upward movement in the price of sugar, coupled with the unsatisfactory experiences of potato growers during the past season, an increase in the sugar-beet acreage is indicated for the Kansas City and San Francisco districts, although contracts for this year's acreage have not been signed.

## Grain.

The movement of grain during February within the United States, as indicated by receipts at 17 interior centers, was considerably less than in January and in February, 1922. Receipts of all grains were $77,002,000$ bushels in February, compared with 111,081,000 in January and 105,278,000 in February, 1922. Declines were noted in all grains, but the greatest decreases in receipts were noted for wheat. The export situation continues unfavorable for wheat, as the Southern Hemisphere, particularly Argentina and Australia, is supplying the European markets at prices somewhat lower than American. The American, Canadian, and British visible supply of
wheat was $205,159,000$ bushels on March 3, 1923, compared with $203,590,000$ bushels the previous month and $177,096,000$ bushels a year ago.

The estimates of grain reserves on farms on March 1 show increases in stocks of wheat, oats, and barley, but decreases in stocks of corn as compared with stocks on March 1, 1922. Prices on March 1, as compared with prices on the same date for the two previous years, were higher for corn, oats, and barley, but lower for wheat. Detail figures for the three years, 1921, 1922, and 1923, are shown in the following table:

Stocks and Prices of Grain on Farms March 1, 1921, 1922, AND 1923.

|  | Stocks (000 omitted). |  |  | Price per bushel. |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1921 |  | 1922 | 1923 | 1921 | 1922 |
|  |  |  |  | 1923 |  |  |
| Corn........ | $1,564,832$ | $1,305,559$ | $1,087,412$ | 64.5 | 54.8 | 74.3 |
| Wheat..... | 217,037 | 134,253 | 153,134 | 147.2 | 116.9 | 105.1 |
| Oats...... | 683,759 | 411,934 | 421,511 | 41.9 | 36.6 | 43.1 |
| Barley..... | 65,229 | 42,294 | 43,592 | 56.8 | 49.6 | 57.4 |

## Cotton.

The final figures of 1922 indicate a cotton crop of $9,761,817$ bales-a reduction of 202,183 bales from the estimate of $9,964,000$ bales made in December. As compared with the two preceding crops, the 1922 crop was greater than that of 1921 , but considerably smaller than the crop of $13,439,603$ bales in 1920. As compared with the 10-year (1911-1920) average production, the 1922 crop was smaller by approximately $2,500,000$ bales. Stocks at mills and warehouses on February 28 amounted to $6,006,000$ bales, compared with $5,469,000$ on January 31 , an increase of 537,000 bales. An increase in stocks of 196,000 bales as compared with February one year ago is also indicated, the increase being in mill stocks, as there was a slight decrease in stocks in warehouses. Although exports during February were slightly larger than in the same month in 1922, the total exports for the seven months ending February 28 were $3,739,000$ bales, as compared with $3,923,000$ bales for the corresponding period of last year. The decline in total exports is due largely to decreased shipments to Japan, caused by higher prices as well as the Japanese economic situation and lower prices at which Indian cotton has been obtainable. Since the beginning of the year the price of upland cotton at New York has continued the upward movement which began in the early fall,
reaching 31.20 cents, its highest point, on March 14. Weather conditions, with few exceptions, have been generally favorable in all districts for the planting of the new crop. In the Atlanta district planting is reported to be active in southern Georgia and Florida, while in the Dallas district some planting has been done in southern Texas. The cold weather in late February and in the middle of March, although it was unfavorable to early planting and killed some early cotton, has further reduced the potential damage by the boll weevil.

## Tobacco.

The marketing season for tobacco is almost completed, and on the whole the season has been a successful one for the growers. The quality and yields have been good and prices have been higher than in the preceding season. Although during February many markets were closing, the producers' sales in Virginia markets were $3,633,000$ pounds of bright tobacco, compared with $5,029,000$ pounds sold in January and 10,644,000 pounds in February, 1922; and $5,541,000$ pounds of dark tobacco, compared with 6,294,000 pounds sold in January and $6,217,000$ pounds sold in February, 1922. The decrease in bright tobacco sales from February, 1922, is due in part to the exclusion of sales of cooperative warehouses, which were not in operation a year ago. Average prices for bright tobacco in the Virginia markets were $\$ 26.17$, compared with $\$ 29.02$ in January and $\$ 13.98$ in February, 1922. The lower prices in February, as compared with January, were due to the fact that in the closing periods the grades of tobacco handled were generally inferior, as the good grades continued to demand higher prices. The season's sales in North Carolina were $200,403,000$ pounds at an average price of $\$ 22.87$, as compared with an average price of $\$ 14.83$ in the previous season. In the St . Louis district sales were on an enormous scale and prices were in the main satisfactory.

Production of cigarettes, manufactured tobacco, and cigars, was smaller in February than in January. The production of small cigars and manufactured tobacco was slightly smaller than in February, 1922, but cigarettes and large cigars increased. In the Philadelphia district the dull season of the year in the cigar industry is drawing to a close, and orders are reported larger than they were a year ago. The demand is excellent, and sales are greater than during March, 1922. Production in the large factories is near capacity, while in the
small factories it varies from 50 to 100 per cent of capacity. Prices of most cigars and cigarettes are unchanged, although in some lines some concessions were noted in order to induce sales.

## Fruit.

As the apple season is drawing to a close in most sections of the country, the movement of apples to market during February was smaller than in January, but was greater than in February one year ago. The movement during February was 6,257 cars, compared with 8,171 in the preceding month and 4,683 in February, 1922. The prices paid to the growers have not been satisfactory, and as a result the holdings of apples in storage in the San Francisco district on March 1 were 167 per cent greater than on that date last year. The total movement of oranges and grapefruit in Florida to March 1 was greater than during the preceding season, amounting to 28,329 cars, or an increase of 4,451 cars over the same period one year ago. Increases were noted for both oranges and grapefruit shipments, but the increase in orange shipments was considerably greater that for grapefruit. It is estimated that about 30 or 32 per cent of the crop is yet to be moved. Further improvement of the orange crop in California was noted during February and the estimate of the crop has been increased approximately $4 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent, indicating a yield of $9,260,000$ boxes. The lemon crop, on the other hand, has deteriorated and as the early season estimates have been reduced the total probable yield is now placed at $4,166,000$ boxes. "Advancing prices for oranges have stimulated the marketing of that fruit, whereas lower prices, coupled with unfavorable weather for picking, have reduced lemon shipments. The shipments for the season from the San Francisco district amounted to 14,405 cars of oranges, compared with 11,506 during the preceding season; and 2,113 cars of lemons, compared with 2,324 cars for the same period one year ago. ${ }^{2}$.

## Live stock.

The marketing of live stock, as indicated by receipts of 57 markets during February, decreased as compared with January, but continued greater than in the corresponding period of last year. The marketing of live stock has been heavy during the fall and winter months, the monthly receipts averaging more than $8,000,000$ head, but the February receipts were smaller than any month since August,
1922. The decline was due in part to fewer days in February, but it was also seasonal. Decreases were indicated for all animals, as compared with the preceding month, but increases were noted as compared with the same month one year ago. Detail figures for February, 1923, January, 1923, and February, 1922, are given in the table on page 506.

Pasture and range conditions showed considerable improvement in the Dallas and Kansas City districts. Heavy rains and snows relieved the drought that was prevalent throughout the Southwest during the greater part of the winter months. All stock is reported to have wintered better than usual on account of the mild weather and abundance of feed, and with the progress of the ranges the outlook is promising for the 1923 season. Conditions were not so favorable in the San Francisco district. Severe storms in the intermountain States and a protracted drought in California were the retarding factors during February and early March. Prior to this time the weather was generally favorable and, unless the cold and drought continue, but little permanent injury will be done. Lambing is in progress in all districts under favorable conditions, and some spring lambs have already reached the California markets.

## MINING.

Coal.
Production of coal and coke continue to be maintained at a high level, although prices of bituminous coal have shown a steady downward trend since the first week of January. An average of the prices of 14 important grades of bituminous coal (prepared by the Coal Age) in the week ending March 19 was 9 per cent less than in the week ending February 17 and 30 per cent less than in the week ending January 6. Production of bituminous coal totaled 42,130,000 tons in February, which was 16 per cent less than in January, but 3 per cent more than in February, 1922. Export demand for gas coal has shown considerable improvement, as a result of the curtailment of production in the Ruhr and the consequent shortage throughout central and northern Europe. Domestic business has been inactive in the Middle West and Northwest, but Now England industrial concerns have made heavy purchases. Commercial stocks of coal have shown a steady accumulation since September 1, 1922, and aggregated $38,000,000$ tons on February 1.

Operations at anthracite coal mines are still at nearly a maximum rate, and production in

February totaled $7,773,000$ tons, which was about $1,000,000$ tons less than in January, but over $1,000,000$ tons more than in February of last year. Despite the decline in bituminous prices, steam sizes of anthracite have been moving well and there has been no slackening in demand for domestic sizes. Prices have remained unchanged since early in the winter, although a few operators have recently shaded their quotations on certain steam sizes.

The price of foundry coke advanced slightly during March, as a result of the heary demands of the iron industry and an increase in exports. Coke sales to householders have now practically ceased. Production of by-product coke decreased 11 per cent in February, while beehive output showed a slight increase.

## Petroleum.

Advances in crude petroleum prices, which began late last fall, were checked during the latter part of February, and during March the only increase was one of 25 cents upon Gulf coast oil. Prices of refined products have recently been fairly firm, and scattered advances upon gasoline have been announced, but large stocks have held back any substantial increases, despite the higher quotations upon crude oil.

Average daily production of crude petroleum continues to increase, and each week sets a new high record. Although February output totaled less than in January, the rate of production was greater, and stocks on hand at the end of February were larger than they were a month previous. The number of new wells completed during February fell off and was the smallest since November, 1921.

The greatest increase in production during recent months has taken place in the California fields, and California oil is now being shipped east as a substitute for oil from Mexico, where the output is declining. The rate of production in Oklahoma and Kansas was also greater during February than in the preceding month, but output in Texas and Louisiana fell off during the later month. Weather conditions also retarded drilling operations in Texas, resulting in a decrease in new completions.

## Metals.

Production of all nonferrous metals decreased moderately during February, but the reduction was largely due to the decrease in number of working days as compared with January. Prices, which rose sharply in February, made more moderate advances during the first three weeks of March. The price of refined electrolytic copper delivered at New York was
17.375 cents per pound on March 21, as compared with 16.75 cents on February 28 and 15 cents on January 31. Decrease in domestic demand in the first two weeks of March was offset by an increase in foreign orders. The Copper Export Association has reduced the stock of copper which it is holding and financing from $400,000,000$ pounds to about $50,000,000$ pounds during the last two years, as well as marketing a substantial percentage of the current production in that period. It is estimated that the association handles about 80 per cent of the copper exports of the United States. Mine production of copper amounted to $102,515,000$ pounds in February, which was 9 per cent less than in January, but was almost three times as large as a year ago.

Zinc shipments totaled 48,153 tons in February, which was slightly larger than in January and twice as large as in February, 1922. As production only amounted to 42,443 tons stocks were reduced 5,710 tons, or about 34 per cent. Stocks of slab zinc on March 1 were only about one-sixth as large as a year previous and are equivalent to less than one week's supply at the present rate of consumption. The large demand for zinc has resulted in an increase in the price at St. Louis from 7.30 cents per pound on February 21 to 8 cents on March 21. Lead prices at New York increased between these same dates from 8 cents a pound to 8.25 cents. February production of lead was 10 per cent less than in January, but 9 per cent more than in February, 1922. Shipments of zinc and lead ores from the Joplin district increased during February, as a result of a further increase in ore prices.

Silver production declined 9 per cent during February, but was 22 per cent larger than a year ago. Deliveries of tin to factories were about twice as large as in February, 1922.

## MANUFACTURES.

## Food products.

The production of wheat flour during February was somewhat smaller than in January, due mainly to two less working days in the month, as the mills operated at slightly more than one-half of capacity, approximately the same operating ratio as in January. Although the production amounted to only $9,425,000$ barrels in February as compared to $10,137,000$ barrels in January, there was an increase of 193,000 barrels over February, 1922. Activity in the milling industry varied from 49.6 per cent of capacity in the Chicago district to 55.3 per cent in the Kansas City district, com-
pared with 52.2 per cent and 62.8 per cent in the respective districts in February, 1922.

The domestic flour business continued dull throughout the latter part of February, but some signs of improvement were noted in the first weeks of March through the bookings of new orders. Shipments continued slow. Export demand, although quiet in the latter part of February, seemed to improve early in March, but was mainly from countries outside of Europe.

Owing to the shortness of the month the number of animals slaughtered decreased during February, as compared with the preceding month, but considerable increase was shown over the corresponding month one year ago. The number of animals slaughtered under Federal inspection was $5,997,000$, compared with $7,252,000$ in January and $5,104,260$ in February, 1922. Decreases in slaughter of all kinds of animals were noted in February, as compared with January, but those for cattle were slightly greater than for other animals.

Thirty-eight meat packing companies in the United States reported to the Chicago reserve bank an increase of 6 per cent in dollar sales over February, 1922, but a decrease of 4.5 per cent from the preceding month. The production of butter during February increased slightly as compared with January, and was greater than in February one year ago.

Consumption of meats per capita in the United States in 1922 was somewhat larger than in 1921 and in 1920, but exports of meat products have been seriously affected by adverse condition of foreign exchange and reduced purchasing power of European countries. Lard exports, due largely to the reentry of Germany into the market since the war, continued in large volume in 1922, falling short of the record established in 1921 by little more than $100,000,000$ pounds. Storage holdings of meats on February 28 amounted to $805,523,000$ pounds, an increase over both the previous month and February a year ago. Prices for pork products remained steady, while beef and lamb prices showed a tendency to decline, due to an oversupply resulting from heavy marketing of live stock.

## Textiles.

Manufacturing activity in practically all textile industries was maintained at close to capacity during February and March. A slight between-season lull in buying was noted among some lines early in March, but shipments and production continued at the same rate in
order to fill orders previously received. Price advances for finished goods were numerous, following the trend of raw materials. Demands for wage increases have been made by workers in most textile industries and advances have been announced in many lines.

Mill consumption of cotton during February exceeded all previous records for that month, and the number of cotton spindles active was the largest ever reported. Operations are at close to capacity in practically all producing centers, and the volume of unfilled orders is large. The cotton goods market in the Philadelphia Federal reserve district was reported to be generally more active in March than in February, although staple cloths were somewhat duller. Many mills in the Richmond district found night operations necessary in order to keep up with orders, and business was still being booked for several months in advance. Production and shipments of cloth and yarns by certain manufacturers in the Atlanta district during February were about 20 per cent greater than a year ago, and unfilled orders on hand had increased 70 per cent. Finishers of cotton fabrics reported seasonal declines in business during February as compared with the preceding month. Raw cotton has continued to adrance in price, but most of the mills are now fairly well supplied. A number of advances occurred in yarn quotations during March, although the market for yarns has not been particularly active. According to the Textile World index numbers, February cloth prices were 3 per cent higher than in January and over 20 per cent above those of a year previous, and yarn prices had advanced 5 per cent since January and nearly 50 per cent within the past year.
Fall orders for woolen and worsted goods have been practically all placed, and buying during March and late February was upon a limited scale. Manufacturers had, however, during previous weeks booked sufficient orders to enable them to continue operations at close to capacity for some months, and some have withdrawn certain lines from the market. Production consequently continued during February at about the same rate prevailing in the previous month. Activity among woolen spindles increased slightly during February, whereas active worsted spindle hours fell off some, and the per cent of loom hours active was also smaller. Consumption of wool by mills during February totaled about 7 per cent less than in January, which decline may be attributed to the shortness of the later month. Both the yarn and the raw-wool markets were
relatively quiet during March, as manufacturers are fairly well supplied for present needs. Reports from western growing centers indicate that prices demanded for the new clip are higher than those prevailing in the eastern markets. The Textile World index numbers for February indicated continued advances in the prices of raw wool and of yarn and cloths to levels respectively 33,25 , and 17 per cent above those prevailing a year ago.

Activity among silk manufacturers continued at a high rate during February, and deliveries of raw silk to mills, despite the shortness of the month, reached a total exceeded in the past three years only by that of last October. Loom activity at Paterson also attained the highest percentage reached within the past two years. The rate of production, however, declined in the North Hudson section late in January, and also fell off slightly in Paterson during the first week in March, but later recovered. Mills in the Philadelphia Federal reserve district report that the recent improvement in business continued into March, and that looms, with the exception of ribbon looms, were running at 75 per cent of capacity.

Men's clothing sales by wholesalers in the New York Federal reserve district during February exceeded those of January by 84 per cent and were 73 per cent greater than during last February. Sales of women's clothing increased 10 per cent. Reports from the Chicago district also indicate increased activity in men's clothing, and returns from certain tailors to the trade showed increases of 65 per cent in orders, production, and shipments, as compared with last year. In the St. Louis district a vigorous demand was noted for medium-priced spring garments, particularly from the cotton sections. An important event in the clothing industry was the opening early in March of fall lines by one of the largest manufacturers of ready-made men's clothing, with no change in prices on some lines and small advances on others.

Production and shipments of knit underwear were less in February than in January, and orders booked fell off over 50 per cent, leaving a lessened volume of unfilled orders on hand at the end of the month. These developments were largely seasonal. Shipments continued to exceed production by a substantial margin, but new orders received were smaller than production. Summer underwear production exceeded that of winter garments. Total output during February was somewhat less than during February, 1922. March sales of underwear by producers in the Philadelphia Federal reserve district were rather small, as the bulk of
the spring business had been previously placed. Prices of raw materials continued upward, and some advances were made on finished products.

According to reports from the Philadelphia district, the hosiery trade has not experienced the same improvement in demand noted by other textile industries. Although some mills were working at capacity, others were only operating at from 30 to 50 per cent. Statistics of production showed a declinesince last February, but shipments, orders booked, and unfilled orders on hand were substantially larger. Manufacturers of cotton hosiery, however, in the Atlanta district reported that business was considerably better than last year, although seasonal declines were noted as compared with January.

## Iron and steel.

Average daily production of pig iron increased considerably during February, while there was a slight reduction in the output of steel ingots. Prices of pig iron and of various kinds of finished steel registered further advances during the first three weeks of March, and a composite of the prices of 14 products (prepared by the Iron Trade Review) was 6 per cent higher on March 21 than on February 21. Demand has been very heavy in every branch of the steel industry, and reports from the Philadelphia district state that some companies which manufacture bars, plates, and shapes have already filled their order books for the second quarter of 1923. Moreover, the recent increase in building contracts awarded has resulted in a large increase of orders for structural steel. The unfilled orders of the United States Steel Corporation increased about 5 per cent in February and are now higher than at any time in the last two years. As a result of the recent curtailment of iron and steel production in Germany, there have been some foreign inquiries for American iron, whereas iron was being imported from Great Britain in the fall of 1922 .

This improvement in domestic and foreign demand has resulted in a further increase in blast-furnace capacity. Sixteen additional furnaces were blown in during February, and preparations are being made to start other furnaces which have been idle for over two years.

## Automobiles.

Production of passenger automobiles totaled 259,383 during February, which was 16 per cent more than in January and exceeded all
previous months except June, 1922. This rate of production appears particularly high when the facts that February has fewer working days than any other month and that the automobile industry in past years has always shown a low rate of output throughout the winter months are considered. Truck production was increased 13 per cent during February, but is still at a considerably lower level than that which prevailed during 1920.
Reports from the Chicago district indicate that orders to manufacturers for cars are at a high level and that deliveries to consumers are substantially larger than at this season last year. In order to stimulate the demand for used cars, many dealers are having the cars which they buy or receive in trade thoroughly overhauled and are giving a guarantee against mechanical defects when they sell them.

Tire factories in the Cleveland district are now working three shifts, and many plants report new production records. A shortage of labor has developed at Akron and wages have been advanced. Most of the larger companies have also announced another 10 per cent increase in tire prices.

## Leather and shoes.

About the middle of March the packer hide market in Chicago became suddenly active, and sales of 300,000 hides were effected. Earlier in March and during February markets had been relatively inactive. Prices during February remained practically unchanged, but in March quotations on native hides fell off slightly. Prices on various grades of hides are now from 25 to 100 per cent above those prevailing a year ago.

In the Philadelphia district heavy leathers have been active and prices have advanced. The demand for the upper leathers duringMarch was centered upon specialties. Tanners in the Chicago district reported that average daily output remained practically unchanged during January and February. Sole-leather production for the country as a whole, although totaling less in February, because of the shorter month, was at the same rate as in January, but less than that prevailing a year ago.

The decline in the production of shoes between January and February was so slight as to be negligible, and, in fact, increases were noted in some centers. Shipments, according to reports to the Federal Reserve Banks of Boston, Philadelphia, and Chicago, were larger than in January, but orders fell off. Production, shipments, and orders all exceeded the correspond-
ing items for February, 1922, but stocks on hand were smaller except in the Boston district. Manufacturers in the Philadelphia district reported a decline in demand during March, as Easter orders had been practically all filled, and operating schedules were also reduced. Sales of shoes by wholesalers during February were less than during January in the New York, St. Louis, and Minneapolis districts, but increased in the other reporting districts. Retail shoe sales, as reflected by reports of retailers in the Philadelphia district, and of chain stores, well distributed throughout the country, were smaller during February than in January, and the chain-store sales were below those of last February.

## Paper.

Demand for paper is good and production is well maintained at high levels. Newsprint output during February, although less than in January because of the shortness of the month, exceeded that of any other February within the last six years. The production of book and wrapping papers during January was the largest on record. Paper wholesalers reporting to the Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia indicate that the demand was particularly good for fine, book, and wrapping papers during March, and sales exceeded those of earlier months. Printers and publishers in the Philadelphia district reported great activity growing out of an improved demand for advertising matter. Stocks of newsprint increased only slightly during February, although a fairly large increase is customary at that time of the year. Stocks of practically all grades of paper increased during January, in accordance with the usual seasonal trend. Both production and consumption of wood pulp increased during January, and stocks held by producers were enlarged slightly, although they are still very small in comparison with figures for previous months.

## Lumber.

Lumber manufacturers since the middle of February have reported gradually increasing production, with declines in the volume of orders received and with shipments continuing at a steady rate. Mills have an enormous volume of unfilled orders on their books, which accumulated during the winter when production was curtailed. An unusually large cut will still be required to fill these orders, although the output of mills throughout the winter was well above that of the preceding year. Transportation conditions were reported to be hindering prompt shipments of
lumber from producing to consuming points. Prices on practically all grades of lumber have been steadily adrancing for several weeks. Composite indexes show that about March 15 lumber prices were 2 per cent higher than they were a month previous to that date and 30 per cent above those of March, 1922. Lumber cut during February by 569 mills reporting to the National Lumber Manufacturers' Association totaled $977,669,000$ feet, which was a decline of 5 per cent from the January cut, but an increase of 26 per cent above that for last February. Shipments were also less than in January, totaling $1,132,320,000$ feet. Decreases in orders, shipments, and cut as compared with January were reported by the Southern Pine Association. Reports from the St. Louis Federal reserve district indicate a slackening in wholesale buying about the middle of February, with quietness prevailing in the market a month later. Production of lumber in the Minneapolis district increased during February, but manufacturers' stocks declined considerably. Output of mills in the San Francisco district was the maximum permitted by log supply and weather conditions. Production and shipments exceeded those of January and of last February. Orders booked were slightly less than in January, but unfilled orders increased. Shipments, many of which go by water, have exceeded production for three months and stocks of all grades were reported to be at low levels.

## BUILDING.

Actual construction has been retarded somewhat in the northern parts of the country during the past three months on account of winter conditions. Plans for new construction, as shown by contracts awarded and permits issued, are very large at the present time. The value of contracts let in 10 Federal reserve districts (compiled from statistics collected by the F. W. Dodge Co.) totaled $\$ 277,380,000$ in February, which was 14 per cent more than in January. In seven districts for which comparable figures are available February contracts were 29 per cent larger than a year ago. The value of awards increased during February in all reporting districts except Boston and New York. The largest increase occurred in the Minneapolis district and amounted to 135 per cent. Statistics published on page 509 show that there was also a considerable increase in both number and value of building permits at 168 cities in February, as compared with January and a year ago.

A large proportion of the new building planned is for business or governmental purposes, as is shown by the fact that contracts awarded for residential buildings decreased 26 per cent during February, while all other contracts increased 55 per cent. The Secretary of Commerce, who has been making a study of this problem, issued a report during March in which he recommended that the National, State, and municipal governments initiate no new building projects until the present large private demand for construction has slackened. The report pointed out that Government agencies, by postponing construction, might prevent wasteful competition at present and might later alleviate unemployment.

Prices of building materials continued to advance during February and were on the average 24 per cent higher than in March, 1922. Production of brick and flooring decreased as compared with January, whilecement production showed a moderate increase. Stocks of cement and brick showed some further accumulation. TRANSPORTATION.
Increasing traffic and difficulty on the part of the railroads to keep pace with the demands of shippers are features of the present railroad situation. Car loadings have shown a definite upward trend since the beginning of January, and reached the highest figures for the current year in the week ending March 3. Loadings for the first two months of 1923 were higher than in the corresponding period of any previous year. Shipments of forest products, coke, and general merchandise have been exceptionally heavy, and an unusually large proportion of the traffic in February originated in the industrial sections of the East and Central West.
Freight-car shortage increased steadily during the last three weeks of February, but decreased in the first two weeks of March. The average shortage of all types of cars increased from 70,522 in the week ending February 8 to 80,633 in the week ending February 28, followed by a reduction to 74,442 in the week ending March 14. During this five-week period the shortage of box cars, which are chiefly used in shipping manufactured goods, increased 40 per cent, while the shortage of coal cars decreased 19 per cent. The number of bad-order cars on March 1 was 215,552 , which was 3 per cent more than on February 1, but 35 per cent less than on March 1, 1922. The increase in bad-order cars during February may be ascribed partly to the damage to equipment from snow and ice and partly to the continuance of labor disputes at
certain railroad shops. Railroad equipment factories are maintaining close to a maximum rate of output, yet unfilled orders for locomotives increased from 1,788 to 2,220 during February; a total of 25,866 new freight cars were put in service during the first two months of 1923; and there were outstanding orders for 102,912 additional cars on March 1.

## Wholesale trade.

Owing to the fact that February contained fewer business days, wholesale distribution was smaller than in the preceding month. However, pronounced increases were noted in all lines as compared with February, 1922. Although decreases during February were noted in all lines and in almost all Federal reserve districts, the extent of the decreases showed variations in all districts. Grocery sales decreased in 6 of the 11 reporting districts, the decreases ranging from 1.8 per cent in the Philadelphia district to 12 per cent in New York. The greatest increase was noted in the Kansas City district, being 26.6 per cent, and the smallest 0.4 per cent in the Dallas district. With the exception of the New York and Cleveland districts, dry goods sales decreased in all districts, the decreases ranging from 1.8 per cent in Kansas City to 12.8 per cent in St. Louis. Sales of shoes decreased in the New York, St. Louis, and Minneapolis districts, but increased in all others. Compared with February, 1922, sales of dry goods and hardware showed the greatest increases. The increases in hardware varied from 18 per cent in the Minneapolis district to 51.1 per cent in the Cleveland district, while increases in dry goods sales varied from 16.5 per cent in the Kansas City district to 53.1 per cent in the Richmond district. The increases in hardware sales reflect the influence of the large amount of building in the process of construction and increased farm activity, while increased dry goods sales reflect the influence of rising costs of raw materials. Collections were "fair to good" in all districts. The table on page 511 gives detailed figures of wholesale trade.

## Retail trade.

Retail distribution during February was generally smaller than in the preceding month in all reporting lines except 5 and 10 cent chains, but continued greater than during the corresponding month one year ago. Sales by 5 and 10 cent chains increased approximately 2 per cent over January. Although the shortness of the month, combined with inclement weather in many districts, retarded
department-store trade during February as compared with January, increases over February, 1922, were noted in all districts. The increases ranged from 2.4 per cent in the Dallas district to 20.9 per cent in the San Francisco district. In addition to the San Francisco district the largest increases were in the industrial districts of Cleveland, Philadelphia, and Chicago, reflecting improved employment conditions and continued industrial expansion.

Stocks of department stores at the close of February were greater than at the close of January, due to the receipts of spring goods placed in stock during the month. Stocks on hand were, moreover, slightly larger than at the close of February, 1922, in all districts except Kansas City, where a decrease of 2.5 per cent was indicated. The increases varied from 0.4 per cent in the Dallas district to 14.2 per cent in the St. Louis district, the average increase for all sections being 6 per cent. Outstanding orders of department stores decreased in all districts except Cleveland, Chicago, and San Francisco. The table on page 511 gives detailed figures of retail trade.

## PRICES.

Wholesale prices in the United States increased during the month of February, the index numbers of the Federal Reserve Board and Bureau of Labor Statistics each advancing 1 point. When the commodities in the board's index are grouped according to stages of manufacture, the level of raw materials remained unchanged, producers' goods rose 6 points, and consumers' goods declined 2 points.

Among raw materials, prices of metals continued the increases of January, while coal prices declined rapidly. Prices of grain increased, as they have for the past three months, and prices of live stock continued their opposite downward trend. Such producers' goods as steel, brick, mineral oils, cotton and woolen yarns show increases, causing the group as a whole to advance more rapidly than during any month of the past year. Among foods in the consumers' goods group, butter and eggs showed their usual large February declines. The increase in sugar prices brought the level of that commodity back to that of the early part of 1921. The cost is now 7.3 cents a pound, in contrast to 4.9 cents one year ago and 7.1 cents two years ago.

The groupings of the Bureau of Labor Statistics index number, showing the trends of
smaller groups of commodities, moved as follows: Farm products declined 1 point, foods remained unchanged, cloths and clothing increased 3 points, fuel and lighting decreased 6 points, metal products increased 6 points, building materials 4 points, chemicals and drugs 1 point, while house furnishings remained unchanged.

## COMMERCIAL FAILURES.

Commercial failures during February showed a decline below the January figures by more than the usual seasonal amount and were fewer in number than during any month since September, 1921. Furthermore, during the first three weeks of March failures totaled 1,111, a decline of 34 per cent below the number reported for the corresponding period in March, 1922. Total liabilities involved in February failures were 44 per cent less than during last February and 54 per cent below the record figure reached in December, 1921. Both number and liabilities, however, were still relatively great when compared with corresponding data during the years prior to 1921. The number of insolvencies involving over $\$ 100,000$ in liabilities totaled 67 during February, as compared with 82 in January and 87 in February, 1922. The declines in both rumber and liabilities of February failures below the January figures were greater in the case of manufacturing establishments than with trading firms.

The number of defaults was less than during last February in all Federal reserve districts and exceeded those of January only in the Kansas City district. Liabilities were above the corresponding figures for last February in the Cleveland and San Francisco districts, and were larger than during January in the Boston, Atlanta, Dallas, and San Francisco districts, but were smaller in all other districts.

## EMPLOYMENT.

Employment increased 2 per cent during February, according to reports received by the United States Bureau of Labor Statistics from 4,848 industrial establishments in all parts of the United States. Plants manufacturing fertilizer, agricultural implements, and automobiles reported the largest increases in number of workers, while meat-packing plants, car shops, and flour mills were the only industries showing any. considerable reductions in forces. A substantial shortage of female
workers has developed at textile mills and garment factories in the Eastern States. Unskilled male labor also is in great demand in industrial sections, but is still in excess of the supply in States west of the Mississippi River. Almost all wage adjustments continue to be upward. Many plants manufacturing steel, lumber, railroad cars, furniture, brick, leather, and woolen goods announced wage advances in the 30-day period ending February 15.

Shortages of both male and female workers are reported from New England. The textile, shoe, metal, and machine industries report shortages of skilled male workers, while the textile, rubber, and electrical industries desire additional female workers. Cotton and woolen mills, brass foundries, and woodworking plants are working overtime, while lumber and paper mills are now on part-time schedules. The New York State Department of Labor reports that there was an increase of about 1.2 per cent in industrial employment during February, while average weekly earnings decreased 1.3 per cent. Scarcity of female factory workers and domestic workers has developed in many parts of the New York district and common labor is in great demand. Employment at sugar refineries and automobile plants was substantially increased during February, while iron and steel mills and metal plants generally report a shortage of workers. Reports from about 480 industrial - establishments in the Philadelphia district show an increase of 2 per cent in number of employees in February as compared with January, while the average weekly earnings increased 4 per cent. Unemployment in four important cities of eastern Pennsylvania on March 15 was 12 per cent less than on February 15 and 21 per cent less than on January 1. Shortages of female workers in the garment industry and of skilled male workers in the metal industries and the meat-packing industry are reported, while there is a scarcity of common labor in many sections of the Philadelphia district. Iron furnaces and steel mills in the Cleveland district report shortages of common labor and of many types of skilled labor. Rubber factories in Alroñ are working three shifts, while other plants in the vicinity are working two shifts. Shortages of skilled cutters, loaders, and helpers have developed at mines in western Pennsylvania.

Employment in the food, steel, and lumber industries continues to increase in all parts of the Richmond district, while tobacco factories and coal mines have slightly reduced their forces. Textile mills have further increased
the number of their employees in the southern part of the district, but mills in Maryland have slightly reduced operations. There is still a surplus of general clerical workers in Washington, but the demand for male stenographers exceeds the supply. The textile, lumber, paper, and printing industries have added workers to their pay rolls in the Atlanta district. Common labor is still very scarce in Florida and Mississippi and there is a growing shortage of farm workers.

In the Chicago district the number employed by 218 firms increased about 1 per cent in February, although there was some decrease in the number of man-hours and average earnings on account of the decrease in number of working days as compared with January. The largest gains in employment occurred at plants manufacturing agricultural machinery, electrical goods, and wearing apparel, while decreases were registered by manufacturers of heavy machinery, by railway repair shops, and by tanneries. A shortage of building craftsmen is reported from the St. Louis district, and there is a large demand for farm help and female garment workers. A surplus of both male and female office help exists in the city of St. Louis. Steel mills, shoe factories, and lumber mills in the Minneapolis district increased their forces during February but there is still a surplus of agricultural workers. Demand for office help is improving in the Kansas City district, and calls for farm labor are more numerous. Coal mines, soap factories, brick yards, and flour mills are operating on part-time schedules.

The number of employed in the Dallas district continued practically unchanged during February. Some surplus of machinists and of common labor is reported. Oil companies are increasing the size of their forces. In the San Francisco district the commencement of spring farm work has reduced the surplus supply of common labor. Iron and steel mills and shipyards are employing additional workers. A surplus of common labor and commercial workers is reported from the northern part of the district.

## FOREIGN TRADE.

The preliminary import figures now available for December, 1922, indicate the continuance of our import trade at a relatively high level, even if allowance is made for recent increases in commodity valuations. With the single exception of September, 1922, when large quantities of goods were rushed to this
country just before the new tariff rates went into effect, the December total of $\$ 297,000,000$ is the largest in any month since November, 1920. For the entire calendar year 1922 imports were valued at $\$ 3,116,000,000$, compared with $\$ 2,509,000,000$ in 1921.

Exports from the United States declined in value from $\$ 336,000,000$ in January to $\$ 310$,000,000 in February, the most recent month for which total figures have been compiled. Allowing for the fewer days in February, the returns for that month average slightly higher per day than do those for January. While there has been some falling off in our export trade as compared with the high levels of October and November, 1922, the reduction so far has not been sufficient to cause serious concern.

## GOLD AND SILVER MOVEMENTS.

Net gold imports for the month of February totaled $\$ 6,984,000$, compared with $\$ 24,348,000$ for January. Both imports and exports were on a substantially lower scale than during the preceding months, imports from Europe and Canada showing the largest declines. Gross gold imports for the month under review were $\$ 8,383,000$. Of this total about 55 per cent, most of it in United States coin, came from Canada, about 12.5 per cent from the United Kingdom, and slightly less than 12 per cent from France. Over one-half of the total of $\$ 1,399,000$ of gold exported during the month was consigned to Hongkong, Mexico took $\$ 546,000$, or about 40 per cent of the gold exported during the month, while smaller shipments were made to Canada, Switzerland, and England.

Net imports of gold since August 1, 1914, totalled $\$ 1,811,716,000$, as shown in the following table:
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | Imports. | Exports. | Excess of imports. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aug. 1, 1914 to Dec. 31, 1918. | 1,776,616 | 705,210 | 1,071, 406 |
| Jan. 1 to Dec. 31, 1919..... | 76,534 | 368,185 | 1291,651 |
| Jan. 1 to Dec. 31, 1920. | 417,068 | 322,091 | 94,977 |
| Jan. 1 to Dec. 31, 1921. | 691, 248 | 23, 891 | 667,357 |
| Jan. 1 to Dec. 31, 1922. | 275,170 | 36,875 | 238,295 |
| Jan. 1 to Feb. 28, 1923 | 41,203 | 9,871 | 31,332 |
| Total | 3,277,839 | 1,466,123 | 1,811,716 |

[^0]Silver imports during February, $\$ 3,792,000$, were lower than any monthly imports since June, 1921. About 60 per cent of the total
imported during the month came from Mexico, over 15 per cent from Peru, and about 14 per cent from Canada. Silver exports for the month, $\$ 2,191,000$, were largely directed toward China, British India, and England, these three countries together taking about 90 per cent of the total silver exports for the month.
Net silver exports since August 1, 1914, totaled $\$ 434,756,000$, as may be seen from the following table:

| [In thousands of dollars.] |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Imports. | Exports. | Excess of exports. |
| Aug. 1, 1914, to Dec. 31, 1918. | 203,592 | 483,353 | 279, 761 |
| Jan. 1 to Dec. 31, 1919. | 89,410 | 239,021 | 149,611 |
| Jan. 1 to Dec. 31, 1920. | 88,060 | 113,616 | 25,556 |
| Jan. 1 to Dec. 31, 1921. | 63, 242 | 51,575 | ${ }^{1} 11,687$ |
| Jan. 1 to Dec. 31, 1922. | 70, 807 | 62,807 | 18,000 |
| Jan. I to Feb. 28, 1923. | 9,617 | 9,112 | 1505 |
| Total. | 524,728 | 959, 484 | 434,756 |

${ }^{1}$ Excess of imports.

## FOREIGN EXCHANGE.

French francs, which had been declining since April, 1922, except for a brief rally in December, continued downward in January, February, and the early part of March of this year. On March 12 the franc stood at 6.03 cents, compared with 9.35 cents on April 24, 1922, the peak figure since 1919. During the latter part of March French exchange was very active, with a general upward trend, the quotation for March 30 being 6.62 cents. The course of the Belgian franc has been parallel to that of the French franc, while the Italian lira has remained fairly steady.
Sterling exchange has moved but little in March, with a general downward trend, the quotation on March 30 being $\$ 4.68$, compared with a peak of $\$ 4.72$ recorded on February 21. German marks, after being apparently pegged during the last week of February at 0.0044 cent, rose on March 7 to 0.0048 cent, where they were again stabilized for the remainder of the month.

Of the South American exchanges, Argentine pesos and Brazilian milreis declined somewhat, while Chilean pesos advanced considerably. In the Orient the Japanese yen and the Indian rupee remained fairly steady, while the Shanghai tael advanced from 71 cents in the middle of February to 76 cents in the middle of March. This advance is parallel to a corresponding rise in the price of silver.

## RULINGS OF THE FEDERAL RESERVE BOARD.

## Nonmember bank balances.

There is published herewith the substance of a ruling made by the Federal Reserve Board upon the question whether certain items should be considered as a sum on deposit with a nonmember bank within the meaning of that portion of section 19 of the Federal reserve act which provides: "No member bank shall keep on deposit with. any State bank or trust company which is not a member bank a sum in excess of 10 per centum of its own paid-up capital and surplus."

The facts upon which the ruling was predicated were stated to be as follows:

A State member bank has been sending to a nonmember bank all its foreign items for collection and credit, these items consisting either of checks received as cash items from the member bank's customers, or the member bank's own drafts on its other correspondent banks. These items are indorsed in blank by the member bank's customers and by the member bank with the usual indorsement, "Pay to the order of any bank, banker, or trust company, all previous indorsements guaranteed." They are handled by the nonmember bank as cash items. At times, when large remittances are made, the member bank's books show balances in excess of 10 per cent of its capital and surplus with the nonmember bank, but its collected or available balance with the nonmember bank is at all times within this 10 per cent limitation. By collected or available balance is meant such portion of the items sent the nonmember bank as has been actually collected by the nonmember bank and which, under an agreement between the member andnonmember bank, may be checked against by the former. It is the practice of the member bank to charge all items to the nonmember bank immediately, and of the nonmember bank to give immediate credit for the same, subject to final payment, but by virtue of the understanding noted above, the credit thus created on the books of the nonmember bank may not be checked against until final payment of the items creating the credit has been received.

As a matter primarily of bookkeeping, the board is of the opinion that a member bank should not be permitted to show on its books that it has a balance due from a nonmember bank in excess of the 10 per cent limit allowed by law, for this constitutes prima facie a
violation of the law, irrespective of whether or not part of such balance may consist of items which properly do not represent sums "on deposit with" the nonmember bank within the meaning of section 19 .

The further question is raised, however, as to whether items sent the nonmember bank under the circumstances stated and still in process of collection must be included in the balance due from the nonmember bank, or, in other words, whether the uncollected items properly should be deemed to eonstitute "a sum on deposit with" the nonmember bank, within the meaning of the above quoted provision of section 19 of the Federal reserve act.

It appears that there is an irreconcilable conflict of legal authority upon the question whether, under general principles of law, items forwarded under the circumstances described and still uncollected would be held to constitute a deposit, although the better view seems to be that the member bank would become a general creditor of and depositor in the nonmember bank with respect to all such items. Inasmuch, however, as the question under consideration is whether certain items constitute a sum on deposit with a nonmember bank within the meaning of section 19 of the Federal reserve act, the board is not concluded by general rules of law, but may properly look to the spirit and purpose of this provision of section 19 and so construe and administer it as best to carry out the intention of Congress in enacting this legislation.

One purpose of the provision limiting the amount which a member bank may keep on deposit with a nonmember bank, and perhaps the primary purpose, is to minimize the risk incurred by a member bank in maintaining a balance with a bank which is not a member bank and which consequently does not have the security and protection afforded by membership in the Federal reserve system. With this purpose in view, the board believes that, in determining whether certain items should be held to constitute sums on deposit with a nonmember bank within the proper meaning of section 19, it should be governed largely by a consideration of the risk incurred by member banks in handling items through a nonmember bank. In view of the uncertainty as to how the courts would deal with such items in the event of failure of the nonmember bank, it would seem that member banks should
count as part of the permitted balance due from a nonmember bank all items which might reasonably be held to constitute sums on de－ posit with such nonmember bank．In this way member banks would be protected against un－ due loss in case of the nonmember bank＇s insol－ vency，as was contemplated by Congress．As seen above，the items under consideration，al－ though not conclusively established in all juris－ dictions to constitute deposits under general principles of law，are properly susceptible of such a characterization，and，in the board＇s opinion，they should be held to represent sums on deposit with a nonmember bank within the meaning of section 19 of the Federal reserve act．

This ruling is in accord with previous rulings made by the board in connection with the pro－ vision of section 19 that＂In estimating the balances required by this act，the net difference of amounts due to and from other banks shall be taken as the basis for ascertaining the deposits against which required balances
with Federal reserve banks shall be de－ termined．＂In construing this provision the board，has ruled that in deducting，＂due from＂bank balances from＂due to＂bank balances in ascertaining the amount against which reserves must be carried，out－of－town checks placed in the mail and charged to the account of a bank＇s correspondent may be in－ cluded as part of the balances＂due from＂such correspondent．Thus the board has held in another connection that items sent to a corre－ spondent bank and charged to its account con－ stitute balances＂due from＂such correspon－ dent，or，in other words，＂a sum on deposit＂ therewith．

The board is of the opinion，therefore，that all items forwarded to a nonmember bank under the circumstances described must be considered as sums on deposit with the nonmember bank within the meaning of section 19 ，and are ac－ cordingly subject to the 10 per cent limitation on nonmember bankbalances contained therein．

## LAW DEPARTMENT．

Textual Changes in Federal Reserve Act by Agricultural Credit Act and Act of February 6， 1923.

There is published below a compilation of the textual changes made in the Federal reserve act by the recent amendments contained in the act of February 6，1923，and in the act of March 4， 1923，which is known as the agricultural credits act of 1923．Italics indicate matter inserted by the new amendments and canceled words show old matter stricken out．
The last paragraph of section 10 of the act of December 23，1913，as amended，was further amended by the act of February 6，1923，to read as follows：
No Federal reserve bank shall have authority hereafter to enter into any contract or contracts for the erection of any branch bank building of any kind or character，or to authorize the erection of any such building，if the cost of the building proper，exclusive of the cost of the vaults，permanent equipment，furnishings，and fixtures is in excess of $\$ 250,000$ ， withouthe eone of Congrea having provieully been given therefor in expres terms：Provided，That nothing herein shall apply to any building \＃⿴囗十 under construction prior to June 3， 1922.
The ninth paragraph of section 9 of the act of December 23，1913，as amended，was further amended by the act of March 4，1923，to read as follows：
No applying bank shall be admitted to membership in a Federal reserve bank unless（a）it possesses a paid－up，un－ impaired capital sufficient to entitle it to become a national banking association in the place where it is situated under the provisions of the National Bank Act，or（b）it possesses
a paid－up，unimpaired capital of at least 60 per centum of the amount sufficient to entitle it to become a national banking association in the place where it is situated under the provisions of the National Bank Act and，under penalty of loss of member－ ship complies with rules and regulations which the Federal Rcserve Board shall prescribe fixing the time within which and the method by which the unimpaired capital of such bank shall be increased out of net income to equal the capital which would have been required if such bank had been admitted to member－ ship under the provisions of clause（a）of this paragraph： Provided，That every such rule or requlation shall require the applying bank to set aside annually not less than 20 per centum of its net income of the preceding year as a fund exclu－ sively applicable to such capital increase．

The second paragraph of section 13 of the act of December 23，1913，as amended，was further amended and divided into two para－ graphs by the act of March 4，1923，to read as follows：
Upon the indorsement of any of its member banks， which shall be deemed a waiver of demand，notice and protest by such bank as to its own indorsement exclusively， any Federal reserve bank may discount notes，drafts，and bills of exchange arising out of actual commercial trans－ actions；that is，notes，drafts，and bills of exchange issued or drawn for agricultural，industrial，or commercial purposes，or the proceeds of which have been used，or are to be used，for such purposes，the Federal Reserve Board to have the right to determine or define the character of the paper thus eligible for discount，within the meaning of this Act．Nothing in this Act contained shall be construed to prohibit such notes，drafts，and bills of exchange，secured by staple agricultural products，or other goods，wares， or merchandise from being eligible for such discount， and the notes，drafts，and bills of exchange of factors issued as such making advances exclusively to producers of staple agricultural products in their raw state shall be eligible
for such discount; but such definition shall not include notes, drafts, or bills covering merely investments or issued or drawn for the purpose of carrying or trading in stocks, bonds, or other investment securities, except bonds and notes of the Government of the United States. Notes, drafts, and bills admitted to discount under the terms of this paragraph must have a maturity at the time of discount of not more than 90 days, exclusive of days of grace. Prouided-, That noteo, drafte, and bills drawn or iegted for agrieulturat purposes or based on live stoek and havimg at maturity not exeeeding six morthos, exelugive pi day of graee, may digeomated int an amount to be limited to a pereentage of the assete of the Federal reserve bank, to be aseertained and fixed by the Federat Reserve Beard.
Upon the indorsement of any of its member banks, which shall be deemed a waiver of demand, notice, and protest by such bank as to its own indorsement exclusively, and subject to regulations and limitations to be prescribed by the Federal Reserve Board, any Federal reserve bank may discount or purchase bills-of exchange payable at sight or on demand which are drawn to finance the domestic shipment of nonperishable, readily marketable staple agricultural products and are secured by bills of lading or other shipping documents conveying or securing title to such staples: Provided, That all such bills of exchange shall be forwarded promptly for collection, and demand for payment shall be made with reasonable promptness after the arrival of such staples at their destination: Provided further, That no such bill shall in any event be held by or for the account of a Federal reserve bank for a period in excess of 90 days. In discounting such bills Federal reserve banks may compute the interest to be deducted on the basis of the estimated life of each bill and adjust the discount after payment of such bills to conform to the actual life thereof.

The fourth paragraph of section 13 of the act of December 23, 1913, as amended, was further amended by the act of March 4, 1923, to read as follows:

Any Federal reserve bank may discount acceptances of the kinds hereinafter described, which have a maturity at the time of discount of not more than 90 days' three menthas sight, exclusive of days of grace, and which are indorsed by at least one member bank: Provided, That such acceptances if drawn for an agricultural purpose and secured at the time of acceptance by warehouse receipts or other such documents conveying or securing title covering readily marketable staples may be discounted with a maturity at the time of discount of not more than six months' sight exclusive of days of grace.

Section 13 of the act of December 23, 1913, as amended, was further amended by the act of March 4, 1923, by adding at the end of the section a new section to read as follows:
SEc. 13a. Upon the indorsement of any of its member banks, which shall be deemed a waiver of demand, notice, and protest by such bank as to its own indorsement exclusively, any Federal reserve bank may, subject to regulations and limilations to be prescribed by the Federal Reserve Board, discount notes, drafts, and bills of exchange issued or drawn for an agricultural purpose, or based upon live stock, and having a maturity, at the time of discount, exclusive of days of grace, not exceeding nine months, and such notes, drafts, and bills of exchange may be offered as collateral security for the issuance of Federal reserve notes under the provisions of section 16 of this Act: Provided, That notes, drafts. and bills of exchange with maturities in
excess of six months shall not be eligible as a basis for the issuance of Federal reserve notes unless secured by warehouse receipts or other such negotiable documents conveying or securing title to readily marketable staple agricultural products or by chattel mortgage upon live stock which is being fattened for market.

That any Federal reserve bank may, subject to regulations and limitations to be prescribed by the Federal Reserve Board, rediscount such notes, drafts, and bills for any Federal Intermediate Credit Bank, except that no Federal reserve bank shall rediscount for a Federal Intermediate Credit Bank any such note or obligation which bears the indorsement of a nonmember State bank or trust company which is eligible for membership in the Federal reserve system, in accordance with section 9 of this Act.

Any Federal reserve bank may also buy and sell debentures and other such obligations issued by a Federal Intermediate Credit Bank or by a National Agricultural Credit Corporation, but only to the same extent as and subject to the same limitations as those upon which it may buy and sell bonds issued under Title I of the Federal Farm Loan Act.

Notes, drafts, bills of exchange or acceptances issued or drawn by cooperative marketing associations composed of producers of agricultural products shall be deemed to have been issued or drawn for an agricultural purpose, within the meaning of this section, if the proceeds thereof have been or are to be advanced by such association to any members thereof for an agricultural purpose, or have been or are to be used by such association in making payments to any members thereof on account of agricultural products delivered by such members to the association, or if such proceeds have been or are to be used by such association to meet expenditures incurred or to be incurred by the association in connection with the grading, processing, packing, preparation for market, or marketing of any agricultural product handled by such association for any of its members: Provided, That the express enumeration in this paragraph of certain classes of paper of cooperative marketing associations as eligible for rediscount shall not be construed as rendering ineligible any other class of paper of such associations which is now eligible for rediscount.
The Federal Reserve Board may, by regulation, limit to a percentage of the assets of a Federal reserve bank the amount of notes, drafts, acceptances, or bills having a maturity in excess of three months, but not exceeding six months, exclusive of days of grace, which may be discounted by such bank, and the amount of notes, drafts, bills, or acceptances having a maturity in excess of six months, but not exceeding nine months, which may be rediscounted by such bank.
Paragraph (d) of section 14 of the act of December 23, 1913, as amended, was further amended by the repeal of the act entitled "An act to amend an act approved December 23, 1913, known as the Federal reserve act," approved April 13, 1920. The effect of this amendment is to make paragraph (d) of section 14 read as follows:
(d) To establish from time to time, subject to review and determination of the Federal Reserve Board, rates of discount to be charged by the Federal reserve bank for each class of paper, which shall be fixed with a view of accornmodating commerce and business and-whieht, wb-jeet-to-therpproval, reriew,and-determinatiem-oifte-Fed-


 bөrrewing-bath:

Section 14 of the act of December 23, 1913, as amended, was further amended by the act of March 4, 1923, by adding at the end of the section a new paragraph to read as follows:
( $f$ ) To purchase and sell in the open ${ }_{i}$ market, either from or to domestic banks, firms, corporations, or individuals, acceptances of Frederal Intermediate Credit Banks and of National Agricultural Credit Corporations, whenever the Federal Reserve Board shall declare that the public interest so requires.

Section 15 of the act of December 23, 1913, as amended, was further amended by the act of March 4, 1923, by adding at the end of the section a new paragraph to read as follows:

The Federal reserve banks are hereby authorized to act as depositories for and fiscal agents of any National Agricultural Credit Corporation or Federal Intermediate Credit Bank.

## Other Provisions of Agricultural Credits Act Affecting Federal Reserve'System.

In addition to those portions of the agricultural credits act which make textual changes in the Federal reserve act itself, the following sections of the act also indirectly amend the Federal reserve act or otherwise affect the Federal reserve system:

## DISCOUNTSAND ZOANS .

"Sec. 202. (a) That Federal Intermediate Credit Banks, when chartered and established, shall have power, subject solely to such restrictions, limitations, and conditions as may be imposed by the Federal Farm Loan Board not inconsistent with the provisions of this Act,-
(1) To discount for, or purchase from, any national bank, and/or any State bank, trust company, agricultural credit corporation, incorporated live-stock loan company, savings institution, cooperative bank, cooperative credit or marketing association of agricultural producers, organized under the laws of any State, and/or any other Federal Intermediate Credit Bank, with its indorsement, any note, draft, bill of exchange, debenture, or other such obligation the proceeds of which have been advanced or used in the first instance for any agricultural purpose or for the raising, breeding, fattening, or marketing of live stock;
(2) To buy or sell, with or without recourse, debantures issued by any other Federal Intermediate Credit Bank; and
(3) To make loans or advances direct to any cooperative association organized under the laws of any State and composed of persons engaged in producing, or producing and marketing, staple agricultural products, or live stock, if the notes or other such obligations representing such loans are secured by warehouse receipts, and/or shipping documents covering such products, and/or mortgages on live stock: Provided, That no such loan or advance shall exceed 75 per centum of the market value of the products covered by said warehouse receipts and/or shipping documents, or of the live stock covered by said mortgages.
"(b) No paper shall be purchased from or discounted for any national bank, State bank, trust company, or savings institution under this section, if the amount of such paper added to the aggregate liabilities of such national bank, State bank, trust company or savings
institution, whether direct or contingent (other than bona fide deposit liabilities), exceeds the amount of such liability permitted under the laws of the jurisdiction creating the same; or exceeds twice the paid in and unimpaired capital and surplus of such national bank, State bank, trust company, or savings institution. No paper shall under this section be purchased from or discounted for any other corporation engaged in making loans for agricultural purposes or for the raising, breeding, fattening, or marketing of live stock, if the amount of such paper added to the aggregate liabilities of such corporation exceeds the amount of such liabilities permitted under the laws of the jurisdiction creating the same; or exceeds ten times the paid in and unimpaired capital and surplus of such corporation. It shall be unlawful. for any national bank which is indebted to any Federal Intermediate Credit Bank upon paper discounted or purchased under this section, to incur any additional indebtedness, if by virtue of such additional indebtedness its aggregate liabilities, direct or contingent, will exceed the limitations herein contained."
(c) Loans, advances, or discounts made under this section shall have a maturity at the time they are made or discounted by the Federal Intermediate Credit Bank of not less than six months nor more than three years. Any Federal Intermediate Credit Bank may in its discretion sell loans or discounts made under this section, with or without its indorsement.
(d) Rates of interest or discount charged by the Federal Intermediate Credit banks upon such loans and discounts shall be subject to the approval of the Federal Farm Loan Board. On the majority vote of the members of the Federal Farm Loan Board any Federal Intermediate Credit Bank shall be required to rediscount the discounted paper of any other Federal Intermediate Credit Bank at rates of interest to be fixed by the Federal Farm Loan Board.

## TITLE II.-NATIONAL AGRICULTURAL CREDIT CORPORATIONS.

Sec. 203. (a) That each National Agricultural Credit Corporation shall have power, under such rules and regulation as the Comptroller of the Currency may prescribe-
(1) To make advances upon, to discount, rediscount, or purchase, and to sell or negotiate, with or without its indorsement or guaranty, notes, drafts, or bills of exchange, and to accept drafts or bills of exchange, which-
(A) Are issued or drawn for an agricultural purpose, or the proceeds of which have been or are to be used for an agricultural purpose;
(B) Have a maturity, at the time of discount, purchase, or acceptance, not exceeding nine months; and
(C) Are secured at the time of discount, purchase, or acceptance by warehouse receipts or other like documents conveying or securing title to nonperishable and readily marketable agricultural products, or by chattel mortgages or other like instruments conferring a first and paramount lien upon live stock which is being fattened for market.
(2) To make advances upon or to discount, rediscount, or purchase, and to sell or negotiate with or without its indorsement or guaranty, notes secured by chattel mortgages conferring a first and paramount lien upon maturing or breeding live stock or dairy herds, and having a maturity at the time of discount, rediscount, or purchase not exceeding three years.
(8) To issue, subject to such regulations as the Comptroller of the Currency may prescribe, collateral trust notes or debentures, with a maturity not exceeding three years, and to pledge as security for such notes or debentures
any notes, drafts, bills of exchange, or other securities held by the corporation under the terms of this title. The regulations of the Comptroller of the Currency may prescribe the form of notes or debentures, and of notes, drafts, bills of exchange, warehouse receipts, chattel mortgages, or other instruments which may be pledged as security therefor, the provisions which may be made with regard to release, substitution, or exchange of such securities, and with regard to protection, supervision, inspection, and reinspection of the agricultural commodities or live stock pledged or mortgaged as security therefor.
(b) The United States Government shall assume no liability, direct or indirect, for any debentures or other obligations issued under this title, and all such debentures and other obligations shall contain conspicuous and appropriate language, to be prescribed in form and substance by the Comptroller of the Currency and approved by the Secretary of the Treasury, clearly indicating that no such liability is assumed.
(c) Any obligation referred to in paragraphs (1) or (2) of subdivision (a) of this section, which is secured by chattel mortgage upon live stock of an estimated market value at least equal to the face amount of such obligation, may be additionally secured by mortgage or deed of trust upon real estate or by other securities, under such regulations asmay be made by the Comptroller of the Currency. *

## REDISCOUNT CORPORATIONS.

Sec. 207. (a) That National Agricultural Credit Corporations having an authorized capital stock of $\$ 1,000,000$ or over may be organized under the provisions of this title, to exercise all the powers enumerated in section 203, except that in lieu of the powers conferred in paragraphs (1) and (2) of subdivision (a) of such section, such corporations shall have powers,--
(1) Upon the indorsement of any National Agricultural Credit Corporation, or of any bank or trust company which is a member of the Federal Reserve System, to rediscount for such corporation, bank, or trust company, notes, drafts, bills of exchange, and acceptances, which conform to the requirements of paragraphs (1) and (2) of subdivision (a) of section 203. Such indorsement shall be deemed to be a waiver of demand notice and protest by such corporation as to its own indorsement exclusively.
(2) To discount or purchase notes, drafts, or bills of exchange issued or drawn by cooperative associations of producers of agricultural products, provided such notes, drafts, or bills of exchange are secured at the time of discount or purchase by warehouse receipts or other like documents conveying or securing title to nonperishable and readily marketable agricultural products, and have a maturity at the time of discount or purchase not exceeding nine months.
(3) To sell or negotiate with or without recourse any note, draft, or bill of exchange discounted or purchased hereunder.
(b) National Agricultural Credit Corporations organized under the provisions of this section, shall not be subject to the limitations contained in section 204, but the Comptroller of the Currency may, by general regulations, from time to time prescribe the amount of indebtedness, direct or contingent, which such corporations may incur, and the aggregate amount of paper of different types which such corporations may rediscount for any one corporation.
(c) Corporations with powers limited, as provided in this section, shall not be subject to the requirements as to deposit of bonds or other obligations of the United States, as provided in section 208 of this title.

## PERMIT TO BEGIN BUSINESS.

Sec. 208. (a) That no National Agricultural Credit Corporation, except corporations with powers limited as provided in section 207, shall commence business until it has deposited with the Federal reserve bank of the district wherein it has its place of business, bonds or other obligations of the United States in an aggregate face amount at least 25 per centum of its paidin capital stock. Each such corporation shall at all times keep on deposit with such Federal reserve bank an amount of such bonds or other obligations of the United States at least equal in face value to $7 \frac{1}{2}$ per centum of the aggregate indebtedness of such corporation, direct or contingent, said amount to include the 25 per centum deposited as hereinbefore by this section provided. Except as hereinafter provided, such bonds or other obligations shall be held by such Federal reserve bank, subject to the direction and control of the Comptroller of the Currency, in trust for the equal and pro rata protection and benefit of all holders of notes, debentures, drafts, bills of exchange, or acceptances upon which such corporation may be directly or contingently liable. Upon receipt of proper evidence that the amount of such bonds or other obligations of the United States so deposited exceeds $7 \frac{1}{2}$ per centum of such aggregate indebtedness, the Comptroller of the Currency may release such excess, provided that the amount remaining on deposit shall in no event be reduced below 25 per centum of the paid-in capital stock of such corporation. Under such regulations as the Comptroller of the Currency may prescribe, a Federal reserve bank may, upon request of the corporation which deposited the same, sell any such bonds or obligations for account of such corporation, and permit such corporation to use the proceeds thereof for the protection or preservation of any property pledged or mortgaged as security for obligations owned or indorsed by the corporation. If by reason of such sale the face amount of such bonds or other obligations of the United States remaining on deposit with such Federal reserve bank shall be less than $7 \frac{1}{2}$ per centum of such aggregate indebtedness of the corporation, no further advances shall be made, or notes, drafts, or bills of exchange discounted, rediscounted, accepted, or purchased, by such corporation until sufficient additional bonds or other obligations of the United States have been deposited to make good the deficiency.

BANKS MEMBERS OF THE FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM MAY BECOME STOCKHOLDERS.

Sec. 210. That any member bank of the Federal reserve system may file application with the Comptroller of the Currency for permission to invest an amount not exceeding in the aggregate 10 per centum of its paid in capital stock and surplusin the stock of one or more of the National Agricultural Credit Corporations, and upon approval of such application may purchase such stock. The Comptroller of the Currency shall have discretion to approve or reject such application in whole or in part.

DEPOSITS.
Sec. 212. That the moneys of National Agricultural Credit Corporations may be kept on deposit subject to check in any member bank of the Federal reserve system.

## THE GOLD SITUATION.

Continued gold imports to the United States, though in reduced volume, and the unprecedented total of the gold reserves of the Federal reserve banks make it opportune to review once more the general gold situation. ${ }^{1}$

The table below shows the gold reserves of the principal countries at the end of 1913 , just
before the outbreak of the war; at the end of 1918, after the signing of the armistice; at the end of August, 1920, the close of the period when the United States lost gold to other countries after the lifting of the gold embargo, and at the end of 1922. The percentages that reserves of each country constituted of the total on each date are shown in the last four columns of the table.
gold reserves of the principal countries.

|  | Gold holdings (in thousands of dollars). |  |  |  | Percentage distribution. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } 31 \text {, } \\ & 19: 3 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec. } 31, \\ 194 . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Aug. } 31, \\ 1920 . \end{gathered}$ | Latest available date 1922. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec. } 31 \text {, } \\ 191.3 . \end{gathered}$ | Dec. 31, 1918. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Aug. } 31 . \\ 1920 . \end{gathered}$ | Latest available date 1922. |
| United States. | 691,514 | 2, 245, 720 | 2, 129,941 | 3,200,372 | 20.4 | 35.5 | 32.1 | 40.3 |
| Great Britain. | 170,245 | 523,632 | 737, 416 | 751,841 | 5.0 | 8.3 | 11.1 | 9.5 |
| France. | 678,856 | 664, 017 | 697, 108 | 70S,403 | 20.0 | 10.5 | 10.5 | 8.9 |
| Japan. | 64,963 | 225, 821 | 411, 263 | 608, 170 | 1. 9 | 3.6 | 6.2 | 7.7 |
| Spain. | 92, 490 | 430,072 | 473,309 | 487, 278 | 2.7 | 6.8 | 7.1 | 6.1 |
| Argentina | 224,989 | 269,628 | 450,057 | 450,057 | 6.6 | 4.3 | 6.8 | 5.7 |
| Netherlands | 60, 898 | 277, 155 | 255,80, | 233, 880 | 1.8 | 4.4 | 3.9 | 2.9 |
| Germany | 278, 687 | 538,861 | 260, 035 | 227, 437 | 8.2 | 8.5 | 3.9 | 2.9 |
| Italy.. | 288, 103 | 243,566 | 203, 34 | 215,822 | 8.5 | 3.9 | 3.1 | 2.7 |
| Canada | 142,517 | 190,688 | 172,002 | 180,907 | 4.2 | 3.0 | 2.6 | 2.3 |
| India. | 72,780 | 63,842 | 126,905 | 118,341 | 2.2 | 1.0 | 1.9 | 1.5 |
| Australia | 21,899 | 104, 143 | 112,966 | 112,042 | . 6 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.4 |
| Switzerland | 32, 801 | 80,041 | 104, 213 | 103, 133 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.3 |
| Sweden. | 27,372 | 76,532 | 70,041 | 73,422 | . 8 | 1.2 | 1.1 | . 9 |
| Denmark | 19,666 | 52, 159 | 60,991 | 61,155 | . 6 | . 3 | . 9 | . 8 |
| Java.. | 10,027 | 51,600 | 60,500 | 61,819 | . 3 | . 8 | . 9 | . 8 |
| South Africa. | 39,905 | 33,579 | 54,991 | 74,08x | 1.2 | . 5 | . 8 | . 9 |
| Belgium. | 59, 131 | 51,145 | 51,433 | 51,901 | 1.7 | . 3 | . 8 | . 6 |
| Norway. | 12,846 | 32,691 | 34, 488 | 39,474 | $\cdot 4$ | . 5 | . 6 | . 5 |
| New Zealand | 25,306 | 39,419 | 37,472 | 38, 127 | . 7 | . 6 | . 6 | . 5 |
| Brazil. | - 53,202 | 15,571 | 19,464 | 45,119 | 1.6 3 | $\begin{array}{r}.3 \\ .3 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\xrightarrow{3}$ | . 6 |
| Egypt..... | 10,381 | 16,312 | 16,614 | 16,604 $12,3.92$ | . 3 | . 3 | . 3 | . 8 |
| Czechoslorakia |  |  | 59 | 12,738 |  |  |  | .2 |
| Greece. | 5,211 | 10,422 | 11,001 | 6,061 | . 2 | . 2 | . 2 | . 1 |
| Portugal. | 8,760 | 9,203 | 9,206 | 9,267 | . 3 | . 2 | . 1 | . 1 |
| Finland. | 6,948 | 8,299 | 8,299 | 8,371 | . 2 | . 1 | . 1 | . 1 |
| Bulgaria. | 10,615 | 12,352 | 7,141 | 7,401 | . 3 | . 2 | . 1 | . 1 |
| Poland. |  |  | 1,657 | 8,240 |  |  |  | . 1 |
| Rumania | 29,242 | 2 | 329 | 7,545 | . 9 |  |  | . 1 |
| Aistria. <br> Hungary | 251,421 | 53,074 | 45,113 | 9,909 | 7.4 | 8 | . 6 | .1 |
| Total. | 3,390,775 | 6,319,606 | 6,629,226 | 7,941,435 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

The increase in the total gold reserves from $\$ 3,391,000,000$ in 1913 to $\$ 6,320,000,000$ at the end of 1918 was due in large part to the concentration of gold previously in circulation, while the increase of gold reserves of the United States during that period was due largely to payments to this country for war supplies. The next period, ending August 31, 1920, shows a further increase to $\$ 6,629,000,000$, part of which was due to further withdrawals from circulation and hoards and part to new gold produced. During this period the United States lost gold, owing to the fact that several countries of South America and of the Orient had gold balances here which could not be withdrawn until the lifting of the embargo, but which were released at that time.

[^1]Between August 31, 1920, and the end of 1922 total central gold reserves increased by over $\$ 1,300,000,000$. This period is characterized chiefly by the heavy movement of gold to the United States. It was the repayment in October, 1920, of the $\$ 500,000,000$ AngloFrench loan that started this current of gold from Europe to America. The major part of the gold came from England, France, Sweden, and the Netherlands. This movement of gold consisted for the most part of the new gold mined in the British possessions, but included also gold from the German central reserve, paid for food supplied by the United States Grain Corporation. In addition a large part of the Russian gold reserve was shipped to various European countries, whence equivalent amounts found their way to the United States. The fundamental cause for this gold movement to the

United States was that the countries of Europe were exhausted by war; their productive plant was badly disorganized; their production and ability to export goods were, therefore, greatly reduced, and yet they were in need of food and raw materials from America. Prior to 1921 they were able to obtain much of what they required in America on credit, first, using the the credits authorized by the United States Treasury, and later using large amounts borrowed privately either on open account, or by the flotation of securities.

The movement of gold to the United States was thus not the ordinary movement of funds to the most profitable channels of investment; it was the effect of the efforts of war-stricken countries to obtain goods for reconstruction. The loss of this gold by the countries of Europe, coupled with the growth of note circulation, resulted in the fall of the exchange value of most of their currencies, together with a rapid advance in prices. These factors in turn increased the difficulty of financing reconstruction, of balancing budgets, and of inaugurating and enforcing equitable plans of taxation. The distinctive thing about this gold movement is that the gold was not attracted to this
country by economic opportunities here, but rather was expelled by conditions prevailing in the older countries.

## GOLD PRODUCTION.

Gold production from 1910 to 1923 is shown in the table below.

It will be noted that the decline in total production has been constant since the record year 1915. The decrease was due partly to the loss of Russian production and partly to the increased cost of operation with a fixed price of the product. The Transvaal output for 1922 was considerably reduced by the Rand strike of January-March, although Transvaal returns for the last six months of 1922 amounted to $£ 19,400,000$, as compared with $£ 17,700,000$ for the last six months of 1921. If this rate of production in the Transvaal will continue throughout 1923, it will bring the amount produced practically up to its previous maximum. In view of this and other improvements, British experts believe that the year 1922 saw the end of the decline and that 1923 will show a marked increase in world production of gold.

GOLD PRODUCTION OF THE WORLD.
[In thousands of dollars.]

${ }^{1}$ Preliminary estimates: For the United States, by"the Director of the Mint; for Lother countries, from the Montagu annual bullion letter, Jan, 1, 1823.

## GOLD MOVEMENT AND TRADE BALANCES.

During February of this year sterling exchange in the New York market rose as high as $\$ 4.72$, or to within 3 per cent of parity. This advance from a low point of $\$ 3.23$ in February, 1920, measures the extent of England's recovery from postwar financial disorganization. The rise in sterling, particularly during the past year, has been ascribed in part to the difference in the movement of price levels in England and in the United States. In England the index of wholesale prices during the
early part of 1922 remained fairly steady and during the latter part showed a decline, while in this country there was a steady advance of prices from 142 in January, 1922, to 165 in February, 1923. It is clear that a decline in the buying power of the dollar with an increase in that of the pound must diminish the value of the dollar in terms of the pound. This is what has occurred in recent months. At the same time England has continued to send large amounts of gold to America, her other exports to the United States have been above the average and her imports from us have declined, all
of which has reduced the net balance in favor of the United States. In fact, according to a recent estimate of the London Economist, England had a favorable balance of payments with the rest of the world in 1922, when account is taken both of visible and invisible items. The figures as published in the Economist are as follows:
[In millions of pounds.]

|  | 1913 | 1921 | 1922 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exports (including gold and silver) as re-turned.Add invisible exports:................................... | 697 | 882 | 882 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Net income from investments.. | 200 | 100 | 100 |
| Shipping earnings. | 94 | 80 | 94 |
| Financial and insurance charges . . . . . . . . . | 30 | 50 | 40 |
| Miscellaneous.................................... | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Total visible and invisible exports...... | 1,041 | 1,132 | 1,136 |
| Imports (including gold and silver) as returned <br> Add invisible imports. | 843 5 | 1,145 50 | 1,049 25 |
| Total visible and invisible imports...... | 848 +193 | 1,195 -63 | 1,074 +62 |

While England's exports of merchandise and precious metals were on the same level in 1922 as in 1921, her imports were nearly $£ 100,000$,000 smaller, and this, together with some improvement in the invisible balance, resulted in a final estimated favorable balance of $£ 62,000$,000 , compared with an unfavorable one of $£ 63,000,000$ in 1921.

This improvement in England's financial position raises the question whether a continuation of the flow of gold into the United States, which has been uninterrupted since the latter part of 1920, may be expected. In 1921 our net gold imports were $\$ 667,000,000$; in 1922 they declined to $\$ 238,000,000$. It has been stated that if the tendencies manifest during the past year were to continue at the same rate it would not be long before the United States would export gold, for not only was the amount of gold imported during 1922 much smaller than in 1921, but exports of gold showed as material increase, especially during the fall months. Most of the gold exports went to Canada, which recently has had a favorable trade balance and a consequent high rate of exchange. Canadian banks with balances in the United States made use of the favorable rate to transfer the balances in gold to Canada, where most of the gold was added to the reserve against Dominion notes, bringing the ratio of these reserves to the highest point since 1917. Recently, however, much of the gold has returned to Canada, following the shift in Canadian exchange. Of the
oriental countries, Japan also has been reducing its foreign gold balances in an effort to help Japanese business to obtain foreign exchange bills. Last fall the Japanese Government issued a statement to the effect that a removal of the gold embargo is desirable but not yet practicable in view of the disturbed financial conditions, and this position has recently been reaffirmed. Trade conditions in British India are now favorable and she is a constant bidder for a share of the new gold offered in the London market. On the continent of Europe, however, although the rates of exchange of all the countries that remained neutral during the war are approaching parity, it is doubtful whether these countries will be active bidders for gold, as their gold reserves are high and their trade balances are not sufficiently large to demand substantial amounts of gold in settlement. The currencies of the other European countries are far below par and show little or no indication of recovery; these countries can not purchase gold except for use in the arts. In Germany the recent flotation of a $\$ 50,000,000$ gold loan indicates that the paper mark is rapidly ceasing to be a standard of value and that much of the domestic business and practically all of the foreign trade is done in terms of gold. But Germany, for many years to come, can hardly be expected to become a bidder for gold in the world market. In South America, although business and trade conditions are improved as compared with last year, exchange rates are still relatively low, especially in Brazil and Chile, and no demand for gold can be expected from that quarter. With tropical countries the United States has regularly an unfavorable balance of merchandise trade amounting to as much as $\$ 500$,000,000 a year, resulting from the import of such commodities as sugar, rubber, tobacco, fibers, coffee, fruits, tin, and other products. But this debit balance is much more than offset by the credit balances with other countries, so that the United States can pay for her imports from the Tropics by her exports to other countries.

Under the present circumstances it seems certain that an unfavorable balance of payments for the United States can be caused only through a large volume of investment by Americans in foreign securities, by the direct purchase by American capital of property abroad or of participations in foreign enterprises, or by the granting of large loans either public or private for purposes of financial reconstruction. A large volume of investment in
foreign securities has actually taken place in recent years, foreign securities bought in the American market amounting to $\$ 576,000,000$ in 1920, $\$ 626,000,000$ in 1921, and $\$ 870,000,000$ in 1922. Loans on open account carried for foreign interests by American banks have been estimated from $\$ 1,000,000,000$ to $\$ 4,000$,000,000 , and while there is no way of ascertaining the extent of direct investment of American capital abroad, it undoubtedly amounts to a great sum in the aggregate. The recent revival of domestic business activity and credit demand in this country, however, which has been accompanied by a rise in our level of prices and interest rates, has tended to decrease the attractiveness of foreign securities to the American investor. Moreover, there is constantly at work as an offsetting factor to
foreign investment the payment of interest and installments of principal upon previously contracted loans.

On the basis of this brief survey it appears probable that, so long as the dollar remains at a premium in most countries of the world, and so long as the rest of the world continues to be heavily in debt to the United States, a large part of the annual increase in the world's gold supply will continue to find its way to America. And, although a diminution of America's share of the new gold may be expected as the result of the recent unfavorable change in her trade balance, there is little likelihood in the immediate future of a sufficient demand for gold abroad to cause large net exports from the United States.

## GOLD IMPORTS INTO AND EXPORTS FROM THE UNITED STATES.

[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | Total, Nov. 1, 1918-Feb. 28, 1923. |  |  |  | Nov. 1, 1918-Aug. 31, 1920. |  |  |  | Sept. 1, 1920-Feb. 23, 1923. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Imports. | Exports. | Excess of imports. | Excess of exports. | Imports. | Exports. | Excess of imports. | Excess of exports. | Imports. | Exports. | Excess of imports. | Excess of exports. |
| France... | 250,987 | 4,153 | 246,834 |  | 1,744 | 4,153 |  | 2,409 | 249,243 |  | 249,243 |  |
| Netherlands | 32,046 | 34 | 32,012 |  | 1,162 | 15 | 1,147 |  | 30,884 | 19 | 30, 865 |  |
| Spain. | 3,893 | 30, 530 |  | 26,637 |  | 29,778 |  | 29,778 | 3,893 | 752 | 3, 141 |  |
| Sweden. | 101,278 | 2,722 | 98,556 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 101,278 | 2,721 | 98,557 |  |
| England | 619,334 | 2,325 | 617,009 |  | 84,630 | 2,105 | 82,525 |  | 534,704 | 220 | 534,484 |  |
| Canada. | 150,093 | 36,635 | 113, 458 |  | 76,158 | 9,483 | 66,675 |  | 73,935 | 27,152 | 46,783 |  |
| Nicaragua | 4,849 | , 17 | 4,832 |  | 2,260 | 17 | 2,243 |  | 2,589 |  | 2,589 |  |
| Salvador. | 3,457 | 3,144 | 313 |  | 1,495 | 3,144 |  | 1,649 | 1,962 |  | 1,962 |  |
| Mexico. | 22,384 | 42, 484 |  | 20, 100 | 8,328 | 28,127 |  | 19,799 | 14,056 | 14,357 |  | 301 |
| Argentina. | 2,969 | 146,555 |  | 143,586 | 180 | 146,555 |  | 146,375 | 2,789 |  | 2,789 |  |
| Colombia. | 29,831 | 6,469 | 23,362 | -...... | 3,623 | 5,969 |  | 2,346 | 26,208 | 500 | 25,708 |  |
| Uruguay | 7,089 | 22,055 |  | 14,966 | $\cdots$ | 22,055 |  | 22,055 | 7,089 |  | 7,089 |  |
| Venezata | 3,352 | 12,350 |  | 8,998 | 718 | 12,350 |  | 11,632 | 2,634 |  | 2,634 |  |
| China. | 27,424 | 67,746 |  | 40,322 | 1 | 59,396 |  | 59,395 | 27,423 | 8,350 | 19,073 |  |
| British India. | 32,010 | 53,066 |  | 21,056 |  | 40, $80 \pm$ |  | 40,804 | 32,010 | 12,262 | 19,748 |  |
| Straits Settlements |  | 11,093 |  | 11,093 |  | 10,893 |  | 10,893 |  | 200 |  | 200 |
| Dutch East Indies | 10,260 | 19,945 |  | 9,685 | 6,440 | 19,430 |  | 12,900 | 3,820 | 515 | 3,305 |  |
| Hongkong | 45,886 | 85, 583 |  | 39,697 | 40,210 | 67,030 |  | 26,820 | 5,676 | 18,553 |  | 12, 877 |
| Japan ....... | 2,208 | 195, 413 |  | 193,205 |  | 132,856 |  | 132,856 | 2,208 | 62,557 |  | 60,349 |
| Vew Zealand...... | 8,361 |  | 8,361 |  | 2,751 10 |  | 2,751 |  | 5,610 |  | 5, 610 |  |
| All other countries | 147,216 | 23,222 | 123,994 |  | 10,167 | 20,755 |  | 10,588 | 137,049 | 2,467 | 134,582 |  |
| Total..... | 1,304,927 | 765, 541 | 1,263,731 739,386 | 529,345 | 239,867 | 61.1,916 | 155,341 | 530,390 <br> 375,019 | 1,265,060 | 150,625 | , 188, 162 | 73,727 |
| Excess exports. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 375,019 |  |  |  |  |

## MEMBER BANK ACCEPTANCES IN 1922.

During the second half of the past year the improvement in the general business situation, and particularly the increase in the value of our foreign trade, was reflected in a considerable growth of the volume of member bank acceptances, as indicated by the condition reports of all member banks received by the Comptroller of the Currency and the Federal Reserve Board. Following a substantial decline in the early part of the year, acceptance liabilities of the member banks on June 30
showed a small increase to $\$ 320,770,000$ from $\$ 316,755,000$ on March 10, 1922. At the close of the year the total had risen to $\$ 400,191,000$, compared with $\$ 367,294,000$ at the close of 1921 and $\$ 593,708,000$ on December 29, 1920. While most of the increase for the last six months of the past year is shown for the New York City and Boston banks, substantial growth of the acceptance business is also reported from Southern centers, viz, New Orleans, Savannah, Atlanta, Dallas, and Richmond, apparently in connection with the improvement in the cotton trade. More than
average increases are also shown for member banks on the Pacific coast, especially San Francisco, Los Angeles, and Portland.

In the following table are shown acceptance liabilities of all member banks and of national and other member banks in selected cities for call dates between December 31, 1921, and December 29, 1922, in continuation of similar figures published on page 1048 of the September, 1922, Bulletin:

Acceptance Liabilities of Member Banks.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } 31 \text {, } \\ & 1921 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mar. } 10, \\ 1922 . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { June 30, } \\ 1922 . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec. } 29, \\ 1922 . \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All member banks. |  |  |  |  |  |
| New York |  | 215, 122 | 194, 953 | 190,978 | 236,545 |
| Buffalo. |  | 1,046 | 740 | , 373 | 285 |
| Boston. |  | 44,734 | 37,652 | 41,675 | 54,383 |
| Providence |  | 871 | 361 | 460 | 2,495 |
| Philadelphia |  | 10,745 | 10,350 | 13,278 | 14,270 |
| Pittsburgh. |  | 1,167 | 899 | 466 | 979 |
| Cleveland |  | 4,608 | 4,979 | 4,408 | 3,513 |
| Detroit. |  | 678 | 703 | 499 | 36 |
| Cincinnati |  | 153 | 200 | 30 | 32 |
| Memphis. |  | 545 | 580 | 180 | 678 |
| Indianapolis |  | 492 | 478 | 655 | 553 |
| Richmond. |  | 3,615 | 1,865 | 741 | 1,657 |
| Baltimore |  | 1, 812 | 997 | 217 | 263 |
| Atlanta. |  | 337 | 252 |  | 750 |
| Savannah. |  | 964 | 764 | 917 | 3,459 |
| New Orleans |  | 7,697 | 6,755 | 1,914 | 8,626 |
| Charleston, S. |  | 1,009 | 890 | 1,174 | 833 |
| Chicago. |  | 35, 279 | 27,097 | 38,866 | 33,005 |
| St. Louis |  | 1,715 | 823 | 570 | 1,046 |
| Minneapolis |  | 2,462 | 2,670 | 2,304 | 2,391 |
| Kansas City, M |  | 350 | 1,092 | 319 | 291 |
| Dallas...... |  | 1,306 |  | 325 | 1,782 |
| San Francisco |  | 9,892 | 6,520 | 8,959 | 12,057 |
| Los Angeles |  | 1,319 | 847 | 924 | 3,313 |
| Portland |  | 1,507 | 1,123 | 600 307 | 1,288 |
| All other |  | 17,518 | 12,937 | 9,631 | 15,262 |
| Total. |  | 367, 294 | 316,755 | 320,770 | 400, 191 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. 31, } \\ & \text { 1921. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mar. 10, } \\ 1922 . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 30, } \\ & 1922 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sept. } 15, \\ 1922 . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec. 29, } \\ 1922 . \end{gathered}$ |
| National banks. |  |  |  |  |  |
| New York. | 111,724512 | 103,661 ${ }_{341}$ | 98,18070 | 91,64587 | 110,748159 |
| Buftalo. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boston. | 35,332 ${ }_{518}$ | 28,339 | 33,208306 | 30,931 | 43,6281,1261 |
| Providence |  | 10,146 |  |  |  |
| Philadelphia. | 10,5891,087 |  | 13,198 | 10,059 | 14,225 |
| Pittsburgh. |  | 699576 | ${ }_{831} 21$ | 369682 | 1,6771,106 |
| Cleveland | ${ }^{959}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Detroit............. | 453 | 703 | 49930 | 202 | 1,100 36 |
| Cincinnati.......... | 492 | 200 |  |  | 32 |
| Indianapolis....... |  | 478 | 655 | 425 | 553 |
| Richmond. | $\begin{aligned} & 3,615 \\ & 1,812 \end{aligned}$ | 1,862 | 738 | 645 | 971 |
| Baltimore. |  |  | 217 | 314 | 263 |
| Atlanta.... | 1,812 |  |  | 36 |  |
| New Orleans | 983 | 921 | 375 | 688 | 956 |
| Charleston, S. C... | 18,009 | 89013,063 | 19,198 | - 22.377 | 833 17.585 |
| Chicago............ |  |  |  |  | 17,585 |
| St. Louis.......... | 922 | 13,003 | 1562,304 | $\begin{array}{r} 128 \\ 2,516 \end{array}$ | -562 |
| Minneapolis........ | 2,402 | 2,670 |  |  | 2,391 |
| Kansas City, Mo... | 350 |  | -325 |  |  |
| Dallas............. | 1,181 |  |  | $\cdots{ }^{-72}$ | 1,782 |
| San Francisco...... | 8,393 | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{B}, 139 \\ 775 \end{array}$ | 8,210 | 10,0782,860 | 9,273 |
| Los Angeles........ | 1,102 |  | 729 |  | 2,593 |
| Portland. .......... | 938351 | 704 |  | 2,860 752 |  |
| Seattle. |  | 228 | $\begin{array}{r} 307 \\ 8,115 \end{array}$ |  | 399 |
| Allisther........... | 15,440 | 11,345 |  | 6,610 | 12,155 |
| Total........ | 218,935 | 185, 201 | 189,381 | 183,309 | 223,475 |

Acceptance Liabilities of Member Banks.-Con.

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec. 31, } \\ 1921 . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mar. 10, } \\ 1922 . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } 30, \\ 1922 . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec. } 29, \\ 1922 . \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State bank and trust company members. |  |  | , |  |
| New York | 103,398 | 91,292 | 92,798 | 125,797 |
| Bufialo. | 534 | 399 | 303 | 120 |
| Boston. | 9,402 | 9,313 | 8,467 | 10,755 |
| Providence | 353 | 251 | 153 | 1,369 |
| Philadelphia | 150 | 204 | 80 | 45 |
| Pittsburgh. | 100 | 200 | 250 | 302 |
| Cloveland.. | 3,649 | 4,403 | 3,577 | 2,347 |
| Detroit. | 225 |  |  |  |
| Memphis......................... | 545 | 580 | 180 | 678 |
| Richmond...................... |  | 3 | 3 | 686 |
| Atlanta. | 337 | 252 |  |  |
| Savannah. | 964 | 764 | 917 | 3,459 |
| New Orleans. | 6,714 | 5,834 | 1,539 | 7,670 |
| Chicago.. | 16,697 | 14,034 | 19,668 | 15,420 |
| St. Louis. | 793 | 582 | 414 | 484 |
| Kansas City, Mo............... |  | 979 | 319 | 211 |
| Dallas........ | 125 |  |  |  |
| San Francisco. | 1,499 | 381 | 743 | 2,784 |
| Los Angeles. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 217 | 72 | 153 | 720 |
| Portland.......................... | 569 | 419 | 308 | 756 |
| All other. | 2,081 | 1,592 | 1,517 | 3,107 |
| Total. | 148,358 | 131,554 | 131,389 | 176,716 |

In Great Britain acceptance liabilities of the principal banks showed a downward trend for the first half of 1922, but a considerable recovery for the second half of the year, as may be seen from the following table giving the average acceptance liabilities of the 10 London clearing banks for selected months between June, 1921, and December, 1922:
Acceptance Liabilities of the London Clearing Banks.
[In thousands of pounds sterling.]


FEDERAL RESERVE BANK HOLDINGS OF ACCEPTANCES.
Federal reserve bank holdings of bankers' acceptances during 1922 showed a general upward trend, which became more pronounced during the second part of the year, when openmarket money rates began to advance. By far the larger part (between 70 and 80 per cent) of the acceptances held by the reserve banks are based upon foreign trade transactions, but Federal reserve bank holdings of
acceptances in the domestic trade show about the same rate of growth for the year as those of acceptances in the foreign trade. At the close of the year the reserve banks held under purchase or discount a total of $\$ 271,274,000$ of bank acceptances, compared with $\$ 145,383,000$ at the close of 1921 . Of the larger total $\$ 196,121,000$, or over 72 per cent, was made up of acceptances in the foreign trade, $\$ 65,410,000$, or 24 per cent, of acceptances in the domestic trade, and the remainder, $\$ 9,743,000$, of acceptances to create dollar exchange.

At the close of 1922 the reserve banks held $\$ 189,333,000$ of bills accepted by member banks, this amount representing 47 per cent of the total of such acceptances outstanding on December 29. This proportion is comparable with about 40 per cent on June 30, 1922, and about 30 per cent at the close of 1921. In addition the reserve banks held at the close of last year $\$ 81,941,000$ of bills accepted by nonmember banks, including private banks, agencies of foreign banks and discount corporations, a total to be compared with $\$ 33,281,000$ on June 30 of the same year and $\$ 34,831,000$ on December 31, 1921. It will be noted that the rate of increase during the year was much greater in reserve bank holdings of bills accepted by nonmember banks than of bills accepted by member banks. There is no evidence to show whether this relative gain of nonmember acceptances in the reserve bank portfolios has been due to a larger growth of nonmember bank acceptances, as compared with member bank acceptances, or to a preference of investors for member bank acceptances, which would leave a larger amount of bills accepted by nonmembers arailable for purchase by the reserve banks.

## OPEN-MARKET RATES.

Open-market rates on prime eligible acceptances, which had declined to below 3 per cent about the middle of last year, remained fairly steady during July, August, and the first half of September. At that time advances in call money rates were followed by gradual increases of acceptance rates to $3 \frac{3}{8}-3 \frac{1}{4}$ per cent. The
month of October saw a further increase of these rates, the range at the close of the month and for the rest of the year being between 4 and $4 \frac{1}{8}$ per cent. London rates on threemonths' bank bills, which had declined below 3 per cent early in April, 1922, continued downward in May and June and in July fell below 2 per cent. But in August the rates began to advance and continued to rise during the following month. In October rates declined slightly, and during the last two months ranged between $2 \frac{5}{8}$ and $2 \frac{3}{8}$ per cent. During the entire year rates were lower in London than in New York, the difference reaching at times nearly 2 per cent.


## AN INDEX OF WHOLESALE TRADE.

In 1920 the Federal reserve banks, realizing the value to the business community of figures measuring the volume of wholesale trade, offered to compile reports for groups of wholesale dealers in their respective districts. The scope and accuracy of these wholesale reports has been steadily improving, so that they now constitute a fairly representative sample of the wholesale business of the United States, both from the standpoint of localities and of commodities covered by the figures. The trend of wholesale trade since January, 1919, is shown graphically in chart A below, together with a comparison of price changes in the same period.
The sources of the data used in constructing this index of wholesale trade are the monthly reports of individual wholesale dealers to the Federal reserve banks, which show their total sales in dollar amounts. Reports are received from groups of dealers in the following lines: Groceries, meats, dry goods, shoes, hardware, drugs, furniture, men's clothing, women's cloth-
ing, millinery, automobile accessories, stationery, jewelry, diamonds, farm implements, and machine tools. The number and distribution of reporting firms in the latest month varies from 4 firms in one district in the case of machine tools to 362 firms distributed throughout 11 districts in the case of groceries.

The following principles were employed in selecting data for inclusion in the index: (1) Each line of trade must be of importance in all sections of the United States; (2) for each line of business included there must be available reports from firms doing business in at least 6 of the 12 Federal reserve districts; (3) for each firm included annual sales must be available for 1919 and monthly sales must be received regularly. On this basis it was decided to include about 700 firms in the index, representing 6 lines of wholesale businessgroceries, meats, dry goods, hardware, shoes, and drugs. These firms were located in 10 Federal reserve districts. ${ }^{1}$

[^2]

Chart A

Since there are no statistics of total wholesale trade and since the reserve banks have made no effort to secure reports covering a specified percentage of each line of business, there was no basis for determining whether the various groups of firms in different lines in the several districts did a similar proportion of the total trade in their line or district. Consequently the absolute amount of sales in dollars for the group of firms in each line of business in each district had little significance and the figures were, therefore, converted into percentages giving the relationship of the sales for a given month to the sales for the base period. Average sales in the year 1919 were considered 100 for the purpose of calculating the index. The reasons for the selection

of 1919 as the base period were that it was the first year for which figures were reported; that it was a census year for which many other related statistics are available, and that that year was already used as a base in other indexes of production and distribution. It must be emphasized, however, that the base period is not intended to represent the normal value of wholesale trade.

In combining the series of relatives representing monthly changes in the value of trade
for each line in each district, the question of the relative importance of each line and of each district presented itself. It was decided first to combine the figures by lines, since there was a remarkable similarity of movement for the same line in different districts, illustrated in the case of shoe sales by chart B. For this purpose the relatives of each district were weighted by the number of persons employed in wholesale trade in that district, according to the United States Census of Occupations for 1919. As these figures were not available by lines, it was necessary to assume that each of the six lines of trade included varied between different districts in the same proportion as all wholesale trade. The district weights applied in calculating index numbers for each line of business are shown in the following table:

| District. | Weights. |
| :---: | :---: |
| No. 2-New York | 18.0 |
| No. 3-Philadelphia | 5.2 |
| No. 4-Cleveland. | 9.0 |
| No. 5-Richmond. | 6.3 |
| No. 6-Atlanta. | 6.4 |
| No. 7-Chicago. | 16.4 |
| No. 9-Minneapolis. | 5.1 |
| No. 10-Kansas Clty | 6. 5 |
| No. 11-Dallas.. | 4.2 |
| No. 12-San Francisco. | 6.7 |

In combining the relatives for the different lines of business into one wholesale trade index for the entire United States, the goods handled by each type of wholesaler were analyzed and weighted by the total value of production for each class of goods either from the census of 1919, in the case of manufactured goods, or from the estimates of the Department of Agriculture for 1919, in the case of unmanufactured foodstuffs. This second system of weights is shown below:


These weights were compared with the relative value of each of these commodities consumed by workingmen's families according to budget studies, and a close check resulted.

A study of the month-to-month changes in sales shows that there are regular seasonal fluctuations. However, it was not considered
advisable to make any allowance for these seasonal variations in this index, as it is desirable for many purposes to show the importance of these monthly changes in comparing wholesale trade with production, retail trade, and other related data. It is also doubtful whether statistics are yet available for a sufficient number of years to determine accurately the average size of the seasonal movements.
To recapitulate: The original series of data were combined into the final index by the following steps: (1) A base was obtained for each series of data by averaging the monthly wholesale trade in 1919; (2) the original data were divided by their respective bases to obtain a series of relatives for each line of trade in each district; (3) each relative was multiplied by a weight representing the importance of the district; (4) the weighted relatives for each line were totaled and averaged arithmetically; (5) the new series of relatives were each multiplied by a weight determined by the importance of the line represented; and (6) these weighted relatives were averaged by the arithmetic method. The weighted averages obtained by these six steps were the final index numbers. The weighted relatives for the six lines of trade throughout the United States and the index numbers for all trade are shown in the following table:

Wholesale Trade.
[A verage monthly sales, $1919=100$. ]

|  | Groceries. | Meat. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dry } \\ & \text { goods. } \end{aligned}$ | Shoes. | Hardware. | Drugs. | Index of wholesale trade. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1919. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January . | 82.6 |  | 72.8 | 52.0 | 80.5 | 99.0 | 78.8 |
| February. | 71.1 |  | 65.5 | 60.2 | 78. 6 | 95.1 | 71.1 |
| March | 82.5 |  | 64.1 | 76.9 | 96.5 | 98.3 | 79.8 |
| April | 87.0 |  | 78.4 | 109.4 | 101.2 | 95.6 | 88.4 |
| May. | 98.8 |  | 84.3 | 89.1 | 101.5 | 94.5 | 94.5 |
| June. | 105.7 |  | 102.5 | 96.1 | 105.6 | 93.7 | 103.4 |
| July.. | 112.1 |  | 123.5 | 106.1 | 99.8 | 97.4 | 112.4 |
| August | 100.3 |  | 133.7 | 133.2 | 102.5 | 96.7 | 110.7 |
| September | 108.3 |  | 120.6 | 151.5 | 103.3 | 110.1 | 114.3 |
| October.. | 117.1 |  | 126.4 | 145.2 | 111.3 | 122.8 | 121.4 |
| November. | 116.1 |  | 109.6 | 93.1 | 107.6 | 99.6 | 111.0 |
| December. | 118.8 |  | 118.5 | 86.9 | 111.7 | 97.2 | 123.0 |
| 1920. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January | 111.7 |  | 150.0 | 97.0 | 112.8 | 115.2 | 119.9 |
| February | 95.7 |  | 125.3 | 105.4 | 101.2 | 117.0 | 105.3 |
| March. | 118.3 |  | 138.0 | 136.4 | 129.0 | 133.1 | 126.2 |
| April. | 121.2 |  | 120.5 | 106.7 | 125.5 | 109.0 | 119.4 |
| May. | 128. 1 |  | 102.5 | 98.1 | 125.2 | 106.9 | 118.0 |
| June | 135.1 |  | 114.2 | 77.3 | 129.3 | 115.1 | 123.8 |
| July. | 130.3 |  | 139.9 | 72.1 | 117.5 | 108.5 | 125.5 |
| August.. | 107.4 |  | 144.5 | 96.3 | 124.7 | 112.5 | 117.0 |
| September | 117.5 |  | 123.3 | 90.9 | 124.5 | 116.2 | 117.2 |
| October. | 106. 4 |  | 85.9 | 75.2 | 112.4 | 123.2 | 100.6 |
| November. | 99.9 |  | 76.9 | 60.1 | 100.5 | 98.1 | 91.2 |
| December. | 84.5 |  | 58.4 | 42.6 | 84.2 | 88.6 | 75.3 |



The similarity between the course of trade in the same line in different localities has already been noted and was illustrated in

chart B. Comparisons of different lines of trade also show a certain amount of correspondence in movement as well as certain
marked differences. The main points of similarity are the long-time trend in the different lines and the seasonal movements within a year. Each of the reporting groups of wholesalers showed an upward movement of sales in 1919 and the early part of 1920, a downward movement in the latter part of 1920 and throughout most of 1921, and a slight upward trend in 1922. Although these general trends correspond for all the lines of trade studied there are marked differences in degree of movement.

All the lines of merchandise studied, except meat, showed a general likeness in seasonal movement of sales; an expansion in the spring, a decline in midsummer, a large expansion in the late summer and early fall, and a prolonged period of low sales during the winter. The differences in time and degree of these four seasonal movements are illustrated in chart C, which compares the sales of dry-goods firms with those of hardware firms. It will be noted that the seasonal changes are much sharper and more irregular in size in case of dry goods than in case of hardware. The fall season of activity is much more important than the spring in the dry-goods business, whereas the two seasons are of about equal importance for hardware dealers. In the case of both hardware and dry goods the winter period of inactivity is more prolonged than that in the summer. Grocery and drug sales are quite similar to hardware sales in the nature of their seasonal variations. Grocery sales, however, are somewhat more level throughout the year than hardware sales, while the peaks and troughs of the drug business are slightly sharper than those of the hardware business. Shoe sales also resemble hardware, grocery, and drug sales in the regularity of their seasonal changes and the equal importance of the spring and fall seasons, but they bear more resemblance to dry-goods sales in the sharpness of the peaks and troughs and the wide difference in volume of sales in differont months.

It is interesting, also to compare the course of wholesale trade as indicated by the index with the course of prices, production, and retail trade during the past four years. Wholesale trade is compared with wholesale prices in chart A. While there is some difference in the commodities included in these indexes, as the price index includes a large number of raw materials and producers' goods, yet there is a very close correspondence of trend between the two indexes. This indicates that changes
in prices have a far greater influence in determining the total value of wholesale trade than do changes in the physical volume of business. In fact, if allowance be made in the trade figures for changes in prices, it appears that there has been little variation in the physical volume of wholesale trade since 1918, except for the regular seasonal swings.

A comparison of wholesale trade with production and with retail trade indicates that the sales of wholesale dealers have a much more accentuated seasonal movement than manufacturing output, but have more moderate seasonal fluctuations than sales of retail dealers. For example, sales of department stores, music stores, and cigar stores reach a much sharper peak in December than that attained in any month by wholesale stores. Stocks of department stores, however, show about the same month-to-month changes as wholesale trade. This is due to the fact that retail dealers increase their stocks and their purchases from wholesalers in anticipation of heavy seasonal purchases from consumers. Consequently seasonal changes in wholesale trade usually precede seasonal changes in retail trade and are reflected in the size of retail stocks.

## COTTON FINANCING.

## III. Financing the Marieting of Cotton. ${ }^{1}$

In previous sections of this study the methods of financing the growing of cotton were described. Cotton grown under crop liens or crop mortgages is often marketed through the same channels that credit passed to the growers. As the crop is gathered and passed from the producers into the organized channels of distribution the problem of financing gradually changes from one of agricultural finance to a commercial credit problem that involves the financial institutions of the entire commercial world.

The cotton textile industry has become of great importance to most of the modern

[^3]nations and the problem of financing cotton as it passes from the hands of the southern producer to the consumer is international as well as national. It is the purpose of this article, and the one following, to analyze the institutions through which raw cotton passes en route to the manufacturer; to describe the financial arrangement that each of these institutions use in marketing the crop under present conditions; and thus picture the gradual change from à domestic agricultural credit problem of the South to a national as well as an international credit task.

Before attempting to analyze the distributing system, it is desirable to study the cotton markets of the world in order to see the problems that confront those who serve as distributors of this world-wide commodity. Cotton markets can be classified into the domestic and the foreign markets. The Bureau of the Census divided the domestic markets into two divisions, (1) the cotton-growing States, and (2) all other States. The amount of cotton that is consumed in the three markets is shown in the following table:

Consumption of Cotton in the United States and Exports 1910-11 то 1920-21. ${ }^{1}$
[In bales.]

|  | United States. | Cottongrowing states. | All other States. | Exports. ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1910-11. | 4,498,417 | 2,249, 138 | 2,249,282 | 7,781,414 |
| 1011-12. | 5,129,346 | 2,635, 878 | 2,498, 468 | 10, 681, 758 |
| 1912-13. | 5,483, 321 | 2, 861, 743 | 2,621,578 | 8, 800, 966 |
| 1913-14. | 5, 577, 408 | 2,925,294 | 2,652,114 | 8,654,958 |
| 1914-15. | 5, 597, 362 | 2,570,393 | 3,026,969 | 8,322,688 |
| 1915-16. | 6,397,613 | 3,527,528 | 2,870,085 | 5, 895, 672 |
| 1916-17. | 6, 788,505 | 3, 888, 348 | 2,900, 157 | 5,302, 848 |
| 1917-18. | 6,566,489 | 3, 867,098 | 2,869,391 | 4,288, 420 |
| 1918-19. | 5,765,936 | 3,199,027 | 2,566,909 | 5,592, 380 |
| 1919-20. | 6, 419, 734 | 3,582,919 | 2,836, 815 | 6,545,326 |
| 1920-21. . . . . . . . . . . . . | 4, 892,672 | 2, 997, 471 | 1,895, 201 | 5, 673, 452 |
| 1921-22............... | 5,909,820 | 3,729,777 | 2,810,043 | 6,184,094 |

[^4]The average annual export of cotton for the five years 1910-1914 was 62.8 per cent of the annual production. ${ }^{1}$. Since that time the American consumption has increased while, because of the European war, the foreign consumption has decreased, until to-day approximately one-half of the crop is consumed within the United States and the remainder is

[^5]exported. The most important cotton consuming sections of the United States are the New England States and the cotton-producing States of the Southeast.

## A. The Cotton distributing system.

Methods of marketing cotton have gradually changed as the industry has developed and expanded. Before the Civil War cotton was grown principally by large planters and marketed through established agencies known as cotton factors. The factors were located principally at seaports or at interior points on navigable streams where trading connections could be established with commercial and financial interests in other cities or abroad. Cotton that was not pledged to the factors for advances was often consigned to them to be sold on commission. The factors disposed of the cotton directly to northern mills or through an intermediary, the cotton broker. The factor always charged the planter a commission for selling the cotton, and when it was sold through a broker the factor always paid a commission to the broker for his services.

After the war the plantation system was supplanted largely by small farms, while the local supply merchants grew to fill, in part, the place the factor had formerly held as a money lender. Along with the decline of the factorage system and the growing prevalence of small farms, there came an increase in interior buying. Cotton mills sent buyers into the cotton States to buy cotton directly from the farmers and from the supply merchants. The middlemen or cotton merchants also sent their representatives into the field to buy cotton either from the farmers, local buyers, or supply merchants.
The methods by which a grower disposes of his cotton are determined by the financial standing of the grower, the availability of warehouses, the cooperation among the growers, and other factors which vary from year to year in each section. In the Eastern States throughout the old plantation sections it is customary for the small growers and tenants to sell their crop to local buyers or to the landlords. Some of the cotton is grown under crop lien contracts and is sold promptly by the growers to relieve pressing financial burdens.

The various agencies through which raw cotton may be handled as it passes from the pro-
ducer to the consumer under the present system are as follows:

1. Producer - supply store - merchant-merchant-mill.
2. Producer-local buyer-mill.
3. Producer - local buyer - merchant-merchant-mill.
4. Producer-local buyer-merchant-mill.
5. Producer-factor-merchant--mill.
6. Producer-factor-merchant-exporter.
7. Producer--factor-exporter.
8. Producer-agent-merchant-exporter.
9. Producer--mill.

| 10. Producer-cooperative mar- $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { merchant. } \\ \text { exporter. } \\ \text { mill. }\end{array}\right.$ |
| :--- |

## B. FINANCING IN THE PRIMARY MARKETS.

Financing in the primary markets is the first problem that confronts the banking and financial institutions in the cotton-growing areas. As cotton itself is the basis for credit after it once begins to move, it is necessary at this point to discuss the several documents which are used as security for cotton loans.

Warehouse receipts.-Warehouse receipts are the first documents that arise in the primary markets. Warehouses are owned by many institutions and operated under the laws of the several cotton States. These factors are important in determining the value of the receipts as a basis for a loan. An analysis of the warehouse laws has been made and a digest of the sections affecting the receipts has been published by the Federal Reserve Board (see Federal Reserve Bulletin, September, 1915, p. 260). It is only necessary for our purpose here
to study the types of warehouses and their location.

Warehouses have been built at many local markets, notably in the Eastern States, and at points of concentration as well as at points of exportation. In Arkansas, Oklahoma, and Texas where much of the cotton is customarily marketed as soon as it is ginned and shipped directly to mills or exported, there are few warehouses except at concentration points, where cotton is held by merchants. The same conditions are found in Mississippi, western Tennessee, and Louisiana. In the Eastern States warehouses are usually accessible to the farmers. ${ }^{2}$

The ownership of warehouses is an important feature in determining the value of the warehouse receipt. In many primary markets, as well as in concentration centers, the warehouses are owned by cotton buyers, merchants, factors, and others engaged in the cotton trade. In the mill centers the mills usually own or control the warehouses in which they store cotton while awaiting consumption. Other warehouses may be owned by independent warehouse companies and operated under the laws of the State in which they are located; others may be controlled or licensed by the State. In the State licensed warehouse the receipt is issued according to certain rules and regulations prescribed by the State and has a value that is much more readily known in the banking fraternity than a receipt issued by an independent warehouse whose standing is unknown. The following is a copy of a State bonded warehouse receipt:

[^6]
## STATE CERTIFICATE OF WEIGHTS AND MEASURES

Farmers \& Merchants Bonded Warehouse No. 1
$\qquad$


Bonded Gin No. ..........., Gin Bale No. ............ Gin Wt. ....................
This is to certify that I have weighed the above cotton and that the weights and conditions, as set forth, are true and correct.

WEIGHERS
WETGHT

CONDITION

By......................................................
Deputy.

Realizing the wide diversity of warehousing conditions existing throughout the country, Congress attempted to remedy the situation by passing a warehouse law that would apply to the entire country. This resulted in the United States warehouse act, August, 1916. Since the passage of the act approximately 400 cotton warehouses have become licensed (Jan-
uary 1, 1923), with a storage capacity of $2,100,000$ bales. The warehouse act is a regulatory statute: It makes for the issuance of a warehouse receipt or credit instrument possessing the greatest collateral value. The following is a copy of a warehouse receipt issued by a Federal licensed warehouse:

LICENSE No. 2-578 Exporters \& Traders Compress \& Warehouse Company's Warehouse

| EXPIRES JULY 31, 192.. | Exporters \& Traders Compress \& Warehouse Company, Proprielors |
| :--- | :---: |
| PAID IN CAPITAL $\$ 150$, Poo |  |

## LICENSED AND BONDED UNDER THE UNITED STATES WAREHOUSE ACT COPY-NOT NEGOTIABLE <br> WAREHOUSE RECEIPT FOR ONE BALE OF COTTON

Received for storage from.
the ONE BALE OF COT TON described herein, stored in the abovenamed warehouse, for which this receipt is issued subject to the United States warehouse act, the regulations for cotton warehouses thereunder and the terms of this contract. Said cotton is NOT INSURED by the undersigned warehouseman against loss or damage by fire and lightning unless expressly stated otherwise on the face of this receipt. 太aid cotton is accepted for storage for one year only from the date of this receipt but upon surrender by the holder of this receipt may be extended or a new receipt issued as provided in said regulations. The undersigned warehouseman is not the owner, either solely, jointly, or in common with others of the cotton covered by this receipt, unless expressly stated otherwise here.

The undersigned warehouseman claims a lien on said cotton for charges and liabilities as follows:

Weighing, sampling, assorting, checking, delivery to compress and storage first 15 days or fractional part thereof, 25 cents, storage 1 cent per bale per day thereafter.* Miscellaneous-Grading, etc..: :-
*These charges are subject to change on August 1st, after the date of this receipt, without notice.


Grade and weight determined by a classifier and weigher licensed under the United States Warehouse Act.


Marks .
$\qquad$

Reweight.

* Grade..........................
*staple ................

Condition.
*According to the official Cotton Standards of the United States.

Such a lack of uniformity makes the task of loaning on warehouse receipts very difficult. Loans that are made on warehouse receipts representing cotton stored in the warehouse of the owner are more often made on the moral and financial standing of the borrower rather than upon the warehouse receipts. Such conditions confine loaning on stored cotton to the locality in which the cotton dealer is personally known to those making the advances.

The conditions under which warehouse receipts are issued by dealers and buyers in the primary markets are generally understood by the local bankers, and the system works fairly smoothly as long as cotton is stored for only a short period. When the marketing channels become jammed, and local banks as well as local dealers have to call on outside agencies for loans, the local warehouse receipt becomes less important, and some other basis for the loan must be found.

Compress receipts.-Compress receipts are documents used to secure loans on cotton in both primary and central markets. They are used generally for short periods. Cotton in transit is usually unloaded at the compress for
further compression. In order to secure the bill of lading so that the cotton can be unloaded, compress receipts are substituted at the bank for the bill of lading under which the cotton is moving. After the cotton is compressed, it is reloaded and a bill of lading presented to the bank, against which the compress receipts are released. During the time the cotton is being compressed the compress receipts are accepted by bankers as security for loans. In many cases compresses are storage places for large quantities of cotton, serving in the same capacity as warehouses. The conditions which affect the security value of compress receipts are the same as those which govern warehouse receipts.

Bills of lading.-When cotton is shipped from the primary markets a railroad bill of lading becomes the basis for loans. If cotton is sold in the primary market to a foreign consumer, a "through bill of lading" may be used in lieu of a local bill of lading to the port.

The problem of financing the primary market involves the task of financing the grower or planter who desires to warehouse his crop and dispose of it when the market is most favorable;
the local store that accepts or buys cotton from the growers; the resident buyer; the agent of cotton mills; and the representative buyer of cotton merchants and exporters. Other cotton dealers may operate in the local market, but the method of extending funds to them will not vary from those of financing the local and representative buyer.

The credit structure used in financing the marketing of cotton brings into use the entire banking system of the South, and when those banks become burdened, loans from banks in the distant cities, such as Boston, New York, Chicago, and Philadelphia, are obtained. The large cotton mills and merchants use the banks in these cities, while the local buyers rely largely upon the local banks in the primary markets and concentration centers. The crop can be marketed without violent interruptions only when the banking and credit structure works smoothly.

Financing the grower or planter.-If warehouses are available and the planter does not want to sell his cotton immediately, he stores it. When he is not in a position to finance himself until the cotton is sold, he goes to his local bank and asks for a loan, presenting warehouse receipts as collateral. Loans of this type are usually made upon a straight promissory note given by the planter to the bank and secured by the former's warehouse receipts. Although bankers' acceptances offer an attractive field for country banks in financing stored cotton, they have been used very little.

Loans by the banks on cotton stored by the growers are usually made to mature within 60 or 90 days, although in some instances they run 120 days. In many cases demand loans are made by banks to growers, the bank reserving the right to call the loan if market conditions are such that the bank's margin is reduced. The length of the loan is determined in a large measure by the market conditions and the financial standing of the grower. In order to keep the loans liquid the banks generally extend from 75 to 80 per cent of the market value of the cotton. This, however, varies, as loans are extended in most cases on a flat bale basis without regard to the staple, grade, or condition of the cotton. That is to say, if a planter presents a cotton receipt at his local bank and asks for a loan, the bank will extend usually from 75 to 80 per cent of the market value of the cotton offered, the amount being determined by the quotation for middling cotton in that market on the same day. When the cotton is graded and found to
be above or below middling grade, the bank's margin changes accordingly.

Financing the local buyer.-A local buyer may be the local ginner, warehouseman, resident buyer, or the agent of a cotton merchant or mill. The problem of financing is similar for all.
At the opening of the cotton season, generally from August 15 to September 1 in the southernmost sections and from September 1 to 15 in the northernmost areas, the local buyer arranges with his local bank for a line of credit which he expects to use in making cotton purchases. If the buyer has some capital of his own, he uses that to make his initial purchases, and after it has been exhausted he places the receipts, representing the cotton which he has purchased, with the bank and thereby secures a loan to make further purchases. Arrangements made by other buyers who depend upon the bank to finance the original cotton purchases vary with banks in different sections of the Cotton Belt. Several banks in leading buying centers of Texas and Arkansas state that original cotton purchases are made through a demand acceptance agreement. Under this agreement the buyer enters the market and buys cotton from growers at the price agreed upon by the buyer and seller. The former then signs the warehouse or compress receipt and at the same time states on the receipt or on a separate form the amount that is owed the seller. The seller then takes the signed receipt to the buyer's bank which pays the amount stated on the receipt, and at the same time takes the receipt which is held as collateral against the loan. This process is repeated throughout the day, and at the end of the day the buyer may call at the bank and present his demand note for the entire amount that the bank advanced or the bank may carry the advances on a strictly open account or acceptance basis, always holding the warehouse or compress receipts as collateral. In order to keep an adequate margin against loans of this type the bank usually requires the buyer to pledge stocks and bonds or other collateral that is sufficient to secure about 20 or 25 per cent of the loan. The bank holds the receipts and when the buyer has arranged for a sale he is granted permission to move the cotton to the railway station and receive a bill of lading. The buyer, who then becomes the seller, presents the bill of lading at the bank and at the same time draws a draft on the buyer. The bank deducts the amount it has advanced
on the cotton, the interest on the loan and the exchange charge, and credits the account of the local buyer with the remainder. Other methods of allowing the local buyer to ship cotton are reported by several banks. A trust receipt is given to the bank against which the warehouse or compress receipts are released to the buyer. The cotton is then moved from the warehouse to the railroad. The shipper or seller receives a bill of lading, presents it at the bank, and liquidates the trust receipt. A draft is drawn in the same manner as before. The economic and legal aspects of the trust receipt have been analyzed and are given in the Federal Reserve Bulletin, January, 1922, page 32.

Another form of acceptance agreement used in some of the western markets is one which the seller of cotton draws on the buyer and which is accepted by him. The seller then presents it at the local bank specified on the acceptance and receives payment for the cotton. A copy of this document is shown as follows:

## BILL OFEXCHANGE.

Buyer's No. - $\quad$ - Texas---192-
Pay to the order of ——— National Bank,
——, Texas (\$——) ——— DOLLARS,
account of -—bales Cotton at ————
bales cotton at ——, attached hereto, this
day sold to ——, which cotton is held for
account of —— National Bank, ——,
Texas, and subject to their order. Value
received and charge same to account of

Seller.
To
京
The bill of exchange may be used by either the grower or the buyer who has accumulated a number of bales and made a sale to a cotton merchant or mill representative. This, however, is used generally in the local markets by the banks that have made loans on the cotton under consideration.

Other banks in the Western States report that local buyers are financed either by demand notes or notes of definite maturity. As cotton is moving rapidly to market the demand note is generally used, the borrower paying interest
only for the actual period that he used the funds. If cotton is stored and warehouse receipts are used to secure a loan to finance "orderly" marketing, a note of definite maturity is usually given. In the Eastern States loans are made largely on demand notes, while in Texas and Arkansas loans of definite maturity are much used. The following is a copy of the cotton contract or agreement which is sometimes used by buyers and banks in some of the eastern markets of the cotton States.

## COTTON CONTRACT.

Whereas ——, hereinafter called the buyer, is engaged in buying cotton in the city of ——, and desires to secure advances from the $—$ Bank of ———, hereinafter called the bank, for the payment of cotton purchased by the buyer, and desires to draw checks on the bank in payment for cotton purchased;

Now, in consideration of advances to be made and the payment of checks drawn from time to time by the buyer on the bank, the buyer hereby contracts and agrees with the bank:

1. That all sums so advanced, or any part thereof, will be repaid by the buyer on demand, together with interest on the same at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from the date of such advances, respectively.
2. That no check shall be drawn by the buyer on the bank except in payment for spot cotton to be actually and at the time delivered, and the expenses of handling the same, and that each check shall show upon the face thereof the number of bales of cotton for the payment of which said check is issued.
3. That all cotton purchased by the buyer and paid for by checks on the bank will be held by the buyer in trust for the bank until bills of lading, compress or warehouse receipts, as hereinafter provided, shall be delivered to the bank, with the right in the bank at any time to demand and receive said cotton so purchased or any part thereof.
4. That the buyer will turn over and deliver to the bank not later than the day upon which the checks, respectively, are presented for payment, railway bills of lading, warehouse or compress receipts, for all cotton purchased and paid for by checks of the buyer on the bank, which bills of lading, warehouse or compress receipts, are to beheld by the bank as collateral security for advances made, and for any and all other liabilities of the buyer to the bank due or to become due, as well as any indebtedness theretofore or thereafter contracted or existing, whether incurred directly or indirectly, and including notes, bills of exchange, or other evidences of indebtedness made, indorsed, or accepted by the buyer, and owned or held by the bank.
5. In case of a decline in the market price of any cotton held by the bank as security for any indebtedness of the buyer, so that the market value of the cotton pledged shall be less than $\$ 10$ per bale more than the amount due the bank, the buyer shall forthwith make a sufficient payment on account or pledge additional cotton of sufficient amount, so that the aggregate market value of the cotton so pledged shall be at least $\$ 10$ per bale more than the amount due the bank at all times.
6. In case of failure of the buyer to maintain the margin between the market value of the cotton pledged to the bank and the amount of the indebtedness of the buyer to the bank, as in the last paragraph provided, all advances made bv the bank to the buyer shall immediately become
due and payable, anything herein or in any note, bill of exchange, or other obligation contained to the contrary notwithstanding, and the bank is hereby given full right, power, and authority without further demand or any notice of any kind to sell or otherwise dispose of the whole or any part of the cotton pledged by the buyer to the bank, or any cotton held in lieu thereof or in addition thereto at any cotton warehouse, cotton [mill, factor's or broker's office, at public or private sale, at the option of the bank, at any time or times thereafter, without advertisement or other notice, and with the right to the bank to become the purchaser thereof at such sale or sales, free and discharged from any equity of redemption, or of any other claim of the buyer to said cotton or the proceeds thereof. All interest on the amount advanced and the costs, charges, and expenses of holding, storing, protecting, and insuring said cotton, and all expenses of collection, sale, and delivery, including attorney's fees, if incurred, shall be deducted from the proceeds of the sale of said cotton, and the residue applied on the liability or indebtedness of the buyer to the bank. The overplus, if any, shall be paid over to the buyer, except as herein provided. If after such sale, and crediting the proceeds thereof upon the liability of the buyer to the bank, there should be any deficiency, the buyer hereby promises, contracts, and agrees to pay the same to the bank forthwith.
7. The buyer further authorizes the bank at its option, at any time, to appropriate and apply to the payment of any liability of the buyer to the bank, whether now existing or hereafter contracted, any and all moneys now or hereafter in the possession of the bank, on deposit or otherwise, to the credit of or belonging to the buyer, whether the said liabilities are by their terms then due or not.
8. All receipts for bills of lading, and any and all other collaterals of the buyer, shall be returned by the bank to the buyer upon payment of all indebtedness of the buyer to the bank, unless previously sold or held under the provisions hereof.
9. All the provisions of this contract shall inure to the benefit of the holders or assigns thereof.

In witness whereof the buyer has hereunto set his hand and seal this —— day of ——, 19 .

In addition to the above agreement entered into by the local buyer and his bank other methods of handling cotton accounts are used by some banks. The method used by a bank in an important primary market in Arkansas is shown in the following comment:

We loan money to either the grower, ginner, merchant, or cotton buyer, secured by compress receipts for cotton or shippers' b/l properly indorsed showing that the cotton is in transit to a press. Some of the loans are secured by notes, some by time acceptances, some by demand acceptances, and occasionally for a very few days possibly an overdraft. We require at least a margin of 15 per cent; the margin, however, would largely depend on whether the borrower has outside property aside from the cotton that secures the loan. It must be insured with a loss clause attached to the policy, making the policy payable to us.

## Another banker comments as follows:

The cotton-buying firms give us indorsed paper each fall at the beginning of the season to make a substantial margin against their total purchases at their highest point. They buy largely from small farmers on the street, one to
a few bales at a time. They occasionally go out into the country and buy lots of twenty to a hundred bales. They render us a statement several times each week, showing the number of bales on hand on the street or have in their yard, the number of bales in the compress at -..., for which they give us the compress receipts. The greater part of their cotton is bought and sold the same day, the only cotton kept and stored being the extremely high grades, which they sometimes accumulate to the extent of 40 or 50 bales in order to sell in a lump at a good profit. The margin that we have is always from 20 per cent to 50 per cent of the buyer's account.

Formerly much cotton was paid for in actual cash in the form of coin and currency given by the buyer to the seller. But with country banks in nearly all of the principal primary markets, checks, drafts, and bank credit in various forms are used almost entirely. With the use of checks the grower builds up his bank balance in the cotton moving season and withdraws it in the growing period.

In most sections of the Cotton Belt some of the cotton is grown under crop liens and chattel mortgages. Loans secured in this manner are made to mature in the early fall, just as cotton begins to move. As the banks and others are desirous of having their loans liquidated at that period, it is necessary for the producers to sell their crop rapidly in order to meet their maturing obligations. For this reason, banks in the primary markets have not advanced much money on stored cotton. Their advances have been confined largely to cotton that is moving from local markets to interior concentration points or awaiting shipment from local markets. In some cases, however, growers have been able to store their cotton for regular marketing and banks have loaned to them. Also local dealers who have concentrated small lots of cotton have been financed by the local banks on the basis of their warehouse or compress receipts. In cases where banks have made advances on cotton stored in primary markets, the length of such loans average from 60 to 90 days. If it is stored in either State or Federal licensed warehouses or unlicensed warehouses of good standing, the banks loan from 75 to 90 per cent of the market value of the cotton, whereas only 50 to 75 per cent is usually advanced on cotton stored in other places.

The representative buyer and agent of cotton mills who buy from growers and dealers in the primary markets are financed largely through their head office or banks in distant cities. The local banks serve only as a disbursing medium for them. As the problem of extending credit to them is largely one of financing their head office, it is necessary to discuss
their financial arrangement in connection with financing the merchant in the concentration center.

## C. FINANCING IN THE CENTRAL COTTON MARKETS.

Funds for financing the marketing of cotton are raised largely in the central or concentration markets, cotton-mill centers, and export cities. The local markets finance the initial movement of cotton, but as banks in the primary markets are burdened with loans to producers, the available capital is soon exhausted, and the banks have to call upon their correspondents for additional funds. Merchants who are rapidly buying cotton from the buyers in the smaller points are constantly borrowing from banks in the centers where they have their head or a branch office; factors are establishing lines in order to meet the demands made on them by the planters; and mills are borrowing from their banks in cities where they maintain offices or in near-by capital markets. The rapidity with which cotton comes to market makes the demand for excessive loans heaviest from October to January. The movement of cotton to market and the months in which the movement is most active are shown in the table on page 453.

The importance of cotton loans by spot and future cotton concerns and the relative importance of cities that extend loans on cotton are given in the following table, prepared by the Federal Trade Commission, for the three-year period ending June 30, 1922:

Yearly Average Maximum Borrowings of Spot and Future Cotton Concerns, by Cities, 1919-20 то 1921-22.

${ }^{1}$ Includes $\$ 5,779,147.16$ from Japan and England.

Financing the factor.-Factors are found principally in Memphis, Augusta, New Orleans, Savannah, Charleston, Houston, and Galveston, and their methods of Ifinancing are confined, with few exceptions, to those cities. As the cotton is picked and ginned the planter consigns it to a factor. Instead of shipping the baled cotton to the factor, the planter may store it in a local warehouse or compress and send the receipt, with samples from the bales, to the factor. Factors reporting from several of the principal cities estimate that only a small percentage of the cotton that is handled by them is received under contract for advances which were made to the planters in the growing season. Only 25 per cent of the factors reporting indicate that more than 75 per cent is handled under contract for spring advances, while the majority of the reports show that the percentage averages between 5 and 35 per cent, the remainder being on commission. If the cotton is shipped to the factor, he has it warehoused, insured, sampled, and eventually sold. But if only samples are shipped, he handles the cotton on the basis of the samples which he receives.

As soon as the factor gets possession of the warehouse receipts he often presents them to the bank, pledging them to secure such advances as were made to him. When the cotton is sold, the factor is reimbursed by draft on the purchaser, which is deposited with the bank that holds the warehouse receipt and the loan is liquidated. The net proceeds of the sale are credited against the advance which was made to the planter in the growing season or at the time when the cotton was consigned to the factor.

A contract between the planter and factor for supplies and money advanced as applying in the upland long-staple cotton areas of Mississippi and Arkansas has been given in a previous article of this study. (See Federal Reserve Bulletin, February, 1923.)

The amount that factors will advance on cotton consigned varies with the different factors and the market conditions at the time of the consignment. The advancement is either a fixed amount against each bale or a certain percentage of the market price at the time the cotton is delivered. If advances are on a percentage basis, it is usually about 50 to 75 per cent of the value of the cotton, whereas if it takes the form of a fixed amount per bale, it varies from $\$ 50$ to $\$ 75$ a bale (1922 cotton prices).

In order to meet the drafts that are drawn by the planters the factors usually have to
borrow very heavily from the banks. The banks advance funds to factors either upon an unsecured promissory note or on one secured by warehouse receipts representing the cotton consigned to them. The degree to which these methods are used varies with the different factors. In many cases the factors are of strong financial standing and can borrow on their straight promissory note. Others, although they do not own the cotton outright, borrow from their bankers and pledge the cotton as security. The banks, when they know the factors, "as a rule do not hesitate to make loans, taking the stored cotton as security." Advances to factors by banks on cotton stored vary from 60 to 75 per cent of the value of the cotton. Banks, in many instances, attempt to advance only the amount which the factor has advanced to the farmer. When loans are greater than local banks can support, banks in other cities are called upon to aid in the financing, " and in this case arrangements are usually made with the local banks to hold the warehouse receipts in trust to secure the lender." One factor reports that loans are obtained in Chicago and New York.

Financing the cotton merchant.-The process of assembling and distributing the greater part of the American cotton crop falls upon the cotton merchant or broker. Banks in the concentration cities and other financial centers are concerned primarily in extending loans to him. The merchant or broker acts at times in the capacity of buyer, at others as a broker, and when he concentrates quantities of cotton awaiting demands from the mills he is termed a cotton merchant. The merchant is involved in a cotton transaction at three different stages,
(1) buying, (2) assembling and storing, and (3) selling. Likewise the problem of financing may be analyzed under these three heads.

Buying.-Several methods of buying cotton are used by the merchants. Many of the large cotton buying firms have head offices in one of the principal southern cities and a number of branch offices in other cities throughout the Cotton Belt. From the branch offices buyers are sent into the near-by local markets to buy cotton from growers and local dealers, or supply merchants. The extent to which each of the sources is used to obtain cotton varies with the several cotton firms that are organized on an extensive basis. One large firm operating entirely in Tennessee, Arkansas, and Oklahoma says that 65 per cent of its cotton purchases are from local supply stores and 35 per cent are from the growers directly. An-
other firm that maintains offices throughout the cotton areas in the larger cities estimates that 90 per cent of its purchases are from dealers in the local markets, from 3 to 5 per cent from growers, and the remainder from cooperative marketing associations. Another large organization estimates that approximately 50,40 , and 10 per cent of its purchases are from growers, dealers, and cooperative associations, respectively. Other merchants who operate one or more offices in several of the primary markets usually deal directly with the growers in these markets and resell to the firms organized in the manner described. An illustration of the method of buying is given by one of the larger firms in the following:
We buy practically no cotton from growers, but buy nearly all from local dealers in the interior markets (principally at compress points); we estimate that about 90 per cent of our cotton is bought in this way, the balance is bought from dealers at ports such as Galveston, Houston, and New Orleans; we also occasionally buy from cooperative marketing associations. •

The merchants use various methods in paying for the cotton which they have purchased in the primary markets. Growers selling at one of the buying offices of the merchant are usually paid by check on a bank in the city in which the office is located. The usual practice in paying for other cotton purchases is shown, in the following comments:

All sellers are paid by draft (with bills of lading attached) on buyer's head office or other buying office, except on purchases made in city of head office or buying office, in which case seller is paid by check on local bank. We have a few buyers working for us who buy at interior points and arrange a line of credit with the local bank to pay for their purchases and in turn reimburse the local banks with drafts and bills of lading attached on the head office. The percentage of cotton bought in this manner is very small, not over 5 per cent.

One large interior firm that sends representatives from each buying office into the surrounding local markets uses the following method in financing purchases:

If we buy direct from the grower we give him a"check on a local bank with which we have made arrangements prior to the opening of the season. Every night for cotton bought by ourselves the local bank draws on our head concentrating office for the territory under consideration. After assembling all these drafts from different points in their territory, the buying offices draw on our head office for round amounts. They keep their own books, so practically each office is run on its own footing, except from the financing and selling end. The drafts are then paid by the banks serving the head office.

In contrast to this method of handling cotton purchases several merchants operating in the western sections of the Cotton Belt report the
use of acceptances in their cotton financing. This has not applied generally, but the following example as given by a merchant in Fort Worth is one instance in which the method has been employed and is similar to the plan used by other merchants:
In handling cotton from local dealers we usually pay by acceptances on interior or large banks in Texas, with which we have an arrangement to handle our acceptances. We purchase cotton from local dealers and make payments by draft on the bank we want to handle the transaction. This draft is accepted by us and the bank handles it according to the terms of the agreement, holding the bill of lading or warehouse receipts as security.

Storing and assembling.-As the cotton season progresses, the concentrating merchants accumulate stocks of cotton. While the purchases are being assembled in various centers throughout the cotton belt, the banks which are financing the purchases for the merchants are secured by bills of lading as long as the cotton is in transit and by warehouse or compress receipts when it is in storage. The merchants' demands for funds are heaviest during this period. Two sources of borrowing are used, (1) the open market and (2) banks. Of these two sources the banks in the concentrating centers support the greater burden. Borrowing in the open market is not general with all cotton merchants as it is used mainly by the large dealers who have been in the cotton business for long periods and whose standing is known throughout the entire cotton trade.

One firm reporting from the interior says that its borrowing is done both in the open market and from its own banks in the city of its head office and in New York-about 50 per cent from each source. In financing in this manner the firm states that it usually puts out its paper in the open market first, and leaves "its bank lines open." Borrowing in the open market is done in denominations of from $\$ 2,500$ to $\$ 25,000$ and usually for a period of six months, whereas the denominations of notes used with banks are $\$ 100,000$ and for a shorter period. The latter is usually on unsecured promissory notes.

Another merchant who has buying offices in several of the larger cities of the cotton belt says that all of his borrowed funds, used to buy cotton, are obtained by borrowing from banks in the cities where offices are maintained and in New York. The borrowing is done as follows:
As the cotton season opens and cotton begins to move, the buying offices borrow from the local banks, keeping the banks supplied with bills of lading or warehouse or compress receipts as collateral. As the cotton movement gets too heavy for local banks to finance entirely, borrow-
ing is done in New York, both on line of credit and on bank acceptances. The bank acceptances are usually made for a period of 90 days and are secured by bille of lading or warehouse receipts as collateral. The acceptances are sold to New York banks, sometimes to the accepting bank. These acceptances run in various amounts, usually from $\$ 10,000$ to $\$ 100,000$ each. The money borrowed by us on our line of credit is also at all times secured by bills of lading and warehouse receipts as collateral, either put up with the New York banks in New York or being held by local banks for the account of the New York banks. In addition to secured loans, we usually borrow from $\$ 1,000,000$ to $\$ 1,500,000$ on unsecured paper. $=1$
Methods of borrowing used by merchants who handle cotton in smaller quantities are not at variance with those to whom we have referred, except that their borrowings are largely local, although in some instances the merchants report banking connections in New York. Loans obtained from New York banks are secured by either depositing bills of lading, warehouse or compress receipts with the New York bank, or placing them to the credit of the New York bank with its southern correspondent. The maturity of the loans is usually determined by the activity and rapidity with which the crop is moved, whereas the denominations of notes issued depend upon the financial standing of the merchant and the size of the bank that is financing him. Reports from merchants from 40 leading cotton centers show that the loans are usually made to mature from 15 days to 6 months, the average maturity being near 90 days. The denominations of notes issued are usually in round numbers and vary from $\$ 1,000$ to $\$ 100,000$, but one merchant says that notes are made for $\$ 250,000$. The average notes given to banks are between $\$ 10,000$ and $\$ 50,000$.

The usual period for merchants to begin borrowing is between August 15 and September 15. The earlier date is used by merchants in the southernmost areas of the belt, whereas the later date is used by merchants in the northern sections of the Atlantic States. In general, the seasonal bank borrowing follows the cotton picking and ginning season. Merchants often have considerable capital and do not rely upon the bank until after it has been used. These two factors cause discrepancies between the replies of merchants and banks in different areas, but in general it can be said that the borrowing to move the cotton crop begins about September 1 and continues until the peak is reached between December 1 and 15. After December 15 the supply of cotton entering the market decreases and, with the regular takings by the cotton mills, the loans are gradually liquidated throughout the spring and early
summer months. In normal years most merchants "clean up" their accounts with banks before August 1.

Sales by merchants.-Merchants make sales to cotton mills in the United States and to foreign buyers. The percentage of cotton handled by the different merchants that is sold to domestic consumers and for foreign conumption varies with the merchants. Some merchants in the eastern cotton States report that they deal largely with southern mills, while those from the middle and western areas estimate that their sales are mainly to northern mills and for export.

When a sale of cotton has been arranged and against which the bank holds the warehouse receipts, the merchant gives a trust receipt to the bank which, in turn, releases the warehouse receipts. The latter are presented to the warehouse and the cotton is delivered to the transportation company. An inland or ocean bill of lading, according to the place of destination, is obtained. "These ladings are then returned to the bank attached to a sight draft drawn upon the consignee, which is usually, discounted by the bank, and the credit closed." Practically all of the sales made to American mills are made on a cash basis, the buyers paying either by sight draft or 3 days' sight draft with bill of lading attached.

Several merchants report sales to mills on acceptances, but the percentage of sales thus made by those reporting is very small. One merchant, reporting 65 per cent of sales to northern mills, 25 per cent to southern mills, 10 per cent to foreign buyers, estimates that 70 per cent of total sales to American mills are for cash, while 30 per cent are on time. The time sales are carried on acceptance credits and against acceptance by the mill the bills of lading are surrendered.

Financing cotton mill purchases.-The methods used by cotton mills in purchasing raw cotton vary with the mills in different manufacturing regions of the country. Mills in the South are often located in the primary cotton markets or very near large supplies of the commodity. Those in other sections of the country are far distant from the source of supply, and the different methods of buying are determined in large measure by this.

Mills in the cotton-growing States.-In the South the manufacturers buy cotton from three sources: (1) Growers directly, (2) local dealers, and (3) cotton merchants. Mills which are located in the large primary markets buy con-
siderable quantities of their cotton from the growers in the local market. The importance of local buying is shown by the fact that mills reporting from several cities in South Carolina, Spartanburg, Anderson, and Pacolet, estimate that 80,60 , and 30 per cent, respectively, of their cotton is bought directly from growers who sell in those markets and through agents who are sent into the markets of the surrounding territory. Some mills in North Carolina, Georgia, Alabama, and Mississippi report that they buy large quantities of cotton directly from the producers within their territories. The remaining cotton is bought from local dealers in the smaller towns and from merchants in the concentration centers.

Growers are usually paid by checks on the local banks in the towns in which their cotton is sold. If cotton is carried by the producer to the mill, he is given a check on the mill's bank, whereas when the mill sends its representatives into the local markets they usually pay the growers by checks on the local banks in such markets.

The arrangement made with the banks is similar to that which is made by agents of cotton merchants. Dealers and merchants generally draw on the mills and attach bill of lading as soon as the cotton is shipped. The drafts are discounted by the local bank and forwarded to the mills for collection. Several mills state that when cotton is bought in large lots they often give the merchants drafts on New York or Boston banks. This, however, applies largely to some of the larger textile corporations that maintain selling offices in these cities.

In order to finance their cotton purchases, it is necessary for many mills to use borrowed funds. Some mills are able to finance all their cotton purchases, others rely largely on their banks, while others borrow in the open market through note brokers. Loans are usually made to the mills on their unsecured paper, based upon their financial statement or indorsement by members of the corporation. In but few instances is cotton pledged by the mills as security. Payments are usually made in cash for cotton, the funds being obtained in the ways mentioned. In view of the fact that acceptances are recognized as being commercial instruments of the highest type, the use that has been made of them in financing purchases of raw cotton by mills is very limited, for in only two reports were trade acceptances reported to have been used. This is in accord
with reports from cotton merchants who noted the use of trade acceptances in only a few instances.

Mills in other. States.-The northern mills buy their cotton mainly through cotton merchants and brokers. These have offices or agents in the principal cotton manufacturing centers of the North and East. They obtain cotton through buyers and merchants in concentration centers in the cotton-growing States. "otton is sold to the mills either on "spot" or "under contract calling for future delivery of the total quantity at one specified date or by monthly installments." As many mills operate plants in both the North and the South, the method followed in buying cotton for the southern plants is similar to that used for the northern mill. The cotton is contracted for through a northern broker and is delivered to the southern mill by the dealer in the South with whom the broker contracts. The seller on delivering the cotton to the southern mill draws on the northern office by sight draft.

Generally the heaviest buying season by mills is from September to January. Several of the large cotton mill corporations from New England state that the proportion of their annual consumption purchased during the cropmoving season averages about 50 per cent of the total received. The amount of cotton that is bought or contracted for by the spinners during this period is determined largely by the activity of the cotton market, the price at which raw cotton is selling, and the activity of the cotton goods' market. Yet the monthly consumption of cotton by all mills in the United States is very regular. The movement and consumption of raw cotton by months are shown in the following table:

Movement and Consumption of Cotton.

| Month. | Cotton movement. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cotton } \\ & \text { consump- } \\ & \text { tion. } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| August.. | Per cent. 1.4 | Per cent. 8.3 |
| September. | 9. 5 | 8.0 |
| October... | 21. 0 | 8.3 |
| November. | 22.2 | 7.9 |
| December. | 17.4 | 8.1 |
| January... | 8.8 | 8.7 |
| February. | 5.6 | 8.1 |
| March. | 4.9 | 8.9 |
| April. | - 3.2 | 8.3 |
| May. | 2.7 | 8.7 |
| June. | 1.7 | 8.5 |
| July. | 1.6 | 8.2 |
| Total. | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[^7]As the purchases of raw material by cotton mills are normally in accordance with the demands which mills have from the consumers of cotton goods, the problem of financing cotton purchases is not one of great importance to the mills. Merchants, on delivering the cotton, are paid generally by sight drafts on the mills. If, however, cotton is bought for future delivery, the "cotton mill-sale note," or mill acceptance, is often used to finance the merchant until the mill is ready to consume the cotton or, in case of a deferred shipment, until the cotton can be delivered to the mill. The sale note provides a means for the mill to receive indirect bank credit through the cotton merchant. The merchant delivers cotton to the mill, which is stored in a warehouse of the mill, and the former is given a seller's warehouse receipt by the treasurer of the mill. This document, which is evidence of an acceptance of cotton by the mill, is presented by the merchant to his bank to obtain credit with which payment is made to the original seller of the cotton to the New England merchant. As the sale note is used more to finance sales for deferred shipment, the bill of lading is usually delivered to the treasurer of the mill against which the combination bill of lading and warehouse receipt is issued. This, in turn, is presented to the bank in the manner described. The following is a copy of the receipt that is commonly used in financing cotton through the use of the mill sale note: ${ }^{4}$
bill of lading and warehouse receipt.
No. 5689.
Fall River, Mass., March 10, 1921.
Received of Jackson, Johnson \& Co., bill of lading for one hundred ( 100 ) bales cotton marked " KCB ," issued by B. \& M. R. R. No. 676, dated at Boston, March 2, 1921, consigned to Jaztax Cotton Mills, Ipsilanti, Vermont, which cotton is to be stored on arrival and same held for account of and subject only to the order of Jackson, Johnson \& Company.

Except that any actual payment of freight charges paid by Jaztax Cotton Mills shall be a lien on said cotton for the amount paid. This cotton is covered while in store under our open policy of insurance for account of whom it may concern, storage and labor free.

Jaztax Cotton Mills, By X. Y. Smith, Treasurer.

Although mills report that they seldom borrow primarily for the purpose of making payments against cotton purchased, they indicate that borrowing is done throughout the year in connection with the general operation of the industry. Credit is obtained principally

[^8]from banks and through the sale of commercial paper in the open market. Loans by banks are made principally on unsecured paper with or without the personal indorsement of the officers of the mills. The borrowings are often large in the latter months of the year, although they may be large in the early months when the mills are carrying large amounts of accounts receivable for their customers. Open-market borrowing is usually done in the same manner as borrowing from banks or an outside agency.

## THE BANK OF LATVIA. ${ }^{1}$

Up to November 1, 1922, the Republic of Latvia had no official central bank of issue, the functions of such a bank being performed by the State Savings and Credit Bank. On November 1, 1922, this institution was liquidated and all its functions were taken over by the Bank of Latvia, a brief summary of whose statutes is presented below. Latvia is one of the Baltic States which became separated from Russia after the 1917 revolution. It has an area of about 25,000 square miles and a population of about $1,800,000$.

General regulations.-The Bank of Latvia is a state enterprise and the State is responsible for all the operations of the bank. Its purposes are to regulate the circulation of money, to promote industry, trade, and agriculture, to facilitate cash payments at home and abroad, and to act as fiscal agent for the Government. All claims of the bank against any of its debtors have precedence over all other claims, state as well as private, with the exception only of first mortgage liens.

Capital.-The capital of the bank is to be $10,000,000$ lats ( 1 gold lat is equal to 1 gold franc). Twenty-five per cent of the net profits of the bank are to be added annually to the capital of the bank until the latter amounts to $25,000,000$ lats. Further, 10 per cent of the net profits are to be used for the creation of a reserve. Of the rest of the net profits, 3 per cent are to be paid to the administration, $1 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent to the council, and $5 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent to the staff of the bank. In nọ case, however, shall this bonus exceed the annual salaries of the respective recipients. The remainder goes to the Government

Operations of the bank.-The Bank of Latvia has the exclusive right to issue notes, which are

[^9]legal tender and redeemable in gold upon demand. The notes outstanding are to be covered as follows:
(1) Fifty per cent by gold or stable foreign currencies as long as the total amount of notes outstanding does not exceed $100,000,000$ lats, the remainder being covered by prime shortterm bills of exchange.
(2) When the amount of notes outstanding exceeds $100,000,000$ lats, but does not exceed $150,000,000$ lats, the amount in excess of $100,000,000$ lats is to be covered to the extent of 75 per cent by gold or stable foreign currencies, the rest by short-term bills of exchange.
(3) Issues in excess of $150,000,000$ lats must be fully covered by gold or foreign currencies.

The bank is authorized to engage in the following operations:
(1) To discount bills and short-term obligations:
(2) To open credits and grant short-term loans;
(3) To receive demand and time deposits;
(4) To buy and sell bills, drafts, and foreign exchange;
(5) To issue letters of credit; and
(6) To carry out all kinds of banking transactions for the Government.

Bills to be eligible for discount must have at least two reliable signatures, arise out of a commercial transaction, and have a maturity not longer than three months. Bills of a longer maturity may be discounted only with special consent of the directors of the bank.

Short-term loans or advances may be made against sound collateral, including among others the following types: Certificates of mortgages on real estate, agricultural and industrial implements, and staple, nonperishable commodities. Loans against private or Government bonds may be made only with the consent of the board of directors. In general the bank may engage in all kinds of commercial banking transactions and may carry out the functions of an investment bank as well as those of a trust company. With the consent of the board of directors the bank may establish branches in Latvia and abroad. A branch office, however, may be closed only with the consent of the Minister of Finance.

The administration of the bank.-The bank is managed by a board of managers (administration) and a board of directors (council). The administration consists of the general manager, his deputy, and three managers, who are not permitted to hold any other salaried positions. The council consists of the chairman, a
vice chairman, and not less than five directors. The number of the members of the board is determined by the cabinet of ministers. The general manager and one representative of the Ministry of Finance are ex officio members of the board. The members of the administration and of the council are appointed by the cabinet of ministers upon the recommendation of the Minister of Finance. The council and officers of the bank are appointed by the Minister of Finance upon recommendation by the council. The rest of the employees are appointed by the general manager. The actual administration of the bank is directed by the board of managers, and the general manager bears the responsibility for compliance with the laws and the charter. The members of the council are appointed for three years, but are eligible for reappointment. The decisions of the council may be vetoed only by the Minister of Finance. Disagreements between the council and the Minister of Finance are to be passed upon by the cabinet.

## CONDITION OF STATE BANKS AND TRUST COMPANIES ON JUNE 30 AND DECEMBER 29, 1922.

In the following tables are shown comparative figures of the principal assets and liabilities of the State banks and trust companies of 46 States and of the District of Columbia for the middle and the close of last year. December figures were available for all States except New Hampshire and Missouri, and the totals are exclusive of figures for these two States. Wherever possible data for mutual savings banks were excluded from the
computation. This was done in the case of all New England States, and the States of New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Indiana, Wisconsin, and Minnesota, which together had about 95 per cent of the deposits held on June 30 of last year by all mutual savings banks reporting to the Comptroller of the Currency. There were also excluded from the comparative statement data relating to private banks not under State supervision in the States of Indiana, Michigan, Iowa, Texas, and Colorado. The total number of banks for which December figures were compiled was 19,400 as against a corresponding June total of 19,378 . It is therefore believed that the figures for the two dates cover practically the same ground and reflect more or less accurately the main changes in the condition of the banks of this country subject to State supervision. The June figures in the following statements were taken from data published in the annual report of the Comptroller for 1922, while December data are based upon abstracts of reports of condition furnished by the several State banking departments. These reports include data for both member and nonmember banks, and therefore, to a certain extent, overlap the December totals for member banks published in the March Bulletin.

To give some idea of the comparative development during the second half of the past year, of the State banks and trust companies which are not members of the Federal reserve system and those that are members of the system, the totals of some of the most important items for State bank and trust company members, viz, loans and discounts, investments and deposits, have been deducted from the corresponding totals for all State banks and trust companies, with the following results:
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | Total member and nonmember banks. |  | All member banks. |  | State banks and trust companies. ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } 30, \\ & 1922 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. 29, } \\ & 1922 . \end{aligned}$ | June 30,1922. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. 29, } \\ & 1922 . \end{aligned}$ | Total. |  | Members. |  | Nonmembers. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 30, } \\ & \text { 1922. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } 29, \\ & 1922 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } 30 \text {, } \\ & 1922 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. 29, } \\ & 1922 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 30, } \\ & 1922 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. 29, } \\ & 1922 . \end{aligned}$ |
| Number of banks.. | 27,665 | 27,666 | 9,892 | 9,859 | 19,378 | 19,400 | 1,605 | 1,593 | 17,773 | 17,807 |
| Loans and discounts. | $24,184,455$ $9,537,544$ | $24,672,156$ $10,624,626$ | $17,282,290$ $7,062,071$ | $18,061,459$ $7,687,716$ | $12,735,448$ $4,893,018$ | $12,869,314$ $5,517,374$ | 5,833,283 | $c258617258046$ | 6,902, 165 $2,475,473$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,610,697 \\ & 2,936,910 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total loans and in- <br> Due to banks vestments........ | 33, 721, 999 | $35,296,782$ $3,577,888$ | $24,344,361$ $3,150,385$ | $25,749,175$ $3,492,383$ | $17,628,466$ 661,822 | 18, 386,688 | 8,250,828 | 8,839,081 | 9,377,638 | 9,547,607 |
| Other deposits (exclusive |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| L. of U. S. deposits)....... | 31,515,511 | 32,916,995 | 22,210, 184 | 23,317,622 | 17,534,566 | 18,274, 881 | 8,229,239 | 8,675,508 | 9,305,327 | 9,599,373 |

${ }^{1}$ Exclusive of data for State banks and trust companies in New Hampshire and Missouri.

Before an analysis of the member and nonmember bank figures is started, it is but proper to state that the grouping and classification of items in the abstracts of the several State banking departments is far from uniform and that in several cases, as shown in the footnotes, the item used as heading is inclusive of other items given separately in most of the other State abstracts. "Cash on hand," for instance, in the case of four States includes amounts "due from banks," and "bills payable" in a number of cases are shown together with "notes and bills rediscounted." Figures for New York State banks and trust companies shown under the head of "individual deposits" are inclusive of "certified and cashiers' checks," also of "Government deposits." Furthermore, the Federal Reserve Board's classification of items for State and trust company members differs in some respects from those followed by the principal State banking departments. Some allowance for these differences should therefore be made, when comparisons are attempted between the figures of member and nonmember banks, or between the totals by States and geographic sections.

It is seen that whereas loans and discounts of all member banks show an increase of about 4.5 per cent, from $\$ 17,282,000,000$ to $\$ 18,061,000,000$, those of nonmember banks declined during the same period about 4.2 per cent, from $\$ 6,902,000,000$ to $\$ 6,611,000,000$. A somewhat different development is also shown for investments in Government, corporate, and other securities. While both classes of banks report larger figures at the close of the year than six months before, the member banks show an increase of 8.9 per cent, from $\$ 7,062,000,000$ to $\$ 7,688,000,000$, while the nonmember banks show an increase in their investments of 18.7 per cent, from $\$ 2,475,000,000$ to $\$ 2,937,000,000$. Total loans and investments of all member banks show an increase for the six months of 5.8 per cent, while those of the nonmember banks show an increase of 1.8 per cent.

In the deposit block it was possible to segregate in most cases amounts "due to banks" from other deposits, including individual and Government deposits. It is of interest to note that bank deposits are to a very large extent held by the member banks, which, as a rule, are larger in size, and of which a relatively larger portion is located in the financial
centers, and that the amounts due to other banks by the 18,000 State banks and trust companies outside the system included in the tabulation represent but relatively small amounts, though the December total for the nonmember banks is considerably larger than the total shown for the earlier date. Other deposits of the summary table are made up of aggregate demand, time, and savings deposits, certified checks, and cashiers' checks, but exclusive in most cases of United States Government deposits. Between the middle and end of the year member banks increased these deposits by $\$ 1,108,000,000$, or about 5 per cent, while the nonmember banks report an increase of only $\$ 294,000,000$, or about 3 per cent.

Although less than 10 per cent of all State banks and trust companies are members of the Federal reserve system, these members report between 47 and 49 per cent of the total loans, investments, and individual deposits of these institutions. Total credit expansion of the State bank and trust company members during the six months, as measured by comparative figures of loans and security investments, was about $\$ 588,000,000$, or 7 per cent, compared with about $\$ 170,000,000$, or less than 2 per cent for the nonmember institutions.

A comparison of the totals of loans and discounts of all State banks and trust companies shows some variety of change between the two dates for the several geographic sections of the country. Loan liquidation appears to have been largest in the East, particularly in the States of New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, and Maryland. A small decline in the total of the loan account is also shown for the Western States. The banks increased their security investments between June and December in all sections of the country, nearly two-thirds of the increase being shown for the banks in the Eastern States. Larger deposit figures for December are shown for all sections except the Eastern States. The decrease in deposits was especially large in New York State, though it should be noted that figures for the New York State banks and trust companies refer to November 15 instead of the end of the year. As explained above, in many cases it was impossible to compile fully comparable totals for the several items in the summary statement and for this reason some caution in comparing the more detailed figures should be used.

PRINCIPAL RESOURCES AND LIABILITIES OF STATE BANKS AND TRUST COMPANIES IN EACH STATE AS OF JUNE 30 AND DECEMBER 29, 1922.
[From abstracts of condition reports made to the Comptroller of the Currency and the several State banking departments.1]
[In thousands of dollars]

|  | Number of banks reporting. |  | Loans and discounts. |  | Overdrafts. |  | United States Government securities. |  | All other securities. |  | Total investments. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June. | December. | June. | December. | June. | December. | June. | December. | June. | December. | June. | December. |
| Maine. | 55 | 56 | 65,887 | 65,364 | 57 | 59 | 6,773 | 10,821 | 41,480 | 39,641 | 48,253 | 50,462 |
| Vermont | 39 | 39 | 47,539 | 47,022 | 44 | 42 | 4,895 | 5,445 | 8,460 | 8,350 | 13,355 | 13,795 |
| Massachusetts | 105 | 101 | 512,914 | 551,198 | 198 | 205 | 49,913 |  | 101,438 | 154,328 | 151,351 | 154,328 |
| Rhode Island. | 15 | 15 | 98,287 | 101, 719 | 9 | 17 | 30,204 | 34,412 | 62,315 | 60,426 | 92,519 | 94,838 |
| Connectic | 78 | 79 | 83,877 | 88,577 | 87 | 61 | 8,094 | 8,724 | 80,479 | 86,557 | 88,573 | 95,281 |
| Total New England. | 292 | 290 | 808,504 | 853,880 | 395 | 384 | 99,879 | 59,402 | 294,172 | 349,302 | 394,051 | 408,704 |
| New York | 336 | 340 | 2,404,491 | 2,332,831 | 711 | 682 |  |  | 1,198,039 | 1,299,902 | 1,198,039 | 1,299,902 |
| New Jersey | 162 | 171 | 364,087 | 312,543 | 44 | 62 | 66,564 | 76,943 | 214, 193 | 284, 471 | 280,757 | 361, 414 |
| Pennsylvan | 636 | 649 | 885,963 | 829,406 | 398 | 521 | 126,982 |  | 560,079 | 875,165 | 687,061 | 875,165 |
| Delaware. | 39 | 41 | 35,300 | 38,531 | 1,350 | 19 | 5,921 | 6,196 | 27,184 | 28,034 | 33,105 | 34, 230 |
| Maryland | 143 | 145 | 161,330 | 136,762 | 88 | 116 | 18,231 |  | 66,539 | 116,129 | 84,770 | 116,129 |
| District of Columbia | 35 | 36 | 64,285 | 68,179 | 41 | 47 | 5,674 | 6,418 | 21,096 | 20,624 | 26,770 | 27,042 |
| Total Eastern | 1,351 | 1,382 | 3,915,456 | 3,718,252 | 2,632 | 1,447 | 223,372 | 89,557 | 2,087,130 | 2,624,325 | 2,310,502 | 2,713,882 |
| Virginia. | 330 | 334 | 140,680 | 158,832 | 153 | 182 |  |  | 15,899 | 17,114 | 15,899 | 17,114 |
| West Virginia. | 225 | 224 | 146,567 | 156,591 | 393 | 395 | 7,256 | 7,290 | 20,251 | 21,040 | 27,507 | 28,330 |
| North Carolina | 496 | 544 | 184,403 | 190, 017 | 403 | 410 | 5,478 | 7,449 | 7,283 | 8,265 | 12,761 | 15,714 |
| South Carolina | 372 | 359 | 114,716 | 107,962 | 881 | 1,015 | 3,812 | 4,345 | 5,045 | 5,336 | 8,857 | 9,681 |
| Georgia. | 589 | 599 | 186,104 | 192,048 | 186 | 461 | 4,085 | 4,177 | 9,927 | 11,130 | 14,012 | 15,307 |
| Florida. | 218 | 222 | 66,677 | 76,286 | 79 | 61 |  |  | 15,342 | 16,701 | 15,342 | 16,701 |
| Alabama | 249 | 253 | 79,044 | 83,477 | 106 | 132 | 2,031 |  | 5,039 | 8,600 | 7,070 | 8,600 |
| Mississippi | 319 | 322 | 91,040 | 94,568 | 1,131 | 7,014 | 4,799 | 4,837 | 12,992 | 15,678 | 17,791 | 20,515 |
| Louisiana | 230 | 230 | 198,820 | 218,431 | . 801 | 1,289 | 7,881 | 11,438 | 21,360 | 26,892 | 29,241 | 38,330 |
| Texas.. | 983 | 970 | 216,580 | 202,668 | 1,081 | 1,283 | 9,983 |  | 5,930 | 17,890 | 15,913 | 17,880 |
| Arkansas. | 402 | 402 | 101,032 | 106,693 | 285 | 509 | 3,558 | 4,555 | 4,589 | 4,488 | 8,147 | 9,043 |
| Kentucky | 465 | 465 | 153,341 | 158,328 | 690 | 632 |  |  | 32,803 | 37,319 | 32, 803 | 37,319 |
| Tenness | 470 | 467 | 153,644 | 166,887 | 603 | 642 |  |  | 15,469 | 17,087 | 15,469 | 17,087 |
| Total Southern | 5,348 | 5,391 | 1,832,648 | 1,912,788 | 6,792 | 14,025 | 48,883 | 44,091 | 171,929 | 207,540 | 220,812 | 251,631 |
| Ohio. | 750 | 752 | 936,191 | 970,678 | 475 | 650 | 60,272 | 80,650 | 224, 432 | 230,147 | 284,704 | 310,797 |
| Indiana | 681 | 696 | 293,368 | 306, 830 | 575 | 577 | 21,954 | 23,777 | 47,792 | 50, 123 | 69,746 | 73,900 |
| rliinois.. | 1,406 | 1,412 | 1,178,997 | 1,236, 110 | 1,331 | 1,332 | 149,918 | 165,302 | 274,011 | 280,622 | 423,929 | 445,924 |
| Michigan | 576 | 581 | 370, 889 | 347,224 | 372 | 2,038 | 18,413 | 26, 164 | 439,699 | 516,013 | 458,112 | 542,177 |
| Wisconsin | 841 | 841 | 325,296 | 326,747 | 534 | 859 | 23,219 |  |  | 57,950 | 80,658 | 85,071 |
| Minnesot | 1,165 | 1,159 | 307,110 | 323,048 | 1,053 | 1,248 | 14,934 | 12,206 | 51,244 | 34,450 | 66,178 | 46,656 |
| Iowa. | 1,332 | 1,329 | 579,942 | 594,023 | 892 | 1,054 | 24,731 | 23,482 | 567 | 577 | 25, 298 | 24,059 |
| Total Middle Western............. | 6,751 | 6,770 | 3,991,793 | 4,104,660 | 5,232 | 7,758 | 313,441 | 358,702 | 1,095,184 | 1,169,882 | 11,408,625 | 1,528,584 |
| North Dakota | 664 | 665 | 105,083 | 102,567 | 247 | 239 | 929 |  | 4,298 | 6,236 | 5,227 | 6,236 |
| South Dakota | 562 | 561 | 142, 316 | 145,250 | 998 | 721 |  |  | 2,687 | 2,908 | 2,687 | 2,908 |
| Nebraska. | 970 | 955 | 209,352 | 213,641 | 1,070 | 1,130 |  |  | 10,145 | 10,947 | 10,145 | 10,947 |
| Kansas. | 1,097 | 1,084 | 222, 224 | 222,424 | 693 | 852 | 7,570 |  | 12,580 | 22,216 | 20,150 | 22,216 |
| Montana | 268 | 265 | 72,382 | 67,521 | 294 | 296 | 1,531 |  | 8,002 | 12,448 | 9,533 | 12,448 |
| Wyoming | 99 | 97 | 19,566 | 19,495 | 112 | 100 |  |  | 1,139 | 1,287 | 1,139 | 1,287 |
| Colorado. | 235 | 229 | 60, 829 | 62,703 | 154 | 138 | 10,004 | 12,138 | 14,159 | 14,334 | 24,163 | 26,472 |
| New Mexico | 63 | 64 | 16,714 | 16,532 | 33 | 40 | 597 | 504 | 949 | 1,036 | 1,546 | 1,540 |
| Oklahoma | 486 | 464 | 68,947 | 57,477 | 330 | 368 |  |  | 9,779 | 10,476 | 9,779 | 10,476 |
| Total Western. | 4,444 | 4,384 | 917,413 | 907,610 | 3,931 | 3,884 | 20,631 | 12,642 | 63,738 | 81,888 | 84,369 | 94,530 |
| Washington | 284 | 277 | 90,027 | 88,340 | 113 | 57 | 12,595 |  | 18,992 | 35,249 | 31,587 | 35,249 |
| Oregon. | 181 | 182 | 67,002 | 68,458 | 121 | 127 | 6,663 | $8,161$ | 15,174 | 15,594 | 21,837 | 23,755 |
| Californ | 429 | 432 | 979,012 | 1,079,970 | 795 | 1,296 |  | 166,947 | 394,799 | 266,655 | 394,799 | 433,602 |
| Idaho. | 119 | 114 | 27,024. | 23,734 | 47 | 42 | 1,735 |  | 2,392 | 4,753 | 4,127 | 4,753 |
| Utah. | 97 | 97 | 59,810 | 63,927 | 213 | 268 | 3,646 |  | 8,476 | 12,827 | 12,122 | 12,827 |
| Nevada. | 24 | 24 | 14,300 | 14,642 | 134 | 140 | 457 |  | 1,532 | 2,003 | 1,989 | 2,003 |
| Arizo | 58 | 57 | 32,459 | 33,053 | 32 | 70 |  |  | 8,198 | 7,854 | 8,198 | 7,854 |
| Total Pacific | 1,192 | 1,183 | 1,269,634 | 1,372,124 | 1,455 | 2,000 | 25,096 | 175,108 | 449,563 | 344,935 | 474,659 | 520,043 |
| Total United States.. | 19,378 | 19,400 | 12,735,448 | 12,869,314 | 20,437 | 29,499 | 731,302 | 739,502 | 4,161,716 | 4,777,872 | 4,893,018 | 5,517,374 |

[^10]PRINCIPAL RESOURCES AND LIABILITIES OF STATE BANKS AND TRUST COMPANIES IN EACH STATE AS OF JUNE 30 AND DECEMBER 29, 1922-Continued.

? Includes other real estate owned.
: Includes cash items.
Includes lawiul reserve.

- Includes items due from banks.

PRINCIPAL RESOURCES AND LIABILITIES OF STATE BANKS AND TRUST COMPANIES IN EACH STATE AS OF JUNE 30 AND DECEMBER 29, 1922-Continued.

|  | Other resources. |  | Aggregate resources and liabilities. |  | Capital stock paid in. |  | Surplus. |  | Undivlded profits. |  | Due to all banks. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June. | December. | June. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Decem- } \\ & \text { ber. } \end{aligned}$ | June. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Decem- } \\ & \text { ber. } \end{aligned}$ | June. | Decem. ber. | June. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Decem- } \\ & \text { ber. } \end{aligned}$ | June. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Decem- } \\ & \text { ber. } \end{aligned}$ |
| Maine. | 17,672 | 7,339 | 143,698 | 129, 376 | 5,165 | 5,215 | 3, 858 | 4, 058 | 4,244 | 3,740 | 1,127 | 1,249 |
| Vermon | 1,008 | 1,211 | 66,614 | 67,648 | 2,516 | 2,591 | 2,371 | 2,405 | 1,926 | 1,731 |  | 94 |
| Massachusetts | 11,713 | 13,083 | 801, 858 | 844,449 | 41,668 | 40,550 | 39,476 | 39,753 | 13,988 | 10,961 | 25,248 | 25, 292 |
| Rhode Island | 1,341 | 2,405 | 219,827 | 226,052 | 9,610 | 9,611 | 13,196 | 12,053 | 5,774 | 3, 629 | 2, 451 | 1,419 |
| Connecticut | 483 | 521 | 198, 120 | 211,857 | 13,635 | 14,210 | 9,240 | 9,583 | 5,524 | 5,791 | 2,305 | 1,915 |
| Total New England | 32, 217 | 24, 559 | 1, 430, 117 | 1,479,382 | 72, 594 | 72, 177 | 68, 141 | 67,852 | 31,456 | 25,852 | 31,213 | 29, 969 |
| New York | 219,015 | 228, 780 | 4,950,014 | 4, 879, 276 | 218,058 | 223, 303 | ${ }^{6} 300,479$ | ${ }^{6} 322,376$ |  |  | 257, 169 | 329, 813 |
| New Jerse | 6,839 | 6,670 | 746, 965 | 789, 573 | 36,900 | 40, 575 | 29,477 | 29, 243 | 14, 815 | 17,668 | 8,652 | 10,007 |
| Pennsylvan | 21,688 | 20,459 | 1,893,921 | 2,057,952 | 147,049 | 149, 218 | 203,124 | 215, 828 | 49,273 | 47,469 | 30, 121 | 34,940 |
| Delaware | 2, 321 | 1,059 | 81,040 311,822 | $\begin{array}{r}84,942 \\ 312,381 \\ \hline 10,\end{array}$ | 5, ${ }^{5} \mathbf{4 4 4}$ | $\begin{array}{r}6,605 \\ 19,940 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 5,469 20,117 | 6, ${ }_{\text {6, }}$ | 2,365 | $\xrightarrow{2,189}$ | 5 5 575 574 | , 1,115 |
| Maryland..... | 2,132 579 | $\begin{array}{r}3,693 \\ \hline 539\end{array}$ | 311,822 115,034 | 312,381 119,776 | 19,564 13,937 | 19,940 14,210 | 20,117 6,567 | 21,157 6,682 | 6,876 3,480 | 7,097 <br> 4,311 | 5,574 830 | 5,958 840 |
| Totai Eastern | 250, 574 | 261, 200 | 8, 108,796 | 8,243, 900 | 440,952 | 453,851 | 565, 233 | 601,443 | 76,809 | 78,734 | 303, 321 | 382,679 |
| Virginia. | 1,776 | 4,393 | 185, 227 | 214, 376 | 23,920 | 25,935 | 12,957 | 14, 110 | 5,506 | 5,094 | 2,336 | 9,347 |
| West Virginia | 745 | 739 | 211,938 | 229,975 | 17,840 | 18, 184 | 11,655 | 11,974 | 3,936 | 5, 227 | 4, 153 | 5,181 |
| North Carolin | 1,975 | 1,937 | 243, 566 | 264, 623 | 23,572 | 22,989 | 10, 304 | 10,319 | 4,741 | 4,861 | 9,645 | 13, 430 |
| South Carol | 1,953 | 2,287 | 149,049 | 147,060 | 17,300 | 16,534 | 7,674 | 7,414 | 3,315 | 3, 103 | 1,375 | 1,772 |
| Georgia. | 3,489 | 9,714 | 252, 842 | 280,522 | 32, 987 | 31,962 | 17,083 | 16,715 | 7,627 | 7,302 | 12,720 | 19,834 |
| Florida. | 713 | 653 | 111, 894 | 127,382 | 10,250 | 11, 183 | 3,321 | ${ }^{6} 4,660$ | 1,474 |  | 2,590 | 3,998 |
| Alabama. | 325 | 694 | 111,729 | 131,008 | 11,489 | 12,245 | 6,234 | ${ }^{68,675}$ | 2,818 |  | 2,975 |  |
| Mississippi | 3,497 | ${ }^{6} 3,107$ | 146,927 | 166,801 | 12,465 | 12,517 | 5,994 | 6,026 | 2,353 | 2,760 | 3,954 | 6,424 |
| Louisiana | 4, 228 | 11,763 | 312,979 | 364, 863 | 22,987 | 23,228 | 12,209 | 12,191 | 5,320 | 3,583 | 26,713 | 35, 120 |
| Texas.. | 9,922 | ${ }^{6} 20,397$ | 311, 134 | 338,694 | 45,075 | 44,349 | 13,877 | 620,194 | 5,346 |  | 9,969 |  |
| Arkansas. | 1,246 | 1,286 | 145,698 | 170, 270 | 16,277 | 16,306 | 6,228 12 12 | 6,229 | 2, 760 | 3,830 | 6,700 | 14,517 |
| Kentucky | 2,033 10,459 | 2, 11,598 | 226,695 <br> 228,101 | 244,432 259,674 | 21,588 $\mathbf{2 2 , 7 7 9}$ | 21,870 22,123 | $\begin{array}{r}12,767 \\ \hline 13,008\end{array}$ | - 12,872 | 2,794 | 3,316 | 3,026 | 2, 898 |
| Total Southern | 42,359 | 71, 107 | 2,637,779 | 2,039,680 | 278, 529 | 279,425 | 133,311 | 145, 421 | 47,996 | 39,076 | 86,156 | 112,521 |
| Ohio.. | 16,387 | 15, 515 | 1,481,705 | 1,567, 184 | 97, 213 | 98,982 | 65,313 | 66,004 | 20,837 | 22, 219 | 42,307 | 49,500 |
| Indiana | 49,297 | 60, 249 | 493,766 | 519,754 | 42, 241 | 43,082 | 15,796 | 16,390 | 8,151 | 5,777 | 81,937 | 10, 205 |
| Illinois. | 43,608 | 31,583 | 2,043,227 | 2, 146,343 | 142, 412 | 144, 057 | 32,999 | 83,905 | 56, 156 | 44,782 | 81,903 | 82,698 |
| Michiga | 23, 678 | 20,951 | 1,003,007 | 1,070,437 | 62, 848 | 64,325 | 44, 842 | 45,958 | 13,081 | 15,305 | 12,589 | 15,800 |
| Wisconsi | 5 431 | 1,158 | 481, 835 | 497, 018 | 34,728 | 35, 309 | 13,051 | 13,682 | 8,999 | 9, 898 | 8,764 | 9,387 |
| Minneso | 5,474 | ${ }^{\text {7 }} 11,837$ | 452, 283 | 450, 134 | 35,605 | 35, 184 | 13,787 | 13,444 | 3,787 | 4,754 | 5,985 | 6,199 |
| Iowa. | 2,465 | 1,696 | 713,359 | 728, 712 | 55,915 | 55, 550 | 25,751 | 25, 668 | 13,633 | 12, 454 |  |  |
| Total Middle Western. | 141, 340 | 142,989 | 6,669,182 | 6,989,582 | 470,962 | 476, 499 | 261, 539 | 265,051 | 124,644 | 115, 189 | 160, 495 | 173,789 |
| North Dakota | 1,138 |  | 128,903 | 132,277 | 11,307 | 11,300 | - 4,091 | 4,027 |  | 100 | 290 | 368 |
| South Dak | 5, 812 |  | 190, 135 | 196,018 | 12,980 |  |  |  |  |  | 7,777 | 7,270 |
| Nebraska | 6, 550 | 7, 495 | 288, 521 | 292, 744 | 25, 255 | 24,755 | 7,600 | 7,449 | 8,026 | 8, 535 | 7,638 | 6,961 |
| Kansas. | 2,424 | 72,716 | 307,185 | 310, 882 | 28,541 | 28,051 | 15, 228 | 15,015 | 5,349 | 5,775 | 9,196 |  |
| Montana. | ${ }^{996}$ | 489 | 104,949 | 107,093 | 11,595 | 11, 300 | 3,499 | 3,221 | 1,236 | 1, 446 | 2,331 | 4,241 |
| Wyoming | 550 | 895 | 26,743 | 29,343 | 2,953 | 2,949 | 1,168 | 1,102 | 903 | 1,339 | 431 | 664 |
| Colorado | 449 | 764 | 107, 876 | 114, 229 | 9,532 | 9,342 | 4,273 | 4, 208 | 1,536 |  | 1,329 | 2,416 |
| New Mexico | 186 | $\stackrel{239}{91}$ | 22,652 | 22,720 | 2, 850 | 2,765 | 805 | 845 | 146 | 301 | 208 | 191 |
| Oklaho | 65 | 91 | 101,360 | 94,946 | 9, 810 | 9,187 | 2,089 | 1,966 | 613 | 1,086 | 2,384 | 2,220 |
| Total Western | 18,170 | 22, 256 | 1,278,329 | 1,300, 252 | 114, 813 | 112,603 | 43,095 | 42, 116 | 22,473 | 29, 991 | 31,584 | 24,331 |
| Washington | 2,838 | 1,980 | 156,915 | 158,254 | 13, 447 | 13, 203 | 4, 827 | 4,702 | 1,768 | 1,663 | 3,980 | 4,374 |
| Oregon | 1,730 | 3,502 | 116,056 | 124,063 | 9,529 | 9,934 | 3,542 | 3,478 | 2,421 | 2,600 | 4,003 | 4,207 |
| Californi | 23, 542 | 25,913 | 1,657,940 | 1, 838, 210 | 100,065 | 107,065 | 43,097 | 46, 726 | 18, 003 | 31,684 | 35, 835 | 38, 122 |
| Idaho. | 318 | 481 | 40,906 | 38, 507 | 4,185 | 4,060 | 1, 380 | 1,216 | 393 | 396 | 746 | 642 |
| Utah. | 816 | 509 538 | 89,720 | 97,645 | 7,868 | 7,992 | 3,578 | 3,694 | 1,265 | 1,148 | 2,815 | 3,685 |
| Nevad | 122 | 538 | 21,642 | 22,999 | 1,761 | 1,761 | 550 | 550 | 281 | '766 | , 132 | 148 |
| Ari |  |  | 54,932 | 54, 171 | 4,560 | 4,548 | 1,940 | 2,098 | 907 | 84 | 1,542 |  |
| Total Pacific | 29,366 | 32,923 | 2,138, 111 | 2,333,849 | 141,415 | 148, 623 | 58,914 | 62, 464 | 25,041 | 39, 101 | 49,053 | 51,178 |
| Total United States. | 514,026 | 555,034 | 22, 262, 314 | 23, 286,645 | 1,519,265 | 1,543,178 | 1,130,233 | 1, 184, 347 | 328,419 | 327, 943 | 661,822 | 774, 467 |

[^11]PRINCIPAL RESOURCES AND LIABILITIES OF STATE BANKS AND TRUST COMPANIES IN EACH STATE AS OF JUNE 30 AND DECEMBER 29, 1922-Continued.

|  | Certified and cashiers' checks. |  | Individual deposits (including dividends unpaid and postal savings). |  | United States deposits (exclusive of postal savings) |  | Notes and bills rediscounted. |  | Bills payable. |  | Other liabilities. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June. | December. | June. | December. | June. | December. | June. | December. | June. | December. | June. | December. |
| Maine. | 305 | 396 | 106,811 | 109,383 |  |  | 1,022 | 1,048 | 3,688 | 3,810 | 17,478 | 477 |
| Vermont | 146 | 217 | 57, 809 | 56, 919 |  |  | 10 | +149 | 1,255 | ${ }_{7} 928$ | 499 | 614 |
| Massachusetts | 8,942 |  | 641, 199 | 669,798 <br> 190 <br> 170 | 3,602 506 |  | $\begin{array}{r}9,471 \\ 584 \\ \hline 1\end{array}$ | 26,337 | 4,113 7 | 7,369 1,665 | 14, 151 | 24,389 5,473 |
| Rhode Island | 1,033 2,149 | $\begin{array}{r}672 \\ 1,597 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 185,853 161,170 | 190,470 174,042 |  | 1,060 | 584 <br> 463 | 401 | 2,335 | 1, 2,720 | 1,745 1,299 | 5,473 1,598 |
| Total New England | 12,575 | 2,882 | 1,152,842 | 1,202,612 | 4,108 | 1,060 | 11,550 | 27,935 | 11,466 | 16,492 | 34,172 | 32,551 |
| New York. |  |  | $83,976,435$ | 83,727,174 |  |  | 8, 534 | 12, 151 | 12,366 | 28,020 | 186, 973 | 236,439 |
| New Jersey | 4,600 | 4,549 | 638,054 | 661,981 | 1,092 |  | 870 | 956 | 6,721 | 15,970 | 5,784 | 8,624 |
| Pennsylvan | 11,025 | 10,302 | 1,377,088 | 1,528, 099 | 8,173 | 131 | 4,094 | 547 | 28,837 | 32,395 | 35, 137 | 39,148 |
| Delaware. |  |  | 64,164 |  | 405 | 431 |  |  |  |  | 1,193 | 1,467 |
| Maryland ${ }_{\text {District of Colun }}$ | 551 | 440 | $\begin{array}{r}255,530 \\ 87 \\ \hline 846\end{array}$ | 250,501 90,143 | 202 | 431 | 620 55 | 872 46 | 1,829 701 | 3,046 1,457 | 1,712 865 | 3,810 1,216 |
| Total Eastern | 16,536 | 15,291 | 6,399,117 | 6,324,603 | 9, 872 | 862 | 14, 173 | 14,572 | 51, 119 | 81,161 | 231,664 | 290,704 |
| Virginia | 1,132 | 1,052 | 129, 156 | 142, 157 |  |  | 2,607 | 4,277 | 5,272 | 6,460 | 2, 341 | 5,944 |
| West Virginia. | 1, 142 | 2,769 | 162,637 | 175, 377 |  |  | 2,699 | 1,830 | 5,295 | 6, 194 | 2,581 | 3,239 |
| North Carolina | 2,705 | 1,588 | 170, 894 | 195, 904 |  |  | 1,982 | 2,828 | 14,834 | 8,552 | 4,889 | 4,152 |
| South Carolina. | 421 | 779 | 99,459 | 104, 326 |  |  | 2,255 | 1,716 | 11,053 | 6, 630 | 6,197 | 4,786 |
| Georgia. | 828 | 1,615 | 154, 150 | 171, 782 |  |  | 6,788 | 6,600 | 15, 182 | 15, 509 | 5,477 | 9,203 |
| Florida. | 1,050 | 1,566 | 90, 278 | 101, 599 |  |  | 466 |  | 1,276 | ${ }^{9} 3,365$ | 1,189 | 1,011 |
| Alabama | 393 |  | 81, 074 | 107, 656 |  |  | 1,793 |  | 4,456 | 1,826 | 497 | 606 |
| Mississippi | 313 | 646 | 112, 202 | 133, 435 |  |  | 1,816 | 580 | 5, 195 | 1, 868 | 2,635 | 2,545 |
| Louisiana | 1, 604 | 2,864 | 231, 076 | 266, 674 |  |  | 627 | 1,100 | 6,813 | 3, 331 | 5,630 | 16,572 |
| Texas. | 2, 456 |  | 206,282 | 262, 478 |  |  |  |  | 22,018 9 | 9 $\mathbf{6}, 507$ 3,461 | 6, 1111 | 5,166 |
| Arkansas. | 725 1,243 | 1,230 830 | $\begin{array}{r}98,852 \\ 171,007 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 121, 827 |  |  | 3, 348 | 1,218 | 9,040 4,245 | 3,461 5,910 | 1,766 9,240 | 1.652 |
| Kentucky |  |  | 171,007 170,126 | 185,772 194,125 |  |  | 785 | 1,186 | 4,245 9 9,925 | 5,910 916,065 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 9, } \\ 12,240 \\ \hline 12\end{array}$ | 9,778 13,319 |
| Total Southern | 14, 012 | 14,939 | 1, 877, 193 | 2,163,112 |  |  | 25, 162 | 21,335 | 114,604 | 85, 878 | 60,816 | 77,973 |
| Ohio. | 9, 746 | 13,020 | 1,207,968 | 1,270,215 | 2,618 | 5,370 | 4,918 | 6,221 | 11,771 | 14, 794 | 19,014 | 20, 859 |
| Indiana | 2,232 | 2,020 | 1,357,951 | 377, 886 |  |  | 4,690 | 4, 693 | 6,938 | 7, 598 | 46, 830 | 62, 103 |
| Illinois. | 21,034 | 34,745 | 1, 581,884 | 1,665, 110 |  |  | 8,060 5,193 | 5,576 5,470 | 22,391 10,903 | 22,265 17 172 | 46,388 38,296 | 63,195 25,817 |
| Michigan | 4,993 2,635 | 5,998 3,208 | 808,406 392,574 | 864,169 406,080 | 1,856 | 10, 223 | 5,193 3,999 | 5,470 3,693 | 10,903 12,900 | 17,372 10,063 | 38,296 3,895 | 25,817 5,374 |
| Minnesot | 4,415 | 6, 201 | 366, 061 | 360,997 |  |  | 1021,121 |  |  | 9 19,294 | 1,512 | 4,061 |
| Iowa. |  |  | 556, 126 | 576,922 |  |  |  |  | 9 51,729 | ${ }^{9} 49,385$ | 10,205 | 8,733 |
| Total Middle West | 45,055 | 65, 192 | 5,270,970 | 5,521,379 | 4,764 | 15,917 | 47,981 | 25,653 | 116,632 | 140, 771 | 166, 140 | 190, 142 |
| North Dakota | 1,047 | 1,663 | 85,435 | 93,083 |  |  | 589 | 518 | 25,720 | 20,706 | 429 | 532 |
| South Dako | 1,265 | 1,680 | 137, 329 | 140,567 |  |  | 3,153 | 3,693 | 16,733 | 14, 737 | 1,892 | 1,105 |
| Nebraska. |  |  | 225,647 226,794 | 231, 793 |  |  | 10,154 |  | ${ }^{9} 12,165$ | ${ }^{9} 111,235$ | 2,190 8,142 | 2, 016 9,272 |
| Montana | 639 |  | 70, 363 | 76, 657 |  |  | 114,990 |  |  | 10,015 | 296 | 213 |
| W yoming |  |  | 19,909 | 22, 643 |  |  |  |  | -1,379 | ${ }^{9} 646$ |  |  |
| Colorado. | 1,637 | 1,522 | 86,373 | 92,578 |  |  | 462 | 168 | 1,810 | 1,455 | 924 | 860 |
| New Mexico | 184 |  | 16,895 | -16,931 | 136 |  | 530 | 668 | 818 | 875 | 80 | 144 |
| Oklahoma. | 1,414 | 1,514 | 74,571 | 74, 574 |  |  | 8,622 | 3,418 | 1,777 | 868 | 90 | 113 |
| Total Western. | 8,350 | 6,379 | 943,316 | 990,328 | 136 |  | 38,500 | 8,465 | 62,019 | 71,784 | 14,043 | 14,255 |
| Washingto | 1,373 | 1,259 | 124, 075 | 126, 770 |  |  | 2,030 | 1,754 | 1,893 | 1,674 | 3,522 | 2,795 |
| Oregon | 1,198 |  | -91,077 | - 98,701 |  | 53 | 1,141 | 461 | 1,567 | 950 | 1,561 | 3,679 |
| Californ |  |  | 1, 424, 865 | ${ }^{12} 1,580,435$ | 5,452 |  | 6,058 | 5,254 | 6,435 | 4,487 | 18,130 | 24,437 |
| Idaho. | 320 | 470 | 27,439 | 28,133 | 26 |  | 1,795 | 1,060 | 4,420 | 2,376 | 202 | 154 |
| Arizona | 28 | 379 | 42,269 | 13,197 |  |  | 1,453 |  | 2,054 |  | 207 | ${ }^{13} 3,484$ |
| Total Pacific | 3,886 | 2,108 | 1, 790, 712 | 1,966,056 | 5,495 | 53 | 13,898 | 9,483 | 18,725 | 11, 290 | 30,972 | 43, 493 |
| Total United States | 100, 414 | 106,791 | 17, 434, 150 | 18, 168,090 | 24,375 | 17,892 | 151,264 | 107, 443 | 374, 565 | 407,376 | 537, 807 | 649,118 |

## - Total deposits.

- Includes rediscounts.

10 Includes cashiers' checks.
${ }_{13}$ Includes bills payable.
${ }^{15}$ Includes bills payable and rediscounts.

## State Banks and Trust Companies.

## Admissions.

The following list shows the State banks and trust companies which were admitted to membership in the Federal reserve system during the month ending March 28,1923 , on which date 1,642 State institutions were members of the system:


## Changes.

Absorbed by national bank.-The Jefferson State Bank, Menan, Idaho. Banks closed.-Walton County Bank, Social Circle, Ga.; First State Bank, Malone, Tex.; First Savings Bank, Sutherland, Iowa; Eden State Bank, Eden, ldaho.
Change of name.-Susquehanna Trust \& Safe Deposit Co., Willamsport, Pa., to Susquehanna Trust Co.

Changc of name and location.-Sacramento-San Joaquin Bank, Sacra. mento, Calif., to United Bank \& Trust Co. of California, San Francisco, Calht.

Consolidations.-The Irving Bank of New York and the Columbia Trust Co. of New York, both member institutions, have consolidated under the name "Irving Bank-Columbia Trust Co." The Commercial
Bank of San Luis Obispo, Calif, has consolidated with the Pacific
Southwest Trust and Savings Bank, Los Angeles, Calif.
Converted into national banks.-Farmersand Commercial Savings Bank,
Clayton, Mo.; The First State Bank of Stigler, Okla.; Federal Trust
Co., Boston, Mass.; Trust Company of Orange, Orange, N. J.
Reopened.-Evart State Bank, Evart, Mich.
Withdrawals.-First State Bank, Prescott, Ark.; State Bank of Wayne, Wayne, Nebr.; Bank of Independence, Independence, Ky.; Penelope State Bank, Penelope, Tex.

## New National Bank Chatters.

The Comptroller of the Currency reports the following increases and reductions in the number and capital of national banks during the period from February 24 to March 23, 1923, inclusive:

|  | Number of banks. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Amount } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { capital. } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New charters issued. | 11 | \$2,267,500 |
| Restored to solvency. | 0 |  |
| Increase of capital approved | 31 | 3,840,000 |
| Aggregate of new charters, banks restored to solvency, and banks increasing capital | 42 | 6,107,500 |
| Liquidations. | 17 | 4,695,000 |
| Reducing capital. | 5 | 185,000 |
| Total liquidations and reductions of capital. | 22 | 4,880,000 |
| Consolidations of national banks under act of Nov. 7, 1918 . | 0 | 0 |
| Aggregate increased capital for period. |  | 6,107,500 |
| Reduction of capital owing to liquidations, etc | ....... | 4,880,000 |
| Net increase |  | 1,227,509 |

## Fiduciary Powers Granted to National Banks.

During the month ending March 28, the Federal Reserve Board approved applications of the national banks listed below for permission to exercise one or more of the fiduciary powers named in section $11(k)$ of the Federal reserve act as amended, as follows:

1. Trustee.
2. Executor.
3. Administrator.
4. Registrar of stocks and bonds.
5. Guardian of estates.
6. Assignee.
7. Receiver.
8. Committee of estates of lunatics.
9. In any other fiduciary capacity in which State banks, trust companies, or other corporations which come into competition with national banks are permitted to act under the laws of the State in which the bank is located.
The numerals opposite the name of each bank indicate the power or powers it is authorized to exercise, as given below:

| Place. | District No. | Name of bank. | Powers granted. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Caldwel | 2 | Citizens National Bank. | 1 to 8. |
| Lyabrook, N | 2 | Peoples National Bank.. | 1 to 9. |
| Owego, N. Y | 2 | First National Bank.... | 1 to 9. |
| Owego, N. Y | 2 | Owego National Bank. | 1 to 9. |
| Schenectady, N | 2 | Union National Bank... | 1 to 9. |
| Honeybrook, Pa. | 3 | First National Bank.... | 1 to 9. |
| Souderton, Pa. | 3 | Union National Bank. | 1 to 9. |
| Meyersdale, Pa | 4 | Citizens National Bank.. | 1 to 9. |
| Somerset, Ky | 4 | First National Bank.... | 1 to 9. |
| Salisbury, N. C. . . . . . . . | 5 | First National Bank.... | 1 to 9. |
| Parkersburg, W, Va.... | 5 | First National Bank.... | 1 to 9. |
| Amboy, Ill........ | 7 | First National Bank..... | 1 to 9. |
| Rushville, Ind. | 7 | Peoples National Bank.. | 1 to 9. |
| Sioux City, Iowa | 7 | Security National Bank. | 1 to 9. |
| Benton Harbor, Mich... | 7 | American National Bank. | 1 to 9. |
| Evanston, Ill........... | 7 | City National Bank..... | 1 to 9. |
| Unionville, Mo | 8 | Marshall National Bank. | 1 to 3, 5 to 7. |
| Dillon, Mont. | 9 | First National Bank.... | 1 to 7 and 9. |
| Oklahoma City, Okla... | 10 | Tradesmens National Bank. | 1 to 7 and 9. |
| Mancos, Colo | 10 | First National Bank | 1 to 9. |
| Albuquerque, N. Mex . . | 11 | Citizens National Bank.. | 1 to 9. |
| Colorado, Tex............ | 11 | Colorado National Bank. | 1 to 3,5 to 7. |

## BUSINESS AND FINANCIAL CONDITIONS ABROAD.

An important factor in the foreign business situation has been the recent upward movement of prices in nearly all the principal countries of the world. This movement was particularly strong in Germany and France, but in both countries the rapid advance of prices early in the year has been checked recently, as the exchange value of the mark and the franc increased. Index numbers received up to April 10 indicate that in many other countries, including the United States, England, Switzerland, Denmark, Norway, Sweden, and Japan, the upward trend has continued into March.

British prices, which had remained steady during the first half of 1922, while American prices advanced, started to rise toward the end of 1922, while the price level in this country remained fairly stable, and have risen more rapidly than Ameriean prices in the past two or three months. Thus the Federal Reserve Board's index for England shows an increase of 3 points in February following a 1-point rise in January, while the board's American index rose 1 point in both January and February. These advances are entirely due to higher prices of raw materials and producers' goods in England and in the United States, stimulated by an increased demand resulting from the revival of business. On the other hand, many finished products and consumers' goods, especially foodstuffs, have been either stable or lower in price during the last two or three months. The lag in the rise of British prices compared with American prices corresponds to the later and more gradual recovery of British business. Although business conditions in England are still far from normal, there has recently been growing evidence of somewhat greater industrial activity. The percentage of unemployed workers in insured trades dropped from 12.7 in January to 11.8 in February; the amount of coal mined in that month exceeded that mined in January, despite the shortness of February; pig-iron production also averaged higher per day than during the preceding month; and bank clearings and foreign trade were satisfactory for the season of the year. Both in England and in the United States expanding business activity has been reflected in a growing demand for credit, evidenced by increasing bank loans and a tendency toward higher interest rates.

In Germany the temporary stabilization of the mark at about 20,000 to the dollar, or 0.02 per cent of parity, following its extreme depreciation at the end of January, was reflected in a decline in prices during February, which continued into March. The index number of the Federal Statistical Office declined from 5,967 $(1913=1)$ at the beginning of February to 4,827 on March 24, a decrease of about 20 per cent. This decrease was largely confined to commodities manufactured from imported raw materials, while prices of domestic commodities in many instances increased and exceeded the prices of similar goods in other countries. The decline of prices and the scarcity of coal and iron, due to the isolation of the Ruhr district from the rest of Germany, have brought about a slackening of business activity in practically all trades. The slowing down of industry, together with the fall in prices, has in turn reduced the demand for bank credit, which is reflected in an easier, money market and somewhat lower interest rates. Notwithstanding the stabilization of the value of the mark abroad, the volume of notes in circulation and the floating debt of the Government have continued to increase rapidly, the floating debt rising from 3,694 billion marks on February 20 to 6,841 billion marks on March 20.

In France the revival of business, which set in on a moderate scale a year ago, has necessitated large imports, especially of raw materials, and has had a depressing effect on the exchange value of the franc, with a consequent rise in prices. Both imports and exports have been much higher in recent months than a year ago, but imports continued greatly to exceed exports,

## THE TREND OF BUSINESS ABROAD. ${ }^{1}$

| Items. | United <br> King- <br> dom. | France. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ger- } \\ \text { many. } \end{gathered}$ | United States. | Items. | United Kingdom. | France. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ger- } \\ & \text { many. } \end{aligned}$ | United States. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. CREDIT ${ }^{\text {P }}$ |  |  |  |  | III. PRODUCTION AND TRADE. |  |  |  |  |
| Commerclal bane Loans: |  |  |  |  | Pig-Iron Production (relatives ${ }^{\text {s }}$ : |  |  |  |  |
| 1921-February ......... | 1,172 | 12,955 |  | 12,795 | 1921-February | 54 | 67 |  | 76 |
| 1922-February | 1,152 | 12,822 |  | 10,851 | 1922-February | 35 | 74 |  | 64 |
| May... | 1,061 | 13, 102 |  | 10,906 | May | 48 | 102 |  | 90 |
| August | 1,020 | 13,051 |  | 10, 761 | August. | 48 | 103 |  | 71 |
| Novemb | 1,031 | 13,265 |  | 11,219 | November | 58 | 118 |  | 111 |
| December | 1,031 |  |  | 11, 329 | December | 62 | 118 |  | 121 |
| 1923-January. | 1,046 |  |  | 11,425 | 19:3-January. | 66 | 112 |  | 126 |
| - February. | 1, 023 |  |  | 11,639 | February. | 64 |  |  | 117 |
| Commerclal Bank Defosits: $1921-$ February |  |  |  |  | Coal Production (relatives s): |  |  |  |  |
| 1921-February | 1,754 | 12, 856 |  | 10,495 | 1921-February | 79 89 | 114 | 83 79 | 77 |
| 1922-February May..... | 1, 1,745 | 12,747 |  | 10,245 11,049 | 1922-February . . . . . . . . . . . . . ${ }_{\text {May }}$ | 89 <br> 87 | 100 101 | 79 84 84 | 103 51 |
| August | 1,688 | 12, 884 |  | 10,942 | August | 87 | 108 | 71 | 69 |
| Novemb | 1,667 | 13, 121 |  | 11,094 | November | 98 | 110 | 73 | 114 |
| December | 1,685 |  |  | 11, 255 | December | 94 | 112 | 67 | 117 |
| 1923-January | 1,693 |  |  | 11,537 | 1923-January.................... | 96 |  | 12 | 126 |
| February | 1,644 |  |  | 11,525 | February .................... | 99 |  |  | 106 |
| Central Bank discounts: |  |  |  |  | UNEMPLOYMENT: |  |  |  |  |
| 1921-February. | $9 \overline{7}$ | 2,961 | 56 | 2,396 | 1921-February. | 10.0 | 44,525 | 206 | 0 |
| 1922-February | 82 | 2, 726 | 136 | 721 | 1922-February . | 15.7 | 4,385 | 145 | 80 |
| May .. | 75 | 2,319 2,194 | 171 | 471 | May August. | 13.5 12.0 | 1,636 | 107 109 | 85 |
| August. | 76 68 | 2,194 2,818 | 271 919 | 404 <br> 614 | August. | 12.0 | 606 235 | 159 | 89 96 |
| December | 78 | 2,401 | 1,607 | 630 | December | 12.2 | 414 |  | 98 |
| 1923-January. | 66 | 2,662 | 2,306 | 597 | 1923-January. | 12.7 | 684 |  | 99 |
| February. | 79 | 2,684 | 4,777 | 596 | February | 11.8 | 666 |  | 101 |
| Central Bank Note Issues: |  |  |  |  | Bank Clearings (actuals ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ : |  |  |  |  |
| 1921-Fabruary | 444 | 37, 808 | 67 | 3,052 | 1921-February | 2,775 | 591 | 170 | 14,577 |
| 1922-February | 400 | 36, 151 | 120 | 2, 197 | 1922-February | 3,088 | 489 | 110 | 14, 042 |
| May.. | 402 | 35,982 | 152 | 2,142 | May . | 3,307 | 454 | 179 | 16,322 |
| August. | 396 | 36, 385 | 233 | 2,153 | August | 2,885 | 512 | 375 | 15,849 |
| November | 390 | 36, 114 | 754 | 2,330 | November | 2,989 | 783 | 1,464 | 17, 133 |
| December | 405 | 36, 359 | 1,280 | 2, 464 | December | 2,769 | 630 | 2,079 | 19,586 |
| 1923-January. | 381 | 36,780 | 1,984 | 2,204 | 1923-January. | 3,262 | 726 | 3,826 | 19,666 |
| February | 381 | 37,055 | 3,513 | 2,247 | February ............... | 3,006 | 792 |  | 16,905 |
| Central Bank Discount Rate |  |  |  |  | Railway Freight Traffic (actuals'): 1922-February | 1,363 | 47,741 | 2,953 | 3,043 |
| 1921-February. . . . . . . . . . . . . | , | 6 | 5 | 7 | May... | 1,379 | 49,055 | 3,994 | 3,522 |
| 1922-February | 42 | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 5 | 43 | August. | 1,455 | 50, 875 | 3,804 | 3,930 |
| May.... | 4 | 5 | 5 | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | November | 1,568 | 56,046 | 3,790 | 4,105 |
| August. | 3 | 5 | 7 | 4 | Decembe |  | 55,848 |  | 3,635 |
| November | 3 | 5 | 10 | 4 | 1923-January . |  | 54,432 |  | 3,813 |
| 1923-- Danuary | 3 | 5 | 10 | 4 | VAlUE OF ExPORTS (actuals |  |  |  | 3,393 |
| 1923-January. | 3 | 5 | 12 12 | ${ }_{4}^{4}$ | Value of Exports (actuals 8 ): 1921-February |  | 1,970 |  |  |
| March... | 3 | 5 | 12 | 4 | 1922-February | 58,335 | 1,853 | 298 | 250,620 |
|  |  |  |  |  | May. | 58,045 | 1,887 | 416 | 307,569 |
| II. PRICES AND EXCHAN |  |  |  |  | August. | 60, 032 | 1,676 | 255 | 301,775 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Novembe | 66, 491 | 1,706 | 255 | 380, 000 |
| Wholesale Price Index (relatives ${ }^{\text {s }}$ ) |  |  |  |  | December | 58, 883 | 2,779 | 423 | 344, 324 |
| 1921-February | 226 | 364 |  | 157 | 1923-January. | 66,939 | 1,696 | 311 | 335, 894 |
| 1922-February | 167 | 283 | 4,599 | 146 | February | 57,510 | 2,329 |  | 310,000 |
| May. | 171 | 302 | 7,384 | 158 | Volume of Exports (relatives 9): |  |  |  |  |
| August. | 168 | 298 | 13, 978 | 165 | 1921-February. | - 64 | 87 |  | 104 |
| November | 165 | 307 | 94, 492 | 164 | 1922--ebruary | ${ }_{65}^{67}$ |  |  | 83 |
| Decermber | 166 | 315 | 166,495 | 164 | May. | 65 | 84 | 34 | 99 |
| 1923-January. | 167 | 324 | 205,417 | 165 | August | 70 | 97 | 23 | 97 |
| February. | 170 | 354 | 715,881 | 166 | November |  | 111 | 25 | 112 |
| Retail Price Index (relatives ${ }^{\text {4 }}$ ): |  |  |  |  | 1923-January | 73 | 132 | $\stackrel{29}{29}$ | 97 |
| 1921-February | 251 | 382 | 1,033 | 158 | February. |  | 135 |  | 83 |
| 1922-February | 188 | 307 | 2,209 | 142 | Value of Imports (actuals |  | 1.3 |  |  |
| May.... | 181 | 317 | 3,462 | 139 | 1921-February... | 97,010 | 1,614 |  | 214,530 |
| August.. November | 181 | 289 | 7,029 40,047 | 139 | 1922-February. | 69,375 | 1,847 | 360 | 215,743 |
| November December | 180 180 | 297 | 40,047 61,156 | 145 | May..... | 88, 814 | 1,810 | 565 | 252, 817 |
| 1923-January. | 178 | 309 | 103,400 | 144 | August | 82,661 95600 | 1,960 2,348 | 545 | ${ }_{291}^{281,376}$ |
| February | 177 | 316 | 240, 800 | 142 | Novemb | 95,600 94,912 | 2,348 2,923 | 5936 | 291,906 |
| Foreign Exceange (per cent of pars): |  |  |  |  | 1923-January. | 99, 700 | 2, 144 | 564 | 319,000 |
| 1921-February. | 79.64 | 37.17 | 6.884 |  | February | 83,855 | 2,343 |  |  |
| 1922-February | 89.63 | 45.24 | 2.020 |  | Volume or Imports (relatives ${ }^{9}$ ): |  |  |  |  |
| May . | 91.36 | 47.25 | 1.444 |  | 1921-February. | 71 | 77 |  | 130 |
| August | 91.74 | 41.23 | . 415 | ........ | 1922-February | 80 | 112 | 24 | 183 |
| November | 92.06 | 35. 54 | . 058 |  | May... | 92 | 119 | 63 | 178 |
| December | 94.73 | 37.46 | . 062 |  | August | 87 | 122 | 77 | 194 |
| 1923-January. | 95.65 | 34.60 | . 031 |  | November |  | 124 | 75 | 204 |
| February | 96.39 | 31.84 | . 016 |  | December | 86 | 132 | 71 | 224 |
| March. | 96.49 | 32.77 | . 020 |  | 1923-January. |  | 112 | 78 |  |

[^12]causing a decline of franc exchange in January and a corresponding rise in commodity prices. In February, however, the sudden increase in exports, occasioned probably by the extreme decline in the exchange, resulted in virtually balancing the foreign trade. This effectually checked the decline of the exchange, and in the middle of March the franc showed a sharp advance, which brought about declines in the prices of raw materials and in security values. During the period of advancing prices business in France has been very active, and it is too early to determine whether the recent rise of the franc will be reflected in a general decline in prices, and if so whether such a decline would result in a setback to French business.

## RECENT PRICE MOVEMENTS IN THE UNITED KINGDOM AND FRANCE.

The general course of wholesale prices in the United Kingdom and in France since 1920 is familiar to readers of the Bulletin. Changes in the business situation in these countries have also been set forth from time to time in these pages. But the departure of the European countries from the gold standard obscures the relation between British, French, and American price movements and the connection between prices and the business situation. How are paper pound and paper franc prices, gold prices here and abroad, and foreign exchange interrelated? And what is the bearing of these movements upon the business and industrial conditions prevailing in each country? These are the questions upon which the present article will attempt to throw some light.

Before the war price levels in different countries were kept in close correspondence with one another through the movements of gold and commodities between countries. Falling prices in one country tended to attract buying power in the form of gold from abroad, leading to increased exports of commodities, and tending to raise prices by creating shortages of goods or by bringing about credit expansion based upon the newly imported gold. Conversely, if prices were rising in one country, it became increasingly profitable to purchase goods in foreign markets, thus leading to greater exports of gold and imports of commodities, which tended to stop further price advances. So long, therefore, as the gold standard was generally maintained, any deviation of prices in a single country from the prices at which similar goods could be bought elsewhere soon set in motion forces tending to bring prices in all countries to the same level in terms of gold. Just how completely this tendency was worked out it is impossible to say accurately, but it is probable that, allowing
for the effect of such barriers as tariffs and transportation costs, an approximate equality of general price levels was commonly attained.
Now that the currencies of most countries have departed more or less widely from the gold standard, the problem of international price relationships is complicated by the fact that prices in different countries are quoted in a variety of monetary units which are constantly changing in value with reference to gold and consequently among themselves. The enormous gold movements which were taking place until recent months have acted primarily, like other commodity movements, to reduce the adverse trade balances of those countries which exported gold rather than immediately to reduce their price levels. Gold does not function, as formerly, as a regulator of international price movements. Prices throughout the world still tend to adjust themselves to a common level of gold prices, but such adjustments of prices between countries are now effected through the movement of commodities.

Under present conditions, therefore, it is the foreign trade in commodities, influenced by relative prices in different countries, which tends directly and through the medium of rates of foreign exchange to bring prices everywhere to an equivalent basis. The adjustment of international prices to a common gold basis, however, was only approximate even before the war. Now that such adjustments depend upon the exchange of certain commodities between different countries, with all the barriers and delays which hinder the effective operation of the demand for and the supply of goods, and upon an exchange market influenced by innumerable speculative factors, maladjustments of prices and foreign exchange between countries may be great. Under these conditions the extent of the adjustment between the price levels of any two countries depends upon the closeness of their trade relations and the stability of their currencies.

## WHOLESALE PRICES IN UNITED KINGDOM.

In the case of the United Kingdom we have a country closely linked to the United States by ties of trade and similarity of financial system. How and why, then, has its recent industrial and price history, particularly during the last year, differed from that of this country? How great has been the actual difference in price levels; to what extent is this responsible for the contrast in business conditions; and what of the relative adjustment of prices within the two price systems? In considering these questions it is proposed first to describe the relative course of prices in the two countries, allowing for the effect of a depreciated currency and fluctuations in exchange; and then to seek an explanation of the recent divergencies of business conditions in the two countries, so far as such an explanation can be found in the relationships of prices.

The movement of British wholesale prices since 1919, in terms of the pound sterling, has been roughly parallel with that of prices in the United States, but on a much higher level, except for a lag in the decline in 1919 and the absence of a marked rise during 1922, such as occurred in this country. The Statist, Economist, and Board of Trade index numbers agree with the Federal Reserve Board index in showing a steady and rapid rise of prices from March, 1919, to the spring of 1920 (although they differ as to the date of the peak), an equally rapid fall until the spring of 1921, a more gradual fall during 1921, and a period of comparative stability during 1922, with an uneven tendency to rise up to June and then to fall slightly. The figures for January and February, 1923, in all cases show a definite though slight rise to a point near the mid-year peak. Whether this represents a temporary fluctuation or the beginning of a longer upward movement of prices will be determined by the course of business activity in England, conditions of foreign trade, and the influence of foreign price movements.

## COMPARISON OF BRITISH AND AMERICAN PRICE LEVELS.

The way in which price movements in different countries are related to each other in the absence of a common gold standard is pointed out above. The purchasing power of any monetary unit in different countries is equalized, so far as it is equalized, through the movement of commodities, just as its gold value is equalized through exchange movements. Thus, the purchasing power of the

Chart I. International Price Index, Gold Basis. ${ }^{1}$ $[1913=100$.]

pound sterling in England is related to its purchasing power in the United States through the buying and selling of goods, just as its purchasing power in terms of gold in the two countries is equalized by the buying and selling of sterling or dollar exchange. In so far as the same factors affect these two, the prices of commodities and the price of gold in terms of pounds, we can properly compare price levels in the two countries by the reduction of the British price index to a gold basis. The index of British gold prices, shown graphically in Chart I , is computed by multiplying the Federal Reserve Board index for Great Britain by the rate of sterling exchange expressed as a percentage of par. There is but one difference between such a comparison and a similar study of relative prices under pre-war conditions. When both countries were on a gold standard, variations between the two price levels were due solely to factors affecting prices, while they are now sometimes ascribable to other factors which influence exchange rates directly.
From the graph of the course of gold prices in Great Britain and the United States since 1919, it may be seen that the British price level has approximated the American during these years. The chief divergencies are the higher peak of the British curve, its more gradual decline, and its lower level after the rise in American prices in 1922. Except for these and other slighter variations, the fluctuations in the exchange rate have just counter-

[^13]acted the depreciation of the pound in terms of commodities as compared with the dollar. They have kept the internal and external value of the pound nearly equal.

## PRICES AND EXCHANGE.

The question remains whether the variations in the two price levels in terms of gold have been due to actual price movements or to exchange movements. One way of determining this question is through a comparison of the actual course of sterling exchange with the course of a theoretical exchange rate (the purchasing power of the pound) computed from relative prices in the two countries and the par of exchange. Such a comparison is made in Chart II. Until January, 1921, the English price level rose relative to the American level and since then has been falling relative to it; while sterling exchange rose and fell similarly until the positions of the two were actually reversed in October, so that sterling exchange would have had to be above par to equalize the two price levels.

Chart II. The Pound Sterling.


Up to the beginning of $1921, \$ 4.86$ would have purchased a larger quantity of a general assortment of commodities in the United States than in England, but at the average prices of that month that sum would have purchased more in England. The differences between the actual and theoretical exchange rates are due either to special influences operating upon the exchange rate and not affecting prices, such as political news, or to influences affecting prices in one country and not the other. The relative sharpness and permanence of the movements of exchange and of purchasing power parity often suggest which set of the influences above mentioned is responsible. The rapid rise of exchange in the first half of 1921 , for example, from $\$ 3.49$ to $\$ 3.98$, seems to have been dictated by the heavy exports of gold during these months rather than by commodity price influences, for there was no such sharp movement of
either English or American prices to account for it. Such a discrepancy between the external and internal value of the pound could not continue long, however, and the exchange rate fell as rapidly as it rose until it approximately equaled the purchasing power of the pound in terms of dollars. High exchange evidently reacted upon prices during this period, facilitating the decline of English prices relative to the American level, but exchange moved upward faster than prices downward. It is this exchange movement which is responsible for the check in the fall of English gold prices as shown in Chart I, and obscures the fact that actual English prices were falling relative to American prices at this time. Similarly, the sharp fall of English gold prices in February, 1920, as shown on the chart, was due not to a price but to an exchange movement.

On the other hand, the fall of English prices relative to American prices in the third quarter of 1922 took place in spite of the stability of exchange during this period and is traceable to the diverse influences under which American prices rose and British prices did not. As has been pointed out before in these pages, this divergency is one of the causes of the recent rise in sterling exchange. In spite of this exchange movement, which would naturally tend to encourage buying in the United States and discourage buying in England, English prices are now moving upward while American prices are remaining fairly stable, and the theoretical rate of purchasing power parity is falling to meet the actual exchange rate. From such instances it would seem that the course of sterling exchange has comparatively slight influence upon prices, but rather is itself eventually adjusted to the price situation. Therefore, in order to understand the recent divergencies of the English and American prices, it is necessary to study the business, industrial, and trade factors differently affecting them, just as was the case before the war.

As a matter of fact, the variations between the two price levels on a gold basis in the last four years do not seem to have been generally very much greater than such as occurred during pre-war cyclical movements, so far as previous analyses of these movements show. It must be remembered that our comparison is based upon the assumption that in 1913 the two price levels were nearly identical, an assumption which the facts, so far as known, seem to justify. If this were found to be untrue, however, it would be necessary to allow for the variation at that time in making later comparisons. Moreover, it must be
remembered that equality of price levels does not mean equality of prices of the same commodities or groups of commodities. This last is particularly true when price levels are kept in contact by means of goods rather than gold movements, for a change in one country's level makes itself felt first and most vigorously upon the prices of commodities most commonly traded in and affects very slowly, if at all, commodities produced and marketed locally. So the Federal Reserve Board indexes of cotton prices for England and the United States show a very nearly perfect correlation, the former rising proportionately but earlier than the latter in 1921-22. The prices of steel are much less closely correlated, the American price rising sharply from March, 1922, while the English continued to fall until December. In the case of sheep, as an example of commodities which are exported and imported by neither country, it is difficult to trace any definite relation between price movements in the two countries. It is the influence of certain world trade commodities like cotton which gives similar form to the general price movements in the two countries, while others more subject to local causes account for the differences. To understand the significance of these differences, it is necessary to go behind the general price levels and observe the relation of actual price movements to business conditions.

## PRICES AND BUSINESS CONDITIONS.

It is common knowledge that 1922 has been a period of inactive business and excessive unemployment as well as price stability in England, while in the United States increasing business and industrial activity has preceded and accompanied rising prices. The production of basic commodities in Great Britain, the volume of her internal trade as evidenced by banking and transportation figures, the profits of business concerns, and the quantity of her foreign exports and imports have all been far below pre-war standards, and it has been common to link up this situation with the course of English prices. Yet all reliable evidence goes to show that the decline in the English price level was arrested over a year ago and that a period of stability, such as is usually considered to be conducive to healthy business and industrial conditions, has ensued. What is there in the price situation, if anything, to prolong the period of business depression in the United Kingdom? One source of difficulty may lie in maladjustment within the price system.

Stability of price level, of course, does not necessarily mean stability of the prices or relations between the prices of individual commodities or groups of commodities. The recent period of a stable price level in England, as shown by price indexes, has, of course, been a period of continually changing prices. Cotton has risen sharply, for example, as have rice, rubber, lard, sugar, wool, and sheep; coffee, kerosene, gasoline, brick, and cement, among others, have fallen; various other commodities have fluctuated back and forth. In so far as the depression of business is related to prices, it may be the fault of price movements within the price system, as well as of general price movements. Profitable business and active trade require the proper adjustment of prices to each other and to interest rates, wages, and other incomes. Industrial inactivity is generally traceable to some maladjustment of these.

A previous article, in the January issue of the Bulletin, has examined the relation between prices and certain costs of production, and between wholesale and retail prices. The present discussion will confine itself to the relations between the wholesale prices of certain commodities and groups of commodities. In order to reach definite conclusions from such a study it is necessary to make use of some tests or standards of correct price relationships. The only tests available are a comparison of the present situation in this regard with previous periods and a comparison of price changes with movements in production and trade. The latter is difficult because of the lack of detailed statistical data. As to a standard drawn from pre-war conditions, it is probably inaccurate to assume that normal price relationships now would be the same as those of 1913, for example. Changes in relative costs as well as markets have taken place, which may make necessary an adjustment of industry to a new system of prices. Nevertheless, some significant observations may be made, first, as to the fluctuations which have taken place in the prices of certain groups of commodities relative to each other, and, second, as to the relations between these prices and the volume of production and trade.

Relative prices of groups of commodities.The Board of Trade group index numbers, plotted in Chart III, show that food prices were much higher than the general level during the high-price period and other commodity prices much below it, but that their
positions were reversed after the end of 1920 , so that food prices exceeded the general level. During 1922 food prices fell, however, and other prices rose so that the two groups were practically equal at an index of 157 and 157.3 , respectively, in January, 1923. Thus, they had returned to the 1913 relationship. If that constitutes a normal situation under present conditions, considerable progress was made last year toward a proper price adjustment between food and other prices. Within the food group, however, there have been wide fluctuations and the year 1922 ended with the relative prices of meat and fish considerably above those of cereals, which had fallen below the general price level. This is the reverse of their relation at the time of the 1920 price peak, and accounts for the disadvantageous position of grain farmers in Great Britain, as in the United States.

Of the other commodities, not food, textiles were relatively high priced during 1922 as in 1920, and they still remain above the general level with cotton leading. It is the metals and minerals which bring the "other than food" index down, with iron and steel lower than other metals and minerals, whereas it far exceeded them before 1922. Iron and steel prices have risen definitely in January and February, but the close approach of the food and "other than food" groups to each other, which is noticeable since September, results chiefly from the rise of textiles, especially cotton, and the continued fall of cereal prices. So long as foreign demand for textiles is sustained both of these movements are advantageous to a country in whose industry textile manufacture plays such a large part, while she must import the greater part of her cereal food. They further aggravate the departure of these prices from their 1913 relationship, however, and raise the question whether a return to that relationship is to be expected. It is notable, in this connection, that according to the group indexes computed by the Bureau of Labor Statistics for the United States, food prices have been continually below the general price level and have risen less rapidly than the latter, while cloth and clothing prices have risen more rapidly; so that the recent relative movements of these groups of commodities have been similar to their movements in England. The prices of metals and metal products in the United States, unlike the corresponding English prices, rose throughout 1922 even more than the general price level, but in the last two months iron and steel prices in

Chart III. Board of Trade Price Index.
$[1913=100$.


England have risen very sharply. In general, this comparison indicates that while in some respects English prices have tended to return to their 1913 relation, in many instances they are still far from it, and tend rather to follow the adjustments which have taken place in the United States.

Prices and production.-In the United States, it will be remembered, production and general business activity began to improve some time before prices generally commenced to rise. What reliable information is available as to business conditions in the United Kingdom shows that there was some progress toward more active business there during 1922 without any consistent rise in prices. By December the number of insured unemployed had dropped to a quarter of their number a year before and bank clearings had increased perceptibly; but general prices were still below what they were in June, 1922. In the United States, steel prices began to rise about six months after pig-iron production began to increase. Iron and steel production in England had been increasing for a year and a half before the recent rise in steel prices. Coal production, interrupted by the strike in the spring and early summer, has risen rapidly since August. Unfortunately, production figures for the most important products of British manufacture are not available. Since business and industrial
conditions in Great Britain are so peculiarly dependent upon foreign trade, however, the relations of the volume of that trade to prices is of the greatest significance, and detailed trade statistics are gathered and published regularly.

Prices and foreign trade.- The relation between the depressed character of British trade and the prices of her goods may be seen by the following comparison of the relative quantities of certain groups of imports and exports and their relative prices as computed by the Board of Trade Journal.

The table below shows the average quantities of goods entering into British foreign trade during 1921 and 1922, relative to the average quantities in 1913 taken as 100.

Relative Quantities of Commodities Imported and
Exported by the United Kingdom.

| Groups. | [1913 $=100$. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Imports. |  | Reexports. |  | Exports. |  |
|  | 1921 | 1922 | 1921 | 1922 | 1921 | 1922 |
| Food, drink, and tobacco.. | 93.3 | 99.5 | 139.8 | 106.6 | 48.9 | 52.7 |
| Raw materials and articles | 64.9 | 80.8 | 74.6 | 85.2 | 45.1 | 94.7 |
| Articles wholly or mainly manufactured. | 59.0 | 72.4 | 53.4 | 59.8 | 50.7 | 66.5 |
| Total. | 74.3 | 85.8 | 78.6 | 81.5 | 49.8 | 68.9 |

In 1921 exports were very much less in proportion to their 1913 volume than reexports or imports and although they gained more than the latter last year, they were still only 69 per cent of their pre-war quantity while imports amounted to 86 per cent of the 1913 figure. When we observe the composition of this trade, we see that the high figures for imports and reexports are due chiefly to the relatively large quantities of food and of raw materials which entered into trade. The quantity of manufactured goods was low throughout. Among exports the volume of food, raw materials, and manufactured goods was about equally reduced in 1921 but in 1922 raw materials more than doubled in volume while other commodities increased only moderately. Since manufactured goods make up the bulk of England's exports ( 78 per cent in 1913), their small quantity has been the chief factor keeping down the volume of her total exports. Her food exports, which have also remained small, are themselves chiefly products of manufacturing processes, while the food imported and reexported, the volume of which has been near or above their pre-war level, consists largely of products
in their natural state, such as grains and animals. Thus it is apparent that the low state of English trade is due chiefly to the low state of demand for manufactured goods, on which her industrial activity so largely depends. From the following analysis it will be seen that this diminished demand is due at least in part to the disparity in price between the articles which Britain sells and the commodities with which her customers must pay.
The table below shows the average prices of goods entering into British foreign trade during 1921 and 1922, relative to their average prices in 1913 taken as 100.

Relative Prices of Commodities Imported and Exported by the United Kingdom.
[1913=100.]

| Groups. | Imports. |  | Reexports. |  | Exports. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1921 | 1922 | 1921 | 1922 | 1921 | 1922 |
| Food, drink, and tobacco. | 205.9 | 160.9 | 133.1 | 125.6 | 225.9 | 203.5 |
| Raw materials and articles mainly unmanufactured | 154.9 | 136.7 | 105.3 | 101.5 | 212.9 | 162.8 |
| Articles wholly or mainly manufactured. | 206.5 | 157.9 | 168.9 | 152.0 | 280.2 | 207.0 |
| Total. | 190.3 | 152.2 | 124.3 | 116.2 | 268.8 | 199.1 |

This price table shows that the prices of marufactured goods have been generally above the level of the prices of other goods, particularly raw materials, and that this is most notably the case among exports. It would seem that under the character of demand that has been existing the prices of these goods have been much too high. This is quite consistent with the 1922 rise in prices of American exports, still chiefly consisting of unmanufactured goods, partly in response to a growing foreign demand. Countries imporerished by the war, while unable to buy highly finished articles, still demand the essential foods and materials for their own reviving industries. Under these conditions, it is encouraging for British trade that the average 1922 prices of manufactured articles showed considerably more of a decline from the 1921 prices than those of the other articles of trade, and that the prices of her exports fell in comparison with those of her imported goods. The Federal Reserve Board index also shows a discrepancy between the prices of British exported and imported goods during 1921 and 1922, although not to such a marked degree. According to this index, the prices of imported goods rose above those of exports in September and the
prices of the two groups are now near their 1913 relationship. This is a movement in the direction of a more satisfactory adjustment of prices and one that is aiding toward a recovery of British trade, judging by the improvement of its volume in 1922 over 1921. Whether a complete return to pre-war conditions is possible depends upon the growth of demand for British products which the disturbed state of her markets permits, and the reduction in prices which her costs of production will allow. It is evident that the price relationships of 1921 and 1922 have had an unfavorable bearing upon British foreign trade.

The conclusions from this survey of price relations within the English price level during the last few years are rather indefinite. There is a tendency toward an adjustment of prices on a pre-war basis in some respects, while in others the tendency seems to be to approximate the American price relationships, which are quite different from those of 1913 . The very definite improvement in industrial and business activity in the United Kingdom indicates that there has been some progress toward an adjustment of prices which will make business again generally profitable.

## WHOLESALE PRICES IN FRANCE.

The movement of French prices, which was parallel to that of England and the United States from 1915 down to the middle of 1922, has since that time shown a tendency distinctly divergent from either. French prices have continued to rise sharply after British and American prices, especially those of raw materials, virtually ceased to advance.

In both France and the United States prices declined for a brief period after the armistice. Then, in April, 1919, prices turned upward in England and the United States, followed in June by those of France. The upward movement in France continued until April, 1920, according to the index of the Statistique Générale, or until May, by the Federal Reserve Board index, in which month the peak was also reached in England and the United States. (See Chart IV.) From that date French prices fell rapidly to February, 1922, though the low point for the United States had been touched eight months before (June, 1921), and was not reached in England until eight months later (October, 1922). Prices in the United States started upward in February, 1922, rose steadily until July, since when the price level as a whole has shown almost negligible fluctuations. French prices started upward in March, 1922,
one month after the American movement began, and have since that time risen almost without interruption. In February, 1923, the American index was one point above the figure of July, 1922, the British index was one point below its July figure, while since that date the Federal reserve index for France shows an advance of 44 points and that of the Statistique Générale 97 points. For the first time since 1915 French prices show a sustained trend radically different from those of the United States.

The study of prices in the United States during recent years is a study of the relation of the value of commodities to gold. In England it is the study of the relation of commodities to a currency which, having left the gold standard temporarily, has nearly returned to it. In France it is the study of the relation of prices to a currency which departed from the gold standard further than did that of England, and has as yet been unable to make headway in returning to it. The index number of commodity prices in France measures not only the

Chart IV. Prices in France and the United States. [1913=100.]

depreciation of gold in terms of commodities since 1914 but also the depreciation of the franc in terms of gold.

Prices derive their sole significance from their relation to other prices, either within or without the country considered. The price of raw materials in France has been and still is above the price of other groups, while the general price index, stated on a gold basis, is far below the level of world prices. In these two facts are to be found the reasons why the index in France has continued to rise rapidly in recent months while the price levels of England and the United States have shown only minor changes.

## RELATIVE POSITIONS OF PRICE GROUPS IN FRANCE.

The index of the Federal Reserve Board offers a means of studying the relation of internal prices to each other, as three of its groups show the prices of commodities at stages of the process from production to distribution. The three groups are those of "raw materials," "producers' goods," and "consumers' goods." Since January, 1920, the "raw materials" index has been above the general average, that of "producers' goods" has always been below the general index, while the "consumers' goods" have several times crossed the general index, and have usually tended to remain close to it. A study of the index numbers for recent months shows, as might be expected, that the raw materials index forecasts by several months, the movements of the group of "producers' goods," while the latter is from four to eight months ahead of the "consumers', goods." In March, 1922, the "raw materials" group, already considerably above the general index, began a long rise which still continues. In June this movement had been communicated to the "producers' goods," but not until November was its influence shown in "consumers' goods." At present all three groups are moving upward together. It is the price of "raw materials" which eventually determines the price of "producers' goods" and the price of "consumers' goods," and the course of all three together determines the general movement of prices.

French prices in general have risen, therefore, because of the rise of raw materials in France, and raw materials prices in France first rose because their world or gold price rose and later because since April, 1922, French exchange has undergone an almost continuous decline in
terms of gold. To put it more concretely, the world price of raw materials rose in terms of dollars, and when this advance was arrested in August, 1922, the rise continued in terms of francs, because French exchange continued to decline.

## THE RELATION OF FRENCH PRICES TO WORLD prices.

Although France, like the United States, and unlike Germany and England, is a selfcontained country, its price movements are controlled by world price movements. As the foreign trade of France is only a minor fraction of its total business, it is necessary to explain in some detail the manner in which world price movements are communicated to French price movements.

The prices of the great basic raw materials are determined in world markets. The price of wheat is fixed in the primary markets of Chicago and Liverpool; of wool, in Boston and Bradford; of copper, in New York; of cotton, New York and Liverpool; of sugar, New York. France must purchase all her cotton abroad, but only a part and often only a small part of her requirements of most other raw materials. The world market sets the price of those produced at home as well as those which may be cr must be purchased abroad. For instance, wheat is produced all over the world and is universally in demand; France raises nearly enough for her needs, though there is usually a marginal requirement to be met abroad. If the price of native French wheat should rise above the level of comparable grades in the world market, the latter would be drawn into the country; if French wheat shou drop below the world price, buying from abroad would immediately bid it up unless the supply of the cheaper French wheat should be great enough to depress the world price to its own level. This equalizing process would obtain even if France raised exactly the amount of wheat, or wool or sugar required by her own needs, provided no embargo was laid on the movement of those commodities. This assimilation of the French price of raw materials is no less true of wheat, of which France produces most of her requirements, than it is of cotton, entirely an imported commodity. The situation is quite comparable with that of the United States. Only a small, percentage of the American wheat crop is actually exported, but the price of that fraction determines the value of the
entire crop. There are a few exceptions to this rule in France, as in the case of wine, which France produces in great quantities and for which there is no world market, properly speaking. The free movement of a heavy commodity like coal is affected by transportation costs, and in other cases tariff restrictions may be an important factor. But in general the basic raw materials tend toward a common gold price all over the world. A movement in that gold price is almost instantly communicated to the markets of the world in terms of their various exchanges.

A comparison of the indexes of raw materials in France and the United States shows their relation distinctly. The price of raw materials began to rise in the United States with September, 1921. The same month the raw material index of France registered an advance. But while prices of raw materials in the United States continued upward, in France they inclined downward until February, 1923, after which they too turned upward, parallel with the American movement. But in the United States raw materials reached their peak in August, 1922, since when they show little change; while in France they have continued to rise sharply. These movements, apparently contradictory, are easily explained. From August, 1921, to August, 1922, raw materials were advancing in their primary markets, but at almost the same time that raw materials were rising the franc began to rise in New York, and rose even more rapidly, in terms of dollars, than did the price of raw materials. Put another way, the dollar was declining in terms of raw materials, but it was declining still more sharply in terms of francs. For several months the rise in the franc, occasioned by trade conditions and stimulated by bullish speculation and by the loans floated in New York and London, was able to counteract the advance in the rising gold prices of raw materials; and the price of raw materials in France actually declined. But by May, 1922, the factors which had moved French exchange upward had exhausted their power, and French exchange began the long decline, which, except for the brief rally in December, 1922, continued through February, 1923. Simultaneously, the price of raw materials in France began to advance.

## FRENCH PRICES AND EXCHANGE.

It is through raw materials, whether actually produced at home or abroad, that the exchange
exerts its heaviest influence on French prices. But the exchange is merely the statement of the external value of the franc, while the price index is the expression of its internal value, reflecting, as was stated above, the depreciation of French currency in terms of gold and also the depreciation of gold in terms of commodities. The primary cause of the depreciation of the currency in terms of gold is, as everyone knows, the enormous increase of the note circulation. But for nearly two years the note circulation has remained virtually on a level, and during most of that period the level of internal prices showed only moderate fluctuations. Meanwhile, the external value of the franc has gone through a series of very wide movements. This raises the question of the relation of the internal value of the franc to its external value. In the first place, it may be said that the internal value of the franc limits the fluctuations of its external value. As long as the franc retains, say, one-third of its pre-war purchasing power at home, franc exchange could hardly drop to and remain any length of time at 1 cent; nor could it rise to and remain even for a brief period at 15 cents, for example. The quantity of French purchasing power having remained about constant during the last two years, French exchange has during most of the period remained in a zone between 6 cents and 9 cents, but at no time since 1920 has the exchange gone high enough to touch its purchasingpower parity. (See Chart V.) This fact forms an interesting contrast to the case of England, where the exchange has several times crossed its purchasing-power parity, and until recent months has usually remained above it. The situation in France is, rather, comparable to the case of Germany, where the disparity between the internal and external value of the mark is a familiar phenomenon.

While the internal value of a currency in France limits roughly the zone of French exchange and the purchasing power parity indicates the approximate location of this zone, the actual rate of exchange will depend entirely upon the supply of and demand for French bills of exchange. This supply and demand emanates from five possible quarters-merchants or others engaged in actually buying or selling commodities or services, speculators, financial interests desirous of artifically enhancing or depressing the exchange, tourists, and security holders. Owing to the large trade balance against France, the supply of commercial bills has greatly exceeded the demand,
though at certain seasons pressure on the exchange from this side is heavier than at others. Speculators buy and sell hoping to guess the course of a market whose real trend is determined by fundamental factors; such operators do not cause movements, but they often exaggerate them. The most conspicuous example of an artificial bolstering of the exchange occurred during 1918, when it was "pegged" somewhat below par. Another instance of interference with the normal trend can probably be found last spring at the time of the large loans floated in England and the United States. Although the main parpose of these loans was quite different, they actually imparted to the exchange a brief artificial

Chart V. The Frengh Franc.

strength. Tourists are an important source of demand for francs and are one of the most important factors influencing the exchange, especially during the spring and summer. The necessity of meeting the interest and dividend payments of security holders is another factor, though the influence of buying or selling for this cause is unascertained. But the outstanding fact is that the French exchange since 1920 has always been below its purchasing-power parity, and this indicates that up to the present the greater insistence has come from those who supplied French bills of exchange than from those who demanded them. Of course, in sum, supply and demand
one way or another must be equalized and for every seller of exchange there must be a buyer; exactly as for every stock sold in the security market there is a buyer. The trend is determined by the relative insistence of buyer and seller.
If the rate of exchange were stable at its purchasing-power parity, whether that were 3 cents or 16 cents, the American seller and the French buyer of commodities would be on an equality. But with the actual exchange below its purchasing-power parity, the French buyer in the world market, or specifically, in the American market, is at a great disadrantage.

The course of the price of wheat and cotton illustrates concretely the effect of this situation. Wheat is an example of a commodity whose price in the primary market has changed only slightly in the past year. It is also a commodity, as was mentioned earlier in this article, whose price is set in a world market, though most of the wheat consumed in France is home grown. Cotton, which adranced sharply in price during the year, is an example of a commodity not produced in France. Its price, in France, will therefore illustrate the effect both of a rise of the gold price and of the decline of the franc. The price of wheat will, on the other hand, illustrate the effects of a nearly stable gold price on a home-grown commodity, in a period of declining exchange.

As February, 1922, was the last month in which the temporary rise of French exchange was powerful enough to counteract the rise in the dollar price of raw materials, it will serve as a base. In that month the price of upland middling cotton in New Orleans was $\$ 0.1647$ a pound; in February, 1923, the price was $\$ 0.2912$. Taking the former figure as 100 , the price of cotton in the United States, the primary market, rose to 176.8. In the same period at Havre it rose from 246 francs per 50 kilos to 572 francs; that is, from 100 to 232.5 . Of this advance of 132.5 points, 76.8 may be said to represent the decline of the dollar in terms of cotton and 55.7 points to represent the effect of the decline of the franc in terms of the dollar.

The situation of wheat illustrates another phase. The price of wheat (No. 2 red winter, cash, Chicago market) in February, 1922, was, $\$ 1.3816$ per bushel; in the same month in 1923 it was $\$ 1.36$ per bushel. This represents, in the American wheat, a decline from 100 to 98.4, a conspicuous drop in view of the general advance in commodities. In the same period French wheat (native, red, Chartres market, a
grade comparable though not identical) rose from 67.06 francs per 100 kilos to 90.50 francs; that is, from 100 to 134.95 in terms of francs, but exchanged into dollars at the average rate of the respective months it indicates a real decline from $\$ 5.85$ per 100 kilos to $\$ 5.56$, a course similar to the trend of the grade of American wheat compared.

While cotton was advancing from 100 to 232.5 and wheat from 100 to 134.9 the general average (on a February, 1922, base) rose only from 100 to 124.7. These figures illustrate how a decline of the franc can force above the general index not merely the prices of imported raw materials but of home-produced raw materials, even when the world price has actually declined. They further explain why, almost solely as a result of the exchange, the price of raw materials in France has continued to advance for half a year after the raw materials index of the United States stopped rising.

## EFFECT OF THE CURRENT PRICE MOVEMENT.

The initiation of the present price movements in France was caused by the rise in the world price of commodities, especially raw materials. Its continuation and exaggeration in France is due to the influence of an exchange which has declined so far below its purchasing power parity as to make the gold index of France for February, 1923, almost 30 per cent lower than the American price level. ${ }^{1}$ (See Chart I.) It might be expected that this would occasion a flood of French exports, and, in fact, there has been a notable increase in recent months. Nevertheless, French foreign trade labors under two handicaps, the high cost of raw materials bought with depreciated currency, and especially the difficulty of determining costs and fixing prices in a currency subject to wide and rapid fluctuations in both directions.

French exchange has declined steadily for nearly 10 months, with only one brief recovery. In the past these declines have always been followed by more or less sustained advances. A half-cent rise in the franc could change the result of the most carefully planned transaction between France and America from profit to loss for one party or the other. Further, the building up and organizing of a general foreign trade require considerable time. Connections must be established, credit facilities arranged, and the exact nature of the market ascertained.

[^14]All of these advantages most French producers lack. Before the war France was not a great exporting country, and even at present it is a practical question for the average French producer whether it is worth while to go to the expense of holding up an export organization which a movement of the exchange might render unprofitable almost overnight. But the remarkable increase in French exports in December and February shows how quickly French trade responded to the low exchange rates prevailing in November and January.

While the French export trade has been able to profit considerably from this situation, domestic business has enjoyed those uncertain benefits that come in the early stages of a rapid upward price movement. Industrial stocks on the Bourse have gone up, companies fortunate enough to have accumulated large quantities of raw materials at lower levels have seen their inventories increase in value, and all producing concerns have been actively employed. Curiously enough, the French farmer has found his condition improved by the very cause that has marked the disadvantage of the American farmer, namely, the fact that the price of wheat is set in a world market. For while the price of his wheat in dollars has not advanced, the depreciation of the exchange has lifted the franc-value of his crop above the general price level.

The full effect of this rise in raw materials has not yet reached consumers' goods. Except in a few instances, such as sugar and to some extent textiles, it is doubtful if it has made itself felt in retail markets. It remains to be seen whether or not the French public will be able to purchase freely at such a retail price level as is indicated by present prices of raw materials. If it can not, in one way or another prices will settle to a level at which the public can buy.

The index of raw materials in America reached its peak in August, 1922; that is, the gold price of the commodities composing it has not since risen. But raw materials have continued to advance in France, because the franc has been continuously declining in gold value. The recent rise in French raw material prices is almost solely a reflection of the movement of the exchange. The French index of raw materials will stop rising when the cause of the rise ceases to operate; that is, when the decline of the exchange is checked. As long as the world prices of raw materials remain fairly stable, the French raw material
index will move almost exactly with the dollar in French exchange. If the dollar begins to decline-that is, if French exchange begins to rise-raw materials whose prices are set in world markets must decline in terms of francs. In fact, a sudden advance in the franc, if that were justified by the condition of the exchange market, would cause so serious a drop in the franc prices of raw materials that such a movement would hardly be welcome to those businesses which are carrying large inventories of raw materials or to the banking interests that finance them.

## CONCLUSION.

From this analysis of the current price movement in France, two distinct conclusions stand out. The rise was set in motion by the advance in world prices; it has been prolonged by the continuous decline of French exchange. As a result of this decline of the exchange, and in spite of the recent enormous rise in French prices, the gold index shows that the purchasing power of the dollar in France is nearly 30 per cent greater than in the United States. Whether the relation will ultimately be corrected by a movement of the exchange or by a movement of prices, or by a combination of the two, it is impossible to predict.

The price level can not be stabilized until the exchange is stabilized and vice versa. Their relation is reciprocal, since both are statements of what is fundamentally the same thing, the value of the franc. It is sometimes stated that the first essential in the stabilization of the franc is to determine its relation to gold. This would be desirable, but it is difficult to see how that is possible until the relationship of the franc to commodities is more positively defined. The establishment of a fairly well stabilized goods value for the franc would, ipso facto, give the franc an ascertainable gold value. The free movement of gold would of course stabilize the exchange, for gold is the one commodity that is always acceptable in every country. But it would probably be possible to achieve a workable stabilization of both the internal and external value of the franc, that is, the price level and the exchange rate, long before France was able to return to the free movement of gold.

There are more immediate obstacles to stabilization of price levels and exchange rates than the gold problem. The internal value of the franc can not come to a level until it appears that the French Government can finance its
expenditures without further inflation of the circulating medium. The external value of the franc can not become stabilized until the supply of and demand for French bills of exchange becomes equalized not only in amount but in urgency. Apparently they already are nearly equal in amount, since, for nearly a year France has met her trade balance and other charges without resort to foreign loans and by the ship-ment of very little gold. To be sure, even after these bills were equal in amount, there would still be a seasonal difference in pressure. In the fall France is a heavy purchaser of cotton and other crops; at the holidays and spring the luxury goods which France exports are in demand and the requirements of tourists strengthen the exchange. But seasonal fluctuations would not necessarily involve the ruinous movements to which the exchange is now subjected; being comparatively small, they could be nearly smoothed out by financial intervention of the sort suggested by the governor of the Bank of France in his annual report.

Given the first essential, namely, the internal stabilization of the franc, the stabilization of the exchange becomes readily practicable, though its perfection would require a little time. The whole future of French prices and exchange, therefore, is contingent upon whether or not the French Government can avoid further increases of its circulating medium.

## GERMANY.

## TEMPORARY STABILIZATION OF THE MARK.

In a discussion of stabilization of the German mark it can not be emphasized too much that final stabilization depends mainly upon the settlement of the reparation question, upon the balancing of the budget, and upon foreign trade, and that so long as these questions remain unsolved it is impossible to put German currency on a sound basis. ${ }^{1}$ It is, therefore, the more interesting to study the temporary stabilization of the mark at a time when the most important industrial district of Germany is economically severed from the rest of the Reich. While it is too early to determine the effects of the present currency and exchange situation upon the business activity of the country, its effects upon credit and especially upon prices are already manifest and will be analyzed in this article.

[^15]The decline of the mark from $\$ 1.39$ per ten thousand on January 2, 1923, to 21 cents per ten thousand on January 31 was followed by a rapid recovery during the first two weeks in February. (See accompanying chart.) On February 14 the mark was quoted at 44 cents per ten thousand, an improvement of about 40 per cent in two weeks, and with the exception of slight fluctuations during the third week in February, it remained stable at this point until March 6. On this date the mark showed a further improvement, being quoted at 48 cents per ten thousand, a rate at which it was maintained until March 29. On March 31 the mark stood at 47 cents per ten thousand. The improvement of the mark and its stabilization can be

better understood if the causes of its precipitous fall are first made clear. The depreciation of the mark during January was not warranted, either by the volume of mark transactions, which was not large, or by any other economic factor. It was the result of a comparatively small, but very insistent, demand for foreign bills in Berlin, and at times of an absolute lack of supply. In short, it was due to a panic among the sellers and a strike among the buyers of German exchange. Under such conditions almost any rate became possible, as many holders of marks threw them on the market at any price. It was when mark exchange reached its lowest point and a
large quantity of marks was accumulated by representatives of German banks in the most important foreign-exchange centers that the Reichsbank started an active foreign-exchange policy.

The exact means used by the Reichsbank in supporting the mark are not known. Sales of gold, however, did not take place, for in the weekly statements issued by the Reichsbank its gold holdings show no decrease. Apparently, it sold foreign bills mainly in New York, though similar transactions took place also in Berlin, Amsterdam, and London. The larger supply of foreign bills was first felt in Berlin, where the market was almost unable to absorb all the bills offered, and an improvement of mark exchange set in almost immediately. Furthermore, the Reichsbank did everything in its power to curb the demand for foreign exchange for speculative purposes. It declined to make advances against foreign bills of exchange and to discount certain finance bills. This, combined with the high cost of money ( 300 per cent per annum was charged for loans intended for foreign exchange speculation), made speculation in foreign exchange unprofitable and resulted in a slackened demand for foreign currencies. The foreign-exchange policy of the Reichsbank affected the value of the mark indirectly as well as directly. Purchasers of foreign currencies, when the mark was at a lower level, sold them in order to avoid further losses. Thus the supply of foreign bills increased, while the demand for them decreased, for holders of marks were unwilling to sell so long as the mark was on the upward swing. The increased demand for marks from French sources may also be mentioned as a cause of the recovery of mark exchange.

## FEASIBILITY OF TEMPORARY STABILIZATION.

Temporary stabilization of the mark can be accomplished by the use of a comparatively small amount of gold, and the Reichsbank has at its disposal more than enough gold for this purpose. On January 1 notes in circulation amounted to about $1,300,000,000,000$ paper marks, corresponding to approximately 670,000,000 gold marks. At that time the gold reserves of the Reichsbank exceeded the total value of all its outstanding notes by about $334,000,000$ gold marks. Under these conditions it would not have been difficult for the Reichsbank to buy enough mark bills to prevent the catastrophic decline of the mark in January. Therefore, the statement that
foreign holders of mark exchange determine the value of the mark and that the Reichsbank would be powerless if the latter should throw their holdings on the market does not seem to be correct. The amount of marks held abroad, if compared with the total amount of notes in circulation at present, is comparatively small and their value in gold almost negligible. The total amount of German bank notes held abroad and the amount of mark deposits of foreigners with German banks at the end of 1921 were estimated by the Reichsbank at between 25 to 30 billion and 35 billion marks, respectively. No estimates are available as to the amount by which these two items increased during the past year. In view, however, of the general attitude of foreigners toward the mark and German securities during the past year, it is not likely that the total amount of notes held abroad and of mark deposits of foreigners with German banks would exceed 100 billion paper marks at the end of 1922. Thus, figured at the January 1, 1923, rate, all marks owned by foreigners amounted to not more than $\$ 14,000,000$. Fifty million gold marks would therefore be sufficient to absorb practically all the notes offered for sale abroad and the influence of foreigners on the quotation of the mark could thus be almost entirely eliminated.

Furthermore, the violent decline of mark exchange during January has made the task of stabilizing the mark easier. On January 31, 1923, Reichsbank notes in circulation amounted to about $1,900,000,000,000$ paper marks, representing a value of about $140,000,000$ gold marks. The total amount of marks held abroad, estimated above at $100,000,000,000$ paper marks, thus represents a value of about $\$ 2,100,000$ or about $8,500,000$ gold marks, which, when compared with the gold reserve of the Reichsbank, is insignificant.

Although the amount of marks held abroad or for foreign account in Germany is very small in terms of gold, and could readily be bought up by the Reichsbank, nevertheless under present conditions the Reichsbank can not for long continue its active foreign exchange policy. For in the long run the exchange value of a currency on a paper basis is determined by the demand for and supply of foreign bills. It is true that the Reichsbank is able to absorb all the marks offered for sale abroad, since this amount increases only comparatively slowly, owing to the fact that practically all imports into Germany are billed in foreign currencies, but this very fact tends to increase the demand
for foreign exchange in Berlin. How great the demand is, even if one disregards reparation payments, may be seen from the fact that during 1922 the balance of trade was more than $2,000,000,000$ gold marks against Germany. These figures are only approximate, but they make it clear that in Berlin the demand for foreign bills greatly exceeds the supply. This situation is further aggravated by the French occupation of the Ruhr region, which forces Germany to import foreign coal in increasing quantities. Monthly average imports of coal from England from July to December, 1922, were estimated at about $1,300,000$ tons. Due to the extreme decline of the mark during January, the importation of British coal during that month decreased to about 600,000 tons, but it increased rapidly during February, and at present surpasses the average monthly imports for the second half of 1922. The larger imports of coal from Great Britain into Germany have also increased the total value of the trade between the two countries. During the calendar year 1922 Great Britain exported to Germany goods representing a value of $£ 32,000,000$, as compared with $£ 18,000,000$ in the preceding year. What steps the German Government or the Reichsbank will take to meet this situation is unknown. It is worth emphasizing, however, that the large unfavorable balance of trade is, aside from the reparation question, the most difficult problem in the final solution of the German currency question.

## RELATION OF STABILIZATION TO OREDIT AND PRICES.

Effects upon credit.-Despite the temporary stabilization of the value of the mark abroad, domestic currency inflation in February and March proceeded more rapidly than in previous months. On January 6, 1923, the total amount of Reichsbank notes in circulation was $1,336,501,000,000$ marks, while on March 7 it had risen to $3,871,256,000,000$, an increase of $2,534,755,000,000$ marks for the two months. This rise is due mainly to the rapid increase of discounted private notes held by the Reichsbank, which during the same period increased by $1,598,859,000,000$ marks. The steady depreciation of the currency and the rising price level cause bankers and business men to borrow increasing amounts from the Reichsbank, for at the time of repayment the value of the liquidated borrowings amounts only to a fraction of the funds originally bor-
rowed. While private banks have been able to protect themselves against this depreciation by a progressive commission charge or even in some instances by participating in the profits of the borrower, the Reichsbank was unable to adopt such measures. To curb this great demand for credit the Reichsbank raised its discount rate on January 18, 1923, from 10 to 12 per cent. This increase of the discount rate, however, can have no effect on the demand for credit so long as the open market rate ranges between 40 and 300 per cent per annum. Thus, the Reichsbank was forced to adopt other means to restrict borrowing. One of them was a careful scrutiny of all bills presented for discount and the adoption of the rule that all bills must bear three signatures and have their origin in useful and essential business transactions. Furthermore, the Reichsbank established more or less definite lines of credit for all persons and firms seeking accommodation. These measures tended to decrease the amount of bills presented for rediscount, but they were entirely offset by the free discounting of bills of Westphalian industrialists.

The rise of the discount rate of the Reichsbank from 10 to 12 per cent shows how abnormal are credit conditions in Germany. This situation appears even more striking when one compares the bank rate at Berlin with rates prevailing in other countries with highly inflated currencies. These rates, with the dates when they were fixed, are as follows: Germany, January 18, 1923, 12 per cent; Austria, September 4, 1922, 9 per cent; Poland, September, 1921, 7 per cent; Rumania, September 4, 1920, 6 per cent.

When one considers that at the present time the discount rate in England and Switzerland is 3 per cent and in Holland 4 per cent, the contrast with Germany becomes even more striking. The above figures also indicate the extent to which the international money market is disturbed, so that practically no relationship exists between the credit situation and the discount rate prevailing in the different countries in Europe.

Effects of stabilization upon prices.--The breakdown and recovery of mark exchange during the first two months of the year were immediately reflected in the movement of prices. The wholesale index number of the Frankfurter Zeitung advanced from 205,417 on January 1 to 715,881 on February 1, an increase of 348 per cent, while the dollar as expressed in marks increased during the same time by 458
per cent. As usual, prices of commodities based upon imports moved automatically with the foreign exchanges, while those of domestic raw materials and industrial products lagged considerably behind. The relation between prices of domestic and foreign products in a rising market may be seen from the following figures, taken from the Frankfurter Zeitung. The index of 10 typical domestic products (rye, potatoes, eggs, hay, hides, alcohol, bricks, cement, potash, and industrial alcohol) increased during January from 150,657 to 466,558 or about 210 per cent. The index of 10 typical imported commodities (rice, cocoa, coffee, cotton, jute, rubber, copper, tin, maize, and petroleum) increased during the same period from 222,115 to 986,278 , or 334 per cent. In many instances the prices of imported goods exceeded the prices in other countries, as may be seen from the following table:

Prices of Selected Commodities in the United States and Germany.
[Expressed in marks.]

| Commodity and market. | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } 15 \\ 1914 . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan. 2, } \\ & 1923 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Feb. 6, } \\ & 1923 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Feb. } 13, \\ 1923 . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Feb. } 16, \\ 1923 . \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wheat, per quintal: |  |  |  |  |  |
| New York. | 14. 40 | 35, 644 | 176,363 | 132,313 | 94, 043 |
| Berlin. | 14.39 | 36,033 | 180,080 | 139,510 | 92,590 |
| Corn, per quintal: | 11. 70 | 20,266 | 108,532 | 78,540 |  |
| Hamburg | 14.50 | 31, 200 | 138,000 | 124, 000 | 70, 000 |
| Lard, per quintal: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chicago....... | 94.90 | 176,082 | 916, 708 | 652, 796 | 473,863 |
| Berlin......... | 119.00 | 194, 010 | 632,500 | 475, 000 | 345,000 |
| Flour, per quin |  |  |  |  |  |
| New York. | 17.90 | 44,719 | 230, 222 | 163,578 | 119,538 |
| Berlin... Sugar, per qui | 17.90 | 45,321 | 235, 650 | 172,920 | 117,980 |
| Sugar, per quin <br> New York. | 31.90 | 89,627 | 451, 616 | 414,888 | 275, 897 |
| Berlin. | 28.77 | 89,000 | 462, 200 | 340, 000 | 236,000 |
| Cotton, per kilogram: New York | 1.24 | 4,186 | 23,344 | 16,239 | 12,078 |
| Bromen. | 1.28 | 4,796 | 26, 003 | 19,110 | 13,035 |

The prices given in the above table are only approximate, mainly owing to the different methods of grading and quoting in the two markets, but they indicate clearly the close relation between prices of imported goods and the exchange rate. In practically all cases prices of such commodities as expressed in marks were higher in Berlin than in New York. The price difference, however, if expressed in dollars, is negligible and reflects merely the additional cost of transportation, insurance, and other minor items. The higher pre-war prices in Berlin as compared with New York were due to the protective tariff of the former German Government.

The improvement of the mark in February resulted in a decrease of prices for the first
time in 20 months. The decline of the general price level, however, was more erractic and much slower than the upward movement of the mark. The index of all commodities of the Frankfurter Zeitung showed a decrease of 5.4 per cent, while the value of the mark increased during the same period about 40 per cent. Imported commodities decreased more rapidly and thus reflected very well the method of price calculation at present prevailing in Germany. Prices, at least of imported goods, are calculated almost entirely in gold or on a dollar basis, and the amount of marks they command depends upon the relation of the mark to the dollar or the "gold mark." Thus, for instance, textiles and leather, which depend upon imports of raw materials, decreased in price by 33.2 per cent during February, moving almost as rapidly as the exchange rate. On the other hand, domestic products or those based mainly upon domestic labor changed in price very slowly, and in most cases did not decline, but even increased. The index of the group of "industrial finished products" and of "miscellaneous articles" increased during February 15.7 and 30 per cent, respectively, despite the rapid improvement of the mark abroad.

The reasons for this situation are manifold and deserve closer attention. In the first place the rise in prices of domestic commodities during January was considerably behind the increase of the dollar. While the dollar as expressed in marks increased during January sevenfold, prices of domestic products increased only threefold, so that gold prices of domestic goods on the eve of the mark recovery were lower than before, and the decrease of the dollar from about 48,000 marks to about 20,000 tended to bring about an equalization of prices. The adaptation of such commodities to the valuation of the dollar is much slower, and this process continued after the mark started on its upward course. Furthermore, whenever the mark shows an improvement abroad there is a general tendency of prices to approach the world market level.

Secondly, prices of coal and iron have increased very rapidly. During January, coal rose from 38,044 marks per metric ton to 68,411 marks, hematite iron from 181,200 to 334,800 marks, steel ingots from 197,300 to 623,000 marks, and bars from 270,000 to 860,000 marks. Due to the occupation of the Ruhr and the scarcity of these commodities in the unoccupied parts of Germany, prices of these commodities did not respond to the improvement of the mark.

Thirdly, the cost of labor could not be decreased so long as the cost of living did not show the same tendency. Doctor Kuczynski estimated the weekly expenditures of a family of four in Berlin during the first and second half of February at 81,671 marks and 90,350 marks, respectively, as compared with 30,000 marks for the first half of January. True, certain commodities like rationed bread decreased, but many other items, such as rent and fuel, increased. The costs of labor, coal, steel, and iron are mainly responsible for the increase in prices of industrial finished products during February.
The rise of domestic prices is also due to some extent to the continuous increase in the cost of transportation. During the past year the Federal railway administration increased its rates with each decline in the value of the mark. The following table showing increases of freight rates during 1922 and the beginning of 1923 will best illustrate this situation.

Increases in Railway Freight Rates.

| Date. | Per cent. | Date. | Per cent. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1922. |  | 1922. |  |
| Mebr 1 | 332 | Oct. 15. | ${ }_{50}^{60}$ |
| Apr. 1. | 40 | Dec. 1... | 150 |
| May 1. | 20 |  |  |
| June 1. | 25 | 1923. |  |
| July 1. | 25 | Jan. 1.. | 70 |
| Sept. 1. Oct. $1 .$. | 50 100 | Feb. 15. | 100 |

As compared with pre-war freight rates, the rate of February 15 represents a 7,400 -fold increase, which corresponds to a valuation of the dollar at about 30,000 marks.

The above causes are not peculiar to the price development during the first three months in 1923, for tendencies leading to the same causes have been apparent ever since the mark began its downward course. The artificial stabilization of the mark abroad and the continuing increase of paper notes in circulation, however, have created an entirely new situation which will have great effects on prices in Germany. A stabilized mark abroad will tend to make imported commodities subject only to the international price movement, while continued issues of huge quantities of paper money will tend to raise domestic prices in Germany. If the mark should remain stable and notes in circulation should increase to the same extent as during the last few weeks, the internal and external value of the mark would soon approach.
each other and prices of German domestic products would reach or even exceed the gold price level. Up to the middle of February the exchange value of the mark was an important factor in determining prices in Germany. Since the exchange factor has been for the time being eliminated, future price developments in Germany will be watched with great interest. Under normal conditions the present currency situation would soon lead to a point where German prices would exceed prices in other countries, which would result in an increase of imports and a decrease of exports. It should not be overlooked, however, that prices in Germany are to a considerable extent controlled and that a large part of the newly issued paper marks are used in the occupied parts of Germany.

It is difficult to say what effect the improvement of mark exchange and its temporary stabilization will have on the business activity of the country. The fall of the mark during January and its recovery in February were so violent and rapid that it could not affect to any large extent orders placed from abroad. During the entire first quarter of the present year, however, there was a general tendency toward slackening of activity in many industries, especially textiles and steel and iron. This tendency was further accelerated by the Ruhr occupation and the comparative scarcity and high prices of coal. The decrease in business activity is also reflected in the increase of unemployment. On February 1, 252,783, or 4.4 per cent, of the union men were unemployed, as compared with 2.8 per cent in January, 1923. Unions also report that at the end of January 13 per cent of their members were working on a part-time basis.

## GOLD AND COMMODITY LOANS.

The instability of the mark has shaken the belief of German nationals in their currency to such an extent that in spite of the recent temporary stabilization of the mark there is an insistent demand for a stable currency and a stable standard of value. This demand became very general after the passing of the foreign exchange act of October, 1922, which made it almost impossible for the average German citizen to invest his savings in a stable currency or security.

Commodity loans.-In an effort to find a relatively stable basis for investment securities, a number of public and private institutions in Germany have floated loans based upon some primary commodity, such as rye, coal, coke,
and wheat. The first commodity loan was floated by the Staatliche Kreditanstalt Oldenburg (State Credit Office of Oldenburg) which issued the Oldenburgische Roggenanweisungen, representing a value of $6,000,000$ kilograms of rye in the form of nontransferable certificates of 125 kilograms each. The shares are redeemable at a sum equivalent to the price of 150 kilograms of rye, corresponding to the average price of rye in the Berlin produce exchange during the three? months preceding the date of redemption. The assets of the Oldenburg Credit Office serve as security for the issued certificates, which are already listed on the Bremen and Berlin stock exchanges. On the Berlin stock exchange the shares sell for about the current price of 125 kilograms of rye, plus freight from Berlin to Oldenburg.
The example of the State of Oldenburg was followed by the Hanoverian State Credit Institution, by the free State of MecklenburgSchwerin, by the free State of Saxony, and by a number of private enterprises, among which the most important are the Roggenrentenbank, A. G. Berlin, and the Deutsche Aktiengesellschaft für Landeskultur of Berlin. All the floated commodity loans are similar in principle to the one above described. There are. however, slight exceptions. Thus, for instance, the certificates of the Deutsche Aktiengesellschaft für Landeskultur have the advantage of being convertible into gold marks if the value of 100 kilograms of rye, according to the average daily quotation of the Berlin exchange for the three months preceding the date of redemption, is below the value of 468 grams of fine gold.

The main advantage of such commodity loans lies in the protection they offer against losses through further currency depreciation, a fact which induced many Germans to buy these bonds, notwithstanding the many disadvantages inherent in this type of loan.

The dollar loan.-The most important "stable" loan floated in Germany is the $\$ 50,000,000$ loan of the Government. It is a gold obligation of the Reich in the form of short-term treasury bonds and is to run for only three years, 19231926. The bonds bear no interest, but are redeemable at maturity at 120 , so that they yield practically 6 per cent. To induce the general public to subscribe to the loan, the treasury certificates are guaranteed by the Reichsbank, are of denominations as low as $\$ 1$, and may be rediscounted with the Darlehnskassen. Subscriptions, however, can not be mgde in paper marks, but only in gold or in
currencies of the United States, Great Britain, or of the former neutral countries. The loan is floated by a syndicate comprising practically all German banks of any importance. The syndicate took over one-half of the loan unconditionally and offered the rest to the general public. According to newspaper reports, only about $\$ 12,500,000$ of the loan was subscribed by private individuals. The floating and the absorption of aloan of $\$ 50,000,000$, unimportant as it may appear in the United States, is a difficult task for present-day Germany. The difficulty of such a loan becomes more apparent if the loan is converted into paper marks and compared with the general resources of the Reichsbank. Fifty million gold dollars at the present rate of exchange amount to about 1,000 billion paper marks, a very large sum, if one considers that the total deposits of the Reichsbank on February 7 amounted only to about 800 billion paper marks. There is reason to believe that a certain part of the loan will be taken in Holland and Switzerland. Some of the treasury bonds have also been offered for sale in the United States. The purpose of this loan is twofold. First, and mainly, to strengthen the Reichsbank in its effort to support the mark, and, secondly, to provide the general public with a class of security which is not subject to depreciation.

Relation between gold and paper currency.Simultaneously with the floating of the gold loan comes the request of the Central Association of German Wholesale Traders to the Federal Ministry of Economics that the Reichsbank issue bills of exchange and open current accounts on a gold basis. Although it is not as yet known what the attitude of the Reichsbank is toward this request, it is nevertheless a proof of the impossibility of doing business on the basis of a highly depreciated currency and expresses very well the desire of the German business world to return to the gold standard. The impossibility of doing business on a paper mark basis was realized long ago by bankers and merchants, who, since the mark started on its downward course, have used gold as a general standard of value, while the paper mark served merely the function of a medium of exchange. As a matter of fact, in some instances the price of certain commodities has become the standard by which the value of the paper money is determined. This situation became more pronounced during 1922, when practically all of the wholesalers put their calculation on a gold basis and received payment in paper marks in accord-
ance with the daily quotation of the dollar. This method of doing business prevails in all countries with highly depreciated currencies. Practically all dealings of any importance in Russia, Poland, Austria, and other central and eastern European countries are carried out on a gold or dollar basis, while actual payment is made in the paper currency of the country.

## ECONOMIC CONDITIONS IN CHILE DURING 1922.

## INDUSTRIAL CONDITIONS.

The uncertain situation in the nitrate industry at the beginning of 1922, the effects of which were felt throughout the entire country, is generally regarded as the principal reason for the relatively depressed commercial situation and the unsatisfactory condition of the Government's finances. In 1921 the position of the nitrate industry was extremely unsatisfactory, and at the close of that year there were about $2,200,000$ tons of nitrate available for export as compared with a total of $1,783,000$ tons at the end of 1920 and 872,000 tons on December 31, 1913. The result was that a considerable number of officinas were closed in 1921 and production was therefore substantially diminished in 1922. The decline in production, however, has not reduced the available stocks to a point which can be reasonably regarded as a normal level. By March the total stocks at the coast had been reduced to $2,149,000$ tons and by December to about $1,230,000$ tons, which, while substantially below the stocks held on the corresponding date during the two previous years, was still considerably larger than the supply at the end of 1913. Nevertheless, greater activity had developed in the nitrate market by the close of 1922. Export sales announced by the Nitrate Association totaled 2,974,804 metric quintals ${ }^{1}$ in December, an increase of $1,451,112$ quintals over the previoushigh mark established in November. Total exports for the calendar year reached $1,300,782$ metric tons, as against $1,100,000$ tons in 1921. In this connection attention must be called to the fact that exports during the first half of 1922 reached only 260,000 tons, whereas during the second semester about 1,040,782 tons were exported. According to the Nitrate Association, orders for future delivery on December 31 totaled

[^16]448,612 metric tons. The delivery dates on these contracts are as follows:

| Months, 1923. | Metric tons. |
| :---: | :---: |
| January | 141,713 |
| February. | 127, 582 |
| March ... | 42,156 |
| April. | 9,144 |
| June. | 128,017 |
| Total | 448,612 |

At the close of the year stocks at the coast had been reduced to $1,230,000$ tons, while the visible supply on hand in Europe, Egypt, and the United States totaled only 512,712 tons. These figures compare most favorably with those for 1921. The figures for December 31, 1921, were $1,489,802$ tons on the Chilean coast and 938,223 tons in foreign countries, including stocks in transit. The cost of nitrate production in Chile has been further reduced by the fall in the price of coal, oil, bags, etc., as well as by the cheapness of labor. It may be safely stated, therefore, that at the end of 1922 the nitrate industry of Chile was in a sounder condition than it had been for the two years past, and although selling prices were comparatively low the producing companies, aided by reduced costs and low Chilean exchange, were working on a profitable basis. The Nitrate Association, furthermore, has reported that, while the production of artificial nitrate in Germany has been greatly assisted by the fall of the German mark, the production costs have advanced to such an extent that the product can not be sold profitably at a price lower than that of the Chilean nitrate. In view of this, Chilean producers hope that Germany will again in the near future assume the important place which she occupied before the war as a purchaser of the Chilean product.
The firm tone of the American copper market was reflected in the Chilean copper industries during the last two months of 1922 , with the result that increasing activity in this line of production was apparent at the beginning of the current year. Reliable estimates have placed current production in December at 70,000 tons per month and domestic consumption, plus exports, at 80,000 tons. At the end of November, local stocks of refined copper were placed at approximately 100,000 tons. Total copper shipments during 1922 amounted to 120,000 metric tons, as compared with the total exports during 1921 of 123,000 metric tons. This reduction is explained by
the fact that the depression of 1921 continued until about the middle of 1922, and the bulk of the copper exported in 1922 was shipped during the latter half of the year.

As a result of the considerable improvement in the condition of the nitrate and copper industries of Chile and the rapid development of the wool industry in the South, unemployment had been almost eliminated at the close of 1922. On December 7 the last group of unemployed laborers maintained at public expense in temporary shelters at Santiago since the nitrate crisis of 1922 were sent back to the nitrate region. Since the demand for native coal has not increased to any extent, the majority of the coal miners of central Chile were working on a four-day-a-week schedule at the end of 1922. In the southern region, however, a slight surplus of labor became apparent during the last three months of the year, with the result that the governor of Magallanes Territory urged the local steamship companies to discourage the coming of additional workmen to that region.

The condition of the 1922 crops is considered satisfactory. The wheat crop is estimated at 598,146 tons or only 5,000 tons less than that of the previous year. Wool production for the past year is estimated at $38,000,000$ pounds, of which a large part was sold at prices considerably higher than those prevailing in the previous year.

During 1922 no change of importance occurred in the manufacturing industries of Chile. Several local industries established during the war are, however, feeling the effects of the competition of foreign manufacturers. A noteworthy event in the industrial situation of Chile was the decision of the Government to purchase 2,000 railway cars of steel construction to be built locally. Reports indicate that the railways of the central section of Chile at the end of 1922 were in a better condition than they were at the close of 1921, and the many railway labor troubles that have been so disturbing during the past few years have been settled for the time at least. The British-owned system of railways in Chile reported unsatisfactory earnings at the beginning of the year, but showed improvement during the last half of 1922. An outstanding feature in the railroad situation of Chile during that year was that the Government issued a decree unifying and extending the concession of the Nitrate Railways under which the property is to be handed over to the Government at the end of 1972, while $£ 1,000,000$ will have to be spent on improvements within the next few years.

## FOREIGN TRADE AND EXCHANGE.

The Central Statistical Office of Chile has so far released the foreign-trade figures only for the first 10 months of 1922. These indicate that the volume of trade in 1921 was not as large as in the previous year and that conditions in 1922 showed little improvement. The following table shows the quantity and value of imports and exports of principal articles in Chile's foreign commerce during the first 10 months of 1922, as compared with the same period in 1921.
[Quantities in kilos unless otherwise indicated.]

| Commodity. | First 10 months- |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1921 |  | 1922 |  |
|  | Quantity. | Value (gold pesos of 18d.). | Quantity. | Value (gold pesos of 18d.). |
| IMPORTS. |  |  |  |  |
| Edible oil | 1,772,570 | 2,251,109 | 2, 107, 531 | 2,528,986 |
| Rice | 7,092,441 | 2,996,109 | 10,640, 048 | 3, 457, 313 |
| Sugar | 54, 926, 428 | 18, 120,927 | 71, 641,941 | 12, 176, 983 |
| Coffee | 1,430, 493 | 1,117, 068 | 4,012,911 | 2, 762,610 |
| Tea. | 1, 053, 602 | 2,313,243 | 480, 159 | 730,975 |
| Wines and liquor | 128,180 | -519,910 | 50,861 | 157, 150 |
| Print paper.. | 6, 455,995 | 4, 341, 333 | 9, 183, 457 | 2, 306,636 |
| Fuel oil 2. | 350,507 | 6, 644, 466 | 635, 113 | 4,518,572 |
| Coal ${ }^{2}$. | 474,735 | 18, 720, 609 | 165, 157 | 4, 571, 649 |
| Cement | 35,978, 947 | 3,025, 799 | 24, 339, 406 | 1, 181, 378 |
| Pig iron. | 3,097,667 | 560,109 | 2,885, 166 | 893,977 |
| Tin plate | 2,518,309 | 1,556, 634 | 4,423, 853 | 1,234,891 |
| Bags........ | 24,212,091 | 21, 603,426 | 2, 597, 155 | 1, 250, 233 |
| Automobiles ${ }^{3}$ | , 285 | 1,712,927 | , 32 | 193,683 |
| Yerba maté. | 1, 794, 723 | 942, 857 | 4,529, 150 | 2,242,941 |
| EXPORTS. |  |  |  |  |
| Nitrate ${ }^{4}$ | 40, 022, 252 | 230, 458, 511 | 7,032,539 | 96, 705, 616 |
| Iodine. | 422,377 | 9,779, 028 | 202,145 | 4,679,656 |
| Borax. | 18,920, 390 | 22, 797, 204 | 39, 724, 872 | 41,313,938 |
| Copper bars | 42, 074, 912 | $29,919,613$ | 85, 864, 309 | 64, 604, 849 |
| Copper ore. | 43, 988, 892 | 3,183, 166 | 91, 406, 341 | 4,749,578 |
| Wool. | 11, 011, 476 | 7,111, 555 | 7,388,612 | 3,299,892 |
| Wheat | 11, 291, 725 | 7, 898, 641 | 2,467, 639 | 352,029 |
| Wheat flour. | 8,710, 438 | 2,587,593 | 8,950, 141 | 2,274,998 |
| Barley | 41, 298, 040 | 4,637, 174 | 30,322, 954 | 3,077,776 |
| Beans. | 13, 225, 154 | 2,323, 987 | 9,054,598 | 1, 597, 586 |
| Oats. | 25, 857, 817 | 2,195, 484 | 14,377, 675 | 1,315, 163 |

Imports of all commodities, with the exception of coal, cement, bags, tea, and wines, were considerably increased. The decrease in the imports of tea is compensated, however, by the striking increase in imports of yerba mate. It may be noted that articles which could be classed as luxuries, such as wines and liquors, showed a marked reduction, and others, such as automobiles, did not increase substantially, thus clearly indicating that the purchasing power of Chile still showed signs of impairment as a result of the economic crisis in the previous year. With regard to exports, particular attention must be called to the fact that the copper figures compare most favorably with
those of the previous year, and the exports of borax, the third most important article of export, also showed remarkable improvement. On the other hand, nitrate, the mainstay of Chile, showed a severe reduction, which, coupled with the considerable decrease in the exports of other important staples, such as oats, barley, and wheat, were responsible for the general depression of trade and business felt throughout Chile during 1922. At the close of the year, however, the foreign-trade outlook was somewhat more promising.

Exchange fluctuations during 1922 were relatively small. During the early part of the year an upward trend was firmly established, and in July the peso had reached the high mark of 14.31 cents, as against 10 cents in the same month during the previous year and 10.75 cents at the beginning of 1922. After July it fell again and the downward trend continued until the end of the year, Chilean pesos being quoted in December at an average of 12.40 cents. The difficult financial position of the country, due to budget deficits and the 1921 commercial crisis, easily explains the fact that the Chilean peso has during the past year been quoted at very much less than the average of most preceding years, while the depressed condition of the nitrate industry, which existed until very recently, explains much of Chile's present unsatisfactory economic position. There is, however, a very important factor which may lead to an improvement in Chile's position within a short time, namely, that this country, unlike many others, has not indulged in inflation of its currency. In point of fact, the various note issues amounted to $289,460,771$ pesos in June, 1920, and had increased by the end of that year to $302,821,919$ pesos and to $329,202,953$ pesos by June, 1921; declining, however, to $324,631,120$ pesos in December of that year and to $262,995,594$ pesos on October 31, 1922. The conversion fund held against the fiscal notes at the end of 1922 amounted to $114,724,780$ gold pesos of 18 d ., of which $21,502,780$ pesos are deposited in the Bank of Engtand and $93,218,999$ pesos in the Santiago treasury, the ratio of gold to the issue of fiscal notes being 77 per cent. Another sign indicating the absence of inflation is the fact that total bank deposits during the year decreased moderately, as may be seen from the figures given on page 484. Toward the close of the year the presence on the market of a large number of gold drafts received from nitrate shipments, coupled with the treasury sale of approximately $£ 1,000,000$ needed to satisfy outstand-
ing accounts, had a beneficial influence on the exchange, enabling the peso to regain some of the ground lost during the temporary recession of November. On the 1st day of December the 90 -day rate on New York was 8.17 pesos to the dollar and the rate on London 36.90 pesos per pound. These rates were gradually lowered during the month, the final quotations of the 31 st being 7.74 and 35.90 , respectively. Since the nitrate season was at its height during that month, the opinion was general that a more decisive improvement than that shown by the foregoing figures was to be expected. The fact that such expectations were not realized has seemed to demonstrate that the principal cause of the fall in exchange was due to the position of the Government finances. According to the opinion of prominent economists of Chile, the frequent cabinet crises in 1922, together with the increasing issue of treasury notes and the contracting of foreign loans to cover fiscal deficits, exerted a depressing influence on the currency which even heary and regular sales of sterling and dollar drafts could not fully counteract. The following table shows the Bank of Chile's quotations on 90 -day drafts on New York, London, and Madrid, and the local premium on gold pesos, used for customs charges, etc., by months during 1922:

| [Monthly averages.] |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month. | Premium (per cold (pent). | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Exchange } \\ & \text { on London } \\ & \text { (pesos. per } \\ & \text { E). } \end{aligned}$ |  | Exchange on Mandid (peses per pesta) |
| January | ${ }^{227.32}$ | ${ }^{43.33}$ | ${ }^{10.3212}$ | 1.5280 |
| March. | 203.96 | 39.10 | 8.9681 | 1.4011 |
| April. | ${ }_{2}^{212.72}$ |  | ${ }_{8}^{9.07159}$ | (1.4042 |
| June. | ${ }_{189} 18.37$ | 35.79 | ${ }_{8}^{8} .0989$ | ${ }_{1.2657}^{1.25}$ |
| July.... |  | 34.43 <br> 32.20 <br> 320 | ${ }^{7} 7.78{ }_{7}^{7635}$ | (1.2085 |
| September | ${ }_{156.15}^{156}$ | - 32.205 | 7.2354 | ${ }_{1}^{1.10939}$ |
| October. | 157. 83 | $3{ }^{32.37}$ | 7.2933 | 1.1132 |
| Nocember. | 182.29 <br> 183.65 | ${ }_{36.65}^{36.15}$ | ${ }_{\text {8, }}^{8.0932}$ | ${ }_{1.2448}^{1.2327}$ |

As a supplement to the foreign exchange table contained in the July, 1922, issue of the Bulletin (p. 824), the following table is presented, showing the high and low monthly average quotations of the Chilean peso in cents during 1922:

|  | High. | Low. |  | High. | Low. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January. | 10.750 | 9. 500 | July. | 13.610 | 12.700 |
| February | 11. 125 | 9.750 | August. | 14.310 | 13. 420 |
| March. | 11.875 | 11.000 | September | 14.040 | 13.380 |
| April. | 11.375 | 11.000 | October. | 13.800 | 13.250 |
| May. | 12.500 | 11. 250 | November | 13.420 | 11.810 |
| June | 12.875 | 11. 125 | December. | 13.050 | 11.850 |

## BANKING AND PUBLIC FINANCE.

The outstanding feature during 1922 in the banking activity of the Republic of Chile was that bank deposits showed only a limited movement. It is important, however, to note the striking decrease in gold deposits which was registered throughout the year. The following table shows the condition of the Chilean banks at the end of the first threequarters of 1922, and on October 31, 1922 :

| Date. | Cash on hand (in pesos). |  |  |  | $\mathrm{C}_{\text {ash }} \text { items. }$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Notes. | Silver. | Gold. |  | Paper currency. | Gold. |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1921 \\ \text { Oct. } 31 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 67,405,724 \\ 164,172,789 \end{array}$ | 805,092 |  | 3, 831, 050 | 79, 134, 482 | 5,075,384 |
| Dec. 31.. |  | 1,359, 116 | 8,383, 447 |  | 76,952, 199 | 1,069, 181 |
| $\begin{gathered} 1922 . \\ \text { Mar. } 31 . \end{gathered}$ | 124,586, 244 | 1,387, 281 | 7,002, 213 |  | 64, 265, 630 | 2,945,479 |
| June 30.. | 149, 199, 115 | 1,629, 422 | 7, 842,984 |  | 75, 903, 531 | 1,645,311 |
| Sept. 30. | 105, 787, 000 | $1,903,399$ | 13, 138, 397 |  | 128,683, 227 | $\begin{aligned} & 3,068,541 \\ & 2,418,452 \end{aligned}$ |
| Oct. 31. . | 115, 922, 080 | 2,139,701 | 15,079, 082 |  | 108, 942, 106 |  |
| Date. | Deposits. |  |  | Loans and discounts. |  |  |
|  | Paper cur rency. | Gold. |  | Paper currency. |  | Gold. |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oct. 31.. | 557,179,930 | 97, 584, 465 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 756,043,254 \\ 1,032,593,613 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 89,477,161 \\ & 83,949,156 \end{aligned}$ |
| Dec. 31. | 824, 115, 592 | 102, 666, 482 |  |  |  |  |
| 1922. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mar. 31. | 855, 405, 322 | 101, 248, 057 |  | 1,084,975, 509 |  | 83,955,977 |
| June 30. | 448, 120,377 | 60, 400,354 |  | 1, 115,937, 394 |  | 87, 466, 290 |
| Sept. 30. | 888, 192,303 | 92, 845,605 |  | 1, 131, 285, 779 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 108,803,322 \\ & 109,777,350 \end{aligned}$ |
| Oct. 31. | . 899,299,301 | - 81,079 | ,923 | 1,15 | 821,335 |  |

Customs receipts improved considerably during the latter part of 1922, those for December reaching $11,955,931$ gold pesos, as compared with $12,881,811$ pesos in the preceding month and $5,089,629$ pesos during December, 1921. Total collections for the calendar year 1922 reached $86,882,246$ pesos, an increase of 704,323 pesos over the total for 1921. Encouraging evidence of the improvement in the country's foreign trade during the last months of 1922 is found in the fact that collections for the last quarter amounted to $35,560,080$ pesos, or over 41 per cent of the year's total, whereas collections effected during the last quarter of 1921 reached only $15,505,644$ pesos, or 18 per cent of the year's total. Furthermore, the local stocks of merchandise were unusually low at the close of the year and are being replenished at present. This fact, coupled with the news that the Nitrate Association had already closed at that time contracts for future de-
livery aggregating shipments close to a half million tons, indicates that the heary movements of imports and exports which were passing through Chilean customhouses at the end of the year are not likely to decrease in 1923. The only loan floated by Chile in the United States during 1922 was that for \$18,000,000 , placed by the National City Co. on November 1, 1922, details of which were given in the February issue of the Bulletin (p.203).

## PRESENT CONDITIONS.

The slow recovery in the general economic situation of Chile noted at the close of the year 1922, following the moderate reduction in trade during last October and November, has partially continued during the first three months of 1923. The demand for funds is increasing, and this demand appears to be for business needs rather than for speculative operations. No important commercial failures have been reported during the first three months of 1923, and collections on new imports are proceeding satisfactorily. On the other hand, sales of nitrate have fallen off
somewhat since the first of February, due undoubtedly to the uncertain European-situation. During the first three weeks of that month 120,000 metric tons were" booked, while in January 200,000 were sold. January exports of nitrate añounted to 223,000 metric tons and production was placed at 134,000 tons, thus materially reducing the stocks on hand. During February, 54 plants were producing nitrate, one more than in December, 1922. Exchange has registered a slight drop during the first three months of the year, the respective average monthly quotations being 12.81, 11.99, and 12.67 cents. For the purpose of meeting payments due for the construction of new lines and bridges and with the object of expediting the completion of public works already authorized, it is reported that the Government expects soon to sell at auction State lands in the Territorio de Magallanes, valued at $13,500,000$ pesos. It is stated that since the sale may be delayed some time, the Government intends to apply to some banking institutions for a short-term loan of approximately $13,000,000$ pesos with which to meet outstanding obligations.

## PRICE MOVEMENT AND VOLUME OF TRADE.

## INTERNATIONAL WHOLESALE PRICE INDEX.

The February movement of wholesale prices in the United States and those foreign countries covered by the Federal Reserve Board's index numbers was a continuation of the January advances. The indexes moved up 1 point in the United States, 3 points in England, 30 points in France, 4 points in Canada, and 7 points in Japan. These represent increases of less than 1 per cent in the United States, and of 1.7, 9.3, 2.3, and 4.3 per cent in the other countries, respectively.

There was likewise a slight rise in the price levels of all countries, computed on a gold basis, due to declines in foreign exchange rates in the case of France, Canada, and Japan. The index figures show that the gold price levels of the United States and England are more nearly equal than they have been at any time since May, 1922. The gold price level in Japan continues to rise above that of this country.

In the foreign countries marked advances occurred in the prices of goods in all stages of manufacture, but the rise of consumers' goods'lagged slightly behind that of raw materials a nd producers' goods. In the United States the prices of raw materials and consumers' goods were fairly steady, producers' goods alone showing any decided upward movement.

Conditions in foreign countries and the United States also differed with respect to commodities entering into foreign trade. In this country imported goods were mainly responsible for the higher price level, whereas in other countries domestic and imported goods shared alike in the price increases.

A more detailed account of recent price movements in England and France appears on pages 464-475 of this issue.


The table below gives the all-commodities index numbers of the five countries included in the Federal Reserve Board's international wholesale price index. Relative price levels are shown both in terms of the respective currencies and "converted to a gold basis." The latter figures take into account the depreciation of the foreign currencies in terms of the American doilar by the use of foreign exchange rates, and indicate therefore relative price levels in the several countries when all prices are expressed as dollars. There follows a table showing the index numbers of the various groups of commodities in each country.

INTERNATIONAL WHOLESALE PRICE INDEX-FEDERAL RESERVE BOARD.

| Year and month. | Based on prices in respective currencies. |  |  |  |  | Converted to gold basis. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | United States. | England. | France. | Canada. | Japan. | United States. | England. | France. | Canada. | Japan. |
| 1913, average. | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 1919, average.. | 211 | 241 |  | 207 |  | , 211 | 218 |  | 199 |  |
| 1920, a verage. | 239 | 314 | 478 | 250 |  | ' 239 | 237 | 174 | 223 |  |
| 1921, average. | 148 | 201 | 321 | 167 | 181 | 148 | 159 | 124 | 150 | 175 |
| 1922, average.......... | 157 | 167 | 298 | 149 | 182 | 157 | 152 | 126 | 147 | 175 |
| 1922. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Marchary... | 146 | 167 | 283 | 149 | 185 | 146 | 150 151 | 128 | 145 | 173 |
| April....... | 149 | 167 | 299 | 152 | 180 | 149 | 152 | 143 | 148 | 171 |
| May.... | 158 | 171 | 302 | 154 | 183 | 158 | 157 | 143 | 152 | 174 |
| June.. | 161 | 169 | 304 | 153 | 187 | 161 | 155 | 138 | 151 | 179 |
| July....... | 165 | 171 | 307 | 154 | 195 | 165 | 156 | 131 | 152 | 187 |
| August.... | 165 | 168 | 298 | 149 | 187 | 165 | 154 | 123 | 149 | 179 |
| September. | 164 | 165 | 294 | 144 | 179 | 164 | 150 | 117 | 144 | 171 |
| October.. | 165 | 163 | 294 | 145 | 174 | 165 | 148 | 112 | 145 | 168 |
| November. | 164 | 165 | 307 | 147 | 172 | 164 | 151 | 109 | 147 | 167 |
| December. | 164 | 166 | 315 | 147 | 173 | 164 | 157 | 118 | 146 | 170 |
| January............... | 165 | 167 | 324 | 149 | 176 | 165 | 160 | 112 | 148 | 172 |
| February. | 166 | 170 | 354 | 153 | 183 | 166 | 164 | 113 | 151 | 178 |

group index numbers of wholesale prices in the united states, england, france, canada, and japan. 1

| Countries and commodity groups. | 1928 |  | 1922 |  |  | Countries and commodity groups. | 1923 |  | 1922 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | February. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan- } \\ & \text { uary. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Decem } \\ \text { ber. } \end{gathered}$ | November. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Feb- } \\ & \text { ruary. } \end{aligned}$ |  | February. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan- } \\ & \text { uary. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Decam- } \\ & \text { ber. } \end{aligned}$ | November. | February. |
| UNITED STATES. | - |  |  |  |  | canada. |  |  |  |  |  |
| All commodities. | 166 | 165 | 164 | 164 | 146 | All commodities. | 153 | 149 | 147 | 147 | 1.49 |
| Goods produced. | 162 | 162 | 160 | 160 | 143 | Goods produced. | 150 | 146 | 1.43 | 143 | 148 |
| Goods imported. | 146 | 139 | 138 | 137 | 110 | Goods imported. | 170 | 171 | 167 | 165 | 150 |
| Goods exported. | 187 | 180 | 174 | 173 | 142 | Goods exported. | 143 | 140 | 138 | 138 | 152 |
| Raw materials. | 182 | 182 | 177 | 177 | 14.5 | Raw materials... | 140 | 137 | 135 | 135 | 138 |
| Producers' goods. | 156 | 150 | 149 | 150 | 127 | Producers' goods. | 164 | 160 | 159 | 157 | 147 |
| Consumers' goods. | 154 | 156 | 157 | 156 | 155 | Consumers' goods. | 167 | 163 | 101 | 160 | 164 |
| England. |  |  |  |  |  | JAPAN. |  |  |  |  |  |
| All commodities. | 170 | 167 | 166 | 165 | 167 | All commodities. | 183 | 176 | 173 | 172 | 185 |
| Goods produced. | 168 | 165 | 164 | 161 | 171 | Goods produced. | 183 | 176 | 175 | 173 | 192 |
| Goods imported. | 166 | 164 | 163 | 165 | 148 | Goods imported. | 184 | 175 | 165 | 166 | 151 |
| Goods exported. | 172 | 165 | 157 | 154 | 151 | Goods exported. | 214 | 199 | 192 | 194 | 186 |
| Raw materials.. | 172 | 167 | 166 | 166 | 168 | Raw materials. | 192 | 178 | 171 | 173 | 163 |
| Producers' goods. | 153 | 151 | 146 | 146 | 144 | Producers' goods. | 186 | 176 | 167 | 168 | 183 |
| Consumers' goods. | 177 | 175 | 177 | 172 | 181 | Consumers' goods. | 177 | 174 | 177 | 173 | 198 |
| FRANCE. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All commodities. | 354 | 324 | 315 | 307 | 283 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Goods produced. | 341 | 312 | 306 | 296 | 282 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Goods imported. | 422 | 384 | 361 | 362 | 286 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Goods exported. | - 389 | 346 | 333 | 336 | 275 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Raw materials. | 396 | 366 | 352 | 348 | 300 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Producers' goods. | 295 | 262 | 253 | 248 | 227 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumers' goods. | 340 | 312 | 310 | 296 | 300 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

June, 1921, and May, 1922; England-February, 1922; France-August, 1922; Canada-July, 1922; Japan-September, 1922.

## WHOLESALE PRICES OF INDIVIDUAL COMMODITIES IN THE UNITED STATES.

In order to give a more concrete illustration of actual price movements in the United States, there are presented in the following table monthly actual and relative figures for certain commodities of a basic character. The prices have in most cases been obtained from the records of the United States Bureau of Labor Statistics. This table is published in the BulleTIN at quarterly intervals.
[Average price for $1913=100$.]

| Year and month. | Corn, No 3, Chicago. |  | Cotton, middling, New Orleans. |  | Wheat, No. 1, northern spring, Minneapolis. |  | Wheat, No. 2, red winter, Chicago. |  | Cattle, steers, good to choice, Chicago. |  | Hides, packers, heavy native steers, Chicago. |  | Hogs, light, Chicago. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average price per bushel. | Relative price. | Average price per pound. | Relative price. | Average price per bushel. | Relative price. | Average price per bushel. | Relative price | Average price per 100 pounds. | Relative price. | Average price per pound. | Relative price. | Average price per 100 $\qquad$ <br> pound. | Relative price. |
| 1913. | \$0.616 | 100 | \$0.127 | 100 | \$0. 874 | 100 | \$0.986 | 100 | \$8. 507 | 100 | \$0.184 | 100 | \$8. 454 | 100 |
| 1914. | . 683 | 111 | . 113 | 89 | 1.003 | 115 | 1.005 | 102 | 9. 039 | 106 | . 196 | 107 | 8.382 | 99 |
| 1915. | . 722 | 117 | . 096 | 76 | 1.306 | 1.50 | 1.307 | 132 | 8.702 | 102 | 242 | 132 | 7.187 | 85 |
|  | . 812 | 132 | . 141 | 111 | 1.411 | 162 | 1.351 | 137 | 9. 573 | 113 | . 262 | 142 | 9. 400 | 111 |
| 1917. | 1. 620 | 263 | . 226 | 178 | 2.325 | 266 | 2.278 | 231 | 12.809 | 151 | . 327 | 178 | 15. 459 | 183 |
| 1918. | 1.522 | 247 | . 312 | 246 | 2. 191 | 251 | 2.210 | 224 | 16.368 | 192 | . 300 | 163 | 17.663 | 209 |
|  | 1.580 | 257 | . 319 | 251 | 2.566 | 294 | 2.537 | 239 | 17.496 | 206 | . 393 | 214 | 18.326 | 217 |
| 1920. | 1.397 | 227 | . 330 | 260 | 2.558 | 293 | 2.523 | 256 | 14.486 | 170 | . 312 | 170 | 14.711 | 174 |
| 1921. | . 565 | 92 | . 141 | 111 | 1.466 | 168 | 1. 435 | 146 | 8.780 | 103 | . 139 | 76 | 8.891 | 105 |
| 1922.. | . 614 | 100 | . 204 | 161 | 1.345 | 154 | 1. 238 | 126 | 9.438 | 111 | . 180 | 98 | 9.727 | 115 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1922 . \\ \text { January.. } \end{array}$ | . 474 | 77 | . 165 | 130 | 1.300 | 149 | 1. 196 | 121 | 8. 150 | 96 | . 165 | 90 | 8. 160 | 97 |
| February. | . 557 | 91 | . 166 | 130 | 1.522 | 174 | 1.382 | 140 | 8.638 | 102 | . 160 | 87 | 10. 263 | 121 |
| March....... | . 561 | 91 | . 167 | 131 | 1.500 | 172 | 1.357 | 138 | 8.731 | 103 | . 139 | 75 | 10.588 | 125 |
| April.. | . 576 | 94 | . 168 | 132 | 1. 563 | 179 | 1.391 | 141 | 8.406 | 99 | . 134 | 73 | 10.500 | 124 |
| May.. | . 609 | 99 | . 194 | 153 | 1.589 | 182 | 1.356 | 137 | 8.615 | 101 | . 146 | 79 | 10.660 | 126 |
| June. | . 601 | 98 | . 217 | 171 | 1.419 | 143 | 1. 160 | 118 | 8.863 | 104 | . 168 | 91 | 10.600 | 125 |
| July.. | . 637 | 103 | . 221 | 174 | 1.423 | 148 | 1.152 | 117 | 9.700 | 114 | . 182 | 99 | 10.695 | 127 |
| August. | . 617 | 100 | . 216 | 170 | 1.186 | 135 | 1.057 | 107 | 10.375 | 122 | . 201 | 109 | 9.656 | 114 |
| September... | . 627 | 102 | . 209 | 164 | 1.085 | 124 | 1. 071 | 109 | 10.713 | 126 | . 213 | 116 | 9.694 | 115 |
| October.... | . 686 | 112 | . 221 | 174 | 1.132 | 130 | 1.177 | 119 | 10.245 | 120 | . 227 | 123 | 9. 430 | 117 |
| November... | . 717 | 117 | . 255 | 201 | 1.218 | 139 | 1.273 | 129 | 10.500 | 123 | . 228 | 124 | 8.206 | 92 |
| December... | . 722 | 117 | . 254 | 200 | 1.251 | 143 | 1.325 | 134 | 10.581 | 124 | . 204 | 111 | 8. 269 | 98 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1923 . \\ \text { January. } \end{array}$ | . 698 | 113 | . 273 | 215 | 1.221 | 140 | 1. 258 | 128 | 9.780 | 115 | . 200 | 109 | 8.395 | 99 |
| February | . 724 | 118 | . 290 | 228 | 1. 241 | 142 | 1.360 | 138 | 9.356 | 110 | . 199 | 108 | 8. 069 | 95 |
| March....... | . 727 | 118 | . 305 | 240 | 1.232 | 141 | 1.321 | 134 | 9.263 | 109 | . 193 | 105 | 8.344 | 99 |
|  | Wool, O grades, esstern 1 | io, $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{7}{2}$ oured, arkets. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yellow p } \\ & \text { floorin } \end{aligned}$ New Yo |  | Coal, bitu run of min spot at Pittsbu | minous, e, i.o.b. nines, urgh. | Coal, bitu Pocahonta spot at Colum | minous, <br> , f.o.b. <br> ines, ous. | Coke, Co ville, at f | nellsrnace. | Copper electr | ngot, tic, ork. | Lead, desilve | pig, zed, ork. |
| onth. | Average price per pound. | Relative price. | A verage price per $M$ feet manufactured. | Relative price. | A verage price per short ton. | Relative price. | Average price per short ton. | Relative price. | Average price per short ton. | Relative price. | Average price per pound. | Relative price. | Average price per pound. | Relative price. |
| 1913. | \$0.471 | 100 | \$44.591 | 100 | \$1.320 | 100 | ${ }^{1} \$ 1.571$ | 100 | \$2.440 | 100 | \$0.157 | 100 | \$0.044 | 100 |
| 1914. | . 440 | 93 | 42.750 | 96 |  |  |  |  | 1.808 | 74 | . 134 | 85 | . 039 | 88 |
|  | . 571 | 121 | 39.591 | 89 |  |  |  |  | 1.785 | 73 | . 173 | 110 | . 046 | 104 |
| 1916. | . 680 | 144 | 39.375 | 88 |  |  |  |  | 3.246 | 133 | 275 | 175 | . 068 | 155 |
| 1917. | 1.145 | 243 | 50.909 | 114 |  |  |  |  | 8.250 | 338 | . 294 | 187 | . 091 | 207 |
| 1918. | 1.439 | 306 | 60.750 | 136 |  |  |  |  | 6. 000 | 246 | . 247 | 157 | . 074 | 169 |
| 1919. | 1.189 | 248 | 78.833 | 177 |  |  |  |  | 4.738 | 194 | .191 | 122 | . 058 | 131 |
| 1920. | . 971 | 203 | 145.417 | 326 | 6.043 | 458 | 5.889 | 375 | 10.816 | 443 | . 180 | 114 | . 081 | 184 |
| 1921. | . 508 | 108 | 93.708 | 210 | 2.203 | 167 | 3. 180 | 202 | 3. 636 | 149 | . 126 | 80 | . 046 | 104 |
| 1922. | . 782 | 166 | 94.583 | 212 | 2.813 | 213 | 4.048 | 258 | 7.136 | 293 | . 134 | 85 | . 058 | 132 |
| $\begin{gathered} 1922 . \\ \text { January... } \end{gathered}$ | . 582 | 124 | 95.500 | 214 | 2.150 | 163 | 2. 150 | 137 | 2.750 | 113 | . 136 | 86 | . 047 | 107 |
| February.... | . 673 | 143 | 95.500 | 214 | 2.150 | 163 | 2.075 | 132 | 3.038 | 125 | . 129 | 82 | .047 | 107 |
| March....... | . 727 | 154 | 95.500 | 214 | 2.038 | 154 | 1.825 | 116 | 3.250 | 133 | . 127 | 81 | . 047 | 107 |
| April.......... | . 727 | 154 | 95.500 | 214 | 2.000 | 152 | 1.975 | 126 | 4.475 | 183 | $\bigcirc 126$ | 80 | . 051 | 116 |
| May.......... | . 727 | 154 | 90.000 | 202 |  |  | 2.750 | 175 | 6.000 | 246 | . 132 | 84 | . 055 | 125 |
| June.. | . 746 | 158 | 90.000 | 202 |  |  | 3.036 | 210 | 6.750 | 277 | . 136 | 86 | . 058 | 132 |
| July........... | . 818 | 174 | 92.500 | 207 |  |  | 4.955 | 315 | 10.750 | 441 | . 137 | 87 | . 058 | 132 |
| August....... | . 818 | 174 | 92.500 | 207 |  |  | 5. 688 | 362 | 12.800 | 525 | . 138 | 87 | . 059 | 133 |
| September... | . 836 | 178 | 92.500 | 207 | 4.600 | 349 | 5.750 | 366 | 11.125 | 456 | . 138 | 87 | . 062 | 140 |
| October..... | . 836 | 178 | 92.500 | 207 | 3. 675 | 278 | 5.938 | 378 | 9.800 | 402 | . 137 | 87 | . 067 | 151 |
| November... | . 9446 | $\stackrel{201}{201}$ | 100.500 102.500 | 225 | 3. 163 | 240 | 6. 1235 | 390 | 7.188 | 295 | .136 | 87 | . 0772 | 165 |
| December... | . 946 | 201 | 102. 500 | 230 | 2.725 | 206 | 6.038 | 384 | 7.000 | 287 | . 141 | 90 | . 073 | 166 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1923 . \\ \text { January. } \end{array}$ | 982 | 205 | 102.500 | 230 | 3.319 | 251 | 6.619 | 421 | 8.250 | 338 | . 146 | 93 | . 078 | 178 |
| February.... | 1.000 | 209 | 102.250 | 229 | 2.838 | 215 | 4.775 | 304 | 7.125 | 292 | .155 | 98 | . 082 | 185 |
| March........ | 1.000 | 209 | 105.250 | 236 | 2.450 | 186 | 4.500 | 286 | 7.313 | 300 | . 169 | 108 | . 085 | 193 |

[^17]WHOLESALE PRICES OF INDIVIDUAL COMMODITIES IN THE UNITED STATES-Continued.

| Year and month | Petroleum, crude, Pennsylvania, at wells. |  | Pig iron, basic, Mahoning and Shenango Valley, at furnace. |  | Cotton yarns, northern cones, 10/1 Boston. |  | Leather, sole, hemlock, No. 1, Chicago. |  | Steel billets, Bessemer, Pittsburgh. |  | Steel plates, tank, Pittsburgh. |  | Steel rails, open-hearth, Pittsburgh. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average price per barrel. | Relative price. | Average price per | Relative price. | Average price per pound. | Relative price | Average price per pound. | Relative price. | Average price per long ton. | Relative price. | Average price per pound. | Relative price. | Average price per long ton. | Relative price. |
| 1913. | \$2.450 | 100 | \$14.706 | 100 | \$0.221 | 100 | \$0.282 | 100 | \$25.789 | 100 | \$0.015 | 100 | \$30.000 | 100 |
| 1914 | 1.917 | 78 | 12.873 | 88 | . 197 | 89 | . 302 | 107 | 20.078 | 78 | .012 | 78 | 30.000 | 100 |
| 1915. | 1.529 | 62 | 13.741 | 93 | . 173 | 78 | . 309 | 110 | 22.441 | 87 | . 013 | 86 | 30.000 | 100 |
| 1916. | 2. 483 | 101 | 19.768 | 134 | . 265 | 120 | . 388 | 138 | 43.946 | 170 | . 032 | 219 | 33.333 | 111 |
| 1917. | 3. 200 | 131 | 38.904 | 265 | . 397 | 179 | . 535 | 190 | 69.856 | 271 | . 056 | 376 | 40.000 | 133 |
| 1918. | 3.974 | 162 | 32. 509 | 221 | . 600 | 271 | . 484 | 172 | 47. 300 | 183 | . 032 | 219 | 56.150 | 187 |
| 1919. | 4. 135 | 169 | 27.697 | 188 | . 534 | 241 | . 528 | 187 | 40.539 | 157 | . 027 | 183 | 49.264 | 164 |
| 1920. | 5.975 | 244 | 42.269 | 287 | . 625 | 282 | . 534 | 189 | 56. 260 | 218 | . 033 | 222 | 53.827 | 179 |
| 1921. | 3.314 | 135 | 21.668 | 147 | . 290 | 131 | . 358 | 127 | 34. 385 | 133 | . 019 | 130 | 45.654 | 152 |
| 1922. | 3.173 | 130 | 24.264 | 165 | . 361 | 163 | . 350 | 124 | 33.990 | 132 | . 017 | 117 | 40.692 | 136 |
| $\begin{gathered} 1922 . \\ \text { January.. } \end{gathered}$ | 3.300 | 135 | 18.150 | 123 | . 326 | 147 | . 340 | 121 | 28.000 | 109 | . 015 | 101 | 40.000 | 133 |
| February.... | 3.250 | 133 | 17.750 | 121 | . 313 | 141 | . 350 | 124 | 28.000 | 109 | . 014 | 94 | 40.000 | 133 |
| March. | 3.250 | 133 | 17.938 | 122 | . 314 | 142 | . 350 | 124 | 28.000 | 109 | . 014 | 94 | 40.000 | 133 |
| April | 3.250 | 133 | 20.000 | 136 | . 314 | 142 | . 350 | 124 | 29.500 | 114 | . 015 | 100 | 40.000 | 133 |
| May. | 3.250 | 133 | 24.600 | 167 | . 331 | 150 | . 350 | 124 | 34.000 | 132 | . 016 | 105 | 40.000 | 133 |
| June. | 3.500 | 143 | 25.000 | 170 | . 360 | 163 | . 360 | 128 | 35.000 | 136 | . 016 | 108 | 40.000 | 133 |
| July. | 3.313 | 135 | 24.250 | 165 | . 378 | 171 | . 350 | 124 | 35.000 | 136 | . 017 | 115 | 40.000 | 133 |
| August. | 3.000 | 122 | 26.600 | 181 | . 387 | 175 | . 350 | 124 | 36.100 | 140 | . 019 | 127 | 40.000 | 133 |
| September... | 3.000 | 122 | 32.625 | 222 | . 373 | 168 | . 350 | 124 | 39.500 | 153 | . 021 | 142 | 40.000 | 133 |
| October. | 3.000 | 122 | 30.900 | 210 | . 391 | 177 | . 350 | 124 | 40.000 | 155 | . 021 | 142 | 42.250 | 141 |
| November.. | 3.000 | 122 | 27.750 | 189 | . 420 | 190 | . 350 | 124 | 37.750 | 146 | . 020 | 135 | 43.000 | 143 |
| December... | 3.000 | 122 | 24.813 | 169 | . 430 | 192 | . 350 | 124 | 36. 500 | 142 | . 020 | 132 | 43.000 | 143 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1923 . \\ \text { January. } \end{array}$ | 3.370 | 138 | 25.800 | 175 | . 435 | 197 |  |  | 37.300 | 145 | . 021 | 139 | 43.000 | 143 |
| February.... | 3.944 | 161 | 26.250 | 179 | . 448 | 203 |  |  | 39.625 | 154 | . 022 | 151 | 43.000 | 143 |
| March....... | 4.000 | 163 | 30.125 | 205 | . 462 | 209 |  |  | 44.375 | 172 | . 024 | 162 | 43.000 | 143 |
| Year and month. | Worsted, yarns, 2-32's crossbred, Philadelphia. |  | Beef, carcas, good native steers, Chicago. |  | Coffee, Rio, No.7, New York. |  | Flour, wheat, standard patents (1918, standard war), <br> Minneapolis. |  | Hams, smoked, Chicago. |  | Illuminating oil, $150^{\circ}$ fire test, New York. |  | Sugar, granulated, New York. |  |
|  | A veragr price per pound. | Relative price. | Average price per pound. | Relative price. | Average price per pound. | Relative price. | Average price per pound. | Relative price | Average price per barrel. | Relative price. | Average price per gallon. | Relative price | Average price per pound. | Rela. tive price. |
| 1913. | \$0.777 | 100 | \$0. 130 | 100 | \$0.111 | 100 | \$4. 584 | 100 | \$0. 166 | 100 | \$0.123 | 100 | \$0.043 | 100 |
| 1914. | . 640 | 82 | . 136 | 105 | . 082 | 73 | 5.096 | 111 | . 167 | 100 | . 120 | 97 | . 047 | 110 |
| 1915. | 788 | 101 | . 129 | 100 | . 075 | 67 | 6.663 | 145 | . 153 | 92 | . 121 | 98 | . 056 | 130 |
| 1916. | 1.050 | 135 | . 138 | 107 | . 092 | 83 | 7.264 | 158 | . 185 | 111 | . 122 | 99 | . 069 | 161 |
| 1917. | 1.556 | 200 | . 167 | 129 | . 093 | 83 | 11.391 | 249 | . 252 | 152 | . 124 | 101 | . 077 | 181 |
| 1918. | 2.109 | 272 | . 221 | 171 | . 097 | 88 | 10.131 | 221 | . 318 | 191 | . 170 | 137 | . 078 | 183 |
| 1919. | 1.627 | 210 | . 233 | 180 | . 179 | 160 | 11.998 | 262 | . 343 | 207 | . 200 | 163 | . 089 | 209 |
| 1920. | 1. 825 | 235 | . 230 | 178 | . 120 | 108 | 12.675 | 277 | . 334 | 201 | . 263 | 213 | . 127 | 297 |
| 1921. | 1.179 | 152 | . 163 | 126 | . 072 | 65 | 8.326 | 182 | . 268 | 161 | . 243 | 197 | . 062 | 144 |
| 1922. | 1.413 | 182 | . 150 | 116 | . 103 | 92 | 7.282 | 159 | . 264 | 159 | . 208 | . 169 | . 059 | 139 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1922 . \\ \text { January. } \end{array}$ | 1.277 | 164 | . 154 | 119 | . 096 | 87 | 7.000 | 153 | . 221 | 133 | . 218 | 176 | . 048 | 112 |
| February. | 1.300 | 167 | . 145 | 112 | . 090 | 81 | 7.975 | 174 | . 267 | 161 | .210 | 170 | . 049 | 115 |
| March. | 1.250 | 161 | . 145 | 112 | . 096 | 56 | 7.813 | 170 | . 306 | 184 | . 210 | 170 | . 052 | 121 |
| April......... | 1.300 | 167 | . 145 | 112 | . 108 | 97 | 8.144 | 178 | . 309 | 186 | . 202 | 164 | . 052 | 122 |
| May... | 1.350 | 174 | . 145 | 112 | . 110 | 99 | 8.060 | 176 | . 313 | 188 | . 199 | 161 | . 053 | 123 |
| June... | 1.427 | 184 | . 145 | 112 | . 110 | 99 | 7.500 | 164 | . 313 | 188 | . 200 | 162 | . 059 | 138 |
| July. | 1. 400 | 180 | . 148 | 114 | . 104 | 93 | 7.788 | 170 | . 301 | 181 | . 200 | 162 | . 066 | 155 |
| August...... | 1. 400 | 180 | . 155 | 120 | . 100 | 90 | 6.995 | 153 | . 264 | 159 | . 200 | 162 | . 067 | 157 |
| September... | 1. 450 | 187 | . 155 | 120 | . 102 | 92 | 6.344 | 138 | . 235 | 141 | . 202 | 164 | . 063 | 146 |
| October..... | 1.500 | 193 | . 155 | 120 | . 102 | 92 | 6.435 | 140 | . 232 | 140 | . 215 | 174 | . 066 | 154 |
| November... | 1. 650 | 212 | .155 | 120 | . 118 | 97 | 6. 713 | 146 | . 213 | 128 | .220 | 178 | . 068 | 160 |
| December... | 1. 650 | 212 | . 155 | 120 | . 111 | 100 | 6.775 | 148 | . 206 | 124 | . 220 | 178 | . 069 | 162 |
| 1923. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January..... | 1.700 1.750 | 225 | . 154 | 119 | .119 .130 | 107 | 6.630 6.713 | 145 146 | .202 .203 | 122 | .220 .220 | 178 178 | .067 .073 | 171 |
| March....... | 1.750 | 225 | . 145 | 112 | .130 | 117 | 6.625 | 145 | . 206 | 124 | . 220 | 178 | . 086 | 201 |

# COMPARATIVE WHOLESALE PRICE LEVELS IN PRINCIPAL COUNTRIES. 

ALL-COMMODITIES INDEX NUMBERS. ${ }^{1}$


| 1 The number of commodities or quotations | Based upon price of 52 commodities during | it December figure. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| used in the computation of each index is indi- | 1920; 533 during 1921. | to January figure. |
| cated by figures in parenthesis at head of each | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ End of year and end of month. | ${ }^{20}$ As of last Wednesday in month. |
| column. | ${ }^{10} 15$ th of the month. | ${ }^{21}$ February, $1913=100$. |
| ${ }^{2}$ Aversge of last half of month. | ${ }^{11}$ Middle of month. | ${ }^{2}$ As of Jan. 1. |
| ${ }^{\text {c }}$ First of month. | ${ }^{12}$ July 1, 1913, to June 30, 1S14=100. | ${ }^{27}$ End of July, 1914-100. |
| 4 End of month. | 15 Aprll 1914-100. | ${ }_{4}^{4}$ Jan. 1, 1913, to July 31, 1014-100. |
| - Beginning of month-not always the 1st. | ${ }^{14}$ July 1,1912 , to June 30, 1914=100. | ${ }^{4}$ July, 1914-1. |
| - Average for the month. | 14 July, 1014-100. | ${ }^{26} 1913$ everage- 1 . |
| ${ }^{1} 38$ commodities prior to 1920: 78 commodi- | ${ }^{16}$ Dec. 31, 1913, to June 30, 1914- 100. <br> ! J January 1914-1 |  |

The foreign index numbers published on the preceding page are constructed by various foreign statistical offices and are sent to the Federal Reserve Board by cable. References to the Bulletins in which these are described may be found in the issue for January, 1923.

Index numbers showing the price levels of separate groups of commodities in the United States and certain foreign countries are presented below and on the following page. Group
index numbers for other countries are published only occasionally, but such figures may be obtained from the Division of Analysis and Research at any time upon request.

A comparative summary table showing the board's international index for the United States, England, Canada, France, and Japan appears on page 487. Group index numbers, computed as part of this international series, will also be found on that page.

GROUP INDEX NUMBERS-UNITED STATES-COMMODITIES IN BUREAU OF LABOR STATISTICS INDEX REGROUPED BY FEDERAL RESERVE BOARD.

| Year and month, | Raw materials. |  |  |  |  | Pro ducers' goods. (117) | Consumers' goods. (199) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Agricultural products. <br> (21) | Animal products. <br> (21) | Forest products. <br> (11) | Mineral products. <br> (35) | Total raw materiala. <br> (88) |  |  |  |
| 1913., | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 1919. | 251 | 221 | 211 | 179 | 217 | 179 | 211 | 206 |
| 1920. | 255 | 186 | 311 | 236 | 228 | 214 | 231 | 226 |
| 1921. | 134 | 110 | 185 | 184 | 142 | 135 | 159 | 147. |
| 1922. | 14.5 | 125 | 185 | 207 | 158 | 128 | 151 | 149 |
| 1922. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| February. | 140 | 121 | 166 | 177 | 146 | 118 | 148 | 141 |
| March.. | 141 | 122 | 165 | 178 | 147 | 120 | 150 | 142 |
| April... | 145 | 120 | 167 | 180 | 148 | 122 | 149 | 143 |
| May.... | 152 | 122 | 174 | 202 | 157 | 125 | 150 | 148 |
| June.. | 146 | 123 | 186 | 211 | 159 | 127 | 151 | 150 |
| July... | 147 | 130 | 188 | 241 | 171 | 129 | 152 | 155 |
| August.... | 138 | 127 | 191 | 261 | 173 | 129 | 149 | 155 |
| September | 136 | 132 | 199 | 230 | 168 | 132 | 159 | 153 |
| October.... | 147 | 132 | 204 | 218 | 186 | 135 | 152 | 154 |
| November. | 160 | 129 | 207 | 209 | 166 | 136 | 155 | 156 |
| December. | 161 | 128 | 210 | 208 | 167 | 135 | 167 | 156 |
| 1923. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January.. | 164 | 126 | 215 | 213 | 168 | 136 | 155 | 156 |
| February... | 170 | 123 | 220 | 207 | 167 | 141 | 155 | 157 |

GROUP INDEX NUMBERS-UNITED STATES-BUREAU OF LABOR STATISTICS.

| Yoar and month. | Farm products. <br> (56) | Foods. <br> (110) | Cloths and clothing. <br> (65) | Fuel and lighting. <br> (20) | Metals and metal products. <br> (37) | Bullding materials. <br> (41) | Chemicals and drugs. <br> (43) | House furnishing goods. <br> (31) | Misceltaneous. <br> (25) | $\begin{gathered} \substack{\text { All } \\ \text { commod- } \\ \text { ities. } \\ (404)} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1913. | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 1919. | 231 | 207 | 253 | 181 | 162 | 201 | 169 | 184 | 175 | 203 |
| 1920. | 218 | 220 | 295 | 241 | 192 | 264 | 200 | 254 | 196 | 226 |
| 1921. | 124 | 144 | 180 | 199 | 129 | 165 | 136 | 195 | 128 | 147 |
| 1922. | 133 | 138 | 181 | 218 | 122 | 168 | 124 | 176 | 117 | 149 |
| 1922. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| February. | 131 | 135 | 174 | 191 | 120 | 156 | 123 | 177 | 117 | 141 |
| Mpril... | 130 | 137 | 172 | 191 | 109 | 155 156 | 125 | 175 | 117 | 14.14 |
| May.. | 132 | 138 | 175 | 216 | 119 | 160 | 122 | 176 | 116 | 145 |
| June... | 131 | 140 | 179 | 225 | 120 | 167 | 122 | 176 | 114 | 150 |
| July . | 135 | 142 | 180 | 254 | 121 | 170 | 121 | 173 | 114 | 155 |
| August. | 131 | 138 | 181 | 271 | 128 | 172 | 122 | 173 | 115 | 156 |
| September | 133 | 138 | 183 | 244 | 134 | 180 | 124 | 173 | 116 | 153 |
| October... | 138 | 140 | 188 | 228 | 135 | 183 | 124 | 176 | 120 | 154 |
| November. | 143 | 143 | 198 | 218 | 133 | 185 | 127 | 179 | 122 | 156 |
| December.. | 145 | 144 | 194 | 216 | 131 | 185 | 130 | 182 | 122 | 156 |
| January............... | 143 | 141 | 196 | 218 | 133 | 188 | 131 | 184 | 124 | 156 |
| February. | 142 | 141 | 199 | 212 | 139 | 192 | 132 | 184 | 126 | 157 |

## GROUP INDEX NUMBERS OF WHOLESALE PRICES IN FOREIGN COUNTRIES.

FRANCE. ${ }^{1}$

| Groups. | March, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Feb- } \\ & \text { ruary, } \\ & \text { 1023. } \end{aligned}$ | January, 1923. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Marcin, } \\ 1022 . \end{gathered}$ | March, 1921. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All commodities. | 424 | 422 | 387 | 307 | 360 |
| All foods.. | 397 | 402 | 367 | 317 | 366 |
| Animal foods. | 413 | 420 | 388 | 341 | 406 |
| Vegetable foods | 333 | 332 | 322 | 285 | 322 |
| Sugar, coffee, co | 491 | 508 | 412 | 326 | 367 |
| All industrial mate | 447 | 439 | 404 | 300 | 355 |
| Minerals....... | $\stackrel{426}{541}$ | 399 | 346 | ${ }_{242}$ | 289 |
| Textiles. | 541 | 553 | 533 | 326 | 375 |
| Sundries. | 417 | 410 | 377 | 328 | 392 |

GERMANY-FEDERAL STATISTICAL BUREAU. ${ }^{2}$

| All commodities.............. | 4,888 | 5,585 | 2,785 | 54 | 13 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Goods produced............ | 4,503 | 4,942 | 2,390 | 50 | 13 |
| Goods imported........... | 6,816 | 8,796 | 4,758 | 75 | 16 |


| Groups. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { April, } \\ & \text { 1923. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { March, } \\ & 1923 . \end{aligned}$ | Feb- ruary, 1923. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { April, } \\ & 1922 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan- } \\ & \text { uary, } \\ & 1921 . \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All commodities. | 6,393 | 6,770 | 7,159 | 67 | 21 |
| Food stuffs and luxuries | 5,350 | 5,361 | 5,550 | 63 | 20 |
| Textiles and leather | 8,349 | 9,450 | 14,137 | 86 | 23 |
| Minerals. | 7,822 | 8,298 | 9,312 | 86 | 28 |
| Miscellaneous..... | 6, 434 | 6,949 | 5,347 | 53 | 18 |
| Industrial finished products. | 5,315 | 5,514 | 4,766 | 50 | 17 |

ITALY. ${ }^{4}$

| Groups. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Feb- } \\ & \text { ruary, } \\ & \text { 1923. } \end{aligned}$ | Jan. uary, 1923. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Decem- } \\ \text { ber, } \\ 1922 . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Feb- } \\ & \text { ruary, } \\ & \text { 1922. } \end{aligned}$ | February, 1921. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All commodities | 93 | 92 | 93 | 90 | 98 |
| Vegetable foods.. | 109 | 109 | 110 | 109 | 103 |
| Other vegetable pro | 130 | 125 | 129 | 111 | 127 |
| Animal foods. | 108 | 108 | 110 | 112 | 120 |
| Chemicals. | 70 | 69 | 69 | 73 | 89 |
| Textiles. | 83 | 81 | 78 | 68 | 65 |
| Minerals and metals | 64 | 63 | 65 | 62 | 80 |
| Building materials. | 86 | 88 | 88 | 91 | 117 |
| Sundries......... | 92 | 91 | 94 | 92 | 107 |

SWEDEN. ${ }^{6}$

| All commodities | 158 | 156 | 155 | 166 | 250 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vegetable foods. | 141 | 137 | 136 | 170 | 231 |
| Animal foods. | 156 | 161 | 165 | 159 | 241 |
| Raw materials for agriculture | 159 | 163 | 162 | 170 | 248 |
| Coal. | 214 | 184 | 178 | 186 | 362 |
| Metals. | 118 | 117 | 117 | 130 | 204 |
| Building materials. | 206 | 211 | 209 | 226 | 319 |
| Wood pulp..... | 190 | 185 | 181 | 178 | 511 |
| Hides and leather | 89 | 89 | 89 | 97 | 108 |
| Textiles. | 213 | 205 | 198 | 138 | 147 |
| Oils. | 150 | 150 | 150 | 179 | 318 |

NORWAY.

| Groups. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { March, } \\ & 1923 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Feb- } \\ & \text { ruary, } \\ & \text { 1923. } \end{aligned}$ | January, 1923. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { March, } \\ & 1922 . \end{aligned}$ | March, 1921. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All commodities | 229 | 224 | 220 | 240 | 312 |
| Animal foods. | 208 | 215 | 210 | 222 | 307 |
| Vegetable foods | 202 | 200 | 198 | 245 | 315 |
| Coal and coke. | 354 | 238 | 252 | 279 | 289 |
| Iron. | 275 | 270 | 225 | 232 | 328 |
| Metals. | 179 | 170 | 157 | 161 | 169 |
| Building materials. | 177 | 179 | 179 | 262 | 339 |
| Textiles........... | 179 | 179 | 177 | 289 | 350 |
| Hides and leather | 264 | 252 | 251 | 190 | 203 |


| Groups. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Feb- } \\ & \text { ruary, } \\ & \text { 1923. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan- } \\ & \text { uary, } \\ & 1923 . \end{aligned}$ | December, 1922 | Febru ary, 1922. | February, 1921. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All commodities. | 181 | 175 | 170 | 171 | 230 |
| Consumers' goods. | 163 | 176 | 174 | 181 | 262 |
| Agricultural produc | 181 | 162 | 155 | 151 | 181 |
| Industrial products. | 193 | 180 | 176 | 169 | 217 |

UNITED KINGDOM-BOARD OF TRADE. ${ }^{1}$

| Groups. | February, 1923. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Janu- } \\ & \text { ary, } \\ & 1923 . \end{aligned}$ | Decem- ber, 1922. | February, 1922 | February, 1921. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All commodities. | 158 | 157 | 155 | 162 | 225 |
| Total food. | 153 | 157 | 156 | 174 | 234 |
| Cereals. | 138 | 141 | 141 | 156 | 212 |
| Meats and fish. | 165 | 175 | 174 | 178 | 265 |
| Other foods. | 157 | 156 | 156 | 188 | 228 |
| Total not food | 160 | 157 | 155 | 156 | 221 |
| Iron and steel. | 140 | 134 | 131 | 143 | 284 |
| Other minerals and metals | 142. | 138 | 138 | 145 | 201 |
| Cotton. | 197 : | 195 | 189 | 168 | 195 |
| Other textiles | 177 | 176 | 172 | 162 | 200 |
| Other articles. | 165 | 167 | 166 | 168 | 215 |
| UNITED KINGDOM-STATIST.1 |  |  |  |  |  |


| All commodities. | 155 | 153 | 152 | 155 | 215 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Foodstuffs. | 163 | 161 | 163 | 166 | 234 |
| Animal foods | 178 | 177 | 181 | 173 | 270 |
| Sugar, coffee, tea | 188 | 167 | 164 | 138 | 187 |
| Vegetable foods. | 134 | 138 | 140 | 167 | 206 |
| Materials. | 150 | 148 | 145 | 149 | 203 |
| Minerals. | 141 | 132 | 127 | 117 | 200 |
| Textiles. | 164 | 172 | 171 | 172 | 179 |
| Sundries | 147 | 144 | 140 | 158 | 224 |

CANADA. ${ }^{1}$

| Groups. | February, <br> 1023 1923. | January, 1923. | December, 1922 | February, 1922. | February, 1921 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All commodities.. | 166 | 165 | 165 | 169 | 199 |
| Grains and fodder | 129 | 125 | 127 | 145 | 171 |
| Animals and meats. | 128 | 126 | 127 | 135 | 171 |
| Dairy products. | 150 | 151 | 160 | 141 | 185 |
| Fruits and vegetables........ | 162 | 155 | 159 | 204 | 163 |
| Other foods.................... | 163 | 159 | 154 | 155 | 205 |
| Textiles. | 185 | 184 | . 182 | 174 | 204 |
| Hides and leather | 102 | 102 | 102 | 97 | 126 |
| Metals. | 150 | 150 | 148 | 141 | 167 |
| Implements. | 218 | 218 | 218 | 216 | 257 |
| Building materials-lumber. | 184 | 184 | 184 | 179 | 239 |
| Fuel and lighting............. | 221 | 226 | 225 | 204 | 234 |
| Drugs and chemicals. | 159 | 159 | 160 | 164 | 188 |
| AUSTRALTA. ${ }^{8}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| All commodities. | 161 | 163 | 161 | 147 | 192 |
| Metals and coal. | 168 | 169 | 169 | 184 | -214 |
| Textiles. | 198 | 190 | 181 | 136 | 132 |
| Agricultural products. | 157 | 164 | 169 | 142 | 192 |
| Dairy products.............. | 140 | 134 | 126 | 119 | 206 |
| Groceries and tobacco........ | 170 | 170 | 170 | 187 | 197 |
| Meat | 116 | 122 | 113 | 93 | 184 |
| Building materials | 190 | 198 | 204 | 195 | 303 |
| Chemicals... | 194 | 188 | 184 | 194 | 242 |

${ }^{8}$ July, 1914=1.
$41920=100$.

5 July 1, 1913-June 30, 1914=100.
6 Dec. 31, 1913-June 30, 1914=100.
$71914=100$.
$8 \mathrm{July}, 1914=100$.

GROUP INDEX NUMBERS-CZECHOSLOVAKIA-CENTRAL BUREAU OF STATISTICS.
[July, 1914=100.]

| Year and month. | Animal foods. <br> (17) | Vegetable foods. <br> (16) | Sugar, coffee, sweets. <br> (25) | All foodstuffs. <br> (58) | Textiles. <br> (23) | Iron and minerals. <br> (12) | Other industrial materials and finished products. <br> (31) | Total not lood. <br> (68) | All commodities. <br> (126) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1922. | 1,189 | 1,213 | 1,266 | 1,229 | 1,560 | 1,651 | 1,401 | 1,501 | 1,355 |
| January........... | 1,223 | 1,727 | 1,519 | 1,514 | 2, 092 | 1,967 | 1,707 | 1,861 | 1,675 |
| February | 1,249 | 1,451 | 1,385 | 1,373 | 1,756 | 1,875 | 1,570 | 1,691 | 1,520 |
| March. | 1,284 | 1,572 | 1,410 | 1,433 | 1,852 | 1,843 | 1,542 | 1,690 | 1,552 |
| April. | 1257 | 1,456 | 1,382 | 1,375 | 1,692 | 1,823 | 1,496 | 1,625 | 1,491 |
| May.. | 1,323 | 1,405 | 1,353 | 1,363 | 1,678 | 1,804 | 1,459 | 1,597 | 1,471 |
| June. | 1,350 | 1,318 | 1,383 | 1,353 | 1,766 | 1,817 | 1,432 | 1,607 | 1,471 |
| July... | 1,331 | 1,327 | 1,402 | 1,359 | 1, 807 | 1,714 | 1,424 | 1,585 | 1,464 |
| August. | 1,236 | 1, 252 | 1,313 | 1,273 | 1,597 | 1,689 | 1,396 | 1,517 | 1,386 |
| September | 1,065 | 745 | 1,142 | 990 | 1,155 | 1,586 | 1,310 | 1,346 | 1,155 |
| October. | , 986 | 776 | 977 | 912 | 1,121 | 1,392 | 1, 194 | 1,229 | 1,059 |
| November. | 1,032 | 780 | 949 | 913 | 1,079 | 1,160 | 1,153 | 1,138 | 1,017 |
| December. | 926 | 748 | 977 | 888 | 1,125 | 1,136 | 1,126 | 1,128 | 899 |
| January........... | 965 | 743 | 973 | 894 | 1,145 | 1,150 | 1,111 | 1,129 | 1,003 |
| February | 904 | 806 | 1,039 | 927 | 1,227 | 1,188 | 1,088 | 1,145 | 1,028 |

## COMPARATIVE RETAIL PRICES IN PRINCIPAL COUNTRIES.

In the following tables are presented statistics showing the trend of retail food prices and the cost of living in the United States and certain other countries. Figures for some of the countries are shown for the first time in this issue of the Bulletin, and in such cases a brief description of the series will be found below. Descriptions of the other index numbers were given on page 86 of the January, 1923, Bulletin. More detailed explanations of most of these series may be found in recent issues of the International Labor Review. Wherever possible the index numbers have been shifted to a July, 1914, base, instead of being shown on the different bases used in the original computations.

## RETAIL PRICES.

The Austrian index, computed by the Paritätische Kommission, includes 13 articles of food. The price level of December 15, 1921, is taken as 100 in the original computation. An average is calculated for each article nd weighted according to the theoretical weekly expenditure of a normal person on the principal articles of necessity. Prices are those ruling on Vienna markets.

The Belgian index number includes 22 items of food and is weighted according to a standard budget based on the inquiry of the Solvay Institute of Sociology into the expenditure of 602 families with an income of less than 5 francs a day in 1910. The original base is April, 1914.

For Bulgaria the retail price index is based on the prices of about 40 foodstuffs, in addition to the items of tobacco, soap, and heating and lighting. The weighting is based on the annual expenditure of an average family during the years 1908 to 1912. Prices are collected in 4 towns each month on the basis of $1910=100$.

The German retail food price index number is based on reports from 71 towns, and includes 13 items of foods. Prior to March, 1922, it was based on prices in 46 towns only. An average price for each commodity for the whole country is calculated by taking the mean of the average prices in different towns, weighted according to the population of the towns. Original base: Average of October, 1913, January, April, June, $1914=100$.

The Berlin index is calculated by Doctor Kuczynski and is weighted according to a theoretical budget, fixed each month and based on market prices and available supplies. In the original computation August, 1913, to July, $1914=100$.

For the Netherlands the retail food index number is weighted according to a pre-war standard budget, computed by the Bureau van Statistiek der Gemeente Amsterdam.

The Norwegian retail food index, computed by the Central Statistical Office, includes 55 foods. The weighting is that of a standard budget for a working-class family of 4 persons with an income of about 1,500 kroner in 1914.

The retail food index for Spain, computed by Direccion General de Estadistica, includes 19 food products, fuel, and 9 sundries. The prices are those usual on the Madrid markets, and no weighting is used. The original base (1914 average $=100$ ) is used in the accompanying table.

The Swiss retail food index number is composed of 37 items of foods, in addition to soap and heating and lighting. The system of weighting is that of the standard budget, based on an inquiry made in 1912 by the Secretariat ouvrier suisse, covering 785 households. Base: June, $1914=100$.

The Australian index number, constructed by the Commonwealth Bureau of Census and Statistics, is based upon the retail prices of 46 articles of food and groceries, weighted according to a system of pre-war aggregate expenditure in 30 towns. The original base is 1911, and has been shifted to July, 1914.

For New Zealand the retail index number, computed by the Census and Statistics Office, includes 59 items of food. The weighting is based on the aggregate expenditure of the whole country from 1909 to 1913. The original base is 1909 to $1913=1,000$.
TThe South African retail food index, computed by the Office of Census and Statistics, includes 17 articles of food. Since 1920 the aggregate expenditure method has been adopted, based on the period 1917 to 1919. The original base of $1910=1,000$ has been shifted so that July, $1914=100$.

## COST OF LIVING.

The cost of living index number for Finland, calculated by the Central Social Board for 21 towns, includes food ( 14 items), clothing ( 2 items), rent, fuel, tobacco, a daily newspaper, and taxes. The system of weighting is that of a standard budget for a working-class family of five persons with a yearly income of $1,600-$ 2,000 Finnish marks, based on an official inquiry made in 1908-9. Original base: First half of $1914=100$.

The index number for Paris, calculated by the Commission Régionale d'Etudes relatives au cout de la vie à Paris, includes food, clothing, heating, lighting, and miscellaneous items. The system of weighting is that of a theoretical budget. Original base: First half of $1914=$ 100.

The index number for Milan, published in the Municipal Bulletin of the city of Milan, consists of food, clothing, heating and lighting, rent, and miscellaneous items. The system of
weighting is that of the pre-war budget, with the first half of 1914 taken as 100.
The index number for the Netherlands is computed by the Bureau van Statistiek der Gemeente Amsterdam. It covers food, clothing, heating and lighting, rent, taxes and subscriptions, laundry, upkeep of furniture, traveling expenses, amusements, etc., and takes changes in consumption into account. The figures given in the accompanying table refer to the base 1910-11.

For Norway the cost of living index number is computed by the Central Statistical Office. It includes 55 items of food, clothing, heating and lighting, rent, taxes, and miscellaneous items. The original base (July, $1914=100$ ) is used in the accompanying table.
The American index, computed by the Bureau of Labor Statistics, includes 43 items of food, clothing ( 77 items for winter and 91 for summer), heating and lighting ( 6 items), rent, furniture and household articles ( 25 items), and 19 miscellaneous items, including taxes and subscriptions, medical and traveling expenses, and amusements. The system of weighting is that of the standard budget, based on inquiries made in 1917 and 1918 into more than 12,000 working-class families in various parts of the United States. The data are collected for 32 towns, and July, 1913, is taken as 100.

The index number for Massachusetts is calculated by the Special Commission on the Necessaries of Life. It includes 37 items of food, clothing ( 17 items), shelter, fuel, heat, and light ( 4 items), and sundries, weighted according to an estimated budget for a hypothetical wage-earner's family of five persons, based upon an investigation made by the National Industrial Conference Board in October, 1919.
The Canadian index is computed by the Department of Labor and includes food (29 items), fuel and light ( 5 items), rent, clothing, and sundries. The system of weighting is the theoretical pre-war budget of a skilled workman's family of five persons, with an income of $\$ 21$ per week, in 1913 . Original base: 1913 $=100$.

The Indian index, including food, clothing, heating, lighting, and rent, is computed by the Labor Office Secretariat. The price of each of the food articles is collected twice a week from about 10 retailers in that commodity in Bombay. The index is weighted according to the aggregate expenditure of the whole of India in July, 1914, based on production, imports, and exports during five years before the war.

## INDEX NUMBERS OF RETALL FOOD PRICES.

|  | european countries. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | UNITED STATES AND OTHER COUNTRIES. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year and month. | Austria (Vi- enna). | Belgium. | Bulgaria. | Czecho- slovakia. | France <br> (Par- <br> is). ${ }^{2}$ | Germany (71 towns). | Germany lin). | Italy. | Neth-erlands (Am-ster- dam). | Norway. | Spain Ma- <br> drid). ${ }^{2}$ | Sweden. | Swit- <br> zer- <br> land. ${ }^{2}$ | United States. | Canada. | Australia. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { New } \\ & \text { Zea- } \\ & \text { land. } \end{aligned}$ | South Africa |
| 1914, July. | 31 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 31 | ${ }^{8} 1$ | 1100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 1920, July. |  | 459 | 1,694 |  | 373 | 13 | 14 | 452 | 210 | 319 | 190 | 297 | 239 | 215 | 227 | 194 | 167 | 197 |
| 1921, Juiy. |  | 410 | 1,612 |  | 306 | 15 | 15 | 501 | 180 | 295 | 184 | 232 | 207 | 145 | 148 | 161 | 164 | 139 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1921 . \\ \text { Dec..... } \end{array}$ | 579 | 438 | 2,187 |  | 323 | 24 | 25 | 385 | 150 | 268 | 181 | 202 | 187 | 147 | 148 | 143 | 150 | 125 |
| 1922. Jan...... | 748 | 417 | 2,259 | 1,467 | 319 | 25 | 26 | 576 | 148 | 257 | 179 | 190 | 176 | 139 | 149 | 142 | 147 | 121 |
| Feb....... | 871 | 399 | 2,365 | 1,461 | 307 | 30 | 31 | 559 | 149 | 245 | 179 | 189 | 173 | 139 | 143 | 140 | 145 | 119 |
| Mar....... | 904 | 382 | 2,379 | 1,414 | 294 | 36 | 36 | 546 | 143 | 238 | 181 | 185 | 162 | 136 | 142 | 141 | 141 | 119 |
| Apr....... | 1,043 | 378 | 2,455 | 1,415 | 304 | 44 | 43 | 524 | 137 | 234 | 190 | 182 | 153 | 136 | 138 | 143 | 144 | 121 |
| May...... | 1,374 | 379 | 2,632 | 1,444 | 317 | 47 | 45 | 530 | 136 | 230 | 188 | 178 | 152 | 136 | 138 | 147 | 145 | 120 |
| June. | 2,421 | 384 | 2,379 | 1,475 | 307 | 51 | 48 |  | 137 | 227 | 183 | 179 | 153 | 138 | 137 | 146 | 143 | 118 |
| July. | 3,282 | 381 | 2,431 | 1,430 | 297 | 68 | 71 | 522 | 140 | 233 | 179 | 179 | 153 | 139 | 138 | 148 | 144 | 116 |
| Aug. | 7,224 | 377 | 2,475 | 1,290 | 289 | 97 | 111 | 531 | 139 | 232 | 178 | 181 | 152 | 136 | 141 | 149 | 141 | 116 |
| Sept. | 13,531 | 386 | 2,478 | 1,105 | 291 | 154 | 176 | 537 | 141 | 228 | 179 | 180 | 153 | 137 | 139 | 149 | 139 | 117 |
| Oct. | 11,907 | 406 | 2,506 | 1,016 | 290 | 266 | 288 | 555 | 143 | 220 | 178 | 178 | 155 | 140 | 138 | 146 | 139 | 119 |
|  | 11, 183 | 432 | 2,520 | 884 | 297 | 550 |  | 561 | 136 | 216 | 178 | 170 | 156 | 142 | 139 | 145 | 139 | 120 |
| Dec. | 10,521 | 429 |  | 962 | 305 | 807 |  |  |  | 215 | 177 | 168 | 156 | 144 | 140 | 146 | 138 | 118 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1923 . \\ \mathbf{J a n}^{1 . . . .} . \end{array}$ |  | 426 |  | 940 | 309 | 1,366 |  |  |  | 214 | 180 | 166 | 155 | 141 | 142 |  | 138 | 117 |
| Feb. |  | 436 |  |  | 316 | 3,183 |  |  |  | 214 |  | 165 | 154 | 130 | 142 |  | 139 |  |

1 Average for 1913.
${ }^{2}$ Includes, in addition to foodstuffs, certain items of fuel and light.
${ }^{3}$ July, $1914=1$.
COST OF LIVING INDEX NUMBERS.


INDEXES OF INDUSTRIAL ACTIVITY IN FOREIGN COUNTRIES. UNITED KINGDOM.


[^18]Figures for end of the month.
4 Expressed in yards.
${ }^{5}$ Figures for 5 weeks.
-

FRANCE.

| Year and month. | PRODUCTION. |  | Cotton stocks atHavre. | EXPORTS. | IMPORTS. |  |  |  | transportation. |  | Unemployed receiving municipal aid in Paris. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pig iron. | Crude steel. |  | Total. | Total. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Raw } \\ \text { cotton for } \\ \text { consump } \\ \text { tion. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Raw } \\ \text { silk for } \\ \text { consump- } \\ \text { tion. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Coal for } \\ \text { consump } \\ \text { tion. } \end{gathered}$ | Vessels cleared. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Receipts } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { principal } \\ \text { railways. } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Monthly average: | Metric tons. 1 | Metric <br> tons. ${ }^{1}$ | Bales.1,4 | Metric tons. ${ }^{1}$ | Metric tons. ${ }^{1}$ | Metric tons. | Metric tons. | Metric tons. 1 | Tons. ${ }^{\text {I }}$ | Francs. ${ }^{1}$ | Number. |
| 1913. | ${ }^{5} 434$ | ${ }^{5} 391$ | 274 | 1,840 | 3,685 | 27,428 | 629 | 1,55s | 2,176 | - 165, 892 |  |
| 1920. | 286 | 254 | 225 | 1,071 | 4,211 | 19,577 | 390 | 2,005 | 1,412 | 479, 894 | 3,022 |
| 1921. | 280 427 | 255 373 | 169 | 1,333 | 3,165 | 16,666 | 206 | 1,472 | 1, 802 | 516,397 557,194 | 20,671 1,679 |
| January........... | 312 | 315 | 188 | 1,554 | 3,396 | 14,870 | 502 | 1,676 | 1,735 | 451,870 | 4,658 |
| February | 323 | 317 | 163 | 1,520 | 4,126 | 14, 714 | 467 | 2,153 | 1, 744 | 468, 175 | 4,388 |
| March... | 386 | 367 | 127 | 1,570 | 4,434 | 20,978 | 408 | 2,081 | 1,934 | 472,779 | 3,546 |
| April. | 383 | 324 | 138 | 1,794 | 3,787 | 17,391 | 207 | 1,538 | 2,088 | 608,764 | 2,447 |
| May. | 442 | 364 | 169 | 1,538 | 4,396 | 18,090 | 404 | 2,058 | 2,340 | 472,607 | 1,636 |
| June. | 416 | 358 | 145 | 1,799 | 4,307 | 32,380 | 391 | 1, 829 | 2,473 | 504, 431 | 958 |
| July.. | 428 | 369 | 153 | 1,936 | 4,223 | 26,325 | 566 | 1,631 | 2,523 | 651,720 | 602 |
| August. | 447 | 397 | 135 | 1,788 | 4,512 | 16,291 | 579 | 1,767 | 2,399 | 546, 310 | 606 |
| September | 462 | 407 | 99 | 2,616 | 4,138 | 17,302 | 550 | 1,692 | 2,359 | 720,210 | 410 |
| October. | 503 | 430 | 131 | 2,034 | 4,543 | 27,877 | 722 | 1,768 | 2,336 | 563,314 | 272 |
| November | 513 | 410 | 158 | 2,034 | 4,577 | 20,387 | 526 | 1,965 | 2,455 | 532, 152 | 235 |
| December. | 513 | 414 | 197 | 2,429 | 4,930 | 36,468 | 436 | 2,177 | 2,366 | 691,539 | 414 |
| January.......... | 486 | 408 | 203 | 1,896 | 4,111 | 33,275 | 238 | 1,888 | 2,146 | 484,566 | 684 |
| February. |  |  | 169 | 2,490 | 3,884 |  |  |  |  | 496,581 | 666 |

1 In thousands; 000 omitted.
End of the month figure
Railways included are: State Railways, Paris-Lyon-Méditerranée, Nord, Orléans, Est, Midi, Alsace-Lorraine, and Guillaumoluxambourg. Bale of 50 kilograms.

- Figures do not include Lorraine.

Excludes the Alsace-Lorraine and Guillaume-Luxembourg Railways.

GERMANY.

| Year and month. | Production. |  | EXPORTS. ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  | IMPORTS. ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  | shipping. |  | UNEMPLOYMENT. |  | Business failures during month. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Coal and coke. | Lignite. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Iron } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { iron } \\ \text { manu- } \\ \text { factures. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Ma- } \\ \text { chinery } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { elec- } \\ \text { tricel } \\ \text { sup- } \\ \text { plies. } \end{array}$ | Dyes and stuffs. | Coal. ${ }^{3}$ | Raw wool. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Half } \\ \text { manu- } \\ \text { fac- } \\ \text { tured } \\ \text { silk. } \end{gathered}$ | Cotton. 4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Iron } \\ & \text { ore. } \end{aligned}$ | Arriva vesse Ham | als of s in urg. | Applit for <br> every 100 able posi- | Unemployed persons receiving aid. |  |
|  | Metric tons. ${ }^{1}$ | Metric <br> tons. ${ }^{1}$ | Metric tons. | Metric tons. | Metric tons. | Metric tons. | Metric tons. | Metric tons. | Metric tons. | Metric tons. 1 | Number. | Tons. ${ }^{1}$ | Number. | Number. 1 | Number. |
| 1913 | 17,003 | 7,266 | 541, 439 | 60, 919 | 21, 812 | 2, 881, 126 | 16,608 | 920 | 43, 424 | 1,225. | 1,256 | 1, 182 |  |  |  |
| 1920 | 13, 043 | 9,303 | 145, 883 | 546,772 | 8, 462 | 608,749 | 4,4,025 | ${ }^{6} 232$ | 12,490 | 1,538 |  | -374 | 169 | 366 | 33 i |
| 1921. | 13,664 | 10,241 | 203,681 | 39,037 | 8, 530 | 518,937 | 11, 860 | 393 | 30, 894 | 619 | 700 | 794 | 165 | 310 | 744 |
| 1922. |  |  | 220, 803 | 49, 147 | 12,731 | 421,835 | 15,910 | 407 | 21,483 | 1,003 | 907 | 1,084 |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1922 . \\ \text { January } \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 347 |  |  |  | 875 | 150 |  |  |
| Februar | 13, 655 | 10,091 | 172, 709 | 45,689 | 9,332 | 669, 433 | 26, 202 | 383 | 17,915 | 493 | ${ }_{461}$ | 716 | 145 | 203 | 123 |
| March. | 15, 931 | 12,260 | 211, 979 | 48, 813 | 12,299 | 795, 200 | 26,988 | 440 | 26, 130 | 810 | 894 | 969 | 113 | 213 | 151 |
| April. | 13, 800 | 10,634 | 200, 677 | 46, 112 | 11,095 | 795, 940 | 24,091 | 462 | 24,070 | 866 | 972 | 1,112 | 113 | 116 | 107 |
| May.. | 14,670 | 11,437 | 209, 432 | 47,354 | 12,629 | 701, 941 | 25,619 | 486 | 26, 112 | 1,519 | 1,143 | 1,244 | 107 | 65 | 95 |
| June. | 11, 416 | 10,487 | 213, 220 | 49, 347 | 16,335 | 528, 766 | 15,723 | 436 | 22, 037 | 1, 159 | 1,092 | 1,287 | 103 | 29 | 91 |
| July... | 11, 978 | 11, 411 | 212, 365 | 44, 162 | 12, 671 | 199, 961 | 14, 119 | 435 | 26,085 | 982 | 793 | 1, 065 | 106 | 20 | 81 |
| August. | 12,780 | 12,147 | 198, 408 | 50, 978 | 12,616 | 121, 359 | 11, 011 | 459 | 20,915 | 997 | 1,005 | 1,171 | 109 | 15 | 59 45 |
| September | 12,623 | 11,823 | 244, 012 | 40, 150 | 13, 477 | 110,245 | 8,708 | 342 | 13, 959 | 1,090 | 945 | 1,208 | 122 | 12 | 45 |
| October...... | 13,329 12,986 | 12,089 11,896 | 246,074 | 50,699 41.644 | 11,187 | 125,670 | 10,023 9,198 | 371 | 10,584 20,622 | 1,316 842 | 1,016 | 1,272 | 129 | ${ }_{24}^{16}$ | 43 34 |
| December.... | 12,212 | 11,897 | 285,464 | 85,350 | 16,472 | 123,826 | 8,828 | 297 | 25,942 | 1,038 | 936 | 1,041 |  | 43 | 39 |
| 1923. January. | 12,212 | 9,104 | 236,709 | 42,209 | 13,651 | 90,626 | 11,448 | 254 | 26,382 | 867 | 993 | 1,142 |  |  | 42 |

${ }^{1}$ In thousands; 000 omitted.
${ }^{2}$ Export snd import figures for first 4 months of 1921 not available; 1921 averages based on 8 months.
Not including coal for reparations account.
4 Includes linters.

- Includes manganese ore.

Aversge based on 6 months.
+Coal only.
SWEDEN.

| Year and month. | PRODUCTION. |  | EXPORTS. |  | IMPORTS. | transportation. |  |  | Unemployed workmen per 100 vacancies. | Business failures during month. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pig iron. | Iron and steel ingots | Unplaned boards. | Paper pulp. | Coal. | Vessels entered. | Vessels cleared. | Freight carried on State railways. |  |  |
| Monthly average: | Metric tons. ${ }^{1}$ | Metric tons. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Cubic meters. 1 | Metric tons. | Metric tons. ${ }^{1}$ | Net tons. ${ }^{1}$ | Net tons. ${ }^{1}$ | Metric tons. ${ }^{1}$ | Number. | Number. |
| 1913. | 61 | 49 | 328 | 71 | 408 | 1,147 | 1,147 | 830 | 112 | 317 |
| 1920.. | 39 | 37 | 306 | 73 | 234 | 677 | 692 | 991 | 107 | 196 |
| 1921:...... | 26 | 17 | 162 | 40 | 122 | 519 | 482 | 589 | 276 | 432 |
| 1922....... | 22 | 26 | 320 | 87 | 220 |  |  | 681 | 290 | 388 |
| February.... 192. | 17 | 17 | 25 | 11 | 62 | 285 | 255 | 630 | 479 | 398 |
| March.... | 22 | 22 | 63 | 36 | 197 | 617 | 509 | 730 | 381 | 513 |
| April. | 19 | 21 | 66 | 21 | 206 | 524 | 485 | 622 | 368 | 400 |
| May. | 24 | 31 | 99 | 76 | 230 | 600 | 633 | 578 | 257 | 430 |
|  | 21 | 24 | 500 | 80 | 172 | 596 | 738 | 64.5 | 215 | 362 |
| July... | 20 | 27 | 608 | 89 | 214 | 625 | 787 | 715 | 203 | 374 |
| August..... | 22 | 27 | 539 | 104 | 294 | 694 | 836 | 765 | 172 | 300 |
| September. | 22 | 31 | 508 | 113 | 229 | 684 | 808 | 776 | 155 | 371 |
| October.. | 23 | 31 | 494 | 144 | 270 | 699 | 822 | 799 | 177 | 335 |
| November. | 27 | 34 | 465 | 189 | 302 | 705 | 751 | 796 | 269 | 353 |
| December. | 28 | 29 | 384 | 156 | 346 | 655 | 671 | 635 | 321 | 309 |
| January...... 1023. | 22 | 15 | 97 | 41 | 227 |  |  |  | 346 | 387 |
| February...... |  |  | 45 | 12 | 201 |  |  |  | 308 | 338 |

${ }^{1}$ In thousands; 000 omitted.

CANADA.

| Year and month. | PRODUCTION. |  |  | EXPORTS. |  |  |  | maports. |  |  | Railroad receipts. | Unem-ployment trade union mers- | Business failures. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{\text { iron. }}{\underset{\text { Pig }}{ }}$ | Coal. | Crude Steel. | Planks and boards. | Preserved fish. | Wood pulp. | Wheat. | Coal. | Raw cotton. | Machinery. |  |  |  |
|  | Tons. 1 | T'ons. 1 | Tons. 1 | Feet. ${ }^{2}$ | Lbs. 1 | Lbs. ${ }^{1}$ | Bushels. ${ }^{1}$ | Tons. 1 | Lbs. 1 | Dollars. 1 | Dollars. 1 | Per cent. | Number. |
| 1921 average........ | 50 | 1,255 | 56 | 85 | 11,007 | 87, 871 | 12,238 | 1,525 | 7,269 | 2,288 | 33,568 | 12.5 | 47 |
| 1922 average......... | 32 |  | 40 | 166 | 9,488 | 136,375 | 17,462 | 1,085 | 9, 229 | 1,895 | 34,021 | 7.1 | 72 |
| 1922. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January... | 32 | 1,208 | 33 | 82 | 10,180 | 124,012 | 6, 103 | 1,069 | 11,007 | 1,640 | 26,706 | 13.9 | 90 |
| February. | 34 | 1,313 | 42 | 88 | 7,140 | 87,039 | 5,602 | 1,148 | 8,758 | 1,573 | 26,053 | 10.6 | 80 |
| March. | 35 | 1,400 | 30 | 119 | 8,929 | 117,543 | 6,042 | 1,857 | 12,538 | 2,410 | 31,966 | 9.6 | 90 |
| April. | 33 | 666 | 22 | 84 | 5,075 | 62,153 | 1,940 | ${ }^{896}$ | 7,320 | 1,637 | 26, 809 | 10.4 | 59 |
| May. ................ | 23 | 721 | 17 | 156 | 5,711 | 105,197 | 14,207 | 548 | 9,788 | 1,999 | 30,799 | 8.8 | 67 |
| June ................. | 28 | 811 | 33 | 214 | 6,749 | 168,169 | 11,760 | 412 | 8,438 | 2,008 | 30,536 | 5.3 | 64 |
| July. ................. | 32 | 858 | 63 | 215 | 9,936 | 155, 502 | 9,487 | 427 | 7,518 | 1,559 | 32,624 | 4.1 | 60 |
| August.............. | 29 | 789 | 59 | 213 | 7,607 | 169,611 | 11,587 | 440 | 8,459 | 1,963 | 34,937 | 3.6 | 64 |
| September......... | 25 |  | 36 | 191 | 20,675 | 180,068 | 9,233 | 663 | 4,276 | 1,918 | 39, 158 | 2.8 | 65 |
| October............. | 37 |  | 53 | 239 | 13,239 | 154,019 | 37,593 | 1,465 | 4, 383 | 1,931 | 47,641 | 3.9 | 72 |
| November........ . | 34 |  | 51 | 205 | 9,995 | 173, 180 | 55,316 | 2,127 | 11,284 | 2,114 | 44, 259 | 6.2 | 76 |
| December.......... | 36 |  | 47 | 187 | 8,614 | 140,001 | 40,669 | 1,964 | 16,980 | 1,986 | 36,758 | 6.4 | 82 |

${ }^{1}$ In thousands; 000 omitted.
${ }^{3}$ In millions; 000,000 omitted.
JAPAN.

| Year and month. | PRODUCTION. ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Raw } \\ \text { silk } \\ \text { stocks, } \\ \text { Yoko- } \\ \text { hama } \\ \text { mar- } \\ \text { ket. } \end{gathered}$ | EXPOBTS. |  |  |  | IMPORTS. |  |  | Transportation. ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cotton yarns. | Sabrics (habutaye). | Paper. |  | Silk, raw. | $\underset{\text { fabrics }}{\text { Silk }}$ (habutaye). | Cotton. yarns. | Sheetings and shirtings, gray | Raw cotton, ginned. | Wool. | Iron plates and sheets. | Vessels cleared in foreign trade. | Freight carried on State rallways. | Receipts of State railways. |
|  | Bales. | Hiki. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Pounds. | Bales. | Piculs. | Piculs. | Piculs. | Yards. 1 | Piculs. ${ }^{1}$ | Piculs. | Piculs. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Tons. | Tons. | Yen. |
| 1913. | 126151151 |  |  |  | 16, 855 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{2 , 3 0 2} \\ & \mathbf{2}, 264 \end{aligned}$ | 113,374 | 7,921 |  | 13,16246,918 | 132 | 2,075 | 2,923 | 11,72327,389 |
| 1920. |  | $143$ | $\because \dddot{44,538}$ | 53,ii1 |  |  |  |  | 648 |  | 528 | 2,216 | 4,548 |  |
| 1921. |  |  |  | 58,477 | 21,836 | 1,702 | 73,064 | 23,210 | 718 | 22,277 | 312 | 2,324 | 4,342 | 31, 182 |
| 1921. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| November. | $\begin{aligned} & 168 \\ & 178 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 170 \\ & 169 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 45,658 \\ 46,781 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 48,832 \\ & 44,7 \in 6 \end{aligned}$ | 29,16937,250 | 1,8551,857 | 53,48468,032 | 16,70720,382 | 696646 | 14,639 | 371 | 2,718 | 4,6104,922 | 31,72932,520 |
| December. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1922. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January.. | 168174184 | 166129 | 46,488 | 40,561 | 16,924 | 1,0801,551 | 61,41463,719 | 19,124 | 1,161 | 41,724 | 462594 | 2,749$\mathbf{2 , 8 1 7}$ | 4,1024,261 |  |
| Februar |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| March. |  | 110160 | 52,644 | 40,777 | 16,647 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \mathbf{2}, 003 \\ & 1,669 \end{aligned}$ | 123,605138,226 | 24,194 | 1,084 | 64, 8685 | 637 582 | 3,094 | 5,0664,968 |  |
| April. | 191 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24, 725 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 752 \\ & 890 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 36,337 \\ & 42,074 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 194 | $\begin{aligned} & 173 \\ & 159 \end{aligned}$ | 52, 791 <br> 53,734 | 18, 547 | 29, 569 | 2, 1761,793 | 139,057 | 29,713 | 580 <br> 490 | $\begin{aligned} & 24,753,75 \\ & 68,415 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3,287 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,225 \\ & 4,965 \end{aligned}$ | 38,486 |
| July. | 181 <br> 179 |  |  |  | 34,541 |  | 51,660 | 25,284 | 433 | 37,431 <br> 29,936 | $\begin{aligned} & 872 \\ & 697 \end{aligned}$ | 2,987 | 4,6414,489 | 32,180733,94 |
| August. |  | 116121 | 54,55353,326 | 56,032 | 36,196 | 2,0171,686 | 40,075 22,343 |  | 731 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Septembe | 179 |  |  | 48, 810 | 35, 959 |  | 68,773 | 17,668 | 766 | 17,559 | 351 | 2, 849 | 4, 502 | $\begin{aligned} & 32,464 \\ & 35,374 \\ & 32,882 \\ & 33,041 \end{aligned}$ |
| October. | 193 | $\begin{aligned} & 118 \\ & 123 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 54,892 \\ & 54,340 \\ & 54,30 \end{aligned}$ | 48, 47275,41968,304 | $\begin{aligned} & 35,970 \\ & 26,804 \\ & 31,133 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,839 \\ & 1,253 \\ & 1,608 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 06,718 \\ & 118,431 \\ & 101,406 \\ & 129,466 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25,259 \\ & 22,537 \\ & 29,463 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 330 \\ & 339 \\ & 805 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16,94 \\ & 47,969 \\ & 46,182 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 332 \\ & 240 \\ & 131 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,784 \\ & 2,895 \\ & 3,049 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,920 \\ & 4,884 \\ & 5,189 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Novembe | 199 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| December | 190 | 117 | 56,306 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1 In thousands; 000 omitted.
${ }^{2}$ One hiki equals two pieces.
${ }^{3}$ A picul varies from 133 to 140 pounds a voirdupois.

## FOREIGN TRADE OF PRINCIPAL COUNTRIES.

In the following tables are presented figures from official sources showing the monthly value of the foreign trade of a group of European countries, Canada, Brazil, India, Japan; and the United States.

FOREIGN TRADE OF UNITED KINGDOM.
[In thousands of pounds sterling.]

| Year and month. | IMPORTS. |  |  |  |  | ETPORTS. |  |  |  |  | Reexports. | Total exports and reexports. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Food, drink, and tobacco. | Raw mate rials and articles mainly unmanufactured. | Articles wholly or mainly manufactured. | Miscel- <br> laneous, <br> including parcel post. | Total. | Food, and tobacco. | Raw mato- rials and articles mainly unmanu- factured. | Articles wholly or mainly factured. | Miscel- <br> laneous, including parcel post. | Total. |  |  |
| Monthly average: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 24,184 | 23, 485 | 16,134 | 259 | 64,061 | 2,716 | 5, 825 | 34,281 | ${ }^{949}$ | 43,770 | 9,131 | 52,901 |
| 1921 | 47,391 | 29, 598 | 20,421 | 268 | $\begin{array}{r}161,387 \\ 90.458 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 4,245 3.122 | 12,126 5 8 | 93, 49,05 4.45 | 1,523 | - | 18,563 8.921 | 129, 868 |
| 1922 | 39,429 | 24,853 | 19, 160 | 261 | 83,660 | 30, 27 | 8,501 | 47,451 | 1,062 | 60,041 | 8,648 | 68,689 |
| 1922. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| February | 32,257 | 20,220 | 16,576 | 322 | 69,375 | 2,754 | 6,869 | 48,000 | 712 | 58, 335 | 10,174 | 68,509 |
| March. | 45, 261 | 22,095 | 20,309 | 215 | 87,879 | 3,270 | 8,465 | 51,760 | 1,085 | 64, 581 | 10,154 | 74.735 |
| A pril. | 40,097 | 21,404 | 18,962 | 199 | 80,661 | 3,011 | 7,376 | 44, 336 | 785 | 55, 508 | 9, 200 | 64,708 |
| May. | 43,075 | 25,358 | 20,207 | 176 | 88,814 | 3,045 | 8,757 | 45,073 | 1,171 | 58,045 | 8,965 | 67,010 |
|  | 39,938 | 25, 242 | 18, 857 | 263 | 84,298 | 3,044 | 7,871 | 40,556 | 875 | 52,146 | 8,720 | 60, 866 |
| July. | 38,817 | 24,237 | 18,579 | 151 | 81,784 | 2,806 | 8,041 | 48,455 | 1,117 | 60,419 | 8,317 | 68,736 |
| August | 37,762 | 24,141 | 20,326 | 432 | 82,661 | 3,105 | 8,400 | 47,149 | 878 | 60,032 | 7,504 | 67,536 |
| September | 35, 555 | 21,848 | 19,244 | 296 | 76,944 | 3,154 | 10,499 | 48,361 | 897 | 62,511 | 6,381 | 68,893 |
| October | 38,617 | 26,409 | 19,726 | 262 | 85,015 | 3,066 | 9,211 | 47,010 | 1,112 | 60,399 | 8,277 | 68,676 |
| November | 45,501 | 30,223 | 19,587 | 290 | 95,600 | 3,408 | 10,101 | 51,964 | 1,018 | 66,491 | 9,148 | 75,639 |
| Decemb | 42,292 | 32,499 | 19,838 | 283 | 94,912 | 2,796 | 9,493 | 44,932 | 1.662 | 58,883 | 8,479 | 67,362 |
| January........... | 47,398 | 30,288 | 21, 707 | 307 | 99,700 | 3,364 | 9,372 | 53,135 | 1,068 | 66,939 | 9,798 | 76,737 |
| February | 37, 141 | 26,739 | 19,462 | 513 | 83,855 | 2,864 | 9,470 | 44,324 | 852 | 57,510 | 9,823 | 67,333 |

FOREIGN TRADE OF FRANCE. 1

| Year and month. | IMPORTS. |  |  |  |  | EXPORTS. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In thousands of francs. |  |  |  | In thousands of metric tons. | In thousands of francs. |  |  |  |  | $\ln$thou-sands ofmaetrictons. |
|  | Food. | Raw materials. | Manufactured articles. | Total. |  | Food. | Raw materials. | Manufactured articles. | Parcel post. | Total. |  |
| Monthly average: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1920.... | -151,465 | 2, $\begin{array}{r}\text { 412, } \\ \text { 2, } \\ \text { 279 }\end{array}$ | 1, $\begin{array}{r}138,169 \\ \hline 188\end{array}$ | 701,778 $4,158,741$ | 3,685 | r 617,908 | 154, 841 | 301,421 $1,413,548$ | 47,182 100,479 | 2, $\begin{array}{r}573,351 \\ \hline 154\end{array}$ | 1,840 1,071 |
| 19212 | 517, 158 | 1,033,170 | -412,045 | 1,962,373 | 3.165 | 161,031 | 463,219 | 1,067,413 | 104, 430 | 1, 796, 092 | 1,333 |
| 1922. |  |  |  |  | 4,281 |  |  |  |  |  | 1,877 |
| 1922.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| February | 385,021 | 1,137,855 | 324,150 | 1,847,026 | 4,126 | 153,892 | 448,455 | 1,108,507 | 144,458 | 1,853,312 | 1,520 |
| March... | 460,765 | 1,005,463 | 465,737 | 1,931,965 | 4,434 | 130,595 | 456,930 | 1,189, 712 | 99,431 | 1,876,668 | 1,570 |
| April. | 438,000 | 983,000 | 323,000 | 1,743,640 | 3,787 | 136,000 | 461,000 | 1,231,000 | 134,000 | 1,962,997 | 1,794 |
| May.. | 504,000 483,350 | $\begin{array}{r}996,000 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 310,000 | 1,810,125 | 4,396 | 132,000 | 498,000 | 1,127,000 | 111,000 | 1,886,964 | 1,538 |
| Junet. | 483,356 476,813 | 1,082, 371 | 285,488 318,168 | 1,851, 184 | 4,307 | 113, 435 | 374,959 | 885,029 | 59,619 | 1,433,042 | 1,709 1,936 |
| August. | 510,597 | 1,096,903 | 352, 229 | 1,959, 729 | 4,512 | 179,407 | 408, 005 | 931,066 | 157,836 | 1,676,000 | 1,788 |
| September | 473,000 | 1,087,000 | 333,000 | 1, 893,000 | 4,138 | 141,000 | 477,000 | 1,055,000 | 68,000 | 1,741,000 | 2,616 |
| October | 570,395 | 1,189,564 | 348, 913 | 2,108,872 | 4,543 | 195, 467 | 493, 804 | 1,098,983 | 149,213 | 1,937,467 | 2,034 |
| November | 516,586 | 1,478,424 | 353,235 | 2,348,245 | 4,577 | 196,112 | 537,370 | 8556,421 | 116,596 | 1,706,499 | 2,024 |
| December | 628,705 | 1,903,975 | 390,616 | 2,923,296 | 4,930 | 249,079 | 1,185,596 | 1,119,980 | 224,292 | 2,778, 947 | 2,429 |
| January.......... | 457,976 | 1,374,222 | 312,096 | 2,144,294 | 4,111 | 187,004 | 434,786 | 944,740 | 129,368 | 1,695,898 |  |
| February. | 551,000 | 1,445,000 | 347,000 | 2, 343, 000 | 3, 884 | 242,000 | 730,000 | 1, 236,000 | 121,000 | 2, 329,000 | 2,490 |

[^19]${ }^{2}$ Imports calculated on basis of actual declared value.
${ }^{2}$ Calculated on 1919 value units. Value of exports not available. Beginning with June, exports calculated on 1921 value units.

FOREIGN TRADE OF DENMARK, ITALY, NETHERLANDS, SWEDEN, CANADA, BRAZIL, INDIA, AND JAPAN.

| Year and month. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Denmark. } \\ & \text { (In millions of } \\ & \text { kroner.) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | Netherlands. (In millions of guilders.) |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Sweden. } \\ \text { (In millions of } \\ \text { kronor.) } \end{gathered}\right.$ |  | Canada. <br> (In millions of dollars.) |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Brazil. } \\ \begin{array}{c} \text { In millions of } \\ \text { milreis. }) \end{array} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { India. } \\ \text { (In millionses. })}}{ }$ |  | Japan.(In millions ofyen.) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | im- | Exports. | Imports. | Exports. | Imports. | Exports. | Imports. | Exports. | Im- | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ex. } \\ & \text { ports. } \end{aligned}$ | Imports. | Exports. | Imports. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ex- } \\ \text { ports. } \end{gathered}$ | Im. ports. | Ex. ports. |
| Monthly average: |  | 60 |  | 210 |  |  | 71 | 68 |  | 31 | 84 | 82 | 134 | 205 | 61 | 53 |
| 1920. | 262 | 151 | 1,322 | 650 | 278 | 142 | 281 | 191 | 89 | 107 | 174 | 146 | 173 | 272 | 195 | 162 |
| 1921. | 136 | 121 | 1,041 | 657 | 187 | 114 | 106 | 91 | 103 | 101 | 141 | 142 | 280 | 214 | 135 | 104 |
| 1922. | 125 | 101 |  |  | 169 | 102 | 97 | 97 | 63 | 74 |  |  |  |  | 156 | 136 |
| 1922. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January.. | 102 | 80 | 1,316 | 620 | 152 | 86 | 77 | 61 | 51 | 47 | 92 | 199 | 276 | 230 | 179 | 87 |
| February | 71 | 76 | 1,056 | 720 | 152 | 84 | 49 | 38 | 54 | 47 | 101 | 161 | 189 | 222 | 198 | 101 |
| March. | 103 | 100 | 1,311 | 716 | 180 | 113 | 109 | 71 | 79 | 61 | 131 | 172 | 215 | 277 | 208 | 115 |
| April. | 126 | 76 | 1,157 | 689 | 167 | 93 | 102 | 60 | 48 | 33 | 127 | 187 | 178 | 239 | 185 | 129 |
| мау. | 159 | 113 | 1,245 | 592 | 194 | 108 | 97 | 90 | 66 | 70 | 127 | 141 | 191 | 273 | 169 | 154 |
| June. | 142 | 126 | 1,662 | 861 | 151 | 101 | 93 | 104 | 62 | 73 | 129 | 149 | 164 | 192 | 157 | 146 |
| July.. | 117 | 107 | 1,101 | 672 | 164 | 105 | 83 | 113 | 61 | 72 | 109 | 154 | 182 | 244 | 142 | 144 |
| August. | 135 | 90 | 1,080 | 732 | 179 | 99 | 105 | 132 | 67 | 74 | 147 | 182 | 212 | 252 | 137 | 146 |
| Septembe | 134 | 122 | 1, 236 | 747 | 165 | 116 | 109 | 123 | 60 | 73 | 132. | 202 | 182 | 216 | 135 | 150 |
| October. | 148 | 112 | 1,395 | 889 | 187 | 112 | 118 | 122 | 67 | 104 | 226 | 299 | 229 | 216 | 105 | 161 |
| November | 130 | 120 | 1,254 | 1,046 | 172 | 104 | 111 | 128 | 76 | 132 | 170 | 237 | 206 | 288 | 116 | 139 |
| December.. | 142 | 109 |  |  | 163 | 100 | 110 | 120 | 70 | 112 | 208 | 250 | 192 | 278 | 143 | 158 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1923 . \\ \text { January...... } \end{array}$ | 141 | 108 |  |  | 173 | 92 | 88 | 65 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 148 | 95 |
| February. |  |  |  |  | 162 | 95 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 154 | 122 |

${ }^{1}$ Italian yearly figures for 1921 based on average for six months only.
${ }^{2}$ Dutch figures for 1913 not comparable with later figures.
FOREIGN TRADE OF UNITED STATES.
[In thousands of dollars.]

${ }^{1}$ Including miscellaneous merchandise imported.
${ }^{2}$ Including miscellaneous and foreign merchandise exported.
${ }^{3}$ Imports under old tariff law September 1-21, 1922, only.
Import figures delayed owing to change in tarifi.

- Imports for Sept. 21-Oct. 31.


## FOREIGN TRADE INDEX.

There are presented below the Federal Reserve Board's series of index numbers designed to reflect relative quantity movements in the foreign trade of the United States. Changes in the level of prices have been allowed for by multiplying the quantities of selected commodities exported or imported each month by fixed 1913 prices. ${ }^{1}$

| Year and month. | Exports. |  |  |  | Imports. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Raw } \\ & \text { mate- } \\ & \text { rials } \\ & \text { (12 } \\ & \text { com- } \\ & \text { modi- } \\ & \text { ties). } \end{aligned}$ | Pro-ducers' goods (10 comties). |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { (29 } \\ & \text { com- } \\ & \text { modi- } \\ & \text { ties). } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Raw } \\ \text { mate- } \\ \text { rials } \\ \text { (10 } \\ \text { com- } \\ \text { modi- } \\ \text { ties). } \end{array}$ | Pro-ducers goods (12 comties). |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Total } \\ \text { (27 } \\ \text { com-. } \\ \text { modi- } \\ \text { ties). } \end{array}$ |
| 1913, year. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 190.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1919, year. | 88.9 | 155.1 | 183.6 | 115.3 | 157.5 | 192.9 | 147.5 | 168.4 |
| 1920, year. . | 92.2 | 158.7 | 133.6 | 107.5 | 135.8 | 227.5 | 138.9 | 168.8 |
| 1921, year. . | 103.1 | 116.9 | 124.1 | 108.9 | 113.6 | 162.8 | 141.4 | 135.6 |
| 1922, year.. | 89.7 | 108.9 | 135. 1 | 101.1 | 157.6 | 253.1 | 143.0 | 189.1 |
| $\begin{gathered} 1922 . \\ \text { January.... } \end{gathered}$ | 82.6 | 104.3 | 129.7 | 94.5 | 118.4 | 228.7 | 135.2 | 160.1 |
| February.. | 68.5 | 86.0 | 127.6 | 82.6 | 123.3 | 281.3 | 133.5 | 183.4 |
| March...... | 89.8 | 121.7 | 156. 5 | 106.9 | 148.1 | 306.8 | 161.1 | 206.5 |
| April. | 90.5 | 120.9 | 150.5 | 106.0 | 125.5 | 236.1 | 152.0 | 169.1 |
| May. | 78.3 | 128.8 | 155.4 | 99.4 | 144.6 | 227.9 | 168.0 | 177.9 |
| Jume. | 86.3 | 124.3 | 169.2 | 107.4 | 148.7 | 273.3 | 137.3 | 191.0 |
| July. | 79.1 | 124.0 | 133.5 | 95.0 | 146.9 | 266.3 | 137.5 | 187.7 |
| August..... | 88.8 | 90.0 | 126.3 | 96.7 | 174.2 | 255.5 | 120.3 | 194.2 |
| September. | 91.2 | 98.9 | 111.5 | 96.2 | 183.5 | 244.0 | 133.4 | 196.7 |
| October-... | 122.9 | 96.8 | 121.0 | 119.8 | 155.0 | 241.6 | 138.8 | 172.4 |
| November. | 112.6 | 101.6 | 117.2 | 112.4 | 195.1 | 244.0 | 144.4 | 204.1 |
| December.. | 86.7 | 109.9 | 122.8 | 96.5 | 219.1 | 263.0 | 153.9 | 224, 0 |
| $\begin{gathered} 1923 . \\ \text { January.... } \end{gathered}$ | 78.8 | 126.5 | 137.3 | 95.8 |  |  |  |  |
| February.. | 62.2 | 130.9 | 129.6 | 83.2 |  |  |  |  |

The total volume of exports dropped off about 13 per cent in February, according to the figures above. The level was 83.5 in comparison with 100 in 1913. The greatest decrease took place in the group of raw materials, which fell 21 per cent. Consumers' goods decreased 6 per cent, but producers' goods rose 5.6 per cent. The commodities mainly responsible for these changes were the following: Among raw materials, raw cotton, copper, and wheat declined in volume, the latter commodity showing its usual seasonal decline. Among producers' goods, sole leather and gasoline rose quite

[^20]strikingly. Declines were slight. Among consumers ${ }^{3}$ goods, exports of hams, lard, and illuminating oil fell from the January figure. There was an enormous increase in sugar exports, from $10,568,000$ pounds in January to $65,942,000$ pounds in February.

Import figures for 1922 are now complete. December figures showed increased imports in all groups of commodities. Comparing yearly figures it is to be observed that during 1922 the average volume of imports increased 40 per cent over 1921. This compares with a decrease of 7 per cent among exports. The most important rise in 1922 imports occurred in producers' goods which increased 56 per cent. Raw materials were 39 per cent higher and consumers' goods 1 per cent higher.

## SAVINGS DEPOSITS.

Savings deposits, as reported by 880 banks distributed throughout all sections of the United States, continued to increase during February in all Federal reserve districts. On March 1 the total deposits were $\$ 6,394,117,000$, or an increase of 0.8 per cent over February 1, 1923, and 9.2 per cent over March 1, 1922. The increases reflected the improvement in employment conditions due to continued industrial development. A comparison of savings deposits on March 1, 1923, with deposits on February 1, 1923, and March 1, 1922, are shown in the following table. The figures for the Boston and New York districts are those of large mutual savings banks, but in all other districts reports of other banks are included to make the figures thoroughly representative.



## REPORT OF ASSOCIATED KNIT UNDERWEAR MANUFACTURERS OF AMERICA.

Production of winter and summer underwear by months since February, 1922, is given in the following table:


PRODUCTION AND SHIPMENTS OF FINISHED COTTON FABRICS.


[^21]
## PHYSICAL VOLUME OF TRADE.

February business activity, except in the case of crop marketing, continued at approximately the same high rate as during the preceding month, but the total volume of trade, as reflected by available statistics, was smaller, owing to the shortness of the month. Agricultural movements declined considerably and to a point slightly below that of last February. This may be attributed largely to the prompt marketing of crops early in the season, as shown by the large volume moved during the fall months. Mineral production fell off for the first time since last July, but this was largely, if not entirely, seasonal. The decline in manufacturing output may also be attributed to the short month, for the rate of activity continued practically unabated in almost all industries.

Movements of many agricultural products reached extremely low levels during February. Cotton sight receipts, for example, were smaller than during any February within the last five years. Receipts of grain, particularly of wheat
were considerably smaller than during January and somewhat below those of February, 1922, but were fairly well maintained when compared with other years. Stocks of grains on farms, except of corn, were larger than they were a year ago, and the visible supply of wheat was also greater than at the same time last year. Receipts of most grains at seaboard points were curtailed during February, and stocks at ports fell to a level slightly below that of a year ago. Live-stock receipts and shipments at principal markets, as is customary, were smaller than during January, but compared favorably with February of previous years. Tobacco sales also fell off during February. Citrus-fruit shipments from California were extremely large, indicating an early marketing of the crop.

Output of all mineral products during February was greater than during the same month last year, and less than during January, 1923, but, as compared with the latter month, the rate of production was either greater or practically unchanged. The daily average output

of crude petroleum again reached a new high record, and that of pig iron exceeded all months since March, 1920. Although zinc production was at a high rate, shipments were still larger, and as a result stocks were reduced to less than a week's supply. Deliveries of tin to factories were double those of February, 1922.

Total manufacturing output during February declined to slightly below the December level. Steel-ingot production was less than in January, but exceeded that of any other February within the past six years. Despite this high rate of output, unfilled orders of the United States Steel Corporation increased further during the month, and fabricated steel bookings likewise were augmented. February production of passenger cars exceeded the output for any other month except June, 1922, since statistics have been compiled, i. e., since July, 1921, and automobile shipments and driveaways were also the largest ever recorded. The output of locomotives was only slightly less than during January, and unfilled orders increased 24 per cent. The amount of lumber cut was curtailed more than usual during February by bad weather, but shipments continued at the same rate as in January. Both the demand for and the production of other building materials continued practically unchecked during February. Activity among textile mills either increased or was maintained at practically the same rate as in January. The number of cotton spindles active exceeded all previous records. The production of leather and of shoes was without significant change. The output of food products, as a whole, showed the usual seasonal declines.

Index of Production in Basic Industries, with Allowance for Seasonal Variation.
[Monthly average, $1919=100$.]

|  | 1919 | 1920 | 1921 | 1922 | 1923 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January | 107.3 | 115.8 | 83.9 | 86.5 | 120.7 |
| February | 100.3 | 114.8 | 84.3 | 90.5 | 120.1 |
| March | 96.2 | 114.5 | 81.0 | 94.9 |  |
| April | 98.8 | 107.6 | 78.6 | 85.3 |  |
| May | 92.9 | 105. 4 | 76.7 | 92.1 |  |
| June | 92.9 | 106.8 | 76.7 | 94.2 |  |
| July | 101.6 | 104.9 | 74.1 | 94.8 |  |
| August | 103.4 | 102. 1 | 7s. 5 | 93.9 |  |
| September | 104.6 | 101.7 | 79.1 | 99.9 |  |
| October. | 101.1 | 99.0 | 82.7 | 106.9 |  |
| November. | 97.9 | 95.3 | 8.9. ${ }^{\text {j }}$ | 115.5 |  |
| December | 103.3 | 89.6 | 83.3 | 115.6 |  |

Indexes of Domestic Business Uncorrected for Seasonal Changes.
[Monthly average of 1919 $=100$.]
AGRICULTURAL MOVEMENTS.

| Date. | Total agriculture. ${ }^{1}$ | Total animals. | Total grains. | Cotton. | Fruit. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Leaf } \\ \text { to- } \\ \text { bacco. } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1922. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January.. | 88.9 | 91.8 | 83.8 | 76.8 | 96.1 | 113.2 |
| February | 77.7 | 76.5 | 92.3 | 43.3 | 55.5 | 101. 2 |
| March. | 70.7 | 79.2 | 73.0 | 42.8 | 130.4 | 27.5 |
| April. | 57.4 | 71,8 | 49.6 | 37.0 | 103.0 | 5.5 |
| May | 82.6 | 90.2 | 92.5 | 50.1 | 105. 7 | 3.9 |
| June | 75.1 | 88.7 | 77.1 | 43.0 | 93.8 | 1. 5 |
| July. | 79.8 | 81.2 | 106.4 | 33.4 | 59.3 | 12.3 |
| August | 106.7 | 96.5 | 153.8 | 48.3 | 43.1 | 55.2 |
| September | 128.8 | 106.6 | 150.6 | 139.5 | 38.0 | 85.7 |
| October | 154.2 | 132.0 | 135.7 | 227.8 | 40.6 | 144.7 |
| November | 137.9 | 122.2 | 118.2 | 204.1 | 49.0 | 96.3 |
| December | 121.3 | 104.6 | 128.5 | 139.2 | 100.1 | 97.3 |
| 1923. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January. | 106.6 | 107.7 | 116.4 | 80.2 | 99.7 | 75. 1 |
| Febrmary | 73.3 | 84.4 | 75.7 | 37.4 | 117.7 | 45.1 |

1 Combination of 14 independent series.
MINERAL PRODUCTS.

| Drte. | Total. mineral products. | Bi-tuminous coal. | An-thrscite coal. | Crude petroleum. | Iron. | Copper. | Zinc. | Lead. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1922. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January. | 91.3 | 98.5 | 85.1 | 137.1 | 64.3 | 24.1 | 60.3 | 101.0 |
| February | 95.4 | 107.3 | 92.0 | 129.7 | 63.9 | 34.8 | 57.3 | 93.4 |
| Marol. . | 117.5 | 131.5 | 119.1 | 149.1 | 79.9 | 58.0 | 67.5 | 93.0 |
| April. | 59.5 | 41.3 | . 3 | 141.9 | 81.3 | 71.7 | 65.6 | 88.8 |
| May. | 67.9 | 53.1 | . 6 | 147.7 | 90.5 | 83.7 | 69.8 | 89.0 |
| June | 70.6 | 58.4 | 1.1 | 143.8 | 92.6 | 89.0 | 72.6 | 89.1 |
| July | 65.4 | 44. 5 | 1.6 | 148.0 | 94.2 | 85.0 | 81.2 | 84.4 |
| August. | 67.5 | 58.3 | 2.2 | 147.1 | 71.1 | 93.9 | 79.9 | 90.7 |
| September. | 99.9 | 107.3 | 67.7 | 143.8 | 79.8 | 89.8 | 84.3 | 95.3 |
| October.... | 118.5 | 118.3 | 116.1 | 150.1 | 103.5 | 96.2 | 101.6 | 108.3 |
| November . | 120.1 | 118. 6 | 114.1 | 152.1 | 111.8 | 94.6 | 102.3 | 113. 2 |
| December.. | 124.5 | 121.7 | 114.7 | 159.3 | 121.1 | 97.5 | 109.0 | 108.9 |
| $\begin{gathered} 1923 . \\ \text { January.... } \end{gathered}$ | 131.5 | 131.4 | 118.5 | 163.5 | 126.7 | 104.6 | 117.8 | 112.7 |
| February. . | 116.6 | 110.5 | 105.8 | 153.8 | 117.5 | 95.5 | 108.0 | 101. 4 |

${ }^{1}$ Combination of 7 independent series.
PRODUCTION OF MANUFACTURED GOODS.





## BUILDING STATISTICS.

## BUILDING PERMITS ISSUED IN 168 SELECTED CITIES.

[Collected by the 12 Federal Reserve Banks.]
NUMBER OF PERMITS ISSUED.

|  | District <br> No. 1 (14 cities). | District <br> No. 2 (22 cities). | District No. 3 (14 cities). | District No. 4 (12 cities). | District No. 5 (15 cities). | District No. 6 (15 cities). | District No. 7 (19 cities). | District <br> No. 8 (5 cities) | District No. 9 (9 cities). | District No. 10(14 cities). | District No. 11 (9 cities). | District No. 12(20 cities). | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { (168 } \\ \text { cities). } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1922. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| February | 1,120 | 4, 633 | 2,081 | 2,699 | 2,305 | 2,566 | 4,655 | 1,434 | 517 | 1,755 | 2,114 | 7,600 | 33,480 |
| July . | 2,597 | 7,761 | 3,029 | 4,680 | 3,756 | 2,978 | 10,385 | 2,291 | 2,125 | 2,467 | 2,238 | 9,415 | 53,722 |
| August | 2,873 | 7,828 | 3,044 | 5,093 | 4,018 | 3, 130 | 11,112 | 2,354 | 2,244 | 2,778 | 2,534 | 11, 596 | 58,604 |
| September | 2,734 | 8,424 | 3, 860 | 4,789 | 3,997 | 3,114 | 10,553 | 2,373 | 1,932 | 2,629 | 2,223 | 11, 291 | 57,919 |
| October.. | 2,911 | 9,672 | 3,169 | 5,064 | 3,930 | 3,335 | 11,988 | 2,492 | 2,029 | 2,906 | 2,470 | 12, 254 | 62, 230 |
| November | 2,301 | 9,022 | 2,504 | 4,150 | 3,433 | 3, 010 | 9,437 | 2,048 | 1,437 | 2,660 | 2,184 | 10, 490 | 52, 685 |
| December. | 1,285 | 7,456 | 1,639 | 2,666 | 2,458 | 2,070 | 6, 620 | 1,653 | -698 | 1,601 | 1,510 | 7,767 | 37, 453 |
| January 1923. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| February | 805 | 6,243 6,880 | 1,486 1,573 | 2,946 2,901 | 2,787 2,585 | 2,975 | 6,310 5,729 | 1,985 | 722 612 | 2,276 | 2,554 | 10.313 | 41,502 |
| Feruary |  | 6,880 | 1,573 | 2,901 | 2,58. | 2, 810 | 5,729 | 1,742 | 12 | 1,913 | 2,109 | 9, 000 | 39,154 |

VALUE OF PERMITS ISSUED (000 OMITTED).


VALUE OF BUILDING CONTRACTS AWARDED BY FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICTS.
(F. W. Dodge Co.)

VALUE OF CONTRACTS FOR ALL CLASSES OF BUILDINGS (000 OMITTED).

|  | District No. 1. | District No. 2. | District <br> No. 3. | District <br> No. 4. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { District } \\ & \text { No. } 5 . \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { District } \\ \text { No. } 6 . ~}}{ }$ | District No. 7. | District No. 8. | District <br> No. 9.1 | District <br> No. 10. ${ }^{2}$ | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| March........... | \$26, 212 | 890,089 | \$24,558 | \$29,661 | \$24,116 |  | \$58,082 |  | \$11,833 |  | \$264,651 |
| August | 26,780 | 80,811 | 43,819 | 50, 812 | 20,984 |  | 56, 954 |  | 8,250 |  | 288,410 |
| Septembe | 29, 245 | 64, 299 | 24,948 | 34,635 | 22,997 |  | 62, 220 |  | 5, 868 |  | 244, 262 |
| October | 25,305 | 65, 061 | 20, 440 | 35, 165 | 19,685 |  | 52,048 |  | 4, 523 |  | 222, 226 |
| November | 25, 298 | 77,700 | 16,929 | 29,337 | 19,848 |  | 45, 429 |  | 4,810 |  | 219,351 |
| December | 19,740 | 58,685 | 18,706 | 28,042 | 15,677 |  | 45,128 |  | 5,285 |  | 191, 263 |
| 1923. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January. | 21,806 | 65, 233 | 16,770 | 25,691 | 17,633 | \$22,051 | 43,137 | \$17, 864 | 4,524 | 87,845 | ${ }^{3} 242,554$ |
| February | 13,759 | 58,614 | 18,780 | 38,546 | 20,219 | 34,775 | 46,764 | 21,193 | 12,199 | 12,531 | : 277,380 |
| March.... | 25,079 | 98,412 | 31,265 | 52,793 | 30, 147 | 25,538 | 59, 868 | 17,961 | 15,259 | 11,277 | ${ }^{3} 367,599$ |

VALUE OF CONTRACTS FOR RESIDENTIAL BUILDINGS (000 OMITTED).

| 1922. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| March. | \$11,897 | \$51,117 | \$9,553 | \$10,641 | \$9,796 |  | \$17,225 |  | \$2,348 |  | \$112,577 |
| August | 11,945 | 29,092 | 8,829 | 13,409 | 6,320 |  | 18,833 |  | 2,536 |  | 90,964 |
| September | 11,510 | 34,537 | 8,142 | 12,737 | 8,596 |  | 15, 012 |  | 2,454 |  | 92,986 |
| October. | 13, 553 | 33, 238 | 10,072 | 13,730 | 7,416 |  | 20,291 |  | 2, 228 |  | 100, 528 |
| November | 13, 667 | 51, 892 | 7,397 | 11,405 | 7,998 |  | 22,308 |  | 2,847 |  | 117, 515 |
| December. | 13,963 | 42,981 | 11,526 | 17,809 | 5,003 |  | 17,949 |  | 2,046 |  | 111, 278 |
| 1923. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January. | 14,469 | 47, 702 | 5,722 | 11, 803 | 7,815 | \$10, 223 | 14,567 | \$5,884 | 2,072 | \$2,120 | ${ }^{8} 122,376$ |
| February | 4.587 | 10,849 | 9,128 | 13.751 | 7,930 | 10,065 | 18,315 | 6,476 | 6,703 | 3,288 | - 90, 462 |
| March ... | 11,044 | 65,912 | 13, 336 | 23,338 | 9,146 | 8,993 | 27,065 | 8,676 | 4,493 | 3,295 | 8175,298 |

[^22]
## WHOLESALE AND RETAIL TRADE.

Wholesale and retail trade during February were maintained at about the same level as in January, if allowance is made for the fewer number of business days. An index of wholesale trade, which has been constructed in order to show the trend of wholesale business during the last four years, is described in detail on page 439. According to the index, sales in February were 2.6 per cent less than in January, but were 20 per cent larger than in February, 1922. The tables which follow show that sales were slightly smaller than in January for all lines except shoes, whereas in all lines and all districts they were substantially larger than a year ago.

The department store figures of sales and stocks have been revised this month in order to add a group of stores from the Chicago district and to increase the number of stores in many other districts. Continuous indexes of department store sales and stocks are now available for representative groups of stores in 9 of the 12 Federal reserve districts by months since January, 1919. The sales figures which are published for the entire period
in this issue indicate that the dollar value of department store sales are relatively higher at present in the eastern industrial districts than in the agricultural districts of the South and West. The San Francisco district, however, had the highest level of sales in February. Department store stocks increased on the average 9.5 per cent during February, and at the end of the month were larger in all reporting districts than their average size in 1919. The reasons for the increase in stocks in February were the customary purchases of goods after the annual taking of inventories in January and preparations for an early spring trade on account of the early date of Easter.
Mail-order houses and chain stores did an unusually large business in February, considering the shortness of the month. Mailorder sales were 5.4 per cent less than in the longer month of January, but were larger than in any of the first nine months of 1922. The trend of sales of shoe chains and 5 and 10 cent chains are shown in the accompanying chart from 1919 to 1923 . The difference in seasonal movement between these two lines of business is very striking. Shoe chains have

two seasons of heavy sales each year-one in the spring and one in the fall-whereas the 5 and 10 cent stores have only one heavy buying peak in the month of December, which is, however, relatively much larger than either of the two peaks in the shoe business. December sales of 5 and 10 cent stores are about twice as large as sales in an average month, whereas the peak sales of shoe stores are only
about one-half again as large as the average monthly sales. In the month of February, 1923, 5 and 10 cent stores were the only group of reporting retail stores to show an actual increase in sales over January. Shoe stores, on the other hand, showed the sharpest decrease of any retail line and were smaller in dollar amounts than in any month in the last four years.

CONDITION OF WHOLESALE TRADE.
PERCENTAGE OF INCREASE (OR DECREASE) IN NET SALES IN FEBRUARY, 1923, AS COMPARED WITH THE PRECEDING MONTH, JANUARY, 1923.

| District. | Groceries. |  | Dry goods. |  | Hardware. |  | Shoes. |  | Furniture. |  | Drugs. |  | Auto supplies. |  | Stationery. |  | Farm implements. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per } \\ \text { cent. } \end{gathered}$ | Number of firms. | Per cent. | Num- <br> ber of <br> firms. | Per cent. | Number of firms. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { cent. } \end{aligned}$ | Number of firms. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { cent. } \end{aligned}$ | Number of firms. | Per cent. | Number of firms. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { cent. } \end{aligned}$ | Number of firms. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per } \\ \text { cent. } \end{gathered}$ | Num ber of firms. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { cent. } \end{aligned}$ | Number of firms. |
| No. 2. | -12.0 | 42 | 13.0 | 8 | $-6.7$ | 11 | $-9.9$ | 10 |  |  | -2.2 | 6 |  |  | -6.8 | 6 |  |  |
| No. 3 | -1.8 | 64 | $-3.4$ | 21 | $-5.0$ | 35 | 3.9 | 13 |  |  | -79 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. 4 | -4.9 | 36 | 2.0 | 14 | 11.1 | 12 |  |  |  |  | -7.4 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. 5 | -4.3 | 42 | -9.0 | 15 | 15.6 | 16 | 20.2 | 21 | -12.9 | 8 | -17.7 | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. 6 | $-9.9$ | 43 | -4.9 | 27 | -16.1 | 26 | 17.3 | 13 | $-3.2$ | 21 | -19.5 | 7 |  |  | -17.8 | 4 | 24.7 | 7 |
| No. 7 | 5.5 | 37 | -10.9 | 14 | -6.5 | 20 | 2.0 | 12 |  |  | -5.1 | 12 | -21.2 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| No. 8. | $-2.3$ | 20 | -12.8 | 6 | $-20.5$ | 7 | $-22.1$ | 10 |  |  | -9.4 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. 9. | 2.4 | 55 | -5.1 | 5 | -14.1 | 14 | -7.3 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. 10 | 26.6 | 5 | -1.8 | $\stackrel{ }{ }$ | $-5.8$ | 10 |  |  | 2.7 |  | $-1.6$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. 11. | $\cdot{ }^{4}$ | 13 | -12.5 | 10 | $-3.4$ | 11 |  |  | $-4.6$ | ${ }_{16}$ | $-14.7$ | 8 |  |  |  |  | -35.5 | 4 |
| No. 12. | 1.8 | 29 | $-11.1$ | 15 | $-2.2$ | 20 | 3.2 | 13 | 6.8 | 16 | . 5 | 10 | -5.4 |  | -10.9 | 27 | 5.6 | 21 |

PERCENTAGE OF INCREASE (OR DECREASE) IN NET SALES IN FEBRUARY, 1923, AS COMPARED WITH FEBRUARY, 1922.


RETAIL TRADE BY REPORTING LINES.
[Average monthly sales, $1919=100$.]


DEPARTMENT STORE SALES BY FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICTS.
[Average month, $1919=100$.]

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { District } \\ & \text { No. 1- } \\ & \text { Boston } \\ & \text { (24 stores). } \end{aligned}$ | District No. $2-$ New York ( 64 stores). | District <br> No. 3 - <br> Philadelphia (18 stores). | $\begin{aligned} & \text { District } \\ & \text { No. } \\ & \text { Richmond } \\ & \text { (19 stores). } \end{aligned}$ | District No. 6Atlanta (35 stores) | $\begin{gathered} \text { District } \\ \text { No. } 7- \\ \text { Chiccago } \\ \text { (70 stores). } \end{gathered}$ | District No. 9-Minneapolis ( 25 stores). | District No. 11- Dallas (16 stores) | District <br> No. 12 San <br> Francisco <br> (18 stores). | Index for United States (289 stores) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1919 . \\ \text { January..... } \end{array}$ | 78.2 | 77.0 | 89.4 | 75.0 | 82.0 | 87.1 | 91.1 | 86.0 | 73.1 | 81.3 |
| February. | 67.5 | 67.8 | 72.1 | 68.3 | 71.2 | 82.1 | 76.0 | 77.4 | 66.2 | 72.2 |
| March.. | 85.8 | 82.8 | 105.6 | 95.5 | 92.3 | 105.8 | 100.8 | 108.0 | 84.9 | 94.2 |
| April. | 100.4 | 100.9 | 102.3 | 109.0 | 100.8 | 104.5 | 106.3 | 98.4 | 92.0 | 101.6 |
| May.. | 102.4 | 98.2 | 109.0 | 100.2 | 98.0 | 106.7 | 102.3 | 101.8 | 93.7 | 101.3 |
| June.. | 98.1 | 95.0 | 96.5 | 97.4 | 91.1 | 99.5 | 103.5 | 97.4 | 80.6 | 96.2 |
| July.. | 75.1 | 73.2 | 82.2 | 76.2 | 84.7 | 76.4 | 82.5 | 75.8 | 87.6 | 78.2 |
| August | 77.9 | 69.6 | 81.8 | 69.9 | 75.9 | 81.3 | 89.3 | 76.9 | 99.5 | 79.1 |
| September | 94.7 | 95.0 | 89.0 | 94.2 | 95.1 | 94.6 | 97.1 | 98.6 | 102.0 | 95. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| October.. | 121.2 | 130.0 | 112.7 | 114.5 | 110.0 | 106.0 | 106.0 | 114.8 | 120.3 | 116. 6 |
| November. | 119.7 | 127.5 | 117.1 | 120.4 | 118.9 | 107.0 | 103.3 | 115.6 | 108.8 | 116.4 |
| December. | 179.0 | 183.0 | 142.3 | 179.4 | 180.0 | 149.0 | 141.8 | 149.3 | 182.3 | 167.4 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1920 . \\ \text { January } . . . \end{array}$ | 107.4 | 110.9 | 106.1 | 88.9 | 100.2 | 106.1 | 104.2 | 103.3 | 110.0 | 105.5 |
| February | 81.0 | 87.0 | 85.7 | 78.0 | 88.8 | 100.0 | 82.2 | 93.0 | 88.5 | 88.5 |
| March. | 119.0 | 122.5 | 125.5 | 117.5 | 117.6 | 128.8 | 111.9 | 129.8 | 116.5 | 122.0 |
| April. | 120.5 | 117.1 | 121.3 | 110.0 | 124.4 | 127.2 | 112.5 | 118.1 | 115.7 | 119.4 |
| May. | 123.9 | 128.2 | 129.3 | 111.2 | 128.4 | 129.9 | 114.6 | 122.2 | 121.1 | 124.9 |
| June.. | 125.5 | 121.7 | 114.5 | 118.2 | 113.3 | 121.2 | 117.0 | 117.0 | 113.8 | 119.0 |
| July. | 88.5 | 88.5 | 97.6 | 88.1 | 94.6 | 93.0 | 98.9 | 91.1 | 104.3 | 93.1 |
| August. | 86.4 | 78.6 | 97.2 | 84.5 | 96.8 | 98.9 | 99.3 | 92.4 | 120.5 |  |
| September | 108.5 | 102.1 | 105.7 | 101.3 | 107.1 | 115.1 | 114.0 | 118.4 | 115.9 | 109.1 |
| October. | 122.8 | 135.3 | 133.8 | 128.7 | 137.6 | 129.1 | 120.4 | 137.9 | 127.5 | 130.8 |
| November | 134.1 | 142.7 | 139.0 | 136.1 | 136.3 | 130.4 | 121.5 | 138.8 | 120.8 | 134.2 |
| December | 177.7 | 185.0 | 169.0 | 188.2 | 185.3 | 181.7 | 163.7 | 179.4 | 188.0 | 181.3 |
| 1921. | 108.8 |  |  |  | 91.1 | 92.3 | 97.6 | 92.5 | 107.2 | 100.4 |
| February | 86.3 | 88.6 | 88.2 | 84.1 | 87.8 | 87.0 | 81.9 | 88.4 | ${ }_{95.3}$ | 87.9 |
| March.. | 121.2 | 118.8 | 125.9 | 118.2 | 113.1 | 112.1 | 107.9 | 110.2 | 116.3 | 116.4 |
| April. | 117.8 | 116.3 | 124.8 | 107.6 | 100.9 | 110.4 | 114.0 | 95.8 | 109.3 | 111.9 |
| May | 118.2 | 113.6 | 121.2 | 107.0 | 105.8 | 112.8 | 106.8 | 102.9 | 115.9 | 112.5 |
| June. | 121.6 | 113.4 | 113.0 | 111.8 | 94.6 | 105.3 | 111.2 | 94.6 | 107.2 | 109.0 |
| July.. | 77.7 | 77.8 | 90.0 | 76.8 | 73.9 | 80.9 | 84.5 | 69.4 | 95.5 | 80.8 |
| August | 82.7 | 74.3 | 92.8 | 75.9 | 74.9 | 85.8 | 93.8 | 69.4 | 118.4 | 84.7 |
| September. | 97.6 | 91.9 | 90.5 | 84.0 | 83.2 | 99.9 | 100.4 | 90.5 | 109.4 | 96.1 |
| October. | 128.1 | 138.7 | 138.9 | 119.8 | 120.3 | 112.3 | 110.8 | 113.0 | 124.6 | 124.7 |
| November. | 124.4 | 131.0 | 136.4 | 117.3 | 109.5 | 113.2 | 107.7 | 103.2 | 115. 6 | 119.7 |
| December. | 188.3 | 189.1 | 171.0 | 177.5 | 155.0 | 158.0 | 145.7 | 159.8 | 184.1 | 172.8 |
| 1922. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January.. | 100.3 | 98.4 | 96.6 | 75.6 | 74.1 | 85.6 | 85.0 | 73.9 | 100.3 | 89.9 |
| February | 83.9 | 84.8 | 87.8 | 72.0 | 73.3 | 77.3 | 71.5 | 71.9 | 84. 5 | 79.9 |
| March. | 109.5 | 109.3 | 111.8 | 93.9 | 85.2 | 94.3 | 91.1 | 90.7 | 110.4 | 101.2 |
| April | 125.1 | 119.0 | 132.1 | 105.8 | 98.3 | 111.9 | 104.5 | 85.7 | 108.8 | 112.5 |
| May. | 122.8 | 117.7 | 126.4 | 103.3 | 97.6 | 116.5 | 103.7 | 96.4 | 135.4 | 115.6 |
| June.... | 121.9 | 114.5 | 108. 7 | 103.0 | 86.3 | 110.3 | 101.9 | 85.7 | 111.8 | 107.8 80.4 |
| July.... | 81.7 | 77.8 | 86.8 | 71.0 | 69.8 | 83.0 | 83.9 | 64.0 | 98.1 | 80.4 87.4 |
| August. | 87.5 | 78.2 | 94.9 | 72.9 | 73.9 | 92.1 | 93.6 | 66.2 | 122.6 | 87.4 |
| September | 114.2 | 106.7 | 107.2 | 90.8 | 86.4 | 114.4 | 105.0 | 98.8 | 113.0 | 106.0 |
| October.. | 134.8 | 144.8 | 143.8 | 119.1 | 113.8 | 122.1 | 119.9 | 103.9 | 137.9 | 129.9 |
| November. | 134.9 197.3 | 141.6 198.5 | 146.8 185,8 | 184.3 | 113.1 161.8 | 130.9 184.3 | 107.2 160.6 | 103.7 148.8 | 132.0 204.0 | 1385.7 |
| 1923. |  | 198.5 | 185, 8 | 184.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January | 106.3 | 107.7 | 106.1 | 83.9 | 83.0 | 98.5 | 92.1 | 79.2 | 114.2 | 99. ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ |
| February. | 93.4 | 91.3 | 98.0 | 79.6 | 78.2 | 92.5 | 77.1 | 74.8 | 101.9 | 89.7 |

TREND OF DEPARTMENT STORE STOCKS.
[Average monthly stocks, $1019=100$.]

|  | District No. 1Boston (24 stores). | District No. 2New York (64 stores). | District <br> No. 3- <br> Philadel- <br> phia <br> ( 13 stores) | District No. 5Richmond (19 stores). | $\begin{aligned} & \text { District } \\ & \text { No. } 6- \\ & \text { Atlanta } \\ & \text { (22 stores). } \end{aligned}$ | District No. $7-$ Chicago ( 59 stores). | $\begin{aligned} & \text { District } \\ & \text { No. } \\ & \text { Minne- } \\ & \text { apolis } \\ & \text { (16 stores). } \end{aligned}$ | District <br> No. 11Dallas (19 stores). | District <br> No. 12San Francisco (29 stores). | Index for United States (265 stores). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1922 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January. | 99.1 | 105.1 | 95.9 | 90.8 | 101.7 | 106.9 | 88.5 | 98.8 | 106.2 | 101.4 |
| February | 103.6 | 108. 5 | 104.7 | 102.4 | 110.6 | 117.7 | 95.6 | 107.3 | 111.7 | 108.7 |
| March. | 110.7 | 120.7. | 116.3 | 111.7 | 117.3 | 127.0 | 103.3 | 115.5 | 120.9 | 1118.2 |
| April. | 112.2 | $121.6{ }^{\circ}$ | 113.9 | 110.6 | 116.4 | 124.6 | 98.7 | 113.8 | 122.2 | 117.5 |
| May.. | 110.9 | 116.5 | 110.1 | 107.0 | 111.7 | 122.8 | 102.5 | 110.1 | 114.4 | 114.0 |
| June. | 106.2 | 110.4 | 109.8 | 103.7 | 104.9 | 115.7 | 99.2 | 100.4 | 107.0 | 108.3 |
| July. | 103.7 | 104.5 | 105.6 | 99.8 | 103.2 | 113.6 | 97.8 | 99.6 | 108.1 | 105. 5 |
| August. | 105.0 | 109.1 | 111.9 | 105.0 | 109.3 | 125.0 | 102.0 | 111.0 | 112.1 | 111.8 |
| September | 116.9 | 120.2 | 121.6 | 119.5 | 118.5 | 128.9 | 107.7 | 117.7 | 118.0 | 120.4 |
| October.. | 125.1 | 124.5 | 127.5 | 130.3 | 121.3 | 134.8 | 112.6 | 119.6 | 120.0 | 125.6 |
| November.. | 130.0 | 131.4 | 126.7 | 126.0 | 123.1 | 136.8 | 115.5 | 118.9 | 124.2 | 128.3 |
| December... | 110.5 | 110.4 | 105.9 | 103.2 | 101.2 | 115.2 | 97.2 | 94.1 | 107.6 | 107.4 |
| 1923 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January. | 104.0 | 106.6 | 102.2 | 99.6 | 105.2 | 111.7 | 100.0 | 96.0 | 107.5 | 105. 3 |
| February | 115.7 | 113.0 | 116.5 | 113.0 | 111.8 | 124.6 | 107.2 | 104.8 | 119.7 | 115.6 |

# BANKING AND FINANCIAL STATISTICS. DISCOUNT AND OPEN-MARKET OPERATIONS OF FEDERAL RESERVE BANKS. <br> VOLUME OF OPERATIONS DURING FEBRUARY, 1923. 

| Federal reserve bank. | Bills discounted for nember banks. | Bills bought in open market. | United States securities purchased. |  | Municipal warrants purchased. | Total. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Bonds and notes. | Certificates of indebtedness. |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { February, } \\ 1923 . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { February, } \\ 1922 . \end{gathered}$ |
| Boston. | \$391, 994,946 | \$23,061, 392 | \$2, 915,370 | \$698,330 |  | \$421, 270, 238 | \$325, 952, 234 |
| New York | 2,393, 408, 807 | 50, 179, 142 | 48,319,000 | 21, 037,500 |  | 2, $512,944,449$ | 1,026,458, 540 |
| Philadelphi | 227, 102, 417 | 16, 248, 251 | 45,100 | 39,000 |  | 243, 434, 768 | 254,007, 729 |
| Cleveland.. | 113,961, 557 | 22, 720, 273 | 102,900 | 11,226,090 |  | 148,010,730 | 192, 205, 505 |
| Richmond | 144, 805,411 |  |  |  |  | 144, 805,411 | 159,622, 316 |
| Atlanta | 23, 830, 401 | 6, 915, 870 | 1,954,700 | 6,500, 000 |  | 39, 200, 971 | 62,889, 805 |
| Chicago. | 162, 007,187 | 26, 354, 364 | 10,808,200 | 9, 284, 000 |  | 208,453,751 | 195, 691, 652 |
| st. Louis. | 64, 382, 181 | 5, 520,748 | 628, 100 | 2,662,000 |  | 73, 193, 029 | 65, 610, 430 |
| Minneapolis. | 9, 668, 616 | 5,258,653 | 2,989, 550 | 135,000 |  | 18,051, 819 | 20,711, 710 |
| Kansas City | 26,493,827 |  | 6, 716,800 | 920,000 |  | 34, 130, 627 | 48, 224, 888 |
| Dallas........ | $\begin{array}{r} 12,980,449 \\ 150,310,787 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,579,156 \\ 22,625,729 \end{array}$ | 4,200 | 5,000,000 |  | $22,559,605$ $172,940,716$ | $23,660,883$ $149,721,389$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total: February, 1923. | 3,720,546,586 | 186,463,778 | 74,483,920 | 57,501, 830 |  | 4,038,996,114 |  |
| February, 1922.... 2 months ending- | 2,080,373,333 | 139, 020,498 | $170,252,250$ | 135,060,000 | \$51,000 |  | 2,524,757,081 |
| Feb. 28, 1923.... | 7,411, 805, 402 | 339, 218, 547 | 151, 824,230 | 1,772, 447,030 |  | 9, 675, 295, 209 |  |
| Feb. 28, 1922 | 4, 425, 738, 235 | 242,930,994 | 232, 409, 750 | 276, 160, 500 | 111,029 |  | 5,177, 350,508 |

VOLUME OF BILLS DISCOUNTED DURING FEBRUARY, 1923, BY CLASSES OF PAPER; ALSO NUMBER OF MEMBER BANKS ACCOMMODATED.


[^23]VOLUME OF BILLS DISCOUNTED DURING FEBRUARY, 1923, BY RATES OF DISCOUNT CHARGED; ALSO AVERAGE RATES AND MATURITIES.

| Federal reserve bank. | 4 per cent. | 412 per cent. | Total. | Average rate (365day basis). | Average maturity. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Boston. | 8329,306, 292 | \$62,288, 654 | \$391,594,946 | Per cent. 4.10 | Days. |
| New York | 1,955, 192, 164 | 438,216,643 | 2,393,408, 807 | 4.09 | 3.63 |
| Philadelphia |  | 227, 102,417 | 227, 102,417 | 4.50 | 8.24 |
| Cleveland.. |  | 113, 981,557 | 113, 961,557 | 4.50 | 7.86 |
| Atlanta. |  | 23,830,401 | 23, 830,401 | 4.50 | 36.60 |
| Chicago. |  | 162,007,187 | 162,007, 187 | 4.50 | 25.33 |
| St. Louis. |  | 64,382, 181 | 64,382, 181 | 4.50 | 18.34 |
| Minneapolis. |  | 9, 668,616 | 9, 668,616 | 4.50 | 51.02 |
| Kansas City. |  | 26, 493, 827 | 26,493, 827 | 4.50 | 24.77 |
| Dallas........ |  | 12, 980, 449 | 12, 980,449 | 4.50 | 44.42 |
| San Francisco | 150,310,787 |  | 150, 310,787 | 4.00 | 10.74 |
| Total: February, 1923. | 2, 434, 809, 243 | 1,285, 737, 343 | 3,720,546, 586 | 4.28 | 6. 70 |
| January, 1923 | 2,990, 063, 833 | 701, 194,983 | 3,691, 258, 816 | 4.25 | 6.08 |

VOLUME OF BANKERS' AND TRADE ACCEPTANCES PURCHASED DURING FEBRUARY, 1923, BY CLASSES.

| Federal reserve bank. | Bankers' acceptances. |  |  |  | Trade acceptances. |  |  | Total bills purchased. | Total reduced to a common maturity basis. ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Foreign. | Domestic. | Dollar exchange. | Total. | Foreign. | Do- | Total. |  | Amount. | Per cent of total. |
| Boston. | \$17,431, 170 | \$7, 845,422 | \$785, 000 | \$26,061,592 |  |  |  | \$26, 061, 592 | \$16, 378, 059 | 8.8 |
| New York. | 30, 826, 449 | 14, 821, 452 | 3,001,874 | 48,649, 775 | 1, 529,367 |  | \$1, 529,367 | 50, 179, 142 | 27,618, 393 | 14.8 |
| Philadelphia. | 12,924, 245 | 2, 884,006 | 440,000 | 16, 248, 251 |  |  |  | 16,248, 251 | 32,052, 700 | 17.2 |
| Cleveland... | 16,998, 963 | 5,619,156 | 102,154 | 22, 720, 273 |  |  |  | 22,720, 273 | 30, 209, 585 | 16.2 |
| Atlanta.. | 4, 966,637 | 2,039, 233 | 10,000 | 6,915,870 |  |  |  | 6,915, 870 | 7,917,763 | 4.3 |
| Chicago. | 18, 211,161 | 7,319,518 | 823,685 | 26, 354,364 |  |  |  | 26, 354,364 | 33,797, 252 | 18.1 |
| St. Louis | 4,782, 636 | 613,112 | 125,000 | 5, 520,748 |  |  |  | 5, 520,748 | 6,979, 466 | 3.8 |
| Minneapolis | 3,934,547 | 1,289, 106 | 35,000 | 5, 258,653 |  |  |  | 5,258,653 | 6,423, 317 | 3.4 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Kansas City } \\ & \text { Dallas........ } \end{aligned}$ | 3,212,035 | 1,114,062 | 253, 059 | 4,579, 156 |  |  |  | 4, 579,156 | 5, 073, 253 | 2.7 |
| San Francisco | 16, 087,657 | 6, 033,203 | 504, 869 | 22,625, 729 |  |  |  | -22, 625,729 | 20,013, 990 | 10.7 |
| Total: February, 1923. |  | 49, 578,270 | 6,080,641 | 184, 934,411 |  |  | 1,529,367 | $186,463,778$ | 186,463,778 | 100.0 |
| January, 1923.. | $104,402,240$ | 43, 905,920 | 3, 557, 303 | 151, 865,463 | -889, 306 |  | 1889,306 | 152, 754, 769 |  |  |
| February, 1922. January, 1922. | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{9 6 , 6 0 6}, 585 \\ & 68,832,538 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36,846,851 \\ & 29,535,526 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,243,963 \\ & 5,426,498 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 138,697,399 \\ & 103,794,562 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 323,099 \\ 71,787 \end{array}$ | 344,147 | $\begin{aligned} & 323,099 \\ & 115,934 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 139,020,498 \\ & 103,910,496 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Total purchases multiplied by ratio of average maturity of bills purchased by each bank to average maturity (43.14) for system.
VOLUME OF ACCEPTANCES PURCHASED DURING FEBRUARY, 1923, BY RATES OF DISCOUNT CHARGED; ALSO AVERAGE Rates and maturities.

| Federal reserve bank. | 37 per cent. | 4 per cent. | $4 \frac{1}{8}$ per cent. | $\begin{aligned} & 4!\text { per } \\ & \text { cent. } \end{aligned}$ | 43 per cent. | 43 per cent. | 45 per cent. | Total. | A verage rate (365-day basis). | Average maturity. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Boston. | \$7, 126,536 | \$16,703,792 | \$1,983,963 | \$162, 301 | \$85,000 |  |  | \$26,061, 592 | Per cent. <br> 4.05 | Days. $27.11$ |
| Now York | 4, 123, 674 | 42, 801, 535 | 1,499, 240 | 222, 422 | , 0 | \$1,439,703 | \$92,568 | \$0, 179, 142 | 4.09 | 23.75 |
| Philadelph | , 320,790 | 15,037, 616 | 889,845 |  |  |  |  | 16, 248,251 | 4.07 | 85.11 |
| Cleveland | 4,158,490 | 14, 348, 291 | 3,772, 784 | 380, 740 | 35,620 | 24,348 |  | 22,720, 273 | 4.09 | 57.36 |
| Atlanta.. | 542,203 | 3,845,332 | 16,904 |  |  | 2,511,431 |  | 6,915,870 | 4.17 | 49.39 |
| Chicago.. | 5,004,453 | 16,207,915 | 5,032,980 | 84,016 | 25,000 |  |  | 26, 354,364 | 4.08 | 55.33 |
| St. Louis. | 1,173, 053 | 4, 347, 695 |  |  |  |  |  | 5,520,748 | 4.04 | 54.54 |
| Minneapolis | 1,317,639 | 3,609, 144 | 331, 870 |  |  |  |  | 5,258,653 | 4.06 | 52.70 |
| Kansas city | 1,502,068 | 2,984,747 | 66, 125 | 26,216 |  |  |  | 4,579,156 | 4.04 | 47. 80 |
| San Francisco. | 4,938,133 | 8,803,641 | 8,728,432 | 155,523 |  |  |  | 22,625,729 | 4.08 | 38.16 |
| Total: <br> February, 1923.... <br> January, 1923. | $\begin{aligned} & 30,207,039 \\ & 28,483,593 \end{aligned}$ | $128,689,708$ $97,118,521$ | $22,322,143$ $22,610,160$ | 1,031,218 | $\begin{array}{r} 145,620 \\ 94,513 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,975,482 \\ 2,184,022 \end{array}$ | 92,568 | $186,463,778$ $1152,754,769$ | 4.08 4.09 | 43. 14 37.74 |

[^24]Note.-All Federal reserve banks use 360 days to the year in calculating interest on bills bought in open market.

## HOLDINGS OF EARNING ASSETS, BY CLASSES.

AVERAGE DAILY HOLDINGS OF EACH CLASS OF EARNING ASSETS, EARNINGS THEREON, AND ANNUAL RATE OF EARNINGS DURING FEBRUARY, 1923.


HOLDINGS OF DISCOUNTED BILLS, BY CLASSES.
[End of February figures. In thousands of dollars.]

| Federal reserve bank. | Total. | $\underset{\substack{\text { Comers } \\ \text { paper }}}{\text { Cus }}$ secured byGovern ment obliga-tions. | Member collateral | banks' notes. | Compaper n.e.s. | Agricultural paper. | Livestock paper. | Bankers' acceptances. |  |  |  | Trade acceptances. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Secured } \\ & \text { by } \end{aligned}$ | Other- |  |  |  | Foreign trade. |  | Do-mestic trade. | Dollar exchange | Foreign trade. |  | Do-mestic trade. |
|  |  |  | obligations. | cured. |  |  |  | Imports. | Exports. |  |  | Imports. | Exports. |  |
| Boston. | 48, 565 | 1,378 | 18,708 |  | 27,539 | 755 | 49 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 136 |
| New York | 210,222 | 73 | 179,143 |  | 30,341 | 253 |  | 100 | 117 | 26 |  | 4 |  | 165 |
| Philadelphia | 53,556 | 342 | 38,486 |  | 14, 286 | 330 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 112 |
| Cleveland. | 23, 252 | 381 | 16,173 | 38 | 5,111 | 344 | 346 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 859 |
| Richmond | 43, 793 | 344 | 18,593 | 1,350 | 18,680 | 4,104 | 92 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 630 |
| Atlanta. | 18, 505 | 129 | 1,958 | 109 | 10, 107 | 5,705 | 343 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 154 |
| Chicago. | 75, 682 | 136 | 41,484 | 85 | 19,543 | 14,107 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 327 |
| St. Louis. | 21, 199 | 44 | 13,013 | 40 |  | 2, 225 | 171 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 136 |
| Minneapolis | 16,022 | 8 | ${ }^{481}$ | 391 | 2,056 | 6, 146 | 6,748 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 192 |
| Kansas City | 17, 851 | 124 | 4,559 |  | 2,479 | 4,220 | 6,445 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24 |
| Dallas... | 16,913 | 14 | 1,299 | 198 | 4,385 | 5,006 | 5,971 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 40 |
| San Francis | 50,200 | 269 | 18,900 | 6,579 | 17, 147 | 3,191 | 2,547 | 353 | 204 | 677 | 90 |  |  | 243 |
| Total: Feb. 28, 1923 | 595,760 | 3,242 | 352,797 | 8,790 | 157, 244 | 46,386 | 22,712 | 453 | 321 | 703 | 90 | 4 |  | 3,018 |
| Jan. 31, 1923. | 597, 251 | 2,944 | 374,538 | 8,409 | 131, 367 | 53, 552 | 22,445 |  |  | 190 | 70 |  | 0 | 3,309 |
| Feb. 28, 1922. | 712,577 | 18,459 | 266, 155 | 14,074 | 256, 335 | 111, 822 | 39,147 |  |  | 234 |  |  |  | 6,351 |
| Jan. 31, 1922. | 838, 885 | 22,495 | 341,091 | 16,837 | 281,387 | 121, 702 | 45,919 |  |  | 931 |  |  |  | 8,274 |

HOLDINGS OF BANKERS' AND TRADE ACCEPTANCES PURCHASED OR DISCOUNTED, BY CLASSES OF ACCEPTANCES.
[End of February figures. In thousands of dollars.]

| Federal reserve bank. | All classes. |  |  | Bankers' acceptances. |  |  |  |  | Trade acceptances. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total. | Purchased market. | Discounted for member banks. | Total. | Foreign trade. |  | Domestic trade. | Dollar exchange. | Total. | Foreign trade. |  | Domestic trade. |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Im- } \\ \text { ports. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ex- } \\ \text { ports. } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Im- } \\ \text { ports. } \end{gathered}$ | Exports. |  |
| Boston. | 12,681 | 12,545 | 136 | 12,545 | 6,079 | 2,541 | 3,695 | 230 | 136 |  |  | 136 |
| New York. | 30, 882 | 30,470 | 412 | 29,383 | 13,618 | 6,701 | 7,405 | 1,659 | 1,499 | 1,334 |  | 165 |
| Philadelphi | 28,195 | 28,083 | 112 | 28, 083 | 14,734 | 6,780 | 5, 842 | 727 | 112 |  |  | 112 |
| Cleveland | 36, 299 | 35, 440 | 859 | 35, 440 | 20,742 | 7,776 | 6,735 | 187 | 859 |  |  | 859 |
| Richmond | 795 8,437 | 8,283 | 630 <br> 154 | 165 8,283 | 1,703 | 3,958 | 2,612 | 10 | 630 |  |  | 630 154 |
| Chicago. | 28, 168 | 27,841 | 327 | 27, 841 | 9,611 | 10,773 | 6,548 | 909 | 327 |  |  | 327 |
| St. Louis | 10,175 | 10,039 | 136 | 10,039 | 3,480 | 4,145 | 1,864 | 550 | 136 |  |  | 138 |
| Minneapolis | 5,151 | 4,959 | 192 | 4,959 | 2,200 | 1,435 | 1,289 | 35 | 192 |  |  | 192 |
| Kansas City |  | ${ }^{75}$ | 24 | $\begin{array}{r}75 \\ \hline 15\end{array}$ |  |  | 75 |  | 24 |  |  | 24 |
| Dallas.... | 21,443 29,942 | 21,403 28,375 | 1,567 | 21,403 29,657 | 9,912 15,787 | 7,981 8,085 | 1,650 4,945 | 1,860 840 | 40 285 | 42 |  | 40 243 |
| Total: Feb. 28, 1923. | 212, 267 |  |  | 207, 873 | 97,866 | 60,175 | 42, 825 | 7,007 | 4,394 | 1,376 |  | 3,018 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan. 31, } 1923 . \\ & \text { Feb. 28, } 1922 . \end{aligned}$ | 192,562 100,043 |  |  | 188,105 93,590 |  | 136 | 36,371 22,612 | 6,122 3,842 | 4,457 6,453 |  |  | 3,309 6,354 |
| Purchased in open market: | 100,043 |  |  | 93,590 |  |  |  | 3,842 | 6,453 |  |  | 6,354 |
| Feb. 28, 1923...... |  | 207,678 |  | 206,306 | ${ }^{97,413}$ | 59,854 | 42,122 | 6,917 | 1,372 | 1,372 | ....... |  |
| Jan. 31, 1923. |  | 188,566 |  | 187, 428 | 145 , |  | 36, 181 | 6,052 | 1,138 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 93,458 |  | 93, 356 |  |  | 22,378 | 3,842 | 102 |  |  | 3 |
| Discounted for member banks: Feb. 28, 1923. |  |  | 4,589 | 1,567 | 453 | 321 | 703 | 90 | 3,022 | 4 |  | 3,018 |
| Jan. 31, 1923. |  |  | 3,996 | 677 |  |  | 190 | 70 | 3,319 |  |  | 3,309 |
| Treb. 28, 1922. |  |  | 6,585 | 234 |  |  | 234 |  | 6,351 |  |  | 6,351 |

HOLDINGS OF BANKERS' ACCEPTANCES PURCHASED OR DISCOUNTED, BY CLASSES OF ACCEPTING INSTITUTIONS.
[End of February figures. In thousands of dollars.]

| Federal reserve bank. | Total. | Member banks. |  | Nonmember banks and banking corporations. | Private banks. | Branches and agencies of foreign banks. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | National. | Nonnational. |  |  |  |
| Boston. | 12,545 | 7,989 | 2,894 | 207 | 1,123 | 332 |
| New York. | 29,383 | 12,260 | 8,827 | 3,127 | 3,817 | 1,352 |
| Philadelphia | 28,083 | 10,264 | 8 8,860 | 3,467 | 3,789 | 1,703 |
| Cleveland. | 35, ${ }^{165}$ | 11,790 | 11,086 | $\begin{array}{r}4,502 \\ \hline 90\end{array}$ | 5, 831 | 2,231 |
| Atlanta.. | 8,283 | 3,065 | $\cdots, 020$ | 1,598 | 248 | 352 |
| Chicago. | 27,841 | 12,881 | 12,640 | 2,021 | 202 | 97 |
| St. Louis. | 10,039 | 4,009 | 3,379 | 1,679 | 225 | 747 |
| Minneapolis.. | 4,959 | 2,182 | 1,226 | 830 | 231 | 490 |
| Kansas City. | , 75 | 75 |  |  |  |  |
| Dallas....... | 21,403 29,657 | 9,358 9,484 | 5,662 7,022 | 3,666 4,306 | 1,975 5,892 | 742 2,953 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total: Feb. 28, 1923 | 207, 873 | 83,357 | 64,616 | 25,493 | 23,408 | 10,999 |
| Jan. 31, 1923. | 188,105 | 70,180 | 57,767 | 23,392 | 24, 254 | 12,512 |
| Furchased in ${ }^{\text {Feb. 28, } 1922 . .}$ | 93,590 | 41,932 | 28,217 | 8,470 | 7,371 | 7,600 |
| Purchased in open market: <br> Feb. 28, 1923. | 206,306 | 82,586 | 64,107 | 25, 438 | 23,307 | 10,868 |
| Jan. 31, 1923. | 187,428 | 69,826 | 57,605 | 23,392 | 24,189 | 12, 416 |
| Feb. 28, 1922. | 93,356 | 41, 826 | 28,089 | 8,470 | 7,371 | 7,600 |
| Discounted for member banks: Feb 281923 | 1,567 | 771 | 509 | 55 | 101 | 131 |
| Jan. 31, 1923.. | , 677 | 354 | 162 |  | 65 | 96 |
| Feb. 28, 1922. | 234 | 106 | 128 |  |  |  |

## CONDITION OF FEDERAL RESERVE BANKS.

CASH RESERVEG, TOTAL DEPOSITS, FEDERAL RESERVE NOTE CIRCULATION, AND RESERVE PERCENTAGES FOR MARCH AND FEBRUARY, 1923.
[Daily averages. Amounts in thousands of dollars.]

| Federal reserve bank. | Total cash reserves. |  | Total deposits. |  | Federal reserve notes in circulation. |  | Reserve percentages. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | March. | February. | March. | February. | March. | February. | March. | February. |
| Boston. | 250,914 | 236,864 | 128,373 | 130,587 | 202,812 | 198,974 | 75.8 | 71.9 |
| New York | 1,078,621 | 1,022,159 | 723,709 | 73⿺, 236 | 572,488 | 562,725 | 83.2 | 78.6 |
| Philadelphia | 226, 353 | $225,3 \times 0$ | 116,774 | 114,731 | 202,805 | 202,729 | 70.8 | 71.0 |
| Cleveland. | 306, 187 | 314,660 | 162,432 | 159,328 | 231,382 | 232,318 | 77.7 | 80.3 |
| Richmond | 107,058 | 115, 126 | 65,742 | 63,559 | 85,761 | 89,534 | 70.7 | 75.2 |
| Atlanta. | 142, 876 | 149,340 | 60,745 | 59,620 | 124,283 | 120,912 | 77. 2 | 82.7 |
| Chicag. | 514,481 | 536, 204 | 285,055 | 2<2, 294 | 394, 196 | 387,691 | 75.7 | 80.0 |
| St. Lotis. | 109,981 | 117,298 | 75, 863 | 77,130 | 86,367 | 87,213 | 67.8 | 71.4 |
| Minneapolis | 79, $8 \times 4$ | <2,571 | 52,210 | 52,907 | 56, 220 | 56,056 | 73.7 | 75.8 |
| Kansas City | 94,985 | 104,970 | 88,063 | 88,917 | 64,206 | 66,159 | 62.4 | 67.7 |
| Dallas...... | 40,950 | 44,018 | 55,599 | 56,052 | 30,291 | 31,921 | 47.7 | 50.0 |
| San Francis | 238,333 | 260,092 | 145,975 | 146,396 | 202,384 | 208,501 | 68.4 | 73.3 |
| Total: 1923. | 3,190,625 | 3, $208,6 \times 2$ | 1,960,510 | 1,969,757 | 2,253,195 | 2, 244,733 | 75.7 | 76.1 |
| 1922. | 3,095,762 | 3,070,045 | 1,794, 895 | 1, 814,446 | 2, 195, 131 | 2,176,529 | 77.6 | 76.9 |
|  | 2,403, 470 | 2,343,537 | 1, $\times 00,529$ | 1, 804,476 | 2,979, 486 | 3,068, 578 | 50.2 | ${ }^{1} 49.6$ |
| 1920 | 2,055,293 | 2,053, 422 | 2,032,787 | 2, 002, 503 | $3,040,440$ | 2,946,863 | ${ }^{1} 42.7$ | 143.3 |
| 1919. | 2,202,368 | 2,183,641 | 1,951,732 | 1,855,124 | 2,503,350 | 2, 462,941 | ${ }^{1} 51.6$ | 152.5 |

${ }^{1}$ Calculated on basis of net dapasifs and Federal reserve notes in circulation.
RESOURCES AND LIABILITIES OF EACH FEDERAL RESERVE BANK ON WEDNESDAYS, FEBRUARY 28 TO MARCH $21,1923$.
RESOURCES.
[In thousands of dollars.

|  | Total. | Boston. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { New } \\ & \text { York. } \end{aligned}$ | Philadelphia. | Cleveland. | Richmond. | Atlanta. | Chicago. | St. Louis. | Minneapolis. | Kansas City. | Dallas. | San <br> Francisco. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gold and gold certificates: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. 23. | 302, 611 | 17,357 | 139, 573 | 21, 378 | 12,229 | 6,697 | 5,663 | 52,646 | 5,376 | 7,785 | 2,966 | 11, 147 | 19,794 |
| Mar. 7 | 311, 550 | 19,900 | 144, 503 | 22, 103 | 12,570, | 6,910 | 5,678 | 52,679 | 5,440 | 7,799 | 2,981 | 11, 091 | 19, 896 |
| Mar. 14 | 313, 211 | 17, 438 | 147, 688 | 22, 330 | 12, 860 | 7, 105 | 5,717 | 52, 950 | 5,560 | 7,795 | 3,007 | 11, 104 | 19,677 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. 28. | 604, 008 | 40,985 | 257, 603 | 30,733 | 91, 180 | 27, 105 | 17,686 | 36, 156 | 9, 709 | 23, 217 | 29,461 | 12,531 | 29,642 |
| Mar. 7 | 645, 285 | 38, 119 | 261, 805 | 35,969 | 76,580. | 26, 655 | 14,961 | 70,014 | 6,427 | 28,629 | 32, 676 | 11,976 | 41, 474 |
| Mar. 14 | 638,208 | 31,001 | 286, 334 | 29, 668 | 71, 383 | 25, 846 | 19, 909 | 57, 505 | 8,108 | 24, 588 | 30,961 | 10,618 | 42, 287 |
| Gold with F. R.agents: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. 28. | 2,108,767 | 163,443 | 624,745 | 161, 193 | 206,334 | 64, 085 | 109, 784 | 391, 889 | 80, 133 | 46,799 | 58,772 | 14,366 | 187, 224 |
| Mar. 7 | 2, 074,043 | 170,942 | 624, 569 | 163, 759 | 207, 239 | 62, 361 | 109,497 | 364, 071 | 79, 734 | 46, 606 | 55, 081 | 14, 207 | 175, 997 |
| Mar. 21 | 2,068, 2103 | 177,954 175,163 | 669,402 639,225 | 160,279 153,416 | 205,722 | 60,362 58,696 | 108, 679 | 361, 170 | 81,099 | 47,848 | 54,252 53,553 | 14,674 12,651 | 180,470 168,118 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. 28. | 57,427 | 11,827 | 8,469 | 9,615 | 2,436 | 4,008 | 1,484 | 10,275 | 2,561 | 1,226 | 1,244 | 1,318 | 2,964 |
| Mar. 7 | 52, 763 | 8,514 | 11,471 | 6,583 | 1,852 | 4,755 | 1,096 | 6,789 | 3,388 | 1,060 | 1,429 | 1,318 | 4,508 |
| Mar. 14. | 58, 262 | 5,618 | 9,486 | 8,590 | 3,731 | 5,517 | 1,239 | 11,754 | 2,430 | 1,451 | 1,641 | 1,577 | 5,228 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. 28 | 3, 072,813 | 233,612 | 1,030,390 | 222,919 | 312, 179 | 101, 895 | 134, 617 | 490, 966 | 97,779 | 79, 027 | 92,443 | 37,362 | 239,624 |
| Mar. 7 | 3, 083, 641 | 237, 475 | 1, 042, 348 | 228,414 | 298, 241 | 100,681 | 131, 232 | 493, 553 | 94, 989 | 84,094 | 92, 147 | 38,592 | 241, 875 |
| Mar. 14 | 3, 778,294 | 232,011 | 1,052,890 | 220, 867 | 293,696 | 98,830 | 135, 544 | 490, 081 | 97, 197 | 81,682 | 89, 861 | 37,973 | 247,662 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. 28. | 128,787 | 12, 249 | 19,964 | 7,570 | 1.0, 354 | 12,576 | 8,863 | 21, 187 | 19,235 | 1,334 | 4,834 | 5,324 | 5,297 |
| Mar. 7 | 117,633 | 9,579 | 19, 199 | 6,015 | 8,567 | 11, 812 | 7,952 | 19,937 | 19,243 | 1,076 | 4,189 | 4, 806 | 5,258 |
| Mar. 14 | 118, 275 | 10,948 | 17,043 | 7,497 | 7,774 | 11,989 | 7,789 | 19,641 | 20,367 | ${ }^{829}$ | 4,249 | 5,277 | 4,872 |
| Total reserves: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 844 | 4,385 | 4,843 | 4,887 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 80,361 | 97,277 |  |  |
| Mar. 7. | 3, 201, 274 | 247, 054 | 1,051,547 | 234, 429 | 306, 808 | 112, 493 | 139, 184 | 513, 490 | 114,232 | 85, 170 | 96, 336 | 43, 398 | 247, 133 |
| Mar. 14 | 3, 196, 569 | 242,959 | 1, 069,933 | 228, 364 | 301,470 | 116, 819 | 143, 333 | 509,722 | 117, 564 | 82, 511 | 94,110 | 43, 250 | 252, 534 |
| Mar. 21. | 3, 192, 624 | 258, 240 | 1, 115, 002 | 215,770 | 290,920 | 100,428 | 142,700 | 517,757 | 102,306 | 79,917 | 96, 128 | 39,356 | 234, 100 |
| Nonreserve ca Feb. $28 .$. | 45, 824 | 3,263 | 9,278 | 1,708 | 3,033 | 3,353 | 5,229 | 6,550 | 2,190 | 890 | 2,675 | 3,527 | 4,128 |
| Mar. 7 | 70, 144 | 12, 329 | 16,058 | 2,961 | 3,358 | 3,523 | 7,927 | 6,574 | 5,106 | 1,955 | 3,908 | 3,869 | 8,576 |
| Mar. 14. | 67,917 | 10,347 | 8,366 | 3,088 | 4,061 | 3,351 | 8,362 | 6,292 | 4,695 | 2,000 | 3,952 | 4,400 | 9,003 |
| Mar. 21 | 69, 451 | 10,801 | 9,334 | 2,882 | 3,839 | 3,089 | 8,311 | 7,048 | 5,176 | 1,845 | 3,651, | 3,957 | 9,518 |

RESOURCES AND LIABILITIES OF EACH FEDERAL RESERVE BANK ON WEDNESDAYS, FEBRUARY 28 TO MARCH 21, 1923Continued.

RESOURCES-Continued.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | Total. | Boston. | New York. | Philadelphia. | Cleveland. | Richmond. | Atlanta. | Chicago. | St. Louis. | Minneapolis. | Kansas City. | Dallas. | San Francisco. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bills discounted: Secured by U.S. Government obligations- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 356, 039 | 20, 086 | 179, 216 | 38,828 | 16,554 | 18,937 | 2,087 | 41,62 | 13,057 | 489 | 4,683 | 1,313 | 19,169 |
| Mar. 7 | 330, 093 | 19,707 | 170,341 | 34, 004 | 12, 756 | 16,027 | 2,984 | 45, 770 | 7,765 | 1,468 | 5,416 | 1, 840 | 12,015 |
| Mar. 14 | 361, 286 | 22,072 | 176,173 | 40, 890 | 26, 195 | 17,754 | 2,001 | 46, 804 | 8,524 | 1,489 | 7, 883 | 1,254 | 10,247 |
| Mar. 21. | 351, 861 | 22,947 | 136,465 | 43, 622 | 21, 276 | 23, 329 | 3,448 | 53, 822 | 10,594 | 4,350 | 11, 268 | 1,715 | 19,025 |
| Other bills dis-counted- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. $28 . . .$. | 239,721 | 28,479 | 31,000 | 14, 728 | 6,698 | 24,856 | 16,418 | 34,062 | 8,142 | 15,533 | 13, 168 | 15,600 | 31,031 |
| Mar. 7 | 241, 394 | 21, 882 | 29, 898 | 11,541 | 14, 877 | 25,361 | 16,421 | 30,983 | 11, 092 | 15, 107 | 13, 368 | 16,712 | 34, 152 |
| Mar. 14. | 251, 773 | 27, 859 | 33, 309 | 13,467 | 13,786 | 25,708 | 13,993 | 33, 094 | 10,701 | 15,590, | 14,015 | 17,572 | 32,679 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. 28. | 207, 678 | 12,545 | 30, 470 | 28,083 | 35,440 | 165 | 8,283 | 27, 841 | 10,039 | 4,959 | 75 | 21,403 | 28, 375 |
| Mar. 7. | 218, 886 | 12, 854 | 29,480 | 28,687 | 38,774 | 680 | 9,659 | 29,499 | 10,162 | 4,182 | 1,077 | 21, 252 | 32, 580 |
| Mar. 14 | 225,416 | 17, 111 | 35, 264 | 28,620 | 38, 388 | 780 | 11,532 | 28,375 | 9,923 | 3,927 | 1,077 | 18,756 | 31, 663 |
| Mar. 21............ | 237,965 | 17,196 | 29, 242 | 28,302 | 44, 519 | 775 | 16,074 | 28,400 | 11,997 | 3,197 | 1,077 | 21,478 | 35,708 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. 28.......... | 173, 975 | 6,091 | 27,328 | 24,438 | 12,356 | 1,341 | 459 | 7,863 | 18,175 | 11,669 | 33,645 | 2,989 | 27,621 |
| Mar. 7 | 157,976 | 5,374 | 12,836 | 24,438 | 12,355 | 1,341 | 561 | 7,209 | 18,145 | 11,923 | 33, 299 | 2,879 | 27,616 |
| Mar. 14. | 160, 679 | 5,374 | 14,427 | 24, 438 | 12, 355 | 1,341 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 531 | 7,566 | 18,118 | 12,935 | 33,699 | 2,879 | 27, 616 |
| M Mar. $21 . \ldots . . .$. | 163, 589 | 5,637 | 15,962 | 24, 438 | 12,355 | 1,341 | 540 | 7,299 | 18, 124 | 14,065 | 32,932 | 3,279 | 27,617 |
| U. S. certificates of indebtedness: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. 28.......... | 189,099 | 22,101 | 21,470 | 4,632 | 25, 214 |  | 9,531 | 66,638 | 10,715 | 630 | 12,413 | 8,629 | 7,126 |
| Mar. 7. | 186, 911 | 22,073 | 13, 220 | 4, 632 | 25, 259 |  | 14, 531 | 66,491 | 10, 708 | 799 | 12,413 | 9,729 | 7,126 |
| Mar. 14. | 184, 034 | 22, 069 | 10,000 | 4,632 | 25, 269 |  | 14, 531 | 66,719 | 10, 718 | 730 | 12,413 | 9, 827 | 7,126 |
|  | 128, 322 | 8,544 | 1,700 | 4,606 | 19,271. |  | 9,501 | 51,375 | 10, 300 | 869 | 5,871 | 9,160 | 7,125 |
| Municipal warrants: Mar. 21 | 41 |  |  | 41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total earnings assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. 28. | 1,166, 512 | 89, 302 | 289, 490 | 110,709 | 96, 262 | 45, 299 | 36,778 | 178, 024 | 60, 123 | 33,280 | 63, 984 |  | 113,322 |
| Mar. 7. | 1,135,230 | 81,890 | 255, 775 | 103, 302 | 104,02 | 43, 409 | 44, 16 | 179, 9 ¢ | 57,872 | -3, 409 | 65, 683 | 52, 412 | 113,489 |
| Mar. 14 | 1,185,188 | 94, 485 | 269, 173 | 112, 047 | 115,993 | 45, 583 | 42,588 | 182, 558. | 57, 981 | 34, 671 | 68,487 | 50, 288 | 109,331 120,015 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. 28..... | 47, 863 | 4,434 | 10, 855 | 676 | 7,661 | 2,617 | 2,199 | 8,753 | 92.0 | 1,052 | 4,790 | 1,937 | 1,963 |
| Mar. 7. | 47,937 | 4,434 | 10, 872 | 676 | 7,704 | 2,617 | 2,211 | 8,756 | 920 | 1,052 | 4,790 | 1,937 | 1,962 |
| Mar. 14. | 48,108 | 4,434 | 10, 873 | 676 | 7,807 | 2,617 | 2, 216 | 8, 747 | $92{ }^{9}$ | 1,065 | 4, 8381 | 1,937 | 1,979 |
| Mar. $21 \ldots \ldots .$. | 48,761 | 4,434 | 11,338 | 676 | 7,866 | 2,617 | 2,305 | 8, 715 | 926 | 1,071 | 4,831 | 1,937 | 2,045 |
| Five per cent re-demption fundagainst F. R. banknotes. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. 28.......... | 311 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 65 |  |  | 200 | 46 |  |
| Mar. 7. | 311 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 65 |  |  | 200 | 46 |  |
| Mar. 14. | 291 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 65 |  |  | 200 | 26 |  |
| Mar. 21. | 291 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 65 |  |  | 200 | 26 |  |
| Uncollected items: ${ }^{\text {a }}$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. $28 . . . . . .$. | ${ }_{618}^{608,167}$ | 51, 870 | 126, 709 | 50,201 | 59,748 | 55, 449 | 25,062 | 89, 455 | 35,469 | 13,774 | 41,386 | 19, 043 | 40,001 40,513 |
| Mar. 14 | 689,039 | 61, 841 | 152, 414 | 58,991 | 68, 690 | 59, 557 | 28,908 | 93, 216 | 40,650 | 16,196 | 40, 777 | 25, 222 | 42,577 |
| Mar. $21 . . . . . . . .$. | 645, 874 | 54, 059 | 132, 557 . | 58,213 | 65, 079 | 59, 114 | 28, 311 | 88, 586 | 38,606 | 14, 487 . | 38,698 | 24, 904 | 43,260 |
| All other resources: |  |  |  |  |  | 432 | 453 | 1,391 | 543 | 1,842 | 1,123 | 2,242 | 5,155 |
| Mar. 7 | 17, 120 | 466 | 1,744 | 636 | 963 | 443 | 501 | 1,285 | 584 | 1,879 | 1,244 | 2,241 | 5,134 |
| Mar. 14 | 17,348 | 492 | 1, 896 | 667 | 936 | 439 | 521 | 1,250 | 608 | 1,882 | 1,219 | 2,278 | 5,160 |
| Mar. 21...... | 14, 439 | 192 | 1,373 | 376 | 631 | 444 | 507 | 1,005 | 365 | 1,808 | 972 | 2,196 | 4,570 |
| Total resources: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mar. 7 | 5, 091, 002 | 398, 435 | $1,458,588$ | 392, 623 | 481, 720 | 217, 378 | 221, 554 | 801,913 | 219, 229 | 139, 692 | 212,587 | 130, 476 | 416, 807 |
| Mar. 14 | $5,202,460$ | 414,558 | 1, 512, 655 | 403, 833 | 498,657 | 222, 666 | 225, 928 | 801, 850 | 222,427 | 138, 325 | 213,576 | 127, 401 | 420,584 |
| Mar. 21 | 5, 131, 344 | 409, 215 | 1,486, 545 | 396,678 | 486,968 | 219, 642 | 227,687 | 802,992 | 213,279, | 138, 342 | 211, 395. | 125, 093 | 413,508 |

LIABILITIES.
[In thousands of dollars.]

| Capital paid in: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Feb. 28. | 108,867 | 8,046 | 29, 128 | 9,609 | 11,951 | 5,656 |  | 14,964 | 4,906 |  | 4,657 | 4,182 | 7,777 |
| Mar. 7. | 108,852 | 8,046 | 29,188 | 9,609 | 11,951 | 5,656 | 4, 420 | 14,964 | 4,907 | 3,570 | 4,655 | 4, 182 | 7,764 |
| Mar. 14 | 108, 483 | 8,046 | 28, 888 | 9,459 | 11,975 | 5,658 | 4,419 | 14,964 | 4,908 | 3, 570 | 4,647 | 4,182 | 7,767 |
| Mar. | 108, 563 | 8,046 | 28, 888 | 9,459 | 11,974 | 5,657 | 4,420 | 15,031 | 4,914 | 3,585 | 4,639 | 4,183 | 7,767 |
| Surplus: 28 | 218,369 | 16,312 | 59,800 | 18,749 | 23,495 | 11,288 |  | 30,398 | 9,665 | 7,473 | 9,488 | 7,496 | 15, 263 |
| Mar. 7 | 218, 369 | 16,312 | 59, 800 | 18,749 | 23,495 | 11,288 | 8,942 | 30,398 | 9, 665 | 7,473 | 9,488 | 7,496 | 15, 263 |
| Mar. 14 | 218, 369 | 18, 312 | 59, 800 | 18,749 | 23,495 | 11, 288 | 8,942 | 30,398 | 9,665 | 7,473 | 9,488 | 7,496 | 15, 263 |
| Mar | 218, 369 | 16, 312 | 59,800 | 18,749 | 23,495 | 11,288 | 8,942 | 30,398 | 9,665 | 7,473 | 9,488 | 7,496 | 15,263 |

RESOURCES AND LIABILITIES OF EACH FEDERAL RESERVE BANK ON WEDNESDAYS, FEBRUARY 28 TO MARCH 21, 1923Continued.

LIABILITIES-Continued.
[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | Total. | Boston. | New York. | Philadelphia. | Cleveland. | Richmond. | Atlanta. | Chicago. | St. Louis. | Minneapolis. | Kansas City. | Dallas. | San <br> Fran- <br> cisco. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Deposits: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. $28 .$. | 43,401 | 5,033 | 12,128 | 1,652 | 1,998 | 2,455 | 2,916 | 2,518 | 4,111 | 2,260 | 2,598 | 1,583 | 4,149 |
| Mar. 7. | 38,773 | 1,772 | 10, 807 | , 579 | 4,366 | 1,553 | 3,053 | 4,378 | 2,978 | 2,481 | 2,248 | 1,672 | 2,886 |
| Mar. 14 | 42,442 | 5,133 | 479 | 3,905. | 4,167 | 4,317 | 3,995 | 3,199 | 3,609 | 2,168 | 3,717 | 2,152 | 5,601 |
| Mar. 21. | 98,627 | 8,850 | 28,694 | 3,590 | 10,373 | 6,505 | 5,904 | 17, 127 | 2,605 | 2,345 | 5,300 | 2,408 | 4,926 |
| Member bank- reserve ac- count- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. 28..... | 1,887, 552 | 118, 175 | 712, 106 | 113,449 | 158,292 | 63, 806 | 53,029 | 279, 117 | 69,993 | 46,526 | 81,960 | 52,626 | 138,473 |
| Mar. 7 | 1, 879, 697 | 123, 700 | 683,969 | 115, 821 | 152, 552 | 62, 313 | 55,643 | 280, 978 | 73,972 | 51,776 | 82,459 | 54,605 | 141, 909 |
| Mar. 14. | 1, 932, 714 | 123, 303 | 724,458 | 115, 378 | 162, 684 | 61,349 | 57, 106 | 281,017 | 74,054 | 52, 195 | 83,895 | 53,496 | 143,779 |
| Mar. $21 . . . . .$. | 1,866,475 | 120, 424 | 681,053 | 114, 124. | 157, 589 | 60,593 | 56,503 | 277, 022 | 70,546 | 53, 440 | 83,233 | 51,283 | 140,663 |
| Other deposits- Feb. $28 . .$. | 21,364 | 458 | 10,513 | 405. | 1,358 | 123 | 242 | 1,340 | 772 | 1,054 | 942 | 358 | 3,799 |
| Mar. 7 | 24, 392 | 672 | 9,985 | 1,515 | 2,378 | 246 | 273 | 1,064 | 760 | 1,568 | 1,701 | 346 | 3, 884 |
| Mar. 14. | 20, 633 | 472 | 9, 816 | 502 | 1,287 | 193 | 216 | 1,207 | 624 | 784 | 847 | 248 | 4,437 |
| Mar. 21. | 19,931 | 426 | 9,556 | 1,033 | 984 | 167 | 260 | 905 | 580 | 545 | 939 | 322 | 4,214 |
| Total deposits: | 1,952,317 | 123,666 | 734,747 | 115,506 | 161,648 | 66,384 | 56,187 | 282,975 | 74,876 | 49,840 | 85, 500 | 54, 567 | 146, 421 |
| Mar. 7. | 1,942, 862 | 126, 144 | 704, 761 | 117, 915 | 159, 296 | 64, 112 | 58,969 | 286, 420 | 77, 710 | 55, 825 | 86, 408 | 56,623 | 148, 679 |
| Mar. 14 | 1,995,789 | 128,908 | 734, 753 | 119,785 | 168, 138 | 65, 859 | 61,317 | 285,423 | 78,287 | 55, 147 | 88, 459 | 55,896 | 153, 817 |
| Mar. 21 | 1,985,033 | 129, 700 | 719,303 | 118,747 | 168,946 | 67, 267 | 62,667 | 295,054 | 73,731 | 56,330 | 89,472 | 54,013 | 149, 803 |
| Federal reserve notes in actual circulation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. 28. | 2,246,943 | 198, 080 | 568, 124 | 203, 579 | 235,718 | 87,735 | 119,017 | 392,898 | 87,606 | 55,623 | 65,298 | 30,800 | 202, 465 |
| Mar, 7 | 2, 256, 302 | 202, 499 | 570, 391 | 200, 809 | 232, 328 | 85, 855 | 123, 654 | 394,691 | 87,035 | 56, 446 | 65, 234 | 31, 210 | 205, 150 |
| Mar. 14. | 2, 242,902 | 202, 290 | 567, 169 | 202,025 | 230,514 | 84,976 | 124,317 | 391,487 | 86, 936 | 55,885 | 64, 539 | 30,381 | 202,383 |
| Mar. 21. | 2, 231, 487 | 202, 940 | 568, 287 | 198, 180 | 224, 874 | 84, 063 | 124,851 | 391, 856 | 85, 916 | 56, 143 | 63,759 | 29,800 | 200,818 |
| F. R. bank notes in circulation-net liability: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. 28. | 2,645 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 418 |  |  | 1,840 | 387 |  |
| Mar. 7 | 2,788 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 431 |  |  | 1,895 | 462 |  |
| Mar. 14 | 2,599 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 453 |  |  | 1,701 | 445 |  |
|  | 2,368 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 443 |  |  | 1,485 | 440 |  |
| Deferred availability items: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | , |  |
| Feb. 28. | 543, 254 | 48,604 | 94, 157 | 46, 254 | 53,409 | 50,093 | 24,047 | 73, 400 | 38,386 | 13,835 | 44, 209 | 20,358 | 36,502 |
| Mar. 7 | 549, 513 | 44,945 | 91, 839 | 44, 783 | 53,687 | 48,963 | 24,951 | 73, 707 | 39, 154 | 15,508 | 44, 405 | 28, 808 | 38, 763 |
| Mar. 14. | 621, 433 | 58, 485 | 119, 055 | 53,031 | 63,514 | 54, 394 | 26, 297 | 77,774 | 41, 827 | 15,358 | 44, 248 | 27, 274 | 40, 176 |
| All Mar. $21 . .{ }^{\text {ather liabilites: }}$ | 572,000 | 51,618 | 107, 330 | 50,675 | 56, 573 | 50, 818 | 26, 121 | 68,753 | 38, 203 | 13,907 | 42,008 | 27,419 | 38,575 |
| All other liabilities: <br> Feb. 28 | 11,689 | 453 | 2,472 | 684 | 871 | 465 | 589 | 1,338 | 831 | 856 | 443 | 1,625 | 1,062 |
| Mar. 7 | 12,316 | 489 | 2,669 | 758 | 963 | 504 | 618 | 1,302 | 758 | 870 | 502 | 1,695 | 1,188 |
| Mar. 14 | 12,885 | 517 | 2,990 | 784 | 1,021 | 491 | 636 | 1,351 | 804 | 892 | 494 | 1,727 | 1,178 |
| Mar. 21 | 13,524 | 599 | 2,937 | 868 | 1,106 | 549 | 686 | 1,457 | 850 | 904 | 544 | 1,742 | 1,282 |
| Total liabilities: Feb. $28 . .$. | 5, 087,084 | 395, 161 | 1,488,428 | 394, 381 | 490,092 | 221,621 | 213, 201 | 796,391 | 216,270 | 131, 199 | 211,435 | 119,415 | 409, 490 |
| Mar. 7. | 5, 091, 002 | 398, 435 | 1, 458, 588 | 392, 623 | 481,720 | 217,378 | 221, 554 | 801, 913 | 219,229 | 139,692 | 212, 587 | 130, 476 | 416, 807 |
| Mar. 14 | 5, 202, 460 | 414,558 | 1, 512, 655 | 403, 833 | 498,657 | 222,666 | 225, 928 | 801, 850 | 222,427 | 138,325 | 213, 576 | 127, 401 | 420,584 |
| Mar. 21 | 5, 131, 344 | 409, 215 | 1,486, 545 | 396, 678 | 486,968 | 219,642 | 227,687 | 802, 992 | 213, 279 | 138, 342 | 211,395 | 125,093 | 413, 508 |
| memoranda. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ratio of total reserves to deposit and F. R. note liabilities combinedper cent: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. 28. | 76.2 | 76.4 | 80.6 | 72.2 | 81.2 | 74.3 | 81.9 | 75.8 | 72.0 | 76.2 | 64.5 | 50.0 | 70.2 |
| Mar. 7 | 76.2 | 75.2 | 83.2 | 73.6 | 78.3 | 74.5 | 76.2 | 75.4 | 69.3 | 75.9 | 63.5 | 49.4 | 69.8 |
| Mar. 14 | 75.4 | 73.4 | 82.2 | 71.0 | 75.6 | 73.5 | 77.2 | 75.3 | 71.2 | 74.3 | 61.5 | 50.1 | 70.9 |
| Mar. 21 | 75.7 | 77.6 | 86.6 | 68.1 | 73.9 | 66.4 | 76.1 | 75.4 | 64.1 | 71.1 | 62.7 | 47.0 | 66.8 |
| Contingent liability on bills purchased for foreign correspondents: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. 28. | 28,397 | 2, 392 | 5,169 | 2,743 | 3,445 | 1,659 | 1,308 | 4,434 | 1,404 | 1,058 | 1,372 | 1,148 | 2,265 |
| Mar. 7 | 28,844 | 2,132 | 8,124 | 2,444 | 3,070 | 1,478 | 1,165 | 3,951 | 1,251 | 966 | 1,222 | 1,023 | 2,018 |
| Mar. 14. | 34, 577 | 2,132 | 13,857 | 2, 444 | 3,070 | 1,478 | 1,165 | 3,951 | 1,251 | 966 | 1,222 | 1,023 | 2, 018 |
| Mar. 21. | 35,405 | 2,132 | 14,685 | 2,444 | 3,070 | 1,478 | 1,165 | 3,951 | 1,251 | 966 | 1,222 | 1,023 | 2,018 |

MATURITY DISTRIBUTION OF BILLS, CERTIFICATES OF INDEBTEDNESS, AND MUNICIPAL WARRANTS HELD BY THE 12 federal reserve banks Combined.
[In thousands of dollars.]


FEDERAL RESERVE NOTES.
FEDERAL RESERVE AGENTS' ACCOUNTS ON WEDNESDAYS. FEB. 28 TO MAR. 21, 1923.
[In thousands of dollars.j

|  | Total. | Boston. | New York. | Philadelphia. | Cleveland. | Richmond. | Atlanta. | Chicago. | St. Louis. | Min-neapolis. | Kansas City. | Dallås. | San Francisco. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net amount of F. R. notes received from Comptroller of the Currency: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mar. 7 | 3, 503, 305 | 306, 029 | 1,091, 105 | 277, 727 | 286, 857 | 126, 140 | 202, 416 | 550,606 | 129, 379 | 69,317 | 92,457 | 55,371 | 315,901 |
| Mar. 14 | 3, 487, 449 | 303, 041 | 1,080,613 | 274, 247 | 285, 740 | 124, 141 | 206, 098 | 554,607 | 128,744 | 68, 559 | 92,648 | 54, 837 | 314, 174 |
| Mar. 21 | 3, 473, 336 | 312,650 | 1, 074,392 | 270,383 | 281, 706 | 123, 475 | 204, 846 | 550, 905 | 127, 729 | 69, 163 | 91,950 | 54,315 | 311, 822 |
| F. R. notes on hand: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mar. 7. | 853, 122 | 85, 000 | 335, 590 | 51, 000 | 34, 520 | 29,540 | 72,943 | 108, 960 | 22, 740 | 9,630 | 20, 210 | 21, 264 | 61,725 |
| Mar. 14 | 849, 967 | 80,000 | 334,340 | 51,000 | 32, 820 | 29, 340 | 75, 268 | 113, 360 | 22,740 | 8,325 | 19, 810 | 21,239 | 61,725 |
| Mar. 21 | 855,797 | 92,400 | 334,340 | 45, 800 | 33,620 | 30,690 | 73,768 | 110,620 | 22,740 | 9,405 | 19,810 | 20,879 | 61,725 |
| F. R. notes outstanding: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. 28 | 2,647,562 | 218,530 | 741,927 | 228, 161 | 253, 881 | 96, 884 | 127, 120 | 449, 264 | 107,387 | 59, 281 | 72,959 | 34,765 | 257, 403 |
| Mar. 7. | 2,650,183 | 221,029 | 755, 515 | 226, 727 | 252,337 | 96,600 | 129, 473 | 441, 646 | 166, 639 | 30, 687 | 72, 247 | 34, 107 | 254, 176 |
| Mar. 14 | 2,637,482 | 223,041 | 746,273 | 223, 247 | 252,920 | 94, 801 | 130,830 | 441, 247 | 106,004 | 60, 234 | 72, 838 | 33, 598 | 252,449 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| standing: <br> Gold and gold certificates- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. $28 . . .$. | 317, 399 | 15,300 | 250,531 | 7,000 | 13, 275 |  | $\stackrel{2}{2} 400$ |  | 9,380 | 13.052 |  | 6,461 |  |
| Mar. 7. | 322, 399 | 20, 300 | 250, 531 | 7,000 | 13, 275 |  | 2,400 |  | 9,380 | 13,052 |  | 6, 461 |  |
| Mar. 14. | 312, 399 | 25, 300 | 235, 531 | 7,000 | 13, 275 |  | 2,400 |  | 9,380 | 13,052 |  | 6,461 |  |
| Mar. $21 . . . . .$. | 314, 899 | 25,300 | 235, 531 | 7,000 | 13,275 |  | 2,400 |  | 11,880 | 13, 052 |  | 6, 461 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. 28. | 136,023 | 20,143 | 33,214 | 15,304 | 13,059 | 4,290 | 6,354 | 16, 245 | 4,253 | 1,747 | 4,412 | 1,905 | 15,067 |
| Mar. 7. | 124, 765 | 17,642 | 33, 038 | 10, 870 | 13, 964 | 2,566 | 5,597 | 16,426 | 4,854 | 1,554 | 3,701 | 1,746 | 12,807 |
| Mar. 14. | 126, 836 | 14, 654 | 32, 871 | 12,390 | 12,447 | 3,567 | 6,279 | 15, 228 | 4,219 | $\stackrel{2}{2}, 796$ | 2,892 | $\stackrel{2}{2} 213$ | 17, 280 |
| Mar. $21 . . . . .$. | 123, 544 | 11, 863 | 32,694 | 13,527 | 13,614 | 1,901 | 5,026 | 16,525 | 4,204 | 2,160 | 4,193 | 2,190 | 15, 647 |
| old fund- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. 28...... | 1, 655, 345 | 128, 000 | 341,000 | 138,889 | 180,000 | 59,795 | 101,000 | 375,644 | 66, 500 | 32, 000 | 54,360 | 6,000 | 172, 157 |
| Mar. 7 | 1, 626, 879 | 133, 000 | 341,000 | 145, 889 | 180,000 | 59,795 | 101, 500 | 347,645 | 65,500 | 32,000 | 51,360 | 6,000 | 163, 190 |
| Mar. 14 | 1, $1,629,378$ | 138,000 | 341, 000 | 140, 889 | 180,000 | 56,795 56 | 100, 000 | 352,644 | 67,500 | 32,000 | 51, 360 | 6,000 | 163, 190 |
| Mar. 21 | 1, 613, 660 | 138,000 | 371,000 | 132, 889 | 180,000 | 56,795 | 100,500 | 344,645 | 52,003 | 32,000 | 49,360 | 4,000 | 152,471 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amount re- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. 28.. | 538, 795 | 55,087 | 117,182 | 66,968 | 47,547 | 32,799 | 17,336 | 57,375 | 27,254 | 12,482 | 14,187 | 20,399 | 70,179 |
| Mar. 7... | 576, 140 | 50,087 | 130,946 | 62,968 | 45, 098 | 34, 239 | 19,976 | 77, 575 | 26,905 | 13,081 | 17, 186 | 19,900 | 78,179 |
| Mar. 14.. | 568, 869 | 45,087 | 136, 871 | 62,968 | 47, 198 | 34,439 | 22, 151 | 73,375 | 24,905 | 12,386 | 18, 586 | 18,924 | 71, 979 |
| Mar. $21 .$. | 565, 436 | 45,087 | 100, 827 | 71, 167 | 41, 197 | 34,089 | 23,152 | 79,115 | 36,905 | 12,546 | 18,587 | 20,785 | 81,979 |
| Excess amount |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. 28. | 210,303 | 6,023 | 93,030 | 1,402 | 1,670 | 10,552 | 9,433 | 46,122 | 3,984 | 8,086 | 3,733 | 17, 880 | 8,388 |
| Mar. $7 .$. | 180, 151 | 4,356 | 76,792 | 4,083 | 18,285 | 6,619 | 9,080 | 28,677 | 2,114 | 7,212 | 2,675 | 19, 710 | 548 |
| Mar. 14.. | 220, 741 | 21,955 | 75, 922 | 9,500 | 27, 529 | 7,742 | 5,372 | 34, 895 | 4,243 | 8,159 | 4,380 | 18, 542 | 2,502 |
| Mar. $21 .$. | 248, 235 | 22, 221 | 67, 851 | 4,175 | 39,191 | 16,278 | 12,357 | 42,011 | 571 | 11, 293 | 9,525 | 19,471 | 3, 291 |

## CONDITION OF REPORTING MEMBER BANKS IN LEADING CITIES.

PRINCIPAL RESOURCES AND LIABILITIES OF REPORTING MEMBER BANKS IN LEADING CITIES ON WEDNESDAYS FROM FEBRUARY 21 TO MARCH 14, 1923.
ALL REPORTING MEMBER BANKS IN EACH FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICT.
[In thousands of dollars.]

| Federal reserve district. | Total. | Boston. | Now York. | Philadelphia. | Cleveland. | Richmond. | Allanta. | Chicago. | St. <br> Louis. | Minneapolis. | Kansas City. | Dallas. | $\underset{\substack{\text { Sran- } \\ \text { Franco. }}}{\text { Sis. }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of reporting banks: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. 21. | 778 | 46 | 106 | 56 | 84 | 78 | 39 | 1.07 | 36 | 30 | 78 | 52 | 66 |
| Feb. 28 | 777 | 46 | 106 | 55 | 84 | 78 | 39 | ${ }_{107}^{107}$ | 36 | 30 | 78 | 52 | 66 |
| Mar. 7 | 777 | 46 | 106 | 55 | 84 | 78 | 39 | 107 | 36 | 30 | 78 | 52 |  |
| Mar. 14 | 777 | 46 | 109 | 55 | 84 | 78 | 39 | 107 | 36 | 30 | 78 | 52 | 66 |
| Loans and discounts, gross: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secured by U.S. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government obligations- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. 21...... | 278, 25 | 15,001 | 99, 165 | 20,010 | 31,731 | 10, 527 | 7,463 | 38,957 | 17,768 | 7,735 | 8,449 | 5,491 | 15,988 |
| Feb. 28 | 282, 521 | 14, 633 | 93, 452 | 19, 146 | 32,004 | 10, 192 | 7,534 | 47, 601 | 17,630 | 7,665 | 8, 702 | 7,852 | 16, 110 |
| Mar. 7 | 272, 169 | 14, 231 | 88, 131 | 19,043 | 32, 308 | 10, 481 | 7,395 | 45, $213{ }^{\text {, }}$ | 17, 894 | 7,690 | 8,238 | 5, 242 | 16,303 |
| Mar. 14...... | 269, 408 | 14, 866 | 85, 191 | 18,291 | 32, 746 | 10, 720 | 7,455 | 44, 783 | 18,033 | 7,572 | 8,254 | 5,116 | 16, 381 |
| Securedbystocks and bonds- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. 21. | 3,735, 086 | 238, 111 | 1,696,023 | 240, 737 | 370,930 | 122, 537 | 61,4i1 | 533,734 | 135,344 | 45,661 | 77, 898 | 51,370 | 161, 324 |
| Feb. 28 | 3, 799, 712 | 242, 354 | 1, 735,929 | 245, 326 | 371, 566 | 121, 308 | 61,659 | 542, 358 | 140,042 | 47,642 | 80, 546 | 52,392 | 158, 590 |
| Mar. 7 | 3, 717, 365 | 233, 025 | 1,646, 149 | 258, 617 | 382, 294 | 123, 165 | 61, 971 | 540, 784 | 136,963 | 48,270 | 80,090 | 51, 103 | 154, 934 |
| All Mar. $14 . . . .$. | 3, 711, 365 | 237, 745 | 1,629,367 | 2021,940 | 379, 172 | 124, 441 | 60,737 | 548, 891 | 137,061 | 44, 709 | 79, 945 | 51, 155 | 156, 202 |
| All other loans and discounts- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. 21.. | 7,517,372 | 603, 938 | 2,348, 675 | 335, 071 | 658, 097 | 322, 933 | 332,6211 | 1,098, 324 | 302,359 | 189, 973 | 359, 457 | 210,200 | 755, 724 |
| Feb. 28 | 7, 557, 140 | 603, 582 | $2,389,817$ | 329,715 | 658, 427 | 317, 791 | 336, 80611 | 1,094, 809 | 299, 176 | 191, 140 | 363, 805 | 207,999 | 764, 073 |
| Mar. 74 | 7, 645, 393 | 605, 348 | 2, 441, 412 | 330, 034 | 663, 302 | 319, 918 | 337, 4591 | 1, 114, 963 | 305, 342 | 191, 810 | 361, 285 | 211,043 | 763, 777 |
| Mar. 14. | 7,712,123 | 609, 233 | 2, 491, 108 | 331, 962 | 668, 809 | 322, 957 | 341, 6141 | 1, 122, 161 | 304, 248 | 200, 576 | 365, 800 | 210,485 | 773, 170 |
| Total loans and counts: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. 21. | 11, 531, 743. | 857, 050 | 4, 143, 869 | 595. 8181 | , 030, 758 | 455, 997 | 401, 4951 | 1,671,015 | 455, 471 | 244, 369 | 445, 804 | 267, 061 | 933,036 |
| Feb. 28 | 11, 639, 373 | 860, 569 | 4, 219, 198 | 594, 187 | 1,001, 997 | 449, 291 | 405, 9991 | 1,684, 768 | 456, 848 | 246,447 | 453, 053 | 268, 2433 | 938,773 |
| Mar. 7 | 11, 634, 927. | 852, 604 | 4, 175, 692 | 607, 6941 | 1,077, 904 | 453, 564 | 400, 8251 | 1,700,960 | 460, 199 | 247, 780 | 449, 613 | 267,388 | 934, 714 |
| Mar. 14. | 11, 722, 895 | 801, 844 | 4, 205, 666 | 612, 193 | 1,080, 727 | 458, 118 | 409, 8061 | 1,715, 835 | 459,342 | 252, 857 | 453, 999 | 266, 756 | 945,753 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { U. S. pre-wa } \\ & \text { Feb. } 21 . \end{aligned}$ | 281,620 | 12,798 | 461 | 11,464 | 48,076 | 30,460 | 14,451 | 24, 172 | 15, 324 | 8,426 | 12,078 | 19,753 | 36,157 |
| Feb. 28 | 282, 800 | 12,798 | 48, 453 | 11, 464 | 48, 056 | 30, 460 | 14, 551 | 24,596 | 15,324, | 8,831 | 12,359 | 19,753 | 36,152 |
| Mar. 7 | 283, 169 | 12,797 | 48, 466 | 11, 464 | 48,056 | 30,460 | 14, 5.51 | 24,903 | 15, 479 | 8,776 | 12,077 | 19,753 | 36,387 |
| Mar. 14 | 282, 871 | 12, 721 | 48, 486 | 11, 464 | 48,001 | 30, 460 | 14, 521 | 24, 903 | 15, 323 | 8,776 | 12,078 | 19, 754 | 36,384 |
| U. S. Liberty bonds: Feb. 21 | 1,062,766 | 77,673 | 483,977 | 47, 830 | 120, 128 | 32,671 | 12,349 | 96,0 | 23,847 | 16, 152 | 45,757 | 13,275 | 33,052 |
| Feb. 28 | 1,042, 296 | 78, 006 | 466,121 | 48,080 | 119,967 | 32, 635 | 12, 054 | 93, 585 | 23, 725 | 15, 218 | 46, 668 | 13, 419 | 92, 818 |
| Mar. 7 | 1,060,336 | 78,063 | 479, 844 | 47,966 | 121, 866 | 32, 817 | 12,610 | 94, 925 | 23,916 | 14, 808 | 46, 639 | 13,663 | 93, 219 |
| ${ }^{\text {U }}$ Mar. $14 \ldots . .$. | 1,061, 544 | 78,289 | 479, 407 | 48,085 | 121,585 | 32, 427 | 12,445 | 95, 359 | 24, 826 | 14, 382 | 44,887 | 13,905 | 95,947 |
| U. S. Treasury |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. 21. | 118, 216. | 5, 428 | 45, 880 | 4,559 | 9,663 | 4,661 | 2,780 | 13, 146 | 9,670 | 2, 348 | 4,466 | 2,645 | 12,970 |
| Feb. 28 | 112, 261 | 5,380 | 44, 074 | 4,180 | 8, 949 | 4,657 | 1,772 | 12,646 | 9,910 | 1,733 | 3,518 | 2,729 | 12, 713 |
| Mar. 7. | 113,455 | 5,294 | 45, 438 | 4,161 | 8,965 | 4,776 | 1,837 | 12,710 | 9,554 | 1,363 | 3,871 | 2,649 | 12,838 |
| Mar. 14. | 112, 252 | 6,066 | 42, 847 . | 4,166 | 8,816 | 4,718 | 1,838 | 12, 728 | 9,587 | 1,323 | 4,530 | 2,645 | 12,988 |
| U. S. Victory notes and Treasury |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. 21 | 943,377 | 28,405 | 505,784 | 52,757 | 56, 602 |  | 6,785 | 147, 842 | 25,180 | 23,983 | 21,359 | 14,94] | 50, 138 |
| Feb. 28 | 921, $05 \mathrm{~S}^{\prime}$ | 24,930 | 489, 814 | 52, 928 | 57, 293 | 9, 739 | 6,900 | 144, 849 | 25, 167 | 24,643 | 20, 272 | 15,439 | 49,084 |
| Mar. 7. | 942, 713 | 24,769 | 514,745 | 53, 947 | 57, 635 | 9,462 | 7,242 | 143, 889 | 25, 515 | 24,940 | 21, 392 | 17, 059 | 42, 118 |
| U Mar. 14......... | 934,964 | 24, 177 | 507, 366 | 52, 985 | 58,131 | 10,026 | 7,151 | 140,675 | 24, 393 | 25,002 | 24, 047 | 17,084 | 43,927 |
| U. S. certificates of indebtedness: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. $21 . .$. | 145, 655 | 8,480 | 68,727 | 1,569 | 9,926 | 2, 741 | 3,724 | 23,921 | 3,795 | 2,196 | 5,349 | 3,288 | 11,939 |
| Feb. 28 | 145, 704 | 7,923 | 68, 380 | 2, 302 | 9,713 | 2, 591 | 5,284 | 24,513 | 3,680 | 2,084 | 5,453 | 3,316 | 10, 465 |
| Mar. 7 | 117,044 | 1,831 | 46,507 | 1,659 | 9,745 | 2,701 | 4, 319 | 24, 284 | 3,420 | 1,904 | 5, 975 | 3,389 | 11, 340 |
| Mar. 14........... | 99, 750 | 1,811 | 31,918 | 1,608 | 9,683 | 3,041 | 4,524 | 22, 260 | 3,034 | 1,929 | 5,778 | 3,485 | 10,695 |
| Other bonds, stocks, and securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. 21... | 2, 180, 991 | 171, 836 | 735, 807, | 187, 461 | 291, 803 | 52,569 | 37,076 | 362, 763 | 88,306 | 30, 336 | 59, 134 | 8,846 | 155,054 |
| Feb. 28 | 2, 186, 290; | 172, 549 | 742, 222 | 185, 211 | 287, 202 | 52,642 | 37, 193 | 362, 354 | 91, 674 | 30, 486 | 57,765 | 9,017 | 157,981 |
| Mar. 7 | 2, 188, 035 |  | 741,654 | 184, 437 | 286,273 | 52, 573 | 36, 938 | 365, 824 | 88, 895 | 30,701 | 58, 442 | 9,022 | 158,311 |
| Mar. $14 . . . . . . . .$. | 2,162, 169 | 172, 747 | 716, 230 | 183, 597 | 287, 014 | 52,075 | 37, 414 | 365, 795 | 88, 923 | 31,305 | 58, 215 | 9,179 | 159,675 |
| Total loans and discounts and investments: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. 21 | 16,264,368 | 1,161, 670 | 6,032,505 | 901, 458 | 1,595, 956 | 588,700 | 478, 660 | 2, 338, 914 | 621, 593 | 327, 810 | 593, 947 | 329, 809 | 1,292,346 |
| Feb. 28 | 16,329,788 | $1,162,155$ | 6, $078,265{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 898, 352 | 1,593, 177 | 582, 015 | 483, 753 | 2, 347, 311 | 626.328 | 329, 442 | 599,088 | 331, 916 | 1,297,986 |
| Mar. 71 | 16,337, 730 | 1,148, 323 | 6,052, $346^{\prime}$ $6,031,920$ | 911,328 | $1,610,444$ $1,613,957$ | 586,353 590,865 | 484,322 487,699 | 2, 367, 495 | 620, 978 | 330,262 335,574 | 598, 029 | 332,923 | 1,288,927 |
| Reserve balance with | 16, 376,402 | 1,151, 5 | 6, 31,92 | 914,098 | 1, 10, $90{ }^{\text {l }}$ | 50,865 | 481, 69 | 2,37, 5 5 | 62, 428 | 305, 54 | 6,3, |  |  |
| F. R, banks: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. 21 | 1, 425, 098 | 82, 327 | 640, 976 | 69, 931 | 109, 198 | 35,719 | 35, 892 | 210,718 | 44, 053 | 25, 028 | 50, 010 | 27,574 | 93,632 <br> 92 <br> 696 |
| Feb. 28 | 1,408,310 | 78,869 84,440 | 644,540 617,432 | 69,958 72,337 | 108,329 105,285 | 37, 875 | 30,629 33,119 | 207,614 <br> 208,528 | 42, 33 | 21, 206 | 48,561 49,446 | 25,700 28,167 | 92,696 97,088 |
| Mar. 14. | $1,443,401$ | 84, 293 | 652, 466 | 72, 276 | 112, 109 | 34, 416 | 34,356] | 206, 260 | 44, 499 | 25, 774 | 50, 482 | 27,688 | 98, 782 |

PRINCIPAL RESOURCES AND LIABILITIES OF REPORTING MEMBER BANKS IN LEADING CITIES ON WEDNESDAYS FROM FEBRUARY 21 TO MARCH 14, 1923-Continued.
ALL REPORTING MEMBER BANKS IN EACH FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICT-Continued.
[In thousands of dollars.]

| Federal reserve district. | Total. | B oston. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { New } \\ & \text { York. } \end{aligned}$ | Philadelphia. | Cleveland. | Richmond. | Atlanta. | Chicago. | St. Louis. | Minneapolis. | Kansas City. | Dallas. | San <br> Francisco. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash in vault: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. 21 | 288, 869 | 18,928 | 88, 564 | 17,611 | 30, 924 | 13,608 | 10,556 | 53, 534 | 8,137 | 6,132 | 11, 595 | 9,337 | 19,943 |
| Feb. 28 | 277,480 | 18,945 | 79, 818 | 16,472 | 29,381 | 13,946 | 10,907 | 52,788 | 7,899 | 5,993 | 11,788 | 9, 805 | 19,738 |
| Mar. 7 | 284, 678 | 18,947 | 81,946 | 16,677 | 32,084 | 13,706 | 10, 802 | 55, 158 | 7,977 | 5, 8095 | 11,788 | 9,657 | 20,327 |
| Net demand deposits: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. 21.......... | 11, 445,970 | 813, 239 | 4, 920,899 | 699,782 | 911,567 | 336,682 | 287, 612 | 1,505, 172 | 378, 353 | 210,852 | 463,888 | 238,341 | 679,583 |
| Feb. 28 | 11,524,612 | 804,063 | 4,967,431 | 700,795 | 932,774 | 337, 358 | 287,739 | 1,517,589 | 376,217 | 214, 735 | 469,637 | 247, 213 | 669,061 |
| Mar. 7 | 11,385, 154 | 810,838 | 4,809,592 | 718,675 | 933, 036 | 336,886 | 286,632 | 1,514,613 | 377, 656 | 218,265 | 470, 137 | 244,883 | 663,941 |
| Mar. 14. | 11, 463,614 | 812,865 | 4,839,940 | 711,564 | 935, 499 | 340, 559 | 295,395 | 1, 524, 279 | 380,804 | 220,363 | 467,361 | 246,377 | 688,608 |
| Time deposits: | 3,772,556 | 245, 256 | 761,449 | 75,059 | 550, 840 | 149,826 | 164,636 | 757,314 | 184, 741 | 85, 263 | 126,844 | 74,702 | 26 |
| Feb. 28 | 3,775, 827 | 245, 527 | 768, 377 | 75,467 | 547,603 | 151, 784 | 164,837 | 759, 810 | 184, 211 | 82,641 | 122,652 | 74,762 | 598,156 |
| Mar. 7 | 3,855,553 | 246,738 | 833,652 | 71,566 | 553,061 | 152,514 | 166,048 | 765, 001 | 184,089 | 82,737 | 123, 899 | 74,989 | 601,259 |
| Mar. 14... | 3,891, 274 | 247,363 | 855,921 | 83,583 | 551, 839 | 152,732 | 166,354 | 767, 260 | 183, 487 | 83,280 | 124,252 | 74,830 | 600,373 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. $21 . . . . . . . .$. | 98,747 | 7,699 | 41, 813 | 9,631 | 5,079 | 4,578 | 2,443 | 12,189 | 3,852 | 3,007 | 1,081 | 1,304 | 6,071 |
| Feb. 28 | 100, 109 | 7,429 | 41, 813 | 9,631 | 4,948 | 4,578 | 2,359 | 14,035 | 3,852 | 3,007 | 1,081 | 1,305 | 6,071 |
| Mar. 7. | 100,275. | 7,389 | 41,813 | 9,631 | 5,179 | 4,578 | 2,444 | 13,948 | 3, 852 | 2,984 | 1,081 | 1,305 | 6,071 |
| Mar. 14. | 108,817 | 7,389 | 41.813 | 9,631 | 4,892 | 4,578 | 2,499 | 13,898 | 3,852 | 3,142 | 1,476 | 1,305 | 14,342 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government obli-gations- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. 21 | 278, 548 | 11,483 | 173,880 | 23,665 | 15,243 | 11,888 | 1,198 | 19,689 | 2,751 | 365 | 2,760 | 850 | 14,776 |
| Feb. 28 | 267,180 | 8,234 | 157, 708 | 19,484 | 8,683 | 13, 128 | 606 | 33,074 | 6,901 | 115 | 3,440 |  | 15,807 |
| Mar. 7 | 247, 496 | 9,019 | 152,138 | 14,323 | 5,566 | 10,472 | 1,371 | 36,983 | 2,620 | 1,115 | 4,323 | 675 | 8,891 |
| All Mar. 14. | 273, 879 | 10,338 | 156,849 | 20,747 | 18,163 | 11,980 | 586 | 37,404 | 2,733 | 1,190 | 6,785 |  | 7,104 |
| Feb. 21 | 138,905 | 30,777 | 42,458 | 13,028 |  | 13,348 | 3,397 | 10,012 | 2,321 | 3,479 | 2,671 | 2,970 |  |
| Feb, 28 | 118, 334 | 22,409 | 27, 159 | 11, 456 | 2,260 | 12,674 | 4,218 | 11,034 | 4,297 | 1,245 | 2,250 | 3,071 | 16,261 |
| Mar. 7 | 124,122 | 16,789 | 26, 256 | 8,970 | 11,215 | 13,825 | 4,735 | 8,344 | 7,118 | 1,266 | 3,104 | 3,532 | 18,958 |
| Mar. 14. | 131,655 | 22,900 | 28,578 | 10,723 | 9,384 | 13,960 | 2,277 | 10,486 | 6,162 | 1,909 | 3,907 | 3,719 | 17,650 |

REPORTING MEMBER BANKS IN FEDERAL RESERVE BANK CITIES.

| Number of reporting banks: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Feb. $21 . . . . . . . .$. | 260 | 23 | 63 | 43 | 8 | 10 | 6 | 49 | 13 | 6 | 16 | 8 | 5 |
| Feb. 28. | 259 | 23 | 63 | 42 | 8 | 10 | 6 | 49 | 13 | 6 | 16 | - | 15 |
| Mar. 7. | 259 | ${ }_{23}^{33}$ | ${ }_{63}$ | 42 | 8 | 10 |  | 49 | 13 |  | 6 |  | 15 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | gross: <br> Secured by U.S. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Govermment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. $21 . . . .$. | 190,232 | 12,257 | 90,220 | 18,096 | 6,748 | 2,141 | 1,995 | 29,335 | 13,878 | 4,952 | 2,317 | 1,720 | 6,573 |
| Feb .28. | 190, 246 | 11,922 | 84, 073 | 17,240 | 6,767 | 1,962 | 2,069 | 37, 201 | 13,638 | 4,831 | 2,323 | 1,581 | 6,639 |
| Mar. 7. | 182, 865 | 11, 522 | 79,070 | 17,154 | 6,720 | 2,248 | 2,015 | 35,095 | 13,846 | 4,854 | 2,065 | 1,595 | 6,681 |
| Mar. 14. | 179, 534 | 12,151 | 75,782 | 16,332 | 6,775 | 2,255 | 2,014 | 35,017 | 14,092 | 4,747 | 2,143 | 1,495 | 6,731 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. $21 . . .$. | 2,725,651 | 188,776 | 1,521, 241 | 220,392 | 137,687 | 20, 224 | 10,686 | 402,006 | 99, 806 | 26,006 | 22,318 | 10,473 | 66,036 |
| Feb. 28 | 2,780, 163 | 192,825 | 1,557,692 | 224,560 | 137, 671 | 19,741 | 10,740 | 407,902 | 104,432 | 26,315 | 22,456 | 10,280 | 65,549 |
| Mar. 7 | 2,693, 162 | 183,754 | 1,460,990 | 237,653 | 144,014 | 19,684 | 11,440 | 409,029 | 101, 149 | 27, 284 | 22,094 | 10,073 | 65,998 |
| Mar. $14 . . . .$. | 2,682,693 | 188,380 | 1,443,513 | 240,923 | 141, 836 | 19,765 | 10,846 | 414,826 | 100,743 | 23,014 | 21,782 | 11,407 | 65,628 |
| All other loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. 21...... | 4,623,863 | 455,943 | 2,050, 441 | 303,694 | 305,905 | 64, 404 | 55,638 | 644, 869 | 173,772 | 90, 174 | 120,529 | 55, 885 | 302,609 |
| Feb. 28 | 4,653,398 | 456,264 | 2,087, 816 | 297,688 | 307, 555 | 64,783 | 57, 547 | 637,769 | 170,463 | 90,668 | 117,816 | 57,039 | 307,990 |
| Mar. 7 | 4,723;079 | 456,822 | 2,137, 150 | 298, 130 | 309, 460 | 64,298 | 56,117 | 654,343 | 174, 233 | 89, 290 | 117,938 | 55,901 | 309,397 |
| Mar. $14 . . .$. | 4,801,271 | 459,350 | 2,185,512 | 299,306 | 310,344 | 66,029 | 58,508 | 662,008 | 173,482 | 95,950 | 120, 790 | 55,658 | 314,334 |
| Total loans and dis- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. 21. | 7, 539, 746 | 656,976 | 3,661, 902 | 542,182 | 450,340 | 86,769 | 68,3191 | ,076, 210 | 287, 456 | 121,132 | 145,164 | 68,078 | 375,218 |
| Mar. 28 | $7,623,807$ $7,599,106$ | 661,011 652,098 | $3,729,581$ $3,677,210$ 3, | 539,488 552,937 | 451,993 460,194 | 86,486 86,230 | 70,356 69,572 | ,082, 072 | 288,533 289,228 | 121, 814 | 142,595 142,097 | 68,900 67,569 | 380,178 382,076 |
| Mar. ${ }^{\text {Mar. }} 14$ | 7, $7,669,106$ | 652,098 <br> 659 | $3,677,210$ $3,704,837$ | 552,937 556,561 | 460,194 458,955 | 86,230 88,049 | 69,5721 71,3681 | ,098,467 111 | 289,228 | 121,428 123 | 142,097 144,715 | 67,569 68,560 | 382,076 386,693 |
| U. S.pre-war bonds: |  |  |  | 56, | - | 88,00 1,805 | -1,927 | 12,652 | , 224 | 3,031 |  |  |  |
| Feb. 28 | 99, ${ }^{962}$ | 2,344 | 37,805 | 7,358 | 4,530 | 1,805 | 2,927 | 3,050 | 8,224 | 3,031 | 2,131 | 4,875 | 21,190 |
| Mar. 7. | 99, 818 | 2,343 | 37,815 | 7,358 | 4,530 | 1,805 | 2,927 | 3,361 | 8,224 | 3,031 | 2,131 | 4,875 | 21, 418 |
| Mar. 14. | 99,760 | 2,267 | 37,835 | 7,358 | 4,530 | 1,805 | 2,927 | 3,361 | 8,223 | 3,031 | 2,131 | 4,875 | 21,417 |
| U. S. Liberty bonds: Feb. 21 | 643,287 | 34,228 | 414,334 | 36,933 | 25,197 | 3,673 | 308 | 37,657 | 15,211 | 6,638 | 20,294 | 4,493 |  |
| Feb. 28 | 623,273 | 34,224 | 396, 790 | 37,175 | 25,938 | 3,669 | 320 | 35,418 | 15, 573 | 6,492 | 20,499 | 4,718 | 42, 457 |
| Mar. 7 | 638,906 | 34, 131 | 411,389 | 37,058 | 25,165 | 3,669 | 320 | 36,757 | 15, 335 | 6,614 | 20,376 | 5,267 | 42, 625 |
| Mar. 14. | 640,392 | 34, 156 | 410,936 | 37, 172 | 25,185 | 3,672 | 285 | 37,770 | 15,355 | 6,624 | 18,804 | 5,252 | 45,181 |

PRINCIPAL RESOURCES AND LIABILITIES OF REPORTING MEMBER BANKS IN LEADING CITIES ON WEDNESDAYS FROM FEBRUARY 21 TO MARCH 14, 1923-Continued.
REPORTING MEMBER BANKS IN FEDERAL RESERVE BANK CITIES-Continued.
[In thousands of dollars.]

| Federal reserve district. | Total. | Boston. | New York. | Philadelphia. | Cleveland. | Richmond. | Atlanta. | Chicago. | $\underset{\text { Lt. }}{\text { Stis. }}$ | Minneapolis. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Kansas } \\ \text { City. } \end{gathered}$ | Dallas. | San Francisco. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U. S. Treasury bonds: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. $21 . \ldots \ldots \ldots$. | 65,701 62,873 | $\stackrel{2}{2,601}$ | 36, 164 | 3,695 | 1,846 | 294 | 117 | 5,927 | 7,772 | 1,022 | 726 | 1,103 | 5,434 |
| Mar. 7 |  | 2,566 | 34, 795 | 3,277 | 1,851 | 294 | 105 | 5, 439 |  | ${ }_{757}$ | 699 | 103 | 50 |
| Mar. 14. | 62,419 | 3,538 | 32, 206 | 3,255 | 1,851 | 194 | 105 | 5,482 | 7,686 | 759 | 760 | 1,103 | 480 |
| U. S. Victory notes and Treasurynotes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. 21.. | 727,438 | 20, 809 | 474,717 | 49,868 | 9,744 | 1,578 | 310 | 103,579 | 16,645 | 8,599 | 9,088 | 6,646 | 25, 855 |
| Feb. 28 | 704,210 | 17,259 | 458, 699 | 49, 891 | 9,664 | 1,568 | 310 | 102, 174 | 16,574 | 8,997 | 7,277 | 6,645 | 25, 152 |
| Mar. 7 | 725, 851 | 17, 152 | 483,347 | 50,908 | 9,855 | 1,568 | 310 | 101, 586 | 16,786 | 9,241 | 7,367 | 6,765 | 20,966 |
| U Mar. 14. | 716,560 | 16,691 | 475, 927 | 49,899 | 9,851 | 1,506 | 310 | 98,839 | 15,876 | 9,319 | 10, 790 | 6,770 | 20,782 |
| U. S. certificates of indebtedness: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. 21. | 93, 266 | 2,044 | 66, 089 | 1,493 | 1,587 | 1,318 | 888 | 12,051 | 2,496 | 879 | 1,126 | 1,509 | 1,786 |
| Feb. 28 | 93, 917 | 1,719 | 65, 767 | 2,226 | 1,587 | 1,318 | 1,050 | 12,490 | 2,466 | 939 | !, 192 | 1,537 | 1,626 |
| Mar. 7 | 70,457 | 1,369 | 43, 889 | 1,589 | 1,616 | 1,278 | 888 | 12, 28.5 | 2,487 | 784 | 1, 131 | 1,610 | 1, 531 |
| Mar. 14. | 52, 826 | 1,373 | 28,675 | 1,538 | 1,622 | 1,318 | 888 | 9,714 | 2,297 | 784 | 1,139 | 1,706 | 1,772 |
| Other bonds, stocks, and securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. $21 .$. | 1, 178, 195 | 80, 110 | 534, 756 | 150,654 | 65,658 | 5,815 | 3,358 | 185, 641 | 56, 455 | 13,458 | 11,221 | 1,499 | 69,570 |
| Feb. 28 | 1, 185,090 | 80, 721 | 540,677 | 148, 668 | 63,927 | 5,816 | 3,504 | 186, 686 | 59, 585 | 13,396 | 10,439 | 1,596 | 70,075 |
| Mar. 7 | 1, 189, 890 | 81, 801 | 543,290 | 147, 644 | 66, 250 | 5, 759 | 3,780 | 188, 672 | 57,004 | 13, 252 | 11, 477 | 1,594 | 69,367 |
| l | 169, 144 | 80, 986 | 522,963 | 147, 050 | 66, 569 | 5,234 | 3,598 | 188, 881 | 57,021 | 13,737 | 11,451 | 1,993 | 69,661 |
| Total loans and discounts and investments: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. 21. | 10,347, 335 | 799, 112 | 5, 225,797 | 792, 183 | 558,902 | 101,252 | 76, 227 | 1,423,717 | 394, 259 | 154,759 | 189,750 | 88, 203 | 543,374 |
| Feb. | 10, 392, 432 | 799, 831 | 5, 262, 752 | 788, 102 | 559, 481. | 100,956 | 78,572 | 1, 428, 112 | 398, 770 | 155, 536 | 184, 876 | 89, 374 | 546, 070 |
|  | 10,388,015 | 791, 460 | 5, 231, 735 | 800,771 | 359, 461 | 100, 603 | 77, 902 | 1,446, 567 | 396,915 | 155, 107 | 185, 278 | 88,783 | 543, 433 |
| Reserve balance with | 10, 404, 599 | 798, 892 | $5,213,379$ | 802, 833 | 508, 563 | 101, 778 | 79, 481 | 1,405, 898 | 394, 75 | 157, 965 | 189,790 | 90, 209 | 550, 986 |
| F. R , banks: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. 21. | 1,015,047 | 64, 979 | 594, 954 | 64, 167 | 31,274 | 6,558 | 6,315 | 147, 493 | 28, 590 | 13,233 | 16,439 | 8,126 | 32,919 |
| Feb. 28 | 1, 005,997 | 61,74 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 598, 049 | 64, 062 | 33,614 | 6,203 | 3,561 | 147, 537 | 25,709. | 9,679 | 16, 103 | 7,487 | 32, 248 |
| Mar. 7 | -999, 136 | 65, 440 | 567, 869 | 65, 882 | 33,783 | 7,182 | 5,951 | 150, 675 | 29, 856 | 13, 609 | 16, 890 | 7,572 | 33, 447 |
| Cash Mar vaul | 1, 025, 456 | 65,689 | 602, 979 | 66, 061 | 30,313 | 6,167 | 5,347 | 145, 376 | 28, 481 | 12, 890 | 16,555 | 8,842 | 35, 756 |
| Feb. 21. | 151, 857 | 8,636 | 73, 325 | 14,505 | 8,278 | 1,015 | 1,992 | 28,581 | 3,780 | 1,867 | 2,409 | 1,458 | 6,011 |
| Feb. 28 | 142,987 | 8,536 | 66, 336 | 13,396 | 8,278 | 846 | 2, 184 | 29, 173 | 3,596 | 1,856 | 2,331 | 911 | 5, 544 |
| Mar. 7 | 146, 959 | 8,456 | 67,209 | 13,249 | 8,752 | 902 | 2, 149 | 30, 624 | 3,654 | 1,855 | 2,764 | 1,311 | 6,034 |
| Mar. 14. | 147, 184 | 8,043 | 66, 959 | 13, 323 | 8,838 | 918 | 2,269 | 31, 150 | 3,785 | 1,792 | 2,339 | 1,275 | 6, 493 |
| Net demand deposits: $\text { Feb. } 21 \text {. }$ | 7,803, 672 | 628, 528 | 4, 435, 135 | 617,042 | 233,638 | 58,828 | 46,495 | 1, 012,607 | 252, 280 | 101, 067 | 166,655 | 63,343 | 248, 053 |
| Feb. 28 | 7,908, 653 | 618,589 | 4,469,536 | 618, 130 | 237,603 | 56, 449 | 47, 704 | 1, 030,135 | 251, 516 | 100, 682 | 165, 917 | 70, 249 | 242, 143 |
| Mar. 7 | 7,786, 082 | 627,678 | 4, 319,334 | 636, 294 | 233, 864 | 56,792 | 47, 964 | 1,037,673 | 251, 069 | 103, 653 | 165, 866 | 66,344 | 236, 551 |
| Mar. 14. | 7, 799, 253 | 627,993 | 4, 333,928 | 628, 817 | 237, 425 | 56,977 | 49,613 | 1,026,472 | 250,719 | 103, 706 | 164,374 | 68,918 | 250, 311 |
| Time depasits: Feb. 21. |  | 102,932 | 505, 189 | 57, 940 | 319,494 | 25,378 | 21,478 | 364, 130 | 103, 608 |  | 16,315 | 13,870 | 240,643 |
| Feb. 28 | 1,811, 100 | 102, 757 | 508, 750 | 58, 094 | 318,411 | 25, 369 | 21, 580 | 367, 688 | 104, 004 | 37, 221 | 12, 007 | 13, 839 | 241, 380 |
| Mar. 7 | 1,874, 052 | 103,462 | 571,136 | 53, 890 | 321, 198 | 25,395 | 21,818 | 370, 822 | 103,337 | 37,288 | 12,329 | 13,898 | 239,479 |
| Mar. 14........... | 1,911, 124 | 103,731 | 593, 550 | 65, 445 | 319,434 | 25, 413 | 21, 859 | 373,184 | 102,976 | 38, 034 | 12,595 | 13,789 | 241, 104 |
| Government deposits: | 74,738 | 6,282 |  |  |  | 261 | 403 | 8,942 | 3, 26 |  | 518 | 615 | 4,579 |
| Feb. 28 | 74,339 | 6,012 | 38, 591 | 8,942 | 696 | 261 | 403 | 8,942 | 3,268 | 1,512 | 518 | 615 | 4,579 |
| Mar. 7 | 74, 549 | 6,012 | 38,591 | 8,942 | 926 | 261 | 403 | 8,942 | 3,268 | 1,489 | 518 | 615 | 4,579 |
| Mar. 14. | 74, 549 | 6,012 | 38,591 | 8,942 | 826 | 261 | 463 | 8,893 | 3,268 | 1,647 | 518 | 615 | 4,513 |
| Bills payable and rediscounts with F. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R. banks: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secured by Government obli-gations- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. 21. | 209, 259 | 9, 270 | 146, 740 | 22,640 | 200 | 3,108 | 280 | 10,701 | 1,968 | 115 | 717 |  | 13,520 |
| Feb. 28 | 202, 129. | 6,386 | 132,995 | 18, 184 | 715 | 3, 370 | 35 | 19,776 | 5,005 | 115 | 1,212 |  | 14,336 |
| Mar. 7 | 185, 961 | 6,921 | 131, 655 | 12, 923 | 515 | 2,544 |  | 18,666 | 1, 832 | 1,115 | 811 | 500 | 8,479 |
| Mar. 14 | 200, 457, | 8,728 | 133, 736 | 19,247 | 275 | 2,887 |  | 25,074 | 1,500 | 1,115 | 2,142 |  | 5,753 |
| All other- | 100,848 | 30,347 | 31,195 | 13,02 | 686 | 3, 195 | 667 | 8,246 | , 604 | 2, 491 | 254 | 106 | 5, 029 |
| Feb. 28 | 78,905 | 21,999 | 17,636 | 11,456 | ${ }_{293}$ | 3, 587 | 744 | 6,410 | 2, 592 | , 316 | 454 | 1, 221 | 12, 197 |
| Mar. 7 | 83,738 | 16,219 | 17, 323 | 8,970 | 7,979 | 4, 288 | 644 | 3,331 | 6,037 | 341 | 717 | 1,749 | 16, 140 |
| Mar. 14 | 97, 242 | 22, 314 | 18, 159 | 10, 723 | 7,821 | 4, 452 | 650 | 8, 431 | 5,032 | 1,311 | 1,206 | 1, 814 | 15,329 |
| memoranda. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank deposits: Due to banks- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. 21.... | 2,009,264 | 111, 594 | 921, 196 | 155, 555 | 44, 371 | 32,425 | 14,721 | 356, 846 | 91,533 | 47,994 | 114, 106 | 33, 155 | 85,768 |
| Feb. 28 | 2,161,780 | 109, 748 | 1, 058,851 | 162, 242 | 44, 623 | 32,791 | 15, 812 | 366, 577 | 91,381 | 49,588 | 113,275 | 26, 222 | 90,670 |
| Mar. 7 | 2,122,084 | 144, 292 | 985, 350 | 168, 394 | 45, 115 | 34, 887 | 17, 787 | 383,070 | 89,837 | 53, 927 | 114,568 | 25,999 | 88, 858 |
| Mar. $14 . . . . .$. | 2, 059, 808 | 113,918 | 969,632 | 162, 641 | 43, 816 | 33, 783 | 16, 534 | 360,398 | 84, 330 | 50, 841 | 108, 182 | 25, 854 | 89,879 |
| Duefrom banks- Feb. $21 .$. | 502,737 | 36,770 | 77, 843 | 55, 406 | 19,098 | 13,008 | 7,019 | 141,306 | 24,271 | 13,078 | 43,632 | 23,060 | 48,246 |
| Feb. 28 | 528, 691 | 42, 106 | 76, 859 | 57, 525 | 20, 749 | 13, 767 | 7,962 | 149,815 | 24,087 | 19,537 | 45,931 | 21, 357 | 48,996 |
| Mar. 7 | 506, 832 | 34, 457 | 72, 092 | 52, 576 | 18,441. | 14,019 | 11,016 | 146, 956 | 24, 302 | 16, 135. | 46, 188 | 22, 304 | 48,336 |
| Mar. 14 | 514, 414 | 36,310 | 76, 101 | 54, 783] | 20,453 | 13, 521 | 8,572 | 149, 403 | 24, 376 | 17,055 | 43,560 | 21,326 | 48,944 |

## DEBITS TO INDIVIDUAL ACCOUNTS BY BANKS IN SELECTED CITIES.

## MONTHLY SUMMARY FOR BANKS IN 141 SELECTED CITIES.

[In thousands of dollars.]

| Federal reserve district. |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

WEEKLY SUMMARY FOR BANKS IN 238 CITIES.
[In thousands of dollars.]

| Federal reserve district. | Number of centers included. | $\begin{gathered} 1923 \\ \text { Week ending-- } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 192 \mathrm{~g} \\ \text { Week ending }- \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Feb. 28. | Mar. 7. | Mar. 14. | Mar. 21. | Mar. 1. | Mar. 8. | Mar. 15. | Mar. 22. |
| No. 1-Boston. | 15 | 483,469 | 559,091 | 498,111 | 564,488 | 452,085 | 419,672 | 495, 899 | 445,717 |
| No. 2-New York. | 12 | 4,669,969 | 6, 018, 845 | 4, 844,493 | $5,271,185$ | 5,198, 499 | 4,550, 880 | 4, 531, 274 | 4,944, 353 |
| No. 3-Philadelphia | 18 | 452,990 | 478, 401 | 436,412 | 498, 020 | 441, 474 | 397, 507 | 388,514 | 405, 879 |
| No. 4-Clevelaud. | 23 | 571,434 | 589, 892 | 593, 55.3 | 615, 268 | 515, 895 | 449,052 | 452,165 | 470,980 |
| No. 5-Richmond | 21 | 242, 104 | 283, 888 | 259, 412 | 277,041 | 244, 817 | 225, 989 | 223, 863 | 226,331 |
| No. 6-Atlanta. | 25 | 223, 623 | 249, 401 | 232,552 | 239, 537 | 198,964 | 192,430 | 183, 167 | 187, 102 |
| No. 7-Chicago. | 31 | 1,415,915 | 1,112, 164 | 1,055,233 | 1,158, 255 | 1,063, 044 | 964, 122 | 1,017,857 | 1,025,787 |
| No. 8-St. Louis. | 11 | 245,403 | 268,795 | 25a, 930 | -282, 823 | 239,945 | 234, 8196 | 215, 259 | 213,236 |
| No. 9-Minneapolis | 16 | 126, 593 | 149,373 | 133, 124 | 156, 859 | 125, 270 | 138, 766 | 138,056 | 134,564 |
| No. 10-Kansas City | 26 | 276,372 | 317, 77, | 269,455 | 278, 827 | 235, 679 | 254, 632 | 236, 210 | 239, 168 |
| No. 11-Dallas. | 15 | 147, 126 | 159, 812 | 147, 106 | 156, 725 | 137, 189 | 143,433 | 139,033 | 142, 419 |
| No. $12-\mathrm{San}$ Francisco | 25 | 529, 563 | 694,957 | 559, 973 | 605, 235 | 486, 403 | 516,333 | 481,467 | 491,249 |
| Total. | 238 | 9,384,561 | 10,882,389 | 9,285, 271 | 10, 104,254 | 9,362, 109 | 8,457,645 | 8,592,745 | 8,926,783 |

DATA FOR EACH CITY.
[In thousands of doliars.]

|  | 1923 |  |  |  | 1922 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Week ending- |  |  |  | Week ending- |  |  |  |
|  | Feb. 28. | Mar. 7. | Mar. 14. | Mar. 21. | Mar. 1. | Mar. 8. | Mar. 15. | Mar. 22. |
| District No. 1-Boston: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bangor, Me........ | 3,026 | 3,876 | 3,017 | 2,972 | 3,302 | 3,102 | 3,077 | 3,596 |
| Boston, Mass. | 338,418 | 392,961 | 343,430 | 400, $60 \times$ | 307, 040 | 255, $82 \times$ | 351,959 | 304, 171 |
| Brockton, Mass. | 3,929 | 4,738 | - $2,00 \times$ | 5, 437 |  | 4,320 | 4, 855 | 5,030 |
| Fall River, Mass | 6,411 22,481 | 6,741 26,523 | 7,330 $020 \times 4$ | 7,538 | 5,394 | 5,243 | 6,023 | 6,029 |
| Holyoke, Mass. | -3,227 | 3,850 | -3,645 | 24,014 4,010 | 2,667 | -3,104 | 19,965 2,769 | 20, 179 $3,1 \% 3$ |
| Lowell, Mass. | 3,969 | 5,041 | 4,792 | 5, 199 | $4,3 \times 1$ | 4,312 | 4,248 | 4,424 |
| Lynn, Mass..... | 5,429 | 5, 827 | 6,339 | 5,700 | 5,010 | 5,357 | 6,008 | 6,113 |
| Manchester, N . H | 3,793 | 4,454 | 4,050 | 4,910 | 3,776 | 3,522 | 5, 24.5 | 3,473 |
| New Bedford, Mass | 6,541 | 8,786 | 7,319 | 7,330 | 6,412 | 5,670 | 5,928 | 6,057 |
| New Haven, Conn.. | 16,975 | 21, 245 | 17.183 | 18,317 | 14,094 | 17,096 | 17,323 | 17,705 |
| Portland, Me.... | 7,942 | 9,863 31,89 | \%,655 | 9,100 | 7,731 | 7,310 | 7,479 | 6,691 |
| Providence, R. I. | 30,630 14,694 | 31, 879 | 32,600 16,001 | 35,992 <br> 15 <br> 195 | 34,696 | 27, 836 | 32,766 | 30, 229 |
| Waterbury, Conn | 6,271 | 6,251 | 6,241 | 15,975 | -1, 6,676 | 12,270 5,436 | 12,652 6,435 | 13,223 6,478 |
| Worcester, Mass.. | 13,662 | 14, 897 | 15, 024 | 16,02: | 13,637 | 12,564 | 14,013 | 14, 166 |

## DATA FOR EACH CITY-Continued.

[In thousands of dollars.]

|  | 1923 |  |  |  | 1922 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Week ending- |  |  |  | Week ending- |  |  |  |
|  | Feb. 2\%. | Mar. 7. | Mar. 14. | Mar. 21. | Mar. 1. | Mar. 8. | Mar. 15. | Mar. 22. |
| ict No. $2-\mathrm{New} \mathrm{Yors:}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Albany, N. Y ....... | 27,426 | 20, 268 | 19,438 | 27,333 | 42,151 | 20,555 | 20,036 | 26,417 |
| Binghamton, N. Y | 3,915 | 4,406 | 4,474 | 4,601 | 4,346 | 3,762 | 4,073 | 3,742 |
|  | 59,086 3,453 | $\begin{array}{r}65,713 \\ 3 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 61,656 3 | 68,940 3,864 | 60,705 3,356 | 52,181 2,688 | 54,226 | 53,457 |
| Jamestown, N , | 3,2*9 | 4,019 | 3,851 | 4,299 | 3,291 | 3,143 | 3,193 | 3,325 |
| Montclair N. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 2,480 | 2,769 | 2,532 | 3,190 | 1,986 | 2,299 | 2,144 | 2,365 |
| Newark, N. J. | :33,258 | 62,870 | 58,175 | 67,956 |  |  |  | 47,449 |
| New York, N. Y | 4,486,471 | 5,802,558 | 4,661,234 | 5, 058, 843 | 4,997,750 | 4,383,912 | 4,365,972 | 4,771,300 |
| Northern New Jersey Association | 30,721 | 47,183 | 34,113 | 38,458 | 33,335 | 33,691 | 30,200 | 30,836 |
| Passaic, N, J. | 5,787 | 6,537 | 6,555 | 6,928 | 5,413 | 4,865 | 5,898 | 6,157 |
| Rochester, N. Y | 30,619 | 40,377 | 30,713 | 34, 821 | 30,353 | 28,003 | 28,109 | 28,542 |
| Stamford, Conn | 2,217 | 2,543 | 2,521 | 2,750 | 2,274 | 2,928 | 2,327 | 1,955 |
| Syracuse, N. Y .... | 12,505 | 18,961 | 13,854 | 17, 158 | 13,449 | 12,853 | 12,374 | 13,516 |
| District No. 3-Philadelphia: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Altoona, Pa. | 3,690 | 3,712 | 3,888 | 3,638 | 2,989 | 2,545 | 3,173 | 2,840 |
| Camden, N . | 9,359 | 9,944 | 9,756 | 11,818 | 9,392 | 8,747 | 9,227 | 9,930 |
| Chester, Pa. | 5,808 | 4,899 | 4,841 | 5,629 | 5,235 | 3,551 | 4,091 | 4,632 |
| Harrisburg, Pa | 6,199 | 8, 820 | 7,913 | 8,760 | 6,647 | 6,662 | 6,741 | 6,678 |
| Hazleton, Pa | 2,516 | 2,478 | 2,243 | 2,394 | 2,585 | 2,044 | 2,188 | 1,896 |
| Johnstown, Pa | 5,475 | 5,092 | 4,916 | 5,001 | 4,766 | 4,279 | 4,072 | 3,825 |
| Lancaster, Pa | 5,134 | 5,345 | 5,851 | 7,239 | 5,274 | 5,157 | 5,026 | 5,410 |
| Lebanon, Pa . | 1,327 | 1,449 | 1,422 | 1,327 | 1,182 | 1,060 | 956 | 1,081 |
| Norristown, Pa. | 681 | 928 | 963 | 858 | 638 | 638 | 586 | 644 |
| Philadelphia, Pa | 346,261 | 365,989 | 325, 713 | 379,439 | 331,495 | 306,370 | 292, 575 | 305,912 |
| Reading, Pa. | 8,074 | 9,212 | 9,405 | 9,757 | 7,037 | 6,560 | 7,209 | 7,294 |
| Scranton, Pa | 17,086 | 16,297 | 15,607 | 16,840 | 16,613 | 13,459 | 13,432 | 14,656 |
| Trenton, N. J | 11, 260 | 12,663 | 12,915 | 13,016 | 15,153 | 10,439 | 10,998 | 11,527 |
| Wilkes-Barre, Pa | 9,581 | 9,373 | 9,134 | 9,710 | 8,353 | 6,882 | 8,512 | 8,077 |
| Williamsport, Pa | 3,918 | 4, 408 | 4,151 | 4,260 | 4,064 | 3,809 | 4,201 | 4,114 |
| Wilmington, D | 7,242 | 7,320 | 7,509 | 7,496 | 9, 101 | 6,137 | 6,870 | 7,774 |
| York, Pa............ | 3,664 | 3,970 | 4,473 | 3,828 | 3,984 | 4,065 | 3,430 | 4,207 |
| District No. 4--Cleveland: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Butler, Pa... | 2, 2,395, | 12,519 | 2,648 | 2,411 | 1,969 | 1,756 | 1, 833 | 1,654 |
| Canton, Ohio | 9,033 | 9,706 | 12,208 | 10, 463 | 6,926 | 6,995 | 5,819 | 9,048 |
| Cincinnati, Ohio | 71,778 | 78,625 | 76,423 | 92,602 | 65, 998 | 59,235 | 65,404 | 65,434 |
| Cleveland, Ohio | 134, 269 | 140,341 | 133, 208 | 144,305 | 118,550 | 105,382 | 107,572 | 104,381 |
| Columbus, Ohio | 29,415 | 32,374 | 31,325 | 32, 159 | 26,337 | 25,617 | 28, 849 | 26,847 |
| Connellsville, P | 1,382 | 1,750 | 1,759 | 1,315 | 1,037 | 953 | 1995 | 1,051 |
| Dayton, Ohio. | 16,196 | 21, 102 | 15, 877 | 18,101 | 11,683 | 15,353 | 11,610 | 12,676 |
| Erie, Pa. | 6,179 | 7,003 | 7,218 | 7,261 | 5, 874 | 6,299 | 6,737 | 5,640 |
| Greensburg, Pa | 4, 398 | 4, 862 | 4,795 | 6,088 | 4,198 | 3,177 | 4,047 | 3,427 |
| Homestead, Pa | 667 | -823 | 704 | 831 | 543 | 602 | 597 | -594 |
| Lexington, Ky | 7,630 | 9,527 | 8,643 | 8,046 | 9,944 | 8,013 | 8,246 | 7,952 |
| Lima, Ohio.. | 3,901 | 4,771 | 3,494 | 4,164 | 3,509 | 3,490 | 3,280 | 2,879 |
| Lorain, Ohio. | 1,086 | 1,187 | 1,216 | 1,289 | 886 | 876 | 1,032 | 1,163 |
| New Brighton, | 2, 360 |  | 2,327 |  | 2,018 | 1,657 | 1,885 | 1, 652 |
| Oil City, Pa . | 2,767 | 3,325 | 2,902 | 3,372 | 2, 469 | 2, 484 | 2,591 | 2, 444 |
| Pittsburgh, Pa. | 194, 828 | 178,629 | 193,697 | 193, 369 | 183, 350 | 142, 194 | 135, 431 | 154,498 |
| Springfield, Ohio | 5,005 | 5,989 | 5,497 | 4,705 | 4,518 | 5,213 | 4,012 | 3,904 |
| Toledo, Ohio. | 36,511 | 40,060 | 42,907 | 39,797 | 30, 855 | 28,607 | 27,492 | 31,828 |
| Warren, Ohio. | 2,297 | 3,147 | 4,103 | 3,086 | 3,028 | 2,072 | 3,295 | 2,077 |
| Wheeling, W. Va | 9,516 | 9,746 | 11,153 | 10, 296 | 8,109 | 7,453 | 7,300 | 8,254 |
| Youngstown, Oh Zanesville, Ohio. | 13,077 | 14,457 | 12,183 | 10,756 | 10,832 | 8, 802 | 10,642 | 9,723 |
| Zanesville, Ohio <br> District No. 5-Richmond: | 2,381 | 2,381 | 3,003 | 3,798 | 1,967 | 2,165 | 2,638 | 2,494 |
| District No. 5-Richmond: Ashevilic, N. C. | 4,614 | 4,868 | 4,674 | 4,467 |  |  | 3,600 | 3,900 |
| Baltimore, Md. | 76, 300 | 96, 200 | 80, 300 | 89, 100 | 93,055 | 80,950 | 76,681 | 76,703 |
| Charieston, S. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 11,181 | 7,627 | 6,918 | 7,155 | 5,500 | 6,009 | 5,860 | 4, 860 |
| Charieston, W. V | 8,664 | 7,966 | 7,643 | 9,452 | 7, 468 | 6, 456 | 6,966 | 7,003 |
| Charlotte. N. $\dot{\text { C }}$. | 8,511 | 9,907 | 10,160 | 9,649 | 6,737 | 6,538 | 5,359 | 6,969 |
| Columbia, S. C | 5,430 | 7,357 | 6,700 | 5,896 | 5, 574 | 5,757 | 4,125 | 5,665 |
| Cumberland, Md | 1,541 | 1,877 | 2,086 | 2,285 | 1,845 | 1,680 | 1,712 | 1,622 |
|  | 1,776 | 2,194 | 1,976 | 1,933 | 2,204 | 1,820 | 1,925 | 1,848 |
| Durham, N. C. | 3,677 | 4,487 | 5,063 | 4,514 |  |  |  |  |
| Greensboro, N. | 4,623 | 5,358 | 5,516 | 5,036 | 3,310 | 3,309 | 3,298 | 3,363 |
| Greenville, S. C.. | 5,700 | 5,076 | 5,600 | 4,900 | 3,669 | 3,820 | 3,404 | 3,303 |
| Hagerstown, Md.... | 1,906 | 2,077 | 2,275 | 2,483 | 1,730 | 1,955 | 1,697 | 1,789 |
| Huntington, W. Va | 6, 830 | 6, 246 | 5,905 | 6,496 | 4,461 | 4,437 | 4,224 | 4,444 |
| Lynchburg, Va. | 4,179 | 4,765 | 4,811 | 4,566 | 4,059 | 4,423 | 4,307 | 4,063 |
| Newport News, Va | 1,709 | 1, 738 | 1,654 | 1,924 | 1,651 | 1,486 | 1,726 | 1,496 |
| Norioik, Va. | 15,416 | 18,478 | 16,695 | 17,101 | 14, 170 | 13, 886 | 13,733 | 13,784 |
| Raleigh, N. C. | 6,300 | 6,301) | 8,100 | 8,000 | 4, 100 | 3,500 | 4,300 | 3, 800 |
| Richmond, Va | 26,567 | 30, 896 | 28,422 | 30,085 | 29,518 | 25,585 | 26,373 | 23,625 |
| Roanoke, Va. | 4,490 | 5,945 | 4,689 | 5,618 | 4,858 | 4,348 | 4, 119 | 4,452 |
| Spartanburg, S.C | 2,132 | 3,189 | 3,256 | 3,517 | 1,885 | 1,992 | 1, 813 | 1,987 |
| Washington, D. C | 36,986 | 46, 478 | 43,986 | 47, 139 | 39, 176 | 38, 219 | 41, 857 | 44,510 |
| Wilmington, N. ${ }^{\text {C }}$ | 4,907 | 6,575 | 5,058 | 5,009 | 4,475 | 5,112 | 4,838 | 4,659 |
| Winston-Salem, N. C | 6,956 | 7,639 | 7,662 | 9,697 | 5,372 | 4,697 | 4,946 | 6,439 |

## DATA FOR EACH CITY-Continued.

[In thousands of dollars.]

|  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

## DATA FOR EACH CITY-Continued.

[In thousands of dollars.]


# FEDERAL RESERVE CLEARING SYSTEM. 

OPERATIONS DURING FEBRUARY, 1923.
[Numbers in thousands. Amounts in thousands of dollars.]

| Federal reserve bank or branch. | Items drawn on banks located in own district. |  |  |  | Items drawn on Tre isurer of United States. |  | Total items handle 1 , exclusive of duplications. |  | Items forwarded to other Federal reserve banks and their branches. |  | Items forwarded to parent banks or to branches in same district. |  | Total items handled, including duplications. |  |  |  | Number of member banks at end of month. |  | Number of nonmember banks at end of month. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In Federal reserve bank or branch city. |  | Outside Federal reserve bank or branch city. |  |  |  | Number. | Amount. |  | On p |  |  | r list. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Not or } \\ & \text { list } \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{nn}_{\mathrm{t} .1} \mathrm{par}$ |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { xum } \\ & \text { ber } \end{aligned}$ | Amornt. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Num- } \\ & \text { ber. } \end{aligned}$ | Amount. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Num- } \\ & \text { ber. } \end{aligned}$ | Amount. |  |  | Num- | Amount. | Num- ber. | Amount. | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Num } \\ \text { ber. } \end{array}$ | Amount | 1923 | 1922 | 1923 | 1922 | 1923 | 1922 | 1923 | 1922 | 1923 | 1922 |
| Boston. | 560 | 568, 031 | 3,427 | 381, 827 | 131 | 14,624 | 4,118 | 964, 482 |  |  | 192 | 46,739 |  |  | 4,310 | 3,812 | 1,011, 221 | 857, 015 | 427 | 435 | 234 |  |  |  |
| New York. | 1,917 | 3, 493, 412 | 4,688 | 566, 025 | 928 | 91, 751 | 7,533 | 4, 151, 188 | 1,149 | 125,965 | 22 | 5,519 | 8,704 | 7,273 | 4,282, 672 | 4, 145,100 | 726 | 716 83 | 263 |  |  |  |
| Philadelphia | 1,003 | 737, 790 | 2,052 | 248, 686 | 146 | 25, 320 | 3,201 | 1,011, 796 | 426 | 86, 123 |  |  | 3,627 | 4,034 | 1,097,919 | 920, 621 | 71.5 | 710 | 492 | 470 |  |  |
| Cleveland. | 610 | 253,421 | 1,268 | 144, 263 | 77 | 7,361 | 1,935 | 405, 045 | 58 | 4,647 | 25 | 4,526 | 2,038 | 1, 549 | 414,218 | 308,686 | 311 | 318 | 517 | 514 |  |  |
| Cincinnati. | 209 | 297, 894 | 791 | 83, 408 | 63 | 10, 449 | ${ }^{2} 1,072$ | 2393,245 | 14 | 5, 324 | 14 | 3,917 | 1,100 | 958 | 402, 486 | 174, 209 | 226 | 222 | 313 | 319 |  | 1 |
| Pittsburgh. | 485 | 484, 916 | 876 | 97,335 | 39 | 4, 628 | 1,400 | 586, 879 | 83 | 36,999 | 29 | \&,617 | 1,512 | 1,275 | 632, 495 | 291, 799 | 345 | 343 | 254 | 250 |  |  |
| Richmond. | 117 | 280,516 | 1,918 | 273, 947 | 48 | 5,684 | 2,079 | 560, 147 | 170 | 54, 182 | ${ }^{33}$ | 8,025 | 2, 282 | 1,960 | 622,354 | 362, 824. | 474 | 465 | 698 |  | 561 | 585 |
| Atlanta.... | ${ }^{252}$ | 178, 401 | 676 <br> $36 \overline{5}$ | 63,511 44,390 | ${ }_{37}^{48}$ | 5,850 4,535 | 976 | 247, 762 | 129 32 | 32,929 9 9 | ${ }_{6}^{69}$ | 6,947 3,672 | 1,174 | ${ }_{494} 9$ | 287,638 | 196, 272 | 161 | 161 | 90 |  | 56 | 87 |
| Birminghan | 51 | 45, 453 | 198 | 16,224 | 13 | 1,666 | 262 | 63,343 | 20 | 13,451 | 27 | 30,018 | 309 | 381 | 106, 812 | 60, 075 | 93 | 76 | 33 | 33 | 145 | 119 |
| Jacksonville. | 73 | 71, 235 | 191 | 20, 734 | 10 | 1,401 | 274 | 93, 370 | 46 | 8,357 | 8 | 1, 341 | 328 | 261 | 103, 068 | 44,638 | 78 | 75 | 60 | 64 | 148 | 143 |
| Nashville. | 63 | 76,371 | 211 | 19,517 | 15 | 2,045 | 289 | 97, 933 | 7 | 1,527 | 4 | 731 | 300 | 269 | 100, 191 | 43, 834 | 89 | 87 | 144 | 145 | 159 | 159 |
| New Orlean | 76 | 42,050 | 117 | 15,356 | 37 | 5,035 | 230 | 62,441 | 45 | 12,308 | 6 | 841 | 281 | 212 | 75, 590 | 67,347 | 55 | 55 | 50 | 51 | 224 | 225 |
| Chicago. | 987 | 833, 612 | 3,658 | 303, 402 | 359 | 54, 228 | 5, 004 | 1,191,251 | 351 |  | 11 | 2, 663 | 5,366 | 4, 530 | 1,226,609 | 795, 601 | 1,318 | 1,319 | 3, 989 | 3,975 |  |  |
| Detroit........... | 247 | 366, 556 | 172 | 45, 091 | 36 | 3,663 | 1755 | 415,310 | 19 | 5,467 | ${ }_{11}^{4}$ | 1,544 | 778 | ${ }^{681}$ | +422, 321 | 157, 495 | 123 | 124 | 258 | 259 |  |  |
| St. Louis........... | 381 | 315,761 | 1,342 | 79,038 | 106 | 8 8,867 | 1, 829 | 403, 666 | 37 | 4,096 | 11 | ${ }_{729}^{956}$ | 1,877 | 1,627 <br> 340 |  | 246,076 | 391 | 373 | 1,690 | 1,724 |  |  |
| Little Rock..... | $\begin{array}{r}64 \\ 104 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 41,008 | 316 | 20, 199 | 10 | 1,083 | 390 | 62, 290 | 1 |  | 7 | ${ }_{92} 72$ |  |  | 63,757 167,249 | 35,935 | 71 | 69 | 238 | 231 |  |  |
| Louisville. | 104 76 | 137,956 36,979 | 184 | 25,295 12,637 | 32 11 | 3,054 1,320 | 580 273 | 166,305 50,936 | 11 | 852 479 | , | 92 222 | 592 | ${ }_{221} 20$ | 167,249 51,637 | 72, 111 | 95 59 | 56 | 348 | 341 | 159 | 164 |
| Minneapolis. | 248 | 98,652 | 1,374 | 69, 886 | 33 | 5,033 | ${ }^{2} 1,660$ | ${ }^{2} 177,529$ | 73 | 13,099 | 1 | 80 | 1,734 | 1,405 | 190, 708 | 159, 169 | 825 | 824 | 2,405 | 2,421 | 176 | 180 |
| Helena. | 22 | 12,397 | 105 | 7,551 | 6 | 1,203 | 134 | 21, 151 | 4 | 1,962 | 1 | 838 | 139 | 189 | 23, 951 | 20,394 | 187 | 200 | 186 | 209 |  | 3 |
| Kansas City.......... | 358 | 189, 206 | 1,241 | 83, 345 | 69 | 9,527 | 1,668 | 282,078 | 236 | 31,359 | 65 | 8,138 | 1,969 | 1,765 | 321, 575 | 311,429 | 328 | 330 | 1,413 | 1,451 |  |  |
| Denver.......... | 132 | 39, 434 | 335 | 20,587 | 24 | 3,378 | 491 | 63, 399 | 72 | 17, 215 | 43 | 11, 347 | ${ }^{606}$ | 511 | 91,961 | 77,094 | 162 | 161 | 245 | 273 |  |  |
| Oklahoma City . | 64 | 46, 347 | 951 | 63,894 | 10 | 1,306 | 1,025 | 111,547 | 50 | 6, 664 | 18 | 8,387 | 1,093 | ${ }_{6}^{932}$ | 126, 598 | 105, 613 | 414 | 375 | 410 | 471 |  | 13 |
| Dallas...... | ${ }_{204}^{188}$ | -50, 404 | 1, 235 | 31,906 162,486 | [34 |  | $\begin{array}{r}1 \\ 2 \\ 1,465 \\ \hline 169\end{array}$ | 2 84, 797 292,116 | 41 71 | 5,436 | 18 39 | 3,803 4,325 | 1, 579 | 648 1,400 | 94,036 304,596 | 76,724 | 248 | ${ }^{252}$ | 898 725 | 884 <br> 788 | $\begin{array}{r}151 \\ 53 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 205 34 |
| El Paso | 41 | 9,367 | 119 | 8,709 | 17 | 1,482 | ${ }^{17} 17$ | 19,558 | 12 | 1,513 |  | ${ }^{627}$ | 195 | 183 | 21,698 | 19,678 | 63 | 65 | 58 | 67 |  |  |
| Houston. | 69 | 38, 167 | 334 | 27, 744 | 14 | 1,423 | 417 | 67, 334 | 17 | 2,529 | 4 | 1,067 | 438 | 395 | 70,930 | 64,534 | 139 | 134 | 256 | 260 |  |  |
| San Francisco | 298 | 343, 128 | 630 | 39,158 | 69 | 17, 544 | 997 | 399, 830 | 29 | 4,306 | 28 | 3,118 | 1,054 | 699 | 407, 254 | 202, 867 | 192 | 209 | 265 | 276 |  |  |
| Los Angele | 412 | 172, 180 | 1,535 | 109, 506 | 54 | 17,085 | 2,001 | 298,771 | 105 | 16,614 | 56 | 8,193 | 2,162 | 1,639 | 323, 578 | 201, 488 | 159 | 169 | 190 | 165 |  |  |
| Portland........ | 69 | 30, 155 | 210 | 10,787 | 19 | 4,116 | 298 | 45, 038 | 5 | 1,649 | 33 | 3,452 | 336 | 277 | 50, 159 | 43,520 | 136 | 131 | 136 | 162 | 27 |  |
| Salt Lake City.. | - 46 | 29, 074 | 344 | 20,696 | 15 | 3,659 | ${ }_{3}^{405}$ | 53,429 | ${ }_{21}^{13}$ | 3, ${ }^{2818}$ | 38 | $\xrightarrow[4]{2,251}$ | 427 | 394 | 58,961 | 46, 274 | 160 | 174 | 101 | 104 |  |  |
| Seattle.. | - $\begin{array}{r}106 \\ 39\end{array}$ | 36,129 | 218 | 14,557 8,304 | 36 <br> 9 | 6, <br> 1,254 | 360 213 | 57,209 25,013 | 21 12 | 5, 678 2,267 | 38 | +4,424 | 419 | 364 213 | 67,311 29,421 | 52,906 | 66 109 | 102 | ${ }_{47}^{92}$ | 95 |  |  |
| Total: | 9,87212,006 | $\begin{array}{r} 9,697,881 \\ 11,040,202 \\ 32,940 \\ 38,70 \mid 3, \\ 3,926,21872 \end{array}$ |  |  | 2,587 | $\begin{array}{r} 335,200 \\ 631,243 \end{array}$ | $35,414$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13,225,867 \\ & 15,604,462 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,681 \\ & 4,356 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 624,694 \\ & 740,333 \end{aligned}$ | 703852 | $\begin{aligned} & 160,755 \\ & 199,534 \end{aligned}$ | 49,798 | $\begin{aligned} & 43,09114,011,316 \\ & 47,879,16,544,329 \end{aligned}$ |  | 10, 690, 431 | 9,917 |  | 17,724 | 18,019 | 2,282 | $\begin{aligned} & 2,318 \\ & 2,321 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1, 534,523 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9,911 | 9,852 | 17,777 | 18, 071 | 2,289 |  |  |

1 Incorporated banks other than mutual savings banks.
8 Includes items drawn on banks in other Federal reserve districts forwarded direct to drawee banks as follows: Cincinnati, 9,000 items, $\$ 1,494,000$; Minneapolis, 5,000 items, $\$ 3,958,000$; Omaha, 1,000 items, 8323,000 . Total, 15,000 items, $\$ 5,775,000$
Note. - Number of business days in period lor Boston, Richmond, Baltimore, Atlanta, Jacksonville, St. Loais, Little Rock, Kansas City, and Oklahoma City was 23 . and for other Federal
reserve bank and branch cities, 22 days.

## GOLD SETTLEMENT FUND.

## INTERBANK TRANSACTIONS FROM FEBRUARY 23, 1923, TO MARCH 22, 1923, INCLUSIVE.

[In thousands of dollars.]

| Federal reserve bank. | Transfers. |  | Daily settlements. |  | Changesin ownership of gold through transfers and settlements. |  | Balance in fund at close of period. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Debits. | Credits. | Debits. | Credits. | Decrease. | Increase. |  |
| Boston. | 14,000 |  | 638, 848 | 667,335 |  | 14,487 | 40,874 |
| New York. | 5,000 | 102,000 | 2, 140, 874 | 2, 140, 954 |  | 97, 080 | 295,407 |
| Philadelphia | 18,000 |  | 646, 338 | 653, 491 | 10,847 |  | 25,565 |
| Rleveland.. | 15,000 9,000 |  | 579,093 487,600 | 590,123 487,420 | 3,970 $\mathbf{9}, 180$ |  | 68,990 21,542 |
| Atlanta.. | 11,000 |  | 256, 781 | 264, 639 | 3, 142 |  | 17,273 |
| Chicago. |  |  | 1, 045,548 | 1,022,825 | 22, 723 |  | 73, 354 |
| St. Louis. | 12,000 | 1,000 | 1,516, 864 | -511,571 | 16, 293 |  | 6,715 |
| Minneapolis. | 10,000 | 1,000 | 137,044 | 143,691 | 2, 373 |  | 21,348 |
| Kansas City. |  | 1,000 | 353, 749 | 351, 800 | 949 |  | 35,502 |
| Dallas.. | 2,000 | 2,000 | 225, 175 | 216,900 | 8,275 |  | 10,027 |
| San Francisc | 12,000 | 1,000 | 296, 192 | 273,357 | 33,835 |  | 31,625 |
| Total four weeks ending- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mar. 22, $1923 . .$. . | 108,000 | 108,000 | 7,324, 106 | 7,324, 106 | 111,567 | 111,567 | 648,222 |
| Feb. 21, 1923. | 17,100 113,500 | 17,100 113,500 | 6. 182,140 $5,518,607$ | 6, 182,140 $5,518,607$ |  |  | 574,857 513,493 |
| Feb. 23, 1922 | -93,000 | 93, 000 | 4, 883,964 | 4, 883,964 |  |  | 518, 152 |

## MONEY IN CIRCULATION, MARCH 1, 1923.

[Source: United States Treasury Department circulation statement.]

|  | Stock of money in the United States. | Money held by the U.S. Treasury and the Federal reserve system. | Money in circulation. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Amount. | Per capita. |
| Gold coin and bullion. | $133,960,955,432$ | \$3,549,996,970 | \$410,958,462 | \$3.71 |
| Gold certificates. | $2(682,553,859)$ | 367,960,778 | 314,593,081 | 2.84 |
| Standard silver dollars | 464, 464,404 | 404, 897,765 | 59,566, 639 | . 54 |
| Silver certificates. | ${ }^{2}(378,117,963)$ | 60, 850,445 | 317,267,518 | 2.86 |
| Treasury notes of 1890 | $2(1,476,483)$ $268,891,383$ | - $\begin{array}{r}1,000 \\ 27,804,821\end{array}$ | $1,475,483$ $241,086,562$ | -. 2.17 |
| United States notes. | 346,681,016 | 63, 189,653 | 283,491,363 | 2. 56 |
| Federal reserve notes | 2,647, 563, 480 | 420,869,052 | 2,226,694,428 | 20.10 |
| Federal reserve bank | 34,036,000 | 4,054,913 | 29,981,087 | . 275 |
| National-bank notes. | 767,043, 704 | 41, 521,659 | 725, 522,045 | 6.55 |
| Total. | 8,489,635,419 | ${ }^{3} 4,941,147,056$ | 4,610,636,668 | 41.61 |
| Comparative totals: |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. 1, 1923.... | $8,442,726,010$ $8,076,223,365$ | 3 3 3 $4,9983,202,265$ $3,072,774$ | $4,509,127,518$ $4,401,984,542$ | 40.74 40.31 |
| Apr. 1, 1917. | 5,312,109,272 | ${ }^{3} 3,896,318,653$ | 4, 100, 590,704 | 39.54 |
| July 1, 1914. | 3,738,288,871 | ${ }^{3} 1,843,452,323$ | 3,402,015,427 | 34.35 |
| Jan. 1, 1879. | 1,007,084,483 | ${ }^{3} 212,420,402$ | 816,266,721 | 16.92 |

[^25]
## GOLD AND SILVER IMPORTS AND EXPORTS.

IMPORTS INTO AND EXPORTS FROM THE UNITED STATES, DISTRIBUTED BY COUNTRIES.

| Countries. | Gold. |  |  |  | Silver. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | February- |  | Eight months ending <br> February- |  | February- |  | Eight months ending February- |  |
|  | 1922 | 1923 | 1922 | 1923 | 1922 | 1923 | 1922 | 1923 |
| Denmark.................... | \$5,168,676 |  | \$8, 596, 207 | \$1, 115, 469 |  |  | \$844 | \$987 |
| France. | 1,425, 881 | \$984,406 | 120,628, 690 | 16, 784, 133 | \$7,890 | \$1,765 | 121,987 | 77,558 |
| Germany | 1,341 |  | 19, 920,823 | $29,707$ | 64, 591 |  | 5,688,970 | 55, 764 |
| G.eece..... |  |  | 5,003 $4,186,976$ | $4,798,294$ $10,044,247$ |  |  |  | 21, 156 |
| Norway... | 3,307 |  | - ${ }_{666,192}$ | 10, 543,592 |  |  | 1, 7,767 | - ${ }^{11,7} 732$ |
| Spain... | 10, 265 | 12,473 | 316, 389 | 48,655 | 24,158 | 24,512 | 49,439 | - 98,621 |
| Sweden ${ }^{\text {United Kingdom- }}$ - England | $8,821,246$ $8,309,644$ | 1,047, 114 | -36,832, 591 | 107, ${ }^{1,326,938}$ | 43,680 | 31,723 | 1,354 124,005 | 1,180 185,731 |
| Canada.................... | 1,648, 525 | 4,643, 823 | 15,798, 321 | 25, 554,634 | 271, 27 | 540, 462 | 2,640,588 | 5, 804, 627 |
| Central America | ${ }^{1} 395,917$ | 170, 199 | 4, 400, 691 | 2, 014, 359 | 58,597 | 79,448 | 1,088, 267 | 1,000, 773 |
| Mexico......... | 313, 427 | 315,438 | 3,574, 698 | 3,601, 575 | 3, 675,598 | 2,250, 072 | 30, 895, 033 | 29, 562,764 |
| West Indies | 229, 084 | 17, 406 | 2,843, 199 | 479, 517 |  | 8,111 | 440,484 | 179, 868 |
| Chile. | 2,611 | 4,436 | 376, 542 | 114,288 | 12,831 | 147, 899 | 1,152,456 | 1,502,053 |
| Colombia | 534, 895 | 394,129 | 7,408, 282 | 3, 946,732 | 21, 199 | 10,520 | 145,765 | 135,204 |
| Peru.. | 116,373 | 123,384 | 1,224, 166 | 1,142, 001 | 575,252 | 587,323 | 3, 878, 107 | 5,472, 310 |
| Uruguay | 104,006 |  | 3, 966, 970 | 8,959 |  |  | 25, 347 | 2,167 |
| China.... | 131, 893 | 33, 843 | 643, 870 | -461,418 | 120 | 70 | 1,478 | 1,819 10 |
| British India | 342,479 | 47,00 | 14, 863,765 | 5,85, |  |  | 11,718 | 10,924 |
| Dutch East Indies |  | 170,000 | 1, 124,624 | 1,378,612 |  | 60,000 | 296,997 | 538,iii |
| Philippine Island | 56,264 | 111, 786 | 947,624 | 704,613 | 803 | 1,467 | 13,483 | 9,451 |
| British Oceania. | 929, 628 | 78,699 | 12,587,932 | 1,076,376 | 146 | 1, 86 | 1,409 | 1,188 |
| Egypt.... | 110, 295 | 26,635 | 7,210,097 | 3,271,242 |  |  | 227 | 12,730 |
| Portuguese A |  | 55,925 | 283, 735 | 608,671 |  | 13,899 | 15,978 | 154,572 |
| All other. | 83,163 | 145, 225 | 9,345,512 | 885,639 | 11,864 | 17,972 | 152,461 | 147,465 |
| Total. | 28, 738, 920 | 8,382,736 | 400,615, 869 | 193, 359, 989 | 4,785, 957 | 3,792,387 | 47, 074, 023 | 45, 531,687 |
| Netherlands................. |  |  |  | 19,000 |  |  |  | 1,645 |
| Spain.. | 217,000 |  | 450,200 | 20,000 |  |  |  |  |
| Sweden.... |  |  | $2,721,013$ 3,123 |  |  |  |  | 600 |
| United Kingdom-Ėngla |  | 7,959 | , 12 | 1, 134, 256 | 1,921,715 | 220,928 | 7,869,528 | 7,174,885 |
| Canada................. | 108,502 | 113,387 | 1,982,832 | 21,770, 433 | 240,976 | 101, 297 | 3, 719, 802 | 1,241, 607 |
| Central America | 462,680 | 546, 124 | 3,874,595 | 3,096, 839 | 145,573 | 135, 084 | 1,197,023 | 1, 190,815 |
| West Indie |  |  | , 350 |  | 5,625 | 2, 360 | 25, 360 | 1, 14, 102 |
| Colombia. |  |  |  | 500,000 | 191, 000 |  | 191, 000 | 10,000 |
| Venezuela. |  |  |  |  | 364,000 |  | 649,000 |  |
| China. <br> British India |  |  |  |  | 2, 248, 328 | $1,134,121$ | $13,777,103$ $2,974,422$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14,021,314 \\ 0,695 \end{array}$ |
| British India...... | 824, 057 |  | 2,003,057 | $\begin{array}{r} 6,493,929 \\ 75,000 \end{array}$ | 1,417,323 | 596, 720 | 2,974,422 | $9,625,278$ |
| French Indo-China |  |  |  |  | 422,400 |  | 3,168,000 |  |
| Hongkong. All other. | 119, 555 | $\begin{array}{r} 719,200 \\ 4,932 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8,680,680 \\ 79,740 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,778,360 \\ 7,930 \end{array}$ | 134,725 | 549 | $\begin{aligned} & 6,461,496 \\ & 1,563,310 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,471,529 \\ 2,749 \end{array}$ |
| Total. | 1,731,794 | 1,399, 089 | 19,795,590 | 36,601, 712 | 7,091,665 | 2,191,059 | 41,602,587 | 39,758, 474 |

DISCOUNT RATES OF FEDERAL RESERVE BANKS IN EFFECT MARCH 31, 1923.

| Federal reserve bank. | Paper maturing- |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Within 90 days. |  |  |  | After 90 days, but within 6 months. | After 6, but within 9 months. |
|  | Commercial, agricultural, and live-stock paper, n.e.s. | Secured by United States Government obligations. | Bankers' acceptances. | Trade acceptances. | Agricultural ${ }^{1}$ and live-stock paper. | Agricultural and live-stock paper. |
| Boston. | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ |  | $4 \frac{1}{3}$ | 4 |  |
| New York... | 4 | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | 4 | $4 \frac{4}{2}$ |  |
| Philadelphia. | 4 | $4{ }_{4}^{42}$ | 4 | 4 | 4 |  |
| Richmond... | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | 4 | 4 | 42 | 4 |  |
| Atlanta..... | 4 | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Chicago.... | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ |  |
| St. Louis.... | 42 | $4 \frac{4}{4}$ | 4 | 4 | 4 |  |
| Minneapolis. | 4 | 42 42 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |  |
| Dallas....... | $4{ }^{\frac{2}{3}}$ | $4 \frac{1}{4}$ | 4 | 4 | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ |  |
| San Francisco | 43 | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | $4 \frac{1}{3}$ | 43 | 4.3 | 4 |

${ }^{1}$ Including bankers' acceptances drawn for an agricultural purpose and secured by warehouse receipts, etc.

## DISCOUNT AND INTEREST RATES.

In the following table are presented actual discount and interest rates prevailing during the 30 -day period ending March 15, 1923, in the various cities in which the Federal reserve banks and their branches are located. A complete description of the several types of paper for which quotations are given will be found in the September, 1918, and October, 1918, Federal Reserve Bulletins.
A comparison of the discount and interest rates for the various centers during the 30 -day period ending March 15 with the 30 -day period ending

DISCOUNT AND INTEREST RATES PREVAILING IN VARIOUS CENTERS DURING THE 30-DAY PERIOD ENDING MARCH $15,1923$.


[^26]February 15 shows higher rates in most centers for nearly all paper, although in some centers rates for prime commercial paper remained unchanged and in a few they were reported lower. Rates for interbank loans remained generally unchanged, but in some centers they were higher. Collateral demand loan rates were reported higher. Compared with the corresponding period ending March 15,1922 , all rates were reported lower.

## FOREIGN EXCHANGE RATES.

[General index for March, 1923 (preliminary), 67; for February, 1923, 67; for March, 1922, 70. Noon buying rates for cable transfers in New York as published by Treasury. In cents per unit of foreign currency.

COUNTRIES INCLUDED IN COMPUTATION OF INDEX.

|  | Monetary unit. | Par of exchange. | Low. |  | High. |  | Average. |  | Index (per cent of par). ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | March. | February. | March. | February. | March. | February. | March. | February. |
| Belgium. | Franc. | 19.30 | 5. 2100 | 5.1100 | 5. 9400 | 5.6600 | 5. 4800 | 5.3955 | 28.39 | 27.91 |
| Denmark | Krone. | 26.80 | 19. 0800 | 18.6400 | 19.3100 | 19.5200 | 19. 1970 | 19.0905 | 71.67 | 71.23 |
| France. | Frane. | 19.30 | 6. 0300 | 5.9200 | 6.7400 | 6. 4500 | 6. 3237 | 6. 1459 | 32.77 | 31.84 |
| Great Britain | Pound | 486.65 | 467.6900 | 466.4800 | 470.8300 | 472.1900 | 469.5693 | 469.0809 | 96.49 | 96.39 |
| Italy.. | Lira.. | 19.30 | 4. 7600 | 4.7300 | 5. 0200 | 4.8900 | 4.8550 | 4. 8177 | 25. 16 | 24.96 |
| Netherlands | Florin. | 40.20 | 39.3600 | 39.1900 | 39.5900 | 39.6900 | 39.4893 | 39.5273 | 98.23 | 98.33 |
| Norway. | Krone. | 26.80 | 18.0600 | 18.4000 | 18.4100 | 18.6600 | 18.1578 | 18.5518 | 67.75 | 69.22 |
| Spain. | Peseta | 19.30 | 15. 3600 | 15. 5900 | 15. 6100 | 15. 8100 | 15. 4652 | 15. 6577 | 80.13 | 81.13 |
| Sweden. | Krona. | 26.80 | 26. 5600 | 26.5200 | 26.6300 | 26.7600 | 26.6000 | 26.5959 | 99.25 | 99.24 |
| Switzerland | Franc. | 19.30 | 18.4400 | 18.7200 | 18.7600 | 18.9100 | 18. 5896 | 18.7977 | 96.32 | 97.40 |
| Canada. | Dollar. | 100.00 | 97.4328 | 98.1094 | 98.4813 | 98.9201 | 98.0570 | 98.6724 | 98.06 | 98.67 |
| Argentina. | Peso (gold). | 96.48 | 83. 8600 | 83.8200 | 84.7000 | 84.8600 | 84.1452 | 84.2164 | 87.22 | 87.29 |
| Brazil. | Milreis.. | 32.44 | 10. 7900 | 11.2000 | 11. 3700 | 11.5900 | 11.0874 | 11.4282 | 34.18 | 35.23 |
| Chile. | Peso (paper).. | ${ }^{2} 19.53$ | 12. 2200 | 11.7300 | 12.9800 | 12.2800 | 12.6725 | 11.9941 | 64.89 | 61.41 |
| China. | Shanghai tael. | ${ }^{2} 66.85$ | 73.9800 | 71.2100 | 76.5400 | 74.0400 | 75.5300 | 71.8191 | 112.98 | 107.43 |
| India. | Rupee. | 48.66 | 31.3500 | 31.5700 | 31.8200 | 32.0800 | 31. 5659 | 31.8495 | 64.87 | 65.45 |
| Japan. | Yen... | 49.85 | 48.3000 | 48.3600 | 48.6100 | 48.5100 | 48.4559 | 48.4359 | 97. 20 | 97.16 |

OTHER COUNTRIES.

| Austria.. | Krone. | 20.26 | 0.0014 | 0.0014 | 0.0014 | 0.0014 | 0.0014 | 0.0014 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bulgaria. | Lev. | 19.30 | 6100 | . 6000 | 8086 | 6900 | 6543 | . 6372 | 3.39 | 3.30 |
| Czechoslovakia. | Crown |  | 2.9648 | 2.8720 | 2.9795 | 2.9780 | 2.9693 | 2.9580 |  |  |
| Finland. | Markka | 19.30 | 2.7111 | 2. 4906 | 2.7933 | 2.7328 | 2.7553 | 2.6226 | 14. 28 | 13.59 |
| Germany | Reichsmark | 23.82 | . 0044 | . 0024 | . 0048 | . 0052 | . 0047 | . 0038 | . 02 | . 02 |
| Greece... | Drachma. | 19.30 | 1. 0522 | 1. 0811 | 1. 1711 | 1. 2194 | 1. 0962 | 1.1739 | 5.68 | 6.08 |
| Hungary | Krone. | 20.26 | . 0203 | . 0343 | . 0346 | . 0395 | . 0289 | . 0381 | . 14 | . 19 |
| Poland. | Polish mark |  | . 0022 | . 0020 | . 0026 | . 0029 | . 0024 | . 0025 |  |  |
| Portugal. | Escudo. | 108.05 | 4. 1600 | 4. 2400 | 4. 8900 | 4. 5000 | 4.3430 | 4.3582 | 4.02 | 4.03 |
| Rumania. | Leu. | 19.30 | . 4728 | . 4208 | . 4919 | . 5164 | . 4842 | . 4769 | 2.51 | 2.47 |
| Yugoslavia........... | Dinar | 19.30 | 1. 0025 | . 8875 | 1. 1288 | 1.0040 | 1.0417 | . 9681 | 5.40 | 5.02 |
| Cuba. | Peso. | 100.00 | 99.9500 | 99.9250 | 100.0156 | 100.0125 | 99.9858 | 99.9554 | 99.99 | 99.96 |
| Mexico | ....do | 49.85 | 48.5833 | 48.8958 | 49.0781 | 49.1875 | 48.8605 | 49.0234 | 98.02 | 98.34 |
| Uruguay . | do | 103.42 | 84. 1400 | 82.5300 | 85. 1200 | 84.7000 | 84. 5496 | 83.1582 | 81.75 | 80.41 |
| China. | Mexican dollar. | ${ }^{2} 48.11$ | 53.3100 | 51.5200 | 55.4400 | 53.7500 | 54. 5333 | 52.0505 | 113.35 | 108.19 |
| Hongkong. | Dollar. | ${ }^{2} 47.77$ | 54.0000 | 52.5200 | 56. 3000 | 54.0200 | 55. 3804 | 52.9691 | 115.93 | 110.88 |
| Straits Settlements. | Singapore dollar.... | 56.78 | 54.6700 | 54.5800 | 55.0800 | 55.0000 | 54.8133 | 54.8532 | 96.54 | 96.61 |

Based on average.
₹ 1913 average.
SILVER.
[Average price per fine ounce.]

|  | March. | February. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| London (converted at average rate of exchange). | \$0.68360 | \$0.65221 |
| New York. | .67963 | . 64716 |



## FINANCIAL STATISTICS FOR PRINCIPAL FOREIGN COUNTRIES.

A summary of banking and financial conditions abroad is presented statistically in the accompanying tables.

ENGLAND.
[Amounts in millions of pounds sterling.]

|  | Note accounts and Bank of England deposits. |  |  |  | Nine London clearing banks. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  | London bankers' clearing housereturns--total clearings. | Government floating debt. |  |  | Discount rates. |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Statist index number of foreign } \\ & \text { exchange value of } £ .6 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Temporary advances. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A verage of end of month Gigures: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Per cent. | Per | Per cent. |  |  |  |
| 1913................ | 38 | 29 |  | 57 |  |  |  |  | 1,370 |  |  |  |  | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | 4tis | 21 |  |  |
| 1920. | 146 | 103 | 348 | 147 |  |  |  |  | 3,252 | 1,078 | 219 | 1,297 | 6 | $6{ }^{\frac{2}{16}}$ | 7 ${ }^{\frac{1}{19}}$ | 40 |  | 99.6 |
| 1921 | 157 | 108 | 327 | 136 | 97 | 1,176 | 309 | 1,768 | 2,911 | 1,139. | 183 | 1,322 | $4 \frac{18}{18}$ | ${ }_{5}^{518}$ | 618 | 33 | 99.2 | 110.1 |
| 1922 | 156 | 103 | 296 | 130 | 107 | 1,068 | 372 | 1,727 | 3,097 | 796 | 165 |  | 22 | 21 | $3 \frac{3}{3} \frac{1}{7}$ | 49 | 111.7 | 120.6 |
| 1922, end of | 157 | 103 | 300 | 151 | 103 | 1,097 | 369 | 1,747 | 3,452 | 882 | 148 | 1,030 | 27 |  |  | 40 | 107.3 | 118.3 |
| April.. | 157 | 102 | 303 | 134 | 107 | 1,065 | 378 | 1,737 | 3,305 | 758 | 193 | 1,951 | $2 \frac{1}{81}$ | 23 | $4 \frac{1}{4}$ | 100 | 113.3 | 118.0 |
| May. | 157 | 103 | 298 | 130 | 109 | 1,061 | 392 | 1,745 | 3,307 | 771 | 172 | 943 | 21 | 28 | 4 | 52 | 113.4 | 118.2 |
| June. | 157 | 103 | 295 | 131 | 113 | 1,070 | 388 | 1,755 | 2,917 | 760 | 20.5 | 965 | 18 | 21 | 31 | 29 | 112.8 | 118.2 |
| July. | 154 | 104 | 296 | 122 | 110 | 1,056 | 386 | 1,730 | 3,236 | 755 | 159 | 914 | ${ }^{1 T^{\frac{1}{6}}}$ | $\frac{185}{3}$ | 3 | 43 | 114.4 | 120.3 |
| August.. | 154 | 103 | 293 | 124 | 105 | 1,020 | 3391 | 1,688 | 2,885 | 715 | 153 | 868 | ${ }_{2}{ }^{1}$ | $2{ }^{2}$ | $3 \frac{1}{2}$ | 21 | 114.7 | 121.3 |
| Septembe | 154 | 101 | 289 | 121 | 104 | 1,007 | 381 370 | 1,660 | 2,690 | 724 | 148 | 872 | ${ }_{2}^{21}$ | $2{ }^{29}$ | $3{ }^{3}$ | 31 | 114.0 | 122.7 |
| October.. | 154 | 101 | 287.1 288. | 125 | 105 98 | 1,033 | 370 365 | 1,686 | 3,124 2,989 | 740 732 | 179 179 | 919 | ${ }_{2}^{21}$ | 28 | $3{ }_{3} 3$ | 19 | 1115.1 | 123.0 124.6 |
| December. | 154 | 104 | 301 | 133 | 106 | 1,030 | 360 | 1,684 | 2,769 | 719 | 222 | 941 | $2 \frac{1}{3}$ | $2 \frac{1}{2}$ | $3 \frac{1}{3}$ | 15 | 113.2 | 124.6 125.8 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1923, end of- } \\ & \text { January. } \end{aligned}$ | 154 | 101 | 280 | 121 | 108 |  |  | 1,692 | 3,262 | 715 | 206 | 922 |  |  |  |  |  | 123.4 |
| February | 155 | 102 | 279 | 131 | 103 | 1,023 | 349 | 1,644 | 3,006 | 698 | 154 | 852 |  |  | 34 |  | 115.3 | 126.0 |

${ }^{1}$ Held by the Bank of England and by the Treasury as note reserve
Less notes in currency notes accoumt.
Average weekly figures
FRANCE.
[Amounts in millions of franes.]

| Average of end of month fgures: | Bank of France. |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bons } \\ \text { dela } \\ \text { Défense } \\ \text { Nation- } \\ \text { ale. } \end{gathered}$ | Price of 3 per cent perpetual rente. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A verage } \\ & \text { daily } \\ & \text { clearings } \\ & \text { of the } \\ & \text { Paris } \\ & \text { banks. } \end{aligned}$ | Savings banks, excess of deposits ( + ) or withdrawals (-). | New stock and bond issues. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gold } \\ \text { reserve. } \end{gathered}$ | Silver reserve. | War advances to the Government. | Note circulation | Total deposits. |  |  |  |  | Value. | Average rate of return. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - 1913.................. | 3,343 | 629 |  | 5,565 | 830 |  | 86.77 | 59 | -65 |  |  |
| 1920. | 3,586 | 253 | 26,000 | 38,066 | 3,527 |  | 57.34 | 554 | +48 | 702 |  |
| 1921. | 3,568 3,597 | 274 285 | 25,300 23,042 | 37,404 36,177 | 2,927 2,307 |  | 56.56 58.48 | 550 525 | +67 +53 | 1,100 574 | 6.70 6.41 |
| 1922, end of- |  |  |  |  |  |  | 58. 48 | 525 | + 5 | 574 |  |
| February. | 3,577 | 281 | 22,500 | 36,151 | 2,429 |  | 59.55 | 489 | +100 | 344 | 6.61 |
| March. | 3,578 | 282 | 21,500 | 35,528 | 2,236 | 60, 839 | 56.70 | 455 | +49 | 377 | 6.57 |
| April. | 3,579 | 283 | 22,100 | 35,787 | 2,412 | 61,528 | 57.60 | 411 | +58 | 459 | 6.45 |
| May... | 3,579 | 284 | 23,100 | 35,982 | 2,303 | 62,890 | 57.70 | 454 | $+55$ | 644 | 6. 37 |
| June. | 3,580 | 285 | 23,300 | 36,039 | 2,448 | 63,809 | 57.95 | 474 | $+53$ | 947 | 6.30 |
| July.... | 3,582 | 285 | 23,000 | 36,050 | 2,432 | 62,525 | 58.25 | 562 | $+62$ | 485 | 6.02 |
| August.... | 3,583 | 286 | 23,900 | 36,385 36,603 | 2,170 | 62,936 63,404 | 60.10 | 512 | +66 | 151 | 632 |
| Oetober... | 3,535 | 287 | ${ }_{23} 24,000$ | 36,603 | 2,199 | 63,404 | ${ }_{58} 61.10$ | 484 | +58 | 636 | 6.36 |
| November | 3,636 | 289 | 22,900 | 36,114 | 2,184 |  | 59.00 | 783 | +43 | 179 | 6. 61 |
| December. | 3,670 | 289 | 23,600 | 36,359 | 2,309 |  | 59.02 | 630 | $+33$ | 1,453 | 6. 62 |
| 1823, end or- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| February | 3,671 | 29 | 23,100 | 36, 780 | 2,208 |  | 58.80 | 720 | +44 |  |  |
| March... | 3,672 | 292 | 23,100 | 37, 188 | 2,066 |  | 58.6 | 792 | +80 |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Not including gold held abroad.

ITALY.
[Amounts in millions of lire.]

${ }^{1}$ Includes Banca Commerciale Italiana, Credito Italiano, Banco di Roma, and until November, 1921, Banca Italiana di Sconto.
: Figures for 1921 based on quotations of Dec. 31, $1920=100$; those for 1922 on quotations of Dec. $31,1921=100$.
I End of December figures.
GERMANY.
[Amounts in millions of marks.]

|  | Gold reserve. | Note circulation. | Reich <br> Total deposits. | sbank. | unts. <br> Commercisl bills. | Total clearings. | Darlehns-kassenscheine in circulation. | Treasury bills outstanding. | Value of new stock and bond issues placed on German market. | Index o pri $\stackrel{25}{\text { stocks. }}$ | security <br> es. ${ }^{3}$ <br> 10 domestle bonds. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| figures: <br> 1913. | 1,068 | 1,958 | 663 |  |  | 6,136 |  | 20 |  |  |  |
| 1920. | 1,092 | 53,964 | 17,702 |  |  | 57,898 | 13,145 |  |  |  |  |
| 1921. | 1,056 | 80,952 | 20, 213 |  |  | 89,297 | 8,861 | 192,832 | 2,655 |  |  |
| 1922. | 1,002 | 339,677 | 108, 633 | 338,147 | 72,211 | 530,647 | 11,217 | 475, 835 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| February. | 996 | 120,026 130,671 | 26,526 33,358 | 134, 252. | 1,857 | 109,816 170,357 | 8,977 | 271, 935 | 6,101 |  |  |
| April. | 1,001 | 140,420 | 31,616 | 155, 618 | 2,403 | 175,977 | 9, 183 | 280, 935 | 3,992 | 121 | 105 |
| мay. | 1,003 | 151,949 | 33, 128 | 167, 794 | 3,377 | 179,370 | 9,440 | 289, 246 | 4,152 |  |  |
| June. | 1,004 | 169, 212 | 37,174 | 186, 126 | 4,752 | 191,414 | 10,374 | 311,600 | 2,762 |  |  |
| July........................... | 1,005 | 189,795 | 39,976 | 207, 858 | 8, 122 | 243, 493 | 12,234 | 307, 810 | 2,330 | 85 | 108 |
| August... | 1,005 | 238,147 316,870 | 56,124 110,012 | 249,766 349,770 | 21,704 50 | 374,856 473,715 | 13,383 13,995 | 451,000 | 2,468 |  |  |
| October.. | 1,005 | 469,457 | 140,779 | 477, 201 | 101, 155 | 789,341 | 14,009 | 614,000 | 7,187 | 169 | 105 |
| November | 1,005 | 754,086 | 240,969 | 672, 222 | 246, 949 | 1,463,766 | 13, 809 | 839,000 | 15,223 |  |  |
| December | 1,007 | 1,280,095 | 530,526 | 1,184, 464 | 422, 235 | 2,078,969 | 13,450 | 1,495,000 |  | 1,334 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 322 |
| February | 1,005 | 3,512,788 | 1,582,981 | 2,947, 364 | 1,829,341 |  | 12,625 | 3,588,000 |  | 4,668 | 726 |
| March... | 1,005 | 5,517,920 | 2,272,084 | 4,552, 012 | 2,372,102 |  | 12,600 |  |  | 3,664 | 725 |

${ }^{1}$ End of March, 1913.
${ }^{1}$ Recalculated by the Frankfurter Zeitung, using as base (100) prices for January, 1922, instead of for January, 1921, and elininating the five bonds in foreign currencies. Figures are as of beginning of month.

## SWEDEN.

[Amounts in millions of kronor.]

${ }^{1}$ End of December figures.
CANADA.
[Amounts in millions of dollars.]

${ }^{1}$ Includes gold in central gold reserve but not goid held abroad.
2 'otal for month.

ARGENTINA.
[Amounts in millions of pesos.]


1 Includes Banco de la Nación.
2 Figures for 1919 include $79,000,000$ pesos, and for succeeding years $4,000,000$ pesos, held in foreign legations.
JAPAN.
[Amounts in millions of yen.]


## INDEX.



Index numbers-Continued

| Industrial activity-England, France, | - |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ocean freight rates. | ${ }_{502}$ |
| Physical volume of trade | 504 |
| Retail food prices in prin | 495 |
| Wholesale prices abroad | , 492 |
| Wholesale prices in the United St | 46, 488, 490 |
|  |  |

## India:

. 486, 488, 490

Cost ofliving. .......................................................... 495
Foreign trade 500
492

## Italy

Financial statistics. ..... 535
Foreign trade...................... ..... 500
495
492
Japan:
Financial statistics. ..... 537
Foreign trade................ ..... 500
498
Wholesale prices.. ..... 487,490
Knit goods production ................................... ..... 502
454
Law department-Textual changes on Federal reserve act by agri- cultural credits act429
Mark German stabilization of ..... 475
Member banks
Acceptance liabilities in 1922 ..... 436
Congressional committee to investigate membership in system ..... 414
529
Money in circulation National banks:
437
Acceptance liabilities in 1922
Fiduciary powers granted to ..... 461
Netherlands ..... 495
Retail prices and cost of living
490
500
Wholesale pric
New Zealand:
495
495
Cost of living and
Wholesale prices
498
498
Nonmember bank balances with Federal reserve banks
Nonmember bank balances with Federal reserve banks
495
Retail food prices and cost of living. ..... 490,492
Poland: ..... 495
Cost of living...
Wholesale prices ..... 490
Prices: Recent price movements in United Kingdom and France. . ..... 464
Retail, in principa

Wholesale, in the United States.412,517
Reserve ratio of Federal reserve banks ..... - ${ }_{493}^{433}$
Reserves, gola, of principal contries. ..... 424,510
Retain trade, condition of...............428
Savings deposits of commercial banks ..... 427,530
South Africa:
495
Cost of living and retail food prices. ..... 490
, 495
State banks and trust companies. ..... 437
Admitted to system. ..... 461
Condition of, throughout the United States on June 30 and
$5-460$
414
Sweden
Financial statistics. ..... 536
Foreign trade ..... 500
Retail food prices ..... 490,492Switzerland
495
$0,49 / 2$
Wholiving and retail food prices ..... 490,49
Trade:
Foreign. (See Foreign trade.)504
24,510
Retail. ..... 424, 439,51
1 Wholesale ..... $\begin{array}{r}439 \\ 413 \\ \hline\end{array}$
Treasury certificates, issue of ..... 413
Treasury financing during the month ..... 444
Wholesale prices:
Abroad $\cdots \cdot 4.486,490,492$ ..... 492
In the United States. rance.
Wholesale trade
Index, constructionibi. ..... 424,510 ..... 439



[^0]:    1 Excess of exports.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ For previous reviews of the gold situation see Federal Reserve Buleetin, June, 1921, and June, 1922.

[^2]:    1 No reports from districts No. 1 (Boston) and No. 8 (St. Louis) are included, but probably many firms reporting to other banks do some business in those districts.

[^3]:    I This is the third of a series of articles describing methods of financing the production and distribution of cotton. The preceding articles appeared in the Bulletins for February and March, 1923, and dealt appeared in the Bullerins for February and March, 1923, and dealt With the methods of financing the production of cotton. This and the subsequent articles wi
    distribution of cotton.
    Data upon which this study is based were obtained largely through replies to a number of questionnaires which were sent to merchants, banks, mills, and dealers throughout the South and in the principal textile centers of the East. To all of the contributors acknowledgment
    Is due. is due.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Figures from United States Department of Agriculture Year Book, 1921.
    ${ }_{2}$ Linters are included for the years 1910-11 to 1912-13, inclusive, but are excluded for the years 1913-14 to 1921-22.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ Atlas of American Agriculture, sec. A, Cotton.

[^6]:    ${ }^{2}$ Atlas of American Agriculture, sec. A, Cotton.

[^7]:    Macklin, Effleient Marketing for Agriculture, p. 149.

[^8]:    ${ }^{4}$ Ryan, Franklin W., The Cotton Mill-Sale Note. Published by the Robert Morris Associates.

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ This is one of a series of articles summarizing the laws governing the organization and operation of the banks of issue recently established in several of the countries of Europe. The new Austrian bank of issue was described in the March Bulletin.

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ December reports not available for New Hampshire, and Missouri. Figures for these States not included in totals.

[^11]:    ${ }_{7}$ Includes undivided profits.
    ${ }^{7}$ Includes guaranty fund.

[^12]:    ${ }^{1}$ A full explanation of this table, including a list of the sources employed, appears in the Bulletin for February, 1923, pp. 182-185.
    s Amounts stated in millions of pounds sterling, millions of francs, billions of mariss, and millions of dollars.
    ${ }^{3}$ Monthly average in 1913 is taken as 100 , except for Germany, where July, 1914, is used.
    1.United Kingdom and France-July, 1914=100; Germany-OCt. 15, 1913-June 15, 1914=100; United States-1913 average $=100$.

    - $1913=100$. . $n$ nited . applicants for every 100 available positions; United States-an index of employment in 12 representative trades, 1919 being taken as 100 .
    'United Kinddom-total net ton miles during the month, expressed in millions; France-average daily number of freight car loadings; Germany
    and United States-total number of freight car loadings during month, expressed in thousands.
    - Amounts stated in thousands of pounds sterling, millions of francs, millions of gold marks, and thousands of dollars.
    - $1913=100$. Figures for United Kingdom refer to quarterly period.

[^13]:    1 This chart and the four charts following have all been drawn on the same (logarithmic) scale in such a way that equal vertical distances anywhere on any of the five charts represent equal percentual increases or equal percentual decreases. A given slope of line anywhere on any of these charts thus indicates always the same rate of increase, or de crease if the direction of the line is downward.

[^14]:    ${ }^{1}$ This calculation assumes that the price levels in France and the United States were identi in 1913, which is open to question.

[^15]:    ${ }^{1}$ This matter was discussed in detail in the Federal Reserve BULLETIN for January, 1923, p. 61 .

[^16]:    ${ }^{1}$ A metric quintal is one-tenth of a metric ton.

[^17]:    1 Toledo market average for last 6 months of 1913 .

[^18]:    1 In thousands; 000 omitted.
    2 In millions; 000,000 omitted.

[^19]:    ${ }_{2}^{1}$ Not including reexport trade.

[^20]:    ${ }^{1}$ The list includes 27 of the most important imports the value of which in 1913 formed 49.3 per cent of the total import values, and 29 of the most mportant exports the value of which in 1913 formed 56.3 per cent of the total export values. The classification of the original list of commodities used was given in the July, 1920, Bulletin. The classification of 11 additional commodities of imports was given in the April, 1921, BulusTIN, and 2 additional commodities in the November, 1921, BULIETIN. Exports of gasoline have been altered to include naphtha.

[^21]:    1 The National Association of Finishers of Cotton Fabrics at the request of the Federal Reserve Board prepares a monthly survey for the industry. The results of the inquiries are herewith presented in tabular form. The secretary of the association makes the following statement concerning the tabulation:

    The accompanying figures are compiled from statistics furnished by 32 out or 57 member firms of this association. It is probably fair to state that in the absence of having specific detail at hand, but according to our best estimate, it is probably well within the fact that the figures given for the various classes of work would cover, approximately, the following percentages of the entire industry: White goods, 70 per cent; dyed goods, 62 per cent; printed goods, 30 per cent. The figures given represent reports from exactly the same finishers for the two months, both of the totals and for the subdivisions, and, therefore, are strictly comparable.

    Note.-Many plants were unable to give details under the respective headings of white goods, dyed goods, and printed goods, and reported their totals only; therefore the column headed "Total" does not always represent the total of the subdivisions, but is a correct total for the district.

[^22]:    ${ }^{1}$ Montana not included.
    ${ }^{2}$ Colorado, Wyoming, and Arizona not included.
    *Figures for Districts 6, 8, and 10, not available for previous months, included in total.

[^23]:    1 Total discounts muliplied by ratio of average maturity of bills discounted by each bank to average maturity (6.70) for system.

[^24]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes $\$ 209,860$ of acceptances purchased at 43 per cent.

[^25]:    ${ }_{2}^{1}$ Does not include gold bullion or foreign coin outside of vaults of the Treasury, Federal reserve banks, and Federal reserve agents.
    2 These amounts are not included in the total, since the money held in trust against gold and silver certificates and Treasury notes of 1890 is included under gold coin and bullion and standard silver dollars, respectively.
    ${ }^{3}$ Includes gold held in trust against gold certificates and standard silver dollars held in trust against silver certificates and Treasury notes of 1890, the aggregate of which should be deducted from the sum of money held by the United States Treasury and the Federal reserve system and money in circulation to arrive at the stock of money in the United States. The amounts of such gold and silver held in trust as of the date of this statement are shown in parentheses in the first column.

[^26]:    ${ }^{1}$ Rates for demand paper secured by prime bankers' acceptances-high, 5 ; low, 4; customary, 4-5

